











# PROCEEDINGS

AND

# DEBATES

OF THE

# UNITED STATES SENATE.

FIRST SESSION—THIRTIETH CONGRESS.

BY JAMES A. HOUSTON.

WASHINGTON, D. C.

M DCCC XLVIII.



# PREFACE.

That the Proceedings and Debates of both branches of the Congress of the United States have been, until recently, very imperfectly reported, is now pretty generally acknowledged with regret and surprise. The Senate has been peculiarly unfortunate in this respect. No copious and authentic record of its debates up to the commencement of the last Congress, is any where to be found; and all that now remains is a meagre, and often an erroneous sketch, of the discussions which have taken place, during that period of the existence of one of the most important deliberative bodies in the world. This is not, of course, the place to speak of the causes which have deprived us of full and accurate reports of the Senate, or of the evils of that deprivation. The fact is merely stated, in order to introduce a very brief explanation, of the circumstances which led to the production of this volume.

Struck by the remarkable neglect with which the debates of the Senate appeared to be treated by the journals issued at the seat of the federal government, and anxious to contribute something towards supplying a remedy for the evil, I devised a plan of reporting those debates, which was submitted to several of the oldest and most influential members of the body, nearly at the close of the second session of the last Congress. This plan proposed to give to the reports the utmost impartiality and fullness, together with the stamp of authoritative sanction. It met with appro-

bation and was adopted.

The execution of the work was entered upon under some disadvantages. Circumstances prevented the engagement of a sufficient number of competent assistants; and the prompt publication of full and close reports was regarded by some of the Senators as being, perhaps, not always practicable, or, at all events, expedient. However, notwithstanding all difficulties and drawbacks, the work was performed throughout the past session in such a manner as to elicit the commendation of many of the most distinguished Senators, and all the leading daily journals out of the city of Washington. Many able arguments—many bursts of eloquence—many scintillations of genius, which otherwise would have perished in the hour of their birth, have by this means been preserved, while I have the satisfactory reflection to console me, in reviewing the result of many weary hours of anxious toil, that I have been instrumental in giving to the country an accurate and a complete record of conflicts and debates, which will never lose their interest so long as the great principles of justice, humanity and freedom, inspire the souls of men. At least, one important step has been taken towards the elevation of Congressional reporting to respectability and usefulness, and I have no fear that the movement in this important matter will, hereafter, be retrograde.

JAMES A. HOUSTON.

WASHINGTON, D. C., September 30, 1848.



# INDEX.

| Α  | LLEN, WILLIAM, of Ohio-  |            | BRADBURY, JAMES W., of Maine-   |       |
|----|--|------------|---|-------|
|    | Penting President's Message  | 12         | Announces death of Mr. Fairfield  | 42    |
|    | Appen one doub of Gen. Hamer.  | 41         | John Paul Jones   | 320   |
|    | Increase of the army   | 50         |   | 396   |
|    |  | 118        | Half-pay to Widows and Orphans 8  | 395   |
|    | Mission to Rome  | 341        |   | 971   |
|    | Congratulations to the French  | 443        | Breese, Sidney, of I'linois-  |       |
|    | Judiciary Bill   | 508        | Ten Regiment Bill   | 279   |
|    | California Claims  | 537        | Califor in Claims   | 543   |
|    | Steamships   | 903        | Panegyric on character of Mr. Ashley  | 560   |
|    | SHLEY, CHESTER, of Arkansas-   |            | BUTLER, ANDREW P., of South Carolina-   |       |
| 2. | Additional judicial district in Arkansas   | 238        | Ten Regiment Bill   | 118   |
|    | Death announced  | 559        |   | 440   |
|    | Funeral Honors   | 562        | Franch Possilition  | 455   |
|    | Funeral Honors.  | 002        | Tendericary Bill.   | 500   |
| £  | ATCHISON, DAVID R., of Missouri-   | 664        | Judiciary Dill  | 500   |
|    | Indian Appropriation Bill  |            | Camornia Claims   | 535   |
|    | Additional Indian District in Arkansas   | 748        | Riots in District of Columbia   | 524   |
|    | Adjournment of Cougresa  | 750        | Internal Improvements   | 566   |
|    | Compromise Bill THERITON, CHARLES G., of New Hampshire— Payment of interest to Alabama Indian Appropriation Bill 664, 678, | 976        | Oregon Bill   | 688   |
| Z  | ATHERTON, CHARLES G., of New Hampshire-  |            | Baight, Jesse D., of Indiana-   |       |
|    | Payment of interest to Alabama   | 547        | Indiana State Bonds   | 635   |
|    | Indian Appropriation Bill  | 718        | Oregon Bill   | 779   |
|    |  | 841        |   |       |
|    | Loan Bill, (Appendix)  | 16         | C.  |       |
|    | Boat Din, (inperiod)   |            | 2 2 2 10 10 1   |       |
|    | B.   |            | CALHOUN, JOHN C., of South Carolina-  |       |
|    |  |            | Resolutions relative to Conquest of Mexico  | 30    |
| I  | BADGER, GEORGE E., of North Carolina-  |            | Remarks on same subject   | 32    |
|    | Cose of Slanghter  | 54         | Increase of the army 50,  | , 51  |
|    | Ten Regiment Bill, (Appendix)  | 125        | Conquest of Mexico  | 62    |
|    | Tell Regiment Diff (Appendix)  | 315        |   | 351   |
|    | Mission to Rome 350, 384.  | 393        | Mission to Rome   | 395   |
|    | Franking Privilege   | 379        | French Revolution   | 429   |
|    | Indiciary Bill 461,  | 510        | Indiciary Bill  | 464   |
|    | California Claims 487,   | 557        | Internal Improvements   Rints in District of Columbia   S37, 544     California Claims   S57, 574     Aid to Yucatan   S57, 574 | 493   |
|    | Portrait of Gen. Taylor  | 541        | Rints in District of Columbia   | 515   |
|    | Care of David Muerla   | 660        | California Claims 537 544   | 553   |
|    | Case of David Myerle. Indian Appropriation Bill.   | 679        | Aid to Vocature 557 574   | 627   |
|    | Oregon Bill  |            | Oregon Bill   | 779   |
|    | Patent Office Report   | 707        | Cass, Lewis, of Michigan-   | 410   |
|    | Pateni Onice Report  | 888        | CASS, Liewis, or Briefigan-   | 49    |
|    | Branch Mints   | 954        | Increase of the army  | 55    |
|    | Compromise Bill  | 904        | Opens debate on Ten Regiment Bill   |       |
|    | BAGBY, ARTHUR P., of Alabama-  | 420        | Statement of forces in Mexico   | 92    |
|    | Acquisition of Territory 159,  | 473        | Plans of Gen Senti  | 117   |
|    | Acquisition of Territory. 159,<br>John Paul Jones.   | 318        | Closes debate on Ten Regiment Bill  | 356   |
|    | French Revolution  | 437        | Mission to Rome   | 388   |
|    | Dam at Cumberland Island   | 492<br>564 | California Claims   | 554   |
|    | Internal Improvements  |            | Internal Improvements   | 207   |
|    | Internal Improvements. Aid to Yucatan.   | 635        | Internal Improvements   | 901   |
|    | Oregon Bill. BALDWIN, ROGER S , of Connecticut—  | 681        | CLAYTON, JOHN M , of Delaware—  |       |
|    | BALDWIN, ROGER S, of Connecticut-  |            | Increase of the army  | 50    |
|    | Annexation of Mexican Territory  | 150        | Catechises Mr. Cass about the war   | 93    |
|    | Ten Regiment Bill  | 344        | Ten Regiment Bill   | 103   |
|    | French Revolution  | 434        | Explanation relative to Texas boundary  | 153   |
|    | Oregon Bill  | 699        | Petition of Mid. Rogers   | 264   |
|    | Oregon Bill. Comprimise Bill. 923  | , 974      | Mission to Rome   | 380   |
|    | BELL, JOHN. of Tennessee-  |            | New Jersey Railroad   | 528   |
|    | Ten Regiment Bill  | 203        | California Claims 548,  | 554   |
|    | Catechises Mr. Sevier about Mexican war  | 227        | Aid to Yucatan  | 581   |
|    | Dam at Cumberland Island   | 422        | Indiana State Bonds   | 635   |
|    | Indian Appropriation Bill. 665 Benton, Thomas H., of Missouri—   | . 716      | Indiana State Bonds   | 641   |
|    | BENTON, THOMAS H. of Missonri-   | ,          | The Presidential Candidates   | 824   |
|    | John Paul Jones  | 317        | The Presidential Candidates. Introduces the Compromise Bill.  | 896   |
|    | Jona Paul Jones Announces illness of Mr. Adams   | 319        | Opens debate on de de   | 918   |
|    | Panegyric on the character of Mr. Adams  | 526        | Opens debute on do do   | 991   |
|    | Judiciary Bill   | 101        | Coawin. Thomas, of Ohio-  | 551   |
|    | California Claims  | 100        | Compromise Bill   | 921   |
|    | Internal Improvements  | 566        | Compromise Bill   | 938   |
|    | Opposes steam conveyance of the mail across Atlantic   | 609        | Shavery, the war, &c  | 530   |
|    | Property love Man Come of the man across Atlantic  | 003        | CRITTENDEN, JOHN J., of Kentucky-   | D.C   |
|    | Beanien, John McP, of Georgia-   | 50         | Publication of Madison Papers   | 39    |
|    | Increase of the army   |            | Amendment to Ten Regiment Bill  | 70    |
|    | Naturalization Laws  | , 735      | Instructions to Gen Scott. Panegyrie on Mr. Clay.   | 14    |
|    | Osens Poll   | 785        | Panegyrie on Mr. Clay   | 147   |
|    | Mail to Savannah Oregon Bill Compromise Bill   | 783        | Suspension of Gen. Scott. Thanks to Gen. Taylor French Revolution   | 160   |
|    | Compromise Bill  | 968        | Thanks to Gen. Taylor   | 29    |
|    | BORLAND, SOLON. of Arkansas-   |            | French Revolution   | 45    |
|    | Amounces death of Mr. Ashley   | 559        | Judiciary Bill 461, 500   | , 510 |
|    | Delerred Nominations   | 624        | Internal Improvements   | , 56  |
|    | Compensation for horses lost in the military service   | 713        | Aid to Yucatan  | 583   |
|    | Adulterated Drogs  | 743        | Aid to Yucatan<br>CLARKE, JOHN H, of Rhode Island-  |       |
|    | Judicial District in Arkansas  | 748        | Ten Regiment Bill   | 16    |
|    | Hall-nay to Widows and Orchans   | 805        | Country miss Pill   | 0.09  |

| Cameron, Simon, of Pennsylvania— Announces death of Mr. Hornbeck   | 148        | HALE, JOHN P., of New Hampshire-   | 76         |
|--|------------|--|------------|
| John Paul Jones  | 319        | Ten Regiment Bill. Opposes vote of thanks to Gen. Scott. Opposes vote of thanks to Gen. Taylor.  | 265        |
| John Paul Jones. Riots in District of Columbia.  | 524        | Opposes vote of thanks to Gen. Taylor  | 298        |
| Patent Office Report   | 707        | Mission to Rome  | , 388      |
| 1)   |            | Riots in District of Columbia.   | 514        |
| D.   |            | Portrait of Gen. Taylor  | 542        |
| Davis, John, of Massachusetts-   |            | Opposes vote of thanks to Gen. Inylor.  Mission to Rome. 351 French Revolution. 429 Riets in District of Columbia.  Portrant of Gen. Taylor. Add to Yusetan.  HASTER, HANNIERAL, of Maine— Compromise Bill.  | 575        |
| Announces death of Mr. Adams   | 325<br>380 | Oregon Bill  | 682        |
| Mission to Rome  | 522        | Compromise Bill  | 923        |
| Aid to Vieutan   | 610        | HANNEGAN, EDWARD A., of Indiana-   | 1776.13    |
| Amounces denth of Mr. Adams. Mission to Rome. Rots in District of Columbia. Adu to Yueatan. Foreign Mail Service. Oregon Bill. Davis, Jefferason, of Mississippi— Ten Regiment Bill. Explains his position as to Mexican war. Mutary Hossinal at New Orleans.  | 657        | HAMLIN, HANNIBAL, of Maine— Compromise Bill.  HANNEGAN, EDWARD A., of Indiana— Annexation of Mexican Territory   | 151        |
| Oregon Bill  | 855        | Indian Reservations  | 255        |
| DAVIS, JEFFERSON, of Mississippi-  | 73         | John Paul Jones<br>Mission to Rome<br>Case of Patrick Walker   | 317        |
| Eveluing his position as to Mexican war  | 122        | Case of Patrick Walker   |            |
| Military Hospital at New Orleans   | 160        | French R-volution  | 445        |
| Duning to Onlean on Course   | 203        | Riots in District of Columbia  | 522        |
| French Revolution. Internal Improvements. Riots in Detrict of Columbia.  | 455<br>494 | Portrait of Gen. Taylor  | 541        |
| Piete as De trust of Colombia  | 515        | HOUSTON, SAMUEL, of Texas-   | , 515      |
| Cultivralu Claims. Aid to Yusa an  | 555        | Speech on Yucatan Bill, (Appendix)   | 24         |
| And to Youngan   | 582<br>743 | HUNTER, ROBERT M. T., of Virginia-   |            |
| Adulterated Dru-S  | 7-13       | Ten Regiment Bill  | 229        |
| Oregon D II North Lorentz  | 870        | Oregon Bill  | 727<br>862 |
| Adulterated Druss. Original VI. DATTON, WILLIAM L., of New Jersey— Case of Staughter.  | 54         | Case of Patrick Walker. French Riveolation. Riots in District of Columbia. Portrait of Gen. Taylor. Aid to Yucatan. HOSTON, SAMUEL, of Texas— Speech on Yucatan Bill, (Appendix). HUXYER, ROBERT M. T., of Virginia— Ten Regument Bill. Indian Appropriation Bill. Oregon Bill.  | 362        |
| French Revolution  | 447        | J.   |            |
| French Revolution. Judiciary Bill. California Claims.  | 459        | JOHNSON, HERSCHEL V., of Georgia-  |            |
| California Claims  | 475<br>965 | French Revolution  | 448        |
| Compromise Bill. DICKINSON, DANIEL S., of New York-  | 200        | Johnson, Heastelle V, of Georgia— French Revolution. Oregon Bill. Claims of Creek Indians. Ten Regiment Bill, (Appendix). Jon Regiment Bill, (Appendix). Ten Regiment Bill.  | 846        |
| Resolutions on annexation of Territory   | 31         | Claims of Creek Indians  | 999        |
| Remarks on same subject  | 97         | Ten Regiment Bill, (Appendix)  | 11         |
| Announces death of Mr. Holley  | 377        | Ten Regiment Rill  | 374        |
| Examiners in the Patent Office   | 378        | JOHNSON, REVERDY, of Maryland-   | 3/4        |
| Prench Revolution  | 443<br>708 | Call for Military Statistics   | 48         |
| Resolutions on nanexation of Territory. Remarks on same subject. Annonness death of Air. Holley. Examiners in the Patent Office. French Revolution. Patent Office Report. Nutratication Laws. Branch Minst. Dix, John A. of New York. Reired army list. Ten Regiment Bill. Mission to Rouge.   | 735        | Johnson, Henry, of Louisenna— Ten Regiment Bill. Jourson, Ererry, of Maryland— Call for Military Statistics. Ten Regiment Bill. Judiciary Bill.  | 84         |
| Branch Mints   | 888        | Judiciary Bill   | 506        |
| Compromise Bill  | 919        | California Claims. 594, (App   | ) 28       |
| Dix, John A of New York-   | 26         | терр   | .,         |
| Ten Reciment Bill.   | 166        | К.   |            |
| Mission to Rouse. California Clause.   | 390        | KING, WILLIAM R., of Alabama-  |            |
| California Claust  | 423        | Civil and Diplomatic Bill  | 1003       |
| California Using)   Ask  | 803<br>642 | L.   |            |
| Adulterated Divis  | 749        | LEWIS, DIXON H., of Alabama-   |            |
| Oregon Bill  | 771        | Examiners in Patent Office   | 195        |
| Branch Mints   | 889        | Μ.   |            |
| Compromise Bill.   | 959        | Manager Warren B. C. Noude Country   |            |
| Per Regiment Bill  | 376        | Distribution of Books to Senators.  Plans of Gen. Seott. John Paul Jones. Mission to Rome. Riots in District of Columbia.  | 91         |
| French Revolution. Riots in Diltrict of Columbia.  | 430        | Plans of Gen. Scott  | 116        |
| Riots in District of Columbia  | 520        | John Paul Jones  | 319        |
|  | 563        | Mission to Rome  | 394        |
| Steamslaps. Downs, Solomon W., of Louisiana— Ten Regiment Bill. Example Regulation.  | 904        | Adjournment of Congress  | 519<br>754 |
| Ten Regiment Bill.   | 187        | Opinions of Taylor and Cass  |            |
| French Revolution. 435<br>Memorial from New Orleans Bar. 435   | 446        | Mason, James M., of Virginia-  |            |
| Memorial from New Orleans Bar  | 663        | Annuances death of Mr. Dromgoole   | 33         |
| p.   |            | The Southern Mail  | , 530      |
| P.   |            | Oregon Bill.   | 836        |
| FAIRFIELD, JOHN, of Maine— Death announced   | 42         | Metcalfe, Thomas, of Kentucky-   |            |
| Funeral Honors   | 43         | Compromise Bill  | 1016       |
| Funeral Honors. Fulch, Alpheus, of Michigan-   | ***        | Opinious of Taylor and Cass.  Mason, JAMSS M., of Virginia— Announces death of Mr. Drongoolo. California Claims. 451 The Southern Mail. Oregon Bill.  METCALFE, THOMAS. of Kentucky— Compromise Bill. MILLER. WILLIAM. of New Jersey— Ten Regiment Bill. Camden and Amboy Railroad. Add to Vigetam.  | 238        |
| Defective Surveys.  Penul Code of the Territory of Michigan.  FITZGERALD THOMAS, of Michigan—  | 732        | Camden and Amboy Railroad  | 529        |
| FITZGERALD THOMAS of Michigan  | F35        | Aid to Vucatan Adjournment of Congress Compromise Bill   |            |
| Compromise Bill.   | 938        | Adjournment of Congress  | -756       |
| Compromise Bill. FOOTE. HENRY S., of Mississippi— Amounces death of Mr. Spoight.   |            | Comprosuse Bill  | 930        |
| Announces death of Mr. Speight   | 20         | N  |            |
| Vote of thurks to Con Toulon   | 133        | November 11 of Committee   |            |
| Mission to Rome.   | 299        | Niles, John M., of Connecticut—  | 14         |
| French Revolution  | 442        | Printing President's Message. Announces death of Mr. Huntington.   | 14         |
| Judierary Bi L.  | 502        |  |            |
| Announces death of Mr. Spought.  | 515        | Resolutions of State Legislature against the extension of slavery. Mexican Spolintions. Ten Regiment Bill. Mission to Rome. The Lonn Bill. French Revolution. California Christon.   | 202        |
| Oregon Bill, 508, 572  | 697        | Ten Regiment Bill  | 202        |
| Adjournment of Congress  | 751        | Mission to Rome  | 380        |
|  |            | The Loan Bill  | 411        |
| G.   |            | French Revolution  | 443        |
| GREENE, ALBERT C of Rhode Island Ten Regiment Bill   |            | Cambrida Claims  | 530        |
| Ten Regiment Bill  | 311        | Aid to Yucatan.  | 564<br>594 |
| žI.  |            | Mode of paying the Public Interest,  | 654        |
| HALE, JOHN P., of New Hampshire-   |            | Internal Improvements Aid to Yueatan Mode of paying the Pablio Interest. Pereign Mail Service. Orgon Bill. Patent Office Report. Reduction of Postago. Compromise Bill.  | 657        |
| Asks to be excused from serving on committee   | 18         | Patent Office Percent  | 689        |
| Right of Petition. Increase of the army  | 37         | Reduction of Postage   | 707        |
| Increase of the army   | 50         | Compromise Bill  | 920        |
| and case of the printers and the state of th | 9.0        | Completelling Participated and a second of the second of t | 2.40       |

|      | P.                              |     | U.                                 |      |
|------|---------------------------------|-----|------------------------------------|------|
|      | EE, JAMES A., of Maryland-      |     | UNDERWOOD, JOSEPH R., of Kentucky- |      |
| BARG | low of Col. McRea               | 89  | Clerk to sign Land Patents         | 157  |
| Ton  | Regiment Bill                   | 107 | Ten Regiment Bill                  | 253  |
| Fun  | lanation as to Texas boundary   | 157 | American Hemp for Navy             | 398  |
| The  | Southern Mail                   | 735 | French Revolution                  | 453  |
| Inc  | PS, SAMUEL S., of Vermont-      |     | California Claims                  | 535  |
| Ton  | Regiment Bill                   | 183 | Portrait of Gen. Taylor            | 542  |
| The  | Loan Bill                       | 419 | Losses in Florida war              | 645  |
| Fre  | nch Revolution                  | 452 | Case of David Myerle               | 659  |
|      | iciary Bill                     | 463 | Oregon Bill                        | 691  |
| Celi | fornia Claims 491,              | 536 | Compromise Bill                    | 946  |
| Ore  | gon Bill                        | 797 | UPHAM, WILLIAM, of Vermont-        |      |
| Con  | promise Bill                    | 933 | Ten Regiment Bill                  | 289  |
| A    |                                 |     |                                    |      |
|      | R.                              |     | W.                                 |      |
| USK, | THOMAS S., of Texas-            |     | Webster, Daniel, of Massachusetts- |      |
| Bou  | nty Land Claims                 | 194 | Ten Regiment Bill                  | 355  |
| Ten  | Regiment Bill                   | 304 | The Mexican war                    | 399  |
|      | ifornia Claims                  | 542 | Indian Appropriation Bill          | 668  |
|      | gon Bill                        | 687 | Naturalization Laws                | 71   |
| Indi | ian Appropriation Bill          | 726 | Oregon Bill, (Appendix)            | 33   |
| Tex  | as Navy                         | 867 | WESTCOTT, JAMES D., of Florida-    |      |
|      |                                 |     | Case of Slaughter                  | 53   |
|      | S.                              |     | Assistant Pursers                  | 83   |
| EBAS | STIAN, WILLIAM K., of Arkansas- |     | Examiners in the Patent Office     | 37   |
| Indi | an Appropriation Bill           | 724 | California Claims                  | 553  |
| EVIE | R, AMBROSE H., of Arkansas-     |     | Aid to Yucatan                     | 590  |
| Dis  | tribution of Books to Senators  | 20  | Losses in Florida war              | 64   |
| Ext  | planation as to Texas boundary  | 156 | Oregon Bill                        | 686  |
| Ter  | Regiment Bill                   | 219 | Naturalization Laws                | 723  |
| Exp  | planations in reply to Mr. Bell | 227 |                                    |      |
| ,    |                                 |     | , Y.                               |      |
|      | Т.                              |     | YULEE, DAVID L., of Florida-       |      |
| TERN | EY, HOPKINS L., of Tennessee-   |     | Assistant Pursers                  | 83   |
| Lot  | hro Wood's Patent               | 164 | Slavery                            |      |
|      | Regiment Bill                   | 267 | American Hemp for Navy             |      |
|      | npensation for horses, &c       | 713 | Steamships                         |      |
| 201  |                                 |     |                                    | - 0. |



# MONDAY, DECEMBER 6, 1847.

In conformity with the second clause in the fourth section of the first article of the Constitution of the United States, the first session of the thirtieth Congress commenced this day.

The Senate was ealled to order at twelve o'clock by its presiding officer, the Hon. GEORGE MIFFLIN DALLAS, Vice President of the United States.

The following Senators were present, viz:

From Maine

JOHN FAIRFIELD.

From New Hampshire JOHN P. HALE. CHARLES G. ATHERTON.

WILLIAM UPHAM.

From Vermont. SAMUEL S. PHELPS. From Rhode Island

HENRY S FOOTE

JAMES W. BRADBURY.

ALBERT C. GREENE

JOHN H. CLARKE From New York.

JOHN A DIV

DANIEL S DICKINSON From New Jersey.
N. JACOB W. MILLER

WILLIAM L. DAYTON,

From Pennsylvania.

DANIEL STURGEON SIMON CAMERON.

From Delaware.

PRESLEY SPRUANCE

From Maryland. REVERDY JOHNSON.

From Virginia.
Rebert M. T. Hunter. JAMES M. MASON.

From North Carolina. WILLIE P. MANGUM

GEORGE E. BADGER. From South Carolina.

ANDREW P. BUTLER

From Mississippi JEFFERSON DAVIS.

From Louisiana

HENRY JOHNSON. SOLOMON W. DOWNS.

From Tennessee

HOPKINS L. TURNEY

From Kentucky. JOHN J. CRITTENDEN. JOSEPH R. UNDERWOOD.

From Ohio. WILLIAM ALLEN THOMAS CORWIN

From Indiana.
AN, JESSE D. BRIGHT. EDWARD A. HANNEGAN,

> From Illinois STEPHEN A. DOUGLAS.

SIDNEY BREESE From Missouri.

DAVID ATCHISON

From Arkansas. CHESTER ASHLEY AMBROSE H. SEVIER,

From Michigan.
ALPHEUS FELCH. LEWIS CASS.

From Florida.

JAMES D. WESTCOTT, JR

From Texas

THOMAS J. RUSK.

# CREDENTIALS OF SENATORS.

Mr. ATHERTON presented the credentials of the Hen. John P. HALE, elected a Senator of the United States from the State of New Hampshire, for the term of six years from, and after the 4th day of March, 1847; which were read.

Mr. HALE, having taken the oath of office, which was administered to him by the VICE PRESIDENT, took his seat.

Mr. CRITTENDEN presented the credentials of the Hon. Joseph R. Underwood, elected a Senator of the United States from the State of Kentucky, for the term of six years from, and after the 4th day of March, 1847; which were read.

Mr. UNDERWOOD, having taken the oath of office, which was administered to him by the VICE PRESIDENT, took his seat.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, presented the credentials of the Hon. Solomon W. Downs, elected a Senator of the United States from the State of Louisiana, for the term of six years from, and after the 4th day of March, 1847; which were read.

Mr. DOWNS, having taken the oath of office, which was administered to him by the VICE PRESIDENT, took his seat.

Mr. GREENE presented the credentials of the Hon. John H. CLARKE, elected a Senator of the United States from the State of Rhode Island, and Providence Plantations, for the term of six years from, and after the 4th day of March, 1847; which were read

Mr. CLARKE, having taken the oath of office, which was administered to him by the VICE PRESIDENT, took his seat.

Mr. SEVIER presented the eredentials of the Hon. Jefferson DAVIS, appointed by the Governor of Mississippi a Senator of the United States from the State of Mississippi, to fill the vacaney occasioned by the decease of the Hon. Jesse Speight; which were read

Mr. DAVIS, having taken the oath of office, which was administered to him by the VICE PRESIDENT, took his seat.

Mr. BREESE presented the credentials of the Hon. Stephen A. DOUGLAS, elected a Senator of the United States from the State of Illinois, for the term of six years from, and after the 4th day of March, 1847; which were read.

Mr. DOUGLAS, having taken the oath of office, which was administered to him by the  $\widetilde{V}_{1CE}$  President, took his seat.

Mr. FAIRFIELD presented the credentials of the Hon. James W. Bradbury, elected a Senator of the United States from the State of Maine for the term of six years from and after the 4th day of March, 1847; which were read

Mr. BRADBURY, having taken the oath of office, which was administered to him by the Vice President, took his seat.

Mr. CASS presented the credentials o the Hou. Alpheus Felch, elected a Senator of the United States from the State of Michigan, for the term of six years from and after the 4th day of March, 1847; which was read.

Mr. FELCH, having taken the eath of office, whic was administered to him by the VICE PRESIDENT, took his seat.

The following Senators, whose eredentials were presented to the Senate at the last session of Congress, severally had the oath of office administered to them by the Vice President, and took their seats, viz :

Messrs. Ashley, Mangum, Foote, Hunter, Miller, and SPRITANCE

NOTIFICATION TO THE HOUSE.

On motion by Mr. BREESE, it was

Ordered, That the Secretary acquaint the House of Representatives that a quorum of the Senate has assembled, and that the Senate is ready to proceed to business.

HOUR OF MEETING

On motion by Mr. FAIRFIELD, it was

Ordered, That the daily hour of meeting be twelve o'clock until othorwise ordered.

NEWSDADEDS

On motion by Mr. CAMERON, it was

Resolved. That each Senator be supplied, during the present session, with newspapers, as heretofore, not exceeding the cost of four daily papers.

On motion by Mr. MANGUM,

The Senate adjourned.

# TUESDAY, DECEMBER 7, 1847.

The Hon ROGER S. BALDWIN, of the State of Connecticut; the Hon, John C. CALHOTN, of the State of South Carolina, the Hong State of South Carolina, the Hong State of South Carolina, the John M. Yikas, of the State of Connecticut, and the Hon, JAMES A. PEARCE, of the State of Maryland, severally appeared in their seats to-day.

#### CREDENTIALS OF SENATORS.

Mr. BUTLER presented the credentials of the Hon. John C. Calmoun, elected a Senator of the United States, from the State of South Carolina, for the term of say years, from and after the 4th day of March, 1847; which were read.

Mr. CALHOUN, having taken the oath of office, which was administered at the hands of the VICE PRESIDENT, took his seat.

Mr. NILES presented the credentials of the Hon. Roger S. Baldwin, appointed by the Governor of Connecticut a Senator of the United States, from the State of Connecticut, to fill the vacancy occasioned by the decease of the Hon. Jabez W. Huntington; which were read.

Mr. BALDWIN, having taken the oath of office, which was administered at the hands of the VICE PRESIDENT, took his seat.

#### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE

The following message from the House of Representatives was delivered by B. B. French, Esq., their Clerk:

Mr. President: I am directed to inform the Senate that a quorum of the House of Representatives bas assembled, and that ROBERT C, WINTHIRO, one of the Representatives from the State of Massachusetts, has been chosen Speaker, and that the House is now ready to proceed to business.

The House of Representatives have passed a resolution for the appointment of a committee on the port of the House, to join such committee as may be appointed on the part of the Senate, to wait on the President of the United States, set of the House is assembled, and that Congress is ready to receive any communication he may be pleased to make, and Mr. HUNT and Mr. HOWELL COBB have been appointed the committee on their part.

# COMMITTEE TO WAIT ON THE PRESIDENT.

Mr. SEVIER moved to take up the resolution from the House; which was agreed to.

The resolution having been read,

Mr. SEVIER observed, that it only provided for informing the President that a quorum of the House had assembled, instead of a quorum of both Houses. This was no doubt an inadvertnece, and the therefore moved that the resolution be laid upon the table, for the purpose of offering another; which was agreed to.

Mr. SEVIER then submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved, That a committee he appointed, jointly with the continuities appointed by the House of Representatives, to wait upon the President of the United States, and unform him that a quorum of each House had assembled; and that Congress are ready to receive any communication he may be pleased to make.

On motion it was

Ordered, That the committee he appointed by the Vice President.

Messis. Sevier, Mangum, and Fairfield were appointed.

#### SAULT DE STE. MARIE.

Mr. CASS submitted the following resolution; which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved, That the Secretary of the Treastry be instructed to communicate to the Senate a map of the country in the immediate vicinity of the Sault de Sie, Marie, in the State of Meiopan, ethicitate the initializer reservation and the private land claims at that place; together with copies of any evidence respecting and claims to be Gonal than 00 the General Land Offlice.

## NOTICE OF BILLS.

Mr. HANNEGAN gave notice that he should, on to-morrow, ask leave of the Senute to introduce a bill for the relief of the heirs of John Paul Jones.

Mr. WESTCOTT gave notice that he should, on to-morrow, ask leave to bring in a bill further to earry into effect the provisions and stipulations of the 9th article of the Florida treaty, with respect to certain losses of Spanish subjects in West Florida.

#### PRESIDENT'S MESSAGE

Mr. SEVIER, from the Committee on the part of the Senate, jointly with the Committee appeared on the part of the House of Representatives, to wait on the President of the United States, and inform him that a quorum of each house has assembled, and that Congress is ready to receive any communication he may be pleased to make, reported, that they had performed the duty assigned them, and that the President stated that he would make a communication to each house forthwith.

The following message was received from the President of the United States, by Mr. J. KNOX WALKER, his private secretary:

Fellow-Citizens of the Senate,

and of the House of Representatives:

The annual meeting of Congress is always an interesting event. The Representatives of the States and of the people come fresh from their constituents to take counsel together for the common good. After an existence of near three-lournths of a century as a free and independent republic, the problem no longer remains to be solved whether man is capable of self-government. The success of our admirable system is a conclusive refutation of the theories of those in other countries who maintain that "a favored few" are born to rule, and that the mass of mankind most be governed by force. Subject to no arbitrary or hereditary authority, the people of the subject to the subject to the subject to the contribution of the deciving the subject to the subject to the contribution of the deciving the subject to the subject to the contribution of the subject to the contribution of the subject to the contribution of the subject to the subje

No country has been so much favored, or should acknowledge with deeper reverence the manifestations of the Divine protection. An all-wise Creator directed and guarded as in our infant struggle for freedom, and has constantly watched over our surprising progress, until we have become one of the great nations of the

It is in a country has favored, and under a government in which the executive and legislative branches hold their authority for incited periods, alike from the people, and where all are responsible to their respective consistencies, that it is again my duty to communicate with Congress upon the state of the Union and the present condition of public affairs.

During the past year the most gratifying proofs are presented that our country has been blessed with a wide-spread and universal prosperity. There has been no period since the Government was founded, when all the industrial pursuits of our people have been more successful, or when labor in all branches of business has received a fairer or better reward. From our abundance we have been enabled to perform the pleasing duty of inrishing food for the starving millions of less favored countries.

In the enjoyment of the bounties of Providence at home, such

In the enjoyment of the bounties of Providence at home, such as have rarely fallen to the lot of any people, it is cause of congratulation, that our intercourse with all the powers of the earth, except Mexica, continues to be of an amicable character.

It has ever been our cherished policy to cultivate peace and good will with all nations; and this policy has been steadily pursued by

No ehange has taken place in our relations with Mexico since the adjournment of the last Congress. The war in which the United States were forced to engage with the government of that country still continues.

I doem it unnecessary after the full exposition of them contained in my message of the eleventh of May, 1846, and in my annual message at the commencement of the session of Congress in December Inst, to reiterate the serious easies of the complaint which we had against Mexico before she commenced hostilities.

we had against Mexico before she commenced hostilities. It is sufficient on the present occasion to say, that the wanton violation of the person and property of our citizens committed by Mexico, he repeated acts of bad faith, through a long series of years, and her disregard of solemn treaties, stipulating for indemity to our injured citizens, not only constituted ample cause of war on our part, but were of such an aggravated character as war of our part, but were of such an aggravated character as the surface of the surface

was insultingly rejected. The Mexican government refused even to hear the terms of adjustment which he was authorized to propose; and finally, under wholly, unjustifiable pretexts, involved the two countries in war, by invading the territory of the State of Tex-as, striking the first blow, and shedding the blood of our citizens on

our own soil

Though the United States were the aggrieved nation, Mexico commenced the war, and we were compelled, in self-defence, to re-pel the invader, and to vindicate the national honor and interests by pel the invader, and to vindicate the national honor and interests by prosecuting it with vigor until we could obtain a just and honorable peace. On learning that hostilities had been commenced by Mexico, 1 promptly commanicated that fice, accompanied with a sufficient statement of our causes of complaint against Mexico, to Congress; and that body, by the act of the thirteenth of May, 1846, declared that "by the act of the republic of Mexico, a state of war exists between that government and the United States,"—this act declaring "the war to exist by the act of the republic of Mexico," and making provision for its procecution "for a speedy and successful termination," was passed with great unanimity by Congress, there being hat two prograting votes in the Senate, and lust fourteen there being but two negative votes in the Senate, and but fourteen in the House of Representatives.

The existence of the war having thus been declared by Congress. The existence of the war having time seen necessary by Congress, the became my duty, under the constitution and the laws, to constitution and the laws, to constitution are constitution and the laws, to constitute the constitution of the constitut

The rapid and brilliant successes of our arms, and the vast extent of the enemy's territory which had been overrun and conquered, before the close of the last session of Congress, were fully known to that body. Since that time, the war has been prosecuted with increased energy, and I am gratified to state, with a sucted with increased energy, and I am gratified to state, with a success which commands universal admixation. History presents no parallel of so many glorious victories achieved by any nation within so short a period. Our army, regulars and volunteers, have covered themselves with imperishable honors. Whenever and wherever our forces have encountered the enemy, though he was in vastly superior numbers, and often extremelded in fortiled positions of his own selection, and of great strength, he has been delected. Too much praise cannot be bestowed upon our officers and men, regulars and volunteers, for their gulnarity, description, indomitable courself and the strength of the strength of the design of the course of the strength of the strength

with each other in decels of noble daring.
While every patroit's heart must exult, and a just national pride
animate every bosom, in beholding the high proofs of conrage, consummate military skill, steady dicipine, and humanity to the vanquished enemy, exhibited by our gallant army, the nation is called
to mourn over, the loss of many brave officers and soldiers who have
fallen in defence of their country's honor and interests. The hrave
dead met their melancholy fate in a foreign land, nobly discharging dead met their metanenoly late in a foreign tand, nonly discaarging their duty, and with their country's flag waving triumphantly in the face of the foe. Their patriotic deeds are justly appreciated, and will long be remembered by their grateful countrymen. The parental care of the government they loved and served should be

extended to their surviving families

extended to their surviving families.

Shortly after the adjoinment of the last session of Congress, the gratifying intelligence was received of the signal victory of Buena Vista and of the fall of the city of Vera Cruz, and with it the strong castle of San Juan de Ulloa, by which it was defended. Believing that after these and other successes, so honorable to our arms and so disasterous to Mexico, the period was propitions to after the search of the property of the property of the period was propitions to after the property of the period was propitions to after the property of the period was propitions to after the property of the period was propitions to after the property of the period was propitions to after the property of the period was propitions to after the period was period was propitions to after the period was period was period was propitions to after the period was peri ford her another opportunity, if they thought proper to embrace it to the earth and the opportunity; a tarey through proper to cannace at, to enter into negotiations for peace, a commissioner was appointed to proceed to the adequarters of our army, with full powers enter upon negotiations, and to conclude a just and honorable treaty of peace. He was not directed to make any new overture of peace, but was the bearer of a despatch from the Secretary of open the peace of th State of the United States, to the Minister of Foreign Affairs of Mexico, in reply to one received from the latter of the twenty-second of February, 1847, in which the Mexican government was informed of his appointment, and of his presence at the headquarters of our army, and that he was invested with full powers to conclude a definitive treaty of peace, whenever the Mexican govern-ment might signify a desire to do so. While I was unwilling to subject the United States to another indignant refusal, I was yet resolved that the evils of the war should not be protracted a day longer than might be rendered absolutely necessary by the Mexigovernment

Care was taken to give no instructions to the commissioner which could in any way interfere with our military operations, or relax our energies in the prosecution of the war. anthority in any manner to control these operations. He was authorized to exhibit his instructions to the General in command of the army, and in the event of a treaty being concluded and ratified on the part of Mexico, he was directed to give him notice of that fact. On the happening of such a contingency, and on receiving notice thereof, the general in command was instructed by the Secretary of War to suspend further active military operations until further orders. These instructsons were with a view to intermit hostilities until the treaty, thus ratified by Mexico, could be transmitted to Washington, and receive the action of the gov-ernment of the United States.

The commissioner was also directed, on reaching the army, to deliver to the general in command the despatch which he bore from the Secretary of State to the Minister of Foreign Affairs of

Mexico, and on receiving it, the General was instructed by the Secretary of War, to cause it to be transmitted to the commander of the Mexican forces, with a request that it might be communieated to his government

eated to ins government.

The commissioner did not reach the headquarters of the army until after another brilliant victory had crowned our arms at Cerro

The despatch which he bore from the Secretary of War to the The despatch which he bore from the Secretary of War to the general in command of the army was received by that officer, then at Jalapa, on the seventh day of May, 1847, together with the despatch from the Secretary of State to the Minister of Foreign Affairs of Mexico; having been transmitted to him from Vera Amure of Mexico; naving here transmitted to him from Vera Cruz. The commissioner arrived at the headquarters of the army a few days aftorwards. His presence with the army and his diplo-matic character were made known to the Mexican government, from Puebla, on the twelfth of June, 1847, by the transmission of the despatch from the Secretary of State to the Minister of Foreign Affairs of Mexico

Many weeks elapsed after its receipt, and no overtures were made, nor any desire expressed by the Mexican government to en-

ter into negotiations for peace.

Our army pursued its march upon the capital, and, as it approached it, was met by formidable resistance. Our forces first Our army pursued its march upon the capital, and, as it ap-proached it, was met by formidable resistance. Our forces first encountered the enemy, and achieved signal victories in the severe-ly contested hattles of Contreras and Churubusco. It was not un-til after these actions had resulted in decisive victories, and the capital of the enemy was within our power, that the Mexican government manifested any disposition to enter into negotiations for peace; and even then, as events have proved, there is too much reason to believe they were insincere, and that in agreeing to go through the forms of negetiation, the object was to gain time to strengthen the defences of their capital, and to prepare for fresh stance.

The general in command of the army deemed it expedient to suspend hostilities temporarily, by entering into an army deem to hostilities temporarily, by entering into an armistice with a view to the opening of negotiations. Commissioners were ap-pointed on the part of Mexico to meet the commissioner on the part of the United States. The result of the conferences which took place between these functionaries of the two governments was

a failure to conclude a trenty of peace.

The commissioner of the United States took with him the project of a treaty already prepared, by the terms of which the indemnity required by the United States, was a cession of territory.

nity required by the United States, was a cession of territory. It is well known that the only indemnity which it is in the power of Mexico to make in satisfaction of the just and long deferred claims of our citizons against her, and the only means by which she can reimburse the United States for the expenses of the war, is a cession to the United States of a portion of her territory Mexico has no money to pay, and no other means of making the required indemnity. If we retuse this, we can obtain nothing else. required indemnity. If we refuse this, we can obtain nothing else. To reject indemnity, by refusing to accept a cession of territory, would be to abandon all our just demands, and to wage the war, bearing all its expenses, without a purpose or definite

A state of war abrogates treaties previously existing the beligerents, and a treaty of peace puts an end to all claims for indemnity for tortious acts committed under the authority of one government against the citizens or subjects of another, unless they are provided for in its stipulations. A treaty of peace which would terminate the existing war, without providing for indemnity, would enable Mexico—the acknowledged debtor, and herself the aggressor in the war-to relieve herself from her just liabilities. By such a treaty, our citizens who hold just demands against her. would have no remedy either against Mexico or their own government. Our duty to these citizens must forever prevent such a peace, and no treaty which does not provide ample means of dis-

charging these demands can receive my sanction

A treaty of peace should settle all existing differences between the two countries. If an adequate cession of territory should be made by such a treaty, the United States should release Mexico from all her liabilities, and assume their payment to our own citi-zens. If, instead of this, the United States were to consent to a treaty by which Mexico should again engage to pay the heavy amount of indehtedness which a just indemnity to our government and our citizens would impose on her, it is notorious that she does not possess the means to meet such an undertaking. From such a treaty no result could be anticipated, but the same irritating appointments which have heretofore attended the violations of si-milar treaty stipulations on the part of Mexico. Such a treaty would be but a temporary cessation of hostilities, without the r storation of the friendship and good understanding which should characterize the future intercourse between the two countries

That Congress contemplated the acquisition of territorial indemuity, when that body made provision for the prosecution of the war, is obvious. Congress could not have meant—when, in May, 1946, they appropriated ten milhons of dollars, and authorised the Preto employ the militia and naval and military forces of the sucent to employ the militia and naval and military forces of the United States, and to accept the services of fifty thousand volun-teers to enable him to prosecute the war; and when, at their last session, and after our army had invaded Mexico, they made addi-tional appropriations, and authorised the raising of additional troops for the same purpose—that no indemnity was to be obtained from Mexico at the conclusion of the war; and very it was event-that that if no Mexico at the conclusion of the war; and very it was event-that that if no Mexico at territory was acquired, no indemnity could be

obtained.

It is further manifest that Congress contemplated territorial indemnity, from the fact, that at their last session, an act was pass-

ed, upon the Executive recommendation, appropriating three milions of dollars with that express object. This appropriation was made "to enable the President to conclude a treaty of peace. limits and boundaries with the Republic of Mexico, to be used by him in the event that said treaty where signed by the authorised agents of the two governments, and duly ratified by Mexico, shall call for expenditure of the same, or any part thereoft." The object of the expenditure of the same, or any part thereot." The object o asking this appropriation was distinctly stated in the several mesasking this appropriation was distinctly stated in the several mess-aages on the subject which I communicated to Congress. Similar appropriations, made in 1803 and 18106, which were referred to were intended to be applied in part consideration for the cession of Louisiana and the Herberks. In lites manner, it was anticipated, that, in settlin the terms of a treaty of "limits and boundaries" with Mexico, a cession of territory, estimated to be of greater value than the amount of our retrieval of this state—in part considera-tion of the state of the state of the state of the state of a treaty, and its ratio for the territory celled. In the sour bission of a treaty, and its ration for the territory coled, on the condension of a treaty, and its rationation on the part—middle be an indomenum with her to make such a cossion of territory as would be satisfactory to the United States. And allowagh the failure to conducte such a treat by rendered it unnecessary to use any part of the three millions of dollars appropriated by that act, and the entire sum remains in the treasury, it is still applicable to that object, should the contingency occur making such application proper.

The obserting of un territory is the doctrine of no indemnity and, of sanctioned, would be a public acknowledgement that our country was wrong, and that the war declared by Congress, with extraordinary manninity, was unjust; and should be abundoned; an admission unfounded in fact, and degreating to the national character. The terms of the treat unconsect by the Haired States, were not

The terms of the treaty proposed by the United States, were not only just to Mexico, but, considering the character and amount of our claims, the unjustifiable and unprovoked commencement of hostilities by her, the expenses of the war to which we have been subjected, and the success which had attended our arms, were deemed to be of a most liberal character.

The commissioner of the United States was authorised to agree to the establishment of the Rio Grande as the boundary, from its to the establishmen of the Galf to its intersection with the southern boundary of New Mexico, in north latitude about thirty-two degrees, and to obtain a ression to the United States of the provinces of New Mexico and the Californias, and the privilege of the right of way across the isthomas of Tehnantepree. The boundary of the Rio Grande, and the cession to the United States of New Mexico and the consideration of the United States of New Mexico and the California, constituted an ultimatum which our

Mexico and Upper California, constituted an ultimatum which our

commissioner was, under no circumstances, to yield.

That it might be manifest not only to Mexico, but to all other tage of a feeble power, by insisting upon wresting from her all the other provinces, including many of her principal towns and cities, which we had conquered and held in our military occupation, but were willing to conclude a treaty in a spirit of liberality, our com-missioner was authorized to stipulate for the restoration to Mexico of all our other conquests.

of all our other conquests.

As the territory to be acquired by the boundary proposed might
be estimated to be of greater value than a fair equivalent for our
just demands, our commissioner was authorized to stipulate for the payment of such additional peenniary consideration as was

the payment of such additional pecuniary consideration as was deemed reasonable.

The terms of a treaty proposed by the Mexican commissioners were wholly induntisable. They negotiated as if Mexico were the victorious, and not the vanquished party. They must have been that their willinstar could never be accepted. It required been that their willinstar could never be accepted. It required to the part of the territory of that State for the party of the could be a part of the territory of that State funds to be be lived to the part of the territory of that State funds to be the funds. that pure of the territors of that State king between the Nuccessal that Rive Grande, included within her limits by her have weeks and the Rive Grande, included within her limits by her have when she was an independent republic, and when she was ammond to the United States and admitted by Congress as one of the States of our Union. It contained no provision for the payment by Mexico of the just chains of our citizens. It required indemnity to Mexicon citizens for injuries they have sustained by our troops in the proceeding of the war. It demanded the right for Mexico to the protes while in our inditary occupation on growts imported into her ports while in our inditary occupations of the control of the order to the United States, for a pecuniary consideration, that part of Upper California lying north of latitude thirty-seven degrees. Such were the unreasonable terms proposed by the Mexi-

The cession to the United States by Mexico, of the provinces of The cession to the United States by Dexico, of the provinces of New Mexico and the Californias, as proposed by the commissioner of the United States, it was believed, would be more in accord-ance with the convenience and interests of both nations, than any other cession of territory which it was probable Mexico could be

induced to make

It is manifest to all who have observed the actual condition of the Mexicing operations, to some years, past, and at present, that if these provinces should be retained by past, and at present that the provinces should be retained by the condition to held a power to govern these provinces, lying as they do not necessary to the retained by her, they would constitute but for a short time, even maintally a part of her dominions.

This would be especially the case with Upper Chifornia. The sagacity of powerful European autions has body since directed their attention to the commercial unportance of that province, and there It is manifest to all who have observed the actual condition of

can be little doubt that the moment the United States shall relincan be atthe donot may are invarient one Officer States shall relim-quish their present occupation of it, and their claim to it as indem-nity, an effort will be made by some foreign power to possess it, either by conquest or by purchase. If no foreign government should acquire it in either of these modes, an independent revolutionary covernment would probably be established by the inhabitants, and government would probably be established by the inhabitants, and such foreigners as may remain in or remove to the country, as soon as it shall be known that the United States have abandoned it. Such a government would be too feeble long to maintain its separate independent existence, and would finally become annex.

separate independent existence, and would finally become annex-ed to, or he a dependent colony of, some more powerful State. Should any foreign government attempt to possess it as a colo-ny, or otherwise to incorporate it with itself, the principle avowed by President Monroc, in 1824, and realitimed in my first annual message, that no foreign power shall, with our consent, be permit-ted to plant or establish any new colony or dominion on any part of the North American continent, must be maintained. In maintaining this principle, and in resisting its invasion by any fereign power, we might be involved in other wars more expensive and

power, we might be involved in other wars more expensive and more difficult than that in which we are now engaged. The provinces of New Mexico and the Californias are contigu-ous to the territories of the United States, and if brought under the government of our laws, their resources-mineral, agricultu-

ral, manufacturing, and commercial—would soon be developed.

Upper California is bounded on the north by our Oregon possessions; and if held by the United States, would soon be settled by a hardy, enterprising, and intelligent portion of our population.—
The bay of San Francisco, and other barbors along the California coast, would afford shelter for our navy, for our numerous whale ships, and other merchant vessels employed in the Pacific ocean, and would in a short period become the marts of an extensive and profitable commerce with China, and other countries of the East

These advantages, in which the whole commercial world would participate, would at once be secured to the United States by the cession of this territory; while it is certain that as long as it remains a part of the Mexican dominions, they can be enjoyed nci-ther by Mexico herself nor by any other nation.

ther by Mexico berself nor by any other nation.

New Mexico is a frontier province, and has never been of any considerable value to Mexico. From its locality, it is naturally connected with our western settlements. The territorial limits of the State of Texas, too, as defined by her laws, before her admission into our Union, embrace all that portion of New Mexico despine cust of the Rio Grando, while Mexico still claims to hold this territory as a part of her dominions. The adjustment of this question of boundary is important.

There is semble consideration which indeed the helicit has

There is another consideration which induced the belief that the Mexican government might even desire to place this province under the protection of the government of the United States.—
Numerous bands of fierce and warlike savages wander over it, and upon its borders. Mexico has been, and must continue to be, too feeble to restrain them from committing depredations, robberies and murders, not only upon the inhabitants of New Mexico itself, but upon those of the other northern States of Mexico. It would but upon the definition of the united states of Beachers to bave their citizens protected against them by the power of the United States. At this moment many Mexicans, principally foundes and ebildren, are in captivity among them. If New Mexico were held and governed by the United States, we could effectually prevent these tribes from committing such outrages, and compel them to release these cap-tives, and restore them to their families and friends.

In proposing to acquire New Mexico and the Californias, it was

known that but an inconsiderable portion of the Mexican people would be transferred with them, the country embraced within these

provinces being chiefly an uninhabited region.

These were the leading considerations which induced me to authorize the terms of piece sanchauere proposales. Mozime They were rejected, and, negotiations being at an end, hostilities were renewed. An assault was made by our gallant army upon the strongly fortified places near the city of Mexice and upon the city itself, and after several days of severe conflict. the Mexican forces—wately superior in numbers to our own—were driven from the city, and it was occupied by our troops.

the city, and it was occupied by our troops.
Immediately after information was received of the inflavorable
result of the negotiations, believing that his continued presence
with the army could be productive of no good. I determined to
recall our commissioner. A despatch to this effect was transmitted to him on the sixth of October last, The Mexican government will be informed of his recall; and that, in the existing state of things, I shall not deem it proper to make any further overtures of peace, but shall be at all times ready to receive and consider

of peace, but shall be at all times ready to receive and consider any proposals which may be made by Mexico.

Since the liberal proposition of the United States was authorized to be made in April last, large expenditures have been incurred, and the precious blood of many of our patriotic fellow-citizens has been shed in the prosecution of the war. This consideration, and the obstinate perseverance of Mexico in protracting the war, must authorize the terms of peace which it may be deemed proper hero-inducement the terms of peace which it may be deemed proper hero-

after to accept. Our arms having been everywhere victorious, having subjected to our military occupation a large portion of the enemy's country, including his capital, and negotiations for peace having failed, the increasing in supract, and negotiations for peace having much, the important questions arise, in what manner the war ought to be important questions arise, in what manner the war ought to be represented and what should be our future policy? I conquests which we show already made; and that, with this view, we should hold and occupy, by our moval and military forces, all the ports, of the peace have been already to the peace of the towns, cities, and provinces now in our occupation, or which may hereafter fall into our possession; that we should press forward our military operations, and levy such military contributions on the enemy, as may, as far as practicable, defray the future expen-ses of the war.

Had the government of Mexico acceded to the equitable and lib-Had the government of Mexico acceded to the equitable and lib-eral terms proposed, that mode of adjustment would have been preferred Mexico having declined to do this, and failed to offer any other terms which could be accepted by the United States, the national honor, no less than the public interests, requires that the war should be prosecuted with increased energy and power, until a just and satisfactory peace can be obtained. In the mean-time, as Mexico refuses all indemnity, we should adopt measures to indemnify ourselves, by appropriating permanently a portion of her territory. Early after the commencement of the way. New Mexico and the Californias were taken possession of by our forces. Our military and naval commanders were ordered to conquer and hold them, subject to be disposed of by a treaty of peace.

These provinces are now in our undisputed occumation, and have

hold them, subject to be disposed of by a treaty of pence. These provinces are now incur undispated occupation, and have been so for many months, all resistance on the part of Mexico having ceased within their limits. I am satisfied that they should never be surrendered to Mexico. Should Congress onemer with me in this opinion, and they should be retained by the United States as indemnity, I can perceive no good reason why the civil Jurisation of the Congress of the United States should not at once be exdiction and laws of the United States should not 'at once be ex-tended over them. To wait for a treaty of peace, such as we are willing to make, by which our relations towards them would not be changed, cannot be good policy; whilst our own interest, and that of the people inhabiting them, require that a stable, respon-sible and free government, under our authority; should, as soon as possible, be established over them. Should Congress, therefore, determine to hold these provinces permanently, and that they shall hereafter be considered as constituent parts of our country, the early establishment of territorial governments over them will be important for the more perfect projection of persons and property; I will aromote, neare and transmitted money the inhabitants has It will promote peace and tranquility among the inhabitants, by allaying all apprehension that they may still entertain of being again subjected to the jurisdiction of Mexico. I invite the early

again subjected to the purisdiction of Mexico. It invite the early the purisdiction of the California, there are other Mexica provinces which have been reduced to our possession by conquest. These other Mexican provinces are now governed by our military and nawal commanders, under the general authority which is conforred upon a conqueror by the laws of war. They should continue to be held as a means of coercing Mexico to accede to just terms of peace. Civil as well as military officers are required to conduct such a government. Adequate compensation, to be drawn from contributions levied on the enemy, should be fixed by law for such officers as may be thus employed. What further provision may become necessary, and what final disposition it may be proper to make to them, must depend on the future progress of the war, and the course which Mexico may think property hereafter to pursue.

perty hereafter to pursue.

With the views I entertain, I cannot favor the policy which has With the views I entertain, I cannot layor the pointy when has been suggested, either to withdraw our army altogether, or to retire to a designated line, and simply hold and defend it. To withdraw our army altogether from the complexts they have made by deeds of unparalleled bravery, and at the expense of so much blood and treasure, in a just war on our part, and one which, by the act of the enemy, we could not homorably have avoided, would be to degrade the nation in its own estimation and in that

of the world. To retire to a line, and simply hold and defend it, would not To retire to a line, and smply hold and defend it, would not terminate the war. On the contrary, it would encourage Mexico to persevere, and tend to protract it indefinitely. It is not to be expected that Mexico, after refusing to establish such a line as a permanent boundary, when our victorious army are in possession of her eapital, and in the heart of her country, would permit us to hold it without resistance. That she would continue the war, and in the most harrassing and ananying forms, there can be no doubt. in the most harrassing and manoying forms, there can be no doubt. A border warfare of the most savage character, extending over a long line, would be uneasingly wared. It would require a large army to be kept constantly in the field, stained at posts and garrisons along such a line, to protect and defend it. The enemy, relieved from the pressure of our arms on his coasts and in the populous parts of the interior, would direct his attention to this line, and, selecting an isolated post for attack, would concentrate his forces upon it. This would be a condition of affairs which the Mexicans, pursuing their favorite system of guerrila warfare, feasive attitude on such a line, all the advantages of such a state of war would be on the side of the enemy. We could levy ne contributions upon him, or in any other way make him feel the pressure of the war, but must remain inactive and await his appressure of the war, but must remain inactive and await his approseth, being in constant uncertainty at what point on the line, or at what time, he might make an assault. He may assemble and organize an overwhelming force in the interior, on his own and organize an overwhelming force in the interior, on his own side of the line, and, conceding his purpose, make a sudden assault upon some one of our posts so distant from any other as to prevent the possibility of timely succor or reinforcement; and in this way our gallant army would be exposed to the dangers of being cut off in detail; or if, by their unequalled bravery and prowess everywhere exhibited during this war, they should repulse the enemy, their numbers stationed at any one post may be too small to pursue him. If the enemy be repulsed in one attack, he would

have nothing to do but to retreat to his own side of the line, and, have nothing to do but to retreat to his own side of the line, and, being in no lear of a pursuing army, may reinforce himself at leisure, for another attack on the same or some other post. He may, too, eross the line between our posts, make rapid meursions into the country which we hold, murder the inhabitants, commit depredations on them, and then retreat to the interior before a depredations on them, and then retreat to the interior before a sufficient force can be concentrated to pursue him. Such would probably be the harrassing character of a mere defensive war on our part. If our forces, when attacked, or threatened with attack, be permitted to cross the line, drive back the enemy, and conquer him, this would be again to invade the enemy's country, after having lost all the advantages of the conquests we have already made by having voluntarily abandoned them. To hold such a line successfully and in security, it is far from being certain that it would not require as large an army as would be necessary that it would not require as large an army as would be necessary to hold all the conquests we have already made, and to continue the prosecution of the war in the heart of the enemy's country. It is also far from being certain that the expenses of the war would be diminished by such a p.dley. I am persuaded that the best means of vindicating the national I am persuaded that the best means of vindicating the national honor and alterest, and of bringing the war to an homorable close, will be to prosecute it with increased energy and power in the vital

will be to prosecute it with increased energy and power in the vital parts of the enemy's country.

In my annual message to Congress of December last, I declared that "the war had not been waged with a view to conquest; but having been commenced by Mexico, it has been carried into the chemy's country, and will be vigorously prosecuted there, with a view to obtain an honorable pence, and thereby secure ample indemnity for the expenses of the war, as well as to our much in-indemnity for the expenses of the war, as well as to our much inindemnity for the expenses of the war, as well as to our much in-jured citizens, who hold large pecuniary demands against Mexico." Such, in my judgment, continues to be our true policy—indeed, the only policy which will probably secure a permanent peace.

the only policy which will probably secure a permanent peace. It has never been centemplated by me, as an object of the war, to make a permanent conquest of the republic of Mexico, or to nanibilate her separate existence as an independent nation. On the centrary, it has ever been my desire that she should maintain ber continuously, and, under a good government, adapted to be recondition, be a free, independent, and prosperous republic. The United States were the first among the nations to recognize her independence, and have always desired to be on terms of anity and good eneighborhood with her. This she would not suffer. By her own conditions were also also the conditions where the present war. In this prosperior, we seek not to overthrow her as a nation but in to the control of the could be obtained.

Whilst our armies have advanced from victory to victory, from wants our armies nave actuacted from vetory to vectory, from the commencement of the war, it has a laways been with the olive branch of peace in their hands; and it has been in the power Mexico, at every step, to arrest hostilities by accepting with One great obstacle to the attainment of peace has, undoubtedly, arisen from the fact, that Musico has been so long held in subjec-

tion by one faction or military usurper after annifer, and assume the continuous been the condition of insecurity in which their successive governments have been placed, that each has been deterred from making peace, lest, for this very cause, a rival faction might expel it from power. Such was the fate of President Herrera's administration in 1843, for being disposed oven to listen, to the overtures of the United States to prevent the war, as is fully confirmed by an official correspondence which took place in the month of August last cial correspondence which took place in the month of August last, between him and his government, a copy of which is herewith communicated. "For this cause alone, the revolution which displaced him from power was set on foot" by General Pareless. Such may be the condition of insecurity of the present government. There can be no doubt that the peaceable and well-disposed inhabitants of Mexico are convinced that it is the true interest of their country to conclude an knownable peace with the United States; but the apprehension of becoming the victims of some mil-

States; but the apprehension of becoming the vietims of some mil-itary faction or usurper may have prevented them from manifesting their feelings by any public act. The removal of any such appre-bension would probably cause tien to speak their sentiments freely, and to adopt the measures necessary for the restoration of peach With a people distracted and divided by contending factions, and a government subject to constant obanges, by successive revolutions, the continued successes of our arms may fall to secure a satisfactothe continued successes of our arms may fail to secure a satisfactor yr peace. In such event, it may become proper for our commanding generals in the field to give encouragement and assurances of protection to the frends of peace in Mexico in the establishment and maintenance of a free republican government of their own choice, able and willing to conclude a peace which would be just to them, and secure to us the indemnity we demand. This may become the only mode of obtaining such a peace. Should such be the result, the war which Mexico has forced upon ns would thus be converted into an enduring blessing to herself. After finding her torn and distracted by factions, and ruled by military usurpers, we should then leave her with a republican government, in the enjoyment of real independence, and domestic peace and prosperity, performing all her relative duties in the great family of nations, and promoting her own happiness by wise laws and their faitbful execution.

execution.

If, after affording this encouragement and protection, and after all the persevering and sincere efforts we have made, from the moment Mexice commenced the war, and prior to that time, to

adjust our differences with her, we shall ultimately fail, then we shall have exhansted all honorable means in pursuit of peace, and must continue to occupy her country with our troops, taking the fall measure of indemnity into our own hands, and must caforce

the terms which our honor demands. To act otherwise, in the existing state of things in Mexico, and

To ace otherwise, in the existing state of things in Mexico, and to withdraw our army without a pence, would not only leave all the wrongs of which we complain uncorderesed, but would be the signal for new and fierce evid dissensors and new revolutions—all alike hostile to peaceful relations with the United States.

Besides, there is danger, if our tropps were withdrawn before a peace was concluded, that the Mexican people, wearied with successive revolutions, and deprived of protection for their persons and property, might at length be inclined to yield to foreign in the person of t

never consent that article should be thus converted by a foreign prince.

archig governed by a foreign prince.

The prince of th

It may be that the Mexican government and people bave mis-construct or misunderstood our forbearance, and our objects, in construct or misingerstood our probability of the existing differ-ences between the two countries. They may have supposed that desiring to conclude an amienthe adjustment of the existing differ-enses between the two countries. They may have supposed that we would solute the two countries. They may have supposed that we would solute the contribution of the countries of the countries of the international countries. The countries of the countries of the international countries of the countries of the countries of the might ultimately abandon it altogether, without insisting on any indemnity, territorial or otherwise. Whatever may be the false impressions under which they have acted, the adoption and pross-

untion of the energetic policy proposed must soon undeceive them.

In the future prosecution of the war, the enemy must be made to feel its pressure more than they have heretofore done. At its to feel its pressure more than tuny nave necrotoric done. At its commencement, it was deemed project to conduct it in a spirit of were adopted to conciliate, as far as a state of war would permit, the mass of the Mexican population; to convince them that the war was waged not against the peaceful inhabitants of Mexica, but against their faithless government, which had commenced hostilities; to remove from their minds the false impressions which their designing and interested rulers had artfully attempted to make, that the war on our part was one of conquest; that it was a war against their religion and their churches, which were to b desecrated and everthrown; and that their rights of person and private property would be violated. To remove these false im-pressions, our commanders in the field were directed scrupplously to respect their religion, their churches, and their church property, which were in no manner to be violated; they were directed also, to respect the rights of persons and property of all who should not take take up arms against us.

Assurances to this effect were given to the Mexican people by

Major General Taylor, in a proclamation issued in pursuance of Stagio Consider Ayror, in a procadiminon issued in pursuance of instructions from the Secretary of War, in the month of June. 1846, and again by Major General Scott, who acted upon his own convictions of the propriety of issuing it, in a proclamation of the 11th of May, 1847.

Hith of May, 1847.

In this spirit of liberality and conciliation, and with a view to prevent the body of the Mexican population from taking up arms against us, war the war conducted on our part. Provisions and other supplies famished to our army by Mexican citizens were paid for at fair and liberal prices agreed upon by the parties. After the lapse of a few mouths, it became apparent that these assurances, and this mild treatment, had failed to produce the desired ances, and this mild treatment, had failed to produce the desired conducted on our part according to the most humane and liberal principles observed by evillized nations, it was waged in a far different spirit on the part of Mexico. Not appreciating our for beharance, the Mexican people generally became hostile to the principles observed by eviving mattons, a was principles observed by eviving matton of Mexico. Not appreciating our forferent spirit on the part of Mexico. In explicit generally became thost lie to the Daited States, and availed themselves of every opportunity to combine the matter of the principles. Large numbers of United States, and availed inconserves of early Appendix mit the most savage excesses upon our troops. Large numbers of the population took up arms, and, engaging in gnerilla warfare, robbed and murdered in the most erael manner, individual soldiers, robbed and intrudered in the most cruet manner, maryaman sources, or small parties, whom accident or other causes had separated from the main body of our army; bands of guerilleros and robbers infested the roads, barassed our trains, and, whenever it was in

their power, eat off our supplies.

The Mexicans having thus shown themselves to be wholly in-

The Mexicans having thus shown themselves to be wholly in-enable of appreciating our forbearance and liberathy, it was deemed proper to change the manner of conducting the war, by making them feel its pressure necording to the masses observed under similar circumstances by all other civilized nations. Accordingly, as ently as the twenty-second was prepender, 1846, instructions were given by the Secretary of War to Major General Taylor to 'draw supplies' for our army 'from the enemy, with-out paying for them, and to require confinations for its support, if in that way he was satisfied the could get abundant supplies for his forces." In directing the execution of these instructions, much If it that way he was satisfied he could get adminiant supplies for his forces." In directing the execution of these instructions, much was accessarily left to the discretion of the commanding officer, who was best acquainted with the circumstances by which he was surrounded, the wants of the army, and the practicability of ea-

surrounded, in wants of the early, and the pseucotanty of ear foreing the measure.

General Taylor, on the transport of the form Monterey, that "it would have been impossible hitherto, and from Monterey, that "it would have been impossible hitherto, and its so now, to sustain the army to any extent by forced contribu-tions of money or supplies." For the reasons assigned by him, he did not adopt the policy that the properties of the surface of the readiness to deep so, "should the army, in the mode; or supply the reads a portion subject." He continued to pay for the arrivels of troops with a trace." He continued to pay for the arrivels of supply which were drawn from the enemy's country

supply which were drawn from the enemy's country.

Similar instructions were issued to Major General Scott on the
third of April, 1747, who replied from Jalapa, on the twentieth of
May, 1847, that if it be expected "that the army is to support
itself by forced contributions levied upon the country, we may ruin
and exasperante the inhabitants, and starve ourselves." The same
discretion was given to him that had been to General Taylor in
this respect. General Scott, for the reasons assigned by him, also
continued to pay for the articles of supply for the army which
were drawn from the enemy.

were drawn from the enemy.

After the army had reached the heart of the most wealthy por-After the anny had reached the heart of the most wearnly per-tion of Mexico, it was supposed that the obstacles which had be-fore that time prevented it would not be such as to render impracfore that the prevence is wonth not be seen as no renear jung of ticable the levy of forced contributions for its supports and on the first of September, and again on the sixth of October, 1877, 1867, order was repeated in despatches addressed by the Secretary of War to General Scott, and his attention was again called to the importance of making the enemy hear the burdens of the war by importance oil maxing the enemy near the burdens of the war by requiring them to furnish the means of supporting our army; and he was directed to adopt this policy, unless, by doing so, there was danger of depriving the army of the necessary supplies. Co-pies of these despatches were forwarded to General Taylor for his

On the thirty-first of March last, I eaused an order to be issued to our military and naval commanders to levy and collect a military contribution upon all vessels and merchandize which might enter any of the ports of Mexico in our military occupation, and to apply such contributions towards defraying the expenses of the war. By virtue of the right of conquest and the laws of war, the conqueror, consulting his own safety or convenience, may either the conqueror, consulting his own safety or convenience, may either exclude foreign commerce altogether irom all such ports, or permit it upon such terms and conditions as he may preserble. Before the princepal ports of Mexico were blockaded by our navy, the revenue derived from impost duties, under the laws of Mexico, was paid into the Mexican treasury. After these ports had fallen into our military possession, the blockade was raised, and commerce with them permitted upon prescribed terms and conditions. They were opened to the trade of all nations upon the payment of duties more moderate in their amount than those which had of duties more inductrate in their amount than those winds and been previously levied by Mexico; and the revenue, which was formerly paid into the Mexican treasury, was directed to he collected by our military and naval officers, and applied to the use of our army and navy. Care was taken that the officers soldiers and callarmy and navy. Care was taken that the onlines solution and any on day should be exempted from the operations of the order; and as the merchandize imported upon which the order operated must be consumed by Mexican citizens, the contributions exacted were, in effect, the seizure of the public revenues of Mexico, and the application of them to our own use. In directing this measure, the object was to compel the enemy to contribute, as far as pragitable, towards the expenses of the war. For the anomat of contribute have been levied in this

For the amount of contributions which have been levied in this form, I refer you to the accompanying reports of the Secretary of War and of the Secretary of the Navy, by which it appears that a sum exceeding half a million of dollars has been collected.

This amount would undoubtedly have been much larger but for

the difficulty of keeping open communications between the coast and the interior, so as to enable the owners of the merchandize imported to transport and yend it to the inhabitants of the country It is confidently expected that this difficulty will, to a great extent, be soon removed by our increased forces which have been sent to

Measures have recently been adopted by which the internal as well as the external revenues of Mexico, in all places in our mili-tary occupation, will be seized and appropriated to the use of our

army and navy.

The policy of levying upon the caemy contributions in every form, consistently with the laws of nations, which it may be preciteable for our military commanders to udopt, should, in my judgment, be rigidly enforced, and orders to this effect have accord-ingly been given. By such a policy, at the same time that our own treasury will be relieved from a heavy drain, the Mexican people will be made to feel the burdens of the war, and, consulting heir own interests, may be induced the more readily to require their rulers to accede to a just peace.

After the adjournment of the last session of Congress, events transpired in the presecution of the war which, in my judgment, required a greater number of troops in the field than had been an ticipated. The strength of the army was therefore increased by "accepting" the services of all the volunteer forces authorized by the act of the thirteenth of May, 1846, without putting a constructhe act of the intreasured of May, 1530, without putting a construc-tion on that act, the correctness of which was scriously ques-tioned. The volunteer forces now in the field, with those which had been "necepted," to "serve for twelve months," and were dis-charged at the end of their term of service, exhaust the fifty thousand men authorized by that act. Had it been clear that a preper construction of the act warranted it, the services of an additional

number would have been called for and accepted; hut doubts ex-

tiling upon this point, the power was not exercised.

It is deemed important that Congress should, at an early period of their session, confer the authority to raise an additional regular force to serve during the war with Mexico, and to be discharged upon the conclusion and ratification of a treaty of peace. the attention of Congress to the views presented by the Secretary of War in his report upon this subject.

I recommend, also, that authority be given by law to eall for and accept the services of an additional number of volunteers, to be exercised at such time and to such extent as the emergencies of

the service may require.

In proscenting the war with Mexico, whilst the utmost care has been taken to avoid every just cause of complaint on the part of mentral nations, and none has been given, liberal privileges have been granted to their commerce in the ports of the enemy in our

military occupation.

The difficulty with the Brazilian government, which at one time threatened to interrupt the friendly relations between the two countries, will, I trust, be speedily adjusted. I have received information that an envoy extraordinary and minister plenipotentia-ry to the United States will shortly be appointed by his Iranerial Note Ontee of states with sourcey be appointed by instructed and prepared to adjust all remaining differences between the two governments in a manner acceptable and honorable to both. In the mean time, I have every reason to believe that nothing will occur to interrupt

our amicable relations with Brazil.

It has been my constant effort to maintain and cultivate the most intimate relations of friendship with all the independent powers of South America; and this policy has been attended with the happiest results. It is true, that the settlement and payment of many just elaims of American citizens against these nations have been The peculiar position in which they have been pla ced, and the desire of ced, and the desire on the part of my predecessors, as well as my-self, to grant them the utmost indulgence, have hitherto prevented these claims from being urged in a manner demanded by strict justice. The time has arrived when they ought to be finally adjusted and liquidated, and efforts are now making for that purpose.

It is proper to inform you that the government of Peru has in

paid the first two instalments of the indemnity of thirty thousand dollars each, and the greater portion of the interest due thereon, in excention of the convention between that government and the United States, the ratifications of which were exchanged at Lima, on the thirty-first of October, 1846. The Attorney General of the United States, early in August last, completed the adjudication of the claims under this convention, and made his report thereon, in pursuance of the act of the 8th of August, 1846. The sums to which the elaimants are respectively entitled will be paid

on demand at the treasury.

I invite the early attention of Congress to the present condition of our citizens in China. Under our treaty with that power, American citizens are withdrawn from the jurisdiction, whether civil American entizens are witnerawn from the jurisaction, whether civil or criminal, of the Chinese government, and placed under that of our public functionaries in that country. By these alone can our citizens be tried and punished for the commission of any crime; by these alone can questions be decided between them, involving the rights of persons and property; and by these alone can contracts be enforced, into which they may have entered with the citizens or subjects of foreign powers. The merchant vessels of the United States lying in the waters of the five ports of China, open to fo-States typing in the waters to the live points of China, open to 10-reign commerce, are under the exclusive jurisdiction of officers of their own government. Until Congress shall establish competent tribunals to try and punish crimes, and to exercise jurisdiction in eivil cases in China, American citizens there are subject to no law whatever. Crimes may be committed with impunity, and debts may be contracted without any means to enforce their payment .-Inconveniences have already resulted from the omission of Congress to legislate upon the subject, and still greater are apprehended. The British authorities in China have already complained that this government has not provided for the punishment of crimes, or the enforcement of contracts against American citizens in that country, whilst their government has established tribunals by which an American eitizen ean recover debts due from British subjects.

Accustomed as the Chinese are to summary justice, they could not be made to comprehend why criminals who are citizens of the not be made to comprehend why erminats who are citizens of the United States should escape with impunity, in violation of treaty obligations, whilst the punishment of a Chineso who had committed any erime agaist an American citizen, would be rigorously exacted. Indeed, the consequences might be fatal to American citizens. acred. Indeed, the consequences might be farant to American enti-zens in China, should a flagrant crime be committed by any one of them upon a Chinese, and should trial and punishment not follow according to the requisitions of the treaty. This might disturb, if not destroy, our friendly relations with that empire, and cause an

interruption of our valuable commerce.

Our treaties with the sublime Porte, Tripoli, Tunis, Moroeco, and Museat, also require the legislation of Congress to carry them into execution, though the necessity for immediate action may not be so urgent as in regard to China.

The Secretary of State has submitted an estimate to defray the expenses of opening diplomatic relations with the Papal States. The interesting political events now in progress in these States, as well as a just tregard to our commercial interests, have, in my opinion, rendered such a measure highly expedient.
Estimates have also been submitted for the outfits and salaries

of Charges d'Affaires to the republics of Bolivia, Guatema, and

Ecuador. The manifest importance of cultivating the most friendly relations with all the independent States upon this continent has induced me to recommend appropriations accessary for the maintenance of these missions.

nance of these missions,

I recommend to Congress that an appropriation be made, to be
paid to the Spanish government for the purpose of distribution
among the claimants in "the Amistad ease." I entertain the coaviction that this is due to Spain under the treaty of the twentieth October, 1795; and moreover, that, from the earnest manner in which the claim continues to be urged, so long as it shall remain unsettled, it will be a source of irritation and discord between the unsectively it will be a source of irritation and discord between the two countries, which may prove highly prejudicial to the interests of the United States. Good policy, no less than a faithful compliance with our treaty obligations, requires that the inconsiderable appropriation demanded should be made.

A detailed statement of the condition of the finances will be presented in the annual report of the Secretary of the Treasury.— The imports for the last fiscal year, ending on the thirtieth of June, 1847, were of the value of one hundred and forty-six million five hundred and forty-five thousand six hundred and thirty-eight dollars; of which the amount exported was eight million eleven thousand one hundred and fifty-eight dollars, leaving one hundred and thirty-eight million five hundred and thirty-four thousand four hundred and eighty dollars in the country for domestic use. The va-lue of the exports for the same period was one hundred and fiftysix hundred and forty-eight thousand six hundred and twenty-two dollars; of which one hundred and fifty million six hundred and thirty-seven thousand four hundred and sixty-four dollars consisted of domestic productions, and eight million eleven thousand one hundred and fifty-eight dollars of foreign articles.

The receipts into the treasury for the same period amounted to weaty-six million three hundred and forty-six thousand seven hundred and ninety dollars and thirty-seven cents, of which there was derived from customs twenty-three million seven hundred and forty-derived from customs twenty-three million seven hundred and forty-seven thousand eight hundred and sixty-six cents; from sales of public lands, two million four hundred and ninety-eight thousand three hundred and thirty-five dollars and twenty cents; and from incidental and miscellaneous sources, one hundred thousand five hundred and seventy dollars and fifty. one eents. The last fiscal year during which this amount was received embraced five months under the operation of the tariff act of '42 and seven moths during which the tariff act of 1846 was in force. During the five months under the act of 1842, the amount received frem eustoms was seven million eight hundred and forty-two thou-sand three hundred and six dollars and ninety cents, and during the seven months under the act of 1846 the amount received was fifteen million nine hundred and five thousand five hundred and fifty-seven dollars and seventy-six cents.

The nett revenue from customs during the year ending on the The next revenue from customs during the year enough on the first of December, 1846, being the last year under the operation of the tariff act of 1842, was twenty-two million nine hundred and seventy-one thousand four hundred and three dollars and ten cents; and the nett revenue from enstoms during the year ending on the first of December, 1847, being the first year under the operation of the tariff act of 1846, was about thirty-one million five hundred of the tariff act of 1946, was about thirty-one million five hundred thousand dollars; being an increase of revenue for the first year under the tariff of 1846 of more than eight million five hundred thousand dollars over that of the last year under the tariff of 1842. The expenditures during the fiscal year ending on the thirtieth of June last were fifty-niem million four hundred and fifty one thou-

sand one hundred and seventy-seven dollars and sixty-five cents; of which three million tive hundred and twenty-two thousand and of which firste miniowave numered and twenty-two thousand and eighty-two dollars and thirty-seven eents was on account of pay-ment of principal and interest of the public debt, including trea-sury notes redeemed and not funded. The expenditures, exclasive of payment of public debt, were fifty-twe million nine hundred and twenty-nine thousand and ninety-live dollars and twenty-eight

It is estimated that the receipts into the treasury for the fiscal year ending on the thirtieth of June, 1848, including the balance in the treasury on the first of July last, will amount to forty-two million eight hundred and eighty-six thousand five hundred and forty-five dollars and eighty cents, of which thirty one million, it is estimated, will be derived from customs; three million five hundred thouted, will be derived from customs; three million five bundred thousand from the sale of the pubble lands; lour bundred thousand from incidental sources, including sales made by the Solicitor of the Treasury; and six million two bundred and eighty-five thousand two bundred and ninety-four dollars and fifty-five cents from loans already authorized by law, which, together with the balance in the treasury on the first of July last, make the sum estimated.

The expenditures for the same period, if peace with Mexico shall not be concluded, and the army shall be increased as is prosoal not be continued, and the army same be increased as is pro-posed, will amount, including the necessary payments on account of principal and interest of the public debt and treasury notes, to fifty-eight million six hundred and fifteen thousand six hundred and

sixty dollars and seven cents.

Saxy donars and seven cents.
On the first of the present month, the amount of the public debt
actually incurred, including treasury notes, was forty-five million
six hundred and fifty-nine thousand six hundred and fifty-nine dollars and forty cents. The public debt due on the fourth of March, 1845, including treasury notes, was seventeen million seven hun-dred and eighty-eight thousand seven hundred and ninety-nine holthere and eight veight thousand seven hundred and ninety-nine hol-lars and sixty-two cents; and consequently the addition made to the public debt since that time is twenty-seven million eight hun-dred and seventy thousand eight hundred and fifty-nine dollars and Of the loan of twenty-three millions, authorized by the act of the twenty-eighth of January, 1847, the sum of five millions was paid out to the public ereditors, or exchanged in t par for specie; the remaining eighteen millions was offered for specie to the highest bidder not below pur, by an advertisement issued by the Secretary of the Treasury, and published from the minth of February multi the tenth of April, 1847, when it was narrobed to the several

until the tenth of April, 1847, when it was awarded to the several highest bidders, at premiums varying from one-eighth of one per cent, to two per cent, above par. The premium has been paid into the treasury, and the sums awarded deposited in specie in the treasury as fast as it was required by the wants of the government. To meet the expenditures for the remainder of the present and for the next fiscal year, ending on the thieriteth of June, 1849, a further loan, in ad of the ordinary revenues of the government will be necessary. Retaining a suffer of the present fiscal year will be loan reighteen million from hundred thousand dollars. If the day on tea and coffee be imposed, and the graduation of the price of the public land shall be made at an early veried of vour seasons. the public lands shall be made at an early period of your ses-m, as recommended, the loan for the present liseal year may be luced to seventeen millions of dollars. The loan may be further sion, as recommended, the loan for the present fuscal year may be reduced to seventeen millions of dollars. The loan may be further reduced by whatever amount of expenditures can be saved by military contributions collected in Mexico. The most vigorous measures for the augmentation of these contributions have been direct. ed, and a very considerable sum is expected from that source. Its amount cannot, however, be calculated with any certainty. It is recommended that the loan to be made be authorized upon the same terms, and for the same time, as that which was authorized under the provisions of the act of the twenty-eighth of January.

Should the war with Mexico be continued until the thirtieth of June, 1849, it is estimated that a further loan of twenty million five hundred thousand dollars will be required for the fiscal year ending on that day, in case no duty be imposed on tea and coffee, ated in price, as proposed, the loan may be reduced to seventeen millions of dollars, and will be subject to be still further reduced amount of the military contributions which may be collect ed in Mexico. It is not proposed, however, at present, to ask Congress for authority to negotiate this loan for the next fiscal Congress of an artifact fire loom when how no makes the in-pressor fissel year, aided by military contributions which may be collected in Mexico, may be sufficient. If, contrary to my expe-tation, there should be a necessity for it, the fact will be commu-nicated to Congress in time for their action during the present ses-sion. In no event will a sum exceeding six unilinous of dollars of this amount be needed before the meeting of the session of Con-

gress in December, 1848.

The act of the thirtieth of July, 1846, "reducing the duties on imports," has been in force since the first of December Is am gratified to state, that all the beneficial effects wife last ; and I am gratified to state, that all the beneficial cities wit." were an-treipated from its operation have been fully realized. The public revenue derived from customs during the year cadang on the first of December, 1847, exceeds by more than eight millions of dollars the amount received in the preceding year under the operation of the act of 1842, which w supersoled and repealed by it. Its

the act of 1842, which w supersocled and repealed by it. Its effects are visible in the \_reat and almost unexampled prosperity which prevails in every branch of business. While the repeal r , the profulbitory and gestrictive duties of the act of 1842, and the substitution in their place at reasonable reve-mentance, it on articles imported according to their actual val-ue, has inervised the revenue and augmented our forcing trade, all the great increases of the country have been advanced and pro-

The great and important interests of agriculture, which had The great and important interests of agriculture, which had been not only too much neglected, but actually taxed mader the protective policy for the benefit of other interests, have been re-lieved of the bandens which that policy imposed on them; and our farmers and planters, under a more just and liberal commercial policy, are finding new and profituble markets abroad for their

augmented products.

Our commerce is rapidly increasing, and is extending more widely the circle of international exchanges. Great as has been the increase of our imports during the past year, our exports of domestic products sold in foreign markets have been still greater.

domestic products sold in foreign markets have been still greater. Our navigating interest is eminently prosperous. The number of vessels built in the United States has been greater than during any preceding period of equal length. Lurge profits have been derived by those who have constructed, as well as by those who have navigated them. Should the ratio of increase in the number of our merchant vessels be progressive, and be as great for the future as during the past year, the time is not distant when our tonnange and commercial marine will be larger than that of any contact when the product of the other nation in the world.

Whilst the interests of agriculture of commerce, and of naviga tion have been enlarged and invigorated, it is highly gratifying to tion have been enlarged and myigorated, it is highly gratifying to observe that our manufactors are also in a prosperous condition. None of the rumous effects upon this interest, which were appre-hended by some, as the result of the operation of the revenue sys-tem established by the act of 1846, have been experienced. On the contravt, the number of munifactories, and the amount of cap-ital invisced in them; is steadily and rapidly increasing, affording the stead of the stead of the stead of the stead of the stead which is the stead of the stead of the stead of the stead of the bit branch of domestic industry, with, we and skill employed in this branch of domestic industry, with no other advantages than those fairly and incidentally accruing from a just system of revenue duties, are abundantly able to meet successfully all competition from abroad, and still derive fair and remunerating profits.

While capital invested in manufactures is yielding adequate and fair profits under the new system, the wages of labor, whether employed in manufactures, agriculture, commerce, or navigation, employed in manufactures, agriculture, commerce, or hargaton, have been augmented. The tolling millions, whose daily labor fornishes the supply of food and raiment, and all the necessaries and comforts of life, are receiving higer wages, and more steady and permanent employment, than in any other country, or at any

previous period of our own history.

So successful have been all branches of our industry, that a foreign war, which generally diminishes the resources of a nation, has in no essential degree retarded our onward progress, or checked

m no essential objective.

With such gratifying evidences of prosperity, and of the successful operation of the revenue act of 1846, overy consideration of public policy recommends that it shall remain unchanged. It hoped that the system of impost duties which it established, may be regarded as the permanent policy of the country, and that the great interests affected by it may not again be subject to be inju-riously disturbed, as they have heretofore been, by frequent and sometimes sudden changes.

For the purpose of increasing the revenue, and without changing or modifying the rates imposed by the act of 1846, on the dutable articles embraced by its provisions, I again recommend to your lavorable consideration the expediency of levying a revenue duty on tea and collee. The policy which exempted these articles from duty du-ring peace, and when the revenue to be derived from them was not ring please, and when the revenue or to derive from them was not needed, ceases to exist the return the country is engaged in war, and requires the use of all fits available resources. It is a tax white would be so generally diffused among the people, that it would be felt represervely by none, and he complained of by none. It is believed that there are not, in the list of imported articles, any which are more properly the subject of war duties than tea and coffee.

It is estimated that three millions of dollars would be derived

annually by a moderate duty imposed on these articles.

Should Congress avail itself of this additional source of revenue, not only would the amount of the public loan, rendered necessary by the war with Mexico, be diminished in extent, but the public of the government to meet all its engagements promptly, would be more firmly established, and the reduced amount of the loan, more firmly established, and the reduced amount of the loan, which it may be necessary to negotiate, could probably be obtained at cheaper rates

Congress is, therefore, called upon to determine whether it is wiser to impose the war duties recommended, or, by omitting to do so, increase the public debt annually three millions of dollars so long as loans shall be required to prosecute the war, and afterwards provide, in some other form, to pay the semi-annual interest upon it, and ultimately to extinguish the principal. If, in addition to these duties, Congress should graduate and reduce the price of such tures carries, Congress shound graduate and reduce the piece of such public lands as experience has proved will not command the price placed upon them by the government, an additional annual income to the treasury, of between half a million and a million of dollars, it is estimated, would be derived from this source. Should both measures receive the sanction of Congress, the annual amount of public debt necessary to be contracted during the continuance of the war, would be reduced nearly four milliona of dollars. duties recommended to be levied on tea and coffee, it is proposed source recommended to the freed on ten and ceitee, it is proposed, shall be limited in their duration to the close of the war, and until the public debt rendered necessary to be contracted by it, shall be discharged. The amount of the public debt to be centracted, should be limited to the lowest precluicable sum, and should be extracted, as early after the conclusion of the war as the nearms of

With this view, it is recommended that, as soon as the war shall be over, all the surplus in the treasury, not needed for other indispensable objects, shall constitute a sinking fund, and be applied to the purchase of the funded debt, and that authority be conferred

The purchase of the August, 1846, "to establish a ware-by law for that purpose.

The act of the sixth of August, 1846, "to establish a ware-housing system," has been in operation more than a year, and has proved to be an important auxiliary to the tariff set of 1846, in proved to be an important auxiliary to the tariff set of 1846, in augmenting the revenue and extending the commerce of the coun-ry. Whilst it has tended to enlarge commerce, it has been benefi-cial to our manifestures, by diminishing forcets sales at acaction of foreign goods at low prices, to ruse the duties to be advanced on them, and by checking fluctuations in the market. The system, although sanctioned by the experience of other countries, was en-tirely new in the United States, and is susceptible of improvement in some of its provisions. The Secretary of the Trensery, upon whom was devolved large discretionary powers in earrying this measure into effect, has collected and is now collecting, the practical results of the system in other countries, where it has long been established, and will report at an early period of your session been established, and will report at an early period of your session such farther regulations suggested by the investigation as may ren-der it still more effective and beneficial. By the act 't to provide for the better organization of the treasu-ry, and for the collection, safe-keeping, and disbursement of the

public revenue," all banks were discontinued as fiscal agents of the government, and the paper currency issued by them was no longer permitted to be received in payment of public dues.

The constitutional treasury created by this act went into opera-tion on the first of January last. Under the system established by it, the public moneys have been collected, safely kept, and dis-

bursed by the direct agency of officers of the government in gold and silver; and transfers of large amounts have been made from points of collection to points of disbursement, without loss to the treasury, or injury or inconvenience to the trade of the country. While the fiscal operations of the government have been conducted with regularity and ease under this system, it has had a saltraty effect in checking and preventing an undue inflation of the paper currency issued by the banks which exist under State Carters. Requiring, as it does, all dues to the government to be paid in gold and silver, its effect is to restrain excessive issues of bank mare by the banks disreproprious of the specie in their bank paper by the banks disproportioned to the specie in their vaults, for the reason that they are at all times liable to be called on by the holders of their notes for their redemption, in order to on by the holders of their notes for their recemption, in order to obtain specie for the payment of duties and other public dues. The banks, therefore, must keep their business within prudent limits, and be always in a condition to meet such calls, or ran the hazard of being compelled to suspend specie payments, and be thereby discredited. The amount of specie imported into the United States during the last fiscal year, was twenty-four millions one hundred and twenty-one thousand two hundred and eighty-nine dollars; of which there was retained in the country twenty-two mil-lion two hundred and seventy-six thousand one hundred and sevennon two nundred and seventy-six thousand one handred and seven-ry dollars. Had the former financial system prevailed, and the public moneys been placed on deposite in the banks, nearly the whole of this amount would have gone into their vaults, not to be thrown into circulation by them, but to be withheld from the hands thrown into circulation by them, but to be withheld from the hands of the people as a currency, and made the basis of new and enor-mous issues of bank paper. A large proportion of the specie im-ported has been paid into the treasury for public dues; and after having been to a great extent, recound at the mint, has been paid out to the public creditors and gone into circulation as a currency among the people. The amount of gold and silver coin now in circulation in the country is larger than at any former period.

The financial system established by the constitutional treasury has been, thus far, eminently successful in its operations: and I re-commend an adherence to all its essential provisions, and especially to that vital provision which wholly separates the government from all connexion with banks, and excludes bank paper from all

revenue receipts.

revenue receipts.

In some of its details, not involving its general principles the system is defective, and will require modification. These defects, and such amendments as are deemed important, were set forth in the last annual report of the Secretary of the Treasury. These amendments are again recommended to the early and favorable consideration of Congress.

During the past year, the comage at the mint and its branches has exceeded twenty millions of dollars. This has consisted chiefly in converting the coins of forcign countries into American coin.

The largest amount of foreign coin imported has been received at New York; and if a branch mint were established at that city, all the foreign coin received at that port could at once be converted into our own coin, without the expense, risk, and delay of trans-porting it to the mint for that purpose, and the amount received

porting it to the mint for that purpose, and the amount received would be much larger.

Experience has proved that foreign coin, and especially foreign gold coin, will not circulate extensively as a currency among the people. The important measure of extending our specie circulation, both of gold and silver, and of diffusing it among the people, can only be effected by converting such foreign coin into American coin. I repeat the recommendation contained in my last annual message for the establishment of a branch of the mint of the United States.

message for the establishment of a branch of the mint of the Uni-ted States at the city of New York.

All the public lands which had been surveyed and were ready for market have been proclaimed for sale during the last year.—
The quantity offered and to be offered for sale, under proclama-tions issued since the first of January last, amounts to mine million one lundred and thirty-eight thousand five hundred and thirty-one acres. The prosperity of the western States and Territories in which these lands lie will be advanced by their speedy sale. By withdalibe them from weaker their growth and increase of from: which these lands lie will be advanced by their speedy sale. By withholding them from market, near growth and increase, of popu-lation would be retarded, while thousands of our enterprising and meritorious frontier population would be deprived of the opportu-nity of securing freeholds for themselves and families. But in ad-dition to the general considerations which rendered the cardy sale of these lands proper, it was a leading object at this time to de-rive as large a sum as possible from this source, and thus diminish, by that amount, the public loan rendered necessary by the existence of a foreign war.

It is estimated that not less than ten millions of acres of the

public lands will be surveyed and he in a condition to be proclaimed for sale during the year 1848.

lor sale during the year 1848. In my last annual message, I presented the reasons which, in my judgment, rendered it proper to graduate and reduce the price of such of the public lands as have remained unsold for long periods after they had been offered for sale at public anction. Many millions of acres of public lands lying within the limits of several of the Western States have been offered in the market,

and been subject to safe at persure entry for more than two mily years, and large quantities for more than thirty years, at the low-est price prescribed by the existing laws, and it has been found that they will not command that price. They must remain unsold and uncultivated for an indefinite period, unless the price demanded for them by the government shall be reduced. No satisfactory reason is perceived why they should be longer held at rates above their real value. At the present period an additional reason exists for adopting the measure recommended. When the country is engaged in a foreign war, and we must necessarily resort to loans, it would seem to be the dictate of wisdom that we should avail ourselves of all our resources, and thus limit the amount of the public indebtedness to the lowest possible sum. I recommend that the existing laws on the subject of pre-emp-

tion rights be amended and modified so as to operate prospectively, and to embrace all who may settle upon the public lands and make improvements upon them before they are surveyed, as well as afterwards; in all cases where such settlements may be made after

the Indian title shall have been extinguished.

If the right of pre-emption be thus extended, it will embrace If the right of pre-emption be thus extended, it will embrace a large and meritorious class of our citizens. It will increase the number of freeholders upon our borders, who will be enabled thereby to educate their children and otherwise improve their condition, while they will be found at all times, as they have ever proved while they will be found at all times, as they have ever proved themselves to be, in the hour of danger to their country, among our hardiest and best volunteer soldiers, ever ready to tender their services in cases of emergency, and among the last to leave the field as long as an enemy remains to be encountered. Such a policy will also impress these patriotic pioneer emigrants with deep-or feelings of gratitude for the parental care of their government, when they find their dearest interests secured to them by the permanent laws of the land, and that they are no longer in danger of losing their homes and hard-earned improvements by being brought into competition with a more wealthy class of purchasers at the

The attention of Congress was invited, at their last and preceding sessions, to the importance of establishing a Territorial govding sessions, to the importance of establishing a Territorial government over our passessions in Oregon; and it is to be regretted that there was no legislation on the subject. Our citizens who inhabit that distant region of country are still left without the protection of our laws, or any regularly organized government. Before the question of limits and boundaries of the territory of Oregon was definitely settled, from the necessity of their condition, own. Ecsides the want of legal authority for continuing such a government, it is wholly inadequate to protect them in their rights of person and property, or to secure to them the enjoyment of the government, it is wholly inadequate to protect them in their rights of person and property, or to secure to them the enjoyment of the privileges of other citizens, to which they are entitled under the Constitution of the United States. They should have the right of suffrage, be represented in a Territorial legislature, and by a delegate in Congress; and posses all the rights and privileges which citizens of other portions of the Territorials for the United States have hereafter enjoyed, or may now enjoyed. All the protections of the territorial control of the territorial control of the territorial control of the territorial control of the United States have hereafter enjoyed, or may now enjoyed. The state of the United States when the control of the territorial control of the United States and the United States and the Control of the United States are the United States and the United States and the United States are the United States and the United States and the United States are the United States and the United States and the United States are the United States and the United States are the United States and the United States and the United States and the United States are the United States and the United States are

generally, should be extended over them.

In addition to the inhabitants in that territory who had previously emigrated to it, large numbers of our citizens have followed them during the present year; and it is not doubted that during the next and subsequent years their numbers will be greatly in-

creascu, at its last session, established post routes leading to Oregon, and between different points within that territory, and authorized the establishment of post offices at "Astoria and such other places on the coasts of the Pacific, within the territory of the United States, as the public interests may require." Post offices United States, as the public interests may require. Fost omees have accordingly been established, deputy postmasters appointed, and provision made for the transportation of the mails. The preservation of peace with the Indian tribes residing west of the Rocky mountains will render it proper that authority should be given by law for the appointment of an adequate number of In-

n agents to reside among them.

dian agents to reside among them.
I recommend that a surveyor general's office be established in that territory, and that the public lands be surveyed and brought into market at an early period.
I recommend, also, that grants, upon liberal terms, of limited qualities of the public lands be made to all citizens of the United States who have emigrated, or may hereafter within a prescribed period emigrate, to Oregon, and settle upon them. These hardy and adventurous citizens, who have encountered the dangers and privations of a long and toilsome journey, and have at length found an abiding-place for themselves and their families upon the utmost verze of our western limits, should be secured in the homes which verge of our western limits, should be secured in the homes which they have improved by their labor.

I refer you to the accompanying report of the Secretary of Wr for a detailed account of the operations of the various branches of the public service connected with the department under his charge. The duties devolving on this department have been unusually one-cous and responsible during the past year, and have been discharged with ability and success.

Pacific relations continue to exist with the various Indian tribes Pacific relations continue to exist with the various Indian tribes, and most of them manifest a strong friendship for the United States. Some depredations were committed during the past year upon our trains transporting supplies for the army, on the road between the western border of Missouri and Sonta Fe. These depredations, which are supposed to have been committed by bands from the region of New Mexico, have been arrested by the presence of a militure frace, exhected and for that myrouse. Some autreage have gion of New Mexico, have been arrested by the presence of a ma-tary force, ordered out for that purpose. Some outrages have been perpetrated by a portion of the northwestern bands upon the weaker and comparatively defenceless neighboring tribes. Prompt measures were taken to prevent such occurrences in future.

Between one or two thousand Indians, belonging to several tribes, have been removed during the past year, from the east of the Mississippi to the country allotted to them west of that river,

their permanent home, and orrange, and s have been made for

Since the treaty in 1846, with the Cherokees, the feuds among Since the treaty in 1840, Will the Cheroscots, the femal saidors them appear to have subsided, and they have become more united at portented than they have been for many years past. The commissioners, appointed in pursuance of the act of June 27th, 1846, to settle claums arising under the trenty of 1835–36 with that tribe, have executed their duties; and after patient investigation, and a full and fair examination of all the cases brought before them closed their blacks in the mouth of July last. This is the and a tull and hie examination of all the cases brough before them, closed their labors in the month of July last. This is the bourth board of commissioners which has been organized under this verty. Ample opportunity has been alforded to all those inter-ested to bring forward their claims. No doubt is entertained that maparial justice has been done by the late board, and that said claims embraced by the treaty have been considered and allowed. This result and the limit settlement to be made with this tribe, under the treaty of 1846, which will be completed and dad before you drawer your session, will allost all mentions of con-

this tribe, under the treaty of 1846, which will be completed and lad before you during your session, will adjust all questions of concoversy between them and the United States, and produce a state of relations with them simple, well-defined, and satisfactory. Under the discretionary authority conferred by the act of the bland of March dat, the summaries due to the various tribes have seen paid during the present wor to the heart of foundings instead on their elberts or such persons as they might designate, as required on the law previously (with the product of the prod

senteral an inherion to the great holy of the Indius. Justice has been done to them, and the are questful to the government for it. A few chiefs and interested persons may object to this mode of payment, but it is beheved to be the only mode of proventing fraud and imposition from being practiced upon the great holy of common Indians, constituting a majority of all the tribes. It is gratifying to perceive that a number of the tribes have a recently manufacted an increased interest in the establishment of schools among them, and are making rapid advances in agriculture same of them producing a sufficient quarter of their rieighbors, and the possibility of the control of the producing a control of the producing and the position of the producing and an arrival of the producing and have converted to their rieighbors. The comforts by which mose who have received even a very limited education, and have engaged in agriculture, are surrounded, tend gradually to draw off their less cyclized brethren from the preca-rous means of substance by the chase, to habits of labor and civi-

botton. The accompanying report of the Secretary of the Newy presents ratifactory and graturing account of the conduction and operations of the new devil mercused network execution commerce to the respective of the new devil mercused netwity, and withstiety and success, an every quarter of the globe under the pretection of our flaguranch die navy has consisted by a property of the protection of our flaguranch die navy has consisted to be respected in the most distant seas. In the total of Mexico, and in the Pacific the officers and most of our squadrons have displayed distinguished gradiently, and performed valuation services. In the early stagges of the war with Mexico, her ports on both coasts were blocknided, and more recently many of them have been captured and held by the navy. When acting in cooperation with the land forces, the navial officers and men have performed gallant and distributionabled services on land as well us on water, and deserve the high commendation of the country.

While other maritime powers are adding to their navies large numbers of war steamers at was a wise pulse on our part to make similar additions to our mays. The four war steamers an-thorized by the act of the third of March, 1847, are in course of

In addition to the four war steamers authorized by this act, the In addition to the low was stemmers sutmerized by this set, the Secretary of the Navy has, in pressures of its provisions, entered in the transportation of the United States and "from New York to New Orleans, touching at Churleston, Savannah, and Havann, and Irom Havann to Cleagree;" for three stemmers to be em-ployed in like manner from Panama to Progno, "so as to connect with the mail from Havana to Chagres across the isthmus for five steamers to be employed in like manner from New York to Laverpool. These steamers will be the property of the contractors, but are to be built "under the superintendence and direction of a naval constructor in the employ of the Navy Department, and to be so constructed as to render them convertible, at the least possible expense, into war steamers of the first class."

A prescribed number of naval officers, as well as a post office

A preserror alumner of maxifolineers, as were as a post-office agent, are to be on board of them; and authority is reserved to the Navy Department at all times to "exercise control over said steamships," and "to have the right to take them for the exclusive are and service of the United States, upon making proper compen-

one and service of the United States, upon making proper compen-mion to the contractors therefore."

Whilst these steamships will be employed in transporting the and of the United States constains, and to foreign countries, upon an animal compensation to be paid to the owners, they will be always ready upon an en-i gener perhaiming it to be converted into war steamers; and the right reserved to take them for public see will add greatly to the efficiency and strength of this descrip-tion of our naval force. To the steamers thus authorized under contracts made by the Secretary of the Navy, should be added five other steamers authorized under contracts made in pursuance of law by the Pestimastic General, unsking an addition, in the whole, other stemmers authorized inner contracts many in pursuance of law by the Postmaster General, making an addition, in the whole, of eighteen war stemmers, subject to be taken for public use. As further contracts for the transportation of the mail to foreign coun-tries may be authorized by Congress, this number may be calarged

The enlightened policy by which a rapid communication with

the various distant parts of the globe is established, by means of American built sea steamers, would find an ample reward in the increase of our commerce, and in making our country and its resources more favorably known abroad; but the national advantage is still greater—of having our naval officers made familiar with is still greater—on faving our paval oncers made familiar with steam navigation, and of having the privilege of taking the ships already equipped for immediate service at a moment's notice; and will be cheaply purchased by the compensation to be paid for the transportation of the mail in them, over and above the postages

received.

A just national pride, no less than our commercial interests, would seem to favor the policy of augmenting the number of this description of vessels. They can be built in our country cheaper, and in greater numbers than in any other in the world.

I refer you to the accompanying report of the Postmaster Gen reflect you did to accompany of the post of the condition was reflected and the condition with a condition with a condition with the partners of that departners of that departners did not the past year. It is gratifying to find that, within so short a period after the reduction in the rates of postage, and notwithstanding the great increase of mail service, the revenue received for the year will be sufficient to define all the expenses, and that no further aid, will be required from

the treasury for that purpose.

The first of the American mail steamers authorized by the act The first of the American mail steamers authorized by the act of the third-of March, 1815, was completed and entered upon the service on the first of Jine last, and is now on her third voyage to Bremen and other intermediate ports. The other vessels author-ized under the provisions of that act are in course of construction, and will be put upon the line as soon as completed. Contracts have also been made for the transportation of the mail in a steamer from Charleston to Havana.

A reciprocal and satisfactory postal arrangement has been made by the Postmaster General with the authorities of Bremen, and no difficulty is apprehended in making similar arrangements with all other powers with which we have communications by mail

steamers, except with Great Britain.
On the arrival of the first of the American steamers, bound to Bremen, at Southampton, in the month of June last, the British On the arrival of the first of the American steamers, bound to Bremen, at Southmapton, in the month of June last, the British post office directed the collection of discriminating postages on all letters and other mulable matter, which she took out to Great Britain, or which went into the British post office on their way to France and other parts of Europe. The effect of the order of the British post office is to subject all letters and other matter transported by American steamers to double postage, one postage leaving been previously find the state of the postage of t

conferred upon him by the existing laws.
The minister of the United States at London has brought the subject to the attention of the British government, and is now engaced in negariations for the purpose of adjusting reciprocal postal arrangements, which shall be equally just to both countries. Should he fail in concluding such arrangements, and should Great Britain insist on enforcing the anequal and unjust measure she has adopted, it will become necessary to confer additional powers on the Postmaster General, in order to enable him to meet the emerone rostmaster General, in order to enable him to meet the emer-gency, and to put our own streamers on an equal footing with British steamers engaged in transporting the mails between the two countries; and I recommend that spek powers be conferred. In view of the existing state of our country, I trust it may not be imappropriate; in closing this communication, to call to much the words of wisdom and admonition of the first and most illustrious of the conference of the confe

my predecessors, in his furewell address to his countrymen.

That greatest and best of men, who served his country so long, and loved it so much, forcaw, with "serious concern," the danger and rove it so milen, foresaw, with "serious concern," the danger to our Union "of characterizing parties by geographical discriminations—northern and southern, "Atlantic and western—whence designing men may endeavor to excite a belief that there is a real difference of local interests and views," and warned his countrymen

So deep and solemn was his conviction of the importance of the So deep and some was in convenion of the importance of the Union, and of preserving harmony between its different parts, that he declared to his countrymen in that address, "it is of infinite moment that you should properly estimate the immense value of your national Union to your collective and individual happiness: that you should cherish a cordial, habitual, and immoveable attach-ment to it; accustoming yourselves to think and to speak of it as a palladium of your political safety and presperity; watching for its palladium of your political safety and presperity, watching for its preservation with jeulous anxiety; discontineancing whatever may suggest even a suspicion that it can in any event be abandoned; and indignantly frowaming upon the first dawning of every attempt to alienate any portion of our country from the rest, or to enfeeble the sacred the which now link together the various parts.

After the lapse of half a century, these admonitions of Washington full upon us with all the force of truth. It is difficult to estimate the property of the property o

mate the "immense value" of our glorious Union of confederated States, to which we are so much indebted for our growth in population and wealth, and for all that constitutes us a great and happy nation. How unimportant are all our differences of opinion upon minor questions of public policy, compared with its preservation; and how scrupulously should we avoid all agriating topics which may tend to distract and divide as into contending parties, separated by geographical lines, whereby it may be weakened or endangered.

Invoking the blessing of the Almighty Ruler of the Universe upon your delilerations, it will be my highest duty, no less than my sincere pleasure, to co-perate with you in all measures which may tend to promote the honor and enduring welfare. FOR OLK. mon country.
Washington, December 7, 1847.

After the reading of the message had been proceeded with for

Mr. SEVIER remarked that the message was a long one, and that Senators already had it upon their desks. He therefore moved that its further reading be dispensed with; which was agreed

PRINTING OF THE ME. AGE

On motion by Mr. BREESE,

Ordered, That five thousand copies of the Message, and two thousand copies of the message and accompanying documents, in addition to the usual number, be printed for the use of the Senate.

Mr. ALLEN suggested that a still larger number should be Mr. ALLEN suggested that a still larger number should be printed. The message of the present session, he said, was one of nuusual interest to this country; it was extremely long, too long to be inserted in all the newspaters of the country; and for these reasons be would move, in order that it might receive a large cir-culation, that twenty thousand additional copies of the message ho

Mr. CRITTENDEN inquired how many copies of the docu ments accompanying the message, it was proposed to print?

Being informed that it was two thousand copies of the message and documents and twenty-five thousand copies of the message alone, Mr. CRITTENDEN acquiesced, and the motion of Mr. ALEN was agreed to.

On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 9, 1847.

The Hon. John M Clayton, of the State of Dolaware, and the Hon. John Davis, of the State of Massachusetts, appeared

#### OPPDENTIALS OF SENATORS.

Mr DAVIS, of Massachusetts, whose credentials as a Senator Mr DAVIS, of Massachusetts, whose oredentials as a Senator or the United States, from the State of Massachusetts, for the term of six years from and after the 4th of March, 1847, were presented as the last session, after having taken the onth of office, which was obministered to him at the hands of the VICE PRESIDENT, took his

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate the following

Washington, December 7, 1847,

I to Hox to M. Dyta v., President of the United States Senate

for Hrs. 6. M BALLA. Prosident of the Land States Senate.

S. 8. Holing the action of Transits rathe along view a bands on preade, under a surrect made in continuous various area of Congress, it may have been expected that excludint have been pregared to place on the decks of Senation, printed repressed the resolution of the pressed of the continuous various and the properties of the production of the pro

## PEPORT FROM STATE DEPARTMENT.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a report of the Secretary of State, made agreeably to law, accompanied by statements, showing the appropriations and disbursements for the service of the department for the year ending June 30, 1847; which

The VICE PRESIDENT presented a memorial of the Legislature of the Territory of Oregon, praying that the settlers in that territory may be confirmed in their titles to the lands occupied by them; and the adoption of measures for the promotion of education; and for facilitating the navigation of the Columbia

Ordered. That it lie on the table and be printed

Mr. DIX presented a preamble and resolutions pussed by the Legislature of the State of New York, requesting the Senators and Representatives of that State in Congress, to vote for the passage of a law granting public land for the construction of a rational from Lake Michigan to the Pacific, according to the plan proposed by Asa Whitney, a citizen of New York.

Ordered. That they lie on the table and be printed

Mr. DICKINSON presented resolutions passed by the Legisla-tore of the State of New York, instructing the Senators and re-questing the Representatives of that Sate in Congress, to use their best efforts to procure a repeal of certain provisions of the laws regulating the Iranking privilege and the postage on letters and

Mr. DICKINSON then said Serious exception has been taken Mr. DICKINSON then sail Seroius exception has been taken to the clause of the pestage law energies postage upon newspapers, within thirty miles of the place where they promote papers within thirty miles of the place where they are printed—That clause has been it except, received with great dissupprobation by the public; and in nowage to refort these resolutions. It shall, to the public and in nowage to refort these resolutions as I shall, proper to call the attention of the Section especially to this sufficient of the section of the section of the control of the public property of the public property of the propert

Ordered, That they lie on the table and be printed.

Mr DIX presented the memorial of Sarah Ann Hart, widow of B. F. Hart, late a purser in the Navy, praying a pension.

Ordered. That it lie on the table

Mr. DIX presented a memorial of Sarah Ann Hart widow and Monmouth B. Hart, Joel Kelley and William Close, sureties of

Benjamin F. Hart, deceased, late a purser in the Navy, praying that a balance standing against him on the books of the Treasury, for certain stores which were lost by the wrecking of a United States vessel, may be cancelled.

Ordered. That it lie on the table

Mr. DIX presented the memorial of Francis O. Dorr, and Andrew C. Dorr, in hebalf of their brother Gustavus Dorr, late an eaptain in the army, who, they allege, was unjustly dismissed from the service for acts done while in a state of mental derangement, caused by an injury received while on duty, praying that he may be allowed a pension.

Ordered, That it lie on the table.

Mr. DIX presented the petition of Phebe Wood and Sylvia Ann' Wood, children of Jettro Wood, deceased, praying an extension of the patent granted to their father for an improvement in the construction of the plough.

Ordered, That it lie on the table.

#### APPOINTMENT OF COMMITTEES.

Mr. ATHERTON submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved. That on Monday next, at one o'clock, the Senate will proceed to the ap-pointment of standing committees, in accordance with the rules.

# PRINTING OF THE MESSAGE

Mr. ALLEN submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent:

Mr. ALLEN.-The number is left blank because, upon con-MY. ALLEA.—The humbor is left blank because, upon con-versing with a number of gentlemen of this body, I found they were all agreed as to the necessity for printing an additional num-ber, but they disagreed as to the number that was thought to be adequate. I believe it will be generally conceded that there ought adequate. I believe it will be generally conceded that there ought to be, of some of these documents, a very large additional number printed, and it has been suggested by some of the intelligent and experienced members of the Senate, that it would be well, probably, to print a very large number of the report from the War Department, and omit the printing of the others, because the events particularly and the printing of the others, because the events as interesting not only the public feelings of the cool importances esting in a high degree the private feelings of so many families. I think, sir, that there are circumstances connected with the whole action of this government which make the reports from all the described for the superior feelings of the private feelings. esting in a high degree the private feelings of so many families. I think, sir, that there are creminstance connected with the whole action of this government which make the reports from all the departments peculiarly increasing this year. For instance, the same report from the strength of the strengt

Mr CAMERON -1 move to fill the blank with 10,000, which Mr CAMERON—I move to fill the blank with 10,000, which will be about 200 for each member of the Senate; and I am induced to do this because by the recent act in regard to printing the additional number will add very little to the cost. After the types have once been set, very little cost attends the printing—Besides, the message will be published in all the newspapers, and the public will have an opportunity to read it, but the documents being so large will not obtain equal publicity unless distributed by order of the Senate.

Mr. NILES.-1 do not rise to oppose this motion, sir. I think it is a very important one. If my honorable friend from Obio will

move to reconsider the motion made yesterday, to order the printing of 20,000 eopies of the message without the documents, and 5,000 with, making 25,000 in all, I might be inclined to sustain that motion. We are certainly beginning in a pretty liberal sprit in regard to the printing expenses of this body. And I wish to say a word at this time to my worthy friend from Pennsylvania, who, somehow, stuck an additional daily paper upon us. Now, three are more that I can indit time to read; and, if a very distinguished gentleman from Georgia some years ago, lad been here when that motion was made, we should probably have had a very serious destance, and in heat aviolation, of what he thought, of the constitution of the United States, would have received the sanction of this body. However, that is a small matter. I admit, with the homorable Senator from Ohio, that, in the present interesting condition of the public affairs, the documents which are laid before us, are perhaps of something more than ordinary insportance; and I would therefore be prepared, sir, to increase the number that we may be disposed to publish of those documents. But in regard to the message standing alone, I consider it altogether idle: for I hardly think I should undertake the drulgery of sending off the number that would fall to my share, perimentally after the circulation of increasing the number of copies of the message by itself. If the honorable Senator will make the motion that I have suggested, I would prefer it to the one which he has now made.

Mr. ALLEN.—I will say that as to the form in which the motion is put I have no particular solicitude, but if the reconsideration of the vote which was passed yesterday, to print 20,000 copies, should result in a modification so as to print 10,000 only, and the motion as proposed to be amended by the Senator from Pennsylvania, should pass to print no more than 15,000 copies of the message and 10,000 of the documents, I should have no objection; but if the object be barely to diminish the number of the copies of the message, without increasing the number of the copies of the things of the copies of the proposed to the copies of the proposed to the copies of the copies

Mr. NILES.—I think the number proposed is too large. Fifteen thousand of the message alone, and an additional 10,000 with the documents, are too many. We are advancing pretty rapidly; we are making too much progress. I am the firend of progress, but I do not want to get along too fast. 1 propose the printing of 5,000 copies, which will be ample.

Mr. WESTCOTT —I would inquire how many 5,000 will give cach Senator? Not more, I believe, then aloud furty-eight copes. The reports from the officers of the army to the Secretary at War are very much songlar for by the public; I have received applications for copies of these documents. Persons having relations in Mexico would like to possess these documents in suitable form for preservation, instead of the perishable newspaper. I hope the honorable Senator will withdraw his amendment, and that the original motion will be so modified us to reduce the number of the messages and increase the number of the reports. If the copies of the message ordered vesterday had been furnished, I should have been in favor of using the whole number; but we will not receive them until to-morrow probaly, and in the meantime, the message will be diffused throughout the whole country. I do not want to send off a single message unaccompanied by the documents. I am in favor of printing the largest number which has been suggested. It will not be too great for the wants of the country.

Mr. MANGUM asked for the reading of the resolution; and on its being again read, ho said: I would inquire what are the documents accompanying the message? From what Departments are the reports?

Mr. ALLEN.-From all the departments, except the Treasury; from the War, the Navy, the State, and the Post Office departments.

The question being put on filling the blank with 10,000, it was, upon a division, decided in the affirmative—ayes 32, noes not counted.

The resolution, as amended, was then adopted.

## JOHN PAUL JONES.

Agreeably to notice given yesterday, Mr. HANNEGAN asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill for the relief of the heirs of John Paul Jones: which was read a first and second time by unanimous consent, and considered in Committee of the Whole.

Mr. HANNEGAN.—It is, I know, a rather unusual proposition. But I trust the extraordinary circumstances which occurred in relation to this bill, at the close of the last session, will induce the Senate to suspend the rules and give it a third reading now. The bill is now in Committee of the Whole; and without detaining the Senate more than a very few moments, I will simply state that it is the identical bill which passed both houses last session, without a division; at all events, without a division in the Senate, for it passed this body by a unaimons vote. I believe that the action of the other House was equally unanimous. It passed the control of the other flouse was equally unanimous. It passed the form of the Secretary to the room of the Vice Prote way from the desk of the Secretary to the room of the Vice Prote way the secretary to the room of the Vice Protect was appending his signature to bills, it was lost the besident was appending his signature to bills, it was lost the besident was appending his signature to bills, it was lost the besident was appending his signature to bills, it was lost the house when the signature to bills, it was lost the besident was a protection of the signature of the protection of the signature to be signature to be signed by the President. Since that event, the unfortunate and accomplished gentleman then in charge of the bill, and who was no doubt well-remembered by members of the Senate, had died. He had left a widow and child, and I trust there is no indelicacy in remarking that prompt action on this bill is of essential importance to them.

No amendment being made, the bill was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time by mani-

Resolved. That the bill pass, and that the title thereof be "An act for the relief of the heirs of John Paul Jones,"

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives on this bill.

#### RECONSIDERATION.

On motion by Mr. Niles, the vote of yesterday ordering twenty thousand copies of the message, without the documents, to be printed for the use of the Senate, was reconsidered, and

The said motion having been amended by striking out "twenty" and inserting "ten," was agreed to, as follows:

Ordered, That ten thousand copies of the message, without the documents, he printed for the use of the Senate.

#### NOTICES OF BILLS.

Mr. DIX gave notice that on to-morrow, or at some early day, he would ask leave of the Senate to introduce the following bills:

A bill to establish a branch of the Mint of the United States in the city of New York.

A bill for the relief of Mangle M. Quackenboss.

Mr. BREESE gave notice that on to-morrow, or at some early day, he would ask leave of the Senate to introduce the following bills:

A bill to reduce and graduate the price of the public lands.

A bill to grant to the State of Illinois the right of way through the public lands, and for other purposes.

A bill for the relief of Joseph Wilson.

A bill to authorize persons to whom reservations have been made under certain Indian treaties, to alienate the same in fee.

Mr. DICKINSON gave notice that he would, on to-morrow, or at some early day, ask leave of the Senate to introduce the following bill:

A bill to amend the act in relation to seamen navigating waters in vessels of the United States, &c.

Mr GREENE gave notice that on to-morrow, or at some early day, he would ask leave of the Senate to introduce the following bill:

A bill for the relief of Rubert Purkis.

Mr. ASHLEY gave notice that on to-morrow, or at some early day, he would ask leave of the Senate to introduce the following bills:

A bill to establish a general pre-emption system.

A bill to divide the Judicial District of Arkansas into two Judicial Districts.

#### WITHDRAWAL OF PAPERS.

Mr. HUNTER asked and obtained leave to withdraw from the files of the Senate the papers relating to the claim of the heirs of John B. Grayson, deceased.

Mr. BREESE asked and obtained leave to withdraw from the files of the Senate the papers in the case of Nehemiah Brush.

Mr. SEVIER asked and obtained leave to withdraw from the tiles of the Senate the memorial of the Legislature of Arkansas. upon the subject of the removal of the rati of Red river, for the purpose of baving it referred to the Committee on Commerce.

On motion

The Senate adjourned. .

# THURSDAY, DECEMBER 10, 1847.

#### REPORTS FROM THE DEPARTMENTS.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a communica-The VICE, PRESIDENT had before the Senance a communication from the Treasury Department, made agreeably to law, accompanied by copies of the Treasurer's accounts with the United States, for the 3d and 4th quarters of 1846, and 1st and 2d quarters of 1847, as adjusted by the accounting officers of the Treasury.

nication from the Second Auditor of the Trensury, made agreeably to law, transmitting copies of such accounts as have been renderto law, transmitting copies of such accounts as have been rendered by persons charged or cutrosted with the disbursement or application of moneys, goods or effects for the benefit of the Indians, from the 1st Orobote, 1815, to the 30th September, 1816, inclusive, roughter with a statement containing a list of the names of all persons to whom goods, moneys or effects have been delivered, within the same period, specifying the amount and object for which they were intended, the amount accounted for, and the balance (under each specific head) still remaining in their hands.

Mr. BREESE presented the petition of Dorothy Payne, widow of Adams Payne, deceased, a soldier in the last war with Great Britain, praying that she may be allowed a pension.

Ordered, That it lie on the table

Mr. DOUGLAS presented the petition of David B. Sears, of Illinois praying the right of pre-emption to a certain tract of land.

Mr. CLARKE presented resolutions of the State of Rhode Is-Mr. Chartest presented resolutions of the state of Kroue is-kand and Providence Plantations in favor of a rail road from Lake Michigan to the Pacific coast, and the appropriation of public lands on the route for the purpose of effecting that object.

Ordered. That the resolutions be printed.

# WITHDRAWAL OF PAPERS.

Mr. HALE asked and obtained leave to withdraw from the files of the Senate the petition and papers of William Fuller and Or-

Mr. STURGEON asked and obtained leave to withdraw from he files of the Senate the memorial of Titian R. Penle, and accom-

Mr. NHLES asked and obtained leave to withdraw from the files
4 the Senate the perition and papers of Abel Gay and Walter
Loomis, with a view of having them referred to the Committee on
the Post Office and Post Roads.

Mr. NILES gave notice that he should, as an early day, ask leave of the Senate to introduce a bill for the relief of Thomas

Mr. DICKINSON gave notice that he should, at an early day, ask leave of the Senate to introduce a bill for the relief of Asa

Mr. PEARCE submitted the following resolution, which was

Ocdered. That when the Senate adjourn it he to Monday next,

THE LATE SENATOR HUNTINGTON.

Mr. MILES rose and addressed the Senate as follows:

Mr. President: It is a painful duty devolved upon me to announce to the Senate that, during its vacation, one of its members

nounce to the Senate that, during its vacation, one of its members has been removed by death.

The Hon, Jabez W. Huntington, a Senator from Connectiut, died at his residence in Norwich, in that State, on the second day of November last. His sickness was short, but severe, which he bore with the Christian fortitude becoming a strong mind, and died with cultures and resignation and an ahiding hope of a hap-we importantly. py immortality

py humoreany.

Of the public services and private character of my late colleague, I deem it necessary to say but a few words on this occasion. Those who knew him best, and were enabled most justly to
appreciate his abilities and manly virtues, will take care that jus-

appreciate his abilities and manly virtues, will take care that Justice be done to his memory.

With the advantages of a regular classical education, Mr. Huntington prepared himself for the legal profession, which be entered upon with a high promise of success, which his inlents and industry soon realized. At an early age he attained a reputation and standing at the bar, which commanded the respect of his professional briefier, and the confidence of the public.

In connexion with his professional business, he was for several versa seasonized with the lat Judge Gould in a law school, where his becurres evinced the extent and accuracy of his legal acquirements.

From this field of labors be was removed, in 1828, to take a part in the public councils of his State and country—flushie councils of his State and country—flushie councils of his State legislature, then as a representative in the wenty-first Congress of the United States, followed his control to the twenty-third Congress. During his five years' service in the House of Representative, he was distinguished tor his industry and for his zenious and laborious devotion to public duties. In May, 1834, whilst one year of his congressional term remained, he was called to a sea ton the hench of the supreme count of judicature of the State; the ardonos and responsible duties of which he dis-sharged for the six years with faithfulness and ability, and to the general acceptance of the public. From this exalted station, in 1840, he was transferred to the Senate of the United States, to fill an unexpired term of four years, and was subsequently re-elected for six years from the 4th of March, 1845. From this field of labors he was removed, in 1828, to take a of March, 1845.

year and was sequenty received for sky years from the earl OI black place in this body—his remarkable industry—his habits of research and investigation—his zadious and laborious devotion to public business—it is not necessar for me to speak, as those of you who were associated with him to receive the properties of you who were associated with him to first the strength of the sometimes displayed the andro of the partison, all, I think, will accord to him the merit of fraulness, sincerity, and honesty in his opinions and purposes. If zealous as a politician, he was a sincere friend to his country, and ordeatly sought to advance what he believed to be its highest interests, its most enduring fame. Both in his public earver and in his irreproachable character in the walks of private hic, it is sufficient to say that he well sustained the honor of the name he hore, distinguished as it has been in the public history of his native State.

In concluding these remarks, I hope to be excused for alluding to the unexampled bereavements which the Senate has sustained to the unexampled bereavements which the Senate has sustained to the unexampled bereavements which the Senate has sustained to the past year in the death of four of its members, all in the prime

the unexampled bereavements which the Senate has sustained to the unexampled bereavements which the Senate has sustained to the unexample of his, all endeared to their ordinary the standing bere and before it is members, all in the prime of his, all endeared to their ordinary these dispensations of providence admonish us that public how the dispensations of providence admonish us that public how the control of the standing bere and before the region to the tenure by which we hold our lives. May they remind us all that the executed station do not add the least strength to the tenure by which we hold our lives. May they remind us all that the executing seenes which surround us, and the deep interest in questions which come before us, may concern us individually but for a day or an hour; and, by moderating the intemperance of partiasn zeal, may they dispose the minds of all, at this interesting crisis of our may they dispose the minds of all, at this interesting crisis of our may they dispose the minds of all, at this interesting crisis of our may they dispose the minds of all, at this interesting crisis of our may they dispose the minds of all, at this interesting crisis of our may they dispose the minds of all, at this interesting crisis of our may they dispose the minds of all, at this interesting crisis of our may they dispose the minds of all, at this interesting crisis of our may the minds of all at the critical critical dispose the minds of all at the critical critical dispose the minds of the minds of the critical dispose the minds of the minds of

# Mr. NILES concluded by offering the following resolutions

Resolved, manimously. That the Senate, from a smerre desire of showing over mark of respect due to the memory of the Hon, Jahres W. Huntington, late a member thereof, will go not nonuning, by warming craps on the left am for their days. Resolved, manimously, That as an additional mark of respect for the memory of the Hon. M. Huntington, the Senate do now adjourn.

The resolutions having been adobted.

The Scnate adjourned.

# MONDAY, DECEMBER 13, 1847.

The Hon. JOHN BELL, of the State of Tennesse; the Hon. THOMAS H. BENTON, of the State of Missouri; and the Hon. DAVID L. YULEE, of the State of Florida, appeared in the Senate to day

Mr. TURNEY presented the credentials of the Hon. John Bell, elected a Senator of the United States from the State of Temessee, for the term of six years, from and after the 4th day of March, 1847; which were read.

Mr. BELL, having taken the oath of office, which was administered to him at the hands of the Vice President, took his seat.

#### REPORTS FROM DEPARTMENTS.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate the annual report of the Secretary of the Treasury, on the state of finances; which was read.

Mr. ATHERTON moved that the reports be printed, and that 5,000 extra copies be printed.

Mr. BREESE moved to amend the motion of Mr. ATHERTON, by increasing the number of extra copies to 20,000. He said it was a very important document, and ought to be extensively cir-

The question being first put upon the larger number, it was, upon a division, agreed to.

Navs.

Majority for the motion, Thereupon, it was

Ordered, That the report be printed; and that 20,000 copies, in addition to the usual number, be printed for the use of the Senate.

The VICE PRESIDENT also laid before the Senate a communication from the Secretary of the Treasury, transmitting the Report of the Commissioner of the General Land Office, exhibiting the operations in that branch of the public service during the fiscal year ending June 30th, 1847; which was read.

On motion of Mr. RREESE, it was

Ordered, That it lie on the table and be printed.

The VICE PRESIDENT also laid before the Senate a communication from the Secretary of the Treasury, transmitting, in compliance with the 22d section of the act of 28th January, 1847, a statement showing the amount of Treasury Notes issued under its provisions, the amount of such Notes redeemed, and the manner in which redeemed; none having been purchased or re-issued under said act; which was read and ordered to be printed.

The VICE PRESIDENT also laid before the Senate a communication from the Secretary of War, transmitting, in compliance with a resolution of the Senate of the 15th of January last, a Report of the Colonel of the corps of Topographical Engineers, communicating information upon the several subjects designated therein, as connected with the commerce of the Western Lakes and Rivers; which was read.

On motion by Mr. SEVIER, it was

Ordered, That the report be printed, together with five hundred extra copies for the use of the Topographical Bereau.

## PETITIONS.

Mr. CAMERON presented the memorial of Bernard Henry, late United States naval store-keeper at Gibralter, praying the payment of a balance due him from the government.

Ordered, That it lie on the table.

Mr. RUSK presented the memorial of George Hervey, in behalf of the owners and consignees of the English merchant ship, James Mitchell, praying the payment of a sum of money due them under an act of Congress, and retained in the Treasury of the United States.

Ordered, That it lie on the table.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, asked, and obtained leave, to withdraw from the files of the Senate the petition and papers of Margaret Carmick, widow of Daniel Carmick, deceased.

Mr. MASON asked, and obtained leave, to withdraw from the files of the Senate the petition and pa pers of William B. Slaughter.

Mr. CASS asked, and obtained leave, to withdraw from the files of the Schate the petition and papers of Henry R. School-

Mr. RUSK asked, and obtained leave, to withdraw from the files of the Senate the petition and papers of Bryan Callaghan.

Also, the petition and papers of E. P. Calkin, & Co.

Mr. BREESE asked, and obtained leave, to withdraw from the files of the Senate the petition and papers of the heirs of James Rumsey, deceased.

#### RESOLUTIONS OF THE STATE OF MAINE.

Mr. BRADBURY presented the resolutions of the Legislature of Maine in favor of a railroad from Lake Michigan to the Pacific coast, upon the plan of Asa Whitney, Fey, of New York; and requesting the Senators and Representatives from that State in Congress by their votes and acts to promote said object; which were ordered to be printed.

#### INCREASE IN COMMITTEES.

Mr. SEVIER submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to:

Resolved. That during the present session the Committee on Military Affair, of the enate consist of seven members.

Mr. MANGUM submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to

Resolved. That during the present session the Committee on Naval Affairs of the Senate consist of secra member.

#### THE RIVER SABINE.

Mr. JOHNSON. of La., submitted the following resolution for

Resolved, That the Committee on Commerce be instructed to inquire into the expediency of making an appropriation to tremove obstructions to the navigation of the river Sabine, and that the Resolution of the Legislature of Louissian, the report and papers on the in relation thereto, be referred to the said Committee.

#### ELECTION OF OFFICERS

On motion by Mr. SEVIER the Senate proceeded to the election of a Sccretary, pursuant to the 49th rule

On counting the ballots it appeared that 48 votes bad been given, of which Mr. Asbury Dickens received 46; Mr. B. B. French, 1; and one blank.

Mr. Dickens having been declared duly elected attended; and the oaths prescribed by law were administered to him by the Vice PREIDENT

The Senate proceeded to the election of a Sergeant-at-Arms and door keeper, pursuant to the 49th rule

On counting the ballots it appeared that 49 votes had been given, of which Mr. Robert Beale received 37; Mr. Nuttall 6: Mr. Cranston Lowry 1; Mr. C. S. Whitney 2; Mr. J. B. Macy 1; and two blanks

Mr. Beale, having been declared duly elected, attended; and the oaths prescribed by law were administered to him by the Vice PPESIDENT.

The Senate proceeded to the election of an assistant door-keeper, pursuant to the 49th rule.

On counting the ballots it appeared that 50 votes had been iven, of which Mr. Isaac Holland received 49: and there was one

Mr. Holland having been declared duly elected, attended; and the oaths prescribed by law were administered to him by the Vict PRESIDENT.

### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message from the House of Representatives, ws-received by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

Mr. Pesident.—The House of Representatives have passed a joint resolution for the appointment of a joint committee of three members of each House, to direct and superintend to the properties of the process of the process of books for the Library of Congress, and all such other matters pertaining the tead Library and other was provided for by law, in which I am directed to request the concurrence of the

Mr. JOHN QUINCY ADAMS, Mr. PRESTON and Mr. MURPHY have been approxes and committee on the part of the House.

The House of Representatives has also passed a joint resolution that two Chaplann to Congress of different denominations be elected, one by each House, to officiate during the present session, and that they shall interchange weekly: in which I am directed to request the concurrence of the Secarior.

#### ELECTION OF CHAPLAIN.

On motion by Mr. SEVIER, the Senate proceeded to consider the resolution from the House of Representatives for the election of the two Chaplains of different denominations, one by each House, to officiate during the present session, who shall interchange weekly

The question being upon agreeing to said resolution, it was Resolved. That the Senate concur therem

Mr. ATCHISON moved to proceed to the election of Chaplain.

Mr. WESTCOTT inquired whether it would not be proper first to inform the House of the concurrence of the Senate in the joint resolution for the election of Chaplains. He did not mean to object to the election taking place promptly.

Mr. SEVIER renewed the motion of Mr. Atchison, and

The Senate proceeded to the election of a Chaplain on their

On counting the ballots it appeared that 46 votes had been given of which the Rev. Henry Slicer received 31; Rev. Mr. Gurley, 7; Rev. David Smith, 7; Rev. Mr. Matthews, 1.

The Rev. Mr. SLICER was declared duly elected Chaplain on the part of the Senate

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representa-

### STANDING COMMITTEES.

Mr. SEVIER moved to proceed to the election of standing committees, which was agreed to.

Mr. MANGUM observed that the Chairman of the Committees having been informally agreed upon, it would be useless to go through with the tedious process of ballotting for them. He there-tore moved that so much of the 34th rule as requires their appointment by hallot be dispensed with; which was agreed to.

On motion, by Mr. MANGUM, the following Chairmon of the

- Mr. Sevier-Chairman of the Committee on Foreign Relations.
- Mr. ATHERTON—Chairman on the Committee of Finance.
  Mr. Dix—Chairman of the Committee on Commerce.
- Mr. Dickinson—Chairman of the Committee on Manufactures.
  Mr. Sturgeon—Chairman of the Committee on Agriculture.

- Mr. Sterredon—Chairman of the Committee on Agriculture.
  Mr. Cass—Chairman of the Committee on Military Affairs.
  Mr. Farrfield—Chairman of the Committee on Naval Affairs.
  Mr. Rusk—Chairman of the Committee on the Milita.
  Mr. Brefs.—Chairman of the Committee on Public Lands.

- Mr. YULEE.-Chairman of the Committee on Private Land
- lams. Mr. Atchison.—Chairman of the Committee on Indian Affairs. Mr. Masox.—Chairman of the Committee on Claims. Mr. Bright.—Chairman of the Committee on Revolutionary
- Mr. Ashley.—Chairman of the Committee on the Judiciary.
  Mr. Niles.—Chairman of the Committee on the Post Office and
- Post Roads. Mr. HANNEGAN .- Chairman of the Committee on Roads and
- Mr. Johnson, of Louisiana .- Chairman of the Committee on
- Mr. Cameron.-Chairman of the Committee on the District of Mr. WESTCOTT .- Chairman of the Committee on Patents and
- the Patent Office.
- e Patent Onice.

  Mr. TURNEY.—Chairman of the Committee on Retrenchment.

  Mr. DOUGLAS—Chairman of the Committee on Public Buildings.

  Mr. HUNTER—Chairman of the Committee on Public Buildings. Mr. Felch—Chairman of the Committee on Pullie Buildings.
  the contingent expenses of the Senate.
  - Mr. Bradeury-Chairman of the Committee on Printin
- Mr. Downs—Chairman of the Committee on Engrossed Bills.
  Mr. Pearce—Chairman of the Joint Committee on the Library Mr. Rusk-Chairman of the Committee on Enrolled Bills.

On motion of Mr. MANGUM, it was

Resolved. That the election of the remaining members of the several standing commerces be postponed until to morrow.

# JOINT COMMITTEE ON THE LIBRARY.

The Senate proceeded to the consideration of the resolution from the House of Representatives for the appointment of a joint from the noise of Representatives for the appointment of a joint committee of three members of each House to direct and super-intend the expenditure of all moneys appropriated for the pureliase of books for the Library of Congress, and all such other matters pertaining to said Library not otherwise provided for by law.

The question being upon agreeing to said resolution,

#### NOTICE OF BILL.

Mr. DIX gave notice that he should, on to-morrow or at some early day, ask leave of the Senate to introduce a bill concerning certain Collection Districts, and for other purposes.

## On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# TUESDAY, DECEMBER 14, 1847.

COMMERCE AND NAVIGATION

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a report of the Register of the Treasury, made agreeably to law, accompa-nied by statements of the Commerce and Navigation of the United

Mr. DIX moved that it lie on the table and be printed, and that 2,500 extra copies be printed; 250 thereof for the uso of the Secretary of the Treasury, and 250 for the use of the Register of the

Mr. NILES inquired whether the number that it was now proposed to print, was the largest number which had ever been ordered of this document?

Mr. DIX replied that he did not recollect that a larger number had been ordered; at least, since he became a member of the Senate.

Mr. NILES.—In my opinion, this document appears to be, above all others, entitled to consideration; and if any of the documents which have been presented to us instities us in incurring 7 an expenditure of the public money in order that it may be diffused throughout the country, the report from the Treasury Department is certainly that document. It is an exposition of lacts, not of theories; not of speculations; not of the havorite views of any officer of this government, or of any body else. It discloses what the enterprise of our citizens has accomplished. It shows the actual and existing state of the commerce of the country, the resources of the country, and one very important branch of its wast and growing interests. I propose the printing of five thousand additional numbers.

Mr. DIX.—I concur in what the Senator from Connecticut has said in regard to the value of this document; I cheerfully second

Mr. WESTCOTT.—I would ask the honorable Senator from New York whether the number given to the Treasury Department, indicated by him, is sufficient? I have understood that a large number was needed for distribution in return for similar favors, from the governments of other countries. I am inclined to believe that five hundred copies will be necessary to supply the depart-

Mr. DIX.—I find, on reference to the journals of last winter, that seventeen hundred and fifty copies were ordered to be printed in addition to the usual number; two hundred and fifty for the use in addition to the usual number; two bundred and fifty for the use of the Treasury Department, icaving fifteen bundred for general distribution. The next day, on motion made by myself, two bundred and fifty additional copies of the report were ordered to be printed; and, I believe on a subsequent day, an additional number of copies were ordered for the use of the Register. It therefore appears that last year the Treasury Department had five bundred expies for distribution; and I now move that that number of this year's report be placed at the disposal of the Secretary of the Treasury.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts.—I beg leave to ask the Senator from New York what particular distribution of this document the Register of the Treasury proposes to make? I desire to know the reason why he, any more than any other subordinate officer, should be furnished with the document

Mr. DIX.—The report is made by the Register of the Treasury. It is at his office that the tables are prepared. When the document is distributed it is seen that it emantes from the office of the Register, and applications for copies are frequently made to his office. There is no other reason why that officer should be supplied with copies of the document. But if it be deemed best to do so, the whole of the copies ordered may be placed at the disposal of the Secretary of the Treasury, who can then supply the Register with a many conjust as he may requir. Register with as many copies as he may require.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts.—I take this occasion to say that I concur with the remarks of the Senator from Connecticut in regard to the importance and value of this document: I &n not know, however, but that the number usually printed has answered the purpose very well. But the document is, as the Senator correctly describes it, a report of facts, and facts which are extremely interesting to the community, as well as every body else. It contains the results of the commercial operations of the year, and discloses also facts in regard to navigation. I speak now of the foreign commerce of the country. It is exceedingly desirable, and for a great length of time has been a desideratum, that this branch of inquiry should be extended a good deal farther. It is desirable

30TH CONG .- IST SESSION-No. 3.

that we should understand something of the statistics of the coasting that we should understand something of the statistics of the coasting trade of the country—of internal navigation of that description. A document of that character would, in my judgment greatly surpass the value of the document now before ns, great as the value of that paper undoubtedly is. I hope, sir, that before Congress closes its sessions, some measures may be adopted for the purpose of obtaining statistics in regard to the condition and progress of that branch of our commerce, which is so interesting to us all, and is mereasing so rapidly. I shall make no objection to the number stated by the member from Connectiout, but I hope that we are not going to run a little too diffusive in printing.

Mr. DIX.—One word in order to correct an inadvertence. I stated that two hundred and fifty copies had been ordered for the use of the Register of the Treasury; but I find on reference to the Journal, that the order was for the use of the Treasury Department in general. I also find, that on motion of the Senator from Pennsylvania on my right, IMr. CAMERON; three thousand additional copies were ordered to be printed nearly two months after the report was presented. I, therefore, move that five thousand additional copies be printed, and an additional five hundred for the use of the Treasury Department, making no special provision for the Register, who can obtain the copies which he may need from the Secretary. Mr DIX .- One word in order to correct an inadvertence. the Secretary.

The amendment was then put, and was agreed to; and the resolution as thus amended, was adopted.

Ordered, That it be printed; and that 5,500 copies, in addition to the usual number, be printed; 500 copies of which to be for the use of the Treasury Department.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, presented the memorial of Mary L. Keen, widow and administratize of Elisha L. Keen, deceased, praying the repayment of money advanced by her late husband for the use of the government; which was referred to the Committee on Claims,

Also, the memorial of Ann B. Cox, widow and executrix of Nathaniel Cox, deceased, late navy agent at New Orleans, pray-ing the payment of a balance standing to the credit of her late husband on the books of the Treasury; which was referred to the Committee on Claims,

Mr. BREESE presented the memorial of Adelaide Snyder and Harriette Personeau, heirs of Jean F. Perry, deceased, praying the confirmation of their claims to certain lands in Illinois; which was referred to the Committee on Private Land Claims.

Mr. DIX submitted additional documents relating to the claims of Hugh W. Dobbin; which, with his petition on the files of the Senate, were referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Also, the petition of Sarah Crandall, widow of James Coon, a soldier in the last war with Great Britain, praying a pension; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Also, the petition of James Womsby, a soldier in the revolutionary war, praying a pension; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Also, additional documents relating to the memorial of Francis O. Dorr and Andrew C. Dorr; which, with the petition and papers on the table, were referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. UNDERWOOD presented the petition of William Nation and Rachael Davis, heirs of Christopher Nation, deeeased, a soldier in the last war with Great Britain, praying to be allowed bounty lands; which was referred to the Committee on Private Lead Claim.

Mr. STURGEON presented the memorial of Eveline Porter, widow of Commodore David Porter, deceased, praying to be al-lowed a pension; which was referred to the Committee on Naval

Mr. CASS presented the petition of Lemuel B. Nicholls, pray-ing compensation for services in assisting in the emigration of the Creek Indians, in 1827 and 1828, and for a horse lost while on that duty; which was referred to the Committee of Claims.

Mr. PEARCE presented the petition of Thomas P. McBlair, a Purser in the Navy, praying that certain payments made by him to officers holding acting appointments in the Navy, may be allowed in the settlement of his accounts; which was referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

Mr. UNDERWOOD submitted additional documents relating to the claim of John Bruce; which, with his petition on the files of the Senate, were referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

On motion by Mr. RUSK, it was

Ordered, That the memorial of George Hervey be referred to the Committee of Claims

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, it was

Ordered, That the memorial of Margaret Carmick, widow of Daniel Carmick, deceased, by referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

On motion by Mr. BREESE, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Nehemiah Brush be referred to the Committee on Pensions

On motion by Mr. FAIRFIELD, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Stephen Snow be referred to the Committee on Pensions.

On motion by Mr. FAIRFIELD, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Walter R. Johnson be referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

On motion by Mr. DIX, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Calvin Emmons, and the petition of the heirs of Uri Emmons, deceased, on the files of the Senate, be severally referred to the Committee on Patents and the Patent Office

On motion by Mr. UPHAM, it was

Ordered, That the petition of James Smalley, agent for certain companies of Vermont Militia, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

On motion by Mr. UPHAM, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Nahum Haskell, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

On motion by Mr. GREENE, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Robert Purkis, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee of Claims.

On motion by Mr. GREENE, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Mary MacRae, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

On motion by Mr. ATHERTON, it was

Ordered, That the petition of David Currier, on the files of the Senate, he referred to the Committee on Pensions.

On motion by Mr. ASHLEY, it was

Ordered, That the documents on the files of the Senate, relating to the claim of Peter Engles, senior, he referred to the Committee on Pensions

On motion by Mr. ASHLEY, it was

Ordered, That the documents on the files of the Senate, relating to the claim of Elizabeth Pistole, widow of Charles Pistole, deceased, be referred to the Committee on Pensions.

On motion by Mr. YULEE, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Hannah Petty, and the petition of Peter Capella, administrator of Andrew Capella, deceased, on the files of the Senate, be severally referred to the Committee on the Indiciary.

On motion by Mr. YULEE, it was

Ordered, That the petition of George Petty, and the documents relating to the claim of Fernando Fellanny, on the files of the Senate, be severally referred to the Committee on Pensions.

On motion by Mr. YULEE, it was

Ordered, That the petition of William Marvin, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Private Land Claims,

On motion by Mr. PHELPS, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Joseph Watson, on the files of

On motion by Mr. WESTCOTT; it was

Ordered, That the petition of Edward Bolon, the petition of John P Baldwin, and the petition of William G. Davis, on the files of the Senate, be severally referred to the Committee of Claims.

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Ln., it was

Ordered, That the petition of Caleb Green, on the files of the Senato, be referred to the Committee of Claims

On motion by Mr. DOUGLAS, it was

Ordered, That the petition of David B. Sears, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

On motion by Mr. STURGEON, it was

STANDING COMMITTEES.

Ordered, That the memorial and documents of Titian R. Peale, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

## CLERK TO COMMITTEE OF CLAIMS

Mr. MASON submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to :

Resolved. That the Committee of Claims, be and they are hereby authorized to employ a Clerk during the present session of Congress.

#### PRIVILEGE OF THE FLOOR.

Mr. NILES submitted the following resolution for considera-

Resolved, That the Auditors of the Treasury, the Chiefs of the Bureaus of the Navy Department, and the Assistant Postmasters General, be entitled to seats on the floor of the Secate.

The consideration of the resolution being asked for at this time, objection was made, and it was laid over one day, under the rule

#### ANNEXATION OF TERRITORY.

Mr. DICKINSON submitted the following resolutions for consideration; which were read and ordered to be printed.

Reasted, That true polry requires the government of the United States to strengthen it political and commercial relation, upon this continent by the amenation and that neither in each acquainties in one in the terroint organization thereof can any conditions be constationally imposed or mututions be provided for or established any opening and the property of the control of the provided for or established any opening and the property of the control of t

The Senate proceeded to consider the following resolution submitted yesterday by Mr. Johnson, of Louisiana, which was agreed to:

Resolved, That the Committee on Commerce be instructed to inquire into the ex-pediency of making an appropriation to remove the obstructions to the navigation of the river Sabine, and that the resolution of the Legislature of Louisiana, the repor-and papers on file in relation thereto, be referred to the stud committee.

#### STANDING COMMITTEES.

The Senate proceeded to the election of the remaining members of the standing committees

Mr. SEVIER then presented a list of the members of the various committees; which was read and adopted.

Mr. HALE remarked, that he noticed his name on one or more of the committees just announced; he must throw himself on the indulgence of the Senate, and ask if it was consistent with the usages of the Senate, that he might be excused from serving on usages of the Senate, that he might be excused from serving on any of the standing committees of the Senate at the present session. He made this request from motives personal to himself, with which he would not trouble the Senate; but he had an additional inducement in the statement first made by the honorable Senator from Arkansas, that those committees had been agreed upon by an arrangement between the two parties which divide this body. As the political party with which the journals of the day had classed him, and he supposed that was the only means of ascertaining where he belonged, had had no meeting, and had not been consulted in this arrangement, he thought that that furnished an additional reason for excusing him. additional reason for excusing him.

Mr. WESTCOTT moved that the Senator from New Hamp-shire be excused from serving on the committees on which he had been named, and then observed, that other members had been excused at their request, from serving on any of the committees—he referred to the Senators from South Carolina, Ohio, and Alabama, [Mr. Calhoun, Mr. Allen, and Mr. Bagby,] the last of whom vas now absent

Mr. BADGER .- I beg leave to say one word on this subject. 1 Cannot conceive that any reason has been outed on this stinger, to conceive that any reason has been offered by the Senator from New Hampshire, why he should be personally excused from taking his proper share in the discharge of the business duties of any member of this body. Ho is a gentleman of known and acknowledged talents. He is capable of rendering efficient services. knowledged talents. He is capable of rendering efficient services to the Senate in the discharge of any of the duties which belong to any of the committees of this body. He has been named upon several committees on which he may be useful to the country, and to the Senate. The peculiar position, which he represents bimself as occapying in regard to the political parties which divide this body, can certainly have no influence in support of the application which he has made to the Sonate; because, if my memory serve more right, he has not been placed on any committy of the properties due burden which belongs to the high and responsible station

which he occupies. I sm sure, that in that point of view, the gen-tleman from New Hampshire would not be willing to accept of an immunity not possessed by his brethren on this floor. I hope, therefore, that the gendeman will withdraw his application, or that the Senate will not acceded to it.

Mr. WESTCOTT.—I made the motion at the request of the Senator from New Hampshire; and it appears to me, that the Senator from North Carolina, (Mr. BADGER,) has lost affit of the reason assigned by that genderman for the application of the individual of the senator of the sena

Mr. BADGER.—I am certain that I also could assign personal reasons for being excused from serving on committees. I confess, I am just as willing as any other gentleman of this body, to be confined to a particular hour for particular business; but, when I came here, I did not expect to come for the purpose of enjoying my case, and leaving to others the performance of the laborious duties which belong to this station. And allow me to add, sir, that the personal appearance of the gentleman from New Hampshire, as I am happy to be obloage those duties, for which we all know him to possess, in a high degree, the mental qualifications.

The question was then put, and appeared to be decided in the

A division was then called for, and the result was as follows: ayes 17. noes 16.

So the motion was adopted, and the Senator from New Hampshire was excused from serving on the committees.

On motion by Mr. BREESE, it was

· Ordered, That the Vice President be authorized to fill the vacancies

The following is a list of the committees, in full, as they now stand

Committee on Foreign Relations.

Mr. Sevier—Chairman. Mr. Webster, Mr. Maugum. Mr. Benton Mr. Hannegan

On Finance. Mr. ATHERTON-Chairman.

Mr. Dickinson, Mr. Clayton, Mr. Hunter, Mr. Phelps.

On Commerce.

Mr. Dix-Chairman. Mr. Johnson, of Md Mr. Breese Mr. John Davis.

Mr. Cameron On Manufactures.

Mr. Dickinson-Chairman. . Mr. Ashley, Mr. Upham Mr. Clarke,

Mr. Butler. On Agriculture.

Mr. STURGEON-Chairman. Mr. Spruance, Mr. Corwin. Mr. Turney Mr Atherton

On Military Affairs.

Mr. Cass-Chairman.

Mr. Crittenden, Mr. Benton, Mr. Jefferson Davis, Mr. Badger, Mr. Dix.

On Naval Affairs.

Mr. FAIRFIELD-Chairma Mr. Yulee, Mr. Miller, Mr. Johnson, of Md.

Mr. Bright, Mr. Cameron. Mr. Badger. On The Militia.

Mr. Rusk-Chairman.

Mr. Atchison Mr. Greene, Mr. Underwood. Mr. Fairfield, On Public Lands.

Mr. BREESE-Chairman.

Mr. Ashley, Mr. Felch, Mr. Corwin, Mr. Underwood. On Private Land Claims.

Mr. YULEE-Chairman. Mr. Johnson, of La. Mr. Berrien. Mr. Foote, Mr. Downs,

On Indian Affairs.

Mr. Atchison-Chairman Mr. Sevier. Mr. Phelps, Mr. Bell. Mr. Downs.

On Claims.

Mr. Mason-Chairman.

Mr. Underwood, Mr. Westcott, Mr. Bradbury Mr. Baldwin.

On Revolutionary Claims. Mr. BRIGHT-Chairman.

Mr. Bradbury, Mr. Upham, Mr. Johnson, of La. Mr. Rusk,

On The Judiciary.

Mr. Ashlev-Chairman Mr. Butler Mr. Berrien, Mr. Dayton Mr. Westcott,

On Post Office and Post Roads.

Mr. NILES-Chairman

Mr. Pearce, Mr. Corwin. Mr. Sturgeon, Mr. Rusk.

On Roads and Canals.

Mr. HANNEGAN-Chairman Mr. Clark Mr. Foote, Mr. Sturgeon, Mr. Spruance

On Pensions.

Mr. Johnson, of La.-Chairman. Mr. Felch. Mr. Phelps, Mr. Baldwin. Mr. Jefferson Davis,

On the District of Columbia.

Mr. CAMERON-Chairman Mr. Hunter, Mr. Miller, Mr. Foote.

Mr. Greene.

On Patents and the Patent Office. Mr. WESTCOT-Chairman.

Mr. Turney Mr. John Davis Mr. Dayton. Mr. Dickinson

On Retrenchment.

Mr. TURNEY-Chairman

Mr. Douglas, Mr. Mangum. Mr. Clarke.

On Territories.

Mr. Douglas-Chairman. Mr. Bright.

Mr. Clayton, Mr. John Davis. Mr. Butler. On Public Buildings.

Mr. HUNTER-Chairman. Mr. Yulee.

Mr. Spruance.

To Audit and Control the Contingent Expenses of the Senate Mr. Felch-Chairman

Mr. Pearce. Mr. Niles.

On Printing.

Mr. BRADBURY-Chairman. Mr. Greene Mr. Cameron.

On Engrossed Bills.

Mr. Downs-Chairman Mr. Mason, Mr. Baldwin

Joint Committee on the Library.

Mr. PEARCE-Chairman

Mr. Jefferson Davis, Mr. Mason.

On Enrolled Bills.

Mr Rusk. Mr. Upham.

THE PUBLIC LANDS

Agreeably to notice, Mr. BREESE asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to reduce and graduate the price of public lands; which was read the first and second times by unanimous consent and referred to the Committee on Public Lands JOSEPH WILSON

Agreeably to notice, Mr. BREESE asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill for the relief of Joseph Wilson; which was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

# SURETIES OF SWARTWOUT

Agreeably to notice, Mr. DIX asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to authorize the Secretary of the Treasury to make an arrangement or compromise with Mangle M. Quackenhoss, and bis co-obligors, or any of them, for claims or bonds given by them as sureties to the United States; which was read the first and second times by unanimous consent and referred to the Committee on the

## COLLECTION DISTRICTS, ETC.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. DIX asked and obtained leave to bring Agreeably to notice, Ar. DIA asked and obtained leave to nring in a bill concerning certain collection districts, and for other purposes; which was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Commerce.

# BRANCH MINT AT NEW YORK

Agreeably to notice Mr. DIX asked and obtained leave to bring Agreeably to notice Mr. DIA asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to establish a branch of the mint of the United States in the city of New York; which was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Finance.

# REGULATION OF SEAMEN.

Agreeably to notice Mr. DICKINSON asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to amend the act entitled "An act for the regulation of seamen on bound the public and private vessels of the United States," passed the 3d of March, 1813.

Mr. DICKINSON.-Under the somewhat far-fetched title, we Mr. DIUKINSUN—Einter the somewhat Inreferched title, we have the act under which foreigners become citizens of the United States. The twelfth section of that act, however, enables the to become citizens only after declaring their intentions, without to become citizens only after declaring their intentions, without being at any time during the years, out of the retrievy of the United States. It has been decided, and undoubtedly the decision is contract that incolatorials extract for the act for four acts. United States. It has been decided, and undoubtedly the decision is ordered, that involuntarily setting foot on a foreign shore, prevents the person from receiving the benefit of the act. The object off the bill is to strike out the words "without being at any time," See ; so that if the preson to whom the act applies remain boiling did residents of the United States, although is may happen that by accident, and involuntarily they set foot on foreign territory, they shall be entitled to all the benefits of the law. I must he reference of the bill to the Committee on the Judiciary, and sake its early consideration, in the hope that the benefits which and reference of the but to the Committee on the Judiciary, and ask its early consideration, in the hope that the benefits which it is proposed to extend to the many persons who have declared, or may declare their intentions to become entitled to the privileges of citizenship, may be extended as speedily as possible

By manimons consent of the Senate, the bill then had a first and second reading, and was referred to the Committee on the Judi-

## FLORIDA TREATY.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. WESTCOTT asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill further to earry into effect the provisions and stipulations of the 9th article of the Florida treaty with respect to certain losses of Spanish subjects, in West Florida; which was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and re-terred to the Committee on Fareign Relations, together with the papers on file relating thereto.

# DAVID SHAW, ET AL.

Agreeably to notice. Mr. BRADBURY asked and obtained Agreeably to notice. Mr. BRADBURY asked and obtained leave to bring in a joint resolution in lavor of David Shaw and Solomon T. Corser; which was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committen on the Post Office and Post Roads, together with the papers on file.

#### NOTICES OF BILLS, ETC.

Mr. MILLER gave notice that on to morrow, or at some early day, he should ask leave of the Senate to introduce a joint resolution, authorizing the erection on the public grounds in the eity of Washington, of a monument to George Washington.

Mr. GREENE gave notice that on to morrow, or at some early ay, he would ask leave of the Senate to introduce a bill for the day, be would ask lear relief of Mary McRae.

Mr. BREESE gave notice that on to-morrow, or at some early etay, he would ask leave pensate John M. Moore. lenve of the Senate to introduce a bill to com

Mr. UPHAM gave notice that he should, on to-morrow, or at some early day, ask leave of the Senate to introduce a bill changing the places, and fixing the times, for holding the circuit and district courts in the District of Vermont.

Mr. UNDERWOOD gave notice, that on to-morrow, or at me carly day, he would ask leave of the Senate to introduce a bill to allow further time for satisfying certain land warrants, etc.

Mr. DOUGLAS gave notice, that on to-morrow, or at some early day he would ask leave of the Senate to introduce a bill to divide the District of Illinois into two judicial districts.

# On motion by Mr. DIX it was

Ordered, That 2,000 additional expesses of the report of the colo-uel of the corps of Topographical Engineers, communicating, in last, information upon the several subjects designated therein, as connected with the counterce of the Western Lakes and Rivers, be printed for the use of the Senate.

Ordered, That said report he referred to the Committee on Com-

# REFERENCE OF THE PRESIDENT'S MESSAGE.

On motion by Mr. SEVIER, it was

Ordered, That so much of the message of the President of the United States as relates to foreign affairs, be referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

# On motion by Mr. FAIRFIELD, it was

Ordered. That so much of the message of the President of the United States as relates to the Navy and naval service, be referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

### On motion by Mr. ATHERTON, it was

Ordered, That so much of the message of the President of tha United States as relates to the subject of finance and the revenues generally, be referred to the Committee on Finance.

## On motion by Mr. BREESE, it was

Ordered. That so much of the message of the President of the United States as relates to the public lands, reducing and graduating their price, and a permanent pre-emption system, be referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

## On motion by Mr. DIX, it was

Ordered, That so much of the message of the President of the United States as relates to the subject of commerce be referred to the Committee on Commerce.

# On motion by Mr. DICKINSON, it was

Ordered, That so much of the message of the President of the United States as relates to the subject of manufactures, be referred to the Committee on Manufactures.

## On motion by Mr. NILES, it was

Ordered, That so much of the message of the President of the United States as relates to the post office department, be referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

## On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, it was

Ordered. That so much of the message of the President of the United States as relates to the subject of pensions, he referred to the Committee on Pensions.

# On motion by Mr. CASS, it was

Ordered, That so much of the message of the President of the United States as relates to military affairs, be referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

# On motion by Mr. ASHLEY, it was

Ordered, That so much of the message of the President of the United States as relates to the establishment of competent tribu-nals to try and punish crimes, and exercise jurisdiction in civil ea-ses in China, where American citizens are concerned, by virtue of treaty with that power, he referred to the Committee on the

#### DISTRIBUTION OF BOOKS.

Mr. CAMERON submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to:

Resolved, That the Severlary be directed to furnish each member of the present Se-mate, who has not already received them, the same number of copie- of the constitu-tion and other books ordered to be furnished to the Senaton by the resolutions of Fe-trary JR, 1871.

Mr. SEVIER moved a reconsideration of the vote just taken. He observed that there had been a battle about these books every the observed that there had been a outre about these books every year for the last seventeen or eighteen years; and he hoped that the Senator from Pennsylvania would permit the vote to be reconsidered, and not press the adoption of the resolution at this time.

Mr. CAMERON.—The Senator from Arkansas has received, 1 believe, all those books, and I only ask that the new Senators may receive as many as he has received.

Mr. SEVIER.—I suppose that the Senator when he made a monitor of this kind would have some data to go upon, that we might be informed as to the cost. I twill be, I venture to say, voting seven or eight hundred dollars in addition to the pay ofeast Senator—if those books are to be furnished—and where is it to Senator—if these books are to be furnished—and where is it to and ? There seems to be a particular anxiety upon this subject, and so eagerly has it been pursued, that we have frequently nearly lost the evil and diplomate appropriation bill in consequence of it. Why, I have seen the two Houses of Congress at daggers, points in regard (as it. Now, where is all this to end? How much is it going to cost the government? At the last session we parsed a resolution to put upon our tables some twelve or fourteen copies of the proceedings of this body; and to this expense or unconstructing the control of the anybody knowing anything of the matter; it was only by accident that I noticed the resolution. But the Senator says I have received

any portion of the books. I have taken mine, it is true, but they are no manner of use to me; and now, forsooth, because they have been heretofore distributed to Senators, every new Senator who comes in here must be supplied. There will be no end to it. And I will also remark, as my friend from Missouri started at the last session, these books are often drawn and sold to booksellers in this city, and again purchased by order of Congress for distribution. There should be a stop put to this practice, especially at such a time as this, when we are pressed for money to carry on a foreign war in which the country is engaged. I think it is time to put a stop to these expenditures, and I think that these books which can be found in the library, and referred to at any time, and which any Senator may take to his room, and have the use of for the similar forence while engaged in our congressional duries, need not be again procured for distribution to Senators. I hope the vote will be reconsidered with the view of postponing the matter, at least, till we know the amount of the expense which will be necessarily incurred. I trust the Senator will agree to its postponement for a few days, that the honorable Senator from Missouri may be present when the vote is taken upon it.

Mr. CAMERON.—I believe, sir, this debate is ont of order, the resolution having been adopted.

Mr. SEVIER .- I have moved a reconsideration of the vote.

Mr. CAMERON—I was perfectly willing that my triend, the Senator from Arkansas, should make his speech upon the subject. In this on the part of the new Senators, to bent those objections stated by them. It appears to me rather extraordinary, that a Senator who has been here so long, almost, that the memory of man rumenth not to the contrary, and who has always received his due proportion in the distribution of these books, should be so averse, as the Senator from Arkansas says, that the distinguished Senator is, to the extension of the same privileges to other Senators. The Senator from Missouri is certainly very high authority: I am always happy to see him here, but the business of this body, I apprehend, should not be delayed by reason of his sheence. I only rose, however, to reply to the remarks made by the Senator from Arkansas, and an roady to attach much weight to any statement made by him; but I do not believe that any man who ever had the honor of a seat upon this floor would be guilty of so hase an act as to receive these hooks and eltern. I would not make an assertion of that kind naless I were able to produce the names of those who were guilty of such discreditable and ungentlemanly conduct.

Mr. SEVIER.—I merely referred to what was stated by the Senator from Missouri, in his place in the Senate at the last session, that such things had occurred. The Senator assured us that blooks had been drawn by members of Congress, whether of this House or the other, he did not expressly state, and that those books had been disposed of in this city to booksellers, and the same books puckased again, and placed upon our tables; and 1 will add, that I have additional reason to believe that the books that when the bear so the been solid by members, of Congress, and, sir, in regard to these books all the time that I first became a member of this body. They are of some little value certainly for reference, and nothing more; and for this purpose members of Congress have every facility afforded them—they have access to the library where these books are to be lound, and they may take them to their rooms, and keep them throughout the whole session; there is, therefore, no necessity for distributing them. But I do not propose further to debate a matter of this kind. I shall content myself with asking for the year and nays.

Mr. NILES.—It seems to me that the resolution is a very extraordinary one. Hitherto resolutions of this kind have been confined to a certain class of books which have been assumed to be necessary for Senators to possess. But it seems that this resolution embraces other books—books for distribution. If I understand the reading of the resolution, it is confined to those books which were ordered to be distributed among Senators at the last session. Now, in regard to this subject, generally, I can say with the Senator irom Arkansas, that I have always believed that providing ourselves with political libraries at the public expense was not a very honorable proceeding. I admit, as everybody must, that these publications are of considerable value, yet, I can say for myself, that however valuable that source of information may be, I have derived very little benefit from it. I have received no books since I have been a member of this body, except what are called the State papers, and books which were voted to Senators at the last session consisting of thirty odd volums of the proceedings of Congress, going back to a very early period, I have received them, but they will be of no use for the short time I shall remain here, insamuch as they were sent to me at my residence, though they might have been of some little use if they had been allowed to remain here. I have continued

to get along without any such aid in the discharge of my duries here, and I have not perceived that the light to be than derived is very essential to guide us in the discharge of those duties. I have, therefore, not fell authorized to vote for any resolution for distributing books, are can I vote of this proposition.

Mr. MANGUM.—I hope that the vote will be reconsidered. This is a subject which has attracted the attention of Congress for the last fifteen years. My position in this hody has imposed upon me almost the My position in this hody has imposed upon me almost the means in the Treasury to footness have been made involving the means in the Treasury to footness have been made involving the means in the Treasury to footness have been made involving the means in the Treasury to footness, perhaps, exceeding the hounds of moderation. Notwithstanding efforts made ten or fifteen years ago, which in this hody seemed entirely successful, to put an end these expenditures, yet we are called upon from time to time to make appropriations to meet them. My impression is, that under any action of this body it will and permiciones system. I hope that the vote will be recombined and permiciones system. I hope that the vote will be recombined and permiciones system. I hope that the vote will be recombined to the complex of the complex o

Mr. FOOTE.—I think it due to myself that I should not be misunderstood in regard to this question. I happen to be one of that class which is spuden of as new Senators, and the interesting questions to be whether we should receive these books, the titles of the state of the stat

Mr. SEVIER.—I perceive that the resolution refers to a previous one. I should like to know what books were embraced in that resolution?

The Secretary then read the resolutions as follows:

Resolved. That the Secretary is directed to procure for the use of the Senate 2,000 copies of the authentic copy of the constitution, with an analytical index, and complation of other public documents, recently printed and placed in the hands of the members; provided the price shall not exceed the sum of one dollar and twenty-five couls not conv.

Billiones, provision in principal and appear of the authority copy of the conduction, with an analytical index, &c., be presented for the use of the Scanter, provided, they with an analytical index, &c., be presented for the use of the Scanter, provided, they will be farmiable at a relation to freezy per cert, on the price above stand. Resident, That the Secretary of the Senate be directed to furnish each member of the present Senate who has not almostly received them, such books as were farmiable and the present that the senate of the 20th and 3'th Congresser, to clining the 14th Authority of the 20th and 3'th Congresser, to clining the 14th Authority of the 20th and 15th Congresser, to clining the 14th Authority of the 20th and 15th Congresser, to the 15th Authority of the 15th Authority of the 15th Authority of the 20th and 15th Congresser, to the 15th Authority of the 15th Authority of

Mr. CALHOUN.—I have been a good many years in this body, and I believe I have voted steadily against all these propositions to distribute these books, and yet I are to good deal of embarrassment upon the subject. When I have always the conclusion of the best of the subject when the subject with the subject with

pried when I received a letter from one of the messengers of this oday, informing me that there were two boxes of books for me, for I knew not a word about the resolution which passed at the last session. The books were by my direction sent to my rooms in this city. I found them packed in two large boxes, which I have not opened, and which I do not believe I shall open during this session. I appeal to the new members of this body whether this thing outlibe time for putting a stop to it. It is a very great altawe. These analowed to go on for ten years longer, as it has done for the last ten, a whole library will be voted to each new Sentor. I shall vote for the reconsideration.

The question being put upon the reconsideration of the vote, it was decided in the affirmative.

Mr MANGUM then moved that the resolution be referred to the Joint Committee on the Library.

Mr. CAMERON.—I have no objection that it should be referred to that economities. We observe in proposine the adoption of the resolution, was only to act courteously to the new Senators. I brought in better that the question should be determined at the early part of the session, than to dejer it to the end—for I have heard complaints namle regarding the introduction of resolutions of this description at or near the end of a session, when they are necessarily hurried through without mach examination. I an glad that my friend from North Carolina has made this motion. I shall willingly expine.

Mr. MANGUM.—I om sure that the Somitor will feel that not the slightest exception has been taken by me to the early introduction of the subject, for we all know that these things are sometimes pressed through at the heel of the session and pass unobserved.—Lust winter this resolution. I recollect, was passed by a thin Senate, after the lighting of candles on the last day of the session; and I will repeat, that as this practice has been so uniform, and has grown to be o invecterat. I really think some means ought to be taken to put an end to it. It cannot certainly be considered decorrectors or unjust, if, after the distribution he made to these decorrectors or unjust, if, after the distribution he made to these

Senators who have taken their seats during this session, the door should be closed upon any future appropriation of this character.

Mr. HALE—As one of the new members of this body, permit me for myself individually to say, that I hope the matter will be disposed of without any reference to what may be considered the personal claims of new Senators to be furnished with these books. It appears to me that it is not the true policy to say that we will do wrong this once. I think the true way is to begin to do right now. If the plean of "just this once" be allowed to prevail, that plea will be just as good at the next session as now. The true course will be to begin to do right now, and let me hope that such a course will be taken as will forever put a stop to the abuse which has so long existed. Senators may recollect, that at the 28th has so long existed. Senators may recollect, that at the 28th the contingent that of the House. I hope it will now be used out of the contingent fund of the House. I hope it will now be used of the House to whom reference has been made. I am, for myself, perfectly willing to be made an example of in this instance—to have its first operation applied to myself.

Mr. MANGUM.—The Senator from New Hampshire entirely misconceives my meaning, if he understands me to say I would fair or the doing wrong "this one." I said distinctly I would vote to the control of the

Mr. SEVIER.—"This once" has been the cry since this system commenced, and I believe with the Senator from North Carolina, that it will pass in some form or other before we adjourn.

The question being put on the reference to the Committee on the Library, the motion was agreed to.

On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 15, 1847

## REPORT OF THE COAST SURVEY.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a communication from the Secretary of the Treasury, transmiting the repormade to the Treasury Department, by the superintendent of the Coast Survey, showing the progress of that work during the year ending October, 1847; which was read.

On motion by Mr. DIX, it was

Ordered, That it be printed, and that 1,250 copies in addition to the usual number be printed for the use of the Senate, 250 of which to be sent to the superintendent.

## MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

Mr. President. The House of Representatives have chosen Royal R. Guiley, of the Presbyterian denomination, Chaplain on the part of the House.

The House have appointed a committee on entilled bills, on their part, consisting of James G. Hampton and James L. Robinson.

### DETERMINA

The VICE PRESIDENT presented the petition of Mary D. Wade, widow of a deceased naval officer, praying a pension; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, presented the petition of William Pumphrey, praying the confirmation of his title to certain lands in Louisiana; which was referred to the Committee on Private Land Claims.

Mr. ASHLEY submitted additional documents in relation to the petition of Elizabeth Pistole; which were referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. STURGEON presented the memorial of Henry Simpson, surviving administrator of George Simpson, deceased, praying to be allowed a commission on a loan negotiated by the testator for the government in the year 1813; which was referred to the Committee on Finance.

Mr. YULEE presented the potttion of Henry Washington, praying redress for arbitrary and allegal conduct on the part of the Surveyor General of Públic Lands in Florida, in violating a contract entered into with him for executing certain surveys; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

Mr. CASS submitted documents relating to the claim of T. S. Wendall for the payment of a sum of money due him under the Article of the treaty of 1837, with the Saginaw Indians; which were referred to the Committee on Indian Allairs.

Mr. YULEE presented the petition of James Edwards, praying compensation for losses sustained in consequence of the destruction of his property during the Seminole war; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Also, the petition of James Edwards, administrator of Edward M. Wanton, deceased, praying compensation for losses sustained by the deceased, in consequence of the destruction of his property during the Seminole war; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Also, the petition of Eugene Van Ness and John H. Brush, exceutors of Nehemiah Brush, deceased, pransing compensation for losses sustained by the deceased, in consequence of the destruction of his property during the Seminole war: which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Also, the petition of Gad Humphreys, praying indemnity for losses sustained in consequence of the destruction of his property dirring the Seminole war; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Also, the petition of George Center, praying indemnity for losses sustained in consequence of the destruction of his property during the Seminlec war; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. CALHOUN presented the petition of Maria Caldwell Robertson, legal representative of James Caldwell, doceased, praying the payment of certain outstanding loan office certificates, issued to the said James Caldwell, and since lost or destroyed, which was referred to the Committee on Revolutionary Claims.

Mr. MASON presented the memorial of George H. Lee and others, members of the bar in the State of Virginia, praying an increase of the salary of the Judge of the Western District of Virginia; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary. Mr. DIX presented the petition of Ohver C. Harris, praying an extension of his patent for a paint mill; which was referred to the Committee on Patents and the Patent Office.

Mr. ASHLEY presented the petition of citizens of Union county. Arkansas, praying the establishment of a mail route from Magnelia to Holly Springs, in that State; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, it was

Ordered, That the petition of the Mexican Gulf Railroad Company, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Finance.

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Nathaniel Hoggatt, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Private Land Claims.

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Elizabeth Jones, representative of John Curr. deceased, and the petition of Thompson Hutchinson, heir of Thomas Hutchinson, deceased, on the files of the Senate, he severally referred to the Committee on Pensions.

On motion by Mr. FAIRFIELD, it was

Ordered, That the memorial of Foxall A. Parker, on the files of the Senate be referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

On motion by Mr. YULEE, it was

Ordered, That the petition of William C. Easton, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

On motion by Mr. HALE, it was

Ordered, That the petition of the representatives of Robert Sewall, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee of Claims,

On motion by Mr. MASON, it was

Ordered, That the petition of the heirs of William Grayson, deceased, on the files of the Senate be referred to the Committee on Military Aflairs.

On motion by Mr. RUSK, it was

Ordered. That the petition of the heirs of David Noble, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee of Claims.

On motion by Mr. RUSK, it was

Ordered, That the petition of E. P. Calkin & Co., on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

On motion by Mr. MILLER, it was

Ordered, That the petition of John Searing and others, heirs of Mary Allen, deceased, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Pensions.

On motion by Mr. DIX, it was

Ordered, That the petition of John Martin, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Pensions.

On motion by Mr. DOWNS, it was

Ordered, That the petitions of Calvin Reed, of George W. Walton, and of J. W. Nye, assignce of Peter Bargy and Hugh W. Stewart, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee of Claims.

On motion by Mr. PHELPS, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Maria Loubet, legal representative of Jean Baptiste Lomagne, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Revolutionary Claims.

On motion by Mr. ATCHISON, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Thomas Talbot and others, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Indian Afficier

On motion by Mr. NILES, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Thomas Rhodes, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

On motion by Mr. NILES, it was

Ordered That the petition of Walter Loomis and Abel Gay on the files of the Senate be referred to the Committee of Claim

On motion by Mr. DICKINSON, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Asa Andrews on the liles of the Senate, he referred to the Committee of Claims

On motion by Mr. HANNEGAN it was

Ordered, That the petition of the hears of Francis Caznati or the files of the Senate be referred to the Committee on the Judi ciary.

On motion by Mr. UPHAM at was

Ordered, That the documents on the files of the Senate, relating to alterations in the times and places of holding the Curant and District Courts of the United States in Vermont, he referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

On motion by Mr. CORWIN, it was

Ordered. That the petition of the heirs of Benjamin Harrison, and the memorial of Samuel Lamine, executor of Nathan Lamine, deceased, on the files of the Sentite, be severally referred to the Committee on Revolutionary Claims.

On motion by Mr. CORWIN, it was

Ordered, That the memorial of Cadwallader Wallace, on the ples of the Senate, he referred to the Committee on Public Lands,

On motion by Mr. RUSK, it was

Ordered. That the petition of Bryan Callaghan, on the files of the Sonate, be referred to the Committee of Claims.

On motion by Mr. MASON, it was

Ordered. That the heirs of Presley Thornton, deceased, have leave to withdraw their petition and papers

On motion by Mr. HUNTER, it was

Ordered, That William Saunders and William R. Porter, sure-ties of William Estis, have leave to withdraw their petition and

On motion by Mr. FAIRFIELD, it was

Ordered, That Samuel A. Morse, have leave to withdraw his potition and papers.

Messrs. MANGUM, JOHNSON, of Louisiana, FELCH, and MASON, gave notice that on to-morrow, or at some early day, they would ask leave of the Senate to introduce certain bills and joint resolutions.

# FAYMENT FOR HORSES, ETC., IN THE MILITARY SERVICE.

Mr. ATCHISON submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to :

considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Readed, That the Committee on Multin, Affairs be instructed in inpute into the
expeliency of reviving on act causing "An Act to provide fine the parameter of horse
stabilities properly is to a decision of the builders occurs of the fairs! States," or
kilder properly is to a decision of the builders occurs of the fairs! States, "I see
stable A Act to provide promote fair bases and other properly lost or dectined in
the affairs occurs of the fair of State," "supposed Defairs! I, State," and a
parameter for horse and other properly lost or destined in the military service of the fair of State, and the properly lost or destined in
the state of the state of the property lost or destined in the military service of the
military service of the Cauted States same the 23b are that have been engaged in the
military service of the Cauted States same the 23b are that have been engaged in
the orange of the Cauted States same the 23b are the states of the Cauted States same the
transfer of the cauted states of the cauted States same the
transfer of the cauted of the cauted States same the
transfer of the cauted of the cauted States same the
transfer of the cauted of the cauted States same same of the cauted states of the cauted States same same of the cauted states of the cauted States same same of the cauted states of the cauted States same same of the cauted states of the cauted States same same of the cauted states of the cauted states of the cauted States same states of the cauted states of the caute

## THE WAR WITH MEXICO.

Mr. CALHOUN submitted the following resolutions for con-

Resolved. That to compare Mexico and to hold it, either as a previous or from urga-rate it in the Umon, would be inconsistent with the accord object for which the war has been proceeding, a departure from the extend upon of the Circovannesis, in couldar-with the character and genus; and in the end, subversise of our cost and open dis-

On motion by Mr. CALHOUN, it was

Ordered, That the resolutions be minted.

MILITARY BUSPITAL AT NEW ORLEASS

Mr DOWNS submitted the following resolution for consider

The resolution having been read by the Secretary

Mr DOWNS rose and said . I will remark in reference to this

resolution, that there is an urgent necessity that some provision should be made for the sick and disabled soldiers returning from Mexico. "All, or a large portion of them, embark at New Orleans; and a still larger portion of them return by that route, entirely destitute, and although there are means to a limited extent in the barracks and institutions of the city, yet so great is the number of the sick and disabled, that those means are altogether inadequate. In size and ukanicu, max those means are arrogened management. It is therefore highly important—in fact it is essentially meessary—that some permanent provision should be made for the relief between the Tebelieve, I may say, that the Secretary of War, and Grieral Brooke, who are both in possession of a knowledge of these facts, will fully expert in the measures contemplated by this resolution. I have not thought it necessary to make any specific resolution. I have not modeled to necessary to make any special suggestions supelli in regard to carrying into effect the objects of the resolution, as I think it will be much better left in charge of the able Committee on Military Affairs, and I desire to call the attention of the committee to the subject at this time, as General Brooke's now in this city, and will be willing to furnish the committee with any information they may require upon this subject.

The resolution, having been considered by unanimous consent,

PRIVILEGE OF THE PLOOR.

The Senate proceeded to consider the following resolution, submitted yesterday by Mr. NILES :

Resolved. That the Auditors of the Teasury, the Chiefs of the Bureas of the Navarament, and the Assistant Postmasters General, he entitled to seats on the floor of Senate.

Mr. NILES having submitted a modification of the resolution, said: I will merely observe that I, am not disposed unnecessarily to increase the number of those who shall be admitted to the priviincrease the halmer of those who soan be ammeted to the previ-lege of the floor of the Senate chamber; but, I think that, in re-gard to the persons named in this resolution, there is a manifest propriety in admitting them; for, if there be any persons admitted, it should be those who, it may be supposed, from the nature of their efficial duties, may have some eccasion, in connection with bose duties to enter the chamber in order to see chairmen of committees; and I believe that the officers named in this resolu-tion are in that situation. This privilege is extended to many as an act of courtesy and respect, such as members of State Logis-latures, and others; but Senators must all be aware that the men who are connected with the details of public service are the men who should enjoy this privilege, in order that they may suffer no inconvenience in the discharge of their public duties.

The resolution as modified was then agreed to, and is as fol-

Resulved. That the heads of the Bureau of the Treatury, War, Navy, and Post Office Departments, he admitted to seats on the floor of the Senate.

## PROTECTION OF PASSENGERS ON STEAM VESSELS.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to Resolved. That the Committee on Commerce be instructed to inquire whether any finithe legislation is necessary in regard to manigation by steam, for the preservation and protection of possengers.

## U. S. COURTS OF VERMONT.

Agreeably to notice Mr. UPHAM asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill changing the places and fixing the times for holding the Circuit and District Courts in the district of Vermont; which was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

## WASHINGTON MONUMENT

Agreeably to notice, Mr. MILLER asked and obtained leave to bring in a joint resolution, authorizing the crection, on the public grounds, in the city of Washington, of a monument to George Washington: which was read the first and second times, by unamimons consent, and referred to the Committee on the District of

## ANNEXATION OF TERRITORY.

The Senate proceeded to consider the following resolutions, submutted yesterday by Mr. Dickinson:

Hardend, That me polery requires the Government of the l'untel State, to triength make publical and commercial relations upon this continuent by the spacestation of the state of the sta

Mr. DICKINSON remarked that he would not press the con-sideration of these resolutions at this time, but that he would call them up after the holidays, when the Senate should be full. He therefore moved that they be passed over for the present, which was agreed to.

The Senate adjourned.

# THURSDAY, DECEMBER 16, 1847.

Mr. MANGUM presented the credentials of the honorable JOHN MACPHERSON BERRIEN, elected a Sonator of the United States from the State of Georgia, for the term of six years from and after the 4th day of March, 1847; which were read.

Mr. BERRIEN, having taken the oath of office, which was administered to him by the VICE PRESIDENT, took his seat in the

### ROUTE TO CALIFORNIA

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a communication from the Secretary of War, transmitting, in compliance with a resolution of the Senate of the 9th instant, a report from the Colonel of the Corps of Topographical Engineers, with a copy of notes of the military reconncissance of the route from Fort Leavenwith, in Missouri, to San Diego, in California, by Leutenant William H. Emory, of the Topographical Engueve of the said route, and of the Appearance of the said route, and of the California, but Butter, as also the report of California, or Philip St. George Cocke's route to California, other diverging from the track of General Kearney. The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a communica-

On motion by Mr. PEARCE, it was

Ordered, That they be printed.

Mr. PEARCE remarked that as these reports contained infor-Mr. PEARCE remarked that as these reports contained manning mation that was interesting and valuable, he would submit a resolution to print an extra number of copies; but he would not ask for its consideration to-day, as the Senator from Missouri (Mr. BENTON) was desirous of examining the map before it should be lithographed or engraved.

Mr. PEARCE then submitted the following resolution:

Research That one thousand oblithous depicts of the notics of a military recognis-sance from For Leavemonth to San Dego, by Leavemant Wildiam II. Emory, and of Count Cooke's report, be pursted for the see of the Sanate; and that the Seventra of the Searts be directed to came to be engraved or hithographed, an equal number of the man accompanying Insertenan Emory's report, and said of the bolumeit, glosdepoil, and other illustrations as the Committee on the Contingent Expenses of the Searts any approve and direct.

## CONTINGENT EXPENSES OF THE SENATE.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a report of the Secretary of the Scante, made in pursuance of the 20th section of the act of the 26th of August, 1842, showing the disbursements from the contingent fund of the Senate, for the year ending December 4, 1847.

On motion by Mr. BREESE, it was

Ordered. That it be printed.

## WAR DIEETING IN PHILADELPHIA.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a report of the proceedings of a town meeting held in the city of Philadelphia, on the 11th December, 1847, in reference to the existing war with Mexico

On motion, it was

Ordered, That it lie on the table.

ADDOURNMENT OVER.

On motion, it was

Ordered. That when the Senate adjourn, it be to Monday next-

REPORT OF THE SECRETARY OF THE TREASURY.

On motion by Mr. ATHERTON, it was

On motion by Mr. ATHERTOM, it was Ordered, That the Annual Report of the Secretary of the Trea-sury on the Finances, be referred to the Committee on Finance; except so much thereof as relates to the reduction and graduation in the price of the public lands, and the extension of the pre-emp-tion privilege, and to the mineral lands; which is hereby referred to the Committee on Public Lands; and except so much thereof as relates to military land warrants and certificates; which is hereby referred to the Committee on Military Affairs; and except so much thereof as relates to the donation of farms in Oregon, to acmuon autreo in trainers to the domains of farms in Oregod, to age-tral settlers and omigrants, and the grant of sebool sections; which is kereby referred to the Committee on Territories; and except so much thereof as relates to the light-house system, and the ware, housing system, and to the establishment of ports of entry in Ore-gon; which is hereby referred to the Committee on Cenamerce.

NOTICE OF A BILL.

Mr. HUNTER gave notice, that at an early day, he would ask 30TH CONG .- 1ST SESSION-No. 4.

leave of the Senate to introduce a bill to authorize the payment of eave of the coeffice to introduce a bit to authorize the payment of equitable commissions to the agents or attorneys of persons in whose favor awards have been made, under three several treaties between the United States and foreign powers, which awards have been retained in the treasury in payment of debts due to the United States.

WHE LATE SENATOR SPEIGHT.

Mr. FOOTE rose and addressed the Senate as follows:

Mr. President: A few days since we were called upon to assume the customary leadges of mountful respect in honer of a deceased Senator from Connecticut; and now, whilst the sentiment of fraternal grief is yet warm in our bosoms, and the tokens sorrowing affection are still visible upon our persons, it has become necessary that I should give renewed pain to the sensibilities of Senators by passing in review before them another dispensation of Divine Providence, which since the last annual assemblage in this hall, has deprived the national legislature of an appilet and in this hall, has deprived the national legislature of an npright and efficient member—the State of Mississpip of a faithful and approved political argent, and myself of a most worthy and esteemed collegage. The Hon Jesse Spright, died at his own residence, in the State of Mississippi, on Saturday the first day of May last, active a long and painful filteness, which came upon him here, whilst arducusly engaged in the extraordinary labors of the last Congress, and which continued to oppress him sorely for several months, until at last he sunk into that grave, where now quietly repose all that was mortal of the patriot, the philanthropist, and the statesman. I shall not attempt, on this occasion, to deliver a formal and claborate endorgy upon whamened fined, feeling that shall and claborate endorgy upon whamened fined, feeling that shall republican simplicity of his own tastes, by confining myself to a concise and unotestrations delineation of his life and character.

Jesse Speight was born in the county of Greene, and State of North Carollian, a few years authorie to the close of the last cen-

North Carelina, a few years anterior to the close of the last North Carolina, a lew years amerior to the close of the last cen-tury, where he continued uninterruptedly to reside, until his re-moval to the State of Mississippi, in the year 1837. Prito the migration from the State of his nativity, he had officiated as a member of her legislature, and had likewise supplied material aid in the reformation of her organic law, as a prominent member of the convention which assembled for that purpose in the year 1837. Before leaving North Carolina, he had represented one of the con-gressional districts of that ancient Commonwealth in the other gressional districts of that ancient commonwealth in the other wing of this Capital, for four consecutive terms, closing on the third of March, 1837; and I am assured that his whole political conduct at that critical period of our national history was emently creditable to himself, and satisfactory to his constituents. conduct at that critical period of our national history was eminetily creditable to himself, and satisfactory to his constituents.

After his removal to the west, he successively represented a most
intelligent and patriotic constituency, first, in one branch of our
activities of the property of the constituency of the constituency, and
at different times, with such distinguished success as greatly to
elevate his character as a public man, and multiply the number of
his admirers. From the presidency of the Mississpip Senate, he
was elected to a seat upon this floor, where he acted under the
daily and hourly inspection of those in whose presence I now
speak; and, I trust, that I shall not be deemed by any Senator
who hears me at all extravagant, when I assert that my lamented
colleague, whilst connected with this body, gave constant evidence
of his possessing a sound, acute, and practical multicless shall be
processed and any service of the proformance of generous and noble deeds, and which equally
saved him, at all times, from the least participancy in anght that
could be recognised as little, or sordid, or filliberal. He was
bissed with unusual sweetness, and uniformity of temper; and the
uncercomoious cordinity of his manners rendered his society particularly agreeable and attractive. In domestic life he was strikrecludity agreeable and attractive. In domestic life he was strikuncercomonous containly or me authors rendered and severely including agreemble and attractive. In domestic life he was strikingly amiable and exemplary; and his untimely decease has brough sorrow and disappointment to kind and innocent hearts, which his living presence never falled to inspire with sentiments of baoyant hope, and elastic gladness of spirit.

Mr. FOOTE concluded by offering the following resolutions; which were unanimously adopted

Resolved, unanumovely, That the Senate, from a desue to exhibit a profound respect for the memory of the Hon. Jess. Speciari, late a member thereof, will go mis monumics, by wearing crape on the left arm, for third ylay.

Resolved, That as an additional mark of respect for the me mory of the Hoo Jesse Speciari, the Senated no moval adjourn.

Ordered That the Secretary inform the House of Representa-

Whereupon,

The Senate adjourned,

# MONDAY, DECEMBER 20, 1847

The Hon. DANIEL WEBSTER, of the State of Massachusetts, appeared in the Senate to day

### MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT.

A message in writing from the President of the United States, as received by the hunds of J. Knox Walker, Esq., his private scoretary.

## CASE OF JOHN PICKETT AND OTHERS.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate, a report from The STOLE FRESHDENT land neture the Senate, a report from the Obscilictor of the Treasury, in the case of John Pickett and others, owners of the brig Albert, made in pursuance of the directions of the act of 3d March, 1817; which was read.

# PUBLIC MEETING IN PHILADELPHIA

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate the proceedthe VICE FRESHMENT man before the Senate the proceedings of a meeting of citizens in Philadelphia, approxing the act of the government in allowing the emigrant Mormons to rest on certain unoccupied lands, which were laid upon the table.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Secate the petition of Charles S. Jackson, an officer of customs in the port of Philadel-phia, praying to be allowed certain nems rejected by the account-ing officer in the settlement of his accounts; which was referred to the Committee on Finance.

Mr. FELCH presented the petition of Elvira F. Smith, widow of Henry Smith, deceased, an officer in the army, who died of disease contracted in the service, praying to be allowed a pension; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. SEVIER presented the memorial of the survivors of the orthogonal of the States brig Somers, praying indemnity for the loss of their clothing and personal effects, occasioned by the capsizing of that vessel in the harbor of Yera Cruz; which was referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

Mr. CALHOUN submitted additional documents relating to the claim of Hugh Wallace Wormeley to a pension; which were referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. MILLER presented the petition of Susan T. E. Williamson, widow of Charles L. Williamson, deceased, late an officer in the navy, praying a pension; which was referred to the Committee on Navial Affairs.

Also, the memorial of Joshua Shaw, praying that a balance of an appropriation due him, under an act of Congress, and retained in the treasury, may be paid: which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Also, the memorial of the Vestry of Washington Parish, in the city of Washington, praying permission to purchase part of a pub-lic reservation in that city, for the purpose of enlarging their burial ground; which was referred to the Committee on the District of Columbia.

Mr. HANNEGAN presented the memorial of Timothy Tabara, John Laighton, Thomas Hayes, Michael W. Ash, Samoul M.-Cell, John Lord Tabara, Tabara, John L. Sanghan, John Laid, Laid

Mr YULEE presented the petition of Jessee Turner, praying the confirmation of his title to a tract of land held under a Spanish grant, in Florida, which was referred to the Committee on Pri-

Mr. CRITTENDEN presented the petition of Leslie Combs, praying the payment of certain bonds issued to him by the late Republic of Texas; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary, and ordered to be printed.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, presented the memorial of Wilham M. Glendy, an officer of the Navy, praying compensation for performing duties belonging to a higher grade than that held by him in the service; which was referred to the Committee on Naval

Also, the memorial of Sarah Hebard, widow of Andrew Hebard decrased, late a Chief Engineer in the Navy, praying to be allow-ed a pension; which was referred to the Committee on Naval

Also, the memorial of the seamon of the United States squadron Also the mental and a state of the spirit ration; in the Pacific occan, praying the restoration of the spirit ration; which was referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

Also, the memorial of Mrs. Ann Chase, praying indemnity for losses at Tampico, in Mexico, in consequence of an outbreak of the populace on the commencement of hostilities; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

Mr. DIX presented the memorial of officers of the army, now serving in Mexico, praying the passage of an act granting pensions to the widows and orphans of such as may die in service.

Also, a memorial of officers of the army, now serving in Mexico. praying the passage of a law authorizing the retirement of officers of the army from active service on certain conditions.

In presenting these petitions, Mr. DIX said :

I rise to present two petitions to which I desire to invoke the attention of the Senate. It was not until yesterday that I was apprised of their contents, or I should have brought them here at an expension of the contents, or I was not until yesterday that I was apprised of their contents, or I should have brought them here at an earlier day. They were left on my table in this rity, a week or ten days ago, when I was absent, seeded and addressed to an honorable Senator from Missouri, (Mr. BENTOS,) not now in his place, who served for many vears as chairman of the Committee on Military Affairs, with distinguished honor to himself and advantage to the country; and I greatly regret that he is not here to take charge of them. I can not the habity that the content of the country of the content of the content of the country of the country

porting list." It contains two hundred and thirty-three signatures, and praying for certain logislative provisions in respect to aged and disabled officers, which, without casting any new burden on the public treasury, would in the opinion of the petitioners, add greatly to the efficiency of the army, and at the same time do justice to those, who perform the drudgery, and encounter the perils of military service in the field. I will only say further in reference the content of the perils of the desired of the perils of the desired of the perils of the desired of the perils of the per or immerty servace in the field. I will only say intitler in Preference to this petition, that the plan suggested corresponds, to some extent, though not fully, with one proposed by a late commander of the army, General Macomb,) and I believe recently recommended by the present Adjutant General with a view to the same object.

The second is styled "a petition for widows and orphans." It The second is styled "a petition for whoose and orphinis: it is signed by two hundred and twenty-two officers, and I believe the names, as far as they go, are identical with those borne of the first. It is also dated at Puebla, on the first day of August last, almost at the moment the army took up its march for the valled of the when considered in comession with the surrounding errors. cumstances and the brilliant events, which followed each other a rapidity of succession scarcely exceeded by those which signalized the first entrance of Bonaparte into Italy, it addresses itself with great force to the feelings, as well as the justice of Congress and

great force to the feelings, as well as the justice of Congress and the country.

I will not de an the Senate by entering into any detailed review of these events with a view to enforce the appeal contained in the petition. I hope, however, I may be induiged in saying, in justice to those who hore a part in them, that the first conquest of Mexico cannot, as it appears to me, be compared with the second, either as to the obstacles overcome, or as to the relative strength of the invaders. The triumplis of Cortex were achieved by policy and by superiority in discipline, and in the implements of warfare. The use of hre-atms, until then unknown to the inhabitants of Mexico, which is the period of t Mexico, was united is one man against him, and animated by the ferrest animosity. He was opposed by military forces armed like his own, often better disciplined, occupying positions chosen by themselves, strong by nature, and fortified according to the strictest rules of art. These obstacles were overcome by his skill as a tection, aided by a corps of officers unsurpassed for their knowledge of the art of attack and defence, and by the indemittable cour-

age of their followers. With half his force left on the battle-field age of their followers. With half his force left on the battle-field or in the hospital, and with less than six thousand men, after a series of desperate contests, he took possession of the city of Mexico, containing nearly two hundred thousan! himbattants, and defended by the remnant of an army of more than thirty thousand soldiers. I confess! I know nothing in modern warfare which exceeds in brilliancy the movements of the American army from the gull to the city of Mexico. I shall not attempt to speak of them in the hunganger of eulogium. They are not a fit theme for such comment. Like the achievements of General Topy the theorem of the comment is the first of the comment. Like the achievements of General Topy that the highest and of not the organization of the contained in the simplest statement of thes? statement of facts

Mr. President, the gallant achievements in the valley of Mexico, to which I have briefly referred, were due to the chivalrous men, whose names are signed to this petition, and to their gallant associates in the field. The names of the volunteer officers, who so whose names are signed to this petition, and to their gallant asso-ciates in the field. The names of the volunteer officers, who so nobly distinguished themselves, are not borne on the petition. The subject concerns the regular army only, and the petitioners belong exclusively to that arm of the service. At the head of the first I find Winfield Scott, William J. Worth, John A. Quitnan, Gideon J. Pillow, David E. Twiggs, James Shields, George Cad-vallader, and Persifor F. Smith, all general officers, I larney, Clark, Riley, and Garland among the colonels; and among the field and staff, and lower commissioned grades after names, too numerous to mention, which those who lear them have, by their cullentry made familiar to their countrymen. I have already gallantry, made familiar to their countrymen. I have already said, that this petition was signed at Puebla, almost at the mo-ment the army commenced its march from that city for the valley ment the army commenced its marten from since any for the source of Mexico. Sir, it is more than probable, that the last time many of these gallant men held a pen was to inserble their names upon this petition; and when this appeal to their countrymen was made, in full confidence, doubtless, that their prayer would be heard, their hands thenecforth, detail only with the weepons with which they were vindicating their country's honor in total field, and which, the conqueror of us all, could wrest from their names that death, the conqueror of us all, could wrest from their

grasp.
Mr. President, I will not undertake to give a summary of the contents of this petition. It is very birel; preparing, as the petitioners were, for the unequal contest which awaited them, and of which, perhaps, they alone did not doubt the issue, they had no words to waste, even on the subject nearest to their hearts. I will read it, with the Senate's permission, in their own language:

read it, with the Senate's permission, in their own languages:

"To the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America:

"We, the undersuped, officers of the United States Army, beg leave, most expectably, to express to your homosphese books, but many of as are married, and have left dauger and sudden death, not only on the field of lattle, but by exposure to subscuitly and deadly channer; and that negate to the fight many of us have our hearts deposed by the nedamberly excited that, if we fail, our wives and children will be represented by the nedamberly excited that, if we fail, our wives and children will be the subscription of the su

It is due to General Scutt to say, that in signing the petition, he added these words:

Without any desire of procuring for my own family any contingent benefit from the proposel change in the pension laws of the army, I could be concern in the reasonablence of the foregoing petition, and can see no military or other objection to its being signed and presented.

General Quitman also signs with the following addition:

"I approve the measure of placing the regular army on the same footing with the navy and volunteers." This remark of General Quitman explains all. The army is not

on an equally favorable footing, in respect to widows and orphans, with the navy or volunteers. Without complaining of the inon an equality include rooting, in respect to whom and to passing with the newy or volunteers. Without complaining of the inequality, the petitioners respectfully ask that it may be rectified.

Mr. President, I have no doubt that in reading and signing this

petition, the recollection of wives and children, thousands of away, many of whom were to become widows and orphans before this last missive could reach the capitol, was to the signers far this last missive could reach the capitol, was to the signers far more trying than the secones of danger and death on which they were about to enter. If it was to them a moment of weakness— the only weakness pardonable to gallant mea—the memory of a dozen battle-fields attests that it was the last. Some of them sleep in homorable graves; some are lying on beds of sickness in Mexico; many are still in the field, ready, as ever, to peril all in their country's enuse; and others—I am sorry to say not a few—restored to their homes, are dragging about their scarred and mangled lights, in the face of their friends and kindred, with lives held by the frailest tenure.

the frailest tenure. But, since the frailest tenure, and the frailest tenure, the frailest tenure frailest the frailest tenure frailest tenure frailest tenure frailest fraillest frailest frailest frailest frailest frailest frailest frail

being acquainted many years ago, in the staff of a former com-manding general of the army. It is dated at the National Palace, in the city of Mexico, on the 1st of October, two months after the petition was signed.

"I would also call to jour natice the melanchely fact, that many of the afficers, who have signed this petition, have since plotonary fallen in the service of their country, serving destrate videox and helpies orphans. And, being dead, they now emphatically speak, asying: We have offered up our lives to our country, and we now cally speak, asying: We have offered up our lives to our country, and we now call to the control of the country of the country

Is it too much. Mr. President, to ask that these petitions, with Is it too much. Mr. President, to ask that these petitions, with the names attached to them, may be printed? I know it is not the practice of the Seoats to print petitions. But these are something more. As far as they go, they are lists of the gallant living and the honored dead in Mexico. I make the motion to print.

The memorials were then referred to the Committee on Military Affairs, and ordered to be printed

Mr. YULEE presented the petion of Isaac Varnes, senior, praying indemnity for lesses sustained in consequence of the occupation of his property as a military post, by troops of the United States; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Also, the memorial of Joseph Knox Boyd, praying compensation for his services in the recapture of the United States frigate Philadelphia, in the harbor of Tripoli, in the year 1804; which was referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

Also, the memorial of William A. Christian, a Purser in the Navy, praying the allowance of certain items, suspended by the accounting officers in the settlement of his accounts, for payments made to the acting forward officers on board of the United States steamer Princeton; which was referred to the Committee on Naval

Also, the memorial of John Crosby, in behalf of the children of Andrew D. Crosby, deceased, late a Purser in the Navy, praging that they may be allowed a five years' pension; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Also, the memorial of John Crosby, administrator of Andrew D. Crosby, deceased, late a Purser in the Navy, praying to he allowed a credit on his accounts for payments made to certain acting forward officers on board the United States ship Ontario; which was referred to the Committee on Naval Altinz.

Mr. CASS submitted documents relating to the claims of A. Kearsley, receiver of public lands at Detroit, to compensation for extra clerk hire in his office; which were referred to the Committee

Mr. DIX presented a memorial of citizens of Oswego, praying that a drawback of duties may be allowed on wheat imported from Canada into the United States, when manufactured into flour and exported; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce.

Also, the memorial of Benjamin J. Cahoone, a Purser in the Navy, praying the reimbursement of money advanced by him on public account; which was referred to the Committee on Naval

Mr BADGER presented a memorial of the Religious Society of Friends at New Garden, North Carolina, praying the adoption of measures for the immediate ermination of the war with Mexico. which was laid upon the table and ordered to be printed

On motion by Mr. RUSK, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Wm. H. Prentiss, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee of Claims.

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of La., it was

Ordered, That the petition of Bailie Peyton, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Private Land Claims.

On motion by JOHNSON, of La., it was

Ordered, That the petition of W. H. Bassett, on the files of the Senate be referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of La., it was

Ordered, That the petition of Abner L. Duncan, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of La., it was

Ordered, That the documents on the files of the Senate, relating to the claim of William De Buys, be referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

On motion by Mr. CLAYTON, it was

Ordered; That the petition of Bettriah Healy, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Pensions.

On motion by Mr. UPHAM, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Abigail Garland, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Pensions.

On motion by Mr. YULEE, it was

Ordered, That the petition of A. H. Cole, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

### On motion by Mr. YULFE, it was

Ordered, That the petition of certain claimants for remunera-tion for supplies furnished to Florida Militia in the Seminole war, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

## On motion by Mr. YULEE, it was

Ordered. That the petition of John G. Snnchez, administrator of Frances R. Sauchez, on the files of the Senate, he referred to the Committee of Claims.

# On motion by Mr. MASON, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Pearson Cogswell, on the tiles of the Senate, be referred to the Committee of Claims.

# On motion by Mr. ATCHISON, it was

Ordered. That the petition of Henry M. Shreve, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Commerce.

## On motion by Mr. FAIRFIELD, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Jessee D. Elliott, the petition of Thomas Brownell, and the petition of James McIntosh, on the files of the Scnate, be severally referred to the Committee on Naval A ffuirs

## On motion by Mr. DOWNS, it was

Ordered. That the memorial of the members of the Bar at New Orleans, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

### On motion by Mr. DOWNS, it was

Ordered, That the petition of John Milikin, Ann H. P. Lawson, and others, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

# On motion by Mr. DAVIS, of Miss., it was

Ordered, That the petition of Clements, Bryan and Company on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee of Claims.

# On motion by Mr. DAVIS, of Miss., it was

Ordered, That the petition of Henry Childs, on the files of the Senate, he referred to the Committee on Pensions.

## On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, it was

Ordered. That the petition of John L. Worden, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

# On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Gustavus B. Horner, on the files of the Schate, be referred to the Committee on Revolutionary

# On metion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, it was

Ordered, That the petition of the legal representatives of Ethan Allen, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Revolutionary Claims.

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, it was

# Ordered, That the petition of Stephen Champlin, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, it was

# Ordered, That the petition of the heirs of Caleb Swan, on the files of the Senate, he referred to the Committee of Claims.

On metion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, it was Ordered, That the petition of Columbus Alexander and Theo-dore Barnard, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Com-

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, it was Ordered. That the petition of Maria S. Nourse, widow of Joseph Nourse, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee of Claims.

On motion by Mr. CORWIN. it was Ordered, That the petition of Joseph Radeliff, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee of Claims.

# On metion by Mr. DIX, it was

mittee of Claims.

Ordered, That the petition of Samuel Grice, on the files of the Senate, he referred to the Committee of Claims.

## On motion by Mr. ATCHISON, it was

Ordered, That Throckmorton and Bent, St. Vrain and Compahave leave to withdraw their petition and papers from the fi of the Senate.

### NOTICES OF BILLS.

Messrs. MANGUM, DIX, CRITTENDEN, and FOOTE, severally gave notice, that on to-morrow, or at some early day, they would ask leave to introduce sundry bills.

## RESOLUTIONS OF STATE LEGISLATURES.

Mr. BALDWIN presented a preamble and resolutions, passed by the Legislature of Connecticut, in favor of the construction of a railroad from Lake Michigan to the Pacific ocean, according to a plan proposed by Asn Whitney, a citizen of New York: which were read and ordered to be printed.

Mr. UNDERWOOD, in presenting a report and resolutions adopted by the Legislature of the State of Kentucky, requesting the Senators and Representatives of that State in Congress to adopted by the Legislature of the State of acmucesty requesting the Senators and Representatives of that State in Congress to argue upon that body the importance of passing such laws as will be analytic the considerable the state of the st without personal acquainterors, into a competer of that about, and without personal acquainterors, in the personal acquainterors are personal acquainterors, and the personal acquainterors and a personal acquainterors. But in the meantime the slave had escaped into Canada; and there was the end of the matter. He then moved that the memorial be referred to the Committee on the Judiciary, in order that something night be reported which would meet the case.

## On motion by Mr. UNDERWOOD, it was

Ordered, That they be printed.

Mr. HALE presented resolutions passed by the Legislature of the State of New Humpshire in opposition to any legislation by Congress on the subject of slavery; or the extension of slavery in any territory which may hereafter be acquired by the United States, which were read and ordered to be printed.

Also, a resolution passed by the Legislature of the State of Nev Also, a resolution passed by the Degistance of the state of the Hampshire, in favor of the passage of an act for the removal of the terms of the Circuit and District Courts of the United States for the District of New Hampshire from Exeter to Concord, in that State; which was ordered to be printed.

Mr. NLES, in presenting a preamble and resolution passed by the Legislature of the State of Connecticut, declaring their opposition to the introduction of slavery or involuntary servicule, except as a punishment for crime, into any territory which may hereafter be acquired by, or annexed to, the United States; which were read, said: I move, sir, that the resolutions which I have more submitted be printed. The preamble to these resolutions has no practical connection with the resolutions themselves. Whether the war proceeded from the act of Mexico or from the act of the President of the United States, is a matter which can have no include each of the Control of the United States, is a matter which can have no include each whether upon the question—as to the disnostition of any resident of the Onited states, is a matter which can have be in-fluence whatever upon the question—as to the disposition of any territory which may be acquired in consequence of it; and I allude to this matter, sir, because I believe it was found embarrassing to to this matter, sir, because I believe it was found embarrassing to the Legislature, and that it placed the minority of that body in a situation somewhat analogous to that in which some of my triends over the way found them-eleves in relation to the law recognizing ever the way found them-eleves in relation to the law recognizing and that allows the control of the did not like the preamble to limit at a situation of the control of the situation of the control of the the Legislature, and that it placed the minority of that body in a

could have regretted that the question should have come here—have hoped that in some way it would have been kept out of this body, but we have already resolutions bringing up this question. We have, sir, the message of the Executive one of the co-ordinate branches of the government, informing us that a part of Mexico now beof the government, informing us that a part of Mexico now be-longs to this Union, and calling on us to establish a territorial go-vernment over it. Many persons have assumed the position to which I have referred, not I apprehend, for the levting interests sumed this position; that the question would not arise because they were opposed to acquisition. Well, sir, that time has gone by; we are told that we have this territory and are called on to recognize it as a part of the territory of the United States, and to establish the jurisdiction of our eavil government over it. In regard, sir, to this position which has been assumed by the Escentive, I have nothing to say; I was somewhat cartifely and the state of the thing of the consideration in the course of the session. But sir, the other consideration in the course of the session. But sir, the other question is brought forward here, and we shall, I suppose, have it discussed. For one, sir, having felt it my duty at the last session to express my views in regard to it, it will be unnecessary for me to explain my course until the question actually comes up again. I certainly, sir, shall pursue such a course as I believe to be mode-I extrainly, sir, shall pursue since a course is I believe to be moderate and conciliatory and kind towards that section of the Union, with which I shall probably differ on this subject. But I cannot consent to misrepresent my constituents. I cannot mistake their sentiments. I cannot mistake their sentiments. I cannot mistake what I believe the their interests. I think the pubble sentiment of my State, and the public seriment of the whole north, is that they will offer the state of the whole north, is that they will offer the state of the whole north, is that they will offer the state of the stat tion, so far as the constitution and public treaties have secured those rights—or in other words, that they will support the instituslavery as an American institution, so far as the constitution or sincery as an American institution, so in a as the constitu-tion and public treaties have carried it, and given it a common ground. So far, sir, I believe the public scutiment of the north is well settled, and I believe it is equally well settled that they are not desirous by any action on their part to be instrumental in "en-larging the area of slavery" as it is sometimes called. I believe larging the area of slavery" as it is sometimes called. I believe the sentiment is that there is no power in this government to do that, and if there were, it would be unwise and unjust to exercise it. This question will probably lead to some debate, and I tope it will be discussed as temperately as any other question which can come before this body. I hope it will be discussed with a proper regard to the interests and honor of the whole country. For one, sir, I so not believe that there is any power in this government which can institute or originate the interest in the control of the whole country. For one, sir, I so not believe that there is any power in this government which can institute or originate the control of the whole country. For one, sir, I so not believe that has corried it; and if we are to go beyond the constitution. I am, as I have ever been, ready here and elsewhere, to defend the positions I assumed at the last session. Nor do I believe it would be consistent with the duty of this government, to acquisece in the tions I assumed at the last session. Nor do I believe it would be consistent with the duty of this government, to acquise on the existence of slavery in fact, and without law in any territory we may acquire. Nothing, in my opinion, can be more clearly unjus-tifiable: but the question presented in these resolutions is entirely distinct. It is a question whether this government shall become an agent in establishing or extending this institution. I will not detain the Senate further at this time.

Ordered, That they be printed.

Mr. ATHERTON presented resolutions passed by the Legislature of the State of New Hampshire, in favor of the repeat of so much of the "sect to static state" of the property of

which were read and ordered to be printed.

Also, resolutions of the Legislature of New Hampshire, tendering the thanks of that State to Major General Zachary Taylor and Major General Winfield Scott, and to the larve officers and soldiers under their respective commands, for their distinguished and gallant conduct during the several engagements with the enemy, by whose act the war now exists; tendering sympathy to the friends and relatives of the brave men who had fallen; declaring that the course of our government has been marked by a spirit of forbearnee and conclination, until the actual invasion of our territory; and recognizing in the measures of the national Executive a spirit of justice and a desire for peace, but, at the same time, wisdom, statesmanlike forecast, and patriotic energy; which were read and ordered to be printed.

Mr. SEVIER hoped that the motion of the honorable Senator from New Hampshire to print would be withdrawn; or if that were not in order now, that a reconsideration of the question might be agreed to, in order that the resolutions might be withdrawn.

A SENATOR .- Why !

Mr. SEVIER.—Because it is entirely an unusal course of procedure. I have never known it to be done in the Senate.

Mr. ATHERTON.—I have certainly no desire to do my thing in the slightest degree in contravention of the established practice of the Senate. But I suppose that according to the practice, resolutions passed on general subjects, as these have been, may be presented to Congress although no express clause to that effect may be contained in them. I have no doubt that it was the de-

sign of the members of the Legislature that those resolutions should be presented to Congress. The resolutions were sent to me by them, and I presume, sir, that it was designed that all of them should be presented. I believe that a copy of them were sent to my colleague. Supposing it to be the expectation of the Legislature that these resolutions should be presented. I hought it my duty to present them. If, however, that procedure be contrary to the usual praetice of the Senate in presenting resolutions, unless there be an express request that they should be presented. I have certainly no wish to press them. I have supposed that in the course which I have taken, there is nothing in contravention of established order. I am on aware that resolutions have been excluded on account of the absence of a special request that they excluded on account of the absence of a special request that they excluded on presentatives to pursue a certain course in regard to the discharge of their duties, have been frequently presented to Congress, although they contained no special request that they should be laddere either House of Congress. These resolutions an general subjects might, I supposed, be presented. I shall be guided entirely, however, by the opinion of older members of the Senate, and if it let thought that these resolutions should not constitute a precedent I shall withdraw them.

The motion to reconsider the motion, by which the resolutions referred to were laid upon the table, was then put and adopted.

The question recurring on the resolution to print,

Mr. ATHERTON rose and said: I will withdraw the resolutions for the present, for the purpose of examining the subject, and on more mature consideration will determine whether they ought to be presented or not.

### JOHN B. BRYAN, ADMINISTRATOR, ETC.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. MANGUM asked, and obtained leave, to hining in a bill for the relief of John R. Bryan, Administrator of Isaac Carrotson, deceased, late a Purser in the United States Navy, which was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

### CORRECTION OF ERRORS IN SURVEYS.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. FELCH asked, and obtained leave, to bring in a joint resolution relating to errors and defective returns in certain surveys, plats, and field notes; which was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

### COMPENSATION TO JOHN M. MOORE.

Agrecably to notice, Mr. BREESE asked, and obtained leave, to bring in a bill to compensate John M. Moore; which was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

## INDIAN RESERVATIONS.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. BRESE asked, and obtained leave, to bring in a bill authorizing persons to whom reservations of land have been inade under certain Indian tracties to alienate the same in fee; which was read the first and second times by unammous coosent, and referred to the Committee on Indian Alfairs.

## UNITED STATES COURTS IN VIRGINIA.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. MASON asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to change the time of holding the district courts of the United States for the western district of Virginia, and for other purposes; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

# RIGHT OF WAY TO ILLINOIS.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. BREESE asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to grant to the State of Illinois a right of way through the public lands, and for other purposes; which was read the first and second times, by manimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

## BOUNTY LAND CLAIMS.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. UNDERWOOD asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to allow further time for satisfying claims for hounty lands for military services in the late war with Great Britain, and for other purposes; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

## GRANT OF LAND TO LOUISIANA

Agreeably to notice, Mr. JOHNSON of La., asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to grant to the State of Louisians certain lands for internal improvement; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

## OVERFLOWED LANDS.

Mr. ASHLEY submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved, That the Secretary of the Treasury be directed transform the Senate of the reasons which have prevented bis compliance with a resolution adopted by the Senate 11th February, 1846, at the first session of the 22th Congress on the bellowing

the Hilb February, 1846, at the first season of the 20th Congress. In the bollowing work, the Mr. was the Severage of the Thesaure be discrete to make the two largest examination of the public hands subject to overflow in the State of Akhonis, bly the Mrossupp, Akhonisa, White Black, 1646, and 84 Fernica, rivers in and Stale is the the quantity overflowed by the Mrossupp and Akhonis, rivers Kong between a the properties of the Akhonisa and Akhonis, rivers Kong between a second Highland westward and the fands of coreflowed; and that he sport the assumation way to protect those had from overflow, together with a plan for that object, at the present means of Congress.

PRINTING OF MAPS

Mr. BREFSE said.—A few days size, a report was made from the general hand office, and accompanying that report very nearward and the same and accompanying the report very new whose coertained that the expense of engraving will not execulate the same than the same of the same accordance of the best of these many to be derived the same of the same of the same of the same of the same to be derived to be of those many to be derived to the same of the same of the same of the same to be derived to the same of the same

Mr. WESTCOTT remarked that there was a map of the State of Florida accompanying that report already engraved, the printing of which would occasion very little expense. He inquired if that map was embraced in the Senator's motion.

Mr. BREESE replied that it was

The motion was agreed to.

THE CONQUEST OF MEXICO.

Mr. CALHOUN.—I hope that the resolutions which I offerred the other day may now be taken up, in order to fix a day for their convidention.

The resolutions were then taken up and read, as follows

Reinlerd, That to conquer Mexico and to hold it, either as a province or to incorporate it in the Union, would be inconsistent with the avived object for which the warhas been prosecuted; a departure from the settle policy of the government; in colwith its character and genus; and in the end, subsective of our fee and popular institutions.

tutions.

Resolved, That no line of policy, in the further pro-ecution of the war, bould be adosted, which may lead to consequences so disastrons.

Mr. CALHOUN.—I shall be regulated, in fixing the day, by the wishes of the Senate. I have no particular desire in regard to a very early consideration of the resolutions. All I wish is that there should be no unnecessary delay. If it be agreeable to the Senate, I propose that they be taken up on the first Tuesday in January next—two weeks from to-marrow.

Mr. ALLEN—I understand that the Senature from South Carolna has made a motion, and I suppose I am in order in rising to make a remark. I do not know that I am in order in rising to make a remark. I do not know that I am in particular objection to that notation; but as this is a matter of great consideration and comes from a source which entirles it to great consideration and is not the face of the resolution, as on the face of the resolution; and the Source of the form South Carolina, whilst I request him to explain the resolution, so far to tell us whether it means to exclude the idea of any territorial indeamity from Mexico, or any incorporation into the body of the public domain first, and then as states into this Union of any territory which we may acquire at the conclusion of this war? Or, whether it means barely to negative the idea of a unlike warf or, whether it means barely to negative the idea of a unlike warf or, the tother of these senses. That is the matter, sir, which I am desirons of knowing before I vote in anywise in reference to it.

Mr. CALHOUN.—I will nawer the Senator from Oblo with a great deal of pleasure. It is not my intention to involve any question in reference to territorial indemnity or any other subject apart from that which is presented in the resolution itself. I believe the pressing question at this moment is, whether we shall conquer Mexico and hold here as a subjected province, or incorporate her into our Union. That, of course, would involve the nationality of Mexico, and it was to that point my resolution referred. I think, sir, it is a question which ought to be first decided; hecause, Mr. President, if I am any judge at all of the operation of existing of the continuous of the members of all that is doing is to end in the annihilation of that nucleavely affect that so of post-ratio of existing of the continuous of the c

Mr. DICKINSON.—I believe my resolutions have precedence on the calendar, and were passed over informally—are they included in the motion now before the Senate?

The PRESIDING OFFICER.—They are not embraced in it,
Mr CALHOUN.—I perceive that one of the morning papers

supposes that my resolutions were introduced with reference to those offered by the Senator from New York. Not at all. My resolutions were written several days before his were introduced. The Senator may fix any day he pleases for the consideration of his resolutions, either before or after the day fixed upon for the consideration of mine.

Mr. ALLEN—The subject of the Mexican war is one equable of levine expanded very largely in the minds of mer, and from the outportance with which it seems to the minds of mer, and from the unportance with which it seems to the minds of the session, it is more than likely that it will, can be a perfectly of the session in its discussion, gating rise to a very begge diversity of sentiment in the members of this body. It may, therefore, he a matter well worthy of the consideration of the Senate whether a subject comprebending so many remote consequences, as are suggested by the Senator from South Carolina, and a subject which, at all events, is in the judgment of every man of very great importance, should not be considered with that deliberation which the Senate always because of my many and it is a subject when the above the subject when the subject comprehens the committees or granized by this body for the due consideration of the body itself. Several resolutions to the final adjudication of the body itself. Several resolutions to the final adjudication of the body itself. Several resolutions of the body itself is subject shall end, when it becomes divided up and adjusted to the different capacities and judgments of this body? This is but the beginning of the session, and we have two sets of resolutions. Before the day arrives for the action of the Senate upon this particular matter, we may have a dozen other resolutions for these resolutions, in the first place, to the Committee on Foreign Relations. If it be in order, I shall make that motion,

Mr. CALHOUN.—I do trust that the course suggested by the Senator will not be pursued. What is the object of the reference? Reference is made to Committees for the purpose of considering and perfecting details; but here there are no details to settle. In fact, it is a simple proposition. The Senate have only to determine whether it is intereded or desired that the whole of Mexico shall be computered—her nationality destroyed—and that we shall be placed in a position in which we shall be compelled either to hold her as a subjected province, or incorporate her into our brilliance of the control of the proposition of the placed in a position in which we shall be compelled either to hold her as a subjected province, or incorporate her into our small degree on the rights of individual Senators here. Not the small degree on the rights of individual Senators here. Not in the small degree on the rights of individual Senators here. Not in the small degree on the rights of individual Senators here. Not in the small degree on the rights of individual Senators here. Not in the small degree on the rights of individual Senators here. Not in the small degree on the rights of individual Senators here. Not in the small degree on the rights of individual Senators here. Not in the small degree on the rights of individual Senators here. Not in the small degree on the rights of individual Senators here. Not in the small degree on the rights of individual Senators here. Not in the small degree on the rights of individual Senators here. Not in the small degree on the rights of individual Senators here. Not in the small degree on the rights of individual Senators here. Not in the small degree on the rights of individual Senators here. Not in the small degree on the rights of the small degree on the rig

Mr. ALLEN.—I certainly did not desire to deprive any Senator of any of his just rights in this hody, nor of any opportunity for the exercise of those rights; but I believe it would be difficult to thid, on referrence to the journal, an instance of any one question being introduced in the form of a resolution, of such grave importance as this, which was not referred to one of the committees of this body. It would be very difficult to find an exception to the general rule—very difficult. This is a question affecting our foreign relations—clearly and emphatically so. It is not so merely in its meaning and effect, but it is so on the face of the resolution, and in so many words. Well, sir, it will not limit the range of activities of the solution of the committee. It will always the solution, nor of any other gentleman on this floor. The only effect it into, nor of any other gentleman on this floor. The only effect it only in the solution of all questions whose unagnitude entitles them to that consideration—that second review of the human understanding. It is upon to the solution of the solution. But we are at the commencement of the session, we are on this side of the head to the solution of our usual entous in its measurement of the session, we are on this side of the head to the solution the adjudication of the solution of our usual entous in its measurement of the session, we are on this side of the head to the sol

of the heavier part of the public business, which is not taken up till after the holidays. There is, therefore, abundance of time. Sit that he holidays are the reason in the property of the of the heavier part of the public business, which is not taken up Mexico. I am one web does not believe that these two questions are the same, either in point of lact or of remote effect. The Senath from Swan Jadgment than I have no be satisfied with mine, thinks differently and the same reason to be satisfied with mine, thinks differently are matted, thoughts are entitled to consideration of the contract thoughts are entitled to consideration of the contract thoughts will have their effect upon the public mind of a large portion of this people, and it is for that reason intent I desired also the mistaken I desired also thoughts are obtained as the mistaken I desired a last broughts a bound from Mexico territories. torial indemnity to any extent, is equivalent in its remote consequences to an utter annihilation of the sovereignty of Mexico, and the incorporation of its entire mass of territory within this Union. with its ten millions of population, I desire time to inquire whether with its fen millions of population. I desire time to 'inquire whether, when, and wherefore, these propositions are the same—whether these remote and comprehensive effects are to follow from all acquisition of a portion of Mexico, as an indemnity to this government, for the wrongs which it has sustained at the hands of Mexico. I desire that the committee to whom this subject should be referred, should have an opportunity of investigating that question, and ascertaining whether those two propositions are identical or not; because it is very manifest that the identity of these two propositions will make a very material difference in the estimation which the American people shall put upon them. I do not think that they are identical, sir, by any means. The subject then being one of such obvious and acknowledged importance—so much time being befor us—so few inconveniences. The subject then being one of such obvious and acknowledged importance—so much time being before us—so few inconveniences being at all likely to result from the reference to a committee, I cannot, sir, for my life, discover any grave reason why the reference should not take place. But I shall not only acquiesce, as I am bound to do, in the judgment of the Senate; but I shall acquiesce in that judgment, whatever it may be, without the least feeling of disappointment. And I assure the Senator from South Carcino, that it is in no spirit of eaviling—from no disposition to discover with him unnecessarily, or our judgensjon that I make olma, that it is in no spirit of earling—root no disposition to dis-agree with him unnecessarily, or get up discussion, that I make this saggestion. I act simply upon the same principle upon which the Senate itself acted when it established those rules under which its business is submitted to the various committees.

Mr. CALHOUN.—I rise principally to correct an error into which the Senator from Ohio has fallen, doubtless from having mis-muderstood my remarks. I by no means said that I considered the annihilation of the nationality of Mexico, and the acquisition of a principal control of the territory as identical; on the contrary. I said express-by that I did not understand the resolution as involving the question of territory at all. I agree with him that we may take a part-very large parts of Mexico without touching her nationality. were large win and that we may take a parter were large parts of Mexico without touching her actionally. Moreover large to the parter of the p I do not know an instance in which any such reference has been made. I mysell at the last session introduced important resolutions on the subject of slavery. They were discussed here and and every where.

Mr. ALLEN .- [In his scat.]-There is no Committee of the Senate on Slavery.

Mr. CALHOUN .- You have a Committee on Territories, and Mr. CALHOUN.—You have a Committee on Territories, and that involved a territorial question. You may raise a special committee. There is no difference, in that view, between a special and regular committee. The whole drift of his motton—on which I shall say no more—is to take my own resolutions out of my own hand, so that the committee may make the first speech on them, to which I will be called upon to reply, instead of explaining my own resolutions. I submit whether that be fair:

Mr. SEVIER.—I hope my friend from Ohio will withdraw his motion. I will only say, as one member of the Committee on

Foreign Relations, that I have made up no opinion with regard to the resolutions of the honorable Senator from South Carolina.

Mr. ALLEN .- Certainly, I have no disposition to force, even if I had the power, such a motion, if the committee are averse to the reference; and therefore, at the request of the honorable chairman of the committee, I beg to withdraw the motion I have made. Allow me, sir, however, to take this occasion to remark, that I am gratified with the explanation which the Senator from South Carolina has made, and in relation to which he conceives that I was mistaken in supposing that he meant that the taking any territo-rial indemnity was identical with the annihilation of Mexico. I did certainly understand him to say that that was to be the ulterior nsequence; and it was the identity of consequences that he bad consequences; and it was the mentally of consequences and no ma-property of the consequence of the consequence of the consequence of the consequences would flow, however remotely; that is to say, that the taking of territorial indensity involved an alterior necessity of annihilating Mexico altogether. But if that we work the weather than the consequences where the consequences were the consequences and the consequences are the consequences. the laking of territorial indemnity movied an afterior necessity of annihilating Mexico altogether. But if that he not the view of the Senator, I am glad to bear it, because I presumed, on the first form of the preposition, there would be few opinions antagoristic to his, and I hope upon the second—that is as to territorial adem-nity—I shall find the Senator adding those who go for indemnifying the country for its losses, in the way of lands.

The resolutions were then postponed until Tuesday, the 4th day of January next, and made the special order of that day.

## ANNEXATION OF TERRITORY.

The Senate proceeded to consider the following resolutions, heretofore submitted by Mr. DICKINSON:

heretotore submitted by Mr. DICKINSON:

Readed, That the polar yeapine the government of the United States to strengthen by patient and commercial phatiner upon this continent, by the naive-stop of clarks of the patient of the pati

Mr. DICKINSON then cose and moved that the resolutions offered by him be made the special order for two weeks from to-day

Mr. BERRIEN .- I beg to ask the Senator from New York if it is his purpose to anticipate a discussion on the resolutions of the Senator from South Carolina!

Mr. DICKINSON -I do not fully hear the Senator

Mr. BERRIEN—Is it the purpose of the Senator from New York in the motion just submitted to anticipate the discussion on the resolutions of the Senator from South Carolina?

Mr. DICKINSON.—I do not know how the discussion of the resolutions offered by the honorable Senator from South Carolina can be anticipated in the consideration of what he himself consideration. ered an independent proposition.

Mr. SEVIER .- I hope, Mr. President, that before we get into this sea of discussion we may dispose of some public measures which now press upon our consideration. I would suggest that as the resolutions offered by the Senators from South Carolina and New York are aniagonistical to a very great extent, they may with propriety be considered together. I hope, therefore, that the Senator from New York will allow his to be considered with the Senator from New York will allow his to be considered with the others. In the meantime I hope that we may be able to dispose of the bill for recruiting the ranks of the army, and also of the measures necessary to provide the means of feeding and elothing the soldiers engaged in fighting the battles of their country.

Mr. DICKINSON begged to insist on his motion.

Mr. CALHOUN hoped that the Senator would fix an earlier day for the consideration of these resolutions than that fixed for those which he (Mr. C.) had offered.

Mr. DICKINSON was desirous only of an opportunity of explaining his resolutions before the entrance of any other subject, which, by giving rise to discussion, might prevent him from doing

Mr. CALHOUN suggested that the Senator could have the opportunity which he sought on the morning of the day after that lixed for the consideration of his (Mr. C.'s) resolutions.

Mr. DICKINSON .- I am not so sure of that; and I prefer that Mr. DICKINSON.—I am not so sure of that; and I prefer that my resolutions retain the priority to which they are entitled in the order in which they were offered. I may not trespass long on the attention of the Senate in addressing it on the resolutions, but what little I have to say. I desire to offer before discussion arises

Mr. CALHOUN.-I must make a remark here. The Senate has already fixed a day for the consideration of my resolutions; and the Senator now proposes the day immediately preceding for the consideration of his—thus anticipating mine. That his root tons shall pass without discussion, is impossible. There will be, as I take it, great diversity of opinion subspheet, at least the resolution now stands. Lt. treating my resolutions fairly, after having fixed a day for their consideration, the day immediately preceding should be set apart for the consideration of client which must give rise to discussion, in consequence of which mine may be out off. If the Senator wishes to be heard, he can be hard on any intermediate day, or on the morning immediately after the day set apart for the consideration of my resolutions.

Mr. DICKINSON.—I do not intend to supersode the Senator in any other sense than I indicate. I was without the bar when I learned that the Senator's resolution was under consideration, and I majured whether the motion made by the honorable Senator included the resolutions which I had the honor to submit. The reply was that they had been passed over informally, and were not embraced in the motion of the Senator. It was then remarked by the Senator that the propositions were independent, and that mine could be set down for an earlier or an earlier of an earlier of the senator of the senator in the senator of the senator is an earlier of the senator of the senat

Mt. CASS.—The suggestion of the Senator from Arkanass is entitled to consideration. We are all aware that the President has submitted to Congress the necessity of additional military forces, and the Military Committee has had no opportunity to examine the subject, but will soon meet and take it try. I hope, whatever time may be fixed for the consideration of these resolutions, it may be distinctly understood that they are not to stand in the way of public business of a more pressing nature, demanding the immediate attention of Congress. I do trust that it may be distinctly understood before an order is made on this subject, that the subject of the consideration of the subject, that is say, with regard to the resolution of the honorable gentleman from South Carolina, with the greatest deference to his opinions, that I myself do not see its practical importance. I am perfectly will go to hear the views of the Senator. I know that they will be intelligent, striking, and that they will go to the public with the great weight of his character; but I must say that there is no man in this nation in favor of the extinction of the nationality of Sevicio. The Executive has indicated his views, and they are totally inconsistent with the there is no involved the subject of the second of the views and they are totally inconsistent with the the extinction of the acting to say. But I must remark that I do think that it is exceedingly unwise, at this stage of the prosecution of the variety of the world in that case, after laving declared that we did not mean to setze the whole of Mexico. If the resolution be intended as a velocie of the opinions of the Senator from South Canwess will. I dollar not always great weight in the country plant shall oppose any vote on the subject, as that would be in my judgment unnecessary and impolitie.

Mr. CALHOUN.—I should be very glad indeed to think with the honorable gentleman from Mishigut, that there is no person in the country who thinks of the extinction of the nationality of Mexice. Why, von each hardly read a newspaper without finding it filled with speculation upon this subject. The proceedings that took place in Ohio, at a diamer given to one of the volunteer of liers of the Army returned from Mexico, show conclusively that the impression entertained be the persons present, was, that our trous pressure the whole country. This was the sentiment advanced by those of the whole country. This was the sentiment advanced by the order of the country. This was the sentiment advanced by the control of the country of the control of the country of the country. The question is not now whether such a thing is contemplated: at tritilation as such notive to any one. I look at the progress of events. I look at white proposed and the end of te-chose consequences which I propose to avet by this resolution. With this manner of the progress of this war—left him consider how we got office a sequence, which I propose to avet by this resolution. With the consideration of the progress of the war better than the consideration of the progress of the war.

commenced we were told that the government was to conquer a peace, and what have we been told since? That we must carry on the war vigorously. Where is this to end? The whole progress towards the accomplishment of the model object of the great coverned to the control of the control of the control of the control object of the control of the control object of the control of the control object of the control of the control of the control object of the control of the con

Mr. CASS—I do not see that the Senator from South Carolina has changed the question. He speaks of the objects of the war-II by this is meant the objects to be attained by the prosecution of the properties of the transparency of the case of the ca

The course of obstunate infatuation on the part of Mexico, if persisted in, may comple us to do hereafter far otherwise than we would do now. I am not for agitating the question. When the time comes that we shall be called on to act constitutionally and lawfully; let us then act, but not by a previous declaration. The honorable Senator says, that at a certain meeting, a proposition was made that Mexico must be altogether annihilated; what then, is in accessing for us to declare that such is not the intention? Sometime since, a resolution was offered for annexing. Cuba to this country, and yet nobody thought of offering a counter resolution. Cart it should not be annexed; and if a proposition were made any where that England or France should be annexed to this country, there would be no necessity to bring forward a resolution in opposition to it. It seems to me, that these resolutions have no practical bearing, or if they have, that this is not the time for their discussion. I leave the question.

Mr NLES—I have always been opposed to washing much time on the discussion of abstract propositions, in which light I regard these resolutions, and especially the one of my homerable friend from New York. The discussion upon that resolution would not shed much light upon the principal question, which can only come upon a Territoria bill or a treaty. I regard the resolution of the homerable and distinguished Scantor from South Caralina, as pretry near an abstraction. That homerable Seantor is rather fond of abstractions, and probably he has introduced a good many of them in the form of resolutions here. There does appear to nic, sur, to be antimate connexton between the two great questions presented in these resolutions, and the whole legislation upon these subjects

in regard to our peculiar, extraordinary, unexampled relations with a neighboring power. We are called on by the Executive, in the distance of his high functions, to provide additional means for the distance of his high functions, to provide additional means for the countries of the varr—and with the view, as he tells us, of securing an honorable peace. Well, sir, in regard to the general proposition, I apprehend that there will be very little diversity of opinion here—I should hope so, sir, at least, for even these honorable gentlemen who, some of them, I believe, think that this war originated unnecessarily and somewhat aggressively on our part, must nevertheless see the conditition the country is in, and that whatever may have been the origin of the war, cannot now very materially bear upon the question. We must asstain the national character—we must in some way bring this war to a conclusion. Well, sir, if the proposition of the bonorable Senator have a direct and practical bearing upon the bill which may come before us in pursuance of the recommendation of the President, then, sir, perhaps we may as well meet this great question in this form as in any haps we may as well meet this great question in this form as in any other I should think that the decision of the Senate upon the two questions which these resolutions present, would be matter of some importance to the Committee on Military Affairs, and would give some degree of direction to the course of action devolving on them, and in regard to the additional propositions which it may be their and in regard to the additional propositions which it may be their duty to bring before us in the prosecution of this war. As I have already observed, all parties will agree that this war should be brought to an honorable conclusion. What is an honorable conclusion of the war? Some may think that we have acquired honor enough already in its prosecution, and that we have prosecuted it as far as is justifiable. There are but few, however, who this so. We want a treaty of peace; the war must be prosecuted for so. We want a treaty of peace; the war must be prosecuted for that object, and it is a legitimate object; but at the same time we who represent the interests of this great nation have, or ought to have, some little sagreity. We must look at this actual condition of things and see what will be the result of pursuing this matter—and this question is distinctly presented in the second resolution; that is, what line of policy in the prosecution of this war may lead to a result which will be much more important—much more solven in its consequences than the attainment of an honorable peace. May not such a result follow? It sthere not reason to fear it and if so it may not be amiss to look at it in advance, and as preceding the preparations which it may be necessary to make for the prosethe preparations which it may be necessary to make for the prose-cution of the war. I believe it to be the general sentiment of this body, that the conquest of this extensive country is not desired. The next question is, whether we may or not be thrown into a position in which such a result will become almost inevitable. The Senain which such a result will become aimost meytable. The Sona-tor from Michigan tells us we cannot see the end of the war— that we cannot now say what the terms of peace should be, be-cause, what might be suitable at this time, might be very unsuitable at another time. Well, then, would it not be well to examine and see how we are best to secure the object we are all aiming at, that we may not be drawn into the necessity of taking possess of the whole of Mexico? Now, both of these questions are pre-sented in these resolutions, and the last one 1 regard as being of the great importance, and there may be difficulty in acting upon the great importance, and there may be difficulty in acting upon it, because there is nothing deficient in the terms of the resolution itself, by which we are to reach the end desired. I believe I may say that we all wish to avoid the probable contingency whereby we shall be under the necessity of taking care of the whole popular lation of that country and extending our jurisdiction over it. Now these are great questions, which cannot be too carefully considerthese are great questions, which cannot be too carefully consider-ed. I am not for abandoning this war, but at the same time. I cannot but see that every step we take in the conquest of his country but increases our difficulty and rende. s the adjustment which I have alluded to a subject of greater difficulty. Sir, no one can mistake that it is the sentiment of the army, and the army of this country is now of sufficient importance to speak, and to be heard and felt; it is evidently, I say, their sentiment that this war is only to end with the entire conquest of Mexico. I have read the proceedings of the meeting to which the honorable Scan-read the proceedings of the meeting to which the honorable Scanread the proceedings of the meeting to which the honorable Sena-tor alluded; I read them with the most profound regret.— Sir, we hear from our countrymen abroad in the service of their country, (and we hear the same from several quarters,) that we cannot make peace without the subjugation of the whole country. When we see that these things are so, and that this is likely to become the prevailing sentiment, is in not time to view matters carefully, and to proceed with cantion? I think so, for one. It is not necessary to go farther into this sub-pect; it is not necessary to go farther into this sub-I think so, for one. It is not necessary to go farther into this subject; it is not necessary to look at the consequences that will result to our institutions; it is not difficult to see the unhappy condition in which this country will be placed, and the alarming results which will follow from a change so great, so momentous, in our political condition, and in the extent of our territorial possessions. Sir, I think there is some p.opriety in acting upon these proposi-tions in advance of acting upon measures connected with this war. I certainly should not have proposed anything of the kind myself, but theirg here, I cannot see, looking at the signs of the times, but that there is a manifest propriety in examining these questions. I am one who think that we ought to have indeninty from Mexi-co; that we ought to prosecute the war with the end of obtaining co; that we ought to prosecute the war with the end of obtaining indemnity if there be any reasonable prospect of getting it by a farther extension of our conqests. But if there is not such a prospect—if we have already proceeded far enough to be convinced that peace is not to be obtained by extending our dominion, then comes the grave question, whether we shall fall back upon sorts each proposition as that which was offered by the distinguished gentleman from South Carolina at the last session, and content ourselves with the occupation of a portion of ther territory, or whether we will 30TH Cong .- 1st Session-No. 5.

make the calamities of war fall with increased force upon the one my, and then induce then to make peace. But will they be induced to do this by breaking up the fragments of the government they have, and reselving that country into the primitive condition of a population without a government? I think it involves a serious doubt, whether, after we have done that, we shall not be further from the end than we are at present. I will yield my objections against diverting the attention of the Senate to the discussion of abstract propositions. So far as these propositions have a bearing upon the subject that may come before us for our consideration. Believing this to be the case in regard to one of these hope it will once up at an early day. I know there are important matters of business, important bills, one of them in relation to our foreign mail service, which I hope will be considered at an early day, and I greatly fear that if these two propositions are taken up, the discussion will consume a menth, at least, of our time, before we can do anything in regard to these important matters of business.

Mr. DICKINSON.—Anxious as I am to obtain discussion upon the resolutions I offered, I do not wish them to interfer with matters of legislation; nor shall I discuss them as some others, seem inclined to do, before they are under consideration. The Senator from Connecticut, [Mr. Nilles,] thinks they are abstractions. I believe, sir, I can call that Senator as a witness, and disprove his allegation. That Senator is at all times severely practical, and yet, on presenting resolutions of his State this morning, he proceeded to discuss the subject embraced in my resolutions at solutions been added to the senator's notice, for the reason that he does not gratuit to a solution been abstractions. I am sure they would not have thus received the Senator's notice, for the reason that he does not gratuit tously discuss abstractions. I do not complain of this departure from the usual course, for it was doubtless agreeable to the Senate I listen to the learned Senator, as it certainly was to me; but I adaduoe it as the highest evidence that my resolutions are not abstractions. The resolutions are not abstractions. The resolution are centurely practical; they relate to subjects of legislation which has a giftant my tessel to the properties of legislation which has a giftant of the properties of the subjects of legislation which has a giftant of the properties of the properties of the subject of the subject of the properties of the properties of the subject of the subje

## ROUTE TO CALIFORNIA.

The Senate proceeded to consider the following resolutions submitted on Thursday last by Mr. Pearce, and it was agreed to:

Readed, That are thousand additional copies of the notice of antifury recomoice of the control of the notice of antifury recomoice. The notice of antifury recomoice of the notice of a notice of the notice of th

## THE LATE GEORGE C. DROMGOOLE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

Mr. President: I am directed to notify the Senate of the death of the Hon Ground C. Dromgoone, late a member of the House of Representative from the State of Viginia.

The resolutions adopted by the Honse of Representatives on the occasion having been read, Mr. MASON rose and addressed the Senate as follows:

I discharge a melancholy duty. Mr. President, in asking the Smate to extend the customary evidence of respect to the memory of the very distinguished gentleman whose mean tendency of the very distinguished gentleman whose mean considerable announced to us. George Cork Dronvolont, a repulsible coefficient of the control of the control

presiding officer at that body, in the year 1835, we closeful to the House of Representatives of the fortill states. It was my fortune to be associated with him the property of the form their honored State, and of this confidency, and the states are strong their states. inne to be associated with him explosed control of our order of control of our horizontal control of the contro a diminished vote, evinced, that although they could chasten, they would not dismiss their representative. Of his infirmities, sir, it may become him to speak, who humself has none; let their memory be barried in the tomb, where now reposes all that was mortal of the state-sman, the patriot, and the iriend. I move, sir, the follow-

Recolect, manusculy, Tast the Senate has heard with deep sensibility of the manuscular feature. Cour Distribution is representative elect from the State of Vignua, which has been constant to the state of Vignua, which has been constant to the state of Vignua, which has been constant to the state of the decrease, the Senators will wear the usual budge of manuscrip for thirty days. Senators will be senate the usual budge of manuscrip for thirty days. Resident, As a further man for reper, that the Senate do now adjourn

The resolutions having been unanimously adopted,

The Senate adjourned.

## TUESDAY, DECEMBER 21, 1847.

### PETITIONS.

Mr. DIX presented the memorial of David Whelpley, praying compensation for his services in the expedition under General Pike to the sources of the Mississippi in 1805 and 1806; which was re-ferred to the Committee of Claims.

Mr. DIX presented the memorial of Amos Holten, formerly a Quartermaster in the Army, praying that the accounting officers may be directed to audit and settle his accounts; which was refer-red to the Committee of Claims.

Mr. WESTCOTT presented the memorial of Charles L. Dell, praying compensation for military services performed by him in the seminole war; which was referred to the Committee on Military

Mr. WESTCO I'T presented the memorial of Francis Martin, MI. MI.STOUTI presented the memorial of Francis Martin, an officer of the Revenue Service. praying compensation for services rendered by him in the enpacity of a Lieutenant in the Navy. in the Seminole war; which was referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

Mr. BREESE presented two memorials of citizens of the State of Illinois, praying that the right of pre-emption may be allowed to the Illinois Central Rail Road Company to the lands over which it is proposed to construct their road; which were referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, presented the memorial of Latvinia Taylor, widow of a deceased soldier, praying a pension: which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. FELCH presented the petition of Charles Bradburg, praying indemnity for French spoliations, prior to 1800; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

Mr. DAYTON presented the memorial of Ann J. Hassler, wid-ow of Charles A. Hassler, deceased, late a Surgeon in the Navy, praying to be allowed a pension; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. MASON presented the petition of Thomas N. Welch, administrator of Churchill Gibbs, deceased, an officer in the Revolutionary Army, praying to be aflowed commutation pay, which was referred to the Committee on Revolutionary Claims.

On motion by Mr. DIX, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Aaron Legget, on the files of the Senate, he referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

On motion by Mr. WESTCOTT, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Alexander Watsen, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee of Claims.

On motion by Mr. WESTCOTT, it was

Ordered, That the resolutions of the Legislature of Florida, on the files of the Senate, relating to indemnification for losses by In-dian depradations in the Seminole war, be referred to the Committee of Claims.

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, it was Ordered, That the petition of John Devlin, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee of Claims.

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, it was

Ordered, That the petition of John McColgan, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Commerce.

On motion by Mr. CORWIN, it was

Ordered, That the memorial of the heirs of William A. Slacum, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

On motion by Mr. BUTLER, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Millege Galphin, on the files of the Senate, he referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

On motion by Mr. Fairfield, it was

Ordered, That the petition of William T. Sayward, and others, owners of the brig Canton, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Commerce.

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, it was

Ordered, That James Foster, and the heirs of John H. Stone, deceased, have leave to withdraw their petitions and papers.

On motion by Mr. MASON, it was

Ordered. That the heirs of John and Henry Banks, have leave to withdraw their memorial and papers.

## NOTICES OF BILLS.

Messrs BRADBURY, BREESE and BRIGHT, gave notice that on to-morrow, or at some early day, they would ask leave to introduce certain bills, which they named.

# SUPERINTENDENT OF THE ANTE-CHAMBER.

On motion by Mr. MANGUM, it was

Ordered, That the Vice President be authorized and requested to appoint a Superintendent of the Senate's ante-chamber.

Mr. DAYTON submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Revolved, That the Committee on the Judiciary be instructed to coquire whether any legislation be necessary to carry into effect the treaty between this country and for the country and the property of the country of the cou

### FORT ARMSTRONG.

Mr. BREESE submitted the following resolution for considera-

Recolved, That the Secretary of War be directed to inform the Senate, if Fort Amistrong, on Rock Island, in the State of Illinos, is now occupied as a military poit; and if not, how long the same has been almondend, in viceo charge the same is, and and on what terms, and also, that be communicate his opinion if the interests of the government require that said such claud he reserved from sale, for military par

Mr. ASHLEY submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

## CONICAL VENTILATOR.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. MANGUM asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to direct the Secretary of the Navy to purchase the pattent right of the Conical Ventilator; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Naval Alfairs.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. DIX asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to repeal the act of 2d March, 1837, entitled "An act concerning Pilots." which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Commerce.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. DIX asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill concerning testimony; which was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee

## THE STATE OF ARKANSAS

Agreeably to notice, Mr. ASHLEY asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to divide the district of Arkansas into two judicial districts; which was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. FOOTE asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill for the relief of Susan E. Gordon; which was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee of Claims.

## BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEES.

Mr. BALDWIN, from the Committee of Claims, reported a bill authorizing the payment of a sum of money to Robert Purkis; which was read and passed to a second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

The left become been a second reading, the Senate proceeded to its consider can use a Committee of the Wilder, when, on motion by Mr. GREENE, it was

Ordered, That it lie upon the table.

Mr. CASS, from the Committee on Military Affairs reported a tall for the relief of Mary McRea, widow of Lieut, Col. William McRea, late of the United States Army, deceased which was read and passed to a second reading.

Mr WESTCOTT, from the Committee of Claims, reported α bill for the relief of the administrative of Elisha L. Keen; which was read and passed to a second reading.

Ordered, That the report accompanying the bill be printed.

Mr. FAIRFIELD, from the Committee on Naval Affairs, to whom was referred the bill for the relief of Joseph Wilson, reported it without amendmen.

Ordered, That the report accompanying the bill be printed.

Mr. FAIRFIELD, from the Committee on Naval Affairs, re-ported a bill for the relief of Walter R. Johnson; which was read and passed to a second reading.

Ordered, That the report accompanying the bill be printed.

CALEB GREEN.

On motion by Mr. MASON, it was

Ordered, That the Committee of Claims be discharged from the further consideration of the petition of Caleb Green; and that it be referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 22, 1847.

### PETITIONS.

Mr. GREENE presented the petition of Elizabeth Hamilton, widow of Alexander Hamilton, deceased, praying the patronage of the government in the publication of the writings of her late hasband; which was referred to the Committee on the Library.

Mr. ATCHISON presented the memorial of Henry M Shreve principle of the presented the memorial of Henry M. Shreve, and the property of a snag boat of which he is the inventor and patentee, and the purchase of his patent right by the United States; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce and

Mr. HALE presented the memorial of the yearly meeting of Anti-Slavery Friends of Indiana, praying for the adoption of mea-sures for the immediate termination of the war with Mexico, and for the immediate termination of slavery.

for the immediate termination of slavery.

On presenting this petition, Mr. HALE said: I suppose, Mr. President, as this petition prays for the exertion of all the powers of government so far as they extend in relation to this subject, it includes within its perview slavery within the District of Columbia; and I am informed that the practice has obtained in the Seante, when petitions of this character are prosented, to raise the question of reception, and that such a motion is laid upon the table and there the inatter drops. As this course does not accord with my own conviction of duty, I must arge a different disposition of this petition; and, I hope that if exception be taken, it will be taken without this side-blow of a motion to lay on the table. With this view, if the question of reception be raised, I ask that it may be taken by yeas and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER .- Those in favor of taking the question by yeas and nays will rise.

Mr. HALE .- Was the motion made to lay the motion upon the table?

The PRESIDING OFFICER .- The question is to be put as a matter of course.

Mr. HALE.—I was not aware of the existence of such a rule; but that being the case, I would like to say a single word on the main question, as the motion to lay on the table is not debatable.

Mr. BERRIEN.-I trust that the established usage of the Senand DEARLEX—I trust that the established using of the Sen-ate will not be departed from on this occasion. When a petition of this sort is presented, the question of reception is raised by a motion to lay a petition on the table. I raise that question; I move to lay the motion upon the table.

Mr. HALE .- Upon that question i ask the yeas and nays.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, inquired whether it would be in order to move a postponement of the question of reception till to-

The PRESIDING OFFICER .- The question to lay on the table has precedence

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, then said that his only object was, that the Senate might be full before the question was taken.

Mr. CALHOUN .- What is the question :

The PRESIDING OFFICER .-- It is to lay the motion to receive the petition on the table.

Mr. CALHOUN .- What is the subject matter of the petition ?

The PRESIDING OFFICER .- The abolition of slavery in the District of Columbia.

Mr. HALE.—If it be in order, I will state the subject matter of the petition. The petition comes from the yearly meeting of Friends at Newport, Wayne county, Indiana, praying the termination of the war in Mexico; and also, praying that all the powers vested in Congress upon the subject, shall be exerted for the ter-

Mr. BUTLER,-That does not say anything about slavery in

Mr. HALE .- I remarked that that was included in the petition.

The question was then taken on the call for the yeas and nays A sufficient number of members rising, the yeas and nays were ordered, and were taken as follows:

YPAS—Meur, Allen, Ashley, Atchicon, Atherton, Badger, Bell, Bernen, Bradburr, Brees, Bright, Buther, Calhoun, Cass, Davis, of Massuspon, Decknoon, Dax, Dower, Faiffeld, Feder, Hanter, Johnson, of Mayanal, Johnson, of Daxisiana, Mangam, Masoo, Nile, Rask, Sevier, Fjunance, Sturgeon, Turney, Westcott and Yuleo—33.
XAYAS—Mears, Baldwin, Clarke, Carvin, Greene, Hale, Miller, Phelps, Uniter wood, and Uplatin.—9.

So the motion to receive the petition was laid upon the table

Mr. HALE presented the memorial of David T. Burr, and sixty-nine others, citizens of Pennsylvania, praying for such an alteration of the constitution and laws as shall abolish slavery

Mr. HALE said: I do not understand that there is a standing rule or order of the Senate that raises the question whether this petition shall be received, or the motion to receive it laid on the table. I ask whether the motion to receive the petition is debatable? Am I correct, sir, in supposing it is debatable?

PRESIDING OFFICER .- It is debatable.

PRESIDING OFFICER.—It is debatable.

Mr. HALE.—So understanding it, sir, I wish to say a single word in vindication of the course which I deem it my duty to take on this occasion. It is with no desire to produce angry feelings, or excited discussion, but it is in discharge of my duty, under the deep and carriest convictions of my understanding, that I attempt to discharge that duty. What is the refusal of the Senate to receive these petitions? It is saying that there are some subjects on which the people shall not approach this tribunal. In this day, speculation is adventurous. We venture to inquire into all the secrets of the material and the spiritual world. The researches of geological science have penetrated the bowles of the carth, and have found there the materials by which it is essayed to prove that

"He who made the world, and its age reveal'd To Moses, was mistaken."

"He who made the world, and the age revolvd To Mose, was mustaken." In Mose, was mustaken." In Mose, was mustaken. The Mose was mustaken. The Mose was mustaken to the very throne of Eternity, and undertrakes to sean the laws by which He that sits thereon governs His own actions and the world Ho has created.—And, sir, if speculation is thus adventurous, have we, in the United States of America, an institution which exalts itself above food—defying examination or inquiry, or petition event Most emphatically, sir, do I conceive that, at the present day, the people of the United States have to heap the states and a respectful hearing heady a respectful of the Control of the Co

Mr. BERRIEN .- The practice which has been adopted by the Senate has been the result of calm and deliberate consideration. It has protected as from those exciting discussions which, in another branch of the national legislature, have too often occurred. I outer branch of the national registrature, have too often occurred. I do not apprehend that anything which has fallen from the bonorable Senator from New Hampshire, who presents this petition, is calculated to change the well-settled conviction of the Senate on this subject. I therefore, sir, the question of reception being before the Senate, move to lay that question on the table.

The motion to receive the petition was then laid on the table.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, presented the petition of D. A. Waterston, praying compensation for services as clerk in the office of the Surveyor General of Louisiana; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

Mr. MASON presented the memorial of William B. Slaughter. late Secretary of the Territory of Wisconsin, praying the re-payment of money expended by him in the public service; which was referred to the Committee on Territories.

On motion by Mr. YULEE, it was

Ordered, That Joseph H. Waring have leave to withdraw his petition and papers.

On motion by Mr. GREENE, it was

Ordered, That the petition and papers of Elizabeth Hamilton, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on the Library.

On motion by Mr. HUNTER, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Joseph M. Sheppard, administrator of Riehard Harris, deceased, and John Scott, administrator of Nimod Farrow, deceased, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee of Chims.

On motion by Mr. MILLER, it was

Ordered That the memorials and petitions against the repeal of the pilot act, on the files of the Senate, he referred to the Committee on Commerce.

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, it was

Ordered, That the petition of William W. Hall, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Pensions.

On motion by Mr. CASS, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Thomas C. Sheldon, on the files of the Senate, he referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

On motion by Mr. DICKINSON, it was Ordered, That the petition of John Lorimer Graham, on the files of the Senate, he referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

On motion by Mr. DIX, it was

Ordered, That the memorials and petitions on the files of the Senate, in favor of the repeal of the Pilot act, he referred to the Committee on Commerce.

NOTICE OF A BILL.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, gave notice that on to-morrow he should ask leave of the Senate to introduce a bill continuing the pensions of certain widows.

THE PATENT LAWS.

Mr. WESTCOTT submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to:

was considered by unsummous consent and agreed (6):

Resolved. That the Committee on Patents and the Patent Office he instructed to
enquine whether any modification of the present laws relating to Patents and the Patent Office be expedient.

ADDITIONAL TROOPS.

Mr. CASS, from the Committee on Military Affairs, reported a bill to raise, for a limited time, an additional military force; which was read and passed to a second reading. Mr. CASS gave notice that he would call this bill up on the first opportunity, after it should have been printed.

COLLECTION DISTRICTS.

Mr. DIX, from the Committee on Commerce, to whom was referred the bill concerning certain collection districts, and for other purposes, reported it without amendment.

### APPELLATE JURISDICTION.

Mr. ASHLEY, from the Committee on the Indiciary, reported a bill supplementary to the net entitled "An act to regulate the exercise of the appellate jurisdation of the Supreme Court, in certain cases, and for other purposes;" on which he asked for speedy action of the Seante, as the bill was applicable to eases now pending before the Supreme Court of the United States. It was intended to remedy a defect in existing laws on the subject.

The bill having had its first reading, was then read a second time, by unanimous consent, and considered as in Committee of the Whole, and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engrossed, and read a third time.

The bill was read a third time by unanimous consent.

Resolved. That this bill pass, and that the tale thereof he as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

### FORT ABMOTRONG

The Senate proceeded to the consideration of the following resolution, submitted yesterday by Mr. BREESE, and it was agreed to.

Resolved. That the Secretary of War be directed to inform the Senate if Fort Armstrong, on Rock Island, in the State of Illinon, is now occupied as a ministry port; and if not, law long life scame has been abundanded, in whose charge the asine is, and on what tenny; and also, that he communicate his opinion if the interests of the government equir that a-sol set should be reserved from ske, for military purpose,

### EXECUTIVE SESSION.

On motion by Mr. SEVIER, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of Excentive business, and after a short time spent therein, the doors were opened, and

The Senate adjourned.

# THURSDAY, DECEMBER 23, 1847.

The Hon. ARTHUR P. BAGBY, of the State of Alabama, appeared in the Senate to-day.

## MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT

A message was received from the President of the United States, by the hands of Mr. Walker, his private Secretary, transmitting a report from the Secretary of the Navy, in relation to the construction of loating dry-docks at Pensacola, Philadelphia, and Kittery; which was referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs, and ordered to be printed.

Mr. DICKINSON presented the memorial of John Black, late Consul of the United States at the city of Mexico, praying com-pensation for diplomatic services; which was referred to the Com-mittee on Foreign Relations.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, presented the memorial of the Ad-jutant of the United States Military Academy at West Point praying that he may be placed on the same looting with respect to pay and allowances as Adjutants of regiments, which was re-ferred to the Committee on Military Alfare.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, presented the memorial of the Secretary and Excentive Committee of the American Colonization Society, praying that in the removal of colored people to Liberia they be excupited from the provisions of the acts of 22d February and 2d March, 1847, and left to the act of 2d March, 1849, or be allowed to carry two passengers to every five tons of measurement; which was referred to the Committee on Commerces.

Mr. CASS in presenting the memorial of Mary W. Thompson, widow of the late Licut. Col. Alexander R. Thompson, of the United States Army, deceased, in lochalf of widows and orphans of the army of the United States, praying that the present pension laws may be so amended as to make their pensions equal to those of the widows of Revolutionary officers, and to continue them alto those families who should be also the state of the versionly, and then the those families who husbands and from the fate of an inhospitable service for the present of the present of the widows of the present of the widows of the present of the present of the present of the widows of the present of the present of the widows of the present of the widow of an American officer who has fallen in battle; and after the very element culorium pronounced upon those officers by the the widow of an American other who has fallen in battle; and after the very eloquent culogium pronounced upon those officers by the honoroble Senator from New York—an eulogium not less true in its sentiments than cloquently expressed—I consider it perfectly unnecessary for me to do anything more than to present the memorial, and move its reference to the Committee on Military Affairs. I therefore move its reference to that committee.

The memorial was referred to the Committee on Military Af-

Mr. CASS presented the memorial of J. Kearsley, receiver of public monies at Detroit, Michigan, praying remuneration for monies expended by him for clerk hire; which was referred to the Committee on Finance.

On motion by Mr. BAGBY, it was

Ordered, That the documents relating to the claim of George S. Gaines, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

On motion by Mr. BAGBY, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Stephen Steele and James Daniel, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Public Lands

On motion by Mr. DICKINSON, it was

Ordered. That Lyon and Howard have leave to withdraw their petition and papers.

## MILITARY STATISTICS.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, submitted the following resolution for consideration :

Resolved, That the Secretary of War inform the Senate

Resolved, That the Secretary of War inform the Senate First.—What has been the whole number of volunteer tropicalled into the service of the United States since the 13th May, 1848? Second—Of the tropics occalled, what has been the whole number discharged from Second—Of the tropics occalled, what has been the whole number answered out of the service of the United States since the 13th May, 1869? Third.—What has been the wides to unable of tropics in Mexico belonging to the regular survey of the United States since the 13th May, 1869? F(Rh)—What has the windle of tropics may in Max of norm belonging either to the regular survey or the volonteers who have been killed or died of wounds received in that is used the 7th May, 1849? S(Rh)—What has been the whole number of officers and men of the regular army counded in North-wine the Yah May, 1849.

EXTENSION OF NAVY PENSIONS.

Mr. BALDWIN submitted the following resolution, which was considered, by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved. That the Committee on Passon be married to enquire into the experience and of the experience and the experience and the experience and present of the experience and presents of a smaller kind which have expired since the passage of the act of 2d March, 1977.

### DAVID SHAW, ET. AL.

Mr. NILES, from the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads, to whom had been referred the joint resolution in favor of David Shaw, and Solomon T. Corser, reported it without amend-

### THOMAS DHODES.

Mr. NHLES, from the Committee on the Post Office and Post. Roads, to whom had been referred the documents relating to the claim of Thomas Rhodes, reported a bill for the relief of Thomas Rhodes; which was read and passed to a second reading.

Mr. BADGER, from the Committee on Naval Affairs, reported a bill for the relief of Commodore Foxall A. Parker, of the United States navy; which was read and passed to a second reading.

### ASSISTANT PURSERS.

Mr. FAIRFIELD, from the Committee on Naval Affairs, roported a bill to provide for the appointment of assistant pursers in the navy; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and the further consideration thereof postponed until Mon-

### PENSIONS.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, asked and obtained leave to Irring in a bill to continue the pensions of certain widows; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Pensions.

## APPROPRIATION BILL

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk :

Mr. President: The House of Representatives have passed a bill making an appropriation to supply in part, a deficiency in the appropriation for subsistence in kind, of the army and volunters during the year ending the 80 ml June, 1847.

The said bill having been read a first and second times, by unanimous consent, was referred to the Committee on Finance

## THE MADISON PAPERS.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. CRITTENDEN asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to provide for the purchase of the manuscript papers of the late James Madison, former President of the United States.

The bill having been read a first time,

Mr. CRITTENDEN desired that it might have a second reading, with a view to reference.

The hill having been read a second time,

Mr. CRITTENDEN remarked that he did not know which would be the most appropriate committee to which to refer the bill; he supposed the Committee on the Library.

Mr. BERRIEN .- If the honorable Senator will permit me, I Mr. BEKKIEN.—If the honorable Senator will permit me, I will observe, that this bill, at the last session, passed this body by a considerable majority. There was a very decided expression of the will of the Senate to make the purchase of these papers for the benefit of the venerable and estimable lady to whom they belong, and I do recally hope that the feeling of the Senate will prompt them to dispense with the ordinary formal mode of reference to committee; and with their permission I will move that the bill be now put upon its passage.

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—I should be most happy if such a course should be taken, and perhaps there will be no objection on the part of the Senate. The bill is, in pr. niple, exactly the same as that which passed at the last session. According to the arrangement with Mrs. Madison and some of her friends, and reduced to the form of a special contract, placed in my possessien, it was agreed that if the purchase of these papers should be made by Congress, the contract of the part of the property of the part of the property of the pr

the held by those as her trustees, and to be used by them for her herefit to be used an stocks or otherwise, according to their best discretion by the interests; to be indicable during her lifetime, to construct a perpetual that for her mantenance, and the time, to construct a perpetual that for her mantenance, and the period of any lifetime, to construct a perpetual that for her mantenance, and the period of any lifetime to the showed a form of the senae is the ribin to remark, that I hold in my hand on able report mode by a committee of the House of Representatives, of which a distinguished member of that body—now no more—the late Mr. Drongood—ways the chainman, in which the majors one who appreciates the character of Mr. Madison for virtue and wisdom, of their great whe and importance to this contribution, indeed, could come term the pear to peak of the thing, indeed, could come term the pear to peak of the University of the Committee of the contribution of the pears of the pears of the pears of the pears of the Committee of and to be beautified, and to receive the viole when we are in size for these papers, it seems to me, sir, that we will reterm at once a public doty, and a graceful act, in mak-one the coming year happy to her who yet remains the relet of one who contributed so largely to make this mighty com-

Mr. NILES .- I hope the bill will have it usual reference.

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—I will withdraw the motion for the pas-occo of the ball, if the Senator from Connecticut means to oppose it.

try what it is. I hope, therefore, that the motion made against the reference will be adopted, and that the Senate will now at once praceed to act finally upon this bill. If there be gentlemen,

once proceed to act many upon this one. It there be generously, as there may be, who are opposed upon principle to the passage of such a law, I bege that they will be satisfied to discharge what they consider to be their duty, by voting against it—constituctions by and homorably, as I knew they will, if they vote at all—lant that they will allow the friends of the masser to bring it to a

Mr. NILES,—I have the floor, I believe, sir. I hope this bill will be referred to the committee. I think that this is not a sub-nect to be acted upon without the consideration. This thing was to be increasing upon us, and it is difficult to say where it will consider this bill is intended to authorize the purchase of val-oration manuscripts, connected with the bestory of this country, its certainty reactions of the product of the country, its make manuscripts, connected with the history of this country, it is certainly requires consideration, and more than almost, any other subject, demands the action of a committee. We do not know what these measuremps are. We know something certainly of the character of Mr. Maisson we still have a just estimation of that the weak may be considered by the character of Mr. Maisson we still have a just estimation of that the weak may be considered by the consideration of the character of Mr. Maisson we still have a just estimation of that the consideration of the consideratio

Mr. CRITTENDEN.-It it would be proper for me, I would be very glad to occupy a mone of not more in reading a descrip-tion of these papers as contained in the report made by Mr. Drom-goole. The honorable Sociator from Connecticut, if I understand

Valence 31—The letter of the above named and other, a sing the administrations of Wa-buncton and Admin; regulation very of the policy of those administrations of was bouncing and Administrations, and the state of convention and papers, connected with the confidential nature of the was also as the state of convention and open of the enigma in 6de's unpersionent of Valunce 33—The betters to foreign unusters and diplomatic functionates, heads of apparatus, military and averal commanders, Besidents, and extre-alems Jefferson developments, and the state of the st

This will give an adequate idea of the deep interest of these papers. I know perfectly well that it is the honest conviction of my triend from Connectient which produces his opposition. It is an opposition. I am sure, contrary to his own feelings. A sense of opposition. I am sure, contrary to ins own leclings. A Senso of duty alone impels him, for which I have the greatest possible respect; but I hope, that upon consideration of this matter and this information as to the character of the papers, the honorable gentleman will be satisfied with such opposition as be may feel constrained to make by his vote, and allow us to act upon the bill.

Mr. NILES.—If it be the wish of the Senate to act upon the bill at this time, I will withdraw my motion for reference.

Mr. CRITTENDEN asked for the yeas and nays on the passage of the bill.

Mr. SEVIER .-- I hope the honorable Senator will postpone this bill for a day or two, until we look into it.

Mr. CRITTENDEN .- Certainly, if the Senator insists.

Mr. SEVIER .- I move its postponement until Monday.

Mr. CRITTENDEN .- And let it be made the order of the day for that day?

The further consideration of the bill was accordingly postponed, and it was made the order of the day for Monday next.

Mr. CRITTENDEN .- Will the bill be printed as a matter of

The PRESIDING OFFICER .- Certainly,

Mr. SEVIER .- The Senator from Kentucky referred to a report containing a description of the papers. I wish to have that report printed also. I hope it will be printed with the bill.

The question was taken on printing the report, and it was

On motion, it was

Ordered, That when the Senate adjourns, it be to Monday

THE LATE GENERAL HAMER.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk

Mr. President: I am directed to inform the Senate of the death of the Homarable Thomas L. Hanner, a Representative elect from the State of Olino, and of the pro-cedings of the House of Representatives thereupon.

The resolutions adopted by the House of Representatives having

Mr. ALLEN rose and addressed the Senate, as follows

Mr. President: On the first day of July, of the past year, this bady confirmed the nomination of Thomas L. Hawre, of Olio, to the rank of Brigadier General, in the army of the United States. The annonneement just made by the House, to the Senate, informs us officially. It hat that crimient citizen has exceed to live. The event of his death occurred at Monterey, in Mexico, on the 2d of December last, and was, therefore, known to us individually long ago; but, by the rules observed on these mourtain occasions, it could not a make the control of the control but, by the rules observed on these mournful occasions, it could not be officially noticed until now, he having been a member elect to the present, not a member of the then sitting Congress. But a short time previously to his douth, Gen. HAMER had passed along the line of buttle, amidst all its dangers, exerting his intellect, his courage, and address in adding to nelive the third victory of our arms over the public enemy. He came out of that conflict unhurd, and with a vigor of constitution which justified the hope, that he night he able yet again to exert his genius and widor, in uphodding the rights of his country, and the honor of its flag. But, voltowise was it ordered, and he retired from the battle-ground—the common reserved death—only to find in one of those alongs were incident. resort of death—only to find it in one of those diseases ever incident to the multitudinous life of the camp, and which are known, in military annuls, to be but little more sparing of the lives of men

infilitary annus, to be but have more sparing or the insert has the unsparing sword itself.

To say that his death was a public loss, would be to speak truly, but to speak less than the truth. The doath of that man, at any time, and in any state of public affairs, would have been a loss to

But his death at that time, and in his country, and a great one. his country, and a great one. But his country that there and the then exigencies of the public service, was a national privation not to be appreciated, save by those who knew the peculiar character of the man, and its happy adaptation to such exigences.

We were at the beginning of a war, in which, by the necessities imposed by free institutions, we were obliged to employ a mixed force of regulars and volunteers. To unite these forces under a common discipline-to fuse their various energies into one harmonions whole, and to direct them with full efficiency, has ever been, and will forever be, among the most serious difficulties of those placed in the command of armies. In the attainment of this object, there are required not only the talents to command the muscles of men, in virtue of commissioned anthority, but that address, also, which moves the hearts of the soldiery because

springs from the heart of the General. I would not sir, willingly, do injustice to the living, even to do justice to the dead, could that be possibly necessary. Especially, would I not do injustice to any one of those officers, whose conwould I not do injustice to any one of these onicers, whose con-duct, and the conduct of whose troops in this war, have taught the doct, and the conduct of whose troops in this war, have taught the ranke this the first nation in it. Nor can I think that I do injus-tice to any in uttering the opinion, that, perhaps, no one of them possessed in a higher degree, than did General Hamer, that in-scrutable power which is expressed by the word—address, and by which a single individual is often seen to sway the discordant wills of thousands, and to him the whole as by a spell to his person and his purposes more closely than mere official anthority can ever bind men of various tempers to a common object. It is for this reason that I esteem his death to have been a peculiar misfor-

this reason that I esteem his death to have been a peculiar misfor-tune to the arrny, and, therefore, to the antion. In reviewing the lives of the eminent men of our country who have already passed away, no remark will be found more common than, that such a one was a self-made man. There are now in this Senate and elsewhere around us, men whose great abilities and public services will entitle them to be ranked with their illus-tions predecesses, and of short away care of what it is likely and the services will entitle them to be ranked with their illusand pusic services will cittle them to be ranked with their illistrious predecessors, and of almost every one of whom it will with equal truth be said, he too, was a self-made man. Not one of them, however, will fall more emphatically within this description than Thomas L. Hamer.

Inheriting aothiag from his parents, but the right truly to say

Inheriting nothing from his parents, but the right truly to say they were respected and honorable people, he went alone from his native State of Pennsylvania, when but a boy, and settled in the county of Clermont, in the State of Ohio.

He began life as the instructor of a country school, devoting the hours otherwise naemployed to study, and especially to the reading of the law. In due time he was admitted to that learned profession, and removing to the adjoining country of Brown, commenced and continued its practice with distinguished success. He had been at the bar, however, thus a very short time when political been at the art, however, which are the profession of the circle of the circle of the profession of the circle of the circle of the profession of the profession of the circle of the profession of the circle of the circle of the profession of the circle of were the passions which it called up from the repose of the eight preceding years, that it was scarcely possible for a young man, such as Mr. Hamen was, of admitted genius and cloquence, of an ardent nature, of a blameless character, and of decided political sentiments, to resist the importunities of his fellow citizens, who sentiments, to resist the importantities of his fellow citizens, who sought to make him the representative of their wishes and opinions. He was accordingly elected and re-elected to the lower branch of the State Legislature, and, by that body, elected its presiding officer. From this post he retired, intending to resume his place at the bar. But the same causes which had drawn him from it before still acting, and with accumulated force, he again. Irom it before still acting, and with accumulated lorce, he again yielded, and was, by the same constituency, three times elected to the Nation's House of Representatives, from which we have just received this melancholy message. At the expiration of his bind term, he again voluntarily retired, and once more resmuel term, he again voluntarily retired, and once more resmuel practice of the law. It was in this situation, with abilities and legal learning, which, in that region of the State, admitted of a rivalry, and in the enjoyment of a corresponding practice and

30TH CONG .- IST SESSION-No. 6.

emolument, that he heard the call of his country upon her sons to arm. He obeyed, and was among the first to set an example, by himself volunteering as a common soldier. His friends and coustituents followed his lead, and speedily, an organized body stood ready for the march.

Mr. HAMER was known personally and well to the President Mr. HAMER was Known personally and well to the President, with whom he had served in the House of Representatives, amid seenes no less trying to the firmness and fortitude of men, than the field of battle itself. The President was, therefore, noder no necessity to ask who should be placed in command of the Ohio Brigade. Mr. Hawen was appointed, and that, too, without his so-heitation; and, I believe, without his knowledge of such an event being in contemplation.

He accepted the commission, proceeded on duty, and lost his life in its performance; having been elected in his absence, again to Congress.

When the intelligence of this sad event came to the west, the Legislature of Ohio, being in session, immediately adopted appropri-ate measures to express, in hehalf of the whole people, their high appreciation of his virtues, abilities, and services, and the profound sense felt by all, of the loss sustained in his death. The State son to her boson. There it now reposes, amid the family, the neighbors, the constituency, who received it with sighs and with tears, for the same reason they had always before greeted his return among them with excitation and joy.

smong them with exottation and joy.

It would be but a common-place and an insufficient eulogy upon
Mr. HAMER, to say, that in all the relations he bore to the community, he exhibited the qualities appropriate to those relations.

Society has a right to expect such a result even from a man of mere mediocrity. But from one in the powers of whose mind the discriminating partiality of nature was so manifest as in his, so-ciety has a right to demand such an exertion of intellect and exhibitton of virtues as may tend to the advancement of its fasting wel-lare, and afford an example to the incoming generation. To this demand, the whole life of Mr. HAMER—his private and public manners, habits and sympathies; his benevolence, integrity and honor; his intelligence, industry, courage, genius and patriotism— all responded in a manner to entitle him to demand in his turn, the lasting gratitude of society. This he enjoyed when he lived, and when called to pass that boundary which nature has drawn between the living and the dead, he did so with the consciousness that he laid down a life that might be reviewed by the intelligent and the jast, with the approbation of every mind and of every heart which, like his own, was sound, and strong, and pure.

Long will his memory be cherished by the State. Long will his old constituents of Clermont, of Brown, of Adams and Highland, remember their affectionate friend, their faithful counsellor, their able representative. And many are the aged fathers among them who, to stimulate the emulation of their sons, will recount to them in the winter's evenings, the scenes, the events, the sayings and the anecdotes, of his brilliant and blameless life. Long will the widow and the children remember, fondly remember, the husband and the parent, in whose affections they were happy, in whose character they are honored, and in the imitation of whose virtues his sons will find the only reward which can bear any proportion to the magnitude of their loss.

# Mr. ALLEN concluded by offering the following resolutions:

Restord, manimum, That the Scante has received with deep sensibility, the measure front the lone of Receivership to the thought of the Hon. Proor as the Hong, a Representative elect from the State of Michigan. Restorder, narrainmany, That the members of the Sensite, from a sincered-sense of Restorder, around manager, That the members of the Sensite, from a sincered-sense of the control of the decased, will seen the usual badge of manimum for thirty day.

Description, That as a further mark of respect for the memory of the decased, the

The resolutions having been unanimously adopted.

The Senate adjourned.

# MONDAY, DECEMBER 27, 1847.

THE LATE SENATOR FAIRFIELD.

Mr. BRADBURY rose and addressed the Senate as follows: Mr. President—I rise for the performance of a duty too p-inful for language to describe. One who was with us in this chamber, at the last meeting of the Secarte, attending to his official duties, assisting in our deliberations, and as confidently looking forward in the contract of the to the future as those who are now present, has suddenly fallen in our midst. He is now numbered with the dead. Four times has

our must. He is now numbered with the dead. Four times has the Senate already been called during the few days of its session, to manifest the last tokens of respect for the honored dead, who have been prevented from entering upon the field of their labors in to manifest the last tokens or see that the present drom entering upon the field of their appearance the present Congress. Now, the destroyer has entered these halls, and struck down his victim before our eyes.

The Hon. JOHN FARFILIA is no more. He died at his lodging in this city on Friday last. The sudden and startling and the star

ings in this city on Friday last. The sudden and startling nouncement of his death preceded the intelligence of danger. the morning of that day he was in his usual health, and met his friends with his accustomed cheerfulness and cordiality. At noon the submitted to a surgical operation, to which, with undoubting confidence, he had looked forward for relief from an infirmity under which he had labored. His physical energies were not equal to his fortitude and courage. His system sank under the neabated anguish which followed, and at twenty minutes before eight

inguish which followed, and at twenty minutes before eight revolved in the evening, in the full possession of his mind, he breathed his last. Scarcely had the friends that were with him anticipated danger, when his pure spirit took its flight.

From an affliction so appaling it is difficult to divert attention, even to contemplate for a moment the life and character of the deceased. Gov. FAIRFIELD was born at Saco, in the county of york, Maine, January 30, 1797. In that place he has ever resided. Distinguished by an ardical love of however the contemplate for a market love of the moved of the procession of the contemplate of the law, and entered a profession which has contributed its full share in the establishment and defence of constructional blistery. At the bar he soon accounted such reputation stitutional liberty. At the bar he soon acquired such reputation that he received from the Executive of the State the appointment of reporter of the decisions of the Supreme Judicial Court. While in the successful performance of the duties of this office,

which in the successful performance of the direct of the successful district, without solicitation or desire on his part, to take his place in the councils of the nation as a Representative in Congress. He reecived a re-election, and it is well known that he discharged the responsible duties devolved upon him on trying occasions in a manalike honorable to himself and to his constituents.

His services were now demanded in a different sphere. He was elected Governor of his native State; and so strong was his hold upon the confidence and regard of the people, that he was thrice the strong was his hold upon the confidence and regard of the people, that he was thrice the strong was the strong that the strong was the strong that the strong was the strong that the strong was the stro re-elected to the same exalted station. It was during this period of his public life, when great and unusual responsibilities were thrown upon him as the Chief Executive of the State, growing out thrown upon him as the Chel Executive of the State, growing out of collisions with a loreign power, that he displayed a decision and firmness of character which commanded the respect, and fixed upon him the attention of the whole country. He became, complatically, the favorite of bis State; and he was now transferred from its Exthe favorite of bits take is and or was now transection from 18 EA, centive chair to a seat upon this floor, to fill a vacancy ereated by the resignation of his predecesor. In 1845 he received a re-clection to the Senate, for the term of six years. It may be remarked, as a singular fact, that in all the offices he has field, then has never served out the regular term, but has been transferred, by

has never served out the regular term, but has been transferred, by promotion, to a higher place.

To you, Mr President, who knew him well, and to the Senators long associated with him, and united by the ties of respect and friendship, I need not speak of his banorable career in this body. You will bear witness to the sound judgment and ready zeal which he brought to the discharge of his varied duties-to that honesty of purpose which knows no guile—to that frankness and sin-cerity incapable of concealment—to that firmness of resolution which no difficulties could shake nor dangers overcome-and to that

purity of life, and conscientious regard to his convictions of right, which distinguished him as a man and a Christian.

How happily these qualities were blended in his character, is known to you; how justly they were appreciated by the people of his native State is seen in the confidence they yielded, and the honors they bestowed. As a friend, he was devoted and sincere; and few there are who have secured the attachment of a wider ciror bound them by stronger ties of affection. His loss to the what words can express! I cannot attempt it. He has left be-hird his example, his churacter, and the influence of his actions, and, in his sudden each, the admonator is again repeated, that "public honors and exalted station add no strength to the tenure by which life is held."

Mr. NILES then addressed the Senate as follows :

Mr. President: On this melaneholy occasion—as probably no other member of the Senate has had so long and intimate acquaint-

ance with him whose decease has just been announced—I hope to be pardoned for adding a few words to what has so appropriately been said by his colleague. In closing the remarks which but a few days ago it became my duty to make in announcing the death tew days ago it became my duty to make in announcing the death of my late colleague, I toko occasion to remind Senators of the little and brief interest which we have, individually, in the exciting questions that may be agitated here. I then little thought that the first example of that obvious truth would come so soon, or so near home to myself-that it would be found in one of my oldest and most intimate associates here. We came into the public councils together, twelve years ago, and although our associations were interrupted for a few years, they were renewed on my returning to the Senate at the commencement of my present term. I then came, not at the commencement of the session, but in the spring, and under circumstances which may be fresh in the memories of some Senators present. I was then but the broken fragment of the man I was when I left the Senate a few years before.

Learning that two of my old friends and associates were together Learning that two or my our lifeting and associates were significant in the house where I now am, I joined them there. Those friends were Silas Wright and John Farrield—hoth much younger than myself—and then both in full health, and with a fair prospect of a long career of public usefulness. But how insertutable are the of the property of the prope whilst I, who had seemingly but a frail hold upon the mere selvage

of life, have been spared to witness their departure.

The death of the first was a severe stroke to me, as it was to the whole country. Perhaps in our whole history no man has fallen in private hie whose death produced so deep, so universal a sensation in the public mind. And the death of the second has sensation in the plant mind. And the death of the second has been to me a flow equally severe, and in some respects more trying to my feelings, as I was with him at the time, and witnessed the last obbing pulsations of departing life. The eircumstances of this death, too—so sudden, so unexpected, and apparently so cause-his death, too—so sudden, so unexpected, and apparently so cause-his death. his death, too—so sudden, so unexpected, and apparently so cause-less, adding a crushing weight to the severity of the shock. He died without a struggle, and I might almost say, with a smile on his countenance, as his soul took its departure from its earthly ta-berancle. A long and intimate acquaintance with Governor Fair-field has enabled me, justly. I think, to appreciate his character, both in his public and private relations; but this is not the time or the place to attempt to delineate it, as any hasty efforts of mine would evidently do great injustice to his memory.

If he was not a brilliant star in our political galaxy, he was all he claimed to be—a plain unassuming man, never attempting to shine or to attract attention to himself; but with his strong sense, sound judgment and practical views, was content with an honest and faithful discharge of his public duties.

The strong points in his character, were sincerity and fidelity. If ever occasions have occurred in his time which tried the souls of men, he was one who was found "fiathful among the faithless") true to his constituents; true to his country; faithful to his party; faithful to his friends; and ever true to his principles.

HIs nature was gentle, kind, peaceful, and benevolent; apparantly but little fitted for the fierce struggles and conflicts of porently but little litted for the heree struggles and commerced the little. But with these qualities were united great energy of character, great steadiness of purpose, and no ordinary share of physical and moral courage. He combined, also, many of the physical and moral courage. He combined, also, many of the higher and more substantial elements of popularity; and hence it nigher and more substantial elements of popularity; and hence it was, as we have just been told, that he for many years, possessed that strong hold upon the confidence and affections of his constituents, and of his friends, whether in or out of the State.

I could enlarge upon this theme with the warmth and ardor with

which we all delight to dwell on the virtues of deceased friends, which, like the setting sun, seem to shine brighter as they take their departure, but I will say no more. He is gone; another star has fallen. He is removed from among us, at the very time when men like him, of matured judgment, of subdued passions, peaceful wisdom, are most wanted in our public conneils. blaces that once knew him here and elsewhere-in the councils of praces may once knew mm nere and elsewhere—in the councils of the nations, in the councils of his State, in the circles of his Friends, and in the family circle—but that is a spot too sacred for mot to in-trude—will know him no more forever. But may we not hope that his virtues and examples will long, long be cherished and remem-bered as the last legacy he has left his country?

Mr. NILES concluded by offering the following resolutions:

Resolved, nanumanols, That a committee be appointed by the Vice Preside take order for superministing the funeral of the Honorable John Fraierita, which is the place to morrow at twelve o'clock, meridian, and that the Senate will

will take place to morrow at twelve o clock, noneman, the description of the description

The Senate then adjourned.

# TUESDAY, DECEMBER 28, 1847.

THE LATE SENATOR FAIRFIELD.

Mr. NILES, chairman of the committee appointed to make arrangements for the funeral of the late Honorable Mr. FAIRFIELD, made the following report.

The committee appointed to make arrangements for the Hon-Table Mr. Falbfield, report: That in compliance with the wishes of the family and friends of the deceased, his remains will be removed to his late residence in Maine, and delivered to his family to be there interred.

—to be there interred.

The body will be taken from Mrs. Scott's—where it now lies—to the depot, this day at half past four o'clock, P. M., where it will be delivered into the charge of the Hoa, Mr. Clask, a monber of the Honso of Representatives from that State, who will accompany it to Saco, in that State, to be consigned to the charge of

The committee further report the following resolutions, and recommend their adoption :

Resolved, unanimously, That as a mark of respect to the memory of the Honorable

Jours Fairfflin, he is Senator from the State of Maioe, the Viso President, members of the Senate and its officers, will, at half past four o'clock in the afternoon of this day, accompany, in processin, his mortal remains from his late residence, to the depart, where they will be delivered to be his mortal remains from his late residence, to the depart, where they will be delivered to be accompanied by him to the found of the fectors of its and that the Figuelar rand members of the Jours of Representatives be respectfully invited to attend.

Resident, That the Chaplanor the Senate be expected to deliver the fineral sermon of the Hom. Jours Fairffling, and the service of the Homeoff Representatives only of the biogeoing resolutions be communicated to the Homeoff Representatives only of the biogeoing resolutions be communicated to the Homeoff Representatives, and place at the disposal of the Hom. Paracraps Chapter and the Chapter of the Homeofff Residence of the Homeo

The report and accompanying resolutions having been read,

The question on the adoption of the resolutions was put, and they were unanimously adopted.

On motion by Mr. PHELPS,

The Senate adjourned.

# WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 29, 1847.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a report of the Ine VIUE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a report of the Secretary of the Navy, made agreeably to law, accompanied by an abstract of expenditures, under the head of contingent expenses, as settled and allowed at the office of the Fourth Anditor, of the Treasury, from the 1st day of October, 1846, to the 30th day of September, 1847.

Also, a report of the Acting Sceretary of the Treasury, made in pursuance of a resolution of the Senate of the 20th instant, accompanied by a report from the Commissioner of the General Land Office, in relation to the information required by a resolution of the Senate of the 11th February, 1846, respecting the public lands subject to overflow, in the State of Arkansas, by the Mississippi, Arkansas, White Black, Red, and St. Francis rivers in said State, &c.; which was ordered to be printed.

Also, a communication from the Acting Secretary of the Trea-Also, a communication from the Acting Secretary of the Fred-sury, transmitting a report from the Commissioner of the Gene-ral Land Office, in answer to a resolution of the Senate of De-cember 7, 1847, respecting the military reservation and private land claims at Sault Ste. Marie, together with a map thereof.

PETITIONS.

The VICE PRESIDENT presented the petition of Thomas Thompson, a British subject, praying compensation for resening the crew of an American merchant vessel wrecked at sea; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce.

Mr. ATCHISON presented the petition of William W. Gitt, Mr. ATCHISON presented the petition of William W. Gitt, praying that the heirs and representatives of claimants to lands under the act of February 17, 1815, for the relief of the inhabitants of the late county of New Mariid, Missouri Territory, in whose favor certificates have been issued, may locate the same upon the unappropriated public lands; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

Also, the petition of Daniel McKissick, praying that a patent may be issued to him for the lands settled by him in the Oregon Territory; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

Mr. DICKINSON presented the petition of the Common Council of the city of Buffalo, New York, praying an appropriation for improving the harbor at that place; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce.

Mr. SEVIER presented the petition of Jonathan Lewis, pray-ing compensation for depradations committed on his property by the Cherokee Indians, while residing among those Indians under a per-mit; which was referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

Mr. DOUGLAS presented resolutions passed by the Legislature Mr. DOUGLAS presented resolutions passed by the Legislature of Illinois, instructing the Senators, and requesting the Representatives of that State, in Congress, to use their efforts to procure the assent of Congress to the sale of the salt springs in Gallatic conty, and the ratification of all salt springs heretofore made by the State of Illinois without the consent of Congress; which were read and referred to the Committee on Public Lands, and ordered to be

Mr. BREESE presented a memorial of citizens of Illinois, pray ing that the right of pre-emption may be granted to the Central Rail Road Company, to the lands along the route of their road; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

Mr. DIX presented the proceedings of a meeting of citizens of New York, in favor of the adoption of measures for expediting the mails between that city and New Orleans; which were referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Also, a petition of the Common Council of the city of Buffalo, New York, praying an appropriation for improving the harbor at that place; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce.

Also, a petition of citizens of Buffalo, New York, praying an appropriation for improving the harbor at that place; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce.

Mr. WESTCOTT presented a petition of citizens of Hillsborough county, Florida, praying the establishment of a mail route from Tampa Bay to Manitu river; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, presented the memorial of David Myerle, praying indemnity for losses sustained by him in his efforts to produce water-rotted hemp for the use of the Navy; which was referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

Also, the petition of the heirs and legal representatives of John G. Maekall, deceased, praying compensation for property destroyed by the enemy in the last war with Great Britain; which was referred to the Committee of Claims.

Mr STURGEON presented the petition of the heirs and legal representatives of George Gibson, deceased, an officer in the Revolutionary army. praying to be allowed commutation pay; which was referred to the Committee on Revolutionary Claims.

On motion by Mr. BELL, it was

Ordered, That the memorial of the representative of William Russwurm, on the files of the Senate, he referred to the Committee on Revolutionary Claims.

On motion by Mr. DIX, it was

Ordered, That the memorial of Hugh M. McLean, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee of Claims.

On motion by Mr. WESTCOTT, it was

Ordered, That the memorial of Richard S. Coxe, on the files of the Scnate, be referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

On motion by Mr. WESTCOTT, it was

Ordered, That the memorial of Thomas Douglas, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Gilbert Stalker and N. B. Hill, on the files of the Senate, he referred to the Committee of Claims.

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, it was

Ordered, That the petition of James F. Sothoron, on the files of the Senate, he referred to the Committee of Claims.

On motion by Mr. BREESE, it was

Ordered, That the petition of the heirs of Richard McCarty, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Revolutionary Claims.

On motion by Mr. DOWNS, it was

Ordered, That the memorial of the forward officers of the late exploring expedition, on the files of the Seration referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

On motion by Mr. BREESE, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Stephen Steele and James Damil, on the nies of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Private Land Claims.

On motion by Mr. SEVIER, it was

Ordered, That Joshua Dodge have leave to withdraw his momorial and papers.

On motion by Mr. DOWNS, it was

Ordered, That George T. Sinelair have leave to withdraw his memorial and papers.

On motion by Mr. DOWNS, it was

Ordered, That the legal representative of William Shippen have leave to withdraw his memorial and papers.

### NAVAL PENSIONS

On motion by Mr. BALDWIN, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on Pensions be discharged from the further consideration of the resolution passed by the Senate, the 23d instant, in relation to Naval pensions, and that the resolution be referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on Pensions be discharged from Orangea, Abat ine Committee on Pensions be discharged from the further consideration of the patition of Hugh Wallace Worm-ley, and from the further consideration of the memorial of John Crusby; and that the said petition and memorial be referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

On motion by Mr. HALE, it was

Ordered, That the resolution, passed by the Legislature of the Ordered, 1 nat the resolution, passed by the Legislature of the State of New Hampshire, in favor of the passage of an act for the removal of the terms of the Circuit and District Courts of the United States for the District of New Hampshire from Exeter to Concord, in that State, be referred to the Committee on the Ju-

Mr. DICKINSON gave notice that he would, at an early day, ask leave of the Senate to introduce a bill for the relief of Jeanette C. Huntington, widow and sole executrix of William D. Cheever,

Agreeably to notice, Mr. BAGBY asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill authorizing the payment of interest on the amount advanced by the State of Alabama, to the general government ndvanced by the State of Alabama, to the general government, pending the Creek hostilities in 1836 and 1837; which was road a first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Figure 1 Committee on Finance

Agreeably to notice, Mr. ASHLEY asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to establish a permanent general pre-emption system in favor of actual settlers on the public lands; which was rend a first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. DICKINSON asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill for the relief of Asa Andrews, of Ipswieb, in the State of Massachusetts; which was read a first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee of

Mr. DICKINSON also presented a document relating to the subject; which was referred to the Committee of Claims, and ordered to be printed.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. BRADBURY asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill changing the time of holding the terms of the Circuit Court of the United States in the district of Maine: which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

On motion by Mr. YULEE, it was

Ordered, That a member be appointed by the Vice Parsident to fill the vacancy in the Committee on Naval Affairs, occasioned by the decease of the Hon. JOHN FAIRFILLD.

Mr. CASS, from the Committee on Military Affairs, reported a bill providing for the further prosecution of the existing war between the United States and the republic of Mexico: which was read and passed to a second reading

Mr. CASS, from the Committee on Military Affairs, reported a bill to provide elothing for volunteers in the service of the United States; which was read and passed to a second reading.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the Committee on Pensions, to whom was referred the bill to continue the pensions of certain widows, reported it without amendment.

Mr. BREESE, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom as referred the bill to compensate John M. Mooro, reported it without amendment.

Mr. BREESE also submitted . report on the subject, which was ordered to be printed

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the Committee on Patents and the Mr. WESICOTT, from the Committee on Fatents and the Patent Office, reported a bill to provide for additional examining clerks in the Patent Office, and for additional fees in certain cases; which was read and passed to a second reading.

Also, a communication from the Commissioner of Patents, relating to the subject; which was ordered to be printed.

Mr. PHELPS, from the Committee on Finance, to whom was Simpson, deceased, submitted an adverse report; which was ordered to be printed.

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the Committee of Claims, to whom the documents relating to the claim of Lemuel B. Nicholls were referred, submitted an adverse report; which was ordered to be printed.

On motion by Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on Military Affairs be discharged from the further consideration of the petition of James Edwards, administrator of Edward M. Wanton; and that it be referred to the Committee of Claims

On motion by Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on Military Affairs be discharged from the further consideration of the petition of James Edwards; and that it be referred to the Committee of Claims.

On motion by Mr. ASHLEY, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on the Judiciary be discharged from the further consideration of the petition of John Bruce, and that it he referred to the Committee of Claims.

Mr. ASHLEY, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom was referred the petition of Milledge Galphin, executor of the last will and testament of George Galphin, deceased, submitted a re-port accompanied by a bill for his relief.

The bill was read and passed to a second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

Mr. YULEE, from the Committee on Naval Affairs, to whom was referred the petition of William A. Christian, reported a bill for his relief; which was read and passed to a second reading.

Also, a bill for the relief of the heirs of Andrew D. Crosby: which was read and passed to a second reading.

Mr. UNDERWOOD, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the bill to allow further time for satisfying claims for bounty lands for military services in the late war with Great Britain, and for other purposes, reported it without amend-

Mr. ATHERTON, from the Committee on Finance, to whom was referred the bill from the House, making an appropriation to supply in part a deficiency in the appropriations for subsistence in kind, of the army and volunteers during the year ending the 30th June, 1848, reported it without amendment.

On motion by Mr. ATHERTON, the Scnate proceeded to the consideration of said bill as in Committee of the Whole.

Mr. ATHERTON.—It will be perceived that this bill only makes an appropriation in part to supply the deficiency which exists in the appropriation for the subsistence in kind, of the army and volunteers for the current warr. This bill has passed the House; the remainder of the deticiency, which, in the whole, amounts to near \$4,000,000, having been reserved for a more minute and particular examination. To show the necessity for passing this bill, at this time, I ask that the letter accompanying it be read. be read.

The Secretary then read the following letter:

WAR DEPARTMENT,

WW.3 Decarrates?

WW.3 Decarrates?

Sign: I have the honor to by before you a better from the (commons) (frequently show up the presumg necessity of an early appropriation for the dedicaters in the Common Physician in the care-only upperform to the quite dedicaters in the Common Physician in the care-only upperform to the quite of the common physician in the care-only upperformed in the common physician in the care-only upperformed in the common physician in the other beauties of the Wiz Department, for which resimined have been made and submitted in Congress. An edge in this regard with be highly destributed as the common physician in the common physician physician in the common physician phy to the public interest.

Very respectfully, your abedeint servant,
WM. L. MARCV, Secretary of War.
Hon. S. F. Vis'rox, Chairman Coomittee of Ways and Means, House of Reps.

Oppin to p Confirmation at Asys and Means, House of Repu-Modeling and Confirmation and Co

the irecruting service.

Recent information from the principal commissance of subsistence in Mexico, makes it necessary for me to respectfully ack that the early attention of Congress may be called to my 'crimate' of the Pil November, in which the deference in the former appropriations is stated, as drafts to the amount of five hundred thousand dollars may be dually expected to reach the United States, and, as a slave shown, the dispartment. be daily expected to react the Most respectfully, your obedient servar GEO, GIBSON, C.

Hon. W L. MARCY, Secretary of War.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland.—I rise, sir, not for the purpose of offering any opposition to the passage of this bill, but to ask the chairman of the committee, if he knows what is probably the entire deficiency in the estimates; and if he can also explain to the Senate, why it is that the estimates were not sufficiently large at

Mr. ATHERTON .- In reply to the honorable Senator from Maryland, I will say that it appears from the estimates which have been furnished, that the entire deficiency is \$3,987,939 74.

Mr. JOHNSON .- (In his seat.) Nearly four millions.

Mr. CALHOUN .- (In his seat.) Up to this date ?

Mr. ATHERTON .- Nearly four millions for the current year. Mr. ATHERTON.—Reary four infinitions for the cutrent year. To show the reason for the deficiency in the estimate for the appropriation for the current fiscal year, I would ask that the letter from the Commissary General of Subsistence, be read.

The Secretary then read the following letter:

OFFICE OF COMMISSARY GENERAL OF SUBSISTENCE

Washington, November 9, 1847.

Sign. In submitting with this an "estimate of the probable amount that will be required to unset the deficiency in the former appropriation for the subsistence of the probable amount that will be required to unset the deficiency in the former appropriation for the subsistence of the regular amay and volunteers in the extreed of the clinical state from December 1, to lance 30, 18-35. The vertex belongs to make the following report in explanation:

At the time when this department was making heavy gratheses for adjunction of the control of th

breastlant, as wet as well as many and the price of produce in general neaty one half of its previous value; and this enhanced three was kept up for some months. My annual estimate of the produced perior of the rather on ande November 70, 1846, was only fifteen cents. That estimate of even was made at the time well-and perior of the rather of the produced and fifth a short of the cent of the reterms to represent the price of produce, and efficient soft the cent of the reterms to represent the price of t

pecation of such a nei in the price of protace, and term monotonic of such a nei in the price are proposed from the product of the term additional regiment to regular toops (authorized of term ye estimate of November 10, 1946), was made for only four months—asy from inservice, will probably so remain up to June 30, 1848, and will have to be subsasted to that date. Here will be seen a deficiency to the appropriation of one year, for this large body of terms.

Here will be seen a deficiency to the appropriation or one; we not mattered out at the capitation of their line; but many of them had to be subsisted for a longer period that the expiration of their line; but many of them had to be subsisted for a longer period and they were appropriated for.

Since June 30, 1647, man and appropriate obscillates have been called into Since June 30, 1647, and all appropriation being made to next the expense of washing them. They have been abaselsed for an off the lan annual appropriation. The potton of the army under the command of Major General Scott, super it for contrast the superior of the supe

ralian—leng an increase from creva as version recept to the compose of this department of the compose of the compose of this department, as the complete ration, furnished under special contracts made throughout the country, cross nearly double the estimated urise.

Owing to the nature of the clinated or Mexico, and the imperfect stoochouses, (the article of a substracte whong perpindent thin been lost from decay and waitage; as a marked of a substract whong perpindent of the correctness of the estimated deficiency one sake for the correctness of the estimated deficiency one wasted for.

Most respectfully, your obedient servant.

What respectfully, your obedient servant.

Hou. W. L. MARCY, Secretary of War.

No amendment being offered, the bill was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it pass to a third reading-The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved, That it pass

Ordered. That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly

THE MADISON PAPERS.

Mr. CRITTENDEN rose for the purpose of inquiring whether the bill for the purchasing of the papers of President Madison was not the order of the day for this day. It had been made the special order for Monday last, and he supposed therefore it was now the first business in order. He inquired if it woold not come up for consideration at this time as a matter of course?

The PRESIDING OFFICER replied that it would require a motion to take it up.

Mr. CRITTENDEN then moved to proceed to the consideration of that bill.

The motion was agreed to, and the bill was taken up for consideration as in Committee of the Whole.

The bill was read a third time by its title.

Mr. BUTLER.—The question is now, I believe, on the passage of the bill; will it be in order to move that it be made the special order for to-morrow? I am not fully prepared to give my vote upon it, and, if I am driven to a vote at this time, I shall be compelled to vote against it. I desire an opportunity to make a few remarks upon the subject, and, perhaps I may propose to modify the bill. Will it be in order now to make a motion for its post-ponement until to morrow?

The PRESIDING OFFICER .- The Senator is at liberty to

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—I will only suggest to my honorable friend, that the bill, by a vote of the Senate, has passed beyond that stage when it would be subject to amendment, and therefore, if his object be to amend the bill, it cannot well be accomplished.

without retracing our steps. To allow the honorable Senator an opportunity to express any reasons that he may have against the passage of the bill, is a courtesy which I would be disposed, at all passage of the only is a courtesy which I would be disposed, at all times, to extend; but I hope that the Senator will not press any motion for delay. I should be very much gratified if the bill might be allowed to pass to-day; and I hope the Senator will withdraw his proposition for postponement, unless there be some particular object to be accomplished by it.

Mr. BUTLER.—I have so many difficulties on the subject, that if the vote be forced upon me now, I shall, very probably, be constrained to vote against the bill. I do not desire, however, to prestrained to vote against the bill. I do not desire, however, to pre-vent its passage, provided it receive a slight modification. I am inclined to think that the papers of Mr. Madison, will, in time to come, he more valuable than they are now, and in regard to the legacy to the widow of that distinguished man, I would not de-prive her, in the slightest degree, of the benefit to be derived from that legacy during her life-time, but there is a provision in the bill, that regacy during her life-time, out there is a provision in the bill. I persieve, that gives her the power to dispose of the find appropriated to her use, by will. Now if I could modify the bill in this way, to give \$5,000 down, and \$20,000 during her life-time for such papers as a committee might select, I would be entirely wiland papers as a committee might select, I would be entirely willing; hin I would be very unwilling to give the benefit of this legacy to a stranger. I am entirely in favor of giving it to Mrs. Madison herself; but how far I would give her the power of disposing of it by will, I am not now prepared to say. I say to the Senator from Kentucky that I would be willing to give \$5,000 down, and the remainder of the \$20,000 in trust for her during her life-time; and if the papers are worth more than that sam, I would go so far as to select from the papers so much of them as will amount to that value, leaving the rest to rise in value, as I believe they undoubtedly will, and to be purchased hereafter in case it be deemed expedient. I firmly believe that these papers will be looked to in future times with nucle selector; and I do not visib to deprive the widew of Mr. Madison of the benefit which she may ultimately derive from their increased value; but to give her the right to dispose of the purchase money by will, perhaps to an inter stranger. pose of the purchase money by will, perhaps to an utter stranger, is a thing to which I am not prepared to consent.

Mr. BERRIEN.—If this money is to be considered as a gratuity to Mrs. Madison, and for which no value is received, I would very readily agree with the suggestion of the honorable Senator from South Carolina, that that which is designed as a gratuity should be personal to her, onlyed during her life and terminate at her death. But if, as I suppose to be the case, it is a purchase of papers conoceded by the honorable Senator, and felt I presume by the great majority of the Senate, to be of great and increasing value as containing the opinions of one of the most enlightened value as confaming the opinions of one of the most onlightened statesmen of the early days of this repulhie—if it is to be consid-ered as a contract between the government and Mrs. Madison as the possessor and proprietor of these papers, for which value is given, then I submit to my honorable friend that the money which is so given is no longer the money of the government, but becomes the money of the other party to the contract, and subject exclu-sively to her disposal. Sir, the provisions in this hill are not intro-duced from any idea that this is a gratuity, but considering the sum which it is proposed to appropriate to be a sum for which we receive a valuable consideration. I suggest, then, whether it would be proper that we should thee any restraint mone the dissum when it is project to appropriate to be a sum for when we receive a valuable consideration. I suggest, then, whether it would be proper that we should place any restraint upon the disposition of the money after its ownership has thus been changed, and I should hope that the honorable Senator, after witnessing the tain I should never that the nontrine contains, and wincessing the expression of the disposition on the part of a majority of the Senate, that this bill should be allowed to pass, would not persever in opposing its passage at this time, in order that it may avoid encountering the late which it met at the last session in consequence of unnecessary delay.

of unaccessary delay.

Mr. BUTLER.—I have not had time to examine the manuscript, nor am I convinced that Congress ought to purchase the whole of them at this time; and I believe also, as has been already suggested, that these manuscripts will become more valuable hereafor, and I desire that Mrs. Madison shall receive the full benefit of her illustrious husband's works. I would be perfectly perfectly willing, as I have said already, to Mrs. Madison all that she desires during her life time for such papers as may be selected by a committee. In this way everything that is necessary will be accomplished, but I must repeat that if the bill be forced upon me as it stands, it shall, very relucantly—for it is contrary to my disposition to oppose a matter of this kind—be compelled to vote raginst it; for I am not now prepared to vote for it, and I am not position to oppose a natural vietne some some set of the property of the willing to be forced into a vote before I am prepared. I only ask time to examine the mtter, and it may be, when I have made that examination, that I will yield up my objections; but I cannot give them up without an opportunity of satisfying myself in regard to them; for I should distrust myself, in such a case as this, est my feelings should get the better of my judgment

MILERAMEN—— certainly had hoped that the Senator would be content to yield his opposition, after winessing the manifest disposition of the Senate that the bill should pass. If the honorrable Senator persists in his desire for the postponement until to-morrow, I will acquisees. Mr. CRITTENDEN -I certainly had hoped that the Senator

Mr. BUTLER.—I do desire its postponement, and perhaps I may give up my objections. I have not had time, or if I had, I have not varieled myself of it, to examine the subject, so as to eaable me to vote intelligently.

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—If the gentleman desires the postpone-ment, I shall not resist it, for the bill will, I suppose, come up of ssity to-morrow.

Mr. WESTCOTT.—I desire to make an explanation, in order to relieve myself from the imputation of inconsistency in voting as I intend, against this bill, unless if he altered is tession, the vote which I gave at the last session. The properties of the proposed of the properties of t Mr. WESTCOTT .- I desire to make an explanation, in order l agunt the power, and have no doubt of the impropriety of such legislation by Congress. Congress has a right to purchase, but no right to fetter the payment of the consideration money, with the condition that it shall be vested in trustees.

Mr. CRITTENDEN .- (In his seat.) It was at the request of Mrs. Madison that such an arrangement was made.

Mr. WESTCOTT .- That does not alter the case with me. Her consent would no doubt be given to a donation in any form, as a matter of course. I object to the establishment of such a prea matter of course. I object to the establishment of siene a pre-cedent. If it were a mere gratuity, the objection would not apply, but as this is a consideration pand for papers, the objection is with me imagerable. I cannot vote for the bill in its present form, although I have no objection, constitutional or otherwise, to giving the money in consideration for the papers out-and-ont to Mrs. Madison.

Mr. BADGER .- If I understood correctly the remarks which have been made by the honorable Scnator from Florida, he has said that he voted for the bill of last session, but if pressed to vote on the present bill, he will vote against it for certain reasons which he has assigned. Now, if I recollect the terms of the bill which we have the control to the c which mass designed. AVM, It I reconfice the terms of the bill which passed the Senate by a very large majority at the hast session, it was liable to the objections stated by the honorable gentleman from Florida in a still higher degree than they can be possibly supposed to apply the possibly supposed to appl far as to preclide Mrs. Madison from anticipating any of the coming payments on account of interest before they should fall due. But what are the objections that it is an act of Congress creating But what are the objections that it is an act of conjerks creating trustees, and on which the honorable gentleman calls in question the right of Congress to pass such a bill? With very great res-pect to the opinion of the honorable gentleman—and certainly as a lawyer, as well as on other grounds, his opinions are entitled to the highest consideration—it does seem to me that he has presented an entirely new phase of constitutional difficulty. Congress proposes to buy from Mrs. Madison certain papers, and the bill assumes that these papers are worth awenty-five thousand dollars, sumes that these papers are worth aventy-five thousand dollars, which sum Congress proposes to pay to the proprietor of these papers, to be held for her interest. The proprietor of these papers is willing, and desires that payment should be made to her in the following manner: that of the twenty-five thousand should be paid to her immediately, and the remaining twenty thousand should be paid to her immediately, and the remaining twenty thousand should be paid to her immediately, and the remaining twenty thousand should be paid to her immediately, and the remaining twenty thousand should be paid to her immediately, and the remaining twenty thousand should be paid to her immediately and the remaining twenty that the should be should be sometimed to the state of the same that the should be should be coming to the state of the same that the should be coming to the same that t The money, according to this contract, that would be coming to, and he due to Mrs. Madi: on after the five thousand dollars are paid, and that to be paid into the hands of certain trustees, was the and that to be paul into the hands of certain tristees, was the money of the government, and would become the money of Mrs. Madison. Well, if the party who is to give the money, and the party who is to receive it, both agree to a certain disposition of it, how is it possible that there can be any constitutional difficulty? If Congress have the right to buy the papers, and pay away the money, certainly it has a right, with consent of the seller of the money, certainty it has a right, with consent of the select of the papers, to make such disposition of the money as may be agreed upon. Where, I again ask, is the difficulty I Wby, Mrs. Madison may dispose of the principal final by her will, and in consequence of this arrangement, the honorable Senator supposes that the just claims of ereditors may be evaded.

Mr. WESTCOTT .- (In his seat.)-It is tying up the fund.

Mr. BADGER.—What principle of constitutional law is opposed to "tying up the fund." What principle of constitutional law is opposed to "tying up the fund?" What principle of common haw is opposed to it. However, sir, the bill is, I believe, to stand over, and I will not detain the Senate. Indeed, I should not have made any remarks at all on the subject, had it not been for the observations of the Senator from Florida; but aware of his distinguished legal reputation. I was afraid, lest it might go out that we were doing some strange things here, and that by our legislation we were about to disregant the obligations of delstors. It seems to me, as the honorable gentleman from Florida knows very well, that where a person has a power to be exercised, according to his to me, as the monorable generman from remonarchast according to his that where more proportion power to be exercised, according to his hest will and discretion, he mate secreise it, if he do so at all, for the health and creditors. This is, therefore, the most beneficial form in which correlations. This is, therefore, the most beneficial form in which correlations are more more proposed in the contraction of man; and at her death she will have an opportunity of bestowing that fund which has produced the interest on the objects of her re-

gard—on those who have lingered around her dying bed, and ministered to her in her declining years, and to whom she would be, therefore, disposed to leave a memorial of her kindness and testimony of her gratitude. For one, I was sorry that my honorable friend from South Carolina felt it to be his duty—acting, as I know he did, from the purest and most honorable motives-to make the slightest objection to the passage of this bill. A similar bill was passed at the last session by a decided vote. This is one of those passed at the last session by a declader vote. This is one of those cases in which it may be truly said, that in order that this act may be really kind, it ought to be done promptly—early. The subject has been fully considered—the sense of the Senate has been fully dehas been fully considered—the sense of the Senate fias been fully de-clared—and never was there a case, as it appears to me, in which we could with more propriety, as was said by my friend from Ken-tucky the other day, convert this into a new-year's arrangement, shedding joy and gladness over the declining days of one so made steemed for her own sake, as well as being the reliet of so emi-nent a citizen of this republic. But under the rule I suppose the subject less over, and I will not trouble the Senate with any further

Mr. WESTCOTT .- The honorable gentlemaa from North Carolina has totally misconceived me; but as it is suggested that this bill will come up again to-morrow, I shall defer any further remarks.

On motion by Mr. BUTLER, it was

Ordered, That the further consideration of the bill be postponed until to-morrow.

## RESOLUTIONS.

Mr. CASS submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimons consent, and agreed to:

Resolved, That the Committee on Military Affairs be instructed to inquire into the justice and junquety of providing for the fulfilment of the contract, entered into by the Secretary of War, on the 12th day of March, 1859, onder the authority of the John Resolution of Congress of the 15th of February, 1859, "do contract with J. B and F. Fernbault, for the purchase of the ishade at the confidence of the St. Peter's

Mr. BREESE submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to :

Resolved. That the Committee on Public Lands be instructed to inquire into the ex-pediency of allowing to the Registers and Receivers of the several land offices, for their services under the minth section of the act of the 11th February last, the sam compensation as a now allowed by law when money is paid on the entry and pur-

Mr. SEVIER submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved, That the Committee on Public Lands be instructed to inquire into the expediency of allowing a reasonable compensation to such receivers of the public money as perform the duties of assistant Treasorers of the United States.

# REPORT OF THE GENERAL LAND OFFICE.

On motion by Mr. BREESE, it was

Ordered, That two thousand extra copies of the report of the Commissioner of the General Land Office, with the accompanying maps and diagrams, be printed for the use of the Senate; and that two thousand additional copies of the map of surveys in Florida, accompanying said report, he also printed for the use of the Schate.

## MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk :

Mr. President: The House of Representatives have appointed a Committee on unting on their part, in pursuance of the joint resolution of the two Houses, conting of Mr. Conger, of New York, Mr. Healy, of Indiana, and Mr. John B. Thomps of Kentherick

sisting of Mr. Congert, or account of the death of the Hon. EDWARD BRADLEY, I am directed to notify the Seonte of the death of the Hon. EDWARD BRADLEY, I are herebred elect of the present Congress, from the State of Michigan, and of the proceedings of the House of Representatives thereon.

## THE LATE HONORABLE EDWARD BRADLEY.

The resolutions from the Honse of Representatives having been

Mr. FELCH rose and addressed the Senate as follows:

Mr. President: The announcement just received from the House Mr. Pressent: A memoinement just receive from the 1700se of Representatives, arrests the attention of the Senate in the midst of its ordinary business, with the melancholy tidings of death. Again, and again, since the commencement of the present session, similar announcements have called us to mourn, with the country the decease of distriguished members of the present Congress, and to pay the tribute of respect to the memory of departed as If the melancholy expression of our feelings here cannot restore the deceased to life, nor recall to a seat in these halls the trusted agent of a confiding constituency, nor restore to the domestic circle agent of a confiding constituency, nor restore to the donestic circle its stay and its support, it may, at least, show that we cherish a high regard for the memory of the departed—that we appreciate his virtues and abilities, and sympathies with those who, united with the deceased by closer ties, have felt even more keenly than we, this sad dayensation of Providence.

EDWARD BRADLEY, whose death is thus amounced, was born in the contrary of Outario, in the State of New York, in April, Physical Ad the age of twenty-tay least of New York, in April, Physical Conference of twenty-tay least in his native county.

Previous to this appointment the had moved in the humbler walks of private life. Engaged chiefly in agricultural pursuits,

with few advantages of early education, there was little in the influences by which he was surrounded to kindle his ambition or to timulate to those unceasing mental efforts which alone can secure high attainments, and give assurance of future eminence. But his

high attainments, and give assurance of future eminence. But his was a mid of no ordinary energies. Availing himself of every opportunity for improvement, he gathered knowledge from every source within his reach, acquiring strength in his struggles with adversity, and accumulating, in his contact with the world, the rich treasures of mental efficiency and practical information.

The appreciation of his merits by his friends, prompted him to still more ardent efforts, and a taste for forensic discussion induced him to become a devoted student of the law. In 1830 memorate the first produced the reputation of an able lawyer, and an eloquent advector. In 1822 he was called by the suffrages of the district in which he resided, to a seat in the State Scaute, and ably and faithfully performed his duties in that station during his term. In 1836 fully performed his duties in that station during his term. In 1846 he was elected a member of the House of Representatives of the

Thirtieth Congress.

This last evidence of the high esteem in which he was held among those who knew him best, was scarcely received, when disease marked him for a victim. In July he left the place of his residence, intending to seek the restoration of his health, by residuates, meaning to seek in escondation as ideatily, o'x particularly or the capitol, and a temporary sojourn on the sea coast. The end of this journey he was destined never to reach.—
He arrived in the city of New York on the last day of July, and on the morning of the fifth day of August he was numbered with the dead. She who came to minister that aid and consolation of the dead of the consolation of the which his debility required, returned with the lifeless remains of her husband to her stricken family, the widowed to the fatherless. I am aware, Mr. President, that in alluding in this place to the

virtues of the deceased, I speak to those who have had no opportunity, by personal intercourse, to enjoy his friendship, or to know his worth. He was called to the world of spirits when about to assume his position among the representatives of this people. By his death, his constituents have been deprived of the services of an able representative, and the country a faithful guardian of the public weal.

lie weal.

Himself the architect of his own fortunes, his sympathics were
with the struggling, the poor, and the oppressed. Ardent in his
feelings, and strong in his attachments, he was nevertheless tolerant to an opponent, and forgiving to an enemy. At the bar, in the
legislative hall, and in the popular assembly, his good sense, and
strong reasoning faculties always commanded attention and respect; and hy his eloquence he carried persuant and conviction
to the hearts of his anditors.

If in compoun with his fellow men, he had his faults, they were

If, in common with his fellow men, he had his faults, they were trivial and easily to be forgiven; while his virtues, prominent and numberless, will long he the theme of praise, and his early death the subject of heartfelt sorrow.

Mr. FELCH, in conclusion, submitted the following resolutions, which were unanimously adopted:

Resideed, unanimously. That the Senate has received with deep sensibility, the message from the House of Representatives, announcing the death of Hon. Enwarn BRALEY, a Representative elect from the State of Michigan.

Resided, unanimously, That the memory of the Senate, from a sincere desire of all the sensitive of the Senate, from a sincere desire of all the sensitive of the Senate of the S

Whereupon,

The Senate adjourned.

# THURSDAY, DECEMBER 30, 1847.

Mr. UPHAM presented two petitions from citizens of Ohio, praying the establishment of a daily mail between Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, and Mansfield, Ohio; which were referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Mr. UNDERWOOD presented the petition of John Leroy, praying a pension on account of the loss of an arm, while carrying an express for the army in Mexico; which was referred to the

Mr. WEBSTER presented the petition of Henry Hatch, praying indemnity for French spoliations prior to 1800; which was laid upon the table.

Also, the petition of the American Peace Society, praying that the war with Mexico may be brought to a close, without the fur-ther effusion of blood; which was laid on the table.

Mr. BREESE presented the petition of citizens of Clay county, Illinois, praying that the right of pre-emption may be granted to the Illinois Central Railroad Company to the lands along the route of their road; which was referred to the Committee on Publie Lands.

Mr. DIX presented the petition of Joseph Burchard, praying that certain duty bonds paid by him as surety for John S. Roulet, may be repaid to him; which was referred to the Committee on Finance.

Mr. BADGER presented the petition of citizens of Johnston county, North Carolina, praying the establishment of a post office at Bagby's store, in that county, which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Mr. BERRIEN presented additional documents relating to the petition of Milledge Galphin, executor of George Galphin, decased; which were referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

On motion by Mr. WEBSTER, it was

Ordered. That the memorial of the President and Fellows of Harvard College, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Finance.

On motion by Mr. DIX, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Maria Ostrander and the petition of Sarah Overbagh, on the files of the Senate, be severally referred to the Committee on Pensions.

On motion by Mr. RUSK, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Samuel W. Bell, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

On motion by Mr. CRITTENDEN, it was

Ordered, That Sarah E. Graham have leave to withdraw her petition and papers

## PROCEEDINGS AND DEBATES.

Mr. MANGUM submitted the following motion for considera-

Ordered. That the Vice President be authorized and requested to have two moveable desks provided for the reporter of the pro-ceedings and debates of the Senate, and his assistants, upon the Boor of the Senate chamber, to be used only during the session of the Senate, and to accommodate two persons

Mr. BADGER offered the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved, That the Committee on Commerce imprire into the expediency of estal lishing additional floating and stationary lights; and, also, additional linoys, below an between the town of Wilmington, North Carolina, and the ocean.

Mr. GLAYTON submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to

considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to impure into the expe-dience of a fixed that the committee on Commove be directed to impure into the expe-dience of making appropriations for the burbon in the rever belavare, at Port Fein, Includence (W.). See Yadle, and in the Christian and Mahoia, river; also for the excess of a high bone on the burst pro-troposte to Fort Midha, and that the expor-nd of the properties of the properties of the properties of the pro-ting of the properties of the properties of the properties of the pro-line application of the properties of the properties of the pro-line applications of a general law reguing week in susping the Helsan result platts in the night time, be taken from the tiles and preferred to the sust committee. Chesterled, That the Committee or Commove be directed to impure into the expe-Resolved, That the Committee on Commerce be directed to inquire into the expe-diency of a law, requiring consting vessels of the United States to employ approntices in the purisplation of said vessels.

Mr. BAGBY submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to :

Resolved, That the Postmaster General be requested to transmit to the Senate all the papers on file in the office relating to the transportation of the mail by Jamson and Wilhamson, between Montgomery and Tarcalousa, and between Sejara and Maples with, Alabana

Mr. CLAYTON submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved. That three hundred and fifty copies of the map of the coast survey of the claware Bay and River be printed for the use of the Senate.

### PRIVATE BILLS.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. DICKINSON asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill for the relief of Jeanette C. Huntington, wildow and sole executive of William D. Cheever, deceased; which was read a first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee of Claims.

Mr. CORWIN, from the Committee on Public Lands, to which had been referred the petition of Cadwallader Wallace, made a report, accompanied by a bill for his relief.

The bill was read and passed to a second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

Mr. ATCHISON, from the Committee on Indian Affairs, to which had been referred the petition of Thomas Talbot and others. made a report, accompanied by a bill for their relief.

The bill was read and passed to a second reading.

Ordered, That the report he printed.

### THE BARQUE CANTON.

Mr. DIX, from the Committee on Commerce, to which had heen referred the petition of William Sayward and others, reported a bill to authorize the issuing of a register to the barque Canton; which was read.

On motion by Mr. DIX, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of said bill.

The bill having been read a second time, was considered as in Committee of the Whole, and no amendments being offered, it was reperted to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved, That it pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaul.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

## NOTICES OF BILLS, ETC.

Mr. YULEE and Mr. BERRIEN severally gave notice that on to-morrow, or at an early day, they would ask the leave of the Senate to introduce certain bills, the titles of which they named.

Mr. DICKINSON gave notice of his intention to ask the Senate to take up the resolutions heretofore submitted by bim.

REGENT OF THE SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTE.

On motion by Mr. BREESE, it was

Ordered, That a member be appointed by the Vice President, to fill the vacancy in the Board of Regents, occasioned by the resignation of the Hon. Lewis Cass.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi was appointed.

## COMMITTEE ON PRINTING.

On motion by Mr. SEVIER, it was

Ordered, That a committee on Public Printing on the part of the Senate, in pursuance of the joint resolution of the two Houses, be appointed by the Vice President.

Mr. BRADBURY, Mr. CAMERON, and Mr. GREENE, were appointed.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

On motion, it was

Ordered. That when the Senate adjourn, it be to Monday next.

The Senate proceeded to consider the following resolutions, submitted by Mr. JOHNSON, of Md., on the 23d instant:

Submitted by Mr. JOHANOUN, of Mid., on the confusional Revision. That be Secretary of War inform the Services called into the service First.—What has been the whole number of volunter troops and the time of the Services. Sermad.—Off the troops, to called, what has been the whole number discharged from such service before their term of service had capited? and what the number mastered "Tard.—What has been the whole number of troops in Meanon, belonging to the vigular rangy of the United States, since the 18th May, 1846? Fauth.—What is the number of troops are in Meanon.

Fifth.—What has been the whole number of officers and men belonging either to the regular army or the volonteers, who have been killed or died of wonads received in abutel since the 7th May, 1846 if a bullet since the 7th May, 1846 if the regular army or volunteers wounded in battle since the 7th May, 1846, who have not died of their wounds?

Mr. CASS remarked that a great deal of the information sought Mr. CASS remarked that a great deal of the information sought for by the resolutions of the honorable Senator from Maryland was contained in the report of the Adjutant General. That report had not yet been lad upon the desks of Senators, but he had no doubt that it would soon be placed before them; he hoped, therefore, the honorable Senator would permit his resolutions to lie over for a day or two, until that report was printed.

Mr. R. JOHNSON .- I was aware that some of the information called for might be found in the report of the Adjutant General; but my purpose was to have all the information that is called rail but my purpose was to have all the information that is called for by these several resolutions together. My object was a double one—that the information which we have, or can have, without some such injury as this, will not show the Senate or the coun-try the number of men who have died of disease in Mexico. I propose, and in my opinion, it is the only way in which to get the information—to inquire as to the number mustered in the service under the act of the 13th of May, 1847; the number of these disunder the act of the 1stn of May, 1844; the number of these dis-charged before their period of service expired, and the number mustered out of service. I suppose the difference between the number mustered into service, and the number mustered out of service, not accounted for by the number discharged in the meantime, will show the number of those who have died of disease, less the number of those killed in battle. I have asked, therefore, in addition, for the number killed in battle. The other motive which I had was, (and I am sure it is one in which I shall have the concurrence of the honorable chairman of the Committee on Mitiary Affairs, b) hay before the Senate and the committee of the Mitiary Affairs, b) hay before the Senate and the committy information of the committee of the committee of the committee that are presented as a senate of the committee that separate has reported a bill to raise additional volunteers for the war in Mexico. My opinion has always been out that less efficiency is to be found in volunteer forces; that would be contrary to the history of all times; but, as the Senator will readily apprehend, it is impossible that the same degree of discipline can be exerted over the volunteers as over regular troops, and I am perfectly satisfied that a climate like that of Mexico, a climate in the highest degree insulutions, that it is this want of discipline, to which the great mortality which has prevail-aid before us, that at the commencement of the last session of Congress, there were actually boried on the hanks of the Rio Grande of those who had died of disease, twenty-five handred men. Military Affairs,) to lay before the Senate and the country informa-Grande of those who had dired of disease, twenty-live hundred men. Now, without saying at present what my opinion is in relation to this war, as I may do at some subsequent period of the session, I suppose it is perfectly obvious for some time at least, the war is to be prosecuted, and it is all important I think, that we should be firmished with this information, upon which public opinion, the opinion of the Seaate, and the opinion of the country can be form-ed, which will enable us to determine what is the best description of force to be called into service. Now I have no doubt that some of this information, in fact, a great deal of it, may be found in the report of the Adjutant General; but certainly it can do no harm to have all the information spread before us at once. I hope, therefore, that the honovable Senator will make no opposition to the passage of the resolution.

Mr. CASS.—No, certainly not, sir; I have no objection to obtaining information. I merely suggested that a great deal of it would be found in the report to which I alluded.

The question being taken the resolution was adopted,

INCREASE OF THE REGULAR ARMY.

Mr. CASS.—There is a very important bill, which was reported from the Committee on Military Atlairs, which I am desirous should be taken up and acted upon! I mean the bill for raising ten additional regiments, and I giove the postponement of the previous orders, and that the Senate precede to the consideration of that bill.

Mr. CRITTENDEN -I hope the gentleman will allow that Mr. CRITTENDEN.—I hope the gentleman will allow that motion to remain for a few mements, until the special order from a former day has been disposed of. There is a hill now upon its passage, and which will not occupy. I believe, ten minutes, which I should be glad to have taken up if the Senator will withdraw his motion for the present; and unless he will do so, I shall feel con-strained to oppose his motion, which I should not otherwise be dis-cessed to do. posed to do.

Mr. CASS .- I have no objection.

THE MADISON PAPERS

The Senate resumed the consideration of the bill to provide for the purchase of the manuscript papers of the late James Madison. former President of the United States.

The question being upon the passage of the bill, upon which the as and nays had been ordered, it was determined in the affirmative, as follows:

VEAS—Messia, Badger, Bagby, Baldwin, Bell, Bernea, Butler, Claike, Clayton, Corwin, Criteadea, Doughs, Foote, Greene, Johnson, of Maryland, Johnson, of Louisiana, Maogum, Phelps, Sevier, Sturgeon, Upham, Webster, Yulee—22.

30TH CONG .- 1ST SESSION-No. 7.

NAYS—Atchison, Atherton, Breese, Calhoun, Cass, Davis, of Mississippi, Dickason, Dix, Felch, Hale, Turney, Underwood, Westcott—13.

So it was

Resolved. That the bill pass, and that the title thereof be "An act to provide for the purchase of the manuscript papers of the late James Madison, former President of the United States."

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

## INCREASE OF THE ARMY.

Mr. CASS renewed his motion to proceed to the consideration of the bill to raise, for a limited time, an additional military force.

Mr. CALHOUN.—I hope the honorable Senator does not intend to press the bill to a decision at this time. The Senate is extend to press the bill to a decision at this time. The Sonate is ex-remely thin, and it is a question of so much importance, as every thing must be which is connected with the war, that I submit to the Senator, insamed as what supplies we shall grant must de-pend on that we shall determine in the next few days is to be done, that it would be proper to allow the consideration of this bill to be postponed. If the Scnator is determined to press the ques-tion upon as at this early period, and before any one has had time to fix his own mind in regard to the subject, I shall be constrained to oppose the Senator's mother.

Mr. CASS .- It is not with the slightest wish to express my Mr. CASS.—It is not with the slightest wish to express my views that I urge this measure; but because I think the good of the country requires it. It is simply a hill for raising ten regiments, the details of which were all arranged by this body at the last ses-sion; it is, therefore, simply a question as to whether we will an-thorize this additional force. The bill is drafted in the same way as former bills for the same purpose; and all the Senate has to do is to say whether ten additional regiments shall be authorized to be raised. This is a matter which is intimately connected with the procress and with the termination of the way. For it is designed to raised. This is a matter which is humanely connected with the termination of the war; for it is designed to lead to its termination. The hill has been already reported some eight or ten days, and it therefore hope that the honorabile Senator will allow the consideration of the hill to be proceeded with now. will allow the consideration of the bill to be proceeded with now. And the honorable Senator will permit me to observe, that I do not see, in reference to his resolutions, how they are to bring the discussion of this subject before the Senate better than it may be brought by this bill. The object of the gendleman is one of three: brought by this bill. The object of the gentleman is one of three it is eitler to operate upon the Senate, or upon the administration, or upon the country. It is not to operate upon the administration, or upon the country. It is not to operate upon the administration or properties the service of the administration to prosecute this war with the view of extinguishing the nationality of Mexico. The ground the administration takes upon this subject is peculiarly elear; it is to make and secure an hono-able peace. To attempt to prevent the American people from taking possession of Mexico, if they demand it, would read to Niagara. I myself should think it a very micror to extra the catinguish the independence of that country and annex it to our own, but the more the war is prolonged, the longer it is suffered to go on, the greater will be the danger of such an econrence.—
What, then, is the issue? The administration say they do not into go on, the greater will be the danger of such an occurrence.—
What, then, is the issue? The administration say they do not intend to conquer all of Mexico. That project is disavowed and the
fighting line is disavowed, and the only thing that remains is to
keep possession of what we have, and extend our operations as
the position of the cenury may render necessary, and as our meansmay enable us. Now, in my view, this bill may be taken up and
disposed of without determining at all this matter. That is a subdisposed of without determining at all this matter. usposed of without determining at an tus matter. That is a subject which is not involved in the present question. Why, then, should we stop with the view of bringing the Senate to a decision upon this point, which decision, with all deference to the honorable Senator, seems to me to have no manner of connexion with the subject before us.

Mr CALHOUN .- As the Senator has avowed his intention to oceed with this bill, and to press the question upon us now, I shall be compelled to oppose it.

Mr. CASS.—For the personal accommodation of the Senator I will consent that the bill shall lie over until Monday. Beyon that day I cannot consent that it shall be postponed.

Mr. CALHOUN .- My ideas, sir, extend far beyond that. Mr. CALHOUN.—My deeas, sir, extend far beyond that. I am very happy to hear the Senator say that the President is entirely opposed to the conquest of Mexico and the extinguishment of her nationality; and I am very happy also, to hear that the chairman of the Committee on Military Adfairs repeats the same thing: That being admitted as among the greatest calamities that could happen, the important question comes up—how shall that could happen, the important question comes up—how shall we escape it? Sir, we often get into situations which we never intended to get into it. We get into this war although we never in-tended to get into it, for I will venture to say that in this body, if the question had been propounded to them of war or no war, inde-pendent of the exigency of the occasion, there would not have been one fourth of the Senate in favor of it. Now, my object is to guard, not against consequences that are contemplated, but against consequences are my follow from the measures proposed—which whether this additional force shall be granted, will depend on the fact, whether the mode recommended by the Executive to carry on this war will not, in its practical consequences, and in the exlact, whether the mode recommended by the Executive to carry ou this war will not, in its practical consequences, ond in the ex-tinction of the nationality of Mexico. Now, I submit to the Sena-tor himself, whether there is nothing due to those who differ from him in opinion in regard to this point! He may think that the poliey recommended by the administration will not end in the extinguishment of the nationality of Mexico, but I differ with him in that opinion, and until I am satisfied that he is correct, I am not Specially to tote in favor of the measure that is now proposed. The second of the seasure that is now proposed, the second of the seasure that is now proposed, the second of the seasure that there are many who believe that such will be the result, whether meanded or not, of the measures proposed by the administration. And I am one of those who catertain this belief. Now I do think we ought to postpone action on the bill for some little time, until we shall have determined the course which it will be proper for us to pursue, and with this view of the question. I shall vote argainst the unition of the honorable Senator.

Mr. CASS.—Will the Senator allow me to read this short extract from the message of the President?—

"It has been cont-outlated by me, as an object of the war, to make a permanent compute of the equilible of Mexico, or to annihilate her separate existence as an independent nature. On the contrary, it has ever here in wide-in that hes should mains tain her nationality, and, under a good government, adapted to her condition, he a free, independent, and prosperious epidilic."

This is exactly the course of policy which the administration intend to pursue. For my own part I do not see the practical operation of any vote on the subject in this body disconnected from some practical measure. In the discussion of this subject I am aware we must expect administration. We must expect the origin, progress, and results of this war to be attacked. But it seems to me, we want that this bill may come to a discussion and a decision without entering upon the general question of the origin and progress of the war. I had intended not to say a word about the administration, or the manner in which this war arose. I thought there was a common ground, where we might all unite in regard to this additional force, and I repeat, I yet hope the Senate will take up the bill and proceed to its consideration.

will take up the our and process of the views of the President in regard to the war. I have examined with great care the policy which is recommended in the message, and in my opinion, the result of that policy, if carried out, will tend to the precisely opposite course to that produce to be contemplated therein. If such should be the deanger, we must guard against it in some way, but if this danger does not exist, then there will be no necessity for any steps on our part to guard against it. But with my present information, I am not prepared to give an intelligent vote upon the subject.

Mr. CLANTON.—There is not, so far as I know, any deposition on this side of the chamber to delay voting supplies army, but I hope that the honorable Senator from Meldis drawn and press a vote upon this bill, or any measure of this description, until we have had an opportunity of reading the report of the Secretary of War, and the downments a companying that report. Sir, his any Senator on this floor read that report and the doesnests? I doubt it. They have not been printed; or, if printed, occraanly not yet furnished to the Senate; and it seems to me thin discluding an opportunity for perusing first importance, without affording an opportunity for perusing first importance, without affording an opportunity for perusing first independence, which is a first in the sease on this side of the chamber are not filled, and I trust, therefore, that he will agree to a postponement of the measure, for the present at least, without naming a particular day for its consideration, because we cannot tell on what day the members of this body will be in attendance. Whenever they may be in attendance, and the reports furnished to us for perusal, we be in attendance, and the reports furnished to us for perusal, we be in attendance, and the reports furnished to us for perusal, we cannot report the content of the present at the perusal perusa

Mr. CASS.—I am not unwilling that the Senate should have an opportunity to examine those documents, but its too important a measure to be delayed. I will consent that for shall be delayed until Monday, however, for the accommodate and a state of the case and the state of the case regarding it, as 1 do, as a measure of great public importances, and to the report of the Secretary of War, it gives you all the details on the property of the Secretary of War, it gives you all the details necessary to form an opinion upon measures of this description. It is entirely unnecessary to refer to the report of the Quartermuster General for details, because the great facts connected with the swell of the propert from the largest facts. I say again, that if the general will be satisfied with means it is degreated until Monday, I will agree to it; but further than that I cannot go.

Mr. BERRIEN.—Beside the consideration of the thinness of the Senate, there is, in my judgment, ample reason for the pest-powement of the consideration of this bill, until the Senate shall nieve discussed the resolutions submitted by the honorable Senator from South Carolian, as well as those which have been submitted by the honorable Senator from New York. Sir, we are called upon by this bill, and others that will succeed it, to add a force of 30,000 near to the army of the United States. We have the re-commendation of the President to that effect. We have his appropriate the submitted of the Senator of the Secretary of War, which discusses three hosts of the persident in Maxico. Certainly, Sir, it seems to be the obvious there of common sense, that before we preceed to increase the means of offensive unitary operations in Mexico.

should determine for ourselves, not at the bidding of the President should determine for ourserves, not at the budging of the Fresident, but for ourselves, as the constitutional war-making power, what are the objects to be accomplished by these measures? There is this, sir, beyond the suggestions which are made by the honorable Senasar, beyond the suggestions which are made by the Ronorable Sena-tor from Michigan, as to the operation of the resolutions which have been submitted—there is this consideration, that without seek-ing to operate on the mind of the President, without seeking to influence the judgment of the American people, except as that interment the place operation of the content of the people, except as that interment the place of the people of th passes by as unworthy of consideration the motion of the weak. In of the troops, and the restoration of peace without further trampling on our trodden down foe. He then suggests three modes of presecuting the war. Have the Seaate considered, are they prepared to decide with the information now-before them, or will they preclude descussion upon these different modes? Will they not euter upon the discussion with a view to ascertain the objects to be accomplished by its forther prosecution? and whether in the ac-complishment of those objects, we should in advance subject the comprising to this expenditure? Sir, we have, according to the report of the Secretary of War, a force of about 43,000 men in the field, a small majority of them only consisting of regulars. The ara small majority of them only consisting of regulars. The argument of the honorable Sentor from Michigan, is that the situation of our gallant army in Mexico renders it necessary that it should be reinforced without waiting for details of the objects for which the war has been prosecuted. Now, look at the state of facts as disclosed by the report of the Secretary of War. It is evident that the army in Mexico was perfectly safe—flushed with victory, and confident in its strength—unpwards of 32,000 strong—while the army of the enemy is scattered, dispersed, and Mexico is without an efficient government, and destitute of resources, and men to resist our arms. There can be nothing, then, in the condition of the American army which should lead us to precipitate the passware of this can army which should load us to promit a the most again and an army which should load us to promit a the most applied by the should be the ground on which I place my opposition to the present consideration of this bill is, that it proposes a great increase of force before we, the war making power, have decided what are the objects to be accomplished; and I see great lorce in the suggestions of the honorable Senator from South Carolina, which seem to have escaped the observation of the Secretary of War. The Secretary sets forth three modes for the further prosecution of the war; lirst, by defining a boundary, and holding Mexico to the observance of that boundary; secondly, by overrunning the whole of Mexico, and establishing remander fargings in the writerion livers was think. establishing permanent garrisons in the principal places; and third-ly, by holding what we already possess, and taking such other ly, by holding what we already possess, and taking such other places as we may deem it prudent to occupy. He overlooks the proposition which is stated by the Senator from South Carolina, that in adopting the latter mode there is the utmost danger that it will run into the second—that it will render indispensable the subjugation of the whole of Mexico. Sir, these are grave questions, and should not be precipitately pressed upon us.

Mr. CASS.—I will merely remark that the proper measures for raising and organizing this force must necessarily, by many mouths, precede its arrival in Mexico. It will take a long time for raising and organized, and still longer to transport them to their several place against, and still longer to transport them to their several place against, and still longer to transport them to their several place against and still longer to transport them to their several place against the still longer to transport them to their several place against the still longer to transport them to their several place against the still longer to transport them to their several place against the sensor from South Carolina, that for my soul I cannot perceive the difficulty which strikes them. The Senator from South Carolina submits a proposition which can have no practical result; here, on the other hand, is a mensure which is practical. It proposes to raise ten regiments. If any body decaned the war unnecessary, or heleved it should not be further after secure to suppose that the his voice upon the bill. The Senator scown to suppose that the his voice upon the bill. The Senator scown to suppose that the his voice upon the bill. The Senator scown to suppose that the his voice would for the expression of the views of Senators, is upon the disension upon them. It seems train like the score to place in the world for the expression of the views of Senators, is upon the disension of a practical measure like this. I trust, however, they will not delay the passage of the bill by any general discussion on the origin of the war, but if the Senate does notherwise, it seems to me that a fair hetter place for that discussion is upon this bill, than upon the abstract of it, but if not, I shall ask the Senate to proceed to this consideration new.

Mr. ALLEN.—I hope, sir, this bill will not be postponed until Monday, but on the contrary be proceeded with by the Scaate inneviately, and that we will adhere to this part of the public business until it be accomplished. There are two modes, sir, in which operation to the policy of the administration is made—one is in the form of an abstract proposition, and the other is in the form of a distinct practical measure. Watcher this opposition shall assume distinct practical measure. Watcher this opposition shall assume these shapes here or not, is a matter of choice with those who lie the right to make that opposition, as far as their power to make a choice is concerned. But whether it shall be sillowed to take such a shape, depends upon those against whom this oppo-

sition is made. I desire that the diversity of sentiment which exists in this Senate shall be tested in the discussion and decision of practical measures. Therefore I propose that all the sentiments entertained in this chamber, may be made known in the discussion of this bill in reference to its postponement, in preference to the discussion of abstract propositions. Sir, there is much advantage to be gained, as we all know, by the form which an altroflict of the case to assume that the discussion of abstract propositions, and another testige the responsibility of omnosine measures for increasing the to take the responsibility of opposing measures for increasing the army and sustaining this war. I, therefore, sir, as a friend to this administration, and as one determined, as far as I may be able, to administration, and as one determined, as lar as I may be able, to stand by its policy in this particular, desire that the opposition should be made to these measures in the face of the measures them-selves, and with the responsibility which that opposition will im-pose. Sir, we are in a state of war, and in that state we must containe until peace puts an end to it. That war has its theatre two thousand miles from this capitol, and the intercouse between the programment and the array consumes some twoor three months? the government and the army consumes some two or three months time. Well, sir, under these circumstances, with that army cut, hacked, and bleeding in the achievement of its glorious victories, hanced, and bleeding in the achievement of its glorious victories, shall we leave them those of commiss, and subjected to all the periods of this very question will have as much influence in bringing about peace, as the additional force which it is proposed to raise. The declaration as to whether we do or do not intend to prosecute the war till peace be the result, is of the surface importance. If this war till peace be the result, is of the surface importance. If this he force, it persists in eaviling against its own government—what, I ask, will be the effect of such a course upon the mind of the Mexican people? Will it not encourage them to further resistance? It is, therefore, that I say that the vote of this body pnot nits bill will, in my opinion, have as much influence upon the question of peace, as the marching of the troops themselves. For this reason I think it highly important that an early manife-station by the legalicular should be made; and that it should specify decided whether it will prosecute or abundon the war. And if it is to be abnowed that the sum of the sum of the contemplation of a great practical issue, I want the contemplation of a great practical issue, I want the contemplation of a great practical issue, I want the contemplation of a great practical issue, I want the contemplation of a great practical issue, I want the contemplation of a great practical issue, I want the contemplation of a great practical issue, I want the contemplation of a great practical issue, I want the contemplation of a great practical issue, I want the contemplation of a great practical issue, I want the contemplation of a great practical issue, I want the contemplation of a great practical issue, I want the contemplation of a great practical issue, I want the contemplation of a great practical issue, I want the contemplation of a great practical issue, I want the contemplation of a great practical issue, I want the contemplation of a great practical issue, I want to the contemplation of a great practical issue, I want to the supplementance of the tension of the town of the sum of the sum of the contemplation of the sum of the sum of the sum of the the measure before us may tend to that result. Well, what difference could it make, should the Scaate unanimously concer in the resolutions of the Scnator from South Carolina? Why, the very moment this bill is called up, the whole argument would be remounten this on is caucit up, the whole argument would be lead to that extinction. Then why need we, while we have this measure before us, go into the discussion of his resolutions? Why discuss a proposition separately, when the Senator binned! confesses has the discussion apparately with the discussion of his resolution. Senator to show how it is that, sustaining the army now in Mexico by furnishing additional troops to take the place of those gallant men who have lost their lives in battle or disease—I say it will be for him to show how it is that this additional force, which is purely designed to replenish the wasted strength of the army, is to tend to the final subjugation of Mexico and the extinction of her nation to the final subjugation of Mexico and the extinction of her nationality. We want to replace the exhausted strength of the army, to enable it to hold possession of its conquests, and by that possession compel Mexico to part an end to the war. This is what we desire, and what can be more proper and reasonable? I hope, therefore, that the bill will be taken into consideration and kept before the Senate until it is completed; and that our army will not be permitted to suffer for want of this additional force. Without, sir, presuming to say what will be the final decision of this body,

I cannot be mistaken in saying, that whatever that decision may be, it will exert a momentous influence for good or for evil upon the interests of our common country.

Mr. CALHOUN.—It appears now, sir, that the object in ealing up this bill at present, is not to pass the bill, but to get a parliamentary advantage—to compel the Senate to discuss this bill before an opportunity is afforded for discussing the resolutions which I have affered. It is a nere parliamentary move. The Senator shakes his head. Well, sir, if we follow the advice of the Senator from Ohio, we shall consume just as much time in discussing this bill as if we were first to discuss the resolutions. The Senator from Ohio tasks of the necessity of proceeding to act upon this bill now, and tells us in the next breath that we are to have the whole discussion upon the subject of the war upon this bill.

Mr. ALLEN.—The honorable Senator misrepresents what I said. What I said was, that we ought to take up this bill and go on with its consideration at once.

Mr. CALHOUN—Exactly. We are to go on with the discussion upon this bill instantly, we are told, both by the Sentor from Ohio and the Senator from Mechigan, and not let the discussion upon the resolutions. Nav precedence. The Senator trom Ohio says that it is a parliamentary advantage to have the discussion upon this bill previous to discussing the resolutions. Well, I acknowledge that it is so. I acknowledge that we should be tied upon this bill previous to discussing the resolutions. Well, I acknowledge that it is so. I acknowledge that we should be tied upon a great measure, in the discussion upon the bill, and prevented from going so freely into all these questions regarding the war, as we would in discussing the resolutions. I will then be, sarvier to the state of the st

Mr. HALE—I regret the necessity of saving a word in relation to this matter at this time: it has been my misfortune not to have read the message of the President as have those Semators who have already addressed you. I suppose, sir, that this metsage has been drafted with some care, and with some reference to the rules of grammatical construction. If I remember aright, a rule is laid down by Lindlay Murray; it is, that the perfect tense not only represents an action as passed and finished, but contains a direct allusion to the present time. What is the language of the President? "It has never been contemplated by me., as an object of the war, to make a permanent conquest of the Republic of the war, to make a permanent conquest of the Republic of Mexico, or to multilate the separate existence as a midepension to the present time. It appears that the administration has began to entertain a different notion, and I am confirmed in this belief by looking at another passage in the message, where the President says:

In C. I Concern Soys:

"If, after dishing this encouragement and protection, and after all the persevent and unever-fifth we have made, from the moment Mexico commercial fails and the state of the control of the cont

He does not tell us how much it will take to fill his hands, or satisfy his honor, but he tells us that we have possession of New

Mexico and California now, but he fails to inform a how much more territory will be a satisfaction by the furth more vigmore territory win or a satisfaction of the various and a fact of a country procedures of the war which he recognized that the more desirous of saying a word at this time by reason of the intimation which has been thrown out that there are some who are anomation where has been strown out that there are same vit our opposed to the war, and prefer manifesting that opposition on an abstruct resolution, and who yet will not take the repossibility of opposing the measures which the President recommended to the opposing the measures which the President recommended to the opposition of the president of the opposition of the little of the little opposition opposition of the little opposition opp and proscented in injustice, and that the institutions of the United States are in more danger at this moment of annihilation, than those of Marian and I have States are in more danger at this moment of annimation, that those of Mexico, and I have no sympathy with those gentlemen who tell us that this measure has no connexion with the origin of the war; I think it has everything to do with it, and I would like the war; I think it has everything to do with it, and I would like to see gentlemen who think otherwise solve this problem, and inuses genuemen who tame outerwise sorts any property and in-form us how long it will take, in the manner we are going on, to arrive at the end of the war. I would that they would not long must we pressever in a veroug cause, the substitution of out right? I would be glad if they would take substituting. I would lake to hear them demonstrate to us how much better it is would like to hear them demonstrate to us how much better'it is to eouquer a peace than to keep a peace. Conquer a peace! that seems to be a sert of magical phases, and the course recommended to effect it reminds me of manner of treating a patient pursued by an old Spanish pulsars, who fauncied that by letting blood and administering water that the peace of two great parts of the peace of the mode of treatment might not be attended with better results, he and the state of the recommendation for a change of treat-ter the state of the treatment of the subject. Well, sir, the President has written a book upon the subject. Well, sir, the President has written a book, and requires of us that we should follow it. Now, recognizing no such obligation as that, I desire that this measure may be discussed; I desire that this subject should be discussed fully, freely, and fairly, as embraced in the re-solutions proposed by the Sentor from South Carolina South Senator from New York, and that these offered by the Senator Gradina should be the the re-solutions of the Senator from South Carolina relate to the question how much territory we shall rob Mexico of, and the Senator from New York tells us how to take desired of, and the Senator from New York tells us how to take care of the spoils after we have got them. I therefore think that the resolutions of the Senator from South Carolina should take reconditions of the sensitive from South Carolina should take precedence of those of the Senator from New York, and that they should loth take precedence of this bill. I desire that the question should be presented in such a manner that the whole country may sucuration to presented in such a manner that the whole country may understand it; and, sir, it is all involved in this ten regiment bill. It seems to me the question presented by this bill will determine the whole matter, and if we are to go on and follow the course pointed with the period of the course period of th whose matter, and it we are to go on an tonow the course points out by the President, and give him ten regiments of regulars and vo-lutteers in addition, then, of course, this bill is to be passed. But if, as I believe, the war was commenced in error, here is the place to stop; and with my consent the first dollar shall not go from the national treasury until the President informs us how much he supnational treasury until the President informs us now much ne sup-poses will be required to bring the army home by the shortest and cheapest route. For this purpose he shall have money; but not with my vote for continuing the war with an indefinite purpose. I think it is time that the country should understand what we are siming at; and I think that the belief of a great majority of the people already is, that the war was not only a crime, but a blunder; and it is this which calls attention to it more forcibly than if dor; and it is this which calls attention to it more forcibly than if it had remained simply a crime, an unfortunate one certainly, when viewed in the best light. And I am willing that if the expression of this view should bring ever so much opprobrium, that it should come now. It seems to me that those who view the policy of the administration as a miserable one should boldy and distinctly say so and vote accordingly. Let us not be guilty of the miserable in-consistency of saving that this war is an error, and of still voting supplies to enable the President to carry it on. Let Congress, on whom the responsibility rests, and to whom the country will look in this matter, take the war into their own hands, and declare distinctly and unequivocally to the country what they intend, and what they desire. I do not know that there is a single member on this floor who desire. I do not know that there is a single member on this floor who exempthizes with me in the view which I take, but I believe that this war marks the age as barbarous, and that we are vastly nore in danger of bringing rain and destruction upon our own institutions, than those of the country with which we are at war. I want the question presented boldly—not by way of problem or mere abstraction. For one, my mind is made up. Not the first dollar shall the President take, by my vote, for either regular or volunteer force, until he comes forward, and informs the country how much be does want in order to seeme an homorable neare. how much he does want in order to secure an honoroble peace, and the mode in which he proposes to effect so desirable a result.

The other day, the honorable Senator from Michigan told us that every man, woman and child in the country knew what we wanted. I confess I heard this assertion with some astonishment; for if it be true, I could not class myself with either man, woman or child-for I confess I did not know. Nor was I in the least enlightened when the bonorable Senator added that it was indemnity and satisfaction.

Mr. CASS.—I beg the honorable Senator's pardon; that was not my expression; indemnity and security were the words I used.

Mr. HALE .- Well. I have not got any light vet.

Mr. CASS .- That is not my fault.

Mr. HALE.—No, sir, it is owing to my opacity probably, but wairing for the present the discussion, whether it is owing to the inability of the honorable Senator to impart, or of mysell to retend to the control of the

Mr. SEVIER.—What is the motion now pending? I understand it is a motion to take up the bill for the purpose of making it the order of the day for Monday next. I ask the yeas and nays upon that motion.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland.—I understand that the honorable Senator from Michigan has no objection that the consideration of the bill be postponed until Monday.

Mr. CASS.—None at all; but if there is not to be an understanding that it shall be the order of the day for Monday, we may as well proceed to vote upon it new.

Mr. SEVIER suggested, that if the bill were taken up now, it might remain as unfinished business, and would come up on Monday as a matter of course.

The question was then put upon the motion of Mr. Cass to proceed to the consideration of the bill; and, the yeas and nays baving been ordered, it was decided in the affirmative, as follows:

YEAS.—Messs. Allen. Athley. Athleson. Atherton. Barby. Reathory. Regulary.

YEAS.—M. eur. Allen, Ashlev, Alriuson, Atherion. Bagby, Bradbay, Bress, Cass. Devit, of Mus., Declinson, Do., Donghas, Felch, Foots, Rusk, Sevier, Stur. NAYS.—Mess. Badger, Baldway, Bell, Berries, Moller, Calloon, Grafac, Clay ton, Corwin, Criticaden, Greene, Hole, Johnson, of Md., Johnson, of La., Mangum, Phelps, Underwood, Uphan, Yuke.—18.

The Senate being equally divided, the Vice President gave the easting vote in the affirmative.

The bill having been read a second time.

Mr. MANGUM said :—I hope that this bill will be allowed to be passed over for the present. I very much desire that it should not now be taken up, and above all, that the final action upon it should not be had until all the great questions touching the policy of the government in regard to the Mexican war have been discussed, and the sense of this body in relation to them clearly ascertained. For if we are called upon to act now, we must act affirmatively, for I suppose there is no one who will not be disposed to the firmatively, for I suppose there is no one who will not be disposed to the control of the state of the same that the same th

## EXECUTIVE SESSION

The Senate then proceeded to the consideration of Executive business, and after some time spent therein, the doors were opened, and

The Senate adjourned.

# MONDAY, JANUARY 3, 1848.

# REPORTS FROM DEPARTMENTS.

The VICE-PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a report of the Secretary of War, exhibiting the applications for pensions which have been rejected during the year 1847; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions, and ordered to be printed.

The VICE-PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a report of the Secretary of War, made in compliance with a resolution of the Senate, in relation to the further use and occupation of Fort Armstrong, on Rock Island, as a military site; which was ordered to be wrested.

### PETITIONS.

Mr. ASHLEY presented a memorial adopted at a convention Mr. ASHLEA presented a memoran anopice at a concentral held at Washington, in the State of Akansas, composed of dele-gates from the several counties bordering on the Red River, pray-ing an appropriation for removing the raft in that river; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce.

Mr. BREESE presented the petition of citizens of Montgomery county, Illinois, praying the establishment of a mail route from Shelbyvillee to Alton, in that State; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Also a memorial of citizens of Fayette county, Illinois, praying that the right of pre-emption may be granted to the Illinois Central Rail Road Company, to the lands lying along the route of their rail road; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

Mr. CORWIN presented the petition of Joseph Graham and Gaegas Lee Brent, praying compensation for services as special agents of the government of Paraguay, under directions of the United States Charge d'Alfairs near the Argentine Republic; which was reforred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

Mr. MASON presented the petition of Thomas M. Corby, praying indemnity for French spoliations, prior to 1800; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

On motion by Mr. BALDWIN, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Thomas Cowperthwaite & Co., on the files of the Senate, he referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

On metion by Mr. RUSK, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Frederick Vincent, administrator of Le Caze and Mallet, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Revolutionary Claims.

On motion by Mr. BELL. it was

Ordered, That the petition of the eastern Cherokee Indians, on the files of Senate, be referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

On motion by Mr. CRITTENDEN, it was

Ordered, That the documents on the files of the Senate, relating to the claim of Robert Armstrong, be referred to the Committee on Pensions.

On motion by Mr. SEVIER, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Sour John, a Cherokee Indian, on the files of the Senate, he referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs

## RESOLUTIONS.

Mr. BENTON submitted the following resolution for consideration, which was ordered to be printed.

eration, which was ordered to be printed.

Readord, That there be printed for the use of the Senate —— expise of the turn or measure of Br. Wicknesse, though the northern parts of Mevies, as physician to Gol. Boniplan's cisions, being a lattory of the experition of Gol. Boniplan's estimate, being a lattory of the experition of Gol. Boniplan's who went to observation broom the face of the country. Also, that there be segrected or compared the same. Also, the same number of the bonometrical map of the profile of elevations above the level of the sea from St. Lous, in Masouri, on the line of elevations above the level of the sea from St. Lous, in Masouri, on the line of Also, the same number of the profile of elevations above the level of the sea from St. Lous, in Musouri, on the line of elevations above the level of the sea from St. Lous, in Musouri, on the line of elevations above the level of the sea from the comparison of the company of the same number of the geological map, and the same number of the table of meteorological observations, which accompany the same.

Mr. LOBINSON of the St. Med. Med. St. Med. St

Mr. JOHNSON, of La., submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved, That the Committee on Military Affairs be instructed to inquire into the expediency of passing an act greating a portion of the public lands in the State of Louisiana, to every citizen solitier, or widows or orphau of every citizen solitier, or widows are orphau of every citizen solitier, who hove rams to defence of Louisiana, in the year 1814 and 1815.

Mr. HUNTER submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to :

Resolved, That the President of the United States he requested to communicate to a Second the Correspondence of Mr. Wise, late minister of the United States at the

out of Brazil, embrasing a letter of Mr. Hamolton Hamilton, Me. Bedanner Ma-sty's minister at the same count., the Mr. West, claded the 24th of Marcin, 1841, with the same country of the 4th December, 1843; a letter of Mr. West of Mr. Hamilton, Mr. Hamilton, 1844; and the paper accompanying the same; all relating to the logical Children of Mr. West of Mr. Hamilton, dated 31st July, 1846, and the papers accompanying the same; all relating to the highest of the slaver trade; provided that the same may be done, in an ompoint, without a note from to Mr. Han

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, it was

Ordered, That the resolutions of the Legislature of the State of Louisiana, on the files of the Senate, relating to the subject, he referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

On motion by Mr. ATHERTON, it was

Ordered. That the Committee on Finance be discharged from the further consideration of the memorial of Joseph Bouchaud, and that it be referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

On motion by Mr. ATHERTON, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on Finance be discharged from the further consideration of the memorial of J. Kearsley, and that it be referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their clerk:

Mr. President: The Speaker of the House of Representatives having signed an en-rolled bill, I am directed to bring it to the Secate for the signature of their President.

The VICE PRESIDENT signed the enrolled bill entitled "An and waking an appropriation to supply in part a deficiency in the appropriations for subsistence in kind of the army and volunteers during the year ending the 30th of June, 1848; and it was delivered to the committee, to be presented to the President of the United States.

### NOTICES OF BILLS, ETC.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. BERRIEN asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill making an appropriation for removing obstruc-tions in the Savannah river; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, by unanimous consent, asked and obtained leave to bring in a joint resolution to create a hoard to ascertain and determine the amount of each of the claims of the citizens of the United States against Mexico; which was read and passed to a second reading.

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom was referred the petition of Peter Capella, administrator of Andrew Capella, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for the relief of Peter Capella, administrator of Andrew Capella, deceas-ed, and for the relief of John Capoy, and for the relief of Elijah Petty and Hannah Petty, his wife, heirs of John Beardon, deceased.

The bill was read and passed to a second reading.

Ordered, That the report he printed.

Mr. DOUGLAS, from the Committee on Territories, reported a bill for the relief of W. B. Slaughter, late Secretary of the Territory of Wisconsin.

The hill having been read a first time-

Mr. DOUGLAS remarked, that as this bill was asked for by the officers of the treasury, and was simply a bill to authorize them to settle certain accounts, he wished the hill would now be allowed to have its second reading.

The bill having been read a second time.

Mr. CLAYTON said he apprehended that no possible objection could exist to the immediate passage of this bill, and he trusted it would now be disposed of.

Mr. WESTCOTT said he could not distinctly gather the pur-Mr. WESTCOTT said be could not distinctly gather the pur-port of the bill form hearing it read, and it had not been printed. He recollected the case well. It was before the Territorial Committee at the last session—be believed the session before, also— while he was on that committee, and he was then opposed to the allowance of the claim. It was for two items. One for compen-sation to claimant for expenses of visiting Washington to settle his accounts as a public officer, Secretary of Wisconsin Territory. The other item was for some two or three thousand dollars of The other item was for some two or three thousand dollars of public money, lost by his placing it on deposite in a territorial bank which broke. His excuse was, that the territorial legisla-ture by resolution, instructed him to make this deposite in that bank. This was no excuse whatever. The territorial legislature had no business with this money—no right to direct it to be so deposited—no power to control the claimant with respect to it. Mr. Slaughter was Secretary of the Territory— federal officer and agent, independent of the Legislature of the Territory. He

was subject only to the laws of Congress and the instructions of was supper only we make so a Congress and the mistartonia was to the control of the United States. It was his own fault, or mistor-tune if he lost this mency by placing it in a rotten bank. His complying with the resolution of the Legislature was an act done on his own personal resonability. It would be opening the door to the allowance of hundreds of thousands of dollars of similar the allowance of numerics of trinisands of dotines of similar claims, to allow this. Besides, it is not shown that this bank or its stockholders have been such, or any means used to recover the money from those who have got it. The claims against the bank and its stock-holders was not transforred to the United States, or the treasury officers given any authority to collect or secure it. He should vote nomers was not transierred in the three store it. He should outer officers given any authority to collect or secure it. He should outer against the allowance of this claim, but if he understood the hone allob Senator from Illinois, (Mr. Douctass), this bill did not im-pliedly sanction the claim in and getzere, but only directed the Treasury to examine and settle the necounts only directed the equity and justice. He desired to know of the committee dis-tinetly, whether his understanding was correct? If the bill sanc-tioned expressly or implicilly the items he had referred to, he should vote against it-if not, he should make no opposition.

Mr. DOUGLAS .- The bill does not sanction the claim; it Mr. DOU'GLAS.—The bill does not sanction the claim; it merely refers the settlement of the claim to the accounting officers of the Trensury. The claim arises in this way: William B. Slaughter, Secretary of the Territory of Wisconsio, received a draft for government funds to the amount of \$10,000. The Legislature of the Territory appointed the Casheir of the Mineral Point Bank temporarily its fixed agent. This draft was deposited in the enstody of that disead agent, and the morey cleeked out according as it was required. That bank failed, and there was a loss of something like \$3,000, speaking in round numbers. The legislative assembly of the territory has unanimously

The legislative assembly of the territory has manimously passed a resolution expressing their opinion that the money was deposited properly, in good faith, and for the best interests of the Treasury; and that he ought to have a credit at the Treasury for that amount of money. That is the principal item in the bill. All that the bill proposes, is to refer the matter to the officers of the Treasury, with authority and instructions to the property of the treasury, with authority and instructions to the property of the prope

Mr. WESTCOTT said he conceived there was one matter as to which he did not coincide with his friend the honorable Senator from Illinois, (Mr. Douglas.) It was the chief question in this It was as to the resonlution of the Territorial Legislature being any justification or even excuse for the Secretary of the ter-ritory putting this money in that bank. He conceived he was hound to disregard the resolutions as the Territorial Legislature had no right to direct him.

Mr. DAYTON .- Do I understand that the bill is new upon its third reading? It must, I apprehend, require the general consent of the Senate in order to have its third reading.

The PRESIDING OFFICER replied, that the proposition had been made that the hill be read a third time.

Mr. DAYTON .- Had I understood that to be the case, I should inve objected, not because I have any specific objection to this bill becoud the objection which applies to a large class of cases stating upon our calcular. I have observed, for the last three to a full properties of the control o committee, or in referrence to which the committee have doubts themselves, and cannot act upon it in a manner satisfactory to themselves, they report a resolution in this general phraseology—sealed that he claim be softled by the proper officers of the department, according to the principles of equity and justice. Thus they have generally recognized the existence of a class of cases of this description, and applied to them a different rule from that which is applied to other cases. Now, if this bill is to be put on its final passage, I think I shall be constrained to vote against it. I think at least it ought to be allowed to lie over, and take its proper place upon the calcuder. This case is similar to a large number of cases which have been reported on, and if the Sonate pass it and refer the matter to the department for adjustment, we shall lave the same arguments addressed to us every day. I for one and refer the matter to the acpartment on adjustment, we small laye the same arguments addressed to us every day. I, for one, prefer that this case should stand as all other cases do. I am not aware of any thing in it that entitles it to special precedence.

Mr. CLAYTON.-The gentleman is mistaken in supposing that the committee had any doubt in regard to the propriety of allowing the claim. There was no difference of opinion whatever. It was understood that this individual was entitled to the amount, It was onderstood that this individual was onfitted to the amount, and the proper accountie officer wascle-stands of giving him the credit. He had no doubt of the propriety of it, the bar stongist that Congress ought to give him the authority to do what when the bar done in the premises. There was not a shadow of doubt as which to be done in the premises. There was not a shadow of doubt as shadowed the amount. They had merely authorized the officer of the department to give it him, if he be found to be cantided to it. This is not one of these cases which the boundable Senator from New Jersey has referred to. There was no doubt in the mind of the committee, and no doubt in the mind of the proper accounting officer of the Treasury, as to the propriety or justice of allowing the amount. The only doubt was, whether that efficer had authority to do justice in the case without the sanction of Congress. Now, if under these circumstances, it be thought necessary to delay a bill of this kind, I will often a objection is but I certainly suppose the bill kind, I will offer no objection ; but I certainly suppose the bill

might pass the Senate without going through the ordinary form of being read on different days

Mr. DOUGLAS here read a paragraph from the letter of the Comptroller of the Treasury.

Mr. BADGER .- If I understand correctly the points of the case as given by the Senator from Florida, it seems to me that without as girling in the statement or explanation, there is very serious objections to the passage of this bill. I understand it to be an applications to the passage of this mil. I understand it to be an appropriation on the part of a disbursing officer of the United States, to be allowed a credit in his account with the Treasury, for a sum of money which has been lost in consequence of being deposited by him, without authority of law, in a banking institution.

Mr. CLAYTON .-- He had the authority of the Territorial Legislature.

Mr. BADGER.—Very well; he was a disbursing officer of the United States, subject to the laws of Congress, and problibited by a law of Congress from depositing the funds of the United States in a banking institution. He was himself bound to keep them, and without sanction or authority from his superiors in office, without a dispensation given to him by those to whom he was responsible, and who, on higher ground, represented the interests of the United and who, on higher ground, represented the interests of the United States, but by authority of a State Legislature, he unlawfully takes these funds, deposited them in a banking institution, and they are the states and the states of the stat he has a right to demand without the interposition of Congress—but they are to sottle his account according to the principles of equity and good conscience; in other words, it is a direction on the part of Congress to the accounting officer of the Treasury, to disregard in this case the obligations of law, and apply one rule in the set-tlement of the accounts in this case, while the general law requires another rule to be applied in all circumstances. I must confess, sir, that with every discosition in the world to relieve a man who another rule to be applied in all circumstances. I must confess, sir, that with every disposition in the world to relieve a man who has suffered in the discharge of his duty, if any thing could lie shown by which it would appraer that he was index a reasonable apprehension that he was doing his duty as if he had been directed by his superior officers, I should be anxious to interpose and relieve him. But it seems to me it would be a dangerous precedent for Congress to declare that when an officer of the government the government having charge of the funds of the government, shall think prop having enarge of the lunds of the government, small think project to make a deposit contrary to law, they will relieve him from the consequences of losses thus sustained. It would be a direct and open invitation, by the policy adopted here, to all officers similarly situated, to act in defiance of authority and in defiance of law, trusting to a relaxation of its provisions in their behalf. Under these circumstances it seems to me that the heaterable Senator from New Jersey is correct in saying that this bill ought to have a little more examination, and I move, therefore, that its further consideration be postponed until to-morrow.

The motion was agreed to.

## THE DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS.

Mr. ASHLEY, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom had been referred the bill to divide the District of Arkansas into two Judicial Districts, reported it without amendment, and sub-mitted a report upon the subject, which was ordered to be printed

## PROCEEDINGS AND DEBATES.

The Senate proceeded to consider the following motion, submitted by Mr. MANGUM, on the 30th December:

Ordered, That the Vice President be authorized and requested Ordered, That the Vice President be sufficient and requested to have two moveable desks provided for the reporter of the proceedings and debates of the Senate, and his assistants, upon the floor of the Senate chamber, to be used only during the session of the Senate, and to accommodate two persons.

# On motion by Mr. SEVIER, it was

Ordered, That the motion be referred to a select committee, consisting of three members, to be appointed by the Vice President; and

Mr. MANGUM, Mr. SEVIER, and Mr. BREESE, were appointed the committee.

## ELISHA L. KEEN, DECEASED.

The bill for the relief of the administratrix of Elisha L. Keen, deceased, was read the second time and considered as in Commuttee of the Whole.

Ordered, That it lie on the table.

## JOSEPH WILSON

The Senate preceded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill for the relief of Joseph Wilson, and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Mr. BADGER called for the reading of the report accompanying the bill, and it was read by the Secretary.

Ordered, That the hill be engrossed and read a third.

### CLOTHING FOR VOLUNTEERS.

The bill to provide elothing for volunteers in the service of the United States, was read the second time and considered us in Committee of the Whole, and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved, That this hill pass, and that the title thereof be "An Act to provide clothing for volunteers in the service of the United States,"

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

### TEN REGIMENT BILL.

The Senate then resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill reported from the Committee on Military Affairs to raise, for a limited time, an additional military force.

Mr. CASS rose and said: This is the first of a series of bills which the Military Committee is about to present to the Senate. They have commenced with the most important, and will ask the consideration of the others, in succession, till they have discharged

the duty entrusted to them

In presenting this bill I do not propose to touch any of the disputed topics, which divide the two great parties, under the banner of one or the other of which, every citizen of our country is ar-rayed. I shall leave these if introduced at all, to be introduced by I had hoped, till I heard the incidental discussion which arose a day or two since on the question of taking up this bill, that these exciting subjects would be postponed for some future opportunity, and that the propositions now submitted would be considered upon their military merits, taking it for granted that the propriety of voting the additional force required would not be controverted. I was well aware that we could not escape a full discussion of the I was well aware that we come not escape a turb assussion of the origin of the war, its progress, its objects, and the whole course of the administration connected with it. Nor indeed did I wish to avoid it. However severe may be the attack, I trust it will be as earnestly met, and easily repelled, for I consider the ground which the administration occupies as perfectly impregnable. I am appliensive, however, from the remarks which fell from some of the h I am appreassume the sources the other day, that the whole field of controversy is to be gone over, and that we are to fight this bill, necessary, as I deem its immediate passage to the public interest, iceh by inch, it ill all the great purty questions of the day are debated and exhausted. If it is to be so, I must of course submit, but the fault shall not be mine, nor will I provoke the contest by introducing any

shall not be mine, nor will I provoke the contest of introducing any topies which may properly lead to it.

There is one point, sir, where we all can meet, and that is the gallantry and good conduct of our army. This is one of the high places to which we can come up together, and laying aside our party disseasions mingle our congratulations that our country has had such sons to go forth to battle, and that they have gathered such a harvest of renown in distant fields. The time has been, and there are those upon this floor who remember it well, when our national flag was said to be but striped bunting, and our armed vessels but fur built frigates. The feats of our army and navy during our last war with England redeemed us from this reproach, the offspring of foreign jealousy; and had they not, the events of the present war would have changed these epithets into terms of the present war wound have enanged these princes into terms of honor; for our flag has become a victorious standard, borne by marching columns, over the hills and valleys, and through the cities, and towns, and fields of a powerful ration, in a career of success, of which lew examples can be found in ancient or in modern

The movement of our army from Puebla was one of the romantie and remarkable events which ever occurred in the military romantic and remarkation event swones ever occurred in the midtage analist of our country. Our trops did not indeed burn their fleet, like the first conquerors of Mexico, for they needed not to gather contrage from despair, nor to stimulate their resolution by destroying all hopes of escape. But where youloutarily cut off all means of communication with their own vontry, by throwing themselves among the armed thousands of another, and advancing with stoot hearts, but refetche numbers into the midst of a hostile country. The uncertainty which bugs over the public midst, and the assigned verywhere felt, when our gallant title army disappeared from our contractions of the contraction of the when will not be force the during the present gainst alon. There was universal pause of expectation—stopping, but still learing; and the eyes of twenty millions of people were aoxiously fixed upon another country which a little band of its armed citizens had invaded. A veil concealed them from our view. They were lost to us for fifty days; for that period elapsed, from the time when we to us for firty days; for that period elapsed, from the time when we heard of their departure from Pachla till accounts reached us of the issue of the movement. The shrond which enveloped them then gave way, and we discovered our glorious flag waving in the breezes of the capital, and the city itself invested by our army.

And similar circumstances marked the very commencement of the war, when the Mexicans first surrounded our troops, and shut them out from all communications with their country. This un-expected attack struck us all with astonishment, and we feared, as well we might, that numbers would overcome discipline and

valor, which, however they might prolong, could not be expected to succeed in the contest. And hopeless indeed might have been the result, had not the honored soldier, who commanded our troops, had confidence in them, and they in him. Had he not known how to lead and they to follow. And well and bravely did they all bear themselves in the critical circumstances which surrounded them; and our doubts soon gave way to certainty, and gloony forehodings to glorious convetions. And the campaign thus commenced was vigorously followed up on the Rio Graode, and victory after the convenience of the conven

During the whole of this war there has been a series of suc-During the whole of this war there has been a series of successes, which has been ninterrupted by a single serious disaster. I hold in my hand a table, prepared at the Adjutant General's Office, from the best materials which can be found there, exhibiting the actions fought with the enemy, and the forces engaged, and the second series of the second the second the second to the second the second that the second that the second the second that the actions fought with the enemy, and the forces engaged, and the Josses sustained by each party. As we have official data, the trne Josses on our side are stated. But those on the side of the Mexicans, are in some instances entirely omitted, and in others, conjectorally estimated. This table shows the whole truth so far as we are concerned, and but a part of the truth so far as the ene-my is concerned. Still, even nader these circumstances, it is one of the proudest trophics with which any army ever enriched its

### [See table on next page.]

The Adjutant General remarks, that he cannot vouch for the perfect accuracy of the above statements, as from their nature they must often be estimated. He adds that the above table, although essentially correct, is not entirely complete, for he thinks there are yet wanting some reports, &c., of uffairs, which have been lost or intercepted, and it may be, that the above statement may hereafter need amendment or correction.

may bereafter need ameadment or correction.

If we recorded our history upon stone, as was dene in the prinitive ages of the world, we should engrave this series of glorious deeds upon tables of marble. But we shall do better; we shall engrave it upon our hearts, and we shall enmit it to the custedly of the press, whose monuments, frail and feeble as they appear, yet from their wonderful power of multiplication, are more enduring than brass or marble, than statutes or pyramids, or the proudest monuments erected by human hands. Let it be remembered, sir, that these battles were fought in a great measure by new and undisciplined troops, hastly collected at home, and rapidly marched to endure the state of the stat army, when existed at the commencement of the war, had seen little actual service in the field, and that not with a civilized foc, but in murderous conflicts with Indian tribes, where there was much exposure to meet and little glory to gain. Many of the officers and soldiers, and indeed a great majority of them, and officers and soldiers, and indeed a great majority of them, and some of the commanders, too, saw the first gun fired in the very field which they illustrated by their deeds, and moistened with their blood. Honor, then, to the highest and to the lowest, to the greatest and the least. Honor to the living and the dead—those who survive to enjoy it, and to the memory of those who sleep in a soldier's grave, far from the land they loved so well. And hapas soldier's grave, far from the land they loved so well. And happy am I to see upon this floor, at thus moment, particularly, one of the gallant officers who have inserbed their names high upon the military roll of their country, and there are others like him, in distinguished themselves, bearing upon their persons inefficiely marks of courage and patriotism. A kind Providence has permitted them to come back, and the plaudit of grateful millions, 'well done good and faithful servants," is the proond welcome which greets them. Let modern philanthropists talk as they please, the instincts of nature are truer than the doctrines they preach. Military renown is one of the great elements of national strenoth tary renown is one of the great elements of national strength, as it so no of the proudest sources of gratification to every man who loves his country, and desires to see her occupy a distinguished position among the nations of the earth. I should have been proud to have been in Europe during our military operations in Mexico—proud to witness the effect of the skill and provess of our army upon the statesmen, and politicians, and communities of the old world. During the course of these events there was no war there to attract the general attention, and to excite by its unwar interest of attention and a second control of the war was the event of the day, and many a second control of war was the event of the day, and many a steadiest gaze was cast across the Atlantie to watch the prospects and progress of the pattern republic, as we are invidiously termed, in the new career into which we had entered. As we all know, our institutions have friends and foes in the other homisphere. To both they are a light, shiaing across the ocean, but inviting some and warning others, is the impressions our experiment has produced have been flavorable or unfavorable. The anti-republican croakes of the old world, at the commencement of our government, predicted that it could not long resist the shocks of peace or war. When they found it could do both, and do it successfully, they then denied our power to earry on a war without our own boundaries, should cirpower to earry no such an exertion of own boundary segment. The comments of th

TABLE.

|  |  | Forces eng   | gaged.   | No. of ki                          | Bol_   | No, of wo   | ooded.  | Remarks.  |
|--|--|--|--|------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|
| Affair or battle.  | Date   | Americans, regu-<br>lan and vol's.   | Мехісав».  | Americans, reg<br>plars and vol's. | Mexicans.  | Americans, regulars and vols.   | Mexicans.                                       |   |
| 1. Detachment onder Captam Thornton, 3l diagnosis in a se- above Fort Bown, Tevan S.  2. Detachment of Capt Walker's Total Labor Bown, Tevan S.  3. Eost Bown Tevan S.  4. Fort Howas  4. Pola Alio A Fort Howas  4. Pola Alio A Boulery  5. Son Fasqual, 1. California  6. Monterny  7. San Fasqual, 1. California  6. Honoriny  8. Brazio, New Mexico  11. Mony, New Mexico  12. El Embody  11. Mony, New Mexico  12. El Embody  13. Sacramento  16. Vera Capta  16. Seramento  16. Vera Capta  16. Capta  16. Capta  16. Capta  16. Capta  17. Capta  18. Capta  19. Capta  19 | p846.  April 25  April 25  April 26  May 4th to 9th May 9th May 9th 10 9th | 63<br>20<br>20<br>20<br>1,700<br>6443<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1,700<br>1 | 2000 1000 4,000 6,000 6,000 10,000 10,000 1,500 5,500 20,000 1,2,500 2,000 1,2,500 1,2,500 1,2,500 2,000 1,2,500 2,000 1,2,000 1,2,000 1,2,000 1,2,000 2,000 1,2,000 2,0 |                                    | nnknown nnknown nnknown nnknown 100 200 200 nnknown *200 *80 306 20 150 *1,500 *1,500 *1,500 *200 *4,000 anknown nnknown nnkno | 10<br>42<br>198<br>364<br>15<br>7<br>7<br>14<br>6<br>3<br>1<br>46<br>408<br>408<br>408<br>13<br>3<br>13 | unknown anknown anknown anknown unknown unknown | *killed and wonded, |

assert our rights, wherever these may require our interference. If the great experiment had failed, it would have taken ages to re-cover from the misfortune. But now, wherever the American flag is borne, or the name of American known, and that wherever is everywhere, the glorious feats of this war have proclaimed our power, and have announced that we have taken our position side by side with the mightiest nations of the world. Hereafter, in the wildest dreams of ambition there will be no dream of conquering wors will saw hostile four coultre our shore but to exceed from us, nor will any hostile foot pollute our shore but to escape from

the first can an absolute the control of the contro ded in the act which passed at the last session of Congress for ruising an additional force, and as the details were then fully con-sidered, and are well known to the Senate, I need not recapitulate

The reasons which render this increase of force necessary, are so clearly and foreibly stated in the report of the Secretary of War, that I need do little more than request that that portion of the document may be read.

The following extract from the report of the Secretary of War was then read by the Secretary :

"Our reserved users, so disastions to Merov; our roughest of so many of he? States and territories; the subjugation and occupation of her capital, the defect and depension of her amount; the explicit of most of the autoritoried of war, and the analities are read to the acceptance of the autoritories are read to the acceptance of the a

country. Such a proposition would only be seroundly extertained if we were in reality to avanguish grain, and were convenient of our middly to printing the contest with the avanguish grain, and were convenient of our middly to printing the contest with the representation of the properties of the pro

It consequence of the interruption of intercourse between the seaports is our pos-session, and the central parts of Mevice, the collections on imports have hitherto been inconsiderable. If the face polery and another, this networks well centages to be inter-rupted, and consequently, the recepts of restrict probabilities of the probabilities of the state of the stat

percel. But, were in open-consultation of the vicinity of our posits would be withdrawn from percel. But, were in observable, as our posits would be emotified the weight and recourse of the country, the amount which could be obtained would be inconsiderated to the percentage of the country, the amount which could be obtained would be inconsiderated to the percentage of the country, the manual percentage of the country of the percentage of t

Mr. CASS.—Assuming that this plan of operations recom-mended by the Secretary of War is the true one, and for myself I have no donbt upon that subject, we have then four great objects to be kept in view in estimating the force to be provided for the future conduct of the war:

1. The continued occupation of the important position we now

2. The taking possession of such other commanding points as experience may show to be proper, and as the circumstances of the war may require.

3. The preservation of the necessary communication between

our positions, as well from the sea-coast to the capital, as in other portions of the country which may be brought into subjection to us.

to us.

4. A strong force, independent of what is necessary for these purposes, which shall always be kept upon the alert and ready to more whenever there may be any appearance of an outlreak on the part of the Mexican people.

The organization and maintenance of a large force may be the

means of rendering its employment unnecessary. It is much bet-ter to render opposition hopeless, by the display of strength, than to excite it into action by the exhibition of weakness, and then to to excite it into action by the exhibition of weakness, and then to be compelled to resort to desperate struggles, to remedy evils which ordinary prudence would have prevented. It is true, humanity also, and we owe it to ourselves, to our army, who have done and suffered so much, to the enemy, and to the world. Remenser, sir, that our troops are 3,000 miles from home, in the milst of a hostile population of some eight or ten millions, and that by great exertions and unparalleled bravery they have succeeded in a partial subjugation of the country. But we have no right great exerctions and impartation of interpretable properties and impartation of interpretable properties and courage which they failed to exhibit upon the battle field. Who would weight with a failed to exhibit upon the battle field. and the strength necessary to overcome it, and then coolly provide this calculated force, and leave events to take care of themselves? What kind of political arithmetic would that be, which would say, if so many troops have done so much, how many will it require to do so much more? I trust that the supplies we may vote will

rangements for attaining them.

The proceedings of this government are as well known in Mexico as here. They do not indeed travel upon the wings of the wind, but they travel with the power of the press, and are spread through the civilized world. Vigorous and prompt action will produce the happiest effect upon the state of things in Mexico. Nothing would conduce mere to impress upon the people of that courty the necessity of a peace, than a unanimous determination in Congress to put forth all the strength of the nation till it is obtained.

be given upon a far better principle. Upon a principle which shall look indeed to results, but which shall make the most liberal ar-

rangements for attaining them.

tained. I have caused the following abstract to be prepared from the report of the Adjutant General, exhibiting the entire strength of our present army, including regulars and volunteers:

| present army, meaning regulars and volunteers.           |                    |         |         |          |         |        |         |         |        |      |          |        |
|--|--------------------|---------|---------|----------|---------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------|----------|--------|
| VOLUNTEERS.  |                    |         |         |          |         |        |         |         |        |      |          |        |
|  |                    |         |         |          |         |        | Actn    | al fore | e ah   | out  |          | 20,000 |
| To complete th   | e organi:          | gation  | will    | requi    | re      |        |         | -       |        |      | 12,500   |        |
| Twenty five re   | giments o          | of reg  | ulars   | . Ft     | di leg: | al con | aplim   | ent e   | xclusi | 1.6  |          |        |
| of officers  |                    | -       |         |          |         | -      |         |         |        |      | 28,814   |        |
|  |                    |         |         |          |         |        | A       | tual:   | streng | gth  |          | 21,533 |
| To complete th   | e organi:          | zalios  | will    | requi    | ire     |        |         |         |        |      | 7,281    |        |
|  |                    |         |         |          |         |        |         |         |        |      | 11,018   |        |
| For the new a  | rmy -              |         |         |          |         |        |         |         |        |      | 11,162   |        |
| For the new a<br>Forces in the fi                        | eld uade           | r Gen   | . Sco   | tt       |         |        |         |         |        |      |          |        |
| Reg  | gulars<br>lunteers |         |         |          |         |        |         |         |        |      | 17,101   |        |
| Vo   | luuteers           |         | -       |          |         |        |         |         |        |      | 15,055   |        |
|  |                    |         |         |          |         |        |         |         |        |      |          |        |
| Ag   | gregate            | -       | -       |          |         |        |         |         |        |      | 32,156   | 32,156 |
|  |                    |         |         |          |         |        |         |         |        |      |          | -      |
| Deduct the gar   | menne of           | Tom     | nian :  | Lhar     | 'om 6   | 2ma    |         |         |        |      | 1.947    |        |
| Makes, for all   | the oper           | altone  | hote    | reen     | Vara    | Crus   | e and   | Me      | rien : | in.  | 11,011   |        |
| cluding ga   | the open           | agothe  | or soul | h tho    | end     | and d  | icable  | ard.    | arco,  |      | 30.209   |        |
| The returns in   | the Adie           | tant i  | Cone    | n the    | office. | do n   | at she  | ou th   | e acli | ler  | 1111,000 |        |
| distributio  | o of this          | force   | J.C.    |          | , iiicc | 10 11  | 26 2116 | *** *** |        | **** |          |        |
| Under General  | Touler !           | best to |         | anilus.  |         | n a da | d lane  | Con     | Woo    | i    |          |        |
|  |                    |         |         |          |         |        |         | ocu.    | 11 00  | 1    | 3.937    |        |
| V.   | rulars<br>lunteers |         |         |          |         |        |         |         |        |      | 2.790    |        |
| 10   | ium-ers            |         |         |          |         |        | -       |         |        |      | -, 1100  |        |
| An   | gregate            |         |         |          |         |        |         |         |        |      | 6,727    | 6.727  |
| Ag   | Bre! are           |         |         |          |         |        |         |         |        |      |          | 0.121  |
| Under General Price in New Mexico-                       |                    |         |         |          |         |        |         |         |        |      |          |        |
|  |                    |         |         |          |         |        |         |         |        |      | 955      |        |
| Re,  | gulars<br>luoteers |         |         |          |         |        |         |         |        |      | 2.902    |        |
| V O  | lunteers           | -       |         | -        |         |        |         |         |        |      | 2,902    |        |
|  |                    |         |         |          |         |        |         |         |        |      | 0.175    | 0.150  |
| Ag   | gregate            |         |         |          |         |        |         |         |        |      | 3,157    | 3,157  |
|  |                    |         |         |          |         |        |         |         |        |      |          |        |
| On the Oregon  | ronte, ar          | nder I  | deut.   | Col.     | Pow     | ell, F | ort K   | carne   | y-     |      |          |        |
| . Vo.  | lunteers           |         |         |          | -       | -      |         | -       |        |      |          | 477    |
| In California,   | under Co           | lonel   | Mass    | n-       |         |        |         |         |        |      |          |        |
| Reg  | gulars             |         |         |          |         |        | -       |         |        |      | 516      |        |
| Vo   | lunteers           |         |         |          |         |        |         |         |        |      | ≥63      |        |
|  |                    |         |         |          |         |        |         |         |        |      |          |        |
| Ag   | gregate            |         |         |          |         | 1      |         |         |        |      | 1,019    | 1,019  |
|  |                    |         |         |          |         |        |         |         |        |      |          | 1000   |
|  |                    |         |         |          |         |        |         |         |        |      |          | 43,536 |
|  |                    |         |         |          |         |        |         |         |        |      |          |        |
| Total land furce employed in the prosecution of the war- |                    |         |         |          |         |        |         |         |        |      |          |        |
| Re   | culars             |         |         | province |         |        |         |         |        |      | 91.509   |        |
| Vo   | gulars<br>lunteers | -       |         |          |         |        |         |         |        |      | 21.027   |        |
|  |                    |         |         |          |         |        |         |         |        |      |          |        |
| A m  | gregate            |         |         |          |         |        |         |         |        |      | 43.536   |        |
|  |                    |         |         |          |         |        |         |         |        |      |          |        |

It will be seen that we have in Mexico under General Scott, besides the carrisons of Tampico and Vera Cruz, about 29,000 men, rank and file, excluding officers, and in the command of General Taylor, an aggregate of 6.726 men, officers included.

From the statements of the Adjutant General, it appears that From the statements of the Adjuntant General, it appears that the actual force kept up is less, by one-fourth, than the legal force authorized by law. The continually renewing casualties of war, and other circumstances, occasion this difference. And it is not probable that the existing legal establishment could, by any effort, be made to keep in the field a greater numerical strength than is now there. So that if the force of the army is to be materially different and this must be done by the greating of new cores and augmented, this must be done by the creation of new corps, and

by multiplying the arrangements for procuring recruits and volby multiplying the arrangements for procuring recruits and volunteers. I have omitted in this enumeration, some bodies of volunteers which have been called out, but have not yet joined the army. They cannot, if full, which it is not probable they will be, exceed 2,200 men, and do not change the question before us, if indeed they do more than keep up for a time the present number of volunteers in the field.

I know nothing more of the proposed plan of the campaign than is disclosed in that part of the report of the Secretary of War which has just been read to the Senate. I do not, therefore, presume to speak authoritively upon the subject, and indeed it same to speak authoritively upon the subject, and indeed it may well be that no fixed system of operations has been or will be pre-scribed by the Executive; but that, after expressing its general views, the conduct of the war will be left to the discretion of the commander. But it is easy to see that if the obstinate injustice of the Mexicans drives us to greater exertions, and to a more enlarged sphere of operations, there are a number of positions which, from These can only be indicated by an exact knowledge of the courtry, and particularly of its great lines of communication, which

st be commanded and guarded. must be commanded and guarded.
And the mining countries would claim their share of attention,
in the efforts we may be called on to make. The rich districts of
Zenateacs and San Luis yet contribute their supplies to the reduced treasury of the enemy. I have seen, within a few days, a
letter from one of the most gallant and distinguished generals in letter from one of the most gallant and distinguished generals in Mexico, which estimates the revenue now derived from the mines, at an amount so much higher than I was prepared to expect, that I am unwilling to state it here, as I am not at liberty to name my authority. But if this information is correct, or near it, the product is a most important portion of the national resources, which should be diverted from the Mexican treasnry to ours. And he also gives it as his decided opinion that, by proper arrangements and directions, the produce of the taxes of the country may be made to bear the expenses of holding it in our possession. If so, and the same infatuation continues to prevail in the Mexican councils, we can hold on, as indeed we must hold on and let the councils, we can hold on, as indeed we must hold on, and let the enemy pay the cost of a state of things whose existence is owing to themselves. Without the extinction of their independence, and the annexation of such a vast population to our contray, with few sympathies to unite them to us, we can continue to govern them; and govern them with energy and justice, such as are new in their history, till the lessons of adversity shall have traught them to do us right, and till the experience of our sway, and its operation around them, shall have brought them to a better state of feeling. We can then treat with efficient rulers, and after securing the just objects of the war, we can retire, leaving the Mexican people in the enjoyment of their independence, with a salutary conviction that it is better to provoke our friendship by justice, than to pro-

voke our enmity by insults and aggressions The returns show that we have less than 20,000 men, rank and file, which exclude officers, in the midst of a hostile population, of eight or ten millions, differing from us in race, in language, in re-ligion, in institutions, in prejudices, and, indeed, in all the characligion, in institutions, in prejudices, and, indeed, in all the charactes, which constitute national identities, and separate the great families of mankind from one another. This is exclusive of our force upon the Rio Grande, amounting to about six thousand rank and file, and which is hardly large enough to hold that region in peaceable possession, and to guard against the irruption of the Mexican troops. And it may be that this force will need augmentation, with a view to coward operations, either directly upon San Luis, or by the more circuitous but less difficult route of Zucatecas, to the central countries of Nortlera Mexica.

He who believes that this principal force of 28,000 men, and this auxiliary force of 6,000, including the sick invaids and disabled, are amply sufficient to hold in subjection the people already reduced to obselve the open of the work.

duced to obedience, to carry the war further the longer it is protracted, and to meet its casualties, whether these are found in the hattle field, in the climate, or in popular tunults, may well vote against held, in the cinate, of his popular tumure, may were vote against this bill, and refuse the augmentation it provides. But those who, like me, believe that this government, founded by all for the good of all, is bound by the most sacred obligations not to expose its of all, as sound by the most sacrete oungations not to expose its citizens to unnecessary peril, nor to pash their exertions to the very limit of human endurance, but to make the most liberal arrangements for the prosecution of the war, and to hold the treasure of the nation light as dust when weighed in the balance with the life blood of its sons, will cheerfully vote for this proposition, and hall its passage not only as a necessary measure of policy, but as a rebust to explanter and participien. a tribute to gallantry and patriotism.

Mr. CRITTENDEN .- As we have heard the remarks of the honorable Senator, I will now, with his permission, move to post-pone the further consideration of this bill until after the Senator from South Carolina, (Mr. Calhoun,) shall have an opportunity to-morrow of addressing the Senate upon the resolutions introduced hy him.

Mr. CASS.—I have no objection to the postponement of the bill, provided it is understood that after the honorable Senator from South Carolina has opened the subject, and made the re-marks which he desires to make, that he will then give way to this bill. It is only upon the condition that he gives way to this measure, that I will consent to the postponement.

Mr. CALHOUN.-Believing that I probably might not have the opportunity to speak to-morrow, I had a conversation with the Senator from Michigan, and expressed to him my desire of addressing the Senate. If I have that opportunity. It is all I ask

30TH CONG .- IST SESSION-No. 8.

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—I have no objection to withdraw that motion last with regard to any understanding that this bill shall be proceeded with, I am not disposed to admit myself, nor am I authorized to enter into any understanding which will in any commit Senators upon this side to any particular course. I should myself be glad that the Senator from Michigas should proceed, as he will of course be able to do, not withstanding any votes that may be given on this side of the chamber.

Mr. CASS.—I trust, if the subject is not postponed expressly with a view to accommodate the Senator from South Carolina, we shall go on with the consideration of the bill, for there is no more important question which can be presented to the American Sen-

Mr. CALHOUN.—I certainly am desirous of knowing in advance whether I can have an opportunity of speaking to-morrow or not. I introduced my resolution before the Senator's bill was reported, and fixed a day rice consideration. Now, if I am to be prevented from addressing the Senate, by reason of a measure subsequently introduced, we be in the schatch, by reason of a measure subsequently introduced, we be in the schatch of a parliamentary advantage, all that can known. All I ask is the opportunity of being heard. I do not choose to speak upon this bill, and my reason for it is, that it does not give me the scope while! I desire. I wish to have it understood whether I am to have to-morrow or

Mr. CASS.—I hope the honorable Senator will do me justice; he will recollect, and the Senato will recollect, that at the very time his resolution was introduced, and the day fixed for its consideration, I then stared to the Senate that for myself, I should hendevort to get this bill up to the exclusion of all other business. I am not actuated, as the Senator conditions of all other business. I am not actuated, as the Senator conditions of all other business, the senator of the senator of the senator conditions of the senator of the desire that this measure shall be proceeded with without day, believing it to be the most important business that can be brought before the Senator.

Mr. WESTCOTT said he should vote against any course calculated to postpone the passage of this bill a single hour. He deeply regretted that any one had supposed there was any discourtesy towards the Senator from South Carolina, [Mr. Car. occpy regreted that any one has supposed there was any discourtesy towards the Senator from South Carolina, I/Mr. CALmotrs, I in insisting on its being acted on before any other business, the dischained any such intention on his part. The Senator from
towards whom he should be most make the content of the Senator
towards whom he should be most make the content of the senation of the senator of the sena pected circumstances may misoric men in uninconcess maning re-inforcements necessary. The orders of the government to sustain the army by taking supplies from the enemy, have just about this time been received by the army. Three weeks hence we may, and some believe that we shall, get information that the effect of the enforcement of these orders may be the requirement of an adthe enforcement or mess orders may be the requirement of an ad-ditional force there forthwith to suppress outbreaks and insurrec-tions. I will not say I anticipate this, but it is not improbable. Our fellow-citizens of the army are in a foreign land, in the midst of eight or ten millions of embittered focs, who are ready to avail of eight or ten millions of embittered loss, who are ready to avail themselves of any opportunity to massacre very order or them. They are scattered over Mexico in several different towns, and divided into detachments not very strong in sumbers. They are in a different climate from that they have lived in-shey are peculiarly liable to be attacked by disease. Armal or the strong of the strong desired, which the giving the removements another by this oill could have prevented, and if the immediate passage of this bill was delayed by any act or vote of mine, I should feel as if the was delayed by any art or vote. Someoness passage or one our was delayed by any art or vote. Some property of the bood of those thus sacrificled was on him, and the bold for the some blood of these thus sent factors and the some property of was closed. Under these circumstances, (said Mr. W.) anxious as I am to listen to the Senator from South Carolina upon his resolutions, I feel that I am right in resisting any and ever resonants, I let that an any man to seating any and every post-ponement; and for one I am willing to sit here without an adjourn-ment till the final vote is taken; and I trust the Senate will do its duty, and if any detriment is occasioned by delay, let the responsi-bility full elsewhere.

Mr. BADGER.—When the Senator from South Carolina in-reduced his resolutions, something like a fortuight ago, he made them the order of the day for to-morrow, and at the last day of the session of the Senate, the honorable clairman of the Military Committee called up the bill which is now under consideration in the Senate with, as I understand, the awowed design to keep the measure before the Senate to the exclusion of the resolutions of the Senator from South Carolina, which, by a previous order of this body, were to be taken up to-morrow. This was done, singainst the remonstrance of the Senator from South Carolina. It was done, in my judgment, sir, contrary to the usages of this body, the senator from South Carolina. The subject matter of the resolutions have been known and amnounced to the Senator The Senator has designated a day on which they shall be taken up and considered; and this bill was called up on the last day of the sittentian shall be a subject matter of the resolutions have been known and amnounced to the Senator from South Carolina were regularly to core judge of the Senator from South Carolina, where he accorded to the Senator from South Carolina, were regularly to core of giving it precedence over these resolutions, and probably preventing the Senator who had brought the subject before the Senator from being beard, and having the subject matter of his resolutions considered.

Now, in my judgment, nothing but some extreme public neces-

sity—nothing but a plain case, involving the public good, and re-quiring immediate consideration, should have precedence over these resolutions. An object of this kind alone, which could only quiring immediate consideration, should have precedence over these resolutions. An object of this kind alone, which could only be accomplished by giving it precedence over these resolutions would be sufficient justification for such a course being pursued. Now, where is the necessity? Wherefore is it that this particular bill is now to be considered and passed through with such hot haste? The Senator from Florida, it is true, tells us that he en-tertains serious alarm lest in the next three weeks our gallant troops, who have covered themselves with honors, who have de-fended themselves against three times their number, may suffer fended themselves aminst three times their number, may suffer troops, who have covered themselves with honors, who have defended themselves against three times their number, may suffer some great calamity—may be overhome by superior numbers, Well, if any Senator expects any such thing, does he expect these ten regiments to be traised—does he expect that at the slow and limiting pace at which measures are passed through Congress, this can by any possibility be made available? It cannot, sir. It must taken upon the resolutions of the Senator from Scatth Carolicans is a matter of the utmost undifference, if the actual application of the force intended to be raised for the purpose either in a set market or the dudiest holmetender, in the actual applies-tion of the force intended to be raised for the purpose either of reflexing the troops or presecuting the war, either to preserve our army or to annoy or overbear the enemy. This strikes me, is a being the actual position of the question before the 8e-nate. It resolves itself into this—shall the Senate, without any plain nate. Tresolves used into this—shall the senate, without any pain reason founded on the gubble good, without any exigency demanded by the present state of the war, without any possible reason to be-lieve that any good purpose can be served by it, set the example of putting aside a previous subject, and thereby offering, as it apof pitting aside a previous suggest, and energy occuring, as a sup-pears to me, a want of contrest, without example, as far as know, we have the suggest of the suggest of the suggest of the suggest in this body, towards an honorable Senator, who have proposed? How is it subject for consideration. Well, what is now proposed? How is it that this exrong movement is to be referred seed? Why, it is suppose that the proposed is the supposed of the fall in the day after to morrow, in order to give the Senator an opportunity to be heard, without a distinct understanding that after that shall have been done, the consideration of this bill is to be resumed. Suppose the course pursued in calling up this bill had not been pursued; suppose to-morrow bad arrived, the resolutions had come in their ord as in my judgment they ought to be permitted to do, what would have been the result? Why, not only that the Senator from South Carolina would have had an opportunity to be heard upon his resolutions, but others would also have had an opportunity to be heard—for 1 do not know but many others may desire to be heard upon these resolutions, if, as he suggests, there is no such scope in the bill as the resolutions. Is it to be understood that the subject is to be taken up merely to allow the Senator from South Carolina to express views, and then that a narrower ground, a more restricted range is to be given to other gentlemen, who may desire to be heard upon the matters at large which are embraced in the reso-I hope we shall resume the ground which I b lations? I hope we shall resume the ground which I believe the resolutions ought to occupy. But what does the honorable chair-man of the Committee on Military Alfairs propose? Surely he does not expect to force this measure through the Sonate with-out debate; surely he does not expect that this is a measure which can be passed silently through this body. The bill must be dis-cussed as well as the resolutions. If members of this body are interesting to the resolutions, they may be compiled distinctly interesting the compiled properties. jects embraced in the resolutions, they may be equally disposed to discuss this bill; the discussion must come sooner or later. then, that the Senate will postpone this subject until the day after to-morrow, that the Senator from South Carolina may be heard to-morrow, and that the Senate may then determine whether they will discuss this bill, or whether the discussion upon the resolutions is to be prosecuted to its termination. I cannot come to an understanding that after the Senator from South Carolina has delivered his views, the hill is to be taken up, and the discussion prosecuted to its termination.

Mr. CASS.—I merely want to relieve myself from the charge of a want of courtesy towards the Senator from South Carolina, who is almost the last man to whom I should wish to exhibit the slightest discourtesy. That homorable Senator will recollect that at the time I introduced this bill, I gave notice that I would on deavor to bring it up at the very earliest opportunity, and the Se-

nator must know also, that it has been out of my power to do it sooner, in consequence of the stroke of Providence having fallen upon one of our number, and in consequence of the observance of solemnities which were due to the occasion; and now comes the very first day on which the Senate could vote on this subject and when the Senator says it is a mark of discourtesy on my part to urge the Senator says it is a mark of discourtesy on my part to urge if he regarded a particular subject of the senator whether, if he regarded a particular subject on the subject of the country, he would regard the desire to proceed with that nearest to the exclusion of all others as any mark of disrespect! Would to the exclusion of all others as any mark of disrespect? Would be not insist upon its immediate consideration, even at the hazard of being necessed of a want of contress? Would be not do this himself? I am one who believe that there is an absolute necessity for this measure. The Senator from Florida very properly suggests that a state of things may arise in which it would be vitally important that the troops proposed to be raised by this hill should be in Mexico; and an honorathle Senator from North Carolina suggests the slow and limping progress of measures through this body. Why, that very consideration shows that there ought to be no

Who can tell what may happen three months, or even three weeks hence? This is my view of the matter, and I trust it redeems me entirely with honorable gentlemen on both sides from the imputation of want of courtesy. If this measure he postponed and the resolutions taken up, no man can tell when the discussion will be terminated. This is a measure in which the honor and interests of the country may be involved, and without which the lives of our citizens may be hazarded. I think it is no discourtesy to any gentleman to ask for the consideration of measures so intimately gentleman to ask for the consideration of measures so intimately connected with the public interests, belower the Senate shall be ad-dressed open other matters. I repeat that I shall hear the bonot a-ble Senator with the greatest pleasure to-morrow. I never hear him without pleasure. I never disaggee, without I doubt my own judgment; and with the understanding that to-morrow shall be devoted to the bearing of the Senator, and that the bill shall proceeded with on the next day, I shall cheerfully acquised; but

further than that I cannot go

Mr. BUTLER .- I do not rise to vindicate the views of my col-Mr. BUTLER.—I do not rise to vindicate the views of my coleague, but I do rise to demand for him the ordinary course which is conceded by all parliamentary usage. He has heretofore given notice of his purpose to express his views to the Senate, and the motion of the honorable Senator from Kentucky is nothing more not less than to give him an opportunity of doing so to-morrow. The majority of this Senate has of course the power to forward his bill, but why does the honorable chairman of the Military Committee desire so carnestly to avoid discussion? Is he distrust-Committee desire so earnestly to avoid discussion? Is no distrust-ful of discussion on this subject? and does he suppose that in the course of one day's discussion the minds of Senators here will be so modified as to take from him the power of his own measure. It may be he distrusts his own friends. I am'not prepared to say Name has been described by the state of the sequence of any discontent that may take place, but I do say that sequence of any discontent that may take place, but I am say trake blace to the light to be derived from discussion should be had. Discussion should be permitted to proceed before we are called upon to you upon any measure of importance. I am very far from agreeing with the gentleman, that I am to take counsel from apprehension that from a hasty announcement in this body, we are to rush to the that from a mary announcement in this body, we are to rush to the resence of our gallant army, as though it were menaced with dan-ger. I shall not take counsel from any such apprehensions; and I think it is but a reasonable request on the part of my colleague, that he should be heard before the subject is prejudged.

Mr. FOOTE.-I desire to make a single remark-not more; Mr. FOOTE.—I desire to make a single remark—not more; nor would I have intruded upon the Senate at all, in my present state of voice and health, but for the allusion made so pointedly by the Senator from North Carolina to Senators on this side of the chamber on the point of courtesy. I confess that I am not only ambitions of recognition as a partice, but I am wholly unwilling to be recognised as at all wanting in politeness or good feeling. How far the Senator from North Carolina can reconcile it with his tesy against the associates in this hall, without substantial ground upon which to rest them, I leave him to determine. According to my judgment, though, the charge which he has brought is incapable of being sustained by evidence. What is the fact? I stated before—and the venerable Senator from South Carolina will justify me in the assertion—that he, in person, claimed the other day, before—and the venerance scantor from south Carolina will justify me in the assertion—that he, in person, daimed the other day, with that urbanity which always marks his demeanor, that, as an act of kindness to him, from his friends here, he should be allowed a hearing upon his resolutions upon the day fixed by him for taking a hearing upon his resolutions upon the day fixed by him for taking them up. As soon as the proposition was thus made, my heart responded to the call, and I thought all on this side were inclined to be in unison, and that this act of courtesy should be extended. Now, the truth is, the Senator from North Carolina, and those associated with him, have the control of the whole matter. This plain, practical proposition has been submitted to them—that a tormal understanding should arise in this chamber that the Senator from South Carolina should be allowed to be heard upon his resolutions, and that the consideration of this hill should then be proeeeded with. How easy to agree to such a plain proposition! But is agreement manifested? With whom, then, is the discourtesy, when it is proposed to do all that is asked for by the Senatron.

from South Carolina-to do all that it may be necessary, to enable the Senator to be heard on these questions? What is the answer? the Senator to be heard on these questions? What is the answer? None at all, An answer most significant; an answer significant of a '76 seheme—I hope it may not be considered discourteous to use the word—to pestpone this vital question. It is not for me to remind the gentleman from North Carolina—who is no distinguished for his knowledge of the common law as for his learning and eloquence—that it is an established principle of the law (to give the maxim, without using the Latin) "so far as the reason of the law extends, so far the law operates, and no farther." Now, the terason of courtey is plan in this case, requiring, simply, that the gentleman from South Carolina should have an early hearing upon his own resolutions, and no further. What does the Senator from North Carolina say Y—that in violation of this rule of reason and common law, the instant the Senator from South Carolina is and common law, the instant the Senator from South Carelina is and common law, the instant the Schauf volume and Carolina is heard on the subject, then all the other Senators shall also be al-lawed the same privilege. Is this in accordance with the reason stated? Does conview require that all should be heard? Have all participated in the concoction of this resolution? We are willing to do all that the rule alluded to might seem to require. The Senator from North Carolina says that all other Senators must also Senator Why, it is obvious to me, and it must be obvious to the Senator, that by insisting that all others must be heard, he makes a refusal to hear any Senator an act of discontresy, the avoidance of which he seems to have so much at heart. 1, for one, sir, and of when he seems to have so more at heart. I, for one, significant most anxious to hear the views of the distinguished Senator from South Carolina. As to the result of discussion in this chamber, I fear nothing. There is too much patriotism here to permit us for a moment to doubt as to what will be the action of this body when the interests and honor of the contriv demand such action.

Mr. BADGER.—I was endeavoring to obtain the floor immediately after the honorable chairman of Military Affairs took his wat, for the purpose of saying that I was very much storoished to hear him intimate that I designed to charge him with a want of centresy towards the Senator from South Cardina. I know that that Senator is incapable of any intentional discouriesy to any that Senator is theapable of any intentional discorriesy to any member of this body. When I spoke of that act as contrary to the usages of the Senate, I spoke of those usages as I had observed them for a very short period. It is possible for gentlemen to do an act of discourtesy without being aware of it; but I can assure the Senator from Mississippi that he is entirely mistaken if he supposes that any "scheme" has been concerted on this side of the schember. For my own part I depute from a part of the Unice poses that any "scheme" has neen concerned on thus sale on the chamber. For my own part, I came from a part of the Union where sehemes are not very much indulged in—where they are not held in very high estimation. All I intended was to express my own opinion, that according to the views I entertained it was dis-correcus to the honorable Senator and a violation of the usage of tho courteous to the honorable Senator and aviolation of the usage of the Senate. I certainly did not suppose that either the Senator from Michigan or the Senator from Mississippi designed to offer a discour-esy to the Senator from South Carolina. But it seems, sir, I am claiming too much, when I decline to enter into any agreement or unding, that as soon as the Senator from South Carolina has cxpressed his views, his resolutions are to be laid aside, and the consideration of the bill resumed, on the ground that the courtesy of the Senate is completely exhausted, after the Senator from South Carcinin has had an opportunity to be heard. Now, upon this point interestain an entirely different view from that of the Senator from Michigan, and when he elaims the privilege of setting as his judgment dictates, it is but right that I should claim the same privilege. It has been suggested that ample range for debate world not be afforded on this hill. If so, and if courtesy requires that the Senator from South Carolina should be heard, with this "ample room and verge enough?" for the expression of his ideas, it follows, of course, according to the same principle of common fairness, that other Senators who differ totally from him, if there be any seel, or extertain a medification of his opinions, should be permitted the reasons I am of opinion that this matter should be passed over without any such understanding as is proposed, and that the discussion which is to arise upon the resolution, should arise in regular order, and be prosecuted to its final termination. At the same Senate is completely exhausted, after the Senator from South Carocussion which is to arise upon the resolution, should arise in regu-lar order, and be prosecuted to its final termination. At the same time I agree with the Senator from Michigan, that the claims of courtesy towards any member of this body ought to yield to sub-jects of necessity, to measures which are demanded by the circumpresent a control of the measurement of the control collection, while I disclaim imputing to him any intentional dis-contrest, of which I know thin to be incapable. He is ectainly in error when he supposes, that at the time when the resolutions of the Senator from South Carolina were made the special order of the day for to-morrow, he gave notice that he would, in the inte-rin, call up his bill, because he resolutions of the Senator from South Carolina were submitted on the 15th of December, and the 16th 20th of December they were made the order of the day for to-morrow. The bill now under sonsideration of the Senate was the senate was the senate of the Senator form Seath of the Senate was the resolutions of the Senator from South Carolina had been made the resources of the Senator from South Carolina had been made the before of the day for to-morrow, and one week after the resolutions had been submitted to this hody. Therefore, it was impossible for the Senator, unless by the spirit of prophecy, to give notice on the 20th that he would call up a bill that was not reported from the Millary Committee until the 22d. Mr. CASS.—I have no hesitation in saving that the Senator has a better knowledge of chronology than I have, for all recollect distinctly, that my object in making that information was to meet a remark of the Senator from South Carolina, and it was in connexing with what was said by the Senator from Ohio.

Mr. MANGUM.—I have no doubt that the Senator from A-kamas is perfectly correct in saying that there is no intention and he part of any one of the remover of the part of any of the perfect of the perfec

Mr. FOOTE.—When I used the word "scheme," it was eerically not with any discourtes; towards the Seantor from North Carolina. It was merely in allusion to the postponement of business, there being conflicting views in regard to the expediency of first taking up one subject or the other; and in reference to gentlemen who might be inclined to scize upon what might, perhaps, be properly termed a parliamentary advantage. And, while I am up, I will remark that if the Seantor from North Carolina understands me as using the word "scheme" in any disrespectful science in reference to himself or an . But while the honorable Seantor relian, he is entitled to the science of the application of such a term to his own State, I hope he does not mean to imply that I came from a part of the country where schemes of a discreditable character are more apt to be adopted than in North Carolina. If I had understood the Seantor mistering the science of the scien

the arrows to their mark.

Mr. BADGER.—It was my intention, as soon as the Senator had taken his seat, even had he done so without introducing such a threatening figure, to say to him that I did not think of the cir-omstance which might have led to the suspicion that there was at least the happearance of discourters in what I said. I meant no discourtes; and I assure him that even if I were not satisfied of the strength of Mississpapi, on this floor and elsewhere, I entirely disclaim the intention of assailing her. She is connected with North Carolina by many ties of association; and I can assure the honorable gentleman that if he does not make up a conflict on this floor before the substantial of the same and the same of the sa

Mr. FOOTE.—I trost 1 shall be exensed for trespassing a single moment further upon the time of the Senate. Notwithstanding the express disclaimer of the Senate from North Carolina in the first half of his remarks, there is something yet in the tone and bearing of the honorable Senator, which, without explanation, conveys the impression that he has no that respect for the State of Massisappi which is due to her.

Mr. BADGER.—I have expressly disclaimed intending any offence to Mississippi.

Mr. FOOTE.—Sir, so 1 understood the Senator, and yet from the whole conor of his remarks we are as much at a loss as to the interation of the Senator as before. He certainly did disclaim an intention of offending, and yet the disclaimer was accompanied by a suggestion which weakened its value, and served to produce some little merriment. He had stated, that he was not aware of the circumstance that would make allusion so painful.

Mr. BADGER.—No, sir; the Senator mistakes me. I did not say that I was aware of the circumstance which might make the allusion painful. I said that when I made the remark I did not think of any circumstance which might make the allusion painful.

Mr. FOOTE .- Very well, sir, I am entirely satisfied.

Mr. MANGUM—I was remarking that I think there has been a degree of interest in regard to the priority of proceeding between this bill and the resolutions greatly disproportioned to the importance of the question. There is no design, I am persuaded, to take from the administration the opportunity of controlling the measures which they may propose. Yet it cannot be denied that the Senate has the right to act first upon such subjects as they may deem proper, but under the circumstance of this bill being proference the other way, I eministration, though I have a bare proference the other way, I eministration, though I have a bare to proceed with the consideration should be persponed until the day after to-morrow, and I now move its postponement until that day; and I hope that the motion will meter with unanimous concurrence, and that all dispositions to give preference to any other matter will vanish.

Mr. DOUGLAS—I am afraid I will find myself constrained to give a vote which may be considered wanting in contrest to extrain Senators, if I am to judge from the opinions which I have jost heard expressed. I would not willingly be discourteous to any Senator; but I am unable to perceive how it is that a refusal to post-pone the business of the contry, or the necessary measures of legislation for the presention of the war, in order to take up an astroat question, having no practical bearing upon our legislation struct question, having no practical bearing upon our legislation perceive how it is that the resolutions of the Senator from South Carolian will give a broader field for the discussion of the war question, including its consequences. Those resolutions do not seem to me to fairly bring up the subject of the war. They simply assert the principle of opposition to the annexation of Mexico; a proposition, the allimation of which never has been, and perhaps never will be presented to so faction. It is an evasion of the real question, by the presentation of a false issue, if, indeed it the real question, by the presentation of a false issue, if, indeed it was a superior of the second of the second of the work of the real question, by the presentation of a false issue, if, indeed it means that the Senate is opposed to the anquisition of any territory and to all indemnity? or that he is opposed to the anaexation of the whole of Mexico? They may be construed to mean the one or the other, and perhaps with equal plansibility. They strike me, sir, as furnishing a successful example of that saying of Talleyrand—that language was given to man by the Deity to canable him to conceal, not to express, his ideas. I am opposed to the post-parameter of the legislation of the country for the purpose of tanguage was given to man by the Deity to enable him to conceal, not to express, and results of the war. I gentlemen desire to make specehes against the will of the war, so that the proper responsibility and the resolutions of th

Mr. WESTCOTT said he desired a few words to correct a misconception of the Senator from North Carolina, [Mr. Badden, and also a misapprehension of the Senator from South Carolina, [Mr. BUTLEN.] with regard to the remarks he made a few monents since. The first Senator seemed to think that he was apprehensive of immediate, impending, imminent danger to our army in Mexico.

Mr. BADGER.-1 did not understand him as saying immediate danger, but that there would be danger three weeks hence.

Mr. WESTCOTT continued: And the last Senator imagines that he was laboring under great aburm and "consternation" as to its safety. He had not supposed anything in what he said, or the manner in which he said it, would have caused such thought.

Mr. BUTLER corrected the Senator, and said he had alluded to the remarks that apprehensions were now entertained of news being received in three weeks that would show the necessity of these troops—and he had not referred to the Senator as expressing uny fears of "imminent" danger. Mr. WESTCOTT.—Both Senators had misunderstood him. He had as much confidence in the army as any man had, but be could as much confidence in the army as any man had, but be could in Mexico, so as to send the smallest number. He would be liberal in men and money, and, above all, he prompt. He would be liberal in men and money, and, above all, he prompt. He would be liberal in men and money, and, above all, he prompt. He would be liberal in men and money, and, above all, he prompt. He would be mised, and the same before these troops could be raised, furnishes a complete answer to the argument of there being no immediate necessity for these troops. It was well known that many of our volunteers there desired to be discharged and return home, but who could not be allowed to do so till their places were supplied by new levies. This should be done as soon as practicable. Until the reveal of the state of the st

Mr. CALHOUN.—I rise to say that I am perfectly satisfied with the course which is suggested by the Senator from Arkansas and the Senator from North Carolina—either will be satisfactory to me.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi.—I deem it proper, as one of the Military Committee, to say, that this bill for ten additional regiments was prepared and simultited early to the Senate, under the impression that an exigency existed that trops should be promptly arised. The chairman, ander instructions of the committee, an-aounteed his intention to bring up the bill at the first possible moment, and present it to a precedy passage. It is now one week—

Mr. CASS .- (In his seat.)-Two weeks.

Mr. DAVIS.—Two weeks then, Mr. President, since this an nonsement was made. One week, I had hoped, would have been sufficient to have passed the bill; and it topd, would have been iteive us from any charge of design to interpose it between the resolutions of the Senator from South Carolina and the consideration of the Senator.

of the Senate.

It appeared to us, that unless active operations are renewed in Mexico, thus exciting the military ardor of the people of the United States, it would require all the intervening time between the present and the period of yellow fever to organize and forward the necessary troops into the interior of Mexico. The Senator from Florida is right; there may justly be entertained apprehensions for our troops in Mexico, and that intrider efforts may yet be required on the battle field. What induced Santa Anna to attack General Taylor at Benea Vista, but the knowledge that his forces had been reduced so low that an easy and certain victory might be stated in the state of the stat

parity; but they have done so at immense sacrifice of blood. Shall such sacrifice be demanded for the future? Shall treasure be weighed against the blood of our contryment? Let us not, by delay, expose the lives of our patriot soldiers; defer the public necessity to discuss general positions of no applicability to the question before us. Those occasions on which our men have performed such prodigies were not anticipated until it was too late to give relief. We shall show ourselves more wise only by avoiding, in future, similar contingeous.

The Scormary of Wert with all the information before him—the Scormary of the United States, with all the knowledge his position gives him—asks for these additional regiments. On what rule shall we determine the propriety of the demand? Six, all history concurrently establishes the fact, that when civil governments at home attempt to direct military operations in a foreign country, failure is the result. Upon the generals must fall the responsibility of failure—apon their reports must decisions here, as to means, mainly rely. These come to us through the Executive and Well say that the Military Committee have certainly not sought any part that the Military Committee have certainly not sought any part that the Military Committee have certainly not sought any part that the Military Committee have certainly not sought any part that the Military Committee have certainly not sought any part that the Military Committee have certainly not sought any part that the Military Committee have certainly not sought any part that the Military Committee have certainly not sought any part that the Military Committee have certainly not sought any part that the Military Committee have certainly not sought any part that the Military Committee have conversed, any wish or intention to prevent the Senator from South Carolina from discussing his resolutions. I shall be pleased to the conversed and the military certainly on the part of the military certainly on the part of the military certainly on the part of the military certainly of the part of the military certainly on the part of the m

Mr. SEVIER .- I hope this bill will be postponed, and made the special order at one o'clock on Wednesday.

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—I wish to make a single remark. I think that my honorable friend from Mississippi is a little mistaken that my honorable friend from Mississippi is a little mistaken is supposing that any of the disasters of Congress. It attributable to the tardy legislation on the part of Congress. It is the the tardy legislation of the part of Congress for the whole tune, and if any blame is to be attached to Congress for any legislation, of course I must come in for my share of that blame. When was it that we have been guilty of any tardiness in granting any supplies of me or money that were demanded by the Executive? We began by authorizing the Executive to accept the exvises of fifty thousand volunteers, and that force was never exhausted until the fall of last year. There have been military resources open at all times to the President, which he might have exercised, and which he has exercised, by calling out men to serve during the war. This is the remark I intended to make. I am satisfied the honorable Senator meant no rebuke to the Senatc, lie assisted the honorable Senator meant no rebuke to the Senatc, lie apprehense of like a supposes that any such charge can possibly be unde upon Congress.

Mr. DAVIS.—It is very far from my intention to rebuke the Senate; but I believe that I am borne out in what I have stated by proof. We did pass a bill authorizing the raising of fifty thousand volunteers; but all other supplies were ent down—I mean camp and garrison equipage.

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—One word as to that—my recollection is fresh—that when the appeal was mode for supplies, they were at once granted, there was not a dollar asked that was not granted, not an item of supply demanded that was reduced in amount. I think the Senate will find this to be the case. Not a dollar was refused. We were guided by the estimates that were furnished, and voiet deher full amount.

Mr. DAVIS.—I intended to refer the honorable Senator, when I was up, to an item that was stricken off from the Quartermaster's estimate.

Mr. CRITTENDEN.-I did not know any thing about that; it was not in this body.

Mr. DAVIS.—It was stricken off in the House of Representatives; the item was for camp and equipage supplies.

The question being taken on the postponement, it was agreed to.

EXECUTIVE SESSION.

The Senate then proceeded to the consideration of Executive business, and, after a short time spent therein, the doors were opened, and

The Senate adjourned.

# THESDAY, JANUARY 4, 1848.

### PETITIONS.

Mr. STURGEON presented the petition of Jones and Boker, and others, praying the repayment of certain Treasury notes pur-loined from the post office where they had been deposited for transmission by mail; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Mr. HALE presented the petition of Henrick Aiker, praying an extension of his patent for an improvement in the saw-set; which was referred to the Committee on Patents and the Patent Office

Mr. BELL presented the petition of Betsy McIntosh, a Chero-kee, praying payment of the money awarded her by the Board of Cherokee Commissioners under the 17th article of the treaty of 1835; which was referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

Mr. CAMERON presented the petition of the Kennet Month-ly Meeting of the Religious Society of Priends in Chester county, Penneylvania, praying the abolition of slavery in the District Columbia, and the slave trade between the States; also, the rejec-tion of any proposition for the acquisition of territory, unless pro-vision be made for the exclusion of slavery therefrom.

The motion to receive the memorial being objected to,

Ordered. That it lie on the table.

# WAR MEETING IN PHILADELPHIA.

Mr. CAMERON, in presenting the proceedings of a town meeting in Pulladelphia, held on the 18th December, 1847, approxing the measures pursued by the administration in the prosecution of the war with Mexico, remarked that he agreed with those composing the meeting in every particular, and that he should deem it his duty, as a Senator, to act in accordance with their wishes.

Mr. CASS submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved, That the Secretary of War communicate to the Senate such military reports as have been received by him from the commander of our aimy in Mexico stace the transmission of his annual report, and that the same be printed with his annual

Mr. BUTLER submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Residers! That the Committee on Military Affairs impire into the expediency of going the President authority to pouncte from the regular army, such officers as be may limit puoper to select, to any new gratele of commont, to common the ordinance during the war with Mexico, with a proxision that such officer or officers shall not loss, at the termination of the war, their original commission, or any of the right undicident therefore.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. BREESE asked and obtained leave to bring in a hill to create the office of surveyor general of public lands in the Territory of Oregon, and to grant donation rights to settlers therein, and for other purposes; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, gave notice of his intention to ask leave, at some future day, to introduce a bill.

Mr. BADGER, from the Committee on Military Affairs, to whom was referred the memorial of Charles L. Deli, reported a bill for his relief; which was read and passed to the second reading.

The engrossed bill for the relief of Joseph Wilson, was read a

Resolved, That this bill pass, and that the title then of be as aforesaid,

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

The joint resolution to create a board to ascertain and determine the amount of each of the claims of the citizens of the United States against Mexico, was read a second time, and referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

The bill providing for the payment of the claim of Walter R. Johnson against the United States, was read the second time, and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and no amendment be ing made, it was reported to the Senate

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent, Resolved. That the bill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

The Senate resumed as in Committee of the Whole, the considcration of the bill for the relief of the administratrix of Elisha L. Keen, deecased

No amendment being made, the bill was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved, That the hill pass, and that the title be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill concerning certain collection districts, and for other purposes; and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

Resolved, That the bill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the resolution in favor of David Shaw and Solomon T. Corser, and no amendment being made, it was reported to the

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said resolution was read a third time.

Resolved. That this resolution pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesald.

Ordered, That the Scerctary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this resolution.

The bill for the relief of Thomas Rhodes was read the second time, and considered as in Committee of the Whole, and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Mr. SEVIER called for the reading of the report. It was read by the Secretary.

Ordered, That the bill be engrossed and read a third time.

# CONQUEST OF MEXICO.

On motion by Mr. SEVIER, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of the special order of the day, being the following resolutions, submitted by Mr. Calhoun, on the 15th of Deeember:

Resolved. That to conquer Mexico and to hold it, either as a province or to incorporate it in the Union, would be unconsistent with the avoid object for which the was has been prosecuted; a departure from the settled policy of the government; in conflict with the character and genus; and in the end subvertive of our feer and popular institute.

Intions. Arsolved, That no line of policy in the further prosecution of the war should be adouted which may lead to consequences so disastrons.

Mr. CALHOUN.—In offering, Senators, these resolutions for your consideration, I have been governed by the reason which induced ine to oppose the war, and by the same considerations I have been ever since guided. In alluding to my opposition to the war, I do not literal to notice the reasons which governed me on war, to not mice the reasons when governed me on that occasion, further than is necessary to explain my motives upon the present. I opposed the war then, not only because I considered it unnecessary and that it might have been easily avoid-ed—not only because I thought the President had no authority to order a portion of the territory in dispute and in possession of the Mexicans, to be occupied by our troops—not only because I believe the allegations upon which it was sanctioned by Congress were unfounded in truth, but from high considerations of reasons and policy, because I believed it would lead to great and serious coils to the country, and greatly endanger its free institutions.

Chis to the country, and greatly endanger its free institutions. But after the war was declared, and had received the sanction of the government, I acquiesed in what I could not prevent, and which it was impossible for me to arrest; and I then felt it to be my duty to limit my course so as to give that direction to the conmy duty to limit my course so as to-give that direction to the conduct of the war as would, as far as possible, prevent the ceil and danger with which, in my opinion, it threatened the country and its mstitutions. For this purpose, at the last session, 1 suggested to the Scante a defensive line, and for that purpose 1 now offer these resolutions. Thus, and this only, is the motive which governs the summer of the property of the Executive nor strengthen the operation of the property of the opject is neither to sustain the Executive nor strengthen the com-position: I not simply to discharge an important duty to the cour-try. But I shall express my opinion on all points with holdness, and independence, such as becomes a Seantor, who has nothing to ask, either from the government or from the people, and whose only aim is to diminish, to the smallest possible amount, the evils incident to this war. But, when I come to notice those points in

which I differ from the President, I shall do it with all the decorum

which I diller from the President, I shall do it with all the decorma which is due to the Chief Magistrate of the Union.

When I suggested a defensive line at the last session, this country had in its possession, through the means of its arms, ample territory, and stood in a condition to force indemnity. Before then the successes of our arms had gained all the contiguous portions of Mexico, and our army has ever since held all tlat it is detected to the successes of our arms had gained all the contiguous portents of Mexico, and our army has ever since held all tlat it is detected to the successful the total the successful the tendence to this war, a findamental principle, that when we receive territorial indemnity it shall be unoccupied territory. In offering a defensive line, I did it is cause I believed that in the first place it was the only certain mode of terminating the war successfully. I did it also, because I believed that it would be a vast saving of the sacrifice of human life; but above all, I did so Mexico I saw that any other line of policy would expose us to tremending exit, sident took a different view. He recommended a vigorous prosecution of the war—act for conquest—that was disavowed—but for the purpose of conquering peace: that is, to compel Mexico to sign a treaty making a sufficient cession of territory to indemnify this government both for the claims of its citizens and for the expenses of the war. Sir, I opposed this policy. I oppsed it among other reasons, because I believed that if the war should be ever so successful, there was great hazard to us at least, that the object incomplete the complete of the war. The earning in the remained and money were greated for carrying on the war. The earning in the remained and the operated for carrying on the war. The earning in the remained and the correspondent though this certain the war. The earning in the remained and the correspondent to the carrying on the war. The earning in the territory to indemnity the correspondent to the carrying on t tenands of energy of the monotonic accomplisation of the control of the war. The camping has treminated, It has been as successful as the Excentive of the country could possibly have calculated, Victory after victory has followed in succession without a single reverse. Santa Anna was repelled and defeated with all his forces—Vera Cruz was taken and the castle with it. Jalapa, Perote, and Pochla fell, and after two great tri-umphs of our army, the gates of Mexico opened to us. Well, sir, what has been accomplished? What has been done? Has the

amphs of our army, the gates of Mexico opened to us. Well, sir, what has been accomplished? What has been done? Has the avowed object of the war been attained? Have we conquered peace? Have we obtained a treaty? Have we obtained any indemnity? No, sir; not a single object contemplated has been of feeted, and what is worse, our difficulties are greater now than feeted, and what is worse, our difficulties are greater now than they were before the campaign commenced. Now, Senators have asked what has caused this complete discomflure of the views of the Executive for which men and money were granted? It is not to be charged to our troops—they have done all that skill and gallantry was capable of effecting. It must be charged somewhere, and where is it to be charged, but upon the fact that the plan of the campaign was erroneous—that the object pursued was a mistake. We aimed in indehnity in a wrong plan it, directly—they were no our hand, we had the means to account indemnity through a treaty. We could not reach it by a treaty with Mexico, and Mexico by refusing to treat simply, could defeat the whole object which we had in view. We put out of our own power and into her hands to say when the war should terminate. We have, for all our vast expenditure of money, for all the loss of blood and men, we have nothing but the military glory which the campaign has furnished. We cannot, I presume, estimate the expenses of the campaign at less than \$40,000,000, (I cannot compute the sum with any degree of precision, but I believed rany say that the sum of the campaign has printled.

penses of the campaign at less than \$40,000,000, (I cannot compute the sum with any degree of precision, but I believe I may say about that sum.) And between the sword and disease, many thousand of lives, probably live, six, or seven thousand have been sacrificed; and all this for nothing at all.

But it is said that the occupancy of a defensive line would have been as expensive as the campaign itself. The President has assigned many reasons for that opinion, and the Secretary of War signed many reasons for that opinion, and the Secretary of War This is not the proper occasion to discuss the campaign of the secretary of the sec This is not the proper occasion to discuss them; but I must say, with all possible deference, they are to my mind utterly fallacious. I will put the question in a general point of view, and satisfy the minds of Senators that such is the case. The line proposed by myself, extending from the Pacific Occan to the Paso del Norte, would have been overed by the Golf of Culifornia and a wilderness peopled by hostile tribes of Indians; and for its defence, nothing would have been needed beyond a few vessels of war stationed in the Golf, and a single regiment. From the Paso del Norte to its mouth, we can readily estimate the amount of force necessary for mouth, we can readily estimate the amount of force necessary for mouth, we can readily estimate the amount of force necessary for its defence. It was a frontier between Texas and Mexico when Texas had not more than 150,000 of a population—without any standing army whatever, and very few troops. Yet for seven years Texas maintained that frontier line; and that too, when Mexico was far more consolidated than she is now; when her rev-olutions were not so frequent, her resources in money were much receiver, and Texas her cold yenomous! outlons were not so frequent, her resources in money were much greater, and Texas her only opponent. Can any man believe that Mexico, exhausted as she now is—prostrated as she has been—defeated—can any man believe that it will cost as much to defend that frontier as the last campaign has cost? No, sir. I will hazard nothing in asserting that the very interest of the money spent in the last campaign, would have secured that line for an indefi-nite period, and that the men who have lost their lives would have been more than sufficient to defend it.

So much for the past. We now come to the commencement of

sof muon for the past. We now come to the commencement of another campaign; and the question is, what shall be done? The same measures are proposed. It is still "a vigorous prosecution of the war." The measures are identically the same. It is not for conquest—that is now as emphatically discoved as it was in the first histance. The object is not to blot Mexico out of the list

of nations; for the President is as emphatic in the expression of of nations; for use pressuents as empirate in the expression on his desire to maintain the nationality of Mexico. He desires to see her an independent and flourishing community, and assigns strong and cognet reasons for all that. Well, sir, the question is now, what is to be done? We are now coming to the practical question. Stall we aim at carrying on another vigorous campaign under present eireumstances.

under present circumstances.

I have examine the committee of the committe

of deliars.

To meet so large an expenditure would involve, in the present and prospective condition of the money market, it is to be apprehended, not a little embarrasment. Last year money was abundant, and easily obtained. An unfortunate famine in Europe eracted a great demand for our regricultural problests. That turned the balance of trade greatly in our favor, and specie poured into the country with a strong and steady current. No inconsiderable portion of it passed into the Treasury, through the duties, which points of the war. The case is different now. Instead of having a tide flowing in, equal to the drain flowing out, the drain is now both ways. The exchanges are now against it, instead of being in our favor, and instead of specie flowing into the country from abroad, it is flowing out. In the meantime, the price of stocks in our favor, and instead of specie flowing into the country from abroad, it is flowing out. In the meantime, the price of stocks and Trensury notes, instead of being at or above par, have both fallen below, to a small extent. The effects of the depreciation of Treasury notes will cause them to pass into the Treasury in payment of the customs and other dues to the government, as the cheaper curreacy, instead of gold and silver; while the expenses of the war, whether paid for by the transmission of gold and silver direct to Mexico, or by drafts drawn in favor of British merchants or other early installates there, will cause whatever specie may be in the vaults of the Treasury to flow from it, either for remittance direct, an account of the ordinary transactions of the contribution of the particular three particular direct particular three partic and good and silver howing out in both directions, cannot continue long without exhal sting its specie, and 1-aving nothing to meet the public expenditure, including those of the war, but Treasury notes. Can they, under such circumstances, preserve even their present value? Is there not great danger that they will full lower notes. Can they, under such circumstances, preserved and present value? I sthere not great danger that they will fall lower and lower, and finally involve the finances of the government and the circulation of the country in the greatest embarrassment and

difficulty?

Now, sir, in this state of things, what can possibly follow? A great commercial crisis—a great financial crisis—even, possibly, a suspension of the banks. I do not pretend to deal in the language of panic. But there is danger of all this, of which there was not the slightest appreheasion at the commencement of the last session. At present there is great danger. The great difficulty in proceeding your campaign will be to obtain money. Men you may raise, but money it will be difficult to get. I lately conversed with a goat learn who coght for millions. If I lately conversed with a goat learn who coght for millions to fallow we can every converse the campaign. I asked at what price money could be bad, and the answer was that it would be at the rate of minety for one bundred, which would be rather more than seven per cent. I believe.

the answer was that it would be at the rate of nincty for one bundred, which would be rather more than seven per cent. I believe. But, sir, these are not the only objections, formidable as they are. The farther you proceed, the difficulties will increase. I do not see the slightest chance that can tend to the realization of what it is avowed, the prosecution of the war is intended to accomplish. The object is to obtain a treaty. We no longer hear of conquering a peace, but of obtaining an honorable trusty—the meaning of which, is neither more no less than that we are to meaning of which, is neither more nor less than that we are to obtain a treaty from Mexico, giving us a cession of land equal to the whole indemnity already stated in the former part of my re-marks. Well, sir, as it strikes me, whether the war is successful or unsuccessful, it must certainly end in the defeat of the object, for the attainment of which it is avowedly prosecuted. If the war be unsuccessful, it need not rargue the point. If we should keep very likely to be the case—if circumstances should prove un-fortunate for us, and we should not be able to accomplish, in a mil-itary point of view, what is characterized as a vigrous prosecuortunate or us, and we should not be able to accomplish, in a mil-itary point of view, what is characterized as a vigorous prosecu-tion of the war, then certainly there will be no treaty. I take bigher ground. I insist upon it that the more successfully this war is prosecuted, the more certain will be the clefeat of the ebwar is prosecuted, the more certain will be the elect of the sh-jects designed to be accomplished, whilst the objects disawowed will be accomplished. How is a successful war to be carried or? What is the object of it? What is it intended to eliot? I can see bat one thing to be effected. It is to suppress all resistance on Mexico, to verpower and disperse her army, to overthrow her civil government, and to leave her without any farther power of resistance. Well Mr. President, if that he done, what is the re-

sult? How are you to get an honorable peace? It takes but one sult? How are you to get an honorable peace? It takes but one party to make war—two parties to make peace. If all authority in Mexico be overthrawn, if there be no legitimate power with whom to negotiate, how are you to accomplish those objects which it is proclaimed this vigorons prosecution of the war is intended to effect. Sir, you are defeated by your success. That will be the clear and inevitable result. But what do you accomplish? The very objects which you disacross? For if the war should be so presecuted, where will be the nationality of Mexico? Where her seprent processing ? Where his fore graphile with whom you despread the processing the processin arate existence? Where this free republic with whom you desire to treat? Gone! We have blotted her out of the list of nations. She has become a mere mass of individuals without any political She has become a mere mass of individuals without any portical existence, and the sovereignty of the country, at least, for the time being, is transferred to us. Now, Mr. President, this is not only a conclusion from reasoning upon this subject, but it is one to which, if I understand the President aright, he comes with a single exception, and that, a mere contingence with a single exception, and that, a mere contingence is an examined of the place. The President has very much the same conception of the object of a vigorous war as I have presented. He says that the great dilliculty of getting peace results from this, that the people of Mexico are divided under factious chieftains, and that the chiefof Mexico are divided under factions chieftains, and that the chief-tain in power dure not give peace because his rrual would then be able to turn him out; and that the only way to remedy this evil and obtain a treaty, is to put down the whole of them. Well, what is to be done then? Is the thing to stop there? No. We are then to build up again and establish, under our power and pro-tection, a republican form of government from the citizens who are well disposed, which he says are numerous, and are prevented from obtaining it only by fear of these military chiefs. And it is with this government, sir, which is to grow up under the encour-agement and protection of our army—to be established by their authority—that it is proposed to treat in order to obtain peace. I must confess I am a little at a loss to see how a free and indepen-dent republic ear grow up under the protection and authority of dent republic can grow up under the protection and authority of its conqueror. I do not see how such a government can be estab-lished under his authority. I can readily understand how an aristooraey—how a kingly government—a despotism, might be established by a conqueror. But how a free and independent republic torracy—how a kingly government—a despotsin, might be established by a conqueror. But how a free and independent republic can grow up under such discussible in contract the contract of the people—that it can from the people—from the hearts of the people—that it was supported by the bearts of the people, and that it required no support—no protection from any quarter whatever. But, sir, it seems that these are antiquated notions—choolete ideas—and that we may now manufacture

republies to order, by authority of a conquering government.

But suppose, sir, all these difficulties surmounted. How can you make a free government in Mexico? Where are your materials? It is to be, I presume, a confederated government like our own. Where is the intelligence in Mexico adequate to the con-struction of such a government? That is what she has been aimstruction in such a government. I that is what she has been aiming at for twenty-odd years, but so uttry incompetent are her people for the work, that it has been a complete failure from beginning to end. The great body of the intelligence and wealth of Mexico is concentrated in the priesthood, who are altogether disinclined to that form of government. Then the owners of the haci-endas—the large planters of the country, who comprise almost all the remaining mass of intelligence, are without opportunities of concert and destitute of the means of forning such a government. Sir, such a government would be impossible—and if put up, would tumble down the very next day after our protection was with-

It appears to me to be a far more plausible plan, if it is determined to have peace, to sustain the government that now exists in Mexico; or rather to refrain from putting it down. Let it grow with several officers of un and mature itself. I have conversed the army—men of intelligence—on the subject, and all agree in the opinion that the mere shadow of a government which now remains at Queretaro, will have no authority whatever; and that if we were to make a peace in any degree conformatory to our view we were to make a peace in any degree conformatory to our tew of what a peace ought to be, the very moment we withdraw, it would all be overthrown; and what then? The very country as-signed to us by the peace for an indemnity, we must either hold defensively and be brought back ultimately to the defensive line, which would be the end of the whole of it; or, return and renew this war till it terminates in the conquest of the country.

I protest utterly against this government undertaking to build up any government in Mexico with the pledge of protection. The party placed in power must be inevitably overthrown, and we will be under the solemn obligation to return and reinstate them in pow-er, and that would occur again and again, till the country would er, and that Would occur again and again, till the country would fall into our hands precessly as Hindostan fell into the hands of the English. This very conquest of Hindostan, which we have been censuring for years and years, ever since 1 recollect, was the re-sult of mistaken policy, leading on from step to step, each one deeper and deeper—scarcely any design of compact heing enter-tained, but ultimately compact become maxwidable, and it was neessary not to hold the country, but to conquer the adjacent terri-

Well, sir, if this contingency follows-if the Executive fails in establishing another government there under our encouragement and protection, and if the government itself shall refuse to make and protection, and it the government itself shaft remuse to make a trenty with us on such terms as we will accept in regard to indemnity, then the President himself agrees that he must take the very course which I have said would be the inevitable consequence of a vigorous prosecution of the war. The President says in such

stance, after having attempted to build up such a government-after having employed the best efforts to secure peace upon the most liberal terms, if all fail—I now give his own words—if all fail, we must hold on to the occupation of the country, we must take the measure of indemnity into our own hands, and enforce such terms as the honor of the country demands. Now, sir, what is this? as the honor of the country demands. Now, sir, what is this is it in our an acknowledgment, that if this factitions government, which is aimed at, cannot be built up, we must make a conquest of the whole country and occupy it? Can words be stronger? "Occupy the country"—take the full measure of indemnity—no defeosive the country—take the full measure of indemnity—no defeasive line—no treaty—and enforce terms—terms on whom? On the government? No, no, no. It is to enforce the terms on the peo-ple individually; that is to say, to establish a government over them in the form of provinces.

them in the form of provinces.

Well, theg-President's right. If in the vigorous presention of
the war, as the President proposes, the contingency should fail—
and the chances of its failure are many—there will be no retreating.

Every act against calling back the army, as they designate it— Every act against calling back the army, as they designate it—
against taking a defensive line, which is now advanced, will have
double force after you have spent sixty millions of dollars, and
have acquired possession of the whole of Maxiso. The interests
in favor of keeping us there will be much more influential then
han now. The army itself will be larger. Those who live by
war—a large and powerful body—the numerous contractors, the
sutlers, the merchants, the speculators in the lands and mines of
Mexico, and all engaged every way, directly or indirectly, in the
progress of the war, and absorbing the whole expenditures, will be
all adverse to retiring, and will swell the cry in favor of continuing and extending conquest. The President talks, sir, of taking
indemnity into our hands, then; but why not take indemnity now.
We are much encarer indemnity now than we will he at the end of We are much nearer indemnity now than we will be at the end of the next campaign, when we shall have sixty millions added to the expenditure of the last forty. What will you then have to indemnify experimentarion for all forms and with you then have to momentary pose taxation in all forms and shapes, and amongst up are to mill have to maintain an army of at least forty thousand men, according to the Senator from Mississippi, (Mr. Davrs.) not a very large number; for he says that the 43,000 men new there are in danger. Then there is no indemnity at all. You will never get to demonstrate the more than the transfer of the month in that way to meet your expenditures. It will all have to come out of the peckets of the people of the United States; and after all the talk of indemnity—of pushing on this war vigorously to success—at the end of the next campaign, instead of indemnity. you will have a heavy pecuniary burden imposed upon the present and succeeding generation.

and succeeding generation.

Well, we have now come to the solemin question proposed by
these resolutions. I have shown where this line of policy will, in
all probability, lead you—I may say, will inevitably lead you,
unless some unexpected contingency should prevent. It will lead
to the blotting out of the nationality of Nexico, and the throwing
of eight or nine millions of people, without a government, on your
bands. It will comed you is all enabelity to seament, on your hands. It will compel you, in all probability, to assume the gov-ernment, for, I think, there will be very little prospect of your reernment, for, I think, there will be very fittle prospect of your re-tring. You must either hold the country as a province, or incor-porate it into your Union. Shall we do either? That's the ques-tion. Far from us be such an act, and for the reasons centained

in the resolutions.

The first of these reasons is this: It would be inconsistent with the avowed object for which the war was prosecuted. That needs no argument after what has been said. Since the commencement of the war till this moment, every man has disavowed the intention of conquest-of extinguishing the existence of Mexico as a people. It has been constantly proclaimed that the only object was indemnity. And yet, sir, as events are moving on, what we disayow may be accomplished, and what we have avowed may be defeated. Sir, this result will be a dark and lasting imputation on either the sincerity or the intelligence of this government; on its sincerity because so opposite to your own avowals; on your intelligence for the want of a clear foresight in so plain a case, as not to discern the consequences.

Sir, we have heard how much glory our country has acquired in this war. I acknowledge it to the full amount, so far as military glory is concerned. The army has done nobly—chivalroosly—they have conferred honor on the country, for which I sincerely thank

I believe all our thanks will be continued to the army. So far as I know in the civilized world, there is no approbation of the conduct of the civil portion of our power. On the contrary, every conduct of the evil partion of our power. On the contrary, every where the deciration is made that we are an ambitious, unjust, hard people, more given to war than any other people of modern times. Whether this be true or not, it is not for me to laquine.—
I am speaking now merely of the reputation which we bear abroad. Everywhere, I believe, for as much as we have gained in military Everyware, I believe, for as much as we have gamed in mintary reputation abroad, I regret to perceive, we have lost in our poli-tical and civil reputation. Now, sir, much as I regard military glory—much as I rejoice to behold our people in possession of the indomitable energy and comage which surmount all difficulties, indomitable energy and counage which surmount all difficulties, and which class them among the first military people of the age, I would be very sorry indeed that our government should lose any reputation for which me reduction discounter that the country of th reputation for wisdom, moderation, discretion, justice, and those other high qualities which have distinguished us in the early stages of our history.

The next reason which my resolutions assign is, that it is without example or precedent, either to hold Mexico as a province, or to incorporate her into our Union. No example of such a line of policy can be found. We have conquered many of the neighboring tribes of Indians, but we never thought of holding them in subtion-never of incorporating them into our Union. They have either been left as an independent people amongst us, or been dri-

ven into the forests.

I know farther, sir, that we have never dreamed of incorpora I know farther, sir, that we have never dreamed of meorpora-ting into our Union any but the Caucasian race—the free white race. To incorporate Mexico, would be the very first instance of the kind of incorporating an Indian race, for more than half of the Mexicans are Indians, and the residue is composed chefly of mixed blood. I protest against such a union as that: Ours, sir, is the government of the white man. The greatest misfortances of Span-ish America are to be traced to the fatal error of placing these colored races on an equality with the white race. That error de-stroyed the social arrangement which formed the basis of society. The Portugese and ourselves have escaped-the Portugese at lea The Portugese and ourselves have escaped—the Portugese at least to some extent—and we are the only people on this continent which have made revolutions without being followed by anarchy. An eye it is professed and talked about to erret these Mexicans into a territorial government, and place them on an equality with the people of the United States. I protest utterly against used a project. Sir, it is a remarkable last, that in the whole history of man, are any knowledge extends, there is no instance whatever of any civilized colored race being civilized colored race being controlled to the property of the color of the property of t

the human family is composed of these races. And even in the sa-vage state we scarcely find them any where with such government. vage state we scarcely ind them any where with siden government, except it be our noble savages—for noble I will call them. They for the most part had free institutions, but they are casily sustained amonst a savage people. Are we to overlook this fact? Are we to associate with ourselves as equals, companions, and fellow-citizens, the Indians and mixed race of Mexico? Sir, I should consider such a thing as fatal to our institutions.

The section is against which Lacingord wears, that it would be

The next two reasons which I assigned, were, that it would be conflict with the genius and character of our institutions, and subversive of our free government. I take these two together as they are so intimately connected; and now of the first—to hold

cieo in subjection.

Mr. President, there are some propositions too clear for argument; and before such a body as the Senate, I should consider it a loss of time to undertake to prove that to hold Mexico as a sub-jected province would be hostile, and in conflict with our free po-pular institutions, and in the end subversive of them. Sir, he who knows the American constitution well—he who has duly studied its character—he who has looked at history, and knows what has its character—he who has looked at history, and knows what has been the effect of conquests of free states invariably, will require no proof at my hands to show that it would be entirely hostile to the institutions of the conatry, to hold Mexico as a province—
There is not an example on record any free state even having attempted the conquest of any territory approaching the extent of Mexico without disastrous consequences. The nation conquered have in time conquered to conquery dy destroying their liberty. That will be our ease, sir. The conquest of Mexico would add so vast an amount to the patronage of this go-Mexico would add so vast an amount to the patronage of this go-vernment, that it would absorb the whole power of the States in the Union. This Union would become imperial and the States meer subordinate corporations. But the cvil will not ead there. The process will go on. The same process by which the power would be transferred from the States to the Union, will transfer the would be transferred from the States to the Uuion, will transfer the whole from this department of the government (1 speak of the le-gislature) to the Executive. All the added power and added pa-tronage which conquest will create, will pass to the Executive.— In the end you put in the hands of the Executive the power of conquering you. You give to it, sir, such splendor, such ample means, that, with the principle of proscription which unfortunately prevails in our country, the struggle will be greater at every presidential election than our institutions can possibly endure. The end of it will be, that that branch of the government will be-come all-powerful, and the result is inevitable—anarchy and depotts. It is at certain as that I am this day addressing the Se-potts.

Sir, let it not be said that Great Britain furnishes an example to the contrary—that she holds provinces of vast extent of population without materially impairing the liberty of the editizen, or exposing her to anarehy, confusion, or corruption. It is so: but what is the explanation? Of all governments that ever existed allording any protection whatever to liberty, the English government fair transcends them all in that respect. She can bear more patronage in proportion to her population and wealth than any government of that form that ever existed, any, to go farther, than can despotism in its most absolute form. I will not go into the philosophy of this; that would take me farther from the track than I desire that the state of the state the contrary-that she holds provinces of vast extent of population may have exceeded, and disk-exceed, the British government in its power for conquest; but no people ever did exist, and probably never will exist, with such a capacity for conquest as that people. But the capacity of Rome to hold subjected provinces, was as nothing compared to that of Grest Britain, and hence, as soon as the Roman power passed from Huly beyond the Adriatic on one side, and the Alpson the other, and the Mediterranean, their liberty fell prostrate—the Roman people because a rabble—corruption. Soon we see England with dependent provinces of visitly coatter retriviagi a citain, and probably not less; in conduction—I but not not continued to the conduction of the conduction o territorial extent, and probably not less in population—I have not examined—we see her going on without impairing personal liberty,

or exposing the government to violence or anarchy. Yet the English have not wholly escaped. Although they have retained their liberty and have not fallen into anarehy and despotism, yet we be-hold the population of England crushed to the earth by the superincombent weight of dobt. Reflecting on that government, I have often thought that there was only one way in which it could come often thought that there was only one way in which it could come to an end—that the weight of the superstructure would crush the foundation—that the wealth accumulated, in part by these very conquests, by the higher classes would crush the laboring masses conquests, by the inguer classes would crush the laboring masses below. But has she obtained indemnity from all her subjected pro-vinces? On the contrary, instead of drawing the means of sup-porting herself from them, has she not been compelled to resort to the labor of her own population to hold them in subjection? And has she not thrown a burden upon them, which, with all their in-dustry and skill—with all their vast accumulation of capital and oussign and said—with all their vast accumulation of capital and power of machinery, they are incapable of bearing without being reduced to poverty? Take even her earliest and nearest conquest—the neighboring island of Ireland—is it not to this day a source of heavy expense, and a burthen to her, instead of a source of re-

But while the English government has such vast power of hold-But while the English government has such vast power of hold-ing subjected provinces in subjection without impairing her lib-crty—without the exis incident to it, our government, of all free governments that ever existed, has the least capacity to bear pat-ronage proportionate to its wealth and power. In this respect the genus of the two governments is precisely the opposite, however much alike in their exterior forms, and their laws and customs. The cause of this difference I cannot undertake to explain on the present occasion, but must content myself by saying that it results rom its federal character and the nature of its conservative principles. Shall we, then, with these certain aid inevitable consequences in a government better calculated to resist them than any other, adopt such a ruinous policy, and reject the lessons of ex-perience? So much then, Mr. President, for holding Mexico as a

I come now to the proposition of incorporating her into I come now to the proposition or incorporating net now our Union. Well, as far as law is concerned, that is easy. You can establish a territorial government for every state in Mexico, and there are some twenty of them. You can appoint governors, judges and magnistrates. You can give the people a subordinate government. allowing them to legislate for themselves, whilst you defray the cost. So lar as law goes the thing is done. There is no analogy between this and our territorial governments. Our terno analogy between this and our territorial governments. Our ter-ritories are only an offset of our own people, or foreigners from the same regions from which we came. They are small in number. They are meapable of forming a government. It would be incon-venient for them to sustain a government, if it were formed; and they are very much obliged to the United States for undertaking the trouble, knowing that on the attainment of their majority— when they come to manhood—at twenty-one—they will be introduded to an equality with all the other members of the Union. is entirely different with Mexico. You have no need of armics to keep your territories in subjection. But when you incorporate Mexico, you must have powerful armies to keep them in subjec-Mexico, you must have powerful armies to keep them in subjec-tion. You may call it amexation, but it is a forced annexation, which is a contradiction in terms, according to my conception. You will be involved, in one word, in all the evils which I attri-bate to holding Mexico as a province. In fact, it will be but a provincial government, under the name of a territorial government. How long will that last? How long will it be before Mexico will be capable of incorporation into our Union? Why, if we judge from the examples before us, it will be a very long time. It cland has been held in subjection by Fandand for even or given. has been held in subjection by England for seven or eight hundred has been field in subjection by England for seven or eight hundred years; and yet still remains hostic, although her people are of kindred race with the conquerors. A few French Camadians on this continent yet maintain the attitude of a hostile people; and never will the time come, in my opinion, Mr. President, that these Mexicans will be heartly reconciled to your authority. They have Castilian blood in their veins—the old Gothic, quite equal to the Anglo-Saxon in many respects—in some respects superior. Of all nations of the earth, they are the most pertinacions—have the highest zence of nationality—hold out longest, and often overe with the least prospect of effecting their object. On this subject also I have conversed with officers of the army, and they all entertain the same opinion—that these people are now hostile, and will con-

tinue so.

But, Mr. President, suppose all these difficulties removed. Suppose these people attached to our Union, and desirons of incorporating with us, ought we to bring them in? Are they fit to be connected with us? Are they fit for self-government and for governing you? Are you, any of you, willing that your States should be governed by these twenty odd Mexican States, with a population of about only one million of your blood, and two or three millions of mixed blood, all the rest being pure Indians, not equal to the Cherchese or Chochayalo. the Cherokees or Choctaws?

the Cherokees or Choctaws?

We make a great mistake, sir, when we suppose that all people are capable of self-government. We are anxions to force free government on all; and I see that it has been urged in a very revernment on any and I see that it has been digen in a very re-spectable quarter, that it is the mission of this country to spread civil and religious liberty over all the world, and especially over this continent. It is a great mistake. None but people advanced to a very high state of moral and intellectual improvement are cato a very high state of moral and intersection improvement are capable, in a civilized state, of maintaining free government; and amongst those who are so purified, very lew indeed, have had the good fortune of forming a Constitution enpable of endurance. It is a remarkable fact in the history of man, that scarcely ever have

30TH CONG.-IST SESSION-No. 9.

free popular institutions been formed by wisdom alone that have

Thus been the work of fortunate circumstances or a combination of circumstances, as succession of fortunate incidents of some kind, which give to any people a free government. It is a very difficult task to make a constitution to hast, though it may be supposed by some, that they can be made to order, and furnished at the shortest notice. Sir, this admirable constitution of our own was the result of a fortunate combination of circumstances. It was superior to the wisdom of the men who made it. I, and of its wice provisions the superior of the men of the men who have the good fortune long to preserve that government, few have had the good fortune long to preserve that government, few have had the good fortune long to preserve that government, few have had the good fortune long to preserve that government, few have had the good fortune long to preserve that government, few have, had the good fortune long to preserve that government, few have, had the good fortune long to preserve that government, few have, had the good fortune long to preserve that the shall be continue to invoke ourselves until our own system becomes a rim. Sir, there is no solit unden now for liberty. We had the good fortune to invoke ourselves until our own system becomes a rim. Sir, there is no solit inde now for liberty. We had the good fortune to comes up? In the conduct of the standard of the case formerly. In the early stages of our government the case formerly was how to preserve liberty. The great anxiety new, is for the attainment of mere military glory. In the one was forgetting the other. The maxim of former times was, that power is always stealing from the many to the few; the price out and watching from the many to the few; the price out and watching from the military was, how it could affect our free institutions of the proper out and watching from the right of theirty was never more ardent, but they have forgetten the tenure of heery by which alone it is preserved.

preserved. We think we may now indulge in everything with impunity, as if we held our charter of liberty by "right divine"—from Heaven itself. Under these impressions we plonge into war, we centract leavy debts, we increase the patronage of the Excentive, and we even talk of a crusade to force our institutions, our liberty, upon all people. There is no species of extravagance which our people imagine will endanger their liberty in any degree. But it is a great and fatal mistake. The day of retribution will come. It will come as certainly as I am now addressing the Senate, and when it does come, awful will be the reckoning; heavy the responsibility somewhere!

Mr. President, with these impressions. I cannot approve of the policy recommended by the Executive, nor can I, with my present views, appport it. The question is now, what shall be done? It is a great and difficult question, and it is daily becoming mere and more difficult. What is to be done? Sir, that question ought not be for me to answer. I, who have used every effort in my power to prevent this war, and after its commencement have done everything in my power to diminish the evil to the smallest possible amount. But offers to me or not. After saying that remote support the course recommended by the Executive, I will proceed to state that which I would propose as the best to be pursued. Well, then, I will say that there is not the smallest chance of our disentangling ourselves from this Mexican concern which threatens us so much—there has not been, in my opinion, the smallest chance, from the commencement of the war until this time, but by taking a defensive line, doing that now when the President recommending more own hands. To do this depends on our own volition, and not on the fleeting consent of Mexico. Sir, if time had been allowed to the Senate when the message of the President recommending war-was before them, if time had been allowed to the Senate when the message of the President recommending war-was before them, if time had been allowed to the Senate when the message of the President recommending war-was before them, if time had been allowed to the Senate when the message of the President recommending war-was before them, if time had been allowed to the Senate when the message of the President recommending war-was before them, if time had been allowed to the Senate when the message of the President recommending war-was before them, if time had been allowed to the Senate when the message of the President recommending war-was before them, if time had been allowed to the Senate when the message of the President recommending war-was before them, if time had been allowed to the Senate when the message o

to-day nothing can be done.

Well now, ir, as to where the defensive line should be at the present time, I do not presume to offer an opinion. I suggested a line at the last session. I am not prepared to say what would be the proper one at the present time, but I do say that we must vacet the central parts of Mexico. We must fall back, it choose to use that word, or take a line that shall cover ample territory for indemnity.

For my part, I am not for charging Mexico with the whole expenses of the war, but I would take ample territory, and hold it subject to negociation. Now, sir, I know it will be said that this will be as expensive as the war. I think I have said caough to show that that cannot be, that it will fall lar short of ir, but I will not

repeat the argument. But admitting it should, admitting that by no means concludes the argument, for the sacrifice of men would be infinitely less, and, what is more important, you will thereby be able to disentangle yourselves. That is the only way by which it can be done. You are tuck at present to a corpse! My object is to get rid of it as soon as possible. I look not to Mexico, I look to our own country and her institutions.

to get rat of it as soon as possione.

Thok not to Mexico, I hook to our own country and her institutions.

I look to the liberty of this country and nothing else. Mr. President, if we but preserve our liberty by a proper course of moderation, acting justly towards our neighbor, and wisely in regard to ourselves—I we remain quite, resting in an able and materly innetitivity, and let our destinies work out their own results, we shall do more for liberty, not only for ourselves but for the example of

ourselves—If we remain quiet, resting in an abbe and masterly mactivity, and let our destinies work out their own results, we shall do more for liberty, not only for ounselves but for the example of more for liberty, not only for ourselves but for the example of Sir. I lind I am becoming obt! I ulmost feel that I live among strangers. If I have expressed anything that is uncongenial to the feelings of this body, put it down as proceeding from the old associations of thirty or thirty-live vears ago, which are still enliging around me. Sir, this is not the first time that I have taken my stand against wor. When General Jackson recommended the statement of the sta

The residual, it in your opinion at lean the grown to it with any recognition of the work of the prospect of it diversible result. Let me say to the friends of the administration, if you go on and some accident does not meet you, if you go on in the prosecution of this war from year to year, you will find that it will overthrow you. Do you not see that as far as devocates. What party has been opposed to the re-creation of a great national debt? The democratic or republican party? Well, are, this war is involving you in a greater debt than the opposite and year, this war is involving you in a greater debt than the opposite are, this war is involving you in a greater debt than the opposite way. This very campaign, which you look upon so lightly, will be almost as great a charge upon the country as the debt of the revolution. What party has always been against the extension of the patronage of the Executive? Well, sir, you are doing more towards the extension of that pattonage, and, above all, the party processes to be most in favor of a metallic currency? And do you not see that syour treasury notes and stocks accurrency? And to you not see that syour treasury notes and stocks accurrency? And to you not see that syour treasury notes and stocks accurrency? And to you not see that syour treasury notes and stocks accurrency? And to you not see that syour treasury notes and stocks accurrency? And to you not see that syour treasury notes and stocks accurrency? And to you not see that so your treasury notes and stocks accurrency? And to you not see that she had always in favor of fire trade? Do you not see that the debts which have now been contracted, that you never will, during your time, have an opportunity of making any considerable reduction in the taril?

Sir, I know what is at the bottom of the course of policy which is recommended to be pursued. It is that pride of opinion to which we are all subject. No doubt it was thought that that course of policy would lead to the rosequences which were contended for; but it has not. But, sir, the alternative is pressing. You will have but the choice between that and worse, in my opinion. It is magnanimous and honorable to retract when a rourse of policy which has been pursued turns out to be worse, in my opinion. It is magnanimous and honorable to retract when a rourse of policy which has been pursued turns out to be worse, in my opinion. It is magnanimous and honorable to retract when a rourse of policy which has the pursued to the pursue of the pursue of the pursued to the pursue of the pursue of

Now let me say that in asserting that a defensete line was the only alternative to the plan recommended by the President, I have put out of the question the course which. I believe that the voice of the country line decided irreveeably against it; and that to keep it as the alternative would but render more extrain the adoption of the policy recommended by the Executive and in consequence the conquest of the whole country. Let me sayfarther, to my friends, on the other side of the Chaimber, for I regard them as such—cit is our good fortune to differ in politics here, without may such—cit is our good fortune to differ the politics here, without may such—cit is our good fortune to differ the politics here, without my standard to present feelings to be affected), may be a such as the present of the transition, not to terminate the war without some solitable indemnity. I do not allude to your voting on the ball recognizing the existence of war between the republic of Mexico and the United States. No one knows better than myself, that you voted for the bill with the view of fourishing immediate relief to General Taylor and his army—and not in reference to the relief to good and the put upon your vote. But after the bill passed, and the war was untorized, nost of you have continued to yote appropriations to prosecute the var with the object expressed of acquiring territory as an indemnity. Now, I must say, I cannot see how the two can be reconsided—how your vote to acquire territory can be indefined, and

the same time your opposition to the acquisition of territory as means of indemnity, when it is a keloweleged on all sides, that that is the only means by which it can be acquired. The people of the

ponted out by the Executive, and that the decision initis be made on made in mow; for if it be passed over until another session, the end will be, I doubt not, the subjugation of the whole country, thereby involving us in all the difficulties and dangers which must result from it.

Now, I have delivered my opinion with that candor and frame, easy, which, I hope, become my position on this floor. I shall now propose nothing, but if I find that I can be supported in these my views, I will undertake to raise a committee to deliberate, after consulting with those officers who are now fortunately in this city, upon the best defensive line that can be taken. If it should be fortunately adopted, we may not get pence immediately; the war may continue for some years, but be that as it will, we will ac-

complish that all-important consideration, the extrication of ourselves and the country from this entanglement with Mexico.

Mr. SEVIER moved to lay the resolution upon the table.

Upon this motion the yeas and nays were demanded.

Mr. CALHOUN said he hoped the motion would be allowed to prevail. It was perfectly right in the present circumstances that the motion should prevail. He would take it as a personal favor that no opposition should be offered to it.

Mr. SEVIER observed that it was, of course, to be expected that this speech would be replied to; but he was desirous that this debate should be suspended for a time, in order to proceed with the consideration of the army bill.

The motion to lay on the table was then agreed to.

### EXECUTIVE SESSION.

The Senate then proceeded to the consideration of Executive business, and after some time spent therein, the doors were opened, and

The Senate adjourned.

# WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 5, 1848

# COMMUNICATION FROM THE TREASURY

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a report of the Treasurer of the United States, accompanied by accounts of receipts and disbursements for the Post Office Department for the year ending 30th June, 1847

- Mr. HALE presented the petition of the heirs of Moses White, deceased, a revolutionary officer, praying to be allowed arrears of pension; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.
- Mr DICKINSON presented additional documents in relation to the claim of John Lorimer Graham; which were referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.
- Mr. YULEE presented the petition of the widow, and legal re-presentatives of Reuben Lassiter, deceased, praving compensation for a slave shot by a party of volunteers in the service of the Uni-red States in the Seminole war; which was referred to the Com-mittee of Clause.
- Mr SEVIER presented the petition of Albert Pine, praying that compensation may be made to a company of Arkansas caval-ry commanded by him, for horses lost or stolen while in the ser-vice of the United States; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.
- Mr. CAMERON presented the petition of Susan C. Randall, widow of Archibald Randall, lare District Judge of the United States for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, praying compensation for services rendered by her late hieland as Circuit. Judge: which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary
- Mr. CASS presented the petition of William Woodbridge and Henry Chipman, late Judges of the United States, in the Territory of Michigan, praying compensation for services not incident to their judicial office; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary
- Mr. DIX presented the petition of Mary M. Foot, widow of Mr. DIX presented the petition of nairy M. Foot, who we be Lyman Foot, late a Surgeon in the army, praying that provision may be made for herself and family, in consequence of the death of her busband, while in the discharge of his duty in Mexico; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.
- Mr. DIX presented the petition of Marvin W. Fisher, praying compensation for the use of his invention for charging percussion cap by the government, and the purchase of his patent right; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.
- Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, presented additional documents relating to the petition of Hugh W. Dobbin; which were referred to the Committee on Pensions.
- Mr. DICKINSON presented the petition of citizens of the country of Orleans, New York, praying that the franking privilege may be abolished, and that the rates of postage on newspapers be reduced; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads

# NOTICES OF BILLS.

- Mr. ASHLEV gave notice, that on to-morrow, he should ask leave to introduce a bill to alter and amend the Judicial system of
- Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, gave notice that on to-morrow he should ask leave to introduce a bill to provide for the survey of the month of the Red River, in the State of Louisiana.

# PRINTING OF DOCUMENTS

- Mr CLAYTON submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to:
- Resolved, That the Committee on Pinning he instructed to implie and report who one of the copies of the President's Message with documents, or or the reports of the Secretines and Dominater General with documents, have been furnished for the use of the Senate, and when the said copies may be furnished by the Pinnies.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. HUNTER asked, and obtained leave Agreembly to nonce, an interface the payment of equitable com-missions to the agents or attorneys of persons in whose favor awards have been made under three several treaties between the United States and certain foreign powers, which awards have been retained in the Treasury in payment of debts due to the United States; which was read the first and second times, by manimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Finance

Agreeably to notice, Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, asked, and obtained leave, to bring in a bill for the relief of the legal representatives of Martin Fenwick; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

# EMIGRANTS TO LIBERIA

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, from the Committee on Com-nerce, to whom had been referred the memorial of the American Colomization Society, reported a bill exempting vessels employed by the American Colonization Society in transporting colored em-grants from the Unted States to the coast of Africa, from the provisions of the acts of 22d February, and 2d of March, 1847, regu-lating the carriage of passengers in merchant vessels; which was read, and passed to the second reading.

# RICHARD S. COXF

Mr. ASHLEY, from the Committe on the Judiciary, to whom had been referred the memorial of Richard S. Coxe, made a report upon the subject, accompanied by a bill for his relief

The bill was read and passed to the second reading,

Ordered, That the report be printed.

# REPEAL OF PILOT LAWS.

Mr. DIX, from the Committee on Commerce, to whom had been referred the bill to repeal the act of 2d March, 1837, entitled "An act concerning Pilots;" reported it without amendment.

# IMPROVEMENT OF THE SAVANNAH PIPER

Mr. DIX, from the Committee on Commerce, to whom had been referred the bill making an appropriation for removing obstructions in the Savannah river, reported it without amendment.

### THOMAS N WEISH

Mr. RUSK, from the Committee on Revolutionary Claims, to whom was referred the petition of Thomas N. Welsh, submitted a report, accompanied by the following resolution:

Resulved, That the Secretary of the Senate do send the petition and other papers, ecompanying it, to the Secretary of War, with this report.

The Senate proceeded, by unanimous consent, to consider the said resolution; and the resolution was agreed to.

# THE SHIP LAMES MITCHELL

Mr. BRADBURY, from the Committee of Claims, to whom had been referred the memorial of George Harvey, made a report thereon, accompanied by the following resolution:

Resolved. That the prayer of George Harvey, agent for the owner, and consignees the English ship James Mitchell, ought not to be granted.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

# MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

M. Prouder: The Honeset Representatives have govern a bill making further pro-vision for surviving window of the soldlers of the Revolution; in which they require the concurrence of the Senate. The Prouders of the United States yeared and sprace the senalised half entitled "An art making appropriations to supply in part a self-enersy in the appropria-entitied" "An art making appropriations to supply in part a self-enersy in the appropria-tion of the Computer of the Compu

# REVOLUTIONARY PENSIONS

The bill from the House of Representatives making further provisions for surviving widows of the soldiers of the Revolution, was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and refer-red to the Committee on Pensions.

# THOMAS BHODES.

The engrossed bill for the relief of Thomas Rhodes was read a third time

Resolved, That this bill pass, and that the title thereof he as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

# ASSISTANT PURSERS.

The bill to authorize the appointment of Assistant Pursers in the Navy, was passed by informally.

# PRIVATE BILLS.

The following bills were severally read a second time, and considered as in Committee of the Whole:

Bill for the relief of Foxall A. Parker, of the United States Navy Bill for the relief of the heirs of Andrew D. Crosby.

Bill for the relief of William A. Christian.

On motion by Mr. SEVIER, it was

Ordered, That they severally lie on the table.

### BOUNTY LAND WARRANTS

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill to allow further time for satisfying claims for bounty lands. for military services in the late war with great Britain, and for other purposes.

Mr. SEVIER said he hoped the Chairman of the Committee from which this bill was reported would explain the reason which existed for its passage. This was a rule which had been generally followed heretofore. The arc, continued Mr. SEVIER, extensive tracts of land reserved for military bounty land, which land is exempted from taxation, much to the injury of the districts in which they lie. I think it is time that this system should be put an end to. There may be individual cases which are descring of special legislation, but we ought to pause, I think, before we adopt any general law embracing a class of eness which have been already provided for, more especially when we all know that doubtful claims of thirty years' standing invariably grow stronger and better as they grow older. Mr. SEVIER said he hoped the Chairman of the Committee

Mr. BREESE,-This bill was introduced to the notice of the Senate by the honorable Scnator from Kentucky, who is not now in his seat. It was referred to the Committee on Public Lands in his seat. It was referred to the Committee on Public Lands, and received an attentive consideration. I apprehend that the honorable Senator from Arkansas is entirely mistaken as to the scope and object of the bill. This bill is intended to meet cases where parties holding hand warrants have neglected to locate their claims. The law relating to such cases expired in July last, and persons who have applied since that time for their lands, have not been able to obtain them. There is no danger, as the gentleman supposes, in extending this law. We do not know the number of and it is merely proposed to after evolution and the late war, and it is merely proposed to after evolution and the fact warf and it is merely proposed to after evolution and the fact warf can discuss the proposed to the revolution and the fact warf and it is merely proposed to after evolution and the fact warf.

Mr. SEVIER.—My honorable friend is entirely mistaken in segard to this bill. There are brige tracted of hand in his own Straca and in Maine, which have been set apart to satisfy the claims of the soldiers of the last war. The names of the claimants are put into a box and drawn out consecutively, and the location is determined by such drawing. There are warrants, I admit, for revolutionary services, but warrants for services in the late war I have never heard of . A large portion of my State—millions of acres—have been set apart, as I have said, to satisfy these claims, and the there is no one who has not had his number to bring them forward had his patent. I would like to know why, at this late day, after thirty years have elapsed, we are to be called upon to pass a law to give them five years longer, and for what purpose? Why, simply to have their focations determined by drawing, which has already been done. This is the whole purpose. Mr. SEVIER .- My honorable friend is entirely mistaken in re-

Mr. BREESE .- The Senator is in part correct in regard to claims for military services in the last war. Patents were issued The soldiers had nothing to do with it. The whole matter was trans rue somers man nothing to do with it. The whole mitter was trans-acted in Washington city. But these are not such cases; these are cases of land warrants which have been omitted to be located un-der the act of 1812-13. I have had numbers of these warrants sent to me from Illinois; but as this is a bill which has been introduced by the Senator from Kentucky, who is not now in his seat, and who takes great interest in it, I hope it will be passed over for the present

Mr. SEVIER .- Only one word. If there have been land warrants granted under peculiar circumstances, I know nothing about them. Afl I have to say is, that if five years further are to be granted to the claimants, I suppose some good reasons can be given I would like to hear them.

Mr. ALLEN.—I would inquire of the Senator from Illinois, whether there is a report from the Land Office upon this subject. Mr. BREESE .- There is none

Mr. ALLEN.—I would like, before I vote for this bill, to see a report setting forth the facts in the case. It is something new to my ears-entirely so.

The question being taken on the motion for postponement, it was agreed to.

# WIDOWS' PENSIONS.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill to continue the pensions of certain widows; and no amendment being made, the bill was reported to the Senate.

Mr. DAYTON .- May I ask the Chairman of the Committee on Pensions to state what this bill is

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana.—It is, sir, for the purpose of continuing the pensions of certain widows who are very old, and in distressed circumstances. I trust there will be no objection to its passage. The measure has been recommended from the War Depassage. The measure has been recommended from the War De-partment, and has received the unanimous concurrence of the Committee.

Mr. DAYTON .- What is the bill ?

Mr. WESTCOTT.-It is the very same bill in substance as that from the House which was before the Senate a few minutes ago.

Mr. JOHNSON .- I was not aware of the fact, that they were the same until the circumstance was mentioned by the Senator from Florida. It would be more proper, perhaps, to take up that bill. I move, therefore, that this bill be laid upon the table. The motion was agreed to.

### ADDITIONAL VOLUNTEER FORCE.

The bill providing for the further prosecution of the existing was between the United States and the Republic of Mexico, was read the second time and considered as in Committee of the Whole. Mr. CASS said it was the disposition of the Military Committee

that this bill should be deferred until the ten regiment bill should have been disposed of. He thought it better to go on with the dis-cussion upon that bill, and let this be taken up after its passage. He, therefore, moved that it be laid upon the table; which motion was agreed to.

# WILLIAM B. SLAUGHTER.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill for the relief of William B. Slaughter, late Secretary of the Territory of Wisconsin.

Mr. DOUGLAS .- I think that when this bill was up the other Mr. DOUGLAS:—I tunk that when this only was up the other day, there was a slight misapprelension on the part of some Sena-tors, in regard to the true state of the facts. I will detain the Se-nate for a moment in an exposition of what I understand to be the law and the facts in the case. By the act of 1322, it is provided that the official duties of the Secretary of any Territory shall be controlled by such laws as are in force in each territory. I under-stand this to be the law now in force, and applicable to the official duties of the Secretary, or which was in force at the time of this transaction. Mr. Slaughter was Secretary of the Territory of Wisconsin, and as Secretary was required by law to be the disbursing officer, for the payment of moneys, for the necessary and inci-dental expenses of the Legislature of the Territory. The Secre-tary of the Treasury furnished him with a draft for \$10,000, to pay tary of the Treasury furnished him with a draft for \$10,000, to pay these expenses. I have reason to suppose, in fact, I think the evidence will be satisfactory, to the Senste, to show that the draft was deposited with Samuel B. Knapp, the Cashier of the Minetal Point Bank, under the authority and by the direction of the Legislature of the Territory. Search has been made for copiese of the documents by which the deposit was authorized and directed, and they cannot be found at the present time. But I am confident that such is the fact, and I think that the statement of the Comptroller of the Treasury is conclusive on the point. After stating the lact to which I have referred, the Comptroller goes on to say, that the claim arises from his having deposited under the discretize and he owhen't nave reterren, the comprising sees on to say, that the claim arises from his fur die depasted under the direction and by claim arises from his fur die depasted under the direction and by ney, Se. [Mr. Dottolas continued to read from the letter of the Comproller, in corroboration of this point.] It will appear, there-fore, by this statement, that Mr. Shughter, being Secretary of the Territory of Wisconsin, received this draft from the Secretary the Treasury, for the purpose of being applied to the payment of the expenses of the Territory; that he was required to be govern-ed by the instructions and laws of the Legislature of the Territory; ed by the instructions and laws of the Legislature of the Territory, that the Legislature of the Territory instructed and directed him to make this deposite with Mr. Samuel B. Knapp, the Cashier; that he made the deposite in obedience to the directions of the Legislature, which he was bound to obey. And we have also a resolution here which was read the other day, passed by the Legislature, specifically approving of the act, and saying that he ought to be remunerated for the loss. If there can be any doubt upon the spoint, I can have read several letters showing the facts as they joint, I can have read several letters showing the facts as they in which he states, that be into the fact of the control of the Certain of the deposite. The deposite was made in a specie paying board bank which was considered by every one to be perfectly safe. Mr. Slaughter made this deposite as he was required to do. The fact of the deposite having because the surprised of the deposite the wing been made was reported to the Secretary of the Shaughter made this deposite as he was required to do. The fact of the deposite having been made was reported to the Secretary of the Treasury here, who sanction the act by allowing the deposite to tremain without being withdrawn, and Mr. Slaughter went out of office, leaving the money where it had been deposited under the instructions of the legislature, and with the knowledge, and, therefore, it is to be presumed, with the consent of the Secretary of the Treasury. The bank afterwards broke, and the money was lost. Freasury. The bank afterwards broke, and the money was lost. I think, under these circumstances, there cannot be any healtation in authorizing the accounting officer of the treasury to settle this account upon principles of justice and equity. I do not deem it necessary to occupy any more of the time of the Senate in relation to a matter that is so clear as this.

Mr. WESTCOTT .- I did not intend to say another word

against the bill; but to let it pass, as, on examination of it I find it does not sanction the claim, but only directs its examination by the treasury officers, and payment, if they find it to be just and equitable. But the remarks of the Senaton who bas just taken his equitable. But the remarks of the Senatoi who has just taken his seaf, makes it necessary to say a few words. The act of 1792 has no more to do with this call than a statute of Missouri. It is an old, obsolete at respecting the territories them (in 1792) existing north and northwest of the Ohio, and has expired twenty-live years ago. (Mr. W. here, a the request of Mr. Bearner, referred to the act.) The secretary of Wisconsin held has office under the act organizing the government of that territory, called the Organic Law, passed in 1836; and under that law he was expressly required to account to the Secretary of the Treasury of the United required to account to the Secretary of the Treasury of the United States for these funds. [Mr. W. here read part of the act of 1836.] Again, if I understand correctly, he was not ordered to deposite this money with the broken bank, by the legislature, beposite this money with the proken balls, by the legislature passed resore it failed; but after the bank broke, the legislature passed resore to have the money lost, &c. When he butions asking Congress to pay the money lost, &c. When he made this deposite also, if I am correct as to dates, the sub-treasury law of 1840 was in full force, forbidding such arrangements.

Mr BUTLER. It was entirely competent certainly for the committee to have allowed or disallowed the claim; but the view I take is this: that it is better to refer the matter to a safe and I take is this: that it is better to refer the matter to a safe and proper tribunal, than that a committee should assume the jurisdiction and decide peremptorily upon the case. I was entirely in layor of referring the claim to the officers of the treasnry, for I have no reason to suppose, that under such reference any injustice will be done.

In reference to this claim, I do not see how the laws which have been referred to can have any bearing upon it. Then the only question is, whether Mr. Slaughter neted as a prudent man would have done't whether, at the time, and made and it decrementances, it was not to be regarded as a safe deposite? He acted as the degislature directed him. and it would be wong, sir, to compel him to pay the money.

Mr. DOUGLAS.—One word in reply to the Senator from Florida. I am still under the impression, that the act of 1792, was intended to apply to the secretary of the territory. I was aware of the existence of the act of Congress to which the gentleaware of the existence of the act of Congress to which the geni-man alluded. That act merely makes the secretary of the territo-yr responsible to the Secretary of the Treasury, so far as regards the settlement of accounts, and that such settlement was for be-made at the Treasury Department. It is true, that act also says, that the money-shall be expended by the secretary of the territory, but was the secretary to expend this money according to his own discretion without authority of law, or was he to expend it according to law? My understanding is, that he was to expend it according to he law of the land. What was that law? Why, if was the law that was in force in the territory at the time the deposite was made. Such, sir. I have always understood to be the practice, not only in Wisconsin, but in Iowa, in the neighborhood practice, not only in Wisconsin, but in Jowa, in the neighborhood of which I have lived for many years. I think, that the facts which I have airendy stated to the Senate, abandantly show that this officer acted in good faith as a prudent man should act. I think they show that he acted with great prudence and great cunton—that there was no fault, no neglect on his part, and that it was in consequence of neglect clsewhere, that the money was lost. I think, therefore, as a matter of justice, if we were voting lost. I think, therefore, as a matter of justice, if we were voting lost. I think, therefore, as a matter of justice, if we were voting lost. I think therefore, as a matter of justice, if we were voting to the state of th commencement of the government-you are authorized to make this settlement upon principles of equity and justice. Sir, is it to be supposed that the officers of the Treasury Department would concurrently have tendered their request to Congress to do justice to this man, unless injustice had been done him? I think, sir, we ought to give them an opportunity to do him justice

Mr. CASS .- I move that that bill be laid upon the table for the

Mr. DOUGLAS .- 1 presume there will be no more discussion

Mr. CASS .- Then I will withdraw the motion,

Mr BADGER and Mr CAMERON rising simultaneously,

Mr. BADGER said I think it due to this ease to make a single

Mr. CASS .- Will the Senator excuse me?

Mr BADGER. Certainly.

Mr. CASS - The Senator from Pennsylvania intends to discuss the bill. I, therefore, move that it be haid upon the table.

The motion was agreed to.

# TEN REGIMENT BILL

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill to raise, for a limited time, an additional milita

Mr. CRITTENDEN moved to amend the bill by striking out all after the enacting clause, and inserting the following :

Mr. CRITTENDEN moved to amend the bill by striking out all after the enecting clause, and inserting the following:

"That the Pessedent be, and he is hereby, authorized, should the exgencies of the arrangemen faither and the properties of the strength of the properties of the strength of the properties of the strength of the properties of the propertie

Mr. CRITTENDEN said: I do not propose, on this occasion, te say more than will be barely necessary to explain the amend-ment which I have had the honor of offering to the bill now before The bill which is now under consideration for raising ten ad ditional regiments, and the bill lying upon the table to succeed it authorizing the President to accept the services of 20,000 voluments. teers, have severally been reported from the Committee on Military Affairs in accordance with the recommendation of the Secretary of War, and are supposed to be necessary to meet the require-ments and exigencies of the present war with Mexico. The bill mems and exigencies of the present way with nexico. The out-now before you, sir, propose to raise 10,000 men, or ten addition-al regiments; and what I proposes is, to give to the government the necessary numerical force, only changing the form of its or-ganization from a regular to a volunteer force. And, I desire to ssign, very briefly, the reasons which have induced me to adopt this course

The bill before you raising the ten regiments, places upon Con-The bill before you raising the ten regiments, places upon Congress the responsibility of declaring, that under the present existing circumstances, it is proper and necessary that this force should be raised. Is there any such present necessity perceved by the Senate of the United States? I think not, sir. We have from the Secretary of War a discussion of various plans upon which it has been suggested that this war ought to be prosecuted. The Secretary, in discussing them, recommends the plan which he prefers, viz., to hold all those portions of Mexico which are now in our possession, and in addition to the force which would be necessary to with a view of marching over and conquering the whole country, with a view of marching over and conquering the whole country, but with a view of heir prepared to make occasional connects. with a view of marching over and conquering the whole country, but with a view of being prepared to make occasional conquests, should circumstances require it. This is his plan. Now is an ad-ditional force necessary, I would ask, to accomplish the designs of the Secretary, taken even in their utmost latitude? This, site would be a spection of a very indefinite character indeed, if by our experience it had not, in some degree, become a practical one. And what is our experience? What has been our experience in this war? and what have been the results of the war? General Sectot landed at Vera Graz with a force of about twelve

or thirteen thousand men, a considerable number of whom were volunteers. With this force he succeeded in taking the strong castle of San Juan de Ulloa and the city of Vera Cruz. Pressing forward with his victorious army, from Vera Cruz he entered the Capital, breaking in pieces and scattering to the winds with a force of less than 10,000 men, the armies of Mexico in his triumphant of less than 10,000 men, the armies of Mexice in its triumphant career. General Taylor, with a still smaller comparative force, at the battle of Buena Vista completely broke the power of Mex-ico, and so in every action would, no metter, sir, how dispropor-tionate in number, the American troops have been victorious.— And, sir, with what a small amount of force these achievements have been accomplished!

have been accomplished!

General Scott, as I have already stated, fought all those distinguished battles before the city of Mexico, and took the capital of the country with less than 10,000 men; and General Taylor with not more than 6 or 7,000 men, the most of whom were volunteers, gained several distinguished victories. Indeed, General Taylor, with less than 4,000 men, beat Santa Anna with an army 20,000 men, the sandbag services not by a subben strong, and that, too, not by a sudden surprise, not by a sudden burst of valor, not in a moment of enthusiasm on one side and panie barst of valor, not in a moment of enthusiasm on one side and panie on the other, but in a hard lought battle, continued from the rising to the setting of the sun, occupying, in fact, the whole of nearly two days. Thus, we see that not only are the sword and shield of Mexico broken and cast into the dust, but her government is dispersed and almost annihilated by our conquering army. She has no army, and scarcely can she be said to have a government; if consists of nothing more than disorganized factions. And if Mexico now lies prostrate before you, without an army or government—with here and there only a body of guerrillas, instead of an army to oppose you, what in the name of Heaven, if this is all the left to her, do you want with 10,000 more troope; Sir, since these conquests have been achieved, what has been done? Our army now reposing on the Rio Grande consists of three or flow thousand regulars. Nay, I will give the exact number, it consists of 3.937 regulars. At the battle of Buena Vista you had but 200 or 300 regulars, all the rest were volunteers. In place of that army less than 5000 strong, which constituted the force in the portion of the country upon that field of battle, you have now sir, a force amounting in the whole to upwards of 10,000 men. De you want any more there?

you want any more there?

I have already stated the force with which General Scott obtained possession of the Capital. How is it with him now, sit? He has been receiving additional reinforcements almost daily since that time, and there is now at Tampico and Vera Cruz together a force amounting to 32,156 men—17,101 of whom are regulars, and the remainder 15,035, volunteers. These statoments are extracts made from the report of the Adjutant General. General Scott's army has been almost trebled in number since be gained those battles. His force is larger by 15,000 men than when he landed at Veta Cruz. It is this much stronger than at the time when he conquered the whole region of the country between Vera Cruz and the Capital—and cannot he hold this country with the same force with which he conquered it? How, upon try with the same force with which he conquered it? How, upon the facts declared to us in official public documents, can any argument be found to prove the necessity of sending any further troops there? General Scott took possession of the city with 6,000 men, and of that whole region of country with not more than 12,000; and now, when he has with him 32,000 men, what more can he require? Suppose it requires as many men to hold a country as it does to conquer it, has not General Scott already doubled the number of his force since he entered the Mexican territory? Make what deduction you please on account of casualties and disease was unduction you prease on account or casuatties and disease-make a deduction of 3,000, and it leaves you 15,000 men for active service. Where, I ask, could 15,000 of such men as ours be em-ployed. What fortres is there that will not open its gates at the sight of our banners? There is not one that will not. And yet we propose to add 30,000 men to the force already there. Sur, these facts lead my mind irresistibly to the conclusion that for any purpose of conquest even, it is not necessary to have more men in Mexico. If you have done what you have with that number of men—if they have accomplished such victories, surely you have a positive rule established by actual achievement, as to what your

army ean do. Cannot double the number of force with which these victories Cannot double the number of force with which these victories have been gained conquer all Mexico? Why did you lead your armics from Vera Cruz to the Capital? Because there you expected to find the more vital part of the republic. Well, you have done all this, and still the cry is for more troops. You have had your forces doubtled, and you want to double them again. If you press this bill, sir, what will then be the strength of your armies in Mexico? What number of men have you there now? I have shown you that under General Scott there are upwards of \$2,000, and under General Wood upwards of 10,000 more, making an aggregate of 43,000 American soldiers now within the limits of Mexico.

Trencho only those who are in the heart of Mexico, leaving out those who are statored in California and at other distant posts.—
There are southward and westward of the Rio Grande from Jeto 48,000 Annuer and distant posts.—
There are southward and westward of the Rio Grande from Jeto 10,000 Annuer and distant and the California of the I reckon only those who are in the heart of Mexico, leaving out sissippi, from some cause or other have not yet been mustered into the public service, and are not included in the calculation. These regiments, added to those which you have the legal authority to regiments, added to those which you have the legal authority to send, will make our force there about 60,000 strong. Add these ten regiments, and you will then have 70,000 American soldiers beyond the Rio Grande, exclusive of those distributed throughout the United States at various posts, and in California and New Mexico. Add, again, to this estimate, the number that is proposed to be raised by the other bill which has been reported to us, and you will have an army of from 90,000 to 100,000 men. Sir, can all these troops be necessary? Does not this estimate startle the ears of Senators? I confess, for one, that I have been greatly startled, while reading the reports from which I have gathered these statements, at the vast army which we are now maintaining. And, another more startling consideration which ought not to be forgotanomer more starting consucration which ought not to be orgon-ten or omitted, is the enormous cost of every regiment. I am told by those who have entered into calculations on the subject, that the average cost of officers and men is nearly 1,000 dollars per man. This subject becomes, then, a matter of some conseper man, it has suppose to econies, inch, a matter of some consequence on Suppose our object be to conquer Mexico, and to determine the suppose our content of the suppose our content of the suppose our content of the suppose of the

I am perfectly willing to meet every possible contingency of ca-sualty or disease, but I confess I cannot foresee, at present, any contingency which will justify this increase. I am willing to au-thorize the President to call out whatever force he may require, under such contingency as may hereafter occur; but I would not authorize that force to be raised now. I am willing to lodge in the hands of the Executive the power, if an emergency demand it, to call out 30,000 additional men for the war; and I would have

such force, consist of volunteers.

such force, consist of volunteers.

Now, are not volunteers as good, have they not proved themselves as efficient as regulars? Is not Buena Vista a bright and burning evidence of their efficiency in the held? They may be called out for the same period of service, and are entitled to a discharge under the same circumstances, and are entitled to a discharge under the same circumstances, and the difference in costating entitled and accordingly give power to the President to call out the 30,000 additional troops, in case circumstances cruptive the adoption of such a course. In my view, there is no no-cessity for anything else than this to be done.

Gentlemen have appealed to the authority of information data in the contraction of the contraction of

cessity for anything else than this to be done. Gentlemen have appealed to the authority of information obtain-ed from officers of the army. Why are they here, sir? Why are they here reposing in Washington and all parts of the country, if it is not that they are satisfied that the war has closed, that no more buttles are to be fought, no more laurels to be won? They have given us ample evidence by the bravery which they have hereto-fore displayed, that they would not leave the field of their victories. if they were not fully convinced that nothing more remained to be done. There is not one who does not believe that that the war is at an end. There may be a few skirmishes here and there with parties of guerillas, but so far as armies are concerned that will parties of guerillas, but so far as armies are concerned that will stand before American forces, they are never to be seen again in Mexico. This is the opinion of every officer I have spoken with, and it is also my opinion. From all the lates to be gathered from official reports, we can come but to one inevitable conclusion, viz: that their armies are broken to pieces; that the country is given over to the control of factions; and that they can no longer make head against os. You have now an army three of 43,000 men. They are capable of going where they please, and staying where they please, in all the wide domains of Mexico. I therefore, can see no prease; in an Ine white domains of success. I therefore, can see no necessity for a further increase of force at present, even if it were the compact of the whole country that was contemplated; hu when it is to limited assaults only to which it is proposed to confine this war, there appears still less necessity for such increased provision. It is with these views, and with no feeling of captionsprovision. It is with these views, and with no recently or capsous-ness, from no spirit of opposition, and no disposition to scan the merits or conduct of this war, but simply taking it as it is, that I have been induced to offer the amendment which I have presented; a whilst I question the propriety of any additional force being now addled to our already large and increasing army in Mexica.

Mr. CASS then rose and remarked, that he wished to correct one or two errors into which the Senator from Kentucky had fallen and having shown from the returns of the Adjutant General that the number of men under General Wool was only 6,700, and not 10,000, as had been stated by the Senator, he was interrupted by

Mr. CRITTENDEN, who observed that he had fallen into an inaccuracy in summing up the forces under General Wool, on account of which he readily admitted a reduction of upwards of three thousand men, was to be made from the aggregate number, which he had stated.

Mr. CASS proceeded. The Senator had taken one position and elequently supported it, on which he begged to differ with hun. The Senator seemed to think that an additional force was entirely unnecessary, after the country had been conquered. But all experience showed they might gain a battle and get possession of a country without being able to retain it. Portugal and Spain were full of lessons upon this subject. To march to the capital of a country was one thing, and then to diffuse the furces over it, in vision positions, in order to hold the people in subjection, was another and quite a different thing. Our armies in Moxico had gained a series of victories as brilliant in themselves as honorable to the national character. But they were now to break up as a mass, to soread But they were now to break up as a mass, to spread themselves into various detachments, else it would be impossible to

themserves into various actachments, ease it would be impossible to hold the Mexican people in obedience.

They would now be exposed to popular tumults, and liable to be out down by detachments, and still the more, the further they would be compelled to march. Besides, it was important that the Mexican people should be convinced by the exhibition of our overwhelming force, that resistance was out of the question. What we wanted was to produce a moral effect upon the people of Mex-ico—to satisfy them of our strength, and their weakness, and to avoid fighting hattles by showing them, that if fought, they would

certainly be gained by us.

certainly be gained by us.

It was also important to note that the regiments now in the field,
dd not average more than eight handred and fifty men to each,
which was nearly three hundred short of the legal establishment.
This bill would not give more than 7,500 men to the army. The
calculation of the honorable Senator was based on the legal establishment. Now, no legal establishment can be kept full. The recerts of the Adultum General show that there must be a deduclishment. Now, no legal establishment can be kept full. The re-ports of the Adjuntat General show, that there must be a deduc-tion of more than one quarter, leaving the force on foot, less than three fourths of the legal establishment. This is the result of ex-perience. It is not probable, therefore, that the number of the re-gular army in Mexico, can be much, if at all, increased but by raising now corps, and establishing now recrutting rendezvous.

The honorable Senator in all his calculations looks to the nnmbers on the Statue book-not to the numbers on the returns continually recurring casualties of battle, of climate and of all the other circumstances which make war hazardous, are a perpetual drain, which keeps down the lorce far below the strength author-

With respect to the cost, the Senator or himself must be under a misaprehension. The cost of a regiment of Infiantry was about \$279,000 per annum. It was true that all the expenses might be charged upon the soldiers—bounty lands, Medical Staff, and Quarcharged upon the solders—bounty lands, Medical Staff, and Quar-termaster's Staff. But the actual cost of cach regument of Infini-try was the sum he had stated. A regument of Dragoons, of which they had three, cost something like S700.000. If he under-stood the Senator from Kentucky aright, he proposed to raise 30, 000 men at the discretion of the President, to be called out by him when he pleased. So far as regarded binned; he [Mr. Cass] was perfectly willing that it should be left to the discretion of the file perfectly witing that it should be returned to the distriction as sould be found from the form of the control o

Mr. CRITTENDEN said that the Senator from Michigan had introduced rather a new principle, which made war more alarming than ever. It was this: that it would require a much greater milstary force to be kept in a subjected country, than had been required to conquer it. The Senator said that there might be a sudden the computer is set in a compared to the computer is set in a compared to the computer is set in a compared to the compared at all times and everywhere, to suppress such institities. How many men would be required for that purpose? The gentleman had given them no information as to that. He had preserbled no rule, and there was no experience, military or eight, on such a subject. Now he [Mr. no experience, military or civil, on such a subject. Now he [Mr. CRITTENDEN] thought that it was pretty reasonable to suppose that those who had gone into a country, prepared for their reception, and had taken all its fortresses, and dispersed its armies, were able to hold that dismanded country, and defy that conquered people. Had the Senator attempted to show that what was were able to hold that dismanded country, and dely that conquer-ced people. Had the Senator attempted to show that what was done by 12,000 men could not be repeated by 30,000 ? It was admit-ted that Scott had shout 30,000 men-double the force which he had when he conquered and took the city of Mexico. Was there any pressing entregency now? What did the gentleman want? He was one of great intelligence and great weight in that body— construction with the supposed, with the peculiar views of the as the state of th Zacateeas? Well, was there anywhere to which the forces now in Mexico could not go? He contended that they had proof in what had been already accomplished, that the forces now in Mexico were adequate to any emergency.

co were adequate to any emergency.

But the Senator from Michigan seemed to think that they ought not to be content till they had force enough to net by infimidation and terror. Well, how many men did that require! He believed that neither the great Frederick nor any other warrior of an eigent times, had drawn any mele as to the amount of force by which a people could be terrified into submission. They had beaten the appears that they were not terrified—they had conquered them there were not terrified—they had conquered them they were not terrified—they had conquered them where they had doubted their forces they had control to the service of the they were not in solety unless they had more troops—more forces! It was not a military effect that the gendleman washed to accomplish, for he could not point out any military operation which could not be effected by the army now in Mexico.

But the Senator wanted an army large conognit to produce a great vation which could not be effected by the army now in Mexico.

But the Senator wanted an army large enough to produce a great moral effect. A religious effect could hardly be expected, it was to be supprosed, in a Catholic country like Mexico, by such means. It was a moral effect then that was intended, and to produce it, they were called upon to make this addition to the army. He (Mr. CRITLINDEN.) could not consent to take armies for such a pure control of the country of the count CRITENDEN), could not consent to take armies for such a pur-pose. He was willing to raise armies to fight battles, but not to produce a "moral effect." For all military purposes, they had ample forces now in Mexico. Vet. in order to meet any possible contingency, although he did not approched any danger, still be provide for any possibility of danger, and give to the Prince of the provide for any hospitality of danger, and give to Prince of the danger of realing out 30,000 volunteers. If in the exercise of his description of the danger of the danger of the The Sentor from Mexicon and the deemed necessary.

The Sentite of the properties authorized to call 30,000 men, and no expenses would be incurred

until they were mustered into the public service. But pass this bill for ten thousand regulars and there would be at once from five to six hundred officers under pay, and each of the men under pay, as fast as enlisted. Not so with volunteers. as fast as enlisted.

Again, which description of force was most easily raised? The Again, which description of loree was most easily raised? The volunteers of course. There was something in the name of volunteer that appealed to the hearts of their Iree born countrymen, with a force meth greater, than that which belonged to any argument or appeal employed by the officer recruiting for the regular army. If expedition was the object, the volunteer was undoubtedly the force which should be raised. The call on Kentucky for two regiments of volunteers was received there about the first of October, and the Governor, to give opportunity to those living in October, and the Governor, to give opportunity to those living in distant parts of the State to participate in the honor of serving the country, gave as long a period as possible for the rendezvous-about twenty days—and by the first of November, two full regi-ments embarked at Louisville for the seat of war. How long would it take, he asked, to enlist two regiments in Kentucky.<sup>3</sup> Every other gentleman could easily turn to bis own State and an-seer that question for binascie. He did not believe that two regiments of regulars could be raised in two years in Kontucky. Yet two regiments of volunteers were filled within thirty days, and ten or a dozen captains went away with tears in their eyes because there could not be assigned them places in the army. Such men there could not be assigned them places in the army. Such men went to the wars with spirit, courage and ambition. They had homes to which to return, and trusted to bring back good names. That was the feeling that sustained them and enabled them, with there could not be assigned them places in the army.

That was the feeling that sustained them and enabled them, with but little discipline, to accomplish every thing in the field. For all the reasons which he had urged, he therefore hoped that the amendment would be adopted in preference to the original bill. He had already said that he had no captious spirit about this hing at all; and he had avoided any allusion to parties. He did not wish to revive the controversy about the war. The question before them did not require it. Supposing the war to be ever so just—their desires of conquest ever so unlimited—in his judgment was the offered of the condition of the co

believed that they were needed.

Mr. CASS said he had but one remark to make The honora Mr. CASS said he had but one remark to make. The henora-ble Senator from Kentucky, with an emphasis not to be mistaken, talked about the moral effect to be produced by the presence of the army in Mexico. He (Mr. Cass) had used the term "moral ef-fect," but he did not say an effect of morality. He did not wish to produce a moral effect, as contra-distinguished from the physical to produce a moral effect, as contra-distinguished from the physical effect of their military operations. There was nothing at all in the remark to justify the little slur of the Senator, and surely it must have been apparent to all, that it was a great deal better to intimidate than to kill the Mexicans. The Senator had asked him how many troops were needed to produce that moral effect, and in reply, he could only say, that he intended to vote for the bill because it provided such an augmentation of the military force in Mexico as would be likely to produce that desirable effect. The Senator could hardly expect a statement of the force necessary to be given with mathematical precision.

Mr. CRITTENDEN only wished to know how the Senator would employ his additional force?

Mr. CASS said he would answer the Senator with a great deal of pleasure. They might suppose a case in which a nation might sit down, every man, woman and child to be killed. But it never sit down, every man, woman and child to be killed. But it never has been so and never will be so in Mexcuo. The national obstinacy will stop at a point far short of this. He believed that they gusts occupy the posts which they had taken, and extend their lines of communication as far as necessary—the great roads to the east and to the west. There were other great positions also to be occupied. No man could point them out who was not well acquainted with the topography of their country. There were some positions that appeared very advantageous; among these would appear to be Querettor, which stood at the junction of the joint line of communication between Northern and Western Mexico, and it would probably be difficult to open the communication between that region and country now held by us. As he remarked yesterday, the rich mineral region of Zacatecas and San Luis must also be taken and held. They must either withdraw or extend their operations; and remain inactive. The former course was out of the question, and the latter would leave an interminable war upon our hands. We must of necessity extend our operations—wisely indeed as circum-stances might require, but rapidly as the amount of force would

With respect to the remarks of the Senator with reference to the volunteers, and regulars, he had only to say that he believed that a mixed force was by far the best. He believed that the regulars submitted better to wholesome discipline and restraint, than men who elected their own officers. The honorable Sen-tor himself, knew the fact from his own experience. The enator himself, knew the fact from his own experience. The en-listed soldier became a part of the army, part of a great machine, and the principal duty he had to learn was the duty of obedience. All this was known and folt by every man who became a recruit in the regular army. Not so with the volunteers. The henorable Senator here did not volunteer with any such state of leeling, nor indeed, could it be so from the composition of both officers and men. They were formed of the very best material our country afforded. They had gone into battle and had fought, he was about to say, as men never had fought before. They would do stern darty to the utmost, so long as they were kept in motion, and would do it with the more slacirity as the danger was the more pressing. But the moment they stopped, then a spirit of dissatisfaction would begin to spread, increasing the more, the longer they remained stationary. The duties of the camp and the post, of police and all the routine of stationary service, was irlsome to them. And for the reason, they yielded more readily to the diseases of the climate than the regular soldiers. Every one knew, that the strict sub-ordination in which the regular soldier was held, contributed essentially to the health and efficiency of the army.

Mr. CRITTENDEN said be did not wish to continue the discussion—much less a controversy on the subject. He confessed that he did not yet undecetted exactly what the Senator meant by the moral effect which he intended to problee. Had the Senator ever sat down, and according to any rule of philosophy, esleulated blow many men with arms by their side, were necessary to produce the moral effect of which he ispoke, or how many men with arms in their hands, were needed for the same purpose? Did he not see that if war was to be carried on, or military objects effected in that way, how completely they were used to Hodde strong, in the holdenee of the Mcxicans? There they were 10 or 15/00 strong, in the landscape of the Mcxicans? There they were 10 or 15/00 strong, in the landscape of the Mcxicans? There they were 10 or 15/00 strong, in the landscape of the Mcxicans? When the control of 10,000 or oe, and, therefore, in order to construct the "moral effect," if mere numbers produced it, it must be necessary for the United States say to do that in order to construct the moral influence of the present overwhelming number of Mcxicans! No, it was not mere numbers that produced from a Charabasson. These were the great witnesses which produced effects to control. The say the produced effects of the United States that had produced the moral effect. They had prostrated Mexico. She felt it and knew it. She was now not the United States that had produced the moral effect. They had prostrated Mexico. She felt it and knew it. She was now not him produced the moral effect. They had postrated Mexico. She felt it and knew it. She was now no time of the United States that had produced the moral effect. They had postrated Mexico and 20,000 voluntary and faction. Her armies had been scattered—her authorities broken to pieces, and it was to protect themselves in the midst of such a people as that, that 30,000 men were pronounced insufficient, needing a reinforcement of 10,000 regulars and 20,000 volunters. The law at present gave the privil

Mr. CASS.—The Senator had asked if more could be raised by two sets of recruiting officers in the same places. But it was not intended to send the two sets to the same places.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, then said: It is not my purpose at this time to go into a discussion of the ten regiment bill, but to address myself especially to the unendment of the Senator from Kentacky. I have been surprised at the introduction of that amendment, I certainly did not expect it.

The centleman has not anticipated the ground upon which I would oppose the substitution of that namealment for the bill. He seems to rest it on the supposition that we are to oppose the substitution of volunteers for regulars, because regulars are better than volunteers. It take no such ground, and fortunately stand in a attitude in which I can have no prejudices for one or the other, having served with both. But, sit, the question lies deeper and far beyond the mere availability of the one over the other. And I would say to the honorable Sciator from Kentucky, who has seen his camping, and met the aged patriot, who wring this liand and enquired for his son who had died on the field of battle—when he net the mother who with tears streaming down her checks, put to him the same question, he will at once say, however necessary it may be to call forth the chivalry of the country to fight its great battles, let us not send such men, to be wasted in the mere daties of the sentine, by the attacks of disease and an unfrendly climate, whilst engaged in a service where neither patriotism is chiciar one glory to be yet the full time—for I use the terrar avoid draw and "imilitia" as synonimous—for its defence. But when we carry and "imilitia" as synonimous—for its defence being how the decisive operations merely are carried on, we have reached a point where regulars are the force which should be employed in the nine routine of the service, in which the duties are not sufficiently important to justify that disruption of society—that injury to the commercial interests of the country, which would result from bringing out men of that high clease, which the duties are not sufficiently important to justify that disruption of society—that injury to the commercial interests of the country, which would result from bringing out men of that high the duties are not sufficiently important to justify that disruption of society—that injury to the commercial interests of the country, which would result from bringing out men of that high t

The gentleman inquires why is it that we prefer regulars? I will answer: we prefer regulars, first, because they are cheaper; secondly, because they can be maintained in better discipline. They will maintain a better state of police. They will be healthier, and,

therefore, more effective in proportion to their numbers, for mere garrison duties. As long as you keep the high-brid gathelmen for the battle, they will bear any privation, submit to every restraint, and discharge to the utmost every duty. But do you expect that those men, who have broken all the endearing ties of home in order to fight their country's battles, will sacrifice themselves to the mere duties of the sentine!—will be content with the performance of the police of a garrison? Will they be suited better for the one, or as well for the other, as men of a lower grade in society, and more accustomed to such duties?

and the gentleman has minde an argument which I regard as more congenital to his feelings. He has alluded to the grallantry of the army, and the battles won by small forces, alfording evidence against the necessity of this increase of our force. But it is one thing to beat the enemy and another to hold him an subjection, and the argument of the honorable Senator, which rests upon the supposition that Mexico is conquered, I hold to be by no means indispitable. Is Mexico conquered? If sany part of it conquered sometimes, is of three kinds. Run and God forbid we ever should! The moral lecking of this country would never justify such a course. Another mode of conquest is hold a country by controlling its government. That is not suited to the genius of our country. We send no pro-consul abroad—no provincial army to direct the government of the country. We recognize as the great basis of all institutions, self-government. The other mode of conquest is by colonizing a country. We cannot do that. In norther of these modes, then, have we connected Mexico I hazard the assertion that there is more boottily against us in Mexico now, than there was at the beginning of the war. Mexico is not conquered.

But the bonomiale Senator asks, how will you employ this large force! Not to take cities. Not to fight battles. And I agree with but that our army could now march through all South America and defect. But we want this force to hold towns to the state of Mexico, who are ready to recognize our authority and give us supplies. These are some of the great objects to be obtained. To effect them large bodies of men will be needed. We must garxison our posts with forces adequate to make a sortic, if necessary, and not be shut up when any partizan chief chooses to come and sit down before their gates.

adwin before their gates.

Again, the army of General Scott, which achieved such victories before the city of Mexico, did it at a heavy sacrifice of blood, and that resulted from the want of force. The length of their lines was far inferior to that of the enemy's. Our gallant men fell under the converging fire of the floe, and therefore their blood was spilled like water. American courage, the skill of the ollicers, and the science of our incomparable staft, achieved those victories, and God forbid that they should ever be tested again at such a learnin scarifice. But that army in its present state is not combined, whilst there are many posts without a garrison, which we ought to hold.

Then, again, the resources of Mexico must contribute to the support of that army. We cannot allord to keep down anarchy in Mexico at the expense of our treasury. We must not depend on the petty amount of property that might be wring from the poor rancheros. Such a thing has never been contemplated by our government, nor practised by our ordiers. In Zearteeax you can flexe possession of the mint. In Potosi are the richest mines in Mexico; and if, as has been stated, the annual produce of these mines amounts to nearly twenty-five millions, and we claim the revenue which the Mexican government extracted from imming operations, and by protecting the entrance of goods into the country, luminh a new source of revenue from the duties on imposts, then we have something to support our armies, without touching private property, and the spenses of the war are borne by Mexico hereper.

which the Mexican government extracted from mining operations, and by protecting the cutrance of goods into the country, Jurnish a new source of revenue from the duties on imposts, then we have something to support our armies, without touching private property, and the expenses of the war are borne by Mexico herself.

The object now is, not to prepare to ight a battle, but to prevent a battle. The great object now is, to allow the ability which yet remains in Mexico, to establish ideard government on republican principles, to exert itself. We have taught Mexico salutary tessons. We have convinced them that they are not what they supposed they were, and I loope it will not excite a laugh when I mentou that supposition to have been that they were the greatest military power on the continent. For it was not until after the battle of Benera Vista that they began to doubt it. Let us now give them the supposed the object of the supposed to the suppose of the continent of the suppose of the supp

leave Mexico the power to creet again her nationality. If I believed that this additional force we accessively, I should yote against the bill. If I believed that regulars essessary, I should yote against the bill. If I believed that regulars of outer calculated to occupy garrisons, and that the gallantry of outer of the state of the state of the should decide this question, I would vote against it. But I believe the force to be necessary, and that these regulars are the proper force. The Senator from Kentacky spoke of giving a discretionary power to the President to call out 30,000 volunteers. Well, I doubt not the wisdom of the Executive—I doubt not the nerve to encounter that responsibility. I would be quite willing to make him responsible, and to rely upon the good feelings, particism and intelligence of the country, to sustain him

30TH CONG .- 1ST SESSION-No. 10.

ment will not be adopted.

in calling out these men for the present purpose, and still further in caning out these men of the present purpose, subsequently to the utilizate of the utiliz about one hundred and fifty fit for active only about one numered and fifty it for active service. I would re-call that regiment at once. I would return its members to their social and commercial duties, and substitute for them a regular regiment, equally able to perform, at all events, the duties they have now to discharge.

I did not rise for the purpose of making any extended remarks, and have been led to say more than I intended. I hope the amend-

Mr. CALHOUN said that there were two or three points of difficulty still unexplained in answering the objection to the bill. If he understood aright the Senator from Kentneky, during the period of the last fifteen months not more than about eleven thousand reernits had been enlisted

Mr. CASS here interposed and said that the Senator from Kentucky was in error. More than twenty thousand had been enlisted in the course of little more than a year.

Mr CRITTENDEN referred to the report of the Adjutant General, to show that the number was but little over eleven thou-

Mr. CASS explaised that that was exclusive of the new regiments which had been raised.

Mr. CALHOUN continued :- He would, then, assume the num ber stated by the Chairman of the Military Committee. If only twenty thousand men were raised during the last year, it was very evident that in the present state of the market—for the recruiting had taken up a great deal of the loose portions of society disposed to enlist—it would be very difficult, indeed, to raise, durdisposed to enist—it would be very difficult, indeed, to raise, during the present year, the men encessary to fill these additional ten regiments. Well, they had been told that this additional force was required immediately, when, in all probability, with the strongest recruiting force, it could not be obtained in less than six or right months after the passage of the bill, allowing the greatest. Yet they had been emphatically told that this force was success. Tet they had been emphaticany tool that this force was of immediately necessary, that not a day could be allowed here for debate. He did not doubt that regulars were the best description of force. He wished to know if the eight thousand included the entire deficit.

Mr. CASS replied that the general deficiency amounted to eight

Mr. CALHOUN .- That, added to the ten regiments would make 18,000 or 20,000 to be raised. Then the defect must be in the recruiting service. Eight thousand was very near the number which it was proposed to raise by this bill, and yet a deficit to that extent existed in the present force. The remedy seemed to be in the ereation of a sufficient number of recrniting officers—not of generals, nor colonels, not of officers of the higher grades, but oflicers suited for that service.

This business of war was a scrious one. War created the means of its own continuance. It called into being mighty influences which were interested in carrying it on; and few nations ever terminated war so long as they possessed the means of carrying it on. A single regiment could not be raised without the creation of new interests in favor of the war. If these views were correct, the cheaper plan would be to strengthen the recruiting service, rather than create additional regiments, officers and all. The volunteers, if their services were needed, could be called out at once uniters, it their services were necued, count or causes one strong-the spirit and galantry which characterized the people, was not yet exhausted, notwithstanding the disasters of the war as far as deaths were concerned, and all the regiments had been thinned to not a superior of the perfect of the presented that the mortality had not been less than twenty her cent. — Yet recruits, he had no doubt,

could be still had plentifully. If, then, the Chairman of the Committee on Military Affairs wanted an immediate augmentation of the military force, he should ask for volunteers.

He (Mr. C.) was glad to perceive that the Senator from Mississippi (Mr. Davits), was not averse to a defensive hieaet the proper time. In his (Mr. C.'s) opinion, the present was the proper time. In view of that policy he would prefer regulars to volunteers. He objected, though, to regulars, because that force gave greatly increased patronage, and greatly added influence to the support of the war. He knew not how other gentleme fielt; but as for himself, he was coavined that the patronage of this government support of the war. The knew not now office genderment in thinself, he was convinced that the patronage of this government had gone beyond all bounds, and already exercised a most dangerous control over the deliberations of Congress. He felt the awkwardness of his position. He was utterly averse to pursuing this war for any view yet presented, even taking the strong view presented by the Secretor from Mississippi. He put it to that gentler man—was there any certainty of peace? And it not, where would the country stand? That was the question. It would be in a position worse than ever! Every step they took only plunged them deeper and deeper into difficulty. If his memory served hum, the Secretary of the War Department stared 70,000 men as adequate to conquer and hold in subjection all Mexico. Was that not the view of the Secretary? He could not at the moment lay his hand on the Report of the War Department, but if he had not correctly stated the views of the Secretary, any Senator could set the matter right. The Secretary then disavowed the plan of entire matter right. The Sceretary then disavowed the plan of entire subjugation. The Excentive disavowed it; and yet a force, according to the Senator from Kentucky, of ainety or one hundred thousand men was demanded. He could not believe that it was the intention of the Executive, because it had been again and again disavowed. But when he saw such a force asked for in the report the Secretary; when he saw in the President's message the dee ration which he had quoted yesterday, to the effect that he himself believed that, unless in a certain contingency, they must take pos-session of the country, and take the measure of indemnity into our own hands; he could not but think that there was a strong impression on all sides that the end would be to conquer and hold

If they could have the question first submitted to the deliberative consideration of that hody, and it were decided what was to be the proper course of conducting this war; if it were known whether they were to go on, or take a defensive line, they would then act understandingly. But voting and voting, without knowing what was ultimately to be done, threw him, and, he believed, every member of the Senate, into a state of great difficulty to determine how to vote

The question was then taken upon agreeing to the amendment proposed by Mr. Caittenden, and it was determined in the negative, as follows:

Y.E.A.S.—Meon, Budger Raksvin, Bull. Berling, Claube, Charlan, Green, Cric. Wittenber, Olymon, Govern, Chr. Budger, Mangam, Miller, Pearoc, Pielge, Spirance, Underwood, Ujahan, and Webster—B. Alfar, Adely, Adelson, Atherna, Bradhary, Russes, Butler, M.A.S.—Wess, A.R.S., Adelsy, Adelson, Atherna, Bradhary, Russes, Butler, Hannegan, Honter, Johnson, of Marchard, Johnson of Louisiana, Manon, Rusk-Sever, Stuppon, Tarary, Waston, Jan.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Md., moved to amend the bill by inserting in the fifth line of the first section, after the word "President," the words, "if, in his opinion, the exigencies of the war require

such a further increase of force." The amendment was agreed to.

No further amendment being made, the bill was reported to the

The amendment having been concurred in, the question was up on ordering the bill, as amended, to be engrossed and read a third

It was suggested that the bill might be ordered to be engrossed, and the question on its passage deferred until to-morrow

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time. On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# THURSDAY, JANUARY 6, 1848.

Mr. TURNEY presented the credentials of the Hon. Dixon H. Lewis, elected a Senator of the United States, from the State of Alabama, for the term of six years from the 4th day of March

Mr. Lewis having taken the oath of office, which was administered to him at the hands of the Vice President, took his seat in the Senate.

### REPORTS FROM DEPARTMENTS.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a report of the Post Master General, made in compliance with a resolution of the Senator, in relation to the claim of Jamison and Williamson.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a report of the Secretary of State, made agreeably to law, showing the number and compensation of elerks in the Department of State; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a report of the Secretary of War, made in compliance with a resolution of the Senate, transmitting the military reports which have been received by him from the Commander of our Army in Mexico since the transmission of his annual report.

### SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a report of the Board of Regents of the Smithsonian Institution, made agreeably to law, showing the operations, expenditures and condition of the Institution.

On motion by Mr. BREESE, it was

Ordered, That it be printed.

# PETITIONS.

- Mr. ALLEN presented the petition of citizens of Ohio. praying a reduction of the rates of postage and the discontinuance of the franking privilege; which was relerred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.
- Mr. ALLEN presented the petition of citizens of Ross county, Ohio, praying the adoption of measures for the immediate termi-nation of the war with Mexico; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.
- Mr. ALLEN presented a resolution passed by the Legislature of the State of Ohio, in favor of the passage of an art granting a pension to Henry Johnson, of that State, which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.
- Mr. DICKINSON submitted documents relating to the claim of the legal representatives of Jethro Wood, deceased, to an extension of their patent for an improvement in the plough; which, with their petition on the tiles of the Senate, were referred to the Committee on Patents and the Patent Office.
- Mr. CASS presented the petition of Patrick Marantette, pray ing compensation for provisions and merchandize furnished to the Pottawattamie Indians; which was referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs.
- Mr. CORWIN presented the memorial of the New-Garden Monthly Meeting of Friends in the county of Chester, Pennsylvania, praying the adoption of poaceful measures for terminating the war with Mexico; that slavery may not be tolerated in any territory which may be acquired from that republic; and the adoption of measures for establishing a tribunal for the peaceful settlement of national disputes; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.
- Mr. WESTCOTT.—I would call the attention of the Senate to the fact, that at the last session we refused to print memorials of this description.
- Mr. CORWIN .- I do not remember what the action of the Se-Mr. CORWIN.—I do not remember what the action of the Se-nate has been on the subject of memorials from the Society of Friends. I think the Senator from Florida is mistaken, when he says that the Senate rofased to print memorials asking the atten-ion of the Senate to the subject of the establishment of some tri-unal for the subject of national questions. I did not know that he Senate had refused to print such memorials; nor do I believe hat any objection has ever been made to their reception or refer-nce to a committee. I think the Senator from Florida will find

that he is mistaken. The paper which I had the honor to pre-sent, is very brief, and the cost of printing will, therefore, be very small; and as I rarely trouble the Senate with anything of the sort, I hope this memorial will be printed.

- Mr. WESTCOTT.—I understand the general rule to be, that petitions of this character are not allowed to be printed without some special reason therefor.
  - Mr. SEVIER .- Does this petition ask the action of Congress?
- Mr. CORWIN -- lt docs.
- Mr. SEVIER.-I move then, that it be referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.
- Mr. CORWIN .-- I have made that motion already
- The PRESIDING OFFICER .- The question is on the motion
- Mr. SEVIER.—It appears to me it is entirely unnecessary to print this document until we have a report upon it. I would suggest to the Senator from Ohio, that printing is somewhat expensive, and we have not a great deal of money to waste. If we open the door to printing of petitions of this sort we shall incur a very serious expense.
- Mr. CORWIN.-If it is reduced to a question of economy, I shall be compelled to withdraw my motion to print, and content myself with the reference.

The petition was referred.

- Mr. ATCHISON submitted documents in relation to the claim of Margueretta Reneau, praying compensation for a section of land, reserved to her under the treaty of 1825; which were referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs.
- Mr. YULEE presented resolutions passed by the Legislature of the State of Florida, in favor of the passage of an act to author-ize the reimbursement of the expenses incurred by the Florida Volunteers previous to being mustered into the service of the Uni-ted States; which were referred to the Committee on Military
- Mr. BUTLER presented a resolution passed by the Legislature of the State of South Carolina, in favor of the establishment of a branch mint at Charleston, in that State; which was referred to the Committee on Finance.

On motion by Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Joseph De la Francia, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

On motion by Mr. CORWIN, it was

Ordered, That the petition of the representatives of Moses Shepherd, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Roads and Canals.

On motion by Mr. BRADBURY, it was

Ordered, That the petition of William Miller, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Pensions

On motion by Mr. BRADBURY, it was

Ordered, That the petition of the heirs of William Frost, and the petition of the heirs of Nathaniel Leavett, on the files of the Senate, be severally referred to the Committee on Revolutionary

On motion by Mr. YULEE, it was

Ordered, That the petition of John M. Melntosh, assignee of John Clute and Jacob Hart, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee of Claims

On motion by Mr. DIX, it was

Ordered, That William H. Hildreth have leave to withdraw his petition and papers.

# EDITORS OF THE UNION.

Mr. MASON submitted the following resolution for considera-

Resolver. That the Editors of the Union, a newspaper printed at Washington, and who were late printers to the Senate, be hereafter entitled to the same access to the floor of the Senate, which is now extended to others who were former like printers.

#### DRY DOCK

# On motion of Mr. YULEE, it was

Ordered, That the message of the President of the United States of the 23d December, 1847, with the report of the Secretary of the Navy accompanying the same, and the communications and papers on file relating to the Dry Docks at Pensacola, Philadelphia, and Kittery, be printed for the use of the Senate.

### CLAIMS UNDER THE TREATY WITH MEXICO

Mr. JOHNSON of Leuisiana, by unanimous consent, asked and obtained leave to brug in a resolution providing for the payment of certain claims under the treaty hetween the United States and times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

### SURVEY OF THE MOUTH OF RED RIVER.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. JOHNSON, of La., asked and obtumed leave to bring in a bill to provide for a survey of the mouth of Red River, in the State of Louisians, which was read the first and second times, by manimous consent, and referred to the Com-

#### PRINTING

Mr BRADBIEN, from the Committee on Penning, who were meanted by a resolution of the Senate "to inquire and reterior to the service of the Pensident's message, with documents, or of the reports of the Persident's message, with General, with documents, have been firmished for the use of the Senate, and when the said copies may be furnished by the adpentiters," submitted a report necompanied by a communication from the Printers of Congress on the subject.

#### WASHINGTON MONUMENT.

Mr. MILLER, from the Committee on the District of Columbia, to whom was referred a resolution authorizing the erection on the public grounds in the city of Washington, of a monument to George Washington, reported it without amendment.

### ASSISTANT PURSERS.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill providing for the appointment of Assistant Pursers in the Navy.

Mr. BADGER moved to amend the bill by striking out the words "by warrant," and inserting "by and with the advice and consent of the Senate." The station was an important one, and he thought it better that they should be commissioned efficiency, as it would secure the services of a better class of persons than if they were warrant officers.

Mr. YULEE observed that he desired to offer an amendment to the bill, and to enable him to obtain some information before doing so, he moved to lay the bill upon the rable. The motion was agreed to.

# ADJOURNMENT OVER

On motion, it was

Ordered, That when the Senate adjourn, it be to Monday next

# BOUNTY LAND CLAIMS

The Senare resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill to allow further time for satisfying claims for bounty lands for military services in the late war with Great Britain, and for other purposes.

Mr. UNDERWOOD.—I was not in my seat when the motion was made the other day for the post-pourement of this bill; but I have been informed of the objections that were made to the bill, and I rise for the jumpses of obviating them. I understand that my friend over the way doubted whether warrants for bounty lands to soldiers in the late war with Great Bitatin, are in existence. My information on this subject is based not only upon the statutes, but upon the statutes, but upon the statutes, and the Commissioner of Pensions, and the Commissioner of the Land Office. The bill upon your table is but a trans-ript of former laws upon the subject. The provisions of the general law were renewed in 1842, and continued for five years, and that act consequently expired in the past year. (He read from the net and also from communications received from the Commissioner of Pensions, and the Commissioner of the Land Ofes, to do, is to prevent the statute of limitations from applying against the soldiers of the late war, and to grant them an additional five years for producing satisfactory proof of their claims and receiving lands.

Mr. SEVIER repeated his objections to the passage of the bill.

Pass such a law as this, he said, and no man knews how many old claims will be brought forward, which have been heretofore rejected by Congress. I believe we bave already paid more land elaims for military services than we even had troops in the field. I hope, at least, that some data will be procured from the Land Office, to show how many claims are yet outstanding. This bill, I suppose, is to pay all the old Virgima claims.

Mr. UNDER WOOD.—I will say to my friend over the way, that I have no objection whatever to procure all the information which he seems desirons of examining before voting upon the bill, but I take occasion now to correct a mistake into which he has, oo doubt naminentionally, falten. He said that the provisions of this bill, he supposed, would satisfy all the Virginia chaims. That is a mistake. Virginia had two regiments in the revolution—as the intental regiment was concerned, they were to receive lands at the hands of this government, but so far as the State line of Virginia returns the processing the same provision made for them here.

Mr. RUSK.—I have the information which is desired by the Sonator from Arkansas in a letter from the Commissioner on Pen-

The letter was read

On motion of Mr. CASS, the bill was laid upon the table for the purpose of proceeding with the special order of the day.

### ENLISTMENT OF VOLUNTEERS

Mr. BADGER, from the Committee on Military Affairs, reported a bill to promote the filling up of vacancies in the volunteer carps now in the service of the United States; which was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and considered as in Committee of the Whole.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, moved to amend the bill so as to extend its provision to the first and second regiment of dragoons, and the regiment of mounted riflemen, in addition to the volunteer regiments; which was agreed to.

The bill was then reported to the Senate, and the amendment concurred m.

Ordered, That the bill be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent.

Resolved. That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered. That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

# TEN REGIMENT BILL.

The bill to raise, for a limited time, an addinonal military force, was read a third time.

The question being on the passage of the bill.

Mr. HALE saul. Feeling compelled from my convictions of duty to take a course in reference to this ball, in which I am ware very tew Senators will entirely coincide. I have thought, humble as it esphere in which I move, that it was due to those who placed me in the position I occupy, to let my vote upon the bill go out accompanied with the reasons which have induced me to take the course which I am about to take. I would have been glad if older and abler Senators had favored the Senate with their views in opposition to this bill. I would have been glad if the action of the course which I am about to take. I would have been glad if the action of the course which I am about to take. I would have been glad if the action of the senate and performents, and when the decements coming from the several departments, and when the decements coming that been placed before us, so that we might have had all the light had been placed before us, so that we might have had all the light had been placed before us, so that we might have had all the light had been placed before us, so that we might have had all the light had only the forms of legislation, and become a law without having those lights before us, I have thought it necessary to treepass briefly upon the time of the Senate, in order to express the views which Lentertain. It may be said that I do not stand much in need of high, because I have already declared that I stand here prepared to vate against the appropriation of a single dollar beyond the simple amount—as I have before suggested—which will be sufficient to bring home your troops by the shortest and cheapest route. Entertaining these views, before entering upon the decession of the bill, I want to say a word in regard to a sentiment which I have heard avowed here, and elsewhere, and it is—that when the country is engagned in war we lose all discretion, we have nothing being made to or, particisism—patrioism ear on a product the sumption of the war—that there is no patriotism any here else, and that the administra

States has denounced as treason, the opposition of Congress, although his mode of prosecuting the war did not accord with their convictions. I recollect but one incident in my reading of history which is analogous. It is stated by an English historian, that when Quene Elizabeth had summond her Parliament, and they which is analogous. It is stated by an English historian, that when Queen Elizabeth had summoned her Parliament, and they were about to organize for business, she sent her messenger to them with this royal mandate; she told them to be very careful she, "such things are allogether beyond the compass of your narwounderstanding." Well, our royal President did not follow exactly in the footsteps of Elizabeth. He did not question the understanding of Congress, but their patriotism. Now, let us see where this dectrine will carry us. Let us see to what it will lead. Suppose you should have an exceedingly bad and corrupt administration—mind, I do not say that this is such an administration, or that we are ever likely to have such an one. But suppose that such a thing were possible, and that the measures of the President such as the proposition were beginning to be heard from the people assembled in their primary meetings; that the tones of indignation at last penetrate the walls of the palace, and the President, finding that he could not stand against the united will of an injured people, what has he to do? Ought he to desist in his course of wrong-doing, or to retrace his steps? No, st, this is not the path to penularity; according to his new school sir, this is not the path to popularity; according to his new school sir, this is not the path to popularity; according to his new school of ethics and morals, he has only to go on and plunge the country into war, and, if he can manage so skillfully, as to involve the country into two wars at once, so much the better—so much the more glory for him; a popularity greater than that of a Washington, or a Jackson. This is the inference, the plain and unmistakable inference. War, instead of heing, as it is said to be by all writers on ethics or politics, the direct calamity which Heaven in its wrath can send upon a mation, is converted by this alchemy into a healing Bethbeath of the property of the darkest has may wash itself and be clean. Sir, I utterly don'the sounder greating the sumplex II there exergis a time when convention granting the supplies. If there ever is a time when opposition should be vigilant, scrupulous, watchful, noticing everything that is wrong, it is at a time when, through the acts of the administrais wrong, it is at a time when, through the acts of the administra-tion, the country is burdened with an unnecessary war. When the fruits of industry are consumed for the support of such war, and when we are surrounded by all the horrors which war brings in its train. If there ever is a time that should put men upon their inditrain. In there ever is a time that should put inch upon their men-vidual judgment, reflection, and responsibility, it is such a time; and such is our position now, and we are there by the unadvised, un-constitutional, and illegal acts of the President. I propose; I say, to do what I may, be field as it may be, to place the country right. I have never learned in that school of moral or political science by persevering in an unconstitutional and wrong course, we shall ever come out right.

And, sir, there is another view that is sometimes taken, which

And, sir, there is another view that is sometimes taken, which certainly does not accord with my own convictions, and appn which I wish to say a word or two at this time, (and I do it with deference to the opinions of able men about me,) and which relates to the course those should take who believe the war to be wrong, radically wrong. Why, I think I have heard an idea something like this:—The country is engaged in a war which is unjustifiable—we onless it is wrong to go on, we see clearly it is wrong—nevertheless we are now at war; and the President calls on us for supplies of men and money, and Senators say they will vote men and money; but by some sort of a process which escapes my comprehension they misming they are going to throw the responsibility on the soon, they imagine they are going to throw the responsibility on the President. I confess I do not understand how they are to escape from their due share of responsibility, they must share the respon-sibility with the President. I et the character of the act be what it may. If the war be wrong, put an end to it at once. I have no doubt the President will be exceedingly gratified with opposition will here to be a will here not leage in a function or opposition to the organ of the administration. The President would care little for the opposition of the whole world if it were an opposition of this kind. It is very like Sam Weller, who happened to find hins-self in prison, and a persor whom he met was bewailing the mis-self in prison, and a persor whom he met was bewailing the mis-self in prison, and a persor whom he met was bewailing the mis-self in prison. forting of having a moustain pounds lett to him by will, when a cremmstance had been the occasion of his imprisonment. "I wish," exclaimed Sam, "all my enemies would try to ruin me in that way," Just so with the President. You tell him he is wrong, while at the same time you vote him all the men and money that he asks you for.

Believing that the cause of this war is radically wrong. I hold it to be the first, the plainest, and the simplest duty of those who think as I do, to withhold supplies and compel the President to do what we believe to be right. Believing there is a strict and pertinent analogy between the course to be pursued by Congress, and that which is taken by the British Parlament, I consider that the course of those who think the war wrong, is plain and clear, and admits of no mistake. Upon a question of voting supplies, I think the very lirst thing to be inquired into by Congress, is, whether the object for which the supplies are demanded is a correct and legitimate object. If it be determined that the object is a proper one, let the supplies be granted; if otherwise, withhold them.—The control of the property of the course of conduct which would sustain him in his unconstitutionally and illegally, I cannot understand the cause or propriety of that course of conduct which would sustain him in his unconstitutional act. Believing that the cause of this war is radically wrong, I hold

Believing, then, that the question of this war is a question which ought to be discussed: that it lies at the very bottom of the question of supplies, I will proceed to give my views to the Senate and the country, of this war. And, as I suggested the other day, in the tew remarks which I had the honor to submit, I believe that the few remarks which I had the honor to submit, I believe that the cause of the war lies a little deeper than any that has been assigned by those who have expressed their opinions upon the subject. I believe that the question, whether it arose from the march of General Taylor to the Rio Grande, or whether any of those incidental and temporary movements were the immediate enuse of the war, is entirely irrelevant. The eause, sir, lies deeper than any of these; and when I speak of the causes of the war, permit me to say, that I do it with no desire to introduce exciting or an expectation of the scans of the way to be a supervised the subject to the cause of the way. me to say, that I do it with no desire to introduce exciting or an gry discussion, or to arouse unpleasant feeling; but having a duty to perform here. I must do it fearlessly—I must do my duty as an American Senator. Gebbe as it may be. And when we speak of the causes of this war, I must arow my conviction, beyond a ea-uil or a doubt, to be, that it heis in the arowed policy of the Amevil or a doubt, to be, that it lies in the avowed policy of the American Government—a policy which was avowed four years ago—to make the extension of human slavery one of its primary motives action. And when I say this, let me be understood. I refer to the principle avowed in the diplomatic correspondence which proceeded the annexation of Texas to the United States. And permit me to say, that in approaching this question, I do it with a desire the content of the cont hoard. There was no concealment. They came out boldly. Their course was very different from that of a set of men we have among us, called Northern men with Southern principles—men who proas, conient Northern men with sounces principles—men with pro-fess to be against slavery, but who are nevertheless onlise do in the slaveholding interest; roady to do slavery's bidding. That was not the case with the men to whom I have releared. They came out boldly and avowed the object at which they aimed, and the means by which they proposed to attain it. Let this ever be said to their

In that correspondence the objects of this government are as evident as much beyond controversy as anything can possibly be, No, Sirl. If the ingers of a hand were sent from the throne of Eternal light, to write this upon the wall over your head, it would not flash conviction more readily to the mind than is done by a perusal of that correspondence. I will not go through the whole of it, but will content myself with sending to the Clerk and asking him to read an extract from this letter:

DEPARTMENT OF STATE, Bashington, Jugust, 8, 1843.

A private letter from a citizen in Maryland, then in London, contains the

Still.—A private letts from a citizen in Maryand, here in Louoga, communities of following passing—some ratified to the finites confidence, that there is more house. In Louisian of equal to the short contract of the same large states of the same large states of the same large states of Staves in Texas, to negotiate with the British for Staves in Texas, which is that these shall be organized a company in England of Staves in Texas, which is that the mits advanced table by and over a same near the property of the sholtion of staves; and I am authorised by the Texas muriet to avio to grain the same large states of the sholtion of staves; and I am authorised by the Texas muriet to avio to grain of the subsets of the same large states of the

This proposition, it will be seen, was exceedingly simple and easy to be understood. It announced, not that there was a scheme on loot amongst a set of financial politicians, to decoy away the slaves, not to steal them—nothing of that sort—but a proposition had been entertained, by which the government and slaveholders of Tesas agreed to abois blavery, and for this agreement on their part, it was said that a "company had agreed to advance a stipulated price. What right had our government to interfere? The Secretary says "a movement of this sort cannot be contemplated in silence." I agree it should not; but what should every American heart have said when it was found that a scheme of this kind in silence." I agree it should not; but what should every almentation heart have said, when it was found that a scheme of this kind was on foot? Should they not have burst out in thankful aspirations to Almighty God, that such a scheme of benevolence as that, had even been thought of. I think the Secretary says well in saying that they could not look on in silence.

The Secretary further says, "such an attempt upon any neighboring country would necessarily be viewed by this government with very deep concern."

Certainly, they should have looked on with deep concern

deep as that with which affection warches by the side of dying love, now gathering lope from the symptoms, that the smiles of health will again smooth the check of love, and then trembling lest the pall of despair should settle on our hopes. Again, he says, "it cannot be permitted to succeed without the

Aggin, he says, "it cannot be permitted to succeed without the most strenous ellorts on our part to arrest a calamity so serious to every part of our country"—a calamity so serious to every part of the conntry—so serious to New Hampshire, to Massachusetts, and the other New England States. And, sir, this bill, which is now before you, is one of the measures which are to be adopted to redeem the pledge thus made. In the same letter it is said:

"The establishment, in the very mulst of our slavelinding States, of an indepen-dent government, folloiding the existence of slavery, and by a people born, for the most part, among us, reared up in our habits, and specking our language, could not fail to predoce the most antiappy effects upon both parties."

When I saw it formally announced by the government that it endld not produce any but "unhappy effects," I thought that I was mistaken in the reading. I thought it must be a mistake of the

printer, but I found that it was no mistake, that the announcement printer, our round tolar two on instead, that the amount cheen was really made. What a mistake then did the Pilgrim Fathers make in coming to found a colony in the Now World, where they might avoid the consequences of slavery. Had they not-slavery enough at home, that they bade farewell to everything that bound enough at norms, that they base larever to everything that domestime their hearts to the land of their birth,—the land where the longes of their fathers reposed,—and came over and founded the institution of American slavery? Was it for this that the May Flower sailed from the coast where slaver prevailed? Was it for this Those parties wandered from England to Holland, and from the coast where slavery is the sailed from the coast was the sailed from the sailed from the coast was the sailed from the coast was the sailed from land here? In heaven's name, was not England oppressive enough? One more extract, and I leave the letter:

"Few calamities could befall this country more to be deployed than the establish and a predominant British influence, and the abolition of domestic slavery in

Few calamities could befall the country like this!

I had understood, up to the time when I read this declaration, that the institution of slavery, for good or bad, was a State institution. I thought it was one which the general government had ration. I though it was one which the general government had no right to rouse. Everything that I had ever heard upon the subject had tended to take that institution from the cognizance of the general government, and make it exchaintly a subject of subject. But here I find it baptized, and made one of the goods, here the property of the property of

From this cause, whether remote or immediate, this war proceed,
d. can any nua deep this? We may have our doubts whether,
if there had been more caution and prudence, this war would have
heen precipitated upon us at so early a persol. But is it not evident that the whole matter springs from this? Was not annexation itself an act of war? War was existing between Mexico and
Texas at the time. By the very fact of annexing to ourselves one Texas at the time. By the very fact of annexing to outserves one of the belligerent nations, we incurred the responsibly of fighting her battles. If this, then, be the cause of the war, I come next to the question how this war commenced? Well, sir, it may seem at this time, when the President has told us over and over again so many times how it commenced—that it commenced by the act of Mexico. Said the President in his mexican to Constitute the act of Mexico. 11th May, 1846 :

"In my message at the commencement of the puryon session. I informed you that, soon the exames appeal both of the congress and convention of Trans. I had outered an effected multivary force to have a postlar both when the constraint of the Congress of the

men of the Congress of the United States, to assess of the States and the Congress and Soil.

This force was concentrated at Coppe Circuit and consider the content of the Coppe Circuit and Congress and Soil.

This force was concentrated at Coppe Circuit and consider the ment of first I had been controlled to the Coppe Circuit and Copp

And in his annual message to Congress on the 8th of December, 1846, he repeats the assertion, and he not only repeats the declara-tion that war exists by the acts of Mexico, but he says every honfrom that the exacts we use do by me to a prevent below we. It had a proved in vain, and the war has seen, and seen as execution proved in vain, and the war has seen, notwithstanding he was so exceedingly opposed to it. Now I desire to examine this matter. The President is not assisted with thus telling us that the war areas from the act of Moxico, and that he had used overy honor-had be exerting to avoid it. He comes forward in his message this able exertion to avoid u. He comes forward in his message this year and rells us fourteen times distinctly, and several times implied, by, that it arose from the act of Mesico. It seems a part of the President's religion, to accompany his more solemn acts with the continual declaration that "war exists by the acts of Mesico," as it was of the followers of Mahommed. to acted of decades we was a time of minorine of demoniner. In the other there was "no find but allow unlike the decade of the three was "no find but allow and more distribution." Now let us examine this matter, did it commence by the act of Mexica? Such is not my reading. The taking possession of Texas was of itself an act of war. Sir, at the time of the America Recolution, when France signed a Treaty of Alliance with us. Great Britain was not long in finding out that that was an act of war. But suppose that act was not one of war, what follows? One of the fundamental conditions of the Joint Resolution for

annexing Texas to the United States was war

"First, and State to be formed subject to the adjustment by this government, of all onestions of houndary."

"Subject to the adjustment of all questions of boundary." That was the very first condition which the American Congress liked to the net of annexation. Well, is it necessary for me to stand here to-day to tell the American people, that if there is a question of boundary existing, and one party goes and occupies the territory to the extreme verge of the claim—that is an act of war! Why.1 think the President himself, in the course of the controversy with Great Britain, must have found that this was the case, although

one of the first acts of his administration was to tell the people in one of the first acts of his administration was to tell the people in his linaugural Address, that our title to Oregon was clear and un-questionable. I saw the Pre-ident amid the dripping of the storm, standing at the east front of this capitol, reminding the people low young a man he was, and yet the would have the whole of Or-egon. I almost expected to hear him says.

"You'd scarce expect one of my age, To speak in public on the stage."

I know not whether I was most amused at the juvenility of the esident, or his determination to seize upon the whole of Oregon. He would not even submit the question to arbitration, because that would be admitting that Great Britain had some sort of title there. would be admitting that Great Britain had some sort of title there. Nothing short of the whole would satisfy him. Why, a man could hardly be recognized as a democrat in the Eastern States, unless he was for claiming the whole of Oregon. He must go for 51º 10¹, or he was no democrat; and one State Legislature was so violent in the cause, that they were for claiming 51º 91°. I wonder it never occurred to the President to send our officers and take ster it never occurred to the President to send our officers and take possession of the territory up to that line. Why did he not do this? Because he knew, and every body knew, it would be an act of war. He knew it would be so considered by Great Britain, and resented by her. Well, so it was here. The sending of General Taylor to he Rio Grande was, beyond all controversy, an act of war. But I propose to give you the President's own account of it. In his message of May, 1916, he says that:

"He kept the troops at Corpus Christi until it was rendered certain that the Mexi-in government had refused to receive Mr. Shdell,"

Well, on the 10th day of November this commissioner was ap-pointed, and not being much acquainted with matters of diploma-ey, I of course take what the President said, as being what he

"MR SLIDELL'S LETTER OF CREDENCE JAMES K. POLK,

President of the United States of America.

Fresholar of the Cutted States of America.

6.6EA1 AND GOIN FERENG. I have made choose of Joury, STATELL, one of our disriguished citizens, to reade near the government of the Mexicus regords in emalty of Error Statesimenry and the government of the Mexicus regords in emalty of Error Statesimenry and the government of the Mexicus regords in example of the Committee, and of our succeed done to reduce, cultivate, and strengthen fremelsing and good context, and of our succeed our to reduce, cultivate, and strengthen fremelsing and good context, and the context of the two contexts, and of the context of the two contexts, and of the context of the two contexts of the two contexts of the two contexts of the two contexts of the context of

TAMES K. POLK

By the President

JAMES BYCHANAN, Secretary of State.

To In: Excellency, Don Jose Josquim Herreta, President of the Mexican Republic."

And pray God to have you in his holy care and keeping." How pions the President is! He prays for his enemies. This was written on the 10th of November. Now, I want to red another deciment, to show show Mr. Polk was treating his good friend on the 15th of June preceding. In a confidential communication from the War Department, dated June 19, 1943, to General Taylor, I find the following:

"The poant of your alumate de-turation is the western fronties of Texas, where you will select and occupy, on or near the Rio Grande del Norte, such a site as will rose ext with the shall of the troops, and will be been always and the sea will rose extra the tracking of the terms of the state of the sta

Well, now, what do you think of this pious President? On the Well, now, what do you think of this pious President? On the 10th of June, he ordered the troops to be ready to embark for the coast of Mexico, and five months afterwards, he sends a messenger to General Herrera, and by the hands of this messenger, a supplication to Almighty God, "to have him in his safe and holy keeping," lest this prayer should fail, he had raken the precutation to send General Taylor model fail, the had raken the precutation to send General Taylor model, which we had been supplied to the send of t good men and true, the first witness I would call would be James good men and true, the first witness I wand can wind be smith to K. Polk—for I never knew a witness who was conscious of telling the truth, who found it necessary to repeat so often the same assertion. Why does the President go into so long and labored an effort tion. Why does the President go into so long and labored an effort to prove that we had good cause of war? If this were the ease, and we had not commenced it, instead of being to our credit, it would have been a diegrace to us. It would have shown us a pusillaniman nation. It is, therefore, felo de se of his argument? Because, if this were the case, it shows that we should have the what the President has labored in short what the President has labored in the president product of the president was conquer Maxwell of the smarry guns to be art upon it had not been considered in the president with the sould be the smarry guns to be art upon it had not been considered in the sould be so successful.

Well, if this was the cause of the war-if this was the manner Well, it this was the cause of the war—it this was the manner in which the war commenced, what are we carrying it on for now? What do we propose? What do we want? Why, in the message of the President to Congress, in 1846, he tells no that it was not conquest that he desired; in the message of this year he modifie it a little. Now the conquest he disavows; that is, he does not mean to take the whole of Mexico. I suppose that he means to divide it, very much as Lord Aberdeen divided Oregon with bim, to take what he wants, and leave the rest. He say

It was never contemplated by me to make a permanent conquest of Mexico; or actory her nationality."

Well, sir, actions speak londer than words, and I need not adwell, structure appears for cf. this fact, for L have proof in my hand. What notes the President tell you? I heard it asserted by a Senator the other day, that Senators voted for the appropriation of the war under a protest. That they did it for nothing else than to relieve Gen. Taylor from the critical position in which he was placed. The President came and made the thrilling amounce; to rehee Ceft. It stylor from the critical position in which are conjugated. The President came and made the infilling allow and placed. The President came and made the infilling allow And while every heart pulpitated at such an outrage, Senators, and while every heart pulpitated at such an outrage, Senators, and the substitution of the place of the substitution to require the supplies required, even though the bill for raising them was accompanied by the declaration which, at that time, they believed to be untrue. that "war existed by the set of Mexico." They gave their votes under the protest that was expressly for the relief of Gen. Taylor. And what does the President now say to those Semporst Why, he says you did not mean any such thing. You could not have meant at. You knew that indemnity manner to get territory. The President has said so. He is your Executive office—surely be ought to know; and the President has a right to say it, because actions speak louder than words. You voted him the mean and the money; you gave him the sword and the purse, and you gave him unlimited licenset, to conduct the war as he pleased, and now you must pass this bill—give him the ten regiments of volunteers, and let him. lars, and afterwards twenty regiments of volunteers, and let him thus, according to the Senator from Kentucky, increase his available forces in Mexico to ninety thousand, and go on, subjugating that country; and what will be tell you at the next session? He

"Congress could not have meant—when, in May, 1846, they appropriated ten mil-loan of bolians, and authorace the Persolent to employ the unifiery and navel force of the United States, and no except, the softwise of lifty thousand volunteers, to can of the United States, and no except the softwise of lifty thousand volunteers, to can layaded Mexico, they made additional appropriations, and authoraced the training of additional troops for the same parpose—that no indemnity was to be obtained from the contraction of the same parpose—that are indemnity was to be obtained from "This further manifest that Congress contemphated terminoist indemnity, from the fact, that the training of the contraction of the Executive recommendation, which is the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the was made to examine the training of the contraction of the con

Vote him the men and money he now asks, and what will he tell you at the next session? Why, that Congress intended to overrum all Mexico! He will say,—you meant that I should carry destruction throughout the whole of that country—that

"I should dip my sword in blood, and write my name On desolated lands and cities."

And he would have a right to say so! Vote him the mcn and the money he wants—let him have ninety thousand troops there, and he will enact scenes, that perhaps we little think of; and at the next session, he will come and tell us, that that was what we meant, and he will have a right to do so, because actions speak louder than words. We certainly, in giving our votes, must be supposed to have some object to attain—that object, the President n construe for himself.

In regard to the amendment which has been proposed to this

bill, instead of making it better, it leaves it more obnoxious still The fement is to be Praident's discretion whether to consoly these troops or not, but that is the very thing we ought not to do. He has had discretion enough. Was it not at his discretion that our troops were marched to the Rio Grande? It seems to me that the true course is to take the discretion into our own hands, and make specific apprentia proportions for specific objects. Then we shall know

for what objects they are made.

But the President says now, he does not want the conquest of
the whole of Mexico, but he wants indemnity and security. Well, the whole of McKard, but he wants indeminity and security. Well, these are words easily pronounced. But we had a good old lesson in New England, requiring the definition of words to be given. Indemnity for what?—Security for what?—I find the indemnity he wanted was not only indemnity for our claims, and for all the ex-penses of the war, but in addition to all this, he wanted to drive a penses of the war, but in addition to all this, he wanted to drive a bargain with them—be was not willing to make peace unless they would sell bim a part of their country with its inhabitants, for I read in the 5th and 6th articles of the treaty proposed by our gov-ernment to Mexico, as follows, viz:

"ART. 5. In consideration of the extension of the limits of the United States, as they are defined by the precoding article, and by the stupilations which are further construed in article 8; the United States abandon forever against the United Mexican States all reclamation on account of the costs of this war; and, besides, agree to pay to the United Mexican States; in the city of Maxico, the sum of "

"ART. 6. In full consideration of the stipulations contained in Articles 4 and 6 of this treaty, the United States agree to assume and pay all some at present due to caimants, and those which may be hereafter established, according to the convention concluded between the two Republics, in the city of Mexico, on the 50th of January,

1833, to provide for the payment of what shall be decided to favor of the claimants, according to a convenient between the United States and the Meascan Republic, on conducting to a convenient between the United States and the Meascan Republic, on the Children of the United Meascan States, and previously decided, it is a amount not exceeding three miliams of the Children of the United Meascan States, and previously decided, to an amount not exceeding three miliams of control of the Children of the United States, whose decision shall be definitive and conference of the United States, whose decision shall be definitive and conference of the United States, whose decision shall be definitive and conference of the United States, the Children of the United States, and the United States, and the United States, for the pre-cent and feture, concerned the United Mexicum States from any of the said demands whatsoever, which may be admitted or rejected by said branch of commissioners.

He requires payment of our unliquidated claims-he requires the He requires payment of our uniquimated ciaims—be requires the exponses of the war, and in addition to these, he requires that they shall sell him just as much territory as he sees fit to buy at his own price—this is the indemnity and security he wants. Are Se-nators prepared to sanction these views. Can they face the indig-nation of the world, after having insisted on them? Here is a government enfeebled—broken down—a people distracted—having a natural attachment to the homes of their birth—to the soil beneath natural attachment to the homes of their birth—to the soil beneath which moulder the bones of their fathers—and because they do not choose to sell their country and themselves, the President says the war must be prosecuted and carried into the vital parts of Mexico. Well now, the course of the President seems to me decidedly reprehensible. Perhaps I have commented upon it in a manner not quite becoming to this place—I hope not.

I cannot help remarking in justice to him, that he has not shown a disposition for war in all cases. I think in the management of the Oregon treaty he exhibited a Christian meckness to a surprising degree. But he did not inherit the blessing of the meck. He

how did not get the land. He would not submit to arbitration. Well, how did he settle it?

Let Mr. Pakenham, the British Minister, tell his own story:

"Wa Libra: In configurity with with 1 had the honor to state in my despitable of the 1-bit of the honor to state in my despitable of the 1-bit of the honor to state in my despitable of the 1-bit of the principal of the point of the state in the state of the three for the opinion of that lead, the dard of a consenion for the set timent of the Oreeon question, which I was naturated by your Liodship's despitable Na 1-bit of the 1-bit

"The Right Hon, the Earl of Aberdeen."

He takes it just exactly as it was cooked up for him, very much as sick children are directed to do when they have an unpalatable dose of medicine to take-"shut your eyes, and open your mouth, and down with it." Now. I have not a word to say against the settlement of the Oregon question; but it seems to me that it would settlement of the Oregon question; but it seems to me that it would have read a fittle better in lustory, it it had not been preceded by so much thistering and bragging. And it was a little amusing, to see the effect it had on our eastern democracy. The man who did not go for 34° 40°, was a federalist, a trattor; and some were so zosloos that they even got up to 54° 49°. Upon them the trea-ty came like a thunderelap, and they had to reverse the steam so saddenly that it came night producing a fatal collapse in the party.

But, sir, the President is not so entirely warlike in his nature as his conduct to Mexico would indicate: he has other and nilder qualities; he merely has none of that ferocious spirit characterised by the poet a

"That stern joy which warriors feel."

It is a colder country at 54° 401. Now, if right in the position I have taken here, the question is, what is the duty of the American Senate? What are they to do? To go on and vote these ten regiments? The honorable Senator from Kentucky, the other day, said he did not know why regulars were preferred to volunteers. I do not know that I do. But Yankees guess sometimes.

War is to be made, sir, not only upon Mexico, but on the free

laborers of the country, and the first onslaught is to be made in the shape of a tax on tea and coffee; and it is the patronage which the creation of these ten regiments is to give him, which he thinks will enable him to effect it, and the President has probably come to the conclusion taught by the experience of the past, that with the patronage of ten regiments at his command, some things may be done as well as others."

I think the Senator from Kentucky, with his great acumen, if he looks through his glasses, may discover why the President pre-

Well, why cannot Senators who think with me, that the war is wrong, radically wrong, come out and declare so by their votes? Why sit here denouncing the President, and then he guilty of the Why sit here demonsement the President, and then he guilty of the inconsistency—with all due deference I say it—of voting mee and money to carry on the war? Why, it is said it would be unpopular to withhold the supplies. Are we afraid to trust the people with a great question of right? Sir, I think those who are afraid to trust the people, moderned them. Are meen afraid to do that which is right because it may not be popular? Sir, it is this given in the war, which seems to sif like a nightmane upon American statesmen. Sir, I think there were more truth than poetry in what was said by a westfern man.

He said he got caught by opposing the last war, and he did not mean to get caught again—he intended now to go for war, pesti-lence, and famine. And I think there is a good deal of that feeling in the country now. Men are afraid to take a bold stand.

lance, and ratines. And there is a general of the control of the c

mougan usar is wound on right narries to prosecute 0.1.

I believe, sir, that the heart of this whole people is sick of this miscrable, temporizing policy, which is putting justice, and regard and truth out, in order that expediency may walk in and covent, and control, and direct our nections. I wish that the experiment might be tried by Congress of acting and voting on this matter might be tried by Congress of acting and voting on this matter according to the convictions of their own under-tanding as ex-pressed by themselves, when they speak of the character of this war. I histened, the other day, with great pleasure, to the re-nards of the honorable Senator from South Carolina. I trust heard with profit, the search from South Carolina. I trust heard with profit, the search of the south of the search has been supported by the search of the search of the histened search of the search of the search of the search between the search of the search of the search of the search would do any good in the world in the pre-ent emergency. But they might, it adopted, serve are if we we have tracked the read for rain. what rapidity and what prochyity we have traveled the road to ruin. But that there would be any virtue in them I have not the least idea. Pass these resolutions unanimously, in both branches of Congress rass these resolutions unanimously, in both formenes of Congress, and let the President append to them his useful declaration that the war was commenced by Mexice; any, you may go beyond that, and with all the forms and soleminities with which you could embody them, you may make them part of the written fundamental constitution of the land, and what would they be worth? Not the paper upon which they are written; for the very moment that they stand in the way of a popular majority they would be utterly set at maught. He that is not persanded of that, has not read, it seems to me, the first primer of our history. I think that the resolations themselves contain much that is just and true, and that the passage of them might be of some consequence in the way

the passage of them might be of some consequence in the way which I have suggested. But that their passage would check the downward tendency of affairs is altogether out of the question. I believe that the people desire us to go right, and that we have not faith enough in the people. Nothing is more common than to find aspirants for public favor, flattering the people and continually praising their latelligence and patriotism, and excepting which gives value and dignity to the human character. But you do not gives value and dignity to the human character. But you do not find these calogists of the public virtue at all prepared to venture a little upon the intelligence which they yount so much, There is faith in expediency, in policy; in everything but justice, truth and

The present is, I believe, a critical period in our history. I bethe present is, I believe have a critical period in our instory. I believe that it is presumptions in us to affirm, as the President has affirmed in his message, that the great question of the capability of man for self-government has been settled. It is not settled stir. We are now settling it. Whether the manner in which we are of man for self-government has been settled. It is not settled, we are now settling it. Whether the manner in which we are settling it will favorably or unlaworably affect the confidence as settling it will favorably or unlaworably affect the confidence when the settling it will favorably or unlaworably affect the future. We are settling the question not only for ourselves, but for all who are to come after us. If here the experiment of self-government should fail, who can estimate the consequences to our rave? We are to aday writing our history. We are impressing that little space which we occupy between the past and the future, with footsteps which will be indelble. Whither are these footsteps now tending! Shall those who are to succeed us, find that the course which we are now pursuing, led to the broad fields of liberty, of peace, and of prosperity? Or shall it be, that we are only erecting monuments marking the bye-paths that lead to the pitfalls of destrue ments marking the bye-paths that lead to the putulis of destruc-tion? These are questions that must come home to the heart of every man who loves his country, and prizes its free institutions, and sees the dangers which now threaten them. Are we so bhind, so fanatienl, so stupid, as to believe that the great laws of the physical and moral world are to be reversed in our favor? Are we emphatically the children of destiny? Can we take our destiny in our own lunds, and count of i? Not so. It seems to me that there is a light streaming down the pathway of ages, illuminating

the destiny of nations, and that it is written in glaring charac-ters—retribution. It is a law in operation all around us—in the physical, moral, and political world. It is true of nations as of individuals, "whatsoever a man sows, that shall be also reap," I would ask those disposed to look at this question in the light of lustory, to go back, and by its aid, trace the long vista of ages that have elapsed. Let them go back, if they will, to the morning of creation, when all the sons of God shouted together for joy ing of creation, when all the sons of God Shouted together for joy that Almighty Power had spoken a new world into being; and they will not find it recorded in any page of that history, that any nation ever sowed the seeds of war and slavery, and reaped the fruits of peace and liberty. Not that passage remains to be written, and it requires no very great effort of the imagination to fancy that we can now hear the voice of all nations of the past sound. ing a solemn warning in our ears. Let us beware, lest that fate which has constantly followed such a course of policy may not

I have thus endeavored to discharge my duty. I am quite aware of the imperfection of the effort. But before I conclude, I wish to say a single word personal to myself, and to let you know, sir, and say a single word personal to myself, and to let you know, sir, and the Searte know, that if in be finantiesin which I have uttered, I am not alone re-ponsible for it. It is not peculiar to myself, sir, nor these with whom I act. We had, a year or so ago, if the State of New Hampshire, a pair of democratic or zaus, and it was rather doubtful whether they were entirely harmonious. But in May, these New Hampshire "partiots" came together—they had an annexation—and when they came together, they madertook to define the true democratic faith upon this very dectrine of slavery. I read from the "New Hampshire Partiot" of 27th May, 1847. The Hatts here read extracts from the "New Hampshire Partiot" all the states were friendly to a building and would utilize the slave where friendly to a building and would utilize to a building and would utilize color to the "New Hampshire Partiot" and the slave were friendly to a building and would utilize the slave were friendly to a building and would utilize the slave were friendly to a building and would utilize the slave were friendly to a building and would utilize the slave were friendly to a building and would utilize the slave were friendly to a building and would utilize the slave were friendly to a building and would utilize the slave were friendly to a building and would utilize the slave the sla

thot, contending that the democrats of slave States were friendly to abolition, and would ultimately effect it.]

That is "New Hampshire Patriot" democracy—about twelve months old. So, it I am fanatical, I am not without authority for months old. So, il I am finatical, I am not without authority for my fanaticism; and a man may, it seems, entertain all the senti-ments which I have advanced without being cast out of the pale of the democracy as it is now organized. But I leave the subject. I thank the Senate for the patience, kindness and cancor with which I have been heard. It is no pletsant duty that I have per-formed. It is not agreeable to my leclings to occupy the place of an Islanachie here; my hand being against every man's, and every man's hand against mine. If any reinartic have falles from not, clients to the feelings of a single Senator, of which I am wholly unconscious, I can assure him from the bottom of my heart that they were altogether minettnional. I have endeavored to deal with principles and measures, not with men. I believe that the instrumions of the country are endangered. I believe that the institutions of the country are endangered. I beheve that the course in which we are proceeding, unless our career he arrested, will most inevitably conduct us to destruction; and I have thrown out these suggestions, in the hope of doing something, however feeble the attempt, in order to excite correct public sentiment on this all-vital question.

The question being then on the passage of the hill,

Mr. BALDWIN inquired if the yeas and nays had been deman-

The PRESIDING OFFICER replied in the negative

Mr. BALDWIN then called for the yeas and nays

Mr. JOHNSON of Maryland rose to move an adjournment, in order to allow opportunity for further discussion of the bill, but withdrew his motion at the request of

Mr. CASS, who stated there was some executive business which demanded attention.

The Senate then proceeded to the consideration of executive busines

After a short time so occupied, the door was opened, and

On motion.

The Scnate adjourned.

# MONDAY, JANUARY 10, 1848.

# NATIONAL ARMORIES.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a report of the Secretary of War, made agreeably to law, accompanied by a statement of the expenses of the National Armories, together with an account of the arms made and repaired therein during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1847.

CLERKS IN THE OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF THE SENATE.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a report of the Secretary of the Senate, and in compliance with the 11th section of the act of the 26th of August, 1842, showing the persons employed in the office of the Secretary of the Senate, during the year 1847, and the amount paid to each.

### PROCEEDINGS AND DEBATES.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a letter from James A. Houston in relation to the publication of the reported proceedings and debates of the Senate; which was read.

On motion by Mr. MANGUM, it was

Ordered, That it be referred to the select committee on the subject.

#### PETITIONS.

- Mr. CLAYTON presented a memorial of the Representatives of the Religious Society of Friends for the States of New York, Vermont, Michigan and other places adjacent, praying the adoption of peaceful measures for terminating the war with Mexico.
  - Mr. CLAYTON moved that the memorial be read and printed,

The memorial having been read, the quostion was stated to be upon the motion to print.

- Mr. ATHERTON.—I believe sir, that, under the rule, the memorial goes to the Committee on Printing. If not, I move that it be so referred.
  - Mr. CLAYTON asked for the reading of the rule.

It was read by the Secretary.

Mr. CLAYTON.—I perceive that it must go to the Committee under the rule.

The motion to print was accordingly referred to the Committee on Printing.

- Mr. ATCHISON presented the petition of Charles Findlay, representative of P. Chotean, Jr., praying payment of certain monies due him under the treaty of August 8, 1831, between the United States and the Shawnee Indians; which was referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs.
- Mr. CALHOUN presented a memorial of Pilots of the Bar and Harbor of Charleston, South Carolina, praying the repeal of the act of March 2d, 1837, concerning Pilots; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce, and ordered to be printed.
- Mr. FELCH presented the petition of citizens of Washtenaw county, Michigan, praying the repeal of all laws of Congress which in anywise sanction the existence of slavery in the United States; the motion to receive which was laid upon the table.
- Mr. FELCH presented the petition of citizens of the county of Washtenaw, Michigan, praying the adoption of measures to present the acquisition of additional territory, unless on condition that slavery be prohibited therein; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.
- Mr. FELCH presented the memorial of Catharine Hoffman, widow of Lieut, Col. William Hoffman, deceased, late of the army of the United States, praying a pension; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.
- Mr. WESTCOTT presented a memorial of the Governor, members of the Legislature, and other citizens of the State of Florida, praying that compensation may he made to Tony Proctor, a free colored man, for services as an interpreter to the Seminole Indians in 1823 and '24; which was referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs.
- Mr. WESTCOTT said: I ask to be indulged in one or two remarks in relation to this petition at this time. It is not signed by 30TH CONG.—IST SESSION—No. 11.

the individual for whom relief is asked. The application is in behalf of an old free negro who, for seventy years past, has been the faithful interpreter for the Spanish government and that of the United States with the Seminoles; and the petition is signed by the Executive and Legislative authorities of the State of Florida.—Tony Proctor, the interpreter, was long in pay of the United States, but for some cause sixteen months pay has been withheld from him. He is now upwards of one hundred years old. I hope my friend, the Senator from Missouri, Chairman of the Indian Committee the Committee of the Committee of

Mr. DAYTON presented the memorial of Mary Brognard, widow of John Brognard, a non-commissioned officer attached to the Allied French corps in the revolutionary war, praying a pension; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. DAYTON presented the petition of Aaron Carman, praying that letters-patent may issue to him for an improvement invented by him in the construction of the plough; which was referred to the Committee on Patents and the Patent Office.

- Mr. BREESE presented the petition of John H. Kinzie, and others, assignees of certain Pottawottamic Indians, praying the relinquishment of the reversionary interest of the United States in the lands purchased by them; which was referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs.
- Mr. MASON submitted additional documents relating to the claim of Richard G. Dove, which, with his petition, on the files of the Senate, were referred to the Committee of Claims.
- Mr. MASON presented the pctition of Archibald Williams, and Charles Griffen, praying compensation for supplies farnished the Florida militia in the Seminole war; which was referred to the Committee of Claims.
- Mr. MASON presented the petition of LeRoy Hammond, praying compensation for the use of his wagon and team in the military service of the United States during the last war with Great Britain; which was referred to the Committee of Claims.
- Mr. MASON presented the petition of John H. Williams, praying to be allowed the bounty granted by the act of 1833, on his regulatement in the Marine Corps; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.
- Mr. MASON submitted a document relating to the claim of John H. Williams, for pay due him as a private in the Marine Corps; which was referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.
- Mr. MASON presented the petition of Abel Gray, a Sergeant in the Marine Corps, praying compensation for extra duty performed by him; which was referred to the Committee on Naval
- Mr. MASON presented the petition of John H. Williams, praying compensation for services as schoolmaster on board the United States' ships Java and Delaware; which was referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.
- Mr. BUTLER presented an abstract of the report of a committee of the Chamber of Commerce, of Charleston, South Carolina, recommending the establishment of a branch mint at that place; which was referred to the Committee on Finance.
- Mr. BRIGHT presented the petition of D. C. Buell, praying compensation for a horse lost in the military service of the United States; which was referred to the Committee of Claims.
- Mr. BELL presented the petition of Mary Connelly, widow of a deceased revolutionary soldier, praying a renewal of her pension; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.
- Mr. BRADBURY presented the petition of Patrick Walker, praying an increase of pension; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.
- Mr. CAMERON presented the petition of the Philadelphia Female Anti-Slavery Society, praying the adoption of some plan for the immediate peaceful dissolution of the Union; the motion to receive which was laid-on the table.
  - Mr. CAMERON presented the petition of John Stanert, a sol

dier in the last war with Great Britain, praying arrears of pen-sion; which was referred to the Committee of Claims.

Mr. BADGER presented a memorial of merchants and other an: BADULA presented a memorial of mercanas and olivers, and a memorial of masters of vessels and others, engaged in the navigation and commerce of the port of Wilmington, North Carolinia, also, a memorial of Plots on the Cape Fear river and bars, severally praying the establishment of additional lights and boys in the Cape Fear river; which were reterred to the Committee

# On motion by Mr. ATHERTON, it was

Ordered, That leave be granted to withdraw the documents on the files of the Senate relating to the claims of the State of New Hampshire against the United States.

# On motion by Mr. MANGUM, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Michael Hanson, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

# On motion by Mr. ATCHISON, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Thomas H. Noble, on the files of the Sonate, be referred to the Committee of Claims.

# On motion by Mr. BRADBURY, it was

Ordered, That the petition of William Davis, on the files of the Scnate, be referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

# On motion by Mr. BRADBURY, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Ebenezer Whitten, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

# On motion by Mr. CORWIN, it was

Ordered, That John P. Converse have leave to withdraw his nctition and papers.

### NOTICE OF BILLS.

Mr. FELCH gave notice that on to morrow, or at some early day, he would ask leave of the Senate to introduce a bill to apply certain alternate sections of the public domain towards the completion of works of internal improvement in the State of Michigan, and for other purposes.

Mr. RUSK gave notice that on to-morrow, or at an early day, he would ask leave of the Senate to introduce a bill to establish an additional District Court in Texas.

Mr. DOUGLAS gave notice that on to-morrow, or at an early day, he would ask leave of the Senate to introduce a bill to establish the Territory of Minesota.

# RELATIONS WITH MEXICO.

Mr. HANNEGAN submitted the following resolutions for consideration:

Resolved, That no treaty of peace can be made with Mexico, having a proper regard for the best interests of the United States, which does not extablish as a boundar by between the two actions the most satiable inc for military defects.

\*Resolved, That in no contargency can the United States consent to the establishment of Monaccheal Government, within the limits of Mexico, by the ottorrections.

ment of Advancement overtiments, which we many recovery as it is within the constitution-of European power.

Resolved, That it may become necessary and proper, as it is within the constitution-al capacity of this government, for the United States to hold Mexico as a territonal appendage.

# The resolutions having been read,

Mr. HANNEGAN said-I ask that the resolutions be printed; and as it would not be strictly in order, I shall refrain from saying anything in relation to them at present. I ask that they be made the order of the day for this day two weeks, inasmuch as by that time the bills will have been disposed of which are now before the Senate. I will only add that the resolutions are not entirely ab-stractions. They propose something definite and pointed.

It was then ordered, that the resolutions be printed for the use of the Senate, and that they be made the special order for this day two weeks.

# CHANGES OF REFERENCE.

# On motion by Mr. ASHLEY, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on Public Lands be discharged from the further consideration of the petition of Martin Fenwick; and that it be referred to the Committee on Private Land Claims.

# On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of La., it was

Ordered, That the Committee on Ponsions be discharged from the further consideration of the memorial of Anna J. Hassler; and that it be referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

# On motion by Mr. RUSK, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on Military Affairs be discharged from the further consideration of the petition of Charles M. Gibson, and from the further consideration of the memorial of A. H.

Cole, of Florida: and that they be severally referred to the Committee of Claims.

### LAND PATENTS.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. JOHNSON of La. asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill for the issuing of Patents in a certain class of cases, and for other purposes; which was read the first and second times by manifesting. cond times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Private Land Claims.

### INDIANA BONDS.

Agrecably to notice. Mr. BRIGHT asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill authorising the Secretary of War to surrender certain bonds of the State of Indiana, held by the United States, to the Agent of the State for said State of Indiana; which was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Finance.

### TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT.

Mr. HANNEGAN said:—Several days ago I gave notice that I would ask leave to introduce a bill to establish a Territorial Government in Oregon. Upon reflection, I thought it proper and just to my friend, the Senator trom Illinois, that he should have charge of the bill; and I have given it to him, and I believe he is now prepared to present it.

Mr. DOUGLAS then asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to establish the Territorial Government of Oregon; which was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Territories

### PRE-EMPTION SYSTEM.

Mr. ASHLEY, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the bill to establish a general permanent pre-emption system in favor of actual settlers on the Public Lands, reported it without amendment.

### NATHANIEL HOGGATT.

Mr. JOHNSON. of Louisiana, from the Committee on Land Claims, to whom had been referred the petition of Nathaniel Hog-gatt, reported a bill for his relief; which was read and passed to a second reading.

### HALF-PAY OF WIDOWS AND ORPHANS.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the Committee on Pensions, to whom had been referred so much of the President's measure as relates to the subject, reported a bill amending the Act entitled "An Act granting half-pay to widows or orphans, where their husbands and fathers have diee of wounds received in the military service of the United States, in cases of deceased officers and soldiers of the militia and volunteers," passed July 4, 1836; which was read and passed to the second reading.

# JOSEPH NOURSE, DECEASED.

Mr. UNDERWOOD, from the Committee of Claims, to whom had been referred the petition of Maria L. Nourse, reported a bill to authorize the settlement of the account of Joseph Nourse, dedeased; which was read and passed to the second reading.

Mr. UNDERWOOD submitted a report on the subject, which was ordered to be printed.

# EDWARD BOLON.

Mr. UNDERWOOD, from the Committee of Claims, to whom had been referred the petition of Edward Bolon, submitted a report, accompanied by a bill for his relief.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

# LOOMIS AND GAY.

Mr. BALDWIN, from the Committee of Claims, to whom had been referred the documents relating to the claim of Loomis and Agy, reported a bill in addition to an Act for the relief of Watter Loomis and Abel Gay, approved July 2d, 1836; which was read and passed to the second reading.

Mr. BALWDIN also submitted a report on the subject, which was ordered to be printed.

# JOSEPH WATSON.

Mr. BALDWIN, from the Committee of Claims, to whom had been referred the petition of Joseph Watson, submitted an adverse report; which was ordered to be printed.

# ERRORS IN SURVEYS.

Mr. FELCH, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom MI. FELLCH, from the Committee on Fune Lands, to Whoth had been referred a resolution relating to errors and defective returns in certain surveys, plats, and field notes, reported the same without amendment; and submitted a special report on the subject, which was ordered to be printed.

### PUBLIC LANDS IN ILLINOIS.

Mr. BREESE, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom had been referred the memorial of the Legislature of the State of Illinois respecting the Saline Reservations, reported a bill confirm-ing former sales by the State of Illinois of the Ohio Saline Reser-vations, and authorizing the sale of the residue of such reservations; which was read and passed to the second reading.

#### GEORGE S. GAINES,

Mr. DOWNS, from the Committee on Indian Affairs, to whom had been referred the petition of George S. Gaines, submitted an adverse report; which was ordered to be printed.

# WILLIAM MARVIN

Mr. YULEE, from the Committee on Private Land Claims, to whom had been referred the petition of William Marvin, reported a bill for his relief; which was read, and passed to the second reading.

# BROOKLYN DRY-DOCK.

Mr. YULEE, from the Committee on Naval Affairs, reported a bill making an additional appropriation for the dry-dock at the Brooklyn Navy Yard; which was read, and passed to the second reading

Mr. YULEE said: I would ask the immediate action of the Senate on that bill. A communication has been received from the Senate on that on! A communication has been received non-the Secretary of the Navy, transmitting one from the Chief of the Burean of Construction, in which Congress is informed, that the appropriation for the dry-dock at Brooklyn is entirely exhausted, and that they are without the means of making payments for the and that they are without the means of making payments for the work during the present month. I believe that the communication states, that there is not enough to earry them through the month of December, which has just expired. They ask an appropriation of \$150,000, in order to go on with the work. The Committee on Naval Affairs in the House have reported a bill precisely similar to that reported by the Committee on Naval Affairs of the Sonate. It is necessary that action should be immediate on this bill, in order to prevent detriment to the structure, and to meet the public engagements contracted already, and daily occurring. Will the Secretary have the goodness to read the communication to which I have referred, as it will inform the Senato of all eation to which I have referred, as it will inform the Senato of all the facts in the case?

The SECRETARY read the communication.

The bill was then read a second time, by unanimous consent, and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

Mr. CRITTENDEN said that he feared that very partial attention had been directed to the hill before the Senate. It was one of very considerable consequence, and yet for one he did not exactly understand its objects.

The PRESIDING OFFICER .- The bill will be read.

The bill was then read.

Mr. CRITTENDEN .- I have no objection.

Mr. DICKINSON.—At the last session, we passed a bill making an appropriation for this work, when the morits and de-merits of the subject were fully discussed, and the whole policy gone over and approved.

The bill was then read a third time.

olved, That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill providing for the appointment of Assistant Pursers in the Navy.

The amendment heretofore submitted by Mr. Badger to strike out the words "by warrant," and meert "by and with the advice and consent of the Senate," was agreed to.

Mr. YULEE said, that as he was desired by Senators near him to explain the nesessity of this bill, he would do so very briefly.

There were eighty-one vessels attached to the Naval establishment a year ago. This number has been increased during the past year to meet the necessities of the service on the Mexican coast. There are sixty-four Pursers in service, of whom there are

Now at sea. Now at sea, On daty at shore stations, Unfit for active sea service from age and infirmity, Recently returned from sea and settling accounts, Detailed for vessels now fitting for sea, 4 Waiting orders, -

There are fifty-four vessels in commission at the present time, so

There are fifty-four vessels in commission at the present time, so that there are in fact now twenty-one vessels without Pursers, the duties being performed by their commanding officers. This consequence is detrimental to the service and unjust to the officers obliged to act as Pursers of their own vessels, who are generally not conversant with accounts, and whose appropriate duties totally conflict with the functions of a Purser. Several very hard cases have grown out of this deficit in the number of Pursers. Several officers of the Navy, whose brilliant achievements on the coast of Mexico entitle them to the thanks of the country, and coast of Mexico entitle them to the thanks of the country, and would have brought to them high promotion in most other countries, where the rule of lineal promotion is less rigidly observed, have re-turned home to be muleted in damages, instead of being rewarded for their meritorious conduct. Some of them have balances of one or two thorsand dollars found against them for the want of formal vouchers, although there can be no doubt of the actual expenditure. And the mosthly ray to which he have raility the officers thus it

when the second seams from a gainst them for the want of formal vouchers, although there can be no doubt of the actual expenditure. And the monthly pay to which the law entitles the officers thus situated is subject to be abstracted from the support of their families, and carried to the credit of the government.

This is all wrong. Now, what is the remove the concomical and advantageous mode of supplying the defacts in the monodrophy of the control of the concomical and advantageous mode of supplying the defacts in the monodrophy of the control of the concomical and advantageous mode of supplying the defacts in the monodrophy of the control of the concomical and advantage on the pursons are allowed, and will fully answer the nocessities of the service in the class of smaller vessels. The alternative is between the increase of the number of Pursons, or the addition proposed by this bill to the corps. There can be no question that this is the preferable measure; for, besides the advantage on the score of conomy, the Executive will be able to make his selections for the grade of Purson, after a trial of espablity and according to the relative merit of the persons filling the Mr. WESTGOTE. (The control of the present such as the control of the persons filling the control of the present and the control of the persons filling the Mr. WESTGOTE.

Mr. WESTCOTT offered the following amendment to first section:

Provided, That no person shall be nominated or appointed an assistant Purser, until he shall have first passed an examination before a board of Pursers of the Navy as to his qualifications for such office, in like manner as assistant Surgeons of the Navy are now required by law to pass such examination.

Mr. WESTCOTT observed, that he offered this amendment at the suggestion of several Senators who coincided with him in opinion as to its importance. The first object of it was to decrease the Executive patronage. It prevented the selection of mere office hunting political partizans without qualification, and in reward for political services; and if such are chosen it would ensure at least, that they have the necessary clucation and intelligence. This law provides that all Parsers are to be appointed hereafter from these assistant Pursers. These assistant Pursers should have meantable provided the provided of the provided provided the provided provided the provided provided provided the provided pr Mr. WESTCOTT observed, that he offered this amendment at of Pursers before they are selected. No man can then become a Purser or an assistant Purser, unless he possesses at least, the qualifications I have alluded to. The effect of a similar rule as to Surgeons in the Navy, and as to Surgeons in the Army, and as to Cadets at West Point, and Midshipmen seeking promotion, has been salutary. I hope this amendment will be adopted before the bill is sent to the Honse.

Mr. YULEE.—I hope that my colleague will consent to withdraw this amendment, or else that it will be voted down. I do not think it at all important that the bill should be thus amended; and I trust, upon re-consideration, my colleague will withdraw it. Sir, it may be very proper that the surgeons and assistant surgeons of the army and navy should be subpeted to an examination as to their professional and scientific attainments, inasmuch as perhaps it may not be in the power of the President, without the aid of a board of gentlemen, schooled in the profession, to decide upon their qualifications, but in respect to pursers, sir, there is no ago at accountant, and the higher, and more important qualification to the profession of integrity, which the board cannot decide, but which is very properly committed to the President and the Senate to decide, My colleague is mistaken in regard to the duties of these pursers. Mr. YULEE .- I hope that my colleague will consent to withcolleague is mistaken in regard to the duties of these pursers. They do say make purchases, shery simple distributions particles purchased, and disburse the money that is placed in their custody for the payment of the officers and men of the navy. That is all the highest and mest important qualification is integrity. I do not conceive that it is at all necessary that the bill should be amended as proposed by my colleague, and I trust be will withdraw his

The question being taken on agreeing to the amendment, it was

No further amendment being made, the bill was reported to the Senate, and the amendment concurred in.

Ordered. That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimons consent.

Resolved, That this bill pass, and that the title thereof he as aforesaid,

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

### THE TEN REGIMENT BILL

The Senate resumed the consideration of the bill, on its third reading, to raise for a limited time an additional military force.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, said :

My purpose, Mr. President, in now addressing the Senate, is to give my opinion upon certain points connected with the present war, which it seems to be conceded may be properly discussed upon the present bill.

hey are these First. Is the war a just and honorable one, or is it unjust and

SECOND. Has it been heretofore properly prosecuted.
Thind. How should it hereafter be prosecuted.
FOURTH. What end consistently with the good name of the na-

Now, President—Upon each of these propositions I propose to present my vews with the frankness and freedom which become a Scantor, and at the same time with the deference which I sincerely feel for the opinions of those upon both sides of the chamber with whom I shall be found to differ.

with whom I shall be found to differ.

Nothing, sir, is more annoying to me than to refer at any time to any thing personal to myself, and it is especially so, to do so in the presence in which I stand. But there may be circumstances which render it a duty. I feel myself in that condition, and I therewhich render it a duty. I feel myself in that condition, and I therefore ask the kind indulgence of yourself and the Senate to say a

Word or two of a personal character.

To those who know me, Mr. President, it is I am sure unnecess To those who know me, Mr. President, it is I am sure annecessary to disability that I am a trusted on this occasion earber in what I shall say or do, by any other motive than the single motive of duty to my country—If could be mad enough to desire any other potential post of honor than the one which I now hold (sufficient once would hink, to satisfy the crawings of an ambitton). I hope I know myself well enough to be able to say with truth, that I should have the most of the country of the countr know myself well enough to be anne to say with irith, that I should secont to obtain it by pandering to popular passion or official power. But, sir, I am proud to state, that I have no such desire, that there is no office in the gift of the present Executive which I would accept, and none in the power of the people to give that I would take. In the school of political ethics in which I have been tagglit, I have imbibed as my first and has Lesson, the duty to do what you believe to be right, and confidently abide the result. Be it the approbation of your fellow men, or not, you have then the approba-tion of your own conscience, transcending, infinitely transcending

tion of your own conscience, transcending, infinitely transcending in true value, any reward that can flow from human source.

As to popularity, sir, I estimate it as nothing if it is sought after. Its real worth is when it follows good ends, accomplished by good means. It becomes disgrace when catered for. good means.

I would not avow any political opinion, which I did not sincerely entertain, nor conceal one which I did entertain, to win any ry cuertain, nor concear one which I did entertain, to win any honor which my countrymen could bestow. Honor so won, if I was capable of so winning it, would be to me but hourly abasement. Sir, I need not say that I came into this body differing with the

administration upon almost every subject of our public civil policy This difference, decided as it was in the beginning, so far from hav This difference, decided as it was in the beginning, so fair from having here diminished, has been but more and more strengthened and confirmed. I believe they mis-apprehend the true policy of the country, and fundamentally err upon great and vital points of constitutional power. I may be mistaken, but I believe as sincerely as I believe in my own existence, that the day will come, and is rapidly coming, when this will be seen to be the general opinion. the people, and that until then the country will be deprived of blessing which the constitution was intended to bestow. But, sir, new questions have arisen, and are now agitating the nation. We are at war, and upon one of the questions growing out of it, I find myself differing perhaps with most of the Senators on this side of the chamber, not I hope with all, with whom it is my pride and pleasure generally to agree. I need not say, Mr. President, to you or to them, that this difference exists, if it does exist, because I am unable from a sense of duty to have it otherwise. Party ties, Party ties, party prepossessions, party associations, strong as they ever are and should be, can never be sufficiently strong to make an honora-ble man violate what he feels to be his duty to his country: And when in that duty is involved his country's reputation, they should be and are weaker than the spider's web. Nor upon this occasion do 1 feel any other concern than that

Nor upon this secasion do I feel any other concern than that which the mere fact of difference creates. Because I know so well the Senators who are around me, that whatever regret they may beel, that our opinions are not upon all points identical, I should coast to have, what I am sure I now have, their respect and settem, if I surrendered my own pidgment, and lattered with my own conscience upon a measure vital in that judgment to the true dame of our common country. We differ, sr, but we differ as tame of our common country. We differ, sir, but we differ as friends. We differ, sir, but we differ as patriots. We have alike the true honor of the country at heart—we are only not agreed

the true notion of the commery at neart—we are only not agreed perhaps as to what that true honor demands. Sir, he libels them, and libels me, who doubts our high and partotic purposess. He violates the decormo of private life, and the decencies of official relation, where it exists, who intimates that we are expanded under any state of things, or for any purposes, of taking sides with the enemies of our country. We aim alike at her honor—we disagree, if we do disagree, as to the true mode of vindicating and maintaining it. Mr. President, all of the good and liberal of my countrymen will, I have no doubt, when they shall have seen what I am about to say, do me the justice to believe that my motives are pure and patriotie. There may be, and perhaps are, mere followers of the party camp, whose hope it is to feed on the spoils of the contest, who may profess to doubt it; but none such do I drop a syllable to satisfy. Bred in the corruption of the motto of the political free-booter, that the spoils belong to the vector—fighting not for principle, but for plunder, they are as feculent as their motto, and beneath the notice of honest men. Only, indeed, to be shunned as you would shun any leathsome toad that might be in your pathway.

might be in your painway.

Is the war just and honorable or not?

I think it is, and I hope for the good name of my country, that such will be the judgment of Christendom. Sir, I wish to be glearly understood. I am not inquiring into the conduct of the Executive, into its prudence, or its constitutionality. My single proposition now is, that as between the United States and Mexico, the former had just cause of war on the 15th May, 1846, when the war act of that date was passed, and that on that day war in fact existed by means of the unjust and illegal act of Mexico. Sir, I repeat before going further, that I sincerely trust, as I love the fair fame of my countrymen, that I may be able to make this plain. Sir, I should bow in deep and heartfelt mortification for that fame if I did not believe it to be plain. I would not have it even to be involved in the slightest obscurity or doubt, from the dread of the judg ment which the civilized world would then he compelled to pass judg in at which the civilized world would then be competed to pass upon us. We live in an age when nations, as individuals, lose their power and usefulness, and sink into degradation, if they perpetrate acts of wrong and injustice. We are, thank God, surrounded by a moral atmosphere as necessary to healthful national existence as the atmosphere we breath is necessary to individual life. If we discard it, if we sink below it, if we substitute for it that which is inseparable from violence and injustice, the punish-ment is at hand. The decay begins and progresses, until we are

involved in hopeless ruin.

National character is national power, and the purer, the more elevated, the more spotless that character, the greater the power. It trust, therefore, in God, that I am right in the opinion that this war is upon our part just and honorable. If not, if not clearly just and honorable, then will we be pronounced by the judgment of the world a band of murderers. No other sentence can then be passed upon us. If we are right, we are wordy descendants of sires who knew no moral blemish, who estimated the national honorable and the sire of the s

I am here to justify my own before the Senate and the country, and I mean to do it with the freedom that belongs to each of us.

I have an instinctive repugnance to believe my country wrong I have an instinctive repugnance to believe my country wrong in any war in which she can engage, and I rejoice that in this instance my feelings and my judgment are one. I now proceed with the attempt to maintain that judgment. I have not time, sir, nor health to state all the facts which our difficulties with Mexico. nor neatm to state all the lacts when our difficulties with Mexico have developed applicable to this question. Nor if I had, should I deem it necessary to trespass so much upon the time of this body. My purpose is to refer only to such as I am sure cannot be successfully denied, and which are of themselves, in my judgment, ve of the controversy.

In 1834, the Mexican Congress passed a decree, requiring all In 1834, the Mexican Congress passed a overee, requiring an citizens to surrender to the government their arms. The legislature of Coahuila and Texas by decree remonstrated against it and other acts repealing the constitution of Mexico of '24, by which they had changed the government from a Federal to a Central one.

For this General Cos, under the order of Santa Anna, at the head of his orney, broke up the legislature of Coabulia and Texas, and arrested all the officers of the government, marched over the Rio Grande and established his head-quarters at San Antonio, leaving a garrison at Lipautitlan on the Nucees, and one at Go-jiad. The Texas then commenced the revolution, rectook Goliad,

Lipautitlan and San Antonio, in '36

Lipautitlan and San Antonio, in '36.

The boundaries of Coahula and Texas as these departments were half off into one State by the constitution of '24, was the Nuesce, running for upward of one hundred miles up that stream, and then by a line across from that point to the Rio Grande. The territory below that hine, between the Nuesce and the Rio Grande, was a part of the State of Tamaulipas. Tamaulipas granted it to various individuals by what were called colony-grants, under which many settlements were made. These colonists, or the great-country of them, so epithed to this portion of the territory danad. which many settlements were made. These colonists, or the greater portion of them, so entitled to this portion of the territory, joined in the Texas Revolution, and were represented in the convention of Texas, which subsequently declared the independence of that Republic. The day after the battle of San Jaconto, 31st April, [36, Repulme. The day ancer me patter of san Jacinto, Jist April, Jo, Santa Anna surrendered as a prisoner. In about six weeks after wards he entered into a treaty with the government of Texas, acknowledging the Rio Grande as their south-western boundary. upon condition that General Felisolea, then at the head of five thouupon constituting all that were left to the centralists to maintain the power should be permitted to retire west of the river, and that he, himself, should be released. These conditions were com-plied with, Feliselea being permitted to retire with all his force to the west of the river, and Santa Anna in October afterwards, released. The treaty contained, also, various stipulations about released. The treaty contained, also, various supulations about the release of prisoners and the surronder of property. Genoral Rusk, then at the head of the Texian forces, and under the order of the Texian government, transmitted a copy of the treaty to General Felisolea, who recognized it, and at once complied with all the

obligations it imposed upon him.
In 1836, 19th December, the Texian Congress passed a law describing the Rio Grande as their south-western boundary. In the

summer of 1836 Felisolea was superseded in command by the appointment of Gen. Urea, who immediately commenced raising an army to re-invade Texas. Gen. Rusk, who was still at the head of the army of Texas and stationed at the Gaudaloupe, ordered the families between that part and the Rio Grande to retire to his rear, families between that part and the Kio Grande to reture to his rear, or to remove to the western bank of the river. The most of them did retire to his rear, but many of the Mexicans elected to cross he river and settle on the opposite side. For the purpose of facili-tating the removal of those occupying the country and of watch-ing the movements of the Mexican army, and preparatory to an advance upon Maramoras, he dispatched General Felix Houston with a sufficient force to take possession of Corpus Christi, and that was done. General Houston exercised more authority, between the Nucces and the Rio Grande, than Urea did, who was stationed at Matamoras, with a force of about ten thousand men. At one period Urea crossed the river with the greater part of his command and encamped a few miles east of the river, but in a very short period he re-crossed to the western side. In this con-dition things remained until 1843, the Mexicans having no army dition things remained until 1843, the Mexicans having no army to the east of the river, and the Texians having a few troops at Corpus Christi and San Antonio. With these troops, however, the Texians frequently made excursions to Lacide, a place upon the Rio Grande, and several times crossed it. The Mexican troops made two incursions, crossing the river both times, coming as far as San Antonio, and upon each eccasion were immediately driven back to the west bank. In 43, also, an armistice was agreed upon under which the Mexican Army was to remain on the west and the Texianse or worked and the war declared to be renewed. The proclamation of General Wool, who was then in command of the Mexican Droce, issued by direction of Santa Anna, declared that all Mexicans found within three leagues of the river, would be considered as "favoring the usurpers of that territory," declared that all Mexicans found within three leagues of the river, would be considered as "incorring the usurpers of that territory," meaning by the territory, the whole of Texas, be tirred by court manital, and explicitly pushed. There over during this period, at under a millitary organization, and organized simply with a view difference against the Indians, mustered only upon such costs of defence against the Indians, mustered only upon such occasions, but of the citizens when Hays or McCulof defence against the Indians, mustered only upon such occasions, but claiming to be citizens when Hays or McCullough was there with the Texian Rangers. From the commencement of the revolution in '34, to the Independence declared by Texas in '36—from that period to the admission of Texas into our Umon in '45—and from '45 up to the present hour, no Mexican document can be found, military or etvil—an Mexican officer will transport of the second of the control of the c present neur; no mexican document can be round, military or civil—no Mexican officer, military or civil, has over been known to contend that the territory lying between the Nucces and the Rio Grande helonged to Mexico by any other title than that which she maintained to the whole territory between the Sabine and the she maintained to the whole territory between the sadine and the Rio Grande. Under the colony contracts granted by Tamaulipas, the settlers, at an election in Texas in '41 or '42, of members of congress, voted at Corpus Christi, claiming to be citizens of Texas, and their votes were received and recognized by the gov. ernment. The evidences to the title, too, to the lands so settled upoe, including all transfers from the time of the revolution of '34. to the present time, are recorded amongst the land records of Texas. On the first of March, 45, the alternative resolutions for the admission of Texas into the Union were passed. On the 29th of December, 45, Texas was admitted, and on the same day an of December, '45, Texas was admitted, and on the same day an act was passed to extend the laws of the United States over the State of Texas. On the 31st December, '45, Texas was constituted a revenue district, and the city of Galveston, the only port of entry, having annexed to it, amongst other ports, as ports of delivery, having annexed to it, amongst other ports, as ports of delivery, the port of Corpus Christi, a port on the west side of the Nueces. Under that act a revenue officer of the United States has been appointed for Corpus Christi. On 24 February, '47, congress, by an act establishing additional post-roads in the State of Texas, established, amongst others, one from Brazos Santiago via Point Isabel to Fort Brown, opposite Matamoras; and one from Corpus Christi to Brazos Santiago, a point south of Point Isabel, near the month of the Rio Grande.

Now, as before stated. Texas was annexed under the first of

Now, as before stated, Texas was annexed under the first of

Now, as neture stated, Texas was annoxed under the first of the alternative resolution of the 1st March, '45. [The first resolution provides, That Congress doth consent that the territory properly included within, and rightfully belonging to, the republic of Texas, may be creeted into a new State, to be the repanne of Texas, may be elevered into a new State, to be called the State of Texas, with a republican form of government, to be adopted by the people of said republic, by deputies in convention assembled, with the consent of the existing government, in order that the same may be admitted as one of the States of

this Union.

That the foregoing consent of Congress is given upon the condition, that the said State be formed, subject to the adjustment by this Government of all questions of boundary that may arise with other governments; and the constitution thereof, with the proper evidence of its adoption by the people of said republic of Texas, shall be transmitted to the President of the United States, to be laid before Congress for its final action on or before the first day laid before Congress for its final action, on or before the first day of January, one thousand eight hundred and forty-six.

of January, one thousand eight bundred and forty-six.

The second resolution provides, That if the President of the United States shall, in his judgment and discretion, deem it most advisable, instead of proceeding to submit the first resolution to the republic of Texas, as an overture on the part of the United States for admission, to negotiate with that republic, then that a State to be formed out of the present republic of Texas, with solitable extent and boundaries, and with two representatives in Congress until the next apportionment of representation, shall be admitted into the Union, by 'strube of this act, on an equal footing

with the existing States, as soon as the terms and conditions of with the existing States, as soon as the terms and conditions of sone admission, and the cession of the remaining Texian territory to the United States shall be agreed upon by the governments of Texas and the United States; and the sum of \$100,000 is hereby appropriated to defray the expenses of missions and negotiations, to agree upon the terms of said admission and cession, either by treaty to be submitted to the Senate, or by articles to be submitted to the two Houses of Congress, as the President may direct. Immediately upon the annexation the Minister of Mexico, Gen-

Immediately quote annexation the Juniser of Mexico, General Almonte, demanded his passports, upon the ground that the annexation itself was a state of hostility to Mexico, and from that period to the march of General Taylor from Corpus Christi to the Rio Grande, the frequent efforts of the American Government to terminate the controversy by negotiation, failed; and before that march, the Mexican government were collecting their forces that march, the Mexican government were collecting their forces upon the Rio Grande, with the avowed design, not of taking possession only of the territory between the Nucces and the Rio Grande, and conceding to the United States that portion of Texas which lay west of the Nucces, but of disputing with the United States the title to the whole of the country between the Rio Grande and the Sabine, and upon the ground that the whole and every part of that territory was still a portion of Mexico by virtue of her original and paramount title. Now the proposition which I seek to maintain is this, that as between the government of the United States and the government of Mexico, the former had in this condition of things a perfect right, and the same right for in this condition of things a perfect right, and the same right for the purpose of repelling the threatened invasion, to march her treops into the territory between the Nueces and the Rio Grande, The question is not, whether such a movement of the troops was under all the circumstaces judicious and pradent. It is not, whether by a different course an actual conflict might not have been avoided, but whether, as a matter of right—as a matter of self-defeace, the United States had not, under the law of national and perfect authority and justification to make such a move-

Now what are the clear and indisputable facts? The U. States had received the republic of Texas into the Union without antecedently defining her boundaries and under a constitution which reiterated what had been, as far back as 36, a part of her original constitu-tion as an independent republic—that the Rio Grande, from its source to its mouth, was her southwestern boundary. The United States extended all her laws over the State of Texas, as so admitted. They had assumed actual jurisdiction at Corpus Christi. They knew that there were citizens hetween the Nucces and the Rio Grande who claimed to be citizens of the State of Texas so admitted. They knew that for nine years the State of Texas had existed as an independent nation.

Bad existed as an independent nation.

Who proposed withdrawing Taylor on the 13th May? Who denied then, that we had good right to repel the Mexicans and to invade, for the purpose of averaging the outrages, any and every part of Mexico? She had refused to negotiate; she had ensidered annexation as war; she had terminated all diplomatic relations; she had refused to receive our Minister upon a mere quibble of the then President, hecause he was afraid of his own power, threatened with downfall because it was believed he was willing to negotiate at all. She had mustered an army can be Big Genule with then President, because he was afraid of his own power, threatened with dewnfall because it was believed he was willing to negotiate at all. She had mustered an army on the Rio Grande with the declared object of invading all Texas and recovering the whole to her own sovereignty. Her then Government owed its existence to this very determination. She had never maintained any peculiar title to what is now called disputed territory. What, in this state, were the United States to do? Were they bound to remain state, were the United States to do? Were they bound to remain meet the threatened invasion, even upon the about more considerable to the invade? Who doubts, that with nations as with individuals, the right of self-defence gives the right to strike the first blow? To prevent an inpury is easier than to repair it. Sir, where is the To prevent an injury is easier than to repair it. Sir, where is the writer on the law of nations, who holds a different opinion? There are some propositions so plain, that they admit of no illustration; they furnish their own best illustration, and this is one of them. We had a clear, undeniable right to meet Mexico at the very outermost limits of Texas, and repel her there, or if we deemed it advi sable, an equally clear and undeniable right to anticipate her by striking the first blow on her own admitted territory. But it is said that the place of conflict was on Mexican territory. If it was, the argument in our behalf would not be in the least enfeebled. She was there intending to go further. She was there to drive our army back to the Sabine. She was there to re-conquer Texas, the She was there intending to go intruer. She was there to tarrie our army back to the Sabine. She was there to re-conquer Texas, the whole and every part of Texas, and not to retain a portion only, upon the ground that such portion was not Texas.

But even the fact is not as alleged. Whether this portion of the

But even the fact is not as alleged. Whether this portion of the territory was or was not rightfully a part of Texas was, at least, a matter of dispute. Texas claimed it; Texas, over a portion of it, exercised pirisdiction. Citizens residing on it, claimed to be citizens of that government. Mexico had in vain attempted to recover it. The Constitution of Texas included it. The United States had exercised, after the admission of Texas into the Union, exercitivity care many of it, the highest that the constitution of the co States had exercised, after the admission of Texas into the Union, sovereignty over part of it—the highest act of sovereignty, the taxing power. She had received Texas into the Union without any other definition of boundary, reserving the right only as between themselves, Texas, and any other power, who might question the justice of the boundary, to settle it by negotiation. Without a breach of honor to Texas, the United States could no more have surrendered, without enquiry and negotiation, to an absolute and armed demand this aportion of the territory, than they could have surrendered to such a demand the entire State.

All then that can be said is, that the title of Texas to this part

of her territory was open to dispate. Such a dispate is to be setted hut by two means, by ageination or by force. If the negotion was refused, if Mexico elected the other alternative, force,
an she complain if we meet her with force? But suppose her
design was not actual force, but to get possession only of the dispated ground. Had not the United States he same right to take
possession, and hold whatever they possessed, until the question of title was desided by negotiation? The very question of title might
have heen affected by the fact of possession. Mexico might have
relied upon it as conclusive of the miability of Texas, and the text
et al. States as their successors that not been lost by the revolution.
This, the United States had a right to guard against; their own
honor bade them guard against it. If actual possession, by Mexico, could weaken the title of Texas, it was their duty to strengthen it by also taking possession. Pending a question of disputed territory, not actually possessed by either, who ever contended that
it was the duty of one of the parties to suffer the other to take
possession, and then tryth tettle? Nos ar, an lawyer woold give
such advice. No statesman would as me, and we would give
such advice. No statesman would are only the proposed of the duty of the control of the other. If I am right in this, and Mexico
designed taking possession, we were willing at any time to negotiaate on the question of title.

Sir, it has been said, that to march into the disputed territory is an act of hostility. I concede it. But then to broaden to march to prepare to it along forester an army to march, and with the top prepare to the taking forester an army to march, and with the said taking forester and the said taking forester and properly and hostility. This Mexico old first, and we had then a clear right to anticipate her upon every principle of the national law, by marching ourselves, and placing ourselves in a condition successfully to meet and repel her. Between nations, as between individuals, aggression may be met by aggression—assault may be met by hattery. But it is said revolution gives no title unaccompanied by actual and undisturbed possession and jurisdiction. As a general principle the proposition is true; but what is actual and undisturbed possession? Does it mean that the revolutionary government is to have a soldier on each floot of be asserted domain? Does it mean that every inhabitant within her territory is took moving the proposition of th

pendence in '76; the war continued seven years. Suppose no treaty of peace had been made recognizing our limits, but England had simply retired from the contest in disgust with the struggle, as she might well have done, would not our title at that moment have been as good to every foot of our glorious Thirteen, as it was to the very battle-fields of Saratoga and Yorktown? And yet, how to the very pathel-helds of Saratogu and Yorktown? And yet, how inconsiderable a part of our country was ever trodden by the American soldier or within actual reach of his arm! And yet, how many hearts throughout the contest beat high with true loyalty to England, and were striking or were burning to strike for her standard! No, sir, the proposition is not true as it is sometimes under-stood. It means only the ability to make the usurpation good by force of arms, when the usurper's title is by force of arms assailed. Subject to this test, who can doubt that Texas had the ability to maintain her title to any part of the territory elaimed by her be-tween the Nucees and the Rio Grande? Let the facts give the an-After her declaration of independence, and after she had by force driven the Mexican troops across the latter river, they after-wards returned but twice and were each time driven back; and from wards returned but twice and were each time driven back) and from the period of the last incursion, in 1843, no Mexican soldier ever crossed the river, and no civil officer of Mexico ever exercised juris-diction over it. Texas then claimed the territory—Texas drove diction over it. Texas then claimed the territory—Texas drove Mexico from it—Texas had apparently the power, and certainly the will, to drave her from it whenever she inwaded it. If these were the facts, and I appeal to the honorable Senator from Texas for their truth, what doubt is there, that to that part of her constitutional limits she has a perfect title? Sir, a word or two more, and upon this point I have done. What Senator, what American, would be now content to abandon the territory, make the Nneces would be made on content to anamon the territory, make the Nucces the boundary? For peace, to put an end to the war, to spare the further effusion of blood, some night be found who would by negotiation agree to that limit of Mexico-would surrender all till to the rest of Texas. But who is there were the surface of the s is there who would now propose to fair back to me videces, and abandon at once the intermediate territory, the very fields of Palo Alto and Resace In de Palma to Mexico, and fight her only to the banks of the Nucees? I believe, I hope for the honor of a com-mon allegiance, that there is not one. I have said that I trusted mon allegiance, that there is not one. I have said that I trusted for the sake of our heretofore stainless character, that the opinion I have thus fully endenvored to maintain was correct—that the war is on our part a just one

Is not our part a pass one.

If not, sry, why is it not? It is because without justification we answed Mexican blood to be spilled upon the spilled upon

them to the sword—shaughtered them by hundreds and thousands, and driven the survivors away. Sir, would not such a tule of wrong, of itself, cover our country with ignominy? But it is not yet half fold. What cles have we done? We have setzed upon it as a pretext for other, and if possible, yet deeper enormities. We have published to the world a falsehood. We have estated that conceast the true character of our outrage. We have stated that content of the state of the world in the state of the wide have stated that the whole anyal power of the government at the disposition of the Executive—entrusted him with ten unillions of dollars, and carried the whole naval power of the government at the disposition of the Executive—entrusted him with ten unillions of dollars, and carried on the war thus begun—took possession of their towns, bombarded Montrery—carried it almost by storm, slaughtering men and women by hundreds and thousands. Still the story is not told. The damning dishonor is not yet as dark as the truth. Another Condamning dishonor is not yet as dark as the truth. Another Condamning dishonor is not yet as dark as the truth. Another Condamning dishonor is not yet as dark as the truth. Another Condamning dishonor is not yet as dark as the truth. Another Condamning dishonor is not yet as dark as the truth. Another Condamning dishonor is not yet as dark as the truth. Another Condamning dishonor is not yet as dark as the truth. Another Condamning dishonor is not yet as dark as the truth. Another Condamning dishonor is not yet as dark as the truth. Another Condamning dishonor is not yet as dark as the truth. Another Condamning dishonor is not yet as dark as the truth. Another Condamning dishonor is not yet as a substantial truth of the condamning dishonor is not yet as a substantial truth of the condamning dishonor is not yet as a substantial truth of the condamning dishonor is not yet as a substantial truth. Another Condamning dishonor is not yet as a substantial truth of the condamning dishonor is not yet as a s

We hear of an intention to strike outraged Mexico in yet more vital points—we do not arrest it. We saider the expedition to go on. Before the Mexican blood is yet dry upon the fields of Pala Alto, Rescaed de la Palma, Montrery and Buena Vista, Vera Cruz is bombarded. Her churches fall under the dreadful aim of the mortant and the strength of the most proper than the strength of the most proper than the strength of the most proper than the strength of the most proper face is obstructed by the mangled bodies of her slaughtered etitizens, until at last, her valor can hold out no longer before the mighty and crushing power of our arms. She surrenders. Yet still, our vengeance is not glutted. Innocent, unoffending, outraged Mexico has yet more cities to be laid waste or conpered—women and children to be slunging callant blood to be shed—more women and children to be slunging callant blood to be shed—more women and children to be slunging callant blood to be shed—more women and children to be slunging callant blood, we want to the slunging callant blood to be shed—more women and children to be slunging callant blood to be shed—more women and children to be slunging callant blood to be shed—more women and children to be slunging callant blood to be shed—more beautiful to suffer. We have not yet that our fall of blood. We never you fine findish progress. At Cerro Gordo, Cherubusco, Chepultappe, Dulin the very form fendish progress. We lay siege to the City of Mexico itself—bombard its peaceful dwellings—make her streets to run with human blood, and slaughter again women and children, until resistance becomes unavailing. We get possession of the Capital, and yet carry on the contest. Sie can our country have done such that the street is transmission and privitions, can our country have done such that the surface of the streets to run with human blood, and slaughter again women and children, until resistance becomes unavailing. We get possession of the Capital, and yet carry on the contest. Sie, can our country have done

But no sirvo sir, it is not so. She is high-minded, just and honorable. She is civilized, not savage. Her citizens are moral and christian. Those seenes are in the eye of God and man to be justified, because necessary to our honor, and forced upon us in vindleatino of our violated rights. Mexico is answerable for all these sad and sickening results. The war is just, because she commenced it. It does exist by her act, and, so help me God, but for that conviction, as I reverence truth and detest falsebood, I would never have voted for the net of the 15th May, '46.

So far I have been considering the justice of the war as between the two beligerents—the United States and Mexico, as nations.—But another and a material inquiry presents itself. What, independent of the attack upon our troops on the Rio Grande, the immediate cause of the war, was its remote cause? Upon this point I agree, I believe, and have ever agreed with my political frieads, and, as I think, with hundreds and thousands of our political opponents. That cause is to be found in two measures of the President of the United States. The first, the mode he pursued under the first of Texas of the 1st March, 45, to consummate the annexation of Texas of the States. The first, the mode he pursued under the output of the states of the states

immediate cause, his order to march our troops to the Riv crande. Upon both these points I proceed to give my opinion with these points I proceed to give my opinion which is due to the executive officer of the government. First. The mode he adopted of consummating amexation—The resolution of Congress of 1st March presented alternative modes. Under the one, Texas was to be admitted without any precedent definition of her houndaries. Under the other, there was to be such a definition. Sir, I will not stop to enquire into the secret history of that resolution, in this holy. The treaty be seen the story of that resolution, in this holy. The treaty boundary than that which Texas claimed appears by the debates in this chamber, because in the judgment of some Senators on the other side, such claim was untrue and invalid—invalid because a large portion of the torritory embraced within the asserted limits was clearly a portion of Mexico. The treaty being rejected, the resolution of the 1st March, 1845, came to the Senate. That, too, was open to the same objection as the treaty. It let the boundary to depend on the claim of Texas. It was impossible for those who thought the trenty was obnaxious on that ground, and on that ground voted against it, to give it their

support.

But they did vote for it, after getting it amended by the insertion of an afternative mode. Sir, how happened it that this clange of form reconciled them to the measure? Could it have been for any other reason than because they were satisfied that that afternative would be pursued by the Prosident? Such afternative obviated the

objection of an unsettled and unjust boundary. It looked to nego-tiation as the remedy to avoid all difficulty either with Texas or Mexico. It looked to annexation, without the hazard of war, and was designed for the pure and patriotic purpose of maintaining the peace and honor of the United States. Now, sir, I do not allege that this was the ground of their support, and still less that they had any assurance from the President upon the subject; but I do allege that I can conceive no other reason for their vote upon the resolution at all in keeping with their characters for high training the state of the state moment's subsequent deliberation, a messenger was despatched to the government of Texas, inviting her into the Union, under the first alternative-and under the first alternative, she came into the Union.

Now, sir, I charge upon the President, that this hasty and illadvised step was the remote cause of the present war. I charge it upon him, that if he had acted prudently, and cautiously, and wisely, he would have proceeded under the other alternative, and have saved the dreadful effusion of blood the world has been compelled to witness.

pelled to witness.

I charge it upon hira that the course which he did pursue was inconsistent with that uniform palicy of his predecessors to avoid, or to seek to avoid, by every possible and honorable means, that direct of almost all national evils—war.

Sir, it is no defence that Congress authorized the step he did They to be sure authorized it, but did not command it. They left with him, unwisely, I think—certainly I would not have done it—the discretion to adopt it. But he knew—must have known that some of the wisest and purest of statesmen predicted that it would end in war; and that some of the wisest and purest of the statesmen belonging to his own political party, entertained that opinion. He knew that a majority of the Senate, his constitutional advisers, were firmly of that opinion. He knew they had promptly rejected a treaty upon that very ground, and that alone; and yet in defiance of all this, he headlong takes the obnoxious step, and the war ensues. The responsibility is upon his head, and heavy, and

overwhelming is that responsibility.

Sir, annexation of itself would not have been war—Mexico had Sir, annexation of itself would not have been war—Mexico had no right to make it a cause of war. Texas independence had been too long established and undisturbed, to have her absolute right of sovereignty called in question—acknowledged by the principal powers of the world, all had a right to say, that revolution had right to say, that revolution had righened into title, and especially had the United States, the neighbor of the new government, that right. Nor do I believe, Mr. President, that Mexico, proud and arrogant as she then was, would have dared on account of the treaty of amexation to make war upon the United States. No, sir, if was the manner, not war upon the United States. No, sir, if was the manner, nor my opinion, the utter rashness of the President's course. I repeat, therefore, my settled conviction, that the President is on this netherefore, my settled conviction, that the President is on this ac-count answerable for the war. But, upon the second ground to count answerable for the war. But, upon the second ground to which I have referred, his liability is even yet more manifest, and without a shadow of justification or excuse. Sir, I need not say

that I impute no improper motives to the President. He has no doubt, I hope acted under a mistaken sense of duty. But in my opinion, sir, the order to march our army to the Rio Grande was a flagrant violation of that duty—was ill advised, reckless

and clearly against the spirit of the constitution.

and clearly against the spirit of the constitution.

Sir, he could not but have known that such a measure was likely to bring on hostilities. He could not but have known that such hostilities would be in the judgment of the nation, war. The war making power is exclusively vested in Congress, for wise, high and vital reasons of public policy. No man would be made enough to repose such a dreaded power in the executive. The security of freedom and peace demands, that those who are to pay the expenses of war, should alone have the right to declare it. Congress was then in session, why were they not consulted I was it apprehended that they would not be such a step haund the peace of the every possible effort before taking a steps of likely to involve us I Sir, I hope not, I am bound in respect to the President to believe not. But, sir, the fact remains. Is there a citizen in the United States of any intelligence who can doubt it, that Congress never would, in the then condition of things, have suffered; if they could have prevented it, much less ordered that mach.

I charge therefore upon the President that as far as the United

I charge therefore upon the President that as far as the United States and himself are concerned, he is the author of the war. He and he only, and upon his hands rests the blood which has crimsonand the dits many glorious battle fields. But this, sir, is a question between the country and the President. Mexico had no right on that account to assail our flag.

To her it made no difference under what authority of this government our troops were on the Rio Grande. We had, I repeat, and hope I have shown, a right to send them there, and her attack upon them was, as regards him and ourselves, war actually

begun by her.

Sir, our flag has waved in proud glory over every field of con flict. The nation's heart has beat high with pride and gratitude to the brave spirits who have carried it, for their matchless gallantry and skill. Upon the nation's brow no blush need to be seen. They were not permitted to avoid the horrid strife. Their President, without their knowledge, rashly involved the nation's honor. dent, without their knowledge, rasnly involved the nation's honor. That honor was then illegally assailed. They had no choice but to vindicate it. Theirs is all the glory which has been achieved. The President hereafter, when in the retirement of private life, and reviewing the scenes of these bloody conflicts, however it may be now, will take no joy in the remembrance of our triumphs. The voice of conscience will tell him that all the blood of the battle was of his shedding. The tale of its glory to him, will be lost amidst the agonizing cries of the widows and the orphans it has made.— Sir, I repeat it, I alledge no improper motive to the Executive, but as I believe that I am now addressing you, do I believe that upon the President rests the blood and expenses of the war, and upon him, therefore, I charge them.

Without concluding, Mr. Johnson gave way to a motion to adjourn, and

The Senate adjourned.

# TUESDAY, JANUARY 11, 1848.

### REPORT FROM THE WAR DEPARTMENT.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a Report of the Secretary of War, made agreeably to law, exhibiting the expendi-tures from the contingent funds of the Department, its Bureaus and Offices, during the fiscal year cuding June 30, 1847.

Mr. BRADBURY presented the memorial of John O. Means, praying compensation for services as Purser on board the United States brig Dolphin, in the year 1843; which was referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

Mr. MASON presented the memorial of Thomas Jefferson Randolph, executor of Thomas Jefferson, deceased, formerly President of the United States, praying Congress to purchase the manu-script papers of said Thomas Jefferson; which was referred to the Committee on the Library.

Mr. CASS presented the petition of I. C. Montague and others, citizens of Memphis, Tennessee, praying that bounty lands may be granted to the soldiers of the regular army who served in the battles of Palo Alto, Resaca de la Palma, and Monterey; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

On motion by Mr. CASS, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Shadrach Gillet and others, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Private Land Claims.

### NOTICES OF BILLS, ETC.

Mr. HUNTER, Mr. BREESE, and Mr. DIX, severally gave notice that on to-morrow they would ask leave of the Senate to introduce certain bills.

Mr. DICKINSON stated, that the discussion on the Military Bill had extended farther than he had anticipated, and he thought it proper that he should notify the Scnate that he would call up his resolutions to morrow, during the morning hour; not for the purpose of having a general discussion upon them; but merely in order to submit some remarks which he had intended to make upon them at an earlier period.

# CONTRIBUTIONS IN MEXICO.

Mr. DAYTON submitted the following resolution for consideration.

Resolved, That the President be requested to furnish to the Senate (if in his jindyment out incompatible with the public service), copies of the letter referred to in his Message, of Georeal Scott, of 50th May, 1847, and of the letter of Georeal Taylor of 25th October, 1846, so the subject of "forced contributions in Mexico," and of all other correspondence on that subject, (if any,) on heretofore commonicated.

Mr. DAYTON asked that the resolution be now considered.

Mr. SEVIER objected, and it was laid over, under the rule,

# MR. TRIST'S CORRESPONDENCE.

MR. BALDWIN submitted the following Resolution for consideration.

Retrieved. That the Provident of the United States he requested to communicate for the information of the Sente, the correspondence between the Commandment from the United States and the Commissoners appeared by the government of Mescacio negetities with him, during the suspension of bookinits, where the buttles of Constant of Mescacio negetities with him, during the suspension of bookinits, where the buttles of Constant on the Constant of th

Objection being made to the consideration of the resolution at this time, it was laid over under the rule.

# ISAAC VARNES, SR.

# On motion by Mr. BADGER, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on Military Affairs be discharged from the further consideration of the memorial of Isaac Varnes, senior, and that it he referred to the Committee of Claims.

# CREED TAYLOR.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. ASHLEY asked, and obtained leave to bring in a bill for the relief of Creed Taylor; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

### ALTERATION OF THE JUDICIAL SYSTEM.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. ASHLEY asked, and obtained leave to bring in a bill to alter and amend the judicial system of the United States; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on the Judi ciary.

### GRANT OF LAND.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. FELCH asked, and obtained leave to bring in a bill to apply certain alternate sections of the public domain toward the completion of the Clinton and Kalamazoo Canal, in the State of Michigan; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

### SUSAN E. GORDON.

Mr. MASON, from the Committee of Claims, to whom had been referred the bill for the relief of Susan E. Gordon, reported it with an amendment.

Mr. MASON also submitted a report on the subject; which was ordered to be printed.

The bill was read, and passed to the second reading,

# INCREASE OF THE MEDICAL STAFF.

Mr. CASS, from the Committee on Military Affairs, reported a bill for an increase of the medical staff of the army for a limited time; which was read, and passed to the second reading.

# JONES AND BOKER.

Mr. RUSK, from the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads, to whom had been referred the petition of Jones and Boker, submitted a report, accompanied by a bill for their relief.

The bill was read, and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

# INDIAN RESERVATIONS.

Mr. SEVIER, from the Committee on Indian Affairs, to whom had been referred the bill authorizing persons to whom reserva-tions of land have been made under Indian treaties to alienate the same in fee, reported the same without amendment.

# DONIPHAN'S EXPEDITION.

The Senate proceeded to consider the following resolutions submitted by Mr. BENTON, on the 3d instant:

Resolved, That there be prioted for the use of the Seoute — copies of the tour or memoir of Dr. Walizemus, through the authern parts of Mexco, as physician to Col. Dissiphar's columnic length a huncy of the expedition of Cal. Desphare, with scendish observations with the contract observations and the second contract of the contract of the second contract of the second companies that a companies the same. Also, the same number of the bramentical map of the specific of elevations above the level of the sea from St. Leous in Missour, on the bee of the sea from St. Leous in Missour, on the bee of the sea from St. Leous in Missour, on the bee of the sea from St. Leous in Missour, on the bee of the st. One of the sea from St. Leous in Missour, on the bee of the st. One of the sea from St. Leous in Missour, on the bee of the sea for the sea from St. Leous in Missour, on the bee of the sea from St. Leous in Missour, on the bee of the sea from St. Leous in Missour, on the bee of the sea from St. Leous in Missour, on the bee of the sea from St. Leous in Missour, on the beet of the sea from St. Leous in Missour, on the beet of the sea from St. Leous in Missour, on the beet of the sea from St. Leous in Missour, on the leous from St. Leous

# On motion by Mr. DIX, it was

Ordered, That they be referred to the Committee on Printing.

# EDITORS OF THE UNION

The Senate proceeded to consider the following resolution, submitted by Mr. Mason on the 6th instant:

Resolved, That the Editors of the Uoion, a newspaper printed at Washington, and who were late printers to the Senate, be hereafter entitled to the sama access to the floor of the Senate, which is now extended to others who were former like printers.

The resolution having been read, and the question being upon its adoption-

Mr. MANGUM said: I hope the question will be postponed until another day. The matter The matter seems to attract no attention what-

Mr. WESTCOTT, (from his scat.)-The Senator mistakes the subject of the resolution.

Mr. MANGUM .- What is the resolution, then?

Mr. WESTCOTT.—It does not refer to the reporters of the Senate. It is to admit Ritchie & Heiss to the privilege of the floor of the Senate. I believe they are not now officers or printers of the Senate. I would inquire of the Senater as to this fact. I think the resolution proposes to give the same privilege as for-mer printers to the Senate now have. I did not hear the reading distinctly, but I believe this is the purport.

At the request of Mr. Cameron, the resolution was again read.

Mr. CAMERON then moved that the resolution be amended by inserting the words "and publishers" after the word "editors." He believed that Mr. Heiss was known not as editor but as pub-

Mr. HANNEGAN.—Before any question is taken on the reso-lution, I would suggest, that as the Senator who introduced it is not now in his place, it would be as well that it be permitted to lie upon the table for the present.

Mr. WESTCOTT.—I wish to say one word. I am not op-ported to this resolution. Regarding it as an act of elemency—a parden by the Senate—a mere act of grace—I shall vote for it, and I presume on that ground no Senator will oppose it, and if con-sidered now it will be adopted.

Mr. SEVIER.—The resolution is very clear and explicit in its terms, being intended merely to extend to those gentlemen the same courtesy as others in a like situation are entitled to claim.

The question being taken on the amendment, it was agreed to; and the question then being on the adoption of the resolution as amended—

Mr. BERRIEN said: I suppose that the purpose of the mover of this resolution might be accomplished by resinding the resolution of last session. I am not aware, myself, what are the privileges which are referred to; but I am perfectly content that the purpose of the resolution shall be accomplished. I think that the sense of the Senate, regarding the occurrence which induced the resolution of the last session, was expressed by the passage of that resolution. That purpose being accomplished, I am perfectly willing to see the object of this resolution attained. But I think, though I will not urge it, I think it would be more pertinently accomplished by rescinding the resolution of last session.

Mr. MASON, having returned to his seat, said: When I introduced the resolution, I had hoped that it would be met by those
who voted for the resolution of last session, in the spirit in which
it has been met by the distinguished Senator from Georgia. I am
gratified with it. The resolution is drawn with an eurast desire
not to provoke discussion, and although I should acquiesce cheerfully in a proposition to rescind the former resolution, yet I had
thought, and still think, and I am still further strengthened in this
major by conversations which I have had with genelment account opinion by conversations which I have had with gentlemen around me, that the resolution will be more acceptable in the form in which it now stands.

Mr. DAYTON.—If the question be put upon the resolution as it now stands, my impression is, that it will be adopted.

The question was then put on agreeing to the resolution as amended, and it was decided in the affirmative.

The bill for the relief of Mary McRea, widow of Lieut. Col. William McRea, late of the United States army, deceased, was read the second time, and considered as in Committee of the

Mr. TURNEY called for the reading of the report in this case, and it was read by the Secretary.

Mr. CASS sent to the Secretary's table a letter from Mrs. Mc-Rea, which was read.

Mr. PEARCE said : When this bill was before the Senate at a Mr. PEARCE said: When this bill was before the Senate at a former session, I felt it my duty to oppose it, and I shall be compelled to do so now. I think the report contains a very erroneous statement. I find it stated, that if an officer of the navy dies in the service his widow will be entitled to a pension, and that as both military and naval pensions are now paid out of the Treasury, there is no good reason for discriminating between widows of officers of the description. cers of the army, and those of officers of the navy. Well, all this is a mistake. The widow of a naval officer, as I understand it, if the officer be killed in battle, or die from exposure in the service if the officer be killed in battle, or die from exposure in the service, receives a pension, but not where the officer spends a life in the service, receiving its honors and emoluments, and dies from old age. It is true that when the naval pension fund, created from the earnings of the navy, had accumulated to a large amount, Congress very improvidently passed a law, by which all widows of naval officers were entitled to pensions from the time of the death of their husbands, without reference to the cause or the death of their husbands, without reference to the cause or the were granted to widows under these creams and the contraction of the contract of the cont

30TH CONG .- 1ST SESSION-No. 12.

became exhausted; and Congress then repealed the act, and now there is no general provision on the subject. This bill is the introthere is no general provision on the subject. This bill is the intro-duction of a new principle, and one which I think entirely indefenadection of a new principle, and one when I timis entirely indetensible. Why do we give pensions to the widows of officers of the army or navy? I think it is to repair to them in some degree the injury sustained by them in the loss of their husbands, which has been brought on by exposure in the service. It is partly for this object, and partly to stimulate officers in the performance of their

Mr. DIX.—Will the Senator allow this subject to pass by informally, as the honorable Senator, who was Chairman of the Committee on Military Affairs last year, and who made this report, is not now in his place

Mr. Pearce acquiesced, and a motion being made to that elect the bill was laid upon the table.

# THE TEN REGIMENT BILL.

The Senate resumed the consideration, on its third reading, of the bill to raise, for a limited time, an additional military force.

Mr. JOHNSON.—I have said all that I proposed to say upon the part of the subject to which I called the attention of the Senate yesterday. It is possible, however, that in what I am about to offer to the consideration of the Senate, I shall incidentally refer to

it again.

I rise this morning, sir, to speak in the first place, of the actual conduct of this war under the management of the President of the United States. The war was recognized as existing on the 13th of May, 1846. We are now in the month of January, 1848, and to all appearance, the restoration of peace is as far off, and even farther, than it seemed to be on the 13th of May, 1846. The whole power of the nation, so far as he has deemed it advisable to ask to have that power devolved upon him, has been placed in the hands of the Executive. Not an occasion—although there have been so many and such glorious ones—has presented itself in which the Ameriand such glorious ones—has presented itself in which the Ameri-can arms have not been triumphant. And yet there is no peace. My opinion is, and has been throughout, that the reason is to be referred exclusively to the want of vigor with which the war has been prosecuted. We have had an ostentatious and asserted vi-gor, but we have had nothing clea as far as the President is concerned.

I am very far from imputing-because I am incapable of making I am very far from imputing—because I am incapatite of maxing a charge which I do not believe to be true—I am very far from imputing, that this want of actual vigor has been intentional on the part of the Executive. So far from it, I believe that be has been deluding himself from time to time, with the idea that peace was to be obtained without the ellission of blood—a seld delusion—one which must bereafter constitute a great and overwhelming account

of responsibility against himself.

of responsibility against himself.

I said yesterday, that it was the march of our troops from the Nucces to the Rio Grande, that was, in my opinion, the immediate cause of the war. I say to-day what I have had occasion to say in other places, over and over again—that I believe that that march of itself, if it had been made with a proper force, would not actually have led to such a result. I have no doubt that if, instead of sending the small but gallant band—the heroes of Palo Alto and Resaca de la Palma—to the Rio Grande, he had sent from 5,000 to 8,000 men, not a drop of blood would have been shed, and no Mexican ever have ventured to have trodden the soil on this side Mexican ever have ventured to have rodden the soil on this side of the river in hostile attitude. But sir, the war commenced, was recognized, and 50,000 troops, with an unlimited amount of treasure, were freely placed at the disposal of the Executive together with an implied promise such as to give the President the assurance (if such were needed,) that this unlimited amount out, if the expression may be excused, be made still more unlimited, and yet what has be done? Instead of calling out twenty or thirty or forty or fifty thousand men, as he was authorized to do by the act of the 18th of May, 1846, he and the officers at the bead of the War Department called them out by driblets—and announced to the country from time to time that they had a sufficiency of to the county from time to time that they had a sufficiency of force to conquer a peace. What has been the consequence? That which every man of intelligence who speaks as he thinks must acknowledge—that great and mighty and extraordinary as have been which every man of intelligence was speaks as he times must acknowledge—that great and mighty and extraordinary as have been the triumphs of the American arms, they have hardly furnished as anything but the glory attending them. They have, to be sure, illustrated the American character for valor and with the surface and the surface of the surface and they are the surface and they are the surface and they are the surface and the surface are surface and the surface are surface and the surface and the surface are surface are surface and the surface are surface and the surface are surface and the surface ar

Sir, look at the history of the campaign on the Rio Grande. General Taylor, who, with a few thousand men, marched to Monterey, and succeeded, after a dread and fearful conflict, in carrying terey, and succeeded, after a dread and fearful conflict, in carrying that almost impregnably fortified town—was so far crippled that he was unable to hold even the prisoners that he might take. What happened afterwards? The plan of the campaiga is changed, some new hight dawns upon the mind of the Executive. and Mexico is to be stricken in a different part. There is a point still more vital to be assailed—a point still more certain, if assailed, to lead to the restoration of peace, and of exploit is striped out of the contract of the c and indomitable valor of the few soldiers left behind to guard it. Almost with electric speed it becomes known at the city of Mex-ico, and an army such as she had never before marched into the field, was organized, amounting to some twenty or twenty-five thousand troops, and led on by their greatest chief.

Mr. Prosident, much as his previous successes had satisfied every American that Taylor, and the officers and men under his command, were competent to accomplish almost any triumph that human power could accomple h, was there one who did not then tremble for their latt? And the fact that they were not atterly annihilated, may be considered almost a military miracle. Disparity of force was comparatively nothing before the energies of American solders, and in the named of former mittary triumples, the products of them all will hereafter be regarded as nothing in comparison with the viterories of Buena Vista. They are thrown in the shade by the brilliant light of all the world willst it electrical the American heart, shedured at the world.

whilst it electrified the American neart, astonated the work.

Let us book now, sir, to the campaign of last year. General

Scot: was compelled to assail the city of Vera Cruz with between

12,000 a. 4 14,000 troops, and to carry at all hazards a castle

sunnosed to be impreguable. Ho succeeded in accomplishing it, supposed to be impregnable. He succeeded in accomplishing it, but he has done little or nothing beyond that. Every hattle which was fought between Vera Cruz and the city of Mexico was fought. with a disparity of numbers actually appalling. That noble leader was forced to march a distance of 150 or 200 miles, (I forget the exact distance,) into the enemy's country, and for a great portion of the way through a dense population, to assail a city containing 130,000 or 200,000 inhabitants, surrounded by fortifications, which 150,000 of 200,000 inhabitants, surrounded by fortifications, which were supposed to be impregnable, and without even the means of keeping up his communication with the seaboard for the purpose of getting supplies. These supplies found their way to him from time to time by the gallantry of the essort, who were obliged to fight for by foot almost every wile of their progress. And at the end of all his great and extinordinary triumphs, Seott finds himself in the city of Mexico with only some 6,000 soldiers. Now, self in the city of Mexico with only some 6,000 soldiers. Now, ser, who does not believe their, if he had started with an army of 30,000 men, although he might then have been deprived of the glory of his many victories, we might have been in possession of the city of Mexico perhaps without the shedding of a drop of blood— American or Mexicae? Who can doubt that it is the duty of an Executive managing a war declared to exist by a Christian people to do what the honorable Senator from Mississippi, (Mr. Davis.) said it would be the effect of this bill to accomplish—not to insure a triumph on the battle field, but to avoid a battle by accomplishing success by force of numbers—to break down the moral power of the enemy—to conquer a peace by demonstrating to that enemy that resistance is in vain. All at once (I find to that enemy that resistance is in vain. All at once (I find no fault with it; on the contrary, I rejoice at it,) the eyes of the Excentive have been opened. All at once it seems to be perceivan fault was a second or control of the army on the Kio Giande nad been sacrineed; who would have had the equally tremendous responsibility, if those gallant spirits now in the halfs of the Montezumas had been sacrificed. The nations of the world would have said, with one accord, the Executive of the United States. Upon him the responsibility for the useless and cruel expenditures of blood and of treasure would have rested. Sir, I make bold to say, in speaking in the presence of those who know infinitely more upon such subjects than I do. that if the President had called out the 50,000 volunteers after hearing of the battles of Palo Alto and Resaca de la Palma, there hearing of the patties of Falo Aito and Ressac de la Falma, there never would have been another blow struck in Mexico; and peace long since restored. The Mexicans, to be sure, have a high and indomitable spirit, (I speak particularly of that portion of them who have in their years the true Castilian blood;) but they know but they know there may be a point at which resistance ceases to be a virtue, and they would have seen that that was their condition when they saw a determination on the part of the United States to exert their whole power in the accomplishment of the purpose for which war had been declared.

Who can doubt, that if the Executive of the United States had Who can doubt, that if the Executive of the United States Indianonneed that the purpose of the war was merely to procure indemnity for wrongs, and to vindicate outraged character, and to maintain the honor of our flag; and had placed fifty thousand troops in Mexico, as he might have done, it would have led to a research on the outraged with the process of the second of the United States has not peace, because the President of the United States has not exerted the approach of Court of the United States has not extend the approach of the United States has not extend the approach of the United States has not extend the approach of Court of the United States have not work to the second which Courted the States has not peaced. to it executions to be under the state of the second of th

The second, to withdraw them to what is termed a defensive line; and, the third, to earry on the war in the heart of the Mexico the riviory until Mexico agree to terms of peace. I prefer the last. Mr. President, in saying that I prefer the last, 1 do not wish to be misunderstood. I prefer the last if the end to be obtained is not one which, in my judgment, would tarnish the American name.

prefer the last, if the object to be accomplished is merely the vinprofer the last, if the object to be accomplished is merely the varied dication of our violated shonor, and indemnity for our heretofore vi-olated rights. But if the purpose of this war with the President of the United States, is to annihilate the nationality of Mexico—if it is the forcible dismemberment of her territory, then I say on my responsibility as a Scantor and a man, I would not give him a

Sir, we live at a time when character is of immense value with nations, as we know it to be with individuals; and if there be any one thing which more than another stains national character, it using national power to inflict national injustice. And if there be any national crime, more crying and enormous in the opinion of all Christendom, than any other, it is the foreible dismemberment of

the territory of a weaker nation.

When I say, Mr. President, that I am for fighting the war outmean 1 say, our. Fresheen, tast 1 am for nighting the war outI mean that I am for fighting it out in order to "accomplish the
purpose which we seek to have accomplished," that is to have American rights recognished, and American honor vindicated, and so recognised, and so vindicated as to furnish full and complete securi-

ty against any subsequent violation.

The two first points to which I have referred, the proposition The two first points to which I have referred, the proposition for withdrawing the troops, and that for taking a defensive line, I have already said, I cannot concur in. To withdraw the troops altogether in my judgment would be national dishoner, and I cannot therefore entertain the idea for a moment. To take a defensive line would not in my judgment lead to peace, but would on the contract of the properties of the propert would be attended with infinitely greater expense than that fighting it out. Now sir, the objection to carrying the war on, is the expenditure of money with which it will be necessarily attended, and the consequent derangement of the whole financial condied, and the consequent derangement of the whole financial condi-tion of the country. My impression is, from some examination which I have given the subject and with an anxious desire to arrive at a satisfactory result—that the war may be carried on in Mexi-co, without the expenditure of a single American dollar; and of course without affecting the pecuniary condition of the American people, or the pecuniary condition of the government itself. The resources of the Moxican government, Mr. President, even under all the disadvantageous circumstances under which that goverament has existed, are infinitely greater it seems to me, than is gene-rally supposed. The fact is that until a comparatively recent period, indeed until a short time before the commencement of the war with ourselves, the expenditures of their government have been about \$21,000,000 annually. And it has been appropriated to these purposes. They have had an army of 30,000 men, always on pay, list bill of about \$6,000,000, and interest to he paid on the public debt to the amount of \$5,000,000, making \$21,000,000. Their army has been punctually paid, their evit list punctually met, and the interest on the public debt, until, as I have said, a compara-tively recent period, has I cen met with equal punctuality. From what resources, sir! The products of their mines, when they are what resources, sir? The products of their mines, when they are in full operation; and lor a spries of years, when in such full operation, they have produced\$\(\sigma\).000,000 per year. They charge what is termed a transit duty on all the bullion that is drawn from the mines until it reaches the port of exportation. This transit duty is paid in the form of a pennit, granted on the part of the govern-ment, to convey the bullion from town to town, the permit being renewed at each terminas until it reaches the place of exportation; and when it gets there, it is subject to an export duty of one per cent. The average amount of these transport duties, until per cent. The average amount of these transport duties, until the bullion reaches the place of exportation, is about two and a half or three per cent. The gross amount of bullion drawn from the mines, as I have said, is about \$25,000,000. The Senate will easily perceive then, the amount derived from this source, with an average of two and a half or three per cent. as transit duty, and with a specific export duty of one per cent. upon the value of every pound of builon exported. The next source of revenue and the amount that it raises, it is almost impossible to calculate, and the amount that transes, it is almost impossible to elicionary or rather to speak more corrolly; the amount it would raise if posses was restored to Mexico, if that country was restored to quiet, and business operations were permitted to go on in their collistry course. This source is the stamp tax. They characteristic what is termed a stamp to an overy description of contract transwhat is termed a stump tax on every description of contract transferring every description of property, of, or exceeding the value of \$500. That is to say, every contract between man and man relative to property worth \$500, is to be written upon stamp paper, for which the parties pay to the stamp officers six dollars. But according to their laws, contracts of this description are left in the hands of a Notary and are recorded among the official transactions of the Notary, making it necessary for the parties to the contract, if they desire to have in their possession making the collection of the parties of the contract, if they desire to have in their possession of the parties to the contract, if they desire to have in their possession. evidence of the contract, to obtain official copies, and the result

evidence of the contract, to obtain official copies, and the result is that each one of the parties almost invariably takes a copy of the contract. The copy is also written on stamped paper, and for the capies there is paid as its ollars each.

There is another source, and that is a duty on the sale overything that is sold. Nothing passes from hand to hand by way of sale that does not pay a specific duty, regulated by the amount of the value of the articles so passing. Now, I will not estimate the amount thus derived; it is sufficient for my purpose to state the fact as I understand the fact to be, that the amount of revenue received from these sources has been ahundantly sufficient to enable the government of Mexico to meet punctually its disbursements to the amount of 21,000,000 dollars. This amount

would support any army which we might put into the field; and this we would have a perfect right to a still contained and confiscate individual property. We are to a purpose of justice, which would not be a purpose of put to be a purpose of the contained as the put is well as the put is well as the put is the put to the stand up to the put to the standard as private citizens, and prove, by the fact that we are thoroughly able to enforce it, that the restoration of peace depends on their submission. Now, I believe as confidently as I can anything of which we have any certain knowledge, that by pursuing a course of this description for the purpose of enforcing a terminary to the same course of this description for the purpose of enforcing a terminary to the same property of the purpose of enforcing a terminary to the same property of the purpose of enforcing a terminary to the same property of the purpose of enforcing a terminary to the same property of the purpose of enforcing a terminary to the same property of the purpose of enforcing a terminary to the same property of the purpose of enforcing a terminary to the same property of the purpose of enforcing a terminary to the same property of the purpose of enforcing a terminary to the same property of the purpose of enforcing a terminary to the same property of the purpose of enforcing a terminary to the same property of the same property of the purpose of the purpose of enforcing a terminary to the same property of the purpose of the purpose of the purpose of enforcing a terminary to the same property of the purpose of the p would support any army which we might put into the field; and tuning of which we have any certain knowledge, that by pursaling a centre of this description for the purpose of enforcing a termination of this war, we shall not only obtain money as much as is necessary for the support of our army, but that of the money spent, no portion of it will come from the people of the United

Now, I know the objection that may be raised as to the consequence that may result from taking possession of the whole country. I think I can see almost as clearly as does the honorable Senator from South Carolina, (Mr. Calhoux) the result of incorrections. porating the whole of Mexico into this Union; and, if I believed that the object was the incorporation of the whole of Mexico into that the object was the incorporation of the whole of Mexcoo into the Union by the further prosecution of the war, much as I should hangay brad in mortification and shame for the honer of my onner. I would willingly submit to the withdrawal of the troops, rather than prosecute the war an hour longer. But I do not believe that such is to be the result. I do not believe that the people of the United States would suffer an Executive of olicer to perpetrate such a wrong, if the Executive even had it in contemplation. I do not believe that they would ever themselves assist an Executive to accombine superhand object. I would have much less Executive to accomplish such an object. I would have much less confidence in them than I have, if I thought it possible, that the mere lust of rapine, the mere lust of territory, would lead them to dishonor the American name by blotting from existence a neighboring and feebler nation.

soung and recoter nation.

I am for prosecuting the war, because I believe the consequence will be to bring Mexico the earlier to her senses, and to prompt her cheerfully to accept terms of peace. And this brings me to say a word or two on what should be, in my opinion, the terms of

such a peace.

Now, sir, I speak for myself, though I bave no doubt that I speak the sense of most of the friends around me. My honorable friend from South Court in the other day, in the speech which he did not not have a such as the people of the Used States were irrevecably determined on taking most of the theory of the weak of the weak of the such as the such a the purpose of terminating the war, rather than that Mexico should be compelled by the force of our arms to dismember herself. Now, in expressing this hope, and in expressing the opinion that the war might be honorably terminated by taking the Rio Grande as the boundary, I wish it not to be supposed that I am opposed as the boundary. I was it not to be supposed that I am opposed to the acquisition of additional territory. I am against the acquisition of territory by force. I am not opposed to the acquisition of territory of itself, but for one consideration which weighs in my judgment, and which has had heretofore and still has a controlling judgment, and which has had herestofore and still has a controlling operation. Mr. President, I fear—I greatly fear, the conflict to go and a sequisition would lead. The honorable Senator from New Hampshire (Mr. Halle) told the Senate the other day that the true origin of this war lay in the settled purpose on the part of the South to perpetuate and extend slavery. I am not alluding to this now with any design to try conclusion will parlow mee for telling him that the world it, desires to discuss. He will permit the state of the sta that it has been owing to the exciting discussions on this subject in the North, that slavery now exists in many of the Southern in the North, that slavery now exists in many of the Southern States. He will permit me to tell him, that as far as I know, it has been his course, and that of those whose sentiments he speaks, on this subject, which has done more than anything else towards its perpetuation.

its perpetuation.

The greatest practical advocates of slavery have been the Senator himself and his particular constituents. Sir, I have my opinions upon this subject as deeply instilled, as can have the Senator from New Hampshire. They were almost born with me; they have been confirmed by the experience of every day of my life. However, I have been confirmed by the experience of every day of my life. The new parts of the property of the pro I ney nave ocen strengtucae ny the experience of an 1 nave seen around me. I do not choose to express them on this floor unless the question is pressed home. But whilst I have fixed and unalter-able convictions as to the mere institution of slavery itself as a poable convictions as to the mece institution of slavery itself as a political or civil institution, I have another conviction as deeply and irrevocably fixed, and that is a conviction, that the Southern States were it to themselves, one and all of them, to stand on their own rights, to vindicate their own equality, and exclusively at their own time, and without the interference of others, to meddle in their own way with this peculiar institution. Notwithstanding this, Mr. Persident, I cannot be blind to all the indications of the time erroneous I than the opinions entertained in the erroneous I may think the control of the control that say that an Scantor, from New Hampshire would be false to the implied promise which he has given to the State which

sent him here, if he were not to make this condition; I cannot hut, perceive that it is a popular feeling, which is sweeping like a whirlwind at the North; but there is an equally determed and steady feeling at the South, that is deadly condite, or anisable separation, the condition of the state of the

Sit, I am not to be driven into a different course by with me, Mr. President, loss of money is nothing to the loss of character. With me the boundless wealth of the world would be as nothing, compared with what I should esteem the incalentable loss attending the de-With me, Mr. Prewith what I should esseem the incalculate but, sir, it is not true that a peace accomplished on the terms to which I have referred, would peace accompuseed on the terms to which I have reserved, would leave us without indemnity. Sir, we have indemnity in the history of this war. It is to be found in the many glorious battle-fields which it has presented to an astonished world. It is to be found in the delight which electrified every American heart at the result of over using it winen eigeninden every American neart at the result of 800 ry buttle. It is to be found in the security which it furnishes against the disturbers of our peace hereafter. A few hundreds of millions, even if it should go to hundreds, that may be expended, will be foresten even with the results of the state of gotten even while spoken of-while the glory and renown which it has beaped upon the American character, will be remembered as long as time itself shall endure. I am not, therefore, to be told that peace on such terms would leave us losers, in the true, high and moral sense of the term.

and moral sense of the term.

A word on another subject and I cease to trouble the Senate.
I have already indicated, Mr. President, my preference of a regular
over a volunteer force. Now sir, that preference is founded, (and
I have but a word or two in the way of reason to assign for it,) on
the opinion which information in my possession has enabled me to
orm, that the expense of a regular force is much less, and their
efficiency infinitely greater; above all, that the sacrifice of human
life is less.

Mr. Secretary Poinsett in his letter 21st March 1838, to the Honorable Mr. Speaker Polk, now President, speaking of the com-parative expense of the two description of forces, says that

"The difference of expense between the apployment of this description of toops (unemany solutates and multita) and apply up in facilitate at 6 at 1 lines proceedingly of the contract of the service, and the great increase the proposed of matering them to and from datast points, for short peroduct service, and the great increase that will be made to the Pennion List under the provisions of the act of the 19th March, 1850."

Now, sir, the Senate will find how inefficient this description of force is—I mean as compared with regular forces—by training to Document 297, of the 2d Session 25th Congress. They will there find that volunteer forces were called out in the years 32, 36, 37, and 38, to serve in the Florida War, in the Black-Hawk War, in the War against the Cherokees, and in the State of New York the time of the Border difficientlities, to the number of 55,524. They will find, I am satisfied, that aside from the additional expense actuality among volunteers and unlitta, compared with regulars—that the mortisis are no ne. Sir, to what is this owing? It is owing principally to the fact that the officers are unable to subject them to the same state of discipline, and to prevent the exposure which leads to disease. Now, sir, the Senate will find how inefficient this description of

From the statements to be found among the papers from the War Department, it appears that the number of men enlisted for the line of the regular army, (the old establishment,) for the first the line of the regular army, (the old establishment,) for the list five months of 1847, and from January 1st to January 1st, was 4605, the number offering to be enlisted during the same period and rejected, by the recruiting officers, because of physical infirmity, was 4847—more than twice the amount actually received.

was 4847—more than twice the amount actually received.

Now, I do not mean to underrate the volunteers. God forbid
that I should! but I make hold to say, that at least one-half of
those who were rejected as until for the regular service may be
found in the ranks of the volunteers. The chances of mortality in
that corps are of course very much increased.

I have said, Mr. President, all I intend to say upon this point;

I have said, Mr. President, all I intend to say upon this point; and I ask the attention of the Senate only a moment or two long-re, while I add a word or two by way of conclusion. Sir, I have heard it said by some, that this war should be prosecuted because it said that we were constituted main of 3 exter. I have not been it said that we were constituted main of 3 exter. I have not be its and that we were constituted main, the carry the light of civilization with the same probability of the control of the pulpir, that we have been selected by Drine Protections of the pulpir, that we have been selected by Drine Protections of the pulpir, that we have been selected by Drine Protections and degraded superstitions—to bring them into the blaze of the true faith, and to substitute for it the holier and purer light of the Protestant religion. I have heard it stated, Mr. Protection, and the protection of the same protection of the same of freedom." I hold to no such doctrine. No sire of freedom." I hold to no such doctrine. No sire of the same of the sa

to break the bands which bind other people in subject. to meak the hands which bind other people in subject. There is a silent, but potent moral power progressing through the world, rapidly tending to that consummation. It has its origin in the lesson which our example is tending. Here is seen perfect personal and political freedom, combined with anexampled national happiness, prosperity, and power. Here is seen that individual equality which nature stamps upon the heart as a right, protected and onjoyed amongst ourselves to an extent match the article of the processing the proce and shielded by a national arm that the nations of the world would and smelded by a national arm that the nations of the world would in vain attempt to strike down. Yes, sir, our institutions are telling their own stery by the blessings they impart to us, and indoctrinating the people everywhere with the principles of freeding apon which they are founded. Ancient prejudiese are riedling to their mighty influence. Herectore severed, and apparently permanent systems of government are falling beneath it. Our glorious mother, free as she has ever comparatively been, is getting the freer. It has blotted out the corruptions of her political transfers. It has required in the property of be freer. It has blotted out the corruptions of her political trans-chise. It has broken her religious intollerance. It has greatly elevated the individual character of her subjects. It has immeas anably weakened the power of her nobles, and by weakening it in one sense has wastly strengthened the authority of her crown, by forcing it to rest for all its power and glory upon the hearts of its people. To Ireland too—impulsive Ireland—the land of genus, of cloquence, and of valor, it is rapidy carrying the bessumes of a restured freedom and happiness. In France, genius, of cloquence, and of valor, it is rapidly carrying the blessings of a restured freedom and happiness. In France, all of political liberty which belongs to her, is to be traced to it, and even now, it is to be seen cheering, animating, and guiding the classic land of Italy, making the very streets of Rome itself to ring with shouts of joy and gratitude for its presence. Sir, such a spirit needs no inactivity, and needs no incentive. It admits of neither calargement nor restraint. Upon its own clastic and never tiring wing, it is now soaring over the civilized world, everywhere bearing its magic and abiding charm. I say, then try not, seek not to aid it. Bring no physical force to succor it. Such an adjunct would serve only to corrupt and paralyze its efforts. Leave it to itself, and, sooner or later, man will be free. Sir, as to this war and its influence upon ourselves, there is much to rejoice at and he proud of. The struggle of 76 demonstrated the deeply seated love of freedom in our Sires, and their stern and indomitable purpose to enjoy it or die. The war of 1812, demonstrated the capacity of our institutions to bear such a trial, and nobly was the test borne and the capacity that store a view, note now year the test forms and the depending this rate. The present war has again demonstrated, not only that such mere capacity continues, but that no nation exists endowed with greater military power. Mr. President, the result cannot but redound to our future peace and happiness. It furnishes ample indemnity for all the wrongs and obloquy we have nishes ample indemnity for all the wrongs and obloquy we have heretofore suffered, and ample—ample security against their resurrence. Such a result has won for us national glory, and that is national power, stronger than thousands of fortresses, and as perpetual as. I hope in God, will be our nation's love of virtue and

Mr. CLAYTON.—Before I give my vote on this hill, sir, I desire to know from the honorable Chairman of the Committee on Military Affairs, whether either of the commanders-in-chief of the American army, General Scott or General Taylor, has requested such an addition as he proposes to make to the American army; whether either of them has desired the Department to rearmy; whether either of them has desired the Department to re-commend to Congress the raising of thirty regiments, these ten regiments of regulars, and the twenty regiments of volunteers.

Mr. CASS.—Before answering the specific question proposed by the gentleman from Delaware, I desire to correct some erroneous opinions which have been advanced respecting the amount of the opmons which have been advanced respecting the amount of the forces which we have now in the field, and the number to which the proposed augmentation would carry it. The Senator from South Carolina, in his remarks the other day, earried the force we should have, if the proposed bill pass, to 70,000, and the Senator from Kentucky carried it still higher, to at least 90,000 men. These \*saturates are far beyond the amount we shall have in the field, as-the following statement will show:

I. RECULAR TROOPS

Aggregate Regulars

Aggregate Volunteers,

Which force was thus distributed

# On the 30th of November last agreeably to the return of the Adjutant General, we had in the field, including officers and men. New companies and recents at sea, or on their way to the seat of war. 21.509 2. VOLUNTEER FORCES in the field, including officers and men, Recruits on their march, In California 603, and recruits at sea 200, Aggregate Regular and Volunteer forces

Under General Senti, Under Gen, Wood, temporarily charged with the command of Gen, Taylor, Under Gen, Price in New Mexico, On the Origon rante, Under Calonel Mason in California,

The following statement will show the amount of force we shall have under General Scott, in the event of the passage of this bill, and of the volunteer bill :

On the 36th of November last, the date of the Adjoinnt General's Re-port, which includes officers and men sick and disabled, and the garri-cus of Tampieco and Vera Cruz, there were noder General Scott's command in Mexico—regulars, volunteers,

Total,
Totals anded one regiment of Volunteers from Machigna, and
was lattilous, one from Alabarra, and one from Mississippi, which,
if full, would amount to 2,500 ners. But, as remarked by the Aligntality on some than keep the Volunteer stabilisment to its pretrue; manker.

In a marker of the properties of the control of the control

Kentincky respecting the regiment of volunteers from Machigna, by same
results, and that the companion of the control of the control

Kentincky respecting the regiment of volunteers from Machigna, by same
roots, and that it is see than all of the control of the con

ed him.

The Adjustant General, agreeably to a table in my hand, estimates the number of lighting men, or in military language, bayonets, including the garmous at Tampico and Vera Cruz, and sick and disabled, at Of this number, the Adjustant General calculates that there are not more fit for duty, they

FORCE PROPOSED TO BE RAINED

Ten regiments of Infantry, the legal establishment of each being 1,000 nies. But this can never be kept full, and expensed shows that there must be a debatton from it of about 25 per cent, making this force, say A valunteer force of 12,304 to sapply vacancies in the existing violaties establishment, which, shiples to the same deduction, would give, say

Making a total of bayoness fife to the aim coature, would give, say \$12,000.

Or an actual total, medinding the garnions of Ven Cox and Tampico, and \$5,992.

As a many of the show are twelve months volunteers the returns so not show the properties of the cascalized of the cascalize

It will thus he seen sir, that if these bills pass, the force they It will thus be seen sir, that it these only pass, the core care will enable the Government to add to the treops under General Scott will probably bring the number of lighting men to about 41,000, and that no reasonable calculation can be made on more than between 45,000 and 46,000.

The error of the honorable gentleman from Kentucky consists in this, that he assumes as he did in his remarks on this subject a few days since, the number of the legal establishment if it were full, as the number which the Government can keep in the field. Now sir, all experience shows, that such a result is not practicable. Large deductions must be made, and, it appears from the Reports of the Adjutant General, that the actual force maintained Reports of the Adjutant General, that the actual force maintained is, as I have already stated, about twenty live per corn less than the full number allowed by law. Recruiting depots cannot be kept led, even by the most strenous exertions, and the propergally recurring easualties of war, occasion a constant drain, which must be an constantly supplied. And a considerable deduction must be an experiment of the considerations can apparticular detail upon the sickness. I need not enter into any particular detail upon the six description.

t escape the most easual observer.

If therefore all the men raised under these two bills are sent To come now, sir, to the question sked by the Honorable Se.

nator from Delaware, I have to say that the Government has re-ceived from Gen. Scott an estimate of the force he deems necessary to earry into effect the plan of operations which is recommended by the Secretary of War. I will read so much of his projet as relates to this subject

"Aurniest this array to 50,000 men to enable them to occupy at the same timearly all the State capitals and other principal cities; to drive goardila and other robing parties from the great highways of trade; to sear mot nor hands all the content of the content parties of the country internal as well as external, for the support of the occupancy of the content parties of the country internal as well as external, for the support of the occupancy of the content parties of the country internal as well as external, for the support of the occupancy of the content parties and adarm, and constrained to

Having thus answered the question of the Honorable Senator from Delaware, I yield him the floor.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Md .- What is the date of that letter ?

Mr. CASS .- I do not believe that I have got the date. NR. CASS.—to not believe that I have got the date. But the letter says that if the operations should be continued till October the augmentation of the forces would be necessary. I presume, therefore, that the letter was written in September.

Mr. WEBSTER .- Is the letter to be found amongst the documents which have been published?

Mr. CASS.—No. The government did not deem it prudent to publish the letter, but it is now thought proper to make known the portion of it which has been read.

Mr. WEBSTER .- I understand that the honorable Senator from Mr. WEBSTER.—I understand that the honorable Senator from Michigan endeavors to show that in the opinion of General Scott, on the communication of the project of the government to him—I do not ask for particulars farther than they are disclosed in the portion of the letter read—for the further military operations of the army of the United States in Mexice, there will be acceded an augmentation of that force to the amount of fifty thousand men.

32 156

24,000

7 500

9,500

Mr. CASS.—An augmentation making the force amount to fifty lousand men.

Mr. WEBSTER.—I understand—that the force be augmented till it amount to fifty thousand.

Mr. CASS.—That is the amount at which he estimates the force necessary to carry on the military operations.

Mr. WEBSTER.—In other words, that is the force which the projects of the government will render necessary.

Mr. BADGER.—Will the honorable chairman of the Committee on Military Affairs allow me to ask on what plan of a campaign—on what system of the future prosecution of the war, does General Scott say that fifty thousand men will be necessary?

Mr. CASS.—If the gentleman will pardon me, 1 do not believe it to be necessary to state any thing farther. I have read all that has a bearing on the question before the Senate.

Mr. CRITTENDEN —With the permission of the bonorable Senator from Daleware, who is entitled to the floor, I would now take the opportunity of correcting a slight misapprehension under which I laboced on a former occasion, when I stated the amount of military force now in the field in Mexico, by making the statement as I derive it from public documents, and in regard to which I am happy to find, that as far as it goes, I have the pleasure of agreeing very much with the honorable Senator from Michigan. There are now in Mexico, when the regiments from Michigan and the two battalons now on the way shall have reached the army, 45,700 men. In addition to that, the Excentive is now invested with the power of enlisting upwards of seven thousand regulars, besides 12,500 volunteers to supply the place of those who have not engaged for the war—making in all G5,000 men. If you add to that, upon a conjectural estimate—I suppose not far from the truth—five thousand seamen and marrines also engaged in the war, you will then have a numerical force, navel and military, amounting to 70,000 men. If, again, you add to that, the proposed increase of the army by the whole amount of these two life of the ward of the war of the crease of the truth by the whole amount of these two life of one challength of the proposed increase of the first of the ward of the crease of the truth by the whole amount of these two life of one challength of the proposed increase of the first of the same than the content of the same and the

Mr. CASS.—If the honorable Scnator from Kentucky will allow me, I must repeat the correction which I made the other day, and at the same time refer him to the statement presented but a few minutes since. I repeat, sir, that the provision for raising 20,000 mev volunteers does not bear upon the question hefore us. Not a man will be raised, nor a dollar expended, unless a state of things should happen which cannot be reasonably anticipated. And it such an event prudence requires that the Government should be enabled to act and to cell out an arrier force, which is the object of that portion of the bill. Why the honorable Senator includes 5000 seamon and marines in his estimate of cannot enough the control of the contro

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—I am well aware of the casualties to which the army in the field is subject, and especially when expresed to such a climate as that of Mexico, which readers it very difficult to know what portion of the troops is efficient, and I only stated, that from the official returns, there appeared to be 45,000 men now in Mexico.

Mr. CASS, (in his seat.)-Forty-three thousand.

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—And the two regiments from Michigan, which the gentleman snpposes I will be very happy to hear are on the way, besides the two battalions also on the way to join the army.

Mr. CASS.—Will the Senator pardon me if 1 interrupt him one moment? These twenty-two hundred men will no more than suffice to fill up the volunteer forces.

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—Still there would be left in round numbers 45,000 men, together with the 5,000 seamen and marines, actually engaged in this war, making an aggregate force of 50,000 men in Mexico. Now what is to be deducted from this number

on account of the easualties of battle, and of climate, to which the gentleman referred! I cannot well make a calculation; but I am startled at the estimate which the Senator presents, that one fourth startled as the estimate which the Senator presents, that one fourth senated when the senator of the sena

Mr. CLAYTON.—I have not yet obtained from the honorable Chairman of the Committee on Military Affairs, the precise information which I sought. I did not desire to excite a discussion on the question as to the amount of military force at present in Mexico, but I put the question, whether our military commanders in Mexico had recommended this measure on which we are called upon to vote. In reply to the inquiry, as I understand, the honorable Chairman of the Committee says that one of them—General Scott—did, some time during the last year, write a letter recommending, that in a certain event the military establishment in Mexico should be increased to 50,000 men. Will the honorable Senator tell me the date of the letter?

Mr. CASS.—It was written after the arrival of its writer in Mexico, but how long after, I do not know.

Mr. CLAYTON .- After the capture of the city ?

Mr. CASS .- Certainly; he distinctly alludes to that event.

Mr. CLAYTON.—It was written, then, after the capture of the city of Mexico. There is no intelligence, as I understand, from General Taylor that any additional forces were requisite. Am I right in the conjecture?

Mr. CASS .- What did the honorable Senator ask?

Mr. CLAYTON.—Did General Taylor on any occasion recommend such an addition to the military force?

Mr. CASS.—I understand the Senator as inquiring whether General Taylor had made the same recommendation as General Scott. I have told all I know about the recommendation of General Scott, and do not know whether General Taylor made a similar recommendation.

Mr. CLAYTON.—There is nothing, then, from General Taylor. He has made no recommendation to add to the tropps in Facion; and as to General Scott, the honorable Chairman is able to inform me, that some time last year, as be thinks, "fafter the eapture of the city of Mexico," General Scott wrote to the deparment informing them, that if certain things intended to be done by the Administration were attempted, 50,000 men would be required to be added to the army.

Mr. CASS .- I beg your pardon, sir-raise the forces to 50,000 mea; not add that amount

-That's the very understanding I had and was Mr. CLAYTON. corrected in it. Well, that increase of the army to 50,000 men, as I understand from the reading of the letter from General Scott, was to be made in case the Administration should design to subjugate-to reduce all Mexico.

Mr CASS -Oh! no

Mr. CLAYTON.—That is the amount of it, as I understand. If in error, I will be happy to be corrected.

Mr. CASS.—The Senator is at liberty to put his own construc-tion on the letter; but the object was stated to be "to keep the central government in motion." I will read the passage again.

Several Senators .- We shall be glad to hear it again

Mr. CASS then read the passage already given, and added: The substance of the letter has been given in a St. Lonis paper which came here within the last few days.

CLAYTON .- Well, that is what I call reducing or subju-Mr. CLAYTON.—Well, that is what I ealt reducing or subju-gating the country. Others will put their own enstruction in: This mereased force then, is not recommended by General Scott; but, he says to the Department, 'if you propose to take all the capitals of the States of Mexico—if you intend not only to put down the currentless and bandits, but to keep the central govern-ment of Mexico constantly in motion, allowing it no fixed place in ment of Mexico constantly in motion, allowing it no fixed place in ment of Mexico constantly in motion, allowing it no fixed place in which it can treat with you—I motion, allowing it no fixed place in which it can treat with you—I will require such a state of the constant of the receiver of the constant of the receiver of the constant of the receiver of the constant objects which are, as I consider, tantamount to the entire subjugation of the country

It has been stated by my friend from Kentucky-and I think he has fully sustained it—that the force at present under the command of Scott and Taylor, or Wool, in the absence of Taylor, amounts of Scott and Taylor, or Wool, in the assence of Taylor, amounts to 3,000 men; and we are now called on to increase the army to 30,000 more in order to overruen all Mexico, in order to occupy the capitals of Mexico, and to seize upon the on-tire revenues of the country. In other words, as I understand it, to annihilate discussion and to destroy her government, does not require any thing like the force which the loss the Senate to man of the Committee on Military Allairs now the Senate to vote. If you add thirty regiments—twenty regiments of volun-teers and ten regiments of regulars to the troops already in the teers and ien regiments of regulars to the troops already in the field, you will have a vastly greater force than the commanding general of the army considers necessary for all the purposes spec-ified in the letter. It is well known to my brother Scantors sheet, that during the last session and the previous one, I voted upon all coeasions, when hills were presented to this body to increase the army of the United States, or to furnish supplies for the army, in flavor of those bills. I took the ground that whether the adminis-tration of the conarry was right or wrong in the inception of this war, I should vote more troops and more supplies for our try, so long as my vote might he needed to sustain that honer and those interests. Nor shall I, in the course which I am about to take with regard to this bill, in the least change my pur-pose in regard to this matter, as originally formed. If the same pose in regard to this matter, as originally formed. If the same issues were now before me which were presented at the last session, I should vote precisely as I vated then. But totally different is the question now presented. On all former occasions the President of the United States, and his friends on this floor, disavowed any intention of conquest, or acquisition of territory by conquest. any untermined to conquest of adequation of neutronly my conquest, and in the contract of the so that there may be no ground for any allegation of misstatement or equivocation. Let us hear from the President himself, the objects which he has in view, and the purposes for the accomplishment of which he desires these additional troops. The President says:

"In the mean time, as Mexico refuses all indemnity, we should adopt measures to indemnity ourselves, by appropriating german-with a portion of bet territory. Early differ the commerciant of the sat, New Mexico, and the 'disforms were taken different to the state of the sate of the sate of the sate of the sate of the compare and hold them, subject to be disposed of by a treaty of peace. "These protocors are now in our tradisposited corruption, and have been so for unany months; all resistance on the part of Mexico."

And, again, he says on the same page :

"Besides New Mexico and the Californias, there are ether Mexican provinces which have been reduced to our possession by conquest. These other Mexican provinces are now governed by our multiary and naval commanders, onder the general authority which is conferred upon a conquerer by the laws of war. They should continue to be held as a means of occuring Mexico to accede to just herms of peace."

The question then arises, what are these "terms of peace"—
what the President considers to be "just," and on which he means
to insist! By turning to the eighth page of his message vou will
find an answer to this inquary. You will there discover the views
of the Executive with regard to "just terms of peace." It has
been said in the progress of debate, that the President attempted
to normatize after the barbles of Contropes and Chorubuscom—box to negotiate after the battles of Contreras and Cherubuseo-that so negotiate state the natures of contreras and therabused—that he made an effort to treat with the Mexican commissioners—having sent Mr. Trist to Mexico for that purpose. In his message he communicates the purport of the instructions given to Mr. Trist, and his own "ultimatum."

"The commissioner of the United States was authorized to agree to the establish ment of the Ro Grande as the boundary, from the entrance into the Gulf to is interested in with the southern boundary of New Mexico, in most harmonic mental than the second of the control of the C

Here we have the President's "just terms of peace," as he calls the "bit "ditinatum" is definitely announced, and we are no longer left, as during the two last sessions, to conjecture—to guess at the objects and intentions of the President of the United States in regard to Mexico. It is now certain that he has demanded as an ultimatum, and means to demand hereafter as his ultimatum, the cession to the United States of the Californias, and the whole of New Mexico—a territory embracing at least 690,000 whole of New Mexico—a territory embracing at least 509,000 square miles of land—more than twice the entire territorial extent of the "old thirteen States" at the time of the American Revolution! And I am called upon to give a vote which shall enable the President to enforce these "terms of peace." I am called upon to give a vote that shall enable him to compel Nexice, to cede the whole of New Mexico and the Californias to this country. I have no choice left, I must at once, take up mylgostion, and say whether I am in favor of the acquisition and conquest of all this vast covered as the shall be composed to it.

Interior of whether I may opposed to it.

I must confess that I do not understand the argument of my honorable friend from Maryland, [Mr. Jourseon.] He concluded, as I understood, with an expression of his resolution to vote for this bill. He told us to-day, that if the people of the United States were consulted, he verify believed, the great mass of them would decide against the acquisition of any territory on the wes-tern side of the Del Norte. The Senator thought that the people of the United States would go with him for acquisition of territory of the United states would go with min for acquasition of erritory by way of indemnity and not by way of robbery, but in payment of the debt which is justly due us by Mexico. He thought that the people would go with him so far as to defend the country between the Nucces and the Rio Bravo; but he had no doubt that the people of the United States were clearly opposed, and would so declare themselves whenever called upon, to the acquisition of any territory on the other side of the Rio Bravo. Now, I cannot any territory on the other side of the Rio Bravo. Now, I cannot understand how my friend, having arrived at these conclusions, being thus satisfied as to the popular will, can reconcile it to himself to vote for this numeros addition to the army of the United States, when the object of the augmentation of the military establishment is plainly avowed by the President to be the extension of his conquests over the whole of this country on the other side of the Rio Bravo, and the acquisition of host the Californias and

his occurs to our the whole of this country on the other side of the Rio Baxes and the acquisition of both the Californias and New Mexico. If my honorable friend can reconcile that by the exercise of all his ingenuity, I should like to hear him do so. For my part I cannot comprehend it. Without reflecting on his course, however, my business is to point out my own. Before I proceed farther in the argument on this question, I desire to say, for one, that I never have been, and I am not now willing to acquire one arre of ground from Mexico, or any other nation under heaven but her nations of the world, the great policy? and that an honorable replatation is of more value to a country than land or money. I hold that any attempt on our part, merely because we happen to possess superior strength, to merely because we happen to possess superior strength, to compel a weaker nation to cede to us all that we choose to decompet a weaker nation to occue to us all that we encose to demand as indemnity, whilst we at the same time admit that we ask for more than she owes us, is nothing else but robbery. If a man owes me a sum of money, and if when I meet him on the highway, he replies to my demand that he has not wherewithin to meet it. the replies to my demand that he has not wherewith I to meet it, and I should insist with a pisto pointed to his breast, that he should deliver to me a deed of his farm at the estimate which I choose to put upon it. I think there could not be much difference of opinion as to the nature of that transaction. I should like to know how my friend from Maryland, who is an able lawyer, would defend under an indictment the man guilty of such conduct. Would it be may palliation, or excuse, or justification of the conduct of his client, in such a case, that the money was justify the woodle health of the such as the conduct of his client, in such a case, that the money was justify the woodle health of the such as the conduct of his client, in such a case, that the money was justify the woodle health of the such conducts. The such a case is the such as the conduct of the such as th be the object to which the scornful finger of every honest man would be pointed, so long as he lived apon the earth? I hold,—and however old fishioned the notion may be, I shall maintain it so long as I have a seat here—that character is as valuable to a nation as it is oan individual; and inasmonta as I would scorn as a private citizen to despoil my neighbor of his property in these circumstances of the superior of the strong property in the second of the strong property in the second property in the sightest depress such as course of conduct on the part of the government of the country. We are one of the strongest nations of the earth. We have

part of the government of the country.

We are one of the strongest nations of the earth. We have been amongst the weakest. In times gone by we have suffered from the cruelty, the tyramy, and injustice of other nations, and have uttered loud complaints. We have now waxed strong, and ear put the foot upon the neck of a sister republic, and compel her to yield to the terms we ourselves dictate. The question now comes up, and it addresses itself to every genuine lover of his country, whether the acquisition of all this territory in those circum-

stances, would compensate us for the loss of the reputation—that high national character which we have hitherto sustained? Do not understand me as opposing the just claims of my own country. I would go as far to enforce those claims consistently with the honor of the country, as any man living. So far as the prosecution of war for the purpose of securing just indemnity or the colleans might be concerned, I would go as far as he who could be other side of the chamber, goes furthest. But the President distinctly tells you, that when Mr. Trist made the proposition to the Moxican Commissioners to negotiate, the terms were to be defined by him, and that they were to be submitted to by Mexico at "the cannon's mouth."

Here the Senator yielded to a motion to adjourn, the usual hour of adjournment having arrived.

The Senate then adjourned.

# WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 12, 1848.

### PETITIONS.

Mr. CASS presented the petition of Eliza A. Mellon, widow of a deceased army officer, praying a pension; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. YULEE submitted additional documents relating to the claim of Isane Varnes, senior, which were referred to the Committee of Claims.

Mr. YULEE submitted an additional document relating to the claim of Gad Humphreys; which was referred to the Committee

Mr. YULEE presented a memorial of citizens of Florida, praying that the Land Office for the Alachua Land District, may be located at Flemington in Marion county in that State; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

Mr. HALE presented the petition of Mary Willington and others, praying the adoption of pacific measures for terminating the war with Mexico; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

Mr. HALE presented a petition of citizens of Indiana, praying the immediate withdrawal of the troops of the United States from Mexico; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Rela-

Mr. HALE presented a petition of citizens of Indiana, praying that all further supplies for the prosecution of the war with Mexico may be withheld by Congress; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

Mr. HALE presented a memorial of female inhabitants of Kennebank, in Maine, praying the immediate withdrawal of the troops of the United States from Mexico; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

Mr. HALE presented a petition of female inhabitants of the counties of Carroll, Harrison, and Tasearawas, Ohio, praying the adoption of pacific measures for terminating the war with Mexico; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

Mr. HALE presented a petition of female inhabitants of Leominster, Massaehusetts, praying the adoption of measures for the speedy termination of the war with Mexico; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

Mr. HALE presented a memorial of ministers and laymen of the Unitarian denomination, praying the adoption of pacific measures for terminating the war with Mexico, and the reparation of the control of the property of the property of the property Reproduced to the property of the Committee of Foreign Relations.

Mr. HALE said—Mr. President—I have been requested by the gentleman from whom I have received this last memorial, to say a word in explanation of its character, and in order to obviate an exception which may arise in some minds from an inspection of the petition itself, that it is of a sectarian character, coming only from a single denomination. The answer to this is, that it originated with that class who were desirous of doing what they might for arresting what they considered a great and alaming evil. They had neither the time nor the means for associating with temperes the various other denominations which divide the Christenselves are considered in the control of the period of the peri

Mr. President, having so recently declared my sentiments in my place in the Senate concerning the objects and churacter of this war, it seems unnecessary for me tradd a word at this time, and I leave it in the hands of the Senate, simply remarking, that among the marks of public favor with which I have been honored, the being selected as the agent to present this petition to the Senate, is most grateful to my feelings, and that, whether I look to the character of the petitioners or the objects for which they pray.

Mr. BRADBURY submitted an additional decument relating

to the claim of John O. Means; which was referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

On motion by Mr. NILES, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Mr. S. W. Chilson, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

On motion of Mr. DICKINSON, it was

Ordered, That Joseph Roby have leave to withdraw his petition and papers.

On motion by Mr. CAMERON, it was

Ordered, That Henry W. Andrews have leave to withdraw his petition and papers.

### CLAIMS ON MEXICO.

Mr. SEVIER, from the Committee on Foreign Relations, to whom had been referred the Resolution to create a Board to ascertain and determine the amount of each of the claims of the citizens of the United States against Mexico, reported the same with an amendment.

# PRIVATE BILLS, ETC.

Mr. DOWNS, from the Committee on Private Land Claims, to whom had been referred the petition of William Pumphrey, reported a bill for the relief of the legal representatives of Jacques Moulon; which was read and passed to the second reading.

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom had been referred the memorial of the heirs of Abner L. Duncan, submitted an adverse report; which was ordered to be printed.

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom had been referred the petition of Nahum Haskell, reported a bill tor the relief of Richard Bloss and others; which was read and passed to a second reading.

Mr. JOHNSON of Louisiana, from the Committee on Pensions, to whom had been referred the memorial of George Petty, submitted an adverse report thereon; which was ordered to be printed.

Mr. JOHNSON of Loussiana, from the Committee on Pensions, to whom had been referred the document relating to the Claim of Fernando Fellanny, reported a bill for his relief; which was read and passed to the second reading.

Mr. JOHNSON of Louisiann, also submitted a report on the subject; which was ordered to be printed.

Mr. JOHNSON of La., from the Committee on Pensions, to whom had been referred the documents relating to the claim of Peter Engles, senior, submitted a report necompanied by a bill for his relief

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

Mr. JOHNSON, of La., from the Committee on Pensions, to whom had been referred the documents relating to the claim of Elizabeth Pistole, submitted a report, accompanied by a bill for the reherof Elizabeth Pistole, widow of Charles Pistole deceased.

The hill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered. That the report be printed.

Mr. YULEE, from the Committee on Private Land Claims, to whom had been referred the memorial of Jesse Turner, reported a bill for his relief; which was read and passed to the second reading.

Mr. YULEE, from the Committee on Naval Affairs, to whom had been referred the petition of Jesse D. Elliott, reported a bill for the relief of the legal representatives of Captain Jesse D. Elliott; which was read and passed to the second reading.

Mr. YULEE, from the Committee on Naval Affairs, to whom had been referred the memorial of William M. Glendy, submitted an adverse report; which was ordered to be printed. On motion by Mr. JOHNSON of Louisiana, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on pensions, be discharged from the further consideration of the petition of Mary D. Wade, and that it be referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

The Serate resumed the consideration, as in Committee of the Whole, of the bill for the relief of William B. Slaughter, late Secretary of the territory of Wisconsion; and no amendment being it was reported to the Senate

Ordered. That the bill be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent.

Resolved. That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this hill.

## U. S. COURTS IN VIRGINIA.

Mr. ASHLEY, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom had been referred the bill to change the times of holding the District Courts of the United States for the western district of Virginia, and for other purposes, reported the same with amendments

### CONICAL VENTILATOR.

Mr. YULEE, from the Committee on Naval Affairs, to whom MI. IULEE, from the Committee on Naval Alfairs, to whom had been referred the hill directing the Secretary of the Navy to purchase from Dr. James P. Espy, his patent-right for the Conical Ventilator, for the use of the United States, reported the same without amendment.

FORWARD OFFICERS OF THE EXPLORING EXPEDITION Mr. YULEE, from the Committee on Naval Affairs, to whom had been referred the memorial of the forward officers of the late exploring expedition, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

JUDGE OF THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

On motion by Mr. ASHLEY, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on the Judiciary be discharged from the further consideration of the petition of George H. Lee, and others.

### ANNEXATION OF TERRITORY.

The Senate proceeded to the consideration of the following resolutions, submitted by Mr. DICKINSON:

Recoled, That the pally require the common of the I bind State a enception in political sets of enception in political sets of constraints of the properties of the constraint of the constraint

# Mr. DICKINSON said:-

We are admonished by the exigencies of the times and the prevailing sentiment of the American people, to stregthen our politi-cal and commercial relations upon this continent and the annexation of such contiguous territory as can be justly obtained, as well for the positive benefits the acquisition may confer, as to shut out forever, as far as practicable, the pernicious influences, and impertorever, as are as pracueaties, the permicrous minimerses, and imper-tinent intermedidings of European monarchy: and while the cir-cumstances under which this policy may properly be enforced, are too varied and contingent to be enumerated or suggested, the state of our relations both foreign and domestic, demand that it be fully declared before the world. We have been compelled by misgui-ded Mexico, to resort to the ultima ratio of nations for an adjust-sment of transparse. With the control learnest the feetilisations ment of grievances. With her capitol, her ports, her fortifications and principal towns in our possession, she spurns all proposals for accommodation, and we have no alternative left consistent with national spirit or self respect, but to retain of the possessions al-lotted us by the tribunal of her own selection, ample indemnity for the wrongs she has heaped upon our government and people. should she in some sane moment consent to negotiate, she can furnish indemnity only in territory and this government can accept of no terms but such as give full compensation; so that whether we have no terms out selle as give that compensation; so that whether we be peace or war, treaty or no treaty, the question of territorial acquisition cannot be avoided. Had we remained at peace with Maximi he same policy of acquisition would soomer or later have been presented, and should are it retary of peace be negotiated, and should are many be paid in money, of which there is no prospect, the question of extending our possessions could not long be postponed. Al-though clearly demanded by national interests and almost univer-sally favored by the American people, this policy has been embar-

30TH CONG .- 1ST SESSION .- No. 13.

rassed by an element of irritation calculated to arrest if not defeat rassed by an element of the control increase with such restrictions, and both these classes propos to co-operate with the opponents of acquisition unless their pect liar views respectively are adopted.

Believing that a policy so eminently national, should not thus be defeated, or put at hazard—that the legislation of Congress can have little influence over the domestic regulation of territory, that its temporary government is a matter of secondary importance compared with the policy of acquisition, and that its domestic regulation may be safely entrusted to those most deeply interested in the institutions they may establish, I have introduced these regulation. in the institutions lively and venoming 1 in the introduced these resolutions. They were presented that the Sender ninglet form and pronounce it's judgment before the country, upon the two great questions embraced therein, which engage so large a siarre of the public consideration. They do not, as as supposed by some, tiring here with its profiless discussions and exciting consequences, the vexed question of slavery, for it was here before them, but they propose to transfer it hence, and leave under the constitution all propose to transfer it nence, and leave under the constitution all questions concerning the erection or prohibition of this institution in the territories, to the inhabitants thereof; that its intrusion may not hereafter arrest the policy, defeat the measures or disturb the councils of the nation. They were offered in the hope that all who councils of the nation. Incy were odered in the hope that all who believe in the great cardinal principle of freedom—the capacity of man for his own government, would harmonise conflicting opinions and unite upon this common ground of justice and equality.

The people of the original States declared that to form a more

perfect union, establish justice, insure domestic tranquility, pro-vide for the common defence, promote the general welfare and secure the besonation occasion, promote the general websites of the cure the blessings of liberty to themselves and their posterity, they established the Constitution. Although the articles of concidention which gave place to this instrument, provided for the admission of Canada to the Union, and the comprehensive terms employed to explain the objects of the Constitution, show that no narrow cu to expanse the objects of the constitution show that in harrow territorial boundaries were contemplated, it is apparent that few statesmen at that early period foresaw the early growth we were destined to attain. The wisest and ablest of the time, timidly ne-gotiated for years, at the close of the last century, for the right of navigating the Mississippi, and proposed to fix upon that river as the Western boundary of the United States forever.

And in negotiating for the territory of Louisania, our government Ann an negonitum got the territory of Louisania, our government sought to procure only a portion, and the greatest share was virtually taken upon compulsion. The policy which from one acquisition, has aircady given to this Diano four sovereign States, and holds others in reserve, was at the time assailed with a virtulent and demandation, and threats of disumon, which may be profutably eonsulted rather than copied by those who are alarmed by, or pro-

pose to repeat the cry of territorial aggrandizement.

pose to repeat the cry of territorial aggrandizement.

Louinnan, not, was a Spanish province, contained a foreign population, strangers to our form of government, and was transferred with its people from Spain to France, and from France to the United States within a few bours; and yet, what State has been more faithful to the Union, or more ably represented!

Territory beyond the Mississippi was then regarded as almost without the pale of probable civilization, and the expedition of Lewis and Clark to the month of the Columbia, where the mail of the United States is now regularly distributed, was hailed as an epoch in the history of North American enterprise and daring.

But the tide of emigration and the course of Empire lawes since been westward. Cities and towns have sprung up upon the shores of the Pacific, and the river we easayed to fix as our western,

been westward. Cities and towns have sprung up upon the shores of the Pacifie, and the river we essayed to fix as our western, now passes nearest to our eastern boundary. From three, our population has increased to twenty millions—from thirteen, to twenty-nine States, with others in the process of formation and on their way to the Union. Two great European powers have withdrawn from the continent, yielding us their possessions, and from the Northern Lakes to the Gun of Mexico—from the St. Lawrence to the Mississippi, numerous aboriginal nations have been displaced before the resistless tide of our prevailing arts, arms and free principles; and whoever will look back upon the past, and torward upon the future, must see, that, allured by the justice of our institutions, before the close of the present century, this continent will teem with a free population of upwards of an bundred million souls. Nor have we yet fulfilled the destiny allotted us. New territory is spread out far us to subduce and fertilize, owe races are presented for us to civilize, culcuet and absorb acw triumphs for us to achieve for the cause of freedom.

North America measures to the acce accordance with the control of the cont past, and forward upon the future, must see, that, allured by the

new triamphs for us to achieve for the cause of necessor.

North America presents to the eye one great geographical system; every portion of which, under the present facilities for communication, may be made more accessible to every other than were the original States to each other at the time they formed the confederacy-it is soon to become the commercial centre of the world. And the period is by no means remote, when man, regarding his own wants and impulses, and yielding to the influences of laws more OWN wants and allipuress, and yearing to the induced sets in the short potent than those which prescribe artificial boundaries, will ordain that it shall be united in political as well as natural bonds, and form but one political system, and that a free, confederated, self-governed republic, represented in a common Hall in the great valley of the west—exhibiting to an admiring world the mighty results which have been achieved for freedom in the western benishment which have been achieved for freedom in the western benishment. suits which have been achieved for freedom in the western leiched phere. Then will a more perfect Union be formed, and justices be established upon enduring foundations—the domestic tranquility is sured—the common defence he provided for—the general welfare promoted, and the blossings of hiberty secured to posterity: Our form of government is admirably adapted to extended em-

pire. Founded in the virtue and intelligence of the people, and deriving its just powers from the consent of the governed, its influences are us powerful for good at the remotest limits as at the

MR. DICKINSON'S RESOLUTIONS.

We are unlike all communities which have gone before us, and illustrations drawn from comparing us with them, are unjust and mustrations drawn from companing is with them, are uplest as-erroneous. The social order which characterizes our system is as-unlike the military republics of other times, as is the religion of the Savier of men to the impositions of Mahomet. Our system wins by its justice, while theirs sought to terrify by its power. Our wine my its justice. While theirs solight to territy my its power. Our territorial boundary may span the contined—our population be quadrupled, and the number of our States be doubled, without my convenience or danger. Every member of the confederacy would still sustain itself, and contribute its influences for the generacy conditions and the contribute of the growth of the confederacy would still sustain itself, and contribute its influences for the generacy conditions and input strength of the confederacy would be considered to the confederacy with the confederacy would be considered to the confederacy would be considered to the confederacy with the confederacy would be considered to the confederacy with the confederacy would be considered to the confederacy would be considered to the confederacy would be considered to the confederacy with the confederacy would be considered to the confederacy would beauty to the edifice. In matters of national legislation a numer-ous population, extended territory, and diversified interests, would tend to reform abuses which would otherwise remain unredressed. to prescree the rights of the States, and to bring back the course of legislation from the centralism to which it is fastering. One-half the legislation now brought before Congress would be left undone, as it should be,—a large portion of the residue would be more than the presented to the consideration of State legislatures; and Congress would be condited to dispose of all matters within the scope of its legitimate functions without incoverance or delay.

The present propose the interior to be prepared for the change which awaits it. If the subjects of the British crown shall consent to be ruled through all time by a distant enhance. Mexico cannot to preserve the rights of the States, and to bring back the course

which awaits it. If the sinjects of the British crown shall consent to be ruled through all time by a distant cabinet, Mexico cannot long exist under the misrule of marauders and their pronunciamenlong exist onder the misrule of marauders and their pronunciaments, and its was as clearly apparent before as since the existence of the war. If, then, just acquisition is the true policy of this government, as, it clearly is, it should be parsued by a steady and un-yielding purpose, and ebaracterised by the sternest principles of hazinal justice. It should not rashly anticipate the great results which are in progress, or thrust aside the fruits when they are produced and presented. The antional existence of Mexico is in her own keeping, but is more endangered at this time by her own im-becility and stubboraness—her national ignorance and brutality, than from the war we are prosceuting and all its conseque She has been hastening to ruin for years upon the flood-tide of pro-fligacy and corruption, and if she is now rescued and heredownfall lijagay and corroption, and if she is now rescued and hevdownfull arrested and postpored for a season, it may just be attributed to the salutary influences of the chastisement she has received.—

But a majority of her people belong to the fated aborizinal races who can neither aphold government or be restrained by it—who flourish only amid the haunts of sawage indolence, and perish under, if they do not recede before, the influences of civilization, the like their domed herethern who were once spread over the several kize their domed herethern who were once spread over the several States of the Union, they are destined, by laws above human agentation was via on stronger race from this continent or another them. What has been the national progress of Mexico? When our population was three millions been was five, and when our is is twenty polation was three millions hers was five, and when ours is twenty hers is eight, and while we have attained the highest rank among the nations of the earth, she has fallen so low that there is little the mations of the earth, she has hance so low that there is little left to wound her feelings or degrade her character. She has ex-isted as an independent government, if her fretful and confused be-ing may be thus dignified, almost a quarter of a century, and has changed her government by military revolution during that period as many times as she has existed years. She has an extended and somewhat populous territory, without an authorized government or the means of instituting one, or the virtue or intelligence to aphold it. The rights of her people are illy defined and worse protected. She has now neither army or navy, or means of national defence—no treasury nor system of revenue. She has national antipathies and resentments, but neither national spirit nor national virtue; and has thus far dragged out her wretched existence like the eagle of mythology chained to the rock—gnawing at her own Her valuable mines, rich agrieultural regions, and Pacific

vitals. Her valuable mines, ich agricultural regions, and Pacific harbors, present a tempting occasion for European rapacity to revive upon this continent their excertable proposal to regulate the balance of power, in furthermore of which England has already commenced seizing upon South American possessions. And should our army now be withdrawn, leaving her delunded people the prey of the ferocious spirits who have insteach her downed the property of the forcious spirits who have insteach her downed by the property of the forcious spirits who have insteach her downed by the property of the forcious spirits who have insteach her downed by the property of the forcious spirits who have instead her downed by the property of the forcious property of the forcious place. The policy of extending our jurisdiction over any portion binet. The policy of extending our jurisure on over any portion of Mexican territory, is a question between Europe and America—between monarchy and freedom—and not between the United States and the Republic of Mexico; and we should not bestate to States and the Reguldic of Mexico; and we should not bestiate to extend our protection to such provinces as are held by us in undisturbed possession now, and justiently await the development of the future. Should the progress of events, without impactice on our part, open to the enterprise of our citizens the rich mining and agricultural districts of that country, and inlines among this semi-larbarous people the blessings of civilization—should the valuable rade which has been monopolesed by England be enjoyed by the States, and our mint coin the money of the world; and should a pressure acress the Islames he obtained, plaining the month of the pressure acress the Islames he obtained, plaining the month of the pressure acress the Islames he obtained, plaining the month of the cific harbors permanently secured, so in the control of our vest trade in that see, and our settlement as process to not our vest trade in that see, and our settlement and process as fixed and unyielding as the laws of gravitations as process as fixed and unyielding as the laws of gravita-

And whenever the period which determines whether entire Anxiene shall come within the jurisdiction of the United States, or hoesen a colonial dependent upon European power, the duty of this government will admit of neither doubt or hesitation. But we have the question of territorial extension directly pre-sented for our consideration. The President, in his annual mes-sure, recommends that the provinces of New Mexico and Califor-

nix, now quietly held by us, be permanently retained as indemnity, and subjected to the civil jurisdiction of the United States. Upon this just recommendation of the Executive we shall soon be called to act, and while the great mass of the American people will ap-prove the suggestion, some will crave our sympathies while they mourn over what they are pleased to term the "dismemberment of monta over waat twy are peased to term the "usementeement of Mexico." Let those who may, indulge this misplaced and sickly sentiment. Such of the Mexican people as may have the good fortune to fall within our pristeletion, should it be the entire peop-letion, will be objects of eavy rather than of commisseration, and may regard it as a special interposition of Providential layor. may regard it as a special interposition of Providential favor.— They will find a repose which they have never experienced, and a protection for life, liberty and property, to which they are stran-gers. They would exchange a lawless and irresponsible despo-tism for a government of opinion—wild and debasing babits for regional confidence. rational civilization—the precarious subsistence of savage life rational contraction—the precarious subsistence of savage life for the wholesome rewards of productive industry—the devastations of war for the arts of peace. Our government would react in their midst the genial influences of equality, and secure to the hand of industry the bread of its earning. It would elevate their condition in the scale of moral and social being and influes amongst condition in the scale of moral and social being and immediations them the vigilance and mainly spirit which actuates our people. It would leave them with all just relations to each other, enjoying the religion they venerate and the alturs where they are wont to worship. To them, the consequences of a "disamenherment" would be such as were experienced by the inhabitants of Louisiana and Florida, whee Frame and Spain were respectively "dismens-bered" of these fertile territories. Russia "dismembered" Poland that the order of despotism might reign at Warsaw. But Ameri-ea "dismembered" monarchy, that the blessings of civil liberty might be extended upon the continent. While the object of the go-vernment it out "dismemberment," our troops a small be withdeap. verment is not "dissemblement," our troops same be withdrawn without fatal consequences, and deep and lasting dishonor; and if Mexico persists in her course of blind injustice, the results are casily uniterpared. The war with Mexico is not a war of conquest. Conquests were not its objects; and yet, they may be amongst its fortunate incidents. A nation engaged in war may, by the law of nations, rightfully conquer all the territory it can subtine and hold it as its own. So much are conquests deemed the property of the conqueror, that when a trenty of peace is made, the territory conquered is deemed the property of the conqueror, unless the treaty stipulates for the surrender, nor does this conquest extensions a delt due the conqueror before the commenceconquest extinguish a debt due the conqueror before the commenceconquest extanguish a debt due the conqueror bettor the commence-ment of the war. The conquests we have gained in Mexico, are ours without yielding to her any equivalent. We have won the sovereignty over them honestly, fairly, and legally, by the law of nations, and in treating with her, she is entitled to just what we may think proper to give her for reliquishing her right to re-con-quer them, and it is worth just what good judgment may dictate. And whenever the proposes to treat upon this principle we are norally bound to treat with her. We cannot virtuously continue the way for the mere purpose of making further conquests, but morally bound to treat with her. We cannot virtuossly continue the war for the mere purpose of making further conquests, but we can virtuously continue it to the subjection of the whole of Mexico, if she will not make peace with us upon just and hone jub terms, or, if we chose, upon such terms as shall have due reference to the territory we have acquired by conquest The question of boundary was a fair subject of negotiation. We proposed negotiation, which she refused. She profilered war which we acceptited, and she has no right to complain of the result of the issue set hereal! Gendered us, and we have a right to entire what the confidence of the same way as a right to entire what the confidence is a single way have a right to entire what the confidence is a single way have a right to entire what the confidence is a single way have a right to entire what the confidence is a single way have a right to entire what the confidence is a single way as a r she herself tendered us, and we have a right to enjoy what the chances of war have thrown into our hands. The disparity of force has been in her favor. What we could have brought into the force has been in ner layer. What we could nave morgan into the field has had no influence in producing results. The strength was immeasurably on her side, when, upon her own soil, her population or even her forces in the field, are compared with our invading army. Under like circumstances, when we were only three millions strong, we contended successfully, against the power of Great Britain; and Spain contended, in like manner, against the forces of Napoleon.

Neither national justice nor national morality require us tamely to surrender our Mexican conquests; nor should such be the policy of the Government, if it would advance the cause of national freeof the Government, if it would advance the cause of national freedom, or secure its enjoyment to the people of Mexico. But whatever may be the policy touching Mexican compuests, we cannot, for we would, restore New Mexico and Califorma to that government, for the reason that they will not be restored. The laws, which control the policy of territorial acquisition, are beyond the control of legislation. Fountains of tears may be shed over the dismemberment of Mexico; supplies to our gallant army may be refused, and it may be called back from its field of glory, or compelled to retreat therefrom to a "defensive line," or be disbanded and dismissed, and the people holding these provinces will not consistent to go where there is only marchy, vicence, and oppression. Give back those provinces! As well return to Great Britain what was once her colonial possessions—give back Louisiana to France, Florida to Spain, Texas to Mexico.

Neither the solemnities of legislative enactments, nor the sanc-

Neither the solemnities of legislative enactments, nor the sanctions of the treaty-making power; can compel them to return; and if it is attempted by strength of arms, it will require a greater

force than has yet been engaged in the Mexican war. These provinces are ours by every principle of justice and of international law. They have been purchased upon the battle-fields of Mexico, haw. They have been parentsed upon the battlestores of received by a bravery which finds no parallel in the history of mankind. The consideration has been too dearly paid, and our title deeds are written in the best blood of our sons. Let, then, the laws of humanity and peace be extended over them, and they dedicated for-over the cause of freedom.

The principle declared by the last clause of the first resolution, The principle declared by the last clause of the first resolution, that no conditions can be constitutionally imposed upon any territorial acquisition, inconsistent with the right of the people thereof, to form a free, overeign State, with the powers and privileges of the members of the consideracy. I deem too obvious for serious argument. Whatever waw Congress may constitutionally cancet for the regulation of the territuries of the United States, are subject to be altered or repealed at pleasure. The ancient Medes and Persians declared their educts unafterable; but no steak-power insvested in the American Congress, and those who protose to is vested in the American Congress, and those who propose to have it enact "unalterable and fundamental" laws, employ terms, which, if they have duly considered, they do not comprehend. Every State admitted to the Union from the moment of its admismember of the control dracy. The State constitution carries along with it its own definitions of sovereignty, and if any State is pro-hibited from all the rights of every other, then it is not a sovereign slaver, it may enange so as to annotize it, and this too, regardless of any legislation upon the subject by Congress or otherwise, before its admission to the Union. In other words, every State, after its admission, may in virtue of its own sovereign power, establish or abolish this institution, whatever may have ditions imposed, or attempted to be imposed upon it during its ter-

The second resolution declares that the principle of self-govenument apon which the federative system rests will be best pro-moted—the true spirit and meaning of the matitution be observed, and the confederacy strengthened by leaving all questions concerning the domestic regulation of territory to the legislatures chosen

the British Parhament, unless such authority is delegated by the constitution. The only clause of the constitution which is supposed to confer upon Congress the right to legislate for the people

"The Congress shall have power to dispose of and make all needful rules and regu-

In periding legislation for the District of Columbia, and for places occupied by the government of the United States for fortifications, and other erections required by the public service, the constitution thus confers the power upon Congress:

"To rese ex e legislation in all cases what or, in both diment not ex-ceeding time allow some as may be residently particular States, and the acceptance of Changens, because to send of the performance of the Finder States, and the acceptance we be the same send for the content of the states of the same send of the same send of the same send of the content of the same send of the same send of the content of the same send of the

By the clause of the eon litution first above cited it is evident By the clause of the con situation first above cited, it is evident that territory is mentioned in its material, and not its political sense, for it is classed with "other property." and Congress is un-vized to dispose of, and make all needful rules and regulations respecting both. In the other section they are separated, and Congress is authorized to legislate over all places occupied for public structures: but, no such sutherity is extended to territory. The language of the constitution is that of great precision tory. The language of the constitution is useful great precision— free from repetition, and every word was well weighed in its posi-tive and relative sense. And if its framers had supposed the phrase "in editir rules and regulation." authorized I gaisat in over places helonging to the United States, and used for public service, confer legislative authority over the internal affairs of a territory, with were they not empowed to authorize legislation over the District? And to reverse the order of the enquiry, if it was intended to confer upon Congress the power to legislate over territory, why was it not given in the same express terms as in authorizing legislation or the Disrict! From this view there is little doubt that a strict construction would deny to Congress the rights to legislate for the domestic affairs of the people of territory without their

C ngress has however upon various occasions exercised legisla-Congress has however upon various occasions exercised legisla-tive power over the subject. especially in incorporating into the law organistic territories the provisions of the ordinance of 1787, and this has been acquisesced in by the people of the territory. This ord nee was framed under the old confederacy, for the go-vernment of the North Western Territory, and the sixth article forbade slavery or involuntary servitude therein. Its validity has often been questioned, and its passage was pronounced by Mr. Madison to be "without the least color of constitutional law." But, whether authorised or not, having been passed before the adoption of the constitution, the act has no authority as a precedent for like indeption of the constitution of the variety of the constitution of the constitution of the value of the constitution of the const ganization, nor has any department of the general government or any other power, save her own people, any control over it. This furnishes a practical illustration, of the value of "unalterable" turnshes a practical illustration, of the value of "malterable" provisions by Congress in the organization of territory. It is not denied that if the people of the territory acquiesce in, or adopt the form of domestie government, proposed for them by Congress, it becomes their own, having all the force of law until they "alter or abolish it." But this gives to Congress no constitutional right to enforce its legislation upon the people of the territories against their will, and much less does it prohibit the people of the state in embryo, from exercising their own mherent right of sovereignty in their domestic affairs.

their domestic anars.

The resolution declares that the domestic policy of the people of a territory should be left with them, and if that power resides in Congress, as is contended, it should be delegated to the people of

From the Declaration of Independence to the adoption of the From the 'Declaration of Independence to the adoption of the Constitution, every act of those who erected our system of government indicates a prevailing confidence in the capacity and integrity of the people, and a lively distrats of delegated power; and if we permit ourselves to depart from the letter of the constitution, in search of its true spirit and meaning, we should keep turtion, in search of its true spirit and controling feature. But it is not my purpose to great peoplar and controling feature. But it is not my purpose to the control of the con

stitution, that instrument could not take from the people of territo-ries the right to prescribe their own domestic policy; nor has it ries the right to prescribe their own domestic policy; nor has it attempted any such office. The principles declared by this reso. attempted any such office. The principles declared by his few lutions are older and stronger than written laws and paper constitutions—principles which lie at the foundation of free institutions, and from which laws and constitutions emanate—inculcating the doctrine that, the inherent, original power of self-government was derived by man from the Sovereign of the universe; and that gov. ernment is the creature of man, and not man the creature of

The republican theory teaches that sovereignty resides with the people of a state, and not with its political organization; and the Declaration of Independence recognizes the right of the people to alter or aholish and reconstruct their government. If sovereignty "alter or abolish," and reconstruct their government, it is the right of the liabalisans of territories, in viruse of the same inhorn attribute, in all that appertains to their domestic concerns, to fashion one suited to their condition; and it, in this respect, a form of government is proposed for them by the federal government, and adopted or acquiesced in by them, they may afterwards after or abolish it at pleasure. Although the government of a territory has not the same sovereign power as the government of a static in its political relations, the people of a territory have, in all that apper-tains to their internal condition, the same sovereign rights as the people of a state. While Congress may exercise its legislation Clinicd States, the legislation should be exercised by them, under the Constitution.

The mental and physical organization of man teaches that he

The mental and physical organization of man teaches that he is better fitted for self-coverement than for the government of his neighbor; and if he is incapable of discharging this duty to himself, he should not be entrosted with the destiny of others. That system of government, whether temporary or permanent—whether applied to States, Provinces, or Territories, is radically wrong, and has within itself all the elements of monarchical oppression, which permits the representatives of one community to legislate for the domestic regulation of another to which they are clusters, and other Atlantically allows New York and Massachusetts, and other Atlantically allows. New York and Massachusetts, and other Atlantically allows New York and whose interests, wishes and condition they are strangers.

Not is this objection raised here for the first time. Prominent in the catalogue of grievances alleged by our fathers against the

in the catalogue of grievances alleged by our fathers against the British king and his ministers, was one for suspending our own legislatures and declaring themselves invested with power to legis-

Whenever or wherever a community of individuals have been subjected to the dominion of some external authority, it has been upon the plea of necessity—the same plea by which tyrants and usurpers have justified their enormities from the foundation of the

wornd. The genius of the federative system is self-government. It is the foundation upon which the art of our political safety residency four fathers proclaimed, that to secure the inadicable right-youghsided to man, governments were instituted, dereing into two owns of the control of the governed, that who may not make the control of the governed, that who may not make the control of the governed, that who may not make the control of the governed, that who may not make the control of the governed, that who may not make the control of the governed that who may not make the control of the governed that who may not make the control of the governed that we have the control of has power from the consent of the governed; that whenever any form of government becomes destructive of these ends, it is the right of the people to alter or to abolish it, and to institute a new government, laying its foundation on such principles, and organ-zing its powers in such form as to them shall seem most likely to effect their safety and happiness

All experience has indicated man's canacity for the exercise of this exalted attribute, and wherever civilized and intelligent men have been cast together without the benefit of conventional forms nave been east together without the benefit of conventional forms of government, they have proceeded to enact them. They who planted the germ of a powerful empire upon the pilgrim's rock before landing from the May Flower, drew up and signed the following model charter of liberty.

"Having indertaken, for the geley of Gud, and the advancement of the Clari-ton faith, and the bount and Isings of our country, a wayage to plant the first colou-ing the content profit and of the property of the property of the property of the content profit of the content of the profit of the content of the colony; into which is content of the

And, the inhabitants of Oregon, three thousand miles distant, in the absence of that guardian eare which Congress has been wont to extend to other territories nearer the political centre, established and have in successful operation a provisional government in which of their own volition, without the assistance of "ordinances," "provisos," or "unalterable fundamental articles," they prohibited do-

visos," or "matterand information and the territory.

The great experiment of self government has been fairly tried, and has either succeeded or failed. If it has taught that after all, man, by reason of inherent defects of character, is incapable of its successful exercise except in populous communities matured ns successful exercise except in populous communities matured by age, and that he must remain in pupilage until that season has arrived, we should boast no more that the mysterious prob-lem of human government has been solved, but read the Declaration of Independence backwards and cause the clause which proclaims that gratifying truth, to be expunged or amended by a "proviso." We should acknowledge the theory of free government to be a fable—that the darkness of the human intellect has

ment to be a lable—that the darkness of the homan interfect has been found to predominate, and that the gloomy sophisms of the timid and the malignant speculations of the envious have prevailed.

I have already shown that the whole structure of our system favored the idea of domestic government by the people of territofavored the idea of domestic government by the people of terrine-ries, that it was their right, and the question is fully presented, whether, in view of their capacity for its judicious exercise, it is expedient to leave their internal policy under the constitution with themselves. Any system which demes this in theory or in prac-tice, or which seeks to withhold it from the primary settlement until they shall have been adversarily with the property of the pro-tein same point. The property of the property which the few have, from the transport of property the property that the property of the pro-tein same point. earliest history of man, under the plea of necessity, been endea-voring to restrict the many in the exercise of freedom. It inculcates a system of slavery ten fold more abject than that it profess es to discountenance. It is the same spirit which has murmured its distrust at the extension of our territorial boundaries, and tremits district at the extension of, our territorial normanies, and trem-bled for the perpetuity of the Union on the admission of a new State—which looks upon free sulfrage with construation, and with holy horror upon the naturalization of foreigners—which would it-sell enslave one race lest they should tolerate a system which holds in bondage another. It is the offspring of bigotry and intolerance, and should have fulfilled its mission during the middle ages. All experience has shown, that tens of thousands in the sparse settlements are as competent to judge of their own condition, and ments are as competent to judge of their own condition, and are as much devoted to the support of law and order, as are the hun-dreds of thousands in populous towns and cities; and that all juscations concerning their domestic policy may be safely confided to them. When our country has been disgraced by violence and disorder, and disregard of law, it has been confined to the populous towns and cities, and has not extended to the border settle-ments. Should the domestic legislation of territories be left with their local legislatures, it would transfer from the halls of Congress the bootless sectional struggles which have created bitter-ness at home and served to degrade our institutions in the eyes of the world. It would leave local communities, territories, as well as States, to consult their own interests, wishes, and sense of pro-priety, and to creet or prohibit, continue or abolish, such institupriety, and to creet or promist, continue or abouts, such institu-tions as may not be repugnant to the principles of the constitu-tion. It would leave the federal government free to pursue its onward course unembarrassed by matters of sectional moment over which its control is questionable, and must be partial and temporary. It would relieve the benevolent statesman from the strife and irritation which now beset him, and allow his energies to be devoted to the best interests of the nation, and the amelioration of the condition of man. It would harmonise with the genial spirit of the constitution and uphold its symmetrical frame work. It would practically acknowledge man's capacity for self-govern-

m at and vindicate the integrity of his race. The same spirit by which freedom is nourished, would be nourished by it, and society he bond together by the of a mity and interest.

Then would our territorial soil be free—not by restrictions, putres visions, and the threatening mandates of federal legislation, but free and sacred to the cause of freedom—free for its people to lay the foundations of its government on such principles, and organise its powers in such form as to them shall seem most likely to effect their safety and happiness—freedom of opinion, of the press—of re-ligion—of education, of commercial intercourse. Having vindica-ted for the people of territories the same rights of self-government enjoyed by every other political community I forbear to speculate whether they will be less discreet in its exercise, than would those who desire to subject them to the influences of an external govern-

Let them who fear to intrust a free people with their own domestic concerns lest they should prove too weak or wicked to con-duct them judiciously, resort to the mistaken and mischievous policy of restrictive legislation—a system founded in blind and selfish conceits, and as impotent in effect as it is narrow in design. Such territory as we acquire will be free, and thus I would leave its people, and its domestic government. Free as the people of New York or of Virginia to lay the foundation of their government on such principles, and organize its powers in such form as to them shall seem most likely to effect their safety and happiness. If they shall fail to do this, the experiment of self-government will with them.

It is nought to me how various, crude or inconsistent are the speculations upon the principles which these resolutions contain, and what would be their effect if established. They stand upon the immutable basis of self-government, and will ultimately be videated and sustained by the American people in every section of dicated and sustained by the American people in every section of the Union. But they will be opposed upon grounds as various as the motives by which the opposition is induced. This is already evi-denced by sections of the public press which I notice, not as news-paper paragraphs merely, but for the interests theyrep resent. Al-ready the Charleston Mercary of South Carolina, a paper of conceded ability and extensive local influence, declares that their ef-fect would be to prohibit forever slavery in the acquired territory, and therefore as a guardian of the slave interest, calls for their rejection; and papers in other sections which employ the slave ques-tion as a stalking horse to minister to the appetites of the morbid and alarm the fears of the timid, discover in them not only the effect, but the design to propagate and extend slavery. But I leave the theories to be adjusted by those who are thus enabled to penetrate the future, and draw opposite results from the same premises. I leave the practical tests with those who shall be charged with the high responsibilities of their own government—under our glorious free system, under the constitution it has framed, and the Provi-dence which has watched over it.

It would doubtless be well for these antagonisms who fear that all newly acquired territory may be pre-occupied and monopolised, either by free labor on the one hand, or by slave labor on the other, that the pare the control of the con and we have no prohibitory, or even protective impost duties upon-serial manners, and customs, political opinions, or religious rites. It may be that the rugged Russian, allured by the gentle breezes of Mexico, may fall down from his byperbroreon regions with his seridom, and his military rule—or the Turk choose to regale him-self there with his pipes and mocha—his Georgian Hourie's sensual delights, and Mahonetan divinity. Or what is equally probable, as our Pacific possessions place us in direct communication with Asia, that the plains of Mexico may be descerated by the trund-ling of the car of Juggernaut, or the subjects of the celestial Em-peror—the brother of the sun and moon may hurry thither and run all agricultural interests by converting it into an extensive field of agricultural interests by converting it into an extensive field of

But let those who entertain them dismiss all selfish and idle fears, regard others as wise, and as virtuous, and as capabl their own government as themselves, and all will be well. spirit of freedom will enlarge her own boundaries and people—the area in obedience to laws stronger than the laws of Congress. The rich heritage we enjoy was won by the common blood and treasure of the north and south, the east and the west, and was defended and vindicated by the same, in the second war of inde declared and standard by the same, in the section was of inde-pendance; and in the present war with a reckless and semi-bar-harous foe the brave sons of every section of the Union have fought and fallen side by side—the parched sands of Mexico have drank tagether the best blond of N-w York, and South Carolina. There recollections should renew and strengthen the ties which units the reconcections should renew and strengthen the ites which unde the members of the confederacy, and cause them to spurn all attempts at provoking sectional jealousies and irritations, ealculated to disturb the harmony, and shake the stability of the Union. In the language of Mr. Jefferson, they who indulge "this treason against

language of Mr. senerson, they wao indurge "this ireason against human hope will signalise their cpoch in future history as the counterpart of the model of their predecessors."

Mr. VULEE.—I desire to purpose a substitute for the resolulution of the honorable Senator from New York. I do not intend auton of the monoranic Senator from New York. I do not intend going into a discussion of the subject now, but merely beg leave to remark, that while I feel thankful to the honorable Senator for the spirit of liberality in which he has discussed the subject, I find so wide a variance between the sentiments entertained by the Senator and myself, in respect to the relation which the territories of this Union, and the people inhabiting them, occupy towards the federal government, that I feel bound to vindicate my own opinions by the resolutions which I now offer.

The Secretary then read the resolutions as follows:

Resident, That the territory belonging to, or which may be acquired by the United States, is the common property of the Union, and the sovereignty over the same vests in the people of the served States requiring the Union.

Resident, juritary, That the federal parements has no heightfor power within the returning communication as more superiority, nor the sand territoriat, by which was the state of the United States to no experience of the United

Mr. YULEE moved that they be printed.

Mr. HALE gave notice that he would move the following, as an amendment, at the proper time :

Strike out all after the word "Resolved," and insert the following, viz

That it should be one of the fundamental rules and conditions on which all territory hereafter to be acquired by purchase, conquest, or otherwise, that slavery and involuntary servitude, except for the panishment of crume, whereof the party shall be duly convicted, shall be forever prohibited in said territory.

On motion by Mr. CASS, it was

Ordered, That the resolutions be laid upon the table, and that the amendments proposed be printed.

THE TEN REGIMEFT BILL.

The Senate resumed the consideration, on its third reading, of the bill to raise, for a limited time, an additional military force.

Mr. CASS rose and said:—I can now furnish the honorable Senator from Delaware, as 1 promised, with the date of the letter to which he alluded yesterday. It is dated the 18th of Septem-

Mr. CLAYTON .- The resolutions of the Senator from New York, (Mr. Dickinson,) and the various propositions for amend-ing them which have been presented, by others, and which have been the subject of discussion this morning, furnish a very extra-

been the subject of discension this morning, furnish a very extra-ordinary commentary, I think, sir, on this war; the objects to be attained by it, and the course we are now about to pursue. It seems to be taken for granted by gentlemen, that we are to ac-quire a great amount of territory; but hefore we obtain an aree, there is a violent contest what we shall do with it.

Mr. President:—Vesterday, when the Senate adjourned, I had adverted to the subject of the indemnity due by Mexico for the claims of our citizens. I had said, what I maintain now, and what I shall at all times heroafter maintain—that the just elaims of my countrymen against Mexico ought to be asserted and sup-verted in every suitable and moreer manner. But I did not supof my countrymen against nextee country to be asserted and sup-ported in every suitable and proper manner. But I did not then state what I think it right to state now, in order to prevent any error in the public mind—that the amount of those claims has been greatly overrated. There has been an erroneous impression in regard to their amount, and I will state what I believe to be that amount, subject, of course, to be corrected, if in error, by gentle-men who may follow me in the debate.

I understand that the whole amount of claims presented to the Commissioners was \$6,291,605 dollars. Of this sum, \$2,260,140 were allowed by the Commissioners on the part of Mexico, and the were aniowen by the Commissioners on so part or accept, and the Mexican government was providing to pay that amount without any controversy as to its justice at the time when the war broke out. It appears, then, that there was a sum of \$928,082 claimed by the citzens of the United States, which was disallowed by the Mexican Commissioners. This was subject to controversy; and whether our claim for that amount was right or wrong, renains the state of the sta to be decided by the Commission appointed by both governments.

Of the claims of our citizens, \$3,330,837 had not been examined by the Commissioners. I make this statement, as I have said, in order to prevent an erroneous impression in regard to the amount of these claims.

Mr WEBSTER (in his seat) .- Mr. Trist was authorised to claim \$3,000,000

Mr. CLAYTON.—It is true that Mr. Trist was authorised to megoriate, on the ground that the whole sum due to us in the aggregate was three millions. It will not be contended, I presume, that we went to war for the recovery of this claim. I have not heard that the true object of the war was the recovery of this money. Other causes were alleged for the war in which we were involved, on the 13th of May, 1846. The great cause assigned by the President for the war at that time was, that American blood had been shed upon American soil. I do not propose to go into the discussion of the question at this time, wherher that assertion was or was not true. There are other matters which invite any consideration, and the state of the discussion, to have it distinctly understood, as far as I am concerned, that I have been always ready and anxious to vindicate the honest claims of my countrymen upon Mexico, and that neither by the voice which I shall in future be called onto give in reference to this war, for I desire it to be understood that I am denying the justice of the Mr. CLAYTON .- It is true that Mr. Trist was authorised to do I desire it to be understood that I am denying the justice of the

fair claims of the citizens of this country upon Mexico for indemnity. What I meant to say yesterday and mean to repeat to-day, is, that the amount of these claims was small compared to the value of the enormous quantity of territory, which the President declares shall be ceded by Mexico to us before there can be a just and honorable peace between the two countries. It has been said that the proposition was made by the American Commissioner to give them, treated williams of dollars for the territory required to be seen to the countries. them twenty millions of dollars for the territory required to be ce-ded. I know not from any official information, how the Presi-dent estimates the difference between the claims of our citizens and the value of the land which he intends to demand of Mexico at the camon's mouth.

at the canon's mouth.

The honorable Chairman of the Committee on Military Affairs tells me to-day that he has ascertained the date of the letter from General Scott to our government, in which the suggestion is made, that in a certain event more troops will be necessary to be added to the army. That letter, he says, is dated so far back as the 18th of September, and I suppose the extract he has read from it is nothing more than the suppressed part of the General's official letter of that date, in which he recounts his victories. It is the part of that letter marked by the asterisks I suppose. It is clear, then, from the statement of the honorable Chairman of the Committee of Military Affairs, of this country, or to any department of this government, the passage of these bills to raise thirty more regiments for the war. He has made no such proposition—upon his head none of the responsibility of these measures can rest. It must rest on the heads of those who have suggested it. Although the President has generally recommended in his message an increase of the army, he has not told us how many regulars, how many volunteers, or how many toops of all kinds should be added to the army. Sir General Scott has never desired such an increase of the forces in Mexico, nor can he now desire it. It could only embarrass and distract him in his position at present, however useful such an addition might have been before he left Yera Cruz, and pencitrated into the interior of Mexico. At this time these measures would add 30,000 more mouths to be fed in Mexico. Sir, is not consistent with the Knewn character of this great capitain to its processing the such as a content of this great capitain to its position and the such as a capital capital in the source of this great capital in the source of the forces of this great capital in the source of the such as a capital in the source of the such as a capital in the source of the such as a capital in the source of the such as a capital capital in the source of the such as a capital capit The honorable Chairman of the Committee on Military Affairs penetrated into the interior of Mexico. At this time these measures would add 30,000 more mouths to be fed in Mexico. Sir, it is not consistent with the known character of this great entprint of the consistent with the known character of this great entprint of the consistent with the known character of this great consistent with the consistency of the control of t

country. Let us see the comparative amount of the American and Mexican forces that were engaged in those battles which were fought previous to the entry of the American army into the city of Mexico. It will show us that there is no necessity whatever for such an increased force to be added to the army of the United

The first great battle after the capture of Vera Cruz was that of Cerro Gordo

The commanding General, in his despatch to the Secretary of War, says:

"Our whole force present, in action and in reserve, was ",500; the enemy is estimated at 12,000, or more. Along 5,000 presence, 4 or 5,000 stands of array, and 53 more severe than at first supposed, an anomating in the two days to 33 offices, and 33 more severe than at first supposed, anomating in the two days to 33 offices, and 33-men-i-m all 431, of whom 63 were killed. The enemy's loss is computed to be from 1,000 to 1,200.

Of the next battle, sir, in which our army was engaged against the Mexicans, the General speaks in his despatch of the 18th Sep-tember, from which I read the following extract:

tember, from Willen I read the following extract:

"This army has been now eigented than surprised that by one similar pieces on the part of certain individuals at home, its numbers have been, generally, since the following the parts—beginning at Washington."

"Leaving, as we all feared, inadequate garinos at Vern Cruz, Perore, and Pathamana and Company of the Com

unions of more and mr.; thele or wonded, or that number, now has 70,000 ft.

which are all the control of the c

manatay or sor, shells, powlets, Ne. Ser.

"It's like short, some so formidate in numbers, appointments artillery, Ne. 20, "It's like short, some so formidate in numbers, appointments a street, so that the street is street, so the largest about 2,500—now wandening in different directions than the street, so the street is some street, and then at free some new sources, and the like street, and the street is some street, and management and had like it seems, internal or external. Sell such a feet-strater, or rather infastiation, of the people, that in a very fouldful whether the new authorities will then be used in the street angular large, were known by our instance.

This official report fully sustains the statement made by the Senator from Kentucky, who declared, that in his opinion, Mescanous from Kentucky, who declared, that in his opinion, Mescanous here considered and return and analysis of the considered and return and analysis of the control of t

\_\_\_\_\_\_ "set a squadron in the field, Nor the division of a battle know,

Mr. Pessident, in the very outset of the inquiry to which our much ser directed when we are called on to vote upon these bills, we are met by the question—which I beg leave, most respectfully, to suggest to gendlemen on the other side of the Chamber—whether this government was formed for the purpose of acquiring, foreign territory by conquest. I dony it sir. I hold this government to be a government of specific and delegated powers, and I do not find it enumerated anywher power of the purpose of acquiring, territory by conquest. I dony it sir. I hold this government to be a government of specific and delegated powers, and I do not find it enumerated anywher power of the present of the property of the property

But, ar, we are rapidly approaching that state of things which will make the computes and nunexation of all Mexico inevitable; and these bolls are a part of the process by which, if they become law, we shall, in any humble judgment, be driven to this result. These bells propose a rediplication of this mighty mass of 30,000 men, compessing our array new in Mexico.

The measure brings with the imminent part to our own institutions. You may say to me flow, as the homorable Chairman of the Committee on Midrary Alfairs said when he introduced this

The measure brings with it imminent peril to our own institutions. Yain may say to me now, as the honorable Chairman of the Committee on Military Alfairs said when he introduced this bill, that no she purpose as that of the amexation of all Mexico is contemplated by anybody. Although I thought be was right when he midde that declaration, I lave since been convinced that he was under a very great error. We have now before us a resolution offered by an homorable Senator, declaring that it may be a considered that the continuous directly an experiment of the contribution of the cont

Rates, or as a province.

Why, sr, what have you seen lately in the public prints, from all parts of the country—from New Orleans to New York?

Sir, I have cut from a newspaper published in the city of New York a brief extract which I will read. A writer commenting

on the speech delivered by the Honorable Senator from South Carolina, makes these observations:

"One schoolmasters, our tin problines, our country havyers, our missionaries, our printers, our mechanics, and our furthers, are already there. There the bulk will stay, how Mr. Calhonan, or Mr Poli, means to give a bild of alse of these near, with their minimal problems of the state of the minimal problems. We shall be stated on the state of the state of the state of the state of the all admit unit at all events, be taken off from all 'We doubt their inght thus to transfer or even abandon Auerican citizens. No, they must be protected, and shelleved, and governed."

Yes, sir, these adventurers who have gone down with your army, constituting as they will with the increased forces which you propose to send to Mexico, an armed emigration to take possession and colonise the country, with the bayonet, will claim hereafter that you are bound to "shelter and protect them." They will demand it on the ground that they are American entrens, they will say that you placed them there, that you encouraged them to go there and that you are now bound to protect them. A gentlemen sheld at the statement; that there are not less than fifty thousand of these emigrants, in various parts of Mexico, not directly connected with our army. Sir, I ask the attention, and the serious attention of every gentleman on this floor to these facts; we are about to send to Mexico an armed conigration, and when we get them there, how shall we get them away? Do you not see from the public papers, already, that some of those who are in the city of Mexico, (I do not refer to the army.) are proposing a permanent occupation of the country? II a statement in the Government paper, published this day, in this place, be correct, it is proposed by those who are now in the Halls of the Montezumas, that a rani road shall be constructed to Vera Cruz, and a magnetic telegraph to Tampico. Now go on for a few years more in this way, and you may then legislate as much as you please; the doors of your thissoft calmission, and you cannot keep them out. Is any one, I sak, in favor of such a state of things? If there be any who are in favor oil, I visit to hear them now; for one, I take my stand against it. I think there is wisdom in the proposition of the honerable Senator from South Carolina, that we should now erect a landmark for ourselves, by which we shall be governed hereafter.

What will be the consequence of the annexation of twenty Mexcan States, or the great mass of them, to the American Union? Of all men in the United States the most interested in making this inquiry solemnly, and examining it patiently and carefully, are the gentlemen of the South. There are not less than eight millions of human beings, men, women and children, of a race totally different from ourselves—a colored population, baving no feelings in common with us—no prejudices like ours—into in the contrary, with prejudices directly the autipodes of all of ours; and especially ligoted on this very subject of slavery.

Do you suppose that if you annex to the American Union bees reign millions of people, backed by the millions of colored more reign millions of people, backed by the millions of colored more reign millions of the government, stimulated as they will be by abolitionsts of the most famatical cast? What, then, must become of that peculiar institution of ours, which has existed for so many years in this country? You answer me that you will not suffer that institution to be disturbed. The honorable Senator from Maryland said, you will not suffer the right to hold colored men in slavery to be diseased. No, sir just how will you prevent it when these men shall have been admitted into the American Union, and these Mexican States shall have become American States? You will be voted down, you may, and probably will read the Union to atoms! These are the legitumate results of your annexation of all Maryland to the property of the property of

My beneable friend from Maryland, in the progress of the discussion alluded to the revenues of Mexico, and said that the though the American army, might be herenter supported out of the Mexican revenues. I do not propose to discuss the linancial questions connected with this bill at length though I do invoke the serious attention of the American Senate, as an inportable Senator has already done, to the criss which must be produced by adding twenty or thirty millions annually to our already cornomous expenses, these expenses which we can now escape and are bound to incur. I say bound, because I wish it to be understood that I mean to vote supplies, and I are our army in Mexico as long as that army remains there. I intend as far as my vote will go, to vote the munition of war, the clothing for the troops, and for every thing as far as may be necessary to sustain the bonor of the American army in a foreign country. But there I stop: and I say, with the forces whenly you have there and the twenty thousand men which you have a right now by lawt to recently, your further progress in arming the people for this war shall be arready so far as my vote will go to assist it. Should any real danger to our army ever require more men. I would grant them, but now it is evident that you have no more men in would grant them, but now it is evident that you have no more men in would grant them, but now it is evident that you have no considerable the substaining the army in Mexico, because he thought the revenues of Mexico would be sufficient for that purpose. Sir I

beg to take issue with him upon this point. The whole revenue beg to take issue with num upon runs point. The whole revenue of Mexico, as he said, was but twenty one million dollars, when in her best and palmiest state. It is now, as General Scott tells you, almost annihilated, he, who has the best means of know-ing, gives you this information. But suppose the revenue to be twenty one million of dollars, would that he sufficient to support an army of 70,000 men? Sir, if you look at the official documents which have been sent to us by the Secretary of War, you will see that it will be entirely inadequate to defray half the enormous exthe nonorable Senator from Maryland? Does he desire to take every dollar from Mexico, and prevent her from supporting any government? What policy would there he in that? We want to treat with the government of Mexico, yet we drive that government from time to time—keeping them as has been said, "in perpendit notion." We drive them continually from please and vet we want to the property of the period of the property of the period of penses to which we should be subjected. But what is meant by the honorable Senator from Maryland? Does he desire to take and yet we expect to treat with a government thus in motion, and from which we have taken every dollar of its revenue. Is there wisdom in this? Is it not in fact an effectual obstruction to the obtaining any treaty? It must end in the utter annihilation of the sovereignty and independence of that nation. You will have a mass of population scattered over an immense region without a mass of population scattered over an immense region without a government, because you will not permit them to have one, and yet you expect to obtain a treaty of peace! You are to beat them, drive them, shoot them, and in every way pounds them; and you say you are to do it for the purpose of procuring an honorable peace. Now, sir, I have nothing to do with the question of humanity as involved in this matter, but I put it again to honorable Sentence and the other side is this ground policy. It is expanded? Asset ators on the other side, is this good policy? Is it expedient? Are you resolved never to permit Mexico to have a government that can treat with you? If you send down thirty thousand more men, what these bills call for, it is in vain to say that there can be an independent government in Mexico.

mdependent government in mexico.

In reference to the suggestion, sir, which held out the idea that
Mexico can be annexed to this country as a province, I wish to
say but a very few words. I think that every statesman who reflects on the subject, will agree with me that it is utterly impossi-ble for us long to hold that or any other country as a province. If you annex it to the American Republic in any form its final desyou annex it to the American Republic in any form its final destiny is fixed and certain. Your own institutions, your sense of justice, your love of the great principle of human liberty, will compel you to admit them finally into the Union. Hold it as a province like one of the despotic governments of Europe! Hold Hold it as a a country which was once a republic, sir, as a province, enslaved, and enslaved by a sister republic! It is vain, the idea is altogether impracticable. It cannot be entertained by an American statesman for a moment. Depend upon it, sir, you cannot evade the great question. Now is the time to say whether you will ad-mit Mexico into the American Union or not.

I do not propose, Mr. President, to review the ground which was so ably taken by the honorable Senator from Kentucky, who proceeded me in the debate in reference to the existing army in the field, the number of troops in Mexico and their sufficiency for all heat, the nature of a tools in relaxed and other statements for an legitimate purposes in this war. I have here the report of the Adjutant General, and I presume that every honorable Senator has had the report upon his table. It appears by it (and I will barely read one or two extracts for the purpose of confirming what has been stated already) that the aggregate regular and volunteer for-ces in Mexico, independent of the Michigan regiment and the Alces in Mexico, independent of the Michigan regiment and the Al-ahama and Mississpipi troops which have not gone to Mexico, amount to forty-three thousand, five hundred and thirty-six men. The Michigan, Ahamam, and Mississpipi troops will raise the number above 45,000 men. There was great propriety. I think, in the suggestion of the Senator was great propriety. I think, to the suggestion of the Senator was great propriety of the the control of the sund the supplied of the s Mexico, to ascertain the entire effective force now in Mexico.

There are then, sir, by a fair calculation, already 50,000 men in Mexico. According to the letter which was read by the honor-able Chairman of the Committee on Military Affairs, General Scott considered that force sufficient, not only for the legitimate purposes of the war, but also for holding all the Capitals of all the States of the Mexican Confederation, all its provinces, towns, and States of the Mexical Confederation, and its provinces, towns, and fortified places; for the purpose also of seizing all the revenues of the nation, and of driving the Central Government from place to place, "keeping it in constant motion," in other words, that the force was sufficient, according to the judgment of the Commanding General, to annihilate the sovereignty of Mexico. Why then do you want more? On what ground do you base the requisition for 30,000 additional troops, when you have already a force adequate, according to the Commanding General, for the subjugation of the whole country? If you send these 30,000 additional troops there, you will have 30,000 additional mouths to feed, greatly increasing the difficulties of the Commander-in-chief in the field, if not rendering them absolutely insuperable. You will thus raise the price of supplies by increasing the demand for them. At the the price of supplies by increasing the demand for them. At the same time, your army being inactive, occupying the garrison towns and fortified places of Mexico, you incur all the hazard of motiny and insubordination in that immense mass of men, a great por-tion of them being kept in the great Capital of that Republic, and the others, diffused and scattered among the principal towns. Reflect, sir, on the difficulties which must laevitably surround an Kenect, sir, on the unincuries wince must inevitably surround an army in such circumstances; the danger not merely from disease and the climate, but from that military insubordination into which they would be so likely to fall, when they have no enemy to en-counter in the field. As to the idea of fighting any more battles in Mexico, that I hold to be perfectly preposerous. Battles with

whom? A single regiment, sir, of volunteers, would be sufficient. at this very moment, to defeat any Mexican forces that could, probably, be brought into the field. Who is there in Mexico that ha aony, oe brought into the lieu. Who is there in Mexico that has under him, or has the least expectation of having under him, any considerable Mexican force? No one. Santa Anna lins # few guerillas under him, assassins and cut-throats; but they, like assassins and cut-throats in other parts of the world, are fleeing before our regular forces, and dare not face them, and never will

dare face any army there.

I conclude, then, Mr. President, that if a case could possibly be stated in which an American Congress would be justified in withstated in whiten an American congress would be justined in with-holding its consent to an Executive application for more troops, that case is now before us. There are some individuals who seem to think that no possible emergency can arise in which a patriotic statesman can withhold his vote for furnishing supplies of men or money during a state of war. With all such persons I beg most respectfully to differ; and I am quite willing, if gentlemen desire. to measure patriotism with them on this very question. We are placed here as guardians, in some sense, of the lives of the American people, and from our age—as there are many gray heads to be seen in this chamber; it is expected of us that, as men in whom the "bey-day of the blood is gone, and waits upon the judgment," we shall restrain the impulses which prompt men to rush to every battle-field when there is no occasion whatever for their services.

Sir, is it indeed true that a man cannot vote against a bill to send additional troops into the field, unless he be as some say, a Mexican Whig, or at heart an enemy to his country? No such assertion as that was made by my honorable friend from Maryland, (Mr. Johnson); but just the reverse. He did justice land, (Mr. Johnson); but just the reverse. He did justice to the motives of those who vote against the measure. But such language I have heard from the lips of others, and never without despising it and its authors. I shall vote. I trust, from a high sense of public duty, and I say that in this case I might with more propriety rector upon the friends of the Executive that he desires, by the passage of this bill, to get a large army of military office-holders. to electioneer for his party in the next Presidential campaign. I might make such a charge against him, with much more propriety than the charge to which I have alluded, can be directed against than the charge to which I have induced, can be directed against gentlemen not disposed to vote in favor of this bill. If this ten regiment bill passes, the President will have the appointment of five bundred and forty additional commissioned officers. The Exceptive has already exercised more patronage than any of his preecutive has aiready exercised more patronage than any of his pre-ducesors in office. This war, calling into existence a mighty army of 70,000 men, has given him more patronage, independently of other considerations, than any President ever exercised before him. Well, if patronage be an object to the Executive, may be not well be satisfied? I will not lightly make an accusston against the Chief Magistrate of my country. I make no charge against him that it is as object to carry this bill through the halls of Con-lination of the control of gress, in order that he may obtain this patronage; but, I am one of those who are very fearful and jealous of Executive power and Executive patronage. I have lived long enough and seen enough Executive patronage. I have lived long enough and seen chough here to satisfy me, that there is imminent danger to our institutions from that very source. I will not, where there is the slightest danger to be apprehended from it, lend my aid to increase that Executive patronage. Sir, the fathers of the republic, when about to make the American Constitution had fears, and just such fears as I entertain on this great question. They and just such lears as I entertain on this great question. Incy had lears that the great temptations to which the Executive would be exposed, to increase his power and patronage, flagronte bello, might lead him to defeat the settlement of controversies with foreign powers and to protrate any war in which we might be engaged, so as to prevent a peace. Reasoning upon the subbe engaged, so as to prevent a peace. Reasoning upon the sub-ject in the abstract, and without reference to any particular individual, the framers of the Constitution thought that such a danger existed, and James Madison was one of the very men who, apprehending danger from this source attempted in the Convention which made the Constitution, to guard against it by removing from the President even concurrent action with the Senate in the formation of treaties of peace, restricting that power to two-thirds of the Senate.

Let me read from the proceedings of that convention, the pas ages which bear on this point; as they are quite pertinent in the present connexion. You will find them under date of the — of September, 1787, page 524 of the 'Madison Papers,

- "Mr. MADISON moved to authorise a concurrence of two thirds of the Senate to make treaties of peace, nothout the concurrence of the President. The President, he said, would necessarily derive so much power and importance from a state of war, that he might be tempted, if authorised, to impede a treaty of peace.
- " Mr. BUTLER seconded the motion.
- "Mr. GORHAM thought the security unnecessary, as the means of earrying on the war would not be in the hands of the President, but of the Legislature.
- "Mr. BUTLER was strenuous for the motion as a necessary security against am bitious and carrapt Presidents. He mentioned the late perfidious pulsey of the Stadt hader in Holland, and the artifiers of the Duke of Mariborough to prolong the war of which he had the management."
- Sir. Mr. Madison's motion failed, and we have no resource against the evil he designed to prevent, but in the suggestion of Mr. Gor-

It is evident, then, that the fathers of the Constitution contem-It is evenera, men, that the lathers of the Constitution comerplated the very step which we are now about to take; and unless in our hearts and judgments we can approve of the objects for which the war in Mexico is waged—unless we can agree with the President in his ultimatum as announced in that portion of the message in which he declares that he will make no peace unless it

give him all the Californias and New Mexico-unless we concur give him all the Galiformas and New Mexico—unless we concur in that ultimatum, we are bound as honorable men, to refuse him any more men for the purposes he has avowed. In so refusing the creat him any more men for the prosection of the war with such objects, I hold myself as acting in the very spirit of the Constitu-tion, and according to the requisitions of the latters who made it. Mr. President, the honorable Chairman of the Committee on Military Allaris, when he introduced this bill to the consideration

of the Senate, submitted with it some remarks to which desire to invite your attention. That I may not misquote or mis represent the honorable Senator. I will read from his speech as published by the Reporter of the Senate.

"In presenting this hill I do not propose to touch any of the dispated topics, which shaded the two great parties, under the learner of ame or the other of which, every effect or of our reporting starred. I shall like the first in the first of the introduced by other, I had how the full I heart, the manner of the construction of the starred of the control of the control of the control of the starred of the control of the c

It sometimes happens, sir, in the affairs of this world, that a man by blowing a trumpet may invite an opponent into the field when there is more there before be did it. The honorable Senator has uttered this note of defiance, and I suppose it was intended for gentlemen on this side of the Chamber. He has thrown the gauntlet He has thrown the gauntlet teners on this sole of the Chamber of the State of Seather of the Chamber of the repel one or two attempts on my part to question the propriety of the course of the administration in carrying on this war.

Many years ago there was a certain gentleman in our State of Many years ago there was a certain gentleman in our State of Texas, then a province claimed by Mexico, by the name of Don Autonio Lopez de Santa Anna. He met some American troops— if I recollect the history of times gone by—at a certain place called Golind, and induced them to surrender to him under the promise that they would be fairly and honorably treated as prisoners of war. He took out every man of them, the next day, and shot them, one by one deliberately, in cold bloodt. He wholated his sol-ten, one by one deliberately, in cold bloodt. He wholated his sol-vellain as he is—he slew one hundred and seventy-nice of any count-tremen after heldging his homor to give them unarter. On antrymen, after pledging his honor to give them quarter. On an other occasion this same Don Autonio Lopez de Santa Anna massacred some hundreds more of our countrymen at a place called These things are fresh in the recollection of every There is not an honest American heart that did not burn with indignation against this ferocious ruffian on account of these atro-ions acts. Well, sir, when this war was de-clared in May, 1846, the President of the United States—the head of the administration, and of the executive government—gave this same Santa Anna, with all his titles, a free pass through the American fleet, into Mexico.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, (in his seat.)-On the same day

Mr. CLAYTON.—On the very day as my honorable friend re-marks, on which the war was declared the President of the United States, issued his order to let pass the perjured assassin without let or hindrance into Mexico. This sir, is one of these points in which I derive to see the chairman of the Committee on Military Affairs so easily repel the attack which he has invited. I am bound sir, to hold up the conduct of the President in this instance, not only to the Senate but to the American people, and I ask the champion of the administration or any gentleman on the other side of the chamber to demonstrate to us the case with which he can repel the charge of misconduct, gross misconduct on the part of the repet the enarge of misconnuct, gross misconnuct on the part of the President of the Misconnucture, in admitting that morderous ruf-tian into Mexico. What, sir, have be accompanied to good au-cat? Whip is me in ruply take up a newspaper which is good au-cat? Whip is me in ruply take up a newspaper which is good au-thority with my homorable friends on the other side. In the Union" of this morroug I and the following paragraph.

Wishray recard a file of the "North American," printed in the effyor Mexic Cur, the 3 of Norvalley to the 6th of Berember. We find the following in it Votes in of 1, 1, named date. It has already appeared in the New Orlea repers.

Once Notice seems Cowys, Arnama, and Curlo, the Mayaran prace commis-ment, and time by N, we have, that of course on effect noding. It is now said that they will not go to Washington as long as Santa Anna is in the country. The coverament dime of a even pay there expenses, no would the commissioners dance or will then Posselvar Anny and the commissioners would be said to the con-position of the commissioners would be said to the commissioners would be said to the com-tangent of the commissioners would be said to the commissioners would be said to the com-tangent condition have the people scheded themselves to

I ask, would not the ejaculation have been more appropriate— "Ob" to what a deplorable condition has the President of the Uni-ted States reduced this people and this country." (Ppon his head, sir, and no other, must this sin rest; and if the honorable gentle-man on the other side of the Chamber, have ingenuity enough to extreact the President from this position, he shall indeed, he in all time hereafter, pay Maguay Apollo! I he can point out any

mode of salvation for the President on this point, on which he is mode of salvation for the President on this point, on which he is now attacked, it will reflect infinite horror on his character as an advocate. This same Don Antonio Lopez de Santa Anna, who murdered our countrymen at Golind and the Alamo, being admitted to pass free into Mexico, has waged war against our country on all occasions, since his admission. He has rallied thousands and tens of thousands of Mexicans that no other man could have rallied against us. He fought us at Buena Vista—at Cerro Gordo —at Contreras—at Churubusco—at Molino del Rey—at Chepulte-pee—and at the city of Mexico. He fought till he was driven from the capital to the mountains, where he now remains with his guerillas, ready to murder the poace commissioners of his own country if they should dare to treat with us. Such is the man whom the President of the United States introduced into that

whom the President of the United States introduced into that country, and this is the state of things to which the American people have been brought by the conduct of the Executive. I might here, sir, request the honorable Chairman to repel ano-ther clearge made by the Senator from Maryland. Why was the gallant Taylor left without more than 5,400 troops—nearly all volunteers—to resist the attack of this same Stanta Anna with 29,000 Mexicans, at the bloody but glorious buttle of Buena Vista? 29,000 Mexicans, at the bloody but glorons buttle of Biene Nistat.
And why was the no less gellant Scott left is o long without sufficient troops to move from Puebla upon the capital of Mexico?
During all this time, the President had the power to call upon his unexhausted fund of 50,000 volunteers, and refused to send them suecon. But I must not dwol on these things. They were sufficiently urged by the Senator from Maryland.

The present times, sir, do not resemblé the early days of the republic. We hear much, especially from honorable gentlemen on the other side of the Chamber of Leiferson and his elementers.

other side of the Chamber, of Jefferson and his democracy, these are not the days of Jeffersonian democracy. The meaning the second of the sec of the President of the United States are not like those of Thomas Jefferson, or the lathers of the Rebublic. No, sir, let us recur to an incident in the history of the administration of Thomas Jefferson. son, at the period when great excitement prevailed with regard to son, at the period when great excitement prevailed with regard to the titlet of the mouth of the Mississiph, in the year 1803, when the whole west was in a flame, lest some foreign nation should on-cury the mount of that mighty river, and imped the growing stream of the mount of the mount of the mount of the stream sir, when the minds of men all over the American Union, were pe-cularly excited, an effort was made in the Senate Chamber of the United States, on the 16th February, 1803, by Mr. Ross, from Pittishurgh, i think, to induce the American Congress and the American Executive, to seize and take possession of the mouth of the Mississiph, and the country which was necessary for our pres-parity; and to hold it without reference to negotiation or treat found in the Sexua Loursul, for the year 1803, nave 280. found in the Senate Journal, for the year 1803, page 266.

Resolved. That the United States have an indisputable right to the free navigation of the river Mississippi, and to a convenient place of deposite for their produce and merchandise in the island of New Orleans.

That the late infraction of such, their uniquestionable right, is an aggression hostile

That the age intraction of their, new neutroneous are necessary to the honor and mercest.

the the honor and mercest.

the default of the default of safety of this Union to hold a right so important by a fenure so uncertain.

That it materially concerns such of the American cutzern as dwell on the western waters, and is essential to the union, strength, and prosperity of these states, that they cleans complete security for the full and paccasite copyment of such their adsolute

obtain complete security of the 11th same peacestant is expressed as "such place or place, in the said soland, or the adjacent territories, as he may deem fit and convening for the purpose affersaid, and to adjust each other measure for obtaining that the convening for the purpose affersaid, and to adjust each other measures for obtaining that the convenience of the material version of the convenience of the discussion territory, which he may think project, not exceeding fifty thousand, and to employ the convenience of the discussion of the convenience of the co

them, together with the military and naval forces of the Union, for effecting the ob-jects above mentioned.

That the sum of five militions of dollars be appropriated to the carrying into effect the foregoing resolutions; and that the whole or any part of that sum be paid or ap-plied, on warrants drawn in parasance of such directions as the President may, from time to time, this, proper to give to the Sectedary of the Teasary.

And on page 274, you find these resolutions proposing that the President should take immediate possession, entirely changed by the democratic Senators in Mr. Jefferson's confidence:

Resolved. That the President of the United States be, and be it hereby, author zed, whenever he shall judge it expedient, to require of the exceptives of the severastic to fixed effectual measures, to organize, aim, and equip, according to law, and hold in readmess to march, at a moment's warning, 80,000 effective militia, officer

included. Residend, That the President max, if he judges it expellent, sunhorize the excent trees of this several states to account, as just of the detachment afforsoal, any carport coultners, who also continues are to the continues are president of the continues are also continues and perform as the services as shall be poserable by law. and perform as the services as shall be poserable by law. In the trapes afforcism, whose car may be services as the same trape of the responsable space of these expenses as, during the reress of Congress, the President may deem necessary for the security of the bringing of the United States. Resident, That — dollars he appropriated for everting, at such place or places on the workers waters as the President may judge most project, one of most merchals.

I have here the speech made by Mr. Ross, in support of his resolutions, proposing to seize the mouth of the Mississippt and the adjacent territory, without negotiation; and the speech, six-is very much a counterpart of some that I have heard of, during the discussions that have taken place since this war commenced. We Ross urged that it was convenient and necessary to seize upon the territors. Cortainly he had the right to say, of. He insist to ross urged that it was convenient that necessary to serie upon the territory. Cortainly he had tho right to say so. He insisted that we should not wait for negotiation; and of course he urged that those who held the territory had no title to it. But what was the course adopted in those days of Jeffersonian democracy? Did Mr. Jefferson raise an army to march upon and seize the territory,

or to point our cannon into the windows of New Orleans, and thus or to point our cannon into the wandws of New Orleins, and thus provoke a conflict? Did any sock spirit is atta which seems to rage here with an unbridled furly per provide the Democracy of that party? No, sir, in o, sir. The low of Justice had not abandoned the American bosons; the honor of the American Defensor, with his still dear to American statesmen. Themas Jefferson, with his friends, dropped the proposition of the Rose to science on the countries of the property of the property of the property of 1803, by which Louisiana was colded to this country, for the sum of fifteen millions of dollars. Necroincon-streaty-scholes of 1803, by which Louisiana was ecaed to this country, for the sum of fifteen millions of dollars. Negociation—reraty—these were the means resorted to by the Democracy of ancient days. Alas! sir; it seems to me as if there were a spirit now pervading a portion of my friends, on the other side of the chamber, which a portion of my friends, on the other side of the chamber, which disposes them to seize and hold territory, without negotiation— without treaty—almost without regard to the consequences upon the character of the country. From all of this spirit l'entirely dissent. But allow me to add, that I am not one of those who have denied, or mean hereafter to deny that in-demnity may be received by my country from Mexico in the way of the acquisition of territory. What I have maintained is, that I demnity may be received by my country from Mexico in the way of the acquisition of territory. What I have maintained is, that I will not agree to rob for it. Buy any territory that may be neces-sary for the good of the country. Buy the harbor of San Fran-cisco, and the adjacent territory for the use of our people. Buy it on fair and honorable terms, and I shall not object to it. But take nothing by violence. If you intend to do that, I wosh my bands of it, and hace nothing to do with it. I refuse to acquise cein naw such procedure on the ground which I have already stated, that I had the accinction demonstrate for its interval. hold the national character for justice, and honesty of far more value to my countrymen now, and in all ages to come, than all the lands, and all the money which could be acquired by a war of lands, and all the money when could be accounted by a war of rapine, and all the military glory that could be achieved in the wars of a century. Lose that which excites in your own bosom, a proper feeling of self-respect, and you are a lost man. Let your country lose its self-respect by the folly or wickckness of its rulers, and your country is degraded to such a degree, that it will require the power of better men than we are, exerted for a century to come, to restore her to the position from which she has fallen.

Mr. SEVIER .- Will the Senator have the goodness to tell me the date of Mr. Ross's resolutions?

Mr. CLAYTON .- I shall send the book to the honorable Senator, so that he may examine the resolutions.

I have now consumed enough of the time of the Senate, and trespassed sufficiently on its patience. In concluding, I beg leave to tender to the honorable gentlemen here, my thanks for the kind to tender to the honorable gentlemen here, my thanks for the kind and patient attention with which they have heard me, and to as-sure those on the opposite side of the house, as well as my friends here, that it is painful for me to differ from those for whose character as gentlemen and patriots I have high respect; and that although now impelled by a sense of duty to record my protest. against their course, I shall always be ready to co-operate with

30TH CONG .- 1ST SESSION-No. 14.

them in sustaining the true honor and the solid glory of our com mon country.

The usual hour of adjournment having arrived.

Mr. PEARCE signified his intention of addressing the Senate to-morrow, on the bill before them,

Mr. BUTLER gave notice that he would to-morrow move to re-commit the bill for the purpose of offering an amendment.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, asked for a reading of the

The Secretary read the amendment, which is as follows:

Stude out all after the remeting clause and minor.

That the replace may faul be uncoursed as follows; to wit:
To each cumpany of anothery, infrastry, and reguments of rifenens, there shall be added — private, and to each company of the regiments aforested, as many valual of the regiments of the remeting of the regiments aforested, as many valual remediate the result of the remediate of the rem

Mr. BUTLER.—I may, perhaps, without impropriety, now indicate the object of this amendment. I am very well satisfied, from all that I have heard here, that the President intends to carry on invasive operations in that part of Mexico, whether additional force be placed at his disposal or not. It becomes a question whether we shall be bound to raise such a force as is provided by the built under discussion, or whether a difference and in convenience. ther we shall be bound to raise such a force as is provided by use bill under discussion, or whether a different, and in my opinion, a better mode may not be adopted. It seems to me, that the ques-tion resolves itself into this simple proposition: The President has advertised both houses of Congress, total the only mode in which he proposes to bring this way to a conclusion, by a treaty of peace, is to reduce Mexico to such a state of coercion that she will be obliged to accept of the terms thus arbitrarily dietated. All other obliged to accept of the terms thus arbitrarily dictated. All other modes of settling the controversy with Mcxico seem, by the re-commendation of the President, to be discarded, and that single proposition to be assumed. If then, we are to carry on invasive operations in the heart of Mexico, I think we should pause, at least at this stage of the proceedings, and say, whether we shall accede to that proposition of the Executive. accede to that proposition of the Executive. On this subject, but I do feel that we have been from the commencement of the war, and are now, making materials for a most eventful history. I sub-mit no other remarks at present, but give notice that to-morrow

and are now, making inactries for a most eventual mass). The mit no other remarks at present, but give notice that to-morrow I propose to explain my views not only on that amendment, but perhaps, if I should be allowed, on some other topics involved in that discussion.

On motion, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of Executive business, and after a short time had been so occupied the doors were re-opened, when

The Senate adjourned.

# THURSDAY, JANUARY 13, 1848.

### ARMY CONTRACTS.

The VICE-PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a report of the Secretary of War, made agreeably to law, showing the contracts made under the authority of the Department, during the year 1847.

Mr. ASHLEY presented a petition of citizens of Polk county, Arkansas, praying the establishment of a mail route from Mount Ida to Ultima Thulo in that State; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Mr. DOUGLAS presented a petition of citizens of Illmois, praying a grant of public land to the State of Illinois to aid in the construction of a rail road to connect the Upper and Lower Mississippi with the Northern Lakes; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

Mr. CLAYTON presented a menorial of Frederick Dawson.

Schott and Elsha D. Whitney, proving the infiltment of a contrast sector of the proving the infiltment of a contrast to the same sector of the contrast of the contrast

Mr. CAMERON presented the petition of George V. Mitchell, praying the reimbursement of a sum of money improperly collected from him by the United States on the settlement of his accounts as postmaster at Belleville, Pennsylvania, which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, presented the petition of Henry V. Keep, praying to be allowed pay and bounty land as a volunteer in the United States' service in the war with Mexico; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

# On motion by Mr. NILES, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Nathaniel Kuykendall, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

### On motion by Mr. CLAYTON, it was

Ordered, That the memorial of Thomas F. Gordon, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on the Library.

### On motion by Mr. ATHERTON, it was

Ordered, That the petition of John McNeil, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Ponsions.

# On motion by Mr. LEWIS, it was

Ordered, That the petition of C. G. Gunter, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

# On motion by Mr. RUSK, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on Military Affairs be discharged from the further consideration of the petition of George Center; and that it be referred to the Committee of Claims.

### NOTICE OF A BILL.

Mr. DOUGLAS gave notice that on to-morrow, or some early day thereafter, he will ask leave to introduce a bill granting to the State of Illinois the right of way and a donation of poblic lands, for making a tailroad connecting the Upper and Lower Mississippi with the chain of Northern Lakes at Chiengo.

### GENERAL SCOTT'S PLANS, ETC.

Mr. MANGUM submitted the following resolution for consideration:

Hersteid, That the Products of the United States by requested in they before the Sensical tilts byte, crimates and obtained specific periods of the Georgia States of the Georgi

# MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk.

Mr. President. The Hause of Representative, have panied the bill from the Senate to authorize the issuing of a reguler in the barque Canton.

They have passed a bill authorizing the Secretary of the Treasury to grant a register to the barque Sanah and Eliza, in which they report the concurrence of the Senate.

### BARQUE SARAH AND ELIZA.

On motion by Mr. DIX, the bill last mentioned, from the House of Representatives, was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it pass to a third reading,

The said hill was read a third time, by unanimous consent.

Resolved, That this bill pass.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

#### PRIVATE EXPRESSES.

Mr. JOHNSON of Louisiana, submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to:

Resolved. That the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads be instructed to inquire into the expediency of making provision by law, to prevent the losses sostained by the public, in consequence of intelligence conveyed by the daily express established by individuals to and from New Orleans.

#### RETROCESSION OF ALEXANDRIA.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. HUNTER asked, and obtained leave, to bring in a bill supplemental to an eat passed the 6th died yell, in the year 1846, entitled "An act to retrocede the county of July, in the year 1846, entitled "An act to retrocede the county of Alexandria, in the District of Columbia, to the State of Virginia," which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on the District of Columbia.

### PRIVATE BILLS.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. BREESE asked, and obtained leave, to bring in a bill to provide for the compensation of Samuel Leech, for seen ites in the investigation of the second the first and second times by unaumous consent, and referred to the Committee on Pablic lands.

Mr. SEVIER, from the Committee on Foreign Relations, to whom had been referred the memorial of John Black, reported a bill for the relief of John Black, late Consul of the United States at the city of Mexico; which was read and passed to the second reading.

Mr. SEV1ER also submitted documents on the subject, which were ordered to be printed.

Mr. NILES, from the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads, to whom had been referred the bill for the relief of Creed Taylor, reported the same without amendment.

Mr. NILES, from the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads, to whom was referred the petition of J. F. Caldwell, reported a bill for his relief; which was read and passed to the second reading.

### WIDOWS OF REVOLUTIONARY SOLDIERS.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the Committee on Pensions, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives, making further provisions for surviving widows of soldiers of the revolution, reported it without amendment.

## FORCED CONTRIBUTIONS IN MEXICO.

The Senate proceeded to consider the following resolution submitted on the 11th inst., by Mr. Dayton, and it was agreed to:

Readwof, That the President be requested to farmish to the Secate (if in his judgement not monipatible with the public service,) copies of the letter referred to fin hij Message, of General Sectif, of 20th May, 1847, and of the letter of General Taylor of 20th October, 1846, on the subject of "forced contributions in Message," and of all other correspondence on that shiplet, (if any), not benefators communicated.

### MR. TRIST'S CORRESPONDENCE.

The Senate proceeded to consider the following resolution, submitted on the 11th inst., by Mr. Baldwin; and it was agreed to.

Review. That the President of the Untel State be reposted to communicate for the suffermation of the Swark, the correspondence between the Commissioner and the Untel States and the Commissioner appointed by the government of Mexico to the United States and the Commissioner appointed by the government of Mexico to Reposite with him, during the suspension of hostities, after the bartles of Contress the Contress of the Contress o

### COLONIZATION VESSELS.

The bill exempting vessels employed by the American Coloni-The bill exempting vessels employed by the American Colonization Society in transporting colored emigrants from the United States to the coast of Afrea from the provisions of the acts of the 22d February and 2d March, 1847, regulating the earriage of passengers in merchant vessels, was read the second time, and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That the bill be engrossed, and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time, by unanimons consent.

Resolved. That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill to compensate John M. Moore; which had been reported from the Committee on Public Lands, with an amend-

The question being taken upon concurring in the amendment reported from the Committee on Public Lands, it was determined in the affirmative.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, moved to amend the bill by striking out the proviso.

The PRESIDING OPFICER .- That is the amendment reported from the Committee.

Mr. BREESE-I would inquire whether the amendment has been concurred in.

### The PRESIDING OFFICER.-It has,

Mr. BREESE .- I move then to reconsider the vote by which the amendment was concurred in.

Mr. UNDERWOOD .- I hope it will not be re-considered. This case of Mr. Moore presents, to my mind, one of rather a remarkable character. The foundation of the claim is, that the remarkable character. The foundation of the claim is, that the principal officer of the department for a number of years was in-competent to perform the duties, and Mr. Moore was called on as chief clerk to perform the duties of commissioner. There is no reason why we should pay him in both capacities. It seems to me the proper principle for the Government to act upon is, to equire the whole time of the officers in the employment of the Goquire the whole time of the officers in the employment of the Go-vernment; and if he receives full compensation as chief clerk, I do not see why we should also pay him a commissioner's salary; I know there is one illustrious example to the centrary. I refer to the case of Mr. Butler, who received compensation as head of two departments, making his salary, instead of five or six thousand, amount to ten or twelve thousand. Congress, perceiving that this was a great abuse, legislated on the subject, and passed a law, if my recollection serves me right, in 1806 or '7, providing that the same time, shall not be practised. You have, therefore, on the statute book a levislative condemnation of two offices at the same time, shall not be practised. You have, therefore, on the statute book a legislative condemnation of the practice, which, by this bill, you are attempting to introduce again. If the law is wrong, why not repeal it, and go back to the old practice of allowing double salaries, or salaries for two offices at the same time? I hope the vote will not be re-considered.

The reading of the report accompanying the bill, was asked for by Mr. Beese, and it was read by the Secretary.

Mr. BREESE.—The report goes fully into the merits of this case, sir. It appears that Mr. Moore has been Chief Clerk of the Land Office for about five-and-twenty years, and that an immense Land Office for about twe-and-twenty layers, and that an minense amount of labor the velocity pan him in consequence of his discharging the duties of commissioner. It is not proposed that he shall have the salary of a Commissioner of the shall have the shall have the salary of a Commissioner (Adducting that with that he shall have the salary of a Commissioner (Adducting that while shall have the shall have the commissioner (Adducting that while shall have be will not receive a Chief of the King of the shall be continuous, but was performed at unierent times, a new days at a time, it is proposed to compute the amount of service rendered, and pay him accordingly. As Clief Clerk, Mr. Moore has been for years exerting all his energies to protect the interests of the government, and has been instrumental in one instance alone, without mentioning any other, of saving to the government several thousand dollars. I refer to the purchase of parehment for the use of the dehars. I refer to use purchase of parenment for the disc of the de-partments; he has saved more to the government in that one arti-cle than all the compensation which he has received, and all which it is proposed to give him. It seems but an act of justice that he should receive compensation as, a Commissioner, and I am sorry to see any opposition offered to the passage of the bill.

Mr. WESTCOTT .- I desire to obtain some information on one point, of the honorable Senator from Illinois, (Mr. Breese.) The Se-

nator has referred to precedents allowing the salaries of two different offices to the same person who had performed the duties of both, and if I heard correctly, those precedents were stated in the report of the Committee. I have been able to make but a cursory report of the Committee. I have been able to make but a cursor perusal of the report of the Committee, but I am under the im pression that all the precedents there referred to are of allowan-ces by the Department in former years, and not by Congress.— There is a wide difference. I would inquire if there is a single in-stance in which Congress has sanctioned the allowance of double salaries? I have understood they have always refused it, and did salaries? I have understood they have always relised it, and did so in President Monroe's case. If there is a case of such allow-ance by Congress, I hope it will be stated. So far from this being the case, I have understood that the practice of making such allow-ances as were made so improperly to Mr. Butler and others, had come such an evil-I was about to use a harsher term-such an iniquity, that in 1842. Congress passed an act positively forbidding such allowance. Congress doubtless acted on the principle that an such allowance. Congress doubtless acted on the principle that an officer owed all his time to the public in the office he regularly held, according to the usages as to the hours of service, and that it was incompatible for him to hold, permanently, and do the duties of another and a different office at the same time. He should doubtless receive the salary of the highest office that he did the duties of, but the law contemplates that each office is to be filled by dif-ferent persons, and the respective duties done by different persons. It is a violation of law for one to fill both and do the duties of both. Officers are, and are intended to be, checks on each other. I think smeares are, and are intended to ue, enecks on each other. I think to make the allowance in this case, would be to base it upon a violation of law; and besides, it would repudate and disregard the principles of the act of 18-12, which I think Coagress should rigidly adhere to. It was a wise and salurary law, and its principles should not be disregarded to meet a special case.

Mr. BREESE .- The honorable Senator is altogether mistakon. Mr. DREESE.—In be holorous centari is acongened instances, the act of 1842, to which he refers, was only meant to preven the Treasury Officers from making compensation for the drities two officers. It was all very right for Congress to forbid the Officers of the Treasury from doing it, but here is a case that result upon its own merits—of an individual who has speat the best ento the government thousands and thousands of dollars, and who has performed the duties of Commissioner of the Land Office faithass performed in outness of commissioner of the Land Office fattle-fully, and at the same time the duties of Chief Clerk, laboring incessantly, and dragging out a miserable existence upon the mero pittanee of Chief Clerk of that office. Sir, it seems to me to be a strong case, and one which appeals powerfully to our favorable-cered earlier. consideration.

Mr. BADGER.—I feel bound to interrupt this discussion to ask the Senate to proceed with the special order of the day.

Mr. BREESE.—I hope the bill will be passed over informally then, so that it may be taken up at an early day.

The bill was then passed over informally.

### DONIPHAN'S EXPEDITION.

Mr. BRADBURY from the Committee on Printing, to whom had been referred the resolutions submitted on the 3d instant by Mr. Benton for printing the tour or memoir of Dr. Wislizenus, reported that there be printed for the Senate, five thousand eopies of the tour—and that there be lithographed a like number of the maps accompanying the same; also two hundred for the use of Dr. Wislizenus.

The report was concurred in.

# BARONE CANTON.

The Vice President signed the enrolled bill to anthorize the issuing of a register to the barque Canton.

### ADJOURNMENT,

On motion it was ordered that when the Senate adjourn it be to Monday next.

# THE TEN REGIMENT BILL.

The Senate resumed the consideration, on its third reading, of

Mr. PEARCE .- It would have been agreeable to me if I could MI. FEARCE—It would have been agreease to me if I could have deferred for a few days the remarks which I propose to make in reference to the subject now under consideration of the Senate Like my colleague, however, I shall be compelled to be absent for a few days on business, and I have therefore to throw myself on the inditigence of the Senate.

With much that my colleague has said in that part of his remarks which he addressed to the Senate on the second day. I cordually agree, but there are other points in dispute, upon which his dually agree, but there are other points in dispute, upon which his opinions and mine are wholly and widely variant. I regret this variance, not only because we represent a common constituency, but because I have long entertained for my colleague such high respect and regard, that it is painful for me to differ with him here on any subject, and particularly painful to have a collision of opinion upon a question of such fundamental importance.

I had occasion, sir, at the last session of the Senate to present to this body my views upon a part of this subject, and if it had

been my colleague's purpose to controvert the position which I then assumed, and overthrow the arguments which I endeavored to sustain, he could not have addressed himself more directly to the purpose than he has done. Sir, I do not complain of this. But it is a fact which compels me either to abandou the ground I have formerly taken, or to re-affirm it and sustain it as hest I may. Besides, sir, the legislature of my State is now in session, my term of service here is drawing to a close, and it is right that I should of service here is drawing to a close, and it is right that I should posses that holy fully with the opinions I entertain upon this important subject, that they may be the better enabled to determine in whose hands to place the trust with which I have hitterbeen honored. Sir, I do not shrink from the issue; and I am consoled by the conviction that in the opinions which I entertain, and which I am about to express, I but reflect the sense of those whom I rep-

Like my colleague, I am anxious to maintain the honor of my country, to vindicate hor reputation from the least blemish, and particularly to rescue her from any probable charge of rapacing aggression, of grasping cupidity, and lust of conquest. But, in my opinion, this cannot be done by merely affirming the justice of a war, in the justice and constitutionality of which I have no faith; much less can it he done by sustaining the Previolent origin to be which, in my opinion, we should be constitution, were constitution, were constitution, where the substaining the Previolent truly to the constitution were mined, we should be constitution where the substaining the previolent truly in the supreme controller of inflairs—making him in fact, if we look at the matter in view of its consequences, the master of our fate, and the more dangerously so, because he rules under the form of free institutions, in the spirit of a despot.

free institutions, in the spirit of a despot.

Sir, I do not pretent to deep that the United States has just claims against Mexico, for indemnity, due to our citizens; but I ded deep that the claims against Mexico, for indemnity, due to our citizens; but I deny that these claims were the cause of this war, or that they would be the just cause of any war. I deny that they would have justified Congress in declaring war against Mexico. Sir, war is a last and directal alternative of nations, the ultima ratio regum, and the last record of republica also. All Publiciests tell us this, length without an or Varticl is, that there must be a clear right could with a sort of necessive, and this is consistent with the principal with a sort of necessive, and this is consistent with the principal control of the control o pled with a sort of necessity, and this is consistent with the prin-

exples of common sense and humanity.

Now, let us see what are the causes which have produced this war. The President has paraded before us an exaggerated state-ment of these claims, as if they were the causes of the war; and yet he affirms that Mexico brought on the war by her illegal and unjust act by shedding American blood upon American soil. these claims against Mexico, for indemnity due to our citizens, have existed for a series of years, it is true—some for a longer and have existed for a series of years, it is true—some for a longer and others for a shorter time. But, I ask, if Mexico has resisted pertinacionally, the payment of these claims; I ask, if the resistance or neglect to pay, on the part of Mexico, is even comparable to that which we have experienced at the hands of stronger nations; and, I ask, if it becomes us to adopt one rule of right, one measure of patience in regard to a weak nation and another rule of right and patience in regard to a weak nation and another rule of right and greater measure of patience in regard to a strong and haughty people. I trust there is no Senator who will not repel with scorn such an imputation. Yet, I fear, we are about to forget the rule of equality in regard to this particular transaction.

ow, in 1839, Mexico entered into a convention with us, by which she agreed to form a commission for the ascertainment of the claims due to our citizens. That commission sat, and adjudicated many of the claims, but being limited in point of time, they eacet many of the deaths, out being matter in positive transport of the were not all decided. Some of them, not receiving the continuation of the commissioners, were referred to an unpure, who had not time to decide, and some were not acted on at all. Well, Mexico did not withhold from us or refuse the payment of those claims which were admitted by the commissioners. payment of those claims which were admitted by the commis-sioners. On the contary, she manifested a disposition to meet the payment by leying forced contributions upon her citizens for that purpose, and concluded another convention in Exp providing for the organization of another commission for the adju-dication of the chains not settled by the first. In consequence of her embarrassed condition and exhausted Trensury, Mexico was made to make the contribution of the contribution o her embarrassed condition and exhausted Treasury, Mexico was anable to make payment of the adjudented claims as stipulated; but she entered into an arrangement with General Thompson, the Minister of the United States, to pay the claims by instalments, showing that she acted in good faith, and with no disposi-tion to withhold the payment. She paid all the arrears of in-terest at eight per cent, and three instalments of principal, nor was it until the negotiation of the Amexation Treaty, the expansion of Santa Anna from power, and his exile from Mexico, that they failed to make the payments. Whether are adjudently the pay Leanner and the control of the payments of the payment of the paym Mexico made great efforts, and if she did not make punctual pay-Mexico made great eners, and a sac on not make punctual pay-ments, it was rather to be attributed to her distressed condition than to any want of faith. Well, who is there who does not re-collect that we ourselves, have been delinquent in this way in refercocc to the claims of Great Britain against us. I refer to the debts due the British merchants, recognized by the treaty of 1783, and not paid, I believe, for twenty years after that date. I reand nice plant, "between, for 'weenty years after that after. If no plant, and the plant is the plant is the plant in the configuration of the plant is the plant in the close of the plant is the plant in the close of the plant in the plant

very seorn of our rights-without the slightest justification, and very scorn of our rights—without the slightest justification, and persisted in, repeated and boldy defended with the most offensive effrontery, and yet endured by this country through successive administrations from 1806 until 1831—when a distinguished gentleman, who was formerly a member of this body, (Mr. Ruyss of Virginia), negotiated the Treaty of Indemnity; and thee Pranee, adding further wrong to the heaped up injury which we had sustained so patiently, withheld the payment of the money for four years longer \( \text{Usin} \). Jackson did, indeed, in 1835, address Congress on the scales and suggest privisies as a proper mode of reduces; and he nonger: Cern. Jackson and Inacca, in 1809, nauress Congress on the subject, and suggest represals as a proper mode of redress; and he asked three millions of dollars to be placed at his disposal to ena-ble him to act as circumstances might require. But Congress nei-ther adopted his recommendation of reprisals, nor voted him the three millions, and the Senate chose rather to allow the defeat of

Sir, France was not feeble—her treasury was not exhausted; she was the most clastic Power in Europe, as was manifested by her immense payments made to the allies after the restoration of the Bourbons. Yet, sir, we submitted to all this from France, and it was only in 1835 that we recovered from her the indemnity that was due for spoliations committed in 1806 and 1813. une was not or sponlaurch we constitute in from the respective for the state of the contracter; some of them, in fact, grossy trausulent, as I have been informed by a distinguished gentleman from South Carolina, and such as never could be properly demanded by our Government under the treaty with Mexico. I speak of those centracts for land upon which enormous demands for indemnity were founded which demands this Government was asked to enforce. Sir, take it altogether, and I do say that there never was urged in a legisit attogether, and I do say that there never was triged in a legis-lative body so unreasonable a proposition as, that it is just and necessary to wage a war for the mere procrastination of payment of claims like these, against a weak and impoverished Govern-ment. And yet this is urged as though it were a sufficient cause ment. And yet this is arged as though it were a sunteent cause for war; when everybody knows who reflects at all upon the matter, that such has never been herotofore regarded as a justifiable cause of war. No, sir, I will tell you what was the cause of his war. It was the unauthorized act of the President in taking possession of territory to which this county had no title-to which Congress had not authorized him to make claim, and against making a claim for which they had warned him by the very terms of the resolution of annexation.

Sir, my colleague has gone fully into this question, and I have no doubt, that he thought he had demonstrated the correctness of his position; but he took good eare not to mention the Mexican claims as being the eause of the war. He did not consider that they were the cause of the war, however much the President puraded them in his messages

# Mr. JOHNSON -I never thought they were

Mr. PEARCE.—But he put it upon the ground that this government was bound to vindicate its title to the territory up to the ernment was bound to vindeate its title to the territory up to the Rio Grande, and he eites a variety of facts which, as he thinks, belong to the consideration of this question, and which in his opinion are conclusive. Well, now I think that the facts which he has eited—some of them at least—do not belong to the consideration eited—some of them at least—do not belong to the consideration of the question, and that neither one nor all of them are conclusive. I think I can demonstrate this, and that I can show on the other side facts which are strong a schains of admant. I pass over that part of his speech in which my colleague speaks of the revolution of Texas. Nobady doubts the gallantry of those citizens of Texas who nehieved their independence. Sir, as far as their gallantry is concerned, their spirit of hereic adventure, their bold and during exposure of life, I believe there is nothing to surpass it. Nobody questions their right to revolt from Mexico to establish an independent government, and to claim all the territory over which they could extend and enforce their jurisdiction. But my colleague

"The boundaries of triangles and Trans as these departments were hid off into gos-state by the constitution of "A, such as Newsors, remining for supwed of one hundred state by the constitution of the by a line across from that point to the Rio Grande. The territory below that lime, between the Vacess and the Reo Grande, was next of the State of Tamaslipss. Tamaslipss granted it to various individuals by what were called colony grants, under which many settlements were made."

I make no objection to this statement, sir; I only desire to add something to it, to make it a little more specific. In 1824, the departments of Coahuila and Texas were united, without change of their former bounduries, provisionally as one State—it being under-stood that, when Texas had strength and population enough, she should be made a separate State.

The United State of Coahuila and Texas ran across the Rio

The United state of Comman and Lexis rad across the Me Grande, but the boundary of Texis proper never did—her limits stopped at the Nucces. My colleague went on to refer to the treaty to which Santa Anna was a party at the time he was prisoner in Texis; and he relied upon the authority of that mis-

called treaty as conclusive.

ealled treaty as conclusive.

Sir, my colleague is a distinguished lawyer; he stands at the
head of his profession in Maryland, and that is praise enough for
any man. He is the successor, sir, of Martin, Pankaey, and Wirt,
and wears their mantle gracefully. But I must express my attreatomishment at hearing from him, that this miscalled treaty between Santa Anna and the Texas and the recay at all. Santa
question of boundary. Why, ir, it was not ready at all. Santa

Anna was a prisoner; and if he had not been, we all know that the constitution of Mexico contains a provision—that when the President of the republic is at the head of the army, his civil functions cease. He was in captivity, and if he had not heen, he would have had no authority to conclude a treaty under the constitution of his nan no authority to conclude a wind hat respect. A treaty, when signed, requires ratification by the Scante; and a treaty made by Santa Anna was of no more value than blank paper. It might bave had a moral obligation us far as he himself was concerned by the day of the day o extorted by daress.

Mr. FOOTE,-Will the Senator allow me to inquire whether he refers to the constitution of 1824.

Mr. PEARCE.—Will the Senator have the kindness to inform me whether there was any other constitution?

Mr. FOOTE .- The Senator can answer my my inquiry or not, as he pleases.

Mr. PEARCE.—I am not furnished with any information as to any new constitution. I believe there was none. The constitution of 1824 was said to be overthrown, because its federal character was abolished and the central form substituted. It was not abolished in the whole, but only changed in part, and therefore this provision remained in full force. If it were not so, and the whole constitution were destroyed, then Santa Anna had no constitutional power at all, and could in no manner bind his country.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland .- Where do you read from ?

Mr. PEARCE .- I read from a work entitled "Texas and the Texans," which I understand to be the production of the Senator from Mississippi, and one which I have read with great pleasure.

"That the Powdent Stata Arma, is but official character as chief of the Mexican analys, and the Generals that Vicente Finish. Don Joe Tens, Den Jongua Ramier y Senna, and Don Autono Giona, as earlief of armise, do olernly actioned the property of the Autono Giona, as earlief of armise, do olernly archives the state of the Autono Giona, as earlief of armise, and introduced the grant of the General and the grant of the Autono Giona, and they do otherwish are in which the contract as the internal and the grant of the armise of the agreement, and all the grant threefor, but the proport and legitudest coverament of Mexico, by the uncorporation of the same into a solemn and perpetual tray of amity and of ourners, the propagated level for the contract of the armise of the agreement of the propagated level for the propagate of the propagated of the propa

Why, I say, upon the very face of the instrument itself, it was not w ny, I say, upon the very face of the instrument itself, it was nothing else than the personal obligation of Santa Anna. Now, allow me to call the attention of the Senate to the opinion of General Lamar. I understand that Santa Aona was a prisoner in the hands of the Texans, and that they were delibea prisoner in the hands of the Acxams, and that they were define-rating whether they should shoot him a-la-Mexique, try him for of-fences against the laws of civilized war, or send him back to Mex-ico. Now, what says General Lamar, in the paper addressed by him to President Burnet?

Mr. JOHNSON .- What is the date of that letter?

Mr. PEARCE.—It is a letter from General Lamar, the Secretary of War of Texas, to the President and Cabinet of Texas, and dated 12th May, 1846:

as, and dated. 12ch May, 1846:

""What good can they loop to result from an extorici treaty?" General.

Santa Anna nour priories of wai, and as week, may be ready out over us, as we as a second of the second of t

Well, but my colleague says that Texas fulfilled the contract on her part, General Filisola accepted it and marched his men back to the Rio Grande, &c. So he did; but that did not constitute it a treaty, Ganeral Filisola not being the ratifying power.

It might have been an appeal to Santa Anna's honor; it undoubtedly was so; but as a treaty, it had no obligations; it was a treaty made by a eaptive, and ratified by a runaway; and, I take it, sir, that no validity will be ascribed to it by any lair man who understands the facts.

stands the lacts. My colleague next relies, sir, on the action of the Texan Congress regarding the boundary of the State, and says, that they passed a law describing the Rio Graude as the boundary. I should like to know how the mere and of the Congress or legislature of any country, can authorize the lixing their boundaries where they please to put item on paper; how the declaration of any Congress or government can make a right or authorize a claim in

such a case. It can do nothing more than assert a claim, and I such a case. It can do morning more than assert a ciain, and a venture to go a little further, and say that I presume, that in the case of Texas, it was not done bona jide. They did not deem that they were to take in Santa Fe, or any of the valley of the Rio Grande. They claimed a great deal of territory in order to secure a part.

Sir, I do not make this statement from any personal knowledge Supersonal knowledge that I have, but I will refer to the authority of an honorable Senator here, and I beg leave to read from a speech made by the honorable Mr. Ashley, at the second session of the 23th Con-

"And here I will add, that the present toughthire of Trax I beam from Judge Ellas, the present of the conditions on the Brownish the conditions on the Condition on the Condition of the Condition of the Condition on the Condition of the Conditio

Sir, this authority is worth all my colleague's array of proofs. But my colleague says, that after the retreat of Filisola aeross the river, General Rusk, who was still at the head of the army of Texas and stationed at the Gandaloupe, ordered the families be-Texas and stationed at the Gandaloupe, ordered the families between that part and the Kio Grande to retire to his rear, or to remove to the western bank of the river. The most of them did retire to his rear, but many of the Mexicans preferred to cross the river and settle on the opposite side. Now, sr, how was this taking possession of the country? I von may make a solitude, and call it peace; or you may empty a country of its population, and call it occupancy or possession; but you acquire no right by the misapplication of terms. The portion of the people firedly to Texas withdraw to the rear of Gen. Rusk—that is, beyond the Guadaloupe, which is further east, even, than the Nueces. tainly, they were not taking possession of the country which they thus abandoned. Certainly, they were not occupying the valley nus abandoned. Certainly, they were not occupying the valley of the Rio Grande, when they fled from it to the east of the Gau-daloupe to avoid the horrors of a horder war. So the Mexican population withdrew to the western bank of the river, and the country was deserted.

But my colleague rests his argument upon the ground, that if Tenus IV not carried peases sion, the bad it petentially, a Dr. Johnson would have said—that its, that she had the power to occupy it. Well, sir, I deep that the power to take possession, would give a constructive possession. It is not a legal position that can be maintained. As between individuals, actual possession of every age of a tract of land is not necessary to a right. If we have the acre of a tract of land is not necessary to a right. If we have the title to the whole, and the actual possession of a part, the residue not being possessed by another, the actual possession of a part will operate the constructive possession of the whole. It is so with nations. But Texas had no right by possession, as I shall demonstrate to be the case; and the mere power to possess, if she had been able to take and maintain possession, which I do not admit, would not give a constructive possession. A right to the whole, compled with a possession of a part, would answer. But Texas was a revolutionary government, and could not divest the title of Tamanlipas and other Mexican. States to the lands lying the contractive possession of the contractive possession of the contractive possession of the contractive of the contrac within their respective and undoubted limits, except by taking and holding possession. This she never did, either by her settlers or her soldiers, for the occasional passage of troops across the country was not possession; and, in fact, the Mexicans crossed it thus,

try was not possession; and, in fact, the Mexicans crossed it thus, as well as the Texdus. Predatory executions and border forays were never held to make a possession.

But my colleague says that General Rusk, for the purpose of ficilitating the removal of those occupying the country and of watching the movements of the Mexican army, and preparatory to an advance upon Matamoras, dispatched General Felix Houston with a sufficient force to take possession of Corpus Christi, and that was done. This Texture with and this was a good point admit to have been the frontier of the sax. Beyond this they did not go, beyond this they had no possession in the legitimate sense of the word, and therefore I deny that the establishment of the port at Corpus Christi established the claim of Texas up to the little Grande.

Texas had no establishments there; she had creeted no forts, she had no civil officers in that country; no, I believe not so much as a justice of the peace or a constable. But it is said as proof of pos-session of this country, that at an election held at Corpus Christi in 1842—certain settlers eame from the disputed territory and voted. And it is asserted that Texas thereby and then established her authority, not where they voted, but where they did not vote.— Well, I assert exactly the reverse, and I ask whether if her authority had been established on the Rio Grande she would have required her citizens to travel 150 miles to give their votes. Why, if Texas had established any an hority or jurisdiction on the Rio Grande, she would at least have had the election so held as to aceommodate these poor fellows who were so desirious of exercising the elective franchise. Now, I care not whether these people went to Cor<sub>I</sub> us Christi to vote or not—nobody knows who they were or whence they came, how they were induced to come, whether they were the poor fishermen of Padre Island, or straggling herdsmen who attended their flocks like the Nomades of the eastern world. The whole thing wants precision to make it valuable as a fact, and if Toxas had not even an election precinct on the Rio Grande country, that of itself is sufficient proof that she had no establishment

try, that of itself is sufficient proof that are had no establishment there which could constitute possession.

The other fact which my colleague cites as an authority to prova the right of Texas is what he stated, on the authority of the Sena-

tor from Texas, that certain purchaser of the colony grants of Tamaulipas had their deeds recorded in Texas. We do not know where they were executed and acknowledged. This, too, wants precision, detail, and finish, to give it certainty and make it available. But suppose that he so, and what does it amount to more than this, that some shrewd, hedging fellows, knowing that the country was claimed by Texns, and supposing it might one day he acquired by it, thought it prudent to have these deeds recorded in Texas, as well probably, as at Matamoras, as future evidence of their title. The fact, indeed, is stated a little too broadly, for it is their title. The fact, indeed, is stated a little too broadly, for it is said this was done as far lack as 1834; when, in fact, the revolu-tion in Texas only took place in 1835. At all events, assuming the fact in its fullest extent, it only proves that Texas had no clerks nor record officers in this region of 150 miles breadth. My colleague says that on Mexican sutherities were to be found there, and that Mexico had lost the right she once had. Now The other times the same times to the same times to the same propose to refer to a few matherities to be worn the other safe of

there, and that Moxico had lost the right she once had. Now I propose to refer to a few authorities to show on the other side to detect the question; and inhic that these authorities cannot be overflowered in the proposed first the authority of the Senator forther than the proposed first the authority of the Senator forther than the proposed first p

nos prophecia attricugarectic. The leaves and prophecial attricugarectic of boundary.

"The bill which I ask leave to mig in, I seales authorizing and requesting the President to teat with Meveo and President for the Mind and proper limit, and the macration of the latter to the North American Union, proposes, some base for the treates expected to increase of the control of the mind of the treates expected to increase of the control of the mind of the proper limit, and the proper

Sir, it is not in the Senate only that this doctrine has been announced. I find a distinguished member in the other house, in a report made by him in regard to the Texas question, made an important confession. On the 3d of January, 1845, Mr. Ingersoll in his speech said :

3) The stapendous deserts between the Nucces and the Bravo rivers are the natura boundains between the Angle-Saxon and Mauritanian races. There ends the Valley of the West—there Mexico begins.

This is the opinion of the Chairman of the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the other house. Now, let me turn to another anthority. I propose to read from a letter from Mr. Donaldson, our

thority. I propose to read from a letter from Mr. Doundson, our Change d'Allaires at Texas, to Mr. Buchmann, Secretairy of State: "It site poley of these who are on the sub o' Mexico in the present enable thow upon the Linet State: the responsibility of a war for the country lettween to Maccoward the Rio Grande. That tentory, on an exace, has been in the possi-tion, and the Rio Grande. That tentory, on an exace, has been in the possi-tion, and the richest possibility of the properties of the properties of the properties of the properties. The properties of the p

At page 78 of the same document, in a letter of Mr. Donaldson, the Sceretary of State of Texas, I find this:

"My position is, that we can hold Corpus Clirist, and all other points up the Nueves. If attacked, the right of defence will antimene us to expet the Microcaus to the Rio Cliric II is sheet for so to make the trade than mu the s. of emiliarracing the question of annecation with the consequences of unneduce possession of the territory to the Rio Crande. "On well find that I have guarded every point."

He had no idea of the advance of the army to the Rio Grande, of an attack upon Mexico. He appears to have acted with such caution and prudence as became his position. "The right of defence would authorize us to expel the Mexicans to the Rio Grande." Sir, how expel the Mexicans to the Rio Grande, if Texas had possession up to that river? Here you have the authority of your own negotiator for the fact, that Mexico held this territory and not Texas. But you have the same authority in a dozen

"The occupation of the country between the Nucres and the Rio Grande, you are naware, as a dispated question. Texas labils Corpus Christi-Mevico holds the Barzos de Santiago, near the nearth of the Rio Grande. The threatened invasion, however

Texas, is founded upon the assumption that she has no territory independent of

of Texas, is founded upon the assumption that she has no territory independent of Mexico.

"Von can safely hold possession of Corpus Christi and all other points up the Nue es; and if Mexico attempt to dislodge you, drive her beyond the Rio Grande."

Mr. JOHNSON .- Disputed question.

Mr. PEARCE .-- "Disputed question." So it was, sir. while it was a disputed question, he shows what part was in pos-session of Texas and what part in possession of Mexico:

session of Lexis and what part in possession of Mexico:

"You will have observed that in my correspondence with his por remnent there has been no discussion of the question of host between Mexico and Texas. The joint conductor of or Changes bett the question an open one, and the preliminary proposition made by this government, under the analyses of the British and French government has used in the conductor of the proposition o

If it had been in the occupation of Texas, would she have been obliged to fight for it? Sir, the inconsistency is too palpable to make it necessary any longer to dwell upon it. Again, he says at page 90:

"There were many circumstances making it inexpedient in my judgment, af-ter the usac of the modamattan referred to, for Texas to attempt a foreble possession of the Rio Grande, relying or the and of the United States to maintain it."

Why, attempt to obtain possession if she already had it, and if she had not, what becomes of the argument? Sir, the ar-gument is scattered to the winds by the testimony of our own gument; which we cannot one whose by the testimony of our own agents, which we cannot controvert, and which we cannot doubt. I might a fixed by the sentence of the sentence o

"The Rio Grande is claimed to be the boundary between the two countries, and up to this boundary you are to extend your protection, only excepting any poist on the castern side thereof, which are in the actual occupancy of Mexica or forces, or Mexican settlements over which the epublic of Texas tidd not exercise joundiction at the period of amountain, or shortly before that event."

And another letter of our Sceretary, to the same officer, was as

WAR DEPARTMENT, July 8, 1845.

Sits: This direct ment is informed that Mexico has some military establishments on the cost aide of the Ro-Grande, which are, and for some time have been, in the actual the cost aide of the Ro-Grande, which are, and for some time have been, in the actual that of which the cost aided of the Ro-Grande of the Ro-G

WM. L. MARCY,

Well, now sir, you will recollect that in his march he was met at San Colorado by ollicers who remonstrated against his passage; and, at San Isabel he found that the custom houses had been set on fire. How did this happen, if Texas had possession of this country? How did it happen, that he found none but Mexicans there, burning their houses and flying from his troops? The Texas were our Iriends. They would not have fled from friendly forces and burned their houses as if our troops were barbarians coming to waste with fire and sword. No, they were Mexicans. Well, our army encamped where? In the Mexican corn and cotton fields bordering the river; they placed their batteries on the bank of the river commanding the city of Matamoras so as to spot any house in the town. So wrote our officers from the very place. Sir, the Senator from Missouri well said, that such an act as this was an act of unparralled outrage, a seizure of a slice of the Mexican Republic. I will mention another fact in connection with this subject, which is worth remembrance. It is this—that Texas framed a new eonis worth remembrance. It is this—that Texas framed a new con-stitution, when she came into this Uino; and took especial earo not to specify her boundaries. I looked over it to-day, and found that she has left that matter entirely open, because, I presume, the act of annexation by which she came into the Union, made provi-sion for the establishment of the boundary, by the government of the Union. Sir, the first of these annexation resolutions provides.

(The first resolution provides, That Congress doth consent that the territory properly included within, and rightfully beforeing to, the republic of Texas, may be excelled upon the control of the contr

Now, why were these words introduced into that resolution?— Did not the speech of the Sentier from Arkansus, from which have road, irrimsh a key to this mystery, which seems to have op-pressed the minds of some gentlemen? That Texas was not and mitted with the limits which she had prescribed for herself, but

with such as might be rightfully and properly assigned to her, to be subject to settlement by negotiation with this government, is as clear as any proposition can he.

Mr. CALHOUN.—Will the Senator yield the floor that I may make a short explanation in reference to that treaty? It is a treaty which I negotiated in reference to the admission of Texas,

treaty when I negotiated in reterence to the animission of 1 exas, and which was rejected by the Senate.

In making that treaty, and entering into it, I by no means assumed that the Rio del Norte was the western boundary of Texas.

On the contrary, I assumed that the boundary was an unsettled on the contrary, I assumed that the boundary was an unserted one between Mexico and Texas. No provisions were made in reference to it, because Texas, by the provisions of that treaty, was to come into the Union as a territory; and as such, the right of the government of the United States to settle the boundary was unquestionable; there was an express provision to that effect. It was different in reference to the resolutions under which Texas was actually admitted into the Union. They proposed to admit was actually admitted into the Union. They proposed to admit her as a State, not as a territory; and, coming in that character, it would have been necessary to have had the consent of Texas to establish a boundary between her and Mexico. Those resolutions, to avoid the difficulties which might result, very properly contained a provision which provided that the matter in dispate should be settled by the government of the United States.

I am far from thinking that the treaty which I negotiated, established the Del Norte as the boundary. Immediately after the negotiation, I despatched a messenger to our charge in Mexico, negotiation, I despitched a messenger to our change in anearon, and among other things, intimated to him that the government of the United States was prepared to settle the boundary on the most liberal terms. What boundary was contemplated at the time, it is unnecessary to state, and would be improper, perhaps, on the

present occasion.

Mr. SEVIER.—If the Senator from Maryland will indulge me a moment, I would from Historia some time in April, introduced a resolution calling on President Tyler to furnish us with the boundary hie of Tylers. That call, if I remember right, was referred to the State department and we had in response a map now on file, defining the boundary with road bite lines, from the mount of the defining the boundary with some process. when the contract we have the contract the contract of the contract of the measure of amenation, we were furnished also, with a memoir, giving us the quantity of land then claimed, the billions of acres, and thousands of square miles, computing the whole of it, from the mouth to the source of that river. That was the boundary for which we contended at that time. There was a difficulty ry for which we contended at that time. There was a difficulty about this boundary. Will the Senator pardon me a few moments longer? Those of us who supported the trenty, never had the slightest difficulty in our own minds, as to the title to that portion of the country below New Mexico, or the Sante Fe country; about that portion, we did have some difficulty, as we thought it a fair subject for negotiation, and we went upon the ground that it was beld by revolution—that the arms of Yexas had conquered it was beld by revolution—that the arms of Yexas had conquered

I would observe, that a former Senator from South Carolina, [Mr. McDuffie,] and Mr. Walker of the Treasury Department, who were advocates with him of the treaty, never heard from him who were advocates with him of the treaty, never heard from him or Tyler, or any one else in favor of the treaty, that the Nucces or any other than the Rio Grande below the Nucces, was the proper boundary of Texas. The map coming from his own Department to which I formerly referred, and the constitution of Texas, both represented the Rio Grande as the boundary. If there had been a different view entertained about the boundary at that time, I suppose I should have beard something of it. I may take occasion at some future period to dwell at length upon this subject.

Mr. PEARCE .-- I have heard the Senator from South Carolina art. FEANCE—I have near the senarof from South Caronna with a great deal of pleasure. I had not forgotten that map of Texas, and I think I know all about it. If I recoilect distinctly, in the memoir which accompanied it, the intelligent officer who made the map, Lieut Emory, stated that he felt himself bound to designate the boundaries of Texas as prescribed in her ordinance, designate the boundaries of Texas as prescribed in ner ordinance, not meaning to assign them as the true boundaries. It exhibited the boundaries as elaimed by Texas, and I was not unaware of the position of the Senator from South Carolina on this point; nor did I mean to say that the objection taken by the Senator from Missouri properly applied to this treaty. Probably if I had not been interrupted, I should have made the explanation which the

Senator himself has just offered.

But the argument of the Senator from Missouri was conclusive Senator nuiseit nas post-oscero.

But the argument of the Senator from Missouri was conclusive to me of the want of title to the whole of the country, from the source of the Rio Grande in the region of eternal snows, to its source of the Rio Grande in the region of eternal snows, to its concession of the Rio Grande in the region of eternal snows, to its cleaness will pardon me the region of the heard him say, that this Santa Fe country was the weak point as it is elsewhere. It is called point no point. It has not the semblance of argument; there is not the fragment of a fact to sustain it. He, himself, admits that Texas never bad a soldier at Sante Fe, except as a prisoner. All the Texans that were ever there were either taken prisoners by the Mexicans or killed. The Mexicans had undoubted sway there, they had dominon there for centuries, and the Senator from Missouri knew that well; and he stated that they had possession of it for a hundred years before La Salle ever say the coast of lexas. Well, sir, we must all admit, that the only armed force in the dis-

puted territory on the lower as well as the upper Del Norte was puten territory on the lower as well as the upper Del Norte was a Mexican force. I allude to the armed company at Loredo, commissioned by the Mexican government. Very possibly the object was to protect the people from Indian incursions, as I think I have heard stated by the Senator from Texas himself.

Mr. RUSK .- Loredo is in the bounds of Texas, as defined in the constitution of '24.

Mr. PEARCE.—I know it is not in Tamaulipas. It was within the boundary of the United State of Coahuila, and Texas; but not in Texas itself. In 1821 Texas was not yet created into a separate State: Coahuila extended across the country, as all the

parate State: Coshnilla extended across the country, as all the maps show, to the Nucces. The only military post then on the lower Rio Grande, was the post of Loredo, at which were stationed armed men, commissioned by Mexican authority.

But my colleague referred to several acts of Congress is au-thorities, to sustain him in his position. The first act of December, 1845, extending the laws of the U. States to Texas, was cited by him as one of these authorities. I couless, I am at a loss to know the right of Texas, to the country between the Nucces and the Rio Grande. It affirms no limits of Texas. the right of Iexas, to the country between the Neuces and the Kio Grande. It affirms no limits of Texas. As the resolution of annex-ation applied only to Texas as properly limited, so this law provi-ded that over Texas proper, with her rightful limits, the laws of the United States should be extended. Well, then my colleague referred to the act of February 1846, making Corpus Christi a port of delivery, and Galveston a port of entry. But Corpus Christi was within the limits which Texas maintained by force of arms-within the bounds of revolutionized Texas; and undoubtarms—within the bounds of revolutionized reass; and tamountedly we took Corpus Christi into the Union, when we admitted Texas, and we had as good a right to establish a port of delivery there, as we had to establish a port of entry at Galveston. Corpus Christi was the frontier settlement of Texas, and having Consider the revolution under the process of the pr wer was recognized by Congress, indeed it was a consequence of the war, and cannot splyl to a state of things existing at the com-mencement of hostilities. It provided among others for a post route from the Brazzo to Fort Brown. I well recollect the history of that act and the proceedings, while it was pending here. Some objection was made to it, as likely to be quoted in aid of the bounouecuon was maker to it, as inkery to be quoteen in and of the boundary question, when it was explained by more than one member. Allow me to refer to it, and to read a few remarks of the Honorable Senator from Texas on the subject. When it came here after its passage in the House of Representatives, it was objected that it might involve some question as to the boundary of Texas:

Mr. RUSK said if he could soppose that this provision would involve any question as to the boundary rights of Mexico or Texas, he could arge it. The establishment of this route would prevent the excert polytheether the remains of t

The honorable Senator from Texas then disclaimed the view of raising the question of boundary on the bill?

Mr. RUSK .- Will the honorable Senator allow me to interrupt Mr. RUSE.—Will the nonorance Schitter anow me to interrupt him for a moment? My remarks on the occasion referred to were not correctly reported, although, it might be said, they amounted, in substance, to the same thing. What I did say was, that "I did not think the bill under consideration involved the question of bounand think the bill under consideration involved the question of boundary, but, if other gentlemen thought so, and should move to strike out that portion of the bill, I was fully prepared to discuss that point, and could prove incontestibly, as I thought, the right of Texas to the whole territory, down as far as the Rio Grande.— The remarks were originally made in answer to suggestions made by an honorable Senator from Massachusetts and an honorable Senator from Rhode Island.

Mr. PEARCE.—The Senator says, that the report is substantially correct, though not exactly so. I knew that the question had been raised in the House of Representatives, and that it was put on a footing which I, myself, recognised as legitimate. I find that when this bill was introduced into the House, Mr. Vinton moved to amend it

Mr. VINTON moved to amend the bill by adding to the second section the following :

Provided . That nothing in this act shall be understood to contain an expression by Corners of its opinion as to the question of boundary between the territory of the United States and Mexico.

In the discussion which followed, I find that Mr. Dromgoole made the following remarks:

Mr. Domgoele resuming, said he sapposed that neither the transportation of the mad, nor thle establishment of a post-office, or of a entom-houre, were concleaved facts in egged to a houndary, whenever the two entimes should see fit to eater into the state in egged to a houndary and the state in the control of the state in the same state of the state of t

been overrun by our arms; we had the right to establish governments during the time we occupy them. None of these were conclusive; they were mere ucts which we might do because we had possessing of the country.

Now, sir, this is perfectly right, and I may add that it is charac teristic of the intelligent, and that and a contract it was teristic of the intelligent, and that and the intelligent and the same to supply our army with post-masters, and certainly he had authority to do so—the country he ing in our possession by militury occupation. We fluid, undoubliedly, as good a right to establish a post-route to Fort Brown as 6 owed This troops there. But I need not argue this point farther. The ground of the passage of the law was not that we had a title to the country, and it cannot be invoked for that purpose. It is proof only of our military occupation of the country, of which it was a

Well, now, the next position to which I ask the attention of the Senate is this; that, as we were entitled to the enst bank of the river—that being assumed, though 1 think 1 have shown that it was not so—the President was bound to march the forces of the United States to the Rio Grande, in order to dispossess the Mexicans.

### Mr. JOHNSON .- I did not say so.

Mr. PEARCE.—I um waver that the Senator did not take that position; it is the language of the President himself, and 1 find it is repeated in the Union of to-day. I hold that if Texas had a right-ful claim to the country, it being in possession of Mexico, this gave him no right to march the troops of the United States there. That was an act of war, which, under the Constitution of the United States, the President had no right to commut, this is solely at the States, the President and he right in Commit, this Favorry at the discretion of Congress, and is useful in the control of the property of the congress and invited addressed a conditional congress, and invited addressed a conditional congress, and invited of the congress of the congress of their attention to the condition of a certain portion of the State of Louisiana, then held by Spain, in violation of the freaty of 1803, he represented to Congress that he had tried negociation in vain, be represented to Congress that he mad tried negociation in value, but did not feel at liberry to send the United States forces into that part of Louisiana, because, as he said, that act, Spain beline in possession, might change the relations of the country, and transfer them from a state of peace to a state of war,—That territory clearly belonged unto us alone, but it was not taken possession of by order of the President. Spain for a long time retained measurements of the proof of Mobile and the Pleide. time retained possession of the port of Mobile, and the Florida parishes, as they were called. Nor were the latter taken posses-sion of by the arms of the United States until in 1810, the country was revolutionized by a set of private adventurers, who set up a government for themselves. In that state of affairs, Congress not being in session, Mr. Madison issued a proclamation and took possession; because, otherwise, as he soid, these parishes would have been considered derelict. He took it out of the hands of the private adventurers who had ousted the Spanish authorities and taken possession of it. Mr. Madison did not take possession of Mobile till 1813, after the passage of the secret Act of Congress of that year, authorizing him to do so. Now I say, then, upon the strength of the authorities; that if Mexico had possession of the territory, or any part of the territory, between the Nucces and the Rio Grande—even if they had commanded it from the opposite bank of the river, as they might have done at Matamoras, the try was revolutionized by a set of private adventurers, who set up President had no right to take possession of that portion of it in the possession of Mexico. This is not the only instance of the forbearance with which this Government has submitted to the holding of country by foreign nations, claimed by us. How long was it before certain British posts included within the limits of the United States by the treaty of '83, actually come into our posses-sion? Great Britain held them, I think, from '83 till after Jay's ston: Great Breath new them, I think, from so the latter says we declared no war against Great Britain. Gen. Washington never thought of sending an army to take possession of these posts.

Mr. HANNEGAN .- They were held by Great Britain till '95. Mr. PEARCE.—A period of twelve years. Let me refer also to the north eastern boundary question, in dispute, from the treaty of '83 down to the settlement by the treaty of Washington in '42. I think both Houses of Congress, by an unanimous vote, declared our title to the boundary as we claimed it, and yet Great Britain our title to the boundary as we elaimed it, and yet Great. Britism occeptied a portion of the territory; even her military forces were there, and not only so, but a citizen of the United States was arrested on the soil elaimed by so, was carried away and mearverated in a British dungron, yet newber General Juckson, during during whose administ of mirrors of the soil of the so occurred, ever thought of murching the Urited States troops there, and taking possession of the congress alone conditions and taking possession and the transfer of the state of the transfer of the t

I know another instance more recent, and more flagrant.
me remind the Senate of the case of the Caroline. Then doubtedly American blood was shed upon American sol—shed by British troops—led by a B.itish officer, who was applauded and promoted for the act; and yet Mr. Van Buren did not take the law into his hands—ho did not make war against Great Britain—he did not ask Congress to make war. That was an act of hos tility undoubtedly, but Mr. Van Buren was content to settle the matter by negotiation. I wish to know, sir, whether the United States intend to reverse the time honored maxim-

### "Parcere subjectie debellare ennerly

Shall we make war on the weak, and not upon the strong? I fear we have forgotten that maxim in our course towards Mexico, and trample upon her who is least able to resist us. I do not doubt that it is the duty of the President of the United States to repel invasion when made within our acknowledged limits. But I deny that that is war. I deny that there can be a state of war proper-ly, so called, unless the Congress of the United States have exerted their powers, and declared war. It is not for the President to put the country in a state of war, certainly not to invade a ter ritory elaimed by us without right, and then to vindicate himself ritory claimed by us without right, and then to vindicate himself by the declaration, that the territory of the United States was invaded—that American blood had been shed upon American soil. Str, I blushed for the President when he made that monstrons assertion. Now, as to the farther prosecution of the war, the President tells us very distinctly in his message, that we are to have no peace with his consent, until complete indeminy shall be obtained from Mexace—illudenmity for the past and security for the future"—well characterized yesterday by the Senator from Delaware, as one-half of Mexico for indemnity and the other half as security! I agree with my colleague in his declaration, that in the triumphs of our arms we have obtained ample indemnity. I do not like to boast of the prowess of my countrymen, but certainly I have been as much struck by their achievements in Mexico, as by the story of any battles that I have ever read. I know nothing to compare with them, unless it be the defeat of the Russians at Narva by Charles the XII of Sweden. feat of the Russians at Narva by Charles the XII of Sweden. As to indemnify for the expenses of the war, when, let me ask, have we ever sought compensation in danager from other nations with whom we have been at war? We have had but three wars: the war of the revolution—a just one. I take it—was the first one in which we were engaged, and I do not see why we should not as well demand indemnity for its expenses as for the one in which we are now involved. But nobody dreamed of demanding indemnity for that war. The war of PSI was a just war, as I believe—emitted the second of the property of the prope rights. We waged that war for three years, and settled it, as I suppose every body agreed, without any indemnity. We spent from one to two handred millions in that war and did not get a penny back. I never heard that Mr. Madison and his cubinet, and the wise men who were in this chamber then, and who ratified the treaty of peace, were reproached for secrificing the honor of the court ry in not obtaining pecuniary indemnity. Sir, I despise, I seem this demanded pecuniary indemnity for violated faith whether made by a midwideal or a nation. I never heard of such a thing amongst gentle-individual or a nation. I never heard of such a thing amongst gentle-individual or a nation. I never heard of such a thing amongst gentle-individual or a men. I have had the curiosity to examine Jonah Barrington's account of the celebrated Kilkenny Club, renowned for being composed of the most chivalrons gentlemen that ever pulled trigger. By their rules the reparation of personal insults and wrongs is reduced to a regular system. Every offence was strictly defined, and the appropriate degree of punishment prescribed. If the offence were slight, the party aggrieved might be satisfied with an exchange of shots. little more aggravated, it was requisite that one party should be blooded. When the offence was of a very grave nature, some-body was to be well blooded; and there was scarcely any offence which required, according to these rules of chivalrous honor, that which required, according to these rules of chivalrous honor, that the combat should be mortal. Certainly, in no instance, is tre-corded in the annals of the Kilkenny Club that pecunary compensation was to be demanded by the party offended. Sir, Barrington records no instance in which the offender was called upon to pay for the powder and ball consumed, the pistols used, and transportation to the field of honor—that is to say, the back-bire! Now, I am unwilling to place a nation in a lower scale than a private individual; neither have I one rule for Mexico and another for England.

What is the condition of Mexico? Before I reply to that inqui-What is the condition of Mexico: Before I reply to that inquir-ry, let me say, that I am perfectly disposed to detaand of Mexico compensation in damages, not for the violation of national honor, for the injuries done to our eitizens in their commerce, but for the injuries done to our ettizens in their commerce. We are their guardians—guardians of their pecuniary rights, which we are bound to maintain as against Mexico and all the world, and to see repaired by pecuniary indemnification. I hold it to be perfectly right to demand indemnity of Mexico on that ground. If she cannot give indemnity in money, let us take it in land and to not let us make that a pretext for robbing Mexico. She is the cannot give indemnity in representation of the property of the prostrate and bleeding at your leet. Your armies have triumphed in every combat, from that of Palo Alto to the last battle at Cha-She has been completely vanquished—her towns stormed and bombarded-her seaports in your possession or beleaguered by your flects—her government fugitive and your armise, in the fushionable phrase of the day, "freedling in the Illals of the Monteamus." What more do you want? Is not this enough? Did you ever hear of the bully who, when be had knocked his man down, thought it his vulgar duty to pull out his eyes? Do you recollect, sir, the epistio of Tom Crit to Big Ben 7

"What Ben! my old hero, is this your renown? It this the new go?—bick a mao when he's down? When the foe has knucked under, to tread on him then. By the fitt of my father! I blush for thee, Ben."

Mexico cannot bring an army into the field. Her revenues are ex-bansted. She is helpless and hopeless, except in your mercy. Yet you propose to carry the warfarther—"find the virials of the com-try." Not satisfied with the blood already shed, do you thirst for Do you desire more towns to bombard, fresh armies to de-When there are none of these to be found, will you rejoice in the slaughter of the miserable guerrileros?

in the shagater of the miserane guerrieros? Mr., President, Jet us take eare that the disgraceful guerrilla warfare of Spain bis not renewed upon this continent? Is there to be no end to this state of things! I do not believe that the violated honor of the country requires such vindication. That home is in much greater danger of being tarnished by our own conduct,

is in mine greater stagger of being turnshear by our own consider, the property of the propert Mr. Trist. She offers ample indomnity for every thing but the expenses of the war. She proposes to ede California, from its junction with Oregon to the thirty-seventh degree of latitude—This comprises nearly two hundred thousand square miles of territory, as I have been told—thire the territorial extent of Virginia, and larger than all the New England States, New York and Pennsylvania together; and it includes the harbor of San Francisco—the coverted object of our desire, and which, sir, alone, is worth more than the claims of our citizens against Mexico. Well, Mexico gives very sufficient reasons why she should not cede more. She says that Lower California commands her province of Sonora, and that she cannot be asked to give up She offers ample indemnity for every thing but the exher province of Sonora, and that she cannot be asked to give up what would make her vulnerable in her weakest point. She can-not give up all Upper California, because a portion of it is necessary to maintain the land communication between Lower Califor-nia and the other portions of her dominions. She cannot give up nia and the other portions of her dominions. She cannot give up.

New Mexico, because the people there are loyal—they are devoted
to Mexico. They abhor union with this country. She cannot,
therefore, give up New Mexico. It is crued to insist that she
should give it up. I do not want it, and with my vote, New Mexice will never be added to this Union—never sir, never.

Besides, it is inconsistent with the essential principle of our
government—the consent of the government.

What were the objects of the formation of this Union let me read you sir, from the constitution :

"We The people of the United States in order to form a more perfect union estab-lish justice ensuredome-ic tranquility provide for the common defence—promote the general welfare and secure the blessings of bluerty to ourselves and our posterity do ordain and establish this constitution for the United States of America.

"To establish union"-"to ensure democratic tranquility"-these were amongst the glorious objects for which our constitution was were amongst the giorious suggests for which one constitution we formed. Sir, do you imagine that our union will be promoted—that our domestic tranquility will be ensured by compelling these refractory communities to eater our Union, in spite of all the differences of blood, religion, race, and color and filled with mortal harted of us as they now are. No man can be so foolish, sir, as to suppose that by such means our union will be promoted. Is such a union possible? It reminds me of the story in "Le Diable Boiteux." You may remember, sir, the story of the two demons, who quarrelled and raised such an uprour in Lucifer's dominions, that he was compelled to interfere. He commanded them under infernal pains, and penalties, to embrace and swear to be friends forever and penalties, to embrace and swear to be friends forever. We did so, said the demon, and have hated each other mortally ever since—such sir, will be the consequence of that union, which you wish to bring about. Such will be the frareard union, between the United States and Mexico. I see it predicted, sir, that if we should annex Mexico, she would be to us, what Ireland is to Grant Britain; a perpetual source of bloodshed embarrassments, annoyance, endless dissipacted. I do trust, that the country will exact the control of the progress of the dissipact of the second of the country will be progressed, and will end to the progress of this discussion, all will come to preceive the truth. the progress of this discussion, all will come to perceive the truth, as I think that I perceive it.

as I think that I perceive It.

I have said that in my opinion, we can now obtain satisfactory terms from Mexico. I do not mean to say, that the terms offered by the Mexican commissioner, were precisely such as I would accept, but they afford at all events, a proper basis for negotiators. If we do not stop here, when and where shall we stop? Are

we to become the occan-bound republic, spreading over the whole continent? That is what some gentlemen contemplate. I recolblet that one very ultra general measurements are the true day was first approaching, when "even China would be a component part of the United States? Are our dreams of ambit on bondless?" If we go on in this way enlarging our boundaries, must we not eventually be broken into fragments? Must we not occur at last to dissolution, like the circle in the water, which by come at last is dissolution, like the circle in the water, which by "broad spreading is dispersed to nought?" The bands which unite our country, if stretched so far, must inevitably snap. We must stop now on never. If we persist in this course, we must come to the project of my friend from Indiana, (Mr. HANNGAM, and then I take it, the dissolution of the Union would be investable. I do not know that in such a case the event would be matter of

Let me trouble you, with a few words more on the subject of the expenses of this war, in connection with the bill more immediately the subject of discussion. I have examined the statement of the Secretary of the Treasury for this year, and I find that the expenses of the current year, taking the quarter which has expir-

30TH CONG .- IST SESSION-No. 15.

ed, will amount to seventy-five millions. I confess I was startled when I saw the statement. The Secretary says:

That the total receipts and means for the year ending Jane, 1848, \$42,886,545 80 are estimated at
The expenditures for the first quarter, which are ascertained, heing
And the estimated expenditures for the rest of the nd the estimated expenditures
year being as follows:
ival list, foreign intercourse, and miscellaneous
irany proper, including volunteers
ortifications, rednance, arming militia, &c. Pensions 1,663,
Naval establishment 1,063,
Interest on public debt and treasury notes 2,250,
Treasury notes outstanding and payable when presented 267,

The whole expenditures will be - - -58 615 660 07 Leaving an excess of expenditures over means, 1st July, 1848 815,729,114 27

When the war commenced we had a surplus of twelve millions in the treasury, and Congress adopted new financial measures, which were expected by the Administration to improve the condition of the treasury. But we have spent the twelve millions sur-plus—all the current revenues which we have received, increased as they are said to have been by the new tarill, besides thirty-eight millions, according to my computatution, of leans and treasury notes. In January last the Secretary came to us for twenty-three millions, which he said would be ample. But in a month three millions, which he said would be ample. But in a month he asked for authority to re-issue five millions of treasury notes, and now another loan of eighteen and a half millions is demanded for the service of the present year. I apprehend it will be necessary to raise more than that for the excess of expenditure in the year of the properties of the

measures. I. for one, shall be prepared for the criss. It is not just sir, that the coming generation should suffer for the folly of the present. It is not just to keep glory for the present age and taxes for the next. If you will have the glory you ought to have the taxes along with it. I believe the only way to bring the people to a just sense of the contrilly of this war, is to let them feel the cost of it. My colleague spoke of the revenue to be derived from Mexico and ventured the opinion that the war could be sustained in this way without the cost of a dollar to our Treasury. sustained in this way without the cost of a dollar to our Treasury, But is it to be expected that Mexico in her present depressed condition—kept down by an enemy, holding her powerful towns,—overruning her country, her industry paralyzed—her trade and business broken up—her people ground to the dust by military oppression will furnish anything like the amount, which he has represented, as accruing to her treasury in time of presperity! You own the extra dollar which is not forced from her at she pant of the bayonet. He speaks of the duty upon contracts and says that they might now be stamped by your authority and that this a considerent contracts, especially when there is a probability, that if rande they will be invalidated, when the present state of things shall terminate. Sir, this is all illusion and if you could get the whole revenue which Mexico raised in a period of peace and prosperity you would which Mexico raised in a period of peace and prosperity you would get but twenty one millions and your millitary operations are cost-ing you nearly fifty millions. The Secretary of the Treasury, sanguine as he is, does not estimate these resources half so high as my colleague.

He has not been able, he says to obtain any reliable statement of the amount of duties realized in Mexico on exports. The duties on specie might amount to half a million; The recipts from duties on imports, in a time of peace, have varied from six to twelve mil-

on imports, in a time of peace, have varied from six to tweeker mil-lions. What probability is there, that these would be half the first sum, when our armies were covering the whole country? Even the Secretary thinks that no large portion of the internal revenue could be collected under our military; and be, with all his eagerness to present a flourable view of this project, admits that he has no sufficient data on which to base any reliable seri-mate as to this source of revenue. So that I caution the country agasnst these delusive conjectures

As for the 10,000 men proposed by the bill, I will not vote for

one of them.

I believe with the Senator from Kv., Mr. CRITTENDEN, that wo have troops enough for all the legitimate purposes of this war, and more than enough, and while I would not deny them food and clothing I would not now give for the war another soldier until saw a disposition on the part of the executive to bring about a

I look at the honor of the country in a different aspect from that in which it seems to be regarded by some Senators, to whom I do not impute any other than patriotic motives. I thing they are en-tirely mistaken. For myself, I am willing to take the reproach of consistent duliness, by adhering to the dootrines which I have here consistent uniness, by addering to the dootrines which I have here amonomed, and refinsing to vote a man more until I see a better disposition on the part of the Executive to make peace. I believe that the favorable opportunity to make peace was allowed to pass unimproved. I believe that if this government had not demanded too much, they could have made a peace perfectly satismanace too inuce, mey count are made a peace persecuty stra-factory to the country, and that it still may be obtained on just and honorable terms. I will not increase the forces in Mexico for the purpose of overrunning and annexing the country. It would be a lasting reproach to us to do so. I wish to see the United

States down I to settle this controversy in a spirit of magnanimity man en appear even, than all the victories we have gained.

- Mr. BADGER rose and signified his intention of addressing the
- M. JOHNSON of Maryland .- I ask the indulgence of the Seref in Nove Cardina. These top in quastion to in friend and only me, to which I have been a quastion to my friend and only me, to which I have been be said have reflected on the car, and if in a sawer. If I have region only resulted on the arraws I I have region only resulted on the arraws I I have region only resulted on. In tread was not have on the 13th of 3th, 13th, 13th, who are law of that we season passed.
- Mr. PEARCE a ited.
- Mr JOHNSON.—If I am right then, as to the opinions of my colleague at fact time. If he had been here, he would not have voted by the last to the reason with would have influenced him in giving that yote, it would have held have influenced him in giving that yote, it would have when he use of my premible to that act. The question I now whys to pre-pound sew whether he would have voted for that law
  - Mr. PTARCE .- Yes ; I should have done so.
  - Mr. JOHNSON .- Just so.
- Mr. P. ARC L.-But, I beg to say something further. It was Mr. P.CARCE,—But, I beg to say something farther. It was asked that some minary parkis in should be made, and the carriers seemed or require a very large proxision. Our army had been pile et. at the ear of the Executive, in a position of great peril. It was not for no to say that that arms should be sacrificed. Not for an land sat million would flave sometimed one of its gallant officers, or one of its base men! Perhaps I may add, that its vary legy that I would have gone farther then than now, because I had not then so fully investigated the subject. An its restriction was proposed in the House of Representatives by Mr. Schenek, which fully met my views. The amendment was as follows:
- $^{12}M_{\odot}\approx ^{12}H_{\rm e}^{\rm T}NC$  , the reset in to the Clerb's table, where it was read in part, the first war to the class mode of his intention to offer at the proper to the contract of the contract of
- So that all the the constructions, and mostless of the Periodent of the United Structure is Grown and the Accession by the Periodent of the United Structure is Grown and the Accession by Accession and the Accession and earlier to the Accession and Accessio
- The array of the state of the control of the contro
- Ar. W. MOCK:—I melostavel that the honorable Senator from South Cardina, inclosed from use desires to occupy the attention of the Strue, one the condengation of this subject shall be extremely a facility of the subject shall be aboved as the large facility of the subject shall be aboved as the large facility of the large facilit
- Mr CASS.—If the Senator will pardon me, I would enquire of the Southerford Mary and, whether I am to understand him as having said, that the exposultures of the present year will be sev-
- Mr. PUA CU.—I should that the estimates for the present year, new long the neighborhoods of the first quarter, would among the second commons, but, I have now reason to sup-pose of I we among the The Scienter from Connecticut, (Mr.

- NILES,) has satisfied me that sixteen millions, the expenses of the first quarier, were earried out under the same title, and, as I supposed they were not, I fell into that error in my calculation.— But I believe that further deficiencies will run the actual expendi-tures of the year to \$70,000,000.
- Mr. CASS .- Did the Senator give an estimate of the expenses
- Mr. PEARCE .- I stated the fact. sir, that you had twelve millions in the Treasury at the commencement of the war. There can be no doubt about that. I then said that there was authorized to be borrowed, or issued in Treasury notes—the same as a loan—the sum of thirty eight millions, including the five millions authorized to be re-issued by the act of last session. Will the Senator have the kindness to say whether I am mistaken?
  - Mr. CASS .- I merely wanted to know what his estimate was.
- Mr. PEARCE.—I should be very glad if the Senator would furnish me with means of making a better estimate, if I am wrong.
- Mr. CASS .- If the request had been made, I should have complied with it with a great deal of pleasure.
- Mr. PEARCE .- No doubt. If the gentieman wishes to put me upon a eross-examination-
- Mr. CASS .- Oh no, by no means.
- Mr. WESTCOTT .- I voted in the affirmative on the motion to adjourn to Monday next. At the request of several Senators, who seem to have labored under some misapprehension with regard to it, I now beg to move a reconsideration of that question
- On this motion the yeas and nays were demanded, and ordered, and being taken, the result was as follows:

Majority for the motion,

- The question recurring upon the adoption of the resolution, the yeas and nays were demanded, and ordered.
  - Mr. CASS .- I move that the Senate do now adjourn.
- Mr. DAYTON .- What will be the effect of the adoption of the motion just made?
- The PRESIDING OFFICER .- The Senate will stand adjourned until to-morrow.
  - Mr. JOHNSON of Maryland .- Is the motion in order?
- Mr. BERRIEN.—The Senate, by a resolution adopted this morning, determined that when it should adjourn, it should adjourn until Monday next. A motion has been made to reconsider that vote, and the motion for reconsideration has been adopted. But the resolution has not been resembled—the question was about to be put upon that resolution. If we adjourn now, therefore, we
  - Mr. CASS .- Then I will withdraw my motion.
- Mr. SEVIER .- This is certainly a very clear case. The reconsideration puts the question back to where it was this morning. before any action was had upon it. A motion to adjourn at that period would certainly have been in order, as it now is in order.
- Mr. CASS .- I helieve I will take the advice of my friend from Arkansas, and adhere to my motion.
- The Sccretary then proceeded with the call of the yeas and nays and the result was as follows:

Majority against the motion,

The question recurring upon the original motion, it was adopted.

# MONDAY, JANUARY 17, 1848.

#### CREDENTIALS

Mr. ERADBURY presented the eredentials of the Hon. Wy-MAN B. S. Moor, appointed by the Governor of the State of Maine, a Senator of the United States from that State, for the unexpired term of the Hon. JOHN FARRIELD, deceased, or until a successor should be chosen by the legislature; which were read.

Mr. MOOR having taken the oath prescribed by law, took his seat in the Senate.

### THE PUBLIC BUILDINGS, ETC.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a report of the Commissioner of the public buildings, made agreeably to law, showing the manner in which appropriations for the public buildings and grounds have been applied; which was ordered to be printed.

### PETITIONS

Mr. JOHNSON, of La., presented the petition of Susan Decatur, widow of the late Commodore Stephon Decatur, praying the renewal of her pension; which was referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

Mr. FELCH presented the memorial of Asa Whitney, praying for a grant of land to enable him to construct a Rail Road from Lake Michigan to the Pacific Occan; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands, and ordered to be printed.

Also, the memorial of A. Edwards, Register of the Land Office at Kalamazoo, Michigan praying the re-payment of money advanced by him for extra clerk hire; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

Also, the petition of Charles Richmond, praying compensation for services as special marshal, during the disturbances in the Provinces of Upper and Lower Canada, in the years 1839 and 1840; which was referred to the Committee of Claims.

Mr. CAMERON presented the petition of A. W. and J. H. Foster, editors of the "Pittsburgh Daily Dispatch," praying that the list of letters remaining in the Post Office at Pittsburgh, and in compliance with law, be published in their newspaper, it having the largest circulation; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Reads.

Also, the memorial of the Providence Association of Clerks in the city of Washington praying certain amendments to the charter of said association; which was referred to the Committee on the District of Columbia.

Also, a memorial of the Cala Quarterly Meeting of the religious society of Frends, praying the abolition of slavery in the United States. and the slave trade in the District of Columbia, and between the several states; a memorial of the association of Friends for promoting the abolition of slavery and improving the condition of the free people of color, praying the abolition of slavery in the District of Columbia, and Territories of the United States, and of the slave trade between the states; the prohibition of slavery where it does not already exist; and the repeal of all laws providing for the arrest of fugitive slaves; and six petitions of inhabitants of Pennsylvania, praying the adoption of meanners for abolishing slavery throughout the Union; the motions to receive were severally laid upon the table.

Mr. HANNEGAN presented the petition of Robert Piatt, onlymarying son of Major Daniel Piatt, praying the payment of an undrawn portion of seen years' half pay due him under the resolve of Congress of 24th August 1750; which was referred to the Committee of Review of Committee of Parks.

Also, the petition of Robert Piatt, praying a final settlement of his account as a deputy purchasing commissary; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. ASHLEY presented the petition of James Wilkins, praying payment for cattle taken by Indians in Florida; which was referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

Mr. TURNEY presented resolutions passed by the Legislature of Tennesses, approxing the plum proposed by Asa Whitney constructing a Rail Road from Lake Michagan, to the Pacific Ocean, and in favor of a grant of public hand for that object; which was referred to the Committee on Roads and Canals, and ordered to be printed.

Mr. CORWIN presented the petition of A. G. Meh mand others citizens of the United States, praying that the public domain may be given in suitable quantities to actual settlers without price, which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

Also, the petition of citizens of Ohio, praying the adoption of measures for the re-establishment of peace between the United States and Mexico; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

Also, the petition of citizens of Ohio, praying the abolition of slavery in the District of Columbia, and the slave trade be ween the States; the motion to receive which was laid upon the table.

Mr. BREESE presented a memorial of citizens of Illinois, praving that the right of pre-emption may be granted to the I'llinois Central Railroad Company, to the lands over which it is proposed to construct their road; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, presented the petition of Robert M. Martin, a volunteer in the war with Mexico, praying to be allowed bounty land; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. UNDERWOOD presented the petition of Mary M. Telfair, heir of Israel Pearce, praying the payment of certain final settlement certificates; which was referred to the Committee on Revelutionary Claims

## On motion by Mr. STURGEON, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Titian R. Peale, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

On motion by Mr. BERRIEN, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Moses E. Levy, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Private Land Claims.

On motion by Mr. HUNTER, it was

Ordered, That the administrator of Isaac Garretson have leave to withdraw his petition and papers.

On motion by Mr. BALDWIN, it was

Ordered, That the widow of Samuel Gibbs have leave to withdraw her petition and papers.

On motion by Mr. MILLER, it was

Ordered, That George Townloy have leave to withdraw his pe-

### NOTICE OF A BILL.

Mr. WEBSTER.—Mr. President, I wish to rive notice that I purpose, to morrow, to introduce a bill 'to under attachments, United States, conform to the lasse remaining such attachments in the Courts of the States." If it should be the pleasure of the States in the Courts of the States. If it should be the pleasure of the States to give me leave to-morrow, to introduce this bill. I will shortly state the objects of its provisions. The bill which I propose to introduce, passed the Senate without opposition at the last session, having been reported to the Senate by the Committee on the Judiciary, and I shall ask, with the concurrence—which I I hope I will receive—of the Chairman of that Committee, and the other means to the control of the Chairman of that Committee, and the other means to the control of the Chairman of that Committee, and the other means to the control of the Chairman of that Committee, and the other means the control of the Chairman of the Chairma

# MAP OF NEW MEXICO.

Mr. CASS submitted 'be following resolution for consideration :

Resolved. That the Secretary of the Department of War, furnish the Secret with the report and map of the examination of New Mexico, male by Lieut, J. Wr Abert, corps of Topographical Engineers, while attached to the command of General Kearney.

### DEFALCATION IN THE MINT.

Mr. CAMERON submitted the following resolution for c nsideration; which was ordered to be printed:

Whereas, A defalcation is reported to have occurred in the United States Mills, at Philadelphia, which, by law, a made the depository of the Judies money, a Therefore, for our Table the States will appear at our our surface them, be as to retrieve the state of the States of the attendance, and examine them upon eath, of all the penons employed by the government there.

### THE MAILS.

Mr. BERRIEN submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved. That the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads, he instructed to impose whether any and what legislation is never-sary to secure the more regular and a seedy transmission of the great northern and southern mail, and that they have leave to report by full, resolution or otherwise.

### THE PEA PATCH TITLE.

Mr. DAYTON submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved, That the Solution of the Treasury be directed to communicate to the Senator copies of the articles of submission, the mainly the opinion of the arbitrator, and the proceedings and the minister into procession connected therewise, in the nather of the Pa Paddi Alami, before the homorable John Setgerant, sole arbitrator appointed by the parties in interest, to dead up unit title the sand shand.

### REPORTERS OF THE SENATE.

Mr. MANGUM, from the Select Committee, to whom was referred a motion authorizing the Vive President to cause desks to be provided for the Re-purtur of the Senate, and his assistants, upon the floor, in submitting a report, remarked that upon examination of the subject referred to the committee, it was found, that wijhout any inconvenence, suitable accommodation could be afforded to the reporters of the Senate on the floor of the Chamber; and as it was of course very desirable that every proper fucility should be extended to them, the committee manimously reported in favor of providing the proposed accommodation.

The Senate proceeded to consider the report, and, on concurrence therewith, it was

Ordered, That the Secretary of the Senate have two moveable desks provided, as soon as may be, in the angles of the Senate Chamber at the ends of the Chord to accommodate, each, one reporter.

Mr. MANGUM, by direction of the same committee, submitted the following resolution for consideration:

Resolved. That a select committee, of five, be appointed by the Vice President, to enquire into the expedience of removing the reporters from the marble gallery to more variable places to be provided for them at the ends of the encular gallery, and of preparing the narride gallery for the rice of the fadies.

Mr. BREESE.—I had the honor of serving on the special committee, of which the honorable Senator, (Mr. MANTOL) who had neitred the resolution, was the claimant, and I conceived the resolution, was the claimant, and I conceived in the report. At the sametime, sir, I must saw, that when in committee I was anxious the report should embrace the subject matter of the resolution now offered, as I believed then, as I do now believe, that a much more commodious position for the reporters can be had in the Chamber than they now occupy; but it was thought we had not the power under the resolution to make any suggestion upon the subject. Accordingly, and to get the power, the honorable chairman was instructed by the committee to report the resolution. I bope, sir, it will be adopted, each Senate for consideration. I bope, sir, it will be adopted, each schaff of the Senate, by which the reporters will be better a consordated than they now are, and the ladies also, by properly fitting up the marble gallery now occupied by the reporters.

The resolution was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to: Whereupon,

Mr. MANGUM, Mr. SEVIER, Mr. BERRIEN, Mr. BREESE and Mr. Allen were appointed the Committee.

### MINERAL LANDS.

Mr. BREESE submitted a report made by J. D. Whitney to Charles T. Jackson, geological surveyor of the United States' mineral lands of the northern peninshi of Mehigan; which was ordered to be printed and appended to the report of the Commissioner of the General Land Office.

### PRIVATE BILLS.

Mr. NILES, from the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads, to whom was referred the petition of Nathaniel Kuykendall, reported a bill for his relief; which was read and passed to the second reading.

Mr. BREESE, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the bill to provide for the compensation of Samuel Leech for services in the investigation of suspended sales in the Mineral Point District, Wissonsin, reported it without amendment; and moved that a document accompanying the bill be printed; which was agreed to.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the Committee on Pensions, to whom was referred the petition of Abiguil Garland, submitted a report necompanied by a bill granting a pension to Abiguil Garland, widow of Jacob Garland, deceased.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

Mr. YULEE, from the Committee on Naval Affairs, to whom was referred the petition of Thomas Brownell, reported a bill for his relief; which was read and passed to a second reading.

Mr. BRADBURY, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the petition of Amos Holton, submitted an adverse report: and, on concurrence therewith, it was

Resolved. That the evidence presented by Amos Holton is insufficient to justify the allowance of his claim; and that the Committee be discharged from the further consideration of the subject.

### BARQUE CANTON.

Mr. RUSK, from the Committee on Enrolled Bills, reported that they presented to the President of the United States, on the 14th instant, the bill to authorize the issuing of a register to the barque Canton.

The following message was received from the President of the United States, by Mr. Walker, his Secretary:

Mr. President: The President of the United States approved and signed, on the 14th instant, the bill to anthorize the issuing of a register to the barque Canton.

### GENERAL SCOTT'S PLANS.

The Senate proceeded to consider the following resolution, submitted on the 13th instant by Mr. Mangum:

Resalved, That the Possister of the United States his required to be before the Senate all the plane, estimates and calculation, proceed the General Sost, as in his opinion best adapted to statis the objects of the war; and his opinion touching the unitary means secorately a coronigal the objects of one government in any and all continuous control of the president of the process of the president with the police service.

Mr. CASS.—I would ask the Senator to consent to the postponement of the consideration of the resolution till to-morrow. The Seaator from Arkansas (Mr. Sevier.) is absent; and there can be no harm from twenty-four bours' delay.

Mr. MANGUM.—I have no objection to postponement, except that the information sought by the resolution is of vast importance to the formation of an intelligent opinon in reference to measures now pending before the Senate; and which, allow me to say, are pushed to a consummation with a degree of zeal and pertuncity which I have rarely winessed upon any occasion so early in the session. It would give me great pleasure to comply with the sun-time the honorable Senator from Michigan, were it not that the session of the second of the

Mr. CASS.—I do bope the Senator from North Carolina will consent to a postponement of the resolution. If he do not, I must be compelled to vote against it. I cannot conceive that in the present condition of affairs, whise we are actually energed to represent condition of affairs, whise we are actually energed to them in making their preparations. We can easily conceive that such would be the ease. It is true that the usual discretionary power is given to the Executive, but I conceive that the objection which I have just stated has sufficient force to make the call improper at this time. The Senator says that the measures before the Senate have been pushed very pertineiously. I cannot conceive why the Senator has made such an assertion. The bill for raising ten regiments was introduced three weeks since-forw weeks since, I theliver—and we are now in the second week of its discussion, I believe—and we are now in the second week of its discussion, I believe—and we are now in the second week of its discussion, I believe—and we are now in the second week of its discussion, I believe—and we are now in the second week of its discussion, I believe—and we are now in the second week of its discussion, I believe—and we are now in the second week of its discussion, I believe—and we are now in the second week of its discussion, I believe—and we are now in the second week of its discussion, I believe—and we are now in the second week of its discussion, I believe—and we are now in the second week of its discussion, I believe—and we are now in the second week of its discussion, I believe—and we are now in the second week of its discussion, I believe—and we are now in the second week of its discussion, I believe—and we are now in the second week of its discussion, I believe—and we are now in the second week of its discussion, I believe—and we are now in the second week of its discussion, I believe—and we are now in the second weeks of the summer of the second weeks since, I believe the second when the second weeks of the second

Mr. MANGUM.—I did not charge pertinacity in reference to the measure, upon any portion of the Senant. I alluded exclusively to the honorable Chairman of the Committee on Military Affairs; and I submit to the Senate, and to all who have observed the proceedings of the body in reference. Jo this measure, whether the charge has not been sustained by the facts. Sir, when the honorable Senator from South Carolina was about to be denied the honefit of the usual course of the Senator in reference to the consid-

eration of his resolutions, I appeal to the recollection of Senators, whether there was not great pertinacity exhibited in the effort to refuse him an opportunity of addressing the Senate? All must remember the events of last Thursday; the repeated calls of the yeas and mays on the question of adjournment, with reference to this very question. All must remember the earnestness with which the honorable Chairman of the Committee on Military Affairs narged the necessity of early, if not immediate action on the bill before them. However, I leave that, sir, as a small anter; and pass to one of vastly greater importunce. I alhalle to the terr, and pass to one of vastly greater importunce. I alhalle to the thirty of the properties of the Congress of the United States to be informed of the Executive's dasgras and the Executive's discissance that the vold be eminently improper for the Congress of the United States to be informed of the Executive's dasgras and the Executive's instructions to the Commander-in-chief of the army in Mexico. I protest, sir, most solemnly, against this attempt to exact that degree of faith from the legislative bodies of this country which shall induce them to rely upon an Executive who discloses only by glimpase, not even by halves, the purposes believed to be entertained by him! I hold, sir, that the great legislative faculty of the country should not be exercised at all upon a question so moment as a this, upon mere faith reposed in the Executive branch of the country should not be exercised at all upon a question so moment and the country is ready to surrender its free institutions. The policy, as half disclosed to us—sao looking the great issue between despotism and liberty. I regard the measures here presented to use—only half disclosed to us—sao looking to a spirit of universal domination, and an absolute conquest of the whole of Mexico. If the country is ripe for that, in my poor judgment, the country is ready to surrender its free institutions. The policy, as half discioned to us—sao l

Sir, my attention is directed to an order to which I have noticed a reference, but now seen for the first time. I will not detain the Senate by reading it. I suppose it is familiar to other Senate loves. I understand that this order on the part of the general, commanding-in-chief, is to occupy every important position throughout Mexico.

A SENATOR .- Read the first clause.

Mr. MANGUM.—This is marked "order 376," and is as follows:

"Headquarters of the Army,
"Mexico, December 15, 1847.

"1. This army is about to spread itself over, and to occupy the republic of Mexico, until the latter shall sue for peace in terms acceptable to the government of the United States."

"The whole of the republie!" Sir, it cannot be disguised. The disguises are too thin. The veil is too transparent. All the disavovals that we have had, that the President does not entertain any purpose of conquest, come at last to this, that the Executive means to illustrate his administration by absorbing the whole of Mexico, if the sense of the count will such any legitimate, or proper, of the carry, amounting to their proper, of the carry, amounting to thirty regiments, the bills for the carry, amounting to thirty regiments, the bills for the carry, amounting to thirty regiments, the bills for the carry, amounting to the carry to the carry, amounting to the carry to the carry, amounting to the carry to the commander—nothed has already disclosed his purposes, presumed to be the purposes of the government in regard to the future policy to the Mexicans themselves, but eminently improper to disclose them to the American people, who I trust, are not yet ripe for this scheme of wholesale rapacity and rapine, in which I fear we have already too much indulged. I hope, sir, the resolution will be adopted.

Mr. CASS.—The honorable Senator from North Carolina from a general charge has proceeded to make an individual charge. He charges me with pertinacious obstinacy.

Mr. MANGUM.—I mean it in no improper sense. I imputed to the Senator only a marked degree of zeal and pertinacity.

Mr. CASS.-No one knows better than I do the courteous and

honorable bearing of the gentleman from North Carolina. He may like all of us at times, under the influence of party zeal from which none, perhaps, are wholly free, use harsher expressions than need be; but his present charge, as a pplicable to me in any circumstances, is incorrect, and I mean to prove that it is so to his satisfaction. He instances two facts in support of his allegation: first, that I opposed the discussion of the resolutions of the honorable cassion. I beget the honorable Senator's pardon, but he moth discussion. I toget the honorable Senator's pardon, but he moth discussions to extend to the thing the senate of the discussion. I was any into the case of the discussion of the resolutions of the Arabica state of the discussion. I was any into the case of the discussion of the case of the discussion. I was any into the case of the discussion of the case of the discussion. I was any into the case of the discussion of the case of the discussion of the case of the discussion. I was any into the case of the discussion of the case of the discussion of the discussion of the case of the discussion of

Aow, with respect to the motion before the Senate, all I ask, is, that this matter should be allowed to lie over till to-morrow, when the honorable gentleman from Arkansas, (Mr. SEVER,) specially charged with it, shall have collected the necessary information. I do not say, that it will not be proper then to communicate the information have I said that it would be improper to give any of the information which is sought. The gentleman has asked, to whom the information is to be disclosed? Why to the Mexical I do not, of course, intend to say, that that is his object; but that will be the effect of the resolution.

Mr. MANGUM.—The Scantor misconceives my meaning. The Mexicans have already had intelligence of the designs of the President to the utmost limit; and if the information is withheld, it is because there is an unwilliagness, somewhere, that it should be laid before the American people. As to the Mexicans, they have been already advertized of the views of the Executive.

Mr. CASS.—If the Senator only wants that information, the American people have got it as well as the Mexicans. I take it, Mr. President, that in all countries during the prosecution of a war, the specific plan of the campaign should not be made public. Surely, predence requires that only the general intentions of the loss. But, where in the name of wonder won such circumstances. But, where in the name of wonder won such circumstances, and probable of the probability of the state of the wonder won the control to the country and the top or subsistence, or munitions of war, would be despatiched to a certain point? It is not the specific, identical plans of campaign made between the commander-in-chief and the government which should be laid before the legislature of the country and the enemy. A thousand reasons will occur to every body, showing that such a procedure, if not impossible, would be highly injurious. I repeat, there is no wish to refuse any information which may, with propriety and safety, be communicated. My only object is to delay action on this resolution for a short time, until the necessary information can be supplied. All I contend for is, that the broad principle assumed by the honorable Senator from North Carolina, is neither safe in itself nor justified by the practice of any governments of such a principle, it is obvious, would be attended with the most hintries consequences.

then of such a principle; it is convoise, when we attended what the most injurious consequences.

The most injurious consequences progress of the war, it is usaid that General Root is going on from town to town, and from city to city, conquering all before him. I am very glad to hear it. I hope that the commanding general will continue to go on in this way. If he does so, I have a doubt he will conquer Mexican obstinacy and thus conquer a peace. I have already expressed my opinions with regard to the war in Mexico, and have nothing to say on the subject naw, except to tell the Senator from North Carolina, that the adoption of any resolutions in this Senato with regard to any danger—of wind the most to say to the subject naw, except to tell the Senator from South Carolina, that the adoption of any resolutions in this Senato with regard to any danger—of wind. You might as well stand by the cattract of Niagara and say to its waters, "flow not," as to the American people, "a in xun territory," if they choose to annex it. It is the reliasel of the Mexican people to do us justice that prolongs this war. It is that which operates on the public mind and leads the Senator from North Carolina to apprehend a state of things which he lears, but which for myself I do not arricipate. Let me say. Mr. President, that it takes a great deal to kill this country. We have had an acame on the public stage as a spectator before Mr. Jefferson was elected. That was a crisis. Then came the embargo crisis—the

crisis of the non-intercourse—of the war—of the hand—of the tariffof the removal of the deposites—and a score of others. But we inoutlived them all; and advanced in all the dense is of power and
prosperity with the state of the state o

Mr. ALLEN —I was not aware that this resolution was before the Senate at all ; and therefore it will not be supposed, that I triend to address the Senate on its subject matter. It seems to me, but that the subject matter is subject matter. It seems to me, but that the subject matter is subject matter. It seems to me, but that the subject matter is subject matter to me, but the subject matter from giving publicity to some portions of the public business, until the whole has been consummated. It is upon that ground that these does are so often closed upon the public. It is upon that ground, that this Senate has so often refused, almost, to entertain resolutions calling for matter touching the foreign relations of the coappraid to the subject of the

can one prepared to say whether I shall vote for or against this resolution, because I have read it only this morning, and not ten minutes ago. I desire an opportunity to examine the resolution. I wish to acertain whether any of the information there called for, would, it disclosed, of whether early of the information there called for, would, it disclosed, of whether early of the information of war, when so large national interests are not officiary care should such a reference and the same there is a said, I will not go into this business. I will go as far as the furthest man here, in a general resolution, describing what part of the public business shall be kept secret, and what shall be made public. The sentiments of the Senate in regard to the question yarry with the eases which exist at the moment, as everyhold knows; and the same vote which closes the operation, a publicable to all cases. I remember a famous struggle which we had upon this floor, in regard to a resolution which I had the honor to submit, calling for certain military orders issued by President Tyler, the object of which was to transfer a portion of the regular forces of the United States, near to the scene which was going on in one of the States, the same and the same vote the same and the same vote the same and the same vote or of all the ellipse which is consistent which the same and the same vote of all the ellipse which we had a profit of the United States, near to the scene which was going on in one of the States, near to the scene which was going on in one of the States, near to the scene which was going on in one of the States, near to the scene which was going on in one of the States, near to the scene which was going on in one of the States, near to the scene which we start profit force of the United States, near to the scene which we shal

respect—a question which shall result in the adoption of a resolution applicable in all time to come, and by which we shall meas ure all succeeding administrations. I move, sir, the resolution he laid upon the table.

Mr. MANGUM.—I hope that the honorable Senator will with-

Mr. ALLEN.—Certainly

Mr. MANGUM.—It is impossible, without a violation of propriety and decorum, to allude to what has been done in the Senate when sitting in a different capacity, and I shall not do so.

Mr. ALLEN.—The resolution to which I had reference was submitted in open Senate.

Mr. MANGUM.—I am aware of that, sir. But in answer to what has been said, by the honorable Senator from Michigan on this subject, I have only to remark, that it is perfectly competent that subject, I have only to remark, that it is perfectly competent ate, which is a portion of the Executive, and exercises also, a ask-are of the legislative faculty of this government, to make all communications to us in the strictest confidence. Is it to be maintained here, sir, that we, who must give efficiency, and consummation to all these great measures, acting not upon Executive, but upon our own respective responsibilities—is it to be contended that we are to be refused in secret session, such information as it may be deemed improper to communicate in public? I have insert several to be contended that we are to be refused in secret session, such information as it may be deemed improper to communicate in public? I have insert several to be considered to the public service. I proved the service is an interval of the public service. I proved the public service serv

Mr. CALHOUN.—The hour for taking up the special order has arrived, and, I believe my colleague has the floor. I suggest, that the resolution of the Seuator Irou North Carolina, he passed over till to-morrow.

Mr. MANGUM .- I have no objection to that, sir.

The resolution was then passed over informally till to-morrow.

THE TEN REGIMENT BILL.

The Senate resumed the consideration, on its third reading, of the bill to raise, for a limited time, an additional military force.

Mr. BUTLER.—The bill upon your table, sir, proposes to raise ten additional regiments, or ten thousand men, rank and file, in addition to the regular army now existing, and under the control of this government. This bill has been reported by the Military Committee in conformity with the recommendation of the President; and we are also informed that it will be followed by mother bill, giving to the Executive, authority, whenever he may think prepare to exercise it, to call out twenty thousand volunteers. These are the measures which will form the subject of our authoritative action at this time. By the existing laws, the President of the United States has the basis of an army of sixty measured to the summary regiments of an army of sixty measured to the sum to be a summary of the summary of the call-state of the summary of men who have been authorized to be call-stated to the number of men who have been actually in service, but it is certain, that if all the regiments of regulars were filled up, there would be about twenty-cipit thousand, six handred, or very nearly thirty thousand men; and I understand there are about thirty thousand volunteers. It is true that, of this nomber we have not now in the field, or under the actual command of officers, more than forty-five thousands, but it is sufficient to say, that the President of the president of

dent of the United States, as Commander-in-Chief of the army of this nation, has the power to call out at this time—if we put the means in his power to pay them—sixty thousand armed men. He now asks that we should add to this number ten regiments, to he raised by onlistments; and, I suppose, by another bill, twenty thousand men. These, together with the seamen and marines, which are also a portion of the army, would make, if they were called into actual service, one hundred thousand men. History generally takes another of the three prominent points in History generally takes and the control of the army could make, if

History generally takes notice of the more prominent points in the progress and policy of nations, and it must certainly be remarked in atter times, that the largest army ever raised to be concentrated upon one single object, by the republic of the United States of America, was an army for the invasion of her nearest neighbor, and the only other republic on the North American continent. Posterity, sir, will have a right to inquire, why it was that the consolis of the United States had thought proper to exert the highest attribute which, perhaps, can be exerted, for carrying on a war of this description. We are indeed told by the President—or those who speak for him on this floor—that atthough this number of men may be at his disposal—although he may call them out onder the authority of existing laws—yot he is not likely to have over one-third of that number actually in service.

Sir, this leads me to another question which I am bound to motion in passang the name of the true which, by law to are informed, by the most of the mo

that is committed to him.

Before, Sir, I proceed further to discuss this bill, I must be permitted to advert to some of the tendencie of this war? And in doing so, I beg leave to address myself particularly to the propositions for amendment, which I have had the honor to submit. I do not propose by the amendment on your table, to withhold from the President the troops, or such number of troops as may be required—but I propose to raise them in a diff-rent manner. And I know that I am likely to incur the censure of those who sustain the measures of the Executive, and perhaps, I shall encounter the criticisms of those, who, speaking ex cathedra, may find fault with the measures of the Executive, and perhaps, I shall encounter the criticisms of those, who, speaking ex cathedra, may find fault with the measure which those, who, speaking ex cathedra, may find fault with the measure when the sum of t

There are those who look on the fair side of things always, and if the President had recommended that we should put at his disposal two bundred thousand men, I believe there are men on this disposal two bundred thousand men, I believe there are men on the foot, and in the other branch of Congress, who would have voted for it, if on no other ground than that it came from the Executive, who is responsible for this war. I believe they would have voted for any mumber of men which the President might have called for, and for all the selemes—the magnificent and splendid schemes to be carried into operation by the Executive—that would require an army of two hundred thousand men. Yes, sir, if we are to go of Mexico—either with a view of absorbing thating the tripuble of Mexico—either with a view of absorbing thating the public of Mexico—either with a view of absorbing thating the public of the public and making it a part of this confederacy, or of making her a dependent province—it would not be an extravagant proposition for the President to ask for two hundred thousand men to enable him to do it with security and safety. There are those, sir, who looking at objects in the distant horizon, sometimes neglect to look at the clouds which hang above our heads, and which are ready to burst upon us. And that is the case with some gentlemen on this occasion who, while they are indulging themselves in splendid visions of revolutionizing Mexico, and making her a part of this republic, or making her is some degree dependent on us—are neglecting to look at

the dageers which surround our own intitutions. I therefore have introduced this amendment, in some measure, that I might have an opportunity of examining more processory into the decrease of the measures that are hereafter to be proposed. My amendment—for I mean to address myself more particularly in the first instance to that—goes only so far as to add to the different regiments of the army—the riffemen, the infantry, the artillery, and the dragoons—slend because the different regiments of the army—the riffemen, the infantry, the artillery, and the dragoons—slend model mean By adding thirty men to of these regiments, three handed mean By adding thirty men to the dragoons—slend mean of the dragoons—slend t

Well, sir, in raising a force of this kind you put them under just such men, not officers from eivil life, but those alrendy trained and accustomed to the service. The soldier himself will be better trained, and he will be better taken ear of; he will have the greater security; it will be a measure recommended by considerations of humanity. I think this proposition eanont be dispared, that it will be a more efficient sorps, and that the transition to efficient sorlers will be more easy and expeditions.

My next proposition is, that it will be cheaper. We have a right to look at the ten regiment bill which passed Congress at the last session, with a view to ascertain the true character of this bill. And what was the character of that bill? I do not mean to say that the President intends to ask for two Major Generals, four Brigadier Generals and the full complement of other officers; but whethor that be the case or not, it is very certain that under the bill before you, there must be ten entire regiments raised, and for the puryou, there must be ten entire regiments raised, and for the pur-pose of officering these regiments, you must have the Colonels, ten Lientenant Connels, twenty Majors, one hundred Captains, and three hundred Lieutenants. Now, in point of cost, there is very great difference between the two—the one has the recommen-dation of being cheaper, and when raised are more efficient; there cannot be a difference of epinion on these two propositions. But the objection to the plan I have suggested is, that the President cannot raise the number of troops, that he desires, in the mode which I have Suggested to their words that if becomes necessarwhich I have suggested; in other words, that it becomes necessary when the President wishes to raise a body of troops, to appoint intelligent officers in the first place, otherwise he cannot get them. That is the argument. I have heard it said, if you adopt this plan the men cannot be raised, because the officers under whom they are to serve are already appointed. It does seem to me, sir, however, that the men can be readily raised. I can see no reason why they cannot. I do not see why men will not enlist, without hawhy they cannot. I do not see why men will not enlist, without having the excitement of a political movement every time we call for additional troops. If this be the case, it is high time that we should examine into the true merits of this war, especially in regard to the character of the troops to be employed in it. However, sir, I have submitted the amendment for what it is worth. If the troops should be raised under it, they will stand thus: General Secut mow has a first command about thirty-one thousand in the aggregate, about two thousand of whom are in garrison at Tam-pico and Vera Cruz. The remainder are now under his im-mediate command in and near the city of Mexico. I understand from military men who are recently from there, that he has a moveable column of twenty thousand to carry on offensive opera-tions. I do not pretend of my own knowledge to say what the number of his available force is, but if seven thousand be added to those which it is said are now under his control be will at least have twenty-seven thousand—and there are to be recruited under the existing laws to fill the old regiments, six or seven thousand more: add these and he will have at least thirty-four or five thousand; a number sufficient not only for garrison duty but for active operations at any point to which they may be directed. Bonaparte had not more when he made his first campaign in Italy than thirty-five or forty thousand men. And what is it that these troops are to be required to do? Not to fight battles. We are told they

are not to fight battles. What are they to do? They are to overron the Mexican States, to disarm the population, to confiscate the public property, to sequester the revenues, and to become the armed pidlors to those persons whill not take their parofic. The soldiers we are to raise now, are not soldiers who are to be animated by the love of glory and the spirit of military at the soldiers of fides is neither more nor less than to be an exact the soldiers and distracted population. But, sir, the experiment has not been made; and though General Scott has recommended an addition, which will make the number of troops amount to fifty themsand, I am satisfied that the Executive will carry on his operations whether that number be added on not. I, for one, will be perfectly willing to accelerate as far as I may be able all operations that may be necessary to bring the war to a successfil issue. But it does seem to me, that if we grant seven thousand regulars—to be added to the army, in the manner I propose, which is as much as has ever been employed under any general of this country in the service—it will be sufficient for any purpose that can be desired in order to bring this war to a close; and desired in order to bring the war to be closed and operations that may be measured to the anney in the manner I propose, which is as much as has ever been employed under any general of this country in the service—it will be sufficient for any purpose to enough the distraction of the manner persons, I cannot shar to be enoughed. But while it is much to be desired in order to bring this war to a close; and desired may require for his immediate purposes, I cannot shut my eyes to the toudney of the measures which are likely to be adopted as the permanent policy of this government. The President has told you in his message, that it is not his design to carry on this war for the subjugation of all Mexico, or the destruction of her nationality. But I have seen enough, sir, to satisfy me that the current of consequences is earrying th

cutive himself far beyond his control.

Since the discussion of this subject commenced, within the last fortnight, there have been certain demonstrations of public opinion fortingint, there have been certain achievations of pane to pointion not to be mistaken. I have head it openly avowed that this war is not to be carried on merely for the purpose of making peace with Mexico under a satisfactory treaty; but that it is to be prosecuted with the more choosious design of conquering Mexico and bringing her into subjection, either as an independent province, or to be annexed as a part of our territorial government. Sir, it is not to annexed as a part of our territorial government. Sir, it is not to be disguised. When my colleague first intimated that such would be the tendency of the measures recommended by the President, the friends of the President on this floor—and I have no doubt they spoke by authority-repudiated the idea and said that nothing was further from the intention of the President than such a desig was further from the intention of the Chief Magistrate any design under the I cannot impute to the Chief Magistrate any design under the power of any ambiguous terms. He has said—and I believe he was honest when he said it—that it was not his purpose to subju-gate Mexico and destroy her nationality. But if the President should be unable to control the tendency of his own measures, what difference will it make to the people whether the result arises from design, from ignorance, or from his inability to control the tendency of the measure which he himself proposes? The effect will be the same. Are we any nearer peace now than were at the commencement of the campaign, which has fulfilled the most sanguine expectations of all those who were interested the most sanguine expectations of an those who were interested in the accomplishment of the designs of the Executive? I can never forget the time when that campaign was under discussion in private circles—the deep anxiety that I felt on the subject—(I mean the campaign which may be denominated the Vern Cruz expedition.) There were men who forebodd the greatest explainmen who looked at it with a distractful eye—who denounced it as such as a light of the control of the rash and namilitary, and one likely to result in disastrous consequences. Gentlemen will recollect the deep anxiety which was felt by all who had friends or relatives in that expedition, at the time of the landing of General Scott at Vera Cruz. And when it time of the landing of General Scott at Vera Cruz. And when it was assertained that they had landed in safety upon that soil which was destined to ever the bones of so many of our gallant soldiers, the common feeling throughout the United States, was a feeling of joy at the prospect of approaching peace. Peace was then confidently calculated upon, but no peace came. General Scott was then in the most difficult of all situations. He had not only to fight then in one incise unicent of all situations. He had not only to light his way against an enemy, and a formidable enemy, but he had to fight for the escape of his army from the effects of the climate, to save his men from certain destruction; for effects of the climate, to to pass the heights of Cerro Gordo, the climate would have de-stroyed more than would have fallen by the sword of the Mexicans. And with what number of men was it that General Scott landed? Not over thirteen thousand; and with not over eight landed? Not over thirteen thousand; and with not over eight thousand he passed the heights of Cerro Gordo. He was obil-ged to use the utmost expedition or risk the consequences to be apprehended from the diseases of the country. He was reduced to the necessity of risking the issue of an unequal contest in pass-ing that which was regarded as the most impregnable of any ing that which had been creeted by the Mexican government. If the incidents and events attending these battles had been written by Levy or Plutarch the reader would have felt his cheeks glow in ten by Levy of Financia the reader would have felt his enecks glow in reading them. They have given us names which are destined to go down to posterity, at whose mention the American heart will exult. There are many who did not feel the excitement incident to ult. There are many who did not feel the excitement medent to that first, and, perhaps. I may say, important victory, which was gained during this eventful empingin. If not the most important, it must undoubtedly be regarded as the most splendid achievement of the eampaign. I shall not undertake to describe the achievement ments before the walls of Mexico; it has been better done by the bonorable Senator from New York. But these battles did not

bring peace. The army is in Mexico—is there any peace? Have we not the right to ask the question, what have been the important results proceeding from those splendid and magnificent victories? Their soil has been enriched by the blood of those who have sucriticed their lives to maintain what they regarded to be the honor of their country. But, Mr. President, while we can take pride in their country are considered to be the more of their country. But, Wr. President, while we can take pride in their country are considered to the country of th

The President has told us that the only mode by which he can chain a peace is, by the entire subjugation of the people of Mexico, so as to reduce them to an unconditional submission. That is the only alternative left. But there are other and more prominent reasons why we have no peace, and one is from our own divisions.—
This war has encountered a most formidable opposition at home; and all Europe is against us. Where in all history have you found such opposition as there is to this war at this very time? I do not know what party ought to be responsible. The majority of the popular branch, I understand to be against it, and we have only to look at this Senate to see the formidable array of those who countenance that opposition. A wais magistrate should take consult from the signs of the times. Lord North pushed the current of his measures so far that he could not control time, because be would not all the control time. The control of the second of the se

and in pleasing and examinion of piones seminorine roceasion) in the most ceneur myself (and I have said so on another oceasion) in the most effectual that the most effectual measures for bringing it to a close, and it is possible that if we had all concurred in passing measures for bringing it to a close, and it is possible that if we had all concurred in passing measures for bringing this war to a close, by this time Mexico would have found it hopeless to hold out any longer; but she has taken hope from the opposition to the war which has existed among ourselves, but that is not, in my opinion, the most prominent source—cornwar. I thuk Mexico has a right to complain of the exacting terms which we have been demanding of her as the price of peace. Why, sir, when the ten regiment bill was under discussion at the last session, I recollect the bonorable chairman of the Committee on Foreign Relations, acting no doubt, as the organ of the administration, advertised the whole country—before, sir, the territory was reduced to subjection by our arms, and before we had any reason of the control of the definition of the control of the con

# Mr. CALHOUN (in his scat.)-Upper California.

Mr. BUTLER.—All of California, Upper and Lower. And, six, I thought at that time that it was one of these declarations that was very well calculated to offend the pride and arouse the national pride, could submit to be told in advance. But a largest of national pride, could submit to be told in advance that she was to the despoted of nearly one-thruid of her territorial dominions, and that before we had obtained anything by right of conquest. But, it has is not all. The President of the United States has gone further, and told us in his late annual message that only New Mexico and Upper and Lower California had been conquered; but that he would not, under any circumstances, sign a treaty occing them or any part of them to Mexico again. He has gone further, Mr. President, and what struck me as somewhat remarkable at the turne, he has assumed that they are our territorial domains now, and that the government of the United States has a right to appropriate them by extending their civil jurisdiction over them. This is nothing more nor less than assuming that we have a good inthe to these territories by conquest. It does seem to me, as it till more satisfied—but it is catirely against all the weight of unfortix in the laws of mutions. There is no such thing as one nation flagrante bello acquiring title to the territory of another, muti there shall have been a treaty of peace made, or an abandomment of right on the part of the conquered nation—or unless such circomstance exists as to induce the other nation to recognize the right thus claimed. I know no instance in modern times, of title to any territory being consummated and perfected by the law of the strongest—although, during war, it is one of the modes of amonying an enemy to take possession of the trefritory of that ene-

company an enemy to take possession of the territory of that onemy, if it can be seized upon by either of the belignerars. War does not consist merely in lighting. War has other modes and the consistency of the consistency of the consistency of the aught to be conducted his consistency of the consistency of the purpose of depriving him of the means which he otherwise would have of sustaining himself, or the contest. This, sir, is one mode of carrying on a war—and so I say as we hold possession of this territory, we are but carrying on the war legitimately. It is

not war to fight, because there is nobody to fight. But if the not war to fight, because there is nobody to fight. But if the United States advertise Mexice that, under no circumstances, can these territories, or any part of them, be ceded or given back to her, under a treaty of peace, why talk about negociation? Why speak of making a peace under the form of a treaty? Why resort to such a miserable mockery? Why seek to disguise your course nader such miserable pretences. Negotiation for what? You will say "Mexico, you must negotiate, but if you do it is with this distinct understanding—that we are to hold all we have and competitions of the properties of the pr that a neighbor should presume upon her defenceless condition, and that a neignior should presume upon the detencies contained, and extort terms from her, which would be unworthy in her to grant in any negistion with an equal ! What right has the republic of the United States to graduate the scale of nations, in point of dignity and influence ! If we were to consult what should be the proper example, under the influence of christian eviluation it would be, examples under the influence of christian evaluation it would be, sir, as far as possible, to raise the dignity of the only other republic on this continent, and hold it as equal with the proudest despotism or monarchy on earth. This would be right, sir. The laws of

or monarchy on earth. Inis would be right, sir. Inc laws of nations are made up of precedents like this.

We should set an unworthy example, were we to act otherwise in reference to a weak power that is prostrate at our feet. It would be abhorrent, sir, to my notions of justice. I know there are would be abhorrent, sir, to my notions of justice. I know there are those who insist that that there is no wisdom in magnanimity.— Before God, I believe there is more wisdom in the impulses of a warm heart, than in the devices of a crafty head, and especially if it is a crafty head looking forward to gratify certain designs of

ambition.

I know, sir, very well that all I shall say on this subject may very little influence, but I intend to require the friends of the have very little influence, but I intend to require the friends of the President to vindicate the proposition, that before we have acquired a title according to the laws of nations by a treaty of peace, we should assume to be the owners of the land; that we have the right to extend over it our own laws and invite our citizens to settle upon it. Where does such law come from! It has been said, sir, that a victorious country is rarely deaf to the suggestions of ambition and avariec, and I am afraid we will find in ourselves an exemplification of the remark. No, sir, as a matter of policy we should not insist on it. We have no right to insist upon it, if we intend to have negotiations upon anything like principles of equality and justice. But as I have thus far disproved of the mode here-tofore pursued, with a view to obtain, what all speak of as desirable, and what all are anxious to obtain, an honorable and speedy ble, and what all are anxious to obtain, an honorable and speedy peace, I may be asked what course would you suggest? Perhaps. sir, my opinion will have very little influence, but as I have taken the liberty to indulge somewhat in censures upon the measures of others, I ought not to withhold my own opinions as to what would

be the proper measures to be adopted.

But-before I do so, I am compelled cursorily to look back to those measures which preceded the admission of Texas into this Union, and to the situation of Texas before that Union was con Umon, and to the situation of I exis before that Umon was con-summated, and to examine upon what title she held the land which she claimed to possess, whether by ittle acquired by her at the time of the revolution; or whether by title acquired by conquest subse-quently. For a title acquired by a revolution; and a title acquired by subsequent conquest are very different hings. They are essen-tially different. Texas, as I understand, when she raised the standard of resistance to what she regarded as encroachments on standard of resistance to what she regarded as encroachments on the part of the Mexican government, comprehended not only the limits of Texas proper, but also the district of Cenhuil; thus including territory lying on both sides of the river. She had no right, at this time, to any part of the territory comprised within the limits of Tamandilpas; and if she acquired any right to this territory, lying between the Neuces and the Rio Grande, it is not, it, and I undertake to say o, as a lawayer, by any right incident to her in consequence of her revolution. I will point out to you had not also the consequence of the revolution. I will point out to you had not also the property of the point of the young that the point of the young that the point of the young they have young the young the young the young they have young the young they have young the young the young they have young they have young they had young they are young they have young they have young they have young they are young they have young they had young they are young they have young they have young they have young they have young they had young they are young they have young they have

ad forward and took up his quarters at San Antonio. Thence he sent a detachment of soldiers to take possession of some cannon at a village in the neighborhood. Well, the first symptom of the a village in the neighborhood. Well, the first symptom of the revolution was the rising of the people of this little village to prevent their cannon from being taken. They were not content to limit their exertions simply to prevent the taking of their cannon, but they raised an army and drove back General Cos and reduced him to the necessity of entering into stipulations that he would never take up arms against Texas again. Well, this was the commencement of the revolution. In 1826 Sentat Anna brought his sumy to the Rio Grande, which he crossed, and re-took all his sumy to the Rio Grande, which he crossed, and re-took all passed along; and not in the askes of inanimator and received by the control of but in the ashes of the soldiers. He drove them before him. He passed the Brazos and was going on, when, at San Jacinto he was met and overthrown by the Texan army. There he was taken prisoner. The revolution of Texas was thus consummated, as lar as it could be consummated, by the capture of this prisoner and the reduction of Filisola to their terms, which were the restoration of all prisoners and property in his possession. Santa Anna pretry, but all that revirally to give up all prisoners and property, but all that revirally to give up all prisoners and property, but all that revirally the property of the propert

having been conducted under the organized government of Texas having been conducted under the organized government of Texas proper—gives, it seems to me, the right to all the land on this side of the Naeces. But, as regards Tamanlipas, that was a dis-tinct and separate State. What right had Texas to this? If she acquired any right it must have been by conquest. Now, what was the nature of the possession by which she could establish her right of conquest? Why, these are the circumstances that are relied on, that some of the inhabitants who had taken relage under the flag of General Rusk, returned and settled there by his per-mission. At the same time, the Texas flag was hoisted at Corpus the Hag of General Knsk, returned and settled there by his permission. At the same time, the Texas flag was hoisted at Corpus Christi? So that possession of the west bank of the Nucees was kept, until, on another militury demonstration on the part of Mexico, the inhabitants again applied for protection and he ordered them to retire beyond the river; they did so, and after the danger was over returned to their possessions. These are the evidences of possession, and they are the evidences of the possession of possession, and they are the evidences of the possession of of possession, and they are the evidences of the possession of only a part of this territory, a possession, which, in the language of the possession of the possession of the whole. And such, I think, would have been the legal inference, if Mexico had not had a previous and older title of possession to a part of the territory lying along the Rio Grande. But the fact is so. She had custom houses there; the citizens recognized the laws of the Mexican government, and debts were collected mader these laws.

and debts were collected mater these laws.

Such was the situation of aflairs when annexation took place.

Mexico had possession of a part, and Texas of a part lying on the
banks of the respective rivers leaving the intervening space divided
by a shadowy line which could not very well be distinguished. by a shadowy line which could not very well be distinguished. Under these circumstances the annexation took place, and General Taylor was ordered with his army to Corpus Christi, on the right bank of the Nueces, and in a part of the disputed territory. Now, was there a perfect title in legal contemplation in the republic the United States ! I have modoubt, sir, Fexus had as good a few to the contemplation of the Christian of th chevel down to Corpus Christi, with a new tenescopy of was of of Texas to protect it from the invasion of Mexico. New corpus the most difficult and delatable point at issue, upon which it seems to me the merits of this war must ultimately turn. General Taylor was there, and by direction of the government, and he had a right to remain there until he received crament, and he had a right to remain there until he received further orders from home. An Envoy Plenipotentary, Mr. Slidell, was sent to Mexico with a view to negotiate, if he could, and settle the boundary. We all know the result of this negotia-tion. Herrera, who was then in power, was perfectly willing to receive him, as he said, as Commissioner to settle the boundary; but he could not receive him as Plenipotentiary. It might have been an ille objection, but our government insisted that the cup of reconciliation was exhausted and that he should be received in the character in which he was sent to Mexico. After Mr. Slidell was recalled, or after he took his passports, it must be recollected the march of General Taylor to the Rio Grande took place. Now, sir, I am going to excuse the President for acting on the advice and suggestions of Gen. Taylor, in any thing that he did by

his orders for moving the army from one place to another. The General was placed in a situation to get information, and was bound to communicate it, with his opinion, to the President as commander-in-chief, upon whom had devolved the highly respon-sible office of fulfilling the directions of the Legislature. It was Gen. Taylor's duty to take a proper position for the occupation of Gen. Taylor's duty to take a proper position for the eccupation of Texas, and to go to any point that he might be directed. Under his first orders, the General might have gone, as he seemed to think it was his duty to have done in the first instance, to the banks of the Rio Grande. He forebore doing so, for the want of certain military appliances, and contented himself by sitting down at Corpus Christi. Here he continued, in some measers, abiding that the Rio Grande presented the best position for military reconnoissance and operations. He gave this opinion to the President, evidently under the belief that he might in-certain contingencies have to resort to force to defend the occupation of Texan territory. Alter having given this advice, in another letter he said that, if the disputes of the two Governments could be settled Corpus Christia. With these instances were suit where it was, as the President had a right to act as he thought proper. His duty was to hold the occupation of the country by the army. He had a large and perhaps dangerous discretion. So long as Mexico referring the state of the disputed far-risory lying beyond the Nuceses with an armed force, or forcher to make my obvious demonstration of a design to use force—military force—the President was bound to do the same. He had his hand on the spring of a terrible engine, and was bound, under the highest obbigations, to touch it with the skill and presiden of a master. It gaussi, to consider with the sain and president of missers to with the sain and president of the sain and president of the sain call them from their dreddill revely. The question is pregnant with an important issue, For what purpose did the President order the army from the Nucees to the Rio Grande? It was done after the withdrawal of Mr. Slidell, and after it had been said that the oup of reconciliation was exhausted. Was it done in the view of having the contraversy brought to a close by an appeal to the sword? If so, he acted with a criminal indifference to consequences, and in disregard of his constitutional duty, having neither the power to make war nor wilfully to place the country in such cir-

30TH CONG .- IST SESSION-No. 16.

cumstances as would lead to it. In a juncture so full of danger cumstances as would icid to it. In a juncture so full of danger the should have appealed to Congress. There, then, is one view of the subject in which I would hold the conduct of the Chief Majorrate entirely justifiable. If he were satisfied, from Gen. Taylor's communications, that Mexico was making open demonstrations of a design to make a lodgment of her army on this territory. in dispute, he should have prevented it by a similar movement. In such a juncture he had to use the vigilonce and information of his military officer. Had General Taylor then reasonable grounds for ministry omeer. Had General Laylor then reasonable grounds for apprehension, that the Mexican forces were moving to this point? If so, he was perfectly right in anticipating them in the centest for the possess on. The same of this controvery depends on this statement of the case. Whilst the President has no right to make war, he may rightfully use the army to repel the hostile invasion of eoneeded or disputed territory; but not to bring on circumstances that would lead to such a result

Mr. DAVIS of Mississippi.—If the Senator will permit me. I would suggest that Gen. Taylor, in marching to the Ris Grande, mot the Mexican army about haif way—on the banks of the Little Colorado—and was informed by the Commander of the Mexican forces, that if the crossed that stream, it would be field an act of

Mr. BUTLER .- I understand all that.

Mr. DAVIS .- The Mexican army moved first.

Mr. BUTLER.—I do not recollect dates; but I understand the order to General Taylor was issued before the Mexican army advanced.

Mr. DAVIS.—I do not know at what time the Mexican army proceeded to this point, but we do know that General Taylor found them in position, and they must, therefore, have moved first. That is a fair interence.

Mr. SEVIER. The order was given to General Taylor in January; in February it was received by him, and in March he

Mr. BUTLER .- I shall concur with the Senator readily in this point of view, for I regard both as having possession. I think that General Taylor was right to advise the Government to anticipate the Mexican army. I have always been under the impression, however, that the order to move was issued long before. However, sir, I do not think it makes a great deal of difference as far as regards the situation of affairs now. All concur in desiring to bring this war to a close by as honorable a peace as can be very well effected. And how is it to be done?

Now, sir, having come to the conclusion that we are bound to maintain the rights of Texas to the territory between the Nucces and the Rio Grande—and I certainly think we are bound by the most solemn of pledges—for we have an act of this very body re-citing that American blood had been shed on American soil—there it stands on your Statute Book the most solemn of all declarations, that this territory did belong to Texas, and to this country by an nexation—and though it was a subject of honest dispute between Texas and Mexico, and between the United States and Mexicoyet we have passed judgment upon it and said to Texas it is a yet we have passed judgment upon it and saw to state appart of her territory—and in consequence of that pledge, it seems to me we never can yield one foot of land this side of the Rio Grande. But, sir, that is as far as I will go as an ultimatum of any proposition of peace to that government. I would insist, in any proposition of peace to that government. I would insist, in the first instance, in every measure, that to the Rio Grande we are bound under the most solemo of pledges to protect the rights of Texas. But then the question may be asked—and it is a proper question—how would you establish any other line for separating the territories of the United States from those of Mexseparating the territories of the Critical States from Index Oxford (1987) with lack upon any line which separates Oregon from Moxico? I think not, sir. But by way of making it as acceptable as possible to Moxico; and with a sincere desire to terminate this war, I would not besitate, if it were to be done to morrow, to send the most illustrious cribacys to Mexico and promotrow, to send the most illustrious cribacys to Mexico and propose to her terms of peace, upon this ultimatum, with the right, however, to demand from her to say what line she should run by way of compensating us for the claims we have against her, and I should think it no degradation. If it were a strong government, and we were actually engaged in a contest to be decided by force of arms, it might be otherwise. But Mexico, prostrate and feeble as she is, it is no degradation to make any terms by which we can terminate this unhappy, this disastrons war.

I know the suggestions which I make are not likely to be adopt-

ed, but I think that what I have already intimated as the limit is one from which we cannot depart. We are bound to say to Mexico, we cannot give you any land on this side of the Rio Grande. seo, we cannot give you any land on this side of the Kijo Grande, but we will leave you to say what line you will agree to run from the Kio Grande to the Pavific. I would give her the option in the literat instance to saw what line she would agree to, though I do not say I would be bound by it; but I say I would not hesitate to give her the right to indicate the line she would adopt. Perhaps she might adopt the thirty-seventh degree, and I might be asked the questions we have fairly seven the will that like?

question, would you be saussed with tink time?

I have no besitation at all in stating that it is our interest to
encourage and countenance Mexico as an independent republic, and
let whatever line may be agreed on, be it thirty-seven or thirty-

eight, all the territory we want, or all it is said we want, will fall into our possession inevitably. There is no way of preventing the American people from settling in the territory now claimed, and which, it seems to be presumed by the President, is to fall into our possession. But I may be asked, if Mexico propose a line, would you adopt it? If there is any one thing which the policy of this gopossession. rnment dietates more than another, it is to terminate this v And, sir, we cannot, at this conjuncture, make too great sacrifices to terminate the war. I speak now as a Southern man, and if I And, sir, we cannot, at this conjuncture, make too great sacrifices to terminate the war. I speak now as a Southern man, and if I were only to have in view what mast ultimately be the interests of the Southern States, I would enter most fully into the mad schemes of taking possession of the whole of Mexico; because in that way slave habor might be employed, whilst I have no idea that any slaveholder will ever go into that country north of thirty-two grees. But as I have said, that if Mexico would propesse terms, present the same propersy that the said of the same propersy that the said of the same propersy that the said of I would consult the councils of moderation and justice, and vor to sustain rather than annihilate a neighboring republic. and endea-

But I may be told that they are not capable of self-government. But I may be told that they are not eapable of self-government, I believe they will have to go through the changes to which other nations are subject. They will, perhaps, have to endure an irksome fermentation before they arrive at that degree of reinement and intelligence which will fit them for a republicate form of government. But as I have said, if Mexico were wise she would give all we claim. I believe it would be to ber interest to give up all territory between the Rio Grande and the Sierra-Madre.—But I have no hope that she will be governed by any such policy. I am, however, for peace, and for the purpose of securing it I am willing to make any sacrifice except of the power and dignity of the country. And I believe it will be a sacrifice of neither to offer in the most conciliatory manner—such terms of negotiar ton as that republic might be induced to accept. Well, I may tion as that republic might be induced to accept. Well, I may be told that she will reject your terms—that she will not only cling to the Nueces, but that she will give you no line this side of the boundary between Mexico and Oregon. Well, sir, if she acts

thus, what then can we do?

thus, what then can we do? Suppose that Mexico rejects all overtures, liberally made to Eurninate this war, what are we to do? Prosecute this wave devastate the country, sequester the reventes, disarm the population, reduce them to such a state that they can make no resistance, but must appeal to us, and ask to be annexed to our confederace? Is this desirable, sir, as a matter of policy? It is desirable that we should reduce her to such a condition, that we cannot refuse to receive her into the Union? Well, sir, this it seems to me must be the legitimate consequence of pushing these aggressive and invasive operations further. Suppose you take the whole of her territory, or suppose you have the whole under your control, how much do you contemplate permanently retaining? Will you take Will you take more than New Mexico, and the two Californias by way of indemnity—for the just claims which you have against that government? I ask how much will you take? I again repeat the question, let the President and his cabinet indicate this to-morrow? tion, let the Present and his claimet indicate this to-morrow? What prevents them from doing it now, at this very moment, instead of overrunning the whole country, and after examining all the expense attending further invasive operations—being compelled to content themselves with what they have at present? What prevents the President from taking by his army now as much as he wants? You can do nothing more by carrying on the war taken you have already done. I understand the Senator from Mississippi has said, that it is nothing the subject to the experiment, and after you have made that experiment mer they will not come to terms, you can only then take a defensive line.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi .- My position was, that holding the interior of Mexico would conduce to peace—that when deprived of all hope of further resistance, which could result only from the presence of a powerful and well organized army, she must then give indications of a disposition to treat, stronger than she has beretofore given. They have heretofore—and I say it without unkindness to any one-continually looked to divisions at home as unkindness to any one—continually looked to divisions at home as hely to produce a recall of the army; and they can be cured of that heresy, only by the presence of an army sufficiently powerful to show the concentrated will of this nation. That was the policy which I recommended. Farther than that, I believe that it would be proper to take a line along the mountain ridge, which could be easily held; and that if our jurisdiction were extended over it, the country behind that line would immediately become quiet—not a line territorial in its nature, beyond which we could not conduct. our operations, but from which we could effectually make sorties; and not restricting us at all from holding other posts in the interior of Mexico. I will say in this connexion, that my remarks the of Mexico. I will say in this connexion, that my remarks the other day in relation to the dangers which might threaten the army were not made in reference to General Scott's column, which is, I believe, 20,000 strong, and no more, though it is constantly represented as otherwise. That is not the column which is placed in danger. It is the column of General Wood, numbering 6,000 men, and holding a series of posts with a long line of communication, so althous tenderd, as I have here recently informed—with an army men, and notuing a series of posts win a wing me of confidential and threat case, and threat case, as this is more representation of the series of the serie are the positions which I wished to reinforce; and hence the necessity of adding new regiments, instead of strengthening old ones-of sending men to General Scott, but of strengthening other umns, as well as to hold new posts, and to relieve garrisons. But I will not longer trespass on the time of the Senator, and heg his parden for this interruption.

Mr. BUTLER .- I understand the Senator from Misssissippi to Mr. BUTLER.—I understand the Senator from Mississispipi to say, that after we have overrun Mexico, if she will not sign a treaty of peace—he then proposes to take a line, to have the better place to fight from. All the same winder of the same whipped her, then, he would take a line to the brought about by taking an advantageous position, from which to attack the enemy, and thus carry on the war. The Senator's calculations are certainly different from Innic., I we overrun all Mexico, and then obtain peace, it is orly agine. we overrun all Mexico, and then obtain peace, it is only such as peace as a conquering nation may dictate to a people, that have passed under the yoke. And if this do not make peace, he must then take a line, not to hold the territory comprised within it, but as one of the modes of making more effective sorties upon a prosstate people.

Mr. DAVIS .- It is a part of the same plan and I would adopt

Mr. BUTLER.—I have said, and I would say again, I would much rather take no territory at all, than consent to carry out the magnificent schemes entertained by some gentlemen on this floor. magnificent schemes entertained by some gentiened in this noof.
Why infuse the lifeless blood of a ruined republic, into the healthy
veins of this confederacy? Are you not tainting your own, by attempting to communicate life to them? Or, if you do no more you temping to communicate the to takem? Or, it you do no more you can reduce them to a province, and this seems to be the project of the Senator from Indiana. When you have it as a province, how will you govern it? By your armies, by Printers, Consuls, or what kind of magistracy? Who will be responsible for such an of-

Mr. FOOTE.—If the Senator will allow me, I would remark that in the proposition of the Senator from Indiana, as I understood the word "province" was stricken out.

Mr. HANNEGAN .- Certainly, sir.

Mr. BUTLER.-Well, sir all seem to anticipate, that ulti Mr. BUTLER.—Well, sir, all seem to anticipate, that ultimately we should be driven to the alternative, of either taking Mexico as a province or of anaexing her to this republic.—
That must be the case. Why what does the President say? You must either use your army to overcome and antiquent of Mexico, or for the purpose of giving support to out of the factions there which is in favor of peace—that is to say, the United States must keep an army there until one of the factions there were the property of the factions there which is in lavor of peace—that is to say, the United States must keep an army there until one of the factions is strong enough to form such a government as or enable them to go through the forms of negotiation. The factions is strong enough to form such a government as or enable them to go through the forms of negotiation. The faction is read in any history, of any people the permitted a foreign army to give protection to may party to give protection is included in the strong and those calculations that will aggravate the evil instead of reneving it. I protest against the use of the army for any such purpose; and, as far as my vote goes, it shall never be given for it. No, sir, let us make a treaty of peace as soon as we can before the

current of consequences carry us too far. I do not even hope that current of consequences carry us too far. I do not even nope that any suggestion of mine can lead to such a result. I believe, that these mad schemes, in spite of every warning voice, are destined to go on, and that we shall find ourselves, when they are accomto go on, and that we shall find ourselves, when they are accomplished, in a worse condition than any in which this republic has vere been placed. I will but ask, if such be your opinion, why give the President any troops at all \$\ell Or, if it be your design to enable him to overcome all Mexico, give him a sufficient number to such a sufficient number and the propose. But the boast has been, that there housed troops, what they should have taken fifty thousand tendent places the sufficient housand troops, what they should have taken fifty thousand the places of the place o Mexico with twelve or filteen thousand men was an inexcusable Mexico with tweive or hiteen moissana men was an inexcusable liberty which the government took with human life. It was re-alizing what had been said before, that in the calculations of Amer-ican war human life is the least of all its elements. Yes, sir, the government has gone on and made liberal drafts upon the pathe government has gone on and made liberal drafts, upon the patriotism and gallantry of its citizens, and it is for this reason, if for no other, that I would be in favor of adding mere men. But I have other reasons. There is a portion of the wolnaters now in the field that ought to be recalled and others enlisted in their place. Some of them were culisted to serve for one year. The government taking advantage of the circumstance of their heing already in Mexico, induced them to enter the service in the war. And such has been the fate of the South Carolina regiment. They had offered their services for a year. Their pride, the regard which they entertained for the honor of their State and their obligations to the Constitution of the United States induced them to volunteer, and they have been drawn on in the expectation that this war would to the Constitution of the United States induced them to volunteer, and they have been drawn on in the expectation that this war would soon close to continue in the service. I am willing, if for no other reason than to relieve these volunteers, to send other troops into the field with a view to procure their recall. But the main reason upon which I will give my vote, if I vote for this bill at all, is on the ground that I want the experiment made as soon as precible. upon which I wan the my sole, it I vote for this bill at all, is on the ground that I want the experiment made as soon as possible, the sooner the better for the safety of the government. I know that all my suggestions of moderation will have very little weight; but if weare to go on with the war, let it be in such a manner as will

If we are to go on with the war, let it be in such a manner as will lead to its most speedy termination.

This being the first we of invasion, it is full of startling suggestions, and along the first we of invasion, it is full of submonitory instruction. The supposes that all the supplies for carrying on we war should be exclusively under the control of Congress. Yet we are told that in part, and with fallacions calculations, a large part of the revenue to sumport this war is to be found in the conpart of the revenue to support this war is to be found in the contributions to be raised from the enemy's country. By a tarill, somewhat legislative in appearance, and by military coercion, the Mexican revenues are to be directed into the treasury-chest of the army. How much will be collected in this maner, is entirely a matter of measurements are to be a first or the maner of the contraction for the contraction fo Mexican revenues are to be directed into the treasury-chest of the army. How much will be collected in this manner, is entirely a matter of uncertain conjecture. By vary of encouragement as to the means of carrying on them, this source of supply is prominently paraded—rather chief the public debt, which must be enormous, than for the public debt, which must be commons, than for the public debt, which must be common the control of the public debt, which must be constrained the number of the control of the contro

The Senate then proceeded to the consideration of Executive business, and after a short time so occupied, the doors were opened.

The Senate adjourned

# TUESDAY, JANUARY 18, 1848.

### PETITIONS.

Mr. NILES presented the petition of William B. Stokes, surviving partner of John N. C. Stockton and company, praying compensation for services in carrying the mail; which was referred to he Committee on the Post Oline and Post Roads.

Mr. DIX presented the petition of settlers and occupants of lands in the Tonawanda Beservation, praying to be confirmed in their titles to said lands; which was referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

Mr. DIX presented the petition of Edward Mills, praying to be restored to the rights and benefits of a contract entered into by him with the Postmaster General for conveying the mail between the Ports of New York and Bremen, which contract has been transferred to the Ocean Steam Navigation Company without his assent; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Mr. YULEE presented a memorial of Professors of Mathema-tics in the Navy, praying an increase of their pay; which was re-ferred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

Mr. HANNEGAN presented the petition of David Wilkinson, praying compensation for the benefits which the government has derived from his inventions for which he has received no pecuniary return; which was referred to the Committee on Military Af-

On motion by Mr. PHELPS, it was

Ordered, That Pamela Allen have leave to withdraw her petition and naners.

On motion by Mr. BALDWIN, it was

Ordered, That the Committee of Claims be discharged from the further consideration of the memorial of John Stanert; and that it be referred to the Committee on Pensions.

### TREASURY STATISTICS.

Mr. YULEE submitted the following resolution, which was referred to the Committee on Finance, and ordered to be printed:

Rended, That the Scientist of the Treasury be directed to communicate to the Senant has following intensions.

1. A statement at the amount of all pressures received through each entatud-tongs, and the monies expended at each to derive the expenses of confection, from the earliest period to Jane 8th, 1847, classifying the receipts and expenditures under each period to Jane 8th, 1847, classifying the receipts and expenditures under 2. A statement of the quantity of flant sold, the amount received therefor, how paid, and the expenses of collection, in each land district, from the exister practically to the 2th Lang, 1847; distributing the expenditures under the annual different periods of the distribution of the distri

3. A statement of the whole amount of revenue received into the Treasury, each year, from the earliest practical date to June 30, 1847; giving the source from whence the same was derived.

year, from the earliest pacterial date to June 20, 1845; groug the source from whence the same was derivally expenditures of the government, from the valient practical time to June 20, 1845; separating the same under different heads of expenditure, as far as can be done from the records.

5. A statement of the importations and exportations of domestic and fureign goods protectively to June 20, 1847.

6. A statement of the tennacy of each district and State; and of the classes of verification of the state of

# CONSTITUTIONAL POWERS.

Mr. BAGBY submitted the following resolutions for consider. ation:

Reserved. There the Consistence of the United States is a compact between co-equal sorroughs, by which kiev, and with of how, delegand certain specified power to farm a general government, for the examination of the power of the art each as small flection persists out, for the examination of the parties to said compact, and each as small flection persists out, for the parties to said compact, the general government, and any department thereof, resonant while States respectively, we wish the consistency of the properties of the prope

the general government, and any urganizment interest, remain with the States respectively, or, with the period of the United States possesses no powers except such as nine expressly granted to it by the constitution, or, such as are necessary and proper to carry the granted powers late effect.

4. Resolved, That the power to construct roads, cut enails, make hindow, or improve the navigation of rivers in any one or more of the States or territories of the Union, is not among the powers expressly granted to the general government, by the Constitution, nor, is the exercise of such a power necessary and proper, to carry any of the ganzeled power into effect, the power of the power to provide any and light boases, and improve the harbors on the lakes, life exist at all, is not derived from the power to provide and maintain anys.
6. Resolved, That the government of the United States does not possess the power to regulate, onland, establish or earry on commerce between or among the services, organizate, oraline, restablish or earry on commerce between or among thereof may create, organizate, ordain, establish and carry on between or among therefore.

selves.

7. Resolved, That any attempt by the general government, under any pretext.

7. Resolved, That any attempt by the general government, under any river whistonever, to construct roads, cut canada, or improve the navigation of any river within the limits of any one or more of the States of the Union, or within any serious ry; is a palgable violation of the Constitution; and if it be within the limit, of a State or State is a direct and diagnoss accurachment on the spits and soveregaty thereof.

# Upon presenting the resolutions

Mr. BAGBY said: That nothing was further from his intention than to consume any portion of the time of the Senate at present in discussing the resolutions he had the honor to submit, or any other proposition not intended to be followed up by some act of once proposition not intended to be followed up by some act of practical legislation. He had an aversion to abstractions, generally. He could not be mistaken, however in supposing that these resolutions related to and embraced subjects of vast and momen-tous importance to the people of the United States, and he should, as soon as the measures for the continued vigorous prosecution of the war were disposed of, ask the deliberate action of the Senate upon them. At present he moved that they lie upon the table and be printed.

The motion was agreed to.

# MEXICAN TERRITORY.

Mr. BALDWIN submitted the following resolution for censideration:

Research, Than the President of the United States he requested to communicate to the Search way information he may posses in regards the extent and value of the public domain (if any) belonging to the Republic way. The propose of the theory of the propose of the Republic, under the findomental laws thereof, to cede to say foreign government, whether monarchical or republican, the soveregary and domainly over any of the numbers of that confedency, or the people thereof.

Agreeably'to notice, Mr. WEBSTER asked and obtained leave Agreeaby to notice, Mr. WEBSTER asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to make attachments, which are made under pro-cess issuing from the Courts of the United States, conform to the laws regulating such attachments in the Courts of the States; which was read a first time.

Mr. WEBSTER .- As I stated yesterday, this Bill was before the Semate last year and passed without objection. I where the object, sir, very shortly; and if no Senator should object to it now, I hope it will go through the forms of legislation without being again referred to the Committee; and I wish particularly to draw the attention of the gentleman who is at the head of the Committee on the Judiciary. There are, in the Courts of the New England States, processes called attachments which are levied upon the property of the defendant in the suit. Various laws, at different times, have been passed by the States to palliate what seems to be the seventy; and injustice of the old long-continued modes of practices was made dependent of the control of the Courts of the United States was made dependent of the process in the Courts of the United States was made dependent of the proceedings upon processes. But the haw of Congress does not follow these modifications, and my object now is to render the proceedings upon process in the court of the United States, conformable to the practice of the State Courts in the same States. The object is when the state of the State Courts in the same States. The object is when the state of the State Courts in the same States. the Senate last year and passed without objection. I will state its object, sir, very shortly; and if no Senator should object to it now, so plain that, without detaining the Senate further unless some gen-tleman wishes further explanation, I should be glad if the Bill would be permitted to be read a third time and passed.

Mr. ASHLEY .- I would ask if this is the same Bill that was before the Senate last year?

Mr. WEBSTER .- Exactly the same, word for word.

Mr. ASHLEY .- This Bill received the unanimous concurrence of the Committee on the Judiciary, from which it was reported without an amendment. The Committee, however, is now somewhat differently constituted from what it was then.

The said hill was then read a second time, by unanimous consent, and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engrossed, and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time, by unanimous consent.

Resolved, That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

# PROVIDENT ASSOCIATION OF CLERKS.

Mr. CAMERON, from the Committee on the District of Co-lumbia, to whom was referred a memorial of the Provident Asso-ciation of Clerks, reported a bill amendatory of an act entitled "An act to incorporate the Provident Association of Clerks in the Civil Department of the government of the United States, in the District of Columbia," approved 3d March, 1823; which was read, and passed to a second reading.

### PRIVATE BILLS, ETC.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the Committee on Pensions, to whom was referred the petition of Elizabeth Jones, submitted a report, accompanied by a bill for the relief of Elizabeth Jones, and the other children (if any) of John Carr.

The bill was read, and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the same committee, to whom was referred the memorial of Thompson Hutchinson, submitted a report, accompanied by a bill for his relief.

The bill was read, and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

Mr. BALDWIN, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the memorial of Hugh Munro McLean, submitted an ad-verse report; which was ordered to be printed.

### GENERAL SCOTT'S PLANS, ETC.

The Senate proceeded to consider the resolution submitted by Mr. Mangum, on the 13th instant.

Mr. MANGUM modified the resolution, so as to read as fol-

Resolved, That the President of the United States in requested to by before the Senate consideratisity, or otherwise, all the plants, entire the all calculations, present and all continuous, present and his opinion toneship the military means necessary to accomplish the objects of the way, and his opinion toneship the military means necessary to accomplish the objects of our government in any and all the alternative views that have been considered by the Executive or suggested by Georgal Society, to brigg the war with Mactor to a close.

Mr. MANGUM said: The resolution as at first introduced contained the usual clause, "if it be not incompatible with the public interests," because it is regarded as due to official decorum, when a matter is to be laid before the Senate in open session. The resolua matter is to be laid before the Senate in open session. The resolu-tion as now modified leaves it to the discretion of the President to lay the information before the Senate, either confidentially or other-wise. It becomes necessary, therefore, to strike out the latter part of the resolution as it originally stood, because I do not recognize any right in the President to withbold from this body any informa-tion. It fluik, sir, that under our system we are entitled to all the information which pertains to the official discharge of our duties.

Mr. SEVIER.—It is not my purpose to discuss this resolution, but I must be allowed to say, that calling upon the President for the plan of a campaign recommended by the commanding General, seems to me to be wholly without example. And, I believe, sir, that the view which I entertain in relation to it, is also entertained by many gentlemen here.

Mr. SEVIER moved to lay the rosolution upon the table.

 $M_{\rm T}.$  MANGUM demanded the yeas and nays, which were ordered, and being taken, resulted as follows :

YEAS.—Allen, Ashley, Atherton, Bagby, Brailbary, Bright, Butler, Cameron, Cass, Davis, (of Mac.) Dickinson, Dix, Boughs, Downs, Felch, Hannergan, Hanter, NAYS.—Badeer, Baldvin, Bell, Berrien, Callonn, Chrick, Dayton, Greene, Johnson, (of La.) Mingum, Miller, Niles, Pearce, Phelps, Rusk, Spriance, Underwood, Upbam, and Webster—19.

So it was

Ordered, That the resolution lie on the table.

### MAP OF NEW MEXICO.

The Senate proceeded to consider the following resolution submitted yesterday by Mr. Cass, and it was agreed to:

Resolved, That the Secretary of the Department of War, fornish the Senate with the report and map of the examination of New Mexico, made by Lucut. J. W. Abert, corps of Topographical Engineers, while attached to the command of General Kearney.

### PRIVATE BILLS, ETC.

The Senate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee on Finance, on the memorial of Henry Simpson, administrator of George Simpson, deceased; and on motion by Mr. PHELPS, it was laid upon the table.

The following bills were severally read the second time, and considered as in Committee of the Whole:

A bill for the relief of Milledge Galphin, executor of the last will and testament of orge Galphin, deceased.

A bill for the relief of Thomas Talbot and others

No amendment having been made, they were severally reported to the Scnate.

Ordered, That they be severally engrossed, and read a third

The said bills were read a third time, by unanimous consent.

Resolved. That they pass, and that their respective titles be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

# THE TEN REGIMENT BILL.

The Senate resumed the consideration of the bill to raise, for a limited time, an additional military force.

This Senate resumed the consideration of the bill to raise, for a limited time, an additional military force.

Mr. BADGER said: If I believed, sir, that the duty which I owe to the country and to the State which has sent me here, could be performed by yielding to the requisitions of the Executive of the country such supplies as he may deem requisite for the prosecution of the war, upon plans for prosecuting it which have not been made known to Congress—if I believed that I had not a bid and controlling obligation to excessed, and for the general welfare of this body, I might be disposed to vote for the bill upon your table. If, sir, the yeas and nays had not been ordered upon the passage of this body, I might be disposed to vote for the bill upon your table. If, sir, the yeas and nays had not been ordered upon the passage of this bill, I might be disposed to vote for the bill upon your table. If, sir, the yeas and nays had not been ordered upon the word to the Senate upon its intrinsic merits. But the yeas and nays have been ordered upon this bill. I cannot vote for it. I shall record my vote against it; and, I think it is due to the country—I feel that it is due to myself—at the vote should be plainly and the property of the vote should be plainly and itself. I shall endeavor to assign those views and principles in as short a compass as is consistent with a full exposition of what I believe to be the truth on this all important subject, and which every consideration obliges me to put before those whom I represent, without any unnecessary diminution, without leaving out any thing that may be required in a full and complete expression of the argument which, in my mind, is conclusive in regard to the origant behavior of the argument which, in my mind, is conclusive in regard to the origant behavior of the war, the unanner of its prosecution, the tendency of the measures now proposed, and the schemes before you. I shall

making myself fully understood.

First, then, I will lay it down, and endeavor to demonstrate, that the war in which we are now engaged with Mexico, was the immediate result of the unlawful and unconstitutional act of the immediate result of the unlawful and unconstitutional act of the control of the United States. I suppose, sir, that there is no gentleman on this floor or elsewhere, who supposes or believes that the President of the United States is vested with the war power of this country. It is a power expressly, and in terms, conferred upon the Congress of the United States. And the President would have no control over it, direct or indirect, except from the incidental circumstance of his limited veto on the action of the two Houses, and except, from the fact, that in virtue of his office he is the chief commander, the principal military officer of the United States.

States.

It is a state of the troops under General Taylor to the Rio Gunde and took possession of the left bank of that stream, be committed a clear and undoubted act of war. What is war?—What do all the writers on the law of nations tell us it is? I have all, in substance, define it to be a contest about rights which is earlied nor or maintained, not by argument, but by force. It can, therefore, admit of no question, that when a nation claiming certain rights, which are disputed by another, undertakes to support those rights by force, she undertakes to support them by war—war as far as she is concerned. It is true, if the act of violence or aggression on her part, be not resisted by the nation that suffers it—if

it be patiently and tamely submitted to-no war results it be platic upon it is essentially summitted upon wait results. As com-stitute war, it is as essential that there should be two parties, as it is, that there should not be constitute war, it is like the case of an individual that the should be constitute war, it is like the case of an individual triking a blow it in the not resented, no contest, no battle, or blow is an act of aggression, it is an no fight is the result. The blow is an act of aggression; it is an act commencing a contest, but it does not amount to a perfect contest. Whether this act on the part of the President was an act of war, of hostility, of aggression, depends not at all upon the question, whether we had a right to the territory of which he took forcible possession. War, between autons, pre-supposes a contest about rights. The publicists, who speak of contests between mations, never suppose them to contend except about rights. War is a contest about rights. Public war is a contest between nations about rights carried to be force and not a regement. If, thereabout rights, carried on by force and not by argument. If, there-fore, it were assumed as clear and unquestionable that the title of Texas and the United States extended to the Rio Grande, it is still beyond all doubt, that Mexico possessing the left bank of that stream, having settlements there, having officers there, and exercising jurisdiction there—any movement to dispossess and excressing jurnsliction there—any movement to disposesses Mexico, to occupy what she thus occupied and what she claim-ed to be from the control of the control of the control of the day in the control of the control of the control of the control if, also, the act of war be directed by those who represent the sovereignt of the action. Well, is, this set was directed by the Persistent of the United States. He ordered the troops to the Rto Grande. They advanced. Whom they came thus the decision of the control of the troops of the control of the control of the control of the troops of the control of the troops of the control of satisfaction of the minibilities and set fire to them, and undersuch circumstances, our forces under the command of officers of the circumstances, our forces under the command of officers of the Cutted States, took from Mexico that which she was in possession of, and by force kept possession of the territory and placed madern the jurisdiction of the United States, at the last season to the Cutted States, at the last season to the Cutted States.

Now, sir, on this subject I had the honor at the last session to hring to the attention of the Senate, the action of Mr. Jeffer-son, during his administration of this government, under cir-cumstances of a very similar character, with this difference, that eumstances of a very similar character, with this difference, that the title of the territory withheld from us was truly and clearly ours. In every other respect the case was like this. The territory was withheld by another power, and a disposition manifested by that power to deprive us of what we held. Under these circumstances, Mr. Jefferson conceived that he had no right to use the military force of the country to obtain possess sion of that which was withheld from us, though elearly ours. And he states as the reason for referring the subject to the determination of Congress, that matters relating to peace and war belong exclusively to that body, and not to him; and as this movement might change the relation of the two countries from peace to war, therefore, it belonged Congress to determine whether the movement should be mide. ment should be made.

But Mr. President, I have other authority. I certainly shall not say but Arr. Fresacen. I have oner authority. I certainly sharm not say that it is more respectable in itself, than the authority of Mr. Jefferson. I doubt very much whether with a large portion of the American people, and of the Senate it will be thought quite equal to Mr. Jefferson's. But upon this question, with respect to the Press. to Mr. Jedferson's. But upon this question, with respect to the Fres-idient of the United States, the authority is conclusive and over-powering. It creates upon him, what lawyers call an extepped, for I am able to show that the President of the United Satlopped, for I am able to show that the Western or the Satlopped of the Satlopped of aggression—of war. On the 11th of July 1845, the Secretary of the Navywires a confidential communication to Commodore Conner, there commanding in the Gulf of Mexico.—He says:

The enanimons vote of the Texan Congress for americation leaves no doubt of the consumanton of that nonsume. When you ascertain, variationally, that the Texan Congress for american accordance of the consumation of the consumer of the cons

I read this part of the communication, for the purpose of shewing though this paper was written on the 11th of July-prior to the actual consummation of the act of annexation-yet the instructions to which I propose more particularly to call the attention of the Seto which I propose more paraeumitry to can me attention of me senate, are given prospectively—eautiously—adm with a view to the actual completion of that measure, when, as appears from the instructions themselves, the officer to whom they were directed was required to consider Texas as a part of this country. The letter

"To secure this end most effectually, you are charged to commit no act of ungeression and at the same time, you are invested with the command of a force sufficient to take one of a dissection to hostif acts.

Then after enumerating the force at the officer's command, the

"That you may precisely understand what is meant by the aggression which you are instructed to avoid, I will add, that which the ameration of Texas extends on boundary to the Id Norte, the Tevendent reterves the vimilation of our boundary, if postible, to utribute of perce. You will, threefore, not employ force to disable to the control of the property of the Allegoria and the time of post-time. Now which was in the actual post-serious of the Allegoria at the time of post-time. Now the control of the Allegoria at the time of post-time of the Allegoria at the time of post-time of the Allegoria at the time of post-time.

non have east of the mouth of the Del Norte; take possession of Tampico; and quarr part is superior, will take the castle of San Juan d'Ullon, it being the determination of the Posselant to preserve peace, if possible; and, if war comes, to recover peace thy adopting the most prompt and energetic measures.

Again: The Secretary of War writes General Taylor under date of July 8, 1845 :

"The department is informed that Mexico has some military establishment constrained the Roo Grands, which are, and for some time have been, in these carried to save any sets of aggregation unless in a meant state of two states of external to save any sets of aggregation unless in a meant state of two states of the Mexican forces at the posts in their possession, and which have been so, will a stripted at long a the relations of peace between the United States and Mexico

Here we have from the representatives of the President in the two Departments, War and Navy, a clear and distinct recognition of this proposition, that, although the President held our true boundary to be the Del Norte, yet it would be "AN ACT OF AGGRESON," "A HOSTILE ACT," and are twinch would not be justifiable except in A STATE of WAR, to disposeses Mexico from any portion of that territory of which she held possession at the time of the annexation. When, therefore, orders were issued to General Taylor, on the 13th of January, '46, to advance and occupy a position on the left bank of the Rio Grande, admitted to be in the messagin of Mexico the President capacide, admitted to be in the messagin of Mexico the President capacide, admitted occupy a position on the left bank of the Rio Grande, admitted to be in the possession of Mexico, the President ordered what he then, undoubtedly, understood to be an act of war. He ordered what he intended, and what he istended, and what he supposed would be regarded on the part of Mexico, as an act of hostidity—of aggessioe. For, sir, you will observe, that when General Taylor, in the execution of this order, advanced to the Rio Grande, and drove the Mexicans from their possessions—when he occupied what had before been occupied by the Mexicans—and these facts were reported to the President, there was not the slightest intimation on his part that General Taylor had exceeded the scope of the orders which had been given to him, and had thus been the means of precipitating the been given to him, and had thus been the means of precipitating the country into a war, which, by a prudent forbcarance, might have been avoided. On the contrary, up to this very moment, all that was done in pursuance of that order, has been recognized by the President as having been rightfully done, as having been done in accordance were given

But, sir, if the act was not an act of war, it was plninly and manifestly an act which was likely to produce a state of vær. It was an act the tendency of which was to change the relations of Mexico and this country from a state of peace to a state of war. Can there be any doubt of this, sir? It is impossible to doubt it, when we recollect the unhappy and angry state of feeling which existed between the two countries. When we recollect the position which our army held at Corpus Christi for so many mouths, and the discusse existing between the two countries. thon which our army used at corpus curisation so many montages and the disputes existing between the two countries—the mutual charges of insincerity, and breaches of faith—when we recollect all this, it must be manifest that such an act as moving an armed

all this, it must be manifest that such an act as moving an armed force to the Rio Grande was, in itself, if not an sict of war, at least one which wore the appearance of aggression, and one which was calculated to rouse the feelings of the Mexicans, and to provoke retiliation. Thus much must be conceded; and, if so, sir, whence did the President of the United States derive his power to do this without the consent of Congress.

According to Mr. Jefferson, an act which in its execution may change the relations of the country from peace to war, is an act beyond the competency of the Lacestonia, and to be change, the people have made no amendment to it—it stands now as it stood in the time of Mr. Jefferson. Wience, then, has Mr. Polk derived his authority to precipitate measures which must lead directly to war—Congress being in session—without taking their advice or even deigning to precipitate measures when mass read directly to wall—con-gress being in session—without taking their advice or even deigning to inform them of what he proposed to do? But, sir, at all events, there is not the slightest reason to doubt, as I apprehend, that the movement of the troops upon the Rio Grande was the act which pro-duced war. There had been, previously, threatenings on the part of Mexico. There had been exactly that state of feeling which was blickly to result in war. But there had been a war, and was overviction likely to result in war. But there but these no war, and my conviction is clear that if our troops had remained quiet at Corpus Christi, where they had a right to remain on the ground so strongly by the Senator from Maryland, (Mr. Paacer.) that it belonged to Texas by virtue of her revolution, and was then in her possession, there would have been no war. But at all events, supposing to Texas by virtue of her revolution, and was then in her posses-sion, there would have been no war. But at all events, supposing that war would have been produced in some other manner, or by some other movement, though our troops had remained, which is mere matter of speculation, yet it cannot he denied, that in point of fact, this war—the war in which we are none engaged—was the immediate result of the movement of our troops upon the Del Norte, and nothing else. That movement was an use of war—it immediate result of the movement of our troops upon the Def Norte, and nothing else. That movement was an act of war—it was, at all events, an act directly tending to change the relations of the two countries from peace to war, and, therefore, an act which the President could not lawfully or constitutionally perform.

The next proposition which I lay down is, that this war, thus resulting from an act of the President, has been prosecuted by him resulting from an act of the President, has been prosecuted by him from the commencement with a view to the conquest—the perma nent conquest—of at least New Mexico and Upper and Low-co California. I beg the attention of the Senate while I attempt to demonstrate this proposition from public documents—First, sir, t will call the attention of the Senate to the instructions given by the Secretary of War on the 3d of June, '46, to General Kearney:

\* Doc. H. R. 19-2d Sess, 29th Congress

"Should you compare and take possession of New Mexico and Upper California, or considerable places in either, you will establish temporary civil governments therein-abolishing all influences are the considerable places in the considerable places are the considerable places. The considerable places are the considerable places are the considerable places and all such at the existing officers as are known to be friendly to the United Stotes, and all such at the existing officers are known to be friendly to the United Stotes, and while the places are the considerable places are the considerable places. The considerable places are the considerable places are the considerable places and the considerable places are the considerable places. The considerable places are the considerable places are the considerable places are the considerable places and the considerable places are the considerable places are the considerable places and the considerable places are the considerabl

In further proof of this, I read the instructions given by the Navy Department to Commodore Sloat, 12th July, 1846:

Navy Department to Commodore Stoat, 12th July, 18-10:

"The object of the United States, is, muler is rights as a heligened ration, to pouses
stelf entirely of Upper California.

"The object of the United State, has reference to ultimate peace with Mexico; and
"The object of the United State, has reference to ultimate peace with Mexico; and
"The object of the United State, presented shall be catabulated, the government
expects, through your forces, to be found in artural possession of Upper California.
"This will limit with the necessity of a read administration. Seek a government
expects, through your forces, to be found in artural possession of the properties
and the property of the property

Then, sir, on the 13th of August, we have instructions from the Navy Department to Commodore Stockton, or the commanding officer, in the Pacific :

Now, sir, in order to understand the scope and bearing of these orders still more clearly, let us see what was done under them by the officers to whom they were addressed. In a letter of General Kearney to the Adjutant General of the 24th August, 1846, written from Santa Fe, be says:

"On the 22d I saved a proclamation, claiming the whole of New Mexico, with its then boundaries, as a territory of the United States of Imerica, and taking it onder our protection." §

In another part of the same letter, he says :

"On my reture (which will be in two or three weeks) a civil government shall be organized, and the officers appointed for it; after which, I will be ready to start for Upper Caldorium, which I hope may be ly the inter end of next month; and in case, I shall expect to have possession of that department by the close of November.

Then we have General Kearney's proclamation, in which he announces his intention to hold New Mexico as a part of the United States, under the name of the "territory of New Mexico."

"As by the act of the republic of Mexico, a tata of war exist between that government and the United States; and as the nuclessigned, at the head of his troops, one Bellin intant, not book possession of Stanta Fe, the engine of the department of New Mexico, he now anothere is institution to held the department, with its original boundard because the new another is not to the state of the stat

serving color, in romoting concool, and in maintaining the authority and clinicary of the law.

"And the law.

"And the proper of these when we led their looses and takes up must sensint.

"And the fight layed Skann to return forethead to them, or she they will be considered as centre and entire the subject in the property to accure and endirection for the benefit of the public treasury.

"It is the web not intention of the United States to the public treasury. Mexica and the people of New Mexica will the law their their states to the retrieval trepitations. Mexica and the people of New Mexica will then be taken, the law that rate the subject in the retrieval trepitations. But until this can be stone, the law that rate the subject in the retrieval trepitations. But until this can be stone, the law that rate the subject in the retrieval trepitations. But until this can be stone, the law that rate the subject in the retrieval trepitations. But until this can be stone, the law that on those persons belong office will continue in the same for the present, provided they will consider tremester good cattracts and are willing to take the oath of ofference to the Total Natice.

One Wilexino from any further allegance to the republic of ference, and hereby claims then accident against the United States.

Those who remain quiet and peccade accordingly.

What was the action of Commodore Sloat? How did he interpret and understand the orders he had received? We have distinct information upon this point, communicated in the prochamation of Commodore Sloat to the people of California. He says:

"Henceforward California will be a portion of the United Mates, and its peaceable subabilities will enjoy the same rights and privileges they now enjoy, together with the privilege of hoosing their own magnetates and other offices, for the daministation of justice among themselves, and the same protection will be extended to them as to any other State to the Union. They will also enjoy a permanent government."

And in a general order of July 7, 1846, he says :

"It is not only our doty to take California, but to preserve it afterwards, as a part of the United States, at all hazards."

What was the understanding of Commodore Stockton?

"The Territory of California now belongs to the United States, and will be governed, as soon as circumstances will permit, by officers and laws similar to those by which the other Territories of the United States are regulated and protected."

Now, sir, it is impossible, I think, to read what was done—what was reported by our officers to the President as having been done by them—without seeing that they understood and acted on his inby them—without seeing that they understood and acted on his instructions, as designed to make a permanent conquest of such portions of Mexican territory as they were instructed to take possession of. There is not one word said in the instructions—outhing declared in the actions of the officers obeying these instructions—which referred or appeared to refer to a military occupation of those territories, for the purpose of compelling Mexico to do us justice for the wrongs we had sustained at her hands—on the contrary the instructions to General Kearney before read, require him to establish temporary civil governments therein, and authorize him to assure the people of the design of the United States to provide for them a free government similar to that which exists in our territories. These officers clearly understood that they were to take possession of, and hold, these territories as a portion of the United possession of, and hold, those territories as a portion of the United States. What says Commodore Stockton in his proclamation?

"I, Robert F. Stockton, commander-in-chief of the United States forces in the Pa-cific occur, and Governor of the Territory of California, and commander-in chief of commentation procession of the starting known by the name of Upper and Lower California, do now declare it to be a Territory of the United States, ander the name of the Territory of Chiffornia."

They accordingly took possession. They organized govern-ments—and they acted in all respects as if from that time forward these territories ceased to be the property of Mexico, and became for all time, the property of the United States. Now did they understand their instructions aright? Why, sir,

in the message of the President of the United States, communicating those documents to us, there is something said which im-plies a disavowal of something that was done, an intimation that in some respects the instructions had been exceeded."

These documents contain all the "tonlers or instructions" to any military or may officer of the government, "in selation to the citalishment or organization of civil gode the comments accompanying the report of the Secretary OW are will be found "a form of governments", "challished and organized" by the rubitary considerable and comments accompanying the report of the Secretary of the substitute of the control of the contr

The Secretary of War in the report referred to by the President, states that "the organic law of the territory of New Mexico was not received until the 23d of November; and, because of

to when the control of the control o late with reference to the then succeeding session—had not been read by him and submitted to the President and his orders taken read by him and submitted to the President and his orders taken with respect to it. Well, now that is the only one of the documents of which it is intimated that the contents were not known by the proper department and communicated to the President and approved and sanctioned by him. Therefore it is a reasonable, just and necessary conclusion that every one of the other documents, except the one thas specified and taken out by the exception, had been read, considered and approved. And intriher, even in reference to General Kearney's "organic law" nothing is excepted by the President but this: that he undertook to make that copied by the President but this: that he undertook to make that law a permanent form of government—whereas the President in-tended a permanent occupation, with a permanent government to be finally settled by Congress, leaving to the military officer the establishment of a temperary government only. No exception was taken by the President to General Kearney's pro-clamation of the 24th August, declaring his intention to hold New Mexico "as a Para" or THE UNITED STATES"—mone to his requiring ALL THE A PART OF THE UNITED STATES"—none to his requiring ALL THE MARKS OF THE UNITED STATES"—none to his requiring ALL THE MARKS OF THE MARKS

<sup>\*</sup> Doc. H. R. 19-2d sess. 29th Congress-pp. 5.

taken; yet, Commodore Shoat proclaimed to the inhabitants of California—aac early as July, 1846—THAT THEYETCHANAMA, CALTON OF THE UNITED STATES, and CALTON OF THE UNITED STATES, and the state of the s

are regulated and governed.

Here, then, we see officers acting under the authority of the President, without rebuke from him, claiming and establishing will governments in New Mexico and California, as the permanent territories of the United States, claiming their unbanding the states, promising the states, and permanent annexation by force of arms? This, then sir, I presume the President designed to do at the very time when he called upon the country for a recognition of this war, and for men and money to prosecute it. No intunation is given to us in any of his correspondence—if he did not the Presidential mind. In June, July, and August, from the Navy and the War Departments of all the officers charged with enrying into execution the wishes of the President in the prosecution of the wave the same general tone of instructions, and we have all these officers seizing territories and treating them as a permanent part of the United States. And to these proceedings the President tokes no exception! It does not unimate, by darked the sex occasion of the Executive!

I must suppose then, that conquest was the object for which the President prosecuted the war from the beginning, and not indemnity. Why, sir, is it not a singular mode of securing indemnity if such had been his purpose. At the commencement of the war, what did he want indemnity for? Mexico, it is true, owed to our citizens money. If the object had been to seize the Mexican territory and simply to hold it by military occupation in order to compel Mexico to recognize and discharge her debts to us, why is nothing of this kind mentioned in these instructions? Why did these officers treat the territories of which hivroid of the United States? Why is in the territories of which hivroid of the United States? Why is in that the object had been misunderstood—that the possession which they held of these territories was as a sort of mortgage, or in the nature of a security until the payment of the debts due to ns by Mexico? And above all, if indemnity, if caforcing payment of what was due to our citizens, and not conquest, or permanent amexation, was the object of the war, why did the secretary, as early as the 3d of June, 1816, authorize General Kenney to assure the people of New Mexico and Upper California that it was then "the wish and design of the United States to provide for them a free government

design of the United States to provide for them a free government similar to that which exists in our territories."

But this view of the subject, I think, becomes still stronger when we consider the mode in which the President carried on his negotiations. Mr. Shdell was sent to Mexico, in the month of November. I believe that his oredentials hear date on the 10th of that month. Well, when he went to Mexico the 10th of that month. Well, when he went to Mexico that Mexico, in spite of her plighted word, insultingly refused to receive our manister. Well, now it is plain to me—it is evident, when adverting to the correspondence which took place between our consul at Mexico and Senor Pena y Pena—that it never was the design of the then executive government of Mexico to receive a "minister resident" from the United States. On the 15th October, 18-2b, that manister writes to our consul, Mr. Back, "my belower, 18-b, that minister writes to our consul, Mr. Back, "my belower, 18-b, that minister writes to our consul, Mr. Back, "my belower, 18-b, that minister writes to our consul, Mr. Back, "my was the states who may come to this capital with full poor the United States who may come to this capital with full poor the United States, Mr. Slidell having arrived, the objection to his reception was that his credentials appointed him "M. Slimiter to reside near the government of Mexico, just as if there had been no suspension of the diplomatic and friendly relations between the two government, which is credential applied than Mr. Slimiter, to the settle all the questions in dispute, Senor Pena y Pena said that "his credentials applied than Mr. Slima. And when, to the settle all the questions in dispute, Senor Pena y Pena said that miss redentials had not relectence to any questions in dispute, but merely as a Minister to reside near the Mexican government, and referring to the great relection to the contribution of the disposed to arrange all differences."

It is impossible to read\* be entrespondence without seeing that the persons administering the government of Maxieo were exceedingly anxious to settle the question of boundary, and that, in order to enable them to do so, nothing might be done further to arouse or irritate the jealous feelings of the Mexican nation, and that

therefore we should send them a commissioner only, with power to settle the pending dispute. Well, we were not satisfied with that. They had promised to receive a commissioner; the President tendered them a minister resident. To such a minister they objected, because he could not be received without arousing excited feelings and endangering their continuance in power, if not their personal safety. But that is not all. Long before Mr. Slidell's mission was set on foot, on the 15th of June, 1845, the acting Scoretary of War writes to General Tuylor in these terms:

<sup>&</sup>quot;The point of your ultimate destination is the western frontier of Texas, where you will select and occupy, on or near the Rio Grande del Norte, such a site as will consist with the health of the troops, and will be best adapted to repel invasion, and to protect what, in the event of annexation, will be our western border."

Now, sir, here is an order as early as the 15th of June, 1815, in which General Taylor is directed to select a position on or near the Rio del Norte, claimed as the western frontier of Texas, which should have these conditions—it should consist with the health of the troops and be the point best adapted to repel invasion, and to protect what was to be our western border. Now, it will be sufficiently obvious from these documents, I think, that it was the intention of the President that General Taylor should move to the left bank of the Rio Grande. General Taylor so understood it, for in a despotable, of his dated 4th October, 1815, be says.

<sup>&</sup>quot;It well be recitived that the interactions of Jose 15, inseed by Mr. Bascord, then acting Secretary of Way, directed use to "stored and occupy, one near the Ko Grande, such a size as well consist with the health of the troop, and will be best adapted to repel meason," Ke. Pierro Sautison to the carestic extracte to the adapted to repel meason, "Ke. Pierro Sautison to the carestic extracte to the while the measurement of the continuous mapped by the service of the pierro best possible to conditions imposed by the service and proposed by the action of the position of the pierro of the

Here General Taylor says expressly that he understood that he would more completely carry out the order by taking a position at Point Isabel. Why did he not? He immediately assigns the reason:

<sup>&</sup>quot;But we had no ntillery, no engueer force or appliances, and but a molerate mount of infantry; and the occupation of Point Insbel, noder these circumstances, and with at least the possibility of restance from the Mevicans, night have compomed the safety of the command. I, therefore, determined to take up the next seeds salle position in the rear, which such month of the Necessivic."

As early then as the 15th of June, an order was issued to Gan. Taylor, which he understood to be an order to take up has position on the left bank of the Rio Grande. An order which he thought would have been best compiled with by establishing his position at Point Isabel. And he informed the department on the 4th of October, that this would have been done, but that he had not the requisite force to undertake it. Well, sir, did he understand the order rightly I Let us see. On the 16th the secretary writes to him:

<sup>&</sup>quot;You will approach as near the western boundary of Texas (the Rio Grande) as circumstances will permit,"

And the final order of the 13th of January, 1846, about the meaning of which there is no dispute, which all admif was an imperative one to General Taylor to advance to the left bank of the Rio Grande, is concled in exactly the same terms as the two orders preceding it. He is directed to advance, and occupy positions and the same terms are the two orders preceding it. He is directed to advance, and occupy position of the difference of the first of the dispatch of the difference of the first of the dispatch of the difference of the first of the dispatch of the difference of the first of the dispatch o

<sup>\*</sup> Doc. H. R. 196-2d sess 29th Congress, pp. 12 and 24

tile act, an act to be avoided in order to insure the continuance of peace, and which nothing would justify but the occurrence of actual

war.

Thus, it seems to me, that not only did the President bring on
the war by an unlawful and unconstitutional act; but that he has
presecuted it for the purpose of conquest—and of conquest alone.
But this purpose the President did not made known to Congress.
He did not submit (as he should have done) to the judgment of
Congress, whether they were willing to prosecute a war for the purpose of making a permanent conquest of the territory of a neighboring republic. On the contrary, he seems carefully to have concealed his design from Congress. In his special message of the concealed his design from Congress. In his special med 4th of August, 1846, to the Senate, he says expressly:

"The chief difficulty to be anticipated in the negotiaton is the adjustment of the boundary between the parties, by a line which shall at once be statisfactory and convenent to both, and risch as nether will hereafter be inclined to distinct. This is regulable. Should the Messican government, in order to accomplish these objects be stilling to exhaus any portion of their territory to the United States, we ought to pay them a fair equivalent; a just and honovable pater, ANO NOT CONCUEST, being our purpose in the prosection of the wat."

Now what notion the President attaches to the term "conquest," I do not know! To me it seems plain that what had been directed to be done before this message was written, and what was afterwards done by the military and navia officers of the Govern-

activated one by the military and maral officers of the Government not only without bridge, there will the express recognition and activated to the control of the control Committee on Territories to consider as to the propriety of estab-lishing some species of legislative authority overthe territories of the enemy which had been taken into our possession, the honora-ble Senator from Missouri, [Mr. Bexro,] who is not now in his seat, in his strong manner denounced in his place the propo-sition, on the ground that it attributed to the President of the Uni-ted States the assumption that those portions of Mexico were ter-ritories of the United States. This the honorable Senator charac-ritories of the United States. terized as an absurdity.

# Mr. WESTCOTT .- Monstrosity.

Mr. WESTCOTT.—Monstrosity.

Mr. BADGER.—Yes, monstrosity. But, sir, this year the tone of the message is materially changed. The President has got one of the message is materially changed. The President has got one of the message is the not carrying on the president of the president of the president of the president of the president conquest of the Republic of Mexico, or to annihilate her separate existence as an independent nation." This is quite consistent with a design of temporarily conquering the whole, and permanently conquering a part. Indeed, he expressly informs us, that New Mexico and the Californias "should never be surrendered to Mexico." Now, it seems to me that it was the duty of the President of the United States to have explained to Congress from the first, what its real purpose was. If he intended at the last first, what its real purpose was. If he intended at the last first, what its real purpose was. If he intended at the last first, what its real purpose was. If he intended at the last first, what its real purpose was. If he hat the duty of the president of the should have so told Congress. But he told us no such thing. On the contrary, he leaves us to suppose that though these proceedings seem to look like conquest, tyet, still, in the President is of opinion that we should retain, at all hazards, New Mexico and the two Californias.

Californias.

The next proposition which strikes me as being material to a just determination of the course to be pursued in reference to the bill, now under the consideration of the Senate, is this: that the present plan of the war, as announced by the Secretary of the War Department in his communication to the President, if carried out, must irresistibly lead to the conquest of the whole of Moxico, and I think we may reasonably conclude, judging of the future by the past, that if at this session, Congress, shall place in the hands of the President all the means be has asked, we shall, at the next session, find the whole of Mexico entirely overrun, and at the mercy of our troops; and we shall then have a message informing us that the President is of opinion that Congress should not consent, under any eircumstrances, to surrender any portion of the sent, under any circumstances, to surrender any portion of the Mexican republic.

Mexican republic.

The Secretary of War, in his report, speaks of three plans.—

Why, sir, there are but two, in fact, if I am capable of understand,
ing what seems to be tolerably plain language. Human ingenuity

caonot make of it more than two plans, although he has numerical. ly divided it into three. What are they ?

Our further operations must, in my opinion, be conducted in one of the three following modes. First, to take and hold an indemnity line; to recede from all places 30TH CONG .- IST SESSION, -No. 17.

and positions now occupied in advance of it, and cease from all aggressive operations beyond that ince. Second, to overrow the whole country, and hold all the principal places in it by permanent garinous: and, third, to retain our operations to other inceptables of the property of protecting the war.

Well now, sir, is it not strange that numbers two and three shall Well now, sit, is it not strange that numbers two and three shall be considered separate and distinct plans? The second is to overrun the whole country and hold all the principal places in it by establishing garrisons therein. What is the the third? It is to retain what we possess, to open a communication with the interior, and to take we jossess, to open a communication with the interior, and to take other places, according as our means may enable us. Does he mean under the second plan to take more than our means will enable us to take ! Under the first of the two latter of the Secretary's plans, he proposes to take all the principal places in Mexico; and, under the second of them, he proposes to keep what we have got and get all we can. Well now, the President has adopted the third of the plans reported by the Secretary, as enumerated by thim, upon which the war is to be carried on, and it is upon that hasis that supplies are asked, and particularly the ten regiments proposed to be raised by this bill, to assist in energiments proposed to this war, in accordance with the views of the Secretary.

be raised by this bill, to assist in carrying out the operations of this war, in accordance with the views of the Secretary. Well, sir, believing, as I do, that the necessary consequence of furnishing the means which are required by this bill, will be to en-able the Secretary of War, under the direction of the President, to make a permanent conquest of the whole of Mexico, I cannot vote for it. I am opposed to augmenting the forces for such a purpose. How is the conquest of Mexico to be effected? How is a pence-to be brought about, under this mode of prosecuting a war, except by the seizure and subligation of the whole country? I cannot vote, sir, for any plan by which Mexico is to be conquered and annexed! Because, in the first place it would be grossly unjust. It would, in my judgment, according to my convictions of right, be a high and flagrant wrong for us to seize upon and unconvente. the word, it my jauginent, according to my convictions of right, be a high and flagrant wrong for us to seize upon and incorporate the territories of that republic into our own. I believe it would fix a stigma upon the character of this people which all successive

the territories of that republic into our own. I believe it would fix a stigma upon the character of this people which all successive ages would not be able to wape out.

No oblivion that thousands of years could throw over it—no darkness with which the lapse of ages could surround it, would prevent the flagrant continuity of such as measure, from heig appragrent the flagrant continuity of such as measure, from their appragrent to the successive of the control of the property of the successive of the control of the property of the successive of the succe their power, and in whose behalf it might be urged that they only followed the example of their predecessors in seeking, by whitaver means to increase their power; but in the other case, it would be declared that the act was committed by a republican govern-ment, based on principles of equal relights, and professing friendship and good will to all mankid, seeking for national happiness, and national glory in the present pression of the peaceful artsg. engaged in the establishment of justice and tranquility, and representations of the seeking of the whole human race as brethren in blood, cuttiled to their humanity and consideration. The writers of trait distant age would find that then, as ever:

# "Nor florid prose nor honied lies of thyme,

I am not willing that my country should now commit this irrepar-rable wrong, and soil herself with this ineffacable stain.

I am opposed to the seizure and annexation of Mexico, because

it is as unjust. I know there are some who entertain a different opinion, but it does seem clear to me that the accomplishment of such a measure as the incorporation of Mexico-whether her people are to be introduced into a community of rights with us or to be held as a degraded and conquered province—whether they are to sustain towards us the relation of the territories we have they are to sustain towards as the relation of the territories we have heretofore had, or to remain in a state of perpetual pupilage—what-ever the mode and form in which their future condition and character are to be established—must inevitably in the hour of its completion doom the Union to certain destruction.

I was glad to hear the Senutor from South Carolina farthest from me, (Mr. Calhour,) take strong and decided ground against the absorption of Mexico and the destruction of her nationality. the absorption of Mexico and the designation of her nationality.—
I was glad to hear his voice raised against what in my view would
be one of the greatest of crimes, one of the greatest of political
blunders. But, I wonder, Mr. President, that it did not strike
the bonorable Senator, that the injustice of seizing upon the whole
by force, was an injustice but in degree superior to scizing upon
any part by force—that though the caornity of alsorbing the
whole of the Mexican territory strikes us with astonishment and horror, it is but because the human mind is more strongly affected and inpressed by subjects which appear large, yet, that it trath, the seizure of one foot of Mexican soil is just as much an invasion of the eternal principles of right, as much a secrifice of the claims of justice and the obligations which we owe our fellow-men, as the seizure of the whole. I am opposed to the conquest, by arms, of Mexico or any part of Mexico. I am opposed to wresting from her one indo the for domain by the exertion of any force which shall control her will and compel an apparent surrender, while in reality, the soil of the country tenedously adheres to that with which it parts. I am opposed to the commission by this country of such an act of injustice, for the attainment of any object level or small, believing, as I fally do, that a pure, and the analysis of the large of the large of the large of the properties of the properties of the properties of the properties of the beneather senter from Santh Coroline warm for the properties.

any acquisition that the wave who are the time when the lime with any case in the probability of the probability and the proposition contained in them, which concludes the Senates—that the proposition contained in them, which concludes the Senates—that the proposition contained in them, which concludes the proposition of the sestimation of the rationality, was a proposition the assertion of which would be idle and fruitless, because the destruction of the nationality of Mexico is contemplated by no one. At the time when I heard the statement made, I entertained the same opinion and expressed that opinion to the Senator himself. (Mr. Califorts here noted that statement the Senator himself. (Mr. Califorts here noted assent.) But, an attentive consideration of the report of the deal and the means demanded by the day, that the President has refused important fact of an attentive consideration of the senator himself. (Mr. Califorts here the proceeded from a disavowal of all intended compacts, to a simple intimation that has never desired to computer Mexico or destroy her nationality;—these things, sir, have convinced me of the probability that the government is now thinking, at some no distant day, actually to make the movement which the resolutions of the Senator from South Carolina denounces. I was struck, sir, with the acount of a recent celebration in the cape of on the Huli instant, and battle of the American Continent. I did not observe that the scantinent was received with disapprobation. I saw no mention of any qualification of the senator have a design looking to a more "perfect union was received with disapprobation. I saw no mention of an other state" upon this floor—a State which, did I other a continent. I did not observe that the sentiment was received with disapprobation. I saw no mention of any qualification of the sentiment by him or others; but there it stands as the declared opinion of a representative of the great state. I design—a design looking to a more "perfect union which is to embrace in on

But there are other difficulties in my mind. I consider the further prosecution of this war upon the plan proposed by the President of the United States as daugerous to the liberties of the country. I was struck by the remark made by the honorable Senator from South Carolina, (Mr. Caliloux), that no one now hears, as in the early days of the republic, the question, "how will this measure affect our liberty?" Now we sit down and calculate calmly what amount of military force or means it is necessary to put into the hands of the President to accomplish a certain object. We ask whether we and men, with all the means of Mexico by military lands of the resident to accomplish a certain object. We ask whether we and men, with all the means of Mexico by military and violent earlier whether the security of the contrast of the country at his command, by our voluntary vote, and all the means of Mexico by military and violent as the contrast of the country will be seriously endangered. Recollect of all this upon our liberties. That remark excited in my mind a train of thought, which led me to the conclusion that there is great and just ground of apprehension if this measure's adopted that the liberties of the country will be seriously endangered. Recollect what the President olaimed on this subject, in Mexico, in the liberties of the country will be seriously endangered. Recollect what the President claims that these rights of doing because we are the conquevor. But claims the right of doing because we are the conquevor. But claims the right of doing because we are the conquevor. But claims that these rights because the right of doing because we are the conquevor. But claims the certain rights, and the President claims that these rights because the right of the country of the not admit that proposition. It is the Government of the Carolitation of the Carolitation of the Carolitation of the conditions, belong to the conquevor, second. Therefore, the rights which belong to the conquevor, we constitution, the older military c

declared by those who represent the sovereignty of the aution. But the President claims that he has a right to take possession, and, that having taken possession, he has a right to require from persons within the territory the oath of allegiance; submission to the regulations of his military officers; suspension of all resistance to his military authority, under pain of being treated as tuators, and made liable to panishment in their persons and in the confiscation of their goods, and to seize all the public property and received the property of the pr

Having now stated my views of the commencement of this warthe manner and purposes of its prosecution—and the dangerous tendency of the Executive claims of power and projects of conquest—I come to the proposition before us. We are called upon to place at the command of the President ten regiments of regulars, in addition to the present military force. For what purposed To carry on the war with Mexico? Upon what plan? Sir, the President declines to inform us upon what plan.

Mr. MANGUM, (in his seat.)-His friends here decline.

Mr. BADGER .- A few days ago when this subject was under onsideration by the Senate, and my friend from Kentucky (Mr CONTINUED by the Senate, and my lifeou from Kentucky (Mr. CRITTENEDS) stated in his strong and forcible manner the present condition of Mexico, and the utter and absolute want of any necessity for this additional military force, a part of a communication from General Scott was read by the Hon. Chairman of the Committee on Millitary Affairs, as to the amount of force that would be General Scott expressed the opinion that if certain purposes were contemplated it would be necessary to raise his forces to filty thousand men. I myself asked the Hon. Senator on what plan, system, or basis of operation, for conducting the war, that stimate was made. The Senator declined to answer. Resolutions have been proposed in the other House, making inquiries, and to these the President has declined giving any answer. A resolution was introduced in this body asking the President to communicate to us information on this subject, such as he might deem it consistent us information of this singler, such as he might definite consistent with the public interest to communicate to us, either confidentially or in open session. The President was asked to communicate to us information which would cauble us to understand this monster project for the war, which requires this great addition to our military means; and this morning, by a vote of the majority of this body it was determined that the question should not be put to the President, whether he has in his possession any information on this subject, which he could, consistently with the public interests, communicate to us, either in private session or confidentially? Thus, by the action of the President in the one case, and his friends in the other, all information is denied us, and the war-making power the other, an information of the state of th to complete the complement of regulars and volunteers, making an aggregate of sixty-live thousand men. Deduct from that fifteen thousand, on account of the easualties to which the Senator from Michigan so often refers, and you have an army of fifty thousand men. Yet, it is now proposed to add to that force ten regiments of regulars with a bill behind it to put at the disposal of the Presiof regulars with a bill behind it to plat at the adjoined of the resident twenty thousand volunteers, a force, including the sailors and marines co-operating with the troops, of not less than seventy-five thousand to eighty thousand effective men. What is to be accomplished by that force? Are there battles to be fought? That is displished by that force I Are there hattles to be fought? That is distinctly disasvowed. There is no expectation, sir, of any more hattles to be fought. For what then, sir, do you ask these men? Why, the honorable gentleman from Michigan says, that he wishes, by the exhibition of a large force there, to produce "a great moral cifet." How? Why, he means to convince the Mexicans that they are unable to resist us! Well, sir, if they are able to resist the logic of such fields as Buena Vista, Churrhosco, Contreras, and Cerro Gordo; think you, sir, that their increduity will yield to the meaning that the production of the p and occupy the country it is said. And when they are there, what great object is it intended that they should accomplish, which this country desires to see accomplished? Do we want peace? I sit not obvious to every one that peace cannot in this way be obtained? If peace could be correed, we have done every thing that genus can centricy, and skill and gallantry execute to actually the statement of the country has we considered the country has been considered to accomplish it. I believe it may be said, without exaggregation, that the temperate of the expense of the support of the support of the expense of the support of the support of the expense of the support of the suppor compiss it. I believe it may be said, writing a succession of history of no country has presented such a succession of brilliant military achievments as we have gained in Mexico. As a single battle, nothing can be produced equal to the last battle of Taylor; battle, nothing can be produced equal to the last battle of Taylor; and as a succession of military operations, where can you find a parallel to the advance of Scott from Vera Cruz to the city of Mexico? If chastisement—defeat—overpowering, overwhelming defeat—were sufficient to bring Mexico to a disposition for peace, she would have been brought to that disposition long ago. How, then, do you propose to accomplish it by your troops? Why, they are to take possession and occupy the whole country—or, as the Scoretary of War says, not be produced to the product of the prod

are to be established everywhere. You are to maintain all the strongholds of Mexico, and her valleys are to be everywhere marked by the signs of military occupation. How long is this state of things to continue? Until Mexico makes peace? But, 1 pray you, is this the way in which the gentle sentiments of benevolence and peace are to be instilled into the Mexican boson T True, you may comple her to submit—you may prevent her from attering a word of complaint—you may force the with your wishes—her activity of the with your wishes—her activity of the with your wishes—her activity and the intensest hate, will rankle and burk beneath. The Latin poet has said with great propriety and

"Si te colo Sexte non amabo."

—referring to a well known quality of our nature, in virtue of which that superiority which demands our admiration, inclines us to withhold on love. If this be the tendency of that moral occersion, what may we expect from awe and terror? Do we really expect, by renewed conquest, by devastated fields, by captured villages, by stormed fortresses, by occupying such positions that an love can ean look forth without beholding the evidence peace is 10 fairs country and the presence of the control of th

We received yesterday the copy of a general order of the 15th December, issued by General Scott, the first article of which proceeded to inform the army that it would spread itself over the republic of Mexico; and which goes on to establish a system of internal regularion for the government of the country, and the collection and disbursement of the revenue. It, then, it be right and manly, in the present crippled condition of Mexico, to destroy her nationality, you have ample means to do so. But, ere you proceed to the accomplehament of such a purpose, who which must inevitably follow? If such a design be carried out, the destruction of our liberties is certain. You send forth the President with his eighty thousand men. He is told that he can support these men and meet the other expenses of the war by levying contributions in Mexico. He is thus, clothed with such authority, left in a foreign country to form his plans and carry them into execution. Is he not thus invested with all the power and dignity of a prace, free to obey the dictates of his own arbitrary will at the head of seventy or eighty thousand men, dietar lands and a consequence of the control of the control of the control of the control of the transfer of the Committee on Military Affairs has told us that regulars thome? Such a power, sir, ought not to be trusted to the President of the United States. Above all things, sir, regulars should not be the force placed at his disposal. The honorable chairman of the Committee on Military Affairs has told us that regulars were to be preferred because when they entered the array they surrendered every right but the right of obedience! and became "mere machines." This avoval led me to look with horror upon such a description of force. I prefer the volunteers, because although themselves free from all the obligations and responsibilities of citizenship. When you put a vast force of that description into the hands of the Executive, have you not, as far, as possible, rendered military force which knows no law b

a Military Dictator?

When, on the present plan of the campaign, is the war to end?

War, it must be admitted by all, is a great evil. Is there to be no end to it in this case? must

And blood of earth flow on as they have flowed, An universal delage—which appears Without an ark for weethed man's abode— And ebbs but to re-flow."

Is it our design, in sending these troops to Mexico, to sit out the

Mexican people, and try an experiment of obstinacy between the two races? If so, the us recolled the the inst and foreible remark made here last winter by the Senator from Missouri, (Mr. Bexron.) in speaking of the line proposed to be taken by the Senator from Sonth Carollina, that no people on earth have such obstinate perseverance as the lol Castilian race, and this quality is to be found to a great extent in the present inhabitants of Mexico. The Senator from Sonth Carollina, that no people on earth have such obstinate perseverance as the hold castilian race, and this quality is to be found to a great extent in the present inhabitants of Mexico. The Senator then reminded use, should not forget the example of the Mora; for a should not forget the example of the Mora; for as he remarked, they sat a thousand years, and the Spaniards at last sat them out, and took possession of the whole of Spain. Sir, I am not in favor of voting these regulars to the President in order to enlarge our military forces in Mexico. The force there now, is ample force very legitimate purpose. If the President wishes to prolong the experiment our military possession, he has ample force of corriority of a superiority of the control of the country, now in our military possession, be has ample force to remark the president wishes to prolong the experiment our military possession, he has ample force to corriority of the country, or by any action of mine time of corriority of the country, or the president wishes to the president with the president which the sentence of the country of the country. Let us not put any more of these "machines" into the hands of the President,

is machines? into the hands of the President, which he may, if it he his pleasure, turn against ourselves.

It has been supposed that the people of the United States have a desire that some acquisition should he made from Mexico by force. I am extremely unwilling to believe that the people of my construction is a supposed to the property of the pro

nonor and users best uncress. I have thus imperfectly expressed the views under which I must tote against the proposition on your table. They have been presented with entire frankenses on my own part, and thanking the Senate for the attention with which I have been heard, I will detain thum no longer.

Mr. FOOTE then signified his intention of addressing the Senate upon the bill before them, but as the hour of adjournment had arrived.

The Senate adjourned

# WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 19, 1848.

# REPORTS FROM DEPARTMENTS.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a report of the Serciary of War, made in compliance with a resolution of the Senate, accompanied by a communication from the Commissioner of Indian Affairs, respecting any losses sustained by the Seneral Indians of New York, through a late Sub-Agent of the United

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a communication from the acting Secretary of the Treasury, correcting a clerical error in the annual report of the Secretary of the Treasury on the state of the finances; which was ordered to be printed, and apstace of the finances; which was ordered to be printed, and appended to said annual report.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a report of the Secretary of War, made agreeably to law, accompanied a statement of the persons employed in the Indian Department, during the year 1847.

### PETITIONS.

Mr. MANGUM presented the petition of Ann Kelly, widow of Daniel Kelly, deceased, a gunner in the Naval Service, praying a pension; which was referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

Mr. STURGEON presented a memorial of the Philadelphia College of Pharmacy, praying the adoption of measures to prevent the importation of spurious drugs, medicines and chemicals into the ports of the United States; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce.

Mr. BAGBY submitted an additional document relating to the petition of Hugh Wallace Wormley; which was referred to the Committee on Nat 1 Affairs.

Mr. JOHNSON, of La., presented the petition of William Darby, praying renumeration for time and money spent by him in the survey of the Sabine river.

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of La. it was

Ordered. That it is referred to a select committee, consisting of five members, to be appointed by the Vice President.

Mr. Corwin, Mr. Johnson, of La., Mr. Rusk, Mr. Foote, and Mr. Cass, were appointed the committee.

On motion by Mr. UPHAM, it was

Ordered, That the Josuments on the files of the Senate, relating to the claim of John Elis to a pension, be referred to the Committee on Pensions.

On motion by Mr. DOWNS, it was

Ordered. That the memorial of John Hagan, E. Lackett, and Sherman Johnson, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

On motion by Mr. BAGBY, it was

Ordered, That the report of the Postmaster General, made the 6th inst., in relation to the claim of Jameson and Williamson, be referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

On motion by Mr. DAVIS, of Miss., it was.

Ordered, That the Committee on Military Affairs be discharged from the further consideration of the petition of Gad Humphreys, and that it be referred to the Committee of Claims.

### MAJOR CHARLES LARABEE.

Mr. MLES said; Mr. Persident, There is on the files of the Sonate the petrion and necompanying papers of Major Charles Landes, praying for an increase of his pension, of for arrars of pension, which he thinks he is justly entitled to. I presented this pettion last session, and then took occasion to make a few remarks on the case to ask the attention of the Committee on Pensionsto it. From want of time I pressure there was no action upon it. In justice to Major Larabec, who is one of my constituents, or this chain, and the peculiar hardship of the case of the petitioner. Major Larabec entered the army several years before the last war with Grest Brittain. I believe, in the humble rank of a private, or perhaps of a sergeant, and by his good conduct, his fideli-

ty and his bravery, left the army with the brevet rank of a Major conferred upon him for his gallant and honorable conduct. Before the war began he was ongaged in the battle of Tippecanoe, and he was in that fierce and bloody fight at Brownstown, the very first battle of that war, and in that action tost his right arm, which was so shartered that i had to be amounted at the shoulder. But though this wounded and mained, be continued in the service, and was actively employed during the whole war, and was in other actions, of which the battle of Plattsburgh was one. Soon after the war he left the army, and in 1830 received his praising, commencing in 1827. Being but a fleutenant when wound-

Soon after the war he left the army, and in 18-30 received his pension, commencing in 18-72. Being but a latureant when wounded, he received a pension as such only, although he sestained the honorable rank of a Major when he left the service. His pension is the rank of a Major, and wishing to sustain in society the character belonging to that rank. If his pension was to go back as is done in the case of officers of the Navy, there would be a considerable sum due him for arrears of pension. There were several years which intervened between the time he left the army when his pay as an officer ceased, and that of the commencement of his pension. For this pension, but this would be inadequate relief. It appears to me that this is a proper time of the chaining ruled under them. There are I appealed defects in our laws; they will probably require amendment to do justice to the numerous meritorious officers and soldiers who have been wounded in the present war. It is my opinion, without having partneularly examined this subject, that the present laws do not make a sufficient distinction between those whose disability is such as to wholly de-prive them of the capacity to support themselves, and those whose prive them of the capacity to support themselves, and those whose prive them of the capacity to support themselves, and those whose disability is partial, and not essentially disability for civil employment. In the former case the sacrifice of the wounded officer or soldier is great; it is in a pecuniary point of view alone equal to the value of a man's servicers for life. It is in reality much more than this, as it is no small thing to be wounded and lose a limb for

I would not encourage a spirit for war, or a desire for military I would not encourage a spirit for war, or a desire for military fame and distinction among the young men of the country; but those who possess this spirit and who hazard their lives, their limbs, and their health in the service of their country, I would deal justly and liberally with. Without saying more I commend this case to the examination and carvidal consideration of the Committee, and trust that they will be able to afford some relief to the petioner, either by a general law or a special act confined to this particular case, which is certainly one of great hardship.

### PUBLIC PRINTING.

Mr. ASHLEY submitted the following resolution for considera-

Resolved. That the Commutee on Princip be instructed to finitive into, and report to the Senate, the manner in which the princing of the Senath show here exercised in the principal of the Senath show here exercised in the contractive of the contracts, either and the quality ood user of the appear framished, or the manner to which the printing has been done: also, that they inquire and report whether the decements united and distributed by the Printers to Congress, are correctly printed from the originals sent to

GEN. SCOTT'S LATE ORDER.

Mr. MANGUM submitted the following resolution for consi-

Kendred, That the President of the United States he requested to inform the Sente whether the general orders, No. 36%, usual by Gen. Sout, at head-spatters, Mos. Don. No. 1888, and the Sentence of the Sente

SAULT STE. MARIE.

On motion by Mr. FELCH, it was

Ordered, That the report of the acting Secretary of the Treasury communicating a report from the Commissioner of the General Land Office, in answer to a resolution of the Senate of Dec. 7th 1847, respecting the military reservations and private land claims at Sant Ste. Marie, be referred to the Committee on Pulslie Lands.

# CASE OF LESLIE COMBS.

Mr. ASHLEY, from the Committee on the Judiciary, moved that the Committee be discharged from the further consideration of the petition of Leslic Combs, and that the same be referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

Mr. SEVIER .- I can see no reason why there should be a . change of reference in this case.

Mr. BERRIEN.—This is a claim arising from the result of negociation between the United States and Mexico, when Texas was yet an independent republie. It is not a claim presented as growing up subsequently to the time of the radmission into the Union, It is a question, therefore, depending on the principles of international law. After the Union of Texas with this Republic, such claims would properly belong to the Committee on Claims; but the claim having arisen prior to the Union, I submit that the subject properly belongs to the Committee on Eoreign Relations.

Mr. MANGUM.—I hope the Committee will not be discharged; if they should be, the subject ength not to go to the Committee on Foreign Relations; for it is undoubtedly, as it seems to me, entirely a distinct claim. It is one which necessarily requires the application of accurate and precise legal knowledge. And as these are very interesting questions, and as it is probable that they will occupy much of the attention of Congress in latere, I hope we shall have the benefit of all the learning of the distinguished Committee on the Judiciary.

Mr. BERRIEN.—I shall be perfectly willing to acquiesce in the suggestions of the Scantor from South Carolina, if he can indicate to me any one principle of manicipal law which will be brought into discussion in the consideration of this claim. The question is what is the responsibility which the United States incurs in consequence of her associating with the rself, and incorporating into the Union, a foreign state. If that is not a subject for the consideration of the Committee on Foreign Relations, I know not what is.

Mr. WESTCOTT.—I disagree entirely with the honorable Senator. I conceive that by far the most difficult and delicate question involved in this claim is a question of municipal law.

The question was put on discharging the Committee on the Judiciary, and it was determined in the negative.

### ADDITIONAL UNITED STATES' COURT IN TEXAS.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. RUSK asked, and obtained leave, to brigge in a bill to establish an additional District Courf of the United States in the State of Texas; which was read the first, and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

### UNITED STATES' COURTS IN MAINE.

Mr. ASHLEY, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom was referred the bill changing the time for holding the terms of the Circuit Court of the United States, in the District of Maine, reported it without amendment.

### JUDGMENTS AGAINST THE UNITED STATES.

Mr. ASHLEY, from the Committee on the Judiciary, reported a resolution in relation to the rendition of judgments against the United States in certain cases; which was read, and passed to the second reading.

### PRIVATE BILLS, ETC.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the Committee on Pensions, to whom was referred the petition of John Searing, submitted an adverse report; which was ordered to be printed.

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom was referred the memorial of Thomas Douglas, reported a bill for the relief of Thomas Douglas, late United States attorney for East Florida; which was read, and passed to a second reading.

On motion by Mr. BRADBURY, the vote by which the Senate had concurred in an adverse report submitted by the Committee of Claims, in the case of Amos Holton, was reconsidered; and the question recurring upon concurring in said report, it was

Ordered. That it be recommitted to the Committee of Claims,

## VACANCIES IN COMMITTEES.

Mr. BRADBURY was, at his own request, excused from further service as a member of the Commuttee on Printing, and as a member of the joint committee of the two Houses on printing.

Mr. CAMERON was, at his own request, excused from further service as a member of the Committee on Commerce.

Mr. YULEE was, at his own request, excused from further ser-

vice as a member of the Committee on Private Land Claims.

Mr. DICKINSON was, at his own request, excused from further service as a member of the Committee on Patents.

On notion by Mr. BRADBURY, the VICE PRESIDENT was authorized to make appointments to fill the vacancies in the fore-

going committees.

The PRESIDING OFFICER stated that he was somewhat embarrassed as to the intention of the Senate in regard to these appointments; whether the chairmen were to be designated by him, or merely appointed to complete the number.

Mr. MANGUM .- I understand that the practice of the Senate

has been that the chair simply appoint a member of the committee. I relative that, under parliamentary rules, every committee has a I relative that is under the same that the same that the same that the practice here to accede to the rule, when the appointment is given to the chair, that the first named on the committee shall be chairman. Still I think it is within the competency of the committee to displace that chairman at any time, and appoint another. But when a vacancy occurs, the usage, I think, has been simply to appoint a committee man.

Mr. SEVIER.—In regard to the practice of the Senate, I happen to recollect one instance which occurred in relation to a committee of which I was a member—I mean the Committee on Indiën, Affairs. Judge White had been our chairman for many years. I happened to be the second on that committee. When Judge White resigned his seat in the Senate, the then Presiding Officer of the Senate appointed Mr. Tipton to be chairman.

Mr. CALHOUN.—I know there have been cases where the chairmen have been appointed by the Presiding Officer, but I think the principle is that the chair appoints the committee men, and it belongs to the committee, where it is not otherwise provided for, to say who shall be chairman.

Mr. YULEE said that the Naval Committee had recently found occasion to examine the question presented by the Vice President. The result of the examination was that the practice of the Senate, as exhibited by an uniform and unbroken current of precidents, was to leave to the committees the selection of their Chairmen under the circumstances as stated by the Vice President.—The Senate might, by a distinct and direct action, designate a Chairman, and in several instances this had been done; but when the Vice President, under the direction of the Senate, tilled a vacancy, the member thus appointed invariably took place, on the list of the Committee, after the members already construing it. He believed no precedent would be found to the contrary. The action that followed the resignation of the Chairman of the Finance Committee, in the last Congress, firmished a correct illustration of the established practice of the Senate.

Mr. SEVIER read from the Senate Journal the case to which he had referred.

Mr. YULEE said it would be seen that the precedent referred to by the Senator from Arkansas, was in strict accordance with the practice as stated by him, (Mr. YULEE,) for it would be seen that, in the case his friend referred to, the Senate itself had designated the Chairman.

The Presiding Officer then put the question, "shall the appointments be limited to filling up the number," and

It was decided in the affirmative.

### THE TEN REGIMENT BILL.

The Senate resumed the consideration of the hill to raise, for a limited time, an additional military force.

Mr. P.OOTE—The Senator from North Carcinia (Mr. Papera, P.) concluded his speech of yesterday with the mention of what he was please to entitled, "the flickering light of military giver." What does this mean? Does he so much condemn the war that he is of opinion with some other distinguished gentlemen of his party, that no permanent honor can be acquired in a war so unjust and unconstitutional? I knew before, that the venerable Senator from Musschaustis, (Mr. Webster, had expressed some months ago his opinion, in very plan language too, that no real constitutional? I knew before, that the venerable Senator from Musschaustis, (Mr. Webster, had expressed some months ago his opinion, in very plan language too, that no real constitutional and the senator of the second of the series of the seri

the opinion of a single individual however distinguished, nor even of many, but "the adjudication of nations," and "the sentiment of the christian world." No wonder that the Senator from North the christian world." No wonder that the Senator from North Carolina talks about the flickering light of military glory. This word flickering is quite expressive: it is usually applied to the blaze of a candic or lamp just in the act of becoming extinct. And, sir, is it possible that all the glory which certain gentlemen lately saw in the achievements of our brave officers and men who have longitt in Mexico, is about hecoming echipsed! Shall we say of the base of Benao Vista: "Six transit Gloria mands". They the continues of military chieftains suddenly come upon distinguished gentlemen? Is Cicero's famous maxim—" Arma cedunt toga," about to be re-Is Cieero's famous maxim—" Arma celunt loga," about to be re-alized! Has some magnetic process been gaing on, in order to win distinguished gentlemen back to their old faith and prevent them from running after new idols! I have understood that the cele-brated lecturer on Electro-Magnetism is in the city, and, if ap-plied to, might perchance suggest the means of an either barze of magnetizing scheme. Well. I am really alread in the blaze of military glory is flickering, it may be expected to go out shortly.

Mr. BADGER .- If the Senator will allow me to interrupt him, The term I intended to use was very different. I meant the daz-zling light of military glory. I was not aware, until the Senator zling light of military glory. I was not aware, tinformed me, that I had used the word flickering.

Mr. FOOTE-Well, sir, dazzling is the word then. I am not sure that this helps the ease much. If the glory of arms is dazzling, why then, we inter that there is nothing substantial in it, but it is altoge-Sir, it is not my business to advocate the claims of mither delusive. litary men to high civil stations on account of their military dis-tinction alone. Nor shall I seriously interfere in this matter at all; tinction alone. Nor shall I seriously interfere in this matter at all; but, whether General Taylor's glory, as one of the officers in this but, whether General Taylor's glory, as one of the officers in this war, bo, or he no, cither flickering or dazzling, fading into extinction or merely delusory. Heel hound to say a few words in vindication of this commander, against the peculiar advoacey which the Senator from North Carolina has thought proper, on the present occasion, to originate in his behalf. He asserts that the movement of General Taylor to the Rio Grande, brought on the war; and the state of the and be further says, that General Taylor is not at all responsible for the movement. Indeed! General Taylor not responsible?— Why, it is one of his chief glories in the war, that he is responsible. The movement was necessary; he perceived the necessity of approaching the Rio Grande; did advance to it—and le! the collision of arms. The whole correspondence of General Taylor with sion et arms. The whole correspondence of Octera I aylor Will the government is of the same import, and is all alike calculated to fix upon him the chief responsibility of the movement, and secure to him the glory of having acted with singular acuteness, energy, and good sense at this delicate crisis.

[Here the Senator read from various documents in mainte-nance of what he had asserted, and then proceeded as follows:]

Sir, no man can examine this correspondence between General Taylor and the Secretary of War, without being perfectly satisfi-ed of these facts:—I. The administration entirely confided in his judgment and energy, and designed from the first, to endow him with an ample discretion as to his movements on the south-west-2. That every movement in the direction of the Rio Grande was taken, either upon his own judgment, in the absence of special instructions from Washington, or in obedience to in-structions founded upon his own previous advice; and 3d. That there was not one of these movements, from first to last, from the locawas not one of three hovements, from that to ass, from the acceptance of the troops on the west bank of the Nueces up to the earliest battle with the enemy, which he did not execute with great alacrity, and as there is good reason to believe, with a full conviction that what he was doing was necessary to the honor of his that what he was cong was necessary to the nonor of all country, and to his own personal fame. And now, when the glorious battles of Palo Alto, Reseas de la Palina, Monterey, and Buena. Vista have been fought, when General Taylor's fame and popularity are placed beyond question, by his conduct upon those immortal fields of bloody and perilous strife, I must regard it as one of the most illiberal, unfair, and (but for the respect which I bear certain gentlemen here, I would say,) unmanly and contemptible ellorts I ever heard of in my life, to shuffle him, that confectipators entered in the state of the first of a life in the state of the rayor, (and to so as nignly as any one in the republic, out of the pale of those who are structlying to make political capital out of his popularity, so help me Heaven, if I thought, as I am far from doing, that he would give his sanction, for an instant of time, to such miserable special pleading as we have heard here from his professed friends, I should feel compelled to give up entirely my present profound remote for his manufacture given by of justice and magnanimity. I will not believe, six, that were General Taylor now in our midst, he would fuil to set gentlement right on this subject, and I confidently believe that he would tell them, that he would seen all popularity or character which was to be obtained by a resort to technical soluteringes, that would not be very respectable even in a justices court, in a case where the whole matter in controversy only amounted to some 15 shillings or less. I am almost tempted to exclaim in the language of

Call you this backing your friends?"

it seems to me that, in the progress of the debate Sir, it seems to me that, in the progress of the debate which has been going on for some days past in this body, not a small number of the topics which have been introduced and more or less strenuously urged upon our attention, have either no legitior less strends s, a get apos mate connection with the grave and momentous question before us, or have been heretofore, so repeatedly discussed in legislative halls, or have been heretotore, so repeatedly discussed in legislative halls, and in popular assemblies, that but little, either of profit or entertainment, could be reasonably anticipated from a renewed examition of them at the present time. I may be in error, sir, but if I do not egregiously mistake the indications of public sentiment which are reaching us every hour, there has never been more una-nimity exhibited in this country, than is now displaying itself in regard to the origin, progress, and future pro-ecution of the pending war with Mexico. Sir, the patriotic citizens of this Rep almost everywhere, heartily approve the whole course of the ad-ministration thus far in the management of this war, and are reolved, that it shall not languish for want of the necessary supplies, both of men and money, to ensure its vigorous prosecution. Thank Heaven, we have not yet reached, and God forbid we should Thank Heaven, we have not yet reached, and God forbid we should ever reach, that sad period in our history as a free people, when a factions combination, any where, will be able to plunge the natal land of Washington and Jackson, of Jefferson and Franklin, into that deep and irretrievable disgrace which I lear that a few degenerate sons of heroic sires in different parts of the republic, have for the state of the republic, have for the state of the republic, have for its. Not yet, nor ever I trust, are we to realize those scenes on memorable in Athenian annals, when Demosthenes, whose fervid and fearless eloquence shock even the Macedonian Despot upon his throne, felt constrained by a high sense of patriotic duty to his throne, felt constrained by a high sense of patriotic launch the arrows of his blasting invective against certain factious orators of his day, who, swayed by party leeling or the mad am-bition to rule, or some motive still more inglorious, sought to get up a party in Athens opposed to timely measures of defence against the daugerous encroachments of Philip. Far from us and from our posterity, be that moment of disgrace and desperation, when an American Senator shall find it necessary to the freedom when an American Senator shall find it necessary to the freedom and honor of his country, to denounce any portion of his country, men in such language as burst in lightning and in thunder from the lips of the noblest champion of Greeian liberty, when he ex-elaimed: "But not only is our full conviction of these facts neces-sary to our safety, mot only is it necessary that we should resolve to take rengeance on Philip hiy all the operations of war; but it is cuully necessary that we should prosecute with the most deter-mined hatred, those who make harangues in his favor. Let us be mined narred, mose who make narrangues in his layor. Let us be strongly convinced, how impossible it is to vanquish the foreign en-emies of the republic, until we punish her domestic foes—these ministers of Philip. But I appeal to Jupiter, and all our other Gods, you have neither power for such an attempt, nor inclination. For to such excess are you arrived, either of infatuation or frenzy, (I am unable to express myself) that I am frequently alarmed, (I am unable to express myself) that I am frequently alarmed, lest some Demon precipitately hurry the republic to destruction; when, either for the sake of some personal invective, or invideous remark—some malignant riducile or trivial pleasantry, you command those mercemares of Philip to speak in your assemblies, and laugh when they are seurribously abusive. Nor is this the severest mischief, however severe; for you have at I least in part, given up the republic to their administration, and rendered it less dangeothers to propose that counsel which concerns your interests and honor alone

honor aloue." President, who listened to the able argument of the Senator from Maryland, a few days since, could have avoided being forcibly struck with the peculiar oegency of that part of it which was devoted to establishing the important position that the pending war with Mexico is both just and honorable.— Perhaps I should have been still more impressed with the views of the Senator from Maryland, had I not been led, from his high repunc semante from Burryianu, mus i no seen rea, norm illis ingle rep-utation as a pirist and statesman, to antiopar such an effort as would comport with the peculiar dignily of his own eclusives State, and he not unworthly of the successor in this body of the first of American orators, the illustrious Pinckney. I fear, though, that the logical artillery of the honorable Senator was barably felt to play with any decided effect upon the convictions of those most proximate to him in this chamber; among whom, if he should, perchance, have effected a conversion or two, I shall regard it as matter of special gratulation. But, whatever fortune the Senator may have had in this respect, such an argument as he has presented here will certainly not fail to receive a just appreciation at the hands of his countrymen, and the day can never by possibility armanus of the contribution, and the day can never by possibility ar-rive when he will regret the expression of views fat once so wise and so noble. Long will "the star-spangled banner" of our fathers wave in triamph "over the land of the free and the home of the wave in triumph over the rain of the nee and the hold of the brave," whilst sentiments of lofty patriotism in our national coun-cils shall attend upon the valorous achievements of our heroic armies upon the fields of a just and defensive war.

The speech of the Senator from Maryland, so far as it was in vindication of the justice and honor of the war, could searcely have failed of its intended effect in any quarter, as it would seem to me, lailed of its intended effect in any quarter, as it would seem to me, except where compelled to encounter that great enemy to truth, against which the venerable Senator from South Carolina, the other day, so solemnly warned us, and which he was pleased to denomate "pride of opinion." When this pride of opinion was day, so solemnly warned us, and which he was preased to denom-inate "pride of opinion." When this pride of opinion was thus, with something of dramatic emphasis exorcised by the dis-tinguished Senator from the noble Palmetto State, I confess that I searcely knew precisely what quality of the mental man might be probably alluded to. But I have since ascertained, from some who profess to be particularly wise in such matters, that this same pride of opinion is a morbid condition of the human intellect, brought on, most commonly, at least in understandings of a cer-tain elevation and expansive force, by multiplied successes achieved over vigorous opponents, in the arean of logical digitalization: That it is a status of moral being very much disrelished by those who nre over eager to make proselytes, either in religion or politics, and of which those are apt to complain most dolorously when they and of which mose are apt to compan most dolorously which may suspect its existence in others, who, in the impartial judgment of mankind in general, are most subject to the access of the malady themselves. Now, as pride of opinion is commonly understood to be precisely "antogonistical" to what is known among practical politicians under the dread name of inconsistency, (which latter quality is quite celebrated as a grievous impediment to high civic advancement,) I am not entirely able to perceive why it might not as permitted to escape severe criticism on this sile of the Allantic, where most of our leading statemen, numindful of illustrious examples elsewhere, of the formal and public disavowal of opinions once ascertained to be clearly erroneous, are every now and then heard to insist, with an carnestness which is the castomary attendant of perfect sincerity of heart, that though it may be quite unit of pericet sincertry of neart, that though it may be quite possible for the wondrows magnet to lose more or less of its charac-teristic polarity, and for Phelius, himself, somes these cold and clon-dy days, in a moment of sudden coprice, perchance, to permit the regular succession of the seasons to become fatality disturbed, yet that the operative powers of their minds are not at all subject to the great a v of change, which is impressed upon the whole universe besweet and the the happiness still, in the noon or evening of life, as the case may be, to entertain the self-same opinions, upon all the public questions which have divided parties in this their day and generation, which they adopted upon their first contranee into the tangled and thorny pathways of modern states-

manship.

Mr. President: The learned and able Senator from Maryland Mr. President: The learned and able Senator from Maryland has made it wholly unnecessary that the administration now in power should be hereafter defended against a number of charges growing out of this Mexican war. Did not know, that the course of that Senator had not been at all actuated by a desire to conciliate the political party with which it is my honor to had connexion, I should thank him, in the name of those associated with me, for the exaceable and effectival relief which he has the usefforded for the seasonable and effectual relief which he has thus afforded us, and which his conscience and patriotism have forced him to afford us, and which his conscience and pariroits mave to reed hunt to attout, from the rusidious and persevering hostility of an unscrupatious press, sustained and encouraged as it is, by a furious band of unbushing demagenes, who occupy themselves night and day in traduc ng the government of their own country, and in bewailing the wrongs and sufferings of those whom they denominate, "our republican brethren in Mexico." Had a friend of the ruling adrepublican brethren in Mexico." Had a friend of the ruling administration, however elevated in point of character, and energetic in the field of debate, given utterance to many of the striking and important truths, so startingly announced by the Senator from Maryland, there is reason to believe that the work of conviction would have been scarcely consummated in the minds of many de-luded citizens, who will now see that to doubt the justice and honor of this war, and all the minor points involved in this sweep-ling, proposition is to subtify their arm neglected as a second bonor of this war, and all the minor points involved in this sweeping proposition, is to stullify their own understandings, and to
close their hearts to all the ennobling sentiments which swell and
animate the bosom of the patriot. Who will ever be unblushing
enough hereafter, to deny the honor and justice of this war? Who
will hereafter deny that the Kio Grande was the boundary line of
Texas, before annexation, and of our country since? Who will
dare to call in question the authority or policy of marching our
army to the left bank of this now famous river? Who will doubt
the previous determination of the Meying accompanies as recarmy to the felt bank of this now tamous river? Who will doubt the previous determination of the Mexican government, as pro-marined that the properties of of intended invasion be found west of the Sabine? Who will not now perceive that a large military force had been already concen-trated in the neighborhood of Matismoras, which was almost ready to light up the flames of war along our whole frontier, he-fore the movement of our heroic army from the west bank of the fore the movement of our heroic army from the west bank of the Nueces? Thus might I have indulged in the language of interroga-tion a few days ago. Alas! We can do so no longer. Several gentlemen standing high with their party, and of deservedly re-spectable rank in a learned profession, have lately attempted to put the e-ountry afford agoin upon the ocean of disputation. But, I trust they will forgive me for saying, what I think all save a trust they will forgive me for saying, what I think all save methious which foll for more interesting that I could not perceive methious which foll for more interesting that I could not perceive anything which fell from either of them at all calculated to anything which for from three or them at an extensive two ways had been been to the Senator from Maryland, as to any of the argument of the Senator from Maryland, so far as any of the openist discussed by him. These, I proclaim, so far as partisan openist on is concerned, are settled points; in the language of the law-books, res adjustatus. The arean of controversy as to all these questions heretolore so fire-freely disputed, should be regarded as being now forever closed; and according to the Senategation as might be designed in the President is only to blame for not having caused a larger force to march under command of General Taylor. than that distinguished officer saw assembled around him upon the buttle-fields of Pulo Alto, and Researe die Palma. So that the President was not censurable for ordering the march to the Rio Grande, but for not discovering the intention of the enemy still earlier than he did, and for fading to assemble a sufficient body of men under the standard of our commy to have at one demoralized the foe, and have caused him to abandon his hostile design forever. I am persuadel, that if the Senator had looked into this matter somewhat more carefully, he would have ascertained that there was as than that distinguished officer saw assembled around him upon the

little ground for condemning the Executive on this score, as for little ground for condemning the Executive on this score, as for ordering the narch to the Rio Grande; as it is at least certain beyond contradiction, that General Taylor had full anthority to procure the increase of his army to any extent which he might indige necessary, and that though he had but a small force, in point of manners, to contend with the large army at the moment known to be concentrating upon the Rio Grande, yet his army was just as an and harge as he desired it to be, or supposed to be at all necessary; which is force necessary in the force of the start can be made to harmonize with a position afterwards taken, that though Mexico was entirely to blame, yet that the President is to blame also, and that it was the duty of the President, though commander-in-chief of our army, and bound by his oath of office as such, first to consult Congress before he sent a single order to our commander at Corpus Christi, directing him in accordance with our commander at Corpus Christi, directing him in accordance with is own views of the propriety, as antecedently expressed to the government, to place the troops under his command in an attitude which might enable them to defend the soil of our country from threatneed invasion, I shall leave to the Senator himself and the country to determine. I shall not debate this point; a discussion of it, as it strikes me, would be wholly profitles; and I was too highly gratified with nearly all that if-II from that distinguished gentleman, to seek controversy with him upon subordinate questions. I would rather believe that any apparent inconsistency in the views of the Senator from Maryland, arose from haste and in-advertnee; from a natural novillingness to part company altogether advertence; from a natural unwillingness to part company altogether with those who have been heretofore his political associates; or from a disposition sometimes evinced by legal gentlemen to show to those around with how much skill and adroftness they are able to confute, change hands, and still confute. Instead of censuring the Senator from Maryland for what may have appeared to savor somewhat of illiherality and unfairness, I would prefer saying, that whilst the distinguished Senator was speaking I observed ing, that whilst the distinguished scientor was speaking I observed the tempestuous excitement which he was awakening, around him; and discerning in countenances wont to be screne; the signs of rising wrath, I could not help recurring to that scene of elemental conflict, so vividly portrayed by a poet of our times, when he ex-

"The sky is changed! and such a change! oh night!
And storm, and darkness, ye are wondrom storm, yet lovely in your strength,
As is the light of addits eye in wondrom. Ear along,
As is the light of addit eye in wondrom. Ear along,
Lawy the live brunder! Not from one lone cloud,
But every mountain now hath found to topine,
And Juna ransvers from his mixty abround.
Back to the joyous Alpe, who call to him hands,"

And pursuing the description a little farther, I would beg leave to say of the Senator from Maryland:

"Now where the quick Rhone thus hath cleft his way, The mighinest of the storms hath it can be stand! The mighinest of the storms hath it can be stand! And dings their thouseholds from hand to hand, Flashing and ceat around: of all the hand! The bughest thought these porful hills, lath forked The bughest through these porful hills, lath forked That in such gaps as decolation worked. There the hot halfs should blast whatever therein larked."

Sir: The President has been much complained of in this discussion, for having suggested in his second annual message, that 'aid and comfort' had been imparted to Mexico in this war, by a

"aid and comfort" had been imparted to Mexico in this war, by a few persons in this country who are exerting a zealous opposition to the further proceeding of hostilities. I deem this complaint wholly unfounded, and will endeavor to demonstrate it to be so. Sir: In this age of cultivated reason, which may be emphasized cally called the age of moral influences, when the mind of man is except duy achieving new victories, more brilliant and decisive that the state of the destroyer of the control of the old, over the serious implements of various kinds which have been obstructed its progress along the pathways of know-ledge; when the matured thoughts of gilted and powerful muds, upon subjects both practical and speculative, by means of innumerable printed volumes, the newspaper press, periodicals, literary and scientific, convenient mail arrangements, steamboats and other vessels of great elerity of motion upon the water, railwars and the electric telegraph upon the land, are communicated in most with the rapidity of lightning, from the elocets of the learned to the toiling millions of all evilized countries under the sun; when arguments, and statements of lact, and the beautiful emblishments of potte fancy, and the dazzling and overwhelming influence of in-flammatory eloquence, are constantly finding their way to the palaces of the great and the cottages of the humble, penetrating rowns, and villages, and country-places, and reaching even the most retired nooks and corners of social existence—dreadful, oh dreadful is the re-sponsibility both to God and man, of him who perverts truth or prop-agates falsehood, or sophisticates for the debision of the masses, or shows a reckless disregard of the consequences likely to be pro-daced in the minds and conduct of others, by what he either utters as a public speaker, or throws upon paper for more extensive dis-semination. There are distinguished statesmen in this country, whose speeches and letters are read with attention, and are known to wield an influence not inconsiderable among all nations where the art of printing has been introduced, and with which we of poetic fancy, and the dazzling and overwhelming influence of inwhere the art of printing has been introduced, and with which we have heretofore established relations of commercial intercourse. Some of these personages have been at one time members of this body; some are, perchance, bustling and noisy politicians still, who delight in frequenting the thoroughfares of social life, and in keeping their political friends in remembrance of their own peculiar merits. But there is still another class of American great men whose opinions are interesting to the world: I allude now to the Nestors or Methnsalems of parrys, a few of whom have retreated long since to Methnsalems of parrys, a few of whom have retreated long since to Methnsalems of parrys, a few of whom have retreated long since to the calmer walks of private life, but who still, through sementally investigated the state of the ing their political friends in remembrance of their own peculiar mein this hode, for some days, and perhapis weeks, refused positively to enter into the debate then in progress, and finally declared in most emphatic terms, the obligation which he felt to be resting on his conseince, whilst the title of his country to territorial domain was undergoing diplomatic discussion, not to breather a single syllable calling that the in question; have not heatitated, in this war with Mexico, when questions much more important than chaims to dissipate the control of the progress of the control of the co pured territory were pending between the two countries; when millions of money, and the most precious blood of the nation were nll placed at hazard upon the issue of arms; when national honor and individual fame were both in a condition to be dangerously and individual fame were both in a condition to e dangerously affected by imprudent and mischievous speaking and acting, to arrange, in the most ostentatious manner, for the follmination of fierce and fervid harangues, denunciatory of their own country and commendatory of the enemy; at a moment and under such encurastances too, as must have made known to themselves in advance constances too, as mist have made known to dichiseries in advance, the material fact, that almost as soon as their speeches should be delivered, they would be read in the United States, and thus serve to dispirit their own countrymen and indispose them more or less for the hard service of the tented field; would circulate in Mexico, and encourage the enemy to renewed bostilities; would be republished across the Atlantic and come back with a rebound from reign shores, to multiply the original effect, both here and in Mexico. These gentlemen could not well avoid knowing all these things; and yet have they been wholly unmindful of them. Indeed things; and yet nave they been whonly diminished of their. The az few are reported to have grown more forms under the just eensures with which they have been visited. Will any man say now, that these persons were not warring against their own country? That they have not, morally speaking, joined the enemy? Well do we know the opinion and feelings of our own noble officers and soldiers on this melancholy subject. The speech of the heroic soldiers on this methanenoly subject. In e-speech of the nervoic Doniphan, at St. Louis last summer; the recent statements of Wyn-coop and Morgan, which we have all read in the newspapers, have administered most damning proof against these mischievous and meddlesome harangners, and will eventually consign them one and all, if they shall not speedily exhibit satisfactory signs of a ge-nuine repentance, to an infaury as undying as their offences, whilst unatoned for, are unpardonable, either upon earth, or in Heaven. Hear what the heroic Col. Burnet said the other day in Phila-delphia, at a dinner given in honor of him:

"He (Col. Burnet) could not refrain from thanking the company assembled for the compliment done his regiment and himself—that it did not exactly become him replaced for peoples of the war, but he would give some perent's tives in negard to the people and the people of the people of the people of the people of the same people of the pe

Let no man hereafter complain of the President; he told but the truth about this matter, and with a republican boldness and simpleity becoming his character and station. As our executive sendered to the control of th

tion from infamy and danger, either actual or impending, why then be may perchance escape condemnation for what appears prima facit to be so very censurable. But woo be to them if they fail in their justificatory proof on this point; for I tell them, and all of them, that it were better that they should have died in infame; or that they should have died in infame; or that they should have died in infame; or that they should now have a mill-stone tied about their recreamt necks and be cast into the bottomiess sea, than to stand convicted before the present generation and all posterity, of having joined in soul and voice with the enemies of their country. A disturguished citizen of Kentucky, a leader of the party eposeed to the Administration, sual in delphia, ambids the acchanitions of patriotic thousands, that "without now enquiring into the origin of the war, (I quote from memory and hope not to do injustice) it was the duty of every American citizen to give his head, his heart, and his hand to his country. The same gentleman, it is said, a short time before, in New Orleans, had expressed himself as being in lavor of a vigorous prosecution of the war, spoke fiercely of vimileating the wrongs of his countrymen, and actually talked about going into battle himself with the view of slaving a Maxican, or at the least, taking one prisoner. This was all very deliberately and patriotically said, and contains the strongest rebuke upon the class of men to whom I have been referring that could well be imagined. I hape the distinguished statesman and sage of Ashland has not yet early and contains the strongest rebuke upon the class of men to whom I have been referring that could well be imagined. I hape the distinguished statesman and sage of Ashland has not yet and the subject of the propose the then pending war with Britain, when he told him that he polluted the very earpet upon which he was treading, was the true American spirit, and is known to have awakened a hearty response from every hill and valley of the republic

in the time of the control of the co

In this moment of general exultation, it is said that Hanno, the chief of the faction opposed to Hamibal, though be knew the war to be unjust and unauthorized, and was full of anxiety as to its unitante consequences to Carthage, acted with great dignity and composure; nor did he utter a sylladle in opposition, until stung by certain sarcastic reflections which were cast upon him, he replied briefly in his own defence, and commenced his speech with these methoralbe words: "I should have remained silent this day, lest in a time of general joy, I might utter some expression tending to damp it." And after denoneing Hamibal and his unprincipled multiton at some length, he finally refused to vote supplies, expressly on the ground that Hamibal, who wooled them, "I had deluded his country with ground that Hamibal, who needed them, where the the subject of the control of the present the control of the

000 soldiers for immediate offensive operations. That I do not misunderstand Gen. Scott's application for additional troops, will at once be obvious to all who will examine his letter, an extract of which has been read here and runs thus: "Augment the army to which has been read nere and runs times: "Augment the amy to 50,000 men, to enable it to occupy at the same time nearly all the State capitals, and the principal cities; to drive guerrillas and other robbing parties from the great highways of trade; to seize into our hands all the revenues of the country, internal as well as external, for the support of the occupation, and to keep the central government in motion and alarm, until constrained to see for And now what say the Hanno's of the American Sennte peace." And now what say the Why, they fiereely attack the whole arrangement for augmenting the army in Mexico—assert that no more troops are needed—that the army in Mexico—assist ran under tender consists and the consists of the co continued existence

Mr. President, I listened to the speech of the honorable Senator from Delaware, (Mr. Clayton,) the other day, with feelings of unmixed surprise and mortification. The Senator commenced by denouncing our claim to territorial indemnity at the hands of Mexdenouncing our claim to territorial indemnity at the hands of Mexico as dishonest, and even charged us with an attempt to commit the vilest robbery upon our weak and defenceless neighbor. Being but a norus hoopse within this hall, I am not prepared to say whether such language is in strict accordance with what I hear so much talked about in different circles, under the name of Senatorial usage; but I take leave to say in reply, that in the first place, my mind is not able to perceive the legal analogy between the representation of which I believe the distinguishment of the control of tion of which I believe the distinguished Senator himself voted, and also for supplies both of men and money to aid its procention.) and a lawless attempt, by putting in bodily fear, to deprive an inocent and unoffeading traveller on the highway, (which was the case he cited.) of his personal goods and chattels. And, second, that the implication of dishonesty against all on this side of the chamber which his words conveyed, if they were not indeed to rended to be meanthely in Keeping with the character which I am glad to learn that the honorable Senator has heretofore sustained for courtesy and high breeding. for courtesy and high breeding.

Mr. CLAYTON .- Will the Senator allow me to correct him? I think the Senate will bear me witness that I cast no imputation on the personal motives of any one in this chamber. That is not

Mr. FOOTE .- The honorable Senator does not deny, still, that be brought the charge of robbery against the whole democratic party—but says he intended no accusation of dishonesty. Now, how he can reconcile the charge of robbery with perfect honesty

how he can reconcile the charge of robbery with perfect nonesty of purpose, I leave to him to explain. Now it is certain, that where I reside, and in all the neighbor-ing country, high-way robbery is regarded as quite a serious affair, hoth against law and morals, insomed that it is uniformly punished, when the operpertance is detected, and brought to justice, by death upon the scaled. It would seem though that the Senated from Delaware has been accustomed to quite a different code of from Delaware has been accustomed to quite a different code of tehics; and that in his judgment, robbery, or the taking away of personal goods and chattels, by violence from the person of the owner, by pating him in serious bodily (tear, may be in Delaware, (I hope there is some mistake about this matter though,) a very creditable, virtuous, and praiseworthy act, and the robber himself, may be altogether a decent and estimable gentleman. I know that Mr. Jeduron has charged upon Mr. Hamilton, that he cuter-from the charged upon Mr. Hamilton, that he cutertained the opinion, that it was quite possible for a man to be hontames the opinion, trait it was quite possible for a man to be bon-est in private like, and yet practice: gross corruption, in his public capacity; but I belong to a class of politicians who believe out of the heart of man, are all the issues of like; that no honest and pare minded man, is either capable of stooping to the perpetration of impuly himself, or of giving his sanction to it in others; and that miquity himself, or of giving his sanction to it in others; and that corruption in a public man, necessarily implies the existence of private dishonesty. But, the honorable Senator disclaims any design of being personally offensive, to any on this side of the chamber; and with this explanation I am bound to be satisfied. I commend the Senator to a more circumspete employment hereafter, of the terms of declamatory rhetoric.

The Senator from Delaware insists, that the troops proposed to be raised by this bill are not necessary in Mexico; and in support of this view, relates an accdote relative to General Scott, which, it strikes me, was rather amusing in itself, and more humorously it strikes no, was return amusing or treet, and more industrially told, then exclude the return the treet of cans for a week." I suspect there must be some mistage about this matter, as I have always understood, and have yet reason to believe, that he was supplied with a much larger force than 5,000 men, at his own request, and that all the additional troops who have gone to him since, have been sent because he stood in want of them.

Mr. CLAYTON.—I wish to say to the honorable Senator that he misunderstands what I said. I did not say that General Scott 30TH CONG .- 1ST SESSION-No. 18.

had asserted that with four or five thousand men he could take the had asserted that with four or five thousand men he could take the Capital in a week, or within any other specified time. I did men-tion this, however—an anecdote, as the gentleman termed it :—I asid General Scott had stated, when speaking of the relative provess of Mexicans and our own countrymen, that he did be-lieve that with a single granite column of five thousand Ameri-can soldiers—to use his own expression—he could whip any Mex-ican force that could be brought against him, if if should rain Mexicans for a week.

Mr. FOOTE.—The Senator from Delaware was pleased to read from a newspaper, an account of some 50,000 persons not belonging to the army proper, mere attendants upon its movements, who, he says, are actually ahout settling in Mexico, and who, with true yankee enterprize, are already preparing to establish a railway from Vera Cruz to the city of the Aztees, and intend also, to sapply the ancient capital of Montezuma with the blessing of the Electric vert Criz to lite of the descension in the blessor of the Electric the ancient capital of Monteauma with the blessor of the Electric Telegraph; and of these things to be a superior the plans. Well, and the head of all these things before, and had rejoiced over them exceedingly. I hope that American enterprise will shortly enoneet every eight in Mexico by railways, and that the electric telegraph may specific supply commercial communication between every important place of trade on the Pacific coast and those parts which are located on the shore of the Atlantic.

He says, that if we send the additional regiment there, they will never come back; the country is so very delightful they will never leave it. Indeéd, he says, "if you wish them to return, you will be cruelly disappointed; it will be like calling spirits from the vasty deep; they will not come when you do call them? Well, sir, if they do not come back because they shall have bettered their fortners in Mexico, and find that they are more likely to live happily and prosperously there than in the United States, I shall certainly not bewall their new-found felicity; and if the spirits that

certainly not bewail their new-found felicity; and if the spirits that

certainly not bewail their new-found felicity; and if the spirits that the wizard Glendower was wont to call around hin, Inad at any time, half as good an excuse for not obeying his summons, I am of opinion, that he should have put up with the loss of their society without complaining.

The truth is, that I have suspected for a long while, that Mexico was a sort of earthly Paradise; and I hold in my hand a book, written by a person sustaining very particular relations with myself, in which I find Mexico described in the most glowing terms imaginable; and, by way of strengthening the suggestions of the imaginates, and, by way of stringulening the suggestions of the Senator from Delaware, as to its desirableness as a country here-after to be settled by enterprising men of our own race, I will read the poetic description of I Italy, by Goldsmith, which, the author just alluded to, has not hesitated to apply to Mexico.

"Whatever finits in different climes are foonal, That poonly ries, or hombly court the groood; Whatever blooms in torid fracts appear, Whose bright successors the control of the court of the With the successors of the court of the With vermal tinist that blossom but to die, These, here di-porting, owo the kindred sol, Nor ask loximance from the planter's tool, While sea-born gales their gold wings expand, To winnow fragmene cound the smalls flead;

The whole South will doubtless feel particularly grateful to the Senator for so scasonably and solemnly warning them against the dangers likely to arise to their peculiar domestic institutions, from dangers likely to arise to their peculiar domestic institutions, from the annexation of Mexico; as the Senator from Delaware was one of those special friends of the South who voted for the Wilmot proviso last winter, it cannot be at all doubted that he occupies the very best possible attitude for assuming the guardianship of our peculiar local concerns. The honorable gentleman will forgive me though, I trust, for exclaiming, in the name of one Southern State: 'Time Danaos: et dona frenters.' With all due deference to the honorable Senator's judgment about the matter, sir, I am of opinion that it will be easy, in the event of any considerable acquisition of territory from Mexico hereafter, to reconcile all the local interests and feelings heretofore existing on the subject, upon principles which I may yet state before this session of Congress shall draw to a close. hall draw to a close

Mr. CLAYTON .- I voted not only for the Proviso, but for all amendments offered to defeat the bill appropriating three millions of secret service money to be expended among the Mexicans.

Mr. FOOTE.—The members of this body will not fail to recollect the imposing and exultant manner in which the Senator from Delaware paraded before us, towards the close of his speech, the celebrated resolutions of 1803, providing for a re-seizure of "the place of deposit," as it was called at the time, in the island of Orleans, and deposit," as it was called at the time, in the island of Orleans, and supplying the President with an armel force to resist all future aggressions on the part of Spain. The resolutions, when amended, it seems, left out the proposition to seize violently "the place of deposit," and increased the military force prepared to be raised from 'fifty' to "eighty thousand" "effective" mer, yielding to the President full power, "whenever he should judge it expedient, to require of the Executives of the respective States to take effectual measures to organize, arm, and equip, according to law, and hold thousand effective militia, odificers included," and these resolutions in their modified form, were enacted into a law, as I find, in a short time thereafter. short time thereafter.

Now, what is the comment of the honorable Senator from Delaware upon this transaction? Why he says: "Did Mr. Jefferson

raise an army to march upon and seize the territory, or to point our canaon into the windows of New Orleans, and thus provokea conflict? Did any such spirit as that which seems to rage twith an inbridled fury, pervade the Democracy of that party? No, sir—no, sir. The love of justice had not abundoned the American character was still dear to

American statesmen.

"Thomas Jedferson, with his friends, dropped the proposition of Mr. Ross to seize on the country on the Mississippi, sent an envoy extraordinary to join Mr. Livingston in France; and they negotiated the celebrated treaty of 1803, by which Louisiana was continued to the continued the continued to the continu

to by the democracy of ancient days." I have several observations on a how this part of the honorable Senator's speech, any one of which might of itself be deemed decisive; and 184, in the case of the control of the

This item is the bisney of the afthir. Mr. Jefferson says in his manual message of 1803, anterior of course to the introduction of consist or the pressure of the same of the

loyed kindness, that the number of errors which he has committed in connexion with this affair is really surprising. He appears to think that Ross with the proposed to "seize on the country on the Missistipp. Why, this was not the case. They only proposed to appear to the country on the Missistipp. Why, this was not the case. They only proposed in the proposed in the control of the proposed of the proposed of the proposed in the proposed of the proposed of the proposed of the proposed of the Sentor will see at once, by a re-examination of the resolutions. And why was this proposed? Simply because the original "place of deposite" had been discontinued, and no equivalent establishment assigned." What the honorable Senator means by talking about pointing cannon at windows in New Orleans, I am not able to divine. He surely doss not mean to cast censure upon the officers in command at Port Brown. But it really books very much like it. The Senator with find and the proposed of the

tively assured that no precise orders on this particular point ever emanated from Washington.

But what if I open to the Senator a fact or two more in regard

but the following of the theorem of the following of the time, in order to

the following of the follo

gressions upon our population.

Mr. Jefferson refers to these acts of violence in his annual message of 1805, thus:

"Inneads have been recently made into the territoric of Orleans and the Minningpl. Our entrem have been second, and then properly planeted in the very post of fewer and solitors of thing powermous. I have, therefore, found it accessing a large fewer and solitors of thing powermous. I have, therefore, found it accessing at length to give orders to our troops on that frontier, to be in readiness to proact our citizens, and to repell by arms and ynalmic agreements future.

The Senator from Delaware has referred us to the pure times of Jeffersonian democracy, and would have as to understand that Mr. Jefferson and the democrats of his size ewere a particularly gentle and forbearing body of men, and hut they especially ewined this temper and disposition towards pain; and the Senator recommends in a very soleman strength of the second to the second of the second o

would have been a territorial annexationist in 1803, had he acted then as a politician, and hope that he may yet abadoan the no territory ground upon which his party have now fairly pitched their political teats for the campaign of 1848.

As the Senator from Delaware appears to he so much caamored of the charms of Jeffersonia democracy, I will do myself the honor of calling his attention to the letter of Mr. Jefferson, written in 1807, to our minister, Mr. Bowdoin, in Paris, which appears to me to be strikingly marked with the true democratic spirit of that period:

"Never did a nation act with more perfuly or injustice than Spain has constantly practiced against uv.; and if we have kept our hands off of her till now, it has been purely out of respect to France, and from the value we can on the franching of France. We expect, therefore, from the franching of the Emproy. that the will cultic constant of the first part of the principle of the constant of the first of the constant of the first of the first of the first of the constant of the first of the firs

I imagine that by this time, the Senator from Delaware is getting a little tired of Jelfersonian democracy. He will excuse me though, I hope, for one more experiment upon his patience. In a letter written by Mr. Jelferson, in 1785, to A. Stewart, Esq., he uses the following language and the second of the seco

"I learn form an expression in your letter, that the people of Kentacky think of separating, not only from Virginia, (in wheat they are right, ) but also from the Colorlespool citters should be thinself assumed. Our present federal limits are not too large for good government, nor will the mercine of votes in t outgress produce as ill effect. On must be viewed as the arcs, from which all America, North and South, is to be propiled. We should rate care, too, and to think it for the interest of that great Controlly for the control of the control of the control of the control of the controlly form the control of the control of the control of the controlly dear to the control of the control of the control of the controlly of the control of the control of the control of the control of the controlly of the control of the con

Now, I will tell the Senator, that if he if he is a Jeffersonian democrat, I am one too; and as such, I fully believe, that our confederacy "must be viewed as the next, from which all America, North and South, is to be peopled," and I am decidedly in lavor, with Mr. Jefferson, of getting fairly and I am decidedly in lavor, timeds, the property of the democratic party always heretofere; and the opposite or no territory ground, hus been that of the federalists of the olden time and of their whig successors since.

The usual hour for adjournment having arrived, Mr. FOOTE gave way to a motion to adjourn, and

The Senate adjourned.

# THURSDAY, JANUARY 20, 1848.

REPORT FROM THE NAVY DEPARTMENT.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a report of the Secretary of the Navy, made agreeably to law, accompanied by a list of the names of persons who have applied for relief under the Navy Pension Laws, and whose claims have been rejected.

### PETITIONS

Mr. ATCHISON presented the petition of David H. Leeper, praying the confirmation of his title to a New Madrid location; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

Mr. STURGEON presented the petition of citizens of Greene County, Pennsylvania, praying the establishment of a mail-route from Greensborough to Taylor's Store, in said county; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Mr. HANNEGAN presented the petition of Robert Piatt, praying compensation for his services as Deputy Commissary of Purchases in the last war with Great Britain; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Also, the memorial of Robert Piatt, heir and legal representative of Daniel Piatt, late an officer in the Revolutionary Army, praying to be allowed an undrawn balance of his seven years balf pay; which was referred to the Committee on Revolutionary Claims.

Mr. BREESE presented the petition of the heirs of Robert Morrison, deceased, praying the confirmation of their title to a tract of land; which was referred to the Committee on Private Land Claims.

Also, the petition of the heirs of Josiah Bleakley, deceased, praying the confirmation of their title to a tract of land; which was referred to the Committee on Private Land Claims.

Mr. PEARCE presented the petition of James C. Wilson, a Clerk in the War Department, praying an increase of compensation; which was referred to the Commuttee on Military Affairs.

Mr. DOUGLAS presented the petition of G. Edmunds, jr., for himself and in hehalf of the Des Moines Rapids Improvement Company, praying the assent of Congress to the making of a canal around the Des Moines Rapids, in the Mississippi river; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce.

On motion by Mr. UPHAM, it was

Ordered, That the petition of John McCloud, on the files of the Senate, he referred to the Committee on Pensions.

On motion by Mr. DICKINSON, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Elijah Buchanan, and the petition of redered St. John Beetley, on the files of the Senate, be severally referred to the Committee on Pensions.

On motion by Mr. CORWIN, it was

Ordered, That the petition of George Wingard, on the files of the Senate, he referred to the Committee on Pensions.

On motion by Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on Military Affairs be discharged from the further consideration of the petition of Eugene Van Ness, and John M. Brush, executors of Nehemiah Brush, deceased; and that it be referred to the Committee of Claims.

MONUMENTS TO DECEASED SENATORS.

Mr. HANNEGAN submitted the following resolution for con-

Resolved. That a select committee be appointed to inquire whether it may be necessary to adopt any measures for the fluture construction, and preservation of the monaments to the memory of deceased members of the Senate; and also under what circumstances monuments have been so crecked, and may herea let be erected.

The resolution was ordered to be printed.

# GEN. TAYLOR'S VIEWS, ETC.

Mr. BERRIEN submitted the following resolution for consideration:

Resolved, That the President of the United States be requested to furnish to the senate, copies of the letters, reports, or other communications which are referred in

the letter of General Zachary Taylor, dated at New Orleans, 20th July, 1845, and addressed to the Secretary of War, and which are so referred to as containing the views of General Taylor, previously communicated in regard to the line proper to be occupied at that time by the United States.

Mr. CALHOUN suggested a modification by adding the words:

And any similar communication from any officer of the army on the same subject.

Mr. BERRIEN.—The honorable Senator from South Carolina suggests an amendment to the resolution which I am perfectly willing to accept.

The Senate then proceeded to consider the resolution by unanimous consent.

Mr. CASS.—I would rather, for my part, that the resolution should lie over. It seems to me exceedingly sweeping in the terms, calling for the views of all the officers, from the highest to the lowest. I do not know what particular information it refers and it gives no discretion to some of it may be highly improper, and it gives no discretion to accordice to without it, it, in his opinion, its publication would be inconsistent with the public interests.

Mr. BERRIEN.—In regard to calls for communications from other officers, it is a modification of the resolution which was proposed by the honorable Senator from South Carolina, and which I have accepted; but, of course, he will explain what he proposes by the amendment. In regard to the discretion of the Executive to withhold such information as he may think the interests of the country require should be withhold, I presume that he has the power to exercise that discretion at all times; but I have no sort of objection to add to the resolution a provise "if not inconsistent with the public service." All that I desire is to know what is the purport of the letter. However, if the Senator from Michigan stull insists that the resolution shall lie over, of course I must accurate the contract of the service of th

 $\operatorname{Mr.\ CASS.}\text{--}\operatorname{Do\ I}$  understand the Senator to say that he accepts the amendment.

Mr. BERRIEN.—Certainly. The chair will be good enough to add the ordinary qualification.

The following was added: "unless he be of opinion that a communication of the same be inconsistent with the public interests."

Mr. CASS.—I move that the resolution be laid upon the table for the present.

Mr. BERRIEN.—The resolution lies over, as a matter of course if the Seaator objects to its consideration now.

The PRESIDING OFFICER.—The Senate has already unanimously agreed to proceed with its consideration. The question new is, on laying the resolution on the table.

Mr. BERRIEN.—On that question, if it be intended to dispose of the resolution by laying it on the table, I must ask the year and ways.

Mr. CASS.—I have no disposition, as far as relates to myself, to prevent a vote of the Senate being taken upon the resolution at some future day. I merely desire that it shall be laid over for the present.

The motion was adopted.

### JESSE E. DOW.

Mr. DICKINSON submitted the following resolution for conideration:

Resolved, That Jesse E. Dow be admitted to a seat in the Reporters' gallery from which he was excluded by an order of the Senate of 16th March, 1846.

### ADJOURNMENT

A motion was made that when the Senate adjourn, it be to Monday next; upon which the yeas and nays were demanded and ordered.

Yeas, - - - 19 Nays, - - - 23

Majority against the motion, -

So the motion was not agreed to.

### ILLINOIS RAIL ROAD.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. DOUGLAS asked, and obtained leav

to bring in a bill granting to the State of Illinois the right of way and a doaation of public lands, for making a rail road connecting the Upper and Lower Mississippi with the chain of Northern Lakes at Chicago; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Public Lands

### THE INDEPENDENT TREASURY.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. ATHERTON asked, and obtained leave, to bring in a bill supplemental to an act ontitled "An act to provide for the better organization of the Treasury, and for the collection, safe-keeping, transier, and disbursement of the public revenue," which was read the lirts and second times by unanimous conseat, and referred to the Committee on Finance.

# RETIRED LIST FOR THE ARMY.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, from the Committee on Military Affairs, reported a bill to increase the cilicency of the army by a retired list for disabled officers; which was read and passed to the second reading.

### FOREIGN MAILS.

Mr. NILES, from the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads, reported a bill supplementary to an act entitled "Anaet to provide for the transportation of the mail between the United States and foreign countries;" which was read and passed to the second reading.

Mr. NILES said that he was instructed by the Committee on MIT. ALLES said that he was instructed by the Committee on Dost Offices and Post Roads, to report this bill for the better regula-tion of our foreign mail service. It was probably known to Sen-tors that our mail to Southampton and Breenen, had encountered difficulties in England, from the illheral and unjust policy of the difficulties in England, from the unicertai and unjust poney or in British government. All there conveyed in our mail, to be left at Southampton, not only for England but for France and other countries in the south of Europe, intended to be sent from Southampton to Havre in France, were subjected to a postage of twenty four cents. So unifriently was the conduct of the British authorities, that instead of permitting the letters conveyed in our mail to go that instead of permitting the letters conveyed in on hair to to and from England without postage, as we permit the letters conveyed to and from this country in their mail, they subjected the letters conveyed in the United States mail to a higher rate of postletters conveyed in the United States mail to a linguist rate of post-tage than letters conveyed in private vessels. By their law, eight pence is the postage on letters to and from Great Britain to the United States. This is the general rate. But to discourage and undoubtedly in hopes to break up our mail lins, the government increased the postage on letters transmitted in our mail to one shilling, or twenty-four cents, equal to our own postage. This proceeding subjects letters transmitted in the American mail to forty-eight cents postage, whilst those in the British mail pay but twenty-four. It is evident that our mail cannot compete with the twenty-ionr. It is evident that our mail cannot compete with the British lines under such circumstances. This bill subjects letters brought in British or other foreign mails to twenty-four cents postage, to counteract the operation of their law, and also provides for regulating the transmission of letters to and from the United States to all foreign countries.

# PRIVATE BILLS.

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the petition of David Whelphy, submitted an adverse report; which was ordered to be printed.

Mr. DOWNS, from the Committee on Indian Affairs, to whom was referred the memorial of Samuel W. Bell, a native of the Cherokee action, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for his relief

The bill was read and passed to a second reading.

Ordered. That the report be printed.

### INSTRUCTIONS TO GEN. SCOTT.

The Senate proceeded to consider the following resolution sub-tited by Mr. Mangum, on the 19th instant: mitted by Mr.

Recollect, That the President of the United States be requested to inform the Sen-ne whether the general orders. No. 376, resured by Gen. Scott, at head-quarters, Meson of Warr, and Per, to be supported by Gen. Scott, at head-quarters, Meson or Warr, and Per, to lay such intertuctions before the Sonate, and those my opinions of Gen. Scott, in regard to the necessary unitary means to carry said instructions inform the support of the supp

Mr. CASS inquired whether the usual clause referring the disclosure to the Executive discretion was contained in the resolution; and if not, he suggested that it should be there.

Mr. MANGUM .- I have no objection, sir, if the Scaator de-

The question being about to be put on the adoption of the reso-

Mr. CASS suggested that it would be better to let the resolution lie over till to-morrow

Mr. MANGUM. I am extremely unfortunate, sir. Mr. MAMG M. 1 am extremely unfortunate, sir. I cannot please the gentleman in any way. The resolution which I presented the other day was drawn, as 1 then stated, with a due regard to that offliend decorating which has always been observed towards the Chief Mugstrate of the United States. I proposed to insert the usual qualification, if in his opinion the same may be

communicated consistently with the public interests. It was objected to. I afterwards inserted an amendment, that the resolution might be answered either confidentially or otherwise. That necessarily excluded the latter clause, because I suppose the principle will not be affirmed here, particularly when sitting in another capacity, that any thing that is necessary to enlighten us in regard to any matter pending before us, which may be in the possession of the Executive—which can be taken by us confidentially, only if the Executive chooses to give it to us in that way is not a part of Execu-The three business, which we are as much entitled to as the Executive bimsoll. I say, too, that is legislators, we ought, unless there is some particular reason which makes it improper that it should be communicated to the public, to be put in possession of the fullest information. However, in this respect I endeavored to restrict the eall for the communeation which might be ered by the resolution, and simply asked to be informed if an order had been issued from the Executive or War Department to the general commanding in chief in Mexico, directing him to overrun all Mexico, if instructions to that effect had emanated from the War Department or from the Executive department; and o, we desire to see those instructions simply as to that pointand restricted to that point. If there are other matters of a gen-cral character which it may be deemed improper to give to the public, let the information be confined to that point s pondie, jet the mormation be connect to that point society. I do not desire to have the information in all the ramifications into which it may be pursued, but simply in regard to this point. The point is this, did an order to the general-me-heir issued from the Executive or War Department, directing him to cover the whole of Mcxico, or to take possession of all the strong points. If this be the case, then I desire to know the opinion of the general-medical in contrast of the pull-pursumporus, necessary to chief in regard to the extent of the military means necessary to effect that object. It is particularly, vastly, important in regard effect that object. It is particularly, vastly, important in regard to these thirty regiments, that are asked for in addition to the military force already authorized by law. And, sir, who are the best judges of the extent of the military means necessary in any of these military movements? General Taylor and General Wool, in one line of our defences, are unquestionably much higher authority that can be derived from any other source, either in this century or out of it. In regard to the other division of our forces, sir—those in the city of Mexico, and on the line between that city and Vera Cruz—where is there as a none, sir. And I helieve the inclination of Gen. Scott is to ask ample necus for the accomplishment of the object which he seeks to attain. I think, sir, that he will not risk the safety of his army, or will he risk his exalted military repulsation in embarking upon or will be risk his exalted military repulsation in embarking upon nor will he risk his exalted military repulation in embarking upon an enterprise without the means which will enable him to accom-plish what he undertakes. I therefore take it for granted that he asks for the amplest means; and if the means asked for by him will not amount to so much as the thirty regiments, I think it will be an unanswerable argument against the application of the Socreturn of live for the section of th and extent of the Exceutive purposes on this subject; I have right to know them, and the country has a right to know them; and after having ascertained the extent and scope of the Execu-tive purposes, I desire to ascertain the extent of the means necessary to accomplish those purposes. Knowing these things, there will not be much difficulty in forming a decision as to the course which we ought to take when we come to vote upon the bills which we orgin to thee when we come to vote upon the more which are presented to we essentially—a set of tender-forotedness, if I may use such an expression, in regard to marching up to the point, and conveying direct information, on the part of the honorable Charman of the Committee on Military Affairs—I mean it in oolfensive sense—a sort of skitishness, it, which is not, I think, what we have a right to expect. If the gentleman desires to make the resolution entirely decorous by adding the ordinary clause of qualification, a proposition of that sort will be acceded

to with great pleasure on my part.

Sir, I have not seen within the halls of one or the other of these Houses of Congress for many years anything even approaching to such a degree of sensibility as seems now to be felt by the honorable Chairman of the Military Committee, as if we were to sit here with resolutions on our table asking only for that, which already belongs to history, and the communication of which might be regarded very much as a matter of course, without adopting them! Is it intended that we shall not have the information which will as it intended that we shall not have the monitorial which was enable us to act understandingly? I hope not, sir, for the sake of the spirit of our institutions, for the sake of this Executive's seaso of right, I hope it may not be even implied, that no decent investigation shall be permitted, that no light shall be ntflorded us

Mr. CASS .- I disclaim entirely, any tenderness on this side of the Chamber with regard to an examination of the policy of the administration in the prosecution of this war. The teaderness which we feel has reference to the rights of the country. We do which we feel has reference to the rights of trace country. We onto wish any information to go out from the Departments which would be useful to the enemy. That is the only motive which individe onces us. We are perfectly willing, and I for one can anxious, that every proper information should be spread before both Houses Congress; but at a Isaid the other day and beg to repeat mow, the honorable Senator must be himself aware, that there are many things which a wise and discreet government will not disclose in the face of the enemy.

Mr. MANGUM, (in his scat.)-We do not want that.

Mr. CASS.—If we are "tender-footed", then, it is fur the country. But the honorable Senator says, that be desires this information for two purposes; first, in order to ascertain the objects of the Executive, and, second, the opinions of the Generals in command. I hope that the Executive will communicate fully to the Senate and to the House the whole objects it has in view. I hope that the Executive will say in so many words, that its object is, in any circumstances to conquer Mexico

Mr. MANGUM, (in his seat.)-To conquer Mexico?

Mr. CASS.—I repeat, to conquer Mexico.

SEVERAL SENATORS .- The whole?

Mr. CASS.—The whole, but not to hold it all. To conquer Mexican injustice—to depend the text of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the manner of obtaining that object must be determined by the Executive in consultation with military men who anderstand the country and the situation of the Mexican government. I do not profess myself to know the country, and do not speak excethedra on the subject. I believe it would be proper to commitate all important facts relating to the general operations of the rial, and destination of the troups, with other information which might be useful to the Mexican government. With this exception, I believe that every thing else had better be laid before Congress and the public. I do not believe the Executive has any screets to withhold. I have no doubt that General Scott acted under full rate of the configuration of the troups, with other information which might be useful to the Mexican government. With this exception, I believe that exception has a screen of the configuration of th

Mr. CRIITENDEN.—I do not know, sir, that during the whole period of my service here, I have ever witnessed more jealously with resourcession. The Senator who has just taken his sent does not believe that the Executive has a single secret in relation to this war that he desires to withhold, and yet he is signalized by the continual opposition which he makes to every appeal to that department of the government for information. That Senator may well be a little sensitive under the application of the term witneder-footd' upon this subject. For, certainly, he has manifected a high degree of readiness upon all occasions to question and scrittings every closely any, and every resolution offered, merely for the purpose of enquiry and information. Now, why is this Here is a great subject, upon which we are legislating—a question of peace and war—of raising armies; and when we ask for the opinions of our military officers—who when he war is carried on—on this great question, it is treated as though it were a State ceret, and we are not permitted to appeal to the Executive department for information, or communications received from these officers. This is most stronge and unaccountable. We are subjected to a sort of trial by the ordeal here, and made to walk blind dol were huming red-hot-ion. We want light to guide us. We want all the information that the government possesses, and are we not entitled to it? Talk about secrets, and the importance of keeping secrets! We are carry on why keeping secrets! We are carry on way be enjoyed secrets. We are carry on war by keeping secrets!

No, sir. The world has long since come to regard secrets according to their true value. There are no secrets but those not worth keeping, in this world, and the whole idea of keeping serets is a sort of mystery, with which little governments surround themselves for the purpose of obtaining a coloring—a complexional dignity. There is no worth in them. The people—Congress—have a right to know everything concerning the projects of the Government and the purposes of this war—everything of the least importance. You may keep secret things of no consequence. If you employ an agent, or a spy, or a mercenary, keep his name secret, in God's name—if you have such an one in your quence. If you employ an agent, or a spy, or a mercenary, keep his name secret, in God's name—if you have such an one in your purpose in the information relates to public affairs—to any object in the information relates to public affairs—to any object in the public hard of the purpose of keeping knowledge, wisdom, and information a secret from

them. I know there are limits prescribed by prudence, and will recognize them when a case is made out. But this is the stereotyped argument employed on every application for information: "On! there are some times which every government must keep secret?"—and therefore the Government must keep secret?—and therefore the Government must keep severything secret? The gentleman is willing to enlighten as with his information, but he does not want to enlighten the Mexicans. What an argument! Enlighten the Mexicans prive information to our eacmies—when we ourselves are walking in darkness bere, not refuse to give it to us, and say they refuse it out of kindness and charity to us, lest it should operate as information to the Mexicans, when the very gentleman who makes use of this argument proclaims that he wants it distinctly understool that he is for conjudering Mexico? What other secrets carry on the war, or to facilitate the prosecution of the war? Our enemies have learned by this time that we are strong-handed enough to work our pleasure, he it what it may. The general outers issued in the day that the that we are strong-handed enough to work our pleasure, the it what it may. The general outers issued in the longer at Queretaro, it is simply by our toleration of it. What is the secret! That you intend to take possession of Zacete-cas, and that if that phantom of a government is allowed to sit longer at Queretaro, it is simply by our toleration of it. What is the secret! That you intend to take possession of Mexico, which the gentlemen seems to think so exceedingly important to the success of your military operations !— the himself has given the Mexicans all information about it. They have all this information that be has been controlled to take possession of their cross-roads in Mexico, which that be has been controlled to the control of the part of t

The gentleman from Michigan is I think making considerable progress on this subject. I understood him to say when the resolutions of the Senator from South Carolina against destroying the lutions of the Senator from South Carolina against destroying the mationality of Mexico were before the Senate—that they presented a proposition with which every one must agree. The other day he thought we might swallow Mexico whole and not be the worse for it. Now he says and wishes it distinctly to be understood that he goes for the conquest—the entire total conquest of Mexico. I think then that he is making some progress. Well he tells us what we are to do with Mexico—swallow her, as he said whole? That would I think makes us a very mis-shapen sort of republic if wo could perform that operation? But we are now "warring for peace." Well, Mr. President, itseems to me that we have, as I said, already? on on the resolution of the material of the many? Where her treasure? Where any of the material of war with which she could contend against us? She is powerless and disarmed, and stands unresisting against us? She is powerless and disarmed, and stands unresisting before you, and you talk of keeping secrets from her, of keeping se-erets from us lest this poor down-trodden republic shall have some light shed upon her mind as to the further proceedings which we in-tend to adopt in relation to the prosecution of this war? This argument cannot satisfy the Senate or the country. It ought not to satisfy the country. You have got peace in effect now. Mexico is at this moment at your feet, and subject to your dictation. The genthis moment at your feet, and subject to your dictation. The gen-eral orders from your commander issued to your armies, show that he is intending to spread them over that country, making them a sort of armed collectors of revenue. That seems to be the em-ployment which now remains for your armed forces in Mexico. Is she not conquered when she has no army left—no government teft— no materiel of war, no money no credit? When a nation is thus depressed and disarmed, what is wanting to her con-quest and subjugation? She is conquered, sir, and every bo-dy will acknowledge it in sax weeks from this time, as I vettify her dy will acknowledge it in six weeks from this time, as I verily be-lieve. And his war, and this centry, and this peace, will become a proper subject for the legislative department! I hope to see that question come speedily, and then it will depend upon the wisdom and discretion of Congress on what terms and in what manner it is to be determined—whether Congress will declare that we shall swallow Mexico whole, in the figurative but strong language of the honorable Senator, or what else we shall do—what member swallow Mexico where, in the lightest out stong ranguage of the honorable Senator, or what else we shall do—what member of her we shall swallow—whether a leg, or an arm, or the head—in what manner we shall address ourselves to the new species of canwhat manner we shall address ourserves to the new species of cam-mbalism prescribed to the American people. All this we will have determined by Congress. Its appetite will regulate us in what we are to do. This, however, is going beyond the question; and I have been led into these remarks by those to which I am attempthave over led and these remarks so those to which I am attempting to reply. The question is on obtaining from the President of the United States that information which is necessary for our intelligent action. Is this war to shut up these departments and restore the ancient time when diplomacy dwelt in darkness, or when sfore the ancient time when diplomacy dwell in darkness, or when the arcana of State were to be kept within the inter penterdalia of the templew here the people with unclean feet had no right to go, where the people had no right to meddle with the mysteries of state? These days have passed by, and I hope and trust that the Senate will find no sort of objection to the passage of this resolves and the state of the state userreuomary power to the President may be added. If there he any little secret spot—any plague spot—and if the communications upon this subject, it can be kept back. Give us only what is used that is sult hat is saked for. But it seems that gentlemen are not willing—I need not say gentlemen—the hoorable Senator is not willing that we should be be permitted even to interrogate the President. I hope we may allowed to interrogate him, and that we may have this infor

Observe how this thing operates. Amongst the information ealled for there is embraced a letter from General Scott and that is communicated to the honorable Senator himself, and a part of it is read to the Senate. Now he knows that it is contrary to all legal rules of documentary evidence to read only a parta right to the whole. Is the light to be measured out in this way?—
a portion given to one member and a portion to another, whilst
those who are blest with the full blaze of day are to have the whole those Was are piets tody of use the line line is of a ware or o have the whools of it; the great body of use heing left of ware of ingoorance of those great subjects which demand our most solenoist and and responsible action? It cannot be so, sir. The gentleman, if I understand aright, has cannot be so, sir. The gentleman, if I in the letter can be confided to the head of a committee it may be n we exter can be connoted to the head of a committee it may be confided to the Senate, either in confidence or not, as the President pleases. I have no feeling of captionness upon this subject, and not the sightest personal feeling. I think it is the right of the Senate to have this information, and that it access to the Excentive departments by the legislative backets to be Provided in this way, we must become nothing but the shadow of a legislature. It is altogether contrary to former practice to refuse this enquiry.

Few indeed have been the instances in which such a resolution has been opposed, and never upon the vague generalities now put

Mr. CASS.—One word, Mr. President. I cannot suffer myself to be misunderstood by the exertion of the great telents of the honorable Senator from Kentucky, nor by his manner, always im-posing, though often sarcastic. I must say, that the honorable enator has exhibited great ingenuity in putting his own construction upon my meaning. I wish now to give my own. In the first place, the extract read to the Senate was all that related to the place, the extract read to the Senate was all that related to the subject. The government determined upon a certain plan of operation, and to carry that into effect asked for fifty thousand mere General Scott has submitted some three or four plans without giving the preference to one. The government had adopted one of these plans and asked for the necessary legislative measures to carry it into effect. All that relates to this plan was contained in the extract that I read, and the Senate was thus put in possession of the views of the government and the commanding general on of the views of the government and the commanding general on the topic which occupies their attention. All clse was, in fact, but speculations: Well, now, the honorable Senator from Kentucky seems to think, that I see a secret in everything, whilst he sees a secret in nothing. The subject is exceedingly clear. I did not say that there were any secrets at all involved in this call. All I maintained was the great principle in all governments, that during the time of war caution was to be exercised in giving publicity to specific plans of the campaign. In that connection there must be

# Mr. CRITTENDEN, (in his seat.)-Certainly there may be.

Mr. CASS .- What sort of war would it be in which there were no secrets? War is a game played by intelligent and experienced men, not by men who show their hands to their adversary. The thing is impossible. No such war has ever been waged successfully, and no such war with he waged so long as men are compel-led to resort to wars. No secrets! They are dishonorable! Every-thing should be known! And a commanding General who sits down before a city, must begin by sending to his opponent with the summons to surrender, a true abstract of his force, of his mu-nitions of war, and his means of subsistence! And from day to day this must be renewed with the morning report, because there should be no secrets. And when he proposes to make a move-ment, with the same abhorrence of secresy, he must send in with a flag of truce, his projet of operations—when he will murch such a day—where he will encamp such a night—and what he pro-poses to do—and with what amount of men and materiel. This may all be very chivalric, but it would not be very well received in this age of the world. No sir, there must of necessity be seered. in this age of the world. No sir, there must of necessity be secrets with regard to the conduct of the war. With respect to the general operations which the government directs, I see no objection to disclosing them.

The honorable gentleman says, that I advocated the swallowing I he nonorante gentreman says, that i an ovacce the same and of Mexico, and he will pardon me for saying it, but in a sneering tone he asks, shall it be done lind by hub—and, sir, would it affect digestion? Mr. President, I will not stop to dispute with the affect argestion? Mr. Presseent, I. will not stop to enspine with une honorable Senator about words. He may talk scarcastically, of a just indemnity, of faking Mexican territory, limb by limb, and swallow-ing it. There are low things, however serious, which may not be turned into relicule. It is, however, no test of truth. Mr. Jol-clewas poslee of the gradual augmentation of our country which ferson spoke of the guidal augmentation of our country which forcess and approved, and called it extending freedom piece by piece. Now, sir. I profer this expression of Mr. Jefferson piece by piece, to the expression of the homorable Senator from Kentucky, limb by limb. Though, if we cannot get the territory, that we are justly entitled to without that expression, I am will-day and the subject of the control of the considered to be fatal to this government. Now, sir, what was

reented the dosarphon of all decels, which measure, it adopted the considered to be fatal to this government. Now, sir, what was my remark in reference to this? Rather light in manner, perhaps, but sufficiently clear in its meaning—that this government we

stand a great deal of killing; and that I did not believe even the swallowing of all Mexico would be fatal to it; though I was not myself in favor of the measure. I was satisfied with taking a reasonable territorial indemnity, if the Mexicans would eede it, leaving the subsequent relations of the two countries to be deter-mined by subsequent events. Well, sir, I repeat again that I have no belief that if Mexican independence were annihilated tomorrow, and the whole country annexed to the United States, it would kill us.

And I believe there is nothing under heaven, which can kill this And I believe there is nothing under heaven, which can kill this government, but the people themselves. That is my opinion of its power of vitality. Ever since I have been upon the stage of action-our country has been killed by prophets of evil, crying "woe, woe, to Jerusalen." Cassandras predicting a crisis to day and destruction to-morrow. Yet we have gone on from increasing to increase. And where our final boundaries are to be, He who controls the kee of empires almost can tell. I tild not suppset that the trols the kee of empires almost can tell. I tild not suppset that the converted at figure of specialistic could misunderstand me, or has converted at figure of specialistic could misunderstand me, or has converted at figure of specialistic could misunderstand me, or has converted at figure of specialistic could misunderstand me, or has converted at figure of specialistic could misunderstand me, or has converted at figure of specialistic could misunderstand me, or has converted at figure of specialistic could be converted at figure of s argument to be refuted by a serious analogy to the human body. War and conquest are means to attain an end, and they are controlled in their general operations by the law of nations. They trolled in their general operations by the law of nations. They are to be directed by the Executive government, upon its responsibility, subject, of course, to the supervision of Congress, with the great object, in the present instance, of making a speedy and honorable peace with Mexico. The honorable Senator says that we are now, "in fact" at peace. What this qualification "lin fact" means, I do not know. The Mexican government is resisting; a figuritive, sometimes. travelling from place to place, still resisting, still unable or unwilling to do us justice. When Mexico is willing still unable or unwilling to do us justice. When Mexico is willing still unable or the stilling from place protein of the will be due to the do not the stilling to the stilling to the stilling to the doubt of the Senate believe, and some pertons of the sea to be due to us, then, and not till then, we may relax our exertions—as we shall have conquered a peace. But I repeat what I before said, that the longer Mexico continues her obstinate rejection of reasonable indemnity, and the greater the exertion she complets us reasonable indemnity, and the greater the exertion she compels us to make, the greater will be our demands, and the beavier her What we would have accepted last year, or even at the Josses. What we would have accepted list year, or even at the commencement of the present campaign, we may well refuse now; and what we would accept now, we may well refuse after a few montls. And how much the public sentiment of this country may demand a year or two years hence, if the war continues so long, I do not pretend to predict. We may have to make the great experiment, so dreaded by the Senator from South Carolina, and the Sonator from Kentake and described however. and the Senator from Kentucky, and annex the domains of Mex-ico to our own. This is the penalty which national injustice has often been called to pay, and which Mexico may be preparing for

Mr. CRITTENDEN .- I know that in time of war in the conduct of armies and campaigns, the general does not communicate to the enemy the route by which he intended to march, or the to the enemy the route by which he intended to march, or the plan which he has adopted for attaining his objects. But the in-formation now sought for, the Executive is of an eatirely differen-character. The argument of the honorable Senator, it is evident, is one to which he has been driven by the necessity of his case; and I say again, aithough there may be secrets, and certain cir-emustances what it may be important to keep concealed for the time, these now asked for one net of that discription: I really wonder how the gentleman can repeat in this solemn style without a smile upon his face, the asseveration that it would be dangerous to communicate this information as it would be advantageous to to communicate this morination as it would be advantageous to the enemy! As well might it have been said during the war against the Seminoles, that information should not be given lest the Seminoles might get it! Because in another state of affairs, it might be proper to withhold information, it does not follow, that anything is to be kept a secret. If, as the Senator says, the Executive has no secrets what it is not willing to reveal, why this opposition to these resolutions of inquiry? The Senator now opposition to these resolutions of inquiry? The Senator now tells us the contents of the letter from General Scott, a portion of which he read the other day. I ask if this sort of verbal infor-mation is to be communicated, instead of the official information matton is to be communicated, assess of the others information to which the Senate is entitled? Is the inquiry of the Senate to be stifled by some gentleman taking upon himself the responsibility of getting up here and speaking for the government, and giving us what he reports as the substance of information? No. We have at he reports as the substance of information? a right to the information in its authentic and official form, in that we may see what it contains; no more and no less. So that we have a right. I have every confidence in the honorable Senator. His statement to me personally in relation to a matter of our private confidence would be as satisfactory as any testimony, but as he will perceive is not the question now. We have a right to the official information, that our constituents may see and read it; and that it may go to them, not in the unauthenticated form of verbal communication here, but in the cuthentic form of a government document. He knows and perceives this; and I ask again, with great deference to the honorable Senator, and without detracting, or intending to detract, from his just and deservedly eminent station here, and in the country at large, why is it that the secrets so important to be kept, should be communicated to him standing on the same to be kept; should be communicated to find actioning of the same footing with its but withheld, as searcel, from us? I stills to left, which is sufficiently the same of the same of the same of the who, it their peril, are to follow them without information and in larkness? Will the gentlemen contend for that, and does not see that to that his argument leads? He is furnished with information, and my other Senator may be furnished with information, and my other Senator may be furnished with information, and

he is to deal it out to the Senate according to the exigency of the ease, and his knowledge of that portion of light, or of twis-light, is to ensure their concurrence in the measure proposed! There is to be a great distinction of light and knowledge; and when we ask for more, we are told it may be mischievous, and may get to those to whom we do not wish to give information! Thus argument is of universal application. It applies equally to your call for meetin information as to any other. The every inquiry over call for meeting the control of the control of

Mr. ALLEN.—I understood the honorable Senator from North Carolina to say, that he had no objection to the insertion of the usual words in the resolution, "if not incompatible with the public interest," so as leave it discretionary with the President.

Mr. MANGUM .- I said so, sir.

Mr. ALLEN.—I shall have no difficulty then in voting for the resolution—none whatever—so far as the General Order—that has appeared in Mexico—is concerned, it is not to be supposed that any General officer under any circumstances would issue an order of that magnitude, without the authority, either express or impli-ed, of his government. I do not suppose, therefore, that any se-crets can be disclosed upon this subject. I am glad that the subgets of "secress" has attracted so much attention in this body, as I think it is always of advantage to to the interests of the country that the question of "secrets" should be considerably canvassed.— I shall therefore embrace this occasion for renewing my ancient motion for opening the doors of the Senate on all occasions, save one. But, why, sir, are we so much affrighted at "secrets" when we ought to begin by making our own acts public? It is only because a large portion of our own acts are not made public, that I am now not permitted to recur to facts which I could adduce, with cause a large portion of our own acts are not made public, that I am now not permitted to recur to facts which I could adduce, with overwhelming influence on the discussion of this questionof secretor. But, sir, I shall tested my ancient resolution, and I shall test the spirit of publicity which is now displayed in words in this discussion. I believe, sir, that if every document upon file in the barriers of State and in the Department of State and in the Programment would be recognized for more than weakened by the disclosure. It is rather an Executive habit than an Executive necessity; it is because the preceding Executives have done this thing that the present Executive does it. He feels himself more bound by precedent than by principles upon this subject.—His predecessors have uniformly acted upon the principle that the disclosure of some parts of the information in their possession might tend to the prejudice of the public interest. And it is upon the authority of the practice of the predecessors have the extension of the sound principle of the public interest. And it is upon the authority of the practice of the predecessors have the content of the predecessor of the prediction of the predecessors have the content of the predecessor of the predecessor in the Executive act the find blaze of the sua upon every transaction to the predeces of the produce of the predecessor in the Executive act the finding out of this war. I should like myself to see the estimates made by the Generals-in-Chief, commanding those two columns in Mexico, and also their advice given to the Executive at the incipient star other in the produce of the American army and had therefore a right to be con-Executive at the incipient stages of the war—advice sought because one of the parties from whom it was sought, was at the head of the American army and had therefore a right to be consulted by the Executive who is not a military man. I should like to see all these things, sir, so that I might know to what extent any one or all of these Generals' may be responsible—I do not say for the origin of the war but for the manner in which it has been presecuted.—Sir, I do not speak authoritatively. I am not now at the head of any committee which authorises me to go into the seemed abscraying a sold make inquiry in relation to these subjects. veral departments and make inquiry in relation to these subjects I am not charged particularly or expressly with any branch of the Senate's business, and therefore I know nothing personally as to Senate's dissuess, and therefore I know nothing personally as whot facts are or are not contained in the archives of the Executive department. Now, sir, upon mother branch of this subject.—
The honorable Senator from North Carolina who has the lead upthe noncrang senator from North Caronna who has the lead up-on the question of opening the doors and admitting all the infor-mation that may be in the possession of the Executive, agrees with me upon this question, and I shall introduce my ancient resolution the upon this question, and I shall introduce my affects resolution to-morrow, or at the next meeting of the Senate. I hope then to have the aid (so potential in all matters in which he takes part,) of the honorable Senator.—But the honorable Senator from North Carolina wants the information called for by this resolution and Caronia waters the information canea to by this Pesolution and other information for a certain purpose. Now, what is this purpose? Why it is to know what are the political designs of the Executive in regard to the war; that is to say what are his desires as to the result regard to the war; that is to say what are his desires as to the result of the war! Now the President of the United States is only one branch of the government of the United States. Congress is another. A leading statesman of this country, whose voice, possibly, is as potential within as it is without these walls, has lad it down a right belonging to the two Houses of Congress, not that they should call upon the President to know what he means, but they should express what they mean should be the end and objects of the war. Therefore, if the honorable Sentor from North Carolina has any extreme solicitude upon this subject, it is of far

more importance for him to know what Congress means, than to know what the President means; because the President cannot act without the consent of Congress. Now, if the Senator desires to know what Congress means to do, what object they desire to accomplish, the mode in which he can ascertain it is simply to offer a resolution declaring what Congress means shall be the objects aimed at in the prosecution of this war. It is of no consequence, sir, upon this great question to quibble about what the President may secretly design. We are told by the high authority with engenderm and his fremes, that it is the right and duty of Congress to declare what shall be objects and purposes of the views.

Mr. SEVIER.-I would suggest, Mr. President, that the time has arrived for the consideration of the special order of the day.

Mr. ALLEN.—Yes, sir, I am doubtless trespassing upon the time that ought to be devoted to my honorable friend's speech. I have, however, but a few words more to say, and really I did not intend to enter at all into this discussion, but the debate seems to have spread-tiself out to such an extent that I could scarcely avoid engaging i it. I shall vote for the Senator's resolution when the addition is made which be says he is willing to make. I desire that addition made, because this has always been the practice, out or respect of the executive branch of the government, as, for instance, we use the word "request" when we address the Executive, and the word "object" when we address the Executive, and the word "object" when we address the Executive, and the word "object" when we address the Executive, and the word "object" when we address the Executive, and the word "object" when we address the Executive, and the word "object" when we address the Executive, and the word "object" when we address the Executive, and the word "object" when we address the Executive, and the word "object" when we address the Executive, and the word "object" when we address the Executive, and the word "object" when we address the Executive, and the word "object" when we address the Executive, and the word "object" when we address the Executive, and the word "object" when we address the Executive, and the word "object" when we address the Executive and the word "object" when we address the Executive and the word "object" when we address the Executive and the word "object" when we address the Executive and the word "object" when we address the Executive and the word "object" when we address the Executive and the word "object" when we address the Executive and the word "object" when we address the Executive and the word "object" when we address the Executive and th

Mr MANGUM.—I am extremely reluctant, sir, to encroach upon the time which belongs to the honorable Senator from Mississippi, but some remarks have been made which absolutely demand some reply.

 $Mr.\ FOOTE.\mbox{---It}$  will give me much pleasure to give way to the Senator.

Mr. MANGUM.—I have very few observations to make, and they will occurp int a few moments: and I must begin by congratulating the honorable Senator from Ohio, on the advance he has made within a few days in regard to disclosing all secrets. And I congratulate the Senator from Georgia, on the prospect he has of the powerful assistance of the Senator from Ohio, upon that resolution of his, which was laid upon the table this morning, celling for information as to the communications of the commanding generals, about the period of the heginning of this war, either of advice, suggestions or otherwise.

This resolution, sir, was drawn with great care, (hastily to be sure) and was not designed to trench on any thing that might be suppased to affect injuriously the interest of the sure of the control to the control to the control to which are the sure of the

Mr. ALLEN.-Will the honorable Senator accept of the amendment?

Mr. MANGUM .- I said I would do so.

Mr. DOUGLAS .- Do I understand that the modification is made?

The PRESIDING OFFICER .- It is.

The question was then taken on the resolution as amended, and It was adopted.

THE TEN REGIMENT BILL.

The Senate resumed the consideration of the bill to raise, for a limited time, an additional military force.

Mr FOOTE-

Mr. President : I think it must be acknowledged that this de-2016. Pressuent: I tunns it must be neknowledged that this de-bate has been marked with peculiar rancer; on the other side of the Chamber, and that terms of seurrious reproduct, have been freely indulged towards the President of the Chief of equally derogatory to the dignity of this body, and applied to the first magistrate of this great mixtue, and epirically unmerited in point of fact. One gentleman has not hesitated openly to charge the Executive with falsehood, in regard to his declaration of the the Executive with taisengod, in regard to his declaration of the objects of the war; which is nothing more than a course version of what is reported in a paper, which I hold in my land, to have emanated from a distinguished Senator now in my eye, at Spring-Galdine Course. what is reposited the distinguished Senator now in my eye, at Springer emanated from a distinguished Senator now in my eye, at Spring-field last September, about "pretexts, evasions, pretences, after-floughts," See, See. Another gendleman charges fake-lood by imendo, and assumes with something of peculiar grace, and evr-tainly with more than the ordinary dramatic emphasis, to blush for the President. Another charges "discoustry," repoblery" "pull-car" mad "immeder" another "hypocrisy," another a "violation tainly with more than the ordinary dramatic emphasis, to blush for the President. Another charges "disonersty," "roblet," "pill-age," and "marder," another, "hypocrisy," another a "violation of the constitution, of the president be half as guilty sake is accused by homorable Sexuators of being, he ought, for the loweder, under all the circumstances, that a great Hastings affair has not been before now gotten up; considering the lusty menaces which we know to have been thundered forth in various quarters, and the pregnant fact, that at least one member of this body is re-ported to have already declared that he is immenshable. I weich o have already declared that he is impenchable. distinguished Senators would recollect the wise requisition of the distinguished Senators would recollect the wase requisition of the common law, that even jurors, who only determine the facts of the case, must be majore omai exceptions; and that Justice was by the ancients painted blind, in order to indicate, that all who approached her sanetuary, should do so, free Irom all preconceived prejudice, and exempt from all exterior indlucence. I take the liberty of reminding honorable Senators, whilst indulging these surgestions, of one of the most impossing judicial scenes which is recorded in nacient annals, the trial of Lucius Cataline by the Parison Search. The heatifilly sentences with which Islan's Cases. The beautiful sentences with which Julius Ca Roman Senate. commenced his noble speech on the occasion, contain a maxim which I fear is almost too elevated for even this Christian genera-Omnes homines, qui de rebus dubiis consultant, al tien. tion. "Omnes homines, qui ae reous auous consutant, au vaux, amella, ira, ofque miseriordia racuos esse decet. Hand facile animus rerum providet, ubi illu officiant. Neque quisquum omnium luudini simul et usui partit. Ubi intenceris ingenium, vuelet. Si Lubido possidet, ca dominatur; animus niuli, vaelet." Nova certain mennees which we have all heard of in regard to grave preceedings elsewhere against the first magistrate of the nation, were as honestly announced, as they have been indecently paraded before the country, there may be yet some possibility of illustrious Senators, when called on to perform a high judicial duty, with the Chief Justice of the United States presiding in this Chamber, either challenging themselves or being challenged by others on the score of incompetency to discharge the highest functions belonging to the body. I hope to witness no such painful and perplexing preduca-ment; but if it should occur, it would perchance not be without a salutary influence in the way of example. Mr. President : Before I conclude what I have to say upon this

Mr. President: Before I conclude what I have to say upon this occasion, I tele called upon to notice, and if possible, in some degree to counteract certain views expressed a few days since by the venerable Senator from South Carolina; and, in doing so, I believe that he at least will not question my sincerity in declaring that, such is my high respect for his extraordinary powers of intellect, and his numerous writees, that it is to me most painful to different and the sumerous writees, that it is to me most painful to different and his numerous writees, that it is to me most painful to different and his numerous writees, that it is to me most painful to different his views, but that I shall be compelled by a sense of duty, to vote in opposition to the homerable Senator's profound and ingenious reasonings; and if I fail to state sound and substantial grounds for doing so, I shall incur the risk of being misunderstood by the

whole country

who is a second of the Senator from South Carolina to oppose the understand the Senator from South Carolina to oppose the understand of any legitimate object, or for any object heretofor the actiniment of any legitimate object, or for any object heretofor the actiniment of any legitimate object, or for any object heretofore, that the conquest of the interior regions of source, that the conquest of the interior regions of the source, that the conquest of the interior regions of the source, the source of the source o

neither unjust nor ungenerous to our obstinate adversary; and such is my respect for his wisdom and thorough sincerity of heart, that I do not leel at liberty to refuse him any aid which I am capable of rendering for the eventual attainment of this long-sought object. Gen. Scott. too, seems still to calculate upon an early pacification, and has just announced a most imposing, and, as I think, most judicious plan for bringing it about; and I have too high an esteem for him, also, both as a commander and a patriot, not to feel a most decided deference for his judgment about this matter. Cui libet credendum est in sua arte, is as much a maxim in military affairs, as in the concerns of civil lie; and I freely acknowledge. that the commander-in-chief in Mexico, with his extensive experience as an officer, and his familiar acquaintance with the re-sources, devices, and present temper of the foe, is to be presumed to enjoy a peculiarly fuvorable opportunity of determining whether or not we will be at all likely to succeed in ultimately conquering his being able eventually to accomplish that for which we have in his being able eventually to accomplish that for which we have been so long struggling. I should as soon do any thing else which might be calculated to put the henor of the country in danger, as reluse to vote him supplies of men and money, to any extent which may be judged necessary to the complete and early success of his military operations. I can mover consent for one to withdraw our military operations. I can never consent for one to withdraw our array to any merely delensive line, so long as any hope of obtaining a treaty of peace may remain; nor will I consent to do so, so long as there may be a chance of practical pacification, of a nature to be relied on, independent of trenty, provided a treaty turn out to be unattainable. I cannot perceive any possible advantage which would be likely to accrue from the adoption of a defensive line; but, on the contrary. I behold manifold evils and inconveniences too obvious in themselves and too often insisted upon heretofore, in different forms, to need any special citation at this time. This defensive line project seems to be with the distinguished Senator from South Carolina, a perfect panacea for all the troubles of this Mexican war, in whatever stage of it we may be. Last winter, when Ge-neral Taylor had only penetrated the States in the neighborhood of the Rio Grande, a withdrawal to a defensive line was earnestly recommended. Now we have attacked and captured the strong castle of San Juan d'Ulloa, taken Vera Cruz, Julipa, Perote, Puebla, and Mexico, and are proceeding rapidly to size all the remaining strong places, to possess ourselves of the public revenues, put down therila warfare, and reduce the enemy to such straits as must infallfully reader him in a short time altogether hopeless of being able to under further restance; and the Senator from South Carolina, with a consistency which seems to bid proud defiance to everything like change of circumstances, is heard to examine, still more emphantically than before; 'Why do you not tall back to my defensive line?' with this notable alteration, which that the courts of the last twelve months have been able alone to effect that the honorable Senator does not find it convenient to tel lus now where this same defensive line of his should run; and he proposes, therefore, to convoke a council of officers to settle this important point. A strange council this would be, by the bye; as it would be composed necessarily of gentlemen, all of whom would infallibly and composed necessarily of genticened, and of whom would maintainly and very promptly declare their opinion to be, that nothing on earth could be inargined more injudicious or perilous than the adoption any defensive line whatsover. I hope not to exhibit the ap-pearance of dogmatism on this point; but, after the most diligent inquiries which I was capable of instituting, I feel authorised to assert my behef, that it would be exceedingly difficult, if it would impossible, to had in the whole army, a single officer of respectably high grade, who would risk his reputation as a military man so far as to give sanction to the adoption of the defensive line of policy recommended by the honorable Senator. But says the ator from South Carolina, if you remain in the central portions Sentifor from South longer, and a specially it joy in irenam in the central post rouse of Mexico much longer, and sepecially it joy un increase the strength of your army there, you will break down the Central government and destroy the last vestiges of and ionality. As I before observed, this may be so, or it may not be so; but no matter what may be the precise in the precise delect of remaining in Mexico, in regard to our own municipal condition, I am of opinion, that as we marched into the country in order to achieve indemnity for the past, and security for the future, we never can honorably withdraw our troops until we obtain them both in the most unequivocal manner. And though it is true as urged by a Senator on the other side of the chamber, that we went to war for free trade and sailors rights in 1812, and came out of it in 1815, without having secured either; notwithstanding, the delay of a few months, as we now know, through the instrumentality of the battle of New Orleans, would have given us all for which we assumed hostilities; yet I can see no sufficient reason in this melancholy example of national discredit, which should induce us now to yield to the triple counsels of the yet sur-viving sages who negotiated the treaty of Othent, and who are at present so happily agreed in the policy of getting out of this war with Mexico by a resignation of all the objects for which it has with Mexico by a resignation of all the objects for when it miss been heretofree prosecuted; so far even as to strike down our na-tional flag again without further ceremony, and confess by impli-cation at least, that we went to war unjustifiably, and have spilled blood and wasted treasure without even the appearance of decent etence; much less of full justification.
The Senator from South Curolina thinks though, that our re-

The Senator from South Curronna tunnss though, that our fermaining in Mexico may, by parting an end to Mexicon automative, effectually prevent nor reception of indemnity and security, through the medium of a trenty of pence; inasanuch, as though one nation may make war, it requires two to make a pence. Here J directly with him again—it requires two to agree upon a peace J treaty; but whether we get a treaty by remaining in Mexice, or not, we are certain, at any rate, of obtaining a practical pacification, accompanied with all we have demanded, and perchance more; whether Mexico shall have intermediately lost her nationality by our failure to retreat to a defensive line at a great distance from

her capital, or shall have retained it.

her capital, or shall have retained it.

The venerable Senator from South Carolina will permit me to
remind him of the fact, that he was opposed to the invasion of
Mexico last winter, at the point of Vera Cruz, in part at least
because he deemed the Castle of San Juan de Ullon unpregnable; and not alone from a fear of destroying Mexican nationality; and this excessive fear as to the extent of injury which our inveternte this excessive fear as to the extent of injuiry which our inveternte enemy may happen to receive at our hands, I consider one of the most, remarkable events in this age of misdirected philanthropy and "fille and masterly inactivity." Let me reent to the pages of elassical history for a moment. Livy informs us that when Scipio Africamus proposed to the Roman Senate to enter upon his scheme of invading Africa, the aged Fabius Maximus, always a remarka-bly cautions man, and in whom we may well suppose that the ellfux-ion of years had greatly cooled the fervor and diminished the hope-fulness of earlier days, omosed the scheme most stoutity, and even fulness of earlier days, opposed the scheme most stoutly, and even inveighed against Scipio as a rash, visionary, ambitious young man, who was willing to expose his country to unnecessary peril, in or-der to gratify his morbid and eager cravings after military fame. The noble old Roman—for still Roman he was, despite his fondness for "idle and masterly inactivity" in attempting to counteract the scheme of invasion—spoke of "the enormous expense of the undertaking; not a single harbor open to our fleet; no part of the country at peace with us; no State our ally; no King our friend; no room anywhere either to stand or advance." Well, Fabius failed in his attempt to defeat the African expedition, as a greater than Fabius did last winter in regard to the expedition to the coast man ranns and nast winter in regard to the expension to the const of Mexico, whose complete success is now one of the most glo-rious pages of our history as a nation. Scipio sejourned for a few months in Skily, as General Scott did at the island of Lobes last year; and finally landed on the African coast and strided rapidly from victory to victory, overturning in his impetuous course seve-ral large armies under Syphox and Hasdrabal; and finally pre-vared to strike a fatal blow, at the virials of Corthagonica write. part at the state of the control of thought this expedition would end in misfortune, and that now it must be apparent to all, since Carthage was actually about to loose her nationality, and would be therefore unable to appoint commissioners after a while to make a treaty of peace. This melancholy page in Roman annals has not descended to us, and the war with Carthage was ultimately closed on terms that the Romans themselves, so far as I have heard or believe, never complained of. There was no talk in the Roman Senate such as we have had here now, that to deprive Carthage of any portion of hear territore the way of judentity, would be as had as highway. mave and here now, that to deprive Carthage of any portion of the territory, by way of indemnity, would be as had as highway robbery, and that a treaty of peace even for that purpose, would be a mere aulity, on account of its having been extorted by force; as more than one of the profound jurists on the other side of the Chamber have not hesitated to avow.

Mr. CALHOUN.-The honorable Scnator from Mississippi Mr. CALHOUN.—The honorable Scaator from Mississippi has misconceived my views. It was my opinion that the practicability of taking the Castle from all that I then heard was very justifiable. My impression was that it would be very difficult, if not impracticable, to have earried it by direct attack by ship—but I never doubted that it might be taken if Vera Cruz was first attacked by land;—for such an attack, if successful, would almost occessfully have been followed by the surreader of the Castle. The President did me the lonor to consult with me during the last session in reference to that campaign then approaching. I expressed these views then through the course of the conversation which took place, and also made reference to the policy of capturing Vera Cruz with the Castle.

But the Senator from South Carolina takes what he calls "highand urges that if Mexican nationality be extinguished se ground," and urges that if Mexican nationality be extinguished we shall be forced in the ead, either to fall back to his defensive line, or to do what he supposes to be much worse, either to incorporate all M.xico, within our limits, or establish a provincial government there. I take issue with the Senator at once as to both these alternatives; I devit that, in the case he has stated, if it ever should occur, we should be forced either to incorporate Mexico, and her whole people, Indians, Negroes, half-breeds, and all, in the sense in which the Senator from South Carolina uses that term, or they we should be connelled to treat, he as a praging in the the sense in which the Senator from South Carolina uses that term, or that was also all be completed to rest declared, in the statement of the term, or that, not doing our control of the statement of that term, or that, not doing our control of the statement of

"What is the object of a vigorous proscention of the war? How can it he successful? I can see but one way of making it so, and that is by suppressing all resistance on the part of Macico, overpowering and dispensing her army, and utterly overthrow-log her government. But if that should be done—if a vigorous protection of the war

30TH CONG .- IST SESSION-No. 19.

should lead to that result how the we to obtain an honorable peace? With whom bould use tense for indimnity for the sections to the section of the section o

the or name, the date of the strong or a tree dependent which the Treatment has be carried;

"The Pendent is right. If the vigorous prosecution of the war should be success."

"The Pendent is right. If the vigorous prosecution of the war should be success.

"The Pendent is right. If the vigorous prosecution of the war should be success, and the success of the pendent in the pendent pende

an immense body of tast fundence, who was given any given for given from the contract of the country.

That it would be contrary to the genus and character of our government, and sub-verve of our free popular institutions, to hold Westero as a sub-ject province, is a puop oution too clear for argument before u body so enlightened as the Senate.

versive of our free popular amittations, to lead M-saro as a white-province, is a popular to contain the order the argument below to buly so endighered as the Senate.

Let it not be said that Great Britain is an example to the contarry; that he holy politices of vac extend and popularities, without maintail jumpiance the liberty of politices of vac extend and popularities, and the province of vac to the containing the province of vac to the province of vac to the province of vac to the vac

Now, I shall not here stop to discuss the meaning of the term province. It is obvious that the Senator's view of the matter is, that the extension of territorial dominion by Rome had the effect of prostrating her liberties. I confess I never met with this epinion before. It is certainly not in Gibbon, or Niebur, nor do Pthink it before. It is certainly not in trinon, or retently not be really before the found in the pages of any other philosophic historian who has written of that wonderful people. On the contrary, the freedom of Rome commenced with territorial conquests—grew and strengthened continually with farther conquests—and never be-eame very seriously impaired, until the God Terminus began to think of receding. "But though Terminus (says Gibbon) had rethink of receding. "But though Terminus (says Gibbon) had resisted the majesty of Jupiter, he submitted to the authority of the Emperor Hadrian." The first emperor of Rome for the first time announced the no territory policy to Roman cars, and, ac ordingly, the same illustrious historian just quoted, says "the principal conquests of the Romans were achieved under the Republic; and the emperors, for the most part, were satisfied with preserving the content of the Romans were achieved up the nodice of the Sec. those dominions which had been acquired by the policy of the Senate, the active emulation of the consuls, and the marrial enthusiasm of the people. The seven first centuries were filled with a rapid succession of triumphs, but it was reserved for Augustus to relinquish the ambitious design of conquering the whole earth, and to introduce a spirit of moderation into his councils."

The Senator from South Carolina was pleased to designate a period in Roman history as the commencement of the rain of free institutions, nearly four hundred years anterior to the age of the indications selectly by the distribution of the desired probability and fall of Roman power, He says. "As soon as the decline power passed from Italy beyond the Adriatic on the one side, and the Alps on the other, and the Mediterranean, their liberty fell prostrate." Well, if the Senator is correct in this statement, Roman likerty persished before the five handred and flittleth year from man marry persons before the recommend and marry year from the building of the city, and nearly two hundred years before the reign of Augustus; as Illyricum, beyond the Adriatic, was certainly subdued as early as the year five hundred and fifteen, ab urbe ly subdued as early as the year rive hundred and internal are condita. Spain was conquered by Scipio in the year five hundred and lorty-seven, of the same era; and the Macedonian Kingdom was overthrown, and all Greece delivered from the tyranny of the control o Nabis, about ten years later. To recognize Roman liberty as having been prostrated thus early, would be to take away from the honor of free institutions more than I am willing to do, and would nonor of tree institutions more than I am whiting to do, and would inevitably cast a unlittitude of great names, heretofore associated with the career of Roman liberty, into a dim and dusky eclipse which would be seriously dispiriting to the champions of freedom throughout the world

throughout the world.

The truth is, sir, that there never was a year when Rome was free that she was not advancing rapidly along the pathways of territorial conquest. Let me cursorily mention a few names of conquered and amexed peoples, who had fallen before the Roman power anterior to the period of prostration specified by the Senator from South Carolina; commencing with the Albans, we have then, the Latines, the Sabines, the Fidenatians, the Faliseinas, the Venetians, the Drenestines, the Campanians, the Samnites, the Hernicians, the Thurtinas, the Mrivernians, the Apulians, the Etruseans, the Umbrians, the Marcians, the Thurtinas, the Marcians, the Thurtinas, the Marcians, the Thurtinas, the Marcians, the Latines and the Marcians, the Pelignans, the Equans.

the Volsmans, the Lucanians, too Tarentons, the Bruttans, the Saltentines, Saltentine

prostrated intell currier, even than the date of its outside in which he has specified?

But, I will not pursue this topic inteller and make it, should not have discussed it at all, but for the apprehension, should light, the powerful argument of the homorphy's Sent to Tream 5 out. Carrier

the powerful argument of the homeology seed, to me such Constitution on this point, going wholly many were of some some one calculation that the policy many were of some some of leave upon the public main more or less derinants for a bound of leave to the baddy balanced frame of government, into some a facet to be made and antagonizing channels for the construction of maintained unceasing discords. To the defective test no digitally dense, which, until the days of Justitium, was consistently of the most imperfect ever known; to her wou at the population of trial by jury, and, her want of the most of regular some of trial by jury, and, her want of the most of regular some of trial by jury, and, her want of the most of regular some of trial by jury, and, her want of the most of regular some of trial by jury, and her want of the most of regular some of trial by jury, and her want of the most of regular some of trial by jury, and her want of the most of regular some of trial by jury, and her want of the most of regular some of trial by jury, and her want of the most of regular some of trial by the some of tri of poetry, and saving with Byron

But let us advance a little further in examination of the honorable Senator's view of the danger to arise to our institutions from the incorporation or provincialization of Mexico; one of which he says we will have to do in a short time if we do not come over to his defensive line theory. The complete denationalization of Mexico, he says, will bring us to the necessity of choice between

his defensive line theory. The complete demainsulfization of Mexico, he says, will bring us to the necessity of choice between these alternatives.

Mexico, he says, will bring us to the necessity of choice between these alternatives.

Admitting the demandization of Mexico to be at hand, then I contend that, without falling back to a defensive line, and without establishing a Provincial government of the people, an their present condition at least, measures could be pursued in order to ensure a vigorous plur pulse necessary to and, indeed, in pursuance of the military occupation policy now promalged by General Scott, that would newlably lead to the gradual excilication and Americanization of Mexico, and, possibly, and even an admittance of the military occupation policy now promalged by General Scott, that would newlably lead to the gradual excilication and Americanization of Mexico, and, possibly, are eventual amexation to the confederacy, when qualified for this honor. I am propose method the confederacy when a patient for the presumptions in me to the son. The trees which I am about to referre, confined to myself nor is a model to know, in their conficient, and if responsible therefor. Though I am lappy to believe, that they will be generally concerned in his those whom I represent here, and that, in the main, they are sometimed by two distinguished critizens of my awa State, judging from their published views, whose high reputation in adultives, for mean worth, and profound acquintance with this particular subject, approve, the tumost respect from the years of the story of the content of the late Governor of Mexico, General Quintum, and mother to the late Governor of Mexico, General Quintum, and mother to the late Governor of Mexico, General Quintum, and mother to the late Governor of Mexico, General Quintum, and mother to the late Governor of Mexico, General Quintum, and mother to the late Governor of Mexico, General Quintum, and mother to the late Governor of Mexico, General Quintum, and mother to the late

be recognized as the 'Cobd'an and Mansfield combined of this Western World.

Thus premising, I proceed to declare, that if hereafter driven by stress of circumstances, such as have been antisparted by the Senator from South Carolina, to despute altogether of the different of a recombination of a treaty with Mexico, and we should be forced in the paper-session of the country, in spite of our willingness to sectionaled at five sown present inhebitants, on fair and homorable beausy, why, the source has been considered by many and the sentiments of t

Whilst a temporary military occupation of Mexico might be deemed necessary, I would station detachments of troops at three prominent points in the interior, Orizaba, Mexico and Sun Lurs

Potesi, with a view or expression to the three commercial points of Alvarda, Vera Couzand Tanaja-o; thus securing the minime districts from reneron humal from any quarter, and estab-lishing rease and persons social order throughout the whole extent of the great thus case peak.

lishing regree and persons social occur throughout the whole extent of the preson thus consider.

3. The next step which would be addicable would be the intro3. The next step which would be reliable would be the introserved to the step of the

Here Mr. FOOTE read from Mr. Alison's speech a considerable extract, and continued this:

4. Our System of Land Lans. There is ascertained to be an numeric body of valuable public land in Mexico, heretofore unappequiated, there being, as I am assured, from twenty-five to thirty nullions of acres of the richest lands in the world, yet unoccupied. millions of series or the remest same in the worth, yes mooscapient, in the state of Vera Cruz, and a proportionate quantity in most of the states which have not yet become densely peopled. By bestowing farms of inadvarie expent upon actual settlers, the whole country would soon become expent upon actual settlers, the whole under the sun, in all that is a nessary to good government and

under the sun, in all that is a cessary to good gooding. and high social advancement.

5. There are resources in Moximum 3 necessary to be particularly specified, which might be conveniently and legitimately applied, after paying the present initional debt, to general educa-

tion purposes.

6. Religious toleration, heretofore probibited, would now prevail, and every man be allowed to worship. God. according to his own

7. Our system of trial by jury would be sure very early to com-mend itself to respect and adoption.

8. These and other regulations which will occur to all astate minds

at once, without suggestion, cannot but work wondrous effects in the next five or ten years. Eight years ago, he who is now speak-

The scenes be cin described have been in part at least realized; and they are destined to be still more fully realized, if we shall dere to do our duty, regardless of libberal cavits and factions op-

derection do our duty, regardless of ithleral cavils and factions opposition.

In meswer to the objections of the Senator from South Carolina,
it has become mecessary to take a short view of the character of
the population at present in Movie. There are said to be now
about seven auditions of periods their number having rather diminished than increased in the last ten years. Of these about four
auditions are, as they have been despited by the Senator from South
Carolina, Indians—who, those is expect a their manners, decile,
other or the state of the services of the southern and
the state of the services of the southern and
the state of the services of the southern and
the state of the services of the southern and
the state of the services of the southern and
the state of the services of the southern and the state of the
southern and continued the special law. They are cultivators of the soli
surport to do mittary service. They have not rejoved political
rights, on each continue the part of the population of Mexico
are they desirons of being allowed to do so. Whatever
they must become in future, under judicious and human regulations, such as we have applied to our Chickasaw. Chectaw and
the surport of the solid laws.

Cherokee Indians, remains to be seen. In the present state of
things, though, no man of sound paratical intellect, I suppose
would think of cutrasting them with all the rights of American
eitzenskip. An experiment of this kind formerly tried in Mexico
known to have been a total failure.

Three utilious reason to be deep not of the set military
articarcay, the twonty-two thousand office-holders, and their ser-

aristocraey, the twenty-two thousand office-holders, and their ser-

vile dependents constitute perhaps a body of two hundred thousand. These, with a portion of the priesthood, and their dependents and servitors are known as the aristocratic party.

servitors are known as the aristocratic party.

The Peens, or rillions appendant, as they may be called, are a mixed race, slaves in the most unfavorable sense of the word, and transferable with the soil which they till. "They are said to number about seven or eight hundred thousand sonle, and constitute the child resource of the military aristocracy for recruiting their ar-

The negroes are too inconsiderable in point of numbers or influence to be entitled to any serious regard in this view of the Mexi-

fluonec to be entitled to any serious regard in this view of the Mexican people.

The remainder, in number more than two millions, are the Pures or democrate of Mexico; the friends of republican institutions, always friendly to our people and government, and especially so in this war, as is provable by much and satisfactory evidence; for more friendly with an certainly than to their cruel oppression military tyrants. The Pures are manufactory people in country, the most religious are remained or the property of the country to the country of the country to the country to the country of the property of the country to the country of the property of the country of the country of the property of the country of the country of the property of the country of the country

apprehension at \$\tilde{\Omega}\$, prospect of, our surroad gring them again to the crued oppression from which they stand now released.

As the retention of Mexico by the United States, should we be forced to retain it, is objected to be some on the ground of the concross expense likely to be attendight upon our doing so, I will be resulted a low facts elacitated to all so, and I flear on this point.

1. It is estimated by our Secretary of the Treasury, in his annual report, that the domestic commerce between the States of this centidedray amounts annually to the sum of \$5.00,000,000. Upon the basis supplied by this estimate, when a general free-trade intercourse shall have been once established of domestic trade would uncount to \$166,000,000. Of which, if we suppose that trade to Mexico to be \$83,000,000; we have an increase of more than \$82,000,000 complete. Under the control of British councils, and by the instrumentality of problishory and pseudies, many of our most valuable stuples—such a flour, tobacco, manufactures of cotton, &c., have been heretofore excluded. This would be no longer the case were Mexico part of the thorn.

2. For our produce and supplied to the sum of the minimum products derivable from more almost whelly untouched, consisting of quick silver, copper and tim. 3. Their valuable woods, consisting of quick silver, copper and tim. 3. Their valuable woods, consisting of quick silver, copper and tim. 3. Their valuable woods, consisting of managany, &c. 4. Cochineal, logwood, and other dyce-stuffs. 5. Coffee, conco, and wine of a flavor equal to the best Cuba tobacco. 8. Silk, of which there is a considerable quantity manager and the productive for a considerable of the control of th which the greater part of Mexico is admirtory analysis in point of soil and eliminate; and it is a fact, (not a little remarkable it is too,) that though the State of Vera Cruz, posseses native resources as a planting region, but little inferior to the island of Cuba itself, until the landing of our army under General Scott, there was no loaf sugar to be found in the vicinage of the city of Vera Cruz, except what was most inconveniently and expensively brought there on the backs of mules, from the Pacific slope, a distance of

there on the backs of mules, from the Pacitic slope, a distance of four hundred miles.

3. The quicksilver mines of Mexico have not been regularly worked; but enough is known of them to authorize the opinion, that they are greatly the most submide which have ever to the been, to a great extent, monopolus 4 by the Rothelidisk, has lately reached a price in market which may be well deemed enormous. The opening of the mines of quicksilver under our supervision and direction would at once cheapen the article everywhere. The value of quicksilver as an amaleum, so undispensable as such in mining operations, is toy will known to justify extended remark 4. 1 feel bound to add, that without the Islamus of Tellamenene.

on this field.

4. I feel bound to add, that without the Istham of Telimante-prewhich can never be fully and available make your control, compawhich can never be fully and available makes our control, compawhich can be sufficient to the property of the control of the conman place of the very control of the property of the control of the property of the pro

world.

5. Lastly. If we fall back to a defensive line, the military aristocrats will reorganize under the protection of Great Britain or some other foreign government, and then we shall have a war on our hands which will be interminable. The fact that Great Britain has long struggled to outrol the destinies of Mexico—that she is known to be quite jealous of our present prospects in that Lord Palmerston has recently in Parliament thrown

out very distinct intimations of a disposition to seize upon the whole of Mexico, as indemnity for certain debts due to British subjects-together with the striking fact that the British government is together with the striking fact that the British government is known to be already in possession of the pass of Nicaragans, should solemally admensible as not to withdraw our troops and exacuate the country to lightly, for, in the emphatic language of the day, with-cirrity for the future; in which I understand full indemnity in mo-ney or territory, and full security for ourselves and our posterity. I am satisfied, sir, for the possent, with having presented this hy-pothetical view of the compensating advantages likely to arise from the annexation of Mexico, in case the obstinacy of that nation, encouraged as she has been from this country to conti-nue the struggle of arms, should finally constrain us to take pos-session of all the country and hold it forestrains as

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—I have listened to the speech of the honorable gentleman who has taken his seat, with all the attention which his own high character, and, if he will allow me to add, my regard for him, could impire. In the course of his very animated and eloquicat remarks, there was one passage which I regret, and I now rise simply for the purpose of recalling his attention to it, and making a single remark upon it. I allude to that part of the gentleman's remarks in which he alluded to Mr. Clay, in language tiver, "couploying certain acts for the purpose of purenting certain percensions to the Presidency, which the gentleman thought proper to attribute to him. Sir. Mr. Clay is now a private citizen—a man venerable for his age; and (I think I may say without fear of dissent from any gentleman, illustrious on account of his chara man venerance or ms eye; and it think I may say without lear of dissent from any gentleman; illustrious on account of his char-acter and his public services. He is now unconnected with politi-cal life; and I submit to the honorable gentleman, whether those considerations might not have protected him from such an allusion, and from such imputations as have been east upon him?

### Mr. FOOTE -If the honorable gentleman will allow me-

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—In a moment, sir. I have had the han or of knowing Mr. Cav, and of calling him friend, and being called friend by him, for the hast twenty-tiev years of my life. I think I kn ow him, sir, and I think I can venture to assure my hon-ora-de friend from Mississippi, that there is no man in this country to reinerproble of the practice of any ignoble arts than he is: and thin 'he would not have the Presidency at the price of any such arts practised by him. To him the Presidency could add but little. He will alora a bright gaze in the history of his country and therefore, when he proposed a pregulaces of party shall be hashed, his will, indeed, he held by all Americans, the

a name honorable and illustrious, which, combined with the names of his great and distinguished opponents will, with their blended light, forever illuminate and illustrate the annals of our country. I regret then, sir, that in the course of these animated remarks— and much, I know, escapes us in the heat of debate, which we would willingly rata —a passage should have occurred, which may perhaps be construed more seriously than it was meant. I think I can assure the gentleman, that whatever information he may have received to the contrary. Mr. Clay has practised no art, neither the art of the mesmerizer, nor of the magnetizer, nor of metther the art of the mesmerizer, nor of the magnetizer, nor of the politician, nor any act whatever, to promote his pretensions to the Presidency. The highest official station could add but little to his—ban little to his man or fame. Office itself is comparatively an ignoble object of ambition. His has ever been the higher object of ambition. His has ever been the higher contact's approbation. He is altogether incapable of any art to grammant, or to action may be a support of the property of the proper circumvent, or to obtain any object. I can assure the gentleman that he has practised no means whatever, that he, himself, in the that the has promoted in means whatever that he make, in the exercise of his cost, judgment would condenn; and I make this appeal in the most kind and respectful manner, in vindication of a private citizen, and my friend, now absent, and represented here by my honorable friend. [Mr. UNDERWOOD.] and however un-

Mr. FOOTE.-I concur heartily in what has fallen from Mr. POOTE.—I concur heartily in what has fallen from the Senator from Kentucky, in prases of his distinguished friend. Even the Latin quotation applied to him has my cor-diol sanction. I, will even go farther, and supply a word, to be found in the original, and which the Senator has ac-cidentally omitted, and I will say. "Clarum et enerable name genitive," For surely, Mr. Clay is known most con-spictously to all the nations of earth. The Senator seems to be dissatisfied at my using the phrase magnetic influence, in connection with Mr. Clay's present visit to Washington. Now. I must think that if the Secutor had duly considered the meaning of the timit that it the sentter had only considered the meaning of the phrase in question, lie would have taken no offence. A magnet is a substance distinguished for a certain mysterious, yet powerful influence, which may be called attractiveness. Magnetism is at tractiveness, or, in the present more ascertained sense of the term, tractiveness, or, in the present more ascertance as a subtle, ethereal, mysterious, incomprehensible, and irresistible influence, possessed by one substance over another, or by one man's spirit over the spirits and sensibilities of others; which enaman's spirit over the spirits and sensonintes of others; where the bles the magnetizer in the latter case, even without actual contact, physically speaking, to control the will and conduct of all who come within range of his influence. Now, I have heard a distin-guished countryman of the bonorable Senator, Dr. Buchanan, give

instances of the exercise of this power by himself, Dr. Caldwell, and others, in certain towns and villages of Kentucky, exceedingly surprising. Had I charged Mr. Clay with coming to Washington at this particular time to electioneer for the Presidency—to solicit support from prominent politicians for this high place, or even to plead with his old friends not to abandon him, I should have talked very unworthily. But I did no such thing: I used the most delicate and respectful terms possible, and only suggested that his very presence here was calculated to operate with magnetic power. And cannot this he said with propriety? Dues not this distinguished persoange awaken a profound sensation wherever he chances to got? Did not the citizens of Philadelphis turn out to do him honor on a late occasion, without distinction of parever ne chances to got! Did not the citizens of Philadelphis turn out to do him honor on a late necasion, without distinction of party? and was not the crowd so great, and put under such powerful attraction by him, as almost to obstruct his progress along the streets? I have been once or twice placed under this influence, more or less, unself, and was delighted to join my fellow-citizens of Mississippi on one occasion in affording to Mr. Clay a hearty welcome when he visited our State. There is a charmfulness about the whole man very difficult to resist. He is an orator of

most captivating powers, too, and a poet by nature. Even his person would suggest to a casual observer that he "the does not belong to the roll of ordinary men." My opposition to Mr. Clay is strictly political; but it is most decided. And though I hope never to give personal offence to him or his friends by the use of discourteous language, as long as God permits me to live Lexpert to be stremously opposed to his principles as a statesman, and his advancement to Presidential honors. I would notify the Senator that the celebrated lecturer on electromagnetism. Dr. Schator that the celebrated lecturer on electro-magnetism, Dr. Boynton, is now in the city, and he could not fail to derive both pleasure and instruction from his discourses.

. CRITTENDEN .- I am exceedingly gratified by the ex-Mr. CRITTENDEN.—I am exceedingly graduate by the ex-planation of the honorable gentleman. I know that his own gen-erons feelings and sense of propriety would lead him to assure us that he meant nothing that was unbecoming or improper.

On motion.

The Senate adjourned.

The following remarks should have been inserted in page 138, immediately before the last paragraph in the first column:

Mr. SEVIER.-I beg leave to interrupt the honorable Senator from Mississippi for a moment only. He has referred to the jour-Mr. SEVER.—I beg leave to interrupt the honorable detailed from assissipply for a moment only. In his referred to the journals, but has omitted, what I consider, a very important point considered in its bearing upon the subject at issue. I refer to the act of 1803—an act based upon the Ross resolutions, and very pertinent at the present moment. I call for the reading of the said act.

The Secretary here read the act called for.]

# FRIDAY, JANUARY 21, 1848.

On motion, it was

Ordered, That when the Senate adjourn it be to Monday next.

MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE. The following message was received from the House of Repre-

entatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk.

Mr. President: The House of Representatives have passed the following bills of the Senate.

An act concerning certain collection districts, and for other purposes. An act to provide clothing for volunteers in the service of the United States

The House of Representatives have passed a bill, entitled "An act to amend an act entitled "An act to reorganize the General Land Office," approved July 4, 1836;" in which I am directed to ask the concurrence of the Senate.

THE LATE JOHN W. HORNBECK.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk.

Mr. President: 1 am directed to notify the Senate of the death of the Hon. John W. Hornbeck, a Representative from the State of Pennsylvania, and of the proceedings of the Honse of Representatives thereon.

The resolutions adopted by the House of Representatives having heen read,

Mr. CAMERON said:

It is my duty, Mr. President, to detain the Senate for a moment. before I move the customary resolutions which here mark the death of one of our number.

JOHN W. HORNBECK, a member of the House of Representatives from the State of Pennsylvania, died at his residence in the county of Lehigh a few days since.

It was not my fortune to have been acquainted with him, but from

those who knew him well, I learned that he was a native of New does who knew him well, I learned that he was a native of New Jorsey—that hegardated at Union College in New York, and that he stulled, about 16 years since, at Allentown, Pennsylvania, whe she studied law, and where he was, till the time of his death, a respected and successful member of the har.

He was a man of learning and of ability, of quiet and unobtru-sive but of social labits, and of a disposition so kind and benevo-lent—so willing to serve others, rather than himself, that he won the esteen and love of all who came within his cricle. Honorable, high minded and generous, he commanded the respect of all, and died as he had lived, without an enemy.

His election was a tribute to his private virtues. Living in a district opposed to him in politics, he was elected by a decided majority over a man of sterling worth and high character.

At the meeting of Congress he came here to fulfil his trust, but the disease which had marked him for his victim made such rapid inroads upon his constitution, that he was compelled to leave his seat the first week of the session. He returned to his home, where he died in the midst of his family and friends. Those whom he had cherished and protected, were around him to smooth his pillow in the last struggle between time and eternity; and he died as he pool man only can die, calmiy relying upon the mercy of the Redeemer-whats we moorn his carly death, we should rejoice that his life whats we moorn his carly death, we should rejoice that his life was pure as to warrant the hope that he hes only exchanged unreality for a happy immortality.

Mr. Cameron concluded by submitting the following reso-Intions:

Resided, continuously. That the Senate has received with deep semilality the versage from the House of Representatives, anomenous the death of Hos. John W. Houward, a Representative from the State of Pennsylvania. Revolved, surminously. That the members of the Senate, from a sincere desire of Revolved, surminously. That the members of the Senate, from a sincere desire of Revolved. That I are a surface and the senate of the Revolved and the senate of the Revolved. That is a further match of respect for the memory of the deceased, the

The resolutions having been unanimously adopted,

The Scnate adjourned.

# MONDAY, JANUARY 24, 1848.

Mr. RUSK presented the credentials of the Hon. Sam Hous-rox, elected a Senator of the United States, from the State of Texas, for the term of six years from and after the 4th day of March, 1847; which were read.

Mr. HOUSTON, having taken the eath prescribed by law, took his seat in the Senate.

### MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT.

The following message was received from the President of the United States, by Mr. Walker, his Secretary:

To the Senate of the United Nates:

nonpinanes with the request of the Senates, in their reducibn of the 13th instant, I to the Senates of War, with the accompanying to convenience, containing the information called for, in relation to "force outside tiems" in Mexico.

Janes N. POLE.

Washington, January 24, 1942.

On motion by Mr. DAYTON, it was

. Ordered, That it be printed.

### PETITIONS.

Mr. WEBSTER presented a petition of Importing merchants of the city of Boston, praying a modification of the 8th Section of the Tariff Act, of July 30th, 1846, relating to assessments of duties on invoices; which was referred to the Committee on Finance. and ordered to be printed.

On presenting this petition, Mr. WEBSTER remarked that its signers, persons of emment respectability, complained of a provision in the 8th section of the law commonly called the Tariff Act of 1846, to which he desired to call the attention of the Finance Committee. It would be remembered that under that law all dutes were ad radoren, to he assessed upon the value at the port when entered, and that, to determine the quantity, the artisates to be weighed, guaged or measured of the law, while it very proper the properties of the payment of day upon any excess being the properties of the payment of day upon any excess being the properties of the payment of the properties of the payment of the properties of the day upon goods imported, 'under no circumstances shall the duty be assessed on an amount less than the invoice value." The petitioners complain that under this provision, when the goods invoiced fall short of the invoice, either in weight, guage or measure, they are completed to pay duties on that which they do not receive. They therefore request that the section referred to should be so amended as to read "that on all articles usually bought and sold by weight, guage or measure, the duties thereon shall be computed and paid according to the returns of weight, guage or measure, the states in the several ports." On presenting this petition, Mr. WEBSTER remarked that its

Mr. WEBSTER presented the petition of the citizens of Bedford County, Pennsylvania, praying a reduction of the rates of postage on newspapers; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Also, a memorial of ministers and laymen of the Unitarian de-nomination of Christians, praving the adoption of pacific measures for securing an immediate and permanent peace with the Republic of Mexico; which was laid upon the table.

Also, the petition of citizens of Billerica, Massachusetts, praying the adoption of measures for terminating the war with Mexico; which was laid upon the table.

Mr. BERRIEN presented a memorial of J. J. Flournoy, praying the adoption of measures for establishing a tribunal for the peaceable adjustment of international disputes; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

Mr. LEWIS presented a memorial of the General Assembly of the State of Alabama, praying the establishment of a national armory near the city of Tascaloosa, in that State; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. BAGBY presented a memorial of the General Assembly of the State of Alabama, praying the graduation and reduction of the price of the public lands in that State; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

DICKINSON submitted additional documents relating to the claim of the heirs of Jethro Wood; which was referred to the Committee on Patents and the Patent Office.

Also, the petition of citizens of Nunda, New York, praying a reduction of the rates of postage and the discontinuance of the frank-

ing privilege; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Mr. BRADBURY presented the petition of Amos Doughty, a soldier in the last war with Great Britain; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. ASHLEY presented the pctition of Reynolds May, praying to be released from a judgment rendered against him at the suit of the United States; which was referred to the Committee on

Mr. JOHNSON, of Md., presented the petition of Alexander Murdock, Treasurer of the first Presbyterian Church of Baltimore, praying the return of duties levied on certain articles imported for the use of that church; which was referred to the Committee on

On motion by Mr. BELL, it was

Ordered, That Susan Coody and others, Cherokee Indians, have leave to withdraw their petition and papers.

On metion by Mr. JOHNSON, of La., it was

Ordered, That the petition of the heirs of Nicholas Barra, on the files af the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Private Land Claims.

ROUTE TO CALIFORNIA.

On metion by Mr. WESTCOTT, it was

Ordered. That in printing the documents communicated by the Secretary of War, on the 15th December last, in answer to the resolution of the Senate of the 9th December, all papers not connections of the second Liouvers. south of the Senator the or Determiner, an Parlest not Connected with or appended to the report of Lieutenant Emory, transmitted to the Senate, and with the report of Lieutenant Colonel Cooke to Colonel J. J. Abert, Chief of the Topographical Bureau, dated December 6, 1847, be excluded.

### NOTICES OF BILLS.

Mr. ASHLEY gave notice that he would, at an early day, ask leave of the Senate to introduce a bill to extend the maritime ju-risdiction of the United States' courts to all the navigable waters of the United States.

Mr. RUSK gave notice that he would, at an early day, ask leave of the Senate to introduce a bill authorizing the President of the United States to increase the Naval establishment.

### CLERK TO THE COMMITTEE ON PRINTING.

Mr. CAMERON submitted the following resolution for conside-

Resolved, That the Committee on Printing be authorized to employ a Clerk, to whom the usual per diem compensation shall be paid, out of the contingent fund of the Senate.

### MR. WISE'S CORRESPONDENCE.

Mr. HUNTER submitted the following resolution, which was conisdered by nunnimous consent, and agreed to:

Browlerd, That the President of the United States be requested to communicate to the Senne, if not mecanisated with the public interest, the carrespondence of Mr. Win, late Minaster, Ke. of the United States at the court of Brazil, with the Depart ment of State, of the United States, and with the Minister and Secretary of State for foreign affairs, of Brazil, with the accompanying papers, a enthrace in the despite less man, affated October 9th, 18-47, and in the State to the Secretary of State, state a way of the State, and with the States of Mr. Bachanan, Sectary of State, to Mr. Wise, number 30, dated the 32 February, 18-47, and number 33, dated States and the despatches of Mr. Bachanan, Sectary of State, to Mr. Wise, number 30, dated the 32 February, 18-47, and number 33, dated States and the despatches (Admice S) Favire, a Laderman of States and States (S) and states are supported by the States (S) and the S

### UNITED STATES' DISTRICT JUDGE FOR SOUTH FLORIDA.

Mr. WESTCOTT submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved. That the Committee on the Judiciary he instructed to impure into the proporety of increasing the salary of the United States' Dutriet Judge for South Florida.

### EXPLOSION OF STEAM-BOILERS.

Mr. WESTCOTT submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved, That the Commissioner of Patents be directed to report to the Senate such information as be may have in his possession, or may obtain, that he deems im-portant, with reference to further legislation by Congress, for the prevention of the ex-plosion of steam-boilers need in boats, or for eigines on nonliroads, and, whether any smendments to the Patent laws are advisable to effect such object.

### RETROCESSION OF ALEXANDRIA.

Mr. HUNTER, from the Committee on the District of Columbia, to whom had been referred the bill supplemental to an aet passed the 9th day of July in the year 1846, entitled "An act to retroccede the county of Alexandria in the District of Columbia, to the State of Virginia," reported it without abunculment.

### MILITARY LAND WARRANTS.

Mr. BREESE, from the Committee on Public Lands, reported a bill to require the holders of military land warrants to compensate the land officers of the United States, for services in relation to the location of those warrants, which was read and passed to the second reading.

### ACCUSORS NAME OF ALL

Mr. BREESE, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the bill granting to the State of Illmois, the right of way, and a domation of public hand, for making a trill road connexing the upper and bower Mississophy, with the chain of merthem lakes at Chicago, reported by without appendment.

Mr. BREESE also submitted a report on the subject; which

### ADDRESS OF THE MILITARY ACADEMY

Mr. BADGER, from the Committee on Military Aflaurs, reported a bill placing the officer, who performs the duty of Adjutant at the Military Academy, on an equality, as to pay and allowances with the Adjutants of Regiments.

Mr. ATCHISON, from the Committee on Indian Affairs, to whom was referred the petition of Jonathau Lewis, submitted a report accompanied by a resolution for his relief.

The resolution was read and passed to the second reading.

. I would be seen to an inter-

Mr. BALDWIN, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the bill for the relief of Jeanette C. Huntington, widow and sole executive of William D. Cheever, deceased, recepted it without amendment

Mr. BALDWIN also submitted a report upon the subject, which was ordered to be printed.

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the petition of Don Carlos Blake, adjutant of the 3d, regiment of Infantry, submitted an adverso report: which was ordered to be printed, together with an accompanying letter from the Quartermaster General on the subject.

Mr. DAYTON, from the Committee on Patents, reported a bill for the relief of Oliver C. Harris; which was read and passed to the second reading.

Mr. UPHAM, from the Committee on Revolutionary Claims, to whom was referred the petition of the widow and heirs of Dr. Gesrevies B. Horner, late a suggeon in the arony of the Revolution, admitted an adverse report; which was ordered to be printed

Mr. FELCH, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the petition of John Milliken and others, submitted a report accompanied by a bull for the relief of John Milliken and others, to secure certain rights to pre-emption in the State of Louroms, and for other numeroses.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Onload. That the report he printed

### GEN. TAYLOR'S VIEWS

The Senate proceed to consider the following resolution, submitted by Mr. BERRIEN on the 20th instant; and it was agreed to:

Resident. That the Probabilist of the United State, the ray is left to impact in the State copies of the little streament among states, are closed to be in the time of Good Zacitara. Trains, dated at New Oberan, 24th July, Left, and all time or Good Zacitara. Trains, dated at New Oberan, 24th July, Left, and all time of Good Law or State of Good Zacitara. Trains, dated at New Oberan, 24th July, Left, and the state of Good Law or State of Good Law of Law

### WASHINGTON MONUMENT

The 8-mate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the resolution authorizing the erection, on the public grounds in the city of Washington, of a monument to George Washington; and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered. That it be engrossed and read a third time

The said resolution was read a third time by unanimous con-

Recovered, That it was a and that il the time of he as afore and

Ordered. That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this resolution.

The Senate proceeded to consider the following resolution, submitted on the 18th instant by Mr. BALDWIN:

Book J. That he Just at the large of the Alexe-States, he resures to communicate in the Seadon was dimensional and the seadon was a variety of the public dimensional and seadon seadon of the public dimensional and the seadon seadon of the seadon seadon process of the Republic, and the Seadon seadon shows the seadon to see to the seadon seadon of the seadon seadon

Mr. HANNEGAN asked for a second reading of the resolution, and after it had been read, resoluted that before the vote of the Senate was taken on the resolution, he should desire to have some explanation of it offered by the my or.

explanation of it direct by the age of the President of the Words, the object which I have it were in moving that resolution. The President of the United States of the States and the session, has incomed Congress that the republic of Mexico has no means of indeputible, so for the chains of our citizens, except by a cession of her territory or a portion thereof. He has also means of the session, sed particularly in the appearation of their means of the last bases on, sed particularly in the appearation of their means of the session of the recognition of the resolution of the session of the present set of the set of the set of the set of the session of the recognition of the resolution of the resolution of the session of the set of the set of the set of the session of the set of th

or enable Sounte to south the continue and to act, arteringening or enable Sounte to south the continue and the continue which are now pending before the Sounte. The resolutions offered by the Sounter on the continue of the Continue and the possibility that it may be come necessary to nequire the occasion, where the right of the United States to nequire the dominon, and the possibility that it may be come necessary to nequire the occasion, were the Republic of Mexico. I ask it for the purpose of enabling me to not intelligently upon those resolutions. The sounter of the resolutions of the Sounter form New York (Altr. Discretive of several time) and it is the fee senator from New York (Altr. Discretive of several time) and it is the commercial elastines and its political states are several to commercial elastines and its political states and several time and its political states any portion of her severeignty over any of the members of that know, whether Newton best the power of each got the United States any portion of her severeignty over any of the members of that Republic—whether we can havinly and justly acquired. It wish to know, whether Newton but members of the Sounts, that when the purpose of the Sounts, that when Mexico was an empire under the government of furbide, he was because the severeignty over any of the members of the Sounts, that when Mexico was an empire under the government of the butter of the Sounds, that when the government and a confederated republic was extablished in its room, by the union, for that purpose, of the mineteen States composing the Republic of Mexico, that union was broad upon the sound pointing the Republic of Mexico, that union was broad upon the sound pointing the Republic of Mexico, that union was broad upon the sound principles upon which our programment are subject to the district of that of the Mexicon Republic. And, six need Lask whether any anti-posing the Republic of Mexico, that union any portion of the State of Maine, wi loud the assent of that State of the St

Mexican republic, and to pursue this war into "the very vitals of that republic," until thereby such cession is obtained—I wish to know whether the Mexican republic has the right to make this cession, before I can decide intelligently the question whether this republic can in honor make the demand of Mexico." I wish first repaire can be nonor made the demand of Mexico? I wish hist to know whether Mexico has the potential principle upon which that demand consistently with the fundamental principle upon which that government is based? We all know, that by the general principle. des of the law of nations, it is not competent for a government to disamember itself by the cession of the sovereignty over any portion of its people to a foreign power; and that if a intemple, for the promotion of its own interests, to make such a cession, it is op-tional, by the law of natisass, with that member of the government which it is attempted to cele away to a foreign jurisdiction, to assent to such a session and yield obselicates or not, as it may be able to starain a position independently or not. But, sir, who are the parties in the present case —What are the principles apon which off government is based, at I upon which the Mexician public is based by its constitution modellad after our own f—affl to what result do the cons I rations deducible from these institutions lead us, other than I have stated, unless there be in the possession of the President information which he may have it in his power to tend us, other than I have stated, miress there be in the possession of the President information which he may have it in his power to communicate to the Senate which would change the result? Our government is based, sir, as we all know, now that great declaration, that the right of sovereigner is indicately in the people that the right of sovereigner is indicated in the people that the receiver we are some size of the same prime ple; and are we who said in the casistic institutions of the world and interly at variones with the great dogma, upon which they were based, of the drine right of Kings to givern and the daty of the people to does, year we. I sak, who clint to have discovered and promulgated for the first time, the inalignable right that she shall transfer to another government an portion of her people, loyal to their own government and desirous of remaining under its protection? The object of my resolution is to seek for such information as the President may possess, other than that which we can derive only from our knowledge of the institutions of these two republies, which will enable us to judge of the pro-

such information as the President may possess, other than that which we can derive only from our knowledge of the institutions of these two regulations, which will enable us to judge of the propriety of demanding and endeavoring by force of arms to compel that republic to make a cession of a part of itself, with a portion of its people, thereby transferring them as property to government of the propriety of the

called, of an acquisition by the government of the United States of that which they claimed to be already their own, by the eession of the States within whose original territorial limits the domain was included. The next instance, was the eession of tousiana by France. But that was the eession of a distant colony, claimed by France. But that was the eession of a distant colony, claimed a case upon an enricly different the Oil World. West down in that ease they are a case upon an enricly different to the Oil World. West we have the ession of territory by a siever republic or we assumed in seeking a cession of territory by a siever republic or which we have the decision of the Fordings, a cession made under similar circumstances to tlst of Louissana, the territory being but an appendance to a monarchy of the Oil World. Next we have the eession of Texas. That was a cession made by the people themselves, who claimed to have established an independent government—who elaimed to have established an independent government—who elaimed to prove established an independent government—who elaimed to prove and annex to the Union. They yielded up voluntarity, in conveni in by the unantimous contended to the contended of the contended of the contended of the transfer that they are the made and the contended of the contended the contended of the contended the contended of the c

Mr. HANNEGAN .- So far as the first branch of the resolution of the Senator from Connecticut is concerned, I do not know, sir, that I have any objection to offer. I presume the answer to it would necessarily be, that the President has no informathe upon the adject. If no the treatest was not increased upon the adject. If no the treatest was not increased by the second branch of the resolution. The Senator is the concern of his remarks, thought proper to refer to certain resolutions, which I had the honor to submit to the Senate, a short time since. I was not in the Senato on Thursday last, at the moment wheen the Senator introduced his resolution, and I know mothing of its introduced to the senator introduced his resolution, and I know mothing of its introduced to the senator in the senator introduced his resolution, and I know mothing of its introduced to the senator introduced his resolution, and I know mothing of its introduced his resolution. nator introduced his resolution, and I knew nothing of its introduc-tion, or of its import, itil I heard it read this morning at the Se-cretary's desk. With regard to any own resolutions. I do not feel myself called upon, to say anything on them now; but with refer-rence to the second branch of the resolution affered by the bonora-ble Senator from Connecticut, I must nake a lew variety. I object to that part of the resolution, on several grounds. And in the they place, I remark, that that information which it calls upon one first place, I remark, that that information which it calls up on the President to communicate to us, is presumed to be as fully in the possession of the members of this body, as it can be in that of the President himself. The resolution ask, the President to com-numicate information, relative to the fundamental law of a foreign government. Well, sir, it is I believe to be presumed, that we ought to know as much as the Executive, about the fundamental law of a foreign government. The call is, therefore, altogether unnecessary.

n cticut is one, not for us, but for Mexico to determine. It is for Mexico to say whether, under her fundamental law-if fundame Mexico to say whether, under her fundamental law—if fundamental tal may she has—there is power to cede any or every foot of territory which she possesses. That power Mexico herself has already recognised. Be has already asserted tast power. Peoding the negotiations anterior to the canexation of Texas, Mexico, as even greater of the season and the season of the sea annexiation to the United States; thereby admirting that she had the power to dispose of any portion of her territory at her will. She always claimed Texas as hers till that period. I did not eath distinctly the whole of the remarks made by the Senator from Connecticut, but if I understood the purport of his argument, from Connecticut, not if a understood the purport of its argument, the holds that the power of making a cession of territory may below to a monarchiv, but does not exist in a republic. The Senator shakes his head. Does he then deny the existence of that power in a monarchy? If he does, he denies a principle as old, I was about to say as time—extrainly one coexistent with the monarchial form of government. There never was a monarchy upon the face of the earth where the question could be raised as to the right to dispose of her territory or dominions, or any part of them—never. It is quite enough to refer to the treaties of peace, concluded at the termination of wars between great monarchies, in order to show that under that form of government the power of ceding ter-ritory was always exercised. That right has always been exer-cised in monarchical governments, and I will briefly show that the majority has asserted the sume power in this country. But I contend here that Mexico, being neither a monarchy nor a republic, or an action when the most of the right some where. The Senator speaks of a fundamental law in Mexico, about which he would call upon the President to deliver a message. What is that Indushmental law? What has it been from 1832, till the present bour? Why, sir, it has been nothing but the arbitrary will of each successive multirary despot, who has raised himself to

supreme power by his sword. But with regard to the power of making a cession of territory in a republican government, we know that the majority have twice decided in this body that it existed here. First, it the settlement of the North-Eastern boundary, you find, by the unanimous vote of the Senate recorded upon your journals, that it was declared that the whole of that disputed territory was the property of the United States, and yet by the Asblurton treaty, a large portion of the territory thus declared to be ours, was surrendered. I repeat, by a namimous vote of the Senate—fif I am in error I can be corrected; but I believe I am right)—the whole of that territory was declared to be the property of the United States, and yet a treaty ratified by the Senate surrendered a large portion of that retritory, and the most valuable in a military point of view. ture in our history-the settlement of the Oregon questionwhich, after almost every department of the government had de-clared that our title to the whole of Oregon was unquestionable, we yielded up a large part to England. There exists, then, in this govyielded up a large part to England. There exists, then, in this government, as in a monarchical government, the power of ending territory: and I cannot see why the ex-roiss of the power e.m. be demical in an amarchical government, such as that of Mexico. At any rate, to what does the Senator's argument amount, when we come to bring it directly home to the ease as it exists? Mexico has for a series of years urged war upon us. She bas arged a war npon us by every act which has ever been held a just cause of war: nay, by a series of acts, running thrench a period of twelve or thirter a years, from the hour in which Tewas declared herself independent. From the battle of San Jacobst during the process has not been are four in which Mexico was not of the contribution of the series of the contribution in which Mexico was not of the contribution of the contribution of the series of the contribution of Cuort of Mexico. On motion of negative properties of entering at length upon that subject, and of demonstrating, I think irrefragably, the soundness of the position which I have thus assumed. Mexico, then, urges us into this war. She forces upon us a conflict involving an immense sacrifice of life and expenditure of treasure; and at the close of this conflict, the

Senator from Connecticut, according to the doctrine this day enun-

Senator from Connectiont, according to the doctrine this day enamiented, would sailer Mexico to go "seot free," imsisting only that she should say she was sorry for her conduct!

Sir, I do not approve of such a course of policy. I hold, that in common with all nations that have ever existed, we have a right to make this offender paythe penalty of her transgression. But has no gold wherewith to reimburse us, but she has had, and that land we want and I hope and trust we will get. I call, if it be in order, for a division of the question.

Mr. BALDWIN.—The Senator from Indiana says, that the in-formation sought by the resolution, is presumed to be in the pos-session of every department of the government. Undoubtedly, it is always presumed that we are acquainted with the greatest of rical facts in regard to the republic of Mcassers as need to President of the United States recommends to Congress a specine resident of the United States recommends to Congress a specific course—when he recommends to Congress the acquisition of do-minion by forced cession of that republic—and when a knowledge of the fugilamental institutions of that republic does not lead us to the conclusion that she has the power which the President asks us of the fujlumental institutions of that republic does not lead us to the conclusion that she has the power which the President asks us to demand her to exercise, then it appears to me, that it is proper and respectful to the President himself, to ask that he shall communicate to the Scante the information which was the shall communicate to the Scante the information which the work of the state of the stat instance of the title which we may get? Is it enough that the Mexican republic under the pressure of our arms will be willing to wante her own jurisdiction? Does that satisfy the United States that they thereby, will rightfully acquire sovereignty over that people? I desire that the President may inform us upon what principle recognized by ourselves—upon what principle recognized by the republic of Mexico, the sovereignty of the people is transferrable like property for the payment of claims against that government. Is that the doctrine of the American people? The solution of the American people is a doctrined to be by our fathers one of the American people. The solution of the American people of the solution of the American people of the solution of the State of the Revolution? Do they hold that it is a commodify to be a father of the American State of the Revolution? Do they hold that it is a commodify to be massiered from one people to anothet in payment of demands against that confederated government, which it may not be convenient for that government to discharge, except by ecding a portion against that concuerrate government, where it may liot be completed in many liot of the confederacy to another government? How would this does trink be regarded if its application were attempted by a foreign government either to the people of this country or to the people of Mexico? My friend from Indiana has introduced a resolution at firming that this government could not look with complacency upon the establishment of monarchical government over any porti of this continent. But, sir, Mexico owes to subjects of monarchi-cal governments a debt immensely greater than the amount due cal governments a deet minenessly greater than the amount due by her to our citizens. Mexico owes, saw ear enformed—if I mistake not, by Mr. Sidell—a debt of one bundred and fifty mil-lions of dollars, of which by far the largest portion is due to the subjects of monarchical governments in Europe. If Mexico has a right to pay her debts by the cession of her territories, has not any right to pay her doots yet ecession one territories, shaken as other government, whose duries the state of the territories and obtain indemnity for their just clatins, the same right that the claimants that the claimant is shall be paid in territory? And how can we, a sister republic, justify ourselves before the world in ma-king a solemn declaration that we will not look with complemency upon the establishment of any monarchical government upon this continent—that we will resist the cession of any territory to any foreign government, when we are ourselves asserting the Mexico to transfer dominion over the States of that confederacy in Mexico to transfer dominon over the States of that comeditions, in satisfaction for the claims of our own citizens? I should like to be consistent before the world. I would make no avowal of right, claimed by us, which I would not equally concede to any other go-

But, sir, I deny entirely the power of Mexico to eede either to a monarchical government or to this republic, any portion of the sovereignty of the people of that confederacy, without their consent. I deny that the government of Mexico has a right to cede sent. I deny that the government of Mexice has a right to cade to the government of the United States a jurisdiction over Tamel lipss, for the purpose of satisfying the demands of our ritizens against the Mexican government, which it is not convenient for her at this time to dis-charge. I deny that she has any more power to do so than the United States would have to cede one of the States of this Union, for the purpose of dis-charging any claims. the States of this conon, for the purpose of discharging any etains which might exist against the government on the part of the peo-ple of any foreign government. I ask, Mr. President, is it in the power of any of the States of this Union—of Mississippi, for expower of any of the States of this Union—of Mississippi, for ex-tample, or of any other State, owing debts which, at this moment it may not feel itself able to discharge—to cede a portion of its sovereignty for the purpose of discharging the debt? Has this Re-public that power? If not, why? Because, like every other free

government, ours is based upon the great principle that sover-eignty vests in the people, and is ever inalicnable without the assent of the people. We are, I believe, about to enter on a ca-reer for the establishment of a new principle which calls for the arowal by this republic of the doetrine that we can deprive an-other people of their sovereignty, in order to obtain payment of the claims which we have against them. This, sir, is entirely in the crimin which we make a guarantee that it is the control of the base of the the complete small by lings claiming to govern by the vine right. We hold a different theory. We have promulgated a different orderine heretofers. We claim that all men are to be regarded as capable of self government—that in regard to their political rights they stand on the brood platform of equality, blight of the control of th litical rights they stand on the broad platform of equality, liberty, and freedom. It is our boast, sir, to chersh these principles—to manifest our sympathy wherever the spirit of republicanism casts. Europe now feels the influence of our example, and the great principles of free government begin to be acknowledged. Are we then now about to establish a different doctrine? Are we about to relapse into despotism? Are we to offer in our policy a justification of the conduct of those who lave claimed by the divine right of Kings to indulge in rapacity and conquest? I hope not. And if the President of the United States asks us to wage the war with Mexico for the purpose of foreing from cession of her territory, it is due to him-it is due to ourselvesprinciple in the Government of that republic, we are authorized to demand that cession? I wish the President to inform us whether if Mexico should cede to us this territory which we are thus seeking to acquire, we should thereby acquire a right, independently of the will of those thus ceded to us, to exercise dominion over them; or whether we shall then be obliged to make a new treaty those who are thus attempted to be transferred, before shall acquire any right whatever over them. I do not deny—it is not necessary that I should deny—the power of one republic, feeling its inability to maintain its own sovereignty, to merge itself in that of another, as was done by the Government of Texas, though I do deny the power of this republic to acquire territory in the manner in which Texas was acquired without the consent of the manner in which Texas was acquired witnow the consent of the people. But the Senator from Indiana says Mexico is not a republic, and it has always been conceded that a monarchy had the power to ecde territory. I have an extract from a well-known writer on inter-national law which applies to this subject.

"A union ough to preserve half, it ought to preserve all its mades, it cannot alroad not here, and it is moder an object to them of manatuming them in the rank of arouther of the feature. It has not then, a right to traffic with their mak and likery, an account of any alvestages of many promise left from not a negotiation. They are the promotion of the production of the

This is the basis upon which that confederacy was formed. The This is the basis upon which that confederacy was formed. The States of which it was composed, united themselves with the con-federacy forming the republic of Mexico for the purpose of being protected and governed by it, not for the purpose of being trans-lerred like cattle to another government, for the discharge of the debts of the republic. The doctrine that these States supposed that they might be dismembered and ceded away by the federal government, is equally at war with the principles of our own go-vernment and those of the government of Mexico.

vertices as the boson of Fron Indians. Mexico is not a republica-ble is an anarchy. If so, I ask, when did she cease to be a repub-lic? When the United States declared war against her—when the act of Congress, May 13th, 1846, was passed, it was declared that "by the act of the republic of Mexico a state of war existed le-tween that republic and the United States." We, therefore, re-We, therefore, re tween that republic and the United States." We, therefore, re-cognized Mexico as a republic at that period. When did she, then, cease to be a republic! If she has ceased to be a republic, it is owing to the conquests of our arms. But, I trust, that that conlusion is not yet so complete that Mexico has ceased to be one of the nations of the Earth. I trust that the time never will arrive in which Mexico shall cease to be an independent republic, maintaining and cherishing the great principles upon which our own go-vernment is based, and under which we have enjoyed for so many years such a remarkable degree of prosperity.

Mr. HANNEGAN .- I shall detain the Senate but an instant, and beg the indulgence of the Senator from Rhode Island, who ha the floor on the special order. As to the position now assumed by the Senator from Connecticut, that if Mexico has the right to cede the Senatur from Connecticut, that if Mexico has the right to edde the territory to us for any purpose, she has the right at the same-time to eede it to European monarchies, I have only to say that it involves a far higher principle, than has yet entered into this discussion—a principle in which I presume never will be settled, until the hour shall arrive, when the attempt shall be made by any European power, to establish a Large that the state of the principle than any involved even in this war. I will be time enough to discuss it, when the day arrives, which brings it up before us. The Senatura sky if Mexico has ceased to be a republic—by whose are best it, when the day arrives, which brings it up before us. The Sena-tor asks if Mexico has ecased to be a republic—by whose act has she lost that character? He asks, if we have not gone there with the sword, and irebrand, pulling down her altars, and in lieu of an established government, giving the land to wild anarchy. I refer the Senator to history of Mexico, from the downfall of Iurbide to the vessely hour for the nerod of her haying been an anarchy. to the present hour, for the proof of her having been an anarchy. Her history is made up of a continued series of bloody revolutions, in which might and the sword have alone been regarded. She

has no fundamental government or fundamental law that has over been recognised. Santa Anna, and Bustamente, and Parreles, one military usarper after another, has by his arbitrary will considered the fundamental are at twere to seatter the manna of Heaven. Texas wrested herself from the elutches of that anarchical government, and see what she is to-day—a government of civilization, and and the state of the has no fundamental government or fundamental law that has ever most profound respect to the Senator, I move to lay the resolution

Mr. BALDWIN.-I ask for the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were then ordered, and being taken, the result was as follows:

YEAS.—Allen, Ashley, Atchiron, Atherton, Bagby, Bradhary, Breece, Bright, Cameron, Case, Davis, (of Mies.) Dickinson, Dav. Douglas, Dawen, Felch, Fouck, Hannegau, Honton, Huster, Lexivo, Mason, Moor, Sevier, Surgeon, Tumer, NAYS.—Badeer, Ballevin, Bell, Berrien, Batler, Clarke, Clayton, Corwin, Chitenden, Dayton, Greene, Johnson, Off M.J. Johnson, 00 fa. Mangon, Miller, Niles, Penney, Philips, Spunance, Luderwood, Unkan, and Webster.—22.
So the resolution was laid on the tubbe.

### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Repre-sentatives by Mr. Campbell, their clerk:

Mr. President: The House of Representatives have passed an act for the relief of amous Capen, legal administrator of John Cox, deceased, of Boston; in which I am rected to ask the concurrence of the Senate.

The Speaker of the House of Representatives has signed an enrolled bill, which I am directed to deliver to the Senate for the signature of its President.

### SIGNING OF A BILL.

The Vice President signed the enrolled bill authorizing the issuing of a register to the barque Sarah and Eliza.

# RILLS FROM THE HOUSE.

The following bills from the House of Representatives, severally had a first reading :

m act to amend an act entitled. " An act to re-organize the General Land Office," roved July 4, 1836.

An act for the relief of Phineas Capen, legal administrator of Julin Cox, deceased, of Ruston.

# MR. HANNEGAN'S RESOLUTIONS.

On motion by Mr. Mangum, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of the special order of the day.

The PRESIDING OFFICER.—The special order of the day is the resolutions submitted on the 10th instant by the Senator from

Mr. HANNEGAN.—When I introduced these resolutions to the Senate, I named this day for their discussion, as a distant day, not anticipating that the debate on the military bill would be pretrueted so long. It is now obvious; I think, that that debate will not be closed in two weeks, and I therefore propose, if the Senate will allow mc, to postpone the consideration of my resolutions till the second Monday in February, and ask that they be made the special order for that day

The resolutions were accordingly postponed to, and made the special order for the second Monday in February,

### TEN REGIMENT BILL.

The Senate resumed the consideration of the bill to raise, for a limited time, an additional military force

Mr. BUTLER .- I ask the indulgence of the honorable Senator from Rhode Island whilst I make some explanation of a statement trom Knode Island whilst I make some explanation of a statement made in the course of my remarks the other day, relative to the march of General Taylor from Corpus Christi to the Rio Grande. On that occasion, my distillupished friend from Mississippi, (Mr. Davis,) from the kindest motives, diverted my attention in some measure by reference to facts inpon which I intended to have the contract of the contract of

30TH CONG .- 1ST SESSION; -No. 20,

ftiend and myself labored under some degree of misapprehension with regard to the real state of the facts. I have had an oppor-tunity of receiving from several officers of the army a very minute tunity of receiving from several officers of the army a very minute detail of all the facts connected with the transaction to which I have referred. General Taylor received his orders to move from Corpus Christi in the month of January. These orders were published to the army, they were known to the traders between Corpus Christi and the Kio Grande, and as my informants give me to understand, it was notorious in Matamoras, before General Taylor moved at all, that the intended to take up a position upon the Rio moved at all, that the intended to take up a position upon the Rio the 22 or 23d of that month, it reached the Little Colorady, 170 miles from Corpus Christi, and only forty miles from Point I spale the 22 or 350 of 130 it month, it rescaled the Little Colorado, 110 miles from Coppus Christi, and only forty miles from Point Isabel. At the Colorado, General Taylor heard the sound of a bugle beyond the Western lank. There was some paricy, and Major Mansfield was despatched to the other side of the river to learn the eause of the bugle being sounded. The Major was informed the eanse of the hugle being sounded. The Major was informed by a person at the head of a very small party, who represented himself to be the Adjutant General of the Mexican troops, but who bimself to be the Adjutant General of the Mexican troops, but who was, as I understand, an apothecary from Mattamoras—commanding eight or ten men, and who had no doubt sounded the hughe very magnificently, and went home loudly proclaiming that he had driven back General Taylor—that his object was not at all to opse military resistance to the march of General Taylor, but only to warn him against crossing that river, as, if he did cross it, it would be deemed an open act of hostility. Well, General Taylor continued his march, and is he approached Point Isabel, the Castron of the control ing in anything like an argument—was simply this, that General Taylor had given notice of his march under orders of his govern-ment—that there was no declaration of hostilities on the part of Mexico at all until that march commenced—and that in my solemn conviction there never would have been any attack on the forces at Corpus Christi had not General Taylor, under the order of the President of the United States, gone on the disputed territory

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi.-I thank the honorable Senator for Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi.—I thank the honorable Senator for the very kind and complinentary language which he has employed in reference to myself. I cannot, however, agree with any one of the inferences which he has drawn. I have nothing to say as to minute details which he has given with respect to distances and so on, the only vital question being who acted first—who gave the first order? That order came from Mexico—not to fight with General Taylor for the country between the Nucces and the Kio Grande, but to invade and reconquer the State of Texas. That order first ennanted at the sext of government in Mexico before any order was issued from the sext of government in Mexico before any order was issued from the sext of government in the United States. And now, sir, as to the time at which the Mexicon forces moved to take possession of the Little Colorado. I believe they had been there to months. Their emmissaries had been in Gen. Taylor never gave notice of his march. He made his preparations for the march, and no doubt the spies and reconnoticting officers were cognizant of his intentions. But I believe the Mexicans had been at the Colorado for months; and when they gave notice that if he crossed, the Little Colorado it would be considered an act of war, the Mexicans but took the initiatory, as they have always then it; for Mexico has always struck the first blow in every battle field on which we have mother. On some other occasion, however, I may dwell more at length on this point. I shall not now trespass longer on the time of the Senator from Rhode Island. Grande, but to invade and reconquer the State of Texas. That order Rhode Island.

Mr. BUTLER.-Though I may in some measure encreach upon the time of the honorable gentleman from Rhode Island, yet I cannot suffer myself to be thus far misrepresented. The information which I acquired was obtained not from one officer merely, but from which I acquired was obtained not from one officer merely, but from three or four vio happened to be in the same room. They knew this much, that from January, when Gen. Taylor received his orders, until the III to March whon he put his army in motion, there had elapsed a period of forty days, and that during that time not only the officers in General Taylor's camp spoke of his projected movement upon the Rio Grande, but the traders who were there by implied license, attached to the army, had an opportunity to learn the same information, and it was notorious that he would march. Not that he advertised the enemy, because the gentleman will understand that at the time General Taylor marched on the Rio Grande it was with no unrepose of meeting an enemy. The administration had at the time General Taylor marched on the Rio Grande it was with no purpose of meeting an enemy. The administration had not assumed to go there for the purpose of making war. General Taylor received no orders to disguise his movements; and the intelligence was as well known in Matamoras four or five days after he received his orders, as it was in his own eamp. I am very far from questioning the military sagacity of the honorable Senator from Mississippi, and it may have been that orders had been issued by Paredes to cross the Rio Grande and attack General Taylor at Corpus Christi, but the act must rest on conjecture. It can never be reduced to anything like historical ecrtainty.

Mr. CLAYTON.—I am reluctantly compelled also to solicit for a moment the indulgence of the Senator from Rhode Island, in order to set myself right with regard to some observations made by the honorable Chairman of the Committee on Foreiga Rela-

tions during this debate. I had taken occasion to remark that the resolutions as introduced by Mr. Ross in the Senate of the United resonance as a consequence of the configuration of their part, and that the whole course of the Administration of that period was adverse to of threety in conflict with the policy which now seems to have been adopted by those who claim to be the followers of Mr. Jefferstein. The homorable Chairman of the Committee undertook to now that I was in error, and sent to the Secretary's table the now that I was in error, and sent to the Secretary's table the act of 3d March, 1903, authorizing the President of the United States to call out 80,000 militin for certain purposes authorized by that act, and the honorable Chairman inferred from the set itself that I was in error when I said that the administration set itself that I was in error when I said that the administration of that day had not songlet conquest or seizare of any portion of the territory of Spain or France. Sir, I was entirely right in what I said in reference to this subject, as I think the Senator will admit, when he looks at the 4th section of the act to which he himmit, when he looks at the 4th section of the act to which he himself referred. That section on its face explicitly declares, that the act was passed authorizing the President to call out militiate and the proposed seizing upon the island of New Orleans, where the cight of deposite had been denied as—not for the purpose of seizing upon the island of New Orleans, where the cight of deposite had been denied as—not for the purpose of conquering or seizing any portion of the Spanish territory, but as the cost expressly, and in so many words, declares—"for the security"

It will be recollected by gentlemen conversant with the history It will be recollected by gentlemen conversant with the history of that period, that by the treaty with Spain of October, 1785, ratified in '96, the right of deposite at New Orleans had been seemed for persons trading on the Mississippi. The Intendant of New Orleans violated that treaty by a proclamation denying the right of deposite to our western traders. It was afterwards conceded by Spain, that this was a downright violation of a clear treaty right, as beyond all doubt it was. But what was the course of our government in relation to that ease? I have now before me the correspondence which took place on this subject, and to it I beg to call the attention of the honorable chairman of the Committee on Foreign Relations.

The honorable Senator then gave in a condensed form the historical details, which are here given more at length, in order that the whole matter may be clearly understood.

By the 4th article of the treaty with Spain of October 27, 1795. it is provided :

"His Catholic Majesty has likewise agreed that the navigation of the said river. Mississipat in its whole breadth, from its source to the nesan, shall be free only to his analysets, and the cityern of the United States, tables he should extend this privilege to the subjects of other powers by special convection."

By the 22d article of the same treaty it was declared, that

His Catholic Majesty will permit the citizens of the United States, for the space - comme stayers y un permit the citizen of the United States, for the space of these we strong this time, for dop some their merchands and effects in the port of New port of New Johnson and the state of the space to the space to the space to the space to the lang all the space to the spa

So early as March 29, 1801, Mr. King, the Minister of the So carty as March 29, 1801, 2017. Mag. the annuser of the Puited States at London, informed his government of the proba-bility of the eession of Louisiana by Spain to France; of the inpu-rious effect of this cession upon the union or welfare of the United States, and of the importance of committing the interests of the I nited States to an able representative at the court of France.

United States to an after representative at the court of France, Mr. Madison, Secretary of State, in a despatch to Mr. Livingston, the Minister of the United States in France, dated the 28th September, 1801, informed him of the runtred transfer of Leuisiana by Spain, and instructed him, that the United States desired

and by Spain, and instructed him, that the United States desired to obtain that country by prechase.

Mr. King, the 20th of November, 1801, communicated to his government a copy of the treaty between France and Spain, of Marriel 21, 1801; by the fifth article of which Louisiana was contrinued to France.

February 5, 1802, Mr. King, at London, communicated to his

government intelligence, that it was definitively settled by France to send a colony to Louisiana and Florida under General Berna-dotte; and Mr. Livingston, at Paris, corroborated the information, the 26th of February, 1802, with the addition that "ten thousand towns had been as a constant of the constan

the 26th of rebriary, 1902, with the anothen that "ten thousand trough lab deep assigned to General Bernadotte." In March, 1902, Mr. Livingston represented to Mr. King, in Loudon, and to lis own government, the mere trainty in which he was kept by the Freenel Javernment, but exhibited the danger while beam! Actual the occupation of Loudstan by France, that it was impossible to say what influence it might exert over the western country, with the key of its trade in their possession; and an

ero country, with the key of its trade in their possession; and an imidefined and illimitable territory around.

On May 1, 1802, Mr. Madison, Secretary of State, instructed Mr. Livingston of the painful apprehension with which the cession of Louisiana to Franco was viewed by the United States, and to use proper means to divert France from her contemplated colony; to ascertain whether the cession extends to the Floridas; and to learn the price at which New Orleans and the Floridas would the United States: and Mr. King, in London, was informed that the administration was aware of the troubles which might arise from the reported cession of Louisiana, and that it was their primary object to obviate such an event

Mr. Madison, Secretary of State, instructed Mr. Pinckney,

Minister of the United States at Spain, under date of May 11 1802 that if the cession of Louisiana by Spain to France, have failed for any cause, and Spain still retain New Orleans and the Floridas, it is the wish of the President that every effort and address be employed to obtain the arrangement by which the territory on the east side of the Mississippi, including New Orleans, tory on the east side of the Bhissaspipi, including New Orleans, may be celed to the United States; and that in every view it would be a most precious acquisition. He also authorizes him to propose advantageous offers and guarantees to Spain.

On May 28, 1802, Mr. Livingston communicated to his Go-

On May 28, 1802, 281. Livingston communicated to ins ob-vernment mer positive intelligence respecting the cession, applied to the Spanish Minister, in France, to know the terms of ecssion, asserted the right of the United States to be made a party to any convention affecting the free navigation of the Mississppi; but received only a general answer, admitting the fact of the cession

having been made.

naving been made.

On the 16th August, 1802, Mr. Livingston informed the Secretary of State, that General Victor was appointed to command the expedition to Louisiania instead of Bernadotte: that his force was limited to 3,000 men, and his supplies to two millions of frances; that there are symptoms of ill humor between France and Great Britain; and that the claim of France under the cession, extends

And again on 1st September, of the same year, Mr. Livingston was informed by the French Government, that his propositions on the subject of Louisiana were premature, and that possession must be first taken by France. He informed his Government that he had reason to believe the Floridas were not included in the cession; and that the armament (for Louisiana) will be ready in

Mr. Livingston, under date October 28, and November 2, 1802, communicated to the President the state of European affairs, and that he thought the conduct of France had excited the suppressed that he hostility of Europe. That in England especially events tended to a rupture; and that in this feeling the minority and majority coin-cided. That the military expedition to Louisana had received a check; and, desiring to profit by events, Mr. Livingston asked from his Government more distinct authority, and more explicit

And again on November 11, 1802, Mr. Livingston addressed a letter to the Secretary of State, stating that the difficulty which had temporarily arrested the expedition to Louisiana was which had temporarily arrested the expedition to Louisiana was removed. That orders had been given for the immediate em-barkation of troops (two brigades) for Louisiana. That the Government of France would give no reply to his notes concerning their objects, the limits of Louisiana, or the rights of the United

their objects, the limits of Louisiana, of the rights of the United States under the treaty with Spain. And, judging from the tem-per of General Victor, attempts might be made upon Natchez as the rival of New Orleans; and that the territory of the United States might not be exempt from danger. On November 27, 1802, Mr. Livingston informed the American Minister in Spain, that the Spainis! Intendant at New Orleans and prohibited the deposit of American clierts, as stipated by an analysis of the Company of the Company of the Company was interpreted, the var was specifically the company of the com-merce of the United States from that port. He dwelt on the im-portance of the right of the Department to the Western States the general irritation, and difficulty to restrain it; and instructed, the general irritation, and difficulty to restrain it; and instructed, him, while presuming on a prompt disavowal by Spain, to urge measures to repair the past, and easure for the future, an ob-servance of the treaty.

Dec. 12, 1802. The President of the United States intimated to Congress that the cession of Louisiana to France might render necessary a change in the Foreign relations of the United States.

January 29, 1803. M. Madison informed Mr. King that a special mission to France had been resolved on; and that while the United States wished to preserve peace with all, they are bent on the maintenance of their rights.

1802, December 23, Mr. Madison, Scoretary of State, in a let-

ter to Mr. Livingston, says :

In the latter end of the last month, we received information from New Orleans of the interdiction of the deposit there, for our merchanitzes, simplisted by the treaty and the interdiction of the deposit of the deposit of the latter of latter of the latte

January 24. Mr. Livingston to Mr. Madison writes thus :

"From the information. I know to be entertained by Victor, I have no ideals they will provide all fullan war, by paying them rations; and that is their solectivitie to ne-quie wealth, they will not ever again the tyranip of Rt. Domingo. It will be never savey therefore to take the position that will best duaring you against the effect of these ceils;"

1803, February 5. Mr. Livingston to Mr. Madison :

"The Louisiana Armament is still on board. The Floridas not yet ceded."

1803, February 18. Mr. Livingston to Mr. Madison:

"From the best accounts I have from Holland, the armament will be detained there till the last of March."

These references will all be found in the 2d volume of American I nest reacretees with an or found in the 2d volume State Papers, under the head of "Foreign Relations. I next quote from Marbois' Louisiana, p. 230. 1803, January. Mr. Jefferson to Mr. Monroe:

Detrain—4 have but a noment to inform you that the few into which the gold undu a thrown by the affair at New Ordens, smallered by the mercantle and generally the federal interest, threaters to every anx our rayer. In this distantion we are come or revites to the processing the federal interest, threaters to every anx our rayer. In this distantion we are come or revites to the process proposes to do of our affairs. I shall, to more committee you to the Senate for an extraordinary mission to France, and the cream stances, are such as to render it impossible for the federal conditions. Though a farter than the contract of the contra

March 3, 1803, the act referred to by the honorable Chairman of Foreign Relations (Mr. Sevier) was passed—"an act directing a detachment from the militia of the United States, and for erecting certain arsenals," by which the President was authorized to call on the Executive of the States for 80,000 militia, and the object of the act distinctly avowed in the 4th Second THE UNITED THE SECURITY OF THE TERRIP of the child are according to the second of the second STATES." This is the object and the only object avowed in the act. It is thus clearly proved to have been an act passed not for conquest, but to meet the threatened danger of a French ag-

Not a man was ever called for. The idea of seizure for con-And a man was ever camed tor. The idea of seizure for con-quest never was entertained for a moment, and the whole contro-versy was speedily settled by the treaty of 1803, which ceded Louisiana to the United States.

Such is the history of this most interesting period in our national affairs. It was distinguished for the moderation, prudence and for bearance of our rulers. The resolutions of Mr. Ross, to which I referred on a former occasion, were first deprived of their hostile reterred on a tormer occasion, were first deprived of their hostile characteristic, "to authorise the President to take immediate pos-session of such place or places in the island of New Orleans or the adjacent territories, as he may deem fit and convenient," every democrat voting to strike it out. See tiles of National Intelli-gence for Perburary 28, 1963. The bill reported in pursance of the resolutions, as amended and adopted on the same day by the the resolutions, as aniended and adopted on the same day by the same party, avowed its object to be the same with these resolu-tions as thus amended—"the security of the territory of the United States," threatened, as we have seen, with invasion by the French army under General Victor.

Those who may desire to prosecute this interesting enquiry further, will find the whole paelic policy of the Republican party fully explained in the then existing organ of that party, by recurring to the files of the National Intelligencer for 1803; February 23, 28; March 9, 14, 23, 30, and April 224. The essay published in that paper under the last atte, shows that the party at that day scouted the whole doctrine on which this Mexican war has been and is now supported. They demanded a disavowal by Spain of the act of the Intendant at New Orleans, which denied to us our treaty right of deposit, instead of declaring war on account of it; and they obtained it without bloodshed. But in 1846, when the first aggression against us was committed on the Rio Grande, our goverament treated the act of the Mexican officer who took Thornton's dragons, as an act of war instead of demanding a disarowal of it as the Those who may desire to prosecute this interesting enquiry furgoons, as an act of war instead of demanding a disavowal of it as the goons, as an accord war instead of celladading a distribution of the street frequencies of spanish aggression in 1803.—
The opposition in 1803 clamored for war on account of the proclamation of the Spanish Intendant at New Orleans deaying our right maxion of the openins internant at New Orleans deaying our right of deposit. The answer given to this elamor by the democratic party is fully set forth in all their speeches and meetings at that day on the subject. The writer I have referred to in the party organ on the 22d April, 1803, thus meets the clamor:

"To involve the nation in all the horrors of war for the unlawful act of a subordinate officer, would be the begind of noticed deprivity. It would introduce a rule of the being of the property of the substitution of the property of the substitution of the property of th

Had these principles been applied in 1846 by our Executive, had a demand been made (as was then urged by the opposition in this chamber.) on Mexico to avow or disavow the act by which it was alleged, that American blood had been shed on American soil, and alleged, that American blood had been shed on American soil, and repair any injustice, there would have been no war. Such a demand was made by the government when the Leopard made the infamous attack on the Chesapeake, and the consequence was a disarowal which saved us the necessity of treating the attack as war. But in the case of Mexico, the principles which formerly governed us were abandoned, and the act which was unilatoral and not even avowed or justified by Mexico hersell, was immediately declared to be war by us without allowing the Mexican government any opportunity to disavow it. This was as hostile to the true principles of international law as it was in direct opposition to the whole former course of this government.

Mr. HANNEGAN.—Really, sir, I think it hardly fair that the Senator from Rhode Island should be called upon at this late hour of the day, to go on and address the Senate.

Mr. CLARKE .- It was my expectation to have occupied the

floor at the appointed hour, but as so much time has been taken floor at the appointed hour, but as so much time has been taken up in the discussion this morning, and so much more will probably be required to finish it—so far as regards myself, I will readily give way if it be the wish of the Senate thut the present discussion should continue. Indeed, I should myself prefer that the special order should be postponed until to-morrow, as it is now so late, that in all probability I should not be able to conclude my argument to-day, even if I should commence it. I wait the pleasure of Senators in regard to it.

Mr. SEVIER.—It is not my purpose to interfere with the time which belongs to the gentleman if he desires to go on now, but I wish to make a brief explanation in regard to what has been stated by the honorable Senator from Delaware. It will be recollected by the honorable scenator from Dalawate. It will be reconsidered that, when the Senator addressed the Senate upon the ten regiment bill, he referred to Mr. Ross's resolutions with a view of contrasting the policy of Mr. Jefferson with that of Mr. Polk in reference to the disputed territory.

Resolved, That the President of the United States be, and he is beenly, authorsed, whenever he shall judge it experient, to require of the executives of the second States to take effectual measures to organize, arm, and equip, according to law hold in readures to march, at a moment's warning, 80,000 effective militia, officers in

Model. That the President may, if he judges it expellent, authorize the execu-tives of the invertible to accept, as a part of the detachment aforward, any com-responding to the several States to accept, as a part of the detachment aforward, any com-order of volunteers, who shall containe a nervice for such time, not excessing — months, and perform such services as shall be prescribed for anyone and volusions such part of the troops aforeing, whose actual service may be wanted, and for defining such other expenses as, during the recess of Congress, the President may deem necessary for the security of the terralized of the United States. Resident, That — dollars be appropriated for cretin, at such place or places no the vectors water as the President may judge most proper, one or horse members.

I supposed he had not traced these resolutions through to their ultimate disposition, and I, therefore, asked him to give me the date of the resolutions, and he did so by sending the journal to my desk. I then traced the subject from the period to which the Senator had alluded to the final disposition of the resolutions, and what was that? I found they had been referred in secret session to a select committee, and in the formation of that committee, for reasons not apparent to me, the mover of the resolutions was not included. Mr. Berekhirdege, of Kenneky, was chairman of the committee. That committee to whom Ross's resolutions were referred reported an act earrying out every substantial provision contained included. Mr. Breedinridge, of Kentucky, was chairman of the committee. That committee to whom Ross's resolutions were referred reported an act carrying out every substantial provision contained in them. And what were these provisions. Why, that in time of profound peace, the President was authorized to establish on the western waters two public armorized, and insale the bill wound up with an appropriation of a million or which are appropriated to the substantial provision of the western waters two public armorizes, and lically the bill wound up with an appropriation of a million of the substantial provision of the boundary of the substantial provision of the boundary of the substantial provision of the boundary and the substantial provision of the substantial

hasto I suppose that he had omitted to refer to what I certainly deemed important, which was the passage of the act to which I have referred, as earrying out the objects of the resolutions. I then put the matter right, as I understood it. Now, the Seantor from Delaware again says that here is a case in which the father of democracy, to whose church we all profess to belong, at the instance of the Pederal party of that day refused to do what? why, in a time of peace, to seize the territory of an adjoining power. Now, there was in the treaty of 1796 with Spain, a pre-

er. Now, there was in the treaty of 1796 with Spain, a pre-vision by which the rights of the government was secured to a depot rision and the right of the government, for any reason of hers-should choose to deay the right of this government to that depot in New Orleans, then for the term of three years sho was bound to give us another location for said depot. This was in 1796. The time for the assignment of the depot to either place had run out by the limitation of this treaty; the people of this country—the people of the Western States, thus deprived of an outlet for their produce had resolved to obtain it. A claim was set up by Mr. Jefferson that inasmuch as we owned the navigable stream—we had a right, under the law of ancions, to follow the river into the ocean.

Mississippi, and awared the head of the navigable streams—ve had a right, and he had of the navigable streams—ve had a right, such the hind to discussion, if my memory serves me right, by manuscream the French court, as well as by our statesmen at home. Well, sir, we could not get that, and then with closed doors we passed the act of March, 1803, before referred to. of which Ross's resolutions were the foundation.

Now, no man here, or anywhere else, would ever have madeout what the law really meant from its terms, unless he had taken the precaution of connecting it with the resolutions upon which it was founded. Upon this claim, therefore, the law was passed; and upon no other, for there was no other. My friend referred to the act as an evidence that the party of which Mr. Jefferson was the head, intended to act thus in reference to the acquisition of Louisiana. Well, I say now—and I shall, if I have the opportunity, at some future time—proceed to demonstrate that every tunity, at some future time—proceed to demonstrate that every opportunity to acquire territory, to wit: Louisiana, the Floridas, and Texas, has been obtained by the democratic power of this

But this is not all. I have said that this act was passed, if I But this is not all. I have said that this act was passed, if I recollect rightly, on the 3d of March, 1803; and at that very time our Ministers were in Paris treating for this very country with Napoleon Bonaparte. They were treating for this very deput. He refused to sell us the depot, but proposed to sell the whole of Louisiana. That is the history of the matter. We sent our Ministers there to proclase a depot. They refused to sell a depot; but being about to zo to war with Laghand, and being likely processed to sell a depot, the proclass of the sell of the sell it to a friendly power. Well, in the month of April, just one sell it to a friendly power. Well, in the month of April, just one month after the passage of the bill, we succeeded in making, a treaty, by which we acquired the whole of Louisiana. Thus that matter was accomplished. Again, in 1806, still in the time of this great patriot, Mr. Jelferson, the Senate still, with closed doors, conceived that it was very desirable for this government to own the country lying on the Mississippii river and between that river on one side, and the Perduto on the other. Thus the father of democracy submitted to the Senate with closed doors his proposition to searce this territory also; and a lowsware packet of this territory. This act pages of was proposed to obtain possession of this territory. This act pages of was proposed to obtain possession of this territory. This act passed in 1806, and was cerried into effect in 1810 or '11, after we had admitted Louisiana into the Union. A man by the name of Thomas took a flow, to require the protein of the country. He expelled the Spanish inhabitants from the month of the proposed to obtain possession in an analysis of the sent of the country of any and and the time of peace with other than a proposed these Indians, its Not. All this was done without the authority of law, and at the next session an act was passed making it a part of Louisiana. But this is not all; we had adversard a war with the Seminoles; and in the time of peace with plant principles of the Indians and Note of the Proposed these Indians, its Note of the Proposed these Indians, its Note of the Proposed these Indians in Note of the Principle of the Proposed these Indians in Note of the Principle of th

I can refer my friend to another case; I mean the ease in which the country lying upon the Aristock, was contacted for he-tween us and Great Britain. This was a case happening in our own time, when Governor Fairfield, whose death we have recent by heen called to deplore, at the head of our own troops marched his forces into the disputch territory, and took possession of it without the sanetion of Congress. There are muncrous cases, all tending to the same point, that whenever it becomes impracticable to obtain territory by purchase, we take it by force. All that strip of country lying between Nathers, and Baton Ronge, was when we could not obtain territory by negotic loss, and Baton Ronge, which we could not obtain territory by negotic loss, and Baton Ronge, was been pursued throughout the world in all times by all powers. Territories to which we have claim by negotiation, we take by force if we can, and think the expedient to do so.

Mr. FOOTL—I regret that it seems so difficult to settle completely, the point now under discussion. It would really appear that enough had been said in the former debate on this subject, to convince oven the Senator from Delaware, that he had committed a serious error in regard to the Ross resolutions, and the action of the Jeffersonian Democracy in 1803. The honorable Scuator still insists, as he has formerly done, that Mr. Jefferson and the action can be active to the serious serior in regard to the Ross resolutions, and the action consists against Spain, that waver so little inclined to efficient measures against Spain, that waver so thit inclined to efficient nearest sea signals. Spain, that waver so thit in length of efficient reasons a serious seriou

Mr. CLAYTON.—I. I understood the homorphe Senators on the other side they men to maintain the perition, that Mr. Jefelerson and the democratic party ever since however that the Jefelerson and the democratic party ever since however that they even get from other nations in any way in which they can get first in they cannot get twint they want by negociation they will take it by force. The innormally Chairman of the Committee on Foreign by the national property and the control of the description of the d

and myself. I not only seek to vindicate the memory of the state men of those times, but I seek also to show that I was previously right when, in the course of this debate, I pointed out the streng contrast between the conduct of this government in reference to Mexico and the conduct of Mr. Jefferson and the administration Mexico and the conduct of Mr. Jenerson and the administration party of 1803 in reference to Spain and France—Sir, I have nothing to do now with the question, nor do I intend to be drawn into the discussion of it by the remarks of the honorable Chairman on Foreign Relations, as to the conduct of the various administrations in regard to this matter of the acquisition of territory, from the time of Mr. Jefferson. I think that on a former occasion the course of the government in 1806 was placed in a very satisfactory point of the government in 1800 was placed in a very satisfactory point of view before this Senate in the discussion which took place between the honorable Chairman of the Committee on Foreign Relations and the honorable Senator from Maryland who sits near me. I will not, therefore, trespess upon ground which has been so well occupied before: all I wish is to bring the honorable Chairman back to the point from which he set out, and that he and the honorable Senator from Mississippi should scknowledge that honorable contained the spirit of Jeffersonian democracy in 1803 in endeavoing to attribute to the administration of that time a disposition to take by force that which they could not obtain by a disposition to take by force that which they could not obtain by negociation. Now, what application has the honorable Chairman given to the act of which the speaks? The fourth section of the act of 1803 expressly declare: the object for which the act was passed: of 1803 expressly declare: the object for which the net was passed to authorize the President of the United States to call out eighty thousand militia; for what purpose? In its own words, for the security of the territory of the United States; not for the purpose of excitage upon the islands of New Orleans or any portion of the country of the lower Missistappin not for the purpose of taking an acrogof ground any where by violence. I think this has already been clearly shown and I do not intend to dwell upon it now. Mr. Livingston, in 1802, were to the Secretary of War, informing him that the Empegor of Protection of the Secretary of War, informing him that the Empegor of Protection Secretary of War, informing him that the Emperior of France was about to send ten thousand men to take possession of the country lying at the mouth of Mississippi, and General Victor was ordered to march thither with his two bri-gades, and from the known temper and disposition of that general there was every reason to believe that he would march and seize upon the territory. The act of 1803 proceeded from that cause. and the gentleman cannot make it appear that there was any other object than the security of our own territory contemplated. We object than the security of our own territory concempation. We know from the history of the times how that territory was situated. Sir. I know I have had my full share in the discussion of this subject, and that I cannot again trespass upon the time of the Senate; I will not read the letters of the Secretary of War; I will, however, take the liberty of handing them to the reporters that they may be published to the world, and it will be seen from them whether my attempt to vindicate the character of those administered the government at that time has been successful or

Mr. SEVIER.—Only one word, sir. The Senator from Delaware has referred to the fourth section of the act as being intended to protect the United States against invasion.

Mr. CLAYTON.—I referred to the whole act. It avows throughout the purpose for which it was passed.

Mr. SEVIER.—The Senator says it avows its purpose. Well, I ask if any man, in either country, ever heard or ever dreamed of a time when France and England were both at peace, or France intending to invade the United States!

Mr. CLAYTON.—Yes, sir. I refer the honorable Senator to the substance of these letters with which he seems not at all acquainted. I refer him also to the American state papers which he has in his possession.

Mr. SEVIER.—I have read those documents, but I have not drawn the same conclusion from them, which the honorable Senator from Delaware has drawn. No, sir, France, expecting a rupture with England, was on the eve of sending a force to protect her commerce. Not having a naval force sufficient to cope with England, she was for sending men to her possessions on this continent. The Senator talks about the territory between Natchez and Baton Rouge; well, we all know that was claimed by Spain as belonging to her. And it was with a view not only of protecting her own possessions but those of Spain also chart she took this precaution. Well, this happened in 1992, and it was to that she took this precaution. Well, this happened in 1992, and it that earlier and more efficient preparation had not been made, and that the fact was not noticed by Mr. Jefferson in his annual message of that year. No, sir, France never designed to invade this country. She was our early ally, and with all the opprobrium that was heaped upon her she could not be driven into hostilities towards this country. She has always been friendly to us, and I hope she ever will be. The documents which he has read, show that it was the intention of France in sending troops to this country solely to protect French Louisana from universion by England. I before remarked, sir, that it is very difficult for us to issecrtain the true meaning of an act, without boxing back to the elevent of the properties of the service of the properties of each of the provided the President to carry on more efficiently, our diplomatic intereceives the course between this country, and foreign powers, when in reality

the true intention of the act, was to enable the President to purchase Louisiana. Now, it happens as far asony argument is concerned, that these cases are not at all applicable to the ease to which the Sentor designed to apply them, for I have endeavored to show, an stall probably endeavour to show it again, that our time the sentor that the sentence of the senten

Mr. CLAYTON.—I will only say, sir, that in looking into the documents to which I have called the attention of the Senate. It find that there is much additional evidence. There are many other letters which, if the honorable Senator will examine them, will perfectly continue him that France entertained a deliberate design to seize upon that region of country—that it was a favorite object with her for a long period, and that there was every reason to believe on the part of Mr. Livingston, and he so wrote to his own government—that the French Eupperor entertained this project, and as he refused to give any information as to the object of the expedition, or any explanation formation as to the object of the expedition, or any explanation formation as to the object of the three controls of the United States were in danger. That was the object, and the only object of the act of March, 1803, to protect the territories of the United States. Sir, I have done.

Mr. PEARCE.—The Senator from Arkansas, having referred to a controversy between him and myself at the last session of Congress, the Senate will indulge me, I hope, not in arguing the question affresh, but in restating what I then endeavored to main-

It will be recollected that the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. Bangga) had charged the President with being the author of the war, by ordering the movement of Gen. Taylor's ampy from the Nucces to the Rio Grande, which he considered an act of war.—He sustained himself in this possion by the authority of Mr. Jefferson. In 1805 Mr. Jefferson informed Congress by a secret message, that immediately after the acquisition of Louisiana, he had opened negotiations with Spain, to obtain from that power the delivery of certain portions of Louisiana, which she held in violation of the rights transferred to us by France—that his negotiations had been utterly vain—that he could not venture to take possession of these portions of Louisiana in the possession of Spain, because such an act might transfer the relations of the country from a state of peace to a state of war, which was not within the constitutional competency of the Exedutive, and belonged solely to the legislar

tive power.

To this the Senator from Arkansas replied, that the example of Mr. Madison was contrary to that of Mr. Jelferson and that Mr. Madison had of his own authority seized upon what were called the Florida Parishes. To this I replied that Mr. Madison did not assume to have any other authority than that to which Mr. Jelferson limited himself. The situation of the Florida parishes was peculiar; a hody of private individuals in Flot. Seven years after the replication of the Mr. Madison did not assume to have any other authority than that to which Mr. Jelferson limited himself. The situation of the Florida parishes was peculiar; a hody of private individuals in Flot. Seven years after the Professing their villingness to come into the Union. In this condition of things Mr. Madison had issued his proclamation reciting these occurrences—saying that the territory in question had always been claimed as being within the limits of Louisiana—that a crisis had at length arrived subversive of the Spanish authority and that under the peculiar circumstances of the case, forbearance on the part of the Union States to occupy the territory in question might be construed into a dereliction of their title: to which he added that under the laws of the Uniced States had not yet been extended to them, and contemplated their application to this region at some future time. Congress was not then in session, but as soon as they met Mr. Madison laid before them an account of his proceedings, which, if not expressly, at all events implicitly recognized the paramount authority of the legislature over the subject. The Grande, is palpable. Mr. Madison did not think himself authoritad to seize upon such parts of Louislana as still remained in the occupancy of Spain, and consequently the country east of the Cincular to seize upon such parts of Louislana as still remained in the occupancy of Spain, and consequently the country east of the Rio Grande, is palpable.

ings, which, if not expressly, at all events impliedly recognized the paramount authority of the legislature over the subject. The difference between this case and the march of the troops to the Rio Grande, is palpable. Mr. Modison did not think himself authorized to seize upon such parts of Louisiana as still remained in the occupancy of Spain, and consequently the country cast of the Perturbation of the parts of Louisiana as still remained in the company of the parts of Louisiana as still remained in the company of the Perturbation of the

of the Rio Grande, while Mr. Jefferson considered that such an act on his part would exceed his power, because it might transfer the relations of the country from a state of place to a state of war. And Mr. Madden the state of the country from the state of the country recognition of the state of the sta

Mr. SEVIER.—The Senator and myself are still at variance in our opinions. My position was, that the southern boundary of Lonisiana was fixed by this government, by an act of Congress on her admission into the Union. Well; after this act was accomplished, a man of the name of Thomas, at the head of some Tennessecans and Rentuckians, expelled the Spanish and the control of the Congress of the Cong

Mr. Madison in a time of peace, sends his forces and takes from these revolutionary particls, the country acquired by their revolution, without the athority of Congress. Well, the Senator gorback to the act of 1806, for the authority for this act. Why in 1806, the government of Fredonia had no existence. Attribution that the shall I call it? robbery if that is a hazali term, yet it is precisely similar to the terms employed in reference to our proceedings now.—Wr. Madison applies to Congress to did this country, State of Louisiana, and Congress does it nevodingly, and the act is now on your Statute book. Mr. Madisan first senzed the country from shows who had revolutionized it, and Congress acentioned the plander, if I may so call it, by adding it to Louisiana. Louisiana embraced it within her limits, and there it is at this day reposented by my honorable friend Mr. Johnson. The further consideration of the subject was postponed until to-morrow.

### CLERK TO SIGN LAND PATENTS.

On motion by Mr. BREESE, the hill from the House of Representatives to amend an act entitled "An act to reorganize the General Land Office," approved July 4, 1836, was read a second time, and considered on in Committee of the Whole.

Mr. BREESE asked for the reading of a paper, which he sent to the Secretary, setting forth the number of land patents remaining unsigned, which was read accordingly.

Mr. UNDERWOOD.—I dislike to be frequently intermedling in what may be regarded as little matters. It know the effect which such things have upon the personal standing of Senators, but I cannot allow such a bill as this to pass, having been in the minority of the committee, without stating to the Senate how I think the matter ought to be disposed of. When I first earne into Congress in the other branch of this building there was no secretary to sign patents. It was alleged then, that as the land particular the were assent were numerous very contact when the control of th

Mr. BREESE.—I apprehend that no individual can be found who could accomplish it in that time, for it must be remembered that the Secretary must sign the aamo of the President as well as his own, and this too upon parchment. No one can labour day after day in that way, as the Sentor seems to suppose, without danger of seriously impairing his intellect. The bill makes no appropriation at all; it does not propose to pay the Secretary one single dollar for his services. It is highly necessary that these patents should be issued, as they are the only evidence of title which the parties have. Under these circumstances I cannot see how the bill can be objected to.

Mr. UNDERWOOD.—I have seen patents filled out with the President's name by the clerks in tho office, so that the Secretary would only have to sign his own name. If you, instead of passing this bill, give a reasonable remneration to some clerk for doing the work you will have it speedily done. My word for it there are hundreds of individuals in this city who would be glad to undertake the work for two month's salary.

Mr. DOWNS.—If the honorable Senator desires to make an attack upon the Administration, or to offer opposition to the government, he had better take occasion to do so upon some more important question. This is a small matter, and which does not confer much power or patronage upon the government. I hope, therefore, the honorable Senator will withdraw his opposition.]

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana.—I can see no objection to the passage of the bill, especially as it does not propose any salary for the officer who is to be engaged.

Mr. UNDERWOOD.—I take it for granted, that if we employed a person to do our work, that we will not refuse to pay him.

Mr. BREESE.—There is no salary proposed to be paid in this bill.

Mr. UNDERWOOD.—That is all very true; you do not propose to pay now, but he must be paid at some time or other.

Mr. MANGUM.—I would enquire of the honorable Chairman of the Committee how many patents there are unsigned?

Mr. BREESE.—By the report it appears that there are now fifty-seven thousand, and that they increase at the rate of seven

Mr. MANGUM—I propose, sir, with the sanction of the honorable Chairman, that the soll be committed with instructions to the Committee, to amend it by a committee the employment, for a fixed period and for a reasonable compared to the shall sign these patents, and when the arrears are once thought up there can be no difficulty in keeping from falling behind.

Mr. DOWNS.—This bill has already passed the Hause of Represencetures, and it is very important to the oppulation of the new States that it should be passed without the production of the new states that it should be passed without the production of the way are informed that there are fifty thousand patterns one wavning to be signed. I hope, therefore, the bill will be allowed to pass without amendment or recommitment.

Mr. MANGUM'S motion was subsequently withdrawn.

No amendment being made, the bill was reported to the Senate and ordered to be read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent.

Resolved That this bill 1935.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# TUESDAY, JANUARY 25, 1848.

REPORT FROM THE WAR DEPARTMENT.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a report of the Secretary of War, made agreeably to law, showing the names and compensations of the clerks and other persons employed in that Department during the year 1847.

Mr. DICKINSON presented a memorial of S. J. Bowen, praying remuneration for services in the office of the second auditor of the Treasury; which was referred to the Committee on Finance.

Also, a memorial of citizens of Clyde, Wayne county, New York, praying the adoption of measures for perpetuating the growth of the potato in the United States; which was read, and referred to the Committee on Agriculture.

Mr. ATHERTON presented two petitions of citizens of Charlestown, Massachusetts, praying for the repeal of a patent granted to William W. Woodworth; which were referred to the Committee on Patents and the Patent Office.

Mr. DIX presented joint resolutions of the General Assembly of the State of New York, in favor of restricting the franking privilege of members of Congress, and a modification of the rates of postage on newspapers; which were read, referred to the Conmittee on the Post Office and Post Roads, and ordered to be printed.

Mr. YULEE presented a memorial of citizens of Florida, remonstrating against the removal of the land office from New-mansville, in that State; which was referred to the Committee on

Mr. FELCH presented the petition of Peter Godfrey for leave close a section of land in lieu of section six in the Indian res-revation at Filnt river. in Michigan, which was reserved to him by Indian treaty, but has since been parted with to Harriet W. Smith; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

Also, the perition of Peter W. Knaggs for leave to locate a section of land in lieu of two sections which were reserved to him by indian treaty, on the Flint and Shiawassee rivers, in "Michigan, but which have since been patented to other persons; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

WESTCOTT presented the memorial of A. A. Frazier and Alvin Baker, owners of the brig Douglass, praying indemnity for losses sustained by them in consequence of the illegal seizure and detention of their vessel by the British government, while pursuing their lawful commerce; which was referred to the Committee of Claims.

On motion by Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Oscar F. Pittman, on the files of the Scaate, be referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

On motion by Mr. MASON, it was

Ordered, That the Committee of Claims be discharged from the further consideration of the petition of Frederick Dawson, James Schott, and Elisha D. Whitney; and that it be referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

On motion by Mr. CASS, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on Military Affairs be discharged from the further consideration of the memorial of Mary Morris Foot; and that it be referred to the Committee on Pensions.

### ACQUISITION OF TERRITORY.

Mr. BAGBY submitted the following resolutions for considera-

Resolved, That Congress has no constitutional power to abolish or to probabilistatively and State or territory in this Union.

Resolved, That complexes is a legitude tensor of acquiring territory, and so recognized to the control of the control o

Before presenting the resolutions-

Mr. BAGBY, said: Mr. President: Perhaps there is some-thing in the nature of our free and happy political institutions, to justify a departure from what, under a different form of government, might be considered the proper course for us, in our legislative capacities, to pursue. It is admitted, at government, might be considered the proper course for us, in our legislative capacities, to pursue. It is admitted, at least by me, as a general rule, that all propositions introduced into legislative bodies ought to be such as are intended to be followed up by some act of practical legislation. On the other hand, it is one of the axioms of the republican ereed, that a frequent recurrence to first principles, is indispensably necessary to the pre-servation of liberty. The resolutions which I hold in my hand and which I shall presently ask leave to introduce, relate to a subject which I shall presently ask leave to introduce, relate to a subject of very great moment, as I conceive to the prosperity and of still more transcendant importance to the tranquility of the country, and one on which it is peculiarly destruble at this time to have a clear and decided expression of opinion, and to settle if possible, definitively and forever. The first of these resolutions simply asserts what I underestand to be the true position in relation to the powers of the programment from the question of shorey. The second conceived the programment from the position of the programment from the position of the programment from the position of the programment from the programment from the programment from the programment from the programment of very great moment, as I conceive to the prosperity and of still If any Senator is disposed to controver this distinct substantive proposition, it will be much more convenient, and his arguments will be much better understood, than by mixing them up with other matter, to which they have no proper affainty in a general discussion upon the merits of the Mexican war, and the manner in which it should be conducted. I apprehend, however, that when the question is fairly stated and stript of all disguise none will be found of sufficient temerity to controvert it. I do not intend now, sir, to go at all into the discussion of the Nexican war. I do intend, however, with the permission of the Senate, before the debate is closed upon the bill now pending to increase the army, to offer my views in regard to it in reference to the origin and conduct of the war, the as regard to it. In reference to the origin and south, at Othica we had objects for which it should be further prosecuted, and to declare clearly, openly, distinctly, and explicitly the course which, in my humble judgment, the government of the United States ought to pursue towards Mexico. The third resolution, sir, differs materially from any hitherto presented upon the subject. If this resolution is the property of the prope ally from any hitherto presented upon the subject. If this resolu-tion, Mr. President, is unjust to any State of this Linon, or any etizen of any State of this Linon, and I can be convinced of it, I shall be the first to abandon it. If, on the contrary, it shall be found to be farr, and just, and equal in its effect and operation upon all the States and all the people of all the States—If it be true that territory acquired by the joint blood and tronsure of a common country ought, in the language of the resolution, to be equally free and open to the citizens of all the States of this Union, without any limitation or restriction in regard to slaves or Union, without any limitation or restriction in regard to slaves or any other description of property whatsoever—I think I have a right to expect the unanimous vote of the Senate in favor of it. How far this (to my mind) reasonable expectation is to be realized helongs to the future, and I shall not attempt to decide it in advance. I flatter myself, however, that I shall at the proper time be able to make this proposition so plain, that he who runs may read, and the wayfaring man, though a fool, cannot err in regard to it. At present, I respectfully ask, that the resolutions be printed.

The resolutions were read, and ordered to be printed.

### MAIL ROUTE.

Mr. BREESE submitted the following resolution, which was considered, by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved, That the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads be instructed to inquire iato the expediency of establishing a post roots from Carro city, by Theber, in Illinois, to Cape Giraclean, Missouri.

## GRANT OF LAND TO LOUISIANA.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, submitted the following resolution, which was considered, by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved, That the Committee on Public Lands be instructed to inquire into the ex-pediency of granting to the State of Louisiana for purposes of internal improvement, a quantity of land equal to that granted to the State of Indiana for the same purposes.

## EXTENSION OF MARITIME JURISDICTION

Agreeably to notice, Mr. ASHLEY asked and obtained leave us bring in a bill to amend the act entitled "An act extending the jurishedron Obsiriet Courts to certain cases upon the Lakes and navigatile waters connecting the same." approved the 26th of Feb-iury, 1845; which was read the first and second times by unqui-mous consent, and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Mr. DAVIS, (of Mississippi.)—I desire to state briefly the ceasons which have governed the Committee in framing this bill, for which it is proposed to ask the most speedy action of the Se-nate. The Committee found themselves by the resolution referred mace, in Committee found memory assessment of expension of the committee of the terms of the terms of the committee of the co are considered within the original design and accessity to its most advantageous execution. After deducting the proposed sum, there will remain, at least, two bundred thousand dollars of the unexpended balance of the amount appropriated, and no hazard is created of a deficiency in the supply necessary to relieve the wants of suffering soldiers, within the contemplation of that appropria-

of suffering soldiers, within the contemplation of that appropriation, during the current year.

The Committee have, in the course of their investigation, been satisfied of the safety of the point selected, and have been informed that during the epidemic which raged with more than ordinary violence during the last summer in New Orleans, no case of yellow fever originated at the United States' barracks. It has been bound necessary to place from three to five hundred sick and wounded soldiers in private hospitals, at the rate of one dollar per day to each person. By the creetion of a hospital as provided in the bill, the Committee believe that there would be a saving to the convernment should the war continue twelve months longer. It can hardly be supposed that we shall have a peace immediately, however much and manimously we desire its return, but should can natury be supposed that we shall have a perce immediately, however much and unanimously we desire its return, but should we have our wishes most speedily granted, long after the blessing of peace is ours there will remain a necessity for hospital accom-modations to provide for wounded and disabled soldiers, and the pecuniary loss under any state of events must be very small in-deed.

In addition to this, the committe have considered the necessity for providing buildings for the accommodation of troops going to, or returning from Mexico. Every consideration which urges provision for the disabled applies to the protection of the efficient soldier-to such protection as will prevent the disease, which, after its advent, we all admit an obligation to cure. Exposure in ter its advent, we all admit an obligation to cure. Exposure in the encamponents below New Orleans, whils waiting for transports, has been the fruitful curse of discase—men who had only known the comforts of home, are on the threshold of their military service met by privation and exposure in a climate deleterious, under the most however the current sense; to a northern constitution. Many a gallant and patriotic spirit has been crushed by other than the dangers to encounter which in the cause of his country he left die conforts of his peaceful home. Most of these could, I believe, have been showed by such provisions as are contemplated in this bill, By a recent letter from the surgeon of the hospital at New Orleans. I am informed that of three rounnaise from we own State leans, I am informed that of three companies from my own State, there are lifty men now in hospital and there have been five deaths. Every mutive enters into the obligation to provide for troops rethere's mettre bears into the longitudin to provide for troops is-niming from the seat of war, equally requires provision for the protection of those who are going out, whilst policy adds the con-sideration due to the fact that death and disease, without the glo-ry of battle, standing this at the entrance into the country's ser-vice, more than anything of secondly, will discourage farther volun-tions.

teering and colistment.
With these remarks I submit the bill.

The hill having been read a first time-

Mr. CRITTENDEN saids This is, I understand, the first reading of the bill. The Committee on Military Allairs unanimously agreed in recommending that this bill should be passed with as litagree in recommending that this bill should be passed with as hit-tle delay as possible. If there he no objection, then, I ask that the bill may have its second reading; and, as it has been carefully engressed in a clear hand, I hope that the Senate will also allow it to be read a third time and passed to-day.

The bill was then read a second time, by manmous consent, ad considered as in Committee of the Whole; and no amendment to ing made it was reported to the Senate

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent

Resolved. That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as afore-sud

Ordered. That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

Mr. FELCH, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the memorial of Henry Washington, submitted a re-

port accompanied by a bill, to provide for the settlement of the claim of Henry Washington, late a deputy surveyor of the public

The bill was read and passed to the second reading Ordered. That the report be printed.

Mr. MASON, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the memorial of George Center, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for his relief.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

## DEFALCATION IN THE MINT.

The Schafe proceeded to consider the resolution submitted by Mr. Cameron, on the 17th instant, for the appointment of a select committee to investigate the recent defalcation in the mint

On motion by Mr. CAMERON, the resolution was postponed to, and made the special order for, Tuesday, the 15th February.

Mr. CASS .- I believe the hour has now arrived for taking up

Mr. CRITTENDEN -I did not distinctly hear the honorable

The PRESIDING OFFICER .- The Senator has moved to take up the special order.

Mr. CRITTENDEN .- Before that is done, I wish to remark. that there is a subject of considerable importance, now occupying much of the attention of the public, and which excites much con-versation, in reference to which I desire to ask a question or two of the honorable chairman of the Committee on Military Alfairs. The questions to which I desire to obtain an answer are, first: The questions to which I desire to obtain an answer are, first: whether General Scott has been recalled or suspended from the command of the army in Mexico; and, secondly, whether General Worth has been relieved from his arrest, or whether he also has

Mr. CASS.—If the honorable Senator from Kentucky should not receive my answer as any proof of my fitness to discharge the duties of Chairman of the Comonities on Military Alliaris, I hope he will at any rate receive it as afford one condence of my importurbable humor. I would remark to the condence of my importurbable humor. I would remark to the condence of my importurbable humor. I would remark to the condence of my importurbable humor. I would remark to the condence of my importurbable humor. I would remark to the condence of my importure the humorable control of every member of the Committee; and if he had gone, where I went, the same information would have been given to him, which has been given to me; and I am at liberty to say, that the administration will freely communicate on military sabjects, with any member of the Military Committee, who may sak for information. With respect to the distinct questions, put by the honorable Senator, I feel myself at perfect liberty to comminent all the facts in my possession, as I was expressly authorised to do. They are simply these General Scott is suspended from the command of the army in Mexico, and the command now devolves upon the next officer in rank, who is General Butler. General Scott, as I understand, will attend the Court of Inquiry di-Mr. CASS .- If the honorable Senator from Kentucky should not rected to be near a the difficulties which have arisen—as the Senate and the continued will know many difficulties have arisen—between the higher officer will know many difficulties have arisen—between the higher officer. Well know many dimeteries and anset are deep with regard to cers, by whom mutual charges have been made. With regard to the other question put by the honorable Senator, I believe that General Worth has been suspended from his arrest.

### A Senator. - Relieved?

Mr CASS.—Take either term you please. Whether finally relieved, depeads, I presume, on the result of the court of inquiry. I believe I have now given all the information that the honorable gentleman wants.

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—I am obliged to the honorable gentle-man for his information, and I am willing to receive it as evidence of his courteey, at least, if not of his importurbable character. I only supposed that his station as Chairman of the Committee on Military Alfairs, on which I have the honor of seveing under him. Ministry Maars, on when I have the monor of serving under mini-was such as to afford him the means of answering the questions which I submitted, with respect to matters in regard to which I am not altogether indifferent. I conless I have heard with some httle astonishment, the announcement just made that General Scott has been removed from the command, in the presence of his

army, on charges made by a subordinate officer. However, I do not intend, now, to make that the subject of any remarks.

### THE TEN REGIMENT BILL.

The Senate then resumed the consideration of the bill to raise, for a limited time, an additional military force.

Mr. CLARKE,-It is due to nivself, and to the constituency

whom I have the honor in part to represent on this floor, that I should assign, as plainly and as briefly as may be, the reasons which will govern my vote on the bill now before us for discussion. should assign, as plannly and as forely as may be, the reversionable which will govern my vote on the bill now hefore us for discussion. I consider it second in importance to no measure that can demand and the provided by the property of the second of the control of the contr Mexico; although our right to the territories in our possession, is but the right of conquest. In the next place, sir, there were resolutions submitted by the distinguished Senator from Indiana, (Mr. HIMMORE SUBMITTED IN the distinguished selector from radials a Conf., the Annean Next, sir, delering the constitutionality of territorial acquisitions. Next, sir, was a letter from Major General Sout, dated, tibels believe, the John See neutral selection of the principal of th the principal towns in Mexico, and keep the central government in motion and alarm, it would be necessary to augment his forces to fifty thousand men. The fourth indication which has struck my mind, sir, was the avowal of the honorable Chairman of the Conmind, sir, was the avowal of the honorable Chairman of the Committee on Military Allairs, that the entire conquest of Mexico was intended. The fifth, sir, is the general order of the Major General commanding in Mexico, dated 15th December, 1847, which general order, it I understand it, covers the whole ground of the conquest and occupancy of the territories of that republic. To the first article of that order, I desire to call the attention of the Senate

"This army is about to spread itself over and to occupy the republic of Mex-ico, until the latter shall sue for peace in terms acceptable to the government of the United States."

Thus has dismemberment been transformed into subjugation. I has a dismemberment been transformed into subjugation. When the Emperor Napoleon, in the pride and plenitude of his power, impelled by his lust for conquest and glory, had trodden down with his iron heel the ancient dynasties of Europe—Holland was subjugated; and in his memorable decree of annexation, rewas subjugated; and in his memorable decree of annexation, remarkable for its brevity, he says: "Holland is annexed to France."
One step more and we shall have annexation. In a few brief years, where was this mighty conqueror? Stripped of his power—a prisoner in the hands of his oldest and strongest for—doomed to an excile for life upon a rock in the sea—and France, his own heautiful France, and the mercy of her allied foes—was circumseribed within her ancient limits of sovereignty, resting on her greatness and reposing on her glory—yielding up the spoils she had hidden in her bosom: Sir, I trust that such may not be the fare that is reserved for us. I am entering into this discussion with us can be into sive with opposing any measures, come whence they may. I do not propose to discuss the controverted causes of the war.—The first and primary cause, was the admission of Texas for exand not propose to discuss the controverted causes of the war.—
The first and primary cause, was the admission of Texas for extension of slave power; but that is no longer a question for practical use, for the lone star of that republic has become merged in our glorious constellation.

our glorous coastellation.

The more immediate causes are to be found in the ill-advised march of General Taylor to the Rio Grande—whether provoking hostilities or repelling them—whether invading territory in possession of Mexico or driving Mexican forces from Texas and her soil—Heave with the honorable Senators from North Carolina. Maryland and Delaware, who have spoken—abler hands than mine. It is sufficient to say, that the war has been sanctioned by the logally constituted authorities of the nation, and must be prosecuted to a just and honorable peace: such a peace as humanity dictates to a just and noncrable peace; such a peace as numanity ductates and the interests of the country require—a just peace—surrendering no right, and inflicting no wrong. I therefore, approach this discussion, unfettered and free. My duty here, requires me to judge of measures for the interests of my country alone; and, what ever vote I may give shall be from the best convictions of duty, and an earnest desire to promote the general welfare.

The two bills reported to the Senate by the Collection of Mills and a craises of the converted of the senate by the Collection of the converted of the convert

and the volunteer force 20 regiments, equal to 20,000 men. It is asked, and I ask it in sincerity and soberness, why, and for what end is this additional force to be embodied and sent forth into a conquered country, at a moment when the advices from Mexico lead us to believe that peace may be had upon terms of our own dictation—when Commissioners from the yet remnant of a government at Queretaro, existing only by the forbearance of the commanding general, have sought your ambassador and found unfortunately, his power withdrawn—when to avert utter subjugations of the control of the con

increase our military force? Why send more men to enforce greater humiliation?

From the able report of the Secretary of War-and, sir, I take great pleasure in subscribing to its ability—it appears that we

| nave now in the    | army    | 40,000   | meu.    | OI      | witten | ther | e are— |        |
|--------------------|---------|----------|---------|---------|--------|------|--------|--------|
| Regulars -         |         |          |         |         | -      |      |        | 21,509 |
| Volunteers -       |         |          |         |         |        |      |        | 22,027 |
| With Scott at Tan- | pico, V | era Crns | , and l | Mexico  |        |      |        | 32,156 |
| With Taylor now    | Wool)   | on the I | Rio Gra | nde     |        |      |        | 6.727  |
| In Santa Fe, and o | n Orego | n and S  | anta F  | e ronte |        |      |        | 3,634  |
| In California      |         | -        | -       |         | -      |      |        | 1,019  |
|                    |         |          |         |         |        |      |        | 43,5 % |
| Add Michigan and   | other v | plunteer | 5       |         |        |      |        | 2,000  |
|                    |         |          |         |         |        |      |        |        |
|                    |         |          |         |         |        |      |        | 45.536 |

To this should be added the whole naval force in the Gulf of Mexico, and the whole force in the Pacific. These forces have been as efficient in their own sphere of action, as any portion of our force engaged in the brilliant and gallant exploits redounding to the honor of their contry.

May we not fairly add, as the actual efficient naval force, 4,461 met? and if so, we have at present a force in and around Mexico amounting in the aggregate to 50,000 men. In addition to this, the

amounting in the aggregate to 50,000 men. In addition to this, the existing laws authorize the recruiting and embodying of 8,000 men for the regular army; and the President has authority to accept 12,000 volunters. All this making 20,000—added to the pre-ending estimate of 50,000, gives the present force as authorized by law, at 70,000 men. Pass this bill, and the bill for 20 regiments of volunteers, and you cannot have less than 95,000 men engaged in secking indemnity and security from Mexico, already prostrate before you.

These things being so, the first question to my mind is, why and

These things being so, the inis question to my man ar, way and for what are these additional forces wanted? And, secondly, where are we to get the ways and means to maintain them? It was said by the honorable Senator from Kentucky some days since that our forces had cost the country for the last year \$1,000 per man. On the other hand, it is stated by the honorable Senator from Michigan, at 279,000 for a regiment, only, however, for pay, rroin an engagi, at 225,000 for a regiment, only, nowever, for pay, rations and elothing, without allowance for excess of expenditure in a foreign country. I have examined the subject, and will submit the calculations that I have made. How many men have the control of the pay of the pay the pay the pay.

| een in the nera,                | on an        | averag       | ge, for | tue par | st year | : INOU OVER  |
|---------------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------|---------|---------|--------------|
| 0,000,                          |              |              |         |         |         |              |
| Say Scott, on al                | l his li     | nes          |         | -       |         | 18,000       |
| Taylor -                        | -            |              |         |         | -       | 8,000        |
| Santa Fe, &c.                   | -            | -            |         | -       | -       | 4,000        |
|                                 |              |              |         |         |         | 30.000       |
| xpenses last yea                | ns.)         | \$58,000,000 |         |         |         |              |
| educt \$28,000,0<br>ding army a | \$28,000,000 |              |         |         |         |              |
|                                 | And y        | ou have      | -       |         |         | \$30,000,000 |
|                                 |              |              |         |         |         |              |

Which averages \$1,000 per man, besides bounty-pensions, and so on. Now, sir, add to the army the regiments now proposed by this bill, and bill for volunteers, and you have 95,000 men in the field; and these proposed measures cannot but enhance your

| expenditures twenty million dollars.<br>Scott had at Vera Cruz, 29th March, |      | 13,000 |
|---|------|--------|
| He marched 8th April to Cerro Gordo, with                                   |      | 11,000 |
| Discharged his volunteers   | *    | 3,700  |
| Went to Jalapa with Thence to Perote, leaving garrisons at Jak              | anna | 7,300  |
| and Perote of   |      | 2,000  |
| And entered Puebla with   | -    | 5,300  |

Here he waited until August 7, for reinforcements; and, accord-Here he waited until Angast 7, for reinforcements; and, according to the Secretary's report, received there about 5,000 men under the command of Gen. Pierce. Leaving a garrisan in Paehla of 500, besides the force withdrawn from Jalapa; he commenced his victorious march to Moxico with 10,000—took it, established and maintained himself. Of these 10,000, at least 2,000 were killed, wounded, or disabled, leaving 8,000, of which only 6,000 entered the city and dispersed the whole Hexican army.

In Tampiec and Vera Conz. there are probably now 2,000 At Jalapa, Perote and Puehla, 5,000

Which being deducted from Scott's whole force of 32,156, gives him in Mexico and the country immediately about it, no less than 25,156. At the last accounts General Scott was arranging 25,156. At the last accounts General Scott was arranging an expedition from this force—wholly unnecessary for belding Mexico and its suburbs—to Potosi and the mining districts of Zacateaus; and, as yet, wisely leaves Querctaro untonched, for there only can be found the remnant of Mexican authority. Probably before this bill can become a law—indeed long before this force can reach the Mexican territory—these mining districts, the wealth of which the diffidence of the Senator from Michigan could not allow him to name—this Edlorado, which for centuries has been the entry and the ruin of grasping and unprincipled ambition, will have yielded to our forces, having nothing to resist them, and thus Mexico-its mines and its wealth-its pride and provess-its alters and its holy emblems of faith-its power, and even its national existence are at the foot and the mercy of a conquering and trimphant foe. More than we should desire is ours—and why want more men?

want more men?

Now, let us glance at the financial aspect of the case. We have had in general estimates the amount supposed to be necessary for the incoming year. These estimates are predicated upon the present force now in the field—and are independent of the troops proposed to be ruised by this and the volunteer bill. The Secretary of the Treusury asks for a duty on tea and also support the troops and the home of the direct tax, and prevent described by the support of the tree of the direct tax, and prevent.

Sir. I have examined some estimates from the Treusury Depart-

Sir, I have examined some estimates from the Treasury Department on the state of our finances, and the means at our command

By the report of the Secretary it appears that you received up to June 30, 1847: From Customs, From Land, 100,570 \$26,346,789 Actual receipts.

Last 6 months of Tariff of 1842, and first 6 months of Tariff of 1846. In July 1, 1846, there was in the Treasury \$9,126,439

Expenditures during same fiscal year. Expenses over receipts—not including Loans or

Whole resources except Loan and Treasury notes

Treasury notes, \$23,977,919
The Sp. 126,439, in Treasury, makes \$33,104,388
The \$9,126,439, in Treasury is absorbed and lost, and deciciency of \$23,997,949 is supplied by loan and is a debt on interest the country to pay.

The estimated reor pts into the Treasury for the year ending From Customs (3 last quarters estimated) \$31,000,000 3,500,000 Miscellaneous, 400,000

\$34,900,000 I propose to reduce for over estimate \$4,000,000 Lands, Miscellaneous

\$5,300,000

\$29,600,000 If the revenue is more, the worse for the country producing such

excessive importations.

excessive importations.
Expenditures for year ending June 30, 1848;
By estimate made December, 1846, as per report,
Add to this the additional appropriation already ask
for by Secretary, vide letter November, 24, 1847,

Already asked additional for Quartermaster's Depart-4.000,000 \$63,714,519

Leaving an excess of expenditures over receipts for year ending June 30, 4848 \$31,114,519 Add to this the deficiency of the preceding year,

War Delt, June 30, ISIS,
Besides the \$0,126,439 in Tree-sny, July 1, IS46; and the residue
so must be supplied by Jonass.
These estimates all undeniably prove that large amounts of
money are inmediately needed, and that large loans will be required to farnish the means, indispensably necessary to the public
erelt. Sir, I am opposed to the creation of a national debt. If
we will incur responsibilities in a war of compact, we should
holdly meet the emergency by imposing such taxes as will easire
tall fath in the plugified hamour of the nation.
In the report of the Secretary of the Treamond and incontravertithe, proposing toxation as the true lasks of national faith. I will
could it. I can admire "a rich jewel" even "in an Ethiops car."
I commend it to the consideration of Senators, for its true and
past views of our datase:

With one short extract, sir, from the report of General Hamilton, I will trouble the Senate;

These truths early attracted to more of the tatters of the Republic, and we conductly find the polary interacted by the file. Secondary of the Krepuble, and we consume, its a fundamental maxim in the system of pulse of the Treasy of "incommunic, also fundamental maxim in the system of pulse of the Treasy of "incommunic, as fundamental maxim in the system of pulse of the Treasy of the state of a string sink of the state of the system of th

### Sir, I commend this doctrine to the attention of the Administra

During the past fiscal year, the products of the country have horne high and anexampled prices. A general prosperity per-vaded our whole business and monetary concerns. The cotton crop—I agree, sir, the ruling crop of the country—exceeding but little 1.800,000 bales, ruled at prices high and exorbitantly remu-

intel 1800,000 bules, rude at prices hip and excontrally remnerating. The influence of the new tardi and the sub-treasury had remnerating. The influence of the new tardi and the sub-treasury had represent the property of t

that makes the rite, ascertained and certain vain. Now, sir, I submit that from this statement of exports, as rendered by the Secretary of the Treasury, there should be deducted—bosses by sea, damage to cargoes on the voyage, reduced receipts of sales less than the cost or valuation at home, charities—the boliest and the best of all exports to suffering humanity—and lesses by bankruptcies—and the actual amount realized to the consequence of the c try did not exceed

Imports for fiscal year, ending June 4847 \$146,545,638 21,000,000

\$199 545 638 Upon this estimate, the excess of Imports over the of Exports has created a balance against up of nett proceeds \$6.545.638

In addition, in consequence of the extreme monetary pressure in England, stocks have been returned, and sold to an amount esti-\$10,000,000 Which added to imports over exports 6.545.638 Leaves an actual balance of exchange

Leaves an actual nature of exchange \$19,545,688.

The import of specie was a safe one for the country, although, yielding no revenue; and about 80 per cent, of it has gone, and is rapidly going, to restore the Irahume of trade, any equalities of changes. A year sine, on the per cent, and the expect of the per cent, below per land was found to the per cent, and the expect in specie are kept back only, because foreign gold cannot be had; and the shipper sustains a loss of one per cent, and the expects in specie are kept back only, because foreign gold cannot be had; and the shipper sustains a loss of one per cent, on the shipper of American gold. The greatest distress prevails in all the great marts of losismess, and commercial cities of the country. Money is worth one and a half per cent per month in the eastern markets. The banks are prudently contracting their losins, and crutaling their issues to reach a point of safety. The circulating medium of the country is diminishing—safes are limited—manufactures and industrial privatile country in the properties of the property of the properties of the properties of all values; and the basis of all exchanges, whether foreign or domestic, must, and will be applied to pay present and future ladness of trade. And amidst this, sir. changes, whether to eigen or domestie, mist, and with a applied to pay present and future bladness of trade. And amidst his, sir, where is the national treasury, and what are its means? Exhaust-ed by the expenses of the war—drained almost to exhaustion—it is asking Congress for relief. I will read a short extract from a mercantile journal:

"A few close of declare falls show that no material clange has yet been produced in the permany relations of the United States and Great Bratins. Specie routiness to be shapped an a modernt-way, and for a few daxs year to make the content of the United States and Great Bratins. Specie routiness to be shapped and a modernt-way and far a few daxs year for the production of the production of the three trees are small, as almost all the duties are paid in treasury noises. At this arts the lare 'deemed and falken,' to be a treasure, will soon be no used a readmin that it will be consecuted in cargin the button. The leads have subject to the content of the decision when they have been gaining for two or three months part. These facts of the country is such, that of the Nesison way as to keep up the content of particular or the content of th

In this state of the monetary concerns of the country generally, and more especially of the treasury itself, I ask if it is not mad-ness to impose mpon it such heavy additional charges as thirty regiments of troops, or even as the ten regiments proposed by the bill under discussion, must inevitably do; and those troops not needed for any purpose, except for the entire conquest of Mexico, and hardly for that.

I see nothing Mr President in the funncial prospect of the country, for the coming year to improve our coulding—nor in the Secretary's control of the country of the countr

1849, are Customs - \$32,000,000 - \$3,000,000 - \$3,000,000

Bounty lands in market will reduce - - 1,000,t

The average daties upon imports, including tree goods, are inorder to realize \$22,000,000 from the ensuins at the present rates of daty—to import to no less a sum than \$170,000,000, in that year. How vanio is the expectation of such an import—and bowell-terly minous to the country, if the views of the Secretary should be reinlized. I will venture the prophecy, that your exports for that year cannot exceed \$320,000,000.

And I ask how is the balance to be paid? The business relationship is the property of the property of

year cannot exceed \$129,000,000.
And I ask how is the balance to be paid? The business relations of the country of the control of the country to its right and proper position. Stocks are below par—Treasury Notes are at 983—and that only because they are receivable for duties and mode equal to specific SN, 800,000, since however reduced for of the country of the co

Now, sir, the Secretary asks for a loant for \$18,500,000,

With what prespect of sneess can be go into the money market for such a bon, or any considerable portion of ti-rin the prelevance of the such as a such as bon if required could not be obtained at over \$90 for the \$100and I fear could not be got at that, for much of the onney is wanted out of the country—in Mexico. The existing means will allow no such abstraction from the commercial and business wants of the country.

If a loan of \$20,000,000 can be realized, by your law, it must be paid info the Treasury in specie; and that specie, or a large part of it, will either go to Mexico, or to England in payment for English funds furnished by hankers there in support of our armies. All this will increase our commercial embarrassements, now at a fear-ful point, and render sales of produce, and mercantile engagements, of an extremely limited character.

of an extremely limited character.

Sir, the merchants of the country are but the distributing agents of the great producers of the world, and this commercial embaracters are considered by the commercial embaracters are considered by the commercial embaracters are considered and agricultural communities. The want of means to advance and transmit the produce from the interior to the market, must cause a severe decline in prices, and the South and the West producing the great staples of the construy, will find, in the reduction of prices, their share of the great financial crisis, caused by supporting a foreign war, and abstracting for that war the means necessary for the profutable ex-

changes of their products. I have heard prices named to which cotton and breadstulfs must\_20, fearfully alarming—and the aggregate reduction estimated at \$260,000.000. Nothing can avert this but an extraordinary demand for breadstulfs beyond any calcula-

gate reduction estimated at \$339,000,000. Nothing can avert this but an extraordinary demand for breadstulls beyond any calculations that the wants of Europe would seem to indicate. Next, sir, in the course of indictions, the labor of the country will experience its saddening influence. Its profitable employment will be checked or sus-pended, and then the blow will be left most severely; because the daily necessities of that class renders it lesable to avert the force.

The contraction of the ordinary circulating medium of the context is another consequence of this abstraction of specie. For every dollar in specie, sound specie-paying banks are safely allowed to put into circulation four dollars in bills, and these bills employed in the daily uses and payments of the community, will be withdrawn in that ratio from circulation. A loan of \$20,000,000, either in funded stock or treasury notes, cannot but contract the sound circulation is based, having these levels withdrawn of that calculation is based, having these levels withdrawn of the correctly it is painful to think to what point of depression prices would go, and the consequent general and individual distress we shall be called upon to endure. In a war of defence the Treasury of the country, like its blood, wolld be poored out like water. In a war of conquest, even for indemnity and scentry, of some feeling would prevail. If, then, the men are not needed, as I have shewn, for any object short of the entire subjugation and ronquest of Maxico—and the means are not covered, ask why pass this bill, or press to a lasty and immediate decision? These additional troops, if sent into Mexico, can only be employed in extending exequence over the entire republic. Drive the government to

tional troops, if sent into Mexico, can only be enphysyed in extensing e-dequest over the entire republic. Drive the government to disband itself, and with when can you make a peace? To when a can you restore the whole or any pair of Mexico? It owhem is her nationality to be entrasted even for the control of the control

"Like a mildewed ear, 'twill blight its wholesome brother."

This stupendous scheme of subjugation, sequestration, and annexation, cannot but shock the public sense of the country, and cause reflecting men to estimate the dangers before them. The leprous distilment will infuse itself into the system only to poison and destroy.—

"It cannot, and it will not come to good,"

In God's name, let the danger be avoided, before it is too late. Our own dissentions are sufficient to distract the harmony of the country, and try our institutions to their atmost tension.

Stop this unished test for conjuest. No longer endanger the blessings of Freedom, so signally our own. Let discord be driven from amongst us, and the tright example of our institutions, restored to their purity, continue to shine for the imitation and candiation of all mankind.

On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 26, 1848.

### PETITIONS

Mr. DICKINSON presented a petition of citizens of New York, praying the establishment of a port of entry at Rouse's Point, in that State; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce.

Mr. HUNTER presented the memorial of the President of Wiliam and Mary College, in the State of Virginia, praving compensation for the use of, and thamage to, the College-building by the French troops during the Revolutionary Chamto the Committee on Revolutionary Cham-

# On motion by Mr. FELCH, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Ambrose R. Davenport, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Military

On motion by Mr. PEARCE, it was

Ordered, That the petition of David N. Smith, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Pensions.

# MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

Mr. Preioleut: The House of Repus-cutators have passed the ball from the Senate cuttled "An act exempting resels impliced by the American Colomization Society in transparing report engingers from the United States to the record of Afrea from the processor of the acts of the 25H February and 30 of March, 1947, regulating the carries of passengers in merchant transparing to the processor of the p

Also, a joint resolution from the Senate, cuttled "A resolution authorizing the reversion on the public grounds in the cut of Washington of a monument to George Washington."

The President of the United States approved and signed, on the 24th instant, the emolled bill, authorizing the Secretary of the Tuzzany to p and a register to the banque Sarah and Eliza.

# PRINTING OF THE TREASURY REPORT.

Mr. PHELPS submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to :

Resided, That the Joint Committee on Fruting to discrete far inquire into the reases, which have prevented the pratting on the annual report of the Secretary of the Treasity with the annual related to the member of the Secretary of the same have not been farmashed to the member of the Secretary.

# JETHRO WOOD'S PATENT.

Mr. TURNEY, from the Committee on Patents, to whom was referred the petition of the executrix and executors of Jethro Wood, reported a bill to further extend the patent of Jethro Wood.

The bill having been read a first time.

Mr. TURNEY expressed a hope that it would be taken up, and passed at once. It had passed the Senate last session, but was lost in consequence of its failing to be reached in the House.

The bill was then read a second time, by unanimous consent, and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Mr. ALLEN wished to hear some good reason offered for the passage of the bill. He should move to strike out the enacting clause whenever the bill came up.

The PRESIDING OFFCER.—The bill is now before the

Mr. ALLEN .- I move then to strike out the enacting clause.

Mr. TURNEY.—I do not know on what ground the Senator from Ohio objects to this bill, or for what reason he desires its rejection. I am believed to think that he may not have had an opportunities to examining the grounds on which the committee have removed in the property of this bill. The inventor of this insentence in the plough received no benefit from the pattent during the first term, and, indeed, exhausted his fortune in the continued clurts which he was obliged to employ in order to obtain his rights. He is now dend, having left a family of four plaughters, for whose benefit an extremion of the patent for the short period of seven years, is now proposed by the bill before the Senate. I will only add that the bill passed at the last session of Congress; that it has been unanimously reported by the Committee, and that I am quite at a loss to know on what ground objection is now made to its passage.

Mr. DICKINSON.—This bill originates in the State of New York, and murcrons petitions and memorials in regard to I, have been presented at previous sessions. Before enning here, I happened to be employed as competed in regard to this patent, and still pastain to it professional relations. When the question was my hefore, I declined voting on it for the treasm now stated, and I shall decline to vote now, unless the Senate shall order otherwise. I have, therefore, nothing to say as to the merits of the case, and make this statement in order to explain my silence.

Mr. DAYTON.—I have only a single remark to make in reference to this bill. I heistate not to say, that if any of these applications for extension of patent rights deserves attention, this certainly does. It is a meritorious application. I have become acquainted with the case, and have no hesitation in asserting that every rule by which the extension of patent rights has been regulated, anolies to it.

Mr. BERRIEN.—I am uninforund of the facts of this case, except in so far as they have been communicated by the committee who have examined the claim of the petitioners and have unanimetely, as I understand, recommended this bill. A motion is made to strike out the enacting clause of the bill, and I should be very glad, if there be any reasons why we should act in opposition to the unanimous recommendation of the committee, that those reasons should be stated. Certainly, I must conform my vote to the recommendation of the committee in the absence of any explanation leading me to a different conclusion.

Mr. CAMERON.—It was my thity some years since to examine this some, as a member of the Committee to whom it was referred. I gave it a good deal of attention, and on that occasion also, the Committee unanimously recommended a bill in favor of the petitioners. It has been said, that this bill will impose a tax on ploughes but, it may be said with equal force, that every patent imposes a tax. The improvement in this case has been great and networkeded. It enhances the value of the plough, and has been of essential service to the agreedual from it, and I entirely agree in the propriety and justice of making a provision which will secure for a short time to his descendents, a share in the benefit of the improvement.

Mr. PHELPS.—I have had the honor of serving on one or two of the committes, which have had this subject under their conductation, and I recollect very well, that when it first came before the committee some objections were made to the recommendation of such a bill. But, as I am happy to flad from the remarks made by Senators, these objections are no longer pressed. This is a very merturators case, and clearly comes within the class of applications, which merit the interposition of Congress, in the manner proposed by this bill.

Mr. NILES.—I wish to inquire of the Chairman of the Committee, whether this patent has been once renewed?

Mr. TURNEY replied in the affirmative

Mr. NILES.—I do not know much about this case; but I have generally felt it to be my duty, to oppose this sort of legislation, as being a kind of legislation unjust to the public, and which is virtually in violation of a fair contract, made between the pategories of the pategories

Mr. TURNEY .-- I think the facts of this case have been fully made

out, and justify the Committee in their recommendation; and in this view of the subject, I am happy to find myself sustained by the gentlemen who have spoken. A report in favor of these petitioners has been made at three sessions of Congress. Throughout the whole term of the patent and its extension the patentee failed to reap the benefits to which he was-legally entitled, after having exhausted his private fortune in the work of perfecting his invention and in the attempt to secure his rights. I am as much opposed to the extension of patents generally, as the Scantor from Connecticut can be, or any other gentlemen on this floor; but after a careful examination of the facts in this scan the evidence appears to my mind to be entryely conclusive in its character, in the exhibitance, lifes, that this invention is one of immense value to the tablishment, first, that this invention is one of immense value to the committy; and, seeondly, that the inventor received no benefit of the committy and seeondly, that the inventor received no benefit was exhausted in the effort to refleve limself from the embarrass-ments which resulted in the effort to establish his right.

Mr. JOHNSON of Louisiana, remarked that he had assented Mr. JOHNSON of Louisiana, remarked that he had assented to the taking up of this bill, on receiving the assurance that it would not lead to discussion. Already a considerable portion of the morning hour had been exhausted, and he therefore felt him-self constrained to ask that it be passed over informally, if it should not be postponed.

Mr. NILES inquired whether the bill made any provision for as-

Mr. TURNEY replied, that there were no assignees. The pa tentee had formed a connexion with certain parties who refused to render any account whatever of the sales which they had made, and that was one reason why he had failed to reap the benefit to which he was entitled.

Mr. STURGEON.—I would ask the Chairman of the Committee whether it is intended, that the benefit which it is proposed to extend by this bill, shall accrue exclusively to the heirs of Jethro Wood? There has been some doubt in my mind about this bill; Wood? There has been some doubt in my mind about this bill; and the difficulty has been mereased by a fact which came to my knowledge some time since in Pittsburg in conversation with person engaged in the manufacture of the iron work of these ploughs. I was informed by one of these persons that agents of the patentee had, whether rightfull or wrongfully, exterted from them some six or eight thousand idollars for the privilege of esting the iron some of the manufacturers refused to comply with the demands of Some of the manufacturers refused to comply with the demands of agents of the patentee or not, but I should like some explanation with regard to the subject to the sub with regard to the subject before I vote upon this bill. If the be-nefit is to accure exclusively to the heirs of Jethro Wood, I am disposed to acquiesce in the recommendation of the Committee.

Mr. WESTCOTT remarked that he had opposed the bill formerly reported, but had no objection to the present bill, inasmuch as it was not liable to the objections which he had entertained against the former one. He agreed with the Senator from Connectient as to the impolicy of renewing patents; but, as that gentleman had remarked, there were eases in whose favor an exception night properly be made. The present he believed to be one of those

Mr. ALLEN.—I wish to make a few remarks on this bill. There is one decisive answer to all the arguments which I have heard advanced in its favor; and it is this: If, with he law on their side, these people have been unable to make anything out of this invention, how will they be enabled, by having the law continued on their side, to realize any profit from it? We are told, that although for twenty-one years this patentee has been guarded by the law, he has yet failed to realize profit from its invention. How, then, I would ask, do you propose to change this state of things by the passage of this act? We are told that all the wrongs of these meritarious neodle have been the result of the of things by the passage of this act? We are told that all the wrongs of these meritorious people have been the result of the bad ehoice of agents; but surely it cannot be pretended that his is a state of things for which a remody can be provided by law. If we undertake to redress such grievances, I think we are likely to sit here much longer than the usual period of the long session. These are misfortunes for which acts of Congress can provide nor meady. Has not that been proved clearly enough in this very ease itself? Here we have bad an act of Congress existing for twenty-one years, and yet wholly inadequate to prevent the evils which have been in fact the result of the indiscretion of the nersons themselves. But there is another view of the sphere. the persons themselves. But there is another view of the subject. The Constitution authorizes Congress to secure for a limited term The constitution and original conferes to seeme for a limited term the absolute and exclusive use of certain inventions to the inventors. This is all right. But why was not the exclusive right made perpetual? For the plain and obvious reason that it would be contrary to the general interests of the community, if an unlimited trary to the general laterests of the community, if an unlimited monopoly were thus given in inventions. There must be a period put to the enjoyment of this exclusive right. The right of every man in the community to eopy an idea which one man conceived, is suspended. You have no right to give a piece of iron, a given form, for twenty-one years, because A alone must give it that form, and you have no right to eopy A's idea in iron. It is a restraint you then whose community, from which they must be released as who have had the good the released as who have had the good the release of the interest of the individuals who have had the good the release of the good that the right of the individuals who have had the good the good the good that the right of the individuals to the fact, sir, of inventors, to die unrewarded by their inventions. It is the fate, in every age, of the gonius which

bestirs itself in the investigation of those secret principles of nature or of art, to die unrequited. Acts of Coggress cannot change it. We may not impose a penalty upon the country in order to effect a change in this apparently unavoidable destiny of inventors. This bill proposes nothing more nor less than to impose a tax upon every plough made under this patent in the United States. That is the amount of it. And, sir, we are asked to impose this tax of fully cents upon each of these ploughs by the very same atgements by which we are informed/that all these fifty cents hither to imposed, have been snatched from the rightful owner by dishonated areas. We are asked to tax the users of these ploughs, not to imposed, have been snatched traw the rightful owner by dishon-est agents. We are asked to tax the users of these plugdis, not for the benefit of the inventor, because we are told that the law that bither for alicel to senure to him the proceeds of his invention, but for the beacht of those who for twenty-one years have been comitting these frauds upon the inventor. I do not, then, see any just ground on the proceed to impose this tax upon the larming interests of the country.

Mr. TURNEY .- I can assure the gentleman from Ohio that there is no ground for his apprehension, that the benefit of this bill will not accrue to the heis of the inventor. The rights of the patentee have been established by the decision of the Supreme Court, and the extension of the patent right for the short period proposed and the extension of the patent right for the short period proposed by the bill will be of more value than it was during the whole of the two terms which it has existed. I may take this opportunity of remarking, that our parent laws seem to require some rovision, in order more effectually to secure the benefits of their inventions to inventors. The gentleman from Ohio is not strilly correct in designating this as a tax on ploughs. Every perchaser will have his choice, and if the ploughs made under this patent are enhanced in value in consequence of the improvement, it is, I think, reasonable that the inventor should have the benefit of it.

Mr. DAYTON remarked, that this was rather a small matter on which to originate a debate. The subject had been examined by three committees, differently constituted, and all had reported unanimously in favor of a bill for the relief of the petitioners.

The question was then put on the motion to strike out the enacting clause of the bill.

The yeas and mays being demanded by Mr. Allen, were ordered, and taken, with the following result:

YEAS.—Messrs, Allen, Ashley, Atchson, Bagby, Baldwin, Benton, Breese Bright, Cass, Douglas, Downs, Felch, Hannegan, Niles, Spruance, and Sturgeon

Higgin, vos. sociolos.
NAYS.—Mess. Alberton, Badger, Bell, Bernen, Buller, Calhoun, Cameron, NAYS.—Mess. Alberton, Badger, Bell, Bernen, Buller, Calhoun, Cameron, Clarks, Corvin, Davis, of Mexicoppi, Davton, Do., Fonte, Greene, Huston, John Son, of Lonsanua, Mangum, Mason, Miller, Moor, Pearce, Phelp., Ruis, Tumey, Underwood, and Westcott.—36.

So the motion to strike out the "enacting clause of the bill was

Mr. BALDWIN then offered the following amendment: Strike out the words "exclusive of all assignces and licences."

Mr. WESTCOTT briefly opposed the amendment

Mr. BALDWIN remarked, that he had offered the amendment in order to protect the rights of assignces, and was proceeding to explain more fully the objects of the amendment; when

Mr. ALLEN suggested that, as the time had arrived for taking up the special order, and as the discussion, now involving a leval point, was likely to be protracted, the bill should be passed over

The bill was then passed over informally.

### NOTICE OF A BILL.

Mr. BENTON.—The taking up this bill upon its merits during the time allowed for morang business prevented me from giving notice, sir, at the proper time, of my intention to introduce a bill to-morrow; and I am, therefore, under the necessity of encosching, to the extent of a quarter of a minute, upon the time which belongs to the gentleman from New York. I wish to give notice, that on to-morrow, I shall ask leave to introduce a bill to amend the 65th and 91st of the "Rules and Articles of Warr," in relation to the practice before courts martial and courts of inquiry in the army of the United States.

### LAND PATENTS.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the Committee on Private Land Claims, to whom was referred the bill for the issuing of patents in a certain class of cases, and for other purposes, reported it with amendments.

# EVERYSION OF MARITIME IMPISDICTION

Mr. ASHLEY, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom Mr. ASHLEA: from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom was referred the bill to amend the net cuttled "An act extending the jurisdiction of the district courts to certain cases upon the lakes and navigable waters connecting the same," approved the 26th of February, 1845, reported it with an amendment.

### WILLIAM H. BASSETT.

Mr. ASHLEY, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom was referred the petition of William H. Bassett, late marshal of the United States for the western district of Louisiana, submitted an adverse report, which was ordered to be printed.

## TEN REGIMENT BILL.

The Senate resumed the consideration of the bill to raise, for a united time, an additional military force

Mr. DIX .- Mr. President: It was my wish to address the Senate on the resolutions offered by the Senator from South Carolina, (Mr Californ,) and not on this bill. I should have preferred to do so be cause I am always unwilling to delay action on any measure rela ting to the war, and because the resolutions afford a wider field for inquiry and discussion. But as the debate has become general for inquiry and discussion. But as the denate has become general and extended to almost every topic that can well be introduced under either, the force of the considerations, by which I have been underened, has become so weeklered that I have not thought it necessary to defer longer what I wish to say.

The including questions defined and agriate the public mind in re-

Two leading questions divide and agriate the public mind in respect to the future conduct of the war with Mexico. The first of these questions is: Shall we withdraw our forces from the Mexican territory, and leave the subject of indemnity for injuries and can cerritory, an interest of a boundary between the two republies to luture the adjustment of a boundary between the two republics to luture negotiation, relying on a magnanimous course of conduct on our negotiation, relying on a migranimous course of conduct on our part to produce a corresponding feeling on the part of Mexico 2. There are other propositions, subordinate to this with may be considered as parts of the same general scheme of the interior districts and assuming an exterior line of occupation. In interior districts and assuming an exterior line of occupation, and it is part of the districts of the districts and susming an exterior line of occupation. Since the part of the districts of the districts are successful to district and the same arguments; and it is not neglectate to distinguish between them, I man not smetchast should make any difference in the force of the application. For whether we withfuldow from Mexico altogether or take a delension thus, which shall include all the territory we intend to hold permanently as indemnity, the consequences to result from its, of are as they

time, which shall include all the territory we intend to hold permanently as indemnity, the consequences to result from it, so far as they affect the question of peace, would, it appears to a the same. The second question is: Shall we retain the pre-move the same between the permanent of the wrongs of which we complain, and settle to our satisfaction the bands of the flustrate?

boundary in dispute ?

isonalary in dispute t.

Regarding these questions as involving the permanent welfare
of the country, I have considered them with the greatest solicitude,
and though never more profoundly impressed with a sense of the
responsibility which belongs to the solution of problems of such responsibility which belongs to the solution of problems of such magnitude and dilibenty, ny reflections have, nevertheless, led us to a relear and settled conviction as to the course, which justice and pol-tey seem to indicate and demand. The lirst question, in itself of highest importance, has been answered affirmatively on this proposed of the convergence and adults services, uside commonds the restrict expression of the convergence of the co from the busy scenes of political life, who, from his talents, experience and public services, justly commands the respect of his countryment, and whose opinions, on any subject, are entitled to be countryment, and whose opinions, and the lightest with candor and deliberation. I have endeavored to attribute to his opinions, and to those of others who coincide with him wholly or in part, all the importance which belongs to then, and to consider them with the deference due to the distinguished sources from which they emander. I believe I have done so; and to consider them with the deference one to conclusions totally delicrent from theirs. I believe it would be in the highest degree mijest to ourselves, possessing, as we do, well hounded claims on Alexies, to withdraw our lorees from her territory aftergether; and secondingly unwise, as a matter of policy, looking to the future po-Mexico, to witheraw our lorces from her territory attogramer, and exsecutingly unvise, as a matter of policy, bodking to the fature pa-hareal relations of the two countries, to withdraw from it partially and assume a fine of defence, without a treaty of peace. On the con-trary, I am in favor of retaining possession, for the pressure, of all we have acquired, not as a permanent compact, but as the most effective means of bringing about—what all most carnestly desire effective means of bringing about—what all most earnestly desire— a restoration of peace; and I will, with the indulgence of the Senate, proceed to state, with as much brevity as the magnitude of the subject admits, my objections to the course suggested by the first question, and my reasons in favor of the course suggested by

the other.

I desire, at the outset, to state this proposition, to the truth of which, I think, all will yield their assent: that no policy which does not earry with it a reasonable assurance of healing the dissentions not corry with it a reasonable assurance of healing the dissentions dividing the two countries, and orgetoring, permanently, aniesable relations between them, ought to receive our support. We next differ in opinion, and perhaps, hopebooky, as to the measures calculated to produce this result; but if it were possible for us because the contraction of the and possibly of external interference, in that distracted country, to be followed, in all probability, by a renewal of active hostilities with us, and under circumstances to make us feel secretly the loss of the advantage which we have gained, and which it is proposed of the advantage which we have grained, and which it is proposed voluntarily to surrender, then, it appears to me, it can present no claim to our favorable consideration. I shall embeaver to show before I sit down, that the policy referred to is exposed to all these

dangers and evus.

I do not propose to enter into an examination of the origin of the war. From the moment the collision took place between our breess and those of Mexico on the Rio Grande. I considered all breess are no examinated and without a full trial of strength in the hold, to be out of the question. I heliced the peculiar character

of the Mexicans would reader in such any, illusive. Whether that collision was produced in one describy our own mistakes, or whether the war itself was be dealt about by the manner in which Texas was annexed to the University of the text of the t gree, the tentimate boundaries or discussions, but I am not disposed to content into seed an investigation now. The urgent concern is to know, not how the war originated, not who is responsible for it, but in the content of the content of the content of the content of the whether a measurement of the content of the content of the whether a measurement of the content of the content of the whether a measurement of the content of the content of the whether a measurement of the content of the content of the content of the whether a measurement of the content of the content of the content of the whether a content of the content of the content of the content of the whether a content of the content of the content of the content of the whether a content of the content

whether, as appears to me, the only loope of an accommodation has in a tirm and electrimized maintenance of our position. The probable consequence of an abandonment of the advanta-ers, we have gained may be better understood by sceing what those advantages are. I speak me military point of view. While addressine the Searce in February last on a carry bill then under addressine the Searce in February last on a carry bill then under Mexicological and the searce of the control of the searce of the percentage, I had occursion to tarte that the whole of northern Mexicological and the searce of the searce of the peace of the searce of percentage of the territory of the peace sides, and about one-teath of its imbalatants. Our impuisitions the grant distribution of vera Cruz and the Castle of San Juon mented by the reduction of vera Cruz and the Castle of San Juon de Ulum, the expurer of Jalaha, Perone, and Puebla, the surrenmounted by the reduction of Vera Cruz and the Castle of San Juan to Unit, the explains of Juliana, Perote, and Puebla, the surrenter of the Juliana Perote, but Puebla, the surrenter of the Juliana Perote, and the "occupation of three States of Vera Cruz, Pend Messico, with nearly two millions and a half of souls. It is true Bessico, with nearly two millions and a half of souls. It is true Bessico, with nearly two millions and a half of souls. It is true States of the not over the every portion of the territory of those States, which defended the explained been reduced, the millions explained, their captured or dispersed, their captured of the explained and the whole machinery of government within the computed states virtually transferred to our hands. All this has been achieved with an army at no one period exceeding fifteen thousand near, and against forces from three to live times more numerous than those netwally engaged on our side, in every conflict since the fall of Vera Cruz.

those actually engaged on our side, in every conflict since the fall of Vera Cruz.

I had occasion, on presenting some army petitions a few weeks ago, to refer to the brilliant successes. by which these acquisitions were made; and I will not trespose on the attention of the Senate by repeating what I said at that time. But I cannot forbear to say, that there is a moral in the contest, the effect of which is very lateral to the people of the more of the senate of the remaining o

Mr. President, the political condition of Mexico has been gradnut. President, the pointent condition of all responsible government, and of the civil order, which constitutes her an independent state. This lamentable situation is not the fruit alone of our military successes. The factions, by which that country has been distracted, each in The factions by which that country has been distracted, each in turn gaining and maintaining a temporary secondacey, and often by brute force. He at the foundation of the social and political disorder, which has reigned there for the last twenty years. To abuses of the old colonial system of Spain, she has supermidded the writs of an unstable and irresponsible government. The military bodies, which have been the instruments of those, who have thus is monoscinial regional bright of the properties of the control work per full is: nouse, which make near the instruments of those, who have thus in succession, gained a brief and precarious control over her affairs, though dispersed, still exist, ready to be re-united and to renew military newthich we have superseded; for the time being, by a military newton and this brings me to the first great objection to the proposition of the pr

tion to the proposition of withdrawing our armors from the nein.

Thace affectedy said that no policy can deserve our support, which
does not hold out the promise of a durable peace. Nothing seems
to me more milkely to secure so desirable a result, than an abantion means multilely to secure so desirable a result, than an abantion of Maxims by us at the present moment without a treaty,
heaving bland a strong feeling of animosity towards us, with party leaving behind a strong feeling of animosity towards us, with party divisions as strongly marked, and political animosities as rancorous perhaps, as they have been at any former period. Even when her capital had fallen, humbled, and powerless as she was, party leaders instead of consulting for the common good, were seen strongling with each other for the barren sceptre of her authority, truement as enemies would, in all probability, be the signal for inchestine conflicts as desperate and sangunary as those in which they have been engaged with us—conflicts always the most disastrous for the great body of the Mexican people, for on what side soever fortune turns, they are certain to be the victims. You know, sir, there are two great parties in Mexico, (I) pass by the minor divisions,) the "federalistas" and "centralistas." The former, as takein anne imports, are in favor of the federative system; they are the true republican party. With us in formations, the terms federal and republican design ated different parties. In Mexico they are both employed to designate the friends of the federative system. The centralists are in favor of a contimes, the terms federal and republican design ated different parties. In Mexico they are both employed to designate the Frends of the federative system. The centralists are in favor of a considiated government, republican or monarchical in favor of a considiated government. The centralists are in favor of a considiated government, republican or monarchical in favor of a consideration of the population. I believe our only loope of obtaining a durable peace, lies in the firm establishment of the federal party in power, the party represented by Herrera. Anaxa, Pena y Pena, Campilog, and others, I understand Herrera has besen deveted President of the Republic; and this is certainly a favorable indication. But unfortunately I fear this party would not succeed in maintaining itself, if Mexico were left to herself at the present moment with self-interest of the federal party and the present in the probability of the popular abuses, to which they owe their wealth and importance. Nothing could be more unfortunate for Mexico that the re-establishment of these men in power. It would bring with it a hope given a rehander to their support, and yours as spreamacy, without a prospect of smelloration in the condition of the Mexicon people—a supremary of which the chief variation has been an exchange of one military deep of for another.

Calamitions as the rectoration of this party to their former ascendency would be for Mexico, it would hardly be less so for us. Relying on military force for their support, their policy would be to

Relying on military force for their support, their policy would be to continue the war as a pretext for maintaining the army in hall strength, or, at least, not to terminate it till peace would ensure strength, or, at least, not to terminate it till prace would earned their own supermency. It is believed that these considerations have been leading motives in the resistance they have opposed to the It is tree, the republican party has been equally hostile, so far as external indications show; but the fact is accounted for by their desire to see the war continued until the array and its leaders, the great enemies of the federative system, are overthrown. Undoubtedly, the observable relians of Mexico to make peace may be very compared to the contract of the contract o Consider the control of the control if it were in undisputed possession of the government, arises from the helief that their motives are honest—that they have at heart the penier that their motives are nonest—that they have at near-the public welfare—and that they must see there is no hope for Mexico but in a solid peace with us. My utter distrust of the cen-tralists arises from the helief that their objects are selfish, and that to accomplish them, they would not hesitate to sacralice the that to accomplish drive the control of the control of the whether I err in these views or not, I feed quite candiont I do not err in believing that if our armies were to be withdrawn from Mexico, without a pence, the flames of civil discord would be rekindled in that unhappy country, and burn with redoubled violence. I should greatly [cut that the military chiefs would spechese.] rekanded in that undappy contry, and often with renounced vio-lence. I should greatly feer that the military chiefs would suc-ceed in re-estublishing to their assendency, and that no probable limit could be assigned to their assendency, and that no probable function of the control of the property of the war. If I am right, our true policy is to stand firm, and if possible, united, until wiser counsels shall prevail in Mexico, and a disposible, united, until wiser

The objection I have stated to the proposition of withdrawing our forces from Mexico concerns only the relations which now exist, or may exist hereafter, between the two countries. If there were no other objection, the question might be decided upon considerations touching only their domestic interests and their mutual

But I come to the second objection—one perhaps of graver But I come to the second objection—one perhaps of graver import than the first, because it supposes the possibility, if not the probability, of an interference in her affairs by other countries, if we were to retire without a treaty and without commercial arrangements, which it would be in our power to enforce. The President alluded to the subject in his annual message at the opening of Congress, and expressed an apprehension of danger from that source. I participate in it. I shall assign the grounds on which it rests; and I only regret that in stating them with the minuteness necessary to make them fully understood, I shall be compelled to draw match more largely than I desire on the partience of the Senate.

tience of the Senate.

Senators are doubtless aware that the right of intervention in interestation are doubtless aware that the right of intervention in the utilities of this centiny, was formally asserted in the French Chamber of Deputies, in the year 1845, by M. Guizot, Minister of Foreign Affairs, as the organ of the government of France. He regarded the great powers on this continent as divided into three groups, namely: Great Britáin, the United States, and the States of Spanish origin: and he declared that it belonged to France. The protect, by the authority of her name, the independence of States, and the equilibrium of the great political forces in America. To this declaration I have thought it not out of place, in connexion with the subject under disensation, to call the attention of the Senate-mot for the purpose of madertaking the formal refutation, of which I think the whole doctrine of intervention, as 4t

has been practically enforced in Europe, is clearly susceptible— but for the purpose of deaying it as founded upon any well estab-lished principles of international law, and, if it had sich a founda-tion, of denying its applicability to the political condition of this continent. To cance fully into the examination of this important subject would require more time than it would be proper for me to decore to it. I propose only to pass rapidly over a few of the

The declaration of M. Guizot was the first public and official The decentration for M. Guizot was the first puttie and official intimation by a European government, of an intention to interfere with the political condition of the independent communities on the continent of America, and to influence by moral, if not by physical, agencies, their relations to each other. And if it had been pre-sented in any other form than that of an abstract declaration, not sented in any other form than that of an abstract declaration, not necessarily to be followed by any overt act, it would have behoved us to inquire, in the most formal manner, whether this asserted right of interposition derived any justification from the usages of nations, or from the recognized principles of international law—or whether it was an assumption wholly unsupported an encroachment on the independent of the independ by authority, and if attempted, an encroachment on the in cnce of sovereign States, which it would have been their duty to themselves and the civilized world to resent as an injury and a

wrong.

Am I in error in supposing this subject derives new importance from our existing relations with Mexico, one of the States of Spanish origin, which M. Guizet grouped together as consituring one of the great political forces of this continent, amount of the great political forces of this continent, such conces, in the progress of the war, the governments of Europe have been invoked by leading organs of public opinion abroad to interpose between us and Mexico. Is it not then appropriate briefly to state what this right of intervention is, as it has been asserted in Europe, what it has been in practice, and what it would be likely to become if applied to the States of this continual? I trust it will be so considered.

The doctrine of intervention to maintain the balance of power, is essentially of modern origin. From the earliest ages, it is true, occasional combinations have been formed by particular States, occasional combinations have been formed by particular States, for mutual protection against the aggressions of a powerful neigh-bor. History is full of these examples. Such a co-operation is diented by the plainest principles of self-preservation, for the pur-pose of grarding against the danger of being destroyed in detail; and it is founded upon such obvious maxims of common sense that it would have been remarkable if it and not been recorted to from the it would have been remarkable if it had not been resorted to from the moment human society assumed a regular form of organization.—
These defensive alliances were deficient in the permanence and methodical arrangements, which distinguish the modern system of intervention. Huma saw, or fancied he saw, in them the principle to its right of intervention to preserve the balance of power, which is asserted at the present day. But it could only have been the maturity or the efficient force of a regular system.

The modern dectrine of intervention, in the affairs of other States, which has sprung up within the last two-centuries, is far more commetonsive in its scene. It has grown into a mercitical

more comprehensive in fits scape. It has grown into a practical system of supervision on the part of the principal European pow-ers over their own relative forces, and those of the other States of ers over their own relative forces, and those of the other States of Europe; and though it may, in some instances, have been produc-tive of beneficial effects in maintaining the public tranquility, it has as frequently been an instrument of the grossest injustice and tyranny. From the first extensive coulition of this nature, which was formed during the long serios of wars terminated by the peace of Westphalia, in 1648, down to the interference of Great Britain, of Westphana, in 10-8, down to the increrence of Great Distant, Prassia, Austria, and France, in the contest between the Sultan and Mehemet Ali, in 1840, a period of nearly two centuries—an interference designed, in some degree, to prevent what was re-garded as a dangerous protectorate over the affairs of the Porte

garded as a dangerous protectorate over the affairs of the Porte by Russine—the exercise of the right has been placed, theoretically, on the same high ground of regard for the tranquility of Europe and the independence of States. Practically, it has often been perverted to the worst purposes of aggrandizement and capility. If we look into the writers on international law, I think we shall find no sufficient ground for the right of intervention. Growins, who wrote in the early part of the seventeenth century, denically except as a means of self-preservation, and then only when the danger was real and imminent. Variel, who wrote nearly a century after Penelon, and a century before our own times, regarded the States of Europe as forming a political system, and he reserviced the right of forming confederacies and alliances. times, regarded the States of Europe as forming a political system, and he restricted the right of forming condicteracies and aliances for the purpose of intervention in the alfairs of each other, to cases, in which such combinations were necessary to early the ambition of any power which, from its superiority in physical strength, and its designs of oppression or conquest, threatened to become dangerous to its neighbors. De Martens, who wrote half a century ago, acknowledges with Vattel the existence of the right under certain conditions, though he hardly admits it to be well settled as a rule of international law; and he limits its exercise to neighbora rule of international law; and he limits its exercise to neighbor-ing States, or States occupying the same quarter of the globe. But, according to the two last writes of equal eminence towards a for-mal recognition of the rule limits of equal eminence towards a for-mal recognition of the same impact of the states with the same immediate sphere of action, to prevent an accumulation of great for the common liberty.

I am confident, Mr. President, that no one can rise from a re-

view of the history of modern Europe, and from an examination of the writings of her public juriets, without being statistical that the writings of her public juriets, without being statistical that we stated it to be—a mere right on the part of weaker States to combine for the purpose of prevening the subversion of their independence, and the alicantion of their territories by a designing and prowerful neighbor—a right to be exercised only in cases of argent and immediate danger. It is simply a right of self-preservation, undefined, underhalbe, having no settled or permeity, and when arbitrarily applied to practice, a most fruitful source of abuse, injustice and oppression. One clear and certain initiation in his purpose of the independence of sovereign States, has never been surpassed. By universal causent, by the survaying testimony of abuse itself, it is not to be exercised heyond the immediate sphere of the nations concerned, it perfugible action. It is only by meighbors, for the protection of neighbors against neighbors that it can, even upon the broadest principles, be rightfully employed. When it traverses occaus, and looks to the regulation of the political concerns of other continents, it becomes a gigant assumption, which for the independence of nations, for the interests of humaniwhich for the independence of nations, for the interests of humani-ty, for the tranquillity of the Old World and the New, should be Mr. President, a review of the history of Europe during the

Mr. President, a review of the instroy of tarroje during clast two controls will bring with it another conviction in respect to the right of intervention—that no relinace can be placed on its restriction in practice to the objects, to which it is limited by every public jurist, who admits its existence at all; and that nothing could be so discouraging to the friends of free government as an extension of the system to this Continent, if the power ex sted to introduce it here. Though the combinations it is claimed isted to introduce it here. Though the communations it is enumerated to authorize may, in some instances, have protected the coalescing parties from the danger of being overrun by conquering armies, the cases are perhaps as numerous, in which their interposition has been lent to break down the independence of states and to throw whole communities of men into the arms of governments, to which their feelings and principles were alike averse. The right, as has been seen—(and it cannot be too often repeated)—with the utmost latitude claimed for it by any public jurist, goes no farther than to authorize a league on the part of two or more weaker states to protect themselves against the designs of an ambitious and powerful neighbour. In its practical application it has more and powerms neignnour. In its practical application it has more frequently resulted in a combination of powerful states to distray their weaker neighbours for the augmentation of their own diminions or those of their allies. From a mere right to embine for self-preservation, they have made it in practice a right to divide, dismember and partition states at their pleasure—mot for divide, dismentier and partition states at their pressure—not for the purpose of diminishing the strength of a powerful adversary, but under the pretence of creating a system of balances, which is artificial in its structure, and, in some degree, incongruous in its clements, and which a single political convision may overturn and destroy. Do we need examples of the abuse of the power, will not call it a right? They will be found in the dismemberment of Saxony, the annexation of the republic Genoa to the kingdom of Sardinia, and the absorption of Venice by Austria. There is another and a more aggravated case of abuse to which There is another and a more aggravated case of abuse to which recent events have given new prominence. In 1772, Russia, Prussia and Austria, under the pretence that the disturbed condi-tion of Poland was dangerous to their own tranquility, seized upon about one-third of her territories and divided it among them selves. In 1793, notwithstanding her diminished proportions she had become more dangerous, and they seized half of what they she had become more dangerous, and they seizzed half of what they had left to her by the first partition. Sir, she continued to grow dangerous as she grow weak; and in two years after the second partition, they stripped her of all that remained. In 815 the five great powers at the Congress of Vienna, from motives of policy, and not from a returning sense of justice, organized the city of Cracow, and a portion of the surrounding territory with a population of about 100,000 sends into a republic, under the protecpopulation of anont 100,000 soils into a requisite, under the protein tion of Austria, Russia, and Prussia with a guaranty of its inde-pendence in perpetuity. Russia pledged, herself at the same time to maintain her share of the spoil as the kingdom of Poland in unue and form, with a constitutional government. She kept her pledge seventeen years, and then, virtually incorporated it, as an integral part, into the Russian Empire. The little republic of Craeow was all that remained as a mounment of the dismembered Kingdom. A year ago it was obliterated as an independent State by the three A year ago it was contenued as an innependent state by the concerning agreet powers of eastern and northern Europe, in violation of their column guaranty, and assigned to Austria. The name of Poland, the fountion of so many hobbe and maintaing recollections, is no longer to be found on the map of Europe. The three quarters of luc lountain of so many noble and animating recollections, is no longer to be found on the map of Europe. The three quarters of a centure, which intervened from the inception to the consummation of this transaction, are not sufficient to conced, or even to obscure its true character. The very magnitude of the space over which it is spread, only serves to bring it out in bolder and darker relief from the pages of history.

If the United States, an the outgress of these usurpations, has it is the contraction of the states that discontinued and dissemblered in widoliton of ever value of roles and contraction to maintain the integrity of the States that discontinued and dissemblered in widoliton of ever value of roles are found as

dismembered in violation of every rule of right, and every sugges-tion of justice and lumanity, it is because we have been faithful, against all movements of sympathy, against the very instincts of nature to the principle of abstaining from all interference with the movements of European powers, which relate exclusively to the

condition of the quarter of the globe to which they belong. But, condition of the quarter of the globe to which they belong. But, when it is proposed or threatened to extend to this continent, and to ourselves a similar system of balances, with all its danger of abuse and usurpation, I hold it to be our duty to inquire on what

abuse and usurpation, I hold tto be our duty to inquire on what grounds it rests, that we may be prepared to resist all practical application of it to the independent States in this hemisphere. Mr. President, the declaration of M. Guizot could hardly have been made without the previous approbation of the government of been made without the previous approbation of the government of which he was the organ. The same sovereign occupies the throne of France—the same minister stands before it as the exponent his opinions. Is the declaration to be regarded as a mere jille ammunication in words of a design never intended to be carried into practice? Let me answer the question by the briefest possible reference to circumstances. France was the coadjutor of England in the attempt to induce Texas to decline annexation to the Union. Fulling in this, she attempted to accomplish the same object in-directly by persuading Mexico to recognize the independence of Texas on condition that the latter should remain an independent State. These terms were offered to Texas and rejected. In the year 1844, I believe less than twelve months before M. Guizof's declaration was made, (and the coincidence in point of time is redeciration was made, (and the coincidence in point of time is re-markable.) a book on Orecon and Childronia, was published in Paris, by order of the King of France, under the auspices of Marshall Soult, President of the Council, and M. Guitor, Minister of Foreign Affairs, and written by M. de Mofras, who was attached to the French legation in Mexico. The first part of the work is devoted to Mexico, and certainly contains some remarkable passages. speaks of the establishment of a European monarchy as a project which had been suggested as the only one calculated to put an ead to the divisions and annihilate the factions which desolated that beautiful country. He says the Catholic religion and family relations, with the ancient possessors of the country, would be the first conditions required of the princes, who should be called to reconstruct there a monarchical government. He then adds:

a monarchied government. He time adus:

"The infans of Spain, the Fench pinness, and the arch-dukes of Aostria fulfil these conditions, and we may affirm that from whichever quarter a competitor should present insuefi. he would be inaumonally welcomed by the Mexican position should present the state of the second present properties."

"The establishment in Mexico of a monarchy of any description whatever, using one adult leads, should be the fart dupter of our pulsey: for we know that the intability attacked to the actual form of its government, image with it deadwardates for our commerce, and inconverdences for our people."

our commerce, and unconversence tor our people."

He adds, that if Mexico is to preserve her republican form of government, her incorporation into the Union of the North would seem more favorable to Frame than her existing condition, on account of the development of commerce and all the guaranties of liberty, security, and justice, which his comparints would enjoy; and that England would lose, under such an order of things, what Prance would gain. Thus, though the dismenherment and absorption of Mexico by the United States, are regarded by Mc Mefras, as weekerable to the commercial monopole and the fisher. sorption of Mexico by the United States, are regarded by M. de Mufras, as preferable to the commercial monopoly and the "species of political sovereignty," as he denominates it, which England has exercised in that country, the first object of France, according to him, is a re-construction of monarely in Mexico, with a foreign to him, is a re-construction of monarely in Mexico, with a foreign to him, is a re-construction of monarely in Mexico, with a foreign to him, is a re-construction of monarely in Mexico, with a foreign to him, is a re-construction of monarely in the solid accordance of the monarely of the solid principles of the solid principles of the solid principles of the solid principles of his two chief ministers, and so stated in the title page. I do not mean to bold the owermant of France responsible for all the opinions contained in isters, and so stated in the title page. I do not mean to bold the government of France responsible for all the opinions contained in that work; but, can we believe that those I have quoted, concerning the property of the that work; but, can we believe that those I have quoted, concerning as they do so grave a subject as the international relations of France with Mexico, and of Mexico with the United States, would have been put forth without modification under such high efficial sanctions, if they had been viewed with positive disfavor? It appears to mer-that we are constrained to view them like the declaration of M. Gnizot, though certainly not to the same extent, as possessing an official character, which we are not at liberty wholy to disregard, and more particularly, when we consider the one is connected with the act.

ly to disregard, and more particularly, when we consider the one in comexion with the other.

And now, sir, I ask, do not these opinions and declarations, especially when we look to the open and direct interference of Great Britain and France, by force of arms, in the domestic affairs of some of the South\_American republies within the last two years, furnish a just ground of apprehension if we should retire from Mexico without a treaty and as enemies, that it might become a theatre for the exercise of influences of a most unfriendly character to us? With the aid of the monarchical party in Mexico, might there not be danger that the avowed design of establishing night there not no danger that the avoved uses of resamising a throne, might be realized? The chances of open interposition are unquestionably diminished by the results of the war; but I am constrained to believe the chances of secret interference are increased by the avidity they impute to us for territorial extension. Ought not this danger to influence to some extent, our own con-duct, at least, so far as to dissuade us from abandoning, until a thetter prospect of a durable peace shall exist, the advantage we have gained as belligerents? We know a great majority of the Mexican people are radically averse to any other than a republian experience are range any access to any other man a replana-cun form of government; but we know, also, the proneness of a people among whom anarchy reigns triumphant, to seek any refuge, which promises the restoration of tranquility and social or-

der.

Mr. President, any attempt by a European power to interpose in the affairs of Mexico, either to establish a monarehy, or to maintain, in the language of M. Guizot, "the equilibrium of the great political forces in America," would be the signal for a war

far more important in its consequences, and insecrutable in its issues than this. We could not submit to such interposition if we would. The public opinion of the country would compel us to resist it. We are committed by the most formal declarations, first made by President Monroe m1823, and repeated by the present Chief Megistrate of the Union. We have protested, in the most solenn manner, against any further colonization by European powers on this continent. We have protested against any interference in the political concerns of the independent States in this hemisphere. A protest, it is true, does not imply that the ground it assumes is to be maintained at all hazards, and if necessary of France in the adias of Spain in 1823; she has more recently protested against the absorption of Crucew by Austra as a violation of the political order of Europe, settled at Vienna by the allied sovereigns, and against the Montpensier marriage as a violation of the treaty of Utreeth; but I do not remember that in either case she did anything more than to proclaim to the world her dissent from the azaginst which she entered them protest. It has always seemed to me to be unwise in a government to put forth manifestos without being prepared to maintain them by sets, so rived for cultious them. The declarations of a President having no power to make war without a vite of Congress, or even to employ the unitary force of the country except to defead our own territory, is very different from the protest of a sovereign holding the issues of peace and war in his own hands. But the former may not be less effectual when they are sustained, as I believe those of Presidents Monroe and Polk are, in respect to European interference on the American continent, by an undivided public opinion, even though they may not have received a formal response from Congress. I hold, there-should take place, resistance on our part would inextibly follow, and we should become involved in controversies of which no man could forces the end.

Before I quit this part of the subject. I desire to advert to some circumstances recently made public, and, if true, indicating significantly the extent to which Great Britain is disposed to carry the encroachments on this continent, as in every quarter of the globe. On the coast of Honduras in Central America, commonly called the Musquito Coast, there is a tribe of Indians bearing the same name, numbering but a few hundred individuals, and inhabiting some niserable villages in the neighborhood of Cape Gracius a Dios, near the fifteenth parallel of north latitude. Several lunaring some niserable villages in the neighborhood of Cape Gracius a Dios, near the fifteenth parallel of north latitude. Several lunaring that the Cartheon Sea, a space of about two degrees of longitude, with the town of Nicaragua at its mouth and a cfstle or fortaboun timelay between the town and the lake. The lake is only fifteen leagues from the Pacific, and constitutes, with the river San Juan, one of the proposed lines for a ship canal across the IstImms. Great Britain has recently laid claim to the river San Juan and the town of Nicaragua, if she has not actually taken possession of the latter. I have seen a communication from the British Consultors at a the contraction of the count of the count

I understand, for I speak only from information, that Great Britian has for some time chimod to have bud the Magnites, a merenaled trike of Indians of a few hundred persons, under her protection. Through her indiance they appointed a king, who was taken to Belize, a British station on the bay of Yucatan, and there crowned. It is said, also, that on the decease of that king, he was found to have bequeathed his dominions to her Britanie majesty. It spapers to be certain that she has, under this pretence of protection, extended her dominion over an immense surface in Central America; that she has at least one vessel of war, the Sun, commanded by an officer bearing an English name, "Commodore Trotter, of the Mosquito navy," as he is styled in a letter written by the British consul at Bhenfield, and that she is still further they be British consul at Bhenfield, and that she is still further by the British consul at Bhenfield, and that she is still further they be British consul at Bhenfield, and that she is still further they be British consul at Bhenfield, and that she is still further by the British consul at Bhenfield, and that she is still further by the British consul at Bhenfield, and that she is still further by the British consult at Bhenfield, and that she is still further by the British consult at Bhenfield, and that she is still further by the British consultation of the British and the still she will be a british and the still she will be she because the she will be a british and the she will be she will be a british and the she will be a british and the she will be she will

of non-interference with the affairs of Mexico, if our forces were to

he withdrawn without a treaty.

There is another consideration which ought not to be overlooked, In July last, Lord George Beutinck made a motion for an address to her Britannie majesty praying hr to take such mensures as she to discuss the inclusives as you'very in the discussive in measures as sine might deem proper to secure the payment of the Spanish government bonds held by British subjects. Those bonds amount to about 380,000,000 of dollars, and on about 330,000,000 of the spanish, and on about 330,000,000 of the spanish, and including this debt nearly 630,000,000 of dollars, are due to British subjects by foreign government—a sum equal to about one-fifth of her national debt. He contended that "by the law of nations, from time immenorial, it has been held that the recevery of just debts is a lawful cause of war, if the country from which payment is due, refuses to listen to the claims of the country to whom money is owing." He quoted authorities of the country to whom money is owing." He quoted authorities to show that the payment of the debt, or the interest on it, might be enforced without having recourse to army, though asserting the right to resort to force to compelit. He referred to the rich colonies of Spain, and especially Cuba, to show that there was wealth enough in its annual produce and revenue "to pay the whole lebt due by Spain to British bond holders." He referred to the wealth consign in its annual produce and revenue. We pay now whose debt due by Spanito British bond holders. He referred to the naval force which Spain possessed to show that there would not be "any way of effective resistance." and that "the most finid minister" need not fear it. Having, in the course of his remarks, called the attention of the Ministor of Forcien Atlairs to the subject, Lord Palmerston, in responding to his call, entered into an extended statement in respect to the foreign debt due to British subjects. He drew a distinction between transactions by one governwith another, by British subjects with a foreign government by British subjects with the subjects of another government, and by British subjects with the subjects of another government, and between debts and acts of injustice and oppression. This distinction, however, he treated as matter of expediency and established practice. He assented to the doctrine hald down by the noble Lord, who made the motion for an address, and be said if it were the wise policy of England to lay down a rule that she would enforce obligations of this character, with the same rigor as those of a different character, she would have a full had tair right, necording to the laws of nations, to do so. And he concluded by saying that England had not refrained from taking the steps urged by his noble friend, because she was 'airaid of these State or all of them put together; that it was not to be supposed the British Parliament, or the British nation, would long remain patient under the wrong, and that they had ample power and means to obtain justice.

Beninek, and sanctioned by Lord Palmerston, though I believe it not perfectly clear that they can be maintained to the full extent, by an appeal to any well established principles of international law. You know, sir, that we have sometimes found British statesmen, even those holding places nearest to the throne, at fault, both in respect to matters of principle and matters of fact, though it is certainly but justice to concede to them the possession of more enlarged views of pelicy, combined with greater practical European sovereigns. I pass over also an offensive almost of the full bright of the contract of the throne, at state state state and the state of the contract of the throne, at state state of two or three of the states of this Union to pay their debts, "as a stain upon the national character," (I use his own language) when it is well known that the suspension of payment was temporary, and from overruling necessity; that in most instances resumption has taken place, and, that, in all the most earnest efforts have been made to resume the discharge of their obligations. This imputation was cast upon us at the moment of cultural surplus to feed the famished population of Ireland, not merely in the way of commercial exchange, but in the form of continuous surplus to seed the famished population of Ireland, not merely in the way of commercial exchange, but in the form of the or months been draining our banks of specie, to send abroad to meet their own pecuniary obligations, while they have been unable to draw on their debtors in England for the proceeds of the livead foreign States enumerated in a report, on which the motion of Lord George Bentinek was founded. What is the extent of her important fact that Mexico was unong the indebted foreign States enumerated in a report, on which the motion of Lord George Bentinek was founded. What is the extent of her important and the creditors is not provided to a portion of the part of the proceeds of the limited domain in California was mortagade to the creditors is

indebtedness I do not know, but I understand about seventy milhous of dollars—and I believe it was but recently that the public
domain in California was mortgaged to the creditors for a portion
of this amount, though the lien is now said to be discharged.
I appeal to honorable Senators to say, with these facts before
necording to Lodd Palmerston, the British government has only
abstained from practically enforcing through more considerations
of policy—whether if our forces were withdrawn from Mexico, and
that country should become a prey to the anarchy and confusion
which has reigned there so long, and which if renewed would, in
all probability, become universal and hopeless—whether. I say,
there would not be a temptation too strong to be resisted, to reduce the principle thus proclaimed to practice—whether some portion of the Mexicana territory might not be occupied as a guarant
was descent to the control of the control of the control
and the control of the control of the control of the control
and I do not mean to say that this consideration, if it stood
above, should absolutely control our conduct. But as auxiliary to
the graver considerations to which I have referred, it appears to
me that it may properly be allowed some weight—enough, sirperhaps, to trun the scale, if it were already balanced—though. I

think, there is sufficient, without it, to incline us decisively to the

side of continued occupation.

Besides, British subjects have other extensive pecuniary interests in Mexico; they have large commercial establishments and
heavy investments of eapital in the mining districts. If the politiestablishment is provided in the provided in

of collision between ber and us.

Mr. President, in what I have said in respect to the danger of foreign interposition, I have not relied upon the ephemeral opinions of the day, or on opinions expess may be supposed to be connected with governments, as the organs of the views which it is deemed advisable to throw out, from time to time, to the public consideration or guidance. I have resorted to no irresponsible sources. I have presented opinions and declarations profisiand with more or less of official sanction, and for the most part, with the highest. I mean the declarations of ministers, speaking for their governments to the popular body, and as the responsible ordering for their governments to the popular body, and as the responsible ordering to endorse, or erigins, holding, what they proclaim. How far these declarations of ministers, is a question on which we may not all agree.—
But it appears to me that it would be a great error in statesmanish to treat them as wholly unworthy do nor consideration. Jealousy of our increasing power, commercial rivalry, political interests, all combine to give them importance. If is the province of a wise forecast to provide as far as possible, that these adverse influences shall be ease to new, throw wide open all the accuses for their admittance—one power for commercial monopoly, and the other for political control—and perhaps impose on us the difficult and dangerous task of removing evils, which a proper vigilance might have prevented.

It may be, Mr. Pessident, that we shall have an early poace, I sincerely hope so. In this case, we must withdraw from Mexico: and it may, perhaps, be said that the dangers I have referred to a slikely to result from our absence at the present moment, may possibly be realized. These dangers, wintever they may be, we must inear whenever she shall tender us a peace, which we ought to accept. But there is a wide difference between retring as beingerents and enemies without a treaty, and as friends under an anicable arrangement, with solemn obligations on both sides to keep the peace. In the former case, probably one of the first acts of Mexico would be to reassemble her army, and her government might fall under the control of her military leaders. In the latter, amicable relations being restored, and military forces being unsersessing that the second of the superior of the second of the state of the state

common tranquillity of both countries.

In the references I have made to France and Great Britain, I have been actuated by no feeling of unkindness or bostility to either. Rapid and wide-spread as has been the progress of the latter, we have never sought to interfere with it. She holds one-third of the North American continent. She has established her dominion in the Bernaudas, the West Indies, and in Guiana, on South American continent. She holds belieze on the bay of Yneatan, in North America, with a district of about 14,000 square miles, if we may trust her own geographical delineations.

We see her in the occupation of territories in every quarter of the gloke, vastly, inordinately extended, and still ever extending berself. It is not easy to keep pace with her encroachments. A few years ago the Indus was the western boundary of her Iddina empire. She has passed it. She has overrum Alfghanistan and Breidochistant, though I believes she has temporarily withdrawn from the former. She stands at the gates of Persia. She has discussed the policy of passing Persia, and making the Tigris her western boundary in Asia. One stride more would place her upon the shores of the Mediterranean; and her armies would no longer find their way to had by the circumavigation of Africa. Indeed, she has now, to lath by the circumavigation of Africa. Indeed, she has now, portation of troops and manitions of war, alon, except he transfer the cast. Her steamers of the largest class run from England to Lexa. It is not only the circumavigation of the decision of the cast. Her steamers of the largest class run from England to Cairo, at the head of the Red Sea; from Suez her steamers of the largest class run from England to Suez, at the head of the Red Sea; from Suez her steamers of the largest class run from England to Suez, at the lend of the Red Sea; from Meannian of the Red Sea; from Suez her steamers of the largest class run to Aden, to Cepton, and from Cepton to China. She has raised her standard beyond it. She has cancred the confines of the celestial empire. She has gained a permanent foothout within it, and who that knows her, can believe that pretexts food within it, and who that knows her, can believe that pretexts for emmerce mainly that she is thus adding to the Thought of the proper and extended empire is one of the ellicient principles of her power and extended empire is one of the ellicient principles of her power and extended empire is one of the ellicient principles of her power and extended empire is one of the ellicient principles of her power and extended empire is one of the ellicient principles of her

Nor is it by peaceful means that she is thus extending herself, be propagates commerce, as Mahommedians propagated religion, by fire and sword. If she negotiates, it is with fleets and armics at the side of her umbrasadors in order, to uge the language of her duplomacy, "to give force to their representations." She is essentially and emimently a military power, unequalled on the sea and unsurpassed on the land. Huppity, the evidication, which distinguished her at homes, goos with her and oblitrates some of the

bloody traces of her march to unlimited empire.

Much less has any unkind feeling dietated my reference to Frauce. Our relations with her bave usually been of the most friendly character. From the foundation of our government there has existed, on our side, a strong feeling of sympathy in her prospectives and her misfortunes, which no temporary interruption of our friendship has been able to eradicate. There is reason for this feeling: it would not have been creditable to us as a people if it had proved a transient sentiment. She stood forth, at a critical escential service by her cooperation and aid. The swords of Washington and Lafayette were unsheathed on the same battle fields. Our waters and our plains have been criticalous distributions and Lafayette were unsheathed on the same battle fields. Our waters and our plains have been criticalous of with the generous blood of France. The names of Rochambeau, De Grassey, and D'Estaing are identified with our struggle for freedom. They have become in some degree American, and we give them to our children as names to be remembered for the gallant deeds of those who hore them. It is not surprising, under such circumstances, which has been on the land: and with a large portion of our people, if the wish has not been "parent," it has, at least, been companion "to the hought," For this reason the declaration of M. Guizot was considered, independently of all views of right, as peculiarly ungracious, and as a demonstration of leeling totally inconsistent with the ancient friendship by which the two countries have been united. I have never helicavel it to be in accordance with the seatiments of the French people. And so strong has been any reliance on their right pidgment and feeling that I confess I have been on their right pidgment and feeling that I confess I have thought it not unlikely that an interposition in our guitation of the first proper in the decisive interposition at lone against its authors.

I reject—I have spoken in no spirit of unkindness either toward

I repeat—I have spoken in no spirit of unkindness either toward Great Britain or France. I desire nothing but friendship with them—close, cordial, constant, mutually beneficial friendship. I speak of them historically, as they exist and exhibit themselves to

speak of their instortearly, its they exist and extended interestical the eyes of the civilized would be probable consequences of retiring from Mexico as they are likely to affect our political relations with her and possibly with other States. I now turn, for a single moment only, to a different class of considerations—I mean considerations arising out of our claims to indemnity for injuries. Although the war was not commenced to secure it, this is one of the axowed objects for which it has been prosecuted. Shall we abandon the position we have taken, and leave this object maccomplished? Shall we not rather retain what we have acquired until our just claims are satisfied? To do otherwise, would be to have incurred an enormous expenditure of treasure and blood to no purpose—to have prosecuted the war till we had the means of internality news. Such a course seems to me utterly irreconcileable either with justice to ourselves or with sound policy. If I am not mistaken in the views I have expressed, it would be an abandonment of indemnity without getting rid of the war, on which we must now rely to procure it. These considerations do not apply to the policy suggested by the honorable Senator from South Carolina. He proposes to take indemnity into our own hands by occupying a portion of northern or central Mexico and holding it without a treaty. My remarks are only applicable to the policy of withdrawing from Mexico altogether and leaving the adjustment of little reaction.

Having thus declared myself in favor of the occupation of Mexico until she shall consent to make peace, I deem it proper to say in connexion with this subject, that I have been uniformly cipposed, and that I am still opposed to all schemes of conquest for the acquisition of territory. In this respect, I concer in what the Senator from South Carolina has said, and for nearly the same reasons. I am opposed to all such schemes, because they would be incompatible with justice and sound policy; and because, if successful, they would be unterly subversive of the fundamental principle of our political system, resting as it does, on a voluntary association of free and independent States. I have been uniformly in favor of the most energeting as it does, on a voluntary association of free and independent States. I have been uniformly in favor of the most conceptual consistent with the principles of justice or the usages of evilized States. In the prosecution of a war undertaken to procure a reduces of injuries, the territories or property of an enemy may be soized for the express purpose of compelling him to do justice. More may be taken than would constitute a fair indemnity for actual injuries, provided it be done with the intention of restoring tensifications. It is in this spirit, and with this intention that my co-operation has been given to the vigorous proceeding that war. We

have a right to insist on a fair boundary; we may exact indemnity for injuries; we may demand indemnification for the expenses of for injuries; we may demand indemnification for the expenses of the war, if we please. But here all right cases; and if, when this is conceded, we have more on our hands, we are hound, on every principle of law and good conscience to make restruction. It is admitted, But all hands, that she is ineapable of indemnifying us in many be useful which has not the she in the property of the property of many be useful thous. I have always bear in flower of sequinification in the property of the property of the property of the property of appeared to not consider the property of the property appeared to me very desirable on account of its ports on the Pa-cific. I have uniformly voted for acquiring it, when the proposi-tion has came before us. I believe on the first occasion, I was in a minority of ten or eleven. My opinion is unchanged, I mise in a minority of the proposition of the proposition of the proposition of rations, become forever detached from Mexico. If it were to be abandoned by us, its forty thousand inhabitants would, undoubtedly, establish an independent government for themselves, and they would maintain it if undistincted by foreign interference. I take the actual condition of things as I find it, and with an earnest de-sire to fulfial all the obligations it devolves on us in a spirit of jus-tice towards Mexico and towards the spirit of just-tice towards in relation to the influence of war on our political line has said in relation to the influence of war on our political

I concert also in what the monitories established to an our political institutions. No man can deplore it under any eircumstances, more than myself. Independently of the evils which it always brings in its strain, there are considerations connected with our political organization and the nature of our social progress, which render it doubly pernicious in its tendencies. The final success of render it doubty permicious in its fendencies. In the mai success of the experiment we are making in free government depends, in some degree, on a steady maintenance of the spirit of peace, in which our political system had its origin, and in which it has thus far been administered. Great as is our capacity for war, our whole scheme of government is averse to it. The greatest possible economy in expenditure; the least possible patronage in the hands economy to expenditure; the least possine patronage in the nanos of the Executive; the smallest pecuniary exactions from the people, consistent with our absolute wants; the absence of all demands on the public treasury, which call for unusual contributions of revenue or promote excessive disbursements; the exemption of the country from all exigencies which devolve on the legislative and executive departments of the government the exercise of extraordioacts wanter than the properties of the contributions under which the traordinary powers—these are the conditions under which the ends of our political organization are most likely to be fulfilled. Sir, none of these conditions belong to a state of war. Extravagant disbursements; extraordinary contributions of revenuesent or prospective—present, in augmented burdens of taxation—prospective in the shape of loans and anticipations of income, leading ultimately to taxation; extraordinary powers summarily and sometimes arbitrarily exercised—these are the inseparable companions of war; and they are inimical to the very genius of

There are considerations, which, in my judgment, render a war with Mexico peculiarly unfortunate, and which justify all the efforts we have made to bring it to an amicable termination. We are mutually engaged in carrying out on this continent the experi-ment of free-government, which in all other ages has proved aborment of free-government, which in all other ages has proved abor-tive. We are trying it under eminently auspicious circumstances. We have no strong governments around us, founded upon antago-nist principles and adverse in their example and influence to the success of ours. We are sustained by the faculty of popular repsuccess of ours. We are sustained by the faculty of popular rep-resentation, which was unknown, or at least imperfectly known, to the free States of antiquity, and by force of which we have been canabled to carry out, on geographical acres of indefinite ex-tent, an organization which had previously been deemed applica-ble only to communities of limited population and territory. It is natural, under these circumstances, that the friends of free govern-ment, wherever they are to be found, should turn to us as the last hope of liberal institutions. They look to us for examples of modenope of liberal districtions. A new rook to do not example to more ration and forbearance in our intercourse with foreign nations—especially those having forms of government analogous to our essecially those having forms of government analogous to our personal for on exemption from the evil passions which have embroiled the nations of the Old World, and involved them, entury after century, with brief intermissions, in wars of ambition and revenge. In asserting the superiority of our own form of government, the strength of the argument will be weak-ened, if we shall be found no more exempt than those which are elses popular, from strife and contention with neighboring States. Regarding the success of our institutions as affecting deeply the welfare of our race, and vindicating the competency of manking to self-government, I have always esteemed it peculiarly unfortuse that any cause of allentation should have existed of sufficient nate that any cause of alienation should have existed of sufficient magnitude to induce the two principal republics of the western hemisphere to turn their arms against each other. The cause of liberal government is injured, and far more deeply injured than it has been by the dissention of the republies in the southern portion of the American continent.

These are considerations which it were well for us always to keep in view-in peace, that we may not rush hastily into war; in war, that we may spare no honorable effort for a restoration

There is yet another consideration of a kindred character. While There is yet another consideration of a Rimirea canaracter. When the monarchies of Europe are at peace with each other, and social improvement is advancing, on the continent at least, with unparalleld rapidity, almost the only wars now waging among neighboring States are between us and Mexico, between some of the South American republies. I desire, as much as

any one can, to see these dissentions composed, and to see these republican States resume the fulfilment of their great mission among the nations—the maintenance of the principles of political liberty and the cultivation of the arts of civilization and

In these views I concur with the Senator from South Carolina.

In these views the concur with the scalar from South Carolina. But here I am constrained to separate from him. When we come to practical measures, our paths lie wide apart. It is for these very reasons I have just stated that I cannot assent to the policy he proposes. I believe it calculated to prolong the war-not to terminate it; to keep alive the spirit of animosity which divides us from Mexico, instead of restoring the friendly re which divides us from Mexico, instead of restoring the friendly re-lations which ought to exist between us. I am in favor, then, of standing as we are. And, sir, if she shall refuse to make peace; if if we must continue in the occupation of her capital and three-fourths of her territory, it may be in the order of Providence that we shall, through this very necessity, become the instruments of her political and social regeneration. In the party conflicts which distract her, the means may be found of cosmolating be government on a republican basis, of healing her dissensions, and of uni-ting her to us in bends of friendship by an exercise of magnanimity and forbearance in the final adjustment of our difficulties with her I believe even now something of the salutary influence of our pres-ence in her capital and principal sea-ports, begins to be felt. The abolition of transit duties: the reduction of the imposts on foreign abolition of transit dities: the reduction of the imposts on foreign articles of necessity and convenience; and a freer commerce among the Mexican states, may, if continued, strike a fatal blow at the anti-commercial system by which her people have been oppressed, and the internal abuses by which her rillers have grown rich—a aystem of maladministration not even equalled by that which exists in old Spain. The higher improvement in government, in the arts, and in civilization under all its forms, which distinguishes our own people, may, by force of actual contact, be communicated to the Mexicans, and lay the foundation of an improved social order. Melancholy as the reflection is, it is nevertheless true, that civilization, and even christianity, have sometimes been propagated by arms where they would otherwise have been hopelessly excluded. Thus, the year presents a which have been hopelessly excluded. propagated by arms where they would otherwise have been hope-lessly excluded. Thus, the very passions which scem fitted only to desolate human society, may, in the hands of Providence, be-come the agents of its advancement. Let us, then, hope and trust that the contest in which we are engaged with a neighboring power, deplorable as we all consider it, may be an instrument of social and political amelioration to our adversary.

of social and political ametioration to our adversary.

The Senator from South Carolina has said in his emphatic language that we are "tied to a corpse." It is a striking figure, Mr. President, and partakes strongly of the boldness in which the illustrations of that distinguished Senator are always conceived. Moxtrations of that distinguished senator are aways conceived. Ada-tion is, indeed, prostrate—almost politically inanimate, if you please —under the oppressions which have been heaped upon her, year after year, by unserupulous rulers. But I should be sorry to be-lieve her beyond the power of resuscitation, even by human means. I do not expect, as our contact with her becomes more intimate, to see her, like the dead body touched by the bones of the prophet, spring put main the bound to life and strength. But I help observed by the property of the pro no direct interference with her government-no permanent system of protection to be exercised over it—no alliance with her beyond what may be necessary to secure to us the objects of peace. But I do contemplate a treaty, stipulating for commercial arrange-1 to contempate a treaty, supranting or commercial arrange-ments, for protection and security to our own citizens in their future intercourse with her, and no withdrawal of our forces without it, at least until all chance of obtaining one shall prove hopeless. If we were to trein now, all commerce between her and us would be cease and be trien now, all commerce between her and us would be a line cease and be transferred to our rivus, our fronter would be a me of war, not a boundary between peaceful neighbors, and unless the tide of conquest should be poured back upon her under the provecations such a condition of our relations would almost necessarily superinduce, no citizen of the United States could be expected for superinduce, no citizen of the United States could be expected for years to come, to plant his foot on Mexican soil. War dissolves the political and commercial relations of independent States, so far as they rest upon voluntary agreement. It is only by a treaty of peace that they can be revived or new relations be substituted for the old

Mr. President: Advocating as I do the occupation of Mexico until she shall consent to make peace, it may be incumbent on me to state in what manner I think it can be best maintained. And here I must say, I think the estimates of the effective force in the field here I must say, I think the estimates of the effective force in the field have been greatly overstated. I propose no specific plan for adoption. I leave all practical measures in the hands of those to whom they belong. I only purpose to state what suggests itself to my mind, as advisable. I think we should find it most advantageous to remain much as we are, excepting to occupy such ports on the Pacific as our fleet may reduce and maintain as commercial avenues to the interior. I I may, however, become necessary to occupy San Luis Potosi and Zacatecas for the protection of the mining operations in those States and the agricultural districts near the city of Mexico to command supplies for the army. I should consider a supplied of the control of the superpose of maintaining positions keeping open communications from the coast to the interior, and dispersing the enemy's troops if they shall be re-embodied; but in order to keep up such a force we should require a nominal organi-

vation of at least forty thousand men, with full thirty thousand zation of all least forty thousand mee, with full thirty thousand under pay. The general staff, the twenty-five regiments of regulars now in service, and the ten new regiments proposed by the bill, will nearly constitute such a force, and when the latter shall be raised and brought into the field, a portion of the volunteers may be destarged, if it shall be found prudent to do so. Many of the regiments are greatly reduced in numbers, and, as I miderstand, are ansious to return home. I doubt now whether there are more than twenty-five thousand effective men in all Mexico, though the rolls show over forty thousand. (General Case, Chairman of the Committee on Military Affairs, here said, the Adjutant General was of opinion that they did not exceed 21,000.) Some of the returns, on which the Adjutant General's report is founded, are of as early a date as Ampst last. If will be recolledered that last summer, a date as August last. It will be recollected that last summer when there was great anxiety in relation to General Scott, state when there was great anxiety in relation to General Scott, state-ments of the number of his troops were published here—they were founded on the returns in the Adjutant General's office, and in his official report of the battles before the city of Mexico, General Scott complained that his force had been greatly overstated. He said it had been "trebled" in these returns, if I recollect rightly and that the army had been "disjusted" by the exaggeration. The returns of the army now should, in like manner, be subjected to great deductions in order to obtain the real effective force. If the great deductions in order to obtain the real effective force. If the ten regiments proposed by the bill are authorized, months will be required make proposed by the bill are authorized, months will be required make them. The proposed by the continuous of the months of the fixed the months of the fixed the months of honorable termination.

As hostilities are now suspended, the chief province of the army will As nosumes are now as peaded, the enter province of the army will be to maintain internal tranquillity, support the civil authorities in the execution of the laws, to free the country from the robber and guerrilla bands by which it is infested, and subserve the great purposes of government by affording security to liberty, property and life—a security the Mexicans have not often fully enjoyed. The very exercise of these beneficent agencies will tend to disarm hostility toward us with the thinking portion of the population. It will place our armies in a most favorable contrast with hers, which have been scourges rather than protectors to their own countrymen. I would, if possible, have no more bloodshed. I would make our armies the protectors, not the enemies, of the Mexican people, and render them subservient to the eradication of abuses and to the institution of a better civil administration under Mexican magistrates, abstaining from all interference with the traine of the government, and changing in its action only what, by universal consent, requires to be changed. If this course were to be adopted and steadily pursued, I should carnestly hope its effect would be at no distant time to make the capital, nuder our protec-tion, the centre of an influence, which would lead to the re-estab-lishment of the Tederative system on a durable basis, and give to that distracted country the settled order, which is alone necessary

to make her happy and prosperous.

To abandon the City of Mexico would I fear put an end to all To abandon the City of Mexico would I fear put an ead to all these prospects and hopes. That city is the political, as well as the financial centre of the Republic. It is there governments have been instituted and deposed, armires levied, revenue systems devised and certical into execution. So long as we hold it and control the adjoining districts. I believe nothing but impredence or mismanagement can raise up a formidable opposition to us. If we abandon it, all the fresurees of the country, which it commands, will again be at the control of its rulers, to be employed against us in the renewal of active hostilities. Before it was captured, energetic movements seemed to me our true policy. Now that it is in our undesputed possession, our leading object should be, to introduce better commercial and financial systems, and let them work out under our protection, their bestiming results. them work out under our protection, their legitimate results.

them work out under our protection, their legitimate results.
Great qualities are necessary in him, who is charged with the
execution of these delicate and responsible functions. He should have prudience, self-central, a knowledge of civil affairs, of the
country, of the people, and their character, and, if possible, their
language. Established institutions, existing usagers, sometimes
repeated, which has been respected. Some of the most disastrons
repeated, which has been repeated. Some of the most disastrons
repeated, which has been repeated. Some of the most disastrons
repeated, which has been repeated. Some of the most disastrons
repeated in the state of the properties of the prorigin in violations of the prevailed of the prople. To avoid this fatal error, everytoms and feelings of the people. To avoid this fatal error, everytoms and feelings of the peotion and weakon of the direction and write.

pie. Lo avoid this fatal error, everything depends on the discre-tion and wisdom of the directing authority.

It may be that all reasonable expectations will be disappointed,
that the heatility of Mexico will prove unappeasable, that she will
prefer the political disorganization, which now exists, to an unicable arragement with us. It so circumstants. prefer the pointeau disorganization, which now exists, to an amnea-ble arrangement with us. If so, circumstances must dietate the course to be pursued when this conviction shall be forced on us. But, sir, let us not adopt such a conclusion hastily. Let us rely on the influence of rational motives to give us peace.

And now, sir. I submit whether this course had not better be

And now, sr. i. summit whether this course had not better be pursued for a while, if I an right in supposing the temporary oc-cupation of Mexico, under discreet officers, may lead to a stable peace, rather than to withdraw our forces, and leave the adjust-ment of difficulties to the uncertain, change of a restoration of a responsible government, to be terminated at last, perhaps, by the iewed arbitrament of arms

I have thus stated with frankness the views I entertain in respect

to the future conduct of the war. Notwithstanding the anxious conto the future conducted the war. Notwinstationing are consideration I have given to the subject, they may be erroneous. It is a question of great difficulty, on which differences of opinion may well exist, and on which a mistaken course of policy may lead to the most unpleasant consequences. Whatever faith I may the way the most support of the opinions. Where we present many the content of the opinions. Where we present of the content of the opinions. Where we need to the content of the content of the content of the opinions. It was also also the content of the content that, from the very contrariety and conflict of thought and convic-

tion, valuable deductions may sometimes be drawn.

It is president, I leef that I have already trespassed on the indulgence of the Senate too long; but I am unwilling to close without asking its attention for a very few moments to some con siderations connected with our future growth and progress, and with the influence we must, in spite of ourselves, exert over the destinies of Mexico. They are no new opinions: they have been expressed years ago, both in public and private.

ir. no one who has paid a moderate degree of attention to the laws and elemetrs of our increase, can doubt that our population is destined to spread itself across the American continent, filling up, with more or less completeness, according to attraction of soil and climate the space that intervenes between the Atlantic and racine country. This eventual, and, perhaps, in the order of time, this not very distant extension of our settlements over a tract of country, with a constraint of the country with the country of distant extension of un'extrements over a fract of country, with a diameter, as we go westward, greatly disproportioned to its whole extent of our northern flank, from New Brunswick to the point where the northern bundary of Oregon touches the Pacific, we are in contact with British colonists having, for the most part, the same common origin with ourselves, but controlled and moulded succession common origin with ourserves, but controlled and modified by political influences from the costern hearts price in or adverse, certainly not decidedly friendly to us. The strongest tie which can be relied not bind us to mutual offices of friendship and good neighborhood, is that of commerce; and this, as we know, is any to run into rivalry, and sometimes becomes a fruitful source of alienation.

From our northern boundary we turn to our southern. races are to border on ns here, what is to be their social and politi-cal character, and what their means of annoyance? Are our two eal character, and what their means of annoyance? Are our two frontiers, only seven parallels of latitude apart when we pass Texas, to be flanked by settlements having no common bond of union with ours? Our whole southern line is conterminous, throughout its whole extent, with the territories of Mexico, a large throughout its whole extent, with the territories of Mexico, a large portion of which is nearly unpopulated. The geographical area of Mexico is about 1,700,000 square miles, and her population something more than 7,000,000 souls. The whole northern and central portion, taking the 26th parallel of lattitudes she dividing line; containing more than 1,200,000 square miles, has about 750,000 inhabitants, about two inhabitants in the containing more than 1,200,000 square miles. The sonthern portion with less than 1,200,000 square miles. The sonthern portion with less than 1,200,000 square miles. The sonthern portion with less than 1,200,000 square miles. The sonthern portion with less than 1,200,000 square miles. The sonthern portion will be something the sonthern portion with less than 1,200,000 square miles. The sonthern portion will be something the sonthern portion s, which occupy and overrun a portion of California and New Mexico, must there, as everywhere else, give way to the advancing wave of civilization, either to be overwhelmed by it, or to be driven upon perpetually contracting areas, where from a diminution of their accustomed sources of subsistence, they must soon become extinct by force of an invincible law. We see must soon become extinct by force of an invincible law. We see the operation of this law in every portion of this control it, if we would. It is the behest of have no power to control it, if we would. It is the behest of Providence that idleness and ignorance, and barbarism, shall give place to industry and knowledge, and civilization. The European and mixed races, which possess Mexico, are not likely, either from moral or physical energy, to become formidable rivals or enemies The bold and courageous enterprise, which overan and conquered Mexico, appears not to have descended to the present possessor of the soil. Either from the influence of climate or the admixture of races, (the fusion of eastes, to use the technical phrase,) the conquerors have, in turn, become the conquered. The ancient Castilian energy is, in a great degree, subdued; and it has given place, with many other noble traits of the Spanish character, to a peculiarity, which seems to have marked the race in that country under whatever combinations it is found—a promeness to eivil discord, and a suicidal waste of its own strength.

discord, and a suicidal waste of its own strength.
With such a territory and such a people on our southern border, what is to be the inevitable course of empire? It needs no pawers of prophecy to furctell. Sir, I desire to speak plainly. Why should we not, when we are discussing the operation of moral physical lines, which are beyond our control? As our pepting the properties of the prop and payseed laws, which are beyond our control? As our population moves westward on our own territory, portions will cross our southern houndary. Settlements will be formed within the unnecapied and sparsely peopled territory of Mexice. Lucengenial shaits and tastes, differences of political opinion and principle, and numberless other clements of divorsity will lead to a septlement of divorsity will lead to a seppreson numerics offer comeans of diversity will fend to a separation of these newly formed solveites from the inefficient government of Mexico. The will not endure to be hold in subjection to a system, which neither yields them protection, nor afters any incentive to their proper development and growth. They will form independent States on the basis of constitutions identical in all their leading features with our own; and they will naturally seek to unite their fortunes to ours. The fate of California is alseek to unite their fortunes to ours. seek to unite their fortunes to ours. The late of Canfornia is airready sealed; it can never be re-united to Mexico. The operation of the great causes, to which I have alluded, must, at no distant day, detach the whole of northern Mexico from the southern portion of that regulitic. It is for the very reason that Mexico is incapable of defending her possessions against the elements of disorder within and the progress of better influences from without, that I desire to see the inevitable political change, which is to be wrought in the condition of her northern departments, brought about without any improper interference on our part. I do not speak of our military movements. I refer to the time when our dilinelities with her shall be left to the operation of parelle influences—silent, but more powerful than the arm of force. For shall be heated, and when she shall be left to the operation of parelle influences—silent, but more powerful than the arm of force. For posed to all schemes of compact. Acquisition by force is the vice of arbitrary governments. I desire never to see it the reproach of ours, For the sake of the pational bonor, as well as the permanency of our political institutions, I desire not to see it. The extension of our good parelled institutions, I desire not to see it. The extension of our good parelled institutions, I desire not to see it. The extension of our good parelled institutions, I desire not to see it. The extension of our good parelled in the progress of our population across the continent. All, defferson, with his prophetic breast, foretold of our people to the Pacific. He foresaw them forming new set tlements, and, when strong enough to maintain themselves, organizing independent societies and governing themselves by constitutions and laws analagous to our own. It is true, he believed the area of freedom might he enlarged, advantageously to constitutions and laws analagous to our own. It is true, he believed the area of freedom might he industry and the triumph of great principles of political right, to which his philosophical mind industry the speak of the progress and the triumph of great principles of political right, to which his philosophical mind industry the progress and the triumph of great principles of contract with the progress

One position we have assumed, and I trust it will be maintained with inflexible firmness, that no power beyond this continent can be permitted to interfere with our progress, so long as there is on our part no violation of its own rights. I would resist, at the outset, as matter of the gravest offence, all indications of such interference. If the abstract right could be asserted on grounds of international law, there has been nothing in the nature of our extension, or the means by which it has been accomplished, to warrant sown, or the means by which it has been accomplished; to warrant can be also as the progress of a century, military power—brute force—has had no agency in the conquests we have achieved. We have overrun no provinces or countries abounding in wealth. Our capital has witnessed no triumphal entires of returning armies bearing with them the spoils and trophics of conquest. Our ships have overrun no provinces or countries abounding in wealth. Our capital has witnessed no triumphal entires of returning armies bearing with them the spoils and trophics of conquest. Our ships have not been seen returning from subjugated districts, freighted with the tributes of an extended commerce. In the extension of our theoretic contents of the content of the progress. Our enerce thus far has been stained by no such companionship with evil. Our conquests have been the peuceful achievements of enterprise and industry—the one leading the way into the wilderness, the other following and completing the acquisition by the formal symbols of occupancy and possession. They have looked to no objects beyond the conversion of uninhabited wilds much be found and of labor. If no the progress of our people westward, they shall occupy territories not now our own, but to become ours by amended arrangements with the governments to which they have looked the part which, in the decorate of the matter to follow undusturble of the nations of the earth shall venture to stand f

but which are here sliently and tranquilly incorporated into our system, ceasing to be principles of disturbance as they attain the greater freedom, which was the object of their separation from less congenial combinations in other quarters of the globe. Nay more. It is into the vast reservoir of the western wilderness, teeming with fruitluness and ferrility, that Europeis constantly pouring, under our protection, her human surplusses, unable to draw from her own bosom the elements of their support and reproduction.—She is literally going along with us in our march to prosperity and power, to share with us in its triumphs and its fruits. Happily, this continent is not a legitimate theatre for the political arrangements of the sovereigns of the costeriar Hemisphere. Their arrangements of the sovereigns of the costeriar Hemisphere. Their subserving republies as interest or caprice may dictate. But political justice demands that in one quarter of the globe self-government, freedom, the arts of peace, shall be permitted to work out, numolested, the great purposes of human civilization.

Mr. President: I trust there will be nothing in the final adjust-

Mr. President: I traft there will be nothing in the final adjustment of our difficulties with Mexico to impair, in any degree, the moral of our example in the past. Our course, heretofore, his been one of perpetual exercition to bring about an amicable arrangement with her. I trust we shall persevere in the same course of conduct, whatever anwillingness she may exhibit to come to terms. Entertaining the opinions which I have expressed, I naturally feel a deep solicitude, as an American citizen, that our public conduct should comport with the digarty of the part we politics. I desire to see our good name unsallied, and the character we have gained for moderation, justice and scrupulonsess in the discarce of our good angular obligations, maintained unimpaired. In these let us be assured our great strength consists; for it is these which make us strong in the opinion of man-

In what I have said concerning the progress of our people over the unpopulated regions west of us, and in respect to our responsibilities as a nation, I trust I shall have incurred to imputation of inconsistency. On the contrary, I trust I shall be considered consistent in all I have said. I regard our extension, as I have endeavoured to receive the properties of the inevitable result of causes, the operation of the inevitable result of causes, the operation of the properties of the properties

In advocating a continued occupation of the cities and territury we have acquired in Mexico, until she shall assent to reasonable terms of peace, I trust also that I shall be deemed consistent with myself. Deprecating war as the greatest of calamities, especially for us, I desire to see this war brought to a close at the earliest practicable day. I am in flavour of whatever measures are most likely to accomplish this desirable end. I am opposed to an abandonment of our position.

nkely to accomplish this desirator clut. I am opposed to an abandonment of our positive is the state of the state of the state of the consistence as an independent state, or make her take refuge in the arms of despotism;

2d. Because it might lead to external interference in her affairs of

the most dangerous tendency both to her and us; and
3d. Because I fear that we should only gain a temporary suspension of hostilities, to be renewed under great disadvantages to
us, and with every prospect of a longer and more sanguinary

contest.

Mr. President: It is this last consideration which weighs most heavily upon my own mind. I hold it to be indispensable to the heavily upon my own mind. I hold it to be indispensable to the termination of this contest, a solid and stable should have, at the termination of this contest, a solid and stable can be as the condition of things seems at the present moment, my long still is, that firmness tempered with prudence, will give us, not a mere outward pacification with secret irritation rankling within substantial concord and firendship, which shall leave no wound unhealed. We should be satisfied with nothing short of an accommodation of differences, which will enable the country with confidence to the stable with the control of the co

to which, by the inexorable law of our conductor, we must now to prosperity and safety.

My advice then, (if I may presume to advise,) is to stand firm, belding ourselves ready at all times to make peace, and carrying into our negotiations for that purpose a determination to cement a future good understanding with our adversary, by an adjustment of our differences on terms of justice, moderation, and magnanimity.

Mr. PHELPS indicated a wish to address the Senate, but the hour being late,

On metion,

The Senate adjourned.

# THURSDAY, JANUARY 27, 1848.

#### REPORTS FROM DEPARTMENTS

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a report of the Secretary of State, made agreeably to law, with an abstract of returns of American seamen registered during the year ending the 30th September, 1847; which was ordered to be printed.

Also, a report of the Secretary of War, made agreeably to law, showing the expenditures for the contingent expenses of the military establishment, during the year 1847; which was ordered to be printed.

#### PRTITIONS

Mr, UNDERWOOD presented the memorial of the Board of Managers of the American Indian Mission Association, praying the adoption of measures for improving the condition of the various Indian tribes; which was referred to the Committee on Indian Af-

Mr. BENTON presented the petition of John Charles Fremont, purying an investigation, by a committee, into claims on the government, originating in California, partly in the establishment of the independence of California, before the war with Mexico was known, and partly since in carrying on military operations under the authority of the United States, and afterwards in supporting the cayli government there.

For part of these claims he said that the drafts drawn by the petitioner had been protested, and for these and other claims the Sceretary of War had answered that "special legislation was necessary. For this special legislation the present petition was presented, and it asked the speedy action of Congress that the lar distant eliamants might not wait too long for tardy justice. The claims were mentorions, they were for presonal services. The claims were mentorions, they were for presonal services and line a spirit of patrictism and honor. They had serve can form to the United States, and the gift was worth infinitely more than the cost even when all just claims were paid, and all justice done to the settlers. The nature and amount of the claims required a careful investigation; the good should be paid at once; the land should be silenced forever; and now is the time to do it. The land should be silenced forever; and now is the time to do it. The additional services are sufficient to the claimants by the proper officers of the pay, the quarter there to the claimants are proposed to the commission of the claim of the commission of the commission of the claim of the commission of the claim of the commission of the claimants. Nothing should be paid at Washington.

some should be paid at Washington.

To coto poying claims here would open the door to unbounded to the paid at Washington.

To coto poying claims here would open the door to unbounded to the paid at Washington to closed in ten, nor twenty, nor fifty the paid to the paid

What the potition specially asked was that the committee to be charged with the question, should take evidence on the spect to ascertain the general nature and the received amounts of the chains, with a view to judge the necessity of a commission and of the amount of the appropriation necessary to be made. For this purpose the petition asked that the testimony of persons now in the city, might be taken on oath, and reported to Congress as a guide to its action. Evidence enough was now in the city to ensure the second of the control of the c

toward that they should be summoned and examined.

He then presented three treasons why the course in settling the claims should be adopted which the petition recommends: First, Justice to the claimants, who, are presented the present of the present should be adopted which the present of th

ant upon vouchers here, the false and the true would be about on an enjual booting. Thirdly, Justice to Mr. Fremont himself. This justice was a a woold character—one to his jurse, the other to his reputation as well as a considerable of the protect for large sums, and expected more—\$10,000 or \$50,000. The protect for large sums, and expected more—\$10,000 or \$50,000 for protect for large sums, and the protect of the produce, and afterwards, when the war was carried on for the direct benefit of the United States, it having also got the benefit of the revolutionary movement. Justice to his reputation required the course he recommended. In no other way could false claims be prevented from rising up to be charged to his operations. In no other way could his reputation be shelded from false accounts, and the way could his reputation be shelded from false accounts, and the protect of t

The petition was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. CRITTENDEN presented the petition of George Poindexter, praying compensation for the occupation and use of his property by volunteers mustered into the service of the United States; which was referred to the Committee of Claims.

# On motion by Mr. BRADBURY, it was

Ordered. That the documents relating to the claim of John Clarke, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Ordered, That the position of Noah Miller, on the files of the Senate, he referred to the Committee on Commerce.

Ordered, That the petition of Isaac Davenport, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Pensions.

### THE SLAVERY QUESTION

Mr. BAGBY said: Mr. President: The day before yesterday, I had the hone to present three resolutions, declaratory of certain fundamental principles, with respect to the truth and correctness of which, I am elearly and without a shadow of doubt, throughly continced. These resolutions without amendment, alteration or modification, contain and express the convictions of my most deliberate judgment, upon the great and delicate questions to which they relate. They disclaim, and deny the power of Congress, to interfere with the question of slavered and the properties of the properties of the present of the present of the present of the same properties of the present of the prese

Mr. BAGBY then submitted the following resolution; which was ordered to be printed:

Rendered, That mether the people not the beschatter of a trution, have any constitutional power in revealude "lavy, time any such termory, and that the people or begishatter of a trutiney power." on other pointed power than such as salelegated to them by Gonggew in the set authorizing their to four territoral government; and massive the set of the people of the set of the

# PRE-EMPTION RIGHTS.

Mr. DOWNS submitted the following resolution; which was considered, by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved. That the Committee on Public Lands be instructed to impune, whether by the provisions of the 5th section of the act of 4th of September 1841, entitled "Ar

act to appropriate the proceeds of the sales of the public lands, and to grant pre-emption rights, "the right of pre-emption was suspended by the commencement of hostin trees with Mexico, and is in the opinion of the committee, then or report a bill to revive said right of pre-emption, to confirm all claims that have been allowed by the officers of the Land Office, under the law as originally possed, and to report by till or

#### 37 4 37 4 7

Mr. YULEE, from the Committee on Naval Affairs, to whom was referred the petition of Benjamin I. Cahoone, reported a bill for the relief of Purser Benjamin I. Cahoone; which was read and passed to the second reading.

Also, a bill for the relief of the widows and orphans of the officers, seamen, and marines of the brig of war Somers; which was read, and passed to the socond reading.

#### DRINATE DILL

Mr. ASHLEY, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom was referred the petition of Reynolds May, submitted a report, accompanied by a bill for his relief.

The bill was read, and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

### RULES AND ARTICLES OF WAR.

Agroeably to notice, Mr. BENTON asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to amend the sixty-fifth, and the iniacty-first, of the Rules and Arricles of War in relation to the practice of Courts Marrial add Courts of Inquiry, in the army of the United States; which was read the first and second time, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Military Affirs.

#### SAMUEL LEECH.

On motion by Mr. UNDERWOOD, the prior orders were postponed, and the Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill to provide for the compensation of Samuel Leech, for services in the investigation of suspended sales in the Mineral Point District, Wisconsia.

Mr. BREESE called for the reading of a letter from the Commissioner of the General Land Office, explaining the merits of the case, and it was read by the Secartary.

No amendment being made, the bill was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent.

Revolved, That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the Honse of Representatives in this bill.

# CLERK TO THE COMMITTEE ON PRINTING.

The Senate proceeded to consider the following resolution, submitted on the 24th instant by Mr. Cameron:

Resolved. That the Committee on Printing he authorized to employ a clerk, to whom the usual per diem compensation shall be paid out of the contingent fund of

- Mr. CAMERON stated that the Committee were entirely nable to discharge their duties wit out the aid of a clerk. The new law in reference to the execution of the public printing had devolved an immense amount of labor upon the Committee, rendering the assistance which was asked altogether indispensable.
- Mr. CLAYTON hal not exactly understand why the Committee on Finting should have a clerk, when other committees, whose labours were ardinous, were not allowed that assistance. He believed that the Committee on Claims was the only Committee which was allowed a clerk, but as all knew, the amount of business devolving on that Committee was very great, and much writing had to be done.
- Mr. CAMERON said that he had not anticipated the opposition of the Senator from Delaware. He would read a protion of the law relative to the public printing, from which his friend from Delaware would at once perceive that it was necessary that the Committee should have a clerk. It was their duty to audit the accounts of the contractors for the public printing, and it was necessary to keep a set of books. So onerous indeed were the duties which this Committee were called an to discharge, that the gentleman who had been appointed Chairman of the Committee at successor now talked of declaiming also. He felt assured that when the Senator from Delaware understood the real state of the case, he would not ofter any opposition to the resolution.
- Mr. CLAYTON replied that the argument adduced in favor of the appointment of a clerk for the Committee on Printing applied with equal force to all other committees of that body, especially

to the committee whose duty it was to sudit the accounts of the Senate. It was an innovation; and with all deference to the Senator from Pennsylvania, he could hardly suppose that there could be writing enough in that Committee to justify the appointment of a clerk. If the committee were allowed a clerk, the other committees would, in all probability, also be eventually supplied with clerks. It appeared to him that the accessity of the case was not such as to justify the appointment of this clerk, and therefore he must oppose the resolution.

Mr. CAMERON thought that the Senator from Delaware must have forgotten that this was a new committee, acting under the new arrangement for the public printing, the cutire supervision of which devolved on them. Already there were great complaints about the manner in which the work had been executed. If the Senate thought proper to refuse the clerk, he said that he would be obliged to decline serving on the committee.

Mr. CLAYTON suggested that the resolution might be allowed to lie over informally till an opportunity had been afforded for further investigation of the subject. If now driven to a vote, he most vote against the resolution.

Mr. Cameron said that he preferred a division on the question now.

The question was then put, and on a division—twenty voting in the affirmative, noes not counted—the resolution was adopted.

#### JESSE E. DOW.

The Senate proceeded to consider the following resolution, submitted by Mr. Dickinson on the 20th instant, and it was agreed to

Resolved\* That Jesse E. Dow be admitted to a seat in the Reporter's gallery, from which he was excluded by an order of the Senate of 16th March, 1816.

#### THE PUBLIC PRINTING.

The Senate proceeded to consider the following resolution, submitted by Mr. Ashley, on the 19th instant; which was modified by the mover, so as to read as follows:

Readerd, That the Committee on Printing be instructed to imprice late, and export to the Sentex the names in which the puring of the Senate has been executed; whether it has been done in confirmity with the terms of the comment, either as to the quality of the paper and seroed the papers frinkled, or the names in which the puniing has been done; also, that their inquire and report whether the documents printed to their order. The printing of the printing o

Mr. DICKINSON asked whether the law on the subject of the public printing could be strengthened by the passage of any resolution t The law required that the Committee on Printing should perform the very duty which this resolution prescribed; and therefore it appeared to him that this resolution was altogether unnecessary.

Mr. ASHLEY remarked that he had received an anonymous communication, pointing our thywards of twenty mistrikes in two pages of the document containing the President's message. Finding that the statement thus communicated to him was correct, he had felt it to be his duty to introduce the resolution. He did not, of course, whish to deal at all unjustly or unkindly with the contractors for the public printing, or indeed to make any expose, but notly asked the Committee to impuire and report whether the work had been properly executed. He hoped that the resolution would be passed.

be passed.

Mr. CAMERON observed that he was not present when the Senator from Arkansas rose, but he would merely remark that the Committee had directed their attention to the subject to which that resolution referred. The Committee had been informed yesterday by the contractor, that the paper which had been used thus far was not of the quality ordered by him, the parties with whom he had made the contract for paper having failed to fulfil their neggenement. If the printer dad not amend in the course of to the case, for the action of the Senate. They entertained present of the contract for the case, for the action of the Senate. They entertained present on the case, for the action of the Senate. They entertained present in the case of the contract of the work. He would add, that there should be allowances made in this case. The organization of so large an establishment, in which, he believed, no less than one hundred and twenty persons were employed, was an undertaking of considerable difficulty, and it could hardly be expected that all the details could be so completed at once as to ensure perfection in the work to be executed. As for binself, he had not a very favorable opinion of the new mode of the law authorizing it to be done in this way. He was of opinion at under the former system the work would have been done more economically and in superior style; still it was proper that just allowances should be made for the difficulties under which the contractors labored, and that the best should be made of the new system.

Mr. DICKINSON said that he had a very good opinion of the new mode of executing the public printing, and regarded the principle established by it as eminently just and proper. It had broken

up the old cerrupt system of monopoly, by which large fortunes had been accumulated in a year or two out of the public purse. He believed that it had thus far been eminently successful, though its working was not entirely perfect. The Sentent from Arkanse that the successful and the public printing. The successful and the public printing, through an anonymous source. He (Mr. D.) would not insimate that business competition had something to do with that communication, but the would take the liberty of reminding the Senator of the excellent suggestion made by a member of the Irish Parliament, that every anonymous author should be obliged to subscribe his name to his work! As to typographical errors, the documents printed under the former system have not been exempt from them. If the new establishment, when once fully organized, prove inadequate or inattentive, let it be dealt with as severely as the law requires. But he hoped that at least a fair trial would be allowed the new system, and he did not doubt that under the supervision of the Committee charged with the subject, a fair trial would be did not degiven to it.

Mr. NILES remarked, that the Joint Committee appointed for the supervision of the public printing had no authority to pass the accounts of the contractor. It was the duty of the committee in ea h Hoase to examine these accounts; and as a member of the Senate committee, he wished to say, that unless the printing were executed substantially in conformity with the contractes—which it had not been thus fair, as he had been informed—the accounts of the contractors would not be passed by that committee. Formerly the printing had been well executed—executed in good style, with promptimed—that did not appear to be the case at present. The whole subject, however, would come under the supervision of the contractors, whose duty it was to audit the accounts of the

Mr. ASHLEY said, he certainly had not supposed that his resolution would have given rise to debate. The Senator from New York had entirely misapprehended his remark with regard to the anonymous communication. He had not neted on this communication; and it had only directed his attention to the matter. On examination he had found that pages 800 and 803 of the document referred to, were so marred hy errors of the pressas to require discreditable to file such documents. The excuse which the contractors had offered relative to the quality of the paper he had used din out afford any explanation of these typographical errors. He did not know any of the parties who had contracted to do the work, and would not have moved in the matter had not his attention been directed to it, by the communication to which he had recredited to the such as the

Mr. WESTGOTT said that he believed he had reason to contrattate himself on the vote which he gave when the proposition to lave the public printing even when the proposition to lave the public printing even when the proposition to lave the public printing even when the proposition to lave the public printing even when the revivadate He had protested against them, and predicted that the very deal of things now presented, would occur early in the first session of Congress. But he was willing to lead his aid to any judicious movement for separating the public printing from the public press, and though opposed to the idea of buckstering visiting in the lowest bidder, who would execute it in a bungling manner, and delay in oa so to retard the public business. He was perfectly satisfied that they never would have the public printing properly executed until they had a publishing office mader the control of Congress, separated from the public press, and altogether free from the inconveniences of the paltry buckstering system of giving it out by conveniences of the paltry buckstering system of giving it out by conveniences of the paltry buckstering system of giving it out by conveniences of the paltry buckstering system of giving it out by conveniences of the paltry buckstering system of giving it out by conveniences of the paltry buckstering system of giving given by the property executed. White regards the public printing could be at once economically and properly executed. White regards the public printing office they could have had the work done at the end of furniting office they could have had the work done at the end of the first week of the session. But the contractors were as much a buck the public printing—bine printing—bi

Mr. CAMERON remarked that the Senator from Arkansas had been appointed a member of the Committee on Printing, but had not yet attended any of its uncerlings. The Committee would have been very happy to have had the opportunity of avanling themselves of his services. The resolution, he repeated, could not do gay

good; the Committee were already acting in the matter. He thought it was reasonable that the contractors should be allowed a few days to complete their arrangements. It was time; the work had thus far been imperfectly executed. But there were two sides to the question: as had been remarked, the documents were this year very voluminous. The President's message and accompanying documents amounted to seventeen hundred pages, Besides, there for they had not exceeded eight hundred pages, Besides, there to the second of the second that the se

Mr. ASHLEY explained that he had been appointed on the Committee without his knowledge, and after the introduction of his resolution. He still insisted on the passage of the resolution, which, he remarked, went farther than the law.

Mr. TURNEY said that he was at a loss to understand the remarks which had been urged in extensation of the manner in which the public printing had been executed. It was admitted on all hands that the work had not been properly executed, but it was alledged that the contractors had been placed in circumstances of great difficulty and embarrassment. Well, certainly they liad been placed in that situation by their own act, and he could not see with what propriety that could be presented as an excuse for their failure to comply with the terms of the contract. He hoped that the resolution would be adopted.

Mr. CASS suggested that as the hour for taking up the special order had already passed, this resolution should be passed over.

The question was then taken on the resolution, and it was adopted.

#### MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT.

The following message was received from the President of the United States, by Mr. Walker, his secretary:

Mr President. The President of the United States approved and signed, on the  $260\,$  instant, the following acts:

An act concerning certain collection districts and for other purposes.

An act to provide clothing for volunteers in the service of the United States.

### THE TEN REGIMENT BILL.

The Senate resumed the consideration of the bill to raise, for a limited time, an additional military force.

Mr. PHELPS said, it is not my parrpose, sir, in addressing the Senate at this time to go into an enquiry, as to the origin of this war, as to its merits or demerits—nor, sir, to enquire where the blame rests, provided there be blame in its commencement. The important question now before ns is, first, how shall this war be prosecuted successfully while it hasts, and, above all, how can it be terminated? When these questions are disposed and the country restored to a state of peace, we may then perhaps annuse ourselves with the enquiry who has been in fault? I blook may a support of the peace of th

Now, sir, if this war is to be prosecuted further—and such seems to be the fact—as yet, a very important question mires— What effect will it have on the financial and commercial interests of the country? How are the means to be obtained for its prosecution? and how are these means to be obtained, consistently with the prosperity of the country at large? So in effect thus far? and when we have ascertained that we may perhaps be able to judge what will be its effect hereafter? So, from the best computation I have been able to make, the Treasury has fallen in arrears within the past two years, or nearly two years—while this war has already lasted—to an extent not much, if anything, short of seventy millions of dollars. Yes, sir, from the best computation which I am able to make, the revenues of this country have fallen short, if its expenditures for the past two years—was an amount, not much, if any, of seventy millions of, which will conclusively prove this result, and I shall calcurave to show to the Senate, if they will give me their attention, from data in my possession, that this result must inevitable be produced.

Sir, in the first place, at the commencement of the war, or rather on the list of July, 46, after the war had been for some six weeks in existence, there was then—exclusively of the expenditures during the short period the war had then lasted—a balance

in the Treasury of upwards of nine millions of dollars. I find from the several reports of the Secretary of the Treasury, that the government has borrowed, in Treasury notes and stocks, issued during the issel year coding 30th June, 47, nearly twenty-six millions of dollars, and that during the current issel year they have horrowed, in addition to this, six millions, two hundred and cight for the thousand dollars. The Secretary of the Treasury tells us that five thousand dollars. The Secretary of the Treasary tells us that at the close of the current itsel year there will be a deficiency in the Treasury of nextly sixteen millions. I take the original report of the Secretary; though I am aware there has been a recent correction of that report. These sums—the balance in the Treasury 1st July, '40—the amounts borrowed during the two seacceding years, and the deliciency anticipated at the close of the current familions, eight underdead and twenty thousand dollars. But this is multiple that the sum of the control all. At the very commencement of this session we were informed by the Secretary, that there was a deficiency of appropriation for the current year of a little more than ten millions of dollars.— This addition to the previous result, gives the amount of about sixty seven millions as the deliciency of revenue below the amount of our expenditures for the last two years. From this, sir, I have deducted six millions six hundred and eighty-one thousand dollars, because it is suggested—pon wint evalence I know not exactly—that upon revising the estimates submitted to us it was ascertained that there was an error of nearly seven mil-lions, there being that amount in the Treasury which is not represented in the statement communicated to us. Deducting, the this sun, which is reported to be a little short of seven millions— but calling it six millions eight hundred and eighty-one thousand, the result is that there is a deficiency of sixty millions for the two years, or at the rate of thirty millions a year. But, sir, 1 am very far from being satisfied with the calculations of the Secretary. To satisfy my own judgment I will add eight millions; heing the amount to which, as I believe, the Secretary has over-estimated the public revenue. This gives us the amount of sixty-eight millions as bethe winet, as it to meet, the secretary has over-estimated by particular revenue. This gives us the amount of sixty-eight millions as between the property of the corning that the property of the corner of the property of the corner of property of the corner of property of the property of the corner of property of the five millions a year.

Statement of the excess of Expenditure over income for two years from July 1st

| 1040 to June Soin, 1040.  |              |
|---|--------------|
| Balance in the Treasury July 11846,       \$9,126,430         Avails of Treasury Notes and Loans, 1846-7,       \$5,679,199         Avails of Treasury Notes and Loans, 1847-8,       6.95,520         6.95,524       Estimated deficiency in the Treasury, July, 1, 1848,       15,729,114 |              |
| \$56,820,046  |              |
| Add appropriations asked for by the Secretary not included  |              |
| in his estimate of expenditure, 10,061,844  |              |
| Total by Secretary's estimates, - \$66,881,890<br>Deduct the amount of error discovered at the department   |              |
| say, 6,891,990  |              |
| Leaves true deficiency by Secretary's estimate, - \$60,000,000<br>Add for over estimate of Revenue for current year - 8,000,000   |              |
| True deficiency,<br>Or thirty-four millions per year, for two years,  | \$33,000,000 |

timates deficiency for the year ending Jane, -30, 1849.
Add for over estimate of Revenue, 9,000,000
Under estimate of expenditure not including Tea
Regiments not estimated for, 7,000,000 \$16,000,600

Total deficiency for three years.

What then, sir, is to be our future financial condition? I will

\$104,000,000

What then, six, is to be our inture manerial condition? I will submit another estimate which is intended to shew the Semate to what extent the deficiency is to go, and what amount of money will be necessary to be raised upon the public credit within a pe-riod of eighteen months from this time. This is my object. I commence with the deficiency as estimated by the Secretary, of thurty-six millions on the 30th June, 1849. In addition to that, an over estimate, as I believe it to be, of the revenues for the current year have been made. In the first place, I deduct from the Secreta-ry's extinate the sum of seven millions, estimated by him to be rery's estimate the sum of seven millions, estimated by him to be re-ceived from Customs in the current year. I also deduct one million from the estimated receipts from the sates of public lands. I de-duct from his estimated receipts for ustoms, for the coming year ending June, 1849, eight millions more; and I deduct for this year also one million, as an over estimate of the receipts from public lands. These deductions may appear to Senators to be large.— They may create an impression that the object is to pretent our

30TH CONG .- 1ST SESSION:-No. 23,

financial affairs in their worst aspect. But, sir, I am confident that an examination of the estimates of the Secretary, coupled with the experience of the past, and reasoning from the present condition of the country, will convince the Senate that this deposition is by no means too large.

| ny no metato res larger   |                         |
|---|-------------------------|
| Secretary's Estimate of Deficiency, June 39, 1849,  | 836,000,000             |
| Add for over-estimate of revenue, vz.     From customs, year ending June 30, 1848.     \$7,080,000       Public hands same year, 1,000,000     \$9,000,000     \$9,000,000       Public lands same year, 2,000,000     \$9,000,000     \$9,000,000       Public lands same year, 1,000,000     \$1,000,000  |                         |
| Total everestimated,  | ST7,000 000             |
| For short Estimate of Espenditures.   |                         |
| Anonat of deficiences for which the Secretary asks appropriation for the energed year \$10,00.00, deficiency geness of expenditure by his estimate over caving a pripopulations, say 30,00.00.  Todar estimate of expenditure for the fixed year ending June For the ran generactive contemplated by this bill, not of coars included by the Secretary. |                         |
| For loans available, already authorized,  | 18,000,000<br>4 000,000 |
| Aggregate, Deduct error in official report,   | 75,000,000<br>7,000,000 |
| Total to be raised by loan over and above the revenues of the<br>country,   | \$68,000,000            |

In this estimate no notice is taken of the outstanding Treasury notes which may and probably will absorb the revenue to a great extent and make a further resort to credit necessary.

The first item of correction of the Secretary's report is the

estimate of the receipts from customs for the current year. The honorable scentrary estimates these receipts at thirty-one million of dollars. Sir, one year ngo (in December, 1816), he estimated the receipts from customs for the then current year at twenty-eight millions, and in point of fact, they fell short of twenty-four, an over estimate of over four millions, as tested by the actual receipts into the treasury. I take the liberty to say, that these estimates are always too large. And permit me to remark, that the estimates of our expenditures are always too small. Our receipts from customs for the past year have fallen short of twenty-four does the Secretary increase the same that ground of anticipation does the Secretary increase the same treasure from this increase in the receipt from the same of the same estimate of the receipts from customs for the current year. thirty-one millions—an excess in the revenue from this source estimated for the present year over and above the actual receipts of last year, to the extent of seven millions of dollars? Sr., to the extent of seven millions of dollars? Sr., to use institute a comparison between the condition of things during the past year and the present. The revenues for the past year were increased in the first place by the combined effect of the new tariff—which was to go into operation by its terms on the first of December, 1949, and the warehouse bill which went into effect on the control of the c thirty-one millions-an excess in the revenue from this source es-

14th April, 18-90; so that the passage of noth was for a forg time anticipated, anticipated.

We will see that the second of the 30 felt to six minions one guarrea and nity-roor thousand, making a difference of two millions seven hundred and eight thousand dollars in the three months constituting the first quarter of the year ending 30th June, '47. This is shown more fully in the following table:

 Receipts for July, Angust, and September, '45', S9,661,392 or \$2,933,977 per month. Same months in 1846', -6,133,893 or 2,051,375 "

 Difference, -82,708,106
 8902,702 per month.

Receipts for first quarter of fiscal year, '46-7, S#,153,826 equal to \$2.051,977 per mo Receipts for October and November, 46, - 1,688,480 equal to 544,140 per mo

Why was this? Was there anything in the commerce of the coun-

Why was this: Was there anything in the commerce of the country advancing in prosperity during those years? It was unquestionably the effect of the measures to which I have alluded. The revenue the effect of the measures to which I have alluded. The revenue fell off because the importations were placed in warehouses to be entered upon payment of reduced duties. Well, what nox1 In the months of October and November your receipts fell to one million six hundred and eighty-eight thousand dollars, or an average of eight hundred and eighty-four thousand dollars per month. During the months of July, August, and September, the duties averaged two mithons fifty-one thousand and a fraction The effect of these measures, then was precessly what the low predicted on this floor. It was note extensive falling off in the income of the country until the period of the first of December, 1846, arrived, and then your revenue increased, and that increase of revenue has been thought of sufficient importance not only to be presented to us very formally by the Secretary of the Treasure.

be presented to us very formally by the Secretary of the Treasury, but to be twice repeated in the President's message.

If it be a matter of beasting to exhibit to the country at large the value of this improvement in our financial system, the new tariff, why let it pass. But if it is intended to lead us to the anternee, that this increase of revenue is to continue, I beg to dissent altogether from any such conclusion.

Sir, a comparison has been made between the year ending December 1st, 1816, and the year ending December 1st, 1817. For what purpose is the fiscal year abandoned, and the comparation of time made from the first of December in one year to that period in the next year? Doubtless for the purpose of exhibiting the one-ration of the new tariff which went into operation on the 1st December. 1816, But as I have already remarked the revenue belongcember, 1846, But as I have already remarked the revenue belong-ing to the latter part of the year 1846 was thrown upon the suc-ceeding months when the new Tarill bill went into operation, and eceang months when the new Tarm bill week into oleration, and this presented an accumulation of revenue during those months. Other circumstances combined to swell the revenues at the close of that year; the peculiar state of things in Europe, the extraordinary demand for our productions, and the increased importation conseupon to more experimental and these productions, tended to threw upon the latter part of the year a large increase. It short, the year between December 1, 1846, and December 1, 1847, with the said of the warehousing system, horrowed the revenues of the pre-ceding year, and in consequence of the excessive importation grow-ing out of our increased experimentalion, anticipating the future wants of the country, it auticipated the revenue of the succeeding year.

Are we to suppose that because there was an increase of duties during that year, that that increase is to continue?

A moment's attention to the subject forhids any such conclusion. What causes are to operate? In the first place, when this great importation book place, the country was proposed to the proposed of perous; money was flowing in from abroad; notwithstanding this great importation there was a balance of money coming into the country, to the extent of some twenty or thirty millions of dollars. What is the condition of the country now? Institute of deceives as applies of easiful you find a stringency in the money market, Instead of a surplus of capital, the surplus of a period of proprily has sought investment in various ways. A vast amount has been invested and is in process of investment in rail-roads—fifty millions, it is computed, in New England about ——in manufacturing enterprizes and in your public debt—a vast amount is absorbed by goods on hand—a rigid curtailment of the currency and a strinare we seriously to calculate in this state of things apon the con-

But this is not all. The unusual importations, stimulated by the increased demand for our productions have thrown into the country a greater quantity of goods than were wanted. There is a surplis of goods. The importations increased some thirty or forty millions of dollars. Well, if there is a surplus to this amount there is no inducement to import; and, a.y. I have already remarked, we are not able to purchase. Nor is this all. If the course of trade threw into the country a greater importation than the present demand warranted, your warehouse system furnished a resource by which the importer was avared from the necessity of advancing the amount of the duties upon his importation until there was a market for the goods. The importations hereafter, will go into the warehouses, and you will get no duties until the goods are

wanted for consumption.

Sir, there seems to be a strange idea entertained in regard to this matter, and although strange it seems to be a favorite idea-it is, that our exportations are to be governed by our importations, and that we may increase our exportations by increasing our imand that we may increase our exportations by increasing our importations. This, sir, I believe is contrary to all experience. It is a theory which is entendated to bankrupt the public treasury, or to rain the fortness of any private individual who adopts and acts upon it. Sir, my theory is the reverse of this. Your exportations, should give now your importations. You should by only what you can pay for. I suppose that our importations must depend, to a very great extent, upon the amount of our exportations? What has enabled us to increase our importations? The demand for our breadstuffs, which has now nearly caused—the faminat for the results of the property of the production of the contraction of the production of the pro

ine and distress abroad, which has now passed by; and, whatever may be the ability of other nations to purchase our produc-tions, they will not buy what they do not need. There must the full word fit only want they to not need. There must sequence, a failing off of importations, and of reventy, one. Sir, the average rate of duty, under the present Tariff, is such as to require, at least, one hundred and fifty millions of importa-tions, to raise thirty millions of revenue. I believe my homerable friend from Rhode Island estimated it, at one hundred and seventy millions. He includes in his estimate the gross amount of importations, including free goods; my estimate is of dutiable goods only. If I recollect aright, the average rate of the existing tariff is the reconcer argum, the average rate of the existing farill is (wenty-two or twenty-three per cent. Now, such is our present ation exceeds the ability of the country. Such is our present stimation; and what are we to expect for the period included between this and the first of July, 1819? Xour necessities for money, your exhorbitant demand for loans, combined with the operation of the sub-treasury and its specie clause, press-ing with combined action upon the money market, must perpetu-

ate this state of things.

Sir, I have been comparing the estimated receipts for the current year with those of the last. I have remarked, that in these calculations the data are derived from the results of the past, from the estimates of previous years; and I have remarked further, that during the year 1847, a great part of the receipts consist of returns which properly belong to a former period. Now, I ask, on what ordinary calculations, in view of these circumstances, the honorable Secretary raises his estimates of the receipts from twenty-dour, the actual receipts last year to thirty-one millions of dollars?

considerations furnish a basis for such a calculation. Have taken some pains to ascertain how the honorable Secretary arrives at it. It is this: He takes, in the first place, the receipts for the first quarter, for July, August, and September. These are precisely the months in which we were getting returns for our breadclearly the months in which we were getting returns for our break-stulfs. He then proceeds to tell us that assuming the revenue of the first quarter to be an average of the year—the proceeds from customs, for the whole year will amount to forty-five millions. It is enough to say of this calculation, that the honorable Secretary himself discorded in the basis of an estimate. Being essatisfied with this result, he proceeds to assertain the relative proportion of the revenue of the first quarter of the year as compared with the revenue of the first quarter of the year as compared with the revenue of the year, for a series of years. He thus assertains that the first quarter is the most productive, and by reducing his estimates in proportion, he brings his calculations down to forty millions, instead of forty-five. But he is still dissatisfied. The next-step is to ascertain the revenue for the five months next prenext-step is to ascertain the revenue for the five months next pre-vious to the first of December, 1847, which he tells us amounts to \$15,506,257—or a little over \$3,000,000, per. month. Estimating the revenue for the twelve months at that rate (making, probably, an allowance for the greater productiveness of the first quarter), he would make the revenue of the year amount to \$35,000,000. But he is not satisfied with this. What next? He ascertains the receipts for the last two months of the five—viz. October and November—to be \$2,200,000, per month; and estimates the receipts for the residue of the fiscal year at that rate. To this he adds the actual receipts of the first quarter, and it gives about \$31,000,000, for the year, as will be seen by the following table.

Actual receipts for five months, ending December 1, 1847 Receipts for first quarter as per annual report deducted Leaves for October and November Or an average of 2,200,000 per month. Estimating nine months at that average, 2,200,000 Addi actual receipts of first quarter 4,400,000 19,800,000 11,106,257

Giver for the year 30,906,257
Or in roand numbers
Here you have the basis of the estimates of the honorable Secre-

Now, the Secretary finds his receipts rapidly declining, because Now, the Secretary Inds his receipts rapidly declining, because the importations are declining. It appears, as herefolore stated, that the receipts for the first quarter of the current year amount to \$11,106,257, or equal to \$3,702,085 per month. The receipts for October and November are equal to \$2,200,000 per month. Thus we have a falling off during the first five months of the fiscal year.

We have a falling off during the first five months of the fiscal year from \$3,702.055, for July, August and September to \$2,200.000, the average of October, or a falling off of \$1,500,000 per month. Is it not apparent to every Senates who seems to when the first of the f

Is it not apparent to every Senator who hears me, that this de-cline must continue? Is it not apparent that there is an over-stock of goods in the country, and is it not well known that the

stock of goods in the country, and is it not well known that the scarcity of money has induced importers to countermand their or-ders for goods? Sir, we have it from the very best authority. Under these circumstances, although there may be a great in-flux of revenue during the first quarter of the year, it is apparent that it is \(\xi\)the merce effect of the accumulation of revenue in that quarter for the reasons I have monitoned. The very fact that you imports, and, consequently, your revenue, are increased in one portion of the year must lead you to expect that it will decline in the other portions.

Now I ask, on what principle it is expected that the amount of the revenue from imports this year will exceed that of the last?— Sir. the true rule of comparison would be to take the fiscal year,

Sir, the true rule of comparison would be to take the fiscal year, and that for the very reason that I have already assigned. The Tariff of 1846 covers the fiscal year ending 1st of June, 1847, although it went into effect in December, because the payment of duties was in a great measure suspended during the intervening time between the passage of the art and the time of its going into operation. Well, sir, I will not pursue this branch of the subject. What is the next ground of the honorable Sceretary's estimates? He estimates three and a half millions as the provacy our subject of the property for public lands than was actually received last year, I have yet to learn. It is a point which I am not prepared to discuss, because I can see no yeason at all for such an estimate; year, I may yet or learner to see point which rain his prepared to disents, because I can see no reason at all for such an estimate; and unless reasons are presented from some other quarter, I shall still be incredulous. I deduct, therefore, one million from the estimated receipts from the public lands, because the estimate exceeds all experiences, and because no reason has been assigned. eeeds all experience, and because no reason has been assigned, and none, in my judgment, can be assigned for it. That makes eight millions to be deducted from the Secretary's estimate of reve-nue for the current year 1847-8, and to be added to the estimate of

The next item is an over-estimate of the revenue from The next item is an over-estimate of the revenue from customs for the year ending June, 1849. I have very little to say in regard to this, I merely refer to the fact that the revenue having fallen off, I eamout preceive upon what echaliation it is that in the peculiar condition of the country the revenue from the enstoms is to rise to thirty-two millions for the ensuing year. The present state of the country renders it impossible, and as to any change I have not seen the shightest prospect of it. Well, sir, I The present state of the country femores it impossible, and as any change I have not seen the sightest prospect of it. Well, sir, I take the Secretary's estimates, and I must deduct eight millions, from his estimated receipts from customs for the year ending June, 1849. I then take the estimate of the receipts from public lands for A then take the estimate of the receipts from public flads for the coming year, which he has given to us as three millions, and I ask upon what basis does this estimate rest? Do we not all know that we have granted land bounties to our soldiers to the extent of at ask upon what basis does this estimate rest? Do we not all know that we have granted land bounties to our soldiers to the extent of at least seventy thousand, which will cover twelve in illinos of acest of your public lands? Your we return the influence of a contract of the contract of

conclusion at which I have arrived.

Sir, there is another branch of the subject which I desire to notice, and it is this: the expenditures of the current year, are estimated at fifty-six millions of dollars, exclusive of payments on account of the public delt, but as I have affecting the eastern of the control of the c Sir, there is another branch of the subject which I desire to no-

present year—one made at the commencement and the other at this time—of thirteen millions of dollars.

this time—of three millions of dollars.

Sir, the appropriations made at the last session of Congress for
the service of the current year (ending June 30, 1848,) exceeded,
by the sum of nearly nine millions, the Secretary's estimates as
submitted to Congress at that session. And yet the Socretary
now asks an appropriation of over ten millions to over deciencies.
It is proper for me to add that ase as I am albe to ascertain
the fact, the expenditure for the current year, as estimated by the the tact, the expenditure for the current year, as estimated by a Secretary in his recent report, exceeds the amount of appropria-tions by about three millions of dollars. In order, then, to ascertain the actual expenditure of the year, seven millions only should be added to this estimate. This will carry that expenditure up to more than sixty-five millions.

This sum of seven millions, it will be perceived, is added to his estimate upon his own authority; that is to say, his estimate of ar-rearages of the present session, and his demand for further ap-propriations.

propriations.

The next particular in my table of corrections of the Secretary's estimate, is the item of seven millions for under estimate of the expenditure for the coming fiscal year, from July 1, 1848, to June

30, 1849.

These expenditures are estimated by the Scoretary at the sum of \$55,644,941. In what light are we to regard these estimates? of \$55,641.411. In what light are we to regard these estimates? Sir, all experience shows that these estimates are too low. Year after year Congress is called upon to supply deficiencies. This is not to be wondered at. It implies no eensure of the efficers charged with the duty of furnishing them. It is natural that every head of the Trensury department should endeavor to put the best aspect upon the financial affairs of the country, and heads of bureaus and other subordinates will reduce their estimates of expenditure as low as possible. Judging then from all past experience, we must regard these estimates as falling short of the actual expenditure. But there are special reasons for anticipating an excess of expenditure for the coming year over and above the prescess of expenditure for the coming year over and above the present estimates. Let me advert to the report of the quartermaster-general. It will be borne in mind that this officer asks an additional appropriation of five millions to cover a deficiency in the appropriations for the current year. What does he say of his estimates for the next? In his letter to the Secretary of War, of November 15, 1847, he says:

gress will have terminated before the expiration of the fiscal year for which the estimates now submitted have been made."

Sir, this is significant language. In plain English, it means this: the estimates are too small. They are not to be relied on; and would not be submitted, were it not that two sessions of Conare would not exceed any perturbing the more exceeding of the many perturbing the more exceeding the more exceeding the more experience of the present year, (a deficiency in the estimates of this department of five millions,) an and estimate that graphical, not to say applicated for, what are we to expect as the result of these estimates for the coming year? Beyond all questions of the coming year? Beyond all questions are successful to the coming year? tion that the expenditure will largely exceed the estimate, as it has done heretofore

To what extent the estimates of the Quartermaster General were To what extent the estimates of the Quartermaster General were reduced at the suggestion of the Secretary, I am not able precisely to state. It is stated, however, by a Senator near me, CMr. Clarke, of Rhode Island, Jupon information said to be derived from that officer, and communicated by him to the Committee of Ways and Menas of the House, that seven millions more will be required in that department alone, over and above the amount of the estimates submitted to us, for the ensuing fiscal year. If this be true, the addition which I made to the Secretary's extract of expenditure for that year, (7,000,000), and which seems to excite the surprise of gentlemen on the other side, will be required for the service of that theurstment alone. vice of that department alone.

vice of that department alone. It will be precised, however, that I add that sum for the whole excess in every branch of expenditure, over and above the Secretary's estimates. The considerations upon which my estimate of this deficiency is based are these:

First, The expenditures of the entrent year, as now ascertained, will exceed the previous estimate of the Secretary, by the sum of thirteen millions, exclusive of the SiD.061,344, now asked for, of which at least seven millions are to cover an expenditure, (as I have already shown.) over and above the amount of the Secretary's present estimate. In this calculation, the expenditure of the current fiscal year, will exceed the original estimate by twenty millions of dollars.

The estimates for the coming year are increased, exclusive of payments on account of the public debt, only about eight millions above the estimates furnished us at the last session, for the curmove the studies will have a dictioner for the conting year, if the expenditure of that year should equal that of the present, of twelve millions of dollars. I have reduced it to seven. It requires no spirit of prophecy to foresee, that at the next session of Congress, we shall be called upon for further appropriations to the extend of at least ten millions of dollars.

extend of at least ten millions of dollars.

But will your expenditure be less for the coming, than for the current year? You propose a more extensive plan of operations—to add thirty thousand men to your military establishment—to occupy the whole Mcxucan territory—to spread your armice like the closusts of Egypt, over that whole Republic—and like the locusts of Egypt, to bring them to submission by eating up their substance—not merely to discounfit her armics in the field, but to dis place her whole government and civil arrangements; and, in the language of the honorable Chairman of the Committee on Militalanguage of the honorable Chairman of the Committee on Shifter ry Affairs, to occupy and retain all her positions, military and po-litical. Will your expenditures be diminished? Upon what prin-ciple then is it, that we are furnished with an estimate for the coming year, which falls from ten to twelve millions short of the ascertained expenditure of the present?

I add the seven millions, therefore, to cover the under-rating of expenses, actually calculated for in the first place.

espenses, actually calculated for in the first place.

In the second place, I include in that sum a variety of contingencies not estimated for, and not assecptible of provious estimation. Such as the loss and destruction of military stores, provisions, and other property, by the ordinary modes of destruction, as well as the cessatilities of war. The Commissary of Subsistence tells us, in giving the causes of the deficiency in his department for current year, that store houses, (the articles of subsistence heing perishable,) much has \$\mathbb{T}\_{out}\$ but of the decay and wastage, as also from wrecks during transvortion."

being perishable,) much has Pou lost from decay and wastage, as also from wreeks during transportion."

Thirdly, No estimate is made for the commutation of land bounties by the reception of money instead of land, at the option of the soldier, authorized by the net giving bounties to your soldiers. This item is not susceptible of accurate estimation. Fourthly, There is no estimate for pensions to your wounded and disabled soldiers—mi time of no inconsiderable magnitude. How many pensioners are to be billetted on the treasury as one of the fruits of this war, no man can tell.

And, hastly, I take into consideration the miscellaneous claims of citizens for services rendered, property taken or lost-contracts unfulfilled, &c. &c., which cannot be estimated or enumerated. Those who are familiar with the expenses growing out of the Seminole war, can form some conception of what may be expected from this source.

Seminole war, can form some conception of what may be expected from this sour I right or wrong in adding this sum of seven milions to the estimates of expenditures for the coming year, in view of these considerations?

But this is not all. This bill proposes to raise ten additional regiments. The expense of this force is not included in the Secretary's estimates. What will they cost? Sir, I am not enough of a military nan to determine with preceding pay and substances of a regiment m. The additional expense of clething will probably earry the expense up to three hundred thou

<sup>&</sup>quot;The estimates which I submitted on the 4th in ant, for the service of the next fix all year, were made out from data derived from the epirciace of the dark year. At sleeper opin on you action or that of the officer of the department, Have made con-sleeper opin on you action or that of the officer of the department, Have made con-subscribe deductions. Whether these deductions be judicious time must determine. I would not have centrated to made then but for the fact that the reasons of Con-

sand dollars. The bounties for 1,000 men amount to \$12,000, and the expense of recruiting to about the same. The cost of raising a regiment and transporting it to the seene of action will not in my opinion, fall short of one hundred thousand dollars. This gives you an expenditure of four hundred thousand dollars for a regiment for the year, or four millions in the agercate for the treops to be raised by this bill. This estimate

gate for the troops to be raised by this bill. This estimate is, in my jodgment, a low one; and as it is not included in the Secretary's estimate, I add it to bis estimated deficiency."

I have one other item. The Secretary includes in his estimate of receipts for the current year, the sam of \$8,284,294, as available loans and treasury notes. Of this sum he reports \$4,209,200, us available on the 1st December, 1847, by which I understand that this last sum is yet to be borrowed. I flevering early it to the amount of the sums to be raised on the case of the results of the sum is the raised on the case of the results of the sum of the results of the sum of the results of the

Ano result of fixes corrections of the Secretary's estimate 8; then, an over-costinate of the receipts, or revenues, of \$87,000,000; an under-estimato of expenditure of \$18,000,000; or \$835,000,000 in all; to which are to be added the sum of \$18,000,000 (included in his estimate of receipts,) yet to be borrowed; and the deficiency of \$38,000,000, as estimated by him, which will make the whole definition of the second o Sociotion of revenue on the 30th June, 1849, or the excess of expenditure beyond our income amount to the sum of \$75,000,000.

But it has been stated to us that there was an error in the Sec-

lion of dollars; that sum being in the treasury, but not included in his estimate of means—and, consequently, that his estimate of denot examine or means—and, consequently, that his estimate of de-ficiency should have been less by that sum. I therefore deduct the anionn from the above result, which leaves the deficiency, on the 30th June, 18-19, at the sum of \$68,000,000. And in this there is no estimate for the provisional force of 20,000 volunteers con-templated to be raised.

templated to be raised.

This may appear a very large estimate, but it is justified by past experience. I stated to the Senate, in the outset of my remarks, that the excess of expenditure hitherto has been from thirty to thirty-five millions a year—arriving at the same cocalesion as the Senator from Rhode Island, though by a different process. If such has been the deficiency heretolore, what is it to be hereafter? You propose a more extensive plan of operations—an nereaster? For propose a more excessive plan of operations—an increase of your standing army by ten thoasand men, and a provisional force of twenty thousand men.

Sir, you have thus far carried on this war under the most favor-

Sir, you have must a carried on this war under the most revirable circumstances. I was about to say with the especial blessing of Providence. Had it not been for the peculiar state of things in Europe—ealling to an unprecedented extent for our productions, and pouring into this country an abundance of the precious metals. and thus furnishing an antidote to the exhaustion of your treasury and of the currency by your foreign expenditure under the opera-tion of the sub-treasury, this war would have censed long ago for want of the nears to prosecute it. We have, by this drain of the precious metals, brought England to the type of bankraptey— the Bank of England to the brink of suspension, and thus rendered them tributary to this unfortunate war. But the state of things is changed. The specie is rapidly returning—the commercial (ide is changed. The species rapidly returning—the commercial (the sching—commercial enterprise stagnating, and the currency is in a condition of rapid curtailment. You have before you the prospect of commercial and financial embarrassment.

This enormous sum of nearly seventy million of dollars must be raised within less than eighteen mouths from this time, because it is to be expended during that period. It is to be raised also upon the naked credit of the government, for let it be remembered that this sum is not the aggregate expenditure, but is the excess of expenditure beyond our revenue. This brings me, sir, to the important question-how shall it be obtained?

Two modes are suggested—
1st. A direct and permanent loan.
2d. An indirect and temporary loan in the form of an emission

treasury notes.

Sir, I will undertake to demonstrate to the Senate that neither of these modes will avail; that if this war continue the treasury cannot be permanently relieved by wither, nor by both. Every consideration connected with the sabject combines in my judgment to justily this assertion.

justify up as "Very thin seventy millions to be had? Sir, I have it from Where is this seventy millions to be had? Sir, I have it from the highest authority—authority which I apprehead will not be questioned by any member of this body, that the whole amount of specie in the banks of New York does not exceed five millions of deliars. The amount in Bostan probably does not amount to four. I can not say how much may be in the hanks of Philadelphia and Dackborn-amballate less thin in New York and Boston. The Where is this seventy millions to be had? Sir, I have it from Baltimore—probably less than in New York and Boston. The Senator from Maryland, (Mr. Pierce.) says two millions in Bal-How much there may be in the southern cities, I am not able to say; but their resources are generally less than those of the northern cities, as their banks are generally the first to suspend and the last to resume. It is not probable in my opinion that all the banks in your commercial cities can command more than twenty or twenty-five millions. Where then is the enormous amount thich you require, to come from? There is now a bill in the other which you require, to come from 1 - 1 mere is now a out in the other house authorizing a loan of eighteen and a half millions; and there has been much speculation in the country as to the probability that such an amount would be taken. But, sir, when you come to add lifty millions more to cover the deticiency of the come come to add fifty millions more to cover the deductancy of the coming year, I deny altogether the capacity of the country to furnshit. It is a physical impossibility. The amount is not in the country. You have dissolved your connection with the paper curroney of the country—you insist upon gold and silver, which cannot be

had, and which, in the present state of your commercial relations, is going out of the country instead of coming in. Relief to the treasnry in this way, noder the circumstances, I pronounce imacticable. No financial skill can accomplish it.

Sir. there are other insurmountable dineuties in the way of such enormous loans, even if you had not bampered yourselves with your sub-treasury, and its specie clause. The surplus capital growing out of your recent commercial prosperity, has already sought investment—some thirty or forty millions in your public. debt-an immense amount in railroads and manufacturing prises, which have been stimulated by the unusual activity of commerce. Fifty millions, it is said, have been invested, and are in process of investment, in railroads in New England alone. The anount now being invested in manufacturing enterprises, is memense. Nor is this all. The investments are incomplete, and the amount already invested, must draw after it further investments, to a very great extent, or the enterprises must be ahandoned. This circumstance will increase the demand for money, and will increase also, the competition with you in the market. Largo amounts are absorbed in goods on hand, which cannot be realized until the goods are wanted for consumption, nor until the country until the goods are wanted for consumption, nor until the country acquires the ability to purchase. The greatest obstacle, perbaps, of all is to be found in the condition of the money market, and the state of the currency. Money is now worth upon the best security, one and a half per cent, per month. The currency is in a course of rapid and stringent contraction. The windrawal for exportation of the specie basis, upon which that currency rests, renders this inevitable. This dimmotion of the currency, has an immediate effect, (whatever may be the ultimate effect) equivalent to the annihilation of so much capital. Property of all kinds deprecates, individual embarrassment and insolvency follow, and thus in turn resucts unon the currency. We endangering the assets of the bankre-acts upon the currency, by endangering the assets of the bank-ing institutions, and forcing them to further contractions. Such is the present condition of the currency and the money market. How long will it continue? So long as the cause which produced it continues to operate. That cause is this Mexican war.

I have spoken of the exportation of specie to England. To what is that owing? Not surely to any commercial debt we had con-tracted there. The balance of trade had been in our favor. The immense exportations of our productions to that country has drawn from here an immense amount of the precious metals as-tourted from them under the penalty of starvation. The sudden re-flux of specie to that country has resulted, not from our commer-cial relations with it, but from the expenditures of this Mexican war. To avoid the exportation of specie to Mexico we have seat treasury drafts and treasury notes. These have been easied, there war. To avoid the exportation of specie to Mexico we have seen treasury draits and trensury notes. These have been easiled there by English capitalists; the money has been disbursed in Mexico by our officers; but the drafts have been presented for payment at the Treasury, and the avails exported to England. It has been found a convenient mode of remittance of the gold and silver of Mexico to England. You must either continue this process or send the gold and silver of (if you can economiand it?) and whether you adopt one course or the other, is, so far as the currency is con-cerned, unimportant. No further exportation of specie can take

cerned, unimportant. An inther exportation of specie can take place without cripping the currency.

But, sir, could you effect these loans under these circumstances, you would prostrate the whole commercial community; and with it you would sacrince overy other industrial interest and annihilate. your revenue. If done at all, it must be done by hawking about your stocks at a great depreciation. If capital is thus to be forced into new channels, the effect may be easily foreseen. The withdrawal of deposites from the banks—thus forcing them to further curtail-ments—the forced sale of stocks tending to larther depreciation of ments—the forced sate of stocks tending to startner depreciation of every species of property—would result in mischief indescribable—in general ruin. Fortunately for the country, it could not and would not sustain such a pressure, but your finaucial operations would fail. Nor do I wish to see the credit of this government in the fail. Nor do I wish to see the credit of this government in the hands of usurers. This might be done if the rights and honor of the nation were at stake, but never, with my concurrence, for the purpose of carrying on a war commenced, in my humble judgment. without necessity, and prosecuted without a rational object

without necessity, and prosecuted without a rational object. With the sub-treasury in operation, you can bever expect to supply the deficiency in your revenue. Will you modify that system by repealing the specie clause? Will that avail you? The effect will be merely to enable you to receive paper instead of coin—That paper insust be bank paper; there is no other. Your leans, whether obtained from banks or individuals, will be received in the currency. What, then, will be the result? You will require of currency. What, then, will be the result? You will require of the banks, first, a currency to meet the exigencies of the commercial world, and, secondly, a medium for your immense expendi-ture. If they attempt this, they must enlarge their circulation to and succept this, they must entarge their circulation to an enormous extent, and if they do this, they will inevitably be driven to suspension. We shall find ourselves thrown back at once upon the financial policy of 1814—the government teaming upon suspended banks, and the banks countermored and sustained in the suspension by the government.

This would be an anusing comment upon the boasted divorce of the banks and the government, the blessed influence of the constitutional treasury, and the glorious practical results of the specie

But notes of suspended banks would not answer your purp But notes of suspended names wound not answer your purpose. They could be used at home only, at a discount disguised under the device of high prices, increasing still farther your expenditures and your embarrassments, and would be useless in your foreign

If these loans cannot be effected at home can it be done abroad?

No sir. The state of the monetary affairs in Europe is still embarrassed. They are just recovering, especially in England, from extreme pressure. Confidence is not yet fully restored. They have no money to spare—and if they had, they would not be much inclined to add you. American public credit does not stand very high there; and above all, they have no sympathy with you in this war. They have seen enough of interminable war—they are dis-And they will not fail to regard this war as proor an unlawful purpose; and as originating in reckless am-

hition and love of conquest.

Mr. President: The next topic which I propose to discuss is the project of supplying the deficiency in your revenues by means of treasury notes; and this is to be considered first, as a mere reve-nue measure; and secondly, as a means of relief to the currency

and business of the country.

Sir, as a revenue measure Treasury notes may serve as a tempo-Sir, as a revenue measure 1 reasury notes may serve as a temporary relief by enabling you for a short period to anticipate the revenue; but they can never supply a deficiency. If resorted to for that purpose they must necessarily fail. And the reason is, that they will absorb the revenue they are intended to oke out. To give them the revenue true are intended to ese out. To give them the effect intended they must be made payable at a future day and upon such rate of interest as will make them an object of investment, and this will put them on the footing of a mere security for a permanent loan. If placed upon this ground they will be effect and only when a loan upon stock, at the same rate, could be observed to the contract of the contr

tained. The idea that treasury notes, as a government currency re-ceivable for public dues, and convertible into money on demand, acan be made to supply a deticiency of revone, is to my mind an absurdity. Suppose your expenditure is \$50,000,000,000,000,000—ton issue treasury notes for \$20,000,000 ton cover the deticiency. You must make them receivable for public dues or they will be presented at the Treasury for payment. If receivable they will be paid—in liea of revenue: and in either cases they will absorb as much revenue as they represent. That is to say, they will absorb 20 of the \$50,000,000 and leave the deficiency the same as in the outset. To word this result, they must ficiency the same as in the outset. To avoid this result, they must be reissued or issued to an amount transcending the recenue; and if so, how shall they be redeemed? If not convertible into money at the pleasure of the balder will they answer your purpose at home or abroad? But there are other difficulties. If disbursed abroad they will fall into the hands of foreigners as they have highagroad they will tail into the mands of foreigners as they have filtrected done, and the specie will be demanded for exportation, as has been the ease heretofore. If disbursed at home they will fall into the hands of the banks, who, in the present erists, will be anxious to fortify themselves; and who will present them at the Treasury. and transfer the specie to their vaults, if, indeed, the specie is to be had. But where is the specie to be had? Not as the custom house, for the treasury notes will be paid in there in lieu of specie. They are at this moment at a discount, and constitute, as is well known, almost the whole of your receipts there. Not at the treasury or the sub-treasury, because, it is not at the custom

These treasury notes must necessarily depreciate. They are preciated now. They are issued confessedly to cover a deficiendepreciated now. of revenue, and have no basis to rest upon, as no means are pro-

vided for their redemption.

Sir, this evil of depreciation in the government securities is one which aggravates itself. It leads necessarily and inevitably to increased expenditure. The greater the depreciation the greater must be the issue; and the more there is issued the greater is the depreciation. Thus the process goes on depreciation leading to extravagant issues, and extravagant issues to further depreciation until the treasury paper sinks below any standard of depreciation and becomes valueless, because it has no standard of value. Such has been, and such will be the fate of all issues upon the nuked credit of the government unnecompanied by such efficient provision for their prompt redemption as renders them readily convertible, and thus sustains their credit. It has been suggested that this species of paper may be issued

It has been suggested that this species of paper may be issued as a mode of relief to the currency and the business operations of the country. This is the country project to that of modifying the sub treasury. It raises the question whether the treasury shall take upon its shoulders the banks or the banks the treasury, when neither can stand alone. This setime will furnish the country with a depreciated eurrency, and entail upon the country all the evils of a depreciated eurrency, and entail upon the country all the evils of a depreciated as still depreciating currency, in which evil, the government in all its financial interests must participate. The experiment cannot succeed. We cannot find an instance in the history of nations, where such a financial experiment has succeed. We cannot find an instance in the history of nations, where such a financial experiment has succeeded. The fate of the continental money of the revolution—of the French assignats—of the experiments in our own country of

State banks to issue paper upon State eredit, all show that a currency, resting upon the naked credit of the government, must ne-

National credit is like individual credit—of no value except as it is based upon adequate means of meeting its engagements. Paper issued professedly, to cover a defficiency of revenue, without provision for its redemption, will be like the paper of an insolvent

individual, worthless. I have said that treasury notes may enable you to anticipate re-venue. But no man supposes, that while this war continues, you will have any surplus of revenue over your current expenditures. Nay, the reverse will be the case. There must be an annual ac-emundation of deficiency and of debt. If you would anticipate, you must look forward to a period beyond the duration of this

you must to low roward to as period beyond the duration of this warf—to the revenues of peace—for an indefinite period. If, therefore, you mould antipate the means of redeeming them you must make your mould antipate the means of redeeming them you must make your stock and the probability of the pr former would not avail. But if you make them a mode of invest-

ment they cease to be a currency.

There is but one mode in which treasury notes can be kept in There is but one mode in which treasury notes can be kept in credit as a currency, and that is as I have already suggested, by providing adequate means of redecaption when the issue is authorized. But what means can you provide? Your current expenditure will alsorb all your ordinary revenues, and all which can be derived from existing sources. You have but one resource left—a direct tax. I repeat you have no other mode of providing for an experiment to expect the districtions in the procures—and issue of treasury notes to cover the deficiency in the revenues—and this must be resorted to. And if the people of this country will submit to direct taxation, for the purposes of this Mexican war, they will give you strong evidence of their approbation of your

I believe I have shown that neither loans or treasury notes will answer your purpose. You cannot command the means of re-lieving your treasury for the coming year. But the entire occupation of Mexico is contemplated, and it may last for years. so, where are the means for a protracted warfare or occupation of that country to be found? What will be the condition of your finances or of the commercial and monetary affairs of this country. with an annual deficiency in your treasury for a series of years, of from thirty to forty millions a year?

Sir, these evils are only to be removed by removing the cause which has produced them. Put an end to this war, and let the finances and the country review by force of its elastic energies

ander the sunshine of plage.

A few words as to the project of deriving a revenue from Mexico, or subsisting your armies there. Sir, I am rejoiced to find that the idea of indiscriminate plander is abandoned, although the purpose of military contribution is still entertained. I have always regarded this plan as chimerical. How will you carry out your reregarded this plan as chimerical. How will you carry out your re-venue system there? By means of the Mexican authorities? You can-not trust them. They would require more overseers than it would require to perform the service. If you employ American oilicers they will defraud and resist you. Military aid must be employed, and tho whole matter would degenerate into military contribution, and unfittary contribution into indiscriminate plunder. As to military contributions it is enough to say of them, in the

language of your commanding general: "they would exasperate the enemy and starve ourselves." Production would cease and

the country become exhausted.

ployments of peace, and above all, have overlaid their industry and their resources with the ineubus of a foreign army which you pro-pose to spread over their republic, to be subsisted upon them. From a people thus trodden down, impoverished, dishonored, and exasperated, you can expect but a poor harvest of revenue. Sir, the and sword are poor financiers. What you get from that source will be but a drop in the bucket. There may be a vision of wealth But if a concern the imagination of some in the mines of Mexico. But if a concern the magination of some in the mines of Mexico. But it is to be remembered first, that these mines are private property—many of them the property of Englishmen, the subjects of a power both able and willing to protect their rights; and secondly. that all the mines of Mexico in the hands of this government would prove a losing concern.

On motion.

· The Senate adjourned.

# FRIDAY, JANUARY 28, 1848.

# RESOLUTION OF THE LEGISLATURE OF RHODE ISLAND.

Mr. GREENE presented a resolution of the General Assembly of the State of Rhodo Island, and Providence Plantations, request-ing the Senators and Representatives from that State in Congress, to oppose the laying of a duty upon tea and coffec; which was fast upon the table, and ordered to be printed.

Mr. WESTCOTT presented a memorial of citizens of Apala-chicola, Florida, praying the purchase, by the United States, of Mount Vernor.

Mr. W. stated, that as similar petitions signed by more than two hundred thousand citizens of the United States, were to be speedily presented, he would move that, for the present, the petition lie upon the table; which was agreed to.

# On motion, by Mr. GREENE, it was

Ordered. That the petition of Welcome Parmeter, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Pensions.

On motion, by Mr. MASON, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Baneroft Woodcock, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Patents and the Patent Office.

#### LIGHT-HOUSE IN LOUISIANA

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, submitted the following resolution, which was considered, by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved, That the Committee on Commerce be instructed to impine into the expediency of making an appropriation for the construction of a light house on Raccoon Point, Dernier 18-6, in the State of Louisians, and into the expediency of an appropriation for a idoating light in Astehafahya Bay, State of Louisiana.

#### DUTIES UPON EXPORTS TO MEXICO.

Mr. MILLER submitted the following resolution for considera-

Resolved, That the President of the United States be requested to inform the Senate whether he has caused to he laid and collected any taxes, duties or imposts upon goods and merchandize belonging to citizens of the United States, exported by such citizens from the United States, to Mexoc, and if so, what is the rate of such duties, and what amount his been collected, and also by what authority the same have been fall and

# RE-IMBURSEMENT FOR STOLEN TREASURY NOTES.

Mr. STURGEON, from the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads, reported a bill for the relief of Messrs. Cook, Anthony, Mahon, and others; which was read and passed to the second reading.

# THE HAMILTON PAPERS.

Mr. PEARCE, from the Committee on the Library, to whom was referred the petition of Elizabeth Hamilton, reported a bill authorizing the purchase of the papers of Alexander Hamilton; which was read and passed to the second reading.

### THE TEXAS NAVY.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. RUSK asked, and obtained leave, to bring in a bill to authorize the President to increase the naval establishment of the United States; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

### MONUMENTS OF DECEASED SENATORS.

The Senate procedeed to consider the following resolution, submitted by Mr. Hannegan on the 20th instant, and it was agreed

Resolved. That a select committee be appointed to impure whether it may be necessive to adopt any measures for the future construction, and preservation of the monuments to the memory of deceased members of the Senate; and also mader what circumstances monuments have been so eracted, and may be crafter be creeded.

# On motion, by Mr. HANNEGAN, it was

Ordered. That the Committee consist of five members, to be appointed by the Vice President; and

Mr. HANNEGAN, Mr. MANGUM, Mr. HUNTER, Mr. CRITTEN-DEN and Mr. Douglas, were appointed

### PRIVATE BILLS.

On motion, by Mr. BAGBY, the prior orders were postponed.

and the bill to authorize the settlement of the account of the late Joseph Nourse, after being read a second time, was considered as in Committee of the Whole; and, no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent.

Resolved. That this bill was and that the title thereof he as aforesaid

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

On motion, by Mr. TURNEY, the prior orders were postponed, and the Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill to further extend the patent of Jethro Wood

Mr. BALDWIN, having withdrawn the amendment previously submitted by him, moved the following proviso, to come in at the end of the bill:

Provided, nevertheless, that the owner of any plough heretofore constructed on the principle of said invention, or his assigns, shall confine to have the same right to use and dispose of such plough for use, that he or they would have had if this act had not been passed.

The bill was then passed over informally,

On motion, by Mr. UPHAM, the prior orders were postponed, and the bill for the relief of Richard Bloss and others, was read a second time, and eonsidered as in Committee of the Whole; and having been amended, it was reported to the Senate, and the amendment was concurred in.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimons consent,

Resolved, That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

### WIDOWS OF REVOLUTIONARY SOLDIERS.

On motion, by Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, the prior orders were postponed, and the Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill from the House of Representatives, making further provisions for surviving widows of the soldiers of the Revolution; and no amendment being made; it was reported to the Senate

Ordered, That it pass to a third reading.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent.

Resolved. That this bill has

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representa-

### ADVERSE REPORTS.

The Senate proceeded to consider the following adverse reports, and they were concurred in:

From the Committee on Indian Affairs, on the petition of George S. Games.

From the Committee on Pensions, on the petition of George Petty.

From the Committee on Naval Affairs, on the petition of William M. Glendy. From the Committee of Claims, on the memorial of George Hervey.

From the same Committee, on the memorial of Hugh Munro McLean

### THE TEN REGIMENT BILL.

The Senate resumed the consideration of the bill to raise, for a limited time, an additional military force.

Mr. CASS .- I have been desired to state that it is the wish of my friends on this side of the chamber, that this debate should be brought to a close within the most reasonable time. There is no brought to a close within the most reasonable time. There is no disposition on this side of the chamber, to interfere in the slightest degree with the freedom of debate, or to prevent the fullest expression of opinismo in the part of every member of the Senate; but we believe that it is very important that the debate on this subject should be speculify brought to a close. There are other important measures which await the action of this body, which must necessary be delayed till this bill be disposed of. It has occurred to us that hereafter every gentleman who addresses the Senate on this bill, should terminate his speech on the same day. We will have great pleasure in sitting as late as may be necessary, but we do I am requested, further, to state, that it is our hope that this de-bate may be brought to a close, if not at the end of next week, as early in the week after as possible.

Mr. PHELPS.—It is with the utmost repugnance that I trespass any further upon the patience of the Senate; and, I would most cheerfully consent, as far as I am concerned, to leave the subject here to be disposed of by the Senate; and I assure the honorable Chairman of the Committee on Military Affairs, that if no other Senator on this side of the House as more disposed to pro-tract the debate than I am, his wishes will be speedily gratified. Sir perhaps I owe an apology to the Senate for having consumed so much time yesterday, without concluding my remarks, but my apology is, if an apology be required, that the subject to which my remarks were directed yesterday, is a subject, in itself, almost inexhaustible; and I have found it impossible to express fully my views, in rolation to it, without consuming much more time than I should desire.

But, without further preface, I will resume the thread of my remarks. I was speaking, yesterday, of the project of redeeming the Treasury by the emission of Treasury notes; and was adverting also, to another consideration connected with it—the proposed refiel to the currency and the business of the country. I had submitted certain remarks in the Senate for the purpose of showing that such a device would prove ineffectual; that as a revenue measure it would absorb just as much as it would supply, and that it would supply in the such as it would supply in the supply in the such as it would supply in the suppl would, therefore, leave a deficiency in your finances as great as it found. I have a few additional remarks to make on this subit found. I have a tow aouthonat remarks to make on this sup-ject. In the first place, I repeat that treasury notes, although they may enable you to anticipate your revenue for a short period, can never supply a deficiency. Sir, our object is to an-ticipate our revenue, but not a revenue for the present; so long as this war continues, it must be obvious to every Senator who as this war continues, it must be obvious to every Senator who bears me, that the deficiency cannot be supplied. If, therefore, we wish te anticipate our revenue, we must look forward to a time of peace; we must look forward for an indefinite period, and we must anticipate the revenue after that period has arrived. Well, sir, in this state of things, it is pericely apparent that no relief can be effectual unless it is by obtaining money, in some shape or other, on a celedit which shall cover the continuance of

single of order, order when want to a state of peace.

It have finished the remarks which I intended to make upon I have finished the remarks which I intended to make upon I have finished the subject. I should be willing to leave it here, but after all that has been said and done, and after all the anxiety which has been expressed and left, and which is still felt, I may be permitted to follow the example of other gentlemen in pre-senting to the Senate and to my own constituents, some other rea-

senting to the Senate and to my own constituents, some other reasons for the vote which I am about to give.

Sir, what is the object of this war? Not conquest, it has been said, although, sir, this subject has assumed a great many phases since we entered upon the war. But the object is said to be indemnity? For what? Indemnity for the sum of some four or five millions of dollars for claims against the government of Mexico in favor of our citizens? Well, sir, the collection of this poltry dort has already cost us some fifty odd millions, and if we are to recard this marter in a possible with the supervision of the contract of the c dobt has already cost as some fifty odd millions, and if we see to regard this matter in a pecuniary point of view, it will yet cost us much more. We had much better abandon the project of recovering our debt in this way. But the President has told us (what is undoubtedly true), that the Mexican government has no means of indomnitying us for our expenses. They have no money. What then are we to bave by way of indemnity? He tells us that we must have lead. But I am informed they have no public domain. You will therefore get no title to the land. You may get a cossion of territory, and what does it amount to? Why it cannot be a support of the control of the co Will you assume the same relations to them that you do to the Indian tribes? That cannot be done. If you leave them the form of a government, as you leave to the Indian tribes, your relations to them must be one of perpetual war. No man can expect that quiet possession can be held of that country, so long as they have their own government, and, especially, if we recognise it. There is another project, which is, to hold it as a province. This has been already demonstrated by Senators to be impossible. Place them in the condition of a province, and they will knock at your doors until they place their representatives in these seats. This will be the anavondable result. There is an end, therefore, to the idea of governing these people as a province. The result this will be the diadvolutile result. Here is an end, therefore, to the idea of governing these people as a province. The result will be, as a matter of course, annexation. They must be taken into our Union. They must be brought in upon the footing of American citizens.

American cutzens.

Sir, for one, I want no partnership with this people. I do not desire to see them brought into our political family. I know, sir, that what was once considered as a problem, is now regarded as settled—that extent of territory is no serious obstately regarded as settled—that extent of territory is no serious obstacts to a free and representative government—but, sir, whether your territory be great or small, it is indispensable to the maintenance of a representative government, that its population should be a homogenous people. Sir, I have had under my eye for years an experiment, which shows the utter impossibility of bringing a peo-ple of a different origin and of peculiar habits, to harmonize under a representative form of government. A few years since it was the policy of the English government to establish a Parliament and the policy of the English government to establish a Parliamment and a system of representative government in Canada. What was the result! We all know that the French population of the Canadas areas distinct now from the English, as they were when the English supremany was established there. They are as distinct from the English as if the two classes had resided in different hemispheres. The result was, that when they came to the polls, they were arrayed on the side of their respective races—and their dissensions instead of being duminished by lapse of time, were only increased. The interposition of the Crown became necessary, in order to counteract the superiority in numbers of the French population of the lower province, and this led to the paltry rebellion of which we have heard so much. It became necessary at last for the British Parliament to unite the two provinces in order, by the introduction of the English, Irish, and Scotch population of the upper province, to counterbalance the political weight of the French population in the lower. Now, I undertake to say, that if the people of Cana-da were left at this moment, with an independent and representative form of government, five years would not pass over their heads, without presenting to us as the result of the experiment, a civil war in that country. The population of Mexico is as discountry to the head of the country of the a civil war in that country. The population of Mexico is as distinct from the Anglo Saxon race, as any two races are distinct upon earth. Sir, do you expect the descendents of the Puritaus to harmonize with this anomalos population? Can you reconcile the love of order, the submission to law, and the attachment to peace which has characterized the descendents of Puritaus for eenturies past, with the lawless and nurbulent propensities of a people whose elements from the period of their first national existence to the whose elements from the period of their first national existence to the present day have been anarchy and revolution? I need only to say to you, sir, that if such an event as the incorporation of that country in-to this Union should occur, we could not make its population harmo-monize with our own. Sir, I am not disposed to intimate authorita-tively, nor in a manner which will admit of that construction, that the consequence will be a dissolution of this Union. But I may be premitted to say, that in reference to my own constituency, and the consequence will be a dissolution of this Union. But I may be permitted to say that in reference to my own constituency, and the kindred population of the North, that in my humble judgment, it "thirty new Stars" are to be added to the Union, from this conconquered country, it will be beyond our power if we would, to hold that Union together. Sir, my opinions are decided in regard to this matter. I want no persons admitted into this political family, who are not of the Angle Saxon race; unless it be a race that can amalgamate with us, and be lost in one homorenous mass of the star of

I agree, sir, with the honorable Senator, that that is all the indem-nity which we can get, and I believe if the honorable Senator were now present, he would agree with me, that if this is to be our indemnity, in God's name, we have had enough of it. Sr, is there any new instruct to be added to our currer of conquest—any new or additional reputation to be acquired—is it necessary, in order to vindicate the honor of this Republic, that we should proceed to trample under foot—to denationalize, and reduce to perpetual subjection, a for who has lost the power of resistance 8 Sr, I, I am so unfortunate, that I have not looked upon the glory and splendor minituates that I have not looke upon the got; and spicinds of a military achievements, with the same sontiment of unmingded ad-miration as others may have done. I am constrained to say, that I have turned disgusted, from the revolting details of human slaugh-ter, which the history of this war presents. Sr., I have been dis-posed to ask, in the name of the country, in the name of a chrisposed to ask, in the name of the country, in the name of a christian people, in the name of humanity, yes, sir, in the name of humanity's God—for what rational purposes are these scenes of humanity's God—for what rational purposes are these scenes of humanity's God—for what rational purposes are these scenes of humanity the property of the property of the property of the scenes of the world, in relation to this Mexican war? It must be regarded as a war for national plunder, I was about to say, of native the scenes of the scenes

were told that the honor of the nation was involved, and we were were told that the honor of the ration was involved, and we were called upon to vote for a declaration of war, because the indiguity which had been indirected upon us by the shedding of American blood upon American soil called loudly for retribution. But, sir, soon this became a war of indemnity. We were pursuing this war for the purpose of indemnitying ourselves for claims which our citizen signated was one of the control of the purpose, and justifying it upon this ground, we were to for this purpose, and justifying it upon the ground, we were to that Mexico herself begun it; as if the process of collecting this debt had begun not on the part of the creditor, but of the debtor. But we were soon told that no indemnity could be had except by but we wister soon tout our in on indemnity could be made except to yet the acquisition of territory—that Mexico had no other means for indemnifying us. We were next further informed that we must coccupy all Mexico, in order to distress her and bring her to termisy yet this was be owar of conquest. It was a war for territory, which was to be acquired by force, and kept by force, but not a was for computed. Ves, sir, a year ago, we were told that it was not a war for incomputed, but a war for indemnity. You, after the moreovers of the last campaign, we are told that it is still a war for indemnity—most for conquest; but that we must hold on to what we have got, and when we got possession of the rest of Mexico, we can then determine for ourselves whether we will keep it.—Here is a subtile distinction. It is anyuring the territory of neighboring nation by force and keeping it by force, but it is not conquest. It is a distinction between rolding your neighbor, and the grant and taking from him by force the contents of he pocket.

What next t
We are now told that the object is the conquest of Mexico, and
the whole of Mexico. The wise distinction to which I have
adverted has become obsolete. It is now conquest; and this conquest without any declaration of a purpose, under any circumstaness or at any time, to relinquish our acquisitions. The honorable
Chairman of the Committee on Military Allairs, if I understood
him, said that the purpose is the conquest of the country, and that
whether we shall return it or not when conquered, is a question to
be sattled begrafter.

Mr. CASS.—If the honorable Senator will allow me: I said that the object was conquest, with the view of conquering peace.

Mr. PHELPS.—Ah! it is a conquest of the country for the purpose of conquering peace. Will the household estants tell me how the complexs of the country is to result in the conquest of peace? You propose the occupation of the whole country—to dispense its government—to size its revenues—to take its people under your protection. You leave no government to make peace with. You deal with the people and not with their government. You have taken possession of a portion of their territory, and we me advised by the President not to surrender it, but to extend our laws over it. Wit if peace is being more than the peace of the continuation of their territory, and we have the peace it will be a peaced by the other continuation of the peace of the continuation of their territory, and we will be a peaced by the other continuation of the peace of the of the peace

But, sir, the object is now stated in some quarters to be the conquest of the country, not for the sake of indemnity; that has become an obsolete idea; but it is to be a conquest for the benefit of Mexico. Yes, sir, we most conquer the country for the purpose of putting that nation to school. We must assume a guardianship over them and those pat an end to their dissensions. When we have reformed their habits and sufficiently indoctrinated them at the point of the bayonet into our customs and habits and political creed, and qualified them for self-government, by the salutary influence of military subjugation, we are then to declare them of age and enameripate them—if we please. Now, this last object, this newly discovered purpose for which this Mexican war is prosecuted, has this one quality to recommend it: it is philanthropic. It is not obnoxious to the objections which I have urged against the pursuit of military glory—the wholesale slaughter of human bardings for the honor of the deed. For war, powever glorious and duzding may be some of its appendages, is little else than mer human bardery—postifiable it may be in some cases—but if unluman bardery—postifiable it may be in some cases—but if un-

infinite interest in the result of the firm to be in some eases—but it in justifiable, it is nathing short of wholesels marder a school? How How long are these Mexican people to be be views and characteristic people are to be changed? Will generate the lus how many generations are required to extinguish the Castilian blood, which even at this day exhibits itself in bold relief in the characteristic obstinacy of this Mexican people? Sir, it will endere for centuries. These people as a conquered people will be a distinct race, and the longer you keep them in subjection the longer will be the necessity for continuing your supervision. If they have proved incompetent to self-government, they will not improve under military supervision—if unworthy of admission into the American family you will hardly clevate them in the scale of human tespectability by a course of alpect servitude to your government, and your laws and involutions be reconciled to your government, and your laws and involutions to be irreconciled to your government, and your laws and involution to the ground of the hope of the management of their own affairs. I prefer altogether the doctrine which permits every nation to regulate its own internal saffairs, and which forbids forcing interference.

Permit me in this view call interference.

Permit me in this view call interference.

Furget, that the purpose of the subject of a were the original object of the war—had not been openly awayed in the outset. It would have appeared better in my bundle indigenent, in the chief executive officer of this government, if before proceeding—as I may say by sentillate to the compact of Mexico, he had submitted the question to the American Congress, and the American people. Sir, it is not statesamolike to make these protestations to the world, that the purpose of the war was not compacts, when at the same time every proceeding on the part of the government, then whole policy is leading to with that appears and strainty-world exist in the view of the more consistent with that appears and strainty-world exist in the view of the respective threaterize the Chief Executive Magistrate of this matter than of the American people is at last celled to the subject, and they seek to know the object for which the war is prosecuted they are

met by the declaration, that in all human probability conquest has become incivitable. We have proceeded no far to tetrace our steps. We have gone on until we have acquired possession of the country. We have placed that people in a condition in which they have no government with which to negotiate. We have placed them m such a condition, that we must take them maderour own protection, and all this before the sense of Congress or the American people is sucertained. Sir, it is not for me to say that conquest was the original object. Common courtesy forbids it. Were it otherwise it would lill hecome me, on this floor and on this occasion, to tions of the fixeenive, however strongly the force of circumstances may indicate its ruth. But I do say, that if soch was the object, it is little short of treason to the American people to pursue it thus by steath until the evil of throwing upon our hands an empire—peopled by a race of different origin, different language, costicus and opinious, both political and religionos—to be governed as a dependent proxime or admitted as incongrenous material into our political union, becomes invertable. And thus to place us in a new depreciate such a minor, we have no alternative but acquies enece.

center.

The questions growing out of this unfortunate war are too give to be left to Executive discretion—its results involving as they do, the integrity of our Union and the perpetuity of our institutions, should not be entrated to the judgment—the earlies—the policy—nor the ambition of a mere Executive oilicer. The inread upon the spirit of the Constitution in this assumption of power by the Executive, in entering upon his own responsibility upon this entered of conjust, would, but a few years since, have astomided the American people and the world. The countenance move given it by the people, indicates too decisively, the change which has taken place in the spirit of our institutions—and affords an adarming pressure of greater changes hereafter. This is a very serious question—what effect will this spirit of conquest have upon the genuis of their institutions. It has passon for military and the genuis of their institutions of This passon for military continuations are consistent their constitutions and led to their destruction. It did hope that our peculiar position—separated as we are from the old world and its wars by the occam—woold save us from this lawless spirit of domination, which, when it one comes to debauch a people, readers them incapable of self-government.

renders them incapable of self-government. Sir, an any man deline to me the relation which that officer holds to the Constitution \( \ell \) On the one hand, he is the Chief Executive of a limited government with limited and delegated powers; responsible to the people; and on the other hand he is a military unquer proceeding upon the right of conquest and strading upon the right of power. Yes, sir, the chief Executive officer of military of the strategy of the

The constant accumulation of power in the hands of the Executive bild fair to destroy the balance of the Constitution. It has been an object of much alarm, of anxious solicitude to the statesmen of this country, and may well excite the anxious solicitude of the country itself.

The immense amount of power vested in the Executive is extended already bevoid any previous expectation. It rendes seery mok and corner of this wide spread republic. It is a patronage all-pervading—a patronage increasing with a rapidity unexampled—as the blue book, now a ponderous volume, compared with the blue book of former years will illustrate. It expands itself with your growth, and plants itself among the pioneers of civilization, in every new establishment of civilized licis. Nay, it moves in advance of civilization, and finds a wide field for its exercise in your hadian department—in regions to which the Indian title is not vet, extinguished, where savage life and savage manners have not yet given place, and which the title of civilization has not yet reached. This immense and all-pervading power arts directly inpun the very source of political post-power currented upon the Constitution to sistoin and preserve the legitimate powers of the Constitution to sistoin and preserve the legitimate powers of the Constitution to sistoin and preserve the legitimate powers of the Constitution to sistoin and preserve the legitimate powers of the Constitution to sistoin and preserve the legitimate powers of the Constitution to sistoin and preserve the legitimate powers of the Constitution to sistoin and preserve the legitimate powers of the Constitution to provide a decidence of the controlling the legislative power—of holding it in check, and of moulding its action to the opinions, views and purpose of the Executive.

action to the opinions, views and purpose of the Executive.

To these powers already threatening to destroy the balance of
the constitution, is now to be added these anomalous powers appertaining to the President, as the head of the military establish-

ment, and derived from the law of nations and of conquest.

How can you resist this tendency to absolute Executive suprement y or this career of conquest for Direct legislative action will not avail; the veto power will interpose. You must refuse to

you must adopt the remedy of the English Commons of withone—you must adopt use remedy of the English Commons of with holding supplies. But will this avail you? The President has as-sumed the power of levying money in Mexico—and if he can levy money, can he not also levy men? May he not have a purse and a sword independent of you? Sir, let me suppose that we could exchange characters with the Mexican people: clothet them with one onergy and access with the

Mai, the supposite the two with our course, and enterprise, and analytic man analytic man place us in their degraded condition; give the President the power of levying men and money in Mexico, and how long would our ephemeral republic continue? Sir, with the tremendous power thus accumulated, the President may overturn the constitution. Not, perhaps, with the bayonet at

your breasts, but by the tremendous power thus acquired and thus wielded, in defiance of the constitution. Sir, I desire to learn how this assumption of the power of levying money in Mexico can be means the britt the constitution. In what portion of that instru-ment is it to be found? It cannot be found there; if justified at all, it is upon the right of conquest, and is, therefore, indepen-dent of the constitution. What is to be done with these military contributions levied there? Upon what hasis does the financial system established there by authority of the President rest? Are

system established there by authority of the President rest? Are these military contributions a part of your financial system? Are they based upon any act or authority cmaanting from you? Sir, I have seen this morning, for the first time, a general order from the commanding General in Mexico, levying contributions there. Will the President account to you for them? No, sir; he intends no such thing. He will dispose of them as his advantage. there. Will the President account to you for them \( t \) No, sir; the intends no such thing. He will dispose of them at his pleasure.—What is to be done with the revene collected there \( t \) If paid into your Treasury it is by the President's pertission. If expended there, as it will be, will be wait for an appropriation? The Secretary of the Treasury tells us that about hald a million has already been collected there. If it he a part of your finances, in what tiem of the honorable Secretary's report on the finances is it to be

Sir, where is the ground of responsibility to you, and how well you enforce it? Upon what principle will you hold the subordinates employed in the collection of this revenue responsible? nates employed in the conection of this revenue responsible.

Where will you find the ground of responsibility which can be made
the subject of judicial cognizance? Will you assume that our
constitution and laws extend to that country, and that they can be
judicially extended to transactions occurring there? If so, then, that country, by becoming subject to your constitution and laws, becomes ipso facto a part of this Union, and annexation is effected by the act of the President alone. Again, sir, if the President is considered as acting within the pale of the constitution, and Mexico is considered pro lac vice subject to your jurisdiction, by what authority does he buy taxes without your concurrence? Nay, by what authority has he established a tariff of duties different from yours—an arbitrary tariff of his own? The result is that he must either be regarded if exercising these powers independently of the constitution, or of that country; he for the time being subject to your laws, he has violated the constitution by making himself the

law-maker and disowning your jurisdiction.

Sir, if you leave him to the exercise of this despotic power, what
will be the effect on the institutions of this country? The tendenson, a your cave min to the exercise of this despotic power, which will be the effect on the institutions of this country? The tendency of all this is to infuse a military spirit into the constitution, and over ride the property of the most arbitrary character, which will also the limited and delegated powers of the constitution, and over ride its restrictions. What some a mand can also the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution and a sword not subject to your control? This war is delauching the nation with the love of influtary glory—giving to our institutions a military character, and placing at their head, instead of the constitutional President, a military Colossus. This may lead to the overturning of your government and the destruction of your liberies. Sir, this is the worst aspect, in my hamble judgment, in which this unfortunate war can be viewed.

We may yet feel safe. We may rely upon the energies of this people and their love of liberty. But who can penetrate the luture? There are those now living whose memory can embrace both extremities thus far of our national existence—who remember the 30th Conc.—Int Session.—No. 21

30TH CONG -1ST SESSION:-No. 24.

period of 1789, and the adoption of the constitution; and who are period of 1789, and the adoption of the constitution; and who are at this moment watching with extreme anxiety the issue of the present crisis. Who among them would have believed, had it been foretold, the astonishing advancement of this country! Sir, many of us of younger age have lived to see what we never imagined would occur in our day. Our progress in the gradations of national existence has been without a parallel; and if there be in national existence what a thing as youth, maturity, decrepitude and decay, we have gone through the first with unexampled apadity, and may find considers at the last of the property of the consideration. find ourselves at the last, ere we are aware of it. We have accom-plished, with three score years, what has required centuries else, where. We may find our decline as rapid as our growth. We may be prepared for the fate of other republise in an early stage of our national existence, as our maturity has been early. We may find ourselves at a period corresponding with the rapidity of

may find ourselves at a period corresponding with the rapidity of our national advancement, the subjects of corruption and decay, and like preceding republies, the subjects of a military usurper. Sir, I hoped it would not be my fact to wirness such a result; but that the present condition of the country tends to such a result; we have too much reason to fear. Sir, let this war be terminated—let us return to the healtfull condition of peace—that condition best adapted to our unstitutions, and that alone in which they cen be

How shall the war be terminated? My answer is, by demanding of Mexice no more than is reasonable and just. A sense of justice will help us more, if we desire peace, than all the armines in the world. Put yourselves, if you can, upon an equality with that people in point of power, and then ask yourselves what, under all circumstances, is proper to be required of them. What has been our course hitherto? We have sent out Mr. Trist,

What has been our course hitherto? We have sent out Mr. Trist, our peace commissioner, but we have sent our armies with him. We have tendered negociation, but it has been negociation at the point of the bayoner. We have commenced negociation with a proposition to dismember their empire. Give us, say we, one haif your empire. or we will take it by force, and the other half with it, to pay the costs of the proceeding. Had such a proposition heen made to us by any power or by all the powers upon earth, what would have been the sentiment of the American people? Sir, I recollect well that at the commencement of negociations at Ghent. a proposition will be a such as the commencement of negociations at Ghent. a proposition of the commencement of negociations at Ghent. a proposition and a great portion of my humble constituency into the dominions of Queen Victoria. Has any one forgotten the burst of indignation which ran through this land at a proposition so humturown me ana a great portion of my numble constituency into the dominions of Queen Victoria. Has any one forgotten the burst of indignation which ran through this land at a proposition so humbing and insulting? or the indignant reply of our commissioner to the proposition? Sir, is there a man who hears me who would the proposition? Sir, is there a man who hears me who would not, had be been a Mexican, as he is an American, have repelled the proposition with scorn?

the proposition with soorn? Sir, il my advice were asked as to the best mode of terminating this war, I would tell you: Instead of indulging in the spirit of aggression and of conquest; infuse a little moderation into your counsels; abute a little of your high and offensive pretensions; show a disposition to preserve instead of destroying the nationality of Mexico--a disposition to leave to her the integrity of her empire, which is the state of the state o of conquess, be satisfied with a reasonate morning; say no more about indemnity for the expenses of the war, which you know is altogether beyond her ability; and, above all, show a willingness to relieve her from the pressure of your armies, so nffensive to her national pride. Place yourselves on this ground, and I will venture my humble prediction that this unfortunate war will soon be

Mr. DOUGLAS then took the floor, but subsequently yielded it. Mr. Downs, with the informed understanding that he should follow the Scnator from Louisiana

After the consideration of Executive business,

The Senate adjourned until Monday next.

# MONDAY, JANUARY 31, 1848.

PETITIONS-RESOLUTIONS.

MEMORIALS AND RESOLUTIONS OF THE LEGISLATURE OF MISSOURI.

Mr. ATCHISON presented a memorial of the General Assembly of the State of Missouri, praying for the establishment of a territorial government in the territory lying west of that State; which was referred to the Committee on Territories.

Also, a memorial of the said General Assembly, praying a grant of public land to aid in the improvement of the Osage river; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

Also, a memorial of the said General Assembly, praying that the mounted volunteers of that State, mustered into the service of the United States, may receive their full pay as mounted inen, and compensation for their horses lost in the public service; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Also, a memorial of the said General Assembly, praying the final adjustment of all claims to lands in that State, under Fronch and Spanish grants; which was referred to the Committee on Private Land Claims.

Also, a memorial of the said General Assembly, praying a grant of public land for purposes of internal improvement; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

Also, resolutions passed by the said General Assembly, in favor of the Tariff of July 30, 1846, and the Independent Treasury, and deprecating any change or modification affecting the principles of either of those acts; which were referred to the Committee on Finance.

Also, resolutions passed by the said General Assembly, in favor of a strict adherence to the principles of the 8th section of the act of March 6, 1820, (commonly called the Missouri Compromise.) in the organization of new States or territories, out of any territory now belonging to, or which may hereafter be acquired by, the United States, which were read and ordered to be printed.

#### PETITIONS.

Mr. NILES presented two petitions of citizens of Connecticut, praying a reduction of the rates of postage on newspapers, which were referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Mr. DIX presented the memorial of Priscilla D. Twiggs, widow of Levi Twiggs, deceased, late an officer in the Marine Corpspraying to be allowed a pension in consideration of the loss of her only son, who was killed in battle; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. CASS presented the petition of John Caldwell, praying the reimbursement of money paid by him for the public service, while acting as assistant quartermaster to a regiment of volunteers in Mexico; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. CORWIN presented a petition of citizens of Warren county, Ohio, praying the establishment of a mail route from Springboro' to Ridgeville, in that State: which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Mr. BENTON presented the memorial of John Baldwin, praying the payment of the unliquidated instalments of Mexican Indemnity, due him under the convention of January 30, 1843, either in money, or in a six per cent. stock of the United States; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

Mr. HANNEGAN presented the petition of Isaac C. Elston, and the petition of James M. Kibhen, each praying the relinquishment of the reversionary interest of the United States in certain Indian reservations purchased by them; which were severally reierred to the Committee on Indian Alfairs.

Mr DAYTON presented a memorial of members of an agricultural society in New Castle, Dolaware, praying an extension of a patent granted to Obed Hussey, for a recyang machine, which was referred to the Committee on Patents and the Patent Office.

Mr. BERRIEN presented the memorial of the Central Rail Road and Banking Company of Georgia, praying the cancellation of bonds given for duties on certain railroad iron imported for the use of their railroad.

of bonds given to names on certain rangon from imported for the isse of their railroad.

It would be perceived, the Senator remarked, that the claim of these memorimists was to the legal right which they assorted they had for the cancellation of these bonds, they having complied

with the requisitions of the then existing law. It was not, therefore, a question of finance, but one of legal right, which, in the event of its refusal by Congress, would have to be determined by the courts of justice. The memorialists believed they had complied with the requisitions of the law, which rendered null the bonds which they gave for the payment of these duties. The Secretary of the Treasury had suffered this matter to remain, awaiting the decision of the same payment of the second of the same payment of the second of the same payment of the same payment of the same payment second of the same pay

The memorial was accordingly referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Mr. UNDERWOOD submitted documents relating to the claim of the heirs of James Runsey, deceased, to remuncration in consideration of the benefits which have resulted from the application of steam to the purposes of navigation and the mechanic arts, of which their ancestor was the original inventor; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

#### RECEIVERS OF THE PUBLIC MONEY.

On motion by Mr. BREESE, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on Public Lands be discharged from the further consideration of the resolution of the Senate of the 29th December, respecting the compensating the receivers of the public money who perform the duties of Assistant Treasurers, and that it he referred to the Committee on Finance.

#### OCEAN STEAMERS

On motion by Mr. YULEE, it was

Ordered, That so much of the report of the Postmaster General as relates to a contract made for the transportation of the mail in steamships between Charleston, South Carolina, and Havanna, in Cuba, be referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

# MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by  $\overline{Mr}.$  Campbell, their Clerk:

Mr President The Honse of Representatives have passed bills of the following

An act for the relief of Mary Brown, widow of Jacob Brown.

An act for the rehef of the legal representatives of James Brown, deceased

An act for the relief of Edward Quinn.

An act for the relief of George Newton An act for the relief of Russell Goss.

An act for the rehef of Alborne Alien

They have passed the bill from the Senate ontified. An act supplementary to the act entitled. An act to regulate the exercise of the appellate jurisdiction of the Supreme Court in certain cases, and for other purposes, "" with amendments; in which they request the concurrence of the Senate.

The Speaker of the House of Representatives having signed an enrolled bill, I am irrected to bring it to the Senate, for the signature of their President.

### PRIVATE BILLS, ETC.

Mr. MASON, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the memorial of Clements, Bryan and Company, submitted a report accompanied by a resolution for their relief.

The resolution was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the Committee on Private Land Claims, to whom was referred the memorial of Baille Peyton, submitted a report accompanied by a bill to authorize the Secretary of the Treasury, with the approbation of the Attorney General, to purchase for the United States, the interest of Baile Peyton in the tract of land on which the light house stands at the South West Pass at the mouth of the Mississpipi river.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

Mr. BALDWIN, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the petition of William H. Proutiss, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for his relief.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered. That the report be printed.

# PUBLIC LANDS IN OREGON.

Mr. BREESE, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the hill to create the office of Surveyor General of the public lands in the Territory of Oregon, and to grant donation rights to settlers therein, and for other purposes, reported it withont amendment.

#### COMPENSATION TO ACTING PURSERS, ETC.

Mr. YULEE, from the Committee on Naval Affairs, reported a bill to enable and authorize the accounting officers of the Treasury to audit and settle the accounts of certain acting officers therein mentioned; which was read and passed to the second reading.

On motion by Mr. YULEE, the said bill was read a second time by unanimous consent, and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent.

Resolved, That this bill pass, and that the title be as afore-aid.

Ordered. That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

# COMPENSATION OF POST MASTERS.

Mr. NILES, in reporting a bill from the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads, in amendment of an act, entitled "An act to amend the act entitled "An act to reduce the rates of postages, to limit the use and correct the abuse of the franking pevilege, and for the prevention of frauds on the revenues of the Post Office Department, passed the 3d of March, 1845," remarked, that the object of the bill was to correct a mistake made in the act that the object of the bill was to correct a mistake made in the act of last session, which was intended to increase the commission of post masters, but which, by an inaccuracy in the drawing of the bill, was made to diminish the commission. In order to correct the mistake, and to give to the act the effect obviously in ended by both Houses of Congress, this bill was now reported. It was also designed to authorize a resettlement of such accounts of postmasters as had been made under the erroneous construction of act, and to imdemnify them, or in other words to give due effect to the act of last session, in the case of all accounts which had been set- led under it

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

### ADVERSE REPORT

Mr. BRIGHT, from the Committe on Revolutionary Claims, to whom was referred the memorial of Maria Caldwell Robertson, submitted an adverse report thereon,

### JETHRO WOOD'S PATENT.

On motion by Mr. CLARKE, the Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the hill to further extend the patent of Jethro Wood.

The question being put on agreeing to the amendment moved by Mr. BALDWIN, on the 28th inst., it was determined in the af-

The bill was then reported to the Senate and the amendment concurred in

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent.

Resolved. That this bill pass, and that the title thereof he as aforesaid.

Ordered. That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

# ADDITIONAL CLERKS IN THE PATENT OFFICE.

On motion by Mr. WESTCOTT, the bill to provide for additional examining clerks in the Patent Office, and for additional fees in certain cases, was read the second time, and considered as in Committee of the Whole.

On motion, by Mr. BREESE, it was

Ordered, That the further consideration thereof be postponed until to-morrow

### SIGNING OF A BILL.

The VICE PRESIDENT signed the enrolled bill, making fur-ther provision for surviving widows of the soldiers of the revolu-tion; and it was delivered to the Committee to be presented to the President of the United States.

#### MESSAGES FROM THE PRESIDENT.

The following messages were received from the President of the United States, by Mr. Walkea, his Secretary:

To the Senate of the United States.

To the Sensie of the United States:

I communities the newerh is report from the Secretary of War, with the accompanying decements, in answer to the readinties of the Sensie, of the 48th instant, repeating decements, in answer to the readinties of the Sensie, reports of pitch communications, which are referred to in the letter of Georgia of the Secretary of War, and which are so referred to, a text change the sews of Georgia Taylor, periodoly communicated, in regard to the incommunication of Georgia Taylor, periodoly communication, in regard to georgia communication from any officer of the army on the stone subject. No. and say number communication from any officer of the army on the stone subject.

Washington, January 31, 1848.

To the Senate of the United States

I transmit her-with a report of the Secretary of War, containing the information called far in the resolution of the Senate of the 20th instant, in relation to General Orders, No. 376, usued by General Scott, at Headquarters, Mexico, bearing date the 15th December last.

JAMES K POLK

Washington, January 31, 1848.

To the Senate of the United States:

t transmit herewith the annual report of the Director of the Mot at Philadelphia, showing the operation of the Mint and Brauch Mints for the year 1847.

AMES K. POLK

Washington, January 31, 1848.

The messages were severally read, and ordered to be printed.

#### BILLS FROM THE HOUSE.

The bills from the House of Representatives for the relief of Mary Brown, widow of Jacob Brown; for the relief of George Newton; and for the relief of Russell Goss; were severally read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Pensions.

The bills from the House of Representatives for the relief of the legal representatives of James Brown, deceased; and for the re-lief of Alborne Allen; were severally read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee of

The bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of Edward Quinn, was read the first and second times by manimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

#### PERSONAL EXPLANATION.

Mr. SEVIER asked the indulgence of the Senate for a few moments, in order to correct a misrepresentation of remarks made by him a few days since. He found an article in the "National him a few days since. He found an article in the "National Intelligencer" of Saturday last, mder the imposing caption—"Acquisition of desired territory by force, and not of right, boldly arowed as the principle of the administration"—in which it was attempted to show, that he had affirmed such a principle. Now, he had never broached such a doctrine. He had never contended, he did not now contend, that any power had a right to take he had the such as the such the claim to the right of navigating the Mississippi was the ground on which the action of the government had been predicated; and that the claim on which the act of 1806 rested, was the ted; and that the chain on which the act of 1800 rested, was the title which the United States government believed they had hy vir-tue of the purchase of Louisnan. He did not, however, charge the "Intelligencer" with deliberate misrepresentation, as the re-port of his remarks was not quite correct. In justice to the Re-porter, it was proper to add, that the report was submitted to him (Mr. 8.) but being engaged on committee business at the time, he 

### TEN REGIMENT BILL

The Senate resumed the consideration of the bill to raise, for a limited time, an additional military force.

Mr. DOWNS.—I had no intention, Mr. President, when this bill first earne up in the Senate, to say anything upon the subject. I thought, sir, that our progress in this war with Mexico was such—we having advanced to the interior of that country, taken possession of its capital, and accomplished everything, practically, that the army and the President could accomplished—that at the meeting of this Coupress, when it came together, it would, in its deliberations upon this subject, rather indicate what further steps should be taken in the natter, and wanding the property of the progression of the prog denotiations upon time supect, rather finite at what intriner steps should be taken in the matter, and would occupy their time in action rather than words. I had no expectation whatever, when this bill was first introduced, that its passage would be delayed, and that the measures recommended by the President would be

opposed.

I find, however, that I have been very much mistaken. When it was proposed, for the first time, to take up this hill, I happened not to be in the Senate on that day, and I believe it was 'the only

day in which I was absent since the commencement of the session—but, upon inquiring, I found that opposition was made to such consideration, and there was a very close vote as to whether the bill should be taken up. I understood from that face, at that time, that some obstructions would be thrown in the way of its passage; but, still, I did not anticipate that decided opposition to the bill, which has since been manifested on the other side of the

chamber.

Mr. President, as we have had so much discussion, and so much difference of opinion as to the origin of this war; and as, perhaps, we shall hereafter have a difference of opinion as to the question by whom the opposition to the bill was commenced, I well, to Justice of the opinion of the start of the opinion of the start of the opinion of the start of the opinion of the Senante, in the debate on this bill, I do not, for one, desire to have the question left in doubt as to who commenced to protracted this war of discussion. When the attempt was first made, sir, to call this bill up for consideration, the objection, as I understood from the "Official Proceedings," to taking it up, was not one of direct opposition to the bill, but, since the opinion of the Senante opinion to the bill, but, since the opinion of the Senante opinion to the bill, but, since the opinion of the Senante opinion to the bill, but, since the opinion of the Senante opinion to the bill, but, since the opinion of the Senante opinion to the bill, but, since the opinion of the Military Committee.

We soon, however, came to moder stage of procedure in this matter soone days after, when the hall was again called an antendaeut was offered by the Senator from Remucky, GM, Cartrinspes,] to change the provisions, Sec. Still I did not understand that to be opposition to the bill, for, if I understood the Senator's remarks, on that subject; it was not denied that the President should be properly sustained in the conduct of this war. And I am more confirmed in that opinion, from an incident that occurred about that period. In some preliminary denoission at that makey, seemed to be very innoh supprised, at an incident that control that the proper some to be represented as an incidental remark that full from the Senator from Mississippi was not so well founded, as he affirmed it to be. I supposed that there had been no serious objection of the Senator from Kentteky, and I thought that the objection of the Senator from Kentteky, and I thought that the objection of the Senator from Kentteky, but such opposition was intended; but that there was only some difference from the amendment of the Senator from Kentteky, that such opposition was intended; but that there was only some difference of point in such as the details of this particular measure. But, Mr. President, we soon arrived at other stages of this business. It was remarked the other day, by an homorable Senator on the other side (I do not now recollect which), that the Chairman of the Committee on Military Affairs, and those connected with him in the support of his bill, were 'imaking considerable progress'—that the provider of the such as the support of the but he now seemed to be disposed to regard it as not so unreasonable, or so monstrous—that such an idea might be rolerated, and that therefore he was anking some progress'—

Now, I must say in reply, that those who are thus charging in with inconsistency, are changing the position which they had assumed at the commencement, very rapidly. It is they who have been making progress; but I must be allowed to say that it is a progress backwards—from the time when the bill was first proposed to the present moment, they have manifested an increasing opposition to the prosecution of this war. Not only do they find with the suggestions of the War Department: with the reports of the Chairman on Military Alfiairs, and with all the authorities usually allowed on such occasions, but they resist and context them at every point, and have denounced in the strongest terms the prosecution of the war. That was the stage in the course of their proceedure upon this subject, marked on thy the Senator from Delaction of the war. That was the stage in the course of their proceedure upon this subject, marked on thy the senator from Connectical Control of the Chairman of the strongest terms the prosecution of the war. That was the stage in the course of their proceedure upon this subject, marked on the was still another stage—where they will stop in their own.—where they will stop in their own.—the Senator from Connectical—officer are resolution which took will higher ground it in the first place that Mexico had no public domain, and, secondly, if she had, she had no power to cede any of said territory to us; and, therefore, our idea of acquiring territory for indemnity was altogether force, our idea of acquiring territory for indemnity was altogether force, our idea of acquiring territory for indemnity was altogether force, our idea of acquiring territory for indemnity was altogether force, our idea of acquiring territory for indemnity was altogether force, our idea of acquiring territory for indemnity was altogether made has but astomshed me the more. These large that the same and all an altogether the w

quently of revolue resulting from such acquisition, must be taken into account. Suppose we had never received a dollar or of this immense territory acquired by the acquisition of Louisina, would not the sequisition, considering the millions of revenue received at New Orleans, have been a sufficient inducement to its acquirement? I believe Mexico has a public domain to cele, but whether she has or not I do not rare a straw. We want the territory for the spread of population, the increase of our commerce, and the extension of our liberties, just as we wanted Louisiana and the valley of the Missessippi, Floridas, and Fexas. I shall not follow the Senator Missessippi, Floridas, and Fexas. I shall not follow the Senator flower of the senator of the se

for us to take it, if she cannot cede it.

Such, then, sir, is the history of the opposition thus far, to this bill. Befort I proceed further, however, I will advert to an argument man by the controlle Senator from Vernom, who list at decreased the by the controlle Senator from Vernom, who list at decreased the street of the senator of the senator of the controlled senator of the senator of the controlled senator of the se

There is another precliminary remark which I desire to make, and it is in reference to the astonishing distrust manifested on the order is deference to the astonishing distrust manifested on the other side of the chamber, with respect to the recommendation of the President, the Secretary of War, and the Chairman of the Countries of Military Affairs. I believe it has hitherto been generally considered, that in eases where the honor and interest of the country are involved in a controversy with a foreign power, party spirit should be assauged. And I think the history of the country shows that, in the highest party times, when such cases have arisen, party spirit has subsided. It was so, I received, some years ago, when the question of the North Eastern Boundary was a negitation. Then, nearly, by unanimous cossent—indeed, the President, to meet any contingency which might arise. So it was also, I believe, at the commencement of this war, but the spirit of party opposition, has gradually arisen, and every measure suggested for the prosecution of the war, is now most seruplously scrattlength.

In now proceed to give some of the grounds of my vare upon this bill, in addition to the reasons given for its passage by the Chairman of the Committee on Military Affairs, and so eloquently sustained by another member of the committee, (the Sentator from Mississ-upi.) whose heroic and skilld exploits in two of the most billiant buttles—and I regret to say also as his own honorable wounds lust too clearly attest—the most bloody battles of the war—Minterer said Ruena Vista—dds a weight to whatever he says quently attest—the most bloody battles of the war—and the says of the war—and the says of the says of the part of the says of the sa

believe them both perfectly true. He said that in all the annals of American warfare American volunteers in anything like equal numbers never had been beaten, and never could while our present institutions existed. The battle fields of New Orleans, Buona Vista, Cerro Gordo, Brazilor, Sacramento and others, attest the

truth of what he said

truth of what he said.

In the debates on this bill there has been, I think, some incorrectness in the statements of those who oppose the bill, no doubt,
maintentional, as to the force now authorized and required by the
Executive and the Committee, calculated to lead to error. It has been variously stated by different speakers, from ninety to a hundred thousand men, and the increase contempated by the bill now under consideration, and the bill for twenty regiments of voluments of the consideration o men. I do not so understand it. As I understand it from the Reports of the Adjutant General's Office, the present forces authorized are:

Regulars, Volunteers Total authorized,

If we add to this the ten regiments contemplated by this bill we make the whole amount 73,166. The force in actual service from the last returns are:

Regulars, Volunteers, Volunteers from Michigan and Alabama, 2 200 on their way, 49.719 Making in all in actual service,

From these statements it will appear that the number that can be kept in scrivee, of those authorized by law, is about two-thind. This calculation will give for the actual force in service after the ten new regiments are added, about 48,925, say fifty thousand men. And if the twenty additional regiments of volunteers be added to the forces previously authorized, the number that would be actually kept in service according to this mode of calculation would be 62,000. This bill and the bill authorizing the twenty would be 62,000. This bill and the bill authorizing the twenty regiments of volunteers, does not, as has been represented, add thirty thousand men to the number in actual service. They do not necessarily add a single man to either arm of the service; they only authorize the President to do so if he deems it neces-

While on this branch of the subject I desire to make a few re-While on this branch of the subject 1 desire to make a few remarks in reply to the arguments offered against the bill and in favor of the amendment submitted by the Senator from South Carolina, (Mr. Butten, ) which is still pending. That amendment proposes, instead of increasing the number of the regiments, to add a certain number of men to each company. I do not know much about military affairs, sit, but I confess that this amendment struck me as rather extraordinary, particularly when it was considered that the peace establishment of the United States had been already were mech autometed in the number of consocial in the sidered that the peace establishment of the United States had been already very much augmented in the manner proposed in the amendment; and above all, after the remarkable manifestations which the army had given of its efficiency under the present orga-nization. The experiment of making that change; in this organiza-tion appeared to me to be of doubtful expediency, to say the least of it. A slight departure, even from the actual bished system which be proved so eminently efficient, might he actualed with danger. I do not say, that there would positively be danger in making the change proposed by the amendment, but I prefer leaving the organization of proposed by the amendment, but I prefer leaving the organization of the army precisely as it is at present. The Senator from South Cacolina recommends his amendment on the score of economy. In some cases great economy is the worst economy. We cannot expert when we go to war that we can prosecute it without expense. I perfectly agree with gentlemen, who declare that when we go to war, we must pay for it. We must tax the people. I regard as one of the consequences of this war, the necessity of happening taxes to meet the experted times and dufficient of the consequences of this war, the necessity of appening the consequence of the service of the consequence of the consequ ready to go as in a saily reasonable main, in the imposition of its expense I know must be great, and for it we must provide. But considerations of economy are not to enter into the calculations of a people, when they find it necessary to enter into a war. The great object of war is success, and that is to be sought at any cost. We are now engaged in war, and we must prosecute it to a suc eessful close. It is no time now to sit down, and counting the cost, cut off some of the odds and ends. If economy is your object why not strike off half of the officers of the army? I we are to adopt this economical policy, why not proceed to cut down all the officers? I have no fear as to our inability to meet the expenses of this war. We have met them thus far, and we shall be fully able to meet them hereafter. I cannot, therei amendment of the Senator from South Carolina. I cannot, therefore, concur in the

In the course of the argument on that amendment something was said about the patronage of the Executive, and in reference to that I have a few words to offer. I know that complaints have been made with reference to the patronage disposed of by the Exebeen made with reference to the patronage disposed of by the Exe-cutive in the appointment of the principal officers of the army en-gaged in this war. But, I think, sir, that an attentive examina-tion of the army list will formish evidence of some justification for the conduct of the Executive. As the head of the list there does seem to be a considerable preponderance of democratic names, but if you look further down you will discover that the officers appoin-ted by the Executives of those States in which Whig influence pre-vails, have been selected from the ranks of that party. For my-

self. I would never permit such distinctions of party to operate in the selection of officers for the armies of the country; and never, in any recommendations which I have made, have such distinctions in any recommendations which I have made, have such distinctions been permitted to exercise the slightest influence. In the defence of the honor and interests of the country, Whigs and Democrats alike have participated, and should fairly share in the office and emoluments of the army. If the President had acted in this matter in the exclusive spirit, that has been alledged against him, I should have condemned him most emphatically. But when I find that the spirit of exclusiveness in appointments to office commenced on the other side, before the general staff was organized. I be-lieve that the President was not at all to blame in attempting to make something like a balance, by appointing a large number of

- Mr. MANGUM .-- If the honorable Senator will allow me, I would remark that in reference to my own State, that such a course as he describes was not adopted by the Executive of that State ; and that a very meritorious and scientific gentleman of the democratic pariy received an appointment; indeed, I helieve that all the appointments were made irrespective of party considera-tions. As the Schator is aware, we had a Whig Excentive in that
- Mr. DOWNS.—I am very glad that the honorable Senator in-terrupted me, because if in error, at any time, I shall always be happy to be corrected. I recollect an instance in the gentleman's pappy to be corrected. I recoilect an instance in the gentlement who are fact, which sustains me in the position I have assumed with respect to these appointments of the Executives of the State. I had the pleasure of being introduced last summer to a gentleman who commanded one of the new regiments in the regular range (Colonel Wilson—and ascertained the fact that although a main of wealth and standing in the community, having volunteered when the first call was made on the country, and been elected to some subordinate office, yet contrary to general expectation, he did not receive from the State Executive the command of one of the regireceive from the state executive the command of one of the regi-ments, and that in consequence of the neglect, the President ap-pointed him to the command of one of the regiments. But I re-garded it as a very strong case in point; and I had it in view when I made the remark which elicited the interruption of the honorable
- Mr. MANGUM.-The fact is as stated by the honorable Sens-MIT, STANUUM.—Inc linct is as stated by the honorable Sensitor. Colonel Wilson was afterwards appointed by the Executive here, and two strong letters from the Whig Senators of this body were written recommending him to the appointment. That was the spirit which, so far as I know, characterized the public authorities of North Carolina.
- Mr. DOWNS.—I have no knowledge of the proceedings in North Carolina except in this instance, which I confess, struck me as one of those affording a justification of the course of the Presi-dent. I did not, however, intend to refer to a particular case, but to speak generally of the course which had been taken in making the appointments. I do not pretend to go into all the instances occurring in the several States, but I speak of the general result, occurring in the several oraces, our repeats of the general resun, and I believe that upon investigation, it will be found that a large portion of the appointments of officers were made from the party which is opposed to the President in thier political views. This, sir, I believe, has been the liberal course of the Executive on this

Before I quit this part of the subject, there is one other reason which I will state, why I prefer regular forces to volunteers.— Their duties are very much the same, and as the war is conducted their period of service will be much the same, yet the difference

the there is the officers of this description of force is very material. The volunter officers not holding their commissions from the United States there is no opportunity for promotion. They may go there and fight for years, and though they perform the same duties as are performed by the officers of the regular army and achieve as gallant exploits, yet they can receive to advancement.

acmere as gainant exports, yet they can receive no advancement. Those who begin as engiains will he eaptrains still, and those who command as lieutenants will be lieutenants still.

There was a remark made by the honorable Senator from South Carolina who last addressed the Senate upon this subject, and who offered an amendment to this bill in which I camot concur. It seemed to be based upon the idea that the engagement of volunteers of the adjustment of genular transa goodly not be absorbed that the second to be added to be based upon the idea that the engagement of volunteers of the adjustment of genular transa goodly not be absorbed by the second that the seco ers or the enlistment of regular troops could not be accomplished.

"Why is it that the Executive, as we are informed, cannot riuse the number of troops which by law he has authority to riuse, for extrying on this was in the heart of New York of the Control of the Cont

Now, I do not understand the President as taking any such ground. I have never understood that there has been a single case in which a call has been made for volunteers, in which that call has not been fully and completely answered. In Keatucky, Tennessee, and, in fact, in all the States, bu last calls were not

only responded to at once, but more men were offered than could

Mr. BUTLER .- I beg to interrupt the Senator for a moment. He has not exactly stated my proposition. In contending, as I did contend, that if the additional troops called for were necessary the content, use it one administration crosp-critical to were necessary, they could be supplied in the manner proposed by my amendment to the bill, I asked the question, why not raise them in this way of One answer was that the men would prefer entering a new organization. But my proposition was, that if additional troops were needed they could be added to the old regiments instead of inentring the expense of creating new ones

Mr. DOWNS .- I do not see in the remarks of the Senator any distinction between the two descriptions of forces. On the contrary, the ten regiments authorized by the bill of last session, were filled up with extraordinary rapidity. I do not know that there is any complaint in the recruiting for the old regiments. Almost

any complaint in the recruiting for the old regiments. Almost every newspaper that was received from New Orleans, announced the arrival of fresh trougs, to fill up the reduced companies. But, while upon this subject, permit me to make another remark, as an additional reason for the passage of this bill. There is no doubt that recruiting in the new regiments, will be more rapid than in the old regiments. And the reason is that where you appoint officers from civil life, they go into the country where they are known, and, consequently, the men the more readily under left in serve under them. ake to serve under them.

dertake to serve under them.

I do not know certainly how many of our troops in Mexico, were lost in battle, and by disease respectively, but I suppose at least as many were lost by the last, as by the first. Many at any rate tell by disease, and in consequence of the late period in which they

tell by disease, and in consequence of the late period in which may narrived at Verra Cruz.

If we then pass this bill at once, we can send them off at an early period, so as to escape the diseases of the climate, which have been heretofore encountered. But if we continue to debate the bill indefinitely, if we go on talking without acting, we shall not only do as was done last session, but the evil will be much greater than last year; because this is a very long session, and may be ex-tended indefinitely to the midst of summer; thereas last year the delay could not extend beyond the fourth of March. It was for reasons of this kind, as stated by the Honorable Chairman of the Committee on Military Affairs, that I thought it would be far pre-ferable to pass this bill without any unnecessary delay.

As the remarks which I shall make, are in a great degree in answer to arguments which have been made in opposition to the bill, I must say that I should not have spoken at all, if it had not been

for those arguments. I now wish to advert to another of the positions assumed by the honorable Senstor from South Carolina, and lest I should be mis-taken, I will quote the language of the gentlemen.

"Flat, str. has a se far a - I will go at an altinutum of any proposition of price to that Government. I would must, in the first nextuce, in all incomes, of accoration, pright of Texas. But then the question may be shed:—and it is a page question—how would you establish any other line for separating the tentions of the United States from Mexica? I think not, are the line for the tention of the United States from Mexica? I think not, are list, by war of making it is acceptable as possible to Mexica, and with a shoreer desare to terminate this war, I would not hestitic, if a roce to her terms of passes upon the difficulties, with the light, however, to dominat from a price of the price of the price of the state of the strength of the price of the pri

Now, I have a very great objection to the first proposition, considered in this extract. I object totally and peremptorily to sending any more ambassadors to Mexico. We have sent too many already. The President was right at first, however, because our arready. The President was right at first, however, because our true policy is for peace, where it can be honorably obtained. Yet, although the President at the early stages of this war did make them these offers of peace, nothing has been done towards the con-clusion of a peace by them. Even now, after they are completely overthrown, their capital taken and army destroyed, still terms of peace are rejected.

Under these circumstances we ought not to send to them smoother ambassaoto, high or low. We have made propositions many times and they would not accept them—we have now conquered them—they are in our power. Shall we, then, solicit agadu until they consent to treat with us? If I were to send an embassey at all, it should not be with used an ultimatum as the honorable Senator from South Cruolina proposes. Why should we now agree to take the Oregon boundary as the extent of our file, giving them Under these circumstances we ought not to send to them and

take the Oregon beundary as the extent of our title, grying them to understand that we expect nothing more, and leaving to themselves to propose a boundary for indemnity.

This would be giving them new life or encouragement. If the President could be so weak as to present such a proposition, they would say at once "there is some mystery in this sudden change, ome difficulty in the affairs of the American Government," which would encourage them to preserve in hestbluss, under the impression that we would ultimately be compelled to give up our consists and this would be consistent of this would be a cross-match to the consistency of t quests; and this would be a reasonable conclusion from such a proposition.

position.

I now come to some general remarks which I wish to make upon the question of the war with Mexico. And first, sir I have to say that there is one thing which has appeared to me very singusay must there is one thing which has appeared to me very singu-lar in this discussion on the part of gentlemen on the other side of the chamber. The President in his message has declared what he thinks as the right course to be pursued and gentlemen of the Democratic party generally, have concurred with the views he has taken; while those on the opposite side, object to the policy in the most decided manner. But they do not tell us what they would do. It is very easy to find fault, but not so easy to tell us what would be the right course to pursue.

The studied silence of our opponents on this subject is the more surprising because we had indications before the meeting of this session of Congress, that the objects of the war ought to be de-clared, and the President compelled to abide by them. Mr. Clay, in his Lexington speech, said:

in his Lexington speceth, saut:

'I conclude, therefore, Mr. Pescalent and follow-cinzen, with entire confidence, that Congress has the right, other as the beginning or during the possessituation of say, war, to develoc the oligist, and purposes for which it was practimed, or for which it ought to be continued. And I think it is the duty of Congress, by some deliberate multi-unlimit act, in delens for what objects the present vary shall be looped prose multi-unlimit act, in delens for what objects the present vary shall be looped prose on the proposed will of Congress, and to employ the force and the diplomatic power of the constant of the proposed will of Congress, and to employ the force and the diplomatic power of the constant of the proposed state of the p

This is frank and decided. I do not see any reasonable objec-

tions to the course suggested.

I do not know what the President's opinions are—I speak only for myself—but I would be very glad, and I think the President would also be gratified if Congress would adopt such a course. Why has not this course been adopted (

There has been another announcement of this principle, and a

very emphatic one from another quarter.

I read only this morning, this decided declaration of opinion from a gentleman in another department of the general government.

My DECR SIR. To all human appearance, the termination of this innerable was with Mexico is more remote than when the first blow was struck. In my judgment, it was unnecessarily and unconstitutionally commenced by manching our army into disputed territory in the pure-scoop of Mexico. And I think that Congress, who unquestionably have the power, should plan as end to the war or just and honoisable pincal.

After agreeing upon the terms on which a treaty should be made, they should call on the Liceutive by re-oblino to offer a peace to Mexico upon that basis, and, the state of t

This is perfectly frank and clear and admits of no doubt

I use is perfectly frame and crear and numers of no deduct. If gentlemen approve of this doctrine let them subscribe to it boldly. There it is, I want a simple response—yes or no. Do you approve of it or do you not? I have, and the people have a right to demand from gentlemen on the other side, what are their

views?

I have no idea that there will be any peace until the presidential election is over; and is it to be supposed that the people are going to vote in the dark?

I do hope, then, that those gentlemen who differ with us so much, and ind so much fault, will propose a plan of their own, or at all events, that they will say on this question, yea or may. Let them sign the bond. Here it is in unequivocal terms.

I want to know whether sley will propose to withdraw the army to give up not only the indemnity of milhors, which our crizzens to the proposed of the proposed o

Mr. BUTLER .- I beg the Senator will allow me to say that I never assumed any such proposition, and I do not think that my as has been drawn. I am quite at a loss to know how the gentleman could have arrived at such a conclusion. I certainly said that I would allow Mexico the priviledge of indicating a line, without at would aflow Mexico the priviledge of indicating a line, without at all pledging myself to accede to it. I did not wish to extort terms from her under duresse—at the mouth of the cannon. So far as regards the indemnity due us, whatever be the amount, I stated that I would insist on an adjustment of it, allowing Mexico sim-

ply freedom in the conduct of the negociation-giving her the ply freedom in the conduct of the negociation—giving her the privilege of indicating such boundaries as would be most favorable to permanent peace between the two republics. I said nothing to authorize the extravagant proposition of the Senator from Louisi-

Mr. DOWNS.—The gentleman, I hope, did not understand me as saying he used those identical words, "falling on our knees." Thus was a mere figure of speech; I did thiak, hovever, and still think, that thit was very much the meaning of the language employed by the honorable Senator.

In the line of argument which I have marked out for myself on this subject, I shall next proceed to consider the causes of the war. It is said that the President made this war by ordering the troops

to the Rio Grande. I differ from this opinion totally.

I believe the war could not have been avoided, that it was the

natural consequence of the conduct and the folly of the weak and imbeeile government of Mexico.

If it were necessary to look for other causes of the war, than this conduct of Mexico, I could suggest another cause much more

this conduct of anexico, I coint suggest another cause inden more probable than that of the conduct of the President.

I believe it grew out of the obstinacy of the Mexican government in insisting upon her claim to the dominion of Toxas after all ment in insisting upon her claim to the dominion of Texas after all the principal nations of the world had recognized her as an independent republic. But, if I should look for other causes than this at home, and if I should sup that it arcse not alone from the perversity of Mexico, but from the actions and opinions of certain persons within the United States, I should be much nearer the truth than by attributing it to any act of the President or of General Taylor. It was more owing to the position taken be certain perthan by attributing it to any act of the Testern of the Ostera. Taylor. It was more owing to the position taken by certain persons in this country. I allode to the announcement made in 1844 from a very high quarter, that the annexation of Texas was a cause of war. Mr. Clay in his letter of 1844 says:

"Teopider the annexation of Texas at this time, without the assent of Mexico, componing the notional character and involving us certainly in war with Mexico, and with other foreign powers."

This cry has been kept up ever since, and is the more likely to be the cause of the war, than any act of the President.

Now I do not say that this declaration was the cause of war; very far from it; but if we are to attribute it to any cause arising within the country, this is the cause to which I would point. There were peculiar circumstances which gave to this declaration extraordinary weight, with Versica. at that time.

extraordinary weight, with Mexico, at that time.

The very late that two great powers of Europe, England and France, had certain questions of interest connected with Texas, which made them opposed to the annexation of that republic to the United States, they felt, that if she became a portion of this Union their commercial privileges would be less. They wished her te remain an independent nation, and to continue her commercial relations with them.

It was natural that Mexico should adopt the suggestions of the

There was natural interest and adopt the suggestion of the shrewd diplematists of those nations, and reasolve to fight at out.

There was another reason also, the Oregon dispute was the existing. Mexico in this whole affair has been unfortunate. One of these misfortunes is, that this Oregon question existed at the time Mr. Sildell was sent as our minister. There is every probaof these mistortunes is, that his Oregon question existed an intime Mr. Slidell was sent as our minister. There is every probability he would have been received and the dispute settled, if Mexico could have foreseen what occurred a few months afterwards, if it had not been for the idea, that we were likely to be involved in war with England on account of the Oregon question, she would have listened to reasonable terms, and the controversy would have been settled in 1845. I think then, that those who impute this war to the President of the United States, or to Gen-Taylor's march to the Rio Grande should be very cautions

eral Taylor's march to the Rio Grande should be very cautions how they use such a weapon. I do not know what are the intentions or purp-ses of gentlemen on the other side in this matter, but I have a right to guess; and though I cannot divine their purposes, as they keep so close on the subject; if they do intend, by the position which they assumed here to fight the battle of annaxation over again, I shall not shrink from the contest, though I did think that that question had been settled, and the people believed that it had been settled. It was a question about which we differed, and which we fought manfully. One party prevailed; and I, for one, was willing to let the question about the settled of the property of the property of the set of the property prevailed; and I, for one, was willing to let the question about the set of the property prevailed; and I, for one, was willing the the settled to the set of the property prevailed t

rest rover. In the you throw down a gammet, I win hot nestate to take it up.

While on the subject of the opinions of distinguished men, I wish to refer to another opinion. I allude, sir, to Mr. Gallatin and his pamphlet on the Mexican war. He says, too, that annexation was pamphlet on the Mexican war. He says, too, that annexation was actual war. I cannot view that document in the same light I do the speech or letter of the distinguished statesman of Kentacky.—
I may be wrong in this, but so it is, I differ in opinion 'equally from them both; but while I listen with respect and attention to one of them, the great Kenteckian, I cannot read the healthrations of the other without indignation. And this feeling is not because I undervalue the admonitions of the seed, as the young are too apt. I undervalue the admonitions of the seed, as the young are too apt. I is the property of the seed of the net one of which scarcely, could I bring my mind to assent I shall listen, whenever they speak, to other (Mr. D. here looked to-wards Messrs. BENTON and WERSTER) distinguished Scanators with like feelings. I feel, sir, and I believe that people of the United States feel that such men as I have just alluded to, have a right to speak and ought to be listened to with profound respect on ospeak and origin to be instended to with problem respect on questions of national honor and of foreign war. They were born in this land and have grown up with this country: they have done the state some service—their history, for almost balf a century, is their country's history—

# " The page of her story is filled with their names !

American genius has been appreciated, and American eloquence admitted and illustrated by them. Yes, sir, to such men, on all subjects, I will listen with respect, however I many differ with them. Not so, sir, with him who comes from other lands—first breathed other than American air—once owed allegiance to another power outer una American air—once owed allegiance to another power—has another native home, and attachments, and feelings, and projudices, and opinions, and sympathies, more or less influenced, as they must be, by recollections of that native home. He school Americans in American honor and national decorum! No, sit?

every impulse of my heart tells me no, and compels me to say it; I cannot and will not resist it. Doubtless we have committed errors in our foerign policy; we may commit thers; we should be more than human if we did not. But such, as I helieve, it ever has been—ander all parties—through all the vicissitudes of the most eventful period in the world's history for seventy-two years. So I hope and helieve it will continue, long after we have passed away, and when even these solid walls that have outlived the flames of one foreign war, shall mouddler to decay and fall in rains. American in origin—the inspiration of American mind, sustained by American arms and hearts—but unimidured by any thing that by American arms and hearts-but uninfluenced by any thing that

by American arms and hearts—but uninfluenced by any thing that is not indegenous to our soil—floreign governments, foreign presses, foreign I ayonets, foreign opinions of any, whether domiciliated at home or altroad, or any thing else foreign. Yes, sir, right or wrong, successful or unsuccessful, whig or democratic, I want it to be American, all American, and nothing but American. Let it not be said I am a Native American, and deery foreigners, and would deprive them of all power. Far from it? I have always taken an upposite course in all my public life on this sah, when the property of the property halls of my own State, when this subject was agitated in 1839, and where, on a still more memorable occasion, in the La Convention 1834-3, when a strong attempt was made, principally by those opposed to my party in politics, to disfranches, in some degree, loreigners. In one of the most pretracted and animated debates that, I believe, has ever occurred in America on the subject, I so effectually, with other democratic friends, sustained the rights of our adopted citizens, that a meeting of the naturalized citizens of New Orleans voted medials to some half a dezen of us, at the head of which was our eloquent leader on the occasion, my good friend Bernard Marigny, who, though born in a land then foreign, has, without changing his allegiance or the ties of his native home, become an American citizen by the process of annexation, and as good a one as ever lived in it. Yes, sir, give them almost all rights, as the federal constitution has, but I would request only that on

as the federal constitution has, but I would request out that on questions of national honor and foreign war, they should not at least speak first and loudest, if they speak at all.

I now come to another branch of the subject, viz. The position assumed by Senators on the other side, that the President of the United States was the cause of thought of the Branch of the United States was the cause of the open to the Rio Grant of the Order of the Control of the Control

And I think an examination of the facts connected with the bis-tory of the matter, will convince every impartial mind of its Whether annexation was a declaration of war or not, Mexico considered it so, and made war accordingly; and the President had no option—he did not make it. Here are the facts on this

Under date of August 23, 1843, the Mexican Minister of For-eign Relations, in the name of his government, addressed to our minister in Mexico the following language:

"The Mexican go eranged will consider equivalent to a declaration of war again the Mexican regular the ground probability of possible of the morphoston of Tesas with their regularity of the United States. Internation of the factoring sufficient, fact the proof of the theorem of the other probability of the proof of the decision of the Mexican nation in a struggle which it has been to fail from providing."

On the 12th of June, 1844, just two months after the signature by Mr. Calhour of the Treaty for the annexation of Texas, Santa Anna, then the President of Mexico, announced to the government of the United States of that Mexico was resolved again to undertake vigorously the campaign against Texas, for which she held in readiness a large army; and further expressed the determination of Mexico upon the point as follows:

"That in no manner will she consent to dismember her termory—rather well she carry the war to any extreme which may be necessary to sostain her tights; and that each attained not the "THE THEMTON SPRINGERIANS THAT THERMTON SPRINGERIANS THAT THE WAS THE OPTIONS OF YIME, INCREMENTS AND OTHE GRAND HILDREN; THAT THIS WAS THE OPTIONS OF YIME, INCREMENTS AND OTHER DEPENDS AND THE PERENT AND."

Santa Anna followed up this declaration by issuing in the same Santa Anna followed up this declaration by issuing in the same month (June, 1844) a requisition for thirty thousand men, and \$1,000,000, to 'carry on the war against Texas. Generals Canalize and Woll were placed in command of the force raised upon this requisition; and luxing advanced to Mier, on the Texan frontier, Woll, at the head of his invading army, par forth a gen-eral order under date of June 20, 1844, menacing "every indi-vidual within one league of the left bank of the Rio fell Norte with the traitor's doom. Mr. Bocanegra, then the Mexican Minister of Foreign Rela-

tions, styled the act of Congress providing for annexation, in his circular letter to the various European ministers then resident in Mexico, under date of May 31, 1844, F a declaration of war be-

Mexico, more rate of May 24, very 1, a secondary tween the two nations. I self-a the Mexican minister protested against the arc of annexation, and demanded his passports. Our minister in Mexico was reliased all intercourse with that government, and was cold on the 2d of April, by the Mexican Minister of Foreign Affairs

"That the government of Mexico caunot continue diplomatic relations with the nited States upon the presumption that such relations are reconcilable with the law

which the Praident of the United States has approved in regard to the annexation of the department of Texas to the American Union; that this determination is founded upon the necessity which Mexico is nadered minimization profit mediately which has violated her obligations, usured a portion of territory which belongs to Mexico by a nght which she wild maintain at whatever cost.

On the 4th of June, 1845, President Herrera issued a proclamation, announcing the intention of Mexico to resist by arms the an nexation of Texas, and affirming:

"That the law of the United States in reference to the agreemation of Texas to the United States, does in nowise destroy the rights that Mexico has, and will enforce, up on that department."

Affixed to this proclamation, and published with it, were two decrees of the Mexican Congress—one setting forth that "the Mexican nation calls upon all her children to the defence of her national independence;" and the other announcing that the government would "call to arms all the forces of the army, according to the authority granted in the force of the army, according to the authority granted in the force of the army according to the authority granted in the force of the army according to the authority granted in the authority granted in the authority granted for the authority which kind the authority and and the authority and the

On the 24th of April, 1830, Paredes issued a manifesto, ex-pressing the determination in regard to the annexation which his government had taken immediately upon its accession to power in the beginning of that year, and while General Taylor was still at Corpus Christi. In this manifesto Paredes says:

"On resuming, in the beginning of the work, the heavy responsibility of guiding the destines of the nation during a short jeriod, I DETERMINIA RESOLUTIENT TO ARMSET ITS FOLKEY FROM THE WEAK AND PRESENT OR SYSTEM OF TREPORTING, which has been observed with right of the system of the resolution of the system of

In this same manifesto, issued on the 24th of April, 1846, and professing to state his policy and the grounds of it, ever since he assumed power in the beginning of the year, he further tells us that, at that time, he "had sent orders to the general-in-chief of the division of our Northern frontier, to act in hostility against the army which is in hostility against the "Northern Hostility of the Sh. Gen. Taylor advised the march to

the Rio Grande, in these words

For these reasons, our position thus far has, I think, heen the hest possible; but, now that the entire force will soon be concentrated, it may well be a question whether with pred deference that I make any suggestions on topic which may become matter of delete negations. But if our government, in setting the question of foundary will be greatly finished and hatered be until had government and the matter of the proposition of the properties of the properties

authority from the War Department.

"In case a forward inovernment should be ordered or authorized, I would recommend the occupation of Point Isabel and Laredo as best adapted to the purposes of observ-ing the course of the river and covering the frontier settlements of Texas,"

On the 13th of Jan, 1846, orders were issued in conformity with this suggestion, but carefully guarding against war, in these

"WAR DEPARTMENT, Washington, January 13, 1846.

Six. I am directed by the President in unfirst you to always a short per superior of the traps, under your columned, positives on m our the cast but not a few and the frage which is the Knoth for th

"In the positions you may take in currong out these instructions and other move-ments that may be node, the use of the Del Norte may be very convenient, if not me-crosary. Should not adrenge to excessive the right which the "Intel States have in common with Mexico to the free acceptance of this river, it is probable that Mexico would interpose resistance. You will not attempt to enforce this night without further

wonth interpret revisions.

It is not depict depend in our present relations with Mesore, that was should freat be a few and the first should be assume that elementer by a declaration of war, or any eyen and of floatility towards us, you will not act merely on the defensive, if your relative means enable you to do otherwise.

These orders were received on the 4th of February, 1816, and re excented according to their spirit, as the despatch of 16th February show

"I have taken occasion to represent to some citizens of Matimoras, who were been with a large number of mules for sale, and who are represented to have considerable unbrace at home, that the "Intel Paties government, not energying the Ros Grande, which was the property of the Ros Grande, which was the second of the second to the respective to the Macanas themselves and the river, takes baselities—should be commenced by the Vacciums themselves that the Macanas, long on this wide will not be distributed in any way by the technique that they will be protected in all their rights and sauges; and that every fung which makes the second of the s

On the 11th March the army marched from Corpus Christi; and On the 11th Juren the army macroecologic consists and a 12th Concerned Taylor extended the 12th Concerned Taylor of the 12th Concern

Communication with our Consul:

"General Worth — the American consul in arrest, or in prisan?

General La Vega.—No.

General Worth — he now in the executes of his proper function:?

General Worth — he now in the executes of his proper function:?

General Worth — he now in the executes of his proper function:?

General Worth — Then, as an American officer, is the name of my government and my commanding general, I demand an interview with the consul of my country.

No replay.

General Worth — Ha. Mexico declared war against the United States?

General Worth — Any the two constites still at peace?

General Worth — Any the two constites still at peace?

General Worth — Any the two constites still at peace?

General Worth — only the work of the consulting of the peace of the consulting of the peace of the consulting of the consulting of the peace of the consulting of t

mut the denous to General Mepa, adding that he thought there wome or great out. The denous the superstell, made in the most emphatic manner, and a right greated. Gen. La Vera existing the consult continued in the exercise of his functions, and that General West.

No right having been received from ties. La Vera relative to the denous does not be a considered on the continued of the continued of

Gen. Ampudia arrived at Matumoras on the 11th of April, and on the 12th, addressed this letter to Gen. Taylor, "by explicit and definitive words, from my (his) government."

"Your poverment, in an incredible manner—you will even peruit me fof say an extraorgani que, if the mage or general ribes established and recorded among all evident instances are gasteded—has not only model, of the like experted the Mexican in a time for each by explored and definitive orders of my government, which neither can, will, nor should recove new outcases. I require you is all florm, and at latest in the presupport serior of twenty four home, to brook up your camp and reture to the other can be recovered as the contract of the

God and Liberty <sup>1</sup> HEADQUARTERS AT MATAMORAS, 2 o'clock P. M., April 12, 1846 PEDRO II AMPUDIA. Senor General in Cluef of the United States Army, Don Z. Taylon,"

GENERAL TAYLOR'S ANSWER.

HEADQUARTERS ARMY OF GOLDPATION, Camp near Matamoras, Texas, April 12, 1846

"Sycon. These had the house to rever your act of the date, we which you amnow no to arbitract the house to rever your act of the date, we which you amnow no to arbitract the feares under my command from their posential postulo, and so also all the rever knees, must the penning question between our government, glotive to the lemis of Texas, shall be settled. I need hadly achieve no that, charged as I to the common of the international question movibed in the advance of the American stary.

"The instruction order which I can esting will not permit me to extragable from the common of the penning of the

On the 15th of that month, considering this an act of war, General Taylor blockaded the mouth of the Rio Grande. This is his report :

"In my last despatch I advised you that, on receipt of General Ampulsa's sommons to fall back's from my postuor, I endered a blockade of the mouth of the Ro Grande, deeming this measure perfectly proper under the circumstances, and, at the same time, the most efficient means of letting the Measure commander understand that has store of genes var ways on the temperature of the commander understand that his active of genes var ways on the temperature of the commander understand to the commander of the commander understand the content the mew with provisions. Vesterlay, I reversed from General Ampunda a roommunication on the subject, a translation of which, and my reply, are breast in the commander. It must dain my record of which, and my reply, are breast intermentally. It must dain my record the Mexicians to withink wither assay from Matamons, where it cannot be subsisted, or to assume the offensive on this side of the site."

[AMPUDIA'S REPLY.]

"Thyiston of the North, I Second General in-Chief.

Neural Graenal in Chif.

A world Graenal in Chif.

A world of confidence. I have learned that some secoch, bound for the nanonaccourse, world of confidence in the nanonaccourse of controllers that be of effect an entrance into that port, in consequence of your order, that they should be conditioned to Brasso-Santigao. The caugo of one of them is composed in great part, and of the other entirely, of privisions, which the contractors charged with growing first the annual production of the providing for the annual production of the proposed of the controllers of the providing for the providing the providing the providence of the providing th

On the 16th of April, Gen. Ampudia recognized a state of war, in his letter concerning Col. Cross, in these terms:

"In reply to your note which I received yesterday, I have the konor to state that if Colonel Cross, quartermaster general of the forces, under your command, had been found at any of the multiary posts, under our orders, his of would have been that of a prisoner of war, treated with the conseleration due his rank, and according to the runse, perceited by the law of anxions and of way, well conselered in this stantons are

On 24th of April, General Arista arrived, assumed the command, and notified Gen. Taylor of the existence of war, and on the same day, giving the word and the blow at the same time. Captain Thornton and his command, was attacked and defeated, as the following dispatch will show.

"Headquarter: Army of Occupation, Camp near Matamoras, Texas, April 26, 1846.

"Headinganter: Army of Occupation, Camp near Matamons, Texa, April 26, 1816, Ser. Livar expectfulice report that General Anna arraved in Matamons on the 24th netant, and assumed the chief command of the Mevcan troops. Or the same day he address in a communication, concerved in controvanterms, that saying that he considered hordrines communicate, and cloud procedure them. A translation of the more and copy of communication, and address the considered hordrines communicated the came be prepared.

I regard to report that a party of directory, sent out by me on the 24th instant, to watch the common of therever above, out this bank, because engaged with a very large-force of the enemy, and after a thorse that the control of the control

Next comes Gen. Taylor's letter to Ampudia, of the 22d of April. explaining his course up to this time:

Next comes Gen. Taylor's letter to Ampudia, of the 22d of Aprilectplaining his course up to this time:

"On heading up my counts of Ornas Chaid, and moving forested with the amy under my others to everythe the find of the Re. Release, a consequence of the cons

No volunteers were called for except two companies from Texas, until 26th of April, though full authority had already been given for the same-see despatch of that day

Hostilities may now be considered as commenced, and I have this day deemed u necessary to call upon the governor of Texas for four regiments of volunteers, two to be mounted and two to extre as foot. As some delay must occur in collecting these

30TH CONG .- 1ST SESSION .- No. 25.

troops, Have also described for government. Lorenteen to send out from regiments of in-factly as soon as practicable. This was assumed an activate base of nearly 5,000 ones, which will be explained to proceed the save with energy has carry it as it should matter, and will give the necessary orders to the staff departs on the first he supply of this large additional force."

From these facts, I think it results clearly that the war did not commence by the act of the President; that it was commenced by Mexico, as she had determined from the first, and that nothing would have prevented it sooner or later. But if there was, any cause which brought on the crisis at this particular time, it was first, the blockade of the mount of the Kin Grande, which, in the language of General Taylor, must necessarily compel the Mexicans either to retreat or to cross the river and light him; and, secondly, the failure of that General to call for volunteers, as he was an horized to do, after he arrived on the Rio Grande, and was informed of the hostile intentions of the Mexicans. He arrived to the Rio Grande, and was informed of the hostile intentions of the Mexicans. From these facts, I think it results clearly that the war did not there nearly a nonth before the attack on Captain Thoratrived if he had then called on Texas and Louisiana for volunteers, the rapidity with which they flew to his assistance aftewards, shows it they might have arrived at the scene of action before the 24th of April. It can hardly be supposed if 2000 or 3000 additional troops had been with General Taylor, that the Mexicans would trougs had been with General Taylor, that the Mexicans would have crossed the river. These events occurred without the knowledge of the President of the United States, and before the lasts could be communicated to him and any order given. No orders or authority was ever given to blockade the mouth of the river, on the contrary, General Taylor was instructed not to insist on the joint navigation of that river, if it should be objected to by the Miximus. General Taylor was repeatedly reminded of the the Mickleans. General Layior was repeatedly reminded of the ample authority given him to call for volunteers, and be, and not the President was the best judge of what was necessary. He had a generous confidence in his army, that it was able to with stand the force which the Mexicans could bring against it, and subsequent events have proved that he was correct. But the Mexicans did not know at that time. They had no idea of our prowess; but I do not think they would have crossed the river against a large force.

I do not blame Gen. Taylor for the course he adopted—it may have precipitated the war a little sooner than it would otherwise have precipitated the war a inter sooner than it would otherwise bave occurred, but still as it must have occurred at last, and as we made a glorious beginning on the fields of Palo Alto, and Reseca de la Palma, I see no cause to regret it. All I wish to say is, that for these events, the President of the United States is not responsible.

Before I close, there is another fact which I wish to submit to the consideration of the Senate. Those on the other side of the house, contend that the President brought on the war by ordering house, contend that the President brought on the war by ordering the army to the Rio Grandle, a territory either belonging to Mexico, or in dispure. Whatever difference of opinion may exist on the question of boundary, it was clearly the duty of the President to recognise the Rio Grande as the true boundary. Acts of Congress had recognised it as such; they were the Laws of the land, and he was bound to obey them, whatever opinions may have been entertained by the Mexican government, or others on the question of boundary. That he was right in considering this Austrean territory, and the Mexican process as investigated. mave been entermined by the Mexican government, or others on the question of boundary. That he was right in considering this American territory, and the Mexican troops as invading it, is clearly proved by the proceedings of this body, on the 12th of May 1846, when the bill recognising the war was under, consideration. I will here extract from the journal of Senate.

"On motion by Mr. CRITTEENES to amend the bill by striking out section I, has 4, the work: "To possecute said war to a speedy and successful termination," and in hem thereof, insect "to expel" irreason, and otherwise prosecute hosdities until the country by executed from the danger of further irreason."

This was determined in the negative, year 20—nays 26. How can it be contended after this, that the President made this war, or that it was not made on American soil. How could the Senator from Kentocky [Mr. CRITTENDEN] call it "invasion," unless the Mexican army had crossed over into American soil. He and they who yoted with him, must have so considered it at the time. How can they then say now, that the President made this war?

Mr. DOUGLAS intimated his intention of addressing the Senate upon this bill to-morrow; and

On motion.

The Senate adjourned.

# THESDAY, FEBRUARY 1, 1848.

#### PETITIONS

At DICKINSON presented a petition of citizens of New York praying the establishment of a port of entry at Rouse's Point, in that State, which was referred to the Committee on

Mr. DOWNS presented a petition of Henry Fredieu and others, citizens of the Parish of Natchituches, Louisiana, praying the confirmation of their titles to certain hands in that State; which was referred to the Committee on Private Land Claims.

# POST ROUTE IN ARKANSAS.

Mr. ASHLEY submitted the following resolution, which was considered by manumeus consent, and agreed to.

Resolved: That the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads be instructed ormique into the expediency of establishing a Post Route from Powhatan, in Law ence county, Arkansas, via Walcott, to Willies, in Greene county, in said State.

#### CLAIMS IN CALIFORNIA.

Mr. BENTON submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Residerd. That the Military Commution, to which has been referred like pathons. Since that be Forman, in a closus of Cybrians charge on the government of the Unit which the state of the Lind and the state of the Lind and the Lind and the Lind and Lind

#### PURLIC LANDS IN BLINOIS.

Mr. BREESE submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to.

Resolved. That the Committee on Public Lands be instructed to impute what legi-ption, a size, a necessary for the disposal of the lands bying upon the Illinois river. The State of Illinois, and known as the "lost lands," and to report by full or otherwise

# MILITARY LANDS IN ILLINOIS. Mr. BREESE submitted the following resolution, which was

considered by unammous consent, and agreed to:

Resident That the Secretary of the Treasury be directed to inform the Senate, what manny of the public bands bying in the State of Illinois, has been granted for individ-bounts—interface for day of January, 1899, the price at which they were held by the 1 mr of State, when granted, and also the quantity relinquished to the United States, indicate the question of the act of Apul, 34th, 1897.

# NEW GARDEN SOCIETY OF FRIENDS.

On motion by Mr. MANGUM, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on Foreign Relations be disbarged from the further consideration of the memorial of the monthly meeting of Friends, held at New Garden, Chester county, Pennsylvania, and that it lie on the table.

# DEP. PRINTION DIGHTS

Mr. BREESE, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom Mr. BREESE, from the Committee on Pinnic Lands, to whom the subject was referred, reported a bill to repeal a part of the act entitled "An act to appropriate the proceeds of the sales of the public lands and to grant pre-emption rights;" which was read and passed to the second reading.

### DUTIES ON GOODS EXPORTED TO MEXICO.

The Senate proceeded to consider the following resolution submitted on the 28th of January, by Mr. Miller, and it was sorred

Resided. That the President of the United States be requested by inform the Senates solution be done in the land and collected any taxes, diffuse or imposts upon goods and merchandize belon the terms of the United States, exported by said entrances to mother United States for the Contract of the United States, exported by said entrances soon that United States for the Contract of the United States (a) and what soon that been collected, and also by what authority the same have been laid and collected.

# BOUNTY LAND CLAIMS.

On motion by Mr. Rusk, the prior orders were postponed, to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill to allow further time for satisfying claims for bounty lands for military services in the late war with Great Britain, and for other purposes

Mr. RUSK moved to amend the bill by striking out in the 8th line of the 1st section, the words "in the war of the revolution or

Mr. UNDERWOOD said, that having introduced the bill be would make a single remark in reference to the amendment. He did not know that there was any revolutionary soldiers whose

claims for military bounty land were unsettled. In drafting the bill, however, he had inserted a proxision for their benefit in ease there should be any left. There were some nine hundred soldiers of the last war who had claims to bounty lands which were still unsatisfied. His friend on the right (Mr. Rusk,) had information from the proper department on the subject, and it might be that these were all the claims which were yet to be adjusted. He believed that the amendment had been submitted in order to remove the apprehensions of some friends over the way lest the bill might otherwise open the door to the unsatisfied Virginia military land warrants. The bill had nothing to do with that subject, which it warrants: The bit nat nothing to its working the world come up for consideration when he should report a bil, of the introduction of omish dead green notice. He would again express the longer that the amendment would be withdrawn, but there might be a single revolutionary solider left who was critical to military bounty and the property of the prop songle reconstronary source reft who was entitled to military bounty land who could receive it only because his claims were manifest by the records of the Department, and who would be entitled only to one hundred aere of land if he should successfully establish his claim. It seemed to him, therefore, to be better to allow the claims in the hill to remain. It was mercited in former laws on cause in the but to remain. It was inserted in former laws on the subject, and he did not see any necessity to strike it out in the bill now before the Senate. He added, that he deemed it proper to make this explanation without having any very decided feeling one way or the other with regard to the amendment.

Mr. RUSK said that the only object he had in view in offering Mr. RUSK said that the only object he had in view in offering the amendment was to divisite the objections raised the other day against the bill; that it might open the door to the claims of revo-hitionary solders. He did not know whether there were any such claims in existence. This was simply a renewal of the law which expired in July last. However, he presumed that there would be no difficulty after the explanation of the gentleman who had reported the bill, and therefore, he would not press the amendment

Mr. PHELPS kad designed to offer the same amendment which had been submitted by the Senator from Texas, and he hoped that it would not be withdrawn. If there were no revolutionary claims. as had been stated, he did not see the necessity for retaining the clause in the bill which the amendment proposed to strike out. It was useless, and therefore on the very supposition that there was no case to be provided for, the clause was cleaely unnecessary.— But the bill, as he understood it, admitted of a construction which would allow a vast amount of those claims for land bounties, hithwould allow a vast amount of those claims for land bounties, hith-erto the subject of so much discussion in both branches of Con-gress. His objection to the bill was, that it admitted of a con-struction which would again open the door for all the Virginia claims. To avoid that construction, which it seemed was not conclaims. To avoid that construction, which it seemed was not con-templated by the gentleman who reported it, he would desire to see the amendment made

Mr. UNDERWOOD hoped that the bill would be amended as was desired; but he assured the Senator from Vermont that if the bill were susceptible of the construction which he had described, former bills were liable to the same objection. Each renewal of the law had been open to the same objection. The Senator was mistaken on the subject. The bill as it stood only related to the hountres which it proposed to give to the ofher's and soldlers of nomines which is proposed to give to the objects and solution the revolutionary army, upon the continental establishment, and had nothing whatever to do with the promise of bounties made by the legislature of Virginia. But lest any difficulty should arise the registature of drighina. But less any unactive should arise from the retention of the clause to which objection was made, he hoped that the amendment would be agreed to, so that the bill might at once pass, and these nine hundred soldiers of the late war receive the homities to which they were entitled.

The question was then taken on the amendment, and it was

The bill was then reported to the Senate, and the amendment

Ordered. That it be engrossed, and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time, by unanimous consent. Resolved. That this bill pass, and that the trie thereof be as nforesaid.

Ordered. That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

### APPELLATE JURISDICTION.

The Senate proceeded to consider the bill supplementary to the act entitled "An act to regulate the exercise of the appellate jurisdiction of the supreme court in certain cases, and for other purposes," which had been returned from the House of Representatives with amendments.

Ordered, That it lie on the table, and that the amendments be printed.

#### ADDITIONAL EXAMINERS IN THE PATENT OFFICE.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill to provide for additional examining clerks in the Patent Office, and for additional fees in certain cases.

Mr. LEWIS moved to amend the first section of the bill, by striking out all after the enacting clause therein, and inserting the following:

That there shall be appointed, in the manner provided in the second section of the act, entitled "An act to promote the progress of needful sits, and to reveal all act aparts of acts between the propers," approved "shy fourth, eighteen han to be made to be a supported by the propers of the

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill was then reported to the Senate, and the amendment was concurred in.

Ordered. That it be engrossed, and read a third time

The said bill was read a third time, by unanimous consent.

Recalred, That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be "An act- to provide additional examiners in the Patent Office, and for other purposes."

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this hill.

### PRESIDENT PRO. TEM

The VICE PRESIDENT.—It is proper and respectful to the Senato that I should apprize them I will be necessarily absent for a few days, so that Senators may be prepared, on entering the Senate Chamber to-morrow morning, to elect a presiding officer.

#### MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT

The following message was received from the President of the United States, by Mr. Walker, his Secretary:

Mr. President in Previsition of the United States approved and sgreed, our the Stefanton, the pour resolution authorizes the revision, on the public grounds in the Stefanton, the processor of the public grounds in the Stefanton of Stefan

### TEN REGIMENT BILL.

The Senate resumed the consideration of the bill to raise, for a limited time, an additional military force.

Mr. DOUGLAS.—I hope it will not be inferred from the array of books, with which I am surrounded, that I intend subjecting the Senate to the influction of hearing them read. My only object in bringing them here is, to be enabled to respond at once to inquiries; if any shall be made, as to the authority upon which my statements of fact may be predicated. I shall state no fact for the accuracy of which I have not the most conclusive authority in the books before which I have not the most conclusive authority in the books before son at a profit sufficient conclusive authority in the books before have compressed what I have to say, within a much smaller company, and to have established the state of the state of the conclusive preparation. If I had done so, I should have been able to have compressed what I have to say, within a much smaller company, and to have said it in a manner more satisfactory to myself, and more intelligible to the Senate. I had supposed that the only question presented by the bill, was to determine whether the additional force provided for was necessary for the prosecution of the existing war, to a speedy and honovable termination. The war recognized on the 18th of May, 1846, and it existed in fact, pring to that time, as the official reports of the battless of Palo Alto and Resaae will show. The campaign of 1846, resulted in a series of the most brilliant victories that ever adorned the arms of any nation. States and territories were overrun and subjected, equal in extent to one half of the Mexican confederacy. Californa. New Mexico, Chilubauta, Coabula, New Leon, and Tamaulians, were reduced to our protection towns and cities, in other States, were reduced to our protection towns and cities, in other States, were reduced to our protection towns and cities, in other States, were reduced to our protection towns and cities, in other States, were reduced to our protection of the published documents of late, see conquests, by making an adequate appropriation of the purpose of our arms, in these s

eration, which might be paid for those territories." exposition of the progress of the war, and of the policy of the government in reference to it, the President asked for more men and money, for the purpose of conducting a campaign into the very heart of Mexico-of reducing her capital-and of holding possession of the whole country, until she should accede to such term houndary and indemnity, as we should deem just and honorable. The men and money were freely voted, including the three million The men and money were freely voted, including the three million appropriation, which was intended to be applied in part payment—
the first instalment for instance—for such territory as we might
acquire from Mexicae in a treaty of peace, in addition to what
should be deemed adequate remmeration for the expenses of the
war, and indemnity to our citizens. I shall excite no supprise
therefore, when I say that I was not prepared to hear the unqualified demnitation of the war and of the recommendations of
the President for its vigorous prossention—expecially from those
Semitors who voted for all the war measurement and the properties of the commentation of the war may be considered to the commentation of the president for its vigorous prossention—expecially from those
the properties of the proper Senators who roted for all the war measures of last session, after the proceeding one. I was not repared to hear them denonnee the war as unjust, unnecessary and unconstitutional—murch less as a war of conquest, of rapine, and robbery. We have heard those demunications within the last few days poured forth from the lips of Senators with a solemnity that would seem to carry conviction, or which a solemnity that would seem to carry conviction, at least, to the minds of those who made them, that they were well merited; and what is more astonishing, we have heave here leave the merited; and what is more astonishing, we have heavel heave sustained every war measure which has passed since hostilined every war measure which has passed since hostiline first remmenced by the act of Mexico. They now contend, not test commenced by the act of Mexico. They now contend, no only that the war was unnecessary and unconstitutional, but that the President of the United States is the sole author of the iniquity. Do gentlemen suppose that they can throw the responsibility of their own acts upon the President of the United States? Do they imagine that they can make the people believe that the Excentive is alone responsible for all the consequences that may flow from the fuithful execution of the lower which they cannot be under the the faithful execution of the laws which they enact, and under the constitution, compel him to execute? If it he a war of iniquity and injustice, you are the transgressors! If it he a war of robbery, you are the robbers! If it he a war against and in violation bery, you are the robbers! If it be a war against and in violation of the constitution, yours is the treason! You voted for it under the solemnity of your oaths. You voted the men and the money. You voted to recognise the legal and constitutional existence of the war. You helped to pass the law, and made it the sworn duty of the President to see it faithfully executed. It is your war a-much as his and ours; and you will not be permitted to exact your slare of its responsibility, while you participate in the notine. which you claim from having given it your support. I do not intend to east any unkind reflections upon any Schalor, but I do think, that I am fully justified by the record in the observations which I have just made. It would seem that a great discovery has recently been made—that the Congress of the United States ha been acting under an entire misapprehension in regard to the nature and character of this war. We are now told that the President has changed his ground, and now assigns causes and reasons totally dif ferent and inconsistent with those which he gave at the last and pre-ceeding sessions—that the causes then were: "that American blood have been shed upon American soil"—the reasons now given for its have been shed upon American soil"—the reasons now green for its prosecution, are, "indomnity for the past and security for the future." The Senator from Delaware has made these two extractions the President's messages the subject of much mirth and wit. He has told us that indomnity for the past, means one half of Mexico, and security for the future, the other ball. Convinced by this wonderful discovery that his efficient support of this war has been vielled under a third delivation as to its true character and objects. yielded under a tatal defision as to its true contracer and objects he feels constrained, now that he has recovered his mental vision, to make atonement for the past by withholding supplies in future. I cannot concelle that there has been any change in the line of policy originally announced by the Executive and supported by his riceds on this subject. We stand where we then stood. The friends on this subject. We stand where we then stood. The ceases and the objects of the war remain inchanged. They were then, and are now, comprised in the two brief extracts which have been so frequently quoted—"American blood has been shed upon American soil;" "Indemnity for the past, and security for the lu-

In the President's message of the 11th of May, '46 in pursuance of which the original act recognizing the existance of a state of war was passed, he referred to "the grievous wrongs perpetrated by Mexicans upon our citizens, throughout a long period of years-remaining unredressed"—"solemn treaties pledging her public faith for this referess, having been disregarded —"our commerce with Mexico having been almost annihilated—our merchants having been derred from prosecuting it by the system of outrage and exortect their appeals, through their own government, for indemnity have been made in vain."

These outrages upon our flag and eitizens had been so enormout that General Jackson during his Presidential term felt himselt constrained as the attention of Congress to them in a special constrained and to express his decided opinion that they were sufficient, at that time, to justify immediate war. They continued with renewed insult and injury under Mr. Van Buren's administration and he, too, expressed similar opinions to Congress upon the subject. The appropriate committees of both Houses of Congress, as near as I now recollect, made reports in which they fully concurred with the Executives in the opinions they had expressed as to the nature and extent of the outrages, and the justice of the remedy suggested. Congress allowed their sympathy for the weakness and degradation of a nominal sister republic to prevail

ever their sense of duty to the citizens, and flag of our own courry. Had we acted with the promptimes which characterized the British and French governments in cases precisely sunstance of the properties of th over their sense of duty to the citizens and flag of our own coun pane, because the demand was made in a tone that Mexico could understand. America spoke, as one sister would speak to another, in a voice of kindness and sisterly affection, but it fell upon Mexi-can cars as an unknown tongue. Mexico, instaking our magna-minity for pusilamentsy treated our complaints with contempt and

minity for pusalamenty treated on complaints with contempt and our remonstrances with delanace.

The President of the United States, in the message to which I have referred, spake of these things as just ground of complaint and indemnity, but not as the causes of the existing war. For he informed us that the war existed by the act of Mexicon—that the Mexicon army had "invaded our territory, and shed American." Mexican army had "invaried only retrieve, and shed American had married soil." The preview spot is not stated—but the locality is well known to have been on the left bank of the Kio Grande, opposite, and not far from, Mattamoras. Then and there the war actually commenced, the Mexican army making the at-terior of the same of the commenced of the word of the same of the war actually commenced by the moral of the same of the same tack—the commanding general having, on the morning of the same day, given notice to General Taylor that "the considered hostilities commenced, and should prosecute them." This was on the 24th of April, 1846. The battle of Palo Alto was fought on the 8th, and Reseac de la Palma on the 9th of May. Congress recognized the existence of the war, and placed at the disposal of the the existence of the dent ten millions of money and fifty thousand volunteers, besides the army, the navy, and the militia of the United States, for its the army, the navy, and the finitia of the United States, for its vigorous prosecution. The law passed almost unanimously, theelbeing only fourteen dissenting voices in the House, and two in the Senate. If the war is unconstitutional now, I suppose it was equally so them—and if it was unconstitutional then, it must necessarily. urily be so now, unless that law legalized it, or (if I may be albandly to so now, must mark unpressive terms, 2000, on the marked it. In either event, Congress sanctioned it by a vot almost unanmous, irrespective of party distinctions, and confirmed it by furnishing men and means to an almost unbinnted extent. I now submit it to the consciences, as well as the patriolism, of Senators, who voted for that law, if they are not estoped from saying that the war is either unjust, unnecessary, or unconstitutional? But, I will return to the recently made discovery, that the President has changed his grounds in regard to the causes of the war, and the objects for prosecuting it. I have shown that the causes which produced it remain unchanged, and that the President set forth the insults to our flag, and the injuries to our commerce and citizens, as grievances to be redressed, in the message to which the act of the 13th of to be redressed, in the message to which the act of the 18th of May, 1846, was a particular response. I now wish to unite the attention of the Senate—especially those Senators who have hitherto supported the war, and now oppose it mpon the ground that the President has recently shifted positions by setting up a claim for indemnity—to the following extracts from a document which was sont to General Taylor, from the War Department, on the 4th of June, 1846, and by him promulgated to the Mexican people:

"A Proclamation by the General commanding the Army of the United States of America, to the people of Mexico.

Thirties Daties of Almerica, to the perspic or are start.

After many axis of patient enhance the United Start, and length constrained.

After many care of patient enhances the United Start, and length constrained or Mexico. For many care one time is have been excited only reported modification of the Constraint of t

This is the first statement which our Government ever made to Mexico, of the purposes for which the war. she here made upon a was to be prosecuted on our part. Let me read mother extract from the same document—it is a source mean.

We come to obtain repair tion for repeated wrong and myone we come to ob-

The identical words which have highlened the Senator from Delaware from his propriety, it not his duty, and which, when found in the President's late message—base converted the Senator from a firm friend to an arreconsible opponent of the war, upon the ground that they furnish evidence of a change of police on the part of the Executive! If indemnity for the past means one-half of Mexico, and security for the future the other half why did not the Senator then see as clearly as he now sees, that a was the object of General Traylor us well as the President, to conquer and hold the whole of Mexico? Why did he not then, as well as now, donounce the war as a stupendous scheme of rapine and robbery?

Again, sir, it will be remembered, as I have already remarked, Again, S. H. Will be refractangue at a last activity of temorary that the official reports, containing the detailed history of our conquests in California, New Mexico, Catabila, New Lee, a. Tamaulips and Tobasco, were before us at the last session of Congress. We also had been to partitude the sum time the voluminous correspondence between the Departments of War and Navy, and correspondence between the Departments of War and avay), and our generals and commoderes, commanding our armies and navies in Mexico, and upon her coast. The Sontor from North Carolina, who favored the Senate with his views a few days ago, the senate with the second of the Carolina and the Senate with his views a few days ago, the second of the Senate with his views a few days ago, the second of the Senate with his views a few days ago, the second of the Senate with his views a few days ago, and the second of the Senate with his views a few days ago, and the second of the Senate with his views a few days ago, and the senate with his views a few days ago, and the senate with the quoted largely from that correspondence, as published in the docomments of the last Congress, to show that the President designed from the beginning to conquer and hold a large portion of signed from the beginning to conquer and hold a large portion of the territory of Mexico. It belieficiated bimself that he had es-tablished this position beyond all controversy, by extracts from the instructions of the Navy Department to Commodores Commo-Sout and Stockton, and from the Waz Department to Generals Taylor and Kearry. Indeed, all the arguments upon which Senators rely to prove that this is a way of conquest and publicity. repugnant to the genus, and latid to the permanence of our institutions, are bounded upon indomation communicated at the list session, and which was, or ought to have been, as familiar to them their as now. Besele sir, the fact that the President, at the opening of the list session, renewed his recommendation of the three influence bell, with the distinct infinition that it was intended as the first instalment, in part payment of whatever territory we might acquire from Mexico by a treaty of peace and limits, after deducting all claims for indemnity—wax sufficient indire that the Executive did, at that time, contemplate a cession of territory by Mexico, to the value of three millions of dollars at least, over and above the indemnity for inju-ties to our citizens, and the expenses of the war. Thus, with a full knowledge of the origin and history of the war—of the extent the horvesteet or the first of because the President has changed his whole policy and upplies, because the rresident has enabled his whole policy and onverted it into a war of conquest. Sir, I do not understand that it is, or at any time has been, a

sit, i on not understand that it is, or at any time has been, a wor'd compuest, in the proper sense of that term, much less as war of robbery. It is a war of self-defence, forced upon us by our cenny, and prosecuted on our part in vindication of our honor and the integrity of our territory. The enemy invaled our territory rand we repelled the invasion, and depanded satisfaction for all our grievances. In order to compel Mexico to do is justice, it was necessary to follow her retreating armies into her territory. and take possession of State after State, and hold them until she would yield to our reasonable demands; and inasmuch as it was certain that she was unable to make indemdity in money, we must necessarily take it in land. Conquest was not the motive for the prosecution of the war-satisfaction, indemnity, scentity was the

prosecution of the war-satisfaction, incomingly security was the motive-computed and territory the means.

Mr. President, I cannot dwell longer on the inconsistencies in which gentlemen on the opposite side involve themselves. I have already dwelt too long on these preliminary questions. I must proceed at once to the main point of my argument. I propose to examine the question, whether, on the 24th of May, 1846. American blood was shed on American soil, by the Mexican army. That the Mexican forces crossed the Rio Grande on that day-attacked and killed American soldiers stationed on the left bank, is conceded. But it is denied that the left bank of that river was American soil, or in other words, that the Rio Grande was the boundary line between Mexico and the United States, after the admission of Texas into the Union. It is my present purpose to establish the affirmative of this proposition.

blish the affirmative of this proposition.

I will premise, that, in my judgment, a radical error has generally obtained in regard to the character of the revolution which resulted in the establishment of the Republic of Texas. It seems to have been generally supposed that Texas rehelled against the constitutional authorities of Mexico, and, by means of a sneeress ful revolution, established her independence. No such thing. Texas never rehelled—never revolute. Precisely the reverse was the fact. A few military leaders, with Santa Anna at their head, conspired and rehelled against the Republic of Mexico—scient the reigns of government—and obside the Federal Constitution and the State governments—and established a military description in their State governments—and established a military description in their reigns of government—stabilished the Federal Constitution and the State governments—and established a military despotism in their stead. That rebellion, which commenced in the city of Mexico, assumed the dignity of a successful revolution, and by the aid of the army extended its power from state to state, until it had re-duced to subjection, all that portion of the Republic of Mexico which lies to the south and west of the Rio Grande. That the prople on this side of the Rio Grande took up arms in defence of the constitutional government of the Republic of Mexico—state and federal-maintained their authority, and limited and confined the power of the revolutionary government to the right bank of that river. To show that I am clearly right in this position, it will be necessary for me to refer somewhat in detail to the most prominent facts connected with the history of Texas, as well as the revolution which lead to the establishment of that republic. From the date of the Louisinna treaty in 1803, to that of the Florida treaty in 1819, this government uniformly claimed the Rio Grande as the western homelary of the United States. In 1805, Messrs.
Monroe and Pinckney declared to the Spanish Minister, that the
United States considered their title to the Rio Grande as complete,

under the Louisiana treaty, as to the island of New Orleans. As lare as 1818, Mr. Adams, Secretary of State, under Mr. Morroe, after carefully recieving all the evidences of title, referring in detail to all the musty records, maps, and geographics of France and Spain, as well as England, allirmed the proposition that our title was as good to the Rio Grande, as to the island of New Orleans. In the meantine, and before the cession of the country between the Sahine and the Rio Grande to Spain by the Forenest Carty, many American citizence and before the cession of the country between the Sahine and the Rio Grande to Spain by the Forenest Carty, and Macron Carty, and before the Carty is the States in readed to maintain its claim to the country, and that they would be protected in the enjoyenest of their rights as American citizens. When they found themselves, abandoned by their own government, and by a treaty stipulation convicted into the degraded exament, and by a treaty simulation converted into the degraded subjects of a foreign Prince, they instantly raised the standard of rebellion, protested against the ratification of the treaty, and proclaimed their firm resolve, in case it should be ratified, to free themselves by force of arms from Spanish dominion. The treaty was finally ratified in 1821, and the same vent the Americans in Texas joined the Mexicons in a record the force of the standard of t vernment, and by a treaty stipulation converted into the degraded of nersell in 1820, and the rathest vary had necessigned, and before the revolution broke out. Moses Austin had produced from the Spanish authorities a grant of land upon which he was authorized to locate a colony of emigrants. He having died before the conditions of the grant could be complied with, his son Stephen F. conditions out the grant recoval be compiler with his best evidentian or of the grant section of the contribution of the grant production and authorities be east year, and proceeded to establish his colony authorities the east year provisional government. I have called the attention of the Senarto to these, for the purpose of showing that the early American settlers in Texas were not a lawless band of intraders, who had forced their bary into the country and claim of the laws and constituted in way into the country with the contribution of the work of the State view I will read the law and constituted the value of the State view I will read the fact that the contribution of the State view I will read the fact the scale of the colonization I have of the State view I will read the fact the scale of the scale view I will read the fact the scale of the scale view I will read the fact that the scale of the scale view I will read the fact the scale of the scale view I will be scale view

"All foreigners, who, in vitue of the general law of the 25th August, 1s 24, which guaranties the accusty of their person and property, in the tentiors of the Mexicon na-tion, wish to remove to the settlement of the State of Colonia, and Texa, one at liberty to do so; and the said State receive and calls, them."

Ves, sir, the State of Coalmila and Texas, in pursuance of the coonization has of the federal government, "invites" and "calls
foreigners to come and settle within its limits. She went further,
and olfered large tracts of land as inducences to come, and concerred all the right period to the call. On the 11th day of March,
1827, the constitution of the State of Coalmila and Texas was adopted. It had been formed, in conformity with the federal constitution, and in pursuance of an act of the federal Congress. The state
constitution, not the constitution of the republic, may be considered us the articles of compane—the bond of union—between the
State and the confederation. They contain the terms and the conditions upon which the State of Coalmila and Texas constituted a
member of the confederacy. I have these two instruments before
me, and will invite the attention of the Searce to the first for articles of the constitution of the State of Coalmila and Texas :

All 1. The State of Conhodo and Texts is the muon of all the Conhodition of the United States (i.e., and independent of the other Mexicon States, and of cover other and the connormal states, and of cover other and the connormal states, and the states of the State results originally and escentially as the great mass of the caterialistic state results originally and casestating in the great case any other acts of overcaging than those pointed out in this constitution, and in the term which it possible.

from which it provides.

Art. 4. In all subjects relating to the Mexican coalecteracy the State delegates ats powers and rights to the general coagress of the same, but in all that belongs to the unreal government and administration of said State, it returns its better, independence

and overegue.

Art. 5. Wherefore, the right of establishing its fundamental laws through the medium of its representatives, in conformity to the base established in the constitutive act and general constitution, belongs exclusively to the said State.

These were the conditions upon which the Texans became citi-These were the conditions upon which the Texans became citizens of the Nexicaa confederacy, and were the terms alone upon which they could be required or expected to continue such. They had been nivide and called there, through the colonization laws, with the guaranty that they should be protected in the crips, with the guaranty that they should be protected in the crips, ment of all their rights as citizens, agreeably to the forms of the constitution. They were "free and independent of the other Nexicau Cuited States, and of every other power and dominion whatsoever." They continued true and law-shifting citizensfulful to the Constitution of the State and the Confederation until their seat of government was invaded about the let of June, 1835 by recognitions areas from the contraction of the State and the Confederation until their seat of government was invaded about the let of June, 1835 by recognitions areas from the contraction of the State and the Confederation until their seat of government was invaded about the let of June, 1835 by recognitions areas from the constitution of the State and the Confederation until their seat of government was invaded about the let of June, til their seat of government was invaded about the 1st of June, 1835, by a revolutionary army from the city of Mexico-ra portion of the members of the legislature, which was then in season, cap-tured and imprisond, and the rest compelled to save their lives by light and seek a place of refuge on this side of the Rio Grande. The ichabitants between that river and the Sabine instantly took up arms in defence of their liberties and republican institutions;

and for the purpose of checking the progress of the invading revo-lutionary army. For the purpose of concentrating their forces and giving energy and a proper direction to their patriotic efficits, they assembled in Convention on the 3d of November, 1835, and after making a "soleum declaration" of the causes which had compelled them to take up arms, proceeded to organize a provisional govern-ment. I will read the first and the concluding paragraphs of ment. I will re this declaration:

Declaration of the People of Teras, in General Convention as embled

Whereas, General Automa Lopez do Santa Anna, and other autoras charled, have, by four-ort anno, overflower the Federal Institutions of Mexico, and displication for a company to which exactle detween Texas and the other member of the Mexico Conficilities; now, the good people of Texas, availing themselve of their natural conficilities.

"SOLEMNLY DECLARE,

1st. That they have taken up aims in defence of their rights and liberties, which are lineatized by the enconclument, of initiating despots, and in defence of the republican principles of the Federal Constitution of Microsoft

"There Dictarations we obemuly a ow to the world, and call God to witness the touth and sin cuty, and invoke defeat and disgrace upon our heads, should we provenity of duplicity."

Now, sir, I propose to invite the attention of the Senate to the state of things then existing in the city of Mexico, and to trace the causes which had rendered it necessary for the Texans to take up arms in delence of the constitution and liberties of the republic of Mexico, which were in danger of being overthrown by military despots. In 1834, Santa Anna, who had been elevated to the Pre-

I will here read a short extract from Mrs. Holley's Texas, to show how these changes in the government were effected, and a new congress assembled:

The constitutional general Congress of 1934, which was devaledly equilibrian and federal, avar-located in May of his driver ally as inflat year by a mittary order of the Freigen before its constitutional term had expired. The connect of government of harf the Senate which, aggredate to the constitution, magle in have been installed the slay affect closing to the control of the constitution, and the law has a mistalled the slay affect closing to to the control of the

One of the first acts, if not the very first, of the new congress, was to depose the constitutional vice president, Gemez Farias, and to substitute in his place General Barragan, one of Santa Anna's co-conspirators. The next act of this revolutionary congress, is thus stated by Mrs. Holley :

"By another decree it united the Sonate with the Honor of Representatives in a chamber, and, thus constituted, at deslared itselfsines ted with full powers, as a natural convention. In accordance with these nourped powers, it pure edded to acoust federal constitution and 5-years, and to establish a central or consolidated government.

I also hold in my hand another work—"a history of South America and Mexico," by a distinguished member of this body, in which the facts of this revolution are recorded with great clearness and precision. I read from Niles' History of Mexico:

"Pronunciamento, were again resorted to; these were now made to favor centialism, and on the steingth of these resolutions of town meetings, manufactured by order of the bishops in each diocese, Congress proceeded to abolish the constitution of 1824, violating of at the same time and the state toward to about the same time.

I will read another paragraph to show the preeautions, which were taken by the usurpers, to coerce the acquiescence of the peo-ple in the military despotism which they were about to establish on the ruins of the republican system:

\* SECTION 5th .- Of the Council of Government.

SET TOS 5th—Of the Council of Government.

113. Dump the review of Congress there shall be control of Government, composed of one half of the members of the Senate, one for each State.

116. The arthrations of the council are the following —First, to see that the Control of the Senate of the Senate of the Senate of the Control of the Senate of t

"Symptoms of opposition having been exhibited in some of the states against this art of Congress, levelling the whole structure of their state governments, and in that annihilating the very name of state, provision was made in Vongress for a larger occase of the standing army, and a considerable force was ordered to be permanently quartered in each state, under the command of the new Governors now to be apposite.

The decree for the establishment of the new government, hears date the 3d of October, 335, and is "formed upon the plan of To-lace for its basis," I have no less than three other histories betwee me, in which the same transactions are recorded, and all agree on every material point—I will rend from them. It any Section to the same transactions are recorded, and all gree or every material point—I will rend from them. It any Section to shall desire ut. The presence of the military kept the peo-ture of the proposition was complete so far as the tor shall desire it. The presence of the military kept the people in subjection, and the revolution was complete so lar as the Capital was concerned. Its power extended in every direction. State after State submitted, unconditionally, before inserior of Lacetecas. Here, for the first time, it met with employed of Zacetecas. Here, for the first time, it met with exposition. Alearez, the republican fiverence of that State, had raised an army of five thousand men, and averaited the approach of the revolutionists, for the purpose of deciding the face of the republic—insecution and districts—by the wager of battle. Santa Anna, who commanded his troops in person, know too will the character of these stern republicans to hexard his life and for times upon the issue of an engagement with them. They had tunes upon the issue of an engagement with them. They had fought with him and und under him in achieving the liberties of from the with him and moder him in achieving the liberties of the county—the him has made in the content of the county—the him has made relinence in many a hard fought in the county—the him has been considered by the content of the presence the convic-tion, that he, who had contributed so much to achieve, would ex-tend the content of the might, a trial of strength with such men in such a cause. In this convergency he resorted to his usual recourse—strategen. Several of his most reliable officers in the revolutionary army deserted their pasts, efficient things in defence of the constitution. They tendered their services to command the patriot army, and unfor-tant the content of the tendered their services to command the patriot army, and unfor-tended their services to command the patriot army, and unfor-tended their services to command the patriot army, and unfor-tended their services to command the patriot army, and unfor-tended their services to command the patriot army, and unfor-tended their services to command the patriot army, and unfor-tended the content of the content of the content of the con-tended the content of the content of the con-tended the content of the content of the con-tent of the content of the content of the con-tent of the content of the content of the con-tent of the content of the content of the con-tent of the content of the content of the con-tent of the content of the content of the con-tent of the content of the content of the con-tent of the content of the content of the con-tent of the content of the content of the con-tent of the content of the content of the con-tent of the content of the content of the con-tent of the content of the content of the con-tent of the content of the content of the con-tent of the content of the content of the con-tent out to meet the enemy, and posted utent in a posted where some Anna surrounders and the tree-based value of olioners. This slaugh-ter was indiscriminate, and continued for two entire days. It was not conflicted to those who hote arms. The streets of the city of Zacetecas were deluged in blood. The unoflending citizens shar-ded the fate of those who had engaged in battle. Even foreigners, who had taken no part in the contest, were not permitted to escape

the general massacre.

Those who survived, now submitted unconditionally to the pow-I nees ware survived, now summered inconsistently on the power of the usurper, and no further resistence ensued. The recollinguary army now turned its course towards Monclova, the seat of government for the State of Coabulia and Texas, for the jurpose of chastising the Coabulicasons for their obstinacy in authering to chastising the Conbuiltexanos for their obstinacy in afhering to the repuldence constitution. The legislature of that State had so-lounly protested against those revolutionary movements, and an-nounced its determination to sustain and enforce the constitution and form of government, which all were sworn to support. For this officer General Cos, the bruther-make of Sunta Anna, dis-persed the Jegislature by willtary force, captured and imprisoned persed the legislature by military force, captured and impresence a portion of the members, while the others only saved themselves by fleeng across the Rio Grande. The Senate will pardon me for reading a single paragraph on this point from the report of Gen. Austin to the Texas Convention, on the 30th of November of that

"The constitutional authorities of the State of Coduids and Texas, solemnly posted against the change of government, for which act they were divided by inhibitors from offices, and imprisoned. The people of Texas protest against it, a stight to do, for which they have been declared reliefs by the government in Mexico.

Prior to the capture of Monelova, and in anticipation of such an event, the legislature had authorized Governor Viesca to remove evens, the registature had authorized enversion visica to remove the archives of State, and convien the representatives of the people at such point on this side of the Rio Grande as he should designate. General Cos pursued and captured the governor and archivest together with the gallant Colonel Milam, who afterwards tell nate, General Cospursued and captured the governor and archives, together with the gallant Colonel Milana, who afterwards fell so glerously while storming San Antonio, and threw them into prison. At this period the actual war commenced between the republicans on this side of the Rio Grande, and the review of the company of the result of the results overthrow. There is no room for controversy as to the cances that war, and the subjects to be attained by the trimmpl of the cances that war, and the subjects to be attained by the trimmpl of the republicans and military desired. The revenience has a considerable and the republicans and military desired. The revenience had already been necessful to the ught bank of the Rio Grande, and its victorios a naive were now preparing for new compacts on this side of that river. The republicans instantly seized their arms and attacked the garrisons, which the usurper had laken the precention to station at various points for the purpose of overawing the people and holding them in subjection to the new government, wheth he was about to establish. All their early efforts were crowned with success. Victory perched upon theu banners at every point. Gonzales, Conception, Goliad, San Patrick, and finally San Antonio—all surrendered to the republicans before Cirustoms. While these important movements were being enacted in the field, the republicans had not been numbrated in the field, the republicans had not been numbrated in the field, the republicans had not been numbrated in the field, the republicans had not been numbrated in the field, the republicans had not been numbrated in the field, the republicans had not been numbrated in the field in the field of the republicans had not been numbrated in the field of the republicans had not been numbrated in the field of the republicans had not been numbrated in the field of the republicans had not been numbrated in the field of the republicans had not been numbrated in the field of the republicans had not been numbrated in the field of the republicans had not been numbrated in the field of the republicans had not been numbrated in the field of the republicans had not been numbrated in the republicans had not been num portant inovements were being enacted in the neid, the re-bleans had not been unmindful of the necessity of establishing a provisional government, to combine and consolidate their resources and give force and direction to their efforts. After the capture and imprisonment of Governor Viesca, who had been authorized by

the legislature to assemble the representatives of the people at such point as he should designate, they were left to select their own time and place of meeting. They did assemble at San Philipp de Austin on the 3d day of November, 1850, and put forth the solient declaration," to which I have already eddled the attention of the Senate, and proceeded to form a provissional government. It of that declaration, it should be born in mind, they state distinctly that they had taken up arms in defence of the republican principles of the constitution of 1824. The revolutionary army, under General Cos., had passed the Rio Grande and marched upon San Antono, and a republican arraw was immediately organized and sent to repet the incaders. It will not weary the Senate with the details of the movements on the plains of San Antono. The gallant conduct of the heroic Milann, in leading the storming party into the very beart of the crystacle the admiration and sympathy of his countrymen. The next in command finished the work which had been so obtained to command finished the work which had been so obtained to command finished. Cos and tion of the Senate, and proceeded to form a provissional governof his countrymen. The next in command finished the work which had been so gloriously commenced, and General Cos and when has been so gortously commenced, and General Cos and his entire army became prisoners of war to the republicans. I Jiobl in my hand the terms of capitulation entered into on the 11th of December, 35, and invite the especial attention of Senators to the articles which I shall read:

"Datesed into In General Martin Perfector & Co., of the Permanent Drops, and General Edward Burkson, of the Colonial trops of Texas."

18. That General Cost and to achieve series with their arms and purvate property, into the national of the republic, under the parse of basic United by will not in any six oppose the re-solidations of the feedback support of the feedback support of the property o

3d. That the general take the convicts brought in by Col. Ugartechea, beyond the

"Mills General Budeson will furnish General Cos with such provisions as can be obtained, necessary for his frougs to the Rio Geraule, at the ordinary price of the country."

Such was the fate of the first revolutionary army that invaded Texas. Defeated, captured, and dependent upon the generosity of the Texans for provisions to enable them to return to their own country. But there are two important points in these articles of capitulation which we should constantly bear in mind, while discountry. But there are two important points in these articles of capitulation which we should constantly bear io mind, while discussing the boundary of the Rio Grando. The first is, that Gen. Cox and his army were released upon the condition "that they will not in any way oppose the re-extablishment of the federal constitution of 1821 with the respective to the repeated with the same that they will not in any way oppose the re-extablishment of the federal constitution of 1821 with the repeated with the same the consists "beyond the Rio Grande," being furnished with supplies by Gen. Burleston to that river. The preliminary conditions were complied with on both sides, and here ended the first Mexican campaign into Texa. There was not a Mexican garrison nor a Mexican placed the whole country in the acknowledged and undisputed pressession of the Texaus. The section—to devise and establish for themselves a more perfect government. On the 2d day of March, 1836, they adopted "the unanimous declaration of independence," and on the 17th of the same month, they signed and published the constitution which I hold in my hand. It is the "constitution of the republic of Texas," which on all essential points conforms to the principles of the Mexican constitution of 1824, and our own the principles of the Mexican constitution of 1824, and our own free misturions. By looking over the signatures to this constitu-tion, as well as the declaration of independence which preceded it. I find an important fact, which may throw some light on the questron of loundary. From the municipality or county of Bexay, I had the allowing names, viz. Frances Rouis, Antonio Navar-to, J. B. Bodgett. From the municipality or county of San Patri-ion, are the names of John Turner, B. B. Goddrich, Jesse Grimes, J. G. Swisher, G. W. Burnett. Now, sir, by reference to Mitch-ell's map, which I have before me, I find these maniepalities or counties had drown as extending from the Nences to the Rio Grande: and in Mrs. Holley's Texas, I find a very interesting account of the town of San Patrick, on the west-side of the Neuces, and which, I understand, was the seat of justice of the county of San Patricio, until it was removed to Corpus Christi, by the act of the 18th of

January, 1845.

I will read Mrs. Holley's description of San Patrick, written in the year 1836

"Non Patrick — They, and first votors strated in Webbles, and Methors, gain, out to real bank of the New — A number of the four settled here, and the real bank of the New — A number of the fourth same settled here, and nelps adone. They set hearth of thick oftons in the ground reported a plenty and makes above, the Set when the fall city oftons in the ground to the grain depter of the Enquisions, who are, themselve, "systes of Sam." The Mexican gavernor at this given some relation the points on the 3d Order, "Prod." "Prod."

I shall have occasion, before I close my remarks, to refer to the various acts of the Texan Congress, fixing the times of holding courts in the counties of San Patricio and Bexar, and especially the act of the 21th of May, 1838, establishing the dividing line be-government, or during the revolutionary struggle of the Mexican people for independence—much less the idle and uscless question, as to the imaginary boundary, during the period that Texas and Coahulla constituted one state in Mexican confederacy. I care not whether Coahulla and Tamaulipas were supposed to have the. oretical possessions on this side of the Rio Grande, prior to the over-throw of the federal constitution of 1824. If they had such pos-sessions, they lost them when they lost their state sovereignty, by sessions, they fost them when they tost their state Solverigudy, by acquisesing in the revolution, and submitting to the degradation of becoming a mere department, in Santa Anna's military despetism, with their diminished and curtailed limits. By that act of submission, they forfeited all right to require their fellow-citizens on this side of the Rio Grande, to become co-sufferers in their degrada-The bond of union was dissolved by their own act, and tion. The bond of union was dissolved by their own act, and by their wrong, and the people on this side, in the counties of San Patricio and Bexar had a right to be represented, as they were represented in the convention, which proclaimed the independence and formed the constitution of the republic of Texas. The ques-tion now to be determined is, what were the boundaries of the Re-public, not the department of Texas. I have shown that the first invading army had been captured, and sent beyond the Rio Grande, and, that on the first day of January 1836, there was not a Mexican soldier on this side of that river. While the Texass were engaged in improving and remodeling their civil institutions. Starts Anna was corporating, and organizing a new army of inva-Santa Anna was preparing, and organizing a new army of inva-sion. He crossed the Rio Grande, and entered the settlements of Texas with two invading columns—the one in the direction of San Antonio, and the other upon Goliad. The slaughter of Travis, and his fellow patriots in the Alamo, and the marder of Fannin, and his entire command at Goliad, after they had entered into capitulation, and become prisoners of war, foreshadowed the fate of all who might fall into the hands of the Dictator. The work of all who might fall into the linnds of the Dictator. The work of destruction continued, with fire and sword, until the two liostile armies met on the banks of the San Jacinto. There, on the 21st of April, 1836, the gallant little Texau army, under the command of the distinguished Senator before me, hiterally annihilated the Mexican forces, leaving more than one-half of them dead upon the field, and capturing the rest, not al-lowing even one to escape to tell the tale of the terrible retribution which the god of battles had inflicted upon them for their merciless crimes. The murderer of Faanin and his men was now a captive pleading for his life at the hands of the Texan general. The generals of the two armes, and the Executives of the two nations. (for such they were now acknowledged to be,) immediately opened (for such they were now acknowledged to be,) numericately operations for a treaty of peace, and operations for a treaty of the treaty and boundaries. At length, for the treaty was signed by President Burnett and his cabinet and his cabinet part of the Republic of Texas, and General Sunta Anna on the part of Mexico. The caption shows who were trained to the treaty. I will read it:

"Atteles of agreement and solement compact, make and adapted by Jamsse G. Banet, Penaleut of the Republic of Pexas, and the molecupard members of their distinctions of materials and the solements of their distinctions of

After a preamble the first article proceeds as follows :

"Therefore, it is agreed by the President Santa Anna, and the Generals Don Vin-ente Filisola, Don Jose Urea, Don Joaquin Ramnes y Sesma, and Don Automo Gone, 1. That the armies of Mexico shall, with all practicable expedition, evacuate the territors of Texas, and retire to Monterey, beyond the Rio Gramle."

The second article provides that the Mexican army "shall abstain from all pillage and devastation" on their retreat. I will invite especial attention to the third, and a part of the fourth articles, as follows :

35 TOLION'S 5.
36 TOLION'S 5.
37 M. That the army of Texas are to march westwordly, and to neverty orch pasts as the commanding general may think proper, on the cast side of the Rio Grande, are "46. That the Procedert Start Anna, in its efficient character as cheer of the Mexestan nation, and the Generas Don Vinevate Filiola, Don Jou Fred, Don Jouquis Romner y Sensia, and Don Autono Gonan, as Chiefe of Annee, sho solounth are public of Texas, with such boundaries as are beceafter set forth and agreed upon for the same."

The fifth article prescribes the boundaries of the Republic of exas. I will read so much as relates to the south-western boundary.:

Continued in the following be and the same are brody established and underlying of the following of tenanscripts in between the two Repulsies of Neveron in Texas, to set The line-shall commence at the estary or mould of the Rio Gende, on the western loads, thereof, and shall pursue the same bank of the sail tweet, to the point where the ever the result where the result is not to be a superior of the sail western hand to the sail tweet in the sail western hank to the lead waters, or isome of sail tweet, it beingainstrated that the term Rio Genzale and Rio Brazo del Norte, apply to and deepgrate one and

The sixth and seventh articles relate to the release of prisoners and the restoration to Texas of all fortresses, artillery, and munitions of war, within her limits.

In article eighth Texas undertakes, in consideration of the foregoing provisions, to spare the life of Santa Anna and his officers, and to restore them to their liberty.

Article ninth is as follows:

"9th. The release of the President Santa Anna shall be made immediately, on reeving the signatures of Generals Don Vincente Filisola, Don Jose Urea, Don Joaqu Ramires y Sesna, and Don Antonio Gaona, to this agreement, and his conveyance Yera Cruz as soon afterwards as may be convenient."

It will be borne in mind that the generals named in the niath article were not prisoners, and that after the capture of Santa Anna. General Filasola succeeded to his powers as commander in chief teneral rinsons succeeded to his powers as commander-in-chief of the Mexican army. The remaining articles relate to the mode in which these were to be executed. General Filesola and the other officers named in the mith article, did subsequently sign and ratify this treaty, and in pursuance of it were permitted to retire, with the forces under their command, in peace and security beyond the Rio Grande. Here ends the history of the second invasion of Texas by Macsico. Like the first, it resulted in the total an-nihilation of the invading army—it defeat and capture. Texas was now free and independent, without a hostile foot upon number of the invading and the way of the wa Texan army, and under the sanctity of a treaty stipulation that the Rio Grande should forever remain the line of "demarkation between the two Republics of Mexico and Texas." It does seem to tween the two Republics of Mexico and Texas." It does seem to me that I might stop here, with salety, and rest the question of the boundary of the Rio Grande upon the incontrovertible facts which I have brought to the uotice of the Senate. But, sir, I am well aware that, while no Senator will controver the truth of any one material fact which I have stated, or the fainness and impar-tiality with which all my facts have been presented, yet it will be easily that the texat to which I have alludor was evil-via. hat the treaty to which I have alluded was not binding upon the Mexican nation, because Santa Anna was a prisoner of war, in captivity, at the time of its execution. I do not deem it necessary to make an argument on this point, so far as Santa Anna is himself concerned; for it can make no difference with the result.

General Filscola, and the other generals who subsequently signed and ratified that treaty in conjunction with him, were not prisoners and rational that year in computerion with this, when they executed it.

They were at the head of their respective commands, in the full
engyment of all their laculities, and the free exercise of all their
rights, when they signed and ratified the instrument. They acted
upon their own judgments and of their own voltion, and make no upon their own pagaments and or their own vontion, and make no pretext of duress or coercion. If, then, the captivity of Santa Anna deprived him of the faculties of volition and action, Filasola succeeded to his position of commander in chief of the army, and was duly invested with all the powers of which he had been deprived. But, sir, I cannot concede that the acts of Santa Anna were not binding upon himself and his government. We must bear in mind that the government of Mexico at that time was a military despotism, creeted upon the ruins of the republic, after the federal oespoisar, efected upon the rains of the repulser, after the federal constitution had been abolished. Santa Anna was the lead of that government, (if indeed he was not the government jtself;) and the people were re-possible for his acts, because they had submitted to his rule, and aequiesced in his authority. The government had no rightful existence, and no other authority than that ment had no rightful existence, and no other authority flan that which resulted from violence and power. It had extended its authority, by successful revolution, to the Rio Grande, and to that extent the people were bound by its acts. It had failed in two successive attempts to establish its, power on this side of two successive attempts to establish its power on this side of that river, and the evidence of that failure is to be found recorded in letters of blood in the treaty of San Jacinto, sealed with the impress of the government's captivity, and winessed by the dead of more than one half of the revolutionary army. The failure of Mexico to conquer and reduce to subjugation, is conclusive evidence of the right of the inhabitants to govern themselves. This treaty is an acknowledgment of that right, and, themselvies. This ireary is an acknowledgment or unarright, and, as such, is good evidence of the independence and boundaries of the republic of Texas. I do not insist that the treaty conferred any new rights upon Texas, either in respect to her independence or limits; for they existed before the treaty was signed and independence of the provisions. Her inalienable right of independence of the provisions. resulted from the subversion of the constitutional government of the Mexican confederacy—the lact of independence, with the boundary of the Rio Grande, was evidenced by the total annihilation of dary of the Rio crance, was evacanced by the total annihilation of every revolutionary army which had presumed to enter her terri-tory, and the expulsion of every hostile foot from her soil. The treaty is a valid acknowledgment of both the right and the fact. treaty is a valid acknowledgment of both the right and the fact. It was entered into for a consideration, which, it is reasonable to suppose, was not only desirable, but invaluable to Mexico. The life of her Chief Magistrate, and the safety of thousands of her soldiers and officers, depended upon it, and were secured by it. In fact the whole conduct of the government of Mexico, from the date of that treaty through a long series of years, has clearly shown that she regarded the Rio Grande as the boundary of Texas: but claimed the right of reconquest, as she is pleased to term it, insomuch as the treaty had not been ratified according to the forms of the constitution, which had been abolished nearly two years preof the constitution, which had occur abounted nearly two years previous. Texas was permitted to remain in the undisturbed possession of the territory for years. Invasion and conquest were constantly threatened, but no attempt was ever made to carry the threat into execution by a regularly appointed army until the year 1842, six years after the battle and treaty of San Jacinto. In that year, Gen. Vascus ventured to cross the Rio Grande, and, by a ra year, Gen. Assens ventured to cross the Rio Grande, and, by a ra-pid movement, succeeded, on the 6th of March, in reaching and plundering San Antonio. The Texans instantly seized their arms, and prepared to clastise and repulse the invaders. The result is thus recorded in Green's Mier expedition:

"A large number had already assembled under their octeran leader, General Edward Boileson, always the first in the field and foremost to the fight. The ENEMY FLEE BEFORE THEM TO THE RIO GRANDE, one hundred and fifty miles distingt?"

This was the end of the third regular invasion of Texas by Mex ico. The invading army only escaped the fate of the two prece-ding ones by a hasty flight before the Texians across the Rio Now for the fourth and last invasion which Mexico ever attempted, unless, indeed, the maranding party under Canalles, which was promptly met and repulsed, is entitled to the dignity of that designation. About the 1st of September, 1842, Gen. Woll crossed the Rio Grande with his army, and by rapid marches body passession of San Autonio on the Hib of that month. A few day passession of San Autonio on the Hib of that month. A few day of Texians in the vicinity of that place. For the result of this invasion, I will invite the attention of the Senate to the passages which I will read from the work I have just quoted |

which I will read from the work I have just quoted

"After the mascare of Daw on and his none, General Wolf mode a manufal or
ym to San Admois with he fifteen streams value from the mode of the low of the property of the p

Thus ended the fourth and last invasion of Texas by a regular army of Mexico II at any time maranding parties ever crossed the Rio Grande and approsched the scittements, their flight, before Hays' and McCullough's Rangers, was more rapid than their original march. The repulse and retreat of Gen. Woll's army in the fall of 1842, again left Texas in the undisputed enjoyment of lew whole territorial limits, as defined in the treaty of San Jacinto and vindicated by her arms whenever invaded, since the date of Gen. Cos' capitulation in 1825. I have said that Hays and McCullough always held the maranding parties in check; and repulsed them whenever they invaded the country. On this point I will read a paragraph from the speech of Mr. Kanifman, of Texas. in the House of Representatives, on the 27th of Jane, 1846:

"Indeed, the Texa-Rangers, under the rallant Hays and McCullouch, have for verse held undeputed start over that termory, the country between the Narees and the Kin Grandle land we have had in the occupation of it as the condition and want of our population permitted and required. No Mession proves have ever leave the transfer at the Country of the City (Fig. 16) and the country of the City (Fig. 16) and the country of the City (Fig. 16) and the country-out the City (Fig. 16) and the City (Fig. 16) and the country-out the City (Fig. 16) and the C

I am aware that I am accomulating evidence on this point, beyond what angilt to be required to convince the most incredulous mand. Yet I must be permitted to call the attention of the Senate to one item more. I allude to the armistice which was concluded between the governments of Mexico and Texas, on the 15th of February, 1841, and the proclamation of Gen. Woll, amounting the re-opening of hostilities from and after the 11th of June, of that year. The hostilities which were re-opened existed only on paper, if we except the cruel and barbarous treatment of the Texan prisoners who had been so unfortunate as to fall into the hands of the Mexicans in the previous campaigns. Gen. Woll, acring under the express orders of the Mexican government, at Mire, June 20th, 1844, issued an order or proclamation, of which the third section is as follows:

"3. Every individual who may be found at the distance of one league from the left bank of the Rio Bravo, | Rio Grande| will be regarded as a firever and aecomplise of the PURPERS OF THAT PART OF THE NATIONAL TERRITORY, and assa tradio to be country."

This order is important in two points of view; first, that while Mexico claimed the whole of Texas as he national territory, she at that time regarded and acknowledged the Rio Bravo, or Grande, as the boundary. She had previously declared the inhabitants of Texas rebels and traitors, who were to be put to immediate death, and by this order that sentence was applied to every person, whether Mexican or Texan, who should be found at the distance of three niles from the Rio Grande, apon the ground that the fact of being there was conclusive evidence that they favored the Texan cause. A Mexica might core service, the they devote the two services are considered as the service of the services acknowledgement, that the Texans were in possession of the country:

"Twoy individual who may be found at the detance of one league from the left bank of the Rio Biaro, will be regarded as a favour and accomplies of the ENTREPES OF THE ENTRE OF THE AUTONAL TERRITORY."

It appears, then, that the Texaus had usurped the territory on the left bank of Rio Bravo, or Grande. To usurp, according to Webster, is "16 serice and hold in passession by force or without right." I have already disposed of the question as to the right of Fexas "to serice and hold in passession by force on without regist." I have already disposed of the question ins to the right of Fexas "to serice and hold in passession by force. However, and the participation of the right of the participation of the fermion of the participation of the fermion of the fermion product and the question of boundary, since the subversion of the federal constitution of 1824. In the examination of this subject my mind has been powerfully impressed by the circumstances, that in every invasion which Mexico has ever made of the territory of Texas, the Rio Grande has been uniformly mentioned as the fine which the army crossed, and heyond which it retreated whenever it was permitted to e-cope. The same may be said of the capitulations and treaties with the two captive amines. The cone of them. This circumstan, nor can the name be found in any one of them. This circumstan, nor can upon the maid of every impartial may

Having shown that Mexico has never held any portion of the country this side of the Rio Grande, (of course I sneak of the lower Rio Grande, (s) since the subversion of the constitution of 1824, and that Texas has promptly repelled every invasion of the territory. I now propose to show that she has occupied and governed it, by her eith institutions, during that whole period. I have already shown that every Mexicon garrison between the Nucleot of the propose of the state of the state

The third section of the thirteenth article is as follows:

"Section 3.1 All law and part of laws now in force in the republic of Texas which are not repaignant to the Constitution of the United State, the point resolutions for amenting Texas to the United States, or to the postsions of the Constitution, ladd continue and remain in force is the laws of the State, and they expire by their own hundration, or ladd be ablead to repeate by the believing the theory."

Now, six the provision ratifies and continues in force all the acts of the Texan Congress to which I have referred—the act declarance the Rio Grande to be the boundary of the republic—the next stabilishing the boundary lines of counters from the Nacees to the Rio Grande—the several acts providing for the surveys of lands and fixing the times of holding courts in those counties—all are confirmed by this section of the constitution. The Congress of the United States must be presumed to have been familiar with these laws and this section of the constitution, when the act was passed admitting her into the Union. This presumption is greatly passed dependently and the section of the constitution, when the act was considered and Texas, Congress passed an act extending our revenue lows over the territory of the State, and establishing a part of delivery, among other places at Corpus Christi, in the county of San Patricio.

I have now concluded all I have to say on the question of boundary. Whether I have succeeded in establishing the boundary of the Ren Grande, is for the Senate and the country to judge. Our thing is certain. Mexico never deraund of any other boundary than that of the Ron Grande or the Sabine. She was in possession of the country to the Rio Grande, and claimed the right to conquer to the Sabine. This was the position of Mexico towards Texas, as stated by herself, when the latter was annexed to this country and admitted into the Union.

this country and normatics are the consequence of the present war? The question move arises, who commended the present war? The question move arises, who commended the present between the two great was being represented to the present the control of the present the present the control of the present th

"The apprehension of a contemphol Mexican invasion have been size fully in-tingly but apprehension of a contemphol of Mexican invasion have been size fully in-tingly but and the size of the United States was afterwards manufacted from the velociteous of the control of Maxela, Carlos and Minister of Foreign A flain to our uninstep, them gain as no the towelf for Maxela, to the resolution for the annexation of Texas, which had been adopted by our Compe-tor Maxela, 18-lb, proceeds to dether that "a fact tach as his, on, to speak with a great for her own hanor, should rept. It with proper firmmes and dignats. The Supremi Government had beforehand delected that it would be only they such an act as a cases

Georgia and a second proper symmetry and organy. The Supreme Georgia and the Supreme and S

against the enemy.' Thus we find that the Mexican Minister of Foreign Affairs, on armies were stationed on the west side of the Sabine—that we had incorporated the country between the Sabine and the Rio Grandi into our Ucion, and deprived her of the right which she claimed of reconquest. This was her prievance, and for this grievance she boasted that sabe had the chivalry the make was upon the United Incotions in the strength of her title on the one side or the other of the Nucces, until she found it explained in the speeches of American Senators. Those speeches are the foundation of her better title to the country west, than east of that river. Up to the commencement of this war, the name of the Nucces river cannot be found in any Mexican document—civil of military—addressed to this country, or Texas, in which she claims a better, or any other title to that river, than to the Sabine. He separate title to the Nucces is a whig title, originating in this country, and derived from whigh newspapers and speeches, and tairon with Mr. Trist, "in the Chaphitlepee causeway." She now claims it, because she is told that it is hers; that she is unable to comprehend, much less explain, upon what principles her separate and better title rests. I repeat, that this line of the Nucces was manufactured in this country, for the purpose of receipt a platform, from which to assail the President of the United States, and, through him, the democratic party. The tidea was conceived after incorporated the country between the Sabine and the Rio Grande form, from which to assall the President of the United States, and, through him, the democratic party. The idea was conceived after the passage of the act of the 15th of May, 1846, recognizane "a state of war by the act of Mexico," and by gentlemen who voted for that law. Why did they not then rell us that the President had invaded the territory of Mexico in violation of the constitution of the United States, and instruct him to withdraw the army wither the line of our rightful boundary, instead of furnishing ten aillions of dollars, and fifty thousandary insectator furnishing terminous of dollars, and fifty thousand men, to prosecute the invasion to the vitals of Mexico? I suppose the answer will be, if any answer shall be made, that they, were a signorant as Mexico herself, of the existence of any better title, to the one side than the other of the Nucces.

herself, of the existence of any better title, to the one side than the other of the Nacess.

But, sir, there is one point more to which I wish to address a few remarks. It is strenuously insisted, here and elsewhere, that the letter of the Secretary of War, of the 13th of January, 1846, rodering General Taylor from Corpus Christ to the Rio Grande, was the real cause of the war. Some go so far as to charge the President with groung the order for the purpose of producing war, which the president with groung the order for the purpose of producing war, which was the real cause of the war. Some go so far as to charge the President with ground the control of the control of the second producing war, which was the second of the control of the control of the second of the second of the second of the control of the second of the second

After a detailed exposition of the reasons, for the recommenda-tion which he was about to make, he proceeds as follows:
"For these resions, our position thus, far has, L think, hern the last possible; but, now that the entire free villages the concentrated, it may well be a question whether the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the twith great delegence that I make any suggestions on topic unless that the tree of delensts engolation; but of our povernment, as stitling the question of blounda-ry, makes the line of the Rio Grande as ultimation, I connect doubt that the stitlinent of the greatly problems and be described by any taking possession on once of one or

30TH CONG .- IST SESSION :- No. 26.

two autable points on or quite near that river. Our strength and state of prepara-tion should be displayed in a manner not to be mistaken. However salutary may be the flourier to injuries the government of allow injuries being, we are too far from the flourier to injuries the government of allow injuries being the Rio Grande. The flouries of the amy of occupied on the flouries of the flouries of the flouries of the flouries of war, or committed any over a et of hostilities, I die met feel art being of war, or committed any over a et of hostilities, I die met feel art being the flouries of the flour

Takes are the recommendation of voterial rajor. I cannot donit that the settlement will be greatly facilitated and insteamed by our taking posterior and the properties of knowing the movements, intentions, and feelings of the Mexicans than any one else. He had previously, July 20, 1815, given the department are: "and the department may rest assured that I will take no step to interrupt the friendly relations between the United States and Mexico." Relying upon the faith of this assurance, and upon his better means of information, the department complied with his request, and gave him the desired order "for a forward movement to the Rio Grande." General Taylor had recommended it as a peace-measure, calculated to facilitate and hasten the settlement of the boundary question, and in that view, and on that recommendation, the order was given. It doubt that the settlement will be greatly facilitated and hastened in that view, and on that recommendation, the order was given. It is clear, therefore, that General Taylor, and he alone, is responsible for that order. If it was right and wise, his is the merit; and the for that order. It it was right and wise, his is the infect, and if it was wrong, he ought—as I have no doubt, he is perfectly willing—to take the responsibility. I have no doubt, that the order was an act of policy and wisdom—nay, of necessity.

But, sir, who are the men that condemn this order, and for what

purpose is the condemnation made at this time? They are the professed advocates of the election of General Taylor to the Presiprofessed advocates of the election of General Taylor to the Presi-dency, and the order is condemned for the purpose of making pu-litical capital for themselves and their candidate, against the dem-ocratic party. Under the influence of the same patriotic motives, it has suddenly been discovered by a portion of those who voted for It has readenly been unservered by a portrol of these who vectors at the control of the position of the vicked rulers who are directing its course, except by the elevation to the Presidency of a man, whose very name has been introduced to the knowledge of the civilized world only by his extraordinary success in shedding human blood in an unjust cause. trandman species in steaming manial motion at rolline state of the By denoming file war as a scheme of rapine and rolliners and By denoming the war to scheme of rapine and file the officers and men under their command, with being a band of successful robbers, murderers, and pirates, whose only titles to the gratitude of their countrymen is derived from a series of unparalleled triumphs in countrymen is derived from a series of unparalleled triumphs in violation of the constitution of their country, over a weak and an unoffending people. Should it hereafter be to us a matter of sur-prise to hear all Europe, whose jealousy has been aroused by our growing greatness and importance among the nations of the world, denounce us a nation of robbers and pirates, when they can refer to the speeches of American Senators for the truth and justice of their statements. Sunway continues succeeding making the world be. denounce us a nation of rothers and pirates, when they can refer to the speeches of American Senators for the truth and justice of their statements! Supp se gentlemen succeed in making the world believe that the war in which was re engaged, and which has been sanctioned by the nation according to all the forms and solemnities known to the constitution, is innecessary and uniquestance of the constitution, and the same and the forms and solemnities with the same and the fame of their country infamous in the eyes of christendom. Whose heart did not swell and pulsate with patriotic pride as he heard the shout of the glorious victories achieved by our countryme wafted from the plains and mountains of Mexico, striking terror to the bearts of all enemies of republican institutions, and demonstrating that ours is the first military, as well as civil power, upon the globe? Sir, I shall never forget the proud and grateful emotions of my own breast, when the response was heard from all parts of the Union to the call for volunteers in the sammer of 1846, showing that more than three hundred thousand had tendered their services, when only fifty thousand could be received. Was that reing that more than three hundred thousand had tendered their services, when only fifty thousand could be received. Was that response prompted by a love of plander and robbery—or was it a pat triote response from the hearts of freemen, burning with a lerven? desire to average their country's wrongs and vindicate her rights. Shall it be said that in Republican American the only sentiment which can animate and arouse the whole people—which can quell partizan strife and obliterate party distinction, for a time—is an insatiable lust for rapine and robbery, upon our unoffending and unfortunate neighbors? Such must be the firmts of the victory, if unfortunate neighbors? Such must be the fruits of the victory, if gentlemen triumph in the efforts they are now making in regard to this war. All the emotions of my heart and the feelings of my nature revolt at the idea. National and State pride rebels at the thought. My own State has sent nearly seven thousand men to this war, and has offered up more lives upon the field of battle, and sacrificed more by the diseases of the country, than any other State in the Union. Their partnotic devels of noble daring have saked bonor in the Union. Their patriotic deeds of noble daring have shed honory upon the State, as well as glory upon the American arms. I feel grateful to them—the living and the dead—for the services they have rendered and the renown they have won. Every other State has hed its share in the glory of this war. If they have not furnished as many men, it was because the government declined to receive them. All have done their dury, and all ought to feel proud of their achievements.

Mr. BELL took the floor.

On motion, the Senate adjourned.

# WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 2, 1848.

PRESIDENT PRO TEM.

The VICE PRESIDENT being absent :

On motion by Mr. BENTON, seconded by Mr. MANGUM,

Resolved. That the honorable David & Atchison 1c appointed President of the

RESOLUTIONS, ETC. OF THE LEGISLATURE OF LOUISIANA.

Mr. JOHNSON, of La., presented a resolution passed by the Legislature of that State, in favor of the passage of a law grants of a portion of public lands to each of the passage of a law grants of their ballowing public lands, to each of the passage of the passage of Military Allicia, which was read, referred to the Committee on Military Allicia, and ordered to be printed.

Also, a resolution of the said Legislature, in favor of the passage of an act to indemnify citizens of the United States, for losses by French spoliations prior to 1800, which was read, referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations, and ordered to be printed.

Also, resolutions of the said Legislature, in favor of the passage of a law authorizing the State of Louisiana to select other school land in lieu of such as are unit for cultivation; which were read, referred to the Committee on Public Lands, and ordered to be printed.

Also, a memorial of the said Legislature, praying an enlargement of the powers of said Legislature, in reference to the selection and disposition of the 16th sections or school lands; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands, and ordered to be

#### MEXICAN SPOLIATIONS.

In presenting the memorial of Philo B. Johnson, praying indemnity for injuries to his person and property, committed by Mexical Matter and the committee of the

Mr. NILES said that though the memorial went semewhat into leastily set he should require that it be read. He would state briefly the substance of it. The memorialist was one of the claimants for spalintions by the Mexican government. He had been subjected to gross outrages in the year 1831, at or near Tobasco. He was an old sea captain, now a resident of New York, but a native of the State which he (Mr. N.) represented. His whole life had been spent on the high seas, and having, unfortunately, lost all his property, has presented binself as a claimant for referse on account of the injuries which he had sustained at the hands of the lawless agents of the Mexican government. The trades of the lawless agents of the Mexican government of the state white the control of the injuries which he had sustained at the hands of the lawless agents of the Mexican government of the control of the United States agents of the Mexican government of the control of the United States agents of the Mexican government of the control of the United States agents of the Mexican government of the trade of the United States agents of the Mexican government of the United States agents of the Mexican government of the United States agents of the Mexican government of the United States agents of the Mexican government of the United States agents of the Mexican government of the United States agents of the Mexican government of the United States agents of the Mexican and the United States agents of the Province of the Government of Mexican of the United States might have against the government of Mexican of the United States might have against the government of Mexican of the United States might have against the

of the sober obligations of national law. Every one of these claims afforded in itself, distinctly considered, if not promptly met and redressed, a justifiable came of war. He did not say that this war arose from these claims at all, but he did say, that this case and others showed that the couldnot of the Mexican government, towards the United States, had been not only unfriendly, but heem marked by every species of injustice, violence, and rapasity, the slightest claim to civilization. It was not necessary to say anything more on the subject, as the memorialist asked that his story of wrongs might be read; he would conclude by moving that it be read.

The memorial having been read, was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

CADETS.

Mr. BENTON submitted the following resolution; which was ordered to be printed:

Resolved. That the President be requested to cause the Senate to be informed of the order, or law, by virtue of which the following words in relation to the promotion of Cadets have been inserted in the Aimy Register of the United States, page 45, for the veri P44.

Cades have been inserted in the Aimy Register of the United States, page 43, for the year 1947.

"Cadels acting as superinterary officers in the aimy, in virtue of their bevets, will be successively promoted to xecancies of the lowest grade which may first happen in the particular arm to which they may have been attached, according to the order of Tank established at the military academy."

#### LIGHTING THE CAPITOL.

Mr. HUNTER, from the Committee on Public Buildings, reported a bill to pay James Crutchett two thousand dellars for lighting the Capitol and Capitol Grounds; which was read and passed to a second reading.

Mr. HUNTER asked the manimous consent of the Senate, that the bill might have a second reading now.

Mr. NILES objected to the second reading, pronouncing the plan by which the Capitol grounds were lighted to be a humburg.

After an explanation by Mr. HUNTER that the bill was merely to meet a present emergency, Mr. NILES withdrew his objection, and said that he should deler what he wished to say until the subject should come up on its merits.

The bill was then read a second time, by unanimous consent, and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent.

Resolved, That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered. That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

### RIG DOUGLASS.

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the memorial of A. A. Frazier, for himself and Alvin Baker, reported the following resolution; which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to:

Resolved, That the memorial of A. A. Frazer, for himself and Alvin Baher, owners of the bitg Dongbas, preying indemnity for the losses cannot fill the advances of the bitg Dongbas, preying indemnity for the losses cannot fill the advances of the present of the present of the present of the present of the secretary of State be directed to communicate to the Senatu the conjunals, or co piece of all depositions and other documents and papers in his adequation relating to a present of the present of

### PRIVATE BILLS.

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the Committee on the Judieary, to whom was referred the petition of Joseph De La Francia, submitted a report accompanied by a bill supplementary to "JA act to authorize the Secretary of State to liquidate certain claims therein mentioned," passed the 18th of April, 1841.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the same Committee, to whom wesreferred the petition of I. Bigelow, administrator on the salate of Francois Cazcau, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for the relief of the legal representatives of Francois Cazcau, late merchant at Montreal.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

Mr. ASHLEY, from the same Committee, to whom was re-ferred the bill to authorize the Secretary of the Treasury to make an arrangement or compromise with Mangle M. Quackenhoss and his co-obligors, or any of them, for elains or bonks green by them as sureties to the United States, reported it without amendment.

#### PENSIONS TO THE ORDNANCE CORPS.

Mr. DAVIS, of Miss., said that, under existing laws, the enlisted men of the ordinace corps were exempted from the benefit of the pensions allowed to men wounded and disabled in the service of the United States. The attention of the Military Committee had been drawn to the fact, and he was instructed to report a bill to meet the case. Before introducing it, he would meetly remained to the control of the case of the c he supposed that the exemption in the original law resulted from he supposed that the exemption in the original law resulted from the fact, that these men were enlisted under the title of "artificers, armorers, and master workmen," though regularly enlisted as solders, and liable to serve in the field. In their appropriate employment as artillerists they were exposed to more hazard than any other branch of the service. They were exposed to many accidents and easualties from the explosion of transparent entries and explosion of the service of the artillery accompanying great marching armies. They had been used in this worr in working rocket and howitzer batteries, and be had before accompanying great marching armies. They had been used in this war in working rocket and howitzer batteries, and he had before him a statement relative to a single company engaged in working a mountain howitzer in Mexico, which in successive battles in the valley of Mexico, lost out of the one hundred and twenty men of which it was composed, in killed and wounded, twenty-three men, which it was composed, in kined and wounded, twenty-three heat, five killed and eighteen wounded men were totally disabled and had not been discharged, because no pension provision had been made for them; and if discharged, their disability from wounds received in battle, rendering them unable to obtain subsistence, they would have been thrown upon the charity of the country. In view of these considerations the present bill had been prepared, and was presented with a request, that by unani-mous consent of the Schate, it should be passed to-day, or as soon as the Senate thought proper.

The said bill was read the second time, by unanimous consent, and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and, no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent.

Resolved, That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as afore-aid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

## ADVERSE REPORT.

Mr. JOHNSON, of La., from the Committee on Pensions, to whom was referred the memorial of Francis O. Dorr and Andrew C. Dorr, submitted on adverse report; which was ordered to be printed.

# ADDITIONAL COURT IN TEXAS.

Mr. ASHLEY, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom was referred the bill to establish an additional District Court of the United States in the State of Texas, reported it without amendment.

# DECISIONS OF THE SUPREME COURT.

Mr. ASHLEY, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom Mr. ASHLEY, from the committee on the Judiciary, to whom was referred the petition of Thomas, Cowperthwaite & Co., re-ported a bill providing for the purchase and distribution of the decisions of the Supreme Court of the United States among the several States and Territories; which was read and passed to the second reading.

## MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Reprecatatives, by Mr. Campbell, their clerk

Mr. President: The House of Representatives have passed a bill entitled "An act o confirm the boundary between Missouri and Arkansas;" in which they desire the concurrence of the Senate.

## TEN REGIMENT BILL

The Senate resumed the consideration of the bill to raise, for a limited time, an additional military force.

Mr. BELL.—Mr. President: I believe it is in bad taste to offer any apology for addressing the Senate on the ground of feeble health or of inadequate preparation, or for any other cause. Every gentleman is nt liberty to speak or be silect, as he may determine for himself. I will, therefore, make none for the remarks I propose to submit, although they may not be characterized by much novelty. But this is a question of such unusual importance that I think some allowance may be made for gentlemen, although they think some allowance may be made for gentlemen, although they may fail to offer any thing fresh or interesting after a discussion so long continued and with such ability on both sides of the chamber; indeed, upon a subject presenting so wide a field for debate, and so rich and varied in its topics as the present, it must be the fault of the speaker if he can offer nothing somewhat new.

This is a question, sir, on which I could not feel justified in maintaining silence. I cannot say with the Senator from North Carolina, (Mr. Badder,) that had this measure been permitted to

pass without debate or a division by ayes and noes, I would have been content without expressing my views upon it. I feel bound to upon which the public mind is peculiarly sensitive is a question upon which the public mind is peculiarly sensitive. The first impulse of the putriotic and reflecting part of the community in every section of the country, is in favor of all supplies which may be demanded by the department entriested with the direction of the military operations of the government, when a war sfagarant. This measure bears the impress of Executive recommendation, and those who oppose it will be strately reckoned with The people will require suincient reasons. By the theory of our system, our voice is not so mote with the control of the properties of the strate which is not represent that might be brought before the Senate, and in doing so, I would be responding to the general sentiment of the State, which I in part represent—as that sentiment existed a few months ago. I am proud to have it in my power to say of the people of that State, that they will permit no considerations of party interest or prejudice to embarast the government in the prosecution of an existing war, whatpermit a community production of an existing war, what we ever objections they may have to its origin, or the motives and objects with which it is waged, unless those objects shall appear mischievons and ruinous to the country. Those objects as heretofore understood, though not approved by a large portion of them, yet as there seemed to be no other mode of terminating the war consistently with the avowed policy of the administration, than by a vigorous proscention of it, they were favorable to that course. vigorous proscention of it, they were favorable to that course But, sir, since the further development of the views of the Exe

But, sir, since the further developement of the views of the Exe-cutive in the late message and other official documents, some of them clearly enough, and others darkly stated and shadowed forth, I must suppose that a corresponding change in publi-opinion and sentiment upon this subject will follow. Again, sir, I consider, that to vote for this measure is to ap-prove, to the fullest extent, the policy of the admissration in the interpretation of the subject will be supported by the subject will be supported to the policy, not in the power of those who are now silent, when here-atter the vill is mpon the country, to retract or dow. They enume poincy, not in the power of those win are now saint, when there after the evil is upon the country, to retract or deny. They cannot say that they were not sufficiently forewarned by the administration of what would, or might be the final and momentuous result

tion of what would, or might be the final and momentuous result.

I believe, with one or two exceptions, the entire Senate has heretofore promptly voted every supply, both of men and money, demanded by the Executive for the prosvention of this war. The Senator from Illinois, (Mr. Douczas,) in his speech on yesterday, insisted that the wings of the Senate had suddenly changed their ractice, and are now in opposition to their former liberal course. It is my purpose, sir, to show that the administration has changed its policy—that it is no longer what it was twelve months ago

But, Mr. President, I must be indulged in a few other preliminary remarks, before I proceed to the main purpose of my argument

ment.
I shall not stop to discuss several of the questions which distinguished Senators seem to think of importance, and upon which they have employed much close and cogent argument. I shall not stop to inquire whether the President, by his order to Gen. Taylor of the 13th of January, 1846, intended to bring on a war; whether he ought to have had the sagacity to perceive that such would be the necessary reflect of that order; or whether such was in fact its necessary result. I shall not impuire whether Mexico or the Useried States committed the first act of military accression unon its necessary result. I shall not impure whether Mexico of the United States committed the first act of military aggression upon disputed territory; nor shall I delay to inquire whether the war was constitutionally brought on. It is enough for me that it exists that it has received the sanction of the legislative department of that it has received the sanction of the legislative department of the government, whatever I may think of the notable device by which that sanction was extorted. I shall not inquire whether the war might not have been avoided; though, I think it might and should. I shall not inquire whether the President was, from the first, actuated by a settled purpose of acquiring territory by conquest; nor shall I examine the circumstances connected with the origin of the war, to prove that it is unjust and inquirous. If it were so, for myself, I would rather seek to east a vell over the record, or lot it out forever. But in saying this. record, or hlor it out forever. But in saying this, I mean no eensare upon the course of honorable Senutors, or others who take a different view of the question. They doubtless have a deep and abding conviction of the injustice of this war, and their exalted sense of duty to themselves and their country imples them to proclaim this their honest conviction. But I shall neither seek to fasten this conviction upon my own mind, nor upon that of others. For myself I choose to induff the thesis greflection, the illusion, if it be one, that up to this period, at least, no such untoward development of the tendencies of our system has occurred, as that the constituted authorities selected by the free and enlighten-distinguishment of the procedure of the selected by the free and enlighten-distinguishment of the people have, in the mere wantonness of power

that the constituted authorities selected by the free and enlightened suffrages of the people have, in the more wantonness of power
and the unbridled lust of the properties of power and the unbridled lust of the properties of power
and the unbridled lust of the properties of the

struggling to maintain the honor of their country, the integrity of their soil, and the existence of their antionality. Lean sympathize, run, with the mass of unoffending inhabitants, the most sympathic with our times of var. Bit, repeat, I am no sympathy their conditions, factions chiefs, per for any government of their founding.

I shall further avail myself of this occasion to state, that I do not hold Mexico to be altogether blameless of this war, and of all its bloody consequences; neither in its origin, nor in the content of the content of the circumstances of committance, if not of encouragement, on the part of the government of the United States attending that event in the final annexation of Texas; without first negotiating the consent of Mexico is he might well feel her national pride van not been dealt well, as the weaker, boxed, which is the value of the content of the c

But, sir, it is a far different question how far 1 would go—how much more blood—how much more treasure—I would scaringe in a war waged undor present orienmentances—under the recent development of the policy of the administration in the further presecucional properties of the policy of the administration in the further presecuments the consideration of what we may honorably and rightfully do in reference to Mexico as the vanquished party in a war of which she cannot claim to be blamcless as of other questions and consequences, deeply and vitally affecting the Union, and the poli-

consequences, deepy and various and recording the configuration of the policy and principles of our to be includinged in a few other prefiningly remarks which now occur to me as appropriate to the subject, when I said that I would bed to disease certain questions in regard to the propriety of this war—its justice or injustice—I beg leave to explain, that I would bed that I had a perfect right to do otherwise if I thought that the interests of the country demanded such a curse. I have had sir, a pretty large experience in public life, but have not, as yet, disciplined myself into perfect indifference or callunasses as to what may be said—whether in this body, or out that of those with whom I am associated. The remarks which I am about to offer are prompted by the continued demunications which I meet with in some of the public journals of the day. I hold, sir, for one, that gentlemen who believe this war to be unjust and inspittons, or whether just or unjust, that the further prosecution of it is likely to inflict upon the country greater cvils than can be compensated by all the territorial nequisitions which the corrage and another them to the contrage of the country may be relieve, have a perfect right to a resign accounter of the country my enclose, the constitution warrants. I hold, sir, that to deep to them, the exercise of this privilege by intunisation, and the influence of official demunication, under legal forms; and to seek to forestall the exercise of this privilege hy intunisation, and the influence of official demunication, by charging those who avail themselves of this privilege as the alies of the public enemy and their auxiliaries in the war, is an alterning at mean? When the institutions of freeches have he had much to do.

Why, sir, after Mexico shall have fallon under our conquering arms in the Santh, and the British possessions in the North, let us suppose that the spirit of progressive democracy, which is becoming so rise in the land, embedding ypact success should succeed, in converting this people into a nation of programdists, and with the aid of such fametic givings-out as that it is destiny—that it is our mission—should actually involve us in a var with all Europe—if a large portion of the reflecting and intelligent critizes of this country should be of opinion that such a contest can have no other cad-

than to destroy our foreign commerce, exhaust our resources, cover over the printer similar the world with the calamities of the country that the standard of advancing civilization and the cause of will blierty, would they not be recreat to their duty and traitors to their country, were they to seal their lips and view in silence the progress of such wild and extrawagant schemes. Yet, is, I dare awow that even'n such a war, we should find the organs of the dominant party—beralding the same charge of treason and alliance with the public enemy against those patriots who might have the courage to bare themselves to the storm.

Well, sir, if in the present war there are those who honestly be-

Well, sir, if in the present war there are those who honeatly believe that the real objects of it—that even those territorial acquisitions which are openly avowed as the objects of it in part—would prove an apple of discord at home, a source of dangerous domestic discension—would be a curse rather than a blessing to the country—are their lips to be sealed for fear their voice may penetrate the conneil chamber of the government of Mexico, and disindine it to a treaty. Such a result, evil as it may be jis only one of the inconveniences incident to that so find in the liberies, and the boarsed single property of our own over all other forms of government. But, sir, should the tone of remonstrance against this war rise so high in this chamber as to penetrate every vale in Mexico, reverberate among her mountains and rouse the whole population to a spirit of resistance to the attempt to subdue them to our dominion, there are those who believe that a greater calamity may beful this commity, in the further prosecution of this war, than even such

a result as that.

But it is said the war still goes on; our armies are in the field; the blood of our countrymen still flows in repeated conflicts with an obstinate and infantated people; our detachments are ut off—and our straggling soldlers are daily pieced by the lances of the murderous goes—liferos—and will you have been soldlers. Who foreker!

And who are bodies in the success of our arms, but of the policy in support of which they are employed abroad—would bring defeat and disaster upon our institutions at home? And who are they who oppose this war and the policy of the President in the further prosecution of it? Are they of any one section of the Union? Do they belong exclusively to the North or to the South! Have they shounded the perils and privations of the war when ealled by the constituted authorities of the county to the support of her eagles? They are those who have borne their full share of the boardens of the war—they are those who have given their full propertion, both of Antstance are their nombers? I verily believe that two-thirds of the people of this country are in heart opposed to the policy of this war, whatever may be their opinions six months benece; and but for the tyranny of party, the force of party obligation, and the power of Excentive influence, could they be allowed to speak—saffated with the glory already acquired—the honor of the country afready amply vindicated—they would strangle this hydra today. Would their's be the voice of faction?

Who then, I repeat, should forbear, in order full array of those upones of the tone of the objects a rowed, would be mischerous and disastrograms to their own country! Or shall not the President

Who then, I repeat, should forbear, in order to spare the further effision of blood in Mexicot The powerful array of those opposed to the war who believe that the further prosecution of it, for the objects avowed, would be mischlevous and disastrous to their own country? Or shall not the President and the advisers and the clampions of his policy be called on to yield up their pride of consistency, to sacrifice their visions of national and, may be, their personal glory, in projected enlargement of the houndaries of the republic, upon the altar of public harmony and of the Union! Sir, the voice of a large person of their countrymen is opposed their pensels of public intelligence. It has long since penetrated the interior of the White House, and if the President and his consellors shall disregard its warnings; if having the power in their own hands, wielding, at their will, an army of more than forty thousand of the choicest troops in the world, they shall continue to prosecute this war; if our gallant fellows are still destined to fall by the hand of a defeated, but still resisting fore; should the war be increased in furny and destructiveness, natil the plains and mountain passes of all Anahune run blood, and still there shall be no treaty—no peace—upon whom will rest the fearful responsibility? When the day of accounting and a reckoning? From those who, reckless of human suffering and a reckoning? From those who, reckless of human suffering and the despite the warning voice of their own countrymen, preserver in

despite the warming lock of the case of th

In these circuly stated that to pass this bill would be to approve the policy of the Administration in the further prosecution of the war. What is that policy? I desire to speak with all due contexts and deference to the President of the United States, and his friends and supporters on this floor, but I would earnestly enquire what is the real policy of the Administration in the further prosecution of the war? And with like deference to the distinguished Chairman of the Committee on Military Alfairs, I must be allowed to say, that I have a right, not as an individual, but as a member of this body, to a somewhat more explicit expression of what he holds to, be that policy than he has hitherto chosen to give us, and I inquire now, what is the policy of the administration in the

further prosecution of this war? I know that one gentleman will very readily answer it is for the purpose of "conquering an honorable peace," and another will reply that it is for the purpose of securing "indemnity for the past and security for the future." But these are Delphic responses, mere vague generalities, non-committals, and may be construed to mean any thing that may be done in future, provided only that some measure of indemnity is obtained.

The President is more explicit in his message. He informs us The President is more explicit in his message. He miorms is that New Mexico and California are already in our possession, and must not be given up. This is all very fair and candid so far as it goes. But what further acquisition of territory is to be demanded of Mexico? If none, and if those provinces now in our possession would be regarded as a satisfactory indemnity, why not, in view of the uncertainty and embarrassments which lie in the way of an early termination of the war, fall back upon those provinces and hold them, and thus limit the waste of life and spare the matter the acrosses expenditure which stand our posserum willing. vinces and hold them, and thus limit the waste oil life and spare the country the enormous expenditure which attend our present milita-ry operations in Mexico? The reply will be: oh! we have no treaty; the war will still be open; we shall have no peace! Well, I will take gentlemen upon their own ground. Suppose that you have, or may have. a treaty with the government now assembled at Quoretaro, eeding California and New Mexico, would that be satisfactory to the administration? I would be glad to hear from some honorable Senator whether such a treaty with the existing government of Mexico would be satisfactory to the administration, or do you want still more territory? I should be glad to hear from my friend, the Senator from Mississipoi, (Mr. Foote) on this subject. What more does he want than those provinces? I know that he is too candid and too courageous to withhold the expression of his sentiments

Mr. FOOTE.—I have no hesitation in answering the question proposed; but, in doing so, desire to be understood as having no authority to commit any person but myself. If a treaty can be obtained with some government in Mexico, entitled to respect as such, which should give us the Californias and New Mexico, with a roasonable prospect of such treaty being observed on the part of Mexico, for one, I should be content on the point of indemnity.

Mr. BELL .- I am gratified with the bold, unhesitating reply of the Senator. It is such as I expected from him. He would he sa-tisfied with a treaty made with the existing government, on condi-tion that it would bring with it present and permanent peace.

Mr. FOOTE.—The Scnator misconceives my meaning. It is a question of some delicacy, and one upon which I wish to be understood. It is true, as the honorable Senator says, that for the purpose of securing peace—though I do not dread the result, in case they refuse to make peace—if the government of Mexico, at any time hereafter, or at present, turns out to be such a government as we can rely upon, and such territory as I have spoken of be granted to us, and there be circumstances which would authorize the reasonable expectation that the peace will not be violated—I would be perfectly content.

Mr. BELL.—I believe I understand the answer of the honorable Senator. I understood him as speaking the sentiments of the administration.

Mr. FOOTE,-I speak for myself.

Mr. BELL .- I now understand, I trust, something of the views of the administration. A treaty with the existing government of Mexico, embracing a cession of such territory as he desires, would Mexico, emiracing a cession of such territory as he desires, wonto be satisfactory to the Senator from Mississippi, upon the condition that it should bring with it assurances of a permanent peace. But I desire further information. What assurance—what guaranty of I desire further information. What assurance—what guaranty of peace do you dearned a further indemnity in money! The honorable Senator near me (Mr. Cass) will say, no, be scorns it. Then, what further do you want than New Mexico and California, by way of security for the future! What asys the honorable Chairman of the Committee on Military Affairs to this question?

Mr. CASS (in his seat,) said that he might choose to answer when the Senator's argument was more fully developed.

Mr. BELL .- I wish to press home the enquiry, and I say to Senators, and particularly to the distinguished chairman of the committee on Military Affairs, will you be satisfied with the cession of New Mexon affinitely Allains, will you be satisfied with the designation are all reference and California as an indemnity? You would; you want no more territory—no money. What more then do you want? If you say you would be satisfied without analything more, then I put the question to the honorable Senator, (Mr. Cass) when you demand indemnity for the past and security for the future, what is it you mean by "security for the future," When a question was asked in the progress of this discussion of some homestale security was the control of the future of the future. for the inture. When a question was asset in the progressions this discussion, of some honorable Senator on the other side of the chamber, as to the object of the administration in the further prosecution of the war, the answer was, indemnity. The chairman of chamber, as to the object of the administration in the further pros-ecution of the war, the answer was, indemnity. The chairman of the Committee of Military Affairs (Mr. Cass) sitting in his place, added, "and security for the future." Moreover, what does the message mean in declaring that the war must be prosecuted until we obtain ample indemnity for the past and security for the future? Will the Senator answer that the phrase "security for the future? has no distinct or substative meaning; that it is merely an exple-tive, the effect of careless composition? I am sure that the able

and distinguished Senator will not say so. What then does the bonorable Senator say to the question, what is meant by "security for the future "I will, for the present, answer for him after I shall have stated what I understand to be the real policy of the administration in the further prosecution of the war. From the date of the failure of Mr. Trist's negociation, and the spleadid success of General Scott. the President and his advisers no longer limited their views to a treaty which should merely cede the termination of the security of the future—security for a joined being with it ample security for the future—security for a joined being with it ample security for the future—security for a joined to the termination of the security of the security of the security of the security of the future—security for a joined security for the future of the security for the security for the future of the security for the security for the future of the security for the security for the security for the future of the security for the secu I understand that no treaty with the existing government of Mexico will be satisfactory, either to the administration or its supporers on this floor unless it can furnish security for the future—security for a permanent peace between the two countries.

Mr. FOOTE .- Do I understand the honorable Senator as refer-Mr. FOOTE.—Do I understand the honorable Senator as refer-ring to me I have already said that I would be astisfied with a treaty giving us so much territory as is comprised within the lim-its of New Mexico and California—if adequate security, as to the observance of the treaty on the part of Mexico, should also be obtained. I have always doubted, and still doubt, whether the adequate security could be obtained without establishing the Sierra Madre as a line of military defence, and retaining the Castle of San Juan de Ulloa and other strong places in temporary occupancy.

Mr. BELL .- I think I understand the views of the honorable Senator, of of the admissinterpret the views of the homorable Senator, or of the admissiration. I take the position that the phrase "security for the future," has a substantive meaning. I suppose that the administration can make no treaty with the exist-ing government of Mexico, which will not be liable to be disre-garded and repudiated, the moment our armies are withdrawn, nnless the contemplated securities be required in addition to the indemnity. Then I press the enquiry what are the nature and extent of the "security for the future" which will be demanded of Mexico? What security of any kind can the existing government, Mexico! What security or any sina can the example government, or the faction now in power, give that would be satisfactory! Is it a mere stipulation in the treaty for fluture peace and friendly commercial relations? I take it for granted that is not the nature of the security intended, as all treaties include a peace and amity clause. Is it intended to stipulate for the retention of the castle of San Is it intended to stipulate for the retention of the eastle of San Juan D'Ullon for a term of years, or indefinitely? I cannot sup-pose that any such treaty is expected. Is it in contemplation to have the guaranty of any foreign power? You are precluded from any such resort, by having proclaimed that you will suffer no trans-Atlantic powers to obtain any additional dominion in America and you will not give them any pretext for doing so. Having, then no confidence in any treaty the existing govern-ment of Mexico can make, or a "security for the future," what have to clear and incrible conclusion upon this view of the matter?

Why, that you neither expect nor desire a treaty with any existing government in Mexico; that the government on which you rely to make such a treaty as shall afford the security you demand, is a government to be formed and nurtured into matority and stability, government to be tormed and natured into materity and stability, under your tuition and protection. This may be regarded as a very bold assertion; but I re-assert that this administration neither expects nor desires a treaty with any existing government in Mexico, and that the government with which they propose to treat

Mexico, and that the government with which they propose to treat is yet to be brought into existence.

No, sir, the administration can make no treaty with the present shadow of a government in Mexico, cedling New Mexico and California, consistently with the determination avowed in the message, of "requiring security for latture." The learned and eloquent Senator from New York, (Mr. Dix.) in a speech to which I list end with the greatest pleasure—a speech, by the by, replete with the noblest sentiments and the soundest views and maxims, in the subject of the security for the fact of the sight into the probable extent of that security for the fature, which the administration proposes to demand of Mexico. It is not only sight into the probable extent of that security for the future, which the administration proposes to demand of Mexico. It is not only to guard against hostilities between the two powers on any boundary which may hereafter be established by treaty, but to insure Mexico herself from the intrusion and interference of any foreign government; to provide against any transathantic sway over Mexico, to which the present, and ever-recurring factions expose her, or rather juice. ather invite.

or rather invite.

This I take to be the solution of the engma; of the mystic phrase, "security for the future," so often repeated, and yet never explained by the advocates of the measure under discussion. The explained by the administration is to secure such a treaty from such a government in Mexico as will afford satisfactory guarantees for a permanent peace on our own borders, and prevent any foreign power from obtaining a foothold in Mexico; and this war is to be power from obtaining a boothold in Mexico; and this war is to be prolonged until a new government is formed, under the protection of our arms, such as can give the security required. I challenge honorable Senators to say whether this is not the policy of the administration!—and I do not exclude the honorable chairman of the Committee on Milliary Alfaired. And the this was the policy of the administration when the message of the policy of the administration when the message was delivered, and when the bill was introduced, I think, is

There may be change in the policy of the Executive, in the fur-ther prosecution of this war. The cloud which has, for some time past, been gathering over the Treasury, and which every day assumes a more threatening aspect, may have given birth to a

medified policy. Of this I can know nothing; but one thing I do know: If a treaty is made with any existing government in Mexico, embracing a cession of territory only, the responsibility of advising it will be thrown upon the Senate. It will not be advised by But in saying this, I mean no disparagement to t President. In mean not to impute any want of firmness or a disposition to shrink from his just responsibility. Sir, I have no rankling feeling here, (pointing to his heart,) that I seek to grantly the state of the raincing mering here, uponting to an is-heart, and a seek a gainer to be a considered and the seek as a seek as taught me, that the most girevons injuries a public man is hable to receive, are inflicted not by political opponents. The arrows that go deepest here (Art. B. with his hand upon his arrows that go deepest here (Mr. b. with ins mint upon ins beart), are speed by friendly hands; by companions and co-liborers in a common cause, and often by those we have most cherished, most served. No, sir, when I say that the President will throw upon the Semate the responsibility of advising such a treaty say have described, I mean that he cannot do otherwise, consistently have described. I mean that he cannot do otherwise, consistently with the policy avowed in the message. And if such a treaty-shall be haid before the Senate, and it is reasonable in other respects. I would unite with his friends in extricating him from the embarrassment in which he is placed; believing that in so doing, I would at the same time be extricating my country from the evils which impend over it. This I could cheerfully do, leaving the President in the full enjoyment of all his honors, and his reputation unim-

ured. But, Mr. President, if I have not mistaken the policy of the ad-inistration in the further prosecution of the war, I feel warranted But, Mr. President, it invested not mistaken me poncy of the an-ministration in the further prosecution of the war, it feel warranted in maintaining that the large and enlightened class of patriotic crizens every where, who, though opposed to the policy of this war from its commencement, have yet felt it their duty, heretafore, to sustain the Executive in the prosecution of it, have been giving their support to a masked policy. The whole country has been deluded with the expectation and belief that it was the policy of the Executive to coerec a treaty with any existing government or phantom of a government that may exist in Mexico, speedily, and that a cession of some moderate portion of territory, and the set-tlement of unadjusted boundaries, were the only terms that would be exacted from Mexico. The country has been led to suppose that whatever measure of supply might be demanded would have that whatever measure or supply might be demanded would have reference only to an early termination of the war; when, in fact, consistently with the policy of the administration, as now under-stood, the war is to be prolonged with all the attendant conse-quences of a waste of life and treasure, indefinitely, and until a povernment shall be built up in Mexico, and attain maturity under the protection of our arms, which can give the securities I have

After all, sir, these may be said to be my own individual conclusions. It may be said, that the policy of the Executive is still to obtain an immediate treaty with any government in Mexico, stable obtain an immediate treaty with any government in Nexeo, stamp or unstable, which may be willing to treat; and that the importance I have given to the words "security for the future" is gratuitions and unionaded. Well, sir, under this view of the question, I beg leave to repeat an inquiry I had before made. If New Mexicon and California would be regarded as a sufficient indemnity, and nothing else is sought, why not fall back upon those territories, which you now bold, and are willing to admit to be a sufficient indemnity? You say there will be no peace. Well, it where can wo mousise the country a neare, as the result of a sufficient indemnity? You say there wan be no peace. Then, if, when can you promise the country a peace, as the result of your present plan? But you insist, that after such sacrifices of blood and treasure; after having conquered in so many hattles; afrer having eaptured so many cities and strongholds of the emp, it would be inglorious and perposterous to abandon them without a treaty. Here, sir, we are met by that fatal argument of the "force of circumstances"—the same which impelled us into the war, and across the Rio Grande. It is the same that twelve months ago, after the battle of Montreey, and when you had already conquered more than a third of the whole of the Mexican territory, camed your to decline the policy of a defensive his recommended by General Taylor, and wriged by the distinguished senator from South Carolina, who, from the beginning of this war, had the sugarsity to perceive the dangers which threatment become, the country. He are general to far to result, and the sugarsity to perceive the dangers which threatment with the country of the results of the country. The area of the country is most care of the country area of the country and men ow revelling on its siter having captured so many cities and strongholds of the enethe heart of Mexico. Well, sir, you have carried the war into the very heart of the enemy's country and are now reveiling on its vi-rals; and still you have no treaty, no peace. The argument founded on the force of circumstances, bas acquired intereased weight and importance. You must now extend your operations; you call for the thousand additional regular torops to enable you for overrun fire whole country to couse the calamittes of war to be felt through-out and the "signia father" of poor of the force of circumstances to out that the "signia father" of poor of the force of circumstances to you and bare syon convard into the meshess of a policy from which you can heave excitence you recovery. you can never extriente yourselves.

But you say you will extrieate yourselves; that you will over-

But you say you will extreat yourserves; that you will over-run the whole country, take all the strong holds and populous states, levy contributions, and in this way coerce a treaty; and if this experiment should find, you annonnee your determination to take the full measure of indemnity into your own hands.

How long, sir, is the war to be continued in making this experiment? one or two, or five years? The policy itself indicates that time will be an important element in carrying it out. You cannot

recruit these ten new regiments and get them into the field in less recruit these ten new regiments and get them into the field in less than six or eight months. In less than one year then you can ex-pect no result. And what will be the cost of this experiment? To maintain an army of 50,000 men in Mexico, without having any certain data upon which to form an estimate, I hazard nothing in saying it cannot be less than \$50,000,000 per annum. Then if you sloudly succeed in levying as much as \$10,000,000 on the people Mexico, this country will still have to supply \$30,000,000. This experiment, then, should it last one year, will cost this country at least \$50,000 or \$10,000 or \$ be compelled at last to take the indemnity into your own hands; that indemnity being New Mexico and California, for it is under this version of the policy of the administration that I am now discussing the question before the Senate. Well, sir, did it never consider the control of the control not suppose that gentlemen so intelligent and so well informed up-on the subject, can have failed to perceive this consequence, and how preposterous it would be to pursue such a course of policy and for such a result.

and for such a resuit.

I now proceed in my desultory manner, to notice the arguments
of honorable Senators in support of this bill, and the proceedings
of the General in command in Mexico, in further confirmation of
the views I have already advanced. The present policy of the
administration and its friends is exceedingly difficult and embarrasscommistration and its friends is exceedingly difficult and embarrass-ing, both to themselves and the country, and they must feel it to be so. While they must necessarily continue to keep the expec-tation of an early peace prominent before the country, their plan of operations on the other hand has a directly contrary tendency. While they do not mean to abandon altogether the idea of ma-king a treaty with any government that may spring up in Mexico, for that is a resource which may become very convenient, yet their to the many account of the many decountered contracting of the contrac the people of Mexico shall be disposed to make such a treaty as

e honor of the country demands.

The difficulty and embarrassment of the argument in support of the measure before the Senate, under such circumstances are manifest, and it struck me as an incident deserving notice, that the distinguished Senator who introduced the bill, should avail himself of inguissica senator, who introduced the fini, shound avail himself of the administration in the place of the place of the policy of the administration in the place of the place of the place of pears in the official documents. Yet, I regarded it as such an axowal, as a gentleman of his distinction and eminence in the country might feel himself called upon to make. His position in the body is one both delicate and important, and, whatever his the body is one both delicate and important, and, whatever mis individual opinion may be upon some particulars of the policy adopted by the Executive Department of the Government, he may feel constrained to sanction them. I can make many allowances for a gentleman occupying the delicate and important relation to the President which he now does, as Chairman of the Committee on Military Affairs.

on Military Affairs.

That distinguished Scuator in his speech upon this subject, con-lined himself mainly to the views presented in the report of the Secretary of War; and throughout his argument, the expectation of an early treaty was never lost sight of, while the reasoning employed, will be seen to be based upon the idea of a continuance

of the war.

of the way.

The argument first advanced in support, the measure, was that our army in Mexico was in danger. (Mr. Cass shaking his head.) I find that I am mistaken. The argument then was, that contingencies may arise endangering the army. The people of Mexico who have failed to make an obstinate resistance at the commencement of the war, may be roused by a protracted invasion to a high degree of energy and courage. He announced to us, that our army is in the midst of eight or ten millions of a hostile people to our fears, and the popular feeling which may be supposed to exist in such an emergency. I cannot say that it is one addressed to the reason and judgment of the Senate. I need not say that if any just grounds can be shown to exist for supposing that our army is in danger, that there is not a Senator present, who would any just grounds can be snown to exist or supposing that our army is in danger, that there is not a Senator present, who would not prompilly vote, not ten only, but twenty, flity, or any number of regiments that might be demanded, to insure its safety. But the northern condition of our army! We what are the facts, as to the perilous condition of our army what are the facts, as to the perilous condition of our army t We have now not less than forty-five thorsand troops in all Mexico, and new recruits are still going forward. There are not less than thirty-two thousand men, under General Soct; and this is the army said to be in danger. I desire to make a brief reference to what our troops have done, that we may nifer what they will or can do. To say nothing of the preceding brilliant and unsurpassed achievements of General Taylor, we have seen him at Buena Vista, with an army of less than five thousand men—of whom not more than six hundred were regulars, and the remainder undi plined volunteerns, who, as it has been properly said, had ever be-love heard the report of a hostile gun—not only repalse, but route and disperse an army of wenty thousand, an army the best dis-ciplined and hest appointed that Mexico had been able to bring into the field, since the beginning of the war, and withal, supported

by a heavy train of artillery. This he did in an open field. If the Senator from Illinois (Mr. Doutlass.) were present, I would say that it was at the statinate proceeding on the part of General Land and the statinate proceeding on the part of General Scott with less that the very tensors may be accriticated, be derived across the Rio Grande, and thence home in disgrace. We next see General Scott with less that twelve thousand men, landing at Vera Cruz. in the face of the enemy, attacking, and ecoupelling the sorrender of the city, together with the Castle of San Juan d'Ulloa. In a very short time after, we see him with eight thousand troops, storming the batteries of the enemy, and carrying the heights of Cerro Gordo, delended by an army twelve thousand strong. The fortification of Perote and the city of Pubela, with apopulation of 80,000 inhabitants, panie stricken, fall before him without resistance. After refreshing his troops and receiving some reinforcements, we next see General Scott precipitating himself, with a ramy of not more than ten thousand men, upon the valley and city of Mexico, of claude of the city of the control of the contr

is said to be in danger. Sir, I cannot suppose that the argument upon this point is entitled to any weight watever.

The next argument submitted in support of this measure is, that after providing for the safety of the army, and the continued occupation of our present conquests, it is intended to extend the military operations to such other strongholds and rich and floppollous districts as it may be thought expedient to occupy. Very well, committee on Military Allars, who is so able, did not favor as with any estimates of the amount of force that, in his judgment, would be necessary to hold the large towns and states or districts alroady in our possession. I am surprised that we are furnished with no such estimates from any member of that committee, although there are several distinguished Senators on that committee who have been connected with military service. I pretend to no to grope our way in the dark upon this point. Still some data we may glean from the reports of the officers of the among connected with the late splendid successes. We learn, for example, that the galant Col. Childs held Puebla, which by some estimates contains a population of 80,000, with a force of no more than 500 effective men, for thirty days and nights, and dwing a part of that time, some properties of the seasure of the stronger of the seasure of t

larger number.

Thon, sir, we have it admitted that General Scott's force is now not less than 32,000 men, of all arms, upon his whole his from Tampies to the city of Mexico. Of those, let us suppose that some 5000 will be at all times on the sick list, or otherwise disabled, still there will remain an effective force of some 27,000. But let it be taken for granted that the whole effective force at any one time, will not exceed 25,000 rank and file, you will have a force, after deducting the 5,000 T have estimated as sufficient to hold your present conquests in that quarter, of 20,000, which can be moved in columns upon whatever other strongholds and populous districts you may think it expedient to seize and occupy; and which you amounce as your present plan for coercing an early

peace.
Well, sir, is not a disposable force of 20,000 men sufficient for that purpose? When all your pust conquests by General Scott have been achieved by a force not exceeding 15,000 at all points; and now that the armies of the enemy have been dispersed, their numitions of war captured or destroyed, their financial resources exhausted, shall it be said that a force of 32,000 men is not adequate

exhausted, shall it be said that a force of \$2,000 men is not adequate to the further prosecution of the war in the interior of Mexico? The honorable Senator to enforce the argument in favor of the tendency of the senate that it was very desirable to take and of the pelacy of raising a revenue in Mexico for the support of our army, informed the Senate that it, was very desirable to take and occupit the rich mining states of Zacatecas and San Luis Potosi. Well, sir, this argument of the honorable Senator had scarcely escaped from his lips, when, unluckly, news reached Washington that two columns or divisions of the army were now being organized as the control of the commanding general to the army to hold itself in readiness to overrun all

Mexico. Confident in his resources and the sufficiency of the force already in the field, he makes no reference to reinforcements as expected or desired. To pursue this part of the argument a little further: let us suppose Zacateess and San Luis Potosi are now in our possession, and that Queretaro will soon fellow—if you estimate the force necessary to hold each of these states, as high as 2,000, making 6,000 in all, you will still have a force of 14,000 at your disposal, with which you can take and occupy other strongholds of the enemy, keep your communications open, and reinforce the divisions of the army at any point which may require to be strengthends.

But, sir, the main object of those extended operations of the ar-ny is declared to be, to cause the pressure of the war to be felt by in year of the control of the contro ready, under instructions from the government at Washington, issued an order for carrying this branch of its policy in the further issued an order for carrying this branch of its policy in the further prosecution of the war, into effect. But what to we see upon looking into the order of Gen. Scott? By a single stroke of his pen he abolishes the entire amount of transit duties and of the taxes exacted at the gates on all supplies to her city population; a branch of revenue which has heretofore yielded four-and-a-half millions to the government, and the one of all others felt to be the most burthensome and oppressive upon the people of Moxico? I am aware, sir, that it may be said that the revenue thus abolished may be supplied by the increased productiveness of the country, stimulated, as it will be, by this sultary examplion, that the revenues from a coder of General Scott. He amount of revenue assessed upon the as it will be, by this santary exemption, that the revolucies from all other sources will be angmented. I am aware, too, that by another order of General Scott, the amount of revenue assessed upon the different states is greatly increased beyond the amount exacted under the Mexican government. But, I do not forgot at the same dincrent states is greatly increason beyond the amount exacted under the Mexican government. But, I do not forget at the same time, that General Scott in pursuance of the policy of the government at Washington, and looking to a continued occupation of the country. has abolished other large sources of revenue. For example, lotteries are abolished and the tobacco monopoper of the country. In a solicit of the superior of our army, to reconcile them to a treaty. But instead of inereasing their burthens, and, by the aggravated calamities brought upon them by subjecting their resources to the support of our army, to reconcile them to a treaty. But instead of inereasing their burthens, you relieve the industrial and enterprising classes of the inhabitants of a burden which, under their own government, they held to be most oppressive. While you declare that your policy is to increase the burdens and calamities of the war, you lighten existing burdens. Instead of cagravation, your policy is one of enoncliation. Instead of cansing your military occupation to be felt as a grievance, you pursae a course calculated to display the heneference of your sway. The industrial classes embrace a part of all the varieties of race of which the population is compounded; some of pure Indiana blood, which the population is compounded; some of pure Indiana blood, which the population is compounded; some of pure Indiana blood, indistrial ciasses embrace a part of all the varieties of race of which the population is compounded; some of pure Indian blood, others of the easts or mixed races, and a considerable proportion of whites, and these compose the strength of what is called the Puros party in Mexico. This is the party which your plan of raising a revenue for the support of your army tends to conciliate. Yet this is the party which, at every step of your progress, from the commencement of the war, has resolutely opposed a treaty. the commencement of the war, has resonately opposed a reary. They declare that your military occupation—your military government—is preferable to the domination of their own factions. The withdrawal of your army is what, it is said, they most dread. They want your protection; the benefits of your free mistintions, and the support of our powers and resources. This, too, is the party in Mexico by the aid of which you expect to establish such a gov-ernment as can give you as treaty with the security for the future, which you demand. It has been proclaimed by the semi-official organs of your own government, that this party desire annexation to the United States. You have then already taken the first step organs of your own government, that this party desire annexauon to the United States. You have then already taken the first step in the policy indicated in the message, and in the debate upon this question, of encouraging the formation of a new government to be founded on truly republican principles. You are already in alliance with them, and inasmuch as you say, that I is your policy, in the vigrous prosecution of the war to enforce a speedy peace, and this Puros party is known to be opposed to a treaty, you must be said to be the affices of the public enemy. Such are the inconsistencies of your avowed policy at home not your proceedings in Mexico: inconsistencies consessarily the result of the complex and Mexico: inconsistencies or seesarily the result of the complex and Mexico; inconsistencies necessarily the result of the complex and double policy which had been adopted by the administration.

Now, sir, am I mistaken in the position, that the administration has abandoned the expectation of a treaty with any existing government in Mexico; and that this war is to be prolonged until such a government is established under your protection, as shall be able to give you security for the fature? I shall lose the point of my argument if this is not so; and I will thankfully listen to any explanation from the honorable chairman of the Committee on Military Affairs, for I intend to build upon it.

The usual hour of adjournment having arrived, it was suggested that the Senator should deler the remainder of his remarks till tomorrow.

Mr. BELL signified that he should be glad to he so indulged.

Mr. CASS.—For myself, as an individual member of the Senate, I can say it will give me great pleasure to extend to the Senator

the indulgence which he desires, in the state of his health, to adjourn this debate till to-morrow, in order to afford him an opportunity to finish his remarks. The honorable Senator has made tumy of missi has make a blood and e-gentler has make two or three allusions in the course of his speech, which I cannot but regard as personal to myself, and it is in reference to them I now desire to say a few words. The Senator repeatedly challenged contradiction, pausing, as though he wished and expected a reply. Assuming certain facts as the hasis of his argument, he intia reply. Assuming certain facts as the basis of his argument, he intra-mated we knew they were true, but when I arrise to put the mat-ter right at the moment the error was committed, I found the honorable Senator wished to continne his argument, with his facts, as he assumed them, till he had terminated his part of the debarc. What do you want? Addressing Senators on this side of the Chamber, he asked—"What duy ou demand from Mexice?" And commer, no assect—"What do you demand from Mexico!" And it really seemed as if he expected one would rise and say, that he wanted this, and another that he wanted that, and that the whole Senate—both sides of it, I suppose—was to be polled in this new kind of canvass, and to give their opinion and vote respecting the specific terms we ought to demand from Mexico. We are enspecific terms we ought to demand from hierarce. We are en-gaged in a war with a foreign nation. Its course so far has been prosperous and glorious, but no human being can predict its cen-sequences, or when or how it will terminate. In this state of things, it would be a most extraordinary instance of legislative imrhings, it would be a most extraorunary mounted his own plan prudence, if each member of this body should announce his own plan prudence, if each member of this body should announce his own plan prudence, if each member of this body should announce his own plan and policy, and denounce the projects of every other one. But, sir, all that a prudent Senator ought to do, would be to lay down certain general principles—such, for example, as indemnity and security, amplifying his views of them as he pleased, without undertaking to spenity precisely what ought or ought not to be accepted. And if the honorable gentleman had referred to some of my remarks previously made in the session, he would have discovered my views of this matter. I discovered the property of the production were considered to the production were sent to the sent the sent whether the sent the sent the sent that the incident steps of the negatiation were tinetly stated, that the incipient steps of the negotiation were given to the President by the constitution, and that though I could not expect the gentlemen on the other side, to have the same confidence in the Excentive as myself, and my friends on this side have, for one I was satisfied to leave them there, and to content myself with investigating the matter, when it came before the Senate, and with voting uye or no upon the treaty. It was my view then, and I entertain the same sentiments now.

I entertain the same sentiments now.

The Senator has made many allusions to the principle laid down by the President, and has emphatically repeated the terms, indemity and security—security and indemnity, as though they announced some new discovery in diplomacy, and saks in a triumphant tone what they mean! The honorable Senator from Delaware (Mr. Claytrox) had previously made the same inquiry, and he also seemed sturtled as though some new doctrine and practice were to mark our negotiations in Mexico. I will not take that and security the other half, but I will say that if indemnity means half, or whatever else it means more or less, security means certainty and safety for its protection.

tainty and safety for its protection.

Mr. President : In the modern diplomacy of Europe, for the last three centuries, the principle of indemnity and security is as well known, and enforced, as any other principle of national intercommunication. There are two objects for which security may be demanded, depending upon existing circumstances. One has refer-ence to an unstable government, and the other to an intractable hostile people; which of these securities this government may think it necessary to demand, or whether both, and of what think it accessary to demand, or whether both, and of what mature and extent, it is not for me todetermine. These ques-tions are with the Executive. There the constitution has placed them, and there I am willing to leaves them. Does the gentlemen suppose that this government will make a treaty with out some reasonable prospect of its observance, or without adopting the meetssary precautions for fibelity and good faith on the part of Mexico? When the allies currently Paris, after the fall of Napoleon, the restored dynasty found the feelings of the French nation against them, and their political condition was uncertain and tot-tering. The great powers therefore kept military possession of Paris, and of some other portions of France, as security till the new government could gain strength, and evince a power and disposition to comply with their engagements. I merely refer to the fact in illustration of the general principle, and not because I havethe slightest knowledge of the nature of the security, which the Executive means to demand. Many other cases, six, have hap-pened, and many more may be imagined, in which temporary pos-session of immortant nositions in a country may have been or new against them, and their political condition was uncertain and tot peared, stud many more may be imagined, in which temporary pos-session of important positions in a country may have been, or may be, necessary, where a feeble government holds the power, in order to provide against its fall, if it should fall before its engagements are fulfilled.

are fulfilled. Again, with regard to a hostile and intractable people, it may be necessary to obtain security against their unfilledly disposition. An open, indefensible country, or a river which may be crossed any where, almost from its source to its mouth, may not be regarded as alfording proper security against border incursions. A range of mountains—a natural barrier, may be necessary. And in connection of the control am perfectly satisfied he is right, and that the Sierra Madre would make the proper boundary between us and Mexico on that frontiers, and, as one member of the Senate, I lope this boundary will be obtained. That ridge of mountains is an extraordinary one, commencing at the Gulf of Mexico and running five or six hundred.

miles to the Passo del Norte, and with not more than five or six marks to the resst the voice and wan he was not the thind in the of six passes through which man can penetrate it. The rest is an oternal, impenetrable, impassable barrier—a natural wall which laught to seorn that of China; and beyond is the great desert destitute of water, and across which hossile expeditions can be pushed only with great difficulty. A very small force would hermetically close these passes through the ridge, and give us full security for our indemnity on that side our indemnity on that side.

The honorable Senator said he would astonish us with some of his remarks. He has fully redeemed his promise, and I confess myself very much astonished undeed. He seems to assert as a fact, and not to deduce as a conclusion, and calls upon us to contradict it, if it is not so, that the Administration does not desire a peace

with the government of Mexico.

Mr. BELL .- I did not say that. I said that this Administration Mr. Bell.—I do not say that. I said that this Administration had no confidence in the security which any treaty with the exist-ing government of Mexice could give for future peace, and, there-fore, did not desire a peace with any existing government unles-with security, which they did not believe the government could

Mr. CASS.—The gentleman seems to assume as a given fact, beyond dispute, and bases upon it his argument, that the government does not desire a peace. And he stated expressly, that if the fact fails his argument fails with it. Now, sur, I never heard one word of this before. If such be the case, the gentleman has penetrated far deeper into the recesses of Executive secrets that I have done. I believe that the Administration is not only willing but desirous to make a treaty with any government in Mexico, whose authority is recognized there, and to run the risk of proper scenrity for the maintenance of it

Mr. BELL .- What security can any government which now exists in Mexico give?

Mr. CASS.—We have not yet got to that point. No government in Mexico has yet made a treaty; our difficulty is not in the observance of the stipulations of a treaty, but in its formation. They have utreily refused to enter into any negotiation with us. It is not that there is an unstable government, but that the government rejects our offers. That is the difficulty we have experienced from the companeagement of the way. from the commencement of the war.

Mr. BELL.—The very argument 1 assume is, that if they should make a trenty you would have no confidence in it, as every treaty contains a clause of amity and peace. Then, I want to know, whether the honorable gentleman would consider any treaty, by the existing government of Mexico, ceding the provinces of New Mexico and California, as bringing with it "the security for the treat." the future," which, according to his construction, the phrase implies? And would they rely upon such a treaty as affording the

Mr. CASS.—The gentleman asks me whether a stipulation for peace and amity would be regarded as security? Why, such a decla peace and annity would be regarded as security! w my, sugn a users a ration alone would not be regarded as security from any matable go-vernment. Something more would be necessary till its position was more secure. I take it for granted that this Administration, when it makes a peace with Mexico, should its government then uppear to be unstable, would require some security for the observance of the stipulations of the peace. The general principles of security, whether against a government or a people, I have already stated. Their application is with the Executive. As to the continuation of the war, sir, I have merely to remark that we have but one duty, and war, sur, I have merely to remark that we have but one duty, and that is to push our operations as all other nations have done and will do till an honorable peace is obtained. There is a point in all wars, where national obduracy must give way, and where submis-sion becomes cheaper than resistance. It is when the results of the war have proclaimed the impossibility of continuing the con-test. This may seem harsh, but it is founded in human nature. Our true policy is to carry on the war with all our might till its test. This may seem harsh, but it is founded in human nature.

Our true policy is to carry on the war with all our might till its objects are necomplished. Those objects ought to be just, and we believe them to be so, and our exertions ought not to be relaxed by any crude notions of mistaken philanthropy. The Mexicans are like all other people. Their point of submission will be found as that of others has been found before them. They must eat, and sow, and reap, and wear clothing, and preserve the institutions of social life; and, I repeat, that their injustice will give way before our exertions, if these are continued.

I state again, sir, that I have two answers to the enquiry of the honorable Senator from Tennessee. One is that his case is a suppositious one, and that we have not arrived at the point when it positions one, and that we have not arrived at the point where a senecessary to decide upon the security to be taken, as our offers have been utterly rejected. And the other is, that when the time comes for determining that question, the Executive will no doubt take such security if security he then necessary as circumstances may

require.

One word more. The honorable Senator has said that in my opening speech, I said I knew no more of the policy of the Execu-tive than was disclosed in the documents. I said nothing like it. The honorable Senator is under an entire misapprehension.

Mr. BELL .- It struck me with great force at the time

Mr. CASS.—I will read what I did \*ay: "I know nothing more of the proposed plan of campaign than is disclosed in that part of

the report of the Secretary of War, which has just been read to the Senate." This is what I said, and why? First, because it was true; and secondly, because the plan of the Secretary of War was one of the most elaborate and detailed plans, ever submitted to the legislative department of a government. I do not see how he could have been more particular, unless he had said that on such a day we should nerr Querattero, on such a day San Luis, and so on, disclosing every step of the enupsign the boorst and so were such as the second of the submitted that I spoke, but of the plan of the campaign.

The bonorable Senator has spoken of the force which General Scott considers necessary to maintain our present command of the country.

country.

If the honorable Senator will advert to a document sont into the Senate the other day, and I think published in the Intelligencer, the will find that the force estimated by General Scott as nocessary for this purpose, adding to it, I believe, one or two expeditions, is thirty thousand men. Instead of two thousand, which the Senator document to hold the city of Mexico, General Scott considers a germson of seven thousand or seven thousand five hundred required. site for that object.

Mr. BELL.—I have heard the explanations of the gentleman with a great deal of pleasure. But they do not satisfy me that may argument has been at all impaired. However, I must now swall myself of the indulgence of the Senate in deferring further remarks until to-morrow

30TH CONG.-1ST SESSION-No 27.

Mr. BELL addressed the Senate in opposition to the bill, and, without concluding, gave way to a motion for adjournment

The motion was withdrawn for the purpose of receiving a

MESSAGE PROM THE PRESIDENT

The following message was received from the President of the United States, by Mr. WALKER, his Secretary:

To the Senate of the United States

In answer to a resolution of the Senate of the 12th January, 124s colling for refo-nation on the subject of the regolation between the Commissioner of the United States and the Commissioner of Mevice, during the respective of the children of States and the Commissioner of Mevice, during the respective of the children of Commissioner of Mevice, the commissioner of the states of Catass, the Commissioner of the Commissioner of the Commissioner of the Lincol Learner to people to add, that the mixtation from the Cemina sensor of the Lincol States to submit the proposition of boundary referred to in his despatch No. 15, of it is that of September 1947, hereafty commissioner or simulations from the significant that of September 1947, hereafty commissioner or simulations of the Commissioner of the Lincol States with the beauty possible delay.

AMISE K. PLISS.

JAMES K. POLK

Washington, 2d February, 184:

On motion by Mr. MANGUM, it was

Ordered, That it lie on the table and be printed.

On motion.

The Schate adjourned.

# THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 3, 1848.

Mr. FELCH presented a memorial of citizens of New Buffalo, in the State of Michigan, praying the establishment of a naval depot at that place which was referred to the Committee on Naval A ffairs.

Mr DIX presented a memorial of the citizens of New York, praying that drawback of duties may be allowed on flour manufactured in the United States from Cauadian when, and exported which was referred to the Committee on Commerce.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, presented the memorial of the representatives of the Yearly Meeting of the Society of Friends, for New England, praying the adoption of measures for the speedy termination of the war; which was ordered to lie on the table.

# EXCLUSION OF SLAVERY FROM ACQUIRED TERRITORY

Mr. BALDWIN submitted the following resolutions for con-

subcration. That if any territory shall be reafter by acquired by the United State, or "each Beroom, the art by which with brounders acquired on spinned, whatever with every rearrange of the property of the

# PRIVATE BILLS, ETC., REPORTED

Mr MILLER, from the Committee on Naval Affairs, to whom was referred the petition of Anna J. Hussler, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for her relief.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading,

Ordered, That the report be printed.

Mr FELCH, from the Committee on Peusious, to whom was referred the petition of Hugh W. Dobbin, submitted a report, accompanied by a bill to allow arrearages of pension to Hugh W. Dobbin, an officer in the late war.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading,

Ordered. That the report be printed.

Mr WESTCOTT, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom was reforced the memorial of Joseph Bonchaud of New York, submitted an adverse report; which was ordered to be prainted

# JUDICIAL POWERS IN CHINA AND TURKLY.

Mr. ASHLEY, from the Committee on the Judierary, to whom was referred so much of the President's annunal message as relates was referred so much of the President's anomain message as relates to the subject, reported a bill to earry into effect certain provisions to the treaties between the United States and China and the Ottoman Porte, giving certain judicial powers to ministers and consuls of the United States in those countries; which was read and passed to the second reading

Mr. ASHLEY observed that it was the wish of the administra-tion that before the Commissioner, who had been recently ap-pointed to China, should leave this country, some action of the body should take place on the subject of the bill.

# MISSOURI AND ARKANSAS BOUNDARY.

The bill from the House of Representatives to confirm the boundary between Missouri and Arkansas, was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Commit-100 on the Judiciary

# CADWALLADER WALLACE

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill for the relief of Cadwallador Wallace.

Mr. BERRIEN.-As that is a bill making a large appropriation I should be glad to hear some statement of the grounds on which the Committee have recommended it.

Mr. CORWIN.—It is true, as has been stated by the Senator from Georgia, that it is a bill involving a pretty lurge appropriation. I reported it myself from the Committee on Fublic Lunds, and I hope that the attention of the Senate will be directed to it. and I nope that the attention of the Sentre Will be directed to it, as I esterm it one which deserves consideration; and, therefore, I shall move that it be laid by informally for the present, so that when taken up, the Senate may be prepared to net upon it. The bill was then passed over informally.

### PRIVATE BILLS PASSED.

The following bills were read the second time, and considered as in Committee of the Whole:

A bill for the relief of Peter Capella, administrator of Andrew Capella, descared, and for the relief of John Cape, and for the relief of Elijah Petty and Hannah Petty liks wife, heirs of John Beaulon, decasted.

A bill for the relief of Charles L. Dell

A bill for the rehef of Richard S. Cove

No amendment being made, the said bills were reported to the Senate

Ordered, That they be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bills were read a third time, by unanimous consent.

Itesolved, That they pass, and that their respective titles be as aforesaid Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. CAMPBLLL, their clerk

Mr President. The President of the United States, vesterday, approved and size and mixing further provisions for surviving widows of the soldiers of the Bellution.

#### THE TEN REGIMENT BILL.

The Senate resumed the consideration of the bill to raise, for a hunited time, an additional military force.

Mr. BELL :- Mr. President: In the course of the remarks which I had the honor to present to the Senate yesterday, I endeavored to show that the administration could not consistently with their show that the administration could not consistently with their arowed policy make a treaty with any existing government in Mexico; and I undertook to show the facts and circumstances, on which my argument was based. I referred, in the first place, to the grounds on which this bill was pressed in this body; and, secondly, to the operations of our army itself, as afferding evidence that there was no design, no desire to accept any treaty from the existing government; and I called upon honorable Senators on the other side of the chamber, if they pleased, in their discretion, to sub-like the that was not their view of the now settled policy of the sub-like their view of the now settled policy of the chamber, if they pleased, in their discretion, to sub-like the their view of the now settled policy of the chamber, if they pleased, in their discretion, to whether a treaty by the existing government in Mexico ceding New Mexico and California to the United States would be regarded as satisfactory. I supposed that they would be obliged to answer in the negative upon the ground that although affording ample "indemnity for the past," it did not afford "security for the inture." and that these terms were a substantive part of the settled ample "indemnity for the past," it did not afford "security for the inture." and that these terms were a substantive part of the settled policy of the Executive. I further made, what I observed might be regarded as a bold assertion, that the administration neither expected nor desired a treaty with any existing government in Mexico. I did not say that I would astonish Senators, by my remarks, as the honorable Scuator represented me as having done. The honorable chairman of the Committee on Military Affairs The honorable chairman of the Committee on Military Affairs says it was true that he was astonished. But when he came to answer the interrogatory, "will you accept a treaty from the existing government eeding that territory which you have heretofore admitted to be ample indemnity?" he could not answer in the affirmative. He answered precisely as I supposed he was bound to answer. It was for the purpose of bringing out these answers that I submitted these inquiries, in a manner which he regarded as going beyond the due parliamentary licenses. My object as going beyond the due parliamentary licenses. My object as the continuous continuous descriptions of the proposed to the continuous continuous descriptions of the continuous descriptions of the description of the demnistration on a question see important as the present. He answered then, in substance, as I supposed he was obliged to answer, that they could not take a treaty from any existing gevernment, or any then, in substance, as I supposed he was obliged to answer, that they could not take a treaty from any existing government, or any faction which might arise, if no security for flutro poace was conceded. And when the question was further pressed on the distinguished gentleman, "what do you mean by security?" be replied, that his attention had been directed by the resolutions of the gentleman from Indiana to the Sierre Madro as a proper boundary; but he did not limit his "securitivi" to that line. He went on to speak of the right of the conqueror, when the government of the occurrent analysis of the production was unsettled—when anarchy took ment of the conquered nation was unsettled—when anarchy took the place of order, and the people were turbulent, to hold possession of the conquered country as security for future peace; and he referred us to the case of the Allied Powers, who had kept military occupation of France for a twelvemonth as security for the maintenance of the peace which they had concluded with the new gov-

Well, these answers of the honorable gentleman are perfectly natural, rational and consistent with the policy of the administra

tion at 1 understand it. A military occupation of the interior of the country to some extent is now contemplated by the admission of the Scantor. What portion of the country is to be occupied, and how long the occupation is to continue are, as the distinguished gentleman said, things which he could not now point at, as they were to be governed necessarily be contingencies. I shall hereafter show that this is a policy from which they can never extricate themselves, but by holding the country by right of conquest, unless they abandon all that they have done—patch up the stream they can with the present government, and under the swer to the statement of the honorable chairman of the Committee and Military Affairs, that General Scott estimates of the Committee and Military Affairs, that General Scott estimates see the statement of the honorable chairman of the Committee and Military Affairs, that General Scott estimates see that the point would be at all necessary, except as a corpe de referred—an army of observation to be employed in the support of other divisions of the army engaged in holding the neighboring States. My estimate of a sufficient carrison for the army of Micxico was founded upon the idea, that all the strengholds, and aligning and populous \$1.500 military that the strengholds and aligning and populous \$1.500 military that the strengholds and aligning and populous \$1.500 military that the strengholds and aligning and populous \$1.500 military that the strengholds and aligning and populous \$1.500 military that the strengholds and aligning and populous strenges I had supposed, would consider an army of seven thousand five hundred necessary to hold a city, large as it is, which he contract the surface and the surface and

I now propose to resume my argument, at the point at which I had arrived, when the Senate did me the favor to adjourn—the policy of the government, exhibited in the orders of General Scott,

in earrying out the plan of raising a revenue in Mexico. In further support of the views I presented on yesterday, I might have alluded to some parts of the argument of the gallami and distanguished Senator from Mississippi, (Mr. Davis.) who spoke several times on incidental points in this debate. I remember—I do not see him in his sent now, but I trust I do not misrepresent him—that he pressed, with some carnestness, and, as in all cases when he has addressed the Senate, addressing himself to the facilities as well as the judgment of Senators, the argument that the passinge of this bill was necessary to relieve broken-down and the passing of this bill was necessary to relieve broken-down simply actions with the enemy; regiments which had been residently a supplied to the passing of the blad parts of the passing of the blad parts of the passing of t

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi.—It is true, as stated by the Senator, that in the course of this debate, the remarks which I have offered, have been to isolated points, such as were supposed to be directly connected with the bill under discussion, and presented as briefly as the nature of the case would allow—so briefly that it appears my meaning has been misconstrued. My observations upon the subject of military posts, and the character of troops suiced to the service of permanent garrisons, were made with no such purpose, under no such idea as the Senator secure to suppose. I contended that "regulars" were to be preferred for the reasons then officered, in possitions which were to be held by a stationary force, retained in possession for military purposes; not to fix the limit of territorial nequisition, still less to interfer with the political institutions of Mexico. I distinctly declared my opinion, that our government by the hundamental principles upon which it rests, is forhidden from dictating the policy or interfering in the internal affairs of any other government. Posts and garrisons are

I contanded that "regulars" were to be preferred for the reasons then offered, in possions which were to be held by a stationary force, retained in possession for military purposes; not to fix the limit of territorial nequisition, still less to interfere with the political institutions of Mexico. I distinctly declared my opinion, that our provenment by the lundamental principles upon which it rests, is forbidden from dictating the policy or interfering in the meessary to preserve lines of communication. Extended unlitary lines were spoken of as required to destroy cooperation between the different sections of the enemy, and to preven the central government of Mexico, against which this war has been directed from reestablishing its power, and again concentrating the scattered fragments of its army, to renew active hostilities against us. The occupation of those lines, recommended itself to me, not as mode of permanent possession, but as means to hasten the often declared ment of the method of the work of this war, an honorable over

Convinced that regulars were better suited than volunteers to garrison posts—that our volunteers should not be required to remain in service for mere garrison duties, longer than excessity demands—and that we require new posts in regnor regnes, this bill is commended by every consideration which has been conclusively presented to my mind. As a reason for supporting the bill under consideration, I spoke on the occasion referred to by the Senator, of a military line which should extend from the Altania to the Pácific. I did not then define the line, but will now do so if the

Senator wishes. That line, as contemplated by me, would begin at Tampico, ascend the valley of the Panuco to the mountains, then turn northward and follow the range of the Sierra Madre, keeping always the ridge which overlooks the waterless desert to the west and south, pass around the lakes of Parras, cross the valley of Chibushua, follow the range of mountains which bound it on the west, at about the parallel of birth (edgrees north latinde, bear west and pursue the highlands which limit the valley of the tone of San Dago. This time on the Pacific, so as tary line. I believed that a wast country north and east of it would be rendered quiet by the occupation of the four practiculte passes through the natural barrier along which it runs; that great results would be effected at the least expenditure of means and of men, and that regular troops were most appropriate to the service. My policy, I repeat, was not the permanent occupation of the and hasten the termination of our general occupation of that country. Again, I will state as my reason for wishing to increase our army so largely, the belief that its visible strength must be such as routestroy in the enemy all loops of resistance, before he will assent the termination of our general occupation of that country. Again, I will state as my reason for wishing to increase our army so largely, the belief that its visible strength must be such as routestroy in the enemy all loops of resistance, before he will assent the such as the such as a state of the such as a such as a

Mr. BELL.—I unite heartly in the prayer of the Senator that we may have peace. In regard to his remarks I have only to say, that there may have been, as I stated yesterday, a modified policy adopted; and one which would be consistent with the line of the Sierra Madre as the "security" which they want. Bar, I hink this is at last a new construction assumed by the distinguished Senator from Mississiph; as one satisfactory to him.

Mr. DAVIS .- Assumed last November a year ago.

Mr. BELL.—He is consistent. Can be answer for other honorate beautors—for the administration of this government I Forrable power which has greater influence than he or the Senate to, unless we choose, by the united voice of the two Hooses, to control it! Can be answer that he has the confirmation of one-tard of this body—I mean of those on his side of the Chamber? What security will such a hing give for peace? The reason why they did not retire to a line twelve months ago was, that they had an ready, no peace. They wanted to corece a peace.

Mr DOWNS.—I ask the Senator what line he would be willing to accept?

Mr. BELL.—I do not mean any discourtery but I kep to me form the Senator that before I close my remarks I will thus my views mean that point. My argument is intended to demonstrate, that the administration is derivedly carrying out their policy of holding the country by military occupation until a government of holding the country by military occupation until a government consistency that it will be able to give the seem ties of marged. In may be wrong, however, individual Senators on the other side of the Chamber, it is very obvious, are not united in sentiment upon this subject. Some distinguished Senators who have spokes, differ with the administration, if we look at what is said in the message, and among themselves. And I may say, looking at the immease mangitude of the question, honorable gentlemen may well differ. It is a learful question in some of its suspects.

Assuming as I do, and I think upon the strongest ground, that he military occupation of Mexico is to be continued until such a goevrnment shall be established, as shall addort the desired security. I propose to enquire whether the addertaking be parciable

Assuming as I do, and I think upon the strongest ground, that the military occupation of Mexico is to be continued until such a goevrnment shall be established, as shall afford the desired security, I propose to enquire whether the andertaking be practicable I ask the attention of the Secare to the statement of a few proment facts, in relation to the character and condition of the Mexican population, collected from the best sources of information with in my reach.

I know a distinguished Senator (Mr. Bextox), who is far batter informed than I am upon this subject, and whose judgment would be entitled to far greater weight. It was my fortune, many years ago, to listen to an argument of that Senator, before a different tribunal, in which his familiar and extensive knowledge of the Spanish character, their colonial policy, their laws and in stitutions, was manifest. He knows well the maternals which now exist in Mexico for forming a government, and he knows the obstacles that lie in the way. I trust that we shall yet hear from that distinguished Senator, who now sets so much at his ease, on the other side of this chamber, upon this subject.

The best informed differ in their estimates of the population of

The best informed differ in their estimates of the population of Mexico. Some rate it at eight or to millions, and others as low as seven millions. I assume eight millions as the medium. Of the eight millions there are probably not less than five millions of Indians of pure blood; two millions of what are denominated the casts or mixed race, consisting of Mestizos, Mulattos and Zombos, who rank in society in the order in which I have mentioned them; and one million of the Spanish or white race. Between these several races or casts, there exists a reciprocal antipathy,

amounting to contempt on the one side, and jealousy and lastred on the other. A white skin is still, as at the period of the conscient, a patent of nobility and just in proportion to the nix ore of the blood of the white man, when flows in the veins of the several custes, do they assert a superiority over all below them. The antipathies and jealousies founded on the distinction of races, appear, from all history, to be deeply seated in natural causes and principles. In Mexico, these natural causes were strengthened and fostered by the jealous policy of the Spanish monarchy. The laws and institutions of the Vice Regal government of the colonies, cultivated and promoted the natural antiparty and hatered between the Indian and the white and mixed races, as a means of maintaining the dominion of the parent comparable. But the emancipation of Mexico from the dominion of Spain appears to have wrought but little change in the general condition or the disposition of the several coards or races towards each other. At the breaking policy conclidated the eastest or may races, by allowing laber grade in society but, except the races, they still rank below the white race class a mong the mixed races, they still rank below the white race class a among the mixed races, they still rank below the white race class a mong the mixed races, they still rank below the white race class a smong the mixed races, they still rank below the white race.

Watter tack.

Whate race moves an all misses are the real logs of the country; asserting the national superiority of their race, and countryling all others. They copether with the cultivated portion of the mixed race, are also the holders of nearly all the property of the country. The clergy, the holders of nearly all the property of the country. The clergy, the holders of nearly all the property of the country. The clergy, and in the practical operation of the Mexican government, the clergy and military constitute a privileged class. They are such by the legal exemptions which they enjoy. The castes or mixed race are next in degree of inducence and importance, both in social and political relations; but with the exception of the cultivated few among them, they are a degraded class. But it is fit west Indian population which matries, a degraded, dependent, melanchop have prevery stricken, ignorant; a living but inaminate mass of human hearings, outcasts in their own land, taking no interest in public affinits, though recognized as freemen by the Mexican constitution; their relation a mammery, and even, it is said, in many districts, indulging their ancient superstitions; residing in separate villages, and cultivating a small allotment of land in common. Such is their general condition, especially in the populous States of the South. Until the period of the revolution, they were in a state of pupillage, and not allowed by law to contract debts beyond the small sum of three dollars. They were, for the reasons I have before stated, kept and the contract of th

Again, sir, when you shall attempt to regenerate and enlighten the Indian masses, you will have to encounter the inconvenience of twenty different languages now spoken in different States and districts of Mexico.

There is another circumstance in the condition of Mexico, which

There is another circumstance in the condition of Mexico, which, to my mind, presents an inseperable barrier to the policy of founding and sastaining the sort of government which seems to be consemblated; it is only sort of government which seems to be consemblated; it is only sort of government which seems to be convenible to the consequent of the consequent of the consequent conse

been no signar testor a free and equal government.

But it is said of this party of the Puros, which I hash already noticed, endering a large class—the industrions and enterprising of all the different castes—the rauchero, or small proprietor, the artizan and the merchant, including the multierers, said to be respectable for their honesty, and the processions, all together combining a large share of intelligence, are for early to the present po-

liey of this administration, and that with the aid, and through the instrumentality of their chiefs or leaders, you can build up a government. It is with this party in Mexico, as I have already slewn, that you are in some sort in alliance. And I now assert that you exhaust that you exhaust the party in this policy, with safety and honor; that from the moment of your entrance upon the next stage of progress in the execution of this policy, you will be committed heyoard retract. No. sir, the moment you compremise this party, by calling their chiefs and representatives together for the purpose of torming a new government, you are irrevocably bound to the policy of a continued utilitiary occupation. You expose them to the new facts most life the extended of the policy of a continued utilitiary occupation. You expose them to the new facts most life under the extended of the rest of the most of the property of property of the property

But, if you allow no force to this argument, when you shall have constituted this new government under the protection of your armies, how long is the experiment of its stability to be continued? When will you know that you may sidely wildraw your army? How long is it supposed your murture will be required, before you can leave your bauting to stand alone? When all shall be queet, when there shall be no hostile array in the country? Does any one and leave your bauting to stand alone? When all shall be queet, when there shall be no hostile array in the country? Does any one doubt that, from the moment when your armies shall have everrian the standard of the standa

In the impaired best long this experience of establishing a state government in Mexico, by military occupation is to counting, and if it will uct be regarded as too great a descent from high considerations, I would now inquire what is to be the cost of this experiment? Does any one imagine that a less period than from three to five years, wi'll be sufficient to overcome all the obstacles which now exist to a settled government in Mexico? Finary be safely reduced one-half, or to a force of twenty-free thousand. But this more great point approximation of the property of the property of the control of the property of the

trade of the country, continue the blockade of all the ports of Mexico, and keep the communication with the interior open, you may derive \$6,000,000. from the customs. Besides these, you can collect \$3,000,000, in direct taxes; after abulishing the tubacco monopoly, the internal duties, and lotteries, and surrendering the remaining sources of revenue to the states or local governments, which in pursuance of the policy of the administration you have already done, \$11,000,000, will be the highest amount of revenue, already done, \$11,000,000, will be the highest amount of revenue, you can expect to derive for the support of your army; and this only after you shall have overrun and occupied all the States. At this time, supposing that you have reduced Zacateoas, Saa Louis Poiosi, and Queretaro, to your withority, you are in possession of ten states, and, if Chihuahura is to be included, eleven. General Sout by his financial regulations in Mexico, has imposed upon the everal states of Mexico, \$8,00,000, yaxable monthly by the states occupied by our army. At this rate, one million may be derived from the eleven states now in our power, within a year.
You may be receiving \$2,000,000, from the customs and \$500,000. not may be receiving \$2,000,000, from the customs, and \$500,000, from all other sources of revenue, not abandoned or surrendered to the states. Thus the whole amount of revenue, after all your brilliant successes, you are now in the receipt of, in pursuance of the policy of compelling Mexico to pay the expense of the military occupation of the country, does not exceed the rate of \$3,500,000.

But, Mr. President, these are mere speculations, and, after all, of little importance to the country. This question involves higher consequences. By the time you shall have perfected your financial regulations in Mexico, and long before you shall have given Mr. President, these are mere speculations, and, after all, such strength and power to the new government you propose to erect—long before you shall see the day when you can safely with-éraw your army from Mexico, with the securities you desire, a new element of control will have intervened—a new and potent influences will have sprung up to set all your plans at naught. Sir, the mo-ment it shall become your known and settled policy to continue the armed occupation of Mexico—that you propose to occupy all the large towns with a competent force to ensure tranquility—that you intend to extend your protection to the highways and all other channels of trade and intercourse, and that this military protection channels of trade and intercourse, and that this military protection is to endure for a series of years, as it must endure, what must be the inevitable consequence? A current of immigration will set towards Mexico from this country, as irrosistible as the torrent of Niagara. The youthful, ardent and enterprising classes of this country, attracted by the thousand rumors which go forth of the untold wealth of the Mexican mines—of the wide, and yet unocupied field for successful enterprize in overy branch of industry, will soon squad themselves over the whole country. They will soon become proprietors of the soil junder the guarantee of the new government of your formation, they will become agriculturists, each leconomic means the control of the control of your present in the case of the control of your present policy, handleds of thousands of your own citizens will have become discillated in Mexico. Your citizen swill have become discillated in Mexico. handreds of thousands of your own entizens will have become do-miciliated in Mexico. Your citizen soldiers, too, will have become domiciled to a permanent residence in the land their arms have conquered. They, too, will have contracted ties and obligations which they will not be willing to abandon. Then, when you shall suppose that the time has come when you can safely withdraw your army, a cry of remonstrance will come up from Mexico, such as will find an echo, a lively sympathy at home, in the hearts of tens of thousands who now imagine that no necessity can even arise, strong amount, to conclude them to the arbivaction of the tens of thousands who now imagine that no necessity can ever arise strong enough to reconcile them to the subjugation of the whole of Moxico. These influences will be felt in all the departments of the government—they will be felt in this chamber. It will not be the Pu-ros only, but it will be your own countrymen who will call upon you to save them, their families, and their property. from the resent-ment, oppression, and spoliation of the powerful factions which will be ready to spring up and overturn the new government. That "bree of circumstances," so often and so significantly alluded to in this debace, will then adquire entitled power over the sentiments and opinions of the people of this country, and over the public councils. It was heretofore strong enough to impel you to the poconneils. It was necretoric strong enough to imper you to the po-licy of continuing this war, by carrying your arms to the heart of Mexico, and then to engage you, in the plan of creating a new government, and it will at last impel you, with far greater reason, to hold the permanent sovereignty of the whole country by right of conquest.

This, sir, will be the last act in the great political drama we This, sir, will be the last act in the great political drawn we are now emacting. This is to be the consummation of the policy already shadowed forth in the message. This is not merely a na-scent policy; it exists not methy only; I have attempted to show that it has germinated already. That it is not merely a vague, howing idea in the bermi of the President, will fully appear from howing idea in the bermi of the President, will fully appear inom floating idea in the brain of the President, will fully appear from the message. I beg leave to read a few passages from it. After alluding to the probable "insecurity of the present government in Mexico, and suggesting that it may become proper to give "as-surances of protection to the friends of peace in Mexico, in the establishment and maintenance of a new republican government of their own choice," and thus converting the "war which Mexico has forced upon us into an enduring blessing to berself," the President concludes what he had to say upon this part of the subject, in this gardinest language. in this significant language :

o cupy her country with our troops, taking the full measure of indemnity into our won hands, and must enjoyce the terms which our honor demands."

What "the taking of the full measure of indemnity into our

What "the taking of the full measure of indemnity into our hands" points to, whoever now doubts cannot be influenced by any reasoning it is in my power to employ. But it is not the President only who appears to have looked to the permanent acquisition of all Mexico, as the probable result of the policy now pursued in the prosecution of the war. I have in my hands a copy of a letter addressed by the Socretary of State, (M. Badaway) more this exhibite to a mallic meeting of State, (Mr. Buchanan,) upon this subject, to a public meeting in Phila-delphia, in which he sums up his views upon the war question in the following language :

The following imagouge:
"The capital (Mexico is now the headquarters of our conquering army: and yetsuch is the gamin of our free nationions, that, for the first time, its peaceful and well
deposed crazes singly seemily in their private pight, and the advantage of a just and
deposed crazes singly seemily in their private pight, and the advantage of a just and
upoper value, and dreaf anothing so much as the strict pight of the private pight, and
upoper value, and dreaf anothing so much as the strict pight of the strict of the s

both countries.

"In any event, we owe it to the glories of the past, to the duties of the present, and the hopes of the future, never to falter in the vigorous prosecution of this war, until we stall have severined a just and homorable peace. The people of the United States will act upon this determination, as surely as that indomitable perseverance in a righten scare is a characteristic of our rice."

But other powerful and influential supporters of the administra-tion have also furnished pregnant and alarming evidences that this idea of conquering and holding all Mexico has been largely enter-

idea of conquering and holding all Mexico has been largely enter-tained. Need I refer to the resolution introduced into this body by my friend, the Senator from Indiana, [Mr. Hanngan,] or to the resolution on the same subject, introduced by the Senator from New York, [Mr. Dickinson?] They speak for themselves. There are others who have spoken upon this subject, too promi-nent in the country to be passed over, without notice here. I allade to opinion expressed by officers of the army. I have un-derstood that a letter lms appeared in one of the public journals of the properties of the properties of the properties of the control time of favorably to this policy. I would be sorry to misrepressent this distinguished officer, for I have a high respect, both for his partiotism and his intelligence; and, if I am in error, and any honor-able Senator has it in his power to set me right, I will than kin to able Senator has it in his power to set me right, I will thank him to do so. Another gallant and distinguished general, (Gen. Shields.) I observe has expressed the sentiment on a public convivial occa-sion, that the whigs are "warring against a high and indomitable necessity.

The distinguished and able chairman of the Committee on Mili-The distinguished and able chainman of the Committee on Mili-tary Affairs has told us, that although he does not anticipate the annexation of all Mexico, yet that he sees nothing so alarming in such a result. I could multiply the proofs, beyond the patience of the Seaate to listen to, that this gigantic scheme of annexation has been gravely considered, and found favor with the administra-tion, if it be not its settled policy. But, sir, whatever may be the cent eview of the President, and his cabinet upon the subject, I real views of the President, and his cabinet upon the subject, I have, I think, oneclasively shown that the inevitable tendency and results of the policy they advocate, and which is now in full progress in Mexico, is, and will be its subjugation. Considering this point established, it becomes a duty of the last importance to consider now—I say now, while we have it in our power to control the future issues of this war—what we shall do with all Mexico, when it is annexed to the Union. Yes, sir, I repeat the question—what will you do with it? Will you annex it in the form of States? Let us see what will be the consequences of such a procedure. The several States or provinces of Mexico, twenty-one in number, now enjoy as separate political or ico, twenty-one in number, now enjoy a separate political or-ganization, with sufficient population in each, to form a State ganization, with sandered population in each, to form a state ander our system, except two. These may be well integed into one; which would still leave twenty new States to be admitted into the Union, besides the territories, by a single legislative flat. into the Union, besides the territories, by a single legislative hat. By the constitution of the United States, you are bound to guarantee a republican form of government to any new State admitted into the Union. Well, sir, besides three millions of the white and nixed races, there will be in the twenty States of Mexico a popular of the Conference of t lation of five millions of Indians of the pure aboriginal stock.—
They are freemen by the present laws and constitution of Mexico. What will the spirit of progressive democracy, which now exer-What will the spirit of progressive democracy, which now exer-cises so large an influence in this country, prescribe as to them: Would it not olaim for them the enjoyment of the right of suffrage? Is it not the genius of this new and onlarged system of political philosophy, to isouloate fraternal union apon the most perfect equal-ity, with all mankind? But suppose the point waived, and that it shall be determined to suspend the political rights and privileges of the Influent for a time; still, upon the principles of our own extablished system, you must permit them to be represented in the national legislature. They are freemen, of a race superior to the national legislature. They are freemen, of a race superior to the African, and you cannot deny to the States of which they compose a majority of the population, this right. Then, assuming one hundred thousand as the ratio of representation, you will have eightly new members added to the House of Representatives; fifty of whom will represent an Indian population alone. But it is the Senate that I may congratulate upon the largest addition to its present dignity and importance. We shall have forty new Senators; and as the mixed races of Mexico are, by habit [and by a

<sup>&</sup>quot;If, after affording this encouragement and protection, and after all the persevering and sincere efforts we have made, from the moment Mexico commenced the war, and prior to that time, to adjust our differences with her, we shall obtinately fad, then we shall shave exhausted all honorable means in purposi of peace, and must continue to

past ribinte to mental superiority, admitted to an equality of social and political privileges, it is to be hoped that we shall always have a portion of the new Senators of this caste, who, by the novelty of their complexion, will give new interest and attraction to this bydy. Why, sir, at this rate of advance in our schemes of national aggrandigment, we shall be subject to great changes of every description. This capitol will be found to have been projected upon quite to dispense with it, and rear one commensarrate with the grandeur of our system; or, rather, it will soon become expedient to centralize

the national metropolis. But, six, you heating; you true and say you will adopt a policy less revolting to the popular feelings and John and John a policy less revolting to the popular feelings and John and the sort provinces subject to your regulation and government; that in this mode you will govern Mexico until, by immigration, there shall be such an infusion of the white race, in all the provinces, as to secure to them the superiority of numbers and influence; and then you can adopt them into the Chion, as States, upon an equal footing with the present States of the Union. But you will still have from inhors of Indians on hand, to be an ever-eating canker on your system. What will you do with these! They must have space; you must leave them their villages and commons, you cannot drive them then the present States of the Union. But you will still have for mind the Panifack. You say that you will take them under you tutelage; that you will end provide the present States of the Chion. But you will still have good the provide and soldiers, as their first teachers, and the bayonet for the rod offiscipline; that you will undust this inaminate mass into life and energy by the influences of trade; by giving them the benefits of just and capall have; that you will thus gradually induce them into the Rowledge and duties of free institutions, and that, after the lapse of elew generations, you may hope they will be qualified to enjoy all the privileges of the white race. A happy termination to this feet of the provileges of the white race. A happy termination to this feet of the gradual to the provileges of the white race. A happy termination to this feet of the provileges of the white race. A happy termination to this feet of the provileges of the white race. A happy termination to this feet of the provileges of the white race. A happy termination to the provileges and the provileges of the white race.

There is another consideration descriping attention, though of less importance, when you shall have resolved upon holding Mexity and the properties of the p

Permit me now, sir, to call the attention of the Senate toseme of the further consequences which may attend this schoul
of coaquest and nanexation. When it shall be known in Exorder of the further consequences which may attend this schoul
of coaquest and nanexation. When it shall be known in Extending your dominion over all Mexico, will there be no dispostron among the large and powerful states of that continent to
interpose and prevent the consumation of your magnificenscheme of national aggrandizement? Upon this point, I would
respectfully imquire of the chairman of the Committee on Milltary
Affairs, if the disposition of foreign courts has been sounded upon
this subject. I can hardly suppose that it has not been done. I
may, and probably will be said that we will permit no interferenof any foreign power; that they have no right to interfere, and the
moment such a movement is made, the whole population will rise
up to resist the audicaious attempt. Still, sir, the great powers
of Europe may choose to interfere. I do not think they will, for
of Europe may choose to interfere. I do not think they will, for
of Europe may choose to interfere. I do not think they will, for
of Europe may choose to interfere. I do not think they will, for
sions on our northern border, and her commercial interests and
seemdency, will have most cause to watch our cancer of conquest;
but still sile, with the other monarchies of Europe, may look on inquiet compleacency, shrewfly supposing that we may, in our extrawagant attempts on all Mexico, do for ourselves the worst their
mitted arms could do, and with far less cost to them; that the subjugation of Mexico will be a perpetual drain upon our resources,
and reduce instead of adding to our present trank as a military
power. Perhaps, too, they may indulge the expectation that in
the mad career we are cutering upon—that model system of free
representative government: that mirror system established in
the mad career we are cutering upon—that model system of free
represent

There are other reasons, however, which may control the councils of Europe. They have their troubles at home. England has her Ireland, France her Algerin, to tax their resources and hold them in check. France, in a period of no little agitation, and with in opulation which the consummate skill and statesmanship of Louis Phillippe has failed to unite, is on the eve of entering upon the experiment of a regency under the regin of a minority prince. Enghand and France are jentous of each other, and both look with fearful apprehension to the designs of the Autocrat of the north, the great Northern Bear, who only waits the embrodiment of those two powers with each other, or with America, to stretch forth one of his huge pays to draw to his strong embrace, the dominions of the Grand Turk, and with the other to grasp British Indig, with an internal capacity still remaining sufficient to ingulph all Europe, as occasion may offer.

as occusion. But the damper of the sergarding all other considerations, may conside that their ecommercial and other interests requires them to unite in a forcible interference with a policy which looks to the establishment of an influinted dominan upon this continent; and it becomes us to estimate the consequences of such a determination on their part. The war in which we shall then be engaged will not be confined to the land. It will be an ocean warfare also. To meet their united insial armanent of a thousand ships of war, we must enlarge our own naval establishment in a corresponding degree. When Mexico shall lind such allels, when the disciplined legions of the combined enemy shall be lootight to her assistance on land, instead of fifty, we shall be called on to send one hundred thousand trops to Mexico, and have as many originated to the shall be sha

dangers which impended over them.

I must say, sir, upon this subject of foreign intervention that
the course of this government is any thing but conciliatory towards
the course of this government is any thing but conciliatory towards
select upon New Mexico and California, and declared your intention never to surrender them; and when, at the same time, you
are preparing to graps all Maskien, you proclaim to the world your
determination to allow no transathantic power to acquire any forther fonthold in America. While by this declaration you announce
what may pass as a sound policy, by your practice you take away
all merit from the motive. You will suffer no other power to add
to their dominion by taking advantage of the feeble and distracted
conditions of the States of Spanish origin, while you claim he prithe work of territorial spoliation. You claim a menopoly of the
spoil and plunder of America.

thege to despot users a unseresson. For win marks be persuased, the work of territorial spolation. You claim a menopoly of the spoil and plaused of the persuased of the subject. What will be the effect of anylogaring all Mexico, and holding it in the form of States or as dependent previnces, upon our system of government, our free institutions.

Mexico, and holding it in the form of States or as dependent proinces, upon our system of government, our free institutions.

The distinguished Senator from South Carolina, shewed a great
age, when he broached the obsolere files of exacetive patronings
and the duty of keeping it in just and reasonable limits even with
compresent extent of the compression of the control of the compression of the compression

But, sir, we should not despair of resisting, successfully, the avalanche of power and patronage, which now the but be the support whelm us. Let us inquire for a moment, what will the the amount of patronage which will neerne to the Executive, which Mexico shall be added to our domain, and laid off into separate territories

or provinces. We shall have not less than twenty-four new and distant territorial, or provincial governments, each of which must have a government, or provincial governments, each of which must have a government or province, it is their excellencies; then the judicial corps in each province, two or three judges—an attorney-general, and a marshal. Then will follow collectors of customs, and at unmorens pers out the Pacific, and of or a period at least, we must have a military chief, of a grade not lower than a general, commanding the forces, in each province, and last, though not least, a governor-general for all Mexico. Why, sir, John Bull need not swell himself out, and vanut himself so lusting any longer. We stoo, shall have our Indies; our subject mily any longer. We stoo, shall have our Indies; our subject mil-

lions; our rich provincial governments, our large standing army; lions; our rich provincial government, our large standing army; and though we may not beast an empire, on which the sean never sets; yet will it soon extend from the Line to the freezen sens of the north. With such prospects of extended dominion, what visuous of national grandeur and megnificence, may we not indulge?

Then, such magnificent scenes as we shall behold at this sent of our great republican empire, and all over the country. Generals returning from the distant provinces, laden with wealth and houses making their trimpolated, recognises, through the country, and returning from the distant provinces, laden with wealth audions, making their triumphant progress through the sale of and sale in the consulsing; trope of applicant the grade of and selection of the consulsing trope of applicant with the sale of interior grade. What corgoins spectucles shall be leaded to level occasions, at the White House of the imperial palaces, want a flitter of epaulettes, low other too the imperial palaces, want a flitter of epaulettes, and white plumes! But all this will be cultipaded on precident with the properties of the control of the properties of the pr norm, this of Simalao, this of Guanaxuato, this of Jalisso, this of Onxacan, this of Michaecan, Chiapas, Yucatan, Queretero, Tamashins, New Leon, and so of the rest. "And I have the prosent infaction to announce to your excellency, that the angust Senting the nonimations of all these gentlemen, without the slightest inquiry into their fitness, laving the most unbound of one can be uncertained by the significant of the significa

textumes. The two captures of the Current Entirely. This state of the Capture of shall receive this vast accession of strength and influence; when instead of twenty or thirty the President, can annually dispense handered millions among the pricious and followers, who shall say, that our institutions will be in no danger? But we may console ourselves whered. The republic in ruin will still flourish, in the properties of the republic on the properties of the accessor, carefully observed all the forms of the ancient constitution. Consult were elected; the state of the properties of the propert nate continued to debate and register decrees, fancying itself still nate continued to decate and register decrees, laneying itself still a constituent element of the government, long alter every vestige of real power had departed from it for ever. But Senators say of ten power has departed from 1 for ever. But Senators say there is no danger of a similar result to our system, whatever policy we shall adopt in relation to Mexico; and so they go no, in the exception of their present policy, to whatever it may lend. And this is destiny. It is death. This is to be the triumph of

And this is destiny '¶1 is death! This is to be the friumph of progressive demoneracy. Sir, I am at a loss to understand what form the properties of the progressive of the properties of the properties of the progressive of the properties of the progressive of the properties of the progressive of the progressive demoneracy under which our government was formed. I know but little of this new school, but the little I do know has not moverement, especially when I am satisfied with my early off government, especially when I am satisfied with my early off progressive democracy from all I have here I has little reversed to the time benored opinion all I have here I has little reversed to the time bonored opinions in the their results, happy and glorisons as they are not mary closely and the majority of the progressive descriptions of the progressive description with steam power, by sea and land, with the locomotive, the steam ship and steam press; with the magnetic telegraphical missing and the progressive descriptions of freedom. Our present limits are questioned to the area of freedom. Our present limits are appeared to the area of freedom. Our present limits are appeared to the contracted; and nothing less than a continued on the progressive demonstrated in the surface of the principles. Old and must give place to new ones, more progress. I may as interest and as much nuzzled and confounded by mergary. I may superant and as much nuzzled and confounded by mergary. I may superant and as much nuzzled and confounded by mergary. I may superant and as much nuzzled and confounded by mergary. I may superant and as much nuzzled and confounded by mergary. I may superant and as much nuzzled and confounded by progressive democracy. Sir, I am at a loss to understand

Indeed, sir, in regard to the recent invention called progressive demoeracy, I am as ignorant and as much puzzled and confounded by the declaration of its expanaders as the Inca of Peru when Pizarro the declaration of use expounders as the lines of Peru when Prizarto caused his chaplain to explain to him, through an interpreter, the extent of his authority and the heavenly character of his mission to America. The reverend priest spoke first of the Holy Trimity;

then of the Pope of Rome, and last of the Emperor Charles V. then of the Popt of Kome, and last of the Emperor Charles V. and of the powers and attributes of each. But the paysary was too profound for the unittored mind of the Indian. The good Inca in despairs celaimd: "Woe is me! all that you say of your Three-One-Good of them regard man who sits upon the seven hills; and of unother great man called the Emperor, I cannot understand; but I see plainly that you claim the right to take from me my country

see plainly that you claim the right to take from me my country and to destrow my people."

Mr. President, from the lieuvs I have presented on this subject, it will be perceived that I have not proceeded on the ground that the administration of the campaign of Gen. Sout, the state of the compact of Mexico until aim to termination of the campaign of Gen. Sout, by the country of the city of Mexico, and the failure of Mr. Tristy, when the control of the desired of the war, I have undertaken to show, that a policy was conceived and adopted in the further proceedings of the war, and which is still persisted in, which in its inevitable tendency must lead to the final subjuctation of that country. I have also endeavored to establish, from the tonor of the miretrain condense, must read to the man subjugation of that country. I have also and savored to establish, from the topor of the message that an amount of the most resolved to risk them. In the course of my remarks, I have given full weight to the argument of the force of circumstances and the real difficulties and embarrassments in which the administra the real numerities and embarrassments in when the administra-tion is involved in bringing the var to a close, as the inducement to the policy now persisted in. It will also be observed, that in the discussion of this subject I have not considered it material what the real views of the Executive may be upon the question of final conquest and agnexation. But I have insisted and firmly believe conquest and annexation. But I have insisted and tirruly believe that the further prosecution of this war according to the plan now in operation and for the objects explicitly avowed, whether the administration wills it or not, can have no other termination than the one I have assumed as inevitable.

one I have assumed as inevitable.

Thus, sir, the real question before us, is not whether we shall runs the tail, but how we shall stop this war, or control by our resolves the future press the shall stop of it. It is clear, sir, that we have arrived at a point in our an epoch will be memorable in all time for good or for crit. I must be the control of the tail the homerable charman of the Committee on Military Affairs. It is the homerable charman of the Committee on Military Affairs. the honorable chairman of the Committee on Military Affairs. It is not a question regarding the settlement of disputed points of do-mestic policy; whether the protection of domestic industry, or free trade be the true policy of the country; or whether a national bank or the sub-treasury would be the most suitable tiscal agent of the government; but it is a contest of principle, in which the whole frame and policy of our free system of government is liable to be unsettled and revolutionized. We are as a nation about re-port upon a newlines enterprize, asystast its since, so, it is despite whole frame and policy of our free sastem of government is liable to be unsettled and revolutionized.

We are as a testion about to catery upon a periloas enterprised in the same as a second about to enter upon a periloas enterprised in the son will be it in don't arrested by the nation of the same in the

may be opened to us as a nation. Sir, it is a dangerous subject to contemplate. With all the fearful consequences that may arise entemplate. With all the rearmi consequences that may arise from the adoption of this great project, some of which I have endeavored to depite, it still has great attractions. Pope illustrated the operation of the human mind upon such a question, in his de

scription of the allurements to vice :

"Vice is a monster of such frightful nue.
That to be listed needs but to be seen;
But seen too oft, familiar with its face.
We first endure, then pity, then embrace

We fast endure, then pity, then embrace."

Yes, sir, pity is not an imappropriate idea, for we shall soon hear of the pittable condition of the poor Mexicans; a prey to sil the evils of faction and anarely. The present shall be repeated the standard repulsive to the judgment shall be proved the property of the first shall be repeated by the sha

flowery vales studded with refreshing lakes. There, too, insture has bestowed the often fatal gifts of mountains teening with the precious metals; and the earthquake not infrequent comes to awaken the guilty conscience of the oppressors. It is a country full of stirring recollections. The pen of Prescott has made it a classic land. It was the theater of the deepest and directs tragedy ever enacted. It is the land where once flourished a great and populous empire, founded by a race of unknown orders in themselves, distance gives to them additional charms, and increased enchantment. These are some of the attractions which captivate the imagination of the young, and pervert the judgment of mature age; and we shall see that in due time, they will be heralded forth, throughout this vide country, by a thousand tongues, and strains of vivid and impassioned eloquence. Sir, the gratification of national audition, the national pride, the love of power or a great and powerful nation, how often in the history of the world, have they reconciled the sincerest patriot to despotic rule enoirched with glory.

relative was a series of the property of the p

We must not lorget the army. It is already an element of great influence in the country. Honest and patriotic as our galhant officers and citizen soldiers may be, it is but natural that they will form statements to the country which has been the scene of their glory, and desire its consolidation with their native land. We have already seen evidences of this feeling in the letters and speeches of gallant officers now in the country. They too, are specified to the second of the second of the second of the state of the second of the when all Mexico continues to be the sect of war they may exbend of the second of the second of the second of the when all Mexico shall be subdued to our dominion, and no new fields of martial obstinction shall he presented, they may still expect to find employment congenial to their habits.

There is another greater and more formidable influence to be looked to, in the settlement of this question. There is a great and powerful party in this country, a party which, for the last twenty years, with the exception of a slight interval, has held the reins of power and enjoyed the honors and emoluments of the vival sorvice. The result of the late elections have shaken their seemity, and they may be expected to put forth all their energies to maintain their ascendancy. If the President persists in his present policy a few mouths longer, the issue must some to be, "the computest of all Mexico." I do not suppose that every member of the party will yield their settled convictions on this subject for the party will yield their settled convictions on this subject for the party will yield their settled convictions on this subject for the party will grispushed Senior from South Carolina has led the war, and I must many others will follow. But party is a yrant, the world was political opinion less free than in this free country of ours. Who that has been in public life, has not felt the party link? What to a galling to the feelings of an ingemous mind and a patriot, as to had himself compelled to relinquish his station, or to yield to the behast or distance, often of inferior minds, who by superior chance, come to be considered party leaders; and the brightest genuine sometimes makes a fattal blunder.

brightest genius sometimes makes a fattal nimder. Sir, in calculating the advantages which the supporters of the policy of conquest possess, end the chances of averting such tan sissen in the coming political conflict, there is one of a peculiar character is one of the conflict of the sissen of the single signal of the size of the war, declared his purpose of review of the size of the war, declared his purpose of retaining New Mexico and California. But his friends, of the north and enat said to him, you shall not take those territories but upon the condition of the Wilmto proviso. The whigs of the south became alarmed, and united with the north in the no-territory poleynents. This was his dilennum; how to escape from it was the question. He had no way to escape but by trankly retracting his steps, acknowledging his error, and making a treaty without the escision of territory; but that few men in high station, and who aspire to the rank of statemen, can afford to do. It requires a great man, a very great man, to do this. I do not most to speak othersively was thus placed in reference to the conclusion of asset of the statement of the

this embarrassment nothing could relieve him, but the intervention of Congress by devlaring the objects of the war. Hence was he tempted continually to a new line of policy; and the refusal of the government of Mexico to treat with Wr. Trist, with the brilliant conquests of Gen. Scott, gave the encouragement to the new and extended objects of the war, which now constitute his avoved policy. And the causes which produced this determination must still embarrass the President in any attempt to close this war unless Congress will relieve him. He is still impelled by a dire moral necessity, criter to degrade himself from the rank of statesmen, by the voluntary confession of error, or to take the hazard of elevating himself to a still higher func, or of losing all by involving his country

in a fatal enterprise.

But, sir, the friends and supporters of the President and his administration, are embarrassed by the same causes; they are nike dileman with himself, and one in which they invelved both him and thenselves. Hence the temptation to them to adopt a tion of the properties of skill, talent and patronage, are thus placed in circumstances strongly urging them to an issue which, at one bound clears every barrier—relieves them from all past embarrassments; Wilmot provise and all—and if they should hall, they will fall in the exceeding of a bold conception; but if, on the other hand, they should be successful, and carry out the during project of uniting all Moxice to our Union, the leaders of the enterprise will, float on. The fune of the authors of the movement must rise with the increasing ploy of a still free country; or their names will be execrated amid the broken and ermubling ruins of the republic.

Such, sir, are the dangers and temptations to which the country now stands exposed; and if the party in power shall determine to make the issue I have supposed, who can estimate its

force in the decision which the country must declared the selfment of the country must declared the decision which the country must declared the country must declared the country must declare the component of this scheme have at their command? where the high official stations? what patronage to aphold the public press—to stimulate the zeal of partisans? None, sir, none. There must rely alone upon the noral influence and considerations inherent in the question itself. Does any one inquire where is the whig opposition—the great whig party? Why, sir, as a party the whigs in standing out against this policy are shorn of half their strength by the very data that their opposition springs from party motives: and further, by their position in seeming to with both the country of the properties of the presention of wear in which the country is the public enemy. Instead of an advantage, the idea of a party opposition, from the necessity of the case, is a formidable draw-back to the influence of those who look with alarm to the results of the further prosecution of the war.

But, sir, while we thus stand confronted with this question, in-volving the future liberties of the country; the greatest but one

But, sir, while we thus stand confronted with this question, involving the future liberties of the country; the greatest but one that can ever be debated in this chamber; (the question of union and national existence), what do we behold? Where are the members of that glorious Whig frateraity? where their great leaders, including the most distinguished and experienced statesmen of the country, and to whom the element of the experience of the country, and to whom the impending calabatity? When those to whom the country looks for wise counsel, prompt and energicie action at such a crisis? And what are they doing? It is stating and littlering in their arrangements for the coming conflict; disputing about old usages; insisting on personal references; distracted by narrow sectional jestionies. When the ground on which they stand is volcanie, and they streamly the country they have been the ground on which they stand is volcanie, and they streamly they have been considered elements, instead of stop in gather up a budget of old hobbies? Precious old wares, some new, personal and mixed, altogether load enough to sink a navy, they pause to consider whether some other mode of escape may not presonal tiself; to see if the threatened shook may not pass off without injury. When the real question is, whether all Mexicos shall be annexed, they moot the point whether it would be proper to take a slip of it, more or less; and when the north and early the proper to take a slip of it, more or less; and when the north and early the proper to take a slip of it, more or less; and when the north and early the proper to take a slip of it, more or less; and when the north and early the proper to take a slip of it, more or less; and when the north and early the proper to take a slip of it, and the proper to take a slip of it, and or or derived they mont the point whether it would be proper to take a slip of it, and or or derived they mont the point whether it would be proper to take a slip of it, and or o

Sir, does any of my whig friends consider that I exacurerate the containing that of the consider it questionable? Why, if there was nothing else to warn them of the nature of the coming straggle, the speech of the honorable chairman of the Committee on Military Alfairs, it seems to me, ought to suffice Have you not seen that able Senator, up to a recent period of list. Bife distinguished for the philosophia vein which runs through all his writings and speeches, fing aside his philosophy and proclaim the superiority of instinct over the conclusions of reasons.

son, in estimating military glory as an element of national strength; giving himself up to the encouragement and support of all the ex-travaganzas of progressive democracy in declaring that he can see no great cause of alarm in the idea of extending our dominion over no great cause of alarm in the idea of extending our dominion over the whole continent. I mean no offence to that distinguished Sen-ator: I have a high regard both for his talents and his private vir-nes; but I must say that I am utterly amazed by his remarks upon this subject; I must say of his new course, that though it may be fortunate for him, it must be deeply afficients to his country. But, upon the introduction of the resolutions offered upon this subject by the distinguished Senator from South Carolina, [Mr. Calhoun,] (and may this Senate ever boast one or more such Senators, who may possess the moral courage to rise above party on a question like this and give himself to his country alone,) what more dad we hear from the honorable Sena-tor from Michigan? Sir, it was, that the questions presented in the resolutions were mere abstract propositions, which, if adopted, tor from Michigan? Sir, it was, that the questions presented in the resolutions were mere abstract propositions, which if adopted, could have no practical operation or influence in preventing the catastrophe against which the resolution was pointed. "Why, sir," exclaimed the Senator, "if the people will the annexation of Mexico, nothing in our power to do, can prevent it; you may as well plant yourself upon the brink of the cataract of Niagara and Not the waters be still."

bid the waters be still."

Well, sir, does the honorable Senator really believe that nothing the Senate can do, no resolution that can be adopted here, no dethe Senate can do, no resolution that can be adopted here, no de-claration of opinion upon this great question, will have any effect with the country or among the people? And has the Senate sunk so low as to be shorn of all influence? And his it so that the Senate, which is presumed to be composed of gentlemen of large experi-ence in public affairs; statesmen distinguished for their ability and patriotism in the States they represent; on a question involving the greatest consequences, and such as may decide forever the experi-ments of free republican institutions, can have no influence with means of free republican institutions, can have no influence with the yeomen of the country—with the farmer at his plow, the mer-chant at his counter or his desk, the mechanic in his workshop— a class which always look only to the good of the country— which is never disturbed nor biased by dreams of personal ambition; and who value their constitution and the Union, as they are as the guarantees of their domestic happiness, the security of their lives and property, and the preservation of their privileges, civil and religious. Sir, I can ashseribe to no such conclusion. Sir, does the honorable Senator really to mean the conclusion of the control of the country of the timents on this great question, or any other, on this floor, can have no weight with the people of this country. I he does, I can as-sure the honorable Senator that he greatly undervalues the estima-tion in which he is held in this country, both for his talents and his tion in which he is hold in this country, both for his talents and his particism. Sir, whenever that day shall come that the opinions of the American Senate can have no influence in correcting the impulses of popular feeling, the hastily formed and ill-considered opinions of the people upon a question involving their liberties, I shall not calculate how long those liberties may endure, or how

soon they may perish.

Mr. President, I have reflected much upon the question in all its grave aspects, and I feel compelled to express the conviction, that grave aspects, and 1 lest compenied to express the conviction, that as a people enjoying the fruits of a free system of government, we stand on the very brink of our fate. If we do not stop this war now, or before another new yenr—one step further in our present course, and we shall be borne by an icresistible current beyond re-

treat or rescue, into irretrievable majorama and ruin. If we are saved it will be by the providence of God, not of man. There is something, Mr. President, in our present relations with Mexico—something so unusual, not to say wonderful, in all the incidents of this war, that, were I superstitions, I should say that a higher power than ours holds and control the issues of it, and for purposes we may not comprehend. The instances of individual self-acritice, of recicles, yet assecssful, advanture of such tirequent occurrence in this war, carry us back in search of parallel examples, to the beroic ages of antiquity, and seem fitter subjects for fabulous and romantic narrative, than the sober pages of truthful history. There is no record in the history of modern warfare, and the property of the control of the contro for momentum and the history of modern warfare, nor ancient either, of a more brilliant and uninterrupted series of well fought battles and wondrous results, against such odds, as that which now forms part of the imperishable annals of the republic; and when we contemplate the intrepidity and skill of our officers

30TH CONG .- IST SESSION .- No. 28.

—the impetuous valor which has distinguished every corps of one army, whether of regular soldiers or of volunteers—a valor which neither natural obstructions, nor military defences, nor a force often five times more numerous, could arrest in their rapid and victorium career, we are involuntarily reminded of the little of the same fields of military fame—in the land which, by this computer, conquest, seems devoted. It is now about three hundred years, conquest, extraordinary man, with n band of adventurers, less than chart hundred in number, urgred on by two of the strongest passions of bundred in number, urged on by two of the strongest passions of our nature, cupidity and religious fanaticism landed upon an unknown shore, burnt his ships, attacked-and after enacting scenes, known shore, burnt his ships, attacked—and after enacting somes, so mixed of craft cruelty and blood, yet so gilded over, with feats of high chivalry and dauntless courage, that the muse of history pances in her task, and heistates to praise or blame—avertherw a populous and powerful empire. These victorious adventurers were the ancestors and countrymen, of that race which ever sunce, has held sway over the conquered country, whatever form the government has assumed, whether Vice Regal or free. It was an Indian race, that peopled the empire which Cortex overturned, and that ways the ancestors and countrymen of the same race, which were the same race. they were the ancestors and countrymen of the same race, which now inhabit the land of their fathers. They were made serfs—the howers of wood and the drawers of water, to their new masters three centuries ago; with some amelioration, they centime to be so to centuries ago; with some amelioration, they continue to be so to this day—though free in name, they still wear the badges of a subject people—still remain the vierms of conquest and of their primeral casts and complexion. Whatever faction rules for the hour, they are still the sufferers. What religion fails to exact from them, their proud and in-solent conqueerors, extert, under the pre-text of government support, or to maintain an army which uptext of government support, or to maintain an army which op-pressess them in peace, and gives them no protection in war. Wonderful retribution! That at the distance of centuries, the de-scendents of the original spoilers should be made to suffer the pe-nally of the wrongs communed by their forefathers; that they in turn should be traded under the from heal of war; be made to pass under the yoke of the conqueror. The period of the period of the period of the period of the extraordinary and mystory permitted to moralize upon the extraordinary and mystory and period of the period of

our purposes in the further prosecution of this was? Are we sure, sir, that among those who direct this war-who put all this chivally in motion in a foreign land, are n t tainted with the last of con-Are we sure that whatever cause of war may have existed quest? Are we sure that whatever cause of war may have existed at its origin, other motives and other objects have one supervened less defensible in their character, than the rights and henor of the country, the only legitimate causes of the war? Are the invaders of this ill-fated country, of the 12th century, so pure and upright in all their objects, and so far elevated above the passions of those of the 19th, that they may hope to escaps the retribution which of the 19th, that they may hope to escaps the retribution which has ever awaited the conqueror and available from, and which has ever awaited the conqueror and

It is said of Scipit-not he that overcame Hannibal, but Scipio, It is said of Scipio—not be that overcame random, but Suppo, the destroyer of Carthage—that when surveying the scene of carnage and desolation around him, and when he saw the wife of derinage and desoration around min, and when he saw the whe of thorshold, arrayed in her richest appurel, slowly ascending to the summit of the temple which rose those the conflagration, and thence, after stabling her children, precipitating herself into the thence, after stationar are conducted, proceduring horsen into the barring elements below, he wept; but it was not over Carthage.—
Rome rose up to his view, with all her crimes and oppressions, and he saw inscribed on the rolls of her future history, the sen-

and he saw inscribed on the rolls of her future history, the sentence of eternal justice, that she, too, must fail.

Sir, if any should now desire to know my poor opinion upon the proper mode of terminating this war, I say to them, make the best treaty with any existing government you can. If you must have the territories of New Mexico and Culfornia, get a cession of them; if you cannot do that, come back to the Rio Grande—to the boundary of the same your bonor.

My advice is, stop the with same your bonor.

Get all the same your bonor.

Mr. SEVIER took the floor, and

On motion

The Senate adjourned.

# FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 4, 1848.

PETITIONS-PRIVATE BILLS, ETC.

# THE PEA PATCH CASE.

The PRESIDENT PRO TEMPORE laid before the Senate a report of the Solicitor of the Treasury, communicating, is compliance with a resolution of the Senate, a copy of the minutes and other papers in the case of the Pea Pateb Island.

On motion by Mr. DAYTON, it was

Ordered, That they lie on the table and be printed.

# PETITIONS.

Mr. CASS presented the memorial of Orville B. Dibble and AIT. CASS presented the memorial of Orthic B. Disore and George C. Battes, praying a grant of the right of way and a portion of the public land for the construction of a canal around the falls of the St. Mary's river in the State of Michigan; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

Mr. CAMERON presented a petition of citizens of Adams county, Pennsylvania, praying the adoption of measures for the speedy termination of the war with Mexico; which was reierred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. ASHLEY presented a memorial of citizens of the State of Arkansas, praying the removal of the raft of the Red river; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce.

## ADJOURNMENT

On motion, it was

Ordered, That when the Senate adjourn, it be to Monday next.

MAP OF MEXICO, ETC.

Mr. RUSK submitted the following resolution for consideration:

map of Mexico, That the Secretary be required to procure hundred copies of the map of Mexico, five thousand copies of each of the Valley of Mexico, and of the Seat of Wir, published by J Districtle, of New York, not to exceed in cost, one dollar each for the map of Mexico, and ten cents each for the others.

Mr. BENTON .- I wish all these resolutions to take the regular course. The one now offered will come in due time, after it has been referred to the appropriate committee.

The resolution lies over.

## INDIAN RESERVATIONS.

Mr. HANNEGAN submitted the following, which he designs to offer as an amendment to the bill authorizing persons to whom reservations of land have been made, under Indian treaties, to alienate the same in fee; which was ordered to be printed:

Strike out all after the coacting clause, and insert :

Stike out all after the coacting clause, and most:

That all the reservations to of fire any penno or persons named in the treaty of the 20th days of October, 1822, and can Comp Tipicennoe, in the State of Indiana, between the Tridd States, but their commissioners, a naine, 1802, and Canna, and the rebect that the treaty of the control of the contro

# PRE-EMPTION RIGHTS

Mr. BREESE submitted the following, which he designs to offer as an amendment to the bill to repeal part of the act to appropriate the proceeds of the sales of the public lands, and to grant pre-emption rights, approved September 4, 1841; which was order-

Strike out all after the enacting clause and inser

That the 5th section of the art, cuttible an "Act to appropriate the proceeds of the sale of the public lash, and to grant pre-emption nglas," approved September 4, 1841, shell be a coastion of a start part on the sale of the public lash, and to grant pre-emption nglas, "approved September 4, 1841, shell be a coastion of a start part proceeds and 5th section to the distribution of the proceeds of the sales of the public lash, that being hordy defeated to be the true intent and meaning of said 5th section.

Amand title so as to read

An act, to amond the act entitled "An act to appropriate the proceeds of the voice of the public lands and to grant pre-emption rights," approved September 4, 1841.

# NOTICE OF A BILL.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, gave notice that on Monday next, or some early day thereafter, he would ask leave of the Sa.

nate, to introduce a bill to authorize the relinquishment of the 16th section, in certain cases, and the selection of other lands in lieu thereof.

## PRIVATE BILL.

Mr. BRADBURY, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the petition of James F. Sotboron, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for his relief.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

#### ADVERSE REPORTS ADOPTED.

The Senate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee of Claims on the petition of David Whelply, and, on concurrence therewith, it was

esolves. That the application of David Whelply, for relief should not be granted by act of Congress.

The Senate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee on Pensions, on the petition of John Searing, one of the heirs of Mary Allen; and, on concurrence therewith, it was

Resolved. That the prayer of the petitioner be not granted

# IMPROVEMENT OF THE SAVANNAH RIVER.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill making an appropriation for removing obstructions in the Savannah river; and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered. That it be engrossed, and read a third time

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent.

Resolved, That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

# PRE-EMPTION BILL.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill to establish a permanent general pre-emption system, in favor of actual settlers on the public lands.

The bill having been partially read-

Mr. PHELPS suggested the propriety of suspending the read-ing of the bill. It was evident, from what had been read, that it proposed to introduce an entirely new system in the policy of the government relative to the public lands, and it would, unquestion-ably excite discussion. It was hardly expedient to enter into its consideration now. He moved that it be passed over informally.

Mr. ASHLEY did not object to the suggestion, if the bill could be taken up at an early period. It was tree that it proposed changes in the public land policy, and these changes were called for in justice to the settlers in the new States. He was willing it should be deferred, if taken up at an early period. It was substantially the same bill which was before the Senate at last session, and was then partially discussed. He would not press it now, if the gaudeman would name an early day for its consideration.

Mr. BREESE suggested Monday week.

Mr. ASHLEY then moved that the bill he made the special order for Moaday week.

Mr. BADGER remarked that another bill already had been set down as the special order for that day.

On motion by Mr. ASHLEY, it was

Ordered. That said bill be postponed to, and made the special order for, Monday the 21st February instant.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill for the relief of Nathaniel Hoggatt; and, no emendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engrassed and road a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent. Resolved. That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as oforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

# HALF PAY TO WIDOWS AND ORPHANS,

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the hill amending the act entitled "An act entitled an Act granting half pay to widows or orphans where their hushands and lathers have died of wounds received in the military service of the United States, in cases of deceased officers and soldiers of the militin and volunteers, passed July 4, 1836," and, no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

The question being on ordering the bill to be engressed and

The bill was then laid over until to-morrow.

## THE TEN REGIMENT BILL

The Senate resumed the consideration of the bill to raise, for a limited time, an additional military force.

Mr. SEVIER .- Mr. President: If the discussion with which Mr. SEVIER.—Mr. President: If the discussion with which we have been honored for the last two or three weeks, had been confined to the merits of the bill which proposes to add ten regi-ments to our military establishment in Mexico, it is very certain that I should have taken no part in this debate. My inexperience, practically and in theory in military affairs, would have been my apology for my silence. It is not my parpose and to dwell at any length upon the merits of this bill. I shall now to dwell at any length upon the merits of this bill. I shall wrote for it, because such a measure has been asked of as by the proper constitutional authorities of our country, to whom belongs the management of all our varv; and because it has been flavorable the Milliary Committee, to whom the investigation of such a subject properly belongs. I shall vote for it, because I regard it as an essential measure to obtain, what we all profess so much to desire, a speedy and permanent peace with Mexico: and until that peace shall be bid, as a wise financial arrangement, by which our Trassury will be relieved, to a sensible extent, from the bartlens which this war have thrown upon it. For these general pleasure. pleasure

pressure.

My cline object in addressing you to-day, sir, is to defend the President, for whom I feet a high personal regard, and the party of which he is at present the representative, and to which I belong, from the unmerited censures which have been east upon long, from the unmerited censures which have been east upon both in reference to the origin of this war, its mode of prosecu-tion, and its ultimate objects. Upon each of these three points, upon which we have had suce eloquent and elaborate discourses, I purpose, if my health and strength will sustain me, to make some

observations. The causes which led to this war have been properly described The causes which led to this war have been properly described as being immediate, and more or less, remote. The immediate cause of the war, if the message of the President, if the record of General Taylor, and our own journals are to be credited, is to be found in the attack, made by the Mexican army, upon the commands of Captains Thornton and Hardee, on the 24th of April, 1849, on the east side of the Rio Grande, about fifty miles above Fort Brown; in which sixteen soldiers of the army of the United States were killed or wounded, and the residue of the detachment, the army of Mexico, and carried off by their captors in rimagh to the city of Matamoras. Upon the report of General Taylor of this affair, under date of the 26th of April, the President prelicated bis war message of the 11th of May; and upon this message accompanied by this report, we passed the act of the 13th of May accompanied by this report, we passed the act of the 13th of May recognizing the war with Mexico.

recognizing the war with Mexico.

The remote causes of the war bave been traced to the acquisition of Louisiana in 1803—to the cession of Texas to Spain in 1819—to the violation of the treaty of 1833, on the part of Mexico, which provided for the adjustment and payment of the claims of our citizees by Mexico—and to the colonization of Texas, its revolution, independence, and finally, its admission into this Union. In any of these causes, whatever may have been their influence, singly or collectively, in producing this war, the President had not the slightest agreew. When Louisiana was acquident had not the slightest agreew. fluence, singly or collectively, in producing this war, the President had not the slightest agency. When Louisiana was acquirel, he was a minor; when I exas was ceded to Spain, he was a very young man and not not nour councils; when the treaty of 1839 was violated by Mexico, he was acting as the Governor of a distant State; and the resultations for the amexation of Texas were passed in the time of President Tyler, and before he came into

Yet I am free to confees that the party to which he belongs have bad, in their day and generation, a good dealt to do with all of those questions. The party to which I refer, acquired Lumisana; purchased the Flordate, obtained the trenty for the settlement of the claims of our citizens by Mexico; and finally, for good or freeling the settlement of the claims of our citizens by Mexico; and finally, for good or freeling the settlement of the claims of our citizens by Mexico; and finally, for good or freeling the settlement of the claims of the estificing same party nave prought texas once into this Union, where, at all hazards and at any sacrifices, they intend to keep her, and every part and parcel of her. And while upon this subject I may add, that if any territory is acquired of Moxico as the penalty of this war, that the country will be indebted for such acquisition to the same party; as it is already indebted for each acquisition to the ware party; as it is already indebted for every territorial addi-tion which has been made to the country since the war of Inde-pendence, and that these acquisitions have been made in the face of opposition as violent as the opposition which is now encountered, and against objections very similar to those which are now

Sir, before passing sentence of condemnation upon the policy of the President, and particularly upon these grave questions, upon which we have have bad such merciless reviews, I think, apon which we have have bad sold merciless reviews. I think, truth and justice alike require, that we should look at the condition of the country, at home and abroad, in reference to these great questions, at the time that the President came into power on the 4th of March, 1945. On that day it was his fortune to inherit from his predecessors, the settlement of two grave questions, in which other countries than our own claimed an interest. One of these was the Texas question—and the other, the Orgen controversy. One affecting the pride and interest of Mexico, and the other the order to the set of Englands and both, the pride and other the price of the set of the s

which we are now engaged.

Sir, we all know that after the successful revolt of Mexico from Sir, we all know that are the succession revolt of inchactor from Spain, that Texas was colonized by citizons from the United States, at the instance in the first place, of Iturbide, her Emperor; and afterwards, at the instance of the republic of Mexico. This policy of colonization was a wise one, and in imitation of the policy polity of colorization was a wise one, and in mutation of the policy of Spain and France, when those powers beld possessions on this continent. We all know, that in the ourise of events in that ecountry of which I need on dispeak, that Texas revolved from Mexico, and that her revolution was successful, that her Independence feel lowed, and that that Independence was afterwards acknowledged back not retoration was successful, that her independence was afterwards acknowledged by the properties of the propertie

preservation of our peace, than standing armies, were the other inducements to that measure.

Texas came upon our invitation, and, in 1844, entered into a treaty with the government of the United States, by which she agreed to give up her sovereignly and independence and to become one of the states of this confederacy. That treaty was submitted to the Senate for its ratification, and met with very determined and zealous opposition in this chamber—the entire voice of one party, then in the majority, and a respectable portion of the other, were agents it. The confile of opinion between the adventure of the confile of opinion between the adventure of the confile of opinion between the adventure. In the midst of our discussion upon it, a copy of that treaty with the deaments accommany of it, without the sanction of the ment. In the midst of our discussion upon it, a copy of that treaty with the documents accompanyage it, without the sanction of the Senate, found its way into the columns of the public press of the country. The publicity of that treaty, with the documents which belonged to it, carried into the country the excitement which had been created in the Senate in regard to it. The time of these occurrences was in the spring of 1944, and but a faw weeks here the assemble of the country the spring of the country for the second of the country for the second of the country for the selection of their country for the selection of their country. two great parties of this country, for the selection of their can-didates for the offices of President and Vice President of the United is we great parties of this country, for the selection of their candidates for the offices of President and Vice President of the United States. Cotemporancously with these occurrences, the two distributed and acknowledged baders of these great parties simil a conference of the con It was reterious to our committee on Forcing Acidstons, and that committee reported adversely upon it. The friends of the House resolution, to save the measure, were forced into a compromise with their friends. This compromise with made by adding to the House resolutions, as an alternative proposition, the resolution which had been offered by the Seas-

few remarks.

tor from Missouri, (Mr. Benten.) At the proper stage of the proceedings this amendment was offered, for which every demthe proceedings this anendment was offered, for which every dom-orar voted, and against which every whig but three, Johnson, of Louisiana, Merrick, of Maryland, and Henderson, of Mississippi, east heir votes. The amendment having been adopted the final vote came on. And who that was here at that time can ever forget it It was at night. The House had adjourned, and, I believe, every member of it was here, witcessing our proceedings. Our gallerits were crowded until they could be crowded ungs. Our gallerits and window, and swene. The state of the state of the processing of the and anxious spectra was able to remark the processing of any for-all anxious spectra was able for many the rest the maister eign power or state was also here, and among the rest the minister eign power or state was also here, and among the rest the himsted from Mexico. Any material change in the resolutions were known to be fatal to the whole measure, and several were pro-posed. Democracy was then in a minority in this hody. Every Senator was in his place, and justly felt his responsibility. Every Senator was in his place, and justly felt his responsibility. Every thing, in short, depended upon the dirances and courage with wunch Johnson, of Louisiana, Merrak, of Mayland, and Henderson, of Mississippi, could and would resset the importanties of some and withstand the deducations of others of their political rights. and withstand the demonstrations of others of their political in this moment of hope and i.e., in the state of the trule tells the state, as, the tree state, as, the volte when the state and the resolution, as amended, was reduled by a vote of 27 to 25. The resolution were sent to the House and the volte of 27 to 25. The resolution were sent to form the thouse and the provided in the state of the tree of the state of Texas for her acceptance, and on the night succeeding that day the term of Pris dont Tyler and of the session of the Congress that

assed the e resolutions, expired together.

On the 4th of Murch President Polk came into power, and two days thereafter, on the 6th, the minis or from Mexico filed his prodemanded and obtained his passport, and left the United test, demanded and obtained his passport, and left the United States lo. Mexico, and our minister, in a lew weeks thereafter, followed the example and returned to his own country. Such, sir, was the condition of this Texas question when President Polk came into power on the 4th of March, 1345. He found the public faith of the country pledged to the annexation of Texas, and regarded it as his duty to see that that faith was preserved inviolate. He found that the resolutions for the admission of Texas, though obligatory unon the United States, for a given time in any event, had yet to be approved of by Texas before they could be obligatory on the control of the c required time. Her congress had first to be assembled to authorize and envention of her people, and that convention had to be organized and had to discease, and consider, and decide upon the terms which had been offered by the United States. He found that to prevent her acceptance of the terms which had been offered by the United States, that Mexico was threatening to invade her, and that the congress and convention had each asked the interposition of the United States to prevent it. He found our diplomatic intercourse with Mexico angrily and abrapily broken off. And low, six, has the P esident unanged the many difficulties connected with this question? Has he managed then with wisdom, prudence, and forbearance?—in a manner worthy of himself and the great country of which he is the chief magistrate? with an eye single to the public good, and with the commendable view and temper to southe the pride and restore the friendly relaview and femper to souther the prote and restore the Trienday rela-tions with Mexico, and, at the same time, to protect the interest and honor of the United States!—and above all, has he anxiously sought to suppress resentments, and to avoid a war between the two countries! These, sir, in my judgment, are the proper inqui-ries for us to make, and upon those inquiries 1 big leave to make a

Anticipating the favorable reception by Texas of the resolution of Congress, providing for her admission into this Union, apprehending, from intelligence which he had received from that quarter, an invasion of Texas by Mexico; earnestly urged by the tot, an investment of Texas to prevent that invasion, the Presi-gress and convention of Texas to prevent that invasion, the Presi-dent, on the 19th of June, 1843, ordered our fleet to the Gulf of Mexico, and General Taylor, then at Fort Jesup, on the western frontier of Louisiana, to move with his forces to the mouth of the Sabine, on the Gulf of Mexico; or in his discretion, to some other point in the gulf, or its navigable waters, which in his judgment at the proper time, would be most convenient for the embarcation of his troops for the western frontier of Texas. In this order, General Taylor was further informed that his ultimate destination was the Rio Grande; and in the same order, he was also informed not to enter Texas until he should learn that Texas had assented to the terms of annexation which had been offered her by the Uni ted States, or until required to do so by our minister at Texas. This is the substance of the first order to General Taylor. There were orders of the 8th and 30th of July, and of the 23d August, 1345. The substance of all of these orders, apart from mere military detail was, that he was to regard the Rio Grande as the point of his ultimate destination-that he was to protect Texas from inva sion up to that river, and in no event permit armed troops from Mexico to cross it, as such an act would be regarded by the United States as an act of hostility. In executing these orders, he was directed to be careful not to do any thing to irritate Mexico, or to provoke hostilities; and that if Mexico had any military establishment on the east side of the Rio Grande, (which by the bye she had,) not to disturb it; and should he find on the east side of that river any private citizens or settlers claiming to be-long to Mexico, not to molest them. Such, sir, is the purport and substance of these several orders, in the wisdom and policy of all of which, that distinguished general most fully concurred, as his correspondence abundantly proves.—And where, let me ask, in what public document, in what history of any age, or of any country, in what wild romance even, have we proofs of more prudence, caution, and forbearance, than are to be and in the several orders of which I have given the substance? Where do we find the development of greater solicitude, while firmly resolved to protect the rights of his own country, to avoid giving offence, real or fancied, to an adversary, than we find in I have referred?

General Taylor obeyed the order of the 15th of June, and moved immediarely with his command, not to the gulf or its navigable waters, but to the barracks in the vicinity of New Orleans, and there he remained, until he received in the month of Joly, the in-telligence from our minister at Texas, that Texas had assented to the terms of annexation, and had voluntarily thereby become an inthe terms of annexation, and not outsidely interest become an in-tegral part of this Union. Learning this, that General then, in further compliance with his instructions, embarked his troops for the frontier of the State of Texas. After some delays and difficul-ties, connected with his stores and transportation, and some hesitation as to the proper point, of which the selection had been left to his discretion, we find him on the 15th of August. at Corpus Christi, on the south side of the mouth of the Neuces river. be remained, usefully employed in making preparations for any service that might be required of him by either the folly or madness of Mexico, or the orders of his own government. On the 16th of October General Laytor is informed that information had been received by the department, rendering it probable that no serious attempt would be made to invade Texas, although Mexico still continued to threaten incursions. Here, sir, with your permission, I will leave for awhile, our gallant old General, and our distinguished Secretary of War, with their happy prospects of peace before them, and invite your attention to this Texas question

peace before them, and invite your attention to this Texas question in another quarter, and to its management by other agents. The President informs us, that in September, 1845, he received information from Mexico, which induced him to believe that the government of that republic was, at that time, favorably disposed to settle by negotiation all the difficulties existing between the two countries. Relying upon this intelligence, Mr. Buchanan, our Secretary of State, on the 17th of September, 1845, addressed a letter to Mr. Black, our consul at the city of Mexico, directing by him and others was well founded; and if so, to assure the government of Mexico that the government of the United States would waive all etiquette, and send to that country immediately an envoy clothed with full powers to settle amicably, and on the most envoy contene with the powers to settle ameanity, and on the most liberal terms, every cause of difficulty unhappily subsisting between the two countries. Mr. Black replied to this letter of our Secre-tary of State, under date of the 17th of October, informing our government that an envoy would be received from this country, for government that an envoy would be received from this country, for the purpose of set thing by negotiation all of the difficulties; and Mr. Black enclosed, with this despatch, the correspondence which had taken place upon this subject between himself and the Secre-tary of State of the government of Mexico, of the dates of the 13th and 15th of October, 1845, shewing on the part of Mexico 13th and 15th of October, 12th, shewing on the part of their agreement to receive from this country an envoy, to settle by negotiation every dispute or cause of complaint that existed between Mexico and the United States; and it was asked on the part of Mexico, as preliminary, that our fleet then in the vicinity of Vera Cruz, should be withdrawn. In the month of November, this despated of Mr. Black, of the 17th of Cetoler, with the enclosures referred to, was received at the Department of State, and our squadron was simuediately withdrawn from Vera Cruz, and Mr. Shdell. our minister, invested with tul. powers to settle amiand Mr. Sidell, our minister, invested with our powers to settle ann-eably every thing with Mexico, was sent to that country. This was the position of this Texas question, when congress met in this city in the month of December, 1845, which was the first Congress under Mr. Polk's administration. In the first annual message of the Presi-Mr. Polk's administration. In the first annual message of the President dent, which was at the meeting of this Congress, the President made a full and detuiled statement, with a minuteness which was almost tiresome, of every thing that had been done, in relation to this Texas question. He gave us every thing emanating from either the State or War Departments, having the slightest bearing either the State or War Departments, having the slightest bearing upon it. He told us that Texas had agreed to our annexation re upon it. He tool us that I exist and agreed to our annexation re-solutions, and by so doing, had become a member of this union. He communicated the substance of the orders to General Tay-lor, and particularly, that the point of his ultimate destination was the Rio Grande. He told us, what intelligence he had received from Mexico, respecting the reception of a minister, and that one had been sent to that country. He congratulated us all, upon the enlarged extent, bloodlessly achieved, of our territorial domain, enlarged extent, bloodlessy acceleration of Fundy, along the At-reaching, as he informed us, from the bay of Fundy, along the At-the Rio Grande. All these things he told us in his message of RSi5, which message was read by our Secretary, printed by our printer, and read by us again in our chambers, and by the reading portion of our leiflow-citizens. And in the same Decem-ber, an act of Congress was passed, incorporating this whole Gulf coast into a collection district. Where were the eloguent defenders of our constitution, at the time of the passage of this and, at the time of these executive disclosures? Where were our champions of justice, when these startling and portentous disclosures were made? Were they sick, or absent, or dead, or deaf or blind? did it take the sound of the cannon at Palo Alto, and of Resaca, to rouse them from their stupor, and to put their brains and tongues in motion? These are questions, which in my judg-ment, ought to be answered.

ment, ought to be answered.

But to return to the history of our minister. Our minister arrived in the city of Mexico, early in December, and on the cev of a revolution in that country, based, as he informs us, upon the unpopularity of the consent of the President of Mexico, to receive a popularity from the United States. Transition of Market, increase appropriate than inclination on the part of Herrera, prevented his government in inclination, or minister. His mission was refused upon the from the mission was refused to missioner—with too much instead of too little power, and that he appointment had not been confirmed by the Senate. These were the ostensible motives. The real objections were, that Parades, one of ##ROTE of the Objection were, that Parades, one of ##ROTE of the invasion of Texas, pronounced against the government of Herrera, on the avowed ground that Herrera had consented to receive a minster from the United States, with the view of settling all the difficulties between the two Republics by negociation, and that this General was tene on his route to the city Mexico, for the purpose of overthrowing the government, and putting a stop to these contemplated negociations. These facts were communicated to our government, by our minister, under date of the 28th of December, and that communication was received in this city on the 12th of January, 1848, and on the next day, the order of the 18th of January, was given to General Taylor to ad-vance his columns to the Rio Grande. This order was received by General Taylor in the month of February, and executed by him in the latter part of March.

in the latter part of March.

This is the order, sir, about which we have heard so much.

This is the fruit of that forbidden tree, from which has sprung, and is to snring, nothing but we and disaster to the country. This is the order, which has violated the constitution of the United States. and usurped the constitutional powers of Congress, which stands so pre-eminent in our history, without law or example to justily it, and which led to the invasion and forcible appropriation of the territory of a neighboring power, and changed the friendly relations of the two countries, into that of a state of war.

Sign I have already executed I for swith threone particular-ity, to give you in detail, the circumstances, under which this or-der was given—and I will now, with your indulgence, make a few observations, upon the objections which have been urged against it. The objections to this order, however ramified or numerous, all point to and rest upon executive perceptative.

an holist to and rest upon executive perogrative strong our con-missibility for trouble yet, and the strong of the form the Federal-ist, or from Kent or Story, or from adjudicated cases. Such a pa-rade of learning, in such a body as the Secarte, would be worse than useless. I hold these positions to be true; that the President is the constitutional own ander-in-chief of the army, and navy of the Universities, and assemble the light, with or without good reason, to order the army of the United States to any point within the limits of the United States; subject, however, at all times, to personal punishment, by imprachment, for any corrupt abuse of his power. I hold that under the constitution and laws, the has the andoubted right, with or without the sanction of congress, to suppress an insurrection, or to repel an invasion or threatened invasion of the territory of any of the States, or of the territory of the United States. If I am right in these positions, and I think no intelligent lawyer or statesman will controvert them, it follows that the order to General Taylor, of the 13th of January, which was to prevent an invasion of the territory of one of the States of this Union, was a constitutional order, which the President had the right to give, and which it was the duty of General Taylor to obey. In my view of this case, as the order was to prevent the invasion of Texas, it is a matter of immateriality and per-

vent the invasion of Texas, it is a matter of immateriality and perfect indifference, whether the territory into whish the army was marched, belonged to Mexico or to Texas, unless it may be regarded as a circumstance of aggrayation or mitigation of the offences of Mexico against this country.

But, sir, it so happens that the territory into which this army was marched, was the territory of one of the States of this Union, and was not the territory of Mexico. I am one of those who have ever contended, and do now contend, that the territory lying between the Nueces and Rio Grande, and below New Mexico, rightfully and properly belongs to Texas, by the title of conquest and possession. I never did contend that the country cast of the Rio Grande, and included in New Mexico, did belong to Texas; for she neither conquered or Held possession of it. Yel I remem-Rio Grande, and included in New Mexico, did belong to Texas; for she neither conquered or held pussession of it. Yes! Irremember to have heard an argument in this chamber, by our present Secretary of the Treasury, of great force and ingeniuty, founded apon the laws of nations, and which I have never yet seen related, in defence of the claim of Texas to this territory also. I am one of those who never did believe, and do not now believe, that the Desert, or stupendously called, (which, by the by, happens to be a sometimes stupendously called, (which, by the by, happens to be a Kentacky, more than sending the famous blue grass pastures of Kentacky, more than sending the famous blue grass pastures of Kentacky, more than sending the famous blue grass pastures of Kentacky, more than sending the famous the grass pastures of Kentacky, more than sending the famous the grass pastures of the sending the

the Angio-Saxon and Mauritanian races. And I will now proceed, sir, to give you the reasons for my faith.

After the battle of San Jacinto, in 1336, the Mexican array retreated to the west side of the Rio Grande, and from that day to this, that power has had no military establishment on the cast side

of that river. Nor, prior to the events with our army, in April and May, 1846, has Mexico ever had an army on the east side of that river, except on two stealthy predatory incursins, for roguery and There, except our loss scenary precuratory neurons, for reguery and that river, more rapidly than they advanced from the season of battle of San Jaciato to this day, Mexico has exercised po civil ja-risdiction on the cast side of the Rio Grande. The sextlement, if a settlement it can be called, at Brasos Santiago, and the military organization at Largedo, to which reference has been made for the purpose of establishing the exercise of civil authority, by Mexico, at the lormer place, and of military jurisdiction at the latter place, forms no just exception to the force of my statement.

The settlement at Brases Santingo, which party arithmetic has magnified into a village with a custom house, lappens to have consisted of a few miserable shanties, probably a half a dozen in number, which had been built up and were occasionally occupied by straggling fishermen, vagabonds and smugglers—newer permanent—here to-day and gone to-morrow—and it, is believed, when the nest was full, never, at any one time, exceeding fifty souls in number. It is true, that during the existence of the troubles between Texas and Mexico, that importers of goods and merchandize destined for the market of Matamoras, for the greater security from seizure by the authorities of Texus, did sometimes land their cargoes at Brasos Santiago, and that on such occasions, an agent from the custom Santiago, and that on such occasions, an agent non-necessary house at Matamoras came to that point and received the duties on their goods, prior to their being crossed over to the west side of the Rio Grande, in order that they might by that route be safely introduced into Matamoras. And I believe it is also true, that those goods, while awaiting the arrival of the custom house agent, and wile being prepered for a land transportation from that point up the west side of that river, were temporarily protected by one or more of those shantees. From this information which I have had in regard to the settlement at Brasos Santiago, and in which I place conlidence, I infer that there was nothing in the nature of that settlement if a settlement if can be called, that goes to prove the exercise, on the part of Mexico, of any civil authority at that point. This information I have had confirmed by a conversation I have recently had, with one of our gallant naval officers, who was with our fleet at Brasos, at the arrival of General Taylor at that place. The officer I allude to, is Captain Gregory, of the navy. So far from such a settlement establishing the exercise of any such authority, I infer the contrary. It establishes the exercise to any such authority, I infer the contrary. It establishes the eaknowledged supering of Texas on the cast side of the river, as well as upon it, relied upon to prove the exercise of military authority on the part of Mexico, on the east side of the river, I have to say, that I see nothing in that organization calculated to prove the exercise of any such authority. Before the revolution of Texas, the citizens of that town in consequence of their exposure to Indian depredations, were exempt from the deconfidence, I infer that there was nothing in the nature of

of Texas, the citizens of that town in consequence of their exposure to Indian depredations, were exempt from the decree, or order, or law, or whatever it was, that deprived all the citizens of Mexico, not attached to the army, from owning and bearing arms. It was this decree or order and the attempt on the part of Mexico to enforce it, that preduced the revolution of Texas. The citizens of Laredo, were exempt from a compliance with this decee, for the special reasons 1 place referred to. This organization existed before the revolution—during the revolution. organization existed before the revolution—during the revolution, and for aught I know to the contrary, exists to this day. It was an organization with which Texas, or her vessels to that town, never interfered, an organization which Texas had taken up arms

never increased, an organization when I exas had taken up arms to defend, and which was but a common right ever claimed and exercised by every freeman of that Republic.

This town, the largest and most important of all the settlements on the east side of the Rio Grande, was twice visited by the army of 'Pexas, and that town, as often acknowledged the supremacy of Texas, and that acknowledgment, so far as my insupremacy of reasy, and that acknowledgment, so far as my in-formation extends, was never after controverted. Such are the explanations, based, as I believe upon truth, that I have thought proper to give upon the nature and description of those two settle-

ments to which reference has been made.

In 1843, when Texas and Mexico were induced, through the medium of the representatives of France and England, to agree upon an armistice, nothing was said upon the subject of between those two republies. But in the proclamation of General Woll, then at the head of the Mexican forces, that general, on woul, then at the nead of the Archean forces, that general, on proclaiming the armistice, notified every one not to approach, on the cast side of the Rio Grande, within one league of that river, or they would be regarded as enemies, and treated accordingly. That general on that occasion said nothing about the Nacces or the stupendous desert.

These, sir, are the evidences I adduce against the claim of

These, sir, are the evidences I addace against the claim of Mexico to any part of the territory lying between the Nueces and Rio Grande, and helow New Mexico.

On the part of Texas, I urge the fact of her expulsion of the civil and military authority of Mexico from the east to the west side of the Rio Grande, and of her having kept in there. I arge that the results of the results of the results of the results of the the Rio Grande as her boundary. I urge the recent particular the establishment at Corpus Ciristi, convenient to her settlements, and favorable for the reception of supplies—from which post the army of Texas, at pleasure, first under General Rusk, and after-army of Texas, at pleasure, first under General Rusk, and afterand invitable for the reception of supplies—from which post the army of Texas, at pleasure, inst under General Rusk, and after-wards under General Felix Houston, traversed over the whole of this country without molestation. I urge the fact that Texas had organized this country into counties, and appointed civil officers in it to administer her laws; that she sent her public surveyors into it, and surveyed it, and appropriated these lands to her own use. I urge the fact that Texas had established an election precinct at Corpus Christi, at which the citizens residing between the two rivers, it they chose to do so, could vote. What better claim than this, founded upon conquest and continuous possession can any country have for her territory. It is the title by which, if not all, the majority of the civilized powers of the world hold their

New testing and the state of th

stars that he was born in Maryland, and not in the wilderness. I hope he will cease to wonder that the poor fellows on the Rio Grande had to travel to San Patricio or Corpus Christi to vote or transact their business. Upon the whole, then, I arge this fact, also, as important, and not trivial, in behalf of the chain of Texas. It is probably true that the greater number of the settlers on the Rio Grande, were of Spanish origin, and favorably instinct on the Rio Grande, were of Spanish origin, and favorably instinct attention to enhers of the heliperents. They took no part, generally attention to enhers of the heliperents. They took no part, generally entering the were too measured and humanity, neither of the partner disturbed them. They were left to the eigo-ment of their neutrally, their flocks, and little patches of eorn, and cotton, and red pepper. The only instance to the contrary, was the order of General Rusk in 1836, to those settlers to retire to his rear, on the Gaudaloupe, or to the west side of the Rio Grande, which order all of them obeyed, and continued to obey until 1838, when they were again permitted to return, and did return, and there they have creamed

These facts I urge in behalf of the claim of Texas to the territory between the Nueses and Rio Graude, and as adverse to the pretensions of Mexico. It is true that Alexico, during all this time, claimed not only the territory in contraversy, but the whole of Texas, not to the Nueses, or desert, which sie never mentioned, but to the Subiney and that she blustered and bullied, and talked loudly of invasion, and blood, and thunder, and all that. Thus stood the claim of the respective parties, when the treaty of nonexation was made in 1841. And thus brungs me to the action of the United States upon this question of title and boundary. The United States, as the saccessors of Texas, and to whom this settlement of the boundary had been committed, could not, consistently with her fidelity and honor to Texas, give up any part of it, with her fidelity and honor to Texas, give up any part of it, with her fidelity and honor to Texas, give up any part of it. The first of the state of the state of the state of the state of the trends of the transfer of the first states regarded it as treacherous, and cowardly to the first fine states regarded it as treacherous, and evowardly to the first fine states were most anxious to have, and were also most anxious to settle very most anxious to have, and were also most anxious to settle the specially, and upon the nost liberal terms, of which there is in our archives the most abundant proof. This discussion the government of Mexico most percomptorily rofused, and in consequence of that refusal, the United States were unwilling to give up any part of the boundary claimed by Texas. This poley of

But our adversaries away, that in a movement so important, so likely to change the peaceful relations between the two countries, into that of a state of war, it was the duty of the President to have consulted Congress which was then in session. It is true that Congress was an session in January, 1846, when the order was given to General Taylor, and that Congress was not consulted about that order. And why let me ask should Congress have along that the congress was not consulted about that order. And why let me ask should Congress have make the consistence of the President to consult Congress about this order, suggest itself to Senators? Have we so soon forgotten the events of that period? Have we forgotten our difficulty, then pending with England in regard to the Oregon Territory? Ha maxiety felt everywhere and by every one upon that subject? Have we forgotten the tamper displayed by our fellow citzens; the manifest gotten the tamper displayed by our fellow extracts. He manifest gotten that the construction of the proposal of the proposa Have we forgotten the delastes in England, and France, (see ally upon the Orgon and Teas questions) and the debates in this cleamber, upon the anticipated rapture at that time, with this formulable power? Of the necessity we all felt for the immediate adjustment of this question, fairly and anticably, in order to preserve the peace of the world, and probably England or America and possibly both, from miner or usimpairable swifters? I have forgotten the influences which this Orgon question had upon the policy of Mexice, and of the Texas question upon the policy of Cngland, by which these two powers were brought together, and and the details of the properties of the prope and anteal in union against the United States? and in which the co-operation of France was relied upon, by the mid and visionary, but tempting consideration of giving, under the asspices of Paredes and the clergy, a ruler to Mexico, in the person of a prince of the house of Bourbon. In such a critical position of our affairs, in January, 1846, was the President prepared at that time to recommend Congress to declare war against Mexico, if he had even desired a war, which he never did, with that power? No, sir, is was not. He chose, and I think wisely, a different line of policy. He chose eviation time the sword—and aving on this policy he directed our minister, (Mr. Sildell, notwithstanding the retusal by Herrera to receive him, and notwithstanding the revolution and the avoval of the principles on which it was achieved, he directed that minister, receive aim, and notwitistanding the revolution and the avowal of the principle's on which it was achieved; be directed the avowal of notwithstanding these obstacles, to remain in Mexico, and to make overtures for his reception, to the usurper, with the view of set-tling every disorded dispute between the two coun ries. And the minister did remain, and did make these overtures until the 12th March, when, on that day, his overtures were definitely and of March, when, on that day, his overtures were definitely and finally rejected. That chieftain having come into power by a revolution predicated upon the question of no negociation with the United States—but war, and expecting of that precise period of time, a rupture between the United States and England upon the Oregon question which had, at that time, assumed the uppearance of probability; and relying, for the reasons before alluded to, upon the co-operation of France, and relying, also, a good deal upon our unhappy dissensions about the Texas question, of which I have reason to believe he was well informed; that chieftain, for these our uninppy dissensions about the Texas question, of which I have reason to believe he was well informed; that chieftian, for these reasons, on the 12th of March, dismissed Mr. Slidell, and resolved in the midst of our difficulties at home and abroad, to invade Texas for the purpose of reconquering it. At that time, on the 12th of

March, when this long talked of invasion of Texas had been de-termined upon, the movement of General Taylor from Corput Christi, which was on the 11th of March, and only one day before the final refusal of the reception of our minister, was not and count on thave been known by Parcels or in the city of Mexico. It was not have been known by Paredes or in the city of Mexico. It was not, therefore, the march, which either easued the refossal of the reception of our minister by the government of Mexico, or that provoked that power into hostilities against the Unred States, from which this war dates its origin. Are other proofs to establish this late desired! I so, we have them, in the proclamation of General Ampudia, at that time at the head of the invading army, under date of the 27th of March, at Saltillo, in which be enumerated. ted the causes of complaint against the United States, and in that list the march of General Taylor from Corpus Christi is not enumerated, but the resolution for the annexation of Texas is enumerated, but the resolution for the annexation of Texas is enu-merated. As General Taylor than only arrived at the Rio Grande on the 26th of March, on the day only preceding the date of that general's proclamation, the movement of General Taylor was probably unknown to him. Are further proofs wanted to shew that the admission of Texas into the Union, and not the the march of General Taylor, was the cause of offence to Mexico, which produced the revolution and pushed that popolis the manness of a supervised to the content of the proof in the manness of a supervised to the content plance on proof in the manifests of Paredes, dated at the national palaco on the 33d day of April, 1846, after he had heard of the arrival of General Taylor on the Rio Grande, which arrival he notices in that manifests, and which he regarded as an aggravation of the olifeness of the United States against Mexico. That thiefatin, in that doe-ment, informs us, that on assuming the responsibility, in the le-giming of the year. 1846, he had a rooked upon changing the policy of Mexico, from that of words were seen that the property of the policy of the pared of the per-fect of the property of the property of the per-fect of the United States in incorporating one of the departments of Mexico junt is confederare, and of its treacherses visitation of of Mexico into its confederacy, and of its treacherous violation of the terms of existing (reaties, which guarded the limits of Mexico. That President of Mexico tells us in that document, after a good deal of bluster and hombast, that it was for this reason that Mexico sanctioned the movement which he began at San Luis Potosi, neo sanctioned the movement which he negan at San Lius Fotos, not for the purpose of placing him in power, but that Mexico might shine, by the triumphs of a cause, which is the cause of the conservative punciple of human society. Are other proofs wanted to shew that it was not the march of Taylor's army to the ed to shew that it was not the march of Taylor's army to the Rio Grande that caused this war? We find them in the letter of Commodore Conner, under date of the 20th of March, 18-16, nine days before General Taylor moved from Corpus Christi, in which the Commodore states that the papers of the capital states, that within the last ten days a large lorce of nearly eight thousand men had marched to the northern frontier. The commodore attached hat little credit to the report at the time, but subsequent events have proved that these statements in the papers were well found-ed. Is further proof wanted to shew that it was not the march of Contral Taylor from Corpus Christi that brought on the con-tral of the contral to the contral that the contral taylor in Granian us of the affair at the Little Colorado—of the rancheros which beset his march, and of his having found on his arrival on the Rio Grande, 1,500 or 2,000 men, at Matamorus, and of ex-pected re-inforcements under General Ampudia, which could not have been organized and placed in position moder the thirteen days have been organized and placed in position under the thirteen days which intervened between the breaking up of his camp at Corpus Christi and the arrival of General Taylor on the 23d of March at the Rio Grande. Proofs might be multiplied to shew the impos-sibility of the march of General Taylor to the Rio Grande, having been the eause of this war. Yet, sir, for some time after the arbeen the cause of this war. Yet, sir, for some time after the ar-rival of General Taylor on the Rio Grande, no attack was made upon him, and it had been deferred so long that that General, and General Worth, were both of opinion that no attack would be made venezative transport of the property of the pr all this proof it is still confended that the President is the eause of this war, because he did not supply General Taylor with more troops. Our unsettled difficulty which was then at its height, re-quired a portion, at least, of our small army in other quarters. The public exigencies at this precise time required this portion of our troops on the Atlantic, and on the Cauadian and Indian fron-tiers. General Taylor was supported with all the regulars that could be sparted him. But, the President gave him full authority, if he needed more froops to repel the threatmend invasion, to call for such force as he wanted, upon the Governors of Alabama, Louisiana, which gives a he wanted, upon the Governors of Alabama, Louisiana, such force as he wanted, upon the Governors of Alabama, Louissana, Maissaispi, Tennessee, Kentucky, and Texas-and their Governors were notified to honor General Taylor, in whom the President troops as he required. If General Taylor, in whom the President placed full confidence, did not drawfor these troops, the fault was in him and not in the President. Voluntees were sent to him by General Gaines without his order, and it was a special ground of complaint on the part of General Taylor that those troops had been sent him; and General Taylor drawin and again implored the Department also to send him troops until the required time. And Department that to see a unit troops until the required time. And this confidence of the Pres dent in General Taylor is very gravely brought forward as a charge against the President. This is really too bad. Will the time never arrive when an adversary can do an adversary justice?

The remaining cause for this war which I will briefly consider, was the presentation to Texas, for her acceptance, the first in-

stead of the second of the resolutions of annexation. The first resolution, as well as the second, and in this respect they are identical, authorizes Congress to adjust with Mexico the boundary line. In what do these resolutions differ! In the first, Texas was authorized to come into the Union without another contest upon a treaty; which required, what never could be had, two-thirds of the Senate this greek, though which she never could be had, two-thirds of the Senate had to come in on terms which Texas might or might not have seen willing to a ceeded to, and in no event without another election, and another Texas contest upon the terms of the contract, as well upon the measure itself; which, in the mind of the President, it was very desirable to avoid. Has the Senator, (Mr. Johnson, of Marthald, 1) read the journal of our proceedings on these resolutions. Has he discovered that while as a compromise, every democrat voted for the second of these resolutions, that every whig, but the immortal three, Merrick, Henderson, and Johnson, of Louisiana, voted against this second resolution? If he has not tread our proceedings which happened before his time, I advise him to do so, the has committed one numreter upon his party already, by his manly and able vindication of the war, would it not be safe for him, if he desires to preserve his standing in his political church, not to carriegue of heresies as those of defending the waste important in their estimation, the propriety of their vots upon any Texas issue.

Sir, before passing from the inquiries into the causes of this war, which I shall do very shortly. I have yet a duty to perform, and that is, to say a few words upon the examples which have been so trumphantly paraded, and which have been so frequently referred to, of Mr. Jefferson and Mr. Madison, as exhibiting so striking a to, of Mr. Jellerson and Mr. Madison, as exhibiting so striking account as to the acts of the Fressident in reference to Taylor's march to the Rio Grande. The examples of ISOS and ISOS, in the time of Mr. Jedferson, and in 1813, in the time of Mr. Madison, do present a contrast to the act of Mr. Polk in relation of the Tesam boundary, and for the best of all reasons, tlat the cases referred to are entirely dissimilar. The act of 1803, of which Ross's resolution was the foundation, and the right to navigate the Mississipherson of the production of the condition of the result of the results of the res pi river the leading motive, and the law of nations the justification of it, was an aggressive act, and not defensive, and an act to authorize an invasion, and not an act to prevent an invasion. Authorities, it is true, have been read to show that this act was passed to prevent the invasion of this country by France in 1803.—
That contemplated invasion I have contradicted for three reasons. In at contemplated in measure I may continue to the research. The first is, that it was to protect Louisiana against capture and conquest by Great Britain: the second, that the authorities on which it is relied to prove the invasion of the United States, bear date in 1802, and the resolution of Mr. Ross, on which the act was founded, was in 1803, and in those resolutions nothing is said about invasion; my third reason is, that if invasion had been apprehen-ded, Mr. Jetierson, to whom all this correspondence, so greatly re-lied upon by Senators, was directed, would have noticed that threatened invasion of this country by France, in his annual message of a subsequent date, which he did not. For these reasons I contend that the act was aggressive—was for an invasion of Louisithat the act was aggressive—was for an invasion of Louisi-ana—and, therefore, ever justly and ever properly the action of Con-gress, as accomplices in the meditated invasion, was necessary— and Congress, in March of 1803. did consent to become the accom-plices of the President in that act of aggression. I have stated the claim, the right of navigation of the Mississippl, which we could not get, either by negotiation or purchase; a claim which it was nunceessary to assert by force, so long as we had the right to was unnecessary to assert by force, so long as we had the right to a depot in New Orleans, by virtue of the treaty of 1796. That right expired by its own limitation, and we were mable to get the right to a depot extended, or our right to a wayne the Mississippi right to a depot extended, or our right to awayne the Mississippi of a failure to purchase of France a depot for our western produce; or the sanction of that government to our claim to navigation, to assert it. We purchased Louisiana, and, therefore, no action was ever hind ander the act of 1803; and out of that purchase of Louisiana, grew the difficulties which gave birth to the acts of 1806 and 1813. I would ask if it is pretended, that in 1806, or in 1813, there were any apprehensions of an invasion of this country by therefore. Bit that of 1803, were without argression, and were not refore, like that of 1803, were without aggression, and were not designed to prevent an invasion of the territory of the United States, but to authorize the United States to invade the posses-sions claimed and occupied by the subjects of the Spanish monarch. On such a subject it was necessary and projections of consulted, and Congress was consulted and ascented to the mediated invasion. Under the act of 1806 nothing measurements of the consulted and asset of 1806 nothing was ever done. Under that of 1813 we took possession of the Mobile country, and subsequently incorporated it into Alabama. Moule columy, and sussequently incorporated it into Athanam, where it is to-day. These are the cases, all aggressive, all contemplating an invasion of a country occupied and elaimed by other powers, with whom we were at peace. If gentlemen canot see the difference between an aggressive, invasive act, and one of self-defence and preventative of invasion, I shall have to conclude that they are duller in intellect than I had supposed.

The work of the control of the second country of this indict, ment against the administration; and that its, as to the manner in which this war has been conducted. When this war was declared, with but two dissenting voices in the Senate, and with the fortreen in the Honse, the President sent in his estimates for the necessary men, and money, and other means, to carry it on successfully.—

These estimates of mea and money and means, were voted with great manimity by the two Houses of Congress. The President has present the president of the president of the president has been faithfully and brilliantly executed. And what have been the results? In less than two years, without any previous preparations for such results, the President has overthrown and subdade nearly the whole of Mexico—a country nearly as large as our own, and containing a population of about seven millions of inhabitants. If such glorious results as these, which have marked and distinguished the presecution of this war, are not could be an expected of the present of the present of the surface of the present of the present

The President has achieved these results in spite of these impartations, nrt in spite of these appeals to party, to fanatisism and bigatry and sectional jealousies. He has achieved them in spite of the terrors beld up to our countrymen in the form of the fatal diseases of the Mexican climate—her deserts and her mountains and her invincible Spanish blood. The predictions of their prophets, (and what nation in time of war has ever been without them.) of rain to our treasury, and hakruptey to the whole country, and of having, after the first or second cumpaign, a foreign war upon our hands, without an army in the field or mover in the treasury; almost the second cumpaign, a foreign war upon our hands, without an army in the field or mover in the treasury; interrupt materially, or to prevent, our glorious successes. Such upposition, formidable only to weaker minds, has not deterred our Executive from the vigorous prosecution of this war. His triumphs have astounded the opposition, and surpassed the expectations of his own friends. Ever regretting the existence of this war, and desirous at all times to close it on honorable terms, he asked for an appropriation of three millions of dollars, at the last session, to enable him to terminate it; and on that occasion, the opposition, though clamoring for peace, refused, in a body, to vote for it. The cry then was, "will you buy your peace of Mexico" In short, the opposition denomination of the properties of the properties of the properties of the properties of the second of the properties of the

friends over the way.

Mr. President, the last and chief point, which I propose to m.

Mr. President, the last and chief point, which I propose to m.

Mr. President, the last and chief point, which I propose to m.

Mr. President, the last series of the chief point of the claims of our citizens against Mexico, and a reasonable indemnity,

for the expenses and sacrifices which this war has cost us. This

demand is expected in the shape of territory. At the last session

of Congress, I was authorized to state, and did state, what terri
tory was regarded as of sufficient value to satisfy our demands,

This stems in the state of the state of the state of the control

of Buena Vista, and before the fall of Vera Cruz, and her cele
brated Castle. These terms, our agent, Mr. Trist, was uthorized

to propose, before our a my marched from Vera Cruz. After that

agent had received his instructions, our army fought its way to the

very gates of the city of Mexico, and there, on the eve of the en
trance of our victorious army into that city, an armistic was cu
trance of our victorious army into that city, an armistic was cu
trance of our victorious army into that city, an armistic was cu
trance of our victorious army into that city, an armistic was cu
trance of our victorious army into that city, an armistic was cu
trance of our victorious army into that city, an armistic was cu
trance of our victorious army into that city, an armistic was cu
trance of our alliars in that country, after the instructions but been given

to Mr. Trist, i notwithstanding the flavorable change in the posture

of our alliars in that country, after the instructions—the rout, or

army lad fought, after Mr. Trist had received his in
structions—the many etities, and castles, and fortifications, the

arms and nunitions of war, belonging to the enemy, which our

arms and manitions of war, belonging to the enemy, which our

arms and sunitions of war, belonging to the enemy, which our

arms and sunitions of war, belonging to the enem

November, 1847. Since the recall of Mr. Trist, there has been one in Mexico, authorized by the government of the United States, to make a treaty with Mexico. But it is well known in Mexico, that the President is willing, and is really anxions to make a treaty with her. If the terms offered by Mr. Trist, had been accepted by Mexico, that treaty would have had the sanction of the President. These terms, now, with probably a slight modification as to boundary, and the withdrawal, in whele or in part, of the monied considerations, would be approved by the President. The control of the states of provinces, into this union. No such policy ever found above with the monied considerations, would be approved by the President. The co. or the extinction of the rationality, or the incorporation of it, as states or provinces, into this union. No such policy ever found flavor with him. His messages, and all his acts, connected with Mexican affairs, furnish abundant proof, that he never contemplated or desired any such results. The President, in my indgment, more than any other man America, desired to avoid this wur; and that officer, more, probably, than any other man is America, has ever heen most desirous of terminating it, speedily and pared to hear the Senator, from Tennesse, (Mr. Bett.) assert that the President did not desire a peace with Mexico, I really, supposed he was independent and provide the support of the was in the President and pared to hear the Senator, from Tennesse, (Mr. Bett.) sasert that the President Mexico, I and Mexico, I really, supposed he was in-

Sir, when that Senator, (Mr. Bell.) stated that the President did not desire a peace with Mexico, I really supposed he was indulging in a little hierarchical Mexico, I really supposed he was indulging in a little pleasant per with which he intended as a gentle reproof of the President for the eagerness he had ever manifested to make a treaty with Mexico, (an angenress which many thought rather too beseeching, and a little unbecoming.) and with this grave demeance, I was about to conclude that the was one out long continue. I soon found my friend preparing very elemently and very seriously, to prove, and that to by the President's message, that the President did not desire a peace with Mexico—and with such force and earnestness did he press this matter, that I really began to daubt the correctness of my own opinious upon the subject. I began to think I lado not read the President's message understandingly, and that I had not correctly comprehended the purport of the many conversations I had had with him and the members of his cabinet upon this subject. The doubts, however, like my illusion at first, were out momentary. The President, the Senator says, is not anxious to make a peace with Mexico.

Mr. BELLI, explained that he bad stated that the President was not anxious to make a treaty, unless he could so make it as to obtain security for the future.

Mr. CASS asked on what authority the Senator from Tennessee stated that it was a security against the interference of foreign nations.

Mr. BELL replied, that it was a deduction from the policy which had been pursued, and the arguments by which it was defended here. He protested against being represented as saving the President was not anxious to make a peace—he ought to be but that he would not make a peace which did not offer security for the future.

Mr. SEVIER.—Sir, the President wants a peace with Mexico-a speedy and permanent peace. He would not make a treaty with a man of straw—irresponsible and not at the bead of that government, but would sign a treaty to-morrow, or to day, with H rerea and the congress at Queretaro, if that treaty gave the satisfactory concessons. But, "indemnity for the past and security for the future, is a treaty with a government sufficiently studie and permanent to make a treaty, and nized as such in the eyes of the world. If the President can make a treaty with a government as studie as that of Herrera, or Paredos, or Santa Ama, or the present government, whether they be government as to give the studies of the control of the past and security for the future," is an expression in the message of the President that seems to be unpulatable to the Senator from public of it, we might suppose be had plagarized the expression from Mr. Clay. When the opponents of the last war were necessing that gentleman for a declaration of the objects of Mr. Bidison's war, that gentleman replied, the objects were "indemnity for the past and security for the future," The arowall was unsatisfactory at that day as it appears to be in this.

The President indeavored, in December, 1816, to make a treaty with Herrera, in the midst of a revolution in that country, and only when they will herrered, an objects war surper, claiming only to under a treaty with Herrera, in the midst of a revolution in that country, and only when a surper is the midst of a revolution in that country, and only when the opponents are discussed in the surpers of the country, and only when the opponents are discussed to the surpers of the country, and only with Herrer's, in the midst of a revolution in that country, and only when the opponents are the country and only when the opponents are the surpers claiming only to when the opponents are the surpers claiming only to when the opponents are the surpers claiming only to when the opponents are the surpers claiming only to when the o

The Pesident endeavored, in December, 1846, to make a treaty with Hervera, in the midst of a revolution in that country, and only a few days before Hervera was overthrown. He endeavored to make a treaty with Paredes, a military usurper, claiming only to exercise the functions of President ad intering and when his down-fall was threatned, and which, no few months afterwards, was consummated—he endeavored, through his agent, Mr. Trist, to make a treaty with Santa Anna, the Dictator of that country, and but a few weeks only preceding his downful. He has since, publicly arowed in his message, his willingness and hearty desire to make a trenty with Maxico, at any future time. Sir, the President wants a peace with that country—his objects are peace, and all of his measures are recommended to get peace. There have been many rumors, in this city and through the country, that this de-

sired object of us all—that is, peace with Mexico—has been obtained by General Scott and Mr. Trist. It seems to me that the Senator seems to speak knowingly apon the subject, and that he wants those on this sido of the chamber to commit themselves, for or against it, before they see or know any thing about it.

Mr. BELL disclaimed any such object.

Mr. SEVIER said he was glad to hear the disclaimer. these rumors had been so prevalent, and as there seemed to have heen some confidence attached to these rumors of peace, he intended to ask the Senator whether he had received information that Scott or Trist, without the sanction of the government, which neither of them had, had made a treaty, or were about to make a treaty, or not. I have been about in the city a good deal, among gentlemen of both parties, and have heard numors of a treaty in every direc-tion, and questions have been asked of me, if I did not know that the news of the treaty had arrived, by telegraph, from Petersburg, or New York, and again that the treaty was in the city, and that the messeager that brought it was here; and therefore it was, that when I heard the Senator so repeatedly asking us if we would accept a treaty, ceding us California and New Mexico, that I supposed he might have, what the government had not, a copy of the troaty in his pocket.

Mr. BELL .- Do you know anything about such a treaty?

Mr. SEVIER.—No, sir. I know nothing about a treaty; but it did appear, sir, as if the Senator had been informed of a treaty, and that he was trying to force us to commit ourselves—to go it blind—whether we were for it or against it.

Mr. BELL disavowed any such intention. What he wanted to know was, whether the administration regarded the existing government of Mexico as competent to give security for the future?

Mr. SEVIER.—That question, sir, I have answered already. That the government would, if it could, make a treaty with the present government in Mexico. And as we have had peophets on the other side, I will now beg to turn prophet myself. I prophecy that when a treaty is made, if it ever be made, that that treaty will be decidedly opposed by the gentlemen on the other side.

They will oppose it, as being too liberal or too rigid to Mexico—it They will oppose it, as seng too more arrived and the will have in the will have in the will have been the best of the prophecy—in the way of the work of the prophecy—in the will be sengther the will be sengther the will be sengther than the will be sengther will be sengther than the will be sent than t

sir, the rresident will be satisfied with a treaty providing for the payment of our claims, and for an indemnity for the expenses and sacrifices which the war has cost us. Upper California and New Mexico were regarded as sufficient for all of our demands against Mexico Something more may or may not now he required. Tampieo and the mountains of Sierra Madre, without other equivalents than our demands, with, probably, the security of some commercial privileges, may be required now. It is not to be ex-

commercial privileges, may be required now. It is not to be ex-pected that the precise terms of a contemplated treat whether it percentages are not provided by the provided provided and the Six, the President never dreamed, at any time, that any one ever thought that his object, heretolore or now, was the extinction of the nationality of Mexico. I never heard, six, from any respecta-ble source, until the Senator from South Carolina, (Mr. Cax-HOUN.) introduced his resolutions upon that subject, that the President ever had or could have any such scheme in view. The Senator from Tennessee assumes, in the face of the President's message, that such are the designs of the President, and upon that assumption he bases his opposition to the ten regiment bill. Sir, my honorable friend described to us with great force and much apparent feeling, the cruelty and tyranny of the lash of party, and in same connection he told us, that he came here this winter, prenexts a work for any reasonable amount of men and money to earny on this war saccessfully. When I put these statements to-gether, the party lash, of which he so justly and bitterly complain-ed, and the change, which he confessed had been made, in his original, generous intentions towards the administration, upon the subject of this war, and that of omposition to the bill back-the subject of the same and subject of this war, and that of opposition to the bill before us, I could but think that the party lash, had been but too successfully applied to him. He found on his arrival here, that many of his spired to man any to do the spired to the sp nal position, and to go over to the platform, prepared for him by the Senators from Ohio, (Mr. Cerwin.) and New Hampshire, (Mr. Hale.) Forced into this new position, I thought that he con-(Mr. RAEE.) Forces into this new position, I notight that he coisidered it necessary, to assign some reason for this change, and that reason he found, in the position he assumes, that it is the design of the President, to seek and hold the whole of Mexico. Sir, that sin, of which the Senator spoke, in the poetic language of Pope, that a first was repulsive, then tolerated, and then embedding the property of the Nr, that sind of which the Senator spoke, in the poctic language of Pope, that a first was repulsive, then tolerated, and then embraced was a description I thought fully applicable to bis transition in reference to bis change of policy upon the subject of this war, and the substitution of an opposite policy.

Sir, the Senator from Tennessee, desires to drive us, it would

30TH CONG .- 1ST SESSION:-No. 29,

appear, to the issue of calling the army back, the cheapest and shortest way, or to take the whole of Mexico. He has made this issue. We have not made it. We take the issue of a prosecution of this war until we force a peace, producated upon the terms of or this war ultim we note a peace, preneated upon the terms of paying the claims of our citizens and of indemnifying the country to some extent for the expenses of this war; or the with-drawal of our army, without peace, or indemnity, or the payment of those claims. This is the true issue. But, sir, the Senstor from Tennesse is an able and an adroit man.

Mr. BELL .- I do not take that as a compliment,

Mr. SEVIER.—Well then, sir, I will take it back. But I will say, that from his great abilities, and talents, and influence in Tennessee, and from the issues he made up for the people of that State to decide, that he produced a revolution in public sentiment. in the Natice and cook if from the democratic party.

with a high reputation for his powers of mind, which led us to expect, what we know now by experience. Now, sir, he would make an issue for us of the whole of Mexico, or the line of the Rio Grande or the Nances. This is his own issue—and ours. Yet his is the issue he argues. By dassinges that it is the policy of the administration to take the whole of Mexico; and so regarding it, be gives us his views at great length, most eloquently and powerfully against such a measure. To prove that that is the absent ne gives us his views at great length, most eloquently and power-fully ragainst such a measure. To prove that that is the object of the administration, he refers to the sholition of the transit duties in Mexico, in which he sees an effort on the part of the United States to conciliate the people of Mexico. I suppose the Senaton refers to the order from the Treasury Department to Gen. Scott. That order was given for no such purpose. It was lound impossible to collect these transit duties with our machinery in a country the to cohect these transit duties with our machinery in a country like Mexico. They were, therefore, abolished; and in lieu of the-duties, the different departments of Mexico were required by Gea. Scott to furnish him a gross amount, at stated periods, which has been done. The revenues thus collected exceed, according to the seen doe. The revenues thus collected exceed, according to the statement of Gen. Scott, four-fold the amount that was received under the system that he abolished. Does the Senator call this electioneering, or an effort on the part of the United States to conciliate the people of Mexico? Thinking that this evidence proves the truth of his assumption, that the administration desires the subjugation of all Mexico, he then proceeds to caumerate with great force and skill the objections which he sees in such a measure. Now, sir, if we shall be drawn to such an issue by the embarrassments sin, it we shall be drawn to steel all issue by the embattassments flung in the way of our government at home, and by the encouragement which such opposition gives to the people of Mexico, which issue is to retreat ingloriously from that country, leaving an which issue is to retreat ingloriously from that country, leaving an exasperated and perceival, and probably a pursuing enemy he-hind us, without peace or indemnity, or the payment of the claims of our citizens; or to take the whole of Mexico, whatever may be the consequences. I for one, an ready to say, march on. In such a chain of evil. I am ready to say that, sit, although, against the conquest of Mexico, and against any more than a reasonable cesconquest of nexteet, and against any more than a reasonable ces-sion of territory, if we are to take the issue proposed by the Sena-tor from Tennessee, I will go for the whole of Mexice, with all

tor from Temessee, I will go for the whole of Mexice, with all the objections attending it, and there are many, which are great, but, in my jodgment, not entirely insurmonntable. What are thet? The Senator commerates them. The population of that country is one, and the extent of the country is the other.

The population of that country is about seven millions—of this namber three-fourths are Indians, illiterate, docale, passive, incliensive, never desiring and never exercising any of the privileges of citizens—never voting, or taking any part in elections in that country, or in its revolutions. These Indians are of different tribes, and each of them speaks, as the Senator infogms, and different language from the others. What shall we do with these Indians? Will we allow them to vote, or to be representable. I would do neither: I would treat them as we do our own Inthese findans? Will we allow them to vote, or to be represent-ed. I would do neither; I would treat them as we do our own In-dians, give them agents and laws and kindness and education.— They are a degraded race in Mexico—they could be made less so under our administration. The Senator from Tennessee is aware of this. Some eighteen or twenty years ago, that Senator introduced and passed a bill to remove all the Indian tribes from out of the States in which they resided, and in which they never voted, nor were they ever represented, to a country set apart for them west of Missouri and Arkansas. There are those tribes, now, west of Missouri and Afransas. There are those traces, now, twenty odd of them, speaking as many languages, all improving and happy—so much so, that on two occasions a bill passed the Senate, with but few dissenting voices, to organize those tribes into a territory, preparatory to admitting them into this confederation. and a territory, preparatory to admitting tuent into this consectacy. We can get along with those Indians with as little trouble as we do with our own. They are less warlike, less calightened or energetic. What shall we do with the other fourth of the population of Mexico, which consists of pure blood, and half breeds of Indian and European blood. To this class I would apply of Indian and European blood. To this class I would apply the principles of our naturalization laws, and the oath of allements of the control Celtibereans a race of people that was never heard to groun poetic description 1 of course have no confidence. If they suffer, they will sigh, whatever party may say to the contrary. He says they will never become reconciled to us, and will assassinate upon every opportunity. I do not believe in irreconcilation for general, and not private griefs, and particularly for benefits conferred. If bowever, they will stab and assassinate, there is a remedy in this country for such abuses, and that remedy goves in Kentucky and Missouri, which is volgarly called "Hemp." But these people are Catholics—and so they are. Are Catholics opposed to our ustitutions, in this or that country! In this contint we have not found it so. We have had Catholics in our service; at the head of our armitey, in our Cabinet, and on the Supreme Court bench. From Mexico, the Catholics—for they are all Catholics—have expetted Monaries, and the country of their form of government. I would extend to Mexico as when have in this court, an expect of toleration in religious faith. Flat would be my

But the country is a large one, and if added to this, would destroy both. That is only an applicion. Every extension of territory thus far, has strengthened rather than weakened it. The whigs times tary non-register and reference return of the reference to the register of the reference and the reference to the reference to the reference to the reference to the reference the reference The only instances of the States have been confined to the old ones, and in those and to those does not not reference. The only instances of the reference to the refere convention or m-teorieux at Historica, Conventieux—a wanskey in-surrection in Pennsylvania, under the auspieex. I believe, of Albert Gallatin, andsome dissatisfaction in South Carolina, growing out of our revenue laws. These, I believe, were all. Let us have something else than speculation upon this subject. But if this country is to added, he tells us we are to have a standing army to keep the people added, he tells us we are to have a standing army to keep the people quiet, and to protect it. A small, peace establic hment and our navy would be sufficient for both these purposes. Will the people of Yuca-tan, or Hondrars, or New Greanda even invade it? It is hardly probable. But the debts which Mexico owes abroad, and to the church, would you pay those debts? inquires the Senator. I answer, yes; and with the reve-mes of Mexico-which, under the operation of our finance laws, would easily and specificly be done. But the annexation of Mexico would formatly increase the natronage of the Eventure. Mexico would greatly increase the patronage of the Executive, by the appointment of judges, marshals, and district attornics, and governors, &c; and such patronage would cost us a great deal, and make the President dangerous from his increasgreaf deal, and make the President dangevens from his increased power. Sir, the cost would be paid from the revenues of the
country—and as for patronage, it is the oldest, the most popular, and has really the least in it, of all the lears which ever
beset our people. Instead of strengthening, it weakens the
President. He has generally many applicants for office—the
can give it to but one. He that receives it is no more
a friend to the President has he was before; and those who wantold the office and do not get it, are often made enemies of the President, on account of the disappointment. The man he appoints,
item, on account of the disappointment. The man he appoints
fact that his movives are always suspected. No man who has ever
he apartonage desires it. I have felt this messif. I represent had parronage desires it. I have felt this myself. I represent a people who generally care but little about office; yet it has happened that for a vacant office there were more than one applica-tion, and the most painful of all my duties here has ever been to choose between my frends. The Senator From Tennessee was once in the War Department, as the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. BADDER) was once in the Navy Department. They have had some experience upon this subject of patronage. To they not well remember how much they were annoyed by it. How difficult is was for them to see gentlemen on business, on account of the hordes of office stekers that surrounded them. Would not those gentlemen have been highly gratified it they could have been relieved of all this trouble. Yes, sir, this ery of patronage, designed to cre to a jealousy of Executive power, was in full blast when I came here many years ago—and being then a very young man, I was green enough to believe there was something in it. This cry has been in full blast ever since, and will be in full blast when I am d.ad and gone. If you want to strengthen your Executive, deprive him of patronage altogether—if you would serve the country, afford as few occasions for its exercise as possible.

afford as few oceasi as for its exercise as possible.

These, sir, are some of the prominent objections urged by the Senator, against the incorporation of all Mexico into this union. And to reader this measure still more odous, he imputes to the President, and to their still proposed overlying on the war, for "gold and been and ignoide purposes of certying on the war, for "gold and the proposed of the proposed of the proposed of the proposed of the war, for "gold and the proposed of the pr

Mr. BELL disclaimed having made any such statement.

Mr. SEVIER said this gold and glory had been flung in, for some cause which he did not understand. It it was not intended to be alledged that this war was for some such cause, why was it introduced?

Mr. BELL hoped the Senator would excuse him for interrupting him, but he had said nothing as to the object of this war being for gold and glory. But had said that, between the commencement of the war and the present time, perhaps some motive may have erept in which we did not between the condition and which might govern others in voting for a further prosecution of the war.

Mr. SEVIER—Well, sir, why did he say that gold and glory was the cause of the war of Cortex. aless he meant to charge upon the officers and mon engaged in this war the same analoly ends and purposes which he says governed Cortex and his

companions. If the Scantor from Tennessee says the party hero, or the administration, or its friends upon this floor, who vote for this bill, and for a further prosecution of this war, are governed by any such desire of gold and glory, he says that which he cannot sustain, and which is not true. The administration are guilt-less of such motives; the act of Tries, the act of Slidell, the acts of our Generals in Mexico, plainly show that such are not the sacrogical states of the same states. I have learned the way here, and desire to travel no new roads, to any new capitol of the United States. I am content to let it remain where it is. Yet, I can tell that Senator, that the time may come, although he and I may not live to see it, that the time may come, although he and I may not live to see it, that the same states of t

Mr. BELL.—Dont take the proper officers away and our army will not perish.

Mr. SEVIER.—We have got the proper officers there, and will keep them there. If the army is left to perish in Mexico, there will be a heavy responsibility upon those who refused it the nocessary supplies and reinforcements. Would the Senator leave our army in Mexico without reinforcements until the day of danger

Mr. BELL.—If the army were really in danger I would vote any number of men we should require; I thought there was a sufficient force there already, and that the army was in no danger.

Mr. SEVIER.—The Senator used the word "rescue"—that he would be willing to rescue the army from danger.

Mr. BELL.—I disclaim the idea. I said I would not vete supplies until I saw the army in danger; and took the position that 25,000 men, or 30,000 men under Scott, with able and experienced men to command them, were not likely to be in danger. All the documents on this subject go to show that the force now there is amply adequate for necessary purposes. I am sure the Senator does not wish to misrepresent me.

Mr. SEVIER.—Very far from it. But I called his attention expressly to this phrase which struck my car for the purpose of an explanation. I used the word "presue," particularly desiring some explanation from the Senator if I had misconceived him, but he was silent. And then I went on with my commenss. Then, again, in regard to voting supplies, I thought that he meant to say, he would not vote supplies nutil he saw the army in danger.

Mr. BELL .- I said that I thought the force at present in Mexico was sufficient.

Mr. SEVIER.—But the head of the army, General Scott, advised an addition to his foreces; and it was in accordance with that recommendation, and for the purpose of enabling the force to collect the revenue, to support not only the new we propose to send there by this bill, but those already there, that this bill was proposed.

Mr. BELL—If the gentleman will allow me, General Seat and estimated how many men would be necessary to earry out the plans of occupying all the states of Mexico. But I presume, if it is intended to get a peace with the existing government, an additional force would not be required. I thought that with regard to the occupation of all the posts, the thirty thousand men at prosent, under Scott, in the present circumstances of the country, would be ndequante, with the six or seven thousand men on-operating on the line of the Rio Grande. The honorable Senator observed that the state of the army depends upon the discretion of the general commanding under the instructions of the Executive in Mexico, that the administration will ever be able to extricate themselves from the necessary.

Mr. SEVIER was very happy to hear the explanations of the Senator. He had intended to call the Senator's attention to other parts of his speech; but, as he was weary of this colloquy, he would pass over them. Ho would now conclude by summing up

# FEBRUARY 4.1

what he had endeavored to present to the Senate. He had en-deavored to show, first, that the war was inevitable on the part of the United States; secondly, that it had been wisely managed and successfully carried on, in spite of the opposition party of country; and, thirdy, that the United States ha make peace with and willing, and are still feed to the control of the opposition of the angle states of the control of the c any stable government of Mexico, on honorable and liberal terms. The it never was the design of the administration to subjugate and annex the whole of Mexico; and that all the territory, its administration ever desired, or now desires, is but a reasonable portion, sufficiently valuable to the United States to defeat the claims of our citizens against Mexico, and to indeamly the country for the expenses of this war. This, sir, is the platform on which the administration stands.

- Mr. HUNTER obtained the floor.
- Mr. FOOTE then rose and said: I wish to propound two questions to the Senator from Tennessee, which I have reduced to writing.
  - A SENATOR .- "order."
- Mr. FOOTE.—It will not occupy more than a few moments. I was not in my seat yesterday, being confined to my room by indisposition, and had not then an opportunity of putting these interrogatories to the Senator The Senator from Tennessee the day before yesterday, quite unexpected hydron would be answered by me, and the condition of the seat swered by me, as he regarded me as a bold and independent may who would not shrink from the responsibility of answering ques-tions of that nature. His questions were answered; and knowing him to be a man of at least equal boldness, I can have no besitanum to be a man or at least equal nordness, I can have no besta-tion in saying that I anticipate equal promptitude on his part replying to the questions I am now about to propound. I will fur-ther premise, that I put these inquiries in a spirit of courtesy, and ther Tremise, that I put these inquiries in a spirit of courtesy, and with a strong desire of obtaining an expression of the Senator's views. I desire, then, to inquire of the Senator, first, whether when he addressed the Senate he had any knowledge, or information of a reliable character, that a treaty, or any thing equivalent thereto, had been agreed on in Mexico: and, if so, whether by authorized agreed of the government, or by unauthorized persons, and, if by unauthorized persons, is the Senator from Tennessee aware of any connection or correspondence between these agents, or unauthorized persons, and any person, or persons in the United States i
- Mr. BELL .- I see that the Senator means it in a mild spirit, but certainly it is claiming a great right on his part to catechise me in this form
- Mr. FOOTE .- If he does not wish-
- Mr. BELL.—I say that I only deny the right of any Senator to ask me such a question, implying a breach of confidence on the part of agents of the government, and which might by possibility involve myself.
  - Mr. FOOTE .- I did not intend that.
- Mr. BELL .- Oh! I believe the Senator means nothing at all Mr. BELL.—Oh! I believe the Senator means nothing at all improper. I did hear the rumors that there was a projet of a treaty, presented by the commissioners to Mr. Trist, and afterwards to General Scott, and that it had been forwarded to Washington. Upon further inquiry I did not find any authority whater of the rumor. I may add that I have had no communication with the properties of the government whether in America or in which was a superior of the government whether in America or in the superior was the superior whether the superior was the superior with the superior was the Mexico.
  - Mr. FOOTE .- The question does not implicate the Senator
- Mr. BELL .- I have had no such information, and am rather disposed to believe that there has been no foundation for the rumors disposed to believe that there has been no foundation for the rumors to which allusion has been made. From some amountements, semi-official, it would seem that there had been contribing in them; but I see it denied in the recognized organ of the Administration, and must suppose that that statement is true. If both the Sena tors had attended closely to my remarks, they would have seen that the tenor of my argument was, that the Administration must seek semething more than territory as affording "security for Confunction" and that it mattered not so that as my and we would be comed, whether they claim the Collifornian and New Mexico, or a constant of the confunction of the confunction of the collifornian and New Mexico, or a constant of the collifornian and New Mexico, or a constant of the collifornian and New Mexico, or a constant of the collifornian and New Mexico, or a constant of the collifornian and New Mexico, or a constant of the collifornian and New Mexico, or a constant of the collifornian and New Mexico, or a collifornian and the collifornian and New Mexico, or a collifornian and the collifornian and New Mexico, or a collifornian and the collifornian and New Mexico, or a collifornian and the collifornian and New Mexico, or a collifornian and the collifornian and New Mexico, or a collifornian and the collifornian and New Mexico, or a collifornian and the collifornia still farther extension of territory on the same border.
- Mr. BENTON.—I wish, sir, to make a motion, necessary to the organization of the Senate. We have elected a President pro-tempore, in the absence of the Vice President, and have not yet given notice of it to the President He does not know how things are here. I set the indulgence of the Senate, then, in order to submit a resolution directing the Secretary to notify the President of what we have done. of what we have done.
  - It was then
- Ordered, That the Secretary wait on the President of the United States, and notify him, that the Senate, in the absence of

- the Vice President, have chosen the Hon. DAVID R. ATCHISON President of the Senate pro tempore; and that he make a similar communication to the House of Representatives.
  - Mr. FOOTE again rose to address the Chair.
  - Mr. MANGUM .- I rise, sir-
- Mr. FOOTE.—I will not occupy the attention of the Senate long; give me a fair chance. I was sick yesterday.
  - Mr. MANGUM .- I rise to order.
  - Mr. FOOTE .-- If the Senator be unwilling to allow me-
- Mr. MANGUM.—I am not at all unwilling to allow any Senator the full exercise of his privileges. But I now make a point of order. What I suggest is in the spirit of which I am sure the gentelenen will himself approve. This kind of cateching gentlemen in this body -
  - Mr. FOOTE .- Who commenced it?
- Mr. MANGUM--I regard not only as a departure from the Mr. MANGUM——I regard not only as a departure from the order, but as actenheted to impair the diagnity of our course of procedure. Let me assure the honorable Senator from Mississipin, that I make this point of order in all respect and kichaes to hunself, and strictly in a desire to adhere to the uniform usages of the body as I understand them. It will be readily perceived that great disorder must easue if such a practice were permitted. If Senators are to vise up in this way, on any occasion, out of the course of debate, and put interrogatories on isolated points, calling course of debate, and put interrogatories on isolated points, calling course of accesses and part interrogatories on isolated points, calling now on the Senator from Michigan, and now on the Espattor from South Carolina, and so on; I submit to the Senator himself whether such a course of procedure would not be disorderly, and ought to be avoided on all hands?
- Mr. BELL.—It seems to be alleged that I commenced this system of interrogation. I hope that the Senator from North Carolina will allow the Senator from Mississippi to proceed.
- Mr. FOOTE.—In my own vindication I must say that really I am not very familiar with the rules of order; I am told, however, that the Senator from North Carolina is ontirely mistaken. At any rate it is not for me to vindicate the Senator from Tenessee, whose magnanmity has just now induced him to acknowledge that he is himself responsible for all that has occurred. His questions were propounded to me in the confident expectation that I would answer, and I did inswer; and, therefore, it would have been much more seasonable had the Senator from North Carolina presented his views of order on the day before yesterday. My next question is: Would ho Senator from Tenessee agree to a treaty with Mexico, without fall indemnity for past wrongs received the hands of her government, and security from luture gagressions the hands of her government, and security from future aggressions-and also what amount of indomnity and what kind of security he would demand?
- Mr. BELL.—I can assure the Senator that I would weigh well any treaty that might be presented to this body, and try to do so impartually. But I lood that greater calamities might beial this country than the acc.prance of a treaty which did not give even full indemnity. I should regard the success of the policy which I have attempted to show in the course of my argument was now in have attempted to show in the course of my argument was now in progress, and which I still believed to be pursaed, notwittstanding the denial of the gen-leman who has addressed the Senate to-day, as a greater will than that. I would endeavor to weigh the evils, when the issue comes up between taking the Rio Grande, to which we claim title, and the conquest of the whole of the country, and the holding of it either in the shape of states or as dependent provinces; with my present inopressions of the evil of such a policy, I would prefer the former to the latter alternative.
  - Mr. FOOTE .- What boundary ?
- Mr. BELL.—If the Senator desires to learn all my individual opinions, I shall b. very happy to communicate them. I should be quite willing to take the Rio Grande as the boundary; but the Senator is not, therefore, to say, that I preferred not to take any indemnity
  - Mr. FOOTE .- I did not say so.
- Mr. BELL.—I distinctly stated, that I was not opposed to exacting such indemnity from Mexico as would not be oppressive or ruinous to her. I did not go against all indemnity, and I trust I was so understood. The honorable Senator from Mississippi, was was so understood. The monorable Senator from Massissippi, was not here yesterday, and therefore, it is probable that he wishes to know my individual opinions on those points; they are not worth much it is true, but such as they are, I gave them, without disguise, and I had boped, with sufficient explicitness to indicate what I should do in any particular exigency that might arise.

On motion.

The Senate adjourned.

# MONDAY, FEBRUARY 7, 1848.

### REPORT FROM THE WAR DEPARTMENT

F The PRESIDENT FRO TEMPORE laid before the Senate a report of the Secretary of War, made in compliance with a resolution of the Senate, accompanied by a map of the examination of New Mexico made by Lieut, J. W. Abert; which was read.

On motion by Mr. CASS, it was

Ordered. That it be referred to the Committee on Printing.

#### PETITIONS.

Mr. HALP presented a memorial of mimsters and laymen of the Unitarian denomination of Christians, praying the adoption of measures for the re-establishment of peace between the United States and the Republic of Mexico; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

Mr. CLAYTON presented the polition of Ohed Hussey, praying an extension of his patent for a reaping machine; which was referred to the Committee on Patents and the Patent Office.

Mr. ASHLEY presented the petition of William W. Wyna, praying to 66 allowed the right of pre-emption to certain lands in the State of Arkansas; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

Mr. TURNEY submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to

Resolved, That the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads inquire into the spediency of establishing a post route from Athens to Kingston, Tennessee.

#### MAIL FAILURES, ETC.

Mr. JOHNSON, of La., submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to ;

Revolved, That the Postmaster General be instructed to report to the 8-mate, the causes of the reported recent fadors of the main to and from Nove Ordense; and what begindron, if any, a necessary to prevent the recurrence of such fading as web as to prevent the losses sustained by the public, in consequence of the converance of undelligence in advance of the Variet Stark; mail, by the expare anal established by

# MEXICAN NEGOTIATIONS.

Mr. BALDWIN submitted the following resolution for consid-

Residual, That the Pusulent of the  $\hat{\Gamma}$  nited States be a quoted to communicate to the Senate, if in the presents of the begating of States, the state of the problem of the States, and the problem of States, and the states of States, and the states of the States of States, and the states of August, 1847, together with the population project, if any, submatted by the Mexican room conserva the leaves of August, and the states of August, and also the proceedings, if any, which were laid in relation to and purpose of first submitted, at  $\hat{a}$  is the same may be communicated roomsteady with the public microsc.

# RIGHT OF WAY TO ILLINOIS.

Mr. BREESE, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the bill to grant to the State of Illinois a right of wa through the public lands, and for other purposes, reported it without amending

# TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT OF OREGON.

Mr. DOUGLAS, from the Committee on Territories, to whom was referred the bill to establish the territorial government of Oregon, reported it without amendment.

## INDIAN RESERVATION,

Mr. BELL, from the Committee on Indian Affairs, reported a resolution for the relief of Betsey Merition which was read the first and second times, by manimous consent

The Senate proceeded to consider said resolution, as in Committee of the Whole, and no amendment barns made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered. That it be engrossed, and read a third time.

The said resolution was read a third time, by unanimous con-

Resulted. That it past, and that the title thereof be as aferesaid

Ordered, That the Sceretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

## MESSAGE PROM THE HOUSE

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. CAMPBELL their clerk

Mr. President: The House of Representatives have passed bills of the followi-titles

An act for the rebef of William Culver

An act for the relief of Daniel Robinson

An act for the relief of Ehzaheth Clapper, of Maskingum county in the state of Olio.

An act for the relief of Harriet Baracy.

An act for the relief of John Anderson.

An act for the relief of Joseph C. Boxes

An act for the relief of S. Morres Waln.

An act for the rehef of the legal representatives of Ameha Bereton An act for the reheful the legal heirs of John Snyder deceased

An act for the relief of Barelay and Llvingston, and South Thurgar and Company.

An act for the relief of Amzy Judd. An act for the relief of the heirs of Matthew Stewart

An act for the rehelf of Joseph and Lindley Ward

An act for the relief of Mis. Anne W. Angue

Au act for the relief of Elizabeth Mays

An act for the relief of James H. Conley An act for the relief of Jesse Young

An act for the relief of Silas Waterman,

in which they request the concurrence of the Senate. The said bills were read a first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred as follows

The bills for the relief of William Culver : of Daniel Robinson : of John Anderson; of Joseph C. Doxey; of S. Morris Waln; of the legal representatives of Amelia Bereton; of the legal heirs of John Snyder, deceased; and of the heirs of Matthew Stewart; were referred to the Committee of Chaims.

The bills for the relief of Elizabeth Clapper; of Harriet Barney; of Jesse Young; and of Silas Waterman; were referred to the Committee on Pensions.

The bill for the relief of Mrs. Anne W. Angurs; of Elizabeth Mays; and of James H. Couley; were referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs. Tue bill for the relief of Barelay and Livingston and Smith,

Thurgar and Company, was referred to the Committee on Com-The bill for the relief of Amzy Judd, was referred to the Com-

mittee on Public Land

The bill for the relief of Joseph and Lindley Ward, was referred to the Committee on Indian Aflairs.

## PROMOTION OF CADETS.

The Senate proceeded to consider the following resolution, submitted by Mr. BENTON on the 2d instant, and it was agreed to :

Intificial by Mr. DENTON on the 2d instant, and it was agreed to:

Readord, That the Frestwalet be required to cause the Senate to be a iglamed of
the order, or law, by virtue of which the following words in relation to the promotions of Tabels have been inverted in the Army Reverse of the Cuted States, page 45, for
of Tabels, acting as supernamerary officers in the army, in virtue of their invests, will be successfully promoted to a canonic of the facetring and which may full happine,
in the particular arm to which they may have seen attached, neconfing to the order of
math exhibited at the unifiting senators.

The Senate proceeded to consider the following resolution submitted by Mr. RUSK, on the 4th instant:

Resolved, That the Secretary be required to procure hundred copies of this map of Mexico, the thousand copies of each of the Vulley of Mexico, and of the Seat of War, published by J. Disturbell, of New York, not to exceed an cost, one dollar each for the map of Mexico, and ten cents for the others.

On motion by Mr. RUSK, it was

Ordered, That it be referred to the Committee on the Library.

# BILLS PASSED.

The following bills were read the second time and considered as in Committee of the Whole:

A bill in adda ed July 2, 1836. ddition to un act for the relief of Walter Loomis and Abel Gay, approv-

A bill for the rebel of Edward Bolon

A bill confirming former sales by the State of Illinois, of the Ohio Salme reserva tions, and authorizing the sale of the residue of such reservations.

No amendment being made they were reported to the Senate. Ordered. That they be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bills were read a third time, by unanimous conscut.

Resolved. That they pass, and that their respective titles be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

## HALF PAY TO WIDOWS AND ORPHANS.

The Senate resumed the consideration of the bill amending the act entitled 'An act granting half pay to widows or orphans where their bushands and fathers have died of wounds received in the military service of the United States, in cases of deceased officers and soldiers of the military and orphans of the military and provided the provided the provided the provided the provided that the provided the provided the provided that the provided the provided the provided that the provided the provided that the provid

The question being on ordering the bill to be engrossed and read a third time—

Mr. NILES offered the following amendment, which was agreed to:

Add to the end of the hill: "who were in the army of the United States on the first day of March, 1846, or at any subrequent period during the present war between the United States and Mexico."

Ordered. That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time, by unanimous consent.

Revolved. That it pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

# THE TEN REGIMENT BILL.

The Senate resumed the consideration of the bill to raise, for a limited time, an additional military force.

Mr. BALDWIN.—I ask the indulgence of the Senate, and of the Senator from Virginus, who is entitled to the floor, to enable me to make an explanation, in behalf of my friend and constituent Capt. Gregory, of the Navy, who conceives that the bonorable Senator from Arkansas (Mr. SEVIER.) was under a misapprehension in regard to a material fact in the statement made by him, on the authority of Capt. Gregory, in the Senate, on Priday last, The bonorable Senator from Arkansas, if I correctly understood

The honorable Senator from Arkansas, if I correctly understood him, was endeavoring to convince the Senate, that Point Isabel and the harbor of Brazos Santiago were not in the possession of the Mexicans at the time of General Taylor's march upon the Rio the Mexicans at the time of General Taylor's march upon the Rio his array, on the 25th of March, 1846. In proof of that position he was understood to state to the Senate that he had been informed by Capt. Gregory that on landing there he saw only two or three his slightly built with forked sticks, and no Mexicans except a few vagabonds and fishermen. The Senator from Arkansas was under the varoneous impression that Capt. Gregory, in the conversation to which be alluded, had reference to the condition of the place ante-order of the state of the state of the proof of the place ante-order of the state of the state of the state of the place and the port of Brazos Santiago had been in the military occupation of General Taylor more than a month before the landing of Capt. Gregory, which was on the 8th May—the day on which the battle of Palo Alto was fought. Capt. Gregory informs me that he then observed the remains of several dwellings that had been burnt. His conversation with the Senator from Arkansas was casual, and in general terms, as he was correctly and the state of Palo Alto was fought. Capt. Gregory informs me that he should be senated to the Senate. He is the more desirous of correcting the-gerror into which the honorable Senator has inadvertently fallen, as it places him somewhat in conflict with the statements of General Taylor in his correspondence with the government. It will be recollected by the Senate, that General Taylor speaks of General Taylor in his correspondence with the government. It will be recollected by the Senate, that General Taylor speaks of General Taylor in his correspondence with the government. It will be recollected by the Senate, that General Taylor speaks of General Taylor in his correspondence with the government. It will be reco

Mr. SEVIER,—If the Senator will indulge me for a moment, I will state that in the course of my remarks the other day in reterring to the nature of the settlement at Brace Santiago, I did mention that I had conversed with Capt, Gregory on the subject, and that his statement confirmed the information which I had received previously from other quarters. Certainly the impression left upon my mind was that Capt. Gregory was there when General Taylor landed. If he had reference to a subsequent arrival there, I shall make the correction with great pleasure. I may add others from one of the Senator for form other sources, amongst others from one of the Senator for form of the surfaces, amongst other great may be a subsequence of the great pleasure.

Mr. HUNTER.—If the bill before us appeared in no other light than as a more measure of supplies which raised only the question of the regiments, more or less, in our army I should not have troubled you with any reasons for the vote which I shall give but during the discussion late in the manner in which it is consideration. The great question as to the manner in which it is consideration. The great question as to the manner in which it is consideration. The great question as to the manner in which it is described by the property of the problem of the sound-ness of its conclusions must depend our future destiny for weal or town of the problem of the surface of the connected with this war, it seems immediately to suggest these other considerations with which the public mind is so anxiously gauged—Of all the great political problems ever presented, if it we connected with this war, it seems immediately to suggest these other considerations with which the public mind is so anxiously gauged—Of human happiness has been staked; for no people ever existed, in my opinion, who had the capacity to play so great a part in the affairs of mankind. The question is surrounded, I confess, by difficulties and embarrassments. It is given to no man to see much of the future; and our past carreer has been so rapid, so eventful, and so saccessful, that we can searcely conceive it impossible to gratify any wish we may have as to the future course of our people. But, six, the hour has arrived when it is indispensable, so complete the future of the surface of the s

Mr. Presidg, t, it is the highest province of an American states, man to influence, and in some measure, guide public opinion; and he who knowingly deceives or misleads the people, is responsible for the greatest political officere which can be committed under our system of government. He poisons the spring from which is a special property of the p

mainly determine the future course of our foreign relations. I waive all discussion as to the justice of the war or our capacity is habigare the Mexican people entirely and completely. I shall treat the question as if there was no doubt of our power and right to manage the whole matter in reference to our own interests, in order to see if we may not attain results, from this point of view, which are consistent with our duties to humanity as well as to our-which are consistent with our duties to humanity as well as to our-

refres.

If we could choose our mode of progress, (and happily we may, as I believe, if we would select the best,) in what manner should we regulate it? Shall we accept it as our mission to plant and inhabit the wilderness, to reduce the waste to human uses, and fill up what is open to us with a homogenous and fraternal people? Are our triumphs to be sought in the peaceful arts, the noble achievements of civilization, the development of higher forms of social life, and the introduction of purer principles of political action? Is it to be our aim to become a self-educating, a self-sustaining, and a self-governing people, so true to themselves and so just to others, as to be able to increase their physical without loss of moral power to an extent never before witnessed in the generations of man? O to an extent never below wither-sed in the generations of mar 1 On are we to pursue another course, and imitate Roman and British examples? Is the history of our successes to be written on the ruins of others; and are our achievements to consist in subjugating nations and conquering provinces? Is it to be our glory merely to extend our boundaries without adding to our own happiness, and without reference to the rights our sufferings of others? In other words, shall we grow as the cak which strikes the root deep er as it extends the branches, and increases in size without impa ing its symmetry or destroying the just proportion of any of the elements of its strength and elegance? Or shall we climb like the vine which destroys what it clings to, and under an outward form of beauty and of life, covers and conceals decay and death within Mr. President, we are now strong enough to feel that either cureer is open to us. Both roads lie before us, and it is for ourselves to determine which we shall pursue. But I trust that we shall not long hesitate as to the choice. The more I consider the nature of our government, and the circumstances of our people, the more firmly I am convinced that a taste for peace is indispensable for the high development of our social advantages, and the complete fulfilment of a greater destiny than any nation has yet achieved .-The circumstances attending our early settlement on the conti-nent, the nature of our institutions, the cotemporaneous expression of the opinions of the fathers of the republic, all seem to indicate that the hand of God and the voice of man have alike indicated that the hand of God and the voice of man have alike indicated the peaceful nature of the mission upon which we were sent.—
The combination of a great amount of physical power, with a high degree of liberty, of all political ends, seems to have been the most difficult of artainment. I know of no instance in which the two may be farrly said to have been united. Indeed, I know of no people whose form of government and whose physical condition justly entitled them to hope for a union of both, except our own. Planted in the wildeness more than two hundred years ago, they have extended their occupation of it as they green, under every circumstance which could flavor freedom of thought and energy of action. Subjected to no pressure of moment from without every circumstance when count axon recedom or monght and en-ergy of action. Shiplered to no pressure of moment from without, they enjoyed every advantage in their training for self-govern-nent. Growing up gradually as a homogenous people, they ac-quired political knowledge from experience, and the changes to which they were exposed being in themselves and not in others, which they were exposed being in themselves and not in others, they were prepared to meet them. On the one hand, the extent of unoccupied territory saved them from the dangers of a crowded population, which are said to be most trying to popular governments; whilst on the other, many favoring circumstances concrred in forning a public opinion sufficiently sound and enlightened to direct a government safely. Nor was this all; they were fortunately, no, sirt, providentially, settled in different communications. ties, separated not in ties, separated not in race or feeling, but according to physical differences, which in some degree gave a local tinge to public sennincreases, when it some tegene gave a rocal ringe to public some conditions which embled cased State to develop its provilar genius, and placed them under common bonds only for the common defense and the free interchange of trade on literacourse with each other. A voluntary association of free republics was thus formed, in which, by a skilfed distribution of duties, and a wise classification of interests, the whole subject of government was placed within the reach of popular control. The sense of the people was taken by parts in matters effecting only the parts, and their action beby parts in matters effecting only the parts, and their action became common only where the interests were general. This form of the federal principle presented man for the first time in his his tory a rational hope of solving practically the great problem of accumulating social power in large masses, without prejudice to multiple the problem of accumulating social power in large masses, without prejudice to multiple the problem of accumulating social power in large masses, without prejudice to multiple the part of t came common only where the interests were general. This form

people would lead to peace; and upon this supposition founded the hope of accomplishing such a destiny. The government was organized with strength for defence; but there is not a feature in it which tils it for conquests and aggressive war. No extensive war of this character could be carried on for the character could be carried on for the character could be carried on the condition of the character of the char

ditions must be observed in the course of our progress. As our empire extends we must diminish the action of the central governempire extends we must diminish the action of the central govern-ment on the parts to avoid the double danger of increasing the tendencies to sectional division and the undue growth of Executive power, which becomes relatively greater in our system as we mul-tiply in numbers. This cannot well be done with the expenditures and the funding system required by frequent wars. It is accessary too, that the vast country which we are to occupy should be overea-with a homogeneous and fraternal people, a people growing in in-telligence as they increase in power, to justify us in hoping for that harmony of sentiment, and sound and enlightened public opinion, harmony of seatument, and sound and enlightened public opinions, which are indispensable to the successful administration of popular institutions. This cannot be expected if alien and hostile races are to he suddedly incorporated in our body politic. Indeed it is much, the less sanguine might say too much, to expect to accomplish the ends which I have supposed to be within the legitimate range of our lope. Still, sir, I believe it may be done, if we are true to ourselves and faithful to our mission. We have already accomplished so much, our past course has been so wonderful and superscending the result of the properties of the propert cessful, that it would seem to be not extravagant to entertain this hope for the future. Experience demonstrates that the progress of public intelligence and virtue diminishes the range of the necessary interference of government, and places under the control of public opinion and the guidance of individual interest many subjects which heretotice have been regulated by law. If the oblesive power of the bonds by which our society is held together is duily weakened by the extension of our population, it is also aduly strengthened by improvements in the means of intercourse. The introduction of the principles of free trade removes many of the causes of sectional The introduction of jeulousies and diminishes the subjects of necessary legislation. If the extension of our people increases the difficulties of free govern-ment, the march of mind developes new resources for overcoming them. That there are limits to this capacity is not to be denied, but it is equal, I believe, to the accomplishment of the mission upon which we were sent. Can a more magnificent destiny be coaceived that the realization of such hopes? To fill a continent of space with all the elements of light, hie, and civilization, in their purest forms and highest combination—to wring from the reluctant grasp of earth the fruits which she yields only to human skill and industry, and to discover resources in the boundless stores of na-turo for every new or increasing want which a progressive civture for every new or increasing want, which a progressive en-ligation may develop—to acquire a moral influence more exten-forces homage, not from the lips, but the heart of every luman being who can feel the force of benificent example. Happy our-selves, and the cause of happiness in others, what higher tribute could we offer to Him who has endowed us with such upparalleled advantages, than the spectacle of such a power guided by of justice and moderation, and directed to virtuous ends? But President, great, glorious, and exciting as is this picture of our President, great, giornous, and exotting as is this picture of our future, we must reverse it all if we take the other course, and launch into a career of war and conquest. If we expect to increase from plundering the stores of others, if we wish to acquire glory from the skill with which we work that, human machine called an army, and to cimulate the march of those who carved their way with the sword, it may be well to look to the end of that their way with the sword, it may be well to look to the end of that road before we take it. Undoubtedly we have the power to be-come a scourge to others, but there is danger that we may leave our own life in the sting. There are daily symptoms of a growing dis-position in the public mind for wars of conquest, which I have observed with the deepest regret. The conscious vigor of early manhood is generally ambitious of display. But, I believe, that there could be no impartial and intelligent observer of our position and the circumstances which surround us, who would not that it is ourselves more than others whom we need to conquer. We already hear of a public opinion forming for the absorption of the whole of Mexico or its annexation as an dependent province. No such propositions have been formally made in this body; but

the tone of some of the public prints and much that has fallen from Senators in the course of this discussion are well calculated to inspire apprehension in relation to the growth of such desires. I was glad to hear the chairman of Foreign Relations deelare that the President entertained no such idea. I had not supposed that he would have indulged it—but I felt most deeply the force of the declaration of the distinguished Senator that the course of events might force us in that direction. I was gratified to hear the might lores us in that direction. I was grathless to heat the Senator say that he had no such purpose at present, but the course of the argument in which he met the views of the able Senator from Tennessee struck me as ominous and alarming. The Senator from Tennessee presented a striking and glowing description of the evils likely to ensue from the execution of these schemes, and the Sena-tor from Arkansas met him with arguments designed to show that no such dangers were to be apprehended from them; but on the contrary, if I understood him, that the consequences might be beneficial. Now, sir, why was this so, if the Senator did not to some eneral. Now, sir, why was this so, it the senator and not to some extent favor the project under discussion? We all know that he is a practical man. He has no idle love for mere logomachy. He did not use such arguments merely to show that his ingenuity was equal to the task of making the worse appear the better side. No, sir, he presented these views because he felt their force and be-lieved in their truth, and I, for one, regard these declarations as neved in their truth, and i, jor one, regard cueso accitations as amongst the most ominous signs of the times. The distinguished Senator from Michigan, chairman of Military Affairs, has expressed the opinion that we might swallow all of Mexico without its killing us, and my attention has lately been called to a letter from the second officer in this government to a public meeting in New York in which he seemed to consider it as neither an improbable per inglorious idea that we were to assume "the guardinasinjot a orowded and eonfederated continent." Where are we, Mr. Presi-dent? What has become of those maxims of prudence and cantion by which we used to regulate the course of our public affairs? What new lights have beamed upon us since, and under what shadow is hidden the dim religious ray of that lamp of experience by which our fathers were wont to guide their feet? It seems to have been lost sight of in the intensity of our gaze upon the Aurora Borealis of 54° 40°, or the more attractive lustre of the Southern Cross towards which our God Terminus seems to be advancing with all the speed of forced marches. Can it be gravely contem-plated, Mr. President, to incorporate eight or nine millions of such a people, aliens in race and hostile in feeling with our own? Is there nothing in the free Anglo-Saxon spirit which revolts at the diea of an equal association with such a mixture of Spaniard, Indian and Negro? Would our people ever agree to admit such a population to equal rights and privileges with themselves; or would they submit to a government in which it was felt as a power-ful political element? Where then would be found that sound and enlightened public opinion which is the moving power and living principle of our government? Where the cohesive bond of the fra ternal spirit of a homogenous people which is so essential to just and harmonious action? The Mexicans have tried the experiment of administering a government like ours, and failed from the want of public virtue and intelligence. Would they become better by association with us, or should we become worse? Mr. President, should the experiment ever be attempted of incorporating such a should the experiment ever be attempted of incerporating stein a population with ourselves it will be found that our people will not provide the provided of the provided by the provided provided by the provided provided by the provided p Indian as an Indian." Does the gentleman forget that the Indian and mixed races constitute six-sevenths of the entire Mexican population? Does he remember that these Indians are the descendatts of those who more than two centuries ago had established a civilization whose monuments still strike the beholder with wonder and admiration? A civilization, perhaps, not very greatly inferior to that of his invader. Does he forget that these people, after the first Mexican revolution, were admitted to equal rights and privilifts mexican revolution, were somitted to equal rights and privileges with the other races, and to some extent have enjoyed a share in the administration of justice and the direction of the government? When he reflects upon the nature and constitution of our own legislature does he expect to be allowed to place these people under the government of Indian agents? Is he quite sure that he would have to meet none of those questions to which a Southern man is most sensitive, in his attempts to regulate this Indian government according to his wishes?

But there is another form of the proposition which is more dangerous, because it is more plausible. I mean the annexation of Mexico as a dependent province—a scheme which, I fear, many entertrain, and which, some of my friends assure me, is daily growing in popular favor. Where, sir, is the warrant for such a proposition either in the spirit of electrofice of the proposition either in the spirit of electrofice of the proposition either in the spirit of electrofice of the proposition either in the spirit of the proposition either and the proposition of the proposition either and the proposition either and the proposition either and the proposition in modern them, and if they paid the expenses the would do more than is done by most provinces, or any of the civilized nations in modern tunes. The taxes to

support their own government are as much, or more, than any civilized people have been in later days. There is, I believe, contributed The government has not exist more than its people contributed. The government has not exist more than its people contributed. The government has not exist more than its people contributed. The government has not exist more than its people contributed. The governments and of the wars necessarily incident to their separate altonal castence-have been greater than any civilized nation has paid—our own being no exception to the rule; for all are in debt, and in debt incurred for the support of their governments. How, then, can we expect to secure a pecuniary indeutify by retaining Mexico as a province? except the properties of the support the government of their own choice, and is it to be able to support the government of their own choice, and is it to be able to support the government of their own choice, and is it to be able to support the government of their own choice, and is it to be able to support the government of indentity to be obtained? They have no national domain of much value of which we are aware. The mines are private property, and would probably yield us but little more than they have already produced in taxation to the Mexican government. No one would propose to confiscate private property, or that of the church. Sir, the result would lend that we can be a proble officers and the army necessary for the extensive should be found to contribute larged for the extensive property, or that of the church. Sir, the result would lend to work the proble officers and the army necessary for the first permanent subjection. I repeat the very pertinent inquiry of the Senator from Tennessee, what should we do with their church establishments? The Senator from Arkanass said, as I understood him, as special difficulties, and why might not we do the same thing? The gentleman forgets that we have a special difficulty in the way, which they did not have to encounter. Ours is the only g

[Hero the Senator from Arkansas informed Mr. H that he had misunderstood him, he had proposed religious teleration as a mode of escaping the difficulty.

I beg pardon, Mr. President, I did not mean to misrepresent the Senator from Arkansas, but his correction only brings me the more speedily to the conclusion to which I was arriving in another node. Yes, sir, we should have to introduce religious toleration, and dissolve all connection between church and state, if we assume the government of that people—a step which would increase vastly the difficulty of holding that country as a dependent provined. Wheever will examine the nature and constituence of that population, and survey their past history, must be cowineed that the church is the key-stone of the areh which has sustained the fabric courten is the key-stone of the area which has sustained the labre of Mexican society. Four-sevenths of that entire population are Indians, two-sevenths of the mixed, and only one-seventh of the pure white race. A hierarchy seems to have been peculiarly suitable to the genius and tastes of the larger and more suffering portion of that people; I mean the Indian race. It is difficult to be-lieve, that without this common bond of a church establishment, there would not have been frequent wars between the races, or that we should have seen even the little of unity and harmony national movement, which their history has exhibited. Destroy this, as we should be constrained to do if we assumed their government, and we should not only destroy whatever social unity ex-ists, but we should have to encounter their positive hostility in-flamod by religious zeal, in addition to the opposition of the vis inertia of Spanish character, formidable enough in itself. these difficulties in our way, the man is not yet born who would outlive the necessity of a large standing army in that country, to outive the necessity of a large standing army in that country, there it in subjection. I venture to say that it would prove the most expensive dependency with which any nation has ever been enrsed in modern times. But, Mr. President, this is not the most serious view of the question. What would be the political effects of such a connexion upon ourselves? I or whom would cause the vast power and patronage of such a government? I know, sir, that the Senseta from Ackages, estimates lightly the senset from Ackages, estimates and the senset from Ackages and the senset lightly the senset from Ackages, estimates and the senset from Ackages and the senset lightly the senset from Ackages, estimates and the senset from Ackages and the senset lightly the senset l vast power and partonage or such a government. I know, sar, that the Senator from Arkansas estimates lightly this matter of patronage, and for no other, or better, reason, than that its exercise gives trouble. But patronage is power, and when has power been an object of indifference to ambition? No power can be exercised without trouble, but we do not see men pursuing it the less eagerly on that account. It was not thus that the fathers of the republic reasoned, and I trust that the day is far distant when our republic reasoned, and I trust that the day is far distant when our people will consider the questions of patronage, and its effects upon the distribution of power in our system, as light and unimportant matters. Sir, this power and patronage would enure to the President of the United States, who would appoint all the officers, and direct the army that conducted the government. There would be no department of that government elected by vernment people, but every man concerned in it would hold his office from the Executive. The whole benefit derived by any body from such an occupation of Mexico, would be derived by those officials, who would enjoy the profits of the job of governing the country, and these would hold their places at the Executive pleasure. How long could we expect him to be true to both his functions as President of the United States and Mexican Imperator? Is there no danger that he would use his Mexican royalty to acquire a more

permanent tenure of effice at house? For all moral and political purpose is would be the sovering of both. It is side to say that proceed the swall be the sovering of both. It is in the to say that proceed the swall be the sovering of both. It is in the to say that proceed the process and partenage he would regular the conventions and elections as he pleased, or dispense with both, if he should prefer it.—When we estimate the fatal influences of hundreds of millions of funded debt, which the wars necessary for the permanent occupancy of Mexico upon these terms would occasion, and add to all the effect of the enormous additions to the Executive Health of the enormous Health of the enormo

If we entertain Roman ideas of conquest, we must refer to Roman examples to see how far they are consistent with liberty.— But it may be supposed that the indirect advantages derived from a trade with Mexico, regulated with reference to our own interests, would compensate us for the expenses of subjugation and government. A little reflection, I think, will dispel that illusion. government. A little reflection, I think, will disper that if the obtain the wild country along the northern frontier of Mexico, together with some of the ports on the Pacific, we shall stand in such a relation to her that the result of a high turiff imposed by in such a version to ner that the result of a mgn drift imposed by her would give us nearly the whole trade, as it could not possibly be enforced against us on such a line. If, on the other hand, her tariff be low, we should enjoy nearly all the advantages of an unrestricted trade, without the expense and the crime of her permanent subjugation. Indeed, putting aside the question of expense, I believe that the direct pecuniary gains of a commerce under low duties, with a people improving and increasing under a government administered by themselves, would be far greater than even an exclusive trade with such a people as they must become under a foreign yoke. Few will doubt that our trade with Great Britain, with all the burthens imposed on it by tariffs, has been more advanmer state of colonial dependence had remained. But, Mr. Presi dent, I have only touched upon a portion of the consequences that would inevitably result from such a step. If we once commence the career with the absorption of Mexico by conquest, and the experiment should result as favorably as those expect who incline to the measure, is it probable that we should or would stop at that point? Is there any iostance of a nation that paused in such a eareer, until forced to do so by domestic misfortunes or superior force from without? The very class which war creates, and which it supplies, by conquest, with the means to obtain the mastery at home, will ever continue to push that career of conquest so long as the opportunities of plunder abroad afford the temptation to advance. The moment they are prevented from plundering abroad, they commence the same operation at home; and it unfortunately so happens that in empire gathered by conquest the only practica-ble limits ever found to their power has been in the exhaustion of the subjects of their exactions. Sir, this class is no mere ereature of my imagination; it is one known to history, and has been so well described by one of the masters of human thought and of the English described by one of the masters of himan thought and of the English language, that I shall be gleave to borrow his words for the description: "The wars of civilized nations, (says Dr. Johnson.) make very slow changes in the system of empire. The public perceives scarcely any alteration but an increase of debty and the perceives searcely any alteration but an increase of debt; and the new individuals who are benefitted, are not supposed to have the clearest right to these advantages. If he that shared the danger enjoyed the profit, and after bleeding in the battle green rich by the victory, he might show his goins without envy. But at the conclusion of a ten years' war, how are we recompend for the death of multitudes but by contemplating the sudden glorus of summaries, and agents contractors, and commissaries, whose of paymasters and agents, contractors and commissaries, whose equipages shine like meteors and whose palaces are like exhala-

"These are the non-who, without labor or hazard, are growing rich as their country is impovershed; they rejoice when obstinacy or ambition adds another year to slaughter and devastation; and laugh from their desks at bravery and science, while they are adding figure to figure and eigher to eigher, hoping for a new contract from a new armament, and computing the profits of a siege or a tempest;

If this cureer of conquest should ever be favorably continenced by us, there is no power in this country to stay it, until it is

stopped by the hand of God and the retributive dispensations of providence. Sir, I firmly believe that before any foreign opposi-tion could be found in sufficient strength to stop it, we should fall to pieces by our own dissensions, or our government would take the organization most suitable to the ends of conquest, and assume the organization most suitable to the ends of conquest, and assume a despotic form. The debts which these wars must ceeasion, would lead to heavy and unequal taxation, and to bitter sectional disputes, under which our people would divide, if they did not think it hetfort to take refuge under one master, to escape the exactions and tyranny of many. Of all the governments with which I am acquainted, our federal government is most endangered by heavy taxation upon the people; and there is none so little capable of enduring a large national debt without an organic derangement of its machinery. A large class living upon the funded debt of this government, would be more dangerous, if not more terrible to it, than an army with banners. It has been well said that the most important revolution in the English government occurred when the funding system and the bank were established in the reign of William of Orange. From that period a fourth estate arose in the realm, which gradually attained the mastery of all. So rapid was its rise that its tendencies were foreseen and denounced by Lord Bolingbroke at that early period of its growth, and Dord bongdrose at that carry period of its growth, and Lock Chatham, in a fit of impotent raze is said to have declared that— "when the funds are falling, we may be sure the country is rising"—but, as if pessessed of some invisible power, it, grew in spite of the fear and hate of the British people; and the "cannibals of Change Alley," as Chatham called them, soon became the arbiters of the fate of nations. They held a mortgage upon the future labor and productive power of the country, and taxed it to an ex-tent which would have been deemed incredible had we not witnessed the result. The extraction is market in the control of the control of the holding the very sinews of motion in government, their voice was alike potent in war or peace. The clay feet of the colossal image of French power erumbled in their grasp, and the British shuttle plied and the British haumer resounded at unusual hours of the hight, unconscious as those who worked them of the secret power whose fiat they were moving, or of the invisible hand which was silently dividing the load in every palace, in every hovel, in all the habitations of the land. Colomes were added, empire was enlarged, the British name was emblazoned in glory's most dazzling dyes, but the stockholders mortgage was increased, and British sinews were to be till farther stretched to provde the interest. The two acts of the Bank suspension, under Mr. Pitt, and the resumption of specie payments, under Mr. Pett, in 1819, both of which were passed under this influence, are said, by a mere alteration in the standard of value, to have given the fund holders more than 400,000,000 pounds sterling, or about \$2,000,000,000, more than they were cutilled to; and their mortgage upon the entire industry and productive power of Great Britain argunts now to eight hundred millions of pounds sterling. This, sir, is the fourth estate which has arisen in the British empire only since the days of William of Orange, and now holds undisputed mastery over all the others. Can we raise such an interest and such a class in this country, and hope to retain our free form of government long atcountry, and hope to retain our tree form or government song at-terwards? Our government is founded upon a theory quite differ-ent from the English. It is worked by public opinion; it is consti-tuted to reflect the interests and the feelings of the people, whose selfse is taken by parts in such a manner as to obtain every possi-ble security in self interest for the expression of just and sound senthe state of the base of the balances of the people to work it if I've create a large public debt, and raise up a class who live upon taxation, and control not only the currency, but what is far more, the value of the entire credit of the country, for even to that last extremity must its power extend. There is now but one estate in this country for the country for the country for the country for the country for even to that last extremity must it power extend. There is now but one estate in this country for the country for the country for the country for even to that last extremity must be power extend. There is now but one estate in this country for the country for the country for even to the country for eve try, constituted of the entire people; shall we raise up another of fundholders, perhaps the more powerful of the two? This, sir, is no contingency; it is a certainty for which we must prepare, if we no confingency are acceptantly acceptant we make the management of the cost of two powers in the country: the army and the equites or moned class, who lived upon the management and the plunder of the pubtwo powers in the contrive two army and the ejandes of momes class, who lived upon the management and the plander of the public is. If they were not so predominant as the English stockholiers, it was because the fluiding system was then unknown.—
The struggle of Cata against two great management and the element of the contribution of a constant state of war. That they were the contribution of the contri from public contributions, then will commence the struggle in which the great body of the people may profit by their dissen-sions, and change, perhaps, the whole fabric of their government. But does any man believe that our institutions could exist under

the weight of taxation to which the British people have been exposed. Sir, there is not power enough in this government to impose and collect such a tribute from the great hody of our people.

Mr. President, I have drawn some of my illustrations from Roman and British experience, not only because they furnish the most apposite instances, but because their examples I fear are too familiar and seductive to the imaginations of our statesmen. And yet, sir, when fairly analyzed, they are not worthy of our imitation, nor did they produce results equal to the hopes which we may justly entertain from pursaing another carcer. They had too, an excuse which we enont offer the career of conquest was in some degree entertain from pursuing another career. They had too, an excuse which we cannot offer, the career of conquest was in some degree forced upon them by their position which made it almost necessary for them either to conquer or conquered to the property of them to people and planefuce, powerful, and hostic neighbors surrounded them—but we are more fortunately situated. Nor did they achieve their success without paying penalties for which no acquisition by conquest could be considered as compensation by us. The very extent of Roman dominion produced a necessity for organic changes in their government; to preserve the unity of the empire, it became necessary to extend the privileges of the conqueror to the conquered. The haugitry Curittes were forced to sum the School of the conquered of the conquered of the privilege to fill—the influence of the provinces which their arms had subdued, conquered in the end the Roman spirit itself. In such a nempire the cquality of free and self-governing citizens became impossible, and they sought equality in what has been well characterised as the "dead level of an oriental despotism"—Rome, the Imperial city, lost all that commands respect in power and was restricted to the meretricious influences which a metropolis forced upon them by their position which made it almost neces and was restricted to the meretricions influences which a metropolis exercises over a court. This was in the nature of things-Unless there be a fusion of races between the conqueror and conquered, the body politic can never exhibit the united power of both, but its real strength is the difference between the greater and the lesser of the hestile elements—If the conquered are admitted to equal privileges with the conquerors, they will subdue them by influence when their strength becomes the greater of the two, II not admitted to such a participation, they will subdue the conqueror by force when their political and military power becomes adequate to the task. It may be said that the British example presents more encouraging hopes. But would we take her conquests along with her debts if we could? or could we administer a government over such dependencies without a change in our own institutions? Considering dencies without a change in our own institutions? Considering their whole effects, political and moral, as well as commercial, on the great mass of the British people, an impartial observer would probably decide that they had little cause to rejoice over them. Hor's is an isolated case in history. For the last two or three centuries as a community of armed traders she has propagated commerce by the sword. As she gradually losse her commercial and manufacturing such as the production of the control of the commercial and t new markets amidst the inferior races who cannot for centuries, if new markets amidst the inferior races who cannot for centuries, if ever, hope to rival her in such pursuits. For the re-imbursement of the expenses of conquest, she looks to exclusive privileges in trade and this monopoly she preserves with the sword. How this account may stand as a matter of pecuniary profit and loss, I confess I am unable to determine. It is to be reckoned amongst the doubtful problems in political economy. The armed shepherd, the armed priest, and the armed tracker, have each swept the carth in their priest, and the armed tracer, have calcul swept the cart in timer times—the accounts of the two first with posterity bave, been solve the balance sheet will stand I do not pretend to be able to deter-mine. But of this I am certain, that it is no example for us to follow. For independently of the political consequences of such a career, I am convinced that we may attain all the commercial advantages of a superiority in the markets of the world by pursuing the course marked out for us by nature, and peacefully extending our limits without violating the rights of others, or deranging ing our limits without violating the rights of others, or deranging the machinery of our own government. Commercial superiority will belong to those who are superior in social organization, in evivil-zation, in the useful arts, in the elements of productive power, and in the advantages of access to the markets most valuable to a com-mercial people. Such a people as ours peacefully developing un-der free institutions, and filling the vast extent of wild country open to our occupation from the Atlantic to the Pacific shore must enjoy that superiority if they remain true to themselves and their mission. Our mission is not of arms, but of arts, and every hour of peace is rich in golden opportunities for its accomplishment. It on peace is from gooded opportunities for its accomplisament. It is not to be supposed that we will not be exposed to occasional wars, and I am happy to believe that we have ample security in the spirit of our people against the aggressions of others. But that spirit needs no spur, the danger is that its generous impeditudes the peace of the

Mr. President, I have examined the question of the true mode in which our future progress is to be conducted, because I firmly believe that it is a subject upon which the public mind is busy at this time. Their conclusions upon this matter, will probably determine them as to the objects for which the present war is to be waged and upon that subject I fear that there is a great and danapoint of our grandeur is to be reached by conquest, incline with more or less distinctness of view to the annexation of all Mexico, whilst those who think as I do that we can only become a great as well as a free people by preserving our homogeneous character and increasing by growth, desire to direct our march to the unoccupied country which we now possess, and ought to acquire

from her when we close the war. To this extent I would go and no further—I do not want their people, I neither wish to govern them, nor have their aid in governing onserves. But I have many reasons for desiring to acquire a portion of their territory contiguous to us which is so nearly unoccupied that the influence of these people could not be sensibly felt, as a political element in our system. Where that him shound go, I do not protend now to determine, but the limit beyond which it ought not to go, is skeed in my wind—now that point my omition is made.

units, and the many event within a longer that, or go, is fixed in my larger than the control of the comparatively unoccupied territory of the Mexicans as one, and perimps the leading object to be pursued in the war, I do not meant of say that there are no others important to be obtained, but these are to be determined by the circumstances of the cross when, the treaty is made. But the acquisition of this territory will be important made. But the acquisition of this territory will be important on many accounts. It provides not only for whetever indemnity for the war can be obtained, but also the means of satisfying the private claims against the Mexican government. It is a species of indemnity which would benefit both of the beligierents, for it would avoid one of the inevitable temptations to future wars between the parties. That this country is destined to be filled by the advancing wave of our population more can doubt, and its equally clear that the Mexican race can never occupy it. To provide for this inevitable event in such a manner as to avoid the chance of a this inevitable event in such a manner as to woold the chance of a war, and secure its peaceable accomplishment is an object to be desired by both people. This consideration alone, as it seems to desired by both people. This consideration alone, as it seems to me, might satisfy the most fastidious in reletion to Mexican rights or wrongs as to the propriety of making this nequisition of territory. But there is another which is almost conclusive. This country is mainly in the occupation of Indians. The Mexican population has receeded instead of advancing into it, and the Indians are actually extending their borders southward. Now, the right to extinguish Indian title, and to substitute a critized for resawage race who do not follil the condition of impreving the land, more which the nursition of nations, and neclars the laws of source. savage race who do not taill the condition of impreving the land, upon which the practice of nations, and perhaps the law of nature gives property, depends upon the capacity to occupy, and without that capacity no people can lairly claim territory. If there is neither an occupation nor a capacity to occupy, the right to appropriate Indian territory for the uses of civilization belongs to those who have the capacity to do it, and of those capable of doing it, the contiguous have the best title. It may be said, that time has not been given to test fairly their capacity to occupy this country, But it is a nersuasive, if not a decisive fact, that they are receding not been given to test fairly their capacity to occupy this country, But it is a persuasive, if not a decisive fact, that they are receding before the Indian population. I acknowledge that this consideration would not justify us in seizing upon this territory if the two nations were at pence; but it may fairly be estimated as strengthening the product of the two parts and the product of the two parts and the product of the nations were at peace; but it may larry be estimated as strength-ening the rights acquired in war. I make this admission, because the right to seize Indian territory upon the ground that the civiliz-ed nation claiming it has no capacity to occupy it can only be fairly exercised when the fact upon which it depends is ascertained beyond a doubt. Even then it may be a question of prudence, whather the war which it might occasion would not produce so whather the war which it might occasion would not produce so much missing, that the exercise of the right would probably nor afford benefits enough to compensate for it; but the right itself is as clear as the right of the evilized man to appropriate sparcely populated savage territory to his own higher uses. The same reasoning, and the same have apply to both cases. But be that as it may, Mr. President, the question is determined by the fact, which I believe exists, that a lasting peace between the two comwhich the depend appears and the army person. There is the we could be object important to be obtained whenever a trenty is concluded, and that is to ensure a commerce with the Mexican people upon as favorable terms as other nations may enjoy. I do not mean that this should be a sine qua non, because the circumstances attending our position along her frontier would secure, this result in tending our position along her frontier would secure this result in the end, although they might not produce it as speedily as an im-mediate treaty stipulation. Still this object, though not indispensa-ble, is desirable. I believe sir, that the war ought to be conduct-ed so as to scenre these ends with as small expense of money, and as little efficient of blood as possible. I will go further, sir. I think it ought to be so conducted as to prevent the entire disinteg-ration of Mexican society, and to leave as far as possible a just proportion amongst the elements out of which their social fabric is to be reorganized. It is our interest that they should become as free, to be reorganized. It is our microst that they should become as tree, as happy, and as prosperous a people as may be comparible with the capacities of their race. If I should ever live to see the return of peace, I should rejoice in the belief, if eircemstances permitted it, that it was the barbinger of better days, and higher hopes to the Mexican people themselves. But, sir, the most doubtful question of all is, as to the best mode of so conducting the war as to attain these all is, as to the best mode of so conducting the war as to attain these objects. Upon this subject, too, I have my opinious, but I am so conscious of my want of military knowledge, that I should not present them to those who have so much better sources of information, if I did not feel it to be due to candor, to present my views upon subjects which all are considering and discussing. I shall premise, sir, by the admission, that the conduct of the war is eminently an Executive function. Universal experience has shown the necessity for unity of purpose in the chief command of the operations of war. It would be as absurd as impossible for the two honese of Congress to plan a campaign, or direct the details of excessive military congrations. When a clause was introduced in the tensive military operations. When it is allowed in the federal consention empowering Congress to make war, it was amended, and the word "declate" was substituted for "make" upon the express ground that the conduct of the war was an Executive function. I do not deny, sir, that in an extreme case Congress could refuse the supplies for the purpose of stopping a war. This very continuous was contemplated by the framers of our constitution. They have the right, too, to declare the objects of the war, and here again, I admit, the possibility of an extreme ease in which they may be a constituted that they are all the continuous and the conducted, it is not my purpose to contribute by my vote in making any plan of campaign a condition of the supplies. No such extreme case has occurred as would justify Congress in each open continuous and the conducted the conducted of the continuous and the conducted the conducted of the continuous and the conducted the conducted of the continuous and the conductinuous a

tion at present. I would not change the present plan of operations until we as-certain that there is no chance for peace from the party coming into power under Herrera; but if no treaty should be obtrained in the course of a few months, I think then we should adopt what has the course of a few months, I think then we should adopt what has been denominated as the 'line policy' first suggested by the Senator from South Carolina. I do not mean, sir, a mere territorial line, bounding the portion of country which we design to acquire, line, bounding the portion of country which we design to acquire, but a military line, comprehending more than we propose to take, and the property of the pro I am in favor of occupying such a line, Mr. President, because would secure to us all the legitimate objects of the war, as speedily, perhaps more so, than any other plan which has been suggested; heeanse it would save much money and suffering in the future pro-secution of the war; because it would diminish the vast patronage arising out of the present system of infinitary operations; and last, but not least, "disentangle us," as the Senutor from South Carolina has expressed it, from a dangerous connection with Mexican affairs. Several military lines have been suggested, all of them presenting advantages and having something to recommend them. I take one, for the purpose of comparison, which has been suggested to me by my friend, the distinguished Senator from Mississippi, (Mr. DAVIS,) whose knowledge of the subject and military experience give great weight to whatever opinions he may express upon this matter. This line runs from Tampico up the Panuea river to the Sierra Madre, with this range and thence to Agua Nueva; thence to the range of mountain spurs skirting the desert, and forming a species of table land whose streams empty into the Gila, and with this range to a point below the junction of the Gila and the Colorado, and thence to San Diego. This line, he informs me, can be impregnably delended, so far as Mexican means of offence are conimpregnably delended, so far as Mexican means of offence are con-cerned, by 20,000 efficient men. This is a larger estimate than I have seen made for any other of the proposed lines, but this in-cludes more territory, and to make the comparison fairly, I take the largest. With a sea-coast battery at Tampico, covered by a larger force at the head of navigation on the Panuca, a compara tively healthy place, three, or perhaps four other posts are all that would be required to be of any magnitude. Along this line there are but three or four passes over which artillery could be carried on its wheels. The concentric range of the Sierra Madre through most of the populated portion of the country through which our military line would pass, would increase its self-supporting capacity, and facilitate a concentration of troops if any military exigency should require it. After passing Agua Navos a liftle, the country is already held against Mexico by Indian tribes, and probably no formidable post would be required until we reached San Diego. Sir, I have his high authority for saving that such a line could be as securicly held by 20,000 efficient men, that the people on its Sir, I have no many beld by 20,000 efficient men, that one proper so securely held by 20,000 efficient men, that one proper so securely held by Mexican forays. The troops would thus be enabled to draw their supplies from the country on far cheaper abled to draw their supplies from the country on far cheaper the country on the first property of the country on far cheaper the country of the first property of the country on far cheaper abled to draw their supplies from the country on far cheaper able to draw their supplies from the country of area to have their suppress from the country on air careaper territory enough to give us indemnity for the past and security for the future; for it would cover vastly more territory than we ought to wish to acquire, or than the Exective has aircady proposed to accept. It would cover some of the most valuable mines of Mexito wish to acquire, or than the Executer has already proposed to accept. It would cover some of the most valuable mines of Mexico, and place in our possession the passes indispensable to the trade between the provinces north and those south of the Sierra Madre, amongst which would be that through which the trade between tween Tampico and San Luis Potosi is conducted. Both the do-mestic and loreign interests of that province would have a deep concern in the speedy restoration of this commercial outlet to the Mexican people. We should thus create a strong interest for peace with us in the Mexican councils, and possess the means of peace with us in the Alexacan councirs, and possess her means of enforcing a treaty which would secure to us all seeds often the can be fairly proposed in the war, the acquisition of territory and a fair commercial treaty. Notinnial war we might have for a time, but we should essage most of its real evils. This line, fitted to become the base of future often be operations should trey become necessary, and impregnable for defence, would be seeure against any Mexican attack worthy of serious notice, at least until they had settled their divisions and re-established their government;

and the moment this last was effected, if it was strong enough to and the moment this last was effected, if it was strong enough to stand at home, it would have the deepest interest in making a treaty with us, and recovering the territory which we were willing to restore. Our trade, too, would continue to be conducted with the Mexican people, to as great an extent as their condition and the Mexican people, to as great an extent as their condition and capacities admitted—for no legal restrictions could prevent the introduction of our goods through such a line into their territory, We might have nominal war for axhile, sir, under this state of things, as I said before; but we should escape most of its real evils. Even this nominal war would probably case as soon under the policy which I propose, as with the system now pursued. The Senator from Arkansas says that the jarchment title is something, of the property of the property of the property of the property of quire by the have the means of holding by force whatever we are quire by the have the means of holding by force whatever we are quire by the face of the property of t that the we have the means of nothing by note whatever we are quire by treaty. This is so, sir; and any arrangement which brings peace, and which he may consider enough, will not be, probably, deemed too little by me. But, sir, such an arrangement would attain, nor would it probably diminish the nominal duration of the war-for the probability is, that the shadow of a government with which we might treat, would be overthrown the moment our troops were withdrawn, and there is an equal chance that those who succeeded would renew the war in name, though probably not in reality, as we should be secure on any territorial line which we reality, as we should be secure on any territorial line which we should occupy. But, Mr. President, there is yet another strong consideration in favor of occupying such a line. If peace should not speedily come, we should save a great amount of human suffer-ing, and dimmish largely our pecuniary expenses. The losses amongst our troops from disease along such a line would be com-paratively small, for most of the posts would be in a healthy country; and I think I hazard little is axing that thus placed, point of view, the advantages of this policy are agreedly re-trict the present plan of campaign, according to the best es-timates which I have been able to obtain, would require at least 50.000 effective men under Scut's command, and some 10,000 or timates which I have been able to obtain, would require at least 50,000 effective men under Scut's command, and some 10,000 or probably 15,000 troops on Taylor's line, and north and west of it. Sixty thousand efficient men are the least that can be estimated as sufficient for the purpose, and I have heard from high authority that 70,000 would be nearer the mark. Now, sir, the Senator from Mississippi estimates 30,000 men as the proper number to be raised, to give 20,000 efficient men for the occupation of his line, and according to that proportion, we should have to raise 90,000 men, the missing 100 officients. For the other have to raise 90,000 men, to furnish 60,000 effectives, for the other plan of campaign. But, in point of fact, a much smaller proporpan of campaign. But, in point of fact, a much smaller propor-tion of the army would be efficient, when dispersed throughout Mexico, and exposed to all the casualities of disease, and the sword, than amongst men, performing for the most part garrison duty, on a line running through comparatively a healthy country. The difference between the numbers to be raised for the two pla instead of being 50,000, would probably be nearly 60,000. I let us take the smaller number, and suppose that we save the expense of preparing and maintaining 50,000 men, in that distant country, we shall find the difference striking chough. There is no fair estimate of the cost of war in that country, founded on our past experience, which can make it under \$500 per man. clude the bounties and the pensions incident on the greater casual-ities of active operations, and still we have a saving of \$25,000,000, into or active operations, and sin we have a saving 0 52,500 or if hee millions more, making a difference of expense, of between twenty five and thrivy millions per annun, as you act upon the one or the other plan. I believe, sir, that this is a small estimate. But it may be said, that I overlook the item of revenue, which we should raise from the Mexicans, on the one plan, and to some extent loso on the other. I fear, that our hopes in this respect, are far too sanguine. When we come to substract the additional expenses incident to the mere military government of that country, from what we raise by contributions from them. I fear the surplus will be small, not much more than we could raise with better means of celsmatt, not much more than we could raise with better means of col-lection, and lever sources of expenditure, from the country north of the proposed military line. But suppose this fear to be unfounded, and that we could raise some six or eight millions by forced contri-bations, the difference in the expense of the two plans, would still be \$20,000,000, or more. Is not this a matter worthy of consideration—I will not say in the present, but in any state of our finances? Sir, the time was, when the reduction of Executive patronage, which this change of policy would produce, would have been considered as a great recommendation of it to our people. That time, I trust, has not yet passed away. I do not believe that it has. But there is yet another consideration which weighs with great force upon my mind, in favor of the occupation of this line. It would disentangle us from all connexion with Mexican politics, and afford that people an early opportunity to reconstruct their government and social organization, according to their own wishes and necessities. It would save us from all fear of those terrible questions which would arise, if our people should be seduced by tem tion, and incline to the permanent subjugation and amexation of Mex-ico. I believe there is a large majority of them opposed to such a scheme at present, but who can say where the current of events may earry us, if we break up the entire social system in Mexico, and become involved in the dangerous attempt to sustain a go vernment for them. A state of things may arise, which might give them strong claims upon our sympathies, in their demand for our protection against the evils of a horrible anarchy, and the effort to relieve them, may involve us in consequences of the deepest hazard to our own prosperity and institutions. In every point of view it seems to me desirable that we should withdraw to some such line

as I have indicated, unless peace is speedily made. But objections have been urged to it, which I shall endeavor to meet. The Senator from New York says, that if we withdraw to such a line, ator from New York assys, that we will always to Sacu a the there is danger of foreign interposition. He apprehends that the English and French governments might incrpose to recognise Mexican institutions, according to their views and policy. Sir, I listen to every thing which falls from that distinguished Senator, with great respect. The mere weight of his authority upon military matters is much with me, but I am bound to say, that he did not ry matters as much word into our ran bounds say, make the on our to my mod. Six, I regard the diagor of foreign interference as much greater, if the present system of policy should be pursued, than if we take the course which I have suggested. A system of operations, which is calculated to destroy the entire fabric of Mexican society, and wayken the foar that we should make a permanent of the property of the control of the nent seizure, would be much more likely to provoke foreign internear server, wound no much mobile meny to provide reteign moci-ference, than a policy whose objects were problamed to be the abandoment of all, except a portion of the wild territory of Mes-ico, and the restoration of quiet, and the means of reconstructing their government by the Mexican people, as far as this could be done, with out sartificing our own legitimate claums. But if these governments entertain such views, what prevents them from inter-fering now? The Senator from New York would probably say, the knowledge that such interference would provoke instant war. would not such interference have the same results, if we occupied such a military line as I have suggested. If their desire to inter-pose should be strong enough to induce them to hazard war, would not the temptation be far greater when our army was compromised in the heart of Mexico, than if it was posted on such a line as I In the heart of arcayo, taken it was posted on stem a line as J have described, convenient to our resources, and strong for pur-poses of defence or offence. At which line would they be most tempted to strike, a base line from Vera Cruz to Mexico, depend-ing for its support upon our naval superiority, or the one nearer to our resources, and so much more difficult for them to reach? I to our resources, and so much more difficult for them to reach? I think there could scarcely be two opinions upon the subject. But in piont of fact, I do not see much danger of the interference of those powers at present, and especially I we were posted as I have recommended. France is engaged just now, if I may use a word made classic by the distinguished Chairman of Miltary Affairs, in "swallowing" Algeria, and England is "swallowing" Algeria, and England is "swallowing" Algeria, and England is "swallowing" Mr. President, the withdrawal of our troops has been deprecated, Mr. President, the withdrawal of our troops has been deprecated, and the stabilishment of a monarchy in Mcxico. Sir, I trust no man entertains the idea of our maintaining an army in Sir, I trust no man entertains the loca of our maintaining an army in Mexico, to sustain and guarantee a government in that country; monarchy by the Megican themselves, is far greater under the present system of operations, than if we were at once to withdraw to a military line. The present system is fast destroying the old proportion between the elements of Mexican society, it disperses their army, weakens the property holders, who are not members of the priesthood, bears hardest upon the white and a portion of the mixed races, and is breaking down the republican element, which has here Takes, and is officiant of the property of the property of the control of the property of the property of the property of the control and monarchial tendencies of the prices. The price indicate of the prices absolutely by the present policy, and relatively they are strengthened. We know enough of their past history and tendency, to perceive that it is an element in Mexican society entirently invariable to monarchial the property of the present property of the property of th archy. They were of the party of centralistas, and their natural tendencies are to an absolute form of government. If the repub-lican element is much further weakened they will have the most flowrable opportunity for indulgring their wishes. The relative power, not only of the priests but of the Indians, will be greatly increased. These last constitute four-sevenths of the population, increased. These has constitute four-sevenths of the population, and the mixed races two-sevenths more—the pure white is said not to exceed one-seventh of the mass. The natural tendency of the southern Indian races seems to be towards a despotic hierarchy. This tendency seems to be a national characteristic in the colored This tendency selects to the actional tendency for the doubter access. I know of no instance in which they have accumulated so-cial power to any striking extent, except under despotic forms of government, and generally by a union of the powers of the priest and the monarch. The old Mexican and Peruvian nations were remarkable for the most highly refined despotisions of which were remarkance for the most nighty related despotsems of which we have any account. The moving principle in the civilization of the colored races seems to be social strength—with them society is every thing and the individual nothing. This was the strong point of contrast between the Indian system and the European civpoint of contrast between the Indian system and the European civilization which developes more largely individual resources. Now sir, if philanthropy has an interest in grafting, successfully, the European principle upon the Indian stock, the chaose, I fear, will be lost if the portion of the white race who belong to the laity, he much farther weakened before we withdraw. The termitation to establish a monarchy or hierarchy, I fear, will be irresistible; if the pricishood finds itself and the Indians the predominant elements in society. But if we were to withdraw our armies now or in the course of a lew months, perhaps the republican element might events of the end of the property of the property of the property of the course of a lew months, perhaps the republican element might white resources of the property of the property of the property of the civilization, a graft of the European upon the Indian stock. Its espacities are perhaps not high, certainly inferior to our own, but still it seems to have a living principle which may serve some useful purpose in the general scheme of Providence. I should be moultfull purpose in the general scheme of Providence. I should be unwilling to disturb it. I would not wantooly tread out the vital spark in any thing that lives. Their system has the growth of more than two centuries, and I would not unnecessarily destroy it.

But, sir, I come now to the last in the series of the objections which I have heard urged against what is called the line policy. It seems to he thought by some, that anch a course would stain our military reputation. How could it possibly have that effect, the country of the property of the property

#### Time cannot teach forgetfulness, When Grief's full heart is fed by fame

There are no further fields in Mexico in which we could add to our military reputation by exhibitions of skill and power. Moderation in success is as necessary as valor to complete the heroic

But, Mr. President, I cannot dwell further upon this subject. I have given my views because it was due to candor to do so, but as I said before, I shall not attempt to dictate plans of campaign to the President, whose duty it is to conduct the war and who is responsible for its efficient execution. If I were disposed to interfere I should see no practical result to flow from a redissal of the ten regiments, so far as the plan of campaign is concerned. Tho same plan would be pursued, but with forces proclaimed to be in-adequate for the purpose, by those who are responsible for its exceution. If extensive military operations are to be pushed from the heart to the extremities of Mexico, an adequate force should be provided for it. Considerations of peace as well as the interests of war require it.

Mr. President, I have discussed the questions before us in a feature.

Mr. President, I have discussed the questions before us in reference only to the proper objects of the war and the best means of attaining them. These are the practical considerations which press most forcibly upon us. I have not entered into any discussion of the origin or justice of the war. The first belongs not to our foreign, but our domester relations, and the last it is too late consider. Our government and people are committed as to the work, what prevents those who believe the war unjust and that the territory in dispute belongs to the Mexicans, from acting upon the legitimate conclusions from such premises? What prevents them from advocating the abandonment of the country beyond the Nucees, and the offer of indemnity to Mexico for the wrongs which they believe her to have sustained? Such undoubtedly would be the consequences of those opinions, and yet there is not a man here, I presume, who would sustain such prepositions. It must be better the present of the present of the present of the country here of the question is no longer open. Whit when activate it and irritate the public mind by allusions which are offensive to it? The sense of national honor beats high in the American heart and its every pulse vibrates at the mere suspicion of a stain upon its reputation. But that same heart is warmed by generous impulses and noble cunotions. If you would imoderate its last of empire and its spirit of acquisition, appeal to its magnaminity towards a feeble and prostrate foe—appeal to it in the name and the consequence of the presence of the noble ambition, to take the post of honor among nations, and lead the above the presence of the presence of

from the habitations of civilized man, his path is towards the mildernoss, through whose silent solitudes for more than two centuries he has been rapidly and triumphantly networking. Let him plungs still deeper into the forest, as the natural reviation of the tide of population impels him onward. His progress in that direction is one of unmixed henceleane to the human race. The earth smiles hencath his feet, and a new creation arises as if by enchantment at his touch. Household fires illuminate his line of march, and new-horn lights, strange visitants to the night of primeval solitude, kindle on domestic altras receted to all the peaceful virtues and kindly affections which consecrate a hearth and ondear a home. Victorious industry sacks the forest and mines, the quarry, for materials for its stately cities, or spans the streams and saps the mountain to open the way for the advance of civilization still deeper into the pathless farest and the neglected wild. The light of human thought pours in winged streams from sea to sea, and the lingering nomad may have but a noments pane to behold the

flying ear which comes to invade the haunts so long secured to savage life. These are the aspirations worthy of our name and race, and it is for the American ecople to decide whether a taste for peace or habits of war are most consistent with such hopes. I trust that they may be guarded by wisdom in their clude.

Mr. MILLER obtained the floor, and indicated his intention of addressing the Senate upon the bill to-morrow.

# EXECUTIVE SESSION.

The Senate proceeded to the consideration of Executive business, and after some time spent therein,

On motion.

The Senate adjourned.

# TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 8, 1848.

# RESOLUTIONS OF STATE LEGISLATURES.

Mr. BRIGHT presented a prenuble and resolution passed by the Lagislature of the State of Indiana, in favor of passing a law to provide for the payment of the claums of the representatives of Francis Vigo, for advances to the troops under General George Rogers Clark in the Illinois campaign; which were ordered to be printed.

Mr. CAMERON presented a resolution passed by the Legislature of the State of Pennsylvania, in favor of abolishing the postage on newspapers not sent over thirty miles from the place of publication, and such other modifications of the post office laws as will provide a uniform system of cheap postage on letters and newspapers; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post roads.

#### PETITIONS

Mr. VULEE, in presenting the memorial of Benedict Madeore, Viear General of Florida, and pastor of the church of St. Angustine, and the memorial of the trustees and members of that church, praying the re-transfer, to its rightial owners, of the property belonging to the church, which was improperly conveyed to the United States at the session of Florida, as public property, by the Spanish authorities; which were referred to the Committee on Private Land Claims, remarked that he had given a cursory examination to the papers connected with this memorial, and that he thought they exhibited a sufficiently fair ground for the title set deriving of the committee. He calmin for the deliberate consideration of the committee. He recommended the memorial to their attention.

Mr. BRADBURY presented two petitions of citizens of the county of Hancock, Maine, praying the establishment of a mail route from Bneksport to George's Corner, in that State; which were referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads

Also, the petition of Alfred Marshall, Collector of the District of Belfast, in the State of Maine, praying an increase of compensation; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce.

Mr. STURGEON presented the petition of citizens of Allegbeny county, Pennsylvania, praying a reduction of the rates of postage on newspapers; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Also, the petition of Samuel Blake, praying compensation for his services in taking the sixth census; which was referred to the Committee of Claims.

Mr. BRIGHT presented the memorial of Thomas L. L. Brent, praying to be allowed an outfit as Charge des Affairs of the United States at the court of Madrid; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

Mr. MASON presented the petition of C. H. McCormick, praying an extension of his patent for a reaping machine; which was referred to the Committee on Patents and the Patent Office.

Mr. CAMERON presented the petition of Joseph Nock, praying an extension of his patent for padlocks, desk, chest and truck locks; which was referred to the Committee on Patents and the Patent Office.

Mr. DIX presented the petition of John W. Leuchs, praying the return of certain goods seized by the Collector of the port of New York, for an alleged violation of the revenue laws, and indemnity for loss sustained by him in consequence of such seizure; which was referred to the Committee on Finance.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, presented the memorial of John Goldler, praying remuneration for an alleged infringement by the Government of the United States of his patent for an improvement in the system of public finance; which was referred to the Committee on Finance.

Mr. WESTCOTT presented the petition of John P. Baldwin, praying that a register may issue to him for the schooner Robert Henry; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce.

# On motion by Mr. CAMERON, it was

Ordered, That the heirs of James Bell have leave to withdraw their petition and papers.

## TEMPORARY ENGROSSING CLERK

 $Mr.\ MANGUM$  submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to:

Renderd, That the Scentary of the Senate be not bound to usy, on of the configuration that the person who prior ment the duties of one of the Eugensian Clerk, of the Senate who was such during the lar recess, at the same rate of composation as is usually paid to temporary clerks employed by the Senate, for the time he was so engaged.

### NATIONAL FOUNDRY.

Mr. BAGBY submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to:

Resolved, That the Committee on Military Affairs be instructed to inquire into the expediency of causing a survey and examination to be made with a view to the establishment of a national floundry at Tuscalooa, in the State of Alabama.

#### MEMORIAL OF FRIENDS.

Mr. CAMERON, from the Committee on Printing, to whom was referred the motion to print the momorial of the representatives of the Religious Society of Friends for the States of New York, Vermont, Michigan, and some parts adjacent, praying for the settlement of the difficulties with Mexico by negotiation, reported that the same be not printed; which was agreed to

# SCHOOL LANDS.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, asked, and obtained, leave to bring in a bill to authorize the relinquishment of the 16th section in certain cases, and the selection of other lands in lien thereof; which was read the first and second times by uncertainty are represented to the Committee on Private Land

nimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Private Land Claims.

MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Repre-

sentatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:
Mr. President: The Rouse of Representatives have passed joint resolutions of the

Joint resolution expressive of the thanks of Congress to Major General Wurfield Scott and the troops, under his communit, for their distinguished gallautry and good conduct in the campaign of 1847.

Joint re-olution expressive of thanks to Major General Taylor.

In which they request the concurrence of the Senate.

## NOTICE OF A BILL

Mr. DOWNS gave notice that on to-morrow, or some early day tentiler, he will ask leave of the Seoate to introduce a bill to amend an act control of the seoate to introduce a bill to amend an act control of the seoate of the se

# THANKS TO GEN. SCOTT.

The joint resolution from the Honse of Representatives expressive of the thanks of Congress to Major General Winfield Scott, and the troops under his command, was read the first and second times by unanimous consent.

Mr. YULEE.—I desire to suggest an amendment to the resolution, or at all events, I hope that the committee to which the resolution will be referred, will so modify the resolution as to make it embrace the officers of the Navy of the United States, who participated in the operations at Vera Cruz.

Mr. BADGER.—Introduce a separate resolution.

Mr. YULEE.-I hope these Naval Officers will be included.

Mr. MANGUM—I trust that the honorable Senator will not nisst upon a reference of this resolution. I think that the usual course has been to pass separate resolutions, and if the gentleman will propose a resolution of thanks to the officers of the Navy, it would meet with our cordial assent. I hope that this resolution will be put upon its passage and the order of the contraction of the order of the order

Mr. NILES.—I think that this is a subject of some delicacy, and that the resolution ought to be referred. There is, I presume, on doubt that the body will manimously agree to carry out the substantial purposes intended to be effected by the resolution. But the form of the resolution may be a matter of some importance; and it may be worthy of consideration, whether the scope of it is such as to do justice to all the officers, who have a right to expect even handed justice from us. I move its referrence to the Committee on Military Affairs.

The resolution was referred to the Committee on Military Af-

# THANKS TO GEN. TAYLOR.

The joint resolution from the House of Representatives expres-

resources, made its way through the heart of the enemy's country, resources, made its way through the near to the chemy's country, decended into the valley of Mexico, contended with an army three times its number, strongly fortified in chosen positions, and fighting in defence of their country, beneath the walls of its ancient capital. The least mistake, the smallest blunder, on the part of our commanding general would have been destruction to our little army; but there was no blunder, no mistake there. row defiles, down rocky precipices, along forthed causways, storming embattled castles, that heroic band fought its way into the walled city of Mexico, and our flag now waves in triumph over its ancient palaces. All this has been accomplished by an army of less than 10,000 men. Well might the Secretary of War state in his control. his report-

"That the undertaking to be achieved compared with the means employed was one of unexampled difficulty, and daring, and to seeme seesses, required a wonderful combination of military science, consumate skill, and disciplined value."

When we look to another line of our operations in Mexico, we see that General Taylor is left there to maintain a defensive posi-tion with a force of only 5,000 men, the principal part of which were raw troops. He was left there at a time, too, when it was known to the administration here that the canny was on the field smoon to the administration here that the enemy was on the held with a large force ready to strike at the weakest point of our line. Santa Anna with the knowledge of the reduced force under General Taylor, and of his exposed position, was not long in detecting what he supposed to be an opportunity afforded him to tegain his sinking fortunes so na to enable him to fulfil his prior engagements with our Excentive, to put an end to the war; but in this he was sadly disappointed. Our little army of five thousand received the shock of twenty thousand men, and drove them back in disastrous flight.

Now, Mr. President, while the world accords all praise and honor to our army in Mexico for unparalleled deeds of skill and valor—deeds so hazardous, so disproportioned to the force employwhether if also in material of selects had been the result of their superhuma efforts, not a you of dishour would have fallen upon either officers or nen-yet the country will impure, why it was that so small a force was employed in the execution of enterprises so disproportionate to its strength. Was it because Orgress had refused to required the secrince? Was It because Congress Band relused to grant more men and money? No, sir, not at all. For heliore and at the very time when our armies were exposed to these miheard of hazards, the President had full power to increase our force in Mexico to forty thousand men. I will not say that the President is to blame an ord sending this force into the field at a time when it was most required; difficulties attending the cultisation of troops for this way and two accounts in the contributions. for this war may have prevented it. But certainly neither Congress nor the whig party are to be condemned for leaving Gen. Taylor with five thousand men to contend with twenty thousand, nor are they to be held responsible for directing General Scott to execute the grand campaign of Mexico with only ten thousand men; when by every rule of war twenty-five thousand would have been a small force for an enterprise of such difficulty and hazard

If twenty thousand nen have accomplished all that I have stated in Mexico, will not our present authorized force, amounting to over fifty thousand men, be sufficient for the protection of our army and the maintenance of our positions there? Yet now after the campaign is passed, after all its hazards have been encountered, the victory won, and the enemy helpless and harmless at our feet, the administration wakes up to a sense of duty towards the our tect, the automastration wakes up to a sense of duty forwards the army in Mexico, talks about its perilous position; and the chair-man of the Committee on Militury Affairs calls upon us without any delay to raise ten new regiments for the war. I can see no necessity, connected with the public service in Mexico, requiring this additional force.

this additional force. I will now proceed to show that this additional force is not ne-ressary to the attainment of any of the objects of the war hitherto awoved by the Excentive or contemplated by the country. There is, I coaless, some difficulty in anning all the objects of this war. They are changed, multiplied, or diminished, from time to time at the will of the Executive. It must be manifest to all, that we are lighting to-day for objects not contemplated by any one on the 12th of May, 1846. But as far as we are able to gather these edjects from the oblival communications of the Presi-

dent, they are as follows:

First. To repel invasion and avenge the blood of our citizens;

Second. To overthrow the government of Paredes and to restore Santa Anna;

Third. To obtain indemnity for the past and security for the

Third. To obtain momentry for any passes of future;
Fourth. To compute a peace.

Now, Mr. President, I will endeavor to show from the solution admissions of the Executive, made in his official communications to Congress, that all these objects have already been fully accomplished, save one—to conquer a peace; which I am inclined to think is the very last thing the President desires to conquer.

As to the first named object, we all know that the invasion, if invasion it was, has loud since been repelled, and repelled, too, in such a manner as forever to secure us against its respectition from that

sion II was, me song since occu repetied, and repetited, too, in saich a munor as forever to secure us negatist its repetition from that quarter; and as to the shedding of the blood of our citizens, the most sangitatisty among as must admit that our vengeance has been glutted to a careful. But I will life the President express his own opinion upon this point. In his message of December, 1816,

"Longratulate you on the success which has this attended our nubrary and naval operations. In fess than seven months after Maxia commence thoughtes, at a time-solered by herself, we have taken postession of many of the principal ports, threes

back and purued berinvading army, and acquired military possesson of the Mexico provinces of New Mexico, New Leon, Coshulla, Tamagalapas, and the Belse of the Coshulla relationships, and the Belse of the University large in extent than that embraced in the congulatiblines asked of the University of the Coshulland of

How the second object of the war was attained, I will also let the President state in his own words :

The version state in its own words:

"The vas the state of affine exting when Congress, on the 18th of May last, reconnect the extreme in the var with had been commenced by the govern must of harsles, and the sine an older of must importance, with a view to a best of the contract of th

The third object of the war divides itself into two parts-indemnity and security. I will consider them in their order. First, as to indemnity. We might have been at a loss to know not only what we were to be indemnified for, but also the amount required and the manner of obtaining it, had not the President informed us upon all these points. He tells us that we are to be indemnified for the claims of our citizens upon the government of Mexico, and also for the expenses of the war. The amount is somewhat uncertain, being estimated in lands. The manner of obtaining indemnity is for the expenses or the way.

being estimated in lands. The manner of obtaining indemnity is by seizing and appropriating to ourselves certain Mexican provinces or states. With this information before us, 1 think it can be cess or states. With this information before us, 1 think it can be ces or states. With this information before us, I timis it can be clearly shown, from the President's late message, that he has this indemnity in unount, mode, manner, and kind, now in his hands, never to be given up. Nay, further, that he has more than full indemnity—more than his sense of justice will permit him to hold interainty—more and in sension of pactice win perint finit in order to adjust the account. I will now proceed to show this. Shortly after the adjust the account. I will now proceed to show this. Shortly after the adjustment of the last Congress, the President sent a Commissioner. Mr. Trist, to Mexico, with powers to negotiate a trenty of peace with that government. The President says that:

treaty of pence with that government. The President says that:

"The commonwer of the United Strate took with him the projet of a very already persisted, by the Commonwer of the United Strate was anthorized to agree to the establishment of the Commonwer of the United Strates was a cossion of fermion, as the boundary, from the variance into the Gulf to its intervent of the Rot formules as the boundary, from the variance into the Gulf to its intervent of the Rot formules and the Coldonnes, and to obtain a cossion to the United States of the projet of war around the Coldonnes, and the englishment, and the englishment of the anatyper. The boundary of the Rot Grander, and the evidentiant of the Coldonness of the Confederation of the Confed

Mexico having refused this ultimatum, the President says "we should adopt measures to indemnify ourselves by appropriating permanently a portion of her territory. And not satisfied with his own ultimatum, the President now adds Lower California to the indemnity, and then tells us that New Mexico and the California to the nias are in our undisputed occupation, and have been for many months; all resistance on the part of Mexico having ecased within their limits, and that he is satisfied that they should never be surrendered to Mexico. But I will read from the message

rendered to Mexico. But I will rend from the message:

"These primars are now in our milespettel conjugate, and lave been so for many months, all restance on the part of Mexico having crossed within their limits. I may started it in the position of the part of Mexico having crossed within their limits. I as statisfied it in they should never be sometimed to the Mexico. Should Conserve consure the testing of the properties of the properties

If it be true, that New Mexico and the Californias are now in our If it be true, that New Mexico and the Californias are now in our undisputed occupation—if it be right that they should never be surrendered to Mexico—if these territories are so permanently ours that no treaty of peace such as we would be willing to make can change our relations towards them—if it be true that we have the thange our cola place seeds them, would be willing for finite collection of the prover and the right now, to-duty, the extende our view to have well them and make them constituent parts of our country, have we them and make them constituent parts of our country, have we not, I ask, got full, and more than full, indemnity in our own hands? We have one state more than the President asked for in his ultimatum, and the "pecuniary consideration" which the President was willing to pay in the bargam, is still in our treasury. Why then continue the war for undermity? I sit because you wish to compel a treaty from Mexico? This cannot be the object of the Executive, for he has told us, and he has told Mexico, that no treaty of peace can deprive us of the possession of these territory of the parts of the property our own people for territorial indemnity already in our possession, and which can only be lost to us by our own government re-

ject it as an illegal and an unjust acquisition?

There is no necessity of continuing the war for indemnity. We have it now if we think proper to enjoy it. The question now is—

not how much territorial indemnity we shall conquer from Mexico, but whether we shall hold what we have already acquired. This is a domestic question, and it would be well, I think, for us to settle is a domestic question, and it would be well, I think, for us to setue it among ourselves, before we waste more money and shed more blood in Mexico — It may turn out that while we are fighting Mexico for more territory, we shall reject that which we have already obtained by our arms

Having shown that we have full, and more than full indemnity for the past, now in our possession, there is nothing left to fight for on this point, but security for the future. I confess that I am somewhat at a loss to know against what we are to be secured, somewhat a loss to know against what we are to be security. Is the President airaid that Mexico will hereafter raise now armies to invade the United States, or that she may wenture to re-cross the Rio Grande, to re-conquer the disputed territory in Texas? It the mortal blows which we have inflicted upon Mexico are not sufficient security against any future aggressions on he part, nothing short of utter annihilation can give that security, and that kind of security we disclaim. Can it be, that this nation of twenty millions of freemen—this republic of moral and physical twenty minions of freemen—this reputation of inform and payssen power sufficient to defend itself against the world in arms, requires for its safety, a boad from Mexico that she will keep the peace As well might a giant ask of a fretful pigmy, security against an assault upon his person. But we desire security, says the Senator from New York (Mr. Dix.), against foreign interference in Mexico. I agree to all that that honorable Senator said, and so eloquently said, against the unlawful and wicked policy of foreign interference; but I did not, learn, by the Senator's argument, how was were to resist the injustice of that policy, by interfering with,

ws were to resist the injustice of that policy, by interiering with, and overthrowing the government of a sister republic.

But how can you get this seemity, if you think proper to demand it? Will the word of Mexico, pledged in a treaty, suffice? This would bind Mexico, but it would not bind other nations who might desire to interfere. And who will be Mexico's security that she will keep her word? Will you accept of any foreign interference for that purpose? It is all die to talk about prosecuting this war to obtain security for the future. The United States is strong enough to be her own seemity against any future aggression upon her national rights, be it made by Mexico alone, or in combination with any or all the nations of the world.

The fourth object of the war, "to conquer a peace," has not yet been realized.

been realized.

Although, when Congress acknowledged the war and provided Although, when Congress acknowledged the war and provided for its prosention, peace, and speedy peace, was made its first and great object, the policy since pursued by the Executive has so prolonged and so complicated the accomplishment of this object, that it is now very doubtful whether we are to conquer peace until we shall have conquered every thing else in Winter Charles Charles and the programment of the configuration of the conquered and the conquered of the last, but the least thing worthy of our conquest. But there is a point, in the prosecution of every legitimate war, when peace forces itself upon the successful party. That point is when all the declared objects of the war have been obtained. To earry on a war after this, is to convert a war which may have been legal and upits in its inception, into an illegal and an anjust one. It then becomes a war of aggression, having no object for its further prosecution, other than the solution or the destreation of the source. secution, other than the spoliation or the destruction of the enemy section, other time in spontation or the west action of the content of the conten excited by blood, with the enemy prostrate and helpless at our feet, and every object for which we commenced hostilities attained leet, and every object for which we commenced hostilities attained or within our control, if we do not make peace now, this war will become an ex parter war. Then, with no enemy in the field to resist our arms, and with uo government in council to accept our terms of peace, war will become our master, and standing, with the weapons of eath and destruction in his hand, upon the runtil of Mexico, will turn his grin wisage upon us, and defy our control. It is in van for us to seek to conquer a peace from Mexico. She is reduced to that postion by our arms, in which who can be can be their maintain war nor make peace.

But the Executive says we must continue to prosecute the war The the Executive says we must continue to prosecute the war for the purpose of compelling Mexico to make a treaty with us. Let us enquire how or by what process the President expects arrive at this result. He informs as in his message, that heretofore the war has been conducted in a spirit of hherality and forbearance towards Mexico. That

"The Mexicans having thus shown themselves to be wholly incapable of appreciating our forbestance and fiberality, it was deemed proper to change the manner of conducting the way, by making them feel its pressure according to the usages observed under similar circumstances by all other civilized nations."

To make the Mexicans feel this additional pressure of the To make the Mexicans feel this additional pressure of the war, the President's scheme is this, (part of which has already been executed,) by drawing supplies from the people of Mexico without paying for them—by seizing upon all the external and internal re-venues—and in addition to the several States now in our mil-itary occupation, to tike possession of all the others. To spread our army over all Mexico, and then to prosecute the war with innexanced anerw and newer in the virial nears of the gegmt's spread our army over all Mexico, and then to prosecute the war with increased energy and power in the vital parts of the enemy's country. In thus prosecuting the war, the President does not fail to see, as all must see, the very resulf—the overthrow of the Mex-ican government, which he solemnly disclaims to be his object, and seeing this, he anticipates the emergency, and proposes that if we should, by this increased energy and power, strike so hard as to annihilate the government of Mexico, to restore it to life again and give to the people of Mexico, under the "encouragement of our commanding generals in the field, a free republican govern-ment of their own choice, able and willing to conclude a peace which would be just to them, and secure to us the indemnity we demand. This may become the only mode of obtaining such a peace."

But, sir, suppose our commanding generals in the field should But, sir, suppose our commanding generals in the field should fail, by means of forced contributions, by confusation, by taxes, by stamp duties, by striking first at the heart and then at the vi-tals, by fire and sword, to encourage the people of Mcxioo in the establishment of a free republican government of "their own choice," and and willing to conclude a peace which would secure to us the "indemnity we demand." What then? The President has also guarded this point. He is prepared even for this ultimatum. Let him answer for himself.

"If, after alfording this encouragement and protection, and after all the procession and uneverselective that it is and uneverselective to the moment. Means our moment the property of process, and must continue to occupy her country with our timey, taking the full measure of indemnity into our own hands, and must entire the terms which our honor demands."

Then, indeed, we shall have exhausted all means of peace, for we shall then stand upon the tomb of a sister republic, amidst the ruins of her former glory, and with the arrogance of the conqueror, take full measure of indemnity into our own hands and enforce such terms as our honor demnads. There will be none to stay our hand or to resist our power. The President here drops had demand of "security for the inture." It is well, for there will be demand of "security for the inture." It is well, for there will be demand of conquest of peace when the conquest of Who see that his conquest of peace means the conquest of Mexico 2.

result to which the policy of the Excentive leads? Who does not see that his conquest of peace means the conquest of Mexico? I will now proceed to consider my fourth proposition. That the additional military force now asked for, is to be used for the purpose of conquering Mexico and annexing her people and territory to our Union. This, I have said, is a new object of the war, never before openly avowed by the Executive, nor sanctioned by Congress, nor contemplated by the country.

It is something more than a new object of an existing war. It is in fact a new war now about to be authorized or rejected by it is not not never the constant of the control of the

ten, or only recited as a protogue to that deep and fatal tragedy we are now about to perform on Mexico.

One of the greatest obstacles to the adjustment of our difficul-One of the greatest obstacles to the adjustment of our difficul-ties with Mexico, arises out of the fact, that there never was a le-gal declaration of war by either nation. Congress has not to this day declared the causes which led to the war, nor specified the objects for which it is to be prosecuted, other than the general out I have before stated, a speedy and successful peace. Instead of a solemn declaration of causes and objects, we have had nothing but catch mode and out the same speed as expensive block. soreann access actions or classes am on objects, we narky and nothing but ing pence, indemnity and security, manifest destiny, and quiger-sion of the Anglo-Saxon race. Now, sir, before we enter upon this war, for the annihilation of a sister republic, let us at least declare to the world the causes which impel us to the act. When in the course of human events it became necessary for our fathers in the course of human events it became necessary for our fathers to dissolve the political bands which connected them to the mother country, and to assume among the powers of the earth a separate and equal statuon, they deemed if due to the opinions of mankind, that they should declare the causes which impelled them to the se-paration. With what religious solemnity and scruppious particularity they recount the causes which led them to resort to arms in defence of their rights.

If in the case of a revolutionary war-a war in defence of social If in the case of a revolutionary war—a war in defence of social and political liberty—a decent respect to the opinions of mankind required a declaration of the causes which led our people to dissolve the political bands which had connected them with another, how much more does a respect for those opinions demand of us to declare the causes which impel us to destroy the political existence of a sister republic, for the purpose of annexing its people and territory to our dominion if

territory to our dominion? If it be the will of this nation to prosecute a war for this pur pose, it is due to ourselves, it is due to Mexico, it is due to the world, that we should, by all the forms known to the constitution, such a war. At present there is no authority expressed of the property of t the accomplishment of such an object, I cannot, I dare not, and I will not, give to the Executive either men or money.

But it is said that the Executive does not intend to prosecute the

30TH CONG .- IST SESSION:-No. 31.

When the distinguished Senator from South Carolina introduced his resolutions against the annihilation of the nationality of Mexicos the Senator from Medigan (M. Carolina and M. Carolina and

Mr. CASS said, no, he had never thought of any such thing, and hoped the Senator from New Jersey would not misrepresent him.

Mr. MHLLER.—I extrainly do not wish to miscepresent that honorable Sentor, and, I think, if he will here use a lattle three he will be satisfied that, have no such intention. I do not say that that Senator is in lavor of the project, for I know he has disclaimed at several times in the course of this debate, and I am happy to hear him disclam in tow: but certainly that Senator must admit that he has since thought of the subject—thought of it, too. with complacency—thinks the ting can be done without harm—for he has said that if we should swallow on the said that in the peak of the time that have the said of the

and deadly plagues.
We next have the acts of the government in the general order lately issued by our commanding general in Mexico, under the direction of the Executive. By this order the army is to spread itself over, and to occupy, the republic of Mexico. Then the order directs the control of the executive.

"On the occupation of the principal point or point in any State, the payment to the Federal Government of this Republic of all taxes or their of whatever name or kinds hereofore—by a the year 1844—payable to or collected by that Government and hereofore and the collection of the semanded of the peoper cut authorities for the support of the army of occupation.

"The internal taxes or does referred to are: 1, direct taxes; 2, dates on the production of gold and other; 3, melting and assaying direc; 4, the tobacco rem; 5, the rent of stamped paper; 6, the rent of the manufacture of playing cards; and, 7, the rent of pool offices."

In addition to this, the Chairman on Military affairs, speaking by authority, informs us that the increase of the army is required to enable us to take possession of every state capital in Mexico; tog of front town to town, in order to conquer what he calls the stubiorness of the Mexican people. What kind of warriare is this, and in what must it result! Hitherto, we have been righting for what we called national rights on our part, against minional wrongs and aggressions on the part of Mexico. We have been righting for what we called national rights on our part, against minional wrongs and aggressions on the part of Mexico. We have with our heat of the state o

material. It is no fit service for the gallant citizen soldier. Police men, tax gatherers and constables, and old enstom house officers, are the materials for this army. It is no place for the heroes of the last campings, and I congratulate the Senator, as one of those honored heroes, upon his having retired from such a service as this, It is well that the gallant Quintum, and the heroes Shields, should

have leave of absence. It is right that the old hero of Buena Vista, should be permitted to return to the comforts of his own

Yes, sir, if this be the kind of warfare you intend to earry on in Mexico, call home, (don't suspend,) call home under any pretendvon may please to to assign, the commanding general. That is no fit business for the Wellington of the new word, although the first of generals, he will make but a poor collector of taxes.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi .- Will the honorable Senator allow Mr. DAVIS. of missisppi.—Will use noncrone senator anow use an opportunity to correct the missone-grain he has fallen into special reference? The Senator has greatly misappreheaded my meaning greatly misunderstood my feeling, if he sup-poses that I said, or thought, the regular soldiers of our army should be drawn from a class morally degraded. —I army should be drawn from a class morally degraded. I described the duties of holding posts upon military iness; when active service was not anticipated, where the presence of danger did not act as substitute for discipline, and where there can be but little to support that military entlusiasm which draws the militia man from his home, as more appropriately and beneficially to be performed by "regulars." I speke of them as a class of men whose commercial and family connections were not such as to whose commercial and family connections were not such as to make it a great sacrifice to continue in war, whose condition reconciled them, for the pay of a soldier, to take up arms and followit as the profession of their life. Upon such men standing in such relations to the government, it is admissible, it is just to impose duties which we should not require to be performed by the volunteer—a citizen whose professional, property, and family interests me sufficing in his absence: a patriot whose ear became deaf to every other voice when he heard his commercial is a foregiven were try calling for men to sustain her standard in a foreign war. Try conting means to be sent mer schools have been been a reproductive to the region of the region o no more than the universal usage of civilized war justifies in such cases, they have fallen far short of the practice by invading armies no more than the universal usage of evuluzed war justifies in such cases, they have falled in a short of the practice by invading armies of other countries. To a very small extent only has it ever been attempted to quarter our forces upon the enemy. The only question which could the limit of policy. The Senator, as others have done before him, treats of the enemy as conquered, prostrate, at our merey. He says Mexico must be galvanized into the seeming of hostility. Heretofore I have expressed the opinion, that this confidence in the helplessness of Mexico might produce such results as flowed from the life fluended security of former times. Lying before me are two papers just received—one contains at letter from the mouth of the Rio Grande dated 25th of Jananry, the other a statement from an officer recently returned from the array division commanded by Gen. Wool. Both snesk of a probation of the control of the statement of the properties of the control of the properties of the control of the properties. It is not beginning of this discussion I urged the speedy passage of the bill before us, to meet exactly this contingency. It is one of the various reasons which move, as then, in my opinion calls for prompt action by the Senate. If the Senator will indulge me, I will notice a remark which he made in special reference to my collegage, who was not in his sent. It was in reference to the general charge against the democracy of the present day, as improductly grasping affert territories. mocracy of the present day, as impredently grasping after terri-tory, as unmindful of the lessons of our fathers, and unmindful of the limitations of the constitution. The men of our revolution, when the limitations of the constitution. The men of our revolution, when forming the compact of our Union, locked to the north and contemplated the annexation of the British possessions in Canada. The hardy pioneers who crossed the Alleghanies, whilst they yet stood in the midst of a vast wilderness, were ready to secure their commercial intersts by fighting for the month of the Mississippi. By revolutionary movement a part of West Florida was seized by our people, for security. Presedent Madison extended United States jurisdiction over it. Alexander Hamilton, who will probably he recognized as the highest authority by the homorable Seanor, en tertained opinions compared to which, any I have heard expressed here are very circumscribed. In a letter addressed to Major McHenry, in 1797, Mr. Hamilton says, in connection with the subject of military preparation:

"Besides eventual scensity against invasion, we ought to look certainly to the possession of the Floridas and Louisiana, and we ought to squart at South America,"

Forheaving from either comment or argument, I refer to these evidences in our past history, to show that the conduct of the present administration has not exceeded the policy of past times; that we are not merely running into excesses, but, as a comparison of conduct will show, the men of to-dar are more conservative free, rigorous step of youth, we move with the cautions, measured trend of maturer years; and when we are called "progressives," and charged with rashness in our policy of territorial extension—let history answer.

Mr. MILLER.—I would be the last to misrepresent the Senator. The kind of service I refer to is that indicated in the General Order issued by the commanding general under the direction of our Executive. It is this kind of service which I consider would be degrading to the gallant spirits who fought the last empaign; and

in that view, I think the Senator and myself entirely agree. For, sir, did not the Senator on the oceasion to which allusion has been sir, did not the Senator on the occasion to which allusion has been made, draw a very strong line of distinction between the volunteers and regulars with especial reference to the morale of the two descriptions of military force? Did he not speak of the one as the "chivalry of the country"—"the high-bred gentlement fitted for the battley whilst he represented the other as "men of a low grade in society," adapted to the "mere routine daties of the police of a garrison?" Certainly, if my recollection we have a problem of a garrison?" Certainly, if my recollection we have a considerable of layor of the employment of regulars instead of volunteers, and told us expressly that the service, which now remained

honorable geniteman made that distinction the very basis of his argument in davor of the onephyneme to regulars instead of volunteers, and told us expressly that the service which now remained to be discharged by our troops in Mexico was of a character to be discharged by our troops in Mexico was of a character. I have referred to this new mode of prosecuting the war for the purpose of showing, that by it the Executive intends not peace, not indemnity for the past, nor security for the future, but the entire conquest of Mexico and the annihilation of her nationality. He has aiready more than half accomplished his purpose. The military decree which I have read snows that all power in Mexico, political, municipal and social, is now exercised by our commanding General. Having overthrown by our arms every organic form of government in Mexico, we are now at work upon the elements of society, and in overy town and "larged" our power is seen and felt. This is conquest, thorough and entire, it is conquest in that terrific form, mentioned by the Senator from Mississippi, (Mr. Davis.) rain, national ruin, the nanibilation of all organised power to resist the conqueror. For what purpose then do you want this new army? For no purpose that I can conceive of but to reduce a subhigated country to your possession.

The Senator from South Carolina used a bold and startling if gure when he said Mexico was now a coppee, but it is no less true

The Senator from South Carolina used a bold and starting ingure when he said Mexico was now a corpec, but it is no less true than bold. The government of Mexico is dead; it can neather raise a hand to resist our power, nor a voice to ask our mercy. Mexico lies before us a mere subject for dissection. The mortal blows inflitted by our army have brought her to this. There is no more work for the sword to de. New let the President approach and operate upon the subject. This is his business? Cut off an arm or a feg, sever the head, strice is at the heart, piece the videal arm or a feg. sever the head, strice is it can not there speak nor strike.

strike.

But do not, I pray you employ our gallant army in this mere surgical operation. The Secretary of State with a galvanic battery, if made of gold, can give motion caough to the hand to blur a mark to a treaty of peace, and a little more pressure upon the bold will force out sounds resembling indemnity and security.

But to drop this ghostly figure, what I mean to say is, that Mexico is now reduced to that helpless condition, that peace and the terms of peace are within our power, and under our sole control and dectation. To this point I now desire to call the attention of the peace are within our power, and under our sole control and dectation. To this point I now desire to call the attention to this point I now desire to call the attention.

tention of the Senate.

All parties here have expressed a desire for speedy and honora-peace. The Senator from Arkansas, [Mr. Sevier,] says that there is no man in the nation more opposed to this war than the President himself. If all be sincere in this desire, I believe we can have peace now, and save any further waste of blood or money.

All admit that we are the conquering power, and as such we have
the right to dictate the terms of peace. This places upon us the high responsibility of saying to a prostrate foc, what shall be hororable peace? I ask then, what do you mean by honorable peace, ourselves being judges? Do you mean national honor vindicated, national rights sustained, and national security acquired? or do you national rights sustained, and national security acquired or do you desire to continue the war for revenge, for conquest, and for spoliation? If the former be our object, we have obtained them all. National honor has been folly undicated by our gallant army. Indemnity, we have now in our possession more than our justice will permit us to hold. If security for the future, we have it in our national character, and in the terrific strength of our military power. Military glory! we are covered all over with it, sufficient for reputation abroad, and for home consumption for fifty years to range.

come.

In dictating terms of peace to Mexico, we must take care not to ask of her nore than she can give. Our justice as well as our to ask of her nore than she can give. Our justice as well as our humanity forbids such an exaction. The President acknowledges this principle when he says we cannot ask money in payment of our claims, because Mexico has not got it to give. We should, therefore, not ask Mexico to sell and transfer her people to us. The people are there shiften, and she has not the power, morally, or politically, to transfer them, nor we the right to demand them as her hands. Unon this government now rests the responsibility of as her hands. Upon this government now rests the responsibility of ce or of prolonging the war. Mexico can have but as her hanns: Upon this government new to be the case and have but restoring peace or of prolonging the war. Mexico can have but little to say or do in the matter. If nothing but acquisition of with this war. Wy or dramads, why not take it; and he done with this war. Wy or dramads, who take the with the war with the war. Why or dramads, which we have already acquired, and declared to be permanent to the permanent which we have already acquired, and declared to be permanent. nently ours, and which she has no power to rescue from our neutly ours, and winen sie uas no power to rescue was an ange-ment? I consider, the terms of peace with Mexico no longer a question between her and the United States. It has become purely a domestic question? Whether we shall take the whole, part, or name, and the properties of th ourselves.

These are the very questions which are now entertained by the Executive, are discussed by honorable Senators here, and which agitate the public mind. Can we agree upon these questions? Some

are for no territory, some for part, a few for the whole. The north for free, the south for slave territory, some for regenerating Mexico, others for annihilation, some for helding her as a prevince, others for annexation to the Union. But of all these who thinks of consulting Mexice as to which mode she would prefer. It is not Mexico who prevents peace upon the terms demanded by our Exceutive. It is the people of the United States—it is the safety of the Union—it is the constitution, which now stand in the way to reject that, which Mexico has already yielded to our arms. Peace, I repeat, is now under our sole control and dictation. Why not then, I ask, meet the high responsibilities of the occasion, and in the suit of justice and humanity make peace for ourselves. are for no territory, some for part, a few for the whele. The and in the spirit of justice and humanity make peace for ourselve Mexico is at your mercy. You may kill her, or let her live. You and in the spirit of justice and minimality make peace for ourselves. Mexico is at your merey. You may kill her, or let ther live. You may kill her, or let the rive. You may take part or all her territory as year interest or your honor may dictate. The enemy has felt your power, now het him feel your cleenency, and it the latter shall be as creat as the former, the glory of our arms will be only surpassed by the more enduring glory of our justice and morey. Make peace upon any term has power to dictate peace to a vanquished foe cannot be dispraced by the liberality of its terms. Let us then bring this war to a close. The country demands it at our hands. Our vengeance fully gratified submits to it. Our honor vindicated in ten glorious victories without a single defeat, cal's for it. A prostrate foe supplicates it. The business of the people from every field of labor cries for it. The safety of our free institutions commands it. And what, I ask, forbids it. Nothing! but the false shame of party inconsistency. The covardiec of politicians. The bust of dominion, and that Turk-cry, manifest destiny.

Mr. President: In consulting the history of nations, it will be

dominion, and that I urk-ery, manuses cresumy.

Mr. President: In consulting the history of nations, it will be found that there is an epoch in the existence of each, when a temptation present stestle, which resisted or yielded to, marks the future character of the nation for good or for evil. That temptation is now presented to this republic—it is Mexico. It is a broad and a rich land—a land of silver and of gold—a land without a government of the property ment to protect it, and without a people capable of defending it, and it lies before us an easy tempting prey. There is none to stay our hand or to resist the gratification of our ambition. The mystery of her origiu, the story of her former conquest, play upon our fancy and excite our heroic passions. Already has the tempter carried us to the pinnacle of the temple and pointed out the rich treasures us to the phasacle of the temple and pointed out the rien treasures of the city beneath. We now stand upon the high mountain—at ples of religion, and their palaces of state. The templer whispers in our ear all these shall be yours' if you will fail down and worship the god conquest. History stands ready with her pen of steel to record our determination. Shall we bow down to the evil spirit, record our determination. Small we now down to the evil spirit, and fall as other nations have fallen, or shall we maintain our virtue and rise to god-like courage, and say "get the behind me Satan." The temptation is mighty—the power to resist only divine. I know of no nation, in ancient or in modern times that would resist so easy, of no nation, in nocion to rin modern times that would resist so easy, vets or ich an acquisition to its dominion. To say nothing of the heathen world, not one of the powers of modern Europe, would withstand the temptation. England would not, as she has shewn by her conquest in the cast. France would not, as she is now proving by her attempts upon Algeria. As to Russia, Prussia, and Austria, let the partition of Poland answer. There, too, is old Spain, once the proudest and the mightiest of them all she also has had her temptation. It was this same Mexico which now asomas man are rempetation. It was an exame measure which now men now a flas-cinates us.—Asthured by its mines of silver and gold, which now inspires us, she too yiolded to the tempter, and for a while went on from conquering to conquer; until in her turn, sile was made to lick the dust beneath the chariot wheels of that false detry she had worshipped, when that chariot rolled in triumph over the fair fields of amplies we shall be seen as thinking one time of the seen as th and opportunity and the excuse of uoning 50. We have aiready in our short history, set one great example to the nations of the earth. We have laid the foundation of a mighty empire, deep and strong, upon a principle new and startling to the old world. We have established self-government, and bound in strong and happy have established self-government, and bound in strong and happy union, twenty millions of freemen, who acknowledge no government, but that of their own choice. Let us now establish another principle of national action, equally new and startling. Let us declare that while we admit the oppressed of every land, to a free participation of the blessings of our self-government no cause of war, no excuse, no temptation will induce us retower and counds to our dominion. ritory and people to our dominion.

Thory and people to our dominants.

If in respect to such a high principle as this, our victorious army should return from the field of its glory in Mexico, without bringing with it one ounce of gold, or one foot of territory, for indemnity and security, would it not, I ask, be a glorious terminate. tion of this war on our part?

tion of this war on our part?
We have put upon our national record, that "war exists by the act of Mexico." Whether this record be true or false, whether this act of blood and war was perpetrated by Mexico, or by our own executive, I will not stop to inquire. Let us now in the flush of vietory, and in the might of our power, record upon our national history another act. An act, the glory of which will obliterate for ever, the obnoxious preamble. Let us declare to the world, that if Mexico was weak enough, and wicked enough to cause

war to exter, peeace now exists by the lovereign act of the United

Mr. UNDERWOOD obtained the floor, and signified his intention to address the Senate upon the bill to-morrow, but subsequently yielded it to Mr. NILES, with an informal understanding that he should be allowed to follow the Senator from Connectient.

Mr. CASS intimated his intention of proposing to morrow, and, he hoped it would be agreed to, that the Senate hold evening sessions, until the termination of the debate upon the Ten Regiment

Mr. BERRIEN, said he hoped the suggestion of the honorable Sanator, would not be received with favor by the Senate. They were "hereaged in the discussion of a question of deep interest, as colar and the suggestion of the charmed the suggestion of the suggestion of

Mr. CASS said he did not intend to press the question to-day. In regard to what was said by the honorable Senator from Geor-

gia, he would say that it was as disagreeable to him as it could be on any Senator, to press a proposition of this kind; but there was, in this case, an absolute necessity for speedy action upon the bill. He was desirous of submitting some remarks himself, and he was unwilling to infliet any restraint upon other Senators, which he would not submit to himself.

#### MEXICAN NEGOTIATIONS

On motion by Mr. BALDWIN, the vote by which the resolution submitted by him on yesterday, was this day agreed to, was reconsidered.

The question recurring upon agreeing to the resolution,

Mr. SEVIER moved to amend by inserting, between the word  $^{\rm tr}$  submitted" and the word " so," the following :

"And also any consepondence which may have taken place between Mr. Trist and also any consepondence which may have taken place between Mr. Trist and the Canada Salata, and the subject of the proposed iteaty or acquaints between the United States and Markova or subsequently, or upon the subject of the proposals made to Mr. Trust in Mexico, or subsequently, Mexico."

The amendment was agreed to

The resolution, as amended, was then agreed to.

On motion.

The Senate adjourned.

# WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 9, 1848.

The VICE PRESIDENT resumed the Chair to-day

REPORT FROM THE TREASURY DEPARTMENT

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a report of the acting Secretary of the Treasury, communicating, in answer to a resolution of the Senate, a report of the Commissioner of the General Land Office, respecting lands granted for military bomities, in the State of Illinois; which was ordered to be printed.

RESOLUTION OF THE NEW YORK LEGISLATURE.

Mr. DIX presented a prounble and resolution passed by the Legislature of the State of New York, instructing the Senators, and requesting the state of that State in Congress, to the Congress of the State of the State of Congress, to call or territorial government which the United States may hereside establish; which were read and ordered to be printed.

#### PETITIONS

Mr. HALE presented the petition of John P. Andrews, proposing a plan for preventing the evils of war among nations; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

Mr. BREESE presented the memorial of the heirs and legal representatives of Nicholas Jarrot, deceased, praying the confirmation of their title to certain lands in the State of Illinois; which was referred to the Committee on Private Land Claims.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, presented the memorial of the heirs at law of Tumothy P. Anderson, deceased, praying an extension of law at the proposed of the deconomy of water power by means of a graduated spout; which was referred to the Committee on Patents and the Patent Older.

Mr. BENTON presented the memorial of Alexander Vattemare, a citizen of France, praving the establishment of a permanent agency by the United States, for exchanging the hooks and public documents printed and published under thic swetches, similar works published under the authority of Forcian Governments; which was referred to the Committee on the Library, and ordered to be printed.

Mr. SPRUANCE presented the memorial of masters of vessels engaged in the coasting trade, praying the construction of an Ice harbor at Delaware city, in the Delaware bay; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce.

Mr. CASS presented the petition of Justus Powers, a soldier in the last war with Great Britain, praying to be allowed arrears of pension; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. DICKINSON presented the memorial of George Wilkes, praying the adoption of measures for the construction of a national railread from the Michigan river to the Pacific ocean; which was referred to the Committee on Roads and Canals.

On motion by Mr. FELCH, it was

Ordered, That the petition of the administrator of John Anderson, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee of Claims.

Ordered, That the petition of Aaron Weeks, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

On motion by Mr. HALE, it was

Ordered, That the guardian of Artemas Conant have leave to withdraw his petition and papers.

# COMPENSATION TO MESSENGERS.

Mr. BADGER submitted the following resolution for consideration:

Resolved, That there be paid to each of the Messengers of the Senate, and office of the Senate, who have not already sectived it, the same additional compensation that was paid to R. P. Anderson and J. L. Clubb, for the second session of the twenty-muth Congress.

### IMPRISONMENT FOR DEBT.

Mr. WEBSTER submitted the following resolution for consideration:

Resolved. That the Committee on the Judiciary inquire into the expediency of making further provision by law, respecting improxonment for debt on process is using from the courts of the United States.

Mr. WEBSTER remarked that he had received a communication, for the accuracy of which he could not vouch, as its source

was unknown to him, setting forth a case of very considerable hardship and apparent creatity, growing out of imprisonment under a process issuing out of the courts of the United States. He thought it proper to lose no time in bringing the subject to the attention of the Senate; and if the Senate saw fit to adopt the resolution, he would place the communication in the bands of the Judiciary Committee, whose early action upon the subject he of included the subject has the sub

The resolution was considered by unanimous consent and agreed

# CHANGE OF REFERENCE.

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of La., it was

Ordered, That the Committee on Pensions be discharged from the further consideration of the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of Alborne Allen, and that it be referred to the Committee of Claims.

On motion by Mr. DIX, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on Commerce be discharged from the further consideration of the bill from the House of Representatives, for the relief of Barelay and Livingston and Smith, Thurgar and Company, and that it be referred to the Committee on Fi-

# PRIVATE BILLS REPORTED.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the Commuttee on Revolutionary Claims, to whom was referred the petition of the heirs of William Grayson, decrased, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for the relief of the heirs and legal representatives of Colonel William Grayson.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the Committee on Pensions, to whom was referred the petition of Welcome Parameter, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for his relief.

Mr. J. from the same Committee, to whom was referred the petition of David Currier, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for his relief.

The said bills were severally read and passed to a second read-

Ordered, That the several reports be printed.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the Committee on Pensions, to whom was referred the following bills from the House of Representatives, reported them without amendment:

An act for the relief of Mrs. Harriet Barney

An act for the relief of George Newton.

An act for the relief of Jesse Young.

An act for the relief of Russell Goss.

An act for the relief of Mary Brown, widow of Jacob Brown

# GRANTING A REGISTER.

Alt. DIX, from the Committee on Commerce, to whom was referred the petition of John P. Baldwin, reported a bill to authorize the issuing of a register or curolinent to the schooner Robert Henry, which was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and considered as in Committee of the Whole

No amendment being made the bill was reported to the Senate Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent.

Resolved, That it pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives, in said bill.

# MAP OF NEW MEXICO.

Mr. CAMERON, from the Committee on Printing, to whom was referred a motion to print the report of the examination of New Mexico, made by Lieut. J. W. Abert, of the Topographica. Corps. reported that it be printed, and that 2,000 additional copies with the map accompanying the report, be printed for the use of the Senate.

BOUNDARY BETWEEN MISSOURI AND ARKANSAS

Mr. ASHLEY, from the Committee on the Judicary, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives, confirming the boundary between Missouri and Arkansas, reported it without amendment.

The Senate proceeded to consider said bill as in Committee of the Whole, and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate. Ordered That it be engressed and read a third time

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent

Resolved, That it pass, and the title thereof be as aforesaid

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representative accordingly

Mr. ASHLEY, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom was referred the bill concerning testimony, reported it with amendments.

## ADDITIONAL COURT IN ARKANSAS

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill to divide the district of Arkansas into two judicial

In submitting an amendment to this bill,

Mr. SEVIER said that it would be perceived that this bill proposed to establish a court without a judge, or at least that the du-ties were to be performed by the present judge. The object of the amendment was to create a judge for this court, and he thought amendment was to create a judge for this court, and he inoignit that he could assign satisfactory reasons for that procedure. The State of Arkansas, as the Senate was aware, was one of great ter-ritorial extent, and had attached to it the jurisdiction of all the Indian tribes on the border, which extended from that line indefiintely westward.

Mr. DAYTON suggested that as the Senator from Kentucky, (Mr. CRITTENDEN;) at whose request the bill had been postponed was not now in his seat, the bill be passed over informally.

Mr. SEVIER had no disposition to press the bill in the absence of the Senator. He would send his amendment now to the desk and if printed by to-morrow he would submit it.

Mr. ASHLEY hoped that the bill would not lose its priority as it had been made the special order for to-day.

Mr. SEVIER remarked that it could be called up to-morrow of course.

Mr. ATCHISON was opposed to the bill; but as it had already been passed over on several occasions, and a good deal of time had thus been wasted, he moved that it be made the special order for Monday two weeks.

Mr. DAYTON hoped that the motion would be assented to by the Senator from Arkansas. He knew that the Senator from Kentucky was anxious that a particular day should be fixed for the consideration of the bill, so that it might be disposed of in some

Mr. ASHLEY objected. The bill had already been deferred again and again. It had been made the special order for to-day, in accordance with the request of the Senator from Kentucky. He had no desire, however, to take it up in the absence of any gentleman who felt any interest in the subject; although he must confess be was opposed to deferring the consideration of the bill for the region was recorded. for the period mentioned by his friend, the Senator from Missouri. He hoped that it would be continued on the docket till to-morrow, and retain its priority.

Mr. SEVIER had but one remark to make. The bill had been ealled up on the day before yesterday, and he then obtained the consent of his colleague to postpone it another day, in order to allow him an opportunity of preparing an amendment, giving a induct to the court. When he was prepared with his amendment, his colleague was absent; and he did not press it. He was willing colleague was absent; and he did not press it. He was willing that it should be postponed for a short time; till te-morrow or next day; but he hoped that it would not be postponed indefinitely, or to a remote period. He believed that he had been assalled at home, because he had not urged the measure. Certainly he had been ready at all times to support the bill, and did not wish to afford the slightest ground for the representation that he was at all unifiendly to it. But he had heen assalled because he had not made speeches to get the hill taken ap. He wished it to be taken up, and was prepared to make a speech upon it, showing its importance and expediency.

Mr. ATCHISON thought that the bill was indeed one of great importance, and that that consideration furnished an additional reason why a day should be fixed for the discussion of it. He thought that it proposed a new principle -

Me SEVIER begged his friend from Missouri to allow him to make an explanation. It was true that one of the sections of the bill provided that the court within its jurisdiction thus created, exand criminal cases also. It was the object of the amendment to restrict the jurisdiction of the court, within the limit of the Indian tribes, to such cases as were made cognizable by our courts by the intercourse law of 1832, and the modification of that act in 4831, and the cases specified in the Indian treaties.

Mr. ATCHISON was not yet satisfied with respect to the pro-

priety of the object contemplated in the bill. He, therefore, insisted on his motion to make bill the special order for Monday two

Mr. WESTCOTT suggested that the bill should be recommitted with the amendment. He was opposed to this alteration of bills in the Senate. Let it be sent back to the Judiciary Committee

Mr. SEVIER read the section of the bill which he proposed to amend, and made some additional explanation of the object of the

The bill was then postponed to, and made the special order of the day for, Monday the 28th instant, and the amendment was ordered to be printed.

#### PRIVATE BILL PASSED.

The bill for the relief of William Marvin, in confirming the title to a tract of land in Florida, granted by the Spanish government to Bernardo Segui on the 20th December, 1815, was read a third

Resolved. That it pass, and that the title thereof he as afore-aid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in said bill.

#### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their clerk.

Mr. President. The House of Representatives have passed bills of the following

An act to divide the State of Georgia into two judicial distacts, and organizing establishing an additional district court of the United States with circuit court  $\mu$  and jurisdiction.

An act to annual an act entitled "An act in amendment of the acts respecting the pulncial system of the United States."

An act to authorize the issue of a register to the harque Wilhamet

An act to change the location of certain light-houses and buoys.

In which they request the concurrence of the Senate.

They have also passed the bill of the Senate entitled "An act to provide additi-quarters near to New Orleans for United States roldiers and volunteers returned fit or going to, the seat of warm Meyec,"

TEN REGIMENT BILL.

The Senate resumed the consideration of the bill to raise, for a limited time, an additional military force.

Mr. NILES .- Mr. President : The question on the passage of this bill seems to be overlooked, and the main question brought under consideration is our present relations with Mexico; and this is one of such magnitude and importance, that I shall make no apology for trespassing on the patience of the Senate sufficiently to express my opinions upon it, notwithstanding the time already consumed in this debate. This question, sir, involves time arready consumed in this decoate. This question, sr, involves the issue of peace or war; that is, of prolonging the existing war perbaps indefinitely, or of bringing it to a speedy termination. And as the protraction of this war may, and probably will, involve this country with Mexico in such a way that we cannot dissolve the connection; it involves a much graver question, that of the integrity of this confederacy and the safety of our tree institutions. more important question than this has probably never come under the consideration of the Senate. Does it not demand at our hands that deliberate, prudent, and wise consideration, due to the best and highest interests of our country! In this spirit it is my purpose to offer my sentiments upon it, with that freedom and independence which belong to a representative of a sovereign state of

Mr. President, I am one of the majority of this body, who has had an agency, so far as legislative action is concerned, in placing the country in its present critical and embarrassing relations with Mexico. I have voted for all the measures which have led to this result; for the annexation of Texas, the recognition of war, and all the measures for sustaining and prosecuting the war. all these measures, except the first, my vote was given choerfully and with a full conviction that the circumstances of the country re-In regard to the first, which occasioned all the rest, my support was given to it with some hesitation and reluctance—not that I doubted the right, so far as Mexico was concerned, to receive Texas into this Union; but my difficulties arose from the form Create raise into the forming not my omneutores a section and one of the sound of t

tion which I might not otherwise feel, to use my best efforts, however feeble, to extricate the country from its present situation. This war has been penuliar in some respects, and perhaps its dangers are none the less on that account. Hitherto its evils have scarcely been felt or realized by the country at large. Our internal peace has not been disturbed or threatened; our frontiers have not been invaded or disturbed; no fleets have hovered upon our coasts; and our commerce, so hable to be interrupted in all wars, has not not been molested; and the past year exhibits an expansion and prosperity never equalled in whole history. And as this is the source of our revenue, that

has remained unimpaired so far as the war is concerned. has remained ununpaired so i are a lite war is concerned. Thuserto, no war taxes have been imposed, and not the first dollar has been raised towards the expenses of this war. But for the accounts which we have received from time to time of the brilliam achievements of our arms in Mexico, we should scarcely have reaccounts which we have received from time to time or the ormana calcivements of our arms in Mexico, we should scarcely have realized that the country was not in a state of profound peace. But we must not expect that this state of things can continue. The cults of the war are beginning to be felt; and the pressure of them will interease daily upon us. Our finances are already getting into a deranged state; a public debt is rapidly accumulating; and continue to country from its accustomed channels, and the discassed state of your finances may soon disturb the whole mometary concerns of the country. This will be felt on all its great interests; on its commerce, its manufactures, and soon the industrial classes may feel its paralysing effects. It is not in the nature of things that the burdens of a war can all be thrown on the future, or upon posterity. Nor would this be just, could it he done. It would have a direct tendency to weaken the restraints upon those pussions, which lead nations into unnecessary wars. The generation which from imprudence, or misfortune becomes involved in war, must bear a share, and a large share of its burdens and evils. This is as just, as it is a result which is unavoidable. We need not think to escape it; we eannot if we would, and we should not, if we could. The bardens and evils of this war are now pressing upon the country, and this pressure will increase, if the war is If we could. The nurreins and evits of this war are now pressing upon the country, and this pressure will increase, if the war is upon the country, and this pressure will increase, if the war is try and will make a constant drain upon our resources. Its damegers may be less apparent and more remote; but are not on this account, the less certain, or the less deserving of the most serious attention. Is it not time then to consider whether this war eannot soon he brought to a close, consistently with the rights and eamon of the one brought? An atom commission we must be more of the common of the original character and objects of the war, no not lose sight of the original character and objects of the war, no a defensive one on our part—a war in which we were involved by the folly mad rashness of the military rulers of Mexico. Although not commenced by us for a first part of previously. not commenced by us for a redress of grievances, yet being involved in it, it is our right and duty to demand of Mexico full satisfaction of all our just claims upon her. When we can obtain this, we should put an end to hostilities.

Mr. President, thus far we stand well in relation to this war before the world, and have nothing to fear from the impartial judg-ment of posterity. It was just in its commencement, and has been prosecuted with signal success; and it now only remains that we

bring it to a close. In a manner equally consistent with our mational rights and honer, and a just regard to the interests of humanity. If we seeme a just indemnity, we should desire no more, as we have a rich harvest of glory in the success of our arms, and the unsurpassed splendor of our military achievements. Those are great, unparalled in modern warfare. Nor is this glory to be lightly estimated. It is not a thing merely to be talked about, or to be the subject of temporary exultation. It is an object of subugnity estimated. It is not a thing increty to be talked about, or to be the subject of temporary exultation. It is an object of sub-stance, of real value; it is an element of strength and power, and adds to our national security as well as fame. It has given us a name and reputation with the nations of the earth. It has proved to the world what has heretodere been doubted, that we are as great the work what has neretotore been donnted, that we are as great in arms as we are in the arts of peace. It will command the respect of the great powers of Europe, who will hereafter be careful how they triffe with our honor, or trespass on our rights.

But this flational reputation has not been acquired without great.

serifices; glory, however valuable, is a costly urricle. It has been purchased by the secrifice of many valuable lives, many galant and brave men. How many of the most noble and gallant spirits of our hand have offered up their lives on the plains and mount atin passes of Mexico, as the cost of those splendid achievements? Sir, it was only vesterday, that the papers contained a melan-choly and mournful list of the gallant dead who have fallen in this choly and mournful list of the gallant dead who have fallen in this war, whose mortal remains were in a single train, conveyed from the city of Mexico, on their way to the United States, to be delivered up to those friends from whom they had parted a short time ago, and whom they were destined never to see again in this life. This sad list made a solema impression upon my mind; I counted them, and found there were twenty-five. But this is a small part of the officers who have fallen on the hattle-fields of Mexico. And of the rank and flie, how great is the number, who, the satisfact of the contract of the contract of the satisfact of the satisf this national glory?

And yet, we are told that Mexico is to pay the costs of this war. How is its cost to be estimated? Will you look for it in the books of the Register of the Treasury? The sum there may be large, but the costs and sacrifices of war are to be found every where; often in the disasters of trade, which it occasions, the sac-rifices in business, and the wreck and ruin of private fortunes. rifices in business, and the wreck and ruin of private fortunes. But how will you estimate the value of the gallant dead? Can it be done by day book or ledger, in coin of silver or gold? What is the value of such men as Buther. Cramar, Ringgold, Ridgler, Ransom, Lincoln, and hundreds of others, equally brave, equally dear to their friends, if not equally distinguished? Ask their widows, their mothers, their daughters, who with bleeding, crushed, and broken hearts are left desolate, and perhaps some of them overwhelmed with a weight of grief which may rob them of their reason. Sir, these are melanchely reflections; but they are the bitter fruits of war, and the price of that glory won in battlefields.

But the victorious career of our arms, everywhere successful, has accomplished nothing towards securing a peace. We have over-

run and subjugated perhaps one half of the territory of Mexico; taken possession of all her ports on the Gulf and the Pacific; r duced all her fortresses, and captured her capital, which is now duced all her fortresses, and captured her capital, which is now in or possession; and yet she refuses to so for peace. How is this to be explained? Distracted and mis-governed as Mexico is, and ever has been, other nations that have had differences with her, have not experienced the same result. France and England have that the same th brought her to her senses, and obtained justice and indemnity at her hands. Her unyielding and obstinate conduct towards us must arise from inveterate prejudice against us, or from an apprehension that it is our purpose to plander and despoil her of her territory. The events in Texas seem first to have given rise to these feelings, and some of the circumstances connected with the war have not served to allay them. It is well known how she views all the transactions in Texas. She considers all the movements there as having been encourged and sustained by the people of the United having been encourged and sustained by the people of the United States, if not by the government; and appears to entertain no doubt that, from the first, our object was to possess ourselves of that portion of her territory. The annexation, which she regards as consummating this design, seems to have exasperated her to the highest pitch. And after the breaking out of the war, the imme-diate conquest of her remote provinces, seemed to confirm these ominions.

The divisions among ourselves regarding this war, and the opin-ions so boldly expressed by both political parties, must also be con-sidered as among the causes which have induced Mexico to hold out and refuse to treat for peace. The opposition have declared this war to have been commonced by the act of the President, in violation of law—that it is an unjust and aggressive war on our part. This could not fail to encourage them to hold out, and to refuse to accede to any terms of accommodation. Perhaps they are now holding back, thinking that the opposition will soon come into power, from whom they have reason to suppose they would

obtain much more favorable terms.

On the other hand, the opinions expressed by many of the sun-On the other hand, the opinions expressed by many of the sup-porters of the administration, who may be supposed to possess their confidence, and to speak their sentiments, are not calculated to predispose an exasperated enemy to peace. It is asserted that all that is said and done here, is known in Mexico. What then nusts to the effect of speeches in Congress and the publications of the press, disclosing a design of subjugating and incorporating with the United States, the whole or a large portion of their com-try? These discussions, they must find, do not often even raise the question of the right or justice of such a course; but are con-fined to the expediency of it, and the consequences which may attend it. These things cannot fail to have an unfavorable influ-ence on Mexico, and seem hitherto to have deprived us of all bene-fit from our scarifices in the war, so far as regards the attainment fit from our sacrifices in the war, so far as regards the attainment

peace. Certainly the administration and its supporters must not suppose Certainly the administration and its supporters must not suppose that they can throw the whole responsibility of the failure to accom-plish the object which I hope we all have in view—a just peace— —upon the opposition which the war has experienced. They should have considered at first that opposition at home was one of should have considered at his that opposition at none was one of the difficulties with which they had to contend. No war should ever be undertaken without duly estimating the opposition which it would have to encounter at home, as one of the obstacles to it would have to encounter at home, as one of the obstacles to its successful prosecution. In all free countries, where parties exist, opposition to a war is as certain as to any measure of domestic policy. This has always been the case here and in other countries. It was so in the war of the revolution, and in the war with England in 1812. It has usually been so in England; there was a violent topposition to the war for the subjugation of these colonies, and also to the long war with France; growing out of her revolution. And it makes little or no difference as to the character or object of a war; there would be an opposition to a war purely defensive, to protect the soil, or repel a proud invader

from our shores.

But with this liberal indulgence, I would, with all sincerity and kindness, submit to honorable Senators on the other side of the chamber, whether they have not carried their opposition to this war a little too far? Whether they have not overstepped the utmost limit to which, as good citizens and patriots, they could be permitted to go? Whether their arguments have not been a little too ingenious, labored and earnest, to place their country in the wrong? Whether, in their zeal for party, they have not forgat what was due to the rights and homor of their country? To charge the commencement of this war to the President, and in violation of the laws and constitution; to attempt to reverse them. of the laws and constitution; to attempt to prove that it was an aggressive war on his part; that he sought a quarrel with Mexico as a pretence for invading and despoiling her of her territory, is a as a pretence for invading and desponing her of her territory, is a very grave charge, and one that cannot be confined to the Execu-tive, but if true, would rest upon the country. Should this impu-tation be credited, it would fix a stain on our national character, which time, that corrects most errors, could never efface. It would

furnish a dark page in our annals.

And to assume that the President does not wish to make peace, but desires to prolong the war as an excuse for subjugating one and the distriction of the water as an excuse for stoughting steel, the water as an excuse for stoughting steel, the season of the steel of the season o this, or do they not really expect to succeed? How would they negotiate a peace? Where will they fix the boundary of Texas? At the Nieces, which they say is the true boundary, and have labored so hard to prove it? Will Texas consent to this? I think not. Well, suppose they were to misst on the Rio Grande, would not the Mexican negotiator resist it, and reply: you have alwars admitted that the true boundary of Texas was the Nieces. And what answer could they give to such a plea? Would they so that they had maintained this, when in a minority, only so did not times energy and maintained this, when in a minority, only to make the then President responsible for the war, but that they did not believe it? Would they say that then they were not responsible for what they did, but now, being responsible, they must maintain the rights of the country? Would not this be a very awkward

strantion?
And in regard to indemnity for the just claims of our citizens, if they were to insist on them, might not the Mexican negotiator reply that he admitted the claim, but that the war we had prosecuted against them was unjust and aggressive, as you, as well as we have always maintained, and this gives us a just claim for the expenses and sacrifices it has brought upon us? We will offset the claims of your citizens for spoliations against our demands for the claims of your citizens for spotiations against our definance for the expenses of this wicked war, you have prosecuted against us, if you will pay us the balance. Just hand over the cash, and will be content. Bur, supposing they should be able to resist this demand of Mexico, and induce her to acknowledge our claim for spoliations, might not the Mexicon negotiator say that by the unjust war you have prosecuted against us, you have exhausted our just war you have prosecuted against us, you have exhausted on treasury, taken possession of our revenues, and deprived us of all means of payment. But we have uninhabited territories, and we will pay you by a cession of California. What answer would you give? Would you say we cannot take land, as we came into power on the express ground of the no-more-territory policy.

hope my hoperable friends will think seriously of these difficulties.

Mr. President: Before I proceed to consider our present relations with Mexico, and the policy which I think ought to be adopted in relation to them, I have to notice some of the positions whi ed in relation to them. I have to notice some of the positions which have been assumed on the other side of the chamber; particularly ley the honorable Senator from Tennessee, (Mr. Bell.) That Senator said that the administration had no desire for peace; that they wished to prolong the war for the subjugation and absorption of Mexico, or for some other purpose, which was concealed from the public. This is a very grave charge; for to prolong the war for other objects than peace, is to assume the responsibility of all us evils. Now, Mr. President, I know nothing of the purposes of the Executive in relation to this war, further than can be learned from his official acts and communications; and this is all that any one can safely know. We witnessed two years ago, on the Oreone can safely know. We witnessed two years ago, on the Ore-gon question, the awkward situation in which gentlemen placed themselves here, by assuming to know the purposes of the President. But, judging from the official acts and declarations of the e Senator cannot be sustained in the charge he has made. The President still says his object is an honorable peace; that he desires a vigorous prosecution of the war for that purpose. What he will consider an honorable peace, we have no knowledge, What he will consider an honorable peace, we have no knowledge, except what may he derived from the terms which were submitted to Mexico by our commissioner, Mr. Trist. These were said to he his altinatum. They were, I admit, hard enough pnon Mexico. The boundary of the Rio Grande, and the cession of the Californias and New Mexico, were admitted to be something more than a just indemnity. In considering the terms of peace, we then the property of the propert tions. This ultimatum establishing the boundary of the Rio Grande, with the cession of the Californias and New Mexico, is admitted to be more than an indemnity for our claim.— To insist on territory, beyond a just indemnity, could not be justified in a private transaction, between man and man. But the It belongs to the successful party to dictate terms. But these should be reasonable, having reference to the original matters in dispute. If they are unreasonable, or oppressive, and are refused, it would justly throw up to the successful party the responsibility of prolonging the war. But the proposition to pay the difference between a just indemnity and the value of the territory to be ceded. exempts the terms in this ultinatum from being regarded as op-pressive, although they embrace more territory than I should care

The terms then which have been offered as the basis of peace, one not such as to authorize the belief that they were not presented in good faith, and in the hope, that they would be necoded to. And we have no evidence that this, ultimatum has or "ill departed from. The Senator from Alkansas, (Mr. SEVERA) in the peach the other day, and he seemed to speak from one have ledge of the views of the Excentive, insured us, if I mistrake nat, that the President was prepared to negotiate now upon the same basis. Think, therefore, there is no foundation for the charge, that the President does not desire peace; or that he wishes to prolong the war for the subjugation of Mexico, or other substitute purposes. The terms then which have been offered as the basis of peace.

That the plan of the administration for the further prosecution That the pain or the authorises not the intrince procedured of the war might fall in securing peace, and result in the subjugation of Mexico, is an entirely different question, which I shall consider before I close what I have to say.

But the Senator went further, ard a summed in the course of his Permarks, if he did not directly so assert, that that great portion of

our citizens, who sustain the administration, were in favor of a prosecution of this war, with a view to the subjugation and absorption of Mexico. He admitted, that there were exceptions, but considered, that the great mass entertained such sentiments; and had become the advoce——f war and conquest. I think this position incorrect, and that it does injustice to the great body of the supporters of the administration. They are not friendly to any policy of war or conquest; they regret the present war, and wish to see it brought to a close, as soon as it can be, consistent-ly with what is due to the justice and honor of the country. I speak of the great mass of the supporters of the administration, Dr it must be admitted that there are some, who seem to enter-tain the sentiments the Seantor artitheted to the whole, or nearly the whole. Those who indulge these magnificent schemes of en-larging our territory by conquest, or who may seem to look with larging our territory by conquest, or who may seem to look with approbation on a policy towards a neighboring province, which might be deemed aggressive, are not in my judgement, in harmo-my with the general feeling and sentiment of their own party. They belong to what is sometimes called, a progressive democracy, and appear to have lost sight of the original principles of the party to which they profess to belong; or to have advanced on, regardless of those principles. I do not deny that the Senator had ome ground for h is assumption; but he should not have applied to some ground for his assumption; but he should not have applied to the whole, what belonged only to a few. The Senator seems to con-sider these as new ideas, but appears willing to believe, that the whole of the democratic parry, have departed from their original principles and policy in respect to our foreign affairs. It may be natural enough, for him to think, that since he has left us, we have fullen into error, and departed from our former Jaudmarks. If there was any thing in this, I should regret that he

Jandamarks. If there was any thing in this. I should regret that the bad not remained, as from bits acknowledged talents, and great in-fluence in bis own state, perhaps his counsel and example, might have restrained us from deviating from the path, in which we had been accustomed to walk. We may regret that he should have seeded from the political clurich of his youth, and the faith of his lathers, and turned to idols; but have no right or disposition to complain of it. This certainly is not my purpose, which is only to defend myself, and those who act with mc.

If there has been any difference in respect to their views, regarding the true foreign policy of our country, between the two great parties which have so long existed in the country, it is, that great parties when have so long exists in the country, it is, the Republican party were more pacific in their principles and purposes. Mr. Jefferson the lead, and in a good degree, the founder of that party, it is well known, was preemently distinguished for his pacific principles. He was a philosopher and a philanthropist, as well as a statesman, and had a more abdiding confidence, in the intellectual and moral qualities of man, as elements on which free government could be safety constructed, than perhaps any other man of his generation. Decuning physical power, unnecessary and dangerous, as a means of maintaining internal order, he deprecatada great to it, to preserve external peace, or to enforce respect from other nations, when it could possibly be avoided. Those sen-timents he carried into his administration, as did his successors of the same political school.

To show what were the principles of the founders of the repub-To show what were the principles of the founders of the repub-lic, I have made some short extracts from the messages of some of them, which I propose to read. Every body I am aware is familiar with them; yet they will bear being often read, and there are times when it is peculiarly proper and profitable, todook back to the original fountains of sound political doctrines. Thegin with Washington, who was a republican and a whig of the old school:

"Observe good faith and justice with all nations. Cultivate peace and damony with all. Religion and morality enjoin this conduct? and cau it be that good jedge does not equally rejoin it? I will be worthy of a free, enlightened, and at anotheration, as greatpastion, to give to mankind the magnanimous and novel example of a people-divacy graded by an exactled justice and lenvolotine."

These, Mr. President, are sentiments worthy of the father of his country. I now will read an extract from a message of the side of the chamber, if not on this :

"An inflexible determination to maintain peace and involable faith, with all nations; and that system of unitality and impartiality among the belligerent powers of Europe, which has been adopted by this government."

The sentiments of Mr. Jefferson are as sound in doctrine, as they are beautiful in language :

"Kindly southed by native and a wide ocean from the exterminating bave of one quarter of the globe; (so high minded to endure the degradations of the others, possessing a choice rountry, with noise used in the external control of the control of t

And what was that one thing? Was it the enlargement of our erritory by conquest, or the strengthening the military arm of the ountry? Very different. He says: country?

"Still one thing mine; a wise and frigal government, which shall restrain men-from mining one another, leaving them otherwise free in their pursuits of industry, and which shall not take from the mouth o labor the bread it has earned."

<sup>55</sup> Equal and exact instead of all men, of whatever state or persuasion, religious or liftical? peace, commerce, and honest friendship with all mations, entangling allian-continuous.

Here you see that Mr. Jefferson connects in the same sentence the idea of justice between mun and man, with that of justice and friendship with the nations of the world, regarding one principle

as equally sound with the other.

I now come to Mr. Madison, who asserts the same doctrines, conveyed in language of equal strength and felicity.

"Indulging in no passions which irespass on the rights or repore of other nations, it has been the time glary of the United States to cultivate prace by observing justice, and to entitle themselves to the respect of the nations at war, by fulfilling their neutral ob-legations by the most scropialous impartially. If there be candor in the world, the truth of these assertions will not be questioned; jointerly a least will do justice to

#### Again:

"To chersh peace and friendly interconese with all nations having corresponding spontons; to maintain success neutrality towards all beligerent nations; to prefer all cases anicable discussion and reasonable accommodation of differences, to a dec-on of them by an appeal to arms."

# Mr. Monroe says:

"It is a state [peace] most consistent with their prosperity and happiness. It will be my succee desire to preserve it, so far as depends on the Executive, on just princi-ples with all nations, claiming nothing unreasonable of any, and tendering to each what is its due."

These sentiments are as wise as they are just and patriotic could quote similar doctrines from the messages of the heads of subsequent democratic administrations, but it cannot be necessary. All are familiar with the avowal of General Jackson, that in our And are familiar with the avowal of General Jackson, that in our transactions with other powers, we should "ask nothing but what is elearly right, and yield to nothing that is wrong."

And now, Mr. President, let me glance at the administrations of these distinguished men, and see if their official conduct cor-

And love, Mr. President, 1et me glance at the administrations of these distinguished men, and see if there official conduct corresponded with their principles. In the resident of the conduct corresponded with their principles.

It is well known that when the political parties originated in his country, there were difficulties between the United States and France, and that the policy to be pursued towards France was one of the grounds of political difference, as marked as those in resource of the political parties originated in the political difference, as marked as those in resource of the grounds of political difference, as marked as those in resource of the grounds of political difference, as marked as those in resource of the political difference produced as were captured and condemned. The power, were for adopting strong and energe of the political distribution of the political distributio

to the contrary, the whole history of the transaction shows that Mr. Jefferson's course was eminently moderate and pacific. In this difficulty with Spain, the federalists were the war party as in the controversy with France, although now in the minority. In a private letter written at the time, Mr. Jefferson says:

That the opposition sought to involve us in a war with Spain, so as to break down

Subsequently, the country was involved in difficulty with both England and France, who, being at war, violated our commercial rights as a neutral power. Our flag was insulted and our vessels captured and condemned, and our seamen impressed into the British service. Having failed to obtain referse by negotiation, the administration resorted to restrictions on our trade with both of the offending nower. Vocainmentation and the second of the property of of t offending powers. Non-importation and non-intercourse laws, and finally ar embargo, were resorted to as measures of annoyance. Mr. Jefferson had always believed that our commerce, consisting of the export and exchange of raw materials for manufactures. was so valuable, and that to withdraw it from any European powvas a canada, arcatest injury we could, perhaps, inflict upon them. These various expedients show the unwillingness of Mr. Jefferson to resort to measures of force.

Mr. Madison found the country beset with the same difficulties, d pursued the same policy. This whole course of policy, it is art, standson found the country beset with the same diliculties, and pursued the same policy, it is well known, was regarded by the opposition as weak and vision argy; they recommended strong and energetic measures, and declared that our commercial rights ought to be defended by force, by repristals and war. And, finally, when all other measures had

"Norte.—There are losses of severed belong regarding the second misons to France. The erveys were Elevardin, Dava and Murray, and appromet in 1976 against the admit, as Secondary of State, but on members of Mr. Achani' calmate. Mr. Pekkeria, as Secondary of State, but one members of Mr. Achani' calmate. Mr. Pekkeria, as Secondary of State, but one provide inter to Mr. Elloworth, informate bring and the secondary of State, but one provide inter to Mr. Elloworth, florwing him or the reference of the secondary of

30TH CONG.-1ST SESSION-No 32.

failed; when the question had come to this, that we must give up our rights on the ocean or defend them, by a resort to the last re-medy of injured nations; when it became a question of surrendermedy of injured nations; when it became a question of surrendering our independence as a nation, or maintaining it by force, Mr. Madison recommended war. But no sooner was it declared, than it was immediately denonered as wicked and unjust, by the same party that had so long urged a resort to force as the only means of detending our rights. Down to this period, it is not difficult to determine which of the two parties were most pacific in their policy. The iederalists only became the advocates for peace, after the country was engaged in a war. It is not necessary to trace the history of the two parties in respect to their foreign policy any further. Their differences, from the close of this war, were confined to questions of domestic policy. But I cannot admit that there has been any essential change in the principles or views of the intelligent portion of the democratic party in regard to our there has ocen any essential enarge in the principles or views on the intelligent portion of the democratic party in regard to our foreign policy. They still favor a pacific policy as the only one suited to our institutions, and most conductive to the prosperity of the county. They critically experience of the county of th

Mr. President : I now come to the main question in this debate. Mr. President: I now come to the main question in this debate, which is, what is to be done—what policy is to be adopted in our present relations with Mexico? Others have spoken of the different ways of prosecuting the war. I wish to speak of the best means of obtaining peare, or of putting an end to hostilities. It is the first and highest duty of every branch of this government to laring this war to a close as speedily as can be done consistently with the latterest and honor of the country. I will first notice the sevence of the control of the country of the charm-

1. To withdraw our troops from Mexico without indemnity and trust to future negotiation. This, if I understand their views, is trust to future negotiation. This, if I understand their views, is been yet I have too high an opinion of which will be the chamber of the property of the word of the property of the property

becomes a mere military question, upon which I have nothing to say. But if I understood the Senator from Virginia, (Mr. Hunsay. But if I understood the Senator from Virginia, (Mr. Hux-Ter,) whose eloquent speech I listened to with great satisfaction and with a general concurrence in its views, he proposed the Sier-ra Madre, as a politico-military line, having reference to indemnity as well as to the presention of the war. As an indemnity line, in any sense, I am entirely opposed to it, as it includes more than two thirds of the entire territory of Mexico. It extends on the Gulf, nearly four degrees south of the maxif of the Rio Grande, and in-nearly four degrees south of the maxif of the Rio Grande, and innearly four degrees south of the mosti of the Rio Grande, and includes the greater part of the States of Tamanlipas, Conhuila, New Leon, San Luis Potosi, Zacatecas, Durango, Chibuadhua, New Mexico and California. Of the population in these states, I am not informed, but it must be very considerable. Several of these states have not been conquered, and to hold military possession of so large a portion of Mexico, would require a large force, and be subject to nearly the same objectious, as the proposition which I shall notice of a military occupation of the whole of Mexico. And what would be the object, unless we intend to return the condition of the control o

sufficient only for that purpose, with a view to proce. This is the proposition of the honorable Senater from New York, (Mr. Dix.) in whose judgment I have great confidence. He did not, I presume, intend an, indefinite occupation in case a treaty of peace should not be obtained. If regarded as a temporary occupation, to induce a peace, it is substantially the same as the first proposition of the process of t

to induce a peace, it is substantially the same as a bondary.

ion I shall suggest.

4. An indemnity line, adopting the Rio Grande as a bondary, with the whole or a part of New Mexico and California. This I shall have occasion to speak of, in remarking on my second pro-

position.

position.

5. To hold our present possessions, and increase our forces, so as to extend our conquests over other parts of Mexico, and compel her to sue for peace. This I consider substantially the same as the next proposition which I shall notice. This appears to be the

plan of the Secretary of War.

6. The subjugation of the whole of Mexico, as a means of obtaining peace. I will postpone what I have to say, on the two last propositions, antil I have suggested my own ideas as to the best

way of bringing this war to a close.

Mr. President : In deciding on the course most advisable to be pursued in order to bring the war to a termination, we must consider the present condition of Mexico, and the results of the war thus far. We are now in the military occupation of more than one half of the territory of Mexico. In addition to the northern prohalf of the territory of Mexico. In addition to the northern pro-vinces, which are sparsely inhabited, there not being more than vinces, which are sparsely inhabited, there not being more than one person to the square mile, we possess the important central states, of Vera Cruz, Puebla, and Mexico. We hold most of her scaports on the Gulf and the Pacific; we have reduced all her strong fortresses, with their armaments; annihilated her army and deprived her, apparently, of most of the elements is smooth are in possession of her capital, and her governments with the contraction of the contracti speratero, where it is now organized or attempting to do so. The power of Santa Anna and the military party is overthrough, and the men now in power are opposed to that party and are supposed to the riendly to peace, if there is any party in Mexico who can be so considered. Having the possession of all her sea-ports, we control her commerce, and are collecting the duties on imports to be applied to the support of our army in the country. We are also attenuation to lower his control targets.

also attempting to levy the internal taxes. also attempting to levy the internal taxes. That was has accom-Flu view of these facts, it appears to make the property of plished its mission—that the property of the property of the mission—that the property of mission of the country. They have now no army in the field, and have no means to maintain one. The attempt which neid, and have no means to maintain each. The attempt which seems to be making to organize an army of twenty thousand men, appears to aim only at raising a force like our militia, and is designed no doubt for the defence of the government against the militial of the defence of the government against the militial organization. itary party rather than to oppose the forces of this country now in

With this view of the condition of Mexico, I submit my plan of terminating the war. To hold on to our presem possessions in Mexico, until one more attempt can be made to negotiate a treaty If our army should be reinfereed, and preparations made apparently for a more vigorous prosecution of the war, it might favor the object in view, and it is from that consideration that I can give my vote for this bill. I would have Mexico see, that We can give my vote for this bill. I would have Mexico see, that we are able and prepared to prosecute this war as long as we think it for our interest to do so. I bound strengthen our forces only for the purpose of favoring negotiation; and not for the purpose of helding the military occupation of the country for an indemnite period, or for any considerable length of time. When the proper time arrives, if it has not already; commissioners of character and standing should be sent there, clothed with full powers to set-

tle all matters in dispute between the two countries

the all matters in dispute between the two countries.

This plan amms at a speedy termination of the war by treaty, and are there not good reasons to suppose that it would be successful? Whatever credit may be due to the various rumors of negotiations having actually taken place, all accounts from Mexico go to slow, that the party now in power are in favor of peace. Since the overthrow of Santa Auna and the military party, the government has fallen into the hands of divilians, whose personal interests must be favorable to peace; for the continuance of the war will be likely to throw the power again into the hands of some military chieftain. War promotes the influence and favors the ambitions schemes of military leaders. The men now entrust-ed with the government see this, which turns their personal inter-est, to the side of peace, and they can hardly doubt that the inest, to the said of peace, and they can hardly doubt that the terest of their country lies in the same direction. We see that all the Presidents since the overthrow of the military ders, who in rapid succession have been at the head of affairs. ders, who in rapid succession have been at the head of affairs, have been represented to be favorable to peace—Anaya, Pena y Pena, and Herera—and they appear to be sustained by a majority of the Congress, as well as by the governors of many of the states, who have been resembled to deliberate on the condition of the republic. This party is ealied the moderos—the middle men or moderate men. I his party is cancel the moderos—the indicate men or moderate men, occupying a position between the two extremes, of which the military leaders constitute one and the puros the other. If we cannot obtain peace with this party, with what party can we expect it? The military leaders, all accounts allow, are averse to negociation; and the tary feathers, all accounts anow, are averse to negociation; and the puros, or extreme popular party, appear to be equally hostile to peace. Some accounts represent that their object is to compel us to subjugate the country and establish a government over it, beheving that the only way of effectually putting down the military party, which has so long oppressed them. But this can hardly be believed, as it would shew a total want of national sentiment—and it is wholly inconsistent with the manifesto recently put forth by It is within inconsistent with the mannesso recently pur form by the deputies of this party, in which they protest against the cession of any portion of their territory, even that which is in dispute. The military party and the puros, although the two extremes in their migralines of far as they have The muttary pairs and the pures, atthough the two extremes in their principles of far as they have any, seem prepared to act to-gether, and are threatening a revolution to overthrow the present government. But with the presence of our army they can proba-bly secular discussions. This appears to be a state of things in-complete to expect the property of the property of the control of the control of the property of the property of the property of the property of control of the property of must be some truth in the various reports we have had of attempts ng made to negociate a treaty.

being made to negociate a ucusy.

It may be said that should a trenty of peace be concluded with
the present government, it would be overthrown so soon as our
army was withdrawn and hie treaty set aside. This might be so.
But would not the same result be as hiely to follow, if a trenty
standard to the same of the same of the same should be concluded.

The same standard to the same standard to the same should be concluded.

The same standard to th should be concluded one or two years nence, and with a different party in power? There can be no great security for the fulfilment of the stipulations of a treaty negociated with any government that now exists or which may at any time hereafter exist in Mex-

ico. A treaty at any time may be of no great value intrinsically; perhaps worth little more than the parchment on which it might be written. I only say that one obtained now would be as likely to be respected as one obtained at any time hereafter. But whether a treaty may be observed or not, it affords the most

desirable and honorable mode of closing the war, and withdrawing our troops from the country. The bad faith of Mexico would

no dishonor to us.

But should this measure fail I have another plan for terminating Dott Should this measure real a new another pean or technologies the war, or, at least, patting an end to bottlines. It is to withdraw our forces from Mexico, and to hold possession of New Mexico and Culiorina, and the boundary of the Rio Grande. This measures executes itself, and does not at all depend on Mexico. We are now in the occupation of those territories and have an organized to the companion of these territories and have an organized to the companion of these territories and have an organized to the companion of these territories and have the companion of these territories and have the companion of the territories and the territories are the territories and the territories and the territories are the territories and the territories are the territories are the territories and the territories and the territories are the territories are the territories and the territories are th nized government there of some sort. The President regards them as already onrs; he says, we cannot think of relinquishing them. He recommends the establishment of territorial governments over them. If these provinces are now ours, then we have already indemnity in our hands, for it is admitted in the ultimatum submitted by Mr. Trist, that we consider them as more than an equivalent for our claims. A sum of money was proposed to be paid in addition to the satisfaction of the claims of our citizens upon Mexico. I do not consider, however, that we have acquired a right or title to them, as I do not admit the right of conquest, but right of the to them, as to not some the right of conquest, our we might hold them as security for indemnity on the principle of reprised. That is a principle of the law of nations; and one which has been favored by our government. I would hold them subject to a treaty arrangement, whenever Mexico might be disposed negociate. And as we admit that something ought to be paid to Mexico for those territories, that leaves a continual inducement for

Now, what is the objection to this course? Why, it may be said that it will not put an end to the war. But it will put an end to hostilities, which is about the same thing, and enable us to reduce our army to twelve or fifteen thousand men, which is probably the lowest point we should reduce it to if we had a treaty of peace. It has been said that this course would leave us ex-posed to a border war, which would require a large force. This posed to a docuer war, which would require a large lorice. This is an idle objection. Those provinces are remote from the central and populous portions of Mexico. Her authority there, has never hene but hittle more than nominal; she has not had sufficient force there to defend the people from the Indians. Improvershed, weak-need and distracted as Mexico is, and will continue to be, it is idle to suppose that she would be able to send any considerable force to that the world force its. The inhabitant which would be the there are the suppose that she would be able to send any considerable force to that the world force its. The inhabitant which would be that remote frontier. The inhabitants which would settle there, the hardy adventurers from the western States, who make the It is confidently asserted, that those in California would never submit to the authority of Mexico, if we were to relinquish the country. They would assert and maintain their independence. A small garrison there of a few hundred men in aid of the mhabitants would be all that could be required for its security. Even the Spanish inhabitants are said to be well affected to the United

In New Mexico there might be more difficulty; there is a much larger Spanish population, and they are said to be less friendly to the United States. But an inconsiderable regular force would be the United States. But an inconsiderable regular force would be sufficient, as our citizens would soon settle there in sufficient num bers to take the direction of affairs into their own hands. And in regard to Texas, she has stood up alone against the power of Mexico, and would want little aid from our army. But whatever force might be required for the defence of this frontier, it could be supplied from the army when reduced to a peace establishment. We should have no other exposed frontier, and the whole rank and file of the army could be stationed there, except what might be required to garrison the forts on the sea-board.

But it may be said that, in adopting this policy, we should lose our commerce with Mexico. This might be so as long as we re-mained without a treaty. But we may expect a treaty of peace manned without a ready. But we may expect a treaty of peace as soon by pursuing this course as any other. And what trade can we expect with Mexico, by a treaty extorted from her, by first subjugating her? Her animosity and unfriendly feelings would still remain, and we could expect little trade with her. Our commerce with Mexico before the war was reduced to a small commerce with Mexico before the war was reduced a commerce with Mexico before the war was reduced a tis of little importance, compared with the expenses and sacrifices which must be attendant upon the continuance of the war. The President himself sanctions this policy as a last resort. He says, that if Mexico shall finally persist in her course, and refuse to negotiate a peace such as we can approve, we must then "take a full measure of indemnity into our own hands." It becomes then a mere question of time. And is it wise to prolong this war, or military occupation for an indeninte period, when after the expenses and sacrifices of years we may have in the end to resort to the same mode of indemnity, that is open to the Mexico, when we have it in our power to bring it to a speedy close, and secure the full measure of indemnity we demand! I begive have one responsible government, and can hardly be considered as a civilized nation. We must not, therefore, expect to close a war with such a people We must not, therefore, expect to close a war with such a people as we would with an enlightened nation having a stable and reas we would with an emigratemed nation having a statute and re-sponsible government. And shall we suffer the semi-burbarism of Mexico to keep us in a continual war? When a civilized nation makes war with a barbarous or half civilized people they terminate it at such time, and in such way, as their own interests and security may require. The forms of a treaty of peace in such cases are

deemed of little importance.

Mr. President, I come now to consider some of the objections to
the two last plans which I have noticed—that of an indefinite milithe two jast pians when J have noticed—that of an indefinite mil-tary occapation; or the subjugation of Mexico, as a means of ob-taining peace. I consider these two propositions as substantially the same, as they would lead to the same result—the annexation of the whole of Mexico. They alford little or no prospect of peace, as they involve the overthrow of both the central and state governments; so that there would be no organ of the nation with which a treaty could be negotiated. They involve the destruction of the nationality of Mexico, so that she would be thrown on to our hands nationality of Nexico, so that she would be thrown in to our nations as a conquered country. The idea of organizing a government, under our auspices, and then negotiating with it, would be a mere mockery. And besides this difficulty, before this result was reached, there would be such American interests established in Mexico, as would interpose an insuperable obstacle to dissolving

our connection with it. our connection with it.

How long may it take to subjugate the people of Mexico? for the government and the power of the nation are already subduced. The result here aimed at, will make the contest a war upon the people. All history proves how difficult a thing it is to subjugate the people of a country, when animated by a spirt of liberty, or of national antipathy and enmity. The greatest captain of modern times, Napoleon, when he heard of the defeat of his marshals in Spain, is said to have exclaimed. The sound of the control of the contr you not, by driving them to desperation, give them "the irresisti-ble strength of weakness?" And what service will it be for our brave officers and soldiers, to prosecute a war against the people of Mexico? Will they war against non-combatants, against citizens, against women and children? Certainly they are too gallant to make war on the women of Mexico. I recently read a letter from one of our officers, dated at Jalapa, saying that the country was beautiful, the climate delightful; and that the senoritas, with their ruly checks, looked so charmingly, that, was he not a married man, he should have been afraid of becoming annexed to a Mexican.

But if, after a context, perhaps of years, you are successful, what will be the result? Why, we shall have Mexico on our hands, as a subjugated country, and must hold it as an appendage, or admit it as states into the confederacy. Success may be more honorable, more gratifying to our pride, but it would be more disastrons and attended with vastly more serious consequences, than acted the context of the conte

In considering the question of our annexing or absorbing Mexico, In considering the question of our annexing or absorbing Mexico, the matter of right does not appear to have entered into the discussion; we have only looked at the consequences, the advantages or disadvantages to ourselves. But what would be our right to incorporate Mexico into our confederacy? The right of conquest is only a successful wrong. Because Mexico, without any responsible government, may refuse to treat for peace, cannot justify us a seizing the country. Should we have any pretence of right, even as much as Catarine of Russia had to dismember Poland? But I will not discuss this question, as I have not time to examine the consequences of such a measure.

in the dependence of the dependence of this free and great republic with such a country as Mexico is startling, and must fill the mind of every reflecting person with alarm. May not such a mine, which destroys the nationality of Mexico, be: in the end, equally destructive of our own? What is Mexico? The very Pandodyn's box of evilusization—if evililization it can be called. If not other country on earth, can we find combined all the evils of race, all the evils in government, all the evils in religion, and all the evils in morals? And if there are any other evils, they may be

found there.\*

"Mired Races of South America and Merica—Techodi, a distinguishois German naturalist, has recently published a work entitled "Travels in Fent," which is well the Spanish with the Indian and Negro nees so that country. The settlement of Mexico by the Spanish with the Indian and Negro nees so that country. The settlement of Mexico by the Spanish with the Indian and Negro nees so that country. The settlement of Mexico by the Spanish with the Indian and Negro nees so that country. The settlement of mexico by the Spanish with the Indian and Negro needs at the same time of races has useful the Mexico of the settlement of the Indian and Negro needs and the Indian and Negro needs. The Spanish will be a settlement of the Indian and Negro needs. The Spanish will be a settlement of the New York of the needs are quite the reverse, and possesses and the Indian and Negro needs. The Spanish will be a settlement of the Country of the Indian America and the country of the Indian and Negro needs. Malatto Country of the Indian Indian and Negro needs and Indian a

What will you do with her. Will you unite Mexico, as states, with this confederacy, with her mixed, mongred and degraded population? Are her people prepared for this? Have they not population? Are her people prepared for this? Have they not been struggling for nearly forty years, to establish a free government, and are, perhaps, larther from it now than when the struggle commenced? Can any man believe, for a moment, that our complicated and nicely balanced system of government, would stand such a shock as this? Like a complicated piece of machinery, would not the derangement of any material part throw the whole into disorder? Could states in Mexico, formed for whole into disorder? Could states in Mexico, formed from such a population, act in harmony with the other states of the confederapopulation, act in narmony with une other states or the confedera-ce? Would you trust to the free action of the popular will there, or would you attempt to control it? And what new elements would you bring into the central government here? Is not this federal government formed out of the states? Do not they constitute its elements, and impart to it its life's blood, by which it exists? And what kind of blood will you infose into it?

Do not the States form and regulate the constituency of the Do not the States form and regulate the constituency of the members in the other wing of this canitol, and also the constituen-cy of the legislature, who elect the Senators on this floor? Con-gress, in both of its branches, is the offspring of the States, and wast receive its character from their action. This government, gress, in both must receive its character from their action. This government, which is a sort of balance-wheel, to unite and regulate the action of the States, derives its character from them, and if they are wan-ting in the elements of freedom or order, the defect and disorder will be communicated here, and our whole system become de-

ranged.

But, if Mexico has not the elements of freedom, so that she can be admitted as States into the confederacy, it seems to be supposed that we can hold her as an appendage or colony. My honorable friend from Arkansas (Mr. Seviea) seems to think there would ble friend from Arkansas (Mr. Skytral) seems to think there would be no great difficulty in this. He says we might appoint a governor, secretary, district attorney, and judges for each of the twenty-four States in Mexico, and Indian agents to take care of the four millions of Indians, and in this way get along very smoothly. This would be a very pretty colonial system for such a people as the Mexicans. Here are officers to execute the laws, but where are the laws to come from, or is there to be no law but their will?—Who is to make the laws for those States, or shall the judges make, as well as administer, the law? Or will you have a popular legislature in each? Does not the Senator see that the whole scheme is impracticable?

The case most parallel to this is the British possessions in India.

that the whole scheme is impracticable t The case most parallel to this is the British possessions in India, And is Mexico to become our India? If so, it must be governed to the British have governed their India possessions. They have as the British have governed their India possessions. They had no such complicated machinery, but a simple despotism. whole powers of government were for a time confided to a governor and council, without any law but their will; and they always ernor and connectl, without any law but their will; and they always, and a large army at their command which constituted the whole strength of the government. After a while judges were appointed, mainly to protect the natives from the rapacity of the English, who had settled in India, and who, as is said by a British writer, were like wolves among sheep. But the British minister and parliament were a little like the Senator from Arkansas—they appointed judges but gave them no law. They, therefore, made law for themselves, and undertook to introduce the common law, civil and criminal, and to enforce it topog a people who knew no more about it minal, and to enforce it upon a people who knew no more about it than they did of any thing else, of which they had never heard. The chief justice impey was another Jeffries, and by his arbitrary enforcement of laws unknown to the people, exercised a tyranny not surpassed by the rapacity of Hastings the governor. He issued his processes and writs, and sent out shoriffs and bailiffs, with armed posses, and seized, imprisoned, tried, condemed, and even executed the natives, for offences which they knew nothing of and executed the natives, for offences which they knew nothing of and which were not eriminal by their laws. Even a prince and a high priest among the Brahmins, Nuncomar, was seized, arraigned, tri-ed, condemned and executed for forgery, which, by the laws of the conntry, was only a fraud. The whole native population petition-ed and implored that he might be spared, but he was executed, surrounded by vast multitudes of his countrymen, imploring heaven to his followings, and filled with constructions. The big deliverance, and filled with consumation implicating neutron in language could describe. The people, seeing that may be used liable to be seized, condemned, and punished without knowing for what—that they were exposed to the severe requirements of a law invisible and unknown to them—ignorant whence it came, whether from above or beneath, whether it was of human, divine, or inferfrom above to henceth, the control of from above or occeaning, whether it was of numan, dryme, or inter-nal origin—were driven to despair and sought protection from that power which had so long been their oppressor, the governor and council. But this protection they could not obtain, for even the governor and his council could not restrain the oppression and ra-pacity of these judges without law.

And would the Senator send out judges to Mexico without law? And would the Senator sean out judges to mexico without law! Inot, I would ask what laws would govern their proceedings !. Would they like the English judges, take the common law, or statute laws of the United States with them of or would they adopt and enforce, the laws of Mexico! Do the people of Mexico would have any thing about the principles or forms of our laws; and could they

Mulatto father and mestiza mother.

Chico, rather clear complexion

Mainto leither and mesitas motier.

The effect of each interactive upon the chance in the state of the state of the state of the minute of the blood. As a general rule, it may be fauly said by some in one of the state of

be introduced among them? What do they know of trial by jury, of a capias, habeas corpus, arrest, bail, and all the forms and proceedings of the common law? And would our own citizens who might settle in Mexico, submit to the summary and arbitrary pro-

edings of the Mexican laws?

ceedings of the McKanda of two countries, whose institutions, leves, religion, and usages, are so unlike, cannot be brought under one jurisdiction. The only way we could govern Mexico, would be by a system similar to that of Spain, when it was under her dominion. By a despotic system, a sort of Vice-royalty, the suprement of the system of the countries of the system of the system of the countries of the system of the system of the world be called, it would be essentially a military government, as Mexico does not the inhabitants of two countries, whose institutions,

-eem prepared for any other.

The Indians, constituting the larger part of the whole population, would be taken care of by Indian agents, says the Senator from Arkansas, as we now do the Indians within the United States. But does he not know that the Indians in Mexico do no live in tribes; that they have no separate governments; that they are a part of the citizens of the country, and subject to the laws in

the same manner as others?

And now, I would ask, why shall we take, on our hands, a country like Mexico? Would it add to our strength, our wealth, country like Mexico ? Would it add to our strength, our wealth, or prosperity? Would it not be a source of expense at all times, and of weakness, in case we were involved in war with any forcing nower? Shall we take her, as seems to be suggested by some, because she may hall into anarchy, if we withdraw our forces? What if she does? is that any oneern of ours? Are we responsible for the domestic government and peace of Mexico? Is it not one of our most settled maxims, not to interfer in the internal government, and conserns of other nations? The only internal experiment of the control power. Some have expressed apprehensions, that a monarchy power. Some index expressed appreciasions, that a monarcing may be established there. But have not the people a right to established for the people a right to establish the people are right to establish the people of the peopl would be most beneficial to us.

would be most beneficial to us.

Shall we take Mexico as some seem to desire, from an appremotion that she may fall into the honds of a foreign power? And mount you annihilate her nationality, and extend your jurisdiction over her, for fear some other nation would be until you my invisitation over her, for fear some other nation would be until you capation of the country, to keep at from falling under the dominant of a foreign state? If England or any great European power, should attempt to make a colony of Mexico, we should no doubt oppose it, with tast firmness due to our character; and porhaps, should it become necessary, to the extremity of war. But sufficient for the day is the evil thereof. When such daager arises, if it ever does, it will be time enough, to meet it, and we shall be better prepared to do so, by returning to peace, and lussbanding our resources.

But, Mr. President, some have discovered a reason for our extending our domination over Mexico, in the purpose of carrying there our

ing our dominion over Mexico, in the purpose of carrying there our tions to do this, if it were practicable? But can it be accomplished!
Can you introduce the blessings of civilization and freedom by war and conquest? Is there any example in the annals of the world of an enlightened nation having, by war and conquest, introduced an eningmented nation having, by was and conquest, inconnectivitization and freedom among a savage or semi-bariarons people? If there is any such I have never found it. Mexico itself is an example to the centrary. She was conquered three centuries ago by Spain, then not only the most powerful, but boasting of a higher egree of civilization and refinement than any nation in Europe. degree of civilization and relinement than any matter in Europe.

And what has been the result? The Mexican or Azter nace were not a rude and barbarous people, but considerably advanced in oix-lization, probably more so than they are now, after being under the dominion of their conquerors, for three centuries. They have lost their original virtues with their independence, and are now a degraded and victous race.

degrated and visious race.

If we look to the nations of antiquity we shall find the same result. Rome, long mistress of the world, alike distinguished in arts and arms, was in advance of other nations in civilization, with the exception of the Greeks. Her conquests extended over Europe, and parts of Asia and Africa; but in what single country did she plant the seeds of civilization or freedom? Her arms first subjugated the cities of Italy, then Greece, Carthage, and the countries of the East, and crossing the barrier of the Alps, she conquered Gaul, Germany, and the numerous Scandinavian hordes of the Gaul, Germany, and the nunerous Scandinavian hordes of the North. These hardy and wartike people were repeatedly subdued by Cussar and other Roman generals, who also visited Britain and systabilished their dominion there. But were any of those people civilized by their conquerors! Instead of this, it seems to have been the conquered nations which imparted the lights of civiliza-tion, to their conquerors. Graces exhibited by Roman power car-ticles and the Scandinavian hordes were among the Roman and the Scandinavian hordes were also as the second people. And the Scaadinavian hordes so often subdued by the Rpman arms without making any advance in social improvements. man arms without making any avorance as soend improvements, when they became conquerors in their turn, and overrun all the South of Europe, appear to have received the first impulse of civilization from the people they had subjugated. The Romans maintained their dominion over Britain a considerable time, but appear to the considerable time, but appears to the considerable time and the considerable time and the considerable time. pear to have left no traces of civilization behind them. It seems

to be a law of human progress that a barbarous people will not embrace the arts and forms of social life of their conquerors, let them be ever so superior in social advancements. But wherever a them be ever 80 superform in social advancements. But wherever semi-barbarous people have made conquests of nations, even in the decay of their civilization, the conquerors have imitated and embraced much that was valuable among the people they had subjugated. The northern hordes who had so often felt the force of the Roman arms, without one ray of the hight of civilization being Roman arms, without one ray of the light of evilization being left among them, at length became aroused from their fastnesses, and uniting the different tribes and ehiefs, poured down like a mountain torrent upon the countries of the Roman empire, and spread such ruin and desolation around them as nearly to extinguish all the evidences of past civilization. The chroniclers of these inroads of the northern hordes could find no language to describe such horrors, and characterized these ferocious invaders as "seourge of God."

Such were our Scandinavian or remote Saxon ancestors, from whom we derived that Anglo-Suxon blood which it seems to be supposed is destined to overrun and possess the whole of the west-ern continent. It is from this source that we derive that "destiny" which is to extend the Anglo-Saxon race over the whole of the western hemisphere. This may be so; but I hope this high des-tiny is not to be accomplished in the way our remote ancestors fulfilled theirs-by a progress marked by desolation and blood.

filled theirs—bv a progress marked by desolation and blood. Ours is a different age from theirs, and whatever may be our destiny, I hope it will be accomplished by means which will not derogate from our character as a just and enlightened people.

Mr. President: It seems to be supposed by some that we ought to the full be accomplished to be supposed by some that we ought to the full be a supposed by some that we ought to the full be a supposed by some that we ought to the full be a supposed by some that we ought to the full be a supposed by some that we ought to be full be a supposed by some that we ought to be full be a supposed by some that we ought to be full be a supposed by some that we suppose the full be a supposed by some that the supposed by some that the full be a supposed by some that the full be a supposed by some that the full be a supposed by some that the suppo not to bring this war to a close without obtaining "security kine feature" as well as "indemnity for the past." This language is found in the message of the President; but I do not know what he means by security for the future. The language sounds very well, but does not seem to have any very precise meaning. We can understand what is meant by indemnity for the past, but what security for the future, as applied to Mexico, can mean, may be more doubtful. I apprehend, however, that it could mean nothing more than that in obtaining indemnity by negotiation, we ought to have reasonable security, or reliance for the tuffingent of the treaty some, that we must insist on one half or more of the Mexican territory, to the Sierra Madre, as a security against the other half. Nor can the President intend that we must have a mountain barrier, or some other boundary, affording a strong natural delence, rier, or some other boundary, affording a strong natural defence, to protect us from sinvasion and war from Mexico. No such nato protect us from suvasion and war from Mexico. No such na-tural boundary exists, and if it did, we have no reason for it.— We want no other security against Mexico than the spirit and valor of our people, and the great and growing resources of our country. These are the only securities we have against Great Britain, bounding on our extensive northern frontier. If we have no apprehensions from her, we certainly need have none from so weak distracted a neighbor as Mexico.

and distracted a neighbor as Mexico.

But I think the origin and history of this phrase, "indemnity for the past and security for the future," did not occur to the President, or he would not have introduced it into his message. It had a bad origin. It was the defence made by Mr. Pitt, for the long and ruinous war in which England was engaged with France. long and ruinous war in which England was engaged with Prance.
This war continued nearly twenty years, and called forth all the
resources of England—not only in fleets and armies, but its treasure was paured out like water, to subsidise the armies of the continental powers of Europe. But the object of this war, involving
such wast expenditures and searfices, was not very apparent or
satisfactory to some, in and out of Parliament. Mr. Fox. and
others of the opposition, often presend the minister very bard, and constant to uppersonment of the project of the war. We also only make the project of the project of the past and security for the future." Well, sir, this war, although long disastrous to the British and their allies, was in the end successful. Bonaparte was defeated, his continental system broken up, and he was driven from the throne of France. But what up, and he was driven from the throne of France. But what was the indemnity for the past and scenrity for the future, which Mr. Pitt obtained for his country! Ask the the artisans and laborers of Birmingham and Maachester, the men of ceaseless toil, and they will tell you what was that security, which has ever since, robbed them of one-third part of their hard carmings. It was national debt and national taxation. This war added five hundry millipers of womets to the exclusion 44bet of Exearnings. It was national debt and national taxation. This war added five hundred millions of pounds to the national debt of England, swelling the amount to the the enormous total of eight hundred the second of t dred millions. Such was the security which England obtained from an unnecessary and protracted war, sustained by a minister of great abilities, but by no means a wise and prudent statesman, The great mistake was, in placing a young man at the age of twenty-four, at the helm of state, to direct the destinies of a great

I hope, Mr. President, we shall not be disposed to follow such

I lope, Mr. President, we shall not be disposed to follow such an example of obtaining indominity for the past and security for the future. The best security for the future which any nation can have, is peace, as that increases its resources for war.

Mr. President, within the last few years the public mind has been directed to the nequisition of territory and the enflagement of the boundaries of the republic. First, to the annexation of Texns; then the establishment of our title to Oregon, and now in a war with Mexico, which all seem to regard as having a connection with territorial acquisition. These questions have had an exciting and powerful effect on the public mind; and it cannot be surprising, that they have given rise, in certain minds, to extrava-gant ideas and magnificent schemes of the progress, calargement,

and greatness of our country. Territorial aggrandizement having become the great object on which the national mind is fixed, it is natural that there should be a strife among the leaders of the collisional parties, divisions and subdivisions, existing among us, to push shead of each other, each aiming to get an advanced position in the pursuit of the same object. Pirst, peaceable annexation; then the assertion of a "clear and unquestionable title," and finally, acquisition by conquest. Destiny points out our path, and progress carry us? My honorable friend from New York, (Mr. DICKINSON,) has a resolution before us, for annexing all contiguous territory. I trust he does not intend to do this by conquest, for the principle will carry us a great ways. We cannot stop at the burning sands of Panauna, but must pass on to the table lands of Central America, thence to the Rio de la Plata, and finally, to Patagonia, and be brought up at Cape Horn. And but for the straits of Magellen, we might annex the Sandwich Islands, and perhaps Japan.

perhaps a span.

Dere were, then in the Senate; to the tone of a portion of the public press; to popular meetings, speeches, addresses of conventions, and other evidences of wind and extravagant opinions. The proposition, to unite the destinies of this free and happy republic with Mexico, that "Serborgan bogy," is treated as lightly and disposed of as easily, as a question of forming a new county, or dividing a township. This levely; and reddessness, in respect to so grave a question, although not prevailing to much extent, has acpread, should the public mind become pheroized with a lust for territory and false notions of national greatness, I should tremble for the consequences. When I witness these splendid delthaions, I have been reminded of the memorable words of the Earl of Chatham, who in that indignant language pocular to him, charged the minister of his day of having "drank of that poison, described in precise fiction which makes men forget their country." It appears to me that a seek, whether in excitled only thinking of Mexico, Sir, what is our country? Does it consist only of territory; of those great features which distinguish this continent; its vast mountains, lakes like infland seas, rivers like estuaries of the ocean, and valleys and prairies unknown in any other land! No? These are not our country; they belong to Him who created them. We may be thankful that our lot has been cast in as foavored a portion of the globe, but we have no reasists of our population and its wonderful organization, political, civil and social; our complicated but karnonious system of government; the simplicity and justice of our laws; our institutions of general education and higher institutions of lawring; in the purity of our outself and the simple and unsophisticated forms of social life. It is these things which distinguish our country from all others, and of our death of the senate country and to their value? Can we have no higher idea of national greatness, than what pertains to extent of territory? It territory

riterial tagrandizment, and especially by conquest, is to imitate the vulgar ambition of kings, and is unworthy of a free and enthe republic booked for their country. Its literity the founders of the republic booked for their country. Its literity the tenders of the republic booked for their country. Its literity for humanity, entered largely into their ideas of the future greatness and true glory of their country. They regarded more its moral than its physical greatness, and sought to give an increasing development to its moral energies. That was the "progress" they anticipated for their country. The best definition of a free government was given by a citizen of my own state, Jost. Barkow, who seems not to have heen justly appreciated. He defined a free government of the progressive age, we seem to be advancing backwards and substituting physical for moral power.

ment to be "the substitution of moral for physical power." But in this progressive age, we seem to be advancing backwards and substituting physical for moral power.

Mr. President, I have no apprehensions from any reasonable enlargement of our territory, when circumstances throw acquisition in our won for reasonable enlargement of our territory, when circumstances throw acquisition of the control of all, of all of all,

Mr. President, I have exhausted myself and wearied the Senate, and baving said about all that I desired to say, I will detain you no longer. I thank Senators for their patience and attention. I have discharged what was in some respects an unpleasant duty. If I have spoken with warmth, it is because I have felt what I have said. If I have raised a warning vice against dangers in the future, which a false step at this time might bring upon the country.

This may be the last time that my color will be heard in this hall on any great question, affecting the lasting welfare of the country, I shall not long be here; but in retirement I can never be indifferent to whatever concerns the prosperity of the country, the integrity of the Union, and the maintainance of our free insultutions, in all their efficacy. And I hope it may be my last aspiration, that it may ever remain the true glory of our country, to be circles it here it is a continuous control of the country to the control of the my be my last aspiration, that is may ever remain the true glory of our country, to be circles likerity as the highest good of the people, and to preserve peace, in the only way it can be done, by observing justice.

Mr. UNDERWOOD took the floor, and on his motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 1848.

ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS.

Mr. BENTON presented an ordinance passed by the city councit of St. Louis, Missouri, raging upon Congress the propriety of making an appropriation for continuing the improvement of the harbor at that place; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce, and ordered to be printed.

Mr. HUNTER presented the memorial of Elizabeth Mooroe, wildow of Thomas J. C. Monroe, deceased, late a surgeon in the numy of the United States, praying a pension, which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Erskine and Eichelberger, on the tiles of the Senate, he referred to the Committee of Claims.

On motion by Mr. BUTLER, it was

Ordered, That the petition of E. P. Guier, and B. McLaughlin, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee of Claims.

#### SENATE POST OFFICE.

Mr. WESTCOTT submitted the following resolution for consideration :

Resolved. That the Secretary of the Senate be authorized to pay to the two youths aployed in the Senate post office at the last session, one hundred dollars each, in full magnetization for their services at the last Session.

On motion by Mr. WESTCOTT, it was

Ordered. That it be referred to the Committee on the Contingent Expenditures of the Senate.

#### CHARITY HOSPITAL AT NEW ORLEANS.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, submitted the following resolution; which was considered, by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved, That the Committee on Commerce be in-tracted to inquire into the expe-diency of making an appropriation to ad the Charity Hooptal, at New Orleans in the fraving the experses of the size had destitute volunteer troops, as well as of six and destitute sitzuro of the United States, received into the soal institution.

# THANKS TO COLONEL DONIPHAN

Mr. HANNEGAN, by unanimous consent, asked and obtained leave to introduce a joint resolution of thanks to Colonel Alexander W. Doniphan, the officers and neu under his command in their recent campaign through the Northern States and provunces of Mexico; which was read the first and second times, referred to the Committee on Military Affairs, and ordered to be printed.

# MEDALS, ETC., FOR OFFICERS AND SOLDIERS.

Mr. CASS, by unanimous consent, asked and obtained leave to the CAOS, by unantionis consent, needs and ordinated feels of introduce a joint resolution authorizing medals and certificates to be given to officers and soldiers of the regular army and volunteers for gallant conduct in battle during the war with Mexico; which was read the first and second times, referred to the Committee on Militray Affairs, and ordered to be printed.

# ADVERSE REPORT

Mr. BREGHT, from the Committee on Revolutionary Claims, to whom was referred the petition of Mary M. Telfair, submitted an adverse report, which was ordered to be printed.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the Committee on Pensions to whom was referred the petition of David N. Smith, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for his relief.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

Mr. ATCHISON, from the Committee on Indian Affairs, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of Joseph and Lindley Wurd, reported it without amend-

The Senate proceeded to consider said bill, as in Committee of the Whole, and no amendment being made it was reported to the

Ordered. That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent.

Resolved. That it pass, and that the title thereof he as aforesaid

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives thereof.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill to compensate John M. Moore.

The question pending was upon the motion made by Mr. BREESE, at a former day, to reconsider the vote by which the amendment reported from the Committee on Public Lands was

Mr. BREESE withdrew the motion to reconsider; and no further amendment being made, the bill was reported to the Senate and the amendment was concurred in.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent.

olved. That it pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesa

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in said bill.

The Senate proceeded to consider the resolution reported from The Schafe proceeded to consider the resonant reported from the Committee on Printing, to print the report of the examination of New Mexico by Lieut. J. W. Abert, of the Topographical Corps, together with 2000 additional copies thereof, and the map accompanying the same; and it was agreed to.

#### ADVESSE REPORT ADOPTED.

The Senate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee on Revolutionary Claims in the case of Maria C. Robertson, and in concurrence therewith it was

Resolved. That the prayer of the petmoner be d

#### BAROUE WILHAMET.

The hill from the House of Representatives to anthorize the issue of a register to the barque Wilhamet, was read the first and second times by unanimous consent.

The Senate proceeded to consider said bill, as in Committee of the Whole, and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered. That it be engrossed and read a third time

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent. Resolved. That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as oforesaid.

Ordered. That the Secretary notify the House of Representa-tives thereof.

# HOUSE BILLS REFERRED.

The bill from the House of Representatives to divide the State of Georgia into two judicial districts, and organizing and estab-lishing an additional district court of the United States, with eircuit court powers and jurisdiction, was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary

The bill from the House of Representatives to change the loca-tion of certain light-houses and buoys, was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Commerce

The bill from the House of Representatives to awend an act on titled "An act in amendment of the acts respecting the judicial system of the United States," was read the first and second times by manimous consent, and referred to the Committee on the Ju-

# COMPENSATION TO MESSENGERS.

The Senate proceeded to consider the following resolution submitted yesterday by Mr. Badger:

Resolved, That there be paid to each of the messengers of the Senate, and office of the Secretary of the Senate, who have not already received it, the same additional conspensation that was paid to R. P. Anderson and J. L. Clubb, for the second session of the twenty-multi-Congress.

On motion by Mr. BADGER, it was

Ordered, That it be referred to the Committee on the Contingent Expenditures of the Senate.

# PRIVATE BILLS PASSED.

The Senate resumed the consideration, as in Committee of the Whole, of the bill for the relief of Susan E. Gordon.

Mr. WESTCOTT explained the nature of the amendment previously offered by him, and after a few words in explanation by Mr. Mason, it was agreed to.

The bill was then reported to the Senate, and the amendments

Ordered, That it be engressed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent.

Rescired. That this bill pass, and that the tilt thereof be "An act for the rebef of the legal representatives of George Faber."

Ordered. That the Secretary request the sometimeness of the House of Representatives in this bill.

The bill for the relief of Jones and Boker was read the second time and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and no amend-ment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent.

Resolved. That it pass, and that the title thereof be as afore-aid. Ordered. That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in said bill.

### INCREASE OF THE MEDICAL STAFF.

The bill for an increase of the medical staff of the army for a limited time, was read the second time and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent.

Resolved. That it pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid. Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in said bill.

#### INDIAN RESERVATIONS.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill authorizing persons to whom reservations of land have been made under Indian treaties, to alienate the same in fee.

The question being upon agreeing to the amendment submitted by Mr. Hannegan on a former day, it was read for information.

Mr. WESTCOTT suggested that as the Senator from Indiana. awho had offered the amendment, was not now in his seat, the bill be passed over informally.

Mr. ATCHISON presumed there could be no difficulty in regard to the amendment—however, the Senator from Indiana was now in his seat, and could explain it.

Mr. BREESB remarked, that the object of the bill was to ob-viate the necessity of special legislation. By the treaty made at Camp Tippecanoe with the Pottowatomic Indians, sections of land were reserved to certain Indians, after the lands had been ceded in bilk to the United States. These Indians supposed they had an estate in fee in these lands, and by deeds approved by the Presi-dent of the United States, sold their interest in their reserved lands. It was now understood, after careful inspection of the treaty lands. It was now understood, after careful inspection of the treaty of 1832, that the construction of the terms employed did not give to these Indians an estate in fec in those lands, but an interest du-ring their life-time. The design of the bill now before the Seante was to relinquish to the purchasers of these lands the supposed served right of the government of the United States. These were some ten or fifteen cases involved in the matter, and in order to avoid the necessity of special begislation, it was thought that it and the precessor of the control of

He then sent to the desk of the Secretary a letter from one of the commissioners who had concluded the treaty, giving it as his opinion that the Indians supposed they had the lands in fee.

Mr. HANNEGAN stated that the amendment which he proposed to the original bill, was intended to meet cases under this bill entirely, which the bill did not. There was a provision in it which required that the deeds should be excented according to the laws of the State in which the lands were located. Compliance laws of the State in which the lands were located. Compliance with that provision was almost mpossible on the part of persons residing at a distance in Missouri, towa, and Kentueky. In order to meet that and certain minor objections, he lived offered the control of the state of more danger of fraud than n any other case of purchase and sale of Indian lands. The statement of one of the commissioners who made the treaty had just been read to the Senate, and showed that the understanding at the time was, that the reservation was made in favor of the Indians, as all other individual reservations have heretofore been made, and that they had, herefore, a right to sell and convey, with the sacetion of the President. That was all that was asked in this case. The papers now before the Committee on Indian Affairs showed incontestibly that a full and ample price had been paid for the lands; indeed, from his own knowledge, he could say that for a portion of them double the value had been paid in money. money.

Mr. BADGER hoped that his friend from Indiana would not understand him as indicating the slightest hostility to the amend-ment when he suggested the propriety of he reference of the bill, with the proposed amenment, to the Judiciary Committee. The statements of the gentleman from Indiana, and those also of the gentleman from Indiana, and those also of the gentleman from Indiana, and these also of the gentleman from Indiana, the statements of the gentleman from Indiana, the statement of the gentleman from Indiana, and these also of the gentleman from Indiana, and the same act of legistation in reference to this matter was proper and necessary. He was not, therefore, opposed to the measure, but was of opinion that it should undergo the examination of the committee of the Senate supposed to be specially charged with the legal proceedings which might be necessary.

Mr. HANNEGAN explained that the bill had been referred to Mr. HANNEGAN explained that the bill had been referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs, and his Friend from Missouri (Mr. Atchisox), united with hint, in requesting that that com-mittee should be discharged from the consideration of the subject, and that it should be referred to the Committee on Public Lands, to which they thought it propely belonged. He could not con-ceive that it involved any question requiring the examination of the Jadeiary Committee.

Mr. ATCHISON observed that the Senator from Illinois (Mr. Mr. ATCHISON observed that the Senator from Illinois (Mr. BERESE), had already shown that Committees had in several instances, acted on this subject in the manner proposed by this bill. The only question involved, was whether the United States would now eade to the purchaser from the Indians, the reversionary interest which it was suppresed the United States beld in them under the treaty. Upon the broad principle of getting rid of the public lands, if for no other reason, he would say, give that reversionary interest to the purchasers. He held that in getting rid of the public land, an important service was rendered to the government of the United States, as well as to the State in which the land lies, and to private individuals who became purchasers.

Mr. BADGER suggested that his friends on the other side la-bored under some misapprehension as to his object in desiring the reference to the Judiciary Committee. Although the case had been passed upon by the Committee on Indian Affairs, still there might be a question remaining with regard to the legal safeguard to be thrown around the measure.

Mr. HANNEGAN begged to inform his friend from North Carolina, that the same guards precisely, had been interposed in the assessment as the case as had existed almost contemporaneously with the government with regard to lands held by individual reservation.

Mr. BADGER then withdrew his motion

The amendment was then agreed to, and no further amendment being made, the bill was reported to the Senate, and the amendment was concurred in.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent.

Resolved. That it pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Sceretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in said bill.

The Senate resumed the consideration of the bill to raise, for a limited time, an additional military force.

Mr. UNDERWOOD .- We have been engaged. Mr. President, in wars with England, and that is the only foreign eivilized Chris tian nation, Mexico excepted, with which we have been so involved. We have had two wars with England, in both of which we ved. We have had two wars with England, in both of which we were contending for great principles, upon which rest the institu-tions and liberties of our country. The American people with Inderstand the principles for the establishment of which their ancestors entered upon the war of the revolution. They were published to the world in the were memorable declaration of inde-pendence. The principles, for the defence of which the war of published to the principles, for the defence of which the war of mulgated in the pithy sentence, "free trade and sallors' rights," The people of the United States would not selfer Great Britain, by her orders in council, to transmed or commit solidations unon The people of the United States would not some Great Entrang-by her orders in conneil, to trammel or commit spoliations upon their commerce. Nor would they permit their merchant ressols to be arrested and entered, and our sailors impressed and made to fight the battles of England on board British men-of-war, or armfight the battles of England on board British men-of-war, or arm-cl vessels. To resist the pract ce of impressment and spoliation, we went to war in 1812. The causes of these two wars with our mother country, and the principles involved, are now matters of history. I propose, Mr. President, to inquire into the principles and causes of the existing war with Moxico. The people of this country wish to know what they are fighting for, and what objects are to be accomplished.

are to be necomplished.

In the progress of this debate I have witnessed on the part of those who sustain the administration, and especially on the part of the chairman of the Committee on Foreign Belations, great research and much anxiety to find precedents, in the past history of our country, to justify every art of the President in relation to the existing war. I know full well the force of precedents. As individuals, we do what our fathers have done. Xations in a single action may set an example to be followed through successive ages. Legislative and judicial precedents become authority. International law is built up or demolished by the military action of nations.

It is, therefore, of lasting consequence to us, to ascertain whita principles are we asserting in the face of nations? I look back with evulutation upon the principles and the actions of those who resisted the domineering conduct and arbitrary exactions of Great Britain. I behold our ancestors struggling against opprecisions and in favor of liborty; and in their conduct I find a cheering example for the imitation of the oppressed of all nations. In their conduct I find great principles of right and liberty vindicated and main-tained. I feel a thrill of gratitude for the bessings I enjoy consequent to their sacrifices. And now, in the midst of our Mexican hostilities, I naturally inquire, will our children regard our war with Mexico, comparatively weak, as having been based upon the same high principles which governed our ancestors when they, being weak, resisted the strong arm of British power? What less one are we teaching our children and the word by our policy towards Mexico? Are we guided by the landmarks of history? Are we acting apon the crongment of the property of the control of the control

Before going into these mementous subjects, I beg leave to call the attention of the Senate to the war made apon the freedom of speech by the supporters and vindicators of the war against Mexthe attention of the Senate to the war made upon the Ircedom of speech by the supporters and windcators of the war against Mexico. In their belligerent career they denounce all those who question the sargacity of the President, in plunging the country into the transport of the same properties of the same properties. The President in solid set the example. It has been followed by executive partians with unparalleled malignity. It has at length come to this, that those who do not agree with the administration are denounced on the executive organ, the Union, and other affiliated papers, in terms usually applied by vulgar wrath to the vilest criminals. A copy of the Union, now before me, chargest members of Congress of both Houses with making speech after speech "against the rights and hoor of the country," and publishes the opinions of officers and soldiers in the army, to prove that opinions of officers and soldiers in the army, to prove that the effect of obsering the enemy and prolonging the war." Gen. Pearce is represented as declaring in a public speech, that extracts from American papers republished in Mexice, constituted "the food which fed the ferocity that pursued the army at every turn and caused the batchering of every soldier who fell into their (Mexican) hands." Under the head of "more just indignation," we are informed by the Union that a correspondent of the Pennsylvawe are informed by the Union that a correspondent of the Pennsylva-nian, writing from the city of Mexico relative to the republication at that place, of the speech of a distinguished member of this Sen-ate, declares that "the army here [in Mexico] are deeply incensed ate, declares that "the army here in Mexico] are deeply incensed by such a display of selfish party ambition, scarificing fruth, principle, country, and his countrymen, all to his overweening desire for place," and adds, "the excerations against him are deep and bitter, and the words villain, traitor, are in every mouth." But it When the army, only, is pure, and contains all the patriotism in the land, their denunciations may possibly be succeeded by clear-ing the halls of legislation with the bayonet. Cromwell and Napoleon knew how to denounce and subvert legislatures. Washington, had he allowed the Newburg letters to operate upon him, ton, had the allowed the Newburg letters to operate upon hun, might have marched to the glorous continental Congress of the Revolution, and ended their deliberations by a hand of soldiers.—
The amendment of my colleague (Mr. CAITENDEN) proposing to substitute volunteers in the place of regulars. has been voted down, mainly upon the ground, if I can indee from the debate, that the volunteer had attachments to home and country, that he had the secretary when the substitute volunteer had attachments to home and country, that he had the secretary when the substitute volunteer had attachments to home and country, that he had business to pursue, and that he had a personal worth, a pride of character, which would not allow him to become a mere ma-chine. Remember, if you pass this bill, the ranks are to be filled with men who have no place in the business affairs of life, and who have no ties to bring them back from Mexico. Such were the arguments in favor of regulars, rather than volunteers. Such solers would be machines, under such Generals as Cromwell and Napoleon; and, although there may be no danger, during the con-tinuance of the present generation, that a successful commander in war will totally revolutionize the government of the United in war will totally revolutionize the government of the United States, yet an army composed of the materials which the friends of the administration seem to peter, might be induced to place their commander on a throne, provided be introduced into his policy a now feedal system, and divided out the lands of Mexico annug his supporters. Whatever the army may do in the progress of ovents, I hope that the hody of the American people base not forgotten the maxims that the military should be subordmate to the civil power of the State, and that large standing numies are dan gerous to liberty. I shall proceed to express my opinions of the principles and tendencies of the existing war, regardless of anticipated demonstrations. denunciations.

There is another preliminary remark 1 desire to make. We have been in substance told, here as elsewhere, that those who object to the cendence of the President in reference to the war, and speak and write in opposition tet the principles and actions of the Excentive, whilst they manifest their own factions tempers, are disgrading the character of the country, and bringing upon themselves the odium which attached to the tories of the Revolution. Denunciations like these usually come from persons having

a personal interest in the prosecution of the war, or a party interest to subserve. Those who feel the interests of party are such as have to a great exten the responsibility of beginning the war on their shoulders. With these it is nothing more than a political mancaure, to endeavor to identify themselves with the hor rand glory of the country, and to produce the impression that those who oppose them and their measures, are tarnishing the character and bringing disgrace upon the country. Such selish trickery is too thinly verical to hide itself from an intelligent and discerning public. The manner in which this war commenced, can reflect neither the United States, for the most obvious reasons. There were not consulted upon the subject. The President did not even consult the representatives of the people until after the battles of Palo Alto and Resace de la Pelma. The Congress which recognized the existence of the war, had not been elected by the people and consulted upon the subject. The President did not even consult the representatives of the people until after the battles of a decided a question of peace or war. But even if every member and come with instructions from his constituents, no opportunity was alforded to express the popular will before two bloody battles and come with instructions from his constituents, no opportunity was alforded to express the popular will before two bloody battles may be demoniated his war message, is dated and was delivered on the 11th of May, 1846—only three days after the battle of Congress, recognizing the existence of a state of war, with Mexico and providing means to prosecute it, was approved. Now, sir, I assert that the people at large took no part in producing these momentous events, and that their character neither shows with inversed splend on its learning took no part in producing these momentous events, and that their character neither should be a subject to the proportion as they have more appropriated on the principles and constrain their public, Att. President

tions to the conduct of the President as to the alamer in when the has involved his country in the difficulties which surround us, and conclude by a word of advice for extricating ourselves. Upon this floor, and in the course of this debate, much labeled have been said by Senators who sustain the President, the tendency of which is to render the public mind familiar with the idea that the annexation of all Mexico to our country, if not a positive blessing, would at least be productive of no very great evil. Indeed, one of the Senators from the great State of New York has submitted resolutions, in one of which it is declared:

"That true policy requires the government of the United States to strengthen us political and commercial relations upon this continent, by the annivation of such contiguous territory, as may conduce to that end and can be justly obtained."

The President, in his message delivered at the commencement of our session, told us that:

"The boundary of the Rio Grande, and the cession to the United States, of New Maxien, and Upper California, constituted an ultimatum, which our commissioner was under no circumstances to yield."

He further told us, in the same message, that:

"As the terntory to be acquired by the boundary proposed might be estimated to be of greater value than a fair equivalent for our just demands, our commissioner was authorized to stipulate for the payment of such additional pecuniary consideration as was deemed advisable."

Put these sentences together and they amount to a distinct avowal that the President will not make peace unless he can get from Mexico more territory than is sufficient to pay our "just domands." It necessarily follows that the intends to continue the war, with a view to force the adoption of his ultimatum by Mexico, and thereby to obtain from her territory or "greater value han a fait equivalent for our just that when an individual or a nation was willing to give up as much land-ro territory, as was sufficient to pay all just debts, the creditor should be satisfied. But here, from the chief magistrate of this great and powerful nation of ours, we have a rule laid down and prescribed to weak and feeble Mexico, which is substance declares, that we will whip her until she surreaders a certain houndary of land, although it is more than enough to pay the gets ever a "fair equivalent." What would be thought of a reditor's morality and sense of justice, whose appetite for santesation was so strong, that a slip sufficient to pay the deth, leaving the dwelling house and ourtilage, to the debtor, should not be satisfied until he "swallowed" the whole I hantation? Now, sir, considerations and the satisfied until he "swallowed" the whole I hantation?

sider the debates here, look at the resolutions offered by the Senators from New York and Indiana, weigh well the contents of the President's message, and do not forget the resolutions of mass meetings, newspaper essays and paragraphs, openly advocating, not only the annexation of the whole of Mexico, but the whole of North and South America which does not already belong to us, and then you must perceive the necessity of an appeal to the people of the United State.

North and South America which does not already belong to us, and then you must perceive the necessity of an appeal to the people of the United States, imploring them to pause and reflect, where they are going, and by what pruciples they are impelled. What do we wart with more territory, either for a political or superstance of the superstance of the area of the superstance of the Arctic Ocean. The United States with Texas proper, contains 2,650,000 square miles. With New Califorms and New Mexico added, we shall have more than 3,000,000 square miles. It is a sentiment of vanity which induces us to enlarge our dominion over the unexplored wilderness of California? Or do we want it because it will add to our power hereafter, and thereby make us more formidable in the eyes of the world, as a military people! In my opinion, we already possess more of the elements of national rudes, we produce every thing necessary for the coulior of man, in food, rament, and shelter. There are but few luxuries which may not be abundantly raised in some of our various climates between the twenty-fifth and forty-minh parallels of latitude, extending from the capes of Florida in the south, to the sources of the world, when the surface of the world. Single, can be produced in sufficient quantities, not only to clothe our own people, latt to supply the markets of the world. southern possessions, more than sufficient to supply the domestic market. In grain and meat of every kind, we can feed our own people, and afford a large surplus to any nation that wants it. We have inexhaustible mines of iron, coal, copper, and lead. Our forests abound in the finest timber in the world. We even possess mines of gold that we may work, without coveting the mines of Mexico All that we need is, to develop the resources of these rich possessions, and to convert, by art, the treasures of nature to the use of man. and to convert, by art, the treasures of nature to the use of man. In peace we were doing it with a rapidity which astonished the world. We were not content to "go ahead" by steam power alone. Our forward movement was propelled by steam and lightning combined. We have alrady attained that degree of strength which would comblie us to make successful defence against the world in arms. With barys, lakes and rivers, canals and ratl-roads, for all purposes of intercommunication and trade, now iffording great facilities, but nothing like equal to the wants of our people, with manufactures growing, with commerce, internal and foreign, extend-manufactures growing, with commerce, internal and foreign, extendmanufactures growing, with commerce, internal and foreign, extend-ing; with all these sources of comfort and enjoyment, and with the certain prospect of their enlargement to an indefinite extent, why need we cover the possessions of other nations? Sir, it is a mistake, a great mistake, to suppose that we shall strengthen ourselves by the extension of our jurisdiction over Mcxico. It will weaken us. It will inocalact our system with a poison which may result in our political dissolution, and the death of our lherry. It will certainly pointed sissonition, and the death of our interty. If will certainly be attended with a vast expediture of money for a long time to come—how long, no man can tell. We shall be compelled to keep large standing armies there, to prevent insurrections and rebellions. The money expended will be a great loss to us, but the valuable lives secrificed under the influence of the climate, change valuable lives sacrificed under the influence of the climate, change of 60 d, and other eauses, will be still greater. There is a vanity among men which induces each of them, too often, to believe that be ean direct and manage, not only his own affairs, but the business of all his neighbors, much better than they can. We overestimate our own powers and ability, when we suppose that we can excel in every pursuit of life, and carry them all on at the same time. There is a limit to the physical and intellectual faculties and ability of our species. We cannot attend individually to every thing. There is also a limit to the powers and capacity of government. No one government, unless it be that of an absolute desport, governing by subordinates the districts assimed them, and despot, governing by subordinates the districts assigned them, can comprehend and superintend the various concerns of all the people comprehend and superintend the various concerns of all the people of the earth. It is just as essential that there should exist different political and civil associations and governments, as that there should be different and separate families and households among the people living under any one government. The past history of our race exhibits the folly of grasping at universal empire.

"Rome, Rome thou art no more As thou hast been."

may be sung, as well of other cities and dominions. may be sang, as well of other cities and dominions. Even the classic language of her orators and poets of the Augustan age is dead. The liberties and laws of republican Rome perished under the fatal influences and policy generated by the enlargement and extension of her empire. Shall we find no warning in her exam-ple? Can we legislate soundly or safely for a heterogeneous na-tion, composed of Anglo-Saxons, Aztecs, Negroes, and Spaniards, of every degree? I shall we have interpreters in this and the other house of Congress, when Senators and Representatives arrive from the State of Vucatan, and twenty other States now constitution house of Congress, when Senators and Representatives arrive from the State of Vucatan, and twenty other States now constituting the territory of Mexico? Can we hear all their petitions, and legislate on all their affairs? Can one Supreme Court decide all their causes brought up for adjudication? What sort of presidential elections shall we have, when the whole, or even the half of Mexico is annexed? What will the voter in Oaxaca know of the character and qualifications of the candidate residing in New York or Massachusetts? I might add, what will he care!

30TH CONG .- 1ST SESSION .- No. 33.

Our true glory, it seems to me, will be best promoted by throwing away ambition, "itTwas by that sin that angel's fell." Let us develope all the resources and advantages to be found in our already vast country. Let us cultivate the arts of peace, and seek not the subjugation and annexation by war and conquest, of any part of Mexico.

But the rich productions of Mexican mines, and forests, and fields we are told, would enrieh our commerce, and enlarge our revenues, and therefore, we should favor annexation. If peace and amity can be restored, and commercial arrangements made, if intercourse and trade can be placed upon a footing mutually altendagous, it is difficult to perceive wby we cannot derive as much profit in trading with Mexico as a separate and independent people, as we could when united. I have looked into the tables exhibiting the amount of our import and export trade, with her, for a series of years, and I find it has been too inconsiderable, at its most prosperous periods, to make annexation an object, with a most prosperous perious, to make annexation an object, with a view to enlarge our commerce with her. For a period of nine years, prior to, and including 1833, our imports from Mexico, on an average, amounted to \$4,1798,004, per annum, and our exports to \$4,670,379, annually. The next twelve years after that period, our imports have averaged a little more than five millions, annually, and our exports a little luwards of four millions annually. During the year ending on the 30th June, 1845, being the last prior to the commencement of hostilities, the trade had declined so much, that our exports to Mexico only amounted to \$1,152,331, and of this amount, there was \$368,177, in foreign merchandize, leaving but \$784,154, of domestic produce exported. Our imports from Mexico during the same year, were to the value Our imports from Mexico during the same year, were to the value of \$1,702.936. The tables thus show, that for a period of more than twenty years, the average of exports and imports, did not exceed \$5,000,000 each, per annum. Now, it is the profit on this limited trade, and the prospect of enlarging it by annexation, that are held out, as motives to influence us. It is manifest, that if the whole amount was a clear gain, it would not pay the fourth part of the expense of executing the war one year. As to the additionary, it would be more than absorbed by the increased expenditure in overvinor the country.

any, it would be more than absorbed by the increased expenditure in governing the country.

The next point I she'll despatch in a few worst. It is, that we must take Mexico, the whole of it, to prevent its falling into the hands of England or France, and the establishment of a monarchy by one of them. I have seen no evidence that either of these governments want Mexico. It is the same story which used to be applied to Texas. May we not wait until there is some palpable demonstration, on the part of one or both of these governments, to annex Mexico, and then tell them it shall not be done? The reasoning which justifies us in seizing Mexico, to prevent England or France doing it, reminds me of a story, with which I shall conclude all I have to say on this head. Two persons were passing a farm one bright most abining night, and saw a fine turkey roosiclude all I have to say on this head. Two persons were passing a farm, one bright moon shining night, and saw a fine turbey roomsing on the fence. They deliberated for some time in great douls, as to the propriety of taking it, one of them regarding it as a violation of conscience to do so. Heat length got over all his difficulties, being assured by his companion, that he knew two rogues who were to pass that way, one of whom would certainly take the turkey. If they did not. Under such reasoning the farmer lost his turkey. The mass of the people will understand, and ap—
But it is alleged to be our "fmanifors, destinative."

lost his turkey. Are masses in section will innecessant, and apply the moral of the story our "manifest destiny" to overrun all this continent with the Anglo-Saxon race, and to extend the "area of freedom," and the liberty of conscience. If these words mean anything, they amount to this, that we are driven by some law of necessity—some decree of the Almighty—to overtrar the civil and religious institutions of all other nations on this continent, and to build up in their place just such systems as our own, or such as we may preserble. If God has chosen us for any such purpose, and to accomplish the no faith in the doctrines of on has not been made accomplish. We no faith in the doctrines do with a view to reach made mixiling to enter upon military cursades with a view to teach our polities or religion to the other nations of the earth. Christianity or perhaps more properly speaking, the professors of Christianity or perhaps more properly speaking, the professors of Christianity have, as I think, been signally rebuked by Providence in their wars to arrest the "Holy Land" from the dominion of the followers of Mahomet. Sir, it is not in the nature of man to be taught true religion or the true principles of civil liberty and republican govcriment at the point of the bayonet. A state of war rouses every angry passion, and vindictive feeling against an invader. There angry passion, and vindentive leeting against an invader. There is an instinctive resentment against those who attempt to compel us to adopt their creeds by force. Hence it is, that no cause can progress under teachers who undertake to produce conviction by the sword, and who kill the body for the sin of unbelief. Persecution never did, and never will benefit the persecutor. It may canble the persecuted to rise upon a tide of sympathy, excited in their behalf. I am a protestant in politics and in religion. I do not believe that we can find infallability on earth, either in church or State. I hope that the spread of the Christian religion over the earth will accomplish great things for the amelioration of our race. I exult in the moral influences, which the thirty-four Theological Seminaries, and their hundred and one professors, the thirtyone thousand seven hundred and sixty-four preachers of the Gospel, and the three millions three hundred and ninety-four thousand one hundred and forty communicants of Protestant churches in the United States, according to the latest returns, are spreading throughout our land and nation. This estimate does not include

Quakers ner Catholies, among whom there are additional hundreds of thousands, who inculcate every virtue, and enjoin the practice of the commandments delivered to Moses. If these Christian people degire the extension of civil and religious liberty, and if they would accomplish their desires, they must work like their Divine Head and Master did. They must persuade, and not attempt to drive. They must make impressions upon the understandings and heart ed of their mations by the weapons of reason and love. I added the control of their mations by the weapons of reason and love. I added the control of their mations by the weapons of the control of their mations to the theorem of the properties of the deliver. It erreates the here to be admired, and not the sage to be beloved. The appropriate business of the one is to sack cities and devastate countries, waste, and consumer, the substance of a conquered people, and leave women and children to starve amidst the carvage, and death of their insubands, sous, and brethers. The business of the other of their insubands, sous, and brethers. The business of the other ings of peace and plenty, of intellectual and religious, and social joy, to the happy homes of a numerous peoplation. I had hoped that if we were the children of destiny, that our fatalism would be developed in spreading Christianity and popular government over the world through the instrumentality of a bright and peaceful example, one of universal teleration in matters of opinion, and in which reason and personasion would take the place of opinion as a gright, if we will but make a proper use of them; and we sin in choose between them. Our faculties are capable of guiding us aright, if we will but make a proper use of them; and we sin in

choose network that the description of the same of the they perceive an enemy about to strike, are not bound to wait and receive the blow, but may commence in order to ward off the in-jury by disabling the enemy. To show that Mexico intended to jury by disabling the enemy. To show that Mexico intended to make war on us, the withdrawal of her minister, after the resolu-tions for the annexation of Texas were adopted by Congress, and the heated remarks of Mexica officers are referred to. Among others, a publication made by Garcia Conde at the head of the office of war and marine in Mexico, dated July 12, 1815, has been made to cut a conspicuous figure, in which he says, speaking of the annexation of Texas. "The injustice of that usurpation is apparent, and Mexico cannot tolerate such a grave injury without making an effort to prove to the United States the possibility of her making an either to prove to the united states the possibility of cause her rights to be respected. With this object, the ability to cause her rights to be respected. With this object, the thing the provention of the provention unlimited and mimessaraute extent, I have no doubt. I hey speak to flatter the prejudenes of their people, pretty much after some of the property of the prope frightened by gaseonade—sensible men, never. Cowards crow tremendously, like fowls without game blood, so long as there is a fence between them; but bring them into the ring, and they raise their hack and back out. Sir, it has amused me, to see with what avidity we catch the hostile declarations of Mexicans and publish them to the country as a justification to the President for beginning this war. The friends of the administration may have it, if ning this war. ning this war. The ironds of the administration may have it, if they please, that every man, woman, and child in Mexico had ta-ken the oath of Hanmbal, the oath of eternal vengeance against us, and that they were making the most active preparations to march large armies not only into Texas, but into the very "vitals" of the United States; and that the President was perfectly informed of all their movements; and still the President is totally without of all their movements; and still the President is totally without justification for his conduct, in my opinion. If all these things had been true, in their most aggravated and offensive manner, as soon as they came to the knowledge of the President, it was his soon as they came to the knowledge of the Fresident, it was his duty, under that clause of the constitution which says, "he shall from time to time give to the congress information of the state of the Union, and recommend to their consideration such measures as he shall judge necessary and expedient," to have laid the whole be shall judge necessary and expedient," to have laid the whole before the representatives of the people, and to call upon the congress—the war declaring power of our government—to act in the premises. But instead of doing so the President, on the 13th cf January, 1846, without consuling Congress, which was then in session, orders General Taylor to leave his position at Corpus Christi, where he remained unmolested with his army for months, and to carry the control of Christi, where he remained unmofested with his army for months, and to establish himself upon the left bank of the Rio Bravo or Rio Grande. On the 8th of March, 1846, General Taylor began breaking up his camp at Corpus Christi; and on the 28th of that month a flag stiff was erected opposite Matamoras; "and soon the flag of our country, a virgin one, (says Capt. Henry in his campaign sketches, page 66.) was seen floating upon the banks of the Rio Grande, pre-claiming in a silent but impressive manner that the "area of Irecdom" was again extended." The brave cartain in admiration of this event says on the some oracli these contributions of the rior captain, in admiration of this event, says on the same page, there was not ceremony enough in raising it, (the flag.) The troops should have been paraded under arms—the banner of our country should have been hoisted with patriotic strains of music, and a national salute should have proclaimed in tones of thunder that, "Liberty and union, now and forever, one and inseparable," had advanced to the banks of the Rio Grande." Well, sir, there is something of glorifleation in all this; but to the calm observer, it is the invasion of territory held and claimed by a foreign nation. It is an act of war, made by the President of the United States—by the one man power—without consulting Congress; and is therefore a palpable violation of the constitution. If sanctioned by the people, then are we ready to tolerate any usurpation, and to how

torce purposes over the total of the constitution. It is sentented by the or neeks to receive the yelds of the control of the

To give the Senate and country a distinct and clear idea of the manner in which the war was begun "by the act of" the President, allow me to state facts as I have collected them from Captain Henry's Campaign sketches. He was an officer in General Taylor's army. He writes well and clearly, and from what I have heard of him, although we are not acquainted, his veracity cannot be questioned. Captain Henry states that:

"When General Taylor with his command reached the bank (of the Colorado) some twenty or thirty Mexicans presented themselves and said that if his force at tempted to cross, they would fire upon it, that such were their orders,"—Page 59.

"Privious to trues, usey women after upon it, mat seen were their orders,"—Page 39.

"Privious to the crossing, the adjustant general of General Missi, the commanding general at Matamoras, made his appearance and handed to General Taylor a paper from Mejns, "forbiddings he crossing, stating that be would look upon its a stellarization of war," and left assuring the general he would be opposed and that a fight was in-critable."—Tage 00.

These occurrences took place on the 21st of March, 1846. On the 24th of March General Taylor arrived at Point Isabel and we have the control of the part of of the

the invader from our soil. And yet, sir, for the assertion of this sentiment here, a seatiment without which there can be no true love of country upon earth. I suppose some malignant particular and the suppose some malignant particular and the suppose some malignant particular to the suppose of the suppose some distance of the suppose some distance from the main body, were ponneed upon by a body of Mexicans and carried off prisoners to Matamoras'—page 65. "April 1st. General Taylor having demanded the release of the captured Dragoons they were returned to-day, with early all their equipments'—page 70. On the 12th of April General Ampudia sends a communication to General Taylor, telling him, 'the must leave his position in twenty-four hours, retire to the Naccess and there await the settlement of the question by negotiation, in default of which, Mexico would look upon his position as a declaration of war." ''General Taylor sent word to Ampudin that he did not require twenty-four hours, but would reply at 10 celock ration of war." "enemar I agyor sent word to Amputan that he did not require twenty-four hours, but would reply at 10 o'clock A. M. tomorrow." On the 13th of April, he did reply by saying "he was sent here by order of his government in a peaceable attitude and intended to remain; and then worned him against the

responsibility of firing the first gun"—pages 74 and 75.

Well, sir, who fired the first gun? On the 4th and 5th days of April our troops shot two of their own men as they were des April our troops since we in their own men as any were deser-ing to the Mexicans. The deserters were shot, one on each day, as they were swimming the river—page 72. The first blood shed was that of our own men, and by the guns of our own men. Hea-ven forbid that this evil omen in the commencement of this was, should portend civil strife and bloodshed among ourselves in its On the morning of the 10th of April, "Col. Cross final results. On the morning of the 10th of April, "Cot. Cross left camp and not returning in the ovening great fears were entertained for his safety"—page 73. On the 17th of April Lieutenants Dobbins and Porter with ten men each "left camp with the avowed intention of catching, if possible, some of the band of the notoried intention of catching, if possible, some of the band of the notorious Romeno Falcon, hoping to discover some clue to the murder of Col. Cross"—page 77. On the 18th of April, Lieutenants Dobbins and Porter having separated their commands, Lieute Porter's party, at about 20'elock F. M.—met with a party of armed Mexicans cnagaged in jerking beef. "As they approached their camp, a Mexican snapped his piece at Lieut. Porter, who returned it with both barrels of his gun. The enemy immediately field, and the Lieutenant Ionud himself in the possession of ten horses and twenty Mexican halantes"—pages 77 and 78. Here we have the first snap from the Mexican and the first fire, two shots, from our officer. Whether the Lictenant supposed he had found Falcon and his men, to catch some of whom he had left camp, and whether he made any demonstrations evidencing his intention to canther he made any demonstrations evidencing his intention to cap-ture any of them before the Mexican "snapped," Captain Henry does not inform us. But after the Mexicans fied, and after Lieut. acces not more in a Sucrement in Prescrictions head, and after Lieux. Porter had taken the ten horses and twenty blankets, we are told that he and his men mounted and proceeded towards the camp. They had not proceeded far before they were attacked by a party of Mexicans concealed in a chapparal, "Lieutenant Porter ordered his men to dismount, the enemy kept up a brisk fire; both of the Lieutenants barrels snapped and nearly all the pieces of his une Leutenauts barrels snapped and nearly all the pieces of his men had been rendered useless by the rain. "I her result of the whole was that Lient. Porter, and one of his men named Flood, were killed, and the balance of his party dispersed, and got to eamp as best they could. The soldier who got in hat, said be concealed himself in the chapparel, and saw the proceedings of the enemy. He reported that—

"As sooo as our men broke, they, (the Mexicans,) rushed opon the Lieutenant and Flood, the latter they surrounded and deliberately kuifed, and then performed the same inhuman office upon Lieutenant Porter."—Page 78.

Before this fight between lieutenant Porter and the Mexi-caus commenced, General Taylor had blockaded the mouth of the Kio Grande, and stopped "all supplies for Matamoras." This appears from his letter to the Adjutant General, dated the 15th of April, page 118, of document 196, for session 1845—6. On the 26th of April, General Taylor wrote the Adjutant General, informing him, that General Avists had survived in Matamoras, on the 24th, and assumed the chief command of the Mexican troops.

"On the same day," says General Taylor, "he (Arista,) addressed me a cation, conceived in courteons terms, but saying that he considered hostimenced, and should prosecote them,"

Page 120 of same document. In the same letter, General Taylor mentions the engagement between the Mexicans and the dragoons, sixty-three strong, under Captain Thornton, and says—

"Hostilities may now be considered as commenced, and I have this day, deemed it seessary to call upon the Governor of Texas, for four regiments of volunteers, &c."

Captain Henry's account of the defeat and capture of 17 Tornton, may be found on pages 82 and 83, of his book. It occurred no the 26th in April, 1846. I have thus given a very abridged account of the proceedings on the Rio Grande, up to the time when, according to the admission of all, war between the two nations exaccording to the admission of all, war between the two nations ex-isted. I have reterred to book and page, where I derived my m-formation. Is it not clear, that it was occasioned by the act of the President, in sending General Taylor with the army, to the Rio Grande? Is it not cupually clear, that the Mexicans did not desire solutions for the amexation of Technicus against us? Our re-solutions for the amexation of Technicus against us? Our re-solutions for the amexation of the thing of the theory of Mexican hostility, were approved on the thing of the theory of Mexic intuded to make war on us for this act, why did also delay more than a year, before drawing the sword and striking the blow?

If she wanted war, why did her authorities from time to time, If she wanted war, way on are authorities from time to time, meet General Taylor in his advance, and almost implore him to go back, or they would be compelled to fight him? If she wanted war, why did Ampadia on the 12th of April, require General Taylor to retire to the Nacces, and there abide negotiations between the two governments—work why did he almost implore General Taylor to do so?

"If," said Ampudia, "yoo insist in remaining upon the soil of the Department of Tamanhipas, it will clearly result, that arms and arms alone, must decide the question; and in that case, I advise you, that we accept the war to which, with so much injus-tice on your part, you provoke us, &c."

(See translation, page 119 of document 196 aforesaid.) idea is ridiculous, that weak and distracted Mexico, with a population of but little more than 7,000,000, so weak that she had not even attacked Texas, for years after the battle of San Jacinto, and when Texas had a population of not more than 100,000 or 150,000 at most, should seriously think of making war against the United States, with a population of 20,000,000, backed by an efficient Navy, when Mexico did not own a single ship, and sustained by an army not infector, numbers considered, as they have proved them-selves, to any in the world. Mexican gasconade, was intended by their leaders, to operate upon the ignorant masses of their own population, and to produce a political effect at home. Parades, no doubt, thought he would make himself popular, and get up a pronunciamento in his behalf, by assuming to be the devoted advocate of the honor and interests of Mexico, and by deluding his countrymen, through their prejudices against us. He succeeded, overturned Bustamente, and rode into power. That was all he wanted. And we have not a few among ourselves, who, like Pa-rades, pretend to be perfectly devoted to the honor and interests of rades, pretend to be perceity devoted to the honor and interests of their country, but who, I am sorry to think, are just as willing as Parades, for their own advantage, to play upon the prejudices, and flatter the martial tastes of our people. I believe they want, and expect power, and official emoluments from it. There is dan-ger that they will succeed, and force us upon the mad career of

foreign conquests.

The President and his defenders, fully sensible that the march of
General Taylor to the Rio Grande, blockeding the mouth of the there is a diviner possion of rainte, countries using a modification of the countries of th and hence it is contended, Mexico was the invader, and shed the blood of our citizens upon our soil. Well, sir, I will punish any nation that invades our soil and sheds the blood of our citizens; nation that invokes our soil and she is he blood of durinings, and hence it is with me an important inquiry, to ascertain whether we did own the land up to the Rio Grande, where Porter and Thornton were killed. To satisfy my own mind, and to enable me to take such course as a Senator which truth and patriotism required, I have examined the question of title as well as I could, and my researches have resulted in the conviction that we do not own the soil where the first blood in the conviction that we do not own the soil where the first blood in the conviction that we do not own the soil where the first blood in the soil where the first blood in the conviction that we do not own the soil where the first blood in the soil where the first blood in the soil where the sum of the soil where the soil of th Mexico which we acknowledged in 1819 belonged to Spain, and when Mexico had no other title to it than that which was founded on revolution and conquest, we have admitted the principle that Texas may acquire title in the same manner; and, consequently, I exas may acquire the in the same manner; and, consequently, if she did so acquire it, that it became ourse by consumnating the contract of amexation. The whole question turns, therefore, upon a fact. Did Texas conquer the country up to the Rio Grande opposite Matamoras! As to the declarations of Texas that the Rio Grande was her boundary, they are too idle to require notice. If men or nations could, by mere assertions, acquire property or ter-ritory, there would be no end to their wealth and dominion. Unfor-tunately for the honest reputation of individuals and nations, the society within governments, and the relations of governments, are kept in perpetual turmoil by unjust assertions and claims. We must have facts, then, and not assertions.

must nave access from the data of the books, s) insisted that the revolution in Texas commenced in consequence of the destruction of the Mexican federal constitution of 1824, and the creation of a central consolidated government, to which Texas was navelling to submit, and that Texas successfully resisted the efforts of Mexico to bring her into subjection to the central government. That is, I to bring her into subjection to the contral government. That is, I believe, all true, and I could perceive very clearly that these facts constituted a just foundation upon which Texas could rightfully assert title to all the lands within her acknowledged limits before the revolution commenced. Up to these limits she was possessed before she asserted her independence, and that possession, coupled with right, would continue until Mexico succeeded in dispossessing her—which was never done. I therefore agree that Texas had title to all the land within her proper limits, and that we acquired her title by annexation; although I must believae it was bad policy on our part to trade with Texas for that title which she had secured by a revolution not ten years old when we which she has seem. We ought at least to have waited until she had enjoyed peaceable and adverse possession long enough to have

m ejectment before purchasing the property.

Mexico seems to doubt whether there be any international statute of limitations which bars her right in so short a time as Texas and the United States have agreed on. But let that pass. Conand the United States have agreed on. But let that pass. Con-ceding that Texas, by her successful defence secured title to all ceaning that lexas, by her successful defence search thie 17 all the land within her proper limits, does that defence equally avail to give her title to part of the Mexican State of Tamaulipas and New Mexico? Certainly not, How then can Texas, under the circumstances, enlarge her original boundaries? She could only circumstances, enlarge her original boundaries? She could only do it by conquest, and the permanent occupation of the conquered district.

It must be borne in mind, that the original western boundary of Texas was the river Nucees, that the territories of Coahuila and Texas united, formed one of the Mexican States, that Coahuila lay on both sides of the Rio Grande, having a co-terminus boundary with Texas, formed by the river Nucces. That the Mexican State with 1 exas, formed by the river Nucces. That the Mexican State of Tamaulipas covered the country on both sides the Rio Grande, extending from the Nucces west along the gulf coast and bounded on the North by Coahuila, and that New Mexico lay above on both cycles of the Disciplinary of the North State of the State of on the North by Coalualia, and that New Mexico lay above on both sakes of the Rio Grande. It must also be kept in mind, that Taylor's army was sent into the State of Tamaulipas, according to the original boundaries of these Mexican provinces, and that the first battles occurred in what was at one time part of Tamaulipas, beyond all question. Now, did Pexas at any time conquer and hold permanently that part of Tamaulipas in which the first blood was permanently that part of Tamaulipas in which the first blood was shed? Did she ever garrison her conquests and keep any military force in that part of the country? If she ever did I have no know-ledge of the fact. If the fact exists, it is passing strange that it cannot be proved. I do not believe such a fact ever did exist, and I will now present the grounds of my belief as rapidly as possible. In the first place, the evidence furnished by Capt. Henry is con-

In the first place, the evidence furnished by Capt. Henry is conclusive to prove that the Nexicaus had possession in fact of the country on the left bank of the Rio Grande at the time General Taylor invaded it under the orders of the President. On the 24th of March, 1846, as Taylor approached, the Mexicans at Point Isabel, except once, burn their bones and fly before the army—(page 62, of Henry's book.) At page 63 Capt. Henry says:

"The country around Palo Alto is really beautiful, and I am not surprised the Mexicans are loth to part with it."

On pages 64 and 65 he speaks of the Mexican "settlements;" 
"large fields" being enclosed; passing through a "long line of Mexican huts;" "stopped at one and there was a regular rush for 
eggs and chickens;" "the floor paved with bricks and covered with 
beins;" "the poor devils (Mexican population) at their cottage 
doors appeared pleased at our arrival and saluted us as we passed." 
Our army camped in a corn field on reaching the Rio Grande. 
The eorn was about "six inches high."

"General Taylor sent for the owner and told him he would pay him what he thought was the value of the crop."—Page 68.

At page 67 Capt. Henry describes the country and its richness. Speaks of the army occupying cotton and corn fields, mentions the remains of a "beautiful garden" in which the "orange, lemon, fig, banana, plaintaine, peach, and eccoant" grew, and says:

"This rich body of land is between thirty-five and forty miles in width, and some to hundred and fifty in length."

Capt. Thornton was attacked when he and his men were at a Capt. Hormon was attacked when he and in such well as house in a "Argree plantarion" page 83. In all this we see incontestible proofs that the Mexicans everhad possession of the country. When and how did the Texans everhad possession of it! If they ever had a single settler in it west to the Nueces, give us his name, the tell us who he was, and where he settled.

(Here Senator Houston informed Mr. U. that a man named Powers had settled west of the Nueces, in 1832, under a Mexican

Mr. UNDERWOOD.—Well that was years before the Texan Revolution commenced? What became of him? Was he a citizen of Tamaulipas or Texas? Did he conquer the country up to the

Reliance has been placed upon the settlement of Corpus Christi and the holding of that place as evidence of Texan occupation and possession. But if I have ascertained the facts connected with possessain. For it is a secretained in lasts coincided with that settlement correctly, they prove precisely the reverse. Capt. Henry, who staid there six months, gives us the account of its settlement, and how it was occupied and held, at pages 18, 19, and 20, of his book. He says:

"It was first sattled by "al. H. L. Kinney, in 1838, who is conjunction with his pattern Mr. Authers, established a trading post, to meet the immense traffic crared on by the Mexicans. It was the extreme fronties settlement. The meanings of the Indians were so frequent and attended with so much diagor, that he was forced to keep a registar company of mr. at his own expects, to beford his 'ranch.' Its proximity or "For the suppression of this illustrating, the government of Mexica kept containty stationed on the Kee Grande a specsor of troop reladic commissions." They were usually commanded by some variables a gallound, who was ready in a moment to sacrofice he study for a behief."

Capt. II. then gives us an account of an expedition sent by the government of Mexico, under an officer of the "commissiones," and two hundred men, to destroy Kinney's goods and to take in prisoner. Kinney crossed the Nuces to obtain assistance. On his return he found his valiant company had not only descreted him but stolen many of his goods. Kinney evinced tact and bravery,

but finally saved his establishment by bribing the Mexican officer, and Capt. Henry winds up the account by saying :

"So much for a little ingenious bravery, and a happy application of the lever of the

There, sir, you have the history of Corpus Christi—a smuggling establishment in its origin, and protected against Indians by soldiers employed by a private company and not the government of Texas, and maintained against Mexico by the bribery of her officers. But, sir, this is not all my proof. I have the statement of cers. But, sir, this is not all my proof. I have the seacement of one of the most respectable men in Kentucky, whose nephew was one of the officers at Corpus Christi, and who informs me that his nephew told him last summer, when on a visit, that the small force at Corpus Christi could not hold possession at all times without as corpus curisit come not now possession at an unes wiftonic tampering with the Mexican officers, that his aephew had given a fine horse to keep fair weather with the Mexican commander Matamorus, and that Col. Kinney himself had taken the oath of allegiance to the Mexican government. Now, sir, if these things he so, and there he no evidence that Fessy ever murched an army to the Rio Grande in the neighborhood of Matamoras, is it not preposterous to contend that Texas, by conquest, extended her territory into the State of Tamanlipas up to the Rio Grande?

I find by an examination of the laws of Texas (and the laws of a people constitute their best bistory) much which confirms the

a people constitute their best history.) much which confirms the idea that Texas made and held no conquests up to the Rio Grande. On the 10th of December, 1835, Texas resolved to call a conven On the 10th of December, 1835, Texas resolved to call a convenient of form a constitution, and in her resolutions declared that "all free white males and Mexicans opposed to a central government," were allowed to vote. Also soldiers then in the army were allowed to vote by proxy. The judges and alcaldes were authorized to designate the places of holding the elections. In these resolutions no particular district or territory was specified from which representatives were to be elected. They constituted a general invitation to all persons opposed to the central government of Mexico to covered the control of the contr

operate.

operate.

On the 5th of June, 1837, Texas passed a resolution "relating to elections for depopulated districts," in which it was provided, that the President might order polls to be opened for the depopulated districts in any part of the republic where the citizens of such districts or counties may be temporarily residing, mufi such times as they could return with safety to their homes. This resolution is continued in Green during the way with Mexico. Here, times as they could return with safety to their homes. This resolution was to continue in force during the war with Mexico. Here, then, is record evidence taken from the statute book of Texas that then, is record evidence taken from the statute node of Texas trust as part of the districts even of Texas proper had been depopulated by the war. What districts were they? The laws of Texas furnish the answer, and furnish it most effectually, so far as my present inquiry is concerned. On the 18th of January, 1845, the resolution relating to elections for depopulated districts was repealed so far as it operated upon the counties of Refugio and San Patrieio, and from and after the passage of the act elections in these counties were to be held within their limits and not elsewhere.— The 4th section of the act of 1845 provides that the reorganizaton of these counties shall be had within six months from and after its passage, and proper returns made of all elections of county of-ficers, to the Department of State. The 5th section of the act of neers, to the Department of State. The out section of the act 1845 makes Corpus Christi the county seat of San Patricio county. Before that time the county seat had been on the east side of the Nucces. By the constitution of Texas, adopted 17th March, 1836, Nucees. By the constitution of Texas, adopted 17th March, 1836, an Patricio county was entitled to one representative. Thus we have the laws of Texas proving that San Patricio, on the Nucees, was a depopulated county and remained subject to the operations of the resolution of the 5th June, 1837, as a depopulated county, until the passage of the act of the 18th Junnary, 1843, and before the six months allowed for the organization of the county under this act had expured. Texas in convention had accepted the propsact had expired. Texas in convention had accepted the propo-sals made in our resolutions for annexation. It thus appears that, instead of Texas extending her settlements and possessions towards the Bio Grande, that she admitted by her laws that two of her counties or districts had become depopulated, and she did not even attempt to reorganize then mult about nine vears after the battle of San Jacinto. But, sir, there is yet more Texian law which hears upon the question. I have not been able to discover any statute of Texas which defines the boundaries of San Patricio coll Refusire counties. I we be limited in given in making resparshes. and Refugio counties. I was limited in time in making researches and then the indexes are so deficient, my progress was slow. I did find, however, a resolution dated 17th December, 1836, requiring the chief justices of the several counties to give information to the Secretary of State as to the boundaries of their counties. From this I infer that there was no statute prior to that date giving the bounds of the counties of San Patricio or Refugio, or if there be not went to the Rio Grande, I do not know. If he did his report can

went to the Rio Grande, I do not know. If ho did his report cannot extend a jurisdiction over the Mexicans upon that river, and separate them from the State of Tammalipas.

In the map published by Thomas G. Bradford in 1839, and entered according to the act of Congress in 1838, reas is hid down as being bounded west by the Nucees. That map lays down the line separating Contains and Tammalipas the State of Tammalipas. Combinals and Tammalipas the State of Tammalipas. Combinals and Texas were united and formed into one State by an act or decree passed at Sadrillo on the 15th of August, 1824, in which they are declared to be one State and the territory State by an act or overree passed at Satrillo on the 15th of Adgust, 1824, in which they are declared to be one State and the territory thereof, to be that recognized as both provinces up to that time.—

In the laws passed by the legislature of the State of Coabnida and Texas, I find an act under date of the 12th of April, 1827, granting the exclusive privilege of introducing steamboats to Brad

burn & Steples, "in that portion of the Rio del Norte, (alias Rio Grande), that belongs to the state," thus showing that only a part of that friver passed through the territory. In apportioning the representation, I find an act passed in March, 1827, which gives the "districts of Saillio, Paras, and Monelova, three deputies proprietors each; that of Texas two, and Rio Grandeo ne." I have thus found nothing which gave Texas the shadow of a right originally, to that part of the State of Tamaulipas, in which the battless on the Rio Grande were fought; and I have looked in vain for the evidence of the fact that Texas made and held any portion of Tamaulipas as a conquest. Having no original right, and making any order of the state of the sta opposite to Matamoras, and by her cannon compel the surrender of opposite to Matamoras, and by her cannon compel the surrender of the prisoners? If the country was not then conquered, at what subsequent period was the conquest made? I will not pursue the naquiry farther. I have presented the facts upon which my mind has been forced, to the conclusion that the Mexican government did not commence this war "by invaling the territory of the State of Texas, striking the first blow, and shedding the blood of our citzens on our own soil;" but that it was unconstitutionally com-menced by the President of the United States. What are we now fichting for? Indemnity for the past and we.

What are we now fighting for? Indemnity for the past and curity for the future, the President answers. I am for these also; but my indemnity and my security may be very different from the Presidents. What does the President include in his ideas of inbut my indemnity and my security may be very dufferent from use Presidents. What does the President include in his ideas of in-demnity? The expenses of the war, and the amount of the "just and long deferred claims of our citizens" against Mexico? I think the President is the last person who should complain of the non-payment of "long deferred claims." He ought to have recollect-apparent of "long deferred claims." He ought to have recollect-spointions, even after they had been provided for by Congress, such longer deferred than any of their claims against Mexico.— But although the President will not himself pay, it may still be very proper to make Mexico do it. How much will the expenses of the war and the claims of our citizens amount to? I wish to go at little into particulars and ascertain the sums we are to demand, a little into particulars and ascertain the some we are to demand, and then we shall be better prepared to decide upon the extent and value of the indemnity to be exacted. The President tells us in the message that Mexico cannot satisfy the claims of our citizens and "reinhurse the United States for the expenses of the war," except by ceding to us a portion of her territory. "The doctrine of no territory is the doctrine of no indemnity," says the President. Let us begin the calculation, and estimate the expenses of the war

and our private claims. The amount stands thus:

and our private claims. The amount state Balance in the Tensory Is Februs, 1346, now spent, Treasury notes noder the act of 22d Februsy. 1346, for Tensory notes under the act of 22d Februsy. 1346, Tensory notes now asked for by 8 creatry of the Treasury. Add the value of bousty lands according to the report of the Commissioner of the Land Office to the Commissioner of the Land, 18,729,114

We have thus expended, if we could get peace to-day,

But this is nothing like all, we have by the war laid the foundation for an increased expenditure of millions more, and with which the country will be burdened for years to come. The claims of the mutilated and disabled soldier, and the widows and orphans of the dead, for pensions, are pointing in upon us. Therpine a claim now before the committee of which I am a member for more than \$15,000, for property taken and used to supply the wants of one of our regiments, and how many more thousands we shall be called to pay for property used in the same way, no one knows or can even conjecture. The claims of our citizens against Mexico are as follows

Amonot allowed by the Commissioner, under the treaty, and part of which has been paid, Amonot approved by our Commissioner but disapproved by the Mexican Commissioner and not acted on by the Amount of claims oot acted on or decided,

> Total individual claims 86.291.5376

If we could arrest the war this moment, with what we have al-ready spent, with the liabilities already incurred, and with what we should be compelled to expend in bringing our armies boine and paying them up to the time they were disbanded, no reasonable espaying them up to me time they were distanted, no reasonant ex-tinate of our extra-war-expenses can bring them below a hundred million of dollars; meaning the second of the treatment of the treatment of of the Treatment extra the deficiency of the year ending lst of July, 18-49 at \$36,274,055. Pass this bill for ten thousand more regulars; and the other hill reported by the Military Committee regulars, and the other bill reported by the Military Committee for twenty thousand more volunteers, and bring upon the country the increased expenditure of feeding and clothing them, supplying them with arms and transporting them to and marching them through Mexico, and add these new expenditures to the past and well may the tax paying people of the United States begin to tremble and ask, what is to become of us? Now, it is the President and the control of the state proposed policy to obtain indemnity from Mexico in land for deats proposed policy to obtain indemnity from Mexico in land for feet of the cost of the cost of Culifornia and New Mexico, but he did not tell us how many millions he had authorized Mr. This to give. Enough ap-

pears however to show, that in the President's opinion, if he could secure territory amounting to his ultimatum, he would thereby seseeme territory amounting to his ultimatum, he would thereby se-cure a pecuniary indemnity for the government and an ample fund also for the satisfaction of individual claims. Now, sir, I do not hesitate to declare that a pecuniary indemnity is an impossibility. The idea can have no other effect than to delade the people. It will not charge that it was thrown out with that design. It can be demonstrated, if our past experience is worth any thing, that the hope of a pecuniary indemnity is a sheer delusion. Suppose New Mexico and California ours, what steps must we take to gain this pecuniary indemnity with which to remburse the govern-ment and pay the claims of our citizens? We must begin with other heavy expenditures, and we must pay them years before we shall get a dollar in return. Our first step will be to establish two or more territorial governments; our second, to create two or or more territorial governments; our second, to create two or or more territorial governments; our second, to create two or three surveyors general, and to provide for the employment of a multitude of deputy surveyors; and our third, to create land offimultitude of deputy surveyors; and our turn, to create land offi-ces and provide for registers and receivers. In doing all this the Executive will have a harvest of patronage by which he can feed scores of lean and hungry partizans. The national Treasury will pour its treasure into the laps of Territorial Governors, Judges, Legislators, Marshals and Attornies, Surveyor Generals and De-puty Surveyors, Registers and Receivers. Verily, the first plague of Fourty the survey of the deputs of the control of the con of Egypt, the curse of blood is now upon us, but as it passes aways the second follows. I see the frogs, skipping and jumping in the shape of innumerable office seekers and office holders. Yes, sir, and they will, as of yore, invade our bed chambers and knead-

ing troughs, so that the people will not be able to sleep or eat.

But, sir, there is something more of expense after you have quieted the Mexican title and purchased her claim to New Mexica queted the Mexican title and purchased her claim to New Mexica and California; you must, if you do as you heretofore have done, extinguish the Ladian titles to the land, before you begin to survey and sell it. Your Indian Department now costs you annually about \$1.720,000. How many more thousands shall we have added to it in the shape of annualies to the Camanches and to all the Indians who inhabit the territories we acquire?

There is still you another thing to be considered. When

Indians who inhabit the territories we acquire?
There is still yet another thing to be considered. When we get
California and New Mexico, how much vacant and mappropriated
laud shall we get with them! In the acquisition of Florida and
laud shall we get with them! In the acquisition of Florida and
the Spanish and French grants, and spent thousands and flowering
of dollars legislating about them, and providing for commissioners
to investigate them. But what will be the value of the vacant
land we shall get? We have reports of bleak mountains and desert
plains and some rich vallies; but the great California basin is yet
unexplored, and no one can tell us anything of its value.

Now, sir, when you add to the expenses of the war and the
Now, sir, when you add to the expenses of the variety of the provider of

claims of our citizens, the necessary expenditure to support terri-torial governments, to support your land system, to extinguish Indian titles, and to distinguish the appropriated and the vacant lands, is it possible for us, by bringing our new acquisitions into market, to obtain, by the sales, money enough to pay the interest on the capital? It is impossible, unless the vacant lands to be acquired are more valuable, and will sell faster, and at higher prices than our own rich public domain has done. For the last fifteen years, the sales of our public land has given as an annual average of \$5.566.319. During the years 1745.66.7, when the country was flooded with the "better currency" of Gen.,

us an anumal average of \$5,856,319. During the years 1/30-5-7, when the country was flooded with the "better currency" of General Jackson's pet-bank system, when speculation and speculators in private as well as political circles had run mad, more than in private as well as political circles had run mad, more than public land. Leaving our those three years, and then call the public land. Leaving our those three years, and then call the public land. Leaving out those three years, and then call the man a member, obtained from the Commissioners of the General Land Office, a report, dated the 22d of January last, from which it appears that up to the 1st day of January of the present year the whole number of seres of public land sold by the government, amounted to 99, 295.66l arcs, and that the amount, of purchase money received therefor was \$157,358,274. The Commissioner reports the sams paid for the public lands to France, 19 Spain, to reports the sams paid for the public lands to France, 19 Spain, to reports the sams paid for the public lands to France, 19 Spain, to respect the public lands of France, 19 Spain, to respect the public lands of France, 19 Spain, to report the sams paid for the collection of the government of \$56, \$23, 255. The interest account however on the expenditures of the government, is very defective—so that it is a doubtful question, if interest were properly calculated and added to the principal, whether, up to this day, after more than fifty years sales of the richest vacant domain on earth, the government has yet been indemnified for its expenditures and interest upon them. In view of all these facts in reference to the operations of our land system, there is not the least reason to suppose that we can even get enough from the sales of the vacant and unappropriated lands in enough from the sales of the vacanti and unappropriated lands in California and New Mexico, to pay the interest on our money invested in this stock, much less a reimbursement of the principal.—
Every day we continue the war, this account becomes worse and worse on our side; and of this fact the President and his advisers seem to be sensible, for they have changed their policy in conducting the war, and now say—Mexico shall be taxed, and forced to The President, after peeding of the kind manner in which he had directed our commanders to treat the Mexican people, with a view to nevent the holdy of the Mexican population from taking

and directed our commanders to treat the Mexican people. With a view to prevent the body of the Mexican population from taking up-arms against us, says. "Not appreciating our forbearance, the Mexican people, generally, became hostite to the United States, and availed themselves of every opportunity to commit the most savage excesses upon our troops." After mentioning how they

"robbed and murdered," the President says, "The Mexicans, having thus shown themselves to be wholly ineapable of appreciating our forbearance, and liberality, it was deemed proper to change the manner of conducting the war, by making them feel is pressure, according to the usages observed under similar circumstances, by all other civilized nations." How were they to be amade to "feel?" By taking their property for the support of the army, without pawing for it, and by levying contributions or tay the chairman of the Committee on Foreign Relations, a "wise financial measure," imasmuch as it will enable us to conquer the mines of Mexico, and collect contributions. mines of Mexico, and collect contributions.

mines of Mexico, and collect contributions.

The first remark I shall make upon this new policy of making Mexico pay money through the instrumentality of our army, shall that it seems to contradict that part of the incesage which says, "Mexico has no money to pay." If we can force some four of five millions of dollars from her, annually, by an army of try. nve militons of dollars from her, unaually, by an army of ex-gatherers, she certainly, with a little patience on our part, could pay our individual claims in eash; and consequently, the "doctrine of no territory" is not necessarily the "doctrine of no indemnity." The Secretary of the Treasury contemplates four sources of revenuc in Mexico: first, scizing supplies without paying for them; second, duties on imports; third, duties upon exports; and fourth, second, duties of miprofis jund, duries upon experts and order the seizure and appropriation of all the internal revenues of Mexico, eo, except transit duties, which are to he abolished. But how mech money, with the help of the army, can be collected from these sources, the Secources, the Secource should be second the second through the second

"It's says because," on a mine are withdrawn from the capitol and ports of Mexico, nothing winds he received from such contributions. If they were withdrawn from the capitol and ports of Mexico, nothing winds he reversed from such contributions. If they were withdrawn there is no such as the same produces some of the contral, metalog the mining region, a very small revenue would be derived from this source, in shown by past experiment producing for exceeding 50 should be such as the same produced by the same held by our lorees; it the roads were then open-a into the inicion, aroung me cay or Mexico and the multing region, and the route across the intumor sendend secure, it is my conviction that the revenue from all three sources above specified ought not to be less, so far as the duties on exports and impons are concerned, than has heretofore been collected by the government of Mexico.<sup>19</sup>

After all these "if's," the Secretary has left us entirely in the dark as to the sum we may expect from Mexican taxation, and after various statements, winds up by telling us,

"Under these circumstances, it is impossible to name any precise sum as that which probably would be derived from military contributions in Mexico."

No one could expect a "precise sum" to be named by the Secretary; but I should have been gratified had he ventured a guess with his superior information. But what are we to do, in order to get something more than we have heretofore obtained from Mexico? according to the Security's suggestions, we are to hold all conAccording to the Security's suggestions, we are to hold all conAccording to the Security's suggestions, we are to hold all conbeing the security of the s According to the Secretary's suggestions, we are to hold all con from the time they are supremily compared by the range of the time they are supremily compared by the range of the time to the \$8,009.970, the General continuits for the use of his military chest, the duties on the production, melting, assaying and coning the precious metals. Now, the whole revenue thus proposed to be levied by General Scott, cannot greatly exceed, it is reaches \$1,000,000. Suppose duties on imports and exports would reaches \$4,000,000. Suppose duties on imports and exports would go to \$6,000,000 per animan, about twelve times as much, I believe, as we have heretofore received, and suppose we could, by foraging the country, set \$5,000,000 more. By these means we should collect a total of \$15,000,000, about the amount of Mexican revenue, according to Mr. Foinsett, in her most prosperous days. Can any one expect greater success than this? I do not believe how then will the account stand? I can not sever from the wholes how then will the account stand? I can not sever from the wholes how then will the account stand? I can not sever from the wholes how then will the account stand? I can not sever from the wholes how then will the account stand? I can not sever from the wholes how the will the account stand? I can not sever from the wholes how the will be account stand? I can not sever from the wholes have the support of the first part, ending on the 30th of June, 1845. your army for the fiscal year, ending on the 30th of Jnne, 1845, \$3,803,375 39. This was the last fiscal year of peace, immediately preceding the commencement of hostilities. You appropriatted for the support of the army for the fiscal year, ending on the 30th June, 1848, \$32,168,461 88. Thus the difference between your 30th June, 1848, 322,103,301 os. Thus the universe between your peace appropriation for the support of the army, and the appropriation for the first entire fiscal year of the war, is \$23,997,084 49. At this rate, if you could get \$15,000,000 annually from Mexico, At this fatter, I you could get \$2,000,000 annually from Mexico, to help sisting your army, you would still have to supply, for that branch of the service alone, by loans or taxes, \$13,365,086,49, annually, more than was spent in time of pence. Does not every holy see national bankraptey, or heavy taxation starring us in the face, unless we put an end to this state of things.

But, sir, on what machinery does General Scott rely, to collect his Mexican taxes—what agencies does he intend to employ? Let me read you what he says on the subject:

"The Governors and members of the Legislatures in the different States, and col-lecting officers now in commussion, and heretofore charged with the collection of the Federal thees of any kind, will be individually held responsible in little responsible property, for the collection and full payment of this assessment, one half monthly, &c."

And again he says:

"On the failure of any state to pay its assessment, its functionaries, as above, will be seared and impisoned, and their property seized, regutered, reported and converted to the use of the Cecupation (Army,) in street accordance to the general regulations of this army. No resignation or abdication of office by usy of the said Mexican fonctionance, stall exceed some of them from any of the above obligations or peaklies."

In what volume of the laws of nations, shall we find any thing to justify such orders as these? In what thistory of the mostre-nowned conquerors, savage or civilized, shall we find precedents for proceedings like these? We invade and overrun a foreign country, and then say to its governors, legislators and officers, you shall go and collect taxes for us, from mouth to month, and even to year, and if you dare refuse, we will send your bodies to detail the same of the sam eation, shall exense! In our own history, this capital has been occupied by our enemies. Should it occur again, are we willing to have the laws we prescribe to Mexico applied to ourselves? Speak, ye Senators, and tell me, which among you, at the order of an invader, to save your property and escape a jail, would be induced to assume the office of tax-gatherer for the enemy? Sir, there is no patriot, there is no man who has a spirit, who would thus degrade binned! to the scorn and contempt of his own fellowinitizens. It is not the species we make here, which induces the Mexicans to proclaim, "Death to the Vankess, without merey," as General Pearce may suppose; lut it is the conduct of this administration of ours. If these new principles are interpolated in the code of nations, there will be an end throughout the civilized used to it those rules, courtesty, dividity, magnationity, and munical respect, which have heretolore, greatly alleviated the horrors of war; and deadly hate, plunder, and extermination, will be the result. Instead of whig speeches, aggravating the bitteness and vengeance of Mexican hostility, they must have directly the contrary effect; because they will convince Mexico, that all sense of justice, and all respect for the rules of eivilized warfare, have not

Jewendurely departed from among as:

I think I have proved that a pecuniary indemnity for the expenses of the war, is an impossibility. What security do we want to the future? We have that in our strength, and in the capacity which the army has exhibited to achieve victories and overcome obstacles. The futury has manifested a capacity which has astonwhich the rank has manifested a capacity which has aston-ished us. In that, there is cause of exultation, and in the proven described where the control of the control of the control of the Mexico bound over to keep the peace, and to enter into bonds with Great Britain or France as surery! If that is his meaning by "security for the future." I shall leave it to the President to take the preliminary oath. As for myself and Kentuckinns, we

eannot swear that we are afraid.

A few more words, and I have done. In prosecuting this war A few more words, and I have done. In prosecuting this war, we have lost about five thousand officers and soldiers, in killed and wounded. We have lost a great many more by the climate of Mexico, and other causes. For this loss there is no indemnity. If we continue this war, losses in the death of officers and soldiers

I believe the annexation of any considerable portion of the Mexican population to our country would be a lasting curse. What, then, ought we to do, in the difficulties which surround us? My opinion is, that this Congress ought to declare, by resolution, what we require of Mexico. I would say to her, you must pay every cent you justly owe our citizens; you must and shall refrain from future spoliations; you have committed many faults, you must referral; you may pay us what you owe, in money if you can; if not, we will take the bay of San Francisco and the country around it, and to our Orgon line, if you prefer to give it. That bay will be valuable to us as a naval power, and worthless to you. I would seeme a defensive line, including such territory as would seeme I believe the annexation of any considerable portion of the Mexivaluable to us as a naval power, and worthless to you. I would assume a defensive line, including such territory as would secure the claims of our citizens, and say to Mexico, this we intend to hold a reasonable time, to eaable you to do us justice; and if you will not, then we shall permanently appropriate it. If any Senaused a reasonance time, to ename you to do us justice; and if you will not, then we shall permanently appropriate it. If any Senator who sustains the administration will introduce resolutions based upon the principles stated, I shall rejoice to vote with him in their I know it is useless for me, or any one on this side, to

upon us present the matter.

I shall vote against the bill, because Mexico is already conquered, and we shall have no more battles of consequence of hight, and because I am opposed to raising armies for the purpose of collecting taxes in a foreign country. The taxes to be collected would not support the ten regiments, if raised. Were I not exhausted, I would speak of the irresponsible and dangerous power which the President is exercising, through the army and navy, in his attempts to appropriate the revenues of Mexico, without has and at his own discretion. But I must desist.

If my advice dishergation, you call for more volunteers, as a passed and if, in addition, you call for more volunteers, as a citizen, I shall obey you have. It is a part of my creed to submit citizen, I shall obey you have. It is a part of my creed to submit citizen, I shall obey you have. It is a part of my creed to submit citizen, I shall obey you have.

citizen. I shall obey your hows. It is a part of my erced to sidmit to the will of the majority, constitutionally expressed, and to endeavor to earry it out. As a legislator, it is my province to oppose the adoption of measures which I believe to be injurious § but when adopted, acquiescence and a fair trial of them, is the duty of ma II. Upon these grounds 1 have heretofore invited volunteers.

to your standard, in public speeches. I shall vote for all supplies to sustain the existing establishment, but will not enlarge the army, which, with the forces in the field, and those authorized to be raised under existing laws, exceeds 60,000 man, and the supplies of the result of the control of the results of the res

If the people will not arrest such a career, we shall see whether destiny or fate conducts us to universal empire, or with a whirlwind of anarehy rends and scatters in irrecoverable fragments the political edifice of American liberty.

Mr. TURNEY took the floor, and on his metion, The Senate adjourned.

# FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 11, 1848.

MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT.

The following message was received from the President of the United States, by Mr. WALKER, his Secretary:

The following message was received from the President of the United States, by Mr. W. ALKER, his Secretary:

The the Seast of the United States, part Mr. W. ALKER, his Secretary:

The the Seast of the United States, and the United States, exported by under the control of the United States, exported by under across, from the table of the United States, exported by under across, from the table love collected, and also by what authority of law the seen lad and collected, and the seen lad and collected, and the seen lad and collected, and the seen lad the seen lad and collected, and the seen lad the

provided the United States and foreigners have a substitution upon no even interpretation. The United States and foreigners have a substitution of the United States and foreigners have a substitution of the United States and foreigners have a substitution of the United States. No principle is better established than that a nation at war has the right calculation of the United States of the Compared, but at should be exerced in a manner conformable to the rules of civilized warfare. The part to key these contributions is essential to the successful proceeding of war in an Italy to key these contributions is essential to the successful proceeding of war in a United States of the St

Meacan ports, whether in weasts belonging for citizens of the United States or to be. It was deemed jupous for extent die privilege to vessel and their canges belonging to neutral autous. It has been my poley same the commencement of the ver with the comparison of the comparison of the comparison of the property of the comparison of the compari

Washington, February 10, 1848.

Ordered, That it lie on the table and be printed.

REPORT FROM THE WAR DEPARTMENT.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a report of the Secretary of War, made agreeably to law, accompanied by returns of the Militia of the United States, with their arms, accoutrements and ammunition.

ESOLUTION OF THE LEGISLATURE OF ILLINOIS.

Mr. BREESE presented a preamble and resolution passed by the Legislature of the State of Illinois in favor of an act granting indemnity to the citizens of that State who suffered by Indian de-

predations during the Black Hawk war in I831 and I832; which were ordered to be printed.

PETITIONS.

Mr. CRITTENDEN presented the memorial of Richard M. Johnson, praying compensation for the buildings erected at his expense, for the use of the Choctaw Academy; which was referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

Mr. WESTCOTT presented a petition of citizens of Milton in the State of Florida, praying that the public lands may be divided in equal proportions for the free use of the citizens of the United States; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands, and ordered to be printed.

Mr. MILLER presented the memorial of Hezekiah L. Thistle, representing that injustice has been done him by the Commissioner of Patents in granting to a subsequent applicant a patent for an invention claimed by him, and praying that the Commissioner may be directed by law, to issue a patent to him for said invention; which was referred to the Committee on Patents and the Patent Office.

Mr. CLAYTON said: I have the honor to present the memorial of Passed-Mid. Rogers, asking compensation for losses and inju-ries received in the service of his country. His memorial is conch-ed in terms as modest and unassuming as they are respectful to Congress, and glances at the leading incidents of an eventful story of daring enterprise and heroic fortitude, in captivity and distress, to which there can scarcely be found a parallel in the annals of the war. I shall ask of the Senate that this memorial be printed, in order that he may have the full benefit of his own statement; and order that he may have the full benefit of his own statement; and I now propose to make a few remarks in relation to the elaim which he presents, for the purpose of drawing the attention of the Chairman of the Committee on Military Adfarrs, and the members of it, to the facts of the case, because I suppose that is the committee to whom the memorial nay most appropriately be referred. This young officer, was one of the daring few who, in the month of November, 18th, ever distinguished for cutting out and destroying the Mexican barque, "Croole," then moored under the guns and firstend to the walls of the fortress of St. Juan d'Ullus. Subsequently to the destruction of that vessel, the naval communder under whom Mr. Rozers served, was desirons that a recommissione quently to the destruction of that vessel, the havai communicer un-der whom Mr. Rogers served, was desirous that a geconnoisance should be made of the localities in the vicinity of Vera Cruz, as well for the purpose of aiding a land attack as of destroy-ing the enemy's depot of ammunition, and this young sailor volunteered with a few others—a small boat's crew—to perform this dangerous service. On three successive nights this small party penetrated the dense chapparel in the neighborhood of the city of Vera Cruz, made a complete reconnoisance of all the objects of importance which they were sent to examine, and afterwards reported complete drawings of the levilities around the city, which were held by General Worth to be of great value, as he oches the subject of this investigation. It was during this reconnoisance, on the last night of it, that Mr. Rogers was captured by a band of Mexican guards; and his capture was unboubtedly owing to his own generous impulse in saving a brother officer. On that occasion he narrowly escaped death at the moment of his capture, in consequence of the exasperated feelings of the Mexicans conjunct on the destruction of the 'Creole.' He was carried that nights without sustenance, in a cell swarming with vermin, and where the only intelligence that reached him was, that he had been condemned to death as a say, by a civil tribunal, the sole evidence offered before it being to the effect, that he was the leader of the party engaged in cutting out and destroying the "Creole." He can be condemned to death as a say, by a civil tribunal, the sole evidence of the party engaged in cutting out and destroying the "Creole." He have remained in constant expectation of death for many weeks, and when, as he thought, his death-summons was coming, his only calling a want before his back, and more the death as a Marnéreau, who could look it in the face. He remained in that state of superses for a long period; his imprisonment at Vora Crea lessing for three months. For some reason the bloody sentence which had been recorded against him, was never executed, and a military volunteered with a few others—a small boat's erew—to perform this dangerous service. On three successive nights this small parthree months. For some reason the bloody sentence which had heen recorded against him, was never executed, and a military commission was ordered to sit upon his case. When Gen. Scott was advancing to invest Vera Cruz, Mr. Rogers was marched on foot from that city to Perote, and confined in the noxious cells of that fortress. As the American army advanced into Mexico, he was fortress. As the American army advanced into Mexico, he was again removed and conveyed to Paebla. All his property had been lost in the wreck of the Somers, and that which was conferred upon him by the hand of friendship or charity was taken from him by the robbers; whilst his life was at the same time in constant preli from the excited state of public feeling against our constrymen. At a short distance from Puebla the incensed rable stoned him, and on that occasion, also, he narrowly escaped death. Owing to this excited state of public feeling, his

guard was compelled to remain with him within a league of Puebla till midnight, lest he should be torn to pieces by the exasperated populace in the city. When taken to Puebla, in so great peril was he, that the foreign residents of that state inter-ceded in his behalf, and obtained an order for his removal to the eity of Mexico. In rags and wretchedness he was marched to the ceded in his behalf, and obtained an order for his removal to the entity of Mexico. In rags and wretchedness he was marched to the capital, where he remained a prisoner until intelligence of the battle of Cerro Gord carrived, when General Santa Anna, the hero of the Alamo, ordered his victim to be conveyed still further into the interior. Knowing that death would be the consequence of that removal, he made a successful effort to essape. Always in his pieted to examination from bands of generilles and Mexican guards, until he reached the planis which led him to Puchla, where General Scott was preparing with his victorious army to advance upon the city of Mexico. From his knowledge of the localities in the meighborhood of Mexico, and the numbers and condition of the Mexican forces, Mr. Rogers was now enabled to give valuable information to the Commander—Chief; and his character for courage and intelligence being well known, he was employed as a volunter mid-carried to the commander of the control of the bridge of the control of the control of the bridge of the control of the contr the field of his fame. He has carned his laurels upon the land, although promotion may not attend him for the service which he performed upon land, his claims for justice are strengthened by this service; and the only object which I have in view on this occasion, service; and the only object which I have in view on this oceasion, is to commend his elaims for sheer justice to the consideration of the Committee on Military Alfairs. I think that a stronger case could scarcely be presented for the consideration of an American Congress. I do not undertake to point out the mode or measure of redvess which the case demands. I leave that to the ability, the patriotism, the henevolence of the gentlement of the Military Committee. Wo all unite in commendation of those of our countrymen who have been distinguished in this variety of the proposed of the control of the c We are accustomed to rejoice over the achievements of our countrymen in Mexico, without reference to party distinctions. When the gallant dead are brought from Mexico to their home, we follow the herarse, "the war horse and the muffled drum," and unite in signifying to the world that the whole nation sorrows for the fall—an. I hope that the same feeling which is so successfully invoked in hehalf of the memory of the gallant dead, may be manifested to the living, and that when the pensioner shall return from Mexico—the erippled and war-worn soldier—elaiming his dues, we shall be ready to award to them just compensation for the services which they have rendered to their country. I hope it may never be said of its.

"How proud they can press to the funeral array
Of him whom they shinned in his sickness and sorrow;
And hailiffs may seize bis last blanket to-day
Whose pall shall be borne up by statesmen to-morrow!" I move that the memorial be printed and referred to the Com-

mittee on Military Affairs.

The reference to the committee was then unanimously ordered.

Mr. HALE .- Tho other day a memorial was presented by the Mr. HALE.—The other day a memorial was presented by the honorable Senator from Ohio (Mr. Coawny) in favor of peace, and the Senate, as I understand, on the seore of economy, refused to allow it to be printed. On a subsequent day, the Senator from Massachusetts (Mr. Davis) presented a memorial coming from the large and highly respectable body of Friends in the New England States, very brief and respectful, praying that measures might be taken to bring about a speedy peace. It was also refused to print that memorial, on the ground of expense. I hope that the same rule will be applied to those memorals which ask for action in favor of war, or of those who have performed what are styled meritorious services in war. I hope that we will show some uniformity of action in regard to this matter; so that if those memorials asking for action which seems to innow approbation of the formity of action in regard to this matter; so that it those memo-rials asking for action which seems to imply approbation of the principles avowed in them in favor of the war, be printed, the Senate will not refuse the same measure of justice to memorials which come not from private individuals, but large bodies of christians, asking the government to do something towards the restoration of peace. For this reason I ask for the yeas and nays on the question of printing this memorial

Mr. TURNEY .- I think it is necessary to take in this instance the course which has been heretofore adopted in similar cases.—
I move a reference to the Committee on Printing.

The reference was, of course, ordered, the rule requiring it if one member object.

# FORTIFICATION IN LOUISIANA.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, submitted the following resolu-tion, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to; 30TH CONG .- IST SESSION-No. 34.

Resolves, That the Committee on Military Affairs be instructed to inquire to the expedicocy of making an appropriation for the construction of a fortifical at Proctor's Landing on Lake Boggeen in the State of Louisance.

#### ADJOURNMENT OVER

On motion, it was

Ordered, That when the Senate adjourn it be to Monday next.

#### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE,

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

Mr. Prisident: The Hone of Representatives have passed the hill of the Senate en-titled "An act to authorize the issuing of a register or emolineat to the schooner. The Hone of Representatives have appointed Jones W. Hostevos, Of Delavara-Luctus B. Peck, of Vermont, the Committee on Enrolled Bills, in the absence of Mr. Haarroox and Mr. Rostinsko.

#### THANKS TO GENERALS SCOTT AND TAYLOR.

Mr. CASS, from the Committee on Military Affairs, to whom was referred the joint resolution from the House of Representa-tives, expressive of the thanks of Congress to Major General Winfield Scott and the treops under his command for their distinguished gallantry and good conduct in the campaign of 1847; and the joint resolutions from the House of Representatives of thanks to Major General Taylor, reported them without amendment.

#### PRIVATE BILL.

Mr. CASS, from the Committee on Military Affairs, to whom was referred the petition of John Caldwell, reported a bill for his relief; which was read and passed to the second reading.

# CLAIMS FOR LOSSES IN THE FLORIDA WAR

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the Committee of Claims, reported a bill providing for the obtaining of testimony in relation to claims for losses sustained in the late Florida war; which was read and passed to the second reading.

#### FOREIGN FUGITIVES FROM JUSTICE.

Mr. DAYTON, from the Committee on the Judiciary, who were instructed to inquire into the subject, reported a bill for giving effect to certain treaty stipulations between this and foreign governments, for the apprehension and delivery up of certain effend-ers; which was read and passed to the second reading.

Mr. DAYTON remarked that as it was desirable that speedy action should be had upon this bill, he would avail himself of the earliest opportunity which might be presented for calling it up.

# JOHN P. BALDWIN.

Mr. MASON, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the petition of John P. Baldwin, submitted a report accompanied by a bill, for his relief.

The bill was read, and passed to a second reading. Ordered. That the report be printed.

### FLORIDA VOLUNTEERS.

Mr. YULEE, by unanimons consent, asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to authorize the payment of certain companies of Florida Volunteers; which was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

# INCREASE OF THE MEDICAL STAFF.

On motion by Mr. MANGUM, it was

Ordered, That a message be sent to the House of Representa-tives to request the return of the hill for an increase of the medical staff of the army for a limited time.

### THANKS TO GENERAL SCOTT.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the joint resolution from the House of Representatives, expressive of the thanks of Congress to Major General Winfield Scott, and the troops under his command, for their distinguished gallantry and good conduct in the campaign of 1847; and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said resolution was read a third time, by unanimous consent, and the question being on its passage—

Mr. HALE.—I have no disposition to trespass on the attention of the Senate, but I cannot suffer the question to be taken without expressing the grounds of my opposition to the passage of this resolution. In doing so I have no object in view except to manifest consistent opposition to the war in all its apseets—and in whatever way it can be presented. I feel constrained to record my vote against the passage of this resolution, because I cannot, by any possibility, by any sophic agency which they have had in the war, from an approval of the war in which they are engaged. I do not propose, at this time, to repeat to the

Senate my convictions as to the character of this war because I have already sufficiently expressed those convictions. I shaire only to vindicate the propriety of the ceurse which I propose to take upon this occasion. Let me invite the attention of the Senate to a proceeding parallel to this which occurred in the British Parlment, on a proposition to thank the officers engaged in the American war for the services, which they had rendered to the mother country against the people of the colonies. By reference to the "British Annual Register," we find that on the 27th of November 1781, a motion was made in the House of Commons that the thanks of the House should be given to sir Henry Chinon, Knight and when the signal and meritorious services he had done to his country, by the most glorious victory obtained by him over the American rehels at Candon.

"Mr. Wides de-based, that he thought it liks, but to oppose the motion, as offgath, by introduct, respecting only job of the product of the war anfonated in prompel, and fall that is conscipators to the country. He had condemned as the said, at the beginning, and land segliarly opposed in oppose in copress in every jets and the country, the said of the product of

On this motion Mr. Fox addressed the House, and I beg to read a short extract from the report of the debate:

"He allowed the ments of the officers now in question, but he made a distinction between thanks and prace. He might admire their valor, but he could not separate the intention from the action; they were unsted in his mind; there they formed one whole, and he would not attempt to divide them. He would not vote the thanks of the Housetto any admiral, which the many of Feighand was to such had hadad,"

any admont, while the havy of Reghand was to such both loads."

It seems to me that that is the only consistent course of action for those who disapprove of this war, in all its stages and aspects, and in every possible way in which it can be presented. I hold that that is the only consistent ground for those who maintain that this war has becar wrong in its beginning, wrong in its prosecution, wrong in the objects to which it looks, and wrong in the means by which it is obped to attain those objects. Entertaining such a view of darly on this occasion, I cannot give thanks to any hody for an immediate termination. Any judicious, actions, to fring it to whore, to bring this war to a close—to a speedy and honorable close, will command my earnest and most certain thanks; but I have no thanks to offer those who have been employed in the prosecution of a war which I believe to be thus unjust from its commencement. Nor can I accede to another proposition which I have heard main-aimed with a good deal of energy here and closewhere—that an officer of the army or any has no discretion—that he is a mere machine of the administration—that it is to say "god" and he gooth; for the characterial proposition which is a more machine of the administration—that it is to say "god" and be gooth; for the character of the transactions in which have considered to the character of the transactions in which which they may be engaged in pursuance of the orders which they receive. There is one bright page in the history of the English army, which I think might, with great propriety, be commended to the thoughful consideration of every gentleman who thus represents the welfacers of the army as irresponsible machines. It is error than the proposition of the synthesis error, when he neceived orders to join the army about to embark for America, and fight the rebels at Charleston and Canden. His conduct on that occasion was worthy of all commendation; and would to God that whad had some one who inheriting a portion of his spirit, when the orde

read it:

"The Earl of Eduction, whose military garies had led him when a youth into the army, and had some pompted hun to pion theory into experence whoever real error was to be found, he sering as a volunteer in the war between the Russians and tense was to be found, he sering as a volunteer in the war between the Russians and the Armondon of the

That was the course taken by that distinguished officer of the British army when he received orders from the crown to emberk on a service which dhe no commend rised to his moral sentiments. If there could have been found within the ranks of the American army, as officer entertaining such exalted ideas of duty and dignity as that—who did not merge the man in the officer, and who could have told the administration that he respected lise own convictions of truth and duty, and could not yield obself of the third that the strength of the truth and that the truth and that the late of the there is a man. But I can record no vote of thatks to any of the officers of this army, having been engaged in a contest which every feeling of my heart, and every dictate of my judgment condemns. The resolution speakes of glory. That glory I look upon as our shame! We have won no glory in this war which I desire to share, for it has been acquired in a cause which I heart of the strength of the content of the

Mr. UNDERWOOD—I differ very much on this subject from the gentlemen who has just taken his seat; and the remarks which he has made give me horporniny of phecing myself right before the Senate and elsew me horporniny of phecing myself right before the Senate and elsew here of the seath of the seather was improperly and unconstitutionally as the does, that this does it follow, because I entertial that opinion, that the office does it diers engaged under the direction of the government, are not entitled to the thanks of the country for the performance of ther douty! Upon what ground has the gentleman before me placed it? He gave an instance of an English officer, who, sooner than draw the sword in execution of the orders of his government, resigned his post. Does that apply to the soldiers who enlist during the war, or for five years? Can they resign? No. Their hands are tied, They are compelled to obey the orders of their officers; and would the gentleman erect a different standard of honor for the soldier is the ranks and the officer who commands? The British officer to Mr. UNDERWOOD .- I differ very much on this subject from They are compelled to okey the orders of their officers; and would be gentleman erect a different standard of honor for the soldiar in the ranks and the officer who commands? The British officer to whom he alloaded might have had an estate to wheth to retire; the circumstances in which he was placed may have enabled him to of our army. I ask, then, if the position of the officers of our army. I ask, then, if the position of the officers and soldiers of the army are to obey orders according as they may conceive them to comport with moral principles or not? If that is to be the relation of the the condition of the government of the army? It amounts to a dissolution of that government at once. My position as a legislator is one thing. I act upon principle, I attempt to as a legislator is one thing. I act upon principle, I attempt to principle demands. But as a citizen is as a red, it is the duty of the citizen to comply with the legislature has acted, it is the duty of the citizen to comply with the legislative prescriptions. I have always repudiated the idea of an inferior tribunal, executive or legislative, setting itself up to expound the law, and act just as it understands the requirements of the case,—as being entirely opposed to republican principles, and the good order of society. I think the executive or degrees and the extensimation to compare posed of repondent principles, and the good order of society. I think that if this legislature should express its determination to conquer the whole of Mexico, the citizens would be bound to submit. As a legislator, I would feel it to be my duty to oppose to the very utmost, such a determination. But if it were once so decided, constitutionally or nacenstitutionally, there would then be nothing left but submassion. It would be my duty to submit in that case. How it will be made to the constitution of but submission. It would be my duty to submit in that ease. How is it with the army? The army is bond to execute the orders of the government, and if it execute them in the gallant style which has characterized the operations of our troops in Mexico, it is entitled to the thanks of every man whose heart beats with worthy implies. It has been remarked here, and I think, with great truth and propriety, that the honor which the army has wen, has given strength to the country, and that that is perhaps the only thing which we have gained by this war; and that but for the acquisition of honor of the army, the whole war from the beginning to end, instead of the army, the whole war from the beginning to end, instead of the army, the whole war from the beginning to end, instead of the army, the whole war from the top in the subject of hasting immensions. Our control of the army, my thanks, for the manner in which they have performed their daty. The war has not been their act, they are the mere agents of the Executive in earrying on the war.

Mr. BUTLER.—I am somewhat astonished at the views taken by the gentleman from New Hampshire. Yet, perhaps I ought not to be astonished this morning at the views which he has expressed, when I refer to the peculiar opinions which he introduced on another subject a few days since. We are now making matorials for history; and the gentleman from New Hampshire has maintained that, instead of returning our thanks to the officers and men for their good conduct, gallantry and skill in the operations of this war, not under the orders of the President, but under the national flag, they should be regarded by us as descriing the lasting represend of history. Where does the gentleman learn his agreepend of history. Where does the gentleman learn his agreepend of history where does the gentleman learn his the United States, to be told that those who have fallen in consequence of yielding obedience to the dictates of patriorism ace to have reproach and inlamy east upon their graves? Am I to be told that those who have heyed, the condens of the commander-in-chief in embarking in this war, are not critical to our thanks for maintaining the honor, the historical

reputation of this country abroad? And, yet, such are the sentiments of the gentleman from New Hampshire. I solemnly believe that General Taylor, more perhaps than any other single individual in this country, is entitled to our thanks for saving the lives not only of his own troops, but the lives of those sent on this expedition. Every buttle that was fought, it seems to me, resulted almost in a miraculous escape from the overwhelming disaster which seemed to be impending over our army. If he had failed at Buena Vista, it is almost certain that the troops under command of General Scott would have been destroyed by the Mexican hosts which surrounded them; and I yet am told that those who saved the lives of our troops—who went forth under our national flag are by the solemn judgment of the Senate to be condemned because when ordered so pass into the enemy's country, with craven indif-ference to honor—in traitorous violation of their duty—they did not lerence to honor—in traitorous violation of their day—usey and not retire and give up their commissions? Yes, the gentleman would have had those officers to resign in the face of the enemy. I be-lieve his doctrine goes so far, and that it would be consistent with his notions, that the officers and men should receive pay whilst his notions, that the officers and men should receive pay whilst they are guarding garrisons, but retire the moment that the order to encounter the perils of war was issued. According to his idea, they are to be judges of the justice and propriety of the war. I do not know, however, that the ideas which the gondleman has just now expressed, are at all different from some which I heard the other day, and to which I shall now take the opportunity to advert. The very first remark which I heard from that gentleman in this Senate-house, was to maintain that whilst science in adventure. Sentici-noise, was to maintain that white seriore in adventitions experiment was soaring to heaven, and making discoveries in the bowels of the earth, reluting the Mosaic cosmogony, he was not allowed to present memorials on your table for the suicide of this confederacy. Such was the doctrine then maintained by the genconfederacy. Such was the doctrine then maintained by the gen-that he was forbidden to present, on your table, memorials and petitions which, if they had been acted upon, would have re-sulted in the immediate infamous suicide of this confederacy. What did the gentleman then maintain? Nothing at all different from that which he now asserts:—that one portion of this confede-racy should have the liber, yo presenting memorials of that kind, to alter the Constitution, yo that one portion of the confederacy should be deprived of those guarantees under which it entered the Union. I recollect one of the remarks made by the gentleman on Umon. I reconiect one of the remarks made by the gentleman on that occasion, and I hope he will pardon me for quoting his classic language. He said that he had learned in yankee school-books not only to spell words but to understand their meaning. I believe he said that so far as regards one portion of the confederacy—at least that was the tendency of his reunriks—it should be put under han of the condemnation of the other; and that it was certimes are recently into of the federal government. I was the under han of the condomnation of the other; and that it was correct in a representative of the federal government—I mean the Scoretary of State—to protest against the interference of British power so far as it affected only that portion of the confederacy. Let me ask him, suppose the proposition had been made to introduce slaves into New Hampshire to the exclusion of others, and to settle the Maine boundary with a view to do so, would be or would he not have invoked the federal powers to protect that; portion of the confederacy? But perhaps, sir, I am now going beyond the legitimate limits of the present question. I protest against this attempt to east contimely and reprosed upon the officers and soldiers who have obeyed the orders of this government, and who deserve the lasting approbation of the country.

Mr. CASS.—As it appears to be probable that this discussion may be prolonged, and the hour for taking up the special order has already passed, I move that the resolution be passed by infor-

SEVERAL SENATORS .- Oh! no. Let it be acted upon now.

Mr. HALE rose

Mr. CALHOUN, (in his seat.)-Let it be passed over informally

The PRESIDING OFFICER .- Does the Senator from Michigan withdraw his motion?

Mr. CASS .- I believe not, Mr. President. I think I must ad-

Mr. HALE .- I desire only to say a few words personal to

Mr. CASS.—If the Senator desires to make a personal explana-tion, certainly I have no objection to withdrawing the motion.

Mr. HALE.—The honorable Senator from South Carolina says that I have undertaken to cast obliquy upon the officers of the American army. I certainly have said no such thing—I have intended no such thang. I said that the officers engaged in this war could never have ny thanks. I could not thank them for anything they had done, because it was impossible, in my mind to separate the actors in the war from the war itself. Then, simply with the view of showing that I was not without precedent in my course, I referred to a fact in history, drawing no inferences whatever from it. The severe phillipic which the honorable Senator has thought proper to pronounce upon the precedent which I have cited, must be directed against the honored statesmen who stood up in the Parliament of Great Britain, and maintained the enuse of the American colonies—the cause of human liberty and human rights,

against the arms of that power which was then endeavoring to crush the spirit of freedom, bursting into life in these colonies. I have not undertaken to mark out for a single undividual the course be is to pursue on this floor in reference to this subject; nor do I ask any to follow that course which I myself adopt. But so long as I have the honor of occupying a place here, I must follow my convictions, let them lead me where they will. When I cannot follow my convictions, I will not come here. The honorable Scnator has my convertions, I will not come here. I be nonorante senator has referred to some remarks which I made the other day, upon the occasion of presenting a memorial in reference to the subject to which he alluded. I think that the Senator undertook to characterize which is naticed. Think that the Senator underflow to characterize that memorial, and the course which I adopted on that occasion, in terms, which to say the least, if he had known all the facts, he would not have employed. What was the character of that memorial? Did it ask the Senate to transcend any of its acknow. modult 'Dut it ask the Sefiate to transcend any on its acknow-bedged powers' No. It was a memorial drawn up by a society bedged powers' No. It was a memorial drawn up to a society employment of physical force, and simply asked that the American, government would use all the powers vested in them by the con-stitution, for the removal of that which they deemed to be an evil. The memorialists could not be heard. Their petition could not be received by an American Senate

Mr. BUTLER .- I did not exactly allude to that part of it, and perhaps, indeed, I should ask pardon of the Senate for referring at all to what was not altogether germane to the immediate subject before it; but if I recollect right there was a memorial or paper of some kind asking an alteration of the constitution to enapaper of some kind asking an atteration of the consulation to consider the model of the control of the control of an another occasion, however, I may advert to this matter; and was betrayed into it now, from the circumstance that the gentleman on all subjects of this kind stands very much alone.

Mr. HALE.—I am quite willing to "stand alone," provided I stand on my convictions. I mean, sir, to vote as I talk. I do not come here to make a speech denomining this war a unjust and unconstitutional, and then studify myself by voting main moments to the President, to carry it on. My speeches and my and moment to the President, to carry it on. My speeches and my alone in that; but whether it be so or not, I shall so act, and leave it to be said whether it is a repreach to me or others, that I am thus alone.—Permit me to say to that honorable Senator in all kindness, and with no disposition to be dismiye, that he entirely mistakes and misspprehends the character of that portion of the American people whom I am supposed to represent on this subject. Once, for all, let me say, that we desire no interference with—nor disturbance of the existing institutions of the States. If the justitution of which you speak be a blessing, bless yourselves with it; if it be a curse, stagger under it as you may let us remain free from if it be a curse, stagger under it as you may let us remain free from it—let us alone.—It is all that we desire—all that we ask. And permit me to say that our efforts will not ccase, our exertions will not weary until we have done what we can use the control with not share of reproach or responsibility in respect to which we regard as a great and crying evil. We do not pretend to interfere with it, then, but we say, 'iscen it to yourselves'." Don come here and ask us to tax people to the last point of endurance, to carry on an aggressive war for its prosecution, sustenance, and maintenance. Do not desire to go into our States, interfering with us there, and in claiming to preserve your institutions, disenfranchise us. We hear a great deal about the far-famed compromakes of the constitution; but no into the State of Sea-compro-shire, before a justice of the peace, with the certificate of any one elaiming me as his slave, and you may drag me from the embraces of my family and all that I hold dear. The hadees corpus and tri-al by jury are in a moment trampled in the dast, and slavery rides compingtent over all the guarantees of the constitution! It is from this that we ask to be relieved. We make no war upon you. That is all that we attempt, and so far as we are enabled to do so, we shall continue the attempt to maintain the integrity of our own constitution and our own institutions against these encroachments. constitution and our own institutions against these eneroschiments. Beyond that have never gone. The Senator, then, entirely misunderstood the purport of the efforts made by me, if he gave them any other character. A single word in regard to the matter immediately before the Senate, and I have done. I think I cannot have been misunderstood when I said, that I did not characterize the acts of the officers of the army. I have spacen of the war in which they are engaged. I because the summer of the property of the parties in the British he have thought of the sincerity of the parties in the British Patament—of Chalman, for instince, Whose moult eloquence, was suffered by the moult eloquence was suffered by the moult eloquence was suffered by the summer of the summe instance, whose manly eloquence was exerted in denouncing the aggressions upon the liberties of the colonies, if he had united in the vote of thanks to Cornwallis and Clinton? That is all that I have maintained. I believe this was to be unjust, and, therefore, I cannot vote for the thanks of Congress to be given to any one who has had any agency in the prosecution of that war.

Mr. ALLEN .- I move that this subject be passed by informal ly, and that the Senate do now proceed to the consideration of the special order.

### TEN RECIMENT BILL

The Senate resumed the consideration of the bill to raise, for a limited time, an additional military force

Mr. TURNEY,-Mr. President : I believe that speedy action on the bill now under consideration is of the highest importance to

the country; and I would now cheerfully yield the floor if the vote could be taken immediately on that question. But as the discus-sion has become protracted, and a duty seems to be imposed upon all to state their views on the great subject involved in the bill, I deem it to be proper to submit to the consideration of the Senate and the country the sentiments and opinions which I entertain in

reference to this subject.

In the first place, sir, I desire to express my cheerful assent to the most elaborate discussion of the question now before us. I find fault with no gentleman for the fullest expression of his opinions, although that expression of opinion may be calculated, in my judgment, to affect injuriously the interests of his country. If I be liced, as Senter injuriously the interests of his country. If I be-lieved, as Senators on this floor profess to believe, that this war is unjust and unconstitutional, I should naire with the Senators from New Hampshire, [Mr. Hazer, Massachusetts, [Mr. Davis,] and Ohio, [Mr. Conwin,] I should take no middle course. Sir, I hold that no middle course can be taken. If our country he in the wrong in this war; if the war has been unconstitutionally waged, for the attainment of the ambitious views of the Executive,—pafor the attainment of the ambitions views of the Executive,—particism, justice, every consideration, demand that the war should be stopped. In such a case, I should certainly unite with the gentleman from New Hampshire in the declaration that I could give no vote of thanks to individuals engaged in the prosecution of the war for the purposes of robbery and plunder. I should feel that those who were engaged in fighting the lattice of the country in two seeks, through bloodshot, to seize upon his plunder, and that every genuine lover of his country was bound to arrest the progress of such a war. of such a war.

of such a war. But I differ altogether from the views which these gentlemen have expressed; and I think that, entertaining such views, their policy is by no means consistent—they should hring this war to a close. This leads me to the inquiry, not as to the details of this bill, or the character of the troops to be raised, hat directly in re-

gard to the justice of the war.

In the consideration of this question, I must call the attention of the Senate and the country to one important fact. When the bill recognizing the existence of this war was before this body, we did not hear, from any quarter in this chamber, that the war would be unjust. We heard from no Senator that the war grew out of the removal of the army from Corpus Christi to the Rio Grande. Not a removal of the army from Corpus Christi to the Rio Grande. Not a syllable of compilatin was uttered in relation to that question; and yet then, I contend, was the proper time at which it should have been brought up. I am surprised that Senators did not then take that ground of opposition to the bill declaring that war existed by the act of Mexico. Was there a single individual here then, who believed that there was a possibility, by any legislative action of ours, to make troops, and convey them to the Rio Grande in season to reinforce troops, and convey them to the tilo Grance in Season to relatione General Taylor, and relieve him from the dangerous condition in which it was supposed he was placed? Did any gentleman then suppose that the troops would arrive in time to relieve General Taylor? He was at Fort Brown, surrounded by the Mexican army, and having at his depot only a small quantity of provisions. was without the means of subsistence for more than a few days, He was of necessity obliged to seek supplies, and, in order to do so, to eacounter the enemy in the open field. Did any Senator be-lieve that it was possible for the government, by any fegislative here that it was possible for the government, by any fegislative action, to raise an additional military force, and convey them to the Rio Grande in time to render any add in extricating Gen. Taylor from his then dangerous condition, as it appeared to be? tleman entertained such an idea. A motion was made to strike out the preamble, and reasons were assigned for striking it ont, but no such reason as that we had invaded the Mexican territory. Far from it, as I will be able to show, conclusively, from the docu-ments. The reason assigned was, the uncertainty as to the fact whether war did, in point of fact, exist.

whether war did, in point of lact, exist.

Well, we are now engaged in war; and as it is, as I shall maintain, a just war, I hold that it ought to be prosecuted to the number. A great deal of complaint has been made because the war has not been brought to a close. Is it, I ask, in the power of this government to bring the war to a close, in an honorable manner, securing to the country the great objects for which it has been prosecuring to the country the great objects for which it mis been proceedings and of point of the three been brought to a close but for our own divisions. If the whole American people could have thought allke in relation to this war, and the objects of its prosecution, we should long since have had it brought to a close. Our divisions, and the knowledge of them on brought to a close. Our divisions, and the knowledge of them on the part of the Mexican government, have protracted this war,— Mexico sees a powerful party in the United States arrayed against the war, and she is thus encouraged to persist in her obstinacy, in the hope that, eventually, she may obtain such a treaty as she dethe hope that, eventually, she may obtain such a treaty as she uesires. And here let me ask, what sort of a treaty would that be, which those who oppose the war could consistently accept?

It is natural that men should differ about almost every subject

submitted to the consideration of the buman mind; but it is rather singular that difference of opinion should be confined to strict party singular that difference of opinion should be confined to strict party lines. We all united here in voting the men and money demanded by the Executive for the prescation din war at the last session of Congress. Then our cause was admitted in opinion, not in regard to the manner in which the war should in opinion, not in regard to the made in which the war should be not that the first party of the property of the contraction of the property of

of our free institutions and form of government. If this were the on questions connected with our foreign relations, I should almost an questions connected with our foreign relations, I should almost be tempted to say that the days of republic were numbered. But we are not left without hope, even in the midst of this divided state of public opinion. In the struggle for independence, there was great division of popular sentiment, and again, in the war of 1812, a similar divided state of public opinion existed, and that, too, according to strict party lines. We all know the effect of such a course upon the cenny. They see us divided amongst ourselves, ourse open the cenny. They see us divided amongst ourselves they would not for a moment cheristic Legisland the division of sentiment operator in the mean operator in the mean of the contractions of sentiment operator in the mean operator in our disadvantors, where it is didition of sentiment operator in our disadvantors, where it is didition of sentiment operator in our disadvantors, where it is didition of sentiment operators. ment operate to our disadvantage, when it is known by the enemy that, according to our system of government, our rulers have rapid be selected every four years, and that that period is now rapidly approaching. The enemy is thus encouraged to cherish strong hopes of obtaining a more advantageous settlement after the Pre-hopes of obtaining a more advantageous settlement after the Preangles of bottalming a infort avariantageous sectional ratter the Fre-sidential election, which they suppose may introduce another par-ty into power in the administration of public affairs. We heard the other day from my colleague that party was a tyrant. That was a true and just remark. We have seen it exemplified in our was a true and just remark. We have seen it exemplified in our own State. Previous to the appearance of the letters of the dis-tinguished statesmen of New York and of Kentucky in opposition to annexation, I believe there was not a single individual of either party opposed to that measure; not only so, but, in my opinion, the feelings of all members of both parties in that section of the country were engaged in favor of the measure. Yet, notwithstanding this state of feeling, such was the power, such the tyranny, of party and party discipline, that in the latter State a majority of the people were induced to east their votes in favor of the man who opposed it, and against the man who advocated it. did, not in accordance with their own judgment or feelings, but under the iron rod of this tyrant party and party discipline.

But that might be regarded as a question merely of expediency

But that might be regarded as a question merely of expeuency— one, to be sure, involving the great interests and prosperity of the country, but not equal in importance to that now under consi-deration. The present question has a totably different suspect; and I think that in the course of the argument presented by my ed-league, be admitted that he and I agreed as to the vote which we should give on it, when we left our constituents. He informs us that he came here with the expectation of voting the men and money necessary to carry on the war. I think that in so voting, be would have voted in accordance with the views of a majority of the

people of that State which he has the honor in part to represent.

Now, the people being in favor of this war-having full confidenee in its justice—and cutertaining the opinion that it ought to be vigorously prosecuted to a peaceful termination, the next consideration is, can the power of this tyrant party so influence them as to cause them to wheel to the right about, countermarch, and take sides in favor of the enemy? The question now before us is one of infinitely greater importance than any mere question of expediency. On merc partisan questions, party feeling may control public opinion; but when the national bonor, interest, and safety, are all involved in a war with a foreign power, and at the moment of time when thousands of our patriotic citizens are in the field, offering up their lives in the cause of their country, I am sure the people will refuse to obey the dictum of party, if it does not accord with their own sense of right and patriotic devotion to the cause of that country, the least is that I may answer for the people of Temessor, that they will never rally under the flag of party in opposition to their own judgment and patriotic feeling. That, sir, is my native State, and I claim to know something of the feelings which influence its people. She, whose sons have fallen in Mexico—who has sent forth for volunteers at the first tap of the drum, who has contributed three or four times, perhaps, indeed I may safely say ton times, the amount of troops called for from her limits—cannot, I am sure, sacrifice those patriotic feelings, and take sides anginist their conorry. But we are told that a change has been made in the policy of the administration with regard to the war; that the entire subju-

gation of Mexico is now contemplated; that the war was just in its origin, but that it is now prosecuted for the accomplishment of objects which would, if attained, be fatal to our own institutions. objects which would, if attained, he latist to our own institutions. In order to counteract the c'vil effects of this alleged new policy, it is contended that we should withdraw the troops, and allow the enemy to have perfect freedom for all manner of excess, plunder, and assassination. Sir, I can see nothing in the message of the President, or in any other public document, to justify any such conclusions; and if I were disposed to charge the opposition with it—and I am not so disposed—I think I would not be evit in bistify the properties of the contract of the properties of the contract of the properties of the prop it—and I am not so disposed—I think I would not be without some ground for the allegation, that that is a mere pretext to justify their opposition to the war. I might say, that the gentlemen on the other side, unable to sustain themselves, are seeking, on the eve of a Presidential election, to present a new issue more favorable to their success; that they have discovered that the ground heretofore occupied by them—that the war is unnecessary and unconstitutional, and therefore no indemnity ought to be demanded or received for the immense expense incurred in its prosecution or received for the immense expense incurred in its prosecution-meets with no favor with the people, and, therefore, to avoid the odium of this condemned position, they seek to shift their ground by presenting a new issue. Sir, these gentlemen have no right to assume for us measures and positions we never assumed for our-selves; and, therefore, I shall hold them to the issues which they themselves, upon mature consideration and full consultation, sub-mitted to the public, as presenting the true position of the two great parties of this country upon the existing war with Mexico. I shall not attempt to go into that, nor to answer the objections I shail not attempt to go into that, not to answer the objections urged against the prosecution of the war; but proceed at once to the consideration of the inquiry—what did produce this war? Was it the annexation of Texas? or was it the removal of the army from Corpus Christi to the Rio Grande? That is the issue

army from Corpus Christi to the Rio Grande? That is the issue made by the opposition since the war commenced.

I hold, as I then held, that the annexation of Texas was the canse of Maxico waging war against the United States. Whether the army had been removed to the Rio Grande or not, war was the inegratable result growing out of the act of annexation. How far, then, is this administration responsible? Annexation took place under the Tyler administration; and although the Senator from Maryland, not now in his seat, (Mr. Johnson,) having made, as Leongeige, an able and conductive argument in regard to the inc. Maryland, not now in his seat, (Mr. Johnson), naming induced to the justice of this war, chose to cast some areflections on the administration for provoking war in the mode of annexation, I shall advert for a few moments to the subject.

What were the powers and duty of the President under the resolutions of annexation? They are all defined in the third resolutions.

tion, which is as follows:

"And be it further resolved. That if the President of the United States shall in his judgment and discretion, deem it most advisable, instead of proceeding to submit the foregoing resolution to the Republic of Texas, as an overture on the part of the United States for admission, to acquisate with that Republic; then,"

Let it be remembered that the legislative power took the mat-Let it be remembered that the legislative power took the mat-ter into their own hands, and imposed upon the President the duty of submitting to the Republic of Texas the plan of annexation. They gave him the choice of selecting either the Honse or the Senate resolutions. Thus he acted merely as a minsterial agent, It was not like a case of ordinary negotiation, in which, after a pro-position had been submitted, there was a right to withdraw it if it were declined. The law required him to make the proposition to the Republic of Texas, giving him only the discretionary power which I have just described. That selection of the mode of annexation was made before the present administration came into nower. It was made by the Tyler administration in the last hours which I have just describe present administration came into annoxation was made by the Tyler administration in the last hours of its existence; and the selection being made, and the proposition submitted, the law was compiled with. The President had discharged his duty under it, and his power the subject was consequently exhausted. It only remained, then, to be seen whether Texas would assent to the terms proposed. I am free to admit, that if the Senate resolution had been adopted, the war might have been avoided; but as the House resolutions were selected, it was not in the power of man to avert the war. If the Senate resolution had been adopted, negotiations might have been avoided in the second of the selection at the and Texas might have been considered to the true spread upon between the two parties. In the mean time, annexation not having taken place, she might have been made, and an amicable settlement been effected. By the adoption of the House resolutions, however, all the power of averting the war was taken from the President, to whom no discretion was taken from the President, to whom no discretion was taken from the President, to

the power of averting the war was taken from the President, to whom no discretion was left.

In proceeding to establish the fact that annexation caused the war, I shall relect to the record. And I shall begin with the position occupied by the white party in in 1844, pending the Presidential election. There was then but one universal sentiment in the party, expressed in the language of the distinguished citizen of Kentucky, who was regarded as the embodiment of their principles. And what was that sentiment? The great issue was the was, will you have Texas and a war, or no Texas and peace? That was the great issue which was and a Value of the property of the way of the property o

Mr. Clay, of 17th April, 1844:

"Recognition did not affect of impair the rights of Mexico, or change the relation which subsisted between her and Texas. She, on the contrary, has preserved all he rights, and has continued to assert, and, so far as I know, yet asserts her right to reduce Texas to obetience as a part of the republic of Mexico."

Mr. Clay then goes on to say:

"Under these circumstances, if the government of the United States were to nunce Texas, it would acquire along with it all the excuptionaces which Texas is under 18 and 1

Thus, sir, we see the great issue in 1844 was that of annexa-tion; and Mr. Clay, the candidate of the whig party for the presi-dency, took ground expressly, that to annex Texas was to make dency, took ground expressly, that to annex Texas was to make war with Mexico, and for that reason he was opposed to annexation. More, sir; the whole whig party of the nation, from one end of the Union to the other, after this letter made its appearance, took the same ground, and supported Mr. Clay for the presidency, to the avowed purpose of defeating annexation, and thereby to advocated the annexation of Texas, and to maintain their position, they assumed, that to annex Texas to the United States gave no just cause of war, because Texas was a free and independent republic, and bad so been acknowledged to be by all the prominent nations of the world; and, therefore, Texas had, under the laws of annexation when they had not been calculated as the condition of Texas, the of amount of the world; and, therefore, Texas had, under the laws of annexation whatever; that this being the condition of Texas, the

United States had, by the laws of nations, a right to treat with Control states and, by the laws on inations, a right to freat without giving offence or any joint season of war to Mexico; and that, if it was necessary to exercise this right, as they believed it was, in order to promote the interest of the nation, and the happiness and presently of the people, they would not be deterred from doing so by the foolish gasconade, and the unjust and illegal pretensions of Mexico. Thus, sir, the issue was joined, and the people rendered their verdict in November, 1844. in favor of annexation; and it their vertice in November, 1844; in layor of annexation; and it was accordingly done. But, sir, war was the consequence of annexation: the whig prediction has been verified; but, strange to tell, notwithstanding all this, they now abandon the position occupied by them in 1844, and say that annexation did not produce the war, and, in fact, that it was no just cause of war; thus plainly admitting that their position was erroneous, and that the position admitting that their position was erroneous, and that the position of the democrats was the correct and true one. Having thus ahandoned this ground, and being extremely anxious to cast cen-sure on the present administration, they now say this war was brought on by the President, unnecessarily and unconstitutionally, by the removal of the army to the Rio Grande. Is this true? I hold that the amexation of Texas is the sole and exclusive eause nom that the annexation of lexis is the sole and excusive easies of the war. This question I propose to examine: now for the proof. I shall read, Mr. President, an extract from a letter written by the Mexican minister of foreign affairs to our minister in Mexico, dated Mexico, May the 30th, 1844; it is as follows:

"That the firm and constant resolution has been, and is, to preserve the integrity and dispaty of the nation; that, as this time, are very opport until the manner of the matter of the

Thus we see, Mr. President, that the letter of Mr. Clay, and which was adopted by the whig party as their text in 1844, is identical in sentiment, and almost verbatim in language, with the letter of the Mexican minister just read. Again, sir, the same Mexican minister, in his letter of the 2d of July, 1844, reaffirmed the statements in his letter just read, and I will not consume the time of the Senate by reading it; but as I desire to go a little fur-ther back, I will read an extract from the letter of Mr. Almonte, the Mexican minister, to Mr. Upshur, Secretary of State, dated Nevember 3, 1843. He says:

"And he moreover declaise, by oppress order of his government, that on sanction being given by the Excentive of the United States to the incorporation of Texas into the United States, he will consider his mission ended; seem that, as the Secretary of State will have learned, the Mexican government is resolved to declare war to soon as it receives information of such an active.

November 11, 1843, renffirmed.

I refer you now, sir, to the letter of Mr. Almonte to Mr. Upshur of the 11th of November, 1843, in which he says, that—

"Though the undersigned has declared, by the express order of his government, it ear will be the enertiable consequence of the annexation of Tracs to the Lai States, he centainly has not done so with the object of intuitability the povernment the homorable Secretary of State, but with a view of showing how far Mexico wo carry her resistance to an annexation of that nature.

eary her resistance to a nanecation of that nature."

Now, Mr. President, is it not a little strange that the whig party here, in and not of this body, should, after the issue tendered by their leader in his letter of the 17th of April, 1814, and which was by them so ably and eloquently maintained throughout the canaxs of 1841, being—as they were, backed and sustained in their position and assertions by the letters which I have read, and many more quality as strong, which I have not thought necessary to rend; and when, also, their predictions and assertions have turned out precisely as they asserted they would—should now alandon all they then said on this subject as unworthy of notice, and to acknowledger that the nosition then assumed by the demoabandon all they then said on this subject as unworthy of notice, and to acknowledge that the position then assumed by the democracy to be the true and correct one? All now agree that anexamint was no just cause of war If, then, I shall be enabled to prove my position, that this is the exclusive cause of the war, I shall have established the great fate, that the war in which we are now engaged with Mexico, is a just war on our part, and consequently, the charge which has been, and now is so repeatedly being made, that the war was unnecessarily and unconstitutionally throught on by the Executive, must be admitted to be unfounded, and has not the semblance of troth to sustain it. Sir, I will proceed with the proofs, for they are of such a conclusive character, that they need no comments to carry conviction to every impartial mind.

I now call the attention of the Senate to the letter of J. N. Almonte to the Senator from South Carolina, [Mr. Calhoun,] then Secretary of State, dated the 6th of March, 1845, which is as fol-

"The undersigned, cavor extraordinary and minister plenipotentiary of the Mexican republic, has the honout a address the honorable John C. Calhona, Secretary of State of the United States of America, with the object of making known to him the profound regret with which he has seen that the general Congress of the Urin in lass passed a law giving its consent and admitting into the American confideract the pro-

passed a lwe giving its consent and admitting into the American confidence; the price of Texts, since of Texts, internolleragend bath flattered hinself with the disk, what on this question the read "The undersigned bath flattered hinself with the disk with the conduct of the public affairs of this regulate would be a supplied affairs of this regulate would have premisely in the diskerations of the legislative body, and of the Executive of the Union. Informatically, however, it has been underwise and the American government, an act of aggression the most unjust which can be found recorded in the annals of modern history, namely, that of desponing a friendly nature lake American co-connection of the American Comment, and the American Comment, and the American Comment, and the American Comment of the American Comment, the substitution of the American Comment (and the American Comment, the undersigned, in compliance with his instructions, flats himself regulated to notest; as decise in fact protect, in the most solem manner, in the name of his government, against the law goads by the Carlos State of the Tailed State, and approved on the Tail of the prevent month.

by the Freshott of three States, whereby the premiser of Treas, an integrand position of the Margain nettrology, is organized and admitted into the American Curva. The contract of the Margain networks, protests, in the name of this government, that the said baye or in nowises invalidate the rights on which Mexico neither to recource the above neutrino promiser of Treas, of which she now sees hereefy unjustify despoted, and that it will never the mean the contract of the she when the she will never the mean the mean of the she when the she will never the she had been a similar to the she will be she

her mare. The undersigned will say, in conclusion, to the honorable Secretary of State of the United States, in order that the may be pleased for communicate at to the President of the United States, that, in consequence of this hay against which he has just protected, but naision next this government has creased from the day. Wherefore the undersigned party, the honorable Secretary of State to the pleased in district this propose, as he has make arrangements to leave the city, without delay, for New York. "The undersigned avails harred," New York.

"The underagned avails bimed!" So.
This letter, Mr. President, establishes several important facts:
the first of which is, the amexation of Texas closed the doors to
flaf further negotiation between the two governments, and made
the resort to arms the only means of settling their differences.
The second fact established is, that the war would be for the whole
of Texas to the Saline. And a third fact, which I think is one
of great importance, is this Mr. Almonte states that he "had flatof great importance, is this. Mr. Almonte states that he "Ind flat-tered himself with the idea, that an this question the good judg-ment and sound counsels of the citizens most distinguished and in-timately acquainted with the conduct of the public affairs of this republic would have prevailed," Sc. Sir, does not Mr. Almonte, by this language, point directly to Mr. Clay as the man of good judgment, and to Mr. Clay's letter and the whig speeches in sup-port of it, as containing the sound counsels of the citizens most distinguished, which he had not to be a self-evident conclusion to misuaken, but did this letter and these speeches have upon the Mexican mind? What would Mexico say and think when she witnessed not only politicians but States, in their sovereign came. the atexacan minus: A had would decree say and timin when she witnessed not only politicians but States, in their sovereign capacity, declaring that annexation would lead to a dissolution of the Union? Such resolutions were passed by the Legislature of Mas-Westland Massachus and Ma Union Scalins were passed by the Legislature of Taxas, we such usetts. Would the Mexicans from these facts naturally conclude, that, in a war with us for the re-conquest of Texas, we would be distracted and divided among ourselves, and that we could not, therefore, bring the whole powers and energies of our government to bear in the prosecution of such a war! Sir, I doubt not she did so believe; and, at the commencement of the war, from not sue and so beneve; and, at the commencement of the war, from the unanimity which seemed to pervade all parties in recognizing the existence of the war, and voting men and money for its prose-cution, I did believe that she had been deluded into that belief by cention, I did nearest that see had been decladed and that there has a detailed by these acts of the whig party; but, sir, since the whig party have obtained a majority in the House of Representatives, which enables them to defeat any measure that has for its object a further prosecution of the war to a speedy and honorable termination, and prosecution for the various pecus, and datasets are arrowment to the control of the various pecus and the various pecus control of the claims of our citizens, and also of the best interests of the operarment, by sacrificing the relaim on Mexico for a reasonable indemnity of the expenses of this war—I am induced, from what I daily see and heart, to fear that Mexico was not mistaken in her conclusion, and that our divisions here, growing out of annexa-tion, or from some other cause, are so great, and of such a cha-racter as to weaken, if not entirely to suspend, the further prosethe people will send representatives here who will sustain the honor and interests of the nation, especially when engaged in a fonor and interests of the nation, especially when eagaged in a foreign war, and that war growing out of an act which they themselves directed should be done. Thus, sir, our diplomatic intercourse ended with Mexico, and with it our friendly relations; and all nuder the Tyler administration. This was the condition of things when the present administration are into power; and how it discharged its duty by endeavoring to re-open negotiation and to preserve peace remains to be seen. To accomplist these objects was the business, and, I think, the earnest desire of the President; and to accomplish where almong the first acts of his administration, was to open with Mexico a sort of unofficial correspondence through our consult at her capital. This resulted in an agreement on the part of Mexico to receive a minister from this government, for the myroos of adjusting and settling; if possible, the boundary on the part of Mexico to receive a minister from this government, for the purpose of adjusting and settling, if possible, the boundary between the two countries and all other differences between the two governments; and, in pursanae of this understanding, and to preserve peace, the minister was immediately despatched of Mexico with full and ample powers to adjust and settle all questions of difference between the two countries. Was he received? and and why was he not? He was not received; and I will now give you the reason assigned by the Mexican Minister of Foreign Affairs for his rejection. I shall prend, sir, an extract from the letter of Mr. Lanzas to Mr. Sidell, dated the 12th of March, 1845. Here, sir, specking of the annextant of Texas, he says: 1845. Here, sir, speaking of the annexation of Texas, he says:

"A fact, such as this, or to speak with greater exactines, to notable an act of sus produced to the such as the su

Sir, not one word of complaint is here uttered about the removal of the army to the Rio Grande. In point of fact, the army not been removed, and was not for fourteen days thereafter. not been removed, and was not for fourteen days thereafter. Mexico, therefore, did not, and liss not, at any time, complained of this act, or asserted it to be the cause of war. On the contrary, before the army was removed to the Rio Grande, and when every effort was being made to reopen negotiations and to preserve peace, she tells our minister, who had been sent for that purpose, that annexation closed the door to negotiation, and war was the only recourse of the Mexican government. This argument, then, of the whig party in this country—that the removal of the army

to the Rio Grande brought on this unnecessary and unconstitutional war—is flatly and positively denied by the Mexican authori-ties. This, sir, is an idea first conceived in the United States, and never thought of in Mexico. I had hoped that there was too much never thought of in Mexico. I had hoped that there was too much love of country and patriotism among all parties of our own countrymen, to permit any from inventing and asserting charges and allegations which bad no foundation in fact, for the purpose of placing our own country in the wrong and our enemy in the right, when engaged in a war with a foreign nation. But in this it seems I have been mistaken. Mr. President, I desire to go further, and to refitte and put down, I hope forever, another position assumed in this country equally unfounded: that is, that peace could have been preserved by scraling a special commissioner to Mexico. in this country equally unfounded: that is, that peace could have been preserved by sending a special commissioner to Mexico, with power to settle all questions growing out of the annexation of Texas, instead of sending, we wild, a minister clothed with those powers. In a letter from our consul, Mr. Black, in Mexico, to the Secretary of State, he details a conversation which be had with Mr. Pena y Pena, the Mexican Minister of Foreign Affairs, on the subject of Mr. Slidell's mission to Mexico. This letter is dated the 18th of December, 1845, and refers to a conversation which took place on the 29th of November preceding, in which the Mexican Minister, after speaking of the arrival of Mr. Slidell at Vera Cruz, said: Vera Cruz, said:

Vera Cruz, said:

"That ought not be. The Government did not expect as eavoy from the United States until January, as they were not prepared to receive hint; and he desired, if passible, that he woold not come to the equal, not rever domember at this time, and that their time and the states of the states of the equal to the expectation of the expectation of the three mental prove destructive to the Government, and thus defeat the whole state; you have the Opposition are calling to a trader for extering into this arrangement with you. I the consol Juda hun that I regretted this had not been known in time, as the state of the expectation of the expectat

This, Mr. President, was the true reason why Mr. Slidell was not received by the Herrera administration. It was, as Mr. Pena not received by the Herrera administration. It was, as Mr. Pena year, because they were alraid of a revolution which might terminate in the expulsion, from power, of the then administration. And, sir, were these fears thus expressed by Mr. Pena well founded? Sir, the revolution did take place, and which did result, as predicted, in the expulsion from power of the Herrera administration, on the 30th of December, J844.

But, sir, this is not all my proof. I have more and stronger

still. I shall read, sir, an extract from the letter of Herrera to the Mexican minister of Poreign Affairs, declining an acceptance of t e appointment of one of the commissioners to treat with the United States. This letter is dated the 25th of August, 1847, and he says:

he says:

"As Mexican, who desires the welfare of his country, I ought to state to your Excellency, that leng at the head of the government in the year 1245, july passed, rearrange the differences which, on account of the Fexas question, disturbed the hismony which ought to exist between two coterminons republics; for no other act than mony which ought to exist between two coterminons republics, for no other act than particular to the control of the rearrange that the particular to the particular to the particular to the particular to the most affection manner; for this act lakes the revolution which deplaced me from the command was set on foot; recreased in the series which then took place; and the text result from existing elemnatances through negotiations, invover homorable, would be worse received that in english set if they greate when the took persons when the took passed when the took passed when the took passed when the passed with the passed when the

To this letter the Mexican minister answered on the next day.

as follows:

"Mexico, Aegust 26, 1847,

"Mexico, Aegust 26, 1847,

"Mexico, Aegust 26, 1847,

Prosident, your excellency's note of yesterday, in which you decide accepting the trust of commensation for the purpose of her both you decide accepting the trust of commensation for the purpose of her to make through their own communication, and, in regly, he directs in the to indirect you make through their own communication, and in regly, he directs in the to indirect you make through their own communication, the control of the second properties and the properties of the two properties. The transfer of the second properties of the second properties of the second years of the year

Not one word in all this as to the character of Mr. Slidell. whether he was to appear under the name of a minister or that of

whether he was to appear under the name of a minister or that of a commissioner—his powers and duties being the same under both titles. No, sir; this pretext did not enter into the objections to his reception by the Mexican government. So far from it, that Hererra, the then Presadent, who rejected Mr. Slidell for the reasons given by Mr. Pena y Pena, now, in this note, substantially reaffirms what was said by Mr. Pena to Mr. Black. Sir, comment on these documents is unnecessary; they are self-evident.

But, sir, I will now return to the main question—that is, did amexation produce the war? I have read you a portion of the strain in the substantially reaffirm which was the substantially reafficient of the substantial produce the war? I have read you a portion of the strain was to be substantially position. We see that the substantial was the substantial to the substantial position, who see that the substantial portion of the product of the substantial portion of the substantial p who was then Secretary of State, and as such negociated the treaty of annexation, has denounced the war as unjust, and the preamble in the net, asserting that it was brought on by the net of Mexico, as being labe and untrue; and who asserts that the war was brought on by the Executive removing our army to the Rio Grande. Wint, sir, did he think would be the effect of annexation? I prepose to compare his opinions when Secretary with his opinions now when a Senator. In June, 1844, the Senator, as Secretary of State, addressed a letter to our Minister in Mexico, and, in speaking of the treaty of annexation, he said, we would, indeed, have been glad in doing so, to have acted with the concurrence of Mexico, if circumstances had permitted—not because he believed that she had any rightful claim of sovereignty to Texas, or that the latter was not competent of itself to transfer the full and complete right and title to its territory, but because, in our desire to meeters the most friendly relations with Mexico. in our desire to preserve the most friendly relations with Mexico, we were disposed to treat her with respect, however unfounded we believed her claim to Texas to be. It was in conformity with we believed her claim to I exast to be. It was in conformity what that desire that the instructions were given to make the communication to the government of Mexico, announcing the signature of the treaty, and our readiness to adjust all questions which might grow out of it between the two countries, on the most liberal

Why desire the concurrence of Mexico, if war was not apprehended from the act of anexxino? And why say to Mexico, that we are willing to settle all questions growing out of anexxino not mens filteral terms? What are the questions growing out of anexxino, referred to in this letter? The resolutions of anexxino recognize but one, and that is the question of boundary. Sir, in my opinion, the Secretary was willing to purchase from Mexico the claim to the whole of Texas; or, in other words, he was willing to pay to Mexico a round sum of money, by way of buying his peace. This, sir, is what he means by settling all questions on the most liberal terms. If I am metaken in this type of the property of Why desire the concurrence of Mexico, if war was not

"No rwill our honor, any more than our wriften and safety, permit her to attack. Texas while the question of amexation is pending. If Mexico has thought proper the definer, it is, we, who include a reviewed of the proposition, and not she, who are the definer, it is we, who include a reviewed of the proposition, and not she, who are without implicating our honor, permit another to suffer in our place. Entertaining these views, Mexico would make a great mataked it do shall dispose that therefore the void and great of the war which she has prosecuted against Texas. On honor and our interest as no both includes.

Mr. President, this is a warlike document. It is, sir, that our honor find our interest are both involved in defending and pratecting Texas against Mexico, pending the question of annexation. This, sir, was, I think, a correct sentiment—one the people would have sustained. Sir, they would never consent to a sacrifice of their national honor or of national interest. He then intended to their national honor or of national interest. He then intended to fight Mexico, if she invaded Texns. This is my construction of this letter; and I would be pleased to hear from the distinguished Senator from Texas, who was then the President of that republic, and to whom a copy of this letter was sent—in order, as I had supposed, to satisfy him that this government would in good faith defend and protect Texas, pending the question of annexation, and thereby preserve both the honor and the interest of this nation whether he did not view it in the same light. But sir, I now fear that this letter would have been the means not only of sacrificing our honor and our interest, but it would also have been the means, our moner and our interest, but it would asso have been the means, if Texas had been invaded, of deceiving her, and of enabling Mexico to invade her. Texas was then relying upon us for succor and defence: our failure to comply with her expectations would have misled her, and she would not have been prepared to meet and ex-

misled her, and sne would not have been prepared.

Pep of the enemy.

Now, sir, I will read you an extract from the letter written by our Secretary to General Howard, and containing a copy of the one I have just read. General Howard was instructed to deliver that copy to the President of Texas. The letter which I now read bears date the 10th of September, 1844, (the same date of the other land in which he saw;

other,) and in which he says:

"All that he can do is, to make suitable representations to the Mexican governmen against line renewal of the war, pending the question of annexation, and the savage manner in which it is proposed to conduct it, accompanied by appropriate protests an indications of the feelings with which he regards both; and to recommend to Congres to adopt measures to repel any attack which may be made."

Now, sir, it seems all this show of fight about national honor and interest turns out to be nothing but wind—a war of words and gasconade. How do these two letters, written on the same day, comport with the honor and interest of this government! But, sir,

I will proceed.

I will now read an extract from the letter of the Secretary which announced to the Mexican government that a treaty for the annexation of Texas had been signed. This letter is dated the 19th of April, 1844-in which he says :

\*\*Sering this, this government has been compelled, by the necessity of the case, and a spend of its complete and elliptions, to take the steps that, as the only certain and effectual measurements of the conference of the case of the case of the case of the case which is not set to the case which induced at doing not would prevent the disturbance of the harmony substange between the two countries, which the Unned States is nations to preserve.

Now, Mr. President, if the Senator from South Carolina appre-Now, Mr. President, it the Senator Irom South Carolina appre-hended no danger of war from annexation, what does he mean by saying he had "taken the step in full view of all possible conse-quences?" What step? Annexation. What consequences of that step did he have in full view? Sir, it was war, and nothing of the theory of the theory of the total consequence of the step of the theory of the and yet he denounces the war. Sir, I do not envy say haurel he may win by the pursuit of such a course. I have other evidence, Mr. President, which, I think, is in itself

conclusive to every impartial mind. Sir, it is the letter of the four Mexican commissioners to Mr. Trist, when negotiating a treaty of peace, on the 6th day of September last, in which they say:

"The existing way has been undertaken notive macrosm of the emistory file State.
"The existing way has been undertaken notive macrosm of the emistory file State
the said State by which it was annexed to the North Autorean Confederation, after
the said State by which it was annexed to the North Autorean Confederation, after
the said state by which it was annexed to the North Autorean Confederation of the
through produced its undependence of Mexter. The Mexican Republic offering its
tensors of the government of Washington to the territory of Texas, the cause of the
war has desponent, and the war intell most to case."

Now, Mr. President, can any impartial mind any longer imagine what language could be stronger. I repeat it, the existing war was commenced solely on account of Texas. This is a war, then, for Texas. Who commenced it? Surely we did not, for we had possession of Texas. Then it was commenced by Mexico for the purpose of reconquering Texas; and in this, as in everything else that has been written or said by Mexico us to the cunse of this war, we hear not one word of complaint about the removal of the army to the Rio Grande as having any account in bringing on the

that has been written or said by Mexico as to the cause of this war, we hear not one word of complaint about the removal of the army to the Rio Grande as having any agency in bringing on the war, or of lanstening hostilities between the two countries, and therefore it is that I have insisted that this question owes its origination, and is advocated alone discissed that this question owes its originary and the same remark holds good in relation to the other question, that our minuster was rejected simply because he was not a commissioner.

I have now presented, Mr. President, my documentary evidence to show that this war is waged by Mexico for and on account of the annexation of Texas to the United States; and in doing this, I have not discussed the boundary of Texas, because that question is not involved in this war; and further, because as Mexico has made no question about houndary, except for the by their own record, manufactured by themselves for the avowed purpose of placing themselves sight before the country and before posterity. On this subject, sir, when the bill recognizing the existence of the war was before the Senate, then was the time to inquire into the cause of the war, and whether it had been brought on by our army lavading the territory of Mexico, or by the Mexican army by invading American territory. This question was then considered, and Senators then made up their minds and took their positions. And to show the position assumed by the warks and we because of the war, can be desired to the properties of the country from Kennicks, (Mr. CRITENDEN): read from the Congressional Globe a few remarks made by the Senator from Kentucky, (Mr. CRITTENDEN):

"Mr. CETTENNEX expressed a desure that the ground taken upon this subject by the unnority should be recorded upon the Janural, and for this purpose, he moved to stake out from the first section of the foll the words, in proceedes and war to a speedy and successful termination," and insert, 'fur the purpose of repelling the invision, the President's hereby, "Ne."

Presidents hereby." Mr.
In favor of this motion, and for the rensors given by the Senator from Kentucky, the entire whig party in the Senate recorded
their votes. What invasion was to be repelled by this motion? It
was to repel the Mexican invaders, and to drive them from our
soil. They had crossed the Rio Grande, and therefore were, in
the opinion of the whig Senators, as well as those of the democrate,
invading our country. How, sir, could this be, unless the Rio
Grande is the boundary? Sir, I hold these Senators to this record;
they made it themselves, and are, therefore, estopped from contradicting it. I am aware, Mr. President, that the preamble of
the act was a subject of discussion when the bill was under the
consideration of the Senate; but, sir, did any Senator in that discussion nretend or assert that that part of the preamble to the act consideration of the Senate; but, sir, did any Senator in that dis-cussion pretegol or a-sert that that part of the preamble to the act which asserts that the war was brought on by the act of Mexico, was not true ? No, sir; I have looked over the debate in vain, and can find no such thing. On the contrary all seemed to agree, that if war existed at all, it was brought on by the act of Mexico. By what act did Mexico bring on the war? She crossed the Rio Grande, and thus invaded our country, and after doing so, com-menced hostilities—shedding American blood on American soil. Now, sir, to show that I am right in this view, I shall call the at-tention of the Senate to what was said on this subject at the time. I shall read, sir, from the Concressional Globe. as follows: I shall read, sir, from the Congressional Globe, as follows:

"Mr. Mexorys had made up his mind to vote for the bill, but he entered his most solemn protest against the hasty declaration of the president about the existence of the war, and he woold ask the Senate that that protest be entered on the Journal. "Messis, J. M. CLAYTOX and DAYTOX entered smillar protests."

Mr. MANCUM.—If the Senator will pardon me I would state, that vote was put upon the ground that there was no evidence of the existence of war or of a battle having been fought. It will be remembered that the documents, which accompanied the message, were not printed or even read. The bill was presented on mere information that war had been commenced by Mexico, and the adoption of the preamble was resisted in the absence of all the adoption of the preamote was resisted in the assence of an evidence either of the existence of war, or if it existed that it was commenced by the act of Mexico. This explanation is necessary in order to prevent any misconception which might arise from the imperfect character of the report from which the Senator has

TURNEY .- Thus, Mr. President, it is seen that the only objection to the preamble consisted in the fact, that some Senators doubted whether hostilities had commenced, and not that the acwhich produced hostilities, or the hostile act itself, was the act of Mexic

Mexico.

But, Mr. President, there is still another answer to this charge, that this war was produced by the removal of the army to the Rio Grande. If this was an illegal and an unconstitutional invasion of Mexico, the President is not alone responsible for it. He, sir, is

not a military man, but he is a remarkably cautious and prodent one, and in this instance, as well as in every important step in the prosecution of this war, he has acted upon the advice of wever on the ground, and had the best mean a correct and sund independent of the step of the not a military man, but he is a remarkably cautious and prudent

General Taylor, of the 4th day of October, 1845, in which he says:

"For these season, our position, thus far has, I think, here the best possible but mow that the entire force will come becomeratized, in any source many at the point, the ways of the government will be considered and the point. The property of the property of the point of the property of the point of the property of the property

Thus, sir, we see that the President acted upon the advice and Thus, sir, we see that the President acted upon the advice and strong recommendations of General Taylor; and if the act was wrong and he is to be censered for it, a much greater degree of blame and censure contains and entire the strong that the strong and the strong and the strong and contains. Who advised it. But, sir. I have no more action and the strong and indicious act; one in which all the parties concerned acquistly entitled to prisale. Sir, what would have been the indigination felf throughout this country, if the army had been retained at Corpus Christi, and the Mexican army had crossed the Rio Grande, and on their march to the interior of Texns, had devastated the country, murdered and plundered the citizens, burned their houses and on their march to the interior of Texns, had devastated the country, mardered and plundered the citizens, burned their houses and destroyed their farms? Would we then have been told by the whigh grators that this was all right? No, sir; they would have been the loudest and the most bitter in their demunciations of the President for not doing what he has done.

But, Mr. President, I have heard other charges made against the President for the wanger and mode of conducting this way.

the President for the manner and mode of conducting this war. the President for the manner and mode of conducting this war.— Some say be did not call out men enough; others, that he called out too many; and others find fault with his plans of campaign. One word, sir, in answer to all these objections. The President, I admit, is responsible for all these things. He is to manage and to conduct the war; and I would inquire whether any error out at character has been committed? I dray it. I dray it is character has been committed? I dray it. ionisaed at the sneess of our armies; we have gained every saftle, and have made astonishing progress, taking their principal towns and strong places; then we had troops enough in the tield, and our plans must have been well laid and well executed. tield, and our plans must have been well faid and well executed.

But, sr, it would be very romarkable if no error had—as I think,
in point of fact, no error was—committed in all this bisniess. If any had been committed, who would be to blame also it. I have
admitted the President would be responsible; but, sir, others would be to blame also; for I have no doubt that the President, prudent
and cautions as he as, bad the advice of the commanding general
of the array more very than of a campaign, and also of the munof the army upon every plan of a campaign, and also of the num-ber of men necessary to execute such plan with certain success.

This we know to have been his course at the commencement of

This we know to have been his course at the commencement of the war, and I doubt not he has continued it.

But, Mr. President, the Scantors on the other side of this chamber inquire, with an air of triumph, we we do not bring this war to a close! Winy not made Str., we have the star proceeded we desire further paralleled success; and I doubt not we would not be a peace long since, but for our own divisions in relation to the justice of this war. Mexico knows as well as we do when our Presidential elections take place. She knows that the leaders of the whing party of this country have taken open and bold ground against the war—denounced it as unjust, unnecessary and unconstitutional—waged by us for the purpose of coaquest, robbery and plunder. Can we expect, under these uircumstances, a peace, until after the Presidential election? If the whips should be successful, Mexico would expect a favorable peace—one that would surrender to them the country at least to the Kio Grande. If not the Nuccess; and as the war is both unconstitutional and unjust, would surrender to them the country at least to the Kio Grande. If not to the Nuces; and as the war is both unconstitutional and unjust, they would expect a reasonable indemnity for the expense, suffering, and loss produced by it; and could the whig party refuse this if the war is unjust? They would be justly entitled to it. Again, sir, Mexico would tell them, You know you had no right to annex Toxas, and that this illegal and unconstitutional act produced this Texas, and that this illegal and unconstitutional act produced this bloody and disastrous war, and, therefore, you ought to pay us for Texas also. What answer, consistent with his former position, could a whig President make? None that I can conceive. I am asked how I would end this war. My answer is: that I would fight it out. True, sir, we have vanquished the armies of Mexico in every battle that has been fought, and have taken possession of a large portion of their territory, including the eapital, many of her populous cities, and her strongly fortified places, yet she is not subdured. She still persists in her refusal to treat for peace, and will continue to do so until she is whipped into submission. When that time arrives—when she asks for peace, and proposes to make atonement for past wrongs and aggressions, I shall he ready to discuss the terms of peace. discuss the terms of peace.

Mr. President, I will now give a statement of a few questions

Mr. President, I will now give a statement of a few questions growing out of this war, in which the leaders of the whig party and the Mexicans most heartly concur:

1. The whigs held that to annex Texas was to make war with Mexico. So said Mexico; but this having been decided by the people, the whigs now agree with us, that it was no just eause of war. Shifting their position, they now say that the removal of the army to the Rio Grande produced the war.

2. That the war is minist and unconstitutional.

That the war is unjust and unconstitutional. The Mexicans assert the same thing

That it is unjust and oppressive to levy contributions on Mexi-so say the Mexicans.

4. That to demand an indemnity from Mexico for the expenses of the war is oppressive, and amounts to robbery. The Mexicans take the same ground.

5. That this is a war waged and prosecuted for conquest. So

say the Mexicans.

That, as the war is unjust and unconstitutional, and, in the extreme, oppressive to Mexico, we ought to withdraw our army. So says Mexico.

These, Mr. President, are my opinions, and, if I am in error, I have the consolation that I err on the side of my country. Mr. BREESE took the floor, with the intention of addressing

the Senate on Monday.

EXECUTIVE SESSION.

The Senate proceeded to the consideration of Executive husiness and after some time spent therein,

On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# MONDAY, FEBRUARY 14, 1848.

#### CREDENTIALS

Mr. BERRIEN presented the credentials of the Hon. Hersch-Lell V. Johnson, approinted by the Governor of the State of Goorgia, a Senator of the United States, to full the vacancy occasioned by the resignation of the Hon. Walter S. Colquitt.

Mr. JOHNSON, having taken the oath prescribed by law, took his seat in the Senate.

#### PETITIONS.

Mr. BADGER presented the memorial of Mrs. M. Rodgers. widow of Commodore John Rodgers, of the United States Navy. deceased, praying payment for double rutions due her late has band as senior officer of the navy; which was referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

Mr. CRITTENDEN presented additional documents in relation to the claim of George Poindexter; which were referred to the Committee of Claims.

Mr. MILLER presented the memorial of the executors and heirs of John Rogers, deceased, praying bounty land and halfpay; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Mr. CORWIN presented a memorial of the Ohio Yearly Meeting of Friends, held at Salem, praying for an immediate termination of the war with Mexico; which was ordered to be printed.

Also, a petition of citizens of Stark county, Obio, and a petition of citizens of Monroe county. Alichigan, praying for a speedly control to the county of the county dispute by negotiation or reference; which were referred to the Committee or Foreign Relations.

Also, a memorial of citizens of Muskingum county, Ohio, remonstrating against the prosecution of the Mexican war, for the purpose of conquest, or forcing Mexico to sell any part of her territory to the United States; which was referred to the Committee on Foroign Relations.

Also, the petition of Joseph Newell, asking to be allowed to change the location of a section of land granted him under an Indian treaty; which was referred to the Committee on Private Land

Mr. SEVIER presented the memorial of the Mayor and Common Coancil of the city of Fort Smith, praying the division of the district of Arkansas into two judical districts, and the location of the seat of justice for the western division at that place; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Also, the petition of H. B. Gaither praying compensation for services as clerk to the Superintendent of Indian Affairs west of Arfansas; which was referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

Mr. CASS presented the petition of Sarah Ten Evek, executrix of Conrad Ten Eyek, deceased, late marshal of the United States for the District of Michigan, praying the payment of a balance due him by the government; which was referred to the Committee on the Indicate.

Mr. NILES presented the petition of Reuben M. Gibbs, a pensioner of the United States, praying to be allowed arrears of pensien; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Also, the memorial of Charles Larabee, a pensioner of the United States, praying to be allowed an increase and arrears of pension; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Also, the petition of Asahel Kingsley, a revolutionary soldier, praying to be allowed a pension; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. WESTCOTT presented a petition of citizens of Florida, praying the establishment of a mail route from Alaqua, Florida, to Geneva, in Alakama—and a petition of citizens of Florida, praying the establishment of a mail route from Ueheeanna to Milton in that State; which were referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Mr. WESTCOTT observed in offering these petitions, that the people of West Florida had for sometime past complained of the mail arrangements there as a nuisance, and if a remedy was not provided, they would prefer its being abated to continuing as at present.

Mr. UNDERWOOD presented the petition of the American 30TH CONG.—1st SESSION—No 35.

Colonization Society, praying reimbursement of the expenses incarred by that society for the support of a number of Airicans, captured by an United States' vessel of war, from on board a slave ship, and landed at Monrovia, in Liberia; which was referred to the Committee of Claims.

Mr. BAGBY presented the memorial of Peter Raudon, representative of John Raudon, deceased, praying indemnity for proper ty destroyed during the Creek Indian hostilities; which was relerred to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

Mr. DAVIS. of Mississippi, presented the petition of Samuel F. Butterworth, praying compensation for services in carrying the mail; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

# On motion by Mr. BAGBY, it was

Ordered, That the petition of James H. Causton, assignee of Committee on Indian Affairs.

#### CHRIST CHURCH BURIAL GROUND.

Mr. GREENE, from the Committee on the District of Columhia, to whom was referred the memorial of the Vestry of Washington Parish, reported a bill to authorize the sale of part of pubtic reservation numbered thirteen in the City of Washington, and for other purposes; which was read and passed to the second reading.

# PRESERVATION OF LIVE OAK TREES, ETC

Agreeably to notice, Mr. DOWNS asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to amend an act entitled "An Act to provide for the punishment of ofiences committed in cutting, destroying, or removing live oak and other timber or trees reserved for Naval purposes," approved 2d March 1831; which was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

Public Lands.

NESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. CAMPRELL, their clerk:

Mr. President: I am directed by the House of Representatives to natura to the contain at their request the bill enrifled "An Act for an increase of the Medical Staff of the Army for a hinted time."

The Speaker of the House of Representatives, having signed sundry enrolled bills, I am directed to deliver them to the Senate for the signature of its President.

### SIGNING OF BILLS

The VICE PRESIDENT signed the following enrolled bills.

An Act for the relief of Joseph and Lindley Ward,

An Act to authorize the issue of a register to the banque Wilhamet

An Act to confirm the boundary line between Missouri and Arkanian.

# INCREASE OF THE MEDICAL STAYF.

Mr. BADGER moved a reconsideration of the vote by which the bill for an increase of the Medical Staff of the Army, for a limited time, had been passed.

The motion was postponed until to-morrow

# MR. DICKINSON'S RESOLUTIONS.

Mr. YULEE moved a postponement of the prior orders, in order to take up the resolutions submitted on the 14th December, by Mr. Dickinson, and that his resolutions, offered on the 12th of January, as a substitute for those submitted by Mr. Dickinson, might be considered.

Mr. FOOTE objected. He regarded the bill now before the Senate as one of vital importance, and expressed his decided opposition to engaging in the consideration of any other subject which might encroach upon the time allotted to the special order. He was not at all disposed to give way to a wordy debate, which would trench upon the great measure now before the Senate, and be, perhaps, of mischievous tendency.

Mr. YULEE replied, that he would be very sorry if what he had to say or this subject should be regarded as mischievous in its tendency. It was his purpose to discuss, upon its constitutional merits, a question which had not been involuced by him on that floor, but which was introduced by an honorable Senator from one of the most important States in the Union, and which was fully discussed by him during the morning hour. Having presented a substitute for the resolution submitted by that gardlenars, he had felt himfelf entitled to ask the indulgence of the Senato, for an op-

portunity of disting the grounds on which these resolutions rested. It was during the morning hour that the proposition of the Senator from New York was discussed, and it was during the morning hour that he (Mr. Y.) solicited a like indulgence from the Senate

Mr FOOTE would not urge his objection, as assurance had Mr. FOOTE would not arge ins objection, as assurance had now been at least implied given, that the discussion of the bill before the Senate would not be interfered with, by allowing the Senator from Florida to present his views on his proposition. But his conscience and sense of duty could not permat him to consent to the introduction of any matter which was likely to impede, in the slightest degree, the progress of the debate on the great subject to

Mr. DOWNS also objected to the introduction of the resolutions of the Senator from Florida at this time. It was not pro-bable that the discussion on them could be avoided, as there was a difference of opinion in regard to them, even on the part of Senators from the south. Still, he was anxious to have the question discussed, and would be very happy to hear the discussion, when the Senate was less occupied than at present.

Mr. YULEE said that after he had spoken a day could be-fixed for the consideration of his resolutions.

Mr. DOWNS remarked that in that case no opportunity of reply would be afforded to gentlemen who might be disposed to differ from the Senator from Florida.

Mr. TURNEY said that if the resolutions were taken up only during the morning hour so as not to interfere with the discussion of the special order he had no objection; if on the other hand the special order was trenched upon he must refuse the discussion soeited by the honorable Senator from Florida.

Mr. CASS suggested a postponement of the resolutions till after the special order had been disposed of by the Senate. It was not a matter of pressing importance that the sentiments of the gentle-man from Florida on this subject should be expressed to-day. For bimself he would hear the gentleman with great pleasure, but it did seem to him to be in every way most expedient to defer the consideration of his resolutions, and avoid any interruption of the debate on the special order, on which it was highly important to obtain

Mr. BADGER begged to submit, with great respect to the hon-orable charman of the Committee on Military Affairs, that the application thus made by the Senator from Florida stood on pecuapplication thus made by the Scaator from Florida stood on pechair grounds. Agreeing fully with that general position that nothing should be done by which the discussion of the special order should be encreached upon, vet he reminded him that Scnatch had permitted the honorable Scnator from New York occupy a portion of the morning four in remarks on the resolutions submitted by him for which those of the Scnator from Florida were proposed as a substitute. That was regretted by him at the time, proposed as a substitute. That was regretted by him at the time, as he regarded it as a precedent leading to encroachments on the as an ergorates it as a precedent touting to encroachments on the time allotted for particular basiness. But in these circumstances it did after all appear to be due to the Senator from Florida that he should be inaddiged in a blic opportunity of expressing his views, deferring the further discussion of the subject till after the special order had been disposed of by the Senate.

Mr FOOTE said he disliked to occupy the time of the Senate, Mr FGOTE said he distinct to occupy the time of the Senator, run it, was, important to make a remark in reply to the Senator from North Carolina. That gentleman had declared in one breath that when the Senate allowed the Senator from New Fork to dis-cuss his resolutions, he condemned the act—he disapproved of its he felt at the time that it, was a final precedent, likely to lead to ne near at the time time, it was a may precedent, interly to lead to segmons interference with the regular bissiness of the Senate, and vor, now he trigged the Senate to do the very stinc thing. Why? On the ground of courtersy, forscould, to the Senator from Florida. It appeared, then, that they were to do verong that some good in the way of recurreys might come from it—ro the what the Senator demned at the time—to persevere in doing evil in order to avoid wounding the sensibility of a Senator! Now, the great question was, what did the country demand at their hands? Were they to

Mr YULEE here begged to inform the Senator that he did not propose to interfere at all with the discussion of the ten regiment bill.

Mr. FOOTE replied, that he had distinctly stated, if the Sena-tor from Florida did not interfere with the discussion of the bill, he would not object to allowing him to present his views on his reso-lations; but if the Senator encroached in the 3d glutest degree—no more even than a second of times out that bill, it left bound to op-

Mr. YULEE said that he expected that he would get through with what he had to say, before the expiration of the morning hour, and would have done so if he had not been not respected by the Senator from Mississuppi.

Mr. FOOTE regretted that the Senator from Florida had not been so explicit when he first announced his desire that his resolutions should be taken up.

Mr. CAMERON observed that he thought it would save time to allow the Senator from Florida to proceed with his remarks. He understood that the Senator would not occupy a longer period than the half hour which yet remained of the term allotted to morning hisness. The Senator would not, if that was the case, interfere in the slightest degree with the speedy action of the Senate, on the Ten Regiment Bill, a measure which was vitally important and on the wagers of which a to the interests of the country, and on the progress of which a speedy peace very much depended.

Mr. HANNEGAN suggested that the Senator might be allow ed to proceed, with the understanding, that at one o'clock, he should give way to the special order.

Mr. BADGER begged to detain the Senate only a moment, for Mr. BADGER begged to detain the Senate only a moment, for the purpose of setting himself right in regard to an observation which he made, and to which the Senator from Mississippi had at tempted to give a construction which was not altogether cerrect. He did not suppose that the Senator from Florida ought to be in-dulged in making his speech, on the ground of "courtegy?" but he thought that in the circumstances of the case, the Senate had so committed itself, that it was prehaps an act of justice to allow that gentleman to express his views, on the resolutions offered as a substitute for those of the Senator from New Volt, who had to an abstitute for those of the Senator from New York, who had been indulged in an opportunity of presenting his own at length. There was, therefore, no ground for the charge of inconsistency in the remark which he had made, that although at the time he feared that the extension of that indulgence to the Senator from New York, might lead to inconvenience in the interruption of the public business, yet it seemed to be proper to grant a similar favor to the Senator from Florida. It was better, he thought, to submit to the inconvenience, than deny to the Senator from Florida, the opportunity of presenting his views, as nearly contemporaneous as pos-sible with those of the Senator who took a different view of the

Mr. FOOTE had only to say that he regarded justice to the country as more important, than justice to individual

The question was then taken on the motion to take up the resolutions submitted by the Senator from New York; and it was decided in the affirmative.

The resolutions submitted by the Senator from New York (Mr. Dickinson) were then read as follows:

Resident, That the polary requires the government of the United States to strength of the Continue of the United States to strength of the Continue territory is any conduce to that end and can be justly obtained; and that their in was deaposition for in the territoral organization thereof can any conductors be constitutionally, imposed, or institutions be provided for or established, inconsistent between the continued of the Confederacy, and the Confederacy, and the Confederacy, and the Confederacy of the Confederacy of

As a substitute for these resolutions, the Senator from Florida submitted the following, which were also read

Read-of. That the terminy biogram is no which may be acquired by the Tand Read-of. That the terminy biogram is no which may be acquired by the Tand in the people of the several States comproming the Choice, and the several states comproming to write because version is Read-off, in the Tanda the Good of the Choice, and the Choice of the Choice States to an enterpoly and the Choice of property, may be impaired or enhalm-seed.

Mr. YULEE,-It has seemed to me due to all the interests in 407. I CLEAGE—It has seeined to me due of at the interests mixed of the there be a clear understanding of the position of all parties in this country upon the question to which these resolutions relate. So far as the Senator from New York, and those who agree with him, design to repudate the principles of the Wilman Provise, and have added in suppressing its prevalence in the North, per control of the provise of the provise of the Wilman of the Provise of they are entitled to our profound thanks; and I join in commend-ing the spirit with which they have sought to advance towards a satisfactory adjustment of differences. But it is impossible for me to close my eyes to the fact, that although various approaches have been made, since the last session, in the direction of the constituocen made, since the fast session, in the direction of the constitu-tion, none of these attempts have reached a point satisfactory to the public mind, and we are, to all practical intents, very much where we stood at the last session.

where we stood at the last session.

What is it in the principles of the Wilmet Proviso that excites so justly the alarm and indignation of the southern states of the Union? That Proviso contemplates the exclusion of the people of a portion of the states of the Union from the use of acquisitions obtained through the common wealth and strength of the whole. It insults us by resting this discrimination upon a ground whole. It insurts us by resting this discrimination upon a ground ingrinous to the moral parle and dignity of the South. It strikes surround them with a cordon of States having antagonist institu-tions, and threatens the security of their rights, by distribing the political equilibroun of the two great sections of the Union. On these accounts, the Wilmot Provise is justily regarded, by a large portion of the people of the Union, as an odious attempt to insult, to betray, and to injure them. It is leared as an attack upon the compromises of the constitution, and excerated as a disturber of compromises of the Union. In its inception, and its application, and in all its aspects, it is distantial to all who, viewing it as I do, reverence the Union, respect the rights of the states, or set any value upon the dignity of citizenship. It is needless for me to say,

that as a liege citizen of a southern state, I can lend no aid, directly or indirectly, to results so injurious as those involved in the Wilmot Provise, one combine in political effort with any part which in the remotest degree suffers its tain to rest upon it.

Since the last session of Congress, several propositions for a set-tlement of the question have been advanced from distinguished

tement of the question have been advanced from distinguished quarters. For one, I heartily thank them all for the holde spirit of independence and justice which they have exhibited a disposition to practice. But their propositions seem to involve, in the contemplation of the advocates of their opinions, results practiceally the same as those of the Wilmot Proviso. It becomes important, therefore, to explore still further the field of the constitution for a secure foundation to course sight. tion for a secure foundation to our rights.

tion for a secure roundation to our rights.

The two leading propositions to which I will refer, because they seem to comprehend in their scope all the rest, are—
First. The Missouri compromise line as the rule of settle-

ment; and. A transfer of the question to the inhabitants of the

territory. territory.

Neither of these satisfy mc. Legislative compromise is the most massle and shifting ground upon which the rights of a people can massle and the results of a people can rest. No other evidence of this is necessary than is furnished by the fate of the tariff compromise, and still more recently by the case of the late Congress upon this very Missouri compromise. The constitution furnishes the only enduring and steady basis of right, and the legislation of Congress is incompetent to modify or compromise the terms of this fundamental compact; for otherwise the creature may control the creator. Nor is the proposed trans-fer of the question to the inhabitants of the territory less objec-tionable. It is not only in conflict with the duties and authority of Congress, but it resigns to the further through the sorting of Congress, but it vesigns in the conflict with the duties and authority of Congress, but it vesigns in the decision of the desting of our territorial passessions. The insufficience of the first plant to avert the evil effects of the Wilmot principle, seeking to a vert the evil effects of the Wilmot principle, seeking the considerable of the decision of the Wilmot Proviso, adopt, doubtless from honest conviction, grounds which they acknowledge must preduce the same practical results. They hold, that because the laws of Mexico prohibit slavery, it could never exist in territory acquired from her, unless expressly established or authorized by Congress; and one branch of them assign to the inhabitants of the territory an original right of legislation in respect to their local government, including the subject of slavery—this doning to Congress the power to legislate for the protection of our citizens in the territories of the United States. Of course, if the repeal of the restriction depended upon Congress; it would be in the power of the north to control the question, as they have a settled major the characteristic personal upon congress, it would be in the power in it in the follower of the proper section of the proper section of the initial in the inhabitants of the territory, those who were transferred with the inhabitants of the territory, those who were transferred with the would fix its desting as a non-slaveholding territory, by continuing the restriction and excluding the settlement of slaveholders. (He referred to sandre violences to show that such were the views

and aeknowledged anticipations entertained upon the subject, by many who were resisting the agitation of the Wilmot Proviso in

Northern States.]

Thus it will be seen that both these propositions rest upon grounds which render inevitable the same practical result as the Wilmot Proviso, namely, the encirclement of the South by a belt Wilmost Provises, many by the encirclement of the Soath by a belt of non-slaveholding States are the controlled to the Soath by a belt of non-slaveholding States are the controlled to the Soath by a belt of non-slaveholding States are the controlled to the property and existence. The only difference 1 cancers upon our temper which is exhibited. While the advocates of Wilmotisen march holdly up, with hostile spirit, to the violent immediation of the States of the South, the advocates of the other propositions, acting, as I believe, under an erroneous conception of the Constitution, invite us to aid in our own immobilizing; thus converting the execution into a feto de se. However we may estimate their re-execution into a feto de se. However we may estimate their recrease and the states of the constitution in the southern States to go upon any territory belonging to the United States which is opened to occupation, and to reside upon it securely, with his slaves and other property, under the guarantees of the constitution; and believing, further, that Congress is bound to throw over him the shield of its protection in the enjoyment of this right, against disturbance from any quarter, the enjoyment of this right, against disturbance from any quarter the enjoyment of this right, against distudance from any quarter, I deem it due to the State I represent to dispute the opinions which conflict with my belief. And I engage in the disension the more readily from the conviction that, as the advocates of these doctrines manifest a purpose to plant themselves upon whatever ground a true construction of the constitution may require, we may, by a thorough discussion at an early day, discover where is the line of truth, and ioin tagether in its maintenance.

thorough discussion at an early day, discover where is the line of truth, and join together in its maintenance.

The Senator Irom New York (Mr. Dickinson), being as yet the only exponent upon this flour of the doctrine of a right in the inhabitants of our territories to control the question of slavery. I still proceed to an investigation of the principles he advances. As a review of the Senator, be holds, that the people inhabiting a learning of the principles have decorated in all that concerns the discharge in the remaining of the principles of the senator, be also the continuation of the proposed processing the processing of the senator is the senator of the senator in all that concerns the discharge of the senator of the senato teet line interests of the United States; and that the legislation jor the people should be exercised by themselves, under the constitu-tion." So far as the logic of my friend is concerned, the admission of his while proposition would not produce the conclusion he de-signs. His propestion contains two admissions which press the whole fabric of his argument to the ground. He admits them to

he territories of the United States; and while transferring the pow-er of legislation from Congress to the persons inhabiting them, ad-mits they must legislate "under the constitution." Now, in ad-miting the territory to be "territory of the United States," that is to say, belonging to the people of the States which compose the Union, the United States to enjoy its use. And in admitting that the people of the territory must legislate "under the constitution," be places their authority under the same limitation at would re-serve the Congress of the States to enjoy its use. he territories of the United States; and while transferring the powain Congress from logislation tending to exclude the citizen of ary State from an equal and just participation in the common property. Surely, if the people of the United States own the terrutory, they have a right to eajoy their property without hindrance; and if the constitution covers the territory, the local legislation must be subject to all its limitations. So that, after all, the question raised by the Wilmot Proviso would return with the same force as ever—is there a power of legislation under the constitution, no matter whether in Congress or elsewhere, by which the citizen of

matter whether in Congress or elsewhere, by which the citizen of any State can be excluded from participating equally with all the rest in the common property of the Union?

But I presume the argument of my friend contemplated a power in the people inhabiting a territory to legislate upon the subject of slavery, and upon all other subjects not relating to the disposal of the soil. I take issue with him here, and utterly deny to the inhabitants of retrievory of the United States any original power of legislation or government whatever within the territory, except as opposed to the whole course of legislation, practice upon the subject. From the ordinance of 1789 respecting the territory of the United States northwest of the Ohio, down to the hill relative to the Oregon territory, reported a few days since, Congress has always excressed an exclusive control over the territories. An original right in the inhabitants to govern themselves in any degree whatever has never been recognized, nor until recently ever asserted. In the next place, his position is opposed to the whole current of direct judicial decision, and to the opinions of all the most eminent writers upon American political law.

"All admit the constructionality of a territorial government of Maryland, 4 Wh. R. 422. nent."-McCulloh vs. State

Chief Justice Marshall says, speaking of the exclusive power of Congress to govern a Territory belonging to the United States:

Congress to govern a Territory belonging to the United States:

"Whickewer may be the some where the power is derived, the possession of it is unpart-toned."—1 Peters. Rep. 343.

"Roles and Regulations responsible the territory of the United States: they necessity the second of the Congress of the Con

To the same effect will be found Rawle on the Constitution. 237; 1 Kent's Commentaries, 383, 386; 3 Story's Commentaries on the Constitution, 198.

I will add an authority from Louisiana, which is the more deserving of attention, from the circumstance that it is to be presumed the har and court were fully conversant with all the mooted questions of territorial right. The counsel in the case contested "the power of Congress to govern the Territories," and contended, "that, admitting they possess, they cannot delegate it."

court remarks upon this case as follows:

"If any doubt each be entertained, it would entanely vanish on consideration of
the part of the constitution of the Partlet States to which the counsel for the State
that the state of the constitution of the Partlet States. The state of the tender of the States. As we are viewed to the tentions of the Tender States. Now, a very westful resultation with regard to the land of the United States. Now, a very westful resultation with regard to the land of the United States. One of the States of the States

But let us now try his proposition by general principles. Let But let us now by ms proposition by general principles. Let me remind the Senator of one or two elemental principles, too well established to require demonstration; as, first, that the legislative power is an attribute of sovereignty. Secondly, that sovereignty is indivisible. I concede that the several attributes or functions of is indivisible. I concede that the several attributes or functions of sovereignty may be exerted through divers agencies; but sovereignty, or the exclusive and supreme ultimate authority in a state, from which all political action proceeds, most, from its very meture, be complete, and inequable of division; for, otherwise, there might be in the safe state, and at the same time, two supreme powers; which is an absurdity. Now, then, tried by these axis must be supplied as the property of the safe state of the saf it must be because they possess, in some degree, sovereignty. If they possess any sovereignty, they possess it in its entirety. If they possess it in its entirety, they are an independent political state, and are of course independent of the United States, as state, and are of course independent of the United States, as of all the world; and the country covered by their jurisdic-tion cannot be territory of the United States; for sovereignty comprises an ultimate dominion over all the lands within the boundaries of the society. This conclusion cannot be avoided, if the premise of the Senator's proposition be conceded, that the if the premise of the Senator's proposition be conceded, that the unhabitants of a territory possess any "finherent right of sovereignty," or of "self-government," whatever. The possession of such a right, in and degree, precludes the existence of any superior or sovereign authority external of the community. I will read a passage from the Senator's remarks, which appears to comprehend his entire view as to the rights of the inhabitants of a territory of the United States :

"It is not denied that if the people of the territory acquire come, or adopt the form of domains government proposed for them by Congress, it becomes there own, having a statistical right to reform a vigoritory of the configuration of the configuration for the configuration of the

that our inferent eight of one regard in their domestic afforce. "The regulation there is sealed that soweright consists with the proph of a State, and not with its judicial ong maximum, and the Dos Lantons of Independence recognises the might of the people to the consistence and the Dos Lantons of Independence recognises the might of the people of the consistence and the season of the Commission of the C

Now, it is evident that the Senator claims for the inhabitants of a territory of the United States, as a distinct political society, a degree of inherent right of self-government and legislation, which may authorize them to accept or reject, any rule prescribed by the at their pleasure, the government enacted for them by Congress. I cannot presume the Senator alludes to the right of revolution; and I therefore infer he supposes that such rights can exist in the

and I therefore miles the supposes that such rights can exist in the imbabiliants of a territory, consistently with its relations to the United States, as a part of its domain.

To negate this theory, I will read a single sentence from the late work of an eminent fellow-citizen of the Senator:

"As no State can properly be considered at once sovereign and subject, so no State can with start propriety be considered as half or imperfectly supreme." — Heaten, on international Law, p. 67.

No: nothing can be more clear than that the inhabitants of a territory must be altogether subject to political control by a superior authority, or are altogether independent and sovereign in themselves. For this last alternative the Senator will not contend. themselves. For this last alternative the Senator will not contend, and the first is therefore undernable. Something of what seems to me the confusion of the Senator's theory, grows, as I apprehend out of a mis-pyrebension of terms. He speaks of the inhabitants of territory of the United States as "a people." They are not a people, in a political sense, they compose no civil society. They are simply inhabitants of territory of the United States. Their condition in this regard is very well described in a passage which I will read .

A Coperty of men, by whatever hes, and far whatever purpose they may be united to one another, to not complete in first if, if it has not within itself in independent power of governmen, that is, either in the depositive or its eventive, subject to be coincided up to the property of the property of the coincided power, to no civil occurs, it is made that the power of the property of the property

Another misapplication of terms, as I think, is in the use of the Another misapplication of terms, as I think, is in the use of the word 'tterritory,' as descriptive of a political organization. There is, in fact, no such political or civil organization. The term is only predicable of the lands covered by the jurisdiction of a State or nation. Territory is not used in the constitution in any other sease, nor has the legislation of Congress ever employed it any otherwise than as descriptive of public domain. If the persons in sense, nor has the legislation of Congress ever employed it any otherwise than as descriptive of public domain. If the persons in-habiting territory composed a civil society of State—if they were puramount lords of the soil which they occupied—if they were in-dependent of the control of any other authority—they would then possess the right of self-government and of legislation, because they would be sovereign. But, failing in all these essential ele-ments of sovereignty, they fail altogether of any right of legislation. I conclude that the inhabitants of a territory of the United States

I conclude that the inhabitants of a territory of the United States have no original or inherent rights of government or legislation. I come now to the question. Where is the right of government Whose is the territory? I he answer to this will determine the point. The constitution describes it as "territory belonging to the United States." That is to say, territory belonging to the people of Maine, New York, Virginia, Georgia, Texas, and the people of Maine, New York, Virginia, Georgia, Texas, and the their States, united under the constitution. The government of the Line of the Control of the Contr confers.

Conflors: "Besides the emment domain, the soveriginty gives a right of another nature over all poblic, common, and private property—that  $n_i$ , the empire,  $\alpha$  in the right of commain in all places of the contrib whencing to the action, "Fatter," [12] and the identity of the contribution of the contribution of the state of the place of the contribution of the con

The people of the United States, then, in their federative rela-tion, as owners of the territory, hold the sovereignty, and with it

the jurisdiction and complete right of legislation over the acquired territory. As ecoqual owners, the United States are cocqual sovereigns over it, and they can use it, govern it, or dispose of it, through their common agent, without any other restraint than results from their federative character, and the terms of their Union. In respect to other nations, they stand as the exclusive owners and sovereigns of the territory. In respect to each other, they stand aquality in the common owners and sovereigns of the territory. In respect to each other, they stand aquality in the common owners and sovereigns of the territory. equal: jure, as common owners and swereigns, conte-lerated in a united government. It is immaterial from what source we derive the power of Congress to act as the common agent in the government of the territory of the United States. Whether this power has attributed to express grant under the chase of the fourth article which empowers Congress to "make all needful rules and regulations respecting the territory and other property belonging to the United States," or to ma nuthority implied from the right to acquire territory by treaty or conquest, the great leading fact still remains, that the territory belongs to the people of the United States in their federative character, and that Congress acts, in the administration of its use, as the agent of the whole. As owners, States in their rederance enhanceer, and man Congress acts, in the administration of its use, as the agent of the whole. As owners, the people of the several States hold in equal proportoinal degree, with equal rights to its centrol and it is use—its use, not only with reference to its profits, but its bearing upon their respective development, security, and general policy. As agent, the United States government must be regarded as a trustee, representing and exercising the authority of the whole, of one not more nor les than another; and bound, in the administration of the trust property, to apply it to the jost and equal benefit of all, without discrimination or injury to any, and with a constant regard to the equality of the parties interested.

With these purposes, and under these limitations, Congress may govern the territories, and may employ such subordinate officers or agencies to administer its mandates as may seem to it best. It may vest the whole functions of government within the territories may ten une wnow internations or government within the three services of the control of the cont must use its authority in subordination to the rights of the people must use its authority in succommand to the rights of the people of the United States, to whom the territories belong. Hence it is, that in all the acts prescribing rules for the government of territories, the agents are specially limited to powers "not inconsistent with the constitution and laws of the United States."

"Proxincts lose the nature of States, and become the appendiages of other States, harring un had of sourceast adhority in themselves. Whether, the clore, such a province is governed to a Prevident or by an Assembly, an andifferent point, which does not in the least affect the proper-overeignty, massued to both he and they mean SMX a STROMART OF DELEGATION ATTENDED TO THE ATTENDED TO THE ACT OF THE ACT OF

Now, then, let me ask, by what authority—upon what pretences of right or instice—can Congress, either directly, or by permission of right or instace—can Congress, eather affective of by permission to its subordinate agent in the territories, undertake to exclude from participation upon equal terms with all the rest, the people of any portion of the United States? Is not the territory the comof any portion of the United States! Is not the territory the common property of all? and is not the Congress the common agent of all? Is the territory not as much the property of the people of Georgia as of Massachusetts, and the Congress as much the agent of Virginia as of New York? Why then, should its advantages he partially dis rinted! A State is benefited by territorial possess. sions, in their use by her citizens, in the room they afford for the sons, in their use of the spread of her population, in the strength and security they contribute to her institutions, and the dignity they add to the Common wealth. Yet, by the exclusion of the citizens of the States of the sweath. Yet, by the exclusion of the citizens of the States of the South, all these henefits are denied them. Nay, more; their scen-rity is actually endangered by the cocreive establishment, in adja-cent territory, of commonities having institutions of a bostile and antagonist tendency, and their digmity is trampiled upon by a dis-crimination which degrades them. It will be observed that I speak of the prohibition of slavery in the territory is an exclu-sion of the citizent, if the occupation of the territory is made to depend upon a condition which involves a total change in the habits, and enpital of a citizen, and a disruption in the form of his domesand capital of a citizen, and a disruption in the form of his domes-tic community, the exclusion is, to all intents, complete; just as much so as if the citizens of a part of the States were forbidden to carry their wives, their children, and their wealth.

If Congress, in its discretion, opens territory to settlement, it is necessarily and of right open in equal degree to all the citizens of the several States. If the citizen of one State may go upon it, and enjoy its use, so may, righfully, the eitizen of every other State in the Union; for, otherwise, the tenure of the States would be unequal. The right to go upon the territory involves the right to carry family and property; for these, if I may so speak, are a part of every mane's political or civil entity. If his going upon the territory is legal, he does not lose his title to be protected in his natural rights of personal security, liberty, and property; and, insamuch as the federal government has become the agent or trustee of the people of his State, in the government of the territory, it is bound to afford him the needful protection. The forcible manumission of his shave would be a violation of his right of property, which, being contrary to the duty of Congress, could not he legally enacted, and being contrary to the right of the citizen, should be actively practice. veral States. If the citizen of one State may go upon it, and enjoy its being contrary to the right of the citizen, should be actively pra-

It will be no answer to tell me that what is property in State or community is not necessarily so in another. That continues to be property, in territory of the United States, which is recognized as property in the State of the Union from

which the citizen brings it. The trustee of the States is as much which the critizen brings it. The trustee of the States is as much the agent of one State as another, and is bound to reverence and regard the institutions of each. The tenure by which property and the state of the content of the state of the thing. This is not the government of the people of New York any more than the people of Virginia.—It is upon this principle that the constitution authorizes the recapture of the people of New York of the state of the the people of New York any more than the people of Virginia.—
It is apon this principle that the constitution authorizes the recaption of fugitives, and that the federal government has always
claimed indemnity for injuries done by foreign nations to the slave
property of citizens of the United States. The federal agency
was created as much by the people of the southern States, for the
protection and benefit of themselves and their property, as it was
been supported by the property of the southern States, for the
protection and benefit of themselves and their property, as it was
the protection and benefit of themselves and their property, as it was
the great of the northern States. It is the government of the wholeEach adopted it by itself and for itself. Hence, in their federative
character, slavery is a legal institution of the people of the United
States; and it follows, as a consequence that, upon federative territory, property in shaves is a legal tenure.
If the United States, nor destroy, nor disturch his rightial
property in them, meither can it indirectly do so, either by delegating such authority to an agost—for the act of the agent is that
of that of the principal; nor by acquiescence in an marped authority over the subject by its agent for acquiescence, when there is
power to prevent, is adoption. But, on the courtary, the United
to protect and mantain the rights of all citizens legally indiabiliting
a territory. For the exercise of jurisdiction having been confield to
the general accordance of the number of the

a territory: for the exercise of jurisdiction having been confided to the general government, no other power can interfere for the pur-pose. There is no legitimate authority within the limits of the territory, except as derived from the United States, and constituted, as Congress is, the trustee in possession, it must gua-ramy the just rights of all interested; and can only fulfil this obligation, or guaranty, by an active suppression of whatever may threaten injury to those rights. If, then, a citizen of a State has a right to go upon the territory with his slave, the United States is bound to guaranty to him the security of such his property, against all interference while within the limits of the territory; and to prohibit by its mandates, and prevent by the public force, any such interference from any constant.

and to prohibit by its mandates, and prevent by the public force, any such interference from any quarter.

But aside of all other arguments, the general principles of right are sufficient to the resolution of the question. The States are united as equals, and the citizens of the United States are asso-ciated as equals. From the citizens of the United States are asso-ciated as equally, in the advantages as well as burdens of the com-mon property is to be observed. In all civil societies—

"A pact to use the words of Paffeudorff, Book 1, ch. 8, 60) is either expressly, or at least faculty made between the society, and the members, by which the society engageth to give him a just share and proportion of the goods which it enjoys as common body; and the member promusent into the will hear his proper and equal parts those burdens, which conductes of the preservation of the society, considered as such." Mr. DICKINSON.-What does Puffendorff say about the Uni-

Mr. YULEE.-Vattel, (p. 110,) speaking of common property,

describes it as being-"Common to all the citizens who take advantage of it, each according to his necessities, or according to the laws which regulate their use."

And, after stating that "the same rules hold good" as to cor-porate property with respect to the members of the corporation; and to public property with respect to the members of the corpo-ration; and to public property with respect, to the whole nation, says. (p. 143).

Says. (p. 1141) "All the amenbers of a corporation have an equal right to the use of its common property. But, respecting the metas of enjoying it, the body of the corporation may be considered as the second of the contraction of the contraction with the contraction of the co

Nothing could be more happily expressive of the rights of the Nothing could be more happily expressive of the rights of the people of the United States in respect to the common property in territories. It was as equals that the States confederated, and justice requires that their equality should be regarded in the whole administration of the federal authority. If the States are to be regarded as equals, then what the same author (Vatted, p. 149) says with respect to independent States, well applies to their relations. relations

"None can naturally lay claim in any superior pierogalive, for whatever privileges any one of them derives from freedom and sovereignty, the others equally derive the same from the same source."

Jame from the sus source."

If we may be prove an illustration from the ordinary case of joint or common property among individuals, it will be admitted by all, that while all the joint owners are bound to equal contribution, so all are alike entitled to equal advantages in its use. I need not onlarge upon the importance of justice in the conduct of governments. It is the basis of all society, and without it order, and all the other virtues of social and political life, would soon depart. Its observance is more important even with nations than individuals, and above all should it be an attribute of associate and confederate nations, or united states. No voluntary association can long endure which is not relied by justice.

Before proceeding to another point in the argument, I will note, in passing, an objection that may be hastily made by some, to the absolute dependence I have shown to exist on the part of the inhabitants of a territory towards its sovereign. It may be supposed that I regard inhabitants of our territories to be merely wasals or subjects. By no means. I consider that the citizen of a State of the Union who goes upon territory belonging to the United States, goes there as a critizen of the State of which he is a United States, one there are a critizen of the State of which he is a first of the State of the United States, the state of the State of which he is a best of the state of t to the absolute dependence I have shown to exist on the part of that character that he continues to be entitled to the guardianship of his State, and the protection of the United States, in all his just of his start, and the profession of the United States, in all his just rights, including those of property. As regards inhabitants whose allegiance is acquired with the territory, it may be more difficult to define their precise relation. Let, it suffice, that I, for one, an averse to any acquisitions which bring with them any large body of inhabitants not prepared for early organization into sovereign communities.

Mr. DICKINSON.—If the Senator please, I desire to ascertain his views more fully, by propounding one or two questions.

Mr. YULEE .- Certainly.

Mr. DICKINSON.-First. Suppose Canada should be annexed to the United States without any change in her laws; would the institution of slavery exist there without legislation?

Mr. YULEE.-If Canada was acquired as territory, any citi Mr. JULEE.—II Canada was sequined as territory, any citi-zon of a State of this Union could go upon it with his family and property, slaves as well as other, and would be entitled to reside there, securely, under the gunrantees of the constitution, while it continued to be a part of the territory belonging to the United

Mr. DICKINSON.—One further question. Can Congress, or any other legislative body, exercise any authority or control over the subject, so long as the territory annexed remains a Territory?

Mr. YULEE.—Neither Congress nor any other legislative body would have any authority to pass a law by which the property of a citizen, going rightfully upon such territory, could be divested— no matter whether such property consisted in slaves or anything else. But Congress would be bound, by its dury as the common agent of the people of the States of the Union, to pass all laws necessary to protect him in the undisturbed tenure of seach his pronecessary to protect him in the undisturbed tenure of such his pro-perty. I propose now to consider whether the circumstance that there are inhabitants living upon territory at the date of its acqua-stion, can alter the results of my preceding argument as to the rights of the people of the United States, with respect to its en-joyment. Is the territory acquired by compets or purchase any the less a common property of the United States, because there are inhabitants of a foreign origin upon it? Certainly not, as all must conceede. Then surely it must be subject to all the rules where the control of the control of the control of the control of the inhabitant of loveign origin living upone in the conversion. Do inhabitant of loveign origin living upone the covers. Do inhabitant of loveign origin living upone the conversion of States who go upon it? The answer must be, no; for if the right of the sovereign to govern in his territory is absolute, it is absolute as respects all persons who live upon it, without regard to origin. as respects all persons who live upon it, without regard to origin. There can be no power or jurisdiction in the territory of a nation independent of its sovereignty.

But let us consider the subject first with reference to the laws and custom of nations, and next with reference to the Constitution

of the United States Government.

I. Upon the conquest or purchase of territory, the sovereigny and jurnshelton of the nation losing the territory crosses, and that of the acquiring power simultaneously succeeds. Civil laws, all rules for the government or conduct of eivil societies, have force, as laws, only because they express the sovereign and supreme will of the State. When the sovereignty ceases, its will being no londer the state. of the State. When the sovereignty ceases, its will being no longer supreme, the laws which emanated from it necessarily cease of their force. Upon the withdrawal, therefore, of the authority of the former sovereign, the pre-existing institutions and laws instantly expire; for the fountain which gave them vigor and vitality is cut off.

is cut off.

To reader the proposition more plain, I will present it in another form. The laws of a nation are confined to the limits of its territorial prinsidetion. When it parts with the jurisdiction of any portion of its domain, the whole body of its laws necessarily retire to the limits of the territory to which its jurisdiction becomes con-

tracted by the cession.

tracted by the cession.

By the political law of nations, then, there would be no laws remaining in force in the territory thus dissevered, and it would remain without government until a code was enacted by the new sovereign. To suppose otherwise, would be to suppose that a nation could extend its laws beyond the limits of its own jurisdection, and into the jurisdiction of another nation; which would have a beautiful the control of the control of the country of the control of the c

be an absurdity in terms as in principle.

But inasmuch as a period might intervene between the withdrawal of one code of laws and the prescription of another by the there so exercise, the custom has greyer or proposed attents, for the regard for the intreests of humanity, and to prevent a total dissolution of social order, of leaving in force, in such cases, those necessary regulations which protect the nutural rights of the inhabitants, and preserve order in their social relations. But these regulations rest for their force not upon the enactment of the former sovereign, but upon the assent, which custom presumes, of the new sovereign. The municipal regulations thus allowed to prevail tem-jorarily, are, in the very nature of the custom, limited to the pre-cise necessities of the case, and operate only to govern the relacase necessities of the case, and operate only to govern the relations of the persons thus transferred, hetween each other, until a new code is provided. They cannot prevail as a law of government for the territory thus acquired; nor can any municipal regulations remain in force which are inconsistent with the percognitive and rights of the new sovereign, or which abridge or embarrass his title to the use of his new acquisition. Of this nature would be any regulation which confiscated or extinguished the property of a citizen of the new sovereignty who came upon the territory to eajoy, of right, its use. The rights of property of the inhabitants remain sacred; but no law of the old government can rightfully remain in force which disturbs the tenure of property in a member of the new sovereignty; for this would be inconsistent with the sovereign right of use.

It is with these limitations we are to receive the doctrine that the municipal laws of a coded territory remain in force. Any other view of the subject would, as I apprehend, be at war with the

view of the subject would, as I apprehend, be at war with the
whole theory of sovereignty and jurisdiction.

2. It is under and by authority of the constitution that Congress
holds the jurisdiction and exercises the government of territories
belonging to the United States are government of territories
belonging to the United States are the properties of the conNow then, the ancient base have here-folger shows.

Now then, the ancient bases, numerical or other, can only remain in force, in newly acquired territory, by virtue of an expressed or presumed assent of Congress; but no such assent can be
presumed in regard to laws which are incompatible with the rights
of the people of the States of the Union under the constitution, for
Congress is the creature of the people of the several States, and
We are gow nepared for the more distinct inpurity whether, be-

We are now prepared for the more distinct inquiry whether, be-cause slavery was abolished by an accient edict among the inhabicause slavery was anousned by an ancient chief among the inhabitants of territory newly acquired by the United States, that edit would continue its operation so as to effect the tenure of one of the people of the United States carrying his slave upon it!

I readily concede, that although by the change of jurisdiction

Trainly concess, and among by the entange of pursuement the force of the ancient ediet would cease, slaves once enancipated by its operation would not be remitted to slavery; for this would be only extinguishing on law of the lapsed sovereignty to revive an older one, which had recognized the slave as property. The inhabitants, whether black or white freemen or peones, would preserve their respective relations and social condition, as existing at the moment of transfer, so far as might be compatible with the

general fundamental policy and laws of the United States.

On the other hand, it must be conceded by every one, that no municipal law could remain in force which would diminish the sovereign authority, or embarrass the use of the territory to its new owner. This is too clear to admit of denial.

owner. This is too clear to admit of demai.

Now, let us suppose, first, that the States of this Union were all separate, and that Texas, as an independent community, acquired the territory; of course it would become a part of the domain of Texas. Could any law remain in force in the new territory, after twise everyond by the vicinition of Texas, the new verticory, it is the restablished lews of property? Could the Texas community, the owners, as they would be, of the territory, be excluded from its use, by virtue of any previous ordinance of its ancient sovereign, abolishing slavery? Every one will respond to this, assuredly not. Now, add to the people of Texas those of the other fourtree slaveholding States as united purchasers with her : would not the result norms states as united purenasers with ner; would not the result be the same? Add, then, the people of the fifteen northern States: would their accession as joint owners after the just rights of the others? If so, the government of the United States may be conthe whole people of the Union.

Again: The Catholic religion is established in Mexico, and

Protestantism is excluded by law: would that law or regulation remain in force in territory we may at any time acquire from Mexico? Certainly not; for Congress being restrained by the constitution from making any laws inconsistent with "the free ex-

constitution from making any laws inconsistent with "the free ex-rerise" of religion, as soon as pirisdiction of the United States attached, all laws creating discriminations between citizens of different religions would at once expire. Why? Because such laws would be contrary to a fundamental principle of the federa-tive compact. And clearly, for the same reason, all laws which would create inequality or discrimination between the citizens of the United States in any other respect, would expire; for equality of rights in the citizens of the Union is, in like unamer, a funda-mental urincing of the federative consequence. of rights in the citizens of the Union is, in blac manner, a finada-mental principle of the federative compact. But if the ductrine that all manicipal laws remained in force until repealed was cor-rect, then the Mexican restriction upon the treedom of religion would continue permanently in force; for Congress ceuld not re-peal it, being prohibited by the constitution from "making any law respecting an establishment of religion," And on the suppo-lant soft the territory posses correct in his opinion, that the inliabil-tants of the territory posses correct in the product of the continued in force so long as the "right of legislation, it would be continued in force so long as the "right of legislation, it would be continued even after the establishment of a State government; for the State would have the right to create a religious establishment; the State would have the right to create a religious establishment; nor and, in the event of our incorporating any densely-populated part of Mexico, such would assuredly be the consequence.

It will be observed that I have treated laws recognizing or abolishing property in slaves as municipal, simply. It may well be doubted whether, under any system of government, laws of this

description, from their decep bearing upon the social and civil re-lations, are not entitled to be regarded as fundamental a their nature, and appropriately pertaining to the class of political laws

But, with reference to our system of government, if 1 am nor mistaken, there can be no doubt. I have end avored to show, in a preceding part of my argument, that the territory of the United States being federative property, must be held in subserviency to the epidal political right of all the parts of the Union to benefit from it; and that property in slaves, being recognized in a large portion of the Union, is therefore to be regarded a part of the po-litical system of the United States in their federative relation. If an correct in this, the equal right to the use of territory, and the right to hold slave property in federative territory, are political rights under the federative compact, and are thus a part of the fundamental political law under the constitution. It would follow, then, that all ancicat laws, in territories acquired by the United States, which might disturb a citizen of the government in his right to an equal use of it, and to the secure tenure of his slave property while upon it, would be extinguished, because in conflict with the political system of the new sovereign; for it is universally admitted, that the laws of a political bearing, or as they are denomina-ted, political laws, would cease of their force upon a change of sovereignty. Congress could pass no law which conflicted with the political system of the Union—a fortiori, Mexico can transmit no laws for our territories which are of that nature.

The conclusion I reach seems to me sostained by sufficient rea-

The conclusion I reach seems to me sostained by suffuent rea-sons. No law or regulation can remain in force or be enacted in any territory belonging to the United States which is incompatible with the rights of the people of the United States under the con-stitution, no matter whether such territory be acquired with or

without inhabitants

Mr. DICKINSON.—As the Senator from Florida denies the doctrine of self-government asserted by the resolutions which I bad the honor to introduce, and seems to insist that the people of a territory have no sovereign rights, it will doubtless afford him plea sure to correct the doctrine whenever asserted. He has, thus far, treated it as a northern heresy. I now heg he will turn his attention for a moment, to some expressions of opinion originating where the Senator can take no exception to the venue. I will first ask him to consider and refute an able and elaborate article in the ask nim to consider and reture an above and endorsic article in the October number of the Southern Quarterly Review, published at Charleston, South Carolina, upon the subject of territorial government of the United States; reviewing, among others, a speech delivered at the last session of Congress by the Senator from New derivered at the last session of congress by me Schmot from Yew Jersey, (Mr. DAYTON,) upon that question. The writer shows deep research as well as profound thought, and evinces a thorough knowledge of the subject of which be irents. From this article, treating the subject at length, the following is a brief extract:

"If the new Satte, then, the sourcego expacty, can exercise bit right, (over-right), it is because it is reserved to he main whe new conceded to Congress in any control of the second of the shifting printings. Where then that the second of the second of

The editor of the Review approves this doctrine in a note, and holds the following language:

"Runnt necessary, however, for into office on the subject. Severagity necess by the subject is possible from them. It is not in the Turbel States, or they take only a right to be exercised securing to. It is not in the Turbel States, for they take only a right to be exercised securing to. They can inche it requirery a procession of government—no more, people! We answer, where a targinally was and where it must be according to our thors of popular sycemment, in the people of the terrotray, anatom, or state."

I will now call the attention of the Senator to an able and learned neech of the Hon. Thomas H. Bayly, of Virginia, in the House of Representatives, at the last session, upon the subject of territorial government, where the principle of territorial government is strongly enforced. I append the following, an extract:

ye enforced. I append the following; an extrevel:

"I have gest doubts about on power to estable for the terminenes even enquanty
which there is no second to the control of the control o

"The attempt by the old Congress to legislate for the territories was always considered by sound republicans as a assurpation of power; and after the adoption of the precent constrition, it was accert deliberately attempted to the purer and better days of

"In the law erecting Mi-higan into a separate terminal government, there were naturally and revined legislation, as were fewer in these of Illines; or of Misonier, and the conditions and restrictions of the ordinance of 178 were recognized. But at was done in general and unbigogous phrasiology which caused at to pies unnoticed. It was not ottempted as the case of lower or in the bild of the last seveno relative to the Oregon enteriory, and the club article of the conditions and restrictions of the conditions are conditions and restrictions of the conditions are conditions and restrictions of the conditions are conditions and restrictions are conditions are conditions.

of the onlinance of 1787 was ingrafted on it, upon the motion of the gentl-man from Massachusetts, (Mr. WINTHROF). On the contrary, in most of these acts, all legalative gover, except such as relates to the daposit of the public lands, is recognized as existing in the terribonal legislatures.

are convergence on a relate to the disposal of the public hand, in recognized as existing in the territorial legislature.

But has been asted, by do not to time law organization processes and the disposal of the convergence of the convergenc

Georgia, at a Democratic State Convention recently held at Milledgeville, adopted the following resolution:

Resolved, That the people of the South da not ask of Congress to establish the in-stitution of slavery in any of the territory that may be acquired by the United States. They simply require that the inhabitants of earl territory shall be left fire to deter mine for themselves, whether the institution of slavery shall or shall not form a part of their seeds system.

The resolutions which I had the honor to introduce have been sustained by the democratic portion of the public press in almost every State of the Union, South as well as North—including the State of Florida; and in Virginia, Leuisiana, and numerous other States have been adopted word for word and by name, as asserting the true constitutional principle upon the subject of territorial go-vernment. The Senator from Florida will doubtless axplain why it is that this, to his conception, dangerous and heretical doctrine should be so extensively tolerated and asserted at the South as well

Mr. YULEE .- The Senator calls my attention to the resolutions of a democratic convention of Georgia, and to the opinions of a distinguished member of the other Honse from Virginia, and of a writer in the Southern Review, with a view of showing that the doctrine of a right in the inhabitants of territory of the United the dectrine of a right in the manufants of territory of the United States to act upon the subject of slavery was recognized in the South. The Georgia resolutions, to which he referred, will not sastain the object with which he referred to them; for I find that they expressly declare, "It is the constitutional right of every etitizen to remove of a settle with his property in any of the territories of the United States." But I will beg leave to present, as the best evidence of the position of the South upon the subject, the resolutions of several of the State legislatures, passed in every instance by unanimous yates.

resolutions of several of the estate legislatures, passed in every instance by unanimous votes.

For this purpose, I will read one of the resolutions of the Virginia Legislature, which is in the following language:

2.7 Realized naturanced, That, under no erconvenance will the lody recognition must animaling any canamical by the Federal tolevenment which has for at object the prohibition of slaver in any territory to be acquired either by conquest or treaty. State of the Confederacy to reside with his property, of whatever description, in awe treating which may be acquired by the same of the Cantel State, or shade by the confederacy to reside with his property, of whatever description, in awe treating which may be acquired by the same of the Cantel States, or spalled by treaty.

A resolution of the Georgia Legislature, as follows:

"2. Be it further resolved by the enthority aforessid. That any tentory acquired or to be acquired by the arms of the United States, or by treaty with a foreign power, becomes the common property of the several States composing this Confedency; and whilst its occutioner, it is the right of each citizen, of each and every State, to reade with his property of every description within such tentory."

A resolution of the Alabama Legislature :

"2. Be efforther resolved. That under no encountances will this holy recognize as binding any enactment of the Federal Government, which has for its object the into its object the confederacy to reside with his project of every decoupling in any territory which may be equified by the arms of the Unted States, or yielded by treaty with any four the Confederacy to reside the Confederacy to resident the Confederacy to reside the Confederacy to reside the Conf

These resolutions present, as I hold, the true position of the great body of the South; and, upon this position, I stand with

Mr. FOOTE here requested to be allowed to read an amendment, which he stated it was the purpose of Mr. Dickinson to accept as an addition to his second resolution, and which he stated was prepared and agreed upon some time since; and which was in the following words

"In subordination to the federal constitution, and reserved rights of the States and

The wholehold on to the levent constantion, and its rive in the case as proper.

We have a good as to show me the amendment yesterday. But, the was so good as to show me the amendment yesterday. But, the constitution to which they will conform, I must fraulty say, it dues constitution to which they will conform, I must fraulty say, it dues constitution, leaving wholly undetermined the material point, what are the rights of the people of the southern States under the constitution, leaving wholly undetermined the material point, what are the rights of the people of the southern States under the constitution, in respect to the use of the territory?

Before I take my seat, I desire to say, that if, in discussing this subject, I have directed my remarks principally to a reply to the subject, I have directed my remarks principally to a reply to the charter of the constitution of

nothing inconsistent with the friendly feelings I take pleasure in enlivating towards him, nor with the thanks I owe him and his friends, for throwing the weight of their great influence against the mischevous spirit of Abolitionism and Wilmottism. Sir, it has seemed to me a duty of patriotism to offer my humble views, unimportant as they may be, to the consideration of the Senate. The question has seemed to me vital to the Union. It strikes at the equality of the States; and when that equality is characted thear whan are the subheated the decreadation, and vet sathverted, those who are the subject of the degradation, and yet abide in the Union, must be centent to abide in it as serls, not as abuse in the Union, must be content to abuse in it as serfs, not as freemen. An eminent political philosopher, whose writings I have before had occasion to quote in the course of this argument, says truly, that the weaker States in a confederacy are reduced to the condition of dependant provinces, whenever "they allow any last-ing PREFERNEY OF PREMOGRATIVE to those that are stronger, and

engage themselves in UNEQUALALIANCES.<sup>17</sup> Local and iteratinger, and Senators, I shall be saying only what our prond sister States of Senators, I shall be saying only what I declare that no American State, which holds in proper esteem her birthright of Liphty, and to the confidency of equals.

#### THANKS TO GENERAL SCOTT.

The VICE PRESIDENT.—The first special order is the joint resolution from the House of Representatives expressive of the thanks of Congress to Major General Winfield Scott, and the troops under his command, for their distinguished gallantry and good conduct in the eannpaig of 1847.

Mr. HANNEGAN .- I move that further consideration of the resolution be postponed until to-morrow.

The motion was agreed to.

### TEN REGIMENT BILL

The Senate resumed the consideration of the bill to raise, for a limited time, an additional military force,

Mr. BREESE .- Mr. President : I never rise in this presence MT. BREEEE.—MT. President: I never rise in this presence upon the most ordinary occasion, either to explain or defend a measure purely local in its character, without some embarrassment; and that feeling is greatly increased, as you may well suppose, when questions of vital importance to the nation are under discuswhen describes of vital importance to the nation are under discussion, calling forth, as those have, connected with this bill, the best efforts of the most distinguished members of this body. Nothing, sir, but an overwhelming sense of the day I owe to the State which has benered me so much, and whose patriotism has been so signal. has boliored me so meen, and whose parriorsm has been so significant the representation of this wor, and whose people take the deepest interest in its progress and saccess, could have broken the silener I had imposed upon myself, so far as the mere object of the bill itself is concerned. It is upon those great topics, which throw the bill quite into the shade, that I wish great topics, which throw the bill quite into the shade, that I wish to express my opinions [ully and without reserve—premising however, that they are my own individual opinions, for which neither the administration, nor any member of it, nor the party with which I act, are in the slightest degree responsible. They what been formed after much careful deliberation and anxious inquiry, and with a desire solely to arrive at correct conclusions; and if they are unsound, or of wicked and dangerous tendency, or impracticable, they will find no echo in the public heart, and influence in no degree the public judgment. My State, sir, has a right to this expression from me to enable it to judge if I properly susto this expression from me to enable it to judge it I properly sus-tin here the important relation their partiality has created between us, and if I fully meet all the responsibilities which it imposes up-on me. I have said, sir, that the people of that great and patriot-ie State take the most lively interest in this war and in all the questions connected with it, and look with endificace and hope to some grand achievement as its final result. From its inception, on the first well to grant to great the autoescies and of Marsin such as the first call to arms to repel the aggressive act of Mackeyound on punish her for her injustice and her wanton invasion of our territo-ry, the people there, with one heart and one mind, were found on the side of their country, the only strife among them being a gene-rous rivalry as to who could best serve it in the hour of its need.— Eight thousand of its choicest chiralry offered themselves at the to the field, with an alacrity never before manifested, and in the campaign which followed, covered themselves with undying glory.

campaign which followed, covered themselves with uadying glory, It was my fortune, sir, to be addressing the Senate at the last session, on the three million bill, on the very day of the hard fought battle of Buena Vista, when my thoughts, as they had often done before, turned to those noble spirits, my neighbors and my friends, when had left all the fond endearments of home—severing those dear family ties they knew so well how to appreciate—to do battle for their country in a foreign land, inspired only by the fervor of a generous patriotism, when I was prompted thus to speak of

"They have proved, be the sufferings they have endened by doesne, such performing the next wooderful markets on motions time—achievings frost mine in a day—brougacking at ought with half attention in a day—brougacking at ought, with half attention—and showing by their discipline in plumpid to-describe to doesh, that they can be relied upon a nay indever consistent of the contraction of the

That prophecy, sir, was at that very moment fact—at that very hour they were gathering glory at the cannon's mouth, and so long as Benne Vista shall be a familiar word—so long as the mountains there, in whose sight they fought and fell, shall lift their summits to the sky—so long will the valorous deeds of the gallant

Illinorans be remembered and rehearsed. At Cerro Gordo, too sir, they exhibited like evidences of gallantry, exalting by their deeds, not only the character of their State, but of the whole cour-try, to which they had so signally manifested their devotion. These troops, sir, were of the back blood out the State, composited gen-tlemen of character at home, each one of whom, in battle, felt as if he had not only the character of his country to sustain, but his own individual character, and that made them then, as they will be ever, invincible. And, sir, so far as the immediate object of this bill is concerned, and in answer to the remark, that the troops cannot be raised by it in any reasonable time, I should not fear to pledge myself that on its being known that it had become a law, one half of the whole number can be there instantly raised, of the same maat the whole number can be there instantly raised, of the same mar-erial and inder the same inspiration. The purple of that State, sir, are fully impressed with the justice of this war, and the de-monications indulged in here and elsewhere, against the Executive as its author, will have no effect upon them to turn them to peace, for to oppose the government in any of its measures to carry it on the properties of the properties of the enemy's country, and they are not to be appulled at the uniformate results which, in the option not to be appalled at the unfortunate results which, in the opinion of some Senators, may flow from it, nor ean they be made to think they will be so dreadful as depicted. I had hoped, Mr. President, tron indi-actions which I hought I saw when this bill was first reported from the Committee on Military Affairs, that it would receive the general assent of this body, and that those demonstrations to which we have listened so long, would have been reserved for some more favorable occasion, when the progress of no great public measure would be impeded by them, and a better opportunity. afforded for the most unlimited discussion and the widest range of debate; but in this I have been disappointed, and at the hazard of further delay, I must endeavor to repel these assaults, and place the administration, and those who support it, right before the country administration, and those who support it, right before the country, and furnish a justification for their and our conduct. Scenarios need not think this war is unpopular; in this they deceive themselves; every successful war is popular; and bold declarations that it is unjust and unconstitutionally commenced, will not satisfy those who are to pass upon our conduct. The people, sir, will not be deluded by such declarations—they will require of those who make to the property of these who make the property in them to facility them to facility in them to facility in them to facility them to facility in them to facility. them, to sustain them by facts, by reasoning, and by fair argument and I call upon Senators on the other side of the chamber to demonstrate, if they can, with all their legal acumen, acknowledged ability, and power of investigation, why it is that the war is im-just and unconstitutionally commenced? Will they reply that it was by the removal of our troops to the bank of the Rio Grande? that such romoval was an act of war, and being ordered by the President, he acted therein in a manner not warranted by the con-stitution? This they have done, and the allegation involves, nescitation? This they have done, and the allegation invites, one cessarily, the consideration of our right to be there with our troops, and the question of boundary, and if it has been established, as I think it has been that the river was the true and only boundary of Texas, and that Mexico invaded that State to recover it to the Sabine, the right to have our forces there cannot be controverted. And here, sir, it will be necessary, in some degree, in discussing this point, to travel over ground heretotior occupied by others; and without derogating from the arguments of others on this point. I must be permitted to say, that the argument of my honorable collegating (Mr. Docaclas,) was most clear and convincing. He has the control of sages from the speech I had the hone to deliver in June, 1844, on the resolutions of the honorable Senator from Missouri, (Mr. Ben. 7084,) pending the treaty of annexation. After stating the primeipal facts connected with the history of Mexico and Texas. Isaid:

TON.) pending the treaty of annexation. After stating the principal facts connected with the history of Muscion and Texas. I said:

"In 1803, Santa Anna, then a vectorous general alandoung the care of repulsion, and the first of a certain government by which the severegoty of the care of the principal state of the state of the state of the state of the rich and of their soveregony, as guaranted by which the severegoty of the san. Many of the State, as all "the old bitteen" dad, took up mus in detune of the rich and of their soveregony, as guaranted by the federate was substanced, and finally, severify the state of the sta

# I then said, sir, that,

"The present government or supreme power of Mexico, has no right to subjuggate exact. And here I am opposed by the admissions of all, who have written and supremy under the proposed as which and supremy and the proposed as well as which as the proposed as well as the proposed as a supremy as the proposed as a proposed as a

aggression upon a peaceable neighbor, for the porpose of subjecting such nations to its power for the more purpose of conquest. It is in volation of the law of God, and of these greet purpose of Jatice he has established, commented of Merco. See was party to the present established personal of the law of God, and of the second of the law of God, and of

And. Mr. President, I curtain these opinions now and I asset that the whole history of the relations of I exas with Mexico above that the whole history of the relations of I exas with Mexico above that the whole history of the relations of I exas with Mexico above that the same that the asset of the federal republican system of 1821. She resisted ancested we then the sway of the nsurper of 1825, which she had a perfect right to do; and so would any one of the States of this Union possess this right, under similar circumstances. I will take Maine as an instance, as that is a frontier State, and newly admitted into the union of States on a federative system. How did she join it, and on what principles? That she was a sovereign and independent State, with a republican form of government, uniting her fortunes with the other States, with the same forms of government. Now suppose, sir—but it is hardly a supposable case—that all the rest of the State so of the confederacy, except Maine, should agree to abolish the federative system and their republican farms of government, and establish a monarchy, or a despotion, would they, abolish the federative system and their republican forms of govern-ment, and establish a monarchy, or a despotism, would they, united, have the right to corree Maine, by force of arms, to do the same thing—to abolish her forms, and subject her to the control of a system of government radically different from the one she had olioned? No, sir, no. Maine would have a perfect right to de-clare and maintain her independence, if she could; and a war waged to subjugate her, would be a war waged in wrong. No ator will deny this.

Semator will deny this.

Well, sir, this was the position of Texas, and, as I have said, she re-wrote her declaration of independence on the bank of the San Jacento, on the 21st of April, 1836, in characters of blood; and as we point to the fourth of July. 1776, as the first year of our independence, and to the triumph at Yorkowa as confirming it, so can Texas recur to the second of March, 1836, and to the victory of San Jacento, as like memorable crass in her history.

The independence of Texas, Mr. President, we all know, was manifested by adopting a constitution and a name, as applicable to that the contract of the contract of

Texas. Her Congress met under this constitution, and among the acts passed by it, is one of the 19th Docember, 1853, declaring the boundaries of the republic, as one of the independent nations of the carth, which was, upon the west, the west bank of the Rio Grande. from its mouth to its source; and this, in conformity with the treat pack, or agreement, made with the head of the Mexican government—the usurper Santa Anna—immediately after the battle of San Jarinto. This act of Congress was a public act, and as such must be presumed to have been in the knowledge of our government, BFG, when the independence of Texas was acknowledged, and PFG, when the independence of Texas was acknowledged, and diplomatic relations entered into with her. It gave to us, and to diplomatic relations cutered into with her. It gave to us, and to the world, notice of the extent of that republic—of the extent of that protion of an independent sovereignty called Texas. But it is said, sir, that one nation cannot make a boundary for herself. As a general remark, it may be sustained by facts, as octerminous nations usually establish their boundaries by treaty; but cannot a nation enlarge its boundaries, or prescribe a boundary for ristoff, without the coinsent of sancher coterminous nation? Suppose France, engaged in a defensive war with the neighboring powers, should earry for victorious arms to the Ebe, and, by a decree, in should early lict victorious arms to the Edge, and, by a decree, incorporate the conquered countries within her dominion, and declare the Elbe, and not the Alps and the Rbine, her boundary, and could maintain it, would she not have a right to do so? So of Maine, sir, if she was separated from the confederacy by the revolution any nets of her sister States, remaining an independent State, capable of forming such relations with the nations of the earth as pable of forming such relations with the nations of the earth as their interests required, she protecting and sustaining herself, should she be invaded from the adjacent British provinces, and was able to drive her ico beyond the river St. John, and maintain her sovereignty and jurisdiction up to that river—declare it a part of her territory, and the leading nations of the world, who make the law of the world, should treat with her as an independent State, with that boundary—wealth not the right of Maine or that enlarge, ment of territory and extension of boundary be a perfect right? otherwise, I would ask why?

England, France, Holland, and Belgium, two of them certainly, England, France, Holland, and Belgium, two of them certainly, the leading powers of the world, also acknowledged the independence of Texas with these defined limits, as a republic—as a nation of the earth capable by its position of unking war, concluding peace, and clothed with all the attitudes of an independent soveriegity. I place much more stress upon these acts of recognition by the great powers of the world than others seem to do, and I must confess, sir, they have had a controlling influence on my mind in forming my opinion. They declare, in effect, that the division of the earth called the republic of Texas with certain prescribed limits, is an independent power of the earth, and entitled to all the immunities of nations, of which the right to a boundary is one. Suppose, sir, this government, France or England had, after as knowledging the independence of Texas, antered into a treaty of alliance with her, offensive and defensive, would not we, and they be required to defend her, my to the boundary she claimed, against aniance with ner, onensive and generative, would not we, and they, be required to defend her, up to the boundary she claimed, against any invasion from Mexico? Clearly, sir, this would be the extent of the obligation under such a treaty. If this be so, then was our duty more imperative, after we had allured her to our embrace—

had prevailed on her to embark her fortunes with ours-to transfer her lone star gleaning in solitary splendor to our field of azure— to sink her nationality in our own—to yield her proud position as an independent nation to become a subordinate State—to defend her against all aggression with the best blood of our people; to the last cent in our treasury; and with the whole might of the nation. last cent in our treasury; and with the whole might of the nation. We were bound, most religiously bound, to defend Texas in her entirety, and it was for that purpose, and with no other view our troops were ordered to take their position on the western limits of that State. An invasion was threatened. War had been denounced both before and after the annexation. Have Senators forgotten our efforts made in the fall of 1845, and in all sincerity and good faith to prevent this resort to arms? Did we not before that, assure Mexico, that in what we had done by incorporating Texas into the Union, we intended on unkindness to ber—that we could not but consider Texas an independent nation, and the power of Mexico over it gone forever, and did we not propose an amicable adjustment of the matter, and seek to leaf the wound infficted unoner ruide? And how were these our declarations. inflicted upon her pride? And how were these our declarations, and this our attempt at adjustment by sending a minister to them on and this our attempt at adjustment by sending a minister to the their promise to receive him, treated by that power? We all know, sir. and it is useless to speak of them. Our minister was rejected the put discussed to a put of them. On public we as rejected — Mexico even refused to talk with him-refused to hear our propositions; and still persisted in her determination to repossess herself of Texas iya arms, sho never alleging at any time any other claim to Texas than the claim to the Sabine, and taking no exception to the position of our troops within that territory, for it will be recollected that preparatory to receiving our minister, she only requested that our naval force; should be withdrawn from her coast, lest it might appear if she negotiated at all, it would be coast, lest the life to the coast. considered she did so under a menace. She never desired that the army should be removed.

sir. the right to Texas was a doubtful one, what was the duty of Mexico under such circumstances? Certainly to hear what we had to say about it—o listen to propositions of settlement, to negotiate, if possible; for there are but two ways of settling such disputes: negotiation and arms—and as Mexico has chosen the latter, I, for one, am determined she shall feel our might, and while we have her in our power compel her to yield up the fullest measure of indemnity for all her past transgressions and enormites, and make no accommodation with her until this is done.

Sir, in my view of the duty of nations disputing about a doubtful right, we would have been perfectly justified by declaring war against Mexico on her refusal to receive our minister; for, sir, tit is incumbent on the contesting nation to negotiate, and to submit to an honorable compromise. If a nation will not do this, the other party to the contest has a right to resort to arms to commel an adduty of Mexico under such circumstances? Certainly to hear what

is incumbent on the contesting nation to negotiate, and to submit to an inonrable compromise. If a nation will not do this, the other party to the contest has a right to resort to arms to compel an adjustment of the question, and though war does not decide the right, yet a victory usually puts it in the power of the successful party to enforce a compliance with the demand—at least, this is so understood among civilized nations.

Sir, the annexation of Texas was decided on by the people with their eyes open—in view of the threats of Mexico that she would declare war—and they were willing to have war rather than lose Texas; and the effort is vain, worse than useless, to attempt to make them believe that it is an unjust war or a war of aggression on our part. They have too much sense for this. No, sir, they are fully convinced of its justice, and it is, therefore, popular; and and they wondered of the places, and it as, increases, popular, and to support it with zeal and energy. In view of the inex and reasons presented, no doubt can remain that the war was irrought no by the act of Mexico, and so declared by an almost unanimous vote of both Houses of Congress on the 13th of May, 1846, by the law of that date in response to the message of the Executive anfolding its causes, and ushered to the world in the usual mode by the proclamation of the President.

the proclamation of the President.
Although, practically, war had been commenced on the 24th of April, 1846, by the attack of Mexico on a detachment of our troops, and again on the 8th and 9th of May, and existed on those days as perfectly as the hostile acts of a foreign power can cause it to experiectly as the nostine acts of a loreing power can cause it to ex-iste—they not being predatory incursions, made without authority or without apparent cause, and with a view to plunder—but an-dertaken in pursuance of previous official notifications to our go-vernment, that war should follow the annexation of Texas, which Mexico regarded as a revolted province, and to which her right had not been at all invalidated by the act of annexation, yet, so far as we were concerned—so far as our relations, nationally and individually, were involved, the war had its constitutional existence on the passage of the act of the 13th of May, 1846. That ence on the passage of the act of the 18th of May, 1846. That act changed our relations from pace to war—recognized the practical fact, that the act of Mexico had produced it—and we gave in our sanction, and published our acknowledgment of it to the world in the usual mode. The phraseology of the act declaring it, is usually interest with that of the 18th of June, 1812, as Scantors are without the contraction of the President detailing the causes, and both were announced to the President detailing the causes, and both were announced to the plant of the president detailing the causes, and both were announced to the plant of the plant o ed to say, sir, that the justice of this loses nothing by the compa-rison, and as that war was denounced as "Jim Madison's war," as unjust, and aggressive, so is this denounced as Mr. Polk's war, as unjust, and aggressive, so is this denotance as the roles war, and with equal boldness its injustice proclaimed. The causes of the war of 1812 have been alluded to by the Senator of Kentucky, (Mr. UNDERWOOD;) they were good causes, and such as should have prompted the nation, with one heart, to rise up and defend its rights; and as that had, so will this have, a glorious issue. But,

30TH CONG .- 1ST SESSION-No. 36.

sir, our then enemy had not invaded our territory, had murdered sary our mean reason and not invasced our restrictions in mones of our citizens, though they had forcibly impressed them in their naval service and flagrantly invaded our neutral rights. To bring on this war and to add to the injury inflieted upon us by the robbery and murder of our citizens, Mexico invaded the soil of one of our sixer's Sares, threatening to subjugate it, if cying alike our or our saker traces, including to subjugged it, defying alike our power and our right. Mexico commenced the war for conquest, avowedly to conquer Texas, of which she alleged she had been unjustly despoiled by us; and as she had repeatedly declared she would do, she sought by force to recover it, and the whole of it, to the Sahner. She sought conquert—sought to rob us of one of the She sought conquest-sought to rob us of one of th to the Sabine. Since sought conquest—sought to roo us of one of the brightest gems in our national coronet—and though the issue could not be doubtful, yet the attempt was an indignity, adding insult to former injuries, and should have been met and repulsed, as it has been the country of the nation, and moritide destinances. been, by the power of the nation, and merited chastisement in-flicted. Can Senators tell me for what purpose the Mexican ar-my was on the bank of the Rio Grande, if it was not to carry out the oft repeated threat to recover Texas by force? It was for no other purpose, and its leader embraced the first lavorable opportu-nity to make the invasion, and it has never been disavowed by the government. Sir, as to the justice of this war, in view of all these things, it loses nothing in comparison with that of 1812; and that tunings, it does nothing in comparison with that of 1812; and that war was denounced in terms equally violent and nomeasured with this. The party at that day arrayed against it when it was declared, was eager to get the nation into it years before Congress acted. Every effort was made to influence the minds of the people against Great Britain, and appeals of the most inflammatory character, accompanied by attacks upon the administration, of the want of spirit and patriotism, were constantly made; and they as-serted that it was so tame and spiritless that it "could not be kicked into a war." As carly as 1806, a leading federal print of that day, declared that "the disputes between this country and England, so long attended with rigor on her part, and injury on ours, will not adult of much longer vain complaints and harsh reeriminations. They must terminate shortly in the silence of war or peace." And again in the same year it declared, "they [the democrats in Congress] dare not resist all aggressions alike, and assume the part of spirited impartiality, as a magnanimous policy requires. If war is called for by the insulted honor of the country; if the cup of conciliation is drained to the dregs, as they declare it to be, let the war be declared; it an embarge be laid; adequate funds provided; the strong arm of defence nerved and extended; and a powerful navronderd. In these measures the whole country, from Georgia to Maine, convinced of their propriety, will be united."

These extracts are from the Boston Centinel, then the leading

federal paper of the Union.

A letter from Washington, of the same year, says: "Fear, pre-A fetter from wasangton, of the same year, says: 'Fear, pre-judice, or some other dastardly principle, is continually crossing the path of our rulers, and the loud call of our country, its com-merce and spoiled merchants, for energetic purposes, is unheard or disregarded. My fears are that the President's messages will only

disregarded. My lears are that the Fresheaus messages win only be supported by windy debates, or pen and ink reports." Although the 'Centinel' had proposed an embargo, yet when it was laid in 1807, it said, 'the embargo which the government has just laid is of a new and alarming nature. War, great as the evil is, has less terror, and will produce less misery, than an embargo on such principles."

-forbearance ceasing to be a virtue-war was declared. When—lorbearance ceasing to be a virtue—war was declared, the Senate of Massachusetts resolved that "it was founded in false-hoed, declared without necessity, and its real object was extent of territory by unjust conquests, and to aid the late tyrant of Europe in his view of aggrandizement."

And the pulpit, where polities should not enter, became also a theatre in which its ministers sought to influme the public mind against the administration of their country, and place it in the wrong, in the sight of their powerful enemy and the world.

One of them, highly distinguished by his position as the Rector of the Trinity church in Boston, in a discourse delivered in July, 1812. said, "This is a war unexampled in the history of the world; wantonly proclaimed on the most frivolous and groundless pretenoea against a nation from whose friendship we might derive the most signal advantages, and from whose hostility we have reason to dread the most tremendous losses." Again, "Every prevocation has been offered to Great Britain on our part, and our resentment has risen in proportion as she has shown a conciliatory spirit.

has risen in proportion as she has shown a conclustory spirit."

And then in his pious ferror he exhorts his hearers thus: "Let
no consideration whatever, my brethren, deter you at all times,
and in all places, from excerating the present war. It is a war
unjust, foolish, and runious." And, "as Mr. Madison has declared
the war, let Mr. Madison carry it on."

And, not to be outdone in the hostility here exhibited, another
eminent divine, at Mediord. the flev. Dr. Osgood, endeavored
to prevent his countrymen from enlisting in the service, and from
the flexible of the government, to carry on the war. Here is

what he says

"If at the command of a weak or wicked roler, they undertake an unjust war, each man who volunteers his services in the cause, or loass his money for its support, or by his conventation, his wiringer, or any other mode of influence, encourages its promotion, that man is an accomplice in the wirkedness, loads his conscience with the black-ton, that man is an accomplice in the wirkedness, loads his conscience with the black-ton, the wirkedness, loads his conscience with the black-ton, the wirkedness, and the neight of God and ha large.

Another one, a reverend doctor also, gave his hearers, if there were any that justified the war, this consolation: "Let every man who sanctions this war by his suffrage or influence, remember that he is laboring to cover himself and his country with blood. The blood of the slain will cry from the ground against him." "And he asks 'how will the supporters of this anti-christian warfare endure their sentence; endure their own reflections; endure the fire that forever burns—the worm which never dise—the hosannas of heaven—while the smoke of their torments ascends forever and ever."

The press too, sir, that mighty engine, operating with such wonderful power on the public mind, in the very midst of the war,
when loans were necessary to earry it on, and our honer and our
all at issue, was engaged in most unholy and unpariotic efforts to embarras the government by attempting to detf capitalists from loating their money; and I fear, sir, similar attempts
may be made now, but I hope not.

Here are two extracts from the leading federal and anti-war

"Will identifies thereine to the loan." Will they lend money to our entough rules? It is impossible." "What, then, if we now lend them money? They will not make pure: they will did have been supported by the contract of the money of the contract of the money of the contract of the money of the contract of the contrac

infamous."

Our merchants constitute an honorable, high model, independent, and intelligent class of citizen. They will the opposition, ripurs, and morkery with which they are treated by this government. They will be all their unnees to nettice their steps, but once no persons on their present control. Let every highwaymen find his one pre-

The New York Evening Post, then a paper of the same stamp, federal and anti-war, said :

federal and anti-war, said:
"We have only from this evening to say, that we trust no true friend to his country will be found among the subscribers to the Gallatin loan."

And the Boston Gazette said :

It is very grateful to find that the universal continent w, that any men who lends his money to the generoment at the present time, well jurifest all claim to common homesty and common contress groups all true friends to the country.

And, sir, to such an extent were their efforts carried, that the argents of the government appointed to receive subscriptions for the luan, found it necessary to advertise that the names of the subscribers should be known only to themselves!

subscribers should be known only to themselves!
But, sir, this conduct, so unjustifiable as it was, hardly equals
that of the present day, as we may see by reference to some of the
leading opposition journals in different parts of the United States, to
say nothing of their great organ here at Washington. I have in my
land, sir, some extracts: from these papers, and will read them,
received the subscriber of the subscriber of the subscriber of the discussion of doing so.
Here are extracts from leading opposition prints in Ohm.

"The voice of lamentation and war, heard all over the country, from homes and fitesides mode desolate by the slaughter of fathers, and hisbands, and hindres, is sweet mans to the eart of the President and his friends—out they seem ambitions to swell the chorus by increasing the number of victims."—Harren Chronich.

"They the Mewcan's ARE IN THE RIGHT—WE IN THE WRONG? THEY may appeal to condisence to the Good obattles; but if we look for and to any other than human power, it must be to the FUNDAM ACTIONS of the FIRE THE ACTIONS THE RELL—OF THE ACTIONS THE PREMEMENT AND GITDED ALL, OF R ACTIONS in the premise." "Actual Tork Legis"

"We rejuce to see a large and respectable number of the wlar papers, in this and other States, taking decided ground against further aparopurations by Congress of men and money for the Mexican throat cutting lustiness. "This is as it slightly be."—Xrim. Theref. Light.

"If Congress is opposed to the war—if that body is of opinion that it is najust, impolitic, and of dangerous lendency. NO DUTY CAN BEMORE BINDING THAN THAT OF REFUSING THE MEANS TO PROSECTE IT. The war is the result of usurpation—begun originally without consulting the war making power.—
Lebency (10) Mer.

"No man, no people, looking upon the contest, CAN HELF SYMPATHISING WITH GUIDENCO, and muting in UTTERING A BITTER CONDEMNATION AGAINST OUR OWN GOVERNMENT."—Checkman Gazette.

"A war against a neighboring republic, waged now, aroncelly for the acquisition of territory, under the frauditent prefer to seeking indiamnity for alledged claims for injuries to the persons and property of our citizens.—Cu., Alled

Here are some extracts from other papers of the same stamp in different parts of the United States:

"None of the aggressors in Europe or Asia ever resulted to justificatory reasons, which were so false and hyportitical, as those alleged for our aggressions on Mexico." "The truth is, it (the war) was conceived in folly and sciechness, and commenced by a gross surpration on the part of the President.—Kennebre (Mr. Journal).

"Let every one keep aloof from this unrighteous, INF MOUS, GOD ABHORRED war, and it will suon come to an end. The prospect is that the administration can get neither men nor money to carry on the war! Thank the Lord for all that!"—N. H. Statesman.

"To relunteer or rote a deliar to carry on the next, is moral treason against the God of Heaven and the rights of manhind?"—Hoverhill, (Mass.) Gazette.

"Talk of this war as we may, shout, rejoice, and illuminate your cities, it is still a war of injustice, of conquest, and of immitigated civit; and it is high time that the stranois and partion should speak not in condemnation of it."—Boston Science,

And on another occasion—Speaking of Mr. Trist's negotiation:
"It shows very clearly what the original object and purposes of the war were; that is, in a word, that the goest object was acquisition of a new tengentous."

"The Mecucan war appears to be fast setting down to a mere matter of PLUNDER and MURDER.
"We think the wor DISREPUTABLE TO THE AGE WE LIVE IN, and the country of which it is our boast to be called her children." "Ruston, allow

are conting by state, with the final Pipera is least under the delices."—Buston, Allies, "I filter is in the final Pipera is least under to other of American theory, it is impulse in a real research, who, how a no a republic, go to play over the accusion of a silved, increasing a real research, who, how a no a republic, go to play over the accussor of a silved in increasing a real research of the silved in the silv

Here are the sentiments of some of them in New York, Penn-

sylvania, Maryland, Kentucky, and Tennessee, all leading opposition papers, and I regret to say, sir, the two last from my own most patriotic state:

"The Whole World Exows that It is Mexico which HAS BEEN IMPOSED UPON and that OUR PEOPLE ARE THE ROBBERS!"—So far as or government can effect, the bus of leavan as SINEENED BLO affects the done of held the source of the source

"But the whig party are nachanged in their view of this contest, (with Mexico.) Its origin was the anneatom—its immediate cause, the innuathorized occupation of diaprated treatory. It is the President's were "". "Mexico is the Polhad of Amenia." "If there were excuse for the war, there is now for the measure which opined it. But what excuse is found for the wartfelf?"—"North America.

"We may suppose that we are to carry on a war for conquest, and that the halls of the Montezumas are to be occupied by THE INVADERS from the United States." "Mexico has done infinitely more for herself or this war, than the United States, by their government, have done for themselves."—U.S. Gazette.

"What is then, that makes or allow Mr. Polls to sanction this war and all the outside of the property of the p

"If there is any conduct which constitutes, moral treason, it is an attempt to emburk on to encourage the country in A WAR AGAINST GOD, as is the case in a year like that in which we are now enough."—Louisrille Journal.

"TO VOLUNTEER, OR VOTE A DOLLAR TO CARRY ON THE WAR, IS MORAL TREASON AGAINST THE GOD OF HEAVEN, and the ROITS OF MANKING!"—Naskynle (Gerette.

"The fact is, the Mexican war was begin in a perfidious, rascally attempt of demagagines at President-making; and is now being carried out in the same spirit and another the compress of near, who, to gain the spoks, HAVE RESORTED TO THIS INIQUI-TOUS SCHEME OF CONQUEST."—Chicago Journal.

"We cannot possible look favorably upon this war—its first act was A GROSS OUTRAGE UPON MEXICO. And van it be supposed by Mr. Pok, and his advises, that no erer or a glating, A CRIME SO LEYRARDONABLE AS THIS MEXICAN WAR, can be whitewathed? We may well with our conotity out of the UNRIGHTEOUS WAR."—Mount Curral Register.

that UNRIGHTEOU'S WAR."—Monte Cormel Register.

I have not alluded, Mr. President, to the events of the last war—all which come to me as history, for I cannot say "quorum pare five"—for the purpose of awakening old resentments and buried animosities, nor with any meximal allusion whintever; but I have thought it might be useful to the country to exhibit the perfect resemblance between the spirit of the opposition of that day and of this, and as we know that not one of the misgraided political functies of that it is considered to the country to exhibit the perfect resemblance between the spirit of the opposition of that day and of this, and as we know that not one of the misgraided political functies of that his virtues, has been able to stand before the people of this nation and claim and receive their confidence, their condemnation has been so overwhelming; so we may expect that those of this day, going as they do even beyond these their great prototypes, will as surely meet with a punishment equally withering and condign. Sir, there can be no mistake about this—no one of them can escape the bighting judgment of an offended and outraged people. As willing see we all are and should be, 'to pardon something to the when political fanaticiem goes so far as we see it now, it's much to be apprehended, the judgment of posterity will distinguish it by nonther appellation. Their fate should be a warning to those who may be disposed to withhold supplies of men and money now, they should listen to the teachings of the past, learning wisdom from its experience. It is in vain to say, sir, that the war is unjust or unconstitutionally commenced, against all the facts to the contrary and thus attempt to escape responsibility—they will be led to it, are and enumer shell themselves by any such pertence.

Mr. BADGER.—Will the honorable Senator allow me, as he has several times alluded to some one who characterized this war as an unconstitutional war, to ask him if he referred to me.

Mr. BREESE .-- I referred to the Senator from Kentucky immediately before you, (Mr. Underwood.)

Mr. UNDERWOOD.—I beg to inform the honorable Senator, that my remark was, that it was an unconstitutional act by which the war was commenced—the placing our troops on the Rio Grande.

Mr. BREESE.—I have shown, sir, I think that was a proper act—one which we had a right to do. Suppose our government had taken no precautionary measures for the defence of Texas against the threatened invasion of Mexico, having, as she had, a large force on and near that frontier, and breathing war in every misseling to the force of the second our obligations to ber have been fulfilled? I one solitary immate of the humblest cabin within it had been the victim of a Mexican inroad, and his little all given to the flames or carried off as booty, and we not there with our protecting power, how lond, and deep, and bitter would have been the demunciations of those who now assail the Executive for fits act intended alone for protection—alone to prevent invasion? Sir, we were placed in such a situation as to complet the government, by all its obligations of justice, honor, and good faith, to take the position we did, and such, sir, is the honest judgment of the country.

Well, sir, we are now in this war, our armicle hair client of the many force the country.

Well, sir, we me now in this war, our armies have gone "deep into the bowels of the land," and it seems to me alike the dietate of duty and patriotism, to prosecute it with renewed vigor, and never conclude a peace until we have accomplished what is now, and has always been, our object, reparation for the past and security for the future, on matter to what results the attempt may out the properties of the properties of the past and seAll of us, I believe sir, were willing in 1846, and expected, that he war would be carried inte the heart of Mexice. I recollect well, sir, a remark of the distinguished Senator of North Carolical, (Mr. Maxcust) who has not spoken to this bill, that if he could be satisfied that the war did exist—if there should be no disavowal on the part of the Mexican government, of the act of cressing the Rio Grande and attacking our troops, he would vote, not only ten thousand, but fifty, or a hundred thousand men, and if necessary, proceed to the plaza of the city of Mexico, and there dictate a peace. I believe it was well understood, then, six at dictate a peace. I believe it was well understood, then, six at rable Senator, that crossing the Rio Gande by Mexican troops, would be an invasion of our territory, and that we must not only repel it, but carry the war with sufficient array, into the enemy's to give the government all the means, both of men and money, requisite for such a prosecution of the war, and at the last session, the same patriotic spirit was manifested for the supplies were then granted with very little opposition, only three I believe voting against the bill to raise an additional military force, and hat two supports a measure like this, to reinforce the army, I am at a loss to conceive; nor can I reconcile it with the vote they have already given on an amendment proposed to it.

Mr. MANGUM.—The honorable Senator refers to certain remarks which I am reported to have made, introductory to my vote upon the bill recognizing the existence of the war, and certainly an erroseous inference has been drawn from those remarks, or else we should cross the Rio Grande for the purpose respectively. We have been upon the property we have the contemperation of the property with the case of aggression on their part, it was entirely proper fer us to pursee them even to the heart of their country, but an inference is not to be drawn from this, that I centemplated any thing like conquest. This, I have been utterly opposed to, as my recorded votes will show. At the time when we were called upon to recognize the existence of the war, we had heard of a collision having taken place, but we know not how it originated, the decaments were not before us to be read, and we were driven to a vote at once, and were obliged to vote in the dark, but on that ocasion, I did not doubt that we had a right to so the Rio Grande, in order to chastise an aggression, that the dark but and no more than that, and therefore, I repet the inference that I was desirous, or willing to go into a career of conquest.

Mr. BREESE.—I will read, sir, an extract from the remarks, of the honorable Senator, as reported in the Congressional Globa

of the honorable Senator, as reported in the Congressional Globe:

"He and his friends are sayly grant whatever mes and money were required in half an hoar." And before the mea nised could arrive at their destination, the requirements of the same of the contract of the

The Senator does not deny that he is correctly reported, and I could not, of course, know what particular vie 7s the honorable Senator then entertained, any further than I can gather them from these remarks; but what are we to couclude was to be done by such a force as he was willing to vote, nuless it was to overrun Mexico and conquer it, and in that way 't conquer peace."

Mr. MANGUM.—I meant any force that might be necessary to chastise the enemy, and to dictate a peace.

Mr. BRESE.—But why chastise the enemy when they had done us no wrong? If the Rio Grande was not the boundary of Texas, but belonged to Mexico then their troops perpetrated no Texas, but belonged to Mexico them their troops perpetrated no raise a force of one hundred shousand men to chasting the raise a force of one hundred shousand men to chastors to this, and carry our arms to her enertal city and there dictate a peace. But, sir, I cannot reconcile the opposition of Senators to this bill, on another ground. They have insisted, every one of them 'the has spoken to it, that our force in Mexico is amply sufficient for all legitimate purposes, and minute calculations have been made to prove it; yet, at the same time, they vote under the ayes and cope on the amendment of the honorable Senator of Kentocky, onesee on the amendment of the honorable Senator of Kentocky, or the sufficient of the services of thirty thousand volume. President power to call for the services of thirty thousand volume. This vote was given before the services of thirty thousand volume. This vote was given before the passions had become excited by the cellision of debate; and I doubt not, sir, the Senators who voted for the amendment, voted in good faith, and with a determination to grant reinforcements, the only

not, sir, the Senators who voted for the amendment, voted in good faith, and with a determination to grant reinforcements, the only strife seeming to be then, which was the best description of force. Mr. President, one thing is very certain that we are in the midst of one of the most remarkable wars ever waged since the creation held out the civile very since which, we, the victorious party, have held out the civile very since the wind, and yet Senators say, not only on that side of the chamber, growing the think that we can have peace whenever we will it. The Senator that the Victorian party of the CKLES, declared this to be his belief, but failed, in my judgment,

to bring a single fact in support of it. Do the facts and circumstances developed in the progress of this war show that prace—an honorable peace—is within our control? And does he or any other Senater doubt the sincerity of the President, and of his friends, when they say that they are desirous of peace on honorable terms, bringing with it indemnity and security?

Mr. NILES.—The idea is correct in part, and wrong in part, I did not say it was in the power of this government to make peace. I said it was in the power of this government, and which they ought to exercise, at the proper time, to put an end to hostilities.

Mr. BREESE.—Well, sir, that seems to me to be very much the same thing. Putting an end to hostilities on both sides—for otherwise these could be no cod—and peace results as a necessary otherwise these could be no cod—and peace results as a necessary of our government, according to that Senator: or his in the power, of our government, according to that Senator: or his in the power, of our government, according to that Senator: or his in the power, of our government, according to that Senator: or his first peace at any time. Why, sir, we could not, with all our clifforts, prevent war—we sent a minister, to discuss the matters in difference between us, but he could not, be heard—he was spurned, and the very theopth of the thing produced a revolution, and a deposition of the then President. After our most brilliant victories, when our conquering army was at the gates of the capital, we had a commissioner with it, with the part of Mexico, and what we was most by commissioners on the part of Mexico, and what we was not the gound we have done, ought we to have done more than we have? Senator, and to others on his side of the chamber, to say if they would have concluded a peace on the basis proposed by Mexico? Would they have accepted the Mexican propositions of the 6th of September last? What do they say to the twelfth and fourteenth arricles? If I understood the Moxican propositions of the 6th of September last? What do they say to the twelfth and fourteenth arricles? If I understood the honorable Senator of Maryland, not now in lass set (Mr. Pearce,) he would have accepted them, but own in lass set (Mr. Pearce,) he would have accepted them, but one in lass set (Mr. Pearce,) he would have accepted them, but one in lass set (Mr. Pearce,) he would have accepted them, but one in lass set (Mr. Pearce,) he would have accepted them, but one in lass set (Mr. Pearce,) he would have accepted them, but one in lass set (Mr. Pearce,) he would have accepted them, but one in lass set (Mr. Pearce,) he would have accepted them, but

Now, in all sincerity I put it to Senators, would they consent to dissumehre Texas? Would they eaknowledge a line as a boundary to which Mexico had never made claim? Recollect that her pretensions to Texas were, and always have been, to the Sahne. Would any of them agree that we should so degrade ourselves and our country, as to give the guarantee of Great Britain, that we would not annex to our confederacy any centiquous territory for all time to come? and above all, that we should indemnify Mexico for all the injuries she has received from her own aggressive acts? Let the injuries she has received from her own aggressive acts? Let side are sincere however, the world of the state of the last proposition, for if the war is unjust as they are to the last proposition, for if the war is unjust as they are to the last proposition, for if the war is unjust as they are some however, and who can doubt their sincerity, to bound, if they are sincere, and who can doubt their sincerity, to go before the people of the Luited States on this, as one of the issues at the coming fall election. They assert the war is unjust; if it is, must you not on the restoration of peace, make full reparation to Moritor of the principle of public law? Do not Grotius and Vated and all other publicists; recognize this principle? and is it not a correct one? If our opponents are sancere in the belief so often expressed here, that the war is unsacered in the belief so often expressed here, that the war is unsacered in the belief so often expressed here, that the war is unsacen, discovering campain, and as they give their banner for the approaching campain, and as they give their banner for the approaching campain, and as they give their banner for the approaching campain, and as they give their banner for the approaching campain, and as they give their banner for the approaching campain, and as they give their banner for the approaching campain, and as they give their banner for the approaching campain, and as they give their banner for the app

unjust to her?

Mr. President, at the outset of this war, and in its first year, it was distinctly avowed by the Executive, and reiterated by his friends, that as it was hrought upon us by Mexico, be had no other desire in waging it, than an honorable peace, including indemnity for the past injuries we had sustained at her hands, and such security against future aggressions, as Mexico might be on-abled to give. And is it unreasonable sir, that as the war is protracted by Mexico, she refusing all offers of accommodation, that our demands should rise in proportion? In my own opinion, in the view I have taken of this matter, we would not be doing justice to our own country, by a show of too much lenity to Mexico, and that sheer justice would demand from her. full indemnity also for the expenses of this war; and in her peculiar position, she not being able to provide any other indemnity, that the cession of the sovereignty and jurisdiction over a part of her certifory, should be insisted upon as a eine qua mo; for I believe with the President, that the doctrine of no territory, which was breached here at the

last session, and found so many advocates, is the doctrine of no indemnity. In territory only can Mexico make reparation for the past, and afford security for her future good behavior; and although we are strong as a nation, yet like the strong man, it is not strange we should desire security against the attack of lurking, cowardly we smould desire seturity against the intense of infraing cool-rady assassins. Ver, it is even to be deducted on New Mexico and California as a full equivalent for indemnity, and as aliforling that security he demands. I suppose, though I do not know it from him, that he would accept such a treaty, if he thought the govern-ment of Mexico was so exhibitshed as to give a reasonable assa-ment of Mexico was so exhibitshed as to give a reasonable assament of Moxico was so extablished as to give a reasonance asso-rance of its stability. None of in desire war for the sake of it, as peace is admitted to be our true policy; but no what terms this shall end, there is, and there must be, great difference of opinion. Our policy, sir, is, emphatically, peace with all nations, but with none at the sacrifice of our national honor, the dearest possession none at the sacrifice of our national money, the fluctuary possession of a nation. We have never year the property of the threatest property of the threatest strike upon our property of the cutcheon We, sir, would have never disturbed our pencetar rela-tions with Mexico, by any act of our own; she has brought the war upon herself, under a delusion that one of our sister States belonged to her; and resolving to possess it by force of arms, and redusing to bear our minister upon the matters in dispute, sought to end them by the sword; and as she has appealed to that dread arbitor, she must abide its fortune. But war, sir. it is said, is so full of evil that it ought to be terminated at the earliest moment, some Senators believing that the glory we have gained by it, is sufficient indemnity for all our wrongs, and others deprecating any further advance of our army, as likely to bring about the result alluded to in the resolutions of the honorable Senator from South Carolina, (Mr. Calhoun,) and which he deprecates so much. It is true sir, war is an evil—a great evil, but it has also its advantages, and though the land may be, for a time, crushed by its armed heel. it is but preparing it for the reception of that seed whose fruit is commerce, science, the arts, and the highest and purest forms of oivilization. Alexander, in opening Persia and India by his sword to the commerce of Greece, founded more cities than he conquered and the world's whole history since, shows, most clearly, that its nermanent benefits far outweigh its transitory evils. The roads traced by the soldiery are soon followed by the merchant, greatly facilitating the commerce of ideas—favoring the sympathies of nations, and in the end will frateringe the whole human race. It is tions, and in the end will traterize the whole number race. It is one of the great instruments of God's providence, by which to ac-complish such grand results; and can any Senator doubt that this most remarkable war, will not greatly redound to the advantage of Mexico, securing to her in the end, every blessing we so alum-

dantly enjoy?

It has been a war waged thus far, not against the people of Mexico, but emphatically, against the army alone, with a view only to its destruction, and upon principles of the most enlighten-ed humanity. Not one single act of oppression or injustice, has been committed by us—our path, as we have strode from victory to victory, has not been lighted by the flames of their dwellings, then defenceless women and children given to the sword, nor their cities sacked, nor churches defiled, nor fields laid waste. Though we sacked, nor concrues defined, nor inclus also waste. I hong it we have been terrible to the combatant, we have been generous to him when vanquished, never forgetting, in the midst of the excitement, that the fairest chaplet victory wears, is that which mercy twines. These, sir, is what make it a most extraordinary mercy twines. These, sir, is what make it a most extraordinary war, and the faithful historian, as he writes its varied and stirring war, and the latitud nistorian, as he writes a varied and string events, will dwell with peculiar pleasure and pride on this, its bright and most distinguishing feature. So humane and generons has been our conduct, that the people of Mexico, those who have most suffered by the oppression of the military tyrants, regard our armies, rather as benefactors, than as enemies, and if accounts can be relied on, are utterly opposed to their withdrawal. This has can be relied on, and actually repeated at the outset, for early in June, been the fruit of our policy adopted at the outset, for early in June, 1846, our general in command, assued a proclamation, prepared at ar Department here, in which the people of Mexico were assured that the war should not be waged against such of them as re-mained neutral, but against the army, and the arbitrary rulers. They were reminded, that their government was in the hands of They were refinance, and their government was in me mans or tyrants and surpers who had abelished the State governments, overthrown the federal constitution, deprived their people of the right of sufficiency, destroyed the liberty of the press, despoided them of their arms, and reduced them to a state of absolute depend, acce upon the power of a military dictator. They were reminded to, that the army and rulers extorted from them, by grievous tax-vious before liberts. All military engineers the second of the con-traints to force the contract of atton, by forced loans, and military seizures, the money which susreparation for the repeated wrongs and injuries, those rulers had dene to us-that we came to obtain indemnity for the past, and se curity for the future, and to overthrow the tyrants who had destroyed their liberties, but to make no war upon the people of Mexstroyed their liberties, but to make no war upon the people or mea-ipo, nor upon any form of government, they might choose to select for themselves. They were promised, too, that their religion, their altars, and churches, the property of their reliredes, and eit-ziers, the emblems of their faith, and its ministers, should be pro-tated and remain involute. They were assured that we came seems and remain inviolute. They were assured that we came among them as friends, and as republican brethren, and a piedge was given to them, that whilst we were compelled to treat as ene was given to them that was the same miss, and overthrow, those tyrants, who, whilst they had wronged and insulted us, had deprived them of their liberties, the people

themselves, who remained neutral during the contest, should be

nemserves, who remained neutral during the contest, should be protected against them by our army.

Now, sir, upon the proposition to withdraw the force we now have there, instead of augmenting it, either with or without a treaty, must not these pledges to the Mexican people be regarded? We know the effect they have had upon them—we know that the hetter portion of them have offered no resistance to our advance that they have supplied provisions to our troops and guides, and means of transportation, and some have accepted office from our military governors; in short, they have extended to us every assistance in their power. Would it then be just to them to withdraw tance in their power. Would it then be just to them to withdraw again to return to power, and they become as they certainly would, a sacrifice to their friendship for us? But, Senators say, it would be maguanimous to withdraw—to presecute the war no further, as Mexico lies prostrate at our feet, without the power of

I think, sir, that neither the honerable Schator of Tennessee, (Mr. Bell,) in the very able speech he delivered, and who gave it as his advice that we should make the best peace we could, and flee the country, nor the distinguished Senator of South Care-CALHOUN,) in his proposition to leave ina, (Af. Calhoux), in his proposition to leave the central parts of Mexico, and retire to an indemnity line, could have recol-lected these pledges, or day considered the obligations we are detarrising out of them. It seems to me, it would be the height of injustice to leave these people, whom we have allured to our support, a prey to those tyrants who must succeed our power, and whose revenge can only be satiated by the blood of those our absence will leave defenceless. We owe that protection to them we have promised, and any treaty we may make with that government, should contain a stipulation for it, as nothing short of this would be just.

As I remarked on another occasion, sir, retiring to a line, in the event of a refusal to treat or in any event, would not end thewar—it would make it interminable, be quite as expensive as a more vi-gorous policy, and be the very course the military rulers of Mex-ico would most desire we should adopt, for it necessarily retains too would most desire we should adopt, for it necessarily retains them in power, and more than all, it would be an invitation to any European power, (and it is thought one or more of them have de-signs of this nature,) to take possession of that part we abandon, involving us perhaps, at some inture time, in a bloody and destruc-tive war with them. Our willdrawal would be a proclamation to them, that in assuming our line, we abandon all claim to any part of Mexico south of it, and they would not be slow I think, sir, to profit by our folly. Bur, sir, what prospect is there of a treaty? Have we any certain knowledge of the existence of any government in Mexico, possessing such a share of public confidence and so organized as to make one that will be observed? As at present advised, with the knowledge I have, of the condition of there, I should place but little value upon any treaty that might be patched up, and I would regard it as a most unfortunate event, if one was entered into, which should stipulate as a concurrent a for the withdrawal of our troops from the interior and the city. Sir, the whole history of that country admonishes us to be cautious in our intercourse with it, and to run no hazard which we have the power to avoid. Sir, if a President could be deposed merely for power to avoid. Sir, it a President could be deposed merely lor consenting to receive a minister from this government preparatory to a treaty, what may we not expect will be the fate of him, who shall make a treaty at all flavorable to us, and our protection withdrawn. If it is not acceptable to the military chiofitains and the clergy, a pronunciamento will follow—then the gride—then the march to the capital, and the reins of government forcibly assumed. The functionates who had been engotiated the irreaty, will become fugitives from their country, and secrees of butchery and violence quick succession, and with aggravated horror, will be again enacted

I do not believe, sir, that any good could result, but much evil, from any treaty we might now be able to make. I have no confi-dence that it would be observed a duy, and if not, the work we have accomplished, would have to be renewed, more blood spilt and more treasure expended, to restere us to the favorable p tien we now occupy. Any authority now existing in Mexico is but the bubble of the moment, which the first breath of popular

but the bubble of the moment, which the first bream of popular clamour will suddenly destroy.

There is, s.r, another difficulty in the way, which deserves some notice. There is a strong party in Mexico, called the paros or republicans, who are determined, if my information is correct, that republicans, who are determined, it my information is correct, that, there shall be no peace with us if they can prevent it, and they are active, intelligent, and united. They see in this war, the approaching realization of their fondest hopes—the enjoyment of that liberty of thought, speech, and the press, for which they have so long struggled, and which can only be secured by our dominion. For this blessing they are ready and anxious to surrender their nationalities—to merge it in any case, and thus collars thousaches. From ality—to merge it in our own, and thus relieve themselves from onoression, from tyrannical exactions, from plunder, and from all those accumulated ills their miserable government of misrule has enforced and encouraged. They see, in our advance, the dawn-ings of a brighter day for them and their children, and in glad anticipation behold our azure studded with their stars. They see no refuge but in our free institutions, no shield but our power, and de-sire no nationality but that which an union with us will give them. They see no This party, sir, may submit for a time, but will not agree, and if they obtain power, true to their original design, they will become embroiled with us to effectuate it. They have always been, and are new, the most clamerous for war, and will oppose any accommodation, which withdraws our army; for its continuance there

they believe, and I believe, will produce the result they desire. And this, sir, is the opinion of the distinguished Senator of South Carolina (Mr. Calhoun,) as I gather from his speech on the resolutions he has introduced, and discussed with such great ability deprecates a line of policy which shall result in conquering Mexi-co, with a view to incorporate it into this Union or to hold it as a co, with a view to incorporate it into this Union or to hold it as a province, and maintains that it would not only be inconsistent with the avowed objects for which the war has been prosecuted—but a departure from the settled policy of the government; in conflict with its character and genius; and in the end subversive of our free and

popular institutions.

The avowed objects of the war which we declared to exist by The acowed objects of the war which we declared to exist by the act of Weston, was to obtain redress of wrongs, a permanent and honorable peace, and indemnity for the past and security for the future; and, if they cannot be obtained in any other way than by the conquest of Mexico, and incorporating it into the Union or holding it as a prevince, such a result would be in harmony with those objects. Nor would it be contrary to the spirit and gening the state of the property and property in the property of t of our government, nor against its settled policy to conquer, in a defensive war, any country and annex it, which might be thought, from its contiguity, to be necessary to our own safety. The power "to declare war," carries with it all its consequences, of which territorial conquest is one, and our policy in 1812, was to conquer Canada, and if we had been successful, after having made the most stronuous efforts to that end, and on return of peace, it had been relinquished to us, no doubt it would have been annexed to us, and I think the day is not distant when that event shall transpire. How the annexation of Mexico to our union, would tend to subvert our free institutions, I cannot discover. The argument of the Senator on that point has failed to cono me. I have taken a different view of the people of that country, vince me. I have taken a unerent view of the people of that somety and I think I see in them attributes and clements quite susceptible, by proper appliances, of high improvement. Could they be brought under the happy influences of such a government as our own, having all their rights, civil and religious, protected, what might we not hope from them? The I dudian population numbering about four not nope from them? I are mann population numbering about your millions, are reputed to be very gentle and quiet in their dispositions, apt to learn, and willing to improve, and if not possessed of all the manlier virtues, have at least those which fully ensure their cheerful acquiescence to our control, and rapid advancement under it. Take the population as a whole, and there is not a finer peo-ple on the globe, or one more capable of advancement in the arts and sciences, and of assuming all the forms of the highest civilization. They came out of their revolution with a reputation only ex-celled by our own, and with the same advantages we have poscented by our own, and with the same advantages we mave passessed, who can say they would not now rival us in all that contributes to national renown? But the Senator says, no instance can be found of any race, save the Caucasian, which has established and enjoyed self-government and free institutions; but he does not say no other race can be prepared for it. All other does not say no other race can be prepared for it. All other races have always been oppressed—are generally ignorant—have no just appreciation of liberty, and are for the most part uncivilized. I do not suppose, sir, the Mexicans are at this time fitted for an equal union with us; and much is to be done before they will be. By the infusion of our own population among them, and they are now there in great numbers, according to the Secator of Delaware, (Mr. Clayron,) together with emigrants from Europe, who will not be slow to avail themselves of the unsurpassed advantages not be slow to avail themserves of the unsurpassed advantages such a country enjoys, a gradual change in their manners, customs, and language, will ensue. Education will be diffused among the masses—speech, the press, and religion will be free, and high the masses—speech, the press, and religion will be free, and high opinions of themselves speedity generated, and considering the rapidity of past events, the aids to knowledge and for its rapid spread which the world now possess, the period of their pupilage will be of short duration. Sir, it has been alike our pride and boast, that our institutions were better calculated to elevate the masses, than any others which have yet existed; and we real it to be true, and it cannot be that it is the decree of the masses, than any others which have yet existed; and we good time all nations should enjoy them, and the down tradden millions of both hemispheres he exalted by their agency. There is nothing, sir, in the history of that heautiful country, or in the is nothing, sir, in the history of that beautiful country, or in the is nothing, sir, in the history of that beautiful country, or in the character of its people, to discourage the belief that they can, in a very short time, be brought to a condition qualifying them for admission into this great American family, adorning and strengthening it by a comminging and full development of all those grand ening it by a commungling and full development of all these grand and mighty elements they possess, and thus fullil her own and our bappy destiny. And, sir, it is the fervent wish and hope of her most eminent citizens and partiots, that this war may accelerate it—and if "enuing events cast their shadows before." may it not be regarded as its certain preventors of I nay musings upon this subject, fill. President, I have been cheered by the hope that if I did not, the control over the where or see that day when our institutions shall extend over the where the set that day when our institutions shall be bound by one common ligament, and all to run one common career of homer, hampiness and only the president of the bound my one common ingament, and an to run one common cases of honor, happiness and renown. And, sir, why should we be alarmed at this contemplation? History, it is said, admonshes us that extension of dominon by territorial acquisition proved the share starting and the starting and like ours? Were they not, from their very nature, incapable of extension? And is there no difference of condition between us and extension? And is there no difference of condition between its and them? They had not the press, nor the ecompass, nor the steam engine, none of those great instrumentalities which wielded by freemen, are to revolutionize the world. They worshipped liberty and sacrificed to her as to an idol. We regard her as an active, moving spirit, penetrating all the avenues of life, and cheering and sparity pan in bits progress. Sir, our liberty can be preserved only by progress. Being stationary we stagnate, and in that condition the flame will expire. It is by action alone—by censeless, constant action—we can preserve it. Let us expand to our true and proper dimensions, and our liberty will be eternal; for, in the process, it will increase in strength, and the flame grow brighter whilst it lights a more extensive field. Does any Senator believe our attachment to liberty would have been any stronger than it is our attachment to liberty would have been any stone and happy, had now, or that we would have been more powerful and happy, had range of the Alleganies? Would any one of them, willingly, restore to their former owners, Louisiana, Florida and Texas, or surrender either without a deathly struggle? I apprehend not. Our history shows thus far, that there is no danger in our exten-Our form of government is peculiarly fitted for thissum. Our form or government is peculiarly fitted for this—it has a peculiar aptitude for expansion, a principle which no other government ever did possess, and it is one of its great excellented will any Senator depy that the new States have contributed new vigor to our system, and increased strength to our griefe! \*I have any symptoms of disaffection to the Union been observed in any of Has any spirit of insubordination or of restlessness under the ties which bind them, ever been manifested by any of them? No, sir, it is not in them, where man enjoys the largest liberty, restrained by laws he makes himself, that emeutes, riots and rebellions occur, but it is among a crowded population, in pent up masses, easily excited by collision, with no extended field of action to arouse their energies, and no attainable objects before them to guide them aright.

Let but Congress—the general government for all the States-

confine itself to its own proper functions, each State exercising its own undoubted powers, within its own limits, managing its own legitimate concerns, in its own way, without the unauthorized interference of Congress, no reason can be given why our Union should not be co-extensive with this portion of the American contishould not be co-extensive with this particular the american some nent. We want no rival republies here, for they may become ini-mical, rendering it necessary to maintain standing armies to de-fend against their aggressions. If all was united in one harmoni-ous whole, such defences would not be required.

By the agency of steam operating upon the boat—the rail road ear and the press, combined with that great American invention— the greatest of the age and of the world—the magnetic telegraph, which can literally almost,

"Waft a sigh from Indus to the pole."

we will be more compact, and in more constant and harmonious intercourse than the old thirteen States were, at the period of the adoption of our constitution. With the seat of the common or general government at some central point, with railroads and tele-graphs radiating from it, as from the centre of a circle to its circumference, and with the press as free as the air of heaven, it matters not how large the number of States may be.

But it is said, sir, this war is bringing the nation into debt, and But it is said, sir, this war is bringing the nation into debt, and the farther we advance, the more will it be augmented, and the greater burden do we throw upon those who come after us. To be sure, sir, all wars create debts. The expenses of a war are not expected to be borne by the ordinary revenues of peace, but by berrowing money, and if we cannot replace it in our generation, and the property of the same than the property of the same weight equally strong against a copy so, if yielded to, will place our nation at the mercy of its ices. If we do create a large debt of one hundred millions, over and above oo oreate a large debt of one hundred milhons, over and above our revenues, for posterily to pay, we will leave them increased means with which to pay it. What is such a debt to us, or to them? If the calculations of the Secretary of the Treasury are correct, and I believe they are, and the present tariff is not inter-fered with, we will have in less than ten years, quite fifty millions of dellars annually, from duties alone, to say nothing of the receipts from the public lands, the receipts from which, this fiscal year, will make quall his expectations, and if a proper graduation and profundation was is senseted, will be greatly augmented. Redu-ant profundation was in senseted, will be greatly augmented. Reduand pre-emption have is emacted, will be greatly augmented. Reducing, after the war, our expenses to twenty or waits view from military annually, we may have a large sinking fund, by weather the years, the whole debt will be paid off. Our needs never in a better condition than it now is, and promises to continue to be. Our six per cent stocks are above par, and if we enter the market for more money, we will find the loanable capital so far from being exhausted, at our command in abundance. The debt of the last war amounting to more than a bundered and fifty millions of dollars, was soon discharged, without affecting injuriously any of the great interests of the country; and so will this be.

In this view, sir, the acquisition of Mexico, with its unparrallel powers of production, yielding every article of luxury and accessions.

powers of production, yielding every article of luxury and necessi-ty, save one, that ministers to the wants or pride of man—whose revenues can be made, under wholesome laws, and with proper management, to produce annually, one hundred millions of dollars, and with mineral wealth from which the world now draws a great part is supply, and those resources to be further developed by our enterprize and skill, what can we not expect, when time shall have performed upon her its gentle yet potent and effective office?

have Performed upon mer its genute vet potent and encurve ounce?

As I believe, sir, there are but two alternatives, either to fife the country, or to hold on to our acquisitions, the result of which may be the final absorption of Mexico, I have not hesitated to declare for the latter, being well satisfied that great ultimate good to us, to ber and to humanity, is to flow from it.

The honorable Senator from South Carolina (Mr. Calhoux,)

bas said, sir, that Mexico is to us, as a dead body, and is anxious to cut the cord that binds us to the corpse. Sir, in prefer taking her to our side, and imparting to her some of our own vitality, and with her fair proportions and most beautiful developments, by its magic inducene, she will start again into like and being. If she be dead—if the light is out—we have "the Promethean heat that can that light relative, I will now conclude, by thanking the Senate for their patient and polite attention.

Mr. UPHAM took the floor with a view of addressing the Senate to-morrow.

EXECUTIVE SESSION.

The Senate proceeded to the consideration of Executive busi-ness, and after sometime spent therein,

On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 15, 1848.

#### CREDENTIALS.

Mr. FOOTE presented the credentials of the Hon. Jefferson Davis, elected a Senator of the United States, from the State of Missispipi, to fill the vacancy occasioned by the decease of the Mos. Jesse Speight; which were read.

Mr. DAVIS having taken the oath prescribed by law, resumed his seat in the Senate.

#### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campnell, their clerk:

Mr. President: The Speaker of the House of Representatives having signed two enrolled bills, I am directed to bring them to the Senate for the signature of their President.

#### PETITIONS.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, presented the petition of Mary Cassin, widow of a deceased naval officer, praying a renewal of her pension; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. UNDERWOOD presented a memorial of the Board of Trade of the city of Wheeling, praying certain amendments to the act of July 7th, 1833, to provide for the better security of the lives of passengers on board of vessels propelled by steam; and move its reference to the Committee on Patents and the Patent Office.

Mr. WESTCOTT observed that at an early day of the session he had introduced a resolution which was adopted by the Senate, requesting the Commissioner of Patents to obtain information and report it to Congress as to the explosions of steam boilers, with a view to further legislation to prevent them; and he would suggest that this memorial be also referred to the Commissioner, who would probably report in a few days.

Mr. UNDERWOOD said that if there was any prospect of an immediate report on the subject he had no objection to the reference suggested by the Senator from Florida. He was somewhat desirons, however, that the subject should be placed in the hands of some committee of the Senate in order that it might receive the action of the body during the present session if practicable. In his opinion the laws on the subject required some amendment. It was a matter of deep interest to the people on the western waters.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, remarked that in his judgment the appropriate reference would be to the Committee on Commerce. Early in the session a resolution was referred to that committee, who were instructed to make inquiries whether any forther legislation was necessary for the protection of life on board steam vessels. That resolution had been for some time in the hands of the committee. The subject had been submitted to investigation, and, as he had been informed, many facts had been accumulated by that committee in relation to it. They were at present maturing a report; and had it under consideration, whether it would not be expedient to submit a bill making further provisions for the safety of passengers and others nowigating strength communications on the subject, which he did not deem in necessary to pass through the Senate, but should lay them before the committee. He thought that this memorial had better take that direction. The report of the Commissioner of Patents, which would doubtless be interesting and valuable, could also be referred to the committee having charge of the whole subject.

Mr. WESTCOTT inquired whether the Senator was not mistaken in supposing that this subject had been placed in charge of the Committee of Commerce of the Senate?

Mr. DAVIS replied that it had been referred to that Committee under a resolution of the Senate.

Mr. WESTCOTT then said that he had no objection to the reference.

Mr. UNDERWOOD also acquiescing, the reference to the Committee on Commerce was ordered.

Mr. SEVIER presented a memorial of Robert Mills, respecting a new route to the Pacific Ocean, with a plan for the transportation of despatches to Astoria in fifteen days; which was referred to the Committee on the Library and ordered to be printed.

Mr. BREESE presented the petition of Polly Taylor, widow of a revolutionary soldier, praying to be allowed a pension; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Also, two petitions of citizens of Illinois, praying a grant to the State of Illinois, of the right of way over, and a donation of public

land for constructing a railroad to connect the waters of the Upper and Lower Mississippi with the Northern Lakes; which (a bill having been reported for that object,) were laid upon the table.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, submitted a document in relation to the pay and emoluments of Military Storekeepers in the army; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. HANNEGAN submitted documents relating to the claim of the heirs of John T. Donglass, deceased, assignee of John E. Metcalf and others, to the right of pre-emption to certain lands in Indiana; which, with the documents on the files of the Senate, to lating thereto, were referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

Mr. CAMERON presented a petition of inhabitants of Pennsylvania, praying the adoption of measures for abolishing slavery throughout the United States; the motion to receive which was laid upon the table.

Also, the petition of James Harley, praying an extension of his patent for an improvement in the mode of easting chilled rollers; which was referred to the Committee on Patents and the Patent Office.

Mr. BAGBY presented a memorial of the Legislature of the State of Alabama, praying that an equivalent in land scrip rom reey may be granted to that State for such of the school lands with in her limits as may be ascertained to be unfit for cultivation; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

Also, the petition of T. L. Smith, praying an amendment of the constitution in relation to the election of President and Vice President; which was laid upon the table.

# HOUDON'S BUST OF WASHINGTON.

Mr. DIX submitted the following resolution, which was considered, by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved, That the Committee on the Library be instructed to inquire into the expediency of purchasing a marble bust of Washington, by Hoodon, now in possessor of Mr. George Gibbs.

# LIGHT-HOUSES IN LOUISIANA.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, submitted the following resolution, which was considered, by unanimous consent, and agreed to: Resolved, That the Committee on Commerce be instructed to unquie iothe bespediency of an appropriation for the erection of a light house on Procior's Shell Bank; and also for the erection of a light-house on Ship Island, in the State of Louisiana.

# THE PEA PATCH CASE.

# On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, it was

Ordered, That a thousand extra copies of the report of the Solicitor of the Treasury. in reply to the Senate's resolution of the 17th ultimo, relative to the site of Fort Delaware, be printed for the use of the Senate.

# UNITED STATES' COURTS IN MICHIGAN.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. FELCH asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill concerning the courts of the United States to and for the district of Michigan; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

# PRIVATE BILLS

Agreeably to notice, Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill for the relief of Benjamin Adams and Company, and others; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the Committee on Pensions, to whom was referred the petition of John Clark. submitted a report, accompanied by a bill granting a pension to John Clark.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered That the report be printed.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the same committee, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of Silas Waterman, reported it without amendment

Mr. DOWNS, from the Committee on Private Land Claims, to whom was referred the memorials of Adelaide Snyder and Henriette Pensoneau, beirs of Jean F. Perry, deceased: John Bleakeley, William Bleakeley, Nicholas Radiger and Juliana Bleakeley, heirs of Josiah Bleakeley; James L. D. Morrison, John M. Morri, son and R. F. Morrison, heirs of Robert Morrison, deceased; and of the heirs of Nicholas Jarrot, deceased, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for the relief of the heirs of Jean F. Perry, Josiah Blenkeley, Nicholas Jarrot, and Robert Morrison.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading

Ordered, That the report be printed

Mr. ASHLEY, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom was referred the menorial of William Woodbridge and Henry Chipman, submitted a report, accompanied by a bill to provide compensation to William Woodbridge and Henry Chipman, Borservees in adjusting titles to land in Albidiagan, and for other pur poses.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the petition of John Develin, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for his rehef.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the Committee on Pensions, to whom was referred the petition of Isaae Davenport, submitted an adverse report; which was ordered to be printed.

#### INCREASE OF THE MEDICAL STAFF

The Senate proceeded to consider the motion made yesterday by Mr. Badger, to reconsider the vote upon the passage of the bill for an increase of the medical staff of the army, for a limited time.

Mr. BADGER explained that this bill had been reported by the Military Committee and passed under an erroneous impression.— Every member of the committee was now satisfied that the bill ought not to pass.

The motion to reconsider having been agreed to, the question recurred upon the passage of the bill.

On motion by Mr. BADGER, it was

Ordered. That the bill be postponed indefinitely.

### BILL RECOMMITTED.

The bill for the relief of the legal representatives of Francis Cnzeau, late merchant at Montreal, was read the second time.

On motion by Mr. WESTCOTT, it was

Ordered, That it be recommitted to the Committee on the Judiciary.

# TEN REGIMENT BILL.

The Senate resumed the consideration of the bill to raise, for a limited time, an additional military force

Mr. UPHAM .- Mr. President : I do not know, sir, that I shall be able, in the humble part I am about to take in this debate, to hos able, in the random part of the most to take in this occasion, and any more contribution of facts to bear upon the questions. I propose to disease. Almost every topic connected with, or growing out of the existing war with Mexico, has been alluded to and ably commented upon by honorable Senators who have preceeded use on the foor. But, are, exhausted as the subject is, I cannot content my-

self with a silent vote on the question.

Believing, as I do, that under existing laws our force in Mexico Deterwing, as I do, I max mader existing raws our force in Mexico an be increased to nearly sixty-five thousand men, and that the more vigorous prosecution of the war for the purposes now avoved, would be dishonorable to the country. I shall be compelled to record my vote against this bill. But I shall do it, sir, with no view to embarrass the Executive in his efforts for an honorable peace; but to present the foreible dismemberment of a weak, discondising rapidly in the procedure of the form of tracted sister republic, and to preserve untarnished the fair fame of the country, which I prize infinitely higher than any territorial acquisitions we can make, or any glory we can win, by the success of our arms. The honorable Chairman of the Committee on Military Affairs, in his eloquent remarks the other day in support of this bill, expressed a desire that it might pass without opposition, and that the discussion, which he was aware would arise upon the war policy of the administration, and which he had no desire to avoid, might of the automastration, and wanted he had no desire to avong linguit be had upon some other measure bereafter to some before the Se-nate. This bill, he thought, was safe and common ground, upon which we could all meet and act together. Sir, safe as the hono-rable Senator may think the ground to be on which he strands. I can not occupy it with him, because, in my judgment, it is dangerous ground. This bill is the first of a series of measures which, if carried out

to the full extent of executive recommendation, must bring our free institutions into great peril, and, I fear, in the end, overthrow them. The recommended increase of the army from sixty-five thousand to nearly ninety-five thousand men, to be engaged in the conquest of foreign states and provinces, is a proposition too startling

for me to support. Here, sir, I must pause, and here I must stand until I am well convinced that this measure is necessary to vindi-

until I am well convinced that this measure is necessary to vindicate the rights and maintain the honor of the country.

The cry is onward; and onward, at all bazards, the administrators seems determined to go until the whole Mexican republic falls beneath our conquering arms. We preach the doctrine of non-interference in the affairs of other nations, and still raise armies to invade and conquer a neighboring republic. We pre-claim the great principle of self-government and the right of every people to form their own institutions, and at the same time we send our conquering armies to force upon a distant and religation from soft of the properties of the self-government of a distant and religation from soft of the self-government of the self-governm ing armies to force upon a distant and reluctant people, forms of mg armes to force upon a distant and renerant people, forms of government which they have no capacity to maintain, and to which they are utterly opposed. We condemn the dismemberment of Saxony, the annexation of the republic of Geono to the kingdom of Sardnia, and the work of dismemberment and annexation ourselves. go on with the work of dismemberment and annexation ourselves. We denounce Russia, Prussia, and Austria for the dismemberment of Polsad, and at the same time we are attempting to diamentee a sister republic; and if she refuses to shaint to our demands, the absorption of her whole territory, the honorable Chairman of the Committee on Military Affairs says, may be the penalty she will be compelled to pay for her obstinacy. Mexico, sir, is in our power—the Les quivering and bleeding at

our leet—we can destroy her nationality and blot her name from the map of nations—but such an act of injustice, violence, and outrage would bring down upon our heads the just indignation of all Christendom, and brand us as a nation of robbers.

Mr. FOOTE .- I am quite sure that the Senator has no desire In the Senator has no desire that the Senator has no desire to misrepresent any Senator on this side of the chamber. Certainly he has not heard either the Chairman of the Committee on Mi-Meany Allairs, or any other Senator on this side, give expression to the opinion that it might become politic to absorb the whole of the exist. The absorption of Mexico. The absorption of Mexico has been uniformly spoken of by us as a thing to be deprecated, but from which, if forced upon us, we were to educe all the good that was possible.

Mr. UPHAM .- I have no desire to misrepresent any Senator, MI. UPHAM.—I have no desire to misrepresent any Senator, but I understood the honorable Chairman of the Committee on Military Affairs, in all.ding to this subject, to say, that it might be necessary in order to bring the war to an honorable close, to absorb the whole of Mexico. However, I have his remarks belore me and will read them. In the debate on the instructions to Gen. Scott to occupy the republic of Mexico, the Senator said:

"I repeat while Defer such, that the longer Menco continues her obtained rejection of reconsible undermity, and the greater the exercise also complete us to make, the geat of reconsible undermity, and the greater the exercise also complete us to make, the geat of the complete use of the continuous and the heavive be folsow. What we would have excepted now; and what we would accept now, we may well reliase after a few months. And how much the public sentents of the country may demand a year of two years better, if the war continues we done, I do not pretent to prefice. We may have do make the Kentick's, and manes the domains of Mexic to our own. This is the penalty which national injustice has often been called to pay, and which Mexico may be preparing for benefit.

Mr. CASS .- I have again and ngain been called upon to state the purport of the remriks to which the honorable Senator alludes, and I do hope that it will not be necessary to enter into any explanation with regard to thom hereafter. I am confident that the parantion with regard to them heresiter. I am confident likal the Senator does not intentionally mistake my vitows; but I will repeat that all along I have deprecated the absorption of the whole of Mexico, but, as the Senator from Mississpip has correctly said, I added that if forced upon us, we must make the most of it. At the time when the honorable Senator from South Carolina (Mr., ALHOUN) introduced his resolutions, I stated distinctly that if Mexico protracted this war, the public opinion of the country might namifest a desire for the amexation of the whole of that country. But I never expressed any opinion in favor of such a result; but on the contrary, deprecated it as a thing to be feared and avoided-

Mr. UPHAM.—The position, then, that the Senator has assumed, is that such might be the condition of things, such might be the obstinacy of Mexico in refusing to yield to our demands that we might be compelled to prosecute the war to such extremity as we might be compensed to prosecute the wait to such extremely so would lead to the destruction of the an time. And the area would lead to the destruction of the area of the area of the standing that this result is deprecated, and, I have no doubt, sin-cerely, and that it is acknowledged that this is not a desirable state of things, still, in my humble judgment, the tendency of the mea-sures recommended by the President, if carried out to the full extent, must inevitably result in the absorption of the whole country, and I think I can see in the signs of the times enough to alarm the and I flunk I can see in the signs of the times enough to atarm the country in reference to this subject. Such a policy has been more than dunly shadowed forth in the resolutions introduced by the honorable Scientors from New York and Indiann. The former saggested to the country the propriety and expediency of strengthening our commercial relations by the annexation of contiguous territory. The latter avowed the constitutional power and authority ritory. The inter avoiced incommendation of dependance pro-cess of the proceedings of the proceedings of public meetings, in the speeches of our military officers who have wen glory and renown upon the battle fields of Mexico, and who have returned because there are no mere laurely to be gained, and have meditarily to indoctrinate the people of this nation that it is our duty or destiny to carry into Mexico our free institutions, and that this war ought to be prosecuted until her government is overthrown and a more liberal government established, to be sustained by the

power of our arms. What? Are we, then, to become a nation of propagandists? Why, sir, some gentlemen have even gone so far as to denomee every man who raises his voice against the prosecution of a war for the purpose of forcing upon Mexico a government of which he does not approve, as traitors to their country! Such is the sentiment expressed in the speech of Colonel Morgan which. There have no many the contract of the sentiment of which the training the sentiment of the sentiment expressed in the speech of Colonel Morgan which the property of the sentiment of

which I have before me.

"As Christians," says he, "we are bound to protect the Mexicans from the bad intentions of their rulers." "And," he adds, "all who will advocate the withholding of supplies, or withdrawing our armies, disgnise their sentiments however they may, under whatever artful plea they choose, are traitors at heart."

Yes, sir, every man, every entire, every member of Congress who believes it to be his duty to raise his voice against the further prosecution of the war for the purpose now avowed by the administration, is denounced by this orator as a "traitor at the administration, is denounced by this brand as a heart," and unworthy of the confidence of the people. I also have in my possession a speech of Captain Stockton advocating the same principle that the army shall not be withdrawn until the overthrow of the Nectican government and the establishment of a covernment near the relation of the property holds the following language:

holds the following language:

"I would insuf, if the war were to be prolonged for fifty year, and cost oncory count to demand from each of you half of all that you power. I would moist that the blessups of revil and reigness there's should be grained to Merco. The betwee that the sentiments advanced by Colond Morgan and The between the sentiments advanced by Colond Morgan and The power of the sentiments advanced by Colond Morgan and the sentiments advanced by Colond Morgan and the power of the sentiments advanced by Colond Morgan and the sentiments of favor. These generates, the sentiment of the sentiment of the sentiment of the document of the document of the document of the sentiment of the

Colone: Morgany, the convolue coarse were researched greatest enthulusiam:

"The Desting of the United States Government—To overhalow the whole of North America," therefore we may as well begin with Mexico."

"The Intervent Continues—An Almicity hand has rolled the barrier of the seasonand it, to make the Continues of the Conti

"No pert-up I free contracts our powers,
"No pert-up I free contracts our powers,
"Ent the whole houndless contracts is ours."
"The Isthmus of Panna—The acts resting-place in the extension of freedom's

Now, sir, these significant indications strongly impress upon my Now, sir, these significant indications strongly impress upon my mind the conviction, however much the result may be deprecated, that great efforts are making to convince the people that it is the desting of our government to extend its jurisdiction over the entire continent. An occan-bound republic is spoken of with apparent seriousness. Let it not be said that these indications are to be lightly regarded. They proclaim, in language not to be mistaken, the interpretation which masses of the people have put upon the poley which the government seems to have adopted. And, sir, if this perilous career of conquest on which we have entered, is not to be arrested till our arms have subjugated the whole American continent, it is surely time that the country understood it. It is time that the voice of warning should arouse the people to a full sense

of impending danger.

Our government was not constructed with a view to wars of aggression and conquest. The armies contemplated by the constitution are armies of defence, and not of aggression—armies to defend our own territority, not to invade the territory of other nations. The unlimited power to raise and support armies, con-ferred upon Congress by the constitution, was looked upon with grent jealousy by the people. It was assailed in the State conventions and elsewhere, with great zeal and pertinacity, as dangerous to liberty, and subversive of the State governments. It was said, the power being unlimited, that Congress might keep large said, the power owing dominical that Congress might keep large armies constantly on foot, and this exhaust the resources of the country; and that we might be compelled to live under a government of military force. To these suggestions it was replied, that the power was necessary, and that to be of any value, it must be unlimited; that the power was exclusively confined to the legislative body, to the representatives of the States, and to the people of the States, and that it would be safe in their hands; that the power was States, and that it would be said in that hards, that the power was necessary, because we were surrounded by the colonies and dependencies of powerful foreign governments, whose maritime powers might furnish them with the means of annoyance, and mischief, and invasion; that it was necessary to protect our frontiers against the Indians, and to man our forts and garrisons in different parts of the country. Here, sir, you have the reasons for which the power "to raise and support armies" was deemed necessary. The conquest of foreign States and provinces was never dreampt of by the the country. quest or noregin craces and particles was need a consequence of the particles are not represented by the constitution. But the wisdom of the past, with the "progressive democracy" of the present day, is folly; and, indeed, so rapid has been the advancement beyond that old-fashioned democraced which prevailed in the better days of the republic three-date have been neutally made for conventions of the people to research have been neutally made for conventions of the people to recalls have been actually made for conventions of the phonic trans-construct the government. And to carry out these splendid schemes of national aggrandizement, it has been found necessary to wage war against the freedom of speech and the press—a war infinitely more dangerous to the liberties of the people than a war of compact. The message of December, 1846, contains the decla-ifies war has been, (say three discussions, and I beg leave to read it is the properties of the properties of the properties of the properties of the new of aggresson, on our part, pages a week and injured ceaser. See the removes the properties of the properti

30TH CONG .- IST SESSION .- No. 37.

in question the power of the President to wage war, and to proin question the power of the President to wage war, and to pro-secute it for the purposes of conquest and plunder, are denounced as traitors to their country. And all who doubt the necessity of the war and think it could, and should, have been avoided, are held up as adhering to, and advocating the cause of the enemy. These demonstrations, sir, coming from that high source, should not be suffered to pass unnoticed and uncondemned. The framers of the constitution, regarding free discussion the great safeguard of liberty, declared, in the first article of amendments, that "Congress shall make no law abridging the freedom of speech or the press." This right of free discussion belongs to the people, and no power on earth should be permitted to abridge or impair it. It is the great power that overturns despotisms and builds up re-It is the great power that overturns desponsing and number up re-publics—it shukes tyrants from their thrones and confers the bles-sings of liberty upon oppressed millions of our race—it kindled the fire of our own revolution and made us a free and independent the life of our own revolution and made us a free and morphaneum nation—and it is the best security we can have for the preservation of our liberties. It was Sheridan, I believe, who, in speaking in the House of Commons on the power of the press to aver the eneroachments of the Ministry, exclaimed in one of his loftiest strains

of eloquence:

"Give them a corrupt Hoose of Lords; give them a yearal Hoose of Commigwe them a tyranical Pines; give them a turcking Court; and let me but has unfettered press, and I will dely them to energe hard; breadth opon the his of England."

If the freedom of the press was so essential in the protection of British liberty, it must be regarded as infinitely more important to the security of a government like ours, founded upon and deriving its support from calightened public opinion. But, to pass on gen-tlemen have searched for precedents for this war, and the Senator from Illinois imagines that he has discovered one in the war of 1812. "That war," he says, "was declared in the same form and almost in the same language as the present." Sir, did President Madison announce to the country that war existed between the United States and Great Britain? Or, did he inform Congress that long ex and Great Britain f Ur, d.d ne inform Congress that long ex-isting difficulties between the two countries remained meetiled? that he had exhausted all his power in making pacific efforts; and that he was unable to bring the controversy to a close; and that it was for Congress to decide whether or not an appeal to arms should be made in order to vindicate our hoors and sustain

arms should be made in order to vindicate our honor and sustain our rights? Hear his language.

"We behold, in time, on the sole of form Buttam, a star of war against the United States; and on the sole of the Windick States, which proceed towards form Buttam. Whether the United States shall continue passive under these progressive margations and rights, shall common for not came under his ands of the Almehyld power of events, avaiding all comercions which magist trainingle it in the content or views of other events, avaiding all comercions which magist trainingle it in the content or views of other events, avaiding all comercions which magist training to the form of the star of the

forth the wrongs perpetrated by Mexico, that the Fresteen more exerted all the powers conferred on him by the constitution to affect a pacific adjustment without success; and that it was a question for Congress to decide upon the further steps to be taken to vindicate the rights and maintain the honor of the country? to vindicate the rights and maintain the honor of the country? Mo, sit! The first announcement to the country of the existence of the war was by Executive message. How, sir, I ask, could war exist between a foreign government and the United States without the knowledge and consent of the war-making power? Had the President any authority to declare war? No, sir, that power is vested exclusively in Congress. How then can there be a consent of the present of 1812 having been declared according to the form of the constitution, whilst the present war was waged by the Executive in open violation of the constitu-tion. But the Senator says the war of 1812 met with violent oppotion. But the Secator says the war of 1812 met wan whom apposition from the pulpit and the press, and he has given us specimens of the fulminations of the one, and the rantings of the other. For what purpose were these extracts read? Were they designed to instruct Secators in the discharge of their functions, or were they instruct Secators in the discharge of their functions, or were they instructed in onerate on judicio opinion—to excite prejudices in the intended to operate on public opinion—to excite prejudices minds of the people against all who felt it to be their duty pose the policy recommended by the administration for the further pose the policy technique.

prosecution of this war with a view to the dismemberment of a sister republic? If this war cannot be sustained upon its merits—if it be necessary to sustain the policy of its further prosecution by such a course of argument as that adopted by the Senator from Illinois, I think the sooner it is brought to a close the better it will be for the henor of all concerned. Most of the opposition to the war of 1812. nonor of an concerned. Most of the opposition to the war of 1812, grew out of the particular policy recommended for its prosecution. An increase of the army had been recommended for the invasion of Canada, and it was objected that the war ought to be a maratime Canada, and it was objected that the war ought to be a maratime war; that we should build up a navy, man it, and prepare our-selves to meet the enemy upon the ocean, where the injuries had been received, which we had armed ourselves to redress. Who-ever looks at the debates upon appropriation bills for the support ever looks at the debates upon appropriation bills for the support of the war of 1812 will find that most of the opposition was based upon the ground, that the naval power should be augmented to meet the enewy on the ocean, instead of increasing the army for the invision of Canada. But to pass to another point. I stated in the outset that under existing laws our force in Nexico could be increased to nearly 65,000 men. Now, sir, is this true? The honorable Senator from Mississipp the other day saud, that he did not so understand it. To settle this question I will refer to the report of the Secretary of War.

He says the twenty-five regiments of the regular army, as dis-

tinguished from volunteer force, when filled to the limit fixed by law, would be 28,814, exclusive of officers; but the actual strength, he says, is now about 21,533; it will, therefore, require 7,381 enlisted men to complete the regular military establishment.— There are now in the service, engaged for the war, says the Secretary, twenty-three regiments of volunteers, seven battalions. and thirty-three companies not organized into regiments or buttalions; but the rank and file of all those, the Secretory thinks, do not exceed 20,000 men; and to give those serving for the war their complete organization, will require an addition of about 12,

The force in Mexico at this time, including the regiments from Michigan, and the two battalions now on the way, is 45,700. In addition to this number, the Executive, under existing laws, has adding to this number, the Excentive, under existing laws, has the power to enlist upwards of 7,000 regulars, and to call into the field 12,500 volunteers, to serve during the war-making in all 65,200. If the 5,000 seamen and marines, also engaged in the war, be added, we then have a numerical force-naval and military-of 70,200 men. If we add to this force the troops proposed tary=6, 70,200 men. If we add to this loree the troops proposed by this bill, 0,000 regulars, we shall have an army in Mexico of upwards of 80,000; and if the volunteer bill is to pass, we shall have a force of upwards of 100,000; and that, too, after the contry has been virtually conquered by less than one-fourth of that

minmer.

Sir, I can see no necessity for the force contemplated by this bill. When the regiments of the line, and the volunteer regiments, are filled up, we shall have a force amply sufficient to prosecute the war "with increased energy and power, in the utial parts of the enemy's country. War says.

The force regiments was a sufficient of the enemy's country war says.

The force regiment of the enemy's country was a sufficient to the enemy's country war says.

The force regiment is sufficient to the enemy's country was a sufficient to the enemy's country was a sufficient to the sufficient to the enemy says and the part of the enemy's country was a sufficient to the uniform and extend our opportant to the temporary sufficient to the enemy says and the propert of advantages shall indicate, keeping disposable force as our means and the propert of advantages shall indicate, keeping disposable force the enemy sufficient of the enemy sufficient to the enemy

The Secretary, after discussing the comparative merits of these The Secretary, after discussing the comparative merits of these modes of conducting the war, comes to the conclusion that the third mode is preferable, and adopts it. Now, sir, what force is necessary to carry it out? I have examined this question with some care, and I cannot resist the conclusion that the force now authorized by Jaw is sufficient. This conviction has been forced upon my mind by the success which has hitherto attended our arms, and by the despatch of General Secti, under date of September 18th, 1847. General Taylor, at the battle of Palo Alto, with a force of 2 300, defeated a Mexican army of 6,000. At Resear de la Palma, with a force of only 1,700, he decreate 6.590 Maxicans. At Monterey, with 6.64 men, he stormed feated 6,500 Mexicans. At Monterey, with 6,645 men, he stormed and took the strong fortresses of the city, and compelled the surrender of a Mexican army 10,000 strong. And at Buena Vista with 4,759 regulars and volunteers, he defeated Santa Anna at the head of 20,000 well armed Mexicans. Gen. Scott, at the head of 11,000 men, compelled the surrender of Vera Cruz and the strong 11,000 men, compensed the surrender of vera Cruz and the strong eastle by which it was defended. At Cerro Gordo, with an army of 8,500, he met and defeated a Mexican army of 12,500. At Contreras, San Antonia, and Churubuseo, with 8,497 men, he defeated a Mexican force of 32,000. And with 7,190 men, he entered and took the city of Mexico, defended by an army of 35,000 Mexi-cans. Now, sir, it seems to me, after these brilliant victories. with a force 25,000 less than we can now put into the field, that with a force 2000 fees than we can now put into the field, that the force projosed by this bill is unacressary. But, sir, what says Gen. Scott, in his departed of the 18th of September, 1847? If a says, with the force or rotte and 4,000 more soon for follow, that he can hold the city of Mexico with a garrison of 7,500 men, against any external attack, or combined with an internal insurrection; and have an ample surplus force to occupy Puebla. Perote. Vera Cruz; and, as a modification of this plan, he says that, with a total of 30,000 men, the principal mining districts of the country may also be occupied, and a secure transit given to gold and silver bullion which, paying the customary duties, would cover a considerable part of the expenses of occupation. But this is not all, sir; Gen. Scott further suggests that to augment the army to 50,000, would enable it to occupy all the state capitals and principal cities—to drive guerrillas and robbing parties from the great highway of trade—to seize into our hands all the revenues of the country, and to keep the central government in constant motion and alarm unstrained to sue for peace. Does the President desire to acto the state of th him an opportunity to appoint five or six hundred officers to be engaged in recruiting soldiers for the next presidential campaign. The measure is not wanted for an increase of soldiers in Mexico, The measures is not wanted for an increase of soldiers in Mexico, but for an increase of officers at some. The rank and file of the army can be increased 20,000 without this bill, but there can be army can be increased 20,000 without this bill, but there can be on increase of officers unless it passes. Fill up the regular and volunteer regiments now in the field, and, after that is done, if more men are necessary for the prosecution of the war, ask for them, and I presume they will be granted.

But, sir, I will leave this bromeh of the subject, and pass on to show that the character and objects of the war have changed;

and that its further prosecution, for the purposes now avowed, would be dishonorable to the country.

When Texas was annexed to the United States its western boundary was left an open question, to be settled by negotiation between the Mexican government and ours. The President, in his message of May 11th, 1846, informed Congress that a strong desire to regulate and adjust our boundary—and other causes of dif-ference with Mexico, on fair and equitable principles, induced him, in September, 1845, to seek the re-opening of diplomatic relations between the two countries; that the Mexican government, in Oc tober following, agreed to receive a minister from the United States invested with full powers to settle and adjust all matters in difference between the two governments; that an envoy from the United States repaired to Mexico with full powers to adjust every existing difference; and that the Mexican government had not only refused to receive him, or listen to his propositions, but, after a long continued series of menaces, had invaded our territory, and shed the blood of our fellow-citizens on our own soil. sage was accompanied by about one hundred and fifty pages of manuscript documents. The usual motion to print the documents was made, but it was voted down by Senators on the other side of the chamber. A call for the reading was then made, but that also was refused, and the bill of the 13th May, 1846, was passed by a rote of forty yeas to two nays. The unanimity with which this bill was passed, has been frequently referred to as evidence to on was passed, mas been request maintening of the opinion that Mexistre commenced the war. The Prosident, in his last annual Mexistre commenced the war. The Prosident, in his last annual message, referred to it for that nurpose. He says, in substance, that Congress, by the act of the 13th Moy, 1846, declared, as the great unanimity, that "by the act of the republic of Mexico, a state of war exists between that government and the United States. there being but two negative votes in the Senate and fourteen in the House of Representatives." Now, sir, I propose to present there using in it was negative votes in the Senate and lourteen in the House of Representatives. Now, sir, I propose to present to the Senate and the country all the facts connected with the passage of that bill. The bill originated in the House of Repre-sentatives. On the 27th of Japoury, 1846, Mr. Haralson, from the Committee on Military Affairs, reported a bill to authorize the President of the United States, under certain circumstances there in mentioned, to accept the services of volunteers, and for other purposes. On the 11th of May, Mr. Brinkerhoff moved to amend the bill by inserting a new section with a preamble, in the words following: "whereas, by the act of the republic of Mexico, a state of war exists between that government and the United States." The amendment was carried by a vote of 123 yeas to 67 mays; and on the same day the bill passed the House by a vote of 174 to 14. So it appears that 67 members of the House voted against the preamble to the bill.

We'l, sir, what is the history of this bill in the Senate? 12th of May, it came up for consideration, and Mr. Huntington, then a Senator from Connecticut, but since deceased, moved to amend it by striking out the preamble; and the journal shows that the motion failed by a vote of 18 yeas to 28 nays—all the Senators on this side of the chamber, with the exception of three, voted in on this some of the challenge, with the exception of three, Vofed in the affirmative. A motion was then made by the honorabile Sena-tor from Kentucky, (Mr. CRITTENDEN.) to take as yofe upon the preamble alone, but the chair ruled that it could not be separated from the bill, and the motion was decided out of order. The bill was then present to a yote and passed—evens 40, nays 2. Mr. Berrien, Mr. Evans, Mr. Huntington, and Mr. Calhoun declining to vote, and eleven Senators on this side of the chamber voting yea with a protest against the preamble to the hill. This, sir, is a concise history of the progress of the bill through the two Houses

of Congress Now. I ask in all eandor, what excuse can the President render NOW. I ask in all eandor, what excuse can the President render to the country for asserting in his message, that both branches of Congress, with great unanimity, declared that the war existed by the act of Mexico, there being but fourteen negative votes in the House of Representatives and two in the Senate! Sir, the journal

House of Representatives and two in the Senate? Sir, the journal of the House shows of negative votes, and the journal of the Senate shows 18, making in the whole \$5.

Mr. CLAYTON,—Will the Senator allow me a word?

Mr. UPHAM.—Certainly.

Mr. CLAYTON.—At the time the bill passed this Senate, those of us who voted for its passing after our failure in the effort to strike out the preamble as the Senator from Verment has stated, but to the gentlemen on the other side, the excessive hard-lived fooling to recovery the control of the production of the ship of calling upon us to vote for a bill, the object of which was to send supplies for the army, with a preamble containing a state ment of a matter of fact ul which we had not evidence before us. We repeatedly demanded the separation of the two propositions but the separation was refused, the President of the Senate decid-ing that we had no right to call for a division of the question. Then we insisted upon it that we should have the right to vote upon the bill protesting against the prenunte. And the senator a Missouri now in my eye will recellect perfectly that he said on that occasion that such would be our right; and such was the untable that the manner one water in favor of the bill we on the bill protesting against the preamble. And the Senator from that occasion that since would be and right, and such was the an-derstanding, that if we gave our votes in favor of the bill we were to be regarded as voting for the supplies, but not in favor the preamble. This is the simple fact of the cise, and that such was the understanding is well known. A Senator now deceased, (Mr. Sprittory) distinctly, and over and over again said that such was the understanding with regard to our vote. misconception then to suppose that we voted for the preamble or ever meant to vote for it. I hope this statement will be sufficient to prevent any injustice being done us upon this subject in all fu-

Mr. UPHAM .- I thank the honorable Senator for the additional

information he has given upon the subject-the country should have the whole truth in regard to the matter. Appeals were fre-quently made to Senators on the other side of the chamber, to strike out the preamble to the bill, as no evidence of its truth had been exhibited, and take a unanimous vote for the supplies; but they refused to do it. We must vote for the bill as it was, they said. they refused to do it. We must vote for the bill as it was, they said, or take the responsibility of voting against it General Taylor had been ordered to the left bank of the Rio Grande with a small force, and ferrs were entertained, that he would be unable to sustain himself without reinforcements; and the bill was passed for his No intimation was made by the Executive, that the war had tener: so intrinstron was nable by the Executive, that the War with a view to the permanent acquisition of Mexican territory by conquest. The message declared it to be a war of fence, and not of aggression. "Mexico," says the message, "has passed the boundary of the United States, has invaded our territory, and shed American blood upon the American soil." To enable ry, and shell American blood upon the American soil." To enable the President to repel this invasion, and "to prosecute the war to a speedy and successful termination," I voted for the bill of the 13th May, 1846. Well, sir, what said the President in regard to the war, in his message of December, 1816? Hear his language—"The weak now been earned into the enemy's country, and will be vigous-menced by Mexico, it has been carned into the enemy's country, and will be vigous-message from the country of the second the country of the second termination of the country of the country of the second termination of the

13-40, \$8488—
Digitally anxions to terminate, by a peace bonorable to both parties, as I was originally to avoid the existing war, I have deemed it my duty again to extend the obverbanch to Mexico. Should the government of that republic average the offer, in the same fineally apart by which it was dietated, negotiations will specify commones for the conclusion of a treaty.

the conclusion of a treaty."

A pence honorable to both parties was the object desired. "The chief difficulty to be anticipated in the negociation," says the Presi-

"It is unjustment of the houselasty between the parties, by a line which shall be at once satisfactory and convenient to both, and such as neither will hereafter, he included to fairstund. This is the best unbed of severing personal prace, and good neight-included to fairstund. This is the best unbed of severing personal good neight-complish their object, be willing to cede any portion of their territory to the United States, we ought to pay them a. for equivalent; a just and honorable posee, and not The houselasty of the severing t

The boundary question was the matter in difference between the wear countries—and should the Mexican government, for the purpose of establishing a line convenient for both parties, be willing to edie a portion of her territory to the United States, we ought to pay a fair equivalent for it. No cession of territory was to be required without the free consent of the Mexican government. But this is not all, sir; the President asked for an appropriation of \$3,00,000, to enable him to advance a portion of the consideration money for any cession of territory the Mexican government might be willing to make. The character and objects of the war having been thus announced to Congress and the country, I, with most of the Senators on this side of the chamber, at the last session of Congress, voted men and money for its prosecution. And, sir, to show that our votes were given with no view to the acquisition of Mex-

grees, votes meat any among the na prosecution. And, st, is do sufficient of the continuous of the prosecution of the prosecuti

termined in the negative, by a vote of yeas, twenty-four—nays, twenty-nine—every Senator on this side of the chamber, with the exception of Mr. Johnson of Louisiana, voting in the affirmative. Here, sir; is the recorded opinion of the whigs of the Senate, that this war enght not to be proscented with a view to the acquisition,

this war ought not to be prosecuted with a view to the acquisition, by conquest, of any portion of Mexican territory.

And here too is the recorded opinion of the democracy of the Senate, indirect opposition to that expressed by the whigs. The issue is fairly joined—and to the country I am perfectly willing to submit the decision of the question. I have shown, I think, sir, by evidence which Senators on the other side of the chamber are not at liberty to dispute, that, up to the close of the last session of Congress, the acquisition by conquest, of Mexican territory was disarowed by the Excentive. What, sir, is the character of the war now? for what purpose is it to be presented "with increased energy and power in the more airly parts of the cenemies country?" It is, sir, to compel Mexica to each to the United Studes nearly one half of her republic—more than 700,000 square miles of her ferritory, and more than three hundred thousand of her people. This cession of territory is demanded, it is said, because inities of her ferritory, and more than three minared thousands of her people. This cession of territory is demanded, it is said, because Mexico has protracted the war by obstinately refusing to receive Mexico has productive the war by obstinately reliable to receive the olive branch when offered by our government. Mexico, it is true, agreed to receive a commissioner to adjust the question of boundary between the two governments, but the President sent a resident minister, and she rejected him—expressing however at the same time for willingments to receive him in the character of

commissioner. But, sir, did she reject the olive branch when of fered by commissioner Trist at the gates of her capital? No, sir, she received it crimsoned as it was with the blood of her slaughtered woreceived it crimsoned as it was with the blood of her slaughtered women and children. And what were the terms of peace offered by our government? How were first, the Rio Grande for our western boundary; second, the cession to the United States of New Mexico and the two Californias; and third, a right of way across the 1stumns of Pehantepee. And in consideration of these demands, if conceded, we proposed first, to renounce all claims for the expenses of the war; second, to assume and pay the claims of our citizens on the Mexican government (supposed to be about \$5,000, 000,) and third, to pay Mexico such additional sum in money as the territory coded might be worth over and above our claims upon her government. The sum offered by Mr. Trist is stated to have been from fifteen to twenty millions of dollars—and that, too, after our demand had been reduced to the ultimatum of the President.
Well, sir, what was the reply of the Mexican government to our demands? It was, in the language of the Mexican commissioners to Mr. Trist, that

to Mr. Trist, that

"The existing was was undertaken solely on account of the territory of the Star of
Texas, respecting whold, the North American republic pissent as six title the set of the
and State by which it was naived to the North American republic pissent as six title the set of the
and State by which it was naived to the North American state that the set of the
provement of Washington to the territory of Texas, the cause of the was has distinformed your excellency to consent, for a proper indominifestion, to prostreavener, of
the provement of Washington to the territory of Texas, the cause of the was has disterritories mentioned in the 6th article of your excellency doings,
ance. To the oil for territories mentioned in the 6th article of your excellency of single,
on right has hoseletine been asserted by the republic of North America, and of we be
live it possible for it to aword any, conveniently, it could not acquire them except by
Mexico might now make. But, as were personaled, that the republic of Washington
will not only absolutely repel, but well hold in abhorence the first of these titles, and
only absolutely repel, but well hold in abhorence the first of these titles, and
on make was repent a people for no other resons that because it refused to oil stritorie
which its neighbor sought to lany, we beyore from the justice of the government and
on the was required. America, that the amplies nodification which we have by propose to
in a war which the worthy General of the North American troops has justly styled
xvanteral."

In regard to the Rio Grande as the western houndary of the State of Texas, and the cession of Lower California, the com-

Insistence was a case, and the Cosson of Lower Conformal, the Commissioner Say, must code the best which his between the left hand of the Harne Ros Grande's and the egift of the Noeces. The cesson constrained for this not alsone the full certainty that such returner were blooged to the State of Texas, nor a it founded upon the event value in the abstract which is placed upon it. It is not alsone the fine the state of the state of the constitution of the constitu o, which is not mantim

As to the cession of New Mexico the language of the Mexican

As to the cession of New Mexico the language of the Mexican Minister is,

"We can an givid New Mexico, whose inhabitant slave manifestal their will in.

"We can an givid New Mexico, the property of the state of th

And as to the right of way across the Isthmus of Tehnantepec,

And as to the great of great of the commissioners say
"That some years sare the government of the republic granted to a private contractor a privilege, with reference to the object, which was soon transferred, with the sanction of the government, to English subjects; of whose rights Mexico cannot dis

These are the reasons, sir, assigned by the Mexican government

These are the reasons, sir, assigned by the Mexican government for rejecting the terms of peace offered by Mr. Trist, and, in the present posture of affairs, without a word of comment, I submit them to the Senate and the country.

But Mexico did not here throw away the olive branch and seize the sword. No, sir, she offered her project of a treaty, by which she proposed first, to yield Texas proper to the United States; second, to maintain the desert country between the Nueces and the Rio Grande in its uninhabited state as a national frontier the Rio Grande in its similabilities state as a national ironiter; and third, to code to the United States more than one half of Upper California, including the operand bay of San Francisco. The ter-itory she proposed to ede comprises about 200,000 square miles, or an area larger than all New England, New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Delaware, and Maryland. This proposition was rejected by our commissioners, and bostilities were renewed. "The boundary of the Rio Grande," says the Fresident,

"And the cession to the United States of New Mexico and Upper California, countried an ultimatant which our commissioner was loader no circumstances."

Mr. Trist, therefore, was bound to reject the terms of peace of

Greed by Moxico.

"The terms of a treaty proposed by the Mexicos commonmen," expethe President

"The terms of a treaty in the Conference of the Mexicos were the victorous and not

the vacquinted party. It contained no proximo for the payment by Mexico of the just

claims of our critices."

claims of our ettreen."

Is this, sir, a just, true, and impartial representation of the terms of peace proposed by Mexico? Did sho take the stand of a victorious party, and claim concessions from us? Did sho refuse to make provision for the payment of the just claims of our citizens? What consideration, sir. was she to receive for the two hundred

t honsand square miles of territory she proposed to cede to the United States, but a discharge from those claims? I am not prepared to estimate the value of the territory Mexico proposed to cele to the United States. It may have been insufficient to pay the just claims of our citizens upon that government; but the port and bay of San Francisco alone, I know, have been considered of great value to the United States.

It does, however, appear from the message, that the eession of territory demanded by our commissioner, was of greater value than a fair equivalent for our just demands, for he "was authorized to stipulate for the payment of such additional pecuniary considera-

tion as was teemed reasonable."

Now, sir, Juponent to Komal homerable to dema side of the chamber of the side of

cession of territory of greater value (man a fair equivalent for our just demnads? It his is an important question, and I hope it will be answered before the debate closes.

But, Sir, the war is now raging, and to show the purpose for which its more vicrous prococcion and the commended and desired, I will be an important question of the president. He says that "Sweethe blands proposed nor of the President. He says that "Sweethe blands proposed nor did the President. He says that "Sweethe blands proposed nor did the President. He says that "Sweethe blands proposed nor did to the president of the War. This commended has been proposed to the president of the War. This commended has been proposed to the president of the War. This commended has been president of the War. The war was almost the war was almost extracted that the war was almost extracted by the war. I want to the war was almost extracted and war was war was almost extracted and war was almost extracted to the capacity operation, or which may be raffer fall into our procession. In the war should be a presented war was almost extracte

"Had the Mexican government acceded to the equitable and liberal terms proposed last April," a cession of about one half of her republic would have satisfied the President; but her rejection of our terms, and the large expenditures of blood and treasure, occa-sioned by the renewal of hostilities, "must," he says "influence the terms of peace which it may be deemed proper hereafter to accept." How much he intends hereafter to claim, he has not confiscended to inform us. New Mexico and the Californias, he says, are in our possession, and ought never to be surrendered to Mexico.

The other Mexican provinces in our possession are to be held as a means of corerug Mexico to accede to our terms of peace. Well, sir, what are our terms of peace well, sir, what are our terms of peace? What does the President desire to coerce Mexico to do? Why, sir, to sell us fifteen or teventy millions of dollars worth of her territory. This is the plain English of the whole matter, and, in my judgment, it is a proceeding dishearcable to the country and I will wash my lands of all participation in it. If we must take Mexican territory to pay the claims of our citizens upon that government, let us be contented with a cession sufficient for that purpose Mexico is under no timed for a single hour, to compel her to do it. But this measure has been recommended by the administration, and the honorable Senator from Atkansas (Mr. SEVERA, Says, that is sofficient for him. It is not sufficient for me. I must act on my own responsibility, and not on the responsibility of the Executive. I must be nm. It is not suiterent for me. I must act on my own respon-sibility, and not on the responsibility of the Executive. I must be satisfied that the measure is necessary to vindicate the rights and sustain the bonor of the country, before I can support it. Again, sir, it has been more than intimated by honorable Sena-

tors on the other side of the chamber, who have participated is this debate, that the only test of true patriotism and real love of country debate, that the only test of true patriotism and real lové of country is a cordial support of all the measures recommended by the sid-ministration for the further prosecution of this war; and that oppo-sition to them is opposition to the country, and taking sides with the enemy. Sir, I claim to be as patriotic, and as ready to stand by the country, in peace and in war, as Sentarcs over the way. But it is one thing to stand by the country, and quite a different thing to stand by the administration. In simplicing the the convention But it us one thing to stand by the country, and quite a different hing to stand by the administration. In standing by the country, I find myself compelled to oppose the measures recommended by the administration, because, in my judgment, if carried out, they would prove ruinous to the country. But, Mr. President, the hon-orable Senator from Illinois, (Mr. Doutras, S) said he was sur-prised to hear this war and the recommendations of the President for its vigorous prescention denounced, "especially from those Sena-tors who voted for all the war measures of the last session and the preceding one." The war measures are, for which we have here-

tofore voted, were recommended, the President informed us, with tofore voted, were recommended, the President informed us, with no view to the aequisition of Mexican territory by conquest—a just and honorable peace, and not the forcible dismemberment of the Mexican republic, was the purpose avowed for the prosecution of the war. But, sir, the war, since the last session of Congress, hus assumed a new character. Its more vigorous prosecution is now recommended for a new object, and one that we have never approved, but uniformly condemned. We have never voted men nor noney for such a war as the President now avows this to be. The war for which we voted supplies was a war "waged with no view to conquest."

The honorable Senator, therefore, ought to feel no surprise at

the stand we take against this hill.

the stand we take against this bill.

But, sir, J will leave this subject and pass to a brief review of
the measures which occasioned the war, viz: the annexation of
Texas, and the order of the 12th of January, 1846, for the march
of the army from Corpus Christi to the left bank of the Rio
Grande. For these two measures the democratic party and the
President are responsible. And I therefore charge upon them this war, and all the blood and treasure it has cost the country. The annexation of Texas was a strictly party measure. It was a scheme devised by the democracy of the South to prevent the above the strictly party measure. sentine devised by the democracy of the South to prevent the about it in of slavery in Texas; and when first announced, it met with no favor from the democracy of the north. It was denounced with great violence, and in language somewhat offensive, by the party press, and in the conventions of the people. The Globe, the leading democratic press in this city, joined in the opposition, and it was continued up to the meeting of the democratic convention in was continued up to the meeting of the democratic convention in Baltimore in May, 1844. Now, sir, as the honoragle Senator from Illinois thought it his duty to convey through the Senate to the country the denouciations of a portion of the clergy and the press against the war of 1812, I will follow his example, and present to against the wait of 1812, it will robow his scannie, and present of the country the demonstrations of the northern democracy against the amexation of Texas, when the scheme was first announced to the country. I shall do this, sir, with no view to east reproach upon the people of Texas, but to show that with the northern definition. mocraey, obligations to country are sometimes overcome by obligations to party

On the 20th of November, 1843, the Dover Gazette, N. H., a democratic paper, in an article against annexation, spoke of Texas

democratic paper, in an article against annexation, spoke of Texas in the following language:

"Tests can handly be in a worse state than it is now-the most wicked, whe God""" which is the state of the state of

November 9, 1843, in speaking of the annexation of Texas said: "The object and design throughout all is black as mk—buter as hell." "We hope, and succeedy trust there will be no tracking on the part of our northern representatives, when this nighty project-shall come up before them in all its question-

The New Hampshire Patriot (democratic paper) of November

The New Hampshire Patriot (democratic paper) of November 23, 1843, speaking of annexation said:
"He, the Peaslent) and his garg will probably attempt to throw the question not Congrusses as fine land. It may produce mitchef, but we trust that the democratis has been been considered by the production of Texas, among other things, said:
"The admission of Texas note the Union would be a public degence, and diagrae on the every of all this evidence would. It would array against at the normal influence of all this enhanced of 1843, to any interest of the Congression of the production of

Congressional District, the following resolution was adopted: Residers, That the imponent sub unreplicacy of the amenamon of Texas to the Residers, That the imponent sub unreplicacy of the amenamon of Texas to the that the odly repneciation of federal preses that the democratic party are to alliance with the slaw power of the Souls, in a systemate design to effect the admission of quarter, going such a supposition the appearance of truth, and as therefore, a wiffal add deliberate inherentia of the delived party for local and greaten purpose. The Here, Mr. President, we have they rivers of the particular demo-tion of Texas amenaments of the superior of the superior of the of Texas amenaments. The charge that the democratic party were

cracy of the 3d Congressional District in Maine upon the subject of Texas amexation. The charge that the democratic parity were in favor of the measure, is declared to be a williad falsehood, utterded by the federal party for base and partiasin purposes. But, sir, this hostility to annexation was not confined to the 3d Congressional District in Maine, the democracy of the whole State opposed it by strong resolutions passed in the House of Representatives in the winter of 1843.

the winter of 1843.

Here, sir, are the resolutions of the democratic Legislature of Massachusetts passed in 1843.

Rooted, that under an erromagnetic statement of the people of Massachusetts. Rooted in the mode on the people of Massachusetts. Rooted in the transportation of the Tono, and we there kill this as done seemed to the continuouse in peace, in prosperity, and to the enquirement of those bases which it is the object of a few grar named to seems, such as it is the object of the people of the Massachusetts, in the Congress of the United States, be requested to spar as excellents to oppose—and, if possible, prevent—the adoption of the problem ferror 4th or provided the deposition of the proposition ferror 4th or provided to the congress of the Congre

no circumstances whatever, could they consent to it.

no circumstances whatever, could they consent to it.

Ex-President Van Buren in alterter to Mr. Hammett, under date
of April 20, 1844, opposed annexation, because, in his judgment,
it would involve us in a war with Mexico.

And the Washington Globe of the first of May, 1844, contains
the following citierum article.

"We concer with Mr. Van Buren fully and coolable on this very, and say it is the
only wee, homothe, safe, and parachable course. Market and Yests are now at war,

the armstace admits it, is distinuitiance of which we were not appried when we write our field atticked in this suggested and to object the Texama a our clusters it that user, is conclusion, either by regionation or by arms. It requires no designation of war from Me-roo transvitos. From the moment was admit Texas, we make he a territory of fight slows with Me-vice. The little state alone could treat or fight; and thus, from the sky of the rathitistion of this textra, the Tades Ustace and Me-vice would be at war; guarantee between them would cease, and they would remain at user, and make the adopted war. Thus is dear commons seen, and no one can decay it. ""We have been looking, altife further not the published the moments which accurate the third that the state of the state

boundable season of the property of the proper

that MCARO and Texas a party to the war, and compel us to bring measure would make us a party to the war, and compel us to bring it to a conclusion, either by negotiution or by arms. Well, str., the he northern democracy anticipated, the "fire-Brand" was thrown into Congress. On the 22d of April, 1844, President Tyler trans-autted to the Senate, for ratification, a treaty annexing the repubhe of Texas to the United States. And what was its fate? Why, sir, it was rejected by a vote of 16 yeas to 35 nays. Every demo-eratic Senator from the north, with the exception of Mr. Woodcratic Senator from the north, with the exception of Mr. Wood-bury, from New Hampshire, voted against it. The rejection of the treaty, however, was but a temporary defeat of the measure. The Baltimore Convention, assembled for the purpose of nomina-ting democratic candidates for President and Vice President, took the foreign relations of the country in charge, and resolved upon the re-annexation of Texas and the re-occupation of Oregon. How, Mr. President, was this resolution received by the northern democracy! New York whether as once. The leaders of the party came and in a circular denoming it as an unauthorized interpolation into the democratic exceed, and refused to sustain it. Mr. Van Buren, their favorite candidate for the Presidency, had been rejected by the convention for his opposition to annexation; and Mr. Polk, shown to be friendly to the measure, had received the commination. In this condition of things, it was a work of some difficulty to re-concile the democracy of New York to the nominees of the conconcile the democracy of New York to the nominees of the con-vention. But diffield as the task seemed, it was at length accom-piished. The honorable Silas Wright, who was a member of the Senate in 1844, and had voted against the treaty of annexation, and who was known to be strongly opposed to the mersure, was and who was known to be strongly opposed to the meesure, was nominated as a candidate for governor. This nomination recon-ciled the democracy to vote for Mr. Polk, provided no democratic member of Congress should be elected who was not pleudged against amexation. The news of this arrangement of family difficulties in New York was soon conveyed to the New Enghand democracy. Mr. Wright's nomination, it was said, would secure New York to Mr. Polk, and New England must come in and srs-Opposition to annexation soon began to die away, and in a few weeks the whole democratic party wheeled into the ranks and gave their support to the nominees of the convention.

ranks and gave their support to the nominoes of the convention.

Now, sir, to keep up the party character of the measure, I will
go back to the resolution of annexation. In the winter of 1845,
after the election of Mr. Polk, a joint resolution was introduced
into the House of Representatives for the annexation of Texas to
the United States, and on the same day I believe, a resolution for
the same purpose was introduced into the Senate—On the 29th of
January, the test viet was taken on the resolution for the discussion of the control of the same day. Representatives, and it was passed—Yeas, 113, Nays, 106, every whig in the House, with the exception of three from Tennessee,

from Georgia, and one from Alabama, voting in the negative. The House resolution came to the Senate, and the inspaties, Senator from Alabama, (Mr. B.69x.) among others, made an able speed against it. He denied the constitutional power of Congress to bring into the Union foreign State, by a joint resolution—that power he maintained, belonged exclusively to another lution—that power he maintained, belonged exclusively to another branch of the government, viz: the treaty making power. Af-ter this avoxal of the Senator from Alabama, that he could not support the resolution as it came from the House, Mr. WALKER, then a Senator from Mississippi, moved an amendment conferring upon the President the power to withhold the resolu-tion, it, in his judgment and dissertion, he should deem it most account of the conferring the properties of the conferring the conferring the should be an in most absolution into the University of the Conferring the properties of Figure 2. admission into the Union. The amendment was adopted. A metion was then made, by a Senator on this side of the chamber, to strike out the first and second sections of the resolution and confine the President to negotiation alone for the acquisition of the country. This motion was opposed and defeated—the Senator from Alabama voting with the majority. The resolution was then passed by a This motion was opposed and occurred—us consist from Asia but you to with with the majority. The resolution was then pased by a vote of 27 Yeas, to 25 Nays, every democratic Senator voting in the alimnative, and every while Senator, with the exception of Mr. HERNERSON from Mississippi, Mr. JOHNSON from Louisiana, and Mr. MERRICK from May/Jand, voting in the negative.

Mr. BAGBY .- I do not suppose for a moment that the Senator Mr. BAGBY.—I do not suppose for a moment that the Senatoriands to do not be slightest impassive in reference to what I said that I nower would not be supposed to the I nower would vote for the resolutions as they came from the House of Representatives, but that I would vote for the proposition as amended by the Senato. I disclaimed the idea of its being indispensably necessary to annex Texas by treaty, but said it might be done by treaty, or compact, and cited the compact tween the United States and Georgia in 1992 as a case in point.

Mr. UPHAM .- The Senator opposed the resolution as it came from the House

Mr. BAGBY .- Decidedly .

Mr. UPHAM .- No emsideration could induce me to misrepre-Mr. UPHAM.—No consideration coind induce me to inserpre-sent the honorable Senator in any speech he has made, or any vote he has given upon this question. I alluded to the speech and votes of the Senator for the purpose of showing that the first and se-cond sections of the resolution presented to the republic of Texas

cond sections of the resolution presented to the republic of 1 exist never had a majority of the Senate in their faver. The democratic Senators from the North who voted against annexation in 1841, toted for it in 1845. Now, what happened in the nine months that clapsed between the rejection of the treaty and the passage of the resolution, to change their minds upon the subject? Were the objections urgred against the measure less formidable in 1841 tunn they were in 1845? Was amexation less objectionable to the democracy of the North a 'ter' it become a party measure than it was before it assumed a party character? These are questions worthy of consideration, and on some convenient occasion I hope they will be answered.

The resolution of arrexation having passed both houses of Congress, President Tyler, on the 1st of March, 1845, approved it gress, President Tyter, on the 1st of blanch, 18-93, approved II; and the next day he sent of list messenger with directions to submit the first and second sections of the resolution to the republic of Texas, as an overture for her admission as a State into our Union. In this condition of affairs, President Tyler retired and the new administration cause into power; and what, sir, was the first act of the new President? It was to declare his approval of the resoof the new President? If was to declare his approval of the resolution for the annoxation of Texas, and to assure the country that, in his opinion, our title to the Oregon country was "clear and inquestionable." But, sir, it has been said by Senators, on the other side of the chamber, that President Polk is in nowise responsible. side of the chamber, that President Polk is in movine responsible for the maner of annexation. The Senator from Tennesses, (Mr. Turany,) in his speech the other day, said that annexation took place under the Tyler administration; that President Polk had no connection with it or power over it: that Mr. Twler, in the last hours of his administration selected the mode of annexation, and thereby deprived the new administration of the power to withhold the resolution and negotiate for the acquisition of the country.

Mr. President, the honorable Senator is laboring under a great mistake in this matter. Mr. Polk had as under to depart of the matter of the sequisition of the country.

Additions in Texas to present the first and second sections of the resolution to that republic for her acceptance.

The message of December 2, 1845, will settle this question. The President says:

The President says:
"In parameter of the joint resolution of Courses, for anisotrary Texas to the United States, my professor, on the third five of Madel, 1915, elected to submit the first text States, my professor, on the third five of Madel, 1915, elected to submit the first text States, my professor, on the third three of Madel, 1915, elected three clothers of affairs of the United States, in Texas, under not one of the Course of

But, Mr. President, it is time to leave this branch of the sub-ject, and pass to the order of the 13th of January, 1846, for the march of the army from Corpus Christi to the left bank of the march of the army from Corpus Christi to the left hunk of the Rio Grande. This order, in my judgment, was an act of Excentive usurpation, and the immediate cause of the war. If our army had remained at Corpus Christi, the acquisition of Texas would, to use the language of the President—

"Hase been a bloodless achievement. No run of force would have been ranged to profuse the result; The word would have had so part in the vectory."

The resolution of annexation declares—
The resolution of annexation declares—
the result of the problem of Texas, may be exerted into a new State, &c., in or det that the same may be admitted as one of the States of the Union. Sand State to be formed, abject to the adjustment by this government of all questions of boundary that may are well and beer government.

It appears on the face of the resolutions, that a portion of the t The prediction of the threshold of Teers was a frozens, and neight not properly belong to her, and that her right to the disputed ferritory was a question to be settled by this government and Mexico. The republic of Texas had, by her act of Congress, passed in December, 1836, declared the Rio Grande, from its mouth to its source, to be her southwestern boundary; but is had not at that time, to be her southwestern boundary; but she had not at that time, nor at the time the resolution of annexation was passed, possession of any portion of the country west of the Naces, except a small sesttlement on the western bank of that river. The vice the tritory between the Naces and the Rio Grande, as I shall show before I resume my sout, with the exception of the shall show before I resume my sout, with the exception of the shall show before I republic. Now, sir, what was the duty of the President in regard

to this matter? What are his powers in the adjustment of interto this matter? What are insposers in the adjacentific transitional controversies? They are pacific; not belligerent. His instrumentalities are diplomatic agents; not armies and navies. He makes contracts and treaties with foreign governments; but he has no authority without the consent of Congress, to call on the military power of the country to enforce their performance. He is, it is true, commander in chief of the army and navv, but he has no authority to employ them against a foreign nation for any purpose whatever, without the order of Congress. The whole war-making power is, by the constitution, lodged in Congress. And Congress alone is constitutionally invested with the power of changing the condition of the country from peace to war. was the opinion of Mr. Jefferson, as expressed to Congress in his confidential message of December 9, 1805, in regard to a question of disputed boundary between the United States and Spain, grow-

of disputed boundary between the United States and Spain, grow-ing out of our Louisiana purchases.

After nearly five months of rentless end-way Mt. Jeffenses, "nor numerical disputed by the property of the session, and could have been consulted without the least inconve-

The ground I assume is, that the territory between the Nu-eces and the Rio Grande being disputable, and most of it in possession of Mexico, the President had no right to take forceable possession of it even if it rightfully belonged to the State of Texas, without authority from Congress. We have had many questions of disputed boundary with foreign nations, and no administration, except the present, ever thought of taking forecable possession of the disputed territory. Our northeastern boundary was in dispute from the peace of 1782 to 1842, and no attempt was made by any of our Presidents to take possession, by force, of the truty of the peace of 1782 to 1842, and no attempt was made by any of our Presidents to take possession, by force, of the truty of the peace of possession of it even if it rightfully belonged to the State of Texas. Johnson,] in his eloquent speech upon this question said, that the United States bad received the republic of Texas into the Union without antecedently defining her boundaries, and under a constitution including the disputed territory; and, therefore, they were bound to defend it. Sir, the constitution of Texas, formed after the passage of the resolution of annexation, and under which she was passage of the resolution of annexation, and unner winnin size was admitted as a State of this Union, did not define her southwestern boundary—that was left an open question to be settled by negotiation between the United States and Mexico. Again, Mr. Fresident, the benorable Senator said that Mexico had mustered an army on the Rio Grande with the declared object of invading Texas, and recovering the whole to her own sovereignty, and that we had a clear, undeniable right to meet her there and strike the first blow. But I understood the Senator to admit, that our right to meet her there and strike the blow could be justified only upon the principle of self-defence. If we were in no danger of a blow from Mexico-if she had no force collected for the invasion of Texas, then our march into the disputed territory was an unjustifiable act of hostility. Now, sir, where is the evidence that Mexico had mustered an army on the Rio Grande with the declared object of invading and conquering Texas? Did the President say onject of invasing and conquering Texas: Did the Fleshed say anything of the kind in his message of the 11th of May, 1846, in-forming Congress that he had ordered the army to the left bank of the Rio Grande? No, sir, he assigned no such reason for the order. Ho said in that message that our force remained at Corpus Christi until after he had received such information from Mexico Corrist until after he had received shear information from thexaco as rendered it probable, if not certain, that the Mexican government would refuse to receive our Envoy. Our army, then, was ordered to occupy the left hank of the Rio Grande, because the President apprehended that Mexico would reject our Envoy. Now, Mr. President, to show that Mexico had mustered no army on the MIT. President, to show that Mexico had mustered no army on the Rio Grande with a view to the invasion of Texas, and that the President knew it when he issued the order of the 13th May, 1846, I call the attention of the Senate and the country, to Gen. Taylor's correspondence with the War Department while he re-

Taylor's correspondence with the War Department which he re-minined at Corpus Christi.

In a despatch to the War Department, dated Corpus Christi.

Anguas 20th, 1845, Gen. Taylor says thats—
the area and make a reason of the area of the corpus of the co

I have the honor to report that a confidential agent, despatched some days since tanoras, has returned, and reports that me extraordinary preparations are going for dithere; that the parrison dues not seem to have been increased, and that our consorted or opinion there will be no declaration of war."

Again, in another despatch of September 14th, 1845, General

\*\*A a year Says :
"We have no news of interest from the frontier. Arista, at the last accounts, we at Mier, but without any force; nor is there, as yet, any concentration of troops of

no nor undergratch under date of October 11th, 18/5, he says that—
In undergratch under date of October 11th, 18/5, he says that—
In undergrated remain relationship of the same lenning no news, or information of a different sapect from that which I regrateful may last. The views expressed in previous communications relative to the pacific disjustion of the border peuple on both sides of the invertex excending only.

And in another despatch under date of January 7, 1846, he says : "We have many arrivals from Matainnras and other prints on the river, but bring no intelligence of interest. A recent second of voluniteers from San Antonioo the river near Presidor, Rio Grande, and the commander reports every thing qu

that enaster.

Who, Mr. President, with this evidence before him, can say that
General Taylor, on the 13th of January, 1846, was ordered to the
Rio Grande to neet and repel a Mexican array there collected for
the invasion of Texas? On the 7th of January, only six days he
fore the order was issued, General Taylor informed the President tore the order was issued, General Taylor informed the President that every thing was quiet in that quarter. But, sir, the honorable Senator from Illinois, [Mr. Dougaas], has attempted to justify the order on another ground. He says it was issued on the recommendation and at the request of General Taylor. If this were true it would be no justification for the President. The expediency of such a measure was a question for Congress to settle. General Taylor had nothing to do with it. But, Mr. President, the army was not ordered to the Rio Grande on the recommendation of General Taylor. All be said unon the subject is contained tion of General Taylor. All he said upon the subject is contained in his letter to the War Department, under date of October 4th, 1845, more than three months before he received orders to leave

Corpus Christi. In that letter he says:

"I twill be recollected that the matmetans of June the Eth, issued by Mr. Banenfi, then A-time Severary of War, durered me to select and occupy, one near the
Rio Grande, such a site a will consist with the health of the troops, and will be best
adapted to repel measine." See

Ro Grande, such a site as will conset with the health of the troop, and will be beat adapted to repel navision." See .

After assigning the reasons which induced him to concentrate his force at Corpus Christip, he proceeds as follows:

"It is with goal deference that I make any suggestions on togother which may be set the control of t

Grande the western boundary of Texas, the sooner we let Mexico know it the better. This is the sum and substance of all General Taylor said upon the subject. His suggestion was based upon the ground, that the line of the Rio Grande was our ultimatum.

Mr. President, there must have been at the bottom of this move-

ment something more than a desire to settle upon just and hono-rable terms the western boundary of Texas; and I will endeavor Our government was aware that the annexto show what it was. Our government was aware that the anne ation of Texas would give offence to Mexico, and an effort w made to reconcile her to the measure. On the 19th of April, 1844, Mr. Calhonn, the Secretary of State, directed Mr. Green, our Charge d'Alfaires in Mexico, to inform that government that a treaty for the annexation of Texas to the United States had been signed by the Plenipotentiaries of the two governments, and would be sent to the Senate, without delay, for its approval. In making this fact known Mr. Green was directed to give the Mexican go vernment the strongest assurance that, in adopting the measure, we were actuated by no feeling of disrespect or indifference to th the United States in self-defence, in consequence of the policy adopted by Great Britain in reference to the obolition of slavery in Tex-Mr. Green was further enjoined to assure the Mexican government that it was our desire to settle all questions between the two countries which might grow out of the treaty, or any other cause, on the most liberal terms, including that of boundary. On the 23d of May Mr. Green gave the Mexican government notice

the 23d of May Mr. Green gave the Mexican government notice of the treaty and strong assurance that the question of boundary would be settled on the most liberal terons.

On the 10th of September, 1844, Mr. Calhoun, as Secretary of State, directed Mr. Shannon, our Minister in Mexico, to remy the Mexican government the declaration made by our Clurge d'Affaires, that if annexation should be consummated the United States would be prepared to adjust all questions growing out of it, including that of boundary, on the most liberal terms.

Well, Mr. President, after having given these strong assurances to Mexico in regard to the question of boundary, we passed the resolution annexing Texas to the United States, and it was ap-proved on the 1st of March, 1845.

On the 15th of June 1845, about three months after the passage of the resolution, and five months before Texas accepted our proposition of annexation, the President ordered General Taylor to position of annexation, the President ordered General Taylor to the left bank of the Rio Genade to protect what, in the event of annexation, was to be our western border. Yes, Mr. President, before annexation was consummated, the administration, notwith-standing the strong assurances given to Mexico that the question of boundary would be settled upon the most liberal terms, had de-termined that the Rio Grands should be not the most liberal terms, but do termined that the Rio Grands should be nowards. Mexico? Wisis if Texas. Was this netting easier to the newards Mexico? Wisis if calculated to allay her opposition and reconcile her to annexation? No, sir, it was calculated to increase her hostility to the measure,

and widen the breuch between the two governments.

Mr SEVIER.—The order of the 15th of June was, that Gen

Mr SEVIER.—The order of the John of students, and Mr SEVIER.—The order of the John of students, and Mr. UPIJAM.—I have it in my hand and will read it. The aeting Secretary of War, in his orders to General Taylor under date of June 15th, 1845, says:

"The point of your allusate destandants for General Harder, which steep and reverye one and refer to General Harder, which steep and the students of the Harder of Texas, where you will select and reverye one of most of General Harder, which steep as the steep and the st

protect what in the event of amexation, will be our western bander.

Here, sir, is the declaration of the President by his Secretary of War, in the event of annexation, that the Rio Grande will be our western border. I was therefore correct in the assertion that our western border. our western porder. I was intercore correct in the assertion that the administration had determined, before amenation was consummated, to force upon Mexico the boundary of the Rio Grande. And, Mr. President, if time would permit, I could show by the correspondence of the War Department with our Military and Naval Officers in Mexico, that the Executive, after he had yielded to Great Britain 5° 40° of territory in the Mexico of the Head of Care Britain 5° 40° of territory in the Care Britain 5° 40° of the Head of the Care Britain 5° 40° of the Head of the Care Britain 5° 40° of the Head of the Care Britain 5° 40° of the Head of the Care Britain 5° 40° of the Head of the

ISIG, the Secretary says "the Military occupation of Cultornia is the main object in view." In another despatch to Commodore Sloat, commanding our Naval forces in the Pacific Ocean, under due of July 12th, 1846, he says:

"The object of the United States is, under singlets as heldgerent nation, to possess stelf ensirely of Unger United States, and a proper state of the United States, and the singlet says heldgerent nation, to possess stelf ensired of Unger United States, at all hazards." In regard to the United States, at all hazards." In regard to Www. nuter of the United States, at all hazards." In regard to Www. nuter of the California, but to preserve it after, and the United States, at all hazards." In regard to Www. nuter of the California, which is the terror to the Department of Www. nuter of the California, which says the says:

to New Mexico, General Kearny in his letter to the Department of War, under date of August 24th, 1846, any New Mexico, with its "On the 231 loued a produminant, clausest the 1821 loued a produminant, clausest the 1821 loued a production." It is to be with an intension of the Innied States, "Only General Kerner in his problemation," the provide for New Mexico a free provincient with the leng possible consistency, "In opposite for New Mexico a free provincient with the leng possible consistency," the provide for New Mexico a free provincient with the leng possible consistency of the provincient with the leng possible consistency of the provincient of the length production of the control of the Carlos and the Carlos and the length of the Carlos and the Carlos and

ject further. The extracts I have read show beyond all doubt that the war was waged for the acquisition of Mexican territory,

that the war was waged for the acquisition of Mexican termory, by conquest, and not to compet a just and equitable settlement of the boundary between the two countries.

Mr. President, a few words upon the claim of Texas to the left bank of the Rio Grande shall close my remarks. In 1824, Mexico, by her representatives in convention assembled, formed and adopted a constitution similar to ours and became a republic, adopted a constitution similar to ours and became a republic. Texas, at that time, did not contain the required population to become a State, but was provisionally united with the neighboring province of Coahuila, to form the State of Coahuila and Texas, until the latter should possess the nocessary elements to form a separate State for herself. In 1833, the inhabitants of Texas having ascertained that their numbers were equal to most, and exceeded several of the old States, held a convention and formed and adopted a constitution upon the principles of the state of the old States, held a convention and formed public, and applied to the general Congress of Mexico was dissipated to the constitution of the state o

soned. In 1834, the constitutional Congress of Mexico was dis-solved by a military order of General Santa Anna, and the consti-tution overthrown, and the State governments abolished. In September, 1835, General Cos unvaded the province of Texas by land, with orders to disarm the citizens, and require an uncon-ditional submission to the central military government, under pen-alty of expulsion from the country. A battle ensued, which terminated in the retreat of the Mexicans. On the 7th of Novem-

ally of expulsion from the country. A battle ensued, which terminated in the retreat of the Mexicans. On the 7th of November. 1835, Texas declared that, "Whereas General Annois Jopes de Santa Anna, and other allow, therefore, which were presented and the supervised of the conference, much long of the conference, and the collection of the termination of the conference, and the good people of Texas available measless of the road reduced of the conference, and the good people of Texas available measless of the road reduced of the conference, and the good people of Texas available measless of their antain rights, colemna of the conference, and the good people of Texas available measless of their available in the collection of the forest text of the conference of the forest text of the conference of the forest text of the conference of the forest text of the forest text of the forest of the

port, that "The political limits of Texas proper, previous to the last revolution, were the Nueces river on the west; along the Red river on the north; the Sabine on the east; and the Gulf of Mexico on the south."

Mr. Morfit in speaking of the Texan government formed by convention, further says:

"The convention took flate by write of election is used by the govinead government where the convention took flate by write of election is used by the govinead government at Sun Pathon, on the Nuces, to the Salme and Red revent was transmission on the Nuces." Now, sir, by what authority did Texas, at the time she became one of the Satnes of this Union, claim the Rio Grande as her western boundary? Had she congiered the Mexican States of Conbuild, Tamanipas, and New Mexico, and other states of the New Mexico, and the New Mexico,

jected them to her jurisdiction and laws? No, sir, she had done no such thing. Her extreme western settlement was San Patricio on the right bank of the Nueces.

on the right bank of the Netcess. Mexico as a 1 will show from our own documents, was, at the time of annexation, in the quiet and peaceful possession of the left bank of the Kio Grande, Joshumig it as a part of her republic. Mr. Donelson, our charge d'alfairers in Texas, in his letter to our Secretary of State, Mr. Buchanao, under date of June 23, 1845,

SMYs.

"It is the policy of those who are no the side of Mexico in the prevent crisis, to throw upon the United States the responsibility of a war for the country between the throw upon the Clusted States the responsibility of a war for the country between the of both partner. Texas has beld in peace Copno. Clusteria. Messoc has held Santargoo. Both partner have had occuoural possession of Lordoo, and other bugber point."

All that Texas held in peace west of the Nucees was Corpus and Computer of the Nucees was Corpus the Country of the Nucees was Corpus to the Nuc

On the 26th of June, 1845, Mr. Donelson, in a despatch to Gen-

oral Taylor, says:

"Corpus Christ is said to as healthy as Prepasella—a roavenical place for supples, and as the most western rount new occupied by Texas."

Again, in the same despiatch, he says:

"Texas holds Corpus Currary Alexete holds Santiago, near the month of the Ro

Grande."

Grande, "or the Get of July, 1845, Mr. Donelson, in another letter to Mr. Buckanan, says."

Letter to Mr. Buckanan, says."

Letter to Mr. Buckanan, says."

which I kave the question of marching to the Ro Grande to be decided by development yet to be anale. If Mexco passe that stream, meaning Texts, or otherwise manifest from the property of the property

Crossing the river without menacing Texas, or threatening to if Texas extended to the left bank of the Rio Grande, would not passing that river be an invasion of her territory? Most certainly tasself. the would. But no force was to be used unless she attempted to go further, threatening to disturb the territory of Texas.

But to proceed. Mr. Donelson, on the 11th of July, 1845, in a

But to proceed. Mr. Donelson, on the 11th of July, 1845, in a despatch to Mr. Buchanan, says:

"The proclamatos of a truce between the control, founded in proposition can be compared to them, letting the control of t

of the Rio Grande, and that Texas had never been able to bold the country by the force of her arms. But Mr. Donelson proceeds to argue the question as follows:

"The gound on which the chain of the third of the process of the country of the count

Does this reasoning of our charge d'affaires look as though he believed Texas had carried her revolution to the left bank of the penerva rexas had carried her revolution to the left bank of the Rio Grande, and subjected that country to her jurisdiction and laws? No, sir—far from it. If there should be no declaration of war or invasion of Texas by Mexico, to furnish us an excuse for war or invasion of Jeans by Juanes, to driven in an excess in a section the country, we must rest our clinin to it on the ground that Texas could will alway it is bad not been prevented by the agreement she made with Santa Anna on the Dath of Marie, 1986, by which has army was allowed to return to Petro, and carry with them

maximy was anosci to feath to messes, and early will then valuable arms and manitions of war.

But this is not all, Mr. President. In 1839, nearly three years after the passage of the act of the Texan Congress, defining her boundary to be the Rio Grande, and Mexican chief, attempted, with the consent and aid of Texas, to establish the retempred, with the consent and aid of Texas, to establish the republic of the Rice Grande, to be composed of the States of Tamanipas, Coahuila, and Durango. Its independence was declared and Canales elected President. He collected an army and encamped at Laredo, a small town of Tamanilpas, on the east side of the Rio Grande, where he remained five or six months. In April, 1840, General Arista, at the head of a large Mexican force, at taked and defeated Canales, and he retreated into Texas Canades. Before Canadas. Before Canadas Canadas. Before Canadas C

Int. The President of the republic of the Ro Grande (General Canada), plotges himself to declare the independence of the republic of the Ro. of

"3d. The republic of Texas pledges benefit to aid the federalists of the Rio Grande in per struggle for unbependence, directly after her independence is recognized by the

on struggle for interpentance, ancerty after her independence is recognized by million of the Rin Grande."

Mr. RUSK said he had lived in Texas for fifteen years, and it

"Mr. RUSK said he had lived in Texas for fifteen years, and never heard of such agreement, and he asked when and where the paper was signed, its date, and who signed it.

Mr. UPHAM—I eannot give the date of the agreement nor the names of the persons who signed it. I have only the three first urricles as I found them in the newspaper of the day.

Mr. RUSK said that no agreement was ever made with Canales, or any body, of the kind alluded to. Canales was considered there a public heliuway robber. He had often attempted to rase insurrection in Texas, as did other Mexican officers, but he always kept on the other side of the Rio Grande.

Mr. UPHA M.—The agreement makes no a part of the history

on the other side of the Rio Grande.

Mr. UPIAM.—The arrecement makes up a part of the history of Texan independence, and I have never before heard its truth called in question. I thus been the rounds of the newspapers, and it was read in the other end of the capital in debate last winter in presence, I presence, I or the members from Texas, and not, to my knowledge, denied.

knowledge, denied.

Mr. RUSS said if it was a part of the history of Texan independence, it had never reached Texas.

Mr. L'PHAM.—It the agreement is a forgery it ought not to prejudice the claim of Texas to territory west of the Nucees; but it it is a genuine instrument, it goes very far to show that she had abandoned all claim west of that river.

But to pass on: Gen. Taylor's account of his march from Corpus Christi to the Rio Grande shows that the country was in possess on of Mexico. At the Arron Colourad he was more the assertion

sion of Mexico. At the Arroyo Colorado he was met by a party of irregular cayalry, (rancheros.) who informed him that crossing of irregular cavairy, (rancheros.) who informed him that crossing the river-would be considered an act of hostility; and that they had express orders to fire upon him if he attempted it. He, how-ever, crossed the river without molestation and proceeded on his way. When within ten miles of Point Isahelhe discovered a party way. When whim cen mines of Four Islanct he discovered a party on his right flank bearing a white flag. It turned out to be a de-putation from the northern district of Tamauthpus, with a formal protest against his occupation of the country. When he approached protest against his occupation of the country. When he approached Point Isabel the inhabitants set fire to their buildings and fled to Matamoras for protection. When he reached the Rio Grande he was summoned to withdraw his force and fall back beyond the Nuwas summoned to withfraw his force and full back beyond the Nu-cess—that is, into Texas. I was Mexican, and not Texan terri-tory that he was desired to abandon.

Again, Mr. President, an officer in General Taylor's army, in a letter to the New York Spirit of the Times, dated Camp Opposite Matamoras, April 19th, 18th, 5 ays:

On stantom here is an extraodinary one. Right in the enomy's country, or randly are apmed there often and ear field, the pople of the soft torsing their

Another officer, in a letter to the Albany Atlas, dated at the

Another other, in a letter to the Amony Atlas, dated at the Camp Before Mathameras, says:
"West of the Nuess the people and ill Squarents. The country's uninhelded excepting the valles of the Rio Grands, and that condums a portry decay opinion, and in an part of the country are the people more local to the Agricus Groceroment."
The testimony of these of others, six, needs no comment, It shows beyond tall doubt that the country was in the quiet and personally possession of Mexico when General Taylor invaled it. Mr. Presi-dent, I will go further and show that the United States have re-garded and treated the left bank of the Kin Grande as Mexican territory. On the 3d of March, 1845, two days after the appro-val of the resolution of amostation by the President, Congress

val of the resolution of nunexation by the President, Congress passed an act declaring—must entered and the dutic paid, "That any numeric line clouds with the lower actived and the dutic paid, "Start Fe in New Hercy," No.

"Here, siz, is a possitive law of Congress, from which there is no escaping, tonching Starts Fe in New Mexico—regulating com-merce with her as with other foreign nations, and granting the principle of dawshock. Start Fe, it should be remembered, is on the east back of the Congress, the control of the con-trol of the control of the control of the con-trol of the control of the control of the con-trol of the control of the control of the con-trol of the control of the control of the con-trol of the control of the control of the con-trol of the con-the con-trol of the Rio Grande as Mexican territory. Our military chiefs, under in-structions from the President of the United States, have established a territorial government over the Santa Fe country, thereby recognizing it as Mexican territory. No Senator, I presume, will contend that a territorial government can be established within the installation of the contend that a territorial government can be established within the installation. risdiction of one of the sovereign States of this Union. Now, Mr. President, how are these facts met and answered by Senators on the other side of the chamber? The honorable Senator from Illinois, [Mr. Dovotas,] made an able speech the other day to prove the right of Texas to the left bank of the Rio Grande; but, in my judgment, he fulled to establish the fact. He contended that Texas indicated the district values in the content of the never rehelled against the constituted authorities of Mexico; but

boundary of Texas.

Santa Anna made no treaty with Texas while a prisoner of war, or at any other time. On the 12th of May, 1836, while a prisoner of war in Texas, he entered into articles of agreement with Texas of war in Lexus, he entered mountained of agreement with a com-position of the common treaty acknowledging the independence of Texas and establishing the Rio Grande as her southwestern hom-dary. His government, however, repudiated the agreement and refused to make any terms whatever with Texas. In December after this agreement, the Texan Congress passed an act declaring the Rio Grande, from its mouth to its source, to be her western looudary; and that, it is claimed, gave been right to the country. This act of Congress, sir, gave Texas no right winterve to one foot of territory beyond her ancient limits that she had not conquered from Mexico and subjected to her jurisdiction and laws. This question was largely discussed in this chamber when the treaty of annexation was before us in 1844, Judge Wooddary, then

a Senator from New Hampshire, and now one of the Judges of the Supreme Court of the United States, in his speech in favor of

the superior count of the treaty, said:
"Teass, by a nere law, could acquire no fulls but what she conquered from Mexico, and actually governed. Hence, though her law includes more than the ancient Texas, she could hold and convey only trut, or at the interment, only what she exercised clear

The honorable Senator from Missouri, [Mr. Benton,] in the course of his able speech against the treaty, introduced the following resolution :

ing resolution:

"Resided, Tust the meroparation of the left bank of the Rio del None, (Rio Grande,) into the Americas Union, by votice of a treaty with Texas, comprehending, or, Chilabaha, Catalaha, and Tanadhyas, would be an act of direct agrees no on Mexics; for all the consequences of which the United States would be repuredle?

The Hon, Stat. as Warderry, then a member of the Senate, but since deceased, in a speech delivered at Watertown. New York, just after he had voted against the treaty, said;

"If left it my duty to vote against the ratheration of the treaty for the annexation of Texes. I believed that the treaty, from the boundance that must be implied from it, embraced a county to which Texas had no claim, over which she had never awarted jurnals ton, and which she had no right to cede."

The claim of Texas to the left bank of the Rio Grande derived no strength whatever from her act of Congress. If she had no title before, she had none afterwards. "I wash my hands," said COL. BENTON,

"Of all the attengate to demonster the Nevican Republic, by severage by dominant New Mexoc. Chiudeshan, Cochond and Transalpas. The treaty, an all their bias to the boundary of the Ku Granke, a mar of unparallel contract on Mexoca with lex, and by various of a relay value. To said to which he is no parts," and with lex, and by various of a relay value. To said to which he is no parts, "On the property of a relay value to 1 by vars.

After full discussion, the treaty, as 1 have before said, was rejected by a vote of 35 mays, to 10 years.

Mr. President, I have already trespassed too long upon the pa-tience of the Senate, and I will bring my remarks to a close. The career of conquest upon which we have entered, is full of danger career of conquest non which we have entered, is full of danger and peril to the country. It may bring under our dominion foreign states and provinces, but it will bring with them an ignorant, diegraded population, wholly unprepared for the enjoyment of our free and liberal institutions. With the extension of our territorial limits will come an increase of armies and navise, and the badding up of a great military power, never contemplated by the framers of the constitution. An increase of Executive patronage will follow, and an anilitious President, selected from the successful commanders of the army, may trample the onstitution under foot, and subject the people to the despotism of military rule. If they appeal to the constitution and laws for protection, they will be answered in the language of Cessar to 'detellus—''that arms and laws never the tanguage of Casar to Meterias—"If at arms and laws never flourish at the same time." Mr. President, I call on the student of history, and we have many in this chamber, to point me-to a nation, either ancient or modern, that has by its wars of conquest, acquired any enduring glory, or confered any lasting benefits upon

required by evaluating groy, or concert any instang bentuits in the Did Greece gain any enduring fame by the wars of conquest in which she engaged? No, sir, Greeian liberties perished at Charconea more than two thousand years ago. Rome carried ther vistorious arms into neighboring provinces, and subjected them to her dominion, but she could not save her republic. Roman liberties were cloven down by Roman armies on the battle field of Phillippi more than thirty years before the Christian era.

What has France grained by the grain of the battle field of Phillippi and the christian era.

more than thirty years before the Christian era.

What has France gained by the wars of invasion and conquest in which she has been engaged? She dethroued kings and established her power in the contries around her. She drenched the continent in blood, in her wars of conquest. And what is her condition now?

dition now?

She is confined to her ancient limits, and quietly reposing under the reign of her legitimate sovereign. What has Russia gained by her conquest of the Cancelsian country? Nothing, sir, she received the submission of the people in 1796, and from that day to this, she has been compelled to keep in the field an army of twenty thousand men to defend and protect it. Mr. President, aggressive war is no part of our mission—we can gain no enduring globy by the conquest of foreign states and provinces. The victories that redshand most to our house are nobleved in the week-leaves and the compares of foreign states and provinces. The Victories Intal redound nost to eur honor are achieved in the workshops and counting houses of the country. We have a broad domain with every variety of soil and climate, and by industry, enterprise, and energy, we can command all the comforts and luxuries of life, and seeme for our country the admiration of the world.

Mr. RUSK indicated his intention of addressing the Senate upon the bill to-morrow; and

On motion.

The Senate adjourned.

# WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 16, 1848.

# MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT.

The following message was received from the President of the United States, by Mr. WALKER, his Sceretary:

### To the Senate of the United States

To this sentiest of the Contractions.

Communicate berewith a report of the Secretary of War, together with the arcompanying report of the Adjultant General, in answer to the residuation of the Senties
of the 7th instant, calling for information in regard of Callest have been inserted in
which certain words "in relation to the promotion of Callest have been inserted in
the Army Regular of the United States, page 48, in the year 1817."

Washington, Feb. 15, 1848.

The message having been read-

On motion by Mr. BENTON, it was

Ordered, That it lie on the table, and be printed.

# REPORT FROM THE POST OFFICE DEPARTMENT.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a report of the Postmaster General, made in compliance with a resolution of the Senate, in relation to the causes of the repeated failures of the mail to, and from, New Orleans.

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, it was

Ordered, That it be referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads, and be printed.

#### SIGNING OF BILLS.

The VICE PRESIDENT signed the following enrolled bills: An act to provide additional quarters near to New Orleans for United States' sol-diers and volunteers returned from, or going to, the seat of war is Mexico.

An act to authorize the issuing of a register or enrollment to the schooner Robert

Mr. DIX, in presenting the memorial of the Chamber of Commerce of the city of New York, praying for a return of duties on merchandize destroyed by fire in that city, in 2 day, 1845, said: the memorial stated that by the conflagration referred to, buildings and merchandize to the value of about six millions of dollars had and merchandize to the value of about six millions of dollars had been consumed to the the duties on the merchandize was estimated to exceed six hundred thousand dollars were of the detected six hundred thousand dollars were of the detected six hundred the merchants on whom these losses fell, immediately ordered fresh important ons to supply the place of the merchandize destroyed merchandize destroyed merchandize destroyed might be reduced to the merchandize destroyed might be reduced and he would move to refer the memorial to the committee on Finance.

The reference to the Committee on Finance was ordered.

Mr. BRADBURY presented a petition of citizens of Monroe, Maine, praying the establishment of a mail route from Augusta to Bangor, in that State; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Also, the petition of Amaziah Goodwin, a pensioner of the Uni-ted States, praying an increase of pension; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. HANNEGAN presented a petition of citizens of Indiana, praying the establishment of a mail route from Jasper to Troy, in that State; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Of-fice and Post Roads.

# ADDITIONAL CADETS.

Mr. DOWNS submitted the following resolution, which was eonsidered, by unanimous consent, and agreed to :

Resolved, That the Committee on Military Affairs be instructed to imprire into the expellency of providing by law for the appointment, by the President, of two or more subditional carlets at large, in the Military Academy at West Point, and to report by bill or otherwise. MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. CAMPBELL, their clerk.

Mr. President: The President of the United States has notified the House of Repsentatives, that he approved and signed, on the 15th mst., the following acts: An act to authorize the issue of a register to the barque Wilhamet.

An act to confirm the boundary line between Missouri and Arkansas,

An act for the relief of Joseph and Lindley Ward,

# SURVEY OF THE MOUTH OF RED RIVER.

Mr. DOWNS, from the Committee on Commerce, to whom was 30TH CONG .- 1ST SESSION-No. 38.

referred the bill to provide for a survey of the mouth of Red river; in the State of Louisiana, reported it without amendment.

#### TAND OFFICE IN FLORIDA

Mr. ASHLEY, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred sundry petitions of citizens of Florida on the subject, reported a bill in relation to the location of the land office, in the Alachua Land District, in Florida, which was read and passed to the second reading.

# APPELLATE JURISDICTION.

Mr. ASHLEY, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom was referred the bill supplementary to the set entitled "An act regulate the exercise of the appellate jurisdiction of the Supreme Court, in certain cases," and for other purposes, with the amendment of the House of Representatives thereto, reported in favor of concerning in the amendment.

The Senate proceeded to consider the said amendment : and it

Resolved, That they concur therein.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

#### AMENDMENT OF THE JUDICIAL SYSTEM.

Mr. ASHLEY, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Reptesentatives to amend an act entitled "An act in amendment of the acts respecting the indicial system of the United States," reported it without amead-

### THANKS TO GENERAL SCOTT.

The Senate proceeded to consider the joint resolution from the House of Representatives, expressive of the thanks of Congress to Major General Winfield Scott, and the troops under his command, for their distinguished gallantry and good conduct in the campaign

Ordered, That it be passed to a third reading. The said resolution was read a third time.

On the question, "Shall this resolution pass?" the yeas and nays had been demaded at a former day by Mr. Hall; and, being ordered, were taken, and it was decided in the affirmative, as fol-

Ya.a.—Mesr, Allen, Ashley, Atchison, Badger, Buglay, Benton, Berica, Brajabury, Bigin, Butler, Calloon, Cus, Chyton, Corwin, Critenden, Davie, GMassippi, Bayton, Bekmon, Dix, Belloon, Folia, Folia, Folia, Honor, Gara, Davie, Davie, Dix, Belloon, Belloon, Belloon, Belloon, Belloon, Belloon, Belloon, Miller, Moor, Moor, Rein, Moor, Miller, Moor, Moor

# THANKS TO GENERAL TAYLOR.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the joint resolutions from the House of Representatives of thanks to Major General Taylor.

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—I rise to inquire whether the resolution is now in a state to admit of amendment. I feel quite strongly that some little modification of the language of the resolution will be an improvement of it. When before the Military Committee some exception was taken to the form of the resolution; but I am one who is not at all serupulous about the language used on such occasions, when compliment is intended, and so rather than disturb the resolution, we thought it best to report it back to the Senate just as it was sent to us. But upon reconsideration of the matter, I think it proper to move to amend the resolution by striking out the words "indomitable." and "thereby obtaining a victory over the enemy, which, for its signal and brilliant character, is unsurpassed in the military annals of the world." Mr. CRITTENDEN.-I rise to inquire whether the resolution

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, called for a reading of the resolution as proposed to be amended.

The resolution as amended was then read, and the amendment was agreed to

Mr. CRITTENDEN .- I have suggested the amendment Mr. CRITTENDEN.—I have suggested the amendment which has been just adopted, not from any disposition, of course, at all to stint or diminish the honors to which I think General Taylor and the troops under his command are entitled for the victory of Buena. Vista; but because I think that the language to which the resolution is now reduced, expresses in the simplest form and with unquestionable truth, what may be said in reference to that great commander and his army in that achievement. His own obnaracter is of that simple cost, sir, which naturally seems to prompt the use of a language congenial to that simplicity in everything that is said of him. When he and the lattle of Buena Vista are spoken of, an pompous, gaudy works are solded. Both stand out before the world in character and form, such event by all manifuld, in a language that cannot be surpassed by any phraseodory

which we, sir, may employ.

I am sorry that there is one single negative in this body to giving thanks to our armies for the victories they have won in its ing thanks to our armies for the victories they have won in its ser-vice. It is known to you, sir, that I am not amonget those who have approved of this war in its origin and its progress; but I make a distinction between those who might, as I think, have guided public affairs more wisely and with better results, and those whose duty it was to obey our orders and conform themselves to our decrees. Is it possible that any gentleman can desire that the officers of our army shall set in compality revises our arms and to our decrees. Is it possible that any gentleman can desire that the officers of our army shall set in conneil to revise our acts and determine upon the question of the morality of their obedience to Your rules and articles of war forbid the possibility our orders ? of it. Any convocation of military men in camp for the purpose of deliberating upon political questions and deciding them, is prohi-bited under the severest pains and penalties, and, sir, we would not hear their appeal, no matter how respectful the form in which it might be addressed to us, on any political question. They are our ex-centive officers, and their duty is obedience. Yet, if I understand the centre onicers, and then day to be objection made here, it is to the effect that they share in the responsibility of our decision; and, although bound by their oaths to obedience—bound by their office to obedience—bound by their office obedience—bound by their office to obedience—bound by their office to to interfere with polities, or intermedule with our decisions, but to obey and execute them, that they are to be responsible for our decrees, and their conduct is not to be measured by the skill and indelity with which they execute these decrees there is this sort of caviling—this morality, if you please so to name it—to lead us? A sheriff may next set bimself up to consider the judg-ular conductions. which the court has rendered, and to take into sermo ment which the court has removed, and to centre in section and a court in section and in the court in the court in the consider, when the execution is put into his hands, the morality and justice of that judgment, as Generals Secott and Taylor, or any of their officers or mea, had to consider the justice and propriety of this war. The law and the constitution have marked out defiof the war. The law and the constitution have marked out definite duties for them to perform, and their merit consists in their faithful and ponetual discharge of these duties, and nothing else; faithful and panetual discharge of these duties, and nothing else; and they descrive credit or discredit exactly in proportion to the constancy, courage, and firmness with which they do discharge their executive duties. I can see no end to the nischels to result from the doctrine of the gentleman from New Hampshire. Ac-erding to this doctrine and elicer is not only to consider how best he may execute the duty imposed upon him in executing our laws. but he is to sit in counsel and judgment, reviewing our acts of Congress, and determining for himself what to do, and acting accordingly. Will not the honorable Senator from New accertifiedly. Will not the honorable Senator from New Hamphine Bow your generals and officers to convene to-gether, and mayhap to divide themselves, generals and colonels into one house, like your Senate; and corporals and privates into another, a House of Commons, there to take into consid-ration the questions of war and peace, and deeded as they may think justice and morality require! Will the gendleman allow them to decode for war when we are in favor of peace, or for peace when we are in favor of war? What does the gentleman think of that? If his doctrine be admitted it must be allowed in all its of that? It us doctrine be admitted it must be anowed in all its consequences, not merely in those to which the gentleman would conflue it. The army may as well overrule our decision in favor of peace as that in favor of war. Sir, this is nothing but a spirit of matiny. There is neither patriotism nor morality in this docof matiny. There is neither patriotism nor morality in this doc-trine; neither in its foundation nor its results. It is a mutiny against morality—a mutiny against all discipline—a mutiny against There must be a head to legislate and government. and a body to execute. It is in the Congress of the United States to decide, and it is in the army to obey; and to that honorable duty I to decide, and it is in the army to obey; and to that homerable duty I am wholly am disposed strictly to himit and conline the army. I am wholly opposed to allowing the army to moddle with politics. Your army will be very ready and some of its officers will be very ready to take your places in the work of legislation, and not only to hold to the sword in one hand, but to make laws with the other. It is to that, sir, that the doctrine of the gentleman leads; for the moment that you require of your generals to consider and entertain the morality, according to their notions of orders given them, you must, from that moment, leave them at liberty to decide for or against you; and if General Taylor be authorized to consider the morality You have questioned peace or we are und for 10 documents as minimizing planess, every officer of the army, and every emisted soldier, has the same right to do so. Can you distinguish between one and the other t No. six you cannot. And what sort of a government would you have in that case! It would be the mockery of the world. Yet that is the result to which this reinded and original system of cthics would conduct you. Vet that is the pious and extravagant sort of morality, by the aid of whose inflation some minds seem to have ascended above the ordinary and sublunary concerns of manto have ascended above the Grundry has sommary concerns a mon-kind, and all those plain rules of government and morality which have regulated the course of human society. These philosophers soar far above all that, and entering the etherial regions, seem engaged in the search for some celestial sort of guide for their gov-ernment on carth! I do not say that the gentleman before me goes to that extent of extravagance. He is one for whose talents and ability I entertain all proper respect; but, sir, is not the doc-trine for which he contends likely to lead others, with less com-petency and less discretion to circumscribe its tendencies, into all

these excesses? Can any thing but misrule and disorder and extravagance be the consequence? And how much less deluded is such a politician than one of those Millerties who, arraying himself in what he calls his "ascension robes," climbs up a tree in order that he may have a fair light to heaven? It seems to me to to be a political defusion of the same character, scarcely less extravagant, and certainly much more injurious to mankind.

travagant, and certamly mach more mjornous to mankind.

Now, sir, if ever military men deserved credit for the skill and contrage and fidelity with which they executed orders, Taylor and Scott are the men who have earned it; and does it now become us, Scott are the men who have earned it; and does it now become us, and the property of the country, by its constituted authorities—does it become nate by the country, by its constituted authorities—does it become nate they should receive and decision, reverse it. and breaking their a works, return home breaking out denominations against their country, for the injustice and immorality of its legislation? Surely not. I know that the objection is urged only against giving thanks, but that amounts to censure. Will you not give them any credit? They have won suttles. Many of them have shed their blood—their, like shocked admits, thanks would be properly necorded, but in this case, he denies that they could be justly given. Does not the very ground on which the objection to the honor contemplated to be given to them rests; inply that they have not done their duty so well as they might have done it by not gaining these victories? Can the gentleman or anyhody cles here, draw a valid distinction? Suppose they had been defeated in these battles—suppose General Taylor had been vanquished at Buona Vista, would the gendleman then have offered his thanks? He will not thank him for the victories? We have considered this matter, and do not think that this is a just war—we think it has been carried far enough—it ought to have sopped at Montercy?—would these men, in the estimation of the gentleman, have merited our thanks?

of the gentleman, taxe morited our thanks?

Sir, the evils into which the consequences of this doctrine, if adopted, would lead us, are endiess and nameless. I can only say of the doctrine, that it is full of misched. These near have successed the contraction of the doctrine, that it is full of misched. These near have successed the contraction of the doctrine, that it is full of misched. The contraction of the doctrine sugnitive the whom you have declared to be encemies, and against whom you have sent them to fight. It is for that I give them thanks; it is for that the Senate and the compared to the contraction of the contraction o

may lead.

Mr. HALE.—So pointed allusion has been made to the position which I occupy that it seems due to mysell and to the Senate that I should say one word in ordination of the course which I have taken. This is the second occusion on the floor of the Senate, within a few days, on which I have been either complimented or reproached—I can hardly say which—for standing alone. That was the very mission upon which I came. I came here to stand alone, so long as that policy which the government was puresing was persisted in; and I shall continue to stand alone until different sentences precal in this body, or a revolution in public sentiment shall send different representatives upon this floor. That is, my position, then, and whether it is an honor or reproach, others may vasy. It is not for me to say whether it is honorable or dishonerable.

The honorable Senator from Kentucky scems to think that my course, if persisted in, would open Pandon's box and let loose and rampant all manner of evil upon society in the United States. What is the course which I adopted? Has a syllable against the character of either of those others seemed in pins! Certainly not—and a syllable. When the subject came up 1 to the continuation of the

I thought I might safely follow. That precedent was presented in the condect of those who stood upon the side of justice, truth, liberty and humanity, in the British Parliament, who the spentite process that the process of the strength of the British Parliament, who we have been struggling for existence. Chatham, Fox, Wilkes, Barry, and a host of learned dead then stood up, and with hadly and truthful eloquence, denouaced the arbitrary acts of power directed against the friends of freedom in this country; and what would we have thought of their sincerity and honesty—what sentence would the moral sense of the world have pronounced upon them, if after they had thus denounced the administration and the war, they had gone to the footstool of power, and beowed down and thanked the agents that had been the tools of this tyranny and oppression? Had hose illustrious friends of liberty thus acted at that day, I think, sir, their fanne would have appeared his very different light upon the pages of history. But the honorable Senator has said, that in the course which I have taken, there is neither particism nor morality. Beging the total limit is a difference of opinion, merely. Morality is a pretty general term, and may cover a great many transactions about which there is difference of opinion, or merely thous about which there is difference of opinion, or merely them so the subject to th

ity is a pretty general term, and may cover a great many transactions about which there is difference of opinion; on that there can certainly be no issue as it is a more question of open recovered to the control of t

Mr. FOOTE.—I was not in my place the other day, when the discussion of these resolutions was in progress, but if I had been, I should have regarded it as unpardonable had I not said something in reference to the sentiments which have formed the subject of the indignant comments of the honorable Scantor from Kentucky. I am not surprised at the course pursued by the Senator from New Hampshire, nor can any one who heard his language today, he surprised. The whole secret of his opposition to the vote of thanks has at last discovered itself. He is afraid that the rarmy may cleet a President. He is afraid that the mitimate we extended the subject of the time may be so potent as to thrust some individual into of which that a certain distinguished Senator from New Hampshire nominated for that very office, and who has accepted the nomination, might be excluded. It would be quite improper, then, to blame the Senator from New Hampshire for the course which he has adopted or this occasion. The Senator is quite right. He is acting upon the principle of enlightened selfishness. The whole country will do justice to his motives, and he will descend to posterity as the most magnanimous statesman of modern times! I am happy indeed to hear the magnanimous declaration which escaped the lips of the Senator from Kentucky—I will not say except the country will deed to hear the magnanimous declaration which escaped the lips of the Senator from Kentucky—I will not say except the lips of the Senator from Kentucky—I will not say except the lips of the feature of the country—a war made by Congress and sanctioned in all the accuracy from Kentucky—I will not say except the lips of the senator from kentucky—I will not say except the lips of the senator from kentucky—I will not say except the lips of the senator from kentucky—I will not say except the lips of the senator from kentucky—I will not say except the lips of the senator from Kentucky—I will not say except the lips of the senator from Kentucky—I will not say except the lips of the se

at our hands; let os make indennity for the wrongs which we have perpetrated upon them?

I should have been very much astonished if a different course had been adopted by the Senator from New Hampshire. I am not a very diligent reader of abolition newspapers, but looking over one or two lately, I perceived that a person named Garrison had been indulging in denunciations of the Senator from Mental them indulging in denunciations of the Senator from the first that the senator is the senator in the

have been very much surprised if he had pursued any other course. I will not deriva the Senate length in for the purpose of showing that the Senator is a politic, judicious man, and that though the senator is a politic, judicious man, and that though control of the purpose of the presidency, and using the most efficient means to chance for the Presidency, and using the most efficient means to chance for the Presidency, and using the most efficient means to improve his bitimate popularity. I will call attention to a few passages in a panaphlet which I have seen for the first time this morning. It was sent to me ly a gentleman who informs me that it is in extensive circulation in New England, and is producing an extraordinary effect. It seems that it is circulated by another party, who improve his beautiful to the producing and extraordinary effect. It seems that it is circulated by another party, who is the book when I say that a distinguished Senator for I spleak, and the producing and the producing and the factor of the producing and the

ing effect in Mexico at the present time, against his own country. The same sentiment has been again and again expressed by the or gan of the whigs in this city—the National Intelligencer. Ever gan of the wings in this city—the National Intelligencer. Every whig paper in the country, with a few exceptions, has re-echoed it; and we all recollect the expression in a certain speech delivered here—to which I allude in no spirit of unkindness—that if the speaker had been a Mexican as he was an American he would have welcomed our armies with bloody hands and hospitable graves. have weer-ones our arrange with mostly under and hospitalized greens.

Interesting the state of encomm. Mast then there are many men in that party—a gue-rious band, of whom I any glad to recognize the distinguished Sena-tor from Kentucky as the leader, who do respond to the sentiments which he has expressed this morning—parties in heart and in deed, recognizing the noble sentiment of Roman heroic times, that deed, recognizing the node scattiment of Roman heroic times, that it is a sweet and glorious thing to die for one's country; whilst a great number of the members of the whig party entertain such sentiments, there are many others who openly, or in their hearts, cherish scattiments similar to those I have described, which they would dare to act out, if the majority of the people would santion them. Here, then, is the pampfulet written by a member of the party of which the Senator from New Hampshire is the exponent here. It is said that

" A rose by any other name would smell as sweet?

By what name shall I designate the party of which the gentleman from New Hampshire is the representative? Is it the abolition or liberty party? However this may be, it is on the shoulders of that party that he expects to be foisted into the White House. party that he expects to be losted into the White House. On: I may Heaven preserve my country from such a calminty as that: I say it with no intention to insult the Senator, but Lecause he lobe principles which, if carried out, would make this republic more infamous in the pages of history than any nation that has ever existed. The pamphlet is entitled "Dick Crowningshield, the Assassin, and Zachary Taylor, the Soldier: the difference between them—by Henry C. Wright." It is now circulating in New England under whig sanction, and is written by a member of the liberty

Mr. HALE.—Does the gentleman mean to say that the author of that pamphlet is a member of the liberty party?

# Mr. FOOTE .- So I understand.

Mr. HALE .- That judividual's career in England and this coun-Mr. HALE.—I and individual's career in Engiana and this country is well known. He denies the right of all human government whatever, and there is no party which he denounces with more severity and opproblum than the liberty party. The honorable Senator from Mississippi is, therefore, mistaken in supposing that he is a member of that party. It is not so. The honorable Senator asks to what party I belong, and the name of that party. I can salt his in a moupont the engin of the more greater which he is sentent. asses to what party I belong, and the mane of mat party. I can tell him in a moment the origin of the movement which has make my name somewhat notorious in New Hampshire in regard to the position which I took about four years since. I was then a mem-ber of the Honse of Representatives from the State of New Hampshire. shire. At that time the project of annexation was mooted in the House, and the Riehmond Enquirer, then edited by the present editor of the Union, declared that any one expecting any thing from the administration, must not go against that measure. I did not expect any thing from the administration, but I opposed the measure, and in a letter to my constituents I announced my intention to vote against it, assigning the reasons which influenced me in the determination. Thereupon the progressive democracy of New determination. Thereupon the progressive democracy of the Hampshire came together and denounced me, and an issue was at Hampshire came together and denounced me, and an issue was at the origin of the together than the origin of onee inade in that State upon the question. That is the origin of the movement, and the Senator is at liberty to give it any name which will best suit his classification. But he is entirely mistaken party than that Senator is, and probably looks on it with as much loathing as he does.

Mr. FOOTE.-I am very glad to hear that he does. ver, the pamphlet has been circulated by the Whigs of New England. The author may then be a Whig.

Mr. HALE .- As I stand alone I have only to take care of my-The Whigs can take care of themselves

Mr. FOOTE.-Well, I do not understand the author to be strictly in correspondence with the Senator from New Hampshire, or that they are inhabitants of the same town or county. Indeed people change their politics so readily now-a-days, that it would be a little ussafe, perhaps, for the Senator to undertake to say what are the present political principles of Mr. Wright, with whom he is aslaumed to hear any connection.

# Mr. HALE .- No, I am not.

Mr. FOOTE.-Well, then he is the particular friend of the Se-Mr. FOOTE.—well, toen he is the particular friend of the Senator from New Hampshire, and of one thing, I am certain, that in my State such a pamphlet could not be allowed to circulate amongst the whigs for a day. Such language as I am about to read could not be uttered in the State in which I have the honor to reside without hazard of life; nor could it, in my opinion, any where, where a high state of patriotism exists. Well, I will read a few delicious extracts from this pamphlet, and I hope that if it be not a whig document, gentlemen will stop its circulation. The gen-Who has had it eirtleman denies that it is a Liberty document. culated I am not prepared to say, but I think that it is perhaps intended to promote the Senator's claims and those of whig Presidential aspirants generally, always excepting General Taylor.

#### DICK CROWNINGSDIELD-HIS EMPLOYERS-HIS BUSINESS.

JOSEPH WITTER IVED in Salem. He was old and rich. Joe and Frank Kanpp lived in the same town. They covered his property and expected to inherit it at his their interest. They while to destruit. They called on pek Counsiphield, a young man bring in Salem, who had studied the art of human slanghter at the West Porth Whitty Academy, and early thin, in aubitance.

their interest. They wished to dectory it. They cause on Des. Consumptions of comparing their pin Salem, who had studied the art of human slaughter at the West Point Milatry Academy, and out to him, in substance:—
Point Milatry Academy, and out to him, in substance:—
Point Salem to decide the studied of the studied of the point of the possession of property which were specie to indicate. We have no resources but to kill hum.'
Kinappa.—'We know he is; but his life is more way, and we wish to get rial of hum 7?

im?"
Duck.—" But would it be right to kill him?"
Knapps.—" Give your-elf no trouble about that. We will be responsible for the
ght or wrong of the deed. If you enlist to do it, you have outling to do with that

what or wang on the worder "I beker per "Their to not come or the come of the

Kanper W. Grander and Realization by the control of the property of control of the control of th

# Zachary Taylor-ins Employers-his Business

employed among them, and they gut them all to death.

Zachasty Taxtons—Inst Explorers—its Bexisters.

There is a town in Mexon called Montrow. It contains any 20,000 chabitants, more or less in the property of the contains and the contains any 20,000 chabitants, more or less in the property of the contains and the contains any 20,000 chabitants. We that the contains a con

We have work on hand at the moment."

Zach.—"Name it and it is done.

Zach.—"Sume it and it is done.

And it is a sum of the control of the c

I will not detain Senators by reading any more extracts. I did

not know that the time for taking up the special order had passed, or I should not have trespassed so long on the time of the Schate.

SHUNDAY SENATORS - GO OD

Mr. FOOTE .- I will then, if the Senate will bear with me, read a few additional paragraphs.

read a few additional paragraphs.

Look at that sneery! See that makes vatching her four little ones lovingly, at play in one comer. Zachtay discharges a gui londed with genge biot at them; and in a moment ther limbs and bodies are tone to fragments, and the makes at smit there are the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the state of the contraction of th

non hell at her and each her body in two, and there was never managers coups her father.

For the father of Haven quarted thouse! "rise is seonage man to Zachary, as he is the father at a deadly mosale at a particular develope. If you story it you'ver not make it you'ver, as money to reason—but do not deteror that one." Zachary, —"What is your reason?" Zachary, —"What is your reason?" Zachary, —"What is you reason? Sach whom I have any owe soul." Zachary, —"All here and demended discissionments beorgies how." Young man.—"Het his space that one. One or your own companions begs you to

spare at 15 Zaclury,—'II is the bidding and for the interest of our employers that that hous and all in it should be destroyed. We most go for our employers, RIGHT OI

and all in it should be descrived. We most go for our employer, REGHT OW, RONG.

"PRONG." — "Osparei: I To what dampers is the whom I love espond! Think of the agency! most feel to find her a mangled corpus!" — "Agelary."—"Owng mean, you seem to care nothing about the other houses, and are willing to see them: blown to atoms. "Yet every half and bomb-beld we throw tester willing to see them: blown to atoms." Yet every half and bomb-beld we throw tester to be a seem of the seem of t

their decision of general with their tree of year-show with their absences some state of the Says, another eyes witness of mother seene: "thoughts of Mexicans were lying all about in every direction—some with their heads raticely or partly shot aff—others without less or sum—others with their controls from one—levely about on my hands and kines, and at every the parce I would not not seen band bodie; and at one place. The shore is subdantially a tratific of non-seen band bodie; and at one place. The shore is subdantially a tratific larantite of decel-preparated bythis and his men in Maxievy and other town in Mexico, at the bidding and for the length of his religious, repulsation, emplayers.

- Mr. CRITTENDEN .- If the Scnator will permit me, I would beg leave to submit to him whether it is best to detain the Senate by reading more of that pamphlet. Enough has been given to enable us to judge of its elharcater. I will hear the Senator with a great deal of pleasure, but I think he ought not to occupy the time of the Senate by reading pamphlets.
- Mr. FOOTE .- I know that this thing is disgusting, but having Mr. FOOTE.—I know that thus thing is disguisting, but having the sanction of the whigs of New England, I thought that it might be agreeable moral or less to the appetites of some gentlemen here. I feel that perhaps I owe some apology for occupying the atten-tion of the Senate so long. I do not charge the whig parfy with this pamphlet, but I have quoted it in order ge the whig parfy with this pamphlet, but I have quoted it in order ge to which may be a fallen from the Senator from Kentucky in opposition to the danger ons sentiments of the Senator from New Hampshire, presenting as it does a striking illustration of the consequences to which such sentiments amurally lead.
  - Mr. CRITTENDEN again rose.
- Mr. CASS.—Is the Senator going to make any motion? The Senator from Texas has the floor on the special order.
  - Mr. CRITTENDEN .- I will not detain the Senate.

Mr. RUSK.—So far as I am concerned, I desire to say, that I have great pleasure in asking the Senator from Kentucky to pro-

Mr. CRITTENDEN .- I do not intend to protract this debate, Mr. CRITTENDEN.—I do not intend to protract this debate, but I desire to acquit myself of the personality of which the honorable Senator from New Hampshire seems to have considered me to be guilty. I did not mean to say, sir, that the gentleman was without patriotism, or without morasty, No, sir, not at all. I meant only to contest the proposition which he laid down, and on which his objections to this vote of thanks rested, and on which they could alone stand. It was in reference to that proposition, and not the sincerity of the honorable gentleman that my remarks were made; and it was very far from my intention, indeed, to make any such charge as that he was wanting in morality or patriotism. The sentiments very far from my intention, indeed, to make any such charge as that he was wanting in morality or patriotism. The sentiments which the honorable gentleman entertained are of such a peculiar character, that one can hardly fall into the error of supposing that they are entertained from solfish motives. The gentleman must be sincere, and I do not doubt that he is sincere; and I assure him, that no personality was intended by me.

I am a little apprehensive that the Senator from Mississippi may have understood me as going a little farther than I designed to be understood as going, in relation to this war. I think I told you at the commencement of my remarks, that I was not one of

those who approved of this war-not at all. But I said that the war by the act of Congress had become a national war. It was war according to law; and I had supposed that the great principle of republican government consists in the combination of the strength and power of the whole community in executing the laws passed by the majority of that community; that I am as much passed by the majority of that community; that I am as much bound to respect the law passed in reference to this war, as I am in respect to any law that imposed duties or taxes, or regu-lated the conduct of citizens of the United States. With respect to any of those laws, the liberty of discussion, under the constitution, and according to every principle of republi-can government, ye discussion to the regulate agrees upon that the conduction of the regulate agrees to conform condition that every current of the repumic agrees to conform himself to and be governed by the majority, however repugnant to his own opinions may be the decisions of the majority. This free-dom of discussion is the ground on which each and every individual may infer on entering into the social compact, that he may safely and cheerfully agree to obey whatever law the majority passes whilst discussion is left free; or in the words of Mr. Jufferson that error may be tolerated whilst reason is left free to combat it. whilst discussion.

creter may be tolerated whilst reason is left free to commander that the principle of republican government. I do not hold that I oppose the war because I discuss, and examine, and reason, in order to prove to you that the law ought to be repealed, or order to prove to you that the law ought to be repealed, or changed, or modified, so as to put an end to this war. It is with respect to that law as it is in the case of every only no matter constitutional law chains the obedience of every man, no matter that the constitutional law chains the obedience of every man, no matter that the constitutional respectively. constitutional law enams the obscuence of every man, in master whether it be according to his wishes or not. It claims his obdicace. But it leaves him free to discuss it. It leaves him free to endeavor, in the exercise of all his constitutional rights, to have the law repealed, no matter whether it relates to peace or war; and the right is equally perfect in regard to the one as the other. Circumstances may modify—the exigencies of the country may control—the exercise of this right, but his constitutional right as a control—the exercise of this right, but his constitutional right as a man and a citizen, is to discuss the law fully. He ought to do so because he is bound to obey implicitly. That is my doctrine. I do not hold that because a man disapproves of this war and in that sense opposes it—that he is with one hand endeavoring to support the law as a national law, whilst with the other he exercises his right to put an end to that state of things, he makes an opposition to the war which in any true sense of it can be regarded as mnato the wal wand in any true sense of it can be regarded as impartion. Some gentlemen run into the idea—and it seems to me that my friend from Mississippi inclines to the belief—that any degree of disapprobation of the war, every species of opposition to it, betokens a want of patriotism, or of courage, or of something that belongs to honorable and patriotic me.

Mr. FOOTE:-I thought that I used the most explicit language. I have uniformly used the same language—and it is now on record, upholding freedom of debate and discussion. But I have said and repeat it now that whenever speeches are made anywhere in the United States evidently intended to circulate in Mexicocalculated to encourage the enemy of the country—those speeches are stamped with treachery to the country. I am not to be understood, however, as in the slightest degree trenching on the freedom of debate. The Schator from New Hampshire is bound to express of delate. The Senator from Now Hampshire is found to express his sentiments if he entertains them. I only deplove his coundrions, being impelled by the peculiar character of his intellect to adopt being impelled by the peculiar character of his intellect to adopt such sentiments. I am not willing to shakele evon him, certainly not any other person. But I see frequently newspaper articles which are intended to circulate in Mexico, giving "all and comfort" to the enemy; and speeches have been made which we know have had the same effect. All know this. It is a part of the history of the country, and I challenge denial of the statement that it is so. In my opinion, for naking such speeches the punishment of hanging, if the law allowed it, should be inflicted. I hope I am understood now. I hope the Senator from Kentucky will do me justice. I know it is one thing simply to declare that the war is unjust and to seek the repeal of the law, and another to become a traitor to the country in a moral point of view, by such over a text in favor of the enemy as those to which I have such overt acts in favor of the enemy as those to which I have alluded—Let it also be understood that I charge nothing of the kind upon the Senator.

M1. CRITTENDEN .- I regret that this debate has taken this SHITE ADDAY—I regret that this debate has taken this exensions direction, passing entirely beyond the point to which I supposed it would be limited in the first instance, otherwise I should not have felt called upon to obtrude any remarks of mine upon the attention of the Sonate. I believe that remarks of mine upon the attention of the Sonate. I believe that the honorable Senator is of too liberal a spirit himself lightly to impute to others any want of patriotism, much less to a great party like that of the whigs. He disclaims any such imputation with respect to them, if I understood him. What, sir, do the whig party want patriotism, add shall the whig party in reliation, charge the democratic party with a want of patriotism. If then, who, in the name of all that is virtuous, has patriotism in this wide

republic?

The gentleman imposes another limitation upon this right of discussion in relation to the war, which it seems to me cannot be maintained. I may speak the more freely on this subject, inasmuch as that though my opinions on the war have not been with-held, it has not been my lot to participate so largely in the dis-cussion as many others have, and I doubt whether in all the arcussion as many others have, and I dount whether in at the ar-chives of Mexico, from the fortress of San Juan d'Ulloa, to the city of Mexico, one sentence, line, or word of any poor remarks that ever I made here can be found. And I am equally well assured that no remarks of my whig associates or democratic associates

have been made for any such purpose of encouragement to Mexico or Mexicans. These remarks have been made in the exercise of their constitutional rights here, for the benefit of our own country, by the discussion of a matter involving the interests of our country.

Mr. FOOTE.—I am very sorry to interrupt the Senator. But I I Ind no reference to speeches made here. I alluded to speeches made in various parts of the United States—deliberately made to rowsk assembled, for the purpose of being indoctrinated—and which the speaker knew would operate in Mexico, therefore meriting all the demanciations which I heaped upon them, and I only regret that my powers of sarcasm, are not indequate to the work of stigmutating them as they deserve.

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—I accept the explanation of the gentleman. I thought he had reference to specehes here and elsewhere. It seems that he had not reference to specehes here. Now, that might be his limitation of the doctrine, but since I am portion subject, allow me to explain a doctrine. We are told to the subject of the doctrine with the limitation with the subject of the Mexico has been inflamed—that the obstinacy of the Mexican people has been increased by their knowledge of what is said and done by whigs, and whig newspapers. That is beldly affirmed every day. The liberty of speech is censured. We are told by these same presses, that instead of exercising the rights of honorable gendlemen, and those which appertain to the more dradified and important character of representatives, we ought to be silent in regard to a matter, in which the interests of the country are concerned, and follow in mute submission, whatever is done by the Executive of the government.

Mr. POOTE—It the Senator will allow me to interrupt, him again for a moment I would state that a distinguished member of the Honse of Representatives from the State of Kentucky has revived an announcement from General Marshall, stating the fact that we might have had a treaty of peace, but for the speech of a distinguished citizen of Kentucky, with certain resolutions, which induced the leading men of Mexico to wheel about, and raised a universal expectation of a pronueciament by which Mr. Polk would be turned out of the White House, and a certain distinguished gentleman from Kentucky be brought in ; from whom such a peace could be obtained as would accord with their notions of justice and inhumnity. I state that as a matter of fact. We all know that this intelligence circulates in Moxica. No man can deep it. I did not change any bad intentions at all the deformabled genelleman many and intentions at all the deformabled genelleman men and the state of t

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—I do not deabt that such a letter has been written, and that wesh is the opinion entertained by the writer. The gentleman is well known, and with him I have always sustained the most friendly relations, but, although I do not know what effect his military career may have had, his polities were of the severest and most heated character when he went to Mexico. I presume he retains those opinions, and is therefore very ready, from his political buss, to place upon any act of the honorable gentleman alluded to—one of my most distinguished fellow eitzens, Mr. Clay—we need not concerl his name—an unifurorable construction. It is quite likely that General Marshall may have entertained the opinions that we should have had a peace before now, had it not been for Mr. Clay's speech; but I put it in all endor to the honorable Senator from Mississippi, to say whether a speech delivered by Mr. Clay in November last, in Kentucky, has found such access to the mind of Mexico as to present—

Mr. FOOTE—I do believe that his magnetic influence may have been as great as that. The Mexicans are a peculiar people—semi-harbarous—accustomed to pronunciamentos, and, of course, judge our country by their own. I rather think, from the evidence is-free me, that they expect a pronunciamento here, which I think they will expect a long time before it arrives.

Mr. CRITTENDEX.—I am glad that my honorable friend from Mississippi eannot say that he believes that it is so. He is very ingenious in giving reasons why it might possibly have been so, but he is not quite satisfied that it is so; and how can he—how can any man believe that a few scrapes of nexpapers, and a handbill or two, placed in the great scales of peace and war in Mexico, really what do we know of Mexico! We know that the leading mean any tendence and inclined them in the favor of war? Why what do we know of Mexico! We know that the leading mean transport of the people have become exasperated against us, and two holding the reans of generous tenders, and why? Because the masses of the people have become exasperated against us, and those holding the reans of generous tenders, and why and the Mexicon in the most people that are the Mexicon place of the masses of the people have become any place of the most read—they know that the means of the Mexicon in the most people that are for war. They are the exasperated people that insist, madly insist, on continuing this contest. That is the account which I receive. That is the general tone of all the accounts. It is natural and reasonable. But the Idva is absurd that the people of Mexico, with patriotic feelings as strongly felt as in our own case, should be like a massachusetts or Kentucky—or any process of logical reasoning. Yet it is put forward to the public as having an effect of that kind, and that is insisted upon for the purpose of controlling and regulating our conduct and opinious here. Well, I trust

that I am inespable in any eiceumstances of making a speech that can be justly or truly or in any particular some considered as lostile to my country. I trust that having the liberty of free and full discussion, whenever and wherever I think that my duty requires its exercise or it is my pleasure to me it, that I shall freely exercise it. That is the reason, sir, why I houst that I am an American citizen—why we all boast that we are American citizens. But the moment we have a war, we are American citizens—why the more all boast that vertain that war, the more stringent is to be the denial of the right of the third war, the more stringent is to be the denial of the right of freedom of speech! Why, sir, that is the very time when we ought to speak out. If Mexico is so sensitive to the principles and opinions upon which we act, and by which we are governed in this country, she ought to know this, that however we may question, in our legislative capacity, the justice and propriety of this war, so long as at continues—so long as it is a national war, made so by it unjust, unified the war. If she was the continue their strength for carry it on; that the strength of the whole is to execute the will of the majority. That is the fundamental principle of our government, and that Mexico ought to know. What "comfort," then, can Mexico derive from our questioning the propriety of the war! If she know how to estimate it aright, she will see the most formidable of all enemies in that very community which, while its members descuss the propriety of whatever the will of the majority may determine. And that is what Mexico must know and learn, if she reads to any advantage what we say and publish. But the effort of certain pertions of the wave the best to conforce showed on the surface of the warshed contragence who we say and publish. But the effort of certain pertions of the wave the best of the majority may determine. And that we what the way to be not propriety of the warshed contragence who the surgeous violation of liberty

Tregret that I have trespassed so long on the time of the Senate. I believe that I owe you an apology. I rose simply to make a few remarks on this resolution, from which we have so far departed, that it has almost been forgotten. I hope the question will be taken on the resolution.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi.—The Senate will not expect that I intend to enter into this discussion. Indeed, I regret that the discussion has been thought necessary by any one, and I hope, with the Senator from Kentucky, that we will return immediately t the resolution from which we never should have wandered. It should be allowed to remain on the ground assumed, a simple vote of thanks, in which the justice of the war—its policy—the wisdom of the legislature on the subject cannot, with any propriety, he at all involved. Officers have no other right than to refuse to obey an unconstitutional order. The power to declare war was vested by the Constitution in the Congress of the United States, and when they declared the war it cannot be unconstitutional. The officer obeys the order he receives as an ex-centive officer, and upon the vote of thanks, involving only the consideration whether he has faithfully discharged his duty, we might expect the union, of which the Senator from Kentucky has spoken—the union of the whole country on a question which has but two sides—the side of our country and the side of the foreigners, with whom we are at war. Party lines cannot enter into the consideration ration of such a question, whilst patriotism exists. There may be a faction—there will be a faction—in all times there has been a faction, that would raise its croaking voice, when the people, with one tion, that would raise its crooking voice, when the people, with one anceord, send up their poeans of thanks or prayers for success. Yes, sir, the American people rejoicing over their independence, just acquired—exalting in the possession of civil liberty at the close of our revolution, returned their thanks to Almighty God, who had held them in the hollow of his hand, and yet, in the emphatic and classic language of Patrick Henry, one croaker was found, whose discordant voice attempted to disturb the harmony. The good sense of that day, turned in lonthing and disgust away. Why not do so now? Why shall we mause to cuter into this long disnot do so now? Why shall we pause to enter into this long dis-cussion about fore-gone conclusions before the question was raised? not do so now? Must President-making, too, be involved in a resolution of thanks to gallant officers? If so, and if the great result which has been deprecated is to come, and the army is to make your President, I would rather receive bim from them than from the hands of free would rather receive fail from titlen than from the flands of tree negroes and their confederates. But here estands a solider whose life has been whilly devoted to his country—whose services accumulating one by one, bave become a pyramid, as beautiful for its simplicity as it is subline for its grandeur—one which can stand like the commemorative monument of Bunker Hill, n plain and noble obelisk, with its head amid the clouds, and despising the as Saults of the creeping things that crawl around its base!

I trust that the feelings of gallant men will not be assailed

I trust that the feelings of gallant men will not be assailed when the country comes to think them for services done to the whole country. I trust, sir, that the Senate will no longer eagage in a discussion, not one point of which learn upon the question at issue, and that leaving whatever of cronking there may be obstitute the harmony of the people's thanks, we will test the question by a vote of the Senate, allowing those who reliase to yield their thanks to the gallant soldier to go before the country in the

No further amoudment being made, the resolutions were reported to the Scnate.

Ordered, That the amendments be engrossed and the resolutions read a third time.

The said resolutions were read a third third time, as amended.

On the question "Shall these resolutions pass?" Mr. HALE demanded the yeas and nays, which were ordered, and it was determined in the affirmative, as follows:

mmet at the diffirmative, as follows:

YEAS.—Mesers, Alen, Abely, Atchion, Badger, Bagby, Bell, Bernen,
Bradhury, Bress, Bright, Butler, Calhoni, Cancon, Cass, Clarke, Chyton,
Goren, furtured, Davis, of Massachaetts, Davis, of Shussupp, Bavon, Dekanson,
Developed, Davis, of Massach, Barton, Dekanson,
son, of Lomiana, Johnson, of Georga, Mangum, Mason, Miller, Moor, Niles,
Ruk, Sever, Spirmace, Stargeon, Turney, Underwood, Upham, Westcott, Yuke

—18

XAY.—Mr. Hales—I.

So it was

Resolved, That the resolutions pass with amendments.

Ordered, That the Sceretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in the amendments.

# RECONSIDERATION

Mr. BELL asked the unanimous consent of the Senate to have his vote recorded in the affirmative on the passage of the resolution of thusks to General Scott. Having roted in favor of the resolu-tions of thanks to General Taylor, he did not wish to have the ap-pearance of voting for one and not the other. He was not in the Senate when the vote was taken upon the resolution in relation to General Scott, or he should have voted for its

The VICE PRESIDENT read the rule on the subject, showing that under no circumstances could a vote be recorded after the result had been declared.

Several Senators suggested that the object could be accom-plished by a reconsideration of the vote upon the passage of the resolution.

Mr. GREENE hoped that some Senator who was present when the vote was taken, would move a reconsideration. He was anxious to record his vote, also, in favor it.

Mr. RUSK moved that the vote be reconsidered, which was agreed to; and the question recurring "Shall this resolution pass?"—

Mr. HALE domanded the year and nays thereon, which were ordered, and heing taken, it was decided in the affirmative, as follows

YEAS.—Meers, Allen, Ashley, Atchnon, Allerton, Radier, Barley, Rell, Benko, Berren, Radiury, Berve, Bright, Butter, Canterno, Gess, Caiste, Chayon, Carven, Cuttenden, Davic, (of Miss.) Davis, (of Mass.) Dayton, Deckmon, Dax, Downs, Petch, Fords, Genee, Hannergan, Horoton, Hurter, Johnson, Olds, Johnson, Petch, Fords, Genee, Hannergan, Horoton, Hurter, Johnson, of Mal, Johnson, Sanganer, Sungron, Tanrey, Westout, and Yulee,—16, Nour, Niles, Rusk, Sevier, NAY.—Mr. Hale—1.

So it was

Resolved, That this resolution pass.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

TEN REGIMENT BILL.

The Senate resumed the consideration of the bill to raise, for a limited time, an additional military force

On motion by Mr. MANGUM, it was

Ordered, That the further consideration thereof be postponed until to-morrow.

EXECUTIVE SESSION.

The Senate proceeded to the consideration Executive business, and after some time spent therein,

On motion.

The Senate adjourned.

# THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 17, 1848.

# MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. CAMPBELL, their clerk :

Mr. President: The Speaker of the Honce of Representatives having signed and en-rolled bill entitled "An act supplementary to the act entitled "An act to regulate the exercise of the appellate production of the Superior Cont. in certain cases," and for other purpose," I am directed to lung it to the Senate for the signature of their Pre-

#### SIGNING OF A BILL.

The VICE PRESIDENT signed the enrolled bill received from the House of Representatives, above named, and it was delivered to the committee to be presented to the President of the United

The VICE PRESIDENT presented a petition of citizens of Jefferson county, Iowa, remonstrating against a change in the mail route from Burlington to Fairfield, in that State; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Mr. MILES presented a memorial of Insurance Companies and merchants of the city of New York, remonstrating against the repeal of the act of March 2d, 1837, concerning Pilots; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce.

Mr. CASS submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

sidered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Rosher, That the Committee on Indian Affains be instructed to impute tuto the

powers conductor of the fund, arisen; from the sale of the lands reded by the treety of

January 14, 1-95, "with the Saganan band of Cinpowa Indians, which were to be

disposed of for their heardit; what measures are required to effect a sale of said hands

tan early period and at a fair pure or whether the experient was to kersber, that the

turely may, at come, because them and whether, in poster and equity, provisors should

not now be made, in anticepation of the sale of the lands, or as a part of such con
pensation if there are taken by the government, for the payment of the class of other

catories provided for me and treaty and evaluated in the should be dispersionally and

deform our of the amount subtance by the United States. For other obligations and

edges provided for with the "are the state" by

# PROTECTION OF THE REVENUE.

Mr. NILES submitted the following resolution, which was consulered by unanimous consent and agreed to :

Residend. That the Committee on Finance be instructed to inquire whether further legislation is not expedient and necessary to protect the revenue from fraud in the im-portation of tea and coffee.

# PATRICK WALKER.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the Committee on Pen-ions, to whom was referred the petition of Patrick Walker, sub-initied a report accompanied by a bill granting a pension to Pat-rick Walker.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading. Ordered, That the report be printed.

# MR. DICKINSON'S RESOLUTIONS.

On motion by Mr. YULEE, the prior orders were suspended, resumed the consideration of the resolutions suband the Senate mitted by Mr. Dickinson, relative to the proper policy to be pursued by the United States in respect to the acquisition of new territory, and the substitute therefor submitted by Mr. YULEE.

Mr. YULEE resumed and concluded his argument in support of his amoudment, which has been given entire in Tuesday's proceedings.]

Mr. FOOTE .- I move that the resolution be laid upon the table, Mr. FOOTE.—I move that the resolution be laid upon the table, and with the consent of the Senate I will occupy a single moment. I have scrutinized this matter as well as the honorrable Senator from Florida. I have looked a little at the indications of public sentiment in the South, and I undertake to assert that not one word that has roune from the lips of the Senator on this subject is sanctioned by culichtened public opinion in the South. I undertake to say, show, that there is not one of his authorities which will not be ascertained hereafter to be a misquotation or worse. I will not say that the gentleman from Florida has "just enough of learn-ing to misquote," but it will turn out that either his authorities are ing to misquote," but it will turn out that either his authorities are misquoted, or that they embody the principles of the Wilmot proviso, and this the gentleman, with all his suggestity, has not been able to perceive. I appliad the gentleman is liberality, his magnanimity in going out of his way to attack the advocates and delenders of southern institutions. The manner of the gentleman is as objectionable as the matter of his remarks. Upon the latter I shall have something to say hereafter. His manner has been decidedly oracular, and, as I conceive, insulting and illiberal towards the best friends we have in the North.

- Mr. YULEE .- I hope I shall be allowed to make a single remark, which will not occupy two minutes of the time of the Senate.
- Mr. FOOTE.-I want two minutes myself.
- Mr. SEVIER .- I rise to a question of order.
- Mr. FOOTE .- I will take my seat, for that purpose alone.
- Mr. SEVIER -I ask the attention of the Presiding Officer to a point of order.
- The PRESIDING OFFICER.—The honorable Senator from Mississippi, has not yielded the floor.
  - Mr. YULEE .- I rise for the purpose of making an inquiry.
  - Mr. FOOTE .- I am upon my feet, sir.
- Mr. SEVIER.—I rise to a question of order; that I have a right at any time to do, whether a Senator be on the floor or not. My point of order is this, at one o'clock, the special order of the day is the only business that is strictly in order.
- THE PRESIDING OFFICER .- The special order is not in order at one o'elock, if a Senator has possession of the floor.
- Mr. FOOTE.-I have made a motion that the resolution be laid upon the table, to be taken up hereafter.
- Mr. XULEE.—I will agree to that motion, but must first ask the indulgence of the Senate for a moment.
- Mr. HALE .- I rise to a question of order.
- THE PRESIDING OFFICER .- The Senator from Florida is on the floor.
  - Mr. YULEE vielded.
- Mr. HALE.—My point of order is that the Senator from Mis-sissippi moved to lay the resolution on the table, and that motion is not debateable.
- The PRESIDING OFFICER decided that the motion was not
- The question was then taken on laying the resolution on the table, and the motion was agreed to.

# TEN REGIMENT BILL.

The Senate resumed the consideration of the bill to raise, for a limited time, an additional military force.

Mr. RUSK .- Mr. President: When this bill was introduced, I had no disposition to trouble the Senate with any remarks; but the discussion has taken a much wider range than I anticipated, having gone into the causes and consequences of the existing war with Mexico. It has been assumed, on one side, that the war was with Mexico. It has been assumed, on one side, that the war was not begun by Mexico, but that it was commenced by the unauthorized and unconstitutional act of the President of the United States. With a view to maintain this position, a new boundary line has been assigned to Peas, the State which I have the honor in part to represent, which is said not to extend to the Rio Grande, in part to represent, which is suid not to extend to the Rio Grande, its true boundary, as I am prepared to prove, but only to the river Nucees. The assumption, sir, of this position, renders it due to myself, due to the State of Texas, and due to the widows and orphians of some of the brave men who fell in our struggle with Micsico for our independence, that I should address the Senate, assert that, when all the facts shall have been made known to the country, no chearr question ever existed, than that the Rio Grande is the true boundary of Texas.

Before, however, I commence the discussion of the question of

is the free boundary of Texas.

Before, bowever, I commence the discussion of the question of boundary, it may not, perhaps, be improper for me to allade, briefly, to the causes which led to the Texas revolution, and the subsequent struggle between that country and Mexico. I am aware, sir, that the people of Texas have been calumniated, as a hand of assassins, hand-robbers, and a set of God-for-sken, reckless desperadoes, and it seemed, the other day, to afford the boardard experience, and it seemed, the other day, to afford the boardard experience of the control of the

Mr. UPHAM.—I certainly did not read those papers for the purpose of reflecting upon the character of the Texans. It was for the purpose of showing the views of the democracy of the North at the time when annexation was first announced to the country.

Mr. RUSK .- I did not understand the Senator as endorsing the statements, but still, they prove the fact that such abuse had been lavished upon Texas, and is perhaps at present circulated. Now, sir, it is not necessary that I should rise in my place, for the purpose of defending the character of the people of Texas from newspaper slanders. Their conduct, sir, is a sufficient vindication of hem against such assaults, and the more their true character is known, the more it will be seen that they are entitled to respect and confidence. I will further venture to assert that, when the whole of the circumstances connected with her revolution and separation from Mexico shall become known, it will be admitted every disinterested individual, that no people on the face of the earth ever had a more just cause of revolution than had the people Whatever may have been said in regard to our Texas. land-robbers, there were at the commencement of our struggle for independence, and are still, many, very many, in Texas, who dare believe that we were indebted for our final success to the benificient Providence of a just and righteons God. And, Mr. President, I think it may be safely said, that we manifested no small degree of confidence in the justice of our cause, when, with a population of less than thirty thousand, we dared to appeal to arms, in a contest with a nation of eight millions. But, sir, I proceed.

I might here go back and array names that would command respect, and among them the names of such men as Mr. Monroe, Mr. Madison, Mr. Jefferson, and Mr. Clay, to establish the fact that the territory of Texas extended originally to the Ric Grande. But it is unnecessary for my present purpose to do so. The houndary of Texas, as now claimed, is the boundary established by revolution, and that boundary is the Rio Grande.

Mexico, after having adopted constitutions, State and federal, conforming very nearly to those of the United States, passed liber-al laws, and held out strong inducements to the people of every clime, to encourage the settlement of Texas. This was done not so much for the benefit of those who might take up their abode within that district of country, as for the purpose of redeeming that valuable portion of her territory from the dominion of the savage, which Mexico had struggled to accomplish, but without

ss, for centuries past.

Before Austin went into Texas with his colonists, hostile Indians roamed in bands throughout the country unrestrained. They were constantly committing depradetions, robbing the people of their constantly cummiting depracetions, roboning the people of their constantly cummiting depracetions, roboning the people of their deep of the fractioning into adjustment of the constantly out this ruthless for, were inducements held out to the colonist to settle there. Until the year 1831, with but few interruptions, there existed a state of peace and quietness. In that year Santa Anna, at the head of a military power, overthree the constitution Anna, at the head of a military power, overthrew the constitution of 1824, abolished the State governments, and established one of the most tyrannical and absolute governments that ever existed. The government thus established by Santa Anna is misunderstood bere—it was an absolute government. It is true that there was the name of a Congress, but it was the name afone. The President was, in reality, the Supreme Dictator. He called a Congress of Notables around him, but that Congress was catirely subservient to he will. Another feature in the central constitution was, excentive council from the different portions of the commercial, and others. They were, however, from the very nature of the tenure of their offices, his creatures, and, if they were not subservient to his views, he could remove them at his pleasure. Again: vient to his views, he could remove them at his pleasure. Again: it was one of the fundamental articles of this central government that the President, with the advice of his council, might suspend the action of any other department of the government, so that, in reality, absolute power was vested in the President.

The people of Texas were unwilling to commence the revolu-

tion—they were anxious to avoid a collision with Mexico. They sent Austin to Mexico to represent their condition, and to ask some guaranty that they should not be disturbed in the enjoyment of their rights. of their rights. Without any cause, and even without charges being preferred against him, he was seized and incarcerated in the loathsome dungeons of Mexico, where, in fact, he contracted the carry out the establishment of this central government, it became carry out the establishment of this central government, it became necessary for Santa Anna to possess himself of all the physical power in Mexico. With a view to this, he procured the passage of a decree requiring the States, as well as individuals, to surrender up all the arms which they had in their possession. This law was enforced throughout Mexico. State constitutions were destroyed; States were declared to be mere department; were destroyed; States were declared to be mere department; were destroyed; States were declared to be more department; were an elegated to the process of the states and the process of the states and and various other tribes, who were committing depredations on our frontiers. The Indians set led amongst us greatly exceeded our own population in point of numbers, and it was known that Mexican agents had been among them urging them to take up the tomahawk and scaping-knife and externinate the Texans. If we tomahawk and scalping-knife and exterminate the Texans. If we had submitted to have our arms taken from us, the result would have been indiscrimante massacre. Under such circumstances, there is scarcely any one, I presume, who would have asked us to give up our arms, even to avoid the charge of being land-robbers. An attempt was made by Santa Anna to enforce this law in Texas. The legislature of Coabnila was attacked for merely protesting against the action of the central government, and its members were seized and imprisoned.

30TH CONG .- 1st SESSION-No. 39.

In this state of confusion, the people of the various municipal ties had elected delegates to meet in general consultation, at San Felipe, to determine whether we would submit to the central go-

Felipe, to determine whether we would submit to the central government, and to agree upon some definite ceurse of combined action. This convention was to assemble in October, 1835.

About this time, however, General Cos., at the head of an army of central troops, crossed the Rio Grande, left a garrison at Lipantitlan, on the west side of the Nucese, and one at Goliad, and marched with his man force to San Antonio, where he established his head quarters. During this narch there was no movement on our part to take up arms; we were quietly assembling at San Felipe to consult as to what course we should adopt. About the time General Cos arraved at San Atonio, he sent a detachment of two hundred causily to Golfadles, at the law in the neighborhood of that place, to demand from its citizens the surrender of a small piece of ordnance, which had been purchased by them as a means of defence against the Indians. They asked twenty-four hours to consider, and finally refused to surrender their cannon, but gave

the assaliant its contents, and a fett on another term the man the Mexican cavalry; and thus, sire, the revolution commenced. As the news of this occurrence spread, the citizens from all quarters shouldered their riles and burried to the centest. Capt. Dimmit raised a company of mon, took the garrison at Goliad, marched to Lipantitlan, where he was joined by citzens residing

marched to Lipantillan, where he was joined by citzens residing on both sides of the Nuces, as well as some who resided on the Rio Grande; and, at the head of this combined force, captured the fort and dispersed the central troops.

The convention, which had in the meanwhile assembled at San Felipe, declared against the central government, and protested against the military despots of Santa Anna, and in favor of the constitution in 1824, inviting all the States of the confederacy to join them in restoring that constitution and reclaiming their liberties. General Cos, who had been closely be seiged in his fortificaties. General Cos, who had been closely bessiged in his fortifica-tion at San Antonio, capitulated, after having been heaten. Many of the citizens who resided between the Nucces and the Rio Grande, were attached to the army which captured General Cos. Now, sir, I would ask, was it not right that we should include them in the beneits of our victory, and provide for their safety I yasy, sir, would it not have been just of homorable in those of as who resided east of the Nucces, to have provided for our own security, and to have left those west of that river, from whom we had received valuable aid, to the tender mercies of the Mexican dictator? We did naming and, to the center increases in the increase interaction of the more provide for their safety—many of them have since fallen—we have always asserted and stood by the rights of their widows and or phans, and, anxious as we were for peace and a termination of the ear with Mexico, there never has been a time when Texas would not have hazarded her very existence as a nation, in any contest however bnequal, rather than have administed for the theoretic try were the stakes for which we fought—mere territory was a secondary, very secondary consideration. These people had dared to resist the central power—they had periled everything and had joined us—had rendered important services, and we had been fully accepted their aid in the hour of adverstry, and I, for one, sir, think it was not discreditable to us that we regarded their than the first that of first think constitution and we have the second their states of the think constitution. rights and stipulated for their security in our prosperity. General Cos was forced to enter into a capitulation which protected the rights of those citizens, and which forms the first link in the chain of our title to the territory extending to the Rio Grande. Mr. President, I will ask the favor that the Secretary will read the copy of that instrument which I hold in my hand.

[The SECRETARY rend the capitulation entered into by General Martin Perfecto de Cos, of the permanent troops, and General Edward Burleson, of the colonial troops of Texas.]

General Cos, sir, with his convicts and soldiers, retired to the west bank of the Rio Grande.

west cank of the knorrance.

Thus, sir, the etizens of Texas, cast of the Rio Grande, had,
so far, successfully resisted the change of government. The milt tary despotism had no foothold remaining on this side of that stream. Up to this time we had been contending for the construction, which had been overthrown, and not for a separate nation-

al existence.

Santa Anna, bent upon the possession of absolute power, was not to be thus baulked in his views. He immediately mustered a large and well appointed army, and at its head, put himself en route for our extermination. He could not remain quiet while a large and well appointed army, and at its head, put himself or routle for our extermination. He could not remain quiet while a few freemen on this side of the Rio Grande were in the enjoyment of rational liberty, and would not bow and worship at the flootsteol of his power. The rest of Mexico had submitted; no response to the shout of constitutional liberty reached us beyond the Rio Grande. Santa Anna was rapidly advancing upon us, threatening extermination, and we lad no alternative let lib that to assume a seextermination, and we used no atternative left but to assume a se-parate national existence. A convention was accordingly called in laste, to which the people between the Nuccess and the Rio Grando sent delegates. We declared our independence—appealed to the civilized world for the justice of our cause—and trusting to the God of battles, put ourselves in position to defend our rights. the God of battles, put ourselves in position to defend our rights. Santa Anna advanced with grear rapidity, and the first blood that flowed in this eampaign was shed upon the territory between the Nucees and the Rio Grande. The Alamo was surrounded, and its brave defenders, to a man, perished by the sword. Fanning surrendered, and, in violation of the most solomn stipulations for the safety of his command, he and his gallant men were inhumanly butchered in cold blood. Most of the male inhabitants of the coun-try west of the Nucces found bloody but honorable graves. Santa Anna continued his rapid advance, spreading ruin and devastation

on his path. He was met at Son Jacinto by seven hundred and eighty freemen, and the result is before the world-half of his

engany recement, and reserve to memore the worst—and of inserve was slain, the remainder, including limbell, expured.

Santa Anna now occupied a delicate position. His line, fusting forfeited by us, was held by a doubtful tenure. His government at home was unpopular with the great mass of the proup. The home was unpopular with the great mass of the proup. The proposition of the proposition nome was unpopular with the great mass of the people. The only support upon which he could rely for political existence, was the army at different points in Texas, now reduced its some five thousand mon, under the command of Gen. Filmolia. So was life, the remnant of his under the control of the control of the three the control of the three ing it read.

Articles of sprement and oldenn couplest, and can induced by Taxid C. Burnet President of the spatial of Taxis, and the mission for the colline President of the spatial of Taxis, and the mission in tensions of the scaling the colline of the colline of the colline of the colline of the colline that the colline of the tension of the colline of the colline of the colline of the colline of the Ursa, Dan Janckin Ramers v Serna, and Don Antonio Goona, General of Bu-gade, of the arms of Alexton

President of the separation complex and measurement and support at 1833 for Human President of the separation of the sep

10 in. The high contracting parties mutually agree to refer the treaty intended to be excepted and sciennized by the two governments of Texas and Mexico, on the house activation in the contraction of the

This is the second link in the chain of our title. This treaty, sir, was forwarded to me, (at that time in command of the Texan army.) and was transmitted by me to Gon. Filisola, who confirmed it. Its terms were strictly compiled with; Gon. Filisola retired. ing with the army to the other side of the Rio Grande, unmolest-

ing with the army to the other side of the Rio Grande, unmotestic, and the Texan force taking possession.

I grant you, sir, that Santa Amawas, a. he time he executed this treaty, a prisoner of war—time was, as under duress, if you have the same to save the save that the save the sa save ner army, when was pame-stream and completely in our power. I might adduce many facts to show that this was strictly true. I will not, however, waste the time of the Senate further than to state one circumstance, which was, that Gen. Ampudia, than to state one circumstance, which was, that Gen. Ampudia, who has figured in the present war, surrendered the rear guard of the Mexican army, consisting of four hundred mea with eight pieces of artillery, to Capt. Karnes, at the head of twenty-five Texan troops, the main body of our army being forty miles off, with thesivier Brason between its. Mexicos sweed, by the truce, her army, and by that means, her government. She saved truce and prisoner, and the liberty of Santa, the second in command, was prisoner, and Texas, at the head of five or six thousand men, with reasonable of fifty merces of artitlery, with novelions and sumitions. upwards of fifty pieces of artillery, with provisions and munitions of war in abundance. Our force did not exceed one thousand men, and we had but two pieces of artillery. Filisola, under thousand men, and we had but two pieces of artillery. Filisola, under these circumstances, sanctioned the treaty and communicated it to his government, which remained quiet until their army was safe on the other side of the Rio Grande.

I do not intend to naived quiet until their army was sale on the tem grade of the Rio Grande.

I do not intend to lay much stress upon this treaty, as I believe out title to be clear and unquestionable independently of it, but, sar, I will leave it to every candal mind to decide whether it be fair, just, and equitable, to permit Mexico to avail herself of all the benefits which resulted to her, and then turn round and repediars her obligations imposed upon her. If this be a principle of law of nations, it is now to me; and single intended to the law of nations, it is now to me; and single intended to the law of nations, it is now to me; and single intended to the law of nations, it is now to me; and single intended to the control of the law of the l

Grande, and if this assertion of our claim Mexico and the world were notified. We laid off counties and conferred to The world were notified. The desired the conferred to the c these occasions they did not mat in the neighborhood of health of Grande, but retired into the interior. Our troops frequently crossed and penetrated the towns on the other side. In 1843, an armistice was agreed upon between the governments of Mexico and Texas, which was broken off in the early part of 1844. General Woll was ordered by Santa Anna to proclaim the armistice to be at an end, and he did so, under date of the 20th June, 1844. I read the concluding paragraph of that proclaimation:

t Every mixed and when the found at the distance of one lengue from the left hink of the Ru Barso will be negated as a favorer and accomplace of the neither error of that part of the national returney, and as a trainer to his control, nod, of the summary mittary trial, shall be punched at such (Sigord).

ABRIAN WOLL, Gen. of Brigode.

Now, sir, if the citizens on the side of the Rio Grande were citizens.

of Mexico or Tamaulipas, if they had a custom house and had pos-session, as has been stated, is it not a little ucreascable that Gen. Woll should deaconce the oitizens of Tamaulipas, the custom-house officers of his own government, as "traitors," and sobject

them to capital punishment?

It has been said the Mexicans had a enstom-house at Brasos It has been said the Mexicans had a custom-house at Brasos Santiago, and that Gen. Taylor lound a custom-house at Point Isa bel, which the Mexicans abandoned and burnt at his approach— Now, sir, the trath is, that the custom-house was at Matamoras, and the collector of customs resided there. It was there that the duties were paid on goods landed at Brasos Santingo, or at the month of the Rie Grande, on the west side, where, in fact, most of the merchanduse intended for the Matamoras market was landed. I believe it is true that the collector dil, eccasionally, send but so puttes to Point I sabel and Brasos Santiago, and they were some-putes to Point I sabel and Brasos Santiago, and they were sometimes accompanied by a military guard. I recollect upon one oc-casion several hundred soldiers were sent down to the latter place. The Mexican government had previously to our declaration of independence, passed a law declaring the ports of Texas closed against foreign commerce, which law remained unrepealed. In 1837, the Mexican government procured what they called a navy, consisting of some three or four ships, one of which, I recollecthe "Geogral Urea," came down to our coast, and captured one or two vessels owned by American citizens, and leaded with merchandise belooging to American merchants, and destined for the Texan trade. These vessels were carried to Brasos Santiago.— In a few days the commander of the United States ship Natchez, demanded of the captain of the 'General Urea' for what cause they were detained. He was informed they were prizes captured they were detained. He was informed they were prizes captured in an attempt to violate the blockade of Texas. The commander of the Natchez, not being apprised of any blockade, demanded that a copy of the proclamation of blockade should be furnished. They were obliged to send up to Matamoras to Gen Bravo, the collector, for it; and, when it was, furnished, it turned out to be onthing more than a certified copy of the old law of 1835, closing the ports of Texas wints, foreign commerce. The commander of the more than a certified copy of the following the Society the ports of Texas against foreign commerce. The commander of the Natchez would not recognize this as a blockade, and demanded that the American ressels should be released. This was refused, and the Natchez captured the Urea. A great parade was now made on shere, troops were collected, cannon fired at the Natchez, which was, however, beyond the reach of their shot; the goods were conwas, nowever, usyond the reach of their shot; his gloods were con-fiscated, and many of the men on board the vessels were marched to Matamoras and imprisoned. The commander of the Natchez brought the General Urea to Pensacola, and reported the whole affair to his government. The matter ended, I think, in the re-lease of the General Urea, and I am not sure that an upology was

lease of the General Urea, and I am not sure that an upology was not made to the Mexicae authorities.

But, sir, the Nacese boundary had its origin here. No act of the Mexicae agreement, nor declaration of any Mexican officer can be produced, showing that Mexico has, upon any occasion, since 1896, claimed the territoiry between the Nucees and Rio Bravo, by any other or different title than that set up by them to the country as far as the Sabice. I might adduce many other facts in support of this position, but I will only trouble the Senate with one mere, which is this: General Sacta Anna, on the 27th of February, 1847, in his report of the battle of Boena Vista, holds the following language:

the following language :

the following language:

From the impression we had made on the enemy, he did not anyon before as for three desy the beaser of a flag of truce, however, arrived with a proposition from Gen. Taylorfor an exchange of promears, and he our resting for the vermide, when had be named on the field. He sho extressed to me the desir when the two strans fell the re-establishment of pears, and he our resting to the desir when the two strans fell the re-establishment of pears, and the content of the strans fell that the

Now, sir, if these facts do not constitute a valid title in Texas to the territory as far as the Rio Grande, I frankly confess that I am incapable of forming a conclusion as to what would constitute such a title.

Texas solemnly claimed that territory, and every act on her part is perfectly consistent with that claim. Mexico has disclaimed it, except as a part of Texas, all of which she claimed, and all her

except as a part of Texas, all of which she claimed, and all her nots have been perfectly consistent with this disavowal.

The title then having been, as I assert, in Texas at the time the annexation, she sourcedored to this government the right to assert and maintain her claim, as she could not, consistently with the constitution, herself master a force to protect the soil from the pollation of a foreign foe and her critizens from outrage. It follows then that the President of the United States was not only at liberty, but was bound, solemnly bound, by the constitution and laws, as well as his oath of office, to protect the critizens of Texas and all such as the constitution of the constitution o

It may perhaps, Mr. President, he proper, before I proceed further, to say something in regard to the claim of Texas to Santa

A SENATOR .- Yes let us hear something about that.

Mr. RUSK.-This, sir, is the more necessary, inasmuch as I

see by the newspapers, that an attempt is now being made to essee by the newspapers, that an attempt is now being made to establish a territorial government of the United States there, irrespective of the claim of Texas. Santa Fe, sir, is east of the Rio Grande, and is included within the limits prescribed for Texas, in the treaty with Santa Anna. It is included in our territory by a law passed by the Texan Congress, in the year 1836, so that, at the time of annexation. Texas and Mexico claimed the territory. This government was fully apprized of this claim, as the resolutions of annexation show. The United States consented the second to the consented the second consent at a lively upon the question of boundary let was a Texas of come the judge upon the question of boundary between Texas and This country has no claim except that growing out of annexation. This government has taken possession, and it would be a new principle, that the judge, to whom a controversy has heen suhmitted, shall soize the thing which is the subject of the dispute, and appropriate it to his own use I think I have seen such a decision in a newspaper, but I believe it is nowhere to be

Mr. President, we are so constituted by nature, that when a war is once begun, our attention is so much absorbed by the stirit, that we loose sight, in a great degree, of the causes which im-mediately preceded and led to the result. And, sir, the truth of this proposition is peculiarly illustrated in the case under considdenied on the other, that this war was not commenced by Mexico, but by the President of the United States. Let us, sir, go back for a moment, to the circumstances which immediately preceded its commencement. Every act of the President shows, I think, most conclusively, that he was extremely solicitous to avoid a cel-

lision with Mexico.

It had been asserted, repeatedly asserted, that to annex Texas was an act of war, or would inevitably lead to a war. The Presi-dent thought otherwise, and did evething in his power to avoid such a result. He sent a minister who was thought to be, person such a result. He sent a limited with Mexico ally, more acceptable than any other, to negotiate with Mexico Her minister had left Washington in high dudgeon, and she indig nantly refused to receive our representative, or listen to terms of claring in the face of a truth of twelve years' standing, that Texas was her provinces. Her government denounced that of the United States: declared its determination to go to war, and made every preparation to do so; strongthened her army, and commenced its concentration upon the Rio Grando. Thus matters stood at the

concentration upon the rate transcent beginning of the year 1846. General Taylor, in a despatch to the Secretary of War, dated at Corpus Christi, on the 4th of October, 1845, had, very properly as I think, recommended a movement to the Rio Grande. In his

communication, the general says :

"Sir, I long leave to suggest some considerations in relation to the present position of our force, and the disposition which may become necessary for the more effectual procession of the objects for which it has been concentrated."

After giving at full length the reasons for the recommendation which he was about to make, he proceeds to say:

which he was about to make, he proceeds to say:

"You there aroses, or postolin this far has I think, here the last possible, but now that the entire force will soon be concentrated, it may well be a question whether he wave, of government will be held extrand out by our remaining at the point. It tend delease agentations, but if our go extonent, in setting the question of boundary, make the line of the Bit Dirends an ultimation. I cannot doubt that the settle-day, make the line of the Bit Dirends and ultimation. I cannot doubt that the settle-day, make the line of the Bit Dirends and situation. I cannot doubt that the settle-day, make the line of the Bit Dirends and situation of control of the posts, one or quite each that raver, our strongth and state of preparation, of our long the post of the posts, one or quite each that raver, our strongth and state of preparation, of our tenders are the post of the

authony from the War Department.

The President very properly adopted the suggestion of General
Taylor, and ordered the troops to the Rio Grande, instructing that
officer to avoid everything calculated to lead to a collision. On the 21st of March, 1846, General Taylor was met at the Celorado by the Mexican troops, and ordered peremptorily not to cross that river. Now, sir, to which party is that principle of the law of na. itions applieable, which declares that for either party to take armed possession of a territory in dispute, is an act of war? On the 28th of the same month, two of General Taylor's dragoons were taken prisoners, and a bugler boy robbed of his horse by the Mexican troops. On the day above named, General Maja refused to receive a communication from General Laylor, and on the form of April Colonel Cross was missing, and in a few days his hody was found shockingly mutilated. On the 12th, General Ampudia arrived at Matamoras, and peremptorily ordered General Taylor to retire. maximotas, and percurptorly ordered veneral Taylor to retire.— Taylor dechined to do so, but expressed the wish of his government for a peaceable adjustment of all difficulties. On the 18th, Lien-tenant Porter, with a small party of our troops was intacked, and after being disabled by a wound, was hutchered. On the 18th, and ther being assured by a construction of the General Taylor was so well satisfied of the hostile intentions of the enemy, that he blockaded up the month of the Rio Grande, in orenemy, that he brockaded up the month of the Rio Grande, in or-der to cut off supplies that were expected by them. On the 24th, Ganeral Ampudia demanded that the blockade should be raised; the American General refused. On the same day, General Arista arrived at Matamoras, and on the 25th, Captain Thornton, in command of forty-five dragons, was attacked by Torrejon, with a force of over two thousand, and two sergeants and eight privates were killed. On the 28th, Captain Walker was uttacked by a large force of Mexicaus. On the 1st of May, as unarmed laimly of sixteen persons, two of whom were females, were tween prisoners at the Colorado, by the Mexicans, tied together in pairs, the women outraged, and their throats cut, and left to rot upon the prairie. Mr. President, Texas saffered much at the haads of Mexico-prisoners have been butchered in cold blood, confined in Mexican dungeous, and starved ; but, sir, we had to submit to no such outrage as this; and, sir, such an insult, if it had not been washed out with blood, and had been left unavenged by the President of these United States, would have caused every American heart to turn from him with loathing and disgost. Who is there in all this broad land that would have cried "forbear," or could have expected the Executive again to entreat the Mexicans to ne-

tiate? Not one, sir; no, not one. The battles of the 8th and 9th followed, and who is there that even if he could do so, would be willing to blot these and the brilliant victories which have followed in quick succession, from the pages of our country's history? The President, sir, has been blamed for alluding to the injuries and insults inflered upon us by Mexico. If there he any blame attaching to him, I think it is for too great forhearance in this matter. Mexico has, sir, for the last twenty years, robbed your fellow-citizens of their property and im-prisoned their persons, in violation of solema treaties. She has met their demands upon her for redress with insult and indifference, until they have ceased to appeal to you for redress. In Mexico, sir, ever since she has had a government of her own, to claim to be an American citizen, was sure to result in confiscation of property and imprisons out of person, while to claim to be an English or a French subject, was to unbar the doors of her dungeons. How different in this respect has been the conduct of the French government from that of our own. When redress was refused for injuries inflicted upon French citizens, the government of France dd not stop to parley and negotiate; she battered down the walk of the eastle of San Juan d'Ulloa, and the proud Castilian blood, about which we have heard so much instantly yielded to the demands of justice

It is, sir, a beautiful feature in the policy of the British govern ment, that she never fails to redress the grievances of the lowliest as well as the proudest of her subjects, and hence arises that deep sourced, entituisation attachment which a Erinsh subject always feels to the institutions of his country. He may perish, sir, in a far distant land, beneath the securge of the oppressor, but his dy-ing moments are cheered by the assurance that his government will exact ample redress for the wrongs inflicted apon him, and his last aspiration on earth will be for his country.

I hope the day will come when an American citizen will look with a similar confidence to his government; but, sir, it pains me to say it, hitherto it has been far otherwise.

might allude to the many instances of oppression practised on American citizens by American officers, but, sir, it woulk take volumes to record them all, and I feel safe in hazarding the assertion, that no single year has passed within the last twenty during which the dungeons of Mexico have not contained American citizens, incarcerated without fault or crime justly imputable to them.

This, sir, is but a feeble sketch of the course of the government of Mexico, in behalf of whom so much sympathy is invoked from the people of the United States.

But. Mr. President, we are in the midst of a war. That it exists, is a matter of regret to all, and the sooner it can be brought to a close the better. It is my opinion, that if the President had been properly sustained, it would have been terminated long since. been properly sustained, it would have been terminated long since. I believe that our own dufferences of opinion have lad the effect of prolonging this war; but you will allow me, Mr. President, here to say, at the same time, I believe that those who contend that it was unconstitutionally and improperly begun, are as sincere and a patrione as I claim to be myself, in holding the opposite opinion. It is, sir, an houset difference of opinion concerning momentous the most of the property of the pronounced. We have the proposition of the propo questions, upon which a hast judgment has not yet been pronounced. But, sir, the Mexicans are a pecultar people; they cannot appreciate the American character, nor can they realize the heautiful sentiment uttered by the honorable Senator from Kentucky, [Mr. Caittenber,] as true as it was beautiful, and expressed in a style so peculiarly his own, when he said, "in making the law we have many voices-in its execution but one arm." The truth of this position, sir, has been most triumphantly proved upon the hard this position, sir, has been most triumplantly proved upon the hard fought fields, where both whigs and democrats have nohly borne the standard of their country victoriously onward to the centre of Mexico. But, sir, it is an old adage that drowning men will catch at straws. The Mexicans know that many of the most prominent men in our country believe the war to have been improper-ly begun, and that our army ought to be withdrawn from their country; and, knowing them as I do, I am not astonished at the unfortunate hopes they entertain, growing out of our political differences of opinion. They know that party changes have taken place, that we are upon the eve of important elections, and they hope at least, if they do not believe, that something may transpire favorable for them. If the President, sir, could have felt at the be-ginning that he was fully sustained, I am of opinion the war would

But, Mr. President, the question at present is, how we are to terminate this most advantageously? Three plans have been sug-

lst. To withdraw our troops from Mexico altogether

2d. To take a defeasive line, and concentrate our troops upon it.
3d. To prosecute the war with vigor, until Mexico shall become
convinced of the necessity of tendering to us justice, and shall do

To the first proposition, Mr. President, I cannot agree. Aside

from the disgrace which, as I think, we should incur by pursuing a course which would be a taut acknowledgment before the whole civilized world that the war had been improperly begun, such a policy would be one of the greatest misfortunes that could occur to the United States. That it would be one of the worst things that could happen for Mexico is beyond all question. If we were to withdraw our troops now, it would be to admit the truth of the where we took has been with the consumer trade of the purpose of land rothery. But, apart from all this, it would be a misfortune to the people of the United States, and a very great misfortune to the people of Mexico themselves. I may, prhaps, be told that my fears are idle, but I think otherwise. In such an event, what would be the mevitable result? It would be that Mexico, in her present exhausted condition, would immediately, in reality, if not in name, fall fut to be possession of some Ecopy, an power. Senators may suppose that this upprehension is a more creature of the imagination, but I happen to know, that for the last ten or twelve years, Mexico has been practically under the control, directly or indirectly, of the British government. It the colitroi, directly of indirectly, of the Britists government. It is to this source that we must trace the prejudices which have existed against the North Americans. It is through the instrumentality of the military party of the country, led on by its chiefs, under the direction of British influences, that you have been excluded from their markets, and that your citizens have been imprisoned, and their property confiscated. This is the true but secret areas why way to the british Mexico, which was formerly as flour. conson why we have been considered to the constraint of the constr while acting as Governor of California, which has an important bearing upon this branch of my subject, and which will throw a great deal of light upon what is at present going on in Mexico. There has been in progress in California, ever since the commence-There has been in progress in California, ever since the commencement of this war, a three-fold operation, having its origin in the city of Mexico, and conducted by folicial or unfolicial agents of the British government. In the first place, there have been transfers british progression of the two progression of the source of the country has been actioned by the governor of the province, to be called to deliberate on the propriety of declaring the inhabitants independent of Mexico, and seeking the protection of the British government. More than this. In the city of Mexico itself, a stepnedous scheme had been devised by a Roman Catholic priest, named Macammera, was sent down to no course a created for the consideration of the country of the countr who, having obtained the sanction of the acceptance government, was sent down to procure a grant of three thousand square leagues of land in that province. The ecclesiastic was transported to Moriever just Berlish national ship, and the grant was immediately sanctioned by the government of California.

But, sir. I will not anticipate the account which will be hidden to the contraction of th

Duly str. I will not anterpare in exceeding the wall of a first transfer and the first transfer action. California would have belonged, at this moment, to subjects of Great Britain, and the British flag would have been flying on all her forts. Senators will find these facts extablished beyond all doubt, in the course of a very few days. I will not take the trouble to read any part of this grant, but I will append the precious document to the temarks which I now offer, in order that the country may be advised of what is going on in

These things are and have been in progress in Mexico ever since the commencement of our present difficulties, and there can be no question that the Baitish government would sanction and favor the taking possession of that whole country by her subjects. When were the possession and sovereignty of a country ever offered to and refused by that government? I would not like to see Mexico in that condition.

There are various other reasons which induce me to believe that something of this kind is in contemplation. I know something of Mexico, and I feel assured that our army has already captured and the constitution of the constitution were in its theory expression and to the war. The vessels of foreign nations are constantly hovering off the coast, and the observations of fifteen years have taught me to anticipate the effect of the immediate withdrawal of our army from that country. It is known that Parades, the around advecate of monarchical institution, is now in Mexice, attempting advocate of monarchical institution, is now in Mexice, attempting to place some European prince upon the throne, or assume the kingly power himself. Should Santa Anna ugain get into power, the establishment of a monarchy will, in all probability, be the result. He has no sympathics with the people, none whatever; and revengeful and mubitious as he is, he would not hesistate to make himself a king. He has not forgotten, that when he was deprived of power, the populace took from its resting place the limb he had lost in their service, and treated it with the utmost indignity. If the counter makes the results he his own power, he will results in the service makes the results he his own power, he will results in the processing the control makes the results of the service moves the world so he his own power, he will results in the service makes the results he his own power, he will results in the service makes the sender of the service makes the results have been sendered as the service when the service were the sendered as the service when the service were the sendered as the service when the service were the sendered as the service when the service were the sendered as the service when the service were the sendered as the service when the service were the sendered as the service when the service were the sendered as the service when the service were the sendered as the service when the service were the sendered as the service when the service were the sendered as the service were the sendered as the service when the service were the sendered as the service were the sendered as the service when the service were the sendered as the service were the sendered as the service were sendered as the service we he cannot enslave the people by his own power, he will readily join in any project which may effect that object. Such is the temper of the Mexican people, Mr. President, that I feel convinced they will either establish a monarchy, with a native sovereign, or place themselves under the dominion of some European power. But, if there were no such thing as the establishment of a mo-

Dut, it increwer no ster thing as the establishment of a morarchy to be apprehended, are we willing, I would ask, to abandon the prosecution of this war under the circumstances which have attended it. When we have proceeded thus far without having been in error at the commencement or during the progress of the

war-asking nothing but an honorable peace with a reasonable inwar-asking nothing out an incordate peace with a reasonation in-demnity, which our elemines have refused to grant on all occasions, or even to talk about, are we now to withdraw our troops without effecting our object! General Taylor offered them peace—Geo-eral Scott has offered it, and the President has offered it over and eral Scott has oldered it, and the President has othered it over and over again, under circumstances which have almost made them objects of ridicular in the country. And a relation to the country of th

tawaming winase a retrogram interesting a many and the sense, and offers assurance of good behavior to future. It has been proposed that we shall adopt a defensive line. In my opinion, sir, the adoption of such a line will extend the duration of the war to an indefinite time. If we were to fall back and assume a line which should include what we are willing to accept the sense of assume a line which should include what we are willing to accept sa an indemnity for the losses and injuries sustained by as, and garrison it, what would be the consequence? Being relieved from the presence of our army, Mexico will acquire the means of operating against us. She will get the mines and revenues of the country, and will invoke foreign aid, and thus the war will become interminable, and may eventuate by bringing us into conflict with some foreign and transatiantic power.

My opinion, then, Mr. President, is, that we should proceenies this war with vigor, and that the necessary consequences of such its war with vigor, and that the necessary consequences of such each of the control of the co

resolved to bring them to reason. They should be made to understand that they have nothing to expect from our divisions at home, the nature and extent of which they do not know and cannot proprly appreciate. If they see forty or fifty thousand men shout to seize and occupy permanently their large cities and mining districts, they would soon be convinced, notwithstanding the approach adoption of a treaty of peace which shall be antisfactory to this country. They do not know that any differences of political opinion which may exist among us, do nor weaken the arm of any man who serves his country. Such it is said that Mexico is in our hands, that we have her afready at our feet, that it is ungenerous to press the further, and that we have her afready at the further acought in that country. All the such as the second of the country was the control of the country of the country of the country of the country of the country. The country of the All tim may be true. All sixen has been induced to the each sixen been a Visita. We have not offer that we had more force the true to the sixen that the way and that the was effected with a lorge of about six thousand men opposed to twenty thousand. This is all true, and if there had been but six hundred of our men there, General Taylor would not have retreated. He and his gallant followers would have maintained their position; they might have been slain where they stood, but would never have been beaten by the Mexicans. It will be admitted that if instead six of thousand, we had had twenty thousand men on the field, Santa Anna would never have each considered the six of th lances of a brutal and dastardly soldery. No, sir, and it is my belief, that the greater the energy with which we prosecute this war, and the more commanding the force we place in the field, the less will be the sacrifice of human life, and the sconer will we

less will be the seenface of human life, and the sconer will we bring the enemy to terms.

I know, Mir. President, that great fears are entertained of the consequences likely to ensue from the taking of all Mexico, or any considerable portion of it. I confess that I entertain no such fears, but at the same time I would not insist upon any more of Mexico than may be necessary to afford us indemnity for losses, and, at the same time, furnish a well-founded assurance that she will maintain a government, free in itself, and not liable to the interference or control of any foreign government or their agents. I would be content that had been considered to the same time and the same time to the same time.

the people exposed to the oppressions of the military power. It is said, Mr. President, that it would be robbery to take away their country from the Mexicans. On this point, I would ask whether the principles of our government do not guaranty to all of

our citizens the full enjoyment of life, liberty, and property? If so. weuld not the extension of our government throughout Mexico, give perfect security to the inhabitants, who would, in that event, be entitled to the protection of our laws? Could this be called be entitled to the protection of our laws? Could this be called robbery, or would the right of property be divested! How would the right of property be divested! How would the rights of individuals be, in any degree, interfered with, by reson of our occupation of the country, or what sort of robbery would it cause? It would be nothing more not less than this, Mr. President. It would take from the tyraonical military chiefs the power of oppers and privilege to make use of the government for their own purposes, it leads to the development and enriching themselves. It would afford the country an opportunity to develop themselves. themselves. It would afford the country an opportunity to develop its mighty resources, and prevent them from being monopolized by a few foreign capitalists, whose interests are in conflict with those of the United States. There is one thing, however, sir that I would not be willing to do. I would not be willing to vote for a treaty of peace that would not secure to us the treitory as far as the Sierra Madre, including the Californias. This is what we should have, under all the circumstances, to place us in position should have, under all the circumstances; to passe us in position to watch the political movements that may bereafter transpire; for if we leave Mexico in the possession of the priests or the military power, she will become an instrument in the hands of some other government, with which to nanoy and interfere with ns Such an arrangement would be to the advantage of Mexico her-

government, with which to annoy and interfere with as Such an arrangement would be to the advantage off Mexico herself, if she be disposed to establish a free and stable government. The tratt is, sir, that the city of Mexico controls the whole of the stable is a sir, if the trate is, sir, that the city of Mexico controls the whole of the form of a constitution, and the pretence of Suite sovereigntes. It is the heart of Mexico, and is to her what Paris is to France. The government then being in the city of Mexico, it cannot effectually control the more distant provinces, and, consequently, the passession of them by the United States, to which they are contiguous, would be a real advantage to the Mexicans. While this benefit would enure to Mexico, the good resulting to the United States from the extension of our commerce, would be incalcable. Nor is this all. The Mexicans who occupy the territories, to their government, which, in its present exhausted condition, would be less able than ever to afford them security. To these people the advantages would be immose, growing out of the protection they would enjoy against Indian outrage. The roving bands of Camanches and other savages, are committing depredations upon them constantly, and at this moment there are thousands of their women and children belonging to the most respectable families, them constantly, and at this moment there are thousands of their women and children belonging to the most respectable families, who are held in the most hopeless captivity by those Indians, who are held in the most hopeless captivity by those Indians, against whom they cannot protect themselves. There is not a month, or scarcely a week that passes, which does not witness outrages of this sort. Then, sir, instead of being an injury to these people, it would be to do them the greatest service possible, to take them under our protection. While this territory is, comparatively, of little value to Mexice, to usi it of great importance, and to find the seminaters of it, would be only to acquire what is startly due, the smarters of it, would be only to acquire what is and which she is unable to pay in any other way. One of the startly due, that she owes us is due, not to the government, but to our citizens. They did not volunately part with their property, in order to create this indebredness: not to the government, but to our citizens. They did not voluntarily part with their property, in order to create this indehtedness; but Mexico, with violence and a shameless disregard of all principle, robbed then of what belonged to them. Most of the claimants have been for years, and are at present, ground down to the earth by poverty, brought upon them by the forcible taking of their substance by the authorities of Mexico.

I do not propose to pursue this discussion further at this time: but before I take my scat, Mr. President, I will vonture the asser-tion, that, if partisan political motives be suffered to enter into and tion, tast, in partiesar pointes monves of surfers to enter into and tion, tast, in partiesar pointes monves of surfers to enter into and profits etailed between the profits and the profits are set of the profits of

Mr. GREENE took the floor, and on his motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 18, 1848.

### PETITIONS.

Mr. ATCHISON presented a petition of entizens of the United States residing in the Wyandott nation, in the Indian territory, praying a reduction of the rates of postage on newspapers; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Also, a document relating to the claim of Joseph Barelay, a soldier in the last war with Great Britain, to an allowance of bounty land; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. MANGUM presented the petition of William Davis and others, praying that the right to purchase the timber on certain public lands in Alabama, may be granted to thein; which was re-lerred to the Committee on Public Lands.

Mr. BREESE presented two petitions of citizons of Illinois, praying the establishment of a mail route from Waterloo to Sparta, in that State; which were referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

# On motion by Mr. BAGBY, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Caroline E. Clitherall, widow of George C. Clitherall, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

On motion by Mr. ASHLEY, it was

Ordered, That Scott, White and Company have leave to withdraw their petition and papers.

Mr. BREESE submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved. That the Committee on the Post Office and Post Rords, be instructed to inquire into the expedience of establishing a post route from Waterloo, by Red Bad and Fayettville, to Sparta, in the State of Ultuois.

### CONTRIBUTIONS IN MEXICO.

Mr. BALDWIN submitted the following resolutions for consideration, which were ordered to be printed

Resided, That the amount collected by the army of the United States in Mexico, from the revenues of that regulating and from the contributions levels on the propie free the Personal of the P

mation therefor.

Resolved, That the President be requested to communicate to the Senate a particular account of all momes collected from the revenues of Mexico, or from mintary contributions levered by the authority, and of the manuare in which the same has been

# ADVERSE REPORTS.

Mr. ASHLEY, from the Committee on the Judienary, to whom were referred the memorials of Susan C. Randall and of C. Channey, and others, submitted an adverse report; which was ordered the rejied. to be printed.

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to onto the Judiciary, to whom was recommitted the petition of J. Bigglow, administrator of Francis Cazzau, deceased; and also the report (and bill accompanying the same) made in said case by same committee, submitted an adverse report; which was ordered to be printed.

Mr. TURNEY, from the Committee on Patents and the Patent Office, to whom was referred the petition of Aaron Carman, sub mitted an adverse report; which was ordered to be printed.

# CHANGE OF REFERENCE.

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on Pensions be discharged from the further consideration of the petition of Mary Cassin; and that it be referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

# DISCHARGED

On motion by Mr. ATCHISON, it was

Ordered. That the Committee on Indian Affairs be discharged from the further consideration of the memorial of the Board of the American Indian Mission Association.

# UNITED STATES COURTS IN MICHIGAN.

Mr. ASHLEY, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom was referred the bill concerning the courts of the United States in and for the district of Michigan, reported it without amendment.

The Senate proceeded to consider said bill, as in Committee of the Whole, and no amendment being made, it was reported to the

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent.

Resolved, That it pass, and that the title thereof be as a foresaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

#### HOUSE BILLS REPORTED.

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the Committee of Claims, to whom were referred the following bills from the House of Representatives, reported them without amendment.

An act for the relief of the legal hors of John Snyder, dec

An act for the rehei of S. Morris Wa'n.

An act for the relief of the legal representatives of James Brown, deceased.

Mr. BALDWIN, from the same committee, to whom was re Phineas Capen, legal administrator of John Cox, deceased, of Boston, reported it without amendment.

### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

Mr. President: The House of Representatives have passed a bill contiled "An act to authorize a loan not to exceed the sum of sixteen inilious of dollars," in which they request the concurrence of the Seoate.

### THE LOAN BILL.

The bill from the House of Representatives to authorize a loan not to exceed the sum of sixteen millions of dollars, was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Finance.

# COMPENSATION OF POSTMASTERS.

On motion by Mr. N1LES, the prior orders were suspended, An action of authorized property and action of the provided property and action of the provided provid being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent.

Resolved. That it pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

On motion by Mr. HANNEGAN, the prior orders were suson motion of Mr. HARLEGAR, the prior consideration, as in Committee of the Whole, of the bill for the relief of Mary McRea, widow of Lieuteaant Colonel William McRea, late of the United States army, deceased.

Mr. HANNEGAN moved to amend the bill by striking ont the words "during her natural life," and inserting in lieu thereof "for the ensuing five years from and after the passage of this act."

Mr. SEVIER suggested the propriety of allowing the bill to stand as it had been reported. The widow of Col. McRea was very old and infirm, and it was not likely that sike would live much longer. Their experience had shown that the limitation of these relief lills to a period of five years was not effective, a renewal always being sought. In order to save legislation he made the suggestion that the lill be allowed to remain without amendment.

Mr. HANNEGAN said that he should most cheerfully acquiesce Mr. HANNELAN said that he should most encertainy acquisese in the suggestion were it not for a letter which he had received from the old lady himself, with whom he had no previous acquaint-ance, and had never seen. She was more than seventy years of age and was borne down by many infirmities. In that letter the old lady expressed her desire that the limitation of five years should be made in order to facilitate the passage of the bill, at the same time that she implored speedy action. The claim was a highly meritorious one, and the relief proposed to be extended was in consideration of services as deserving as any ever rendered by any

Mr. BENTON then rose, but yielded to

Mr. NILES, who said he not did ruse exactly to oppose the bill, but he begged leave to call attention to the course of legislation on this subject, in order that they might see whither it is tending, and where it is tuend. For a length of time a law providing for the widows and minor children of officers and soldiers of the militudying from wounds in that service, had been in existence. As he thought, with good reason, a distinction had been made in layor of the militia. A few days since, after a very little consideration, a bill had passed the Seinate extending the law, to which he had just alluded, to the regular army of the United States. In the form in which it was presented, that bill would have gone back 1818, but he had discovered that, and procured an amendment, the law of the property of the pr

Mr. BENTON said he yielded the floor to his friend from Connecticut, in the full expectation that he would support the bill, because he so generally concurred in favor of what was right. This case of Mrs. McRae had been brongt forward by himself some years ago; and a Senator from Maine, no longer a member some years ago; and a Senator from Maine, no longer a member of the case, most cordaily co-operated in bringing it to the favorable consideration of the Senate. At the time the case was first brought forward, he expressed his desire that the principle involved in the case night he applied to the whole army; but the old lady having lived too long already to expect to be able to live long enough to see a general principle applied to meet her case—begged him to give the bill the form which the Senator from Indiana for from Indiana and orhers acquainted with the subject, in gving it a cordial support, in the full conviction, at the same time, that they were not doing adequate justice. It was the case of a lady—her fetter showed her to be one in every sense of the word—who, for almost fifty years, had been the wile of as brave and as honorable a soldier as ever lived, and who, in his fifty years of military life, was always upon the fronite—always engaged in andowhich belonged to the Inhorious military life of the earliest period for ur bistory, from '91 coming down to the end of the war with Great Britain. He perished on the banks of the Ohio, struck with the cholera. If he had been struck down by the arrow or the latched of the Indian, his wife would have taken her pension as a matter of course. He was struck down in the line of life dary, and his death to his family was precisely the same as if he had fallen by the hand of the fore. But the law made a difference beful the solder dies who gives his life for his country, so far as regards the merit of the sacrifice. It is the same in both cases. The last the had been only twenty four hours marvied, and her husband had fallen in battle, she would have been entitled

· A SENATOR .- He may have been on a furlough.

Mr. BENTON.—On furlough? He had never any thing to do with birdough. What he had to with most was double duty—building forts. opening roads, making bridges—on the frontier always. This was truly a case in which they might say—

" Deliberat Roma, perit Saguntum.

The old lady perisheth whilst they deliberate! He hoped, in conclusion, that every consideration of justice would prompt the Senate to consent to the immediate passage of the bill.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, agreed with the Senator from Connecticut, that there might be some danger in establishing precedents calculated to lead to a too wide extension of the pension haws. But need not apprehend that the passage of the present bill would operate in that way. The case was one of the most metriorious obstacter, and it had peculiar features which rendered it

hardly probable that it would be hereafter cited as a precedent to justify any improper legislation.

Mr. PEARCE remarked that it was, very difficult to resist such appeals to the feelings of Senators as had been made in favor of this bill. But he was of opinion that the bill introduced a new principle in their legislation, ever known in the case of military pensions, and but for a short time, and that most unfavorably in the case of naval pensions. The principle of the naval pension law was that the widows of those killed in buttle—dying from wounds received in bartle, or in consequence of exposure to some of the penuliar hizards of their profession, were entitled to a pension.—So far he was willing to go. But the present bill proposed to go farther. If proposed to give a pension to the widow of an officer of his duty. If that principle were adopted, why not extend it to all employees of the government, eivil as well as military. He was very far from wishing to derract from the value and importance of the soften on the did not approve of the principle involved in the bill. If the bill passed, he did not see why they should not go on and, and following the suggestion of the Secator from Missouri, enact a general law, giving pensions to the widow of all officers dying in the service, no natter how or where he was of all officers dying in the service, no natter how or where the order.

Mr. BADGER said that he was at once glad and sorry to hear the remarks of his frend from Maryland, because it was evident that, throughout, his excellent understanding was engaged in a forced context with the suggestions of his heart. For himself, he had never given a vote in that body with ence pleasure than he would vote for this bill. It introduced no new principle into their pension laws. It was not an application to extend the pension laws. It was not an application to extend the pension laws. It was simply an application to Congress to pass a special bill for a special case, and when a similar case presented itself all a similar case occurred, he would, with equal cheeringless, he prepared to provide for it in the same way. He though that that cennetry might be declared incapable of self-government, and the proper discharge of irs duties to those who served it, which was either unable or unwilling to discharge such a debt as that incurred in the present case—a case of more than forty years unremitted, devoted/faithful service, death in the service, and an aged relict left entirely destitute.

Mr. HANNEGAN said that he had refrained from expressing his own opinion on the bill from the fact that he had been satisfied from the first that the Senate would pass the bill. He rose for the purpose of begging the friends of the bill at any rate, and Senators on every side, to allow the question to be taken without further debate, as it was apparent from the hands of the clock that unless acted on at once, it would be laid over for another day, meanwhile, in the beautiful language quoted by the Senator from Missouri, whilst they deliberated, the aged widow starved.

The amendment was agreed to; and no further amendment being made, the bill was reported to the Senate, and the amendment concurred in.

Ordered, That the bill be engrosse and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent.

Resolved. That it pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Orcered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

# ADJOURNMENT OVER.

On motion, it was ordered that when the Senate adjourn, it be to Monday next.

# TEN REGIMENT BILL

The Senate resumed the consideration of the bill to raise for a limited time, an additional military force.

Mr. GREENE.—Mr. President, when the act of May, 1846, recognizing the existence of the war with Mexico, passed the two Houses of Congress, I was absent on a visit to my family, and, therefore, my name is not to be found on the journal of the Senate, upon that bill. I have since voted for supplies of men and money for the prosecution of this war; but I have now come to the continuous that it is any duty to vote against the bill upon your table. It is due to the State which I have the honor, in part, to represent in this chamber, that I should declare, at least, some of the reasons which influence me in the vote I am about to give. This, sir, must be my applointly for throwing myself upon the indigence of the reasons which influence me in the vote I am about to give. This, sir, must be my applointly for throwing myself upon the indigence of the reasons which influence the action of his body. The properties of the prope

non address you my east when the vote was taken upon the act proposed in May, 1846; I do not doubt—I never have doubted—that I should have acted with Senators upon this and the other side of the chamber in voting for the bill; I should have endeavored, with my frieads upon this side, to amend it, by striking out the objectionable preamble; but, if we had not succeeded in that, in the

emergency presented to us by the message, and without time te examine into the facts, in regard to the origin of the war, I should not have felt myself authorized to withhold my vote from a measure which I should have deemed of pressing necessity for the safety of our army upon the Rio Grande, then understood to be io great

ty of our army upon the Rio crande, then understood to be in great peril from an overwhelming force.

I do not propose, Mr. President, to detain the Senate by any discussion of the origin or justice of this war. These questions have brought to their consideration the minds of men abler by far than he who now addresses you; and the subject seems to me to have been entirely exhausted. It is sufficient for me to say, that I believe the war to have been unnecessarily precipitated; that the immediate cause of it was the order under which the army was marched from Corpus Christi to the Rio Grande; that it might have been avoided by the exercise of prudence; and that it was therefore, unnecessary, and, if unnecessary, unjust, at least to our-selves. I propose to confine myself, in the remarks which I shall make, to the consideration of our present position and future prospects, if the war be continued, and the measures and policy now recommended by the President of the United States, be adopted. I shall endeavor to show that the character and objects of the war are changed; that it is inconsistent with the intention of the framers of, and parties to, the constitution of the United States, and with the spirit of that instrument itself, to acquire and hold by conquest any loreign territory; that it is not only unconstitutional, but would be inexpedient and dangerous to our free institutions and to the permanency of the Union, to acquire and hold any portion of Mexico by conquest; and that to make the addition to the army now proposed, is unnecessary, and would tend to increase the difficulties of our position and protract the war. I will consider these various points as briefly as I may. I shall not go over the whole of the wide field embraced in these propositions, but shall content myself with offering only such remarks, in regard to each, as shall sufficiently explain my views in relation to them.

Until the present session of Congress, every message of the President of the United States, from that of May, 1846, has held out the idea that the war would be presecuted with vigor, but out the face that the war would be prosecuted with vigor, but that no territory was to be permanently held by this country, unless by free and voluntary cession on the part of Mexico—by treaty, and on fair and honorable terms. I think I am correct in this position, that until the annual message of the President of the United States to the present Congress, the idea of holding any portion of territory by conquest, was never intinated by the Exce-ntive, nor indeed was it ever, so far as I recollect, suggested upon this floor. If this be true, then, I repeat, and it is demonstrable, that if we carry out the measures recommended in the message of December, 1847, we shall prosecute the war for objects not only not hitherto avowed, but, on the contrary, expressly disavowed, by the Executive, and which are, in my opinion, utterly inconsistent with the welfare and prosperity of our country. For the purpose of showing this, I will compare the present annual message of the President with his former messages. I will first refer to the mes-sage of August 8th, 1846. In that communication, the President, after speaking of the best mode of carrying on the war, says:

It is my since close to seem, more on carrying on the war, says:

"It is my since close to termine, as at van conjustify road, the resuming was
with Merson, by a peace just and honorable to hot parties. It is probable that the
elicif obtained to be summerted as econjushing this discrable object will be the adjustment of a homilary between the two republics, which shall pove satisfactory and
overegent to both, and such as nother will becentle the undeated to that. In the
adjoinment of this boundary, we eight to pay a fair equivalent for any concessions
which may be made by Merson.

"A peace just and honorable to both parties," was then avowed to be the object of the war. The language is explicit. It not only does not look to the acquisition of territory by conquest, but it expressly desrows and disclaims any such intention. In the adjustment of the boundary he says, "we ought to pay a fair equivalent for any concessions which may be made by Mexico." Again, in the annual message of December, 1846, the President

says: "The vest will continue to be prosecuted with vigor, as the best mean, of securing peace. It is hoped that the decision of the Westean Congress, to which our list ower true has been referred, may result in a speely and honorable peace. With our experience, however, of the untreadenable course of the Mestean authorities, it is the part hourse. In this way, it is demand important to hold military possession of all the provinces, which have been taken, multi a defautive treaty of peace shall have been cannot be considered and rather than the continue and continued and rather by the two countres.

The compact of the continues are considered and the vigorian measured by Mestea, this been carried into the enemy's country, and will be vigoriant plant of the continues of the continues and the continues are considered and the continues are considered and the vigoriant continues and the continues are considered and the continues are considered and the vigoriant continues are considered and the continues are cont

The President bere reiterates his disavowal that the war has been "waged with a view to conquest." And again, on the 13th of February, 1847, the President tells us-

While it is deemed to be our true policy to projecute the war in the manner in dicated, and thus make the enemy feel uts pressure and its exist, I shall be at all times ready, with the authority conferred on me by the constitution, and with all the meanwhich may be placed at my command by Congress, to conclude a just and honovable

Now, sir, what is the language of the President, and what are the measures proposed by him at the commencement of the present session? I will ask the indulgence of the Senate, whilst I read a ssage from the last annual mersage of the Executive. President there says :

"Whilst our arms have been every where victorious, having subjected to our milita-ry occupation a large portion of the enemy's country, including his capital, and ne go tations for perced having failed, the important questions orise, in what manner the war ought to be prosecuted, and what should be our future points? I cannot doubt

that we should secore and render available the couguest which we have already mode and that, with this view, we should hold and occopy, by our caval and military forces, all the ports, towers, cities, and provinces now in our occopation, or which may hereafter fall into our possession; that we should press forward our military operations, nd levy such military contributions on the enemy as may, as far as practicable, de

forces, all the ports, towers, cities, and proviners now it our occupation, or w. leb may force and the ports of the ports

Here, then, is proof abundant that the character and objects of Here, then, is proof abundant that the character and objects of the war are changed. By the recommendation of the President, we are to render "available" the conquests of Mexican territory which we have made. "We should adopt measures to indemnify ourselves by appropriating permanently a portion of her territory."
We are to hold the provinces of New Mexico and the Californias,
with or without a treaty. "I am satisfied that they should never

with or without a treaty. "I am satisfied that they should never he surrendered to Mexico," says the President of the United States. To substitute—no equivalent—no payment of money, even, can change our relations in these provinces. In no event can they be surrendered to Mexico. What is this but title by conquest? We have, and we claim no right to these provinces, over which we are to establish "territorial governments," except the right of the

The obvious and striking difference between the messages to which I have referred, shows that the policy recommended to Congress at the present time, is utterly at variance with that Congress at the present into its interval advantage with that formerly avowed by the President. It must be apparent to the minds of all, from a comparison of these messages—from cootrast-ing the objects formerly avowed by the President with his lan-guage now, and the measures at present recommended by him, that gentlemen who heretolore have voted for men and means for the prosecution of the war, may now, without affording the slight-est ground for the charge of moonsistency, record their votes against the bill under consideration, even if there were no other reason for such votes

But, sir, in considering the measures now recommended, with But, sur, in considering the measures now recommended, with reference to their effects, we should not confine ourselves merely to the views officially expressed by the President. In looking to the consequences of our action here, we should not be unamindful of the "signs of the times," as they are indicated by resolutions offered in his chamber, by speeches and letters of distinguished ourren in this casimer, by speciales and recurs of distinguished members of the administration party here and elsewhere, by the expressions of popular opinion, and by the tone of a portion of the public press. These afford strong indications that something beyond the annexation of a portion of Mexican territory may be the consequence of the measures proposed, and of the further prose-

It may be remarked, and indeed it must have struck every one, that we have never had any declaration from the war-making power of the objects of this war. We present to the world a strange er of the objects of this war. We present to the world a strange spectacle—prosecuting, for nearly two verses, a war, the objects of which, down to this moment, have not been declared by the war-making power, n.e. even, with any distinctness, by the Executive, We have carried on a "vigorous war" to obtain an honorable peace," and we are now carrying on this war to obtain "indemnity for the past and security for the interior." No wife words may pust what the authority for the interior. The property of the cover of property of the cover of the co gust what the anthor of them may choose to say they mean. They are indefinite, for, although they are broad enough to cover any thing, they in fact define nothing. We must, therefore, in ascertaining the objects and the possible consequences of this war, look to the opinions of gentlemen on the other side of the chamber, who occupy high stations, and have great power and influence over the public mind. In forming our opinions in regard to the conse-quences likely to follow from the measures of the administration, is proper to consider them in connection with the expressions of it is proper to consider them in connection with the expressions of those who have been identified with its policy in this chamber and elsewhere. I have taken some pains to gather some of these evidences of opinion, and I feel satisfied, sir, that the question is eventually to be, whether we shall incorporate the whole of Mexico with these United States. I have in my band as prech of the

honorable Senator from Texas, who sits before me, [Mr. Hovsrow.] delivered at a great mass meeting, recently held in Tammany Hall, in the city of New York. And, sir, you will permit me
here to remark, that we are not at liberty to value lightly the
opinions of that gentleman, expressed at such meetings. They
give a tone to public sentiment. They are dangerous, not merely
from the effect they have upon the persons present on such occasions, but from the influence which they exercise upon the whole
country through the medium of the public press. country through the medium of the public press

I find that, on the occasion referred to, the honorable Senator

"You may escape the small pox, but you can never escape the contagion of land loving. As are as you live, it will become a part of your nature. There is not an American upon earlier limit with at town laim. It is the fair, though I say so in my voice and the latter of the latter of

Well, sir, sentiments such as these, coming from so distinguished a personage, are calculated to have great weight. The example here held out for imitation cannot fail to have its effect. You love land, says the Senator. "There is not an American on earth but land, says the Senator. "There is not an American on earth but what loves land." Then, sir, the alleged example of our ancestors is appealed to. You have always nequired territory in this way; and in this way, you must get as much more as you can. Such is the tenor of the gendleman's remarks at that meeting. Sir, no great effort—no very strong arguments are required to persande masses of people of the justice of measures which their interest makes them but too willing to pursue; and when this national land-loving propensity is beld up as a sufficient justification, it would surprise us it it did not produce its natural effect. It excited "great applause." Sir, when the same meeting was addressed by the honorable Senator from Missispipi, [Mr. Footra.] and after he had given his views upon the right of acquiring territory by conquest, he says: tory by conquest, he says :

"The enemy's country in our processions and what shall we do with id! You have debated what we shall do with it. You have debated whet we shall do with it. We have debated by your resolutions what we shall do with it. We are not to withdraw our armies, for the present, at least, well, appose it terms out that Mexico will never be able again to make known its separate instronal existence to the civilized world—that we shall have no government with whole we can treat."

Then he asks "what shall we do with Mexico?" The response comes at once from the crowd—"annex it?"—"annex it." The honorable Senator indeed said, "I am not prepared for that;" but, sir, it was evident that the minds of the people had been prepared

- This, sir, is not all. I have in my hand the resolutions presented by the honorable Senator from Alabama, [Mr. BAGHY,] one of which is as follows:

Resolved, That conquest is a legitimate mode of acquiring territory, and so recognized by the laws and the universal practice of civilized nations."

In connexion with this, and as indicating what the honorable Senator means, I beg leave to read an extract from a letter, said to be from him, which I find in a newspaper called the 'Hannihal City Gazette,' published on the 27th of January, 1848:

"SENATOR BAGOY UPON ANNEXATION.—This United States Senator has written a letter to a gentleman in Tuscaloosa in favor of the annexation of all Mexico to the United States. He says:

Valued States. He says:

"In every light which I can view the present condition of Mexico and our relation towards her, I am irresistibly (the word as printed was "meritably," it is changed a three request of the honorable Senant; led to the conclusion that there is no alternative led, that to reduce the country to absolute subjection, and extend the jurisdiction of on laws and maturitous overit."

This language requires no comment. Again, sir, we have before us the resolutions of the honorable Senator from New York, [Mr. Dickinson,] breathing the same spirit:

[Mr. Dickinson,] breathing the same spirit:

"Resided, That the poley requires the government of the United States to strengtion it; political and commercial relation spon this community by the nanocation attended to the commercial relation spon this community by the nanocation and that netter in such segments, one in the territorial organization fluxory, can any conditions be constitutionally unposed, or institutions be provided for or established, respectively. The property of the original members of the embedded and the property of the original members of the embedded, while the property of the original members of the embedded, while the property of the original members of the consistency of the original resistance of the consistency of the original resistance of the consistency of the original resistance of the consistency of the consistency of the original resistance is the property desired for the consistency of the consistency of the original resistance of the consistency of the original resistance in the people thereto to the legislature, closure to be the people thereto to the legislature, closure to the people thereto to the legislature, closure to be the people thereto to the legislature, closure to the people thereto the threat of the consistency of the consisten

And to these the honorable Senator from Florida, [Mr. Yulee.] offers this amendment ·

"That the trivity belonging to, or which may be acquired by the United States, is the common property of the Union, and the "overeignty over the same vests in the people of the several States composing the Union." \*\*Reselved further, That the federal government has no delegated authority, nor the territoral commontly any subserved right, in a vesse can y legislative power without the territoral commontly any subserved right, in a vesse can y legislative power without the territoral commontly any subserved right, in a vesse can y legislative power without the common property may be majoried or entities."

And then we have the resolutions presented to this body by my friend, the Senator from Indiana:

"Residered, That on treaty of passes can be made with Mexico, having a proper regard for the level interests of the United States, which does not establish as a boun-red of the level interests of the United States, which does not establish as a boun-ter of the United States of the United States of the United States of the "Residered. That no contingency could be the form of the United States of the establish-ment of a monarchical government within the limits of Mexico by the intervention of European power.

ropean power.

\*\*Resolved. That it may become necessary and proper, as it is within the constitu-nal capacity of this government, for the United States to hold Mexico as a territorial

30TH CONG .- 1ST SESSION-No. 40.

Well. Mr. President, it is true that henorable Senators on the Well, Mr. President, it is true that henorable Senators on the other side of the chamber, who have discussed this bill upon this floor, have not, all of them. avowed their intention or desire to carry on this war for the purpose of coaquering the whole of Mexico. Indeed, the honorable chairman of the Committee of Mititary Affairs, [Mr. Cass.] disavows all such intention; whilst, however, he made the disavoval, he remarked that such a result might come, and it it should, be tide not believe that even the aisorption of the whole of Mexico Committee of Again, the chairman of the committee of the c

[Mr. Seviera,] states, distinctly, his opinion that this issue may be forced upon the democratic party at the next Presidential election, and clearly awows that, should the alternative be the with-

tion, and clearly awovs that, should the alternative be the with-drawal of our troops altogether, without any acquisition of terri-tory, or the subjugation of the entire Mexican territory, he goes for taking the whole of Mexico.

These are "the signs of the times," sir; these are opinions not lightly expressed; they emante from no insignificant sources, so They are operating upon the public mind, sir—who can doubt it? It was said on a former occasion, in this chamber, that it would be necessary to prepare the public mind for war. Perhaps, sir, it is now necessary to prepare the public mind for that, to hear which should awaken alarm in the breast of every American Sena-tor. These americans may be but shadows, sir but "coming" which should awaken 'alarm in the breast of every American Sean-tor. These apprehensions may be but shadows, sir; but "coming events cast their shadows before." If any gentleman in this Sen-ate will look back to the period preceding the last Presidential election, and recall to his memory the condition of the question of the annexation of Texas, then, and compare it with the state of the question of the acquisition of the whole of Mexico now, the tor from Arkansas, [Mr. Seyters], will be the issue moving in the next Presidential contest. He will also see, sir, that all the ex-pressions of opinion to which I have alluded are but preparations of the public mind for the agitation of that question, as the great issue upon which the democratic party intends to go into the

of the public mind for the agitation of that question, as the great issue upon which the democratic party intends to go into the next election of a Chief Magistrate.

I now proceed, Mr. President, to the consideration of the second question that I propose to discuss, which is the right of the gov-ernment of the United States, in accordance with the spirit of our constitution, to hold foreign territory by the title of conquest alone. The constitution of our Union was framed for the government of The constitution of our Union was framed for the government of the old United States, and the territory which they occupied or owned. It was framed by delegates from the States themselves, and adopted by the people; it was framed for an Anglo-Saxon race. Its powers were all delegated powers—and they are limited by the instrument itself. It contemplated no acquisition of territory beyond the limits of the Union. True, the treaty-making power was therein given to the general government, as was also the war-making power was for purposes essential to the efficiency of the instrument, which purposes are set forth with exactness in the preamble :

"We, the people of the United States, in order to form a more perfect Union, estab-lish justice, insure domestic tranquility, provide for the common defence, promote the general welfare, and secure the blessings of liberty to our-cives and our posterity do ordain and establish this constitution for the United States of America.

These were the objects for which the constitution was framed—
"to provide for the common defence, and to promote the general
welfare," of the parties to the compact. It contemplates no extension of its benefits heyond the parties to the instrument. The power was given to Congress to admit new States; but, evidently, the power so granted had reference to new States erected within

the power so granted had reference to new States erected within the old territory. This was the view taken at the time of the adoption of the constitution, and it continued to be entertained, until the period of the purchase of Louisiana by Mr. Jefferson. That purchase was never justified by its distinguished projector on the ground of its constitutionality, but purely and entirely upon the ground of the necessity of the case.

I propose to trace, as briefly as I cau, the great departure that has taken place from the true intent of the constitution, in this return the point where we claim for the United States the power to take and hold foreign territory, simply by the right of conquest. I have said that Mr. Jefferson himself justified the treaty by which Louisiana was acquired, solely on the ground of necessity. It was indispensable to the growth and prosperity of the western portion of siana was acquired, solely on the ground of necessity. It was in-dispensable to the growth and prosperity of the western portion of the States that an outlet, through the river Mississippi, should be obtained for their produce. When I speed of the doubtful power which was exercised in the formation of that treaty, I wish to be clearly understood, however, as not intending to depreciate or un-dervalue, in any degree, the vast advantages arising from it. There were no doubt very satisfactory reasons for the annexation of that large extent of country. But I was about to refer to the opinion of Mr. Jefferson on the subject. In his letter to Judge Breckenridge, in August, 1803, he says:

Breckenridge, in August, 1803, he says:

"This treaty must of come he lad before both Henrics, because both have important founders to exercise respecting it. They, I prename, will use their clust to their country, in rathfying and paying fort, to, so to severe a good which would delieve we canny, in rathfying and paying fort, to, so to severe a good which would delieve we which the action that the present the states for an additional article is there. But I unpose they must then appeal to the settles for an additional article is there. Each the states for an additional article is the control of the matter of the settles for an additional article is the control of the matter of the control of the same few provision for our holding fourier heritory, still less for necopporting foreign autions into extension of the control of the contro

that for the term of the last according to the nation, and that set of in-

The same gentleman ag on says, in his letter to Levi Lincoln, died August 30th, 1803;

On further consideration as to tak amendment to our constitution in setting Louisiana, I have thought it better, undead of enumerating the power which Congress in the exercise, to give them the same power they have as to other particular to Prima generally, and to enumerate the probability of the primary constitution in the probability of the primary constitution of the primary constitution of the primary constitution of the primary constitution in the probability of the primary constitution of the primary constitution of the primary constitution of the primary constitution in the primary constitution of the primary constitution of the primary constitution of the primary constitution in the primary constitution of the pr

In a letter addressed to Wilson C. Nicholas, dated September

7th, 1803, the release signs of the Lennison of the contraction of the

I am aware, s.r., that these letters have heretofore been frequently referred to, but they have such a direct bearing on the subject I am considering, that I shall be excused for calling your attention to them at this time.

Nor was this, sir, the opinion of that distinguished statesman Nor was this, sir, the opinion of that distinguished statesman alone. Similar views, I am satisfied, were entertuined by Mr. MATISON, and I know that the venerable John QUINCY ADAMS avowed and maintained the same opinions; and such indeed were the sentiments of many of our ablest and wisest statesmen at the the sentiments of many of many and was a section of the acquission of Louisiana. General acquisseence, subsequently, seems to have made it a part of the constitution, that the treaty-making power may annex foreign territory to the Uni-

The next acquisition of territory was that of Florida, which, The next nequisition of territory was that of Florida, which, Senators will bear in mind, was also elbected by treaty. Recently, and lastly, came the annexation of Texas. In this last instance, however, the treaty-making power having been appealed to evade the restrictions by which that power was transmelled. The two-third vate was found inconvenient by the friends of the measure, and recurse was land to annexation by joint resolution, whereby the new territory was made a part of our Union, and that, too, in this body, by a harm gaingiry. Treaties require a two-third vote in the Senate, where the smaller States have equal weight with the larger; but of new territory can be unexpected to the Union by a harm gain the Senate, where the smaller States have equal weight with the larger; but if new territory can be annexed to the Union by a bare major-ity of both Hoases, what is to become of the security of the weaker members of the old confederacy, whose rights may thus be sacrimembers of the our conference, whose lights may onto essentifieed by the addition of States, comparatively without population, whenever it may suit a dominant party to adopt such a policy? We had gone so far beyond the construction put upon the constitution by Mr. Jefferson, as to believe that the treaty-making powers. er could annex foreign territory; but it has been, I think, but sel-dom contended that foreign territory could be annexed by any other mode. The lederal constitution has been regarded as a compact between the original States, and, whatever the operation of the contract might be in other respects, the several States, be their size what it may, were to have an equal representation in this body. A vote of two-thirds being required to sanction a treaty for the aequisition of territory, there would always be something like safety in a treaty ratified by the Senate. When the proposition to makes Texas was presented, the constitutional majority could not be obtained. But the democratic party at the Baltmore Con-vention had made americation one of the great issues of the Pre-sidential election, and it was necessary that the decrees of that convention should be carried into effect. The decree was necord-ingly excepted in the shape of joint resolutions; and thus was the check and safegmand provided by the constitution broken down, and the new principle established, that foreign territory may be annexed by the passage of joint resolution by the two Houses of annexed by the passage of joint resolutions by the two Houses of Congress, with the approbation of the President. One would have thought that this was going quite far enough, and that we ought thought that this was going quire are enough, and that we ought to pause here. But no, sir; we are now required to take another and a bolder step, and to recognize the principle that foreign territory—territory which has never been the property of this country—may be acquired and incorporated into this Union by the mero

right of conquest. However barbarous this mode of acquisition right of conquest. However barbarous this mode of acquisition may be, it cannot be denied that it has, to a certain extent, been recognized under other forms of government. But, sir, I do deny, that, under our constitutional compact, under the bond entered into by the States with each other, permanent acquisition of territory can thus be made.

I will not trespass further upon the time of the Senate by dweling on this branch of my subject, but will now proceed, sir, to consider the third question, upon which I propose to make a few remarks—the expediency of the proposed annexation of new territo-

Simple—the expedience of the proposed annexation of new territory, heycond the limits of our present possessions.

The annexation of any portion of Mexico to this Union is, in my opinion, to be deprecented, first, and principally, because we can effect no annexation without raising a question which we cannot hat consider the most dangerous that can possibly be agriated in this country. I refer to the question of shared states, expressing stay of have been present to the extension of the area of slavery, which may, and probably will follow, and be consequent upon, any acquisition of Mexican territory. I am aware that the policy indicated by these resolutions, and by the Wilmot Proviso, as its real-did, has been termed an "augressive policy," on the part of the free States. In behalf of those States, at any rate the one from which I count. I long let us the counter indicated by a due regard state of the state of the state of the regard of the state of the state of the state of the regard of the state of th

intended to settle, and regarded as settling, the whole question, as to the States of this I hiom in which slavery should be permitted to exist. This was the ordinance, forever "unalterable but by common consent," in full force when the constitution was framed and adopted. The constitution was resided with reference to it. It was regarded as a part of the compact, and one of the early acts of the first Congress under that constitution was a recognition, or, or the first Congress under that constitution was a recognition, or, rather, adoption of it, as an existing fundamental law.

Among the most important subjects of discussion in the conven-tion which formed the constitution was the distribution of power tion when formed the constitution was the distribution of power among the States. The representation of the slave population was a matter of compromise between the States. Neither the free nor slaveholding States looked to the acquisition of any territory beyond the limits of the States and territory then in existence. To that compromise we conscientiously adhere. We hold fast to the spi-rit and intent of the constitution. We have already submitted to compromise we consecuted as a text of the constitution. We have already submitted to the introduction of new States, in which slavery is tolerated, which the introduction of new States, in which slavery is tolerated, when have been created out of foreign territory, and which were never contemplated by the framers of the constitution. We have recently acquiesced in an addition to our slaveholding territory, by the annexation of Texas, larger than eight or nine of the old thirteen States, and out of which new States are to be created.

We say to the South, we can go no further. The free States take this ground. We want no more territory. We have had cought of the introduction of new slaveholding States from foreign cough of the introduction of new slaveholding States from foreign to the states of the constitution. We can

tention, acquired since the adoption of the constitution. We cannot consent to go beyond the point at which we have already arrived. Our views upon this question are different from yours; we elaim to be as conscientions as you; we regard slavery as a great moral evil, and cannot consent to its further extension. We regard moral evil, and cannot consent to its lurther extension. We regard the institution of slavery, not only as a moral evil, but we look upon it, also, as a great political evil. The people of the southern States are represented in Congress, not according to their popula-tion, but in arcoordance with the amount of them property. This is a political evil, to the increase of which we cannot consent.

on the other hand, gentlemen tell us that a restriction will never be submitted to by the South. Each party is equally positive, and conceding that both are equally honest in the assertion of what they conceive to be their rights, is it not evident, that, with the feelings which are manifested on this subject, you hazard the safety of the Union, by the contemplated addition to your limits.

I have before referred to the resolutions and amendment of the Senators from New York and Florida, [Mr. Dickinson and Mr. VULEE.] on this subject, and already, in anticipation of what is looked for as the result of this war, has this question been debated iooked for as the result of this war, has this question been debated in. What, then, I would also, siv, is the true and particite centre to be parassed? Why, to acquire no more territory, and thus avoid this element of strie and discord. You have already territory coordy, extending itself throughout every variety of soil and elimite. Gold has blessed you with what is sufficient—why then do you desire to add to your wide possessions? Will it enhance your power and impartance as a nation? No. sir; years, if not centuries, must roll by, before your population can occupy the territory which you now passess. Then why adopt a course that cannot fail to agitate our Union to its very centre? Why, sir, I would seek, shall we force mon curselves this fearful issue? For meself, ask, shall we force upon ourselves this tearnal issue? For mysen, I can see no possible good that can arise from it, whilst, on the other hand, I cannot avoid seeing that it is pregnant with mischief, and fraught with evils of the most dangerous and appalling

enuraneur. But, sir, there is another and, perhaps, not much less important point of view, in which this matter is to be regarded. Looking the probability that we are to be remained with the whole of Mexico; that "manifest destiny," or some other equally potential, cause, is to force us to the entherage of this bathisme "dead body". cause, is to force us to the entarage of his nontaisome "actar only —boking to the probability of such being the result of the measures proposed by the President of the United States, I am led to another consideration. There are in Mexico, with a population of 8,000,000, about 5,000,000 of Indians. These Indians, sir, are free men, and under our form of government must be permitted to enjoy the rights which belong to freemen. They are, it must be granted, an ignorant and uneducated race; but what of that? You granted, an ignorant and uncluented race; but what of that? You cannot, on that account, refuse them the excretes and enjoyment of these rights. This reasoning, it will be borne in mind, applies to a portion, as well as to the whole of Mexico. Annex them, sir, and make them a portion of your construen, and what will you do with them? Besides these five millions of Indians, you will perhaps have two millions more, belonging to the mixed races, or eastes, as they are called, varying in color and possessing every variety of complexion. What will you do with this population? Will our friends from the South agree that this portion of your American population, as it will then be, shall have all the rights of freeners experted must then? Are they promard for this; and freemen conferred upon them? Are they prepared for this; and are they ready to endow them, among other rights, with the right of being eligible to seats in the two Houses of Congress?

Mr. FOOTE .- If the Senator desires a reply, I would say that I should be wholly unwilling to confer upon them political rights at present; and, I will add, that since 1835, not a single one of them has been in the enjoyment of those rights.

Mr. GREENE .- I believe the authorities differ upon that point. Mr. GREENE.—I believe the authorities differ upon that point. However, be that as it may, it is unnecessary to discuss that question at present. The honorable Senator would not have them on this floor immediately, but it would come to this result eventually. You must give them the rights of clizens, and it marters but little whether it be done now, or twenty years hence. They will not, in the peanwhile, change their complexions, there tabits, nor their natures, although they may be somewhat better informed.

I do not know what term of probation they would have to sub-nit to, but one thing I do know, which is, that if you annex these people by conquest, or by treaty, and do not extend to them the rights of other citizens, you violate one of the principles which lie rights of fonder citizens, you consist one of the principles which is plant to fonder in the form of the reliably principles awayed by the first principles awayed by the first principles awayed by the first principle awayed by the principle form of the right of self-government. Will you deeply to them this right! You cannot do so. I would be an utter violation, I repeat, of the great principle upon which this government is based, that all government is of the people and emanates from them. To deay to these people the rights of citizens, would be an ottrage upon the principles on which our revolution was founded,

Mr. SEVIER.—Do I understand the honorable Senator to say, that there are two millions of blacks in Mexico? There are but

Mr. GREENE .- My authority is the Senator from Tennessec.

Mr. BELL .- The Senator was speaking of eastes.

Mr. SEVIER.—In regard to the negroes and Indians, they have never exercised the right of voting; they are prohibited by the constitution, unless they have been taxed.

Mr. GREENE .- In some instances a property qualification is required.

Mr. FOOTE.-According to Mr. Jefferson, as cited by the Senator from Rhode Island, it was inexpedient to permit any but "the white inhabitants" to enjoy the rights of citizenship in Loussiana. Does the Schator concur with his own chosen authority?

Mr. GREENE.—The Senator may have so understood me, but, if he were acquainted with the history of my political life, he would not suppose that I concurred with Mr. Jefferson in every thing. I do concur in some of the views which he has expressed would not suppose that I concurred with Mr. Jefferson in every thing. I do concur in some of the views which he has expressed in regard to the constitution; but I must say, that I have agreed but seldom with hun apon matters of state policy. I referred to him as being high authority, and particularly on account of his maxing been the project or of the acquisition of Louisiana. I also referred to Mr. Adams, and other great men of that period. I gave these opinions, coming from different sources, in order to show you the doubts which them existed, with reference to the power of making additions to the established limits of our country.

But, sir, one of the projects under contemplation seems to be, to held Mexico as a "terrorical appendage." I will not articipate what my homorable friend from Indiana has to say upon that subject to the established limits of our country.

But, sir, one of the projects under contemplation seems to be, to held Mexico as a "terrorical appendage." I will not articipate what my homorable friend from Indiana has to say upon that subject to the contemplation of the subject of

uable portion too, of her territory. This is, I believe about the number of troops that were landed at Vera Cruz. With an army of only 6,000 mon, you have entered and become possessed of her capital. Her government is entirely prestrated and possessed of her capital. It is government in the face of all praise money, and no spirit to raise money and, in the face of all praise money and no spirit to raise men—and, in the face of all praise money and no spirit to raise men—and, in the face of all praise money ask authority to organize ten new regiments of this you row ask authority to organize ten new regiments of this you would have acquired, and to make further conjugates of that which you have acquired, and to make further conjugate on object, at least, in posmoting which I can concur. If you persevere and subjugate the rest of Mexico, and annihilate even the shadow of a government that is left to her, with whom are you to negotiate? What power on her part will there be to make a treaty? You can institute no authority which can give any sort of permanence to any treaty that may be made with you. You may, it is true, seed out your armies and take possession of the remaining States; but of what avail will it be, unless you continue, your military organion of that may be made with you. You may, it is true, send out your ramies and take possession of the remaining States; but of what avail will it be, unless you continue your military occupation of them? These, sir, are questions which force themselves upon our attention. You will not have a peace, but you will have the whole of Mexico; and, as the Senator from Mississippin very properly asked, "what will you do with it?" I am very much atraid, sir, that homorable Senators on the other side of the chamber will have exceeded the summer of the states of the other side of the chamber will have exceeded the hand-loving disposition, which we are told, issue occorraged the hand-loving disposition, which we are told, issue severe of the many of them will be—"annex it?" Of all evils that can be fall this country, Mr. President, this, in my opinion, would be the greatest. Regarding it as such, and looking to the probability of such a result, growing out of the measures proposed by the Executive, I cannot sanction these measures. I would vote, as readily as any gentleman on this floor, for any supplies of men and means necessary for the safety of the gallant spirits who compose the army, did I believe them to be in any danger. I shrink from no responsibility that may be incurred in taking proper care of them; but must the present aspect of affairs, it is not my intention, not of I think I can be induced, by any consideration, to vote for any increase of the military force of the country.

Mr. MASON took the floor, and moved that the Senate adjournbut afterwards withdrew the motion

Mr. BALDWIN, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the petition of J. W. Nye, assignce of Peter Bargy and Hugh Stewart, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for his

Ordered, That the report he printed.

## INVIOLABILITY OF FRANKS.

Mr. BADGER submitted the following resolution for consider-

Residend. That the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads be instructed to inquine into the proporty and necessity of providing by Lay start in fainh of any letter or packet, otherwise valid, shall be field on treat it as mixed by comment the direction of such packet or letter being in a hand writing different from that of the frash.

Mr. BADGER asked for its immediate consideration

The Senate proceeded to the consideration of the resolution, by unanimous consent

Mr. EADGER.—I desire to make a single remark by way of showing the necessity for the adoption of this resolution. As the law at present stands all letters and packages, under the weight of two onnees, may be sent and received by members of Congress free of postage. The Post Office Department has introduced a provise into this law, providing that members who first letters and documents shall also direct them. This is an unwarranted interand documents shall also direct them. This is an unwarranted inter-ference. It is a provis not contained in the law. It is a serious inconvenience, and, in my humble opinion, is a gross indignity to members of this body. A gentleman, who is a member of the other House, showed me, to-day, a package which he had sent to this sister at school. The frank had been stricken off, and postage charged, although both the frank and the direction were written by himself, as he tells me, with different pess.

by himself, as he tells me, with different peess.

Mr. WESTGOTT—The Postmaster General has rectified this matter some slays since. It will do no harm to any body, but there is no necessity for any action. Some days since I was informed, letters written for me, and at my request, and on my business, public and private, by a friend and constituent who was here, because directed by him, though franked by me, were charged with postage, and my frank crased. I felt indiganat at it as an outrage. On enquiry, I found the same rule had been adopted as to all members of Congress. Supposing it was the fault of the city Postmaster, for his clerks, I called at the General Post Office, have them instructed properly and the Postmaster General being have them instructed properly and the Postmaster isomeral being crasping as unlawful. Subsequently, a few days ago, the city Postmaster informed me that he had received uistructions to let all granked letters and documents pass, whether directed by the person granking or not. franking or not.

Mr. BADGER -Documents, not litter

Mr. WESTCOTT.—No, sir—letters and documents both. 1 was particular in my enquiry, and he expressly told me the instructions applied to both.

Mr. BADGER.—All that is desired to be attained by the resointion now presented is, that we shall all stand upon the same footing as the Senator from Florida. If it has been rectified in regard to him, let it be so generally.

Mr. UNDERWOOD.—I merely rise to state that perhaps I have as much reason to complain of post differ regulations as any micro and the property of the property

Mr. CASS.—What is the purpose of the resolution? Is it an enquiry whether the law has been violated?

Mr. BADGER —The object is to change the law and render it more explicit.

Mr. CASS .- Why the law is clear in it itself, is it not?

At the request of several Senators the resolution was again read by the Secretary.

Mr. CALHOUN.—I really doubt the propriety of adopting this Postmaton. There is no authority, as 1 understand, by which the Postmator General is authorized to put such a construction as he may think proper upon a law of Congress. The resolution ought to be in the Grom of an assertion of our right.

Mr. BADGER.—I will cheerfully centur in any resolution which the honorable Senator from South Carolina may think proper to propose in regard to this matter, which will secure to us our rights. And I will go further, and express a clear and deed condemnation of the practice on the part of any officers of this government of not only interpreting our laws in a way to suit their own views, but of amending then. I would make it an of-lence, punishable in a high degree, upon prosecution in the Courts of the Unit'd States, in case any Postumater should dare to charge postage upon letters that are franked by those who are entitled to the franking privilege.

Mr. CALHOUN.—I submit to the honorable Senator, whether or not the rapht exists on the part of any officer of the government to put his own interpretation upon a law of Congress. I am not aware that it is so I would suggest as the proper course to be pursued, to call upon the Postmaster General to state upon what principle it is that he gives the construction to the law which his has given; and after we have received a reply from him, then regard to it.

Mr. SEVIER.—I think that if Senators will take the trouble to look at the law, they will find that the Postmaster has done ex-

actly what the law requires, yet I am in favor of the resolution. I had my attention called to this subject last year. I had occasion to send a letter to Absundra. The Postmaster there disregarded to the properties of the properties of the law, and the control of the trouble to look out the provisions of the law, and the result was that I apoligised for the trouble I had given him. If Senators will look at the law, I think they will be satisfied that the construction given to it is right; and I admit that it ought to be modified, for it is impossible for us in all cases to direct our documents ourselves.

Mr. BADGER.—I think that the Senator is under a misapprehension. An alteration has been made in regard to the franking priyilege by an act of last session.

Mr. BaDGER quoted from the act.

Mr. NILES.—I concur entirely with the Homorable Senator from South Carolina. My opinion is that the law is correctly construed, and that probably it will be right to remove the difficulty which the Senator has alluded to by an encartement. If the department has given an illiberal construction to the law it may be necessary to pass a declaratory act. I know how the law was formerly, as I had the honor to be for a short time in the department. The question has never been considered there as turning upon the circumstances of directing the letters or packages; it has been placed on a more substantial foundation, and that is whose act that the statistic of the department is satisfied that it cannot be the act of the person whose transit in the statistic of the department is satisfied that it cannot be the act of the person whose transit it learns, postage is very properly charged. The mere fact of getting another individual to do the writing however does not change the act so far as the sending the letter or the document is concerned; it remains the act of the person franking it, and has always been so regarded. I apprehend that the law is right as it is; but I have no objection to enquire whether any amendment may be made, though I would not assume that it is wrong.

Mr. FOOTE carnestly deprecated adjudication without investigation. He hoped that the Senate would never despise the great principle of justice embodied in the saying—"strike but first hear me"—

The resolution was then agreed to.

CHEROKEE COMMISSIONERS,

Mr. BAGBY submitted the following resolution, which was considered, by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resulted. That the Secretary of War be requested to transmit to the Senate the volumes containing the proceedings of Messy. Exton and Hubbey, commissioners under the treaty of 1835 and 1836, between the United States and the Cherokee nation of Indians.

REPORT FROM THE WAR DEPARTMENT.

The VICE PRESIDENT had before the Senate a report of the Secretary of War, communicating agreedly to law, a report of the Second Comptroller, showing the appropriations, under the direction of the department, for the fiscal year ending 30th June, 1847; the amount drawn from the treasury; and the balances on the 1st July, 1847; which was read and ordered to be printed.

## CONSUL BLACK.

The bill for the relief of John Black, late Consul of the United States at the city of Mexico, was read the second time and considered as in Committee of the Whole, and no amendment heing made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent.

Resolved. That it pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

On motion

## MONDAY, FEBRUARY 21, 1848.

MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their clerk:

Mr. President — The House of Representatives, have passed the full of the Senate, entitled "An act for the relicf of the heart of John Paul Jones," with amendments, in which they request the concurrence of the Senate.

JOHN PAUL JONES

The Senate proceeded to consider the amendments made by the House of Representatives, to the bill for the relief of the heirs of John Paul Jones.

The amendments having been read-

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, moved that the bill be referred to the committee

Mr. HANNEGAN said that the bill did not originate in the committee this year, and in the peculiar circumstances of the case was passed without reference, having passed both Houses last session, and failed to become a law in consequence of being accidentally lost in the lobby on the way to the President for his signature. Owing to the courtesy, not to say a sense of justice of the Senate, it was passed this session without being subjected to the usual form of reference to a committee. The claim itself had undergone a strict investigation on these occasions. Twice had it been the subject of a favorable report, and it had again passed the House by a large vote in its favor. The amendments were entirely immaterial, being merely verbuin against the heirs of Capt. He hoped that the Senator from Massachusetts would withdraw his motion in order that he might be enabled to move the concurrence of the Senate in the amendments of the House.

Mr. DAVIS was quite aware that this bill had received the action of the Senate in the form in which it passed at the last session. Still he was not able to understand the bearing of the amendments which had been read. He could not determine without some examination whether they were important or not; therefore, without entertaining any hostile feeling to the bill or any desire to delay infant passage, he believed that it had better be examined by a committee; at least that was his impression, although if the Senate thought otherwise he should not hissis on his motion.

Mr. HANNEGAN then rose, but yielded to

Mr. BENTON, who inquired if the motion was withdrawn?

Mr. DAVIS replied in the negative, and added that it would not be unless the general sense of the Senate opposed it.

Mr. BENTON then said that it would not be withdrawn by the unanimous sense of the Secunte, for he would object to the withdrawal of the motion. This was a case seventy years old—approaching very close to that—and within the last two or three sessions had had, at least, a rapid passage through the two Houses, Now he was told by old Senators when he came there, that he should distrust any thing that went rapidly and unanimously, and their philosophical reasoning was, that the human mind was so were considered to the should distrust any thing that went rapidly and unanimously, and respected in all its bearings, there would be difference of opinion in regard to it, and that, therefore, when a case passed rapidly through a legislative body the presumption was that it had not been fully stated and attentively examined. He was not in his seat when this bill was called up and possed through the Senate, without the observance of the usual forms. Had he heen in his place upon that occasion, he should have moved that it be subjected to all these forms which the wisdom of legislators had provided for the control of the contro

thing was due; and his reading led him to say, that in the general assumpsit which took place about the year '94, an amount over and above what was due was assumed and allowed for the purpose of covering every thing which generosity even, not to speak of justice, demanded. That was his understanding of the closing up of the affairs of the crobution, and with that understanding, he presented. In the first place, such a claim was nothing less than an impenehment of the integrity of the men of that day; if not of their integrity, at all events, of their knowledge of their own transactions; and assumed that they of the third generation know the transactions of their grandifications therefore the transactions took place! He saked what would become of Senators, fig. at the end of sixty or analytic their such that they do not show the saked what would become of Senators, fig. at the end of sixty or analytic the saked what would become of Senators, fig. at the case of sixty or analytic the saked what would become of Senators, fig. at the end of sixty or analytic the saked what we have a claim presented against them, for transactions sixty or seventy years ago, on the part of their grandiathers? There must be some end to this business. Every generation was charged with the management of its own business. He did not say that they should have exactly a statute of limitation, but he said that that principle must go into the affairs of the whole commandity, otherwise nothing public or private—nothing do as settled. It was a just principle, that they who lived at the time, and were cognizant of the transaction, were the proper persons to settle the case. There was great danger in posterity attempting to open transactions which had been passed upon by preceding generations. He hoped that the bill would be referred and examined.

Mr. HANNEGAN desired to inquire, before replying to the Senator from Missonri, whether it required the nanumous consent of the Senate, or simply a majority, in order to obtain a consideration of the amendments without reference?

THE PRESIDING OFFICER .- A majority is sufficient.

Mr. HANNEGAN then proceeded to say that the apposition of the Senator from Missonir to this bill appeared to reat on a very singular foundation, for he had assigned no reason for the apposition, except the age of the claim, telling the Senate that he distrusted any claim which presented itself, if it happened to be sixty or seventy years old. The Senator had remarked that the men of the revolution were eminently wise and eminently just, and that they took care to protect every good claim against the government of that time, by the issue of certificates. Well, no one than the hisself—as one cherished greater veneration for their memory—no one rendered more willing homage to their justice and sagacity. But it was to be presumed that the history of the country was intelligible to those who read it, and as he had stated, committees of both branches of Congress as he had stated, lad examined the history so far it related to this claim, and had pronounced it claim to be just. That body had unanimously pronounced it ignation, had pronounced it ignation in the had be from a session. A sense of justice and of propriety—a sense of honor and of honesty, induced the Senate, by a unanimons vote, duri

the bill, invogilit to an untimely grave, one of the most accomplished and estimable gentlemen that he had ever met with, in the whole course of his file. That undertunate man had set his heart upon the fullthaet of his cherabsed hopes of justice, which he sought at the hands of Congress—there the last stay of his forms, will be suggested to the last stay of his forms, will be made and the stay of his forms, and he suggested the last stay of his forms, will be means. After two years of indomittable labor on his part, and at the very moment that the eup approached his high, the rude hand of fate dashed it in atoms to the earth! The shock was too much for him, and he sank into the grave leaving a helpless family. Such were the circumstances in which the Senate had seen proper to avoid the ordinary rules of legislation, and give the hill a direct passage on the day on which it was introduced. As to the merits of the claim, what were thee? He held in his hand the report of the claim, what were thee? He held in his hand the report of the committee, which was not been appured by the removated me and here-eithe world-famous Paul Jones—eaptured by him from the British government in the war of the revolution and under the dominion of Demarks. Demarks, in violation of the haw of mations, on the demand of Englund, yielded up those prizes to the amount of prize woney. Der Franklin, the notice of the proper hands to the proper of the summand of the law of mations, on the demand of Englund, yielded up those prizes to the amount of prize woney. Der Franklin himself assessed the value of the captured wow was all that was asked, neither more too less, without a cent of interest. The government of the Varian of the captured world was all that was asked, neither more too less, without a cent of interest. The government of the Varian of the captured world was all that was asked, neither more too less, without a cent of interest. The government of the Caucas of prosecuting their claims. Indeed, the cowardly French, and who he repor

Mr. CAMERON remarked that be was a member of the comittee to whom the case had been formed veferred, and he had satified himself that the claim was past. The Senate was also statisfied of its justice and passed the ball by an almost unanimous vote. In his opinion the only objection advanced against the ball when was presented by the Senator fram Missouri, furnished the strongest argument in its favor. If justice had been delayed this case for a period of security should be promptly readered now. There was not a gentleman present, there was searcely a man in the country, who did not know of some case, standing from the time of the revolution till this day, unsettled. It was true that the men of the revolution till this day, unsettled. It was true that the men of the revolution till this day, unsettled. It was true that the men of the revolution till this day, unsettled. It was true that the men of the revolution were just—no bady of men that ever existed were more so—but they were poor—they were not only too poor to be generous. but to pay their debts. The debts of the revolution were left to be discharged when the country would be able to lapidate them. He humself lawer when the country would be able compromise, but he believed now as he had always believed that a was discredibille to them. But the strong argument in favor of this ball was that it had passed through all the forms of legislation and accadentally failed to receive the signature of the President and theretyl become a law. It passed after a full investigation. If the Senate refused to pass it now, it placed the bill with know till that moment that may one would have booked at this as any fing more than a matter of form. He hoped the bill would be passed immendately.

Mr. BAGBY said, that it appeared to him that the Senators from Indiana and Pennsylvania had both mistuken the true point at some with regard to this bill. He did not understand that the nerits of the claim of the heirs of Paul Jones were at all involved in the proposition submitted by the Senator from Massachusetts. The distinct and simple question before the Senate was, whether that was a deliberative body or not, and whether the usual forms of legislation were to be afferred to, or the overthrown and lost such to. He did not understand the gentleman from Massachusetts to be upposed to the bill on its merits; on the contrary, that Senator land distinctly stand that he did not at present inquire into the merits of the bill. But it must be obvious, he contemple toolly, whether with regard to old or new claims, that they were falling into a very loose, careless, and m his humble conception, improper practice. Although both of his friends on his right, [Mr. HANDER, ANDER, HOLONGER, 12] and understand before the body in point of years; yet it was supposed that Senators were of that advanced age, which ensured deliberation before they came there; and now what was the argument advanced? Why, that because this bill had slept for three quarters of a century, and because it passed at the very low of the had session; that, the lody. If the bill possessed one into of the had session; that delber were the days for the work had not of the had session; that delber were the days of the situation of the merit attrifuted to the body. If the bill possessed one into of the merit attrifuted to the body in first men and orded of of subjecting it to the usual ordeal of

legislation? He did not, as he had said, at all enter into the merits of the bill; he took it for granted that its passage was prima facie evidence that the claim was a good one; but he maintained the principle that the character of the body as a deliberative assembly required that twee measure, especially one which had lain for three score years and ten, should be subjected to the ordinary forms of legislation.

Mr. HANNEGAN.—It has been kneeking at the doors of Concress since 1803!

Mr. BAGBY.—No doubt; but he would rather have this or any other claim lie over till next session, than the established forms of this body should be dissegarded from day to day. He insisted on the strict adherence to the usual forms of legislation in this as in all other cases.

Mr. MANGLM remarked, that the only difficulty which he perceived in this case arose out of the fact, that the bill at this session was not reported by a committee at all, for if it had been reported he and no doubt at would have passed that chombler, and
that then having also passed the House of Representives with
numediments, it would not return, in casts the amendments should
have been regarded as immaterial by its friends, have been at once
passed upon by the Senate. Not having been reported, however,
a little more strength was given to the proposition that it should
have been regarded as immaterial by its friends, have been at once
at all—and those who had clean go of it bad represented by a rements of the House, which did not open the merits of the bill—not
at all—and those who had clean go of it bad represented that the
amendments were merely verbal, except one which was not of
much importance, and even if it were concurred in and it should
turn out that hijustice had been done to any branch of the family,
verless was castly to be obtained by an application to Congress.
He knew that this case had undergone a very through examination on its merits, not in that clausher, but hy a committee who
sat upon it week after week and week after week. It was weed
non-lite of this or any rother berifstative bedy to become intentity
acquainted with the merits of such cases from personal exmination. It was necessary that they should trust to their organs, the committions. In this case the committee had repeatedly examined the case,
and reported layorably. He believed that the Senate would study
itself in the eyes of the conatry if, after a solemn adjudication, it
was not because the believed that undoer the influence of
feeling they might eye a little further than strict justice, yet the
formore than half a century, ought to be allowed some weight,
Besides, their means were now abundant, for he understood that
the war with Mexico had ceased. He hoped, then, that there
would be no mavillingness to do full jus

Mr. BADGER said he had voted for the passage of the bill at the last sesson, and had voted for a similar bill this session. But he could not consent that it should be passed immediately in consequence of the statements made by the honorable Semator from Indiana, as he thought it proper mov to refer it to the committee. Had the question on concrement been presented, he would have at the statement of the proper move the statement of the statement of sity of referring the subject to the committee, if any Semator of escale the reference. He believed that his honorable collectine was quite correct that the merits of the case were not involvedly yet that did not furnish any reason why the reference should not see why the ordilong session was before them, and he could not see why the ordinary course should be resisted when it was demanded. He hoped that his friend from Indiana would yield and alloy the reference.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, wished to assure the Senate that nothing was more opposed to his view than any purpose of unnecessary delay. He had no desire to interpose the slightest obstacle to the passage of the bill, and yet us he was somewhat responsible for the motion to refer, he wished to say a word in reference to his purpose or making the motion. The business was in such confirmant at the close of last session that the bill had fallen under the table and was there lost.

Mr. HANNEGAN begged to inform the Senator that the bill had long previously passed the Senate.

Mr. DAVIS said he knew that, and that it had been brought to receive the signature of the Vice President.

Mr. HANNEGAN stated that it did receive that officer's signature, and that it was on the way to the Executive chamber that it was lost.

Mr. DAMIS.—The lall did not become a law. Now he did not complain of the rapidity with which tho bill passed; all that he wished was to seeme proper examination of the amendments which it had now received in the House. The object in organizing the committee was to seeme deliberation. The remark made by the honorable Senator from North Carolina (Mr. MANGUM,) showed the necessity of deliberate examination. That gentleman had said that if injustice was done by any of the amendments, redress could be obtained on application to Congress. Now it was to supercede the necessity of any such subsequent legislation that he wished it referred to the committee.

Mr. BENTON then observed that all he had to say with regard to this ohim which had been represented as long pending, as a "continual" claim, he knew nothing whatsoever. He did not know that it had passed and been lost needlentally, till after the close of last session. All that might have been owing to his not attending to the business of the Senate, but such was the fact. Now he would go farther and say that he never know what the bill was for, nor what it was about—he knew nothing of the foundation of the claim till yesterday, when his attention was drawn to it by a speech of a member of the House—Mr. Start/weather—which that gendleman had sent to him, and which he had read, as he read all the speeches of "bast gentleman, with attention. It was thus that he had become nequainted with the nature of the claim, and he made this statement merely for the purpose of excluding himself from the supposition that he had given this hill proper constitution of the claim, and the speeches would be supposition that he had given this hill proper constitution of the claim of the constitution of the claim, and the speeches are supported by the proposed of the claim, and the speech of the

Mr. HANNEGAN said he would not detain the Senate by making any observations, and would merely read the amendments, contenting himself with a single remark in reply to the allusion of the Senator from Missouri to the fact, that Paul Jones had no heirs. The report had an entirely different statement, and he believed that according to the laws of descent a man could have heirs and direct heirs without having had children. The children of his brothers and sisters were his heirs. The grandelidren of his brothers and sisters were his heirs. The grandelidren of his brothers in the present instance. He then read the amendments, and added, that it would be seen that they were as he had represented them, entirely immaterial.

Mr. BRADBURY remarked, that the Senator from Indiana had alluded to the face that this bill had once passed the Senate unanimously. He was himself present nor that day early in the session, on which the bill was passed without being subjected to

the usual forms, and had supposed that the case was one so clear, so well settled, one about which there could be no doubt, that as a vielded to his request and voted for its immediata, he had readily vielded to his request and voted for its immediate, and the contest that time he had had occasion to look into the case and he contested that the was unable again to vote in favor of the bill on this meits out of courtesy to any Senator. The Senator from Indiana, had on the present occasion alluded to one fact to which he wished to call the attention of the Senate. That Senator had gone into the merits of the bill, and alledged that the United States government had deprived the elaimants of the means of prosecuting their claims against a foreign government. The Senator than placed that chaim on the ground that the United States government had interposed between the claima. In looking into the facts of the case, he found that the leaim of the state of the case, he found that the hoped that the reference would be made, in order that an opportunity might be offered of investigating the matter. If the claim were just, he would be very happy to vote in favor of the bill. If it were not just, he could not conscientiously vote for it; and in these circumstances he wished that the hill might be subjected to the usual forms of legislation, in order that it might be seted on with coloness and deliberation.

Mr. CASS said he hoped the bill would be passed over informally, in order that the special order might be taken up.

SEVERAL SENATORS .- " Question."

The question was then taken on the motion to refer the bill to the committee, and it was agreed to.

ILLNESS OF JOHN QUINCY ADAMS

Mr. BENTON rose, and with great feeling, shid: I am called on to make a painful anonucement to the Senate. I have just been informed that the House of Representatives has this instant adjourned under the most allheitive circumstances. A calumitous visitation has fallen on one of its oldest and most valuable mensers—me who has been President of the United States, and whose character has inspired the highest respect and esteem. Mr. Advans a just sunk down in his chair, and has been carried into an adjoining room, and may be at this moment passing from the earth, under the roof that covers us, and almost in our presence. In these circumstances the whole Senate will feel alike, and feel wholly mable to attend to any business. I, therefore, move the immediate adjournment of the Senate.

The motion was unanimously agreed to, and

The Senate adjourned.

# TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 22, 1848

The journal having been read-

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, rose and said, that he was informed the House of Representatives had met and instantly adjourned in consequence of the continued and dangerous illness of Mr. Adams, who still lay within these walls in a very perilous condition. Mr. Adams, he said, had attained to a great age, and had been greatly distinguished among the illustrious men of the courty; and he hoped, under the circumstances which existed, the mo-

tion he was about to make would meet with the approhabition of the Sentet. It seemed to him that the anxieties of the moment, as well as weveration for one who had so tong become important labover in our councils, in a measure united us for delibration. He therefore moved that the Senate do now adjourn; which was agreed to without dissent.

The Senate then adjourned

## WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 23, 1848.

MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT.

A message, in writing, was received from the President of the United Sates, by Mr. Walker, his Secretary.

### SUSPENSION OF ACTIVE MILITARY OPERATIONS.

Mr. ALLEN submitted the following resolution for considera-

Resolved. That the President he requested to inform the Senate whether the active operations of the army of the United States, in Mexico, have been and now are suspended by an armstree; and if so, by whose agency and in virtue of what authority, such armstock has been effected.

## THE ACT OF 'NINETY-NINE.

Mr. ALLEN submitted the following resolution for consideration:

Resolved. That the Committee on the Judiciary be instructed to inquire and to re post to the Senate, by bill or otherwise, whether, in addition to the following ass, to will

" Award for the punishment of certain crimes therein specified.

"In a feet for the ponsulment of certain crimes therm specified.
"He is must by the Nearte and Diance of Bycerestitates of the United States of America, or Congress essensibled. That if an person, being a ruttern of the United States, dented the States, whether he is estudied, resolution and only equiting the United States, or in the United States, or in the United States, therefore or indicate the consequence or meteorate with any foreign government, or any orbital or written consequence or meteorate with any foreign government of any foreign government of the United States, aftered to any foreign government of the United States, and the United States, and the United States, and the United States, and not duly discount of the United States, and the United States, and the United States, and not duly discount of the United States, and the United States to apply the United States is a full providence thereof is all the punished by the States to apply by the most of the Tarket Against, to any foreign government, and the States to apply by the most of the Tarket Against, to any foreign government of the United States to apply by the most of the Tarket Against, to any foreign government of the Contraction of the United States to apply by the most of the Tarket Against, to any foreign government of any of its agents, cuttern or subject. APPROVED January 30, 1799."

any forby depiduran be necessary, in order none effectually to protect the girld and interest of the propose of the United States examine the convergences, which may need from the assumption of any modest and or individuals, system standard by a major of the proposed states, or any department or a the arm or obtained States, or any department or individuals of the programment of the Tarket States, or any department or individual or many shalls assuming to be such forcein government or department or office theorie, and separally in matters of Federa and War.

## REMOVAL OF INJUNCTION OF SECRECY.

Mr. ALLEN submitted the following resolution for considera-

Resolved, That the injunction of secrecy be, and the same is hereby removed from lanst proceedings of the Senate, in Executive session.

## ABOLITION OF EXECUTIVE SESSIONS.

Mr. ALLEN submitted the following resolution for considera-

Resolved. That the 40th rule for conducting business in the Senate, and which course the Senate to close its doors when transacting Executive husiness, he resende and the Senate shall bereafter six with open doors when transacting all hostical

## EXECUTIVE SESSION.

The Senate then went into the consideration of Executive business, and after some time spent therein, the doors were re-opened, and the consideration of the morning business resumed.

Mr. CASS presented the petition of the heirs and legal representatives of John II. Piatt, deceased, late army contractor, praying payment of a balance due them by the government; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs, and ordered to be printed.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, presented the memorial of the Chamber of Commerce of New Orleans, praying that Cut Island and Ship Island may be made posts of entry; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce.

Mr. BREESE presented the petition of Joseph Hair, a soldier in the last war with Great Britain, praying to be allowed a pen-sion; which we's referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. DOUGLAS presented a petition of citizens of Illinois, praying that the right of way, and a portion of public land may be granted to the State of Illinois for the construction of a rail road to connect the waters of the Upper and Lower Mississippi with the Northern Lakes; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands

Mr. DIX presented a memorial of the College of Pharmacy of the city of New York; a memorial of the New York Academy of Medicine; and a memorial of Physicians of Richmond, Virginia, praying the adoption of measures to prevent the importation of spurious and adulterated drugs and modicines into the United States; which were referred to the Committee on Commerce.

Mr. BRADBURY presented the petition of Henry Williams, Benjamin A. G. Fuller, and George Williams, Praying compensa-tion for a vessel lost while in the service of the United States wich was referred to the Committee on Claims.

Mr. CAMERON, on presenting three memorials of citizens of Westmoreland county, Pennsylvania, praying that the surviving soldiers of the regiments furnished by that Stae for the Mexican war may be honorably discharged from service, said: He had retained these petitions, along with others of a same tenor from different quarters of bis State, in the hope that the ten regiment bill would become a law, and thus enable the President to recall them; but Congress had now been in session for three months, and that thall which had occupied the Senate nearly every day seemed no nearer its final passage than it did a month ago. He felt no disposition to censure any one, but he must say, that too many speeches had been made by the friends of the bill—shat it was to be expected that the opposition would disthe bill—that it was to be expected that the opposition would dis-pute its passage, and make speeches against it, but the majority having the power should not have wasted the time in discussing a blaving the power should not native wasted to time in servicesing as bill whose passage they considered of vital importance to the honor of the country of the consistency of the consistency of the country a year ago, numbering more than 2,000 valient spirits—at this time he did not believe there were more than 500 of that whose number left fit for service. He had a return from the 2d regiment, dated in December, which put its strength at about 260 men, and at that time the efficient force fit for service were only 191 men; all the rest had fallen in battle, or been stricken down by disease. In every battle, from Vera Cruz to the city of Mexico, the men of In every battle, from Vera Cruz to the city of Mexico, the meh of Pennsylvania had been foremost in the fight. They were among the best blood of the State. The sons of farmers, prosperous mecha-nies, young lawyers, and physicians, had all gone out in the camp of their country, stimulated by noble and patriotic motives, with no intention of making arms their profession. They do not ask to return; but their regiments cannot be filled from the very character of the volunteer service, and it is the interest of the that after so severe a tonr of duty they should be brought home and other full regiments be sent out.

The memorials were referred to the Committee on Military

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, presented the memorial of R. F. Pinckney, a lieutenant in the navy, in relation to an erroneous statement in the narrative of the exploring expedition printed by order of Congress; which was laid upon the table and ordered to be printed.

## RESOLUTIONS OF STATE LEGISLATURES.

Mr. MANGUM presented resolutions passed by the Legisla-ture of the State of North Carolina requesting the Senators and Representatives of that State in Congress, to use their exertions to obtain an appropriation for improving the condition of the inlets on the coast of said State; which were referred to the Commit-tee on Commerce and ordered to be printed.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, presented a resolution passed by the Legislature of the State of Maryland in favor of an appropriation by Congress for a light-hoat near the mouth of Patapseo river, a light-house on Greenbury's Point in Annapolis river, and a light-house on Flat Cap at the mouth of Annamessex river; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce and ordered to be

## MILITARY STOREKEEPERS

Mr. CAMERON submitted the following resolution, which was

Resolved. That the Committee on Military Affairs be instructed to inquire into the property of reporting a bill for the purpose of increasing the pay and giving assimilated rank to the military storeksepers of the army.

ASHLEY submitted the following resolution, which was eonsidered by unanimous consent and agreed to:

Resired. That the Committee on the Post Office and Post Road, he ustracted to inquire into the expedience of exhibition; a muid notic from Parks alle the country, in and State, via Spala Blad. Workson's Blaff, Solical Creek, the bandled Spangs, Danville, Jones', McAllister's on Fourche Leftire, Townsend's on Head of Salme, and Hot Sprangs.

CHARITY HOSPITAL AT NEW ORLEANS,

On motion by Mr. DOWNS, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on Commerce be discharged from the further consideration of the document presented the 10th inst., relating to the Charity Hospital at New Orleans; and that it be referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

### AFFAIRS IN CALIFORNIA.

Mr. CASS, from the Committee on Military Affairs, to whom was referred the petition of John Churles Fremont, reported the testimony taken before the committee in relation thereto; and observed that it contained much valuable information which ought to go before the public. He, therefore, noved that it be printed, and that twenty thousand copies, in addition to the usual number, be printed for the use of the Senate; which was agreed to the

### GRANT OF LAND TO LOUISIANA.

Mr. FELCH, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the bill to grant to the State of Louisiana certain lands for internal improvement, reported it without amendment.

### INTERNAL IMPROVEMENT IN MICHIGAN.

Mr. FELCH, from the same committee, to whom was referred the bill to apply certain sections of the public domain towards the completion of the Clinton and Kalamazoo Canal, in the State of Michigan, reported it without amendment.

### NATURALIZATION LAWS.

Mr. ASHLEY, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom was referred the bill to amend the act entitled "An act for the result of the property of the property of the property of the United States, reported the subject, which was ordered as the mitted a special report on the subject, which was ordered to the printed.

### PRIVATE BILL.

Mr. ATHERTON, from the Committee on Finance, to whom was referred the bill for the relief of Barelay and Livingston, and Smith, Thurgar, and Company, reported it without amendment.

#### RECOMMITTE

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of S. Morris Waln.

On motion by Mr. WESTCOTT, it was

Ordered, That it be referred to the Committee on Finance.

## MINISOTAH.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. DOUGLAS asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to establish the Territorial government of Minisotali, which was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Territories.

## AMENDMENT OF THE JUDICIAL SYSTEM,

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill from the House of Representatives, to amend an act entitled, "An act in amendment of the acts, respecting the Judicial system of the United States;" and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it pass to a third reading.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved, That this bill pass.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

## TEN REGIMENT BILL

Mr. CASS gave notice that he would call up the ten regiment bill to-morrow, at one o'clock, and he hoped the Senate would be prepared to act upon it without delay, as it was desirable that it should be speedily passed.

Mr. MASON observed that when this bill was last before the Senate he had obtained the floor, with a view of expressing his opinions upon the various subjects which had been presented, when it should next be before the Senate. Since the presented when it should next be before the Senate, and circumstances had occurred, pertty well known to the public, which rendered it unnecessary that the discussion should be continued in the range which it had hitherto taken. He was, therefore, very willing to yield the floor, if Senators upon the other side would forego their intention further to discuss the bill, and let it be put upon us passage. He knew of nothing which had transpired to render the measure nunceessary.

Mr. CASS said it was the unanimous opinion of gentlemen on his side of the Chamber that to halt now in our military operations would be fatal. Their wish was that the bill should be passed before the adjournment to-morrow.

30TH CONG,-1ST SESSION-No. 41.

- Mr. BERRIEN observed that if gentlemen on the other side, holding the majority, were determined to press the passage of this bill to-morrow, those on his side must yield to the force of superior numbers. He trusted, however, that such a course would not be pursued, but that the privilege which had been enjoyed by gentlemen on the other side of discussing the bill fully, would also be extended to them, to afford an opportunity of explaining why they thought the bill unnecessary.
- Mr. MASON inferred from the observations of the Senator from Georgia, that it was the opinion on that side of the Chamber, that the bill was now unnecessary. He should feel it his duty, therefore, to occupy the floor to-morrow to show that the necessity for the passage of the bill still exists.
- Mr. ALLEN suggested to Senators on the other side, who seemed disposed to continue the dehate upon the bill, that they might avail themselves of materials for the discussion, by taking up and adopting the resolution which be had oldered this morning for reseinding the rule requiring a portion of the business of the Senate to be transacted in secret session. They could then introduce topics which it would not otherwise he in order for them even to allude to.
- $Mr.\ MANGUM$  did not exactly comprehend the extent and scope of the resolution referred to by the Senator from Ohio.

Several Senators called for the reading of the resolution, and it was read by the Secretary. [The resolution, which is to rescind the 40th rule, is given heretofore.]

Mr. MANGUM observed that he would not interpose his vote to a resolution to consider the particular matter which had been alluded to in open session, if gentlemen on the other side saw proper to take such a step. He would place the responsibility upon gentlemen representing the Administration upon that floor, and if they saw fit to adopt such a course, he would not interpose bis negative. As to the resolution which had been read, so wide in its scope, he would take occasion to give his views upon it when it should come up.

- Mr. ALLEN moved that the resolution be now taken up.
- Mr. MANGUM would not himself interpose any objection, but under the rule the resolution must lie on the table if a single member objected.

Mr. BERRIEN said there was 9 grave question involved in this resolution, and it should not be acted on hastily. He therefore moved that the Senate adjourn.

- Mr. DOUGLAS demanded the yeas and nays on the motion.
- Mr. ALLEN suggested to the Senator from Georgia, that the resolution could be postponed until to morrow, without an adjournment.
- Mr. BERRIEN had taken that mode of postponing the resolution. If, however, there was a desire for a longer session, he would withdraw the motion.
- Mr. CLAYTON observed that nothing could, induce Senators upon that side to act with precipitation upon a matter of such importance.
- Mr. CAMERON was opposed to the consideration of the resolution to-day, though when it came up he might be found with the mover. Too much time had already been wasted in the discussion of the ten regiment bill, and he hoped there would be more delay in regard to it. The necessity for its passage was as great now, or greater, than ever.
- Mr. NILES hoped the Senator would withdraw, his objection and let the resolution be taken up.
- Mr. CALHOUN thought there was an intimate connexion between the ten regiment bill and the subject which had been alluded to, which pertained to Executive business. He hoped the honorable Chairman of the Mititary Committee would not, therefore, persist in his determination to press the passage of the bill. As to the resolution of the Senator from Ohio, be was utterly opposed to in—even if so modified as to embrace only the present subject for Executive consideration. It would be uponing the door to a dangerous precedent, and would throw down the barrier which the constitution had wisely placed round the consideration of questions involving peace or war.
- Mr. MANGUM hoped he was not understood as approving even the consideration of the one particular subject which had been aliaded to, in open session. It did not approve of it. He had merely observed that if those representing the administration chose to take the responsibility of such a course, he should not interpose his negative to it. He thought it was a question for them to settle, and upon them would rest the responsible to
- Mr. CALHOUN had not understood the Senator from North Carolina as approving it,

Mr. CASS desired to say one word There was a greater necessity now than ever for the passage of the bill. Suppose a treaty had been negotiated, it was very well known that there was not a quorum of the Mexican Congress at Quereturo to have ratified such a treaty. And supposing we should ratify a treaty, if there be one, it is well known that there is no stability in the Mexican government; that it is here to-day and gone to-morrow, and there is no certainty that it would be ratified by the one which might exist no certainty that it would be ratified by the one which might exist no erratinty that it would be ratified by the one which might exist operations, it might disciple them to revery may teaty. The passage of the bill will cost us nothing, for we need not raise the troops if they are not wanted. But we should make a demonstration here to act upon the fears of the Mexican rulers, and thus force them into peace.

Mr. MANGUM rose to a point of order.

Mr. CASS was aware that he was not in order, there being no question before the Scuate. Other gontlemen, however, had been permitted to go on, and as he had been personally alluded to by the Senator from South Carolina, he hoped he would be allowed

Mr. MANGUM said that was not his point of order. It was, whether the Senator was not, in some some affording "said and comfort to the enemy," by making remarks here which would be published and might reach their ears, about making a feint—a movement for effect—to operate upon their fears, the knowledge of which would tend to defeat its sim.

Mr. CASS was not for making a feint: he was for effective operations.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, said he should object to the consideration of the resolution of the Senator from Ohio to-day, and he would therefore move that the Senate adjourn;

Which was agreed to without dissent.

And the Senate adjourned.

# THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 24, 1848.

## RESOLUTIONS OF THE LEGISLATURE OF GEORGIA.

Mr. BERRIEN presented resolutions, passed by the legislature of the State of Georgia, in favor of the extablishment of mail routes from Blairsville to Mount Yonk; from Dalton to Daldonega; from Rome to Jacksonvilla Atlantan; from Hawkinsville to Daren; from Boxille to Daldon; from Griffin to Newman; from Raysville to Lincolnton; from Strother's, Wilkes country, to Washington; from Haleyondale on Reidsville; and from Traveller's Rest to Florence, in the state of Georgia; which were referred to the Committee on the Post Oline and Post Roads.

Also, a resolution passed by the Legislature of the state of Georgia, approving the gallantry and patriotism, displayed by the Americau trops in the battles in Mexico, and expressing their high sense of the value of the Military Academy at West Point, as an Institution for acquiring military knowledge; which was laid upon the table and ordered to be printed.

Also, a preamile and resolutions passed by the Legislature of the state of congraga, recommending to the favorable consideration of Congress, the plan proposed by Asa Whitney, for constructing a rail-road from Luke Michigan to the Pacific occan; which were laid upon the table and ordered to be printed.

### PETITIONS.

Mr. BERRIEN presented the petition of Mary E. D. Blauey, widow and administratix of George Blaney, deceased, praying that earth amonies, the private property of her deceased husband, claimed and taken by the government as public funds, may be restored to here; which was referred to the Committee of Claims.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Marvland, presented the memorial of Isabella Cole, executix of William Cole, deconsel, praying indemnity for injuries done to a vessel and cargo, belonging to her late husband, by the Peruvian authorities; which was referred to the Committee of Claims.

### CHANGE OF REFERENCE.

## On motion by Mr. DOWNS, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on Private Land Claims be discharged from the further consideration of the petition of Joseph Newell, and that it be referred to the Committee on Indian Af-

## DISCHARGED.

## On motion by Mr. DOWNS, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on Indian Affairs be discharged from the further consideration of the petition of Isaac C. Elston. and of the petition of James M. Kibbin.

## SCHOOL LANDS.

Mr. BREESE, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the bill to authorize the relinquishment of the sixteenth section in certain cases, and the selection of other lands in lieu thereof, reported it without amendment.

## PRIVATE BILL.

Mr. BRADBURY, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the petition of Charles Richmond, submitted a report, accompanied by a bill, for his relief.

The bill was read and passed to a second reading.

## Ordered, That the report be printed.

## SUSPENSION OF MILITARY OPERATIONS IN MEXICO.

Mr. ALLEN asked that the resolutions offered by him vosterday be taken up, and added: as the resolution asking the Executive to put the Senate in possession of any information which it may be able to communicate with regard to an armistice will not give rise to debate, I ask for its consideration now.

## The resolution was read,

Mr. SEVIER .- It is not my purpose to go into a discussion of that resolution now; and I move to lay it on the table.

Mr. ALLEN.—I desire to know the object of the motion which has just been made. If it he intended by it to make a final dispusition of the resolution, I shall ask the year and mays on the question.

Mr. SEVIER.—I have no objection to ealling up the resolution hereafter, but I object to its immediate consideration.

Mr. ALLEN .- I ask for the yeas and nays, sir.

The call for the yeas and nays being seconded, they were ordered, and taken with the following result:

WEAS—Mens: Atheron, Buder, Collour, Dove, of Mecochaour, Grant Land, Collour, and Collour, Gorden, Della, Calley, Ashley, Ackelson, Badjer, Bagler, Baddwon, Bell, Benny, of Regular, Garwan, Regula, Camaron, Cass, Charle, Calson, Gowen, De Bons, of Regular, Garwan, Regular, Calley, Calley, Collour, Collour, Gorden, Della, Collour, Calley, Calley

So the resolution was not laid on the table.

A reading of the resolution was called for, and it was read.

Mr. ALLEN.—I have nothing to say on the resolution. It tells its own tale.

Mr. SEVIER.-1 am somewhat amazed at this disposition amongst Senators to discuss this subject. I shall vote against the resolution, and ask for the yeas and nays on the question.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Georgia.—If in order, I would move to attach the usual provise to the resolution—" provided nothing therein shall be deemed incompatible with the public interests."

## Mr. CLAYTON, (in his seat.)-That is proper.

Mr. ALLEN .- I have no objection to the amendment.

The call for the yeas and nays being seconded, they were ordered.

Mr. BREESE.—I move that the resolution be transferred to the executive journal.

Mr. ALLEN.—Perhaps it would satisfy the Senator if a motion were made to close the doors on the legislative, as well as the executive business of the Senate. That would be the same mo-

tion, only it would be general instead of particular.

Mr. MANGUM.—Can that motion be entertained after the yeas and nays have been ordered?

The PRESIDING OFFICER .-- It is not yet too late to offer a

Mr. BREESE.—I will withdraw the motion, as I understand that perhaps it is not quite in accordance with the usage to offer

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland.—Has the resolution been amended as proposed by the Senator from Georgia?

The PRESIDING OFFICER.—The amendment proposed was adopted by the mover of the resolution. Proceed with the call.

The yeas and nays were then taken, with the following result

YEAS.—Mesur. Allen, Ashley, Atchaon, Atherton, Badger, Badly, Baltivin, Bell, Boston, Bernen, Bandbury, Breese, Bright, Cameron, Cass, Clarke, Clayton, Corwin, Davis, of Massacinustic, Davis, and Dississippi, Dayton, Dickmon, Div. Douglas, Downs, Foote, Greene, Hanoegan, Houston, Johnson, of Grouga, Mangam, Maon, Miller, Moore, Pearre, Phelip, Rusk, Spinaner, Turney, Underwood, and

Wason, Stiller, Moore, Pearce, Theilip, Russ, Spinance, Tambey, Chorewood, and Upham—II. NAYS.—Mossr- Batter, Calhoun, Hunter, Johnson, of Manyland, Lewis, Nife-, Sevier, Sturgeon, and Yulee—9.

## So it wa

Resolved, That the President he requested to inform the Senate, whether the acrive operations of the sumy of the United States, in Mexico, have been, and now suspended by an armistice, and it so, by whose agency, and in vittle of what author ity, such armistice has been effected. Provided, nothing therein shall be deemed incommatible with the multic interests.

## THE ACT OF '99.

The PRESIDING OFFICER.—Does the Chair understand the Senator from Ohio as not desiring the consideration of the other resolution at this time?

Mr. ALLEN.—There is one, sir, a mere resolution of inquiry, directed to the Judiciary Committee, which I should like to have considered, as I presume there will be no objection to it.

## The PRESIDING OFFICER.—The resolution will be read.

The resolution directing the Committee on the Judiciary to inquire, and report whether in addition to the act of January 30, 1799, for the punishment of certain crimes therein specified, any further legislation be necessary in order more effectually to protect the rights and interests of the people of the United States against the consequences which may result from the same in the name or individuals we individuals we are in the name or behalf of the good may be a support of the contract of the or officer thereof, with any foreign government, or department, or officer thereof or any individual or individuals assuming to be such foreign government, or department, or officer thereof, and especially in matters of peace and war, was read by the Secretary.

The question having been taken on its adoption, it was decided in the affirmative without a count.

EXCLUSION OF SLAVERY FROM ACQUIRED TERRITORY.

The Senate proceeded to consider the following resolutions, submitted by Mr. Baldwin on the 3d instant:

Resided, That if any territory shall beneather be acquired by the United States or annexed thereto, the air by which said territory is acquired or annexed, whatever such a tray by should neutran an unablastic fornational native or provision wheely shavery or in soluntary servitode, except as puradiment for crune, shall be forever excluded on the bettering aquired or annexe, immorp that may be acquired as the result of the war with Mexec the dense of that regulde, expressed be her commissionness in their negotiations with Mex. Test, to provide in the protection of the unbalantars of the excledible into the other contractions of the excledible and the state of the second of human shaves therein the attention of the second of human shaves therein the attention of the second of human shaves therein the acquired of human shaves therein the acquired of human shaves the second of the second heat of states and these when the share of the second of

Mr. SEVIER called for the year and nays on the adoption of the resolutions.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland .- I hope that the Senator will withdraw the call, as I was about to suggest a postponement of the resolutions.

Mr. FOOTE .- I move to lay the resolutions on the table.

Mr. BALDWIN .- I am not desirous of discussing the resolutions on this oceasion. Probably there would not be an opportunity for discussion before we will be called on to attend to a matter of another, and a mournful character. The resolutions are antagonistic to -

Mr. FOOTE .- Is it in order to discuss the resolutions?

Mr. BALDWIN --- to those introduced by the Senator from Alabama, and-

Mr. FOOTE .- I rise to order.

The PRESIDING OFFICER .- The motion to lay on the table is not debateable.

Mr. BALDWIN .- I did not intend to delate the resolutions, or to make any remarks, except by the courtesy of the Senator from Mississippi.

Mr. FOOTE .- This is not a question of courtesy.

Mr. BENTON .- I ask the indulgence of the Senate, whilst I suggest that we should not at this time proceed to consider these resolutions; nay, that we should not even think of them, and allow them for the present to Jie upon the table.

Mr. FOOTE .- That is all I contemplated.

Mr. BALDWIN .-- If it should be determined by this motion that a final disposition should be made of the resolutions, I would ask for the years and nays.

Mr. BENTON, (in his seat.)-It is not so intended

 $Mr.\ BALDWIN.—If the resolutions are to be merely passed over informally, <math display="inline">1$  have no objection.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland.—It is not my intention to go into a discussion of these resolutions. My sole object is that they be passed over informally.

Mr. BALDWIN.—The subject has been already discussed by the Senator from Florida, (Mr. Nulke,) and certainly it eannot now be intended to make a final disposition of the resolutions by the motion to lay them on the table.

Mr. FOOTE .- I call for the year and mays,

SEVERAL SENATORS .- Oh! no.

The call for the yeas and nays being seconded, they were ordered

SEVERAL SENATORS .- Withdraw the call.

Mr. FOOTE. -I am perfectly willing to withdraw the ealt if the Senate so desire

Mr. RUSK .- Then I shall renew the call.

The PRESIDING OFFICER .- Proceed with the call.

Mr. BALDWIN.-If they are informally passed over I have no objection.

Mr. FOOTE .- I rise to order.

The PRESIDING OFFICER .- The motion to lay on the table is not debateable. The Presiding Officer does not understand that the motion for the yeas and nays has been withdrawn.

Mr. MANGUM.—I think that the motion was withdrawn.

The PRESIDING OFFICER .- The Senator from Mississippi has not withdrawn his call, and the yeas and nays have been order

Mr. BALDWIN .- Of course the call cannot be withdrawn except by unanimous consent.

The PRESIDING OFFICER.-Proceed with the call.

Mr. NILES .- The eall can be withdrawn by ununimous consent.

Mr. BAGBY.—I regret exceedingly the introduction of this subject this morning, no person having been apprised of it. If these resolutions had come up in the ordinary course of business—

Mr. BADGER.-I rise to a question of order. The question is on laying the resolutions on the table, and the yeas and nays have been ordered. Is the question debateable?

The PRESIDING OFFICER .-- It is not

Mr. BAGBY.—I consider it to be my duty, with the indulgence of the Senate, to state that when these resolutions were introduced by the honorable Senator, I had a conversation with him in reference to these and a set of counter resolutions brought forward by myself, and we came to this understanding, that after the presby myself, and we came to this understanding, that after the pres-sing practical business of the Senate was over—referring particu-larly to the Ten Regiment Bill—the would ask the indulgence of the Senator to fix some day when his propositions and my counter prepositions would both receive the action of the body. If called no to vote to lay these resolutions on the table, I shall be compel-led in these circumstances, either to vote against my feelings or to violate the understanding which I lad with the Senator from Con-volute the understanding which I lad with the Senator from Connecticut. That understanding I certainly shall not violate. I shall keep my part of the treaty in good faith, whatever construction may be put on the vote which I am about to give. I hope, tion may be put on the vote which I am about to give. I appe, therefore, that even now, the motion to lay on the table will be withdrawn or be reconsidered, in order that the resolutions may be passed over informally, with the understanding that they will not be pressed till some future day more or less remote.

Mr. BALDWIN .- I beg to say, with the indulgeneo of the Senate, that I was about to state, when I was interrupted by the ho-norable Senator from Mississippi, that there had been this under-standing between the honorable Senator from Alabama and myself, and I had supposed, indeed, that the amendments offered by the Senator from Florida, (Mr. Yulee,) would be postponed till the day which might be fixed for the discussion of our resolutions, although there was no understanding to that effect. It is certainly not my wish at all to violate the understanding which existed between the honorable Senator from Alabama and myself, and I hope the resolutions will be passed over in such a manner, that they may be taken up at some future day by the order of the Senate, and then be fairly and fully disensed.

Mr. RUSK .- I ask the indulgence of the Senate one moment, Mr. RUSK.—I ask the indulgence of the Senate one moment, This is known to be an exciting subject. It possibly may shake our constitution and the Union from its circumference to its centre. There is a time for all things. A dispensation of Divine Provi-dence has fallen upon us which, it seems to me, should restrain the throwing in of firebrands, scalendated to excite the angry passions and ill feelings of men. I regret that these resolutions were intro-duced at all. I regret executingly that they have been introduced to-day. I hope that they will be laid on the table.

Mr. BALDWIN.—Gentlemen surely understand that these resolutions have not been called up by me on this occasion. There was a perfect understanding in the outset between the Senator from Alabama and myself; but I ought to state that the first resolution Assembly of the State of Connecticut, and was introduced in obe-dience to the requisition of the State which I have the honor in part to represent.

Mr. ALI,EN.—I shall vote to bay these resolutions on the table, now and forever; and with all resolutions on the same subject I shall adopt a like course. In order to make a final disposition of the subject now and forever, so far as this Senate is concerned, I now inject to hay the resolution on the table and call for the years and nays.

Mr. BADGER.-That motion has already been made, and the yeas and nays have been ordered.

SEVERAL SENATORS .- " Question."

The PRESIDING OFFICER .- Proceed with the call.

The year and mays were then taken with the following result: VEAS—Meers Allen, Ashley, Atchion, Badger, Bell, Benton, Berrien, Bradbarty, Breese, Bught, Butter, Calboun, Cameron, Cass, Clarke, Clayton, Davis, of Mississippi, Dickinson, Donglas, Dowas, Foote, Haanegan, Honton, Honter, Johnson, of Maryland, Johnson, of Georgin, Lewis, Mangum, Mason, Moor, Pearce, Rusk, Sever, Stargeon, and Tamey—35.

XAYS—Messis, Bagby, Ballorin, Corwin, Davis, of Massachusetts, Daytoo, But, Green, Julier, Nick, Sprinacer, and Upham—11.

So the resolutions were laid on the table.

### A RECESS SUGGESTED.

Mr. MANGUM.—For the purpose of arresting further business at this time, I would suggest that the Senate now take a recess till we receive the formal announcement from the House.

SEVERAL SENATORS .- Go on with business.

Mr. MANGUM .- Of course if the suggestion do not meet with general concurrence, I shall not press it

#### ADVERSE REPORTS

The Senate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee on Revolutionary Claims on the petition of Mary M. Telfair; and, in concurrence therewith, it was

Resolved, That the prayer of the petitioner be denied

The Senate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee on Pensions on the petition of Isaac Davenport; and, in concurrence therewith, it was

Resolved, That the prayer of the petition be not granted.

## JACQUES MOULON.

The bill for the relief of the legal representatives of Jacques Moulon, was read the second time and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and no amendment being made it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said hill was read a third time by unanimous cousent.

Resolved, That it pass and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

## DEATH OF EX-PRESIDENT ADAMS.

The following message was received from the Honse of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their clerk :

Mr. President: I am directed to notify the Senate of the death of the honorable John RUNKEY AOANS, late a member of the Hoose of Representatives from the State of Massechusett, who departed this life in the Capitel at fifteen munutes past seven o'clock, resterday evening; and to communicate the proceedings of the Hoose of Representatives thereos.

The resolutions from the Honse of Representatives having been

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, said: Mr. President: By the recent affliction of my colleague, a painful duty devolves upon me. The mes-sage just delivered from the House proves that the hand of God has been again among us. A great and good man has gone from our age justin among us. A great and good man has gone from our midst. If, in speaking of John Qurix C Adams, I can give utterance to the linguage of my own heart, I are monition I shall meet with a response from the Senate. He was born in the them province of Massachusetts, while she was girding herself for the great revolupassacentusetts, while she was gruing berselt for the great revolu-tionary struggle, which was then before her. His parentage is too well known to need even an allosion; yet I may be pardoned if I say that his father seemed born to aid in the establishment of our free government, and his mother was a suitable companion and colaborer of such a partici. The cradle hymne of the child were colaborer of such a patriot. In ocraous nymes or the chain weith the songs of liberty. The power and completence of man for self-government were the topics which he most frequently heard dis-cussed by the wise men of the days; and the inspiration has caught gave form and pressure to his after life. Thus early imbard with the love of free institutions, a deducated by his father for the service one row of tree institutions, educated by first lather for the service of his country, and early led by Washington to its altar, he has stood before the world as one of its eminent statesmen. He has occupied, in turn, almost every place of honor which the country could give him, and for more than half a century, has been thus identified by the country of the country of the country of the country has been thus identified by the country of tified with her history. Under any circumstances, I should feel my-self unequal to the task of readering justice to his memory; but, with the debilitating effect of had health still upon mc, I can only, while the decementating effect of bad health still upon me, I can only, with extreme brevity, touch upon some of the most prominent leatures of his life. While yet a young man he was, in May, 1794, appointed Minister Resident to the States General of the United Netherlands. In May, 1796, two ways of the hard of the United Netherlands. In May, 1796, two ways of the hard of the United Netherlands. appointed Minister Resident to the States General of the United Netherlands. In May, 1795, two years after, he was appointed Minister Plenipotentiary at Lisbon, in Portugal. These honors were conferred on him by George Washington, with the advice and consent of the Senate. In May, 1797, he was appointed Minister Plenipotentiary to the King of Pressa. In March, 1788, and probably while at Berlin, he was appointed a Commissioner, with full powers to egoptiate a treaty of amity and commerce, with Sweden. After his return to the United States, he was elected by the Legislature of Massachusetts, a Senator, and discharged the duties of that station, in this chamber, from the 4th of March, 1930, until June, 1809, when differing from his colleague and from the State upon a great political question, he resigned his seat. In June, 1809, by, he was nominated and appointed Minister Plenipotentiary to the Court of St. Petersburgh. While

at that Court, in February, 1811, he was appointed an Associate Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States, to fill a waens-cy occasioned by the death of Judge Cushing, but never took his seat apon the bench. In May, 1813, he, with Messrs. Gallatin and Bayard, was nominated Encoy Extraordinary and Minister Plenipotentiary to negotiate a treaty of peace with Great Britan, under the mediation of Russin, and a treaty of connecee with was accomplished under this appointment. But afterwards, in January, 1814, he, with Messrs. Gallatin, Bayard, Clay, and Krassel, were appointed Ministers Plenipotentiary and Extraordinary to negotiate in treaty of peace and a treaty of commerce with Great Britain. This mission succeeded in effecting a pacification, and he name of Mr. AdaMs is subscribed to the treaty of Genet. After this eventful crisis in our public affairs, he was, in February, 1815, releved by Mr. Madison to represent the country and protect its interests at the Court of Minister Plenipotentiary until Mr. Monroe became President of the Unicel States. On the 5th of March, 1817, at the commercement of the new administration, he was appointed Secretary of Natice, and continued its the affairs with a pointed Secretary of Natice, and continued its the affairs with a pointed Secretary of Natice, and continued its the affairs with a pointed Secretary of Natice, and continued its the affairs with a pointed Secretary of Natice, and continued its the affairs with a pointed Secretary of Natice, and continued its the affairs with a pointed Secretary of Natice, and continued its the affairs with the pointed Secretary of Natice, and continued the secretary of Natice, and continued the secretary of Natice, and continued to the secretary of Natice, and continued the secretary of Natice, and cou 1817, at the commencement of the new administration, he was ap-1817, at the commencement of the new administration, it was appointed Secretary of State, and continued in the office while that gentleman was at the head of the administration. In 1823 he was elected his successor, and discharged the duties of President for one term, ending on the 3d of March, 1829. Here followed a brief period of repose from public service, and Mr Adams retired to the family mansion at Quincy, but was elected a member of the House of Representatives from the district in which he lived, at the next of Representatives from the district in which he lived, at the extellection, which occurred after his return to it, and took his seat in December, 1931: the retained it by successive elections to the day of his death. I have not ventured, on this occasion, beyond a bare enumeration of the high places of trust and confidence which have been conferred upon the deceased. The service overvex a period of more than half a century, and what language can I employ which will potrtay more forcibly the great merits of the deceased, the confidence reposed in him by the public, or the ability with which he discharged the duties devolved upon him, that by this simple narration of recorded facts? An ambitious man could not desimple narration of recorded facts? An ambitious man could not desire a more emphatic eulogy. Mr. Anams, however, was not merely a statesman, but a ripe, accomplished scholar, who during a flie of remarkably well directed industry, made those great acquirements which adorned his character, and gave to it the manly strength of wisdom and intelligence. As a statesman and patriot, he will rank among the illustrious men of an age prolific in great names, and greatly distinguished for its progress in evilization. The productions of his pen are proofs of a vigorous mind, mbued with a profound knowledge of what it investigates, and of a menory which made up of those comprisons qualities alone. He will be remembered for the virtues of private life—for his elevated moral example—for his integrity—for his devotion to his duties as a christian as a neighbor, and as a head of the family. In all these relations as a neighbor, and as a head of the family. In all these relations few persons have set a more stedlast or brighter example, and few few persons have set a more stedlast or brighter example, and tew have descended to the grave where the broken ties of social and domestie affection have been more sincerely lamented. Great as may be the loss to the public of one so glitted and wise, it is by the family that his death will be most deeply felt. His aged and beloved partner, who has so long shared the honors of his eareer, and to whom all who know her are bound by the ties of friendship, will believe that we share her grief, mourn her bereavement, and will believe that we share her grieft, mourn her bereavement, and sympathies with her in her allifetion. It is believed to have been the earnest wish of his heart, to die like Chatham, in the midst of his ladors. It was a sublime thought, that where he had toiled, in the house of the nation, in hours of the day devoted to its ser-vice, the stroke of death should reach him, and there sever the ties of love and patriotism, which bound him to earth. He fell in his seat attacked by paralysis, of which he had before been a vic-tim. To describe the seene which ensued would be impossible. It was more than the spontaneous gush of feeling which all such events call forth, so much to the honor of our nature. It was the expression of reverence for his moral worth; of admiration for his expression of reverence for his moral worth; of admiration for his great intellectual endowments, and of veneration for his age and public services. All gathered round the sufferer, and the strong sympathy and deep feeling which manifested itself showed that the business of the House (which was instantly adjourned,) was for gotten amid the distressing anxieties of the moment. gotten and the discressing advances of the florient. He was soon removed to the apartment of the Speaker, where he remained sorrounded by afflicted friends till the weary clay resigned its im-mortal spirit. "This is the end of earth!" Brief but emmortal spirit. "This is the end of earth!" Brief but emphatic words. They were among the last uttered by the dying Christian. Thus has closed the life of one whose purity, patriotism, talents, and learning have seldom been seriously questioned. To say that he had faults, would only be declaring that he was human. Let him who is exempt from error venture to point them out. In this long earcer of public life, it would be strange if the venerable man had not met with many who have differed from him venerable man had not met with many who have differed from him in sentiment, or who have condemned his acts. If there is such, let the mantle of oblivion be thrown over each unkind thought. Let not the grave of the "old man eloquent," be descented by unfriendly remembrances, but let us yield our homage to his many virtues, and let it be our prayer, that we may so perform our duties here, that if sammoned in a like sudden and appalling manner, we may not be found unspraced or meable to atter his words. "I uses neve, that it is summoned in a fixe shaded and apparing manner, we may not be found unprepared, or mable to utter his words, "I am composed." Mr. President, with this imperfect sketch of the character and services of a great man, I leave the subject in the hands of the Senate by moving the resolutions which I send to the Cd. and the contraction of the senate by moving the resolutions which I send to the Chair:

Resident, That the Senate has received with deep sensibility the message from the from the Houre of Representatives monoming the death of the Hon. Jon's Grisser, Anexe, a Representative from the Schol of Manaschuse the classes of the Senate will stress the sense of the Senate will stress the framework of the Senate will stress the function of the Senate will stress the function of the Senate will stress the function of the Senate will stress the Senate will stress the such adapted from ranging the thrift slave. Herebyell, that is a further mark of respect for the memory of the devessel, the Senate do now algorium ound Statuthy next, to the time appointed for the fourest.

The resolutions having been read-

Mr. BENTON.—Mr. President: The voice of his native State has been heard through one of the Schators of Massachusetts, announcing the death of her aged and most distinguished son. The voice of the other Schator from Massachusetts is not heard, nor is his presence seen. A domestic calamity, known to us all, and felt by us all, confines him to the chamber of private grief while the Senate is occupied with the public manifestations of a respect and sorrow which a national loss inspires. In the absence of that Senator, and as the member of this body longest here, it is not unfitting or unbecoming in me to second the motion which has been made for extending the last honors of the Senate to him who, forthe fire years ago, was a member of this body, who, at the time of his death, was among the oldest members of the Honse of Representatives, and who, putting the years of his service together, was eulogium of Mr. Adams is made in the facts of his life, which the Senator from Massachusetts, (Mr. Davis,) has so strikingly stat-ed, that from early manhood to oetogenarian age, he has been constantly and most honorably employed in the public service.— For a period of more than fifty years, from the time of his first ap-pointment as minister abroad under Washington to his last election pointment as minister avoid under washington to his last election to the Honse of Representatives by the people of his native district—he has been constantly retained in the public service, and that, not by the favor of a sovereign, or by hereditary title, but by the elections and appointments of republican government.— This fact makes the eulogy of the illustrious deceased. For what, except a union of all the qualities which command the esteem and confidence of man, could have ensured a public service so long, by appointments free and popular, and from sources so long, by appointments free and popular, and from sources so various and exalted. Minister many times abroad; member of this body; member of the House of Representatives; Cabinet minister; President of the United States; such has been the galaxy of his splendid appointments. And what but moved excellence the most perfect; intellectual ability the most cninent; fidelify the most unwavering; service the most useful, would have commanded such a succession of appointments so exalted, and from sources so various and so eminent? Nothing exaited, and from sources so various and so entinent? Nothing less could have commanded such a series of appointments; and accordingly we see the union of all those great qualities in him who has received them. In this long career of public service Mr.

Adams was distinguished not only by faithful attention to all the ADAMS was distinguished not only by faithful attention to all the great duties of his stations, but to all their less and mnor duties. He was not the Salaminian galley, to be launched only on extraor-dinary occasions, but he was the ready vessel, always haunched when the duties of his station required it, be the occasion great or small. As President, as calmet minister, as minister abroad, he examined all questions that teams before him, and examined all in the salamined and second the salamined of their detail as well as in all the salamined. aither parts, in all the immune of their detail as well as in all the vastness of their comprehension. As Senator, and as a member of the House of Representatives, the obscure committee room was as much the witness of his laborious application to the drudgery of legislation as the halls of the two Houses were to the ever ready speech, replete with knowledge, which instructed all healers, enlightened all subjects, and gave dignity and ornament to debate. In the observance of all the proprieties of life, Mr. ADAMS was a most public and impressive example. He cultivated the minor as well as the greater virtues. Wherever his presence could give aid well as the greater virtues. Wherever his presence could give and and countenance to what was useful and honorable to man, there he was. In the excreises of the school and of the college—in the meritorious meetings of the agricultural, mechanical, and commerne was. In the meritorion meetings of the agricultural, meenancar, and connected meritorion meetings of the agricultural, meenancar, and connected societies—in attendance upon Divine Worship—he gave the cial societies—in attendance grady seen but in those who are free punctual attendance rurely scen but in those who are free from the weight of public cares. Punctual to every duty, death found him at the post of duty; and where else could it have found him at the post of duty; and where else could it have found him at the post of duty; and where else could it have found him at the post of duty; and where else could it have found him at the post of duty; and where else could it have found him at the post of duty; and where else where the country is the same at the country is the country of the country is the country of the country is the country of t him, at any stage of his career, for the fifty years of his illustrious public life? From the time of his first appointment by Washington public lie? From the time of his first appiontment by Washington bis last election by the people of his native town, where could death have found him but at the post of duty? At that post, in the follows of age, in the ripness of renow, reowned with honors, surrounded by his family, his friends, and admirers, and in the very presence of the national representation, he has been gathered to see his factors, leaving behind him the memory of public services as a factor of the control of the public services example of a life, public and private, which should be the study and the model of the generations of his countrymen.

The resolutions were unanimously adopted, and, in accordance therewith,

The Senate adjourned.

[On Saturday the Senate met and proceeded to the House of Representatives to attend the fineral of the Hon, John Quincy ADAMS, and afterwards adjourned.]

327

## MONDAY, FEBRUARY 28, 1848.

RESOLUTIONS OF THE LEGISLATURE OF NEW JERSEY.

Mr. MILLER presented resolutions passed by the legislature of the State of New Jersey, in opposition to a repeal of the act of March 2, 1837, concerning pilots; which were laid upon the table, and ordered to be printed.

#### PETITIONS

Mr. CASS presented the petition of Levi Wells, a pensioner of the United States, praying to be allowed arrears of pension; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. YULEE presented a petition of citizens of Orange county, East Florida, praying the establishment of a post office in that county, which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

On motion by Mr. WESTCOTT, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Archibald Smith, Jr., on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee of Claims.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE COURT MARTIAL IN THE CASE OF LIEUT.
COL. FREMONT.

Mr. BENTON submitted the following resolution for consideration:

Resolved, That the President of the United States be requested to communicate to the Senate, a cupy of the proceedings of the general court martial in the case of Lieut. Col. Fremont.

### NOTICE OF A JOINT RESOLUTION.

Mr. BENTON gave notice that, on to-morrow, he should ask leave of the Senate to introduce a joint resolution in relation to the purchase of American hemp for the use of the navy.

#### ADVERSE REPORT

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the Committee on Pensions. to whom was referred the petition of Asahel Kingsley, submitted an adverse report; which was ordered to be printed.

## PAYMENT OF INTEREST TO ALABAMA.

Mr. HUNTER, from the Committee on Finance, to whom was referred the bill authorizing payment of interest on the amount advanced by the State of Alabama to the general government, pending the Creek hostilities in 1836 and 1837, reported it without amendment.

## WILLIAM R. STOKES-

Mr. NILES, from the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads, to whom was referred the perition of William B. Stokes, reported a bill for his relief; which was read, and passed to the second reading.

## PRIVATE BILLS PASSED.

The following bills were read the second time and considered as in Committee of the Whole:

Bill for the relief of Fernando Fellanny

Bill for the relief of Peter Engles, senior.

And no amendment being made they were reported to the Schate.

Ordered, That they be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bills were read a third time by unanimous consent.

Resolved, That they pass, and that their respective littles be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in said bills.

## PERSONAL EXPLANATIONS

Mr. YULEE.—With the permission of the Senate, I will trepass a mousent upon its time. I have been waiting an opportunity for a week past, to bring to the notice of the Senator from Missispipt, (Mr. Footre, I a report of proceedings in this lody, in which he is made to alledge "misquotation" of authorities by me, Being quite satisfied that such was not his intention, I have thought it is the proper of enable bit into correct it in his place. He will find the intention of the property of the New York Herald, which I hand him.

Mr. FOOTE.—I think I can explain the matter without at all calling in question the accuracy of the reporter. I did accuse the Senator from Florida of "maguotation," not, however, exactly in the sense in which he seems to apprehend it. In the course of the barried remarks made by me, on the secasion to which allusion barried remarks made by me, on the secasion to which allusion between the second of the se

modern, were either wholly inapplicable; or being applicable, were, according to all the rules of fair and legitima interpretation, altogether hostile to his positions. In this way I accused the Senator of misquotation, and a more unfortunate case of misquotation, it has rarely been my lot to witness. I accompanied the accusation with a suggestion, that I did not charge the Senator with having, in the language of the poet,

## "Just enough of learning to misquote."

Cases of misquotation, we know, are not unfrequent at the bar. Often, a lawyer's authorities are turned totally against him, and the sword is wrenched from the hand of the combatant, and he himself made its victim. The transfer of the combatant, and he himself made its victim. Senator, could be turned against himself, and I am prepared to demonstrate that his citation of his authorities has been peculiarly unfortunate. But, of course, I did not cause him of any interpolation of words or sentences. I simply intended to express the idea, that his authorities from Pufendorff, down to the most modern, Judge Martin of Louisiana, so far as they were at all applicable, could be wiched to the utter destruction of the dangerous view propounded by him, which were charges of the ones, and were calentated to do much serious public detriment to distinguished gentlemen of the North, who had girded on their armor for the defence and vindication of the consumption of the damper of the South. The Senator has, however, tempered his phraseology in his printed speech, and made himself to "coo as gently as a sucking dove," although it is well known he was a "rouring lion," throughout his spoken speech.

Mr. YULEE.—I understand the Senutor to say, that he did not mean to use "imjunctation" in its ordinary sense of misrecital, but only we meaning misapplication. With this I am content; and will be happy to hear how the Senator, when he comes to the discussion of the question, will sustain his proposition that the authorities I clied are not pertinent to my argument. Upon this issue I am willing to go before the Senate and the country. But before I aid down I will correct the Senator in his impression, that my remarks have undergone any change in the matter of them, since delivered. The argument is preserved as nearly as possible, under the circumstances—quite as nearly as is usual in this or other deliberative bodies. I did omit certain matter which I had read from newspapers of the production of the production

Mr. FOOTE—[being supplied with a dictionary, read the definition of the word "quote," and added: ]—The Senator will thus find that the meaning of the word "quote's is given, "to etie."—All I intended to say was, that the authorities cited by him were either injudiciously or erroneously cited, and were not calculated to afford him "aid and comfort" in the discussion. The Senator from Alabama, now in his seat, (Mr. Lewis,) will bear me witness that both he and I concurred, that the manner and matter of the speech of the Senator from Florida as delivered, were, under all the circumstances, lamentably harsb and unkind to some of the best friends of the South, at the North. In the printed property and a single expression which can be construed in saying that, there must be smedium overprometric, and a single expression which can be construed in saying that, there must be smedium overprometric, and a single expression which can be construed to the remarks of the Senator used. Certainly I listened to the remarks of the Senator used. Certainly I listened to the remarks of the Senator used. Certainly I listened to the remarks of the Senator used. Certainly I listened to the remarks of the Senator used. Certainly I listened to the remarks of the Senator used. Certainly I listened to the remarks of the Senator used. Certainly I listened to the remarks of the Senator used. Certainly I listened to the remarks of the Senator used. Certainly I listened to the remarks of the Senator has not mingled a great deal more honey in his phraseology as printed, than he appeared to be willing to infuse into his speech as delivered?

cision of the question, whether the senator has not mingred a great deal more honey in his phrasology as printed, than he appeared to be willing to infuse into his speech as delivered? Mr. LEWIS said he regretted his friend from Missispin had given so much consequence to anything he might have said, as to said that the namer of that gentleman was harsh and officusive, for he was not present when that gentleman made those remarks. In reply to the suggestion from another source, that such remarks were harsh, he (Mr. L.) had expressed his regret as he had also regretted hefore any remarks were made, that the Senator from New York had not amended his resolutions as he (Mr. L.) had hoped and believed he was willing to do.

Mr. FOOTE.—The explanation of the Senator from Alabama confirms what I have said, and shows that even rumor and the general impression with regard to the remarks of the Senator from Florida, were as I have stated. I make these remarks because it

was thought by some that I dealt rather unkindly with the Senator from Florida in my rebuke; and certainly I should have been guilty of gross discourtesy, had I rebuked him for using language so calm and honied as that which appears in the printed speech.

Am HANNEGAN.—I rise to a question of order. There is a great deal of important business to be transacted in the morning bour, and as this dispute appears to be altogether a personal matter between the two gentlemen, without any point on either side, so far as I am able to perceive, I ask that the Senate will proceed to the consideration of the morning business.

Mr. YULEE.—More time has been consumed than I anticipated. The Senator any that he does not mean the matter is different, but that there is less severity of tone. I will only say that so far as the Senator supposed there was any Jersonal unkindness in my language or manner on the occasion referred to, he is totally mistaken. I entertained no unkind feelings towards the Senator from New York, (Mr. DICKINSON.) or any one who took an interest in the discussion, and could not therefore have manifested any. If I had been personally unkind, certainly the Senator aggrieved, whoever he might be, would have noticed it for himself. Perhaps my manner was very earnest and warm. That is my nature. My manner such as it is, no doubt admits of improvement.—
The manner of many of us might be improved, if "the gift" were given us--

"To see ourselves as others see us."

I will now ask the Senator, as he seems to be quite opposed to the doctrines of my speech, what is the precise point of difference between us. Does he hold that a citizen of the United States' could be excluded from residing upon territory of the United States with his slave property?

Mr. FOOTE.—"I shun no question, and I wear no mask"—and my sentiments on that subject are well known.

Mr. SEVIER.—I move that the Senate proceed to the consideration of executive business.

The motion was put and agreed to.

The Senate then proceeded to the consideration of executive husiness and after some time so occupied, the doors were opened, and the Senate adjourned.

## TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 29, 1848.

MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT.

The following message was received from the President of the United States, by Mr. Walker, his Secretary:

To the Senate of the United States

In more to the odding of the Squate of the 5th noticel, expension to be in formed whether the active operation of the sum of the 1 the 18th in the Viewo line been, and now are successful, and if u, by whose agency, and in vitine of what in their yardermarks has been effected, there to state, that there execute in medium, the communication of the 18th in the Executive message of the 22th instant.

On motion by Mr. ALLEN, it was Ordered, That it lie on the table.

### RESOLUTIONS OF STATE LEGISLATURES.

Mr. TURNEY presented a resolution passed by the Legislature of the State of Tennessee, in favor of increasing the pay of the private soldiers in the service of the United States in Mexico; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Also, a resolution of said Legislature, in favor of the enactment Asso, a resolution of said Legislature, in layor of the enactment of a law to provide for the payment of the value of horses and equipments lost by volunteers in the service of the United States in the Mexican war; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Also, a resolution of said Legislature, in favor of a law allowing forther compensation to certain companies of Missouri mounted volunteers mustered into the service of the United States in the year 1836; which was referred to the Committee on Miditary Af-

Mr. CLARKE presented resolutions passed by the Legislature of the State of Rhode Island and Providence Plantations, deprecating the causes which have led to the existence of war between the United States and the Republic of Mexico, and in favor of the adoption of measures for the re-establishment of peace upon principles of moderation and equity; which were laid upon the table and ordered to be printed.

Mr. RUSK presented a resolution passed by the Legislature of the State of Texas, in favor of the enactment of a law requiring the Judge of the District Court of the United States for the Distriet of Texas, to reside within the limits of his District; which was laid upon the table and ordered to be printed.

Also, a resolution of said Legislature, in favor of incorporating the Navy of the late Republic of Texas in the Naval establishment of the United States; which was laid upon the table and ordered

Mr. YULEE presented a resolution passed by the Legislature of the State of Florida, in favor of the enactment of a law providing compensation to citizens of that State who suffered by Indian decompensation to entagons of that State who sattered by Indian de-predictions in the Seminole war; also resolutions of said Logisla-ture in layor of a law making provision for the widows and or-phans of the officers and soldiers who have lost their lives in the war with Mexico; which were referred to the Committee on Military Affairs and ordered to be printed.

Also, resolutions of said Legislature in favor of removing the Land Office from Newmansville to Ocala in that State; in favor of the enactment of a law granting to that State, for school purposes any land which have reverted to the United States under the armed occupation act, and the right to locate, in separate sections, a quantity of land granted by Congress for a scat of government for said State; in favor of the enactment of a law to provide for the survey and location of all lands in that State claimed under Spanish grants; in favor of the enactment of a law authorizing the inhabitants of townships, in that State, whose school lands are valueless or covered by prior Spanish grants, to enter on any of the public lands of the United States a like quantity of land; which were referred to the Committee on Public Lands and ordered to be printed.

Mr. WESTCOTT presented a resolution passed by the Legis-lature of the State of Florida, relative to certain changes in the thread of carrying the mail on the route from Chattahooehie to Penseacola ; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Of-fice and Post Roads and ordered to be printed.

Also, a resolution passed by the Legislature of the State of Flo-Arisis, a resolution passed by the Legislature of the Natio et Paris.

Arisis, in layor of the removal of the Imilian tribes, in that State, to the territory provided for their reception, and the adoption of measures for protecting the maliciants of said State from Indian incursions and depredations; which was referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs and ordered to be printed.

Also, resolutions of said Legislature, in favor of a grant of public land for the erection of a court-house at Tampa, in that State;

30TH CONG .- IST SE SSION-No 42.

in favor of the enactment of a law to graduate the price of the in involved the enactment of a flavor of the reliability in the price of the public lands in that State; in flavor of the reliability manner to that prediction of the reliability of the purposes of education; and in flavor of the enactment of a law granting pre-emption rights to actual settlers on the public lands in that State; which were referred to the Committee on Public Lands and ordered to be printed.

Mr. BRADBURY presented the petition of Rockland Carlton, praying the reimbursement of the amount of a fine incurred by him through the mistake of a custom-house officer; which was referred to the Committe of Claims.

Mr. CLARKE presented a petition of citizens of Newport, Rhode Island, praying the adoption of measures for terminating the war with Mexico; which was laid upon the table.

Mr. HALE presented a petition of citizens of Ogle county, fliines; a petition of Enoch Pond and others, citizens of the University as petition of Lenoch Pond and others, citizens of the University of the States; a petition of A. W. Townsend and others, citizens of the State of Pennsylvanis; a petition of Origen Bacheler; a petition of female inhabitants of Syraenses, New York; and a petition of female inhabitants of Bangor and its vicinty, in Maine, praying the adoption of measures for the re-establishment of peace between the United States and the Repoblic of Mexicacy which were referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations

Also, a petition of citizens of Portsmouth and New Castle, New Hampshire, praying an increase of the duties on imported lish; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce.

Also, the petition of Alexander Ladd, legal representative of Nathaniel A. Haven and Eliphalet Ladd, deceased, praying the final action of Congress on the claims of American citizens, to in-demnity for spoliations committed on their commerce by the French government prior to 1800; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary

Also, a petition of citizens of Eric county, Ohio, praying the enactment of a law organizing a volunteer force for the supersession of insurrections in the Southern States; a petition of citizens of Locks Village, Massachusetts, praying the adoption of measures for terminating the war with Mexico, and the abolition of slavery and the slave trade in the District of Columbia; and two petitions of citizens of the State of Ohio, praying the adoption of measures for releasing the citizens of the non-slaveholding States from all constitutional obligations to countenance the institution of slavery; the motions to receive which were laid upon the

Also, a petition of citizens of Western Pennsylvania, praying the repeal of the act imposing a fine on persons convicted of har-boring or concealing fugitive slaves; which was referred to the Committee on the Judicary.

Mr. DAVIS. of Mississippi, submitted a communication addressed to him by Woodson Wren, Postmaster at Natchez, Missispipi, on the subject of amending the Post Office laws, and increasing the compensation of postmasters; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Mr. BELL presented a petition of citizens of Overton county, Tennessee, praying the adoption of measures for terminating the war with Mexico; which was laid upon the table.

On motion by Mr. BRADBURY, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Ichabod Jordan, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Commerce.

On motion by Mr. UPHAM, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Azel Spalding, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Pensions.

On motion by Mr. FELCH, it was

Ordered, That the documents on the files of the Senate, relating the claim of Joseph Loranger, be referred to the Committee of

On motion by Mr. PHELPS, it was

Ordered. That Ross Winans have leave to withdraw his petition and papers

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Georgia, it was

Ordered, That Zachariah Cox have leave to withdraw his petition and papers.

## NOTICE OF A BILL.

Mr. RUSK gave notice that to-morrow, or at some early day,

he would ask leave of the Senate to introduce a bill to provide for trai scribing certain State papers of the late Republic of Texas.

### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

Mr. President. The House of Representatives have passed a bill granting the franking privilege to Mrs. Louna Catherine Adams, in which they request the concurrence of the Senate.

The Speaker of the House of Representatives having signed an enrolled resolution, I am directed to bring it to the Senase of the signature of their Drs sidner.

## SIGNING OF A RESOLUTION.

The VICE PRESIDENT signed the enrolled joint resolution expressive of the thanks of Congress to Major General Scott, and the troops under his command, for their distinguished gallantry and good conduct in the campaign of 1847.

## THE FRANKING PRIVILEGE TO MRS. ADAMS.

The hill from the House of Representatives, granting the trankresults in the militor the mouse of the results and second times, by unanimous consent, and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it pass to a third reading.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent. Resolved, That this bill pass

Ordered. That the Secretary notify the House of Representa-

## BOUNDARY BETWEEN ALABAMA AND FLORIDA.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. BAGBY asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill in relation to the boundary line between Alabama and Florida; which was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

### PRIVATE BILLS.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the Committee on Pensions, to whom was referred the petition of Bethiah Healy, submitted a report accompanied by a bill granting a pension to Bethiah Healy

The hill was read, and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

Mr. BREESE, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the petition of David H. Leeper, reported a bill to-confirm to the legal representatives of Joseph Dutailles the leca tion of a certain New Madrid certificate; which was read and passed to the second reading.

On motion by Mr. BREESE, it was

Ordered, That a letter from the Commissioner of the General Land Office, accompanying the petition of Joseph Dutailles, be

## PROCEEDINGS OF THE FREMONT COURT MARTIAL.

The Senate proceeded to consider the resolution submitted yesterday by Mr. Benton, requesting the President to communicate the proceedings of the General Court Martial, in the case of Lientenant Colonel Fremont; and it was agreed to.

#### ABOLITION OF EXECUTIVE SESSIONS.

The Senate proceeded to consider the resolution submitted on the 23d instant, by Mr. Allen, to reseind the 40th rule, and conduct all business of the Scnate hereafter in open session.

Mr. SEVIER moved to lay the resolution upon the table, and upon this resolution he demanded the yeas and nays, which were undered, and it was decided in the affirmative, as follows, viz:

Y CA—Messa, Ashler, Robger, Baldern, Bell. Bernen, Benhury, Beese, Bais Cillonn, Cas, Chuke, Connon, Cuttenden, Davis of Massachiretts, Dayton, Br. Bowrs, Felch, Green, Hunter, Johnson, of Mirchard, Johnson of Lominon, Googran, Lowas, Mangan, Mason, Miller, Moto, Nile, Netween, Padeps, Green, Carlon, Carlon,

Ordered, That the resolution lie on the table.

### REMOVAL OF THE INJUNCTION OF SECRECY.

The Senate proceeded to consider the resolution submitted by Mr. Aller, on the 23d instant, to remove the injunction of secrecy from the proceedings of the Senate.

Mr. ALLEN then said that in the face of the vote just given, it would be manifestly ungenerous to take up the resolution, and he therefore asked that it be passed over informally. He would prefer availing himself of some occasion when the Senate had more time to devote to such subjects than at present, for the discussion of the whole question, and he proposed to make the discussion turn on this resolution

Mr. MANGUM objected to passing the resolution over informally, on the ground that it would not be strictly in order. He therefore moved to lay the resolution on the table, as a definitive disposition of it in open session.

Mr. ALLEN desired to make one observation. He desired that all who wished an opportunity of discussing the question would vote against the motion, with the understanding that he would not press the resolution till a more convenient time.

Ordered. That the resolution lie on the table.

## EXECUTIVE SESSION.

On motion by Mr. SEVIER, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of Exceutive business, and, after some time spent therein, the doors were again opened, and

On motion,

## WEDNESDAY, MARCH 1, 1848.

MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

Mr. President: The House of Representatives have passed a bill to change the name of the steamboat "Charles Downing" to "Calhoun," in which they request the concurrence of the Senate.

They have also passed the bill of the Senate authorizing persons to whom reserva tions of land have been made under certain Indian freaties, to shenate the same in fee.

They have also passed the joint resolution of the Senate for the relief of Betsy Me-Intoin, and the bill of the Senate to make attachments which are made under process issuing from the Courts of the United States confirm to the laws regulating such as tachments in the Courts of the States; each with amendments, in which they request the concurrence of the Senate.

RESOLUTIONS, ETC., OF STATE LEGISLATURES.

The VICE PRESIDENT presented the memorial of the Legislature of Iowa, praying a donation of land to aid in the construction of a railroad from Dubuque to Keekok in the State of Illinois; which was referred to the Committer: \textit{t}^p\$ ublic Lard: and ordered to be printed.

Mr. BREESE presented resolutions of the Legislature of Illinois, in favor of a railroad from Lake Miebigan to the Pacific Ocean, on the plan proposed by Asa Whitney; which were laid upon the table, and ordered to be printed.

whom the tame, and ordered to be printed.

Mr. HOUSTON presented resolutions of the Legislature of Texas, requesting the Senators and Representatives of that State, in Congress, to protest against the reliaquishment of the Provinces or States conquered by, and in possession of the United States, without indemnity; and, also, to protest any law which shall be intended to prevent the citizens of slaveholding States from taking their property with them, in emigrating to said acquired territory; which were read, laid upon the table, and ordered to be printed.

### PETITIONS.

Mr. HOUSTON presented documents relating to the claim of Mannel Ravena, for compensation for the schooner Francisca, which he states was illegally seized by the Collector at Galveston; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, presented a petition of eitizens of Baltimore, praying the establishment of reduced and uniform rates of postage; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

On motion by Mr. HANNEGAN, it was

Ordered, That Isaac Elston and James M. Kibben have leave to withdraw their petitions and papers.

CHANGE OF REFERENCE,

On motion by Mr. DIX, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on Commerce be discharged from the further consideration of the petition of citizens of Portsmouth and Neweastle, New Hampshire, praying that the dutes on fish may be made specific, and that it be referred to the Committee on Commerce.

### EXECUTIVE SESSION.

On motion by Mr. SEVIER, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of Executive business; and, after some time spent therein, the doors were again opened, and

On motion,

# THURSDAY, MARCH 2, 1848.

## PETITIONS

Mr. WEBSTER presented the petition of citizens of Massichusetts engaged in the fisheries, and on presenting it observed, that the petitioners say, that by the present tariff of the United that the petitioners is a second of the property of the control of the control of the property of the present day of the property of the present day of the article may be aftered from the advancement of the property of the property of the present day of the property of th

The petition was referred to the Committee on Finance.

Mr. YULEE submitted additional documents relating to the claim of the administrator of James Edwards, deceased; which were referred to the Committee of Claims.

Mr. YVLEE submitted an additional document relating to the claim of Isaac Varnes; which was referred to the Committee of

### PUBLIC DOCUMENTS

Mr. BRADBURY submitted the following resolution for consideration:

Resulted, That the Committee on Printing be requested to ascertain and report, at what time the request of the President's message and accompanying documents, ordered by the Senate to be printed, which have not yet been distreted, may be expected.

The resolution having been read,

Mr. BRADBURY said: 1 offer this resolution for the purpose of obtaining information not only for ourselves, but for the public. Every day's mail brings impurities as to when those documents may be expected to be published. And at the rate at which we are now going on, it really seems to me that we shall not get more than the half of them by the next session of Congress. The delay is, no doubt, in some measure, owing to the size of the document; I desire, however, that the canse should be stated in an official torus, so that the public may be informed.

Mr.  $\mathrm{DIX} \to \mathrm{do}$  not rise to appose the resolution, but unerely to state, that meeting one of the pulse pither secondarilly, a day or two ago, I imprired why the documents had not been sent to use an assertation of the third property of the secondarily, and ascertained that the delay was consistently by the curgarying of certain maps, which accompany the document. The printing is completed, but the engraving is not yet finished.

Mr. BRADBURY.—My purpose is merely that the public shall be informed of the cause of the delay, and if the committee report that fact, it is all that is desired.

Mr. WESTCOTE.—I would suggest to the honorable Senator from Maine, whether it would not be as well to modify the resolution. I understand that the delay is occasioned, as has been remarked by the Senator from New York, by some difficulty in relation to the preparing of the maps which accompany the documents of the property of the senator of the decidence of the property of the senator of the major whether the way whether the ensent from the engravers without lawing been shown and he had to employ persons to perform that duty.—It might help the matter if the resolution was extended some sor consider the property of the property of

Mr. BRADBURY.—The resolution simply proposes an inquiry, and I would prefer that it should be acted on.

The resolution were considered, by marminous consent, and greed to.

VENTILATION OF THE SENATE CHAMBER.

Mr. DIX submitted the following resolution, which was considered, by manimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolver . That the Councities on Public Buildings be instructed to inquire into the expedience, of completing the ventilation of the Senate Chamber.

### NATIONAL EXCHANGE

Mr. DIX submitted the following resolution, which was considered by manipous consent and agreed to:

Recolest, That the Combuttee on the Latrary be instructed to impose into the expolency of directors the Secretar of the Tosour to transmit b. Mr. Alexander Vattenace, rope, of the tambod weight and measures of the United States, to the government of France

Mr. MASON, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the amendments of the Boose of Representatives to the bill of the Senate for the rehel of the heirs of John Paul Jones, reported the same, with a recommendation that the Senate concar therein.

#### ADVEDSE REPORTS

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the Committee on Patents and the Patent Office, to whom were referred the petition of Hezekiah Thistle, and the petition of Herrick Asken, submitted adverse reports, which were ordered to be printed.

#### mm i creatment

The Senate proceeded to consider the amendment of the House of Representatives to the bill of the Senate to make attachments which are made under process issuing from the Courts of the United States conform to the laws regulating such attachments in the Courts of the States; and it was

Reselved, That they concur thesein.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

## PRIVATE RILL

The Senate proceeded to consider the amendment of the House of Representatives to the bill of the Senate for the relief of Betsey McIntosh; and it was

Resident, That they concurties in

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

## HOUSE BILL REFERRED

The bill from the House of Representatives to change the name of the steamboat "Charles Downing" to "Calhoun," was read the first and second times by manimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Commerce.

## EXECUTIVE SESSION

On motion by Mr. SEVIER, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of Executive business; and after some time spent therein, the doors were again opened, and

On motion,

## FRIDAY, MARCH 3, 1848.

### MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT.

The following message was received from the President of the United States, by Mr. Walker, his Secretary:

To the Senate of the United States

In answer to the resolution of the Senate of the 2d of January, 1248, I communicate herewith a report from the Seretary of State, with the accompanying documents, containing "the correspondence of Mr. Wise, late Muster of the United States at the Court of Brazil, relating to the subject of the daye trade."

JAMES K. POLK.

Washington, March 2, 1848.

The message was read.

Ordered, That it be printed.

RESOLUTIONS OF THE LEGISLATURE OF NEW JERSEY.

Mr. DAYTON presented resolutions passed by the Legislature of the State of New Jersey in favor of the construction of a rail road from Lake Michigan to the Pacific Ocean, on the plan proposed by Asa Whitney.

Mr. DAYTON said, in presenting these resolutions, he desired MI. DAY IOA said, in presenting these resolutions, he desired to say a few words. On previous occasions he had, in response to instructions from political adversaries, disclaimed the binding force of any such instructions. These resolutions emanated from a Le-gislature composed of a large majority of political friends. They gislature composed of a large majority of political friends. They are not intended as instructions, but advisory. In this view, said he, they are entitled to my most deliberate and respectful consideration, and they shall receive it. But I know, that the body by whom these resolutions were passed will ask no more than this of me. Without having given to the subject any careful consideration, I may be pardoned for saying that, as at present advised, my impressions are altogether against the scheme of Mr. Witney as a same and impracticable. These opinions will be carefully with my views of official duty, I can conform any account of a body whose wishes I am ever bound to respect, it will are me pleasure. But if, on the contary, I remain of my present opinions, I shall be under the ultimate necessity of responding in this matter to political friends, as I have herectofor responded to this matter to political friends, as I have heretofore responded to political opponents.

Mr. DAYTON thereupon moved that the resolutions be laid upon the table and printed for the use of the Schare; and the motion was agreed to.

## PUBLIC MEETING IN THE STATE OF NEW YORK.

Mr. DICKINSON presented the proceedings of a meeting of citzens of the county of Saratoga, New York, approxing and sustaining the measures pursued by the government in the prosecution of the war with Mexico; which were read and haid upon the

Mr. DIX presented a memorial of Surgeons in the Army and Navy of the United States, praying the adoption of measures for

preventing the importation of spurious and adulterated drugs and medicines; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce.

On motion by Mr. ASHLEY, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Alfred White, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

On motion by Mr. ASHLEY, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on the Judiciary be discharged from the further consideration of the petition of Alexander Ladd; and that it lie on the table.

### ROUTE TO CALIFORNIA.

Mr. WESTCOTT submitted the following resolution for consideration;

Reserved, That one thousand additional copies of Lieutenant Emory's report, and Colonel Cooke's report and map, heretofore ordered to be printed, be printed for the use of the Senate.

### CLAIMS IN CALIFORNIA.

Mr. CASS, from the Committee on Military Affairs, to whom the subject was referred, reported a bill for ascertaining and paying the California claims; which was read and passed to the second

### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk :

Mr. President: The House of Representatives have passed a bill for the relief of barles Cappell; in which they request the concurrence of the Senate

They have passed the bill from the Senate to provide additional Examiners in the Patent Office, and for other purposes, with amendments, in which they request the concurrence of the Senate

The Speaker of the House of Representatives having signed three enrolled bill, 1 m directed to bring them to the Senate for the signature of their President.

## SIGNING OF BILLS.

## The VICE-PRESIDENT signed the following bills:

An act to amend an act, entitled "An act in amendment of the acts respecting the idical system of the United States."

An act granting the franking privilege to Louisa Catharine Adams.

An act authorizing persons to whom reservations of land have been made under certain Indian Treaties to alienate the same in fee.

## EXECUTIVE SESSION

On motion by Mr. SEVIER, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of Executive business; and after some time spent therein, the doors were again opened, and

## On motion

# SATURDAY, MARCH 4, 1848.

### REPORTS FROM DEPARTMENT -

The VICE PRESIDENT had before the Senate a communication from the Secretary of State, transmitting, in compliance with the act of 2 d of March, 1849, regulating passenger ships and vessels, tabular statements showing the number and designation of passengers who arrived in each collection district of the United States during the year ending the 30th September, 1847; which was laid upon the table.

Also, a report of the Commissioner of Patents, made agreeably to law, showing the operations of the office during the year 1847.

On motion by Mr. WESTCOTT, it was

Ordered, That it be referred to the Committee on Patents and the Patent Office.

RESOLUTIONS OF THE LEGISLATURE OF FLORIDA.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a preamble and resolution pessed by the Legislature of Florida, instructing the Senators and requesting the Representative of that Mate in Congress to use their efforts to present evertian american presentation as in according to the State of the St

#### PETITIONS

Mr. DIX presented documents in relation to the removal of the Port of Entry from Plattsburg to Rouse's Point, in the State of New York; which were referred to the Committee on Commerce.

On motion by Mr. ATCHISON, it was

Ordered, That the petitions of inhabitants of St. Charles county, Missouri, praying that the purchasers of certain school lands in that county may be confirmed in their turbs, and that other lands may be set apart for school purposes, on the files of the Semate, be referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

## ADVERSE REPORT.

Mr. UNDERWOOD, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the petition of William G. Davis and Mary Ann, his wife, submitted an adverse report; which was ordered to be printed.

MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. CAMPBELL, their Clerk:

Mr. President The House of Representatives have passed bills of the Senate of the following titles

An act concerning the courts of the United States in and for the distinct of Mich-

An act for the relief of the administratory of Elisha L. Keen, deceased.

An act providing for the payment of the claim of Walter R. Johnson against the United States.

They have also passed bills of the following titles:

An act for the relief of Calvin Emmon-An act for the relief of E. G. Smith

An act for the relief of Bent, St. Vram & Co.,

An act for the relief of Bent, St. Vram & Co An act for the relief of J. Throckmorton

An act for the rehef of William Hogan, administrator of Michael Hogan, deceased.

An act to the relief of the heirs and legal representatives of Rignald, alias Nick Hillary

An act for the rehef of James McAvoy.

An act for the rebef of Charles Benns. An act for the rebef of Wilham Raiston

An act for the relief of Nancy Tompkurs,

An act for the relief of John Mitchell.

An act for the relief of David Thomas, of Philadelphia.

An a & for the rebel of Stephen Champbin.

An act directing the mode of settling the claims of Charles G. Ridgely.

An act for the relief of Bennett M. Dell. An act for the relief of John Manley.

An act for the relief of Sarah Stokes, widow of John Stokes,

An act for the relief of Esther Russell.

An act for the rehef of the Red River Railroad Company.

An act for the relief of Stalker & Hill.

An act for the relief of Reuben Perry and Thomas P. Ligon.

An act for the benefit of Benjamin White,

An act for the rehef of Anthony Bessee.

An act for the rehef of G. F. de la Roche and W. P. S. Sanger.

An act for the relief of Jonathan Moore, of the State of Massachusetts,

An act for the relief of Robert Ellis.

An act for the rehef of Catharine Fulton, of Washington county, Pennsylvania.

And a point resolution for the relief of George R. Smith, in which they request the
concurrence of the Senate.

### STATE PAPERS.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. RUSK asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to provide for transcribing certain State papers of the late republic of Texas; which was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations, and ordered to be printed.

## THE SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. DAV18, of Mississippi, asked and obtained leave to bring in a joint resolution appointing certain Registers of the Smithsonian Institution; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Comnittee on the Library.

## CONGRESSIONAL LIBRARY.

Acreeably to notice, Mr. PEARCE asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to remit the duties on books, maps, and charts, imported for the use of the Library of Congress; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and considered as in Committee of the Whole, and, no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent.

Resolve I, That it pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in said bill.

## EXECUTIVE SESSION.

On motion by Mr. SEVIER, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of Executive business; and, after some time spent therein, the doors were again opened, and

On motion.

# MONDAY, MARCH 6, 1848.

## PERSONAL EXPLANATION.

Mr. DICKINSON—It is not often that I trouble the Senate with noticing any errors that are committed by the reporters for the public press; but I have observed an erroneous statement in regard to certain resolutions, which I had the honor to introduce—resolutions which were passed at a public meeting held in Saratoga country, New York—which I cannot permit to go abroad, without contradiction. I make the correction, however, more in justice to others, than myself. I remarked at the time I presented them that we were resolutions to the property of the pro

### RESOLUTION OF THE LEGISLATURE OF NEW JERSEY.

Mr. MILLER presented a joint resolution of the Legislature of New Jersey, alliming the declaration of the sentiments of the New Jersey, alliming the declaration of the sentiments of the analysis and navigable rivers of the country, through the action of the General Government; which was laid upon the table and ordered to be printed.

### PETITIONS.

Mr. DICKINSON presented the potition of John Erickson, asking compensation for his services as engineer in planning and superintending the construction of the steam machinery of the United States steamer Princeton; which was referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

Mr. FOOTE presented the petition of the heirs of Col. James Mayson, asking compensation for the services of said Mayson during the Revolutionary war; which was referred to the Committee on Revolutionary Claims.

Also, of Volney E. Howard and others, asking to be relieved from certain bonds held against them by the United States in payment for certain lands which had been previously located; which was referred to the Committee of Claims.

was referred to the Committee of Claims.

Also, of Luke Lea and David Shelton, asking for a grant of land in Mississippi, for the purposes of experimenting on turpentine; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, presented the petition of certain enlisted men of the ordnance corps, asking to be placed on the same footing in reference to re-enlistments as other soldiers; which was referred to the Committee on Military Alfairs.

Mr. BRADBURY presented the petition of Ambrose H. Abbott and other citizens of Maine, asking the establishment of a mail route from Bangor to Augusta, through Frankfort, Monroe, Sec.; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Oflice and Post Roads.

Mr. BUTLER presented the petition of Moses D. Hyams and others, asking to be allowed to change the name of a vessel from "Roger Bentemps" to Palmetto; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce.

Mr. BENTON in presenting the petition of James F. Haladay and other printers of the District of Columbia, asking the establishment of a national priming office at the seat of Government, remarked —I have been requested by some of the eld establishment of a national priming office at the seat of Government, remarked —I have been requested by some of the eld establishment priming press, when she proble priming. The petition proposes what has been often talked about: the establishment of a public priming press, when shall be under the direction and consequently of the greater value, in a practical point of view. I am not entirely certain in what manner the British Parliament have their priming done, but I am more the British Parliament have their priming done, but I am them is the best that is done in the world. They use the best par, and the best type, and have the best press-work that is executed anywhere, and their documents are made up in the best for use, that is—the quarte form—avoiding the inconvenience of the thick and unwieldy octavos which soon break to peices with their own weight. I sent to the Library for a volume, and one was brought to me, taken quite at random, no particular selection between the Sential Senting and the world. I shall be the substance of the British Parliament and compare it with the work that is done for use. I desire Sentators not only to examine the works but to feel its weight, and they will perceive its superiority over that which is done for Congress. This is a matter which deserves attention; it is, I think, worthy of consideration whether, while all the useful arts are in as high a state of perfection in America as in the memorial, brief but full of reasons, be printed and referred to the Joint Committee on the Public Printing.

The petition was referred to the Committee on Printing, and ordered to be printed.

### SAMUEL GRICE.

Mr. MASON, from the Committee of Claims, reported a bill for the relief of Samuel Grice.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

## OPERATIONS OF GENERAL SCOTT.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved. That the Committee on the Labrary be instructed to inquire into the expediency of subscibing for — copies of the battle fields and route of operations of Gen. Scott in the valley of Mexico, drawn by Capt. McClellan, of the Topographical Engineers, United States army.

## EXECUTIVE SESSION.

On motion by Mr. SEVHER, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of Executive business at half-past 12 o'clock, and remained therein to the usual hour; when—

## THESDAY, MARCH 7, 1848.

#### PETITION

Mr. DOWNS presented two petitions of cutzens of Louisiana, praying the establishment of judicial district north of Red river in that State; which were referred to the Committee on the Judicial

Mr. BREENE presented three petitions of citizens of Illinois, praying the establishment of a Nail toute from Waterloo to Spartu in that State; weich were referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Also, additional documents relating to the claim of Polly Taylor for a pension; which were referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. DIX presented a petition of owners and consignees of vessels in the port of New York, praying the enactment of a law to accilitate the landing and warehousing of the cargoes of vessels arriving from fareign ports; which was referred to the Committee

Mr. DAVIS. of Mississippi, presented the memorial of Solon Borland, in heladif of certain Arkanasa mounted volunteers who were taken prisoners by Mexican troops, praying that they may be allowed full pay and subsistence during the time of their captvity; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. FELCH presented a petition of citizens of Michigan, praying a grant of public land for the construction of a road from Green Bay to Lake Superior; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

## On motion by Mr. FELCH, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Joseph Lorenger, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee of Claims.

## FEES OF UNITED STATES' ATTORNIES.

Mr. BREESE submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved, That the Committee on the Judiciary be instructed to inquire into the expediency of establishing by law a familio if fees for the autorius of the United States in those States respectively where, for services, rendered in the Supreme Courts there is no established fee bill therein, or allowance for such services.

### PRIVATE BILLS.

Mr. STURGEON, from the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads, to whom was referred the petition of Geo. V. Mitchell, submitted a report accompanied by a hill for his relief.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

## Ordered, That the report be printed.

Mr. DOWNS, from the Committee on Private Land Claims, to whom was referred the petition of Stephen Steele, reported a bill to relinquish the reversionary interest of the United States in a certain Indian reservation in the State of Alabama, which was read and passed to the second reading.

### EXECUTIVE SESSION.

On motion by Mr. SEVIER, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of Excentive business, and after some time spent therein, the doors were again opened, and

### On motion,

# WEDNESDAY, MARCH 8, 1848.

COMMERCIAL INTERCOURSE WITH LIBERIA, ETC.

Mr. SEVIER shuitted a goographical and commercial me-moir, by Aaron H. Palmer, on the present state of Eileria. Man-fourior and the Asintic Islands of the Northern Pacific Ocean, showing their productions, trade and commerce, and the import-ance of establishing commercial intercourse between the United States and those countries; which was referred to the Committee on the Library and ordered to be printed.

### RESOLUTIONS OF THE LEGISLATURE OF INDIANA.

Mr. BRIGHT presented a resolution passed by the Legislature of the State of Indiana, in favor of the enactment of a law making compensation to the Adjutant General of that state, for his ing compensation to the Adjutant General of that state, for his services in raising and organizing two regiments of volunteers for the service of the United States in the war with Mexico; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

## PETITIONS.

Mr. ATCHISON presented the petition of S. B. Arden, praying that certain periodical publications may be exempted from postage, which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office. and Post Roads.

MIT. WEBSTER presented a petition of citizens of Fellowsvillo, Preston eounty, Virginia, asking Congress to consider the expedi-copy of endoavoring to effect such change in the laws as shall ap-propriate the proceeds of the public lands in aid of the extinction of abovery, and appointing commissioners, whose duty shall it shall be, under such conditions as Congress shall preserble, to purchase and conactipate female children born prior to 1856, and making annual appropriations on a pledge of said public lands with a de-cleratory act that from and after 1856 there shall be no hereditary slavery, and all born after that date shall be free; be moved that the neitifulo be referred to the Committee on Public Lands. the petition be referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

Mr. MASON.—I am not aware of the quarter from which this petition comes, nor am I acquainted with the rules of the Senate in regard to petitions of this description, but my impression is that according to the practice of the Senate, it should lie apon the table.

On motion of Mr. MASON, the motion to receive was laid on

Mr. WEBSTER.—I can only say that the petition comes from some of the gentleman's constituents—whom I have not the honor to know—and who reside some where in the interior of the State to know—and who resule some where in the interior of the State of Virginia, and that they renew a proposition which was made twenty years ago from the middle states. If the gentleman will take the responsibility of saying what shall be done with the petition, I shall be quite satisfied.

On motion by Mr. TURNEY, it was

Ordered, That fifteen hundred additional copies of the report of 30TH CONG .- IST SESSION-No 43.

the Committee on Patents and the Patent Office, on the petition of Herrick Aiken, be printed for the use of the Patent Office.

## CUSTOM HOUSE IN MISSISSIPPI.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to :

Resolved. That the Committee on Commerce be instructed to inquire into the expense of establishing a coston house at the port of Biloxi, in the county of Harrison. diency of establishing a cand State of Mississippi.

### LIGHT HOUSES IN MISSISSIPPI.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to

Resolved. That the Committee on Commerce be instructed to inquire into the endoners of providing for the creeting of a light house on the west end of Slip Islawhich is succeed to the Culf of Mexico, off the coast of Mesissippi; also for a liquid coast, at Mississippi; also for a liquid coast, at Mississippi city.

### NAVY YARD IN MISSISSIPPI.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, submitted the following resolution, which was considered by manimons consent and agreed to ;

Resolved. That the Committee on Navad Affairs be instructed to inquire into the expediency of establishing a navy yard at the hardro of Cat and Ship Islands, off the coast of Mississipa, for the proteation of our merchant matine, engaged in the commerce of the Golf of Mexico, and for the repair and construction of public vessels.

## BRIDGE OVER THE EASTERN BRANCH.

Mr. CAMERON, from the Committee on the District of Co. lumbia, reported a bill to provide a free communication across the Eastern branch of the river Potomae in the District of Columbia; which was read and possed to the second reading.

#### CHANGE OF NAME

Mr. D1X, from the Committee on Commerce, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives to change the name of the steamboat Charles Downing to Calhoun, reported it witeout amendment.

## SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES.

The bill from the House of Representatives entitled "An act supplemental to to the act entitled 'An act concerning the Su-preme Court of the United States," approved June 17, 1844," was read the first and second times by manimous consent and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

## EXECUTIVE SESSION.

On motion by Mr. SEVIER, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of Executive business; and after some time spent therein, the doors were again opened, and

On motion.

# THURSDAY, MARCH 9, 1848.

### TEXTIONS.

Mr. DAMIS, of Mississippi, presented the memorial of John Johnston, senior, and others, praying that provision may be made by law for compensating them for services rendered the Choctaw Indians as counsel in the prosecution of their claims under the treaty of Dancing Rabbit Creek; which was referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

Mr. DIN presented the memorial of the New York Historical Society, praying the early action of Congress on the subject of the next census; which was referred to the Committee on the Judi-

Also, a memorial of citizens of the United States, residing on the Northern Lakes, and the river St. Lawrence, praying that floor manufactured in the United States, from Canadian wheat, and exported, may be entitled to drawback; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce.

Mr. FOOTE presented a petition of citizens of Mississippi, praying the establishment of a mail route from Herbert's post office to Quituraa, in that State; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Also, a petition of the Baptist convention in Mississippi, asking that certain territory may be set apart for the exclusive use and occupancy of the several Indian tribes; which was referred to the Committee on Indian Aldars.

Mr CORWIN presented the memorial of Mary Ann W. Van Ness, praying for an extension of the appellate jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of the United States to the proceedings of the Creant Court of the United States for the District of Columbia, on issues sent for trial to that court from the Orphan's Court of said district; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary, and cadered to be printed.

On motion by Mr. STURGEON, it was

Ordered, That the memorial of Agnes Slack, on the files of the Senate, he referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

On motion by Mr. DIX, it was

Ordered, That John P. Baldwin have leave to withdraw his petition and papers.

MESSAGE PROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their clerk:

Mr. President. The House of Representatives have passed a hill further to supply deficiences in the appropriations for the service of the fiscal year ciding the 30th of June, 18Pc, in which they request the concurrence of the Senate.

The Speaker of the House of Representatives having signed four enrolled bills, and an enrolled resolution, I am directed to bring them to the Scaate for the signature of their Preider.

### DEFICIENCY BILL.

The bill from the House of Representatives further to supply deficiencies in the appropriations for the service of the fiscal year ending the 30th of Jupe, 1818, was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Finance.

### CALL ON THE WAR DEPARTMENT.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, rose to make an inquiry of the Chair, whether any reply had been received to a resolution submitted by him and adopted on the 30th of December. The resolution to which he had reference, was a call on the War Department for information as to the number of troops called into the service in Mexico since May, 1846, the number discharged, and the number killed and wounded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER replied that the resolution had not been answered.

Mr. JOHNSON then gave notice that unless an answer should be received in the course of a few days, he would renew the call.

### THE SUPREME COURT.

Mr. ASHLEY, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives supplementary to the act entitled "An act concerning the Supreme Court of the United States," approved June 17, 1844, reported it with

#### SIGNING OF BILLS

The VICE PRESIDENT signed the following enrolled bills and enrolled resolution:

An act to make attachments which are made under process issuing from the Courts of the United States conform to the laws regulating such attachments in the Courts of the States.

An act providing for the payment of the claim of Walter R. Johnson, against the frieted States.

An act concerning the Courts of the United States in and for the District of Michigan.

Michigan.

An act for the relief of the administrators of Elisha L. Keea, deceased. A resolution for the relief of Betsey MeIntosh

## EXECUTIVE SESSION

On motion by Mr. SEVIER, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of Expentive business, and after some time spent therein, the doors were again opened, and

On motion,

## FRIDAY, MARCH 10, 1848.

### PETITIONS.

The VICE PRESIDENT presented a memorial of citizens of the District of Columbia, and of other portions of the United States, praying the purchase of Mount Vernou by the government; which was referred to the Committee on the District of Columbia and ordered to be printed.

Mr. DOWNS presented the petition of William W. Wall, praying the confirmation of his title to a tract of land in the State of Louisiana; which was referred to the Committee on Private Land Claims.

#### PRIVATE BILLS REPORTED.

Mr. MASON, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the petition of Stalker and Hill, submitted a report accom-panied by a bill for their relief.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

Mr. ATCHISON, from the Committee on Indian Affairs, to whom was referred the petition of H. B. Gaither, submitted a report, accompanied by a resolution for his relief.

The resolution was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

## SCHOOL LANDS IN FLORIDA.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. YULEE asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill concerning school lands in the State of Florida; which was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. CAMPBELL, their Clerk :

Mr. President: "The House of Representatives have passed a bill to amend an act en-titled "An act to regulate the possed lings in the Grusii Court of the United States and for other purpose." passed with August, 1816; in which they request the concur-rence of the Sentin.

## HOUSE BILLS REFERRED.

The bill from the House of Representatives directing the mode of settling the claims of Charles G. Ridgely, was sead the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

The bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of David Thomas, of Philadelphia, was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Finance.

The bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of the heirs and legal representatives of Regnald, alias Nick Hillary, was read the first and second times by unanimous consent and referred to the Committee on Revolutionary Claims.

The bills from the House of Representatives for the relief of Nancy Tompkins, and for the relief of Stephen Champlin, were severally read the first and second times by unanimous consent and referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

The bills from the House of Representatives for the relief of Calvin Emmons, and for the relief of E. G. Smith, were severally read the first and second times by unanimous consent and referred to the Committee on Patents and the Patent Olifice.

The hills from the House of Representatives for the relief of John Mitchell, and for the relief of John Manly, were severally read the first and second times by unanimous consent and referred to the Committee on Pensions.

The bills from the House of Representatives for the relief of Bent, St. Vrain and Company; for the relief of William Hogan, administrator of Michael Hogan, deceased; for the relief of James McAvoy; for the relief of Clarles Benns; for the relief of William Ralston; and for the relief of Bennet M. Dell, were severally read the first and second times by unanimous consent and referred to the Committee of Claims,

### THE SUPPRIME COURT

Mr. BERRIEN expressed a desire that the bill which had for business, might now be taken up. The Supreme Court was about its object, the relief of the Supreme court from the pressure of business, might now be taken up. The Supreme Court was about to adjourn, and it was important that the fate of the bill should be ascertained before the adjournment of the court, as in the event of its passage, the provision would be made for an additional term during the present year in the hope of relieving the docket. He moved a postponement of the prior order, that the Senate might at once proceed to the consideration of that bill.

Mr. ASHLEY rose only for the purpose of saying, that when Mr. ASHLEY rose only for the purpose of saying, that when the bill referred to by the gentleman from Georgia, was taken up it would, in his judgment, be found to demand the mature consideration of the Seante before it should be passed. He had reported the bill by the direction of the majority of the Committee on the Judiciary, but the majority of that committee had directed him whenever the bill came up, to offer an amendment, with the view of bringing the whole subject before the Seante, that it might receive a proper consideration. The majority of the committee believed that the bill would produce greatly more injury to the mass of the community than if the law remained as it was. The bill lett one whole class of claims uterly upprovided for, and made no provision whatever for cases of appeal from the District to the Circuit Court. Circuit Court.

Mr. DAYTON suggested that, as the bill had not been vet taken up, it might be as well before proceeding farther, to bring it up. He therefore moved to take up the bill.

Mr. ASHLEY remarked, that he was aware that the bill had not yet been taken up, and his object was to present some reasons why it should not be taken up at that time. It would be quite impossible to get through with the bill during the morning hour.

Mr. SEVIER said that he had given way to the Senator from Georgia, under the impression that there would not be any dis-cussion; but as it was apparent that the bill could not soon be disposed of, he would move that the Senate now proceed to the consideration of Executive business,

Mr. BENTON rose, but yielded to-

Mr. BERRIEN, who remarked, that be bad now fulfilled his duty by calling the bill to the notice of the Senate, in which the chairman of the Committee on Foreign Relations bad kindly acquiesced. He was of course not at all desirous to delay other important business; and, therefore, he would withdraw his motion to take up the bill.

## ADJOURNMENT OVER

On motion, it was

Ordered, That when the Senate adjourn it be to Tuesday next.

## EXECUTIVE SESSION.

On motion by Mr. SEVIER, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of Executive business; and, after some time spent therein, the doors were again opened, and

# TUESDAY, MARCH 14, 1848.

## REPORT FROM THE TREASURY DEPARTMENT.

The VICE-PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a report of the acting Secretary of the Treasury, made agreeably to law, exhibiting certain contracts and expenditures during the periods and for the objects therein mentioned.

## RESOLUTIONS OF THE LEGISLATURE OF NEW YORK.

Mr. DICKINSON presented a resolution passed by the Legislature of the State of New York, in favor of an extension of the acts granting pensions to the widows of olicers and soliders of the Revolution; which was laid upon the table and ordered to be

Mr. DIX presented a resolution passed by the Legislature of New York, in opposition to any change in the act of the 2d of March, 1837, concerning pilots; which was laid upon the table and ordered to be printed.

### PETITIONS.

Mr. ASHLEY presented the petition of Seneca G. Simmons, an officer in the army, praying to be released from hability for certain public money stolen from his possession in Mexico; which was referred to the Committee on Military Alfairs.

Also, a petition of citizens of the connties of Bradley and Jefferson, in Arkansas, praying to be allowed the right of pre-emption to the lauds on which they have settled; which was laid upon the table.

Also, the petition of Joseph M. Merriwether, and a petition of a number of citizens of Arkansas in his behalf, praying to be allowed the right of pre-emption to a trate of land; which were referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

Mr. DICKINSON presented the memorial of H. N. Denison, praying the payment of an accepted draft, drawn by James Reeside, mal contractor, on the Post Office Department; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

## On motion by Mr. BREESE, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Emcline Owens, on the files of the Senate, he referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

## On motion by Mr. CALHOUN, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Robert M. Harrison, on the files of the Senate, he referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

On motion by Mr. HUNTER, it was

Ordered, That William Storke Jett have leave to withdraw his petition and papers.

## COMPENSATION TO WITNESSES.

Mr. DOWNS submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to:

Re deed, That the Committee on the Judiesary be instructed to inquire whether any further purvision by law may be neess any to authorize the same compensation to be paid to wincess in the courts of the United States on the paid of the detection and to report by bill or otherwise.

## NOTICE OF A BILL.

Mr. ASHLEY gave notice that on to-morrow, or at an early day, he would ask leave of the Scante to bring in a bill to regulate the less in the several courts of the United States.

## INDIANA BONDS.

Mr. CLAYTON, from the Committee on Finance, to whom was referred the bill authorizing the surrender of certain bands held by the United States to the State of Indiana, reported the same with amendments, and submitted a special report on the subject; which was ordered to be printed.

## GRADUATION BILL

Mr. BREESE, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the bill to reduce and graduate the price of the public lands, and for other purposes, reported it without amendment.

## THE LOAN BILL.

Mr. ATHERTON, from the Committee on Finance, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives to authorize a loan not to exceed the sum of sixteen millions, reported it with amendments.

## DEFICIENCY BILL.

Mr. ATHERTON, from the Committee on Finance, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives, further to supply deficiencies in the appropriations for the service of the fiscal year ending the 30th June, 1848, reported it with ame. d

### PRIVATE BILLS, ETC.

Mr. NILES, from the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads, to whom was referred the petition of Alfred White, reported a bill for his relief; which was read and passed to the second reading.

Mr. DICKINSON, from the Committee on Finance, to whom was referred the bill for the relief of Benjamin Adams and Company, reported it without amendment.

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the Committee of Claims, to whom were referred the petition of Volney E. Howard, and the memorial of Isabella Cole, executrix of William Cole, deceased, submitted reports thereon, which were ordered to be printed.

### VIRGINIA REVOLUTIONARY CLAIMS.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. UNDERWOOD asked and obtained leave to bring us a bill to provide for the unpaid elains of the officers and solders of the Virginia State and Continental lines of the revolutionary army; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

## MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Camprell, their clerk:

Mr. President: The President of the United States approved and signed the 9th instant the following bills and resolution:

An act to amend an act entitled  $^{6}\mathrm{An}$  act in amendment of the acts respecting the judicial system of the Pinited States,  $^{O}$ 

An act granting the fronking privilege to Louisa Catharine Adams.

Joint resolution expressive of the thanks of Congress to Major General Winfield Scott, and the troops under his command, for their distinguished gallantry and good conduct in the campiogan of Port.

## MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT.

The following message was received from the President of the United States, by Mr. Walken, his Secretary:

Mr. President. The President of the United States approved and signed the 9th instant the following bills and resolution.

An act for the relief of the administrators of Elisha L. Keen, deceased,

An act for the payment of the claim of Walter R. Johnson against the United States.

An act to make attrehments which are made under process issuing from the courts of the United States conform to the laws regulating such attachments in the courts of

An act concerning the courts of the United States in, and for the district of Michi-

A resolution for the relief of Betsey McIotosh.

## DEFICIENCY BILL

On motion by Mr. ATHERTON, the prior orders were postponed, and the Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill from the House of Representatives further to supply deliciencies in the appropriations for the service of the 1 seal year ending the 30th June, 1848.

## The bill having been partially amended-

Mr. HALE inquired of the chairman of the Fmance Committee, whether the item of five thousand dollars for expenses in the issue of Treasury notes, was intended to be appropriated to the payment of officers now receiving salaries?

Mr. ATHERTON replied that he did not so understand it. The appropriation for this purpose, if he were correctly informed, amounted in the whole, to forty thousand dollars. There was amongst the appers accompanying the bill, a statement of the disbursements during the current liseal year, under that appropriation, amounting to thirty-eight thousano dollars. The sum appropriated being thus nearly exhausted, it was estimated by the Treasury Department, that an additional appropriation of five thousand dollars would be necessary. He then sent to the desk a statement of these expenditures, which was read by the Secretary.

Mr. HALE then moved a proviso, that no part of this sum should be paid to officers receiving salaries for issuing or signing Treasury notes.

Mr. ATHERTON said he had not the slightest objection to the

## EXECUTIVE SESSION

Mr. MANGUM then said, that with the permission of the honorable chairman of the Finance Committee, he would move that

the bill before the Senate be passed over informally, with the view of making another motion.

Mr. ATHERTON assented.

Mr. MANGUM then moved that the Senate proceed to the consideration of Executive business.

The motion being agreed to, the Schate proceeded to the consideration of Executive business

### DEFICIENCY BILL.

The doors having been re-opened, the Scnate resumed the consideration of the bill, supplying deficencies in the appropriations for the current year.

Mr. HALE said, that as he had ascertained that a similar provision had been inserted in the loan bill, he would withdraw his amendment.

Mr. BENTON moved further to amend the bill by striking out.

Mr. BENTON moved further to amend the bill by striking out the item allowing a Charge to the Papal States and inserting "minister plenipotentiary," and to increase the appropriation from \$22,000 to \$31,000.

### THE TEN REGIMENT BILL.

Mr.\*CASS then said:—This bill will, it is now evident, lead to a somewhat protracted discussion, and I therefore hope, that it will be passed over informally, and that the Senate will take up the ten regiment bill. I shall not trouble the Senate with any remarks in support of this suggestion, but morely express the hope, that gentlemen will acquiesce in the propriety of adopting it.

Mr. WEBSTER.—I hope that further debate on the ten regiment bill will not be prosecuted until a certain matter now pending, and to which I may not at present further refer, shall have been decided in one way or another. I have no disposition to defer the consideration of the bill, but in my judgment it is important that the matter to which I have alluded should be decided before this military bill be taken up.

Mr. CASS.—I do not understand at all how any motion in secret session can have any relation to this bill. There is no necessity to affect mystery where there is none. The whole world knows that a minister goes shortly to Mexico.

## Mr. WEBSTER .- The whole world knows what?

Mr. CASS.—That somebody goes to Mexico with a certain paper. There are two very special reasons why we should act on this bill prompty. We should be prepared for the most vicorous prosecution of the war if that paper should be rejected by Mexico. prosecution of the war if that paper should be rejected by Mexico. Stable—we all know the difficulties to which it is exposed—and therefore we ought to be prepared, in the event of the failure, to ratify the treaty. We know of what elements the Mexican nation, like all other nations, is composed. We ought to satisfy them that we intend to prosecute the war with the atmost vigor in order to hold out powerful motives for the ratification of the treaty—When asked the other day if my object was to frighten Mexico, mane to frighten than to fight the Mexicans. It is also more commend. The object of all wars is to compel a treaty of peace; and that great object is best to be attained by satisfying your enemy of the injuries to which they must inevitably be exposed by continued resistance. Our true policy now obviously is, to adopt promptly the most vigorous measures, with the view of inducing the government of Mexico to ratify the treaty, and the people of the passage of the bill. It trust it will be promptly passed, and as I should hope, unanimously.

Mr. DOUGLAS.—I merely desire to know to what treaty the honorable gentleman alluded?

Mr. CASS.—I am really at a loss to know why any mystery should be affected in this matter. I suppose that there is somewhere a certain paper, and that the world knows all about it.

The PRESIDING OFFICER.—Does the Senator make any specific motion?

Mr. CASS.—I move that the prior order he postponed, and that this bill be taken up.

Mr. BERRIEN.—The object of the Senator may be pe\_naps obtained, and the objection of the Senator from Massachusetts be removed, if the motion just made be withdrawn, in order that I may be allowed to submit a motion that the Senate proceed to the consideration of Executive business.

Mr. CASS.-I have no objection if that hasten the conclusion of the matter, and enable gentlemen to vote upon it.

Mr. BERRIEN.—I move then that the Senate proceed to the consideration of Executive business.

## CHAIRMAN OF THE COMMITTEE ON FOREIGN RELATIONS,

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—Before the question is put, I beg that the gentleman will withdraw his motion, in order to allow me to make one. A good deal of important business, and some off it of make one. A good deal of important business, and some off it of the comparison of the gentleman next to him on the list of members of the committee by appointing him to another office, and the gentleman next to him on the list of members of the committee declines taking the place of chairman. I suppose that the usual course—at all events, that it is entirely proper, that the gentleman who stands next, the hoovardle gentleman from Indana, [Mr. HANNEGAN,] should be appointed to that place. I move that he be appointed.

## It was then

Ordered, That Mr. Hannegan be appointed chairman of the Committee on Foreign Relations in the place of Mr. Sevier, and the vacancy in the committee be filled by the appointment of the Presidenc Officer.

Mr. Mason was accordingly appointed.

### DEFICIENCY BILL.

The PRESIDING OFFICER.—It is necessary to make some disposition of the bill now before the Senate.

Mr. BERRIEN.-Let it be laid over informally.

Mr. ATHERTON.—I am of course unwilling to insist on the consideration of this bill, if the honorable chairman of the Countitee on Military Atlairs wishes to go on with the regular order of the day; and I would give way for that purpose. But I should very much regret that the Senate should, for any other purpose, postpone the consideration of this bill, on which speedy action is necessary, as some branches of the public service must suffer in case 'i passage should be delayed. The ten regiment bill has of course the right of priority, and to it I am prepared to yield. But I now rise to give notice that I shall to-morrow request the Senate to resume the consideration of this bill.

Mr. CASS.—I have already stated my own views, and will cheerfully consent to the request of the gentleman so far as regards myself. My great object is to obtain the immediate passage of the bill.

The further consideration of the bill was then postponed till tomorrow.

## EXECUTIVE SESSION.

Mr. BERRIEN .- I renew my motion.

The motion being agreed to, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of Executive business, and after some time spent therein, the doors were opened, and

## On motion,

# WEDNESDAY, MARCH 15, 1848.

## RESIGNATION OF SENATOR SEVIER.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Scuate a letter from the Hon. AMEROSE H. SEVER, resigning his scat in the Senate of the United States; which was read.

## On motion by Mr. ASHLEY, it was

Ordered, That the VICE PRESIDENT be requested to inform the Executive of the State of Arkansas that the Hon. Ambrose H. Sevier has this day resigned his seat in the Senate.

## BEFORT FROM THE NAVY DEPARTMENT.

The VICE PRESIDENT had before the Secontar a report of the Secretary of the Navy, made agreeably to law, accompanied by a statement from the Second Compiration of the Treasury of the appropriations for the naval service for the fiscal year eading June 30th, 1947.

## RESOLUTIONS OF THE LEGISLATURE OF PENNSYLVANIA.

Mr. CAMERON presented a preumble and resolutions passed by the Legislature of the State of Pennsylvania, it, layor of the construction of a rull road from Lake Michigan to the Parilie Ocean, on the plan proposed by Mr. Whitney; which were laid upon the table

Mr. BENTON presented a petition of citizens of St. Louis, Missouri, praying the adoption of measures for the re-establishment of Peace between the United States and Alexico; which was laid upon the table.

Mr. CAMERON presented a petition of citizens of Pennsylvania, praying that the United States' army may be recalled from Mexico and peace established with that republic; which was referred to the Committee on Military Alfairs.

Also, a petition of citizens of Pennsylvania praying the establishment of a mail-route from Providence to Tufton in that State; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Rouds.

Also, the petition of Leonard Gray, a soldier in the last war with Great Britain, praying to be allowed a pension; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. LEWIS presented a petition of citizens of Clarke county, Alabama, praying the establishment of a mail-route from Wilderness Post Office to Mott's Post Office in that State; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Also, the petition of T. L. Smith, praying a grant of land to the Eufaula and Geneva Railroad Company to aid in the construction of a railroad from Eufaula to Pensacola; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

## COMPENSATION TO JAMES MOORE.

Mr. BENT'ON submitted the following resolution, which was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on the Contingent Expenses of the Senate:

Resolved, That the Secretary of the Senate, allow and yoy out of the Contingen fund of the Senate, to James Moare, the same per down compensation that is now at larved and part to the love acesting the unail extracts of the Senate, he having per found the same duties during the 24th Congress without compensation.

## TEXAS BONDS,

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the Committee on the Jadiciary, to whom was referred the petition of Frederick Dawson, James Schott, and Elisha Dana Whitney, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for their relief.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

Mr WESTCOTT, from the same Committee, to whom was referred the petition of Leslie Combs, submitted a report which was ordered to be printed.

## ADVERSE REPORT

Mr. MASON, from the Committee of Caims, to whom was elerred the memorial of the widow and legal representatives of Reuben Lassiter, submitted an adverse report; which was ordered be printed.

## HOUSE BILLS REPORTED.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the Committee on Pensions, to whom were referred the bills from the House of Representatives for the relief of John Mirchell, and for the relief of John Mauley, reported them without amendment.

#### TERRITORY OF NEBRASKA.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. DOUGLAS asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to establish the Territory of Nebraska; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous coasent, and referred to the Committee on Territories.

### AMERICAN HEMP FOR THE USE OF THE NAVY.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. BENTON asked and obtained leave to bring in a joint resolution to promote the purchase of American hemp for the use of the American Navy; which was read the first and second times, by unminimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Naval Adiars.

## MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

Mr. President: The House of Representatives have passed bills of the fullowing

An act relating to the collection district of New Orleans, and for other purposes; An act to change the name of Photus Kavasales to that of Photus Fisk;

In which they ask the concurrence of the Senate

## EXAMINERS IN THE PATENT OFFICE.

The Senate proceeded to consider the amendments made by the House of Representatives to the bill of the Senate to provide additional examiners in the Patent Office and for other purposes; and

On motion by Mr. WESTCOTT, it was

Ordered, That they be referred to the Committee on Patents and the Patent Office.

#### COMMODORE PARKER.

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill for the relief of Commodore Foxall A. Parker of the United States Navy: and

On motion by Mr. BADGER, it was

Ordered. That the further consideration thereof be postponed until to morrow.

## HOUSE BILLS REFERRED.

The bills from the House of Representatives for the relief of Sarah Stokes, widow of John Stokes; for the relief of Esther Russell; for the relief of Annathan Moore, of the State of Massachnestts; for the relief of Robert Ellis; and for the relief of Catheriae Fulton of Washington country, Pennsylvania, were severally read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Pensions.

The bills from the House of Representatives relating to the collection district of New Orleans and for other purposes; and for the relief of the Red River Railroad Company, were severally rend the first and second times, by manimons consent, and referred to the Committee on Commerce.

The bills from the House of Representatives for the relief of Stalker and Hill; and for the relief of Reuben Perry and Thomas P. Ligon, were severally read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee of Claims.

The bill from the House of Representatives for the benefit of Benjamin White, was read the first and second times, by manimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

The bills from the House of Representatives for the relief of Aathony Bessee; and for the relief of Charles Cappell, were severally read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Private Land Claims.

The bill from the House of Representatives for the rehef of G. F., de la Roche and W. P. S. Sanger, was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Navul Affairs.

The bills from the House of Representatives to change the name of Photins Kausales to that of Photins Fisk; and to amend the act entitled "An act to regulate the proceedings in the Circuit Court of the United States, and for other purposes," passed 8th August, 1846, were severally read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

The joint resolution from the House of Representatives for the relief of George R. Smith, was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Reads. NOTICE OF A BILL.

Mr. BAGBY gave notice that on to-morrow, or at an early day, he would ask leave of the Senate to bring in a bill relating to the public lands.

TheSenate proceeded to consider the amendments of the House Representatives to the bill for the relief of the hears of John Paul Jones; and it was

Resolved, That they concur therein.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

CLAIMS ON MEXICO.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the joint resolution to create a board to ascertain and determine the amount of each of the claims of the citizens of the United States against Mexico; and

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, it was

Ordered, That it be recommitted to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

THE TEN REGIMENT BILL.

Mr. CASS then moved that the prior orders of the day be post-poned, and that the bill making provisions for an increase of the regular army be taken up; which was agreed to.

The question pending being the motion by Mr. BUTLER to re-commit the bill to the Committee on Military Affairs, with in-structions to strike out all after the enacting clause, and to insert the fellowing:

The year and navs were demanded, by Mr. Breese, and being seconded, were ordered and taken with the following result :

Secondary wave ordering and taken with the following result:
YEAS.—Mess. Badger, Baldwa, Bell, Renies, Buther, Calhon, Calake, Chyeno, Corwa, Cuttenden, Davis, of Moss., Dayton, Gorene, Itale, Mangam, Erdelpe, Underwool.—77: NAYS.—Messs. Allen, Ashley, Alteleon, Alberton, Bagby, Benton, Radder, Reese, Camero, Cas., Davis, of Miss., Dekirson, Dav. Bongle, Bown, Felch, Rangen, Hunter, Johnson, of Ga., Jelmon, of La., Lewy, Mason, Moor, Nile, Shangoo, Turney, Westert, Muser, Mason, Moor, Nile, Shangoo, Turney, Westert, Muser,

The question being on the passage of the bill,

Mr. CALHOUN said: I had no anticipation that this bill Mr. CALITOCH said: I had no anticipation that this only would be put upon its passage to-day. I have had no opportunity of being heard upon it, and I desire to offer my views before it be passed. With this view, I hope it may be laid over till to-morrow. I move that it be laid over till to-morrow.

Mr. CASS .- I have merely to observe that if the gentleman wishes to offer some remarks on the bill, I will hear bim with great pleasure. But the gentleman from Virginia, [Mr. Mason,] has the floor.

Mr. CALHOUN .- I had forgotten that, and of course I do not desire to be heard before the gentleman from Virginia.

Mr. MASON .- When this bill was under the consideration of the Senate, some three weeks since, the debate was made to em-brace the entire subject connected with the origin of the war, and its conduct on the part of the government of the United States It was my purpose then to have gone into the debate to the extent to which it had then proceeded. But since that time events have transpired—perfectly well known to the country—which would, in my judgment, render it inexpedient, if not impossible, to present views intended for a totally different state of the case. What views intended for a totally different state of the case. What these events are, I may not be perhaps permitted to allude to here, farther than as they have gone forth to the country on well ac-oredited rumer. But, sir, I have not yet heard that a peace has been made with Mexico. If such be the fact, I am uninformed of it. That a negotiation may be pending mily be true—that an armistice exists between the two contending armies may be true. mistice exists between the two contending armies may be true. But it that be true, it exists only on a rumor, which, as 1 have said, is so well accredited as to render it in bad taste to speak of one who was an enemy in the terms in which I should have been disposed to do on a former occasion. I have said that so far as I am informed there is as yet no peace with discisco. Now if such be the fact, where is the policy—where is the vision of refusion to passe this bill. If negotiations, with a view to peace, are pend-to passed that the policy—where is the vision of refusion with whom we would such experience of the character of that for with whom we were a former to may be again, engaged in warrare, as teaches us the necessity of the policy. will whom we were once, and may be again, orgagin in warriary, as teaches us the necessity of all proper precaution now? Do we not know that if Mexico be brought to listen to the terms of peace, it will be because she has been prostrated and rendered powerless by the force of our arms? Do we not know that up to a very late by the force of our armst. Do we not know that up to a very late of period, with a perfect consciousness that it must be madness to resist longer, the spirit of every party in Mexico was averse to a peace? A spirit of instantion seemed to pervade them to the last moment, when they were forced to submit to the stern lesson of the control of the contr

likely to be seized upon by her, as a determination on the part of this Senate to stop where we were, and refrain from making any further provision to earry on a war? We have learned that Mexico further provision to carry on a war! We have learned that Mexico is a wily and a faithless foe. What was her conduct with regard to the mission that was sent to her, on her own invitation before the war legan, and which was promptly acceded to with the view of preventing hostilities? She invited a minister and promised to receive him. He was sent—sent speedby in order to evines the earnest desire on the part of this government to prevent a war.

The all measurements of the provision of the prov The discourteous reception with which that minister met is known. The Mexican government denied that his mission was even antici-The Mexican government denied that his mission was even anticipated! Procrastinating, delaying, Mexico sought refige mevery possible expedient, and attributed our indulgence to possillanimity and weakness. The minister was instructed to remain and to preserve the equanimity of his temper in all his intercourse with Mexico, to avoid a collision, and, if possible, to adjust by negotiation the difficulties pending between the two countries. But our minister was compelled to return from its bootless mission. Again, into minerance power in the most is bootless mission. Acroin, how we see that the consequence of the stipple of the consequence It would be unsuited to the occasion to do so. I hope that the time has gone by for the discussion of that question, but the time has not gone by for those military measures which should be prosecuted promptly, in order to evince such a determination on our part as will compel the Mexican government to declare an honest and bona fide cessation of hostilities. I have risen merely for the pur-pose of expressing that opinion. I trust that there will not be any debate further than that indicated by the Senator from South Carolina: but should there be any new debate, I may enter into it

Mr. BADGER moved that the Senate proceed to the consideration of Executive business

Mr. CASS.—I hope that the Senate will not agree to that mo-tion. It appears to me that there is business of vastly more im-portance to be acted on in open session.

The yeas and nays were demanded on the question, and being seconded, were taken with the following result :

YEAS—Messe, Badger, Baldwin, Bell, Berrien, Buther, Calbonn, Clarke, Chylon, Chrun, Crittenho, Davie, of Mass, Green, Hale, Johnson, of Lis, Mangun, Christon, Christo

The motion was therefore not agreed to.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, rose and remarked, that not being present when the question was put, he had voted under a misapprehension; he did not know that the vote was taken on the proposition at the Senator from South Carolina, [Mr. BUTLER,] proposition at the Senator from South Carolina, [Mr. BUTLER,] or he would have voted for it; that from what had recently occur-red, he could not now believe that the additional regiments con-templated by the bill under consideration, would be required at all; under existing circumstances, therefore, he preferred that tpovision for an increase of the United States army should be made in the manner proposed by the Scautor from South Carolina, by filling up the old regiments. He would not, however, in consequence of He would not, however, in consequence of the large majority against the proposition, move a reconsideration of the vote.

of the vote.

Mr. MANGUM.—I move to postpone the fairther consideration of this bill till this day fortnight. It is true I have very little hope that this motion will provail; and yet I cannot well suppress the control that this till reveal at this fine, in the spirit which has been manifested in the circumstances by which we are now surrounded. It is probable that there are many other gentlemen who desire to be heard on this subject, and yet it is perfectly well known that the phases of public business have undergone a very great change, although we are inhibited by circumstances from explaining the how or wherefore. It seems to me that as matter of taste, not to speak of policy, it cannot but be regarded as most extraordinary to press this bill in this spirit at the present moment. At all events, allow a week or two weeks to clapse and then dispose of the question. It would be very important, it seems to me, that we should go into Executive session before we are called upon to take the you upon the question now before us. are called upon to take the vote upon the question now before us are called upon to take the voir upon the question now before two Bult it is refused to go into Executive session—refused by an over-whelming majority of this body. And yet gentlemen are to be tongue-tied; they are to be probibited from making any reference to matters which are of essential importance in the consideration of this very question. We are called on to occupy the same ground as was presented six weeks ago, although it is known that the ground is changed. We are to be put absolutely in duresse

by the manner in which this question is now brought forward. It is of great and obvious importance that we should be enabled to refer to things as they are. It certainly strikes me as the most extraordinary proceeding I have eyer witnessed, when we are calextraordary protections if have ever with some value we like very consistent of the property o of things, and when you can fill up the regiments now organized to sixty thousand men, we are called upon and pressed to send ten thousand additional troops to Mexico! Why, sir, is it not apparent thousand additional troops to Mexico! Why, sir, is it not apparent that that augmentation of your military force can have no reference to the Mexican war, whatever bearing it may have upon Executive patronage? I impute none but patriotic motives to the honorable claiman of the Military Committee, but so far as regards the acceleration of peace, or the termination of the war—so far as regards any purpose for which those ten regiments might be required in Mexico, I think if we were permitted to go into this subject, and as we all know it now to exist, it would be interly impossible to discover once the shadow of promitty for such a recording as and as we an know it now to exist, it would be afterly impossing to discover even the shadow of propriety for such a procedure at this time in all the circumstances of the case. At all events, if this question is to be pressed against my convictions of propriety uns question is to be pressed against my convections of propriety—against my conviction also, that it would be in violation of the pretty well established usage of this body, it will change essentially my views and conduct upon another question that will come before use 1 hope, judging from what I have seen heretofore, that these considerations will work out such a conviction upon the minds of at least a sufficient number, that we may be allowed to speak fully of least a sumerent number, that we may be above to speak anny of all we know, and all that is pertinent to the matter now under consideration, and is submitted to us for discussion in open session. I cannot believe that if this appeal were put properly before the President and heads of departments, embracing the consideration of another question which must be acted on in another capacity, and which must bring upon them embarrassment in its full weight, that all warlike operations and movements would be suspended for the present. But the truth is, that when parties become harness ed, to use a eant phrase, they generally "go it blind." I appeal to the chairman of the Committee on Military Affairs, to let us have an opportunity of speaking to this subject in open day, and with all the light which can be brought to bear apon it I appeal to the maglight which can be brought to bear apon it. I appeal to the mug-nanimity—to the sense of justice of Senators on the other side of the chamber-and I hope that there is no necessity of making any appeal to a single Senator on this side-to free those who wish to peak on this subject, from the duresse and the chains in which they are now placed. I cannot beheve, that if the matter were properly considered, there would be a persistence in this course at this time. The going into Executive session is refused by a very

Mr. BENTON.—Will the Senator hear one word? I voted against going into Executive session, because a bill of the highest importance is pending, which we wish to take up.

Mr. MANGUM.—If the bill to which the honorable Senator alluded be called up, and it is, as I hear, of importance, I shall certainly be in favor of proceeding with it.

Mr. CALHOUN, (in his seat.)-Move to lay this bill on the table.

Mr. MANGUM.—I will vary my motion, but may have occasion again to renew it. I move that the bill lie on the table for the present.

Mr. CASS.—The Senator from North Corolina has expressed a good deal of surprise—

Mr. HALE .- Is this motion debateable?

Mr. CASS.-Will the Senator withdraw his motion for a moment?

Mr. MANGUM .- Certainly.

Mr. CASS.—The Senator has expressed surprise that this bill which has been under consideration for a period of three months, should be pressed to a vote. I confess that I cannot understand the grounds of the goutleman's surprise. The bill has been discussed to the fullest extent on both sides of the chamber during the last three months, yet the gentleman from North Carolina talks of haste.

Mr. MANGUM.—It has been in dry-dock for three weeks; and the Senator will recollect that the signs of the times have changed.

Mr. CASS.—I lens been in the dry dock for three weeks! Well, it is now time that it was soluct upon the neam. But I have not the slightest conception of what the seems to the gradient and alludes when he speaks of gragging. He seems to make you we must go into executive session before we pureced to not upon this bill. Well, I can only conjecture the learning which an executive session can have upon this subject. It is supposed out of doors that we have ratified a certain instrument—that the two great parties of the Senate have been divided—that we stood product and ron—and that we want to explain ourselves eventually, but what that has to do with this bill passess my comprehension. It is two purposes, first, to be result for the inner proceeding of hostilities in the event of the rejection of the treaty by Mexico-

quite a possible result from the unstable nature of her government, and the irritated feelings of her people; and perhaps I might say, that it is a probable result, at all events every thing admonishes us of the necessity of being prepared; and second, that we may send out with our minister the vote of preparation—the intelligence that, in the event of a refusal of the treaty, we are ready to prostice illustration of our policy—the olive breach an algebrase in the distriction of our policy—the olive breach and present the one to be used if we may, the other if we must. I trust that this bill will be passed by the menimous vote of both bouses. If it should be thus passed immediately, I have no more doubt that I have of my own existence, that we should have peace. Without the passage of this bill, I do not undertake to say how long peace may be deferred. But I repear, suppose the supposition is correct, and that you go on to ratify this paper, I might rather say as the public says, to negotiate—

Mr. MANGUM.—I hold that that supposition is entirely out of order. It is not to be entertained in open session that any thing at all has been done in secret session. I make a question of order.

Mr. CASS.—I have only to say then, that if we have not a right to suppose anything here, I do not know what right appertains to a Senator.

Mr MANGUM.—I might suppose a thousand things might have happened in a correspondence, and the supposition might conform exactly to the fact, and if that be in order, I see no sort of objection to opening this discussion without any further action. But if I happened to be mistaken—

Mr. CASS.—The Senator himself introduced the whole thing distinctly and openly so that no one could misunderstand him. Unless we affect a spirit of mysticism unbecoming us and the occasion, it is utterly impossible to avoid allusions to what is known to all.

Mr. MANGUM.—I renew my motion. It is with no purpose of embarrassment that I make this motion.

Mr. ALLEN.—I should like to know the object of laying the bill on the table.

Mr. MANGUM.—That the Senator from Missouri, [Mr. Benton,] may call up the appropriation bill.

Mr. CASS.—The Senator from Missouri has not, as I understand, intimated such a design.

The PRESIDING OFFICER .- Proceed with the call.

The ayes and noes were demanded, and being seconded, were taken with the following result:

Yu Xa.—Alone, Bolee, Habiton, Bell, Borlen, Gilborn, Calake, Chyton, Gwin, Cuttodon, Davis of Massichiester, Dayton, Gener, Hale, Johnson, of Lonisarun, Mangum, Pheley, Underwood, Webster.—Pf.
NAYN—Messes, Milen, Adaley, Ardenon, Alterdon, Bagbry, Bentun Brathury,
NAYN—Messes, Milen, Adaley, Ardenon, Alterdon, Bagbry, Bentun Brathury,
Petch, Fonde, Hannegen, Hunter, Johnson, of Georgia, Lewis, Mason, Moor, Niles,
Tarroy, Westerli, Yuko.—28.

The question again recurring on the passage of the bill,

Mr. BALDWIN.—I regret that this bill should be pressed at this time for many reasons, some of turn known to Senators, but which I am not at liberty to state in open session. I can perceive no reason for its passage, and an utterly opposed to it. There is not only, in my judgment, no necessity for this augmentation of, our forces in Mexico, but hardly a plausible apolegy even,

for the Executive patronage it will create.

All our advices from Mexico show that the war is virtually at an end. There are no more battles to be fought—no new trophes to be on the case of the control of the control of the control of the control of the case principles as our own, to whom, in her early accreer, the warmest sympathies of the American people were extended, now lies prostrate and erushed to the earth by our invaling army. Her government, driven from place to place, has hardly been able to find a resting spot where it could remain long enough even to consider the question of peace. She oxhibits the sad spectacle of a nation of seven millions of people dissociated and disorganized, with searcely a form of government existing, and all the functions of her general and local administration conducted or controlled under the influence of martial law.

controls there are influence of interest law.

Our gallant army, sir, has only paused in its carger of victory because it has found no enemy to oppose its progress, and instead of being employed, at the present moment, may be a considered an enemy, it is occupied to the construction of collecting an enemy, it is occupied to the support of the growth of collecting employ. They have not only seized upon the revenues which have hitherto been relied upon for the support of the government of that republic, but they have also seized upon all the means appropriated to the support of her municipal institutions, and diverted those means from their proper uses. Are we to send new trougs to Mexico to aid in this employment—is there any necessity for additional troops for such a purpose? What army is there in Mexico for our troops, now there, to combat? What resistance are they meeting with? If there be any reliance to be placed upon the rumors which we have to-day from Mexico, an armisten over the support of the growth of the country is looking to pence; the people in every part of it are anxiously desiring, and hoping for, peace; they are expecting my thing, rather than an angientation of our present forces in Mexico. Nay, six, I believe that,

at this moment, the people of the United States are expecting that at this moment, the people of the United States are expectful finds instead of augmenting our forces, measures will be taken for withdrawing the troops already there, as speedily as may be, consistently with the public interests. Nobotly out of this fall has anticipated a movement of this description. Why the streets and avenues of this city are filled with officers returning from Mexico, because they have found no further employment there; and a portage of the public people of the public seed to the control of the public seed to th avenues of this city are filled with officers returning from Mexico, because they have found no further employment there; and a portion of those who remain finding no enemy to fight with, seem to be turning their hostilities against cach other. For what useful purpose, I ask again, can this large armed force be raised and sent to Mexico! Is it, sir, for the purpose indicated in the message of the President? Are we called on to send this large force of the control of the control of the sent of to Mexico in addition to the large force already there I believe that Mexico would be ready at any moment to accede to such terms as this government may dictate, having for their object simply a just indemnity for the wrongs committed by her. If there is any reluctance on the part of that government to make If there is any reinctance on the part of that government to make a peace upon the terms proposed by us, that reluctance will arise, not from any unwillingness, under existing circumstances to provide for our just claims, but from an unwillingness to evide the proposed of a price to be paid to her, a portion of the territories and people of that republic, without their consent, to

to cede to us, for a price to be past to ner, a portion or une territories and people of that republic, without their consent, to be governed by us.

I am not willing thus to acquire territory from Mexico, and the second of th ask whether it is the intention of the Congress of the United States to prosecute the war against Mexico for the purpose of compelling her to cede to us a portion of her territory for such price as we may choose to offer? This is the question. And it addresses itself to us as members of the legislative department of the government, and not as a co-ordinate branch of the treaty-making

Sir, for what purpose was this war declared? The constitution, which authorizes Congress to declare war, seems to contemplate, by the language it uses, a declaration of the causes and purposes for which the war is to be waged. The language in the constitution was used in reference to the common usage of nations, in ancient as well as in modern times. At the commencement of a war, it has been usual to set forth its causes and purposes by a public declaration. Hence, when the constitution says that Congress shall not merely cancet that the deemed to intend that Congress shall not merely cancet that the deemed to intend that Congress shall not merely cancet that the usage of nations sanctions, the causes in the war, is at the purposes for which it is waged. A mere commencement of hostifiets of armies brought suddenly into conflict with each other, without the prior act of the government of cither party, does not constitute war. It must first receive the sanction of some other, without the prior act of the government of either party, does not constitute war. It must first receive the sanction of some authorized declaration; and in the case of the Mexican republic and our own, it must have received the sanction of one or the other of those governments before a state of war could exist. What, sir, was the immediate origin of this war, and how did it commence? unquestionably it was owing to the advance of Gen. Taylor to the Rio Grande. And the Executive in ordering that advance, appears to have deliberately determined that any hostile act, or menace even, of hostilities, to which it might lead, on the part of the Mexican forces on the other side of the river, should be regarded as amounting, in effect, to a declaration of war by the Mexican government; and the Secretary of War therefore instructed General Taylor when he was about to approach the Rio Grande with the American army, that if he was attacked or menaced so

as to render it proper, in his judgment, to repel the assault, he was not to act merely on the defensive, but to curry on "aggressive operations," "stud, if deemed practicable and experientials and sold possession of Matamoras and other places in Mesico." That, sir, was the language of the Secretary of Wat when ordering General Taylor to advance to the Rio Grande, while Congress was in session, and peace was subsisting between the United States and Mexico; a measure, of which it is apparent he contemplated at the time aggressive war as the probable result. Unquestionably, sir, it would not have been the duty of General Taylor, if attacked or menaced by a hostile army, simply to ward off the impending blow, and await another attack. The principles of self-defence would have justified him in every measure deemed

on the impending blow, and await abouter attack. The principles of self-defence would have justified him in every measure deemed necessary for the protection and security of his command. But by what authority, I ask, was he authorized by the Executive, in anticipation of his advance to the Rio Grande, to do more this? but to engage in "aggressive operations" in Mexico? and yet it must be obvious to all who will read the correspondence, that from must be obvious to all who will read the correspondence, that from the time General Taylor was ordered to move to the Rio Grande, every step he was directed to take was with the view and expec-tation that it might lead to hostilities; and in that event, to the presecution of an aggressive war. I will not now occupy the time of the Senate by referring minutely to the correspondence showing this to have been the design of the government, but no one can read it with attention and mistake the object. I refer particu-rated in the attention and mistake the object. I refer particu-nated the of August 30th, 1845, of January 12th, and March 2d, 1846. 1846

Now I dony that it was in the power of the Executive department of the government to authorize the commanding general to engage in "laggressive operations." Everything that was necessary to repel the attack of a foreign enemy or to defend the army of General Taylor, it was the duty of the Executive to authorize the commanding general to do. But to carry on "laggressive operations" implies something more; it implies a determination on the part of the Executive department, to avail itself of the first movement of hostility or for other purposes, upon the territories of a laggressive working for other purposes, upon the territories of a laggressive at that time have declared this war for the purpose of obtaining satisfaction from the Mexican republic of the claims of our citizens? No one believes it., It would be inconsistent with the Now I deny that it was in the power of the Executive departsatisfaction from the Mexican republic of the delains of our citiens 1 No one believes it. It would be inconsistent with the whole course of policy that has been pursued, and the conventions that have been formed and acted upon by both governments with regard to these claims. In 1839, the two governments entered into a convention for the adjustment of the claims of our citizens. In 1843, there was another convention, and in 1844, another, which was only left incomplete by the interruption of diplomatic relations, occasioned by the annexation of Texas, Sir, at the very time that this government was taking steps for the annexation of Texas, the Mexican government, according to the statement of th

of that convention would have been faithfully fulfilled. Although it is unquestionably true, that the Mexican government has committed many and flagrant wrongs upon our citizens—wrongs which have been aggravated by her long and unreasonable donied if justice, during a period when she had the ability to make satisfaction for them, I am nevertheless of the opinion, that Mexico also, was not without just cause of complaint against our own government, in respect to the measures which were pursued against her earnest remonstrances, for the annexation of Texas, while at war, with that trepublic. And, in wy ominion these causes against her earnest remonstrances, for the annexation of Texas, while at war with that republic. And, in my opinion, those causes of complaint have a material bearing upon the question, as to the terms which it would be honerable for us to demand, and just for Mexice to yield, in the settlement of this controversy. When the preliminary steps for annexation were taken, we had a treaty of peace with Mexico which bounds as in good faith to do nothing to violate its letter or which bounds as in good faith to do nothing to violate its letter or which bounds are good and the comparison of the Mexicon minister, there is creasen to believe that Mexico was exerting herself to the utmost of her power, involved as she was with her immanes debt of \$150.00,000, to come to an honorable adjustment and satisfaction of 000,000, to come to an honorable adjustment and satisfaction of our claims. In September 1844, the American minister at Mex-ico, wrote to Mr. Calhoun, then Secretary of State, that the two last instalments were paid, and—

That he was inclined to believe that that government would thereafter be more prompt in meeting its engagements noder the treaty, than it had befetofore been; and if it should turn out otherwise, it will be owing to a real inability to raise the

And in a letter dated, July 2, 1845, he says, that in an interview with Santa Anna, on the I2th of September—

"He assured him that he had caused arrangements to be made, which would enable the government to meet the future instalments promptly as they fell due."

And Santa Anna, himself, in a letter to Charles Callaghan of New York, dated Havanna, November 19, 1845, declares—

"That as the treasury, at the pend when he entered into office, was but a skeleton, he imposed a forced losa on the whole callon; so that each and every one of the cut-zens, should contribute according to his means, to the payment of a debt which had already become secret to Mexco.

Our recognition of the independence of Texas was rightful, and

gave no just cause of complaint to Mexico. She was in fact indegave no just cause of complaint to Mexico. She was in fact inde-pendent, and whicher so de jure or not, it was not for us to in-quire. But it was no less the right of Mexico, if she deemed it proper, to continue her war with Texas, till it should be terminated by a satisfactory treaty of peace. And our treaty obligations of Triendship with Mexico were incompatible with an alliance with her enemy, much more with her incorporation into the U. and mini-sation is 1837 when the first remonstration was could for the onnex-

tration in 1837, when the first proposition was made for the annexation of Texas

"So long," and Mr. Foryth, in his answer to General Hust, "as Texas shall remain a war, while the Untile States are at peace with the adversary, the proposition of the Control of the Con

When in 1845, the United States, regardless of these consideratiens, and of the remenstrances of the Mexican minister, identified her interests with those of Texas, while the latter republic was at her interests with times of 1 exas, while the ratter replante was at war with Mexico, it cannot be denied that Mexico had some cause to suspend her diplomatic intercourse. The grievances of which she complained were deeply felt by her people—the more deeply, because the award object of the United States was to perpetuate the domain of slavery over the immense and fertile regions which Mexico had declared should be forever free. This was clearly Mexico had declared should be forever free. This was clearly awaved in all the correspondence of our government with the public functionaries of Texas; and indeed it was distinctly declared by Mr. Upshur, then Secretary of State, in his letter to Mr. Aurphy, of the 8th of August, 1843, that few calamities could beful this country more to be deployed than the abolition, under Brist influence, of domestic slavery in Texas.

Wint could be adapted in a burber decree to excite feelings of

What could be adapted in a higher degree to excite feelings of deep and settled hostility in the minds of the Mexican people, than to witness the consummation of the dismemberment of their repub-

Texas—notwithstanding the right of Mexico to resubjugate Texas 1 exas—novumstanding the right of Alexado to resulphigate 1 exast is she could, had been distinctly acknowledged by our government—the annexation of Texas was deemed so important for the procession of the peculiar institutions of the south, that the United States were willing to effect it even at the hazard of war. Its value was deemed incalculable, and the emergency too pressing to wait for consent.

But though the annexation of Texas gave just cause of com-plaint to Mexico, as an act incompatible with our treaty stipula-tions, it did not in my opinion create, though it gave occasion for tions, it did not in my opinion create, though it gave obcasion nor the war, which now exists between Besico and the United States. Texas, from the time of her annexation, ceased to be a power spable of holding relations of peace or war. Her separate exist-ence was merged in the Union; and it was for Mexico to devide, whether she would regard a measure which deprived her of the power of resubjugating her revolted province, or of treating with

her on the terms of separation, as an act of war on our part or not.

She had a substantial interest in thus holding on to her revolted province—for Mexico was at that time indebted to a vast amount, n amount estimated by Mr. Slidell at \$150,000,000, and Texas as a member of the confederacy was justly bound for her share as well for the claims of our own citizens, most of which originated long anterior to the separation of Texas. By the annexation of Texas, and her incorporation into this Union, the necessary result reases, and not memoryoration move that Contour, and necessary results as that she lost the character of a nation capable of having relations with foreign governments, and became so merged in our own, and the Mexico lost the power of enforcing upon her a just contribution for the payment of her shares of the indebtedness of the republic. Dil it follow that because Texas had good cause of revolt of the payment of revolts of the contribution for the payment of the republic. against Mexico, good cause to recede from the confederacy of which she had been a member, that she could so leave it as to throw the whole burthea of the public debt upon the remaining states? No, sir, no such claim could be set up by Texas any more than by any state in this Union under similar circumstances.
When, therefore, we received Texas into this Union we received her under such circumstances as between us and Mexico ceived her under sien encumistances as netween us and mexico-a nation with whom we were at peace—as imposed, at least in my view, an obligation upon us to adjust this matter in regard to the liabilities of Texas, upon fair and equitable terms. If on a quesview, an obligation upon us to adjust this matter in regard to the liabilities of Texas, upon fair and equitable terms. If on a ques-tion of policy connected with the institutions of the United States—If for the protection or extension of the peculiar institutions of any section of our country—the annexation of Texas to the Union was deemed essential, if the emergency was so pressing and the fear of foreign influence so great as to induce us to risk the bazard even of a war to differ its survey the processors of the and the fear of foreign influence so great as to induce us to risk the hazard even of a war to ellect in—survey the government of the United States should be prepared to treat with Mexico in a spirit of liberality. They were so prepared, and I entertain no doubt that it was the design of the government at that time, to deal with her justly and liberally—so far forth as an injury of this character could be repaired by a pecuniary consideration. I have said before, and I will repeat it, I do not believe that the government of the United States at that time intended the survey of the state of the survey which then existed between the two governments. I believe that

to have been the intention of this government originally, whether it was so when Mr. Slidell was sent to Mexico or not. In regard to the instructions given to Mr. Slidell 1 am not at liberty to speak, except so far as the President, in his message to Congress, has informed us. The President says that Mr. Slidell was authorized to come to a fair settlement upon liberal terms, then there of course were never communicated to the Mexican those terms of courses were never communities that to the Mexican government, because they refused to recognize Mr. Sidelli in the capacity of a resident minister, in which he was sent—instend of that of a commissioner ad hac, in which character alone the tottering government of Herrera had agreed to receive him. Sir, it is a remarkable fact that at the very time, November, 1845, when Mr. Slidell was sent, as a minister of peace, to renew our diplomatic relations with Mexico, ostensibly with a view to an amicable units amont the administration also sen unit tillespie "to watch over American interests in California". And if we are to reason as to motives from results, it was with a view to a revolutionary movement in that department, with the ultimate design of amexing it to the United States. Now, if the mission of Mr. Sildell was really a mission of peace, if it were designed as such, it seems to me that the course pursued by the administration in sending General Taylor to the Rio Grande and Capt. Gillespie to California are indicative of a very different spirit on the part of this course that the course pursued by the American Canada and Capt. this government, though without any act of the Americ It wears the appearance, certainly, of an gress to warrant it. It wears the appearance, certainly, of an intention on the part of the administration to bring on a war. As intention of the part of the administration of the most of the way. I am not have going to empire ministry into the manner in which the war commenced. I look at the general causes which were brought to beer upon the policy of the two governments and to the results which they produced. But when war was declared by Congress in consequence of the conflict which ensued between the two armies stationed on opposite sides of the Rio Grande, the question arose what were the equitable and just terms for settling the controversy. Texas, before she came iato the Union as we line controversy. Lexas, before size came into mentione makes been informed, was at once the proposal of the lexast been informed, was at once the proposal of the lexast towards the payment of the public debt, if Mexico could be induced thereby to recognize her independence. Configuration this Union she is relieved from this obligation, and Mexico is left to hear the burden of the whole debt, including the claims of our citizens, which was to the week most interesting one claims of our citizens, where we had been a right them—a right which justice requires this government to recognize—to expect, that, in any estimate that may be made of her claims at the inception of the war, the obligations of Texas for the liabilities of Moxico, at the time of her annexation to the Union, should be fairly considered. Well, sir, annexation to the Union, should be fairly considered. Well, sir, what else is there that Mexico could fairly claim to have taken into the account? The President has declared that to the United States the annexation of Texas was a bono of incalculable value. To the same extent that it was a bono to the Unian States, was it not also a loss to Mexico—a loss to be fairly considered in the adjustment of the controversy? Now, I believe that when the instructions given to Mr. Shanon shall be commonicated to us, it will appear that our government were then willing adjust in a spirit of liberatility, the ineignent centroversy with Mexicolity of the state o the hazard of conflict. What more then do we now ask?

What were the limits of Texas at the time of her annexation? I

agree entirely with the honorable Senator from South Carolina [Mr. BUTLER] that Texas had no title which she could transfer to the gevernment of the United States beyond her original limits, and the ter ritory then in her immediate and actual possession. Texas revolted as a department of the Mexican government. She rightfully revolt-ed, and maintained her independence within the boundary which originally pertained to her. All that she claimed to have acquired be-yond she must have acquired by conquest. And to the validity of such a title, it is essential that the whole of the territory thus claimed must have been in actual possession, and under the absolute control of the conquering party, and abandoned by the power which originally claimed it. So far as the unoccupied territory is concerried, the possession and tile are presumed still to pertain to the government to which it belonged before the contest. But General Taylor tells us that between the Nucces and the Rio Grande he found a Mexican enstand house, Mexican villages, and Mexican officers; and that a deputation from the Mexican department of Tamantipas warned him not to advance. He was also directed by Tamanipas warred hun not to advance. He was also directed by our own government in making his advance to respect the posts in the ocenpancy of Mexican forces, and the Mexican settleaneuts over which Texas did not exercise jurisdiction, and to give assurances to the people on the east back of the Rio Grande that they would not be interfered with in respect to their property, their personal rights, or religious privileges. Why was he so instructed unless it was recognized at the time as being a Mexican population. The people abandoned their cotton fields and field at his npeaced. Whe did ther fit moless the waver. I was insured. No. sir. proach. Why did they fly unless they were Mexicans? No, sir, there is not, in my judgment, a plausible pretence of right on the part of Texas, at that time, to territory lying between the two Be rivers except in the immediate neighborhood of the Nucces. tween that river and the Rio Grande there was an immense tract of country without population which had not passed under the door country Windo Texan government. That territory is now in the possession of the Texan government. That territory is now in the possession of the Vinico States. Texas, since her afficiance over it. The government of the United States has also extended its jurisdiction over it, by the establishment of post roads; and other acts of

Congress have been passed, since the war commenced, recognizing it as a part of the State of Texas.

Now, sir, in the settlement between the United States and Mexico, I ask if we obtain a relinquishment of all claims which the government of Mexico and the department of Tamaulipas may have to this territory between the Rio Grande and the Nuccees, and also, in addition to this, the right to the navigation of the Rio Grande which General Taylor was instructed not to attempt to enforce, shall we not have a fair equivalent in value to the claims of the critices now reduced in amount to a little mount has been collected by the army in Mexico from the revenues of that republic, and from contributions levied on the people, by order of the President, which ought to be regarded as a fund for the payment of the awards in "favor of our citizens for which the taxes thus seized and appropriated without lawful authority, were pledged by the conappropriated without lawful authority, were pledged by the convention of January, 1843.

These, sir, are my views in regard to what is just between the United States and the Mexican republic in the settlement of this controversy. As to any claims of territorial cession, for which a price is to be paid in noney to Mexico, I deny, sir, either that this government has a right to demand such cession, or that it would be proper or lawful for Mexico to make it. Mexico, it is conceded, has no public domain. The departments of New Mexican republic, and in right of conquest or cession, to anex to our own, are members of that concideracy in the same manner as the States of this Union are united in our own. What then is their relation to that republic? In the message of the President of December 8, 1846, he says: These, sir, are my views in regard to what is just between the

"In the year 1544, Mexico established a federal constitution nuder which the Mexican republic was composed of a number of sovereign States, confederated together in a federal mixed manifest our off next register of the state o

That constitution is now in force. It confers no power on the republic to dismember itself or to cede away its confederate States. I have, heretofore, had oceasion to refer to the law of nations on this subject, as stated by Vattel, and other European publicists of approved authority. I ask the indulgence of the Senate while I refer to the solemn acts of some of our own State Legislatures, and to the options expressed by some of our most emisent statesman. On the 0th of February, 1850, the Commonwealth of Massechusetts declared by solemn resolution.

"That the government of the United States has no constitutional right to cede any portion of the territory of the States composing his Union to any foreign power, or to deprive any State of any land or other property without the consensus of such State previously obtained; and that any act purporting to have such effect would be wholly and in on way obligatory upon the government or people of either of

The Legislature of the State of Maine on the 28th of February,

Resolved, "That the Constitution of the United States does not invest the General Government with anhimited and absolute powers, but confers only a special and modified sovereignty, without authority to cede to a foreign power any portion of territory belonging to a State without its consent."

isci soveregaty, without authority to cole to a foreign power any portion of tentiory belonging to a State whole to consum:

The same doctrine after a full discussion in the enhinet council General Washington, by Mr. Jefferson and General Hauulton, was affirmed and maintained in the instructions to Messrs. Carmichael and Short, prepared by Mr. Jefferson as Secretary of State on the 18th of March, 1732, in which the right of this government to dismember itself and to cede a portion of its territory to another government is emphatically denied.

I will not occupy the time of the benefit of the strength of the properties of the strength of the council of the council of the council of the strength of the council of the council of the strength of the council of the governments of the control of the co the consent of Texas to the settlement of the boundary. No, sir, it was the expectation of Congress, at that time, that this question might be settled by taking less than Texas had included in het claim, by constituting what is called "the stupendous desert," between the Nucces and the Rio Grande, the boundary; and there fore it was required that Texas should give her consent. But has Congress in any manner sanctioned the project of adding New Mexico and California to the States of this Union? Is Congress prepared to carry on a war for the purpose of compelling Mexico to eede them. This, sir, is a question which, in my judgment, pertains to Congress, in co-operation with the treaty-making power, to decide, and not to the Executive alone. For I hold that the government of the United States cannot, for any purpose, add ter-

ritory from a foreign nation unless it he for the accomplishment of some great national purpose, recognized by the constitution as one for which it is the duty of the government to provide. If it be deemed necessary for the purposes of defence, or for the protection of any great national interest for which Congress is authorized by of any great national interest for which Congress is authorized by the constitution to legislate, that foreign territory should be an-nexed, it is for Congress to deede whether such necessity exists before the Excentive can be justified in treating for its acquisition. But for the purpose of bringing new States into the Union, or of extending our free institutions to a foreign population, the ac-quisition of territory is not warranted by the constitution— If the power exists in the government of the United States, it is If the power exists in the government of the United States, it is a power which must be found in the constitution, either as specifically granted or as essential or proper to carry into effect other powers which are granted. It may be essential to the security of a nation that a lawless and dangerous neighbor should not only be punished, but deprived of his point of attack. It may be essential to the preservation of a nation—to prevent its dismemberment—that additional territory should be acquired by peaceful negotiation, as was done in the ease of the purchase of Louisiana. The immense and fertile regions were discontented and restless, and it was evident they could be retained in the Union only by the acquisition of the right to navigate the Massissiphi. That right quisition of the right to navigate the Mississippi. That right could only be obtained by the purchase of Louisiana. Mr. Jeffer-That right son availed himself of a fortunate opportunity to acquire it, though he did not regard the purchase as justified by any power conferred by the constitution. It was approved by Congress, and rutified

by the acquiescence of the American people.

The acquisition of Florida was justified on a similar principle; and Texas was received into the Union by an act of Congress on the pretence, whether well founded or not, it is not my purpose now to inquire—that it was essential to the protection of a national in-

But for the annexation of New Mexico and California to the Union, no such necessity exists. Their territories are comparatively of little value, and their nequisition is connected with no tively of little value, and their nequisition is connected with no great public interest. New Mexico has been settled more than two hundred years. The soil, except on the immediate borders of the Rio Grande, is barren and anproductive. Her population is of the most degraded character, and is believed to have attained the maximum which the country can support. It is a population having no bond of sympathy to unite them with our own, and to-tally anfitted to be invested with the power of niding in the government of this Union, and the regulation of the great commercial to the production of the great commercial to the production of the production of the great commercial to the production of the g vernment of this Union, and the regulation of the great commer-cial interests of the American people. Every acre of land within the limits of New Mexico capable of being applied, by irrigation, to agricultural purposes is already occupied. The climate is such as to prevent those modes of cultivation which are congenial to the habits of our people. The country is fit only for the residence of a Mexican or Indian population, such as has occupied it from its corplicar settlement. its earliest settlement.

ins carniest settlement.

In regard to California, with the exception of the Bay of St.
Francisco and the valley of the Sacramento, all who have explorated that region agree that the territory is worthless. Its interior, says Col. Fremont, is little known. It is called a desert, and from what I saw of it, sterilly may be said to be its prominent characteristics. what I saw of it, sterrlity may be said to be its prominent charac-criatic. It is peopled but unserably and sparsely. Humanity is there in its lowest form; the rabbit is the largest animal known in this desert; the wild sage tree their only wood. In extent it is said that it cannot be less than four or five hundred miles in each direc-tion. Now, I sak, if we could compel Mexico to sell, or cede to us without buying, those territories, for what national purpose do we want them? Have we not an immense quantity of fertile land which has heen for years in the market? The rich valleys of the Missosiriand other tributary streams are yet, to a great extent, nooccupied, and will be for years and years to come. Why do we want to purchase these immense wastes and add great extent, unoccupied, and will be not years and years to come-t. Why do we want to purchase these immense wastes and add them to our already extended territories! Sir, it has been truly said that this government would not, in fifty years, be able to re-alize fifty thousand dollars from any land it could sell in those territories. San Francisco may indeed be valuable for purposes connected with commerce, but that place could be much more ad-vantageously acquired by pacific negotiation. But in what, I ask, does the acquisition of these territories by purchase differ from acquisition by conquest? Suppose them to be valuable and that v mediastion by conquest: Suppose them to be variable and that we were about to pay for them more than they were worth. The Mexican republic, as we learn from their public documents, have manifested very great reluctance to ceding any portion of those territories. They urged that the population of New Mexico was loyal to their government, and that it was incompatible with the principles upon which their confederacy was hased, and with principles Goth White in delice to that people was inseed, and with their territory to the United states. How can this doctrine be gain-sayed by us? She is a states. How can this doctrine be gain-sayed by us? She is a state republic, constituted on the same principles as our own. How does a cession forced from Mexico for a price, with the presence of our armies in her midst, differ in

for a price, with the presence of our armies in her midsl, differ in any respect from conquest?

I am, then, opposed to sending this additional force to Mexico, because I am opposed to the only purpose for which, in my judgment, such a force can be required. I hold a war of conquest for the purpose of acquiring dominion over another people, to be utterly inconsistent with the genius of our government, and the principles on which it is founded. But, sir, if I could resert to it in any case, I would soom to do it at the expense of a feeble enemy like Mexico. How much more honorable would it be for this great

nation to lift up our sister republic, now crushed to the earth by the power of our arms; and in a spirit of magnanisity and genericity to say to her, we will ask you for nothing but justice. The extent of the just claims of our citizens we desire reparation and indemnity; but we seem to take advantage of the position and indemnity; but we seem to take advantage of the position and ours you have no power to you'd. But, it's, no far from it being a desirable object to acquire these territories, to be incorporated as states into our Union, it it were left to me to decide npon the acquisition, in the property of the control of the

What great national interest requires this vast accession to our territories? Have we not already so increased our domain, that the old thirteen States have become almost insignificant in their dimensions, in comparison with its vast extent? Why should we, then, compel a foreign people to dissever the ties of allegmance which bind them to the government of their choice, to associate them with one that is alien to all the sympathies and habits of their race? Sr, there is no principle of public policy or of justice that requires it, and in my judgment it is not warranted by the constitution of the United States. But look for a moment at the expense that such an acquisition of territory will necessarily entail upon us. Many ficree and warlike tribes of Indians now roam over the vast regions between the western border of New Mexico and the Pacific. Their predatory habits have led them into almost continual conflict with the neighboring Mexican population. These, and the continual conflict with the neighboring Mexican population. These, and the continual conflict with the neighboring of the lot of 4,000,000 or 5,000,000 of dollars would immediately become necessary. And who can fail to see that, with a standing army kept up for such a purpose, amidst a restless border population, we should soon become involved in other controversies with the neighboring Mexican population, leading to other wars of acquisition and conquest?

How will justice be administered to our people when these large additions are made to our territory? The constitution has provided but one Supreme Court; and that court is now unable to administer justice to its numerous suitors, short of two or their every evers from the time when their suits are entered on its docket. New systems of laws will have to be learned, and administered by our judges, and it will be utterly impossible for that court, however facessandly it may be occupied, to fulfil the great tube, impossed by the constitution, and which it was one of its highest impossible of the United States. Sir, the case and of the people of the United States. Sir, the case of the default is court of deraiter resort flow insides a untural limit to its territory. Ours has already surpossed

If we compel Mexico to eade to us the portions of her territories which the President now desires, where, I ask, are we to stop. To what new wars will the desire for foreign conquest lead us next? To what new acquisitions will the attention of our people be directed? To Canada? To Cuba? or to the conquest of other States of the Mexican republic, bringing with them fresh causes of domestic disquiet and distunion? If the lust of dominion is to go on unchecked, and conquest is

If the last of dominion is to go on unchecked, and conquest is to be hereafter deemed a legituante mode of acquisition—if this principle—asserted in a resolution now pending before the Senate—sto be regarded as established American doctrine, with the sanction of statesmen who have hitherto denounced it as destructive to our institutions, no power will hereafter exist in the government to restrain the cupidity of our citizens. New wars will be stimulated, and while the old States of the Union will be shorn of the influence they once possessed, in the government established by our fathers, "to secure the blessings of likerty to themselves and their pesterity," we shall go on, under the guidance of the representatives of a people who never drank at the fountains of the Resourch of the resolution of the contractive of the resolution of the resolution

In whatever view I have been able to consider this question, I can perceive nothing to commend to my judgment, the policy of sending an additional array into Mexico, to compel that conquered republic to code to us the territory which the President requires.

But, sir, if such an object were desirable, have we not now in Mexico an army capable of enlargement, under its present organization to 60,000 men? I have we not officers enough, without employment, now traversing the country at their leisare, to perform the duty of onlistment? Have we not regiments enough, already formed, to which volunteers who desire to make sacrifices for their country on the altur of particism, may attach themselves? What enced, then, of possing the present bill for the creation of additional regiments. Sir, I can see no other object to be gained by it, but the distribution of an immense amount of Executive partonage, to

which I am utterly opposed. It already endangers the liberties of

Pre country.

Mr. ALLEN then said: In looking to the proceedings of the Senate, I find that this bill was taken up on the 30th of December, that the discussion on it was opened on the 3d of January—more than two months since; the Senate in the meantime having occupied in its consideration, with the exception of the last weeks, which have been spent in executive session. does seem to me that there ought not only to be an end but a speedy end put to this subject. I believe that when the discussion was suspended on account of the other matter, of which I am not at liberty to speak, almost every gentleman of this body who intended to speak at all upon the bill, had spoken fully. believe that the best thing which can be done to accomplish the end which all profess to desire—the final and diplomatic termina-tion of this war—would be to vote for a conditional augmentation of the military force of the country; and that vote to accompany the minister who will bear the despatches to Mcxico. That is my opinion. I am of opinion that if this vote be not taken, and if what may transpire here shall be permitted to transpire with the pre-sumptive evidence that the country is disarmed, and is disarm-ing, that nothing beneficial will be the result. That is my deliberate opinion. I think that a vote now-to-day-or, at I morrow, npon this bill is absolutely necessary to the welfare of our interests in Mexico; and entertaining this opinion, and in consideration of the time already consumed in this discussion, looking also to the advanced period of the session, and the increasing desire on the part of all Senators that the session should desire on the part of all Senators that the session should not be protracted throughout the whole year, I do hope that to-morrow, at the very farthest period, the vote of the Senate will be taken on this bill. It is in van to say that gentle-men have not had an opportunity of being heard. There has been sufficient opportunity for all to be heard. It is in vain to say, that any recently occurring events change the reasons upon which the President recommends this bill. Although I am not one who, for the sake of patronage desires this augmentation, yet I would much rather that the augmented patronage which this bill will give the Executive should be created, than that the public ma-teries of the counter should be mut in seril and be necleas newteres's of the country should be put in peril, and be perhaps permanently endangered, as I believe they will be, by the further procrastination of the action of the Senate. I believe that we should treat, armed, and not disarmed—that we should treat with manifest readiness to meet any emergency which failure of negotiation might bring about, and not treat with a declaration in advance, that if Mexico refuse to make peace we cannot prosecute the war any farther. I believe that some cvil has already been done, and on another occasion I intimated the same opinion to the Senate—in consequence of relaxing the muscles of the country in this struggle. I do not want to see a greater degree of lassitude in this contest, until we know that this war is not only concluded, but concluded by a treaty of peace. I do not wish to have a cessation of war without a treaty of peace, because that would be to protract the struggle indefinitely, and without any great and fixed object on the part of the government. According to the views which I expressed on another occasion, peace might have, as I thought, been obtained more speedily under other circumstances, and other auspices; but in no circumstances does the history of the experience of other nations or common reason lead us to any other conclusion than that warring nations should make peace other conclusion than that warring nations should make peace before they disarm. If there be an armistice, it is an armistice that acts upon us alone, and not upon Mexico, because she is disarmed. We are the armed party; and every hour that this war remains unclosed by a treaty is an hour of detriment to the interests of this nation. Gentlemen may exclaim, What! do you mean to seare Mexico by arming anew? Why, we mean to impress Mexico emphatically with this important truth, that if she does not choose to make peace. she has no other alternative but war-that we are not go sound a retreat when it is ascertained that she is not prepared to sign a peace. This is the sentiment with which she should be im-pressed. She should be distinctly advised that what has been done, has not resulted from our weakness, but from our sense of justice and our sense of moderation. Therefore, we should manifest no weakness, but stand armed till she signs; and if she do not sign, manifest to her the fact that we are armed. That, sir, is my opinion, as one who believes that in the present state of nflairs peace opinion, as one who believes that in the present state of inflairs peace is desirable. What I might have said six wocks ago is one thing, and what I say in the present state of our relations with Moxico is another thing. I say that in the present state of these states of the same that the same t fore inflict no injury on the interests of the country by the passage of this bill; and I desire, having of course no right to speak in behalf of others, that we will not let to morrow pass without the final action of the Senate upon this bill. In the meantine, there can be several speeches made. If necessary we can sit here all night. But let it be understood and firmly resolved, that the vote will be taken before we adjourn to-morrow. I shall he glad if this will be taken before we adjourn to-morrow. I shall be suggestion meet the general concurrence of the Senate.

Mr. FOOTE.—Mr. President: I do not rise to address the Senate at any length, or to discuss the general merits of the measure mov under consideration; having heretefore enjoyed ample opportunity of expressing my views upon the importance of strengthening our army in Mexico, at least to the extent proposed by the bill before us. It is no part of my design either to follow the bill before us. It is no part of my design either to follow the bill before us. It is no part of my design either to follow the bill before us. It is no part of my design either to follow the bill before us. It is no part of my design either to follow the mondation this course upon matters and things in general mad the Mexican war in partucular. Only two observations have It to submit to all that has been enunciated so solemily by the Senator from Connecticut upon the present occasion; which, as a whole, however conspicuous its merits in other respects may be, will be confessed by the members of this hody to be at least devoid of the charm of novelty. And first, I will remark for a moment upon the singular attitude which the Senator from Connecticut has the singular attitude which the Senator from Connecticut has the singular attitude which the Senator from Connecticut has the singular attitude which the Senator from Connecticut has the strength of the singular attitude which the Senator from Connecticut has the test proposed to it. He is not for peace upon the highly honorable terms which we know it to be in our power to secure from Mexico. He is opposed to all indemnity from Mexico, because he holds the war to be unjust on our part; and yet I cannot believe that the graphson of the illustrious Roger Sherman would be willing to see the war terminated in a manner calculated to imprint ineffacenhie disgrace upon the escuticion of the republic. What does the Senator desire? What would he advise us to der Value does the Senator desire? What would he advise us to der Value and the seator of the Senator from Connecticut in the tenting understands

The Senator from Connecticut concluded his speech with the arowal of a morive for his opposition to this war which I regretted very much to hear: he says that if the war be further prosecuted it will involve the acquisition of much additional territory, to which acquisition he is averse, because he fears that Connecticut might lose a portion of her relative consequence and present influence in the councils of the nation. He does not alledge that relative in the control of the relative consequence and present influence in the councils of the nation. He does not apprehend the least injury to this noble partnership of sovereign States from that cause. He does not fear the downfall of free institutions, or the disruption of the Union. He must know that the nation will be greatly strengthed by extending her territorial surface from sea to sea, and by being enabled to possess herself of all the incalculable advantages which will arise from the ownership of a fertile and extensive domain upon the coast of the great Pacific, with boundless miners which will arise from the ownership of a fertile and extensive domain upon the coast of the great Pacific, with boundless miners which will arise from the ownership of a fertile and extensive domain upon the coast of the great Pacific, with boundless miners which will arise from the ownership of a form of connecticut knows as well as any member of this body; and to all this, as a patriot, be can possibly make no objection. But he fears that Connecticut may loose some of her present influence! How is this to take place? The Senator does not explain himself satisfactorily.—

Will not her influence and authority as one of the sovereign members of the confederacy be extended over a more ample territorial surface? Will not her consequence increase with the in-

crease of our national resources? Will she not grow in the growth of the nation, and strengthen in her strength? Has the acquisition of Lonistana, or Florida, or Texas, injured. Connecticut in any reduction of Lonistana, or Florida, or Texas, injured. Connecticut in any reduction of the control of the con

Mr. CALHOUN.—If no gentleman desires to speak on this bill, I should be glad to have an opportunity of stating my views on it to-morrow. The reasons which compel me to vote against the bill have been mistaken. I intended even if the treaty—

Mr. MANGUM.—Will the Senator yield the floor for a moment. I see that the usual hour of adjournment has arrived,

Mr. CALHOUN.—I have only one word to say. I had intended to yote against the bull in any event; and I must say, that occurrence of the young and the bull it taken place, rather strengthens my intention to yote against all the properties of the subject, and the properties of expressing myself on the subject, and it is should please the Senate to post-pone the consideration of the bill till to-morrow, I should regard it as an accommodation.

On metion

The Senate then adjourned.

# THURSDAY, MARCH 16, 1848.

## RESOLUTION OF THE LEGISLATURE OF LEUISIANA.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, presented a resolution passed by the Legislature of the State of Louisiana, in favor of extending the port of New Orleans so as to embrace the City of Lafayette, in that State; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce.

#### PETITIONS.

Mr. STURGEON presented a memorial of members of the Bar of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, praying that the circuit duties of the Judges of the Supremo Court may not be suspended; which was laid upon the table.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, submitted additional deenments relating to the petition of John Johnson and others; which were referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

Mr. DIX presented two memorials of Isnae Newton, proposing to contract with the government for establishing a line of mail steamers between New York and Havre; and between New York and Vera Cruz; and between New Orleans and Vera Cruz; which were referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post

Also, the memorial of Grinnell, Minturn and Company and others, merchants and importers in New York, praying the rein-bursement of certain import duties paid by them, and the enact-ment of a law making allowance, in the payment of duties, for wastage on merchandize arriving in the United States; which was referred to the Committee on Finance.

Mr. BENTON presented a memorial of importing merchants in St. Louis, Missouri, praying to be relieved from the operation of certain restrictions, imposed by the existing tariff, on merchandize imported in that city through the port of New Orleans ; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce and ordered to be printed.

Mr. DOWNS presented the petition of James G. Carson, praying the confirmation of his title to certain lands in Louisiana;

Mr. CAMERON presented a memorial of the corporation of the City of Washington, praying certain amendments of their charter; which was referred to the Committee of the District of Columbia.

Mr. WESTCOTT presented the petition of Lewis Kenned and Henry Gaither and Co., praying permission to erect saw-mills on a certain tract of land belonging to the United States; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

## On motion by Mr. ALLEN, it was

Ordered. That the petition of the chiefs and delegation of the Wyandott nation of Indians, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

#### BURNING OF THE MISSOURI.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, submitted the following resolution, which was considered, by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resulved. That the Commutee on Naval Affairs be instructed to adquire into the expediency of making an appropriation to indominify the officers, seamen and mannes of the United States steam fragate Missouri, for the lowest sustained by the distriction by the officers, and the said fragate at Gibraltar, on the 2d August, 1843.

## AFFAIRS IN CALIFORNIA.

Mr. CLARKE submitted the following resolution for consideration:

Resolved, That the President of the United States be requested to transmit to the Senate a copy of "a despatch to the United States consul at Monteey, T. O. Larkin Esq.," forwarded in November, 1945, by Captain Gillespie of the marine corps, and which was, by Jun, destroyed before entering the port of Vera Truz.

## POSTAL ARBANGEMENTS

Mr. NILES submitted the following resolution for consideration:

Resolved. That the President he requested to communicate to the Scoate copies of a correspondence between the minister of the United States at London, and any ithinstites of the British government, in relation to a postal arrangement between the

## COMMITTEE ON INDIAN AFFAIRS

# On motion by Mr. ATCHISON, it was

Ordered. That the vacancy in the Committee on Indian Affairs. caused by the resignation of Mr. Seviea, be filled by the ap-pointment of the Presiding Officer.

## MEDALS AND CERTIFICATES.

Mr. CASS, from the Committee on Military Affairs, to whom was referred the joint resolution authorizing medals and certifi-

cates to be given to officers and soldiers of the regular forces and volunteers for gallant conduct in battle during the war with Mexico, reported it with an amendment.

#### DEFICIENCY BILL.

On motion by Mr. ATHERTON, the prior orders were post-poned, and the Senate resumed the consideration, as in Committee of the Whole, of the bill from the Honse of Representatives further to supply deficiences in the appropriations for the service of the fiscal year ending the 30th June, 1848.

The question pending being apon agreeing to the amendment proposed by Mr. BENTON, to strike out the words "the Papal States," in line 84, and after "Ecuador," in line 86, insert "and for a minister plenipotentizry to the Papal States," and strike out "twenty-two" and insert "thirty-one"—

Mr. ATHERTON remarked that the Senator from Missenri not being in his seat, he would ask leave to offer an amendment.

Mr. ATHERTON then moved to amend the bill by inserting, at the close of the 63d line, "For contingent expenses in the offiof the Treasurer of the United States five hundred dollars;" which was agreed to.

Mr. ATHERTON moved further to amend the bill striking ont, in the 138th line, the word "two" and inserting "three;" which was agreed to

Mr. BREESE moved further to amend the bill by inserting between lines 100 and 101, "for compensation to eight additional clerks to be employed in the General Land Office, four of them at the rate of twelve hundred dollars per annum each, and the remaining four at the rate of one thousand dollars per annum each, the sum of two thousand two hundred dollars;" which was agreed

The question then being upon agreeing to the amendment pro-posed by Mr. Benton, in reference to the mission to the Papal States-

Mr. BENTON.—The amendment speaks for itself. Its object is to make the mission to Rome a full one. I desire that we should send to Rome, once the head of the political world, and for so long a period the head of the religious world, a minister who might be charged with other duties, so that in point of expenditure the amount would not exceed that of two chargeships, the duties of which would be discharged by an agent of a rank more becoming the station and the objects to be accomplished.

Mr. BADGER .- I have myself been unable to see any necessity MI. DADUER.—I have mysen usen uname to see any necessity for establishing either a chargeship or a full mission to the Papal States. The President is his message, recommends the establishment of such a mission for two reasons; first, on account of what he calls "recent interesting political events;" and second, because attention to the commerce of the country requires it. Now, with regard to the latter view, for more than seventy years, if we have any commerce with the Papal States, we have found it ade quately protected without having been under the necessity of establishing such a mission. Sir, the commerce of the United States with the Papal States must be very small indeed. In fact, it is so small that it has never been the subject of distinct statement, so far as I am aware, in any accounts that we have had from any of the departments of the government in regard to our interany of the departments of the government in regard to our inter-course with foreign nations; and the exports of the United States to the whole of Italy, including the Papal States searcely smoot to one million of dollars a year. We have in the Papal States three consuls. The probability is, that the whole amount of the commercial intercourse of the United States with these States commercial intercoirse of the United States with these States does not amount to one hundred thousand obliars a year; and it seems to me, that so far as the commercial interests of the United States are concerned, it would be paying the largest insurance that has been known in commercial dealings, if we incur the expense either of a full mission or a chargeship for the purpose of affording some incidental benefit or protection to a commerce not exceeding one bundred thousand dollars a year already under the charge of three American consuls. It seems to me very obvious that this consideration has very little weight.

But what is the other reason assigned by the President? The recent political events which have taken place in the Papal States under the direction of the present Pope. In what possible mode. lask, do these political events make it necessary that we should send a minister to Rome? How are we connected with these political events? What influence is it expected that our minister can exercise over these events or the party connected with them; or, in what way will this mission, politically considered, be of service to this country or to the Papal States? What is the character of these political events which are now in progress at Rome? Nothing has taken place which in the least embraces any alteration in the form of government that has always obtained in those States. The present Fope is the same absolute master of his people, that all his predicession is true past have been. He has surrendered none all his predicession in true past have been. He has surrendered none have been as the province of the provers either have been as the provers either by himself or by his sudecess. He stands now an autocrat, possessed of and exercising a supreme authority over all his subjects, who are absolutely dependent on him for every privilege they enjoy—for every right which they exercise—for every red leaxation which has been extended to them from the rigid severith his predecessors, but a good and the has made no reform—he has he had to be a subjects, who are emphatically his associated for his made no reform—he has not extended any disposition to abridge the limits of his authority in the slightest degree. The uncontrollable authority of that sceptre which he wields is the same unquestionable and unquestioned dominion which has been excreised by his predecessors. Now, sir, what on carrt has induce as at this time to establish this mission to the Papal States? Do we expect to sustain his Holiness in pursuing the course which he has adopted. Why, in that point of view, I thim care ourse which he has adopted. Why, in that point of view, I thim that the position which we occupy, and counternance and support.

In what way, then, is this mission to benefit this country? There is no commerce to be protected—none at least that has no

ownitenance and support.

In what way, then, is this mission to benefit this country? There is no commerce to be protected—none at least that has not always been amply protected by the consist of the United States in the Papal dominions. There is nothing in the world in the present political condition of Rome which makes such a mission of the slightest importance to us or to her, considered in regard to the political relations which may such sing so, is not the United States in dependent States and the stablishment of this mission, a system, to which we have been hereefoore entire strangers? I not this mission in the view of it which I have presented, merely a religious mission on the part of the United States to the first Bishop of Europe? Certainly it is, sir. Although his Holiness is not only the first Bishop—the universal head of the Roman Catholic Church, but annaxes to that the character of a secular prince, it must always be recollected that the Papal States are but the graph state at the description of Europe and the property of the Papal States are but they appeared the property of the proper

To such a mission, sir, I, for one, am opposed. I wish for our Roman Challest incincions of this country, precisely what we all roman and the incincions of this country, precisely what we all regists. They may make themselves dependent upon, or believe themselves to be dependent upon, and to be bound in spiritual submission to any head of their charrch they please, here or elsewhere; but rely upon it, the establishment of this mission will be considered by the great Protestant interests of this country as one, undertaken for the purpose of giving a new character to that particular church, of which the sovereign Pontili is the head. It will be regarded as placing him and this church in this country upon a reduced to the purpose of giving a new character to that particular church, of which the sovereign Pontili is the head. It will be regarded as placing him and this church in this country upon the control of the sound of the control of the sound that the government of the United States has instituted, in reference to this particular church, a proceeding entirely dissonant from its past policy; and that it has departed, in no small degree, from the principles of universal toleration and that non-intervention in religious matters which the constitution has prescribed. When we look, sir, at the small amount of the commerce of the United States with the Papal States; when we consider the absence of everything like an effort on the part of his Holiness the Pope to introduce the principles of microst of the government in the Papal States, the efforts of his Holiness to ameliorate the condition of his states, the efforts of his Holiness to ameliorate the condition of his subjects would have met with less sympathy, and the connected the United States with the Papal States would have attracted less solicitude from the occupant of the White House.

tracted uses sometime from the occupant of the White House.

I cannot vote, sir, either for the full mission or the chargeship.

I regard the establishment of either as involving a needless expenditure of money; and if not fraught with mischief, to be at best altogether uscless.

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—I would just submit to the gentleman of the Committee on Foreign Relations, whether it would not seem a little disparaging to the other important and respectable powers of Europe to whom diplomatie agents of a lower grade are sent,

if this amendment should prevail. Neither our political nor commercial relations with the Papal States hear the least proportion. I suppose, to those we sustain to Portugal, Denmark, Sweden, Holiand, Belgium, or Naples, to whom ministers of a lower grade are now sent. Now, when arranging our diphomatic agents with those powers, including Austria, one of the greatest powers of the continent of Europe, why should we pass them by with ministers of the second grade, and give one of a higher grade to the Papal States?

Mr. BENTON.—In proposing to substitute for a chargeship and mission to the Papal States. I had not in view the commerce I all mission to the Papal States. I had not in view the commerce I believe, in the Roman Tyber, than it is in our own Tyber 1 he Senator from New York [Mr. Day) says he has been there, and that our commerce there is greater than that on our own Tyber, and I yield to his superior information on the subject. But, sir, it was not in that point of view that I made the amendment, but solely in a political point of view. In sending a minister of the trist grade to that city, with which grand recollections must forever he associated—which was once the mistress of the wed-than been the head of the Christian either in the whole of I have not only to the worderfully soant in diplomatic representatives from the United States. We have a charge at Naples, and from that point we pass over all that was Rome, at one period even of her best days, and go into cisalpine Gaal, before we find another diplomatic representative of the United States. At the foot of the Alps we have another charge, and he has just got there, or is perchaps yet on the way. I believe we go to Turm before we find another. Here is a gap from the gall of Tarentum to the foot of the Alps we have another charge, and he has just got there, or is perchaps yet on the way. I believe we go to Turm before we find another. Here is a gap from the gall of Tarentum to the foot of the Alps we have another charge, and he has just got there, or is perchaps yet on the way. I believe we go to Turn before we find another. Here is a gap round the summary of the control of the Alps we have another charge, which we do not find a single diplomatic agent of the United States. Now, in sending a minister to Knowe, the head of the Italian States, I consider that we would have a diplomatic agent of the United States in Italy itself. It is in that enlarged point of view they would be in substance, if not in form, a representative of the United States in Italy itself

Mr. CASS.—As the morning hour has expired, I trust that this bill will be passed over informally, and the ten regiment bill will be taken up.

The bill was then passed over informally.

THE TEN REGIMENT BILL.

The Senate resumed the consideration of the bill making provision for an addition to the regular military force.

Mr. CALHOUN—After a very careful examination, I have not been able to fold a single argument, which, in my opinion, would justify the passage of this full, at this time, and under existing circumstances. I cannot but feel that those who have come to a different conclusion, have overlooked the actual condition of the Mexican government, and of the people of Mexico, in supposing that this bill was necessary cither to intimidate, or to coerec that government into a ratification of the treatly recently acted upon here. If that government were strong and vigorous, if the people of Mexico were united in resistance to us, and capable of sustaining a war in the event that the tree thanks and the people of Mexico were united in resistance to us, and capable of sustaining a war in the event that the tree thanks and the strength of the people of Mexico were centrary, the very oppositors. The government itself is little more than a shadow, without an army and without revenue; the people in a state of distraction, with a large and powerful party in opposition to the government, and for a continuance of the war, not in hostility to us, but in hostility to their own government, which they desire should be overthrown. The government itself exists by our forbearance, and under our continuance; they have been induced to treat with us from the same consideration and the continuance of the war, and we to treat with the from the same consideration and we have the actual via the from the same consideration of the continuance of the very and we have been added to the ambiliation. The danger is, not that the Mexican government, in the event of the rejection of the treaty, would be able to resist, but it is, that it may perish before she can ratify it. But, if I am mistaken in all this, one thing is clear, without these ten additional regiments, we have the means of intimidating or coercing that government to any extent we please; a single brigade may annihilation. The danger is, not that the Mexican government, in the event of we shou

In this view of the subject, I regard the passage of this bill, if the intended either for the purpose of intimidation or of coercion, to be entirely useless—an unmeaning bravado. But if it were merely useless—an unmeaning bravado. But if it were merely useless, as much as I may be averse to it, my aversion would not be near so great as it now is. It is worse than useles; it is mischievous here, for if this body, conversant with all the secret Mischievous here, for if this body, conversant with all the secret proceedings in reference to the treaty, and supposed by the country to be fully informed of every thing in relation to the subject, should pass the bill now before it, it will be received by the public as an apprehension on our part, that there is great danger that the treaty will not be ratified, and the effect upon our commerce, and upon the money interest of the country will be highly injurious. It will be mischievous there, for the real danger that the Nextean government has to fear, is this: there is a large party in Mexico called Purcs, which is mwilling to see a peace concluded between the Mexican government and this country, in welling, so that they wish to this own of the contraction of the passage of this bill should be, that there is danger that the treaty will not be ratified, it will arouse and animate that party to double exertion in order to fulfill their object.

Hit I consider it not only useless, not only mischievous in the light which I have indicated, but it will be a costly bravado. I take it for granted that the honorable chairman of the Committee on Mifitary Adkirs does not intend simply that this bill shall pass this body—that would be unworthy of his character—be then expects that it will also pass the other branch of Congress and become a law, and that the force will be raised and be employed, if the treaty should fail, in carrying on the war with Mexico. Well, if the bill passes—and I must consider it in that light—in that erse, what will be the result? There will be no difficulty in getting officers and mon, they will have no apprehensions of good to Mexico or lighting future battles; the cubistment will turn out to the control of the bondy in hand amounting to 160 acres, his bondy in hand amounting to 160 acres, his bondy in hand amounting to 160 acres, his bondy in money equal to twelve dollars, he usual issue of clothing amounting at the present price to about twenty one dollars. Estimating the bounty in land a mounting to 160 acres, his bondy in word of the bondy in the control of the control of the bondy in the control of the control of the bondy in the bondy in the control of the control of the bondy in the control of the control o

Now, I submit to my friends on this side of the chamber, who have indicated a disposition to pass this bill, whether they are willing to incur this heavy cost, and subject the country to this great evil by passing this bill, which I have shown to be a uscless brava-

do, unbecoming a great and magnanimous people.

But I not only object to the passage of the bill at this time and
under existing circumstances, but I take higher ground. I am opposed to the bill under all errounstances. I would have voted
against it it a treaty had not been made, and for reassons that, to

against in it a treaty had not been made, and for reasons that, to myself, are conclusive, and which I will now proceed to state. Sir, we all know the origin of this bill; it was reported early minimized and a vigorous prosecution of the war; and its leading and main object was to earry that recommendation into elbect. If, then, we pass this bill we give, according to my bumble conception, a pledge to the Executive and to the country, that if the treaty falls we will resert to a vigorous prosecution of the war. I, for one, am unwilling to give this pledge; unwilling, because I think it ongly not to be given, and unwilling, because I given, I am of impression it never will be redeemed.

It ought not to be given, for reasons which I have assigned

It ought not to be given, for reasons which I have assigned fully on a former occasion, and which I shall only briefly repeat on this. A vigorous prosecution of the war would be the annihilation of the Mexican government, leaving no government with whom to treat. The effect of that would be the entire subjugation of the country, throwing upon us one of two alternatives, either to create a government by our own authority with which to treat—and this I trust us one who divid appreciates the true principles of our system of government will ever adopt—or to hold it under our subjugation as a compared country, to be governed us providinces, or to

betton as a comperent country to be governed us promote, or to be incorporated into the United No. As I am utterly opposed to this, for reasons which I stated at large on the occasion reterred to, and which it is not necessary here to repeat, I for one, examet give this pledge. Nor can I give this pledge. Nor can I give this pledge is the property of the property of the property of the property of the property is remarkably clauged in reference to the war. There was at that time a large party in the country who were in favor of tasking the whole of May in the country who were in favor of tasking the whole of May in the country who were in favor of tasking the whole of May in the country and to the presentings of public meetings, and to declarations repeatedly in ade in the public journals, to the prove this. But that sentiment is changed, and why is it changed!

Because the people were not aware, at that time, of what would be the consequence of a vigorous prosecution of the war. It was an appeal to their manly pride. But as soon as they saw the consequences—that the result would be as I have stated, they drew back, and put the seal of their reprobation upon it, not only for the present, but, I trust, forever. With this strong disapprobation of the war on the part of the people, it would be an idle dream to suppose that in the event of a failure of the treaty, this war would ever be renewed to be carried on vigorously.

But, it may be asked, what shall be done? My answer is plain

would ever be renewed to be carried on vigorously.

But, it may be asked, what shall be done? My answer is plain and simple. Only one thing can be done. To fall back and take the line of the treaty, to tell the Mexican people that we intend to hold it, that we are satisfied if they are. Nor can it be objected that it costs more, for it would take fully as large an army, and at as great a cost to protect Mexico under the treaty against the Indians falling on our side of the line under the treaty, as to protect ourselves against the Mexicans by assuming the line without the treaty, not to take into estimate the twenty millions of dollars

the treaty, not to take of the nine mater the treaty, as to protect ourselves against the Mexicans by assuming the line without the treaty, not to take into estimate the twenty millions of dollars which would be saved by adopting the latter. The whole affair is in our own hands, whether the treaty fails or not, we still have the complete control if we act with wisdom and firmness, and avoid what I detest above all things, a system of meaace or brawdo, in the management of our negotiation. I had hoped that that system had been abandoned forever. It nearly involved us in a war with England about Oregon. It was only revented by the wisdom and firmness of this body. It was resorted to in our negotiations with Mexico, and the march of the army under General Taylor to the Rio Grande, was but intended to sustain it. Unfortunately, the erromantances prevented the Senate from interposing

Taylor to the Rio Grande, was but intended to sustain it. Unfortunately, the encumstances prevented the Seante from interposing as in the case of Oregon, and this war was the consequence. But, Mr. President, the vigorous prosecution of the war, is not But there is another one—secondary it is true; though not not less important. This bill was intended in part, to carry into execution a system of imposts and taxes, which the President of the United States had imposed upon Mexico. The army, including the force to be raised by this bill, was intended to be used for collecting the duties and imposts; for that, purpose it was to be spread

ing the duties and imposts; for that, purpose it was to be spread all over Mexico, as has been officially announced.

Now, I hold that we cannot pass this bill without sanctioning the act of the President to this respect, and that, I for one, never can do, because I am under a deep conviction that the President has no right whatever, to impose taxes internal or external, on the people of Mexico. It is an act without the authority of the constitution or law, and eminently dangerous to the country. Thus thinking, that neither the constitution nor law gives him any such antority, I would not be true to my trust, if I were to vote for the continuous control of the control of the constitution to the very control of the co

forced this bill upon us. But to return to the thread of the argument. I ask, where can the President find the authority for imposing these taxes? Can it be found in your constitution? If uso, point it out. Can it he found in your law? If so, point it out. No such authority is to be found in your law? If so, point it out. No such authority is to be found in either. But it may be said it is comprehended under the implied powers of the Executive—that is, the powers necessary and proper to carry out those expressly delegated to him. If so, point out say to gentlemen in advance, if you do this you will not remove any to gentlemen in advance, if you do this you will not remove the difficulty. If you should succeed in showing that it is an implied power, which I hold to be impossible, you must still point out an act of Congress to authorize its exercise. The framers of the constitution, in their great sagacity, have taken care to insert a provision in the constitution investing Congress annly with the power to pass all laws necessary and proper to carry into execution, not only its own powers, but those vested in any department or office of the government. I refer to what is usually called the residuary clause which provides "that Congress shall have power to pass any values which provides "that Congress shall have power to pass yetseld in any of the departments or offices of the government."—

Then, if it is an implied power, it becomes a Congressional power by this express provision, and must have the sanction of Congress for carrying it into effect.

But it may be said that the President is commander-medic of

But it may be said that the President is commander-m-chief of the army in Mexico, and that it is an essential part of the power of the commander-in-chief to impose a system of taxation in the enemy's country. If, indeed, it he an essential part of the power, it cannot be separated from it without destroying the power itself, and it must of course belong to him as commander-in-chief in the try. But it is manifest that it cannot exist within the limits of the Unider States, because the constitution expressly invests the same, not in the President, but in Congress. But to this it may be said, there is a distinction between exercising the power in the United States, and exercising it in Mexico, or any other place beyond the boundary of the United States, where our army may be operating. To this I answer by asking, why so? What makes the distinction? ereised in the one and not the other? Who can answer these questions?

Ent if it is the case, if the President can exercese in Mexico a power expressly given to Congress, which he cannot exercise in the United States, I would ask where is the limit to his power in the United States, I would ask where is the limit to his power in the United States, I would ask where is the limit to his power in Mexico without the sanction of Congress; this he has already done—has he the power to apply the money to whatever purpose he may think proper, and among others to raise a military force in Mexico without the sanction of Congress? That also he has already done. But if there be made the state of the States and the also he has already done. But if there had been also he had been also also here the state of the constitutional President of the United States, and the absolute and despotic ruler of Mexico. To what must this conclusion lead? What may he not do? He may lay taxes at his pleasure cither as to kind or amount; he may establish the rules and regulations for their collection; he may dispose of them without passing the proceeds into the treasury to any object or far any purpose he may think proper, and is not liable or responsible to Congress, or any other authority in any respect whatever in doing all this. He may, of course, raise armise ble to Congress, or any other authority in any respect whatever in doing all this. He may, of course, raise armise what the neighboring countries to the south of him at his pleasure, and extend his authority hy force of arms, to whatever extent he may desire or he may dequip a fleet and assail the islands of the South Sea; or he may during a fleet and assail the islands of the South Sea; or he may during a fleet and assail the islands of the South Sea; or he may during a fleet and assail the islands of the South Sea; or he may during a fleet and assail the islands of the South Sea; or he may during a fleet and assail the islands of the South Sea; or he may during a fleet and assail the islands of the South Sea; or he may during a fleet and assail the isla

taxes, it may be acked, what are the limitations upon his power assummanderin-eincleft. The answer is an easy one. It is power is to command the army. Let us put a true value upon words. To command in chief, is to have the supreme control in conducting and directing the army in its military operations. Such is its power, and only power. It is a restructed one, of which the constitutional legislation of the country furnishes many evidences. The very act which recognizes war with Mexico vests him with the power of using the army and navy for its presecution, clearly indicating that the power of using them for that purpose required the authority of law. If we look back into all the declarations of the control of the con

that and the laws resulted the power of the Present.

The state of the power of the present of t

The taxes which are the subject of these remarks, were imposed by the President in the interval between this and the preceding session of Congress, and this is the first opportunity I have

had to express my opinion in reference to the authority by which they were laid. And I avail myself of this occasion to put in my solemn protest against the power. If it is bould become a precedent hereafter, it, in connection with the authority which the President has assumed in making this war, would lead to the establishment of a fatal error m reference to the power of this government as it relates to war. It will to that extent, clevate the power of the Executive in practice as far above the legislative as the latter is clevated above the former by the constitution, and lead, almost is clevated above the former by the constitution, and lead, almost is clevated above the former by the constitution, and lead, almost two heads of the growth of the

It may be proper for me to remark in conclusion, that I am aware that there are some doubtful questions as to the exact exact and the proper for me to remark in conclusion, and the aware that there are some doubtful questions as to the exact exact and the limit of the proper proper for the proper growth of the proper growth and the proper growth growth and the proper growth and the proper growth growth and the proper growth gr

the one he is placed under the control of law, while by the other, in he places himself above the control of law.

I have now expressed my opinion. In all I have said, I trust, have put myself above party feeling or personal considerations. I have put myself above party feeling or personal considerations. It have put myself above the control of a political character which have been committed in the origin and the prosecution of the war, and to guard, as far as in my power, against the dangerous precedents which they were calculated to establish if not noticed or exposed.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Georgia.—I desire to express my views on this bill, but, I presume, that at this advanced stage of the day the Senate can hardly be expected to have patience to listen to the discussion, and I am not disposed to trespass upon them, unless it is understood that the vote is to be taken to-day.

Mr. CALHOUN.—I hope that the bill will be passed over informally in order to allow the gentleman from Georgia an apportunity of expressing his views.

Mr. BERRIEN.—I would suggest that the wishes of my colleague might be gratified by the Senate passing this bill informally over, and resuming the consideration of that bill which was laid aside on the expiration of the morning hour.

Mr. CASS.—I am extremely anxious to meet the wishes of the Senator from Georgia, but really I am opposed to relapsing into the former mode of discussion by one speech each day.

Mr. BERRIEN—I do not think that there is any danger of the result apprehended by the honorable Chairman of the Military Committee. I believe all parties will enouer in deprecating that; but there is a very important bill—the bill supplying deficiencies in the appropriations for the current fiscal year—which awaits our action. I hope that by the general assent of the Senate the wishes of my colleague may be gratified, and that this bill will be laid aside informally in order that the consideration of that one to which I have just althield may be resumed.

Mr. ATHERTON.—This bill has already been a very long time before the Senate. and it is certainly important that it should be acted upon in order that the bill to which the honorable Senator alludes, and others may be taken up and disposed of.

Mr. FOOTE.—Allow me to suggest that my honorable friend from Georgia will now proceed.

Mr. JOHNSON then addressed the Senate at length on the question of the war, and in support of the bill. A full report of his remarks is given in the Appendix.

Mr. BERRIEN then moved that the Senate adjourn.

Mr. CASS.—Will the gentleman withdraw his motion for a moment? I do hope that the Senate will terminate this discussion

30TH CONG,-IST SESSION-No 45.

to-morrow. If not I must be constrained to vote against the adjournment.

SEVERAL SENATORS assented to the informal understanding that the discussion on the bill should terminate to-morrow.

Mr. BRADBURY said he hoped that a motion would be made for the reconsideration of a bill which involved a large amount of money, and although in one section of it a provision was made which to a very considerable extent met has approval, yet there was a principle involved in the second section, the adoption of which he would regard as very dangerous—a principle which would in this single act take from the treasury nearly a quarter of a million of dollars, and which in its final operation would be enough to backrapt the treasury of any government was ever enough to backrapt the treasury of any government was ever against a foreign government.

Mr. CASS .- Will the honorable Senator excuse me? What is the question?

Mr. FOOTE.-It is on the passage of the ten regiment bill.

Mr. BRADBURY.—I desire simply to move a reconsideration of the bill. It passed without a division.

Mr. WEBSTER.—I am sure that the Senate desires to see the Senator accommodated. All that is necessary to be done is that the Senate, by general consent, allow him to make a motion to re-consider the bill; and the then Senate will be in possession of the motion of the Senator from Georgia, to adjourn.

By general consent the motion of the Senator from Maine was then considered and agreed to; and then,

On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# FRIDAY, MARCH 17, 1848.

PETITION

On motion by Mr. MANGUM, it was

Ordered, That the memorial of John Hogan, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations,

Mr. JOHNSON, of La., from the Committee on Pensions, to whom was referred the hill from the Honse of Representatives for the relief of Surah Stokes, widow of John Stokes, reported it without amendment

Mr. MASON, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the petition of Charles M. Gibson, submitted a report ac-companied by a bill for his relief.

The bill was rend and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

#### NOTICE OF A BILL.

Mr. BADGER gave notice that on to-morrow, or at an early day, he would ask leave of the Senate to introduce a bill in relation to the true intent and meaning of the acts authorizing the exercise of the franking privilege.

#### AFFAIRS IN CALIFORNIA.

The Senate proceeded to consider the following resolution, submitted yesterday by Mr. CLARKE:

Resided, That the President of the United States be requested to transmit to the Senate a copy of "a despatch to the United States Consul at Monterey, T. O. Larkin, Eug.," forwarded in November, 1245, by Captain Gillegue, of the nanne curps, and which was by him, destroyed before entering the part of Vera Cruz.

The resolution having been amended by the addition of the words "if a communication of the same be not, in his opinion, incompatible with the public interests," was agreed to.

#### POSTAL ARRANGEMENTS.

The Senate proceeded to consider the following resolution, submitted yesterday by Mr. Niles, and it was agreed to:

Resolved, That the President be requested to communicate to the Senate copies of the correspondence between the minister of the United States at Loudon, and any authorities of the British government, in relation to a postal arrangement between the two countries. ROUTE TO CALIFORNIA. The Senate proceeded to consider the motion submitted by Mr. Westcott, on the 3d instant, and it was agreed to as follows:

Ordered, That one thousand additional copies of the reports of Lieutenant Emory and Colonel Cooke, and the accompanying map and illustrations, heretofore ordered to be printed by the Senate, be turnished for the use of the Senate.

The bill supplementary to an act entitled "An act to provide for the transportation of the mail between the United States and Foreign countries, and for other purposes," was read the second time and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and

On motion by Mr. NILES, it was

Ordered, That the further consideration thereof be postponed to, and made the order of the day for, Monday, the 3d day of April

#### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Repre-

Mr. Prasident: The House of Representatives have passed a bill requiring all monorsy receivable from entons and from all other sources, to be pair numerizately into the Tre-sury without abstractast of deduction, and for other purpose; in which they request the concarrance of the Sexual.

### DISPOSITION OF THE PUBLIC REVENUE.

The above named bill from the House of Representatives was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Finance.

### TEN REGIMENT BILL.

On motion by Mr. CASS, the prior orders were postponed, and the Senate resumed the consideration of the bill, on its third read-ing, to raise, for a limited time, an additional military force.

Mr. BERRIEN addressed the Senate at length in opposition to the bill; a full report of his remarks will be given hereafter.

Mr. WEBSTER .- Although laboring under deep depression, I

still feel it my duty at as early a moment as I may be able, to address the Senate upon the state of the country, and on the further prosecution of the war. I have listened, sir, silently but attentively, to the discussion which has taken place upon this bill, and upon to the discussion which has taken place upon this only and upon other connected subjects in this Senate; and it is not my purpose to enter into the historical narrative, or the historical argument which has accompanied its discussion on the one side or on the which has accompanied its discussion on the one side or on the other. Now events have arisen, bringing new questions; and since the resumption of the discussion upon this measure, two or three days ago, those events have been alhuded to, first by the honorable Senator who conducts this hill through the Senate; and, again, by the honorable Senator before me from Carolina. By both these hon-orable members these events have been declared to be well known. orable members these events have been declared to be well known oull the world; and by one of them, [Mr. Cass,] it was remarked that the weed he no affectation of mystery. Since these estaments were made I have heard the gentleman from South Carolina express his views on the question. I have heard him or various and momentous subjects—on many interesting occasions; and I desire to say, sir, that I never heard him, with more unqualified concurrence in every word he uttered. The topics which he discussed were presented, it appears to me, in their just light; and he sustained his own views in regard to them with that clearness and power of argument which always characterize his effects in delate. I thank him. I thank him essecially for the manforts in debate. I thank him. I thank him especially for the man-ly stand he took upon one point, which has not been so much dis-cussed here as others—I mean the plain, absolute unconstitutionby stand he took upon one point, which has not been so much discussed here as others—I mean the plain, absolute unconstitutionality and illegality of the executive in conquered territories, out of the United States. Sir, whether the power exists in the President or not, may be inferred by answering another question—does he wear a crown! That's the only question. If he wears a crown —if he is the king of the country—if we are this subjects, and they who are conquered by the arms of the country become has subjects also, and owe him allegiance, why, then, according to well established principles, until the intercrence of the legislature, but no longer even tien, he may conquer—he may govern—he may impose for the property of the duct the war—of the enemy in whose country he is—that is one thing, but the question is here; whether sitting in the Presidential House, by an act of mere authority, when the country is conquered and subdued, the President of the United States, may by, and of, and through his own power establish in Mexico a system of civil We have read, sir, and some of us have not forgotten it, in all

We have read, sir, and some of us have not forgotten it, in all books of authority treating of the law of nations, that when a country is conquered or ceded, its existing laws are not changed till the competent authority of the conquering power changes them. That I hold to be the universal doctrine of public law. Well-here is a system extent behind that, of which I read with pain making a system extent behind that, of which I read with pain the competition of the competition of the Secretary controlled by the President, that our brave trooms, for and mortification, for I find in this communication of the Secretary and mortification, for I find in this communication of the Secretary streets and the secretary secretary called, ten times in every page.) were directed to lay hold on all the little municipal treasures—all the little collections for social purposes, that carried on the interior, the municipal, what we should call the parish concerns of Mexico! They were directed to seize them all! The World Figure 1 of the secretary secret

having trought this subject to the attention of the Senate.

I am happy in having an opportunity of expressing my repugnance to all the doctrine and all the practice. Where will tile ad to? What does the President do with this money! Why, he supports the army! But this money never passes unwarded the propriation of law. The constitution of law. The constitution of propriations for military purposes to rewest half have no physical propriations for military purposes to rewest half have no physical propriations for military purposes to rewest half have no physical propriations for military purposes to rewest half have no physical propriations for military purposes to rewest half have no physical propriations for military purposes to rewest half have no purpose the constitution of the propriations for military purposes to rewest half have no propriate the propriations for military purposes to rewest half have no propriate the propriation of the propriat

appropriation, put at the disposition and discretion of the President of the United States of all the money he can collect by this system of personal, Executive legislation over seven millions of people, and that under the constitution of the United States! If the statement of this case does not attract the attention of the community. -in short, if the question is not argued before an American Se-nate when it is stated, it is beyond my power to illustrate it by

any further argument.

Sir, while I rejoice that the honorable member from South Carolina has done so important a service as to put this question in a proper and a clear light before the community and the Senate; proper ials a cone right teleore the constantity and the Science; and while I agree, as I have said, in all that I be as uttered or and and will gree as a law so when the said that the said that the said and my conscience more than all the rest, was a topic which he did not treat, and in regard to which I fear I may not expect—would to God that I could expect—his concurrance, and the strength of his run; I mean the object, plain and manifest, the said of the original in the inception of this war, not always avowed, but al-ways however the real object; the creation of new States on the southern border of the United States, to be formed out of the territory of Mexico, and the people inhabiting the territory of Mex-If after a service of thirty years in these councils, he could have taken a lead—if his convictions of doty, I mean to say, could have allowed him to take a lead and make a stand for the integrity of the United States—even with these large recent accessions, which I am willing to consider are brotherly accessions, that I have no disposition to reject, discourage or discountenance in the existing circumstances of the case—if, I say, sir, that at the end of our common service, now for thirty years, the honorable member could have seen his line of duty to lie in such a direction that he could have taken a stand for the integrity of the United States—the service of the serv rity of the United States-even with these large recent accessions and I had perished in supporting him in it, I should feel that I had perished in a service eminently connected with the prosperity and honor of the country

Mr. President, I am obliged to my friend from Georgia for having taken that view of some topics in this case, with his usual clearness and ability, which will relieve me from the necessity of Clearness and abouty, watca win retrieve the from one necessary or discussing those subjects which he has taken up. I feel, sir, the great embarrassment which surrounds me, brought about by those events which have taken place and been adverted to in the Sc-nate. It has been stated by the gentleman to whom I alhaled, [JMc. CASS.] that the whole world knows that a treaty has IMr. CAss.] that the whole world knows that a treaty has come hither from Mexico—that it has been acted upon here, and is sent back—that a member of this body, occupying an emineut position in its deliberations and conduct, has been sent out as a minister with full powers to make explanations—of course not ex-minister with full powers to make explanations—of course not ex-minister with full powers to make explanations—of course not exhaust the same than the same that the contract of the has been done here. There has been such a paper here—I allude to none of its particulars, although following the example of the honorable member from Michigan, who says that all the world knows there is a treaty, I might say all the world knows, too, exactly what the treaty is, for the details are as well known as the principal fact. I feel, sir, as I said, a new embarrassment. On the events that have occurred here within three weeks; political

friends to some extent differ, and that goes nearer to my heart than any dart that political adversaries could direct.

than any dart that pointest ancertaints could direct. The war is odious. Generally specking, taking the whole country together, the war is odious in a high degree. The country is distressed. A treaty has been offered. It has been here and it has been sent back. Now, I feel, sir, that there has been manifested throughout the country a very strong desire, for the sake of peace, that this treaty, or any treaty, should be ratified The business of the country is disorganized and distressed. Mer know not what to calculate upon. The occupations of life are embarrassed. The finances of individuals, as well as of the coun-try, are much deranged; the circumstances of individuals placing by any monotonings, the victorial states of maliyamin spacing of the three base one up a strong expression in favor of only treaty on any terms. If it will bring peace. Now, sir, I am not for any treaty on any terms, though the bring peace. In my judgment, with entire diffidence therein, and entire deference to the hetter judgment of others, I think that this indiscriminate demand the properties of the control of the peace of the control of the peace of th of peace in any circumstances and on any terms, is either an efof pence in any circumstances and on any terms, is cauter an el-fusion of cestatic delight at the prospect of getting rid of an abominated war; or else it is the result of a feeling for which I have not so much respect—that we are to take this, whatever it have not so much respect—mat we are to take uns, whatever at may be; or I will rather say, that we are to take whatever may be offered, lest our masters should give us harder terms. It is either the effusion of joy at the prespect of putting an end to the war, or else that men's resolution cools.

war, or else that nevi's resolution cools.

T believe, it, that the press on all sides, with very few exceptions—perlaps uniting for one—all the for the last three weeks pressed the Scataet, by their daily counsels and advice, to take the treaty whatever it any be. All these considerations which seem to me to spring from the lirst implies and not from the sober soto me to spring from the first impulse and not from the soher se-cond thought of the people, appear to be designed—I will not say designed, but calculated, as they have been calculated—to press forward the connectis of the Semeira and to identify the councils to take any low for parameter, and the first property of the councils to take any for parameter and the continuation of the continuation of the will be a support to the continuation of the continuation of the continuation of the with our eyes bladeloided. No, sir—with our eyes dead, sightless as the eyes of a parable status, of all the future?

On these subjects, sir, to the extent to which it may be proper for me to discuss them, I wish to declare my scattiments once for all; not going back to the origin of the war—not re-examining orders of the Executive—not pausing to consider, as my honorable friend from Georgia has done, the various stages in the progress of the campaigas, in which it might seem to have been, and I think he has proved that it was, the duty of the Executive to consider the propriety of arresting the war—without attempting any of this sort of discursive dissertation upon the case, I never-theless desire to express my opinions upon the state of the countheless desire to express my opnators upon the state of the country—upon the tarther prosecution of the war—and upon that mest important, and, if not vital, most interesting question, the revenue and the ability of the country in the present existing legislation of Congress to supply the public demands. An understanding however, was entered into vesteraday, to which I was a parry, that the question upon the final passage of this bill should be taken to day the strength, which I have no name health which the taken to day strength, which I have no name health which the very the session; to time, which I was the property of the prope say what I wish to say. I will, therefore, with the permission of the Senate—and I hope not without the concurrence of the honorable member who is at the head of the Finance Committee, postpone ble member who is at the head of the Finance Committee, postpone what I lave farther to say poin this subject, until the early part of next week, when I understand the Loan Bill will be before the Senate. This measure is to raise men—that measure is to pay them. The object, therefore, of both is one—the farther prosecution of the war in Mexico. What I have to say then, may as well be said appropriately on one bill as the other, and therefore I shall not now detain the Senate, but if an opportunity should be offered, upon the earliest introduction of the Loan Bill, I shall claim the privilege of expressing myself on the several points, which I have now mentioned to the Senate.

Mr. CASS .- Mr. President : Before I preceed to the more seri-Mr. CASS.—Mr. President: Before I proceed to the liber serious part of my undertaking, I beg to make a few remarks somewhat personal to myself. And I am induced to do so in consequence of an allusion made the other day by my honorable friend from Delaware, for I am sure he will permit me to call him such, and which I frankly confess, I did not take in very good part. The Senator said I was, or had constituted myself the champion of Senator said I was, or had constituted myself the champion of the administration, and that I had blown a trumpet and uttered a note of defiance—that I had thrown down a glove which he, in the spirit I presume of chivalry, had taken np. Now, sir, all this is neorrect. I gave no challengo; I assumed no champion-ship; I uttered no note of defiance. The very thing which the Senator from Delaware supposes I did, was the very thing I left I could not have but the folly to do. I said, no the introduction of Senator from Delaware supposes I did, was the very thing I leef! I could not have had the folly too. I said, on the introduction of the army bill, that in presenting it I should not toom any of the dispatted points, which divide our two great political parties, but I thought from appearances which could not be missapprehended that these would be introduced by others. I said further, that the discussion of the great through the day, respecting the war, could not be voided, though I had beyed they would be pestponed could not be avoided, though I had beped bey would be pestpensed; till this necessary bill was passed; but that at any rate, however severe might be the thatck; I trusted it would be as carnestly met, and casily repelled. This is the substance of my remarks, sir, and I must say to the bonorable Senator from Delaware, that he has not a fittle surprised me by delucing from them the conclusion that I had constituted myself the champion of the administra-tion, and that I had brought on a controvers by the very that this would come, and so did every member of the senate. Have that the convex of the administration would be severely expected that the property of the senate. that the course of the administration would be severely assailed on the other side of the chamber, and my conviction was not the on the other side of the chamber, and my conviction was not the less certain that it would be promptly met on this side and easily repelled. But I trust I did not say, certainly I did not think, it would be repelled by me. I knew that task was committed to better hands than mine. I knew there were faithful sentieds on this side of the Senate; albe and experienced statesment prompt, powerful and fearless dehaters, who have passed much of their lives in these legislative encounters, and who would defend with energy, and I believed with success, those measures which met the entire approbation of the great party to which they belong. It is in no spart of siffected lumility that I feel I have no right to assume the duties of men like these. Wy like has not been passed in such scenes as this. The early and more netive portion of it was senet and the tolis and privations and exposures of a new was spent amid the toils and privations and exposures of a new country, and not a little of it upon the very verge of civilization and even beyond it, where duties lar different from those committed and even beyond it, where duties lar dutierent from those committed to us here, called me and occupied me. A vote of the Senate has placed me, contrary to my own wishes, at the head of the Com-mittee on Military Alhiris, and if, in the discharge of its duties, I can lend any aid towards what I consider the best interests of my country in the situation in which she is placed, I shall be satisfied without making the vain attempt attributed to me by the Senator form the large Senator here, we obscripted to the large transfer. glove which the honorable Senator has pieked up, but his own.

The distinguished Senator from South Carolina, (Mr. Calhoun.)

in the discussion of yesterday, gave his opinions upon some impor-tant topics connected with this bill. The questions presented by him, are of the highest importance, and were urged with all that closeness and cleurness, which characterize his intellectual labors I desire to express not only my dissent from his conclusions, but as briefly as may be, the views that have struck me during the short period, I have had to reflect upon the subject. At the very com-mencement, I feel a difficulty which will be obvious to all that know—and who does not know the process, at once compressed and logical, by which that Senator reaches his deductions—in con-sequence of being compelled to rely upon my memory, and not having been able to cred his speech, which has been laid upon our

naving been able to read his speech, which has been laid upon our tables since we took our seats in this chamber to-day. However, I may have misapprehended him, during the progress of his remarks, I did not misapprehend him at their commencement. He began by asserting that there was not a single reason in favor of the passage of this bill. Certainly, sir, this assertion is far too broad. We may differ as to the weight of the arguments, in support of this measure, but to pronounce almost excentiledra, that there is no argument at all which would justify its passage, seems to be rather a bad angury for fair investitions.

its passage, seems to be ratter a new one of particular particular and particular other nations, must be decided upon much higher considerations than the effects they will produce upon the stock market, and upon the fluctuations which give to its speculations the spirit sometimes of gambling, rather than of sober calculation. But, sir, I do not agree with the Scancor in his anticipations. If, as I understand him, a peace is necessary for the wholesome operation of the monical interests, any measures having a tendency to promote peace-would give confidence to those who control it. If, sir, peace, would give confidence to those who control it. Il, sir, an overwhelming force were immediately raised and dispatched to Mexico, no man can doubt but that this war would be im-mediately terminated, and the more vigerous our preparations, the more fixed our determination to prosecute it vigorously, the more continued shall we be, and the Mexican people also, that peace will come and come speedily. So far from viewing this subject as the Senator does, I consider every step we take towards vigorous preparations, a step towards peace, and I believe it will strengthen and not weaken the confidence of the monied men. and aid, instead of injuring the money market. If we go on with a series of timid, irresolute, and indecisive measures, we may pro-

a scarce of timin, irresoute, and maccisive measures, we may pro-long this war till domasday. If we strike one vigorous stroke, we may terminate it without delay.

The Senator says, also, that the passage of this hill will be mis-chicrous in Mexico, because it will animate some of the parties into which that unhappy country is divided, to increased exertions against us. If this be so, it presents to me a new chapter in human nature. When our country is constant. against us. If this be'so, it presents to me a new chapter in human reture. When our country is at war, or apparently approaching it, to put on an armor and an attitude hefitting the occasion, would be, according to this newprinciple of national inter-communication, impolitic if not dangerous, as it would excite the enemy to more vigorous action. Mr. President, it is not thus I have read history, and it is not thus that public disputes are brought batter acts and the statisfactory termination. If in peace to prepare for war, is a wise sentiment, now become an axiom, certainly, when hostilities was extrament, and two powers are contending for the inastery, and the commenced, and two powers are contending for the mastery, set of the other, it is not difficult to foresee to what taken on such a course, whether originatine in muslimitary or false more such a course, whether originatine in muslimitary or false more. such a course, whether originating in pusullaminty or false mag-

nanimity, must necessarily lead.

But, sir, are there no reasons why this bill should now pass? There are, sir, and very strong ones too; so decisive, indeed, that even the powerful intellect of the Scnator from South Carolina has not been able to satisfy me that there is one substantial objection to the measure.

We are at war with Mexico. The papers, indeed, of to-day, tell us that an armistice for two months has been concluded.—
That is liable to be broken, and hostilities resumed from one day to another, as accident or design on the part of the enemy may to another, as neededn't or design on the part of the enemy may dictate. And a proof of their bad faith in a similar arrangement at the city of Mexico, should warn us that little reliance can be placed upon these stipulations; and indeed the very despatch which brought as information of the armistice, brought as also information that it had been broken. And happen what may in the mean-time, this armistice, at the end of the term, must give way to hos-tilities, unless prolonged by mutual consent, or terminated by a peace. And certainly it will not be prolonged by us unless a peace is to take its place.

Now, sir, what does a wise precaution require? It requires us to strengthen our forces in Mexico, and to make tho most vigorous preparation to prosecute the war with renewed exertion, should

our efforts to procure a peace prove fruitless.

You know, Mr. President, and the Senate knows, and the coun-You know, Mr. President, and the Senate knows, and the com-rry knows, that a paper has arrived here and gone back to Mexico, with the impromatur of this body upon it, modified indeed, but still fixing terms, which will lead to peace it accepted by the Mexican government. Now, sir, it may be accepted there or rejected, no man can tell which. The government is unstable, the people inman can tell which. The government is unstable, the people in-tractable and turbulent, and the country split into factions warring against one another, and each contending for supremacy. In such a state of things, what is our duty? It is, as I have already said, to be prepared for contingencies, and to recommence our military to be irrepared for contingencies, and to recommence our mintary operations with the utmost vigor, as soon as the war recommences, if that event should happen,
But, in the second place, the very preparation we make, may be the reason for rendering its employment unnecessary. If the

government and people of Mexico see, by the measures which we have taken here, that there is an absolute determination to overrun and overcome their country, that would furnish a strong motive for their acquiescence in the terms of peace. As our relaxa-tion would encourage them to resist, so, renewed exertions on our part would show them the futility of resistance, and leave them no hope but in doing us justice. So much for the reasons in favor of the passage of this hill. The force it contemplates to raise may not be wanted. In that event, it will not be organized, and no injury will be done. It may be wanted; and in that event it will be ready for such contingencies as may happen.

The Senator from South Carolina says that when the President in his animal message, asked for this force, be this said. According to the same and the same and the same and the same and the processor to the war more vigorously, and that to vote for this bill is to give a pledge that this should be done. This may be so, sir; but whether so or not, I am ready to give any necessary pledge upon the subject. The Senator is not in flavor of a vigorous proceedation of the war, even should we fail in our efforts to obtain a peace. What are his views upon this subject, sir? He says that at the commencement of the session, the opinion was spreading everywhere that the whole of Mexico should be annexed o the United States, but that since that time a change has been going on; the result, I suppose, of our discussions, and that the acquisi-tion of the whole Mexican territory is no longer desired. For my part, sir, I see no change whatever upon this subject. I believe the prevailing sentiment is now, just what it has been, during the whole progress of these hostilities. The Senator, in his remarks upon this subject some two or three months since, when asked for the proof that the acquisition of all Mexico was desired by the American people, reterroot to one or two demonstrations that had taken place at one or two public meetings, but failed to produce the taken place at one or two public meetings, but finited to produce the slightest evidence, as indeed there was none, that the American people had determined upon this great experiment. The senti-ment prevailed then, and prevails yet, that we may be compelled to make it by the obstinate injustice of the Mexicans, and that if we cannot terminate the war in any other way, we must termi-nate it by taking possession of their country, and holding it sub-icut to our power, and with sense kind of a government it mentals ect to our power. and with some kind of a government to

ject to our power, and with some kind of a government to provide for its internal security. Well, sir, this state of things may come, but I hope not. But it will not be prevented by speeches and resolutions in this hody. It will be prevented by much higher events. For myself, my only nion has been unchanged, and I have several times expressed it in this chamber. I think the annihilation of the Mexican govern-neut, and the annexation of the whole Mexican territory would be ment, and the amexation of the whole Mexican territory would be a serious injury to our confederacy. I see great inconvenience in the measure, and many sound practical objections to it. But I repeat also my previous declaration, that I am not one of those who believe that even that step would be fatal to us. My confidence in the progress and duration of this government is un-shaken and nushakable. Its destiny, under God, is committed to the people, and no other earthly power can destroy it. However exthe people, and no other cartainy power can destroy it. Towever ex-tensive may be the sphere of its operation, it has in it a spirit of vitality, growing out of the very principle of its formation and ob-jects—the will of all for the good of all—which will enable it to resist many of those shocks of time and accident to which other governments have been exposed, and have fallen victims. If all this is a dream, sir, it is a very happy one, and a dream from which I have no wish to be awakened.

I desire, sir, to allode to a remark made by an honorable Sena-tor from Virginia, [Mr. HUNTER.] Some time since he addressed and began the same of the terms and the same state in addresses and beautiful districtions. It was one of those efforts which, while they do honor to the Speaker relice the nor upon all those who are associated with him in the discharge of the high functions committed to us. While I thank him for the pleasure he gave me. I thank him also for his favorable ootice of a little word I used upon that occasion. The distinguished Senator from South Carolina had said, that the absorption of all Mexico would be fattal to us. While expressing the opinion I have just retirerated, that the measure would be injurious, I republicated the idea that it featule to us. While expressing the opinion I have just retirerated, that the measure would be injurious, I republicated the idea that it would not kill us. Well, sky, it is a good plate that Acknow but the Sonator from Virginia seemed to think that it required some protection, and threw over it his critical ergeis by saying it should hereafter become classical. I thank the honorable Senator for his kind interference, but I beg to assure him that the phrase had some pretensions to be a standard one even before I used it, and he sancetioned it. It is at least as old, in our language, as the and he sanctioned it. It is at least as old, in our language, as the time of the translators of the Bible. How much more aged I do not stop to inquire; but in the language whence our Bible was trans-through a series of the series

tion of the war, proposes to withdraw our troops from the other portions of the Mexican country, and to establish them upon a line, which shall be the boundary of the territory which we intend old. This proposition has, in substance, been twice before made by the honorable Senator; once at the last session of Congress, and once some weeks since at the present. He supported his views then, and now, with that force which marks his reasoning. But while he interested he did not convince me. There never was such a line, there never will be such a one. I say it with all delerence, but with a perfect conviction of the truth, that such a line is impossible. That which the Senator preposes runs from the Rio Grande to the Passo Del Norte, probably about eight hundred miles; and thence, with a dellection not necessary to notice, to the Pacific ocean which is a little less than an equal distance; making upon the whole route probably 1.500 miles. The force required to defend the line of the Gio Grande the honorable Senator does not give; but he thinks a small one would be sufficient. I have conversed with one of our ablest generals upon this subject, and he considers 20,000 men necessary to the defence of the Rio Grande frontier

Rio Grande frontier.

From the Passo Del Norte to the Gulf of California, the Senator iron South Carolina thinks, that one regiment and a few small vessels of war would be an adequate protection against Mexicans and Indians. What effect armed vessels can have in the defence of a line, which stretches six hundred males beyond them, as I do not comprehend, I will not stop to inquire. Their gams would probably command the hevels of which they might anchor, if they anchored near enough. But I do not believe that a Mexican guer rilla would place himself within their reach, in order to cross a line open to him in all directions. As to the regiment if equally divided, its number fit for duty would probably give one man to every mile

Its number in for dary wound probably give the main to every limit of distance between the Passo and the gulf; certainly not more.

In his annual message, the President has presented with great force the objections to this proposition. I shall not repeat them, for they must be fresh in the recollection of the Senate. seem to me to prove, beyond question, the impolicy of establishing such a line, and the impracticability of holding it. With no nasuch a line, and the implaced carriery of hoding it. With no hold tural boundary, with no defensive stations, for how many could a few hundred men occupy, and defend? with a boundless region on both sides; with the necessity of bringing supplies through long difficult and exposed routes, and with the ever-consuming disor-ders of the climate, how could such a line be defended with such a Our troops must be in detachments, or they can afford no protection; while the enemy may be in masses, and bring the protection; while the enemy may be in masses, and using their whole force to operate upon a part of ours. If we are delected, we are destroyed; for we have no reinforcements to order up, nor to fall back on. to fall back on. Our point of support might be 1,000 miles off.
If the enemy are defeated, they retire beyond an enchanted line,

If the enemy are deteated, they reture beyond an enchanted line, where danger cannot come.

But after all, what good would this do, even if the line could be defended? How would it bring peace? What possible motive would the Mexicans have to make peace in such a state of things? woutst the alexicans have to make peace in such a state of things? They have it at all times, when they desire it; for the line is a Chinese wall, beyond which we may look indeed, but must not pass. For if we should pass it, we should that moment abandon our plan, confess its inefficiency, and commence a new system of operations to recover the ground from which we had retracted before entering upon this dangerons experiment. We assume our fore entering upon thus dangerous experiment. We assume our line. We take a position behalf it, covering the country we intend to hold. It is a zine qua non; and we will not treat with Mexico till she relinquishes all right to the region we claim. What then has she to gam by peace? No territory; for all we hold we keep. No home; for that is compromised by the cession. No exemption from the evils and calamities of war, for she is just a contraction of the contractio as secure behind the line while the statu quo lasts as she would be as secure behind the line while the statu quo lasts as she would be if a treaty were signed, scaled, ratified, and promulgated. If she choose to sit still there is peace; if she choose to attack us, she attacks us; and if successful follows up her ad-vantages till she strikes a decisive blow; but if unsuccessful she retires behind her barrier, and awaits a better opportunity to renew her efforts. Such a state of things would be interminable for any thing I see. No government could maintain it. No public sentiment could bear it. Mexico would have every motive to continue it, because the chances of the future might give her success, and restore her territory; whereas they could do her no injury, and in the meantime, she would not put the seal to her own dishenor.

As to the defence of a line between cotermineus countries, it rests upon very plain principles. If the countries are at war, one or the other or both will attempt to cross it. Neither will remain be the other or both wit attempt to cross at. Iveniner win remain behind their line for the avowed purpose of defending it, unless in-deed one of them is so weak that offensive measures would be impracticable. If an irruption is made, the parties making it have necessarily some military operations in view, which if successful they pursue, but if unsuccessful they abandon and return. of the line itself in this state of things becomes a secondary object, yielding to ulterior considerations involved in the commany outers, yarsing to interior considerations involved in the plans of operations. An invading force, if repelled, must be fol-lowed, and if followed must be pursued to its places of refuge or the battle field, where the fate of arms must decide the contest. Any contest between nations involving other principles would b irreconcilable with public sentiment and incompatible with the plainest dictates of policy. No. Mr. President, let us go on in the old fashioned way. I will not say the good old on in the out hismonica way. I will not say the good off ashioned way, because the term would be inapplicable and improper; but I will say the approved old fashioned way, and wage this war as our lathers waged war before us, and as our wage this war as on relative wage of war is a local as one soons will probably wage it alter us, if driven to this star appeal of nations. Let us diseard these mitried plans and place or lath in experience, as tin experiments. Let us push our operations firmly as negle the, but nervifully as may be, till we lace conjugate of the country to overcome obstinate injustice, and thus to conquer a neace,

But a principal object of the Senator from South Carolina seems to be, to place the administration in the wrong in the measures it has directed to be taken, for levying centributions for the support and subsistence of our army in Mexico. To do this he has commenced with what I consider a fundamental error, that when we enter an enemy's country in war we take with as all the powers of our own constitution. If it is meant by this that an invading army has a right to experise all the powers fairly derivable from the constitution, and relating to a state of war; the proposition is true, but entirely useless for the purpose of the honorable Senator's argument. But if it is meant that the guarantees of the constitution accompany the army and operate upon the movements of our troops in a hostile country, nothing can be more erroneous in primary of the contraction of the country of the country. troops in a nostite country, notining can be more erroneous in principle, or would be more injurious in practice. The slightest reflection will satisfy any one that the extension of our constitutional guarantees over countries occupied by our armies would be utterly subversive of all the rights of war. We could not march a step without finding impediments that could not be evercome. provisions of the constitution are :

\*\* That Congress shall have power— \*\* To de-lare war, grant letters of marque and reprisals and make rules and regula one soue-rung captures on land and water.

"To raise and support armies.
"To make rules for the government and regulation of the land and naval forces." The constitution further provides that:

"The President of the United States shall be commander-in-chief of the army and

These are all the provisions of the constitution bearing upon the

war-making power.

In the whole history of our legislation there are but two provisions respecting the conduct of our forces in foreign ecuntries, and these are coeval with the government, having been first passed in 1775, and again in 1806, and forming thus a permanent part of our military code. These two provisions are in articles fifty-one and fifty-five of the rules and articles of war. The former declares

"No officer or soldier shall do violence to any person who brings provisions or other eccessaries to the camp, garnson, or quarters of the forces of the United States, emoyed in any parts out of the said States, ander paia of death, or such other punisheat as a contraintal may direct."

The latter declares that-

I no latter declares that—

"No lowers, belonging to the armus of the United States employed in foreign parts, shall force a safegoard, shall suffer death.,"

Here is our whole written legislation, constitutional or congressions are the continuous properties.

sional, upon this subject.

Now, sir, like other nations, we are liable to war; and when en-

gaged in it, we are entitled to all the rights, which that condition brings with it. Nor do I believe, that those rights are in the smallest tittle dimmished, because we choose that our chief magsmallest tittle diminished, because we emouse that we have a hat and not a crown, to follow out an allusion made this evening by a distinguished Senator. Our army, in the prosecution of war, enters a hostile country. What may it do the prosecution of war, enters a hostile country. What may it do there? Originally, in the early ages of the world, the right of conquest included an unlimited right to seize and dispose of the persons and property of all the people subjugated by its arms.— Hear the earliest Jewish historian

"And we took all his cities at that time, and interly destroyed the men and the wo-men, and the little ones of every city, we left some to remain."

"Only the cattle we took for a prey anto onnelves, and despoiled the cities which we took."

In the progress of time, however, better sentiments prevailed, and humanity endeavored to check, if not the progress of conquering armies, at least the evils that followed in their train, by laying down rules for assunging the calamities of war. These conventional rules, established by the general concurrence of civilized attions, now constitute that part of the law of nations applicable to this subject. To be sure, they are liable to be violated, and when not violated, to be narrowed in their operations by controlling eircommittances; but their general obligation no one of the present family of nations calls in question.

family of nations calls in question.

Trepeat, what may our army do in a hostile country? It may do any thing proper to promote the objects it has in view, which is not prohibited by its own government or by the laws of nations. It goes forth to hattle and to conquest. Its effort is to subdue the enemy by all the aggressive means it can exercise. To injure him, when, how, and where it can, subject only to the limitation! I have laid down, in order to compel him to accept the terms of peace prescribed by its government.

But in the negative of certain of these converse was associated.

But in the practical exertion of these powers we are met, in limine, by a suggestion of the honorable Senator from South Carolina, [Mr. Calinoun,] that it is the conqueror to whom they belong, onna, part extrators, para it is the conqueror to want they nerong, and that this conqueror is the sovereign, and the sovereign in the United States is the people, who alone can exercise these high attributes, or at any rate some of them. It may be remarked, however, that they do not belong to the conqueror, as such, but to the enemy; whether an invading army is advancing or retreating, vic-torious or defeated, its rights are still the same, and belong to it torions or detector, its rights are still does saile; and belong to its solid gas the last band composing it, remains in arms upon hostile territory. But let that pass. The Senator also says, that the people in this country is the sovereign. I shall take no issne with him upon that proposition; I concede it in the fullest extent. It is one of the first lessons we learn after leaving the cradle; it is as broad in its operation as this broad land, and the sentiment itself is probably one of the last we abandon in life. But, sir, what then? The Senator will not require the sovereign people of the United States to exercise all their right, either of peace or war, in person. This is done, and must be done, by their agents, sivil and military, who are responsible to them and centrolled by the laws they choose to establish. As the man described by the laws they choose to establish. As the property of the control of the

or imperial.

Well, sir, our army commenced its operations. It may over run the whole hostile country, doing all those deeds of distress and death, which it must do to a great extent, to accomplish the objects of its destination of a great extent, to accomplish the objects of its destination of the constitution and the laws, except from the general powers I have quoted relating to war; for there is not a single specific grant in our whole code looking even to such a state of things. Let him, or any one else, put his finger upon that clause of our statute book which authorizes an American soldier to kill a Mexican, to burn a house, or to seice and hold a city, or to do the thousand and one acts of violence which go to make up the condition of war. Well, then, even without specific powers from our sovereign, our army may do those deeds simply because a war exists, and they are propri incident. Selections, I am at war; go forth and maintain the hoor and interest of your country. Now, having shown what an army does and may do, I may call upon the honorable Senator to show what it may not do within the limitations I have laid down. He will acknowledge it may kill a Mexican, not because it is expressly authorized to do so by law, but because that act is proper in its operations, and is allowed by the general laws of warlare—The right to levy supplies, whether of money, of provisions, of forage, of clothing, of the means of transportation, and of other objects not necessary to be canomerated, belongs to the state of war. No not will deep that the battle field, and will accompany every new that may hereafter follow in the same career. In Europe it has been common in later years to subsist and support armies in the enemy's country, and there have been a contribution of 1,500, not that may be a subsist and support armies in question the right of our trops to take supplies in kind as an incident to war. Let those who maintain the distinction, either in question the right of our trops to take supplies in kind as an inciden

Why, sir, the error of the Schator from South Carolina I coefcitive to be his:—He seems to think that an express grant of power from the sovereign of the country is necessary to the extension of the most acute mind can draw so line between them.—I mean for the most acute mind can draw so line between them.—I mean hot ween those usually exercised in legitimate warfare. As to the power of the sovereign to restrain the use of these means of carrying on war, or to prohibit them entirely, there can be no doubt. Congress, the legislative agents of our sovereign, may at any time establish an entire code for the conduct of our armies in hostile countries, and may restrict their powers within the narrowest limits. The question, however, is not what Congress may do, but troops are free to net as the good of the country may require, and as the incidents belonging to a state of war fairly permit. The excreise of these powers is of course vosted in the commanding officer, unless directed or restrained by superior authority at home. The President is the constitutional commander-in-chief, and whether present or absent may direct the operations of our armies and

in the present case.

I see no difference, sir, in the application of the general principle, arising out of the mode in which a contribution is colored, whether it is breid by the agency of our own officers or of Mexican officers, the power is the same, and whether upon numicipal authorities, upon classes, or upon individuals. The facter and the authorities, upon classes, or upon individuals. The facter and the satisfaction. And an American army, of all other armies, should seek to attain its object with the least distress. The contribution is an assessment, and all must pay it, who are subject to the rules of war. And it is the nature, and not the name of the thing, which determines its true quality. Call it as you please, tax; duty, impost, supply, centribution, or what not, it is a foreible demand of the army may direct-rendered more acceptable in the present instance by being levied and collected in conformity with the Mexican laws, and thus accommodating itself, as far as possible, to Mexican usages. There never was a better form of contribution than that which we have adopted—one more caught in its operation, or less oppressive in its

administration.

Now, sir, what is the objection to this? I understand there are two reasons urged by the Senator from South Carolina against

the course of the administration on this subject. The first constithe consider the assumance and in this stanger. The first consis-trationals and, the second political. With respect to the first, if I comprehended the train of reasoning pursued by the Senator, he considers the contributions required by our army in Mexico as taxes, and their collection as an exercise of the tax levying power conferred by upon Congress by the constitution. I cannot, sir, conferred only upon Congress by the constitution. I cannot, sir, for myself doubt for a moment, that that provision of the constitution is confined to the United States. As I have already remarked, if the guarantees of that instrument accompany our armies, we may just as well abandon all attempts to carry on defensive operatons alroad, as our armies could not march a foot without find-ing themselves surrounded with insuperable obstacles. Congress ing themselves surrounded with insuperable obstades. Congress may undoubtedly preserbe the mode in which forced impositions shall be collected in an enemy's country. But it may do that, not under the tax beying power, but under the war declaring, and thence, war regulating power. It may put an end to the practice, and when it regulates, or probibits it, its decision becomes the law of our armies for a strict obedience to which every one with. in his proper sphere is responsible; but until Congress does interfere the right and its exercise depend on the principles I have stated, and not upon analogies, verbal or substantial applicable only to a different state of things. I cannot but remark however sir, that if any one who has doubts upon this question will run his eye over the constitution, he will see at a glance, that its powers and protections are intended not for a foreign country, but for our and protections are intended not for a foreign country, but for our
own. That would he a strange construction indeed, which would
give to the Mexicans the right "to be seeme in their persons
houses, See," to a speedy and public trial by an impartial jury, See,
and to all the other political blessings which make our government
what it is. And who shall divide the constitution, and tell us
what portion operates abroad as well as at home? That the whole
of it does not follow our armies is clearly shown from the consequences which would flow from such a construction. There is but one practical solution of the difficulty, and I use that word diffieulty in deference to the opinions advanced by gentlemen of the most powerful intellect, and not because I feel the slightest doubt myself; and that solution is to confine the constitution to our own country, except where its provisions obviously extend abroad; and this brings us again to the war making power, which would enable Congress during the continuance of hostilities to provide at its discretion for the government of countries held by our armies.

discretion for the government of countries field by our armies.

The political objection urged by the Senator against the exercise of this power is founded in the dangers which might result from it. Well, sir, there is danger in such a power. There is danger in all war powers. The distinguished Senator in a speech last session, which few will over forget who heard it, depicted with his peculiar force the danger of triumphant generals returning with consquering armies; even his graphic description did not only the service of t

own sucry in uses easys or national automous and aggridancements. From my own iews of our institutions, and from the opinion I have formed of the character of the American people, formed did not the content with mesor all opinions and processes. I consider the destruction of this government by military usurpation as one of the very last evils which threatens us—to be apprehended only, when our necks are prepared for the yoke, and when it will matter little who puts it to.

who puts Itoh.

The President may abuse this power, says the Senator. Certainly he may, and so he may abuse any power; but powers must be granted, though they may be abused. If any one fears that result now, let him prepare a legislative remedy to prevent it. As work; but I am prepared at any time to look into the whole matter, and to hold all who have taken a part in it to a strict accountability. The President desires nothing less, nor his political friends for him; and I predict that any investigation will but commend the administration the more, to the confidence of the country. But let not a most important right belonging to the American people, and one which may be essential to their military success, be east to the winds, because some time or other, or some where or other, abuses may grow out of its exercise.

In our investigation into the origin of this war, there are two separate questions which present themselves for consideration; one, which may be termed external, and the other, internal. The former connects itself with us as a popule, whose character and conduct have been arraigned before the world, and the latter corns ourselves alone, as it relates to the course of the Executive in the earlier measures which led to the war. The war itself may be just, and we stand acquitted of every charge of aggression; while the President may have passed beyond the limits of his control of the con

Had we cause of war against Mexico? It has been said, and Had we cause of war against blesseof at his occur said, and upon this floor, that to give just cause of war there must be a clear right coupled with a sort of necessity before a resort is had to this extreme necessity. Such general considerations, however, as this, extreme necessity. Such general considerations, however, as this amount to very little in guiding the conduct of nations, as a slight The honorable Senator him analysis of this principle will show. self who advanced it, concedes that we had a clear right, and if a "sort of necessity" to enforce it had been coupled with this, we should have stood justified in the eyes of the world had we declared war against Mexico years ago. And what is this "sort of necessity," without which the right is to remain barren? Why, I it, if thirty years of aggression on one side and of rem strance on the other, do not constitute this necessity, it would be vain to seek it in any war, undertaken in modern times.

The fact is, sir, the question of war is a complicated one, into which considerations of right and expediency enter largely, if not equally. If one nation injures another, and refuses or unreasonaequalty. If one nation injures another, and remass or intreasona-bly delays to make satisfaction, this gives to the injured power just cause of war. But whether she shall undertake it, depends upon her own position—on that of her adversary—on the magniupon her own postron—on that of her avversary—on the magni-tude of the injury, and frequently, on other circumstances, political or financial, which it would be useless to specify, and impossible to enumerate. Nations must and will judge for themselves under these circumstances, as well of the right itself, as of the "sort of necessity" here may be of conforcing it. The right once estab-lished, and that the gentleman himself concedes in this case, the resort to force is a question rather of discretion than of mor resort to lorce is a question rather or discretion state of more as it is a remedy consequent upon the violation of national rights. It is too late to tell us, sir, that we had no just cause of war. Successive administrations of the government, and the voice of the American people have pronounced an irrevocable judgment

upon that question. Our complaints against Mexico commenced nearly with the Our compinants against access commences nearly with the year temperature of the independence. They go back to the year 1817, and come down to the present day in almost one unitar, rapted series of outrages. I shall not state them serialim, nor enter into the detail of their nature and extent. This has been repeatedly done, and the official documents are before the country. I will merely classify, from an able report made by Mr. Forsyth in 1817, the various heads of complaints, which will present the

general aspect of the subject. Treasure belonging to citizens of the United States has been seized by Mexican officers, in its transit from the capital to the

Vessels of the United States have been captured, detained,

and condemned, upon the most frivolous pretext.

3. Duties have been exacted from others, notoriously against law, or without law.

4. Other vessels have been employed, and in some instances rained, in the Mexican service, without compensation to the owners.

 Citizens of the United States have been imprisoned for long periods of time, without being informed of the offences, with which they were charged.

Other citizens have been murdered and robbed by Mexican officers on the high scas, without any attempt to bring the guilty to instice.

General Jackson, in a message to Congress in 1837, stated that these causes of complaint "would justify, in the eyes of all na-tions, immediate war." This sentiment was fully concurred in by the Committee of Foreign Relations of the House of Representa tivos, who said "that ample cause exists for taking war into our own hands; and we believe that we shall be justified in the opinion of other nations, for taking such a step

President Van Buren, in December, 1837, distinctly told Congress teat redress was beyond the reach of the Executive, and could only be obtained by the action of Congress, which action

must of course have been war.

As to the conventions which have since been made by the two

countries, and violated by Mexico, I need not onter into their history. They are fresh in the recollection of all. These three conventions, by the infidelity of the Mexican government, have proved nearly fruitless; and after thirty years of injury on the one and of remonstrance on the other, there is nothing left to us but to aliandon all hope of redress, or to obtain it by a vigorous prosecution of the war. Who, then, shall say to us that we have commoned a war unjustly, which was in fact commenced by the enough, and which, even had it been declared by us, would have been justified

which, even had it been deceared by its, women have been justified by the practice of nations, and by the injuries we had sustained?

I do not intend, Mr. Prosident, to be led into the discussion of any polennic, respecting the wickedness of war. I leave that to the schools and the debating societies.

I am content, and if not, I am compelled to take things as they are, as they have been, and as they will be. Sent here as practical men to deal with the interests of our country, we must not be diverted from the true path marked out by the experience and the usages of the world, by erude speculations and misplaced philanthropy. We were agrieved and injured, and could obtain no redress; and we were entitled to take our rouncy into our own hands, in order to obtain that justice which was pertinaciously withheld from us. The most superficial reader of modern history—the most casual observer of passing events, must know that outrages far less flagrant in their character, than those committed by Mexico against us, have occasioned half the wars of modern times

But, sir, I am well aware that these considerations apply only to our just right to declare war against Mexico at any time, within

the last twenty years. We did not commit the offcusive. Mexico herself struck the first stroke, and why? Because Texas was annexed to the United States. I recollect the gentlemen on the other side of the chamber thought there was some fluttering in our ranks, when this avowal was first made.—But there was none whatever, sir. We concede the proposi-But there was none whatever, sir. We concede the proposi-tion in its fullest extent, that this annexation was the cause of war. How then, sir, stands this great question, as to the justice of its commencement?

I will not trespass upon the patience of the Senate by present-ing this subject in all its details. I will again compress my views into a series of propositions, and thus spare your time and my own.

Texas, a constituent portion of the Mexican republic, declared itself independent, as Mexico, a constituent portion of the Spanish monarchy, had done before it, and asserted and maintained its rights by a revolution.

rights by a revolution. The war between these two powers continued for some time, with varying success, till 1836, when a Mexican army, led by the chief magistrate of the republic, was conquered, and dispersed or made prisoners, and the commander himself captured. After the month of June of that year, Texas continued in the undisturbed possession of her independence, and no effort was made to confuse her not a single Mexican the contract of the second solution.

undisturbed possession of her independence, and no effort was made to reduce her, not a single Mexican party, with the exception, I understand, of two predatory incursions having since commade an inroad into her territory. The war was in fact at an

end. In the meantime, the independence of Texas was acknowledged by the United States, and by some of the other principal powers of the world: and she was permitted to take her equal station among the nations of the earth.

In cases of revolution, where one portion of a nation is endeavoring to separate itself from another, while the contest is going on, and each party is exerting itself to attain its object, it is the duty of other powers to look on, and not to interfere in favor of one side or the other. But there is a limit to this duty. Such contests can Such contests canor the outer: But mere is a minit to instancy. Since contests cannot be permitted forever to continue. The peace of the world forbids it, and there are instances on record where other nations have said. This struggle has continued long enough. It must now be terminated, and the revolting people be securred in their independence. But there it still another limit to this duty of non-interferomee. and that is the abandonment by the original government of all attempts to reduce by force its revolting citizens. The abandon-ment of the remedy is the abandonment of the right. The peace ment of the remedy is the abandonment of the right. The peace of the world cannot be put to bazard by the pertinacious obstimacy of any nation, which holds on to nominal claims, without the power and the disposition to maintain them. The neutral duties exist only flagrante bello. And when the war ceases, the previous relations of the two States ceases, and they become like other nations—"onemies in war, in peace friends." When Texas was annoxed to this confederacy, this was her relation to Mexico, and she had the same right to form treaties of alliance or annoxation, as had the people from whom as had separated. 'If these things are offence to Mexico, and gave her no right to complain of our conduct. conduct

And this view is fortified by an incident, clearly indicative of the And this view is to timed by a to inclined, yearly indicative of the public opinion in Mexico of the value of her right to subjugate Texas. While the question of annexation between this latter power and the United States was pending, Mexico offered to acknowledge the independence of Texas, if she would engage not to join the American confederacy. This offer was in fact the ver-acknowlegement it proposed to make conditionally. It conceder It conceded the inability of Mexico to enforce her claim of sovereignty, while it asked as the condition of recognition the surrender of the right to direct its future political destiny, as might seem most accepta-

ble to its people.

So much for the general subject of annexation, and the rights

and duties growing out of it.

and duties growing out of it. But it has been said, not in Mexico, but here, that the origin of this war was not in the annexation of Texas, but because we go rived her boundary to the Rio Grande, and took possession of the country between the Nucces and that river Who says this; Wh. President! Not the government or people of Mexico, but citizens of our own country, who find a cause of offense for the enemy which they have failed to discover for them. selves. The Nucces is an American, not a Mexican boundary. The Texas of Mexico was Texas to the Sabine, with no intermediate boundary. In all the communications with the Mexican goselves. date boundary. In all the communications with the Mexican government, as I have had occasion to say before, no distinction is made between the Nucces and the Rio Grande. And the occupation by our forces of the country between these rivers, was never presented as an exclusive cause of complaint, nor indeed noticed in any manner whatever. It was the nanexation and occupation of Texas, and not of any particular portion of Texas, which led to the reclamations and finally to the hostilities of Mexico. It was a question of title and not of boundary; a claim of right, which went for the whole and would never be satisfied with the relinwent for the whole and would never quishment of a part. When the act When the act for annexation passed, the quishment of a part. When the act for annexation passed, the Moxican minister in this country immediately protested against that measure; declared it to be just cause of war, and at the same time demanded his passports and left the country. And the supreme government of Mexico in March 1846 informed Mr. Slidell that it looked "npon annexation as a casus belli; and as a consequence of this declaration, negotiation was by its very nature at an end, and war was the only recourse of the Mexican govern-

And in conformity with these views, forces were collected upon the Rio Grande, in order that Mexico might take the ve" in hostilities against us, to horrow the expression of General Paredes in his orders to the commanding General. And, sir, these Faredon in six-fuers to the command on the delarations. Mexico said what the would be as she will delarate the said that the would be as she wild as she said. See Texas and Texas, and Texas, and the wands of Texas, and the wands of Texas, and the work owar. As early as April 18 who will be a the work of t tice, her President directed the General upon the frontier to tack" our army by every means which war permits.

Who then, sir, has a right to say what the Mexican governwith their str, his a right to say what the Mexican govern-ment has never said, that they went to war, not because we an-nexed Texas, but because we took possession of the country west of the Nicces? In all the diplomatic correspondence between the two governments, there is no allusion to that river nor is any greater claim advanced to one of its bands, than to the other. Why then, when our country is summoned to trial at the bar of the public opinion of the world, why should the American Senate swell the catalouge of an enemy's grievances, and make out a better case for Mexico than she has made for herself? In our endea ter case for Mexico those she has made for herself? In our endea-ver to do right to others, let us not do wrong to ourselves. Let us distrust our own judgment when we find ourselves inclined to take a more flavorable view of the cause of Mexico, than she has taken for herself. Let us yield to juveliese, what we refuse to pa-taken for herself. Let no wan it of the view of the view of the They have made the best of their own case; and if they have omitted the passage of the Nucees in the catalogue of their omitted the passage of the Nucees in the catalogue of their wrongs, we may be sure it was no special wrong in their eyes; and that it was not because we crossed that river, but because we entered Texas, that our enemy attacked us, and thus commenced the war.

The question of the title of Texas to the country extending to the Rio Grande, has been several times elaborately discussed be-fore the Senate, but never more ably than by the honorable Senators from Maryland, and Texas, [Messrs. Johnson and Rusk ] listened with great pleasure to their exposition, and I am content to leave the subject where they left it. Altogether satisfied with the views they presented and equally satisfied, that I can add nothing to their force or clearness.

What judgment then are we to pronounce upon the measures what judgment then are we to pronounce alon the measures which were directed to be taken, by the President previously to the commencement of the war by Mexico? This question is in fact a double one involving two considerations, one, affecting our relations with other countries, and the other our own institutions only. The former touches our character and conduct before the nations of the earth, while the latter relates only to ourselves.

of the earth, while the latter relates who to ourselves. This was was commenced by Mexico, that is, Mexico first attacked our troops, but I agree that if we pushed an arneed lorce within the Mexican frontier without cause that measure throws on us the guilt of this war. How stands this matter?

1. It seems now to be generally agreed on all hands that the mere another than the second of the second of the Rio Grandle Mexico on just cause of warr, and it follows that if it is boundaries extended to the Rio Grandle Mexico. did only what we had a right to do in marching our forces to that river, and are not responsible for results. Both of these points I have noticed, and the last has been conclusively established by the excellent views taken of the title of Texas by the Senators to whom I have referred.

the title to the country from the Nucces to the Rio Grande was in dispute between the parties—and I believe no one here has ventured to deny that we had some well founded claims

and the second s

Did they announce such a determination? No one here, sir, will Did they announce such a determination? No one here, sir, will deep that fact. I shall not detain the Senate with the various proofs spread through the history of our intercommunication with Mexico, from the first suggestion respecting annexation till her army crossed the Rio Grande in order of battle. The protest of her minister here—the declaration of her government—its formal an-nounciation to the European diplomatic agents accredited to it—the while early of its emperate, and the callegian and averaged of public order of its generals, and the collection and movement of its forces, left no doubt of its designs, and if they had the result would have disclosed them.

The movement of our troops under these circumstances, became a delensive measure; for as has been well remarked by the honorable Senator from South Carolina (Mr. Butler,) it is not necesable Senator from South Carolina (Mr. BUTLER.) it is not necessary for the justification of a nation that it should await an impending attack. That power in fact commences the war, which makes the first threatening preparations for it, and not the one which merely strikes the first stocke. If a government collect is forces, marches them to its frontier, and makes public preparations for passing it, and thus for war; at the same time openly acowing its determination to commence it; both the reason of mankind and the usage of nations, authorize the people, whose peace is thus threatened, to ancicipate their adversary, and to repel the threatened attack, by an attack of their own. This course is existent defension, and modern history abounds with examples ilthe threatened attack, by an attack of their own. This course is strictly defensive, and modern history abounds with examples illustrative of the principle.

So much for the question between us and Mexico as to the commencement of the war.

As to the internal question relating to the conduct of the Prest-30TH CONG .- IST SESSION-No. 46,

dent, it admits of but one answer. That cases may occur in which dent, it admits of but one answer. That cases may occur in which it is his duty under his constitutional power, to repel an actual or threatened invasion before Congress can act upon the subject, no one can doubt, and for myself I could never see any just constitutional or legal objections to the course he pursued in this whole affrom the regard soft come so the contract one pursuent in this winner at fair. But there is one other consideration which is decisive, and that is, that the orders for the movement of the troops to the Rod Grando were given by the President on the 18th of January, 1846, and thirteen days before that, an act of Congress had been passed recognizing our jurisdiction west of the Nuccess. It was the duty

recogning our jurismenton west of the Invocess. If was the duty of the Executive to earry it into effect, and thus consider the boundary of Texas as extended beyond that river.

As the "initiative" was taken by our adversary, we took the defensive, and the attack being inevitable, it was for us to choose where to receive it. Such I repeat is the law of nations, and such the practice of nations.

So much for the commencement of the war.

So much for the commencement of the war.

I had anticipated many modes of attack upon the administration, and many avowed causes of censure; but, I must confees, I
had not anticipated the charge of the bonomable Senator from Maryland, [Mr. Johnson,] that the administration had proved itselftealled or inefficient, and that the war had not been vigorously prosecuted. I am not going, sir, to undertake a refutation of this
charge. I leave that to the people who sent us here, and the
measure of whose glory has been filled by brilliant achievements
which will yield in their renown to few, if any, of the great martial feats of our age. "We have had an ostentations and asserted
vigor," says the honorable Senator, "thus we have had nothing else
so far as the President is concerned."

An ostentations and asserted vigor: Well, this is a strange

An ostentations and asserted vigor! Well, this is a strange An ostentations and asserted vigor! Well, this is a strange world, and in my time I have seen and heard many strange things in it; but I have heard few stranger things than this The act recognizing war was passed on the 13th day of May, 1846, twen-ty months ago. At that time we had an army whose total of rack and file, consisted of 7,928 men. They occupied thirty-seen forts and positions in the interior of the United States, and upon our inland and scaboard frontier, comprehending a space almost count and positions in the interior of the United States, and upon our inland and scaboard frontier, comprehending a space almost equal to half Europe. And the portion of this force under General Taylor upon the Nucces amounted to 3,001 men. This was our preparation for meeting the war. All else had to be collected or restarted. Recollect, sir, that our situation is far different from that of the martial powers of Europe. War is there both a trade and a science, and its governments, the five seven, but wheh, if our trade is the seven of t the people of France were a great army—the country a vast camp—the cities and towns, arsenals and magazines, and the fields sources of supply for the immense living machine, whose movements were always so tremendous and often so irresistible. Well, sir, we had nothing of all this. We had no army, for our little force searcely deserved the name. We had no conscription by which to increase it. And all the materiel necessary for the sub sistence and transportation and operations of our troops, had to be collected through the country and conveyed to a distant scene of operations. This scene is 3,000 miles off, and little did the government or the country know of the condition of Mexico—of its fortresses or their state of preparation—of its armies or their its fortresses or near state of preparation—on its armies or Gerr state of efficiency or discipline—of the roads, the bridges, the means of transportation and subsistence, and the thousand other points essential to military operations, and which, in the various countries of Europe, are studied and known.

countries of Europe, are studied and known.

Now, sir, in the face of all these obstacles, what have we done?

We have sent our troops to the shores of the Pacific, by routes
across the continent and around Cape Horn; we have subulued
Upper and Lower California and New Mexico; we have taken
possession of the rich and populous districts upnot the Rio Grande;
we have carried the war into the heart of the republic, after attacking and reducing the renowned fortress which commands its
principal maritime entrance, the capture of which alone was glory

removed for France; we have taken its cantial disappead its preprincipal maritime entrance, the eapture of which alone was glory enough for France; we have taken its capital, dispersed its ar-mies, made its government a fugitive, and reduced to subjection a large portion of its population; we have fought at least fourteen important actions, of which eight were pitched battles, and in every one there was a disparity of force against us, and in many an inequality which earries us back for similar examples of despe-rate struggles to the early agos of the world—to the combats of the Greeks and the Persians—which they resemble, rather than the conflicts which the severe truth of modern history judges and the conflicts which the severe truth of modern history judges and records. We have captured a score of great cities, some of them fortified and defended and capable of strong resistance. Time would fail me to tell all we have done, nor can it be necessary, for is it not already written in imperishable letters upon the re-cords of history, and in burning and shing characters upon the heart of every American? Yet you have not done enough, says the honorable Scautor from Maryland to the administration; you have not prosecuted the war with sufficient vigor. You have done too much, says the honorable Senator from South Carolina; you have prosecuted the war too vigorously; so much so, indeed, that the great danger we have now to apprehend is the annihilation of Mexican national independence, and the annexation of the whole Mexican territory; and the only remedy for the false position in which your untimely energy has placed us, is an abandoument of much you have gained, and a retreat behind a line, where you can ourh your martial propensities and restrain your desire for aggrandizement. I shall not thrust myself into this controversy—it is in better hands than mine—but I must confess it appears to me that

the Senator from South Carolina is much nearer the mark than the Senator from Muyland; and that our offence, if one there be, is an offence of commission and not of omission.

Now, if the Senator from Maryland thinks all this success is not play enough for twenty-two short months, an intersteet is page of bistory, and go back to the fabrious ages, or open the volumes intagination, and of a highly sublimated imagination, too, before he can find a series of operations worthy of the standard of little tray glory, which he seems to have prepared to himself. of Hercules shrink into insignificance when compared with

What are we to do? We are to do And now for the future. just what other nations always have done and always will do in circumstances similar to our own. We have to prosecute the war Greumstances similar to our own. We have to prosecute the war field of their infallity to resist us, and are disposed to make a rea-sonable peace. There is a point, sir, is military operations, and we must reach that point if necessary, where pertinerious obstisonaide peace. There is a point, sir, in initiarly operations, and we must reach that point if necessary, where pertuneious obstinacy will be overcome, and where, as I have already said, submission is cheaper than resistance. I think I heard it said, sir, upon this floor, that we bad got the victim down, and he was exhausted and spiritless, and that we were preparing to plunge a bowie-knile into his heart. This language is in bad tasto, sir, and the allusion, it seems to me, wholly unfounded. We have got no allusion, it seems to me, wholly unfounded. We have got no prostrate victim, and are preparing for no assassination. We are fighting the Mexicaus and they are fighting us, or at any rate aim to be fighting us, and refuse all the offers we make to treat with them. They compel us ofther to close the war dishonorably, or to prosecute it inexorably. It is objected here and elsewhere, as a practical difficulty, that there is no government to negotiate. as a pin season of the control of the season and to negotiate. There are neknowledged rulers with authority mongh, to treat, were they disposed to do so, as the recent result has shown. And why have they not been so before? Because the public, so far as there is one, is adverse to the measure. The honorable Scantor from Mississippi, with his knowledge of the Mexicano character, has made known to us one characteristic trait which explains that acter, has made known to us one characteristic trait which explains the infatuation that prevails in that country; and that is, an overweening vanity—a settled conviction of their superiority to us—and a proneness to attribute their reverses to any thing rather than their own imbecilities. ity. So much for the masses. The more informed portions of society may well study the doctrine of chances and looking to the divisions, which prevail in our concils, and to the opposition which the legislative measures of the war encounter, may flatter themselves that our exertions will become relaxed and the Excentive manble The remedy for all this is a palpable one; it is founded in human

The remeety tor at this is a pulpane one; it is ionified infinite mattine:—increase your fore—extend your operations—overrum district after district—extablish yourself in city after city—awaken the Mexicans from their lettary of false hope, and let them feel that they have no recourse but to do us justice. And add to all this, union in our councils at home, which, after all, is the first element of prompt success. Postpone our internal difficulties till our external oces are adjusted. One unanimous vote in each of these two halls, evincing a determination to prosecute the war with all our strength would be better than an army with banners. It would be a moral force that would proclaim our power and conquer the peace we so much desire. My life for it, if we do this, we shall succeed in three months; we shall find a government ready enough to ratify the treaty or to make another, and a nation ready enough to observe its stipulations. Mexicans are like all other people, and, as I remarked a few days since, they must sow and reap, and be elothed and preserve the institutions of society and the cherished relations of social life. They do not all mean to be killed, nor voluntarily to abandon every thing that makes life desirable. Let us go on, then, and time and perseverance, we may hope, will bring with them their just reward.

And now for the objects of the war. This subject has occupied much of the attention of the Senate; "indemnity and security" have been bandied about as though they were mysterious words, employed to conceal some great project, or magical words intend-ed to obtain some great end darkly shadowed forth. A kind of "open Sesame," enabling political neeromaneers to conceal their open sessine, enabling jointeels neeromaneers to conceal their work of iniquity and deception. I am not going over this ground again, sir. I have only to say, that there is a single word white fully expresses my views upon this subject, and that word is acquisition. The object of the war is an honorable peace, and that peace can best be obtained by an adequate compensation for the peace can best be obtained by an adequate compensation for the injuries done us by Mexico, and that compensation must be made in territory, as it can be made in nothing else. There is one con-sideration, Mr. Presider, in all this question of territorial com-pensation which has great weight with me. While I trust we shall act as fairly by Mexico as her own conduct will permit, I do not conceal from myself that my reluctance to annex portions of united that the permanent happiness of the peripe would be pure morted by the measure. I believe it the larginess fare that could befull them; and I believe that this war, instrinst in many re-spects, as it may have been and must have been, is destined to work a great good for the Mexican people. I believe it will meli-torate their condition, evil, religious, social, and political. I blolieve that the contact with our citizens will bring many advantages permanently beneficial. The country will be laid open to the world, and the intellectual lessons of Europe and America will elevate a depressed population, and bring them to a knowledge of their rights and of the means of enforcing them,

Man cannot fathom the designs of Providence; but experience teaches us that great political changes are among the means employed in the moral government of the world, and that they often proyed in the moral government of the words, and that they often come to renovate descript nations and to give new vigor to the hi-man faculties. The existing race in Mexico has proved itself ng-norant, feeble, and, if not retrograding, stationary. Another ca-reer may be opened to them—the abuses of generations may be swept away, and the route of our armies may become avenues of communication, by which light and knowledge may spread over Mexico, and the past remembered only to make the blessing of the change more evident and acceptable. I repeat, sir, that the claim we set up is for compensation for injury and yet we are gravely reproachset up is for compensation for injury and yet we are gravely reproached in the American Seatte, in this middle of the nucleonth century, with the adoption of barbarous principles, as well as barbarous usuages, because, in a state of war, when the appeal is to arms and when the decision rests on strength and not on reason, we measure our own demands by our own sense of justice; and claim what we think right, and intend to take what we claim. And an appearlab Sense for an Suark Cardior. Mr. Ruyteral, James 6. what we think right, and intend to take what we claim. And an honorable Senator from South Carolina, [Mr. BUTLER.] seems to honorane Senator from Soun Caronina, 1971.

have made it a particular cause of grivance, as he considers it a most extraordinary measure in diplomacy, that we should have defined the line we mean to establish, and have said to the enemy, make that the line of your cession or continue the war. Why, Mr. did that hon rable Senator never hear of an ultimatum in national intercommunication? What is more common-indeed, what is more proper—if a nation has once determined upon its course, than to say to its adversary, there is our lowest offer;—
accept it or do better? The history of the world is full of these
examples, and I must confess it was with no little astonishment, that I heard the honorable Senator add this to his catalogue of rethat I near the monorance senator and this to his catalogue of re-proaches against the administration. He told us there were some of us ready to vote for any thing. As I have voted and intend to vote for all the necessary war measures, I suppose I may consider myself in this oategory of every thing and any thing members.

Mr. BUTLER -- Certainly not! I only remarked that I was inclined to think that any thing coming with an Executive recom-mendation would be swallowed whole; and, indeed, I confess that I think so yet!

Mr. CASS.—We all know the courtesy of the hon. Senator, and I will not believe he intended all the words import, and, therefore, I shall not make a retort which readily presents itself. But I will say it requires very little stretch of patriotism to defend the government for making a manly and frank proposal, and for avoying its di-termination to stand by it to the last. And what other rule, six, is there or can there be for the conduct of nations, than the rule of the strongest, where all pacific means of procuring justice have been tried and found wanting? They have no common unpire, with a full knowledge of the consequence. Security and indem-nity, if victorious—cossions and concessions, if vanquished; and all this, harsh as it may appear, has much good sense in its and all this, harsh as it may appear, has much good sense in its experience of the world has almost every general rule, which the experience of the world has adopted. Man is naturally as regularations as his cotenants of the earth, whether wilking on two legs or on four. He is kept in restraint by the institutions of society and by the salutary operations of law. But nations are independent; they acknowledge no superior, and much that restrains them—not all, in-deed—for the opinion of the world is something and moral principle something more ; but the greatest restraint which keeps them from perpetual collisions, is the certain injury and the uncertain issue of war. The race is not always to the swift nor the battle to the strong. So says the book of inspiration. Numbers do not Numbers do not to the strong, "an says the book or ansphiltorin," evanious we have the book of haman experience. And this uncertainty is a salutary check upon the ever active promptings of ambition. But dives, twar of its legitimate consequences, which have belonged to a from the earliest periods of history, establish the principle which this new kind of sickly magnanimity seeks to lay down, that there not the conquering power, is to be the judge of both, and where are we? Where would be the peace of the world, or where the discharge of national obligations, if there were no penalty for injustice; and none of the motives to do right which spring from the fear of the consequences of doing wrong?

An honorable Senato from Connecticut, [Mr. Baldwin,] in-forms us, that Mexico has no money to indemnify us, and that sho cannot cede any portion of her ceritory for that object, because her own constitution prohibits it. Well, sir, I supp. so she may then set the world at defance, and become the Islamaelite of uations; with, however, a better fate that Ishmael of old; for his hand was against every man, and every man's hand against him, while Mexico might be the aggressor, without being exposed to any retribution. Sir, when a people deprive themselves of the power to redress an injury, they should be very careful not to commit one. No nation can entrench itself behind its paper harfirers, and say to the world, do what I may, I am not responsible for I have declared the inviolability of my territory. An easy kind of shelter this for aggression and injustice! And a new prin ciple to me in the law of nations, this security, not for, but against indemnity. I do not know where it is to be found, but it must be very new or very old; antiquated or unacknowledged. No, sir, there is no such principle; there can be no such principle Nations like individuals are responsible for their acts, and must pay the penalty for their injustice. This matter lies within a narrow compass If a nation interdicts to its government the authority to alienate

any portion of its territory, it must take care in its disputes with other countries, that it has right or power, and especially the latter, on its side. If conquered, its internal regulations will not protect it from the legitimate consequences of defeat. If it will notither code nor pay, it must remain at the mercy of its enemy.

Mr. CLAYTON .- In a foreign war with another nation, MIT. CLAYION.—In a toreign war with another action, should the demand be made by that nation, that the only terms in which peace would be secured by us, would be the cession of the State of Michigan, does the war gentleman believe that the feder-al government of this Union would have the right to make the

Mr. CASS.—I have not the slightest difficulty in answering the question of the honorable Senator. There is no power in this government to cede away one foot of the United States. But, sir, there are considerations, which will ride over written constitutions, and among these are the events of war. Now, I am not going to enter into a discussion with any man respecting the course this country will adopt, should it be prostrated at the feet of a conqueror. I am not going to suppose any such case. I be-lieve it one of the last dangers that awaits us. But, if in the Providence of God it should come, I leave it to our children in the fiftieth or the hundreth generation to adjust it as they must, if they

titueth or the numerical generation to adjust a satisfy miscretic cannot adjust it as they would.

Undoubtedly, sir, a coaquering nation in judging for itself, judges under a great weight of responsibility. It is the natural result of its position. That now is our situation, and I trust, that result of its position. That now is our situation, and I trust, in the measure we mete out to ourselves, we shall commit such crying injustice, as may provoke the censure of the world, or

draw upon us the retributions of Providence.

I repeat, sir, that we must take the affairs of this world as they I repeat, sr, that we must make the data and a sound at the are, and not a sew would have a set of the sew of

give way to universal benevolence.

give way to universal benevotence.

But the honorable Scantor from Delaware visits with peculiar reproduction every proposition to acquire indemnity from Mexico.

He says, "I desire to say for one, that I never have been, and I am not now, willing this case the say for each of the say or any other nation under Heaven, by computes to robbery." He says, "that honesty is the best policy, and that an honorable reputation to a country is of more value than land or money." "I hold," says the honorable Senator, "that any attempt on our part, merely because we happen to possess superior strength, to compet a weaker nation to cede us all that we choose to deroand as in-pennity, whilst we at the same time admit that we ask for more than she owes us, is nothing else but robbery."

Mr. President, I hold to no such doctrine. The world holds to no such doctrine; and never has and never will, till the governments of man shall have fulfilled their task, or the nature of man shall have actuely changed. Robbery indeed! It seems to me, sir, I say it with all deference, it would be an utter perversion of terms to designant an acquisition by conjuest for indemnity, as a

sir, I say it with all deference, it would be an inter perversion of terms to designate an acquired and the control to enquest for indemnity, as a robbery. An honorable Senator from Vermont has designated it by other quithetes. He calls it piracy and plunder. If this war is just, as I for ane believe it to be, what was our just claim at its commencement, is far from being a sufficient claim now. Our balance has greatly anguented. The most rigid casuust cannot dearth in the great plunder in the control of the co deay that we are lairly entitled to a just compensation for the losses expenses which we have encountered from the obstinate injustice of the Mexican government; and as I have already shown, justice of the Mexican government, and as I make arrange showing the control of t and so was the Egy in them and the ordering, and the formal in the ancient world, and in the modern, robbers are as plenty man antions. For England and France and Russia and Prussia and Austria, and every people under Heaven, have alternately lost and gained territorial acquisition by war and compest; and the thinse know the hearsh optimized themselves to the harsh optimized themselves to the harsh optimized to the control of the second of the se Delaware would apply to his own country, if she claims the right to act agreeably to the laws of nations. I am well aware that questions of ethics are not to be decided by mathematical rules; nor is there any arithmetic of morals which can make one right nor is there any arithmetic of morais which can make one right out of twenty wrongs. But the usage of nations makes the law of nations, and the practice of all time shows, that coaquest gives rights, as permanent and unquestionable, as rights derived from any conventional arrangements, public or private.

But, sir, the honorable Senator from Delaware, in further illus-

tration of his proposition, has resorted to an analogy, which seems to me utterly to fail him in its application to the subject before us. Analogies are always rather dangerous weapons in argumentative discussions; and I have seldom seen one, which seems to me more discussions; and I have seldom seen one, which seems to me move so, than the very case presented to prove that we are robbers— "If a man owes me a sum of money," says the honorable Senator from Delaware, "and I meet him on the highway, and insist, with a pistol pointed to his breast, that he shall deliver to me a deed of his farm, at the estimate which I choose to put prion it, I think there could not be much difference of opinion as to the nature of that transaction, I should like to know how my friend from Maryland, who is an able lawyer, would defend the man guilty of

such conduct. Would it be any palliation or excuse or justification such conduct. Would it be any pallintion or excesse or justification of the conduct of an offender, in such a case, that some money was due to him? Could there be found in christendom a court and jury that would be sitted as to the verificit is such a case? And what, let me issk, us a friend near me. (Mr. Webster.) such gests, what would be the value of a deed obtained under such circumstances. unmstances ?

Is it possible, Mr. President, that the two distinguished Senato strong Delaware and Mssachusetts, I might almost say, the first among the first jurists in the land; can believe that this government is to be driven from its position and its purposes, and the American people from their determination to be indemnified by a false analogy like this! I use the word false in its logical, not a lates analogy like this? I use the word rates in its original, not its ethical sense. Can it have escaped the penetration of those learned gentlemen, that the case they suppose is one, where both the parties are the subjects of nameipal law, which extends equally, its protection and its penalties over all, who owe it allegiance? The robber is violating the law, and not only ean gain nothing by his crime, but exposes himself to severe punishment. There is a common unprine between these men, to whom their dispates, if they have any, must be referred, and which has power to enforce the contract of a superior contract of the contests of nations involves far diffiown arbitraments. But the contests of nations involves far different principles. Their very equality makes each the judge of its own rights, and the assertor of its own remedies. Do the honorable gentlemen mean to push their analogy so far as to contend, that all treaties made between stronger and weaker powers are void, for the very reason that victory has declared for the one, and that the other must submit? If this new principle is to be interpola-ted into the law of nations, what would become of half the trea-ties in the world! and all the territorial changes they have made and confirmed! The subject appears to me so plain, as to defy illustration; and I leave it with the simple remark, that we have duties to ourselves, as well as to Mexico, and that if we do to her as other natioes have always done under similar circumstances, we shall do all, that the most jealous advocate of his country's honor can demand.

Mr. President, we are furnished with two other dissuasive rea

Mr. President, we are furnished with two other dissuasive reasons against the acquisition of Mexican territory. One, relates to the present and the future, and the other to the past. The former addressing itself to our fears, belongs to what may be called the school of national apprehension, and the latter, addressing itself to our sense of shame, may be called the school of national humiliation. I shall trouble the Senate with a few remarks upon each. We are warned of the daagerous consequences of incrensing our territorial extent. I heard all this, nearly half a century ago, when Louisiana was anquired. The fears, now, cannot be stronger, are stronger expressed than they were then. They were again spread before us when Florida was purchased. And still again, when Texas was annexed. We have lived them down, sir, and I suppose there are few men, within the limits of the republic, who would now desire the excision of either of those acquisitions, certainly not of the two former. Let us judge the future by the who would now desire the excision of either of those acquisitions, certainly not of the two former. Let us judge the future by the past, the only safe rule of judgment. Our government has a wonderful power of accumpodating itself to the extension of the country. Its double formation, if I may so speak, of external and internal sovereignities, enables it to spread without weakness, and to preserve its power of colosion with its process of enlargement. And the progress in the physical sciences, comes in aid of our own political progress. The means of communication are every day augmenting, and even now, we are practically less remote from each other as a people, than we were in 1789.

each other as a people, than we work in 1135.

I lived upon the Ohio, Mr. President, at the period of the acquisition of Louisiana, and watched, as did the whole western population, the progress of that great measure. Recollecting the objections made to it, as well in Congress as in the country, and hearing those which had been urged here to the proposed acquisinearing mose which had been urged here to the proposed acquisi-tion I was struck with their similarity, I might almost say their identity, and turned to the debates of that period to fortify my impressions. The result I will give you in a very brief extract:

## [Debate in October, 1803.]

Mr. Grillin said: "He did, however, for those consuguences: he framed the effect of a vast extent of our engine: he feeted the effects of the intersact value of labor, the decrease in the value of labor of labo

Mr. Thatcher said: "This acquisition of distant territory will involve the necessity

M. Giveoli call "The vast and unmanageable extent which the execution of London and the desired of London and the desired of London and the destruction of the laborate, which it is so unpostant to matter and the destruction of that balance, which it is so unpostant to matter and the vester and the western States, threatens at no very distant day the subdivision of our Union."

out thinks. We have a will be the granter cover that could it greater before  $M_{\rm c}$  We have already retrievely enough, and when I contemplate the exist stat may are to these "states from the intended incorporation of Louisian and the Union," I would interfree eight even be France, in Spian, or to any other mation of the width in the limit, which is the state of t

Mr. Tracy called "it a pernicious measure—the admission of Loniuana, of a world and such a world into our Union. This would be absorbing the nor hern States, and render them as ioasgorifeant in the Union, as they ought to be, if, by their own conjent, the measure should be adopted."

Now, sir, two of the speakers in this debate were predecessors of the honorable Senator from Connecticut, [Mr. Baldbun,] who has felt and expressed such alarm at the proposed extension of our territorial limits. That Senator says he would rather give millions to get rid of the territory than to pay a dollar for its acquisition. Mr. White, of Delaware, fixed his price for getting rid of Louisian at one hundred millions of dollars. Mr. Tracy, an able and elequent statesman, predicted that the acquisition of Louisiana would "absorb he northern States and render them in significant in the Union." The Senator from Concecticut strikes sufficiently and the summary of the summ the same key-note and sounds a similar alarm. Now, sir, all this n. The just influthe same key-note and sounds a similar marrin. And, still, all the apprehension is without the slightest foundation. The just influence of Connectient, of all the New England States indeed, will never be reduced by the progress of our conturty. I do not speak of the influence of numbers, but I speak of that moral power which intelligence, and morality, and particisian always give to every community. Who in this broad and does not look back to wheely metalligenees, and morality, and particulates are took hack to the health of the state of the west of the state of ing its influence wherever they go. Why, sir, there are five Sena-tors natives of New Hampshire, now members of this body, and as tors natives of New Hampshire, now members of this body, and as one, and the least worthy among them; I am prend to acknowledge, here, in this high place, that much of the success, undeserved on my part, which has attended me through life. I owned to the early lessons of wisdom and virtue, which were taught me, in my native state, and which, if I have too folen neglected, I have never forgotter. There may be elimates less ranged, and hills never forgotten. sterile; but no population ever occupied a country, sounder in head or heart, or more richly endowed with those principles, which give energy to man, and dignity to human nature. give energy to man, and dignity to manan nature. The affidence of qualities like these, will be felt and acknowledged throughout our confederacy; whether those, who bless it and pray for it, atter their blessings and their prayers upon the coast of New England, or the shores of the Pacific.

We are also told, as a dissuasive against the prosecution of this We are also told, as a dissuasive against the prosecution of unwar, that we can raise no more men, nor money, and that our exercions must expire, from the very lassitude of our patriotism Cour fathers had these difficulties to contend withi, in the war of the Revolution, magnified, indeed, a thousand fold, by the circumstances and the nature of the contest, and yet they longlit on, fill they obtained peace for themselves, and freedom for us, and founded upon a rock, the rock, I hope of ages, this magnificent republican empire. We heard all this, also, in 1812, and yet in the face ed upon a rock, the rock, I hope of ages, this magnificent republicant empty. We heard all this, also, in 1842, and yet in the face of it, we conducted that war to a glorious termination. We heard it all again at the commencement of this very war, and the time has already past, according to the prediction of a statesman now present, of the highest character, supported almost by mathematical calculations, when we were to have neither men nor money, and when our cause was to fail from the failure of almost by mathematical calculations, when we were to have neither men nor money, and when our cause was to fail from the failure of almost of the comment in the compact of the comment of the context of the compact of the compact was the compact with the compact with the compact was unto the day is the evil thereof. Sufficient for the dishonor of this country, will be the time when she will practically exhibit her inability to maintain her rights and her bonor.

bility to maintain her rights and her bone.

Why, sir, what was said on the subject during the session of Congress, on the 25th and 26th of January 1847, one little year agod. Let us look back for a moment. The properties of the control of the co

## One speaker said:

"The criss in which they were placed was unprecedented. They had no adequate revenue, and were going on without any way of increasing it. He should opport this measure therefore from necessay, but the second of the control of the whole control, no most nuclear was the control of the control of the whole control, no most nuclear was called.

In passing it, they were treating up with against the day of wrath! and that day of wrath would come, and when it came be feared they would not be able to stand."

"But it was evident," said another speaker, "that a loan could not be obtained."

"A good deal had been said," Mr. Casa remarked, "about the levying of a specific tax, to which he might refer; but the administration was responsible for the loan. They had told them they could get it opon terms to which they would willingly

Mr. CASS. - No. says the honorable gentleman?

Mr. E .- Where do you find it ?

wenty three millions of dollars? \* \* \* They had paid off two debts, and they ould pay off another.'

A speaker also observed :

A speaker sixed observed: "Yet the Senator from Michigan told them merely to pass this bill, and they would have all the money wanted. " " The gentleman from Florida, [Mr. West-cort], labe thought there was no sort of danger, but that the public credit could rass money enough."

So much for the propheses of the last session of Congress. How they have been fulfilled has now passed into history, and yet we hear the same lugularious note at the present session, and yet we hear the same lugularious note at the present session, and yet was, I helieve, the honorable Senator from PHELES, I who proved morber Senators. And we have been desewhere, and from a high quarter too, that a tax "would wind up this miserable Mexican war in ninety days" Hinc illo lack "pmo! Tensa for taxes, but none for wounded honor! I trust I shall never live to see the day, when the American people will prosecute an unjust war, because they fou foel list bardens, or abandon a just one, because they foel or fear its financial pressure. But I see by an article in the London Times, of January 4th, that these cis-atlantle forchodings are not the only ones. Our meighbors over the water record and regret! the appearances which seem to them to indicate an approaching exhausted treaswhen the second of the consequences, on our future exertions. That article

which seem to them to indicate an approaching exhausted treas-ury and its consequences, on our future exertions. That article warns us, as we are warmed here, of the danger of a public debt, and of the taxes to which it must lead. And I observe that a late Morning Chronicle adopts a similar standard for our patriotic are norming coronicie adopts a similar standard for our patrotte sacrifices. It thinks that our losses and the derangement of the financial operations of the country will prove too much for "Jona-than's patience;" and that a proposal to increase to a considerable extent, the amount we pay in taxes will soon cure us of our war-

But I mistake the feelings of my countrymen, if such considerations will deter them from the prosecution of the war in which we are engaged. If taxes are necessary, they will hear them. Advancing as this country is with so rapid a pace in all the elements of power and prosperity, any debt it may contract in a necessary of power and prosperity, any debt it may contract in a necessary war can give no scrious cause of apprehension. I repeat the sentiment 1 expressed last session, that I do not believe one word in timent I expressed has session, that I would be the bropen financial axiom, that new debts must be secured by new taxes. Our experience has disproved it. Where nations are stationary, and already weighed down with fiscal impositions, such a principle may be necessary to the sepport of their credit. But a principle may be necessary to the sepport of their credit. But in the career opened to us, where our resources are augmenting in a geometrical ratio, the credit of the country will be found ing in a geometrical ratio, the credit of the country will be found sufficient, and its increasing resones will go for towards the discharge of all its engagements. As to a debt like that of England, the thing is impossible wind govern themselves, and tax themselves, will never sanction a system of extravagant and nuncesselves, will never sanction a system of extravagant and nuncessary expeditures. A system which, in England, as a writer in the Edinburgh Review observed, very wittly and very truly, some years since, commences at the cradle, and going on through all the gradations of society, still taxing as like advances, finally taxes the tombstone, and then dismisses him who sleeps under it, to be taxed no more. Such far the blessings of a government separated from the people, and without proper sympathy for their condition, or responsibility for their own principles. or responsibility for their own principles

The other dissuasive reason against the annexation of territory, to which I have adverted, founded on our own conduct towards other nations, against whom we had causes of complaint, amounting to causes of own, if we had chosen to consider them such, was presented by the Senator from Maryland, [Mr. Pearce.] This appeal to the fruits of our own pusillanimity has been made beappear to the truits of our own pushinanianty has been made he-fore, but has never, I think been so directly prosented as by that gentleman in his remarks upon the general subject the other day. And this brings me back to his proposition which I have already consideral that me have a proposition of the second of the secon considered, that we have no just cause of war against Mexico. In its defence, in addition to the general principle, that the right and the "sort of necessity" should both exist, he added by way, I suppose, of illustration, that:

The President had paraded before us an exaggerated statement of these claims.

"The Pesident had parallel before us an eraggerated attenent of these claims."

And he proceeds among other things to ask:

And the proceeds among other things to ask:

I have been asked to be a support of the server comparable to the twice of the server of the dent rants about our craims against Mexico." I neg to assure the Senate that that language is the language of the honorable Sena-tor and not mine. I shall never talk about the ranting of the Senate that unit ranguage is the tanguage of the normal position of the chief magistrate when he spreads our public grievances before the representatives of the people, and thus before the people and the world; nor shall I over taunt my country by intimating that her

course has been so pusillanimous that for her to talk of violated honor is a solemn farce. But were all this so, it is quite time that our policy were changed. We are well chastised for the want of self-respect we have exhibited if we have lost our honor; and if we do not stap in this eareer of humiliation, the proclivity will become steeper and steeper and our descent more and more rapid, till we have neither rights to assert, nor honor to defend, nor disposition to do either.

This catalogue raisonnee of our acts of humiliation I have heard I has entangue ransonne of our acts of numination I have nearch hefore; but never, I think, with such strong terms of reprobation. Our submission to the insults of the Neapolitan, the Dane, the Gaul, and the Anglo-Saxon is brought before us, as it were in staring capitals, and some of these are characterized as—

"Committed in the wantonness of power—in the very scora of our rights—without the slightest justification; and persisted in, repeated and buildly defended with a most offensive effontery, and yet endured by this country through successive administrations from 160 to 1831."

I regret, sir, to hear all this; not for its own sake, for we have nothing to reproach ourselves with, but in connection with the subject before us. I regret to hear that too much forbearance—if compete before us. I regret to near that too much forbearance—if too much there was, as the gentleman seems to intimate—is now made the pretext for more; that we must suffer from Mexico because we have suffered from others, and that we must go on because we have suffered from others, and that we must go on thus interminably exposed to the attacks of the strong and the weak, and to the contempt of all.

this country have never been deficient in sir, the people of Dut, sir, use people of this country have never been deficient in patriotism or autional price. During the period when these aggressions, to which the honorable gentleman alludes, were comitted, the moral and political world was in commotion, the foundations of society in Europe were uproted, and a nighty revolution was sweeping aver that region, which occasioned genetic changes in the world than did the five preceding centuries. Tremendou military establishments were formed, and the rule of might be Tremendous military establishments were formed, and the rule of might became the rule of right. These injuries commoned under the administration of General Washington, and were continued through the administrations of Mr. Adams, and Mr. Jefferson, and Mr. Madison, but their principal weight fell upon us during the presidency of Mr. Jefferson and a portion of that of Mr. Madison. And everlasting honor is due to those two eminent patriots and statesmen for the firm, prudent and dignified course they pursued under the trying and perilous circomstances in which they and their country were placed. History has set its seal upon their measures, and in all time hereafter their memory will be held in honor by a grateful neonly. honor by a grateful people.

But, sir, we weat to war with France in 1798, and with England in 1812, two of the mightiest powers on earth, and with immense military establishments at their disposal till then unknown in modern times. And it was the conviction in France that the firm character and decided course of General Jackson and the respon-

character and decided course of General Jackson and the responsive feeling of the American people would lead to a war with that country; if justice was not done us, and not the interference of England. Which produced the arrangement of 1820 and its execution, by which our chapter of complaints against France was closed, and I hope forever.

Here, sir, were two wars within fifteen years, and both prosented when we were comparatively feeble in numbers, in strength, and in wealth, and a third barely avoided by the satisfaction of our claims. Now, sir, I deny that we are justly liable to the reproach of pusillanimity, or that we have forficted our claim talk of violated honer. Or that Mexico or age one for Welvin, in this counter. honor. Or, that Mexico, or any one for Mexico, in this country or elsewhere, has a right to say, you had established your characof elsewhere, has a right to say, you have therefore no right to ask indemnity of me for wrongs such as you have suffered from others, and which your own forbearance in former years induced me to

There are two incidents, episodes I may call them, in the great There are two incidents, episodes I may call them, in the great action going on, which, though they neither give direction to its movements, nor certainty to its termination, are yet worthy of romark, as they exhibit, if not the faults of the administration, at any rate, the facility with which their measures are assailed. One of these charges I have never heard. I confess it with becoming gravity; the other is new, or at any rate-new to me, and has been presented by the Scientor from Maryland, [Mr. Johnson,] been presented by the Scientor from Maryland, [Mr. Johnson,] appear the continue ability, which sometimes makes "the wrong appear the continue and the sometimes makes the wrong appear that shall content myself with touching rather than considering that shall content myself with touching

er than considering them.

One great grievance alledged by the Mexican government, and One great grievance altedged by the Accinent government, axis repeated here, and one for which our minister was rudely driven from the republic, is, that we sent her a Plentpotentiary and not a Commissionor to effect an amicalale arrangement of the difficul-tion of the communication of the communication of the communication of the communication, no such frivolous reason as this of mational material and the communication, no such frivolous reason as this of harman intercommunication, no such revolute teach higher was ever given for involving two countries in war, that a higher grade of diplomatic agent was sent by one power than the other demanded. The reverse may have happened when the most idle demanded. The reverse may have nappened when use most own questions were grave subjects of investigation and remonstrance. Mexico must have had few substantial causes of complaint, and the opponents of the administration few just grounds of animalthe opponents of the administration rew justs ground version, when such a measure assumes an important position in their respective lists of grievances. But how stands the fact? Anxious to restore the diplomatic relations between the two commenced by the Anxious of growing of the Anxious to restore the diplomatic relations between the two commenced by the Anxious to restore the diplomatic relations between the two commenced by the Anxious constitution of the Anxious control of Anxious to restore the diplomatic relations between the two countries, which had been interrupted by the Mexican government, the President directed that our consul, [Mr. Black,] should communicate this desire to the Mexican authorities, and say to them, that if "Would receive an envoy from the United States to adjust all the questions in dis-

To this proposition the Mexicaa Secretary of State answered :

"My government is disposed to receive the Commissioner of the United States, who may come to this capital with full pawers from his government to actile the present dispute in a peaceful, reasonable and honorable manner."

Here is the acceptance of the proposition, and the agreement to receive the commissioner whom the government of the United States proposed to send, under the title of envoy "to settle the pre-States proposed to send, under the title of envoy "to settle the pre-sent dispute." Well, the envoy was sent, commissioned for this very purpose, and when he arrived he was refused recognition hecause he came as an envoy, and because his powers extended to the adjustment of the whole dispute between the two countries and were not confined to "questions relative to Texas." mere title, it does not merit a moment's serious consideration. It was an afterthought, a subterfuge, resorted to in order to justify what the Mexican government was determined to do, but v was easier to do than to defend. It is evident that our proposi-tion was accepted as made, and that envoy and commissioner were but convertable terms. This is shown by the letter of the Mexibut convertable terms. This is shown by the letter of ean Secretary of State to Mr. Slidell, in which he says

"That the single word "restore" is by no means sufficient, to give to Mr. Slidell the speeml character of commissioner or plenipotentiary ad hor."

But Mr. Slidell had too much power! Or in other words, he was charged to settle the whole controversy between the two countries, and not the Mexican portion of it alone. And was not this arrangement in the very terms of the proposition and its acecptance? We desire to send you an envoy, says Mr. Buchanan to adjust all the questions is dispute between the two governto adjust thit the questions in displate between the two govern-ments. We will receive your commissioner, answers Mr. Pena y Penn, charged "to settle the present dispute" between your court ray and ours. Well, what was this displate? It had two sides to it like most other disputes, public and private. We complained that Mexico had injured our citizens, and she complained that we had annexed Texas. And the dispute was made up of these causes of complaint. Could the Mexican government be so besotted as to suppose that the United States would adjust her side of the as to suppose that the United States would adjust ner side of the quarrel and leave their own unadjusted? That we should be wil-ling to do something, I know not what, by which Mexico would agree to the annexation or we ahandon it, and thus satisfy her cause of complaint, and then turn round and enter 1-pon another cause of complaint, and then thirl both and effect spot another engitiation of twenty-five years to satisfy our own? If our administration had acted thus they would have met and merited universal execration. And now the great cause of grievance with our adversary is, that though we were willing to do her justice, yet we required at the same time that justice should be done to us

And one of our most eminent living statesmen, a connecting ling between the present and the past generation, has not only endeavored to place Mexico right, and his adopted country wrong upon this as well as upon other questions at issue with her, hat has elaborately discussed it, and given to his sentiments, which have been widely disseminated by party zeal and the public

press the authority of his name.

In his remarks upon this subject, as Mr. Gallatin commences with an error, it is not surprising that he ends with one. He says 'the Mexican government insisted that it only agreed to receive a commissioner to treat on the questions that had arisen from the events in Texas," &c. This assumption of the Mexican ceive à commissioner to treat on the questions that had arise from the events la Texas," Sec. This assumption of the Mexican Secretary of State, which Mr. Gallatin endorses, as he must en-dorse it in order to place his own government in the wrong for which he seems to have powerful, if not patriotic propensities, is contradicted by Mr. Pena y Pena's letter to Mr. Black, in which The state of the state of the state of the United States coming "to settle the present dispute" will be received by the Mexican government. In the whole letter, which pledged the Mexican lath to the reception of the minister, there was no allusion direct or indirect to "the questions which had arison from the events in Texas." So mand for the substance of the charge.

events in Texas." So much for the substance of the charge.

Mr. Gallatin discusses the question of etuquette, as this finally
descends to be, with more zeal and unction, than would have been sected from a colaborer with Jefferson and Madison in the publican vineyard. He says that treaties of peace are always negotiated by commissioners, appointed for that special purpose. negotiated by commissioners, appointed for that special purpose. If this were so, it would not touch the present case, for Mexico had then neither declared war, nor committed any act, necessarily leading to it. But it is not so, and Mr. Gallatin ought to have known it. He ought to have known that he was appointed an envoy-extraordinary and minister plenipotentiary with four other eminent citizens in 1814, to negotiate a treaty of peace with England. They are thus designated in the treaty itself; and I have and. They are thus designated in the treaty itsen, and I have actually seen the record of their commission in the Department of State. He ought to have known, that the very treaty that of peace between France and Great Britain in 1783, which he cited the state of t and asserts was negotiated by commissioners, was in fact negotiaand asserts was negotiated by commissioners, was in fact negotiated by the Duke of Manchester as "ambasador extraordinary and minister plenipotentiary," &c.; and that the preliminary tenty between the same powers was negotiated in 1762, by the Duke of Bedford, "minister plenipotenbary," and that in the flowers from our Congress, dated June 19, 1781, the persons appointed to negotiate a treaty of peace with England, are styled "ministers plenipotentiaries," &c.; and ho neght to have known, that modern history is filled with similar examples. But, sir, While the government has been assailed at home upon from all blanch by the publication of the letter of General Herrera, from all blanch by the publication of the letter of General Herrera,

written on the 25th of August last, in answer to an application from Santa Anna inviting him to act as a commissioner to treat for peace with the United States. "For no other act than showing, that there would be no obstacle to his, (Mr. Slidell's,) presenting himself, and having his propositions heard, my administration was calumniated in the most atrocious manner—for this alone, the revolution, which displaced me from the command vector foot." I need add nothing to this declaration of the I atrocious manner-for this act set on foot." I need add nothing to this declaration of the Ex-President of Mexico. I leave the question between Mr. Gallatin and General Herrera.

and General Herrera.

The other objection which I have classed as an episode is, that of the two alternative propositions, which the President was authorized to offer to Texas, he chose the one, which committed the United States to admit her into the Union without any specific provision, that her boundaries should be established, subject to the

control of the general government. In the first place, sir, the present administration was not then power, and is not responsible for the choice of the mode of produce. The offer was made by Mr. Tyler before the expiration of his term of service.

In the second place, the power of decision was purely discretionary, and if the President was wrong in selecting that alternative. Congress was wrong in giving him the power to do so.

In the third place, a reasonable discretion must necessarily exist

in Congress on this subject, and if that body is clearly satisfied that Texas claims more than she has any right to claim. I see nothing in the act of annexation, which would compel the government to involve the country in an unjust war to defend an unjust claim.

In the fourth place, the honorable Senator must know, that by no other mode could the annexation of Texas have been consummated, as there was no probability, I may almost add possibility, mated, as there was no protosumery! May aimost and possibility, that any treaty for that purpose would be rathled by the Senate. A constitutional majority of two-thirds could not have been obtained. The law itself passed this body, but by a bare majority of one It was annexation under the law, and without the concurrence of the treaty-making power of the Senate, or it was not annexation at all. Mr. President, a few remarks upon another topic, and I will

or resident, a few remarks upon another topic, and I will cease to trespass upon the indulgence of the Senate. It has been said in England, and in the United States, and per-haps in Mexico, though not so bitterly I think, that our armies in t country have committed terrible cruelties, unworthy of us, and of the age, and which should call upon us the condemnation of the world. And the great journal of England, the proclaimer of English moderation and philauthropy, has said that—

"The cruclies perpetrated by Hernando Cortez, on his first expedition to Mexico have been surpassed in harbruty and heartlessness, by the heror—commanders of the model Republic. If deepotom can be symboliced by a knowl. American oppilishing immung the represented by a gallows, and from the same spirit of histonic heraldry, which would inducte Fronte republicanism by a gaillotne, "or a gaillotne," and

I wish I could give the date of this article, for I should like to fix its exact chronology; but I cannot, as I cut it out of one of the American papers, and have since lost the reference.

Mr. President, I listened with equal pleasure and interest, a few days since, to the remarks of the Senator from New York, (Mr. Dix.) To his statesmanlike views, expressed with equal clear ness of thought and felicity of language. But there was nothing which better become his position or ours, than his exposition of the principles of the British government, when contrasted with its prossions-its eternal process of aggrandizement, and its ete claim to moderation. And I was the more struck with his illus-tration of the subject, because it corresponded with some observa tions I had the honor to submit to the Senate, on the same subject tions I had the honor to submit to the Senate, on the same subject at the last session of Congress. I was then met by the Roman war cry from the honorable Senator, [Mr. Webster,] Delendeest Carthlago, as though the mere reference to historical facts, announced a spirit of vengeance, unbecoming us and our country. Mr. President, spirit of vengeance, unbecoming us and our country. Mr. President, there is nothing to be gained by soft words, on such occasions as these. They may turn away private wrath, but they never yet turned away public envy and jealousy. Let us look our accusers and their accusations full in the lace. "I thank God," said the Pharitheir accusations full in the face. "I thank God see of old," that I am not as other men are." We thank God says the public opinion of England, that we are not like other na-tions, and least of all, like that great grasping mobocracy of the western hemisphere, which is seizing and annexing the territory of its neighbors! And this is said with as much stern gravity, as of its neighborsl though the coast of England bounded her possessions, and as though there were a rack, or islet, or islend, or continent, she did not covet, and coveting did not seek, and seeking, did not strive to obtain, with a strong hand, if she thought her hands strong enough to obtain it. In the whole history of national reproofs, and na-tional professions, there is nothing like this—that Eughand should cast the first stone against other antions, for a spirit of coaquest and aggrandizement!

and aggrandizement! That great paper, the Times, great in its circulation and in its influence, is the existing exponent of English sentiment. It does not make, but ministers to public opinion. It does not guide, but influences it. It caters for the national appetite, but it dislates are prepared for the public taxet, and not the rates for the dislates. Every calumny upon us, every star upon our morals, and sneer at our manners finds open ears to admit them, and willing hearts to receive them. For myself, sir, I am tired of all this, and I think Mr. Walsh some years ago, rendered an acceptable service to the enuse of train and of his country, by the publication of his work upon this very subject, and I wish some particle American would bring it down to the present day, and exhibit in all its glaring contrast, the difference between English practice and English profes-

sions. I am tired also of the eternal cant about the Anglo Saxon race, as though that were the only stock, from which virtue and intelligence could spring. As though our own population were homogeneous, and descended from the English family alone; while we know it has bevied contributions upon all the nations of the earth; upon France, and Holland, and Spain, and Germany, and Sweden and Norway, to a large amount, and upon Ireland, op-pressed and downtrodden Ireland, to a much larger; and all those contributions soon become fused together, loosing traits, and forming the American people, with a character of their own, and I think with pride enough to assert their identity, and to treat the Anglo-Saxon race, as they treat all other races, in questions of national comity, as friends or enemies, depending on the prevalent sentiments, with which they themselves are regarded.

Mr. HALE, (in his seat.) and Africa toe?

Mr. CASS .- Let us go on with our own race! An eye for an eye, and a tooth for a tooth, said the Jewish law-giver. The rule is a harsh one, and I would not adopt it, even in repelling national calumnies. But with England, I would do better. I would let her own history condemn ber, when she asserts her

would let inclow inkey companie bet, when she assets as superiority over the other nations of the earth.

In the year 1756, a war broke out letween the English, and the Nabolo of Bengal. The English general, Clive, entered into a server correspondence with the commander of the Nabol's forces. A rich Indian banker, named Omichand, was a principal agent in the arrangement of this affair, and he was to receive a large sum of money for his services. In conformity with the stipulations, the commander abandoned his master upon the field of battle, and was elevated by the English to the vacant throne. Omichund de-manded more than Clive was willing to grant, but fearing that he might betray the secret. the English general determined to deceive him. And he did so. I quote from an English historian :

"Omichund's interests were to be protected by a special clause in the treaty. "Omichand's interests were to be protected by a special clause in the treaty. Two treaties were disavan my; one written on white pager, contained no freecase to Orms chause; another written upon red pager, contained all the adjustment of the white clause; another written upon red pager, contained and the adjustment of the white clause is the same of the pager of t

was reunousee, and Meet-Allier tere aloes to its junce.

"The sequel of the tile is melanically,
"Intal document was proloced in white. Omichinud became agitated, and said "this
is a winter one;" and interest years." Circ woolly replied, "yes, but this
is a winter one;" and the second of the sec

This was in fact the foundation of the great empire of England in Hisdostan. Many an English moralist has visited this process of acquisition, the only one perhaps of the kind in all history, with that indignant reprobation which costs nothing but well turned periods. From that time the English government has been the sovereign of Bengal. But where is the Englishman, moralist, or states man, writer or politician, who has ever proposed to surrender this territory, acquired by forgery, or to redeem the national character from the charge of participating in the crime, by rejecting the benfrom the charge of participating in the erime, by rejecting the benefit it brought with it? So much for coats of arms. I commend this incident to the Herald's college. But to return to the charges of cruelty which have been made against our army in Mexico.—No one believes them, or has repeated them. We are all equally free from that reproach. But there have been many allusions, ex free from that reproach. But there have been many allusions, exaggerated ones it appears to me, to the terrible calamities which our war has infleted upon the Mexican people, and which elsewhere might be quoted as corroborative proof of the alledged misconduct of our soldiers. I would not stop to quarrel with mere fugures of rhetoric, nor would I apply any severe canons of criticism to extemporaneous debates like ours, where much is said in the leat of discussion that our cooler judgment does not approve,

and said too in stronger language than we design to use.

In illustration of these remarks, I will read a short extract from a speech of an honorable Senator upon this floor, who in all the qualities of head and heart, that give worth and emi or in private life, is inferior to none of his associates: worth and eminence in public

or in private life, is inferior to none of his associates:

"W. blace of an intention to strike ourseed Mexico a get more with pointer—we do not stream." We shift of the expeditions to go as. Before the Mexicon blood by we do not stream. We shift the expeditions to go as. Before the Mexicon blood of yet go mone the fields of Plab Alte, Rescarde la Palma, Monterey and Bleman Vosa, Vera Cura s hombarded. Her chareles. Bill under the duedhal ann of the mortane blood of low comes and challers mus in a thream through the before general and her should be severed an expedition of the stream of the stream

"Fig., as-siz, near, it is not to. Shain high midded, just and honorable. Show evicine, the saves, he is retinered among and dutitata, These essens are in the even during and mortal properties of the saves of the dark min to be justified, because necessary to our honor, and forced upon us in winder of the saves of the save and forced upon us in winder of the saves of the saves and the save an

"These scenes," says the honorable Senator, "are in the eyes of God and man to be justified, because necessary to our honor, and

God and man to be justified, because necessary to our monot, and forced upon us in vindication of our violated rights.?

Mr. President, we have a far better justification than beligerent necessity for our conduct in Mexico, and that is, that these seenes as described did not and could not occur there. The colors are too as described did not and could not occur there. dark, and the picture not true to nature. And no one, somer than the Senator himself, will be rejoiced at an opportunity of correcting any misapprehension to which the strength of his language may

have exposed his meaning.

Now, sir, I put it to the honorable Senator and to the Senate, whether such vivid descriptions as this, are not calculated to do us injury in the eyes of the world? Whether they will not be trans-ferred to the other hemisphere, and swell the catalogue of calumterred to the other nemisphere, and swent the extraogree of continues which into the continues the manufacture of the continues the continues the subject, and I am perfectly satisfied, that the evils which the progress of our arms has indirect upon Mexico are far less than ever before attended the operations of a hostile army. It would be folly to deep with the work of the continues the cont favorable circumstances, where even the discipline of an invading army is the sternest; the disposition of the commander and of the officers the best; and the measures to repress unnecessary vio-lence the promptest and the most efficient. But I religiously be-lieve that the injuries we have committed in Mexico, and I have taken some trouble to ascertain the truth, have been less, far less than ever followed in the train of any army that ever went forth to fothan ever followed in the train of any army that ever went forth to foreign war. I have run my eye over several pages of history, ensorily indeed, but earefully enough for my purpose, to ascertain what has been the conduct of other nations in similar circumstances, and how far they have earried their forhearance, either in the exciting operations of a campaign, or after victory had erowned their exertions. I have not omitted, in this search, a glance at the military history of Engiand, whence the first stone is always thrown at us, and who, guiltless herself of ambition and oppression, is the self-constituted judge, I was about to say, but I conreet myself by say ng, t e condemner of this country, past, pres-

ent, and to come.

And now what says the record of human wars? I have collect ed, Mr. President, from the records of history, several instances of the extreme crucities and sufferings which have attended warfare of the extreme crueities and sinterings when take attended warrare from the period described by the Jewish historian when they took all his cities at that time, and utterly destroyed the men, and the women and the little ones; of every city they left none to remain, down to the last continental war which ravaged Europe. I shall

content myself with a brief reference to some of them.

Louis the XIVth laid waste the Palatinate, and men, women and children were driven in a severe season out of their habitations and emigrar when the fields, and to perish of hunger and cold; to wander about the fields, and to perish of hunger and cold; while they belied their houses reduced to ashes, their goods seized, and their possession planged by the rapacious solicity. At the siegad Seized, At the siegad of the production of the siegad for the siegad for

trians, but were compelled by the Prussians to return in order that an increasing famine might force their enemies to a more speedy surrender. More than one hundred thousand bombs and remote shot were thrown into the city, and upwards of nine hundred houses reduced to ashes.

In the invasion of Prussia by the Russians in 1765, they hung innocent inhabitants from the trees, tore out their hearts and their intestines, ripped open their bodies, cut off their noses and cars, broke their legs, fired yillages and hamlets, formed a circle round the burning houses, and drove back their fleeing inmates into the

flames.

All flames is a simple of the french army in 1757, says "the country is plundered and laid waste for thirty lengues around us, as if fire from Heaven had fallen upon it. Our soldiers plundered, murdered, and committed all sorts of abominations."

dered, and committed all sorts of abominations."

The history of the English sieges in Span and Portugal, contain terrible narratives of human suffering. I will merely quote the remarks of Col. Napier, the historian of Wellingtion's campaigns, upon the capture of San Sebastian. "This storm," says, when the capture of the storm of the storm of the containt of the storm of the containt of the storm of the s which would have samed the most terroids battarians of anni-quity. At Cuidad Rodrigo, intoxication and plunder had been the principal object; at Badajoz, Inst and murder were joined to rapine and drinkenness; but at San Sehastian, the direct, the most revolting eruelty was added to the catalogue of crimes. One

must revolting emery was assect to the catalogue or emissions attrouting this charge is seventeen was the victim, staggers the mind by its enormous, incredible, indescribable barbarity. So much for an English siege. Let another passage describe the progress of an English army. "On this occasion," says Col. Napier, that is on the first days march of the English army from Madrid, "there was no want of provisions, no hardships to exasperate the men, and yet I, the author of this history, counted on the first day's march from Madrid seventeen bodies of murdered peas-

Such is war in the old world. God forbid that horrors like these should accompany its progress in the new! No man who has the slightest knowledge of the American character can believe that such

atrocities have ever been committed by our troops. Where are the arrotties have ever need committed by our troops. Where are the burning cities behind ms. The desert country before us abandoned burning cities behind ms. The desert country before us abandoned marking at the same time our power and our cruelty? We ean say it in a spirit of truth and net of national vanity, that such seenes have no place where our armies march. Though my convictions out his subject are as strong as convictions can well be, yet I have not hesitated to fortify them with all the information I could procure here. I have inquired of many gallant officers who have visited us what has been the conduct of our troops in Mexico, have visited us what has been the conduct of our troops in Mexica, and I have received but one answer and that expressed in the strongest terms, that no men could have behaved better under the circumstances in which they were placed. Such is the testimody of General Quitman, of General Shields, of General Pierce, of Colonel Harney, Colonel Garland, Colonel Morgan, and others. I name these names because they are known to the whole country. and those who bear them have also borne distinguished parts in our operations in Mexico, and have been in the best situation to ascer-tain the truth. They have authorized me thus publicly to appeal to their testimony, and I believe I understood from all of them that they were not aware of an instance of private assassination by an American soldier in Mcxico. Officences against persons are almost unknown, and the Mcxicans themselves find and acknowledge this unknown, and the Mexicans themselves find and acknowledge this foreign armed government better and more equal than their own, which it has replaced. Gen. Pierce informed me he did not believe that in the march from Vera Cruz to Pneblad admanges to the amount of five dollars were committed and left unpaid by his column of twenty-five hundred men. General Quitinan was the military governor of Mexico, and well acquainted therefore with its internal police, and he says our soldiery is as regular there as in one of our own eities. General Shields in a note to me on this subject says:

"In reniy to your enquines, touching the general conduct of our troops in Mexico, I can lairfly state, that in my opinion, our army has been more distinguished for mag-nanimity and humanity, than even for bravery. In Mexico this has been freely ac-knowledged on all oeva-storis, both by foreign residents and natives. No other army, it is admitted, ever behaved so well ander similar circumstatenes."

is admitted, ever centure as were auser and accommendation.

Colonel Garlands any s:

If it is remely possible that the army which manebed from Vera Cruz and entered
the enty of Meron on trumph could have been gailly of any outrage upon monfinding
the various town occupied by our troops was uniformly paid for the gradies. Many of
the nost respectable includitions have remarked to use that they full greater security,
tanh for their persons and property, which their town were overpised by American
troops than they had fountly engaged for a quitter of a country.

hath for their persons and properly, whilst their lowes were overgred by American tomor than they all formerly employed for a quiter of a censity.

\*\*Coloned Morgan in a note also says:

\*\*Charpopole they have a your disposal after facts which fully refacts the charges of the censes of our country, whether node at home or alread:

\*\*Charpopole they greater cause the lower of the tenses solder, in the least of hattle, kneel of a colone and a co

These are bright testimonials. Honors thus won and worn by our gallant citizens are dearer to their countrymen than the glo-rious exertions of the battle field or the victories that have crowned them.

Incidents, like these described by Colonel Morgan, have been Incidents, like these described by Colonel Morgan, have neen related to me by other officers, and they better illustrate the present topic than any panegyric, however warm, or any de-scription, however graphic. A Mexican horseman rides over the battle field thrusting his lance through the helpless woundthe battle field thrusting his lance through the helpless wounded, gleaning, with savage ferocity, in the harvest where the Great Reaper himself had passed and spared; while the American soldier in the same seene of carnage stoops down, and raising his prostrate loe, pours the contents of his canteen into his processible in faming spirit to bless the generous remaining the procession of the first procession of the significant of the state of the two armies, and I commend it to all who doubt the ratios of the two armies, and I commend it to all who doubt the bunnarity of the American soldier, or the ergelty of the Mexican.

Mr. WEFETER Levelsting size was a superscript of the state of the second of the state of the state of the state of the second of the state of

Mr. WEBSTER .- I entertain no intention to discuss the general topics introduced in the speech of the honorable gentleman. On ral topics introduced in the speech of the honorable gentleman. On one point only, I wish to say a few words, and that is with regard to the remarks which he made upon the speech of the honorable member from South Carolina, and some observations of my own, upon this assumed authority by the Executive of the United States, to levy and collect taxes in Mexico. Now, sir, when gentlemen of experience and character debate these grave questions, the first thing it to asserting what these mostions are not a consent these of ching is to a commence due to the great the set of thing is to a continuous are and to present them member are the thing is to a coording to their character, for discussion. The booreads of the form Michigan, species of the set Mr. CASS, (in his seat.) It is a right of war.

Mr. WEBSTER.—It is no such thing. It is neither an act nor a right of war, necording to the law of nations. He calls it a contribution. It is no contribution. It is a legislative act, and when the honorable member quoted those portions of the United States Constitution which he thought applicable to the case, he might without impropriety, have quoted another passage, which says—that all legislative power is vested in the Senate and Honse of Representatives. Now, it exactly comes to this: is the establishment of a code of enstoms in Mexico an act of lwar, or deriven from war, or an act of legislation? Why clearly it is the latter. I want to know how the President of the United States, can over the contribution of the

Mr. CASS .- I do.

Mr. WEBSTER.—Well, then, I think he ealls things by names which have no more relation to them than black has to white. It is not a contribution at all.

Mr. FOOTE.—I would ask the honorable gentleman whether he conceives it to be the duty of the government of the United States to protect the revenue officers of Mexico in the collection of duties; or should their proceedings have been superseded by proceedings of a similar kind on the part of the United States? What would be have done in the case?

Mr. WEBSTER.—Just exactly what Congress in its discretion should think fit to do. What, I say, is, that it is an exercise of legislative power, and no exercise of military power. If there is any analogy between that and the ease mentioned by the honorable gentleman of the marshals of the French army levying contributions as they marched from city to city, flagrante bello at the head of their process, id on ounderstand the logic—I do not understand that train of legal mind which can perceive analogies in an army marches through an enemy's country, had a start process which, as it appears to me, are entirely dissimilar. At to have the right of supporting itself by one to exercise it or not, to absolute right of war, provide property, and what is contribution Why, it is a substitute for the law of pillage, the practice of plunder. When an army approaches a city, the commander of that army sake so much support—so many thousand crowns—such and such provisions; he says he will take them by the strong hand unless the authorities compound by giving so much money, in consideration of which he will forbear the exercise of that military right.

the control of the co

Mr. CALHOUN.—I rise to make a very few remarks. When I addressed the Senate yestroday, in reply to the question what shall we do if the treaty is not ratified, I answered—take possession of the country which is ecoed to us by that instrument, occupy it, and defend it. The worthy Senator from Michigan says he is not much difference between us. I am at a loss to understand why he cannot understand it. It appears to me to be one of the plainest propositions in the world. He has hunted up a thousand magnary difficulties that never did eviat, and never can exist, in order to make good his case. Does he wish to know how the carried out I run the line celed to us, as is supposed, by the treaty was the boundary which Texts claimed as ragainst Mexico. Now, does not every man know, that for seven long years Texts held possession of that frontier without a single invasion on the part of Mexico, and that at a time when Texts had not more than three or four companies of regulars altogether? Now, six, if Texts could hold that into then, is there any difficulty with Texas in doing it, now that she has doubled her population, and is hacked by the whole of the United States? And yet the worthy Senator from Michigan cannot understand it? It is inaposable that he, can understand it? Stain, as to California, he

is, if possible, more at a loss with regard to that. His first great difficulty is our occupying the Gulf of California. If the Senator will remember, the fine that I proposed passed through the whole extent of the Gulf of California, and if he will look at the map he will find it is a very bread expanse of water. He will lind that it covers a very large portion of California. He will look at the statistics of Mexico, he will find that she has not a single armed vessel. Now, what I proposed was, that a few armed vessels—one or two steamers among them—occupiing to our hear portion of the line, and yet the Senator could not understand it! It is, as I understand, with him, a sort of metaphysical idea! Now, as to the residue of that line. The whole length of it is about four or five bundred miles from the bead of the gulf to the Paso Del Norte. That is all that remains to be defended. Well, the whole of the country covered by that line is inhabited by Indian tribes, so powerful that there is no fear of Mexico invading it. They invade Mexico. They are too powerful for here may be some protection necessary against the Indians. Now we know that California is so far remote from Mexico, the difficulty of approach is so great; that remote from designment will be needed there—that the Americans now there, together with the natives who are well affected towards us and to defended it against Mexico forever, with the aid of a few vessels in the Gulf of California.

Now I venture to present, what no doubt will appear to the Se-Now I venture to present, what no doout win appear to the Se-nator a very bold proposition: the cost would be vastly less to fall back and occupy the country without the treaty, than to occupy it under the treaty. I beg the especial attention of the Senator. Under the treaty—I may speak of what every one knows perfectly well-a large mass of Indians is thrown on our side of the line, and from the necessity of the ease, we shall be compelled to defend Mexico against these Indians; or, if we should not, and Mexico should have force enough, she will have the right to pass over and attack these Indians within our line, to which we could not submit. For that purpose, then, we will be obliged to establish a line of military posts along the whole length of the Gila. from the Paso del Norte to the head of the Gulf of California. But it the Paso dei Norte to the head of the Gon or Canhorma. But it would require a larger and more expensive force to occupy this long line of posts, so as to defend Mexico against the Indians than would be necessary to occupy and defend the country against the Mexicans themselves. The reason is obvious. The Golf of California JUCACIONIS HIGHERICA, IN PERSON IS DOVIGOUS. THE CORI OF CARHOFTHIS (as I have stated) will cover, with a few vessels, the whole of the settled part of California; and the Indians occupy the whole intervening country between the head of the guff, and the Paso Del Norte, which would effectually cover us, from the possibility of an attack on that part of the line, from the Mexicans. Nor would it be necessary to have any considerable force to protect us against the levels of the the levels. ir love of plunder, lexico. Thus the the Indians, as their hostility to Mexico, and the would direct their warfare exclusively against Mexico. long line, of which the Senator spoke, of fifteen hundred miles, could to its whole extent, from the ocean to the Paso Del Norte, be defended by a small force, and at an inconsiderable expenditure if held without the treaty. The only remaining part that might require protection would be from the Paso to the ocean, along the quire protection would be from the Paso to the ceean, along the Rie Del Norte; and we know from the experience of Texas how little that will probably cost. Now, if we add to this difference in the cost of the c in the cost of defending the country without the treaty, and of defending under the treaty, the large sum of fifteen or twenty millions of dollars, which will be saved if Mexico refuses to ratify the treaty, there can be no doubt but we will be great gainers in a pecuniary point of view, if she should refuse to ratify. But I understand the drift of the Senator's remarks in this par-

But I understand the drift of the Senator's remarks in this particular. He and I entertain directly opposite opinions as to what should be done, in case the treaty should not be ratified. He is in that event for a vigorous prosecution of the war, and innea, in order to lores the country upon that ultimatum, he was not exceeded and the control of the country upon that ultimatum, he was not exceeded and the control of the country upon that ultimatum, he was not exceeded and the control of the country upon the control of the country and bence the repeated that control of policy, almost from the connecement of the war, and hence the repeated assaults of a similar character, which have been incessantly made on that which I have maintained, and with the same view, by those who support the policy maintained by the Senator; "victorous presecution of the war." That day is gone. You cannot vitalize the policy. It is buried. The country would consider it the greatest misfortme that could beful us, if we were to reopen and renew the Mexican war. The tide of public opinion is running with irresettible force against \$\pi\$. It is buried. The country would consider with its present the force of the country and the country and defoul it. The treaty should not be ratified, it is plain that we must keep possession of the country and defoul it.

the country and defoud it.

Every Ecnator can speak as to himself and his votes during secret session. I voted for the travty and I supported it. But did
1 do that because I regarded it as proferable to the course which I
indicated at the commencement of this and last session? No, sir,
not at all. I did it for two reasons: in the first place, I was anx-

ious to terminate this war on any reasonable ground, and was de-termined to avail myself of the earliest opportunity of terminating fi, for I hold it to be pregnant of evil of the most dangerous cha-racter, if it continues. In the next place, it is the natural way of terminating hostilities between nations; and many of my friends whom I see around new ill testify that I have declared for the last three or four weeks that I was in favor of allowing the administra-tion reasonable time to make a treaty. But at the same time I tion reasonable time to make a treaty. But at the same time I was not ignorant of the many advantages of a defensive line. And again, I take this opportunity to say that so far as my voice is concerned, I wish it now to be established, as I hope it will be by the radification of the treaty, that we never shall take by an aggressive war one foot of territory by conquest. We pay by the treather full white—more than the full white—as errored, for it is worse than the full whole as for as we was reconcerned and I useless to her, and the full value as far as we are concerned, and I

useless to her, and the full value as far as we are concerned, and I rejoice it is so. I wish to square accounts liberally and justly with Mexico, and we have done so, and hence my desire that Mexico shall ratify this treaty and receive this money.

These are my views. As to the other remarks which the Senator was pleased to make with re gard to my speech of yesterday. I pass them by without a comment, except as a register of the resident to exhibit the state of the senator of the tion which I assumed yesterday, all donbt would be dispelled. know that the gentlemain is deeply versed in the principles of law—of great intelligence—enpable of investigating questions of this character. I expected when he rose, that he would meet the points which were presented—that he would attempt to show their full-lacy, and exhibit the true principles which ought to govern us in this case, if min were false. I was disappointed. As fer as I understood the Senator—and if I be in error I hope the properties of the declaration of were he as the president of the properties of the declaration of were has an unlimited power in Mexico. Am I right? know that the gentleman is deeply versed in the principles of law-of ration of war, has an unlimited power in Mexico. Am I right?

Mr. CASS .- Unlimited, except by the restrictions imposed by

Mr. CALHOUN.—Well, then, the law of nations does not prome the answer ?

Mr. CASS .- Is that one of the incidents of the war-making

Mr. CALHOUN .- I repeat it. Can be establish an order of nobility?

Mr. CASS .- I would not give much for the patents of nobility. Mr. CALHOUN .- Cau he, then, establish an order of nobles?

Mr. CASS .- Without going into any detail, I may state that http://www.ninous.going.inu.asy.going.inu.as or may not be done.

Mr. CALHOUN.—I did not intend this as an irrelevant or impertainent question, and I must regard the Senator's roissal to deny as an admission on his part that the President has the power. Incied, it followed necessarily from the principle laid down by him. It would indeed he an important power in the hands of the President to bring and subject a conquered country under his arbitrary rule. The Senator acknowledges that the power is a very danger-us one. It is indeed at angerous power if it he as unlimited as he contends for. Can he create a field-marshal in Mexico? The Senator will not donbt that, if the President could raise an army there—can he create a field-marshal? I hold it to be the most most proposition ever uttered in the Senate, what conquer-Mr. CALHOUN .- I did not intend this as an irrelevant or immonstrous proposition ever uttered in the Senate, that conquermonstrous proposition ever uttered in the Senate, that confluen-ing such a country as Mexico, the President can humself be a despotic ruler without the slightest limitation, on his power. It all this be true, war is indeed dangerous! If that he the fact, we ought never to engage in a war of conquest. If that be the fact, we there are double reasons for the ratification of the treaty, or fleeing

the country.

There is a tendency in all parties, when they have been for a long time in possession of power, to be disposed to augment it. It has been the fortune of the popular party in this country to hold possession of the government for a great length of time, and it is no more than human nature, that the effect of that long-continued tenure should be the creation of the fonders of power, that a necessarily diminishes the love of indicate and the continue that a continue that is the continue that the continue that is the continue that it that necessarily diminishes the love of liberty. This love of power leads men to strike at those provisions of the constitution which restrict power. I believe that the popular party in this country have resisted this tendency for a great length of time to a considerable extent; but it is impossible for any man who reads the early history of that party, not to be impressed with the conviction that it has departed from the principles which then characterized it. The declaration of the chairman of the Committee on Military Alliars this evening proves a great departure beyond all the properties of the chairman of the committee of the control of the chairman of the committee of the control of the chairman of the committee of the chairman of as commander-in-chief of the army in Mexico, has no restrictions

30TH CONG .- 1ST SESSION-No 47.

on his power but those imposed by the law of nations, and I suppose the gentleman would add, the principles of morality.

Mr. CASS .- After the Senator has concluded I may say what I did declare.

Mr. CALHOUN .- I will gladly hear the Senator now.

Mr. CASS .- When the honorable Senator from South Carolina has finished-

Mr. CALHOUN .- Then I shall finish now.

Mr. CASS .- What I maintain is, that the commander-in-chief and the generals under him have a right to do any act of war justified by the law of nations, and it belongs to every officer of army, from a general down to a corporal. I went at large into the question in the remarks which I had the honor to make to day. The course taken in Mexico has been fully justified by the practhe course taken in Mexico has been may justified by the lines ties of war in all ages. Whether the contribution be in east or in kind, the principle is the same. One word as to the line which the honorable Senator has laid down. I have presented the objections tion monorame semanor has that down. I have presented the objections to it which, to my judgment, are decisive, and I need not repeat them. No public opinion in the world could permit such a thing as the establishment of a line behind which an operating tuning as the establishment of a line beauth which an operating army must retire. If you are at war with an enemy, you cannot stop upon a given line. In the case of Texas the enemy was pursued, and whenever you follow the enemy beyond the line, the project is abandoned.

Mr. CALHOUN .- But the Senator puts the question : How can I justify the army in performing any act not authorized ex-pressly by law? I take the ground that the army may do under the President, the commander-in-chief, any thing that properly bethe president, the commander incenter, any timing that properly in-longs to him in that character. Now the extent is not defined; it is governed by the exigencies of war. I believe I use the very terms employed in the elementary works upon this subject. But these acts must relate to war and not to conquered country. Now, if you mean that an army in operation can seize provisions of every description, means of transportation, and so on, I never denied it; but if you mean to say that after the country is conquered, the commander in chief may levy either taxes or contributions, I deny the doctrine altogether.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi.—The Senator from Louisiana, [Mr. Johnson,] has expressed his conviction of the certainty of an immediate peace, and on that he based his argument in presenting his motion to recommit the bill with instructions. I have just received a letter from Mexico which certainly does not encourage me in the prospect of peace. This letter states that the road from Vera Cruz to Mexico is infested by guerilleros, and that a party for Orizaba had been attacked by them and been compelled party for Orizand and need a stageou by used and been competited to return to Vera Cruz. Though reported that the Mexicans had been dispersed, yet the American party left their dead on the field, and all their property fell into the hands of the guerilleros. Those reports which we have had of Santa Anna asking his pass-ports and leaving the country, are all protext. Instead of leaving the country it is said that he is now recruiting his forces and looks to future operations. Perhaps he is raising nothing more than an memery that peace is not his object. I beg to say to the bosons also Semator from South Carolian that that party in Mexico, to which be alludes as being neither unfriendly nor inimical to us, is the party on which Santa Anna is falling back for support in his hos-tile movements—the party of Puros, which invited him to return to Mexico, as the canny of monarchical government, in order to

to Mexico, as the enemy of monarcanear government, in order to overthrow Parceles. I cannot, for myself, approve of any such policy as that spoken of by the Sentor from South Carolina, nor can I at all conceive why he should regard the ratising of this additional force in the light of mere braggadocio. We propose to raise it for the moral effect which it may produce on Mexico. We may with great pro-prove pass this produce of Mexico. We may will great pro-prove pass that the produce willingly, we will correct a peace. But that gallant army which has performed so many glorious deeds is well-western gayar. The yellow fever has a uncared in Nera rapidly wasting away. The yellow fever has appeared in Vera Cruz, and our troops are dying in the interior of other diseases. The volunteers are becoming daily more and more dissatisfied with the service; and, in my opinion, the spirit of the contract under which they entered the service justifies their discharge as soon as active hostilities ceased. They entered for the war, but they believed that on the cessation of active hostilities they would be discharged. Already the question is mooted whether, if there can be war without a declaration of war, there may not be peace without a treaty.

But the honorable Senator from South Carolina not only directs

But the honorable Senator from South Carolina not only directs his attention to the preson measure, which he reproduces as more largegradeoio, but guess back to an old subject—the removal of the energy to the banks of the Rio Grande. He says:

"The whole affairs is nor own hands. Whether the treaty fals or not, westill have tecom-lete control if we are with westom and firmans, and avoid what I detect above all things, a system of memore or bravalo, in the management of our negotiate above all things, a system of memore or bravalo, in the management of our negotiate is a way with Eagland about Orgon. It was only prevented by the was-down and firmaces of this body. It was recorded to mor necotiations with M-vice, and the march of the amy under General Taylor to the Reo Grande, was by in amended to an in the case of Orgon, and this war was the consequence."

Now, the President has clearly the right to move the army of the United States into any portion of its territory.

Mr. CALHOUN-(in his seat.)-Certainly not into disputed territory.

Mr. DAVIS.—The Senator says that the President has not the right to move the army into any disputed territory. When we annexed Texas, we left this boundary question open for negotiation, and the proposed proposed to be prepared to the prepared to t

Mr. CALHOUN.—The answer is obvious. The Gulf of Mexico is the common property of all nations. It is not disputed. But though we had a right to lay off Vera Cruz, we had not the right to coter the harbor of Vera Cruz.

Mr. DAVIS.—Was it not the gentleman's own order to make a naval demonstration against Vera Cruz.

Mr. CALHOUN.—I have no knowledge of such an order.— Will the Senator permit me to notice another point? He iodicated that the President had a right to march the army into any disputed territory. Am I right?

Mr. DAVIS .- I do not consider it disputed territory.

Mr. CALIIOUN.—However the Senator may consider it, the resolutions annexing? Texas to this Union, expressly admit the country to the east of the del Norte, to a certain extent, to be disputed territory; by providing that the boundary between the deal Maxico, which was the construction of the control of th

that there should be any question on these points.

It may be proper to add, that the power of the President and
the Senate, is so rigidly restricted to negotiating and making treaties, that although they may make, they have no authority to set
aside a treary when it is violated by the opposite party. That
power belongs not even to the judiciary, but to Congress; of which
there is a remarkable instance in reference to the treaty made between France and the Cuied States, during the war of the Revolation. That treaty was so outrageously violated by France during her revolutionary struggle, that it became necessary on our
part, to disown any further obligation under it, and that was
made by a joint resolution of Congress, declaring it to be null
and void. This precedent has never been questioned. It shows
that the power was restricted within the limits I have assigned.

that the power was restricted within the limits I have assigned. Assuming these views to be correct, I put the question to the Senator, how could the President on his own authority order driven and the President on his own authority order driven and the President on his own authority of the Control of the state even driven and the president of the president of the president of the president of the president had no more right to order the army to march into the dispatcle territory than he had to order it to march into Mexico. I might appeal the whole history of our country in reference to this point, for the truth of this position. There are many cases that bear upon it. Among others I might either are many cases that bear upon it. Among others I might either are many cases that bear upon it. Among others I might either a list known to all the least conversant with our history, that Great Britain, after the treaty of peace, held on, not only to Detroit, which was near the frontier, and then in the woods, but to Fort Stanwix, now Rome, in the very heart of the state of New Yark, from '83 to '94, without any attempt on the part of Gen. Washington to disturb her possession. He never dream of attacking either, without and without ny attempt on the part of Gen. Washington to disturb her possession.

he had, there was no one at that day that would not have considered it as a flagrant violation of the constitution. To this I may add, we had a question of disputed boundary in Maine, arising out of the treat yof 1793, while it remained open under all administrations down to a very late period of that of Mr. Tyter's. Yet there was not any attempt whatever on the part of the United States to assert by force their right to the disputed territory. Sir, I never heard a man dare denial of the principle, auterior to this war with Mexico, that the Exceutive, on his own authority, had no right to march the army into disputed territory.

Mr. WESTCOTT.—I beg to remind the Senator that Mr. Jefferson and Mr. Madison seized upon the country west of the Mississinni

Mr. CALHOUN.—Oh! that was a trifling case. You could cover the whole country with a blanket!

Mr. DAVIS.—I repeat, that I cannot perceive on what grounds the Senator will justify the orders sending the navy to the Gulf of Mexico, whilst we were negotiating the annexation of Texas, and yet deny that after annexation was completed—

Mr. CALHOUN.—They were issued when Congress was in session. If any attack had been necessary, application would have been made to Congress for authority.

Mr. DAVIS.—The whole case is matter of record, and we know as well as the actors in it, that our navy did stand off, and on the coast look into the Mexican harbors, to keep our government advised of any hostile movements, and be prepared to act if necessary, for the protection of Texas. For like purpose, of large portion of our rainy was to constitute of Texas. The Senator from South Catolina, then Secretary of State, communicated to the Texan government this disposition of our land and naval forces, and amounced it to be the purpose of the President, as a duty under the then existing circumstances, to use all his constitutional power to protect Texas from foreign invasion. If the whole power to grant the protection thus offered, consisted in asking for authority by an act of Congress, it was a promise likely to be filled with hipe deferred. In view of the delays which would probably have attended the passage of such an act, what justification can there be for so early a movement of the army and navy to the immediate proximity of anticipated operations? Does the Sentor deny the power of the President to order the army into any part of the United States?

Mr. CALHOUN.—He has no right to order it into disputed ter-

Mr. DAVIS.—What! shall a foreign power dispute our territorial limits—refuse to settle the boundary by negotiation—seize, by force, territory rightfully ours, and our Executive stand powerless by and see the enemy gain the advantage of eccupying all the commanding positions our monor power, to select their order tunity and dispute our boundary. At any time, during the recess of Congress, according to the Senator's general position, the territory thus disputed could be seized with entire safety.—Upon the question of the northeastern boundary, to which the Senator alluded, my recollections are different from his. I think by both the Committee on Military Alfairs and by the Committee on the power of the Executive to use the military force of the context of the power of the Executive to use the military force of the context of the power of the Executive to use the military force of the context of the power of the Executive to use the military force of the context of the power of the Executive to use the military force of the context of the power of the Executive to use the military force of the context of the Executive to the power of the Executive to the American Thomas and we failed by all the power of the Executive to the Executive of the Executi

Mexico claimed the whole of Texas. In the controversy on the part of Mexico the question was not whether the Nucces or the Rio Grande was the boundary, but whether Texas was a part of the United States or not. Upon the part of the United States that question was closed, forever closed; before her army was ordered question was closed, forever closed; before nor army was ordered into the territory of Texas, nothing was open but the adjustment of boundary. This was sought by negotiation with Mexico, and our advances were insultingly repelled. That the boundary of revolutionary Texas was the Kio Grande—at least the lower part of -has been too often and too conclusively demonstrated that the mass bear a passing notice. Without adverting to the mass of evidence which has been presented here on other occasions, I will refer only to that on which I mainly rely. After the battle of San Jacinto, and when Santa Anna was a prisoner in the hands of the Texans, General Filisola, commanding the Mexican army, wrote to his government, communicating the fact of President Santa Anna's capture, and giving the saddest account of the condition of the troops under his command. The President ad interim replied, and gave the General authority to do whatever should be necessary to procure the release of the captive President and to save his troops and munitions of war. These results were obtained by treaty. General Filisola was one of the parties to that obtained by treaty. General Filsola was one of the parties to that treaty, and the consideration given to Texas for the vast benefits thus secured, was the recognition of the Rio Grande as a boundary, and the immediate withdrawal of all Mexican troops beyond it. It is true this treaty was never formally ratified by Mexico, but having obtained the full benefit of all its stipulations, I submit whether the moral obligation was not complete henceforth and forever to recognize the Rio Grande as the true boundary. That is the only argument on which I have ever found it necessary to rest

Not being a lawyer, I will not attempt to discuss a legal ques tion with the eminent jurist on the other side of the chamber, [Mr. Webster,] but cannot forbear from expressing my surprise at Western our cannot be connected from expressing my surprise at the view which he, in connection with the distinguished Senator on this side of the chamber, [Mr. Calhorn,] takes of the legitimate rights of our army when invading a foreign country. They would restrain our army from the moment it enters a hostile country, so as to prevent it from availing itself of any of the public lunds—they would restrict it to such contributions as they might wring from the citizens. Now, one of the evidences of the advancement of civilization in the conduct of war has been seen in that very procedure on the part of an army which these distinguished Senators condemn. Instead of wringing from poverty-from the agricul condenie: Instead or winging from piverty—from the agrane-tural citizen the means of maintenance, our army have seized only upon the public resources of the country, and have this illustrated the intelligence, chivalry, and humanity of the American people. The Senators contend that legislation is necessary to appro-priate the public revenues of Mexico to the maintenance of our

st they admit the right to seize private property for its use. Sir, I had thought our war was waged against the general government of Mexico, and that our policy was as far as possible to reduce the peaceful population from the ordinary sufferings of war. Sir, I am at a loss to conceive how we could properly le late upon a country which had not been conquered—for a peop late upon a country which had not been conquered—for a people in open war against us—or how the laws, if emacted, could be pro-perly executed under such circumstances? The foreign govern-ment must have been displaced by our arms; before there is span-for our legislation and judicial departments to flow in, and the the lawgiver can be heard. The constitution of the United States makes provision for the organization and maintenance of our army and navy, and for calling out the militia by legislative enactments and makes the conduction of the command of register of concentration may, and the militia, when called into service. Congress declared that war existed. It passed laws for raising men and money. The President, as commander—helical assumed the command of the army; and, as has been stated by the Senator from Michigan, from that moment all the rights which aptor from Michigan, from that moment all the rights which ap-portain to a state of war, attached to the army. The exer-cise of legislative rights only follows when Congress takes posesse or registative rights only follows when Congress takes pos-session of a conquered country. Up to that point nothing but the power of the Executive department flows in. The power be-longs not to the President merely, but to the Executive depart-ment, and without orders from the President, every officer in the ment, and without orders from the President, every other in the army could exercise it. The right is conferred by war, and the only difference between the action of our army and that of any oth-er, has consisted in this, that ours has demanded less and taken nothing by force. It has not committed pillage. The government opposed to us has been deprived of power, and consistent of the proposed to the proposed to the proposed to the consistence of which list was sustained naturally flowed to the termy transfer of the proposed to the propo ties—in collecting taxes, they have collected but a portion of the revenue which would have flowed to the Mexican government if it had not been displaced by our arms. Both could not exist gether. Such is the plain common sense view of the matter. gether. Such is the plain common sense view of the matter. The legal view I must leave to others. The honorable Senator from South Carolina fears that if the President exercise this power, im-South caronia reast that it the President exercise his power, in mense abuses may follow—that armies may be raised and treaties may be made with other countries; and that, he says, would be in violation of the constitution of the United States. The constitution of the United States. violation of the constitution of the United States. The constitu-tion of the United States is a temple gradually extending itself, and covering aere after aere, state after state, spanning rivers and mountains, but not yet gone to foreign lands. It is still limited to the United States. It cannot be violated in Moxico. It does not extend to Mexico; and God forbid it ever should! It is the constitution of our own Union and our own people, and none but territory annexed to our Union can claim to be under that constitution. If the President has violated the constitution in the If the President has violated the constitution, in the with the law which declared the war and authorized him to prosecute it, giving him men and money for that purpose. Until that be shown, the President cannot bave violated any provision of the Until that constitution in Mexico.

But the main purpose for which I rose, sir, was to speak of the effect of the passage of this bill in Mexico. We had inform from a special agent sent to Mexico in 1844 that he had We had information menced preliminaries and had the prospect of a settlement by negotiation, of all the difficulties then pending. On the fourth day after the negotiation had been opened two celebrated letters pubafter the negotiation had been opened two celebrated letters published in that year reached Mexico. One dated at Raleigh and the other at Lindenwold. On the arrival of these letters, forwarded, it is said, by the Mexican minister at Washington city, the negotiation was immediately suspended. Again, Mexico probably intended to enter into a negotiation for the settlement of the questions then in dispute when Mr. Black received intimation, in the terms so often referred to here, of a received intimation, in the terms so often referred to here, of a willingues on the part of Mexico to receive a commissioner; though I lad and the relation of the lad and the term comployed, meaning one commissioned, enpowered to settle the questions in dispate. Now, they may have mean to other questions in dispate. Now, they may have mean to other questions from Michigan remarked, they sought refuge in the sat the Senator from Michigan remarked, they sought refuge in the subterfugo of the distinction between the terms "minister" and "commissioner," and thus evaded the obligation of the contract "commissioner," and thus evaded the obligation of into which they had voluntarily entered. And why? into which they had voluntarily eitered. And why? Because at that time a centroversy had arisen with regard to the boundary in Oregon. The Mexicans then clierashed the hope that there would be war between this country and England, and that with the latter as an ally they would be able to regain Texas. The old hope was thus revived. They refused to enter into negotiations. And now if they have their hopes revived again with the prospect of a relissal here to supply men and money to prosecute the war, they will again reject negotiations in the expectation that a new admin-istration may come into power in the United States more favorable

istration may come mro power or use three control is to them. If we change the policy which we have heretofore parsued there can be no doubt that they will refuse to rathly the treaty. In our untercourse with Mexico, if we have erred, it has been in undue consideration and insplaced denience. For a long term of years we have borne national insult, and left unredressed the personal outrages, and pecuniary injuries done to our citizens by M We have passed unnoticed the offences repeatedly offered in their official correspondence, it was the strong rendered patient, with the captiousness of the weak, by the consciousness of his ability to punish. This course so long observed by our government, has surely not been departed from by the present adminis-

tration.

I cannot conceive, sir, how the President could have exhibited greater forbearance towards Mexico. He sent out a minister to treat with her on the first intimation of any desire on her part to treat with ner on the first intimation of any agents on net part to enter into a negotiation for the purpose of restoring amicable relations. Acting in the forbearing and friendly spirit of the power, who had taken that infant republic by the hand when it first essayed to walk, we studiously avoided collision. Collision, lowever, from the causes to which I have alluded, became at last inevitable. Yet it is gravely asserted that the Bernard of the the state of the s termined to extend the territory of the United States to the Rio Grande—"peaceably if he could—forcibly if he must." Alost cor-tainly not to extend the territory of the United States, but to setthe the question of boundary; and had we been the aggressive party, as it has been alleged—had we been reckless of the feelparty, as it has been alleged—had we been reckless of the feel-ings, rights and interests of Mexico, we certainly never should have incorporated a provision in the terms of annexation, securing to us the right of settling the limits of Texas—that was done to guard against the possibility of a collision with Mexico; we did not adopt the extreme claims of Texas, but reserved to ourselves the right to settle the question of boundary. Nothing could have been done more indicative of the friendly spirit which we entertained towards Mexico.

At this late hour I certainly shall not attempt to enlarge, but I must take occasion to say that I do not think that Mexico is about to cede any territory to the United States—I think that we are about to retrocede territory to Mexico. I hold that in a just war we conquered a larger portion of Mexico, and that to it we have a title which has been regarded as valid ever since man existed in a social condition—the title of conquest. It seems to me that the question now is how much we shall keep, how much we shall give up, and that Mexico cedes nothing.

Mr. WEBSTER was understood to inquire if that view was in accordance with the terms of the paper.

Mr. DAVIS.—I have seen papers in English and Spanish, and I think in none was the term cede employed. As a moralist I would not undertake to defend the seizure of country from the inhabitants; but the question was settled long before the oldest member of the Senate entered it. These very Mexican people settled it. when they conquered the ancient Aztecs. If they had the right to take the territory from that people who did not cultivate it, the argument is equally good against them now. They produce little to that which the country is capable of yielding, and year by year the amount is steadily decreasing. The country is going to waste—

villages are depopulated-fields once highly productive in all that nature in her hounty yielded to the industry of man, now lie un-cultivated, and marked only by the remains of the irrigatory ditches by which they were formerly watered. The exuberant wealth of Mexico once flowed out to sustain the American colonies of Spain, the governments of Louisiana and Florida, received contributions the governments of Louisiana and Florida, received contributions from her. Turn now and contemplate the change which the difference of government has wrought, and tell me whether all the arguments of utilitarianism and of humanity, may not be now more successfully applied to the Mexican, than by them against the

Aztee popultiaon?

The Senator says this war is "odious." Odious! Odious for what? The Scenator says this war is "odious," Odious! Odious for what? On account of the skill and gallantry with while it has been conducted? or is it because of the humanity, the morality, the magnimous elemency which has marked it sevention? Odious! Why in any newspaper which I take up, I find notices of large assemblages of the people gathered together to do honor to the remains of some dead soldier brought back from Mexico; or around the festive board to great the return of some gallant member of the army. The conductors of the press without distriction of events events the biddest approach to the conduct of the of party, express the highest approbation of the conduct of the army. Where is the odium? What portion of our population is infected with it? From what cause does it arrise? It cannot be infected with it? on account of the origin of the war, the extraordinary unanimity with which it was declared by both houses of Congress, the cagerness with which our citizens pressed to the service, forbid that conclusion. A long and unbroken snecession of victories, has satiated the public appetite for military triumph—there may be a surfeit, for more has been offered than needed for a feast—an over anxiety for immediate peace is the natural result, with this I sympathize, beyond this I am not prepared to believe the popular feeling of the country extends.

have cause to be proud of the record this war will leave behind it—a monument more lasting than brass. We, the actors of to-day, must soon crumble to dust, the institutions we now mainmin and hope will be perpetual, may pass away, the republic may sink in the occan of time, and the tide of human events roll un-broken over its grave; but the events of this war will live in the histobroken over its grave; but the events of this war will live in the listic yr of our country and our race, affording in all ages to come proof of the high state of evilization amongst the people who conducted it—proof of the intelligence which pervaled the rank and file who fought its battles—proof of the resources of such a government as ours, wholly unenburrased in the midst of wor, conquer-ing one nation and feeding another—Wheree, sir, are the eviden-ces of evil brought upon us by this "odious" war f Where can you point to any inroad upon our prosperity, public, or private, undestrals, commercial, or danaelid, which can be. In any degree, attributed to the prosecution of this war. All that is yet to be

shown, and I confidently await the issue.

Mr. WESTCOTT then addressed the Senate in favor of the bill, on the ground that in his judgment, there was not a reasonable expectation of a treaty of peace. This speech is given in the Appendix.

Mr. BUTLER.—As I perceive that the Senate is determined to refuse to modify the bill—for they have already decided by quite a large majority, that these troops shall be raised—I have nothing a large majority, that these troops shall be taised—I lave horning lurther to say in regard to that matter, beyond this one remark. I wish the Senate distinctly to understand, that if this bill pass the other House, it will not be passed as a bill for organizing ten regi-ments, with the complement of officers which belongs to such a force. The simple proposition that I made was, to add thirty men to each company, or three hundred to each regiment of the prosent army. It has not been the pleasure of the Senate to adopt my amendment to recommit the bill, and I ask the Senate to take no tree, that that will be the form in which the troops will be raised. The action of the Senate itself, will have the effect of influencing the House to engralt such an amendment.

Mr. CLAYTON .- I desire the attention of the Senate for a short time, although but for the remarks of the honorable Senator from Michigan, I should hardly have thought it necessary to trouble the Senate any farther. But before I proceed to reply to that Senator, I must be allowed to say, that I was forcibly by the remarks of the honorable Senator from Mississippi, (Mr. Davis.) with regard to the conduct of Mexico, since this armistice was proclaimed. It is shown that there is an armistice existing, which is to last at least two months in order to afford time for negotiations. In this state of the case, the Senator from Mississippi says, that he has received a letter from a friend whom he fully accredits, in Mexico, stating the fact which we have seen announced in the public prints, that the country between Mexico and vera Cruz, is fined with guerniers, and that though the armistice has been proclaimed, the war still rages. Sir, this fact is a pregnant one. It proves to us what I have sta-ted before, that that government has not the power to enforce obedience to its will. No one doubts, that they who have nego-tated, have acted in good faith, and that they are desirous of re-straining these guernieros, and that so far as they have the power straining these guerneros, and that so has as they have the power they will do so, but it is impossible for them to restrain them, and what is the inference? Why, that whether the trenty is ratified or not, when your army returns, your peace will not be a secure one. It seems to me that these heats show us irresistibly, that the conclusion of the honorable Senator from South Carolina, is the correct one, that the only mode of obtaining a permanent peace is to withdraw to a line and defend it. We can defend it as well

without a treaty as with it. If there is no government in Mexico without a treaty as with it. It there is no ger-which can compel these guerrilleros to obey, what are we to do? Would it not have been far better, if we had taken the advice of the bonorable Senator, long ago? We may be compelled to do so the honorable Sentior, long ago: We may be compened to do so, yet. In that case, there is no doubt we have troops enough already in Mexico for the defence of the line, though perhaps not enough for in access for the decinee of the fine, though permaps not enough for "overrunning the whole country, enpluring every town and province, and keeping the government in perpetual motion." It will be violent from the report of the Adjutant General, that we have treops enough there to keep this line, whileh seems to be our inevitable existing in the end, if the information of the honorable Senaturable with the contraction of the con tor from Mississippi be correct.

Now, a word to the honorable Senator from Michigan. • urse of my remarks some time ago, to which the honorable Sen-ator has replied to-day, I did say, that I thought he put him-self in the position of the champion of the administration, but I did not use the term in an offensive sense. He has this day proved himself the champion, the very Ajax Telemon, of his party. The us that the whole course of the government, in the conduct of this war was impregnable; that if it were attacked, the assault could be easily met and as easily repelled. I noticed this remark of the honorable Senator at the time, and to avoid all mistake, I quoted from his speech verbatim et literatim, in his heaving. I drew the inference and so said, that the champion of the administration bud thrown his gauntlet at our feet, that he had defied us to show a single instance, in which the conduct of the administration was not imprognable. Sir. 1, as one of the humblest of the Senators defied. dared to take the gauntlet up, and proceeded to direct his attention to a set of facts, calling for his defence. I referred to the conduct of Santa Anna, as the murderer of our people at Goliad and the Alamo. This, I think, was of itself enough to satisfyMr. Polk, and every one of the character of that man. 1 then proceeded to ask the Senator from Michigan, why the President on the day on which this war commenced, admitted this same Santa Anna into Mexico by special order, with all his sins upon his head—the consequence of which admission has been, that we have been compelled to fight thousands and tens of thousands of Mexicans, who never would have rallied under any other leader. And now, as the honorable Senator from Mississippi said to-night, this same Santa Anna, instead of leaving Mexico, is rallying his hands, same Sauta Anna, instead of leaving Mexico, is rallying his bands, not only of generilleros, but of regular forces, for another attack upon us. And if this information be correct, there is no reason on ur part to believe, so long as he remains in Mexico, there will be any permanent peace. Who, then, is to blame for all this? The administration; the President. And I called the honorable Senator from Michigan, to defend them from this charge, if their conduct was impregnable, and so casily defended. Well, what has he done? Why he tells me to-day, in a speech which he made closing the delate upon this bill, that I have done him wrong in calling him the champion of the administration; and instead of exonerating the President from this charge, the champion is totally silent on the President from this charge, the champion is totally silent on the subject. We have heard some dozen speeches on that side of the chamber in defence of the Executive, and though we are told that the conduct of the administration is impregnable and easily defended, not one of the gentiumen who have spoken has condescended to notice the matter at all. The Senator from Michigan Says he is not the champon. Now, I appeal to the Senate if he did not, in effect, blow a trumpet to invite an antagonist into the field, and when I met him there, if he did not I ay down his arms and beat a retreat ?

Again, I charged upon the administration that they caused the troops to be withdrawn from General Taylor whilst on the eve of the battle of Buena Vista, and when Santa Anna stood in his front with twenty thousand of the best troops of Mexico, leaving him with twenty thousand of the best troops of Atexaco, leaving him barely about a quarter of the number opposed to him. I also adverted to the failure to supply General Scott with troops while at Phebla, though he repeatedly entreated troops and munitions of war, so that finally that great captain was obliged to enter the eity of Mexico with less than six thousand nen. True, he, like General Taylor, was successful on all occusions against fearful odds; yet I arraign the conduct of the administration for exposing both these Generals to the embarrassments with which they obliged to contend by reason of these acts, whilst a contrary course would have saved the lives of thousands of our people. But to

all this there has been no reply.

There was another subject on which the honorable Senator did the honor to offer a reply to some remarks of mine. I had said me the nonor to omer a reply to some remarks of time. I may sain in the course of the debate, that the President has, in his annual mes-sage, distinctly announced a new position; that he had attempted to negotiate, and had stated his ultimatum which had been refused, and that nothing remained but to conquer Mexico. All know that I was the advocate of supplies to the army. I voted them on all occasions, but when the President took up this position and avowed occasions, but were the research took up this position and avowed that his object was conquest, I said I would go no farther, and I stated some cases to justify myself in this determination. Amongst other things, I put the case of a man who had money due him, who met his debtor on the high way and demanded of him, presenting, at the same time, a pistol to his breast, that he should surrender to him a deed of his farm though it was worth far more Satisface to million the debt. This I designated by the term which any body would apply to the transaction. The honorable Scantor dees million the deap the analogy or the justice of the view which I took in reference to private individuals. I know that he would be the last man who would undertake to justify such an attempt to despoil and who would undertake to justify such an attempt to despoil his neighbor.

Mr. FOOTE .- Will the honorable Senator allow me to say that I understood the Senator from Michigan as denying the analogy.

Mr. CLAYTON .- The Senator from Michigan is present ; he can answer for himself.

Mr. CASS .- I did say, the honorable Senator will recollect, that I considered the analogy to be false—I use the term logically and not in its ethical sense. I said analogies were dangerous things.

Mr. CLAYTON.—Well, "analogies are dangerous things." Let us see now how the matter stands, and wherein this analogy is false. The President said that he was constrained to give up the lates. In President said that he was conservation order to compel them 40 sup a trenty cedling to us New Mexico and California. The Mexicans owed us money for certain claims held by our citizens, the amount of which was admitted to be vastly below the value of the territory demanded. Here, then, is the ease of a nation demanding a cession of territory which is worth much more than the debt due to that nation, and demandworm more more than the dept due to that nation, and demanding it at the month of the cannon—"coorcing" acquiescence, to use the language of the President. Now what is the reply of the Senator from Michigan? The only answer is, that nations are not like individuals that senator from artenigan: The only answer is, that nations are not like individuals, that there is no reasoning by analogy from the case which I have put of the individual, to that of a nation! Why not? The honorable Senator says because an individual is answerable to a tribunal or an umpire, and that a nation is not. Let us look a httle into this matter. The private individual does not commit highway robbery because forsooth there is a court which will punished. ish him, whilst a nation is responsible to no human tribunal—to no umpire, and therefore the analogy, as the honorable Senator says, is "false." Now is this true? Is it true that statesmen legislating for a nation are answerable to no tribunal? I thought there was such a thing as the law of nations—a code recognized by all the civilized world, by which the conduct of nations is governed—I thought that statesmen legislating for a nation were as much hound by rules of morality—were as much bound to observe integrity of conduct in acting for the nation as in acting individually. rily of conduct in acting for the nation as in acting marking and the conductivity of his private capacity, justifies it as the act of a nation! Is there no such thing to govern us as conscience when acting as public men? How will the honorable Senator satisfy his own heart when ment — How will the nonorance scenaror satisfy ans own near twich with charges him with co-operating with others in an act which will produce the result of lowering the character of a nation! If that heart should hereafter reproach him with injustice, and swell with remorse, he may follow the advice of the fool to Lear, "Cry to it nucle, as the cockney did to the cels, when she put them in to it uncle, as the cockney did to the cets, when she put them in the paste alive; she rapped 'em o' the exocombs with a sitek, and ery'd, 'down, wantons, down!'' He may stifle his conscience in that way, if he can.

Mr. President, I have felt warmly on this subject because the

honor of my country is as dear to me as my own individual honor. I would do nothing that was calculated to tarnish it; on the con-I would do nothing that was calculated to tarms it; on the contrary, I would do every thing to sustain that high character for integrity and morality which was sustained so nobly by the fathers of the republic. I regard that as of more value than would be all the wealth of the mines of Golconda, and all the military

glory which could be gained for centuries to come.

There are many other points to which I would be glad to advert, but the lateness of the hour, admonishes me that I should no longer trespass upon the patience of the Senate.

Mr. CASS.—I really was not aware, Mr. President, that the honorable Scantor from Delaware considered any remarks from me upon the subject of Santa Anna's return, as a matter of the placed that question, I thought, upon impregnable grounds. If he had not done so, the Senator from Delaware was as empable as any other person of showing the failure. This he has not done. I will observe, however, sir, for the consolation of the honorable Senator, and of all who have made the return of Sonta Anna a pesonator, and or all who have made the return of sonat Ahna a per-culiar cause of grief, that he has proved himself the best Mexican general for us that could possibly have been selected; and that if he had been nominated to the Senate, and we had forceeen the resee and used monimized to the sentice, and we man forescen they sailt, he would have been confirmed unanimously. He has been defeated in every battle he fought, lost every place he attempted to hold, and is now a wanderer, without power or influence, having seen his country overrun, its armies dispersed, its government a further senting the second of fugitive, and a large portion of its population reduced to subjec-tion. I do not believe that another Mexican general could have been found who would have lost more battles, abandoned more positions, or fled with more ignominies.

Mr. CLAYTON .- I only wish to say in reply to the gentleman Mr. CLAYTON.—I only wish to say in reply to the gentleman that Santa Anna has proved himself beyond all doubt to be the best General for them that the Mexicans could have had. The fact that he was defeated by such men as Taylor and Scott is no proof that he was not the best of the Mexican Generals. Sir, who clse could have ralled the thousands of Mexicans who marched under his banner! Who, since he has disappeared from the theater of action in Mexico, has been able to rally a sinfrom the theatre of section in dexico, has seen ange to rany a single regiment? No man. And now, when we hear to-night for the first time, that troops are again about to be raised, we find Santa Anna is the man who is marshalling them for battle. Sir, if he had not been admitted into Mexico no one can doubt or deny

that we could have marched to the capital without the sacrifice of thousands of lives which we have been compelled to undergo, he had not been admitted into Mexico, the battle of Buena V ne man not been admitted into societo, the partie of Duena Visita would not have been fought; and no other man could have raised the army which met us at Cerro Gordo—at Molino del Rey—at Chepultepee—and at the gates of Mexico itself. Sir, upon the head of the President of the United States falls justly the blame of this act. We have heard much of aiding and abetting the Mexicans, of moral treason, and of giving aid and confirm to the en-my, but if a private individual had done that which the President acknowledges he has done in a public capacity, how would be have been called to answer it?

Mr. FOOTE.—May I ask a question? Does the honorable Seator mean to say that the President intended to give aid and comfort to the enemy?

Mr. CLAYTON.—Not at all! I say that having done this et he has, as Talleyrand would have said, been "guilty of a blunder that is greater than a crime."

Mr. DOUGLAS .- I dislike to detain the Senate at this late hour; but since the Schatter from Delaware has re-opened the whole debate by his grave charges, I will say a few words in

Mr. CLAYTON .- I spoke at the earliest moment I could get the floor

Mr. DOUGLAS .- I have no doubt of it, and I too speak at the earliest moment I can get an opportunity, and I no speaked the this debate shall not be terminated without a refutation of the grave charges which the Senator from Delaware has preferred against the administration. I ask in what sense the admission of S ata Anna was improper? Was not every Mexican then out of Mex-ico freely admitted into that country if he desired to return! You had a blockade against the admission of munitions of war and merchana blockade against the admission of munitions of war and merchan-dize, but had you may blocked against individuals? Every Mex-ican, Frenchman, or Spanjard, and citizen of every narion upon earth was permitted to enter that country. Will the Senator take the position, that whilst every other individuals belonging to the Mexican nation was permitted to re-enter Mexics, Sana Ama-should not have been permitted in some properties of the from Messissipper and the properties of the properties of the from Messissipper was and the command their armies. And followed have the men they wanted to command their armies. Any General they chose to select that we might fight their best men. prefer that we can say we defeated their best General in every field, and that they cannot now say that had Santa Anna been there we could not have done it.

But. sir, there is another reason why I fully justify that act. I believe that it was not a blunder, but one of the wisest and most politic measures that has been adopted by the administration in the points measures in this war. I know had not the time has not come for prosecution of this war. I know that the time has not come for the development of all the facts, but enough has been disclosed convince me of the policy of that act. We all know that Paredes was then at the head of the Mexican government, and that he or tained that position in consequence of an acoved bostility to the United States; that he represented the monarchical party, and that his success would have been the success of monarchical principles They had thrust Herrera out for no other reason, than that he desired to make peace with us. We know also, from the best in-formation, that Parodes was at the head of an army raised by himsell—an army which he was able to pay every week, or every day, if necessary, in British gold—when at the same time he himday, if necessary, in British gold—when at the same time he hum-self was known to be a bonkrupt without a dollar. We know, upon the authority of British newspapers, that it was announced that the admission of Santa Anna, and the expulsion of Paredus, was the esteppel of a great movement in Mexico. We all re-cellect the tirrade of abose poured out in the Times and the Journal des Debats, of London and Paris, against Santa Anna, for vescenting the governments of this average. preventing the consummation of this movement. What was this preventing the consummation of this movement. What was this movement? It was, as I have said, got up for the purpose of establishing a monarchy in Mexico. Whether Santa Anna was there or not, there was to be war. If Paredes had continued at the head of affairs, you would have been engaged in a war backed by Europe; if Santa Anna was elevated to the chief authority, you would have a war under the direction of a man who could look for no sympathy beyond the limits of Mexico. There was the difference. The time will come, when the veil will he removed, and it will then be seen that the admission of Santa Anna was one of the wisest movements in the whole conduct of the war

A word in relation to another charge brought against the administration, which is, the withdrawing the lorees from General Taylor just before the battle of Buena Vista. Does the Senator expect that that charge will go forth to the world with our silent acquiescence? Does he not k-ow that the administration did not withdraw a man from General Taylor—that the troops were with drawn by General Scott himself, of his own volition, without any directions from the administration? The administration had no more to do with that measure than I had, if I am correctly informed. My recollection is distinct, that General Scott had discretionary power, and that this was his act, and not the act of the administration. Whenever any credit is to be claimed, the honorable Senator is ready enough to claim the credit for the generals employed in Mexico; but wherever blame is to be attached, he is

as ready to throw it upon the administration.

Again, sir, as to the charge of not supplying General Scott with
sufficient force. This is the first time that I have heard such a

complaint. I know of one fact in regard to that campaign, in complaint. I show one late in legal to that which, however, I ground no complaint, and it is this. General Scott discharged several thousand volunteers at Jalapa, long before their time of service expired, and thea proceeded to Perote and Puebla, with the remainder of his troops. I know that he sent home two regiments from my own State, months before their term of service expired; and I now find it certainly very difficult to comprehend how it is that General Scott would have sent back these troops, who had distinguished themselves in the field, if he was in need of them, and could not have got along without them.

was in need of them, and could not have got along without them. Now, a word or two upon this question of roblery. The honorable Senator from Delaware persevers in his attempt to fix upon the supporters of this war his old charge of roblery, and repeats the parallel he has drawn before between this and the case of high way robbery. This charge, let us received, is urged by a Senator who has voted for the war from the beginning. But seem to be every war negative the war from the beginning. The control of the cont make that discovery? Did he find it in the President's messaged II, so he had better examine the message again. The President informed us in his message of last session that he had taken possession of California and New Mexico, and recommended that provision be made for the establishment of civil government in the message asking for the appear of the manner of the property of the companion of the property of th better rice again to me increase a same three millions of dollars. Why did the President sek for that ap-propriation? He referred you to the case of the acquisition of Lomisiant, in which money was required to be paid in advance, and also to the case of the purchase of Florida, and after referring to these cases illustrative of the grounds upon which he reconmended the appropriation, he asked for three millions of dollars. Now, was not the demand of the Executive a clear notice of the Now, was not use demand of the Excentive a clear house of the intention of the administration to receive territory as a consequence of the prosecution of this war? and not only to receive territory, but to receive sufficient for indemnity, as well for the elams due to our citzens, as for all the expenses of the war? These facts to our CHIZENS, as for an une expenses of the warf. These facts were before us at the last session. Every Seniator knew them, and the war bills were voted for, at the last session, with a full knowledge of these facts. Still we are told that because it is proposed to receive territory as indemnity, therefore it is a war for aggression. I repeat that it has been well known—it a bas been aggression. I repeat that it has been well known—it has been proclaimed in both Houses from the beginning—that the war was to bring territory as compensation or indemnity. I undertake to say that I do not believe that there is a Senator on this iloor, nor say that I do not believe that there is a scientor of this mort, nor a human being within the sound of my voice, who did not know the fact that it was intended from the time the war commenced that territory was to be acquired. And yet, though we all knew this—though the President told us so—though he asked for money to pay the first instalment in compensation for the territory so acquired, yet the changes are still rung on the charge that it has recently become a war of conquest.

The war was forced upon us by Mexico. It was just on our part, aggressive on hers. We tried to avoid it and to settle the difficulty by negotiation. Mexico made the attack, we repelled dimenty by negoriation. An exact many the attack, we repended that attack and carried the war into the enemy's country, with a view of getting "indemnity for the past," and, if you please, "seenrity for the future"—seenrity that they never would commence war

upon us again.

# Mr. CLAYTON.-Did you get that security in the treaty?

Mr. DOUGLAS.—When the gentleman will show me a treaty of peace, I will examine it and tell him whether we have that security. But I am unable to comprehend upon what ground he afcurity. But I am unable to comprehend upon what ground he affirms the immortality of this war. Such a charge, coming from a gentleman who has supported it from the beginning—who voted for it—who did not vote for it ignorantly—who knew at the time the war was declared that the Alexicans had attacked a detrahement of our army, is, to say the least of it, very singular. The war was voted with a full knowledge of these facts. I have look war was voted with a mir knowledge of these mers. I have look-el, sir, into the delate—indeed, I was present at the time that vote was given—and I was not able to find a man who doubted the pro-priety of that deel-artion, provided that the attack upon our troops was an authorized one. The democratic Senators were asked to pusse in order to see whether the attack had been authorized by the Mexican government. And it was at that time that the hono-rable Senator from South Carolina drew his memorable and novel rante essatur nom sourn varonna arew ms memorable and mord distinction between hostilities and a state of wur. The objection to the preamble was, that it was doubtful whether war existed.— Still we are told that Senators have now found out for the first lime that it is a wur of conquest!

Mr. BAGBY .- I move that the Senate adjourn.

SEVERAL SENATORS.—Oh! I no.
Mr. BAGBY.—I will withdraw the motion provided this debate ceases; but I cannot consent to expose my health night after night by siting under the influence of this gas, listening to a debate which has been already exhausted.

Mr. CAMERON -I came here this morning determined to sit

PRESIDING OFFICER.—The question is on adjournment.

The question was then taken with the following result:

Majority against the motion, So the Senate refused to adjourn.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, then submitted the following motion :

"To recommit the bill to the Committee on Naval Affairs with instructions to amend it by inserting at the end thereof the following:

It by inserting a insertal network monocould.

"Provided, however, That the President shall not be authorized to nominate or appoint any officer, or to do any other act in the execution of this act, until it shall be satisfactorly a servicined that the pending negotiations with Mexico for securing a peace with that country, by treaty, have failed."

On this motion the yeas and nays were demanded, and were taken with the following result :

Yi A.S.—Myan, Badaw, Badawa, Bell, Bernen, Butler, Calhon, Ciake, Clayson, Grown, Guttenden, Davis, of Masschaestra, Sayton, Green, Glark, Johnson, of Lomenna, Mangum, Piedys, Underwood, Ljohan, and Webster,—39.
XAYS.—Messa, Allen, Ashley, Arkinon, Alterion, Bagley, Briston, Brothery, Arkinon, Charles, Bagley, Briston, Brothery, Charles, Char

The motion was therefore not agreed to; and the question recurred on the passage of the bill.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana .- Had the question been taken upon this bill hefore any proceedings took place upon the treaty, I should have voted for it: and had the amendment which I had the honor to offer to-day been adopted. I should have voted for it, but I think that after the occurrences which have recently taken place, the matter is totally changed. A treaty has been agreed place, the matter is totally changed. At these part is upon and a commissioner has been sent to Mexico, and we have upon and a commissioner has been sent to Mexico, and we have upon and the treaty will be ratified. These forces, reason to believe that the treaty will be ratified. These forces therefore, will never be called into the field. The amendment therefore, will never be called into the field. The amendment which I offered was intended to prevent the President from appointing these four or five hundred officers, and raising the troops until actually required to be called into the field, which may never until actually required to be caude into the least, winch may be with the case, and thereby to save a useless expense of two or three nillions of dollars. Under the present circumstances I cannot reconcile it with my sense of duty to vote for this bill.—

I have heretofore voted for all measures for the vigorous prose-

I have heretotore voted for an measures or the vigorous prose-cution of the war, but the aspect of affairs is now materially changed. I would still have voted for the bill, however, if my amendment had prevailed, or if I could have had an assurance from the chairman of the Committee on Military Affairs, that the President would not make these appointments until they were actually required. We have every reason to suppose that the treaty tually required. We have every reason to suppose that the treaty will be ratified, and besides that the power now exists to fill up the did regiments. I much regret the situation in which I am placed, in regard to this bill, but not having the assurances to which I have alluded, I cannot give my vote for its passage.

Mr. BUTLER .- I am very far from submitting to those who have made an arbitrary rule for deciding this matter without de-bate, and I now take leave to ask of the chairmain of the Commit-tee on Military Affairs, certain questions to which I expect to re-

Mr. CASS .- I do not feel disposed to answer questions.

Mr. BUTLER .- Well, if the Senator is not able to answer the

Mr. FOOTE.-I hope the honorable Senator will ask me the questions that he proposes to ask.

Mr. BUTLER .- I intend to ask them.

Mr. FOOTE .- If the Senator from Michigan does not, I will answer the questions for the Senator.

Mr. BUTLER.—I fear my friend will answer them too well. I ask the chairman of the committee on Military Affairs, whether it is the intention of the President, if this bill passes, immediately to appoint the officers?

Mr. CASS .- I have not the least objection to answer the question -I do not know.

Mr. BUTLER .- That is not a very definite answer!

Mr. FOOTE .- If the honorable Senator will allow me to an-MI. FOOLE.—It the nonorance schador win allow the 10 miswer him, I will remind him that the Senator from Ohio, on the day before yesterday, in anticipation of all such questions, declared that if there was a prospect of pence, the troops would not march, and if there was a prospect of war, they would.

Mr. BUTLER .- I am not answered yet. The Senator from Michigan knows nothing on the subject ?-

Mr. CASS.-I will observe that I sometime ago had a conversation with the President respecting the proposition to make this bill not absolute but discretionary; allowing him to determine whether the force should be raised or not. He thought the suggestion a proper one,

Mr. BUTLER .- I believe that the Senator has answered the inquiry in a kind spirit, although the answer does not altogether satisfy me of the propriety of passing this bill; I am willing, how-ever, to leave it with the House of Representatives to determine. But I must ask another question. Is it the intention of the Preppoint any general officers under this bill, as was done sident to appoint any gener under the bill of last year?

Mr. CASS.-This bill does not provide for them.

Mr. BUTLER .- I do not say they were appointed under the provisions of that bill, but there were general officers appointed: two brigadier generals and four major generals. I only inquire the opinion of the Senator as a military man.

suce

Mr. CASS .- The Senator is entirely welcome to my individual opinion.

Mr. BUTLER .- I ask the honorable Senator from Michigan, as the organ of the administration, whether it is the purpose to add other general officers to those already appointed, for the purpose of fulfilling what we must suppose to be the demands of the

Mr. CASS.-I do not know; but it is my private opinion that additional general officers will not be wanted.

Mr. BUTLER .- I believe the honorable Senator has answered He says he does not know whether it be the intention of the President to appoint these officers immediately or not.

Mr. FOOTE .- Will the honorable Senator indulge me a mo-I have had no confidential communication on the subject, yet I feel authorized to state one fact.

Mr BUTLER .- What is that?

Mr. FOOTE.—There was a meeting of the members of this body who are favorable to the passage of this bill, and the under-standing arrived at was that there was to be no appointments standing arrived at was that there was to be no appointments made unless there was, an actual necessity for the troops to be despatched to the field, and I do hope that that meeting, caucus, or whatever it may be called, will unite in enforcing upon the President this determination.

Mr. BUTLER.—These are assurances which in some measure relieve me from the apprehensious which I have entertained. 1 could have preferred however that the hill should have been recommitted, and a clause embracing such a provision introduced as a proviso to the bill. A majority here however has declined to rea provise to the bill. A majority here however has declined to re-commit the bill with a view to amend it, and we must therefore take it, I suppose, according to the provision it contains, and paiss it subject to the discretion of the President. There are contin-gences under which I would put these troops at the disposal of the President understatingly, for even if it be determined to with-draw the array to a line of defence, I am strongly inclined to the opinion that the number of troops that we have in Messica at preopinion that the number of troops that we have in Mexico at pre-sent is not sufficient. It appeared to me to be a criminal disc-gard of human life, to send only about ten thousand men under General Scott to invade an empire, with a population of eight millions. I though it criminal, sir, a rash rehance upon the gallantry, skill, and patriotism of our citizen soldiers, which no go-vernment on earth had a right to expect from its people. Success however has vindicated the course of the administration, success I repeat has vindicated the act, and averted the force of that indig-nation which would, had the result been otherwise, have deservedly fallen upon the administration. But whether that success depended on the wisdom of the measures which they devised, or upon the gallantry and intropidity of the officers and men who performed the work is a question which I leave to others to determine.

Mr. CLAYTON .- A few words in reply to the Senator from Illinois. He has undertaken to defend the conduct of the President in admitting Santa Anna into Mexico: first, upon an allegation of his own, that at that time, it was customary to admit Mexicans into Mexico without any restriction. Sir, this is new to me. I do not believe it. It is impossible that flagrante bello-after war was declared—there could have been a standing order for their a imission. Does the gentleman mean that

Mr. DOUGLAS .- I mean only what I said. I said not a word about orders; but I ask the gentleman to show me an order pro-hibiting the entrance of Mexicans into that country even after the bibling the entrance of Mexicans and that country even after the commencement of the war. What I said was, that there was a blockade against the introduction of munitions of war, merchandize, &c., but not against individuals; and I refer the gentleman to the fact that there was continual passing and repassing between Cuba and Mexico.

Mr. CLAYTON .- Well now, what answer is that? Why was pass given if every one had a right to enter the country? and a pass given it every ine had a right to enter the country? and whoever heard before that, flagrante bello, any and overy person might pass. Sir, the construction put upon the matter by the President was the right one; if it were necessary for Santa Anna to enter Mexico, it was necessary for the Secretary of the Navy to give him a pass.

man will recollect the great noise that was made The gentle by our government in consequence of Paredes being singled into Mexico in an English vessel. I do not choose to dwell upon this. But the gentleman says, and it is the first time I ever heard it, that the President did a most praiseworthy thing in passing Santa Anna into Mexico, because, at that time, Parcdes ing Santa Anna into Mexico, because, at that time, Parcides was at the head of a monarchical party, and that the admission of Santa Anna was the means of displacing him. Why, what manner of reasoning is that? Joh the President prefer to go to war with a republican party instead of a monarchical one? Do one with a republican party instead of a monarchical one? If Paredes was at the head of the monarchical party, he was the very man for us to put down. With regard to the employment of Brisish gold for the file of the party of the part and the fact had been shadown in the country it would take that the effect of uniting the people as one man. The President would have required notating the Mexicans to enable limit to procure the instant and unreserved co-operation of every man in the country. But to come to the real merits of the case. Who ever heard

before that Paredes would have been a more formidable autagonist than Santa Anna? Does any man suppose that forty such as Pa-redes could have railied as great a force as Santa Anna? He could collect thousands where others would fail to collect hundreds. collect thousands where others would fail to cellect hundreds. But I must advert briefly to one or two other points in reference to which the Senator from Illinois has spoken.—
I have complained that the troops under General Taylor's command were writhdrawn in the face of an enemy of four times their number, thus jeoparding his safety and compelling him to fight against vastly superior numbers. I complained that that rells me in reply that the administration. The gentleman tells me in reply that the administration is not censurable for this, but that General Secut is alone answerable. And in proof of his position the honorable Senator tells me that he has seen nothing in the public prints to justify the charge that the war department or the administration had done more than appoint General Secut genin this matter as he pleased. Now I imagine that if there was nothing more, if the administration gave him this general power, nonning move, it the administration government ageneral power, the administration is responsible for his acts. The honorable Senator ennot serven the administration by any ingenuity of his from censure, if censure is to fall any where, because General Scott, in pursuance of power expressly conterred upon him, or dereth the withdrawal. But I think that the honorable senator will find by reference to the documents that the facts are that the adviced senator is the property of the control of the con ministration distinctly understood before General Scott went to Mexico that he was to do this, and that it was indispensably necessary to his success in that great campaign which he was to cessary of his success in that great comparing which lie was to wage. Whore was he to get an army sufficient for the purpose which he had to accomplish? How could he have avoided doing this? Is it supposed that he was to go without these troops; was time idea entertained by the Secretary of War? No: I make no such accusation. And does any man doubt that the whole plan of the campaign was settled here? Does any man doubt that before Geograf Scott left Settled here: Does any man donot that before General Scott left this city it was perfectly understood that he was to receive from General Taylor the forces with which he was to capture Vera Cruz. I do not charge upon the administration the absurdity of ordering General Scott to take Vera Cruz without troops.

General Scott to take Vera Cruz without troops.
The honorable Senator also condescends to reply to another part
of my remarks. I have said that General Scott complained that the
was left is long time without sufficient troops at Puebla. This the
honorable Senator tells me, exanot be so, because General Scott discharged a great number of volunteers before their time of service
expired—th spriving that he had sufficient force to enable him to
take the city of Mexico without them. Now 1 before every
body knows the reason why he discharged these violunteers. They
were men without them, where the discharged these violunteers are the service bad nearly expired, and it would were men whose term of service had nearly expired, and it would have expired before they could possibly be made serviceable, and they had refused to re-enlist. He informs the government that he found it would be better under such circumstances, to save the trouble and expense of feeding them any longer, by allowing them to go home, but at that moment, their services and the services of many more were requiste in order to carry on the war with

Next the Senator from Illinois, tells me that I must stop com-Monay excellent would be a war of agreement mass stop com-cerning from the beautiful and the second of the second of the content for such he says. I voted for the var, and for suppress, for the war was begun. I thought that every body here, and the honorable Senator says he was present in the eliminer at the time the vote was given. I thought that every body who heard that vote, distinctly understood my position. We had debated the that vote, distinctly understood my position. We had debated the bill from day to day, denying the truth of the preamble, and insisting on striking out that which we did not believe. If the Senator wa bere, he heard us on this side implore Schators on the other side here, he heard us on this side implore Senators on the other side, to permit us to divide the bill from the proamble during the war, and heard, when at last the vote was given, many Senators give their votes in these words—'Axe, without the preamble." He must have known, also, that we not only denied the truth of the preamble, but all appealed to the justice and magnanimity of gentleman on the other side, to permit we distribute the preamble, but the side, the preamble which he was the side to the preamble of the side of the preamble which he was the side of the preamble which the side of the us to divide the hill so as to vote for the supplies, which we believed to be necessary to resente our army, without voting a propesition declaring war to exist by the act of Mexico which we di Under these circumstances it was, that we voted fifty not consider the manufacture of state and a supplier to exorce inty peril. But close not the honorable Senantor, if he was greaten, well remember that after our appeal to the other side, Senators on that side rose and said to us, "we agree that you shall protest against the preamble, and you may enter your protest upon the journal of the Senators and the scheme of the protection of the scheme of the schem nation the Senace—a any face, you shan on enderstood as voting for the supplies." The Senator from Missiouri, and the Senator from Mississippi, the lamented Mr. Serticht, came forward and pub-licly entered into this understanding with us Let the honora-ble Senator look back to the debate of that day, it will speak for itself, however loosely and lamely it may have been reported, as I recollect was the case with the remarks which I then made. In regard to supplies, I have always voted for them, and have differed in this respect from some of my friends on this side, whom I have endeavoured to induce to take the same view that I did. And oven now I would vote all necessary supplies. I am anxious to sustain the honor of the army as any man, but the new position to sustain the nonor of the army as any man, that are now proved which the President takes, and which the honorable Senator denies to be a new position, puts it out of my power to vote for this bill. The Senator says that the same position existed formerly. I am surprised! Why it was declared by the President on all former coessions that it was not the purpose of the administration to

make this a war of conquest. Had I not a right to believe this? But the gentleman says that the three million bill indicated con-quest. Sir, there is nothing like it, there is nothing about terri-tory in that bill; it is an appropriation of three millions of dollars, to effect a speedy and honorable peace; that is the language of the hill; it is not stated that the object was to acquire territory by conquest. No, sir, it was not announced until the commencement of this session that territory was to be acquired by any such means.

I regret the necessity for troubling the Senate so long, for I know that the patience of Senators is exhausted; but I desire now to make one remark upon the merits of the bill. We are now, if we pass this and the volunteer bill, to send thirty thousand men to Mexico, in addition to those already there; and this large army is to be raised during an armistice with a country with which we is to be raised during an armistice with a country with which we have been treating, towards whom we have been belding out an idea that we desire peace. What will be the effect of such a mea-sure upon them? What would be the effect upon any nation in Christendem, which, when negotating with another nation, should see that nation deliberately raising thirty thousand more troops. Would not such a raising of troops, pending an armistice, occasion the immediate breaking up of all negotiations. It would he looked upon as a deliberate insult; it would be regarded as an attempt to accomplish that by bravado-by menace-not be obtained by argument and fair discussion. which could

Mr. FOOTE.-I would ask whether it was not a whig Senator who declared that it was our duty to advance with the sword in one hand, and the olive branch in the other ?

Mr. CLAYTON .- The geotleman who made that declaration is well able to answer for himself. I thank the Senate for the pa-tience with which they have heard the remarks which I thought it necessary to submit

Mr. CRITTENDEN .- As the honorable Scuator from Mississippi has alfuded to me, I will, with the permission of the Senate, remark, that I did say that I believed this war was regarded as a lumentable and deplorable war, even by those who considered it justifiable and warrantable, and that I thought that it would be highly becoming in this republic to adopt a course characterized by magnanimity, and to offer, after every blow that might be struck, terms of peace. I never found fault with too much solicitude to make peace.

Mr. DOUGLAS .- The Senate need not be apprehensive that Mr. DOUGLAS.—The Senate need not be apprehensive that I shall detain them long. I wish merely to say, that it is true that the honorable Senator from Delaware protested against the preamble to the bill; but the debate shows, and I have read it recently, that the ground of the protest was, that they did not believe that war existed at all, it being doubtful whether Abecies had anthorized the attack which had been made by the Mexican troops upon our army

Mr. CLAYTON.-I beg leave to say, that the Senator is entirely mistaken, as he will find by inquiring of any Senator who was in this chamber at the time war was declared

Mr. DOUGLAS.—Well, I leave it there. Now in regard to General Seatt sending home the volunteers whom he disscharged, previous to the expiration of their period of service. I did not say that he had enough of force, for I do not know the fact; but I do know that he sent them book, and I know they refused to re-volunteer; and why? because they were required to re-volunteer for the war, instead of for the expedition to the city of Mexico. Now, in regard to withdrawing the troops from General Taylor, I the honorable Senator will not only find it difficult to night, but at any time hereafter, to show that it was the act of the administration, or that the administration ordered General Scott to withdraw On the contrary, General Scott being the superior officer in command, took the responsibility of withdrawing those troops. And now, as to the question where he was to get troops if he did

Before the battle of Monterey, General Taylor like 16,000 troops; of these he left at least twonot take those. Before the battle of Monterey, General Taylor had something like 16,000 troops; of these he left at least two-thirds inactive, whilst fie proceeded to eapture the town of Monterey with the remainder. General Secti went down to Mexico as commander in chief of the army; and the withdrawal of these troops was his act. If the gentleman supposed it would reflect any aftery upon General Scott, he would have attributed the act to him those its details. to him, there is no doubt. But here is the difficulty. General Section and General Taylor must both be praised and exalted at the expense of the administration. Their faults, if any there be, must be charged upon the administration, whilst the merit of ecedings in the prosecution of the war rest wholly with themse One general must be played off against the other for political purposes, and the administration be made responsible for their blunders, if there be any in this Presidential campaign! If gentlemen can succeed in this game, I have no doubt they will make considerable political capital.

Mr. UNDERWOOD .- I desire in justice to General Scott to state a fact, and to ask the Senator from Illinois if it be not so. If I am correctly informed, the time of service of these volunteers would have expired in a month and a half or thereabouts. the Senator know the time when these troops would have been discharged by the terms of their enlistment

Mr. DOUGLAS .- I do not know precisely, but I am under the impression that their time would have expired in July. I know this, however, that the men were willing to go on to the eity of Mexico.

Mr. CLAYTON .- What authority had General Scott to enlist these troops for six weeks, or till they entered Mexico

Mr. DOUGLAS .- None at all ; but the Senator will perceive Mr. DOUGLAS.—Aone at an i put the Senaut was persenve that my position remains altogether unnoteded. I have shown that General Seut, on his own authority, voluntarily discharged these troops at the very time when the Senator represents him as being compelled to weaken General Taylor's column in consu-quence of not receiving reinforcements from the War Department.

Mr. NILES.—I rise, sir, to thank the gentlemen who have occupied the attention of the Senare this evening. The subject is so entirely fresh and novel that I might have voted with a great deal of difficulty had it not been for the able arguments which we have heard this evening, by which I have been so much enlight-ened that I think I can now vote with a tolerably safe conscience! Now, if I receive an answer to one question which I desire to ask I shall be entirely satisfied. I do not know what Senator I had better ask ; perhaps the honorable Senator from Delaware will do as well as any other. well as any other. I enquire then, whether in his conscience believes it is the destiny of this country, ultimately, to swallow

Mr. CLAYTON, (joeularly.)-It depends upon what the people shall do at the Presidential election next fall will answer the Senator fully. Let him wait till that event.

Mr. CASS demanded the yeas and nays on the passage of the bill, which were ordered and taken, with the following result:

YEAS,—Alvees Allee, Ashley, Ashleya, Altienta, Bardy, Berlin, Brahluptz, Horeves, Bullet Cameron, Case, Dates, of Messegie Bardy, Berlin, Brahluptz, Brows, Eeleh, Fonte, Hannegan, Hunter, Johnson, of Georgia, Lewis, Mason, Jones, Weiser, Martin, Markey, Brahluptz, Georgia, Lewis, Mason, and Nicolard, Martin, Martin,

So it was

Resolved, That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesuid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein

On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

## SATURDAY, MARCH 18, 1848.

Mr. BREESE submitted an additional document in relation to the claim of Emeline Owens, which was referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

On motion by Mr. ASHLEY, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of Executive business, and, after a short time spent therein, the doors were again opened.

## IMPROVEMENT OF THE HARBOR AT PORT PONTCHARTRAIN.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to : Resolved, That the Committee on Commerce be instructed to inquire into the expedency of making an appropriation to improve the harbor at Port Pontebartram, in the State of Louisiana.

### THE HEIRS OF JOHN PAUL JONES.

Mr. BRADBURY called up the motion to re-consider the vote whereby the Senate concurred with the House in its amendments to the bill for the relief of the heirs of John Paul Jones, and said: I have been desired by Senators to withdraw the motion for re-I have been desired by Senators to withdraw the motion for re-consideration and I therefore propose to call attention to the bill, and then to take such course as shall be found in accordance with the general sense of the Senate. A bill similar in its provisions, passed both Houses of Congress at the last session, and the saled bit obsequence of being necidentally lost on the last night of the session, on its way from the desk of the Secretary to the room where the President was engaged in the approval of bills. It was not found until the next day, when it was too late to receive the signature of the President. This bill was introduced into the Scnsignature of the President. This bill was introduced into the Sen-ate during the first week of the present session, and passed without discussion or reference. It came back from the House by reason of amendments made in that body, not affecting the merits of the bill, which were adopted in concurrence, without notice or division. Since it has been before the Senate, I have examined with some attention the character of the claim. The first section of the bill makes provision for the adjustment of certain balances claimed by makes provision for the adjustment of certain Isalanees claimed by Commodor Jones, as due to him from the United States, which I think are equitably due, and ought therefore to be paid. The second section presents a different question. It provides for the payment for the prizes captured from the British by the fleet under the command of Commodors Jones, in 1779, and sent into Bergen —a neutral port, under the dominion of Denmark—which were taken from the captors by the orders of that government, and delivered up to the British authorities. Demand was made upon Demmark, and held of the captors, for compensation. That government, and the properties of th made satisfaction; nor has the claim over been assumed, cancelled, or abandoned by our government. And I submit that the precedent will be a dangerous one, for the United States to assume the dent will be a dangerous one, for the United States to assume the payment of the claims of its citizens upon foreign governments, be-fore satisfaction is obtained, or because they have not succeeded in obtaining it. This, in short, is my view of the subject, for I do not propose to discuss the questions involved. The bill ander con-sideration has passed the stage in which it is amendable. The second section cannot be reached without defeating the bill. I now propose to submit the disposal of the question to the Senate. If it is desired, I will ask a vote upon the motion to re-consider. If not—if it is, on the contrary, the general sense of the Senate, I will withdraw the motion.

Mr. CLAYTON expressed his strong disapprobation of the Mr. CLAYION expressed his strong disapprocation of the principles insolved in this hill; but under the extraordinary circum-stances, he supposed it was impossible that the motion should not be withdrawn. He protested against the precedent of this law as fatal to the treasury, if established as such, and said the hill was one of the strongest evidences of the recklessness of this govern-one of the strongest evidences of the recklessness of the ment in regard to its expenditures.

Mr. PHELPS took a similar view of the subject; after which, upon leave of the Senate, the motion to re-consider was with-

## DEATH OF THE HON, JOHN M. HOLLEY.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

MR. PRESIDENT : I am directed to notify the Senate of the death of the Honorable 30TH CONG .- IST SESSION-No 48.

JOHN M. HOLLEY, late a member of the House of Representatives, from the State of New York, and to communicate the proceedings of the House of Representatives

The resolutions from the House of Representatives having been

Mr. DICKINSON rose and addressed the Senate as follows: This painful message, Mr. President, devalves on me the melanchly duty of paying a brief tribute to the virtues of the deceased, and of asking the Senate to unite in the customary coremonies of erepect for his memory. The fearful messenger Death has of late been no stranger in these halls. Eight times during the present essenion have the members of this Congress been called to put on the babiliments of mourning. Eight times have we thus been significantly and the stranger of the properties of the stranger of the earthly honors. Three members of the Schate and five members of the House of Representatives since the last session of Congress—some at the meridian of manhood, and others full of years

gress—some at the meridian of manhood, and others full of years and honors—have been summored to their final account. "The opp goes round, and who so artful as to put it by ??"

JOHN M. HOLLEY, the Immented sulpect of this notice, was born at Salisbury, in the State of Connectient. in November, 1802, and was educated at Yale, where he graduated with distinguished however in 1822. Having chosen as his pure wit the legal profession Littleheld, in his native State and then remove it to the State of New York, where he completed the usual course of preparatory studies, and was admitted at the bar in 1829. He soon after opened an Intentied, in his native State, and then removed to the State of New York, where he completed the usual course of preparatory studies, and was admitted at the bar in 1925. He soon after opened an adversal of the property of the soon after opened and the state of the soon after opened and the soon of the s and was admitted at the bar in 1825. He soon after opened an

ial and domestic virtues

Thus lived and thus died John M. Holley, a member of the House of Representatives of the thirtieth Congress of the United States—cut off in the midst of his usefulness, leaving to his family, his friends, and his country, the priceless legacy of an unblemished

## Mr. DICKINSON then submitted the following resolutions:

Resolved, That the Senate has received with deep sewshibity the message from the House of Representatives announcing the death of the Honorabi-Jons M. Houter, A Representative from the State of New York.

As Representative in the State of New York.

In other than the State of the State of State of the State of State

· Whereupon,

The Senate adjourned.

# MONDAY, MARCH 20, 1848.

#### PETITIONS.

Mr BRADBURY presented a petition of citizens of the county of Paochseot, in Maine, praying the abolition of the slave trade in the District of Columbia, or the removal of the seat of government; the motion to receive which was laid upon the table.

Mr. DOWNS presented a petition of citizens of Louisiana, praying the establishment of a judicial district north of Red river in that State; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Mr. ASHLEY presented a petition of citizens of Arkansas, praying the establishment of a mail route from Raymond to Camden, in that State; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Mr. CASS presented the petition of E. P. Hastings, praying compensation for his services as pension agent for the State of Michigan; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

#### PRIVATE BILLS.

Mr. BREESE, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of Anzy Judd, reported it without amendment.

Mr. BREESE, from the same Committee, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of Benjamin White, reported it without amendment.

Mr. BALDWIN, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the petition of D. A. Watterston, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for his relief.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

Mr. BALDWIN, from the same Committee, to whom was referred the memorial of Columbus Alexander and Theodore Barnard, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for their relief.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered. That the report be printed.

Mr. BADGER, from the Committee on Naval Affairs, to whom was referred the memorial of David Myerle, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for his relief.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

Mr. FELCH, from the Committee on Pensions, to whom was referred the petition of John H. White, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for his relief.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

Mr. DOWNS, from the Committee on Private Land Claims, to whom was referred the petition of William W. Wall, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for the relief of the heirs of John Wall, deceased.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

the bill from the House of Representatives, for the relief of Charles Cappell, reported it without amendment.

## SCHOOL LANDS IN FLORIDA.

Mr. BREESE, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the bill concerning school lands in the State of Florida, reported it with an amendment.

## AMERICAN HEMP FOR THE USE OF THE NAVY.

Mr. YULEE, from the Committee on Naval Affairs, to whom was referred the joint resolution to promote the purchase of American hemp for the use of the American navy, reported it with an amendment, so as to read as follows:

Be it readed, &c., That the Secretary of the Naxy be, and he is berely natherized, if in his opinion, it will be alterative control to the public interests, to make constructed the profess the so of the Cintel States may, provided the same can be had of equal quality with the best foreign lemp, and at a precent exceeding the average of such lemp for the lattice years—the unspection and observes be at the

The Senate proceeded to consider said joint resolution, as in Committee of the Whole; and the amendment being agreed to, it was reported to the Senate, and the amendment was concurred in.

Ordered, That the resolution be engressed and read a third time.

#### EXAMINERS IN THE PATENT OFFICE.

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the Committee on Patents and the Patent Office, to whom was referred the amendments of the House to the bill of the Senate to provide additional examiners in the Patent Office and for other purposes, reported thereon, with a recumendation that the Senate disagree to the amendments of the

Mr. WESTCOTT asked for the immediate consideration of the amendments. The question being about to be put upon concurring in the amendments—

Mr. TURNEY said he hoped the question would be divided and taken upon the first amendment separately. He believed that the salary of an examiner of patents was now some fifteen or eighteen bundred dollars, and he knew no good reason why it should be raised to twenty five hundred. He thought that this was hardly an appropriate time for an increase of salaries when the country was moveled in war, and had no superabundance of revenue to dispose of. He thought it would be proper to ceneur with this amendment to strike out the twenty-live hundred dollars.

Mr. WESTCOTT remarked that all the members of the committee were transitions in designeing to the amendments of the House, were transitional in designeing to the transition of the flower of the first amendment, and a majority of the committee wore in favor of disagreeing to that amendment. The bill as it originally passed the Senate, he said, gave to each of the examiners in the patent office a salary of twenty-five hundred dollars. The House amendment proposed to reduce it to two thousand. The fixing of the salary at twenty-five hundred dollars was on consultation with the commissioner, and those having business with the Fatent Office considered it are not fixed by the said of the

Mr. DICKINSON.—This is simply a question of demand and supply. In my judgment a salary of twenty-five hundred dollars is necessary, for the reason that you cannot get competent men for a smaller salary. The office cannot be filled by mere politicians or mere clerks. The gentleman filling that office must be an encyclopacita of science, and though he may not be required to converse themsity in German and in French, it is necessary that he should be able to read those languages, because of the private of the salary o

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana.—I do not know that I understand the purpose of this amendment.

Mr. WESTCOTT.—The bill originally proposed to give twenty-live hundred dollars a year to the examiners of the Patent Office. The House struck out twary-live hundred and inserted two thousand. The committee proposes to disagree with this amendment of the House.

Mr. JOHNSON.—Well, I hope the Senate will disagree to the amendment. A salary of twenty-five bundred dollars is quite a low one. The duties are abnorious, and they require men of high seientific attainments. I think that the examiners should have a higher salary than the Commissioner, as they have the whole of the labor to perform. I hope that the Senate will disagree with the amendment.

Mr. TURNEY.—Before the question is taken, I desire to say a single word.

Mr. ATHERTON.—As I perceive that the debate upon this bill is likely to continue, I would like to have it laid over autili morrow, for its very desirable that the Senate should proceed with the consideration of the bill making appropriations to supply the deficiencies for the current fiscal year.

On motion by Mr. ATHERTON it was,

Ordered, That the further consideration thereof be postponed until to-morrow.

#### THE FRANKING PRIVILEGE.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. BADGER asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to declare the true intent and meaning, so far as respects the tracking profit on members of Congress, of the respects the control of the control of the control of the Morel of the control of the control of the control of the act to reduce the rates of postage, to limit the use and correct the abuse of the franking privilege; and for the prevention of frauds on the Post Office Department, passed the third of March, 1845; and for other purposes.

The bill having been read the first and second times, by unanimous consent-

Mr. BADGER .- I shall move a reference of this bill to the Com-Mr. BADGER.—I shall move a reference of this fill to the Com-mittee on the Post Office and Post Roads; but before the question is put, I desire to occupy the attention of the Senate for a few mo-ments, and particularly to call the attention of my friend, the chair-man of that Committee, to one or two observations regarding the purposes uncleaded to be accomplished by the bill, and the present she of the law to which relates. It will be found by referred to a set, passed on the first relates. It will be found by referred postage, 26 &c. that Congress relating privilege and placed it in the main upon where grad to be franking privilege and placed it in the main upon. The different grounds from these which it previously occupied. The act of 1845 seems to be designed as a substitute for the former law upon the subject, and by implication to repeal it, and this implication is strengthened by the fact that the latter law expressly saves from repeal certain portions of the former. It will be found upon looking at the act of 1815, that the eighth section of it grants to members of the Senate and House of Representatives, delegates from territories, 8.c. the right to send and receive free of postage "any letter, newspaper, or packet" not executing two onnees in weight, during each session of Congress, and for thirty days before and after. The same section declares that they shall have the right "to frank written letters from themselves during the whole different grounds from those which it previously occupied. The act right "to frank written letters from themselves during the whole year, as now authorized by law." It is therefore clear and beyond year, as now authorized by law." It is therefore cent and objects, controversy that according to the provisions of the law controversy that according to the provisions of the law controvers, and the provision of Congress, and for thirty days before and after, consists in the power to send and receive free of postage, any letter, newspaper, or package, and that it is perfectly immaterial by whom differeded, to whom addressed, or by whom written. There is but one single qualification, that the letter, newspaper, or package, shall not exceed two ounces in weight. If there could be any doubt in regard to the terms embraced in this part of the section, doubt in regard to the terms embraced in this part of the section, that doubt is removed by the clear distinction that is taken between this franking privilege, during the sessions of Congress, and thirty days before and after, and the franking privilege given for the residue of the year, which is confined to written letters from themselves. It is clear therefore that the act contemplated and declared that during the sessions, members of this house and the other should have the right to frank any letter, any message, any package written or printed, no matter whether it was composed of paper or muslin, no matter by whom directed, by whom signed, with no exception, but the single qualification, that the letter, newspaper, or package should not exceen in the minds of Congress, reasons for making this discrimination, and for the confining the franking privilege during the residue of the year to written letters from themselves. Whatever might have been the reason, or whether there was nay, the distinction is clearly made. ton letters from themselves. Whatever might have been the reason, or whether there was any, the distinction is clearly made.—
Then, sir, at the last session, we passed an act which was reported by my friend the chairman of the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads, containing this provision, that members of Congress shall have power to receive, as well as to send—for it will be observed that under the act of '15 they had only the right to send their own letters in the intervening time between the sessions, sens user own electrs in the intervening time between the sessions, that they shall have a right to receive as well as to send all letters and packages not weighing over two onnees free of postage, up to the 1st Monday of December following the expiration of their term of office. Now, sir, as far as I am able to perceive, it is not only probable—not only highly reasonable—but it is absolutely certain that as the law now stands, the franking privilege to member of Converges is arbitroe, to have not resident as the table. certain that as the law now stands, the tranking privilege to members of Congress is subject to but one restriction; that is, that the letter, newspaper, or package, shall not exceed two ounces he weight. Well, sir, we are all aware that, recently, by some regulations, a discrimination has been made between packets put up in coarse envelopes, and which are not supposed to be letters, and those which are put up in fine envelopes, which are supposed to be letters, and in the latter ease, it is required that the address shall, be in the same hand as the frank, or else the postmaster is directed to disregard the frank and charge postage. This I consider to be simply a gratuitous distinction, adopted without any appearance of reason or propriety. The bill, therefore, which I have the honor to submit to the

Senate, is intended, in the first place, to declare, so as to put it beyond the possibility of dombt or eavil, what is the law on the subject; not to enlarge or alter the franking privilege, but simply to declare it. I have brought the subject forward, sir, because I desire to have the judgment of the Senate upon it. I feel that members of this body are as much entitled to trust and confidence as any man in the Executive departments. We are personally responsible to one of the subject of the subj

The bill was accordingly referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

## FEES IN UNITED STATES COURTS.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. ASHLEY saked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to regulate the fees and costs to be allowed for the friend states, and for other purposes; which was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Commuttee on the Judiciary.

#### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their clerk:

Mr. President: The Speaker of the Houso of Representatives having signed an enrolled bill for the relief of the heirs of John Paul Jon.s, f am directed to bring it to the Senate for the signature of their President.

### SIGNING OF A BILL.

The VICE PRESIDENT signed the enrolled bill for the relief of the heirs of John Paul Jones

#### DEFICIENCY BILL.

On motion by Mr. ATHERTON, the prior orders were postponed, and the Senate resumed the consideration, as in Committee of the Whole, of the bill from the House of Representatives further to supply deficiences in the appropriations for the service of the fiscal year ending the 30th June, 1812.

the useal year county the 30/H June, 1848.

The question pending being upon agreeing to the amendment proposed by Mr. BENYON, to strike out the words "the Papal States," in line 84, and after "Ecuador," in line 86, insert "and for a minister plenipotentary to the Papal States," and strike out "twenty-two" and insert "thirty-one"—

Mr. HALE.—I desire to ask the chairman of the committee from which this bill was reported, why this item is inserted among deficiencies of appropriations for the past year?

Mr. ATHERTON.—The fiscal year has not yet expired, and the object is, I suppose, to send the charge d'affairs, or minister, whichever he may be, hefore the conclusion of the year.

Mr. HANNEGAN.—If I understand the officer of this amendment, it is to change the nature of the mission to Rome, from charge d'affairs, to minister plenipotentiary. I would suggest to those who are in favor of this amendment, whether it would not be better policy, instead of making this embassy a full one, to substitute a minister resident. We might, it seems to me, more properly send to Rome a minister resedent with a salary such as that which is paid to a A shift to a substitute of the substi

be sent by us to the sovereign Pointif In making this suggestion, I wish it to be understood, that I am ineapable of being governed by any thing like religious or sectarian leefungs. Surely I entertain no prejudice against the Catholic religion, when, on the contrary, I regard it with the highest veneration, looking upon it as I do, as the easket which held through many a long age of persecution and blood, the gem of the Christian faith—holding as I do, too, sir, in the highest possible reverence, the character of the present Pontiff, Pius the Ninth. It has been said by the distinguished Senator from Missouri, who made some very eloquent and appropriate remarks upon this subject the other day, that it would be becoming in us to send a full minister to Rome, which was surrounded by such grand associations. I put this question, however, upon principle, and there is another power which has, I think, stronger claims to a full embassy. I allude to Switzerland, where now in some of the Cantons exists the only pure democracy which is new to be found on the face of Europe. It would be a higher compliment to our own pay the institutions—to send an embassion of the control of the c

are not considerations which are now to weigh with us.

I submit to be Senate has a question of expediency, what must
be the effect upon Belgium. Portugal, Austria, and the other
limina states if my one the progress of liberal principles, in Italy,
at this moment, the most despotic governments that ever existed,
have nevaled there for the last five hundred years.

Mr. MANGUM.-Do I understand the Senator as offering an

Mr. HANNEGAN.—I propose to strike out what relates to the mission to the Papal states, and substitute a section providing for a minister resident.

PRESIDING OFFICER.—The Senators object can be accomplished by striking out "plenipotentiary" and inserting "resident," and striking out "thirty one" and inserting "twenty eight."

The question being taken upon this amendment to the amendment it was disagreed to on a division, Ayes 12, Noes 19. The question recurring on the amendment of the Senator from Missonri—

Mr. HANNEGAN demanded the yeas and nays.

PRESIDING OFFICER.—They were ordered at a a former day.

Mr. CLAYTON.—This bill came to us from the House proposing send a Clarged d'Allicre to the Papal states. The Committee on Finance to which the bill was referred, has repoted the bill as it came from the House without amendments, thus recommending the establishment of this row mission. Such was the judgment of the committee of which It was a member. I concurred in the report, and I see no reason whatever to change it. It seems to me, sir, to be admitted on all hands, that there is nothing in the commercial relations between the two countries to justify any mission whatever but in my judgment, there is enough connection between the two countries to justify any mission whatever, but in my judgment, there is enough connection between the Papal states, but it does seem to me that there is serious objection to going further. Austria is a country with thirty eight millions of people with whom we have extensive commercial relations, and we send to them only a charge d'affaires. We have also extensive commercial relations with some other of the Italian states, with Portugal, with Sweden, with Denmark, and to all these we send only a charge d'affaires. We have also extensive commercial relations suit some other of the Italian states, with Portugal, with Sweden, with Denmark, and to all these we send only a charge d'affaires. I cannot perfectly willing, sir, to marring for the liberality of the opinions of Pope Plus the IX. am perfectly willing to go thus far, and in my opinion all that ought to be asked of us is to place these states upon the same footing with the great empire of Austria, the second and most populous of all the states of Europe. And honorable Senators will beer it in mind, that if we proceed to make a fall mission now to the Papal states, we may excite bad feelings among the other states whose commercial relations with a var vastly more extensive, than those of fine Papal states can be, and to which we no marring the order of Finance.

Mr. NILES.—This is only one of the missions that are provided for in this bill; there are three others, making altogether four missions to be provided for. As to the policy which has led to this measure, it certainly enance be owing to the surplus of fands in our treasury. There are, however, four missions to be created—none to Gautimala, one to the Republic of Bolivia, and one to Ecuador. Two of these, I believe, lave little or no commercial—what considerations are to recommend them to the favorable action of the Senate, I am not prepared to say. If Delieved that they were connected, that the one were, I should be in favor of the commended that the mission of the commercial that the convex of the commercial and the convex of the commercial convex of the commercial and the convex of the commercial convex of the con

those countries. One of the countries upon this hemisphere with which we have beld diplomatic relations—I mean the republic of New Greanda—we have so neglected—for although we have Man a charge d'affaires there for years—he has neglected his duty. We have no treaty with that government, and those who have no treaty with them, have to pay treaty per cent. upon their whole trade, which in our case amounted about ten millions of dellars; and the coasequence has been the throwing of all this into the lands of our rivals, the British. Two vessels from the port of New York carried on a trade with this country for years, under was this matter neglected, that our commerce with that country cased altowering.

was this matter regreeted, mat our commerce was take country cased altogeted like to know, if I could, how these missions are to be carried out? How the law is to be executed? Whether men qui lified and prepared for the duties which they are required to perform are to be sent there to make themselves useful to our commerce, or whether men will be sent two neither know what their duties are, nor care whether they are performed—mere politicians, stump rotators, who are to be rewarded with places for politicial services. It would be throwing so much money away, and I should be disposed to go against the whole of these missions; but believing that the interests of this country will fully justify the best of the sent in the sent time not without very great doubt that it will be money thrown away. But in regard to the mission to the Papal States, I must say that I do not see any sufficient reason for sending an agent there above the second grade. It seems to me that is going far enough to begin with, and if our interests there should hereafter render it necessary to have a diplomatic agent of a higher grade, such a mission can be provided for. Here-tolore it has not been deemed necessary to maintain political relations at all whether the such canopit to begin with, and if our interests there should hereafter render it necessary to maintain political relations at all well is high enough to begin with. I do not think that his Holiness, the Pope, has any great claim upon this country in any will our thrown away.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts .- I was in hopes that my friend The LAA 15, and a dissentiments—— we will always that my pricing for the LAA 15, and a dissentiments—— we will not be sufficient to the control of the contr who bring it before us. What is this only it is not one of the regular appropriation bills. It is a bill for supplying the deficiencies of appropriations for the fiscal year. Four missions then were forgetten in the general appropriation bills. Four missions were left unattended to by those who made the estimates for the year, and by us who looked into the subject. Now, sir, the title of this bill is probably a misnomer. This is a creation of four new missions at the places which are named in the item which is under consideration. And now we are not quite content it appears to have these chargeships filled; we are not satisfied to establish the second grade of missions, but there is now a motion before us to raise one of them to the rank of minister plenipotentiary. And for what purpose? A minister to the Papal States! To what end? Why, think it is sufficiently obvious from what has been said, that it is a mere complimentary thing. It is well understood that there is no commerce, no trade or intercourse with those States which demand, as a matter of business, any notice at all. Will you send out a mission which is a mere complimentary mission? jection I have to it is, in the first place, that a compliment of that nature is wholly unnecessary. It is not demanded by any circum-stances which exist, and it is introducing decidedly a bad precedent. There is a constant effort made to raise the grade of our foreign ministers every where. I think it was only at the last session that we had laid upon our table, a long argument by somebody in which the writer attempted to prove that the interests of this country were sacrifieed in reducing the mission to Austria from a plenipotentiary to a charges d'affaires, and we were called upon to restore the mission to its former rank. The arguments however failed to prevail; and our minister remained there in his new capacity, although, I believe, a threat had been thrown out that he would resign. Now what I desire to know is, how any such emergency has

When we will be desire to know is, how any such emergency has prung up at this time, as to make it nevesharty to introduce here four new missions to foreign governments. I desire to know, sir, whether there he anything new in the relations between us and the countries named, anything that has occurred since we were last togother, to make it necessary to legislate in this special way upon this subject. I should be very thankful to the chairman of the committee for a little information upon several other points in this lail. I should be glad to know why; is becomes necessary to legislate to such an extent, as this bill seems to imply, to supply definite to such an extent, as this bill seems to imply, to supply definite to such an extent, as this bill seems to imply, to supply definite to such an extent, as this bill seems to imply, to supply definite to such a category of the such as the last session, happened to prove so netterly inadequate for the objects for which they were intended? I should be glad to know how it happened? I can remember very well that it was said on this floor when those estimates were under discussion, that they were inadequate, that they would not carry the government through the year, from the 30th June, to the 30th June, but that you would come here and demand further appropriations in order to

meet the ordinary expenditures. I would be glad to know how it happens that the officers of the government whose day it is to make the extinct the expenditures of particular departments of the expenditures of particular departments of the expenditures of particular departments of the government. We have a deficiency for example, in one tranch of three or four millious. I would be glad to know how it happens that the estimates of a department there the business is so well understood, as in the Navy Department, can be so inaccurate as to fall short some eight or ten thousand dollars, for the pay of the eleks in that department or the thousand dollars, for the pay of the eleks in that department. I apartment, an additional appropriation of free million so foldiers, to carry out the operations of that single department of the army. I find also in the department of cluthing and subsistence three millions more are required to meet the expenditures of that department. I will not go into an examination of the various items of this bill, but I should be glad to hear from the cluirman of the committee some explanation, and I should be glad to hear from the cluir man of the committee some explanation, and is should be glad to hear from the cluir man of the committee some explanation, and is should be glad to hear from the cluir man of the committee some explanation, and is should be glad to hear him state the amount of from the cluir man of the committee some explanation, and is should be glad to hear from the cluir man of the committee some explanation, and is should be glad to hear him the proposed to add by the amendments which are to be inserted here.

Mr. ATHERTON.—The amount appropriated by the bill as it came from the House I believe is a little over thirteen millions of

## Mr. CLAYTON .- I make it over fourteen millions.

Mr. ATHERTON—I believe it will be found that the bill as it cane from the House appropriates for the current fiscal year \$13.237,165.98. There has been an appropriation already passed at the present session for the subsistence in kind of the regular army and the volunteers of one million of dollars, which makes the sum for deficiency in the whole over fourteen millions. As it regards the reason why the additional appropriations are required, I can only say that they arose probably from the military operations costing more in some instances than they were expected to cost, from a larger number of troops having been more extensive than the well-arred may be a sufficient of the sum of th

Mr. HALE.—I do not know that I should make any remarks at all apon this amendment, as I intend to vote against the whole bill, on the ground that it contains appropriations for the presention of the war in Mexico. But I beg to call the attention of the chairman of the Finance Committee to the rather singular fact, that our expenditures have become so enormous, that a few "mistakes" in the calculations of the Treasury Department—a few mere slips of the pen, involve a larger amount than the whole annual expenditure war expenditure was about fourteen millions, and here we have a bill for supplying mere deficiencies which calls for nearly sixteen millions!

Mr. ATHERTON.—If the gentleman will spare me one moment, I would beg to remand him, that in the House a clause was added to the bill appropriating \$800,000 for the service of the next year, which has nothing to do with the supply of the deficiency in the current year.

Mr. HALE.—Why, that is a very small matter indeed, when our expenses are a bundred millions annually! It appears, however, making the deduction which is now suggested, that accordings to the report of the Secretary of the Treasury. The appropriations last year amounted to fifty-five millions, and that he expended fifty-nine millions; so that adding the amount involved in the present bill, the whole sum will be about seventy millions of dollars. Now, sir, during the last war with Great Britain, when we were fighting her on all our consts, and our navy was gaining laurels on every sea on which we had commerce to be assuided and protected, our expenditure was only thirty-two millions.

Mr. ATHERTON.—The Senator is mistaken as to one fact. This deficiency of ten millions is included in the estimate of expenditures of the current year, so that there are only four millions to be added, making the annual expenditure sixty millions.

Mr. HALE—I have the documents before me. I see by the report of the Secretary of the Treasury, that the appropriations of last year amounted to fity-five nillions, and here is an addition of fifteen millions more, not going into the estimates, but to supply deficiencies. Am I not right?

Mr. ATHERTON.—Does the Senator mean for the current fiscal year?

Mr. HALE .- The year ending 30th June.

Mr. ATHERTON.—In order to get at the amount of the expenditures for this year, the appropriations for the Post Office Department, and the deficiencies of last year must be deducted, and I believe the Senator will find that the result is as I have stated, about sixty millions.

Mr. HALE.—I have not gone into the details of this matter, but I have calculated the amount as I read the document. I wish.

however, to call the attention of the Senate and the country to the fact that while it cost us about their millions a year to fight the most powerful nation on earth, along our whole one of the most powerful nation on earth, along our whole one of the most powerful nation on earth, along our whole one of the grant gr

any late nominations which have been made! But to come to the question before the Senate on the amendment. I confess that I have regarded the recommendation of the President, which has been seconded by the committee, to send a mission to the Papal States, with a great deal of interest and mission to the Papal States, with a great deal of interest and "whinging forth of fruits meet for repentance," that an administration, which for two years past, has been appealing to the last owar and rapine, and been struulating all that is brutal in humanity, has so far changed its policy, and is about to appeal to popular passion in a much less exceptionable manner. I have no doubt of the truth of what the honorable Senator from North Carolina, GMr. BADGEA, has said, that this is a mere pandering to the another than the part of the continuation of the continuation of the truth of what the honorable Senator from North Carolina, of the paper of the paper

Mr. FOOTE.—Am I to understand that the Senator from North Carolina has preferred so grave a charge?

Mr. HALE .- So I understand him.

Mr. FOOTE .- Did he say "pander?"

Mr. HALE.—If he did not say so, I will say so. I have no doubt of it.

 $\operatorname{Mr.FOOTE}.{\operatorname{\longleftarrow}} \operatorname{Does}$  the Senator understand the term which he has employed ?

Mr. HALE.—I have not got a dictionary at hand, and really make no pretensions to great fexicographical knowledge.

Mr. FOOTE .- Did the Senator ever hear of Pandarus?

Mr. HALE.—Oh! I will endeavor to meet the nice taste of the Senator. I will use another term. I will say that, it is fabrice for Roman Catholic votes; and I certainly think that this is quite an improvement in the diplomacy of the last twenty: two months, so far as regards the neighboring republic of Mexico. But why should thus minister be sent? It is admitted on all hands that we have no commercial interests to be protected in the Papal States. It is designed merely as a compliment to the Pope. Well, if compliment be intended, why not extend it to San Marino, which, amid the changing destines of Italy, has ma strained its republic anisativations for handreds and hundreds of years? Why not first institutions for handreds and hundreds of years? Why not first institutions for handreds and hundreds of years? Why not first state of to the Pope of Rome? And if there he accurately the protected, having just and well-founded claims on this government, and where our citizens cannot get even a hearing, because we have no accredited agent? It seems to me, sir, that there should be some uniform system adopted with regard to the appointment of our diplomatic agents abroad; and I certainly think that the emergency must be very pressing indeed, which requires the insertion of this proposition in a bill which I had supposed was what me that the proposition is the proposition in the appropriations. The deficiency is, it spears to me, large enough without adding to it the expanse involved in the establishing of this mission.

Mr. FOOTE.—I intend to treppess only a very few minutes on the time of the Senate, and I rise chiefly for the purpose of making some remarks in reference to the extraordinary charge brought against the administration by the gentleman who has just taken his seat. Far be it from me to accuse the Senator of any thing like plagiarism; but I do feel authorized to suggest that at least the laws of good taste were not duly considered in introducing, from any source whatever, browever distliguished, such a deading, from any source whatever, browever distliguished, such a which we have heard from him by deformed a portion of the speech which we have heard from him the decrease and fire every preferred a serious charge against the administration. After good naturedly confessing that the explanation of his colleague in relation to fiscal deficiencies was so satisfactory that he was not able to show any thing to the contrary successfully, in a fit of desperance encastness, he has undertaken to suggest—mot originally, but by borrowing from the Senator from North Carolina—that this life feeling—using a term of the senator from the senator from Act the senator from a course and vulgar. I did not hear the speech of the Senator from North Carolina, and until his silence disappointed me, I had hoped, that the ignoide attempt to excite sectarian feeling in opposition this bill was original with the Senator from North Marolina existence that he has been correctly reported, and that with the Senator from North Marolina chinese that he has been correctly reported, and that with an attempt to 'pancher' to Cathelina chine.

lie feeling. I shall make no elaborate vindication of the administration against an allegation which I hold to be equally absurd and malignant.

Mr. MANGUM.—I do not know for what reason my colleague does not choose to explain. But 1 understand that he used no such expression.

Mr. FOOTE—I am very glad to hear it. I can only say that the colleague of the gentleman who has just sat down, Jose himself great nijustice when he suffers himself to be misquated in his sow presence. The Senator from New Hampshire, then, stands convicted of gross mis-quotation; and if the Senator from New Hampshire, laboring under an erroneous impression, adopted the expression on the ground of authority, and not of its intrinsic merit, I trust he will speedily renounce it. I was about to say that as one of the humblest members of the democratic party, should dis lain an elaborate and formal valuedation of the administration against the charge in question—dischaustion dead and in the standard of the standard grant which the standard in the standard grant which can be also proved to the standard grant which the standard grant principles of civi and religious therety, and affording the survey and have always paid—as I trust I have fell—a decent respect to the religion of my forefathers; and all those religious institutions which I have ever undoubtingly believed to he conservative of the rest principles of civi and religious therity, and affording the survey and the standard which to the mind of man has been ever reserved, nowed himself of the standard which to the mind of man has been ever reserved, nowed himself of the standard which to the mind of man has been ever reserved, nowed himself of the standard which to the mind of man has been ever reserved, nowed himself of the standard of the standard of the various forms of belef are alike free, I should soom myself, if I permitted the existence in my bosom of any such feelings as those the various forms of belef are alike free, I should soom myself, if I permitted the texistence in my bosom of any such feelings as those thresholds that the morning. Although not a member of a church, I can say with my hand on myself, if I permitted the existence in my bosom of any such feelings as those thresholds that the morning. Although not a member of a church, I can say wi

But it is declared that this is an attempt to "pander" to Catholic feeling in advance of the Presidential election. In the first place, I have to say, that from my knowledge of the clergy Cannot recompered to the Catholic communion, they would score to be and people of the Catholic communion, they would score to be used for such a purpose, and I know enough of the administration to assert that no such idea could ever have been conceived by the catholic soft bis country! The gentleman them. Fundering to the Cathonies of this country. The gentleman may be more limiliar than I am with the business of corrupt bar-gaining for popular suffrages. It is, I trust, a process not com-mon in this country. At any rate, I live in a part of the world where the thing is not practised, and where if practised it would be punished in the most signal manner. I know that demacgogueis to be met with in all sections of the country, and that business of bargaining for votes is not alregether unknows in various places. I will not say that the history of the Scnator, his experience and means of observation in the part of the country where he resides may have enabled him to more information in regard to this matter of corrupt bargaining for votes than I have enjoyed. But surely this groundless and libberal suggestion that the President of the United States, and those with whom he advises, are attempting by this movement to "pander" to the Catholies is a charge not worthy even of the extended notice which I have given it. I advance to another the extended notice which I may given it. I available to another topic on which I will detain the Senate only a moment. I intend to vote for a full mission to Rome, and I have only one reason, but it is with me a potent one. Whatever others may say, I do not hesitate to avow that I recognize the present Pope of Rome, the head of the Catholic church as he is, as the man of the age-the the field of the second control control of the second control control control control of the second control contro merce were carried on between this country and the Papal States I would zealastly advocate the ostablishment of diplomatic relations with the sovereign Pontifl. Using the language of the Senations with the sovereign Pontifl. Using the language of the Senation of Fredom Pontifle Williams and complimentary mark of our sympathy, send this mission to the Pope. As the elumpion of freedom he is worthy of our highest regard—our sincerest reverence—our most devout affection. It is suggested that perhaps Austria may be offended. Well, I do not desire to say anything to offend Austria; but I am sure I should be ashmed of this vector most if from fear of definition and it is should be ashmed of this vector most if from fear of definity anything it should say anything to offend Austria; but 1 am sure 1 should be ashamed of this government if, from fear of offending Austria, it should be restrained from doing what is right in this matter. It has indeed been said that the Popo of Rome is sustained by Austria. Why, can it be forgotten that Austrian bayonets are at this moment opposing freedom in Italy ! Do we not know that the cause of liberty has been continually assailed, amid blood, and fire, and desolation, by the armies of Austria? and yet Austria must be propitiated! I think, sir, from what we learn of recent inovements in France, and the convulsed state of Portugal, Spain, and the Austrian dominions in the neighborhood of the Rhine, that that power has something else to do at present, besides watching with vigilant icalousy our actions towards. Italy and other countries. I believe, sir, that the present is eminently an auspicious moment in which to send an embassy to Rome. Not that I would attempt anything in the spirit of propagandism. Far be it from me to interfere in the conduct of evil affairs in any part of Italy. But, sir, the Pope is leading in the great regenerative movement which already threatens the speedy extinction of monarchical government throughton the civilized world; and does it become us in a spirit of heart-less indifference to decline, even a cold and formal recognition of the struggle for popular freedom! Whatever others may do, I am determined to do all I can, with such limited influence as I possess, to advance that cause in Europe, by extending to it my warmest

sympathies.

I have meant nothing unkind, and I trust have said nothing unkind, but I honestly believe, that the sentiments of the Senator from New Hampshire are worthy of the severest enesties, and lawing endeavored to express my strong disapprobation of them, I shall not longer trespass on the attention of the Senator.

Mr. HALE.—Perhaps I should make some explanation to the Senator from North Carolina. I certainly did not mean to misquote or misrepresent hun. I am sure he will not suppose that I could do so.

Mr. BADGER .- Certainly not.

Mr. HALE.—Now, one word as to what was said which produced such a flood of eloquence from the gentleman from Mississippi. I certainly did not expect that that gentleman and myself would very cortailly agree in certain political matters. Our positions on some subjects are wide apart; and, therefore, it does not seriously disturb my equanimity to find him quite antagousiste in reference to these questions. When he came down upon my political position, with all his Jupiter Tonans thundering elequence, I regarded it as a matter of course. But really, sir, when a gentleman of his refined taste—eloquence of diction—purity of style—chasteness of manner—and everything that contributes to the character of a perfect orator, is compelled, reluctantly compelled, I doubt not, to pronounce my poor elforts vulgar, I do "feel and!"

Mr. FOOTE .- I did not pronounce the effert vulgar.

Mr. HALE .- It was the language then?

Mr. FOOTE.—No, it was the word "paqder;" and if the Senator will refer to the original, he will find that what I say is strictly rue. "Vulgar" is derived from the word "vulgar," which means the common people; and I meant to say that the word "pauder" is common among the masses.

Mr. HALE .- Ah! That is all?

Mr. FOOTE .- Certainly.

Mr. HALE.—Then I am very glad to find that my sentiments are becoming so popular! Now, I do not travel with the dictionary in my poker, but one of the pages has brought me one of the pages has brought me one of the pages have the other day, when the "kentater from Kentucky lost so much in not being present to hear."

Mr. FOOTE.—Ah! take care—that may have been in secret

Mr. HALE.—If so, it has now got out! I don't knew, however, but that if the public were here and listened to our poor debates, they would hardly think that the injunction had been taken off the dictionaries! But I have the dictionary before me, and I find that this "vulgar" word—

Mr. FOOTE .- What dictionary is it?

Mr. HALE.—Compiled by one Sam Johnson! I find, sir, that this "vulgar" word was used in common by that vulgar fellow, Shakspeare; also by one Dyvlen; one Rowe, and a man who used to write doggerel, one John Milton. All of them used this "vulgar" word "pander!" Now, in its direct application to this very case, I said that I believed that this was an attempt on the part of the administration to "pander" to the Roman Catholic voters, or Roman Catholic prejudices; and I gave oredit to the Senator from North Carolina—

Mr. FOOTE.—The Senator has not read the authorities. Will he allow me to look at them for a moment ? I do not undertake to deny that the word is to be found in the dictionary, or that Slakspeare used it. But I menut to say that it was a word always intended for purposes of scurrility—of vulgar meaning—and like many other epithets in Shakspeare, not appropriate on all occasions, and certannly not becoming in such a dignified lood yas this.

Mr. HALE .- I shall read the authorities. Here is one-

"O, ye pand mng tascals, there's a conspiracy against me "

Mr. FOOTE.—Very well. Would the Senator affirm that  $\alpha$  rascal" is parliamentary language ?

Mr. CAMERON.—Would the Senater be so good as to read the authority again; some of ans on this side did not hear it distinctly?

Mr. HALE .- Certainly-with great pleasure, sir-

"O, we pandering rascals, there's a conspiracy against me !"

Why, sir, if I had scarched the dictionary from beginning to end,

I could not have hit upon a word which more clearly expresses what I meant to convey! This is an attempt on the part of the administration to pander to the passions of the Roman Catholic voters. That is what I think . When the honorable Senator from Mississipin says he has great confidence in the administration—

Mr. FOOTE.—Will the honorable Senator allow me to interrupt him for a moment? The most serious part of what I said was not so much a denial of bis allegation, as a solemn eall upon him for evidence in support of the charge.

Mr. HALE .- I understand.

Mr. FOOTE .- Allow me further to state my proposition?

Mr. HALE .- Certainly.

Mr. FOOTE.—If a person were arraigned as a criminal and no evidence of his guilt was produced, he would certainly go free of punishment; I therefore invoke the Senator to adduce his proofs. I challenge him to the proof.

Mr. HALE.—The evidence is to be found in the absence of all proof to the contrary. This is a fair mode of argument, as the Senator must admit. When there is something palpable on the lace of the case—if no other motive than that which strikes the mind as being the palpable motive, is made to appear, then the inforence is legitimate, in the absence of all proof to the contrary, that that is really the motive.

Mr. FOOTE.—Suppose the Senator were charged with a grave offence of which he was altogether innocent, though appearances were against him, and if he failed to adduce proof of his innocence, would he then be justly found guilty?

Mr. HALE.—Non constat! The conclusion does not follow from the premises—not at all. But the Senator from Mississippi saud that Pope Pius the Ninth was "the man of the age." Why, I thought James K. Polk was "the man of the age!" I should like to know what right any democrat, sound in the fath, lias to pronounce Pope Pius "the man of the age!" I did not propose, only for the purpose of freeing myself man the charge of stress only for the purpose of freeing myself and the charge of the purpose of preparation of the charge of the purpose of preparation of the purpose of preparation of the charge of the purpose of preparation of the purpose of the purpose of preparation of the purpose of the pur

Mr. FOOTE.—I hope the Senator will allow me to correct him. I did not say that the sentiment was common amongst the people, but that his language was of a common caste and character.

Mr. HALE.—Well, I am a common man! I do not protend to be any thing else. And now, having exposed the attempt on the part of the administration as well as I can, I would appeal to Senators; and if there are any other ambitions men in the Senate besides myself. I would call on them to see to it that the man who has prepared this measure doesn't "bring all this grist into his hopper." If there be any other presidential aspirant here besides myself, I think he had better look well to this business. Did the Senator from Michigan speak to me? [Laughter, in which the reply of Mr. Case was lost to the repetter.]

Mr. HANNEGAN.—When the Schator from Mississippi alluded to the fear of Austria, had he reference to any remarks made by me?

Mr. FOOTE.—I alluded entirely to the speech of the Senator from North Carolina, of which 1 had read little more than the half.

Mr. MANGUM.—I have but a word to say on this bill. We have all been anused by this lexicographical discussion, which is entirely innocent. But I exceedingly regret that this measure should have been regarded in any other than a purely business point of view. I regard it, and hope I shall continue to regard it, strictly in that light. This government recognizes no such thing as sectarian prejudices or sectarian feelings. We are accustomed though I have my prejudices or this subject, and, I hope, with the deference to the optimions of others, yet I am utterly incapable, while sitting here, of acting with regard to any sectarian feelings whatsoever. I shall act in this case purely as a busines transaction. Well, then, what is it in that light? This mission originated in one of two purposes, in either the political or the commercial aspect of the case, or in both. The whole subject of our diplomate agencies has been frequently spoken of, and I have considering on the Mediterrean has heen bad policy. I have thought that it was a very convenient mode of gratifying, perhaps, many of our political friends under all administrations; and I know that a few years ago this matter was the subject of conversation in a very intelligent circle, and that a suggestion was then made which struck me as a wase one, to the effect, that if we could not support the commercial importance, it might be a subject of conversation in a very intelligent circle, and that a suggestion was then made which struck me as a wase one, to the effect, that if we could political or commercial importance, it might be a subject of conversation in a very intelligent circle, and that a suggestion was then made which struck me as a wase one, to the effect, that if we could political or commercial importance, it might be a subject of conversation in a very intelligent circle, and that in this case, when might concentrate all our interests, commercial and political, and quie dignity and efficiency to our diplomatic relations in that quarter of the world. I am

might be a suitable location for such a mission, as there is at that city a vast confluence from all parts of Europe, and in a political aspect it might be useful. Our commerce with the Papal States is not very great, but it is a matter worthy of consideration.

is not very great, but it is a matter worthy of consideration. As to the progress of bieral opinions in Italy or elsewhere, I am perfectly willing to sympathize in all efforts to meliorate the coadition of the people, but here in my place I protest not only against all attempts to excite religious feeling or sectarian feeling, but also against the deat that it is the mission of this government to propagate our own views, or in any way to interfere with the internal affairs of any other people. Against religious propagation and political propegandism I am equally opposed. Whenever I behold shackles and feters falling from the limbs of men, I rejoice and freely extend my sympathies; but sitting here, in this place, I trust, sir, that nothing shall divert my attention from the duties which belong to this station and the interests to which I am selemily bound to give exclusive attention. We are here to attend to our own affairs, not to meddle with the domestic concerns of any other neotle.

of any other people.

I have had some difficulty in determining whether either in a political or commercial aspect any mission was called for at Rome. At all events I have made up my mind to vote against the full mission. I would vote for a minister-resident. We send a minister-resident Constantinople, the eity of the Turk; and also to the heathen in the eastern sens and China. This we do totally irrespective of religious differences, and almost purely from considerations of counters of the control of the contr

Again, I have always thought that the policy of this government in relevence to some of the principal European missions was universelved. I would give them more imposing effect. The representatives abroad of this great and wealthy and powerful people ought not to stand at the tail of the whole diplomatic eorps of Europe. I would give them more consideration. The money would be wisely spent. I twould be wise policy also to provide the material suitable to the place. But, perhaps, in a country like ours, where party must always have large indience, it can hardly be hoped that such appointments will be always the most judicious. I shall vote against the proposed amendment.

Mr. ALLEN—I have a very few words to say on the matter of the highest grade; because, if there be any reason for the establishment of the mission at all tapresses to be that it should against this measure; and one has been, that it was irredued to altest the hallot-baxes in the United States—a conclusion drawn from the fact, that the head of the government, to which it is proposed to send this mission, is a member of a particular church. That objection thus resolves itself into this; that although the mission he sent to a government, yet because the head of that government is the member of a church, therefore it should not be sent. Now, when our constitution required us to keep our hands off this sacred subject of religion, it simply prohibited two things: the establishment of a state religion, and the persecution of any particular religious faith whatever. But because the head of the government to which this mission is to be sent is the member of a church, it is argued that, therefore, the minister ought not be sent.

Mr. MANGUM.—I hope the Senator does not allude to any remarks of mine?

Mr. ALLEN.—No. sir. This mission has nothing to do with the church. It is a mission to a government, not to a priest. It is a mission to a government, not to a priest. It is a mission to a government of the priest. It is a mission to a government when we have the mations, and sustaining relations as a government to other nations—a government in all essential features, independent of the peculiarity of an ecclesiastic at its head. It say, then, it does not become us, who have no jurisdiction of this scared subject, to proscribe, or to attempt to proscribe, any branch of the church whatever, or any ered or denomination of Christians. So far as this objection goes, I plend the constitution in answer to it. We have no great supports. Well the agreement on account of their trible is the other? That there is but little commerce with the Papil is the other? That there is but little commerce with the Papil states. True, but this mission is recommended by the Executive upon other than commercial grounds. It is recommended upon the product of the property of the production of the produ

President presents as the first of these reasons that, the peculiar political condition of the Papal States—a condition in which it was impossible for him, as the head of a great government of freemen, not to sympathize if he truly represented his countrymen. The not to sympathize it he truly represented his country men. Liberation of oppressed humanity has but commenced in Italy. A change has been proposed, the object of which is to free the oppressed and diminish the number of the starving. state of things as this is about to transpire in that part of the world most sacred to our classic recollections as well as to the Christian most sacretar our classic reconcertions as wen as to the Consistant sympathies of our whole people of every denominative, does it become us to stand here and talk about the amount of commerce that floats upon the Tiber, before we expend a few thousand dollars for the establishment of this mission? There is nothing new in all this. The idea did not originate with the President. It is not for the first time heard in this broad land; nor is the policy proposed one which for the first time meets a repose in the people. Many a year ago this government followed the example of all other governments in the world, and tendered its sym-Pathies to a people who were struggling in the same eanse. Why, sir, whenever an unfortunate people have been endeavoring Why, sir, whenever an unfortunate people have been endeavoring to free themselves from despotie authority, and the effort has been crushed by force of arms, every other despot in Europe has sent his minister with congratulations to the successful tyrant. Sent its minister win congramations to the Succession systam. When on the other hand, the people triumph—when as recently in Paris, the people bear upon their shoulders the shattered fragments of a throne, and consume it with fire, and the model of our own constitution is selected, does it become us to stand here and add up the difference between \$4,500 and \$9,000, in order to determine whether we will stand by and see brother despot congratulate brother despot for his triumphs over the liberties of the people, whilst we dare not offer our congratulations to the people ple, whilst we dare not other our congratulations to the people when they triumph over their despotic rulers? No, sir, our government has acted in this matter before this day. A famous recommendation, in the House of Representatives, to tender in the form of a legislative vote, the sympathies of the American people to the struggling Greeks. But now, it seems, we are to stand by and behold unmoved the attempt of the head of a government to extend the liberties of his people despite of the opposition of neighboring despots, who fear in the progress of Italian reform, that their thrones may be endangered. We are rold that we have no commerce on the Tiber, and that we must on no account expend nine thousand dollars in order to aid the cause of freedom throughout the world! Sir, I hope we shall; and I hope farther, that there will be spirit enough within the walls of this capital to tender the congratulations of Congress, in the name of the people to their triumphant brethren in France, before we adjourn. That is what I hope. We know what is going on in the world, and we cannot avoid taking sides—at all events by sympathy and by all the moral influences that we can bring to bear. We cannot avoid taking sides with the people of Europe without betraying the great cause of human liberty.

We live in an age of the world when great truths are established, to glaring for any man to say, "Il look but see not?" One great truth has been established within the last forty days; and I pronounce it one of the most important truths which has been politically established since the foundation of society, and it is this: That armed men no longer afford a guarantee to despoism. Standing armies can no longer be relied on to sustain thrones, but on the contrary mix and mingle with the oppressed multitude and are the first to reduce those thrones to ashes. That is the great truth of the age. It has just been established in France—established in the presence of a hundred thousand bayonets in the pay of the crown! That, sir, is worth more than all the discoveries with regard to steam and electricity that have been made, no matre how much bragging there may have been about the use of these elements. There are but two powers in the government of man now in operation—force and public opinion. Force has failed in the heart of Europe, and the governments there must forever tsupou opinion, and that opinion founded upon the enlightened

reason of the people.

I am driven by the nature of the discussion far from my purpose when I rose. But I cannot but think of the events in Europe at this hour, which, in connection with the acts of the head of the Papal States, are by an involuntary association of idens, brought up in States, are by an involuntary association of idens, brought up in continuous to the recent interesting events in Italy without reference to the tenton of France. I shall vote, sir, for the establishment of a mission of the highest grade to the Papal States.

Mr. BADGER.—The observations of the hmorable Senator from Ohio muke it necessary that I should occupy the attention of the Senate for a few moments. I do not propose to follow him in the wide field into which he has entered, because the range in the come back simply to the observations of the query charge; but to come back simply to the consideration of the query charge; he could be senated to the stabilishment of this massion to Roune, because the objected to the establishment of this massion to Roune, because the countries of the countries of the country was the member of a particular charge, and as in answer to a question put by my colleague, whether the reference was to any remarks made by him, the Senator from Ohio answered in the negative, it occurred too me as possible, that the bonorable Senator might have referred to something which fell from we the other day.

Mr. ALLEN.—I may state that I had direct and exclusive reference to the remarks of the Senator from North Carolina, and his

argument, which was that the Protestant people of the United States would mark this transaction.

Mr. BADGER.—I understand then, the Senator has just now attribated to me the remark that I had objected to the establishment of this mission because the head of that government is the member of a particular church. It is very certain that I said on such thing as the Senator just now attributes to me, and I think it is equally certain that no such thing can be deduced from what I did say. Certaioly I ever objected to the establishment of this mission because the sovereign of Rome is a member of a particular church. Such an expression never fell from me, and I am certain that I never conceived such an idea. Without professing to have any superior information on the subject; I was establish aware that the sovereigns of all the countries of particular churches, or religious control calcas, at members of particular churches, or religious controllators, at one member of particular churches, or religious controllators, at one member of ground which I take cannot be possibly confounded by anybody with the error of objection, and make it so clear that the cause its thead is the member of a church.

This mission must be recommended to us by some considerations either of interest to ourselves, or the general welfare of ciety. It is recommended by the President on the ground of "recent interesting political events," in progress in Italy, under the direction of the present Pope; and secondly, on the ground of exercising a due oversight over our commercial interests in that part of the world. Now, with regard to the latter, it seems to be conceded, as I understand, by every gentleman in the Senate, who has taken part in this discussion, that we have no commercial interests with the Papal States that require this mission—that as these interests are small and inconsiderable, and have been sufficiently provided for by the thre American consuls in the Papal States, so they may safely be left to the same superintendence in future. The bonorable Scantor looks down with seorn at the idea of troubling ourselves with any such sublunary matters as commercial interests; and, soaring en-tirely above any of those earthly regions which we occupy, has trely above any of those extently regions which we decelly, has gone on a transcendental exeursion in pursuit of reasons why we should institute this mission to Rome. Now, the President of the United States, in his message, has made the commercial interests of the country one of the grounds on which he thinks this mission ought to be established. Being myself rather a plain man, and not at all given to transcendental views of any kind, I cannot forbear thinking that an American Senate may be more properly engaged in eonsidering if the commercial interests of this country require the in-stitution of this mission. The general impression seems to be that stitution of this mission. The general impression seems to be that they do not require it. On what other ground is it asked that now, at this particular time, this mission shall be established, and either a minister or charge sent to the Papal states? "The recent political events in Rome," which the honorable Senator from Ohio has spoken of as if there had been some real change in the political condition of the people of Rome—as if Pope Pius the IX. had adopted some measures, by which absolute power should be mitianapteu seine medsures, oy winen aoshare powir stouch e migated, and some degree of popular right established? The Sena-tor speaks of the Pope as if he were engaged in an effort to es-tablish civil and religious liberty. He speaks of the sympa-thy which every American heart should feel for all such movements, in every part of the world. Well then when such a movement does take place, I hope I shall have a reasonable and just degree of sympathy for it; but I must have some little evidence of its existence b that sympathy can be awakened. Now I ask the honorable Senator from Ohio, who is no doubt familiar with all these events in Rome, what step the Pope has maken towers the establishment efforce of religious or eight freedom? Has he granted to the people of his States a legislative chamber? Has he griven them any participa-tion in the privileges of government? Has he premitted freedom of speech and of the press? Has he abolished the Consorship? Has he granted universal religious toleration? Has he abridged any portion of the power which he received from his predecessors Has he interposed a single barrier in any form to the exercise of that absolute control over his subjects which he has received from his predecessors and which he means to hand down to those who are to succeed him? Not at all. He has made some municipal reforms. He has shown himself to be a kind and good master to his subjects, but not only has he done nothing by which their condition as the subjects of an absolute sovereign may be mitigated, but he has expressly disavowed the intention of making any change. But says the Seantor from Ohio, we are to send out this mission for the purpose of tendering our congratulations to the people of the Pupal States upon the advancement that they have made per or one Papar secures upon ing novacement must they nave amen in the establishment of their liberties under the patronage of their sovereign Pomitif. Do they assemble in conventions? Do they meet in legislative boiles? Have they any representation what-over by which they are recognised as a power in the State, and to which these congratulations can be tendered? Not at all. They kindly, benchoently governed, and as far as their personal welfare is concerned, they are wisely governed by the sovereign Pontiff. But it seems to me that the Senator forgets the nature of that sovereignty. It is as the first Bishop of the Church that the Pope possesses any temporal power. The been vory well expressed by Malte Brun: The government is, as has

"An elective monarchy having for its domain, the earth; on which it only occupies a point; and for its empire, the Heavens, from which it looks at kings as its in fenors."

When the Pope dies how is his successor appointed? The suc-

cession is not by hereditary descent; there is no election by the people; the choice is made by the sacred college of Cardinals.

Mr. WEBSTER-(in his seat.)-From one of their own num-

Mr. BADGER .- Yes; and that college consists, I believe, of Mr. BADGER.—Yes; and that college consists, I believe, of seventy members—bishops, priests, deacons. They meet and select one of their body as the successor of the late Pontifi, and he derives all his power from that body. They choose the Pope. He is elected by a hody of priests, and succeeds to an absolute power over the people of the Papal States. It is, therefore, an absolute, unbroken, and unmitigated, desponition. In using that term I mean no reproach to the present Pontifi, who is, as I sincerely believe, greatly in advance of a large proportion of the distinguished personages who have occupied the Papal chair. But the government is of necessity a despotism, and though it by no means follows that the individual when the proportion. rapis chair. Dut the government is of necessity a despottan, al-though it by no means follows, that the individual who excreases the power may be in his heart a despot. Yet, the present Pope has made in approximation to freedom in the American sense of the term. What do we understand by freedom? Not merely the protection extended by a kind and beneficient ruler. It be subjected to the government of a known law. is to be subjected to the government of a known law. It is to have guarantees that our rights shall not be invaded; and amongst these rights is the exercise of the elective franchise by the body of the people. It is to enjoy a share in legislation, which is null and void without the assent of our people. Now it is impossible, in my view of it, that the Pope can establish such a system of free government in his dominions. We establish such a system of Iree government in his dominions. We all know that it is one of the claims put forth by the Sovereign Pontifi, that he was constituted "prince over all nations and king-kingdoms?" he plucks up, pulls down, destroys, plants, and builds," at his sovereign pleasure—exercising, in short, all those powers claimed by Fope Poss the Fifth, in his famous Bull of excommunication. We know that the clutreh of which the Pope is the lated answer changes, Other things may change, Pope is the head never changes. Other things may change, but the Cathoic church changes not. What was once claimed by her is ever claimed by her. It is true in the progress of civilization in modern times, the power to exercise the claimed authority over the nations and kingdoms of the earth has fallen into dissettude, because it can be no longer carried into execution, and because, perhaps, there is no disposition to carry it out. But this power is still chimred. It is impossible then, according to this view of the Papal authority and government, that the Yope could divide the authority will the people of his

that the Pope come aware the authority with the people of its States, which has been annoxed to his sprittual kingdom in order to give it external dignity and respectability. It seems to me, that when this matter comes to be carefully considered, it must be regarded as simply a mission from this country to the first Bishop of the world, because it is only as an ecclesiastic that the sovereign Pontial exercises temporal authority. The world of the World with the property of the world, the case is the property of the world with The point of my objection is; that this is a mission-not as 1ty. The point of my objection is; that this is a mission—not as the Senator from Ohio supposes to a member of a particular church —but that it is sending a mission to a spiritual sovereign, who is the head of the Catholic church. And I said, and now repeat, that the Protestant communities of this country will regard that step as a great departure from the principles which have regulated step as a great departure from the principles which have regulated our diplomatic intercourse with other nations. I do not object to the mission because the person to whom it is to be sent is a mem-ber of a particular church, or because he happens to be the head of the Catholic church. I object to it because he is a spiritual po-tentate, and there are no interests of the United States in his do-minions which require the presence of a diplomotic agent of this country. It is on this ground that I object to the establishment country. It is on this ground that I object to the establishment of this mission, and on that ground I would object to a diplomatic mission to the bishop of any church.

I agree in what was said by my friend from Indiana, that if it be our object to testify our respect for free institutions, and republican government in the old world, by the establishment of a mission, we had much better send a minister to the Swiss confederation. But I think my friend might have gone still further. There is one republic, to which the sending of a mission could not be interpreted as evincing any thing else, than a sincere desire to pay homage and respect to a republican government. There is the little republic of San Marino, with its thirty square miles of territory, and seven thousand inhabitants which has existed as a republic for four teen centuries. It is the oldest sister that we have in the world. She was a republic for ten centuries before this continent was discovered; and if we are disposed to go out of our way, and send

covered; and if we are disposed to go out of our way, and send missions merely for the purpose of expressing our sympathy with the republican institutions of mankind, here is a case for its unexequence of the sympathy and the republican institutions of mankind, here is a case for its unexequence in the sympathy of th gental malarceur to stain considerations, but I cannot see now they would justify us in sending a mission for the purpose of testifying our respect to the departed greatness of ancient Rome. I would suggest that the money necessary for the establishment of such a mission had much better be approrpiated to sending to Rome and defective the harmonic forms. defraying the expenses of some of our young men of genius, as usual without means, who might be employed in studying the works of ancient art, and return to their own country accomplished

painters and sculpters, able to adorn their native land with works painters and sculptors, able to adorn their native land with works which might exercise the most important influences in educating and improving the public taste. But I also understood that Senator to suggest, that he would be in favor of making this ultimately a mission for the whole of the Italian States. When that proposition comes before us, it may he worthy of consideration. At the state of the state and will of course govern themselves by their own judgment

Mr. FOOTE .- The Senator from North Carolina, insists that the Pope has done nothing, and attempted nothing, worthy to be rece rized as even indicating a disposition to ameliorate and liberalize the civil institutions of the States over which he bears rule. Of course, sir, the Senator speaks honestly and frankly touching these high matters, and has doubtless expressed himself according to the information he happens to possess upon the subject. He will permit me, sir, not with standing, to a ver, that up to the present moment, I had thought that there was not an intelligent man in the whole country, of those who do not entirely withhold themselves from a perusal of the newspapers, to whom various measures of political perusal of the newspapers, to whom various measures of political reform, projected and executed by his Papal majesty, of a nature most important and salutary, were not familiarly known. These high acts of civic amelioration I shall not now specify, holding specification in this hall to be necelless, except for the instruction of the Senator from North Carolina, to whose particular edification I have not time at present to devote myself. I should like to know of the Senator though, why Pope Pius and the measures of his of the Senator though, why Pope Pius and the measures of his factorial properties of the properties of the Senator of the Senator though the properties of the Senator properties of the Senator of the spirit of political regeneration, through all upper, central, and lower Italy? What has fixed the admiring eyes of the civilized lower Italy? What has fixed the admiring eyes of the divilized world upon Pope Pius, and his every act and declaration, if, as contended, he has done nothing, and attempted nothing to restore free institutions to Italy, where they once flourished so illustrious-ly? I leave this topic, sir, perhaps other Senators may choose to lay documentary evidence before this bedy, in vindication of the Pope of Rome against the cruel injustuce done him in this debate. Lbestan to make most lwa promositions, heretofore asserted by Pope of Rome against the cruel injustice done him in this debate. I hasten to make good two propositions, heretofore asserted by myself and others near me, and which have both been denied by myself and others near me, and which have both been denied by the Senator from North Carolina. I have charged the Senator with having objected to this mission, in part at least, upon mere sectarian grounds. This be denies. He has also anthorized his colleague to deny for him, that he accused the administration, in the created made be him a flow days given (and which had be the control of the days given and the control of the c coneague to deny or him, that he accused the administration, in the speech made by him a few days since, (and which is now be-fore me, in the National Intelligencer.) of having gotten up this mission for the purpose of propitiating the Catholic voters of the country; or, in the refined phraseology of the Senator from New Hampshire, the administration has been accused of pandering to Hampsare, the administration has been accused of pandering to Catholic feeling for political purposes. It will be sufficient to read from the Senator's own speech to satisfy all who hear me, that neither of the negations referred to are substantiable by evidence. Here are the printed words of the Senator himself.

neither of the negations reterred to are substantiable by evidence. Here are the printed words of the Senator himself.

"But what is the other reason assigned by the President? The recent politics of the present evidence is taken place in the Papal States and of the direction of the present evidence is taken place in the Papal States and of the direction of the present we should send a minister to Rome? How are we connected with these political evidence is the present of the papal States? What is the chance of the papal States? What is the chance of the papal state and the present evidence of the papal States? What is the chance of the papal state and the present place is the present place of the papal States? What is the chance of the papal state is the present place in the present place in the present place is the present place in the present place in the present place is the present place in the present place in the present place is the present place in the present place in the present place is the present place in the present place in the present place is the present place in the place is the present place in the place is the present place in the place is the place is the place is the place in the place is the place

and apport.

"Tands a minim, vir. I, for one an opposed. I wish for our Ruman Catholic circles of this country principly when very proper than the country principly with very proper to the country principly with very proper to the country principly with very proper to the country principly with the proper to the country principle with the proper to the country principle with the theoretical post of its different forting from that which is occupied by other which the sovereign Postiff is the best. It will be regarded as pacing this and his charch in this country upon a fix different forting from that which is occupied by other which the sovereign Postiff is the best. It will be regarded as pacing this and his charch in this country upon a fix different forting from that which is occupied by other government of the United States had instituted, to reference to this particular charch, a proceeding entirely dissonant from its past policy; and that it has departed, in no and ledges, thou the principle of oursewal tolerands and that non-interretion in small degree, then the principle of oursewal tolerands and that non-interretion in small degree, then the principle of oursewal tolerands and that non-interretion in small degree, then the principle of oursewal tolerands and that non-interretion in the principle of the p

ted States with the Papa! States would have attracted less solicitude from the occupant of the White House.  $^{\circ}$ 

of the Wide Hone."

Sir, having supplied this full evidence to the Senate, I have no more to say, except this: The Senator from North Carolina has more to say, except this: The Senator from North Carolina has supplied to the sector of the s

these remarks than in the words of a brilliant and distinguished or a tor of the Emerald Isle. "Oh, Prejudice! where is thy reason? Oh, Bigotry! where is thy blush?"

The question was then taken on the amendment by yeas and nays as follows:

YEAS—Mesiri Allen, Aibley, Bagby, Benton, Cais, Dickinson, Div, Douglav, Down, Fields, Faote, Jahowa, of Georgia, Mooi.—213.

Ruder, Callona, Carbon, Carbon, Carbon, Carbon, Ball, Berrien, Bradbary, Bentier, Callona, Carbon, Carbon, Christon, Christon, Carbon, Christon, Davio, Massingle, Dayton, Greene, Hale, Hannegan, Hunter, Johnson, of Louisana, Lewis, Mangun, Mason, Misch, Fider, Turner, Undervool, if-plann, Westont.—269.

The amendment was therefore rejected, and after some further progress had been made in amending the bill, the Senate.

On motion, adjourned.

# TUESDAY, MARCH 21, 1848.

The VICE-PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a communication from William C. Anderson, on the causes of the explosion of steambollers, and means of prevention; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce.

# MEMORIALS ETC., OF THE LEGISLATURE OF ALABAMA.

Mr. BAGBY presented a memorial from the Legislature of the State of Alabama, praying the assent of Congress to an act of the legislature of that State, leasing the canal around the Muscle Shoals, in the Tennessee river; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

Also, a memorial from the same Legislature, praying Congress to pass a law dispensing with an administration on the estates of citizens of that State, who volunteered for the war with Mexico in 1846, and have fallen victims to disease contracted in the service; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Also, a memorial from the same Legislature, praying a donation of other lands for school purposes in that State, in lieu of valucless sixteenth sections; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

Also, the response of the same Legislature, to the preamble and response to the Legislature of State of Vermont, on the subject of slavery, and the war with Mexico; and the response of the same Legislature to the Legislature of the State of Rhode Island and Providence Planatations, on the subject of the Tariff and the war with Mexico; which were laid upon the table and ordered to be printed.

Mr. LEWIS presented a memorial of the same Legislature, praying a donation of land for purposes of education; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

Also, a memorial of the same Legislature, praying the payment of interest on advances made by that State for the use of the government during the Creek hostilities; which was referred to the Committee on Finance.

Also, a memorial of the same Legislature, praying the extension of the right of pre-emption to settlers on the public lands in that state; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

Also, a memorial of the same Legislature, praying a donation of public lands to aid in the construction of a railroad in that State; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

# PETITIONS

Mr. HALE presented a petition of D. Lamb and others, citizens of the United States, in relation to the disposition of the public lands; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

Also, a petition of citizens of Baltimore, praying the abolition of the slave trade in the District of Columbia; the motion to receive which was laid upon the table.

Also, eleven petitions of citizens of Pennsylvania, praying the adoption of measures for the abolition of slavery throughout the Union; the motion to receive which was laid upon the table.

Also, eight petitions of citizens of Pennsylvania, praying the adoption of measures for the immediate and peaceful dissolution of the American Union; the motion to receive which was laid upon the table.

Also, a petition of citizens of Pennsylvania and Delaware, praying the adoption of measures for the abolition of slavery throughout the Union; the motion to receive which was laid upon the table.

Mr. UPHAM presented the petition of Sarah Tyler, widow of a revolutionary soldier, praying an increase of pension; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. BENTON presented a memorial of the city council of St. Louis, praying an appropriation for the completion of the harbor at that place; the behind was referred to the Committee on Commerce and ordered to be printed.

Mr. DIX presented the petition of John F. Howard, praying the establishment of a line of mail steamers between New York and Marseilles, in France; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Mr. BRADBURY presented a petition of citizens of Waldo county, Maine, praying the establishment of a mail route between Augusta and Bangor, in that State; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

# On motion by Mr. UPHAM, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Haym M. Salamon, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Revolutionary

# MR, WISE'S CORRESPONDENCE.

Mr. HANNEGAN submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to:

Resolved. That there be printed fifteen hundred extra copies of the communication of the State Department in answer to a call for the correspondence of Mr,  $W_{\rm DS}$  on he subject of the slave trade.

#### DONIPHAN'S EXPEDITION.

Mr. BENTON submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to:

Resolved, That the Secretary of the Senate be directed to inquire into the execution of the Senate's order, of January 13th, directing the report of Dr. Whilizenis to be printed; and report the progress, if any, made in the execution of the said order.

#### RETIRED LIST IN THE NAVY.

Mr. YULEE submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved, That the Committee on Naval Affairs be directed to inquire into the propriety of providing for a retired list in the navy.

#### PRIVATE BILLS.

Mr. BALDWIN, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the bills from the House of Representatives, for the relief of William Culver; for the relief of John Anderson; and for the relief of the heirs of Matthew Stewart, reported them without amendment.

#### DEFICIENCY BILL.

On motion by Mr. ATHERTON, the prior orders were suspended, and the Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Wholo, the consideration of the bull from the House of Representatives, further to supply deficiencies in the appropriations for the service of the fiscal year ending the 30th of June, 1848.

The question pending, being upon agreeing to the amendment submitted by Mr. Atchison yesterday—

Mr. ATCHISON said: Since 1 proposed this amendment, I have examined the amendment submitted by the Chairman of the Committee on Finance, and as he is of opinion that his amendment will preclude the necessity for this, although I am not entirely satisfied that such is the case, I am willing to withdraw it.

Mr. ATHERTON.—I do not know whether it provides for the case; but the amendment I proposed, was offered at the instance of the Second Auditor himself; and, unless the proposition of the geattleman comes from the office where the clerks are to be employed, I would prefer not to encumber this bill with it, especially as there must be a bill passed for the next fiscal year, and it can then be acted upon more understandingly than it can now

Mr. ATCHISON.—I do not wish to embarrass this bill, and as the Senator seems to think that speedy action upon it is necessary, I will withdraw my amendment.

The bill was then reported to the Senate, and the amendments were concurred in.

Mr. BADGER.—As no question has been presented on the mission to the Papal States upon which a vote could be taken directly for or against the establishment of such mission, I will as I am opposed to the mission altogether, more, in order to have a direct vote, to strike out the words "Papal States."

Mr. ALLEN asked for the yeas and nays.

Mr. BADGER.—Of course, if the motion succeeds, I shall follow it by a motion to change the amount of appropriation.

Mr. HANNEGAN.—I will ask the Senator from North Carolina if he will allow me to substitute for the motion which he has made, a motion to strike out the words "Papal States," and to insert in their stead "for the salary of a minister resident at the Papal States, six thousand dollars"

Mr. BADGER.—The honorable Senator will perceive that that motion would not answer the purpose which I wish to accomplish. I wish to strike out the provision altogether. I am opposed to sending to the Papal States a mission of any description, I wish to preclude it entirely.

Mr. WEBSTER.—I heard a suggestion made yesterday by some gentleman in this chamber, which I thought a very proper one, and I would be happy to see it adopted. I understand this is a proposition to make good certain ascertained deficiencies in the appropriations. Is it a proper occasion to introduce new offices, whether charge-ships or missions, I should prefer that all these missions, drage-ships, or whatever they may be, shall be omitted, and with that view I should be in favor of a motion to strike out the whole proposition which relates to their relates to the

The question being about to be taken on to strike out the words "Papal States"—

Mr. WEBSTER said: If my motion to the last is a larger one still; it is to strike out all the strike missions, and to confine this bill to what it purp to the a bill to supply ascertained deficiencies of appropriations by the established branches of the public service.

Mr. DAYTON.—I should like to understand why it is that this appropriation is inserted in this bill. I am myself, however, in favor of permitting the chargeship to stand.

Mr. ATHERTON—I do not know what difference it makes heather the missions be instituted in this bill, or in the appropriation till for the next fiscal year. The current fiscal year expires on the lest day of next June. The President has recommended that missions should be sent to certain States, and as it was supposed to be desirable that these missions should be immediately provided for, they are inserted in the bill for supplying the deficiencies of appropriations for the current fiscal year. I cannot see that it can make any possible difference whether they be provided for a this bill which provides for the expenses of the year not yet expired, or whether they be provided for in the appropriation bills for the next fiscal year.

Mr. WEBSTER.—I will not press any motion to embarrass the chairman of the committee, I will withdraw my motion.

Mr. DAYTON.—It strikes me that the explanation of the chairman of the Committee on Finance is satisfactory. If the appointments are to be made, and made during the current year, I think this is the proper bill in which to insert them. So the proper bill in which to insert them. So the search of the place. The strike is the proper bill in which to insert them. So the search of the place is the place in the place is the place is the place in the place is the place in the place is the place is the place is the place is the place in the place is the place

Mr. BUTLER.—I do not know whether I ought to address my lations, or to the chairman of the Committee on Foreign Relations, or to the chairman of the Committee on Finance; but I would like to know whether any of the principal Protestant countries of Europe have representatives at the Papal courts?

Mr. MANGUM.—I understand that there has been no represent of the depth of the British Parliament, that there is a projection to revive a mission from that country. I was not aware before that one had ever existed avowedly, although it was very well known that Lord Minch had resided there in the appacity of an agent of the British. I believe there is no minister there at this time.

Mr. BUTLER.—I have had some difficultly in bringing my mind

to a conclusion upon this subject, and am very much inclined to tot with the Senator from North Carolian, to strike out the mission altogether. I can see no good reason for sending a minister plenipotentiary or a mission of any kind. I cannot very well see what he can do at Rome to forward our interests. If we were send a mission to the Pope of Rome, it is not to be supposed that we should meet with any reciprocity. It is not to be supposed that we should meet with any reciprocity. It is not to be supposed that our mission would make any impression upon the institutions which are under the direction of that prince, who is the spiritual and temperature of the prince of the principle of the supposed that he would remit any of that intolerance by reason of being complimented by a mission from us. We are conducting the administration of a government which assumes no pursidiction over religions matters. Ours is a government which does not allow us to legislate for religion, and I am not willing indirectly or give countenance to a mission for religions considerations whilst I am precluded from doing any thing directly in effections whilst I am precluded from doing any thing directly in effections whilst I am precluded from doing any thing directly in effections whilst I am precluded from doing any thing directly in effections whilst I am precluded from doing any thing directly in effections whilst I am precluded from doing any thing directly in effections whilst I am precluded from doing any thing directly in effections whilst I am precluded from doing any thing directly in effections whilst I am precluded from doing any thing directly in effective to a direct of the control of

ground of his being at the head of the Roman Catholic Church. If we were to send a charge d'affaires, what business would be find to transact! What occupation would be have! There would be nothing for him to do. He might, it is true, employ himself it examining the ruins of the Forum where Tully declaimed, or he might look from the Tarpeian rock and make himself familiar with those classic seenes and come back with classic associations. According to my present impressions I shall vote with the Senator from North Carolina for I can see no reason so far as regards our relations with the Roman Pontiff or with his dominions for sending a representative there.

Mr. HANNEGAN.—I will simply say in reply to the Senator from South Carolina that it is not proposed to send a minister to the Pope in his spiritual character at all. The proposed to send a minister to the Pope in his spiritual character at all. The proposed to send a minister to the Pope in his spiritual character at all the proposed spiritual spiritual

Mr. CASS.—Mr. President, I did not intend to speak upon the ceived some foreign journals, which contain a good deal of information on topics alluded to yesterday by showcable Senator from North Carolina, (Mr. Barocza), should have contented myself with a silent vote in law long proposition. That Senator secured to easily in present, if not the sincerity of the Pope, at

tor seemed to call in question, if not the sincernity of the Pope, at least the importance of the reform he has thus far accomplished. Mr. President, circumstances are occurring in Europe, which give to this whole subject, a new and interesting aspect. Undoubtedly the Papal dominions are neither large in their goognapies of the property of the Papal dominions are neither large in their goognapies a state of the third order in Europe, like Portugal, Denmark and Sweden, and which is inform to Holland, but superior to Greece. It stretches across the peninsula of Italy from the Mediterranean to the Adriatic, haven and the Adriatic, haven the peninsula of Italy from the Mediterranean to the Adriatic, haven and the Adriatic haven an

and starring events. Here, "A new revolution has broken out in Prance, and no man can tell when or where will be its end. Its appearance is no less portentous than unexpected, and in various other countries upon the contineat of Europe the people are in commotion, feeling their wrongs, asserting liteir rights, and determined to burst the bonds of oppression in which they have been so

long held.

We cannot mistake, and ought not to misundectatand these signs times. Human rights are everywhere advancing, or rather man is awakening to a knowledge of his rights, and a convection of his strentth. The desire of liberty is an instinctive feeling in the human breast; but the practical enjoyment of liberty secured against wild heenticonsess is a problem sometimes of difficult solicult. It was solved here by our institutions, by the nature of our society, and by the intelligence of our people. In fact we were always free; and it was rather the fear of oppression, the fear of the consequences of the establishment of British legislative supermacy in our internal concerns, thun any actual oppression, which

drove our fathers to resistance, and taught that blessed lesson of equal rights, which the world, if slow, is sure to learn. But in other countries, under less favorable circumstances, where despotism has entered into the social system the road to free govern-ments is beset with trials and difficulties. The habits of society must be changed, and this itself is no easy task in the old regions of the eastern hemisphere. Effort after effort has often to be made; but experience and knowledge are acquired at every step of the progress, and the public mind is enlightened by the conflict of the progress, and the public mind is enlightened by the conflict itself. Excesses have taken place, which, while they cannot be justified, find much alleviation in the condition of things. Revo-lutions are made here by the ballot-box, but in Europe by the cartridge-box. Political intelligence, however, comes with time and experience, and if it comes with trials and suffernies, its ad-vent is not the less certain, and will not prove the less efficacions. National struggles constitute a great school, where tessons of strained struggles constitute a great school, where tessons of interrupted, still their progress is oward, and their result we may hope beyond the reach of arbitrary power. We are no propagan-dists. We acknowledge the right of all other people to establish and maintain their own governments in their own way, content to dists. We acknowledge the right of all other people to establish and maintain their own governments in their own way, content to enjoy the same privilege ourselves. This has always been our principle, and I lope always will be; but we cannot shit our eyes to what is going on in the political world, nor ought we to shit our hearts against the emotions they naturally excite. If we ought not to give them our aid, we can give them our sympathy, and the sympathy of twenty millions of people cannot but exert a happy influence upon the struggling masses, contending for themselves, in

influence upon the struggling masses, contending for themselves, in our day, for what our fathers acquired for us in theirs.

Now, sir, I do not think that the Pope is liable to the charge of hardg done nothing to meliorate the political condition of the people, over whom he reigns. We must recollect, and make allowances for the difficulties of his position. He cannot under all circumstances act as he would. He is in the neighborhood of strong military powers, opposed by principle and interest to political reforms. His people have been educated, and lived under a condition of things vastly different from ours. And he may well move with a degree of slowness incompatible with our ideas of political progress. But, sir, the London papers that I hold in my hand, received by the last packet, show, that he is still moving, and moving effectually. The following extracts are from the Daily News of February 15, 1841s:

News of February 15, 1848:

"Addisc from Remo of the Lifth, have anived, and bring the confirmation of the antlespations we hereby expressed. After receiving the opinion of the Tolograms in flavor of a constitution, the Pure numericality convolved a secret consistory, composed of all the readman, present in Rome, to which he part the same question, and whose aniver may be a secret to the same property of the receivable and the same two settles, is to the mode of erroring in the official; but was active list at a mixed commission, evolveistated and laical, would be appeared to prepare a draft of the constitution, which would be published with all convients speeds. Vations reports were activation, which would be published with all convients speeds. Vations reports were Continual Laminochium supported the measure, as being quite indispensable in the present state of Italy, and the couly means of establishing union and convol between the improve deepy and the people."

Rome, February 15.

The journals of this meraine here come out with ned praining his, to glowly like these constitutions dearness of Thirm. Thesany, and Naphanilang his, to glowly like these constitutions dearness of Thirm. Thesany, and Naphanilang his consistent as extend to deep it not lotted at the Guarmal, and though the a circular note to every cashinal to Rome to attend at the Guarmal, and though the consistory was severe tis object is not doubtled. Carolinal Patriat, the vive agencial of Rome, a retrogade and narrow minded ascerte, has resigned his office, and is succeeded by Carolinal Folial, a man of enhalved understanding, and conversant with human better than the contract of the contrac

dure. The Jews of Rome have elabbed to furnish the civics with a field-piece.

The Pope makes no progress towards the performance of his promises! No progress, sir, when it is perfectly known in Rome that a new state of things upon more liberal principles is in prepation! When a constitution is discussed by the government and the people, and as openly announced, as it is confidently expected! No progress, when the journals of Rome are filled with the details No progress, when the journals of Rome are filled with the details of the revolutionary movements in Italy, and commend them to the revolutionary movements in Italy, and commend them to the revolutionary movements in Italy, and commend them to the result of the revolutionary movements. The results of the commendation of the results of

successfully.

It seems to me the Pope has shown himself both a wise and a liberal sovereign. Nothing proves his favorable disposition towards political meliorations better than the unquiet jealousy with which has been been provided by the despotic powers of Europe. Immediately a considerable of the provided provided by the despotic powers of Europe. Immediately a considerable and the provided provided provided by the despotic powers of Europe. diately on use cievation to the chair of St. Feter, this seeing muni-listed stief, in consequence of his avowed determination to re-form the errors and abuses of his government. It is not a little curious, ser. that the justice which the Senator from South Caro-lina, [Mr. Betler,] refuses him, Lord Palmerston voluntarily tenders to the ocurse of his picky. In a letter just published from that statesman to the British ambassador at Vienna, dated August 12, 1847, to be communicated to the Austrian government, he

"Her Majesty's government have received no information as to the existence of any such scheme as that which Prince Metternich mentions to his second despatch, as the planned find the prince of the planned find in one federal mentions of the planned find the planned for the reasons which he suggest, that such a scheme could not be accomplished. But, on the other hand, her Majesty's powerments these them conviced, by information where the planned find the planned

take up any scheme, however wild, from which they may fame; they could derive a "The observation does not, underly, ngly with full force to the Roma Stales, because the greent Pope has shown a desire to adopt many of those much-needed refers and improvement which in PSCA astrin, in conjunction with Great Brann, not the property of t

nom which atone would spring any dangers by which that transpallity is likely to threatened.

"Your Excellency will read this despatch to Prince Metternich, and will give his Highnes a copy of it. I am, &c. [Sgmet.]

In another letter of September 11th, he says:

In another letter of September 11th, he says:

"The Austrian government has recently saked, and has received the ascent of the government of Gest Infrain to the gameigh, that the several States into which Italy government of Gest Infrain to the quantity of the product of the

importance.

'Your Excellency will read this despatch to Prince Metternich, and will give him a copy of it. I am, &c.,

[Signed.]

PALMERSTON.''

Istgood.)

This is a remarkable correspondence. The despotism and shuses of the Italian government are distinctly acknowledged, as well as the necessity for changes and neliforations, and the beneficial efforts of the Pope are commended and approved. As soon as the Pope announced his intended reforms, the echo spread through Italy, animating the people of the Italian States, and exciting them to prempt and vigorion section. A revolution is in progress in Naples, which, if not terminated by concessions on the part of the King must end in the fall of the degasts, or in the scalable. in Naples, which, if not terminated by concessions on the port of the King, must ond in the fall of the dynasty, or in the establish-ment of a republic. Whatever event may happen, freedom is some to be the gainer by the movement. This cany, too, has heard and heeded this cry for liberty. And the latest news from there informs us that the "Grand Duke has given a constitution to Trs-cany. The news has just arrived here, [Leghorn,] and as I write the fortress is saltuing and the bells ringing. It is understood to be more democratic than that of Piedmont; indeed it could not well be otherwise, as there are not the elements for a purely extrems in more democratic than that of Piedmont; indeed it could not well be otherwise, as there are not the elements for a purely aristocratic chamber in Tuscany. I avail myself of a steamer starting for Genea to send this. And the King of Sardinia, but recently as deeply imbued with the spirit of despotism as any sovereign in Europe, in a proclamation issued on the 8th of last February, recognizes that the "THE TIMES ARE RIFE FOR CREATER THINGS;" an admission which is itself a pregnant proof of the progress of political reform, as already made. He lays down the programme of executions have done and the programme of the litical reform, as aiready made. He lays down the programme or a constitution based upon a representative government, and with limitations and guarantees which will ensure much and provide the way for much more. He concludes with this remarkable paragraph. I have beard sentiments less liberal in places they would quite as well become as the court of Charles Alb, fix !:

"May God poteet the new era which opens to our people, and nutt they can enjoy the greater liberties acquired, of which they are and will be deserving, we expect from them the regions observances of laws in viger, and the maintenance of the transport of the control of the con

CHARLES ALBERT.

Liberty must often be purchased by sacrifices. But when once established, it is worth all it costs. I am satisfied that the French revolution, Jamentable as many of its occurrences were, has conduced more to political freedom and social regeneration, than any of the events, perhaps I may say than all the events,

which marked the progress of the five preceding centuries. It which marked the progress of the five preceding centuries, swept away the accumulated abuses and oppressions of ages, and it seems to have given new vigor to the buman faculties as well as new power to human exertion. Let him who wishes to learn the last outrages of licentious power and the degrada-tion of the people, study the history of France before the revolution.

Now, sir, it has been asked, why a diplomatic agent should be sent to Rome? For the same reason that similar agents are sent anywhere, and for other reasons, arising out of peculiar circum-stances. We have some commerce with the Papal States, and many American citizens reside there, for longer or shorter periods, led by the study of the fine arts, and by those associations which will always make the eternal city an object of interest to all civil ized people upon the face of the earth. This commerce and these ized people upon the face of the earth. This commerce and these citizens require protection. And besides, sir, we occupy an important position in the world. It is proper that we should be reportant position in the words. It is proper than we summer as presented at the various Europe and the total content of the proper and the property of the day. Rome is at all times of considerable importance, even in the political world. To be sare it does not possess much physical strength, but it prosesses sare it does not possess much physical strength, but it prosesses and exerts a moral power, a moral temporal power, which has often been sensibly felt in the world. And at the present moment the circumstances to which I have alluded have given it additional the circumstances to which I have alluded have given it additional importance. The eyes of Christendom are upon its sovereign.—
He has given the first blow to despotism—the first impetus to freedom. Much is expected of him. I hope and trust be will not disappoint these expectations, and while events are marching to their consumnation, the diplomacy of Europe will find full employment at his court, and its ablest professors will be there. Our government quirtly to be represented through the little processor in the processor of t as its courty, and its mores processors will be there. Our govern-ment ought to be represented there also. It is proper that the in-terest we feel under circumstances like these, should be displayed, if with proper caution, certainly without concealment.

if with proper caution, certinally without concealment.

As to the grade of the minister, I think it should be of the first
class. The Congress of Vienna established three classes of diplomatic agents accredited to the sovereign or chief magistrate of a
country—ambassadors, envoys extraordinary and ministers plenipotentiary, and ministers resident. The former we neither send
nor receive; the two latter belong to our diplomacy. As to charges, they are accredited only to the Secretary of State, and not to the head of the government. Their situation, therefore, is not so the words of the property of t that the difference in pay merits a moment's serious consideration when compared with the objects to be obtained.

A good deal has been said about the political relation between England and Rome. Well, sir, there are no such relations openly England and Rome. Well, sir, there are no such relations openly subsisting between these two powers. Soon after the reformation an act of the English Parliament prohibited, under heavy penalties, all communication with the Papal court. That act yet remains in force, and no English representative has since then resided at Rome. But from the very necessity of the case, communications have subsisted, though they have been carried on through the English minister at Florence. The British government have, however, in fact, an agent at Rome—Lord Minto, who, though unacknowledged, is ruly their representative there. But this position of things is found to be an awkward one, and a proposition is before Parliament to repeal the prohibitive act, passed in an age of intderance. Public demonstrations, however, have been made against it, and one would searcely have expected that feelings so belove Pariamena of the communications, however, have been made against it, and one would searcely have expected that feelings so unworthy of the times, would lave been exhibited in the British capital as appear by the following extract to have manifested them.

SCIVES: "DEPOSATAL BLACTIONS WITH ROHE.—A numerously attended meeting was belief systematic at Willis. Knowns, Kung-sterer, for the purpose of petitioning Paulis ment sygment the aromate for each time, of the other lands of the purpose of petitioning Paulis and the petition of the paulis of the petition of the petiti

Similar causes, they say, produce similar effects, and similar feelings produce similar declarations. Yesterday we heard the administration denounced as a popishly influenced administration, because it proposed to send a minister to Rome, and to-day we read the same denunciation against the British government, because it recommended the same measure. The world has yet much to learn before the spirit of intolerance dissappears from among men. But the extract continues:

"The country was always at war with Rome, and he hoped never would continue that war fill Rome was extinguished a and let them determine to have no poper and no peace with Antichist. The design was then put and carried mianfountly."

Scotland, too, has a little of the old leaven :

"EDINBURGH, FEB, 23.—Here "war to the kinfe" will be declared against the augmentation of the moonie tax, and diplomatic relations with Rome,"

It is surprising, sir, how long it requires to teach the world al-

most the first lesson of Christian duty-that men should be allowmost own this God in that swen way, and that shelf or in rights should not depend upon their religious faith. At this very moment a great contest, involving this principle, is going on in England. The government has proposed to abolish the disabilities which ages of barbarism imposed upon members of the Jewish personsion.—
The proposition has been earried by a small majority in the House. of Commons, but its fate is considered doubtful in the House of Peers. In the mean time the tory journals are attacking it and denouncing it as a measure fraught with the most dangerous consequences. The Morning Post, one of the exponents of British aristocratic principles, of February 15th, says:

aristocratic principles, of February 10th, says:

"It admitting bees to legislature power, word has the Legislature violate fulling away from rational Christianity! Does it not indicate, at least, indufference and
has of serious scale for the board of Christianity of the Legislature dishelency, change
to a lates in the national legislation and government! We cannot that neaver these
upstations that efficient is a very wear the matter, it some of which the just deter
endings and national scaling of the control of the properties of the particular of the particular

All this intolerance, civil and religious, in Christian countries, is in strange contrast with an event which took place in Constantinople on the 21st January last, as I learn from a journal of that city, which I hold in my hand. A Papal nuncio had just recenced there and had been recived with great distinction by the Ottoman court. I commend the following remarks of the Turkish journal, which notices this event as the first one of the kind in the Ottoman annals, to all who see the beast of the Aposylipse the Catholic church, and disregard the precepts of the Founder of Christianity. at the very moment they profess an exclusive zeal for the religion he taught :

Now, what a newcondrial precase is presented to the world by the surval of a By.

Now, what a newcondrian low even the Christians and Massiman world! I is,
it not a decaded pool of the sentiments of loderators, which are every where substitute the for the entiments of lateral and finantiers. If II the other of St. Petr is hopping by the contract of the property of the property of the contract of the contract of the substitute of the contract of the substitute of the contract of the contract of the substitute of the contract of

Mr. President, there are other sovereigns besides that of Rome who assume the title and some of the functions of the head of the hurch. The Queen of England is the legal head of the English church, and if she cannot exercise episcopal powers, she can make bishops. The Russian Emperor is the head of the Greek church, and I believe, that this is not a mere title, but that it invests him in and I bolieve, that this is not a mere title, but that it invests hum in some measure, with a sacred character, which has probably more than once protected him in perilous circumstances. Before the dissolution of the German Empire, there were many ecclesiastical sovereigns in Germany, such as the Archbishop of Treves, the Archbishop of Cologne, and others. They were the heads of their respective states, and maintained all those political relations with other powers which are recognized by the laws of mations. Their ceclesiastical functions had no connection with their temporal power.

It is doubted here, sir, whether the Protestant powers of Conti-

This doubted nery, sir, whether the Processal powers of Countries nental Europe maintain diplomatic relations with the Papal government. There is no room for the doubt; none whatever. Protestant representatives reside at Rome, and papal agents are found in protestant countries. One of the most celebrated historians of our times, Niebhur, was for many years minister at Prossia, at the Papal court; and I found his successor there in 1837, Mr. Bunsen, a name searcely inferior to the other, in all the inves-

tigations connected with the history of ancient Rome.

I hope, sir, that provision will be made for sending a minister of the highest grade to the Roman court; and that we shall take our place among the representatives of the great family of nations, in a city where events of the highest importance to the destiny of the human race are passing, and to pass.

Mr. DIX.—I voted yesterday against the amendment of the Sonator from Indiana [Mr. HANNEGAN,] proposing a resident minister to the Papul States. I did so, because it was brought forward in opposition to the proposition of the Senator from Missouri, [Mr. Barrow,] to send out a minister pleniportinity. If this motion of strike out fails, and the Senator from Indiana moves his amendment again, I shall vote for its and in stating my reasons, as I propose to do now, without waiting for his motion, I hope it will not be considered out of place, if I present some statistical details in relation to the condition of the Papal States.

I desire in the first place to say, that I do not regard this as a political mission, unless the term political be understeed in its largest sense. Much less do I consider it a religieus mission, set he honorable Senator from North Carolina. (Mr. Badorah,) would have us regard it. I consider the Pope, to all intents, as a tenjeral sovereign. He has been so for the last eleven hundred years. I believe the first territorial possession of the Pope was conferred upon him by Pepun, the father and predecessor of Clarlenague, in the 8th century. It consisted of the Duchy of Rome, or, at that time more properly called the exarchate of Ravenna, and was wrested by the king of France, from the Lombards, who had overna northern and central flatly. It extended from the present frontier of Naples on the Mediterranean, to the mouth of the Tiber, including the Campagna and Pontine marshes, and running back to the Sabine and Volscian hills. In the 12th century, the Countess I desire in the first place to say, that I do not regard this as a

Matilda of Tascany, bequeathed her possessions to the Pope. They embraced the patrimony of St. Peter, on the Mediterranean, extending from the mouth of the Tiber, to the present frontier of Tascany, and the march of Ancona on the Adriatic, with the adjoining district of Spoleto. Large accessions were subsequently made by conquest—Tubria, Romagna, Perugia, Orvieto, Citta di Castello, Bologan, Ravenan, and other cities and districts of country. In the 17th century, the Duke of Urbino abdicated in favor of the Pope; and at a still later period, some further additions were made by arms. Thus, the territorial possessions of the Pope are held, like these of other sterritorial possessions of the Charch, and the dominions of the Pope, as the territorial possessions of Spain, are the dominions of the Most Catholic Majesty; and I see no more reason to decline diplomatic relations in the first season that the last, anless there is in other respects a propriety in ease than in the last, unless there is in other respects a propriety in

doing so.
It is true, there is a peculiarity in the form of the Papal government, from the fact that, the temporal head of the State, is also the spiritual head of the Roman Catholic Church. The Senator from North Carolina very justly remarked, that his chief ministers were ceclesiastics. As is well known, the most important political hold in the Roman States, is the Sacred College or the Cardinals, who are the princes of the Church. They are seventy in number, dhe same in number as the disciples sent out to the Church. Six are cardinally controlled to the Church. They are seventy in the Church of the Church. Six are cardinally controlled to the Church of the Church years, though it is not always full. All vacancies are filled by the Pope, who is chosen by the cardinals from their own body. The government is, therefore, an unlimited elective monarchy, or if you

government is, therefore, an unimited elective monarcity, or it you please, a hierarchy, of which the Pope is the head.

The government is administered, under the direction of the Pope, a by the Secretary of State, who is a cardinal. He is aided by several departments, bureaus, or boards, the chief of which is the Camera Apostolica, corresponding with our treasury department. It is under the charge of the Chamberlain, who is aided by a number of the Chamberlain of her of cardinals and subordinates of different grades. There is also the Buon Governo charged with the municipal police of the States; the Sacra Consulta, to which is entrusted the civil and political adthe Sacra Consulta, to which is entrusted the civil and political administration of the provinces; and the Sacra Ruota, the great court of appeals in judeial proceedings. There are several more of these boards, of which I do not remember the names or the functions; but they are all under the direction of cardinals. The Chamberlain is the only one of these executive officers who is appointed for life; and the reason for the distinction is that he administer at the government on the death of the Pope for nine days, when a new election takes piece, the sound is the proposed of Satte, who is the Frime Minister and the confidential adviser of the Pope, besides having the general direction of the administrative functions of the government, presides especially over the Sacra tive functions of the government, presides especially over the Sacra Consulta, or the department for the provinces—to give it a name suited to its functions.

There is another class of official dignitaries of high rank, under the papal government—the Prefates. They are always of noble birth, but not always in holy orders. There are some two or three bundred of these dignitaries employed in various departments of the government. The place of the prelate often opens the way to higher preferment, and is next in importance to a membership of the Sacred College. These are the great officers of the govern-

Mr. BADGER .- Will the Senator allow me to ask him-for MI. BADLER.—Will the Senator allow me to ask him—for my recollection is not very accurate, and I am taking a great deal of interest in what he is saying, and listening to him with much pleasure—whether I understand him correctly as saying that these prelates are not always in holy orders? Are they not either in hely orders, or else undergoing an ecclesiastical apprenticeship, which involves the design to take holy orders.

Mr. DIX.—I said they were not always in holy orders, and I believe I am not mistaken. They usually, if not uniformly, fill offices under the government. Some of them are, governors of provomes under Inegovernment. Some of them are governors or prov-inces under the denomination of Delegates; and many of them are employed in the executive departments. Some of them become cardinals, but I should not consider it accurate to say of them as a body, that they were undergoing an ecclesiastical apprentice-

ship.

Let me now turn to the political divisions of the papal States.

The The upper laminuses are divided into twenty professes. The first is the Comarco of Rome. The other inheteen are divided into Legations and Delegations. The former are six in number, and have each a cardinal to preside over them. The latter are thirteen in number, with prelates as their presiding officers. Earl province is divided into Communes, with peculiarities of local go-

In the provinces the Legations and Delegations have a council, In the provinces the Legations and Delegations have a council, Congregation of Governo), consisting of the Gonfaloniere, or mayor, of the chief town, and from two to five councillors, according to the magnitude and importance of the province. They are named by the Pope, and hold their office for five years. The councillors have no vote; but when they differ in opinion from the presiding officer of the province, their reasons are reduced to writing and sent to the Secretary of State.

Some of the Delegations are divided into districts, with governors subordinate to the Delegate. Each district is again divided

into Communes, with their ancient magistrates or councils. These and Communes, with oner ancient magnifrates of coincins. Anese councils are close corporations, the members of which are self-elected, subject to the veto of the Delegate, and retain their seats for life. A Comfalonier, or mayor, elected from their own body by themselves, pressites over them. Of these Communes there are some eight or nine bundred, if I remember accurately, with similar forms of administration.

Thus it will be seen that the whole government is as far removed as possible from popular influence. It is from the centre to the extremities founded and administered upon the principles of a

the extremities founded and administered upon the principles of a close corporation.

The administration of justice partakes of the nature of the political organization. It is founded on the basis of the Corpus juris canonici—the civil and canon law, All criminal proceedings are conducted with closed doors, and the testimony taken in writing. The accused is entitled to the aid of an advocate, called the "accovated ofe power," in the advocate of the poor, who is appointed by the Pope and paid by the government. Imprisonment is the chief punishment for crime, fines are rarely imposed, there is no such thing as liberation on bail, and the whole administration of criminal tissue is so didatory that there are aladministration of criminal justice is so dilatory that there are al-ways a very large number of persons imprisoned and awaiting their

In all I have said it will be readily seen how much the present head of the Papal States has to reform—in the feame of the government, in its administration, and in criminal purisprudence. There is no participation by the people in the administration of public affairs. In Tusenay, Napoleon introduced publicity in crimnal proceedings, and it has survived all succeeding changes of the government. In Rome it is excluded. Whether it was introduced there by Napoleon after the deposition of the Pupe and the establishment of the Kingdom of Rome, I do not know. What reforms the Pope contemplates, how far he proposes allow the people to participate in the administration of public affairs through the choice of their own magistrates and the enactment of their own laws, I have until very recently considered

fairs through the choice of their own magistrates and the enact-ment of their own laws, I have until very recently considered doubtful—nor is the extent of the reform he contemplates very dis-tinctly understood now. It will be recollected that a few months ago he called together a council of delegates from the different prone cance together a collicio of oseigates from the different pro-vinces. I read his opening address to them with great care, sup-posing it would contain an outline of the political changes he con-templated. He stated that he had called them together for con-sultation, which seemed to exclude the idea of legislation; that extravagant expectations had been entertained as to his purposes, and that he intended to transmit to his successors unimpaired the and that the intended to training to his successors uninparred the authority he had derived from those who had preceded him. Not long before this annunciation was received, I was invited to attend a public meeting in the city of New York, called to express the general sympathy which was felt in his measures of reform. the general sympathy which was felt in his measures of reform. Not being able to attend, I addressed a letter to the committee of arrangements; and there were several other letters written by gentlemen of distinguished character, and some of them occupying high official stations. Not feeling at that time quite sure of the sequel, I did not middleg in the enthusiatie expressions which some of the letters contained. I endeavored to render the Pope for the sequel, I takes to do a now. And I must say that the resome of the elected contament. I endeavoired to render the Pope full justice. I desire to do so now. And I must say that, the re-cent intelligence from abroad justifies all the expectations which have been indulged in respect to his contemplated measures of relawe been indolged in respect to his contemplated measures of re-form. He has already done much for good government in Italy. He arrayed himself boldly at the outset against the influence of Austina—an influence which, since the general pacification of Eu-rope in 1815, has been a perfect blight upon the growth and pro-gress of popular freedom. He has resisted learnessly the designs of that government upon the independence of the Koman people. He has refused to the Austrian troops a passage through his do-minions for the purpose of aiding the King of the Two Sicilies in putting down the struggless of the Naspolina and Sicilian people against the narrow-minded tyranny by which they have been op-pressed. He has done more. He has formed a national goard in the Papal States; he has put arms into the hands of the Roman the rapid States; he has put arms into the anals of the Rohan people, and he is preparing them by military exercises for the as-given an impulse to popular freedom throughout Iraly; and it is owing, in a great degree, to him, that constitutional forms of go-vernment have been given to the people of Sardinia, Tuscany, and the Two Scilles.

The late arrival affords us still more gratifying evidence of his movements. Two papers have been put into my hands from which I will read brief extracts. The first is from a letter in the Courier des Etats Unis, dated in Paris, which I will translate literally:

"The re-action of the revolution in Naples has been felt, as I forware, in the other parts of Ital. The King of Santinia and the Grand Duke of Threaty have also Narth kas promised as a pro-limation and in conversion with those rounded has, something suilacgous to it. In the meantime, he has changed his cabinet, and large framed a mustily composed almost entiry of layerne. This is a great reform."

The other extract is from the letter of the Europeon correspondent of the National Intelligencer, published in this morning's paper. I will read it :

pier. I will retail it:
"The good and conscientions Poyre has had migivings as to his power to grant a reformed constitution to his people, fearing that his doing to would interfire with the eath
formed constitution to his people. The state of the property of the prop

positions in the State, which have hitherto been filled by ecclesiastics. Three vaca lately occorred, and three liberal manded laymen succeeded three churchmen, much does the world one to Pus IX! His liberal conduct first put the ball of n in motion: it is not destored to stup until it has regenerated Europe."

Thus it appears that the Roman people are to receive from the Pope a constitutional government. And what I consider of great importance as a measure of reform, he has already begun to inimportance as a measure of reform, he has already begun to Mirroduce laymen into his political conneits. At the general pacification in 1815 it was understood that the chief ministers of the Pope were to be chosen from the laity. This understanding was violated: and it has been one of the leading causes of public discounted in the Papal States. It has been for a quarter of a century one of the reforms most currently sought for; and it may be hailed as the precursor of an ultimate separation of the ecclesiantic and secular branches of the Papal government, by conferring political offices on laymen, and confining churchmen to the exer-cise of their ecclesiastical functions—an arrangement favorable alike to the State and the Church, by promoting the purity of the one and the prosperity of the other.

While the Pope has much to reform, he has much to contend While the Pope has much to reform, he has much to contend argainst—not only from the opposition of those who are hostile to all progress, but from the embarrassed condition of the finances of the Papal States. Some ten years aga the revenues were about nine millions of dollars; two millions and a half were derived from internal taxes, chiefly on landed property; about nine hun-dred thousand dollars from lotteries, and the residue from mis-cellaneous sources. Some of these revenues were collected at an enormous expense. The revenue from lotteries for instances, which yielded nine hundred thousand dellars in the gross, cost about six yielded nine hundred thousand dollars in the gross, cost about six hundred thousand in the collection, leaving only three hundred thousand in the treasury as an offset to the general demoraliza-tion, of which they were the cause. In the same year the expend-itures exceeded the revenues about half a million of dollars. Four years ago, I understood the deficiency exceeded a million, and the preceding year a million and a half. From the difficulty of obtainstatistical information, I could not ascertain the amount of the puble debt; but from the interest paid on it, amounting to about public debt; but from the interest paid on it, amounting to about two millions and a half of dollars, exceeding one quarter of the entire revenue of the Papal States, it must have exceeded forty millions of dollars. It cannot now, I think, be less than fifty mil-lions. It may be much more.

nons. It may be meet more.

Sir, this is a very heavy pecuniary butden for a small State.

The whole superficial area of the Papal States is about thirteen thousand square miles, less than one third the area of the State of New York, and a population, according to the raccolta or census of 1833, of two million seven hundred thousand souls, about the same as the population of New York. While Rome has two hundred as the population of New Fork. While kind has two madera and ten inhabitants to a square mile, from the difference in surface, New York has but sixty. The population of the Papal States is very unequally distributed. Only about one-third of the surface is cultivated, and a considerable portion is very third in habited. I doubt whether the population has much increased during the last fifteen years. In 1833, the city of Rome had about one hundred and fifty thousand inhabitants; in 1833, it had less than one hundred and forty-nine thousand—a. slight decrease.

The Papal States have some commerce; but little is carried on in her own vessels. There are but two harbors for vessels of any considerable burthen—Civita Vecchia on the Meditterranean and Ancona on the Adriatic. The excellence of both ports is due in a Ancona on the Adriane. The excellence of north ports is due in a good degree to the Emperor Trajan. There were other valuable ports once, but they have become useless for large vessels. Terracina, the ancient capital of the Volsci, was once a naval station of great importance; but it is now obstructed by deposites of sand. The Porto d'Anzo, the ancient Antium, about midway between Terra-eina and the mouth of the Tiber, is also obstructed and nearly

useless from the same cause.

There is but one navigable river in the Papal States—the Tiber. As there have been some allusions to it in the course of the debate, I hope I shall be excused if I make some references also to it I nope I small be excused it I make slime references suso to its condition as to commerce and navigability. It empties into the Mediterranean seventeen miles from Rome. As it approaches the sea it divides into two channels. On the left arm stood the ancient Ostia. It has long since fullen into ruins, and a modern Ostia stands near it. But from the unhealthiness of the place, it is almost deserted, and the channel of the river is nearly filled up. The right arm is navigable to the sea. On this channel stood the ancient city of Portus; but only the ruins are now visible, and the ancient cov of Fortas; but only use runs are now visions, not use modern town if Fiumcino has risen up a mile and a half below. The channel is narrow, deep and rapid. The description of Vir-il), as he makes Æneas first see the Tither, is still applicable to it. I do not know that I can upnote him accurately, but if I do not, there are gentlemen of classical learning on both sides of the ehamber, who will correct me :

The description of the mass remove.

Varieties regainst endus flaws areas, and the description is removed that was areas.

The description is removed that the second of the description of the second of the description of the description of the second of of sand are constantly obstructing the passage, and an annual ap-propriation of money is made to keep it open.

The exports of the Papal States are not large, but they are numerous. They consist of corn, oil, silk, skins, fruits, wond a substitute for indigo, which grows spontaneously in Southern Italy, and hemp, &c. Wool is exported in large quantities to England. I believe one of the most valuable exports is tobacco, of which they send abroad annually about 300,000 pounds.

They can scarcely be paid to have a commercial marine. They can scarcely be paid to have a commercial marine. Some interty vessels, averaging probably about eighty tons each, constitute the whole, excepting that of fishing smacks, and small coasters. There are six merchant vessels in the City of New York with an analysis of the property research research. aggregate tonage exceeding the ninety merchant vessels of the Roman States. This, however, we need not regret; for il we can extend our commercial relations with them, we shall do all the

Carrying, both for them and ourselves.

Agriculture, the basis of all industry, is in a very depressed state, and from peculiar causes. The great peculiarity of the agriculture of the Papal States is the division of the champaign land into immense farms. The Campagna around Rome, called the "Agro Romano," (the Roman field,) the Maremma extending from the frontier of Tuscany, along the coast to the southward, and the low lands in other districts are owned by a few persons. and the law hash in other districts are owned by a few persons. The farms assually contain several thousand acres. The entire Agra Romano contains over eight hundred and fifty square miles. This tract is in the hands of about forty farmers, or "Mercanti di Campagna," as they are called. The farms are worked on the "Merzerin" system, or at halves, under the direction of fattori or stewards, who occupy farm-houses on the land, while the owners live in the cities. The same system prevails in Tuscany, where it has worked tolerably well. In Rome it is thought exceedingly unfavorable to agricultural improvement. Something is attributable to the peculiarity of the Roman plain, in respect to chimach and health, which evider it is covered with entire and sheep—nor less, perhaps, than a million of both, under the guardianship of sheperds and herdsmen. As the summer advances, the Campagna tecomes too unhealthy to be inhabited, and the cattle are driven to the Sabine bills, and even to the mountains of the Abuzzi. driven to the Sabine hills, and even to the mountains of the Abruzzi When the harvest season arrives, the heat becomes almost intolerable; and multitudes of the laborers, who come down from the able; and multitudes of the laborers, who come down from the mountains to gather the harvest, perish from the fatal effects of the Malaria. As soon as the grain is gathered, the Campagna be-comes a descri until the summer beats are over. Neither men nor eartle are to be seen. The buffalo, who seem to be proof against the heavy pestitential vapors which the burning sun brings out from the humid earth, are almost the only inhabitants of the

out from the humid earth, are almost the only inhabitants of the descreted plain from June to October.

With this imperfect agriculture, a complete monopoly is given to the rural proprietors by the corn-haws of the Papal States. When the price of flour on the Mediterraneous is under S9, and the Adriatic S8 25 per barrel, the introduction is prolibited. It is the same with wheat. When it is under atom t§ 40 the bushed on the Mediterraneous, or \$1.20 on the Adriatic, it is not allowed on the Mediterranean, or St. 200 of the stay, is a top to be introduced to be introduced to be mean agriculturist, and by excluding the cheaper breadstuffs of the Levanswand Austrian provinces on the eastern shore of the Adriant, to compel the Roman people, in some districts, and in times of scarcity, to eat dear bread. Notwithstanding the depressed condition of the industry of the

Panal States, there is no country capable of a more rich or varied Papai States, there is no country eapare or a more than varied production; and if the measures of reform now in progress shall be carried out, and the social as well as the political condition of the people be elevated by the abrogation of bad laws, I know no State of the same magnitude, which may hope for a higher pros-

perity.

I have thus, Mr. President, presented some statistical details in respect to the condition of the Papal States—not with the expectation of influencing the vote of any Senator on this floor, but for the purpose of assigning the grounds on which I place my own: am in favor of establishing diplomatic intercourse with Kome; first, with a view to friendly relations—the object for which most missions are created; and second, with a view to emmerce. I repeat, I do not regard the mission as political, unless that term be understood in its broaders sense; and in this view all missions are political. I consider it our sacred duty to keep aloof from the political. I consider it our sacred duty to keep aloof from the internal agitations of European States, and from the movements of their sovereigns and people. We must sympathize with every-thing that is shorable to freedom; but we can do no more. Our rule of action is non-intervention in the political concerns of the eastern hemisphere; and by a rigid adherence to it, we may with the more confidence insist on mapplication of the same practical by European States to the political concerns of the independent communities on this continent. I look then, first, to friendly sela-tions with central Italy.

But I look chiefly to commerce. Depressed as the industry of Rome is, I think something may be done to extend our commercial relations and intercourse with her, and perhaps also with Tuscany, reintrous harmonic course with the probability and probability that the model is momented trades with the Mediterrament. Mos scale severy year tifteen millions of delbars in value of her own products into Italy alone, and probably from five to ten millions more of foreign products, which she imports for re-exportation. A portion of this hierartive trade legitimately, should be ours; and I think we may obtain it, if we send

a discreet and intelligent man to Italy.

I voted for a minister plenipotentiary, as proposed by the Sena-tor from Missouri, (Mr. Benton) supposing it would be followed, if his amendment had prevailed, by a proposition to abolish the

post of charge d'affaires at Naples. The post of charge d'affaires at Turin I would not have touched. Sardinni si histant, and has distinct commercial interests. But we might have sent an imister with full powers to central and southern Italy, to reside a part of the time at Naplewick and the time at the time at

member resident.

Before I concilede, I wish to say a few words on the religious question. I have already said, I do not regard it, in any sense, as a religious mission, nor can I conseive that it can be properly so considered. Gentlemen have of the considered of the considered of the considered of the considered of the control of the

Mr. BADGER.—It is not my intention to enter into this discussion, for I have already stated the grounds upon which I am opposed to this mission in any form; but sumply to make one or two remarks which have been suggested to me by what has been said by the honorable Scantors from New York and Michigan. The Scantor from Michigan seem to suppose that I have said that the present Pope has done nothing for the amelioration of the condition of his subjects. I am very certain sir, that I never intended to say any thing of the kind, and I do not think I did a superioration of the condition of his way and the present seems of the subjects. I am very certain strip I all the live has done several things for the present benefit and relief of the people of Rome he has done nothing to relax that absolute authority which he has received from his predecessors.

Mr. FOOTE .- Will the Senator allow me to ask him-

Mr. BADGER .- I hope I shall not be interrupted.

Mr. FOOTE —Have I not a right to explain?

PRESIDING OFFICER.—The Senator from North Carolina does not yield the floor.

Mr. BADGER.—I am speaking now of the views which I have heretofore submitted to the Senate, or which I introded to submit for the purpose of correcting what has fallen from the honorable Senator from Michigan this morning. The position I have taken is, that the Pope has done nothing to secure to his subjects any share in the administration of the government, that he has established no barrier against the use, either by himself, or by his successors, of the absolute power which he possesses. No doubt as a wise Prince he has done many things for which he is entitled to represent the property of the pr

30TH CONG .- 1ST SESSION .- No. 50.

or speech, I did not exactly understand which-of Lord Palmer-

Mr. CASS .- It was a letter.

Mr. BADGER——a letter of Lord Palmerston in which his Lordship ramarks upon the desire of the Pope to make some reforms in the government of his states, remarked that his Lordship had done more justice to the Roman Pontiff, than be had received at my hands. Why I have had no means of determining what his desires or purposes were. I can only ascertain what he wishes to accomplish, by what he does. Well now I ask what has he done? Why it it is said he has sealled a consistory, and that the distinguished ecclesiastics who helonged to it were in consultation upon the propriety of making ecretain organic changes, and that it is understood that their impressions or opinions, were favorable to the constitution. Well this may be so. He may design to so, so, and he may accomplish it. When he does accomplish it he will cuttle himself to still higher praise than he has yet carned by any thing that is past.

oy any tuning tand is past.

The honorable Semator from Michigan will also recollect that in the address which the Pope himself made to some public assembly, he stated that it was his design to transmit entire to his successors, the same authority which he had received from those who went before him. Whether his Holmes has changed his mind on that subject I know no but he had received from those who went before him. Whether his Holmes has changed his mind on that subject I know no but he had been a consideration of the head of t

Mr. D1X, (in his seat.)-The sole Vicegerent.

Mr. BABGER.—That claim stands exactly on the same footing as that of the Pope. But certainly I never objected to sending a mission to his Holiness if the interests of the country required it—if we had commerce to be protected there, or any object connected with the welfare or advancement of the country to be accomplished. That grounds, and every objection which I have urged falls to the ground. But it must be conceded—certainly it has been generally conceded, if I apprehend correctly the remarks of gentlemen, who have taken part in the debate, that we have no commercial interests in the Papal states that require the protection of such a mission. My friend from New York says, that he would gladly see our commerce in Italy and the Mediterrament and given ship-masters a very inviting description of the facilities which the Tiber, either now or as it was in the days of ancient from, presents for the profitable prosecution of commerce. A river which is described as a whirtpool, and in which the tide is switch that it pours its answer of the profitable prosecution of commerce. A river which is described as a whirtpool, and in which the tide is switch that it pours its days of the profitable prosecution of commerce. A river which is described as a whirtpool, and in which the tide is every it must be conceded at all events, that at present there is no commerce to be protected, and if it is with a prospective view that the mission is to be established, I think that we should give the subject a little more consideration before we adopt such a measure.

The Senator from Michigan does not agree that this can be consisted as a mission to the Pope in his coclessatical character. It is contended by the Senator, that he selessatical character as spiritual prince, and that it is in the former expenditure of the world establish with him that diplot former expenditure will be used to be selected in the selection of the Senator Insued by a proved it in his observations, that the knowledge standard insued by a proved it in his observations, that the temperal authority of the Pope is a mere incident to his consistent of dominion, and the historial account given given to use by the honorable Senator from New York, as to define the correctness of that view of his character.

At hrst the Pope, though the first Bishop of Italy, was without any temporal authority. A small Datchy was given to him which subsequent additions were made either by merciation to which subsequent additions were made either by merciation to which subsequent additions were made either by merciation to provide the propose of giving dignity and respectiability to the Church's head, laded the Senator from Michigan tells us that notwithstanding the new regulation introduced for the purpose of getting over all the difficulties in European countries about precedence, by which the minister holding the oldest commission of his grade takes rank, yet in every court in Europe, by common consent, the Pope's ambassador takes precedence of the minister of the oldest and most powerful State; and this appears to me to furnish additional evidence of the correctness of my view. For, is it because he is the prevent of the half millions of people that the Pope's ambassador takes precedence of the ministers of Austria, of Russia, of Prussia of France, and even of Great Britain, who, since the time of Queen Elizabeth, I suppose indeed from the time of Edward the VIth, has had no format diplomatic connection with Rome of What is this deference but a token of respect to the great spiritual head of the Roman Catholic Church, who looks through the whole wide

extent of this earth and finds subjects amongst the people of every nation, and tongue, and kindred ? Why, I see this morning in the New York Herald, a proclaimation of the Pope addressed to Ro-man Catholies everywhere, in view of the reforms which he is ma-king and the probable bestility which he may provoke in some of the neighboriog powers. In that proclamation his Holiness says :

"But we principally—we, the head and sover app bound of the most hely Catho-ho relagon, should we not have in our defence." I we were anisoly attacked, must father? This, indeed, a great blessing among the mars which fleaves hath imparted to Italy, that scarce 3,160,000 of our subjects have 30,000,160 of brighters of every nation and of every longue."

Here the Pope issues his proclamation not to his own immediate subjects in the Papal States, but to the whole Roman Catholic population of the world calling upon them in case of any interference with his projected reforms to render him assistance and ena-ble him successfully to accomplish his desires. His, then, is unquestionably a spiritual sovereignty, a spiritual jurisdiction, and it was upon that ground that I thought, and still think that the Protestant population of the United States would not only feel deeply aggrieved by the establishment of this mission, but I believe they would have a right to look upon it as giving undue advantage to another section of the Christian church.

Mr. FOOTE -Mr. President: Circumstances have devolved Mr. FUOTE — Mr. President: Circumstances have devolved upon me a painful daty. I am not very conversant with parliamentary usages, but I hope some of those days to master completely that profound and invaluable branch of modern science. I am informed though, by the oldest, most experienced, and most am informed though, by the oldest, most experienced, and most accomplished members of this body, that it is a well understood and universally respected usage of the Senate, that when any Senator is addressing the body, if a brother Senator requests the nator is addressing the body, it a brother scinitor requests the privilege of explaning, an immediate opportunity of doing so is accorded to him. Well, sir, whilst the Senator from North Carolina was speaking a few minutes since, I arose and courteously asked to be allowed to explain, which the Senator, as I think, most abruptly refused. Sir, I am not too much excited to permit the Senator to explain his conduct, if he choose to do so; though I her leave to premise, that if an explanation completely satisfactory shall not be immediately rendered, I have words to utter that I resume will be anything but agreeable to the Senator from North presume will be anything but agreeable to be Senator from North Carolina. And now I demand of him, whether, in refusing to yield the floor, when I applied for it, he intended any discourtesy or disrespect to me?

Mr. BADGER.—I shall say precisely what I should have said, if the Senator from Mississippi had not concluded with the observations which he has made. I declined to yield the floor to him, without any idea in the world that such refusal could be an insult or discourtesy to any gentleman in the chamber, but simply because the as I was engaged in replying to what had been said by others in the debate, I did not perceive how any explanation or inquiry which could be given or put by the Senator from Mississippi could aid in the discussion in which I was engaged.

Mr. FOOTE .- I understand the Senator to disclaim all intentionart. FOO LET understand the central to discharge and yet his present explanation appears at least to imply some discourtesy, as he seems to have acted npon a presumption not very respectful to me certainly, that I was capable of attempting to interrupt a Senator's speech, by mere impertinence.

Mr. BADGER .- That's no word of mine!

Mr. FOOTE .- Very well. I leave the subject. But now, sir, whilst I am up and in the right humor for further explanations, I will amounce, once for all, that whilst I am not much in the habit of reading what is daily published in certain whig newspapers in ridicule and denunciation of my conduct here, and whilst I hope that my sensibilities are not particularly pervious to such petty as-sailment from mercenary scribblers, yet I could not help noticing the fact that in more than one whig newspaper lately, I have seen it declared to be a formed and deliberate design of certain Senators here to unite their forces for the purpose of reducing me to contempt by cautiously refraining from any direct notice of my remarks, but responding nevertheless to what may come from me deemed worthy of notice, as if the same had originated with others on this side of the chamber. This statement is only deemed important as connected with certain transactions of actual occurrence in this body, and as more or less illustrating what others beside my-self have several times observed to take place. I shall not now sell have several times observed to take place. I shall not now go farther into particulars, but I take leave to say, that if Senators have conspired to pursue this course towards me, they are perfectly welcome to do so. But I shall nevertheless not be in the least degree restrained from replying to any thing which may be said by them which I shall deem it right thus to notice, and that no arrangement which may be latien upon, either of the kind mentioned or of any other nature, will preclude me from the full exertioned or cise of my rights here as the representative of a sovereign State upon this floor. I beg leave further to say, what I have several times hinted before, that I do most sincerely defer to many worthy times functioned, that I of more succeed vector in many vorting gentlemen here, whose superior experience, wisdom, and efficien-ey, I gladly acknowledge; yet that I claim, in my official capacity perfect equality of power and dignity with the products Senator in this hall, and that I shall at all times be prepared to vindicate my rights against assailment from any quarter whatever, whether open and manly or covert and insidious I conclude with the words of a distinguished member of this body, attered in this chamber some years since: "This is a Senate of equals, composed

of men of individual worth and of absolute independence. We knew no masters; we acknowledge no superiors

Mr. MANGUM .- I do not rise to protract this debate, but sim-Mr. MANGUM.—I do not rise to protract this debate, but sim-ply to state the grounds on which my vote will be given. I have regreated that any irrelevant topics have been introduced. I have listened with great interest to the expositions given to-day, and issened with great interest to the expositions given to-day, and especially to the remarks of the Seanor from New York. He, I, know, has had opportunities of acquiring valuable information on the subject of the government of the Papai States, having for some time resided at Rome, and his views are thus entitled to great consideration, for I have been long convinced that the know-lade acquired from books with regard to the social and valuable ledge acquired from books with regard to the social and p condition of mankind is always more or less defective, and is far inferior to that to be obtained by looking at the people themselves. natorior to that to be obtained by looking at the people themselves. I have also listened with interest to the expression of the views of my colleague on other aspects of the case. His views were presented with great clearners, and on some points his argument was, in my opinion, quite conclusive. But I think our action on this matter should be determined on other grounds entirely. In one point of view, the remarks of the Senator from New York made a strong impression upon my mind. He gave the extent of the commercial tradition of Rome. I understand the commerce of Great Britain with the Papal States amounts to some fourteen millions

Mr. DIX -The commerce in all Italy

Mr. DIX—The commerce in all Italy.

Mr. MANGUM—Including Naples, Turin and other ports. At these two points we have already diplomatic representatives. I indicated vesterday that this sohi, who had been the tapic of a conversation some venrs ago in a very intelligent circle, and it had struck me very forcibly that we could unit: these missions in one of the first grade, which, with the aid of consults, or coasuls-gen-all, as might be thought most expedient, would be eminently desirable. Although I go for the doctrine of non-intervention as strongly as any one, and hold that it is no part of our mission to interver with the erced, civil, religious, or political, of any other people, yet it is in my judgment, vastly important that we be correctly informed in regard to great political movements in other parts of the world, that may affect our commercial interests other parts of the world, that may affect and confidence interests and in effect, perhaps, our political condition. In that view, Rome, as the great point of confluence of the intelligence and influential classes of the Uuropean world, might be a favorable location a mission. From that point we might be able to penetrate Austria and other States of Europe, learning many things of importance to us to know.

As regards the religious considerations which have been involved in this case, I repeat what I said yesterday that we cannot allow them any weight. The government of this country is not permitto descend into contact with any church influences; and I think, one of the wisest provisions in our system of government, that every man is secured in the enjoyment of his religious rights, being free to worship God according to the dictates of his conscience. It is owing to that that we are wholly free from those collisions between seets which have agitated other nations. All the churches with as stand on the same basis, and alike depend wholly on the voluntary support of their own members. This is as it ought to be; and therefore, in the present case, with me, the religious consideration has not the weight of a feather. I should like to know the religion of the Imaum of Muscat! What, again, have we to do with considerations of the form of civil government in reference to the establishment of diplomatic relations with any country? All we look to is the existence of a recognized goveriment with which we can make commercial 'reaties; and the only question that presents itself in such a case is whether the commercial inducements are sufficient to authorize the establishment of such a mission. Why, in each of the small insignificant Barment of such a mission. Why, in each of the small insignificant Bar-bary States, we have an agent for commercial purposes, and charged also with political trusts. Are we influenced by religious considerations in those cases? Not at all. We regard simply our commercial interests, and add to that the great political consider-ations which may render the agent influential. And here I would again express my desire that a greater degree of dignty and re-spectability might be given to the diphonatic agents of the United States by a more liberal provision for their support. In this busi-toness, Great Envision subhists have nowledgearity. She has connectness, Great Britain exhibits her usual sagacity. She has connected herself with every commercial power on the face of the globa—every branch of commerce has been carefully protected in every sea, and wherever she has sent her agents, they appear with a prestige—that sort of respectability that grows out of the possession of adequate means to live in a style becoming their position. Perhaps no small degree of the commercial greatness of Great Britain has been owing to the wisdom and liberality with which she has managed her diplomatic missions.

I have regretted the appearance of any excitement on this sub-ject. Certainly in my judgment the subject of itself is not calculated to create any such leeling. The honorable Sonator from Mississippi will suffer me to sty that his sensibility on this subject, in consequence of the file, that there is any purpose entertained this side of the chamber by any gentleman to treat tim with any less respect or conselectation on this floor, than that which is extended to all, has been needlessly excited. I not only do not know of any such design to treat him with discourtesy, but I am sure that no such purpose can be be entertained. There is not any such purpose on the part of my colleague, and I think that the excitement which has been manifested on this subject, has been alto-

gether disproportioned to the importance of the case.

Mr. CALHOUN.—I have had some hesitation in determining men the vote which I intend to give on this question, and I rise to give the reasons which induce me to vote against the proposition to strike out. In the first place, I may refer to those considerations which have with me, little or no weight. I do not favor this mission because the Pope is a reformer, though I do not at all doubt that he is a very wise and liberal reformer. I am inclined to think that he has gone as far as he ought to go, considering the nature of his power and the people whom he governs; and I do not think the less of him on that account; but on the contrary I am the more lavorably impressed by his wisdom in proceeding cautionsly. There is very little confidence to be reposed in any reform that originates in force and violence. But, sir, I do not think the fact that the Pope is a reformer, Immishes a sufficient reason for the establishment of reploment in the highest sense. I wish him well. I desire his success. Although as I believe the Pope will not be able to proceed lar, yet he will succeed to some extent in ameliorating the condition of his people. Again, it is to be considered that recent occurrences in Europe may put an end to the present movement of the Pope. A counter movement may be made. He is in favor of proceeding slewly; but there is a poople north of his dominion, who are of a very different temperament; and who spring at a bound to the object a which they aim. How far the Pope may be intumidated or inflanced by these occur-

lar the Pope may be intiminated or influenced by these correctes, time will slow idertation which has been presented in this debate, according to my conception, the federal government bas nothing whatever to do with religion. The states may act upon that subject, but certainly there is no power here to do so; and we have no right to be influenced at all by considerations of that nature. And here let me say, in reference to a remark made by the Senator from Michigan, that I do hope that rule which he says prevails in Europe with regard to the procedence of the Pope's legate, will not be permitted to operate here. Our established error, the procedence of the procedence of the procedence of the procedence in Europe, would produce a very undesirable and dangerous excitement. If the Pope should entertain any design of sending a legate to this government, I trust that the precaution of informing him with regard to the difficulty on this point, will be ob-

So Not do I vote for this mission upon commercial grounds. Our commerce with Rome is inconsisterable, and is not likely to improve. It is not sufficiently important to require the presence of a charge, and has been heretofore very well attended to by our consul. The fact, alluded to by an homorable Senator, that Rome was a point of confluence for great numbers travelling for amassement and instruction, ought not to influence our action. It may, then, be asked, why I am induced to lavor this mission?

It may, then, be asked, why I am induced to lavor this mission? My reason is to be found in the present political condition of affairs. The Italian States, not under the authority of Austria, and perhaps. I may add, some of these under the control of that power, are in a state of revolution, which, in Italy, may very likely run to some excess. We know that the Pope is the central moving power of this reform. We know that the Pope has the power outloined the season of the property of the power o

Mr. CASS.—I have one word to say with regard to the question of the procedure of the Pape's legate. In Europe, by universal consent, the Pape's legate takes precedence of any member of the same grade in the diplomatic corps. This is not a mere matter of form there. It is a part of the general law, and carries with it some substantial advantages. In this country, of course, the prin-

ciple is unknown, and I really do not see how the government can be called on to settle such a questioa.

Mr. CALHOUN .- It has settled it

Mr. CASS.—Well, I cannot imagine how such a question could arise, except as a matter of etiquette in going to the dinner table.

Mr. CALHOUN.—The Sonator certainly must know that as between the diplomatic corps this is a point insisted upon, and that it has been passed upon here. Within my recollection a case has occured in wheh swords were drawn between the French and English ministers in the ante-chamber of the Presidential mansion; and during the sbort period in which I was in the department of State, the point was presented, requiring grave deliberation, so much so that in that case I thought proper to consult Mr. Adams, who had had more experience in such matters.

Mr. CASS .- That case does not apply to the point of my remarks.

Mr. CALHOUN—It was then established that any minister should take rank according to the date of his commission. Though as between ourselves there may be no question of this kind, yet there can be no doubt that if the Pope's legate, if sent here, should take precedence of all the other members of the diplomatic corps in the face of this established principle, I take if for granted that his claim would not be respected; and the Pope should be apprised of it.

Mr. CASS.—I assure the honorable Senator from South Carolina that were the Pope's minister here, every member of the diplomatic corps would make his bow to him and allow him to pass first to the dinner table.

The yeas and nays were then seconded and taken with the foliowing result:

YFAS—Mesur. Alchion, Badger, Berrien, Butler, Hale, Hansegan, and Raik.—T. Aller, Alber, Atherion. Bagby, Bell, Brathury, Breese, Calliono, Cax, Clarke, Clavon, Davis, of Miss., Davis, of Miss., Oxyton, Dictiono, Dix, Charles, Charles

The amendment was then agreed to, and no other amendment being offered, it was

Ordered, That the amendments be engrossed and the bill read a third time.

The said hill was read a third time as amended.

Resolved, That the bill pass with amendments.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in the amendments.

# CHURCH LANDS IN FLORIDA.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the Committee on Private Land Claims, to whom was referred the memorial of the trustees and members of the Cathol: church of St. Augustine, submitted a report accompanied by the following resolution:

Resolved, That the memoid of B. Madenew, Vest General, &c., and the memorial of the trustees and members of the Catholic church at St. Augustine, Florida, and all the accompanying papers, he printed for the use of the Seasts, and that the Secretary of the Senate cause the translations of papers filled in he converted and verification of the Senate cause the translations of papers filled in he converted and verification of the Senate cause the translations of papers filled in he converted and verification of the Tenary, who is discrete to examine the same and anvestigates said case, and popular cause of the Senate, and the technique of the Senate, and the technique of the Senate, and the technique of the Senate, and the Catholic Cat

The resolution was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to.

# THE LOAN BILL.

On motion by Mr. ATHERTON, the prior orders were suspended, and the Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill from the House of Representatives to authorize a lean not exceeding the sum of sixteen millions of dollars; and it was

Ordered, That the further consideration thereof be postponed to and made the order of the day for to-morrow.

On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# WEDNESDAY, MARCH 22, 1848.

#### MEMORIALS ETC. OF LEGISLATURES.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, presented a memorial of the Legislature of the State of Mississippi, praying the adoption of measures for ensuring greater regularity in the transportation of the United States' mails; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads, and ordered to be printed.

Also, a resolution passed by the Legislature of said State, in favor of the passage of an act ceding to that State a portion of public land for the purpose of improving the navigation of the Big Black river; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands, and ordered to be printed.

Mr. ATCHISON presented a momorial of the Council and House of Representatives of the Territory of Wisconsin, praying the adoption of measures for the extinguishment of the ticle to certain lands in that territory; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

Mr. DOUGLAS presented a petition of citizens of Peoria county, Illonois, praying that the public domain may be distributed in suitable quantities to actual settlers, not possessed of other lands, without price; which was referred to the Committee on Public

Mr. CAMERON presented the petition of Rebocca Robeson widow of a deceased revolutionary officer, praying to be allowed a pension; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Also, the petition of Cadwalader Evans, praying the purchase by the government, of his patent-right for an invention for prevaling the explosion of steam-boilers; which was referred to the Committee on Patents and the Patent Office.

Mr. WESTCOTT presented a petition of citizens of Apala-chicola, Florida, praying an alteration of the plan of the light-house now in progress of construction on Cape San Blas; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce.

Mr. MOOR presented a petition of Albert Dole, and others, Mr. MOOR presented a petition of Albert Dole, and others, citizens of the United States, praying certain modifications of the patent laws, and a repeal of the act of February 26, 1845, extending a patent heretofore granted to William Woodworth; which was referred to the Committee on Patents and the Patent

Also, a petition of Albert Dole and others, citizens of the Uni ALSO, a pention of ADORT DOE and others, ettizens of the United States, praying an amendment of the 17th section of the act of July 4, 1836, in relation to granting injunctions against pretent rights; which was referred to the Committee on Patents and the Patent Office.

Also, a petition of citizens of Maine and Massachusetts, praying that lumber manufactured in New Brunswick, from timber grown in the State of Maine, may be admitted into the United States free of duty.

Mr. MOOR said : As this is a matter of considerable importance to the State I in part represent, as well as to these petitioners, leel it to be my duty to state some of the facts connected with the subject. In the treaty of Washington is contained a provision for the benefit of the inhabitants of that part of Maine which is watered by the St. John's river and its tributaries, and as the provision may not be familiar to the Senate, I beg leave to read from the treaty:

It provides "that the produce of the forest in logs, lumiler, timber boards, staves and shingles, grown in many of those parts of the State of M.ine, watered by the river St. John's and its thottames, having their sources in the State of Mane, to and from the seaport at the mouth of said river, St. John's, and round the falls of said river, either by boats, rafts, or otherwise."

This clause was inserted avowedly for the purpose of promoting the interests, and stimulating the industry of the inhabitants of that particular part of the country. There is also another provithat particular part of the country. There is also another provi-sion which says that the agricultural produce of the state of Maine, passing through the province of New Brunswick, shall be dealt with as if it were grown in that province. Under this pro-vision the authorities of New Brunswick have imposed upon all the lumber of the state of Maine, which passes through that pro-vince an export daty. Formerly there was a crown land duty of a shilling a ton, upon all timber cut on the crown land in New Brunswick, but after the passage of the treaty, that duty was remitted, and an export duty was imposed upon their own lumber, and ours also, thus subjecting the timber grown in the state of Maine, which is bound to pass through New Brunswick in order

manne, when is bound to pass through New Drinswick in order to reach a market at home or abroad, to taxation. Now the citizens of Maine generally believe that this tax is a violation of, at least, the spirit of the treaty of Washington—if that treat you washington—if that treat you washington the contract of the property of the prop

some future occasion call the attention of the Senate to this subject by a resolution calling upon the President for the correspon-dence which has passed between this government and the government of Great Britain touching this imposition of duties upon American lumber.

The object of this petition is to meet another evil. The lumber The object of this pettition is to meet another evil. The lambor of the State of Manne that is cert upon the waters of the Sta. John's and its tributaries not only meets with this imposition of duties mader the provincial law, but it also encounters a legislative enaction at a home which denationalizes it. The turilf of 182 imposes a duty upon lumber imported into the United States, and the construction put upon this is that all lumber which is manded tured in New Branswick, although it may have the Stree is exhibited. the State of Maine originally, upon re-entering the State is subject to this duty. But that which is cut on the south and west side of the St. John's river on American soil must be manufactured in New Brunswick in order to be fitted for the market-and being manufactured there nader the construction given to the law by the Secretary of the Treasury it becomes denationalized, and before it can reach the market a duty has to be paid of some two dollars and a half a thousand. It is to remedy this evil thet these memorialists half a thousand. It is to remedy this evil thet these memorialists larve petitioned Congress. I will state the amount of interest which the State of Maine has in this matter. There are one bundred and forty townships of land drained by the St. John's and its tributaries, and lying on the south and west side of that river, within the State of Maine, each township containing about twenty-three thousand acres, making a territory equal to five thousand square miles, nearly as large as one of the smaller states of the Union. This territory is well timbered, and the enterprise of our citizens has Ams territory is west uninered, and the enterprise of our citizens has induced them to earry their operations even beyond the highlands, which were divided by Great Britain as the dividing line between this country and the Province of New Brunswick. A large portion of the produce of this vast tract of land must necessarily go down the St. John's river, and in doing so, the owners have to pay a provincial duty of fifty cents a thousand, in addition to the duties two dollars and fifty cents a thousand-making altogether three dollars a thousand. This amounts to a prohibition. A portion of this land is owned by citizens of the State of Maine and Massachusetts, but chiefly by the two States, and in consequence of these duties lumber, the product of the forests of Maine, is excluded tunes immer, the product of the forests of Malley is exceeded from our own market. For the purpose of urthering the views of the petitioners, I give notice, that I will to-morrow, ask leave to introduce a bill; and for the purpose of calling the attention of the country to the correspondence between our government and to introduce a only anator the purpose of caming the greatment of the control to the correspondence between our government and the government of Great Britain, relative to our rights under the third arrited of the Great Britain, relative to our rights under the third arrited of Washington, I have a gresolution, which when in order, I will ask permission to offer. I ask that the petition map be referred to the Commission of Finance.

Mr. DICKINSON .- I would suggest that it ought to go to the Committee on Foreign Relations or to the Committee on the

Mr. MOOR .- It seeks for relief from the operation of the revenue laws. The duties now imposed amount to a prohibition, suppose the Finance Committee to be the appropriate committee The correspondence when received will go to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

The petition was referred to the Committee on Finance and ordered to be printed.

Mr. BRADBURY presented a memorial of Nathan Cummings and others, citizens of the United States and owners of lands supposed to be, at the time of purchasing, within the limits of the State of Maine, but since ceded by the treaty of Washington to Great Britain, praying that the products of those lands may be admitted into the ports of the United States free of duties.

Mr. BRADBURY said: The petitioners in this case are citizens of the United States, and nearly all of them of the State of Maine. They are, in some respects, similarly situated with those whose petition has just been presented by my colleague. They are the owners of land within the former limits of that State. Congress, with great manimity, declared our boundary to be such as embraced the region where this land is situated. The purchase was made, as the petitioners alledge, in the full belief that the land was, and would be held to be, within our limits. It was of a very considerable tract, more than two hundred and thirty thouvery considerable tract, more than two numbered and thirty linear sand aerose I think, and for which a large amount of money was paid. By the treaty of Washington this had is placed within the Province of Lower Camada. It is valuable principally for the tim-ber upon it; and this timber is now subject to oncrous burdens. The petitionners ask for relief, either by an appropriation that shall alford them adequate compensation for the damages sustained by the cession which placed their land out of the limits of the Uni-

ted States, or by a remission of duties on their lumber, and allowing it to be entered und sold in our own markets duty free. And

they further ask the interposition of this government to procure an exemption of their timber from the export duty imposed on it when passing down the St. John's river.

So far as it relates to timher cut upon lands within the State, the imposition of the export duty by the Provincial government of New Brunswick, is regarded as a violation of stipulations in the treaty of Washington. It is in manifest violation of the spirit of that treaty and of a right intended to be secured.

The petition was referred to the Committee on Finance and ordered to he printed.

Mr. BUTLER presented the petition of Thomas Roll, a revolutionary soldier, praying to be allowed a Pension; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

# EXPORT DUTIES ON AMERICAN LUMBER.

# Mr. MOOR submitted the following resolution for consideration:

Resolved, That the Pesident of the United States he requested to communicate the Seatts, copies of the correspondence which has laken place times Against, 18% port datase on American Banker stated or Jeweld by the Provincial Total relation to expenditure on American Banker seated or Jeweld by the Provincial Total relation to the State State of the Communication of the Rotts authorities in New Branwick; or copies of such portions of that correspondence amps be commonated without determinent to the public authorities.

# TARIFF OF FEES IN U. S. COURTS.

Mr. WESTCOTT submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to:

Resolved, That the Committee on the Judiciary be instructed to inquire into the expediency of providing by law for an uniform traff of fees and costs to be allowed in all cases in the courts of the United States; to report by bill or otherwise.

#### MAIL ROUTE

Mr. ASHLEY submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to:

Resolved, That the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads be instructed to inquire note the expediency of establishing a post route from Benton in Saline count to Proe Bloffs in Jefferson county, Arkansas.

### ISLANDS IN THE MISSISSIPPI AND MISSOURI.

Mr. ATCHISON submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to:

considered by unantimous consent and agreed to:

Restrell, That the Committee on Public Lands be instructed to inquire into the expediency of providing by law for the sale of the Islands to the Mississippi and Massouri rivers, not heretofore surveyed and offered for sale.

#### PRIVATE BILLS.

Mr. DAYTON, from the Committee on Patents and the Patent Office, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of E. G. Smith, reported it without amendment.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the Committee on Pensions, to whom were referred the bills from the House of Representatives for the relief of Jonathan Moore, of the State of Massachusetts; for the relief of Robert Ellis; and for the relief of Catharine Fulton, of Washington county, Pennsylvania, reported them without amendment.

Mr. ASHLEY, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives to change the name of Photius Kavasales to that of Photius Fisk, reported it without amendment.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the Committee on Pensions, to whom was referred the petition of Polly Taylor, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for her relief.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

# U. S. COURTS IN GEORGIA.

Mr. ASHLEY, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives for dividing the State of Georgia into two judicial districts; and organizing and establishing an additional district court of the United States, with circuit court powers and jurisdiction, reported it without amendment.

# RETIRED LIST IN THE NAVY.

Mr. YULEE, from the Committee on Naval Affairs, to whom the subject was referred, reported a bill to promote the efficiency of the navy; which was read and passed to the second reading.

# COLLECTION DISTRICT OF NEW ORLEANS.

Mr. DIX, from the Committee on Commerce, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives, relating to the collection district of New Orleans, reported it without amend-

# MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT.

The following message was received from the President of the United States by Mr. WALKER, his Secretary:

Mr. President: The President of the United States approved and signed, the 21st instant, the enrolled bill for the relief of the beirs of John Paul Jones.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

AMERICAN HEMP FOR THE USE OF THE NAVY.

On motion by Mr. YULEE, the prior orders were suspended, and the engrossed joint resolution to promote the purchase of American hemp for the use of the American Navy was read a third time.

The question being on the passage of the resolution-

Mr. YULEE.—As an inquiry was made by my honorable friend the other day, in reference to this subject, I desire now to state to the Senate that this resolution was referred to the Committee on Naval Alfairs, and their consideration of it resulted in reporting the resolution to the Senate, with a recommendation for the favorable action of this hody upon it, founded upon the previously established system with regard to the purchase of hemp, for the use of the Navy. It will be found by examining the proceedings of Congress, that four of five years ago, a system in reference to American hemp was adultion. The only difference between that and the one now proposed, is that this resolution provides that the Secretary may make the contracts for a period not exceeding five years, and that the receiving and inspecting of the hemp may take place at the place of purchase. The Secretary is of opinion that there will be a considerable saving to the government effected by this plan, because the refuse hemp can be sold to the hunters and trappers. I find that the price of hemp has been as high as one handred and eighty dollars at on, and it is expected that the Secretary will be able to procure it and I learn also, that American hemp is of a very superior quality. The committee, therefore, considering the resolution to be in keeping with the system already established by Congress, and that it would probably be of advantage to the Naval service have thought proper to recommend the resolution to the favorable consideration of the Senate.

Mr. NILES moved to amend the resolution by inserting the following proviso—"provided that it shall be received by the Navy department at the average price at which foreign hemp can be procured at the time of delivery."

Mr. HALE.—It seems to me that this bill ought not to pass. It seems to me that the price paid for hemp should not be the price which it has borne for the last five years. I take the same exception which was taken by the honorable Senator from Pennsylvania the other day, that if this article is to be protected, other articles, such as iron and coal should also be protected.

Mr. DOUGLAS.—The object of this bill is not the protection of American hemp, for it can be furnished cheaper than the foreign article. The object is, by authorizing the contracts for a longer period to procure the supply upon more favorable terms. The new method of preparing hemp in the western country involves a considerable outlay, and this enlargement of the time in which the hemp is to be furnished affords an opportunity to those engaged in its preparation to produce the article at less cost to themselves. The resolution contains a restriction, that the price to be paid shall not be above the price of the foreign article, and the department is satisfied that it can be procured for much less.

Mr. HALE.—I have not the least objection to the resolution, so far as it authorizes the Secretary to make constructive outracts for five years. But I do object to giving him permission to give the same price five years to come, that has been paid for five years past. If there is any meaning at all in that portion of the resolution, it had better be stricken out. I shall ask for the year and nays in order that those who do not think it right to give this authority to pay this price may record their votes against the subject to the property of the proper

The yeas and nays were not seconded.

Mr. NILES.—As far as regards the making of long contracts, there can be no objection to the provisions or this resolution, but as the Senator from New Hampshire has said, the price which has beretofore been paid is altegether too high to be made the standard of what shall be paid in future. I am willing to give American hemp the preference in the naval service, provided no more is to be paid for it than the market price. The price to be paid ought not to be discretionary with the Secretary.

Air. VULEE.—I would suggest to the Senator, that one of the advantages of long contrasts over those for one year is to secure the government against the fluctuations in price. In the purchase of hemp the government has had to go as high as one hundred and eighty dollars per ton, and the probability is, that from the disturbances in Europe, for the next five years the price of foreign hemp will be greater than it has been heretofore. The opinion of the Secretary was, that there would be a saxing by this arrangement. With regard to the average cost for the last four or five years, I will remark that the terms of the resolution contain a limitation upon the exercise of discretion on the part of the Secretary.

Mr. NILES.—The Senator says that hemp has cost sometimes as much as one hundred and eighty dollars per ton, and that the price recently has been one hundred and ten dollars. The average price then would be greatly beyond what it can be purchased for now.

Mr. YULEE.—The Senator misunderstands me. One hundred and ten dollars is the price at which the Secretary expects to be able to purchase it under large contracts. One hundred and twenty dollars would be the average.

Mr. NILES.—The principle would be the same. The price is now falling. I think we ought not to pay what has been the average for the last five years.

Mr. UNDERWOOD.—The resolution is worded in such a way as to give the Secretary of the Navy a discretionary power over the subject, and I think there are advantages in giving this discretionary power, and in permitting him to make large contracts, because the process of water-rotting hemp is different from the old mode, and the effect of the discretionary power would be to make more advantageous contracts. Those who adopt the process more auvantageous contracts. Inose who adopt the process of water-otting have to go to considerable expense in prepartion which they would not do if the sale of the article depended upon the state of things as they now exist. I hope that the Senate will perceive the advantage that will arise out of it and pass the resolution in its present shape. The Secretary is not com-pelled, in making the contracts, to come up to the average price. He will have the interests of the government in view, I have no doubt, and obtain the contracts upon the most favorable terms. hope, therefore, that the recommendation of the committee will be adopted.

Mr. BALDWIN .- I would enquire of the chairman of the Committee, whether there is any change made in regard to the necessity of advertising.

Mr. YULEE .- It will not be under this resolution necessary to advertise.

Mr. BALDWIN.—I would be glad if the chairman would ex-plain why it is that advertising will not be necessary under the new system.

Mr. YULEE.—There is but one establishment where the proto make contracts upon terms advantageous to the government by reason of the longer time which the contracts will have to run. And for the same reason there will be no necessity for advertising.

Mr. CRITTENDEN .- I beg leave to make a single remark. Mr. CRITIENDEN—I beg reave to make a suage remark. The honorable Senator is mistaken in supposing there is but one establishment at which this water-cotted hemp is made. There are several; and it is a matter that is of great importance to the whole western country. I am in layor of this resolution. One reason of dispensing with adversing is I apprehend, that by the reason for dispensing with advertising is 1 approximation and 1 terms of the resolution you fix the price which you are to pay, which is not to exceed the average price of the foreign article. This is easily ascertained. The object of competition is generally to reduce prices, here you take an ascertained price and say that you will give that price for five consecutive years. I hope that the resolution will be adopted.

Mr. HALE again asked for the yeas and nays, and they were ordered.

The year and nays were then taken on the passage of the resolution, and it was determined in the affirmative as follows:

YEAS—Messr. Ashley, Atchson, Badger, Bell, Benton, Berten, Badbury, Brees, Cameron, Clayton, Cuttenden, Davis, of Miss., Dayton, Dauglas, Downs, Felch, Greene, Johnson, of La, Johnson, of Ga., Miller, Moor, Phelps, Rusk, Spraace, Underwood, Upham, Webster, Yalee.—328.

NAYS-Messrs. Atherton, Bagby, Butler, Calhoon, Hale, Huoter, Lewis, Mason, Niles, Turoev.-10.

So it was

Resolved. That this resolution pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

#### THE VOLUNTEER BILL.

Mr. CASS gave notice that as soon as the loan hill shall have been disposed of, he will move to proceed to the consideration of the bill providing for the further prosecution of the existing war between the United States and the republic of Mexico.

#### MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT

The following message was received from the President of the United States, by Mr. WALKER, his Secretary:

To the Senate of the United States:

To the Senate of the Cutted setter:

I transmit berevith a report from the Secretary of State, with the accompanying documents, in compliance with the resolution of the Senate of the 24th Jacousty, 19th, reporting the President to commissive to the Senate, if not inconsisted with Agriculture of the Control of State, and the court of Brazil, with the Department of State of the United States.

A the court of Brazil, with the Department of State of the United States.

Washington, March 22 1848. The message having been read-

On motion by Mr. HANNEGAN, it was Ordered, That it be referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations and he printed.

### THE LOAN BILL.

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the con-sideration of the bill from the House of Representatives to autho-rize a loan not to exceed the sum of sixteen millions of dollars.

The amendments reported from the Committee on Finance were considered and agreed to

No further amendment being made, the bill was reported to the Senate and the amendments were concurred in.

The question being upon ordering the amendments to be engrossed and the bill to be road a third time-Mr. ATHERTON addressed the Senate in reference to the financial affairs of the government.

Mr. WEBSTER then took the floor, and on his motion the further consideration of the bill was postponed until to-morrow.

# EXECUTIVE SESSION.

On motion by Mr. HANNEGAN, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of Executive business, and after some time spent therein.

The Senate adjourned

# THURSDAY, MARCH 23, 1848.

#### COMMUNICATION FROM THE NAVY DEFARTMENT.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a communication from the Secretary of the Navy, accompanied by seventy five copies of the Navy Register for the year 1848.

### REPORT OF THE SECRETARY OF THE SENATE.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a report of the Secretary of the Senate, made in pursuance of a resolution of the Senate, in relation to the causes of the delay in printing the report of Dr. Wislizenus.

#### RESOLUTIONS OF STATE LEGISLATURES.

Mr. FELCH presented a resolution passed by the Legislature of the State of Michigan, in favor of the estabishment of a mail route from Detroit to Michigan, in that State; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads and ordered to be printed.

Also, a resolution passed by said Legislature in favor of the passage of an act granting to the St. Mary's Canal Company the right of way over the public lands lying on the route of their canal; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

Mr. FELCH having moved that the resolution be printed-

Mr. NILES said: I observe that an unusual practice is gaining ground in regard to the printing of memorials, and that it is becoming quite a common thing.

Mr. FELCH.—I understand the practice to be that the resolutions of the Legislatures of the different States are ordered to be printed as a matter of course for the use of the Senate.

Mr. NILES .- Is this the resolution of the Legislature ?

Mr. FELCH.-It is.

Mr. NILES .- In that case I withdraw my objection.

The resolution was ordered to be printed.

In presenting a resolution from the Legislature of New York,

Mr. DICKINSON said: The subject of the resolution is one which interests and only a great portion of the citizens of my own State, but the agricultural classes greenally throughout the Union. It is a subject of the weightiest consideration, but as Congress has other matters before it, I shall not do more at this time than move that the resolutions be printed and laid upon the table, which is, I believe, the usual disposition made in matters of this kind. Without keeping the Senate in further suspense, I remark that it relates to Jettro Wood's patent plough. I ask that the resolution may be also read; I believe that is usual.

Mr. HALE .- I object. It is not the usual course.

Mr. DICKINSON.-Let the Senato decide. I move that they be read.

The motion was agreed to, and the resolution was read by the Secretary, and ordered to be printed.

# PETITIONS,

Mr. GREENE presented the petition of John S. Harris, late Deputy Collector and Measurer of the port of Providence, in Rhode Island, praying compensation for his services; which was referred to the Committee of Claims.

Mr. FELCH presented a memorial of citizens of the town of Sault de Ste Marie, in Michigan, praying the appointment of a board of Commissioners for the adjustment of land titles in that place; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

Mr. CASS presented the petition of Sarah Hubbard, praying compensation for property taken and destroyed by the enemy during the last war with Great Britain; which was referred to the Committee of Claims.

Mr. HALE presented a petition of citizens of Carroll county, New Hampshire, praying the establishment of a mail route from Ossipee to Moultonboro', in that State; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Pest Roads.

Mr. UNDERWOOD presented the petition of Henrietta Bedinger, widow of a deceased revolutionary officer, praying an increase of pension; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

# PUBLIC LANDS AT FORT SNELLING.

Mr. BREESE submitted the following resolution for considera-

tion:

Resolved, That the Secretary of War be directed to inform the Senate what quantity of public land has been reserved from sale and settlement at or near Fort Shelling

on the Upper Mississippi river stating the quantity on each back of the river so reserved—the purposes to which the lands are devoted—the amount of force at that post, averaging it for the last fave years, and whether, to be opinion, the lands reserved on the east bank of the river are really necessary for any military purpose.

#### DISCHARGED.

# On motion by Mr. ATCHISON, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on Indian Affairs be discharged from the further consideration of the memorial of the Mississippi Baptist State Convention, presented the 9th March.

Ordered, That the Committee on Indian Affairs be discharged from the further consideration of the petition of Joseph Newell.

#### DUTIES ON LUMBER

Agreeably to notice, Mr. MOOR asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to admit lumber out on the territory of Maine, and manufactured in New Brunswick, into the ports of the United States free of duty; which was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Finance.]

# PRIVATE BÎLL.

Mr. CRITTENDEN, by unanimous consent, asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill for the relief of Col. Robert Wallace, aid-de-camp to Gen. William Hull; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

#### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. CAMPBELL, their clerk:

Mr. President: The House of Representatives have passed a joint resolution relating to the evidence which shall be deemed sattlactory on application for bounty lands, in which they request the concurrence of the Senate.

#### BOUNTY LANDS.

The joint resolution from the House of Representatives relating to the evidence which shall be satisfactory on application for bounty lands, was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent.

PRESIDING OFFICER.—If there be no objection, this resolution will be referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. CASS.—I hope the resolution will not be referred. This is a subject which is very important, and I believe there cannot be the slightest objection to it. I hope it may be considered now.

The Senate proceeded to consider said resolution as in Commit.

The Senate proceeded to consider said resolution as in Committee of the Whole, and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it pass to a third reading.

The said resolution was read a third time, by ananimous consent.

Resolved, That this resolution pass.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

# DUTIES ON AMERICAN LUMBER IN NEW BRUNSWICK.

The Senate proceeded to consider the following resolution, submitted yesterday by Mr. Moon, and it was agreed to:

Resolved, That the President of the United States be requested to communicate to between the request of the correspondence which has taken place since August 1842, between the resolvent of the

# NOTICE OF A BILL.

Mr. RUSK gave notice that on to-morrow, or at some early day thereafter, he would ask leave of the Senate to introduce a bill to regulate trade and intercourse between the several tribes of Indians residing within the State of Texas

# THE LOAN BILL.

The Senate resumed the consideration of the bill from the House of Representatives to authorize a l. an not to exceed the sum of sixteen millions of dollars.

Mr. WEBSTER.—Mr. President: On Friday a bill passed the Senate for the raising of ten regiments of new troops for the farther prosecution of the war against Mexic, and the properties informed, that the measure is shortly to be followed, in this branch of the Legislature, by a bill to raise twenty regiments of voluteers for the same service. I was desirous, six on Friday, to express my opinion against the object of those bills-against the press my opinion against like object of those unin-against ine supposed necessity which leads to their enactment, and against the general policy which they are apparently designed to promote. Ctreumstances personal to myself, but beyond my control, com-pelled me to forego on that day the excention of this design. The built move before the Senate is a measure for raising muony to meet the expenses of the government, and to provide the means as well

for other things as for the pay and support of these thirty regiments. Sir, the scenes through which we have passed, and are passing here, are various. For a fortnight the world supposes us to have been occupied with the ratification of a treaty of peace, and that within these walls-

"The world shut out"

notes of peace-hopes of peace-nay, strong assurances of peace, and immediate peace, have been uttered to console us, and to cheer us. It has been over and over again stated, that we have us. It has been over and over again stated, that we have ratified a treaty—of course a treaty of peace; and, as the country has been led to suppose, not of uncertain, and empty, and delusive peace, but real, gratifying, and enduring peace;— a peace that shall stanneh the wounds of war, prevent the farther effusion of blood, cut off these enormous expenses, and return our friends, and our brothers, and our children—if they be yet living !—from a land of slaughter, and a land of still more dismal destruction by elimate, to our firesides, and our arms. Hardly have those haleyon sounds ceased upon our car, until in resumed public session, we are summoned to fresh warlike operations—to the creation of a new army of thirty thousand men for the further prosecution of the war—to carry our power, in the language of the President, still more directly into the vital parts of our enemy and to press home by the power of the sword, the claims that we insist upon against a fallen, prostrate—I had almost said—an ignoble fee! It I may judge by the opinion of the most said—an ignome nor: It kindy judge by the opinion of the honorable member from Michigan, or other speeches delivered in this chamber, there has not been a time from the commencement of the war, when it has been more urgently pressed upon us, not only to maintain, but to increase our military means—not only to continue the war, but to press it with more vigor—than at the present time. Pray, what does all this mean? Pray, sir, I ask, is it confessed, then, that we are are no nearer to peace than we were it confessed, inch, that we are are no nearer to peace than we when we smutched dip a bit of paper called, or miscalled a treaty, and ratified it? Have we yet to fight it out to the ntmost, as if no pacification had intervened? I wish to treat the proceedings of this and every department of this government with the utmostrespect. God knows that the constitution of this government—and the exercise of its just powers, in the administration of the laws under it, have been the cherished object of all my unimportant life. But if the subject were not too deeply interesting, I should say that our proceedings here might well enough cause a smile. In the ordiour proceedings bere might well enough cause a smile. In the ord-ordinary transact ton of foreign relations, in this and all other go-vernments, the course has been to negotiate first, and to ratify al-terwards. This would seem to be the natural order of conduct-ing intercourse between loreign States. We have chosen to re-verse the order. We ratify first, and negotiate afferwards. We set up a treaty, such as we find it, and such as we choose to make set up a treaty, such as we must, and such as we choose to make it, and then we send two ministers plenipotentiary to negatiate thereupon in the capital of the enemy! One should think, sir, that the ordinary course of proceeding, was much the wiser—that to negotiate, hold intercourse, come to some arrangement by a thorized agents, and then to submit that arrangement to the sovereign authority, to which those agents are responsible—would be always the most desirable method of procedure. It strikes me, that the course we have adopted, is strange—is grotesque. So far as I know, it is unprecedented in the history of diplomatic inter-course. Learned gentlemen on the floor of the Senate, interested to defend and vidicate this course, may, in their extensive reading, have found examples; I know none.

Sir, we are in possession, by military power of New Mexico and California, countries belonging hitherto to the United States of Mexico. We are informed by the President, that it is his purpose to retain them—to consider them as territories fit to be attached, and to be attached, to these United States of America; and the military operations and designs now before the Senate, are intended to enforce this claim of the Executive of the United States.

We are to compel Mexico to agree, that that part of her dominions called New Mexico, and that other part called California, shall be ceded to us. We are now in possession of these territo-ries it is said, and she is to be compelled to yield the title. This is the precise object of this new army of thirly thousand men. It is the iden ical object, sir, in my judgment, for which the war was originally commenced—for which it has been hitherto prosecuted, and in furthernnee of which this treaty is to be used, but as one of the means to bring about the general result; that general result depending, after all, upon other superior powers, and the necessity submitting to any terms which we prescribe, to fallen-fallenfallen Mexico!

The members composing the other Honse—the more popular branch of Congress—have all been elected since.—I had almost said the fatal,—the remarkable incidents of the 11th of May, 1846; and it has passed a resolution affirming that "the war with Mexno was begun unconstitutionally and unnecessarily by the Execu-tive government of the United States." I concur in that sentiment. I hold that to be the most recent, authoritic expression of the will I now that to be the most recent, atthemne expression of the will and the opinions of the people of the United States. There is another proposition, not so authentically announced hitherto, but in my indement equally true—equally capable of demonstration—and that is, that this war was begun, has been continued, and is

now prosecuted, for the great and leading purpose of the acquisinow prosecuted, for the great and leading purpose of the acquisi-tion of new territory, out of which to bring new States, with a Mexican population, into this our minor of the United States. If unavowed at first, this purpose did not remain maxwowed long.— However often it may be said that we did not go to war for con-quest—credat Judens Juglel —yet the moment we get posses-sion of the territory, it is said that we must retain it and make it our own. Now, I think the original object has not been changed. Sir, I think it still exists in the eyes of those who originally con-templated it—who becam the way for it—that it is extemplated it-who began the war for it-that it is as attractive to then, and from which they have no more desire to avert their eyes now than they had then, or have had at any time since we have compelled a treaty of cession. We know in our consciences that it is compelled! We use it as an instrument, and an agency in conjunction with other instrumentalities and agencies of a more formidable or destructive character, to enforce the acquiescence of Mexico in the acquisition by no of new territory to form new States—new States be added to this Union. Every intelligent man knows that there is a strong desire in the heart of the Mexican citizen to retain the territories belonging to that republic. We know that the Mexican people part with their territory—if part they must—with regret, with pangs of sorrow. That we know. We know the cession is altogether forced; and therefore, because we know it must be forced-because we know that whatever the government, which is our creature, may do or agree to—we know that the Mexican people will never accede to the terms of this treaty, but through people will never accede to the terms of this treaty, and the impression made upon them by absolute irresistible force. Therefore we propose to overwhelms them with another army. We propose to raise imme-

them by absolute irresistible force. Therefore we propose to overwhelmic them with another army. We propose to raise imme-diately ten regiments of regular troops and twenty regiments of volunteers, and to pour them in and upon the Mexican people Now, sir, I should be happy to concer, notwithstanding all this crossin, and all this ervy of all the Sempronic' in the hard that their toesin, and all this ervy of all the Sempronic' in the land that their toesin, and all this ervy of all the Sempronic' in the land that their tailly 1 do agree, with the honorable mergh. tainy I oo agree, with the honorante member from South Carolina that after all, the war with Mexico is substantially over—that there can be no more fighting. My opinion in the precent state of things is, that the people of this country will not sustain this war. They will not go to the expense. They will not find any gradification in putting the hayonet 10 the throat of the Moxican stration protectly or had say take ne appes that what the admission restoration protectly are the prosecution of the war against Mexico will not be carried, so effect is "an enemy to the country;" or, what gentlemen would so effect the same thing, an enemy of the President of the United Starteder the same thing, and having of the three started or the same thing, and the provide the transfer of the same three president of the United Started the Started Starte ter, high and low, out and ont. But names do not terrily me. Besides if I am a sufferer in this respect—if I be made the subject of re-proach by those stipendiary presses—those hired a users of the motives of public men—I have the honor on this occasion to be in very respectable company. In the vitnerative—the accusative—the denunciatory sense of that term, I do not known a greater Mexican in this body than the honorable member from Michigan at the head of the Military Committee!

Mr. CASS .- I should like the honorable gentleman to explain what sort of Mexican I am

Mr. WEBSTER .- That is exactly the thing I now propose to

Mr. CASS .- I shall be glad to hear the explanation.

Mr. WEBSTER .- In his remarks on this bill in the Senate, the other day, the honorable gentleman told us that his object was to frighten Mexico-it would touch his humanity to hurt her.

Mr. CASS .- Does the honorable gentleman mean to say that I made such a remark

Mr. WEBSTER .- I mean to say that the gentleman said it twice.

Mr. CASS.—I beg the gentleman's pardon. I said no such thing. Will the gentleman allow me to state what I did say? I remarked that we had two objects to accomplish in raising these regiments; one was, the vigorons prosecution of the war; and se-condly, to produce a moral effect upon Mexico by convincing her of our determination, and thereby hold out an inducement to her to make peace.

Mr. WEBSTER.—The gentleman said that his principal object as to "frighten" Μεχίσα, and that that would be more humane than to harm Mexico.

Mr. CASS, (in his seat.)-True.

Mr. WEBSTER.—It is true? Very well, I thought as much. Now the remarkable characteristic of his speech which makes it so much a Mexican speech is, that the genticman spoke it in the so much a Mexicau speech is, that the gentleman spoke it in the hearing of Mexico, as well as in the hearing of the Senate. We have been necessed, sir, of being "Mexican Whigs," because what we say here is heard by Mexico, and Mexico dorives countenance and support from what is said here. But the honorable member comes forth and tells Mexico that his object is to frighten her! His words have passed along the wires—they are on the gulf—they are floating away to Vera Cruz, and when they get there, they will satisfy the Mexicans that after all—after all, "ye good Mexicans our principal object is to frighten you!" And to the end can our principal object is to frighten you!" And to the end that they may not be frightened too much, he gives them notice that the object is to frighten them! Mr. President, when Sang, the joiner, was to represent the lion, and roar on the stage, he was quite apprehensive that he might too much frighten "the dutchess and the ladies;" and, therefore, by the advice of his comrade, one Nicholas Bottom, he wisely concluded that in the heat and fury of his effort, he would show one half his face and say—"Ladies, fair ladies, I would wish you, or I would request you, or I would entreat you, not to fear, not to tremble; my life for yours, if you think I come hither as a lion, it were pity of my life! No, I am

no such thing; I am a man as other men are; I'm Snug, the joiner! no seach thing; I am a man as other men are; I'm Snag; the joined But, sr, in any view of this is case—in any view of the proper policy of this government, according to any man's apprehension of regiments of the military force of the country? I hold in my hand note—I suppose substantially correct—of the present military forces of the United States. I will not vouch for its entire accuracy; and the limit of the country is an extension of the control of the country of full, would give us a force of 28,960 rank and file, and, including officers, thirty thousand and odd men. These, with the exception of six or seven hundred men, are now all without the limits of the United States, in field service in Mexico, or on the route to Mexico. These regiments are not full. Casualties and the climate have safely reduced their numbers. If the recruiting service would now yield ten thousand men, it would not more than fill up those regiments so as to give the field officers their full command. I understand, sir, that the report from General Scott—General Scott!

A man that has performed the most brilliant campaign in military annals! A man that has warred against the enemy—warred tary annals! A man that has warred against the enemy—warred against the climate—warred against a thousand unpropilious cir-cumstances, and carried the flag of his country to the capital of the enemy—honorably, produgly, humanely, to his own pernanent honor and the great credit of his country! General Scott! And where is he? At Puebla At Puebla undergoing an inquiry before this inferiors in office, and other persons not no office; while the high distinction. movement that he exercised with so movement that he exercised with so movement and are turned over to another—I do not mean to say an unworthy gentleman,—but his inferior in military rank and station. But, General Scott reports, as I understand, that in February, there were twenty thousand regular troops under his command and route. Add the thirty regiments of volunteers, and if full they would make thirty four thousand men. officers included over thirty five thousand, and there would be a force of regulars and volunteers amounting to not less than fifty five thousand or sixty thousand men, including the recruits on the way. If my information be ex-act—and the honorable member from Michigan can correct me if it be not,—I presume that it is correct—in February General Scott had under him in Mexico thirty thousand troops regulars and vol-unteers. Now, all these troops are regularly officered. There is no deficiency of officers in the line or in the staff. They are all no denetering of outers in the line or in the stain. They are an full. Whatever deficiency there is, consists of men. Now, sir, there is a plausible reason for saying that it is difficult to recruit at home for the supply of deficiences in the volunteer regiments. It will be said that volunteers choose to ealist under officers of It will be said that volunteers choose to enlist under officers of their own selection—that they do not incline to enlist here as indi-vidual volunteers, when the regiment is abroad under officers of whom they know nothing. There may be something in that, but pray, to what does that conclusion lead? Does it not lead to this, that all those volunteer cerps must moulder away so far as the privates are concerned and come to nothing; meaning the places of the commissioned officers are continually filled; the regiments being full of officers, although the privates, by casualty or by dis-case, are reduced to be less in number than the officers themselves? But, however that may be, in regard to the recruiting for the regular service you can fill up the regiments by pay and bounty, cording to existing laws, or new laws, if new laws he necessary. according to existing laws, or new laws, if new laws he necessary. There is no reason upon earth why we should now create five hundred new officers for the purpose of getting ten thousand new men. There are officers to command them. All that is wanted is men; and there is a place for the men, and I suppose that no gentleman cau stand up here or elsewhere and say, that the iccruiting service can go on faster than it will be necessary to go in order to first proposed in the regiments alread. But now what do we want with a greater force than we now have in Without asking what need there is for the supply of deficiencies in the existing regiments, what do we want the thirty thousand regulars and volunteers now in field service?
What is the purpose? There is no army to fight. I suppose the enemy has not five hundred men together under arms in any part eleminy has not new monres men rogeruser tauser arms in any part of Mexico. Except in one instance, perhaps, there is not half that number. Mexico is prostrate. There is no government to resist us. Why, it is notorious that the government of Mexico is on our side. It is our instrument by which we hope to establish such a peace, and accomplish such a treaty as we wish. As far as I can peace, and accomplish such a treaty as we wish. As far as I can understand the matter, the government of Nexico owes its life and breath, and being, at this moment, to the support of our arm; and to the hope—I will not say how inspired,—that somehow or another, and at no distant period, there may be pecuniary means arising from our three millions, or our twivele millions, or osme other of our millions. What do we propose to do, then, with those thirty regiments that we design to pour into Mexico? Are we going to cut the throats of the Mexicans? Are we going to plunge the

sword deeper and deeper into the vital part of Mexice? What do we propose to do? Sir, I see no object, and yet we are pressed and urged to adopt this proposition in its full length—ten regiand trigon of score as proposition in its limit negative ments of regulars, and twenty regiments of volunteers! We are told, and the public is told, and the public believes, that we are on the verge of a safe and honorable peace. Every man looks out in the morning for tidings of confirmed peace, or confirmed hopes of peace. He gathers it from the administration, and every organ of the administration, from Dan to Beershoba; and yet the warlike operations,-the incurring of additional expenses-the imposition of new charges upon the treasury, are pressed here as if peace was not in all our thoughts, at least not in any of our ex-

pectations! Naw, sir, I propose to hold some plain talk to-day; and I say that according to my best judgment and apprehension of matters, the main object of these bills is patronage—effice—the gratification of friends. This very measure for ten additional reguments, creates four or five hundred officers, colonels and subalterns, and not them only, for whom I have some respect, but then there now ment only or when I have some respect, out ten there come, paymasters,—cutretors,—person engaged in the transport service,—commissaries,—even down to satiers, d id genus onne.—people who handle the public money without facing the foe; one and all, the true representatives if not the true descendants, of corporal Nym, who said

"For I skall sutler be Unto the camp, and profits will accrue!

Sir, I hope without disrespect to those applicants and aspirants, and those patriots, some of them patriots ready to fight, and those other patriots not willing to fight, thut willing to be paid,—I hope—without disrespect to any of them, according to their rank and station, and merits, that they may be all disappointed. I hope, sir, as the weather grows genial, and the season advances, they will still the shade fight their intrest to place themselves one of will, on the whole find it their interest, to place themselves one of these mild mornings, in the cars, and take their destination to their respective places of honorable, private occupation and evil their respective places of honorable, private occupation and evil employment! They have my good wishes, that, bidding adien to the Avenue and the Capitol, and the purlieus of the President's House, they may reach their homes, in good health themselves and find their families all very happy to receive them!

But, sir, paulo majora canamns ! This war was waged for the purpose of creating new States, near the southern portion of the Livid's States our of Marsing targitory, and with row benefits.

purpose of creating new States, near the southern purpose of united States, out of Mexican territory, and with such population as might be found resident therein. I have opposed that project. I am against the creation of new States. I am against the acquisition of the states of the state of the states of the sition of territory to form new States. And this, sir, is not a mat-ter of sentimentality, which I am to parade before mass meetings or before my constituents at homs. It is with me no matter of declamation, regret, or expressed repugnance. It is matter of firm, unchangeable purpose, to yield to no force of circumstances that have occurred or that I may consider likely to occur; and therehave occurred or that I may consider likely to occur; and there-fore I say, sir, that i I am asked to-day, whether for the sake of peace I will take a treaty that brings two new States into this Union on its sourhear boundary, I say no, distinctly No! 2 and I wish every man ii the United States to understand that to be my judgment and my purpose. I have said on the southern boundary, because there the present proposition takes its locality. I would say the same of the western, the northern, the eastern or any othor boundary. I would resist to day, and to the end, here and every where, any proposition to add any foreign territory, on the south or west, north or east, to the States of this Union as they are now constituted and held together under the constitution. I do not want the colonies of England on the north; I as little desire the want the colonies of Lagland on the acrit; I sa little desired all, and all Mixician population on the south. I resist and reject all, and all with equal resolution; and therefore, I say, that if the question but to me to-day, whether I will take peace in the present state of the country—distressed as it is—in the exigency of this war, odi-ous as it is—in circumstances so afflictive to the community, and so disturbing to the business of those whom I represent as those so disturbing to the business of those whom I represent as those which now surround us—I say still that if the question he put to me whether I will have peace, with new States, I say no—no—no! Why? Because, sir, there is no necessity of being direct into the dilemma in my indement. Other gentlemen may think differently. I own no man's conscience but mine own. I mean to make a clean breast for myself, and I protest that I see no reason whatever to believe that we cannot obtain as safe a peace—as honorable a peace, and as prompt a peace without territory, us with it. The things are separable. There is no necessary connection between them. Mexico does not wish us to take her reserving with she may receive our money. Far from it. She territory that she may receive our money. Far from it. She yields her assent—if she yield it at all—reluctantly, and we all know If she yield, it is the result of force; and there is not a man here that does not know it. Let me say, sir, that if this Trist paper shall finally be rejected in Mexico, it is most likely to be because those who, under our protection prepared it, cannot persuade the Mexican Congress or the Mexican people to agree to this cession of territory. The thing most likely to break up what suade the Mexican Congress or the Mexican people to agree to this cession of territory. The thing most likely to break up what is now expected to take place, is the repugnance of the Mexican people to part with Mexican territory. They would prefer to keep their territory, and that we should keep our money; or we resolve that we should keep our money and allow them to keep their territory. We shall see. I pretend to no powers of prediction. I do not know what may happen. The times are full of strange events. But I think it probable, that if the treaty which has gone to Mexico shall fall to be ratified, it will be because of the aversion of the Mexican Congress or the Mexican people to code their territories, or any portion of them, belonging to their

public. I have said that I would rather have no peace for the present, than to have a peace that brings territories for new States, and the reason is that I believe we can get a peace just as soon without territory as with it—a peace more safe, more enduring—vastly more honoras with the a peace more ask, more database and able to us, the great republic of the western world. I hear gentlemen say, that we have must have some territory—that the people demand it. I deny it; at least I say I see no proof of it whatever. I do not doubt that there are individuals here and there, of an enterprising character, disposed to emigration, who know nothing about New Mexico, but that it is far off; and nothing of California but that it is still farther off; who are tired of the dull pursuits of agriculture and civil life. I dare say that there are hundreds and thousands of such persons who might wish for territory, in which their fortunes. Whatever is new, is attractive to such They feel the spirit of a borderer, and that is, I take it, minus. I ney feet the spirit of a botterer, and that is, fake it, to be pretty tolerably content with his condition till somebody passes beyond him; and then his disposition to take up his "traps" and pass beyond him who has passed himself, and sit down farther and pass beyond him who has passed himself, and sit down farther off, is an irresidible passion. At least, so says that great and sagaious observer of human manners, M. Talleyrand, when he ravelled in this country in 1797. But, I say, sir, that I do not find anywhere, nor that there exists anywhere, any considerable, respectable number of persons who hink that we want more territory and such territory. There were twenty-four of us last year, who voted against the proscution of the war for the acquisition of territory on the control of the property of of the propert even against what might be supposed to be needed reling and par-tiality able to sustain themselves upon the ground of the wisdom of the general policy of not seeking for territory, and by the acquisition of territory to bring into our politics certain embarrassing and embroiling questions. broung questions. I we not learn that they suffered by the advo-cacy of such sentiments. I rather believe that they triumphed in them, and I believe that through the greater portion of the South— if we can trust what has been said here, through the south-west to a very great extent, the same sentiment is general—that there is no prevalent opinion in favor of new territory and such territory, or of an augmentation of your population and by such population. I need not say that that is, if not the undivided, the preponderating But we think we must take territory. sentiment of all the North. sentiment of all the North. But we think we must take territory, For the sake of peace we must take territory! This is the will of the President! If we do not take it we may fire worse! Mr. Polk will take no less! That is fixed upon! He is immovable! He has put down his foot! He had put it down, sir, on "fifty-lour forty," but it didn't say! I speak of the President of the United States as I speak of all the president of the I know no reason why his pions, the will, his purpose colored I know no reason why his pions, the will, his purpose colored to be fixed, should control us any more than our purpose formed upon equally conscientious motives, and I may add, formed under as high responsibilities as these which should control him. We think he is firm and will not move. I should be sorry, sir, very control and other we should extensive the state of the state sorry indeed that we should entertain more respect for the firmnes of an individual at the head of the government than we can entertain for our own firmness. He will stand out, it is said, against us.— Do we fear to stand out against him? For one, I do not. It appears to me to be a slavish doctrine. For one, I am willing to meet the issue; and to go to the people, over all this broad land. meet the issue; and to go to the people; over all this original. If we will tube peace without new States, and the administration will have no peace without new States, I am willing to stand upon that, and trust the people. I do that because in the first place I think it is right; and in the next place I have no distrust of the people. I am not unwilling to put that to their sovereign den and arbitration.

Sir. I hold this question to be vital-permanent-elementary in the future prosperity of this country and the maintenance of the constitution, and I am willing to trust that question to the people. I prefer that it should be submitted to them; because if what I regard as a great constitutional principle, or a principle essentially important to the maintenance of the constitution be broken down, let portant to the maintenance of the constitution be proken down, let t be the net of themselves. It shall never he my act. I there-fore do not distrust the people. I am willing to take their senti-ment on this issue, from the gulf to the British provinces, and from the ocean to the Missouri. I am willing to ask them—will you the ocean to the Missouri. I am willing to ask them—will you continue this war for territory—for territory to be purchased after all for an enormous price, a thousand times the value of all that is an for an enormous price, a moustain times one value of all that is purchased, or will you take peace contenting yourselves with the honor that the country has reaped by the military achievements of its armies? Will you take peace without territory and preserve the integrity of the constitution and the Union? I am willing to

go on that for one.

I am willing, sir, to take this issue-peace without new States keeping our money to ourselves; or war till these new States shall be acquired? That's the question. It is a question for the people themselves. If they support me and those who think with me in the view I take of the matter, very well. If they will have ter-ritory—if they will add new States to this Union, why let them do so and they will be the artificers of their own fortunes, for good or

But, sir, we tremble before Executive power. The truth cannot But, sir, we tremble before Executive power. The truth cannot be concealed—we tremble before Executive power! Mr. Polk will take nothing else than this, and if we do not take this, "t the king's anger may kindle,"and he may impose still heavier burdens. Now, who, and what is Mr. Polk! I speak of him in no

manner of disrespect. I mean only to ask who and what is the President of the United States for the current moment? He is in the last year of the term of his administration—formally, officially it can only be drawn out till the Fourth of March. Why, really and substantially we know that two short months will, or may produce events that render the duration of the official term of very little importance. We are on the eve of a Presidential election. That machinery resorted to, to collect public opinion or party opinion is to be put in operation two months hence. We shall see its result. It may be that the present incumbent of the Presidential office will be again be that the present monthleth or the resonant other win cagain presented to his party friends and admirers for their suffrages for the next Presidential term. I do not say how probable or improbable that may be—perhaps it is not entirely probable—suppose that not to be the result—what then? Why, then, Mr. Polk becomes as absolutely insignificant as any respectable man amongst the public men of the United States-honorable in his private life realized in his private character—respectable, never cannot not public life, he will from the moment that a new star arises, have just as little influence as you, sir, or I—and so far as respects my self, God knows that will be very little! Sir, political partiagns. and aspirants and office-seekers are not sun-flowers-they don't

# "turn on their god when he sets, The same face that they turned when he rose!"

Now, sir, if the respectable gentleman who is now at the head Now, sir, it the respectance gentleman was is now at the neuto of the government should be agreed upon there will be those who will commend his consistency, and be bound to maintain it and the in-tegrity of the party; his friends will require that this should be done. If otherwise, who is there in the whole length and breadth of the land who will care for the consistency of the present incum-bent of that office? There will then be new objects. Manifest bent of that office? There will then be new objects. Manifest destiny will have fixed upon some of the manifest destiny will have fixed upon some of the mass are already either a constant of the manifest destiny shall be reached, then, sir, all these strains of panegyric made beforehand, laid up in pigeon-holes, studied, framed, emblazoned, and embassed, will all come out, and then there will be, there is bound to be, somebody in the United States, possibly, whose merits have heretofore been strangely over the colored-marked by Providence—a lain of introde—it is a wooder States, possibly, whose merits have heretofore been strangely over-looked—marked by Providence—a kind of miracle—tis a wonder that nobedy thought of him before; a fit man, and the oaly fit man to be at the head of this great Republic. I stirils not, therefore, from any thing that I feel to be my duty, by any apprehension of the power, and importance, and imposing dignity and the power of will which is ascribed to the present incumbent of that office. I wish we had that power of will. I wish we had that firmens—dranwe had that power of will. I wish we had that firmness—fi try his position. I wish before we surrender that we could make up our own minds to feel the enemy and try his position, and I think we should find him, as Taylor did, under the early sun on his way to San Louis Potos! That is my judgment.
But, sir, I come to the all absorbing question, more particulation of the oracles. When I came into the councils of

the country, Louisian had been obtained under the treaty with France, and shortly afterwards Florida was obtained under the treaty with Spain. These two countries were known to us. They lay ty with Spain. I nese two countries were known to use They may upon our frontiers. They commanded the outlets of the great river. As I have had occasion to say and shall now only repeat without argument, in the first of these instances, the President of the three Williams Mr. Jefferson, supposed the acquisition to be un-constitutional, he acted on that supposition. Mr. Madison was then Secretary of State. He proposed that a proposition for an amendment of the constitution sloud be submitted, in order to bring Louisiana into the Union. He drew up the proposition, and it was submitted to Mr. Adams. Mr. Madison did not go upon the it was submitted to Mr. Adams. Mr. Madison did not go upon the general idea that new states might be admitted. He did not pro-ceed upon the notion of a general amendment to the constitution with this respect, but the amendment of the constitution which he pro-posed and submitted to Mr. Adams, was article 13th, emendatory of the constitution—"The province of Louisiana is hereby de-clared to be part and parcel of the United States." Public opinion, clared to be part and parcel of the United States." Public opinion, owing to the great importance of this acquisition, took a turn favorable to the affirmation of the power, without any new constitutional provision. The power was acquired in Louisiana became a part of the Union, and following the example of Louisiana, Florida was admirted. Now, sir, I consider these transactions as past, settled, legalized. There they stund. They are part of our policy and history. They are facts against which it would be idle at this cal history. The cal history. They are facts against which it would be idle at this day to concied. My first agency in these matters was upon the proposition for admitting Texas into the Union. That, I thought it my duty to oppose upon the general ground of opposing all an-exasino of new States; and I may add, and ought to add to justice, because there was a proposition then before the country, as to southern States having a slave population being represented in the Congress of the United States, upon the ground of inequality. It happened to me, six to be called on to address a political Table independence. I may state may six, what I have often stated before, that no man from the first has been a better wisher—a. more sincere well wisher—to the people and government of Texas than myself. I looked apon that enhievement of their independence at the hattle of San Jacinto as quite extraordinary—almost a mrevelbus incident—in the affairs of "mankind. I was among the first to be disposed to acknowledge her independence, but from the first, and from the first down to this moment, I have opposed as far as I was able the annexation of new States to this Union. I stated my reasons on the occasion to which I referred. I have them in a short abstract before me, but it is hardly worth while that I should trouble the Sonate with reading them.

while that I show in routine the Senate with resulting them. For a few years I held a position in the Executive department of the government. I left the Department of State in 1843, in May. Within a month after another most worthy and respectable gentleman—who came to a very untimely end—had taken my place, I had occasion to know, not officially, but from circumstances, that the annexation of Texas to the United States was ta ken up by Mr. Tyler's administration as an administration measure, pushed, pressed, insisted on, and I believe that the honorable gendeman to whom I have referred. and for whose memory I sure, pressed, pressed, insisted on, and a delieve that the nonorane gentleman to whom I have referred, and for whose memory I entertain much respect—Mr. Upshur—had something like a passion for the accomplishment of this purpose. And I am afraid that the President of the United States at that time suffered his ardent feelings not a little to control his more prudent judgment. At any rate, I saw in 1843 that annexation had become a purpose. I was rate, I saw in 1843 that annexation had become a purpose. I was not in Congress, nor in public life, but seeing this state of things, I thought it my duty to admonish, as far as I could, the country of the existence of this purpose. There are gentlemen—many of them at the North—others now in this capitol, who know that in the sunmer of 1843, being fully persuaded that this purpose of annexing Texas had been taken up with zeal and determination by the Executive government of the United States, I thought it my duty, and asked their concurrence in an attempt to let this purpose be known to the country. I conferred with gentlemen of distinction and eminence; I proposed some means of exciting public attention to the question of annexation, before it should become a party queston question of annexation, neaver it stonia become a parry ques-tion; for I had learned that when a matter becomes a parry mat-ter, it is in vain to argue against it or argue upon it. But the op-timists, the quietests then, who said all things are well and let all things alone, discouraged, discountenanced, repressed, any such effort. They said the North would take care of itself, the country effort. They said the North would take care of itself, the country would take care of itself, that it would not sustain Tyler's project of amexation—when the time came the power of the North which was felt in the House of Representatives, would be suffi-cient to resist the measure. And I could now refer to paragraphs and articles in the most respectable journals at the North, in which the attempt was made to produce an impression, that there was no danger that we should have an addition of new States; that we need not alarm ourselves about it. I was not in Congress when the resolution previding for the annexation of Texas was passed the resolution previding for the annexation of 1 exas was passed. I only know that up to a very short period before the passage of that resolution, the general impression was in the country where I belonged, that no such resolution could pass. But I have found, sir, in the course of thirty years' experience, that whatever measir, in the course of thirty years expanded, that sure the Executive government embraces and pushes, is quite likely to expand. There is a giving way some where. If the Exe ly to succeed. There is a giving way some where. outive government acts with uniformity, steadiness, entire unity of purpose—sooner or later it is quite apt enough, according to my construction of history, too apt to effect its purpose

Just before the commencement of the present administration the resolutions for the annexation of Texas passed Congress. Texas complied with the provisions of these resolutions, and she was here on the 22d of December 1345, for her final admission into the Union, as one of these states. I took occasion then to state that I hoped I had shown all proper regard for about 100 per 100

and the House of Representatives. If you hring in new states, any state that comes in must have two Senators. She may come in with fifty or sixty thousand people and more. You may have from a particular State more Senators than you have Representatives. Can any thing occur to disfigure and derange the form of government under which we live more signally than that? Here would be a Senate bearing no proportion to the people, out of all relation to them, by the addition of new states; from some of them only one Representative perhaps, and two Senators; whereas the larger state may be a support of the states of the

I leave it to the imagination to onjecture where we shall be. We admitted Texas—one State for the present—but, sir, if you refer to the resolutions providing for the annexation of Texas, you find a provision that it shall be in the power of Congress hereafter to make four new States out of Texan territory. Present and prospectively, five new States—ten Senators—may come into the Union out of Texas. Three years ago we did this; we now propes to make two States. Undoubtedly if we take, as the President recommends—New Mexico and California—there must then ritories out of the United States along our southern horders, for the creation of States enough to send feur teen Senators into this clamber. Now, what will be the relation between these Senators and the people they represent, or the States from which they come? I do not understand that there is any yery accurate census of Texas. It is generally supposed to contain a hundred and fifty thousand persons. I doubt whether it is above one hundred thousand.

Mr. MANGUM.—It contains one hundred and forty-nine thousand.

Mr. WEBSTER.—My bonorable friend on my left says, a hundred and forty-pinie thousand. I put it down then, one hundred and fifty thousand. Well, sir, Texas is not destined probably, to be a country of dense population. We will suppose it to have near one hundred and fifty thousand population by the best accounts—and I have given over all that I can find. New Mexico may have sixty or seventy thousand intabitants—such—as—they—are! Say seventy thousand intabitants—such—as—they—are! Say seventy thousand men, but undoubtedly, if this territory should become ours, persons from Oregon, and from our Western States, will find their way to San Francisco, where there is some good land, and we may suppose they will shortly amount to sixty or all the may suppose they will shortly amount to sixty or man puts it at, will contain two hundred and ninety thousand persons, and they will send us whenever we ask for them, foorteen senators. A population less than that of the State of Vermont, and not the eighth part of that of New York. Fourteen senators, and no more people than Vermont' and no more people than New Hampshire! and not so many people as the good State of New Jersey! But then, sir, Texas claims to the line of the Rio Grande, and if it be her tree line, why then of course, she absorbs a considerable part, any, the greater part of the population of what is row called New Mexico. I do not argue the question of the true southern or western line of Texas, I only say that it is apparent to everybody who will look at the map, and learn view, the Rio Grande, which is a shallow, fordable, insignificant stream, creeping along through a narrow valley, at the base of enormous mountains. New Mexico must remain together; it must be a State with its seventy thousand people, and so it will be, and so will be callifornia.

But then, sir, suppose T exas to remain a unit and but one State for the present, still we have three States, Texns, New Mcxico, and Chilifornia. We have six Senators then for less than three hundred thousand people. We have as many Senators for three hundred thousand people. We have as many Senators for three hundred thousand people. We have as many Senators for three hundred thousand people. We have as many Senators for three hundred thousand people. We have as many Senators for three hundred thousand people. The hundred that is what we call an equal representation! Is not this enormous? Have gentlemen considered this? Have they looked at it? Are they willing to look it in the face and then say they embrace it? I trusts in God the people will look at it and consider it. And now let me add, that this disproportion can never be diminished; it must remain forever. How are you going to diminish! it? Why here is Texas with a hundred and forty-nine thousand people with one State. Suppose that population should flow into Texas, where will it go? Not to any dense point, but to be spread over all that region in places remote from the golf, in places remote from what is now the capital of Texas, and therefore as soon as there are is struction of the constitution and our practice in respect to the admission of States, my honorable friend from Texas will have a new State, and I have no doubt he has chalked it out already. Well, then, as to New Mexico there can be no more people there. The man is ignorant, stupid, who has looked at the map of Now Mexico and read the accounts of it, who supposes there can be any more people there than there is now; some sixty or severaly thorsand. It is an old settled country; the people living along in the bottom of this valley on the two sides of a little stream, a garter

of land only on one side and the other, filled by coarse handholders and minerable peons. It can sustain, not only under teier cultivation but under any cultivation that our American race, would ever submit to, no more people than there are there now. There will then be two Senators for sixty thousand inhabitants: in New Mexico, to the end of our lives and to the end of the lives of our children.

Well now, how is it with California? We propose to take California from the forty-second degree North latitude down to the formia from the forey second operer North Institude down to the formia from the forey second operer to take ten decrees of latitude along the coast of the Pacific. All along that great distance the are settlements, and villages, and forts back it is all wilderness and barrenness and Indian country. But if about 8an Francisco, and perhaps down to Monterey or a little to the North there shall be enough to make up one State, why the people five hundred miles off, in time to come will have another State and then this dispreportion of Senators to the people will go on, and must go on, and we cannot prevent it. I say, sir, that according to my conscientions convictions, we are now fixing upon the most of the United States and procurement a procurement of the United States and procurement is a processing. I do not know that I may not be urder some delusion. I do not know that my bead is not turned. It may be that it is the weakness of mine eyes that forms this mor strous apparition. But if I may trust myself—fill may persuale nyself that I am in But if I may trust myself-if I may persuade n.yself that I am in Dut it I may trust misself—it is may persistive myself that the in this Senate, have been acting, and are acting, and are likely to be acting here after, a part which will certainly form a remar able epoch in the history of our government. I hold it to be composed more many and an outrage quom all the principles of a popular representative government and upon the elementary provisions of the constitution under which we live, and which we have sworn to support. then, sir, what frees the case from this enormity? Why, it is Why, it is that we stipulate only that these new states shall be brought in at a suitable time. Now, what is to constitute the suitableness of time. Who is to judge of it? I tell you, sir, that the suitable time will Who is to judge of it? I fell you, sir, that has suitable time win come whenever the preponderance of party power here makes it necessary to bring in new States. The time will depend on the state of our politics here, and not upon the condition of these States elsewhere. Be assurred, sir, there will be a suitable time oraces ensewhere. De assurred, sir, there will be a suitable time whenever strength, or party power, or votes, are wanting in this Senate. We have some little experience of this. Texas came in suitable time, very suitable! Texas was haally admitted in December, 1846. My firend near me here, (Mr. Resk.) for whom I have great regard, whose acquaintance I have cultivated with I have great regard, whose acquantance I have curvature with much pleasure, took his seat here, with his colleague, in March, 1845. In July, 1846, these two Texan votes turned the balance in the Senate and overthrew the tariff of 1842, in my judgment the best system of revenue that was ever 1842, in my judgment the best system of revenue that was ever established in this country. Gentlemen of different opinions think otherwise. They think it was fortunate. They think the Texan votes came in in suitable time; and they will take care that New Mexican votes shall come in in suitable time also. I understand them. To their policy, to their object, to their purposes, the time as suitable, and the aid was efficient and decisive. Sir, in 1850, perhaps, similar questions may be agitated here—they are not likely to be before—but agitated they will be then, unless some change in the course of the administration of the government take base: and, according to my apprehension, looking to general replace; and, according to my apprehension, looking to general re-sults as flowing from our established system of commerce and resuits as nowing from our estandance system, or commerce and evene, in 1850, two years from this time, we may probably be engaged in a new revision of our system in the work of establishing if we can, a tariff of specific duties; in the work of protecting, if we can, the domestic industry of this country, and in the work or preventing to some extent, if we can, the work when in good of the work of the control importations. Suppose this to be the case, and suppose that our opponents require additional strength, that will be exactly the suitable time for two Senators from New Mexico to make their appearance here.

But again, we hear other Indeyon, soothing, quieting tones, which quiet once of my alarms, assuage none of my fears, commend me to my nightly rest with no more resignation. It is said we may trust the popular branch of the legislature—we may look to the House of Representatives, the great majority of whom are from the North and Middle States; and we may trust the southern the North and Middle States; and we may trust the policy of the state of

—public, private, and legislative—were attored in sounds which any one could have beard, who could hear thunder. Did it move the gentlemen? Not at all. Every one of them wered for annexa-were all turned out, but what did those care for that, who had the henefit of, their votes? Such agencies, or fit the proper to call them instrumentalities, maintain respect no longer than they continue to be useful.

Sir, we take New Mexico and California. Who is weak enough to think that there is an end? Why, do we not hear it avowed every day, that it is proper for us also to take Sonora and Taumalipas, and other provinces or States of northern Mexico? Who thinks that the hunger for dominion will stop here of itself? Somebody has said that this acquisition is so mean and lean, and unsatisfactory, that we shall seek no further. In my judgment, sir, you may believe that, if you can believe that a ra mal that has made one unproductive foray won't try for a better! But further there are some things that we can argue against with temper, and submit to, if over-ruled, without mortification. There couper, and summe or, a over-rune, we made mortuneation. There are other things that seem to affect one's consciousness of being a reasonable man, and evince a disposition to impose upon his common sense. And of this class of topics or pertensions, I have never heard of anything, and cannot conceive of anything more ridiculous in itself, more absend, and more affective to the constitution of the contraction o in itself, more absurd, and more afrontive to an sober judgment, than the cry that we are getting indemnity. Indemnity by the acquisition of New Mexico and Californis! I hold them not to be worth a dollar, and we pay for them a vast sum of money. We have expended, as everybody knows, large treasures in the prosemaye expedience, as everybody knows, large treasures in the pose-cution of the war, and now what is there to constitute indemnity? What do gentlemen mean by it? Let us see how this matter stands! We get a country. We get a country in the first in-stance, either by cession or acknowledgment of boundary—I care not which way you state it—the country between the Nucces and the Rio Grande. What this country is appears from a publication of an honorable gentleman in the other house, in which he quotes an account given by Major Gaines. He says that the country is worth nothing; that he would not hazard the life of a single indidual for every foot of land from San Patricio to the valley of the io Grande. This gentleman has been there lately, and is well Rio Grande. acquainted with the condition of the country. So far then as that part of our acquisition is concerned, I take it for granted it is not

part of our nequestions of the control of the contr

Now, sir, there is no public domain in New Mexico. There is not a foot of land to be sold by the government. There is not an acre that will become ours when the country becomes ours—not an arre. But, more than this, the country is full of people, such as they are. There is not the least thing in It or, I dare say, specialtors, traders, some of them adventurers, tired of the good country in the valley of the Mississippi, who desire to wander; but I undertake to say there will not be two hundred farmers or planters from the United States in New Mexico in the next fifty years. They cannot live there. Do you suppose they are going to cultivate lands which cannot be made productive in the slightest degree without irrigation! The people that are there produce little and live upon little I believe the characteristic of our farmers are good collected, and still hoped, and still hope, that Texas is to be filled up by a population like ourselves—not by the Spanish race—not by peops—not by coarse, ignorant, vulgar landlords, with tribes of slaves around them, predial and otherwise!

Mr. RUSK.—Will the honorable Senator allow me one word? I did not like to interrapt the Senator when he was reading an account of the country lying in the valley of the Nuces. When that country comes to be known, it will be found to be no valuable as any portion of Texas. From its source to its mouth, the valley of the Rio Grande will be found the same thing. I did not choose to interrupt the honorable Senator, but we do not claim it as incharmty, believing it to be our just and equitable right. So far as Mexican population is concerned, there is a good deal of it now in Texas, highly respectable, and amongst them those who have distinguished themselves as patriots, men of intelligence and of

worth. These are coming over and settling in Texas, encouraged by the prospet of pea

Mr. WEBSTER.—I take what I say in regard to the valley of the Rio Grande, from the statement of Major Gaines. I am glad to hear that there is a part of it fit for the foot of civilized man. I am glad to hear, also, that there are some of the inhabitants of New Mexico, who are not so besotted with their miserable condition, as not to make some effort to get out of the country, and to come into a better.

come into a better.

Sir, I would, if I had time, call the attention of the Senate to a
very instructive speech, that was made in the other House by Mr.
Smith, of Connecticit. He seems to have examined all our authorities, conversed with all our travellers, corresponded with all thorties, converse speak and our tired at their communications, and I command it is speak normal all their communications, and I common dit to every man in the United States, who wishes to know what we are about to acquire by the acquisition of New Mexico. New Mexico is seehaded, isolated—a place by itself—in the middle of the mountains—the hundred miles, I believe, from Texas

Mr. RUSK .- Five hundred miles from the settled portions of Texas.

Mr. RUSK.—Five hundred miles from the settled portions of Texas.

Mr. WEBSTER.—Farther from any where clse! It does not belong anywhere. It has no belongings about it. Sir, at this moment, it is absolutely more retired, and shut out from communication with the civilized world, than the Sandwich Islands, or most of the islands in the Pacific ocean. It presses hard on Typee, and the people are inflicted yess elsevated in mind and condition, than the action—far less fit to send their Senators here, than are the inhabitants of the Sandwich Islands—are less worthy are they than the better classes of Indians in our neighborhood. Commend me to the Cherokees, the Choctaws—if you please, to speak of the Pawnees, the Blackiect and the Sanke Indians, and I am satisfied with them, instead of the people of New Mexico. They have no notion of our institutions, or of any free institutions. They have no notion of our institutions of on any free institutions—anot the slightest on earth. And the question will be their constitution? It is farcical to talk of such a people making a constitution. They do not know its import; they know nothing at all about it. And I can tell yon, sir, that when we have made it at letritory, and wish to make it at State, such a constitution is the Executive power of this government thinks fit to send to them, will be sent and adopted. The constitution of our fellow-citizens will be sent and adopted. The constitution of our fellow-citizens will be sent and adopted. The constitution of our fellow-citizens what says Col. Hardin, in regard to New Mexico—gron. Now what seements and distinguished officer, whom I well knew as a member of the other House, and whose death I did most deeply deplore He gives a description of New Mexico—and speaks of the people of that country in these terms:

"The people ace as par with the final. Once 1800 or 500 in inch, and level he of that country in these terms :

"The people are on a par with their land. One in 200 or 500 is rich, and lives like a abold, their me years, or great the solid orbit, who was for their masters, and are as subservient as the slaves were the solid orbit of their masters, and are as subservient as the slaves. One more, explain of self-government. One man, Jacobou Sancher, owns three-foorthis of all the land our column bus passed over in Mexico. We are told we have see the best part of Northern Mexico, if so, the whole of it is not worth much."

I need not read the whole extract. He speaks of all Northern Mexico, and New Mexico is not the better part of it. Sir, there is a recent traveller, who is not unfriendly to the United States, if is a recent traveller, who is not unfriendly to the United States, if I may judge from his works, for he commends us everywhere. He is an Englishman, and his name is Ruxton. I believe his work is in the library, and I suppose that gendlemen have seen it. He gives an account of the morals and manners of these people; and Mr. President, and Senators, I will take leave to introduce you to these, your soon-to-be respected fellow-citizens of New Mexico:

"It is remarkable that although existing from the earliest times of the colonization of New Mexico, a period of two centimes, in a state of continual hostility with the unimerous savege tribes of Indians who surrounded their territory, and in constant inseemently of life and property from their attacks; being also fair termoved from the enerval.

ting influences of large cities, and in their isolated aituation entirely dependent on their own resources, the inhabitants are totally destitate of those qualities which, for the own resources, the inhabitants are totally destitate of those qualities which, for the cities of the control of the control of the control of the cities are given by the cities of a day as defined to the control of the control on the control of the control of the control on the control of the control of the control on the control of the control on the control on the control of the control on t

"One out of a bundred instances;" and these are soon to be our beloved countrymen!

Mr. President, for a good many years I have struggled to op-ose every thing that I thought tended to strengthen the arm of pose every thing that I thought tended to strengthen the arm of Executive power. I think it is growing more and more formidable every day; and I think that in yielding to it in this as in other instances, will give it strength, which it may be hereafter very difficult to resist. I think it is nothing else than fear of Executive power that commits us to the support of this war for the acquisition of territory,—fear—lear—and nothing else. In the little part I have acted in public life, it has been my purpose to preserve the people of the United States—what the constitution was designed to make them—one executive. to make them—one people—one in interest—one in character—one in political feeling. When we depart from that we break it all up. What sympathy can there be between these New Mexicans, in political feeling. When we depart from that we break it all in. What sympathy cust there be between these New Mexicans, these Californians, and the linkbidnast of the valley of the Missisthese Californians, and the linkbidnast of the valley of the Missisthese Californians, and the linkbidnast of the valley of the Missisthese Californians, and the linkbidnast of President's Do they know the same most tas in the choice of President's Do they know the same most tas in the consensations sentiment? Not at all. An arbitrary government may rule its distant territornes and a properties of the consensation of the consensation of the content of the consensation of under which we live into a deformed moister—into a curse rather than a blessing—into a great frame of unequal government, not founded on popular representation, but founded in the grossest inequalities; and, I timk, if it go on—for there is danger that it will go on—that this government will be broken up. I resist it will go on—that this government will be broken up. I resist it will go on—that this government will be broken up. I resist it oday, and always—whoever faiters or whoever flies, I resist—although I see that all the portents are discouraging. Would to thought the properties of the propert Would that we could stand where we would desire to stand! But with few or alone my position is fixed. If there were time I would gladly awaken the country. I believe the country will be awa-kened—it may be too inte—but by the blessing of God, supported or unsupported, I shall do my duty. I see well enough all the sin-ister indications, but I am sustained by a deep and conscientious sense of duty, and while supported by that feeling of duty, and while supported by that feeling of duty, and waken one great interests are at stake, I shall deey all augury, and waken one great interests are at stake, I shall deey all augury, and ask no omen but my country's cause!

# EXECUTIVE SESSION.

On motion by Mr. HANNEGAN, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of Executive business, and after sometime spent therein,

On motion.

The Senate adjourned.

# FRIDAY, MARCH 24, 1848.

# RESOLUTION OF THE LEGISLATURE OF TEXAS

Mr. RUSK presented a joint resolution passed by the Legislature of the State of Texas, in favor of the establishment of additional mail routes in that State; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Mr. RUSK presented the memorial of Preston Starrit and others, in behalf of themselves and other Cherokee Indians, praying the appointment of a Board of Commissioners for the investigation of Cherokee claims under the treaty of New Eelota; which was referred to the Committee on Indian Affaix.

Mr. DIX presented the petition of J. Howard and Son, praying that vessels employed by them in the coasting trade may be permitted to touch at the Port of Havana, for the purpose of landing passengers without subjecting their eargoes, on arriving in the United States, to duty; which was referred to the Committee on

# CHARTER OF THE CITY OF WASHINGTON

Mr. MILLER, from the Committee on the District of Columbia, to whom was referred the memorial of the corporation of Washington, reported a bill to continue, alter and amend the charter of the city of Washington; which was read and passed to

the second reading. MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE,

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. CAMPELL, their clerk.

MR PRESENT: The House of Representative bave passed the full of the state of the following the fol

Senate.
The Hous of Representatives agree to the 34,6th, 7th, 7th and 9th of the amendments of the Senate to the following the following the senate of the Senate to the following the senate and the following the other amendment of the Senate to and bell yellowing the concentracts of the Senate and they disagree to the other amendment of the Senate to and the Senate to the following the senate the senate the senate the senate the senate the senate to the senate

# ADJOURNMENT OVER

On motion, it was Ordered, That when the Senate adjourn, it be to Monday

# LIBRARY OF CONGRESS.

The Senate proceeded to consider the amendment made by the House of Representatives to the bill of the Senate to remut the duties on books, maps and charts, imported for the use of the Library of Congress; and it was

Resolved, That they concur therein

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

# DEFICIENCY BILL

The Senate proceeded to consider the message of the House of Representatives in relation to the amendments of the Senate to the bill of the House further to supply deficiencies in the appropriations for the service of the fiscal year, ending on the 30th of June, 1848.

The message having been read-

Mr. ATHERTON called for the reading of the first amendment, which had been disagreed to by the House, and it was read by the SECRETARY.

[This amendment strikes out the provise in relation to the keepers of the public archives in Florida.]

Mr. ATHERTON moved that the Senate recode from this amendment.

Mr. DOWNS observed that the Senator from Florida, who desired to address some remarks to the Senate upon this amendment was not now in his sent, being engaged in committee. He would suggest, therefore, that the bill be postponed for the present.

Mr. ATHERTON.-I cannot consent that the bill shall be de layed. I have no objection, however, that we shall proceed with the remaining amendments, leaving this one to be considered when the Senator from Florida may be in his sent.

The Senate then proceeded to consider the second amendment, which had heen disagreed to by the House, which appropriated five thousand dollars for the expenses of loans and treasury notes.

Mr. ATHERTON moved that the Senate recede from this amendment; which was agreed to.

The Senate then proceeded to consider the fourth amendment, relating to the appropriation for additional clerks in the office of

the Second Auditor, which had been amended in the House by adding the words "at a rate of compensation not exceeding one thou-sand dollars per unnum," and also so as to make it apply to the office of the Second Comptroller as well as to the office of the Second Auditor, and to increase the sum from twelve thousand dol-lars to seventeen thousand dollars.

Mr. ATHERTON moved that the Senate concur in the amendment of the House to this amendment of the Senate.

Mr. CLAYTON .- I would ask the Chairman of the Committee on Finance what is the number of clerks provided for by the whole

Mr. ATHERTON.—The papers which accompanied the bill to the House and which have not been returned to us, would furnish the information which the gentleman asks for. The provision now under consideration was inserted on the recommendation of the third Auditor.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts.—I desire to know how much provision is made for additional clerks? how much was put in by the Senate? and how much has been proposed to be added by the Honse?

The PRESIDING OFFICER read from the provisions of the bill, the amount proposed to be appropriated for the payment of the salaries of clerks in the different Bureaus of the War and Navy Departments, amounting to \$17,000.

Mr. ATHERTON also stated the sums that had been proposed to be inserted by the House of Representatives and by the Committee of the Senate, stating the aggregate to be about seventyfive thousand dollars.

Mr. DAVIS.—This explonation does not by any means answer the enquiry, or give me the information which I was desirous to obtain. I desire to know what emergency has arisen which demands an appropriation of seventeen thousand dollars for additional clerks in the different Bureaus of the War and Navy Departments? f supposed that the expenses of these departments had been fully estimated for last year, and now we are called on to add seventeen estimated for last year, and now we are cancion to soo seventeen more clerks. This is what I want to know. Possibly some mem-her of the committee can furnish the information. I do not desire to delay the passage of the bill, but if I cananto thain this infor-mation, I shall ask to have it re-committed, in order to ascertain what the foundation of this item in the bill is.

Mr. ATHERTON.—The papers which have not been returned from the House, furnish full and satisfactory information upon this point. The necessity for the additional clerks arises from the great point. The necessity for the additional cierus arises from the great amount of the war accounts. The bill has been before a committee of the House and undergone very close examination; and I believe the general impression is, that the amount provided by the bill the general impression is, that the amount provided by the onlinstead of being too large, is not large enough. The press of basiness growing out of the war is very great, and applies to the accounting officer of the Treasmy connected with the War Department, to the Pension Office, and to the Bounty Land Office.

The amendment of the House to this amendment of the Senate. was concurred in.

The Senate then proceeded to consider the fifth amendment, which had been amended by the House by striking out the words "four of them at the rate of \$1,200 per annum each, and the remaining four." and reducing the sum to \$2,000; and it was agreed

On motion by Mr. ATHERTON the bill was then passed over informally for the present.

# PATRICK WALKER.

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, the prior orders were suspended, and the bill granting a pension to Patrick Walker, having been read the second time, was considered as in Committee of the Whole.

Mr. TURNEY inquired why the pension in this case was increased to forty dollars per month?

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana.—The report fully sustains the merits of the bill. This is an extraordinary case. The man has had one of his arms blown entirely off, and he has also lost his other hand; one of his arms blown entirely off, and he has also lost his other hand, and is consequently entirely helpless, and is sibliged to have a servant constantly wide him. He cannot even eat without one. He lost his arms while gallantly lighting the battles of his country. Several distinguished officers, amongst whom are General Shields and Colonel Harney, testly to his bravery and good conduct. The committee was of opinion that he could not support himself for less than the sun provided for in the hill. The Commissioner of Pensions also has given his opinion, that this man is entitled to as high a pension as has ever been allowed, and the committee refer

to a case which occurred in the last war in which a man lost both his hands, and he was allowed a pension of forty dollars a month.

Mr. TURNEY .- I have no doubt that this man was a very gal-MIT. I UNIVEZ.—I have no end that the question ought to be whether the general law on the subject of pensions should be modified. I know a man who lost his arms at Monterey, and who is receiving only the ordinary pension, and I will venture to say there is no ing only the ordinary pension, and I will venture to say take it is difference in point of gallantry or bravery between the two individuals. I can see no reason for departure from the established viduals. I can see no reason for departure from the cstabilished rate of pension in the one case more than in the other. I see no reason why one should have forty dollars a month, and the other only eight or ten. I think that the law should be uniform in its opera-tion; and if you are going to act upon such principles as this bill proposes, your entire revenue will be bardly sufficient to supply the pension list. I am entirely opposed to it. The individual was unfortunate in losing bis arms, but not more unfortunate than many others are, and not entitled to more conpensation than others who have been equally unfortunate, and who rendered used many others are, and not entitled to more conpensation than others who have been equally unfortunate, and who rendered equally important services. I can see no ground for making a distinction, and I am opposed to adopting a rule which will give to one a higher pension than another.

Mr. HANNEGAN.—My attention was called to this case yes-terday by a very gallant and distinguished officer who has served not only in the present war, but in former wars. I mean Colonel Harney, who was himself conversant with the facts attending this case. I agree with the honorable Senator from Tennessee, that case. I agree with the honorable Senator from Tennessee, that the pension laws ought to be uniform, but there must be exceptions to the second of the second the government to support the individual who is a sufferer in its service, this, I think, is such a case.

Mr. PHELPS .- As one of the Committee on Pensions, I sup-Mr. PHELIPS.—As one of the Committee on Pensions, I sup-ported this bill on the ground that it was a very unusual case, and such a one as justified special legislation. I imagine that another case of a soldier having been so utterly incapacitated to Lake care of himself—I do not mean to earn his subsistence, but to supply his ordinary wants—cannot be found. Why should we alter the general pension law for a case that is not likely again to occur? The committee might have reported a bill for amendto occur? The committee might have reported a bill for amending the provide for cases in which men had lost both their arms, but in all human probability, such a case will not be done the gain in fifty years. How is this man to exist on eight dollars a month? He cannot carry a particle of food to his mouth. He cannot have been a case in which the gratuited and generosist the three he a case in which the gratuited and generosist che government can properly be exerted, it is such a case as this.

Mr. ATHERTON .- I move that this bill be laid upon the table for the present

Mr. PHELPS .- I hope that the vote will be taken on the bill. Mr. JOHNSON.—The committee were unanimous in recommending the passage of the bill.

Mr. ATHERTON withdrew his motion.

Mr. TURNEY .- I would like to know upon what principle it is, that we propose to give forty dollars a month to this individ-ual. It is said that he has no relation or friends. This is certainly very strange. He must have lived in a strange community. It is stated that he must have a servant, and that it will take at least thirty dollars a month to pay the expenses of a servant. I believe that one may be procured for five dollars a month. I want to here that one may be produced for the domain and office. I want to know what is to become of your Treasury if you are going to pay thirty dollars a month to a servant to attend upon pensioners. This principle of making distinctions, is to my mind extremely odious. The distinction in this case is, that the man has been brought here and exhibited is order to excite the man has been brought here and exhibited to order to excite the sympathy of Senators. There are thousands of individuals at their homes who have been wounded, who do not seek to obtain an extraordinary pension. I ask for the yeas and nays.

Mr. JOHNSON .- This is a solitary case; probably there has not been such another during the whole war. During the last war with Great Britain there was but one such case, and the man was allowed forty dollars a month by a special enactment. was anowed only donars a mount by a special enactment. The Senator from Tennessee says that a servant can be hired for five dollars a month. I imagine he is mistaken in this, but he does not recollect that the servant must also be boarded and clothed as well as the pensioner himself.

Mr. MOOR.—The pensioner named in this bill entered the army from Maine. He resided in the immediate neighborhood of my colleague, who has taken a deep interest in his relief. As he is

not now in his seat, but is engaged on a committee of the Senate, I should move to pass it by informally until he could be present, if I apprehended any danger to its passage.

SEVERAL SENATORS .- Yes, yes, let the vote be taken.

Mr. MOOR.—I will occupy but a single moment. I think I cannot be mistaken in the indications of the Senate. A case of cannot be mistaken in the indications of the Senate. A case of more merit cannot come before us. A solidier returning from the war, with one arm shot off and the other mutilated and rendered nucless, and asks for the relief which your general pension laws do not grant for such disabilities. A gailant General of the army informs me that he received these wounds whilst standing at his post and manfully doing his duty in battle; that his disability is post and manfully doing his duty in battle; that his disability which would very seldom occur. No general law would meet the case. It is not merely a case of absolute inability to carn a subsistence. He is even incapacitated from putting on his appared without assistance. Only one similar case occurred during the last war with Great Britain, for which the same pension was granted that is provided in this bill. I will not delay the action of the Senate by offering any further reasons.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi.—I am very unwilling to say any-thing on this subject, but it strikes me as highly necessary that we should pause before we enter upon this career of granting pen-sions. If you are to give this class of pensions for total distability, you must extend it to those who are disabled from other causes than the loss of their bands. You must extend it to those who are than the loss of their bands. You must extend it to those who are disabled by wounds of the spine, and such cases are numerous. I think we ought to establish some principle which shall be followed in the granting of pensions. If we are not to have an asylum for disabled solviers—if we intend to adhere to the pension system as, the only means of supporting them—we should make a provision for granting a higher pension to those who are totally disabled, in whatever manner their wounds may have been received. I object to special legislation for individual cases, when there are so many of a similar character requiring our aid.

No amendment being made, the bill was reported to the Senate.

The question being upon ordering the bill to be engrossed and read a third time, the yeas and mays were ordered and taken with the following result:

YEAS—Moss. Allen, Atchion, Balger, Bathy, Benton, Bridbury, Ualboun, Utakon, Citterion, Davis, of Macabimett, Daylon, Dictomon, Danjas, Down, Feron, Markey, Alberton, Davis, of Mississippi, Dix, Hale, Hunter, Lewis, Miss, and Tamey—9.

So it was

Ordered. That the hill be engressed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by nnanimous consent.

Resolved. That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Sceretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill,

# THE DEFICIENCY BILL.

On motion by Mr. ATHERTON, the Senate resumed the consideration of the bill further to supply deficiencies in the appropriations for the service of the fiscal year ending the 30th June, 1848.

The question recurred upon the motion to recede from the first amendment of the Senate, which had been disagreed to by the House, striking out the provise to the item for the pay of two keepers of the public archives in Florida; and it was determined in the affirmative.

Mr. ATHERTON subsequently moved a reconsideration of the vote by which the Senate agreed to recede from this amendment in order to afford the Senators from Florida, who were absent when the vote was taken, an opportunity to vote upon it.

Messrs, WESTCOTT and YULEE strenuously advocated the nendment of the Senate; and insisted that the Senate should adhere to it.

Messrs. HALE, PHELPS, ATHERTON, DAVIS, of Massachusetts, and CRITTENDEN opposed the amendment.

The motion to reconsider was determined in the negative.

# THE LOAN BILL

The Schate resumed the consideration of the bill from the House of Representatives to authorize a loan net to exceed the sum of sixteen millions of dollars.

The question pending was upon ordering the amendments to be engrossed and the bill to be read a third time.

Mr. ATHERTON moved to amend the bill, immediately after Mr. ATHERTON moved to amend the bill, immediately after the clause requiring the bids to be opened publicly, by adding "and no proposals shall be withdrawn after the same shall have been received at the Department," and explained that the object was to impose upon bidders the obligation of a contract, and thus to prevent the withdrawal of the bigher bids in favor of the lower, to the loss of the government, by a combination between the bidders. He remarked that the unendment had been suggested by the bonorable Senator from Vermont, [Mr. Phelps.] and had the approbation of the Senator from Massachusetts, then absent, [Mr. Webster]

#### PUBLIC LANDS IN ALABAMA.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. BAGBY asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to cede to the State of Alabama the lands unsold in that State, belonging to the United States, and remaining unsold after the first day of May, 1848, and for other purposes.

Mr. BAGBY said that in bringing forward this bill, he had two Mr. BAGBY said that in oringing forware this bill, he had so bejects in view. The first was to terminate the unpleasant and embarrassing relation of landland and tenant, between the State of Alabama and the government of the United States. The first section of the bill proposes to cede to the State of Alabama, all the public lands lying in that State, and remaining unsold, on the first day of May next, exempting from the operation of the bill, ties, and grants under treatics with foreign nations. The records of the treasury show, that the proceeds of the sales of the public lands in Alabama, exceed by several millions of dollars, the amount paid for the territory lying within the limits of that State to foreign nations, for the extinguishment of the Indian title, and all the expenses of surveying and selling the land. The second section cedes to the States all the land lying within

their respective limits so soon as it is ascertained from the returns in the Treasury Department, that the proceeds of the sales of the lands in such States has re-imbursed the general government all the expenses, as indicated in the first section of the bill. Thus, the Senate will perceive, that the ground upon which this system for the extinction of the title of the United States, in the public

and ying in the different States of the Union, is, that the government shall have been reimbursed the purchase money and all the expenses included to the public those states. This in itself, is, in my judgment, a consideration of very great weight, entirely sufficient not only to authorize, but to demand the passage of this bill. In addition to this high and weighty consideration of the property of the passage of the bill. In addition to this high and weighty consideration to the passage of the bill. eration of a general nature, there is another, but little inferior in transor or a goal of a lattice to a subsect, was never minor transportance, and that is the constant practice of including appropriations of the public land to purposes of internal improvement, in violation of the constitutional power of Congress, and in direct conflict with the rights of the States, and as I believe the true interest of the popile. I do not propose, or go at length into these interest of the people. I do not propose to go at reagan more more views now, I ask, that the bill may be twice read, and referred to the Committee on Public Lands, and upon the coming in of their report, I shall with the permission of the Senate, perhaps, give

The bill was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

#### MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT.

The following message was received from the President of the United States, by Mr. Walker, his Secretary:

To the Senate of the United States ;

my views at more length.

To the Senate of the Cutted States:

I transmit herewith a report of the Secretary of State with accompanying documents, in compliance with the resolution of the Senate, of the 17th inst., requesting the Friedrich in Commindate to that body "capies to the correspondence between ment, in relation to a postal strangement between the two countries."

JAMES K. POLK.

JAMES K. POLK.

Washington, March 27, 1848.

On motion by Mr. NILES, it was

Ordered. That it be referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads, and be printed.

# MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their clerk :

# SIGNING OF A BILL.

The VICE PRESIDENT signed the enrolled bill entitled "An act further to supply deficiencies in the appropriations for the service of the fiscal year ending 30th June, 1848."

# CALIFORNIA CLAIMS.

Mr. CASS gave notice that on to-morrow, during the morning hour, he should move to proceed to the consideration of the bill for a scertaining and paying the California claims.

# GRANT OF LAND TO LOUISIANA

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, the prior orders were suspended and the Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill to grant to the State of Louisiana certain lands for internal improvement.

Mr. JOHNSON remarked, that as he would be compelled to leave the city to-morrow in consequence of a domestic calamity, he trusted that the Senate would oblige him by allowing the bill to be acted upon at once.

Mr. BAGBY was sorry that he could not yield to the request of the honorable Senator. The bill contained, as he apprehended, a prin-ciple to which he entertained decided hostility. He could not essent that the bill should be allowed to pass without expressing his opposition to it; much less could be suffer it to pass without the usual forms of legislation. It was an attempt to do indirectly that which he had contended throughout his whole public life could not be done directly. Entertaining such views, it would be perceived that he could not assent to the request of the Senator from Lonisiana.

Mr. DIX inquired whether the bill had been taken up.

The PRESIDING OFFICER replied that the bill was before the Senate and was open to amendment.

Mr. DIX then remarked that he had not heard the question put on taking up the bill.

Mr. DICKINSON said that it might be all right, but he thought the procedure somewhat singular.

Mr. ASHLEY observed that the bill had been submitted to the Committee on Public Lands at the session before last, and had been amended. The evidence before the committee was quite coaclusive that the land was of little or no value, and that great publie interests would be subserved by making the grant. The committee unanimously concurred in reporting the bill: and he believed that there was no substantial reason against its passage.

Mr. BAGBY said that to his mind the objections were at all events fundamental and conclusive. The honorable Senator from Louisiana put the bill on the ground that the land was in the first instance to be appropriated to the State and afterwards to the Railroad company for the purpose of constructing their road. warmous company for the purpose of constructing their roads where then was the difference in interposing the State as the conduit through which the grant was to be given, and making it directly? It was remarked by the Senator from Arkansass that the land was of little or no value. How had it happened then that it was sought by the State and afterwards by the railroad company? How could it contribute to the construction of the road? He denied that any benefit had ever resulted to the treasury from these grants of land, and asked those who thought otherwise to point to any statistics which presented to the contrary.

Mr. DOWNS said he believed it had been customary to make such grants of lands in other States, and if his recollection was correct a series of resolutions had been offered which would come correct a series of resolutions and need offered which would come up hereafter for discussion, and it would then be a more favorable time to discuss the subject than at present. He hoped, then, that as this was a matter in which so many interests were involved there would be no further opposition to the passage of the bill. There was, as gentlemen had said, a plan for a railroad from New Orleans to the Gulf to facilitate the communication with the sea. Oreans to the Cuth to factinate the evanitudination with the sea, and the company had obtained the privilege of running their road through the property of private individuals, but they had no authority for passing through the domain belonging to the United States. It was a matter of small value to this government but of much importance to that part of the country; and be hoped, therefore, that all objection would be withdrawn

Mr. ASHLEY remarked, that when he had characterized the public lands there as being of no value he meant by it, that they were of no value nomproved. According to the evidence before were of no value unumproved. According to the evidence before the committee, it appeared that the lands were situated in a swamp and that there was no settlement in the neighborhood; that the location was desirable as the termination of this railroad, swamp and that there was no settlement in the "degloadous" that the location was desirable as the termination of this railroad, and that this improvement might make them valuable. When this bill was originally introduced it preposed on the railroad company. The committee of the railroad company. The committee of the control of the location of the land that the great State as a portion of the land the State was rightfully entitled to, and inasmeh as other States had revived similar grants. All sovereignies were equal, and he could not conceive how it could be just and proper for Ohio and Indiana to receive four or five times as much as other States of the Union out of the public domain. It seemed to him that if the government should be equal. It was not proposed that the government should construct works of internal improvement, it was merely proposed to make a grant to the State to aid her in making works for internal improvement, it was merely proposed to make a grant to the State to aid her in making works for internal improvement, it was more proposed to similar grants. This was the view which had influenced the committee.

Mr. ATHERTON moved that the bill be laid on the table for the present, in order to proceed to the consideration of the special order of the day.

The question was taken, and on a division was decided in the affirmative .- Ayes 19-Noes 12.

# MOTION TO RECONSIDER.

Mr. BAGBY moved to reconsider the vote of the Senate, on Friday last, on the passage of a bill granting a pension to Patrick

Ordered. That the consideration of the motion be postponed

THE LOAN BILL.

The Senate resumed the consideration of the bill from the House of Representatives, to authorize a loan not to exceed the sum of sixteen millions of dollars.

Mr. NILES .- There seems to be a desire to hurry this bill Mr. NILES.—I here seems to be a desire to nurry this bill through, and although under the circumstances I have no disposition to delay its progress, yet I do not know that I shall have a more fitting occasion to say something—as I desire to do—in regard to our revenue system, and in regard to the present state of our finances. I shall take leave therefore to present to the Senate, for a very short time, some considerations on these subjects. am very well aware, sir, that this is at best hat a dry subject, cor-sisting of details and figures, and that I can hardly expect to com-mand the attention of this body to any thing that I may be dismand the attention of this body to any thing meant I may be dis-posed to suggest; and especially at a time when the whole subject of the revenue and of the finances of the country—in time of war usually considered of the first and highest importance—seems to be regarded now, and to have been regarded at the last session of Congress, as deserving of no attention at our hands. Sir, my honorable friend, the chairman of the Committee on Finance, in openable friend, the charman of the Committee on Finance, in open-ing his Treasury budget here the other day, introduced his re-marks by observing that it would be a great descent to come down from considering the state of those countries in Europe which had been brought under consideration, with their new-horn and rising liberty, to the examination of the state of our finances. Well, I concur with my honorable friend, that even without assuming any unusual elevation of thought or imagination, but standing here the footstool of the Senate, it will, I apprehend, be something of a descent, it will be penetrating into a place of obscurity, if not drea-riness, to look into the present state of our finances. At the same time, sir, I think it is a duty which we owe to the country to do se, and although this body has no power to originate revenue bills; yet it is not the less a duty which it owes to the country to examine into the state of the revenue and see whether it is in as sound a condition as it ought to be; and if we find it not sound, to look ahead for a few years and see what condition it may be in at the end of one or two more fiscal years.

In regard to this bill I shall vote for it, not because it is such a bill as I could desire to vote for, but because it is the best I can hope to obtain. I suppose we are to have no other. And now, I wish to enquire of the honorable Senator, who is the representative of the Teeasury here, whether he is informed that any other financial measure is to be brought before the Senate?

Mr. ATHERTON.—I will say in reply to the Senator, that I do not know of any, but I beg him to recollect that as far as regards the original revenue bills they do not belong to the Senate.

Mr. NILES.—I am aware that it is not the province of the Finance Committee of this body to bring forward revenue measures; but the chairman of that committee is sapposed to know the wishes of the Treasury, whose, latterly, measures of revenue seem to have their origin, and my inquiry was designed to obtain information from that source if the honorable chairman could furnish it. I presume then, that we are to have no other measure than this, and that this must be regarded as the measure of the session for support of the control of the session of the sessio

son to believe that this bill will more than supply the wants of the Treasury; indeed my belief is, that it will fall short of furnishing enough for all the wants of the Treasury.

I am not disposal to go over the whole of the reports and estimates of the Treasury-Department, for I think probably we may have reason to believe, from all we have seen of them, that they are not entitled to the utmost confidence. We are called on to pass this bill, to supply the deficiencies of the treasury for the present fiscal year—sixteen millions of dollars; twelve millions and a half that are actually weared for diffusement of the treasury for the present fiscal year—sixteen millions of dollars; twelve millions and a half that are actually weared for diffusement of the treasury. Well, now, sir, I have not forgotten that in January a year ago we had a bill before us for a loan, or the issue of treasury notes, to the amount of twenty-three millions of dollars, with the authority to renew the act of the previous session authorizing the issue of treasury notes to the amount of five millions; and we were then tall that that supply would meet the demands of the treasury for the then fiscal year, carrying on the operations of the government until the first of July next. I know the properties of the government until the first of July next. I know the following the supply would meet the demands of the treasury for the then fiscal year, carrying on the present season, which is the supply the present year, showing that there was an error in the estimates to that amount, and according to the estimates made at the commencement of the present season, the deliciency was represented to be about eighteen millions of dollars—Afterwards as mistake to the amount of nearly seven millions was discovered, from which it was elained that the treasury was to increase the anount of nearly seven millions and since then a new mistake backen data but her approach is showing that the treasury is not in so good a condition by a least showing that the treasu

a milion and many as it was supposed to be after the description. The first mistake.

Now, sir, these large errors in the reports from the department are not calculated to inspire much confidence in what may come from the treasury on this subject. But it is not my purpose in ma-

king an examination to go behind the reports that we get from the treasury. I take them as we find them, and I shall you for for this bill, because I am satisfied that the amount it proposes to supply is at least required. The only question, then, that can be made in regard to this bill, it be ng admitted that this money is wanted, and that there is to be no other proposition for supplying the treasury in a different form by increasing our revenue, or in any other way—the only question that can remain, is, as to the form and mode of supplying this deficiency by way of loan; whether in the form proposed by this bill or by treasury notes, or compounded of the two forms, as was the character of the bill of last year. I did not know but we should have a proposition to amend this bill, by an authority to issue treasury notes. I am satisfied, however, with the bill as it, though I believe the commercial community will be of opinion that treasury notes would be more favorable to the financial condition of the country—more likely to promote the commercial and financial interests of the country—soll I am not sure but a direct loan is on the whole a better mode.

sure but a direct loan is on the whole a better mode. Whilst the condition of our revenue is by no means such as it ought to be in my judgment, and wholly inadequate to the demands of the treasury in time of war, it is gratifying to perceive that our finances in a more limited sense, considered independently of the state of our revenue, are, and have been, in a very satisfac-tory condition. I think that our finances in this view, are, and have been in a state of soundness, that is unexampled during and have been in a state of soundiness, that is anexampled dimen-a period of war in this country or in any other; for the almost ne-eessary operation of a state of war in any country, is to derange its currency, and more or less to effect its commercial and finanits currency, and more or less to emet its commercial and marcial interests of every description. This has not in the commercial and marcial interests of every description. The marcial in one of two forms, and sometimes in both. Either by direct use of the eredit of the government in the form of treasury notes, exchequer bills, or whatever they may be called, or by loans, which are merely the use of the credit of banks, or paper issued, in some form, which is not money, nor the real representative of specie. We all know very well that during the last war with Great Britain the financial operations of this government were in both of these forms that treasury notes were issued to a very large amount—that the that treasury for made were more bans of credit. Joans made of banks, or explicitlists, all of which were satisfied by bank notes; and those notes having a great depreciation in value at the time. These measures, sir, were productive of a great loss to the government, in its direct operation; by receiving as money depreciated paper, and indirectly by inflating the currenthe government, in its circet operations by receiving as money depreciated paper, and indirectly by inflating the currency, and increasing the value of everything which the government had to purchase during a state of war, so that during the whole of the war the value received for the loans made by the government, though nominally eighty per cent., were proba-bly not over fifty per cent. But we have experienced during the present war nothing of this; we have been able to negotiate loans at par, and have, of course, received full value; and by not interat par, and hard, or counse, received the country in any form, we have avoided any dangerous or pernicious inflation of the currency. And these results, permit me to say, are mainly attributable to one great measure, which I feel proud, sir, in having had some little agency after a long struggle in getting through this body, that is to say, the independent treasury law. I believe that law, sir, has had a most salutary effect every way, both upon the finances of the government, the finances of the country, and upon the commerce of the country. It has been tested at a very important period, a period of war, when this government has been called upon to collect and disburse sixty millions of dollars a year, called upon to collect and disburse sixty millions of dollars a year, and all this has been conducted by bringing into operation the specie prunciple without disturbing even in a moderate degree the currency of the country. I should like to know how any measure could be more fully, more satisfactorily tested than this great measure has been during the past two years? And suppose these sixty millions had gone into your banks what would have been the inertiable consequence to all our interests—to the government directly—to the commercial interests of the country; and above the consequence to the manufacturing interests. I wow all, sir, permit me to say to the manufacturing interests. I avow myself the friend of that interest, yet not more than others. Thi law has proved a great conservative principle, for though it may not be sufficient, with a very defective system of revenue to sustain that interest; still it has done much towards maintaining it in that that interest; still it has done much towards manuscriping to state of prosperity which it has experienced for the last two years. If we had received and disbursed paper, what would have been the necessary—the inevitable result? It would have been that the whole revenue, part of it in specie, and the twenty-two millions of specie imported, would have gone into the banks, and minions of specie imported, would have gote into the banks, and it would have been substituted in circulation by the paper of the banks, the result of which must have been an inflation of the whole currency of the country, probably to the extent of fifty per cent at least. This we all know. It is not a state of things to which the country is a stranger. We know the consequence would have been to have given an artificial value to everything, and that the been to have given an artificial value to everything, and that the financial result would have been distress in the highest degree, and all the evils and sacrifices of a depreciated currency and ru-inous speculation. And on the return of pence under circumstances like these—always hailed with joy—always looked forward to by the commercial classes, as a point of new departure—as the com-mencement of new and more active enterprises in business—would have been found a period of a terrible re-action; and the high state of prices to which everything had been earned would have had to come down, bringing with it ruinous sacrifices. Instead of that happy period which the country would have a right to expect on the return of peace, there would have been a long ordeal of two years at least, to be passed before the commerce of the country would have resumed a healthful state. The effects of this period of excitement during the war, on the one hand, and the depression after its close, on the other, we have avoided by the adoption of the great principle of separating the funds of the government from those of the banks, and by restricting our transactions, both as re-gards the receipts and the disbursements of the finances of the gonevels the receipts and the disbursements of the finances of the go-ternment to specie. I know my friends over the way have con-demned this measure very strenuously. It has been made, as is almost every other, a party measure; and if they could get into power, I am very apprehensive that they would, as they did on a former occasion, repeal the law, without being able to substitute any other in its place, and thus revive the deposit system. If I supposed they would regard anything that I might say, I would warn them against a course like this—against doing so foolish a thing. I would warn them against disregarding the results of the experience which we have had upon this subject. I would warn them against reviving a disastrous connection between the trea-sury and the banking system of this country—bad enough at best, defective enough in any view—but rendered infinitely more so by its connection with the financial affairs of the government. Even Mr Biddle, who is good authority with the other side of the its connection with the infinite manuals of a government. Even Mr. Biddle, who is good authority with the other side of the chamber, after his large experience, said that the breaking up of this connection was a good thing both for the country and the banks, for that the connection had always been injurious to both.

Mathogat I think that our finances are sound at this time, and that the affairs of the treasury are going along very well, that it is enabled to perform all its necessary functions; at the same time, I must say, that I think it is a short sighted and unwise policy, to go on as we have done, depending for all the extraordinary demands of the treasury, on a system of Jonns. I consider this as heing a mistaken policy; certainly it is a new policy, new in this country, new I believe, in every other. It necessarily results in creating a large public debt; and what will be the amount of that ereating a large public debt; and what will be the amount of that debt, when this war shall be brought to a close, is a question which I believe is involved in some obscurity at present. Still we are all aware that the whole amount of the debt, as the revenue has hardly been equal to the ordinary demands upon the treasury. We have provided no revenue for the war; no war taxes, or revenue of any kind. I believe it is not chimed in any quarter that we have. We have made no provision for the extraordinary expenses of the way. We have made no provision for the extraordinary expenses of the war. We have a revenue from customs and public lands. Our revenue from enstoms has not been increased with a view to provide for the expenses of the war, but on the contrary, the law was modified after the commencement of the war, as I supposed at the time, and and as was generally believed, with a view to a reduc-tion of revenue. I know it has since been claimed, that the new tion of revenue. I know it has since been channed, that the new system has increased the revenue, that we get more revenue under the present law, than we did under the act of 1842. I think the fact is not so, sir, but however this may be, if it is a consequence, lact is not so, si, out nowever this may be, it is a consequence, it certainly was not the design or purpose at the time. It was introduced and carried through Congress, as a measure for reducing duties, and thus lightening the butten upon commerce, and upon the country. Well, sir, I did not concur in that measure. I did not consider the control of the control of the control of the country in time of peace. I believed it was a measure not the best calculated, not the most efficient for revenue; and though many, perhaps a great portion of the people, have been taught to believe that it has proved itself to be a most efficient revenue measure, still I am of the same opinion as I was at that time, that the measure is hardly sufficient for the wants of the treasury, even in time of peace; of course it was altogether insufficient for a state

time of pencie; of course it was singeture' instanceint for a state of war, and has forced upon us the necessity of providing for the extraordinary expenses of the war by loans.

Mr. President, before looking into the present state of the finances, I have a few remarks to make in relation to our revenue system to the present state of the finances, I have a few remarks to make in relation to our revenue system. a very great mistake, in regard to the efficiency of our revenue system, for a long series of years. I remember when we were system, for a long series of years. I remember when we were troubled very much with a surplus revenue, when we had, as was supposed, so much that we did not know what to do with it. I receillect very well at that uime, that the honorable Senator from Missouri, told us that we had no surplus. I thought differently, and I voted with the majority. We had an actual surplus; still that surplus was, as the result proved, a mere anticipation of the revenue of future years, and experience has proved that the Senator from Missouri was correct, that we had no surplus, because to judge of the afficiency of your presence system van was at taken, where Missouri was correct, that we had no surplus, because to judge of the efficiency of your revenue system, you must not take a single year, but a sories of years, and if during that series you find a surplus, you will establish a fact to act upon, when you proceed to provide such a state of things. I find on looking back tu 1833, when the sys-tem of reduction commenced, and tracing it down to 1842, a period of ten years, there was an actual deficiency of revenue from customs, taken in connection with the ordinary land revenue, of shout, filty taken in connection with the ordinary land revenue, of about fifty millions of dollars. This was at the very period when Congress was disposing of a surplus revenue, and such has been the irregular action of our revenue system. The revenue from customs during the period to which I have alluded averaged about fifteen millions and a half, while the expenses of the government were not less than from twenty-three to twenty-four millions. If you add then to the From twenty-inter to twenty-four minions. If you add then to the revenue from enstoms, the only remaining revenue, which is that from public lands, say two millions and a half a year, you have a total revenue of eighteen millions, with an average expenditure of between twenty-three or twenty-four millions annually—making a

deficiency in the course of the ten years of more than fifty millions. considerations was provided to; but to the place of the provided to the consideration of the provided to the provided to the public hands welling the revenue from that source, in three years, 1835, '26, and '37, to nearly fifty millions of dollars. Twenty-eight millions of this was distributed among the States, leaving, however, a large surplus in the Treasury. But, in addition to this, we had at that time a large fund, the result of former revenues in the stock of the bank of the United States to the amount of seven millions and a In addition to these extensive sources of supply, we had to Treasury notes during the administration of Mr. Van Buren, issue Treasury notes during the administration of Mr. leaving at the close of this administration about six millions outstanding, and the administration coming in immediately after finding the finances ima low state was obliged to have recourse to loans immediately—thus increasing the public debt—until in 1842, before the act of that year went into operation to about twentythree millions. These facts being so, it is very evident that we have had a deficient revenue from customs for a long period of time. During the three years which followed the passage of the act of 1842, the revenue was something more than the expenditures of the government, making a reduction of the public debt, and leaving at the commencement of the war a debt of about seventeen millions.

During this long period we see that so deficient has been our revenue from customs, that we have been obliged to contract debts in time of peace, to expend the revenues which had been accumulated in former years, all the extraordinary revenues from public lands, and yet leaving us in debt at the commencement of this war,

There is no country in the world that has more ample and reliable sources of revenue than the United States, and still, sir, there are perhaps few in which the revenue has been more unstable, has fluctuated more—at times being suffered to be more than sufficient, and detail meeting and a superpose of the property of the treasury. This, are, has been owing to the instability of our legislation. The Treasury may be compared with a patient, who would be well enough if his kind friends would let him alone; who has naturally a good constitution and good health, but whom, his kind friends persaude, that he is always in a very dangerous situation; that he is actually in a state of disease, or that there is a redundancy in the system—a surplus of health—and that he is is a redundancy in the system—a surping of nearth—and that he is threatened with apoplexy or some shock that will suddenly take him off, notwithstanding he is in perfect health, and that he must have medical assistance. But he is not only under the necessity of calling in professional assistance at all times, but the kind of pravice which is applied to the case, has undergone such violent changes, as has produced very severe shocks upon the system. For many years be was under the care of what might be called the stimulating school he was under the care of what might be cance the summaring someon of the profession. They gave him drugs, bark, wine and brandy; but when he arrived at a high state of health apparently, it was said he was in great danger of an attack of upoplexy, and a new said in was in great danger of an attack of apoplexy, and a new course of treatment must be introduced. The patient must be reduced; depletion must be resorted to. Well, this system was commenced, and after going on with it for about ten years, then it was changed; and a simulating practice again adopted. And what is remarkable, the same distinguished physician who had prescribed the stimulating remedies, suddenly changed his course, and recommended the reduced remedies and followed it for about and recommended the reducing practice, and followed it for about ten years. Well, after a time—in about three years or so—the patient was fast improving, but a new set of physicians were called in—for this patient had a great many friends, and they were all anxious about him—they said that the stimulating practice would not tdo, and that it would be necessary to go boek to the reducing the control of the patient upon this new system, and he is there now. The man the patient upon the saw system, and he is there now. The man the patient upon the saw system, and he were valuable in medicine, I think does not succeed in financial matters. The principle of that practice, I believe is expressed by the and recommended the reducing practice, and followed it for about The principle of that practice, I believe is expressed by the

" Similia similibus curantur ;"

which being freely translated means, "the hair of the same dog will oure." That may do in medience, but it will not do in finance, for if you apply it to finance, it must be in this way: whatever creates a disease, will serve to remove it, and hence the remedy for a public debt will be to increase the debt; the remedy for public burdens will be to increase them; and the way to pay off one loan

will be to recrease mentra.

The method for paying off one debt will be to create a new debt. Now, there is another principle in this system which is called the principle of attenuation, which is, as I understand it, that the the principle of actionation, which is, its 1 uncertaint it, that the less creates the greater power; the less the substance the greater the efficacy; the less the cause the greater the efficacy; the less the cause the greater the efficiency do very well in medicine, but I do not think it will work in finance. I am not one of those who believe that the way to meet increased demands upon the treasury is to reduce your revenue; nor do I be-lieve that the way to increase your revenue is to reduce the duties. I believe you may attenuate a little too far. I am not in favor of extreme measures in revenue, or in anything else. I helieve that the truth lies between extremes.

lievo that the truth lies between extremes I
I have to call the attention of the Senate, after these introductory remarks, to a few statistics, showing the present state of our
finances. I think that the statement of the Senator from New
Hampshire, connected as it was with loans and treasury notes, reimbursed and re-issued, is calculated to confuse and embarrass
very much. I wish to look at the subject independently of every
thing of that kind. I wish to look at two simple ideas, that is to
say, the income or revenue of the country, and the expenditures of

the country. These two statements will show what our condition is, for whenever expenditures have exceeded the income, there must necessarily be a debt in some form. Sir, there is no avoiding this conclusion. I shall look back, then, to the operation of our must necessitify be a use in some form. Sir, there is no avoiding this conclusion. I shall book back, then, to the operation of our revenue system for a few years, commencing with the year ending June, 43, when the revenue was \$29,769,133, and the expenditures were \$29,969,206. We have no certain knowledge, I believe, from were \$29,966,206. We have no certain knowledge, I believe, from the report from the Treasury Department, of the setual expenses of the variety of the setual expenses of the variety vary very much from the actual expenditures. The expenditures of the last quarter, or a considerable portion of them, are not paid until the first quarter of the next year and part of the expenditures of one year go into the disbursements of the next year. In ordinary times, this may make no great difference, but it is evident, that the difference may be very material, when there is a change from peace to war.

In the expenditures of the vear 1845 are included, for the redemo-

is a change from peace to war.

In the expenditures of the year 1845 are included, for the redemption of treasury notes and the loan of 1841 and '43 the sum of 75,027,137, which leaves the actual disbursements for enrorat expenditures \$22,430,069. This is considerably less than the expenditure for preceding years, and it is quite possible that there is some error in the statement connected with the issuing and the some error in the statement connected with the issuing and the redemption of treasury notes, as such errors we see have occurred the past year. In the year ending the 30th June, 1846, the trea-

sury report is as follows:
Total receipts,
Total expenditures,

In the expenditures of this year are included \$365,095 for the an the expension of this year are inclused \$505,080 or 1 feedbaption of leans and treasury notes, making the actual expenditures for current liabilities \$27,646,032. The breaking out of the Mexican war, near the close of this year, contributed to swell the expenditures perhaps several millions, although the war not delared but little more than one month before the war close of the fiscal year.

In the year ending June 30, 1847, the receipts and expenditures

Total receipts, . Total expenditures,

Of this sum there was included for the redemption of treasury notes and loans \$2,372,398, leaving the actual expenditures of the year \$57,078,879, from which deduct the receipts of the year and we find that the excess of expenditure is \$30,732,143. This is the result of the first year of the war, according to the reports from the Treasury Department. The according to the reports from the Treasury Department was ready multiputed by the property of the propert

penditures some two or three millions more.

I now come to the present fiscal year. The expenditures for I now come to the present useal year. The expenditures for this year were estimated in the Treasury report, at the commence-ment of the last session of Congress, at about ferty-five millions. By the report of December last they are estimated at \$58, 615,660, of which \$16,469,194 were for the first quarter, which had been settled at the treasury. Since that report there has been an addi-tional estimate of deficiencies to the amount of four millions, mational estimate of deficiencies to the amount of four millions, making the whole estimates for the year \$62,615,660. If the three last quarters should, on an average, equal the first already ascertained, the expenditures will amount to the sum of \$65,874,656. The receipts of the year are estimated:

For Customs, Public Lands, Miscellaneous, 3,500,000

Whether these estimates will be sustained I am not prepared to say including the properties of the state of nine millions. I think that the land revenue is estimated too high because it is a million beyond the usual revenue from that seurce, though there may be more land thrown into the market still there are drawbacks from the soldiers' scrip and land warrants. In regard to the expenditures 1 cannot speak with confidence, but where the expenditure is so large the estimates would be more likely to be below than above the mark. I am inclined to think, therefore, that the result this year will very from these estimates some five or six millions, taking the excess of estimated revenue and the increase of expenditures.

Well, sir, taking it as it is, it leaves us at the end of the present fiscal year with a deficiency incurred in two years, of fifty-eight million and a half of dollars.

I have estimated the outstanding war claims at five millions, the land bounties excluded, which will make five millions more, and the expenses under the treaty at twenty millions which will

and the expenses under the treaty at twenty minimum make altogether ninety-eight millions.

Deduct the receipts, \$34,900,000, from the estimated expenditure of 62,615,660, and the deficiency is found to be \$27.715,660.

Deficiency for the year ending June 30, 1847, Deficiency for the year ending June 30, 1848, Total for the two years . \$58,447,803

This statement shows the actual deficiency for the two years of the war, leaving the receipts for Treasury notes and loans, and the disbursement on that account out of the calculation. I now ex-

hibit a statement to show what will be the whole public debt on the first of July next, provided the treaty of peace with Mexico shall be ratified:

Deficiencies for the two years of the war.

Debt existing at the commencement of the war
Outstanding claims growing out of the war, esti
Soldiers script and land warrants, estimated at
To be expended under the treaty 5,000,000 Total Deduct C \$105,447,803

Total aduct for the balance in the Treasury, July 1, 1846, which was 9,100,000, leaving to the Treasury as necessary to its action 2,100,000

The result, I fear, will not be so favorable as this by several millions. The public debt at the end of the present fiscal year can hardy be less than one hundred millions. And should the war continue, it must be much more. So far as this statement depends on estimates, I apprehend that the revenue is over-stated, and the expenditures and unliquidated liabilities under-estimated. The revenue for the present year, I think, is over-estimated, but not to the extent stated by the Senator from Vermont, [Mr. PHELES,] who supposed it would fail short seven millions. Mr. President, I wish now to call the artenut J. 19, 1849; This can be a state of the next fiscal year ending call the artenut J. 19, 1849; This call the state of the next fiscal year ending of the present should we get peace, and it is important to see what our financial condition will then be under our present revenue system. The Secretary of the Treasury has estimated the revenue of that year at over thirty-five mil. I lions, thirty-two of it being for customs. But this estimate is in my judgment altogether too high. It is founded upon the assumption that our exports and imports were to continue to increase; tion that our exports and imports were to continue to increase; whereas the exports have for the last six months fallen off largely. whereas the exports have for the last six monits failed of largely, probably more than twenty millions compared with the corresponding period of last year. This must be followed by a rapid decline of the importations which has already commenced. As the ground on which his estimate was made has failed, the estimate was made has failed, the mate must fail with it.

mate must fail with 11.

I assume for the receipts for the next fiscal year, the revenue of the last year, which was a prosperous one for our trade; more so than we have any reason to expect the coming year. I then assume as the basis of the expenditure that of the year ending in June 1846, the last year preguding the war:

Edmards amount for the year ending June 30, 1849.

\$85,346,730

Estimated expenditure on the basis of the year 1836, Add for additional interest on public debt, 27,666,032 5,000,000

It is true, as I have already stated, that the expenditures of that It is true, as I have already stated, that the expenditures of that year cmbrace two or three millions growing out of the war, yet we can hardly hope to reduce our expenditures, next year or probably any year after the termination of the war, below this estimate as long as the public debt remains. The war must necessarily leave us with increased expenditures which we cannot get rid of. To what point will the army be reduced with our extended frontier? It must be greatly above the former peace establishment. The navy is also increased expenditures considerably energed. It there is any correctness in this statement, there must be a deficiency the next fiscal year of more than six millions, and it may reach ten millions.

be a deficiency the next fiscal year of more than six millions, and it may reach ten millions. Now, the great question is, what is to be done in future? We get through the present period very well, but what is to be done in future? And I think this is a question which deserves the serious consideration of Congress and the country. What are we to do if we get peace? We are of lost another with a public deal of interpretable that the present of the prese Now the question is, whether our condition is such that we can is safety go on as we have been going; if we can, then it may be all very well. Our extraordinary expenditures will be stopped and we should know how to provide, for the new peace establishment, somewhat augmented, certainly by the necessity of paying the interest upon the public debt. This is a very grave question, and in my humble judgment not time should be lost in looking at it. We should look it full in the face, and with the courage and de-We should look it turn in the lace, and with the control termination which is always necessary in cases of this kind; we should set about providing for it immediately. What will be our condition at the end of the next fiscal year? What can we expect snound set about providing for it immediately. What will be our condition at the end of the next fiscal year? What can we expect to receive from customs? and what from public lands? Can we hope to do better than the result of the last siscal year?—that is to say, the year ending 30th June last? I know that the Secretary say, the year ending 30th June last? I know that the Secretary of the Treasury Jooks for results far more favorable. He has some idea—though it is one in which I cannot concur—that in a state of excited and extraordinary trade the amount received for customs is not to be regarded as an extraordinary revenue, but as a starting point, and that we are to go on increasing out rade; taking that point increasing from year to year. But this is contrary to all experience in this country, and, indeed, it is contrary to all experience in this country, and, indeed, it is contrary to all experience in this country, and, indeed, it is contrary to all experience in this country, and, indeed, it is contrary to all experience in this country, and the truth, because this extraordinary and the bility of the country, in some degree, and beyond its exports, which is its ability to pay, must unavoidably be followed by a reaction and a falling off of importation, and consequently of revenue. Now, this is an unfavorable state of things. Unfavorable at least upon the revenue of next year. This balance must be paid, and it must be paid out of the revenue of the next year. I have a document here which will show the condition of our exports for the last six months, and for a corresponding period last year. It was received from a commercial friend in New York.

Statement of the exports of breadstuffs from this country to Great Britain, from

| September 1, 1041, to shared 4, 1040.   |     |  |  |                            |  |
|---|-----|--|--|----------------------------|--|
|   |     | Flour, bbls.                             | Meal, bbls.                                  | Wheat, bush.               | Corn, but  |
| From New York New Orleans to Feb. 26 Philadelphin Baltimore Boston Other ports to Feb. 26 |     | 137,082<br>13,554<br>1,535<br>770<br>504 | 30,913<br>20,656<br>19,639<br>1,796<br>3,100 | 177,934<br>33,195<br>4,000 | 751,176<br>322,830<br>76,149<br>88,478<br>77,494<br>21,184 |
| Total .   |     | 153,445                                  | 76,104                                       | 215,139                    | 1,337,204  |
| The exports for the same<br>riod a year ago, were   | pe- | 1,258,876                                | 248,852                                      | 1,273,882                  | 6,931.640  |
| Родинало  |     | 1 105 431                                | 173 748                                      | 1.068.743                  | 5 504 436  |

I have computed the value of the caports for cash period in New York, assuming for last years prices, \$8 50 for flour; \$2 25 for wheat; \$5 50 for meal; and \$1 124 for corn; and for the last period the prices ruling at the present time. The total value of the exports for the first period exceeds 22,000,000, and those of the last period a little more than 2,000,000, showing a difference of over 20,000,000 of dollars. The freights for the first period exceeds three millions of dollars, and for the last are less than two hundred thousand, so that the loss on the freights, had it all gone to American citizens, exceeds the whole amount of the exports and freights for the last sax months. The facts in this statement were taken from the commercial shipping list, and are presumed to be substantially correct.

This astonishing decline in our exports does not afford a very encouraging prospect for our trade the coming year. The balance against us the present year will be large, probably from twenty to thirty millions. It is ascertained to amount to twelve millions

This diministion does not correspond very well with the idea of the Secretary of the Treasury. He seems to suppose that the very favorable state of trade which prevaled last year was the result almost entirely of the modified state of our revenue laws, and from this he drew the conclusion, that our trade would go on increasing. Now, whatever influence the modification of the revenue laws may have had, I believe it is generally understood that the increase of trade was owing to other causes, principally to the increase demand for our exports from the failure of the harvest in Europe. This demand having ceased, or greatly declined, our trade must also decline. We have not only lost a declined, our trade must also decline, we have not only lost a declined, our trade must also decline. We have not only lost a declined in their value or price—on many of our staples, at least forty per cent. While the quantity had decreased more than eighty yer cent., we have lost in price forty per cent. The demand for our breadstiffs in Europe has declined almost to nothing, and in regard to the great staple, cotton, it has fallen off in value as far as that article is concerned. I do not see the distinguished Senator from South Carolian, but I would like to eall his attention to that great interest of the South, and I think the time will councilly, to take care of that interest, will change their views somewhat. How is it, I would ask, that this great staple has been so suddenly reduced in its value throughout the world? What causes have produced this result? We know the cause which has brought down the price of breadstuffs; it as owing to the fact, that the cause, a short crop, which cahanced the price, has ceased to exist. But what has brought down the price of breadstuffs; it so very apparent that the market cause that the process of a sixty specialists of the cause which has brought down the price of breadstuffs; it so very apparent that the market caused to use the article? It is very apparent that the market caused to use th

demand for cotton, and suddenly strike down the price from the highest point. This they can do in regard to cotton, and the ball-strike point. This they can do in regard to cotton, and the ball-strike and the price of the pric

Strates a fact that the prosperous condition of our trade has been accounted by the course of our trade has a course of our trade has a course of our history, without any apparent cause on our part. Every interest of the country is arrested, and those very sanguine hopes and anticipations which existed eight months ago, seemed to have entirely vanished; and instead of looking forward to those results which were then anticipated, the men of business are now carefully considering how they can get along, and save themselves from greater embarrassments and difficulties. Sir, what is the condition of our navigating interests? Never was there so great a change in so short a period. It was last year producing an income of a hundred per cent more it, in one of the condition of our navigating interests? Never was there so great a change in so short a period. It was last year, now it is pretty much at a stand, and the future is full of doubt and uncertainty. And what is the condition of the manufacturing interest, which was thought to be too prosperous a few years ago? It is stragging against great difficulties. Well, how is it with that greatest of all interests, the agreedural, that is the interest which I would like to cherish above all others, the farming, the planting interest? Where are those golden dreams that we were indulging in a few months ago? They are all vanished. And what its the loss in the aggregate to the country? And what it on the supposing one-half of it to be disposable, and take fifty cents from each bushel and you lose about thiry milliens of doubles. Take your exheat of a lundred millions of dollars. Take your wheat crop its very more proposing one-half of it to be disposable, and take fifty cents from each bushel and you lose about thiry milliens of dollars. Take your corn crop of about 500,000,000, or more of bushels supposing one-half of it to be disposable and the docline of price at least torty cents a bushel, shows a loss of a lundred millions of dollars. Take your corner or about 50 millio

Now, in regard to the future; what is the situation in which we shall be placed at the end of the next fiscal year? We shall have a debt of one hundred millions of dollars to be provided for, the interest of which must be paid, with the current expenses of the government, from a declining revenue. If the ideas of the Secretary of the Treasury could be realized, if this prosperous state of our trade were to go on increasing, we might possibly get along without booking to any new sources for revenue. But if we are but too well founded, the period of reaction is coming, and we are to have a diminished revenue and great embarrassments in our finances. We will have this debt upon our hands with a failing and sinking revenue. The Secretary says that the results of last year were produced by the modification of the revenue laws, and that the cause remaining, the same prosperity of trade will continue. He has carried his imagination to a high pitch. He has extended the amount to three hundred and twenty-nine millions, and that our imports will equal, if not exceed that amount. He thinks that the amount of our imports now is every small compared with our domestic exchanges. His theory is that foreign commerce is an exchange of imports for exports. Now, the facts that I have referred to in regard to the extraordinary decline of our exports while our importations are going on, is cutricy at variance with another than the production of the commercial experience. We know that at all times, when we have had large importations, this excess

has been followed by a re-action and diminution of exports, carrying them below the ordinary amount. But the calculation of the Secretary is founded on the idea that our trade is to go on increas-Secretary is founded on the idea that our trade is to go on increas-ing—that our imports are to increase—and, of course, that our revenue is to increase in a correspoding degree. But, if what I have stated be true, instead of increasing it will diminish. It must necessarily take this course. Imports cannot be increased without a corresponding increase of exports.

The idea that our imports control our exports is wholly at va-riance with what we see existing at the present time. They have game on greatly beyond our exports. The large importations for months, hast, are the returns, for exports of preceding vases in

months past, are the returns for exports of preceding years in part, and in part the result of the crisis in England being sent on British account; and will produce a balance against us, and this balance must soon occasion a re-action in our foreign trade. And Dallance must soon occasion a re-action in our loreign value. Aum there is another icromistance that is operating unlaworably upon our revenue. The price of imports of every kind is reduced twenty-five per cent. In order then to reach the ordinary amount in value, you have to increase of equality. But the increase of quantity must depend on the ability of the country to consume. This will operate against us, because we must get the amount in value, in order to obtain the revenue, for revenue is founded on value. in order to obtain the revenue, for revenue is sounded of value.

The country has been told that the present revenue system is more efficient and productive than the one it superseded. But the fact is not so; we ought not to deceive ourselves, or the country. It has been tried under the most favorable circumstances, and found over the country of the has been tried under the most lavorable circumstances, and toond insufficient as a revenue measure. The revenue has fallen off nearly four millions the first year, although a year of unusual commercial prosperity. There is no getting away from this fact; no reasoning nor sophistry can get round it. The Secretary attempts to do so, by selecting a certain period, breaking up the regular fiscal year. He takes the period from December '46 to December '47, a period of extraordinary trade, and the first quarter of which, embracing several millions of revenue belonging the way accessioned by the change is the to the preceding year, which was occasioned by the change in the revenue laws. You can often select one quarter of a year in which the revenue is double that of another quarter. The result of a limited period amounts to nothing. You must take a series of years, you cannot determine from any one year. The year from December '46 to December '47, was a very remarkable year for trade; and to assume that as a standard, and to hope for an increase from that high point under our new system, is to a result that is contrary to the fact so far, contrary to all s reasoning, and to the whole past experience of the country.

If the view I have taken be correct, that our revenue If the view I maye taken be correct, that our retenue may be minish, and that our expenditures cannot by any possibility be reduced below thurty-three millions, it follows that there must be a difficiency of from six to ten millions of dollars for the fiscal year ending the 30th June 1849; and as there will be a debt upon our ending the 30th June 1849; and as there will be a debt upon our hands of about a hundred millions, and we are going on with a revenue falling below the annual expenditures, in this state of things, it may be asked when is our condition to be any better. If putting an end to the war expenses is not to help us, what is to belp us out of this difficulty? When is this debt to be paid? It extends the paid of this difficulty? When is this debt to be paid? It extends the paid? Sit his subject does not seem to receive the attention paid? Sit, this subject does not seem to receive the attention which it deserves. We do not look at it. I do not know but that we are in the condition of Abel Handy in the play. His house happened to take fire, and he was asked why he did not put it out. happened to take nre, and ne was asked why ne dua not put it out. He said he was thinking about it, but he was waiting to see if it would not go out of itself. Now I think that our Treasury is in a consuming state, if not on fire. And this fire will not go out of itself. This debt will not be paid by a declining and deficient revenue. This is not a result that ever has or ever can follow. What then ought to be done? We can berrow to he sure. I between the contract of the sure. lieve the credit of the government is good. But barrowing will not pay our debts. Borrowing will not extricate a country when its financies become embarrassed, and a public debt has accumu-

its manners necome embarrassed, and a pulite debt has accumu-lared, and when it is in the unfortunate condition of having a reve-me that is below its expenditures.

My honorable friend from New Hampshire, on introducing this measure, seemed to think that by procuring a loan, the deficiency measure, seemed to think that by procuring a loan, the deficiency could be supplied. It do not know what consolation to give my bonorable friend, unless it is what may be derived from the old maxim that "misery loves company," and by referring him to a distinguished functionary of another country, who seems to be in the same dilemma. I observe that the first minister of the crown the same of the country of t had to exhibit a budget showing a deficient revenue in a state of peace, though he did not exhibit so great a deficiency as we have here. Still there was a deficiency and that in time of peace. The peace, though he did not eximint so great a deucletic was we have here. Still there was a deficiency and that in time of peace. The statement was received very coldly, even by the friends of the no-ble Lord. Well, sir, he did not propose a loan, nor did he propose ble LOTO. Well, sir, ne can not propose a norm, nor an are propose to meet the deficiency by reduction or retrochment; but he asked for an increase of taxes. He proposed an increase of the income at X. That was received rather coldy; though, between the two, of the control proposed in the control proposed in the control proposed local prefer to have a tax rather than to drag on depending on local And therefore, I think the noble Lord occupied higher I wonin preset to and therefore, I think the noble Lord occupied bigner ground than my friend from New Hampshire, the representative of the treasury here, who has brought forward no proposition to give hie or vigor to our revenue, but has proposed to drag along under this old system of borrowing with an increasing defict from wear to year, until the credit of the government must eventually be broken down. There is where the thing must end. As with private individuals, so it is with the public; there is no stopping

point when relying on a system of loans for supplying public wants point when relying on a system of loans for supplying public wants. There is no end to it, but you are constantly sinking into debt deeper and deeper. I wish my honorable friend, or that we will be used to be a supplyed to the first Lord of the summar, would have initiated the example of the first Lord of the summar, we will be try, and have come forward with some measure that would afford some sort of stability, relief, or hope for the future, that we might see some deliverance ahead, from this wretched system of dragging on with a deficient revenue, and helping it out by loans.—A revenue known to be deficient—admitted to be deficient—deficient in time of war.

A revenue known to be deficient—admitted to be deficient—deficient in time of war. or was the stable of the stable creasing the public debt, without any provision for its redemption, even on the return of peace. This is a state of things unprecedented in this country. It is plunging headlong, recklessly, unnecessarily, into a public debt. I cannot approve of any such policy as this. I protest against it in every view which can be taken of it. I hold that it is no more justifiable to run up a debt unneces. it. I hold that it is no more justifiable to run up a debt unnecessarily in time of war than it is to do so in time of pence. I protest still more against remaining with a deficient revenue whilst that debt is accumulating. My honorable friend did allude to one source of relief. I wish there was more substance in it. He says this debt is not enormous or alarming, because our population and consequently our resources are increasing, and that our wealth quadruples in tventy years. But will our revenue increase faster than our exponditures?—that is the question for us to consider. Whatever may be the increase of our two control of the country—whatever may be the increase of our two controls. expenses go on increasing in a corresponding ratio, we get no re-lief from that source. That this has been the case hitherto, every one, I believe, must be aware. The results of this war must necessarily add greatly to our expenses after its termination, and were it not for the increasing ability of the country, we should be entirely unable to sustain ourselves for a single year. source to which the Senator alludes affords no further encourage. source to which the Schain already alone in lattice checutages ment than this, that as fast as our expenses increase our ability also increases. But it does not help us out of the existing difficulty

difficulty.

I prefer taxation to debt. Taxes before debts, I think is a sound maxim. It is, I think, a democratic doctrine. But it does not seem to be the democratic policy of the present day. On the contrary, the maxim seems to be, debts and loans for the present; taxes for the future. This is the policy apparently: unless indeed the debt is to be paid off by repudiation, taxes must be made of the debt is to be paid off by repudiation, taxes must be made of the debt is to be paid off by repudiation. not the part of wisdom to provide promptly and in season for these difficulties which are not far distant, but already upon us? We have had, as I have said, a deficient revenue from customs for the have said, a straight and continue revenue from customs for the last fifteen years. Although the opinion has been prevalent in the country that we could get along more satisfactorily and receive more revenue with a low rate of duty than with higher duties, yet indict devenue was now hate of using the major and using the detrine. We have tried it. It is no see xepriment. And I can saw in regard to the act of 18-46, that it is much less an act of low duties than it was represented to be when it was passed. I now think much better of it than I did then. We have tried extreme better of it than I did then.

We have tried fifteen and a half per cent, duties, but
We have tried fifteen and a half per cent, duties, but we did not find that they filled our treasury to overflowing. It is no new idea, it has been thoroughly tried. We cannot supply the wants of our treasury even in an ordinary state of demands it for a peace establishment, with anything short of about thirty per cent. of gross revenue, or twenty-five per cent. nett revenue. And yet we are going on, with this debt on our hands, and that debt accumulating, with a nett revenue system of only twenty-one per cent. We must have recourse to some other expedient than loans before a great while, and the sooner the better. It is our daty and to contribute to delude the public, for I believe a gross delusion has gone abroad that all is well, and that when this war is ended our inances will be in a sound state, while the fact is not so. They will be in a deficient, embarrassed condition, and we shall have a debt mone our hands without any means for its shall have a debt upon our hands without any means for its

liquidation. I say, give us something—some resource besides loans. Give us an income tax. A tax upon playing eards, and billiard tables, and fancy stocks. We have tried this method in Mexico, why not and fancy stocks. We have tried this method in Mexico, why not try it at home? A very considerable sam might be collected in that way. As it is now, we cannot get along. It is idle to de-ceive ourselves and the country, or silently acquisece in a state of things which will tend to embarrassment, difficulty, and finally will result in establishing the most obnoxious high tariff measures will result in establishing the most obnoxious high tariff measures I wish this remarks and the country. That will be the result.— I wish this remarks are the more and accordingly fail in a year or two more, and especially if the war should continue, for we seem determined to destroy it, and if that be the policy perhaps the sonor it is destroyed the better. We know there hey perhaps the sooner it is destroyed the better. We anow here are individuals in the world who cannot, so long as they have any credit, abstain from abusing it, and their only safety is in destroying it. It is so with all the governments of Europe; they have used their credit to the very verge of bankruptcy. England, the wealth-iest nation in the world, has used up her credit so entirely that she is now paralyzed, when a great orisis is coming on in Europe. soe is now parayacu, when a great crisis is coming on in Lurope. If may perhaps be fortunate for the case of liberty and the rights of nations, but still it must be mortifying to ber pride that she is paralyzed with a national debt. Sir, it was said by one of her most eminent men a few years ago, [Mr. Haskinson,] that the minister who would dare to involve the country in an unnecessary

war, and to increase the burdens of the people by increasing the public debt, would deserve to answer for it with his head. What a spectacle is this great nation, now struggling under an immense debt, with no resource that an expert and able minister can devise, but to increase the most odious tax that can be laid in that or any other country; and to be levied after the people have been taxed in every possible way, for what they consume, and wear, and for the very light of heaven. Over and above all the rest, they are now to be called upon to pay fifty dollars out of every thousand of their private income. This is the consequence of a public debt, which we seem to regard as no great matter, and to suppose that it can be paid off very easily. But experience does and support this idea. We had a little, paltry debt, logarining in Mr. Van Buren's administration, and we have gone on adding to it during a time of peace, some ten or twelve millions, so that when this war commenced we had a debt of seventeen millions. Sir, is the present a time when, we should safter our revenue to

get into a low and prostrate condition? We have received intelli gence across the Atlantic, that there has been an astounding explosion in the political state of one of the great countries in Europe, and all Europe will probably be drawn in to the great move-ment that is going on there. We have commercial and other re-lations with all those powers. Are we quite sure, that we shall not be involved in difficulty in regard to our commercial, or some other interests in consequence of this universal disturbance, in the countries of the old world? How was it in 1789? Were we not Were we not then involved in difficulty; and narrowly escaped being involved in war. The only advantage in our condition over the nations of Europe seems to be, that whilst they are thus weakened and paralyzed seems to be, that whilst they are thus weakened and paralyzed-milst their taxation is as great as the people can bear—whilst they have exhausted their whole power of taxation, in every way that the ingeneity of man can device, such is not our condition, as our re-sources are ample. There would be no difficulty in rising a revenue adapted to our condition and wants, if we were disposed to do it. But we have neglected to do it, and probably we shall go on in this same way until the burthen of a public debt becomes so great that it can be borne no longer. Would it not be the part of wisdom then, to look the difficulty in the face, and provide some remedy, knowing as we do, that we may be called upon for new and extraordinary expenditures, at a time when we are wholly unable to provide for the ordinary wents of the treasury?

Mr. ATHERTON -The Senator from Connecticut has intimated that I have been obliged to perform a very unpleasant duty To be sure it is always somewhat unpleasant to me to be obliged to obtrude myself on the attention of the Senate. But I assure my bonorable friend from Connecticut that there has been nothing my bonorable friend from Connecticut that there has been nothing more unpleasant to me in the discharge of that duty than to find myself obliged, in many respects, to differ from one for whose opinions, in past times. I have been taught to cherish so much respect. The honorable Senator says that he believes that the people of this country are at present laboring under delusion with regard to their real condition—that they inney themselves to be in a state of prosperity, when it is not so. This, sir, reminds me of an anceotect I once heard of two politicians in Glasmids. gow who were engaged in conversation about the national affairs. One was endeavoring to show that every thing was going to ruin that the public were in great distress, but the other merely replied that really he could not perceive it. To this the former very indignantly retorted that if "he would only read the Glasgow Chronick by more than the confidence of the country of the confidence of nicle he would soon find out how miserable he was!

Mr. NILES .-- I did not say that the people were deluded in supposing that they were in a prosperous condition, for there can be very little delusion on that subject. But I said that delusion prevailed with regard to the condition of the finances.

Mr. ATHERTON.—I certainly did not intend to misrepresent the bonorable Senator; but certainly if any delusion prevails with regard to the dreadful condition of the finances, or the prosperity of regard to the dreadful condition of the manness, or me prospersty or the country, amongst the people at large, it is not from want of diligent efforts to enlighten them as to their distress. We have heard a great many lamentations on the ruin that was about to overtake the country in its business relations; although it has hap-ter than the from the time these notes were first raised overtake the country in its business relations; atthough it has happened, somehow that from the time these notes were first raised here during this session, the business of the country has been gradiently in the street, so that we might almost say that 'wisdom cries aloud in the streets, yet no man regards her?'

My honorable friend has alluded to what he regarded as some confusion in my statements, and he child the would give a plain, the first of the street of the theorem of the thought of the same uninciples as those the thought of the same uninciples as those

intelligible two of the subject. I believe that the statement of the honorable Senator was based on the same principles as those on which one of the statement which I had the honor to submit to the Senate was founded. I stated that for the two years the whole expenditures were \$117,589,165.72; that the means of the two years asside from Tensury notes and loans, were \$70,373,229 13, making the deficiency to be supplied \$47,25,956 27. The avails of recording to motes and loans \$34,401,900 00, making the deliciency, according to the official reports of the Secretary of the Treasury \$12,2810,302 and \$13,401,900 00 for the results of the content of the secretary of the Treasury \$12,2810,302 and \$13,401,900 00 for the rerow which \$1,401,900 00 for the resource \$1,401,900 00 for t But to this must be added \$1,401,900 00 for the error which I endeavored to explain in the estimates contained in the treasury report, so that the whole deficiency is \$14,215,936 27. Now, sir, the honorable Senator has alluded to the delrt which will exist at the end of this fiscal year and he makes it \$98,000,000. I cannot account for the manner in which he arrives at that conclusion. Some years ago, I recollect, an imputation was made against an administration of which that honorable geutleman formed

a very distinguished part, that it had created a debt of forty millions. I do not suppose that the Senator was very well pleased with the manner in which it was attempted to arrive at that reduce the present fiscal year? It was impossible to arrive at such a conclusion by any rules of arithmetic. The whole amount of debt cented during the existence of the war is thirty-three millions—the amount to be raised by this bill is sixteen millions of dollars, to supply the deficiencies of the present fiscal year; add to this seventeen millions, the amount at commencement of the war, and the result is as clear as any result in mathematics can be, that the debt will be sixtly-six millions of dollars. The Senator says arrives of the year; and be pring, it seems, within the latter, the expenses which may arise hereafter on account of the transactions of the present year. It is certainly a novel mode of settling the debt of the current year to crowd into it part of the expenditure of last year, and also anticipate future expenditures; and that is the only way in which the Senator can arrive at his conclusion. The Senator, in specaking of the receipts from exators, said that he saw no way in which the senator can arrive at his coaclusion. The Sena-tor, in speaking of the receipts from customs, said that he saw no reason why the estimates of the Secretary should be sustained. So far as returns have been received, there is every prospect that the estimates of the Secretary will not only be fully realized, but be exceeded. The Senator, however, appears to think that the receipts of the custom house during the first year of the operation of the present tariff, afford no satisfactory basis for calculation of the present turiff, afford no satisfactory basis for calculations with respect to the future. Quite as much so it would seem as to take the last fiscal year, five months of which were under the operation of the tartiff of '42, and only seven months under that of the tartiff of '46! The Senator says that there were two or three millions thrown over into the revenue of the first year of the present tartiff, as goods were kept back in the store-bouse before the first of December, 1846, for the sake of having them returned afterwards when the revenue tartiff should go into operation. Now, this tartiff had a double effect. Some goods were crowded in, and others kept back. But if we can ascertain the amount in store on the first December, 1846; and the amount on the first of December. gegt mass. But it we call ascertam the amount in solve out the first December, 1846; and the amount on the first of December, 1847, it seems to me that taking the difference, we shall arrive at the amount derivable from the considerations mentioned by the honorable Senator during the year. I believe it will be found that there were only about \$111.000 worth of duty in the store on the Ist December, 1846, over the amount on the 1st December, 1847. So that, as it seems to me, it follows that the gain from the source alluded to by the Senator, could have risen only to that trifling

amount.

I trust that the Senator did not intend, as his words might seem to imply, to impute blame to me because some measure has not been brought forward in the shape of taxation. He did not vouehsafe his aid by extending any light with regard to his own views on the subject. He did not say whether there should be n tax on tea and colfne, as the Secretary of the Trensury has recommended; or whether he wished us to go back to his favorite system of high duties, in order to raise more revenue. I should have been very happy to have leard from the Senator on that subject. I believe the only articles on which the Senator recommend-ed a tax were billiard-tables and playing eards. Now, I do not know how the Senator might have acted, had the duty of bringing forward this bill devolved upon him; but really, it does not occur to me that he could find any method by which to introduce into this Senate a bill be could find any method by which to introduce into this Senate a thir for raising revenue and laying duties, for the sake of enabling as to pay the interest and principal of this loan. The constitution forbids it. Does he hold that it could be properly introduced as an amendment to this loan bill? It seems to me that that would come amendment to this boan offi? It seems to the that that would come qualify in enablest with the provisions of the constitution. Aside from the constitutional objection, what success could be anticipated for such an attempt when a proposition for a tax introduced as an amendment to this bill his been voted out of order in the other House as irrelevant. Therefore, I think that the Committee on Finance cannot be justly subjected to any blame on this subject.

Mr. NILES.—I spoke of the Senator as the representative of the Treasury Department. He says that he is at a loss to know how I made out the public debt to amount to ninety-eight millions of dollars. The regular debt, according to my calculation, amounts to sixty-eight millions, but to that I added other items; two millions for outstanding claims arising out of the war; one and a laff millions for Californa claims; five millions which must be assumed as debt or be out off the revenue, which is the same thing, on account of military land warrants; and twenty millions to be paid under the treaty. That is my outfolktion, and I have doubt it is below instruct. Being my outfolktion, and I have out the same thing, and the country of the c

The Senator asks why I do not propose some financial measure. Well, sir, I do not even suggest my opinion as to what ought to be done. I have only felt it to be my dury to call the attention of the done. I have only felt it to be my duty to call the attention of the Senate and the country—if anything that I say can reach the pub-lic mind—to the real state of our financial affairs. I look for rem-clies that are to come probably through public sentiment. I com-plain that when the Treasury takes it in hand, it does not propose something; ny farther, I complain that the Treasury Department now stands in the way of the country doing anything. The de-terminance of the country doing anything. The de-pendent of the country of the department does not propose another, and throw sobstacles in the way of any other, it is not for me to introduce the question, exerci incidentally, whether is not for me to introduce the question, except incidentally, whother n higher rate of duties would produce more revenue. That question, I believe, does not require discussion. It is a self-evident proposition; and whether it ought to be done or not, is, I think, equally self-evident. Certainly we cannot get along with the prequally self-evident. Certainly we cannot get along with the premercly endeavored to point out the state in which we now are, and
he prospect which is ahead. From the present condition of things
and its results I can see no deliverance whilst the present system
is retained—a system of revenue inadequate for a time of peace
yet adhered to in a time of war. I do not rise here to propose
remedies. That would be idle. I do not complain of the honorable Senator. But I say that there are faults somewhere—
a heavy responsibility to fall somewhere, for continuing this
state of things. There was an error at the outset. What
we incurred our expenditures, we ought to have provided, within
the reasonable ability of the country, an additional revenue. I
andeavored to call the attention of the Senate to this subject at
the last session, but I hardly succeeded. I alluded to it incidentally on one or two occasions, but I met no response. Our honrable friends on the other side, do not, I suppose, sympathize
greatly with us, when we get into difficulty—ti does not trouble
them much; and as to the friends on this side, they seem to be inspired with state confidence in the officer at the head of the finanthem much; and as to the friends on this side, they seem to be in-spired with such confidence in the officer at the head of the finan-ces, that without looking into his calculations very closely, as I apprehend, so long as he says "all is well—and will be better," they rest satisfied. Now I left it to be my duty, to look into his calculations and his speculations, for such they are, and I have found them wholly unsustained by our experience or by the facts or which they affect to rest. They are, in my judgment, idle spec ulations.

Mr. ATHERTON ... The Sonator has remarked that he did a

| know how I made out my estimate. I will tell him  |                                  |
|---|----------------------------------|
| The expenditures for the year beginning July 1, 1846, were<br>For the ounent fiscal year, they are                                      | 857,283,477 65<br>60,305,688 07  |
| Whole expenditures of the two years of the war,   | \$117,589,165 72                 |
| The receipts of the 1st year including balance in Treasury, - Estimated receipts of 2d year, i. e. current fiscal year,                 | \$35,473,229 45<br>34,900,000 00 |
| Means of the two years aside from Treasury notes and loans,   | \$70,373.229 45                  |
| Deficiency of the two years supplied by Treasury notes and loans. Whole amount of Treasury notes and loans authorized in the two years, | \$47,215,936 27<br>33,000,000 00 |
| Deficiency to be now provided for,  | \$14,215,936 27                  |
|   |                                  |

I have examined the subject with care, and if the statements of my honorable friend from Connecticut differ from mine, I am confident his are incorrect.

Mr DAVIS of Massachusetts .- I have a question to ask of the honorable Sonator who is at the head of the Committee on Finance. Is it his opinion, if the financial affairs of the government were closed up, that the public debt would be less than one hundred millions?

Mr. ATHERTON.-That must of necessity be a matter of speculation.

30TH Cong .- 1st Session .- No. 53.

Mr. DAVIS.—But you have the finances in charge, and if it be your pleasure to state it, I should like to hear your opinion.

Mr. ATHERTON.—The amount of the public liability depends on so many contingencies, that it is impossible to say what it may amount to prospectively I cannot say how much may be required by a treaty to which the Senator from Connectional alloaded. But I can say that the estimates of the Secretary of the Treasury have been sustained so far as the present year goes, notwillistanding the predictions of gentlemen who averred that we should have only twenty-four million of the confidence in the estimates for the next fiscal year loss some confidence in the estimates for the next fiscal year be sustained, and there are not the confidence and the confidence a mendiores while for the first head year. We shall not all the ex-panding the first head of the first of July next, only twenty millions of dollars will be required to supply the deficiency of the next head of the first head of incau year. In each authorized same: the war began is thurty-three millions of dollars. This bill authorizes a lean of sixteen millions. The debt at the beginning of the war was less than seventeen millions, making at the close of this fiscal year sixty-six millions. The Senator can add for himself the amount of twenty millions for the next fiscal year, and he will arrive at the amount at the close of that year.

Mr. CLAYTON moved to amend the bill hy adding at the end of the 4th section, the following words:

"And the principal sum borrowed under the provisions of this act, and the interest thereon as the same shall from time to time become due and payable shall be paid out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated."

This amendment, the honorable Senator remarked, was necessary in order to remedy an important defect in the bill, which had, he presumed, originated in the process of amendment in the other House. As originally drafted the bill did contain a provision for the payment of principal and interest. As it now stood, however, the appropriation clause was wanting. His amendment was to sundy the defect. supply the defect.

The amendment was agreed to.

The question being on ordering the bill to a third reading-

Mr. PHELPS remarked that he desired to address the Senate on the bill, but as the hour was late, he would defer his remarks till to-morrow, if it were agreable to the Senate.

#### EXECUTIVE SESSION.

On motion by Mr. HANNEGAN, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of Executive business, and after some time spent therein.

On motion.

The Senate adjourned.

# TUESDAY, MARCH 28, 1848.

# RESOLUTION OF THE LEGISLATURE OF MARYLAND.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, presented a resolution passed by Mr. JOHNSON, of Marytand, presence a resolution passed by the Legislature of the State of Marytand, requesting the Senators and Representatives of that State in Congress, to arge an appropriation for the improvement of the harbor at Havre de Grace, in that State, which was referred to the Committee on Commerce and ordered to be printed.

#### PETITIONS.

Mr. BENTON presented the memorial of Henry H. Sibley and others, citizens of the United Statss, residing within the limits of the proposed Territory of Minnesota, remostrating against a concemplated change in the northern boundary of Wisconsin, and raving the passage of a law for the organization of the Territory of Minnesota, which was referred to the Committee on Territories, seed achieved to be prized. and ordered to be printed.

Mr. CAMERON presented the petition of Elizabeth McDougall, heir of Samuel Caustin, deceased, an officer in the Revolutionary army, praying to be allowed a pension; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. DIX presented the petition of Mchitable Gibbs, widow of a deceased Revolutionary soldier, praying to be allowed a pension; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, presented the memorial of Charles Lee Jones, prying an investigation by Congress of alleged causes of complaint in the military discipline of certain companies of volunteers, from the District of Colombian in continuous service of the United States in Mixaco; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. DIX presented a petition of citizens of Livingston county, New York, praying an investigation of the conduct of the officer discharging the duties of military governor at Jalapa in Mexico; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. WESTCOTT submitted documents relating to the claim of Gilbert Dudley; which were referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

# PUBLICATION OF CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS.

Mr. TURNEY submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to:

Reserved. That the committee on the Judiciary be instructed to sugains into the extending of providing by law for the unishment of such persons as may surreptitively below and make public any confirmular communication made by the Presence of the United States to the Scoute, previous to the dissolution of the injunction of

# COLT'S FIRE ARMS.

Mr. RUSK submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to:

Solution 1. That the Providers of the Usund State, he requested to found he because the provider of the repeating for a minimum and table tem years indicate the superior mercial formation of the re-peating first and include the superior of the providers of the superior mercial designs of the subserved of walking the opinion is to the workput' of providing for the successor and designs of the afforca-tion of the superior of t

# RELATIONS WITH BRAZIL.

Mr. CAMERON submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to:

COMMONTORY OF COMMONTORY CONTINUES OR THE COMMONTORY OF CO

# GILBERT DUDLEY

Mr. WESTCOTT submitted the following resolution for con-

Resolved. That the Committee on Military Affairs be instructed to equire into the propriety and expediency of providing by law for the allowance of bounty land to fiber 1 Dulley, late a soldier in the United State Army, and honorably discharged

Mr. WESTCOTT observed that the case of Gilbert Dudley Mr. WESTOOT observed that the case of chunur Louver was one of peculiarly a meritorious character. While a mere buy he emisted at Newark, New Jersey, as a musician, for five years. His term of service expired February 2d. 1847, nine days before the bounty land law was passed. He served under Gen. Taylor on the Rio Grande, and wise wounded at Palio Allor, and was proon the Rio Grande, and was woonded at Palo Alto, and was pro-moted to a sergeanely for his gallant conduct in capturing two armed musicians, and carrying them into comp. A lithograph of this leat is among the papers now presented with the resolution. He was uparmed, but discovered them a little distance from their

arms—seized their arms—levelled a gun at them and forced them to march before him as his prisoners. Hearn that there are other cases of meritorious soldiers, who were in the battles prior to the passage of this law, but because of their discharge helore the law passage of this are, but declares of their discharge senter the law was passed, they are denied bountly lands. I have had some conver-sation with two members of the Military Committee, he Senates from New York, (Mr. Dix.) and the Senator from Mississippi, (Nr. Davis.) on the propriety of a general remedial law to me those cases, and I trust the attention of the Military Committee will be directed to the subject.

The resolution was considered by unanimous consent and

#### MILITARY ASYLUM.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, from the Committee on Military Affairs, rejected a bill to provide for a Military Asylum for the relief and support of invalid soldiers of the army of the United States; which was read and passed to the second reading.

Mr. RUSK, from the Committee on Military Affairs, to whom was referred the petition of David Wilkinson, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for his relief.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered. That the report be printed.

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the Committee of Claims, to whom were referred the bills from the House of Representatives for the relief of Bennett M. Dell; for the relief of Stalker and Hill; and for the relief of Reuben Perry and Thomas P. Ligon, reported them without amendment.

# REPORT FROM THE COMMITTEE ON PRINTING.

Mr. CAMERON, from the Committee on Printing, to whom were referred the motions to print the memorial of Samuel C. Reid, and the memorial of Robert C. Rogers, reported against printing the same.

RECONSIDERATION. Mr. BENTON moved to reconsider the vote of yesterday agreeing to the resolution authorizing Mr. Palmer to make certain al-terations and additions in his memoir, ordered to be printed; which was agreed to.

On motion by Mr. BENTON, it was

Ordered. That the resolution be referred to the Committee on the Library.

# RECOMMITTAL.

# On motion by Mr. DIX, it was

Ordered, That the report of the Committee on Pensions on the memorial of Francis O. Dorr and Andrew C. Dorr, be recommitted to said Committee.

# MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their olork:

Mr. Persilent: The President of the United States has officially actified the House of Requesentatives that he has approved and signed the joint resolution relative to the evidence which shall be astiffactor on a pilication for bootty hand. The speaked of the House of Representatives having signed two enrolled bills, I and discited to home them of the Charles of the House of Representatives having signed two enrolled bills, I and discited to himmy them to the Senate for the viginature of their President.

# SIGNING OF BILLS.

The VICE PRESIDENT signed the enrolled bill entitled "An act to remit the duties on books, maps, and charts imported for the use of the Library of Congress," and "An act in addition to an act for the relief of Walter Loomis and Abel Gay, approved July 2d, 1836."

# CALIFORNIA CLAIMS

On motion by Mr. CASS, the prior orders were postponed, and the bill for ascertaining and paying the California claims, was read the second time and considered as in Committee of the

Mr. CASS .- The facts connected with this subject have long Mr. CASS.—The facts connected with rous subject nave ong heep before the Sonate. A detailed report containing them has been laid before us, consisting of evidence collected from persons now here, who resided in California at the time when the transportions ont of which these claums grow were taking place, and some of them performing important parts in those transactions. You will recollect that a very small military and naval force at the commencement of the war took possession of and overrun the country; Commodore Stockton having the command of the naval forces, and Lieuteana Colonel Frement of the military. By the most prompt, patriotic and brave acts the whole country was taken pessession of, acts highly creditarils to the American name. The lorese employed were small but they received efficient sid from the American citizens who resided there. It was all done without authority from the government by our forces, with the conscientious co-operation of the Americans residing there. These claims embrace several very distinct heads and the payment of some of them has been generated by Col. Fremont. Only twenty-two thousand olders of these transactions, for all the rest the government is personally responsible. The whole amount pashe to the payment of the payment

On motion by Mr. DIX, it was

Ordered, That the further consideration of the bill be postponed until to morrow.

THE LOAN BILL

The Senate resumed the consideration of the bill to authorize a loan not to exceed the sum of sixteen millions of dollars.

Mr. PHELPS.—There are three aspects in which this subject of the finances may be regarded, three propositions to be answered, three different results to be ascertained. One inquiry is, bow do our expenditures compare with our income? Secondly, what is the condition of the treasury with reference to all it solitations and all its resources? And thirdly, what are the available means of the treasury to meet the current expenditures?

In endeavoring to answer these various questions, calculations are made which are apparently inconsistent with each other, and hence frequently, difficulty and embarrasament arise in the investigation of the subject. I shall not enter into a general examination of the subject, but shall confine myself to the points which have been presented by my bonorable friend, the chairman of the Committee on Finance.

been presented by my bonorable friend, the consumittee on Finance.

Amongst the suggestions presented is this; what row is the amount of the national debt? The subject was discussed to some extent yesterday by my honorable friend from Connecticut, and the honorable chairman of the Committee on Finance. I beg lower to remark in the outset, that this is a point at susceptible uncertian. We can only approximate to the amount. We may, indeed, ascertain its minimum, but its maximum must be determined hereafter. I have made some calculations on this subject, and I ask the attention of the Senate whilst I present them as brieft- and clearly as may be in my power. I have made two calculations, very simple, and easily comprehended. In the first page I set down the balance in the treasury on the last of July balance amounted to \$9,126,439 os. I find that in the fiscal year, 1846 and 1847, the sceretary reports that he derived from loans \$25,679,199 45. In the vear 1847 and 1848, he derives from the same source \$13,900,372 55. Thus, then, we have the balance amounted to \$9,126,439 os. I find that in the fiscal year, 1846 and 1847, the sceretary reports that he derived from loans \$25,679,199 45. In the vear 1847 and 1848, he derives from the same source \$13,900,372 55. Thus, then, we have the balance in the treasury at the commencement of the way, and the loan for the two years between June 1846, and June

much more it is likely to be is another question. The honorable Senator from Connectious added fire millions for the great variety of expenditure in connexion with variety of perations, not included in the estimated expenditures, and they operations, not included in the estimated expenditures, and they operations, and the senator of precision. I think that he calimates these expenses too low, that he is on the safe side. Adding the five millions, however they will swell the amount to ninety eight or nearly ninety nine millions as estimated by the honorable Senator from Connecticut, though his estimate was made upon a different basis altogether. He took the expenditure and compared it with the revenue assuming the excess of the former as the basis of his calledations. I have taken a different course. I have taken the formal debt and arrived at the same conclusion almost as that reached by the Senator from Connecticut. I have taken the liberty to add—looking forward to the termination of this war at some period or another—the estimated deficiency for the coming some period or another—the estimated deficiency for the coming lons, giving us a debt of at least one handers and thirdey milkings will be no one can tell.

I now take another mode of calculation. The Secretary of the

Treasury reports the public debt on the first of December last, a forty five miltions six hundred and fifty nine thousand dollars. In this amount he does not include the authority to borrow money which he already possessed, and which he reports to us under the head of loans available, at forty two millions. Then there is the loan authorized by this bill and other difference of one and a half millions to which I have already alluded. To that add the twenty millions to be paid for gotting out of this Mexican war and it gives us an amount of one hundred and seven millions. Now if there is any item in these calculations that is subject to eavil, it is that of the twenty millions of estimated deficiency for the c year. It may be more, but certainly it will not be presumed that it will be less. Let me here advert to a consideration all important in connexion with this subject, that this estimate does not em-brace the original debt which has been liquidated. It embraces only brace the original debt which has been liquidated. It embraces only the amount of debt which we have put in the shape of permanent debts. Whether we have provided for all is another and very serious question. Who can tell the amount of floating unliquidated debt? Who can tell the amount of debt to be added to this sum when we come to close up our accounts and allow all the various which we come to close up our models that war—that must finally be presented? We have no assurance that with respect to the ounts which I have mentioned of one hundred and seven millions-or one hundred and thirteen millions if you please-that the means thus raised on the credit of the government will be suffitient. We have passed a bill for raising ten regiments of regu-lars but not a cent of the expense has been embraced in the estimates. All these calculations are made on the expenditures esti-mated. And yet we have massed a bill for extending the estimated. march. And yet we have passed a only it raising the thousand men, the expense of which is to be added to these calculations. Are these troops to be authorized now with a view of being disbanded during the current fiscal year? Do we want five thousand men to be raised between this time and the 30th July next? If these troops are raised there is not only the expenditure of the current fiscal year, but other expenditures of the coming year to be added. Then we have following upon the heel of the ten regiment bill another bill authorizing the raising of twenty thousand volunteers.— Here, then, are thirty thousand men to be raised in addition to our present military lorce, and not one cent of this contemplated expenditure has entered into the calculations of the Treasury Department. That is not all: a great variety of expenses are not included. These land bounties that we have in our generosity provided must be included. Then we have the pensions to grow out of this Mexican war, and the miscellaneous claims growing out of other operations, quarter-master and subsistence departments.

Losses also of public property, claims for private property lost; and who can estimate the amount of these expenditures? I found and who can estimate the amount of these expenditures? I found upon my desk the -ther morning a report upon e -dnim of an indi-vidual, who had served in this Mexican war, giving him indemnity or goods and chattels lost; and I tound in the inventory of his property which had thus been lost; I suppose whiter clothing, e toun shirts, and boots and shows which he had carried with him to the field, which had been lost, and for which he desired compensation. If, then, we are going to the extent of paying for all the old boots and shoes and cotton shurts that may be lost in this Mexica campaign, I think that we shall add very largely to the amount of my statement of these miscellaneous c aims. A great many horses have been lost, and as I have had to deal with the claims for horses lost in our Indian wars from the time I first had the honer of taking a seat in this Senate to the present day, I can salely say hose campaigns are the best markets for horses in the world Would to heaven my constituents could find half as good a market for their horses and mules! When we come to estimate all these claims with that degree of liberality which recms to be now in contemplation, I think that it will be found no small addition will be made to the amount of the public debt growing out of this Mexican war. If the government can find any capitalist ready to as-some the obligation of the public doft, estimating it at one hun-dred millions of dollars at this moment, I think they should com-promise with him immediatel; and accept his offer. In my judgpromise with aim imm-diately and accept his offer. In my judg-ment the public debt at this time is nearer on hundred and filly millions than one hundred millions. Thus, I can give you no de-tails, only that there lies beyond a flood of claims, that probably never will be roally a secretained until our places be taken by other men. I leave that subject.

My object now is to approach an important question referred to by the Senator from Connecticut-how is this debt to be met? This is now the great inquiry. Before proceeding to the discussion of that point, however, let me allude for a moment, to the accusation made by the honorable Senator from New Hampsbire, in relation to some mistakes which he alleged the Senator from Vermont had made, in the estimates which he submitted some weeks The first of these mistakes is said to consist in this: orable Secretary of the Treasury, furnishes an estimate at the commencement of the session, of ten millions in the deficiency of the apaprpriations for the current year. I became satisfied, after some tigation, that by an oversight this difference of ten millions, had not been included in the general estimate of our expenditures. is now said, I was in error in that statement. Be it so, I am not disposed to go into any ealculations to settle the question, but I am rather disposed to go into an adjustment of the error—a correction of the mistake—now. After having sailed amidst the mists and fogs of Newfoundland upon this subject for some time past, if the moment has arrived when new observations can be taken, and our latitude and longitude ascertained, I am very ready to correct our reckoning. How then does this matter stand? In the first statement which I presented to the Senate on a former occasion, I included the addition to the estimated expenditures, but in correcting the error, it became proper to correct errors on the other side, and thus endeavor, if possible, to obtain an accurate re-sult. If I have been in error, I have erred in very respectable com-Immediately after the annual report was communicated to us, we were advised by the honorable Secretary, that a mistake of about seven millions had occurred in his general estimate of receipts How? It seems that by the authority to is treasury notes, this sum of nearly seven millions, had been realized by the emission of trensury notes. It was not entered on the side by the emission of treasury notes. It was not entered on the side of receipts; it was omitted, but the Secretary did enter the balance, \$6,285,000 as an available emission of this lean. In any first statement, I charged the Secretary with the actual loan for the year 1346-47, and with \$6,235,000 as the available portion of the loan for the year 474-498. omitting the actual receipts from this source of nearly seven millions, because it was omitted in the statement from which I took my data. also charged the Secretary of the Treasury, with his own estimate of deficiency, amounting to fifteen millions and a fraction, as the difference in June, 1848. How then did we stand? When he comes to correct the errors be had received more money, but his deficiency was less. What then was the proper mode of correc-tion? He should have deducted from the fifteen millions deficiention? He should have deducted from the intern minions denera-cy, but added the seven millions to his receipts. The result was, that as the eash received, and the deficiency went to the same column, and was the subject of addition, by subtracting the seven millions from the fifteen, and adding it to the same column as a septnumber from the intercay and acting it to the same counts as sept-rate item; it would have made the same result precisely. The re-sult which I brought on at that time, as the excess of expention interes over the income, was \$56,20,000. These calculations were made before the error was discovered by the Secretary, and when advised of the error, I deducted seven millions, and thus the re-

advised of the error, a sult was \$49,850,900.

What next? We are told that the deficiency in the appropriations, instead of heing ten millions, was fourteen millions. Another mistake! The Secretary wanted four millions more. I charged him with that amount and thus the lions, in giving the amount of each received and expended, and the additional excess of four millions in under estimating the expenditures of the current year, gives us seven millions as an offset against the calculations of my frend, and thus it turns out by this correction that the estimate I then made should be increased one million, notwithstanding this supposed error on my part. I have no objection to correct errors—certainly none relating to the department—and I can have no sensibility about the commission of errors growing out of the inaccuracy of the data with which I am formshed. It is apparent, sir, from all the examination which I have been able to give this subject, that the Committee on that the reasy department itself is at low received in the tensor of the control of the contr

into which I am betrayed by their inaccuraouss.

There is another point upon which I have a low words to say, and that is in reference to the revenue of the year cading June, 1847. I was aware that live months of the year were under the tariff of 18-12, and that seven months were under the tariff of 18-12, and that seven months were under the tariff of 18-13, which was passed in July, and the warchousing system, passed at the same time, threw back the income of the residue of the year beyond the first of December. In proof of that, I have adverted to the extraordinary fall of the revenue from the passage of that law. The decrease was at the rate of non-million, two hundred thousand oblars per month. I am told that I attributed that to the operation of the warchousing system, and it turns out by accumulation of the warchousing system, and it turns out by accumulation of the warchousing system, and in turns out by the company of the warchousing system, and in turns out by the company of the warchousing system, and in turns out by the company of the warchousing system, and in turns out by the warchousing system, and in turns out by the warchousing system, and in turns out by the warchousing system and the warchousing system and the warchousing system and the warchousing system, and the warchousing system and the warchousing system and the warchousing system, and the warchousing system and the warchousing system and the warchousing system and the warchousing system, and the warchousing

is unimportant to my purpose whether imported to not. The sact stated by the chairman is entirely unimportant.

But the third error is in relation to the estimates of the receipts from customs. This is an important consideration for us all. It is all innortant that we should know upon what estimates we can

rely in relation to the income from this source and whether these estimates have been correct or not. Certainly it is highly important to us to know in what position we stand, and upon what basis we proceed. In my estimate I put down the revenue for the current year from this source at twenty-four millions. I arrogate to myself no gift of prophecy. I made the estimates upon conditions which were satisfactory to myself, and, I hoped, such as would be satisfactory to others. But I am told that the probability is that the result at the close of the year will faisfy my predictions. Str. upon the assumption that this war was to continue. I reduced the estimate upon the ground that the effect of this war would be to embarrass the country and repress the nettivity of business operations. I declared at the time that if this war could be closed the commercial business and finances of the country was revive. Now the country is already satisfied that the war is closed. Nothing in my independent would more truly astound the country than to learn that this war of war they review. The apprehensions is removed, the prospects of the money market are improving. Thus the very state of things is presented for which I made provision in my calculations with respect to the future condition of the finances. There cannot, then, be any falsification of my prediction, if the finances of the country should improve.

finances. There cannot, then, be any falsification of my prediction, if the finances of the country should improve.

But there is another consideration worthy of attention. It is said by the chairman of the committee that we have already restained by the control of the consideration of the consideration in my calculations. I relied upon the usual course of trade, the wants of the country, the demand for foreign goods, and the ability of the country to pay for them. But the extreme destitution in Europe has interfered with the usual operation of those laws of trade. The commercial distress in England, the individual embarrassments, the bankrupticies that have taken place in which are parel into this market to be sold at any sacrifice; whiist at the same time we have been enabled to obtain an unsually extended market for our agricultural productions. But it is quite certain that although a temporary stimulus to importations has been given, there must of necessity be a corresponding declination and falling off in the importations, and of course the receipts that the same time we have been enabled to obtain an unsually extended market for our egricultural productions. But it is quite certain that although a temporary stimulus to importations has been given, there must of necessity be a corresponding declination and falling off in the importations, and of course the receipts that the same time that the same proportion. Whilst from customs must be diminished in the same proportion. Whilst this control is the same proportion of the control of the decession of the effect of received the control of the effect of received the receipt of the foreign dry goods market, and of the effect of recent information from Europe, the writer proceeds:

"We do not look for any decided impovement in the deems."

"We do not look for any decided impovement in the deems discretize foreign or domestic pools until gest spring. At the pre-ent time the large stocks on land yet engine the country merchant in this pring purchase, but not mostly faily goods, only engine the country merchant in this pring purchase, but not mostly faily goods of our markets we think it would be a most swicked course to attempt to import or send any goods to our market except, tack as are saleable at all times—and highparent even of the e- should be deferred until the present excess has found word, as every face jot, a foreign and the product of the country of the co

in our narket.

"On Thursday mutuon sales of cloths were made at minous; low years, in few "On Thursday mutuon sales of core than a bad as there was eale out think that purchasers could be found for displicates at the same price. Fewer of our jobbiers will exclude a could be found for displicates at the same price. Fewer of our jobbiers will give orders we think for the coming seemon, preferring to wait and watch the conner of events. Some have been a futile scouled last session, and have come to the conners of the conners of

If the market has been thus glutted, how can we anticipate fresh importations from which the revenue of the last quarter has to be swollen to the amount received in the former part of the year. If the slightest reliance is to be placed upon this article, which comes from a respectable source, it admonishes us not to place reliance upon a continuance of importations; and there is another consideration which I do not wish to discuss at length, but to which I may be permitted to alinde. It was presented by the Senator from Connecticut, and it, and the content of the content is also emitted or the content of the content is also emitted or the content is also emitted or the content of the content is also emitted or the content of the content is also emitted or the content is also emitted the content of the content is also emitted the content is al

The present state of public affairs on the continent is also emisedly worthy of consideration in its bearings upon our financial and commercial prosperity. Events may suddenly arise changing the whole aspect of our commercial affairs. My estimates were formed upon the supposition that the war with Mexico was to continue. If my anticipations be disappointed of course different results may be expected. We are now, as the country hope, at peace or soon shall be. This will have its effect upon the business of the country. There are some other topics connected with

this subject to which I cannot here more than allude. The report

this subject to which I cannot here more than allude. The report of the Secretary is replete, not only with financial information, but a mighty mass of theory upon the general subject of political county. Of course, I shall not pred many the prediction of the county of course, I shall not pred many the county of the county. But there is the second of the county of the county of the county. But do we not know that heretogene we have been in debt, and that pressure there, of necessity, produced an entertail in Europe by a famine produced an enormous demand for our breadstuffs, and money of course went into our pockets. It is, then, not at all wanderful that embarrassens operated the county of th tions upon which two-thirds of our time is wasted.

Ordered, That the amendments be engrossed and the bill read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time.

On the question "Shall this bill pass?" Mr. HALE demanded the yeas and nays, which were ordered and taken with the follow-

YEAS — Mears, Allen, Addey, Atchion, Atherion, Bagley, Bell, Berrien, Breter, Buther, Cameron, Davie of Manachmette, Brate, of Manachmet, Better, Buther Cameron, Davien, Debugna, California, of Goracio, Levin, Magnem, Miler, Mear, Nile, Phelps Sgrance, Turney, Undewood, Westeut, and Yulev—24.

XAYS — Mears, Baldwan, and thin—2.

So it was

Resolved, That this bill pass with amendments.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in the amendments.

# EXECUTIVE SESSION.

On motion by Mr. CAMERON, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of Executive business, and after some time spent therein.

On motion.

The Senate adjourned.

# WEDNESDAY, MARCH 29, 1848.

RESOLUTION OF THE LEGISLATURE OF NEW JERSEY.

Mr. MILLER presented a resolution passed by the Legislature of the State of New Jersey, in favor of the enactment of a law to provide for the reinhursement of the expenses incurred by William Napton and alexander F. Arnold in raising a company of volunteers to serve in the Mexican war; which was referred to the Committee on Miliary Affairs, and ordered to be printed.

#### PETITIONS

Mr. HALE presented a petition of citizens of New Hampshire, praying a reduction of the rates of postage on letters and newspapers; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Also, a petition of inhabitants of Pennsylvania, praying such amendment of the Constitution of the United States as will abolish slavery throughout the Union; the motion to receive which was laid upon the table.

Mr. DIN presented the memorial of the Society for the reformation of javenule delanquents in the city of New York, praying to be released from a judgment obtained against them by the United States, for the parchase money stipulated to be paid for the property now occupied by them as a House of Refuge; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. BELL presented two memorials of citizens of Tennessee, and a memorial of citizens of Kentucky, praying that an appropriation may be made for repairing the dam at the head of Cumberland Island in the Ohio river.

Mr. BELL having stated the nature of the memorials said: I beg leave to add a few remarks in explanation of the importance of immediate consideration being given to this memorial. The dam to which the memorial alludes was constructed at the expense of the general government about fifteen years ago, and for some time it maswerd the purpose for which it was designed. About three years ago, however, a breach was made in the dam ear the head of Cumberland Island, which convery, perhaps more than the control of the

the land alive.

I am aware of the difficulty which surrounds the subject, but I think that when it is examined it will be found that this does not come within the principle to which objection is attached. The great objection which I depreads is, that other works of a similar nature will be thought to possess claims equally pressing but this objection will be removed when Senators consider that this is a work which eannot wait; that the obstruction is considered insuperable, and that every other improvement relating to these rivers can best reafford to wait. I beg, also, to call the attention of the members who compose the Committee on Reads and Canals to the subject, and ask them to give it immediate consideration. I ask

the attention of the Senate to it at an early day. Sir, the mamorialists whose memorials I have presented are but a small portion relative whose memorials the involved, there will be many more memorials presented are to the other than the case of the case of

The motion was adopted.

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—Some petitions have been placed in my hands relating to the same subject with those which have just been presented by the honorable Senator from Tennessee. I will not occupy the time of the Senate, after what has been said, with any remarks as to the character of the obstruction which these memorialists seek to have removed. The effect of the breakage in the dam is, at times, to obstruct the navigation entirely, and at others, in the ordnary stage of the water, to render it exceedingly perilous. The dam was intended to improve the navigation by freeing the water on the Kentucky side. This dam has given way to the force of the water and the huge stones of which it was composed east into the channel, rendering the navigation dangerous in the extreme. The effect of this breakage in the dam is to bar up almost river. There are the properties of the water and of some thousands of persons who are interested in this matter, and I but present their petitions and ask that they be referred to the same committee to which the memorials presented by the honorable Senator from Tennessee were referred.

and an understand the second of the may are not seed to the second of the may are seed to the may are seed to the seed to the

The petitions were referred to the Committee on Roads and Canals.

Mr. BENTON presented the petition of Rehecca Heald, praying compensation for property destroyed by the enamy during the last war with Great Britain; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Also, a petition of citizens of the State of Missouri, praying the right of pre-emption to certain lands settled and cultivated by them; which was referred to the Committee on Private Land Claims.

Mr YULEE presented the petition of George E. McClellan, in behalf of himself and a company of mounted volunteers, raised by him during the Seminole war, praying compensation for their services; which was referred to the Committee of Claims.

# FLORIDA MOUNTED VOLUNTEERS.

Mr. YULEE submitted the following resolution which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to:

Resolved, That the President be requested to transmit to the Senate, any documents or evidence on file, in any of the Executive/Bepartments, relative to the services of Captain McClellau's company of Florida voluntees, in the year 1846.

# LIGHT HOUSES AND BUOYS

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, from the Committee on Commerce, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives to change the location of certain light houses and buoys, reported it without amendment.

Mr. DAVIS asked for the immediate consideration of the bill, and in order that Senators might judge of the propriety of giving it immediate consideration, he stated that the object of the bill was

merely to carry into effect an act which had been passed at the last session of Congress, providing for the erection of certain light-bouses and buoys. That act provided for the erection of a light-house on the Hudson river, another on the Savannah, a buoy alight-house on the Santon river, and another near Galveston. The Secretary merely asks from Congress, power and authority to change Secretary merely asks from Congress, power and authority to change the location of these light-houses, to the erection of which, as at first contemplated, insuperable objections exist. In regard to the one on the Hudson river, it was proposed to be located on certain land for which the owner now demands a very extrawagant price, and it is found that another spot can be procured for a very moderate sum. This case is an illustration of the whole, and I ask that it may be acted on now by the Senate, for the reason that the time of year has arrived, when the works ought to be proceeded with with

Mr. BAGBY .- I hope that the ordinary course of business will not be interrupted. I understood, too, that there are appropria-tions in this bill, of rather questionable propriety.

Mr. DAVIS re-stated the object of the bill.

Mr. BAGBY .- I would inquire whether it involves any additional expenditure of money.

Mr. DAVIS.-Not a dollar, except what was provided for last year. The word money is not used in the bill.

Mr. BENTON .- It is simply to change the site of these lighthouses, is it not?

Mr. DAVIS .- That is all.

Mr. BAGBY .- Then I have no objection.

The Senate proceeded to consider said bill, as in Committee of the Whole; and no amendment being made it was reported to the

Ordered, That it pass to a third reading.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved, That this bill pass.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

COLONEL ROBERT WALLACE.

Mr. CASS, from the Committee on Military Affairs, to whom was referred the bill for the relief of Colonel Robert Wallace, aid-de-eamp to General William Hull, reported it without amendment.

#### CONGRATULATIONS TO THE FRENCH.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. ALLEN asked and obtained leave to bring in a joint resolution tendering the congratulations of the American to the French people; which was read and passed to the sacond reading.

Mr. ALLEN asked that the resolution be now read a second

Mr. MILLER thought it would be proper to refer the resolution to the Committee on Foreign Relations, and had no objection to the second reading with a view to the reference; but if it was in-tended to ask for the consideration of the resolution to-day, he must object to the second reading.

Mr. ALLEN thought there was no necessity for a reference, and preferred that the resolution should be acted upon at once.

Mr. MILLER objected to the second reading, as against the

## BOUNTY LANDS.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. ASHLEY asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill explanatory of the act entitled "An act to raise, for a limited time, an additional military force, and to other purposes," approved 1th February, 1847; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Military Adiars.

### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

sentatives, by Air. CAAPPELL, their Ulerk:

M. Pesident: The Persident of the United States has notified the House of Representatives that he approved and signed, the Stitu invant, the half arther to supple defenced in the appropriations for the carrier and continged expenses of the derival of the field year is line if 80th Jose.

B. Rouse of Reinsentatives have asseed a bill maxing appropriations for the carrier and continged expenses of the damin department and for fillibling integrate signal baloos with the various ladian tribles. For the year ending Jose 30, 1849, and for other parposes; in which they request the concurrence of the Senate.

# INDIAN APPROPRIATION BILL.

The above named bill from the House of Representatives, was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Finance.

### THE SENECA INDIANS.

On metion by Mr. ATCHISON, it was

Ordered, That the report of the Secretary of War, presented the 19th of January, 1848, in relation to losses sustained by the

Seneca Indians, through a late sub-agent of the United States, be referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs and printed.

### PUBLIC LANDS AT FORT SNELLING.

The Senate proceeded to consider the following resolution, submitted by Mr. Breese, on the 23d instant, and it was agreed to:

Readned, That the Secretary of War be discreted to inform the Soute what quantity of public land has been reserved from side and settlement at or near Fort Sheeling.

The second of the

#### THE SUPREME COURT.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill from the House of Representatives supplemental to the act entitled "An act concerning the Supreme Court of the United States," and

On motion by Mr. CRITTENDEN, it was

Ordered, That the further consideration thereof be postponed to, and made the special order of the day for, Friday next.

The Senate proceeded to consider the amendment of the House of Representatives to the bill of the Senate to provide for the compensation of Samuel Leech, for services in the investigation of suspended sales in the Mineral Point District; and it was

Resolved, That they concur therein.

Ordered, That the Scoretary notify the House of Representa-

#### CALIFORNIA CLAIMS.

On motion by Mr. CASS, the prior orders were suspended, and the Senate resumed the consideration, as in Committee of the Whole, of the bill for ascertaining and paying the California claims.

Mr. DIX.—Mr. President: The transactions out of which the claims provided or by the bill under consideration prose, were ex-plained yesterday in the brief but very pertinent and lucid remarks planted yeserday'in the order but Michigan, Mr. Cassi, Jac. Cassi, of the biomorbid Senator from Michigan, Mr. Cassi, Jas. chairmon, and the plant of the plant of the plant of the printed document containing this testimony, and before 1 sit down I will rend some pertions of it to 'be Senate, though I may perhaps but present what is familiar to all.

haps but present what is familiar to all.

I do not know that any explanation further than that which has already been given by the honorable Senator from Michigan is necessary to vindicate the propriety of possing the bill. The pecuniary obligations for the discharge of which it provides, were contracted in good faith, for the purpose of subduing the country and of expelling from it the military forces of Mexico. In the excention of these objects, the young and accomplished officer at the that of converges to the last of the control of the will do justice to his valuable and distinguished services I entertain not the slightest doubt.

The objects accomplished by Colonel Fremont, as subsequent developments have shown, were her more important than shown I have referred to. There is no doubt that his rapid and decisive movements kept California out of the hands of British subjects, and perhaps out of the hands of the British government; and it is in this point of view that I desire to present the subject to the Senate. If these transactions stend alone—if they constituted an Senate. If these transactions stond alone—if they constituted an isolated case—I might not deem it necessary to call attention to them. But, as a part of a system to all appearances deliberately entered upon and steadily pursued, 't seems to me that they may justly claim a more extended consideration than would be other. wise due to them.

While discussing the bill to raise an additional military force in White obscissing the onit of take an administrative force in January last, I stated some facts in illustration of the encroach-ments of Great Britain on the southern portion of the North American continent. I alluded particularly to the movements on the Mosquito coast, where she is establishing herself under the the Mosquito coast, where sae is establishing herself under the pretanee of giving protection to an insignificant tribe of Indians, but in reality to gain possession of a territory not only intrinsically valuable on account of its advantages of position. This occupation does not rest upon the ground of an original establishment on territory unrelsimed from its princed solitude, or even on territory not reduced to actual possession by its first discoverer. It is a porteduced to actual possession by its first discoverer, which is a position of the company of the control o phere, a part of the confederation of Central America, and now an integral part of the States of Honduras and Nicaragua: and if the power of Spain had continued unbroken, this unjustifiable encroachment would not have been heard of. I stated on a former occroacement would not have been heard of. I states on a some op-cession that the territory occupied in the name of the Masquito nation by Great Britain, contains about 40,000 square miles, nearly as large a surface as that of the State of New York, and that she had re-cently sought to extend her possession by forcible means to the river San Juan de Nicaragua, near the eleventh parallel of latitude, one degree further south than the territory actually claimed as belonging to the Mosquitos according to her own geographical delineations.

Nearly a century ago some connexion existed between Great Britain and the Mosquito Indians; but the territory was abandoned by her under treaty stipulations with Spain. When the connexion by her under treaty stipulations with Spain. When the connexion was renewed I am unable to say. But I believe the first open and avoved attempt to exercise rights of sovereignty over the terri-tory, through consular agents, was in 1233, when Patrick Walker was appointed consul at Bluefields, and this appointment was im-nediately the subject of a protest by at least one of the South American States.

American States.

Before I proceed to give the details of this eneroachment, I wish
to call the attention of the Senate to the position taken by the
Executive of the United States, nearly twenty-five years ago, in
respect to the future colonization of this continent by European

In the annual message of Mr. Monroe to Congress. in Decem-In the annual message of Mr. Monroe to Congress. in December, 1823, he stated that in the discussion of the respective rights of Great Britain. Russia, and the United States, on the northwest-ern coast of America, the occasion had 'been judged proper for asserting as a principle, in which the rights of the United States are involved, that the American continuous, by the free and independent condition which they have assumed and maintained, are henced and the condition of the property of th oent condition when they have assumed and maintained, are hence-forth not to be considered as subjects for future colonization by any European powers." In the same message it was declared that we should regard any attempt on the part of European powers to extend their political "system to any portion of this hemisphere, as dangerous to our peace and safety." "With existing colonies as dangerous to our peace and sately." "With existing colonies or dependencies of any European powers," says the message, "we have not interfered and shall not interfere. But with the govern-ments who have declared their independence, and maintained it, and whose independence we have, on great consideration and on just principles, acknowledged, we could not view any interposition just principles, acknowledged, we could not view any interposition for the purpose of oppressing them, or controlling in any manner their destiny, by any European power, in any other light than as the manifestation of an unfriendly disposition towards the United

The two positions assumed by the Executive of the United States were

That there must be no further colonization on either of the American continents by any European power; and
2. That there must be no interference by European powers with

the independent States in this hemisphere :
And these declarations were accompanied by the disavowal on

And these doclarations were accompanied by the disavowal on our part of all intention to interfere with existing colonies or dependencies of any European Power on this continent.

Of the wisdom or policy of these declarations I have nothing to say; though I must add, that I have always considered the publication of manifestees, which the government putting them forth is not prepared to maintain at all hazards, as calculated to detract from its diority and influence.

not prepared to maintain at all integrates, as calculated to detract from its digitity and influence.

Mr. Monroe's declarations have not been maintained. They applied to South as well as North America; and during the last five years the Bando Oriental and the Argentine Confederation,

have been the theatre of an armed intervention on the part of Great Britain at first, and ultimately of Gritain and France, which is al-most unprecedented in the history of nations, as a violation of the right of every community to regulate its domestic concerns in its own way, without external interference. I will not detail the Senate by entering into the details of these transactions. Suffice Senate by entering into the details of these translations. Suffice it to say, that in 1838, in consequence of internal dissortions in the Banda Oriental, or the Oriental Republic of Uruguay, fomented by foreign officers and residents in Montevideo, General Oribe, the President, resigned, and first to Buenos Ayres, his rival, General Reveira, succeeding to his political post. In 1842, Oribe entered the Banda Oriental, drove Reveira into Brazil, and besieged his the Banda Oriental, drove Reveira into Brazil, and besieged his General, Pacz, in Montveideo, which was subsequently invested by sea by the Argentine fleet. The interposition of Admiral Payse, commanding the British fleet, when the Admiral of the French fleet refused to interfere, on the ground that such interference would violate well established principles of international law, has had the effect of prolonging for five years a war, which would otherwise have been specifly decided, and led to a violation of every rule of international duty, through a further intervention in the affers of the Argentine confederation by the combined fleets of France and Great Britain, under the sanction of their respective governments. Those who desire to know more of these transactions will find a most interesting discussion in the British House of Lords, in the Parliamentary Debates of 18-15, yot 83, page 1152. tions will find a most interesting discussion in the British House of Lords, in the Parliamentary Debates of 1843, vol 83, page 1152. In reply to some inquiries proposed by Lord Beaumont, the Earl of Aberdeen made the defence of the Ministry. He was followed by Lord Colchester, who had been at the Rio La Plata, and who was thoroughly acquainted with all that had taken place. He corrected many of the Earl of Aberdeen's statements, and I falms it will be admitted that the principles had down by Mr. Mon ry ground have been the duty of the United States to interpose on the nurross of nurteeing the Oriental and Armentine resultroe, it would may be not ine unity at the vinted States to interpose for the purpose of protecting the Oriental and Argentine repub-lies from this flagrant invasion of their rights as sovereign and in-dependent States. We have failed to do so—I do not say whe-ther rightly or not; but the imposity of making declarations which we are not prepared to maintain is strongly exemplied in

In the annual message of the President to Congress in Decem-

ber, 1846, the declarations of Mr. Monroo were reiterared, but the ber, 18-19, the declarations of API. Monroo were retierated, out the application of the principles be asserted was virtually restricted to the continent of North America. Whatever heistancy there may be in extending the application further, to this extent its assertion and maintenance at all hazards can afford, it appears to me, no and maintenance at all hazards can afford, it appears to me, no no nonsiderable degree, on the tranquility of the States bordering on me on its many analysis of the states of the state of the on us, or in our neighborhood. The interference of European powers in their affairs can have no other effect but to produce dispowers in their anatas can have no other enect out to produce distributions dear anatas can have no other enect out to produce distributions and to us. We have a right to insist, then, on the principles of non-intervention on this continent—a principle lying at the very foundation of all national independent deace-a principle which cannot be violated without offending against the common welfare and the common interest of the whole principle which cannot be violated without offending eivilized world. In connexion with this subject, I desire to say that orvinized words. In connexion with this subject, I desire to say that I have always insisted in the most earnest manner on the duty of non-interference on our part with the affairs of European States. I consider it the more imperative now, when great political changes are taking place, and when the whole continent of Europe may be convulsed to its centre.

In this view of the subject, the eneroachments of Great Britain in North America possess an importance which cannot be exaggerated. I begin with Central America, and shall pass on to Cali-fornia, where we have had recent evidence of a deliberate design to obtain possession of the country for the purpose of exclu-

to obtain possession ding us.

In February last, I received a letter from a friend in New York, In February last, I received a letter from a friend in New York, a gentleman of high respectability, extensively engaged in commercial transactions, chiefly with Central and South America, and who formerly held a seat in the House of Representatives, stating that he had noticed my allusion to the affairs of the Mosquito that he had noticed we also the sould of view me some information on the subject, coast, and that he could give me some information on the subject, if I desired it. I immediately made the request, and received from him, about a month ago, a letter, which I will read to the

Form the three of the state of

In connexion with this subject, I will also read a communication furnished me, at my request, by the head of one of the foreign embassies in this city, and addressed by the British Chargé d'Afembassies in this city, and addressed by the British Unarge d'Al-laires at Bogota to the government of New Grenada, setting forth the extent of the British claims. In January last I furnished other evidence to the same point on the authority of the British Consul General at Guatemula. I present this as corroborative, and as of higher authority :

"Them in seasons are the most of the record of the record

"DANIEL F. O'LEARY."

From the information I have been able to gather, Mosquita his become, for all practical purposes, a British colony. The real head of the Mesquito nation is Mr. Pririck Walker, the British Consul at Bluefields. The nominal King of the Mosquitos is a mere boy, living in his house. The Mosquito nation consists of a few handred anked Indians, idle, ignorant, and worthless. Under

the pretence of giving protection to this miscalled nation, Great Britain has extended her sovereignty over a district of country nearly as large as the State of New York or Pennsylvania. She has vessels of war, commanded by British subjects, under the name of the Mosquito navy. And, in a word, she has appropriated to herself a part of the territory of Honduras, and is encroaching on the territory of Nicaragua, against the solemn protest of the Central American States. Her objects are doubtless three-

1. To extend her political dominion on this continent

To open new fields for commercial enterprise; and
 To obtain possession of the most practicable route for a ship canal across the Istmus, and thus to control the commercial com-

munication between the two oceans.

This last object is naturally regarded as the most important. This last ooject is naturally regarded as the most important. The route has been surveyed minutely, thoroughly, by a British engineer, and its praeticability ascertained. From the Caribbean Sea to Lake Nicaragna, the river San Juan is susceptible of the requisite improvement. The lake is already navigable for vessels. of any hurden; and from the lake it is less than sixteen miles to the Pacific, with a mean descent of about one hundred and twenty-eight feet. The results of this examination will be found at the end of the first volume of Stephen's work on Central America.

But it is not through her connexion with the Mosquito coast one that Great Britain is extending herself across the continent. Through her establishment at Belize she is penetrating to the vey heart of the penissula of Yucatan. She had at first only a per-mission to occupy a small district on the coast for the purpose of cutting logwood, and to enjoy the use of a fishery for the subsis-tence of the persons employed. This permission was given during the Spanish rule in America. It was confirmed in 1783 by the tienty of Versilles, mater very cautions restrictions, and alfathet extended by the treaty of London in 1786. The sovereignty of Spain over this territory became, by virtue of the independence of the colonies, of which Yuestan was one, vested in Mexico. But the right of Great Britain to Belize, I am told, has not been re-cognized either by Mexico or Yuestan. She not only continues eognized either iy Mexico or Yucatan. She not only continues to hold the coast, but she has extended hersell over a district of about fourteen thousand square miles, embracing one of the most valuable portions of Yucatan; and I believe she claims it by conquest. She is within sixty miles of Chiapas, the Southern State of Mexico; and her chief extablishment is said to be a vast depot of contraband. A fierce centest is now going on between the Spanish and Indian races of Yucatan; and the latter, who were once disarried and harmless, are now found to be abundantly supplied with powder and fiercementary of the latter heaving the plied with powder and firearms—many of the latter bearing the stamp of the tower of Lendon. When this contest, marked, as all scamp of the lover in contain. When his coines, that keep as as such contests are, by murder and rapine and wanton burbarity, shall have exhausted the combatants, both parties may be willing to take refuge in the power, and find transpullity under the protection of Great Britain. Sir, this is the usual issue of her interval to in the domestic cencers of of ther States—those coperially in the contest of the states—these coperially in the state of the state which civilization has made but little progress. This is still more likely to be the result when semi-barbarous tribes are intermingled with civilized races, as in the greater portion of this continent to the south of us, and from numbers or local circumstances approach-

the an equality with each other in possess of strengths, approach.

I do not make these statements, Mr. President, for the purpose of exciting feeling here or elsewhere. It is a subject which I desire to see considered with calmases and deliberation, but it is one which deeply concerns us. Our tranquility, our political comport, our commercial interests, are all involved in the excemption of neighboring States from domestic dissensions and violence; and we have a right to see that these mischiefs are not promoted by unauthorized interference from abroad. I do not propose to speak of the right of interference in the internal concerns of other States. of the right of interference in the interface contains of the right of the form of the right and our duty, when on that subject. But I hold it to be our right and our duty, when questionable movements by foreign powers on this continent, either through their constituted authorities, or through their subjects, supported by the power of the State, to know what are their objects, and to see that the political independence of our weak and defenceless neighbors is not insidiously subverted, and their territorial possessions wrosted from them by unwarrantable

One of the peculiarities of the system by which Great Britain has extended herself over so large a portion of the globe, is that she usually acts in the first instance by private rather than by public agents. She employs commerce to effect what other governhe agents. She employs commerce to effect what other govern-ments accomplish by public authority and force. Instead of send-ing an army or a flect to take possession of a coast, she sends a trading company. Nothing can be more unsuspicious than the curcumstances under which their first lodgment—the germ, per-rolling and for shelter stands. They only wish some facilities for inading and for shelter sunds. They only wish some facilities for insiding and for shelter soft of the shelter of the shelter they desire to establish a factory, (which, in the British accounts too of the term, is a house for tradiers,) and to enjoy some tempo-rary conveniences for traffic. The permassion is given, a foothold is obtained, a house is built, a picket, a ditch, an embankment rary conveniences for trailic. The permission is given, a foothold is obtained, a house is built, a picket, a ditch, an embadament follow. These simple improvements (to use an American phrase) grow insensibly into a settlement, a fortress, and a colony, and the occupation becomes perpetual. Here are British subjects, British property, and British interests to be protected; the honor of Great British is oncerned, and it will not permit them to be abandoned. Her East Indian Empire, the must vast and Increative of her possessions, was gained through the agency of a trading company. Through a trading company she gained her first foot-hold on the northwest coast of America, and obtained for herself in the end some of the best portions of Oregon.

There is another peculiarity in the British system of extension. Colonization is only desired so far as it is coextensive with political sovereignty, She does not labor to civilize or improve where she Commercial interest is the principal, and social cannot rule

improvement the incident, in her progress.

improvement the incident, in per progress.

Sir, there were two great systems of colonization in ancient times—those of Greece and Rome—and each distinct in its character. Greece was actuated by no sordid reference to self in the racter. Greece was actuated by no sordid reference to self in the octusions of her people. When she sent out her children to colo-nize distant territories, she let them go forth independent and free. She did not insist on earrying her political sovereignty along with them, and compelling them to pay a servile obedience to it. She sent them out with her benedictions and prayers, to enjoy, un-shared by herself, whatever prosperity they could earn by their industry and their valor; and it was through these migrations that the foundations of ancient civilization were laid in southern Italy.

Roman colonization was totally different in its character. Rome, indeed, did not encourage colonization out of Italy in the early days of the republic. In its latter days, and during the early per-riod of the empire, she sont out colonies to distant regions, and retained them in dependence on herself. She desired that all the streams of prosperity which emanated from her should be poured back, in enlarged currents, upon their source. It was a vast system of centralization. Under its influence the heart became distended and gorged, while the extremities were left exhausted and cold, and the whole system fell asunder by force of this inequality.

tals inequality. This is the British system of colonization; it has been more liberal of late, but unless still further relaxed, its fate will be the same. Its great characteristic is dependence on the parent State; its most inflexible formulas, (if I may be allowed the experience) of the parent subscripter to the erowa, compression,) colonial governments subscripted to the erowa, commercial regulations framed with an exclusive, and (such is the fa-tality of all selfishness, individual or national) usually with a mis-

taken view to metropolitan interests.

It is not for our advantage that this system should be extended. We desire freedom in commercial intercourse. We do not juterfere with any colonial systems, however exclusive, where they now exist. We do not oppose their extension in any other portions of the globe. But, having no colonics ourselves, desiring none, looking only to an extension by pacific means, and from the operation of natural laws, over the unoccupied districts of country west of us, we have a right to insist that colonial establishments, exclusive in their character as respects commercial intercourse, shutting out the world except the parent State, and dependent on distant governments, shall not be planted in our neighborhood in violation of the rights of defenceless States. I would not make this principle the theme of a declaration or a manifeste. I would have it quietly announced to those whom it concerns, and firmly maintained against all infringement.

Before I dismiss this part of the subject, I wish to say that I am not unwilling to concede to Great Britain some merit for what she has done for constitutional liberty in the past, nor am I disposed to deny that her colonial system may lead in the end to results of great value to the cause of civilization. She has, in more than one in-stance, arrayed herself against the progress of arbitrary government in Europe, and asserted principles which lie at the very basis of all free institutions. Through her colonial possessions she is disseminating throughout the globe the intolligence and the civilization by which she is herself distinguished; and, when the political bonds by which her vast possessions are held together shall bonds by which her vast possessions are held together shall be rent asunder—a day not distant, perhaps—when the sceptre of her em-pire shall be broken, the colonies she has planted in overy conti-nent and in every sea, will become so many centres from which the lights of knowledge and freedom will be radiated to the darker portions of the earth. While advocating a determined resistance to her eneroachments. I am willing, nevertheless, to do her this political justice.

Let me now turn to the subject more immediately in hand-the California claims. The propriety of passing the bill providing for the payment of them has been fully shown by the honorable Sena-tor from Michigan. It only remains for me to consider the subject in connexion with the particular topic which I have discussed.

By the testimony taken before the Committee on Military Affairs. it appears-

1. That Eugenio Maonamara, a Catholic priest, made applica-tion to the government of Mexico for a grant of land in Upper California, Jorden establishment of Irish colonies. The first col-ony was to be established at San Francisco; the second at Monte-rcy; and the third at Sauta Barbara; and the number of colonists was not to be less than ten thousand. There is no date to the ap-plication; but other documents show it to have been previously to 1. The avowed objects of Macnamara were to keep the Califor-nias out of the hands of the Americans, who are represented, in

nias out of the hands of the Americans, who are represented, in his memorial to the Mexican government, as an artful and base enemy, and as abhoring the people and the religion of Mexico.

Enemy, and as absoring the people and the rengion of Nexuco. I will read to the Senate some extracts from his original application to the Mexican government. They will be found in the translation at page 19 of the document containing the testimory taken before the Committee on Military Affairs, and in the original Spanish, at page 77 of the same document :

1. Lagenio Macnamara, Catholic priest and apostolical interminary, take the liber of sobmitting to your Excellency some reflections on a subject which, at this time, rates much public attention. I allude to the expectations and actual condition of Cathorica.

By determining the attention. I allude to the expectations and actions common the proper children.

"It does not require the grift of prophecy to force-se that, with a little time, this. For the country will case to be an integral part of this republic, achies, some primary and the country will case to be an integral part of this register, achies, some primary and a significant time. The property of the property of the country of which is project, to place in Piper California a colony of first of thoules. I lives a triple object in making this projection. I work in the first place, are made to the cast of Carbonia and the project project

I will also read an extract, at page 21, from his second application, arging attention to the first. It will be found in Spanish at page 79:

at page 79:

"Your Evelbery will excess me, that I take the bliefts further to demonstrate that no time ought to be lost in this important affar, if it is, desired to be realized, since our Excellence, knows well enough that we are surrounded by an artist and base on early, who loss no means, however low, to process burned of the host territory of this own of the control of the

as The grant to Macanamar, after being submitted to the consideration of the Governor of California, was made on the 4th of July, 1846, and comprised about three thousand square leagues, containing, besides the bay of San Francisco, some of the best lands some of the most important military and commercial posin California. tions

4. Macnamara was taken to California in a British sloop-of-war the Juno) in June, 1846; a British ship of 80 guns, (the Colling-wood) commanded by Admiral Seymour, followed in July, and

Moonmanded by Aumin Seymon, American Golden Moonmanded by Aumin her properties of the Extract I am alout to read from the affidavit of Col. Fremon the Western Machannara's movements and those of the public armed vessels of Great Britain. It will be found at page 14 of the document:

found at page 14 of the document:

"The finne of the revolutionary movement thus passed to the United States, and have enraused with her ever ance. These finits were very considerable. Becade the proceable possession of all the northern part of "differents and the extute force in the field under the independent flag, is high minimum, and the contradress in the field and the independent flag, is high minimum of evolutions and the contradress in the second part of th

In addition to the Maenamara grants, some of the most valuable missions were sold in May and June, 1846, to British sub-jects, for very inconsiderable sums, showing an evident design, in case the United States should get possession of the Californias, to keep some of the most valuable districts out of the hands of the

keep some of the most valuable districts out of the hands of the government, by converting them, through frauddent conveyances, into British property.

6. It appears also that a plan was set on foot by the British Vice Consul in California, Mr. Forbes, Macuamara, and other to put that country under the protection of Great Britain, and at the very moment when it was expected that a war would break out between the United States and Mexico. The time, the circumthe actors, all indicate a deliberate design to get posses sion of California for the purpose of keeping it out of the hands of the United States. The auspices under which a junta was planned The united States. The aurpices under which a junta was planned and order whether a purpose of asking the protection of Great Britain are shown by an extract which I will read from the affidavit of Capt. Gilespie, of the Marine Corps, and which will be found at page 28 of the document:

"About his time (June 20.) I bearred that the junto which was to have assembled at Santa Barbara upon 15th June, and which had been planned and arranged by and though the agency of We. Febre, be Bernals We Consid., and an Inth Chindae priest, by the same of Maemanian, had been prevented from sensiting in consequence of the Consideration of Construction of Const

By Licut. Minor's testimony, which will be found at pages 43 by better simos a technony, which will be count at pages to and 41, it appears that the convention or junta was held, and that a majority were in favor of claiming the protection of England decision naturally to laws been expected, when it is considered under what auspices it was convoked. The extracts I am about read contain also some interesting facts connected with the movements of the British Admiral :

"The undersigned, a heutenant in the navy of the United States, has the honor to make the following answers to the interrogatories put to him by your honorable com-

"The nuleerigned being in command of the southern district of Chiforna during the latter part of 1866, was informed by Fedro C Caulib. and he believes the information thus obtained is founded on fixely that he, less out Caulib, was a member of a junt that assembled it Stance Barbara in Jane, 1946, for the purpose of diethring the melegenderic of Chiforna, and of Sakang the protection of the Chifornal State or

Great Butain: that the junita was repostenied by all of the inhabited portions of Californa; that at majority of the same were for elaming the protection of Californa; that at majority of the same were for elaming the protection of Californa; that at majority of the same were for elaming the protection of Californa; that there is a considerable with the command of Text. Formout. The undersigned has understood from other soares, catalled to confidence; that a majority of the people of Californa desired the protection of the control of the confidence of the confiden

The testimony of Capt Hensley, from which I will give a brief extract, and which will be found at page 33, fully sustains the statement of Lieut. Minor .

"I am a re-obert in California, where I have resided since the autumn of 1843. In the month of May, 1-86, I went to San Franceso, where I not with Gen Xullego, from him that he had revenly stateded a convention, composed of Gen. Castio, him-relf, and five others, delegates from the different districts in California, at which the ventions in California, under the protection of some foreign power, believed by us to be England; but, as the General positively stated, the majority was not to favor of the California and the protection of some foreign power, believed by us to be England; but, as the General positively stated, the majority was not obvious for a consequence of the control of the control of the control of the control of the first Nelley was of concern gainstell or conversing no softenesses at that time; I but the allows visit substance of his remarks, as understood by myself and others white least them."

The grant to Macnamara is so connected with the movements of the public vessels and public agents of Great Britain, as to raise a strong presumption that he was secretly countenanced by the Briitish Government. Dr. John Baldwin, whose testimony will be found at pages 46, et seq., states that Maenamara lived in the house of the British consul or charge d'affairs in Mexico, and that he understood in that city in September and October, 1847, a plan had been projected, under the auspices of the British legation, to colonize California with emigrants from Ireland. These facts will be more fully shown by his allidavit, at pages 46 and 47 of the doc ument, from which I will read a single paragraph :

"I resided in the republic of Mexico from the year 1822, until 1838, a period of si-en years; during which I made the acquaintance of many of the leading men of th

"I neided in the repulsion of nerves one of many of the leading men of the ten years; doing which I node the acquinitiance of many of the leading men of the ten years; doing which I not for the property of the process of the British Regions, projected a plan to colourse California with emigrants from Isrland. He proper that not be approximated to the Mexicas Government, and he went to California to profess he are to promote the interest of the British Government and not the Mexicas Government, and from the Mexicas Government, and the went to California the Mexicas Government, and for the protection of the British Government and not the Mexicas Government. A flerce operation was compensated by the repulsive members of Congress, when he should retrieve over the British Government and the America of the British Government and the British Governme

I have referred to the connexion of Macnamara's movements with the public vessels of Great Britain as presumptive evidence of the connexion of the British Government with them. I do not inquire whether Admiral Scymour bad special instructions or not From the declaration of Admiral Purvis, in the intervention of La Plata, it is highly probable that British naval officers croising in distant seas have general instructions "to protect British interdistant seas have general institutions to protect this mitorial at all hazards," (I believe that is the phrase,) leaving an unlimited discretion to the officer and giving to the government the advantage of being able to approve or disavow his conduct, in spevalidage of heing and to Approve cial cases, according to its own interest. From all the circumstances connected with the transactions in California, we are constrained to believe that the British naval commander was fully apstrained to believe that the British naval commander was fully apprised of Macamanara's objects, as well as the design to place that country under the protection of Great British, and that he was there co-operate in the one, and ready to co-operate in the other. Indeed, by referring to the testimony of Mr. Loker, at page 20 it will be seen that his arrival there had been indeed about and except the other than the control of the country o pected with a view "to take possession of California."

pected with a view "to take possession or Camorina."

I have given, Mr. President, a mere outline of the transactions
of British subjects and British agents in California. The leading
facts are verified by the affidavits of Col. Fremont, Capt. Gillesnie, of the marine corrs, Lieut. Minor and Midshipman Wilson, tacts are verified by the atmastes of Col. Primons, Cap., Whospie, of the marine corps, Lieut. Minor and Midshipman Wilson, of the navy, Col. Russell. Capt. Hensley, Doctor Baldwin, and many gentlemen connected with the civil and military transactions of the Californias after the rupture between the United States and Mexico; and some of the most important circumstances are au-thenticated by the public records of California which fell into our

It is impossible that the success of these movements should not brought us into direct collision with Great Britain. We could not have failed to regard them, considered in connexion with could not have inited to regard them, consucreu in comexon with her proceedings in Oregon and more recently in Central America, as part of a deliberate design to environ us with her colonies, and especially to shut us out from the Pacific and its extending com-merce. From all the facts, we can hardly doubt either that she would have taken possession of the country in her own amon, or; what is perhaps more probable, that she would in the first instance, have taken it under her protection. In this case the drama of the Musquito coast, the periodic of the Musquito coast, the periodic of the Musquito of the Musquito of the Musquito in respectability, above the grane level with him in subscripace to the protecting person, and the protecting person which have been put in the foreground, while British subjects would have been put in the foreground, while British subjects would have been put in the foreground, while British subjects would have been put in the foreground, while British subjects would have been men and gradually reduced it into the possession of Great Britain. Thus shut out from the Pacific, our own people would have been men at the Sierra Madre, or perhaps still further east, and the tide of emigration and settlement would have been turned back upon the Allantuc coast. It is in this point of view that these transactions possess the greatest interest and importance, and that the sagardity, promptitude, and decision of your youthful commander in California, at the time the disturbances broke out, have given him the strongest claims on his countrymen. Any faltering on his part—any hesitancy in acting, and in noting promptly—might have cost us millions of dollars and thousands of lives; and it might also have cost us a contest of which the end is not readily foreseen.

Mr. ATCHISON—I deem it my duty from various considerations to support the main principles of this bill. Many of the oblimants are natives of Missouri, and have been known to me almost from my hephnod. The bill proposes to make an appropriation for the suits and present the mains for military services in California, and preserbe socretained. In both the justice of these olams shall be assertained. In both these objects I concurlt was the otitizens of California under Col. Frement who made this conquest, and the benefits of that conquest have accrued, as has been properly said, to the United Starts—and in a financial point of were it will be found that this conquest has been made

and uses cost than any other during the war.

Mr. Persident, I can fully justify Col. Fremont in all that he did
in California. Indeed he would have deserved the execration of
the American people, and he would have deserved the execration of
the American people, and he would have deserved the execration of
the American people, and he would have calculated the head
his line of unround for Oregon. He had left California and had advanced as far as the northern extremity of Llama Lake. He was
there overtaken by an officer of the United States who informed
him that preparations were making to expel the American settlers
from California, upon the groundless pretext that they were alsout
to emmence an insurrection against the government. Col. stormont had then under his command what was called the California
had the contraction of the command who was called the California
had gone into that country as emigrants, inceding to settle there.
What could Col. Fremont have done except what he did do?
What could nay man who lad a heart in his bosom do, when the
very annihilation of his countrymen was threatened? When, too, he
had authentic information that they were not only in danger from
a civilized force, but that the Indians were to be stirred up against
them? I appeal to every Senator, what could he have done under
such circumstances? Sir, had he not acted as he did, he would
have deserved and received the execution of every hones with an in
the United States. He returned upon the relations to the value of the commenced in
another place. It was upon those days that the hattles of Palo Alto
and Resuca de la Palma were fought. There was no piace for our
nature, self-defence. It was not then known that war was declared, it is true, but they could do nothing else than reply the
cor of this government, could not, it is, true, have resided the flow
that the with the head of the cor of the cover men in the could not, it is, true, have resided the flow
of Mar, and here let not could do nothing else than reply the hostili

of the United States in California; a short time afterwards, however, the news of the war with Mexica arrived, and then the standard of the United States was raised, the stars and stripes taking the place of the gridy bear. Commodore Stockton then took the command, and Col. Fremont was required to raise as many men as he could, to complete the conquest of California. This was done, and in a period of about nine menths the work was accomplished. The volunteer forces which he raised, formished all the necessary supplies and munitions of war themselves, to carry on the campaign. These supplies were purchased by Col. Fremont on the behalf of the govern the supplies were purchased by Col. Fremont on the behalf of the govern the supplies were purchased by Col. Fremont on the behalf of the govern the supplies were purchased by Col. Fremont on the behalf of the govern the supplies were purchased by Col. Fremont on the behalf of the govern the supplies were precised to the supplies of the supplies of

Mr. RUSK indicating a wish to make some remarks upon the subject, the further consideration of the bill was postponed until to-morrow.

# EXECUTIVE SESSION

On motion by Mr. HANNEGAN, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of Executive business, and after some time speat therein

On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# THURSDAY, MARCH 30, 1848.

#### PETITIONS.

Mr. DIX presented the petition of Hall J. Kelly, asking a grant of land in Oregon, for services rendered in exploring and develop-ing the resources of that country; which was referred to the Commuttee on Public Lands.

Mr. HALE presented a petition of citizens of Maine, praying a speedy termination of the war with Mexico, and the abolition of slavery in the District of Columbia; the motion to receive, which was laid upon the table.

Also, a petition of eitizens of Erie county, New York, expressand praying the adoption of measures for its abolition throughout the United States.

Mr. HALE moved that the petition be received, and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Mr. DOWNS moved that the motion to receive the petition be

laid upon the table; which was agreed to. Mr. HALE presented a petition from citizens of Mentz, New York, praying an inquiry into the constitutionality of slavery, and of extending the writ of habeas corpus to every the propriety habitant of the United States. He thought that this petition did not come within the practice of the Senate in regard to abolition petitions, as it did not ask for the abolition of slavery. He thought it due to the petitioners that the subject should receive the consideration of a committee, and a report he made which would enlighten them on the subject.

Mr. TURNEY moved that the motion to receive be laid on the table

Mr. HALE demanded the yeas and nays, which were ordered; and it was decided in the affirmative, as follows:

YEAS —Mesus. Allen, Ashley, Atchison, Bagby, Breese, Butler, Calboun, Davis, of Missistipt, Dicknoson, Dix, Dayton, Downs, Douglas, Hannegan, Hutter, Johnson, of Ga., Lewis, Mangum, Moor, Niets, Rusk, Turney, Westott, Yu NAYS.—Baldwin, Davis, of Massachosetts, Hale, Miller, Phelps, Underwood, Up-

Mr. PHELPS presented a memorial from citizens of the United States praying the purchase of Mount Vernon by the government; which was laid upon the table.

## WRECKS OF VESSELS.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to: Resolved. That the Secretary of the Treasury be instructed to communicate to the Senate such information as he may possess, or may be able to collect in regard to wrecks of vessels belonging to the United States during the very which will end the 30th Jone next, designating the phases where such wrecks occurred, the time when, and all other cremantance attending the same which he,may deen useful.

PRIVATE BILLS. Mr. DOWNS, from the Committee on Private Land Claims,

abmitted a report accompanied by a bill for the relief of Henry Fredien and other citizens of Louisiana.

The hill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

Mr. ASHLEY, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of the legal representatives of William McKenzie, late a seaman on board the United States' ship Vincennes, reported it without sinendment

Mr. DIX, from the Committee on Commerce, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of Elijah H. Willis, reported it without amendment.

## CONGRATULATIONS TO THE FRENCH.

The following joint resolution coming up in its order, it was read a second time

Retailed by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America at Congress assembled, That, in the name and behalf of the American people, the comparablism of Congress is nebedy bendered to the people of France people, the comparable of the American is comboditive blevity, by embodying the proper in a republican form of congress to combodite blevity, by embodying the proper of the Congress of the Congress

Mr. BALDWIN then moved that the resolution be referred to ommittee on Foreign Relations

Mr. ALLEN.-I have no very great feeling on the subject of this particular motion. I do not know, sir, that it will make a

great deal of difference whether this resolution be referred to the Great deal of difference whether this resolution be referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations or retained by the Senate and made the special order of the day for a day in future. I cannot anticipate, sir, what may or may not be the opinion of the members, who compose that committee with regard to this resolution; I will however presume to say, that, whatever the opinion of the committee may be, I doubt not they will afford the Senate an opcommittee may be, I doubt not they will alrold the Senate an op-portunity, by a report on the subject, to express its opinion upon the resolution itself or the principles embraced in it. But, sir, it does not seem to me to be one of those propositions which require the intervention of a committee previous to the action of the Senate upon them. It is an isolated proposition—without detail—without complexity—which is presented to the mind of every Senator, and it is therefore of a character, which, it would seem to me, must render it totally unnecessary that it should be referred to a standing or even a select committee. Near the beginning of this session, sir, a resolution was submitted by the honorable Senator from South Carolina [Mr. Calhoun] in relation to what ought or ought not to be heplicy of the government of the Uni-ted States with regard to Mexico. That resolution looked to a pendang swit attended things, and was in the state of things, and was in-teresting to the state of the state of the state of the state of the with the action of our government, and a to immediately egnected. ference to the hetter opioion of others however-that it would be most wise, most circumspect, in every way most judicious, that a resolution of that import—in full view of the actual relations resolution of that import—in full view of the actual relations which this country hore to Mexico, at the moment the resolution was introduced—should be referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations. But the honorable mover of the resolution deemed it totally unnecessary that any such reference should be made; and seemed to consider the motion to refer as a motion hostile to the resolution, and as an indirect attempt to evade the consideration resolution, and as an indirect attempt to evade the consideration of it by the Senate. He therefore opposed the reference, and opposed it with reasons so good, in the judgment of a majority of the Senate, that his opposition prevailed, and the reference was not made according to the motion.

The proposition which I have submitted is a simple, isolated The proposition when I have submittee is a single, solid proposition; it presents a solidary question to the minds of the Senate, onembarrased and unelaborated by my details, a question on which no committee can enlighten the Senate otherwise than by making a report and presenting an argument for or against especific proposition contained in the resolution. I therefore trust that this reference will not be made, but that the Senate will retain possession of the resolution and fix a day on which it will take it up for consideration and dispose of it in the usual form by a formal vote of the body. Still, sar, I shall not only do that which I am obliged to do-acquiesce in the judgment of the Senate upon this subject, but I shall do it without any further complaint of that judgment, than that such a course may look like an unwillingness jusquesses, man that such a course may now use all diwitingness on the part of the body to meet this question upon the responsi-bility of its members, I shall not occupy the time of the Seante any longer. Should it be the judgment of the Seante, that the resolution be referred, I trust that the committee to which it is so referred will report it back in such a form as to give the Seante the command of the question, and not withhold it too long, to enable us to fix a day for its final consideration.

ble us to fix a day for its final consideration.

I am not going into a discussion of the question presented in
this resolution now. If I were ever so much desirous of doing so,
and the Senate ever so much desirous of granting me the bonor of
its attention, still the cold which I have would prevent me from doing so at present; but I will state, that if any member of this body suppose es that this resolution originated incensiderately with ont a just appreciation, as far as my mind could appreciate such an important object-without a just appreciation of the effect of its passage, those who entertain this supposition are much mis-taken. I duly considered this matter in all its forms and aspects and never in my whole life have I embraced any proposition with a more thorough approbation of my heart, and my mind, than that which I have submitted in the form of this resolution. I think, sir, that I know what I am about; I think that when I undertake sir, that I know what I am about: I think that when I undertake to submit a resolution to express, through the instrumentality of the two houses of Congress, the approval of the American people of this great novement in Europe, that I know what I am about. But, sir, as I said before, I will not go into this matter now: but I hope to have an opportunity of going into it in all its depths, even to its deepest foundations. It was remarked by a distribution of the properties of t occasion for reviving and reviewing the elementary principles of public liberty; and I desire, for one, to contribute my humble efforts to remedy this evil of which we have heard so much complaint—that in our discussions here the public mind has seldom been directed to the great question of liberty, that we were dis-tracted with ideas of conquest, and had lost sight of ideas of liber-ty. When this discussion shall come up, t desire to go into this

rich subject, and to remedy that defect in our discussions which we have heard of not long since in this Senate. And I shall hope to have the aid, or rather something more than the aid of much abler men than myself upon this floor, or the street of the most any man, affecting the street of the s

Mr. HALE—I wish, sir, to offer an amendment, and I beg to say a few words in reference to it. When we were connselled by the President of the United States to establish a mission to Rome on account of the occurrence of "recent political events." Here was a good deal of discussion as to whate People, I hought that the Pope had done a great deal, whilst on the other hand, an honerable Senator from North Carolina, (Mr. BADER, I) thought that the Pope had done a great deal, whilst on the other hand, an honerable Senator from North Carolina, (Mr. BADER, I) thought that his Holiness had as yet accomplished very little. In the case now before us I think it must be admitted that something has been done. The Prench revolution has not been altogether fruidess. It presuts something taugible. I propose, sir, that our resolutions of congratulation should have some meaning, and, therefore, submit the following amendment to the resolutions of the Senator from

Add in the 8th line, after the word government, these words:
"And manifesting the sincerity of their purpose by instituting measures for the immediate enancipation of the slaves of all the colonies of the republic."

When we send such a resolution as that, sir, the French people will be informed of the object of our synpathy. It will assure them that in our judgment to the resolution as the sum of the

Mr. DOWNS.—I do not perceive any necessity for the reference of the resolution, and as it is obvious that there must be some debate, I think that the better course will be to fix a day for its consideration.

Mr. HALE.—For myself, I should prefer the course indicated by the Senator from Lonisiana, but I made the motion to refer in order to meet the views of my friend from Connecticut, [Mr. BALDWIN.]

Mr. CALHOUN.—I do not perceive the slightest necessity for referring this resolution to the committee, and on that point I entirely concur with the views of the mover of it. The resolution is smple; it requires no examination of details, and the Senate is just as competent to form an opinion of its merits as any committee can possibly be.

tee can possibly be.

I do not intend to enter at present, into the great question presented in the resolution. To act upon it now, would in my judgment the properties of the

This is, indeed, a mighty movement. It is pregnant with mighty consequences. Whether the result shall prove to be a blessing or a curse to France and the world, depends upon what is coming, rather than upon what has been already done. A revolution in itself is not a blessing. The revolution accomplished by the French people, is indeed a wonderful event—the most striking in my opinion, in

history; but it may lead to events which will make it a mighty evil. It is therefore premature to offer our congratulations merely upon a revolution. We must look to the consequences and the very large of the property of t

the man who can say what another year may bring forth.

I offer no opinion as to the success of failure of the French people in this effort. I see tremendous difficulties in the way of success—difficulties resulting from the social condition of France, and the composition of her people. I see on the other hand a good deal of encouragement. The success of the French people will, in my opinion, depend, at least in a very high degree, upon the fact whether she can prevent war—that again depending upon two circumstances: one, whether she may have the self-control to abstain from improper interference with surrounding countries; the other, whether they may lave the moderation and good sense to abstain but of the control of the self-control to a set and the self-control to a set an

of other powers, it will do more to put down liberty nader a repulsiona form of government, than any other event which condid occur. Now I think that it is due all round that there shall be a fair trial. The first step to that, in my opinion, consists in quiet looking on and as little interference as possible. To France, the people everywhere will extend their sympathy; but I do contend that the governments themselves ought to be prodent and abstemious extend our congratulations in this formal and solenn manner; others may take the opposite and dennuciatory course, and between the two, that result will be produced which must inevitably over-throw the revolution—an appeal to arms. That is one reason why this government, looking to the interests of France alone, and with the kindest feeling, ought to be cautious and abstemious in making a move. My opinion, then is, it that the wisest course will be to lay this resolution on the table, expressly on the ground that it is premature. The circumstances by which we ought to be regulated in expressing or withholding our congratulations have not the time for taking up the subject will have arrived. We know that a national convention, called by the provisional government, is to assemble about the middle of next month.

Mr. BENTON (in his seat.)—It will meet on the 20th of next month.

Mr. CALHOUN.—Let us await that important event. Let us await the action of the convention. That will be wise and prudent. Let us not act with precipitation. I move, then, to lay the resolution on the table.

Mr. DICKINSON .- I ask for the yeas and nays.

Mr. UNDERWOOD.—Will the gentleman from South Carolina withdraw his motion for a moment that I may make a single remark?

Mr. CALHOUN .- I cannot withdraw the motion.

The call for the yeas and nays being seconded, they were ordered and were taken with the following result.

VFAS — Measur Baghy, Baldwin, Benton, Bernen, Butler, Cilhoup, Davis, of Mensurgi, Dayton, Harder, Margam, Miller, Parly, Uphan, and Yules—14. Cast. Chysno, Chitchen, Davis, of Masschnett, Dickinon, Dyr., Deglas, Deves, Felot., Chysno, Chitchen, Davis, of Masschnett, Dickinon, Dyr., Deglas, Deves, Felot., Foole, Hale, Hannesta, Honton, Johnson, of Maryland, Johnson, of Googna, Jerney, Moor, Nike, Roak, Syranock, Tamer, Luderwood, and Wetchert.—20

So the motion to lay the resolution on the table was not agreed to.

Mr. ALLEN.—On a great question like this, I would not be guilty of the petty trickery of trying to force a vote of the Senate, under the impulse of a great feeling recently excited by so great an event. I do not desire the thoughtless, unreasonable judgment of the Senate, if this body can be supposed to be capable of such a judgment on such a proposition as this. I seek the deliberate judgment of the Senate, in full view of all the facts upon which that judgment is to be founded, and in order that there may be time for that judgment to be made the special order of the day for the first Monday in May.

Mr. BALDWIN,-There is a motion to refer now pending.

The PRESIDING OFFICER.—The motion is to postpone till the first Monday in May.

Mr. JOHNSON of Maryland.—I understand that the motion was to make the resolution the special order for that day.

Mr. CRITTENDEN .- Does not the motion to refer take precedence?

The PRESIDING OFFICER .- The motion to postpone is first in order.

Mr. UNDERWOOD .- I concur entirely with the remarks Mr. UNDERWOOD.—I concur entirery with the remains made by the Senator from South Carolina; but I voted against his motion to lay the resolution upon the table, because I conceive it placed me in a false position. If we had laid the resolution upon the table it would have been an act from which inferences might have been drawn unfavorable to those who so voted, in reference to their sympathy with the great movement which has been made by the people of France, and I am free to admit that my heart is with them in every step which they have taken. I have rejoiced in every mevement which the French people has made from time with the view to the establishment of a republic. though these have been my feelings, and now are my feelings, strongly cherished, I am admonished to caution by the fact that their former efforts they have signally failed That signal failure, heretofore, admonishes me of the propriety of observing that prudence and caution recommended by the Scaator from South Carolina. I think with him, that it is wise to await the result of this great movement. If France succeed, her example will be followed I doubt not by more than the half of Europe. If she fail, not only may the chains of monarchy be rivetted more closely in Europe, but her fullure may seriously affect the safety of repub-lican institutions throughout the world.

Now what ought to be done? It seems to me, as we are noti-fied through the press, that the elections in France will shortly take place, that the national convention will soon assemble, and that as the result of the deliberations of that body will reach us before we adjourn, that we ought to await the event, before, as a government, we give expression to our opinions. In the meantime it will be quite becoming in any of us as citizens to tender our congratulations to the French people in this movement. Entertaining these views, and as there is no prospect that we shall obtain the result of the labors of the French convention on or before the day named by the Senator from Ohio, I move to postpone the consideration of the resolution till the first Monday in June.

Mr. DOUGLAS .- I regret that the Senator from Ohio has consented to the postponement of his resolution till the first Mon-In my opinion the events which have already pired fully justify us in expressing our sympathies with the French people, and that there is no good reason for deterring our congratulations till May. The same argument which is now urged against our action till May might then require the postponement of the resolution until December, and then for a series of years. I do not suppose that the people of France will be able to reduce their system of government to perfection, either by the first of May or during the present year. The change of an old form of government to a new one—the organization of the various departments of the government—the putting of the whole machiner ments of the government—the putting of the whole machinery into operation—the familiarizing of the people to the new order of things—is the work of time. But they have made a beginning. They have made a glorious beginning. It is indeed a wonderful achievement, and forces our admiration. A revolution accom-plished in three days, almost without bloods-hed, by moral force, and with no other weapons than hymns of liberty and shouts of "down with the King!"—"down with the Ministry!" We have "down with the King; —"down with the Ministry;" We have before as the first acts of the provisional government, and in my judgment they present sufficient ground for hope and confidence that the government will be able to carry out what they have le-gun, with sobriety, wisdom and determination. In looking over the decrees issued by the provisional government from day to day it appears to me that human wisdom could not have devised a more prudent course than they have adopted. They intend to effect a radical revolution. They design to make the new government republican in all its principles and forms; and they have commenced the work in a manner which discovers that the men engaged in it, understand their husmess. Never—if we except the Cont-nental Congress—has a body on an assembled, which has disco-vered so much skill and wisdom as has thus far characterized their

Why then, I ask, should we defer our congratulations? What credit shall we reflect upon ourselves; or what support shall we render to France, if we withhold our sympathy and our congratulations till the struggle is over? Now is the time when our sympathics are needed. Now is the time when we should extend all the entimes are needed. Now is the time when we should extend at the en-couragement and support which the expression of our feelings may afford. Now is the time when the people of France deserve our sympathy. Now is the time when our sympathy should be given. They would not thank us for it after the struggle is over and the great work is completed. We propose to make our sympathy de-pendeut upon their success! I suppose if they fail we are to re-gard them as rebels; and that it is only in the event of their sucgard near streets, and matrix is only in the event of their suc-cess that they are to be regarded as particles! Our judgment with respect to the great movement in which France is engaged is to de-pend upon their success, and not upon the work itself! I do not agree with the gentleman from South Carobian, who says that if the people of France fail, the failure will do more injury to the cause of liberty than any event which can possibly take place. If they fail now, you will find that another movement will be gene-rated which will bring success with it. Thus is the first step. It may be that they may leap at a single hound from a monarchy to a republic-from comparative despotism to freedom. It may be

that it will require a slower, a mere protracted process, interrup-ted and embarrassed by difficulties, subjecting them to trials and sacrifices. The revolution may be the work of years. But whatever may be the process, slow or rapid, our sympathies are with thics should be prompt, full and cordial. The fact that the people of France have dethroned the King and peacefully decreed a republic—the fact that they have abolished all titles and orders of nobility—the fact that they have decreed universal suffrage to Frunce—the fact that they have been enabled to act with such a spirit of moderation and wisdom, as to combine all classes, parties, spirit of moderation and wisdom, as to combine all classes, parties, and factions in France—the church, the army, the navy—in one hond of brotherhood, acting in concert and with unanimity in support of the common cause, inspires great confidence in the success of the movement, and I am unwilling to dampen that hope by the expression of any doubts here. The presentation of this resolution, and its postponement for the reason stated, that we doubted the success of the revolution, and that it was not yet safe or prudent to express our sympathy, will have the effect of casting a shade upon this movement. I have no fear of the alternative presented by the Senator from South Carolina, that the ex-I have no fear of the alterpression of our sympathy and congratulations may elizit counterexpressions from other governments. If Russia, Prussia and Austria wish to issue denunciatory declarations, let them do so. Are the people of France likely to be deterred by any declarations from such sources? Not at all. But they do feel deeply interested to know what republican America thinks of this movement, because know what republican America timbs of this movement, because the United States of America is the out republic upon the three properties of the world have their eyes fixed upon us. Here is their model—Our success is the foundation of all their hopes. Shall we, then, turn a deaf ear to the voice that comes to us from France 7—shall we hold a slient tongue 7—shall we heat the 7—shall we have 1—shall we 1—s

we nout a sneat tongue — snail we nestrate :— Shall we cast a damper on their hopes by expressing a doubt of their success? I am opposed to withholding or deferring the expression of our sympathy and our confidence. I believe that it is important that we should act and act now. The prompt action of our minister in Paris presents an additional reason for the immediate passage of the resolution. Our minister showed that he at he was safe in acting in advance of express instructions from his government, and that he was but expressing the senti-ments of the people and government whom he represented in tenments of the people and government wom he represented in tendering his congratulations. I believe he did express the feelings and sentiments of the people of the United States, and I am unwilling, by the postponement of this resolution, to evine any doubt as to the propriety of his conduct. I make this remark not on his account, but in order to show the necessity of putting ourselves right. Why should we postpone the resolution till May? We cannot have learned by that time the action of the convention .-Are we to await the action of that body? Surely not. Surely we are not to be restrained from rejoicing in what has been already done, till we see the work completed. I believe that the work is, indeed, begun, and that the end will be certain triumph, sooner or later, and I pray that it may be achieved in the shortest time.

Mr. ALLEN .- I fully concur in all that has been said by my friend from Illinois, as to the unreasonableness of awaiting th action of the French convention. My desire is, that the resolu-tion should be passed as speedily as possible. In naming the first Mooday in May, my object was to meet if possible the convenience and wishes of others, some of whom desired a later day, and some an earlier day. But it is impossible to fix a day agreeable to all, and as I wish speedy action, I shall modify my motion so as to substitute for the first Monday in May, the second Monday in

Mr. HANNEGAN rose, and being recognized by the Presiding Officer, was about to address the Senate, when

Mr. FOOTE, who had also risen at the same moment, re-quested the Senator from Indiana to yield the floor for a very few

Mr. HANNEGAN .- If I surrender the floor to my friend from Mississippi, the inevitable result must be, that I will be obliged to yield to every other gentleman who may desire it. It is evident that this debate, if it proceed, will occupy the whole day, and as there is executive business which requires the action of the Senate, I shall be compelled to make the motion, with great reluctance, that the Senate now proceed to the consideration of executive

Mr. DICKINSON -Let the vote be taken on the postnonement

Mr. HANNEGAN,-I am sorry that I cannot consent that the debate should proceed, as it is obvious that it will be protracted.

The motion being temporarily withdrawn,

Mr. FOOTE rose and said: I would not, of course, in the circumstances, think of detaining the Senate by any extended remarks; but I do regret that the Senator from Indiana could not deem it consistent with his duty to yield the Hoor in order to nflord me an opportunity of making a few observations on a sul ject so important in every uspect, as that which has just occupied the attention of Senate, particularly when other members, enter-taining views adverse to those which he and I hold, had been heard at length. I wish it to be understood, that in my opinion, the public sentiment of this country on this subject is matured.

The Senator from South Carolina, as I understand, desired a postponement of the resolution, till the public sentiment had been matured.

Mr. CALHOUN.—Not at all. I said that I desired a postponement of the resolution till we had an opportunity of judging whether the movement in France was a subject of congratulation or not.

In: FOOTE.—Well, sir, I think that the events which have and slow powered fully instity all that is contemplated in the resolution. I believe that the American people have looked to this matter, and understand it perfectly. In my opinion, the enlight-ened unstincts of this free people have already settled the questions far as the great body of the people could settle it. It would be impossible to find anywhere throughout this broad land, an assemblage of the people, in which it would be at all necessary, exceeding the people of the revolution in France to find the remarks of the Senator than the people of the revolution in France that the people of the revolution of the subject, but for the fact that the organ of a large and respectable party, published in this city, has in the most solema and formal manner, expressed sentiments hostile to the movements in farbouring the size of the revolution of th

Mr. UNDERWOOD —I have no doubt that I did use the expession just alluded to by the Senator, but it was too broad, and is liable to a construction which I would not attempt to sastain. I did not intend to convey the idea that no advance had been made in France in regard to the principles of liberty. On the contrary, I am of course aware that great progress has been made in that respect. But my idea was, that all attempts to establish a republican form of government have heretofero been failures.

Mr. FOOTE.—I am glad that an opport anity has been offered the honorable Senator of explaining his language, which was certainly label to serious miseason the control of the serious measurements and mine the serious measurements and mine or serious measurements and mine or or spends to perfectly. I will not detain his sentiments and mine corresponds to perfectly. I will not detain his sentiments and mine corresponds to perfectly. I will not detain his sentiments and mine corresponds to perfectly a will not detain his sentiments and mine or sentence of the sentiments of the sentiments of the sentiments of the sentiments of the sentence of the sent

Mr. HANNEGAN.—There is a case of individual liberty now pressing us rather more closely than this question of public liberty, which we will have ample time to discuss, and I therefore renew my motion.

The resolution was then passed over informally, and the Senate proceeded to the consideration of Executive business.

At a late hour, the doors were re-opened, and

The Senate adjourned.

# FRIDAY, MARCH 31, 1848

## RESOLUTIONS OF THE LEGISLATURE OF INDIANA.

Mr. HANNEGAN presented a resolution of the Legislature of the State of Indiana, in favor of a law authorizing the location of bounty land warrants issued to the fadiana volunteers, upon the Miami reserved lands in that State; which was ordered to lie upon the table and be printed.

Also, a resolution of said Legislature in favor of increasing the clothing allowance of the volunteers who are now, or hereafter may be, in the service of the United States, in Mexico; which was ordered to lie on the table and be printed.

Also, a resolution of said Legislature, in favor of a law authorizing the location of bounty land warrants, in quantities less than a quarter section; which was ordered to lie upon the table and be printed.

Also, a resolution of said Legislature in favor of a law to graduate and reduce the price of the public lands; which was ordered to lie upon the table and be printed.

Also, a resolution of said Legislature in favor of the enactment of a law to provide for the transportation, at the cost of the Government, of colored emigrants to the Republic of Liberia; which was ordered to lie upon the table and be printed.

Also, a resolution of said Legislature in favor of the reduction of the price of the public lands in the Miami reserve, in the State of Indiana, to actual settlers; which was ordered to lie upon the table and be printed.

Also, a resolution of said Legislature in favor of reducing the rates of postage on newspapers and periodicals; which was ordered to lie on the table and be printed.

Also, a resolution of said Legis'ature in favor of reducing the price of certain inundated public lands in the counties of Adams and Jay, in that State; which was ordered to lie on the table and be printed.

Also, a resolution of said Legislature in favor of the postponement of the sale of the lands lying in the Miami reserve in that State; which was ordered to lie upon the table and be printed.

Also, a resolution of said Legislature in favor of a grant of public land for the improvement of the Iroquois and Kankakee rivers in that State; which was ordered to lie upon the table and be writted

Also, a resolution of said Legislature in favor of the establishment of a mail route from Brownstown, in Jackson county, to Nashville, in Brown county, in that State; which was ordered to lie upon the table, and be prioted.

Also, a resolution of said Legislature in favor of the surrender to the State of Indiana, on certain conditions, of that portion of the Cumberland road lying within her limits; which was ordered to lie upon the table, and he printed.

Also, a resolution of said Legislature in favor of the establishment of a mail route from Belleville, Hendricks county, to Lebanon, in Bonoc county, in that State; which was ordered to lie upon the table, and be printed.

Also, a resolution of said Legislature in favor of a law authorizing volunteers who have settled on the public lands in the Manni reserve, to surrender their bounty land warrants in part payment for such lands; which was ordered to lie upon the table, and be printed.

Also, a resolution of said Legislature in favor of the establishment of a mail route from Salem, to Bloomington, in that State; which was ordered to lie upon the table, and be printed.

Also, a resolution of said Legislature in favor of a law directing the payment of the annuities of the Miami Indians, who preferred to remain as citizens of that State; which was ordered to lie upon the table, and be printed.

### PETITIONS.

Mr. UNDERWOOD presented a memorial of citizens of Christian county, Kentucky, praying an appropriation for the repair of the dam at the head of Cumberland Island, in the Ohio river; which was referred to to the Committee on Roads and Canals.

Mr. WESTCOTT presented the memorial of Peter B. Dumas in behalf of the heirs of the Marquis de Fongéres, deceased, prnying permission to institute legal proceedings to try the validity of their claim to certain lands in the State of Florida.

Mr. WESTCOTT moved that the memorial be referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Mr. ASHLEY observed that he could see no propriety in referring this subject to the Committee on the Judicary. It was no application relating to a private land claim. To be sure there was a question of law involved in it, but he apprehended it did not belong to that Committee any more than any other private claim. He moved that it be referred to the Committee on Private Land Claims.

Mr. WESTCOTT.—I was requested to have the petition releared to the Committee on the Judiciary; but I suppose it is immaterial to which Comunittee it goes. I shall not therefore object to the motion of the honorable Senator.

The petition was referred to the Committee on Private Land Claims.

Mr. DIX presented a memorial of citizens of Rochester, New York, praying an appropriation for the purchase of Mount Vernon by the United States.

Mr. DIX said he had had some doubts as to the proper committee to which this memorial should be referred. As the Committee on Military Affairs, however, had independent and the subject of a military said, and it might be that the two saliestes would be connected, he would move its reference to that committee.

The reference to the Committee on Military Affairs was ordered.

Mr. BRADBURY presented a petition of ship-owners, merehants, and others, of Saco, Maine, praying that an appropriation may be made for repairing the piers in Saco river; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce.

Mr. HANNEGAN presented a memorial of citizens of Newburg, Indiana, praying that an appropriation may be made for repairing the dam at the head of Cumberland Island, in the Ohio river; which was referred to the Committee on Roads and Canals.

Mr. UNDERWOOD presented the memorial of Nancy Haggard, daughter and heir of William Grymes, late an officer in the revolutionary army, praying the payment of interest on the amount of his seven years, heli-pay; which was referred to the Committee on Revolutionary Claims.

On motion by Mr. FELCH, it was

Ordered, That Henry R. Schoolcraft have leave to withdraw his memorial and papers.

On motion by Mr. MILLER, it was

Ordered, That leave be granted to withdraw the petition of the mechanics and laborers in the Navy Yard at Washington.

### ADJOURNMENT OVER.

On motion, it was

Ordered, That when the Senate adjourn, it be to Monday next.

### STEAM EXPLOSIONS.

Mr. WESTCOTT submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to:

Resident, That the Commissioner on Patents be requested to proceed in the examination of applications for Patents for discoveres useful for the prevention of steam explosions, pending in this office, in preference to other applications for Takents, and that be report such to the discours, useful applications for Takents, as the may deem oseful, to the other information heretofore radio for by the Senate from his office of an and sulfries.

### COMMERCE ETC. OF SIBERIA.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, from the Committee on the Library, to whom was referred the resolution granting authority to Mr. Palmer to make certain alterations and additions to the memoir heretofore ordered to be printed, reported the same without amendment, and recommended its passage.

The resolution was considered and agreed to.

### PRIVATE BILLS.

Mr. BALDWIN, from the Committee of Claims, to whom were referred the bills from the House of Representatives for the relief of Bent, St. Vrain and Company, and for the relief of J. Throckmorton, reported them without amendment.

### CIRCUIT COURT PROCEEDINGS.

Mr. ASHLEY, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives to amend the act entitled "An act to regulate proceedings in the Circuit Court of the United States, and for other purposes," passed August 8th 1846, reported it without amendament.

#### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their clerk:

Mr. President: The Honse of Representatives have passed a bill authorizing a term of the United States' Circuit and District Counts at Chicago, Illinois, and a bill to make Ship Island in the collection district of Pear Hiver, a port of delivery, and to make Ship Island in the collection district of Pear Hiver, a port of delivery, and to authorize the appointment of a Surveyor for said port; in which they request the concernence of the Senate.

#### PATRICK WALKER

The Senate proceeded to consider the motion made on Monday last, by Mr. BAGBY, to reconsider the vote of the 24th instant, on the passage of the bill granting a pension to Patrick Walker.

Mr. BAGBY had made this motion, he said, at the suggestion of general Senators, who desired a reconsideration in order that some general system applicable to such cases might be adopted by the Senate. He had himself voted with the majority on the passage of the bill, and he lad not changed his opinion in regard to it, nor would be change his vote. And he would say further, that whenever a case like that of Patrick Walker was presented to the Senate, if he was here, he would cheerfully give his vote for granting forty dollars a month, or whatever sum might be necessary to provide for his support; for he held it to be the imperative duty of the government to take eare of their wounded and disabled soldiers.

Mr. DOWNS said he hoped the vote would not be reconsidered. The bill was one which had been introduced by his colleague who was not now in his seat, and it had passed by a large majority. The only object, as he understood, of the reconsideration was that some general system might be adopted, but he could see no good reason for deferring this bill for that purpose. If a general system should be adopted, which would be applicable to this case, there would be nothing to prevent the case being included and this bill would then be inoperative. In the meantime this man ought not to be allowed to suffer for the want of his pension of which he was greatly in need. He doubted very much whether any general system could apply to a case so extraordinary; perhaps the whole war would not present another case like it.

Mr. BRADBURY.—The unfortunate soldier for whom this bill proposes to grant a pension, is one of any constituents who formerly lived in my vicinity, and I have examined his case and must confess it is one in which I feel much interest. It is a case which addresses itself not only to our sympathy but to our sense of justice. A young man in the prime of life enters the service of his country, and while engaged in battle, bravely sustaining the honor of that country, is, by the misfortunes of war, mutilated and made help-less for life. Both hands are lost, and one arm from the shoulder, he has not the power to contribute to his support, nor even to put on his clothes or help himself to his food. He now asks that country for which he fought, to render him justice; and not turn him over to the cold charities of the world. I hope the motion to reconsider will not prevail, and that this bill will not the delayed adopted by Congress, nor when, if it is loadd. The passage of this bill will not prevent, then, if it is loadd. The passage of this bill will not prevent the adoption of a general system. It only does justice for one who now asks it; and a general law can then precisely as well provide for others whose cases have not been reached by special acts.

Mr. NILES.—The honorable Senator from Maine expressed the hope that Congress will do justice to those who have been disabled in the public service. I concur with him in this view; but I wish to make provision with some degree of equality. Now I do not hesitate to say, that if this case is to be made a precedent for legalating in individual cases, you will have a pension list of at least five millions of dollars. This I understand to be a case of disability which depreves the person of all expacity to supwhich go beyond mere disability. One of the citizens of my own State, near the close of the last war with Great Britain lost his right arm whilst serving in the honorable capacity of major, and he is, in consequence not only totally disabled, but he has been subjected to much pain and suffering. Well, he, and many hundreds of others, I presume, similarly situated, have been knocking at your doors for thirty years, and have been turned away without time; and I wish to do justice to all as far as I can, but if onch disabled soldier is to receive a pension such as this bill provides, we may make up our minds to have expension list of at least five millions of dollars. I hope the vote will be reconsidered with a view to amend the bill.

Mr. CALHOUN.—I voted for this bill, but on reflection I bave been induced to change my opinion. I anderstand that the subject has engaged the attention of the Military Committee, and that they are preparing a bill which will enver this and similar cases; and under this view, in order to test the principle whether we shall defer this matter a little, and give that Committee an opportunity to act upon the subject, I move that, for the present, the bill lie upon the table.

the bill lie upon the table.

PRESIDING OFFICER.—The motion now pending is to reconsider the vote by which the bill was passed.

Mr. DAVIS, of Miss.—I will merely remark that I have no objection to the amount to be paid. I am not sure that less would suffice for his comfortable maintenance; and if so much be neces-

sary, so much I am rendy, if this system is to be pursued, to rote. But I object to it because it is a special mode of providing for those who are incapacitated so much as not to be able to support themselves; thus bolding out a premium to those who solicit in person or through their friends; giving them additional aid which as always withbeld from those who are prevented, either by poverty or pride, irom making solicitation. I prefer some general measure, such for instance as I proposed a few days ago, for the establishment of a military asylum, where solders, war were an destinate of friends, more precanius to the therathy of the government towards those who have become disabled in the public service. I was much pleased with the remarks of the honorable Senator from New York, when submitting a proposition for the proposition of the father of his country, for the guardianship of warming the proposition of the father of his country, for the guardianship of warming the gradient of the father of his country, for the guardianship of warming the gradient of the father of his country, for the guardianship of warming the gradient of the father of his country, for the guardianship of warming the gradient of the father of his country, for the guardianship of warming the proposition of the proposition of the proposition of the state of the proposition of the propositi

Mr. BRADBURY.—The passage of the bill will throw no impediment in the way of the adoption of a general law to provide to disabled soldiers. My objection to deapy in relation to the passage of this bill is a passage of this bill is a part of the bill in the bill is to be a passage of the bill is not the passage of the bill is not the bill will be a passage of the bill in the bill will be allowed to pass, and that the committee will at the proper time bring forward a measure providing for the establishment of an asylum.

Mr. DAVIS.—The committee has already prepared a bill for for that purpose. The Senator certainly cannot suppose that this case is to stand out and be distinguished above all others of a like nature. If every case is to be provided for by special canactment then there will be no necessity for a general law; but the impropriety of such a course must be apparent to every Senator.

Mr. HANNEGAN.—In reference to the remark of the bonorable Senator from Mississipi, that those who make personal application are always provided for whilst others are neglected, I can say in defence of the present applicant that his application arises from stern necessity; it is forced upon him; he has no alternative. He has no means of subsistence, and since he has been here be has been dependent upon the generosity of two distinguished officers of the army who are acquanted with his beroic conduct.

Mr. DAVIS.—I hope the honorable Senator does not attribute to me a want of sympathy with a solder who is disabled and destiante. If a suffering soldier require pecuniary aid, I will go as far as the Senator himself in rendering aid individually, but I desire that our legislation should be uniform and based upon reason, that there shall be an established system.

Mr. HANNEGAN.—Certainly I have no sort of objection to that. On the contrary, I approve of the proposition, but to await the adoption of such a measure is not the way to rescue this man from suffering. All that I ask is, that an act of justice shall be done to this brave soldier.

Mr. MOOR.—I understand the objection of the honorable Sentor from Mississippi to this bill to be that a military asylum is to be provided during this session of Congress, and that special enactments for individual relief, will therefore be unnecessary. But I submit to the honorable Senator, whether it is prudent, to say the least of it, to require a man, whose case is so meritorious as this man's is admitted to be, to await the passage of a general law—an event that is by no means certain? Would it not be more consistent with hamanity to grant relief without delay?

Mr. DAVIS.—So far from opposing this claim, I am a member of the committee from which the bill was reported, and gave it my concurrence. I only desire that time shall be given to test the question, whether we will adopt a general system or not.

Mr. MANGUM.—I voted for this bill with a good deal of relocance. I bink that this mode of legislation is exposed to all the objections which have been stated. It seems to me that it would be better to let the appropriation be made for a single year, and in case a general system, which will embrace such cases, be not adopted by Congress, the act for the relief this individual can be renewed. If this be assented to, I will vote for the reconsideration.

Mr. DOWNS asked for the yeas and nays upon the motion to reconsider, but they were not ordered.

The motion to reconsider was then, upon a division, agreed to Ayes 15 -Noes 14.

The question recurring upon the passage of the bill-

Mr. MANGUM, by unanimous consent, moved to amend the bill by striking out the words "during bis natural hig," and inserting "for the period of one year;" which was agreed to.

Ordered, That the bill be engrossed and read a third time.

30TH CONG .- IST SESSION-No. 55

The said hill was read a third time

Resolved. That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as oforesaid

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

#### THE FRENCH REVOLUTION.

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the joint resolution tendering the congratulations of the American to the French people.

The question pending was upon the motion of Mr. Allen to postpone the further consideration of the resolution to, and make it the special order for, the first Monday in April.

Mr. BALDWIN.—When these resolutions came before the Senate vesterday, I moved to refer them to the Committee on Foreign Relations. Subsequently, after the remarks which were made by the honorable Senator from South Carolina, [Mr. Calthoun, I acquiesced in the motion made by that Senator to lay them on the table. The Senate, however, did not adopt the mothem on the table. The Senate, however, did not adopt the mo-ton, and it is now proposed by the honorable Senator who moved the resolutions, that a day he specially assigned for their consider-ation by the Senate. I am opposed, sir, to the assignment at this time of any day for that purpose, because, I am still of opin-ion, that a reference of the resolutions to the Committee on Fo-reign Relations, as originally moved, is the proper course to be pursued in regard to them.

The first resolution declares, "that in the name and behalf of the American people, the congratulations of Congress are tendered to the people of France, upon their success in their efforts to consolithe people of France, upon their success in their efforts to consider liberty, by embodying its principles in a republisan form of government." The second requests the President to transmit the preceding resolution to the American minister at Paris, with instructions to present it to the French government. Personally, sir, as one of the American people, I yield to no Senstor in the expression of my sympathy with the great movement which is now going on for the amelioration of the political and social condition of the people of France, and of the other European states. But as a member of this Senate, I am not prepared to act on these resolntions, or to assign a day for that purpose, until I am hetter satisfied of our right to act in the manner proposed. I want first he assured, that we are entitled, as Senators—as members of the Congress of the United States, to speak, at all, in the name and behalf of the American people, in a matter relating to the intercourse of this government with a foreign nation. I had supposed sir, that every thing relating to the foreign intercourse of the peo-ple of the United States, pertained in the first instance, to the Ex-ecutive. I had supposed that in the distribution of powers and duties among the several departments of our government, the people had confided to the President, alone, the trust of speaking in their name and behalf to foreign nations; and to Congress, the trust of legislating for their benefit.

I am aware, sir, that questions may arise in relation to our foreign intercourse, on which it may be proper and expedient that the sentiments of Congress should be declared. But these are cases where legislation is required, to enable the Executive to earry out his views in regard to the foreign intercourse of the na-

If the President, deeming it his duty to recognize the independence of a foreign government, or to send a minister to a nation with which the United States have before had no diplomatic intercourse, calls on Congress to make provision for the exigency, then, course, cans on congress to make provision for the exigency, then, sir, the matter comes up legitimately for discussion before Congress acting in its legislative capacity, in deciding upon the expediency of granting or withholding the appropriation required.

Mr. ALLEN .- I wish to correct an error into which the argument of the honorable Senator seems to imply that he has fallen. It is not the object of this resolution to make a formal recognition The French government asks no govof the French government. ernment in the world for such recognition. It would be an insult to that government to make any such recognition.

Mr. BALL WIN —So I understand it. Congress is not called on to legislate for any purpose connected with the recognition of the French republic. We are not asked to aid the Executive by the French republic. the French repolite. We are not assect to and the Executive by an appropriation, to carry into effect any suggestion or purpose of this resolution, to undertake, ourselves, the direction of the foreign intercourse of this government; to declare that we, as the representatives of the American people, are authorized to speak in their name—announce their estimients—and request the President to direct them to be communicated as such, to the French government, by the American minister at Paris.

ment, by it, I. American manister at Paris.

Now, sir, I say the American people are able to speak for themsolves. They are able to manifest their own sympathies. They
are doing it, sir, from one end of the Union to the other; and that is
the proper way for the sympathies of the American people to be
manifested on this occasion, unless it be done through that organ of the government, whom they have specially intrusted with the duty of conducting their foreign intercourse. When and how have they ever delegated to us the power to speak in their name, in relation to the concerns of a foreign people?

Sir, if we can tendor congratulations in the name of the American people to the republicans of France, on the achievement of their liberties, can we not also tender the expression of their regrets, to

the down-trodden subjects of other empires, who yet groan beneath the sceptre of a despot? There are many governments with whom we hold diplomatic intercentse, whose institutions are as little accordant with the views and wishes of the American people as those which have just been so signally overthrown, but have they ever authorized Congress to express their disapprobation for those institutions? To what inconsistencies in the action of the government, would not such a ceurse inevitably lead? To what derangement of the system established by the Executive of conducting its foreign intercourse? By what imperfect lights would Congress necessarily be guided in its action, in comparison with the sources of intelligence, which constant and confidential correspondence with our ministers abroad, places at all times at the command of the President?

It appears to me, therefore, to be obviously proper, that the Executive should take the initiative in all such proceedings; and unless he is under the necessity of applying to Congress for an appropria-tion to enable him to accomplish his purpose, that Congress should confine itself to the legislative duties assigned to it by the constitution. By the second resolution the President is-

ntion to the American minister at Paris, with --reconsted to transmit "-requested to transmit this resolution to the A instructions to present it to the French government

Is not this, I ask, the first time in the history of this government, that Congress has undertaken to give instructions to the President in regard to our loreign intercourse? If there be any other instance on record, I am not aware of it. I know of no precedent for such a resolution; but I do know that this matter—of the interference of Congress with the appropriate duties of the Executive, has been discussed on more than one occasion, and the sentiments of both houses of Congress expressed against it.

In 1822, President Monroe, by a special message, communicated his own sentiments to Congress in favor of the recognition of the independence of the South American republics, in order, as he suggested, that "should Congress entertain similar sentiments, there gested, that "sound Congress entertain similar sentiments, there may be such cooperation between the two departments of the government as their respective rights and duties may require." What were their respective rights and duties, to which the President respective rights and duties, to which the President referred? The right of the Executive to recognize the existence of ferred 1 he right of the Executive to recognize the existence of foreign governments, and the right of Congress to make, or with hold, the appropriations necessary to enable the Executive to hold intercourse with those governments. When, therefore, Mr. Moaroe invited the cooperation of Congress, it became at once a matter of legislation, and, of course, a proper subject for the action of

Congress.

The message was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations, who reported a resolution "that the House of Representatives conour in the opinion expressed by the President, that the American provinces of Spain which have declared their independent American provinces of spain whome mave accuract tacit indepen-dence, ought to be recognized by the United States as midepen-dent states; and that the Committee of Ways and Moans be in-structed to report a bill appropriating a sum not executing 100,\* 000 dollars to enable the President to give due effect to such no-gotiation." So, too, in 1823, when Mr. Monroe, in his annual message, brought to the notice of Congress the condition of Greece, then just emerging, after a similar struggle, from the cruel des-potism to which she had heen for centuries subjected, a distinguish-ed Senator from Massachusetts introduced a resolution "that provision ought to be made by law for defraying the expense incito the appointment of an agent or commissioner to Greece, whenever the President shall deem it expedient to make such appointment." It was treated, sir, as a matter entirely belonging to the Executive, so far as regarded the intercourse between this government and that of the people whose independence it was proposed to recognize.

to recognize.

This, of course, enabled Congress to enter fully into the discussion of its propriety, and, legitimately, to express the sentiment of the American people, so far forth as it became necessary to embody them in the resolution called for by the recommendation of the Executive. Sir, when the hill from the House of Representatives making the appropriation of \$100,000 to defray the expenses of missions to the South American republics, was pending before the Senate, a Senator from South Carolina [Mr. SMITH.] proposed an amendment-

"That no money should be drawn from the Treasury for that purpose, until the President should be fully satisfied that such missions would not interrupt the friendly relations of the United States."

\* But though every Senator knew that the President was desirous of recognizing the independence of those States, the amendment was rejected by a vote of 28 to 9, on the ground that it was trenching on the preuliar office of the Executive. The whole matter was regarded by the Senate as purely an Executive affair, and that was regarded by the Senate as purely an Executive affair, and that Congress had no right to do any thing more than their duty as legislators required. Well, sir, what legislation is needed now 1 la there any? Have we not an able minister in France, through whom it will be the duty of the Executive to communicate the sentiments of the American people, in such terms, and at such time, as on his responsibility he shall deem fit? Has not our minister, already, in his official capacity, anticipating the approbation of his government, been among the first to tender his febicitations to the provisional government of France? Have not our citizens who happened to be in Fr. nee at the time of the revolution, availed themselves of the occasion to tender with enthusians their own congratulations? Are not the American people at home, in every part of the country, manifesting their sympathy in a similar manifesting their sympa part of the country, manifesting their sympathy in a similar man-ner? Why then should Congress, in this extraordinary manner be

ca'led on, at this time, to undertake the office of expounding the sentiments of the American people, when the American people have not sent us here for any such purpose? Sir, except so far as

they have confided that duty to the Executive, they have reserved to themselves, individually and collectively, the privilege of speaking their own sentiments, according to their own voltion, at their own time, and in such a manner as will approve itself to their own

judgment.

Sir, I am for maintaining the responsibility of the separat partments of the government. I would neither interfere with the duties of the Executive, nor suffer the Executive to interfere with If each department of the government the duties of Congress. confines itself to the proper discharge of the functions committed to it by the American people, we shall go on harmoniously and regularly, and the voice of the people will be authoritatively deregularly, and ne voice of the people will be authoritatively use it to be intered. But, if Congress is to be made the area for the discussion, with a view to their promulgation, of any sentiments, so matter what, which any member may think proper to propose, in regard to the concerns of a foreign government or people, can we fail to perceive the dangerous consequences to which it will inevitably lead? When the bill making provision for the Panama mission was pending, this question, sir, attracted the attention of both houses of Congress. An amendment was proposed by a mission was pending, this question, sir, attracted the attention to both houses of Congress. An amendment was proposed by a member of the House of Representatives, from the State of Delaware, limiting the power of the Executive in regard to the nature and extent of the diplomatic intercourse contemplated by the bill, between the government of the United States and the Congress of Panama. The amendment was at first sustained by a majority of the Congress of Panama. Panama. The amendment was at first sustained by a majority of the House, but was afterwards rejected, expressly on the ground that it would be an assumption by Congress of a power which the people had confided to the President alone. A distinguished mem-ber of the House from Massachusetts declared, after the amendment was adopted, that he should vote against the bill, "because the tintroduced one of the most dangerous innovations that had ever been attempted." What was that innovation? Simply, sir, an attempt on the part of Congress to instruct the Executive in the performance of his duty in regard to the foreign intercourse of the government.

government.

Regarding, as I do, the resolutions on your table as liable, in an

sminent degree, to the same objections, I am neither prepared at
this time to give them my support, nor even to assign a day for
their consideration. I do not believe it to be our province to speak for the American people in this matter. I believe they are com-petent to speak much more effectively themselves, than we can speak for them; and that the voice of the people, in the warm lanspeak for them; and that the voice of the people, in the warm har-guage of their own hearts, embodied in their own resolutions, will be much more acceptable to the people of France, than any cold declarations in their name by the Congress of the United States, whom they never authorized to speak in their behalf. But, Mr. President, if I was satisfied that it was proper for Congress to speak in the name of the American people, on this

congress to speak in the lande of the American people, on this subject I entirely concur with the Senator from South Carolina in the opinion that the time has not arrived in which we can, with propriety, adopt the sentiment embodied in these resolutions. The resolutions declare, if I understand their true meaning, that The resolutions declare, if I understand their true meaning, that the people of France have succeeded in their efforts to consolidate the people of France investigated in their efforts to consonate to liberty, by embodying its principles in a republican constitution. Sir, they are only making, now, the first effort to accomplish this purpose. The government of France is not in the hands of those who have been elected by the people. It is either self-constituted or it derives its power from the spontaneous movement of the people. ple of Paris, with whom the revolution commenced. The provi-sional government is taking its first measures, for obtaining a full stepres, government is taking ats first measures, for obtaining a titll interpret the properties of the French people in a convention, to lay the foundation for the establishment and maintenance of constitutional liberty. Have they accomplished lit! I shifterly consolidated, in the language of the resolution! I do not like the word, sir. It is rather using the state of the state of the state of the state. I remember in the famous declaration of their policy, sent out to the world by the allied monarchs, they spoke of it as the only means of "consolidating human institutions and remedying their imper-fections." I should prefer some other word, of less equivocal im-I had much rather see liberty diffused through France, to the utmost limits of the realm, than consolidated in the hands of a The diffusion times of the reality time consolidation of power that centralizes here. And I think, sir, that the Congress of the United States would be much better employed in taking measures to prevent that consolidation of power which is so rapidly going on within the precients of this capitol, by means of the enormous increase of Executive patronage, than in undertaking to

conduct, unasked, the foreign intercourse of the American people. But, sir, if we are to speak at all in their name, I should prefer that the resolutions be committed to the Committee on Foreign Relations, that they may consider whether the language used is such as the people would approve. I hope, therefore, that the motion for the special assignment of a day for their consideration

will not prevail.

Mr. DOWNS.—I was a good deal surprised that there should be any debate at all in this body upon a question on which the people every where are so unanimous; and I must confess that my astonishment has been increased in consequence of the assumption of the leading position presented in the remarks of the gentleman who has just resumed his seat. If this were a question out of which any political capital could possibly be made—if it could be

regarded at all in that light, I should certainly congratulate my-self and the party to which I have the honor to belong, that the President of the United States had received such substantial and Fression of the United States and Tecevical such substantial and from an ally so unexpected. A large portion of the time which has elapsed since the assembling of the present Congress, has been occupied in denunciations of the unsurborized exercise of power on the part of the Pres dent of the United States. It has been repeatedly alledged that he has transconded the limits of constitutional authority; but the discovery appears to have been just now made that the Executive is the sole depository of any power at all in regard to our foreign relations. I am rejoiced at this remarkable change of sentiment in quarters to which we had not certainly any reason to look for support; and I may surely be per-mitted to express the hope that whenever a question arises hereafter, either bearing on the Mexican war, or any other question of public policy, with regard to the constitutional powers of the President, it will be admitted on all hands that he has some duties to perform, and some degree of authority to exercise.

For myself, sir, I am really unable to perceive the grounds of distinction which the gentleman from Connecticut has assumed in reference to the action of Congress and the Executive on the quesreterence to the action of Congress and the Executive on the ques-tion now before us. I cannot see any valid obsection to the ex-pression of opinion on the part of Congress. The gentleman in-deed asserts that the people did not send us here to tender our con-gratulations to the French; and that we have not had an opportu-nity of ascertaining the sentiments of our constituents upon the

Mr. BALDWIN .- I did not object on the ground that we did not know the sentiments of our constituents; but that they had not confided to us the power of speaking in their hehalf.

Mr. DOWNS.—I may have misconceived the gentleman, then, in some degree; but I have correctly represented the main ground of his objection to the passage of this resolution. I was about to say in reply that it might be possible that the gentleman had some doubt as to the sentiments of his constituents, but that I could have doubt as to the sentiments of his constituents, but that I could have no hesitation as to those of mine. This is the last question in the world in reference to which I shall deem it necessary to consult my constituents. As for myself, I feel strongly on this subject. It is a natural feeling. What American is there who does not cherish a lively and grateful recollection of the sympathy which we received from France in our own hour of trial? From the period of our revolution the two nations have been united by the tenderest ties of grateful regard. But in the State which I have the hours in part to represent there are preclided regards. derest ties of grateful regard. But in the State which I have the honor in part to represent, there are peculiar reasons which operate in producing sympathy with France. The other members of this body represent constituencies almost entirely American. Perhaps fully one-half of the people of my State are French. It is their native language. The axiors in this remarkable revolution are of their own blood. They have friends and relatives among the control of the state of expression of the opinion of my State before I gave expression to my feelings on this subject.

The gentleman contends that precedents are against the coarso

which is now proposed; but the cases to which we have been re-ferred in support of that view are not analogous. The government ferred in spiport of that view are not analogous. The government of France is not a new one. It is only a change of administration. I am not familiar with the details of the cases cited by the gentlemap, but my general recollection is that in the case of the States of South America, the resolution was introduced by a demoratic member from Keutocky, in this very form. I presume, however, that the gentleman will not deny that either heanch of nowever, that the gentleman will not deep that either araneh of Congress may express its sentiments on a question of this kind. There may, indeed, be cases in which the action of the House might not be proper: but in reference to the Senate alone, there is a strong argument in favor of its action, when a doubt might exist as to the propriety of action on the part of the House. The Senas to the propriety of action on the part of the House. The Sen-ate possesses certain Executive powers. It is true, that in ques-tions connected with our foreign relations, the President takes the initiative, but I do not know that that is absolutely necessary. Again, the Senate represents sovereign States—many of which are far more important than a dozen of the petty principalities of Eu

I hope that the resolution will not be postponed. I nope that the resolution will not be postponed. This expression of sympathy and respect to be of any value, ought to be made promptly, spontaneously, without doubt or hesitation. If we can not give France a hearty greeting, let the resolution be put down at once. Let the thing be done properly, or not at all.

Mr. HANNEGAN here suggested that it was necessary to proeced to Executive husiness

Mr. DOWNS yielded, expressing the design of adding a few remarks when the subject should again he before the Senate.

The resolution was then, by unanimous consent, passed over ia-

## EXECUTIVE SESSION.

On motion by Mr. HANNEGAN, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of Executive business, and after sometime spent therein,

On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# MONDAY, APRIL 3, 1848.

#### PETITIONS, ETC.

Mr. CASS presented the proceedings of a meeting of wounded solic who served during the last war with Great Britain, and in the present war with Mexico, held at Watervliet, New York, praying an increase of the present rate of pension allowed to solders losing their limbs by wounds received in battle; which were referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, presented a memorial of citizens of the United States, praying that an appropriation may be made for the purchase of Mount Vernon, by the United States; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs

Mr. DIX presented the memorial of Horace Southmayd and Son, merchants in New York, praying the reimbursement of ecrtain duties levied on a eargo of goods shipped by them to the port of Tampico in Mexico; which was referred to the Committee

Also, a memorial of physicians and apotheearies in the District of Columbia, praying the adoption of measures for preventing the importation of spurious and adulterated drugs and medicines; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce.

Mr. WESTCOTT presented a memorial of the County Commissioners of Hillsborough county, Florida, praying the confirma-tion of the location of their county seat and a grant of land for the ercetion of a court-house and jail; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

# PUBLIC LANDS IN FLORIDA.

Mr. WESTCOTT submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to :

was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to:

Readerol. That the commissioner of the Gareal Land. Office be directed to report
to the Senate, a soon as practicable.

The office of the first particular of the consent of the first particular of the consent of the consent

## THE WYANDOTTS AND DELAWARES.

Mr. ATCHISON, from the Committee on Indian Affairs, to Mr. ATCHISON, from the Committee on Indian Atlairs, to whom was referred the petition of the chiefs and delegation of the Wyandott Nation of Indians, reported a joint resolution to sanc-tion an agreement made between the Wyandotts and Delawares for the purchase of certain lands, by the former, of the latter tribe of Indians; which was read and passed to the second reading.

## MICHAEL HOGAN, DECEASED.

Mr. WESTCOTT. from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of William Hogan, administrator of Michael Hogan, deceased, reported the same without amendment; and also submitted a report on the subject, which was ordered to be princed.

## MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT.

The following message was received from the President of the United States, by Mr. Walker, his secretary:

To the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States

To the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States:

I communicate to Congress, for their importation, a copy of a depends, with the association of the Congress, for their importation, and the part of the Congress of the Congress of the State St

and generously aided as in shaking off a foreign yoke, and becoming a free and indo-

and perconstly aided as in abilities off a foreign poke, and becoming a free and inde-pendent people.

For example, the poke of the or system of well regolated government for rear three fourths of a century, and can properly appreciate its value. Our ardent and amore congratuations are extended to the particle people of Fance, upon their andle and thus far successful, efforts to found for their future government liberal institu-tions until no tour being in the mean people of Fance, upon their andle attention of expublican. Fance will find it to be for her true interest and permanent gives to cultivate with the Unset States the most library pinciples of international in-tervative and commercial reciprocity, whereby the happeness and prosperity of both autons with the promoted.

JAMES K, POLK.

Washington, April 3d, 1848.

JAMES K. POLK

The message having been read-

Mr. HANNEGAN moved that it he referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations, and be printed.

Mr. ALLEN .- I will ask if there is any necessity for the reference of the mes-age to a committee, as there is no action asked for.

Mr. HANNEGAN .- It is, I believe the usual and more resneetful course.

Mr. ALLEN .- I only desire to say that I do not wish a refer-Mr. ALLEN.—I only desire to say that I we not was a test-ence of the subject in this form to interfere with the action of the Senate upon the resolution which I have submitted. I have no particular desire regarding this reference, further than that the action of the Senate upon the resolution shall not be delayed on that account.

Mr. HANNEGAN -The object is certainly not to delay the action of the Senate upon the resolution of the Senator from Ohio. The message was then referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations, and ordered to be printed.

## MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. CAMPBELL, their clerk:

Mr. President: The President of the United States has officially notified the louse of Representatives that he has approved and signed the bill to authorize a loan or to exceed the sum of exteen millions of dollar.

The Homes of Representatives have passed the bill of the Senate granting a pension

Hone of Representatives that he has approved ann agrees use van or account on to exceed the sum of a steem emiliasor of dollars.

The Hone of Representatives have passed the bill of the Senate grazing a persion of the steep of the passed as the steep of the board of the order of Thomas Scott, regater of the land office at Chilecotte, in which they request the concurrence of the Senate, or Representatives having sized an encolled bill, I am directed to bring at to the Senate for the suggestion of their President.

# THE FRENCH REVOLUTION.

Mr. ALLEN moved that the prior orders be postponed, and that the Senate proceed to the consideration of the joint resolution tendering the congratulations of the American to the French people.

Mr. CRITTENDEN .- I am very anxious that this resolu-MIT. CIGITAENDEA—I am very anxious that this resona-tion shall be acted on, but there is an order of the day relating to a bill of much public consequence, which I think may be disposed of without much occupation of our time. I mean the bill for the re-organization of the Supreme Court, which was reported some days ago. The subject embraced in this resolution is one which may occuago. The subject embraced in this resolution is one which may occupy a good deal of time in its discussion, we cannot tell how much. It is certainly a very grave subject, and it will necessarily be debated at much length. I am anxious that the bill to which I have referred, shall not be delayed until the discussion of this subject is concluded, which may not be until a considerable time has elapsed. I therefore must insist upon proceeding with the special order of

the day.

Mr. ALLEN.—The bill which has been named by the Senator, will undoubtedly, give rise to a lengthy discussion; to a disoussion which will extend through more than one day, perhaps through many days. I do not think that the resolution which I submitted will give rise to a very long discussion. So far as I am concerned notwithstanding the great desire which I have to speak somewhat at large upon the subject, I will water that privilege rather than be instrumental in postponing, by opening a general discussion, the action of the Senate upon the resolution can be had to night and the whole matter finally disposed of . I shall not clearly the action of the Senate upon the resolution can be had to night action of the Senate upon the resolution can be had to night action of the Senate upon the resolution can be had to night action of the Senate upon the resolution can be had to night action of the Senate upon the resolution can be had to night to eather the senate upon the resolution can be had to night action of the Senate upon the resolution can be had to night action of the Senate upon the resolution can be had to night action of the Senate upon the resolution can be had to night action of the Senate upon the resolution can be had to night action of the Senate upon the resolution can be had to night and the whole and the subject, and I cannot help, any the subject and I cannot help, any in this connection calling the attention of the Senate to one fact, letting that fact carry with it is sown arguments without any commentary of that fact carry with it its own argument without any commentary of nume— fact to justify by precedent, the resolution which I have submitted; and to justify by precedent likewise the speedy action of the Senate. I will read from the journal of the House of Kep resentatives very briefly, and then I will simply ask the Senate decide upon the motion. On the 10th day of February, 1829, Mr. Clay submitted in the House of Representatives this resolution upon which the proceedings, which I will read from the journal were had.

WUTCH 1935.

Mr. CLAY simulated the following resolution, viz::

Residued, That the Blomes of Representatives participates with the prophe of the
Residued, That the Blomes of Representatives participates with the prophe of the
Residued with the residue of the Residued of the United States, whenever he may deem it expedient to recognize the sovereignty and independence: and the Residued of the Re

Mr. Wood moved that the said resolution be postponed indefinitely.

Mr. We on moved that the suit resolution is post-piners increminely.

If we defined in the sentitive of the suit resolution lie on the table, which motion was about nearlest when seven that the suit resolution lie on the table, which motion was about nearlest when sentently such as ment the same, by adding thereto the following provinc:—

Provided nothing in this resolution is intended, nor half be construed, to laws any inflaces upon the independent exercise of the treaty making power by the President stat

Only the support of the suppor

finence upon the odependent exercise of the tresty maxing power by the resource was fall, the question height gates to quest to the said provises. It was determined in the negative. The question was then taken on agreeing to the said first member of the resolution, And passed in the affirmative, years [34, new 12].

And passed in the affirmative, years [34, new 12].

And he passed in the affirmative, years [34, new 12].

President of the first of states with regard to the said practices, pursual by the President of the United States with regard to the said practices, pursual by the And, the question being taken thereon.

The spect on was then taken to agree to the second member of the said resolution, to wit from the word and, after the weal independence, to the end thereof, And passed in the affirmative, year \$7, may \$6.

And the the House adjourned. The United States.

And the the House adjourned.

This was the nature of the proceedings in regard to the resolution which expressed the sympathy of Congress in connection with the sympathy of the people. There were one hundred and thirtytion when expressed use he symmetry or Congress in connection win the symmetry of the people. There were one hundred and thirry-four votes in the affirmative, and but twelve in the negative, and the website proceeding was a complished on the same day they were submitted. I will ask for the yeas and nays on my motion to postpone the prior order of the day, and proceed with the consideration of this resolution.

Mr. BAGBY .- When these resolutions were under discussion on a former day I voted to lay them on the table. In giving sion on a former day I voted to lay them on the table. In giving that voie I desired not to be understood as wanting synapathy with the people of France policial condition by the overthrow of monarchy and the establishment of a republican form of government in its stead. I yield to no one in attachment to republican principles—not even the order of the o I heard of the great movement in Paris, that our minister at that point would, as early as practicable, send an official despatch to the government of the United States, and that that would be communicated to the Senate. In this expectation, sir, I have not been disappointed. The President has received such a despatch and has just communicated it to the Senate. I approve fully of the course pursued by the American minister, and entirely concur in the libe ral and enlightened feeling of sympathy expressed in the Presi-dent's message. These documents have been referred, properly referred, to the Committee on Foreign Relations, and will form referred, to the Committee on Foreign Kelations, and will form proper basis for whatever action the Senate may think proper to take in regard to the French revolution. I think that the resolution brought forward by the honorable Senator from Ohio ought to take the same course. It appears to me that this would be more respectful to the people and to the governments of both countries. Sir, in matters of such was concern to the interests and happiness of mankind as the establishment of governments of thing more is necessary than feeling hearts and we should be middlene. It is neare in which showe allowers we should be middlene. the the calm lights of truth, reason, caution, and mature delibera-tion. Impassioned declamation on our part is not necessary to stimulate the French people to revolution. That mighty event has been accomplished. It remains to be seen what are to be the fruits of it. If I was certain that I saw in the great movement that has lately taken place, the uprising of the sun of liberty, ascending in majesty and grandeur to its meridian height, and there imparting light and heat, and the blessings of constitutional regu-lated freedom, based upon true republican principles, to the people of France, I should indeed rejoice with inexpressible joy. If, on the other hand, it was but the lightning's flash and the thunder's roar which preceded that tremendous series of events, which on a former occasion swept like a tornado not only over France, but over continental Europe, carrying the miseries and the horrors of war, havoc and bloodshed in its desolating course and ending in 

my entire concurrence and approbation, and are, if the Senator will permit me to say, highly creditable to his enlarged experience in public affairs, and worthy of the high rank he holds as a statesman, not only in the estimation of his own countrymen, but throughout the civilized world. I shall be compelled, sir, unless these resolutions are taken up in order to be referred to a committee, to vote against the motion.

Mr. DICKINSON.—I rise for the purpose of suggesting that the question is not debatable in its present form. The reason why I make the objection is, that the Senator from Louisiana the way I make the objection is that the Senator from Louisiana the other day while debating this resolution upon its merits, gave way to other business, and it is not only depriving that Senator of the privilege to which he is entitled, but reversing the whole order of business to proceed as we are now doing.

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—I wish merely to inquire whether a motion to postpone the order of the day for the purpose of taking up a particular subject is a debatable question.

PRESIDING OFFICER .- It is the opinion of the chair that it

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—Well, when I effered that motion, and moved to amend it by substituting another subject for consideration, is not my motion equally debatable, and have we not the two subjects open for debate? If the gentleman can give reasons for taking up the subject which he proposes, may I not also give reasons for taking up another, and thus support my ground of opsition to his motion by showing the necessity for prompt action on the measure which has been made the very order, which has been proposed to be dispensed with? The bill to which I alhade had passed the House of Representatives previous to the adjournment of the Supreme Court, and anticipating its passage through the Senate also, the court adjourned to meet again on the first Monday in May, provided the bill should become a law. It is actually necessary, therefore, if the bill is to be acted upon at all, that it should be acted upon in time to give notice to those having Mr. CRITTENDEN .- Well, when I offered that motion, and actually necessary, therefore, it the DIII is to to decrea upon at any that it should be acted upon it time to give notice to those having business before that court. If its passage be delayed it will defeat the very object and purpose of the bill as effectually as if it were voted down. And this I cannot think Senators will be willleat the very object and purpose of the bull as effectually as if it were voted down. And this Leannot think Senators will be willing to do, when they understand the necessity for the passage of such a bill. I do not propose now to offer the remarks which I design, and hope to have an opportunity to offer, before the resolution of sympathy is faulty before the resolution of sympathy is faulty before the resolution of the present of the state of the design of the will be such as the force to be drawn from my verifically means. Still there is time for that expression of sympathy, and there is time for doing the business which is pressing upon us also. upon us also,

Mr. BUTLER expressed his desire that the bill referred to by the Senator from Kentucky should be proceeded with. I was to be acted upon at all it ought to be taken up now.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, remarked that he had re-educed electro oi inquiries in regard to what disposition had been made of this bill. He believed that courts were about to be held in several of the Circuits of the United States, and it was highly important that the peasing of the bill should not be delayed.

Mr. BENTON.—I believe, sir, we have a rule, which rule is applicable also to the proceedings in the Supreme Court—that unfinished business shall be entitled to preference. It is also the rule of number dusiness sharrow entitied to preference. It is also the rule of common sense. A bill was in progress last week relating to a matter of great importance, which bill was deferred for reasons which ter of great importance, which bill was deferred for reasons which the Senate can well compreliend; its progress was interrupted by a matter of exigency, and those who had charge of the bill yielding to that exigency consented that the bill should be temporarily passed by, with the expectation that the moment that subject was disposed of the consideration of the bill would be resumed. This was my understanding, sir. That partiagilar subject is not yet disposed of, and I wish, therefore, that instead of beginning with disposed of, and I wish, therefore, that instead of beginning with any matter the Senate would now go into Executive session and complete the business before it in that capacity, then resume the consideration of the California bill, and when that is done we may go on with any thing else that may be proposed.

Mr. HANNEGAN .- I will state to the Senator that the individual on trial is now under habeas corpus.

Mr. CASS.—The Senate will recoilect that some days since I gave notice that I would call up the bill for raising an additional volunteer force as soon as the bill which was then in the bands of I have been soon as the bill which was then in the bands of the sound o pressing its immediate consideration.

Mr. DOWNS.—I am aware that there are several bills pressing upon the Senate; but I hold it to be important as was suggested

by the honorable Senator from Michigan, that if we act at all in by the nonorance Senator from Juscingan, Intern we act at all in relation to the subject of the resolution, our action should be speedy; whatever we do should be promptly done. I am willing therefore, although I took but little part in the discussion of the subject when it was before the Senate the other day, to forego the privilege of offering any remarks, and hope that by common consent the vote may be taken.

Mr. DAYTON.—I should hope with due descrence to the wishes of the Senator from Kentucky, that the bill in reference to the Supreme Court will not now be taken up, inasmuch as one of the gentlemen of the Committee who takes a particular interest in the bill is not present. It will be recollected in what manner the bill gentlemen of the Committee who takes a particular interest in the bill is not present. It will be recollected in what manner the bill passed from the committee into the hands of the Senate, that it was by a majority only; and I know that if it be taken up it will not lead to a short discussion. This I know not only from what took place when the bill was before the Committee, but from com-munication with members on both sides of this chamber, who are opposed to the passage of the bill. If it be taken up I question whe-ther we shall see the end of the discussion to-day, or even to-mor-row. There is, it seems to me, one obvious reason why the unfi-nished husiness hefore the Senate should be disposed of, so far as the exactivity from the Senate of Ohio is concerned. I underthe resolution from the Senator of Ohio is concerned. I understood from im some days since, that he had no intention to press it to an immediate vote, that he was willing to postpone it until some juture day, or to refer it to the Committee on Foreign Relations. If this be so, may we not make some such disposition of the question, and when it shall return upon our hands again, say what we have to say upon it, and in the mean time dispose of the other business that is before the Senate?

Mr. ALLEN .- All business that has been introduced into the Senate, and is not perfected, is the unfinished business in the usual Senate, and as not prefection, in the unfinished unsingless. The essence of the term, is the resolution. It is the very business that the Senate had in band, and which was passed in formally by when the Senate on Friday went into Executive session. Now with regard to the day, I did seek with the utmost solicitude to find out the day which would be taccommodate the Senate. I named one which was not the day however method through the many mind, it was far more remote that I would have annuel, but for the sake of gratifying gentlemen who proposed it, I acceded to their wishes. But after naming that day inding that it was as much objected to as any other, I fell back upon the original period. Now we have had the subject several days under discussion, and can complete it this day if we take it. I will offer no obstacle to its completion this day, I will make no speech upon it if gentlemen will take it up—so far as I am concerned it may be voted on in five minutes. I ask for the yeas and nays on the resolution.

Mr. CRITTENDEN .- As I am but little skilled in the rules of this house, having as long as I have been here confided implicitly in the Presiding Officer, I wish to know if the special order of the day may not be called for by the chair as the proper subject for consideration?

PRESIDING OFFICER .- Certainly, if there be no other business in progress before the Senate.

Mr. CRITTENDEN .- There is no other business in progress. Mr. CRAILENDEN.—Lucre is no other observes in progress. There is a motion to postpose the order of the day, and to proceed to the consideration of other business. I conceive that the case of the special order for which I have called is one of great exigency, far more pressing and momentous in its demand upon immediate attention than is the other business presented, and now being urged upon the Senate for consideration. Sir, delay will defeat this bill effectually. I wonder how Senators can take any other view of it. I am for giving both of the measures pressing upon us for consideration a prompt and immediate attention; ing upon us for consideration a prompt and immediate attention; but as this bill is one of the greatest consequence to the administration of justice in the whole Union. I hope it will not be postponed. I will say, however, in relation to this resolution, that I think it is due to the subject, at least, to commit it. I have not examined its phraseology myself, and have no other confidence in its fitness than that which arises from my knowledge of the capacities of the gentleman who drew it up. I may be all correct, but I think it is best that we should are prudently and cautiously in the matter, and that it should undergo the revision of a committee matter, and that it should undergo the revision of a committee. The greatness of the subject, and character of the relations existing between the two nations demand such consideration. have seen a disposition to delay evinced since it was brought up for consideration, and I had resolved in my own mind to have shortly made an effort for a compromise in order to an early coashortly made an effort for a compromise in order to an early consideration. In going to a committee I would desire it to be speedily reported upon. It may be that something should be added to these resolutions. I think that they are susceptible of improvement by additional resolutions. If we stand in an attitude as a republic to address the French nation, our experience and advice to them as the oldest and strongest republic in the world will not, I think, be regarded as officious or superfluous. For one, I believe it is indispensable to the permanence of the French republic, that for department should be divided into Stress, and vention or assembly to govern the whole republic. But make my consideration of the stress, and vention or assembly to govern the whole republic. vention of assembly to govern the whole republic. Angair we not without making ourselves in some form or other offensive, insinuate some idea of this sort, the carrying out of which would greatly increase confidence in her stability as a republic. Again, instead of placing ber seat of government in a great city, liable to be suddenly agitated by tumults, following the advice of Washington, might we not recommend to her the locating of her capital in some quiet and sequestered spot similar to that in which our own capital at present reposes? Would not such action on her part capital at present reposes? Would not such action on her part establish greater confidence in the minds of other nations as to the permanancy of her republican form of government? But whether we can venture to make a suggestion of this kind, is a matter for the Senate to determine.

Mr. ASHLEY .- It will be recollected that when this bill was reported back to the Senate it was reported by a majority only of committee and that there was a protest against it by the minority of the committee. I have no particular preference at what time it shall be taken up. I am desirous, however, that when it is taken up it shall receive a full discussion. I believe it is the most important measure that has been presented to the considera-tion of the Senate this session. It proposes to materially claudies the whole judiciary system, as I thick, permanently—though it purports upon its face to be but a temporary change—and is the entering wedge to an entire change of that system. I shall obeet, therefore, to its being taken up for consideration until we can devote a sufficient time to the consideration of its provisions, as well as of all the amendments that may be offered. I will observe further, that the minority of the committee instructed me when the bill came up to offer an amendment. That amendment failed. Since that time I have learned that in another portion of the capitol a system has been introduced which has received unanimous concurrence. I should have been glad of an opportunity to have examined that measure before we act up in this. If we take have examined that measure before we act up.n tims. If we take up the hill now we ean hardly expect to go into a fall discussion while this other subject is pending, and gentlemen are anxious to engage in this discussion. I hope, therefore, that it will be postponed. I do not agree with the Senator from Kentucky that there poned. I do not agree with the Senator from Kentucky that there is so great a necessity for immediate action. If we pass the bill some ten or fifteen days hence there will be abandant time for notice to reach all parts of the United States at what time the Supreme Court will hold its session.

Mr. DAYTON .- I desire to say emphatically that I am in favor of the passage of this bill, and that I shall vote for taking it up at the very earliest opportunity. Since I expressed a desire that the bill might not now be taken up in the absence of a member of the committee, I find that he is either here or will be here immediately, and therefore the only reason for which I desired its post-ponement being removed, I shall cordially unite in the effort to bring it before the Senate.

Mr. NILES—I consider it entirely irregular to proceed in this way be taking a particular bill and giving it preference over all the other business before the body. I hope that on this proposition we shall not be governed in any degree by what has been said in reference to other measures. I was under the impression that the Senator from Ohio desired to take up his resolution for the purpfise of fixing a day for its consideration. But if I understand him now, he proposes to take it up for the action of the Senate at this time. he proposes to take it up for the action of the Sendate at this time. I am not prepared this, and if that be the object I shall vote against the motion. I am satisfied that this subject has not received that consideration which its importance demands. It strikes me that the resolution is not expressed in the best form. I do show what is meant by "consolidation of liberty." But without going at all into this matter, but merely regarding the form of the resolution, and the form of that which has been referred to, as an example, I would say that the House of Representatives in that case were speaking for themselves only. I think that when one government addresses another, too much care cannot be taken in regard to accuracy. I concur with the remark of the Senator from Michigan that if this expression of the feelings of the American people is to be of any avail, it is desirable that it should be expressed with one of any artists at a description of the control jeet than that to which it refers.

The question being about to be put, the year and mays were again demanded, and they were ordered.

Mr. BAGBY .- I will with great pleasure vote for taking up the resolution, with the understanding that it is to be referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations, or, if the Senator prefer it, to a select committee.

Mr. ALLEN.—The question pending when the Senate went into Executive session on Friday, was to make this resolution the order of the day for this day. There were propositions to amend that motion by the substitution of another day. The object which I have in making the motion that I have made to-day, is, to thus up the resolution and to proceed immediately to its consideration, without feel and the proceeding the competition and without a making the competition with which is consideration. reference to a committee and without naming another day.

The yeas and nays were then taken with the following result:

YEAS—Mestr. Allen, Abley, Atchion, Alberton, Bradbury, Brees, Caix, Davia, of Mavienpi, Donghu, Donan, Fiebt, Rode, Haie, Hanorgan, Houston, John NAYS—Messen, Bagly, Baldwin, Bell, Betton, Berrien, Butler, Galdwon, Glate, Chiyton, Crittedien, Davia, of Masachusetts, Green, Johnson, of Maryland, Marsen, Miller, Nille, Fearer, Fielps, Spranace, Taurey, Underwood, Uphan—24

So the motion was not agreed to.

Mr. HANNEGAN proposed that the Senate proceed to the consideration of Executive business.

Mr. CRITTENDEN opposed the motion, and moved that the Senate proceed to consider the special order, being the bill to which he had before alluded.

Mr. HANNEGAN .- I will suggest to the honorable Senator from Kentucky that there are very pressing reasons for having an Executive ses

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—I cannot conceive that there is any more pressing business than the consideration of the bill which I have named. I hope that the Senator, therefore, will withdraw his motion in order to give me an opportunity to take that bill up. Mr. CASS .- What will be the effect of that motion? Will it

be to give preference to that subject over all others?

Mr. CRITTENDEN .- That is the very object of my motion. Mr. CASS .- I trust it will not be agreed to.

Mr. CRITTENDEN .- Let the Senate decide.

Mr. CASS.—The speedy consideration of the bill relating to the California claims is, in my apprehension, still more important than that of the bill to which the Senator referred.

Mr. BENTON.—I am very unwilling, sir, to be pertinacious before the Senate, but here is a bill for appropriations which is emitted, by all the forms of proceeding observed in legislative bodies, to precedence. It is a bill containing appropriations which moght to bave lecen included in the general appropriation bill. It ought to have been fainteened in the general applied reason on, is a subject of that nature in the is of itself-principle to precedence; but independently of that, it was preceded with, after the passage of which, the consideration of this bill, it was understood when the consideration of this bill, it was understood, as the presented of the precedency which she consideration of the single present of the pre resumed. It gave way to a matter of exigency which subsequently arose; but when I came here this morning it was with the expectation that it should be no longer deferred. Now, it is proposed that a subject which has engaged the attention of Congress at times for fifty years be taken up; that is to say, whether the Supreme Court of the United States shall be cut loose from the States? That is the question which comes up upon the bill, the consideration of which is urged by the Senator from Kentucky. Shall the Supreme Court be cut loose from the States, and the unders of that to court be settled here for bill can become a nerman-Shall the Supreme Court be cut loss from the States, and the judges of that court be settled here for life, and become a permanent central and supreme power? That question has been decided at times after the fullest debate. As often as the question was presented fully, it has been decided that it should not be done. There is certainly a great amount of time on the docket of that court; but are you to make the docket less by preventing the judges from going into the circuits to hold courts? You cannot do it. I admit that the pressure of business upon the court is act with which will require a remedy, but system can be made hestily. I am against all legislation that is done under the cry of "now

or never." We have now the stimulating argument addressed to or never." We have now me summixing argument controlled to the summary of the sum or never." A subject which has at times engaged the deliberate attention of the two houses of Congress, is now to be acted upon at once, under the argument that it is rendered necessary by a proceeding of the Supreme Court. I am unwilling to go into a subject of this magnitude with the view of acting thus hastily; besides, I do not regard this measure as a renewly for the evil. I hope that the bill will not be taken up until a more proper time; and that it will them be proceeded with colliberately without any stimulant for action on the part of the charte, other than the desire to provide a remedy for the existing evil.

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—I am very sorry that the honorable Sentator has thought it necessary to oppose this motion, which is merion a motion to take up the bill for consideration; and upon this motion the Senator makes his speech regarding the merits of the bill. The bill has been reported to us by a committee of this body, with a recommendation that it be passed.

PRESIDING OFFICER .- The pending motion is to proceed to the consideration of Executive business

Mr. CRITTENDEN .- The Senator from Indiana is willing, I believe, to withdraw that motion for the purpose of proceeding to the consideration of the bill which I have mentioned, which is the present order.

PRESIDING OFFICER .- The California bill is the prior spe-

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—I do not know that. It is unfinished business to be sure, so is the resolution of the Senator from Ohio. But I move to take up this bill in whatever form the motion may be most appropriately pronounced. The Senator from Missouri has supposed that this bill presents the great question whether this court shall be cut off and separated from the States entirely, recourt shall be cut off and separated from the States entirely, reducing the States to the character of provinces, and establishing a permanent and central power. But, sir, the whole object of the bill is to provide for an exigency growing out of an accumulation of business before that court. Something must be done to get rid of this mass of business, which prevents causes from being beard for two or three years after being docketed. How is this to be done? Unless you provide some way of disposing of this accumulation of business, the evil will increase every day, and it will be as injurious in its effects as the evil which the honorable Senator seems to dread so much.

The motion to proceed to the consideration of Executive business was then agreed to.

After some time occupied in Executive business, the doors were opened, and

The Senate adjourned.

# TUESDAY, APRIL 4, 1848.

## PETITIONS.

Mr. PEARCE presented a memorial of the Maryland College of Pharmaey, praying the adoption of measures for preventing the importation of spurious and adulterated drugs and medicines; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce

Mr. MILLER presented the petition of Mary Coleman, widow of a revolutionary soldier, praying to be allowed a pension; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. BADGER presented the petition of Agnes Freeland, widow of a revolutionary officer, praying to be allowed a pension; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, presented a memorial of citizens of the United States, praying an appropriation for the purchase of Mount Vernon by the government; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. DIX presented a memorial of G. R. Cox and ot hers, citi zens of the United States, praying that the owners of steam vessels may be required by law, to adopt Evans' safety guard in the construction of their engines; which was referred to the Committce on Commerce.

#### DOWER CASES IN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Agreeably to notice, Mr. MILLER asked and obtained leave to bring in a hill relating to dower; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

#### PRIVATE BILL.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. PHELPS asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill granting a pension to William Pittman; which was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Pensions.

#### INDIAN TRIBES IN TEXAS

Agreeably to notice, Mr. RUSK asked and obtained leave to Agreement to notice, Mr. ROSA asserd and obtained leave to bring in a bill regulating trade and intercourse with the various tribes of Indians residing within the limits of Texas; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and refer-red to the Committee on Indian Affairs, and ordered to be printed.

## COL, ROBERT WALLACE.

Mr. CASS, from the Committee on Military Affairs, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives for the relicf of Colonel Robert Wallace, aid-de-camp of General William Hull, reported it without amendment

### BATTLE OF PLATTSBURG

Mr. CASS, from the same committee, to whom the subject was referred, reported a bill for the payment of the fourth regiment in the second brigade of the third division of the Verment militia, for services at the battle of Plattsburg; which was read and passed to the second reading.

### CHANGE OF REFERENCE.

# On motion by Mr. BRADBURY, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on Revolutionary Claims be discharged from the further consideration of the petition of Francis Hutinack, a soldier of the revolutionary army, and that it be refer-red to the Committee on Pensions.

### MESSENGERS, ETC., OF THE SENATE.

Mr. FELCH, from the Committee on the Contingent Expenses of the Senate, to whom was referred on the 10th of February, the resolution to pay two youths employed about the Post-office of the Senate during last session, reported the same back with an amendment, striking out the words "one hundred" and inscrting forty-five.

The Senate proceeded to consider the resolution, and the amend-ment reported from the committee was agreed to.

The resolution, as amended, was then agreed to.

Mr. FELCH, from the same Committee, to whom was referred, on the 10th of February, a resolution to allow extra compensation to messengers of the Senate, reported the same back with a recommendation that it be not adopted.

The Senate proceeded to consider said resolution, and it was not agreed to.

#### PRIVATE BILL.

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the Committee of Claims to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of Archibald Bull and Lemuel S. Finch, reported it without amendment and with a recommendation that it pass.

#### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their clerk :

Mr. President: I am directed to notify the Senate of the death of the Honorable JAMER A. BLACK, a member of the House from the State of South Carolina; and of the proceedings of the House taken thereon.

#### DEATH OF THE HON, JAMES A. BLACK,

The resolutions from the House of Representatives having been

Mr. BUTLER rose and addressed the Senate as follows: The Mr. BUTLER rose and addressed the Senate as follows: The death which has just been communicated by the resolutions from the Honse of Representatives is an event well calculated to arrest attention and inspire serious reflection. This is the fourth death that has occurred among us during this session—a period of four months—and the ninth occasion upon which we have been called on to go in mourning for the loss of a member helonging to the 30th Congress. Those are circumstances that are giving to our session a nelaneholy celebrity.

session a netaneholy celebrity.

My late colleague, the Hon. James Augustus Black, was seized on the 16th of the last month with a violent congestive chill, which terminated in an obstinate and incurable perumonia, of which he died at his lodgings, in this city, last night, at twenty five minutes after eleven c'olock. Before he was taken ill he had the prospect of many days before him. With a robust constitution between the month of the prospect of many days before him. the prospect of many days before him. With a robust constitu-tion, he was in the enjoyinent of vigerous health, neither of which had been impaired by previous disease. His last sickness was vio-lent, painful, and protracted, but it was borne with a sustaining fortitude worthy of a man and a Christian. Our departed friend was born of respectable parents in Abbe-ville district, Scath Carolina, and at the time of his death he was in the 57th year of his age. The deceased entered the army in 1812, at the age of eighteen, with the commission of licutematy, and was promoted to the grade of garagia before the above of the

and was promoted to the grade of captain before the close of the war

war.

Captain Black had not, I believe, any opportunity of distinc-tion on the field of battle. But he left the service with the repu-tation of an excellent officer, having made a strong and favorable impression on the minds of his associates and military comrades. His keen sagacity, penetrating observation of men, his prompt judgment and untiring industry, associated with cordiality and frankness of manner, were qualities that well fitted him for military command. Upon one occasion, whilst he was stationed near St. Mary's, the intreplidity of his benevolence and courage was put to a test called for by a signal exertion to save life. By one of those sudden floods which occur in that part of the country, the of those sudden floods which occur in that part of the country, the bridges, causeways, and houses were submerged and swept away, destroying many lives and prope-ty. Captain Black, with much peril to himself, found a gentleman, then a young midship-man, now a captain in the navy, insensible and exhausted, floating on the wree of a vessel. This gentleman, on hearing of the ill-utmost delicacy of graitude and friendship, and the properties of the with the tendherness almost of a woman and the same with the tendherness almost of a woman. with the tenderness almost of a woman.

On the close of the war Captain Black retired to private life, and engaged in business with characteristic energy and industry. Prior to his election as member of Congress he filled several situations of trust and responsibility. He possessed in an eminent degree the self-reliance of a self-made man; with the peculiarities of deportment and character that made him a popular favorite. From the period of his return to the 28th Congress others have enjoyed better opportunities than myself of forming an estimate of his popular career. But the deep and even affectionate anxiety manifested during his illness, and the profound sensation which his death occasioned amongst these who were particularly associated death occasioned amongst these who were particularly associated with him, are honorable commentaries upon his private virtues On the close of the war Captain BLACK retired to private life, with him, are honorable commentarics upon his private virtues and his public worth.

At an early period, Mr. Black became a disciple of the old republican doctrines, and he ever afterwards maintained them with unflinching firmness and uniform consistency. He has been sustained during his public career by the unshaken confidence of an intelligent, namerous, and wealthy constituency.

A few days shorke died and expectations of the tunner one of the tunner of t a death-bed was not the place to prepare for death, and that he

had not deferred till the last moment the solemn considerations connected with his spiritual welfare. He professed a confident adherence to the Christian faith, and expressed the hope that, through its efficacy, he should enjoy a happy existence hereafter! A few days before his death I approached his bedsile, and endeavored to cheer and encourage him. "This is indeed a great trial," he said, "but I will try and meet it as becomes a Carolinian! After-terwards he said, "I have only one request to make: let my bones rest in the soil of my native land?"

This is not a fitting occasion on which to speak of the domestic relations of my departed colleague. Into that sarred private circlein which the virtues of the husband and the father were displayed, it is not for us to enter. It is consolatory that his wife, son, and brother reached his dying bed in time to receive an affoc-

30TH CONG .- 1ST SESSION-No 56.

tionate recognition; and that he left this earthly scene with a firm hope of happiness beyond the grave, leaving behind him an unsul-lied name, and the reputation of a good and upright man.

Mr. BUTLER submitted the following resolutions

Mr. BULLER Submitted the following resolutions:

Readerd, That the Senate has received with deep sensibility the message from the
House of Representatives amonuming the death of the Honorable Jakes A. Black.

A. Black of Representative from the State of South Carolina.

A subjective training the state of the Readers of

Whereupon,

The Senate adjourned.

# THURSDAY, APRIL 6, 1848.

#### PETITIONS.

Mr. BENTON presented the memorial of Ward and Smith, American merchauts residing at San Francisco, Upper California, asking to be allowed interest on money loaned by them for the use of the government; which was referred to the Committee on Finance.

Mr. CASS presented a memorial from citizens of the United States, praying that an appropriation may be made for the purchase of Mount Vernon by the government; which was received to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. BELL presented a memorial of the Western Cherokee Indians, praying the settlement of their claims under the treaty of August 1846; which was referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, presented the petition of Eliza Ann Brewer and Mary Brewer, legal representatives of William Rawlings, deceased, a revolutionary soldier, praying to be allowed his bonuty land; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions

Also, the memorial of Henry La Reintree asking the interposition of the Senate to procure him the appointment of a Purser in the Navy; which was referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

#### DOLLINE DITT

Mr. PEARCE, from the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads, to whom was referred the memorial of John Lorimer Graham, late postmaster at the City of New York, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for his relief.

The hill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

Mr. FELCH, from the Committee on Pensions, to whom were referred the hills from the House of Representatives for the relief of the heirs of Wilham Evans; for the relief of Esther Russell; for the relief of Jonathan Fitzwater; and for the relief of Zilpha White, reported them without amendment.

Mr. ASHLEY, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the petition of William W. Wynn, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for the relief of William Wynn.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

## THE FRANKING PRIVILEGE.

Mr. NILES, from the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads, to whom was referred the bill to declare the true intent and meaning, so far as respects the franking privilege of members of Congress, of the act of 1st March, '47, and entitled ''An act to amend the act entitled 'An act to reduce the rates of postage, to limit the uso and correct the abuse of the franking privilege, and for the prevention of frands in the Post Office Department,' assed 3d March, 1845, 'and for other purposes,'" reported it without amendment.

### MILITARY STOREKEERERS.

Mr. DIX, from the Committee on Military Affairs, to whom the subject was referred, reported a bill to increase the pay of Military Storekeepers; which was read and passed to the second reading.

# ADVERSE REPORTS.

Mr. UPHAM, from the Committee on Revolutionary Claims, to whom was referred the memorial of the representative of William Russwarm, submitted an adverse report; which was ordered to be printed.

Mr. UNDERWOOD, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the memorial of the administrator of Francis R. Sanchey, submitted an adverse report; which was ordered to be printed.

# MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

Mr. President: 'The Speaker of the House of Representatives having signed an enrelled bill graating a pension to Patrick Walker, I have been directed to bring it to the Senate for the signature of their President.

## SIGNING OF A BILL.

The VICE PRESIDENT signed the enrolled bill granting a pension to Patrick Walker.

#### MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT.

The following message was received from the President of the United States, by Mr. WALKER, his Secretary:

Mr. President: The President of the United States has approved and signed the

Mr. President: The President of the United States has approved and signed the bill to provide for the compensation of Samuel Leech for services in the investigation of suspended sales in the Mineral Point District, Wisconsin.

#### CONGRATULATIONS TO THE FRENCH.

Mr. HANNEGAN, from the Committee on Foreign Relations, to whom was referred the message of the President of the United States of the 3d instant, reported a joint resolution tendering the congratulations of the United States to the people of France; which was read and passed to the second reading.

#### MR. ALLEN'S RESOLUTION.

Mr. ALLEN moved that the prior orders be postponed and that the Senate resume the consideration of the joint resolution tendering the congratulations of the American to the French people.

Mr. FOOTE.—A reason was given the other day against the adoption of this resolution, but whether it was intended to he a philological reason, or a critical reason, I am not able to say.

Mr. HALE.—I rise to a question of order. The motion is to postpone the prior order for the purpose of taking up semething clse. I understood from the Presiding Officer the other day that such a motion was not debatable.

Mr. FOOTE.—It is not my intention to make any extended remarks, but merely to respond very briefly to an objection which has been raised to the phraseology of this resolution, and to show that it is precisely the phraseology which ought to be used.

Mr. HANNEGAN.—If the Senator will allow me, I will remark that I believe there will be no objection to proceeding to the consideration of all these resolutions together, and then the question will be open for the Senator's remarks.

Mr. FOOTE .- I only desire to say a single word.

The PRESIDING OFFICER .- There is a question of order now before the chair.

Mr. FOOTE.—I ask the decision of the chair upon that question.

The PRESIDING OFFICER.—The decision of the chair was entirely different from that which the Senator from New Hampshire supposed. It is entirely in order to debate the motion to postpone the orders of the day.

Mr. HALE.—I so understood the chair, and from that decision I take an appeal.

Mr. MANGUM.—I hope the Senator will withdraw the appeal. A motion to take up from the table, a subject which has been laid there by a vote of the Senate is not dehatable, but a motion to postpone the orders of the day is debatable.

Mr. HALE withdrew the appeal.

The question being about to be put on Mr. Allen's motion,

The question being about to be put on Mr. ALLEN's motion, Mr. FOOTE said : I design to occupy only a moment of the time of the Senate, for I do not suppose, that at this stage of the matter, I should be heard in extense with any degree of patience by the Senate. The Senator from Connecticut the other day objected to the phraseology of the resolution—he objected to the phraseology of the resolution—he objected to the phraseology, and think still, that it is the very best language that that time, and think still, that it is the very best language that the phraseology, and expresses precisely what it should express. I considered the phraseology to be simply in accordance with the French identification of the phraseology of the simply in accordance with the French identification. Since that time I have obtained a work, which, by the way, I commend to the attention of Senator, it is the last work of Lamartune, who is known to all of us as the ablest man perhaps of the ago in which he lives, the contract, the poet, the scholar, and the statesman which exception has been taken is employed; and I beg to read one or two sentences: "Lan nation ayant or sail "inaliemble sourcemented qui repose dans la raison, dans le droit et dans la volonté dehance des citoyers dont la collection fait le peuple, avait certes la faculté de modifier la forme extérieure de sa souveraineté, de wiveler son artiscorraite, de deposséder son églie, d'àsaisser ou même de supprimer son trône pour règner elle-meme par ses proces magistratures. Or, du moment que la nation avait le droit de

combattre et de s'affanchir, elle avait le droit de surveiller et de CONSOLIDER les resultats de sa victoire." Here, sir, we find that this very word "consolidate" is used by Lamartine in the same connexion as that in which it has been employed by the Senator from Ohio. I trust, then, that it will not now be considered, that there is the slightest impropriety in the use which has been made of this word in the resolution.

use wince mas beet alaws of this work in the resolution. One more word, sir, and I have done. I believe that gentlemen have taken exception to the language of the resolution without due consideration. We have heard so much apprehension expressed about centralizing government, that they imagined them might be something in this phraseology which havored the idea of might of sometting in this phraseology which flavored the idea of consolidating power in a central government. But it gentismen will look back, and examine the history of our own government, they will flood that the word was first used, I believe, by Washington himself, in a sense somewhat different to be sure, when he spoke of "a consolidation of the Union." It is a Washingtonian phrase. I say, with all deference, I am chiefly regarding of what has occurred in France, exhibity disposed to approve of what has taken place, because I see that instead of permitting the liberties of the monoil to float upon the waves of power. mitting the liberties of the people to float upon the waves of popular feeling, they are for imitating our example, and consolidating them, in the language of the resolution, in a written form of govern-

Mr. MANGUM .-- I was about to remark, when I begged the Senator from New Hampshire to withdraw his appeal, in order to make myself clearly understood, that although there may be debate upon a motion to postpone prior orders, and take up a particular subject yet that such a motion did not admit of debating the principles contained either in the measure proposed when taken up, or at those that ought to be postponed for that purpose. Everything on your calender has to be taken up in its course, and nothing lies upon the table except by a voice of the Senate, and when a subject is placed upon the table by such vote, the motion to take it up is not debatable.

The yeas and nays on the motion having been demanded were ordered

Mr. CRITTENDEN .-- I do not know what use there is for taking the yeas and nays. I know of no opposition to the motion. I have been prevailed on, in consideration of the great desire of gentlemen, and for their accommodation, to permit that which is the special order to be passed by, that the resolution of the Senator from Ohio may be taken up and disposed of.

Mr. ALLEN.—If there is to be no contest about it, I do not care about having the yeas and nays. I will therefore withdraw

The question being then put, upon the motion to postpone the prior orders and proceed to the consideration of the resolution, it was agreed to.

Mr. ALLEN .- I shall not trouble the Scnate with any extended remarks upon this resolution-

Mr HANNEGAN .- Will the honorable Senator allow me a moment? I included in my motion—when I renewed the motion of the Senator to take up this resolution—the additional proposition to consider at the same time the resolutions reported by the Committee on Foreign Relations.

Mr. MANGUM .- The motion then is to substitute the resolutions reported from the Committee for the resolution of the Senator from Ohio

Mr. ALLEN .- There is no motion of that sort yet.

Mr. MANGUM .- I make that motion, then; to strike out all the original resolution, together with the amendment, and substitute those reported from the committee.

Mr. ALLEN.—That is a double motion. It is capable of a di-vision, and I shall ask a division of it. So far as it supersedes the amendment proposed by the Senator from New Hampshire it is one thing, and so far as it supersedes the body of the resolution it is another. I shall ask for a division of the question. On this question I barely wish to remark that in the brevity and simplicity of the resolution which I offered, I was governed by a desire to do what I suplution which I offered, I was governed by a desire to do what I supposed would become one nation speaking to another, to employ brief, emphatic, general, and unequivocal language, to avoid all detail, and to make the resolution expressive of a general feeling in favor of a general principle. In drawing up the resolution I sought to find language to accomplish that object. I sought to the proper most dignified, and considered the property of t goage which I believed to be the most proper, most dignified, and most respectful—when one nation was speaking to another nation—without going into detail or giving any reason for what we did, except the great reason of popular liberty. I knew sir, that any attempt to go into detail would involve the discussion of all the parts and principles of the French constitution. One Senator wants to commend the French for having taken action with regard to its Colonial dependencies. Another Senator might wish to commend the French for having expressed, in the form of a decree of other Senator might desire to compliance the toring in powers. Any for some decree relative to the internal regulations of Frence its eligible and the series of the temporary government of France happen to strike the mind of each temporary government of France happen to strike the mind of each

Senator. Each Senator would like to express himself when you enter into the business of detail, and thus we would have to make a resolution to comprehend even of the French government in detail in order to gravify see do the French government in detail in order to gravify the continuity of the continuity of the contractions of every Senator. In order to avoid all their predictions of every Senator, and order to give to this resolution the hereity enthings, and in order to give to this resolution the hereity enthings, and in order to give to this resolution to hereity enthings, and in speaking as a nation to another nation; for that reason, I say, I submitted the resolution in a single sentence. Every one will perceive, in attempting to go into detail, the unbounded and unnumbered diffuselties that would instantly present themselves. To go into detail, we give a reason by the very detail for what we do, and to give a reason for each particular ideal for what we do, and to give a reason for each particular ideal proposed, is to make us become rather advisers than congratulators of the French people. I thought it more respectful to the French people and government that we should make a general tender of the congratulations of the American people to the French people congratulations of the American people to the French people the what they have done in its great aggregate, using no words except one general phrase, to express the general love of liberty entertained in this country, and the general love of liberty neptople that it may be consolidated in France. The only possibility then, of getting a resolution, it seems to me, which would accomplish the great object which all seem to have in riew, that of exressing fully and entirely the unanimous feeling of the Senatethe only possibility of getting such a resolution, is to take one that employs general language and avoid every expression of this vast and complicated subject in the various details. I shall therevast and completed subject in the various details. I shall therefore, myself, preferring the resolution which I submitted for its directness, its plainness, and its brevity—vote against the passage

Mr. NILES .- The objection which has been urged to the resolution of the Senator from Ohio, and which was commented on by the Senator from Mississippi, is a matter of mere verbal criticism. the Senator from aississipp, is a matter of mere vertial criticism. think that the resolution is objectionable in substance. It assumes a state of things which does not exist. It goes altogether beyond any information which we have on the subject of the present position of France. It proposes to tender in the name of the people of tion of France. It proposes to tender in the name of the people of this country, congratulations to the people of France.—For what? Why, for the success of their efforts in consolidating liberty. Well, this phrase consolidation of liberty, must mean something. I sup-pose it must mean for the success of their efforts in providing sale pose it must mean for the success of their efforts in providing sale guarantees of hierty, without which, liberty cannot be preserved. It must mean this, or something to this effect. Now what infor-mation have we on the subject? Why instead of having provided these guarantees, they have done nothing towards the organization of a government in any form. We have information that an exist-ing government has been overtimons, and a temporary or provis-ional a self-constituted government, set up in its place. What have been the circumstances under which this provisional govern-ment came into exist wee? If that we legal sanction except the ment came into exist need to had no legal sanction except the face of circumstances. The only sanction that government had, is the supposed acquiescence of the people of France. I will admit, although the officers of the provisional government seemed to have been constituted by a mere handful of men, who happened to be present in the Chamber, yet I will admit, that the nation so far as we can judge, seemed to have acquiesced and given a sanction to this government, but in what light is it to be regarded? It is a mere temporary government springing out of the exigency of circumstances, and this resolution therefore, goes altogether be-yond the real state of things, and undertakes to express the approyond the real state of things, and undertakes to express the appro-bation of this nation, for the success of the French, in establishing a free government, a thing which we know they have not as yet done. We all hope that such a result will follow, but can we now speaking to this people, tender our congratulations for their suc-cess, when nothing has been done? I think the resolution goes al-together too far. I think the resolution is essentially delective in substance, to say nothing of the language, because it goes greatly beyond the facts

Mr. DICKINSON.—I am not only desirous to congratulate France upon recent interesting events as proposed by the resolutions of the Senator from Ohio, [Mr. ALLEN,] but also to congratulate the Senate and the country upon the healthy progress of opinion here within the last few days. No longer since than Monday last, there was an effort to take up the resolutions, which was resisted there was an entire to take up the resolutions, which was resisted and defeated upon the ground, amongst others, that they were premature; but now, without any change of circumstances what-ever, they have been taken up by common consent, and not with-standing the opposition indicated heretofore by several Senators, standing the opposition indicated incretolore by several Senators, and this morning by the Senator from Connecticut, [Mr. Nilles,] I anticipate their passage by very great, if not entire unanimity. When asked what France has yet done to justify the congratulations of this government, I answer that she has solved a great and tions of this government, a masser i that the has sourced a global and interesting problem to human government. America demonstra-ted to the world that man was capable of self-government, but France has established another great fact, searcely less important to the oppressed people of Europe, that the force of opinion is mightier than armed men, and that monarrly can be overthrown mighter than armed men, and that monarchy can be overthrown and deprived its ill-gotten power by social convulsion. What, we are asked, has France done? Peaceably and unarmed, by the most powerful monarchies of modern times with all its concomitant convolves and abuses, and have removed every obstacle between thirty-five millions of king oppressed people and liberty. It is is not an achievement worthy of congratulation, I cannot imagine what would be.

The late "citizen king" attained his position by professions of regard for the rights and interests of the people of France and although for a time he did not openly throw off all pretence of and almongn for a time as did not openly throw on an pretence of regard for popular rights, the last ten years of his despotic reign have been characterized by avarice, tyranny and usurpation, and every act that can disgrace even a monarch. In the pursuit of his schemes of ambition and aggrandizement, he had practically limited the right of suffrage to about two hundred thousand of his limited the right of suffrage to about two hundred thousand of his thirty the millions of people—those elegible to the popular branch of the national legislature, were few in number, and nearly one half of the late Chamber of Depaties, the nominal representatives of the people, were office-holders under, and in the pay of the executive government. The public debt, and the burdens of taxation had been doubled in a time of profound peace, excepting the Algeria war, which was kept on foot as the out-let for the turbulent and disaffected, and doubled too, in raising and equipping a mies, and erecting fortifications, to overawe the people of France, and force them into subjection. The liberty of the press and of speech have been abridged from time to time, to suit press and of speech have been abridged from time to time, to suit the Royal wishes, until in attempting to prescribe the number of citizens who might meet at a public dinner, and frankly discuss the measures of government, he was deposed and driven from his pala-ces and gardens, driven too, like our common progenitor for his sins, ees and gardens, driven too, like our common progenitor for his sins, forever, and like him, his return garded by the sword of liberty. The history of his downfall, flight, and humiliation, is ful of interest and instruction. The ablest, proudest sovereign—the wealthiest individual upon earth, seated upon the throne of one of the most powerful monarchies in christendom, sustained by an able ministry, and backed by a standing army of four hundred thousand men, completely armed and equipped, with frowning fortresses commanding every part of the city of Paris, is overthrown and flies from a betrayed and outraged people, literally when none pursues him, in fulfilment of the proverh. His throne is burned to ashes, and scattered to of the provert. Aris turone is barned to saucs, and seattered to the four winds of heaven, and he a binotics, houseless figuitive, from town to town, from house to house—cold, pennyless, and starving—laff elad in borrowed garments, in a miserable fishing boat, seeks refuge in the land of the great rival, and hereditary hater of his race and nation! Sad, but becoming termination of hater of his race and nation: Sat, but becoming termination of a career black with treachery, perifdy and ingratitude! The image of his predecessor Charles the X, seemed to haunt his imagination, and in his flight he is said to have ever and anon ex-claimed—"like Charles the X." But he had not the apology of that weak and imbecile monarch, the tool of a designing ministry to plead in extenuation of his tyrannous reign, for the citizen king was a sensible and practical man, who gave himself, tone and complexion to his administration, and was capable of estimating truly plexion to his administration, and was capable of estimating truly the consequences which must flow from it. But he was blinded by selfishness and passion, and hastened with his own hand, the ruin of his household. Like the insane, when reason dickers up for a mement with the last pulsations of life, this mad monarch as he was about to leave his native land forever, was blessed with a ray of returning sense, and in words of truthful, interesting import, said to a friend—"join the Republic frankly and sincerely, for I carry with me the French monarchy, and I shall descend with it

earry with me the refence moharceny, and I small descend with it to the tomb. I have been the last King of France."
Thus have the people of France thrown off a powerful, corrupt and tyranous reign, and trodden down every barrier between themselves and civil liberty. Thus have they driven mto exide mistruments who have enslaved and oppressed them. Thus much have they already achieved for the cause of oppressed them. Into much have they already achieved for the cause of oppressed humanity. The future is full of hope, and none can doubt of the ultimate success of this brave and chivalrous people. But if we knew they success of this brave and enhantous people. But I we knew they have would again be reduced to the vassslage under which they have so long struggled, and that Louis Phillipe himself would be again placed upon the throne, and they be subjected to his arbitrary away, they would be none the less entitled to our congratulations for the great and good work they have already accomplished—for the mighty advance they have made in proclaiming to the world freedom of opinion and the rights of man. They a voice and language that has already been heard throughout Europs-inculcating the doctrines of liberty and equality-that has brought the oppressed and plundered masses to joyons expectation, and has caused corrupt and stultified monarchy to feel its thrones rocking and the carth trembling beneath it.— They have caused industry to hope that it may yet partake of the bread it has earned; and labor, that while it should toil for those whom Providence has taught to look to it for sustenance, no laws, human or divine, can justly require it to support an indolent and beggarly aristocracy, and armed hirelings to enforce obedience Ireland has already caught up the sound, and is looking forward with renewed hope to her hour of emancipation, Austria is ringing with shouts of liberty from Hungary and the Bohemian hills throughout Italy, Germany, and even in England herself, under pre-tence of giving, terrified and dismayed monarchy is restoring to man rights which were wrested from him during physical ages man rights which were wrested from him during physical ages.
If there are no precedents for such congratulations, we can easily
make one; and we should by all means do so, for the signs of the
times clearly indicate that at no distant day, if not during the pretimes clearly indeate that at no distant day, if not during the present session, our congratulations may be extended to other lands than France, upon a like occasion. Let us then congratulate this great nation upon an event so ampicious in her history, and leave future events to the future. If it is said the French people are too impetuous for a republic, it may be asswered they are too impetuous for monarchy. They have tried every other form of government ansuccessfully, and now, in erecting a republic, if they but copy our federative system—the great secret of our strength

—the expectations of the most sanguine must be realized. France in 1798 and 1848 are as unlike as two different nations. In '988, too, our government was an experiment; the little cloud which promised to reitesh and fertilize the earth was then an biggar than a man's hand; now its highest hopes and anticipations have been realized, and its blessings have been o-extensive five lescons zation. France, in case the property of the second control of the con

Mr. HALE.—I rise to make a few remarks in reference to a suggestion which seems to meet general favor, that the French people have not yet done enough to ment our congratualizing and that we must wait with a cold, and the proper suppose the proper suppose the property of the property o

But, if the French people had done nothing more than has been But, if the Frencia people had none movining more than also been stated by the Senator from New York, I confess that they would have had no congratulations from me. When I saw the Senator from New York, representing such a constituency as that which sent him here, rise to tell us what the people of France had done, I thought we should hear something worthy of the congratulations I thought we should hear something would be the represents them of freenea. But what is the great feat which be represents them as having accomplished? Why, that they had dethroned their King, and driven him a houseless wanderer in borrowed vestments, the contribution of the contributi of freemen. King, and driven him a houseless wandere in borrowed vestments, to the shores of his hereditat former in borrowed vestments, to the shores of his hereditat former store, and the camples of a people goaded by man son, driven by desperation, making energetic efforts clume the more willing victims of another despot a constant of the control of the cont Oh! no. They have done something more than that. have proclaimed great principles which lie at the foundation of all human freedom, and by the energy and promptitude of their action numan freedom, and by the energy and promptitude of their action they have given assurance to the world that they are sincero in their purposes and in the declarations which they have put forth. They have declared the great principle of universal suffrage. The aristocracy of two hundred and forty thousand, which ruled the destance of the control of aristocracy of two hundred and forty thousand, which raled the destines of France, have been stripped of their monopoly of authority, and every man is now a voter and a citizen. The chamber of mobiles is struck out of existence. All titles are a shoisked. More than that, they have not stopped to measure their ideas of personal, social, civil and religious liberty by the best which stands in plexion! In the language the true that the best with our stands are the controlled to the controlled of land and to all the inhabitants thereof." Compared to that achievement the expulsion of an hundred kings is but as the small dust of Who cares whether Louis Phillippe landed upon the the balance. shores of England clothed in his regal vestments or with the borrowed habiliments of a fisherman? What matters it to humanity -to liberty-to human progress that such arc the fortunes of the fallon King ?

iallon King? In the history of nations, as of individuals, there are crises.—
There is a moral Rubicon, as well as a physical one, to which we may advance, and there he sitate, and of the six of the control of the co

energetic life, the great principles of the doctrines which they have declared. I have sometimes thought, in dwelling upon the his-tory of this republic; and it has been the common talk of the world that in this land was the last hope of freedom-that when the eye of science had revealed in the solitude of the ocean, the continent to which the Pilgrims of New England repaired, that they might here, removed from the temptations, oppressions and laxuries of the old world, erect the sacred temple of liberty, if the effort failed here it failed forever;—that I had seen indications fearful and fatal, that we were departing from the faith of our fathers, that instead of being true to the first principles of human liberty which we have proclaimed, that we were cutting loose from them, and entering upon the adventurous experiment of a foreign-and agand entering upon the saventurous experiment of a foreign and ag-gressive war, not for the purpose of promoting liberty, but of ex-tending and perpetuating the institution of human slavery. As I contemplated these things the conviction seemed to force itself upon me that the indications of our success were faint and feeble; that the Illustration which we were about to give of the capability of man for self government, was to be the same as that of other na-tions which had gone before us; and that after our failure the hopes of freedom would indeed be extinguished forever. But in the dawning of this revolution in France, I behold the sun of hope again arise, his beams of goldon light streaming along the eastern horizon. I am now inspired by the hope that even if we fail here-that even if liberty should be driven from this her chosen asylum, the divine principle would still live and would find a sanctuary the divine principle would still live and would find a sanctuary among the people of another land—that when our history should have been written, and our tale told, with its sad maral of our faith lessness to liberty, boastize of our love of freedom while we listened numoved to the clanking of chains and the wail of the bondmen—even, then, in a continent of the old world light would be seen arising out of darkness, life out of death, and loope out of despair! I trust that whether these sentiments are to be embodied in the resolution or not, that it will be acknowledged that enough has been done and more than enough to call forth the earnest sincere, heartfelt congratulations of every American.

Mr. BUTLER then rose and was recognized by the chair, but

Mr. HANNEGAN, who said: Inasanneh as I am placed in a peculiar relation to the question now before the body, I shall accept the floor from the gendlenan who has so controlonyly yielded it, promising to return it to him with Jew's interest hereafter, whenever the opportunity may fortunately recur. I will not detain the Senate by entering into any details of the events which we have smeatly trained only for such a first regard to the events when the senate by entering the property of the senate by the s that have recently transpired in France. It is enough to refer the Senator from Connecticut to those details as furnishing an answer to the objection that nothing has been done to warrant congratulations from America to France

Mr. NILES —The Senator entirely misunderstood me. I said that the resolution of the Senator from Ohio went beyond any thing that had been done.

Mr. HANNEGAN.—I am happy to hear the explanation of the Senator, although I still differ with him in his construction of the resolution. The Senator from New Hampshire expressed the hope that the French people might be enabled to persevere even unto the shedding of blood. To that I respond, if it be necessary! But I ferrently trust in God, that not one drop of human becomes the way the greater translation. ry! But I fervently trust in blood may flow in this great revolution.

Mr. HALE .- I said that I desired the French people might be faithful unto death.

Mr. HANNEGAN .- That of course implies the shedding of blood. But I trust no neck will be brought to the block—that no human misery, but that unmingled human happiness may flow from

this great movement.

this great movement.

And now, sir, it is due to the Senator from Ohio and myself that
I should make a brief explanation. When the message of the
President was communicated to us on Monday last, it was, on my motion, referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations, and I motion, referred to the Committee an Foreign Relations, and I then stated to the Senate that it was not my intention to interfere with his resolution. I will carry out my purpose in that respect; for although as the organ of the Committee, I reported the resolutions which have been read this morning, and although I prefer them as they go farther in expressing my views, yet I vill never place myself in a position in which I can, for an instant, bo suspected of public or private treachery. I suggested to the Senator from Ohio, in the morning on which he introduced his resolution, that he had by their do it at once. I then committed myself to his resolution, and I shall carry out the committal by any vote which I may be called on to give. The resolutions of the Committee on I may be called on to give. The resolutions of the Committee on Foreign Relations, indeed, express the same sentiments and feelas the resolution of the Senator from Ohio, and entirely accord with resolutions which have been prepared by other Senators, amongst them my friend from New York, [Mr. Dix.] They all amongst them in freed from New York, Jur. Dix. J. They all embody public sentiment and no more. All convey nothing more than what fell from the lips, and came fresh and warm from the hearts of every day-laborer around your capitol grounds, as he exchanged congratulations, and shook hands with his associate, on the intelligence of these glorious events. My friend from Ohio, however, with characteristic boldness—leading, as he always leads worthily, when the great principles of human liberty are at stake—came forward the moment that the tidings reached us and gave notice of his intention to introduce such a resolution. As the originator then of this procedure, to him belongs the credit, let the details be what they may of the action of which the Senate may adopt. And here I may, without impropriety, remark that, to the Senator from North Carolina, [Mr. Maxgum,] is chiefly due the credit of the resolutions reported from the GOM, 18 culeify due the credit of the resolutions reported from the committee. His valuable suggestions in the committee room, re-duced my share of their preparation to little more than that of drating them. But this is of little consequence either to him or to myself. Neither of us seek the "bubble reputation" which is to be gained at the point of a resolution. He, I know, is above it. be gamed at the and I trust I sm

The Senator from Connecticut, [Mr. BALDWIN,] remarked the The Senator from Connecticut, [Mr. Baldwins.] remarked the other day that there were no precedents for this procedure. I think that if he will blook hack to the period of the first revolution in France he will there discover a precedent in the condact of Washington himself. When Louis the XVI, communicated to General Washington, then President of the United States, the fact that he had signed the constitution dictated to him by the National Assembly, and that he had given to the French people an extension of the elective franchise and other privileges before unknown, Washington, in a brief message, announced the intelligence to Congress, and it was responded to in separate resolutions by the two Honges, which were transmitted by the President to the gence to Congress, and it was responded to in separate resolutions by the two Honses, which were transmitted by the President to the King of France. When, at a later period on the establishment of a republic, before the downfall of Robespierre and Marst, we refrained from transmitting congratulatory resolutions; the reason, as will be fresh in the recollection of Senators, was that we were then in imminent danger of collision with France.

Mr. BALDWIN .- Will the Senator allow me to inquire Mr. BALDWIN.—Will the Senator allow me to inquire whether there be any precedent of a joint resolution of the two Houses of Congress, in the name of the American people requesting the President to transmit the resolution to a foreign government?

Mr. HANNEGAN .- I know of no instance of a joint resolution, but the separate resolutions to which I have alluded were of tion, may the separate resolutions to which I have allithed were the same import. But I frankly confess, that I dislike the word "precedent," when we are engaged in legislating on such a subject as this! The reason why no precedent has been presented is, that the event itself is without precedent! I have indeed heard objections urged in high quarters. The distinguished Senator from South Carolina has expressed his opinion that we had better defer our action. These and other objections made to the immediate action of and some top otherwise the superior of the weight all, not less they de-serve, with the utmost seriousness. At one time I was inclined— and so expressed myself to the Senator, my friend from Ohio—to postpone action until we should bear of the actual meeting of the national assembly; but all my subsequent reflection tends to the conclusion that we should at once and without hesitation tender to the new republic of France our congratulations. For the life of me I cannot see any evil result in the step. No man will contend against it as an act of interference with the affairs of others, an act that in its remotest consequences can lead to our entanglement in European difficulties. It has ever been the custom of nations to exchange congratulations upon momentous occasions and fortunate results.

In the history of man there has occurred no more signal event than the recent French revolution. It is marked all over, at every step, from first to last, from the highest to the humblest actor, by step, item that to hist, finds the ungreast out me funders actor, or our own Heaven guided ancestors. When revolts occupied ling doms—when man, lashed to phrenzy by all the woes of oppression, rises but to strike a single blow, and fall from the ignominious scaffold to the uncounted grave—when the chains of the oppressor are thuse rived tighter and tighter—when humanity and liberty have been laid together in a common grave-then monarchs have

often exchanged congratulations.

At this hour, when emaucipated France—emancipated without a crime, without a stain—extends her new-born hand, and from her cradle lifts her smiling front, gazing at ns, shall we panse—coldly pause—and give back the look with marble features? or shall we return the smile, and with our heartfelt salutation cheer her to the richer than golden harvest ? If there was any thing in these resolutions contrary to the usages of nations, any principle at war with the proprieties of international communication, I should feel bound the proprieties of international communication, I should feel board from this high place to hesitate and weigh every contingent result. But I am unable to discover the rule or the reason against a national solutation from the matron government of republican American to the infant government of republican France. It is in the name of our people that we send the greeting. It is in obedience to the high behests of the ever active, the hundred-eyed genius of our institutions. We send to a recognized government—recognized by all the foreign officials in France, so far as I can learn. Our own minister led the way. I trembled when the first news Our own minister led the way. I trembled when the first news that the property of the first news of the property of the

Why shall we not, as representatives of our country and her in-Why shall we not, as representatives of our country and her institutions, salute those who are struggling to ministe us? Moarch cas salute monarch, even upon the birth of an infant heir to unlimited desposins, shall we failter in a salutation to the presence of rational liberty. I know the doubt, the fearful doubt, that exists in many of the greatest minds, even some of the most honored fathers that surround me; and I know, too, from conversation all sides, as well as I can learn, that doubt can only be expressed,

by the word selicitude-the deep, deep selicitude with which maor mil locu witches the first steps of the idolized boy. Will he sumable I will be full? The fine turned hinks, the firshing eye, forbid the thought. He will walk, and walk to noble and vigorous manhool. This feehing inspires some misgivings, not in the purity of intention which discloses this great struggle, but in the detail which its to seal the result. Her national assembly is, perhaps, too numerous; one-fifth the number would have represented all the departments all the interests of the French people, and avoid-ed the dangers of faction and tumult. But 1 can leel no doubt of the issue; the descendents of the consecrated Gironde, united with the schools Polytechnique, supported by the press and the intelligence France will avoid the terrible obstacle of the Mountain and

I trust with abiding confidence in the moderation, the wisdom, the public virtue, the mtellect of France. I rest as seated on a upon the steady patriotism of the French people, in sustain ing those great intellects who lead the way, pointing to the high destiny of France with all the sublimity of faith, all the fervor of the sec. I dread no rising cloud which my friend from Alabama [Mr BAGBY,] pictured the other day; I will not fear the baleful cloud of anarchy, lighted from every verge by concentric fires, and sweeping on the tempest wings to blast with its thunderbolts the new sprung hopes of freedom and mankind. Perish the thought, the prospect shall be lighted only by the lambent fire of exultant hope!

At this hour the spirit of freedom is on foot in lands and amongst beople, where its faintest sighs have been unheard for centuries. people, where its faintest sighs have been naneard for centures. Italy, the school boy's theme, the land of his golden dreams—the of old liberty loving German, of Cæsur and Tacitus, are again warmed by the celestial flame, Europe is tocked by a moral earth-the discloses some new breathing warmed by the celestial name, Europe is located by a hiorac earth-quake. Every successive three discloses some new breathing place for the subterranean fire, which long suppressed, is bursting with more than volcanic power into the light and the approving presence of God.

Sir, our example has done all this. The oppressed and famine stricken of the old world, have annually flocked by thousands for refinge to us, the only resting place for overtasked humanity. They have drank of the cup, which never exhausts, they have fed at the board, whose necromantic supplies are spread with more than "Bacchanal profusion." They have aboved at the altar of their own God unquestioned, and listened to the ministrations of priest undisturbed. They have walked by day, and slept by night in security, the rude latch their only guard, and no proud emissary of oppression to wither by his tread, the green sward be-fore their humble door. They have found a home emissary of oppression to When Ave found fore their humble door. They have found "Where gods might love to dwell And wander with delight;" Or sit amid its sacred shades.

All this they have written, ship load after ship load, to those they have left behind; and thus the silent and invisible they have let benind; and mus the sizent and myssible power of the Almighty has brought the masses to the aid of the master spirits, that from their elosets have so long invoked the blessings of freedom. But perhaps I wander. I will only add that my vote shall be given with leading the production of I trust that the vote may be taken this day, so that the intelli-gence may be enneyed to France in the Cambrin, which sails on Saturday next. I am not prepared however, to stop at this. When Sauthay lext. I am no prepared nower, it stop at this which is to convene on the 20th of April, shall have closed its deliberations by giving to Frace a constitution after our own model, I would go farther; I would send a solemn embassage; its members composed of the snow-erowned and embassage; its members composed of the snow-crowned and most honored servants of our republic, those who have given the energy of long life to liberty and their country, and whose mellow but all radiant light still rests upon the theatre of action. I would send such an embassy in the name, and with the spirit of our people, to fraternise with the descendants of those who shed their blood for our freedom.

Mr. ALLEN.-The course of the distinguished chairman of the Committee on Foreign Relations has been just and generous with regard to this resolution and the individual who originally submitregard. But jets and to manners a most course for or heavy years to be more as that all who are personally acquainted with that Senator were prepared to expect. He has said that I was entitled to the honor of originating this movement. Before I appropriate that compliment to myself, it is just that I should say that in submitting that resolution I do I no more than I am fully aware many other Senators proposed to do, and it was but a mere accident which gave mine the priority. It so happened—and that will exwhich gave mine the priority. It so happened—and that will plain the reason why I chanced to submit the resolution—that prain the reason way a chanced to Samma the resolution—that on the 20th day of March, a disension was in progress in the Senate upon a kindred subject, upon a proposition of creating a mission to the Papal States in conformity with the previous recommendation of the President. The President had made that recommendation of the President. The President had made that recommendation most properly and wisely because he thought that representing this great nation of freemen it was his duty to take some notice of an event which had for its object so large a hencit, not only to the people of Italy, but by its example to the people of all Europe. He, therefore, recommended that an embassy should be created to the head of the Papal government, and based his recommendation upon those sultury changes which the head of that government proposed. I was of opinion that the President noted wisely and justly in that recommendation. The House of Representatives had manifested the same opinion by providing for that mission; and when it came here as a portion of a bill submitted that mission; and when it came here as a portion of a bill submitted to us, it became the subject of observation. We received intelli

gence of the French revolution on the 18th of March. The Senate gence of the Freuer revolution of the 15th of March. The Senate not being then in session; on the 20th, the first day on which the Senate met after the news had reached us, the debate upon the Papal mission was pending. In some remarks which I made in support of the mission, carried along by the feelings which the resupport of the infesion, carried along to the control of the infesion of the revolution in France had excited, I expressed the desire and the hope that Congress would embrace some opportudesire and the hope that congress would embrace some opportu-mity of expressing the national sympathy and congratulations to-wards France. Having made the observation I felt it to be my duty to follow it up by submitting the resolution. That is the history of the introduction of the resolution, and it explains the reason why it was offered by me and not some one of the several members of this hody who thought alike and entertained the same I do not doubt-I cannot doubt-I would be ashamed to doubt-that there could be in point of fact any diversity of feeling in the Senate of the United States on so great an event as that which has occurred in France. Men may doubt as to the proper form which has occurred in France. Aren may usen as to me proper som in which it behoves us to speak to the French people, and doubt with great propriety. But as to the principle itself, of the obligation which our position impose upon us to speak a word of encouragement and congranulation to the French people, I believe there can be here no diversity of sentiment whatever. I deem it that in all the circumstances I should make this statement. I deem it proper ferring the brief, concise form, which I gave the resolution. I shall of course vote against any amendment of it.

Mr. DOWNS -When I yielded the floor the other day to the soft DOWNS — when I yielded the noor ine other day to the honorable chairman of the committee on Foreiga Relations, I expressed the design of making a few additional remarks on the subject before the Senate, when an opportunity should be presented of doing so. But there seems to be a general desire to take the question without further debtae, and certainly I should refrain from saying a single word, if I could consult my own feelings alone. I gos bordly a dock of however, with precipitation the desire these is saying a single word, it feeled consist my own regings above. I can hardly do so, however, with propriety in the circumstances in which I am placed, as I had promised to submit some documents recently received from France. I shall at all events be very brief, concurring as I do, with the honorable chairman of the committee on Foreign Relations, that the vote ought to be taken to-day, and promptly. The form of the resolution is, it seems to me, of little importance. For myself, I am equally satisfied with either, While I admire the first on account of its simplicity and brevity, finding nothing in its lunguage to criticise, still, since the Pres dent's message has been submitted, and the question comes in a more formal manner from the committee on Foreign Relations, there seems to be some propriety in adopting the merc formal resolution. As I have remarked, however, I am indifferent as to what form the resolution may assume, hoping that all discussion on minor points will be waived, and that the Senate will act promptly

I regard this French revolution as one of the most extraordinary events in the world's history. We have seen revolutions in France before, and we have seen them in other lands, but according to my recollection, I have neither read in ancient history, nor witnessed in modern times, anything like this event. We have seen a king clothed with almost despotic power—surrounded by large armies—with the city of Paris so fortified that it was supposed to be impregnable, and seeme from any assault from within or without—no one seeming to doubt, that during the life of Louis Philippe, disturbance was impossible—immediately after an election which had secured a majority for the king, of upwards of one hundred-in the face of all these things, two short days sufficed to hurl him from power, and drive him in ignominious flight to the shores of a neighpower, and drive mm in gummons are particularly over act or ty-prong kingdom. Nor was there any particularly over act or ty-ranny to excite the people to rebellion. In the full tude of his sue-cess, the people rise against the king, and in a marvellously brief period, with little disturbance, little loss of life, little disor-der, they demolish the government which he had reared with such craft and pains, and take measures for the crection of a re-public in its stead. This certainly does seem most extraordinary. But when we come to examine the character of the French peo-ple, and the structure of French society, the intelligence and capacity for the enjoyment of freedom, which characterize that people, our astonishment will be diminished. Louis Philippe has had the reputation of a wisc prince, and perhaps he was entitled to it. But he made one fatal mistake, and to it he owes his down-fall. He thought he was safe because he had a majority in the Iall. He thought he was safe because he had a majority in the chambers, and an army of some three hundred thousand men. He imagined that he had the people completely under his control. Here was his mistake. I have been very much struck, sir, in con-trasting the policy for some years past, of the French and English governments. The history of British legislation for some years past, has heeu a history of popular concession. The government has yielded to the demand for reform, and the growing intelligence of the people. Catholic emancipation—parliamentary reform—muni-cipal reform—free trade—all these have been concessions yielded cipii reprime-iree traue—aii tiese nave been concessons yielded to the people by a monarchieal government. That government has had discretion enough to see that it ought to yield, and its sagacity in yielding to the people has saved it from destruction. In France the policy has been entirely different. The legislative bodies have been composed of office holders, the tools and ministers of the King, and obstinately resisting any corcession to the people has progressive in the control of the contro That government The legislative ple, the government has persisted in opposing the demands for re-form, till the people taking the work into their own hands, have pulled the government to pieces and now set about the construction of a system of free institutions.

This revolution is not to be compared to that of '98; a great

change has come over France since that period. It was intimated here in an early part of this debate, that France had failed in her former revolutions. I read her history differently. I know that there was much to weep over in her first revolution, but set energed from that seene of herer better than she was before. And he empire with all its tyranar under the direction of that extraordinary man, who held the reigns of power, contributed still manner where the social condition. It was then that the barriers were broken down which formerly existed between the people and the aristecracy. It was then that a more equal distribution of property took place. And the second revolution was a still greater improvement. With these lessons before them, I hepe that the people of France in this third attempt will be entirely successful, and fulfil all the wishes of the friends of France and liberty throughout the world. For myself I have no doubt of their success. The ex-King himself, seems to have shandoned all hepe of the restoration of monarchy to France? He has expressed in his memorable declaration, to which the gentleman from New York has reforred, his deliberate conviction that France must be a And the empire with all its tyranny under the direction of that ex-York has referred, his deliberate conviction that France must be a

The prompt adhesion of the new government made by the highest officers of the army and of the navy and by the clergy, presents gratifying evidence of the strength and vitality of republican feelings in France. The revolution of 92, might be said to be a is feelings in France. The revolution of '92, might be said to be a revolution against the authority of the church, nay against theristianity itself as well as against kingly power. But in the present movement I rejoice to recognize that spirit of veneration for religion which is one of the surest safeguards of social order, and good government. The Bishops of Paris and Lyons, and other high dignitaries of the church, have concurred most fully and freely in the great movement for litherty. Those who are little aquainted with Catholic countries and the influence of the elergy, can scarcely appreciate the importance of this resolution. It is a superior of the elegent of the elegent countries and the superior of the elegent countries and the elevation of the elegent countries and the elevation of the electric velocity of dispessition. I have no fears then, sir, for the result of the revolution in France. I believe it will be successful. It may take some time, but with the union of the masses cessful. It may take some time, but with the union of the masses cessin. It may take a some time, but whether amounty, and with the cooperation and assistance of all, with the acquiescence of the nobility—with the approval of the royal family themselves, I cer-tainly think that if there could be any doubt about the result for this revolution, this would afford as a strong assurance of a favorable termination.

I shall not take up the time of the Senate by referring to the numerous accounts of this great event which we have seen in the public prints. But I have been favored with two extracts from latters from the public prints and interest the second traces of the property of the pulse prints. Dut I have been avoid with two catacons from letters from private individuals, speaking of the occurrences which took place in Paris. These extracts, I think, will canable us to form a better judgment of these occurrences, than the more formal accounts that we have seen. Here is an extract from a letter of a gentleman residing in Paris, to his friend in this

country:

On the service of the serv

"Believe me, the poslamation of the republic her mostly on invoice your name to ob"Believe me, the poslamation of the republic her mostly on here at best time the
"States of the poslamation of the republic her mostly on here at best time the
came, now in Paris, have wasted upon and congratelated, the provisional government,
than double compliment has had an absuintible effect. Never has there here no much
find double compliment has had an absuintible effect. Never has there have no much
States. Do not think of returning before your labors are terminated. Continue your
wall record you with more real than ever,
wall record you with more real than ever,
present, and permonal security nover more careful for. It requires really an effort of
memory to recollect that there was a revolution last week, and that a nucerable semitunity in the most maker, which will not had not been the continue who is about to saves these millions of frames in a banking home, a major secured.
There is no one who does not long to see you, but there a no one who will not make
the sameline, and encourage you not not handoon to prevene or the recording of
the same would not be a more than the maker of the same one who will not make
the sameline, and encourage you not not handoon to be prevened in the sole into
which we all know me wouldy of you.

Here is a loss on mextract from the lastes of the same one who will not make
the carefies, and so an extract from the lastes of the same of the same one would of you.

Here is also an extract from the letter of a lady, relating to the same subject :

"Your velocome letter arrived on the memorable day of the greet barrendes. We were all closely housed, butters closed, for fearof some accident, which by the by was magable housed, butters closed, for fearof some accident, which by the by was magable housed, but endowers, but they had to such intertaines—between going cury quoeff by to theretie the princates confined for debt; and we were delighted and annuel of the princates confined for debt; and we were delighted and annuel of the princates of the princate confined for debt; and we were delighted and annuel of the princate confined to t

. New, when within one week after scenes of this kind have taken place, and such mederation, quiet, and regularity are observed, can any bedy doubt the fitness of the people and their capability to ean any beginning that will be permanent? I see no cause to doubt or hesitate. I believe their success is certain. The whole dount or destitic proceedings hither to, is a sufficient guarantee that seem that the proceedings hither to, is a sufficient guarantee that seem the propriety of passing this resolution. I am sorry that it has been delayed so long. I a sisked over much that it could have been passed in time to go by this steamer; and I do hope now that there will be no farther delay.

Mr. DAYTON.—It is no part of my purpose to make a speech upon this resolution; but I should have been much better satisfied if the Senator who introduced it, or the committee from which it in part emanates, had been content that it should have laid over for a month as originally proposed, that is, until the first or second Monday in May next. I trust I am not wanting in all those kind, generous feelings, which have been so well expressed by Senators; generous feelings, which have been so well expressed by Senators; but it seems to me and the we were giving way too much to the current of popular as imment. The Senator from Indiana, in the course of the senator is represented to the fact that the very day-laboured the senator that exprise when the capital were shaking hands and lelieitating themselves when the news of the revolution was received. It appears to me, sir, that the American Senate is engaged very much in the same way. As individuals we may do it—but as legislators, men acting under heavier and deeper responsibilities, it seems to me that we should weigh well each step that we take in this matter. If there ever was a season when we, the model republic as we glory in calling ourselves to the world, should be cautious as to what we de, it is now. There is, perhaps, no paragraph in our past history, conveying more of wisdom to posterity, than the last and parting address of Washington—when he told us to beware of entangling ourselves with European pelities. I admit that this

of entangling ourselves with European pellities. I admit that this is not an entanglement within the letter, but it is at least within the spirit and meaning of the words of the great Father of his the spirit and meaning of the words of the great Father of the country. I do not mean to say that we may not, under certain circumstances, express our sympathy with any nation in Europe. We may do so in the language of this resolution, mean what it may, for I hardly know what is meant by consolidation of liberty. But, sir, I want first to be sure that the government does consolidate liberty. I want to have, at least, a reasonable assurence that when the government we are about to congratulate shall be sought after, our minister will not have to make a return of non est inventus. The honorable Senator from New Hampshiro tells us that he would not wait until the child attains to maturity beus that he would not wait that the clinic actions to inducting before he tenders his congratulations. Nor I. sir. But I would wait until the child is born. France is enciente, only; whether a child will be born, or France have a miscarriage is a thing to be hereafter determined. Sir, there is no government now in France bereafter determined. Sir, there is no government now in France to whom we can tender our congratulations. We are tendering them to the now government of France not to a government that is to to whom we can tender our congratulations. We are tendering them to the now government of France not to a government that is to be, but to the Provisional government. What is that government that the them to the now mean by Provisional government? I ask it in all seriousness. It has the name of government, but we cannot regard it as one. With great respect, I say it is no government. Its decrees are no decrees. Its laws are no laws. Its official agents are the creatures, in common parlance, of nobody. We cannot shut am eyes to this fact. Here is a government which puts down the old state of things today, and sets up a new table proposed to the old state of things today, and sets up a new table proposed to the old state of the people of Paris? I mean legally and as compared with the thirty millions of the French people. It is idle, sir, I say it with great respect, to look upon this species of de facto power which, for the time being, keeps a kind of order in Paris. It is idle, I say, to look upon it as an existing government which should receive our congratulations. And permit me to say, that the official agents of this quari government feel by what a slight tenor they hold their places. A mob has put down a monarchy yesterday and has raised a republic to-day. They gave life to Lamartine yesterday, they may give death to Lamartine tomorrow. The whole course of action of the agents of this French revolution show that they appreciate the position in which they stand. The Senator from New Hampshire has spoken of something for which they are specially entitled to the congratulations of this government. I regain say, sir, that its very thing is last carryonal which he were streke of a new the second of the proper streke of a new terms of the proper streke of a new terms. this very thing is but carrying out a mere popular sentiment. The manumission which is accomplished by the mere stroke of a pen is an action too sudden to be relied on. Such vast changes, is an action too sudden to be relied on. Such vast changes, reforms if you choose to call them so, are not to be consummated in a day, and attended with no evil consequences. Again, sir, if we examine the progress of this quazi government we see it pandering to the passions of the pepulace—we see it raising the wages of labor, opening the bakers-shops to feed the huegry. These are not the ordinary functions of government. We see it redeeming plotlers, from the pawhrokers with the funds of the redeeming pledges, from the pawmbrokers with the funds of the government, converting the Tuilleries into a poor-house, and consecting the property of the royal family. Can a conservative people like ones be misstaken as to the tendency of these things? The very men who are now legislating thus, feel that they hold their power at the frail tenure of the will of a mob. They feel that they must pander to that mob, if they would maintain the position they hold. Why, sir, I say it with great respective three intelligent high-minded genthemes they can be the set of the se eriment—to this child in embryo, that we are to tender our congravulations. Sir, they show their want of confidence in the stability of their government themselves. And there are other indices the property of the property

Mr. JOHNSON, of Georgia.—As I can see no good reason for the pestpanement of the resolutions of congratulation to France, introduced by the honorable Senator from "Ohio, [Mr. ALLEN,] and those introduced by the Committee on Foreign Relations, I shall vote for the action of the Senate upon them at once.

what shall we gain by delay! The principal, indeed the only reason urged by Senators over the way for it, is, i, that the conversion of the control of the c

Their condition differs from ours in another important respect. We were separated by the wide ocean from all other governments, and were therefore free from their intermediding and jealousies. But France is flanked on all sides by despotion of the property of the proper

Is it proper then, that this government should tender its congratulations on the occasion under consideration? Upon the first introduction of these resolutions, I did entertain some doubt on this point; that is to say, my judgment did not fully second the warm impulses of my heart. That doubt rested upon the fact, that we had no official information of the great events which have bust so suddenly upon the world. The accounts which we had then received from France, were such only as dra through the medium of the public press. We had not at that time been officially informed that any thing had transpired. I, therefore, doubted whether it fully comported with the dignity of this government, to take cognisance of events of this character, upon more newspaper intelligence. But, sir, that doubt, whether well founded or not, (and I am disposed to think it was not,) is en-tirely removed by the message of the President, communicating the official action of Mr. Rush, and its approval by the Executive, by which he recognized the existence of the new government, and tendered the sympathies and good wishes of the American people. That message and accompanying documents have been referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations, and that committee has re-ported upon them. Thus far, then, our government is committed to the sentiments expressed in these resolutions, the subject is before us in proper official form; and the question assumes a new and more forcible aspect. Before the receipt of this message, the resolutions stood entirely on their own merits-that is to sayresolutions stood entirely on their own merits—that is to say— they presented the isolated question of the propriety of their pas-sage, and their rejection could have received no other interpreta-tion by the evilized world, than that of a doubt of the propriety of passing them at this time. But now, their rejection will oper-ate as a censure upon the conduct of Mr. Rush; and to censure him, would amount well night to a censure of the French people. I am sure the American Senate is prepared for no act, which might even he tortured into such a construction. I trust also, that this message will produce the same effect upon the mind of the honorable Senator from Connecticut, [Mr. Baldwin,] and upon the minds of those who agree with him, that it has upon my own For his leading objection to the resolutions was, that it was the province of the Executive to take the initiative in proceedings of this character. That has now been done. The only question then is, do we really feel in our hearts the sentiments expressed in these resolutions? Do we rejoice at the creation of a republic on the ruins of a monaroby in France? If the have those feelings, we have the unquestionable right to express them here or elsewhere; and if both houses of Congrass concer in them, we may embody them into the form of resolutions, and offer them to France accepts the right and the properties of the properties of the resolution in the form of resolutions, and offer them to France accepts the right and the viewe the deep solutions. honorable Senator from Connecticut, [Mr. Baldwin,] and upon to cheer her in her hour of trial, and to evince the deep solicitude of the American people in behalf of the cause of republican liberty And can there be a doubt that such are the feelings and wishes of the people? What mean these public gatherings? What mean the almost unanimous expressions of the press throughout the wide extent of our country? They are but the echoes of the What mean popular voice. But the honorable Senator from New Jersey, [Mr. DAYTON,]

But the house sate to the propiety of passing these resolutions on more ground. He says it was the larowell advice of Washam, that we should avoid all interference with European politics, and adhere strictly to the policy of non-intervention. It rue, sir; and adhere strictly to the policy of non-intervention it rue, sir; and the same policy was promulgated again, in a form more authentic, by Mr. Monroe in 1823, when he proclaimed that ny attempt on the part of European nations, to extend their system of monarchy to the States of this continent, would be regarded in no other light than as the exhibition of no unfriendly disposition towards the United States. This policy thus proclaimed has received the sueucion of all parties in the United States, and I bazzard nothing in asserting that it is considered flut that States, and I have been supported by the support of the states of the stat

"that is the right of the people, to alter or abolish their form of government, laying its foundation on such principles, and organizing its powers in such form, as to them shall seem most likely to effect their safety and happiness." The people of France are but exercising this great right which, for the henft of mankind, was established by the struggles of our revolution of independence. We, therefore, do not intorfere with the politics or relations of any nation, by adopting the resolutions under consideration. We do not take sides with France against any antagonist powers. No, sir, it is a centest between the people of France and monarchy—a contest between tyranny and freedom—despotism and republican-ism. In sympathizing with France, and tendering to her our congratulations, we do not depart from our true attitude of congratuations, we do not depart from our true attitude of neutrality, violate no principle of our government, nor commit our-selves to any line of policy for the future, in relation to that nation. All that can be said is, (and who will not glory in it?) that in a mighty struggle between the principles of liberty and those of op-

mighty struggle between the principles of liberty and those of op-pression, we take sides with the former against the latter. It seems to me, therefore, that the apprehensions of the honorable Senator are not founded on a just view of the question which these resolutions present to the Senate.

In relation to the two sets of resolutions which have reported by the Committee on Foreign Relations. The objection which has been made to the phraseology of the first resolution of the Senator from Ohic, [Mr. ALLEN,] has no influence upon my mind. I allude particularly to the words "consolidate liherty." To such verhal criticism, I pay no more respect, than that which is due to the literary taste of gentlemen who may think proper to indulge in this exercise. But there is an assertion of fact, in this resolution of the Senator from Ohio, which I should he pleased to see modified; and it is this. The congratulations of the American see modified; and it is this. The congratulations of the American people are hereby tendered to the people of France, upon their success" in their recent efforts to consolidate liberty, &c. I prefer the phraseology of the resolution of the Committee on Foreign Relations, because more strictly in conformit with the existing state of things. In that, we congratulate the people of France on their success thus far. Now it is true, that a provisional government has been organized, that it has decreed a republican ernment has been organized, that it has decreed a republican form of government, and ordered a convention of delegates of the people to assemble to carry that decree into execution. This pro-vious agreement has been recognized by the United States and other powers. But the great work of framing a constitution, and setting the political machinery in motion is yet to be done. It is, therefore, more strictly correct to say, that France has thus far succeeded, than that she has actually succeded, in establishing a repub ceeded, than that she fas actually succeeded, in establishing a republican form of government. But whilst I prefer the resolutions of the committee, yet I can very cheerfully vote for those of the Senator from Ohio, if the motion now pending to substitute the former for the latter, should not meet the views of the Senate. I I think it due, however, to the deliberation which should character-think the contract of the senator of the senato

sia, Prussia, and Austria to abuse, and discourage, and perhaps op-pose. But will they not do this in any event, so soon as they may pose. But will they not do this in any event, so soon as believe their interest, or the cause of despotism require it? Will they be restrained by our silence? Can they gaze with apprehation or unconcern upon the heavings of a great political volcane, whose throes may subvert every throne in Europe? No, sir, whenever

unconcern upon the heaviegs of a great political volcano, whose threes may subvert every throne in Europe ? No, sir, whenever they shall feel themselves in danger by the conviction, that France is likely to succeed, their marmarings will be stirred; and I shall be most agreeably disappointed if all Europe be not involved in bloody revolutions. Under these circumstances, I feel that France will gain infinitely more by our congratulations, than by our selection. It will encourage the hearts of her people, and make selection in the state of the property desire their failure; yet we, the model republic of the plocation of the property desired their failure; yet we, the model republic of the plocation of the property of the prope tion of a republican government on the ruins of fallen monarchy— it aims at the elevation of the masses from the degradation of vas-

sals, to the dignity of freemen.

But, sir, there are many features in the late revolution, calculated to inspire us with sanguine hope of its ultimate success. The manner in which the revolution had its origin, is highly encourag-ing. It did not spring from the machinations of a few ambitous ing. It did not spring from the maninations of a few ambitious leaders, seeking their own aggrandizement, by appeals to the pre-judices and passions of the populance. It is not the offspring of infuriated and lawless faction. But it is the spontaneous moveinfuracter and naviess faction. But it is the spontaneous move-ment of the people. It is not the angry surges of the ocean which are dashed to pieces by the fury of the element that puts them in motion, but rather the mighty ground swell, whose heaving hillows

originate in the profound depths of popular feeling and sentiment, at once irresistible and sublime in their motion, hearing before them all opposition. It is the people, struggling for the rights of the people; and, sr, where is the power on earth that can bring successful resistance to 36,000,000 of freemen, minimated and fired by the invincible resolve to shake off the fetters of oppression? Monarchs may gravel and snart-Mussia, Prussia, and Austria, may look with fearful impatience upon the scene, and old England in hear heart may contemplate it with scene; but, sit, this movement. box with least an impactive opin the scele, and oil Laginao in the her heart may contemplate it with score; hat, sir, this movement in France will awaken such a sensation in the pepular heart of all Europe, that these powers will be busily employed in bracing their own thrones against the convulsions of this political eathquake. But, sir, there is another remarkable feature in this revolution which insir, there is another remarkable feature in this revolution which in-spires me with strong hope of its ultimate success. That is, the spirit with which it has thus far been conducted. Where else, in the history of the world can you find a solitary example, of a ravo-lution earried on with the rapidity with which this has been accom-plished, which has been attended with so little bloodshed, so little violence, so little rapine, so little plander, so little robbery, sol the insubcrimation? I Looking alone to the great and noble end in the insubordination? Looking alone to the great and noble end in view—their enancipation from the thraldom of royal oppression—the French people in the utmost fury of their excitement, had sorupulous regard to the rights of property, the claims of helpless scrapiones regard to the rights of property, the claims of neighbors innocence, and the obligations of burnanity. Are people mad who are governed by a spirit like this? Shall they be called "an infinitated moh," blinded by range? Sr, if they were mad, there was a method in their madness, and as high unity of design in all their actions, which exhibit the inspiration that fired their bosoms in the cause of liberty.

I will call the attention of the Senate to one striking fact illustrative at once of the origin and operation of the spirit to which I have referred. It has also been alluded to by the Senator from Louisiana, [Mr. Downs.] It is the fact, that amidst the most intense excitement of the people, they were not forgetful of the claims of religion, or of the deference due to its ministers. I hold in my hand an authentic account, published in the Baltimore Sun of the 3rd inst., of the treatment received by a Priest, when it was ne-cessary for him to make his way along the crowded streets of Paris. It proves the presence of a power, which, though invisible, is exercising a potential and salutary influence upon the minds of the people. But the statement speaks for itself, and I heg leave to read it to the Senate.

The read it to the Scinato.

Religious Definisor THE Exvolution.—The following interesting letter appears in the Can.

Religious Definisor THE Exvolution.—The following interesting letter appears in the Can.

In the Can.

On Thomday, at two o'clock in the menning, I left, in the cotame of an elecisation, the outside of the control of the desiration of the Can.

On Thomday, at two o'clock in the menning, I left, in the cotame of a section of the control of the control

The moral which is conveyed by this graphic letter, is of the deepest interest and cannot fail to sustain well founded hope, that a people obedient to such reverence for religion, in an hour of such excitement will succeed in their high and noble purposes. It is a matter of sincere congratulation, that the Catholic church, which matter of sincere congratuation, that the cannot courte, when is the prevailing church of France fully acquiesces in the new state of things and lends its mighty influence to the cause of free principles. The following manifesto from Count de Montalembert, as an exposition of the attitude of the Catholics of France, towards the new order of things, is deeply interesting in this connexion. I find it also in the Baltimore Sun, as taken from the Paris Univers.

and it also in the Bultimore Sun, as taken from the Paris Univers.

"I the midst of a revolution, the Charch remains strange, immortal as truth, the
erry, and justice. Under the Remblic, as under the Morarchy, we must defend,
policy of it, on the cost of the strange of the Morarchy we must defend
policy of it, on the cost side, the summouser sepect with which the victorion propheteral region; and on the other, the firm resolution expressed by the Proximan Borne
terral region; and on the other, the firm resolution expressed by the Proximan Borne
terral region; and the strange of the st

reached up this sovereign independence of religious interests, will aid the French Caanded ap Julis soverings incorporated or irrupous sucress, with an the Friend U.S. of the min as right to ablicts. They will therefore descend distribute areas, with their follow critices, to lay claim to all political and social thereties which are to be deformed, the inhealthen partitionary of the properties of the

In addition to this, the provisional government have publicly called upon the clergy of all denominations, to implore the blessings of the Supreme Ruler of the Universe, upon the people of France, and to be peak wisdom from above, to guide the deliberations of that assembly, to which, will soon be committed their future destiny. Sir, these features of this great popular movement in France, are calculated to encourage in our minds the most san guine anticipations of success. How different a spectacle does it present, when contrasted with the revolution of 1790, in which the fatal experiment was made, of discarding all religion, and eleva-ting Reason to the throne of the Divinity.

But, sir, we also find that which affords high encouragement, in the character of their decrees. These decrees are very numerous, entering into detail upon many subjects which look rather strange. emering into octain upon many suspects when now rather strategy, and some of them, rather langlable to us, who are unaccustomed to the order of things sought to be abolished. Dut whom we examine them carefully, we find that they embrace the great principles of free government and rational liberty. Time would fail to run over them all. I will notice behaviors that the property of the property of

run over them all. I will hottee but two or three.

One remarkable decree, is that abolishing all titles of nobility
How strangely this must sound in the ear of European monarchists But to us, the principle on which it rests is familiar as household But to us, the principle on which it rests is familiar as household words; for it is but another form of declaring that all men are equal, as did our fathers, when they "pledged their lives, their lortnnes, and their sacred honor," upon the altur of liberty. This proposition lies at the foundation of all free government, and France is the first nation of Europe, that has ever ventured the bold experiment of proclaiming it to the world.

They have also decreed the freedom of the press and of thought.

What fearful weapons are these, against every form of oppression Freedom of thought, comprehends all that is valuable in political reegon of thought, comprehensian that is variable in pointed, civil, and religious toleration. It was this that kindled the fires of the reformation, and dispelled the dark night of ignorance and superstition, which shrouded the world in the fifteenth century. In persurion, word stronger the world in the filteenth century. In the panoply of the invincible armor of a free press and freedom of thought, liberty shall march round the globe, level every throne of despotism and emancipate the nations of the earth. They will be to France, what they are to America, the pillars of the republican

They have also decreed universal suffrage. What a stride in ! What a striking contrast this presents under the reign of Louis Philippe! With the cause or freedom! the order of things. population of 36,000,000, only about 250,000, enjoyed the right of suffrage. Now every freeman of twenty-one years of age, in the whole republic, is to be entitled to the elective franchise. Pop-

ulrtion alone, is to be the basis of representation.

We might examine many more of these decrees, and we should

find them embodying some great principle, of pepular freedom or civil justice. From all which it is manifest, that the revolution or civil justice. is no vague and aimless event, originating in mere enthusiasm, and propelled by a spirit of blind and lawless faction. But that it has its origin in the hearts of the people, and is directed to the accom-plishment of a great result, upon sound and rational principles. Therefore, we have more reason to hope for success than to fear defeat; and we may, with entire safety and propriety, tender our sincere congratulations to France, without the apprehension of national mortification at witnessing the failure of her noble effort.

Sir, as a free people, familiar with the blessings of liberty by their enjoyment, we cannot be insensible to any effort, in any part of the globe, to overturn monarchies and despotisms, and stead, to form governments based upon republican principles. We have felt and expressed sympathy with the struggles of other na-But when we remember that it is France, whom we are called upon to congratulate, it awakens leelings of more lively sympathy. It is the home of La Fayette, the mention of whose name excites the most pleasant and grateful reminiscences. France was our friend in our own contest for independence, and to her we have ever been united in the bonds of amity. Shall we be unmoved and silent while she grapples with the power of iron-hearted monarchy? I will not, however, indulge in the declamation which these reminiscences are calculated to provoke. Nor will I deny them their legitimate influences upon my mind, in deciding upon the vote which I shall give upon these resolutions.

I had hoped these resolutions, or at least the sentiments contained in them, would have been adopted by the Senate without discussion. Still I respect the opinions of those Senators who en-tertain honest doubts, as to the propriety of such action at this time. But, sir, I have no language with which to express my regret, not to use a stronger term, that there are certain gentlemen a subject always delicate and exciting, and in my humble judgment, disrespectful to the feelings of those Senators who represent the South. I remember that, on the very morning, when a venerable patriot was called from the scene of his labors here, to his reward above—when we were about to engage in paying the tribute of national respect to his memory—when we were called to gaze upon the coffin and the winding sheet, and to contemplate the realties of the future world, this agitating question of slavery was thrust upon the consideration of the Senate,

Mr. BALDWIN .- If the Senator alludes to the resolution Mr. BALDWIN.—If the Senator almost so the resolution, which I had the honor to introduce, I will say to bit that he is which I had the honor between the honor between the testing and read without any action being had upon it, and without any desire on my part that it should then be taken up. Senators will bear me witness that I was anxious to nostpone informally the consideration of the subject of the resolution, in order that I might have the opportunity of discussing it on some future occasion. This was in accordance with an arrangement which had been made between the Senator from Alabama and myself. I am glad that the Senator has alluded to it now, because it has enabled me to correct a misapprehension which has elsewhere been made.

Mr. JOHNSON.—I am also glad that the occasion for explanation has occurred, for I think some explanation was necessary. It was the character of the collequial debate which occurred on the occasion alluded to, between the Senator from Alabama, (Mr. BAGBY.) and the Senator from Connecticut-

Mr. BAGBY .- The Senator is under a misapprehensica in regard to the occurrence in the debate to which he alludes. I be-lieve that the resolution was then taken up in the morning business, and a motion was made to pass it by informally failing in that the Senator began the discussion of it. It was at this stage of the proceedings that I adverted to the understanding that had previously taken place between the Senator from Conne ctiont and myself, and said that I felt bound to adhere to it.

Mr. BALDWIN .- The explanation of the honorable Senator Mr. BALDWIN.—The explanation of the honorable Senator is right except in one particular. He says, that "failing in the motion to postpone informally, the Senator commenced a discussion of the resolution." The fact is, a motion was made by the Senator from Mississippi to lay the resolution on the table; I urged upon the Senate that it should be postponed informally, and commenced stating the arrangement that had been made between the Senator from Alabama and myself. The Senator Alabama and properly the senator of the senator o rupted me by saying that the motion was not debatable. I appealed to the courtesy of the Senater, net to allow me to debate the resolutuion, but simply to make the explanation in regard to the arrangesuon, our simply to make the explanation in regard to the arrange-ment. The Senator replied that it was not a matter of courtesy or something to that effect, and upon that having recently come into the Senate, and not supposing that I had the same right to persevere in making personal explanations that some Senators assume, I desisted.

Mr. FOOTE.-With the consent of the Senator from Georgia, neg leave to offer a few remarks in response to what has just fallen from the Senator from Connecticut, whose allusion has both too distinct and pointed, to be allowed to pass unnoticed or unrebuked. The Senator complains that I did not consent to his unrebuked. The Senator complains that I did not consent to his addressing the Senate, when, at the instance of that gentleman, the Wilmot Proviso was before us, and I had moved its indefinite postponement, (on the memorable morning of Mr. Adams 'fune-ral,) and when I certainly had good reason to believe, that he incanded to torture us, and afflict the country by a long, factions, disorganizing harangue—and says, now, that he only rose to make the constraints of the provided in the provided an explanation, without designing to speak at length, in support of his peculiar notions concerning our domestic justitutions in the The Scnator says that when he appealed to my courtesy, I replied, 'this is not a question of courtesy." This is true, sir: and I adhere to what I then said. The question which I supposed that the Schator wished to discuss, is with no southern man a question of courtesy, merely. It is one which involves some of our dearest interests, our strongest sensibilities, and puts in peril the safety of the Union itself. It is no question for experimental declamation, or dem gogueical parade. It is not true in point of fact, though, that the Senator from Connecticut, on the occasion referexplained his then purpose, as he has now explained it, or surely I should have had no hestation in permitting even him to be heard. The case is simply this. The Senator from Connecticut, for reasons best known to himself, has thought proper several times to go out of his way to afford to southern Senators, his views on the subject of domestic slavery, an institution of which he does not the subject of domestic stavery, an institution of wind ne close assumed that a great cally, and concerning rearrange as a smell that a great call and concerning rearrange as the same distribution of the second rearrange and the second representation or posterity. The bearing and language of the Senator upon this delicate and exciting topic, have been more than once, fierce and menacing. I know, and the Senato knew too well, the high powers of the Senator as an orator, his volcanic energy as a webernent and soul-rousing declaimer—the resistless majesty of his manner—his astonishing command of felicitous and glerious figures of speech—his herculean potency as a reasoner, and his wondrous capacity for wielding with destructive skill, all the multitudinous weapons of wit, and humor, and sarcasm, to deem it at all safe to permit him to conjure up a hurricane in this hall, whose just effects mights be to shake the Union to its foundations, and bring down upon the devoted South, all the horrors which our enemies have long desired us to experi-I repeat it, sir, I did say that this is no question of courteence. sv; I might have said in addition, it is a question of social safety and, with my consent, no man in the Republic shall ever be permit ted, either here or elsewhere, to "scatter fire-brands, arrows and death," expecting, after a while to exclaim: "Am I not in sport?"

The Senator says, that being but a new member of the S he did not deem himself authorized to press his claim to a hearing as the audience was refused him, so pertinaciously as some other Senators have thought proper to do. I understand the Senator well, and were I ten times duller than I chance to be, I could not fail to understand his allasion perfectly. He designs to revive in the memory of Senators the unfortunate collision between a distinguished Senator from North Carolina and myself, which, though marked at the time with more or less unkindness on both sides, has, I am glad to know, resulted in no sentiments of permanent hostility or alienation on either side, or any feelings whatever which could not easily give way to that cordial friendship and reciprocal eateen which, I rejoice to know, characterize our relations to-wards each other at the present moment. What right has the modest and peace-loving Senator from Connecticut to allude to this affair at all? From what order of social morals, or self upso abruptly in an affair, which in no way stood connected with him? Really the Senator is about the most aminhle, and modest, and unpresuming Senator I ever saw; and I doubt not be will deseend to the remotest posterity as "the modest Senator from Connecticut." I rejoice to recollect though, that the Senator's modesty has not been quite potential enough to restrain him very strikingly as one of the speakers of this body—his modesty has not yet altegether frozen his powers of utterance, or caused that eloquent tongue of his to cleave obstinately to the roof of his month, or even prevented him from delivering a very considerable number, (when not under such special inspiration as it is his high lot sentences to experience and evince.) of the collects, cruests, most sentences to experience and evince, of the collects, cruests, most except and dignified as the United States Senator.

Mr. BALDWIN —I wish to state to the Senate that in the remarks I made, I did not intend to make the slightest allusion to the Senator from Mississippi; nor according to my present recollection, have I ever made the slightest allusion to that Senator in debate, neither is it my intention over to make any allusion to bim.

Mr. FOOTE .- The Senator says he did not allude to me, and "that to the best of his recollection, he has never alluded to me in debate," and goes still farther, and announces the momentous fact that he does not intend ever to allude to me hereafter. Well, sir, this is the most striking and ludicrous case of short or convenient memory. I tell the Senator and I gravely urge upon the Senate, that not only did the Senator from Connecticut allude to me, (a fact which he has ventured to deny,) but that it is utterly impossible that he could have intended to allude to any other per-He referred most explicitly to a transaction, well recollected in the Senate, with which my honorable colleague, who is present, well knows he had no particular connection, to wit., the motion to subject the Wilmot Proviso amendment to indefinite postpone-ment; and, looking earnestly at me, alluded to "the Senator from Mississippi" by name—complained vehemently of what was my act, and the act of no one else-cites even the very words I used on the occasion brought under review, and which no other person on the occasion brought under review, and which no other person on earth did use, to wit, that the question then before the Senate was "not a question of courtesy;" and now, when I respond to him, the modest Senator from Connecticut has the audacity to declare, in full Senate, that he had no thought of alluding to me at The predicament in which the Senater from Connecticut stands involved by his temerity is such as very much to assuage any mertification which it was natural for me to experience at bearing him announce, with such profound and imposing gravity, that he did not intend to allude to me hereafter! I am of opinion that the Senator's sagacity has been very creditably displayed in the adoption of this resolution of abstaining in future from all allusion to me on the floor of the Senate. Unless his powers as a controversialist can be hereafter very much improved, I shall certainly derive ne satisfaction from his selection of me as an autagonist with whom to do battle on this lofty arens; and I would admonish him to be somewhat more certain of his facts hereafter, before he ventures to assail the feeblest member of this body. It is hardly necessary for me to say to the Senator from Connecticut, that my reputation as a private gentleman and as a public man, is not all reputation as a private generating and as a point man, is not an dependent upon his notice of me here or elsewhere. I hold neither his smiles nor frowns to be "guerdon of a glorious lot;" nor is it even possible for the Senator either to do any thing, or to refrain from doing any thing, which could either affect my standing with the public, or come within cannon shot of my sensibilities. One thing though is certain, and let it never be forgotten, the Senator verred that he did not allude to me on a particular occasion, and that he had never heretofore alluded to me; and I have proved by evidence irresistible, that the contrary of what the Senator avers the only correct statement, of which the facts of the case, as attested by our own records and reports, can possibly admit.

Mr. BALDWIN.—Does the Senator mean to say that I alluded to what passed between him and the Senator from North Carolina's Why, it never occurred to me for a moment! In reply to the Senator from Georgia, I alluded to the fact that I had requested the Senator from Georgia, I alluded to the fact that I had requested the Senator from Mississippi to withdraw his motion to lay upon the table. But it never entered into my imagination to allude to any thing that had occurred between him and the Senator from North thing that had occurred between him and the Senator from North

Mr. JOHNSON .- I must now claim my right to the floor.

Mr. FOOTE.—Allow me a single minute. The Senator from Connecticut said at first that he did not intend to allude to me at all. He now confesses that he did. "In reply to the Senator from Georgia," he now says, "I alluded to the fact that I had re-

quested the Senator from Mississippi to withdraw his motion to lay upon the table." So he did allude to me after all! But he declares farther, that he did not design to refer to the affair between clares farther, that he did not design to refer to the affair between the class of the class o

Mr. JOHNSON—I congratulate the Senate upon the termination of this dialogue. I certainly did not intend to do the Senator from Connecticut any injustice. It seems I am mistaken in supposing the resolutions touching slavery to which I alluded were not introduced that day, but were called up in their order, having been previously offered. I had not the honor of a seat in this chamber when they were presented to the Senate, and not having heard when they were presented to the Senate, and not having heard they were presented to the Senate, and not having heard limits the permitted to say that the course of that Senators, in all the earnestness which he exhibited in reference to the disposition of the resolutions, struck my mind as being in bad taste, to say the least. And judging from the expressions of other Senators on the eccasion, I am sure I was not singular in this impression. I remember well the earnest remonstrance of the honorable Senator from Texas, JMr. Resk, Jagainst so exciting a topic, at a time from I texas, JMr. Resk, Jagainst so exciting a topic, at a time from I texas, JMr. Resk, Jagainst so exciting a topic, at a time from I texas, JMr. Resk, Jagainst so exciting a topic, at a time from I texas, JMr. Resk, Jagainst so exciting a topic, at a time from I texas, JMr. Resk, Jagainst so exciting a topic, at a time from I texas, JMr. Resk, Jagainst so exciting a topic, at a time from I texas, JMr. Resk, Jagainst so exciting a topic, at a time from East, JMr. Resk, Jagainst so exciting a topic, at a time from East, JMr. Resk, Jagainst so excited the explanation of the Senator from One of the honorable Senator from One of the decrines which he maintains and desires to be adopted and any ger of the decrines which he maintains and desires to be adopted and because rates to be concreted to the imputation of seeking to violate the solematities of the occasion referred to, by the discussion of the subject at that time.

But, sir, I cannot be mistaken as to the character of the amendment to these resolutions by the Senator from New Hampshire, [Mr. Half.] If there were any doubt as to the amendment itself, the speech by which ho has sought to sustain it, discloses fully the objectwhich it contemplates. Did that Senator suppose that such a movement would receive the sanction of this body!—a movement which congratulates the French people upon a decree of the provisional government for the emancipation of slavery in occasion! Have we any right to interfere in any manner whatever with the internal affairs of France or any other nation? Is it a matter of any concern to us whether the new republic shall or shall not tolerate involuntary servitude? Sir, slavery, from its very nature, is, and must always be, a municipal regulation; and I deep ly deplore any attempt to seduce the government of the United States to express any sentiment in relation to its existence in this cannot be constructed to the sentence of the sympathies of the American people in behalf of France-to the sympathies of the American people in behalf of France-to the sympathies of the American people in behalf of France-to the sympathies of the American people in behalf of France-to the sympathies of the American people in behalf of France-to the sympathies of the American people in behalf of France-to the sympathies of the American people in behalf of France-to the sympathies of the American people in behalf of France-treatment in the late of the American people in behalf of France-treatment in the late of the American people in behalf of France-treatment of the sympathies of the American people in behalf of France-treatment in the late of the American people in behalf of France-treatment in the late of the American people in behalf of France-treatment in the late of the American people in behalf of France-trea

slavery, worthy of our congratulations. Sir, if there be any one feature calculated to create a doubt in my mind of the final success of this great movement it is this. It looks like seeking to accomplish too much at a single blow—it looks a little as if the spirit of plish too much at a single blow—It looks a little as it the spirit of fanaticism is not entirely absent from the popular mind of France. But this shall not produce the least hesitation in my mind to vote for these resolutions. I should not have alluded to the amendment of the Seastor from New, Hampshire, but that I feel it my duty of the search roll new Hampshire, but that I per it my duty as a southern man, on all occasions, not only to record my vote against the principle which it contains, but to express as far as I can find adequate language, my utter condemnation of the spirit of fanaticism in which it originates.

Six, I repeat, our government is already committed to the senti-ments expressed by these resolutions. It is committed by the laudable and manly conduct of Mr. Rush, and its approval by the Executive. Their rejection is condemnation of our minister; and I sincerely believe, migast to the universal sentiments of the American people. Can we hesitate, can we for a moment doubt the propriety of adopting these resolutions. For one, I cannot, I am prepared to vote for either set of resolutions, and as between the two, I prefer those reported by the Committee on Foreign Rela-

Mr. PHELPS.—I shall trespass but a few moments upon the attention of the Senate, and I would not have made any remarks at all were it not for the motion of the chairman of the Committee on Foreign Relations, that the vote is to be taken to-day. The attention of the Senate has been directed, in the course of the debate, to a variety of co'lateral matter which renders it necessary that I should make some explanation with regard to my own posi-tion and the course which I am about to take. I do not often tion and the course which I am about to take. I do not out trouble the Senate with explanations of my votes, and for the most obvious reason that, in general, the course which members take not likely to be misconceived. Upon the present occasion, however, some explanation seems to be necessary. I do not desire be set down as hostile to the advancement of theral principles, nor be set down as hostile to the advancement of liberal principles, nor as advacating the perpetuity of despottem or despotte institutions. I rejoice in the progress of liberal principles. My attachment to liberty has been confirmed by the experience and i-effection of my life; but on the other hand, I do not desire to wincess the supra-macy of the mobil. I must pusse before I pronounce a decided opinion apon a revolution so unexpected and coming like an earth-quake. Who amongst use an anticipate the results of such a requake. Who amongst us can anticipate the results of such a revolution? You, sir, and I are old enough to have witnessed revolution after revolution. We have seen the world in commotion. We have witnessed a revolution in France by which the sympathies of the American people were greatly excited, and which proceeded step by step, from anarchy to an iron despotism. We saw afterwards the despoism that had threatened Europe and the world without proceedings of the second without processing the second with the control with the world without processing the second with the se We saw afterwards the despotism that had threatened Europe and the world tumbled into insignificance by this spirit of revolution. Now we behold the very man who was clevated to the throne by the revolutionary movement of the French people, driven forth a wanderenit of this revolution. Others may be confident, but before I express any opinion I desire to be permitted to consult my own judgment. That the revolution may result in good is my ferrent prayer. I will rejoice as much as any other man upon this floor to see it result in the establishment of a constitutional government to see it result in the establishment of a constitutional government like our own over a people like our own; a people not only blessed with free institutions, but capable of sustaining them. True, I perceive great differences between the present movement in France and those which have preceded it. There is not now that disorganization of society—the wretched philosophy—the spirit of vengeance—which characterized the first revolution; but still, I vengeance—which characterized the first revolution; but shill, 1 repeat, I must be allowed to pause before I pronounce a decided judgment upon the character of the present movement.

When we come to examine this revolution—I will not say a re-

volution by a mob but by a collection of the masses-and find that its first feature was the sacking of the Tuilleries and the emptying its first feature was the sacrang of the claim, I do not see a very favorable indication of a fortunate result. We have not yet seen a government established. nate result. We have not yet seen a government estantisnen, therefore, I desire to wait until we ascertain whether this violent commotion results in peace, good order, stable government, and security of person and property. I desire to wait until I see indications that the experiment is idealy to be successful. Mere revolutionally the successful and the experiment is the successful. The successful and the suc of this people. A few years since the sympathies of the American people and of the American Congress were expressed in behalf of the South America States. I need not go into an examination of the result of that resolution. It is now one of the strong arguments in support of this war, that we shall take into our hands the government of this poor miscrable people, incapable of governing themselves, to whom poor miscrable people, incapable of governing themselves, to whom we offered but a few short years ago our heartfelt congratulations upon their success in establishing free and republican institutions. Were we confident that the revolution in France would result in good, in the establishment of liberty and the advancement of the Best interests of France, I would not for one moment defer our action, but I cannot tender our congratulations when the result may attend to the next at all palatable to an American Senate. We form that the summary of the summary and calling themselves a movisional government of a new teach and the summary and calling themselves a movisional government of a new teachests. ment, and calling themselves a provisional government do not constitute such a government as can be recognized as the creation of the people according to any constitutional rules. I trust the national convention may succeed in forming and establishing upon a permanent basis a constitutional government. I have my doubts

as to the success of universal suffrage spread over that population as to the success of universal suffrage apread over that population of thirty millions. I bave my doubts as to the qualifications of the men into whose hands will be entrusted the administration of republican institutions. We are in the midst of an experiment thea, which has never yet succeeded upon the Continent of Europe, and in the face of all experience we are called upon thus precipitately to tender our congranulations. Why, sir, there was a revolution once in England. Charles X, lost his head, a commonwealth was established, and it chaured only while Cronwell lived. With his death the commonwealth came to an end, and royalty was again death the commonwealth came to an end, and royalty was again in the ascendant—for good or for evil—some good grew out of it because the adherents and followers of Cromwell took refuge upon this continent and laid the foundations of the liberty which we now We present in this country the only example of a peopla enjoying self-government and capable of maintaining it. I have yet to learn where the people in Europe are to be found who have yet to rearm where the people in Europe are to be found who have tried this experiment successfully. I repeat the expression of my ferrent desire that the experiment may now succeed in France, and I have alluded to her former attempts to establish free institutions as an admonition to cantion and deliberation in our procedure.

I have thus explained, sir, my position upon this question. I cannot vote for the resolution without such explanation, and I cannot say "no" to this resolution because I cannot be supposed to catertain sentiments adverse to the progress of civil liberty, good government, and human freedom. I vote, therefore—if I vote at all—in favor of the resolution with this explanation, an explanation which I might perhaps have given in fewer words by simply saying, in the language of a distinguished editor, Nous verrons.

Mr. HOUSTON then rose and said that he desired to make a few remarks on the subject before the Senate, but as the hour was late, he would, with the indulgence of the Senate, move an adjournment.

Mr. HANNEGAN .- Will the Senator withdraw his motion for a moment i

Mr. HOUSTON .- Certainly, sir.

Mr. HANNEGAN .- I hope that the Senate will not adjourn but will at once act on one or other of these resolutions. I would call the attention of the honorable gentleman to the fact that on Monday last, by a vote of this body, we refused to conside the resolution of the Senator from Ohio, and the intelligence went out to Europe by the steamer of yesterday. On Saturday next at noon, another steamer sails for Europe, and if we and to-day I am assured that the intelligence will reach the "Cambria" in time to be conveyed by her. Il we do not not to-day, it is certain that our be conveyed by her. If we do not act to-day, it is certain that our action must be deferred till after the meeting of the French National Convention, for the simple reason that many of us are in honor committed to the Scantor from Kentucky, [Mr. Gattien, DEN.] to proceed to the consideration of the Judiciary Bill to morrow and on Saturday. I, myself, and thus committed to the Senator from Missouri, [Mr. Benno, 1] to go with him for the taking up of the California Bill next week. The question then is, action now or not at all.

Mr. HOUSTON.—I diselaim any intention of delaying action on this subject; nor have I the least hesitation in the course which I shall take. I shall tote for the resolution, and intend to give it all the support which it is in my power to extend; but it really seems to me to be impossible to terminate the discussion to-day. The President's message will go by the "Cambrin" and the twill, it is all the second of the control of the feeling o The President's message will go by the "Cambria" and the twill, I am inclined to think, afford a pretty fair indication of the feeling of the American people. In that document, the President has announced that he has recognized and approved the action of our minister in Paris. I therefore renew my motion.

The yeas and nays were called for, but the motion was with-

Mr. UNDERWOOD renewed the motion, and remarked that the argument of the chairman of the Committee on Foreign Relations did not apply, as the joint resolution could not go out in the Cambria. It had first to receive the action of the House of Representatives.

Mr. DOWNS .- The action of the Senate can be conveyed to Europe.

Mr. UNDERWOOD .- That can be communicated by telegraph to-morrow in season to reach the steamer.

Mr. HANNEGAN .- If the Senator from Kentucky will give me leave, I will state, in reply to the remark which he has just made in reference to a telegraphic despatch, that I was apprised lust night, and have been again informed to-day, that in case the resolution passed the Senate to-day, a copy of it will be transmitted to New York by express in time to reach the "Cambria."

Mr. UNDERWOOD .-- I renew my motion.

The yeas and nays being called for and seconded, were ordered and taken with the following result:

YEAS.—Mestr. Badger, Bakkwin, Buder, Greene, Hale, Phelps, Sprance, Bell, Individed a state of the state of t

So the Senate refused to adjourn.

Mr. UNDERWOOD .- I would ask if the motion to postpone the consideration of the subject till the first of June be still pending.

# The PRESIDING OFFICER .- It is not now pending.

Mr. UNDERWOOD .- I remarked the ether day, that France Mr. UNDERWOOD.—I remarked the other day, that France had signally failed to establish a republic. It was not my design, however, to convey the idea that since 1789, France had made no progress in improving her social and political condition. I simply intended to communicate the idea, that in her efforts to establish intended to communicate the leads, that in the current to extannal a republic he had signally failed, and my object now is to prove it, and to state the reason why she has failed. If the report of this debate should ever be read in France, it would give me great pleasure if the few remarks which I intend to make could be consolidered by the French people, for in them, I believe, if they were duly weighed, they would find something to aid them in their effect to exceedible libeary, so, they areast registeries to which I do. effort to establish liberty, as the great principles to which I desire to direct your attention are everywhere absolutely indispensable, alike in the establishment and maintenance of free institu-

It is altogether a mistake to suppose that in regard to the ah-It is altogether a mistage to suppose that in regard or he ar-stract rights of man, the French people—at least a certain portion of them—have not been perfectly well informed since 1789. Since this subject has been presented the other day. I have refreshed my recollection by examing some of the historical works in the Library, and I have found that in all the various constitutions adopted by the French people, the rights of the citizen arc, perhaps, just as clearly defined as they are in the constitution of the United States. The rights of life, property, and personal liberty are fully defined; and in some of these constitutions there is a division of the government into the several departments of legislative, judicial, and Now what is the reason that these constitutions have xecuted? It has been the fault of the people. The not been executed? not neen executed it has been the half of the people. The failure is not traceable to any defect in the principles announced and laid down, but to the individuals whe have been incapable of maintaining these principles and administering the government estimated the t tablished upon these foundations. Allow me to give a few in-stances of the inability of the French people to carry out the prin-ciples which they themselves had embodied in their written constitutions. France adopted a constitutional monarchy by the writstitutions. France adepted a constitution at monatory by the writ-ten constitution of 3d September, 1791, which was the result of three years labor. Under this constitution one legislative or na-tional assembly of seven hundred and fifty-five members, whose unctions were to terminate at the close of every second year, rabilised. This constitution provided for a monavolvy but the Kars was only to regin by the law and by its sanctions. The King might recommend subjects of consideration, but the national assembly only could propose and decree the laws. The power of war and peace and the ratification of treaties were taken from the King and put under the jurisdiction and control of the national assembly. and put under the jurisdiction and control of the industrial assembly. The absolute negative or veto was taken from the King, but he might suspend until the two successive legislatures presented the might suspend he was bound to give his assent. When three successive legislatures deemed amendments of the constitution nesuccessive legislatures deemed amendments of the constitution necessary, a convention or assembly of revision was to be elected for the purpose of amending or reforming the constitution, but their proceedings were to be obligatory without submitting them to the sanction of the King.

On the 1st of October, 1791, the national assembly convened under the new constitution. A decree or law against the emigrants are researched for the ammonal of the King.

under the new constitution. A necree of law against the emigrants was presented for the approval of the King. He refused to give his assent. On the 30th of May, 1792, the assembly decreed the dissolution of the King's guard. On the 20th of June, 1792, an insurrection commenced against the King and constitution, which became perfectly successful on the 10th of August, by two decrees, one suspending the functions of the King and the other ordering the content of the co the convocation of a new national conventien. On the 2d and 3d of September horrible massacres commenced. On the 21st of September the convention held its first session and abolished roy-

alty. On the 25th of September a republic was proclaimed.
Having passed through scenes of horror, on the 24th of June,
1793, a constitution for a republic was presented to the people, berisol, a collectuation of a Figuration was presented to the people, no-ginaling with an excellent declaration of rights in which four terms. "equality, liberty, safety, and property" embraced all. The terms are now reduced to three, "liberty, equality, and fraternity." The constitution of the 24th of Jane, 1783, in place of the King sub-stituted an excentive owned of twenty-four members, to be chosen stituted an excentive owned of twenty-four members, to be chosen by the legislative body from candidates nominated by the electoral by the legislative body from candidates communes by the effection and assembly of the departments. This constitution, it is believed, was never put into operation, but was superseded by a decree of the 10-h of October, 1793, vesting all authority in a committee of the 10" of October, 1793, vesting all authority in a committee of public safety until peace should take place. On the 16th of October, 1793, Marie Antoinette was beheaded. On the 10th of June, 1794, the revulutionary tribunal to punish the "enemies of the people" was created, and this 'ribunal sent to the guildoine all those suspected of being aristocrats. Blood flowed in torrents. The Christian religion was torn down and denounced, the "goddess of Christian religion was torn down and denounced, the "goddess of reason" was set up, and Robespierre celebrated the fete or proces-sion in bonor of the Supreme Being. On the 22d of August, 1795, a new constitution was presented

On the 22d of August, 1789, a new constitution was presented with another excellent hild frights. This constitution made some progress. It divided the legislative body into a council of anoients and the council of five hundred, and problished their members from exercising excentive or judicial functions. The council of anoients was to consist of two hundred and fifty members, not less than forty years of age. The members of the council of five hundred could only approve or reject the resolution of the council of necessary of the council of

in five directors, to be chosen by the council of ancients from ten persons nominated by the council of five hundred. This constitution was adopted by the people, by a vote of 1,057,390 to 49,977, and went into operation.

and went into operation.

Soon, however, the two chambers began to disagree; the directors exercised the most tyrannical, powers; two of the directors, fifty-two members of the councils, and scorces of other citizens were transported to Guiana, in South America. At length, in the midst of every sort of confusion, Bonaparte, in November, 1799, puts an end to the council of five bundred and the directory with the bayonet, and another constitution, on the 13th of December, 1799, was This constitution established the three consuls for promingated. This constitution is statistical that the constitution ten years indefinitely re-eligible, with Napoleon as premier, a senate of eighty members for life, and a tribunate of one hundred nate of eighty memocrs for hie, and a thomase of one nundred members to be kept up by electing a fifth part yearly, its members indefinitely re-eligible, and a legislative body of three bundred mem-bers. By this constitution the consuls alias the government, were bers. By this constitution the consuls across the government, were to propose all laws. The tribinate might discuss them and by a vote adopt or reject. The tribinate were to send three speakers to the legislative body to explain its views in regard to the projects to the legislative bedy to explain its views in regard to the projects of law proposed by the councils and the legislative body was to decide without disensation. The senate was to have a supervision of the acts of the legislative body and of the government, and to decide their constitutionality, and to suggest what laws ought to be passed, and what laws ought to be passed, and what improvements ought to be undertaken. The senate was chiefly an advisury, but not approximately a supervision of the senate was chiefly an advisury, and the senate was chiefly an advisury of the senate and the senate amended the constitution of the he is the first way to be senate and the design of the senate amended the constitution and On the 18th of May, 1804, the senate amended the constitution and made Napoleon Emperor as the head of a new dynasty, and made made Napoleon Emperor as the head of a new dynasty, and made many other changes to establish the empire. Once more, on the 221 of April, 1815, Napoleon 'Emperor by the grace of God and the constitution' gave a long amendment to the organic law. This was during the one huntred days' rein.

On the 44 in of Jime, 1814, Louis the XIIII, after he was placed on the through the days and the constitution, the control of the contro

rions constitutions which the people of France have adopted from time to time. It will be at once perceived that the failure of the French people to establish liberal institutions heretofore has not been owing to their ignorance of the principles of tree government. The grand point to which the attention should be directed is, the necessity of mouve-ting adherence to the principles of free government of the principles of free government of the theoretic properties of the theoretic properties of the theoretic properties of man are involved, at home or abroad, the pravament of the table state of man are involved, at home or abroad, the pravament of the properties of man are involved, at home or abroad, the pravament of the p The grand point to which the attention should be directed is, the necessity of unwavering adherence to the principles of free govple and rule, there is no hope for them; and I wish them to know that as an American Senator that it is my earnest wish that they may so act.

With respect to the resolutions before us, I was of opinion that we should have postponed any action on them until the future had been somewhat more clearly indicated. But it is manifest that been somewhat more clearly modested. Dut it is maniest that the Senate will act immediately. I shall not renew my notion to postpone, which I am informed is no longer pending. I shall vote for the resolution on the ground, that every effort made by any peofor the resolution on the ground, that every effort made by any people to obtain free government does aid in qualifying them for satiating popular institutions. The effort itself, even if unsuccessful, is salutary. It is like the child learning its lesson Various cannot be taught the principles of liberty in a day. We did not so acquire our liberties. Our progress was gradual, until now the principles of free government have taken deep root in the American mind.

If the expression of our sympathy will afford any encourage ment and support to the Fronch people, the profile any encourage-my heart. It is my ferrent prayer, that they may be at this with all my heart. It is my ferrent prayer, that they may be a thin that sprint and these principles, by which alone their success can be anticipated and secured! But in justice to myself, I have deemed it proper to make these remarks in order to exhibit the ground on within I desired a postponement of our action.

Some conversation then took place relative to n few verbal alterations in the resolution reported by the Committee on Foreign Relations, which had been suggested, and in which the chairman expressed his concurrence.

The question being called for hy several Senators, it was taken on the following amendment offered by the Senator from New Hampshire, [Mr. Hale.]

Hampsbure, [Mr. HALE.]
Add in the this, after the word government, these words.
"And manifesting the sineerity of their paprose by instituting measures for the immediate of the republe."
The years and nays were define admiss of the republe."
The years and nays were faint admiss of the republe of the republe. The republe of the republe

Mr. CASS .- Mr. President, I do not mean to exhibit so much MY, CASS.—311 Fresteen, I an onto mean to extinct so mace want of text, as to make a formal speech upon this subject, when the Seante is evidently impatient to come to a decision. I have but little to say, and that little I shall say briefly. It concerns rather the preference, which I have for the resolution of the Seantor from Ohio, over the resolutions of the committee, than the general topic under discussion to which there appears to be little

What do we propose to do sir? To congratulate the French peowhat do we propose to use? To congratuate the French people upon the liberty which they have just acquired, and the free principles they have established as the basis of their government. We believe that our congratulations at this time will not only be acceptable to them, but useful to the great cause of freedom throughout the world. This tribute, from the oldest and unfortunately I may add, from almost the only republic free from internal dissentions, to a great nation just entering into the career of self-government, will be received and welcomed in France as a proof government, will be received and welcomed in France as a proof of interest and solicitude, nuturally arising out of the past, and encouraging for the future. And especially will it be acceptable at the communication of the product of the problem of the product of the problem of the product more imperative by the discussion in which we are now engaged.

We cannot halt in our course, and withhold our congratulations. without giving the most serious offence to the French people, and without in fact announcing to the world that the struggle in which they are engaged, will terminate unfortunately, and that they are unfit for those political blessiogs, which their fathers aided our own to acquire, and which we hope will go down unimpaired to the latest posterity. And what is the objection to the annunciation by Congress of that sympathy which the American people feel so deeply, and express so plainly? I see none sir. None at all. There is no internal dispute in France as to its government. There Incre and internal unspirate if raince as of the government. There is no internal unspirate if raince as the government. The continuous control of the control of the control of the control of the family of the Bourbons passed into bistory, as rauch as the dynasty of the younger branch of the family of the Bourbons passed into bistory, as rauch as the dynasty of the Pharoabs. The wise man tells us, that there is a time for all things. These Ine wise man feits its, toat treer is a time for air tings. Ince-things have bad their time, and that time has passed away. It is with the years beyond the flood. The people of France have sumed that power which belongs to then, and I hope and 'trust they will exercise it wisely, and provide for the establishment of a government protecting the rights of all, and securing internal peace and social order.

I prefer the original resolution of the Senator from Ohio to that reported by the committee. I do not think it is liable to the verbal criticism with which it has been assailed. I think its meaning as clear and obvious. If louded our congratulations upon the successful efforts of the French people to consolidate or strengthen liberty by the establishment of its just principles. This is definite and true, and its great merit in my eyes is, that it throws no doubt more than the contract of the upon the future. And because the other resolution does so, is one principal reason why I object to it. It speaks of what has been done "thus far," and uses other terms of uncertainty.

Mr. President, doubts hang over this revolution, as they hang over all the works of man. But it seems to me it would be in very bad taste in a public act of sympathy and congratulation like this, bad taste in a public act of sympathy and congratulation like this, to speak in heistating terms, and to express our doubts at the very moment we tender our good wishes. Certainly the French people are making a great experiment, and how long since we were making one? How long since our own government was an experiment, rather than experience? Mr. Jefferson called it so in his inaugural address, and here in this very Senate, in this sanctuary of liberty, since I have had a seat here, more than once have we heard the most dismal forebodings, the darkest auguries, the wailing, if not the warning-cry, that the death-knell of liberty was tolling, and that we had little else to do, but to prepare her grave. If no nation is to be congratulated upon its progress in If no nation is to be congratulated upon its progress in grave. the principles of free government, till no clouds of uncertainty rest upon its future, we must content ourselves with being silent spectators of the great and interesting events around us, shutting

spectators of the great and interesting events around as, shutting our hearts to all sympathy, and taking counsel from dishonorable enution, and not from rational hope.

Our desire is to congratulate the French people upon what they have actually done, leaving to Him who holds in his hand the fate of nations. Io guide their inture destiny by his own good pleasure. They have done enough to merit congratulations from every him an heing who loves liberty, or who hopes for its egopyment by the nations of the earth. They have resisted oppression; a series fit only for the honds preparing for them, the least of which would have roused up twenty millions of Americans, as one man, to fight the battle of liberty—and to gain it. They have everturned the late government and established one of their own, and with a spirit of wisdom and moderation, which, under all the circumstanthe late government and established one of their own, and with espirit of wisdom and moderation, which, under all the circumstances, has been rarely equalled in the world. The act of the provision government—the temporary Fourit of July declaration, I may call it, of the French people lays down many of the just principles of human freedom, which will find a responsive echoi in this country.

I fully agree with the bonorable Seataer from Kenucky, TMr. WINDERWOOD, I in his excellent remarks, upon this branch of

the subject. Whatever may be the result of this movement, the cause of freedom must gain by it. Nations, which have long slumbered in the quiet of despotism, cannot suddenly awake and wisely exercise the new power they find in their possession. Time and experience, and knowledge, are necessary to teach us how to guard general principles by just securities; and while sustaining the rights of all, how to check the passions of each. But, if every struggle is a trial, it is also a lesson. Something is learned at every step. The movement cannot be long either stationary or retrograding. Since the great revolution of 1789, the French people have passed through many trying scenes. They had much to learn, and they have learned much. They have shown, at any rate, that they are not fit for monarchy, or rather that monarchy rate, that they are not fit for monarchy, or rather that monarchy is not for them. Since that period there have been, I think, twelve or thirteen fundamental changes in the government, about one to every five years. And in the present century, there have been seven different phases of monarchical government, including the temporary and permanent consulships, both of which belonged essentially to that class of power. Now, what chance is there, that such a form of authority can survive the attacks to which it must and will be exposed; especially considering the rapidly avancing options of the French people! The many the contraction of periment of monarchy has been tried and found wanting. The decree has gone forth, and is already executed. There is a vast deal of intelligence in France, and as much true patriotism as in any country under Heaven. The proople are high-middle generous, annihely, impulsive, undeed, but yielding always to noble seatuments. Most cordially do I wish them success, and I will not suffer my hopes to be clouded by apprehensions. Certainly there are difficulties in their way. What people, bond or free, are without them? But there are none, that time and moderation cannot overcome, and none, I persuade myself, that the French people will not overcome. Sufficient unto the day is the evil thereof. If this trial succeed, the destiny of France is fixed and safe, as much so as belongs to any great political effort in the world. If not, it is a step the nearer towards the great consummation of freedom, and the lesson the more for those who are to bring it about.

The question then being on the adoption of the resolution as re ported from the Committee on Foreign Relations, a reading of the resolution was called for, and it was read as follows:

A joint resolution tendering the congratulations of the United States to the people

of France.

Readerd, S.c., That in the name and on b-half of the American people, the congratulations of this government are breedy rendered to the French people, epon the
rever change in their form of government and then exceeded efforts that far to found
reverse the second of t

On this question the yeas and nays were demanded, and being seconded, were ordered and taken with the following result:

YEAS—Messr. Bell, Butler, Calhonn, Clarke, Dava, of Mississipii, Dix, John son, of Maryland, Johnson, of Georgia, Lewis, Mason, Niles, Pbelpi, Tarney—13. NAYS—Messr. Allen, A-they, Atchinos, Atheton, Brene, Cass, Chitenber, Dickinson, Douglas, Downs, Felch, Foote, Hannegan, Honston, Moor, Rusk, Sprance, Underwood, Wettotti—19.

The question recurring on the original resolution offered by the Senator from Obio-

Mr. CRITTENDEN said: I wish to occupy the attention of the Senate for only five minutes on this subject, and it is rather because I differ from some of my respected friends, than with the expectation of enlightening or instructing the Senate, that I desire to make any remarks at all. Some of my friends have supposed that the any remarks at all. Some of my fricing mare supposed that the Senate of the United States ought not to express, because they have no power to express, the congratulations of the American people to the French government in the form of this resolution. I do not consider that there is any question of power involved. We do not the French government in the sorn of this resonation. I so do consider that there is any question of power involved. We do not exercise any power. We express a sentiment or opinion, and this is all, and that is a right belonging to every individual. It would be strange, then, if we had not that right. It would be strange, that on the occurrence of a scene of so numinating a character, the Senate of the United States was the only body in Christendom, which was to be perfectly paralyzed, standing as a sort of caput mortuum in the midst of the etvilized world. No, sir, we have a right to do this. But it is said we ought to delay the expression right to do this. But it is said we ought to donly the expression of our congratulations; that enough his not yet been indicated to enable us to pronounce judgment. I concar in that—enough has not occurred to enable us to pronounce a final judgment; but the question is now presented whether enough his not occurred to make us rejoice and offer congratulations to France and to the world. If we are to wait until all the consequences of the revolu-tion are known, before we make up our minds to congratulate them upon what has been done, when will that time come? The youngest man here will not live to see that day. Either for good or for evil these consequences will extend beyond our day. It is one of the great events of the world—an event full of mighty consequences to mankind. There is no exaggeration in using such language ces to mankind. There is no exaggeration in using such manguage in reference to it. It is the greatest movement in social and civil ized life that has occurred within our knowledge—one of the great signs and marks and wonders of the time. It is an event exciting the hopes and fears and tremulous anxiety of markind.—

I have my fears about it, but I allow my hopes to preponderate. I have my lears anout it, but I allow my hopes to preponder the is, indeed, a mighty work, requiring a great degree of virtue, intelligence, and experience, which is rare in the midst of alarmed Europe. In the midst of hostile crowns and principalities they have made this great experiment. I hope, sir, that the God of truth and liberty will go with them in this mighty trial, and that they are destined to be successful. But whether this revolution itself is to form the basis, to be the proximate cause of a great amelioration in form the basis, to be the proximate cause of a great amenoration in the condition of mankind, I know not, I cannot anticipate. But however that may be, of one thing I am satisfied, that its ultimate consequences cannot but be for the good of humanity. The French revolution in 1792, with all its carnage and tumults, and terror which it spread through the world, of it who can say that from all and carnage good to mankind has not accrued? earth and the sea have covered up the victims of that revolution.

They are no more. They have disappeared from the sight of mankind and we can only look back and mourn over them as over other events that have occurred. But the great principles of libother events that have occurred. But the great principles of in-erty involved in that contest, have lived to grow said increases and spread abroad among mankind. A new world of intellect has been opened—a new sense of freedom has been spread through the civ-ilized world. The kides and principles to which it gave rish though for a time they seemed to be trampled on by the iron heel of tyranny, yet live; and I trust in God will become more one sally extended. So it will be with this revolution. Gentlemen, for reasons no doubt which appear to themselves satisfactory, imagine this to be nothing more than a temporary ebullition of popu lar feeling, and that it will finally go down in crime and disaster. It may do so, but what has it not already done? It has shown to the world the power of public opinion. Here is an established gothe world the power of public opinion. Here is an established go vernment with its army of an hundred thousand men at the command of the reigning sovereign, a sovereign who has been seated manu of the regimn sovereign, a sovereign was has been permanently for seventeen years upon the throne of his ancestors, tracing back his royal descent for centuries, suddenly finding its ramparts broken down; and by what I It was not by the power of a mob under temporary excitement—not by a sudden outbreak of popular feeling. No, sir, there was a great and majestic feeling pervading the whole mass of the people; that feeling it was took from the sword of his army its edge. The ultima ratio of kings was here at an end. Public opinion overruled it. A mighty of kings was nee at an end. Fublic opinion overruled it. A mignity moral change was proclaimed by a power that is above all thrones—greater, more exalted, more irresistible than all their impergrable remparts and fortifications! The change is strange and grand! The movement of the people, produced as it was by a deep sense of what was due to themselves, is to be applicabled.— Sir, I congratulate them. France may have to go through many disastrous convulsions before she attains her great aim—the establishment of a system of free government. I wish I could believe that this revolution is to be the proximate cause. I am by no means confident that it is so; but I see enough to give me hope. I see enough in the event that has occurred to induce me to congratulate the French people. They have done a great work. It is for this that I congratulate them. It is a work which will be the source of future intellectual and moral influence upon the heart of man. It cannot be otherwise than productive of good, and it is for this we congratulate France, and bid her God speed!

Mr. BUTLER .- Lest my vote upon this subject should be mistaken, I beg to detain the Senate a single moment. If I have ma-nifested any hesitation in regard to this matter it has not been from an aversion to congratulate France upon the formation of a republic. But when the Secate of the United States are called upon to be the organ of the people in the expression of their congratulations, it appeared to me to be proper that any resolution which might be adopted, should be characterized by simplicity of elaboration, and should go forth under the sanction of a beautiful propriety, becoming the great occasion on which we are called upon to act. Had it been left to me, I would have preferred that e should have had all the securities of form-that we should have had the recommendation of the Executive upon which we might act. It was for this reason that I preferred the resolutions which eanse from the Committee on Foreign Relations. Not that I would detract from the merits of the resolution of the Senator from Oh o—I had another reason. I heard doctrines avowed that were on 0-1 and another reason. The are documes above that were calculated to alarm me When I offer congratulations to the goddess of liberty, I will not do it with a firebrand in my hand. When I offer congratulations to that goddess, I do it as if she were associated to the congratulations of the goddess. 1 offer congratulations to that goodess, 1 or 1 as it she were associated with her sister, the goddess of wisdom. It may be in the wild excitement of France, that we shall see the light of her republic only in the fires that are destroying the very frame-work of society. I have had some distrust, sir, arising from the experience of the past; and if I manifested any distrust on this occasion, it has been from no disinclination to sympathize with France upon form-ing a republic under the guarantees of a well defined constitution. I am willing, sir, to sanction what the people of France have done I am willing is give every encouragement to the developement of an enlightened and irresistible public opinion, but I will not sanction all the doctrines and opinions that have come from the provisional government. If I have hesitated it is because what I do, I would do deliberately. I have detained you, sir, longer than I intended

Mr. PHELPS.—I did not intend to trouble the Senate again, and I shall certainly not detain them long. In reference to the remarks which fell from the distinguished Senator from Kentucky, I have to say, that his course of reasoning rather tended to confirm my doubts. He spoke of the progress of public opinion.—

What ovidence have we of its progress? In the first place thenational guard, who were charged with the safety of the kingdom, broke their trust—the hundred thousand bayonets which were to protect the throne, were pointed against the throne. I am not the advocate of crowns nor of thrones, but what assurance have we that this same public opinion which brought the multitude of Paris, and the hundred thousand troops in concert, may not be brought to hear upon the provisional government, and if it should be, the provision that this combination of opinion would all the same than the same the expression was not aware of the effective same than the same than t

Sir, there are considerations connected with this subject from which we all revolt. When the wheel of revolution begins to revolve, who can affect to tell where it will stop? When the doctrines of universal equality are preached, who can tell what effect is to be produced? I am approaching a subject that I have uniformly avoided—a subject from the discussion of which I uniformly shrink. I know it is dangers, and if these decrives of universal conditions of the subject of himself and the subject of the

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—I listened this morning with great attention to the very able remarks of the Senator from Vermont, and I thought that I noticed an allasion to an unfortunate or the morning. Like the Senator, I fear for the result, but expression of mine. Like the Senator, I fear for the result, but what we revolution has gone it has been marked by nothing but what we must all recognize as favorable, particularly since they have east off the little piece of extravagance to which the Senator has alluded. I merely wish to acquit myself, sir, of having in the slight est degree approved of that proceeding on the part of the provisional government.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi.— I must ask the indulgence of the Scnate for a few minutes, for a purpose similar to that avoided by the Senate for a few minutes, for a purpose similar to that avoided the senator from South resolution with the senator from South resolution and the senator from South senator from the chamber rendered it unadabsence of so many Senators from the chamber rendered it unadabsence of so many Senators from the chamber rendered it unadabsence of so many Senators from the chamber rendered it unadabsence the Senator, without such record and without explanation, before the Senator, without such record and without explanation, the preliminary vote given by me would be subject to misconstruction. I voted for the substitution of the resolutions reported from the Committee on Foreign Relations, because they seemed to me coextensive with all which has occurred in France, claiming and receiving the approbation and sympathy of the people of the United

States, and because the committee acted upon information officially communicated by the President, by which the matter was first brought properly before the Senate.

brought properly before the Senate.

Ever ready, in whatever quarter of the globe it may appear, to
welcome a new republic into the family of nations, ever prompt to
cheer a people struggling for the establishment and maintenance
of popular right, it was not for me to stand listless by when the
tidings came of the great, the plorious achievements of our nacient
friend and ally, France. Sooner, sir, would I encounter disappoint
ment sooner are consumpatible comes and the state of the state. triend and airy, france. Sooner, six, would i encounter disappeoint ment, sooner see our sympathies come loack shorn than relies to send them forth on an occasion like this. Yet it becomes us to consider the time, the manner of expression used to convey our sympathies—deliberately to consider the extent, and the subject of our congratulations. When a people not prepared, as were the fathers of our republic, by the inheritance of free institutions, are suddealy invested with sovereign power, errors and excesses may be reasonably expected, and whilst it is not our province to correct or condemn, it may be useful, as it is surely proper, to discrimination our approbation. This appeared to me well and prudently done in the resolutions offered by the committee as a substitute for those of the Sengar from Object and providently done in the resolutions offered by the committee as a substitute for those of the Sengar from Object and providently the Sengar of the Senator from Ohio, and constituted the ground of my preference; but I was prepared to vote for either, and have no oppo-sition to offer to those which the Senate in committee have chosen. With our sympathies for the effort of the French people to es-

With our sympathes for the chort or the Froste popular oc-stablish republican government, we may well mingle our congratu-lations for the extraordinary exhibition which, in the heat of revo-lution, they have given of moderation, of self-control, and of regor-d for human life, that great test of the progress of civilization.— These are high evidences of the littees of the French people for self-government, and justify the hope that they are prepared for that universal suffinge and equality which they have asserted, and which the Senator from Vermont has chosen as the object of his special condemnation. Equality among the objects of his special condemnation. Equality among the citizens is use only basis on which republican government can rest; it is the foundation on which our own was placed, and where it now securely stands like the house which the wise man built poor a rock, whilst the house which the wise nature to fall. To assert stands like the house which the wise man built opon a rock, whilst palaces lie crushed upon the sand, or threaten to fall. To assert political equality is to vindicate the dignity of man, to insure the adaptation of government to his condition, and therefore to reader it permanent. Revolution is the remedy for violated nature—it can never be directed against a government which rests on the broad basis of popular will, speaking through universal suffrage. The only power to overturn is that which supports such a government, and as it has been called the most advanced, so I think it may be called the most davable form of human institutions. Where may be caused self-most clusture to govern by pre-criptive right, there must be a struggle between the fell-must be and the rolled; because the most can a struggle between the fell-must do a represented the wants and the rolled; because the fell-must be the struggle between the fell-must be a reason of the wants and for the highests form of government, and no other country presents of the fell-must be formed by the fell-must be fell-must be formed by the fell-must be fell-must be formed by the fell-must be fell-must be formed by the fell-must be formed by so many instructive lessons upon governmental changes as that,

so many instructive lessons upon governmental changes as utar, the recent revolution in which we are now considering. Cessar conquered ancient Gaul and governed it as a province. The inhabitants became citizens of the Roman empire, but their domestic condition was little affected. Rome was extended, but the Romans remained in Italy. When Alaric and Artilla descendthe Romans remained in Italy. When Alaric and Attila descended from the plains of Asia upon the richer regions of Europe, the inhabitants of Germany, driven from the richer regions of Europe, the inhabitants of Germany, driven from the like the Roman legions, prompted by military enthasiasm and seeking the glory of conjugate, the state of th governed. And it is the steadiness of this advance which sustains my confidence in future progress. The grand vassals of the Frank dvconnaence in nuture progress. The grand vassus of the Frank dy-nasty, like twelve mighty columns, supported the throne high above all contact with the people, alike despising their assistance or as-sault. These columns fell in the time of Louis XI. and the grand Seignicurie was established by Francis I. The height and size of

the columns were diminished, but their number increased, and then came the period of the reformation. The minds of men asserted the right to naquire. The Seignieuric gave way, and the throne sank for its support to the heads of the aristocracy. It was now within the reach of the people; it had been lowered until, in the language of the Senator from Indiana, [Mr. HANNEGAN,] blood might flow over it. The convention of 1792 proved the power of the people to reach the throne—it showed the ability to destroy, but not the capacity to construct. The assignants gave the people the power to buy and add to the subdivision of the lands of France. Heretofore the throne had approached people by oppression, thence forward the operation of leveling was to be two-fold—the people were to rise as royalty sunk.

When Napoleon declared on the Champ de Mars that the right When Napoleon declared on the Champ de Mars that the right of the ruler was wholly derived from the consent of the governed, he uttered a truth understood by those whem he addressed, and which was sentence of condemnation on the divine right of kings. The next step in the natural order of things was to break the line of descent. This was done by the selection of Louis Philippe, to be crowned, not for France, but as King of the French. The throne was now brought down to the heads of the two hundred and sixty thousand landed proprietors. If had now descended to the last step, and it was foretold, if must ruly foretold, that Louis Philippe, to the control of th lippe was the last who should wear a crown in France. For years past many eyes have been turned to France, and speculation has been busy as to the consequences likely to follow on the death of the reigning monarch. Events have been hastened by the abdication of the king, and the conduct of the French people under the tion of the king, and the conduct of the French people under the severe ordeal they have unexpectedly undergone, proves how wor-thy they are to possess the power of sovereignty. The throne which had been for fourteen centuries sinking, until it approached within one step of the ground, was borne on the shoulders of the uprising masses and offered a holocoust to the genius of republi-can government. Why shall we distinst the capacity of the French peeple for self-government? Who has served a longer probation or passed through schools of harder experience? France, or passed through schools of harder experience? France, the land of science, with a popular press teening with political intelligence, —her great capital, the controlling mart of the country, filled with daily papers, and a population of whom it is said not a cook or a boot-black but can tell the name of the chief of each bureau of the government, and the general nature of his duties.

Men fly to a remetly and expect relief which cannot be given.

Men fly to a remedy and expect rehet which cannot be given. These who hope from a republic to have their wants supplied must meet with disappointment. Such a government can have nothing to give, save that which it takes from the people. In the language of one of our greatest statesmen, Mr. Van Buren, "too much is expected from government." May this truth, so unpalateable to these to whom it was addressed, he early learned and appreciated by our brethren in France. It was not my purpose to do more than express thus briefly the opinions I entertain, and to announce my approval of the resolutions now to be acted on. They are antripative of future events in France which, I hope, will soon ena-ble us to pass specific resolutions of congratulation, and to em-brace our earliest friend as a brother in the family of republics.

The question recurring on the passage of the resolution, it was decided in the affirmative, without one dissenting voice, as fol-

YEAS—Meser. Allen. Ashley. Atchium. Atherton. Bell, Bradlury, Dress, Buller, Case, Cluther, Critenden, Daves. of Mussuippe, Dickmon. Dr., Donglas, Bradler, Case, Chile, Chile, Chile, Case, G. Mirindia, Columna, of Georgia, Lewis, Mason, Moor, Mice, Rusk, Spruance, Turacy, Underwood, West-XAYS—Nor.

The following is the resolution as it was unanimously adopted: Readwel by the Senate and House of Representations of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That is the name and behalf of the American People, the comparishance of Compress as healthy tendent to the people of France people, the comparishance of Compress as a health ventor to the people of France publican from of government.

Set C. 2. And be it prather research. That the President of the United States be, and he is briefly in case and he is briefly in comparish the Principle States be. and he is briefly, requested to branent this resolution to the American minuter at Pans, with minutenous to present to the French government.

On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# FRIDAY, APRIL 7, 1848.

## MESSAGES FROM THE PRESIDENT.

A message, in writing, was received from the President of the United Sates, by Mr. Walker, his Secretary.

Thithe Scatter of the United States:

In answer to a resolution of the Senate, of the 29th of March, 1848. I transmit
herewith a report of the Severatory of War, with the accompanying documents containing the in o matter called for, relative to the services of Captain McCiclelian's company of Florida volunteers in the year 1840.

JAMES K. POLK

Washington, April 7, 1848.

The message having been read-

On motion by Mr. WESTCOTT, it was

Ordered. That it he referred to the Committee of Claims.

The following message was also received from the President of the United States, by Mr. WALKER, his Secretary:

The United States up sector.

To the Semeter the United States:

Leonmanicate between he report of the Secretary of War, transmitting a cow of the proceedings of the General Count Martial, in the case of Loutenant Colonel Fig., mont, called for by a resolution of the Senate of the 2.0th of February, 1844.

JAMES K. POLK.

Washington, April 7, 1848.

The message having been read-

Mr. BENTON moved that the message and the accompanying Mr. BENTON moved that the message and the accompanying documents for upon the table and be printed; which was sugreed to. He also submitted two letters from Lieut. Col. Fremont to the Adjutant General, and a letter from the Adjutant General to Lieut. Col. Fremont. which were ordered to be printed in connec-

The VICE PRESIDENT bid before the Senate resolutions passed by the Legislature of Michigan, arging upon Congress the propriety of adopting measures for questing the titles to lands at Sault St. Marie and for bringing the public lands near that place into market; which were ordered to file upon the table and be printed.

#### PETITIONS.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a memorial of eitizens of the United States, praying that Mount Vernon may be purchased by the government; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. BREESE presented the petition of David Penrod, praying permission to change the entry of a tract of land; which was relerred to the Committee on Public Lands.

Also, the petition of Jesse Toler, praying permission to change his entry of a tract of land; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, presented the petition of Christopher Cuningham, praying a pension in consideration of wounds received at the battle of Boson Vista, in Mexico; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. DAYTON submitted a document in relation to changing the terms of the Circuit and District Courts of the United States for the District of New Jersey; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Mr. DIX presented a memorial of citizens of the State of New York, praying that Mount Vernen may be purchased by the gov-erament; which was referred to the Committee on Military

Also, a petition of citizens of Greene county, New York, praying that the public domain may be laid out in farms and lots for the use of such citizens of the United States as are not possessed of other laids; which was referred to the Committee on Public

Mr. HOUSTON presented the petition of Manuel Ravena, praying indomnity for loss in consequence of the seizure and condemnation of a vessel and stores by the collector of the port of Galveston in Texas; which was referred to the Committee on

## THE PRIVATE CALENDAR.

On motion by Mr. MASON, it was

Ordered, That the several private bills on the calendar be made the special order of the day for Friday and Satuday of next week, the 14th and 15th inst. in the order the same are on the calendar, to the exclusion of all other business.

30 TE COMPANIES BEARING NJ. 58

## NOTICE OF A BILL.

Mr. BREESE gave notice that on to-morrow, or some early day thereafter, he will ask leave to introduce a bill to authorize the sale of such public lands as have heretofore been reserved for military purposes, and no longer required for such purposes.

#### ADJOURNMENT OVER.

On motion, it was Ordered, That when the Senate adjourn, it be to Monday next.

CONGRATULATIONS TO THE FRENCH.

Mr. MANGUM.—I desire to inquire whether the resolutions which were passed last night, are now in the possession of the Senate subject to a motion for reconsideration or not.

The PRESIDING OFFICER .- They are out of the possession

Mr. MANGUM.—Had they been in the possession of the Scmate, I should have requested some friend to make a motion to reconsider the vote by which they were passed, with the view of
giving gentlemen who were accidentally absent from the Scante
when the vote was taken, an opportunity of recording their votes.
With regard to myself, I should have voted for the resolution which
passed the Sente, with very great cheerfulness. Yet, I would
have preferred the resolutions which I had the hoor to more yesterlay, as a substitute. Failing, however, to get them dopted,
hav vote would cheerfully have been given for the resolution which
was adopted by the Sepriat. The resolutions which were rejected,
were the production of the committee on Provigin Relations. They
were prepared by the chain ham of that Committee, the Sonator were re-proved to the distinguishment of the Committee the Sendry from Indiana. He cutered most corollady into the spiri of any resolution, expressing our compratibilities to the French people. And he had committed himself in advance to go for the resolution of the Zenator from Ohio. He felt bound, therefore andess he had been valoutarily released, to vote in layor of that resolution. I regret the more, therefore, my absence, from the fact, that owing to the delicate relations which the chairman of the committee bore to the resolutions of the Senator from Ohio, had I been here, my daty would have been to have taken them in charge and prethe Senate. I would desire, and I had hoped, that after the yeas and nays were taken, and there was found to be perfect manimity in the body, the journal would have been so amended as to have exhibited the unanimous concurrence of all the members. I rise, sir, simply with the view of indicating that the resolution meets with my hearty concurrence.

Mr. ALLEN.-I did not call for the year and mays for the very reason given by the Senator from North Carolina. I thought it would be better that the vote should appear unanimous.

### CHANGE OF REFERENCE.

# On motion by Mr. ATHERTON, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on Finance be discharged from the further consideration of the memorial of Ward and Smith, merchants of the United States residing at San Francisco, Upper California, and that it be referred to the Committee of Claims.

# INDIAN APPROPRIATION BILL.

Mr. ATHERTON, from the Committee on Finance, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives, making was retricted in our into the contingent expenses of the caproportations for the current and contingent expenses of the Indian Department, and for folfilling treaty stipulations with twarious Indian tribes, for the year ending Jone 30, 1849, and for other parposes, reported it without amendments, and gave notice that he will ask the Senate to proceed to the consideration as this bill on Tuesday, the 18th instant.

### ADVERSE REPORTS.

Mr. UPHAM, from the Committee on Revolutionary Claims, to whom was relerred the petition of Robert Piatt, son of Daniel Piatt, deceased, submitted an adverse report; which was ordered

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the petition of Sarah Hubbard, submitted a report, asking to be discharged from its further consideration; which was ordered to be printed

Mr. UPHAM, from the same committee, to whom was referred the petition of Nancy Haggard, submitted an adverse report; which was ordered to be printed.

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of Charles Waldron, reported it without amendment, and submitted a report on the subject; which was ordered to be printed.

Mr. DOWNS, from the Committeee on Indian Affairs, who were instructed to inquire into the subject, reported a bill for the relief of Henry D. Garrison; which was read and passed to the second reading.

Mr. RUSK, from the Committee on Revolutionary Claims, to whom was referred the memorial of Frederick Vincent, administrator of the estate of James Le Caze, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for his relief.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading

Ordered, That the report be printed.

Mr. DOWNS, from the Committee on Indian Affairs, to whom were referred certain documents relating to the claim of T. S. Wendell, soluntied a report accompanied by a fair to provide for the sate of lands purchased by the United States from the Saganaw trike of Chippewa Indians in the State of Michel. on

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed, with the accompanying documents.

#### SETTLERS IN FLORIDA

Mr. WESTCOTT, by unanimous consent, asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill for the relief of the bona-fule settlers under the aets for the armed occupation and settlement of a part of the Territory of Florida; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Commuttee on Public

#### PEDERAL COURTS IN NEW JERSEY.

Mr. DAYTON, by unanimous consent, asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill in relation to the terms of the Circuit and District Courts of the United States in and for the District of New Jersey; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous

# THE VOLUNTEER BILL.

Mr. CASS moved that the prior orders be postponed and that the Semate proceed to the consideration of the bill providing for the further prosecution of the existing war between the United States and the Republic of Mexico.

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—I confess that I am very much surprised at the notion of the honorable Senator. He sat by an heard the arrangement that was made for taking up the Judiciary bill on this day. The orders of the Senate seem to be no longer of any force. In fact they only seem to apprize gentlemen that they must be very active in getting possession of the floor. I do hope that the gentleman will not at this moment press this motion.

Mr. CASS.—It would give me great pleasure to yield to the wishes of the honorable Sentar, but I gaze notice long ago that I would embrace every opportunity for pressing the consideration of the half which I have armed. It has already been superseded at various times by pressing business, and I must say that I feel wholly menomiated as to any arrangement for permitting any other business to take precedence of it. I understand that the before the Senate at all times to press the consideration of the hill for graining the volunteers.

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—Did not the Senator vote to make the

Mr. CASS.—The volunteer bill was made the order of the day several weeks ago, but orders of the day are superseded almost every day.

Mr. RUSK.—Under ordinary circumstances I would vote to take up the bill which is proposed now before any other business. But vesterday there seemed to be a distinct understanding that if the Senator from Kentucky would give way in order to take up the resolutions of congratulation, we would take up has bell to-sky.

Mr. ALLEN.—I am quite anxious to proceed to the consideration of the bilt which is the salaget of the present motion, but I would suggest to my friend, the Senator from Miebigan, that if, as seems more to be the impression, there was an understanding yesterday in regard to proceeding with the bill of the Senator from Kentucky, that it would be but just and proper that the arrangement he acquirescell in, at all excepts for this day. But if the discussion extends extends beyond to-day then let the bill take its chance of being taken up in preference to any other.

Mr. CASS.—I stated vesterday that I was entirely oupsed to preceding with any other business. I am no party, therefore, 10 any commitment in favor of the bill of the Scantor from Kentucky in the slightest degree, but as it seems to be the prevailing wish to proceed with that bill this day. I will willdraw up motors The motion was accordingly withdrawn.

#### ADULTERATED DRUGS AND MEDICINES.

Mr. DIX, from the Committee on Commerce, to whom were referred the resolution of the Legislature of Mississiph; he memorial of the college of Pharmacy of the city of New York, and son lev other memorials on the subject, reported a bill to prevent the importation of adulterated drugs and medicines; which was read and passed to the second reading.

# SHIP ISLAND MADE A PORT OF DELIVERY.

Mr. DIX, from the same Committee, to whom was referred the bill to m the House of Representatives to make Ship Island, in the collecting district of Pearl river, a port of delivery, and to authorize the appointment of a Surveyor for said port, reported it with

Mr. DIX said that with the consent of the Senator from Kentucky, [Mr. CRITEXDEN.] he would ask the immediate consideration of this bill, as its speely passage was important, and if it went upon the calendar it might be some time before it could be reached.

Mr. CRITTENDEN said the Senator must excuse him, but he was extremely anxious to take up the Supreme Court bill without further delay.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, explained that there was urgent necessity for the immediate passage of the bill, and that it would take but a few minutes as there would be no debate upon it.

Mr. CRITTENDEN said if there would be no debate he had no objection to taking up the bill.

The bill was then read a second time, considered as in Committee of the Whole, and the amendments reported from the Committee were agreed to.

No further monodment being made the bill was reported to the Senate, and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the amendments be engrossed and the bill read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time, as amended, and the title was amended.

Resolved. That they bell was with amendments

Ordered, That the Scoretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in the amendments.

### THE DAM AT CUMBERLAND ISLAND

Mr. HANNEGAN, from the Committee on Roads and Canals, to whom were referred several memorials on the subject, reported a bill to provide for the repair and improvement of the dam at the head of Cumberland Island in the Ohio river; which was read and passed to the second reading.

# THE SUPREME COURT.

The Senate resumed the consideration, as in Committee of the Whole, of the bill from the House of Representatives supplemental to the act entitled an "An act concerning the Supreme Court of the United States," approved June 17 1844.

Mr. BUTLER.—I have no special interest in this bill, but I am one of the committee whe reported it. It has already been announced that the committee were divided, and that the bill not come here, therefore, with the sanction of the whole of the Judiciary Committee. Perhaps it would be proper, however, for me as a member of that committee, to give the more obvious reasons which influenced a majority of the committee. At this time there are not the docket of the Supreme Court TuO or 180 cases, and it is impossible that these cases are the shape of the committee. The property of the propers of the committee of the propers of the pr

who have eases in litigation before that court. A delay of justice is almost tantamount to a denial of justice. What amount of interest may be involved, I am not prepared to say; but whatever the amount may be, the parties whose rights are involved anopartimity of having their claims decided. I would be opposed to the bill, if I supposed for a moment that it would have the effect that is attributed to it by the Senator from Missouri, of cutting off the supreme bench from connexion with the States. I have no such design. I am influenced by the mere obvious purpose, that of removing the difficulty under which that central labors.

Mr. WESTCOTT —I was one of the minority of the committee who opposed the bill, and I still retain the opinions which influenced me in making that opposition, not only for the resson so forcibly expressed by the Senator from Missouri, but for some additional reasons that I will now adduce. I regard the passage of this bill as paralyzing the effect of the most important part of the business before all the circuit courts of the United States for the mext two years. The district judges, it is true, have the power to six when the circuit judges have not; but there are cases who they are not permitted to determine the circuit court does not six. A judge cannot try the appeal or with of error from his own court, and I beg leave here to make one remark in relation to the delay in the Supreme Court. I have taken some pains to ascertain whether it a stribinated to the court or to the vast accumulation of cases, and I do not find anything to justify me in saying, that the court is at this hindle to the court or to the vast accumulation of cases, and I do not find anything to justify me in saying, that the court is at this hindle to the court or the two sevended as soon after they are brought into court, as they are in the Supreme Court of New York, Massachuserts, and the other large States of the Linos. In the State of Virginia, I find that the delay in their legions of the parties concerned. I have had cases in the Supreme Court, which are cited to us as evidences of delay, are cases which are not pressed. They are passed over by the consens of the parties concerned. I have had cases in that court, and I have found no difficulty in getting decisions upon them. I do not see that there is any delay that need be complained of. I believe that the cases may all be disposed of, in the time which is allotted for the sitting of the court, as the law now stands. For these regard as all important, I trust the bill will not pass. It is a long that the courts established with the judges of which this court with law on connexion. I t

Mr. ASHLEY then addressed the Senate at length in opposition to the bill. His speech is given in the Appendix.

Mr. DAYTON—I desire to make a very few remarks on this bill. Of course I do not propose to follow the chairman of the committee from whom this bill came, through all his objections. We considered them in committee, and did not regard them as militating against the merits of the bill, which lie within a much narrower compass than that which has been assumed by the honorable Senator from Arkansas. It is proper, in the first place, to look to the cell which this measure is designed to remedy. There are now upon the list, as I am informed by the Senator from Kenare now upon the list, as I am informed by the Senator from Kenare now upon the court. Assuming them that the same progress shall essentially of the court. Assuming them that the same progress shall four years to dispose of the calendar, while if the present measure of relief be granted, you can dispose substantially of the aggregate of the calendar in a single year—rubbing out old scores, and giving the court a new docket. Such is the present state of the calendar of the Supreme Court, and such is the object sought to be attained by the bill now before the Senate.

Now, what are the objections urged against the passage of the bill? Why first, it is objected that the illi originates with the judges, and that every other bill relative to the Supreme Court, from 1789 to this hour, originated with them, or through their instromentality! Now, who are properly to be supposed to be most familiar with the business of the court, and most anxious for its prompt despatch? Sir, we are not to assume that the judges of the Supreme Court of the United States are any other than high-midded, unright and honorable men, desirous of discharging with dielity and efficiency the high functions imposed upon them by law. It is surely no more than just to those gentl-men, to give them that degree of credit. The court is, then, the very source from which such a measure should emanate with propriety, because it is a source that acts with light and knowledge. And, pay whence does that very bill which the Senator from Arkansas and From a majority of the piles Cogress ought to act, emanety from a majority of the piles Cogress ought to act, emaneted From a majority of the piles Cogress ought to act, emaneted From a majority of the piles Cogress ought to act, emaneted from a majority of the light Cogress ought to act, emaneted From a majority of the light Cogress ought to act, emaneted From a majority of the light Cogress ought to act, emaneted from the superation of the court from the State Judicatores, and its concontinual session here as a central, absolute power. That is the measure of all others most likely to produce the result which the Senator

are also. But I refinis from any remark on that bill till it come before us. At present we have to deal with this temporary law. It provides for I may be a second tiself. At present we have to deal with this temporary that the court tiself. Is there anything really in the objection that the design is to undermine the present system, and obtain for the court the power of stiting here continually, entire itself allogether loose from the States? I app alt to the intelligence—to the knowledge of members of the Seante, as to the character of the judges presiding in that court, and ask whether it is passible to the continually entire the states? I app alt to the intelligence—to the knowledge of members of the Seante, as to the character of the judges presiding in that court, and ask whether it is passible to the state of the state

and the second of the control of the

Mr. ALLEN.—I have a very few words to say on this subject. The United States Supreme Court has a jurisdiction, both as regards territory and subject matter, greater than any other judicial tribanal in the world. Every thing, therefore, which relates to the organization of that court, becomes a matter of the gravest important of the court are elected by the Executive. They are appointed for life. In that respect, the organization of this court is not in harmony with the balance of the political system of this country. It was about it in one one single feature by which it is connected either with the States of this Union, or with the people of these States, except it be the circuit feature, which gives the members of the court a direct intercourse and communication with the States and the strength of this tribunal consists, and the day that that feature cases to exist in its organization, will the public opinion of this conforty more upon the subject, and never cease its action, till the tribunal its entirely re-organized. If, therefore, I was ever so much disposed to attack this tribunal, and to break it down, the thing that I would first do, would be to encourage the encentration of the tribunal permanently at the eaplied of the United States, and people of the United States, and people of the Union I say this to these who must by a greate or estimate upon this tribunal than I do, that if they do not want to be present the region of the probability of greate or estimate upon this tribunal than I do, that if they do not want to be present the court of the tribunal continues the organized in the subject of the Union of the Court of the Union of the Union of the Court of the Union of the Court of the Union o

Cature remain untouched.
It is proposed here, to relieve the judges of this court from circuit dottes for one year. For, it is a bill of relief from the labor
and expesse of travel. It is a bill of relief and repose. But it is
seen as much of legislation as I have, proved, and it when the
seen as much of legislation as I have, proved, and one over end.
You commence in this case by giving this court one year's relief,
and the east year, the same reason existing for a repetition of the
act, the precedent of the previous year is pleaded as an additional
argument for an extension of the relief one year longer. It is the

grant of an exemption from the labor and expense of travel, to the grant of an exemption from the anior and expense of travel, to the indeges of the court; and the same force which is now brought to bear in favor of this bill, through the instrumentality of the present contact of Congress with the judges of the court, will be brought to bear with added strength next session; all the reasons that are now urged being poured forth with the additional one that Congress once did the act. Now, though I have no idea that the gentlemen who advocate this bill, intend any such thing, as I do not suppose that there are any members of this body, who would vote for the permanent concentration of this court here—ver I are convinced that that result will inevitably follow from the adop-tion of this measure. You commence this business of relief from circuit duty—I care not what the evense may be,—it is the commencement of a system of relief from circuit duty, freeing them from the labor and expense of travel; and when you commence it, the same reason applying aext year for the continuance of it, the ter in that fight it next becomes a subject of inquirty, what will be deflect approximately an experience of the effect of the existence of a fixed, central tribunal, seated in this capital, compose to fine with bold their places for life, evid off from all communication with the States and the people of the States—a life communication with the States and the people of the States—a communication with the States and the people of the States—a communication with the States and the people of the States—a communication with the States and the people of the States—as the states and the people of the States—as the states and the people of the States—as the states are stated to the states and the states and the people of the States—as the states are stated to the states and the states are stated to the states and the states are stated to the the capital where the political powers of the nation are all concenthe capital where the pointed powers of the hallow are an concentrated temporarily? When that kind of a spectrole is presented to the people of the United States, how long will it stand? If it stood twenty-live years, it would become the prevaileg power of the government. Power is a thing which generates itself—which enlarges itself—which contains within itself the means of its own expansion, sustenance and support. It wants nothing but time to gratify the eravings of is ambition. Whether it be judicial or not, gratily the eravings of 15 amounts.

do atton is all that it wants to make itself permanent over any
other nower that is fleeting and transitory. This local power, if diamon is all that it wants to make their permanent exer any other power that is flecting and transitory. This local power, if it were permitted to stand twenty live years, would remore the whole constitution a perfect multity. In less than ten years it would take the place of your Attorney General in all the decisions of it Executive upon law questions, and thereby connect itself with the administrative portion of the government. The idea would be perfeetly natural that the Executive would connect itself with the judenry-would consult its memb. rs-would employ i's influence-and thus the two would mutually strengthen each other, and engross the powers and energies of the government more and more in one spot in Washington city

Besides, the permanence and existence of the court here would give it larg; influence over our del berations in these halls, very fact that time men armed with great power, having great reputation and beyond the reach of popular control, being permanen by 1, and here for their bledime would render them objects of nen by 1, a 'ed here for then inclined women report mem objects or adulation and importantly and court, and men at a distance who wished to achieve illicit objects in this capitol. It would become a cabal. These is sults would flow inecitably, if it were not for the fact that such a power would eall down upon it the curses of the nation too soon to allow it to take hold and mature itself.

I have alv a . s been of opinion that the members of this tribunal ought to be elected for a term of years, and that by the people; and it will not be many years before that opinion will be found on more lips than mine. All this idea of his tenure of office is akin to that of hereditary power, an idea which is fast losing its hold upon even the European mind. The nature of this judicial organition is all ogether inharmoneous with the balance of our politieal system. It destroys the symmetry of our system; and is funded upon an idea which is unterly ridiculous when applied to American society and American institutions. It is founded upon the idea of rendering the judiciary independent of the people; and heace we hear it said on all hands—we read it in our horn-books before we come to the bar-we hear it from the bench and the bar, that the judiciary ought not to be elective. The idea of the independence of the judiciary in England was an idea of liberty. originates in the face that by the metron of the English constitution the King was supposed to administer the laws in bits own proper person, and to be present on every bench declaring what the law was. When, therefore, the King was absent, he undertook to supply the place of his presence by sending a court to give their industrial to the control of the presence o supply the place of his presence by soming a contract to give their judgment, and mandates, which were implicitly obeyed by the juries. It became essential to the liberties of England in this state of things, that the court should be made independent of the crown, of things, that the court should be made independent of the crown, and interselve the pulges were appointed for life. But ours is an inversion of the English system; and the only mode of reasoning variety out pregard to our system is to reason rever-edy of what would be true with regard to the English system. That which is true of the English system is for that very reason false here.—
There should be no independence of any judiciary here. To make the case unalong, and the case malacques, the word independence should apply as between the appointing power and the court, and not as between the peo-ple and the court. And to make the case still more analagous, there would have to be a supposition that the appointing power had the right to adjudicate, and is virtue of the right, the power to control those whom it had appointed to adjudicate; both of to control those whom it may appointed to adjustence your or these positions being false, and not entering in anywise into our system. There should be no tribunal in this country independent of the wholesome influence of public will. Our government rests upon that influence. It is that public will which gives sanction

and legality to the acts of our government. It is not the thing we call a constitution that forms the government of the States. 'Ink and paper cannot form a christian," said a United States. United States. "Ink and paper ennot form a christian," said a, eclebrated divine more than two hundred years ago, in a sermon preached before the House of Commons Ink and paper cannot form a government, nor can they make a freeman. Government consists in the will of the people—a will dictated by their interests, and the minon of their interests constituting the interests for the nation. The public sympathies, the manners, the morals, the sentiments, the reison of the people, constituting the interests of the constitution is to the government what a fence is to a firm and no more. It defines its limits, and keep of the government. But the source, and authority, and power of the government is within—in the hearts and sympathies of the peonle, to be found at the firesides, and in the family circle, in the neighborhood ideas,

the irresones, and in the family error, in the neighborhood robus, and associations. There is the government, and in that sense there should be nothing not amenable to the people. This feature, then, in the organization of the Supreme Court is not in harmony with our system; and I would admonish those general properties of the supreme court is not in harmony with our system; and I would admonish those general properties of the supreme court is not in harmony with our system; and I would admonish those general properties of the suprementation of and an authory with our system; and I would authorish those gentlemen, who do not think is I do on these points, but wish to maintain this indicant in its present features, that if they do not wish to sound the treein, they had better not separate the judges for an hour from circuit duties, and direct interceurse with the people of the States. That is the only feature in the system which connects them with the nation; and if that be struck out, the striking out of the coart will follow as naturally as the snuffing of a candle issues in darkness.

Mr. CRITTENDEN .- I jetend to occupy the attention of the MILATIAEM PLAN — I need to occupy and attention of the Senate only for a few moments. I regret that gendenned have chosen that sends on the senation, so maniportant in itself, for the purposes of delating questions and principles which, according to my adgrament, are not meladed in the subject under our consideration.—
To what purposes is not to delate the question as to the political character of the superior Court of the United States—to delate the senation of the political character of the superior Court of the United States—to delate the senation of the superior that the senation of the senati the question whether it was best to appoint the judges in the manthe question whether it was best to appoint the jurges in the man-ar prescribed for non-reositinition, or to change that constitu-tion and make them elective? Where is the necessity of impuring into the nature and extent of the jurisdiction of the court? Where the propriety, on this occasion, of impuring into the individual or collective competency of the judges? In no one of any of these particulars, in no regard to any principle or question leavable in it as a system, does this bill touch the subject. It takes the court as it stands—as it is legally and constitutionally established—without change or alteration of its jurisdiction, and simply proposes what? That because of an inconvenient accumulation of business in the Supreme Court of the United States, rendering it impossihile for the court to dispose of the lusiness before it in less than two or three years, a remedy should be applied, by which this evil may be obviated. And what is the remedy? This bill simply probe obvinted. And what is the remedy? This bill simply pro-poses to authorize the judges of the Supreme Court to hold a second term in the course of the year besides that to which they are now limited for the purp 3 of despatching this accumulated business. Now, what principle is involved in this beyond the simple question of providing a remedy which all admit? Sir. if I understand all the arguments that have any application to this subject, gentlemen would have no objection to this measure if they not apprehend that it was in ended as a wedge or the comdid not apprehend that it was in ended as a weege or in com-mencement, as they express it, of another system, having for its object the suspension of the judges of the Supreme Court from all duty in the circuit courts, confining them to the duties belonging to the Supreme Court. Gentlemen, imagine this and, therefore, to the Supreme Court. Geulemen imagine this and, therefore, refuse to apply the proposed remely for an acknowledged evil. They do not suppose that the evil is so great but that it may be borne. So it may. And gentlemen speak of the danger of the remedy. Let us examine it. The ball provides for a single year. According to existing laws the next term of the Supreme Court will commonic on the first Monday in December next. We are now in the first week in April. Four months of the year base then expired. The three corresponding months of the next year will be occupied by the Court in the transaction of its business, so that the whole peril of the proposed measure lies within the compass of eight months. But, forsouth, if we indulge the Supreme Court—for gentlemen seem to regard it us an indulgence by granting them permission to come here and despatch the busiby granning them permission to come here and despatch the busi-ness of the court in that period, great danger is to arise—a new system is to grow up—a new principle is to be evolved which is to relieve the judges of the Supreme Court—and other daties except those belonging to the Supreme Court—and other serious political e-mageneaces will result! I do not apprehend any such thing. The bill itself seems to grand against any such conse-quences by its limitation to one year. It is proposed solely as a particular remedy for a particular case. But are not these conse-quences in or own limits! A regentlemen atrail that they them-selves will do this wrong! There is not a Senator here, so far as Lean index from the cramos that I have heard expressed, who is I can judge from the opinions that I have heard expressed, who willing to change the present system so far as to separate the judges from the circuit court and limit them to the Supreme Court. larges from the enterm coord and must them to the supreme Court. I am glad to believe that there is not a single Senator who entertains that opinion. When the Senate then have the issue and consequences in their own hands, I ask, what solid ground there is for any apprehension? I is there any danger that the Senator from Arkansas will be, even in these revolutionary times, so perfectly revolutionized in his opinions as to come back prepared to reverse all his opinions which he has expressed here to-day? Here is an evil which we can correct. This bill proposes to correct it. The only objection is, that the renealy proposed is one out of which dangerous consequences may breadler grow; and we have no security for ourselves that that will not be the case. Why, sir, we are afraid of ourselves! If I believed that very so remotely or possibly this measure could be perverted to such an object as generated them seem to apprehend, I would be one of the last to vote for it. But I do not desire to go into this subject. I desire rather to have this bill yord upon than any thing else. If the Senate be

against it let it go.

Another remark or two I must be excussed in making. It is supsupposed that this is a bill for the relief of the indges of the Supreme Coart. Relieve them for what? It relieves them by requiring them to hold a term of the Supreme Coart and discharge
all the arduous daties of their office. What relief is there in that?
Are these labors less arduous and fatigning than travelling in the
season of the year which his now come, and in any portion or climate of this country from this period till December next! Are
these labors less expensive to them individually than travelling
is no part of the propose of this bill. It is only to make it their
particular and exclusive duty, within a limited period, to discharge the highest of their duties, the decision of the cases which
have accumulated in the Supreme Court of the United States.
But the honorable Senator is apprehensive that some cases may be
delayed to the most tremendous and incalculable detriment to all
the parties. And there is another case of which we hear—appeals
to the circuit courts. Now litigation may be infinitely more netive
in the part of the country where the honorable Senator practices
of the Union from which Leone, in twenty years there has not
the time of the control of the country where the honorable Senator practices
at the Union from which Leone, in twenty years there has not
had so the Spanish practes, the geatleman will agree with me,
that or me the coast is free from such a pestilence! But if such a
case should occur in these eight months, and a ship-load of pirates
should be trought into any port from New Orleans to Boston, this
bill permits one of those judges to go there, and hang those pitates secondward area, and an sincely as the Senator can desire!

Mr. ASHLEY.-I extended my remarks to all cases of felony and murder,

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—Well, then, the keeping of a felon out of the pententiary for a few months in the only possible contingency that may occur. I dare say that in our videly-extended empire, such cinese might happen. But do they deserve serious consideration in such a case as that now belore us for Some her and for the important reason of trying him, all things else must be forgotten, and this remedy for existing known evil, is not to be applied? It seems to me, Mr. President, that the honorable Senator has got his mind a little fevered on this subject, and that he does not view it with that calmuses and discretion which usually characterize his him as all the fewer of the subject and that he does not view it with that calmuses and discretion which usually characterize his hisors as chairman of the Committee on the Judiciary. I apprehend that he has allowed his mind to run off, in fact, from the consideration of the particular subject before it, to other principles and questions not at all involved in it, it to miner dufficiently prejediced. He apprehends that the judges, consulting their own experience, had suggested this bill as a proper remedy for the existing evil, and that that is a sort of Nazareth, out of which no go de an eome. But as my friend from New Jersey has said, who so well qualified to suggest a remedy as the judges have suggested this thing. I know nothing about the fact, but admitting it to be as the gentleman supposes, I derive no prejudice against the measure on that suggests reveally and the measure is commended to us from that very eie-cunstance.

I do not wish to debate this question; I would rather avoid debate. I desire the decision of the Senate. I have no doubt the minds of Senators are sufficiently made up, and to their judgment I shall bow with all the deference to which it is entitled. I hope, sir, the question may be taken.

Mr. BENTON.—I always regret, sir, when debate takes a personal turn—and when the intentions or washes or motives of Senators become the subject of remark—the discussion may be regarded as having become personal. In the little I have said and the little I may say, I leave out of view entirely the intentions of every Senator. I impute to no one a design to separate the Sapreme Court of the United States from the States, but I reason from the nature and effect of things. The effect of this measure will be that separation, and it is perfectly immaterial what are the innections of Senators, or what obligations they may impose upon themselves never to do this again. It a Senator says that he will says; but it is altogether a personn, retainly be will do what he says; but it is altogether as personn, retainly be will do what he says; but it is altogether as personn, retainly be will only in the life—upon his coming here—upon this Senate continuing in time to come, to be exactly what it is to-day. The moment we go into the intention of Senators in regard to our legislation, we fall into the absordity that the Senate is not only permanent as a holy, but permanent in its constituent members—that they are always to sit here, and that having done the thing once they will not do it again. But we are to have successors, and God knows there have been

any resolves of those who preceded them. As reasonable men we would be bound on a recurrence of the evil that now exists to apply the same remedy. That is the point of view in which I regard this question, and while I am ready to admit that every Senator will redeem his piedegs and vote against I. I must say that it is only by virtue of his piedegs he will be bound, because if this correct of the Supreme Court from their duties in the States is an approach of the same o

For myself I look upon this one year plan as the application for the wood which is to make the handle to the axe. Grant lat a little bit of wood and the whole forest will be not down. Begin with these yearly instalments of relief, and where will you and t—Fortified, strengthened, stimulated by this encouragement, that best of all arguments, precedent, will be urged, and the separation of the Supreme Court from the States will be inevitable. That there is a great evil I do not dispute, all admit it. The docket is looked up, and the court cannot meet and despatch cases as they arise. The question is, what is the appropriate remedy? In the first place I say that the remedy we preprise to apply is a greater docket will be as it is at present, for we know that it reads. The docket will be as it is at present, for we know that it reads. In the size that the area of the supreme Court told me that he could get on very well it were not for the Judiciary Committee may be directed to the subject. I recollect very well that some years ago a judge of the Supreme Court told me that he could get on very well it were not for the business of this district—that there is very little limitation with respect to the cases arising hero—that the counsel and the parties all live here, and that the court is blooked up with the business of the District of Columbia, which there is no reason in the world to bring before and that the could diminish the number of appeals, but I have been considered that the counsel and the parties all live here, and that the could diminish the number of appeals, but I have been considered the counsel and the parties of columbia, which there is no reason in the world to bring before when the counsel and the parties all live here of columbia, which there is no reason in the world to bring before when the count is blooked up with the basiness of the District of Columbia, which there is no reason in the world to bring before which we wish to get rid. I am in favor of a remedy ample and complete, or none at all.

The judges have separated—they have gone away—some of them are near the discharge of their duties in the circuits.—I think it will be best, in all the circumstances to recommit the bill, and as we have a long session before us, devote time and consideration to the subject; which may result in the application of a permanent and safe remedy, relieving us from the necessity of recurring year after year to a species of remedy, which after all, does not meet the case, and produces a greater evil than that which it was designed to remove.

Mr. BADGER .- I feel great reluctance in rising to say any that the property of the prope measure now properties its tendency. Without reference to the motives and considerations which have induced its introduction into Congress, and before I which have induced its introductions on the merits of the measure, as make two or three observations on the merits of the measure, as some gentlemen who have spoken on this subject, have stated views in reference to this court in which I did not conenr—permit me to say, that I am in every sense of the term, a friend of that court— I regard that institution as one of the wisest provisions of the great charter of our liberties, which our anecstors devised and transmited to us. I am not opposed to the independent tenure of office, by which, the judges occupy their seats on that bench. I look upon that as the very provision of the constitution of the United States which renders the court not only in the highest sense valuable, but which alone renders it safe; and I should look with horror upon a tribunal of last resort, vested with such high powers, if its upon a tribinal or last resort, vested with such high powers, it is members held their office by the tenure of Executive caprice or popular passion and projn lice. Neither am I opposed to this bill on the ground that it may have been suggested by the judges, and is intended to afford them relief. I shall by the judges, and is intended to afford them relief. I shall always led imyself prompt and ready to afford any relvef, to the gentlemen who occupy a place upon that bench provided it is in my judgment consistent with the public welfare; and other things being equal, it would be to me a strong recommendation of a measure that it was calculated to afford relief, and manifest a generous consideration on the part of Congress, for those high functionaries upon the efficient discharge of whose du-ties everything which is in the bighest degree valuable to us as American citizens in my opinion greatly depends. Still I am op posed to this bill—I am opposed to it because I am a friend to the court—I am opposed to it because I believe it to be the commence. ment of a system by which the moral influence and power of that court will ultimately be overthrown; and it will remain either an incubus upon our institutions pressing them with a weight injurious to the community, or perhaps producing such a state of things in the public mind, as ultimately to destroy the institution itself, or overthrow that independent tenure of office which to me is its highest recommendation. I have no doubt, sir, that the measure is sincerely brought forward simply as a temporary relief for an admitted evil—that it is designed to operate only for a present emergency. But I believe the consequence of it will be, not the necessary and inevitable, but the natural and probable consequence—the ultimate separation of the judges from their circuit duties. We are not to take this bill and look upon it as an isolated measure. This is not the first time within a lew years, that Congress has been called to act in reference to the accumulation of business in that court and the means of relieving its docket. In June, 1844, Congress the means of relieving its docket. In June, 1844, Congress passed an act, having in view the same object and containing two passed an act, mixing in view the same object and containing two provisions; the first, directing that the term of the Supreme Court should commence not on the second Monday in January, but on the first Monday in December, so as to add live weeks to the term of the court; and the second, declaring that the judges of that court should be discharged from their obligation to attend two terms of the federal courts each year, and should thereafter bo under an obligation to attend only one term each year. Now, in my opinion, this second provision in the law of 1844, involved an unwise alteration of the system. I believe that the great difficulty with regard to the enaction of the judges of the Supreme Court with the circuits, is, that they do not hold, and from the nature of the case, owing to the extent of our country, cannot hold circuit courts enough; and that therefore, when Congress authorized a judge of that court to absent himself from one term in the year, hey did much to impair the efficiency of the members of the Suthey day much to impair the elucioned of the members of the Su-preme Court. In my view, it is absolutely essential that the judges of every court of last resort, should be judges constantly in the habit of trying causes in the court below. After all, whatever questions of admiralty law may fall under the jurisdiction of courts of the United States, the most important part of their functions as judges consists in administering the old common law of England, as modified by the different States of this Union. Who formed that common law? Five or six gentlemen in their private cham-ber or public court at Westminster Hall? No! It was the result of the application of wise and intelligent minds, to the practical peration of the rules they themselves first educed, from the trans-tions of mankind, and then applied to regulate them. Does any one believe that such a system as the common law, could have been built up in any other way? If so, are we to trust to powers been built up in any other way? It so, are we to trust to powers who occupy the highest station, the great paramount authority of preserving, perpetuating and defending those very principles which they were incapable of building up, isolated from the ordinary. many transactions of judicial business, and sitting in this capitol to tublish their decrees to the American people? I have said that in my view, the relaxation afforded by the act of '44, was unwise and dangerous, but I have alluded to it now, in order to show to what this course is tending. Four year ago, Congress, for the purpose of facilitating the dispatch of business, and enabling the court to reduce it to manageable bounds, added five weeks to the term of the court, and discharged the judges from sitting one term in the circuit courts. What was the result? Was the ct relieved? Is the court now able to control its business? Was the dockwe are now called upon to discharge the judges from the obliga We are noticed any court in their efficients for one year. They are movely existing law, discharged from riding one of their circuits in the year, and now it is proposed to discharge them for our year, from bodding any jury courts whatever?

Think that in view of the past legislation of Congress, and of the consideration suggested by the Sourier from Myssouri, there

Thinke that in wew of the past levislation of Congress, and of the consideration suggested by the Sentor from Missouri, there is every reason to behave that at the next session of Congress, the coresists for further relaxation will not only not be removed or diminished, but will be increased and urged as a reason for a continuace of more strongly relied. If appeals he so numerous now, when the circuits below do have occasionally two judges for the purpose of hearing and deckling important causes, what may we expect when for a whole year, the determination of every cause important or unimportant, involving whatever amount of property, or whatever perplexing question, will be thrown by the necessity of the case on a single judge ? I think that in every important cause, there will be a witt of error or appeal to the Supreme Court, and that twelve months hereafter, you will have just as much reason, any, greater

reason for the pa-sage of a special law.

Then, as I apprehend, if Congress are consistently, applying this remedy from year to year, tolics gootles, it must come to this, that we shall have these gentlemen as judges of the Supreme Court of appeals, not mingling with the ordinary transactions of business—not accustomed to the "forensic streptiar" in the dwarfs belowment seeing the rules of evidence practically applied to the cases before them—and, enhanced counsel in the courts below—not seeing the rules of evidence practically applied to the cases before them—and consist in the courts below—not seeing the rules of the damaster here, by the discussion of alide and learned counsel in the courts below—not seen by the people of the United States—and known and recognized by them—and touch and learned counsel in the courts below—not seen by the people of the United States—and known and recognized by them—and touch and the country of the state of

confidence of the people, which adds so essentially to the sanction of all the acts of the officers of government.

These are the consequences to which, in my judgment, the mea-

These are the consequences to which, in my judgment, the measure tends. I am willing, promptly and cheerfully, to extend to the judges, for their own benefit and at their own request, any reasonable indigence and relaxation which may not be inconsistent with the public good. But the gentlemen who advocate this measure say, here is an evil and we propose to remedy it by special legislation. Now, it has been well said in ancient finite that when the aid of Hercules was supplied they be undortunate that when the aid of Hercules was supplied by the unfortunate shoulder to the wheel, and if, after putting forth your own powers you find yourself not adequate to the congregory, then Hercules will help you." I apprecised that the court has no right to expect or ask any special legislation until after it has put its own shoulder to the wheel—until it has fairly applied all the powers with which it is vested by law, in order to accomplish this desirable result. Now, has the court done so? What occasions this accumulation of business? Doring a wession of upwards of finiety days the court decided, as the Senator from Arkansas informs us, about forty cases.

# Mr. CRITTENDEN.-Upwards of fifty cases.

Mr. BADGER .- My friend from Kentucky says, from the statement of the clerk, upwards of fifty cases have been decided. I imagine that the two statements are quite reconcileable. The die gruts deven all the season that have there of the beautiful and the real cases being involved in one opinion, and some merely docketed and dismissed. Why were not there mere eases dispused of? We are all somewhat familiar with the manner in which cases are argued in that court. Now, Lord Bacon has informed us in one of his essays—and no man understood between ter theoretically, than he, the duties of a judge, whatever might have been his practical discharge of those duties—that one of the offices of a judge, was, "to moderate length, repetition, and impertinence of speech," using the latter term of course in its origiper time or spectra, using the arter term or course in to strig-ing and and appropriate signification, not as meaning insolence, but irrelevance. Now, has that remedy been applied by the court? Has the court taken upon itself the responsibility which it ought to have exercised, in order to prevent a waste of the public time by those who happen to gain the ear of the court a little earlier, in debate, indulging in idle and frivolous discu-sion, felt by the court themselves to be totally immaterial to the decision of the cause? Has the court been careful to prevent discussion of questions which might the court been careful to prevent uncussion of questions which might be regarded as axiomatic in this country—dissertations or scholastic essays, like those delivered to young men prosecuting their studies in a lawyer's office, in the expectation of obtaining a license? It is quite familiar to us all, that in a case which attracted some attention, one of the learned counsel occupied an entire day for the purpose of demonstrating this very difficult proposition in America, that the people are sovereign; and then pursued his argument on the second day by endeavoring to make out the extremely difficult conclusion from the first proposition, that being sovereign they had a right to frame their own constitution! Well, now, if the court sit quietly while gentlemen, from whatever motive, either to gain distinction from an exhibition of their polemical powers, capacity for didactic discussion, or any other reason, occupy the attention of the court with such discussions, what hope, what expecta-tion can be entertained, that this bill will supply any remedy for the evil of a surcharged docket ?

I have no doubt if the court had met on the 1st of December, with the resolute purpose of coulinan the argument of coussel to the questions on record, and refusing to listen to any discussion of any points, which the court considered to be clear and settled, instead of deciding forty cases, the court might have decided on hundred and forty. Gentlemen around me know, that we belong to a profession exceedingly discursive, and that when we have locked into a subject, and imagine that we can make a display, we are very apt to occupy time without consideration of the just claims of those who are to come after us.

# A SENATOR—(in his seat.)—And abuse the court if they stop us!

Mr. BAJGER.—Yes, and abuse the court if it administer even a gentle admontton. But I ask why was the Supreme Court made independent as regards the tenure of office, and the salaries independent as regards the tenure of office, and the salaries independent. I mean to cust no represent upon the cont. In from it. I am aware that the day to which I have just allud st is one of great delicacy. I can readily appreciate the reluctance with which the court would interpose this rightful power—this unpleasing remedy; and, therefore, it the court had time, I would have no objection to their anniable suff came of irrelevant discussion. If they could dispose of their besiness, I have no objection to their annual to the same thing are equal to one another; or any other grave and difficult question. But here is a case in when the public are interested, in which it is not the time of the court, that is occupied, but the time of the suitors, whose causes are delayed, and whose interests suffer. I insist that in such a case, it is the duty of the court to lay aside all considerations of personal courtery, and—not with rudeness—for that never can be the duty of the judge; but the time of curt must be a firstly applied to the business before them. Independently of the consideration which I have stated, that in week, the depotent of this mea-

sure will be the ultimate discharge of the judges from attendance on circuit altogether; which I would look upon as fatal to the use-fulness and moral influence of the court, I object that this is an application to Congress for a special act of legislation to apply a special remedy for a special greenace; and before we shall enter-tune the constraint of the constraint o the ordinary remedies placed in the hands of the tribunal, have been resorted to, and have proved inefficient. Now, so far from that being the case in the present instance, give me leave to say that being the case in the present instance, give no leave to state all are perfectly aware that the court has interposed no such authority, to shorten needless debate and erable them to dispose of the cases on their docket. But sitting there, and gaining universal favor by allowing every body to talk ad libitum, they are obliged to seek this special act discharging them from circuit duty for a year, in order to sit, here and accomplish in that time the business which I have no doubt could have been disposed of in the four months n which the court was in session.

Believing then, that the experiment is a dangerous one, on ac-

Betteving then, that the experiment is a daugerous below as count of the consequences which may few from it, a special legistation, to alford special relief when the ordinary powers of the court, if exercised, would have enabled them to discharge their daty without the necessity of special legislation, I for one cannot vote for the measure now before the Senate.

Mr. PHELPS.—I regard this judicial system which has been in operation some fifty years, and with a very slight interruption ever since the organization of the government, as the best that can be devised for the general government and for the people. I deprecate as much as the Senator from North Carolina, or any other gentleman on this floor, the separation of the judges of the Spyreme Court from their circuit duties. The consideration that the separation of the judges of the Supreme Court from their circuit duties would operate very much to the prejudice of the country, is not to be overlooked. I have had my lears, however, that this system, admirable as it is, would have to be abandoned; and that appre-bension has been founded upon the fact, that in the first place, the judges have not time to attend to their circuit duties; and that in second place, the docket of the Supreme Court had became so londed, that the business of the court cannot be despatched—the very evil which I apprehended as likely to grow out of the extenvery evil white I appreciated as fixely to grow out of the exten-sion of our territory, and the utter impracticability of having the daties of the circuit court discharged by such a mamber of judges as would be proper to be congregated here. If you increase the number of the judges of the Supreme Court with a view to enable them to discharge all the duties of the circuit courts, you have a court too numerous. On the other hand, they cannot, if they be court too numerous. On the other hand, they cannot, if they be limited to their present number, discharge the duties of the circuit courts. What is to be done? Some remedy must be ap-plied. In my humblo judgment, we have this alternative before us. We must either afford temporary relief, or alandon the sys-tem altogether. This is the issue presented. Well, not being disposed to abandon the system—preferring to retain it, and for the very considerations suggested by other Senators-I desire to apply some remedy to relieve the court from the accumulation of bu-siness here. In my judgment, if an extra session of this court can dispose of this accumulation of business, the court can attend in future to the ordinary discharge of its duties. If this be not done, what is the result? Why, if the docket of this court is allowed to accumulate and increase from year to year, and the comlowest to accumulate and increase than year of year, and the control of the contr measure of temporary relief and preserve the system. It is really a choice of evils, and I prefer that course which looks to temporary relief, rather than that which must result in an entire change of the system. As to the recommendation of the Senator from North Carolina I have only to say, that it is easy to talk on this floor about the manner in which the judges should discharge their I confine myself to the subject before us, and allow the judges to go on in their own way.

Here a motion to adjourn was put and lost.

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—What, let me ask, is the condition of the law now? The judges of the Supreme Court may continue in session throughout the whole year, can they not?

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, (in his seat.) - Certainly.

Mr. CRITENDEN.—There is no limit to the session of the court can cover when their own discretion imposes. By law the court can now sit throughout the whole year, so long as there is any business before them. What does this bill propose to do? It says, "and the business of the Supreme Court shall receive the undivided attention of the court for one year." They can then obtained the superior court shall receive the undivided attention of the court for one year. But we over them to do what they can do written on one. But we do we have the court of the court of the court for the court of grave alarms! I admit that it is wise to be jealous. But that jealous wisdom must have its limit. What do gentlemon fear? jealous wisiom must lawe its limit. What do gentlemen lear! If they apprehend evil, why not change the law now? Gentlemen fear a consequence which exists only in their own argument. Does any body desire this consequence? Do not all depreads it and disclaim it? And yet gentlemen are greatly alarmed at the tendency of this measure—a tendency which they have in their conditions are the contractions of the contraction of the contra

own hands! In this case, literally; men flee when no one pursueth. I hope that the vote may now be taken

Mr. FOOTE.—Until the debate occurred to which we have just listened, I confess that I was decidedly favorable to the pasjust listened, I contest that I was decidedly lavorable to the passage of this fill. But some objections to its becoming a law have been preferred, which are too cogent to be resisted, and I shall, contrary to my first inclinations, vote against it. The merits of the bill have been so fully debated, that nothing which I could now the bill have been so fully debated, that nothing which I could now say, would ad the Senate in coming to a wise decis in concerning it i I shall, therefore, content myself with responding to a single suggestion which has just fallen from the Senator from Vermont. That gentleman seems to be particularly borrified at certain animadversions in which bonorable Senators have indulged touching the conduct of those who preside on the Supreme Court of the Union. He appears to be of opinion, that we ought not, under any circumstances, to find fault with the action of a co-orbinate department of the government, and especially with the Indicated the property of the control of the control of the control of the government, and especially with the Indicate of the Control of department. The Senator may, or may not be right in the fluctual department. The Senator may, or may not be right in the general doctrine which he asserts; and yet, it is most evident to me, that if any one of the three departments of government decreed department. by the constitution to be separate and independent of each other, by the constitution to be separate and margement of seaso other, should be allowed to interfere with the action of another in any case, the exception to the general rule should be admitted in favor, either of the Legislative or Executive in preference to the judiciary. Nor do 1 imagine that there will be much difference of judiciary. Nor do I magnie that there will be much difference of opinion as to the correctness of this proposition among Senators, who attach sufficient importance to the fact, that the judges of the supreme court are appointed, (not elected, etther by the people or otherwise.) for life, and are only responsible to the country through the medium of impeadument—a proceeding long since ascertained to be wholly inclined in the purpose of punishing an unworthy judicial functionary. The occasion is not such as to allow of my objecting in form to the mode in which the members of the Supreme Court of the Union are appointed to their high allow of my objecting in form to the mode in which the members of the Supreme Court of the Union are appointed to their high stations, or to their peculiar tenure of office, which induced Mr. Jefferson to declare this tribunal to be a solecism in our system. I shall not now undertake to review the history of the court for the purpose of showing how deadly the tendency of its decisions has generally been to what we call republican primiples. I shall confine my observations to a single act of one of the judges of the supreme court, of very recent perpetration, which I had hoped would have been long since suitably noticed, and denomeded by some other member of this body of more weight and influence in the country than myself; but which lawing hereafore executed. the country than myself; but which having heretofore escaped revision here, I shall take leave now to remark upon. Sir, one of the judges of the supreme court has lately taken it upon himself, whilst the country was engaged in war with a neighboring nation, to throw the whole weight of his personal character and official influence against his own government, and in favor of the public enemy. He has declared the war with Mexico unjust, cruel, and unchristian. He has done moro. He has undertaken to dictate to Congress as to the legislation proper to be adopted in relation to this war; and has recommended measures such as would have disgraced the nation, and which no true friend to his country in either graced the nation, and which to true friend to his country in either house of Congress could ever have sanotioned. He has urged that no supplies should be granted for the support of our army in Maximo-that no authority should be given to Congress for the issuance of Treasury notes, so that the government might be completely the war should be continued, to resert to the most grinding taxation, and thus, by the influence of serious suffering upon our people, to constrain them to demand of the Presedent the recall of our heave troops from Mexico, and the tensimation of the war without such indemnity for the pass and security for the fittee as can alone secure the national honor. Sir, I have no words strong enough to express the contempt which I feel for such service timents as this judicial functionary has dared to avow. I know not which most to condemn, the unparticitor views which he has not which most to condemn, the unpatriotic views which he has promaliged, or his andhoshing audacity in attempting to distrate to Congress as to the course proper to be pursued at this delicate and difficult juncture of our affairs. It is certain that he has been guilty of a high offence against public decreacy—that he has expressed sentiments which, if acted out, would consign him to the scanfold as a truitor to his country—that he has soiled, and deeply soiled, the pure eramine of justice, with which he stands invested—that he has shown himself anything but a true American in heart, and a wretched devote to faction in its worst and most accursed and a wretened evolve to have that his conduct has been precisely as bad as that of the noorious Arnold; but I do say that our public connoils have been profaned by insidious harangues that Lucius commis nave ocean profuner of misutions narringues that Lucius Cataline himself would have been asbarned to pronounce; and even the high tribunal where a Marshall was once seen to preside with a majestic dignity which acknowledged no affinity with the low feelings of partizan warfare, has been so discredited by a Presidency-seeking official, that neither gentleman nor patriot can consent hereafter to be elevated to the noblest and most sacred effice in the republic, without feeling that he is disgraced even by the attempt to advance him.

Mr. DOWNS .- I confess I agree with the Senator from North Carolina, that much of the evil that we complain of might be remedied by the court itself, still I think it is necessary that the bill sloud pass. It is not an act for the relief of the court, but for the relief of those who are engaged in liting station before that court—for the relief of those who are engaged in liting states. It is said that if this measure be not adopted, another measure will be brought forward that will remedy the evil. I shall be happy to

see a remedy applied, and I see an opportunity new for the adopsee a remedy applied, and I see an opportunity now for the adoption of a remedy. There is in ,my State a large amount of property in litigation. A case was carried to the Supreme Court before the act of 1844 was passed, involving some hapdred thousand acres of land, and it still remains undecided, how many years more it will take I do not know. The delay has become positively intolerable. But if the court could be allowed to sit through the year, the docket might be cleared off, and we should then have time to adopt such measures as may be necessary to prevent a recurrence of the ceil. corrence of the cvil.

Mr. CALHOUN .- I rise simply to state in a very few words, the reasons that will govern me, in giving my vote on this occasion. It must be admitted on all sides, that this is intended to be only a temporary measure, and that the present system ought to be contimed. I believe this is the general impression; such is mine, very strongly. This hill is presented on the ground, that the eases upon the docket have so necumulated, that it requires an extraordinary law—to relieve the judges from their circuit duties for one year—in order to clear them off. Well, what possible assurance have we, that at the end of the year the same reason will not exist for enacting such a law for the next year? It appears to me, that we are inverting the order of things. The first object should be to adopt some measure that would prevent the accumulation. lation of cases in litture, and then some measure for disposing of those which now exist. But proceeding as we are, it appears to me, it will be tantamount—without intending it to be so—to a permanent change in the circuit system. Now, I believe there are very few Senators prepared for this; I believe the judges themselves are not. We have ample time during the remaining part of very Iew Senators prepared for this; I believe the judges them-selves are not. We have ample time during the remaining part of the session—it will probably last three months yet, I should be very glad to think it would terminate in three—surely this will furnish ample opportunity to the Judiciary committee, or if that committee be overloaded with business, to a select committee to take the surface of the consideration, and propose some measure that the process of effectual remody for the evil that is complained of.

Mr. DAYTON .- The Judiciary committees in both houses have Mr. DAYTON.—The Judiciary committees in both houses have lad the subject under consideration. A bill has been reported and is now before the House of Representatives, but any bill that is passed should be a bill eadurated to sweep away the accumula-tion of the cases with which the docket of the Supreme Court is now lengthead. They must be disposed of irrespective of any bill for the regulation of the judiciary hereafter.

Mr. CALHOUN.-I was not aware that there was a bill be Offer the House relating to this subject, but that being the case, it is a reason why this bill should lie upon the table until that bill passes. Let us apply first the general remedy, and then adopt any additional measure that may be necessary. Being strongly desirous that the system should not be changed, and fearing that this bill if adopted will change it, I feel myself compelled to vete against it.

No amendment being made the bill was reported to the Senate. On the question-"shall this hill pass to a third rending?"-Mr. BAGBY demanded the yeas and mays, which were ordered and ta-

DAVID WERMANDER HE VERS MITH, MANY, SMICH WERF OTHERS AND ALL VICTOR AND ALL VICT

So the bill was rejected.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

THE BRIG PALMETTO.

Mr. DIX, from the Committee on Commerce, to whom was referred the petition of Moses D. Hyams, reported a bill to authorize the issuing of a Register to the brig Palmetto; which was read and passed to the second reading.

The said bill was read the second time, by unanimous consent, and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent.

Resolved. That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

TYPOUTIVE SESSION

On motion, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of Exopened, and

The Senate adjourned.

# MONDAY, APRIL 10, 1848.

REPORTS FROM THE WAR DEPARTMENT.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a report of the Secretary of War, in reply to a resolution of the Senate a report of the Senate of War, in reply to a resolution of the Senate of the 29th of March last, respecting the quantity of public land reserved from sale and settlement at and near Fort Snelling on the Upper Mississippi river.

Ordered, That it lie upon the table and be printed.

Also, a report of the Secretary of War, made in compliance with a resolution of the Senate of the 30th December last, in relation to the volunteer force called into the service of the United States; the number of troops in Mexico, belonging to the regular army; and the ollicers and men who have been killed and wounded.

Ordered, That it be printed.

RESOLUTION OF THE LEGISLATURE OF TEXAS. Mr. RUSK presented a resolution passed by the Legislature of the State of Texas in favor of the enactment of a law for the re-imbursement of the expenses incurred by the troops of that state, called into the service of the United States, in travelling to the

place of rendevous. Ordered, That it lie upon the table, and be printed.

## PETITIONS.

Mr. BAGBY submitted documents relating to the claim of H. F. Toulmin, to compensation for injuries done to his property by volunteer troops in the service of the United States; which were referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. HUNTER presented the petition of Cyrus H. McCormick, praying an extension of his patent for a reaping machine; which was referred to the Committee on Patents and the Patent Office.

Mr. DICKINSON presented a petition of Manuel X. Harmony praying indemnity for losses sustained by him in consequence of the seizure and detention of his goods, eattle and servants, while on a trading expedition to Sante Fe, by United States' troops; which was referred to the Committee of Claims.

Also, additional documents relating to the claim of S. J. Bowen ; which were referred to the Committee on Finance

Also, documents relating to the claim of the Seneca Indians to the payment of certain annuities improperly withheld from them by a sub-agent of the United States; which were referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

Mr. LEWIS presented the petition of Joseph Knox Boyd, pray ing compensation for services rendered by him in the burning of the frigate Philadelphia in the harbor of Tripoli in the year 1804; which was referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, presented a memorial of citizens of the United States, praying the purchase of Mount Vernon by the government; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. HALE presented a petition of inhabitants of Racine county, MY. HALE presented a petition of mina-traines of action consisting praying the enactment of a law prohibiting the acquisition of new territory, unless on condition, that slavery be excluded therefrom; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

Also, a memorial of inhabitants of Pennsylvania, praying the prohibition of involuntary servitude in the District of Columbia, and the territories now belonging to, or which may hereafter be acquired by, the United States; the motion to receive which, was laid upon the table.

Also, a petition of citizens of Bradford, Maine, praying Congress to appoint a committee to inquire under what authority the stave trade is carried on in the District of Columbia, and to what extent it is so carried on.

Mr. HALE moved that this petition be received, and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Mr. MASON objected to the reception of the petition, on the ground that it came within the class of petitions, which were not received by the usual practice of the Senate.

Mr. HALE did not consider the petition as one of that charac-

The motion to receive the petition was laid upon the table.

Mr. HALE presented a petition of citizens of Chicago, Illinois, praying that a declaration be made by Congress of the determination of the government of the United States, that neither monarchy nor slavery shall be established on territory which they may here-

30TH CONG.-IST SESSION.-No. 59.

after acquire; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign

Also, a petition of citizens of Chicago, Illinois, praying the abolition of slavery in the District of Columbia; the motion to receive which, was laid upon the table.

Also, a petition of citizens of Racine county, Wisconsin, praying the prohibition of slavery in the District of Columbia, and territoof the United States; the motion to receive which, was laid upon the table.

Mr. DOWNS submitted a document relating to the claim of John Rist, to the confirmation of his title to a tract of land; which was referred to the Committee on Private Land Claims.

Mr. CASS presented a memorial of citizens of the United State praying the purchase of Mount Vernon by the government; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, presented a memorial of citizens of the United States, praying the purchase of Mount Vernon by the government; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. CRITTENDEN presented a report on Meteorology made by James R. Espy, to the Surgeon General of the United States army, and moved that it be printed; which motion was referred to the Committee on Printing.

# THE PUBLIC PRINTING.

Mr. BADGER submitted the following resolution for consid-

Resolved. That the Committee on Printing be instructed to inquire into the expediency of repealing the joint resolution approved third day of Angust, 1846, entitled a "Joint Resolution directing the manuer of procuring the printing for the two Hooses of Congress."

#### NOTICES.

Mr. DICKINSON gave notice that he will ask the Senate to-morrow, to take up the bill for the establishment of a branch of the mint of the United States in the city of New York, for the purpose of making it the special order for some future day.

Mr. HALE gave notice that on to-morrow, or some early day thereafter, he will ask leave to introduce a bill in amendment of the various acts relating to Naval Pensions.

# BOUNDARY BETWEEN ALABAMA AND FLORIDA.

Mr. BREESE, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the bill in relation to the boundary line between Alabama and Florida, reported it without amendment.

Mr. BREESE, from the same Committee, to whom was refer-red the petition of Jesse Toler, reported a bill for his relief; which was read and passed to the second reading.

Mr. BREEEE, from the same Committee, to whom was referred the petition of David Penrod, reported a bill for his relief; which was read and passed to a second reading.

Mr. DOWNS, from the Committee on Private Land Claims, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of Anthony Bessee, reported it with amendments, and submitted a report on the subject, which was ordered to be printed.

submitted a report on the subject, which was ordered to be printed. Mr. DOWNS, from the same Committee, to whom were referred the bills from the House of Representatives to confirm Rizabeth Burress, her heirs or assigns, in their title to a tract of land; for the relief of James B. Davenport; for the relief of Frederic Durrive; for the relief of Elisha Thomason; for the relief of Simon Sodirigues; for the relief of Mistam Triplett; for the relief of Simon Rodrigues; for the relief of Mistam Triplett; for the relief of Simon Rodrigues; for the relief of Marcus Falton Johnson; and the bill supplemental to the act approved the 6th day of July, 1842, entitled "An act confirming certain land claims in Louissislana," reported them without amendment.

### LAND DISTRICTS IN LOUISIANA.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. DOWNS asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill attaching a portion of the Northwestern Land Dis-rictic, Louisiana, to the District of North Red River, Louisiana; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

### SALE OF RESERVED LANDS.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. BREESE asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to authorize the sale of reserved lands, and for other purposes; which was read the first and second times, by

unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

#### THE PATENT OFFICE BILL.

The Senate proceeded to consider the amendments of the House of Representatives to the bill of the Senate to provide additional examiners in the Patent Office, and for other purposes; and it was

Resolved, That they disagree thereto.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representa-

#### THE SUPREME COURT BILL.

Mr. FOOTE moved to reconsider the vote of Friday last, by which the Senate rofused to order to a third reading the bill from the House of Representatives, sopplemental to the act enti-tled "An act concerning the Sopreme Court of the United States," approved June 17, 1844; and

On motion by Mr. MANGUM, it was

Ordered, That said motion lie on the table.

# MESSAGES FROM THE PRESIDENT.

The following message was received from the President of the United States, by Mr. Walkea, his Secretary.

Mr. President: The President of the United States approved and signed, the 8th istant, "An act granting a presion to Patrick Walker."

The following message was received from the President of the \* United States, by Mr. WALKER, his Secretary:

To the Senate of the United States:

To the Square of the Cutton States!

Lommunicate herewith a report of the Secretary of State, together with a copy of the correspondence between the Secretary of State and "the Brazilian Charge d'Alf-the correspondence between the Secretary of State and "the Brazilian Charge d'Alf-the Senate of the Control forces at Washington," called for by the resolution of the Senate of the Control March, 1948.

JAMES K. POLK. Washington, April 10, 1848.

The message having been read, it was

Ordered, That it be printed.

#### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE,

The following message was received from the House of Reprosentatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

Mr. Pesalent: The House of Representatives have passed the bill of the Senter for the related of the regard the concentration of the Senter for the related of the regard the concentration of the Senter. They have passed the concurrence of the Senter. They have also the sentence of the

# HOUSE BILLS REFERRED.

The bills from the House of Representatives to provide for the the relief of William Harding, were read the first and second times, by nnanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Commerce.

The bills from the House of Representatives for the relief of John W. Hockett; for the relief of Charles Reoder, Walter A. Johnson, and the legal representatives of Thomas P. Jones; and for the relief of Christopher H. Pix, of Texas, were read the image and second times, by manumous consent, and referred to the Committee of Claims.

The bills from the House of Representatives for the relief of James Glynn and others: and for the relief of Juhn Pereival, captain in the Navy of the United States, were read the first and second 'mes, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee

The bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of The bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of Elizabeth Converse, widow of Josiah Converse, and the joint resolution from the House of Representatives for the relief of H. M. Barney, were read the first and second times, by unanimons consumant and referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

The hills from the Hause of Representatives for the relief of I. Carrington, executor of Paulina Le Grand, deceased; for the relief of Richard Reynolds; granting a pension to John Morrison; for the relief of Francis Hutmack; for the relief of Eliza S. Ro-berts; for the relief of Seth Morton; and for the relief of Joseph Johnson, were read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Pensions

The bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of John S. Conger, was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

The bills from the House of Representatives for the relief of Sarah D. Caldwell, wife of Jamos H. Brighan; and for the relief of Edm Hickman, wife of Alexander D. Peck, were read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Com-mittee on Private Land Claims.

The hill from the House of Representatives for the relief of William M. Blackford, was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

#### THE CALIFORNIA CLAIMS.

The Senate resumed the consideration, as in Committee of the Whole, of the hill for ascertaining and paying the California claims.

Mr. BENTON,-Mr. President : These claims grow out of the conquest of California, and are supported by a mass of depositions taken by the Military Committee of the Senate, and printed by its order. These depositions constitute a document of eighty pages, order. These depositions constitute a definition of the counand are full of material, valuable to the public history of the country of the primary rights of the claimants. The Senate, and are full of material, variance to the phone instety of the country, as well as to the private rights of the claimants. The Senate, on the application of the committee, have ordered twenty thousand extra copies of this document to be printed—a fact which soff ficiently announces its public and national importance; for no extras, much less twenty thousand, are ever printed of merely private papers. It requires a public interest to be concerned before a thing can be done; and that is eminently the ease in the pre-sent instance. These depositions concern public history; and no one can understand the history of the United States, as connected with the conquest of California, without understanding them.

The conquest of California was commenced, and its first act finished, before the existence of the Mexican war was known in that country; and this fact standing out incontestably among the events of the times, and presenting a presumptive ease of aggression against the United States, very naturally attracted the attention the committee, and commanded their most searching and thorough examination. The result is before the Senate, in the depo-sitions referred to, and may be examined in detail by every Sena-tor. For myself, I propose only to make a brief, connected story from their ample contents, to rebut in the first place an injurious presumption, and to exhibit afterwards in lucid order the summary of events which gave rise to these California claims, and show that

of events which gave use to these cannormal exams, and slow where they ought to be paid.

In the month of May, 1845, Mr. Fremont, then a brovet captain of enguerers, set out on his third expedition of geographical and scientific exploration in the Great West. Was had not then broken out between the United States and Mexico; but affairs were critical between them, and Mr. Fremont was determined, by no act of his, to increase the difficulties, or to give any cause of complaint to the Mexican government. His line of observation would lead him to the Pacific ocean through His line of observation would tean min to no Facine ocean through a Mexican province—through the desert parts first, and the settled parts afterwards, of the Alta California. Approaching the settled parts of the province at the commencement of winter, he left his equipment of sixty men and two hundred horses on the frontier, and proceeded alone to Monterey to make known to the governor the object of his coming, and his desire to pass the winter, for the refreshment of his men and horses, in the uninhabited parts of the valley of the San Jonquin. The permission was grant-ed, but soon revoked under the pretext that Mr. Fremont had come into California, not to pursue science, but to excite the American settlers to revolt against the Mexican government. Upon this pretext troops were raised, and marched to attack him. pretext troops were raised, and marched to attack mm. raiving notes of their appreach, he took a position on the mountain, hoisted the flag of the United States, and determined, with his sixty brave men, to defend themselves to the last extremity. Watting there four days, and not being attacked, he quit his position, descended from the mountains, and set out for Orgon, that he might

scended from the mountains, and set out for Oregon, that he might give no further pretext for complaint by remaining in California. The United States consul at Monterey, Mr. O. Larkin, gave of-ficial information of these events to the Secretary of State, (Mr. Buchanan,) and from these I will read what is necessary to verily

the statement which I have made:

mucmann, and trom these I will read what is accessing to verify the statement which I have made:

"Capt. J.C. Femont, of the United States energy arrived at the United States could almost underty, on the Wild of Jamyr, 1865. Being very anxious jour his part of the United States energy and the United States of the United States and the United States a

March, 1946.

"Capt. Frement was well received in this place, and to the last day we heard of him, by the notives individually, who odd him provisions and liked his presence. During his encentiment, thirty of farty under final here, despetches were received by the order of the control of the department; which order, with one handred and sevent, and over one handred more possess, and over one handred more possess, and over one handred more possess, and over one handred more dealy expected, the pretended to execute. (Papt Fremont left his camp a few hours after the received in minescageds's lexit out the birt of Whitele, not from implied to General Catson) as he minescageds's lexit out the birt of Whitele, not from implied to General Catson as hear, where an American was sent by the undesigned on Fehrmary with finals and borry-comes for large and the control of the

would not have attacked him, even had he been sure of destroying the whole party, as five times their number could have taken their place before the expected built. Caps, and could have membered as many mere as the surface. He was credit not to do so, and could have membered as many mere as the surface. He was credit not to do so. Although he discharged five or six of his men, he took no ethers in their place."— Letter, Jpril 3, 1980.

This is the official history of the first difficulty with the Californian authorities, and presents the conduct of Mr. Fremont in the most unexceptionable point of view; halting his command upon the frontier; going alone to Monterey; asking and obtaining per-mission to winter in an uninhabited valley; only raising the flag of the United States when in danger of being attacked; leaving the eountry as soon as the danger was over; and refusing to receive any of the American settlers into his service, (even to supply the place of discharged men,) that there might be no room for mis-construction of his conduct or for false accusations against the

The hoisting of the United States flag on this occasion is the only act which requires a word of explanation or of justification. It was complained of as an act of aggression: it was no such thing, but an act of self-protection and of self-defence. It was an angle and active as proper appeal for an American offi-appeal to their country, and a proper appeal for an American offi-cer to make when in danger of being unjustly attacked. Mr. Fre-mont, in his reply to the Consul's communication, in a brief not-written in pencil and in view of the enemy, gave the reasons for the act which justified it, and exalted it into an act of devotion and heroism. It was in these words:

and heroism. It was in these words:

"I this manner recoved your better, and without valing to read them acknowledge the recept which the valuer requires immediately. I am making myrelf as strong and refuse quarter, trusting to our country to average one catesh. No one has reached our runs, and from the biesths we are able to see troops (with the flux) mantering at an advantage of the contract of the contract to a contract the contract to the contract to a contract the contract to the cont

Such was the reason for raising the flag. It was raised at the approach of danger: it was taken down when danger disappeared.

Such was the reason for raising the flag. It was raised at the approach of danger: it was taken down when danger disappeared. It was well and nobly done and worthy of an admiration—sixty of our coantrymen, three thousand miles from home, in sight of our coantrymen, three thousand miles from home, in sight or ing it on the mountain top, and determined to die under it before they would submit to unjust aggression.

Turning his back on California, and looking to Oregon as the field of his further labors, Mr. Fremont determined to explore a new route to the Wahl-ah-math settlements and the tide-water region of the Columbia, through the wild and elevated region of the Thamath lakes. A romantic interest attaches to this region from the grandent of its leatures, its lotty montains, and snow-from the grandent of its leatures, its lotty montains, and snow-induced the state of the state trail with despatches from Washington, and whom they had left two days back while they came on to give notice of his approach, and to ask that assistance might be sent him. They thouselves had only escaped the Indians by the swiftness of their horses. It was a case in which no time was to be lost or mistake to be made. Mr. Fremont determined to go himself; and taking ten picked men, four of them Delaware Indians, he took down the western shore of the lake on the morning of the 9th, (the direction the offi-cer was to come) and make a ride of sixty miles without a halt. But to meet men, and not to miss them, was the difficult point in that trackless region. It was not the case of a high road where all travellers must neet in passing each other; at intervals there all travellers must meet in passing each other; at intervals there all travellers must neet in passing each other; at intervals there were places—defles or camping grounds—where both parties must pass; and, watching for these, he came to one in the afternoon, and decided in his own mind that, if the party was not killed, it must be there that night. He halted and encamped, and as the sun was going down, he had the inexpressible satisfaction as the sun was going down, he had the inexpressible satisfaction to the contract of Tellifornia, deliver despatches to the United States consul there, and then find Mr. Fremont wherever he should be. His despatches for Mr. Fremont wherever he should be. His despatches for Mr. Fremont were only a letter of introduction from the Secretary of State, (Mr. Buchanan,) and some letters and slips of papers from Senator Benton and his family, and some verbal communications from the Secretary of State. and some vernal communications from the Secretary of State. The depositions taken by the committee show the nature of these verhal communications, which were, in substance, that he should watch and counteract any foreign schemes in California, and conciliate the good will of the inhabitants towards the United States. Upon this intimanation of the government's wishes, Mr. Fremont turned back from Oregon, in the edge of which he then was, and returned to California.

This is the letter, and I think it right to show it, and to read it, lest any one should suppose it to be of more importance than it is:

"My DEAR SIE: The bener becod, Mr. Avalidati, C. Golego, in solar at 1845, with the northwest coast of America on bossness, and has requested me to give him a letter of introduction to you. This is to with posture, because he is a genileman of worth and respectability, and is worthy of your regard. I do not down it probable that be and the superschild of the superschild o

" J. C. FREMONT, Esq., Oregon."

This is the letter; and of itself signified nothing. But it ac-This is the letter; and of itself signified nothing. But it ac-eredited the bearer, and gave the stamp of authority to what he communicated, and upon this Mr. Fremont acted; for it was not to be supposed that Lieut. Gillespie had been scut so far, and through so many dangers, merely to deliver him that letter on the shores of the Hamath lake. Mr. Gillespie, in his testimony, has explained all the reasons of the mystery of this letter, and of the verbal communications, and shown that they were precautions to avoid detection in his perilous journey through Mexico in the fall of 1845

of 1845.
This is not the time or the occasion, Mr. President, to show amidst what dangers and hardships, scientific discovery was pursued by Mr. Fremont in these remote and unexplored regions.—The time may come for telling these things. But the events of a week on the shores of the great Tlamath lake, sketched with the brevity which the occasion requires, may give a glimpse of these bardships and dangers, and of the courage and fidelity with which

nardships and dangers; and of the courage and the state of the mess supported by his men.

The might be met Mr. Gillespie presented one of these scenes to which he was so often exposed, and which nothing but the highest which he was so often exposed, and which nothing but the highest degree of vigilance and courage could prevent from being fatal. The camping ground was on the western side of the lake, the horses picketted with long halters on its shore to feed on its grass, and the men, (fourteen in number,) sleeping by threes at different fires; for, though in May, the elevation of the place and the proximity of the snow-clad mountains made the night intensely cold. limity or the snow-enan monitorains made the ingus indensety condi-llis feelings joyfully excited by hearing from home—the first word of intelligence he had received since leaving the United States are year before—Mr. Fr.mont sat up by a large fire, reading his let-ters and papers, and watching over the safety of his camp while the non-lept. Towards midnight he heard a movement among the nice slept. Towards midnight he heard a movement among the horses, indicative of alarm and some danger. Horses, and especially mules, become extremely sensitive to danger under long the slept sensi expectancy mates, neconic extremely seasonal transager duster long at the approach of my thing strange. Taking a six-barrel pistol in his hand, and, without waking the famp, he went down among them. The moon shone brightly—he could see nothing. Encouraged by his presence the horses became quiet—poor domine creatures, that could not call what they had seen—and he recreatures, that could not tell what they had seem—and he re-turned to the camp supposing it was only some beast of the forest —some wolf or bear prowling for food, that had disturbed them. He returned to the camp-fire; Lieutenan Gillespie woke up, talked with him awhile, and then laid down again. Finally, nature had her course with Mr. Fremont himself. Excited spirits gave way to exhausted strength. The day's ride and the night's ex-citement demanded the reparation of repose. He laid down to sleep, and without waking up a man to watch, relying upon the loneliness of the place and the long ride of the day as a security against the proximity of danger. It was the second time in twenty thousand miles of widdeness explorations that his camp had sleet against the proximity of danger. It was the second time in twenty thousand miles of widerness explorations that his camp had slept without a guard—the first was in his second expedition, and on an island in the Great Salt Lake, and when the surrounding waters of the lake itself constituted a guard. The whole camp was then saleep. A ery from Carson roused it. In his sleep he heard a groan—it was the groan of a man receiving the tomahawk in his brains. All sprung to their feet. The savges were in the camp; the hatchet and the winged arm free. The savges were in the camp; the hatchet and the winged arm free. The camps were windered and the camp; the late of the camp; the camp is a camp of the camp; the late of the camp; the camp of the camp of the camp; the camp of the camp; the camp of the camp of the camp of the camp of the camp; the camp of the camp nesse, a brave and fathful young Frenchan, the follower of Fre-mont in all his expeditions, was dead: a low awas dead; a brave Delaware Indian, one of those who had accompanied Mr. Fremont from Missouri, was dyiog—it was his groon which awoke Carson. Another of the Delawares was a target for arrows, from which no nifle could save him—could only avege from rows, from which a woke the properties of the properties of the properties of the savages had waited till the monor was in the trees, casting long shadows, over the camp; then approaching from the dark side, with their objects between themselves and the gipht, they used only the batchet and the formidable bow, whence it came. All advantages were on the side of the savages; but the camp was saved, the wounded protected from massacre, and the form massacre, and the promoted from more of the properties of the promoted from more one of the promoted from the properties of the promoted from more of the promoted from the properties of the prope protected from massacre, and the dead from mutilation. In the morning Lieut. Gillespie recognized, in the person of one of the slain assailants, the Tlamath chief who, the morning before, had given him a salmon in token of riendship, and who had followed him all day to kill and rob his party at night—a design in which they would certainly have been successful had it not been for the promptitude and precision of Mr. Fremont's movement. Mr. Fremont himself would have been killed when he went to the horses had it not been that they counted upon the destruction of the whole camp, and leared to alarm it by killing one before the general mas-

It was on the 9th of May—a day immortalized by American arms at Resaca de la Palma—that this fierce and bloody work took

prace.

The morning of the 10th of May was one of gloom in the camp. The evening san of the 9th had set upon it full of like and jor at happy meeting: the same san rose upon it in the morning stained with blood, ghastly with the dead and wounded, and imposing mournful duties on the survivors. The wonded were to be car.

ried, the dead to be buried, and so to be buried as to be hid and secured from discovery and violation. They were carried ten miles, and every precaution taken to secure them from the wolf and the savage; for men, in these remote and solitary dangers, become brothers, and defend each other living and dead.

The return route lay along the shore of the lake, and during the

The return route say along the shore of the rake, and during the day the distinct cances of the savages could be seen upon it, evidently watching the progress of the party, and meditating a night attack. All precautions, at the night encampment, were taken for security—horses and men enclosed in a breastwork of great trees, out down for the purpose, and half the camp constantly on the watch. At leaving in the morning, an ambuscade was plant-cd—for the foe was known to be lurking about—and two of the Thumaths were killed by the neen in ambush. At night the main camp, at the north end of the lake, was reached. It was strongly fortified, and could not be attacked; but its whole negliborhood was infested, and secouts and patrols were necessary to protect every movement. In one of these executions the California horse, so noted for his spirit and docility, showed what he would do at the bid of his master. Carson's rifle had missed fire at ten feet the oid of his master. Carson's rine had missed fire at ten feet distance. The Thamath bow, arrow on the string, was bending to the pall. All the rifles in the party could not have saved him. A horse and his rider did it. Mr. Fremout touched his horse; he sprang upon the savage, and the hatchet of a Delaware completed the deliverance of Carson.

It was in the midst of such dangers as these that science was pursued by Mr. Fremont, that the telescope was carried to read the heavens, the barometer to measure the elevations of the earth, the thermometer to measure the temperature of the air, the penci to sketch the grandeur of mountains and to paint the beauty of flowers, the pen to write down whatever was new or strange or useful in the works of nature : it was in the midst of such dangers assume in the works of haddre; it was in the miost of such dangers as these, and in the wildest regions of the Farthest West, that Mr. Fremont was pursuing science, and shunning war, when the arrival of Lieut. Gillespie turned him back into California, and engaged him in the operations which gave rise to the bill which now claims the attention of the Senate.

claims the attention of the Senate.

Mr. Fremont truned back to California, and arrived in the valley of the Sacramento at a most critical and exciting time. Three great operations, fatal to American interests, were then going on, and mar temdy, if not arrested at once. These were the masgreat operations, fatal to American interests, were then going on, and past remody, if not arrested at once. These were the massacre of the Americans and the destruction of their settlements in the valley of the Sacrupento, the subjection of California to British protection, and the transfer of the public domain to British subjects, and all with a view to anticipate the events of a Mexican way, and to shelter California from the just reclamations of the University of the California from the just reclamations of the University of States. United States.

The American settlers came to the camp of Mr. Fremont, in the valley of the Sacramento, laid all these dangers before him, and im-plored him to place himself at their head, and save them from destrution. General Castro was then in march upon them; the Indians were excited to burn their wheat fields and to attack their families. Juntas were in session to transfer the country to Great Britain; the public domain was passing away in large grants to Britais, subjects; a British fleet was expected on the coast; the British subjects; a British feet was expected on the coast; the pritish Vice Consul, Forkes, and the emissary priest, MacNamara, ruling and conducting every thing, and all their plans so far advanced as to render the least delay fatal. It was then the beginning of June. War existed between the United States and Mexico, but that was not known in California. Mr. Fremont had left the two countries at peace when he set out upon his expedition, and was determined to do nothing to disturb their relations; he had even left California to do nothing to discrete the fraction and take up arms in so short a time, and to return and take up arms in so short a time, was apparently to discrete his own previous conduct well as to implicate the government. He felt all the responsibilities of the substitution of the substituti mediate danger of the settlers left inm no alternative. He determined to put himself at the head of the people, and to save the country. To repulse Castro was not sufficient. To overturn the Mexican government in California, and to establish its INDEPENDENCE, was the bold resolve, and the only one adequate to the That resolve was taken, and executed with a celer-it a romantic success. The American settlers rustemergency. I mat resorve was taken, and executed with a oeler ity that gave it a romantio success. The American settlers rusi-ed to his camp; brought their arms, horses, and ammunition; were formed into a battalion; and obeyed with zeal and alacrity the orders they received. In thirty days, all the northern part of Calemergency. orders they received. In thirty days, air the northern part of Chliffornia was freed from Mexican authority; independence proclaimed; the flag of independence (the bear flag) adopted; Castro flying to the south; the American settlers saved from destruction; and the British party in California counteracted and broken up in all their schemes.

The effects of this decisive and rapid movement can only be con-The enects of this decisive may rappa investment can only be conceived from a carreful perusal of the depositions. From them it will be seen that deep laid plains, conducted by the British Vice Consul, Forbes, and the emissary priest, MacNamara, were going on to shelter California under the British crown, and to vest its domain in British subjects; that overy thing was verging to a crisis, and a British fleet expected upon the coast, when this rapid and successful movement broke up all these designs. And when Admirul Seymour arrived on the 16th of July, instead of an invitation from the California Junta to take the country under British properties. tion from the California dutta to tiske the country index british protection, and an invitation from the grantees of principalities to take British interests under his protection, he found the American flag flying over Monteevy; Fremont and his rithenen encamped over the town; the British party extinct, and the American triplant. The effect which the appearance of this during body of

Western riflemen produced upon the minds both of the British and American naval officers, is well stated by Lieut. Minor in his de position; and, in justice to those men as well as to the truth of his tory deserves to be read here. He says:

The malerigand was on driven show, when "agrical Fernous arrived with his force at Mosters," from the sorts." The audientaged before that the step representation of the body of med, and the woll knows character of its communder, not only made a strong impression agricultural and officers, but an equally impossive and strong impression ground be fluids individual and officers, but an equally impossive and the most of the strong in th

This is the testimony of a disinterested witness-one who him-This is the testimony of a disinterested witness—one who luminestly, in the subsequent operations in California, rendered good service on land, there being no chance for him on the water, its bisows that the timely and successful novement of the California battahion, and its sudden appearance at Monterey, simultaneously with the arrival of the American and British fleets, was the turnival of the American and British fleets, was the turnival of the American and British fleets, was the turnival of the American and British fleets, was the turnival of the American and British fleets, was the turnival of the American and British fleets, was the turnival of the American and British fleets, was the turnival of the American and British fleets, was the substitution of the American and British fleets, which is the substitution of the American and British fleets, was the substitution of the American and British fleets, was the substitution of the American and British fleets, which is the substitution of the American and British fleets, was the substitution of the American and British fleets, was the substitution of the American and British fleets, was the substitution of the American and British fleets, was the substitution of the American and British fleets, was the substitution of the American and British fleets, was the substitution of the American and British fleets, was the substitution of the American and British fleets, was the substitution of the American and British fleets, was the substitution of the American and British fleets, was the substitution of the American and British fleets, was the substitution of the American and British fleets, which is the substitution of the American and British fleets, which is the substitution of the American and British fleets, which is the substitution of the American and British fleets, which is the substitution of the American and British fleets, which is the British fleets and the Br ing point in the fate of California. It showed the country was in arms to resist, instead of asking, British protection; and it encouraged the navy to believe that the whole country could be conquered; for without a land force, the naval forces could only have

operated along the coast.

operated along the coast.

And here a great fact presents itself—one which these depositions have consecrated to history, and which belongs to the chapter of events which determine the fact of countries. It is the fact
that Fremont's operations determined the action of Commodore
Sloat, and induced him to take possession of Monterey, contrary to
his intention when he anchored before that town; and thereby anticipated Admiral Seymour, frustrated his designs, whatever they
were, and mulned him to leave Monterey as suddenly and as mysteriously as he had arrived. The depositions establish this fact and some reference to their contents will prove what I say; and first, of the effect of these operations in deciding the action of Commodore Sloat. It is in proof that he entered the bay of Monterey as a friend, on the 2d of July, offering to salute the town, which the authorities declined, on the ground that they had no powder to return it, but probably because the British admiral was expected. On the 5th day of July, the operations of Fremont were heard of, On the 5th day of July, the operations of Fremont were heard of, and on the 7th, Commodore Sloat took the town. The restimony of the naval officers (Messrs. Minor and Wilson,) and the Commodore's own correspondence, (pages 70 and 73,) show circumstantially that his action was induced by hearing of these operations; and the testimony of Messrs. Fremont and Gillespie, pointing the control of the commodor of the c edly prove it. Mr. Fremont says :

celly prove it. Mr. Fremont says:

"I came down to Menteey with my command, apon the request of Commodore Stoat, to eo operate with him, and immediately on my arrival varied opon hum, in company with Leavienant Gillepe, on board the flequed Savannah, Commodore Stoat, to expense the company of the Leavienant Gillepe, whom he knew to be an agent of the green ment, for his authority, but that he had declined to give it. He then inquired to know made what instructions I had acted on taking my arms against the Mexican and the contract of the second structure of the contract of the contrac

Captain Gillespie says:

Captain Gillespie says:

'In regly to the above question of the bonomile committee, I beg leave to state that at an interview between Commodous Selast, 'Sipain Fremont, and unyord, lead July, 1946, Commodous Selast, 'Sipain Fremont, and unyord, lead July, 1946, Commodous Selast manufaced a feeling of dissinfaction that Captain Fremont and anyolf; land not reported ourselves, and the force mader our commond, but the common selastic selastic

Coincident with these statements is the letter of Commodore Commodore Stoat, of the 6th of July, to Commandore Montgomery, of the Portsmouth, then in the Bay of San Francisco, who had sent down a lunnch with the nows of Fremont's successes. The letter is dated from the flag-ship Savannah, Bay of Monterey, July 6th, 1817.

"Since I write you hat exempt. I have determined to hish the flag of the United States at this place to morous. I could profer heavy generated for dispute to much and the place of the flag of the flag of the flag of France or fl

This settles the great fact that Mr. Fremont's operations deter mined the action of Commodore Sloat—induced him to change his mind after he had been four days at Monterey—encouraged him to take the town, and to send out orders to hoist the United min to take the town, and to send out offers to host the United States fing at other places. On the 16th, Admiral Seymonr, in the Collingwood, of 80 guns, arrived; the frigate June was previ-ously on the coast; the largest squadron that the British governonsy on the colors: (or carless spanned may the Drives agoliest ment had ever had in the Paricia coccur was then there; and all the oridence combines to show that the object of this squadron was to watch Commodore Stoat, to follow him observes he went, to anticipate bin in getting to Montreyy, and to be in readment take California under the British flag, and to do whether probability and the probability of the control of t tection of British interests might require him to do. This is well and fully shown by the testimory. Licutenant Miner says: and fully shown by the testimory.

"The undersigned, long in common of the outbreak district of California, during the latter part of 18th, we informed by Pedro C. Carrilla, the California, during the latter part of 18th, we informed by Pedro C. Carrilla, California, and the California, and the California, 18th, and 18t

Californis; that a majority of the same were for claiming the protection of England; that their produce would probably have been executed, find it must been for the work and their fears of a mound force. Hen on the mount had off the bay of San Francisco, and their fears of an around force. Hen on the mount had of the bay of San Francisco, other tors, and their fears contained to confidence, that a majority of the pewide of Californis desired the protection of England; the opinion between formed was trengelment by the fact that as England of Californis, and the contained the confidence, that a majority of the pewide of Californis, desired the protection of England; the opinion to the formed was trengthened by the fact that as England of Californis, embracing the value was provided by the contained to the contained of Californis, embracing the value was provided by the contained of Californis, embracing the value was provided by the contained to the contained of Californis, embracing the value was provided by the contained by Reva Animal 8rd George T. Seymour, composed then of a larger force means of the Anometica commonders. Bent, when he heard of the first bettle on the Ru Grands grad underway in the francis when he heard of the first bettle on the Ru Grands grad underway in the francis when he heard of the first bettle on the Ru Grands grad underway in the francis commonder when the contained of the december of San Blas, where it was known the radium at war. After cruining in the direction of San Blas, underway, and stands in the direction of San Blas, the Californis, The understands here the third and the understand of San Blas. The understands here the third three days, alm after a passage of twenty the days after a which a provided a product of a major was a stand, she arrived at the port of Moustery, in Upper Californis. The Collegwood, of a

# Midshipman Wilson says :

"On the 16th of the same month Admiral Seymour, who had been following us reveral months previous, arrived and anchored in his flug ship, the Collingwood,

movement, such lieu would have been the rooth."

This testimony, as to the presumed designs of the British Admiral is fully corroborated by all that was going on in California itself, while Admiral Soymour was watching and following Commodore Slont on the coast of Mexico and California. During all that time juntas were held, under the management of the British vice consul Forbes, to place the country under British protection, the public domain was passing to British subjects, the arrival of a British fleet in the course of the summer to take possession of California was confidently foretold, and, as a preliminary to this measure, the expulsion and destruction of the Americans was resolved upon, the bands or preclamation for their expulsion actually issued, and troops raised and Indians excited for their destruction.

The expected arrival of the British fleet connected itself with all The expected arrival of the British fleet connected itself with all these operations; and all these would have been successful had it not been for the success of Mr. Fremont and the people, and so says all the testimony. There is too much of it to read; and, be-sides, a part of this labor has been anticipated, and well performed, in the luminous and statesmanlike observations of the Senator from in the luminous and statesmanlike observations of the Senator from New York, [Mr. Dix.] in what he presented to the Senate a few days ago in favor of this bill, and in his exposition of British designs upon this continent. He read some passages from the depositions which show these designs in California; I will now read more for the same purpose, and especially to show that a British interest was to be created, to claim his protection as soon as the Admiral arrived, and the Americans to be expelled or destrayed to prevent their opposition :

# Capt. Gillespie's deposition .- Extract.

"Having joined Least, Col. Personal rapose the bl. Nog. 1846, upon the northern call of the Taumsth lake, I returned upon the bl. Nog. 1846, upon the northern call of the Taumsth lake, I returned with him to the valley of the Stermente, and arrived at the settlement span the 24th of the same month. We could obtain no news from below, so soan, however, as it hereine known to the settlers that Capt. I continue the work of the settlement to th

# Cant. Hensley's denusition .- Estract.

"The fourth of July was duly celebrated, and on the fifth we organized the California battalion," adopting the 'grizzly bear' a our endown, requesting Capitais Femout to Take command of the battalion and of all the forces and secondess of the most to lake command of the battalion and of all the forces and secondess of the most bad secopted the command, the Californian population seemed to become well pleased with the change in affairs, and brought in their property and means, of war force, which they placed all Personan's thipseld. He restored to them and to the America, the control of the control o

#### Coptain Child's statement-extract.

"I know that Gen. Valigo led S nomons for the purpose of attending a general council at Monterey, abent the time the Irah puest, Maranamar, arrived in Cabino ma, and about time before the resultion in that country; and I recollect thearing that the Raghah consul, Mr. Forles, accompanied him to the Puedha le box Angelon. On the Purpose of Security Engineering in relation to obtaining a grant of Inada, upon of the Purpose of Security Engineering in relation to obtaining a grant of Inada, upon "The revolution, to the best of my knowledge, put an entire stop to such grants and sales."

## Captain Owens's deposition-extract.

"The settlers made many applications for high to Captain Derror's departition—extract.

"The settlers made many applications for high to Captain Fermont, on the ground that they were American citzens. We went down and camped at the Butter, about stary miles above Sutter's. Three was a good deal of correspondence between the start many and our camp, and as the danger sevent near it hand, and there was no other larger and our camp, and as the danger sevent near it hand, and there was no other larger than the start of the

#### Lieutenant Lokers's deposition-extract.

Installed the the Casternat Lakers's deposition—respect.

"Most the time Casternate was missing men to drive Colonel Premont out of the country. I was attained to the country. I was attained to the country. I was attained to the colonel country in the control of the colonel country and the colonel country and the colonel of the colonel of the colonel colonel country, and the colonel colo

# Dr. Baldwin's deposition-extract.

"I gain entered Mexico (city) on the 14th of September, 1847, and remained there must the 14th of Neubelen, 1847, and remained there must the 14th of Neubelen, 1847, and remained there must the 14th of Neubelen 14th time 1 make the acquisation of the proof the authors of the British Igazion, projected a plus to colonize California with emi grants from ferland. He project had not the appropriation of the Mexican government, and not the Mexican government. A flower opposition was contemiated that the ulterior view of Mexican government. A flower opposition was contemiated that the ulterior view of Mexican government. A flower opposition was contemplate from California; the re-strates because nunescenary pu consequence of the roar quest of California by the terms of the United States. Macmuniar level in the Inauly of either the Puttish counsil or change de a fallow in Mexican?

This is a small part—a small part only—of the depositions which establish the great points which I have mentioned, that there was a plan in progress at the time of Mr. Fremont's return from the a plan in progress at the time of art, remonts recurn trow the Thamath lake to place California under British protection—to transfer the public domain to British subjects, and to expel or de-stroy all the American settlers; and that this plan was frustrated by the heroic determination of Mr. Fremont to put himself at the head of the people, and to overturn the Mexican government in California. But it is not all the proof which shows the British California. But it is not all the proof Which shows the British desire to possess California, and especially the magnificent hay of San Franciso. That desire is no new passion with that power. From the time of the great navigator. Capt. Cook, her eyes have been fixed on the northwest coast of America. Three times, within half a century, have British national vessels surveyed that coast, and especially the bay of San Francisco. Vancouvery Beechely, and British experience of the property of with all the particularity of the survey of a British labor. Here is a chart of this bay made by Beechey in 1828, published at the Royal Hydrographic Burcau, exhibiting the most commodious and capacious harbor upon the face of the earth, large enough to hold all the navies of the world, an easy and defensible entrance from the sea, opening out forty miles to the right and left, sheltered the sea, opening out forty miles to the right and left, sheltered from every wind, receiving two handsome rivers, draining a basin of five handred miles of fertile valleys and picturesque mountains, a healthy and delightful climate, and backed at the distance of one hundred and twenty miles by the lofty ridge of the Sierra Necada, crowed with eternal snow. Upon this bay Greal Britain has had her eyes fixed for half a century; and, in June, 1846, at the mo-ment of Mr. Fremont's return from the Thananth Lake, all her long deferred and cherished inclinations seemed to be on the point of realization. The revolt of the settlers frustrated this ripened hope, in the apparent moment of fruition, and placed the coveted prize under the flag of the United States.

This finishes the first act of the conquest of California. It fin-

ishes the revolutionary movement in favor of independence, and prings Mr. Pemont and his victorious battalion to Montreey their flag of independence exchanged for that of the United States— —themselves unting with the naval forces—and turning over all the fruits of their successful enterprise to the government of the United States.

Outer States.

The committee, appreciating at its full value all the importance of The committee, appreciating at its full value and in dividuals, on the truth of bistory, have instituted into it a most ordering the properties of the properties o

and in conscience to pay all its expenses.

Commodore Sloat returned to the United States; Commodore Stockton succeeded to the command; and here commenced his connexion with the conquest of California. He proposed to complete the conquest by pursuing Castro to the south, and taking hereafted by the succeeding the 'city of the Angels'. But the aid of a land force was indispensable to this enterprise; and here the American settlers again showed their courage and partiriotism. Commodore Stockton applied to for him, and obey his orders. They agreed to do so, both one and officers, and this was fully sworn by Commodore Stockton to Commodore Stocks on the Stockton to Commodore Stocks on the Stockton to Commodore Stocks on the Stockton to Stockton

Commodore Stoat, he says:

"I namelately sent to Major Fremont to inform him of what had occurred, and to let him know that, af he and Lord. Gilleaps, with the valuaters who were with heat, would collate to serve under my command to long at limight he in passesson of 'thiftenin and desired the review, that it would be formed the proposed of the proposed of the state of the proposed when the proposed proposed when the proposed proposed when the proposed proposed of the state of the state of the state of the state of the proposed when the proposed pro

Thus the men of the battelion passed into the service of the United States without a stipulation for fixed compensation, but with the full expectation that justice would be done them. Mr. Fremont, actuated wholly by public and patriotic considerations, took command under Commodore Stockton. He gave up his independent position; become subordinate to Commodore Stockton; carried with him the men of the country, and ensured the complete conquest of the country; lor, without land forces, the conquest could not have been accomplished.

anot have been accomplished.

This was the last of July.

Leaving their horses on shore, the mea immediately went on board the Cyane, sailed down the coast classified the mean immediately went on board the Cyane, sailed down the coast classified in the mondred and lifty nules. This city (the capital of the Californias) was taken early in August; and with its capture, the conquest of California was complete. But the enquest was to be preserved. The orders to the naval officers were to conquer, lold, and govern California, and to do that, the service of land forces was further wanted. The seamen and marines were wanted on hoard the vessels; no troops of the United States were there. The further services of Fremont's battalion became indispensable. They demanded twenty-five dollars a month, (which is very near the amount allowed by law to mounted men,) and only remained in service upon coudition of receiving it. So testifies Capitain Higheney, an officer of the battalion, and a gentleman of character and intelligence, who was examined before the committee. He

"I was present when the California Intallian was mis-road into the curvice of 1½ Triefed Shairs, and the non-line a positively winted to sever for elevent dollars, per month. They remained in the service without any rate of pay long specified, unit, or Angent, 18% a lattle City of Angels Collonel Fermont on modeled me to unquire of my company at what rate of pay bey would consent to remain in the service. They unanimosely demanded twenty rive olders per morth, and refused to remain any longer in service in the state of the control of the co

Upon these terms they remained in service to garrison the

California battalone. In the fall of 1846 an insurrection brake out in Sonthern California, and the battalon was called mpon to join in suppression. Most of them had returned to the Yorth. Another campaign to the South was required, and many recruits necessary to complete its strength. A body of emigrants had just arrived from the United States, and stopped in the valley of the Sacramento. Leaving their families slightly provided with sletter and subsistence, above two hundred of them joined Mr. Fremont for this new expedition of near seven hundred miles distance. At tirst it was attempted from the San Francisco bay by sea. Baffled in that attempt, after twenty days' contest with adverse winds, a return to Monterey, and an overhand march to Los Angeles, became unavoidable. It was the beginning of winter—the cold rains already set; in—a country of defiles and mountains, and in state of insurrection, to be treversed—and every thing to be procured, and without money. In a few weeks all was ready—cannon mounted, beef cuttle procured, six hundred horses collected. It was a march of extraordinary hardship, as well as requiring military skill. Every day many horses perished of langer

and cold, and on Christmas day above an hundred died on the Santa Barbara in a storm of wind and rain, black with the temper from the Paolito ocean. The march required skill; but it was with something more than a soldier's eye that Mr. Fremont felt it to be bis duty to survey the field before him. He have that the Cali-fornians against whom he was going were themselves revolution. Size—successful insurgents against Alexican authority—and conseious that they must come either under the American or British scious that they must come either under the American or British flag. Conciliation was his policy. To gain over these people by mildness and justice, instead of crushing them by arms, became his object; and to the attainment of this object all his military movements became subordinate and subservient. San Luis Ohispo, a focus of insurrection, the scat of a commandant, and distant one hundred and fifty miles, was to be taken and passed. He conhundred and filty miles, was to be taken and passed. He con-ceived the design of a secret march—a surprise—a capture with-out bloodshed—and the seizure of the insurgent chiefs: and he ac-complished that design. The secret march was made—town sur-prised—and the arrests effected—and effected with the quiet and order of so many civil arrests in one of our peaceful ejties. Don Jesus Pico, and some thirty others, were taken. Then an event occurred which gave a decisive turn to the character of the war, and ensured its peaceful and happy conclusion. Don Jesus Pico was partieniarly obnoxious to the Americans. He had broken his parole—been netive in the insurrection—and had sent out an expedition in which Capt. Borrows and some brave men had been killed. He was placed before a court martial condemned, and ordered to be shot. Mr. Fremont pardoned him, and in that act consummated his policy of conciliation, prevented further resistance to his march, and prepared the way for the capital atom of Couenga. Don Jesus was connected with all the principal families by blood and marriage. He was cousin to Don Andres Pico, in pinelinal district the insurrection at Los Angeles. He attached himself to Mr. Fremont; took the side of peace and conciliation; went with him in his march; and contributed to quiet the towns through which they had to pass. The people remained in their houses, offered no resistance, and received no harm. A corps of observation which hung upon his march yielded the maritime of observation which hung upon his march yielded the maritime pass of the Punto Gordo without resistance, and galloped about without giving or receiving any serious attack. The main body of the insurgents at Los Angeles; hearing of his approach, and that he had passed Santa Barbara, marched out to meet him; then, changing their mides, they turned back to the south, and fought the actions of the 8th and 9th of January, with Commodore Stock-ton. Reculsed, but not result (for Commodore Stock-ton. Reculsed, but not result (for Commodore Stock-Repulsed, but not ronted. (for Commodore Stockton's command was all on foot, and the insurgents all mounted,) they turned again to meet Mr. Fremont, and took post in the pass of San Feragain to meet Mr. Fremont, and look post in the pass of San Fer-nando. They undertook to defend the pass, which being turned by the riflemen, they fell back into the plain of Couenga, famous as a battle field in the strifes of California. Mr. Fremont sent them a message. They agreed to meet him. He went out alone them a message. They agreed to meet him. He went out alone to see them, attended only by Don Jesus Pico, (who had attached himself to him for life and for death since his pardon,) and had an interview with Don Andres Pico and other chiefs. They agreed to capital te to him, and to nobody but him, declaring that they would take to the mountains "and die like wild beasts," before they would submit to any one but him. The terms were agreed would take to the mountains "and are tike with occasis," before they would submit to any one but him. The terms were agreed upon, and they were conformable to the law of nations, and to the law of common scuse and justice. The insurgents gave up their can-non and public arms, retired to their homes, promised submission to the American authority, and aid in preserving order, and in return were to receive protection, and not to be required to take the oath of allegiance to the United States name a definitive treaty of peace with Mexico should fix their political condition. terms, agreed upon in person, were reduced to form by commis-sioners appointed on each side, approved by the respective com-manders-in-chief, (Commodore Stockton and Do. Andres Pice,) and the war not only terminated and peace established, but the Truits of peace acquired and enjoyed.

This was the conclusion of the war, and was so related by the

This was the conclusion of the war, and was so related by the United States consul at Monterey, (Mr. O. Larkin,) who was a prisoner in the hands of the insurgents at the time of Mr. Fremont's approach to Los Augeles. He says:

mont's approach to Los Angeles. He says:

"On the 3d or 4th of Jannary news reached the Puebla that
Colonel Fremont was south of Santa Barbara, marching to meet
the Californian forces. The latter then mustered all they could,
to the anmber of 400 to 500, and encamped two or three days at
the mission of San Fernando, awaiting the arrival of the rillemen,
and appeared very anxions to have a fight. Information now
reached Georal Flores that Commodore Stockton, with 600 men
from San Diego, would soon be in his vicinity. He immediately
ordered silt the Mexicans and Californias to leave San Fernando,
and march to the opposite site of the Puebla to meet the marine
forces.

"On the 13th of January the capitulation of Conenga was signed.

signed.

"The war in California is now over, as far as the Californians are concerned, and if their manners and customs are tolerated, and and common protection afforded them, they will gradually fall into the new order of affairs. They have had, in different parts, ince hundred mean under arms, every man with good horses and a lance, most of them with swords, justofs, rifles, or earhines, every one of them countrymen, to aid them either by choice or floree; a perfect knowledge of every hill and valley; yet they did not sueced, and have found their losses in horses and waste of time so great as to prefer peace for the firture, under a guaranty of good treatment."

The capitulation of Couenga was the happy conclusion of the war, and is so testified by many witnesses. a captain in the Californian battalion, says:

"We had frequent skirmishes with parties of the enemy until the capitulation of Covenga, which acted almost magically in restoring peace and tranquility to the country; and but for that capitulation, so beneficial in its results, my impression is that the Californians would have carried on a system of guerrilla warfare, by which many lives and much property would have been sacrified."

Col. Russel, who was chief staff officer in the battalion, and one of the commissioners for making the capitulation, says:

"At the commissioners nor making the capitulation, says:

"At the capitulation of Don Andres Pice to Col. Fremment, he
and other Californians boldly declared their determination never to
submit to any other officer than him, and on the terms granted in
the capitulation of Couonga, of which I was one of the negotiators.

"I hesistate not to give it as my decided opinion that the capitulation of Couonga on the 13th January, 1817, was the main
cause of swing the country from a bloody, vexations peakage
warface, that would necessarily have been protracted for a considerable length of the Constant of the Country of t

erance neight of time.

"I remained at Los Angeles over two months after the capitu-lation, and became well acquainted and conversed much with the families in that part of the country, and from all I could learn the good results of that treaty in preventing a guerrilla warfare was fully confirmed."

This was the conclusion of the war, and the restoration of peace, and its fruits. The capitulation of Couenga was the pacification and reconciliation of California. It was the last act in the drama of the conquest, and, like the first movement in the valley of the Sacramento, was done upon the responsibility of Mr. Fremon, alone. From the day it was signed, peace and good-will prevailed in the country. Travelling and living became as secure as in an part of the United States. Mr. graph of the United States and th did go back upon it in that extraordinary ride with Don Jesus Pico, and was greeted every where by the hospitalities of the peopole. He afterwards lived two months as Governor in the capital of the Californias, like any Governor would live in the capital one of our States, without guards or sentres, or any semilance of military protection, the battalion being sent off ten miles to keep it out of the town.

And thus the same men who began the war finished it. The California battalion, formed out of the California settlers on the

Sacramento and the men of the topographical party, (reinforced afterwards by later emigrants from the United States), finished on the plains of Couxnes, the movement which had commenced at SONOMA, and in the same spirit of justice, moderation, and particidism. In conjunction with the saliors and marines they had twice conquered California before the United States troops arrived in the country. They did it without aid from the United States without quartermasters, commissaries, and paymasters to carry, feed, and pay them. The fruits of all their labors have been rewithout quartermasters, commissaries, and paymasters to earry, feed, and pay them. The fruits of all their labors have been received by the United States, and the bill rendered is only seven hundred thousant idollars—a fraction only of the amount paid to those who arrived after the work was done. It should have been provided for in one of the public bills. It is an appropriation, and of a public nature, and of the most sacred nature. It should a least have had a place in that "deficiency" bill of fourteen militons which lately passed Congress; for what can be more deficient than non-payment, for almost two years, for such extraordinary services? Even if this bill is passed at once, and with the least possible delay from legislative forms, it will still be almost half a year before the chaimants can begin to touch their pay.

The bill is earefully drawn, both with a view to public and to

The bill is carefully drawn, both with a view to public and to private justice. It is intended to settle up and pay up at once all just claims, and to close the door for ever upon all false ones. A just claims, and to close the door for ever upon all false ones. A commission acquainted with the subject, familiar with every transaction, is to go to California, visit every district in which claims originated, call all before them, allow the good, reject the bad, and har all that are not presented to them. In this alone, can justice be done to all parties, just claimants saved from the depredations of agents and speculators, the United States saved from paying false accounts, and California prevented from becoming a mine for the production of false claims for half a century to come. The great and main facts, that services have been rendered, that the United States have received the benefit of these services, and that they have not been paid for, are established by the depositions; the mode of settlement, and the detail of payment is directed by the bill. ment is directed by the bill.

Mr. DAYTON then took the floor, with a view of addressing the Senate to-morrow, and the bill was, by unanimous consent, passed over informally.

#### EXECUTIVE SESSION.

On motion by Mr. HANNEGAN, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of Executive business, and after some time spent therein, the doors were opened, and

The Scnate adjourned.

# TUESDAY, APRIL 11, 1848.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE TREASURY DEPARTMENT.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a communito VICE PRESIDENT and neture the Senate a communi-cation from the Secretary of the Treasury, accompanied by a re-port of the Commissioner of the General Land Office, in answer to a resolution of the Senate of the 22d January, 1847, respecting the quantity of public lands sold, after having been subject to private entry, at different periods

Ordered, That it be referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

RESOLUTION OF THE LEGISLATURE OF NEW YORK.

Mr. DICKINSON presented a resolution of the Legislature of the State of New York, in favor of the enactment of a law allow-ing full pay to the widows of officors and soldiers killed in hattle, or who die of wounds received in the service; which was ordered to lie upon the table, and be printed.

Mr. BRADBURY presented a petition of citizens of Corinna, Maine, praying that an inquiry may be instituted by Congress, whether the slave-trade is carried on in the District of Columbia, and under what authority; the motion to receive which, was laid

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, presented the memorial of John S. Skinner, of the City of New York, praying, in behalf of the agriculturists of the country, an appropriation of money, to be applied under the direction of the State governments, or as Congress may otherwise direct, to the establishment of institutions for gress may once where the control of the essantisation of institutions in geology, mineralogy, and vegetable and animal physiology, in civil ongineering as applied to road making, bridge building, and other rural architecture, and to instruction in the mechanical principles on which depend the labor-awing properties and efficiency of agricultural implements and machinery!

In presenting this memorial, Mr. JOHNSON said: In presenting this paper, Mr. President, I cannot but express my gratification that this piper, Mr. President, I cannot but express my gratification that it has been committed to my charge. The unemorialist himself is not only known, but justly distinguished for the zeal, ability, and efficiency with which, for a period of near thirty years, he has pursued and promoted the great eause of agricultural science. It may, I think, with truth be said, that its present improved condition monogst us is more or less to be attributed to his long and continued efforts. He has not only been its first minages that he have timued efforts. He has not only been its first pioneer, but he has been throughout, and still is in the advance, carnestly striving to peen turonguous, and sain is in the advance, can nestly striving to remove all remaining obstructions, and to bring it to a state to ultimate perfection. Such is his object in the present memorial; and if he succeeds, as, looking to the real honor and interest of the and if he suececds, as, looking to the real noner and interest of the nation, I trust he will, what mealeulable benefit will he have con-ferred upon the country? Military glory may cause the nation's heart to beat high with gratitude, but it more often dazzles to delude than ends in permanent strength and renown. It is the achievements of civil life which impart to human power its highest achievements of civil mice which impact to manual power its ingliest value; and, of all the departments of civil employment, the most worthy, the most to be cherished, and especially under a government like ours, is agriculture. To say nothing of the incalculable value of its productions, capable as they are too of continued in-erease by the proper intellectual improvement of its followers, what strength is not given to free institutions by the uniform and almost necessary virtue of such a population.

And yet, sir, whilst millions have been and are still being ex-pended by us for the arts which teach the destruction of life, how pended by us for the arts which teach the destruction of life, how much has been given to this noblest of all sciences, which instructs only to promote, to prolong, and to render life happy and virtuous? Nothing, literally nothing, Sir, the memorialist states, and I have such confidence in his accuracy that I am sure the statement may be relied upon, that of the amount of the disbursements of the government eighty per cent. is for military and naval expenses; tnat is or say, eighty dollars of every one hundred dollars paid by the people into the treasury is appropriated to the keeping up our military establishments and proparations for war; whilst for agriculture, which exists vanly for peace and through pence, which brings to the proper supplements of the proper supplements of the property of the prope no wo, but only immense good, not one dollar is given. He re-minds as also, and it is a fact eminently worthy of the public at-tention, that during the whole period of Washington's administratention, that during the whole period of Washington's administra-tion our military expenses were only \$11,000,000, whilst for a sim-ilar period of eight years, terminating in 1843, they were \$162, 000,000. It is, sir, a striking and a tearful lesson. It teaches us that, popular as war is, other institutions have in that respect a natural tendency in the saune direction, and that the result in the end may be the same—the oppression of heavy and crushing

He gives us another fact also worthy of notice, and especially ne gives us another fact and worthy of notice, and especially worthy of the notice of the agriculturists of the nation. It is this that from 1789 to 1843 the expenditures of the government, exclusive of payments on account of the public debt, for merely civil objects were \$246,620,000, whilst for the same time they were for military and naval objects \$538,964,278. And even of this trifling comparative amount appropriated for peaceful purposes only, the comparative amount appropriates or in peacetin purposes only, the agriculturists of the country enjoyed no exclusive advantage. To dition, to increase their power, to elevate them in the scale of social existence, not a dollar of it was applied. And yet, sir, what claims have they not had upon the countenance and protec-tion of the government?

To say nothing of the fact which reason establishes and history confirms, that it is with them that the true strongth and virtue of a free people are ever to be found, the immense disproportionate wealth that they bring into the common fund persuasively demand for them the fostering hand of all. It is estimated, sir, and the amount is, I incline to think, below the truth, to be \$654,387,597-

amount is, I incluse to think, below the truth, to be \$654,837,597—a sum three imme greater than the value of the manufactoring industry of the country, and five times greater than that of all the other sources of human industry combined.

I invoke the serious regard of the agriculturists to these facts. They must see in them how commanding are their claims upon the government, and how shamelessly they have been disregarded. The cause is to be found in their want heretofore of anion amongst themselves in some movement upon the subject. There seems to be now approaching a propitious time for some joint and effectual effort. Peace, I trust, will soon be seen to bush the noise of war effort. Peace, I trust, will soon be seen to bush the noise of war within our cwn borders. The mighty popular convulsions now heaving with terrific power in the old world promise to subside in the annihilation of arms as an employment. The long-lost or limited liberty of man appears to be on the eve of complete restoration. Civil employment, sobial to the result: and happeness, and wealth, and power, and true glory will be promoted in proportion as governments devote their means to the proper encouragement of civil life. This, sir. is not the occasion to meet in advance any constitutional impediment which mny he suggested to the particular encouragement solicited by this memorial. I content myself, therefore, with saying that a coreful examination of the question, founded upon the letter and spirit of the constitution, and the opinions of its fathers, leave my mind in no doubt, in none whatever

If commerce can be protected and encouraged, and it has been If commerce can be protected and encoaraged, and it has been from the beginning of the government to the present day; if munufactures can be protected and encouraged, and they have also been from first to last; if the profession of arms even ean be protected and encouraged, and when has it not been, then why may not agriculture and her followers be protected and encouraged? Without adding a word more, I submit the memorial, and, as I believe there is no committee to whom its reference would be pe-

culiarly appropriate, I move that it be referred to a special c mittee, and be printed.

Mr. BERRIEN observed that there was a Committee on Agriculture, to which it appropriately belonged.

Mr. JOHNSON then moved that it be referred to that commit-

The motion was agreed to, and the memorial was ordered to he printed.

Mr. HALE presented a petition of citizens of Meriden, Connecticut, praying that Congress may inquire to what extent, and by what authority, slavery exists in the District of Columbia; the motion to receive which was faid upon the table.

Also, two petitions of citizens of Pennsylvania, praying such amendment to the constitution or laws, as shall appropriate the public lands in the extinction of slavery throughout the Union; the motions to receive which were laid upon the table.

Also, two petitions from citizens of Maino praying that an inquiry may be instituted by Congress whether the slave trade is carried on in the District of Columbia, and under what legal au-thority; the motions to receive which were laid upon the table.

## MAPS OF THE BATTLES IN MEXICO

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, from the Committee on the Library, reported the following resolution, which was considered by manimous consent and agreed to :

Resolved, That the Secretary of the Senate be instructed to purchase for the use of the Senate two thousand copies of each of the three maps of the batters at twisted to the senate two thousand copies of each of the first maps of the batters at twisted to originals, drawn from actual survey by Copie, McCellon, and other offices of the United States Topiczaphical Engineers; two hardred copies of each to be on plate drawning parie, the remainder on good than map paper.

### ADVERSE REPORTS.

Mr. MASON, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the petition of James Edwards, submitted an adverse report, which was ordered to be printed.

Mr. MASON, from the same committee, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of Wm. Ralston, reported it without amendment, and submitted an adverse report on the subject, which was ordered to be printed.

#### PRIVATE BILLS, ETC.

Mr. HANNEGAN, from the Committee on Foreiga Relations, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of William M. Blackford, reported it without amendment.

Mr. HANNEGAN, from the same committee, to whom was referred the memorial of Mrs. Ann Chase, submitted a report, accompanied by a joint resolution for her relief.

The joint resolution was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

## NEW YORK JUVENILE REFORMATION SOCIETY.

Mr. DIX, from the Committee on Military Affairs, to whom was referred the memorial of the Society for the reformation of juvenile delinquents in the city of New York, reported a bill for its relief; which was read and passed to the second reading.

#### PRIVATE HOUSE BILLS.

Mr. DOWNS, from the Committee on Private Land Claims, to whom were referred the hills from the House of Representatives for the rollef of Edna Hickman, wie of Alexander D. Peck; and for the relief of Sarah D. Caldwell, wife of James H. Brigham, reported them without amendment.

#### HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY, FLORIDA.

Mr. UNDERWOOD, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the memorial of the County Commissioners of Hillsborough county, Florada, reported a bill to confirm the location and to grant a quarter-section of public land for the county site of Hillsborough county, in the State of Florida.

#### SCHOONER TRICONIE.

Mr. BRADBURY, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the petition of Henry Williams and others, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for the relief of the owners of the

The hill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

# MAJOR CALEB SWAN.

Mr. BRADBURY, from the same committee, to whom was referred the memorial of the heirs of Major Caleh Swan, reported a resolution that the committee be discharged from its further consideration.

## PRIVATE BILL.

Mr. BELL, from the Committee on Indian Affairs, to whom was referred the petition of Charles Findlay, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for the relief of P. Choteau, jr., and com-

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

# GEORGE FISHER, DECEASED.

The Senate proceeded to consider the amendment of the House of Representatives to the bill of the Senate for the relief of the legal representatives of George Fisher, deceased; and it was

Resolved, That they concur therein.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives thereof.

# THE AMISTAD CASE.

On motion by Mr. HANNEGAN, it was

Ordered. That so much of the annual message of the President of the United States as relates to the Amistad case, be referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

# COLLECTION DISTRICT OF NEW ORLEANS.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the whole, the bill from the House of Representatives relating to the collection district of New Orleans and for other purposes; and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it pass to a third reading.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved, That this hill pass.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

# BRANCH MINT IN NEW YORK.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill to establish a branch of the Mint of the United States in the city of New York; and

30TH CONG .-- IST SESSION .-- No. 60.

On motion by Mr. DICKINSON, it was

Ordered, That the further consideration thereof be postponed to, and made the order of the day for, Monday the 8th day of May next.

#### ACQUISITION OF TERRITORY AND SLAVERY THEREIN.

The Scaate proceeded to consider the resolutions submitted by Mr. Bager, on the 25th and 27th January last, in relation to the acquisition of territory by treaty or conquest, and the question of slavery in any such acquired territory.

Mr. BAGBY.—Mr. President:—These resolutions were introduced on the 25th day of January, at which time, there were before the Somete, questions of pressing practical importance, connected with the vigorous and efficient prosecution of the war with Mexico, and claiming the paramount, if not the exclusive consideration of the Senate. Phey were called up repeatedly, in the progress of the bonises of the Sonate, and at each time, they were so called, I stated distinctly, what I now repeat, that, satisfied as I was upon the fullest, maturest, and most deliberate censideration of the justice of that war, on our part, I would not, so far as depended on my action, permit any other measure, no matter what consideration is it might involve, to interfere with the adoption promptly, and at once of the measures necessary for the efficient and vigorous prosecution of the war. I did not believe theu, nor do I believe now, in windy, fustina speeches. Action, and not words and noisy declamation, is the appropriate business of men engaged in matters of great concern, not only to this country, but to other

matters of great concern, not only to this country, but to other countries; not only the present generation, but to after times.

These resolutions embrace four distinct propositions, upon the correct solution of some, if not all of which, in my judgment, the perpetuity of our our institutions depend. The first contains a distinct, substantive, and anqualified limitation upon the constitutional power of Congress, to abolish or to prolibit slavery in any State or Territory of bits Union. This position is assumed upon the fullest consideration, and after the most mature reflection as to all the consideration, and after the most mature reflection as to all the consideration, and after the most mature reflection as to all the tomost process is concerned. If the correctness of this position is well sustained and deep laid in the constitution, of which I have not the shadow of a doubt, then, the truth and the correctness of it, ought to be adopted and proclaimed. The people of the country ought to be independed, when the constitution, of which I have for the shadow of a though their that had the correctness of it, ought to be adopted and proclaimed. The people of the courty ought to be adopted and proclaimed. The people of the courty oughts depend and want of the process of the provisions of moon-strong this syndre, so pretended philanthropists. I cannot be led now 21 cannot be tempted into discussion upon the abstract question of slavery. Whitever it is, those who hold it under the provisions of the constitution, found it either for good or for evil, either as a blessing or a curse, in the path-way of their destiny, and there it will stand until the constitution is changed, or until it is undermined by that insidious torrent, which is ever and anon lashing against it. I have but little fear of either. The second resolution I should not have introduced, but for the daily insinations here, that the acquisition of territory in a lawful war, was, in the expressive and refined language of the day, land steading. Whenever the truth and correctness of the proposition contained in the second resolution is seriously controverted, I stand prepared to ever the truth and correctness of the proposition contained in the second resolution is seriously controverted, I stand prepared to sustain it fully, and to the whole extent by law, precedent, practice and principle, as recognized by every civilized nation from the enrilest periods of authentic history, down to the present time. I will not anticipate objections which I do not think will be seriously urged, and which I know cannot be sustained, either by reason or authority. The third resolution contains a clear and express limitation upon the powers of two branches of terms of the property from the seriously contained, the property is the seriously contained to the property from such territory—but that such territory shall be equally free and open to the citizens of each and all the citizens of the United States, without any limitation, prohibition, or restricted. property from such territory—but that such territory shall be equally free and open to the citizens of each and all the citizens of the United States, without any limitation, prohibition, or restriction, in regard to slaves or any other description of property whatever. The first branch of this proposition restrains the general government from the exercise of any interference with the rights of property in the possession under the constitution, of any pornion of the people of the United States of the Art great fundamental principle that less at the bottom of our institutions, that whatever is acquired by common blood or by common treasure, or by both, shall be the common property of all, the people of the United States, and equally free to the use, occupation, and eigopwent of all. This proposition rests upon the constitution; it is sustained by every consideration of courty, troth and justice, and fertified by the cternal principles of reason and of right. And, as I had consistent on the constitution of the property acquired by common blood and common treasure, should not enure to the equal benefit of all the people of all the States of this great and growing nation. What is the constitution of the United States? There is no difference of opinion upon this point. It is suversally admitted, by Americane power the parties to it are perfect varieties.

Pennsylvania. If, then, the constitution be a compact between parties, whose rights and powers under it are precisely the same, let us enquire into the causes and considerations that induced the ter its enquire into the causes and considerations that mouced the parties to enter into that compact. It was in the language of the preamble to the constitution "to form a more perfect union, estab-lish justice, insure domestic tranquility, provide for the common defence, promote the general welfare, and seeme the blessings of liberty to themselves and our posterity. These were the ob-jects for which the States voluntarily disrohed themselves of a portion of their sovereignty and offered it up a willing sacrifica upon the a tar of a common country. They were objects, the permanent security of which constituted a fair and adequate equivalent for the solemn surrender of a portion of that sovereignty, to obtain and establish which, the aspirations of the purest patriots had been elevated, and the best blood of the revopurest parrors and noein cievatria, and the frest mood of the revo-lution was spill—to chain which, he for the cievatrian of the con-sideration of the Sanate is, how these objects can be best promo-solitorian of the Sanate is, how these objects can be best promo-ted, secured, perpendical? To my mind, this is question of con-solution. It is by carrying out the provisions of the constitution according to their obvious meaning and import, keeping in the according to their obvious meaning and import, keeping in view he rule equally applicable in the proper ensurence of all instruments, the intention of the parties, and the objects they intended to scene and provide for at the time they entered into it; guarding with equal vigilance and caution against implication and unabhorized construction on the one hand, and officious interpolation on the other. In a word, by taking the constitution as it is and as its immortal framers intended it to be. I do not propose, Mr. President, to enquire into the power of the government to acquire territory. I hold that to be a point settled, beyond the power of centroversy to disturb it. It is a power necessarily, properly, and inseparably incident to the war power, and the treaty making power. I shall content myself, for the present, with opining what are the rights of each and all the citizens of all the quiring what are the rights of each and all the citizens of all the States of the Union in regard to the territory acquired, either by treaty or by conquest. And I assert and maintain, in the States of the Union in regard to the territory acquired, either by treaty or by conquest. And I assert and maintain, in the language of the third resolution, that such territory when acquired by the United States is, and of right ought to be, equally free and open to all the citizens of all the United States, without any limitation, prohibition or restriction in regard to slaves, or any immercia, promotion or restriction in regard to Salves, for any other description of property whatever; and would it, permit me to ask, tend to establish justice, or, to promote its great ends, to declare that when territory had been acquired by the joint efforts, and joint contributions of the people of the slaveholding and the non-slaving States, that the latter should use, possess, and enjoy it ad libitum, and that the latter should be excluded from its pulses they disseated because of the property from it, unless they divested themselves of their property, and the means of subsistence before they set their feet upon it. The propmeans of subsistence welfare new set their feet inport. In a propertion of the properties of the compact entered into by the States at the adoption of the constitution to the case of an ordinary copartnership into which two or more persons had entered for the purpose of promoting, by lawful means, their mutual interests. Suppose in the course of lawful means, their mutual interests. Suppose in the course of their mutual exertions, sacrifices, and labors, they acquire land by purchase, or in payment of a debt due to the copartners, con-tracted in the course of the partnership transactions. Is there a man so dead to all the feelings and suggestions of reason, and above all, to the eternal principles of justice, equity, and morality, as to contend that, one or more of said partners would be at liberty, and have a right to the full and interrupted enjoyment of the land thus acquired, and that the other partner or partners should be excluded from it, contrary to, and it violation of, the original articles of copartnership or compact between them? And original articles of copartnership or compact between them? And yet, this is the precise monstresity in law, equity, justice, morality, reason, and common sense; into which those have fallen who econtend for the power in the general government to exclude slaves as property, from territory acquired by the United States. I know a distinction has been attempted to be drawn, of late, between territory where slavery exists, at the time of acquisition, and that where it does not. But they cannot disnose of any tertween territory where slavery exists, at the time of acquisition, and that where it does not. But they cannot dispose of any territory or any other preperty belonging to the United States with a view to promote the interests of any portion of the people of the control of the contro thermory vers in me Onice Graces, and may can depose it in the same manner, and under the same rules and regulations, that they can dispose of other property belonging to the United States. The only legitimate object for which territory can be neguired is the formation of new States. We cannot, according to the provisions of the constitution, or the nature and genius of our institutions, hold it as provinces. The colonial system was never in the contemplation of the framers of the constitution, and is not only not contained in any of its provisions, but is at war with all its fundamental principles. Such a system would tend, with inevitable certainty, to a vast and dangerous increase of executive power and might in the end, overshadow the rights and independence of the States, and subvert the government, and establish a despotism in its stead. Colonial organization would live, and move, and have its being in executive power and patronage, and would end in the

destruction of liberty. I am not ignorant that a doctrine different from the one for which I am contending has been asserted in an imposing form, and in some sections of the Union to a considerable extent. Great and intelligent communities, speaking, solemnly through their assembled representatives have asserted the power to be in Congress, and called for its exercise. to exclude, by a fundamental law, slaves as property from all territory to be here after a equiver. I shall not attempt to investigate or to answer the arguments by which they arrive at this conclusion. I have not only the sole of the control of these views. Some-dody has said that who he enternament of these views. Some-dody has said that who he constitution, and fearlessly assert that such a doctrine has no support, either in the provisions or spirit of that instrument, or in the more enduring and eternal principles of equality and justice. I ware the advecates of this doctrine, in advance, that they are contending for principles destructive of the constitution—destructive of the rights of the southern states—destructive of equality among the citizens of the southern states—destructive of equality among the citizens of the southern states—destructive of equality among the citizens of the southern states—destructive of equality along the customs.

lishment of such a doctrine an honr.

I have listened with apprehension not unmixed with indignation, at the coolness and complusency with which gentlemen from the free States spake of the institution of slavery, as it exists under the guarantees of the constitution, in some of the States of this Union. After felicitating themselves, with more than Pharissical righteensitess, that they "are not as other men," they, in a spirit of amazing condescension and kindness, charitably assure us ever more surpassing impudence? I seem to accept the charitable domain. I reject the profered hoon. Sir, the people of the slave-holding States do not hold their rights by a tenure so frail and uncertain as the will, or the forbearence, or the concessions, or the gratuity of a majority in Congress. They derive them from a higher and purer source. They derive them from the constitution; and highly as they venerate that instrument, when it consets to ensure to them the free and full enjoyment of these rights, they will no longer consider it worth preserving. These, say, are some of my views in regard to the principles involved in the three-sent session, the honorable Senator from New York. [Mr. Dickness, 1 and 1 after day, I had the honor of submitting to the Senate of Senator from Senator and the introduced a series of resolutions, embracing to some, perhaps to the whole extent, the principles involved in those which at a later day, I had the honor of submitting to the Senator with great care, and with a sincere desire, if I could be anothed to do so, to avoid the introduction of topics which might subject me to the imputation of being influenced in sectional views, and local considerations, I examined the resolutions brought forward by that honorable Senator with great care, and with a sincere desire, if I could, according to the discontinual to the senator with great care, and with a sincere desire, if I could, according to the discontinual to the resolution when he sexualty and which entire respect for lim, I have found myself

Respirel. That is organizing a territorial government for territory belonging to the United States, the principles of self-covernment, or on which our federative system rest, will be less promoted, the true spirit and meaning of the count tition be observed, and the confideracy strengthened, by leaving all questions concerning the domestic policy therein to begindance chosen by the people thereof.

Now, although this resolution does not assert the power of the government in positive terms, it is evidently intended to convey the idea that the territorial legislature chosen by the people, are, and of right tought to be, the exclusive judges of all questions of poley arising in the territory. The correctness of this position I deny to the wisole extent. The people of a territory belonging to the United States possess no other rights than those which belong to all unorganized commonities, or bodies of men, which flow from the laws of nature, except those which they derive from the authorizes Congress to impee the above that the censituation authorizes Congress to impee the above that the censituation authorizes Congress to impee the above the power from Content in regard to slavery, they cannot derive that power from Content in the content of the

such political privileges and immunities as Congress may confer upon them, by the act authorizing them to form a temporary gov-ernment, confining that act, of course, within the limits of the con-stitution. But the idea that the people residing upon territory acstitution. But the idea that the people residing upon territory ac-quired by the United States, either by treaty or conquest, are to be entitled to the enjoyment of any right, privilege, or immunity to which the citizens of the United States, or any of them, who may remove to such territory after it becomes attached to the United States, are not entitled, is not only repugnant to every principle and feeling of American liberty and equality, but is in the very teeth and jaws of the constitution. I can readily imagine, Mr. President, why this new-born zeal in favor of the rights of the people of a territory to exclude slaves as property, is exhibited with so much fierceness and pertinacity at this particular juncture who as intender Excess and personnel, at the long is absumed to a considerable properties of the prope when is such is such as the state of the constitution vain, doesn't state of the st is a dressing guaranties it to those who think proper to hold it; and while the constitution exists, they cannot be deprayed of it, without doing violence to that instrument. If the constitution be defective in this respect, or any other, let it be amended in the manner provided for hy its illustrious framers. But to violate is not to amend

to destroy is not reform.

I will not trespass further upon the indulgence of the Senate at present. I consider these resolutions as involving considerations of vast importance. I have thought it proper to call the attention of the Senate to them, and all 1 ask is, that they be post-poned until Monday week, and made the special order of the day. Then, if no other Senator desires to discuss them, I shall respectfully ask for a delibrate vote of the Senate upon them.

On motion by Mr. BAGBY, it was

Ordered, That the further consideration thereof be postponed to, and made the order of the day for, Monday the 24th inst.

## THE FRANKING PRIVILEGE.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill to declase the true intent and meaning of the act relating to the franking privilege of members of Congress.

Mr. BADGER observed that as the bill was not in possession of the Senate at the moment, he would move that it be passed over informally; which was, by unanimous consent, agreed to.

# CALIFORNIA CLAIMS.

The Senate resumed the consideration, as in Committee of the Whole, of the bill for ascertaining and paying the California claims

Mr. DAYTON.—It is no part of my purpose to discuss the bill that is before the Sonate: but, connecting listed as t does, bill the general subject of the war, I purpose availing myself of the opportunity which this bill affords of submitting some general ob-servations on other points. I know full well the disadvantages under which the speaker labors in endeavoring to address the Senate upon the general question under existing circumstances. The remarks that may be made must fall cold on the ear of the Senate, but circumstances of a personal nature make it absolutely essen tial, if I say aught on this question, that I avail myself of the op-portunity of saying it now.

Amid the strife and noise of a conflict, it is, at all times, cult for parties to realize their true position. Fortunately for us the tumult of the contest has, for the present, ceased The smoke the tramit of the contest has, for the present, ceased The smoke has cleared away; between the armies of Mexico and the United States an armistice exists. For the first time, since the commencement of the war, we have reached a point from which we may calmly survey the past and prolitably consider of the future. It is due on as—it is due to the country that we do so. In May, 1846, war was declared to exist by the act of Mexico. I need not say as: that that dollaration was wrang-literally. 1840, war was declared to exist by the act of Mixinco. I need not say, sir, that that declaration was wrong—literally wrong under protest, from this side of the chamber. I then thought, and I now think, that the alternative forced upon us of refusing supplies, or admitting, by way of preamble, the truth of a declaration which we believed to be false, was the exertion of at least an extreme right by a party majority. But right or wrong we met the occa-sion, and supplies in men and money were granted on demand. We protested then and since we have repeatedly renewed our protest against the origin of the war, while we have furnished means for its prosecution. This has been charged upon us as a species of test against the origin of the war, while we have turbused because for its prosecution. This has been charged upon us as a spocies of moral obliquity—a kind of Jesnitical presence, justified by no sound principle of reason or of morals. I have ever held otherwise; when war becomes the law of the land, and so exists upon your when war brecomes the law of the land, and so exists upon your statute book, it is the duty of every good citizen to sustain and uphold it, till the law be repealed, or what is tantamount, peace be declared. Such have been the principles of action in other coun-tries as well as in this. I will not speak of the British supply bill; but I may refer to the action of the great federal party in the war of 1812. Deprecating the commencement of the war as they did,

a major part of the measures for its prosecution were passed withat major part of the measures for its procession were passed out division and by the assent of the party. So, too, in the quasi war with France in 1797-'98, brought about against the wishes of the republican party of that day. Mr. Jefferson, as the great exponent of the principles of that party, declared, in a letter written by him, that we must avoid the war if we can, but if it came we must defend ourselves and stand by the country—whether the house must defend ourselves and stand by the country—whether the house be fired from without or from within it was equally our duty to extinguish the flame. This has, indeed, been a principle of action recognized by all parties in this government. It is no new doc-trine incorporated into our political ereed. It is no Jessitical pre-tence, no moral obliquity. It is a principle of patriotic action re-cognized by all statesmen—all patriotis, and in all times. Well, in these circumstances, the while party fell it to be its duty to strengthen the arm of the government—to strike, while the he same time it deprecated the consequences of the blow. Now,

we have reached a point in the history of this war when the right of private judgment can again vindicate itself. We have before us an enemy, prostrate, wounded, dying; upon his body stands a Colossus, in full armor, unharmed by the contest! I ask, again, have we not now attained a point where we may exercise the right nave we not now attained a point where we may exercise the right of self-judgment? A point, at which we may say to the strong, cease to war upon the weak? In my opinion we have; and stopping here, what a glorious result is before us? In a military point of yex, a foreign war, conducted without a single leverse; in a of view, a foreign war, conducted without a single everse; in a civil point of view, a peace without a dollar, and without an acre wrested from the enemy without a just equivalent. Well may be it said that a peace made upon the terms offered and accepted, amounts about to this: "indenity for the past," is the hundred millions you have poil; and "security for the future," is the twenty millions you are to pay? The very result which might have been unfluipsted in the prosecution of such a war. We have now reached a stopping place; some of us have thought it advisable to seize upon the late treaty as the most immediate, most plausible plan of getting rid of the loan; friends have differed among themselves as to our true course of action. I should my-self have been willing—I should have preferred that political friends had permitted this matter to rest upon their votes; or, that at all events, that they should have been satisfied to await the removal of the injunction of secrety, before they published to the world the con-siderations which had influenced their action in secret session. But the Senator from Massachusetts, not now in his seat, [Mr. Web-STEA,] whose absence I regret, and in the mournful cause of whose absence we all sympathize, has thought it needful to promulgate to his and my constituents the reasons which have influenced him in voms and my constituents the reasons which have influenced bim in vo-ting, as he says, against the ratification of the treaty. He 'holds,' be says, 't he conscience of but one man,' and be 'meants to make a clean breast of it.'' Sir, he is in the exercise of his legal and just rights. But, in 'making a clean breast of it,' in removing the burden from his own conscience, he has unconsciously and unitentionally,' I doubt not, imposed the same burden, with the and intentionally, I doubt not, imposed the same burden, with the added weight of his own opinions and arguments, upon the consciences and breasts of other men. It will be for us to say whether we are able to meet the responsibility which is thus invoked before our constituents.

The members of the Senate, at least, however it may be with the public, after what has passed in Executive session, will not feel surprised that I should assume to answer some of the suggestions of that distinguished Senator. His speech was not the beginning, but rather the end of controversy. I would that it had ended where it began. I have no wish to obtain the little ophemeral reputation, which comes from "much talking," or a collision with distinguished ancomes from "muon taking," or a collision with distinguished an-tagonists, but whenever an opportunity presents itself, pregnant with great events to the country, I never have, and I never shall shrink from my just responsibilities. I am, for one, prepared to meet the responsibility involved in the ratification of that treaty; meet the responsibility involved in the ratification of that trenty; and after the speech of the distinguished Scantar from Massachusetts, read as it has been in every town, village, and hamilet of my State, 1 feel as others similarly circumstanced must feel, the necessity of a roply 1 am here to answer; 1 here now declare to my constituents, as I understand I have a right to declare to my constituents, and to the world, my own action upon that subject. I here declare then, that in this chamber, and out of it, in official debate, and by private appeal, in every mode, and by every leg ti-mate measure that I could bring to bear, I endeavored to sustain and enforce the ratification of the treaty. And I say furthermore, if it be of the slightest interest to my constituents to know it, that while its fate was yet in doubt, I first broke ground in its favor on this side of the chamber. For all which I am ready to meet the

responsibility which that position demands.

Now, sir, what are the objections which have been arged against the ratification of this treaty? We are first told—and it is the first general objection—that "we have snatched up a paper, called or miscalled, a treaty—negotiated without authority, and then have ratified it"—that reversing the natural order of things, after ratifying the treaty, we have then appointed ministers plenipoten-tiary to negotiate upon the subject. Now, pray, what is this "bit of paper," which we have "snatched up" in the language of the Senator from Massachusetts? It is a paper sent to this body by the President of the United States—sent here as a treaty for rati-fication—sent here legitimately by him as he might send it here. No man can controvert the right of the President to do that; and no man pretends to deny that he may accredit his diplomatic agent in advance to do a particular thing; or he may await the action of that agent, and ratify that not after it has been done. The common principles applicable to the case of an agency constituted

in advance, or by assumption of the acts of the agent afterwards in aurance, or of y assumption or in each of the agent alterwards apply here. No man pretends to doubt, then, that the President of the United States had the legal, the constitutional, the rightful power to take this paper, whether negotiated without or with authority, and send it to us for ratification. If that be so, the objection then comes down simply to this, in the language of the Senator, "if it is unusual—it is strange." That amounts to but little and the little when examined grows still bess. I admit Senator, "It is unusual—it is strange." That amounts to but little, and that little when examined grows still less. I admit frankly, that the diplematic history of this country, and perhaps that of ne other can furnish a parallel to this negotiation! I admit that no former administration ever thought of sending such a man on such an errand! But with great respect, I deny that it is an unusual thing for a negotiator to negotiate without authority, or, perhaps, even against nuthority. Your diplomatic history, and that of every other nation in the world, is full of instances in which the negotiator, from the necessity of the case, assumed for

which the negotiator, from the necessity of the cases, assumed or the time being an authority not delegated, and trusted to the re-eognition of the government afterwards. But the Senator says that this proceeding is "strange"—that it is "unusual"—that it is "without parallel." In answer, I beg to call upon him or upon any other to show the parallel to such an objection. When have we ever before heard of an objection of this character? The Executive department in the interim between two Congresses constitutes a diplomatic agent as he lawfully may, and sends in as the result of the negotiation of that agent, a treaty for our ratification. Can we go behind the record agent, a treaty for our ratification. Can we go bening the record, and instead of looking at the treaty, make a point as to the authority of the negotiator? I say that the history of this government or that of any other does not furnish an objection of such a character. The constitution presertless when and how you are at liberty in commection with the Executive to look into the character and appoint your negotiator. But after the President exercises his lawful right in the interim of Congress, you cannot examine the character of the negotiator. You have no right to raise the objection that the negotiation was without authority if it has been sanctioned by the Executive. If you had such a right, you might over-ride the constitutional authority of the President which alover-rate the constitutional admortly of the Fresheat which allows him to appoint a negotiator without your authority in the interim of Congress. When gentlemen then call on us for a parallel of this procedure, we retort and call upon them for a parallel

But, again, it is said, that "we have reversed the general order of things"—that our proceeding is "grotesque"—we have in the first place ratified a treaty and then appointed a minister plenipohirst place rathled a treaty and then appeared a minister prompter tentiary to negotiate it. I think that is not the true state of the facts. This treaty was negotiated by Mr. Trist. It was sent here for ratification. It was amended. In the meantime the powers of Mr. Trist are gone. We have no accredited agent in Mexico, and after the treaty was ratified by ns and about to be returned to that country for the action of its government, we send with it, as we must do, an accredited agent. Is there anything in this at all "grotesque" or "unusual?" Now, these were the preliminary objections; they affect only

the form of our procedure. They touch not the substance—the reason of the thing. The question is in regard to the treaty itself reason of the thing. The question is in regard to the treat and not in regard to the character of those who negotiated the form in which, under that negotiation, it was presented to us. I now propose to consider the treaty itself. I frankly admit that it is bad enough. I admit that it was no choice of mine. I admit t is bad enough. I admit that it was no choice of mine. I admit that it was the selection of an ulternative—one evil in preference only to a greater evil. We have been told here, that if we did not only to a greater evil. We have been told here, that if we did not distrust ourselves and our power, we might meet the difficulty—that one third of the Senate can defeat the treaty now and through all time. I grant it. But, I say, that if that third consists of those gentlemen who have denounced the war from the beginning as unjust and iniquitous-and if they, under those circumstances to defeat such a treaty and continue such a war, they ought to feel a sense of their course as though it were written down for them by the light of a sunbeam. We have been forced from the beginning to deal with alternatives. In the beginning of the war we granted supplies, although driven to the alternative of admitting under protest, that that war commenced by the act of Mexi-In the prosecution of the war we have continued these supplies rather than see our armies defeated; and now, at the end of the war, we are willing to vote for a peace with some territory, rather than to take the chance of a continuance of the war and more territory at its close. It has been with us a choice of alterrather than to take the enance of a continuance of the war and more territory at its close. It has been with us a choice of alternatives from first to last. I will not defend this treaty as a mere matter of bargain. I care not whether the Senator from Massachusetts be right or wrong in the view which he has taken of this as a matter of bargain. I care not whether New Mexico be near to use or far from its; I care not hether New Mexico be near to use or far from its; I care not hether New Mexico be near to use or far from its; I care not hether the whole the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution is solved. I care not, though her plains be barren, though her hills he desolate. I care not whether "grass grows or water runs"—whether there be "beasts of the field, or lowls of the air, or any creeping thing"-not any or all of these considerations have action on this subject. In some respects, indeed, I do not know but fewer evils will grow out of this accession of territory if it he the miscrable and worthless thing, which the Senator has represented it, than if it were a good fertile country, inviting speedy settlement. But we do at least get something by the treaty. The administration get San Francisco and San Diego. treaty. Ine auministration gets an Francisco and San Diego. They are at least of some value. The administration surely has "the fifteen peace in pocket," and for taking this little value they are entitled to the benefit of Falstuff's apology—
"Reason, yourogue, reason! Think'st thou, I'd endunger my soul

gratis!" But as a mere matter of bargain-something had for something paid-I care not, though the thing be as miserable and contemptible as the Senator describes it. The value of the country never seriously entered into my consideration, as an element in the decision of this question.

But, then, the Senator asks—why not strike out this territory, and take peace without it?" "The things," says the Senator, "are separable." He avers that we can have a peace, just as "are separante." The evers take we can have a petter, just as the petter, just as the petter, just as the petter, it is the basis, the groundwork of his whole argument. It is begging the entire question. His whole argument is thus based upon premises eroneous in part. His position is that peace can be obtained as speedly and as honorably without territory as with it. Let us examine it.

With this administration we can have no peace without territory Again and again, for two years, the administration have declared their determination that territory must be acquired. Gentlemen who have opposed the war, have been calling for peace—peace— peace,—but there was no peace to be had without territory. In the official declarations of the President—in the declarations of heads of departments-in the declarations of the friends of the administration in both branches of Congress, it has been announced to ns, to Mexico, and to the world, that they would not even negoto us, to mexico, and to the world, that they would not even nego-tions for less territory than is acquired by the treaty; and yet I repeat, that the whole of the Senator's argument is based upon the assumption that peace could be had as easily, as speedily, and as honorably without territory as with it! Indeed the President of the United States has gone so far as to recommend that a terri-torial government be established in the country, long since in our torial government be established in the country, long since in our possession, and now ceded to us by that treaty. We have had, too, the official assurance, that if this treaty were rejected, no negotiation would be allowed, or even be attempted for less territory than we now obtain! And I may go farther while on this subject, and add, if there be aught in rumor, the leading members of this administration, and of the eabinet—presidential aspirants too, actually oppose the ratification of this treaty apon the ground that it gives too little territory. Now what becomes of the position that we can have peace as promptly without terriof the position that we can have peace as priminally without territory as with it? But, says the Senator from Massachusetts, "feel the enemy," and he may retire before you—"Mr. Polk put his foot down on 54° 40' and it didn't stay down." Sir, the cases foot down on 54° 401 and it didn't stay down." Sir, the cases differ—differ just as widely as the power of Mexico differs from that of Great Britain! Besides it is but just to the administration, to say that they never nedgod the measurements. to say that they never pledged themselves to hold on to 54° 401 with the tenacity with which they stand committed to hold on to New Mexico and Californin; although they did declare very vehemently the right to do so.

I repeat, then, that so far as we can judge from the facts before us, we can have no peace during this administration without terri-If we could, the past history of the administration would be a fable, and the event would give every official assurance the lie. For myself, I do not, I cannot believe it. I am thus com-

pelled to act from the light before me.

pelled to act from the light before me.

"But," asys the distinguished Senator, "if the administration do not choose to make peace without territory, I am willing to go before the contry on that question; I am willing to put it to the people—'will you bring four States into this Union?'" I ask, sir, when will the Senator present the issue to the people! In a popular election next fall? Well, even if he should sue seed upon that issue—which is more than doubtful—he must defer the accomplishissue—which is more than doubtful—he must defer the accomplishment of his object till the following spring; in other words, for the purpose of testing his experiment before the people, this war must continue for another year, at the saorifice of thousands of lives and a vast expenditure of treasure! But suppose, unfortunately, that the Senator should be mistacken, and that he and I should be disappointed on this issue, what then? The party coming into power, committed as they are on this subject, committed as they are on this subject, committed as they are on this subject, committed as they are on the subject. will be still further by resolutions at a certain conve will be still further by resolutions at a certain convention to be held in May acet; influend by success on this issue, when will be held in May acet; influend by success on this issue, when will be Moder, or Mexico entire! The Senator says "we termbe before Executive influence—we for lest the King's anger may kindle;" and "who," he asks, "is Mr. Polk!" Ah! we asked that very question a little more than three years ago—we asked tri werse I believe, and we got an answer in prose! We obtained an an-swer that satisfied me, at all events, that lot Mr. Polk be who be swer that satisfied me, at all events, that let Mr. Polk he who he might, or any other candidate be who he may, provided he be a "respectable" man, he was certain of the support and votes of his party. It matters not what star may set or rise, whether it be one of a magnitude that shall fill the naked eye, or some obscure twinkler seen only by the aid of a telescope, as soon as he is selected he will become a fixed star, the sun of a system, and around Poted the will become a nixed star, the sun of a system, and around him will revolve every satellite of power and of party. It is idle to address to me any such question as this—" who is Mr. Polk?" He is, as his sneessor will be, the mere impersonation of a set of principles—the official head of a great party. This is a subject in which that great party, and every leading man of that party, is committed; they have plighted hand and heart to the cause; they

will sastain it, or fall by it.

Now, what are those prospects of success, by which the Senator
Now, what are those prospects of success, by which the Senator
is emboldened to present this issue to the people? And, addressing myself again, not only to whig frieuds here, but throughout
the country, if I utter appleasant truths it is not because I do not
feel them to be unpleasant. It is needless to look at things as we
would like them to be. In questions of this nature we must look
at things as they are. The issue which we would thus present

for the determination of the people is this: will you, at the end of the year, at once withdraw your troops without territor? Will you back right out of Mexico? That is the joint. There are a great many good and wise men who are to not be pardon of Mexico, asking forgiveness of the property of the property of the part of the par

ing a logitimate exposition of popular sentiment in regard to the mode in which we are to end this was income and the mode in which we are to end this was income and the mode in which we are to end this was income and the control of opposition there would have been to the ratification of this treaty if it had been confined to those who made "no territory," absolutely a size que non to a treaty of peace! It was a case when extremes met—in which the men of "no territory," and the men of "all territory" stock for the time being together. They were held together by external pressure only, and that pressure removed, they were wide as the poles saunder. I might refer to the feeling of the country. It is not an unusual thing, either in public or private life, for a nan who has distracted the justice of his quarrel in the heating Breides, it is to be recollected that it were the control of the private life, for a nan who has distracted the justice of his quarrel in the heating Breides, it is to be recollected that it were the control of the private life, for a nan who has distracted the justice of his quarrel in the heating Breides, it is to be recollected that it were the control of the private life, for a nan who has distracted the justice of his quarrel in the heating Breides, it is to be recollected that it were the private and the private life, for a nan who has distracted the justice of his quarrel in the heating breides. The heating has been always for the private life, for any large large and the private life, for his quarrel in the large and heating has been always to be recollected that it was only 25,413. There are whig voters enough returned from Mexico of determine the vote of his man and the large and the private life, for his variety of the large and heating of their known on the sent years of the war to sweep away these majorities which the did not have fore an always the company of the sent years of the large and his la

Are we spell hound? Forbid it God! Could I believe that the power to acquire torritory was yet an open question, or that the constitution olearly forbade it, though the heaven darkneed over my head, and the earth trembled beneath my feet, there should I stand!—stand with the Senston—stand with the constitution,

"Shield it-save it, or perish there too."

But I deny that it is so. I feel that this is a question to be tried according to its effects and consequences, and by my best judg-ment, added by the best light that I can obtain, I am presunded that the alternative of the line offered with present peace is better than war for a year with the chance of peace at its close and no territory. This conviction I declare with the utmost respect for the distinguished Senator from Massachusetts and all others who may differ from me. I hold that upon the issue which they would make up—no peace unless without territory—the whig party cannot surver the year. It will go down to the grave, and all its conservative glorious principles will go down to the grave along with it! With some "was saw" upon its lips, it will die, doubless, "the delt for the righteeous," "rejoining in the hope of a glorious

resurrection!" Its enemies yonder will give it a kingly epitaph : Hic jacet!

"It never said a foolish thing, And never did a wise one!"

I take no such issue! I seize upon the first and legitimate means to make peace. If I fait, let the misfortune rest where it may, it will not be here; and I then at least have the privilege of calling upon you, our political adversaries, for all the treasure that wasted—for those twenty thousand of our young men whose hores are now bleaching in the mountain passes and on the arid plains of Mexico!

of Mexico!
But my purpose, sir, was not to arraign others. My purpose
is simply to justify ourselves. The Senator from Massachusetts
in the further prosecution of his argument tells us, that this treaty
gives us the line of the Rio Grande, New Mexico and California;
and in view of this acquisition of territory the Senator goes into
a statement showing the necessarily sparse character of the population, now and for a long time to come; and he then goes on to
speak of the number of States that will be formed out of the territory and the Senators who will then take their places here. In ritory and the Senators who will then take their places here. In other words, he tells us that fourteen new Senators will take their places here, and in the contemplation of that result, he becomes absolutely struck with horror. He denounces the whole thing as a "monstrosity"—a "disagraratio,"—an "enormity" upon the far framework of our government. He donhis if more than the senator of the sen place, permit me to remind the country that the question now be-fore us is not whether Texas should be admitted into the Union. place, permit me to remind the country that the question is Union rice is in so whether Texas should be adultised. The remains a foreign on conclusion. The number of States that may be carved out of Texas, was settled in the annexation of that country. Arrange the boundaries of Texas as you may, it adds not to, or diminishes the number of Senators which she may at a future day place upon this floor. Let me remark, however, that the ten Senators from Texas, of which the Senator speaks, will not come here in your day, nor in my, the Senator revocation of the senator should be supported in the senator should be supported by the senator and the senator should be supported by the senator revocation. Sir, he might, with the same propriety, have argued from the admission of Louisiana and Florida or any other territory acquired since the organization of our government. With Texas, then, we have nothing to do. You thus get rid at once of the distinguished Senator. These "me a darmed the judgment of the distinguished Senator. These "me in organization to four! away. From fourths matter a little farther. We will find that in reference even to the remaining four, they become "small by away. From fourteeth they dwindle at one step down to lour: Let us prosecute this matter a little farther. We will find that in reference even to the remaining four, they become "small by degrees and beautifully less." I do not mean to deay that there is a possibility, any, probability, that at some future day New Mexico may be represented on this floor, and that at some distant day California may be also represented here; nor to I mean to say that that would not be an evil. But the Resnator Let resist his argument. According to his own description of New Mexico, it is a gument. According to his own description answerble selvarce (earth, country perfectly barren and desolate—a miserable selvage of earth, pent up between two mountains—five hundred miles from any where, and twice as far from the next place! Then as to the population and twice as far from the next place: Then as the partial tis a mongrel, pic-bald race, of every shade and hue from the white man down to the negro—very few of the former—a degraded race, and must continue to be degraded. The population can ded race, and must continue to be degraded. The population cannot increase, because there are as many there now as the country can sustain. If that he so—and unless the Scaator has colored or discolored the picture by his fanory—there will not be two Senators from that country in a harry. Our southern friends are not likely to admit representatives of this pic-hald race with great baste at least on this floor. Besides, there is another difficulty in the way the state of the country of the country of the territory of New Mexicological Country of the country of this territory of New Mexicological Country of the country of this territory of New Mexicological Country of the Country of the territory of New Mexicological Country of the C ico with its population of sixty or seventy thousand, and if Texas adhere to her claim after the treaty as it stood before the treaty, or if you admit the validity of her claims, at least one-half of the tertitory belongs to Texas, and you can have no new State created there without her assent. I repeat, sir, I am not disturbed by those fears which seem to agitate the Senator from Massachusetts, although I do not mean to deny all force to this objection.

But, then, he speaks of California, and states that there will be

Dat, then, he speaks or Cantornia, and states that there will be at least two New Senators from that quarter. Pray, when are those two Senators to come from California? He speaks of California as a state, and of New Mexico as a state. But they do not come here as states at all. They come here as states at all they do not come here as states at all. They come here as states are they do not come here as states at all. They come here as the california will be represented on this floor? The Sens calmeter of California will be represented on this floor? The Sens calmeter into this form as a state admitted into this will be represented to the thousand miles into the interior? We can never have such a state admitted into this Union. Before that country can be represented here there must be a population of sufficient extent to authorize the creation of a state, and that, too, within territorial limits bearing some proportion to the extent of the largest states of this confideracy. We must recollect that California is not an agricultural country. It is a grazing country, where the wealth of the inhabitants consists in horses and cartle, and which must of necessity always be sparsely peopled. How many the content of the conten

witness such a result. But, says the Senator, "these states will come in at a suitable time;" and that is the only limitation which he imposes. These terms, I grant, mean pretty much what the party in power pleases to say what they do mean.—But in the case of Louisiana, which under treaty simplatuous was to be admitted as a State as soon as possible, according to the principles of the federal constitution; and the ing to the principles of the federal constitution; and the inhabitants—certainly of a much better class than can be supposed to exist in New Mexico and California, and of greater numbers—demanded admittance at once. They claimed the right to admission as a treaty right; these words, a "suitable time" were intended to invest in Congress a clear discretion over the subject.— But looking at the possible changes which may occur in this body between this period and 1850, it is scarcely within the range of probability that our friends over the way will, at that early day, need two additional Senators from New Mexico and California. I know that these remarks do not sound agreeably in the ear of political friends; but they are, nevertheless, true; and it is folly to shut eyes or ears to the truth.

I pass to another aspect of this question. There was one con ideration which very much commended the line of this treaty to my favorable regard. It avoids practically all that wretched question of the Wilmot Proviso;" and I think that the sober, question of the Worth will, on reflection, view the question in that light. This line of thirty-two degrees, gives us a country, which, I apprehend, can never become permanently a slave coutry. It grows no cotton, sugar, rice, or tobacco. It is not at all adapted to slave labor. On the contrary, some of our southern friends actually object to the line on the ground that at some future day, it may be the means of surrounding the South with a tier of free States. In connection, then, with this exciting and unhappy question, this view of the treaty is vasily important.— Slavery—speaking with the utmost respect for the feelings and judgment of others—I now hold, as I have ever held, to be a postive institution. It can exist nowhere except by force of positive law. There is no slavery now in this territory which is acquired by the treaty. If a ci izen of the South go there with his slave, and that slave escapes and one of his neighbors employ him, th and that stave escapes and one of his neighbors employ him, the master cannot recover, in a court of justice, either the slave or the value of his labor. If he avers that the slave is his property, he must show that he is so. That can be shown only by municipal law; and I need not say that when called on to show that, the master cannot produce the statute-book of South Carolina. man carries with him the law of the State from which he grates. In all slave States there is a code penal and criminal, applicable to the slave. If a slave strike his master-if another applicance to the state. In a stave strike his master—a another harbor a slave—there is a penalty imposed. The slave is debarred from the witness stand. Well, when the master carries his slave to California, none of these municipal regulations accompany him. A man stands there as a man, whether he be black or white. The argument then comes simply to this: the country acquired by the treaty is now free; and notwithstanding the argument of my friend from Alabama, [Mr. Bagay,] I hold that there is no principle better settled than that the laws of the country which we acquire, remain as they were until they are changed by some posi-tive enactment. Now the only remaining question is, can that country ever become permanently a slave country? I hold that it cannot. Thus, then, the adoption of this line practically avoids this great evil. I am opposed to all extension of slavery. I am opposed to the extension of this principle of representation. But while entertaining these sentiments, I will never turn fanatic, and set the world on fire on account of an abstraction-a merc theory, unattended by practical results. Representing a constituency with nothing at all akin to political abolition about them, I rejoict in the termination of this war in a manner which avoids altogether

this distracting and dangerous question. I have expressed my views at some length on a former occasion, upon the constitutional question as to the right of Congress to limit slavery in the territories. While disclaiming all identification with northern abolitionists of any kind or creed, I will sustain the being placed in a false postion, and ranked side by side with those with whom they have not a single feeling in common. If the South would but understand the feeling of right minded men at the North, would but understand the feeling of right minded men at the North, it would be seen that there is no substantial difference of opinion of pieces, generally stand together. But in reference to the power to impose this limitation, there is a difference of opinion. I find that in the last October number of the "Southern Keview,"—a review which I take this occasion to say, is alike distinguished by its literary excellence, and general ability-my views our this subject are characterized as "ultra latitudinarian." In that article, I find the first labored attempt to sustain this position, that Congress has not power to legislate upon this subject. The reviewer collects all the facts and all the arguments bearing on this subject, and I assure gentlemen that the article furnishes the staple for many a speech, both in Congress and out of it. But it wholly fails to shake my confidence in the soundness of my own views. If Congress has not the power to legislate upon this subject, then the power to do so exists nowhere; and we have then the specpresented of a political community, large in number, vast in tacle presented of a pointest community, sarge in number, was it territorial extent, inevpable of legislating upon a subject, which is not only so essential, or will be so essential to their presperity, but it may be to their absolute safety! Before we arrive at such a conclusion, the argument to sustain it, ought certainly to be unan-swerable. Either the power to legislate out this subject exists in

Congress, or the whole history of the legislation of the country from the very beginning, has been an assumption. From the beginning, Congress has acted on the principle of possessing this power. After a cestain number of inhabitants have been collected in a territory—5,000—then there has been vested in a governor, legislative council, and house of representatives, the authority to make "all laws for the good of the district." This power is thus strictly delegated by Congress, to the territorial legislature, acting with the assent of the governor, the federal appointee, without whose signature no law can be valid. It has indeed been suggested, and I think that the honorable Senator from Michigan squints that way

Mr. CASS .- (in his scat.) Not squints at it.

Mr. DAYTON .-- The honorable Senator hints at it, that this power exists in the people of the territory in their primitive unorganized condition. Now, there is no organization of the people of the territory, except through their legislature, acting under the authority of Congress, and this power the appointee of the Executive. They can have no other mode of exercising any power vested ther own power, to get up some new mode of legislation—to ereate a kind of imperium in imperio? It strikes me that the position is eminently absurd.

But, says the gentleman, Congress takes these lands merely to dispose of them as a trustee; and whenever you construe consti-tutional law, you must construe it in reference to the object for which the trust was created. How are these territories to be gov-cried in the meantime? How are they to pass through the pe-riod of their territorial condition? It is seriously contended that the constitution which gives power to dispose of the territories, and in the language of the constitution. "to make all receiling rules and regulations" respecting the territory, is to be confined simply to the enactment of such laws as regulate the disposition. simply to the emeriment of such laws as regulate the disposition of the territories; and yet, under that clause of the constitution, the whole evil and the whole eriminal jurisdiction of Congress, by right of dominion, and of the territorial legislatures from the year 1187, down to the present day, has been inactive 1 I have always contended, as I now contend, that this power vests in the federal government—first, by reason of its general legislative supremacy; and secondly, by exacting praints the words have been supremacy. and secondly, by express grant; the grant being in the provision of the constitution, which authorizes Congress to make all needful rules and regulations respecting the territories. Sixty years practice under that provision of the constitution has settled, or ought to have settled, its true construction.

If there be any doubt raised in regard to the lands ceded by slave States, we may answer that many of these cessions have been made by free States. New York made the very first cession in 1781. At the time she made that cession, that State could legis-late upon the subject of slavery; she conceded all her powers to Congress—no residuum remained; and yet it happens in the act of transfer from one receiver to another, one of those powers has departed-has been lost by some unknown process in political alchymy-it has vanished like a volatile essence, not even emitting an my—it has vanished like a volatile essence, not even emitting has dort to tell of its whereabouts! The icderal legislature took just what New York granted; and yet we hear it asserted that Congress has no power to legislate on this subject—that its power is limited to the disposition of these territories! Why, sir, when propositions of this kind are gravely presented for the purpose of sustaining such a position, no mortal man can tell what next may be assumed.

be assumed

In 1787, I need not remind the Senate, the ordinance relating to the north-west territory was passed, excluding slavery from the territory north of the Ohio. Each and every of the States which have come into the Union, formed out of that territory, come in subject to this restriction. In 1798, the territory of Mis-sussippi was organized, and Congress prohibited the introduction of slaves there from any port out of the United States. two territories were formed out of Louisiana, and there again Con-gress restricted slavery in advance, and in diverse ways; among others, prohibiting slaves from being carried into the territory, unless by their owners, who shall be citizens of the United States; and unless taken there with a view to actual settlement. All the vast territory of Louisiana was settled under these restrictions .vast (erritory of Wangam was settled under these restretions, of In 1820, it was again declared by Congress, on the sottlement of the Missouri question, that slavery should not colait in that State north of thirty-six degrees, thirty minutes north latitude. Con-gress has again and recently exercised the same power as to the settlement of slaves in Oregon. Are these repeated precedents, cownal almost with the commencement of our government, and coming down to the present, to go for nothing? It does seem to me—I say it with great respect—that if there ever were my doubts on this question, as to the power of Congress to legislate with respect to slavery in the territories, those doubts must be neld settled by the past conduct of the government. Thus much neld settled by the past conduct of the government. Thus much in vindentuou of past votes of the properties. But I will now say again that I trust now he regards the territory north thirty-two degrees, which we may acquire from Mexico by virtua of this treaty, this question that the properties of the treaty of the treaty, the question as well as of patriotism, as well as of patriotism, and with us to who precise agitation becomes matter of necessity, and with us the weather than the properties of the properties cal results. But let the norm near it in mino-ice it neves be sorgotten, that if the question be not settled now, the line will probably be pushed, in luture, further south; it will go so far south as to incorporate territory—territory—territory—territory—territory—territory—where slaves may be employed, not in agricultural pursuits,

only, but in the productions of the precious metals—the worst, the most fatal of all species of production that can curse the industry, or blight the prosperity of nations.

I have said here, as I said in this chamber in secret session, that the true question—the practical question before us, and the country, was, not whether we would take this territory or no territory, but when he we would use this tweeters or more territory. The was seems to me, is the real practical question which presents itself to our consideration. In taking this position I was actuated by no fear of Executive power; no fear of Mr. Polk, as the honorable Senator would seem to intimate; the position was taken upon a calan and carried examination of the state of things by which we were surrounded, and reflection upon what would be the state of things at the end of another campaign; after more treasure had been wasted, more lives expeeded; after Mexico should have been more effectually and fully broken to pieces on our hands, in a state of utter disorganization. The honorable Senator from Massachusetts even seemed to admit, by interence at least, that it would be well for na to stop here if 'we conductor, says he, "is there any one weak enough to suppose that possible?" De they not even now speak of taking possession of Seuhora and of Tamaulipas, and of other of the States of Northera Mexico ? Sir, I am rot to be deterred by the form of this question of the distinguished Senator from giving it an asswer. As to this last of dominion of which he from giving it an asswer. As to this has of common or which me speaks, whether it may stop where it is, or whether "manifest destiny," or something else shall give it a fresh start, neither the Senator nor I can tell. God only knows, and to us at least the future alone will determine; but one thing is certain, that there is less hazard from such a contingency than there is if we permit lust of dominiou to continue its course, unchecked and unconthis rust of common to continue its course, increased and increased and increased and increased and increased and increased and its most worth a dollar. Be it so, for the sake of the argument. He knows and I know, that its possession will involve the country in vast expenditures for its territorial government, for its protection from the incursions of Indians, for the establishment of distant military posts. Is not this likely at least to sate the appetite for dominant parts of the same of the property of the country in the formal process. posts. In short this rivery at reast to state the appetitur or arimmond Butt, no, asy the Sensiter; In swell might you suppose that "urapacious animal that had and as on unsuccessful foray will not strive for a better." At the state of a metaphor, and I am struck with the fact simply in the form of a menaphor, and I am struck with the fact that their care and wherever | I have made this suggestion, the Settlement of the structure of the support of the structure of the structure of the support of the structure of the support of the support of the structure of the support nator, contrary to his wont, has always answered in metophor. If I have said, take the line that is offered lest worse come hereafter. I have said, take the me had is ourced lest worse come mercenter, the answer has been, no, it is of no use, "the appetite grows by what it feeds on ;"—it is of no use, "the force of a projectile is but increased by every new application of power;"—it so fon use, "an animal which has made one unsuccessful foray will strive for a better;"—all metaphor, metaphor and the Senator's argument earnied out in metaphor, amouts to this—a rapacious animal which has the senator's argument. ment carrier out in metaphor, annothed, to this—a rapacious animal roused to madness, thirsting for blood, is not to be quieted for a time, lest it time yeather it's strength for another bound. Sir, I hold to nothing of the kind; this lust of dominion may return again—of that future only one tell—but is it not something—is it not much that for the present we stop it here and now?

But it is said that this treaty may not be ratified. But I b it will be, but grant that it is not. Are we worse off? Do we not stand rather better in the eyes of humanity, in the eyes of the We manifest some readiness for peace; we leave Mexico her nationality; we pay her the full value of at least every thing we take from her? But what to me is more than this, if we succeed in the hoped for result, we end the war—we fix a line which will subject us to fewer evils than any which is likely to be forced upon us by political adversaries bereafter.

These are the views, in brief, which have controlled my conduct upon this question. I have some confidence—an abiding hope, at least, that we have seen the end of this wretched war. I trust that least, that we have seen the end of this wretched war. I trust that the flag of my country will never again be red with Maxima blood. The gallantry of our troops has carried it through smoke and fire from the coast to the caputal—from the waters of the gulf to the very Halls of the Azte. There, there let it test; may its every fold fail peacefully around the flag-staff—may not a breath of human passion ever again open one on a Mexican battle field—I know not how recent events, in the European world may have affected the minds of other men, but for myself. I feel that in this strange joueture in the world's progress, America, the great movestrange in the sentence of the first this moment to us a peculiar, a moral fitness. If one if the act this moment to us a peculiar, a moral fitness. If one if the act to us, and to our institutions. We are soon to become the cynosure of all to our institutions. We are soon to become the cynosure of all course in the world boservers, a mong nations. Consider well, I pray you, the spectacle that we now present, as the great model republis, preying upon, grinding to powder, our weak, helpwell, I pray you, the spectacle that we now present, as the great model republic, preying upon, grinding to powder, our weak, help-less, and only almost sister republic. But, str, it is not only fit in a moral point of view, that we should be at peace, but providential considerations counsel us to the same course. The atmosphers of the old world is portentous of change; he air is thick and murky; the consideration of the providence of the providence of the con-bent of the providence of the providence of the con-traction of the contraction of the contraction of the con-traction of the contraction of the contraction of the con-traction of the contraction of the contraction of the con-traction of the contraction of the contraction of the con-traction of the contraction of the contraction of the con-traction of the contraction of the contraction of the con-traction of the contraction of the contraction of the con-traction of the contraction of the contraction of the con-traction of the contraction of the contraction of the con-traction of the contraction of the contraction of the con-traction of the contraction of the contraction of the con-traction of the contraction of the contraction of the con-traction of the contraction of the contraction of the con-traction of the contraction of the contraction of the con-traction of the contraction of the of the end! I tell you that nations and kingdoms, which are the growth of ages, do not go out without a struggle, nor in a day; I tell you that large classes of men concentrating vast wealth, born to power and domnino, do not abandon their supposed destiny as a thing of yesterday. What, though, a king may be stricken down! What, though, the sons of a king may fall away, like leaves from the oak that is blasted; the great problem yet remains, can 30,000,000 of mercurial Frenchiene, of whom about six or seven millions only can read and write, with no knowned the second of the problem of the desire frenchies. about six or seven millions only can read and write, with no know-ledge of free institutions, no experience in the elective franchise, can they be made in a day, an hour, the safe depository of sove-reign power? Sir, I districts the future; it rises before my mind's eye black with anareby, red with blood. Even although the na-tions of Europe stand abod, yet the excited material of France herself may burst into flame, though chaffed by nothing, san't had needs and constraint of many interest of the second of the swell rolls in upon it!

Mr. MASON took the floor, with a view of addressing the Senate, but the usual hour of adjournment having arrived,

On motion.

The Senate adjourned.

WEDNESDAY.

# WEDNESDAY, APRIL 12, 1848.

### PETITIONS.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, presented the memorial of Sampson and Tappan, and others, merchants and importers of the city of Boston, praying the reimbursement of certain duties paid by them, and that hereafter duties shall be assessed on merchandize according to the actual quantity received, without regard to the quantity stated in the invoice.

Mr. DAVIS remarked that these memorialists represent that the tariff of 1846 contains provisions which compel them to have the duty assessed on the invoice value; that on many articles, such the duty assessed on the invoice value; that on many articles, suoh as liquors, sugars, oils, &c., there is great wastage, either from leakage or evaporation, and the articles, on being landed, fall short in gauge, weighl, or measure from the quantity represented by the invoice to have been shipped at the place of exportation, and thus the importers have been obliged to pay duty on the full quantity. They ask that the clause may be so amended that some allowance shall be made, in estimating duries, for any deficiency from the invoice. Although better that the proposed for calling the attention of the Committee on Find proposed for calling the attention of the Committee or Finance to the subject, and sking that committee to take it into consideration and make an early report.

The memorial was referred to the Committee on Finance.

Mr. CAMERON submitted documents relating to the claim of William Parkeson, a soldier in the last war with Great Britain, to a pension; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. LEWIS presented a petition of John F. Callan and others, citizens of Washington, in the District of Columbia, praying the enactment of a law to incorporate the Washington Gas Light Company; which was referred to the Committee on the District

Mr. RUSK presented the memorial of William Greer, praying the repayment of an amount of postage which he was required to pay on certain vouchers called for in the settlement of his account against the Post Office Department; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

On motion by Mr. BENTON, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Isaac W. Taylor and others, legal reprensatives of Jacques Clamorgan, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

On motion by Mr. CLARKE, it was

Ordered, That the representatives of Moses Shepherd have leave to withdraw their memorial and papers.

THE CONSTITUTION. Mr. BADGER submitted the following resolution for consider-

ation. Readered, That the Secretary of the Senate purchase, for the use of the Senate two thoosand copies of the constitution of the United States of America, with an alphabetical analysis, prepared and published by W. Hickey, provided the same can be purchased at a pince per copy not exceeding that pad for 10,000 comes ordered to be parchased as monotion of the Senate adopted on the Pitch sky of February, 1947.

## PROCEEDINGS OF THE FREMONT COURT MARTIAL.

On motion by Mr. BENTON, it was

Ordered, That three thousand additional copies he printed of the proceedings of the court martial in the case of Lieutenant Colonel Fremont.

REPORT OF THE COMMISSIONER OF THE LAND OFFICE.

On motion by Mr. BREESE, it was

Ordered, That three thousand additional copies of the report of the Commissioner of the General Land Office be printed for the use

# PRIVATE BILLS.

Mr. HANNEGAN, from the Committee on Foreign Relations, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives, directing the mode of settling the claim of Charles G. Ridgley, reported it with an amendment.

Mr. DIX, from the Committee on Commerce, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of William Harding, reported it without amendment.

# SALE OF RESERVED LANDS.

Mr. BREESE, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the bill to authorize the sale of reserved lands, and for other purposes, reported it without amendment.

#### ADVERSE REPORT.

Mr. UPHAM, from the Committee on Revolutionary Claims, to whom was referred the memorial of the executor of Nathan Lamme, submitted an adverse report; which was ordered to be

On motion by Mr. BREESE, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on Public Lands be discharged from the further consideration of the petition of David B. Sears.

#### CHANGE OF REFERENCE.

On motion by Mr. NILES, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads be discharged from the further consideration of the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of Elizabeth Converse, widow of Josish Converse, and that it be referred to the Committee on Revolutionary Claims.

#### DOWER CASES IN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

Mr. ASHLEY, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom was referred the bill relating to dower, reported it without amend-

#### PENSION BILLS.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, from the Committee on Pensions, to whom was referred the petition of Christopher Cumnoingham, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for his relief.

The said bill was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and considered as io Committee of the Whole; and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engrossed, and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time, by unanimous consent.

Resolved. That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be "An act for the relief of Christopher Commingham."

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

Mr. FELCH, from the Committee on Pensions, to whom were referred the bills from the House of Representatives for the relief of Thomas Badger; for the relief of Smelled Cony; for the relief of William T. Brady; and granting a pension to John Morrison, reported them without amendment.

### LAND CLAIM IN FLORIDA.

Mr. DOWNS, from the Committee on Private Land Claims, to whom was referred the memorial of Peter B. Dumas, reported a bill for the relief of Jose Argote Villalobos Marie Rose Francois Felix Marquis de Fougéres, or their heirs or legal representatives.

The said hill was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and no amendment being made it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent.

Resolved, That this bill pass and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

### NAVAL PENSIONS.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. HALE asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill in addition to an act for the more equitable distribution of the Navy pension fund; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

### SURVEYS IN FLORIDA.

Mr. WESTCOTT, by unanimous consent, asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill respecting certain surveys in the State of Florida; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

# THE PUBLIC PRINTING.

The Senate proceeded to consider the following resolution submitted by Mr. Badger, on the 10th inst., and it was agreed to:

Resolved, That the Committee on Printing be instructed to inquire into the expediency of repealing the joint resolution approved third day of August, 1846, entitled a "Joint Resolution directing the manner of procuring the printing for the two Houses of Congress",

# THE SUPREME COURT.

Mr. MANGUM moved that the Senate proceed to the consider ration of the motion made by Mr. Footrs, on the 10th instat, to reconsider the vote, on passing to a third reading the bill supplemental to the act entitled "an acc concrining the Supreme Court of the United States, approved June 17, 1844."

Mr. ASHLEY called for the yeas and nays on the motion, which were ordered; and, the question having been taken, it resulted as follows:

YEAS —Mauri, Alchinon, Ball, Berrien, Breese, Buller, Clarke Crittenden, David, of Maissibutert, Davin, Greene, Hanaggan, Mangom, Mason, Milder, Nine, Faster, Nasher, Badger, Bagh, Calibon, Nasher, Badger, Bagh, Calibon, Cammon, David, of Massaippi, Delvison, Dix, Felsh, Hale, Johnton, of Georgia, Lewis, Epranco, Turvey, Wetcott.—17.

The question recurring on the motion to reconsider-

Mr. ASHLEY observed that the vote which had just been given was the same as that on refusing to engross the bill; in the former case the vote stood year 17, nays 19. It was evident, therefore, that the Senate was not more full than when that vote was

Mr. MANGUM thought the Senate was now as full as it was likely to be, and he therefore moved to proceed to vote on the question of reconsideration.

Mr. TURNEY demanded the yeas and nays on the question, which were ordered; and, being taken, resulted as follows:

YEAS—Mesar, Atchison, Bell, Berrien, Brees, Buller, Camron, Clarke, Corwin, Grittenden, Davis, of Nisanachusett, Downs, Green, Hanneyan, Hanter, Manneyan, Hanter, Manneyan, Hanter, Manneyan, Hanter, Manneyan, Hanter, Martin, Martin, Hanter, Martin, Hanter, Martin, Hanter, Bayer, Betton, Hight, Cathone, Davis, of Musis-sippi, Dekinnon, Drs., Felch, Hale, Johnson, of Georgia, Lewis, Sprance, Turacy, Wetcott—16.

The question then recurring—" Shall this bill pass to a third

reading ?"—

Mr. MANGUM observed that he did not care to press the vote at this time, and if the Senator from Arkansas would intimate any early day for its consideration he would be satisfied.

Mr. ASHLEY named Mooday next.

The further consideration of the question was then postponed to, and made the order of the day for, Monday the 17th inst.

#### THE FRANKING PRIVILEGE.

On motion by Mr. BADGER, the prior orders were suspended to Whole, of the bill to declare the true intent and meaning, so far as respects the franking privilege of members of Congress, of the act approved the 1st of March 1840, and entitled "An act to amend the act entitled an act to reduce the rates of postage, to limit the mac and correct the abuse of the franking privilege, and for the prevention of franks on the Post-office Department," passed 3d March 1843, and for other proposes."

No amendment being made the bill was reported to the Senate. Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time, by unanimous consent.

Resolved. That this bill pass, and that the title thereof he as aforescid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

### COMMODORE PARKER.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the hill for the relief of Commodore Foxall A. Parker, of the United States Navy; and no amendment being made it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engressed and read the third time.

The said bill was read a third time, by unanimous consent.

Resolved, That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

### THE PILOT LAWS.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill to repeal the act of 20th March, 1837, entitled "An act concerning Pilots;" and

On motion by Mr. DIX, the further consideration thereof was postponed until to-morrow.

# MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives by Mr. Canpbell, their clerk:

Mr. Prendent: The President of the United States approved and signed, the 8th in stant, the act to change the location of certain light houses and buoys.

The Hoose of Representatives have concurred in the resolution of the Senate tendering the congrationalisms of the American to the French people.

They have passed a bill making appropriations for the sopport of the Military Academy, for the year ending the 30th of Jone, 1849; in which they request the concerence of the Senate.

30TH CONG .- 1ST SESSION:-No. 61,

The Speaker of the Hones of Representatives having signed two enrolled bills, I am directed to bring them. o the Senate for the signature of their President.

#### SIGNING OF BILLS.

The Vice President signed the enrolled bills for the relief of Peter Engles, senior; and for the relief of the legal representatives of George Fisher, deceased.

#### WEST POINT APPROPRIATION BILL.

The bill from the House of Representatives making appropriations for the support of the Military Academy for the vear ending the 30th of June, 1849, was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Finance.

# CALIFORNIA CLAIMS.

The Senate resumed the consideration, as in Committee of the Whole, of the bill for ascertaining and paying the California Claims.

Mr. MASON.—The bill which is under consideration, although it has the very unassuming title of "a hill for ascertaining and paying the California climing," will. I think, he found, on examination, to present matter of very deep interest to the character control of the character of very deep interest to the character carried with the condition of the character of very deep interest to the character carried with the confluence of the Committee on Military Affairs which accompanies the bill.

which accompanies the bill. By the public press, and somewhat is fir, we have been told by the public press, and somewhat is the bill of the alterial with the charge of the Alterial, with the charge that the United States of America exhibited to the world the spectacle of a republic waging a war against a sister republic, a war waged on her part as a war of aggression, waged in the last of dominon for the purpose of conquest, and for the increase of her territory. The same language, I am sorry to say, has been held on the floors of legislatine; and if it were true it would form a dark page, and I lumbly hope the only dark page so far in the unwritten lister of posts of the day have subsided, when reason and intellect shall take a fair and unbiassed survey of it, of the causes that brought it on, and of its conduct on our part, I am satisfied that the character of the country will stand redeemed in the eyes of posterity.

causes that brought it on, and of its conduct on our part, I am satisfied that the character of the country will stand redeemed in the eyes of posterity.

Mr. President, an honrable Senator who sits on the opposite side of the chamber las declared that the war, so much condemned by his political asso lates as a war of aggression, was, in fact and in truth a just, a necessary, and an honorable war. I mean the honorable share triom Maryland—a tribute which the Senator him the standard of the standard in truth a just, a necessary, and an honorable war. I mean the honorable share triom Maryland—a tribute which the Senator him the standard share the share the

and conscious that Mexico was too wesk to admit of such concession being misconstruct.

These California claims, Mr. President, become interesting, in
my apprehension, not only because of the circumstances out of
which they arose, which were strikingly exhibited on Mooday
last before the Senate by an honorable Senator from Missouri,
[Mr. Bennes, ] lant because of the fact appearing from the report
of the Committee on Mittary Affairs, that they involve expenditures unamberized by law, expenditures for military or any
the senate of the control of the control of the control
of the committee on the character of our country that
these claims which we propose by this bill to recognize and pay,

should be properly and distinctly und stood, and their proper should be properly and distinctly hind allowd, and their proper place assigned them in the legislature history of this con-try. If this he not done, future history may refer to them also in ordence of the general charge, that the war originating in a spirit of rapine and conquest, an insurrection was covertly formed in the remote province of California, as part of the general scheme of extended dominion. The report from the Committee The marroyal for a lass made a very full exposition of this matter. The payment of these claims has been recommended to Congress by the Secretary of War in his annual report communicated to us at this session, to which I shall have occasion to advert. But they are brought immediately under the consideration of the Senate in the very unassumaing form of a private memorial asking for their payment. It is the memorial of Lt. Colonel Fremont, who, at the time these liabilities and obligations were contracted, was an officer in the military service of the United States. The character of these claims, or rather the character of the military operations out of which they have arisen, is very clearly and succinetly stated in that memorial. It is assured by Col. Fremone. The payment of these claims has been recommended to Congress is asserted by Col. Fremont,

Is asserted by Col. Fremont,
"That, is Jase of the year 1846, being then a brevet captsin of topographical enpueers a the service of the United States, and employed as use his California, he cagoed in military operations with the people of the contart for the establishment of
aged in military operations. The proof of the contart for the establishment of
and Messio was known, and was asceredul in said undertaking; the independence of
California being proofined at Stateman on the 5th day of Jally, and the Messios for
ted States and Messio, the flag of independence was pulled down and that of the
United States and pain is place, and more this flag military service was medered to
the United States until the convext was complete, and rappiles obtained from the
temporary government was formed; the expresse of which, his these incaired for
military operations, are mostly yet uapsud, and though the paid by the United States,
to whom all the benefit of the compete of California has accrued."

Here, sir, we find the fact distinctly avowed, that a part of these claims which we are called on to pay—and which I am free to admit, from the consideration which I have given to the subject, I feel the necessity and propriety of paying—were incurred in military operations accidentally commencing after war had broken out reen the two countries, but before that fact was in any man-

ner known at the scene of operations.

The circumstances attending this war in California I must be permitted briefly to allude to, as they are contained in the control of the committee. In my humble judgment the officer from whose memorial I have read, was fully justified in wou the did. But becomes us the more to ascertain its character to dive the country the reasons for the judgment which we are about passing on the subject. An officer of the topographical corps of the United States who had been justly distinguished for ha scientific explorations in California, and in the adjoining territory of Oregon for some two or three years preceding, found himself in California in the spring of 1846, with an armed party but still a civic one, there by permission of the anthorities of California in a pursuit purely pacific for the advancement of general science. He was informed, or rather he saw from the extraordinary jealousy of character which seems to apperiant to the whole Mexican people, that sort of suspicion which is menapable of comprehending any broad or elevated purpose or object in hile—that his only hope to escape from actual military collision with the authorities of California in the summer of the control permitted briefly to allude to, as they are contained in the report escape from actual military collision with the authorities of Cali-fornia was to abandon the country and to get beyond its jurisdic-tion, and this, although he had asked their hospitality, and, that hospitality laid been promised. And thus we are told, that in the syring of 1846, finding that such was the state of feeling around him with the authorities of California he deemed it wise, in order that the country and to pass into the ad-joining territory of Oregon. He did so, and with him his whole party.

Although hostilities had not broken out between this government and Mexico, yet the aspect of affairs was so threatening as to ren-der it expedient in the eyes of the President and of the public functhe response in the eyes of the President and of the public func-tionaries here, to determine that no foreign power, especially that of Great Britain, should avail themselves of, or should seize the oppor-tunity afforded by the weakoess and the imbecility of Mexico, to pos-sess herself of territory on this continent. Accordingly, an officer was sessions of internal on this continent. Accordingly, an officer was despatched to California for the purpose of conferring with our consul, who was the only public agent of this country then in California and with Lt. Colone! Tremont, if he should be found there, to concert with them such plans as in their judgment, would be best concert with them such plans as in their judgment, would be seal calculated to frustrate any attempt to the part of any foreign go-vernment, should a tch attempt be meditated, to acquire dominion by colon-axion or otherwise, within the limits of Mexico or Cali-fornia. We are told, and I am satisfied of its truth, that it was for the purpose of carrying out this view of the government at Washington, that Lt. Col. Fremont was induced to return to California after he had abandoned it. Sir, it is important, in my cs-timation, that the reason for his return should be distinctly known, timation, that the reason for ms return snounce we usuncity known, in vindication of the conduct of the American government in the management of this affair. We have this in the report of the committee, distinctly stated by Col. Fremont himself, who has assumed the whole responsibility, and properly assumed it. He says somet the whole exponsionity, and property assumed it. He says
that a gentleman, (who was an officer, as 1 understand, of the
marine corps, ] brought him a letter of introduction from the Secretary of State, and also one from Col. Benton.

1 quote the language of Col. Fremont, from his deposition before the Military Committee.

"He brought me a letter of introduction from the Secretary of State, Mr. Bucha-nas, and letter and papers from Senator Beaton and he family. The letter from the Secretary was directed to me umy private or citizen expectity, and subhody import-og nothing beyond the introduction, accredited the bears to me a coming from the

Scretary of State, and, in containing with the circumstances and place of its delivery, nationated a purpose is sending it which was intelligibly explained do me by the account of the control of the co

. That such were the instructions, is confirmed by the officer himself, [Mr. Gillespie.] I quote from his deposition before the committee:

terey.

In sorwer to the second inquiry "Yoo have said that yoo commonisted the stakes of the government to Colone Fermont; state particularly what yoo did commonistant to him as the waltes of the government." Deep leave to state, that the natwer above contains, as seen as I can recollect, what I commonistant to Colone Fermont; tellow him, at the same true, that it was the wish of the government that we should concline the feelings of the people of Cultionias and encourage a friendably loos and the I state States.

Now, sir, it appears manifestly from the testimony of both of these officers that they carried with them into California no authority either from the Department of State, or from the Military department of the government, to wage any war for any purpose against the people of California, or against their government against one people of Cantorina, or against their government, on the contrary, their mission was purely a penceful one. They were instructed to conciliate and rain the good will, and attract the friendship of the people of California, in order so far as they might be able to do so, to frustrate the designs of the British emissaries, and to prevent them from getting possession of the emissaries, and to prevent them from getting possession of the country. That this was a wise policy on the part of the government, I apprehend there can be no doubt. How was it then when that mission was in reality a penceful one, that these gentlemen found themselves embarked in military operations soon after their arrival. The report of the Committee on Military Affairs sheds abundant light upon this inquiry. The government of California stimulated doubtless, by foreign emissaries, whose presence was notonional eletermined that no American should remain upon its manner and and the committee of the committee o apprehend as the Mexicans use it, the idea of "stranger" and "en-emy" is expressed by the same word, as in ancient Rome. It is manifest from the whole history of the transactions attending our intercourse with Mexico, that to be a stranger there, was to be an enemy. When these officers returned to California it will be seen, as is fully proved by the documents, that our countrymen who had gone into California and established themselves there, whether with the express permission of the authorities or not, I do not know, but certainly with their acquiescence—were about to saffer from a conspiracy which had been got up against them, measures bad been taken to bring down upon them the Indian population, and a war was about to commence which would involve not only the massacre of the Americans who were spread through not only the massacre of the Americans who were spread through the peaceful valleys of California, but would probably involve also the party which accompaned Lieut. Col. Fremont. In such emergency these officers assumed a very high responsibility. I confess, sir, that I feel instinctively sensitive to the establishment of a precedent, which would sanction, in any degree, acts of war or acts leading to war, on the part of officers of this government within each of the conference of the sanctive conference of the sanctive consideration of the conference of the sanctive constraints of the conference of blameless, were thus surrounded by circumstances which left them no alternative between a disgraceful and probably a most unsafe retreat, or uniting with his countrymen who were settled in Califormia, and taking up arms in their own defence. He assumed the responsibility of the latter course, and he carried it out, as he says, successfully, and thus it was that California was subdued, and the settlers saved from destruction, about the very time, that war broke out with Mexico, although the fact that it existed was then unknown in California

Sir, my object in the remarks with which I am wearying the Senate, is to disconnect the authorities at home from this outbreak in California, and to prove by testimony which cannot be contro-verted, that the government at home had no part in the insurrec-tion, that the probability of such an occurrence was unknown to the government, and in truth, that it was no party to it either directly or indirectly. This fact is most distinctly stated, also, in this memorial from Licut. Colonel Freemont, an extract from which I shall presently a feet a which I shall presently refer to.

So much for the commencement of the operations in California on land; now for those which commenced at sea. It appears from the documents which are to be found in the report, that Commodore Sloat who commanded in the Pacific, at that time arrived at Monterey. It is stated by Lieut. Colonel Fremont, (after having given an account of his military operations thus far) as follows:

given an account of its military operations thus far) as follows:

While proceeding against Gen. Cator, outheric information was recived that, on
the 7th of July, Commodon Stoat had taken possession of Monterey, and hoised the
American flar, upon which the flar of indervadence was immediately healed down,
the property of the commodor of the flar of the United States all robsequent operations were corned only; and onder the flag of the United States all robin Leane down to Monterey with my commodo, upon the request of Commodore
Sloat, loc operate with him; and immediately on my arrival waited upon him, in
company with Lavelengan Gillerue, on board the fingate Saxuanh. Commodore
Sloat appeared neway at the great responsibility he had assumed. He informed me
that he had pulpely the Lautenear Gillerue, whom he have to be an agent of the gar-

ernment, for his nathonity, but that ha had declined to give it. He then inquired to how moder what intractions I had acted in taking up arms against the Meanes nearborities. I incomed him hat I also deced in taking up arms against the Meanes nearborities. The modern has had been according to the control of the part of the part

On the 7th of July, then, it appears that Commodore Sloat, commanding the marine, hoisted the flag of his country at Monte-rey, and took poss-ssion of that capital. It is manifest from this evidence that Lieut. Colonel Fremont was accidentally thrown un-der the necessity of commencing these hostilities, and that neither der the necessity of commencing these nostitutes, and that netwer he or Commodore Sloat, who came shortly after upon the coast, had any authority whatever from their government to wage war against California. Indeed, it appears that Commodore Sloat, in raising the flag the United States at Monterey, did so under the impression that hostilities would not have been commenced on land impression that hostilities would not have been commenced on that war had commenced between the United States and Mosilon. that war had commenced between the United States and Mexico. Now, sir, the Senate and the country may pass its separate judgment upon the acts of Lieut. Colonel Fremont in commencing these hostilities. What I desire is to rescue the government of my country from the possible imputation, that it sought by indirectly stirring up insurrection in a remote province of a sister republic to provoke a war for the purpose of selzing and appropriation from the country of the control of the country of the c did. He could not see his countrymen exposed to the merciless at-tack of the savages, their homes and their fields desolated, and their used of the savinges, their homes and their neiss desolated, and their women and children fleeing before the tomahawk and sealping-halle; he could not have subjected his own men to the dangers which awaited them, without incurring a censure greater than any he could have incurred in taking up arms for their protection. No, aff., I have so censure for this officer for the part he hore in these military operations in California.

military operations in California.

The question, then, arises, does it devolve upon this government to pay these claims? Sir, I believe if not debtig juttitie, still in justice and equity we ought to pay them. Because these military operations, however unauthorized, were undertaken from necessity and for the protection of our own countrymen thus treacherously assailed, and because the fruits of the victories achieved cenure to the United States when its army was sort there in proceeding on the united this fact and none can consider the protection of any endergiant against the government under the sanction of law, always fluid the sanction of law require special legislation to enable them to be paid. The Secretary of War has recommended the payment of these claims. In bits annual report to Congress be asys: claims. In his annual report to Congress he says :

"The despatch of Cell Mason, to which I have before alloade, refers to the ansat-ted climar in that country against the United States, and recommends that immediate measures should be taken to socretism the amount of such as are well founded, and provide the state of the socretism of the states are principally for pro-perty of valous description, including payment. These claims are principally for pro-perty of valous description, the state of the state of the state of the making operations to that country. The delay to pay them has already produced much distantisation, and in projudicial to the interests of the United States. Some of the officers engaged in this distant service have become personally responsible for debts that provision should be made for paying these delay.

How are they to be paid? The bill appropriates seven hundred thousand dollars, and, in my judgment, so large a sum to be expended in payment of claims which in their character are necessapended in payment of claims which in their character are necessa-rily, to a great extent, indeterminate and loose, requires that every precaution should be taken to insure justice as well to the govern-ment as to the claimants. It is proposed in the hill reported from the Military Committee, that they shall be ascertained and paid under the authority of a commission, to be created for than pro-pose. I agree entirely with the committee in their recommenda-tions on this noist. They are alisins of procline are recommendations on this point. They are claims of peculiar character; many of them doubtless of small amount would be dependent on evidence that it will be difficult to collect-evidence which must be closely that it will be unlicult to collect—evidence winch must be closely scanned and sifted, to separate such as are fair and just from the unfair and unjust. Such scrutiny cannot well be made, by any other than a tribunal of judical character. Claims which have arisen without the sanction of law should have the closer scrutiny. arisen without the sanction of law should have the thought seemen of law, and I know of no better mode for their adjustment than to have a board that shall sit and adjudicate them. It is proposed, however, in the bill which has been reported to create this board, and to appoint by law the officers who are to constitute it, one by

and to appoint by law the officers who are to constitute it, one my name and the others by description.

Mr. President, I apprehend, and I say it with great defence to the committee who have reported this bill, that to create the board in such a manner would be a violation of the constitution of

the United States. The bill provides that

"The President be, and he is authorized, to appoint a board consisting of John Charles Fremont, and two officers of said battelion while in service, &c."

The President shall be authorized to appoint Lt. Col. Fremont

and two other officers who were in the service. Nw, sir, we ought and two other officers who were in the service. I we, sif, we cought to look carefully into this matter. It is unbecoming, I lays it with all respect, J it is unbecoming I think in the Senate of the United States, or in either branch of the Legislature of this government to trench, in the slightest degree, on the powers which are assigned by the constitution to the Executive. It would be a dangerous ed by the constitution to the Executive. It would be a dangerous precedent. The constitution, for wise purposes, has lodged the power of appointment with the President, giving to the Senate only a negative on that power. Although the terms of the constitution are familiar to every Senator, I will ask leave to refer to them. It provides that the President

"Shall nominate, and by and with the advice and consent of the Secate, shall any point ambassadon, other ministers and councid. Judges of the Supreme Count, and sit wided for, and which shall be established by law. But the Congress may, by law, vost the appointment of such inferor officers, as they think proper in the President alone, in the control of operations.

Thus, sir, by the express terms of the constitution the power of selection is assigned to the President. He shall have power to nominate, and by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, shall appoint first—certain classes of officers, and then "all other shall appoint first—certain classes of officers, and then "all other officers whose appointments are not therein otherwise provided for, and which may be established by law." This commission then, and the appointment under it if established by law, ought to be filled according to the terms of the constitution, and within the meaning of the provisions to which I have referred. I understand an officer of the government to be one who has any public charge or employment whatsoever. I do not mean this as a strict definition of the term officer, but it is that form of expression which imparts to my mind the clearest conception of what is meant by public officer. What daty is to be devoted on this board? It is to be a pure are to sanction or reject the claims that rany be present. To do justice to the claimasts and justice to the government; and these duties are to be discharged by persons who derive their nuthority exclusively from the government. Can it be said then, that they are not officers within the meaning of the provision of the constitution which

officers within the meaning of the provision of the constitution which I have read. They are officers not only in the general and popular sense, but in the strictest sense, for the discharge of an important trust, the adjudication of claims to a large emount, binding the government by their decisions-which claims are to be paid upon their certificate out of the public treasury-all which powers are derived under authority exclusively imparted to them by the government. Sir, I can entertain no doubt that this board or the officers who are to constitute it, are strictly officers within

the government. Sir, I can entertain no doubt that this board or the officers who are to constitute it, are strictly officers within the meaning of the constitution. If such be the lact, it must no-essarily foliow that they are to be appointed by the appointing with the advice and consent of the Senate.

I have, sir, with the deference which becomes me, made these objections on the bill asi is reported from the committee, and I have made them with reluctance, for it is always with reluctance that I can express opinions different from those of a committee of this body, but it struck me at once, and the more I examined it the more I was confirmed in the opinion that the appointing power does not reside here, that it would be trenching on the rights of the Executive and violating the constitution, though not so intended, therefore if we agree to the creation of any board, to do it in the usual form, and leave the power of appointment to the functionary in whom it has been reposed by the constitution. I know of no other mode of attaining this object than by a proposition to send the bill back to the Committee on Military Alfairs, with instructions to reform it in this respect. I have drawn the instructions, which I will read to the Senate.

which I will read to the Senate.

The bill proposes that there shall be three commissioners, I have The bill proposes that there shall be three commissioners, I have no particular opinion on this subject. I had thought that one commissioner would be enough, provided he would be associated in some manner with the military commander for the time being in California, but on further consideration it strikes me that it would be as well that there should be two—though the additional expense would be comparatively small—I think it unnecessary. I throw out this proposition for the consideration of the Senate, not being wedded to it in any form as to details which the committee is more competent than I to determine; but I see no mode by which this object can be accomplished without a recommistration.

In what I have said, I have confined myself as I proposed to do, entirely to this bill. I am friendly to the purposes of the bill. I am in favor of paying these claims. But it is important that their character should be understood, so that at a future day, this government may not be implicated for having covertly, or for improper purposes incited the insurrection in California. I move the recommitment of the hill.

### EXECUTIVE SESSION.

On motion by Mr. MANGUM, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of Executive business, and after some time spent therein.

On motion

The Senate adjourned.

# THURSDAY, APRIL 13, 1848.

#### PETITIONS.

Mr. CASS submitted documents relating to the claim of William Lee, to compensation for services as clerk in the Office of the Superintendent of Indian Affairs, at Detroit; which were referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs

Mr. MANGUM presented a petition from eftizens of the United States, praying the purchase of Mount Vernon by the government; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. BELL presented the memorial of citizens of Louisville' Kentucky, praying an appropriation for the rapair of the dam at the head of Cumberland Island, in the Ohio river; which was ordered to lie upon the table.

#### IMPORTATIONS OF SUGAR.

Mr. DOWNS submitted the following resolution, which was considered, by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Reasleed. That the Secretary of the Treasory be directed o report to the Senate the quantity of sugar imported during the fiscal year ending 30th June, 1847, and the succeeding year to the close of the last quarter, (21st March 1849.7) respecting the places from whence imported, and the price, at the place of exported the several quantities, when apported.

### VENTILATION OF THE SENATE CHAMBER.

Mr. HUNTER, from the Committee on Public Buildings, reported the following resolution; which was considered, by unani-

mous consent, and agreed to: Resolved. That the Secretary pay to John Skirving, for his services in ventilating the Senate during this session, an allowance not exceeding the pay of a messenger, together with one dollar a day for an assistant laborate.

## PRIVATE BILLS.

Mr. MILLER, from the Committee on Naval Affairs, to whom were r-ferred the bills from the House of Representatives, for the relief of Edward Quinn; for the relief of David Myrele; for the relief of Stephen Bryan; for the relief of Joseph Bryan; for the relief of Stephen Bryan; for the relief of Joseph Bryan; for the relief of James H. Conley; for the relief of James Glynn, and others; for the relief of Parallel of the relief of Edwards and the relief of Edwards of the relief of Anne W. Angens; for the relief of Danh Percival, a captain in the navy of United States; and a joint resolution concerning the settlement of the accounts of William Speiden, purser in the navy of the United States; reported them without amendment.

## BOUNTY LANDS.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, from the Committee on Military Affairs, to whom was referred the bill explant tory of the act entitled "An act to raise for a limited time an additional military force, and for other purposes," approved 11th February, 1847; reported it with amendments.

## PRIVATE BILLS.

Mr. NILES, from the Committee on the Post Office and Post Reads, to whom was referred the joint resolution from the House of Representatives for the relief of H. M. Barney, reported it without amendment.

Mr. BALDWIN, from the Committee on Pensions, to whem was referred the memorial of Francis O. Dorr and Andrew C. Dorr, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for the relief of Gustavus Dorr.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

# PUBLIC LANDS IN FLORIDA.

Mr. BREESE, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the bill respecting certain surveys in the State of Florida, reported it without amendment.

### ADVERSE REPORT

Mr. FELCH, from the Committee on Pensions, to whom was referred the petition of Elizabeth McDongali, submitted an adverse report, which was ordered to be printed.

# CHANGE OF REFERENCE.

## On motion by Mr. FELCH, it was

Ordered. That the Committee on Pensions be discharged from the further consideration of the proceedings of a meeting of wounded soldiers, presented on the 3d instant, and that it be referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

#### THE CONSTITUTION.

The Senate proceeded to consider the resolution submitted yes-terday by Mr. Bader, directing the Secretary of the Senate to purchase two thousand copies of the edition of the constitution prepared by W. Hickey; and the question being on agreeing to said resolution, it was determined in the negative.

Mr. ATCHISON moved a reconsideration of the vote upon the the resolution; which was agreed to.

The question recurring upon agreeing to the resolution, it was,

On motion by Mr. BERRIEN, Ordered, That the further consideration thereof be postponed

# THE DAM AT CUMBERLAND ISLAND.

Mr. BELL moved that the prior orders be postponed for the purpose of proceeding to the consideration of the bill to provide for the repair and improvement of the dam at the head of Cumberland island, in the Ohio river.

The motion was disagreed to.

#### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. CAMPBELL, their clork:

Mr. President: The House of Representatives have passed a bill to amend the act "to provide for the transportation of the mail between the United States and forage countries, and for other purposes,"

In which they request the concurrence of the Senate.

The Speaker of the House of Representatives having signed the enrolled joint reso-tion, I am directed to bring it to the Senate for the signature of their President.

#### SIGNING OF A JOINT RESOLUTION.

The VICE PRESIDENT signed the enrolled joint resolution tendering the congratulations of the American to the French

#### PORFION MAILS.

The bill from the House of Representatives to amend the act "to provide for the transportation of the mail between the United States and foreign countries, and for other purposes," was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

## NOTICES OF BILLS.

Mr. PEARCE gave notice that on to morrow, or some early day thereafter, he will ask leave of the Senate to introduce a bill to incorporate the Washington Mutual Insurance Company and

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, gave notice that on to-morrow, or some early day thereafter, he will ask leave of the Senate to introduce a bill, the title of which he named.

# MESSAGES FROM THE PRESIDENT.

A message, in writing, was received from the President of the United Sates, by Mr. Walkea, his Secretary.

Mr. President: The President of the United States has approved and signed the bill for the relief of Peter Engles, senior, and the bill for the relief of the legal repre-sentatives of George Fisher, deceased.

## THE PILOT LAWS.

The Senate resumed the consideration, as in Committee of the Whole, of the bill to repeal the act of 2d Morch, 1837, entitled "An act concerning pilots."

Mr. DIX.—Mr. President: A bill in all respects similar to this, was before the Senate at the last session of Congress, but not finally acted upon for want of time. When it was taken up for consuderation, 1 explained the object in view; but as there are many gentlemen on this floor, who were not then members of the Senate, 1 will recapitulate, as briefly as possible, the arguments

urged in favor of the passage of the bill.

The bill proposes to repeal the act of 2d March, 1837, concerning pilots. The act proposed to be repealed, made it "lawcertning photos. A rea et projocate to our repeated, made to award ful for the master or commander of any vessel coming into or going out of any port situate upon waters, which are the boundary between two States, to employ any pilot duly licensed or authorized by the laws of either of the States bounded on the said waters, to or from said port; any law, usage, or eustom to the contrary notwithstanding

This provision, though equal and fair on its face, is partial and unfair in its operation, as I will endeavor to show.

Before the American colonies confederated together for mutual

delence and protection, each regulated its own pilotage.

they became independent States, and agreed to articles of confederation and appendix limb of rether common government, they may be a subject. When the constitution of the United States was adopted, and a more perfect union formed between the States, it endered no Congress the power "to regulate commerce with foreign nations, and among the soveral States, and with the Indian tribes." Under this authority, as is supposed, the Congress of the-United States passed an act at its first session, providing 'that all pilots in the bays, inlets, rivers, harbors, and ports of the United States shall continue to he regulated in conformity with the existing laws of the States, respectively, wherein such pilots may be, or with such laws as the States may respectively hereafter enact for the purpose, until further legislative provision shall be made by Congress."

Three considerations suggest themselves in view of this provision:

1. Congress assumed to possess the authority of legislating on
the subject of pilotage, either, as is most probable, under the
clamse of the constitution I have eited conferring the power of
regularing commerce, or, possibly, under the general power to
make all laws necessary and proper for earrying into execution
the powers expressly given to Congress. I do not intend to deny
the authority, rightfully exercised; and I suppose it will be generally conceded that the regulation of pilots is a regulation of

2. Congress adopted the laws of the States then in force, requiring pilots in those States respectively. By virtue of this adoption these laws acquired all the force of acts of Congress, and all the regulations contained in them thenceforth possessed the same efficacy as they would have had if they had been recited in full in the act adopting time. They became a part of the law of the

3. Congress, by referring to and sanctioning the future legislation of the States, in respect to pilotage, virtually clothed them with its own power over the whole subject. It virtually pronounced the regulation of pilots in the several States a fit subject for local or municipal legislation. It was, in effect, a delegation of the power of Congress, whatever that power may be, over the subject of pilotage to the States, to be exercised in future, until resumed by the delegating suthority, or, to use the words of the act, "until further legislative provision shall be made by Congress." This act was passed on the 7th of Agust, 1789. I believe it was the minth act passed under the constitution of the United States, and it was for nearly half a century the only act pissed by Congress act of 1792, by which pilots were exempted from the performance of militia duty.

of militia dury.

The State of New York commenced the regulation of pilotage for her principal port at an early period of her colonial existence. The first law I find was passed in 1694, more than one hundred and fifty years ago, and about seventy after the first settlement of New Amsterdam. It provided for the appointment of pilots by the Governor and Council), and prohibited all other persons from piloting any vessel into the port of New York under a pecuniary penalty. From that time to the year 1837, the pilotage of vessels spect New York stood on the same footing, nominally and practically, with the other States in the Union.

In December, 1836, two shiwrecks of a very distressing cha-

eally, with the other States in the Union.

In December, 1836, two shipwrecks of a very distressing character from the extensive loss of life with which they were attend-coverred on Long island—I allude to the Bristol and the Mexico. Neither of these vessels had a pilot; and this fact, combined with a previous course of conduct on the part of the New York pilots, calculated to create prejedies against them in the public that there was, to a certain extent, just ground for this prejudice. The business of pilotage in the city of New York bad grown into a monopoly, and like all other monopolies had run into abose. No man at this day desires to revive it. The number of pilots was too limited: and they had, by combining and agrecing to share equally the receipts accruing from their joint services, rendered all competition useless, and produced a relaxation of their accustom-port. On the fullest investigation, however, I believe they were excented from all direct censure on account of the loss of the two vessels referred to. But the system was not equally fault-less; and, on the occurrence of these two disasters, the public attention having been strongly attracted to the subject, the Legrislature of New York entered into a full investigation of it. For nearly three months the whole subject was under control of the control of the public attention having been strongly attracted to the subject, the Legrislature of New York entered into a full investigation of it. For nearly three months the whole subject was under control of the control of the property of the prevention of the control of the property of the prevention of the control of the two vessels referred to the public attention having been strongly attracted to the subject was under control of the public attention and the passage of a law formed with a reference to all the known and alleged evils of the system. A board commissioners, consisting of five persons, was anthorized to be appointed by the governor and Senata of the State. It was made the duty of the boar

a free competition; and the defects in the old system, which time and disclosed, were provided for by appropriate remedies. I have no hesitation in saying, if this act had been permitted to ge into operation, fully and fairly, without any interfering or counterasting legislation on the part of Congress, all the evils of the pre-existing system would have been remedied, and all the advantages of a proper competition would have been fully secured without any of the inconveniences, inequality, and injustice, which have residently and appropriate the proper convenience, incompletely the property of the

Surcher-legislation.

But before this act was matured and passed, the act of Congress of March 2 1837, of which we now ask for the repeal, had been harried through the two Houses of Congress, and the power of regulating pilotage for the City of New York was virtually taken out of the hands of the Legislature of the State. The object of this act of Congress was to open the business of pilotage for the City of New York was virtually taken out of the Andro of the Legislature of the State. The object of this act of Congress was to open the business of pilotage for the City of New York was virtually taken out of the Legislature of the State of New Jersey, with a new to greater competion among the pilots in looking out for vessels the City of New York that the State of New Jersey was induced to place the constant of the State of New Jersey was induced to place the New York that the State of New Jersey was induced to place the New York that the State of New Jersey was induced to place the New York and passed after the careful investigation to which I have already referred. It Proke up the old monopoly: it rendered combinations unpossible; and, in a word, it provided a comple remedy for pre-earling evides and defects. Under these circumstances, the inter-order of the New York is th

In order that there may be an end to this state of things, we ask for a repeal of the act of Congress, by which it has been produced. The grounds on which the repeal is songht may be briefly stated thus:

thus:

1. It is in the highest degree unjust to the State of New York, to take from her the right of providing, by such regulations as she seems proper, for piloting vessels into her principal seaport—the only one, indeed, in respect to which regulations for pilots are required. By authorizing the pilots of another State, licensed and governed by laws, over which she has no control, to take vessels in and out of the city of New York, the State is wirtually stripped of a portion of her sovereignty, and the legislature of another State is enforced, without her consent and against her wishes, within her

is enforced, without ner consent and against ner wisnes, within her von territorial limits.

2. To allow pilots income and under the laws of another State to be a single property of the single property of t

and, as is conteoded, the unanihorize measure of allowing officers or agents of one State to perform public acts within the territorial limits and jurisdiction of another.

3. The act of Congress is in its operation unequal as a regulation of commerce; and, therefore, in volation of that provision of the constitution which declares that "ton performe shall be given by any regulation of commerce, or revenue, to the exclusive State over those of another." Massachmests has the exclusive regulation of pilotage for the port of Boston, Salem, New Bedford, &c. South Carolina for Charleston, Alabama for Mobile, &c., while New York is not permitted to provide for the regulation of pilots for her principal sea port. While the pirisdiction of the States referred to, is exclusive in respect to pilots for the ports I have named, here is concurrent with the State of New Jersey. Besides, there is no equality in the existing system, as between the

States of New York and New Jersey, considered by themselves. While the New Jersey pilots receive from \$25,000 to \$30,000 per annum for piloting vessels to and from the city of New York, no New York pilot that I can learn receives a dollar for piloting a vessel into a port in New Jersey. The advantage is all on one side. The act of Congress, though expressed in general terms, and apparently equal in its effects, is, from local circumstances,

partial, unequal, and unjust.

4. The act of Congress was procured through misapprehension, by attributing to the New York pilots disasters for which

they were not lairly responsible.

5. If the New York pilots had been responsible for the disasters attributed to their negligence, the defects of the system under which they were appointed, were revised by the leg slation of the State of New York, framed with the greatest deliberation, which ought to have been permitted to go into operation without

interference by Congress.

6. That the act of Congress has led to dissensions and litigation, not only between citizens of different States, but between different classes in the same State. The pilots of Louisiana have been involved in litigation with the pilots of Mississippi : the pilots of Maryland with those of Virginia. One of the Maryland pilots was thrown into prison for piloting a vessel to Norfolk, and kept there until released under the insolvent laws of Virginia. And it is a striking illustration of the impolicy and the injurious operation of the act of Congress, that where the parties concerned stand on an equal footing, they are unanimous in urging its repeal. It is only an equal footing, they are unanimous in urging its repeal. It is only when it is unequal in its operation that the repeal is opposed. Thus, the pilots of Maryland, though authorized under the act, to pilot vessels into the ports of Virginia, on the Potomac and Chesapeake bay, and the pilots of Virginia, though authorized to pilot vessels into the ports of Maryland on the same waters, have united in petitioning for its repeal. The State of Maryland also, in view of the operation of the act in that State, remonstrates against it, on the ground that it "permits the conflicting jurisdiction of adjacent States to disturb and derange the best systems of pilotage, which either may adopt." Sr, the only remedy for the evils it has caused is its unconditional repeal, in order that each may be left to regulate its own pilotage for itself, according to the system, which existed for own photage for itself, according to the system, which existed for nearly half a century after the organization of the federal govern-ment. And I will only add that, in this event, I am sure the sub-ject will be disposed of by New York with a just and liberal re-gard to her mereantile interest, and in such a manner as to remove all ground of complaint from any quarter.

Mr. MILLER .- This is a subject of deep interest to the commercial and navigating interests of the country, and is of too much importance to be disposed of in the morning hour. I suggested to the honorable Senator from New York to appoint a day for the consideration of this bill, when these interested in the matter might be present, and the Senate be full. I do not propose this morning to enter into the discussion of the subject, or to answer the remarks of the Senator from New York. My object simply is to have an understanding with the Senator, that this bill shall either be set down for a particular day, or if passed by in-formally now, that an understanding may be had that it will not be called up without previous notice. To one remark of the hon-orable Senator which he made in the commencement of his speech, I may be permitted to allude, as without reply it 'might prejudice the interests of some of those who are interested in the bill. It has been stated by the Senator from New York, that this bill was has been stated by the Senator from New York, that this bill was originally passed in a great hurry by Congress, thus insinuating that it was passed without due reflection and deliberation. Now, it is true, that the bill passed without much debate, but I think it can be made perfectly manifest to the Senate when they come to can be made perfectly manulest to the Senate when they come to look into the facts of the case, that if there were any burry, it was owing to the circumstance of the case, and that the bill was promptly passed, in order to afford protection to the lives and property of our citizens. But, however, that may be with regard to the passage of the bill, certain it is, that there has been since abundance of time for reflection. Every year sance the passage of this law, down to the present day, these pilots of New York have presented themselves here for the purpose of obtaining its repeal. At one time it received the consideration of a committee of this At one mine treverves the domination of whom the files of the Senate, and ever when the subject has been repeatedly presented, not only here, but throughout the country. It has thus undergone a very attentive examination. After a most mature consideration of the whole subject, the Legislature of New York finally came to the conclusion, that the law as it now stands was a just one, and should be maintained; I speak of the resolution which passed the Legislature relative to this very subject. However. do not intend to go into the subject now, but merely remark, that if these pilots showed half as much attention to the ships coming into the port of New York as they have to this bill, there would be no ground of complaint. I move that the bill be postponed to

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts .- If I had supposed that this bill was coming up this morning, I would have brought with me certain documents and papers, which bear upon the subject.— Without going into the matter as fully as I would have done had I been aware that the consideration of it was to be taken up in the morning hour to-day, I may throw out one or two ideas in refer-ence to it. I generally agree with the honorable gentleman from New York, on most of those commercial subjects; but I cannot,

in the present case at all concur with his views. In my judgment it is not expedient to repeal this law, and I agree with my friend from New Jersey that this is a measura of very great importance, affecting interests of considerable magnitude, and is not to be passed upon basily or inconsiderately. The first inquiry that us-turally presents itself is, who desires the repeal of this law? So far as I am able to ascertain, the repeal of the law is not sought or desired by any parties except the pilots belonging to the city of New York. I believe that the papers relating to the subject in New York. I believe that the papers relating to the subject in my possession do not show that any other class of individuals connected with the shipping interest of the country, desire the repeal of the law ayeant these places. peal of the law, except those pilots. And why do they desire a repeal of the law? A brief reference to the history of the circum-stances in which the law originated may assist us in answering

It seems that a combination existed among the New York pilots, antecedent to the passage of this law, by which they entered into an arrangment to distribute amongst themselves the fees received from pilotage in that harbor; and that in accordance with this arrangement they divided themselves into parties, going down alternatively to Sandy Hook, and anchoring there, bringing vessels into port with as little inconvenience to themselves as possible. The result was those frightful calamities by shipwreck, to which the gentleman from New Jersey alluded, and which were ascribed to gentleman from two derived under and unline were asserted to the want of proper vigilance on the part of the pilots. The wreck of the "Mexico," and several other ressels, near the bar-bor of New York, involving great loss of life and property, attracted much attention to the subject, and the representations attracted much attention to the subject, and the representations made in consequence excited investigation here, and this law was the result. It was said, here is a common port, partly foundaby the State of New York and partly by the State of New Jork and partly by the State of New Jorsey. The pilots of New Jersey are not permitted to bring vessels into the hardrow thich are bound for the State of New York; but they are authorized to bring them into the same harbor, provided they are authorized to bring them into the same barbor, provided they are bound to the State of New Jersey. Now, on this common water, it was said, we will make the right of pilotage a common right, and crente a competition, in order to see whether the recurrence of those evils may be prevented. That was the argument which was presented, and under it the law was passed, authorizing the pilots of New Jersey as well as those of New York, to bring vessels into the harbor. What was the result?—The whole evil vanished immediately. Instead of anchoring qui the whole evil vanished limited active. Instead of memoring during the telly at Sandy Hook, leaving vessels to grope their way into the harbor as they might, incurring all the dangers of shipwreck, the pilot boats ere now often found one hundred miles out at sea in search of vessels. Free competition has been created, shipwrecks in the neighborhood of that harbor are almost unknown, and the pilot-age of that important harbor has been placed on a footing highly age of that important harnor has been placed on a booming mighty satisfactory to the merchants, ship-owners, ship-masters, insurance offices, and all intrusted in the navigating and commercial inter-ests of the port. I do not know whether I am unthorized to say that there is not a merchant in the city of New York who would desire the repeal of this leave, but I think I am authorized to say that every insurance office in that city, the Chamber of Commercial and the merchants generally are all desireous that the law should remain as it is; and why? Because these interests are safe in the present condition of things, whereas they were usuale under the former arrangement.

This is a brief, general view of the case; in which the facts will I believe fully sustain me. My friend says that the present system works a little practical injustice towards the State of New York. Why, I have understood the honorable Senator from New Jersey has intimated that the New York pilots have heen diligent in season and out of season, since the passage of the law, endeavoring to obtain a repeal of that law; not because they are impelled or justified by any of the great interests involved, but because they justified by any of the great interests involved, but because they desire a monopoly, under the presumption that they will make a proper use of their power if you repeal this law. Well, I am convinced that none of those interested in insurance business or in property afloat upon the ocean, will desire that experiment to be tried. But the gentleman from New York contends that it works some practical inequality—that a certain degree of power is backed from New York on the property of the pro ror, in reference to the exercise of this power by the States. says, very truly, that in 1789 Congress passed a law recognizing, and perhaps, adopting —I am quite willing it should be so regarded—the then existing laws of the United States upon the subject of pilotage, making the laws of the States their own laws; but they go a step farther than that, and intimate that the laws hereafter passed by the several States shall be the laws to regulate pilotage, and that that is a grant of power to the States under the constitution of the United States. Well now, I apprehend that if the gentleman will reflect for one moment on this view of the subject he will be inclined to doubt whether that was the intent of that law. I do not think it is legitimately subject to such a construction. Such a view of it is not reconcilable with the constitution. It is quite impossible that Congress should, by its legislative power, change the terms and conditions of the constitution If this power to regulate pilotage be vested in Congress alone why, then, Congress can control, by legislation, no power in the States. It is a solecism to assume that Congress can amend or change the constitution by an act of legislation. I think it is necessary only to state that view of the case, in order to have the result to which the mind is led, made perfectly palpable.

Mr. DIX.—I stated that Congress recognized the future legislation of the States, and by so doing virtually clothed the States with power over this subject, to be exercised by them until resumed by the legislative power of the general government. I had no idea that the form of the constitutional power was changed.

Mr. DAVIS — This is precisely the thing against which I contend. Congress can cenfer no power by legislative acts, unless conferred by the constitution itself. How then are the State laws to be sustained? It is evident that the States were regarded by Congress as having a concurrent power on this subject. They have exercised it all times, and they by no means derive that power from the legislative action of Congress. The harbor laws having a concurrent power on this subject. They have exercised it all times, and they by no gress. The harbor laws having a concurrent power of Congress. The harbor laws having a concurrent power of Congress. The harbor laws have been supported by the concurrent power with the United States. The United States may when they choose to exercise the power exclude the States, but so long as they are not excluded, the States have a right to exercise the power. However, this is not a very material question. It believes no one doubts the authority of the States to legislate on the subject. The question now before us is and we shall return to the system are the reasons urged for the repeal? New York in common with New Jersey exercises jurisdiction with regard to the pilotage to that water by which both States are in part bounded. And it is said that the State of New York cannot regulate her pilotage to the satisfaction. Why not! The State of New Jersey regulates it by law. She finds no difficulty raying the law unto execution. The State of New York is common with a law we prepaled? It is all likely that the system can dearry it out as successfully as the State of New Jersey. Why then should halve be repealed? It is all likely that the system can be an unsale one, when for eight or ten years—for every the years—for eight powers—for every the years—for eight or the property on hord—or the pilots themselves? It is it at all likely that the system can be an unsale one, when for eight or ten years—for every the years—for eight or the years—for every the years—for eight or the property on hord—or

Mr. CASS.—As the morning hour has expired I trust that this subject will be passed over informally, so that the special order may be taken up.

Mr. DIX.—I merely wish to say that I do not intend to continue the detact, but to remark that I do not believe one word has been urged against the bill by the gentlemen who have spoken in opposition to it, which is not susceptible of satisfactory explanation. The gentleman from New Jersey thinks that I am in error in saying that the law was hurried through both branches of Congress. In reply I would simply state, that the bill was nutrodeed into this body on the 28th of February—it not being even leap year—and received three readings the same danter on the 2d of March, without any debate and very little consideration, as I believe, and after a committee in the other house had reported against any action on the subject. I wish to make this statement in order to correct the Senator in imputing to not any error. I will now move that this subject be passed by informally and the order of the day be taken up. I have no desire to press the master now, nor shall I call the bill up in the absence of those Senators who may be particularly interested in it.

The bill was then passed over informally.

# THE CALIFORNIA CLAIMS.

The Senate resumed the consideration, as in Committee of the Whole, of the bill for ascertaining and paying the California claims.

The question pending was upon agreeing to the motion made by Mr. Mason, to recommit the bill to the Committee on Military Affairs, with instructions to amend it.

Mr. BADGER requested the Senator from Virginia to withdraw his motion for the moment, to afford him an opportunity of offering an amendment.

### Mr. MASON assented and withdrew his motion.

Mr. BADGER then moved to amend the bill by striking out the words "the President be, and he bereby is, sutherized to appoint a board consisting of" in the 9th and 10th lines of the 2d section, and by striking out the word "whilehe" in the 12th line, and inserting in lieu thereof the words "to be selected by the President of the United States shall constitute a," and by inserting in said line to word "board" by

The amandment was agreed to.

Mr.BADGER-I wish to submit a very few remarks without go-

ing at all into the history of these claims. The question has been already discussed in a full and satisfactory manner by gentlemen who are perfectly familiar with all the facts. I desire only to submit a few observations to the Senate in reference to the supposed constituted. It is to be constituted. It is to be constituted in the constitution difficulty relative to the manner in which this barrier Victorial by direct legislation, what in consistency with the constitution can be done only through the intervention of the action of the Executive—and upon the ground that we propose here by a statute to appoint certain officers of the United States—whereas the constitution declares that efficiency of the United States shall be nominated by the President, and be by him appointed by and with the advice and consent of the Senate. If this there is shall be nominated by the President, and be by him appointed by and with the advice and consent of the Senate. If the there is so well follow as a clear and consent of the Constitution. See Senate of the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the United States in the state of the contemplates the doing of nothing that is in violation of the constitution of the United States. It does not propose to appoint officers of the United States in the sense in which the constitution is consideration. The constitution concessarily deals in general terms, and it follows as the framers of that instruction is more than the constitution when the constitution was the framers of that instruction the constitution was the framers of that instruction the constitution was the framers of the time that the constitution was the framers of the time that the constitution was the sense of the people, and continual reference to to be had to the great purposes which we should suppose were intended to be accomplished by the particular provision under consideration.

suppose were intended to be accomplished by the particular provision under consideration.

Now, in the first place, I do not look upon the persons proposed to be designated by this bill as officers of the United States in the, sense of the constitution. It is manifest that there are many agencies, trusts, charges, which the necessities of the government may require, in relevence to which the persons who are called upon to discharge and perform those duties cannot be called officers of the United States in the many the said to constitute an officer, the duties which the person word in the English language of more extensive significant on that the third property is the property of the said to constitute an office, or there is preferable to the third property is said to constitute an office, or there is property is the property of the said to constitute an office, or there is property is the property of the said to constitute an office, or the property of the said to constitute an office, or the property of the said to constitute an office, or the property of the said to constitute an office, or the property of the said to constitute an office, or the property of the said to constitute an office, or the property of the said to constitute an office, or the property of the said to constitute an office, or the property of the said to constitute an office, or the said to constitute and the said to constitute nification than the word office. It embraces every duty which we are called upon to discharge in our relations to others, whether we are called upon to discharge in our relations to others, whether judicial, executive, administrative, or otherwise; and all the functions which we are called upon to perform—so far as they respect the benefit and happiness of those persons, and which, herefore, places us in the confidential relation of trust towards them—are emphatically offices. The State itself is an office, the duties to be discharged are offices, but the word is used also in a restricted and defined sense. When the government finds it necessary to call upon somebody to examine into an individual claim and to call upon somebody to examine into an individual claim and to to call upon somebody to examine into an individual claim and to determine whether that claim against the government is just or not, is the person thus chosen an officer of the United States within the meaning of the constitution? If the government of the United States or if Congress think proper to call artists to adorn these halls with pictures and statuary, is the person who is called upon to execute this work an officer of the United States within the meaning of the constitution, and to be appointed with the advice and consent of the Senate? If Congress find it necessary to vice and consent of the cenate! If Congress and the cessary of make a collection of state papers and to have them condensed and arranged, and a general review of the historical and diplomatio transactions of the country executed, is the person who is called upon to discharge that duty an officer of the United States within upon to discharge that duty an officer of the United States within the meaning of the term as used in the provision of the constitution to which I have referred? I apprehend these questions must all be answered in the negative. And these and other temporary and occasional employments cannot be confounded with those continuing public trusts which, in the constitution, are called offices. I admit that in this as any other moral subject, the boundary which subdivides its different parts, is not, and cannot be defined with exactness, and hence as you advance towards it, you defined the control of the co defined with exactness, and include as you available towards it, you find yourself in some degree of obscurity, and at length find yourself unable to ascertain with precise accuracy to which side of the line a particular class of subjects belongs. But still we have to deal with these as with every other moral subject, by taking the deal with these as with every other moral subject, by taking the guidance of common sense and reason. And inasmuch as the establishment of a contrary doctrine would involve great practical difficulties in carrying on the government, I should feel content—even if the past history and practice of the government did not furnish any precedents—to give to his term the signification which I have now stated in its connection with the hill before us. But the significant was the property of the property of the state of the property of the state of the st I have now stated in its connection with the bill before us. But this point has been already decided, and sensible for my own part of the great importance of regarding the constitution as the same at all times and places, I believe that in all cases in which ques-tions have been fully considered and solemnly decided, they should be regarded as settled at once and forever. Gentlemen may differ however in this view of the subject, though it seems to me that in a question of this kind it is absolutely indispensable unless we would fetter ourselves at every step of our progress—that we should yield to what has been the clear undoubted authority of all the departments of this government. legislative, executive and judicial. Let me now refer to a few of the cases of legislation in which the same general principle may be considered as being injudicial.

6th February, 1817. By the act of this date John Thirnbull, of Connectical, was employed to compose and execute four paintings commemorative of the most important secute of the revolution, to be placed when finished in the Capitol of the United States.

2d March, 1831. By the act of this date Galax & Sealess were designated by name to formula, p. 1833. By the set of this date (Seales) were designated by name to formula be set of this date Matthew St. Clair Clair, and Peter Ports, were designated by name to formula Decomentary History of the Revolution, under certain precibed terms and conditions.

Let the sealest the sealest decomposition of the sealest decomposition of the sealest decomposition of the sealest decomposition of the Capitol, & 2.

2d March, 1831. By the act of this date, the employed of Jenus Perker was unconditioned by the sealest decomposition of the viewinging the account of Robert Arnold, his

collector of Ambor.

The July, PSS. By the set of this date amounts among the prince A road, has collector of Ambor.

The July, PSS. By the set of this date a musica among the collector of Ambor.

The July, PSS. By the set of this date a musica among the prince of Tachan Policy of the Collector of the Collector of Tachan Policy of the Collector of the Collector of Shottor of the Collector of th

This last case is precisely like that now under consideration of the This last case is precisely like that now unner consuctation to an expense. This bill provides that the board shall be constituted of three individuals, of whom one is expressly designated by name; the other two, to be selected by the President from the officers of the late California battalion. What are they to do? To disolarge precisely the same functions as those which devolved upon the commissioners under the provision of the act just cited. We olarge presery the same timetons as those which devotes a point the commissioners under the provision of the act just eited. We thus find that immediately after the adoption of the constitution there was not thought to be any difficulty in Congress by an act of legislation bringing into the service of the United States a whole of legislation bringing into the service of the United States a whole class of officers without any nomination by the President, or confirmation by the Senate, or appointment by any person acting in behalf of the United States. These are all legislative precedents; but inasmuch as they were acts of Congress, and all received the approval and sanction of the President of the United States, they involve the undoubted approbation of the legislative and executive decomposition of this construct, in second to the procession for which departments of this country in regard to the proposition for which I contend—that whatever may be the meaning of the term officer of the United States as used in the constitution, it does not include those commissioners who are employed in the occasional auditing and settlement of accounts, and examination of claims against the government, nor require that the persons who are to discharge these duties should be appointed by the President of the United States. Doubtless, Congress can if it please constitute an office for this purpose if it deem that the best mode of accomplishing the object. But, if in their wisdom they choose, as in the present ease, that the duty shall be performed by commissioners, they may constitute that commission in any way which seems best, subject to the usual provision that it meet the approbation of the President of the United States.

But I said that this view of the question has received judicial sanction. Early in the first term of General Washington's admin-tration, an act was passed by Congress to provide for the settlement of claims of the widows and orphans of those who fell ment of claims of the wholes and orphans of more was ren in the war. By that law the claimants were directed to apply to the circuit courts of the United States, which were to receive the evidence—examine the merits of the claims, and pass their judgment upon them. What was the view "Ren of the law by the judges? I read from "American State Papers," Miscellaneous Volume-p. 49:

"At a stated circuit court of the United States held for the district of New York, at the City of New York, on Thursday, the fifth day of April, one thousand seven hundred and interfy two, at ten of the clock, and meredian.

Present: The Honorable John Jay, Esq., Chief Justice of the United States; Honorable William Cushing, Esq., one of the associate justices of the Suprement of the United States; the Honorable James Dunne, Esq., judge of the district

occeded to take into consideration the following act of Congress of the

"AN ACT to provide for the settlement of the claims of widows and orphans bar-red by the limitations heretofore established, and to regulate the claims to available pensions.

pression.

"As, therefore, the bauness assigned to this court by the act is not judicial, nor directed to be performed judicially, the act can only be considered as appointing commissioners for the purpose mentioned in it by effect instead of personal descriptions.

"That are the objects of this act, and therefore as being it thereby to accept or to decline that office." This as the objects of this act, and therefore as being it thereby to accept or to decline that office. "That are the objects of this act on exceedingly benevous, and do real hours to the boundary and justice of Congress, and as the judice ducite to maintice, or more than the property of the control of the

It is thus clearly seen that the court recognizes the authority of Congress to constitute the commissioners by name, for they say they will interpret the act as one appointing them commissioners by official instead of personal description. Here, then, we have eases continually eccurring from 1789 down to the present day in which the principle for which I contend has been fully recognized and sanctioned. One of these cases is of recent occurrence. I allude sanctioned. One of these cases is of recent occurrence. I shilled to the appointment of an arbitrator in the Pea Patch Island case. I will not trouble the Senate, however, by a reference to those cases. Enough has, I think, been submitted to satisfy the Senate

that there is no constitutional impediment to the passage of the

Mr. RUSK .- Mr. President : It is my desire to trouble the Senate for only a very few moments in regard to these claims, to the payment of which I have heard no opposition. All seem to admit their justice, and appear to have no objection to the passage of such a law as may be occessary to ensure their speedy settlement. The only difficulty which has been started in opposition to the bill before us, is, that Congress has not the power to name commissioners or appoint an individual-call him what you will, officer, arbitrator, or what you please-to determine the amount of the

claims. This, Mr. President, appears to me to be a strange doctrine more particularly at a time when so many complaints are heard about Executive patronage and the difficulties into which the extension of it is leading us. It seems very strange that, just at this moment, we should claim for the Executive, without any direct and positive statement to that effect, it is true, but by inference, powers which, as the courts have decided, do not properly belong to him. What is the case before us? Certain individuals have claims against the government of the United States, and, whether claims against the government of the United States, and, whether they be few or many, one or a thousand, the principle is precisely the same—this Congress has the power, the right, it is their duty, and they are in the daily performance of that duty, to investigate, to look into, and determine upon such claims and provide by law for their payment. They refer similar claims to their committees, and are in the daily phabit of assigning them for examination to the Solicitor of the Treasnry, the Secretary of War, the Auditors of the Treasnry, and other officers. And for what purpose start to assert in whether this claim to whom a claim is thus referred is called upon to perform; it is a private duty, separate and distinct from any official relation. The reference is for the purpose of determining whether the claim be just or not, and if the referee, arbitrator, determine that it is just, we poss the laws for paying omeraming whether the claim be just or not, and if the referee, as arbitrator, determine that it is just, we pess the laws for paying it. Now, sir, this is a reference, not to the officer selected as such, for the purpose, but to the individual, the name of the office being merely used by way of designation or description. It may be contended that this is nothing more than imposing an addition. be contended that this is nothing more than imposing an additional duty upon an officer, whose duties are already prescribed and defined; but this is, in my opinion, a mistake. The duty thus imposed is of a specific and not of a public character. We refer, for instance, the claim of A B to the Auditor of the Post Office Department. I would ask, does it therefore follow that we invest that officer with the right, also, to decide upon the claim of C D? Clearly not. Hence it is not an additional official duty imposed, but merely a simple reference of a private matter.

The constitution declares that all officers whose appointment is not otherwise provided for, shall be appointed by the President.—The clause to which I have reference is in these words. Enumerating the powers of the President, it declares:

rating the powers of the Fresident, it declares:

"He shall have power, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, to make traites, provided two third of the Senators present concern; and he shall nominate, and, by and with the advice and concent of the Senator General Contract and the configuration of the United States, whose appointments are not terrin otherwise provided for, and which shall be established by any tituth of Contract and the officers which the shall be established by any tituth of Contract and the proposition of the Contract as they take you have a superior officer as they think youper, in the President abose, in the court of law, or me the sheaf of departments.

This is the clause under which it is assumed the proposed ap-pointment is unconstitutional. The question then arises, what is the meaning of the term "officer?" What is the precise in expre-tation intended to be attached to two de "officer" by the frame of the constitution? Did they use it in its most broad and unrestricted sense? According to the most comprehensive meaning of the term, the performance of any duty may be called an office, and of necessary consequence he who discharges it becomes an of and of necessary conjunctions to suppose that the framers of the formatisation in ended to use the word in this unconfined sense, and thus indicate that the President should appoint every person to whem any dury may be assigned? It seems to me that the only con-struction which can be fairly and legitimately put upon this article of the constitution is, that it provides for the appointment of public functhe constitution is, that it provides for the appointment of public transfers of those officers who are attached generally to the service of the government of the United States, and indispensable in carrying out the ordinary administration of its affairs. The word "officer" in this connection, carries with it the idea of permanence and of in this connection, carries with it the idea of permanence and of venetion and succession. According to the common law, officers are said to be of two kinds, public and private. It is laid down, and no one will dispute the assertion, that an attorney at law is an officer of the courts; and yet, no person will venture to contend that, under the provision of the constitution to which I refer, no lawyer can practise in the Supreme Court of the United States, unless he be appointed by the President. A torages are admitted to the bar by the permission of the court likely to be the prover thus exercised the court likely to the provention of the incidents necessarily connected with the tower thus is one of the incidents necessarily connected with exercised to the support of the provention of the prov nevertheless.

There is a case, Mr. President, in point, which was decided in Virginia, and which goes far to illustrate the difference between a public and a private office. The case referred to is that of B. W. Leigh, who applied for admission to the bar us an attorney. w. Leigh, who applied for admission to the bar as an autoriety.— They had in Virginia a statute requiring all officers to take an oath against duelling. Mr. Leigh refused to take this oath, and after argument and deliberate consultation, the court decided that the office of attorney was not a public office belonging to the commonwealth, and that, therefore, the applicant was not bound to take the oath.

to take the oath.

Now, Mr. President, I will ask whether, if we pass this bill, and appoint Colonel Fremont to adjust these claims, he will then by become an officer of the government of the United States? So soon as he shall have performed the duty assigned him, his official for pulpase to call it so, will cease to exist. It has reference to n you please to easily as you'll cease the ease. It has received to private claims, and is precisely of the nature of an arbitration.

And, sir, if Congress has not the power to refer a private claim to an arbitrator, who shall determine pon its fairness—mless the President shall nominate and appoint such arbitrator? Then, sir, none of your federal courts have a right to refer a case to arbitra-tion, unless the arbitrators be appointed by the executive. Now, sir, if such be the provision of the constitution, would a court of the United States, having equity jurisdiction, have a right to ap-point even an auditor, unless under the sanction of the President's nomination? Establish this doctrine, sir, and you will confer on the President an extent of patronage far greater than that which he at present possesses, and infinitely beyond what, as it appears to me, the framers of the constitution ever intended him to

But, Mr. President, there are authorities on this subject in addition to the decision of the Supreme Court, already cited by the honorable Senator from North Carolina, [Mr. Badder.] which is of itself conclusive on this point. I refer to the case of Kendall vs. of Itself conclusive on this point. I refer to the cause on heaventh and United States, in 12th Peter's Reports. On the 2d of 19ty, 1836, Congress passed a law requiring the Solicitor of the Treasury to determine upon the amount of a claim held by Stockton & Southernownia the Post Office Department, which law also required that after the Solicitor should have made his award the Postmaster General should pass the amount to the credit of those The Solicitor of the Treasury did make his award, not as solicitor, but as arbitrator, and it is in this character alone, the court consider him. They, sir, are not found straining phrases, and wresting and distorting the meaning of the constitution, the purpose of conferring a pon him any other or greater power than that intended by the reference, but he is regarded and treated merely as an arbitator. The Attorney General contended strongly aga ly as an architator. The Attorney General contended strongly against this award, but he never deramed of urging that the law of Con-gress, which made the Solicitor of the Treasury the arbitrator, was unconstitutional. He is regarded in that point of view, throughout the whole proceeding, by the Supreme Court. This distinguished tribunal thought it was competent for Congress to vest the authority in an officer of the government, or in any one cless, and under its decision, it seems to me, with all tude deference to the opinions of gentlemen more learned than myself, as well as, according to the plain language of the constitution, Congress possesses the perfect right to submit claims against the government to the examination and arbitrament of an officer of the govment to the examination and arbitrament of an officer of the government, a private citizen, or even of an alien; without, in the slightest degree, interfering with, or encroaching upon, the prerogative of the President of the United States.

I read, Mr. President, from 12th Peter's reports, page 611:

\*\*Lemmy serv.\*\* resources, from 12th Peter's reports, page 611:

\*\*Under this daw the Postmaster General is vased with no discretion or counted over the decisions at the Solicities; nor is any appeal or review of that decision provided for the dec.\*\* The return of the submission was a nature treating entirely in the discrementation of the properties of

Now, sir, unless I am most grossly in error, this decision of the Supreme Court puts the question at rest, beyond the reach of all cavil or controversy; and I deem it entirely useless to say any thing further upon this point.

thing intruce upon this point.

The question which next presents itself, Mr. President, is—are these claims to be paid? It is not my intention to enter into a detailed history of the transactions which gave rise to the responsibilities, for which the government is held, as I think most justly, liable; but I will go sufficiently far into the subject to satisfy liable; but I will go suinciently far into the subject to satisty every unprejudiced mind that the claims are not only just and equiable, but farther, that they are of the most briding and sacred character—that they should be immediately paid, and that the interests of the government will be best promoted by the prompt liquidation and some properties of the second Governor of the province, to make his surveys and examinations, for the purpose of increasing the stores of human knowledge, and having entered upon his scientific labors, we hehold him notified, that he must quit the country. This was, we necross an notifical that he must quit the country will be supported by the property of the proper fell, rature than take must may insumore summing with the local activities but, being threatened, sir, he very properly, as I think, took his position with his command of sixty men, and hoisted the flag of the Chief distarts, determined to remain until he was prepared to the very and when he was ready, sir, he quitted the compared to the very and when he was ready, sir, he quitted the compared to the very and when he was ready sir, he quitted the compared to the very summined to the very is overtaken by a messenger, an officer of the government, who core to him a letter, and—there is no use in concealing it, siralthough it purported to be a mere letter of introduction, it was, although it purported to be a more feater of mirrowavaria, it meality, an official document, accrediting the bearer of it to Col. Fremont, with a view to the union of the two, in devising some means to counteract the designs of the British emissaries. Captain Gillespie, the officer to whom I allude, in his evidence before the Committee on Military Affairs, states that he was directed to conwey the order of the government to Col. Fremown, unrecent to con-treets of the United States in Californa. This, six was to pur-port of Capitain Gillespie's mission, and, so soon as the communi-cation was made to him. Col. Fremont returned to California, un-der the order of his government, and by its express authority. Immediately after his arrival, the American settlers, who knew him and placed entire confidence in him, called upon him for aid and protection; and under what circumstances was this call made, and protection; and under what circumstances was this call made, sit? The families of those settlers, who had been previously in-vited to take up their abode there, had been ordered, through the influence of British agents, operating upon the local authorities, to leave the country fortlawith. A large force of troops was raised

leave the country forthwith. A large force of troops was raised to drive out these unhappy people to where they must either perish from starvation, or be exposed to the tomahawk and sealping knife of the blood-thirsty and merciless savages.

Not is this all, Mr. President. The evidence before the Committee on Military Affairs shows clearly, that the Indians had been incited to commence the work of destruction, by burning up the commence that indiscriminates shandther of women and the indiscriminates shandther of women and the indiscriminates. crops; and the indiscriminate slanghter of women and children. In all this, sir, as I conceive, may be seen the agency of the emissa-ries of the British government. In what war lave we ever been engaged with Great Britain that she did not incite the Indians against as? Yes, sir! And are not the Indians urged on by British agents; overrunning nearly the whole of Yucatan?

It was under such circumstances as these, sir, that Col. Fre-

mont was called upon to prevent the slaughter of the families of the American settlers, and shield them from the horrors of Indian butchery and outrage. What did he then do, sir? Col. Fremont stands in need of no commendation from me; he has established a reputation for himself, by braving dangers and fatigues—a well earned reputation, which had extended itself far beyond the limits earner reputation, which are extended user far beyond the limits of the United States. Had be faltered on this occasion, even for an instant, and refused to listen to the appeal which was then made to him, his lofty fame and wide spread renown would have been blasted in a moment. It would have been lost beyond the possibility of redemption.

From the limited acquaintance which I have with Col. Fremont. I am induced to believe he would have risked, not only his life, but what is infinitely more valuable, his reputation, to gratify his deep feelings of generous sympathy with his distressed countrymen. He obeyed their call, sir, and, so far from meriting any blame for ne obeyed their carl, sir, and, so lar from merting any blame for so doing, he deserves for this act alone, the very highest commendation that can be bestowed upon him. His influence operated upon the Indians. They knew him to be an officer of this government, who had incurred the displeasure of the authorities of Calif. fornia. Under these circumstances, he acted promptly, Mr. President, in defence of the American settlers who turned out with all of their disposable means, and made common cause with him, not only against the authorities by whom they were persecuted, but against the British agents by whose influence that persecution had been brought about

The country was declared independent, I believe on the 5th of July. It will be recollected that previous to this time, I think in the month of May or June, Commodore Sloat who had been sent out to the Pacific, wrote to the government here, that he was about to take possession of California, as he found there were British ves-sels on the coast, having, as he believed, some designs against the country. Shortly afterwards the same officer wrote, that he would not assume the responsibility; and he did not take possession of California until the operations of Col. Fremont in that quarter

California, until the operations of Col. Fremont in that quarter had determined him to do it. In a leve days I believe, after the declaration of independence was made, he took possession of Montercy, and shortly aftervards, the gallant Commodore Stockton arrived there with orders from his government to take possession. It will thus be seen, sir, that all of the expenses which were incurred in the operations in that country, with the exception of those which took place during the very brief time preceding the arrival of Commodore Stockton, were incurred with the express section of the government, and under the immediate direction of saction of the government, and under the infinematic direction of its commanding officer. Shall we then, sir, repudiate these claims? Shall we say to these people "we will not pay you?" Would it be just to do so? Will any Senator within these walls say, that be just to do so? Will any Senator within taces walls say, that for obeying the best and most humane dictates of his heart—for risking his life and reputation—to preserve women and children from savage butchery. Col. Fremont shall pay, himself, the expenses attending the gallant act—the more especially, when the consequence of that act has been to prevent California from falling into the hands of the British government? Is there any one here who wishes to throw the responsibility on him ? I think not.

It seems to me, Mr. President, that our right to appoint an arbitrator, 1s beyond all doubt, in which opinion, I am sustained by the decision of the Supreme Court. As to the justice of the claims

the decision of the Supreme Court. As to the justice of the claims —I have not heard a single whisper to the contrary, and such being the case, I hope the bill will pass, and pass promptly.

There is yet an additional and very powerful reason for its passage. The citizens who gave up every thing for the defence of the country, and who were the means of saving it to the United States, are now in a state of destitution. They are your fellow citizens—it us all important that you convince them by your first act, that your are disposed to be just, and will not postpone the

payment of their equitable claims. This is the way to attach

skylidents to the government.
It may be well to add that Col. Fremont is the person, who may, with peculiar propriety be charged with the settlement of these claims. He knows the nature and extent of them—it was under claims. He knows the nature and extent of them—it was more his eye that they had their origin—the people concerned have confidence in him. He can do mere in my opinion, towards a satisfactory adjustment of them than any other man. I will only say in conclusion, Mr. President, that the way in which the government of the man and t the settlement of the claims before us, with no grudging and miserly hand, but with a well ordered and discreet liberality, and above all, with the promptness which the peculiar circumstances attending them imperatively demand.

Mr. BUTLER .- This is not a matter which admits of a com-Mr. BUILER.—Ins is not a matter when admits of a com-promise with inclination. Until I heard this discussion, I had no definite opinion on some of the provisions of this bill. My incli-nation had been to provide for the payment of the claims, because we had adopted the acts out of which they had grown, and have we had adopted the acts out of which they flad grown, and have had the benefit of them. But under the constitution, we have no authority for making this appointment of an officer 1 will tell you claims. The question is acked, is this an officer 1 will tell you what it is. It is authority to an individual to disburse \$700,000; for the payment of what? For the payment of troops \$700,000; they have the payment of view, he is a paymaster. A paymaster of what! A paymaster of view, he is a paymaster. A paymaster of what I haymaster of the troops of the United States; I do not mean troops originally employed by the United States, but of whose services the United States have at Caralled themes, but California battalion. He is neither more nor less than paymaster of the troops who have rendered services to the United States. But he is more than that. His office differs from that of paymas ter of regular troops, by giving him greater power; for he auditor and a paymaster with discretionary power to decide the claims—his certificate signed by two others, is to be conclusive authority to pay—it must come to that, disguise it as you please. The honorable Senator from North Carolina has undertaken to quote several precedents; but, in my estimation, there is not one of them in point. It seems to me they do not support the case at all. I know there are eases that may be quoted, where Congress, as a legislative body, has assigned to the incumbent of an office already created, new duties. A pre-existing officer may have new duties assigned to him, and he is at liberty to take upon himself those new duties, or not as he pleases. In other instances, functions may be devolved on a recognized public officer, acting under the gnaranties of a previous appointment, which he may discharge gratuitously, for the benefit of persons claiming the benefit of the benevolent act of the government—not as obligatory duties, but as gratuities. Where duties are assigned to judges that did not per-tain to their office, they might decline to perform them as official tain to their olice, they will discharge such acts without official duties, whilst they could well discharge such acts without official obligation, as acts of been convenienced for the heaft of individuals as a convenience of the government. There is no one of the precedents that be not office may have been quoted, that does not come to this; that an officer may have new duties assigned him, or may perform gratuitous services of his own accord Another class of cases has been quoted, involving the distinction between an officer cases has been quoted, involving the distinction between an officer and an employment; that distinction is sometimes narrow, and not easily recognized, whilst again it becomes palpable, and may be obviously observed. Take one of the instances referred to by the gentleman from North Carolina. Mr. Trumbull was employed to paint certain pictures for the rotund of the Capitol. This was an employment referable to contract. It dd not devolve upon him any such duties as constitute an office having the complexion of a public trust. It was a specific employment, and not an office like this, scaling discretion and indoment in the disbursement of nablic requiring discretion and judgment in the disbursement of public monies, under a salary. I could go on and demonstrate the dis-tinction by numerous cases, if I were disposed to enter at large into the subject; but I deem it wholly unnecessary to detain the Senate. The case of the Pca Patch Island has been referred to; I believe the appointment of arbitrator in that case was made by the President.

Mr. MASON .- I believe that the act of Congress in relation to that case, merely requested and authorized the President to have the matter adjusted without indicating how it should be done. He proceeded to carry out the intention of Congress by employing Mr. Sargeant as arbitrator.

Mr. BUTLER .- I de not propose to enter into the argument. I simply stated my reasons generally for regarding this individual, Colonel Fremont, as an officer. He is certainly an officer for dishursing \$700,000. If this be not so, I certainly do not know what

Mr. RUSK .- The bill does not authorize him to make payments at all. After he shall have adjudicated the claims, they paid, according to the terms of the act, by some officer of the gov-

Mr. BUTLER.—The bill appropriates the money for payment of the claims; and they may be prid by the very officer who adjudicates them, and, in effect, they are paid on his certificate. He is a judicial paymaster, with judicial powers. I will not say that the Legislature might appoint as competent an officer as the President may, nor that Leutenaut Colonel Fremont would not be provided to the control of the provided that the provided the provided that the control of the provided that the provide

dividual whom I would select. Let it not be supposed that my objecthrough whom I would select. Let it not be supposed that my objection to the bill arises from any objection to the individual indicated. That is not the ground of my opposition at all; but it rests upon the isolated ground that I have intimated. I trust that the bill will be recommitted, and so amended that the President shall have the nomination of the commissioner.

Mr. RUSK .- I desire to ask the henorable Senator from South Carolina, before he concludes his remarks, to put his construction upon the decision of the Supreme Court, to which I referred.

Mr. BUTLER .- I understand that to be entirely different from be present case. The Solicitor of the Treasury was an officer lready in office. You have superadded to his duties, it is true; and to this I do not object. I do not say that after you have appointed a judge you shall not superadd to his original duties the duties belonging to an appellate jurisdiction. I am satisfied of one thing, that this is an officer to disburse or adjudicate \$700,000; and that the individual appointed will, to all intents and purposes, be the payofficers and troops in California, instead of troops within the United States.

Mr. BENTON.—The reading of the bill, as to the duries of this officer, will show that there is a mistake on the part of the honorable Senator—that his whole argument has turned upon an

entire misapprehension.

This bill has a discrimination between the officers who have Into bill has a unsermination between the objects who have the duties to perform of paying money, and the commissioners who have to adjudicate the claims. The one is a board, the other is an officer. The bill provides that the payment of these claims after they are ascertained by a board of commission. tuese ciains after tuey are ascertained by a bearn of commission-rers shall be made by an officer, either duly appointed for the pur-pose or designated by the President. If there be an officer who can be spared from his ordinary duties, the President may direct him to make the payments; but if no one can be spared then one shall be appointed for the purpose. The bill is entirely different from what seems to be supposed by the honorable Senator from South Carolina. It contains an actual provision for the appointment of an officer by the President and Senate for the purpose of making these payments, if they do not find an officer who can be spared

"Which certificates shall be forthwith paid in California by some officer duly appointed or designated for the purpose,"

Thus you see the bill provides for the due appointment of an officer, if no one can be spared, but it leaves it entirely with the Executive government to say whether one can be spared. This shows that the objections of the honorable Senator from South Carolina have turned on a total misapprehension of the provisions of the bill. And when to this misapprehension is added what has been read and re-read by the Senator from Texas in the decisions of the Supreme Court of the United States, that not merely an officer existing have additional duties put upon him, but that any one may be named by Congress to perform a specific duty. Sir, there is a decision of the highest tribunal which is known to this country, which has been referred to by the Senator from North Carolina, in which the judges did not accept cumulatively the duties of arbitrators in their character of judges, but they took upon themselves the duties separately and distinctly, adjourning the court from day to day and taking eare to lay aside their judicial character. The case of the Pea Patch Island has been referred to. It was a bill twice passed by the Senate to ar-bitrate the Pea Patch Island, and in one R. B. Taney and in the

bitrate the Pea Pateh Island, and in one R. B. Taney and in the other H. Binney named as the commission:

This bill came from the Judiciary Committee; a committee presumed to know what the law is. Another bill in 1844 was introduced by a Senator from Delaware, [Mr. Bayand.] and sent to the Judiciary Committee and reported without amendment. This shows the sense of that committee. The submission is, in one to Mr. Binny, in the other to Roger B. Taney, of Baltimore, in his private character, and not in his character as chief justice! So that these two cases in addition to those already respected are private character, and not in his character as chief justice? Intat these two cases, in addition to those already presented, are to my mind as conclusive as any thing can be that there is full power in Congress to make the appointment. I apprehend, sir, that after the judicial decisions which have been read by the Senator from Toxith Carolina and by the Senator from Toxas, and these two eases from our own bills that have been shown here there is nothing further to be said on the point of power.

Now, the question is upon a proposition to recommit this bill, Now, the question is tiple a proposition to recommit his bill, with instructions, and the instructions go to leave out the mass of the claims to be provided for, to leave out all that have been ascertained and adjusted—to leave out all those which are now in this city for payment, and to carry all back to California for set-The bill as drawn, discriminates between those claims, the amount of which has been fixed and allowed and these which are uncertain; and it provides for the immediate payment of the ascertained claims, and for paying the unsettled ones at the of the ascertained calmis, and for paying the insection ones at the proper place, to wit, in California, so as to prevent them from coming into the hands of speculators and agents. The board is only to act on the unascertained claims. The bill provides for paying the expenses of the civil government established by Commoders. Stockton, and to pay all just claims arising out of the military op-erations in California, but the recommitment cuts of all the expenevanions in Chinomia, but the irecommunications of an interspec-ses of the civil government. We know perfectly well that a por-tion of the nay was directed to proceed to the const of California to take possession of the country and establish a temperary civil government. The instructions, as drawn up by the Senator from Virginia, cut off the whole of this class of claims. The expresses of the civil government are out off, although that government was established under the precise instructions of the President of the United States. The instructions also cut off, as I said before, all the control of the precise of the control of

The state of the part of the claims which have accrued with out the positive authority of the government of the United States, the Senator from Texas has very properly told you that they are only a fraction of the whole amount. They are the claims which accrued in about thirty days, in the beginning of the military operations, during the time when the independent or grisly bear flag was raised—when there were perhaps not three bundred men engaged. It was in the beginning of June that this flag was boisted. In the beginning of July, Fremont and those with him joined Commodore Stote, and took the flag of the United States, and soon after went under Commodore Stotekton as commander-in-chief. From that time they were acting under the authority of the United States, and soon after went under Commodore Stotekton as commander-in-chief. From that time they were acting under the authority of the United States, and soon after went under Commodore Stotekton as commander-in-chief. The state of the state of the state of the state of the Commodore Stotekton as commander-in-chief. The state of t

bill contains

Now after having shown that the instructions which have been submitted, go to change the whole character of the bill, and cut off four different classes of the claims and send them back to Calof the desired of the bulk of the speaked of the twile development, entiring off the bulk now in this town drawn by Fremont and protested, cutting off payments an discharges muster rolls—after all this, I have to say is, that if the instructions prevail I shall vote against the bill. It was in the month of October last, that Fremont brought this subject before the Secretary of War, and brought to his attention the different classes of claims. One was for the payment of volunters. His answer was that the ease required special legislation. But, sir, it is difficult for me to conceive why it should require special legislation when the naval officers were specifically commanded to es-tablish a temporary government there—when they were peremptorily told that they were expected not only to conquer the country, but to hold it, and to establish a temporary civil government. Was this government to live upon air? Was it to have no support? Does not the order to establish the government earry along with it the right to support it. Sir, I admit no want of authority in the drawing of these bills. We admit that Col. Fremont acted without authority in taking up arms to defend the mea, women, and children, whose lives were menaced, not only with the destruction of their fields by fire, but with the horrors of with the destruction of their fields by fire, but with the horrors of an India wave. We admit that for about thirty days, he acted without authority from the government, and we own and declare that he authority from the government, and we own and declare that he their days of the United States, but an independent flag. He de-lared that it was not the power of the United States, but a new bowen as it was not the power of the United States and Mexicon it As soon as it was found that the United States and Mexicon were at war, that flag was pulled down, and Fremoat and his men passed under the command of Commodore Stockton; not in co-operation with Commodore Stockton, but in subordination to him, upon a written agreement to serve under his brders. That engagement was religiously kept. From that time forth, we admit no want of power, no want of authority. From that time forth we declare there was full authority to do everything that was done, yet the whole mass almost of the claims that are here, arose after that time, and in the pursance of that for which they had full authority, to carry on hostilities, and to establish, and maintain a temporary civil government. When gcatlemen again speak on the subject, and supvernment. When gentlemen again speak on the subject, and sup-pose that Fremont incurred several hundred thousand dollars expose that Fremont incurred several hundred thousand domais expense without authority, I must beg then to go back to the point to which the Senator from Texas conducted them to day. For a period of thirty days we admit there was no authority. Fremont to when the observations and there was no authority. Fremont did an act for which he had no authority; but I will undertake to did an act for which he had no authority; but I will andertake to did not be a supported to the support of such an incident in height of such as incident in height of the support of such an incident in height of the support of such as incident in height of the support nia, he seat me at the same time a blank to send in his resignation if there was any disapprobation of what he had done. To a man of honor and patriotism there are obligations infinitely higher than any that a commission imposes, and in discharge of which commissions will be despised. This was, then, Fremont's case.

He saw that his fellow-countrymen were in danger; he acted under a cases of great responsibility, of agonizing responsibility, but of obedience to the dictates of his conscience, and ready and willing to risk a responsibility above that of a military commission—the moral sease of his countrymen! And it has justified what was done. Far from wishing to throw upon the administration, either as individuals or as a government, the responsibility of he act from which the Senator from Virginia, [Mr. Mason,] took so much pains to free them, Mr. Fremont requested, in his last trial, that he might be put upon trial before the court martial for taking up arms without authority of the government. He asked, and in writing, to have that act included in the charges made against him—that there might appear on the record judicially, and forever, an—that there might appear on the record judicially, and forever, an—that there might appear on the record judicially, and forever, an

writing, to have that act included in the charges made against him e-that there might appear on the record judicially, and forever, an exemption of the government from any responsibility of that act. Now, what is the motion! To recommit the bill! For what Now, but is the motion! To recommit the bill. For what they come together; that they may assemble for the purpose of considering the matter, and devising an appropriate remedy? No, sir! the instruction is peremptory. It is an order to the committee to assemble in their committee room, and transcribe the words given to them. It is to make the committee the amanuems is of the gentleman! The committee is to be assembled, not for the purpose of thinking and determining, but for the purpose of transcribing the words that are given to them. Why, the motion is properious the summary of the committee the amanuems of the purpose of thinking and determining, but for the purpose of transcribing the words that are given to them. Why, the motion is properious for the vote of the Senate, without putting the committee to the trouble of assembling and transcribing it. The whole object may be accomplished if the Senate favors his motion, by leaving out the words in lines 10 and 11 of section 2 from the words "consisting of," to the word "officers." The recommitment would be useless, for the Senate can, if it please, make the amendment itself, and without trouble to the committee would be useless, for the Senate can, if it please, make the amendment itself, and without trouble to the committee of the volud of the senate of the senate can be premierous, for it would consist in the senate can be premierous, for it would can be senated and retained over again before paid. It proceeds upon a mistake—that the whole \$700,000 was incurred by Mr. Fremont without authority, when the content of that amount, say the one-twentieth part, was so incurred, all the rest being incurred under the command of Commodors Stockton, acting with the authority and approbation of the government. And for

Aft. PHELPS.—It appears to me that in any view that can be akeen of the subject, the built requires amendments. The Senator will pardon me for say the theory of the subject in the provided of the proceeding of the provided of the provided

The bill was then passed over informally.

On motion

On motion

The Senate adjourned,

# FRIDAY, APRIL 14, 1848.

#### MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT.

The following message was received from the President of the United States by Mr. WALKER, his Secretary :

To the Senate of the United States:

zo rae contacts f he United States:

In answer to the resolution of the Senate of the 28th of March, 1848, I communicate herewith a report of the Secretary of War, transmitting a report of the Head of Sammi invested by Sammé Coll.\*

Such as the favorable opnance entertained of the value of this arm, particularly for monuted corp, that the Secretary of War, as will asset to the Sammé Coll.\*

War as will be such that the Secretary of War, as will be such of the Value of this arm, particularly for monuted corp, that the Secretary of War, as will be such that the Secretary of War, as will be such of the Sammé of Sammé of the Sammé of Sammé of the Sammé of Sammé of Sammé of the Sammé of Sammé of Sammé of Sammé of Sammé of the Sammé of Sa

priers offered.

The invention for the construction of these arms being patented, the United States cannot manufacture the mat the government armories, without a previous purchase of the right to do so. The right to a see his patent, by the United States, the reventor is nawalling to dispose of at a price deemed reasonable.

JAMES K. POLK. JAMES K. POLK.

Washington, April 13, 1848.

The message having been read, it was

Ordered. That it lie on the table.

#### PETITIONS.

Mr. BRIGHT presented the petition of Samuel Simonton, heir at law of Isaac P Simonton, deceased, praying the payment of a sum of money due the deceased, under the treaty of 1837 with the Saganaw Indians; which was referred to the Committee of

Mr. PEARCE presented the petition of William B. Bend, praying the return of the duties paid on a quantity of merchandize which was destroyed by fire; which was referred to the Committee

Mr. CAMERON presented the petition of John Irons, heir at law of J. F. Irons, deceased, late an officer in the army, praying indemmty for loss sustained, in consequence of being robbed of public money placed in his hands for disbursement; which was re-terred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Also, the memorial of Catharine Croshy, one of the legal re-Also, the memorial of Camarine Crossy, one of the legal vi-presentatives of Thomas D. Anderson, deceased, late Consul of the United States at Tripoli, praying to be allowed in the settle-ment of his accounts, credit for certain expenditures made by him in his official capacity; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

### REMISSION OF DUTIES.

Mr. ASHLEY, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom was referred the memorial of the Central Rail Road and Banking Company of Georgia, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for their relief.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

Mr. ASHLEY gave notice that he will move to proceed to the consideration of this bill at an early day.

### JOHN A, BRYAN.

Mr. ATCHISON, from the Committee on Indian Affairs, to whom was referred the petition of John A. Bryan, submitted a report accompanied by a joint resolution for his relief.

The joint resolution was read and passed to the second reading. Ordered. That the report be printed.

### INSURANCE COMPANY AND SAVINGS INSTITUTION.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. PEARCE asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to incorporate the Washington Mutual Insurance Company and Savings Institution; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on the District of Columbia.

### EVIDENCE IN REGARD TO PATENTS.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, asked and Agreeaby to notice, Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, skeed and obtained leave to bring in a bill to amend an act entitled "An act to promote the pregress of the useful arts, and to repeal all acts and parts of acts hereiofore made for that purpose," approved July 4, 1836; which was read the first and second times, by unaminous consent, and referred to the Committee on Patents and the Patent Office.

# MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. CAMPBELL, their Clerk:

Mr. President: The Speaker of the House of Representatives having signed an arolled bill, I am directed to bring it to the Senate for the signature of their President.

#### SIGNING OF A BILL.

The VICE PRESIDENT signed the enrolled bill entitled "An act relating to the collection district of New Orleans, and for other purposes.

#### RESCINDING OF A RESOLUTION.

Mr. BAGBY moved to rescind the resolution submitted by him some time since, and agreed to, directing the Secretary of War to communicate the proceedings of Mesers. Eaton and Hubley, commissioners under the Cherokee treaty, stating that he had ascertained in a private conversation with the Secretary of War, that the information he desired could be better obtained in a different way, and that he wished to relieve the Secretary from the appearance of disregarding an order of the Senate.

The motion to rescind the resolution was agreed to.

# TREATIES WITH CHINA AND TURKEY.

On motion by Mr. ASHLEY, the prior orders were postponed, and the bill to carry into effect certain provisions in the treaties between the United States and China, and the Ottoman Porte, giving certain judicial powers to ministers and consuls of the Uni-ted States in those countries, was read the second time and con-sidered as in Committee of the Whole.

Mr. ASHLEY observed that a necessity existed for prompt ac-tion on this bill, and that it was desirable it should be had before the departure of the next vessel preparing to sail for China; and on his motion, it was

Ordered, That the further consideration thereof be postponed to, and made the order of the day for, Wednesday the 19th instant.

#### THE CONSTITUTION

The Senate proceeded to consider the following resolution, submitted on the 12th instant by Mr. BADGER, and it was agreed to

Resided, That the Severary of the Senate proclame, for the use of the Senate, two tions and copies of the Constitution of the United States of America, with an adjubbleted analysis, prepared and uplished by W. Hikkey, provided and that the same can be parthased at a price per copy not exceeding that paid for 10,000 copies onlined to be purchased by a residuation of the Sector adjusted to the Senate adjusted.

## ADJOURNMENT OVER

On motion, it was

Ordered, That when the Senate adjourn, it be to Monday next.

# THE DAM AT CUMBERLAND ISLAND.

On motion by Mr. BELL, the prior orders were postponed, and the bill to provide for the repair and improvement of the dam at the head of Cumberland island, in the Obio river, was read the se-cond time and considered as in Committee of the Whole.

No amendment being made the bill was reported to the Senate.

The question being on ordering the bill to be engrossed and read a third time.

Mr. BAGBY .- I have but a word to say on the subject of this bill, and would not have said that word, but for the determination I had formed in my own mind to resist the system of internal im-provement by the general government, whenever and wherever it is intended to be applied. With entire respect to the feelings of is intended to be applied. With entire respect to the feelings of those who advocate this bill, I must be permitted to say that no case can be presented showing more clearly, not only the impolicy, but the enormity of the system. The bill now under conideration is simply this, that masmuch as one hundred and fiftysmertaxion is simply time, that maximum as one numeric and flifty five thousual dollars have heretofore been appropriated and ex-pended for the improvement of the Cumberland river, and insi-nuch as such appropriation has been inellectual, or has been ren-dered so by the natural decay produced by time, therefore, the government must appropriate fifty thousand dollars more.

Mr. BELL .- I presume the gentleman was not present when I made some observations on this subject, a few days ago. It is not to benefit the Cumberland river alone, except as one of the tributaries of the Ohio. It is more important to the navigation of the Ohio above that point, as well as to the communication be-tween the Ohio and all its ributaries and the Mississippi. The interest of the Cumberland river is not a tithe of the interests

Mr. BAGBY .- Well, sir, when I get down the Cumberland river, I will get into the Ohio. I start where the appropriation commences, and I find that a hundred and fifty-five thousand dolcommences, and I find that a unharder and mity-five thousand our lars have been appropriated and expended in the States of Ken-tucky and Tennessee, in the improvement of the Cumberland river. That river, as the honorable Senator very correctly says, is but a tributary of the Ohio. But the principle is precisely the same,

and involves the question by what authority, by what grant of power does this government derive its rights to improve any river. Indeed, if commentary were necessary out the impolicy of the high provided in the control of the provided according to the horardist send of the theoretic power of the theoretic power of the theoretic power of the theoretic power of the provided according to the horardist Senator, are but a tithe of the whole navigating interests of the Ohio, and yet a hundred and fifty-five thousand dollars have been already appropriated, and the safe navigation of that river has not been completed. It amounts to this, as fast as you ascertain that your appropriations have been improvidently made, or as fast as your improvements yield to the touch of time, you are called on to renew them. This entire by adverse not only to the principles of the constitution, but to the work of according to the constitution, but to the principle to the property that you ask an appropriation for remove the first, you may ask one to remove the second. I have been struck with another view which some of my respected friends take upon questions of this kind, and it is this, that it is not the policy or the duty of those who contest these measures on principle to contest minor appropriations, but that they should be accounted to the property of the contest them ensures on principle to contest minor appropriations, but that they should be accounted to the property of the duty of those who contest these measures on principle to contest minor appropriations, but that they should be accounted to the property of the duty of those who contest these measures on principle to contest minor appropriations, but that they should be appropriated to the property of the duty of those who contest these measures on principle to contest minor appropriations, but that they should be appropriated to the property of the contest these measures on principle to contest minor appropriations, but that they should be appropriated to the principle of

to the principle involved, and so mast. We.

This view of the case was forcibly presented by a Senator from Louisiana on a former day. He thought it did not accord with the dignity of the Senato to context the principle upon the proposition for granting a small ship of land to greatly a small stream or the latter of the stream of the st

ble of limit or definition."

Believing, as I conscienciously do, that the principle upon which this bill rests has no foundation in the constitution, I shall give my vote against ut, and shall continue to oppose every measure of a similar character, while I have a vote to give, or a voice to raise in this chamber.

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—I am satisfied that there is no hope of shaking the opinions of my bonorable friend, the Senator from Alabama upon this subject. His mind is distinctly made up. I could be perfectly satisfied not to say a word il I could hope that the attention of the Senate would be drawn to the subject. If there can be any exception from the strict principles advocated by the Senator from Alabama, it seemed to me that this case will there can be any exception from the strict not be removed here, is one that has been created by the act of the general government itself. One of its improvements imperfectly made, has given away in such a manner, as to form an almost impassable obstacle one of the property of the subject of some conversation, and appropriation at this tray session to remove an obstruction from the Savannah river, and it has seemed to me, and I did hope, on a former day, when this was the subject of some conversation, that Congress would not consider this case as coming within the general government. The object is to remove an particular obstruction, which the government itself has created within the river. If an individual had created such an obstruction that has deepen government the permitted to do that which the government is self has created within the river. If an individual had created such an obstruction the law of the land would compel him as a civil duty to remove it. Should not the general government be permitted to do that whist strained to do! If the attention of the Scente only, can be drawn to this question, we are content to abide by its judgment without any dehate or argument. We do not wish to draw into argument who when the controversy at all, the constitutional principles upon which the Scenter well can be drawn to the general government is soft to submit this case to

the Senate as a peculiar case, governed by peculiar circumstances. For myself, I have none of those scruples or doubts on the subject of constitutional power, which the Senator from Alabama seems to entertain; but setting that aside, it seems to me that they do not necessarily enter into our consideration in this particular instance. Who should remove this obstruction? Whose duty is it is the duty of the States of the contines on the one side of Indiama? I should like to know whose duty it is. Is it the duty of the other? Who should remove it? If it is not out that, I should like to know whose duty it is. Is it the duty of the navigator to do it—to stop his boat, rest on his oars, and wait there until he can remove it? How is it to be got in do? It is a very great river in point of commerce—a very great river. From fifty to a hundred millions of dollars perhaps, is not more than the value of the commerce that passes up and down at this very place, in the course of a year. Sir, I do not intend to occupy the time of the Senate; my object is to end debate; rather to state than argue the question, and invite the attention of the Senate to it; and we shall be content when the case is understood, to abide by whatever judgment the Senate may prononce.

The question on the engrossment of the bill was then put, and it was

Ordered, That the bill be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time, by unanimous consest.

On the question "shall this bill pass?

Mr. BAGBY demanded the year and mays, and they were or-

Mr. CALHOUN.—As the yeas and nays have been ordered, I desire, before the question is put, to say a few words. If I understand this case argibt, there is an island in the Ohio, a little above, and rendering the navigation difficult; and in order to improve it, a dam was thrown across from the Kentacky side to the island, and by the recent freshets this dam has been destroyed.—Now, although I hold to the doctrine of strict construction, I have not the slightest doubt of the right and the duty of the government to repair this dam, or to remove the obstruction. It is the channel of one of the great navigable rivers which belong to no particular State, but which serves as a highway in which many States are interested; and if it he not done by the general government; it can be done by no power whatever. The States are possitively prohibited from entering into a work like this. If the general government cannot do it, it is clear that enicher Kentucky nor Indiana the constitution which prevents them from doing it. Under these circumstances, I hold it to be as clearly the right of the general government, under the provision in the constitution which prevents them from doing it. Under these circumstances, I hold it to be as clearly the right of the general government, under the provision in the constitution which gives the power to regulate commerce among the States, as it is to repair light-houses or to replace booys that have been destroyed, and that the objection of the Senator from Alabama is as applicable to the one as the other. I shall give my vote most cheerfully for this bill.

Mr. BAGBY.—I do not understand that Congress is authorized to do everything that the States are not authorized to do, or that the States cannot do.

Mr. CALHOUN.—I did not say that. I say that the right exists under the provision of the constitution which gives to this government the power to regulate commerce among the States.

Mr. BAGBY.—I understood the Senator to say that; I understood him also to inquire if this thing could not be done by the States, how was it to be done. Well, I reply, that supposing it cannot be done at all, still that is no answer to the objection. The states is the states and the state of the objection. The states is the states, but not be done at all, still that is no answer to the objection, but he States, but only that which it is authorized by the constitution to do. This is the great line of difference between the bon-rable Senator from South Carolina and myself on this subject. With respect to the power to regulate commerce which seems to be connected with the consideration of this bill, it has ever appeared to me, that that was the most untenable ground upon which this system of internal improvement could be rested. The power to regulate commerce is only a power to interiere with something a fready going on, but in this matter of rendering a river navigable which was not so before, you are not regulating a presenting originally, and legalating a hing after it exists. One is a substantive power, the other a mere regulation. I shall not go into this question now, however, but shall avail myself of the occasion when some of those important measures, which gentlemes seem to have in view, come before us to give my views, and to place some limitation if possible on this most alarming power, the power to regulate commerce.

Mr. CALHOUN.—It by no means test this case on the fact that, because the States have not the power, therefore, the general government has it. I place if on the fact, that the general government has been power to regulate commerce among the States; and that this is a case, which comes within that power. In confirmation of this conclusion, I stated, that the constitution prohibited the States from entering into any treaty or agreement, by which those in the great valley of the Mississippi, could unait and enter into an arrangement, by which the obstructions in that great river and its navigable branches could be removed. I also stated,

that ther were common high ways for all those States, and not within the exclusive control of any one, as far as their avaignation was concerned, and that it would be monstrous to conclude, that a stream, on which we had as much, or nearly as much commerce, as on the ocean itself, was intended to be left by the constitution, without any power to supervise and improve its navigation. In reply the Senator says, that this government has the right to regulate the commerce already in existence and to create new channels for commerce. That may be admitted, without weakening my argument. The river titled forms the channels, and the commerce and the create the commerce of the commerce on the ocean. Now I put it to the Senator, has not this government the right to establish light-houses, buoys, and beacons, under the power of regulating commerce? If so, I ask him to point out the distinction, and show on what principle it can exercise the power in the one case, and not the other. The river, as well as the ocean, is the common high way of the commerce among the States, and its navigation is no more mader the control of the States, separately, than that one, and the argument, which can establish the rights to the government to improve the navigation of the one; is equally strong to establish the right to improve the other. Both will have to be abandoned, or both admitted. To make the case, if possible, more parallel and close, I ask the Senator, if the government has not the right to establish light-houses, booys and became, over the

Mr. BAGBY .- Certainly-wherever your navy floats.

Mr. CALHOUN.—Then suppose a light-house is necessary to the navigation of the Mississippi, can you not erect one? Now, suppose instead of erecting a hight-house you guard against the obstruction in some other way, would you not have an equal right to do it in such a way as would be attended with the least expense?

Mr. BAGBY.—I had not the least expectation or desire to enter into a consent with the distinguished Senator from South Carolina. I am happy to agree with him in many things, but this is one of the cases where a point of disagreement necessarily arises. The question has been put, wheeler I admit the power of the government to crect buoys and light-houses? I do, undoubtedly, to the fullest extent. But instead of deriving it from the power to regulate commerce, I derive it from the express power conferred upon this government by the constitution, to provide and maintain a nawy. Light-houses and buoys are as necessary for the preservatio: of the navy as mechanics are for its construction. Lordon and the constitution of the constitution of the preservation of the navy, wherever you have for the preservation of the navy, wherever you many floats, the erection of a light-house is not a matter for the regulation of commerce, but is a matter indispensably necessary for maintaining the navy.

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—If the existence of government vessels, and the right to preserve them will justify the removal of this obstruction, I can inform the gentleman of a fact, which no doubt he has heard of, that several government vessels have been taken up the river, and are now employed in the service of the government.

Mr. CALHOUN.—One word if the Senator will allow me. There is a naval station in the Mississippi at Memphis, which is important to the government; but what is more, this system of erecting light-houses, buoys, &c., was established before there was a single government vessel. It must then have been regarded as coming under the head of the power to regulate commerce.

Mr. BAGBY.—I want to nsk one question of the Scnator from Kentucky. This work I understand was constructed by the government. Was it done upon its voluntary motion or upon application of those interested in the navigation of the river?

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—I know of no other application to the government than that made in the ordinary way by the representatives of the States in this body.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi.—Entertaining views similar to those which have been expressed by the Senator from Alabama, viewing the whole system of internal improvement by the federal government, as an assumption of power not conferred by the constitution, and believing that if the power were possessed, the experience we have had shows that its exercise would be inexpedient and demoralizing. I think it necessary to explain any judicion of the state of the st

being thus impaired, those who are interested in it have a right to expect of this government that it will remove the impediment; at least restore them to their natural advantages. The broken dam is a misnace, an injury to vast interests, private and public, and having been introduced by this government I hold we are bound to abate it. The practical question is, how can it be most efficiently and economically done? According to the best information in my possession, it will cost less of time and of money, to repair the dam than to remove the debris. Large masses of stone which have imbedded themselves in the sand, could only be removed by immense labor, to be performed only at the lowest stage of water. To remove the dam would, therefore, require that we should wait until after the present favorable season for navigation had passing by, and the injury done by the obstacle during his period would greatly exceed the expense of repair. As a measure of justing dam; and thus, at as carryl a day as possible, to restore the navigation to a condition which I hope will equal, if it does not exceed its natural advantages.

In arriving at this conclusion, my reflections have not brought me to the position of the Senator from South Carolina, JMr. CAL-HOUN.] If I were compelled to rely on the power "to regulate commerce" as a justification for this appropriation, my adherence to the doctrine of literal interpretation of the terms of the constitution would compile me to vote segainst this bill, intimately connected as it is with the interests of the great valley of which I represent a part. To regulate is to make roles, not to provide means. The power was given by the Sates to the federal government of the present of the power was given by the Sates to the federal government. The power was given by the Sates to the federal government, and the promotion of domestic tranquility. The common agent was entrusted with this power, because it could only be used by the States as sovereigns making treates with each other, and because its exercise was probably to be a detriment to the commercial interests of our political family, and a cause of dissension among us. The same clause which conveyed this power in regard to the commerce of the States, gave it also in relation to foreign nations and Indian tribes. If the construction were addiscension among us the commerce of the States, gave it also in relation to foreign nations and Indian tribes. If the construction were addiscension with the most remote port which our merchanten visit, or the least known Indian tribe with whom our fur traders hold intercourse.

Any rate which could be laid down as a limit to the extent which

Any rule which could be laid down as a limit to the extent which the federal government may constitutionally go in works of improvement, must be found in practice defeduive, and liable, in the progress of legislation, to be lost sight of unless that rule has within it its own limitation. It might, with great fairness, he arged that the federal government is bound, as one who uses the sary to keep them in repair; and if this were an occasion proper to the discussion of the general subject, it might easily be shown that both historically and practically the Ohio river is emphatically a national highway, and entitled as such to all which the federal government has a right to bestow. If appropriations to rivers and harbors were fainted to the amount collected by charges in the subject of the such as the subject of the such as the subject of the subject

Whilst I agree with the Senator from Alabama in the general principles which he has stated, I disagree with the view which he has taken of the particular case. To tap a stream, or to remove a natural dam, are among the most difficulty problems of civil engineering, and admitted to be attended by all the dangers which the Senator has indicated; but to improve the bed of a stream—to increase without radically changing the natural advantages of a mational highway, are cases widely differing from those put by the Senator, whether they be viewed as questions of engineering, or of constitutional construction. The cases put by the Senator endanger the natural navigation by drawing off the original pools; or by leaving the highway common to the States, and entering with a canal the territory of a particular State, invade its sovereignty question before us, if it were presented for original decision; in stead of being as it is, a proposition to remedy an evil which the former action of the general government has indicted.

stead of being as it is, a proposition to remedy an evil which the former action of the general government has inflicted.

The Senator from South Carolina, (Mr. Calliotx), offers the The Senator from South Carolina, (Mr. Calliotx), offers the case as a state of the selection of the process of the process of the selection of the process of the process of the selection of the process of the process of the selection of the process of the selection of the selection of the process of the selection o

be laid down at particular places; thence arises an obligation to facilitate the entrance of vessels to the places so designated, and facility and security being the common benefit of the parties, tennage duties and port charges are imposed, and serve to create the means for harbor improvements. Surely this cannot be considered parallel to the improvement of the route over which the commerce is to pass.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts .- How does that agree with the provision of the constitution which gives power to the general government to regulate commerce among the States?

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi.—The power to prescribe the rules for commerce among the States was surrendered to the general government, the States were thenceforward deprived of the power to impose restrictions or levy duties upon the commerce of each other, and the federal government received that power under limitations which mark the province of these values. outer, and the teetral government received that power unacrimitations which mark the purpose of those who gave it. Our constitution was to bring the States nearer to each other, and this power, transferred to the general government, it was foreseen would be the fruitful cause of jealousy and strife; the barriers then opposed by seme States to the commerce of others were swept away by the compact of union, and no foundation was left upon which they could be rebuilt. All had been dene which constitu-tions can achieve, to give to the people of the United States one commerce and interest. The constitution of these United States is a monument to free trade, and the various clauses in it bearing upon this power to "regulate commerce among the States," shew that it was not to give activity to the excreise of it, but to restrain the States, that it was conferred upon the federal government. was not my purpose to enter into the discussion of the great prin-ciples which have been alluded to, but only to point out some of peculiarities of the case under consideration, which, in my opinion, make it an exception to the general rule, and, therefore free it from objections which might generally obtain.

Mr. CALHOUN.—As the Senator from Mississippi thought proper to attack the ground on which I rest my support of this bill, while he concurs with me in supporting it, I led called on briefly to reply to his argument. He says the power to regulate commerce is restricted to the power to preseribe rules, and does not include the power to provide means for its safety and facility. Add yet, while he takes this position, he admits the power of Con-And year while to trace this position, no admits an power or Con-mitted was a constraint of the control of the tries, and collection of duties. This admission conceues the whele right for which I centend, but places it on grounds far less safe and well-defined. The establishment of ports of entry, and cellection of duties, are not confined to the semboard. They extend on the Mississippi far up the stream, as high as St. Lonis and Cincinnati; and on his own showing. Congress has the power, under the regulation of commerce to provide for its safety and facility up to ulation of commerce to provide for its sufety and facility up to these points, by removing the obstructions in the channel of the river, which might endanger or impede its navigation. He will not, I feel confident, make a distinction between pointing out the danger, and removing the cause of it-hetween the power of establishing a light house to point out snags and sawyers at night, and removing them. Nor can it be objected that the Mississippi is not navigable for sea-going vessels. Under the power of steam, it is navigated with almost the same facility that it would be, if, instead of a river, it was an arm of the ocean; while its great depth and volume of water admit vessels of as great a tonnage as most of volume of water admit vessels of as great a fonnage as most of the ports on the coast. Nor is it a sufficient objection to say that its navigation subjects it to great delay, in consequence of leaves water, or ice. Vessels are often delayed in consequence of ad-verse winds, or ealms, off the ports on the coast, but that is not a good reason why they should not be made ports of entry, and a good reason. If it were, the listoner of the ports of the con-tained of the coast of the coast of the coast of the coast. It was not considered the coast of the coast of the coast of the coast and beacons. If it were, the listoner of the coast of the coast of the trier, would ferever remain, suithout, these facilities of Centre of the coast of the c would ferever remain without these facilities; for vessels river have been known to lie before it for months, before they could enter;

have been known to lie beliere it for moriths, before they could enter, and when they did, they entered with great hazards. I give my I do not wish to be understeed by these remarks larger in the countenance to the ground on which the Senator places the power. I regard it as atterly unterable and dangerous, as it to power a strength of the strength of the provide and markina in a place and the places the power "to provide and markina a navy," as I have already re-power "to provide and markina a navy," as I have already replied to it in answer to the Senator from Alabama.

internal improvement-that is improvement within a State, I am as much opposed to the exercise of the power by this government, as either the Senator from Mississippi or Alabama can I limit the power for which I contend, to the great highways -cemmon to all the States, and, of course, under the control and supervision of no one—to their exterior intercourse with each other, and not to the internal intercourse, within their separate supervision and control. I feel assured that the ground on which I rest the power, is the only one on which effectual resistance can I rest the power, is the only one on which effectual resistance can be made to internal improvements, properly understood. Toundertake to give a construction to the power which would include take sea-caesat, and exclude its afflux to the Mississpip and the Lakes, must end either in the entire abandonment of the power to establish light beness, buveys, and beacons, or to give unlimited extension to the power of Congress, to regulate commerce both within and without the Nation. and without the States.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi.—The Senator from South Carolina has misconstruct the admission made by me as to the right to erect light-houses and hoops. In addition to that which are referred to the may power, I said there also the control of the regulation of commerce, to consider the regulation of commerce the regulation of commerce and the regulation of th struct light-houses and buoys where necessary to the of those on whom our regulations were imposed. By mak By making it consequence of the laws which require imported goods to be landed consections of the ways what require imported goods to be landed below the sound of the sound of the sound of the sound of the works should be connected with the places thus provided in the works ence to the tonnage duties and port charges, as laid in considera-tion of the harbor facilities durinshed, certainly exhibited with suf-ficient clearness the extent of my admission. I believe it was originally, the practice to limit appropriations for a harbor, to the originally the practice to limit appropriations for a latinot, so the amount of pert and tonnage duties collected at it. I wish the rule were now in force. No admission has been made by me which can be fairly construed as recognizing the right to expend means can be sairly constitute as recognizing the right to expend means drawn from the national treasury upon harbors which have no tax-able commerce, and which therefore supply no finds to the govern-ment. Least of all can any thing advanced by me be tortured into an admission of the right to go abroad, from the place for which the regulation is made, to create a commerce upon which the regulation shall operate.

The Senator scleets landings on the upper Mississippi and Ohio and asks if these are not ports of entry, and if vessels cannot go up to them from the sea. For the latter question I will refer him to his recollections of the trip he made from Memphis, after the celebrated convention held at that place, and then inferm him that he was in a very deep river when compared to those he would have passed over, if he had ascended to the ports of entry he has

named.

The Senator is certainly aware, that the places named by him as ports of entry, have no foreign commerce brought to them in sea vessels; and if they had he could only apply my admission to the landing place, which would not in the least aid his purpose, or their commerce. It will be leng before I admit as an incident to laws prescribing the rules for commerce, that the federal government can create channels through which commerce may flow; or that an act of Congress can make the entrance to a harbor, equal the distance from the sea to the landing for river boats on the head

branches of our longest rivers

branches of our longest rivers.

If to declare by law a landing on some interior river to be a port of entry, can cenfer the power to remove all obstructions between that landing and the sea, what limit have we to the burdens which may be imposed upon the industry of the country, to support the visionary or corrupt schemes which theory or selfishness may devise! If under the power to make rules, to enact laws, for the government of commerce, we have the right to appropriate money to provide for it, channels of transportation, who shall discriminate between rivers, and creeks, and canals, and railroads; or who can say that from channels it may not be extended to vehicles for converance? So far as we may constitution. tended to vehicles for conveyance? So far as we may constitutionally improve our national high-ways, it must be for other purpose than the prometion of commerce; and the power must be drawn than the prometion of commerce; and the power must be drawn the right to regulate it. The transportation of treops, of supplies, and munitions of war, the transfer of public monies, the proper discharge of the civil functions, and military duties of the federal government, may require the improvement of public high-ways; and under the war power, and the duty to previde for the common defence, it may as far as is necessary be done. This is a necessity which the settlement of our territory removes, it has limitations, both of time and of purpose; not so with improvements for commerce, which will increase with increasing opulation, and has its application to every town, and village of the Union. The interest the Union. The interest of those whom I represent, my own conviction, and feelings unite in resistance to a construction than which none was ever adopted more latitudinous in its nature, or tending to move fingrant abuse. I have admitted that at a place where imposts are collected, where tomange duties are paid, of those whom I represent, my own where imports are required to be landed, that an obligation is imposed to point out the safe approach to the place so designated; the mere declaration that a certain place shall be a port of entry

the meré declaration that a certain place shall be a port of entry does not fuill any of the conditions, and if they were all fulfilled, no application could be made of my admission to the route ever which the vessel had passed in its voyage.

Mr. CALHOUN.—When the wind is adverse the vessel may all the control of the country of the condition o provide these facilities on the sea coast, on what principle is it that we are prehibited from previding facilities on our great navigable we are promined from provious factors on our great nangader rivers? Sir, I hold it to be as clear a power as any in the consti-tution, demonstrably so, from the meaning which the phrase to regulate commerce had before the adoption of the constitution. I have examined this subject with great care, and I have never examined any question upon which I have come to so decided a conclusien. Sir, it is menstrous to say that where the interests of so many States are concerned, we shall not exercise a power which is so clearly defined. How far it will extend to the smaller rivers I will not undertake to say, but as far as regards the rivers I will not uncertake to say, but as far as regards the Mis-sissippi and the Olio, the only result of setting up a narrew con-struction will be to make the power universal. You must give it the exercise it was intended to have originally or there will be no limitation upon it whatever.

The yeas and mays were then taken on the passage of the bill, and it was determined in the affirmative, as follows:

YEAS—Messrs, Alleo, Ashley, Atchison, Badger, Bell, Benton, Berrien, Breete, Bright, Cathoun, Cameron, Clarke, Corwin, Criticoden, Davis, of Missinchinetts, Davis, of Missinght, Dekknoon, Davi, Downs, Felch, Fonde, Greene, Hanouetan, Mangenn, Miller, Mies, Pearce, Phefes, Sprinance, Underwood, Upham —31.

NAYS—Messrs, Bagby, Budlet, Ilizie, Hunter, Johnson, of Georgia, Masnin, Moor,

4 7

Resolved. That this bill pass and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered. That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

#### PRIVATE BILLS UPON THE CALENDAR

The VICE PRESIDENT announced that the Senate would now proceed to the consideration of the private bilts upon the calendar, in pursuance of the order adopted on Friday last, unking them the special order for to-day and to-morrow, to the exclusion of all other business.

The following bills and joint resolution were read the second time and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and no amendment being made they were reported to the Senate:

A bill for the relief of Elizabeth Pistole, widow of Charles Pistole, deceased.

A hill for the relief of Jesse Turner.

A hill for the relief of the forward officers of the late exploring expedition

A bill for the relief of J. F. Caldwell.

A bill for the relief of Nathaniel Kuykendall.

A bill granting a pension to Abigail Garland, widow of Jacob Garland, deceased.

A bill for the rehef of Thomas Brawnell.

A bill for the relief of Elizabeth Jones, and the other children, if any, of John Carr.

A bill for the relief of Thompson Hutchinson.

A bill for the relief of Thomas Douglas, late United States Attorney for East

A hill for the relief of Samuel W. Bell, a native of the Cherokee nation.

A joint resolution for the relief of Jonathan Lewis.

A bill for the relief of Oliver C. Harris.

A bill to provide for the settlement of the claim of Henry Washington, late a deputy sorveyor of the public lands in Florida.

A hill for the rehef of Reynolds May.

A bill supplementary to an act to authorize the Secretary of State to liquidate certain claims therein mentioned, passed April 18, 1814.

Ordered, That they be engrossed and read a third time.

Said bills and joint resolution were read a third time, by unanimous consent.

Resolved, That they pass, and that then respective titles be as afaresaid.

Ordered. That the Sceretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in said bills and joint resolution.

The bill for the relief of the legal representatives of Captain Jesse D. Elliott was read the second time, and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and no amendment being made it was reported to the Senate.

The question being on ordering the bill to be engrossed and read a third time-

Mr. HALE.—I am atterly opposed to the passage of this hill or of any thing like it. You are paying the officers of your may higher salaries than officers of the same grade receive in any country on the face from such extraordinary expenses as they may be subjected to by giving entertainments, and exchanging civilities with persons connected with governments abroad, that you give them those high salaries.

Mr. CAMERON.—The sum proposed by this bill to be granted to the heirs of Commodore Elliot, is but a small part of what was expended by him. It is well known that the purpose for which he was sent to the Mediterranean, was not an ordinary of the control of the c

Mr. HALE.—I do not want to say a word about the course of Commodore Elliot, I believe the country remembers, that when he came home he was court martialed.

Mr. CAMERON .- Unjustly

Mr. HALE.—Well, it may be so; but I believe the King of Groece, and the Queen of Saxe Cobourg were not the only animals be entertained, for I remember one of the charges against him was, that he had so encumbered his vessel with animals, Elephauts, packass's, Kee, that she was dispulfided for any service. He had Jackasses heads stuck through all the port holes, and in fact, his ship was familiarly known in the Mediterranean as the Jackass frigate. I believe the first example of voting money for such purpose as this, was the grant made to Commodure Morgan, and I trust it will be the last. Why are such high salaries granted to our naval officers, unless it is to core extraordinary expenditures?

I believe the estimates for the naval service this year amount to

SEVERAL SENATORS - Between ten and eleven millions

Mr. HALE.—I think they will be found to be not less than fifteen millions in the aggregate, but eleven is enough for my argument. During the last war with Great Britain, I believe our nay did not cost us more than four or five millions at the stnows, got it has now got up to eleven millions, and is increasing year by year, and in addition to this enormous expense, every time their is an entertainment given by a naval officer, there must be an appropriation made to meet the expense. Sir, I hope the bill will be indefinitely postponed, and upon that I ask the yeas and mays.

Mr. BADGER.—I um surry that the Senator from New Hampstire has thought it geneesany to oppose this bill, and I repret still more that he has fet it to be he duty to indulge in the style of remark which he has addressed to the Senate on this occasion. Commodore Elliot is now no more; while in the service of the country he advanced her interests, and merited her approbation. His memory should at least be entitled to respect. If in his conduct towards those under his command, he did any thing that was calculated to bring repreach upon his name, his conduct was subjected to investigation by the proper tribunal, and he submitted himself to the punishment awarded by that tribunal, whether justly or adjustly 1, do not propose now to enquire. But it does seem to require. But it does seem to not be subjected to investigation by the proper tribunal, and he submitted himself to the boundard of the property of the seem to be not be not been as the probably not so designed. For I am sure he would be the last man in the world who would voluntarily inflict injury—are calculated to bring into the consideration of this question, prejudices which ought never to sway an American Senate. According to my judgment there cannot be a plainer case, or one which appeals more directly to our sense of justice.

more directly to our sense of justice.

It is said that the expenses of the American navy are large. Sir, that furnishes no reason why a sum of money which in justice and right ought to he refunded to Commodore Elliot, or to those who represent him, should be withheld. If the expenses of the may are large he had nothing to do with making them so; he neither created the navy nor fixed its expenses. The expenses of the new properties of the expenses of the service of the expenses of the service of the expenses of the service of the expenses of the expense o

Mr. PEARCE.—The honorable Senator from New Hampshire is mistaken in supposing that the ease of Commodore Morgan is the only one in which an appropriation of this kind has been made.

Mr. HALE —I did not refer to the case of Commodore Moran as a precedent myself; it was referred to by the committee. In regard to the remarks of the Senator from North Carolina, I should have been exceedingly glad if that gendeman, who generally delivers what he has to say, with so much grace and propriety—had abstanced on this occasion from what appeared to me to be an unkind slur on temperance societies. I should be very glad to entertian that homorable Senator in my humble home, but the centre of the senator is the senator of such unkind surgestions, as those made by the Senator are calculated to do vast injury to a cause, which I know is dear to his hort. Now in regard to this claim, I book upon it as establishing a dangerous practice. We shall now have to commence paying of the entertainments given by our ministers abroad. It is by them that the true dignity of the nation is represented, and if the control of the cont

Mr. BADGER.—A single remark, sit, and first in regard to energing the salaries of our foreign ministers. If the honorable Senatur entertains the opinion that they should be increased, I hope he will follow it with a bill, and I give him my word, that he shall have my support. But the honorable Senator is mistaken if he supposes that ambassadors or ministers are subject to my such expenses. Kings and Queens are not in the habit of visiting ministers, but they are in the habit of going on board national ships, from which visits, they expect to derive both pleasure and instruction. All the expenses to which a minister would be expensed for

similiar character, are precisely such as have been stricken out of this bill—the expenses of ordinary hospitality. One word with regard to temperance societies, and I have done. I was not aware that there was anything unkind in what I said. I merely intended to express a swell as I could, what might have been the excusses effered by the Commodore. The honorable Scenator from Xee Hampshire has been proposed to the proposed of the proposed for t with personal inconvenience to myself, go out of my way to do myself the pleasure of visiting him at his hospitable abode. But I must say this to him, after the announcement he has made, I shall take care when I do make that visit, that it shall be after dinner

Mr. BERRIEN.—For my own guidance in this matter, I desire to ask a question of the Chairman of the Committee—granting the propriety of this reimbursement by the government to a commander of a vessel, I desire to enquire in what way the actual amount of the expenditure is ascertained. Is it based upon any evidence, or upon the mere statement contained in the memorial?

Mr. CAMERON .- The amount asked for originally was five thousand dollars, which sum was reduced by the committee to fif-teen hundred dollars. I do not remember the precise nature of the evidence of the expenditures, but I know that the committee were entirely satisfied of the propriety of granting the latter sum. While up I will say to the honorable Senator from New Hampshire that he has done g,eat injustice to the memory of Commodore He was a brave and good man, and his conduct was irre Elliott. proachable in every respect, except perhaps in this matter, in which he was charged with loading his vessel with animals; and even then he acted under the orders of the Secretary of the Navy.

Mr. BERRIEN .- I made the inquiry because I looked over the mr. BERMIEN.—I made the inquiry obscalase I looked over the papers upon your table, and I found no evidence whatever upon which this claim rests, except the mere statement in the memorial. The committee, I presume, must have access to some sources of information by which they have fixed upon an amount which they will allow. They have an account presented by the memorial, and I perceive they have an account into two s, rejecting certain items as expenditures resulting from the individual hospitality of the officer; others they have allowed as resulting from what they supposed to be the necessity of his situa-tion as a commanding officer of a national vessel. But I do not find that there is any evidence other than the statement of the me-morial to authorize a conjecture as to the amount.

The question being taken on the motion for the indefinite post-onement, it was decided in the affirmative, by year and nays, as follows:

30TH CONG .- 1aT SESSION-No. 63.

YEAS—Ments, Alten, Ashley, Atchison, Bagby, Bernen, Breest, Bught, Cal-bong, Chile, Christoffer, Davis, of Missachoretts, Davis, of Missachoretts, Davis, of Missachoretts, Davis, of Missachoretts, Davis, Order, Roberts, Barberts, Barberts, Carrierts, Underwood, Clybian, Westerston-S2, vol. Alson, Phelip, Karis, Sputance, NAVS—Messrs, Badger, Benton, Butler, Cameron, Dicaseron, Foote, Hannagan, Miller, Moor, Nille, Paeces—12.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the following bills; and no amendment being made they were reported to the Senate

A bill for the relief of Crade Taylor.

A bill for the relief of Jeanette C. Huntington, widow and sole exacutrix of William D. Cheever, deceased.

A bill to authorize the Secretary of the Treasury to make an arrangement or or promise with Mangel M. Quackenboss and his co-obligors, or any of them, for clean bonds given by them as sureties to the United States.

Ordered. That said bills be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bills was read a third time, by unanimous consent.

Resolved, That they pass, and that their respective titles be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in said bills. The bill authorizing the purchase of the papers of Alexander Hamilton, was read the second time and considered as in Commit-tee of the Whole; and no amendments being made it was reported

to the Senate

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill were read a third time by unanimous consent.

On the question, "Shall this bill pass?" the yens and nays were ordered, and it was determined in the affirmative, as follows

YEAS.—Meur. Atchion, Badger, Bell, Berren, Cameron, Clarke, Critteaden Davis, of Missachusetts, Downs, Greere, Hannegsun, Mangom, Mison, Miller, Moor, Pearer, Fhleyk, Sprannes, Upham.—19; NAVS.—Meurs, Ashley, Berlom, Calhoun, Davis, of Missisuppi, Felch, Hale, Jahanun, of Georgia, Lews, Nider, Turney, Underwood, Westcott.

So it was

Resolved, That this hill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives on this hill.

The joint resolution for the relief of Clements, Bryan and Company, was read the second time and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and no amendment being made it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

On motion.

The Senate adjourned.

# MONDAY, APRIL 17, 1848.

#### RESOLUTIONS OF STATE LEGISLATURES.

Mr. RUSK presented a resolution adopted by the Legislature of the Stato of Texas, instructing the Sentars and requesting the Representatives of that State, in Congress, to use their efforts in favor of the passage of a law to extend the prinsidation of Texas over one half of Satine Pass, Lake and river; which was laid upon the table and ordered to be printed.

Also, a resolution of said Legislature instructing the Senators and requesting the Representatives of that State, in Congress, to use their cflorts in favor of the passage of a law establishing a chain of military posts in advance of the settlements between Red River and the Rio Grande, and relative to intercourse with the Indians of that State; which was referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs and ordered to be printed.

Mr. ALLEN presented a resolution passed by the Legislature of the State of Ohio, in favor of the construction of a railroad from Lake Michigan to the Pacific ocean, upon the plan of Asa Whitney; which was laid upon the table and ordered to be printed.

Mr. DIX presented the petition of Dennis Harris, praying a return of the duties paid on certain sugars destroyed by fire in the eigy of New York; which was referred to the Committee on Finance.

Mr. ALLEN presented a petition of citizens of Mercer county, Ohio, praying that non-commissioned officers, privates, and musiciaes, who, have been promoted to the rank of commissioned offieers before the expiration of their term of service, may be entitled to bounty land; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Also, the memorial of a committee of editors of democratic newspapes in Ohio, praying such a modification of the present postage law as will permit newspapers to be transported in the mails, free of postage, for a distance of thirty miles from the place of publication; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Also, a petition of citizens of Ohio, praying such an amendment of the laws regulating the rates of postage as will permit newspapers to be sent free of postage to the distance of thirty miles from the place of publication, or to any place within the county where the same shall be published, which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Also, two memorials of citizens of Ohio, praying a grant of land for the construction of a railroad from Lake Michigan to the Paeific ocean, upon the plan of Asa Whitney; which were laid upon the table.

Mr. DOWNS presented the petition of Thomas W. Chinn and Miesjah Courtney, on behalf of themselves and others, paying to be released from the payment of a portion of a judgment rendered against them as sureties of Thomas Gibls Morgan, late collector of the revenue for the port of New Orleans.

The petition was referred to the Committee of Claims and ordered to be printed.

Mr. CAMERON presented twenty memorials from editions of philadelphia, complaning of the monopoly of the Camden and Amboy Rational and Delaware and Ratitan and Canal Companies, and praying as a remedy the construction of a railroad, to be used as a post road, through the State of New Jersey, which were referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Also, the petition of Benjamin Miller, a soldier of the revolutionary war, praying a pension; which was referred to the Committee on Revolutionary Claims.

Mr. RUSK presented the memorial of John Baldwin, praying the payment of a sum of money due bim by the government under a contrast for supplying port for the use of the Navy, and compensation for lesses anstanded in executing his contrast; which was referred to the Committee on Naval Aflairs.

Mr. WESTCOTT presented the petition of John Campbell and Company praying compensation for subsistence furnished to a company of Florida volunteers while in the service of the United States; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. PHELPS presented the memorials of citizens of the United States praying the purchase of Mount Vernon by the government; which were referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, presented two memorials of cit izens of the United States praying the purchase of Mount Vernon by the government; which were referred to the Committee on Military Alfairs.

Also, a memorial of American merchants at Rio de Janeiro, and Shipmasters and others, trading to Brazil, praying that the

system of reciprocal treaties may be limited to the direct trade with the countries with which they are made.

The memorial was referred to the Committee on Commerce.

Also, a memorial of John F. Weishampel and others, praying the passage of the bill now before Congress increasing the number of examiners in the Patent Office; which was referred to the Committee on Patents and the Patent Office.

Also, the memorial of the heirs of Truman Cross, deceased, late an officer in the army of the United States, praying compensation for certain extra official services rendered by the deceased; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. BADGER presented a memorial of the citizens of the United States, praying the purchase of Mount Vernon by the government; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. CASS presented a memorial of citizens of the United States, praying the purchase of Mount Vernon by the government; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

On motion by Mr. DIX, it was

Ordered, That Zebulon Mead have leave to withdraw his petition and papers.

On motion by Mr. CRITTENDEN, it was

Ordered, That Henry Child have leave to withdraw his petition and papers.

# CHANGE OF REFERENCE. On motion by Mr. UNDERWOOD, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on Public Lands be discharged from the further consideration of the petition of the legal representatives of Jaques Chamorgan, and that it be referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

#### PATENTS.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, from the Committee on Patents and the Patent Office, to whom was referred the patition of Bat-sey Anderson and others, submitted a report accompanied by a bill authorizing the renewal of a patent for the benefit of the widow and heirs at law of Timothy P. Anderson, deceased.

The hill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts. Irom the same committee, to whom was referred the bill to amend an act entitled "An act to promote the progress of the useful arts, and to repeal all acts and parts of acts heretofore made for that purpose," approved July 4, 1336, reported it without amendment.

### PRIVATE BILLS.

Mr. BREESE, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives for the rebilef of Thomas Scott, register of the land effice, at Chillieotha, Ohio. for services connected with the dames of his office, reported it without amendment.

### LAND DISTRICT IN LOUISIANA

Mr. BREESE, from the same committee, to whom was referred the bill lor attaching a portion of the north-western Land District, Louisiana, to the district north of Red river, Louisiana, reported it without amendment.

# MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. CAMPBELL, their clerk:

Mr. President: The House of Repiesentatives have passed a bill for the rellef of those pie emption olaumants upon the Miami Isuda, in Indiana, who by their services in the Mexicao war, are emitted to lounty land, and a joint resolution for the rillef of J. Melvillo Gillius and others; in which they requist the concerness of the Sanate.

# HOUSE BILL, ETC., REFERRED.

The bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of those pre-emption claimants upon the Miami lands, in Indiana, who by their services in the Mexican war, are entitled to bounty land, was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

The joint resolution from the House of Representatives for the relief of J. Melville Gilliss and others, was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

#### CALT'S REPEATING FIRE ARMS.

The Senate proceeded to consider the message of the President of the United States, of the 13th inst., relative to Colt's repeating fire arms ; and

On motion by Mr. RUSK, it was

Ordered, That it be referred to the Committee on Military

#### THE CALIFORNIA CLAIMS.

The Senate resumed the consideration, as in Committee of the Whole, of the bill for ascertaining and paying the California

The question pending was upon agreeing to the motion made by Mr. M son, to recommit the bill to the Committee on Military Affairs, with instructions.

Mr. BADGER suggested to the Senator from Virginia, [Mr. Mason,) to modify his inotion to recommit, so as to leave out the instructions; as the committee might, perhaps, be able to frame a section of the bill so as to obviate the objections entertained to it in its present shape.

Mr. MASON acquiesced in the suggestion, and modified his motion so as simply to recommit the bill to the Committee on Military Affairs; which was agreed to.

# THE SUPREME COURT.

The Senate proceeded to the consideration, on its third reading, of the bill from the House of Representatives, supplemental to the States," approved June 17, 1844

Mr. ASHLEY addressed the Senate at length in opposition to the bill, in a speech which is given in the Appendix.

Mr. ALLEN .- I desire to make an inquiry of my honorable friend, whether I understood him correctly to say that subsequently to the passage of the act of 1844, there had been but one or two instances in which the judges of the Supreme Court have not held two terms in their respective circuits within the year.

Mr. ASHLEY .- That is what I stated.

Mr. ALLEN -A friend of mine in the other end of the capitol called my attention, when this bill was up before, to the existence of the act of 1844, which had escaped my attention, not being particularly connected with judicial matters, and I inquired of him why it was that the act of 1844, by which the judges are exempted from half their daties on the circuit, was passed; what reason was given for its passage. His answer was, that it was passed on the recommendation of the judges, or upon their representation, in orrecommendation of the judges, or upon their representation, in order to enable them to spend the time that they would otherwise
be required to spend in holding more than one term of their resocctive circuit courts at this capitol, to clear off the docket of the
Supreme Court. That was the purpose, as I understand, for which that act was passed.

Mr. ASHLEY .- I will refer the honorable Senator to a table Mr. ASHLEY.—I will refer the noncrose Sentator to a tune which have procured, showing the progress of business in the which that act. In 1842, there were sixty-iour cases on the docket; of these, fifty-two were decided. In 1843, there were thirty-six eases decided. In 1844, at the January term, they decided forty-six cusses; and again, in the December term—for in that term the act that has been referred to was passed, and by it the terms of the Spreene Court were changed, and accurated about a xx weeks I believe-in the December term forty-four cases were decided The next year there were sixty-four cases decided. In 1845, there were fifty-three; and in 1846, there were forty-six decided. In the three years preceding the passage of the act, they decided one bun dred and thirty-four cases; and in the three years following its passage, one hundred and sixty-three. The addition of eighteen weeks to their time of sitting has made an increase of twenty-nine cases decided by the court.

Mr. ALLEN .- I was induced to turn my attention to that act Mr. ALLEN.—I was induced to turn my attention to that are because its existence scenar to be an ample answer to those who urge the passage of this particular bill; for if the object of the Supreme Court be barely to do the central duties of the Supreme Court at this Capital, they have it in their power do so without further legislation. What is their motive, then, for not doing so when they have it in their power? That is the question. Their only motive for not doing so is this—fit as the only way of accounting for 1:—that they will not because they want the court of the country of th cases to accumulate in order that this accumulation may strengthen the reasons for dispensing with circuit duties altogether. That is the only reason that can be assigned for it. They want to get rid of circuit duties altogether. One-half of the circuit duties have been dispensed with in order to enable them to finish up the cases been dispensed with in order to enable them to hash up the cases on the docket, yet instead of finishing them they adjourn at the end of March, eight months before they have to meet here again. Why did they not sit here until the last of August and dispense with the spring term of the circuit courts as they were authorized. with the spring term of the error conclude their business here? Sr. if you pass a hill to release them from circuit duties one year, the reasons for a perpetual release will not only be rendered stronger by the state of the docket of this court, but also by the state of

the dockets of the circuit courts. The business of the circuits will accumulate in consequence of the absence of the judges from their circuit duries. In the course of one or two years the business of the circuits will be so much increased that additional reason will be given for reflexing the judges from either circuit or Su-preme Court duties. They will say the augmentation of the cir-cuit duties is so great that we cannot attend at Washington for the decision of cases at all; and, therefore, you must cut us looss from circuit duties or you must appoint sometody less to attend at from circuit duries or you must appoint solution yeise to attend at Washingtto and perform the central duties there. That will be the argument then. They are preparing evidently for a division of their duries, and they know very well that if they do not attend in the circuits for a year or two the circuit duties will increase to nch an extent that they who do the circuit duties cannot come to Washington at all; and there must necessarily be two sers of judges to perform the two classes of duties. This is as plain as thing can possibly be.

What is the argument that is employed here? Give these gen-What is the argument that is employed nere! Give linese gen-tlemen one year; for what? To enable them to pass upon all the gases upon the docket of the Sopreme Court. That is the object. Well, how long will it take to do this? Why at the rate at which they proceeded at the last session of the court it will take exactly aree hundred and twenty-six days to get through the docket Their progress was about at the rate of one case in two days. There are on the docket one hundred and sixty-three cases yet to be disposed of, and that will require, according to the rate at which they have been going on, three hundred and twenty-six days. They must sit then for one year to clear off the docket, that will hring us to May next year, which will be after Congress shall have adjourned. The consequence will be that whilst Congress is in session next year we shall be told that the docket has not yet been cleared off, that there are still fifty or sixty cases remain and that their exemption from circuit duties must be extended for

another year.

There is no such spectacle arywhere in these States or, perhaps, in the world as that exhibited by the sessions of our Supreme haps, in the world as that exhibited by the sessions of our supreme Court. I did not choose to allude to this matter the other day, and shall only briefly allude to it now. The business of the Supreme Court is a very limited business so far as the personal acts preme Coart is a very limited business so far as the personal acts of the judges are concorract. Every man that has ever practised law in a State knows, that the business of a judge in deciding State cases consists, in a great degree, in plodding rore of lor everds; reading depositions and long bills in chancery, writton in all maner of handwriting—noting down authorities out of this lawyer's library at this end of the town, and that lawyer's at that and the town—all which labor the mours himself. One half of the labor of a State judge is physical blad to their hands. I believe that preme Court? All is cut and order to their allows a necessity the very record in the cases while come to their allows a necessity of the very record in the cases while come of the reprinted they are drawn up with brevity and precision; every authority is stated; the whole work is in fact brought down to the single act of judgment. The ablest counsel in the United States are employed in the causes, for we know that the reputation of a laware often dopenus upon his success in these intellectual conflicts at the bar of the Supreme Court, and thus it is that the labor of the judges of the Supreme Court is exceedingly small when compared to that to which the judges of the State courts are subjected. Nine tenths of the labor of the State judges is superseded in the Supreme Court by the agencies of counsel and the rules adopted by the court. Here then are ninefull grown men selected for their legal wisdom—and they are supposed to know some law before they are appointed to this legal tribunal—with the printed lacts of the case belore them, with the printed references, all the authorities, and with the aid of the ablest lawyers of the country upon ties, and with the aid of the ablest lawyers of the country upon each side to detect any fallacy or expose, any erroneous authority. Here then are these nine men, with their arms folded, and with what sort of expedition do they get through their docket? I have heard of consultations. Oh, the immense amount of humbig in this world! An idea has gone forth that every ease brought to Washington involves some constitutional question upon which the very form and structure of this government depend. It is all nonsense! Nine tenths of the cases which come up here, are of the ordinary character of the cases which are argued and are or the ordinary character of the cases which are argued and decided in the woods of lowa, and involve no constitutional question whatever. But they are here—they are before the Supreme Court, and they cannot be decided but by the united wisdom of nine men with black growns on—the growns forming a very large nine men with buase gome and the morning and sit a lew hours. I period at eleven o'clock in the morning and sit a lew hours. I believe that they take Saturday as a sort of appendage to the Sabelieve that they take Saturday as a sort of appendage to the Sabelieve that they take Saturday as a sort of appendage to the Sabelieve that they take Saturday as a sort of appendage to the Saturday and the saturday and thours of bile. bath, and as an additional release from the toils and labors of life. Now, although I do not wish to raise any clamor against this to bund, yet I think it does not become us who are intrusted with the affiirs of the nation to lend ourselves to any such system as this, or to allow this tribunal to become a mere locality of Wash

ington city, enjoying all the repose and dignity of indolence.

The House of Representatives have a rule which I was always opposed to, and shall oppose any attempt to apply it to any legis lative body, but which, nevertbeless, I regard as being very well adapted to this judicial tribunal. I refer to the rule limiting speak. I would be opposed to it as a rule applicable to a legislative ing. I would be opposed to it as a rule apprehence a eigenview body, for two reasons—first, because the representatives represent the people who should never have any restraint put upon their will or their voice; and, secondly, because the question in a legislative body is not what the law is, but what it ought to be; and that is always a broad question, and gives room for large and extended views. In a court of law, however, the question is what the law is, and that cannot be expanded—cannot be enlarged cannot be multipled-because it is fixed to a given point. cannot be multipled—because it is fixed to a given point. As long as a man talks as a lawyer should talk, confining himself to the points at issue, and is not allowed by the court to talk about what the law ought to be, there will be a limit to his speaking. now-a-days, this tribunal listens to a great deal more talking as to what the law ought to be, than as to what the law is, over which alone it has any jurisdiction. If the system be allowed to continue, it will require a dozen such courts to get through with the duty. This is too serious a business to be regarded as a mere matter of personal favor. If it were a matter of personal favor merely, I am as ready as any man to yield it; but sitting here as a body, entrasted with the great interests of the nation, we have no favors to coaler upon anybody—and the third that this bill, if adopted, will eventuate in the destruction of the court; and that is by lart that the bill, if adopted, will eventuate in the destruction of the court; and that is by lart had present the court is the court of the tion of the present organization of the court, which I believe to be radically wrong. I believe the true mode of preventing the evil, is to curtail its jurisdiction as to subject matter.

I cootend that the withdrawal of these gentlemen from circuit duties will increase these duties until it will be said, that we must of necessity create separate and distinct tribunals, one in the States and the other here; in other words giving this court a permanent location in Washington.

Mr. CRITTENDEN .- I am aware that this discussion is of a very dry character; and which even the great talent of the gentle-men who have spoken has failed to render particularly interesting; and I confess that it is with reluctance I again rise to address the Senate upon the subject. Happily, the objections which have been urged against the bill, have been of such a nawhich have been a great spanish the bill, have been or said a hatter as to furnish very little justification in occupying much of the time of the Senate in replying to them. The gentlemen who oppose the bill, have selected questions which have nothing to do with the subject before the Senate, and a great portion of their remarks has been addressed to other tribunals. It would appear, indeed, from these remarks, that the Supreme Court has fallen into a condition of the greatest possible imbedity and mal-practice.

And gentlemen here, tell us, by way of manifesting their disinterestedness and perfect capacity to judge, that they never had a ease in the court, and that, therefore, they have a right to rate and berate this tribunal, and point out in the minutest manner the smallest details of mal-practice. To all that, sir, I have nothing to reply. If that tribunal needed an apologist and an advocate, it is not my function to discharge the duly. I am neither the advocate nor apologist of that tribunal. It is not to vindicate them against nor apologist of that tribinal. It is not or underset them against any such imputations that I now stand before you. I do not stand here to say that, this bill is not intended, as it has been insimated it is, to be an "cutering wedge" as it is called. This bill came to us from another branch of the legislature; and it is founded upon a fact incontestible, and not to be denied by any one, that there has been from some cause or another, say if you please, by the negligence of the judges—such an accumulation of business, that negageace of the parges—since an accommunity of pushess, that cases now coming up, cannot be reached before the expiration of three years. This bill simply provides a remedy for this evil. It may not be sufficient to relieve the community from this evil, for, sir, the object of the bill is to reheve the community—it is to re-lieve public justice from the reproachful obstructions which now exist. That is the object of the bill, and it turnishes the reason why I desire to see it passed. I desire to say to these judges—
"Come back here—you have left public business undone—come back here, immediately, and finish it, making no apology about the back nere, immediately, and missi it, making no approxy about the necessity of attendance on circuit courts. Come here and finish this hasiness, which has accumulated, and finish it within a year." That is the language of this hill. Yet genthemen, because of projudice against this court, because of errors ascribed to it, and perhaps to some extent justly ascribed to it; for I think there has been some dilatoriness in the performance of the duties of that tribunal, in consequence of an amiable fault in permitting counsel to speak at too great length-but gentlemen, because of prejudice against the court, refuse to grant this temporary measure of relief, which will enable the court to dispose of the public business. And here let me revert to the complaint to which I have just referred, that the court has extended too great indulgence to the counsel. Gentle-men have suggested that some hour rule, or something of that kind, should be applied to the arguments before that couri. These gen-tlemen seem to think that within such a limitation all the law knowthemen seem to think that within such a limitation all, the law know-ledge that could possible be required in any case night properly be conlined. Well, certainly such a rule might lead to economy of time to the such as the control of the control of the control of all the politics in the world. I should like to know by what length of time honorable Sonators suppose a well stored politican with the control of certain counsel are to be confined. But, as a luve airrady said, it is not my purpose to furnish an apology for the conduct of the control of the control of the control of the conduct of the control of the control of the control of the conduct of the control of the control of the control of the conduct of the control of the control of the conduct of the conduct of the control of the conduct of the co judges, nor vindicate them against any charges which may be prejuages, nor vindicate them against any charges which may be pre-ferred against them. I leave them where I had them, to be judged of by the country. If this bill be not calculated to relieve the of by the country. If this bill be not calculated to relieve the country—to relieve litigants and expedite public business I do not desire it to pass. If it do, I trust it will pass.

The great objection to this bill is directed not against what it

does, but against that which, in the imagination of Senators, it may be connected with hereafter. It is supposed that this bill will hereafter cut the judges off from all circuit duties. Now, is this a fair deduction from the bill itself? If the bill ever have such a result, it will be because the Senate so decides, and not because of the bill itself. If there is any danger of such a decision on the part of the Senate, it is neither increased nor diminished by this bill. It is objected, however, that if the court be confined to the discharge of its duties here, an additional number of appeals will concerning to its stores neity, an accutohal number of appears will baded as if things continued as they are at present. All this, mark you, sir, in the face of the allegation, that the release of the judges from one circuit in the year should have enabled them to dispose of the business of the Supreme Court! But when it becomes necessary to the argument of gentlemen, the non-attenda of the judges in the circuits is presented as an objection to the bill, on the ground that it will lead to an accumulation of business.

I confess that I have been greatly surprised at the apprehence of the surprise of the

siens which seem to have been excited by this bill. All the evil consequences which have been spoken of, have been attributed arbitrarily, and the more so because every gentleman favorable to the bill, has again and again disclaimed any such objects designs. It is a measure of very little consequence after all.

It merely aims at the correction and prevention of an existing It may prove more or less adequate. I believe that it wil be sufficient. I think that we have every reason to apprehend that the respectable gentlemen who constitute that court, will come back here, under this mandate of Congress, and accomplish the work, willingly profiting by the lessons which have been read to them here, and determined to curtail all improper prolixity of discussion before them, and which I am sure cannot be more tediassession before them, and which I am sure cannot be more custom and irksome to any others than themselves. I do hope, then, that when this matter is coolly and dispassionately viewed—when the utter want of any serious excuss for the toesin of alarm that has been sounded here, must be admitted, that there will be no longer any objection to the adoption of this experiment for the removal of an acknowledged evil.

Mr. BUTLER .- I reluctantly rise to make a very few remarks at this stage of the debate on this bill, but really I cannot sit pa-tiently, and hear a respectable body of gentlemen, constituting one of the departments of this government made the subject of denunciation and invective, without at least raising my voice to vindicate them against unmerited and gratuitous censure.

Violiteate them against ouncertice and gratuitous censure.

Let us look at the faces in this case. In 1844 there were fittyfive cases despatched. In 1847 we find one hundred and thirtysix on the docket. Now, is the Supreme Court responsible for
this necumulation of business? Are we to require them to despatch one hundred and thirty six cases in three months, when
they were able in the same period to despatch only fifty-five cases?

But the continuation of the proceeding there, and examing that But the gentleman from Ohio, proceeds farther, and assuming that this tribunal is an insutation inconsistent with the constitution of the United States, he contends that it ought to be broken down, and attempts to cast ridicule on a respectable body of gentlemen More than that, the Senator from Ohio arraigns the motives of the judges. He has deliberately alledged, that it is the design of the members of the Supreme Court of the United States, to aggraare incomers of the supreme court of the United States, to Suggra-vate the evil of a crowded docket to such an extent, that Coogress will be forced into the necessity of reorganizing a supreme appel-late court, having no connexion with circuit jurisdiction. Is it possible that gentlemen acting under as high responsibility, and deep a sense of that responsibility as any member of this body, can be nettuated by such motives? I do not believe body, can be actuated by such motives? I do not believe that such a design can possibly be entertained. It is quite easy, however to direct against a tribunal of this character, invested with certain aristocratic attributes, invective which may not be without popular effect. But I am quite convinced that the judges of that court have, to the best of their ability, discharged their duties so as to subscree the great ends of the their others so as to subserve the great leads of the institution of that tribunal. The closeness with which they attend to the duties of the court, is an evidence of their fidelity. They go into court at eleven o'clock in the morning, and sit till four o'clock. At five they go into consultation, in which they frequently continue till nine or ten at night.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland .- (In his seat.) Often till eleven at night

Mr BUTLER .- Next morning the judges go to the library, for the purpose of examining authorities. But why should I enter into any detail of the labors of these judges, when, to all acquainted with the nature of their judicial avocations, the arduous character of it must be well known? But the judges wear black gowns, and because they thus adhere to the ancient usage, they are made the subject of ridicule and contempt! From the very nature of the tribunal, the members of it must, in some measure, be eparated from the people; and that is seized upon for the purpose of exciting popular odium. Now, sir, if there is anything on earth that I particularly venerate, it is an independent judicial tribunal? It is the independence of this court, and its elevation above the reach of popular aspirants, that scenres to it the confidence of all. When the day comes in which a supreme judicature will be forced to maintain itself by declaiming to the populace from loreerfole montain the transfer of the transfe

this tribunal elective by the people. Is it to be supposed that by making those judges elective, you will confer upon them the capacity to decide one hundred and fifty cases in three months, when eny to decide one nundred and inty cases in three months, when four years ago it was found inspossible, with the ulmost diligence, to decide more than fifty-five? I may be permitted to remark that while denouncing the present system, gentlemen have perhaps had in view some project of establishing a separate court of appeals on the Mississippi; and others entertaining the idea that the judges shall be made elective.

juages shall be made elective.

As to the allegation that the judges have permitted a waste of
time by idle discussion, I would remark that it is very easy to
saggest here, rules of action to govern the conduct of the judges, in
limiting counsel in the course of argument. For myself, I regard it as one of the most sublime principles to be found anywhere that no man is to be condemned without hearing, and it is a principle incident to our institutions that both sides should have a fair hearing. Justice is not to be sacrified to mere despatch of business. I believe, indeed, that there was a Virginian judge who was accustomed to say that he could decide a case better after hearing one side. Perhaps his ideas of prompt administration of justice would suit those gentlemen who insist so rigidly upon the despatch of cases in the Supreme Court, by curtailing the arguments of counsel.

For myself, I do not fear any of those evils which gentlemen seem to apprehend, as inevitably to follow from even a temporary seem to apprehend, as inevitably to follow from even a temporary separation of the judges of the Supreme Court from the circuit du-ties. I do not at all believe that this court is likely in such circuits stances to grow up into a central, irresponsible despotism. Not at all. There is little danger to be apprehended to the liberties of the country from any such source. The Supreme Coultry in my opinion, ought to be a court of law, in contra-distinction to the clut courts, which must necessarily be governed more or less by the popular will, for juries will mould their decisions to the popular feelings. But the Supreme Court of appellate jurisdiction, ought to be in the highest and fullest sense of the term, a court of ought to be in the highest and tallest sense of the term, a court of law. The evils which some gentlemen appear to apprehend from the passange of this bill, I regard as altogether imaginary. It is because I desire to preserve the present system in all its efficacy, that I advocate the present measure. We must take one of eacy, that I advocate the present measure. We must take one of two alternatives. But the Senator from Arkansas says, that if we adopt this measure, the appeals from the circuit courts will be mnladopt this measure, the appeals from the circuit courts will be multiplied in consequence of the absence of the judges of the Suprema Court. Well, I have always understood that the most effectual method of preventing the multiplication of appeals was to keep down the appeal docket. When the docket is crowded, the appeals to stay judgment will be numerous. Many of the appeals multiplication in the control of the property of the feared when the cases can be taken up and despatched promptly. It to docket then be discharged, the number of new appeals will be diminished. In the meantime, it is also to be remarked, the circuit court business is not suspended. The district judges will be required to discharge some of the duties of the circuit judges. But the Senator from Arkansas asys, that the district judges are old and imbecile. He says that they have not enough to do, and they become incompetent. Well, then, this bill will give them some become incompetent. Some proprietation of the control of t

pereinced lawyers, who may perhaps, have nothing to recommend them but their competency for the office.

I repeat, sir, that I am in favor of this bill, because it will rem-edy an acknowledged evil—prevent the multiplication of appeals by discharging the docket, and thus remove one strong tempration to bring up new causes, for the purpose of delay—and preserve the admirable system of our supreme judicature, in all its efficiency and value. It was not my wish to go into the discussion of any control of the purpose of the senate, but I was compell-ted to the supremental to the subject before the Senate, but I was compell-ted to the supremental to the subject before the Senate, but I was compell-ted to the supremental to the subject before the Senate, but I was compell-ted to the supremental to the subject before the Senate, but I was compell-ted to the supremental to the the judges, by way rather of showing off the rhetorical powers of gentlemen, than of offering solid objections to the passage of

the bill.

Mr. ALLEN.—I did not introduce this subject here. The court introduced itself to the Senate; and having done so, the Senate has a right to look at the court and to inquire into the reasons why it has introduced itself here. It is said that we assail the court. That was not my intention I assail only the reasons given for the exemption of the court from a portion of its legal duties.

Mr. BUTLER.—I ask the gentleman whether he did not say distinctly, that he believed it to be the design of the court to suffer business to accumulate, and not despatch it for the purpose of effecting a permanent separation from the duties of circuit court juris-

Mr. ALLEN .- I did say that, and I repeat it.

Mr. BUTLER .- Very well.

Mr. ALLEN.—I cite the law of the land in proof. Their argument for the bill now before us is, that it is necessary that they should be exempted from circuit duties in order to elear off the docket here. That is the argument. Well, I believe that by the docket here. That is the argument. Well, I believe that by the for three years they are already exempted, and have been exempted for three years they are already exempted, and have been exempted for three years.

tion, and when in the last of March they had it in their power to tion, and when in the last of March 'they had it in their power to postpone the spring circuit throughout the Union, and proceed with the docket of the Supreme Court, sitting here until the first of August, they adjourned on the first of March, to hold the State courts. They have had then, for three years, the means of doing all that they now ask permission to do. Why have they not availed themselves of the exemption from circuit duties, which has been already given them by law? The object of the est of '44 was to erable them to sit longer at 'washington and complete the business that law? I see no other reason, then, why they allowed with that law? I see no other reason, then, why they allowed besire the exemption which this bill proposes to give, than to get rid of the remaining circuit term. remaining circuit term.

But the honorable Senator-who is I know a much better lawyer than I am, understands all these details of legal business very wellthem and, more made a new extension of right manners very level up as to the mode in which these judges are appointed. Now it is very well, when we undertake to argue a point, that we make the close, consistent with the beginning of the argument. I will take the reason given by the honorable Senator from South Carolina at the close of his speech, as amply sufficient to sustain the argument to which that speech was intended as an answer. pointing power of these judges he alledges, proceeds upon political and party considerations, and overlooks the great legitimate, and, and party considerations, and overlooks the great legitimate, end, what ought to be the paramount consideration of the interests of the nation, and therefore that the judges are not competent. Now if that argument be good, the conclusion is obvious that the appointing power seould be taken away from the agent who abuse it, and loaged somewhere else. According to the Senator's argument, the President errs in making the selection, and the Senator in confirming it. The President and Senate then are not the authority from whence these indges should derive their political existence.

If that fact he so, I say then, go to the people, and I will measure
the strength of the argnment with the Senator by reference to the recorded history of the country. I undertake to assert, that the people who appoint the President have been wiser in their choice, than the President has been in his selection of judges. The people have selected more able men in proportion to the number, than the Executive power is its amount proper to the description of the power is a selection ever made by a great people, scattered extensively over a vast country, was the best ever made. The very first executive of the power of a great people, in the selection of their first officer, was made in lawr of that man, whom we all feel pride in calling the "Eather of his Country." We have had some eight or calling the "Father of his Country." We have had some eight or nine Presidents since, and notwithstanding what may be said of the political views of the men who have occopied that chair, it must be acknowledged on all hands, that in intellectual strength and general ability, they have been amongst the most distinguished men that ever held power in the world. They were selected by the people too in circumstances exciting in a high degree, and calculated in the world. enlated—if anything could give popular passion too great an as-cendancy—to give an improper bias to the judgment of the peo-ple. But we have only to turn to those States in which the judges are elected, in order to obtain conclusive evidence of the fact that

are recreta, in order to obtain conclusive evidence of the fact that the people would select far abler men than the Executive. We have two sorts of law in this country—one called common law and the other equity. The equity jurisprudence exerted by our courts is intended to supply the imperfections of the common law, arising from the fact that that common law has originated under the arbitrary impositions of force in the form of the leudal system, and is decorated in the form of the leudal system, arising from the fact that that common law has originated noder the arbitrary impositions of force in the form of the feudal system, and is defervite in its abstract institues, so as to make it necessary whence did Europe derive it? It came from the the title will be with the original principles were laid down and executed by indges elected only by the Roman people. That civil law constitutes a body of jurisprudence, compared with which, all the rubbish of the English common law is nonsense. That law is founded in the eternal principles of justice. Ethics pervade the whole system and not the arbitrary institutions imposed by a barbarous people. Till this day, that law holds its place in France amongst the most englished of the European nations—in one of the States of this englished of the European nations—in one of the States of this englished of the European nations—in one of the States of this englished of the European hattons—in one of the States of this englished of the European hattons—in one of the States of this englished of the European hattons—in one of the States of this englished of the European hattons—in one of the States of this englished of the European hattons—in one of the States of this englished of the European hattons—in one of the States of this englished of the European hattons—in one of the States of this englished of the States of the European hattons—in one of the States of the European hattons—in one of the States of the states of the European hattons—in one of the European hattons—in one

power which sustained the empire and the empire itself.

I am not ariad then, that to the great tribunal of the people should be confided the election of the judges. We are not without examples of an elective judiciary. I think Mr. Jefferson speaks, in one of his letters, of a judge in one of the New England States elected fifty years successively, notwithstanding all the vibrations of public opinion. If the Supreme Court were made elective, candidates for its bench, would of necessity, be men of the highest legal reputation, and the most exalted character. Only such men would be heard of at all as candidates for the control of the highest legal reputation, and the most exalted character. Only such men would be heard of at all as candidates for the control of the highest legal reputation, and the most exalted character. Only such men would be heard of at all as candidates for the control of th attained great emimence in its profession, before his name decomes sufficiently known to make him a candidate, with any reasonable prospect of success. Another renson presents itself in favor of the popular choice of judges. Every selected tribunal may have personal interests hostile to the interests of the nation. It may seek

personal ends inconsistent with the public good, but that is impersonal ends inconsistent with the public guos, but that is in-possible in the case of a tribunal chosen by the people. And the reason is obvious. The interests of the nation, and those of the tribunal chosen by the people must be one. My friend from South tribunal chosen by the people must be one. Carolina is greatly mistaken if he supposes that the people would attempt to influence judicial decisions. There is an extreme sensitiveness on that very subject in the minds of the people. it is, that in this country the singular and gratifying spectacle is exhibited of judges going into the remotest wilderness unattended, unquarded, and administering the law with perfect security— their judgments respected, and their decisions acquiesced in with-out a murmur. This arises from the fact, that every man in the United States knows that he has the making of the law in his own hands, and that he who pronounces judgment is the agent of his own selection, subject to constitutional restriction in the administration of his high office.

Mr. FOOTE .- I regret to feel compelled to detain the Senate with a remark or two, quite necessary to be made in order to bave my own course in regard to the measure under consideration correctly understood. When this bill made its first appearance before us, I was altogether favorable to its passage. I regarded it as proposition not intended to effect any serious change in our judi-ciary system, but designed to supply a remedy for an evil alto-gether temporary. Whilst the debate which it called forth was in progress, I was much startled by suggestions made by more than one Senator that the bill was designed as an entering wedge to a complete and radical subversion of the existing judicial system, and the substitution of another which seemed to me to be reasonably subject to the many and strong objections urged by several gentlemen of this body. The new plan thus intended to take the place of the old, was one which would limit the Judges of the Supreme Court herenfter to the performance of such duties exclusively as appertain to an appellate tribunal, and would preclude them from the exercise of all authority as mere circuit judges. For all the reasons so forcibly stated by various learned Senators. and which I shall not now repeat, I regarded it as quite important that the Judges of the Supreme Court should still remain Circuit Judges, and that to relieve them from the necessity of presiding in the Circuit Courts might and would probably be attended with the worst possible effects. That such a fundamental organic change was designed or contemplated was stoutly denied by those change was designed of contemplated was sound; when the content is so that the content of the co utterly unfounded and radiculous. It so happened though, whilst the discussion was still proceeding, that a printed argument in support of the bill was placed in my hands, which seems to have been laid upon the table of almost every member of this body, urging the passage of the bill chiefly and mainly upon the ground that it was palaebly unconstitutional to require of the Supreme Court Judges the performance of duties other than appellate. This printed argument, whether marked with the highest ability or not I will not undertake to decide, was evidently prepared with singular care, and manifests extraordinary zeal in behalf of the proposed change. I will presently read some extracts from it, in order to show that I am not in error in regard to the character of the document, or the objects of its author or authors, for the name of those concerned in its preparation may, for anglet I know to the contrary, be legion. At this moment I will only say, that what I thus heard and read had the effect of alarming my mind as to ulterior results, and I resolved to vote against the measure. It was defeated; after which more than one honored friend among those who advocated the bill nr. ged me warmly to move its reconsideration, alleging as a reason for doing so, that there was not a full Senate when the final vote for doing so, that there was not a full Senate when the final vote had been taken, as there would have been, had any serious apprehension been entertained as to its ultimate passage. This application I could not in courtey refuse, and did therefore move a reconsideration of the question of rejection, which motion prevailing the present discussion has taken piece. I am now, sir, as much and even more opposed to the bill than I was originally, nothing having hope, with loves to death that has a visible set to death the last of the having been said here to-day that has in the least degree dispelled my fears as to the effects likely to arise from its adoption; though I certainly give due credit to Senators who disclaim any desiro, so far as they are individually concerned, that any such radical change in the judicial system should occur as that alluded to.

And now, sir, having vindicated my own consistency. I hasten to call the attention of the Senate to the printed argument referred to, and beg leave to read only a few extracts in order to show that I On the first page cannot be in error touching its true character. of the document in question, after noticing the severe labors of the Supreme Court judges, the argument proceeds thus:

Supreme Court judges, the argument proceeds thus:

"The evoting judient system, interestable defense, and more properh, adapted to the extended tentions and prospective populosures of our courty, has early hed to the extended tentions and prospective populosures of our courty, has early hed to the extended tentions and prospective proper solutions and extended the department of the highest proceed the entire throughout from those which have no natural sumhtatele, no common character or principle. A string illustration of this portions is even in the negarization of our judients victim and programment of the process of the entire throughout the entire t

Again, on the second page of the argument, after stating six

distinct grounds of distinction between England and this country, in reference to the performance of circuit duties by appellate judg es, it proceeds thus :

"The aforegoing considerations, though perhaps overlooked, or not auticipated by he authors of our judiciary system, expose at a glance the utter inefficiency and unfit-tes of that system for the present condition of the country."

Again, the pamphlet argument, on the fourth page, thus boldly asserts the unconstitutionality of the present system

asserts the unconstitutionality of the present system:

"Bet connected with this subtest there is a far greater consideration—one which ought to control any view that may be taken of it, and a presented in the following equityr: I be the present organization of the Judeliny system, or a ray organization of the Judeliny system, or a ray organization of the Judeliny system, or a ray organization present Court, with the functions and delive of inference that and deliver the System of the Sy

The argument then goes on to contend with much ingenuity, and still more carnestness, from the constitutional provisions cited that Congress never had power to impose upon the judges of the Supreme Court, the performance of circuit duties, concluding one paragraph thus:

"This gestion thee naturally arises 1s there may authority vested in Congress to require of the justices of the Supreme Court the preformance of duttes not appear thomas to the business of the Supreme Court, but wholey expanise and duffined therefore the court of the supreme Court, but wholey expanise and duffined the property of the court o

I will not fatigue the Senate with farther citations from this extraordinary production. What I have read though, I doubt not, will satisfy most of those who listen to me, that there is a deeply end cunningly concerted scheme somewhere, in connection with the bill under consideration, so to modify our present judicial establishment as entirely to relieve the Supreme Court judges from the duties of the circuit—a scheme, as I believe for the many powerful rea-sons already stated by other Senators, pregnant with the most serious danger to the justice of the country, and even to our repub-

rious danger to the justice of the control licen institutions.

And now, sir, I beg leave to offer a few observations upon what has fallen from several Senators, relative to the necessity of a change in the mode of appointing judges of the Supreme Court of the Union, and in their tenure of office. Sir, I perfectly and cordially centur with all that has been so ality and eloquently said upon this subject, by the Senator from Ohio, [Mr. ALLEN.] My feelings are as much in so boldly and promptly brought forward his resolution congratu-latory of the French Republic; for which certain members of this seemed to be as little prepared as they are now for this radical reform which he suggests. My own views upon this subject are not of recent adoption; it has been almost twenty years since I first pronulged them. In the State which I have the honor in I first promulged them. In the State which I have the honor in part to represent upon this floor, the judges, high and low, have been elected for more than fifteen years, for a short term, by the people; and the system has worked so well—the tree has borne such good fruit, that I believe there is no intelligent citizen of the State who is not warmly in favor of it; though when it was origi-nally introduced, it had many and mest violent enemies, especially among those whose minds having been devoted to the exclusive study of common law books, had received a bias therefrom which they were not able easily to east aside.

Sir, I was most highly gratified to hear my distinguished friend, the honorable Senator from Ohio, [Mr. Allen.] refer to the ex-ample of Rome as supplying a striking instance of the successful application of the great principle of popular election in connection with the judicial office. Nothing can be more certain, than that the highest judicial officers in the Roman Republic were, for many centuries, exclusively chosen by the people; and that to the sne cessive adjudications of these personages the world is chiefly in-debted for that invulnerable system of jurisprudence, the civil law, whose majestic remains yet challenge the admiration and command the deferential homage of civilized men in all conatries under the sun. My honorable friend might have gone still farther, and have asserted that even the famous Ten Tables of Reme, which are acknowledged to have constituted the broad and firm foundations of that jurisprudential fabric afterwards known us the jus civile of of that jurisprudential fabric afterwards known as the jux cirille of Rome, were themselves of similar origin. Sir, brought across the Atlantic sea, from the classic shores of Greece, they embodied the landamental principles of judicial science which had first received sanction and become contamped with commanding authority in Atlens and other democratic states of Greece, through the medium of courts whose judges owed their authority to the free suffrages of their enlightened fellow-citizens. Centlemen who seem to decry this system should remember that at one period of the world's

history it has had the open sanction of Jehovah himself; for the judges of the Israelites were elected by their brethren of the immortal twelve tribes, and, for the most part, so conducted themselves in their high office, as to make brilliantly manifest the discriminating sagacity of the Jewish voters, ly whose voices they were raised to the seats of judicial power. I am almost tempted here to remind Senators that our own German ancestors had nearly two thousand years ago, ascertained and proved the value of the popular mode of electing judicial officers; but I hasten to more modern instances, whose authority will be held by all considerate men as entitled to high respect. The people of the good old State of Connecticut are understood to have chosen their judges at one parind of their history, for more than a century and a half; nor parind of their history, for more than a century and a half; nor have I heard that its operation was attended with any mischievous But I beg leave to introduce to the notice of the Senate effects. the example of the State of Mississippi, who took the lead of her sister States of the consideracy by unding all her judicial officers slective by the people more than fifteen years ago; and where the system has worked so amirishly, that though there was originally much and fierce opposition to its introduction, especially among the learned members of the legal profession, yet I do not now know the learned members of the legal profession, yet I do not now know a single citizen of the State at all, remarkable for intelligence, who is not perfectly satisfied of its surpassing value, and who would not as soon part with any other provision of our organic law as that which secures to our citizens the high privilege of electing their judicial agents. Our new system in Mississiph has supplied the bench of the State with a continued succession of able and upright judges, who might well challenge comparison with the judges of any age or country, whose numerous, able, and learned decisions, published annually in well-bound volumes, I am gratified to know have commanded unqualified respect in every State of the Union. The judges who now preside in the umes, I am gratified to know have commanced unquanned respects in every State of the Union. The judges who now preside in the high court of errors and appeals of Mississippi, though they do not sit "gowned" for the administration of justice, would, all of them, adorn the beach of the Supreme Court of the Union; and I suem, accon use beane of the Supreme Court of the Union; and I may mention it as a rememstable lact, which most forciby attests the intrinsic trustworthiness of the system, that one of the present judges of our appellate court, the Hon. William L. Sharkey, as man, whose sterling integrating the property deep and varied learning, acute and discriminating mind, have textbilled for him a fame enduring as the mountains of the land, and commensurate with the republic itself, has been uniformly re-elected by his fellow-citithe republic itself, has been uniformly re-elected by his fellow-citizens for more than fifteen years; though he resides in a district where a majority of the voters differ from him in political sentiment. I should be justly censurable, were I to omit the mention of another case equally illustrative of the value of our Mississippi system of election. There is a major general of our army, who has distinguished himself, I besitate not to assert, as highly as any officer who has been invested with command in Mexice; whose pure and blameless life, extensive attainments in literature, and suspece and truly democratic assistance and many literature and science, and truly democratic sentiments and man-ners, had made him more a favorite of our State than any of her ners, had made him more a lawerite of our State than any of her sons long before the Mexican war commenced—this personages, whom all Mississippi would from this description acclamatively recognize without my anning him, General John A. Quitman, was one of our earliest chancellors, and, for some years before our new constitution was adopted, administered the whole equity juris-diction of our State. This gentleman happened to be one of those who, when the pepular mode of election was proposed, was deci-ved to the state of the state of the state of the state of who, when the pepular mode is statisfied him of it, high value, well, six when the new constitution was to be not it a pectation. well, sir, when the new constitution was to be put in operation, whom do you suppose the whole people of Mississippi, without a dissenting voice, and without either solicitation or desire on his part, united in electing to the chancery bench? This same John A. Quitman, whose profound legal learning, sound discriminating mind, laborious business habits, and surpassing ability as an equity judge, attested by numerous decisions before that time rendered, judge, attested by numerous decisions before that time rendered, at once attracted all eyes and bearts to him, as the worthiest of all our accomplished jurists, to occupy the sacred woolsack, and enter upon the adjudication of questions more difficult than any other judge of our times has been called on to decide.

Let no man say, Mr. President, that the people of the United Statos can not be safely outrasted with the power of electing the induces of the Scarcey Course of the United Statos.

judges of the Supreme Court of the Union. So to assert is to call in question either the sound sense or virtue of the people, without both of which it is impossible that our republican system can be maintained. Sir, the people are competent to decide upon the merits and qualifications of candidates for judgeships, and are moreover less subject to certain smister influences calculated to moreover less subject to certain smisser influences calculated to prevent a judicious selection, than any legislative body, or executive and Senate, can possibly be. A single individual, or collection of individuals, may very easily contrive to have an interest wholly distinct from that of the community in general; but the peoples' happiness is the public welfare; and the mass of the citizens may be reasonably expected always to feel inclined to choose the most trustworthy person possible to be obtained for the

choose the most trustwerthy person possible to be obtained for the performance of judicial functions.

These who seriously question theory the person and the person are as a safe and prubent selection among those who may be presented to them as candidates for their suffrages, would do well to remember, that precisely the same qualities which are supposed to be indispensable ingredients in the character of a good judge, are not lises necessary to the character of a respectable barrister. Integrity, strength and cabridge of the person of the pe

manners, are equally ornamental to the forum and the bench. Yet, manners, are equally ornamental to the form and the bench. So, it cannot be denied, that it is the people of whom the litigants in courts are only a portion—the voters if you please—who are constantly discriminating among the members of the legal profession, and so discriminating as almost invariably to bestow most employand so discriminating as atmost invariantly to bestow most employ-ment upon those who, on the whole, have proved themselves most difficult to mention a case in any part of the Unitarity would be emitted by the property of the Unitarity and the Con-mentarity limited number of years to attain bonor and emol-ument. These were the matured views of Thomas Jefferson, who always contended that the appointment of the federal judges by the President and Seante, and endowing them with power to continue for life, constituted a solecism in our system of government tinue for life, constituted a solectism in our system of government which should be gotten rid of as soon as possible. Such also was the opinion of the most sagacious and practical man who ever held the Presidential office, Andrew Jackson, whose views I have heard freely declared in presence of witnesses easy to be produced, if this mention of his name should be adjudged unauthorized. Mr. Jefferson always had a short answer for those who talked, as we have heard gentlemen do on this occasion, about the importance of having an independent judiciary. He insisted that the idea of independence on the bench was derived from Great Britain-that it there meant the produce of the crown in favor of the people, but that indi-pendence of the people was exactly the opposite of this, which was the only sort of independence that could be expected to arise from the mode of appointing federal judges now in use, and the permanent tenure of office which is provided in the constitution. I confest that I have never seen any mischief which, appeared to my mind likely to result to the country at large from keeping alive in the bosoms of all our public functionaries a wholesome and habitual sense of responsibility to the people. The three departments of government in this country—the executive, legisla-tive and judiciary—should, in my judgment, be alike independent of each other, and dependant upon the people, the source of all legitimate authority in a republic

legitimate authority in a republic.

Now is it true, as many suppose, that the election of judges by the people necessarily imposes upon the aspirants to Judicial honors, the practice of any unworthy acts whatever in order to secure election? To suppose so, is greatly to wrong the enlightend voters of the country. I have exportentiates of looking into this matter closely in the State of Mississippi, and I have no hesitation in declaring that there, at least, if a judicial candidate should be seen going through the country making popular harangues, such as we often hear from demagogueigal aspirants to political station, and especially if he should undertake to treat at groceries and elsewhere in order to obtain additional votes, as to the discrept of the especially it he should undertake to treat at groceries and elso-where in order to obtain additional votes, as to the disgrace of the times and country is yet seen to take place, he would find himself very soon utterly discountenanced by the voters themselves and compelled to retire from the canvass in disgrace and discomfiture. competied to retire from the canvass in disgrace and discombiture. With all proper delerence to the judgment of others. I leel bond to arge that it never was reasonable to expect from a Supreme Court whose members owe their appointment to the President and Scn-ate, the exhibition of that perfect independence of action, which can alone secure the liberties of the country from unauthorised encan alone secure use uncertain the country from unauthorised ear-orsachment. It is but natural that the judges should feel more or less partiality for the source whence they have themselves derived power; and accordingly, in the various contests that have from time to time ensued in the Supreme Court of the Union, in which the rights of the States and the landamental principles of popular liberty have been involved, we find that the decisions of that tribunal, have almost without exception, been rendered in favor of the Federal government, and against the states and people. It is obsomething in the constitution of the court itself calculated to federalise those who belong to it; and it is be-lieved that though many have at different times been appointed to Federal judgeships who were thought to be excellent democrats at the time of their appointment, but few have been able to escape the contaminating influence of such promotion for a longer pe riod than four or five years. The elder Adams seems to have had very clear and accurate

views on the subject; and when Federalism was overthrown at the ballot-box in 1800, and his own defeat had been ascertained, he ballot-box in 1860, and his own defeat had been ascertained, he looked to the Supreme Court of the Union, for the ultimate restoration of Federal ascendancy. Accordingly, he appointed, even up to the last moment of his Presidential term, such judges as he believed coult be relied on for the effectuation of a purpose so he believed coult be relied on for the effectuation of a purpose of dear to him. His discernment has been well attested by subsequent experience; for, not only have the decisions of the Supreme Court, a majority of whose judges have been generally, if not always Tuderalism in principle, been oftentianes stamped with a part marked with high ability, however unstaining, for the most part of publication with singular care, and circulating in well-bound volumes throughout the Union, have been received into all pared for publication with singular care, and circulating in weith bound volumes throughout the Union, have been received into all the law libraries of the country, and have gradually obtained so much control over even the State counts themselves, and the law-yers who attend them, that there is obviously much danger of the entire overthrow of sound principles of government, by the instru-mentality of Federal adjudication. This would in fact have been mentality of Federal adjudication. This would in fact have been long since the case, but that the political complexion of the Supreme Court was more or less modified by General Jackson and Mr. Van Buren, through this appointment of persons, to supply vacancies occasionally arising upon the bench of the Suprema Court, distinguished as much for their sterling democratic opia-

ions and predelections, as for their fitness in other respects for the discharge of judicial duties.

Entertaining these views, Mr. President, Senators will not be much surprised at my declaring, that I shall be more than willing at all times, to lend a helping hand to the work of constitutional reform, suggested by the Senator from Ohio, and I hope that the day is not I ard distant, when either the distinguished Senator from Ohio, or some other behavior of the work of continuous of the public services, would give weight at the novement will bring forward a regular proposition for such an amendment of the Federal Constitution, as will make the

judges of the Supreme Court elective by the people of the Union, and limit the period of their continuance, in office to a short term of years, instead of installing them for life, above all responsibility to their fellow-citizens, whose servants and agents they are.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, then took the floor, with a view of addressing the Senate, but the hour being late,

On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# TUESDAY, APRIL 18, 1848.

#### PETITIONS.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, presented the petition of James. L. domnidson, an office tin the army of the United States, praying incomplete the loss sustained by him in consequence of having been robbed of public more placed in his leads for disbursement; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. DIX presented a memorial of ship-owners of the city of New York, praying an amendment by the Senate to the bill re-cently passed by the House of Representatives, entitled "An not to provide for the ventilation of passenger vessels, and for other purposes;" which was referred to the Committee on Commerce.

Mr. FOOTE submitted documents relating to the claim of the heirs of Joseph Mc Affeo, to the reimbursement of the purchase money paid for certain lands sold by the United States without title; which were referred to the Committee on Private Land

Mr. UNDERWOOD presented the petition of George F. Raub, representative of Samuel Raub, jr., deceased, praying the chose by the government of his pattent right to Raub's satety-valve, for preventing explosions in steam bollers; which was referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

On motion by Mr. BELL, it was

Ordered, That the memorial of Susan Coody and other Cherokec Indians, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

On motion by Mr. HUNTER, it was

Ordered, That the heirs of Willis Wilson have leave to withdraw their petitions and papers.

# QUARTER DIMES.

Mr. NILES submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resulved. That the Committee on Finance be instructed to inquire toto the expediency of providing by law for a coinage of the denomination and value of one fourth af a dime, or two and a half cents, to be composed of silver with an alloy of copper or other metal, and for the discontinuance of the coinage of cents.

## STATE PAPERS OF TEXAS

Mr. HANNEGAN, from the Committee on Foreign Relations, to whom was referred the bill to provide for transcribing certain State papers of the late Republic of Texas, reported it without amendment.

### WEST POINT APPROPRIATION BILL

Mr. ATHERTON, from the Committee on Finance, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives making appropriations for the support of the Military Academy, for the year ending June 30, 1849, reported it without amendment.

### LIEUT. J. M. GILLISS, U. S. N.

Mr. MILLER, from the Committee on Naval Affairs, to whom was referred the joint resolution from the House of Representatives, for the relief of J. Melville Gilliss and others, reported it with an amendment.

## INCREASE OF THE NAVAL MEDICAL CORPS.

Mr. MILLER, from the same Committee, reported a bill for the increase of the Medical Corps of the Navy; which was read and passed to the second reading.

SETTLERS UNDER THE ARMED OCCUPATION ACT IN FLORIDA.

Mr. BREESE, from the committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the bill for the relief of the bone fide settlers under the acts for the armed occupation and settlement of a part of the territory of Florida, reported it with an amendment; and submitted a communication from the Commissioner of the General Land Office on the subject, which was ordered to be printed.

## PRIVATE BILLS.

Mr. MASON, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the memorial of John M. McIntosh, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for bis relief.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading

Ordered, That the report be printed.

Mr. MASON, from the same committee, to whom was referred the memorial of A. H. Cole, submitted a report accompanied by a

The bill was read and passed to the second reading

30TH CONG .- 1ST SESSION .- No. 64.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

Mr. FELCH, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the petition of Thomas C. Sheldon, submitted a report accompanied by a bill to provide for the final settlement of the exocunts of Thomas C. Sheldon, late receiver of public moneys at Kalimanzoo, Michigan.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered. That the report be printed.

Mr. FELCH, from the same committee, to whom was referred the petition of Abraham Edwards, submitted a report accompa-nied by a bill to provide for the final settlement of the accounts of Abraham Edwards, Registor of the Land Office at Kalamazoo, Michigan.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

Mr. RUSK, from the Committee on Military Affairs, to whom was referred the memorial of Robert C. Rogers, submitted a report, accompanied by a bill for his relief.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

Mr. CASS, from the Committee on Military Affairs, to whom was recommitted the bill for ascertaining and paying the Califor nia claims, reported it with an amendment.

#### NEW YORK AND NEW ORLEANS STEAMERS.

NEW YORK AND NEW ORLEANS STEAMERS.

Mr. DIX, from the Committee on Commerce, to whom was referred the memorial of J. Howard & Son, in reporting a bill extending privileges to American vessels engaged in a certain mentioned trade, and for other purposes, said: As he wished to ask the action of the Senate on it this morning, he would briefly explain its object. It authorizes steamships and other vessels, going from one domestic port to another, to touch at one or more foreign ports to land and receive passengers and their baggage, letters, &c. The immediate object is to enable the vessels of a line of steamships about to be established between New York and New Orleans to touch at Havana. As they must under existing laws be considered as engaged in the coasting trade, they cannot go to a foreign port without becoming liable to forfeiture; if they are licensed and enrolled, and without subjecting the merchandize they are carrying from one port to another to impost duties, if they they are carrying from one port to another to impost duties, if they are registered, as they would be engaged in foreign trade. The bill provides against the latter inconvenience and embarrassment bil provides against the latter inconvenience and embarrassment by authorizing them, when registered, to tunch at one or more foreign parts to land provides and the register of the provides and the register of the provides and the register of the registe

The bill was then read the second time, by manimous consent, and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate

The question being upon ordering the bill to be engrossed, and read a third time.

Mr. HALE observed that this was an important bill, and he desired that it should lie over until to-morrow, to afford him an opportunity to examine it.

Mr. DIX said he had no objection to the bill lying over for one day; and the question on ordering it to be engrossed, and read a third time was accordingly postponed until to morrow.

## CLEMENTS, BRYAN AND COMPANY

The joint resolution for the relief of Clements, Bryant and Company was read the third time; and the question being on its passage, it was

Ordered. That it be postponed until to-morrow.

# ADJUTANT OF THE MILITARY ACADEMY.

On motion by Mr. BADGER, the prior orders were postponed, and the bill placing the officer who performs the duty of Adjutant at the Military Academy on an equality as to pay and allowances with the Adjutants of the regiments, was read the second time and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and no amendment being made it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and and and and afford time.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent.

Resolved. That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered. That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

TROOPS SENT TO MEXICO, KILLED, WOUNDED, ETC.

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, it was

Ordered, That two thousand additional copies of the report of the Secretary of War of the 10th instant, in relation to the number of troops, regulars and volunteers, sent to Mexico, the number of killed and wounded, the number who have died of wounds or disease, etc., be printed for the use of the Senate.

#### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

Mr. President. The House of Representatives have passed the bill of the Senate to authorize the issuing of a register to the big Palmetto.

They have passed the hall of the Senate in amendment of an act entitled. 'An act to amend the act, entitled.' 'An act to reduce the rates of postage, to brint the use and correct the abuse of the franking inviteder, and for the prevention of frauds on the revenues of the Past Office Department,' reseed the 3d of March, 1845," with amendments, in which they report the concurrence of the Senate.

The Speaker of the House of Representatives having signed an enrolled bill, I am directed to bring it to the Senate for the signature of their President.

## SIGNING OF A BILL.

The VICE PRESIDENT signed the enrolled bill to authorize the issuing of a register to the brig Palmetto.

#### POST OFFICE LAWS.

The Senate proceeded to consider the amendments of the House of the presentatives to the bill of the Senate in amendment of an act entitled "An act to amend the act entitled "An act to reduce the rates of postage, to limit the use and correct the abuse of the franking privilege, and for the prevention of frands on the revenues of the Post Office Department," passed the 3d of March 1845," and it was

 ${\it Ordered},$  That they be referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

#### NOTICE OF BILLS.

Mr. FOOTE gave notice that on to-morrow, or some early day thereafter, he will ask leave to introduce certain bills, the titles of which he named.

# ADVERSE REPORTS CONCURRED IN.

The Senate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee on Patents and the Patent Office, upon the petition of Herrick Aicken; and in concurrence therewith, it was

Resolved. That the prayer of the petition of Herrick Aicken should not be granted.

The Senate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee on the Judiciary, upon the petition of William H. Bassett, and in concurrence therewith, it was

Resolved. That the prayer of the petitioner ought not to be granted.

The Senate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee of Claims, upon the petition of Don Carlos Buell; and in concurrence therewith, it was

Resolved, That the claim of Don Carlos Buell ought not to be allowed.

The Senate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee on the Judiciary upon the petition of Joseph Bouehard; and in concurrence therewith, it was

Resulted. That the prayer of the petitioner should not be granted.

The Senate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee on Naval Affairs, upon the memorial of William M. Glendy; and in concurrence therewith, it was

Ordered, That the Committee be discharged from the further consideration of the memorial.

The Senate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee of Claims, upon the petition of William G. Davis and Mary Ann Davis; and in concurrence therewith, it was

Resolved, That the claim of Mary Ann Davis, for want of sufficient proof, be rejected.

The Senate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee on Indian Alliurs, upon the petition of James Edwards; and in concurrence therewith, it was

Resolved, That James Edwards is not entitled in relief.

The Senate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee on Indian Affairs, on the petition of George S. Gaines; and in concurrence therewith, it was

Resolved. That the claim ought to be rejected

The Senute proceeded to consider the report of the Committee on Revolutionary Claims, upon the petition of Nancy Haggard.

Mr. UNDERWOOD moved to amend the resolution at the close of the report, by striking out the words "be rejected" and insert-

ing "is reasonable and ought to be allowed; and that the petition be recommitted to the Committee on Revolutionary Claims, with instructions to report a bill for the relief of the petitioner."

Mr. UNDERWOOD.—The case involved in the report is this. Some years ago a bill passed both branches of Congress and was approved by the President, allowing commutation pay to the periodic inder in this case as the representative of her futher. The application now, is to allow interest for the time during which the payment was deferred. The only question is, whether in souch a case, the interest ought to be allowed. The petition refers to some handless of the control o

Mr. UPHAM.—The petition does set forth many cases in which interest has been allowed, but it does not furnish the evidence upon which the committee related to the committee thought that although the claims were originally well founded, if the petitioner had a right to claim interest it should have been done by application to the Commissioner of Pensions at the time when the claim to slumber for about fifty years without making any demand upon the government, the committee are of opinion that interest could not accrete until a demand was made.

Mr. UNDERWOOD.—I rise merely to state that no default on the part of the claimant here or of our ancestors, can prejodice the claim of an infant. The evidence will show that her ancestor was killed in one of the early battles of the revolution when she was an infant only in few months old. Of the probability of the property of the p

The question being put upon agreeing to the amendment, it was determined in the negative.

The question then recurred upon concurring in the report, and it was determined in the affimative; and it was

Resolved, That the prayer of the petitioner be rejected.

The Senate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee of Claims, upon the petition of George Hervey, and in concurrence therewith, it was

Resolved, That the prayer of George Hervey, agent for the owners and consignees of the English slup James Mitchell ought not to be granted.

## LESLIE COMBS.

The Senate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee on the Judiciary upon the petition of Leslie Combs; and in concurrence therewith, it was

RESISTED METERMIN, 18 WSS

Resisted, That the eventary do transmit to the President a copy of the memorial and papers of Levice Combo, praying the payment by the United States of certain see centres issued by the late republic of Texas, with also a copy of this resultation, and the report made in and case, and that the President De requisited to cause the same to be compared to the compared of the Combo o

## THE SUPREME COURT.

The Senate resumed the consideration, on its third reading, of the bill from the House of Representatives supplemental to the act, entitled "An act concerning the Supreme Court of the United States," approved June 17, 1844.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland.—Had it not been that I rose posteriely to address the Senate on one of the topics sonnected with this discussion, I should not have troubled them this morning. My motive yesterday was exclusively to reply to what I thought then, and still think, was a most uncalled for and unjust aspersion upon the judges of the Sopreme Court of the United States. I beg leave, however, before addressing myself to the single point which I then intended, to say in word or two upon the bill itself. It presents two questions; the first is, whether two plants bell itself. It presents two questions; the first is, whether those best, if not the only mode of remedying that evil. The honorable Senator from Arkansas [Mr. Ashtax ] attempted to make the Senate believe that there was no real misshelf, and he supposed that he did that by analyzing, as he stated he had done, the number of cases on the docket of the court in order to show how many cases came from the respective States of which this Union is composed; and concluded by seriously telling the Senate that it appeared from his analysis that there were fewer cases from Arkansas and from Maryland, and thence very logically inferred that there was no evil at all of which any body had a right to complain in the Dyresent condition of the docket of the Supremo Court. The Senate, I am sure, is not to be led nway by any considerations of this kind. The evil complained of is that there are now efitzes of the United States in their sove-

reign capacity, or having a right to complain in their covereign capacity—but that there are citizens of the United States appealing log in vain. And it is for the Senate to say whether, if there can be a remedy to make the appeal effectual, they will not apply its, if there was but one single cause in the Supreme Court which ought to be tried—if there was but one single suitor whose rights were denied him by his cause by remaining undecided, and it was in the power of the Senate to have it decided, it would be, in my opinion, our day to provide at once the remedy. The delay of ruits of the contest cease to be fruits at all, because of the power of the Senate to have it decided, it would be, in my opinion, by the contest cease to be fruits at all, because of the power of the Senate to have it all, because of the power of the Contest cease to be fruits at all, because of the power of the United States many a man and many a woman whose heart is beating anxiously for the passage of this bill in or ler that they may be vindicated in rights which they fancied we executed to them by the constitution and the laws. Since the contest cease to the form of government tells you that the government itself was created among others for the very leading object for establishing justice. Now what is the state of the docket of the Supreme Court at this time? At the last term there were some forty or fifty enses tried and decided, each one of which had been before that tribunal for at least two or three years; and yet the suitor is led to believe that two or three years; and yet the suitor is led to believe that two or three years; and yet the suitor is led to believe this internal andecided, are cases which have been two or three years and yet the suitor is led to believe the suitor is led to believe the suitor is led to believe the warm of the contest of the content of the content of the contest of the content of the content of the contest of the content of the content of the contest of the contest of the contest of the contest

Sir, it has been well said, that almost the entire object of government is accomplished—almost its whole purpose is gratified, when it has secured an honest and competent tribunal to decide dispates between man and man. Yet the Senator from Arkansas supposes that it is no practical wrong—no wrong at all, that there should now be suttors in the courts of the United States, and in its highest court who have been in 'vain imploring you for three and core flour years to have their rights protected by the judicial pow-

er, the only power capable of protecting them.

Mr. ASHLEY.—The honorable Senator mistakes what I said. I have not stated that it is not wrong to delay decisions. I admit the wrong. I admit it to be an evil, but I say the Supreme Court has the power to remedy the evil.

Mr. JOHNSON.—I understood the Senator differently; I thought he said it was not an evil comparatively, because a greater existed in the courts of the States.

Mr. ASHLEY.—I said that a much greater evil exists in the subordinate courts.

Mr. JOHNSON.—I am glad I misapprehended the Senator. It is an evil then—and the question is, how is it to be remedied? But, says the Senator, the remedy is in the hands of the judges themselves, and how I—by confiance counsel to the points actually here, and one of which the press is every day complaining, that we talk too much. We do not confine ourselves strictly to the subject before us. The honorable Senator himself, though I do not wish to say any thing disrespectful, affords an exemplification of it. A stronger instance could not be given. The honorable Senator discusses points out of order, and then gravely tells better thought in the senate of the surprised to hear such a remark from a chairman of the Judiciary Committee of the Senator of two hours. I was not a little surprised to hear such a remark from a chairman of the Judiciary Committee of the Senate of the United States, well Judiciary Committee of the Senate of the United States, well Judiciary Committee of the Senate of the United States, well told, sir, that case after case occurs, in which three, four, five, six, or even ten and elven hours have been exhausted in argument strictly pertinent in a strain of cloquence directly bearing upon the point at issue, no part of which could have been omitted without spoiling, in some measure, the effect of the whole. Who would be sufficient if you were addressing a simple judge would be thrown away as entirely useless, if addressed to some other judge.

judge. Was requisite from the number constituting the tribunal as in the case before a jury, that you should at times elaborate your argument, and present every possible view of the case in order that you may address yourself effectually to the understanding of every judge. But I concede that the speeches are at times entirely too long, and I concede that the court has the power, if they think proper, to exercise it, and that they should exercise it, for restrain them. But it is a very dangerous power to be applied in all cases—execedingly dangerous—and it is a power, permit me to say to

the honorable Senator from Arkansas, of the exercise of which we, a portion of the people of the United States, would complain more than many of the democracy. They would say at once it was interfering with the freedom of speech. There was a case in the Supreme Court at the last term, which involved the constitutionality of the famous Detr government in Rhode Island. I heard pampilet after pampilet, fourth of July speech after fourth of July speech written and eleviered years ago, read before that tri-form of government they think best. Sit, I image to this the famous Detr government they think best. Sit, I image to this think that no such authority should be cited, the press of the country would have run mad, particularly if the result had been, as in all probability it will be, that on the unanimous judgment of that tribual, the Dor revolution was nothing but naked and inexusable rebellion. Besides, sir, as to stopping counsel in their argument, which of the judges is to take it upon himself to do so. Is it to be left to any one of them, to the Chuel Justice, to say what point is for it before such a rule is practised for one term, the Chief Justice would be told by some one of his associates, on either side of him, that it was a point on which he wished to be enlightened.

Mr. President, I have said all that I propose to say upon this part of the subject. But there is one other point at which I will briefly glance, before considering the topic which caused me to rise yeaterday, and that is as to the remedy for the evil which exists the remedy in the remedy for the evil which exists. rise yesterday, and that is as to the temedy for the orn where ex-ists. What is the remedy that is proposed by the honorable Sena-tors from Arkansas and Ohio, [Messrs. Ashler, and Allen,] If I did not know the elevated character of both the Senators, I should almost be induced to think from the remarks which have should almost be induced to think from the remarks which have fallea from them, that they wish to keep up the present condition of things, in order to bring about the change which they have in view, and when they suppose will lead to good practed results. What is that change? It is the electron of the judges by the peo-ple, and for a limited period of service. I do not know how the system works in Mississippi, except upon the authority of the ho-norable Senator from that State, [Mr. Foorts.] I do not know how it has operated in Arkansas and Ohio, but unless all history is false, unless man's nature has become entirely changed, unless has be-come a different being from that which Godoriginally made him, and judging of him as he has heretofore been, it is impossible but that judging of him as he has heretofore been, it is impossible but that in the end, such a system can be productive of any thing but unmixed mischief. Let us in the first place, sir, see how they are to be elected, if the change be made. Will they be elected by the people? No, sir, the people will have no more to do with by this people was a six and people as times are material or considered of the United States. They will be elected by a convention—New York changed the tenure of office of her judges, and changed the mode of their appointment, by the late modification of her constitution, and her judges have recently been elected; and in what manner? By the nomination of a political convention, and if we to meet in Baltimore sometime in next month will be found nominating our judges, as well as the President. It will be a party contest, and we shall have the melancholy spectagle optificial. Specials in regional that the state of the only not only examine the rights of a minority, subjected to a legislative majority—subjected to the action of a party Executive; but, the most directly of a political ills, we shall have them subjected to the decision of a partiana Judiciary. Sir, when such a day comes, the history of this mation will have been told. The constitution, of which we are so justly prond, as it came to us from our ancestors, will not be worth the parchment on which it stands inscribed. No man will then secure in his rights of person or of property; and I say it with feel secure in his rights of person or of property; and I say it with no disrespect of the people—with no distrust of the people— with no distrust of a majority upon any of these subjects upon which a majority ought to act, but regarding what has ever been the nature of mankind, and consulting the whole history of the civilized world, I state to the Senate and the country, that if the distribution of the country, that if the distribution of the country of the country of the distribution of the country of the distribution of the second of the distribution of the country selected by a party, and for a limited term, by a popular vote, the rights of the Auerican people will not be as valuable as were those enjoyed by the French people before their late revolution.— Str, it is not only that the mode of appointment is wrong, but that the other provisions to which both the learned Senators refer, make it still more pregnant with danger, that is the time of appointment, the tenure of office, the re-eligibility of the judges; lor pointment, the tenure of olines, the re-eligibility of the judges; Jiov ou will find judges timing their action upon the bench with a view to re-election, as we find political mea guiding their action every where, with a view to the same result. And if there happens to be any particularly, or supposed portionally, influentian ama around them, whether lawyer or client, upon whom it may be supposed their election depends, they will have especial regard to his interests, and exert all possible means of conciliation towards him. No, sir, the mea who framed the constitution of the United States knew the peril of such a judiciary. They were the men, States knew the peril of such a judiciary. They were the men, oo, of an age deeply versed in man's history, and deeply inhued with all the principles of freedom which he is capable of enjoying; and of course imhued, too, with a sense of justice without which freedom cannot survive. If you look at the proceedings of the convention which formed the constitution, you will find that there was not a single man who suggested that the tenure of the individual survival by the proceedings of the proceedings of the proceedings of the convention which formed the constitution, you will find that judiciary should be limited. Not one. What is the inevitable result of a change in both of the particulars I have stated? If the present system has worked wrong; if it now works wrong, why is it? Because it is not practicable, not physically practicable, for the judges of the Supreme Bench to discharge all the du-

mposed upon them. r. President, some two or three years ago I made an exeursion to Europe, and there, among the many things that I saw and heard, which made me love and admire my own country more even than when I left it, was to hear from men whose praise is worth having, that that which distinguished the United States more than any thing else, in the judgment of Europe, was the able, pure and elevated character of our judicial decisions. It is unnecessary to name names; if I were to do so, the Senate would at once set that the compliment was sincere, and must have been deserved, or would not have been paid. In speaking of the late Chief Justice of the United States and Mr. Justice Story, I heard not only from one, but from many, that the world had rarely produced such men; and yet the decisions referred to in those opinions are now by some of ourselves supposed to have come from a tribunal vicious in its organ-ization, because wrong in its mode of appointment. And yet those very decisions would have done honor to any judiciary of any naion upon earth. Among other things the loreigners to whom I allude, said what I felt to be true, with the exception of one or two instances, and they of very recent occurrence, that the ability and purity of our beauty meeting the contraction. and purity of our bench was owing in a great measure, in their indoment, not only to the mode of their appointment and the tenure of office, but also and materially to the entire separation the judiciary from all the political questions and aspirations of the There are one or two exceptions of modern origin, and I trust in God they will stand the only exceptions to the general character of our judicial tribunals.

Sir, the honorable Senator from Mississippi, [Mr. Foote,] the Sir, the honorator schatter from mississpip, [Mr. 1907L] the other day, in terms unpleasantly hirsh to my ear, if he will permit ne to say so, thought proper, in reference to one of those instances, to speak of an appeal recently made by one of the justices of the Supreme Court to the citizens of the United States, upon an exeiing political subject of the times-an appeal which no one regretted more than I did, and which I am satisfied, in his cooler judgment, nore than I and and which I am sursided, it is cooler judgment, the distinguished judge himself will, sooner or later, deeply regret —an appeal which every sincere friend of the judge and of the court greatly lamented, because be could not but feel that it has court greatly lamented, because be could not but led that it has east something like a shade upon the past absolute and unsuspected purity of the whole bench. But, sir, the judgment of the public, in its almost universal ceasure of the step, will effectually gnard against its repetition. In my opinion, Mr. President, a judge hould be seperated not only while he is upon the bench, but for ever, from all the agitating political topics of the day. Once a judge, he should be ever a judge. The ermine should never be

plance, he should be ever a joung. I he enhile should hever be polluted, nor suspected of pollution; it should be the very type of justice of hersell—pure, spotless, faultless.

Now, sir, to the purpose which caused me to trouble the Senate at all—a word or two in reply to the honorable Senators from Ohio and Arkansas, [Messrs. Ashley and Allen.] I am sure they will pardon me for saying, because I believe they will do me the justice to believe that I say it in all sincerity, that their assault upon the jodges was not only most unjust and onnecessity in the post of the po was it, sir! I am it judges have, it an unites, been temorities the authors of all applies thous to Congress for change and reliel from their circuit duties which have been made. The honorable Senator from Arkansas, a few days ago, stated that these changes have been effected by them at their instance, for their case and their comfort; and among others, that the act of June 3d, 1844, relieving them from one half of their circuit duties, had been passed renewing them from one and or their circuit outles, has been passed at their instance. The honorable Senator said yesterday, which caused me inexpressible surprise, that the act of 1844 was passed for the very purpose of enabling the court to cure the then evil, the accumulated business of the Supreme Court, and prevent its recurrence, and that it was amply competent to accomplish that object; but trait he judges availed themselves of the law to save themselves from eircuit duty without complying with the law, which as a substitute for circuit duties imposed upon them Supreme Court duties. Sir, as to one of the judges, I know—and I am sure it is true of all, that charge has not a shadow of foundation. one can, without impropriety, he allowed in dehate, to indulge in expressions of personal friendship, the relation in which I stand to the present Chief Justice, I tell both of these Senators that there never was a more harsh, unjust, and unfounded aspersion made upon any man, if he is to be considered as falling within its scope. A gentleman over seventy years of age, constitutionary, feeble, I know that up to the very moment of his coming to Washington to perform the duties of his high station liere, he has ever presided in his own circuit in Maryland; and I know that the day presided in his own circuit in Maryland; and I know that the day is the statement of be found in his Maryland; and the statement of the found in his Maryland; and the statement of the found in his Maryland; and the statement of the statemen after he leaves his business here, he is often to be found in his Ma ryland circuit, where he is now, performing circuit duties. And I may be pardoned for saying that whatever differences of opinion I may be partoned of saying, that whatever differences of opinion may be entertained of his conduct as a public man, before he was called to adora the bench, no man lives who can point to error or imprudence of his, except, it may be, some error of judgment—and if there be any error of that kind 1 am yet to learn it—since he has been upon the bench. Studiously abstaining from all the party contests of the day, he has devoted himself to his judicial duties, with an industry, learning, and ability, which challenging admiration, have proved him to be a worthy successor of a judge, admiration, have proved that to be a workey successor of a judge, whose fame fills the world of jurisprudence. To say of an ornament of this description that he gets the act of 1844 passed by a false pretence, (for that is the substance of the charge,)

and that having secured it, he avails himself of it to relieve himself from a portion of his duty, without seeking or earing to ac-

plish, is to charge him with a crime

nat is true of him will be true of all the other judges; and who are they? How came they to occupy the places they fill? rejoice—no matter how they came there, no matter what were the motives for putting them there—and it is a fact eminently calcu-lated to establish the wisdom of a life tenure of office, that the moment they got there, they became judges, emphatically judges, ceasing to be politicians, (if they ever were) divested of every poprejudice, men pure and unspotted, looking to duty as guide, and to duty alone. Who put them there? Who put these men there, thus denounced by the honorable Senator from Ohio? Why, sir, nearly all of them were selected by him, who the friends of the honorable Senator were in the habit of saying, was second only to Washington—the others with the exception of only one, I believe, by him whose chief boast it was, that he would "tread in the footsteps of his illustrious predecessor." Now, sir, is it not a the footsteps of his illustrious predecessor." Now, sir, is it not a little singular that judges—gentlemen of pure and spotless character in private life before they were appointed, and against whom not a whisper of suspicion has been heard from any quarter, should for the first time, be denounced in the Senate, by their former political friends on this floor, as having been false to their duty having designedly brought about the present condition of things in liaving designedly brought about the present condition of things in the court, and in order to effect a change in the judicial system, for their own advantage. The honorable Senator from Mississip-pi, read to us the other day some pamphlet, anonymous I believe it was—which as I understood him, had changed the original opinion he had entermined on the subject of this bill, in order to prove to the Senate that it was seriously contemplated by the judges to throw off their circuit duties, upon the ground that they were un-constitutionally imposed upon them. Sir, if every judge has asserted this, I will not say that he is unfit for his station; he stands The language of the constitution is that npon that question alone. The language of the constitution is that the judicial power shall be vested "in one Supreme Court," and insuch inferior courts, as the Congress may from time to time "or-dain and establish." Under this power, it was originally a ques-tion whether the judges of the Supreme Court could be made tion whether the ludges of the Supreme Court gound be made judges of the inferior courts. But it was decided long ago, that they could. Millions and millions of dollars have been decided un-der the law, devolving circuit duties upon them, and many a poor man has expiated his offences under it, and however unwilling the court may generally be to stop counsel in argument, I will venture to say they would not suffer the constitutionality of their law to be questioned before them. Now, sir, permit me to ask these honorable Senators what is to be gained by illiminating such anathemas against the judges of the highest tribinal in the country? What character is to be established by it, binat in the country? What country is to be went reputation, what honor in the eyes of the world, is to be won by it? What practical good will it do among our own citizens; what is to be accomplished by it? It can only confidence of the public in the integrity of the highest tribunal of the country. To shake the confidence of the public in the Senate of the United Stares, bad as that would be, would be nothing in comparison with creating an impression upon the public mind, that the judiciary of the country is not to be trusted. Sir, it has been our pride, and we have just cause to be proud of it, that-as far as our pride, and we have just cause to be proud of it, that—as far as I know—in on single instance has the American judiciary been found to be corruptible. Honor is synonymous with the bench. The people know that they can go there with the certainty that their rights will be protected. They regard the judges as men of the highest learning and ability, governed in all their actions by the strictest write, elevated and adorned by everything which can center moral dignity upon man; yet the two honorable Senators think they are doing a great puber of the strictest write, and they are doing a great puber of the strictest write, and the country, as current, Yor though they do not use the term corruption, they use language that admits of no other meaning. But, suppose it were all true—as it is all false—what armi. ing. But, suppose it were all true—as it is all false—what argument does it furnish against this bill? The Senators propose no change of the system; they suggest no impeachment of the judges; they condemn them without a hearing. What is to become of the suitors before the court? Are their cases to remain unheard, and undecided, because some Senators on this floor doubt the integrity and undeclared, occause some senators on this floor doubt the integrity of the judges? March up, gentlemen, to the lina to which your opinions properly carry you. Get your impeachments ready—bring in the judges here for trial! No such course is dreamed of

Now, sir, as to the labors of the bench. Both the honorable Senntors said, I believe, that they knew nothing of the labors of the bench. The Senator from Arkansas told us that he had seldom been in the Supreme Court. The Senator from Ohio at-tempted to distinguish between the labors of the judges here, and the labors of judges in the inferior courts; and tells us, though he the labors of judges in the interior courts; and tells us, though the knows little of either, that in the latter the duties were much the most oppressive. Why, sir, the Senator has not the most remote idea of the labors of the bench; and I may say it with no dispar-agement to him, because he has pursued a different course of life from the profession of the law, which, of course, he would have adorned had he pursued u. Sir, the labors of these judges are hereulean. Their physical labor breaks them down at the end of six or seven weeks. The arduous labor which he has undergone has alone brought the Chief Justice to the very verge of death, some three or four times since he has been placed upon the bench, one of its most illustrious ornaments. The Senator from South

Carolina, [Mr. BUTLER,] told you of their labors, yesterday. know them, perhaps, still more intimately than he does. They meet at eleven o'clock, and hear arguments until four. They then meet at eleven o'clock, and hear arguments until four. They there retrie to their rooms; dine at five; go mit consultation almost every day at seven; sit until mee, ten, eleven, or rewleve at night in the morning, generally, their onlines are propared, glocks have to be examined, records are to be pored over. Yes, sir, or cords, many of them of themselves almost frightful to look at, even, with n view to the mere reading, which is absolutely needs sary, in order to be certain that no error is made—records of two, sary, in order to be certain that no error is made—records of two, sary, in order to be certain that no error is made—records of two, three, four, or five handred pages to be gone over word by word—authorities without number, owing to the multiplicity of reports to be examined; and no judge does his duty if he does not look at every thing that is exhibited before him. Look at the reports of their decision Every term gives us a volume of eight or nine hundred pages—sometimes two volumes, almost entirely the result of their wown labors. Wely, Mr. President, low do we feel here after one of our long sessions, lasting until seven or eight o'clock at night! Let each one judge of others as he would have other; judge of him. Who leaves this chamber after the excitement of a debate, and particularly after a long session, without being mentally and physically exhausted? Why, during our late scoret sessions when physically exhansted? Why, during our late scoret sessions when a subject relating to a foreign negotiation was occupying our attention, and our sittings extended to eight or nine o'clock at night, what was our situation? A judge of the Supreme Cant is obliged to be up at day-break, having little or no time for relaxation or even sleep. We go to our sleep, and sleep long, and come back here only at 12 o'clock on the following day, having, comparatively, no morning later for the body or the mind. The labors of a former day finds us nevertheless exhausted, and what have we till the control of the cont the with particular man the holy—ne and hold thinking her estimation than I do, he intellectual qualities of its members—hut what are our labors compared with those of the judges? They are nothing, literally nothing. I have seen them, sir, harely able to hold a pen in the morning, because of the utter prostration of the nervous system by the labors of the antecedent night. Yet, compelled to be in court at 11 o'clock-compelled to take up new cases heaped upon them continually, and forced to go through the same routine of labor from day to day until the hour of final adsame routine of labor from day to day until the lour of final adjournment arrives. Sir, they deserve to be honored and applauded instead of aspersed. They have not done more, because they cannot do more. Sir, they are all, and should be all comparatively old men. I do not wish to see young men placed upon the bench of such a tribunal. There is many a crude thought in the mind of a young man which the reflection of riper years enables him to see the folly od. They ought to have arrived at the period when see the folly of. They ought to have arrived at the period when man is found to possess the greatest vigor of mind and a matured experience. What is the result? The mind has become ma-tured, but the body has, in a degree, decayed. The physical strength has been wasted whilst the mental power has increased. No one is able to go through the labor of sitting for ten or twelve weeks in succession, engaged in duties of this description, without sufficient the exhaustion which necessarily follows. I have heard westlers in secession, engaged in duties of this description, without suffering the exhaustion which necessarily follows. I have heard suffering the exhaustion which necessarily follows. I have heard it intimated, that the business of the court may be somewhat the minished by repealing the 28th section of the judiciary act, and by increasing the peeuniary amount which can be carried by a writ of error into the Supreme Court. As to the repeal of that section of the Judiciary act, I hope, sir, it may never be done. It is the most conservative provision in the law. The very Union has, I am snitisfied, been preserved by it. It would not continue in my judgment, even how that most of the constitutional questions of the constitutional questions. tions are supposed to be settled, twenty years without it tions are supposed to be settled, twenty years without it or without some equawlent substitute. Such a remedy as that, therefore, wend be worse, ten thousand times worse than a disease. Any evil, sir, but that most directal of all evils, the disruption of our Union. Loss of freedom is alone to be compared to the loss of the Union. Nor, indeed, can freedom be lost, if the Union is in good faith preserved. But if you do both, that will not get clear of the present evil. It is one which cannot be obviated in any other way than by a law authorizing the judges of that court to give their exclusive attention to the business of the court until it is concluded. At the end of the year, you will find the dockot will be entirely free. As a measure called for imperatively, in order that justice be done between suitors, then this bill or something like it should pass. thing like it should pass.

Mr. ALLEN.—The Senator who has just taken his seat, is not only an able lawyer by the common consent of this whole country, but he is likewise a very adroit and skillin advocate of whatever the property of the country, but he is likewise a very adroit and skillin advocate of whatever the constant of the country of the c

empting them from the performance of a certain portion of their duties. That is the state of this case. The reason assignated that the properties of the competent to discharge the duties present in the properties of the properti

### Mr. BADGER .-- (In his seat,)-Four years ago.

Mr. ALLEN.—Four years ago by express law, we exempted them from a portion of their duties, in order that they might have time to attend to all the business at Washington; and we now ask why have they not completed the docket? To that question the honorable Senator makes no reply, and yet it is the turning point in this case. Although I am not profoundly skilled inlegal matters, or legal advocacy, having withdrawn at a very early age from that profession, and devoted myself to other pursuits, yet I am enough of an advocate to know where the true point of the case lies, and to hold the adverse party to it. I therefore reply to the whole of the argument of the able Senator from Maryland, by asking him again to answer this question. Why dut court not dispense with the spring circuit, and sit six or eight court not dispense with the spring circuit, and six is or eight court in the spring circuit, and six or eight court in the spring circuit and it is the main point here. The court desire by they are docket. It is the main point here. The court desire by they are will have ing been exempted from one half of these duties for three years, the docket is crowded with one hundred and sixty odd cases, how can it be expected that a total exemption from these duties for one year. When the court wear will remedy this cvil?

can it be expected that a total exemption from these duties for one year will remotely this evil.

The honorable Senator from Maryland pronouncers a callogy are will remotely the country of the total expenses. I am one enemy to the Chief Justice, or any other men on the kinds believe that I voted to confirm a majority of the members of that court. I stood by the Chief Justice when he wanted a friend. When he was in need of a friend I was at this back. Then as now I was among the foremost of his friends. I stood by the other judges of that court, when they were before this body, when they large soft that court, when they were before this body, when they indees of that court, when they were before this body, when they indees of that court, when they were before this body, when they indees of that court, when they were before this body, when they indees of that court, when they were before this body, when they indees of that court, when they were before this body, when they indees of a sufficient number of members, to obtain the confirmation of his appointment. And now I stand by all the judges, and likewise by the country. But I am charged with assailing and likewise by the country. But I am charged with assailing and tribunal, because I will not give them the power to do that which the law already empowers them to do, but which they have not done. The honorable Senator from Maryland in his great zeal to defend the court, although not assailed, expressed the opinion that what I have said here amounts to a charge present the opinion that what I have said here amounts to a charge present the opinion that what I have said here amounts to a charge present the opinion that what I have said here amounts to a charge present the opinion that what I have said here amounts to a charge present the opinion that what I have said here amounts to a charge the said of the preceding the senator of the country of the said that the Shift has a country of the said the said of the said that the same time it is plain that they have no

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland.—I had intended to say a word upon the point which the Senator supposes I have not answered. The act of 1844 relieves the judges from attendance upon one circuit, but it does not say whether the spring or the fall circuit shall be the term from which they are to be exempted. The same act Monday in December. Well, some in Washington upon the 1st Monday in December. Well, some the circuit counts are held at such a distance from this city that the circuit counts are held at such a distance from this city that the circuit counts are held at such a distance from this city that the circuit counts are held at such a distance from this city that the first model of the count of the counts and be bere by the first Monday in December. Well, some of the judges are compelled to pass by the fall circuit, other counts and be bere by the first Monday in December. Well in the counts of the c

Mr ALLEN.—In answer to the explanation of the Senator from Maryland, that the circuit court duty is arranged so as to render the act of 1844 ineffective, I would say that this bill ought to be made to amend that act so as to conform the circuits to the assembling of the judges. I prediented my remarks upon the knawer given to the question proposed by me to the chairman of the Judicary Committee, who said that there had been but two er three instances of superior courts being held since the ct of '44. If there be an error then, the error is not mine. If, however, the

Senator was right in the statement that there had been but one or Schalor was right in the statement that there had need not one or two instances of more than one term being held in each court since this act was passed, it is a sufficient answer to the argument of the Senator from Maryland, that this act conflicted with the holdthe Senator from snaryman, unat unis ace commettes with the con-ing of these coorts. I deemed it necessary to make these obser-vations without going into the general matter, and would now only make one remark. When this discussion first commenced, I shall and the french coil to and I adminished the friends of this pall and the french of this court, that if they whiled to see this tri-lable and the french of this court, that if they whiled to see this tribonal retain its present organization, they should do nothing to cut it loose from its communication with the States and the people. I stated to them the circuit feature of the system was the only one that connected the Supreme Court of the United States with the people of the States; that it was to that extent something of a popular institution, and that upon that feature rested the strength of the popularity of the court with the country, and that the moment they separated the tribunal from its intercourse with the ment they separate the triotinal from its intercourse with the States and the people of this Union, and gave it a central, sepa-rate, isolated existence, as a sort of Washington star chamber, that moment they would find the public mind calling for a reform in the judicial system. That was the language which I held at the beginning of this discussion, and every thing that has transpired since, confirms me in the justice of that view.

Mr. BUTLER.—For myself I can speak of one of the judges of the Supreme Court, Judge Wayne. It will be no favor to him to pass this bill. He has performed circuit duty during the last fall, and I may say the same of several others. It is not to re circuit duties, that they desire the passage of this lieve them of measure. Whilst up allow me to say that in speaking of the nominees of the President, it was entirely in reference to such as nominees of the President, it was entirely in reference to such as had been referred to by the honorable chairman from the Commit-tee on the Judiciary. He spoke of them as unworthy magistrates as imbecile and incompetent. In my remark I had reference to the observations of the honorable chairman himself, and I did not wish to be understood as having gratuitously made any charges against the character of any gentleman.

Mr. BADGER next addressed the Senate.-He alluded to the Mr. BADGER next nouressed the Sounder-rie annuce to the various matters which had been in his opinion unimportant, which had been irregularly brought forward in connection with the bill. It was solely with reference to the merits of the measure itself, that he desired to occupy a very few moments of the time of the If any other gentleman designed to attribute corrupt or unworthy motives to the judges of the Supreme Court, he tainly disclaimed any such design, or any such feeling. His or His opposi tainly disclaimed any such design, or any sach reenig. In opposi-tion to the bill was based upon the principle which he had stated in his remarks a few days since. It was because he was in the highest sense of the term a friend of the court, that he opposed the bill. He believed that it was essential to the efficiency of that court, that the judges should attend to circuit duties. The fact that the exemption from one circuit in the year had not prevented the accumulation of business in the Central Court, afforded abundant evidence that the remedy proposed by the bill would be uneffectual, except upon the view presented by his friend from Maryland, which he would presently notice. If the judges commencing in December had occupied that month, and the five succeeding mouths in the sessions of the Supreme Court, commencing in 1845, pursuing it in 1846, and continuing it in 1847, it was impossible to avoid the execution, that these area housdood. conviction that these one hundred and sixty odd cases could not have been left upon the docket. Nay, there could not have been forty cases left upon the docket. But it was stated by the Senaforty cases left upon the docar. But it was stated by the Scient tor from Maryland, that by the continued term of the court the judges became broken down and exhausted in body and mind. Be it so—could they not have taken relaxation by keeping the court formally open, adjourning from day to day, for a month, if necessary? Again it was argned that some of the fall circuits were so late that the judges could not attend to them, and be here in Decem-Could there not have been judges enough to form a quorum ber. on the first Monday in December, or suppose that for the first fortnight in that month, they could not attend to business, still was there not the remedy open to themselves of continuing these sessions to the spring? It was then, to his mind, very evident that the act of 1844 might have been adequate to relieve the docket. the act of 1844 might have been accidanct to relieve the docket. He would be very sorry to imagine that the judges were capable of wilful neglect of their duties. He felt more and more con-vinced of the propriety of the views which he had expressed the other day, with regard to their permission of idle and unprofitable discussion. He was confident that all members of the bar of cha-racter and intelligence would acquiesce in the adoption of any rule by which the arguments before the court should be restrained by which the arguments neture the court should be restrained within the limits. As for the demunications of the press, to which the honorable Senator had alluded, he thought that was a consideration not deserving of any attention in the present case. The judges had been made independent in order that they might act cuttively irrespective of any such influence. He had heard nothing in the course of the debate which cnee. He had heard nothing in the course of the debate which satisfied hum that there was any necessity for the passage of the bill. The Senator from Kentucky had remarked, that the judges had the power to omit their circuit duties, and that this bill was only designed to compel them to do so. Certainly the judges could not have misunderstood the purport of the act of 1841, which was passed at their instance, and which sufficiently expressed the will of the legislature. It seemed to him that the power of the court was already ample to relieve it of the accumulation of business, and believing, as he had already said, that the natural and obvious tendency of the measure now before the Senate, if adopted,

will be the separation of the judges from the daily legal business of the constry—to sbut them out from the view of the people, whose rights they dr vermined—and to produce the most delete-rious consequences; he, therefore, must vote against it.

Mr. ASHLEY then briefly summed up the arguments which he had advanced against the bill, and replied to the objections which had been urged in its favor. The remarks of the bonorable Senator will be found embodied in his speech on this subject, in the Appendix.

Mr. CRITTENDEN .- If there were any other consideration than my anxiety to have a decision upon this bill, it would induce me than my anxiety to have a decision upon this but, it would induce the to refrain from making any lengthened observations. I will endeavor, in a very few calm remarks to-day, to close what I have to say upon the subject; and I would embrace this opportunity to say, that if any of the observations which I have made in the course of the debate on this bill, have given offence in any quarter, I regret it very sincerely. I have never seen a measure, say, time to course of the debate on this bill, nave government, I regret it very sineerely. I have never seen a measure, quarter, I regret it very sineerely. I have never seen a measure, sir, which seems to me so unimportant and inconsequential in itself, excite so much alarm. The bill does not propose to alter or change the present federal judicial system of the United States in change the present federal judicial system of the United States in the slightest degree. The measure is intended similarly and has not tendency to ply, as one of relief—of temporary relief—and has no tendency to any disturbance of the system, and yet it seems to strike upon the any disturbance of the system, and yet it seems to strike upon the minds of wise men—I do not speak ironically—with serious alarm, Well, what is the bill which threatens to make the Supreme Court judges exclusively the judges of that court, and to lead to the establishment of another set of judges for the performance of circuit ditties! Four years ago, the Congress of the United States sensible, that at the ordinary term of that court, they could not despatch the business, pass d the law referred to by the gentleman spatch the business, pass of the law referred to by the gentleman from North Carolina, providing that the judges should omit one circuit, and employ the time which would have been thus occupied, in the discharge of the duties of the Supreme Court, giving them discretion in the matter. That law has failed to produce the in-tended effect. I charge the judges with no neglect; I vindicate them from no charge of neglect. It is an admitted fact, that the bill had no sort of effect.

It has been argued that they might have continued in session until all the business was done, but that is not the meaning of the

law according to my views

It is argued that if the judges had thought it necessary, or had It is argued that if the judges had thought it necessary, or had considered that their Supreme Court duties were more important than those of the circuit court, they would have continued the session. The argument is that it was upon a sense of duty that they went to their circuits. I ask gentlemen if there is no other way of accounting for it! I ask those gentlemen especially, who are desirous that indulgence should be extended to the court, can they not imagine that after a session of three months and a half, the judges may have been so much exhausted as to have felt selves incapable of continuing their labors in the Supreme Court?

May not this be a reason of their adjournment? There may have those among the judges who thought it best to go on with the business of the Supreme Court, but there were were disposed to attend to their circuit duties. In t In this way the law has proved inoperative—it has not prolonged the session of the court a single hour. They adjourn just in time to go to their circuits, court a single hour. They adjourn just in time to go to their circuits, as before. It furnishes a cause, or apology, for adjourning. The intility, then, of your law, so long as it leaves them a choice to

utility, then, of your law, so long as it leaves them a enoise to adjourn or not, at their pleasure, is apparent.

What does this bill propose? Not to give a choice to the judges, in the matter—not to make it dependent upon circumstances whether they shall continue their labors in the Supreme Court or whether they shall continue their moors in the supretile Court or not; but it declares that they shall come here and confine their attention to the duties of the Supreme Court until the docket is discharged, if it can be done within the passage of one year. Now, what objection can there be to the passage of such a bill? Whether the net of 1844, to which reference has seen made, was passed, there was no such sensitiveness manifested; there were none of those constitutional arguments which we now hear; there were then no invectives against the court. The subject was calmly then no invectives against the court. The subject was calmly considered. Congress was of opinion that a remedy was necessa ry, and they determined to provide the remedy, by dispensing with one term of their circuit duties. That remedy has proved ineffi-cient, and the reason is apparent—because it was left discretionary with the judges to proceed with their Supreme Court duties, or not, as they pleased. If you wish to render the remedy effec-tual, say, in the language of this bill, that the business of the Su-

preme Court shall receive their undivided attention.

Gentlemen argue that the court can apply the remedy by a cur-

Gentlemen argue that the court can apply the remedy by a curtainment of argument, and a strict application to business.—
Well, this is a matter wholly within the discretion of the court, and one which we enanot well control. But I should hope, now that the subject has engaged public attention, that a greater economy of time will be practised when they again meet, and I have no doubt the business of the court will be desnatched in such nave no order the distincts of the court will be desplatched in such a manner as to give entire satisfaction. I believe the judges will come here anxious to accomplish the purposes which Congress has arowed to be so desirable. By this bill Congress declares to them, "we are not disposed to seperate you from your circuit duties; we intend no change in the system; we intend only to apply a particular remedy for an existing cvil; we do not want the reproach to exist, that justice is delayed; we would have you come together and continue in session mutil this business is trans-acted. That is the purport of the bill. It is declared by all, that there is no intention to make a permanent change in the system; and yet there is a singular sensitiveness, a singular sensibility on the part of some gentlemen who are afraid—who seem determined to be afraid, that it will lead to some consequences that are not reasonably connected with it. It is a matter of mere apprehension. These are feelings that I have no doubt arise that the control of the sense of the sens

sequence as far as I humbly conceive to the principles of the government, or to any principles touching our judicial system. Sir, I have occupied more time than I had intended; I am much more anxious for the decision, in order that we may have done with the question, than for anything else.

The question was then taken by yeas and nays, and was determined as follows:

YEAS—Messs, Bell, Berrien, Breese, Butler, Crittenden, Davis, of Massachusetts, Greene, Hannegan, Haoter, Johnson, of Maryland, Mangam, Mason, Miller, Phelyn, Navier, Maryland, Mangam, Mason, Miller, Phelyn, NAVS—Mess, Alben, Abhley, Akhreton, Bagler, Baglve, Becomon, Bright, Calhoon, Cameron, Cass, Corvin, Davis, of Mistisuppi, Dav, Felch, Foote, Hale, Houtton, Johoson, of Georgiu, kewis, Moor, Niles, Syranace, Tunney-

Mr. BADGER then gave notice that he should move to go into Executive business to morrow at one o'clock, on a matter that was of some importance.

On motion.

The Senate adjourned.

# WEDNESDAY, APRIL 19, 1848.

#### PETITIONS

Mr. NILES presented the petition of William Pennoyer, a revolutionary soldier, praying to be allowed a pension; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

# On motion by Mr. WESTCOTT, it was

sideration; which was ordered to be printed

Ordered, That John S. Harris bave leave to withdraw his petition and papers.

#### CUBA VESSELS.

Mr. WESTCOTT submitted the following resolution for con-

Resolved. That the Committee on Finance he instructed to bring he a bill repealing.

"An set concerning teaning day on Spanish wested," passed Jase 20, 1944, or to he are a set of the contraction of the Carlest States upon the same fooding as to forming duties, or the wested of other foreign contracts are allowed to trade between the ports of their centry and the prior of the Carlest States.

Mr. HALE gave notice that on to morrow be will ask leave of the Senate to introduce a bill relating to riots and unlawful assemblages in the District of Columbia.

## EVANS' SAFETY GUARD.

Mr. DIX, from the Committee on Commerce, to whom was referred the petition of citizens of the United States, praying that all steam vessels may be compelled to carry "Evans' safety guard," submitted a report, which was ordered to be printed.

The Senate proceeded to consider said report, by unanimous consent, and in concurrence therewith, it was

Ordered, That the Committee be discharged from the further consideration of the petition.

# WASHINGTON GAS LIGHT COMPANY.

Mr. CAMERON, from the Committee on the District of Columbia, to whom was referred the petition of J. F. Callan and others, reported a bill to incorporate the Washington Gas Light Company; which was read and passed to the second reading.

# INSURANCE COMPANY AND SAVINGS INSTITUTION.

Mr. CAMERON, from the same Committee, to whom was re-ferred the bill to incorporate the Washington Mutual Insurance Company and Savings Institution, reported it without amendment

## ADVERSE REPORTS.

Mr. UNDERWOOD, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the petition of Richard P. Dove, submitted an ad-verse report; which was ordered to be printed.

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the petition of John S. Harris, late deputy collector at Providence, Rhode Island, submitted an adverse report; which was ordered to be printed.

### GRADUATION BILL.

The Senate 'proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill to reduce and graduate the price of the public lands, and for other purposes; and

# On motion by Mr. BREESE, it was

Ordered, That the further consideration thereof be postponed to, and made the order of the day for, Monday, the first day of May next.

### ILLINOIS RAIL EOAD.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill granting to the State of Illinois the right of way and a donation of public land for making a railroad connecting the Upper and Lower Mississippi with the chain of Northern Lakes at Chicago; and

## On motion by Mr. DOUGLAS, it was

Ordered, That the further consideration thereof be postponed to, and made the special order of the day for, Wednesday, the 3d day of May next.

### CHANGE OF NAME.

On motion by Mr. BERRIEN, the prior orders were suspended and the Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill from the House of Representatives to change the name of Photius Kavasales to that of Photius Fisk.

Mr. ASHLEY .-- It is not my intention to offer any formal op-

position to this bill, but merely to state the objection I entertains position to this office put merely to state the conjection I entertaint which is this—I think the act is entirely unnecessary. I have no doubt that this individual has now full authority by law to change his name if he pleases; to legislate therefore is idle. It was for this reason that I opposed this bill in committee.

Mr. BERRIEN .- This bill finds a precedent in the action of Congress in relation to one of the commanders of the American navy. The individual who is an applicant here, is a citizen of the District of Columbia. He is a Chaplain in the navy, and from the District of Columbia. He is a Chaplain in the navy, and from the incrementance of his being an orphan boy-having become so at the age of four or five years—he was adopted and educated by an American missionary, whose name he now asks to adopt, as an evidence of his gratitude. Since it finds precedents in former legislation—since it can be attended with no injury to any body—since it is to gratify a feeling laudable in itself, I hope the bill will be allowed to pass.

No amendment being made, the bill was reported to the Senate Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time, by unanimous consent.

Resolved. That this bill pass, end that the title thereof be as afore-aid

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

## COUNTY SEAT IN FLORIDA.

On motion by Mr. UNDERWOOD, the prior orders were postponed, and the bill to confirm the location, and grant a quarter section of the public land for the county site of Hilbsborough county, State of Florida, was read the second time and considered as in Committee of the Whole, and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engressed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time, by unanimous consent.

Resolved, That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

### MESSAGES FROM THE HOUSE

The following message was received from the House of Rapresentatives, by Mr. CAMPBELL, their Clerk:

sentatives, by Mr. CAMPBELL, 1000 TOFTS.

Mr. Presdent; I am discreted to inform the Senate that a motion has been made to-day, under a raise of the Bloose, to reconside: the vote of the Bloose on yesterday, passing the Senate's hall entitled "An act to a noned the accentricled "An act to reduce the notes of posting; to limit the use and common the accentricled "An act to reduce the notes of posting; to limit the use and common the post of the Bloomer's posting the senate of the post of the Bloomer's post of the Senate, and which was yeterday transmitted to the Senate, and respectfully to request the return of "and bill and amendments to the Bloose for the action of the sum motion."

## On motion by Mr. BADGER, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads be discharged from the further consideration of said bill and amendments

# On motion by Mr. BADGER, it was

Ordered, That the Secretary return said bill and amendments to the House of Representatives agreeably to the request of that House communicated this day.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. CAMPBELL, their Clerk :

Mr. President: The House of Representatives have passed an act to authorize the etizons of Ozark county, Missouri, to enter less than a quarter section of land for the sect of jistine in said county; in which they request the concurrence of the Senate

The said bill was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and it was

Ordered, That it be referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

### THE SPECIAL ORDER.

Mr. ASHLEY moved that the Sennts proceed to the considera tion of the special order of the dny; being, he remarked, the bill to carry into effect certain previsions in the treaties between the United States and China and the Ottoman Porte.

Mr. BADGER .- I shall not oppose the motion if the subject does not lead to debate. If it does, I will move that it be passed over in order that I may make the motion, of which I apprised the Senate yesterday, to go into Executive session.

Mr. ASHLEY.—I hope that the special order will be taken up: it can, if there should be debate, be passed by informally.

Mr. CASS.—I hope it will not be taken up. There is other business which ought to precede it. The bill for raising volunteers has been long deferred.

Mr. HALE.—I would like to be informed by the honorable Scnator from Michigan, if he has heard any news from Mcxico which makes it expedient or necessary to pass that hill? Is the country threatened with any imminent danger in ease; it is not passed? Is there likely to be an invasion of this country by Mexico?

Mr. CASS. (jocularly.)—There may be to-morrow or the next day if this bill is not passed.

Mr. ASHLEY.—This bill was made the special order some weeks ago, and I have been endeavoring, from time to time, to bring it up. It is a matter which is deemed of very considerable importance by the administration, that the action of Congress should be had upon this bill, or some one of a similar character, in order that it may be sent out by the vessel which the government is about to despatch to China.

The motion of Mr. Ashley was agreed to.

# NEW YORK AND NEW ORLEANS STEAMERS.

The VICE PRESIDENT announced that the special order of the day was, the bill extending privileges to American vessels engaged in a certain mentioned trade, and for other purposes; and that the question was upon ordering it be engrossed and rend a third time.

Mr. ASHLEY.—That is not the bill to which my motion refers, but I have no objection to taking it up for the purpose of having the vote of the Senate upon it, provided there be no debate.

The VICE PRESIDENT stated that the bill was the first special order, as unfinished business.

Mr. HALE.—This hill was postponed at my request. I have since examined it, and have no objection to it; I hope it will pass.

The consideration of the bill having been resumed, it was

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read the third time.

The said bill was read a third time, by unanimous consent.

The said bill was read a third time, by unanimous consent Resolved, That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

#### JUDICIAL POWERS TO MINISTERS AND CONSULS.

The VICE PRESIDENT announced that the second special order was the bill to earry into effect certain provisions in the treatites between the United States and China, and the Ottoman Porto, giving certain judicial powers to Ministers and Consuls of the United States in those countries.

The bill having been partially read-

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts.—I suggest to the honorable chairman of the Judiciary Committee the inexpediency of reading tits bill further. He was kind enough a few days since to ask my opinion in regard to it, and I feel bound to declare to the Seans that I am entirely convinced that it will require very considerable amendment before the bill can be made to answer the purpose which it is designed, and it involves greater difficulties than I was aware of until I examined into it. It is simply an attempt on a part, under the treaty with the empire of China, to extend the jurisdiction and laws of the United States over our citizens and other persons there to some extent by establishing a judicial system of our own within the territory of China. It is a very complicated and delicate subject, and one difficult to be disposed of. I have been in conference with my friend the Senator from South Carolina in regard to this subject, and I believe that be concurs with me in thinking it a difficult and complicated subject. I trust, however, there are no insuperable difficulties, and that a bill may be framed to answer the purpose designed to be accountliked by the subject of the contract of t

30TH CONG.-1ST SESSION-No. 65.

liberation. I hope the honorable chairman of the Judiciary Committee will allow us a little more time to consider of the matter, and consent that the bill be postponed until a future day.

Mr. ASHLEY.—I did not intend, when I made the motion to take up this bill, to insist upon the immediate action of the Senate upon it. I am aware of the difficulty which surrounds the case, and the more I have examined it as more than the convergence of the difficulty which surrounds the case, and the more I have examined it as more than the convergence of the difficulty of the tendence of the difficulty o

Mr. DAVIS—I hepo the honorable Senator does not suppose that I have the slightest inclination to delay the consideration of this bill. I see the necessity there is for the passage of some law of this kind, but I see also the difficulties that exist. I have not been able to mature my own views in regard to it, and an not at all prepared to enter into the discussion of it at this time.

Mr. BUTLER.—I have investigated this subject, as well as the documents with which I was furnished, neabled me to do, and I have become satisfied, that it is essentially requisite that any enactment that we may make in regard to it, should be well matured. The purpose of the bill is to make a code of laws for our citizens in China, a code to be administered by persons who are not lawyers. It is a matter then which requires caution. I hope that a day will be set apart for its consideration, and that every Sonator who takes an interest in the subject, will be present when it is considerated.

Mr. BAJGER —I hope the bill will be passed by informally, but before I make a motion to that effect, I beg to suggest to the honorable Chairman of the Judiciary Committee, that there is a very serious difficulty at the very commencement of the formation of such an enactment as this. The ruls by which it is proposed by this bill, that contracts shall be decided, and crimes pumshed, is the common law, and the statute haw of the United States. Now it is perfectly well known, that the United States has no common law of its own.

I move that the bill be passed by informally.

Mr. ASHLEY.—It would be better to have some day fixed for its consideration.

Mr. BADGER.-I have no objection to that.

Mr. DAVIS.—I suggest to the honorable Chairman that I could probably submit such views as I entertain upon the subject as soon as Monday next.

Mr. ASHLEY.—I am quite willing that it should be postponed until Monday next, and then made the special order of the day.

On motion by Mr. ASHLEY, it was

Ordered, That the further consideration of said hill be postponed to, and made the order of the day for, Monday next, the 24th instant.

## EXECUTIVE SESSION.

On motion by Mr. BADGER, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of Executive business, and after some time spent therein, the doors were opened and,

The Senate adjourned.

# THURSDAY, APRIL 20, 1848.

RESOLUTION OF THE LEGISLATURE OF MISSISSIPPI.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, presented a resolution passed by the Legislature of that State, approxim the measures adopted by the government in the presecution of the war with Mexico, and in favor of the adoption of measures for its speedy termination; which was laid upon the table and ordered to be printed.

#### PETITIONS.

Mr. DIX presented a petition of citizens of the United States praying that the process of ventilating passenger ships may not be confined, by law, to the use of any particular apparatus; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce.

On motion by Mr. BELL, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on Indian Affairs be discharged from the further consideration of the memorial of the Western Cherokee Indians.

#### TERRITORY OF MINASOTA

Mr. DOUGLAS, from the Committee on Territories, to whom was referred the bill to establish the territorial government of Minasota, reported it without amendment.

The Senate proceeded to consider said bill; and

On motion by Mr. DOUGLAS, it was

Ordered, That the further consideration thereof be postponed to, and made the special order of the day for, Wednesday, the 26th instant.

## TERRITORY OF NEBRASKA.

Mr. DOUGLAS, from the Committee on Territories, to whom was referred the bill to establish the territory of Nebraska, reported it without amendment.

The Senate proceeded to consider said bill; and

On motion by Mr. DOUGLAS, it was

Ordered, That the further consideration thereof be postponed to, and made the special order of the day for, Wednesday, the 26th instant

# TERRITORY OF OREGON.

On motion by Mr. DOUGLAS, the prior orders were postponed, and the Senate proceeded to the consideration of the bill to establish the territorial government of Oregon; and it was

Ordered, That the further consideration thereof be postposed to, and made the special order of the day for, Wednesday, the 26th

# THE SUPREME COURT.

Mr. BADGER, by unanimous consent, asked and obtained supreme Court and to repeal the 2d section of business in the Supreme Court and to repeal the 2d section of the act approved June 17th, 1844, entitled "An act concerning the Supreme Court of the United States?" which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on the Judicary.

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, it was Ordered, That said bill be printed.

THE CALIFORNIA CLAIMS.

On motion by Mr. BENTON, it was

Ordered, That the amendments reported by the Committee on Military Affairs to the bill for ascertaining and paying the California Claims, be printed.

MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT.

The following message was received from the President of the United States, by Mr. WALKER, his Secretary:

Mr. President. The President of the United States approved and signed, the 19th instant, the act to authorize the extung of a reguler to the long Palmetto.

ADJOURNMENT OVER.

On motion, it was

Ordered, That when the Senate adjourn, it be to Monday next.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. BALE asked leave to introduce a bill relating to riots and unlawful assembles in the District of Columbia.

Mr. HALE -I wish to make a single remark, in order to call

the attention of the Senate to the necessity of adopting the legislatuon proposed by this bill. The bill itself is nearly an abstract of a similar law low in force in the adjoining State of Maryland, and also in many other States of the Union. The necessity for the passage of the bill will be apparent to the Senate from facts which are probably notions to every member of the body. Within the present week harlows the paper of the body. Within the present week harlows the paper of the body. Within the present week harlows the paper of the body. Within the present week harlows the paper of the body. Within the present week harlows the paper of the body. Within the present week harlows the paper of the body. Within the present week the paper of the pape

Mr. BAGBY.—I rise for the purpose of giving notice that whenever that bill shall be reported by the committee—if it ever should be—I shall propose to amend it by a section providing a sofficient peoalty for the crime of kidnapping in this District. If was struck by a remark made by the Senator from New Hampshire. He adverts to the rejoicing of the people of this country at the events uow in progress in Europe, and thence infers that the slaves of this country are to be permitted to cut the throats of their masters. I shall certainly, sir, attend to this subject.

Mr. HALE.—To avoid misapprehension I purposely abstained from saying a word in regard to any thing that might even be supposed to lie beyond the case which it is the object of this bill to met. I did not make the most distant allusion to slavery. I refrained from it purposely, because I wanted to present to the consideration of the Senate the simple question of the integrity of the law and the rights of property unembarrassed by considerations of the character albuded to by the honorable Senator from Alabama. I shall cordially unite with that honorable Senator in favor of a law against kidnapping; because, if I am correctly informed by individuals upon whose testimony I place the most implier credit. one of the most outrageous cases of kidnapping was committed within sight of this Capitol, no longer ago than yesterday, and that too in the case of an individual having in his packet an injunction issued by the highest judicial authority in this sense from molecting him. Yet, invidualism of that ringuard to only uniter needeet, but of lagrant cootempt of the most sacred guaranties of the constitution. This outrage was perpetrated guaranties of the constitution. This outrage was perpetrated within the limits of the city, in the very neighborhood of this Capitol. I will go then with the Senator from Alabama heart and hand in the adoption of any legislation for the prevention of such outrages; but I must say, that that is very foreign to the object of the bill which I have introduced.

Mr. BENTON.—There is some very pressing business awaiting the action of the Senate, and I do not know that the remarks which have been made are applicable to any motion pending at present. May I ask if there be any question pending?

The PRESIDING OFFICER.—The question is, "Shall the Senator from New Hampshire have leave to introduce his bill?"

Mr. CALHOUN,-What is the bill?

The PRESIDING OFFICER.—The bill will be read.

The Secretary then read the bill which is as follows:

A Bill relating to riots and unlawful assembles in the District of Columbia

A not recovery or now a non-universal recommon in the District of Commons. Be elemented, See.—That from an after the passes of this act, that if a nay county or incorporated town or only of the Daspie of Columbia, any chunch, change, have a proper or bandling, used or the client of the property of the common of the property of the common of the Section 2.—And best further exacted, That in any suit instituted under this orl, the plaintiff or plaintiffs may declare generally, and give the special matter in evidence.

in CALHOUN.—I suppose no Senator can mistake the object of this bill, and the occurrence which has led to its introduction of the country of the senator from New Hampshire should have so little regard for the laws and the constitution of the country, as to introduce such a bill as this, without including in it the enactment of the severest penalties, against the atrocious act which has occasioned this excitement. Sir, gentlemen, it would seem, hint and last come to believe that the Southern people and Southern members have lost all sensibility or feeling upon this subject. I know to what this leads. I have known for a dozen of years to what all this is tending. When this subject was first agitted, I said to work of the subject of t

that I do not stand alone in these views.

I have for so many years raised my voice upon this subject, that I have been considered almost the exclusive defender of this great I have been considered almost the exclusive defender of this great institution of the South, upon which not only its prosperity, but its very existence depends. I had hoped that younger members who have come into this body, who represent portions of the country at least as much interested and the standard of the country at least as much interested the standard of the country at least as much interested the standard of the country at least as much interested the standard of the country at least as much interested the standard of the country at least as much interested the standard of the country at least as much interested in the standard of the st

Mr. WESTCOTT.—I am not going to make a speech on this bill, for the simple reason that I intend, after a few observations, to move to lay this motion for leave to introduce the bill, upon the table, to stop debate, and ask for the yeas and mays.

Mr. CALHOUN .- The bill is not yet introduced.

Mr. WESTCOTT.—The Senator from New Hampshire asks leave to introduce the bill, and I move to lay it upon the table.

 $Mr.\ CALHOUN.\mbox{--}Better\ reject\ it.\ I\ trust\ we\ will\ meet\ it\ directly,\ and\ reject\ it.$ 

Mr. WESTCOTT.—I did not understand the honorable Senator from South Carolina; but, now that I do, I am perfectly willing to adopt his suggestion.

Mr. CALHOUN.—I would greatly prefer to meet the motion directly and reject it.

Mr. WESTCOTT.—I have no objection to that, and had taken but another mode of attaining that object. In answer to the suggestion of the bonorable Senator from South Carolina. that it was the duty of other Senators, representing the South, to speak on this matter, I will state one reason only why I could not do so. I could not trust my own feelings when I heard the Senator from New Hampshire introduce this bill. Sir, there has been no outbreak—no violence in this District. There has been mo outbreak—no violence in this District for the purpose of a sailing slave come into this District for the purpose of a sailing slave owners in the peaceable enjoyment of their property, secured to them by the constitution which we have all sworn to support. There has been public indignation manifested by an as-

semblage of those who have been thus wronged, but has there been any violence as yet 2—my destruction of property 3 No. It may be wondered that there has not been. And when the Scantor from New Hampshire proclaims that there is dauger of this, I call upon him for his testimony in relation to this matter. Where does he get the evidence that any portion of the property of citizens of this District is to be burned down or destreyed? I was present last District. I heard law officers of this District and other gentlemen speak on the occasion, but I heard nothing by any means so necendiary as I have heard since the honorable Senator from New Hampshire took his seat upon this floor. It is true, indignation was expressed, but leading citizens of this District and slave-hold ers declared that they were averse to any net of over violence; indeed, this assemblage which has been called a turnultuous mob, peaceably appointed a committee of fifty citizens to wait on the editor and request him to remove what they supposed to be an incendiary publication which had provoked this excitement. I have only to say, sir, that I readily yield to the suggestion of the honorable Senator from South Carolina.

Mr. D.AVIS, of Mississippi.—The Senator from South Carolina has remarked that he expected that younger members of this body would notice the motion of the Senator from New Hampshire to introduce a bill the purpose of which is the protection of incendiaries and kidnappers. It will that honorable Senator, but from deference to him who has so long and so nobly stood foremost in the defence of the institutions of the South, that I remaines silent. It was rather that I wish to follow him than that I did not feel the indignation which he has so well expressed. The time has come when Congress should interpose the legislation necessary for the punishment of those men who come within our purisdiction, acting in fact and in morals as incendiaries—coming here within the legislative limits of Congress, to steal a pertion of that preporty which is recognized as such by the constitution of this bright in the control of the steady of the constitution of the state of the control of the state of

Mr. FOOTE.—On the 4th of March, 1837, the American people of all parties assembled at this capitol for the purpose of witnessing the inauguration of a President of the United States. That President was a northern ama. I bad the honor of listening to his inaugural speech, and in it he wisely and patriotically asserted a principle of which I approved at the time, which I still admire, and which has a close affinity to the question so suddenly presented to this body. Martin Van Buren dared to declare in his industry and the sole of the still admire and the sole of th

passec.

The attempt to legislate directly upon this subject in the national councils is at war with the constitution, repugnant to all principles of good faith, and violative of all sentiments of the principles of good faith, and violative of all sentiments of the constitution of the

given his countenance to this transaction in any shape, who is not capable of committing grand larceny; or, if he happened to be a hero, as such men are not, of perpetrating highway robbery on any of the roads of this Union. He is not a gentleman. He would not be countenanced by any respectable person anywhere. He is amenable to the law. I go farther, and I dare say my sentiments will meet the approbation of many even who do not live in lave States, and I maintain, that when the arm of the law is too short to reach such a criminal, he may be justly punished by a sovereignty not known to the law. Such proceedings have taken place, and there are circumstances which not only instigate, but justify such acts. I am informed upon evidence on which I rely, that this very movement out of which the bill originates, has been instigated and sanctioned by persons in high station. It is even rumored, and it is believed by many—I am sorry for the bonor of this blody to say so—that a Senator of the United for the bonor of this body to say so—that a Senator of the United States is concerned in the movement. Certain it is that a member of another body, nucetting in a certain Hall, not far distant, was yesterday morning engaged in certain reprehensible contrivances, and that but for his abject flight from the place of his infamous mtrigues, he would have been justly punished—not by the mob-but by high spirited citizens convened for the purpose of vindicattheir rights, thus unjustly assailed.

Why is it that this question is continually agitated in the Senate of the United States—that it is kept here as the subject of perpetual discussion? Is it simply that gentlemen wish to be popular val discussion? Is it simply that gentlemen wish to be popular at home? I suppose so. Is it because of their peculiar sympathies for that portion of the population which constitutes slavery as recognised in the South? What is the motive? Is the object to attain popularity? Is it to gain high station? Is it to keep up a lead excitement in some portions of the North, with the view of obtaining political elevation as the reward of such factions conduct? But I care not for the motives of such acts. I under-conduct? But I care not for the motives of such acts. I undertake to say that in no country where the principles of honesty are respected, would such a movement as that now attempted, be promoted, or even countenanced for a moment. I feel bound on this occasion to say that the bill proposed, could not have any good object. What does it deelare? It declares that any attempt on the part of the people of this District, through the only means which they may have in their power, to protect their property, and prevent it from being taken from them, either by steadth or open robbery, shall subject them to be muleted in heavy pecuniary damages! It amounts then to thus, that if hereafter any occursimilar to that which has recently disgraced the District should happen, and the good people of the District should assem-ble and proceed to the vessel in which their property had been placed, and the captain of which had become the agent in the nelarious transaction, and should then and there dare to use the only manine to prevent that seed from suffice, and their property from being taken away before their eyes, they would be compelled to pay heavy pecuniary damages. It is a bill, then, obviously included to over and protect negro stealing. It is a Bill for the encouragement and immunity of robbery! That is its true chance, and whatever opinion the gentleman's own self-sufficiency may induce him to entertain of his own conduct on this occasion, I only tell him now the judgment which every bonest man will pronounce upon it. If the object of the Senator was as I have described it, and as is apparent on the face of the bill, he is as guilty as if he had committed highway robbery. I regret that I am obliged to use harsh terms, but they are true. The Senator from South Carolina asserted with great truth, that the time had come when the South should not only let her voice be heard, but disclose to all her enemies that she not only knows her rights, but "knowing, dare maintain them"—maintain them by all constitutional means—by all legal expedients—if necessary by bloodshed. The Senator from New Hampshire is evidently attempting to get up a sort of civil war in the country, and is evidently tilled with the spirit of naturection and incendiarism. He may bring about a result which will end in the spilling of human blood. I say to him, however, let him come forward boldly and take the proper responsibility. Let him say, "Now I am ready to do battle in behalf of the liberties of my friends the blacks, the slaves of the District of Columties of my friends the blacks, the slaves of the District of Columbia." Let him buckle on his armor—let him unsheath his sword, and at once commence the context, and I have no doubt he will have a fair opportunity of skedding his blood in this holy cause on the sacred sorl of the District of Columbia. If he is really in carnets, he is bound, as a conscientions man, to pursue his course which cannot be persevered in, without all those awful scenes of bloodshed and desolation long anticipated by good men in every part of this republic. When, I ask, was it that southern men ever undertook to invade the quiet and happiness of the North? I hope I may be pardoned in making this suggestion. I do not wish to institute any invidious comparisons. I thank Heaven I have an abiding confidence in the good sense, the virtuous patriotism, and regard for the rights of property, of my northern brethren; and I believe that there are many of them, of both parties, who are perfectly sound upon this question; and who will condemn the act of terry some upon the destroy, and who will content the act of this morning. The South has been forbearing. She has exercised more than complaisance—more than forbearance. But when, I ask, has any southern man, occupying a seat in either House of Congress, attempted to interfere with any local interests in the North?

All must see that the course of the Senator from New Hampshire is calculated to embroil the confederacy—to put in peril our free institutions—to jeopardize that Union which our lorefathers established, and which every pure patriot throughout the country

desires shall be perpetuated. Can any man be a patriot who pursues such a course? Is he an enlightened friend of freedom, or even a judicious friend of those with whom he affects to sympathize, who adopts such a course? Who does not know that such men are practically the worst enemies of the slaves? I do not beseech the gentleman to stop; but if he perseveres, he will awa-ken indignation everwhere, and it cannot be that enlightened men, who conscienciously belong to the faction at the north, of which he is understood to be the head, can sanction or approve every thing that he may do under the influence of excitement, in this thing and all disher because the control of the con sibly escape the just indignation of good men throughout this re-public—let him visit the good State of Mississippi in which I have the honor to reside, and no doubt he will be received with such hosannas and shouts of joy as have rarely marked the reception of assumes an issues of by as awe rately market our electrons any individual in this day and generation. I fruite him there, and will tell him beforehand in all honesty, that he could not go ton miles into the interior before he would grace one of the talkest trees of the forest, with a pope around his neck, with the approach of the property virtuous and particle citizen, and that if necessary, I should myself assist in the operation.

Mr. HALE .- I beg the indulgence of the Senate for a few me art. IALL.—Theg the madigence of the Senate of a rew minutest. Though I did not exactly anticipate this discussion, yet I do not retret it. Before I proceed further, as the hoorzable Senator from Mississippi has sand, that it has been seserted, and be thinks on good authority, that a Senator of the United States connived at this kidanpping of slaves, I ask him if he refers to me I sak him the refers to me I

Mr. FOOTE.-I did.

Mr. HALE.—I take occasion then to say, that the statement that I have given the slightest countenance to the procedure, is cartrely without the least foundation in truth. I have had nothing to do with the occurrence, directly or indirectly, and I demand of the bonornale Senator to state the ground upon which he has made his allegation.

Mr. FOOTE.-It has been stated to me and I certainly be-lieved it, and believing it I denounced it. I did not make the leved it, and believing it I denounced it. I did not make the charge directly. My remarks were hypothetical. I am glad to hear the Senator say that he has had no connection with the move-ment, but whether he had or not, some of his brethren in the great cause in which he was engaged no doubt had much to do with it.

Mr. HALE .- The sneer of the gentleman does not affeet me I recognize every member of the human family as a brother, and it was done by human beings it was done by my brethren. Once for all I utterly deny, either by counsel, by silence, or by speech, or in any way or manner, having any knowledge, cognizance, or suspicion of what was done or might be done until heard of this occurrence as other Senators have heard of it. And challenge any one who entertains a different opinion to the proof. here, now, and lorever. I go farther than that. I never have counselled, advised, or aided in any way, and with my present impressions, I never shall counsel, advise, or aid in any way, any enroachment upon the constitution in any of its provisions or compro-If the constitution be not broad enough for the protection that I claim, I will go without it. I trust that on this subject I have been sufficiently understood. I deny in general and particular not only cognizance but all knowledge of any such movements.

Whilst I am up let me call the attention of the Senate to the case of a man whom I am proud here and elsewhere to call my friend—the cultor of the "National Era." This gentleman in a card published in the "National Intelligencer" of this day de-

"A tunn having been concluded that the office of the Astronat Loren was come of therefore an indirectly, in the several atting of a smaller of shave, to escape on the schemes Peall, it is the to be re-peable clauses of this plane, and to moved to give a plan, that is no source and on the legent with the determined to yeld on give a plan, that is no source as down to be repair. While determined to yeld on unguerous enough to demant—I text in the my days to do all lean to remove a secretar management of the contract management of

The position which he has taken is thus laid down in the first number of his paper, and he republishes it in his eard

number of his paper, and he republishes it in his card;

"Heleving that the extraction of slover cash is effected in accordance with
constitution and law, and that this is the betty way, no system of unconstitutional or
diged account will find in an a supporter. We cannot work with contributiony
diged account will find in a supporter. We cannot work with contributions
that are usually set for the recomplishment of the great object we aim at, we shall
had, are usually set for the recomplishment of the great object we aim at, we shall
forther orders of the South, and some jodes, demand that no inservence he made
takes of the South, and some jodes, demand that no inservence he made
to desire desired.

"The obstance of the South, and some jodes, demand that no inservence he is a first wheing."

"The obstance of the South, and some jodes, of the south of the south of the south
we offer, so far any howorlege extends, volated a 1. a name, consistently with
my wrev of what hoursly and fair desire, the six, to say nothing in some jodes,
which had been published sixteen months in this city. It is column one open
connected on measure or program to the foregoing that I have abstanced from invetion and demands on a function of the stocked or a volate of the stocked or any offerto a first and demands and addressed myself to the reason, the concerne, the jacment of the south of the stocked of myself to the bean given relatives and
extend of the south of the stocked or any offerwith the and the system of the stocked of the south of the shade of the other of the stocked or any offerouther than a south of the stocked of myself to the reason, the concerne, the jactic and demands on a more offer the wholester, many of them being near relatives and
when the south of the south o

"With this plain exposition of my course, it is hardly necessary for me to say that,

in the recent transaction which has excited so much feeling, nother navelf not any person connected with one had any share whatever; that the transaction in fact became known to me only through the general report.

"I write this to disables the public ment, so that those who do not personally know may not be imposed upon by any many neglecture. Certainty, a feel a general representation of any position. Certainty, a feel a general representation of the state of the sta

Mr. CALHOUN-(in his seat.)-Does he make any denunciation of the robbery

Mr. HALE.—He had quite enough to do in defending himself, and it was no part of his duty to denounce others.

Mr. CALHOUN, (in his seat.)-1 understand that !

Mr. HALE.—I appeal to the sense of justice of the Senate, and ask what justification there can be for assailing the character and property of a man who kew no more of this occurroove than any of its members? I appeal to the honorable Senator who spoke so eloquently of the high and chivalric ideas of right which are entertaind in his section of the country—

Mr. FOOTE .- I ask the Senator-and beg to remind him that METAVALE—I has the behavior—and beg to remind from that whenty millions of people are listening to his answer—in the circumstances of the case, evidently known to him, does he suppose that this occurrence could have taken place without oxtensive, countenance and aid from men of standing in this District, whether members of Congress or others?

Mr. HALE.—I have no doubt that those persons could not have got away without some aid. It is enough that I have disclaimed all knowledge of it. I thought that when the honorable Senator all knowledge of it. I thought that when the honorable Senator was speaking more than twenty millions of people were listening. He invites me to visit the State of Mississippi, and kindly informs me that he would be one of those who would act the assassin, and put an end to my career. He would aid in bringing me to public excention—no, death by a mob. Well, in return for his hespitable unvitation, I can only express the desire that he would penetrate into some of the dark corners of New Hampshire, and if he do, I am much mistaken if he would not find that the people in than the supplier of the suppl might be elicited. I think, however, that the announcement which the honorable Senator has made on this floor of the fate which awaits so humble an individual as myself in the State of Mississippi, must convince every one of the propriety of the high enlargum which he pronounced upon her the other day, when he spot of the high position which she occupied among the States of this confederacy. But enough of this personal matter of the third that the states of th

South Carolina, that he is surprised at the temerity of the Senator from New Hampshire in introducing this bill. Let me a.k, what is this bill? What is this incendiary bill that has elicited such a torrent this tun: What is the mechanisy nor that has executed so had obtained abolition-of invective? Has it been manufactured by some "fanatical abolition-ist?" Why, it is espied, almost word for word, from a law on the size. "The word of the size of and in order to make war upon the institutions of the South!—
How? In God's name, is it come to this, that in the American
Senate, and in the year of grace, one thousand, eight hundred and
borty-eight, the rights of property cannot be named, but the advoentes of slavery are in arms, and exclaim that war is made upon
their institutions, because it is attempted to east the protection of
the law around the property of an American citizen, who appeals to
an American Senate! It has tong been held by you that your peculiar institution is incompatible with the right of speech; but fitbe also incompatible with the safeguards of the emistition being
thrown around property of American citizens, let the country
know it! If that is to be the principle of your artion, let it be proclaimed throughout the length and breadth of the land, that there
is an institution so onnipotent—so almighty—that even the and in order to make war upon the institutions of the South !-

is an institution so onnipotent—so almighty—that even the secred rights of life and property must how down before it!

Do not let it be said that I have introduced this subject. I have Do not ter a le sain that a nave introduced this siliplect. I have simply asked that the plainest provisions of the common law—the elearest dictates of justice—shall be extended and exercised for the protection of the property of eithzens of this District; and, yet, the honorable Senator from South Carolina is shocked at my temerity

Mr. BUTLER.—Allow me to ask one question with perfect good temper. The Senator is discussing the subject with some feeling; but I ask him whether he would vote for a bill, properly drawn, indicting panishment on persons inveigl-ing slaves from the District of Columbia?

Mr. HALE .- Certainly not, and why? Because I do not be lieve that slavery should exist here.

Mr. CALHOUN.—(In his seat,)—He wishes to arm the robbers, and disarm the people of the District.

Mr. HALE .- The honorable Senator is alarmed at my temer-

Mr. CALHOUN.—(In his seat,)—I did not use the word, but did not think it worth while to correct the Senator.

Mr. HALE .- The Senator did not use that term ?

Mr. CALHOUN .- No. I said brazen or something like that,

Mr. CALHOUN.—No. I said brazen or something like that, Mr. HALE.—The meaning was the same. It was brazen then! that I should introduce a bill for the protection of property in this District—a bill perfectly harmless, but which he has construed into an attack opon the institutions of the South—lask the Seantor and the country wherein consists the temerity? I suppose it consists in the section of the country from which it comes. He says that we seem to think that the South has lost all feeling. Ah! There is the temerity. The bill comes from the wrong side of a certain orarble!! Why, did the henorable Senator from a certain orarble!! Why, did the henorable Senator from certain the control of the section of the law is the section of the law is the certain orarble control of the section of the law should be thrown around property in the District to which we come to legislate.

I desire no war upon the institution of slavery in the sense in I desire no war upon the institution of shavery in the sense in which the Senator understands the term. I will never be a party to any encroachments upon rights guaranteed by the constitution and the law—not at all. I wish no war but a war of reason—of persuasion—of argument; a war that should look to convincing the understanding, subdung the affections and moving the sympathics of the heart. That is the only war in which I would engage. But it is said that the time has come—that the crisis has come, and that the South most meet it. In all subsequences the hearts of the property that they could not be a candor and honesty, then, let mo say, that there could not be a better platform on which to meet the question, than that presented netter pasture on which to meet me discussion, than that presented by the principles of this hill. There could not lee a better occa-sion than this to appeal to the omitry. Let the toes in sound, Let the word go forth. Let the tree North be told that their craven representatives on the floor of the Senate, are not at liber-ty even to chaim the protection of the rights of property! The right of speech was sacrificed long ago. But now is it to be elaimed, that we cannot even introduce a bill looking to the But now is it to be proention of the plainest provisions of the constitution, and the clear-est principles of justice for the protection of personal rights, because gentlemen choose to construe it into an attack upon that particular institution !

particular institution? Task again, what is it that has produced this strile, called up these demonsitions, excited all this invective which has been poured upon mea if I were quilty of all the crimes in the decalogue? I call upon the Senate and the country to take notice of it. I ask, on what do gentlemen of the South cell for the protection of any institutions on which they place any value? I will be assecred upon the constitution and the law. Well, then, if the safe guards of the constitution are rendered inadequate to the protection of one species of property, how can it be supposed that there will be protection for any I it is because thesire to maintain in all their strength and utility, the sele guards of the constitution, that all their strength and it filly, the save guarks of the group crist in this Dis-trict. An adversarial strength of the protection of property in this Dis-trict. An adversarial strength of the save fill strength of the save fill strength of the save many fill strength of the save many fill strength of the save fill strength of t bill as a measure calculated to repress those citizens from the expression of their just indignation

Mr. CALHOUN .- If the Senator will allow me. I will explain. I said no such thing. But i will take this occasion to say that I would just as soon argue with a maniac from bedlam, as with the Senator from New Hampshire, on this subject.

SEVERAL SENATORS .- " Order-order.

Mr. CALHOUN .- I do not intend to correct his statements .-A man who says that the people of this District have no right in their slaves; and that it is no robbery to take their property from them, is not entitled to be regarded as in possession of his reason.

Mr. HALE .- It is an extremely novel mode of terminating a Mr. HALE.—It is an extremely novel more or terminating a controversy by charitably throwing the mainte of maniaced irre-sponsibility over one's antagonist! But the honorable Senator parts words into my menth which I never used. I did not say that the owners had no property in their slaves. I said that the insti-tution exists, but I have not given any opinion upon the point to which the Senator has allufied. I have never said anything from which the sentiment which he imputes to me could be inferred. It does not become me, I know, to measure arms with the hororable does not become me, I know, to measure a mis with the flexible senator from South Carolina, more particularly since he has been so magnanimous as to give notice that he will not condescend to argue with me. But there is more than one man in this country, who has, whether justly or unjustly, long since arrived at the cou clusion, that if I am a maniae, on the subject of slavery, I am not a monomaniae, for I am not atone in my madness. But, sir, I an an not responsible I am not atone for the excitement that has and not desponsion determined to describe the capture of the excitement that has another of the subject. I intended simply to give notice of a fill related to meet the exigency. The honorable the fill related to meet the exigency. The honorable this legislation, and says that no violence has been committed in this legislation, and says that no violence has been committed in the fill related to the fill

Mr. WESTCOTT .- There has been no violence except the running away with some negroom

Mr. HALE .- Well, I believe that some hundreds of individuals assembled in front of a printing office in this city, and assailed the building with missiles, obliging the nersons engaged in their usual employment to abandon their legal occupation. If that does not come up to the gentleman's definition of violence, I do not know what does—I was desirous of introducing this subject without an appeal to any matters which might be supposed to lie behind. I helice that these metters have nothing to do with the subject material and the heart is introduce, the provisions are elmost identical with the law which has been in existence in many of the States, and is now on the statute book of Maryland. To its cuestions there, exception has been taken, and I am quite willing that the country should know the grounds on which opposition is made. If the subject be painful it has not been made so by me. As to the threats which have been made of bloodshed and assussimition, I can only say that there have been sacrifices already, and there may be other victims, until the minds of all shall be awakened to the conviction that the constitution was made as well for the preservation of the freedom of discussion, as for the protection of the slave owner.

Mr. WESTCOTT.—I should like to know of the Senator From New Hampshire if he can say, that any non-slaveholding State in this Union has passed a law by which, in case of the abduction of a slave by an abolition mob, the centry or town is to be made responsible for the act.

Mr. HALE .- I do not know, sir.

Mr. WESTCOTT.—It is time enough then, when such a law is passed to protect the property of slave-owners, to talk of a law to indemnify for the destruction of property of abolition incendiaries.

Mr. POOTE.—The Senator seems to suppose that I wished to decoy him to the State of Mississippi I have attempted no such thing.

I have the state of Mississippi I have openly challenged and breathing to the health of no such thing. I have openly challenged and I greathing such an incendiary spirit as he has manifested in this body, and I have said that just punishment would be indicted upon him for his enormous criminality. I have said farther that if no-cessary, I would said in the indiction of the punishment. My opinion is, that enlightened men would sauction that I think not. I am sure that they seem to the constitution of his says the Senator that is an enemy to the constitution of his is solvening guaranteed by the organic law of the land-and in so far he is a lawless person. I am sure, if he would go the State of Mississippi or any other slave State of this sonidering and inter such language, he would just be regarded as an incendary in heart and in fact, and its series, and it is a fact that the dark of the law to be slumberous, but the sonidering of the law happened to that the duty of the people whose rights were thus put in danger would be, to inflict summary punishment upon the federal of missing that he would ever shall deplor it. Such officious intermedialing descreation is not a manisc, and we are such as former would here and in heart and an series is apprehended in the rights of others. He, however, will never be a victim. I have never deplored the death of such victims and I never shall deplor it. Such officious intermedialing descreation is not a manisc, and have any sympathy for those who lawlessly institute the result of the properties with the rights of others. He, however, will never be a victim. I let is one of those gusty declaimers—a windy speak.

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—If the gentlemen will allow me, I rise to a question of order. Gentlemen have evidently become excited, and I bear on all sides language that is not becoming: I call the gentleman to order for his personal reference to the Schator from New Hammshire.

Mr. FOOTE.—I only said in reply to the remarks of the Senator from New Hampshire———

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—I did not hear what the Senator from New Hampshire said, but the allusion of the gentleman from Mississippi, I consider to be contrary to the rules of the Senate.

Mr. FOOTE.—I am aware of that. But such a scene has never occurred in the Senate—such a deadly assailment of the rights of the country.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland .- Has the chair decided?

Mr. FOOTE .- Let my words be taken down.

The PRESIDING OFFICER.—In the opinion of the Chair, the gentleman from Mississippi is not in order.

Mr. FOOTE.—What portion of my remarks is not in order?
The PRESIDING OFFICER.—The gentleman is aware that

The PRESIDING OFFICER.—The gentleman is aware that the question of order is not debateable.

Mr. WESTCOTT.-1 ask whether the words objected to are not, according to the rule, to be reduced to writing?

Mr. FOOTE.—I pass it over. But the Senator from New Hampshire has said, that if I would visit that State, I would be it readed to an argument. What it will not argue with limit What right have they of New Hampshire to read the point It is not a matter with white properties to the last connected. They have no rights on the read of the second of the se

crty, and that the people of New Hampshire have no right at all to meddle with the subject. Why is it not a fact, that gentlemen, members of this body, amongst them the distinguished Senator from Massachusetts, whom I regret not to see in his place, are known to be more or less hostile to the mistitutions of domestic slavery, but have never entertained the doctrine, that the Gongress just 1. They have beld that any attempt directly to indirectly, to effect abolition or to encourage abolition by congressional legislation is at war with the spirit and letter of the constitution.

Mr. HALE.—Will the Senator allow me to inquire if he can point out a single instance, in which I have made any aggression upon the rights of property in the South?

Mr. FOOTE.—That is the very thing 1 am about to show. When the Sentor trom New Hampshire undertakes to assert that these northern man who do not concur with him are "cravens," be uses language of false and securilous import. It is not the fact that his language will be re-echeed in any respectable neighborhood in New England. His sentiments will find no response or approval in any enlightened vicinage in New England, and therefore he has no right to say that those who are faithful to the principles of the constitution and fail to re-echo the fierce, fanatical, and deficient in any of the noble sentiments which characterize high spirited republicans.

Mr. HALE .- I did not use such language.

Mr. FOOTE .- Did the Senator not use the word "eraven" ?

Mr. HALE.—If the Senator will allow me, I will inform him hat when the Senator from South Carolina remarked that he supposed it was thought that the South had lost all feeling, I replied by asking it it was supposed that the North had no sensibility, that we had bowed our faces to the earth with our backs to the sun and submitted to the lash so long that we dear not look up?

Mr. FOOTE.—The declarations of the Senator from New hamphore into amount to this, that if he met me on the highway an applacessing me gravely or himmorusly—for he is quite a himmorus personage—should say I design to take that thorse which is now in your possession, and then announce that he wished to enter into an argument with me as to whether I should prefer that the animal should be stolen from the stable or taken from me on the road. How could I meet such a proposition? Why I should say to him, either you are a manize, or, if sane, you are a knave. And yet this very case is now before us. The Senator from Kew Hampshire introduces a bill obviously intended to rob the people of the District of their slaves. I will read it and show that such is the import of the bill. I do not know any thing about the paper to which reference has been made. It has been sent to me as to other Senators during the winter, but I always refain from each to other Senators during the winter, but I always refain from the stab that he has not in his paper openly avowed, as the Senator from New Hampshire scenes very plainly to indicate, that he has approved of this late attempt to steal the slaves from this District. But the puberion of such a paper has tended to encourage such movements.

Mr. HALE.—When did I avow that I approved of this movement?

Mr. FOOTE.-I will shew it from this bill. I challenge the Senator to produce any such statute from the statute-book of any State of this Union.

Mr HALE.—I have said that the bill is in substance identical with one of the statutes of the State of Maryland. I have that statute before me and will hand it to the Senator.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland .- Allow me to see it.

Mr. FOOTE.—How are we to understand the Senator. He will not acknowledge that his object is to encourage such conduct, and he shans the responsibility. When we charge apon him, that he himself has breathed in the course of his harangue of this morning the same spirit which has characterized this set, he says most mildly and quietly, "Ho no means—I have only attempted to introduce a bill corresponding substantially with the law on the statute-hooks of most of the States of this confederacy." And the Senator supposes that all of us are perfectly demented, or do not know the nature of the case, the circumstances, or the motives which have actuated the Senator. Will be undertake to assert that he wealth have ever thought of such a bill if these slaves had not been abducted from the District, in opposition to the consension of their owners, by the particular such that the wealth provides the state of their owners, by the particular such that the state of the conclusion, that he introduced the bill for the purpose of evering and protecting that act and encouraging similar acts in future. What is the phraseology of the bill? (The henorable Senator her creat the bill.) Who doubts now that the object of the Senator for conducting the act of the senator her case the senator her case the senator her senator her case that the Senator is undeavoying to evade a responsibility which he is not willing to acknowledge?

Mr. HALE.-Will the Senator give way for a moment? I will read an extract from the law of Maryland to which I re-

ferred. Will the Senator be good enough to look at my bill while

#### An act relating to riots

Sec. 1.—The repacted by the Genual Assembly of Maryland, That from and size the marker by meaning the properties of the

The honorable Senator will surely now do me the justice to say, that the bill was not drafted with reference to any particular case. such as that to which he refers. I had not the remotest reference t the protection of individuals concerned in transactions of that character; but if I should undertake to say, that I had not reference to demonstrations growing out of that transaction, I should be saying what was false, for it was these demonstrations which induced me to introduce the bill.

Mr. FOOTE.—In one breath the Senator makes two directly contradictory assertions. He says that he did not draw the blill in reterence to this case, and in the same breath declares that he did! He disclaims in one moment that which he avovs in the next!! I am sorry that I have occupied the attention of the Sen next! I am sorry that I have occupied the attention of the Sen-ate so long. I have felt deeply on this subject. We have wit-nessed this morning the first attempt on this floor to violate the constitutional rights of the South, and I hope it will be the last. I trust that the indignation of the country will be so aroused, that even in the quarter of the country from which he comes, the Sena-tor from New Hampshire, although his sensibilities are not very approachable, will be made to feel ashamed of his conduct

Mr. MANGUM .- It has been now about fourteen years, I be-Mr. MANGUAL—It has been now anout loutreem years, a be-lieve, since the Senate very wisely by the concurrence of the ablest and most distinguished men on both sides, came to the resolution to exclude discussion upon the inflaming topic of slavery; and that when abolition petitions were presented, upon the question of rewhen aboutton petitions were presented, upon the question of re-ception, a motion should be entertained—which motion is not debate-able—and the vote taken upon it, to lay the motion for reception upon the table. There has been ever since this rule was es-tablished, a steady and uniform adherence to it, but I am sorry to tainisted, a seasy and union an autherence to 11, but 1 am sorry to perceive that there is latterly a disposition manifesting itself to depart from the salutary rule of action which the Senate thus wisely proscribed for itself. Upon this question of slavery we know there are different opinions entertained in different quarters know there are different opinions entertained in different quarters of the Union. I stand here representing the interests of one portion of that Union, but I could not, if I would, bring myself to a state of excitement and alarm in consequence of any meances that might be thrown out. I stand upon the constitutional compromises; and while I would not invade the rights of others, I am very ses; and while a would not invade the rights of others, I am very sure that the sound portion of the commanity will not invade our that we are to be reasoned out of our rights? Are we to be reasoned out of our rights? Are we to be reasoned out of our or rights? Are we to be reasoned out of our or rights? Are we to be reasoned out of our or rights? Why most stand upon our rights; upon our constitutional compromises? Why not stand thus perfectly passionless, but prepared to defend them when they shall be assaided? But are they to be assaided? Six nothing has occurred during this session that has afforded me more satisfaction than to hear from some of that has allowed me more astislaction than to hear from some of the ablest amount distinguished men in this Union, the declara-tion that whilst they are opposed to an extension of the area of slavery, they are not disposed to trample upon the compromises of the constitution. This is our strength. It is to be lound in the partitionism of those who love the institutions of our country better than party. I believe the great body of the people are prepared to stand upon the compromises of the constitution. It is upon this ground that I stand content and passionless, and if I know mysell I shall ever continue to do so.

Sir, no good can result from this discussion. I shall vote against Sir, no good can result from this discussion. I shall you against the reception of the bill at this time. And why? Because I think that the occasion which is selected for its introduction is a very unhappy one. It seems to grow out of the occurrence. very unhappy one. It seems to grow out of the occurrence of an unwarrantable treaps, recently committed upon the rights of the citizens of this District, without being directed to the prevention of such aggressions in future, but on the contrary, having for its object the suppression of the manifestations of the feelings of indignation which such acts naturally create. We, who are the only legislators for the District O'Columbia, are not informed of their wants and wishes in regard to legislation upon this subject. If the people of this District require any other laws than they already have, for the purpose of protecting their property against unlawful violence, let them indicate to us their wishes; and I shall be ready to lend a willing car to their request, and to aid in passing such a law as in my judgment may be necessary for their protection. If on the other hand, the citizens of this District, should require other and more penal laws cuizzens or uns District, anomo requirer other and more penal laws for the purpose of protecting their slave property, I shall be as ready to vote for a bill for that purpose. But I shall never vote for the one nor the other, when I find them pressed forward by gentlemen of extreme opinions—gentlemen from remote portion of the Union, having few feelings in common with the citzens of of the Union, having few feelings in common with the citzens of the District

Sir, upon these subjects I am accustomed to look to the silent op Sir, upon these singlets rain accusomed to rook to the shent operation of the law for the protection of all our rights. In the State from which I came there is no excitement to regard to these subjects. If I know any thing of the character of that loyal, steady, fixed, and moderate State, there is no State in the Union which will hold to her principles and her rights with more firinges. than that State. But we appeal to the silent operation of the law; we know nothing of mob law, or of Lynch law; we know nothing of excesses of this description. Although I have lived to be an old man, most of the time in North Carolina, I have never seen any thing in that State approximating even to a spirit of pop-

Mr. FOOTE .- Will the honorable Senator allow me to ask him whether in the case of a conspiracy to excite insurrection among the slaves, it would not in his opinion justify mob proceed.

Mr. MANGUM—Oh! my dear sir, in former years we had a compendious mode of disposing of such cases. We have now a mode equally certain, though not so compendious Upon a matter of that nature, we take a strong ground. But I Upon a matter of that nature, we take a strong ground. But I am not to be driven hastily into legislation by proposed by gentlemen who entertain extreme opinions on either side. I am accustomed to look to the people of the District an exposition of their wants in regard to legislation. They necessity mediestand them better than we can do. Upon their suggestion I am prepared to act either in providing penul enactments for protection of their skeep roperty, or for protecting other descriptions of property from mob violence. I do not intend to exprision of property from which is the property of making property holders, to come extent, answerable for any damage that may accure from such volence, where they have a police in existence. I understand that in Maryland, they have such a law applicable to towns and cities where they have a police in existence. I understand that in Maryland, they have such a law applicable to towns and cities where they have a police in existence. I understand that in maryland, they have such a law applicable to towns and cities where they have a police in existence. I understand that in one of the proper of th sion-having no evidence that it would be proper on any occasion. but perceiving that the proposed measure has grown out of ex-citement, I move that the motion for leave to introduce the bill lie upon the table, and upon that question I ask for the years and navs.

Mr. CALHOUN .- Will the Senator be good enough to withdraw that motion for a moment

Mr. MANGUM .- Certainly.

Mr. CALHOUN.-If there is any responsibility in regard to this question, that responsibility is on me.

Mr. MANGUM .- No, sir, I do not take it so. I feel that the responsibility is upon the inopportune presentment of a bill of this sort, so soon after the transactions which have recently taken place in the District. That is my notion. I think the responsibility is upon the introducing of such a measure, at a time when excitement exists all around us

Mr. CALHOUN.—I am very happy to hear that such is the opinion of the honorable Senator; but I disagree with my worthy friend, the Senator from North Carolina, in several particulars. I do not look upon a state of excitement as a dangerous state. On the contrary, I look upon it as having often a most wholesome tendency. The state to be apprehended as dangerous in any community contrary, too sport the community of the community of the community of the community should be in a cold and apathetic state. Nations are much more apt to perish in consequence of such a state, than through the existence of heat and excitement. Nor do I agree with the Senator from North Carolina, in thinking that this is an analogous case to that of the questions of the recention of petitions on the subject of slavery. for we all know that in reference to the latter the question was for We all know that in reference to the latter the question was whether the Senate was not bound to receive petitions in all ense-and all subjects. Now here is a case in which there is no doubt whatever. All admit that the question of granting leave, is a question depending upon the voice of the Senate as a matter of discretion—there is no question of right whatever. Now, I submit discretion—there is no morth Carolina, whether under the circumstances of a bill of this kind, introduced at such a moment, to subject stances of a unit or missing introduced as soon a monent, no suspec-tile worthy citizens of this District to a high penalty without con-taining a single clause for the punishment of those who commit outrages upon them, and deprive them of their property—without a single expression against such maranders, must not be considered a most extraordinary measure, let it come from whatsoover quarter it may? Can any man doubt, that whether intended or not, the object of this bill is to disarm the worthy citizens of this District so as to prevent them from defending their property, and to arm the robbers? That is the whole amount of it. The Congress of this Union is the legislature of the District of Columbia; and what is our duty on this occasion? It is to protect these our constituents, who have no other protection but ours. It is our duty to stand for-ward in their behalf when the extraordinary spectacle is presented

to us of a vessel coming to our wherves, under the color of commerce, and of the men belonging to that vessel silently seducing away our slaves, and getting nearly a hundred of them on hoard, and then moving off with them under cover of the night, in order to convey them beyond our reach. What is our duty under these cirenunstances? Is it not to take up the subject, as I trust the Committee on the Judiciary will do, and pass a bill containing the highest penalties known to the law, against pirates who are guilty

acts like these?

I differ also from my nonorable friend from North Carolina, in this respect. He seems to think that the proper mode of meeting this great question of difference between the two sections of the Union is to let it go on sitentity, not to notice it at all, to have no excitement about it. I differ from him altogether. I have examined this subject certainty with as much care as my abilities according the surprise of the property of the surprise of the and so far from the thing being stationary, it is advancing rapidly weeks in the Legislature of New York! There is a provision in from year to year. There is a provision in the constitution protective of the rights of the south on this subject, and what is it? That the States shall deliver up fugitive slaves that are found within their limits. It is a stipulation in the nature of un extradition treaty-1 mean a creaty for delivering up fugitives from justice. Now, what duty goes this impose upon the States of this Union? It imposes upon them, upon the known principles of the law of nations, an active co-operation on the part of their legislature, citizens and magistrates in seizing and delivering up slaves who have escaped from their owners. What has been done by the Legislature of the State of New York? I speak on the statements of newspapers which have not been con-tradicted. They have passed a law almost unanimously there being but two votes against it—making it penal for there being but two votes against it—making it penal for a citizen of that State even to aid the federal officers in seizing and delivering up slaves. They not only do not co-operate, they not only do not stand neutral, but they take positive and active measures to violate the constitution and to trample upon the laws of the Union, and yet we are told that things are going on very well and will go on well if we only let them alor that the evil will cure itself. This is what has been done in the State of New York. The only stipulation in the constitution which confers any benefit upon us, is, without the least regard to faith, trodden in the dust. And New York stands not alone in this which center sty, renear upon a second which which is a second in this matter; many other states have adopted similar measures. Per sylvania, at the session hefore last, adopted one, not going to this extreme, but not tailling greatly short of it. And what has taken place under that law? A nost worthy citize of Maryland, upon his attempting to recapture his slave, is murdered—that is the proper term—and the perpetrator of the act goes in a great measure unished. There was a trief or There was a trial and some one may have been found only. but little was done. I could go on and consume the whole day in tracing, step by step, the course by which every stipulation in favor of this description of property has been set at naught in the northern States. Now, if all this is the fact, I put it gravely and seriously to our brethren of the northern States, can this thing go on? Is it desirable that it should be passed without condemnation? Is it desirable that the South should be kept ignorant of all this? I put these questions. No, no. The very inaction of the South is construed into one of two things—indulerence or timidity. And it is this construction which has produced this bold and rapid movement towards the ultimate consummation of all this. And why have we stood and done nothing? I will tell you why. Because the pres And why have we of this Union, for some reason or other, does not choose to notice this One section does not know what the other section is doing The South does not know the hundredth part of all that has been done at the North. Now, since this occurrence has taken place, suitable occasion is presented for gentlemen to rise here and tell the whole Union what is doing. It is for the interest of the North as well as the South. I do not stand here as a southern man. I stand here as a member of one of the branches of the legislature of this Union-loving the whole, and desiring to save the whole. How are you to do it? It can be saved only by justice, and how is justice to be done? By the fulfilment of the stipulations of the constitution. as I know myself, I would not ask a particle that did not belong to ns, either in our individual or confederated character. But less than that I never will take. Sir, I hold equality among the confedthan that I never will take. Sir, I hold equality among the confederated states to be the highest point, and any portion of the confederated states who shall permit themselves to sink to a point of inferiority—not defending what really belongs to them, as members, sign their own death warrant, and in signing that, sign the doom of the whole. Upon the just maintainence of our rights—not only our safety depends, but the existence and safety of this glorious Union of ours. And I hold that man responsible, and that state responsible, who do not raise a e against every known and clear infraction of the stipulations of the constitution in their favor. This is a proper occasion, and I hope there will be a full expression of opinion upon it. I hope my friend from North Carolina will reconsider his motion, and not Let us meet this question at once

Mr. DOUGLAS .- I have listened to this debate with a good deal of interest. But while I have soen considerable excite

exhibited on the part of a few gentlemen around me, I confess that have not been able to work myself into any thing like a passion I think that probably the Senator from New Hampshire has done much to accomplish his object. His bill is a very harmless thing in itself; but heing brought forward at this time and under the present circumstances, it has created a good deal of excitement among gentlemen on this side of the chamber.

Mr. CALHOUN, (in his seat.)-Not the bill-the occurrence

Mr. DOUGLAS .- On the occurrence I desire to say a word. Mr. DOUGLAS.—On the occurrence I desire to say a word.
In the first place, I must congratulate the Senator from New
Hampshire on the great triumph which he has achieved. He
stands very prominently before the American people, and is, I believe, the only man who has a rational nomination for the Presi-I firmly believe that on this floor to-day, by the Senator from South Carolina, and the Senators from Mississippi he has more than doubled his vote at the presidential election, and every man in this chamber from a free State knows it! on with annazement for a time, to see whether there could be an understanding between the Senator from New Hampshire and his southern friends, calculated to give him encouragement, strength and power in the contest. But I know that those distinguished Senators from the South, to whom I have referred, are incapable of such an undertaking, yet I tell them that if they had gone into a caucus with the Senator from New Hampshire, and after a night's study and deliberation, had devised the best means to mannfucture abolitionism and abolition votes in the north, they would have tallen upon precisely the same kind of procedure which they have adopted to day. A few such exciting scenes sufficed to send that Senator here. I mean no disrespect to him personally, but I say with his semiments, with his principles, he could never have represented a free State of this Union on this floor but for the aid of southern speeches. It is the speeches of southern men, representing slave States going to an extreme; breathing a fanaticism as wild and as reckless as that of the Senator from New Hampshire, which creates abolitionism in the north. It is no other than southern Senators acting in concert, and yet without design, that produces abolition.

Mr. CALHOUN -Does the gentleman pretend to say, that myself and southern gentlemen who act with me upon this occa-sion, are fanatics? Have we done any thing more than delend our rights, encroached upon at the north? Am I to understand the Senator that we make abolition votes by defending our rights? If so, I thank him for the information, and do not care how many such votes we make

Mr. DOUGLAS .- Well, I will say to the Senator from South Carolina, and every other Senator from the South, that far be it from me to entertain the thought, that they design to create abolitionists in the North, or elsewhere. Far he it from me to impute any such design! Yet I assert that such is the only inevitable effeet of their conduct.

Mr. CALHOUN-(in his seat.)-We are only defending our

Mr. DOUGLAS .- No, they are not defending themselves !-MI. DOCUL-SA, they are no occusion is question—to discuss it with a degree of heat, and give it an importance, which makes it heard and felt throughout the Union. It is thus that abolition derives its vitality. My friend from Mississippi, Mr. FOOTE, in his zeal and excitement this morning, made a remark invitation which he extended to the Senator from Hampshire to visit Mississippi, which is worth ten thousand votes to the Schator, and I am confident that that Senator would not allow my friend to retract that remark for ten theusand votes!

Mr. FOOTE .- Will you allow me?

Mr. DOUGLAS .- Certainly.

Mr. FOOTE .- If the cilicot of that remark will be to give to that Senator all the abolition votes, he is fairly entitled to them. seen insurrection exhibiting its fiery front in the midst of the men, seen masurection examining its arry front in the mids of the use women, and children of the community—had he had reason to believe that the machinery of insurrection was at such a time in readiness for purposes of the most deadly character, unolving life, and that dearer than hie, to every southern man—had he witnessed of such seenes, and believed that movements like that of this morn-classic dearers. ing were calculated to engender feelings out of which were to arise fire, blood, and desolation, the destruction finally of the South, he would regard himself as a traitor to the best sentiments of the haman heart, if he did not speak out the language of manly demnciation. I can use no other language. I cannot but repent my con-viction, that any man who dures to utter such sentiments as those of the Senator from New Hampshire, and attempts to act them out any where in the sunny South, will meet death upon the scaffold, and deserves it!

Mr. DOUGLAS .- I must again congratulate the Senator from New Hampshire on the accession of five thousand votes! Sir, do not blame the Senator from Mississippi for being indignant at a man from any portion of this Union, who would preduce an inwho would incite a negre insurrection hazarding the life of any man in the southern States. The Senator has, I am aware, reason to feel deeply on this subject. But I am not altogether unaquainted with the peculiar circumstances of the sections of the country to which he has alluded. I have lived a good portion of my life upon the immediate borders of a slave State. I have seen the operation of such excitements as those of which he speaks, upon both sides of the line. I can well appreciate the excited feeling with which gentlemen in the South must regard any actitating movement to get up insurrections amongst their negro servants,

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi.—I do not wish to be ensidered as participating in the feeling to which the Senator Infludes. I have no fear of insurrection, no more dread of our stake. Our slaves are happy and contented. They bear the kindest relation that labor can sustain to capital. It is a partneral institution. They are rendered miserable only by the unwarrantable interference of those who know nothing about that with which they meddle. I rest this case on no fear of insurrection; and levial it of the control o

Mr. FOOTE.—Will the honorable Senator allow me to make a remark?

Mr. DOUGLAS .- With a great deal of pleasure.

Mr. FOOTE.—If it so understood that I expressed any fear of insurrection which might grow out of this movement it is a mistake. I said that such an audacious movement as this could not tramely submitted to without encouraging its authors to proceed; and in that, I think, all who have spoken on this side of the chamber concur.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi.—I did not intend to imply that my colleague had taken any such course as that which I disclaimed. His ground was that which the peace and security of the South has justified, and which will, of necessity, be their position in future. When Dr. Johnsen heard that a man, whose life had been a course of villany, had committed suicide by hanging himsell, he replied, "it was a right, that a life which had been uniformly oblique, should be terminated by a perpendicular."

Mr. DOUGLAS.—All that I intended to say was that the effect of this excitement—of all these barsh expressions, will be the creation of abolitionists at the North.

Mr. FOOTE .- The more the better !

Mr. DOUGLAS.—The gentleman may think so; but some of us 4th e North do not concur with him in that opinion. Of course the Senator from New Hampshire will agree with him, because he can flat the flame of excitement so as to advance his political prospects. And I can also well understand how some gentlemen at the South may quite complacently regard all thus excitement, if they can persuade their constituents to believe that the institution of slavery rests upon their shoulders—that they are the men who meet the Goliah of the North in this great contest about abolition. It gives them strength at home. But we, of the North, who have no sympathy with abolitionists, desire no such excitement.

Mr. CALHOUN.—I must really object to the remarks of the Senator. We are merely defending our rights. Suppose that we defend them in strong language; have we not a right to do so?—Surely the Senator cannot mean to impate to us the motives of low ambition. He cannot realize our position. For myself, (and I presume I may speak for those who act with me;) we place this lived in the neighborhood of slaveholding States, he cannot have realized any thing on the subject. I must object ontrictly to his course, and say that it is at least as offensive as that of the Senator from New Hampshire.

Mr. FOOTE.—Will the Senator from Illinois allow me a word?

Mr. DOUGLAS.—In a moment. I am sorry that the bonorable Senator regars my language as officiative as that of the Senator from New Hampshire. Will be allow me to remark, in the first place, that I did not suppose that I should ever be classed with the Senator from New Hampshire or the subject of slavery; and, in the next place, that I did not say anything disrespectful to the Senator from South Carolina, or any one associated with him on this question. I slid not impugn his motives. I said explicitly that I did not regard him as being actuated by any but the purest motives. He felt indignant at the recent occurrences, and his indignation, I regarded as being natural and proper. We of the free States share in that indignation. But I said that the Senator from South Carolina, by the violent course pursued here, had continued to the result which we deplored, and that abolitonism at the North was built up by southern demunciation and southern impactly to take advantage of that improdement of the North weared to take advantage of that improdement of the North weared and turn it to their own account, so as to make it revery upon the South. I amounced in plain terms that truth—at truth which every man from the free States can fully realize—and, sir, I too feel upon this subject, insamed as I have nover desired to calist, and never shall enhanced the control of the resultionism on the one side, or that extreme course on the other, which is akin to abolitonism. We are not willing to be trodden down whist you hazard nothing

30TH CONG.-1st Session-No. 66.

by your violence, which only builds up your adversary in the North Nort does he hazard any thing; quite the contrary—for he will done to be able to be abl

Mr. FOOTE.—I had supposed that I had already sufficiently explained myself. No Southern man has ever introduced this question into the halls of legislation. Of this, the Senator must be well aware. If he knows an instance to the contrary, I should be extremely glad to be informed of it. The question is not now brought up by any movement of ours; it is forced upon us by the Senator from New Hampshire. The South has been silent, restreament of the state of t

Mr. DOUGLAS.—The Senator has hit it precisely when he says that sometimes the course advised in the familiar adage which he has quoted, is, indeed, the course of duty and of wisdom. I do believe that upon this question, that is the only course which can "win golden opinions" from reflecting men throughout the country.

Mr. FOOTE, (in his seat.)—"Golden opinions from all sorts of people."

Mr. DOUGLAS.—In the North it is not expected that we should take the position that slavery is a positive good—a positive blessing. If we did assume such a position, it would be a very pertinent inquiry, why do you not adopt this institution? We have moulded our institution at the North as we have thought proper; and now we say to you of the South, if slavery be a blessing, it is your blessing; it if the a cares, it is your curse; enjoy it, on you rest all the responsibility! We are prepared to aid you into maintain and the maintenance of all your constitutional rights; and I apprehend that no man, South or North, has shown more consistently a disposition to do so than myself. From first to last, I have of the South, how the thing the summer of the south, the state of the south, how the thing the summer of the south, how the thing the summer of the south, the state of the south, and the summer of the south, and the summer of the south of the

I think the introduction of this bill has been ill-timed. I doubt its expediency in any circumstances; but brought up at present, it is peculiarly calculated to preduce unnecessary excitement; and I will never consent to the introduction of such a bill under the prewill never consent to the introduction of such a bill under the present circumstances. I am willing to instruct your committee to inquire whether any formal legislation be necessary for the purpose of suppressing kidanaping, most, rioting and violence in the District of Columbia. I am prepared to meet the responsibility of passing the unest stringent laws against any illegal acts. That is my positionally views in relation to the subject are well achieved and the contraction of the property of the contraction of the contr ways supported by my vote the rule excluding abolition petitions. It was repealed I voted with you of the South to sustain it. It was repealed against my vote. I was ready to stand by it as long us it was necessary for you protection. I will vote for any other measure necessary in youte. I was ready to stand by it as long as it was necessary for you protection. I will vote for any other measure position on the position of the position of the youter to the standard position of the position of the youter you give strength and encouragement to the abolitionists of the North, by the improdent expression of what I grant to be just indignation, and which you deem it to be necessary so to utter in self-defence.

Mr. HANNEGAN,-No man in this Senate can more sincerely Mr. HANNEGAN.—No man in this Senate can more sincerely regret than I do, the obtraision of this most permicious question into this body to-day. It has fallen upon us like a dark and withering simon, as it always does when it enters the halls of legislation. My views and principles upon the subject have been expressed at different periods in both houses of Congress during the last fifteen years. They are entirely unchanged, and will, I presume, he carried by me unchanged to the grave. I cannot fully coincide, in this instance, with my friend from II. I cannot fully coincide, in this instance, with my friend from II.

lineis, with whem on most occasions I am so happy to agree. I can never admit as a fact here, that the Senator from New Hampshire in agitating this question at this inopportune and most inau shire in agitating this question at this inopportune and most inauspiecous moment, whatever may have been the course of others,
has increased the number of his supporters among the enlightened
people of this country. I do not think that the course which he
has pursued this day, has been, in the slightest degree, calculated
to advance his views—if the have any, and I do not say that he
has—in relation to the Presidency. Neither do I impeach the motives of the honorable Senator in bringing forward this bill thus
impoportunely. It is to be presumed that the bill has had its origin in that high-wrought state of feeling with which he has embarked in this cause, as in all others which he embraces. I will
work of an instant suffer myself to suppose that any thing improper
lurks beneath or behind this movement. Nor, on the other hand,
de I find fault with the manner in which this movement has been do I find fault with the manner in which this movement has been and in an instruction of the most representing in this body the rights and in tas of the people of the South. If they had failed to meet it and denounce it, they would have been recream to their high trust—recream to their most served obligations—recream to their obstitution of their country. Has there not been just cause of excitement in the breasts of those gentlemen? If the seeme of excitement in the breasts of those gentlemen? If the scene enacted in the last week, furnishes no justification for that excite-ment, I should like to know what could! Let us pause, Mr. Pres-ident, for a moment and look at this case. A piratical vessel steals into your river, bearing the false colors of honorable cou-merce, anchors at your wharf, and receiving on board nearly one hundred of the domestics of this District, makes an early of the off its cargo of plunder! We stuffned? As well expects a way to the property of the prop alarm, behold this andacious outrage? As well expect a man to fold his arms and remain unmoved, when the serpent which has crawled into his abode, uncoils itself upon his hearthstone, and its deadly hisses ring in the ears of his children! As well ask him to sit still and exhibit no excitement, as to call upon one-half of this Union to be unmoved in the circumstances which now surround us! Sir, had these gentlemen not manifested these feelings, they would, indeed, have been what the Senator from New Hampshire denomi-

had these gentlemen no liminused these techniques, inch woods, in the doctor, have been what the Senator from New Hampshire denominates those of the North who conscientiously subtain the obligations imposed by that oath of the constitution of the as I am sustained by a conscientious sense of duty. In the spirit of the memorable sentiment of the great Mansfield, uttered in one of the memorane sentiment of the great photosuch, there in host of his famous charges, I say "it is true I love popularity; but it is that popularity which follows, not that which is run after!"—
I desire that alone which springs from strict and steady adherence

In this case. Mr. President, we have commenced at the wrong end. In the clusing remarks of my friend from Illineis I entirely concur. I should desire to see this subject brought before the Se concur. I should desire to see ... his subject to use an tate in the form of a general resolution directed to the Judiciary Committee, whose first care it should be to devise some law for the prevention and punishment of kidnapping in this District—this piratical robbery of slaves. That being done, I would go as far

as the Senator from New Hampshire, or any man, in the suppression of mobs. From the bottom of my heart I despise mobs. I never knew of a mob, I never heard or read of a mob, whatever the spirit in which it originated, that did not result in the commisthe spirit in which it originates, that do not result in the commis-sion of atroctities at which humanity shuddered. The laws of the land should be competent for the punishment of all offences. But I do not know that there has been any riot in this District. There has been no violation of the rights of property by a mob; and I has been not violation of the rights of property wy a mon, anot preserve their high and enviable reputation as a community of law and order, by abstaining from every thing like a resort to violence and force. They will, I am confident, abide in the protection of the law against any violation of their rights.

Mr. DAVIS. of Massachusetts.—I wish, before the vote is taken, to say a word or two for the purpose of placing myself right with regard to this matter. I am not very apt to be carried away by any of the excitements that sometimes have existence in this chamber; and I cannot say, at this moment, that I participate at all in the excitement which seems to exist in the minds of many What is the question that is presented for this A stranger coming into this chamber would supgentlemen here body to decide? pose that we had some measure under consideration which con cerned the deepest interests of slavery—that we were about to pass judgment upon some question affecting that great interest— that we were about to legislate upon the subject in some way that would affect it in a manner injurious to the rights of these wh property of this description. Now, I think that whoever has listened to the reading of this bill, must be satisfied that there is no such thing contained in it. If I understand it, it preposes nothing which has any special reference under any construction that can be given to it, to that particular description of property. We have laws which make municipal corporations liable for damage resultlaws which make numerical every performance nation or using eresur-ing from violence done to property by popular turmulars, where such corporation is remiss in its duty in enforcing order and obedience to the law. If I understand the proposition of the honorable Sen-ator from New Hampshire, he intends nothing more than to give He proposes nothing beyond this. security to property. He proposes nothing beyond this. This is the whole matter under consideration. But gentlemen say this is an unpropitious moment to introduce a question of this sert; and why unpropitious? Because, if I understand them rightly—and I why unprepitious? learn the fact for the first time—a mob has assailed the office of a newspaper in this city, and has rendered it uninhabitable. Well how does this connect itself with the question of slavery? Why how does this connect itself with the question of slavery! Why, it is said that from this office a newspaper issues, which is called an abolition paper. Suppose all this to be true, it is added by the Senator from New Hampshire that this paper is conducted in a temperate manner, that is employs temperate language, addressing itself to the reason and the understanding of the public; and that no complaint has been made against it by the public. Well, however, the medocratic action is to be attributed to another event how far this mobocratic action is to be attributed to another event which has happened in this District, is not for me to say. gentlemen seem to suppose that it has some connection with it.—
If it have, I am unable to see it. The Senator from New Hampshire then introduces a measure, and proposes to make the corposaire thei infroduces a measure. and proposes to make the corpo-ation liable for the damages committed, in ease they refuse to do their duty and enforce the law. Well, such a law exists in many of the States. But it is said that this is a very peculiar state of things. Here was an abolition press at work in this build. ing. Let me ask gentlemen whether they propose to stop the operations of the press; whether, in other words, they propose to tits freedom? It seems to me tuat take away from 1818 freedom? It seems to me tuat we might learn a lesson, if we would, from what is going on, on the other side of the Atlantic. The agitation of this question alono—the freedom of the press—has overthrewn many of the threncs of Eu-

Do you propose by measures of violence, or by any other mode, to put an end to the discussions of the subject, either by speeches or through the medium of the press? Whoever undertakes a work of this description has got an herculean task upon his hands—a task which he will find himself wholly incompetent to accomplish Well, why is it that the Scnate flies in the face of this measure, and objects to its reception? And I put it to the calm consideration of the Scnator from South Carolina, and these who think with him, whether the inference I have made will not be made throughout the country; and whether it will not be considered every where, an assault upon the liberty of the press, and of speech—whether it will not be irresistible, and whether it will not make a lasting impression upon the public mind. I think the people will reason in this way, upon the subject, and that they will hold out to see that the considerable sense is the subject of the subject of the considerable sense in the subject is the comment. And not presume, as the honorable Scnator from South Carolina does, that because its revisions do not cover the whole sphiere, it cannot be Well, why is it that the Scnate flies in the face of this men some, as the minoración echator richt civinh etrofina does problema de provisiona do not cover the whole subject, it examolt be made to cover the whole. If it does not answer the views of galactic tiltemen, it can be made to do so. Then why fly in the face? Why take this very unusual course of reliasing to receive the same at olf! Why, simply because, hy construction and inference are at olf? Why, simply because, hy construction and inference the same at olf. it is supposed to have some connection with the question of slavery Now, is this wise? Is it prudent? Dees it best accomplish th object which gentlemen have in view, which is to protect this kind of property? I have ever been one of that class of persons who have, at all times, considered themselves bound by the terms of the constitution on this subject, and have stood ready to support the guaranties contained in that instrument. But, at the same time. I must confess that I thought the honorable Senator

from Illiaois, in the remarks which he made here, uttered a great deal of wholesome truth. I thought he administered some wise, and prudent, and salutary admonition in those remarks, worthy of the consideration of all parties here; and I hope they will have their effect. I hope a little reflection—a little consideration—will their effect. I hope a little reflection—a little consideration—will induce gentlemen to change the course they have adopted on this subject, and to permit this measure to take the usual course of legislation. Suppose we do come to a discussion on the question, where, let me ask gentlemen, is the harm of discussion? Why, gentlemen ask what right have you to discuss our rights of property in slaves? By what anthority do you claim the privilege of inquiring into this matter? Sar, we may have no right to discuss this right of property; we may have no right to affect to title to it in any way; no such rights may be claimed. Nevertheless, no one will deny to any critizen the right to discuss the character of property of this kind. and the effect to discuss the character of property of this kind. to discuss the character of property of this kind, and the effect which laws have upon such property. Who denies this right, and where is it denied? It belongs to freedom of discussion, to the which laws have noon such property. Who denies this right, and where is it denied? It belongs to freedom of discussion, to the freedom of pseculation which exists in every free and untrammeled mind. Men may advance very absurd toons: they may reason very preposterously; they may reach very absurd conclusions, but which the whole matter lies in discussion very little, in my judgment, is gained by terming that discussion henchary in factorize the why do you expect to satisfy the public mind when character. Why do you expect to satusty the proofs important it may be to any portion of this country, and express their opinions in regard to it—do you expect to put them under foot by saying it is meendiary? If any gentleman flatter himself with hopes, and It is incendary? If any gentennan natter minests with highest expectations of this description, he is doomed to he disappointed. This discussion will go on, and the way to meet error is by confronting it with truth. Let the discussion go on; let it be free everywhere. My own opinion is, that all considerate minds here and everywhere are entirely disposed to adhere to the guaranties and compromises of the constitution, and instead of being weakened by discussion, they are at every step strengthened; they at every step become firmer and stronger bonds of union. Let no one try. step necome firmer and stronger bonds of union. Let no one try, if he can, to suppress discussion. Every attempt to stop it will result, as in Europe, in one general sentiment, which will trample under foot the power that attempts to suppressit. This will he the effect of such attempts. I invite then my friends to meet this question boldly, fearlessly, and not let this subject go to the public in the form in which it now presents itself—as a bill presented here—relating to nothing but the protection of property against the violence of a and denied admission to this hall and that table, because sup posed to have some indirect connexion with the question of slavery. Let us take, sir, a more manly view of the subject—one that accords better with the character of high minded men. Let it take its course here. Let it go to a committee; let that committee examine it, and if it does not, from any cause, meet your approbawhen it comes to be considered, then let other measures take its place-let it take its fate. But nothing, sir, is to be gained by this unusual course. I assure the gentlemen who represent this slave laterest, that instead of gaining they lose much very much.

Why, Mr. President, cannot every gentleman see, and see plainly, that when this bill comes to be published, when the terms in which it is conceived come to be read and understood, it will be seen that it is a measure differing in no essential material point from laws existing in many of the free States and free countries everywhere—and as a Senator near me says in some of the slave States—making corporations under certain circumstances liable for the violence of mobs? And whever takes the ground that this bill has been brought in at an unpropiotion moment, and for that reason wish by and by to get rid of. What have we to be wish present movement, sir—with the particular and peculiar circumstances which surround the question? I may judgment, nothing at all. I do not undertake to say what the motives were, of the Senator from New Hampshire, in introducing this bill; it does not become me to inquire into them. It is enough for me to know that if the printing difice of the Union or National Intelligencer were assailed and injured by a mob, that it would be my dnty to inquire how it happened, and whether farther provisions were required in addition to the present laws of a he District in order to appress such disturbances. The same measure of justice I should mete out in other cases, I would gree in this case. The same measure of justice I should mete out in other cases, I would gree in this case. And if it turns out that this care is unworthly bestowed, that it does not demand legislation, then let it take its destiny. But this is not the way to deal with it. It does not, in my judgment, have the sanction of deliberation, I have a lawsy been of the opinion that nothing has been gained by the opposition to the introduction of eliberation. I have a lawsy been of the opinion that nothing has been gained by the opposition to the introduction of the subject of the subject has been reated by the opposition to the introduction of the subject of the subject of the subject has been reated by the opposition to the introducti

Mr. BUTLER .- From the course which this discussion has

taken, is clearly indicated the approaching storm which will ero long burst upon this country. I am presuaded that the part of the country which I represent is destined to be in a minority—a doomed minority. I feel satisfied that all that we have to look to for proceed in the properties of the constitution, and the compromises made mader it; and I feel as well assured as I do of any sentiment I ever fittered, that these guaranties will be violated—as well assured as I am that the compromises which have been made have hend disregarded. I feel that the sentiment of the North against the institution of slavery is advancing with the certainty of the malarian from the Poettine marshes—with the certainty of all promains of the properties of the p

gressive movements, and there is no disguising it.

Why, on all occasions—whether of domestic or foreign consideration—the slave question is obtraded upon us. When a resolution was offered in this body in the name of the nation to congratulate the French people upon the commencement of their efforts in favor of the establishment of republican principles, an amendment was offered to congratulate them upon the confiscation of some of the property belonging to the people—to especially congratulate them on the emuncipation of their West Indian slaves. Let it be proposed to acquire territory by the joint arms—the united exertions of the people of the whole Union, and we of the South, are forced to subto the insult of linving it proposed, that the soil purchased and enriched by the blood of southern troops would be polluted by their occupation of it, after a treaty of peace, when brought into comparison with those who claim superiority over them by virtue of their institutions. Sir, we are thus insulted every morning of our lives by the presentation of petitions of individuals, and resolutions of States, stigmatizing southern institutions as unworthily connected with this confederacy, going to show that the guaranties of the constitution will be, as the compromises have been, disregarded. But before I approach this part of the subject, I beg to address to you a few comarks upon the bill which is offered for our considera-tion. What is the bill, su? I troposes to require from the in-habitants of this District to enter into bonds—for it amounts to tion. What is the bill, sir? It proposes to require from the inhabitants of this District to enter into bonds—for it amounts to that—to indemnify all persons who shall suffer losses by means of a mob—to indemnify all persons for any possible trespass that may be committed upon them by irresponsible violence. Now, I must be permitted to say that this is a sort of feejisation, that is not to be found in that part of the country in which I live. I think it is unknown in the States south of the Potennae. Why should we be called on to pass a law at this time, to give indemnity for trespasses committed by a mob? It I were satisfied that the trespasses committed by a mob? If I were satisfied that the existing laws of the District, were inadequate to the protection of the property of the citizen, I do not know that I should be averse to the adoption of some measure that might be calculated to control the movements of a mob. But what is the fact? Why, that the laws are inadequate to the protection of the owners of slaves against those who are disposed to interfere with that species of property, whilst other species of property has adequate protection. I put the question to the hon-ceills Senator from Naw Hamphing whether he will seem some property has adequate protection. I put the question to the honorable Senator from New Hampshire, whether he will agree now to bring in a law to give additional security to slaveholders, by the enactment of penalties, and I am told by that gentleman 'no; the law I would introduce would be of entirely a different charactery one to confiscent their property by the ennacipation of slaves in the District of Columbia. And to destroy and undermine the institution, all influences are left to effect their silent work the press, private counsel, influence of opinion. Here in the District of Columbia a paper, addressed to slaves as well as to others, is issued, inculcating in the minds of the slaves the right to rebel; a more than right; a duty-leading them to acts that are inconsistent with their peace and happiness, and such as will certainly inflict cruelty upon deluded human beings, by seducing them into a condition which compels their masters to use them with greater severity. This is like kindling a fire in the middle of a dry prairie, and expecting it not to burn with certain destruction. I ask the gentle-man if he is willing to afford protection to the holders of slave property, and I am answered that slaveholders are entitled to no proproperty, and an assected unterstatements are entitled to no pro-tection. An I expected to stand here and under the forms of con-stitutional legislation, give my support to measures, which must destroy one of the institutions under which we live? I solemnly believe that the gentlemen from the North are not sensible of the tendencies of such measures as they are proposing. When the constitution was formed its provisions were adopted in good faith, constitution was formed its provisions were adopted in good faith, and I had hoped that some portion of the same spirit which actuated the framers of that instrument, would be found pervading this body at this time. That good faith, if it were to be found, would preserve to us the guaranties which are provided in the constitution. tion, and I tell gentlemen that our fathers would never have consented to come into the confederacy if they believed that these encroachments would ever have been made, and that too under the authority of their joint constitution. The spirit of fanaticism the automotive control possibility is the state of the st its provisions, not implied, but expressed in terms sufficiently ex-plicit, that if slaves escaped there should be a cooperation on the part of the authorities of the State to which they fled to deliver them up, and as the understanding of the terms such until recently was the practice. In 1793 an act was passed making it penal for any one in any of the non-slaveholding States to harbor or conceal a slave; and there is another important commentary contained in

that law, that by that very act provision is made that State courts all all set their authority to aid in delivering up furgitive slaves. That act was made to provide the mode of delivering up romaway slaves. It was made on the assumption that they should be delivered up under the provisions of an extradition treaty. The measure received the general concurrence of Congress and the people. This sect looked to good faith for its execution and enforcement. It had the sanction of the wisest men of all sections, not as speculative theorists but as practical statesmen, who looked. When the law was proposed, what would southern men have thought if they had been told that the courts should allord no such relief, and that it would be criminal for State officers to give assistance? Why, sir, they would have gone no further with compromise, but being the stronger party they would have looked out for their own security. The act was made in good faith to couch the provision of a compromise, to procure and the law has been emacted in the State of New York, one in Massachusetts, and I believe I could name a dozen other States where similar laws have been passed, declaring that the State courts have no jurisdiction entirely disregarded, and laws have been passed in defearal jurisdiction. Here, then, is one of the compromises of the constitution entirely disregarded, and laws have been passed interposing obstacles to the recapture of slaves, such as would make it magnetous for the cower to make the attempt to reclaim

In M. Messchosetts it is made criminal under high penalties for constables to adi in apprehending a fugitive slave; and for judiors to allow their prisons to be used for safe-keeping—a law of precisely the same import has been passed in Rhode Island, and of similar import in nearly all the States north of Maryland. To the North we can look for no adi in apprehending this species of property. So far from fulfilling the provisions and compromises of constitutions of the constitution, and its express guaranties, entered into by our ancestors, will be observed in good faith. And that is to be our security—the security of good faith, and that is to be our security—the security of good faith, and that is to be our security—the security of good faith, and by those who have shown that they cannot resist the temptations of ungenerous jealously, or criminal ambition! This is worse than resting on a broken reseawhere controversies have arisen under such laws, the Supreme Court has decided them to be unconstitutional. Do they stop there? Would to God I could say they did. What is our condition when our property of this kind—property recognized by the constitution—is taken away from us? Can we appeal to their municipal officers? They point to special by the constitution—is taken away from us? Can we hoped to their municipal officers? They point to their municipal officers? They point to their municipal officers? They point out a transparent to excite a feeling of aversion to slaweholders. They have constitutional rights, but no power to enforce them. Yet I am told, rely on compromise, and, at any rate, "that it is unbecoming in the South to manifest excitement—that we must keep perfectly quiet—out be alarmed, it is all perfectly right." When the lire is burning around me, I am told that I must keep perfectly quiet—out be alarmed, it is all perfectly right." When the lire is burning around me, I am told that I must keep perfectly quiet—out be alarmed, it is all perfectly right." When the lire is burning around me, I am told

#### "Thou can'st not say I did it, Shake not thy gory looks at me."

I declare solemily before Heaven, that I believe that we are in a decomed minority and that it is the duty of the South to take some means to avert the evil. I have no confidence that the guaranties of the constitution will be regarded. I have no confidence in those who choose to preach to me of good laith, while I have examples of its flagitions violations, and tell me all is well when I see ruin impending over me. I wish I could have confidence. I am told that when a measure of this kind is proposed, it is our duty to give it all the forms of legislation. The confidence is a single property of the property. The red to defend the rights of the property in the property of the property in the property. It is sue must come. Ambition will avail itself of it; the elements of its developments, and of mischief are contained in it. I believe from the course which this discussion has taken, that many gentlemen will vote for this highly that if they do, they will do an act, the effects of which they do not appreciate. Gentlemen do not understand the feelings of a slave population as in the midst of a free. We will see more of this in other forms—I make the prediction, that should any part of Mexico come into the acquisitons of this Union, there will be provisions introduced to prohibit slavery. The whole territory of the South is to be put into the power of hose who tell me that "in good judges as they are when they undertake to pursue the middle course, they keep it so long as it is their interest, and no longer. What a security for modernth on part—and confident relineace on the good faith of those who had now part the part of the warmth, but I hope the Senator from New Haupshere will, at

least, do me the justice to say that it has not been without provocestion. I have avoided epithets and violent dominations, because I am prepared for grave issues when solemn determination and not violence must be resorted to. I am willing to wish the Union safe, but to be so it must preserve right, and maintain constitutional obligations. I cannot resume my seat without expressing the high gratification with which I have listened to the cloquent remarks of the honorable Senator from Indiana, [Mr.-HANNEGAN]. He has taken the high-minded and independent course which his character entitled us to expect. I am confident that be will be fully sustained by all true-hearted patriots throughout the Union.

Mr. CAMERON—I rise merely to defend my own State—that great State which I have the honor to represent—on a single point which has been alluded to by the distinguished Senator from South Inclina. [Mr. CALHOUN]. That Senator has done injustice to Pennsylvania, (unintentionally doubtless.) in comparing a recent law of her's with an act of the late Legislature of New York. The New York statute, it is said, makes it a penal offence for any of her citizents to nid in the arrest or restoration of figuitive slaves to their owners. The law of Pennsylvania is a widely different affair. Her act of 1826 made it the duty of the State officers to aid in the arrest of slaves; which act, as has been stated by the courts. The last act, therefore, is merely a declaratory one, setting forth the fact that those officers were not required by the State laws to render such aid. The duty of the citizens remains un-changed, and is is no way affected.

enangea, and is in no way affected.

No attempt has been made by Pennsylvania to interfere, in any way, with the power or authority of the general government, not the duty of the citizens to that government. The marshal or his deputy can call to his aid a sufficient posse at any time, when may be necessary to sustain the laws of the Union; and no act in the history of Pennsylvania can be pointed to, which will show that she has, in a single instance, been wanting in a due regard for the guarantees of the constitution, and the compromises under it. Nor will she ever he. The Senator allufied, also, to a disturbance in Carlisle. Undue importance has been attached to the affair, the persons concerned in it were tried, and those found guilty were properly, and I may add, severely poinshed. They are still incarcerated within the walls of a pententiary. As to the death of a citizen from another State, I am positively assured that he was the victim of disease, and that his death was not at all at-

ributable to this disturbance.

Pennsylvania has no sympathy with the ultra abolitionists. She has within her borders no funaties as a body. She may have, and doubtless has, a few individuals who join in these movements of the ultra abolitionists; but they have no aid or countenance from the great body of her intelligent people. A very lew mem—honest and well-meaning, no doubt—sympathize with the Senator from New Hampshire in dottrine and feeling; but the masses of the people are entirely willing to leave the domestic institutions of other States where they properly belong—in their own hands. They feel that they have no right whatever, under the constitution, to interfere with them. What they claim for the constitution, to interfere with them. What they claim for the constitution of the constitu

commended to the feeling evinced upon this subject by contern Semitors. It is natural, and not to be wondered at. We have seen a vessel come within sight of this capitol, upon which floats the proud dlag which, I trust, will ever remain as the emblem of our happy Union, and in the dead of night decoy and carry off nearly a hundred negroes, the property of citizens of the District. They feel that if such a state of things is tolerated here, in the very presence of the government, to them the guaranties of the constitution are utterly useless—the safeguards and compromises upon which they have been relying are only mockery. I differ in tole from the Senator from Illinois, with regard to the effect of the agitation of this question. If anybody is injured by it, it must be the Senator from New Hampshire, and his friends. Nor dot believe that this body should be deterred from discussing any question, from a fear of its effect upon the presidency. The South, as well as the Academia as to who shall fill the presidential chair. And why shall they, therefore, not be excited In the excitement growing out of the recent outrage, to which I have alluded, the Senator from New Hampshire has gravely introduced a bill, parporting to be a bill to protect the property of citizens of this District plut, rightly viewed, it is a bill calculated to concourage similar outrages. What could have induced him to introduce a such a measure at this moment of excitement? He has brought forward this question to-day, as be does often, for his own amusement. It can do no good, except perhaps to extend his popularity.

Mr. HALE .- I call the gentleman to order.

The PRESIDING OFFICER.—Will the Senate reduce to writing his point of order.

Mr. HALE.—Certainly. The words are these: "The gentle-man from New Hampshire has introduced this measure, as he has many others, for his amusment."

The PRESIDING OFFICER.—In the opinion of the Chair the Senator is not out of order.

Mr. HALE .- I must take an appeal from that decision.

The question being put upon the appeal; the decision of the Chair was sustained—ayes 23, noes 5.

Mr. CAMERON.—The bill itself is wholly uncalled for. No citizen of the District has ealled for it; and it would be unjust to force npon them a law for which they had not asked—to say nothing of the inapplicability of its provisions to the circumstances of the District. Whenever any saci measure is needed, the people of the District will ask for it; and when properly digested by the committree through which they are represented here, it will

réceive the due consideration of Congress.
But I rose only for the purpose of putting my State right on a point or two on which her position seemed to be misapprehended—not to discuss this question at length. She needs no vindication at my hands. Her citizens are an intelligent and reflecting people, strongly attached to the confederacy noder which they have prospered so greatly. They will abole by the constitution to the last operation of the properties of

The motion being temporarily withdrawn-

Mr. CALHOUN said: I rise simply to state upon what grounds I made the assertion that the act of Pennsylvania was similar to

the act of New Vork, but did not go so far. The act of New York makes it penal even for the eitizens of New York to aid the lederal officers. The act of Pennsylvania does not, but makes it illegal for her magistrates and citizens to ecooperate, except with the federal officers. New, the provision of the constitution of the United States requires an active eco-operation on the part of the State, its eitizens and magistrates, in the delivery of figitive slaves, and anything short of that is a violation of the constitution, and calculated to destroy the efficiency of the law of the United States in reference to that subject. To that extent the law of Pennsylvania, as well as that of New York, is unconstitutional.

Mr. CRITTENDEN .- What is the motion pending ?

The PRESIDING OFFICER.—The Senator from Pennsylvania made a motion to adjourn, but gave way to the Senator from South Carolina

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—I intend to renew that motion. I think after the excitement we have all witnessed to-day, we will be better prepared to decide with all discussed to susually marks the proceedings of this body, at a future session. I move, therefore, that we now adjourn.

Mr. BENTON.—Will the gentleman withdraw the motion for a moment? I move that the paper be printed.

Ordered, That the bill be printed for the use of the Senate.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, then gave notice, that should the Senator from New Hampshire have leave to introduce his bill, he would move the following resolution:

Resided, That the committee to whom was referred the "Bill relating to mate and orlia/rid assembles in the D-treet of Colombia," le, and they are kerely, instructed a united the action lib by insering a section in the same of the action protection. By The Colombia Colombia

On motion.

The Senate then adjourned.

# MONDAY, APRIL 24, 1848.

#### CREDENTIALS.

Mr. ASHLEY presented the credentials of the Hon. Solon Bobland, appointed a Senator by the Governor of the State of Arkansas, to fill the vacaney occasioned by the resignation of the Hon. Androse H. Skuler, which were read.

The VICE PRESIDENT administered the oath required by law to Mr. Berland; and he took his seat in the Senate.

## THE FRENCH CELEBRATION.

The VICE PRESIDENT had before the Senate a communication from the Executive Committee of a general meeting of the citizens of Washington, inviting the Senate and its Presiding Offect to join in the celebration of the recent French Revolution and the other Republican movements in Europe, arranged to take place to-day; which was read.

#### PETITIONS

Mr. HANNEGAN presented the memorial of the Ohio and Mississippi Rail Road Company, praying to be allowed the right to of way over the public lands in Indiana and Illinois for the use of that company; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands

Mr. CAMERON presented the memorial of the Board of Trade of the city of Pitt-burgh, Pennsylvania, praying the enactment of a law to provide additional security against the explosion of steam boilers on board of vessels propelled by steam; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, presented a memorial of citizens of the United States, praying the purchase of Mount Vernon by the government; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. HALE presented four petitions of citizens of New York, praying that an investigation may be made by Congress in relation to certain allegations made against the officer discharging the duty of military governor at Jalapa, in Mexico; which were referred to the Committee on Military Alfairs.

Mr. PEARCE presented a memorial of citizens of Baltimore Maryland, praying the purchase of Mount Vernon by the government; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Also, four memorials from etitzens of Philadelphia, complaining of the monopoly granted to the Camden and Amboy Rail Road and Delaware and Roritan Canal Companies, and of the right assumed by the Legislature of the State of New Jersey to impose duties upon all passengers and merchandize carried across the State, and praying for the survey of a route for a post-road between the etities of New York and Philadelphia, to be used for the construction of a railroad; which were referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Mr. ASHLEY presented a petition of citizens of Arkansas, praying an additional grant of land for the purposes of education in that State, and the right to enter other lands in lieu of such school lands as are unlit for cultivation; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

Mr. DIX presented the memorial of Sarah Ann Hart, widow of Benjamin F. Hart, praying that the pension heretofore granted to her may be continued; which was referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

On motion by Mr. DIX, it was

Ordeged, That the memorial of Sarah Ann Hart, widow of Benjamin F. Hart, and the memorial of Juel Kelly and others, sureties of Benjamin F. Hart, deceased, on the liles of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Naval Alfairs.

On motion by Mr. CLAYTON, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Arnold Nandain, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee of Claims.

# THE LIBRARY OF GEN. WASHINGTON.

 $M_{\Gamma}$ . CLARKE submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to :

Resulted. That the Committee on the Labraty be, mad they heady are, directed to ascertain from the present owner of the Honry of the late Ura. George Washington whether the same is now for aids, or what number and value are the books in said is brity, and at what prace the same can be purchased by Congress.

# PROCEEDINGS AND DEBATES.

Mr. BELL submitted the following resolution for consideration:

Recolved, That the Reporter of the Senate be directed to supply each member of the House of Representatives with a copy of his report of proceedings and debates of

the United States Senate for the present Congress; the expense to be paid out of the contingent fund of the Senate.

Mr. BELL asked the immediate consideration of the resolution, as he presumed no Senator would object to it. It was simply a courtesy that was due to the members of that body.

Mr. TURNEY would remind the Senator that the House had already the Congressional Globe, in which appeared the proceedings as well as the debates published in extenso, and that if they desired the proceedings and debates of the Senate, they could supply themselves. He would prefer, therefore, that the resolution take the usual course, and lie over one day.

The resolution was laid over.

#### CLAIM AGAINST PORTUGAL.

Mr. CLARKE submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to:

considered by unanimous consent and agreed to:

Rendeed, That the President of the United States be requested to famish to the
Senate expose of any correspondence in the Department of State with the American
Change of Affains in Portigs, in relation to the chain of the owners of the ship Miles,
the Change of Affains in Portigs, in relation to the chain of the owners of the ship Miles,
unest of a cargo of oil taken by the officers and applied to the uses of that government.
Also, capts of any correspondence between our Change and the Minatter of the Fortagence government relating to the ciain far, and payment of said cargo, together
with such payers as me in the department substantiating the claim.

#### JUDICIAL POWERS TO MINISTERS AND CONSULS.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, submitted an amendment which he designs to offer to the bill to carry into effect certain provisions in the treaties between the United States and China, and the Ottonan Porte, giving certain judicial powers to ministers and consuls of the United States in those countries; which was ordered to be printed.

#### RECOMMITTALS.

On motion by Mr. CAMERON, it was

Ordered, That the bill of the Senate to incorporate the Washington Mutual Insurance Company and Savings Institution be recommitted to the Committee on the District of Columbia.

On motion by Mr. MASON, it was

Ordered, That the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of William Ralston be recommitted to the Committee of Claims.

# ADVERSE REPORT.

Mr. FELCH, from the Committee to audit and control the centingent expenses of the Senate, to whom was referred the resolution submitted by Mr. Bexton, on the 15th March, to compensate James Moore, reported it with the recommendation of the Committee that it be rejected.

### PRIVATE BILLS.

Mr. NILES, from the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads, to whom was referred the memorial of William Greer, reported a bill for his relief; which was read and passed to the second reading.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. BRIGHT asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill for the relief of Gamaliel Taylor, late Marshal of the United States for the district of Indiana, and his securities; which was read the first and second times by unanimous consont, and referred to the Committee on the Judicary.

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN ALABAMA.

Mr. LEWIS, by unanimous consent, asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to change the place of holding the District Court of the United States for the middle district of Alabama, which was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

### PROCEEDS OF THE PURLIC LANDS.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. FOOTE asked and obtained leave to bright in a bill to amend an act entitled 'am act to appropriate be proceeds of the public lands, and to grant pre-emption rights;" which was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and relerred to the Committee on Public Lands.

### JOHN LORIMER GRAHAM.

On motion by Mr. PEARCE, the prior orders were postponed and the bill for the relief of John Lorimer Graham, late postnaster in the city of New York, was read the second time and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and no amendment being made it was reported to the Senate. Ordered. That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time, by unanimous consent.

Resolved, That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

#### OUDURNS IN STORIS

On motion by Mr. WESTCOTT, the prior orders were postponed and the Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill respecting certain surveys in the State of Florida; and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time, by unanimous consent.

The question being taken on the passage of the bill, it was

Resolved. That this bill pass, and that the title thereof he as aforesaid.

Ordered. That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Ropresentatives in this bill.

# MILITARY LAND WARRANTS.

On motion by Mr. BRESE, the prior orders were postponed, and the bill to require holders of military land warrants to compensate the land officers of the United States for services in relaand considered as in Committee of the Whole; and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time, by unanimous consent.

Revolved. That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as afore: aid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

#### BRIDGE OVER THE EASTERN BRANCH.

On motion by Mr. CAMERON, the prior orders were postponed, and the bill to provide a free communication across the eastern branch of the Potomac, in the District of Columbia, was taken up for a second reading; when,

On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# TUESDAY, APRIL 25, 1848.

Mr. BREESE presented the petion of L. P. Sanger, praying compensation for services in carrying the mail; which we red to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Also, the petition of Frink and Hadduck, praying compensation for their services in carrying the mail; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Also a memorial of the Commission of Fayette county, Illinois, praying that authority may be conferred on the State of Illinois to collect tolls on that portion of the Cumberland road jving within her ilmits, for the purpose of raising fluids for the repair of said road; which was referred to the Committee on Roads and Canals.

Mr. CAMERON presented a memorial of the Professors of the National Medical College in the city of Washington, praying that an appropriation may be made for the support of the Hospital in that place, which was read and referred to the Committee on the Committee on the District of Columbia.

Mr. CLAYTON presented two memorials of citizens of Philadelphia, complaining of an alleged monopoly granted to the Cam-den and Amboy Raliroad and Delaware and Raritan Canal Com-panies, by the Legislature of the State of New Jersey, and praying the construction of a railroad, as a post road, between the cities of New York and Philadelphia.

Mr. CLAYTON .- I shall not at this time go into any detailed Mr. CLAYTON.—I shall not at this time go into any detailed statement of the facts presented in this memorial. The memori-alists complain of what they regard as a grievance in an act of the State of New Jersey, establishing a railroad company, which enjoys a monopoly of the route between the cities of Philadelphia and New York. They complain that the tolls on this road are excessive—that the exactions from people of other States of the Union are made greater than those imposed upon the newale of excessive—that the exactions from people of other States of the Union are much greater than those imposed upon the people of New Jersey—that the people of other States are compelled to people form dollars fare, while the citizens of Now Jersey themselves are charged with a toll of only three cents per mile, being a little more than one half the amount exacted from citizens of other States than one half the amount exacted from citizens of other States passing over the road. I shall not, however, as I said, go at present into this subject, but merely ask a reference of the usemo-rial to the Committee on Pest Offices and Pest Roads. I know nothing of the facts stated in the memorial, except on commer rumor and report, and from what I have understood, I believe that the facts are correctly stated. The subject, however, who receive the consideration of the comfittee, or middless on the road to report to us whether the prayer of the memorialists ought to be granted, which asks, as it will be perceived, for a survey of the route with a view to further action on the part of the general gov-

Mr DAVTON -1 am certainly somewhat surprised at the statement made by the honorable Senator from Delaware. statement made by the honorable Seaator from Delaware. That newspapers, and newspaper, writers should at any time attempt to excite prejudice against local companies in ordor to subserve rival interests, or from any other notive, is not surprising. But that the Seaator from Delaware should give a species of quasi authority to such statements does strike me with surprise. I have no hesitation in saying, that this rutire statement in reference. I have no hesitation in saying the statement in reference the alleged extortions practiced by these companies upon the publications. the alleged extorious practised by these companies allow the prior life, under the authority of the State, is an outer mistake. In the first place in reference to the fare. For some seventy or eighty miles the amount of fare by the charter of New Jersey is fixed at three dollars. That is the maximum. There is an additional three dollars. That is the maximum. There is an additional charge of one dollar, but that is imposed by running on the Pennsylvania side of the river. So far as the Legislature of New Jersey is concerned, giving them a direct line from city to city, the maximum fare is three dollars.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, (in his seat.)—They hug the Pennsylvania shore!

Mr. DAYTON.—The State of New Jersey has nothing to do with it. Then, in regard to the amount of toll ten cents is levied upon each passenger. Not a very quantal or heavy charge; for you are to recollect, that the stock and dividends of the company do you are to recoilect, that the stock and dividends of the company do not, as in other States, pay a cent arx. The whole amount which the State now receives as tax from the Camden and Ambor Rail-road Company, is a tax of ten cents a head. I believe that the toll levied on the road between Washington and Baltimore is fifty cents, for one-third of the distance.

# Mr. PEARCE.-One-fifth.

Mr. DAYTON.—The amount paid by the Camden and Amboy All 10 A. 10 A. Annoy Railroad is nothing more than a fair tax. The stockholders now receive some 12 per cent. as a dividend, I beliove, and the stock sells at 140 for 100 par value, and yet the State of New Jersey is charged with playing the extertioners on the public by taxing the company. It is a question between the company and the public, and not between the public and the State. But I do not wish to go into the minutize of the matter at the present moment. If the memorial he referred to the committee, I doubt not, if they think it a matter within the jurisdiction of the federal legislature; and that we have no peculiar rights within our own limits, they will look into the facts and report for the information of the Senate and the pullic.

Mr. MILLER .- I must also express my surprise at the presentation of this memorial. One remark was made which is alto-gether unfounded. It was said that the State of New York, by law, authorized the increase of the rate of fare taken from citizens law, authorized the increase of the rate of fare taken from citizons of other States, and that the State received a portion. There is no such law in existence. The State of New Jersey, at the time of the passage si these acts, and by way of contract I suppose, to prevent any future tax upon the company and its stock, fixed the amount of tax at ten cents a head on the passengers. That was nothing more than a mode of taxation agreed upon between the State and the company, and it might as well be said that a toll of ten cents levied on a bridge, fixed by a State legislature, and anticized by the statute, was a tax imposed by the State upon perthorized by the statute, was a tax imposed by the State upon per-sons travelling through the State. It is nothing more than the ordinary tax, and a very small one, upon the amount of capital. But I should like to understand how it is that our good friends in Phila delphia come here to Congress and ask it to interfere with our local institutions—to ask Congress for the purpose of breaking down, as they say, a monopoly in New Jersey—to create a right of way through our limits for the people of other States. This is a new question truly, and I call the attention of my honorable friend from Connecticut, the chairman of the Committee on the Post Office and Post Road, to take this affair into serious consideration.

Mr. CLAYTON .- Before 1 proceed to reply to my friends from New Jersey, I will take occasion to read a very short letter ad-dressed to me with the memorial. My correspondent, who is one of the most respectable citizens of Philadelphia, says:

We are getting very titled of monopolies in this part of the sourcey, and I may be a source of the s

I shall now ask for a reading of the memorial.

The SECRETARY then read the memorial, which is as follows:

To the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States in Congress assembled The memoral of the subscribers, citizens of Philadelphia, respectfully sheweth-

The stants and House of Representatives.

The amount of the subscription of Control Parts and Control Parts. The control Parts is controlled to the control Parts of Control Parts. The control Parts of Control P

ste fast seering melesation of clarge, frequency of communication, and rapidity of framework and the communication of the communication, and rapidity of framework and the communication of the communication is the communication in the communication is inferently and in many quadrate, when they would be made a separate of the communication, while communication is inferently and transportation far few rapid that non-other roads. And they are communication is inferently and whose the communication of the communication is inferently as the communication is removed to the communication of the communication of the communication is removed to the communication of the communication

That in addition thereto it imposes a heavy amount of indirect taxation in various

ways, to wit—

By deterring persons engaged in trade from travelling to attend to their own affa
and thus compelling them to pay commissions to a vast amount.

By detering persons organed in trade from travelling to attend to their own affairs, and thus compelling them to pay commissions to a variat amount.

By preventing the fire interchange of commodities, between the several portions of the fines, and none particularly the tween the crites of New York and Philadelphia. By compelling the singurent by set of vest quantities of mentalizer, thus causing By preventing that fire intercents between the people of the various portions of the Union seed.

By preventing that fire intercents between the people of the various portions of the Union seed.

Usion, to ossenial to their own improvement, and to the harmony and propertity of the Linna health of Linna health of Linna health of Linna health of the Linna health of Linna he

time have been removed without their and, whereast the unionity new extroorg committed on the that people themselves are not, and never can be completed to restrained and the people themselves are not, and never can be completed to restrain of the first people of the tialon. They have, for many years, been and their contraction of the first people of the tialon. They have, for many years, been and their encellandings, and they see no proposed of relief from any action within the state of two decay tieff, which has just a low greated to this Company affective evail be pleased to direct their annex of the first people of

Mr. CLAYTON.—Now I do not know what portion of the me-morial which has been read, my friends from New Jersey undertake to deny. The first and most material of the statements is thus, that the State of New Jersey has made a contract with this take to deny. The first and nost material of the statements is take to deny. Before New Jersey has made a contract with this company, giving it an exclusive right to earry passengers over this context, of the context of the state of the state of the state over ple of the United States.

Mr. MILLER .- (In his seat.) The State does not receive a quarter of a million

Mr. CLAYTON -I give the statement of the memorialists Mr. CLAYTON—I give the statement of the memorialists—but let the sun be what it may, I contend that the exaction is unjust. It ought not to be extorted from the people of other States. The memorialists say farther, that one of the acts of the legislature makes a distinction between the toll charged upon the people of New Jersey, and the people of other States. They allege that the people of New Jersey and the people of their States. They allege that the people of New Jersey whilst citizene of other States are charged four doll new oblars, whilst citizene of other States are charged four doll, and the new polaries of the States are charged four doll, and they say five dollars in the night time.

Mr. MILLER .- There is no such law in existence.

Mr. CLAYTON .- All that will undergo the examination of the committee. These memorialists then ponsider themselves greatly aggrieved by this monopoly. And my friend says that the general government has no right to interefere. Then I suppose the general government has no right to establish a post route across the State of New Jersey. I shall not debate that question now. Let it go before the committee and they will form their judgment apon it. If they think it is an unjust monopoly, and that the government of the United States has the power to establish a post route, I trust we shall grant the prayer of the memorial, and order the survey to be made. If there is a constitutional inability in Conserver to be made. If there is a constitutional inability in Conserver to the made. committee. These memorialists then consider themselves greatly I trike we shall grant the prayer of the memoriar, and ovder the survey to be made. If there is a constitutional inability in Con-gress to make the law, of course we can do nothing. And if the State of New Jersey can thus extort a tax from the citizens of

30TH CONG .- 1ST SESSION-No. 67.

other States, every other State may do the same. Thus if the example of the State of New Jersey in the matter is to be sustained, we may predict with great certainty, that the other States through which people are compelled to tractly upon that great throughfare from North to South, will also lay transit duties, and the result will be a general oppression upon the travelling community, which has hitherto been unexampled. It all this he right on the part of New Jersey, what will prevent Maryland from doing the same thing?

Mr. MILLER, (in his seat.)-She does the same thing.

Mr. CLAYTON.—No. She has granted, as her Senators say, no monopoly. New Jersey stands alone. What is to prevent Delaware from doing the same thing? She has two rail-roads and a canal passing through her limits. If this trunsit duty can be sustained in one State, why it will be sustained in others, and the consequence will be, that which I have just suggested, a general system of oppression upon the whole travelling community do not now intend to go into the question whether there is a con-stitutional power in Congress to make post routes in the State of New Jersey, because I desire to call the attention of the committee to the facts and the law in the case, leaving them to investigate it. The memorialists are men of the highest respectability; and whether their statement be true or false, can be easily ascertained. In the hands of the committee I therefore leave the subject.

Mr. MILLER .-- If the Senator will permit, I beg to state that Mr. MILLER—It the Senator will permit, I beg to state turn there is no manopoly in this case in the sense on which the gentle-man inderstands it. There are two roads between the city of Philadelphia and New York, on which the great traveling takes place. The Camden and Amboy rail-road was originally from Camden to South Amboy. Then there is one from Treaton, pass-ing through Princeton, New Brinswick and Newark, an indepenthe two dentity competing with the other road. These ear-ters were intended to induce parties to invest money in these on-dertakings, and are quite similar to the charters granted to other roads by Maryland and Delaware, providing that no other rail-road should be constructed within a certain distance of the road con-structed by the company to when the charter is given. If the gentleman means that when a State incorporates a railroad com initially, and seems to them for a certain number of years the right of currying freight and passengers on other railroads free of competition, that a monopoly is created, I think that the States of Maryland and Delawire have also created monopolies, for the travelling between Baltimore and Philadelphin, is entirely under the control of one company, and it the States of New Jersey has thought proper to secure to the company this right for a number of years, and has this created a unoopoly; we have monopolies all over the United States. I am somewhat surpressed to hear the Senator say that Congress ought to look into this "odions monopoly."—Does he undertake to assert that any one of the independent States of this Union has not a right to give what is called a monopoly, in order to seeme her citizens, or other persons who may invest money in canals or railroads, the right of exclusive use for a certain number of years, and that Congress most interfere for the purpose number of years, and that Congress must interfer for the purpose of suppressing this so called monopoly? This, it appears to me, would be a direct interference with State rights of considerable importance. I do not stand here for the purpose of defending this company; I believe the rate of fare is too high, but that is a matter entirely between the company and its customers. I do not see what right we have to interfere. But the city of Philadelphia complains. Now, this Camden and Amboy railroad, for nearly one half the way, traverses the State of Pennsylvania. They own or control a road under the law of Pennsylvania, and I have not inquired into the matter in order to ascertain whether that he a monopoly or not. If it is not, the people can open a new read, because the State of Jennsylvania has the control of that road, and I therefore think that if there is any ground of complaint from eity of Philadelphia, she should apply to the legislature of her own

Mr. CLAYTON.—I am glad to heav the Senator admit that the railroad company clarges too much; and that if we can return the railroad company clarges too much; and that if we can return the railroad company clarges to much; and that if we can return the railroad such as the result of the return the railroad such as referred to the legislation, on this whole subject. She has two railroads, in which acapital of six millions has been invested. I believe all the tax she receives from both these roads, is about one or two thousand dollars a year each—not enough to pay her for her trouble in legislating for the benefit of the companies who own these roads. She has also a large canal, which cost three millions of dollars, and does not levy a traction of the memorialists were true; and the Senator from New Jersey says it is, for he admits that the State of New Jersey has probibited herself from constructing another railroad within ten miles, or within some considerable distance of this one. It is impossible for passengers to go between the two cities in the same time, if they be driven to a railroad route more than ten miles form either of the cities. Therefore the charge of monopoly is fully asstanced. driven to a railroad route more than ten miles from either of the cities. Therefore the charge of monopoly is fully sostained. It was not my design to say anything upon this sabject, and I bops I have not said anything offensive to the feelings of my friends from New Jersey. I have great respect for that State and for ber Senators on this floor; but as citizens of the United States do complain of this act of legislation, I can have no hesitation in calling it to the attention of the appropriate committee of this body, in order that if any action be necessary, it may be adopted.

Mr. DANTON—I have but a single word to say. In my opinion we are engaged in a debate with which the Senate of the United States has nothing whatever to do, and the Senator from Delaware knows that very well. Will be, as a lawyer, as a Senator, say that Congress has any thing in the world to do with such a matter? He has carefully eschewed saying any thing of the kind. But it was not in reference to the petition that my associate or myself desired to make any remarks, it was to correct the erroneous impression which might be made that the State of New Jorsey played the exterioner. Against thet impression out the statements by the senate has been described by the senate of the statements by the Senator from the statements by the senate is well as just to the State which we have the honor represent, to say that the statement is incorrect. The Senator says that we are mistaken with respect to the legislation of Delaware, and it is very likely to be the case that gentlemen may be mistaken when they speak of the legislation of States to which they do not belong. The "respectable correspondent" of the Senator Iron Delaware speaks about being "licked out of the ears," though he does not say exactly if he has been the victim. I am confident that he is entirely mistaken at hear is a strength of which the tothen thave just as little foundation as that about being "kicked out of the cars." The State derives ten cents of which the town have been as he shall be such as the state of the state, and the same things which are taxed by the State, and the same things which are taxed by the State, and the same things that we do not he form in which the tax is laid.

Mr. MILLER.—The statement is that the company receives two millions per annum one-half of which goes to the State. I wish the Senator would make that good.

Mr. CLAYTON.—What I say myself I always make good. I have made no statement on my own authority in respect to this matter which is not susceptible of proof, but I referred the Senate to the memorial, and upon that alone I relied for the main facts in the case, of which I myself do not know any thing.

Mr. DAYTON.—I can only say—the Senator not backing the memorial by his own authority—that its contents are entirely incorrect from beginning to end.

Mr. CLAYTON.—I cannot suffer this remark of the honorable Senator to pass. I have not a shadow of doubt that the great leading statement contained in the memorial is strictly true, viz. that the State of New Jersey created this monopoly no order to prevent a rival corporation from entering into competition with it. That neither of the gentlemen can deay. It will not do, then, for them to say that all the statements of the memorial are incorrect. The statement to which I have just alluded is true, and I believe that the others are true also. I know very well that the people of other States complain of this as an oppressive monopoly. There cannot be so much smoke without some fire. It is impossible that there can be so much complaint without some just cause for it.

Mr. CASS.—If this discussion is to continue I must move to lay the subject on the table, in order that the Senate proceed to the consideration of the bill providing for the settlement of the California claims

Mr. CLAYTON.—I trust the gentleman will allow me to press my motion to refer the memorial?

Mr. CASS .- Certainly.

The motion to refer the memorial to the Committee on Fost Offices and Post Roads was then agreed to.

# CLAIM OF THE CHOCTAW INDIANS.

Mr. ATCHISON, submitted the following resolution, which was considered by manimous consent, and agreed to:

Resident. That the Pensilient of the United States be requested to cause to be sent to the Senate, a copy of the organos of the Attorney General, with copies of the accumpancy papers, on the vision made by the three states of the accumpancy papers, on the vision made by the three states of the accumpancy papers, on the vision made by the Channel Senate of the Contract of the Cont

## THE PUBLIC PRINTING

Mr. BADGER submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved, That the Committee on Pinting be instructed to inquire into the causes of the delay in executing the pinting from time in time ordered by the Senute, and report what measures if any, the Senute should adopt in respect theoreto.

### ADVERSE REPORT

Mr. UPHAM, from the Committee on Revolutionary Claims, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of the heirs and legal representatives of Rignald actions. Nick Hillary, reported it without amendment, and submitted an adverse report on the subject; which was ordered to be printed.

#### COLT'S REPEATING PISTOLS.

Mr. RUSK, from the Committee on Military Affairs, to whom was referred the message of the President of the United States, of the 14th instant, relative to "Colt's repeating fire-arms," submitted a report accompanied by a joint resolution, requiring the Secretary of War to purchase five thousand of Colt's repeating

The joint resolution was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

#### PRIVATE BILL

Mr. BELL, from the Committee on Indian Affairs to whom was referred the documents in relation to the claim of William Lee, reported a bill for his relicf; which was read and passed to the second reading.

#### WABASH AND ERIE CANAL.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. HANNEGAN asked and obtained leave to bring in a bih entitled 'An act in addition to an act them mentioned;" which was read the first and second times by unaminous consent, and referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

#### RECOMMITTAL.

Mr. UNDERWOOD moved that the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of David Myerle, be recommitted to Committee on Naval Affairs.

#### CALIFORNIA CLAIMS.

Mr. CASS moved that the prior orders be postponed, and that the Senate proceed to the consideration of the bill for ascertaining and paying the California Claims.

Mr. HALE.—I think that common fairness and candor requires that the Senate should take up my motion for leave to introduce the bill of which I gave notice a few days since. I have been accused of being an enemy to the constitution, and of being "a high way robber," in bringing forward that bill. The charge has gone out to the country, on the wings of the press all over the land, and I feel that it is only just that the Senate should vote upon the motion. I do not care in what form the question may be put. All I desire is a vote, and I ask that it be taken by veen and navs.

The motion of Mr. Cass was agreed to upon a division—yeas 25, nays 9—and the Senate proceeded to consider the bill for ascertaining and paying the California Claims.

The amendment reported from the Committee on Military Affairs, to whom the bill was recommitted, was read as follows:

Stake out all after the word "delay" in section two, line six, to the end of the bill, and jasert the following:

and near the following:

And no nonsecretancel claims shall be paid outflifted examined by the late commonder of the California latticion. J. C. Fermont, and by the late commissar and the new passage of the histings. California frends, and Mayor Revaling, and allowed the passage of the histings of the commissary and the commissary of the common state of the common

Mr. MASON.—I am unable to perceive that the objection which presented to this hill, a few days since, has been in the slightest degree obviated by the amendment which has just been read. The objection which I arged was, that the bill created offices, and appointed certain officers to fill them, which was in violation of the constitution. The honorable Senator from South Carolina, [Mr. BUTLER, ] who, as everyable Senator from South Carolina, [Mr. BUTLER, ] who, as everyable Senator from South Carolina, [Mr. BUTLER, ] who have the senator from North Carolina, [Mr. BADER, ] who endeavored to show that this mode of appointing officers was sanctioned by legislative and judicial precedents of which he cited several examples. Deeming this a matter of vital importance inasments as it is of the highest moment, that we should not trench upon the rights of the and into the authorities generally; and the result of that investigation has satisfied me that the supposed precedents are altogether meastrisfactory.

The bill originally proposed to create a board by name, so nomize, consisting of Lieut. Col. Frement and two other officers of a certain class. The objection made was, that that involved the creation of an office, and by law appointed officers, with the daty of adjudicating certain claims against the United States. Now, the amendment proposes, not to create a board ee nomine: but that the unsecretained claims shall not be paid unless they have been previously examined, and allowed by three gentlemen whose names are given—John Chas. Fremont, Captain Hensels, and Major Reading. The amendment farther provides, that these gentlemen who are thus to examine these claims shall be composited, according to their respective ranks in the late Cali-

ornia battalion. What then does the amendment provide? Why, substantially the very same thing which was provided in the original bill—that these claims are to be examined, adjudicated, and determined upon evidence which shall be laid before these individuals; and when ascertained by them to be correct shall be paid, without further inquiry, by a proper disbursing officer, out of the public treasury. Now, I cannot for my life see any shadow of difference in the substance of this thing, whether you call these three gentlemen, examiners of claims, or a "board" as they were the properties of the examiner of the properties of the examiner of the exami ment, that all claims shall be harred, which are not presented to these officers for their examination within one and a half years after the passage of the bill—thus during that year and a half, these gentlemen are to continue in the employment of the government, I say in office under the government, at a fixed rate of compensation, to be paid them for the discharge of the duties of that office. Now, the precedents cited by the gentleman from North Carolina, on a finner day, were as far as I could understand, in every instance, precedents of mere employment, not of office, by which certain persons were engaged to discharge a certain specified duty; and the employment was to cease when that duty had been performed. He efted cases of artists employed to duty had been performed. He cited cases of artists employed to paint pictures, and execute statuary for the decoration of this Capitol. I have not now the list of cases cited by the gentleman, but I recollect that amongst them was one in which he conceived, that the very case now before us was presented. It was the case of Mr. Choteau, who was employed to visit the Western Indians,

and conduct a deputation from them to the scat of government.

Now, sir, I find in the horn book of the law—and this is purely a legal question—that Blackstone thus defines the meaning of the term office

"An affice in right to service a public or private emphroment, and to take the first and consultant hereto belonging—whether public, as those of magnitudes—or province, as baiffix or receivers, and the like."
Every man is a public office, who he say and up concerning the public; and he is "Every man is a public office, who he say and up concerning the public; and he is cause it is the later, and the nature of the duty, which makes him a public office; and not the extent of he sutherly."

So much for the meaning of the term "office." Now to distin-So much for the meaning of the term "office." Now to distinguish between an "office" properly such, and a mere "employment"—I will take the honorable Senator from North Carolina, IMT. BADDER, back to the black letter, in the times of Charles the Second, and refer him to a case decided in the King's Bench in 1658, reported in 2d Liderpin, page 112, where the Chief Justice Glyn, thus strikingly draws the distinction—He says:

"I hold in this case, that the grant by the word "concessimus," to Sir John Gatest good. I consider that the precedents cited are not to the point; they not pertaining office—but, an employment—and that there is more than a verifield distinction be-

tween them."
"Now to explain my distretion between an office and an employment, 1 hold that although every affice be an employment, yet converso, every employment is not an office. As, if I agree with one to make my hay—er to plough my land—or to herd my fack; those are employments and differ from the dattes of my manor, which is

The difference between a "duty continuing" and a duty which The difference between a "duty continuing" and a duty which ends when the particular object is attained, is here strikingly drawn. In the State of Maine, I find that there was a decision of the judges on this point which I have examined with some care, and which I think fully sustains the view which I have presented. It will be found reported in 3d Greenleaf, page 482—The question submitted to the Judges of the Supreme Court of that State, which we would be sufficiently supported to the supreme court of that State, which is Governor was whother are access account to the fact that the fact the supreme court of the state of the supreme court of the State.

by its Governor was, whether an agent appointed by him for the preservation of the timber on the public lands, was to be consipreservation of the timoer on the public fands, was to be considered an officer, within the meaning of the constitution of that State—and by the manimous opinion of the judges, it was decided that he was not—then such agent held no "office," but merely an "employment," under the State—the judges say:

"There is a manifest difference between an "raffice," and "employment" under the government—we apprehend that the term "office" implies a delegation of a por-tion of the sovereign power to, and a prosession of it by, the person filling the office."

I find the same distinction taken in a case decided by the Su-premo Court of Pensylvania—"Binns' case" reported in 17th, Sergeant and Rawle. Binns had been appointed by Mr. Clay, when Secretary of State, to print certain public papers, &c., for that department, being at that time an alderman of Philadelphia, and the question was, whether this appointment by Mr. Clay made him an officer of the lederal government. It was decided by a majority of the Court, that it was a mere employment, and not an office, and the case in Liderpin was re-

ferred to for the distinction.

I apprehend then if there be any foundation for this distinction I apprehend then if there be any foundation for this distinction resting upon the authorities I have cited, that it at once disposes of the whole class of cases of "employment" cited by my knoorable friend from North Carolina. The painting of pictures—execution of statuary—the compilation of State papers, are "employments" properly within the meaning of that term.

Then as to Chotesia's case, also did by the Sentral Properties of the following, vice is the state of the properties of the following, vice \$20,000.

The following is the following of the following o

"For expenses of mission of A. P. Choteau amongst the wild tribes of the South West, including his outil, and the expenditures growing out of, and connected with binging on deputations of sold fitnes, which be had been authorized to do."

And this is cited by the honorable Senator, as an instance where one by law was clothed with an office, or made an officer, as is proposed by the bill under consideration.

Now I understand that the intercourse with the Indians is a

matter purely pertaining to the Executive. The President is the organ of intercourse with those tribes as he is of that with foreign nations. It is an executive function; and when he deems it right and proper to conduct deputations from those Indian tribes the seat of government, he can give the necessary orders to that eet. He reports the lact to Congress, and an appropriation is made to defray the expenses. I cannot then perceive any analogy between the case cited and that now before the Senate—There was another case presented by the honorable Scnator from North Carolina which struck me with some force at the time, and of which I have made an attentive examination. I refer to the act of Congress, September, 1789, by which it was enacted.

"That the establishment contained in the resolve of the late Congress of the 3d October 1787, except as to the mode of approating the officers, and also as heternative provided, be and the same is hereby recognized to be the establishment for the troops in the service of the United States."

The "resolve of the late Congress," thus referred to, was a resolution of the old Congress under the articles of confederation, and will be found in their journals, volume 4th, pages 786-7, in these words

"Resolved, That seven hundred non-commissioned officers and privates be raised or the term of three years, unless sooner discharged" which were to be furnished in certain proportions, by certain states.

In 1789, the federal government had been organized under the present constitution, and this recognition of a levy of troops merely by a resolve of the old Congress the Senator construes into a leby a resolve of the old Congress the Senator construes into a le-gislative appointment, of all the officers who were to command those troops. Surely the honorable Senator could not have read attentively the law which he quoted, or he could not fail to see, that the point for which he cited it, is strictly against him—the that the point for which he cited it is strictly against him—the law in express terms excepting "the mode of appointing the officers," which was to conform to the provisions of the constitution, then recently adopted. So much, sir, for the precedents cited by

then recently adopted. So much, sir, for the precedents cited by the Sonator from North Carolina.

I now come to the case cited by the honorable Senator from Texas—the case of the mail contractors. A resc<del>\*\*</del>—tion or law passed the two Houses of Congress, referring a matter in dispute between these contractors and the government, to whom? To an other government—the Solicitor of the Treasury. Does not not consider the treasury of the Congress any one doubt—has it ever been doubted that the Congress of the United States have authority to prescribe new duties to existing officers, provided these duties are not inconsistent with the rights of the officer for the time being? That was all that was done in the case in question. The Solicitor of the Treasnry is an officer of the government, and the first question before the court was, whether the Postmaster General could exercise any literaturia. In according to this layer of Courtes-sawphote effect. discretion in earrying out this law of Congress—whether vir-tude afficit he could exercise that power; and the next question was whether the District Court of the District of Columbia could exercise jurisdiction in the ease by writ of Mandamus.

Mr. RUSK .- Will the Senator allow me to ask a single ques-? I desire to ask if when an act of Congress is brought be-the Supreme Court, it would not be wanting in duty, if it failed to inquire into the constitutionality of that act

Mr. MASON.—I would answer the Senator by stating that, whether the Supreme Court of the United States would, or would not inquire, into the constitutionality of the act, would depend upon not include, into the constitutionality of the act, would depend upon the preliminary inquiry whether any constitutional question was raised in the case. If there was a constitutional question raised and it were relevant to the matter at issue, I take it for granted that the Supreme Court would determine it. In the case alluded to, the Senator relies upon a loose expression of one of the judges who delivered the opinion that it was competent to Congress to devolve the duty upon that officer or any body else.

Mr. RUSK .- Three of the judges made use of that expres-

Mr. MASON.—It is perfectly immaterial. The honorable Senator well knows, that when you invoke a precedent, and rely upon it as anthority, you must have a case, where the point in question is directly adjudged. Those loose dicta of the judges if relied upon as precedents, and more especially in constitutional questions, will lead us heaven knows where.

Those we another assection by the Senzer from Natl Control of the property of the property

There was another case cited by the Senator from North Caro-lina, in which certain duties were devolved upon the judges. The court considered the act, and decided that it was perfectly com-perent to the legislative branch to accumulate any duties they petent to the legislative branch to accumulate any duties they thought proper upon the existing officers of the government. To prescribe new duties to the judiciarty, although it were a coequal department of the government, provided the duties prescribed were of a judicial character. But in the case cited the court decided, and as I apprehend, correctly, that the duties prescribed by the act were not judicial. When they had so decided, they had done all that judicially came before them. What then? They determined as benevolent and patriotic men, that although they were for the purpose of carrying out the tendency of the three ways was the control of the purpose of the purp them, they say that they will act as commissioners in order to carry out the views of Congress. I apprehend that this case does not present any authority for the position taken by the honorable Senator from North Carolina. Surely he cannot mean to affirm

that this is a judicial exposition of the right of Congress to oreate

But, sir, on this whole subject of precedent, I enter a protest. But, sir, on this whole subject of precedent, I where a process I am free to admit that the opinions of judges and Senators with respect to the constitution have great weight, but no authority. I deny that the precedents cited are to be regarded as authorita-tive in this case. I hold it to be as much the duty of the Senate to respect the rights of the Executive as it is to take care that the Executive does not treach upon their's. If it is true that this is an office, properly such, within the meaning of the constitution, it is our duty to avoid doing violence to that constitution, and interfering with a power that does not belong to us-the power of

appointment to office.

We are to inquire from the nature and extent of the duties to be imposed in this case, whether they come within the legal meaning of the term "office." Nothing is changed in the amendmen ing of the term "office." Nothing is changed in the amendment but the language of the bill. Precisely the same trust is expres-Duties to the same extent are devolved. sed. Duties to the same extent are devolved. The same amount of money is to be passed upon and adjudicated—to be disbursed in fact, by the persons named, though they are not to pay it out with their own hands. They are to be compensated for the discharge of their own hands. They are to be compensated for the discharge of those duties. They are to be compensated for the discharge of those duties. They are to continue in office, if necessary, for eighteen months. What are their duties? Why, to pass upon a series of unliquidated claims, the character of which are mknown to us—in the territory of California, some three thousand miles distant—and their adjudication is to be final, because, upon a decision of the majority of these gentlemen the money is to be paid.

If this be not an office within the definition of the Maine judges
I do not know what is. The expenditure of such a large sum of money on account of claims assumed cr gratia, by this country is a in the manner prescribed by the constitution. All the safeguards which the constitution has thrown around the public treasury, should when the constitution has throw around no promote treasury, saconic when proposed to imply any district of the gentlemen named in the bill, not one of imply any district of the gentlemen named in the bill, not one of whom have I the pleasure of knowing at all. When I speak of safeguards, provided by the safeguards, prevailed when the proposed of th

If the Senate reject the amendment reported by the committee I shall offer one with the view of creating this board in the usual manner giving the power necessary to adjudicate these claims, and placing the appointment of the members of the board, wh I contend the constitution has placed it, in the hands of the Pre sident and Senato.

Mr. BADGER replied, enforcing the argument which he had presented in favor of the measure before the Senate, and rebutting the objections urged by the Senator from Virginia.

Mr. BENTON rose and said: The amendment reported by the Mr. DEN ION rose and sam: the americanent reported by the committee proceeds upon the principle that the claims in California heretofore ascertained and allowed by the officers under whom they originated shall be forthwith paid, and those not ascertained shall be to be paid until examined and allowed by the same officers. Mr. Fremont and his staff officers allowed those which are ascertained; the same officers, by the amendment, are to allow the re-mainder before they can be paid. This is the principle of the amendment. It appoints nobody to do any thing; it only names the persons without whose examination and allowance the unad-justed claims shall not be paid. They were officers of the battalion under whom the claims originated and were settled, as far turon inder whom the clause originated and were settled, as far as that has been done, and whose legal capacity to pass upon the claims is revived and continued, if the government chooses to have the claims examined before they are paid, or chooses to save the parties from coming from California to Washington to solicit pay. ment individually from Congress. That they are the proper | sons to allow or reject these claims, and the only ones who can do it with justice to the United States and to the claimants, is mani-The transfer of the depositions, where their base chains is main-subject is fully shown. Call, thouley was common you at our termaster; Major Reading was paymaster. Both were cognizant of the claims, one in doing the acts which originated them; the other in settling them, as far as he could. The deposition of Caple. Hensley, (a grathenan of character and intelligence, with whom the committee became well acquainted,) establishes this fact, and shows that he and Major Reading, from their respective positions in the battalion, were best acquainted with the claims, and were called by their offices to make an estimate of their amount before he left California. At page 37 of the document of depositions, he testifies thus, in answer to a question from the committee:

"As you were commonary and quaternaster, and therefore intunsitely acquanted with the supplies obtained by the troops, and with the general express of the whole con quest of Coldinora from the beginning, moster the this of independence in the north, and the contract of the whole con the contract of the whole con which the jux claims spon the Dinted Stade would man opinion of the whole sum which the jux claims spon the Dinted Stade would make the standard of the wind of the whole contract of the window of the whole contract of the standard of the sum of a region of the whole who who we could obtain, we made the total amount worn hundred theorem of the standard of

From this answer of Capt. Hensley to the committee's question as well as from the tenor of other depositions, and the very nature of their places in the battalion, it is clear that he and Major Reading are the proper persons to he associated with Mr. Fremont in allowing or rejecting these claims. They know every transaction, and cannot be deceived. They know every claimant and cannot be imposed upon. They know the true from the false in every instance, both of men and transactions. They can do just tice, and that upon their own knowledge. Their own characters are concerned in rejecting false accounts, and preventing their names and acts from being made the means of imposition upon the United States

At pages 35 and 36 of the document, Capt Hensley shows that all snpplies were searce and dear, and the dearer because necessarily obtained without money, there being none furnished by the United States. He says:

"After the city of Angels was taken by the United States force, Capt. Gilles was left in command, and I acted as assistant quantermaster to the troops station there. After the unsurrection booke on its Regletimer, 1866, provisions and supplied of all kinds could only be obtained at the most extravagant prices, the whole surrour ung country being in the bands of the enemy."

And he gives a statement of prices fully sustaining this decla-

| ration:  |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| "Horses and nuder, from<br>Saddles, complete, from<br>Bridles<br>Spiris<br>Butas |  | \$25 to \$35<br>30 to 40<br>6 to 10<br>6 to 10  |
| Ritles, from<br>Powder<br>Lead   |  | \$30 to \$100, very scarce<br>\$2 per pound<br>37 1-2 cents per pound   |
| Percussion ca;<br>Beef cattle<br>Flour<br>Sugar<br>Coffee                        |  | \$10 per thousand<br>\$2 to \$10 per head<br>\$10 per hundred pounds<br>\$37 to \$50 per hundred I<br>\$50 per hundred pounds." |

At such prices as these, except for the horses and eattle, which are below the price of the same in the United States, and without money to pay down, amounts soon run up high; but still small for the great results produced in conquering the country, and pacify-ing it, before the United States troops arrived.

A great error prevails in the minds of some Senators as to the authority for meurring these claims. The Senator from Virginia [Mr. Mason] classes them all as unauthorized. It is a great mis-IMr. Mason I classes them all as unauthorized. It is a great mis-take. The claims arising from unauthorized operations limit them-selves to about thirty days of time, and to the expenses of a force of less than three hundred men. They limit themselves to the op-erations under the flag of independence—from the first week in June to the 10th of July—when the flag of independence was aban-doned, that of the United States adopted, and the battalion went into service with the pays. From this time forth all expenses were duly authorized, the naval commanders acting under special orders from the President to conquer, retain, and govern California.— Those orders began in October, 1815, in anticipation of the war, and were continued by successive orders of the most urgent kind. Those of July 12, 1846, though they did not arrive until opera-tions were over, were issued in the very time that Mr. Fremont tions were over, were issued in the very time that Mr. Fremont was in the act of joining the naval forces, and show what the intention of the government was at that time. They were addressed to Commodore Sloat, and said:

The continuous count, and sauce is presented by the present principle of this government, realize the way with Meson, to tele and had possession of California.

The object of the limits of the continuous conti

These instructions are positive in themselves, and refer to pre-These instructions are positive in themselves, and refer to pre-vious instructions. They correspond with all the previous orders to the navy. That branch of the public force was charged in al-vance, and in anticipation of the war, with the conquest of Cali-iornia whenever war broke out. They were to conquer the com-try; they were to hold possession of it till peace; and they were to establish a civil government for its temporary administration.— The naval commander were to do this, and did do it. Commo-nature to bloke command in July; innuclately after Mr. Fre-mont came to bloke command in July; innuclately after Mr. Fre-mont came to bloke command in July; innuclately after Mr. Fre-mont came to bloke command in July; innuclately after Mr. Fremont caine to Monterey, and maker his orders all subsequent mil-tary operations were carried on, and the eivil government estab-lished, the expenses of which now remain to be paid. Commodore Stockton received the thanks of the government for proseening the conquest of California: Commodore Sloat was censured and

the conquest of California; Commodore Sloat was eensured and recalled for not doing it; and Mr. Fremout and his battalion were a part of Stockton's forces, and acted under orders from him. The very day this letter of the 12th of July was written at Washington, Mr. Fremont was on his way to Monterey, to ad Commodore Solat to do the very thing which these reiterated instructions required him to do. He was fulfilling, to the letter on that day, the orders which were emanating from Washington. At the distance of 3,000 miles, and without orders, he was doing what the government wished dance and with the next open somewholes. the government wished done, and what the naval commanders could not have done without a land force. The letter of recall to Commodore Sloat, dated August 13,

1846, shows not only that the naval commanders were revuired to conquer, retain, and govern California, but that they would be conquer, retain, and govern California, but that they would be censured and superceded for not doing it. Here is that order, dated, as I may said, on August 13, 1846—by a strange coincidence the very day that Stockton and Fremont were entering the city of the Angels, and putting the finishing hand to the conquest of Cali-

Commodore The Department has received your letter, No. 31, e15 June 6, from which it appears that while you were aware of the extracter of centure face the United States and Mexeo, you remained in a state of inactivity, and did not carry out the institutions of June 34, 1836, framed to be executed even in the event of

the mere declaration of war, much more in the event of actual bottilities. Those instructions you were ordered to carry cut l'af once; of which you acknowledged ou the Inny letter of Angust & 18-58, the receipt of which you acknowledged ou the unstructions recently addressed to you via Panama. In yelter of October 17, 1855, of which you acknowledge the receipt on the 17-10 of March, 18-46, referring to these instructions once more, I said further. In the event of actual boutlines between the Mexican government and our own, you will so dispose of your whole force as to carry the contraction of the Company of the contraction of the Company of the contraction of the Company o

agrange.

And in my letter of the 23d of February last, sent through Mexico, I remarked:—
This letter is sent to you overland, enclosed, as you suggest, to Messrs. Mort, Talbot
Co., Mazatlan, and you will readily understand the reserve with which it is

A U.S., A MEZZIIIA, SIN 300 WIN reamy concretable use relative from the form of the first from the form of the first from the form of the weekened, while houldlikes are threatened by Mexico. Your come was particular to the first from the first from the form of the first from the first from

Commodore John D. Sloat.
Commonding U. S. naval forces in the Pacific Ocean

Commanding C. S. naval forces in the Pacific Ocean.

This is the letter recall of Commodore Sloat; recalling him for inactivity; for delay in commencing hostilities; for his unfortunate anxiety not to do wrong. It is full proof, not only of authority to act, but of blame for not acting. Commodore Stockton succeeded Compmodore Sloat. He acted, and Fremont with him; and the mass of these claims—the whole, except the insignificant amount accuring during the first thirty days, under the flag of independence, arose under the command of Commodore Stockton, and were doubly authorized, both by his position as commander on the California station, by the reiterated orders to the naval commanders, and by the express approbation of his conduct since he manders, and by the express approbation of his conduct since he

manders, and by the express approbation of his conduct since he returned home.

The operations without authority limit themselves, then, to about thirty days of time at the commencement, and will not require forty thousand dollars to pay them; and for that sum the horses, cattle, canoon, and muskets, taken from the enemy, and delivered to the United States, or used in her service. Eighteen borses, cattle, canoon, and muskets, taken under the independent flag, went to the United States. These materials of war went to the United States. These materials of war went to the United States. These materials of war went to the United States, and were worth full fifty thousand dellars in money. The United States received, then, in materials of war, more than it is asked to pay for these unauthorized operations. She received horses, cattle, canoon, and muskets to the control of the states of the states of the states of the states and the value of the articles actually received. But this would be but a poor way of settling the account. The United States received all the fruits of the revolutionary movement: she received all the northern half of California, conquered to her hand, before the navial forces begin to next; and this kalf so received of the northern half of California, conquered to her hand, before the navial forces begin to next; and this half so received by they has remained trangul in her bands ever since. It broke up the juntas half and atomorphy the received had some and atomorphy to the protection of Great Pirtain, and atomorphy. tor transferring the country to the protection of Great Britain, and stopped the grants and sales of the public domain to British and stopped the grants and sates of the public domain to Fritish subjects, and arrested the proceedings in the Macnamara grant of three thousand square leagus before the grant was complete, and all the original papers of which have been brought to the United States. If induced Commodore Sloat to change his mind after he had been five days at Monterey, and decided him to take posses-sion of the place, thus anticipating the arrival of the British ad-miral by a few days, and converting his arrival into benefit instead of harm. For when he did arrive, finding the place in possession of the United States, he saw that his mission was at an end, and went off as suddenly and mysteriously as he came, abandoning went on as souncerny and mysterrousy as me came, ananoning California to its new masters, and putting an end to all hope of British protection. Finally, it saved the American settlers from dostruction, gave a body of organized and victorious land forces to the United States to act with the navy, and presented to the view of the British admiral that camp of mounted riflemen which had such a discouraging effect upon his mind and such an encouraging one won the pands of the seal forces. one upon the minds of the naval forces

one upon the minds of the naval lorces. These were the benefits received by the United States from the These were the benefit received by the United States from the movement under the independent flag. They are above price, and beyond dispute. The historical depositions taken by the committee prove every thing, and the dispatch of Commodore Sloat to the Secretary of the Navy, of July 31. 1846, coincides with 4the Sevorn testinavi in showing the happy effects all this had upon the British admiral's visit, converting it into a benefit instead of a missing the state of the secretary of the secreta

chief. He says :

"The visit of the admiral was very serviceable to our cause in California, at the in hobitants fully believed he would take part with them, and that ac would be obliged to absulant my evenquest, but when they saw he friendly intercourse subsisting between us, and found that he could not interfere in their bhalf, they abandoned all hope of our steam, the Microsoft fig. flags; in California gaint.

recess us, said found that is could not never by the state absoluted as Appe of cure reising the Merosa for Bying in California again.

Thus the British admiral disappointed the expectations of the British party in California. He came, as they expected, but when he came he did nothing. He did not interfere in their he half; he heaved friendly. He went off in a few days, carrying with him the emissry Machamara, and on his departure the inhabitants lost all hope of ever seeing the Mexican flag again fly-habitants lost all hope of ever seeing the Mexican flag again fly-the did not be seen to be seen to be seen to be seen that the control of the c

The underigned was on day on show when Captain. Erroral started with the force at Medicinely from the noith. The underagonal believes that the appetraces of this body of men, and the well known character of its commander, not only made a tomac undersome some the blints shown almost less than a regularly improves early the commander of the comma

doubts agasting the composet of California were removed."

Such is the testimony borne by Lieut, Minor to the decisive effect which the appearance of Fremont's riflemen made upon the minds of both British and Americans at that time. It was certainly a strange and impressive spectacle to see a body of American riflemen at such a place, and at such a time—three thousand miles from home—on the coast of the Pacific—already victorious one the Mexican authorities, and treat-wind copyerty with the maxili forces in repulsing British interference and in pursuing the conquest of California to its conclusion. Immediately after they went to sea under Commodore Steekton—sailed five lundred miles down the coast, and disembarked for new services on land. But I forbear. The Object of these lew remarks was to show, not the Iorbear. The object of these lew remarks was to show, not the services of the California hattalien in the whole war, but only for the first thirty days, while acting under the flag of independence, without a knowledge of the Mexican war, and without orders from the government. I mean only to show the value of these 8er-vices, and that all their fruits went to the United States; and that the amount to be paid for these services under this hill will be less than the value of the horses, cattle, arms, and cannon delivered to

than the value of the horses, cattle, arms, and cannon delivered to the United States, to say nothing of benefits of a different kind, above price and above calculation.

The bill and the amendment reported by the committee are drawn with the view to settle up and to close up forever this business of the California claims. It is obvious what a mine of fraud they must become if not settled up and closed up quickly and forever. For this purpose the claims are to be examined on the spot where they occurred before they are allowed. They are to be examined by those who know the truth and justice of every transaction—able to detect at once all false or exaggerated claims—and harring forever all that are not presence all and allowed within the limited time. It also closes up another source of fruitful and almost perpetual claims—that of claims for loss forevers. This is a most expetual claims—that of claims for lost horses. This is a most expensive incident of the mounted service—great in itself, and great in its continuance, and hard to be brought to a close. This amendment, if adopted, will prevent all such claims from coming up from California. It will close them all up at the start. It makes an allowance for forage, at the rate of twelve and a half cents a day, in addition to the forty cents a day for the use and risk of the horse—an allowance justly made, as no forage was ever furnished the California battalion by the United States, and the horses were subsisted upon grass while watched and guarded by the mon. The subsisted upon grass ware wavened and guarded by the ment. Inc.
whole compensation is made into a gross sum, (consisting of the
items of pay, clothing, use and risk of horse, and forage.) and of,
fored in full of all demands for horses lost for any cause, or by any
means whatever. It would doubtless be advantageous to the United States to settle with all their mounted forces on the same terms

On motion,

The Senate adjourned,

<sup>&</sup>quot;On the 16th the Brush admiral, Sir George F. Seyman, arrived in the Colling wood, 80. An officer was immediately sent to tender him the usual counteries and the facilities of the port. He was satisequently fornished with a set of topgallant-masts and other spars for his ship, and safled on the 23d for the Sand wich tslands.

# WEDNESDAY, APRIL 26, 1848.

#### PETITIONS

Mr. PEARCE presented the petition of Ann Jeffers, widow of revolutionary soldier, praying a pension; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions

Mr. WESTCOTT presented the petition of Major William Bayley, praying to be discharged from liability for certain public property which has been applied to the service of the public; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

On motion by Mr. PEARCE, it was

Ordered, That the pctition of Littleton D. Teackle, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Claims.

### PORTRAIT OF GENERAL TAYLOR

Mr. BADGER submitted the following resolution for consideration:

Resolved. That the Committee on the Library he instructed to purchase the full right original portrait of General Zachary Taylor, painted by William G. Brown, of tichmond, of the same can be obtained at a price deemed by the committee to be

Mr. BADGER asked for the immediate consideration of the solution; but, objection being made, it lies over one day under the

### NOTICE OF A BILL

Mr. BRIGHT gave notice that on to-morrow, or some early day thereafter, he will ask leave to introduce a bill to make Madison, in the State of Indiana, a port of entry.

## PROCEEDINGS AND DEBATES OF THE SENATE.

The Senate proceeded to consider the following resolution, submitted on the 24th instant by Mr. Bell:

Resulved. That the Reporter of the Senate be directed to supply each member of the House of Repiteentatives with a copy of his report of the proceedings and debates of the United States Senate for the present Congress; the expense to be paid out of the contingent fund of the Senate.

wit of the contingent final of the Senate.

Mr. BELL:—I do not know that it is necessary to say anything more in addition to the remark I made upon introducing this resolution, that I consider it that a suitable countesy to be shown by us towards the Illouse of Representatives. It may be proper to state, however, lest gentlemen may suppose that the suggestion came from the Reporter of the Senate, that I had no communication with that gentleman on the subject, further than to inquire of him whether it would be practicable, from the nature of his engagements, to furnish espess of his reports to the House, which I aggedenate, for thrish chapter of the reports of the reports of the reports of the control of the reports should be paid for out of the funds of the Senate, or the House. As my colleague suggested the other day, they may be paid for out of the funds of the House: Lut I will remind him that paid for out of the funds of the House: but I will remind him that there can be no material difference, because the two funds are in effect the same, derived from the same source, and applied to the same object, the payment of the expenditures of the two houses of Congress. In regard to whether it is expedient to continue the arrangement under which the debates of the Senate are reported, it is not necessary to express an opinion at this time; that is a matter to be determined hereafter, but so long as it continues, I think it is but a matter of comity that the members of the House should receive those reports, and I trust that my colleague will some receive mose reports, and i trust that my coffeague will forbear his opposition. I was somewhat surprised to find that it had not been done before; I supposed that the reports were furnished to the House, and some gentlemen around me were under the same impression. I can conceive no possible objection, and I I can conceive no possible objection, and I hope the resolution will be adopted.

Mr. TURNEY.—I cannot for my own part perceive the necessity for passing this resolution to farnish the House with the reports of our proceedings and debates. I believe it is a thing that has never been done. If the members of the House require these reports, they can present them for thenselves. But I have another objection. Notice has been given by an honorable Senator from Missouri, that he intends to move to rescind the resolution under which the Reporter of the Senate has his engagement, for reasons stated by him, one of which was, that these reports cost three times as much as they could be precured for. The members of the House of Representatives cannot be much at a loss for the of the Professional Representative Scannible is material a loss of the reports of the Senate, because they have the Congressional Globe and Appendix. I was opposed to the resolution for employing a reporter originally, because I believe it to be unnecessary, and I was the more opposed to it upon the assurance of the Senator from Missouri, that we were paying three times as much as the work was offered to be done for by Blair & Reves. This resolution does not specify the amount to be paid for these reports which we propose to furnish to the House; this with me is a decided ob-

iection. If the House order them for themselves they will precure them at a specified rate, or by a contract under which they will obtain them at a reasonable price. I move that the resolution be referred to the Committee on Printing.

Mr. CLAYTON.—I think the motion offered by the Senator from Tennessee is eminently proper, and ought to be adopted by us. It is urged as an objection that the House can purchase these reports, or obtain them by contract, under a resolution to be adopted by itself. I think it will readily occur to every one that it would be improper for the House to contract with our officer. Under all the circumstances, I can see no propriety in the proposition that the House should make any such contract. But I rose not to speak in reference to this resolution merely. Something has been the House should make any such contract. But I rose not speak in reference to this resolution mercy. Something has been said by the Senator from Tennessee, [Mr. TURKEY,] in regard the report of our debates, which I think demands a reply. Sir, I have been a long time a member of this hody, and I venture to say that we never had any think like accurate and full reports until we adopted the resolution appointing this reporter. Any Senator who has been here many years, and has observed the reports the debates in this hody, will concur with me in this. I do not mean to say that we have perfect reports even now, I know there are defects, but I believe these defects do not flow from any fault on the part of the Reporter, but from our own fault in not furnishon me part of the Reporter, but from our own tault 10 not larinshing an adequate amount to enable him to employ a sufficient number of Reporters, and to procure the printing to be promptly does, It is true, the reports are delayed sometimes for several days, but we can readily understand why. The officers who are reporting for us, have been compelled, often during this session, to report for us, have been compelled, often during this session, to report debates lasting alrrough six or eight hours, and not to give two or three specehes only, but the whole debate. This is a difficult thing to be done by so few reporters; and it is easily perceived, that for the sum appropriated, the work cannot be done more specifily than it is. I trust that we shall never abandon the system, but go on and perfect it. I should recommend that mstead of abandoning the system, which has been found to be better than any other, we should set about perfecting it, by furnishing a sufficient sum to pay for the printing of the reports promptly, for I think that all must concur with me, even the Senator from Tennessee himself, that the expense is nothing in comparison with the imhimself, that the expense is nothing in comparison with the importance of the reports both to this body and the country.

Mr. TURNEY .- In relation to the accuracy of our reports, I MI. I UKARI :—In relation to the accuracy of our reports, I do not mean to say any thing further than this: that I believe they are not more accurate than they were last session, and not more accurate than they are this session as given in the Globe.\* There is no improvement at all. The Senator from Delaware says we accurate than they are this session as given in the Globe.\* There is no improvement at all. The Senator from Delaware says we are not paying enough. Why, the new-paper press of this city proposed to report for the Senate at a rate of compensation not execeding the half of what we are paying. A committee of the Senate and a report on the subject some years are, and named the amount which tarey considered necessary to defray the expense of reporting the debates of the Senate, and we are paying double and more than double, as meth is say estimate that has been made; and yet it is urged as a reason for not getting our proposed of the senate and the senate of the senate and the senate of the senate and the senate of the senat Whater having more than nomice wanty of more than by proporties, the control of the proporties of the proporties and the proporties of the proporties and the proporties of the principle of the proposed to the principle of the p

The question being put upon the adoption of the resolution-

Mr. TURNEY demanded the yeas and mays on agreeing to the resolution, which were ordered, and it was determined in the af-firmative, as follows:

Y.P.A.S.—Warsa, Ardena, Radger, Bell, Britand, Harler, Pallamor, Case, Clabe, V.P.A.S.—Warsa, Ardena, C.Rascidiouris, Daylan, Despita, Groze, Blok, Hansurgan, Johnson, of Waryland, Mason, Muor, Pearre, Phelle, Rosk, Spinnare, Parcicural Falkas, Webold '9a. Right, Reick, Spinnare, Parcicural Falkas, Reick, Webold '9a. Right, Reich, Right, Reich, Spinnare, Palerna, Palent, Charles Bartis, Reich, Honston, Johnson, of Georgia, Lewis, Nile-Turney Lo.

# MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their clerk.

Mr President The Speaker of the House of Representatives baving signed an en-indled hill, I am directed to bring it to the Senate for the segnature of their President.

The VICE PRESIDENT signed the enrolled bill entitled "An act to change the name of Photius Kayasales to that of Photius Fisk."

\*The reports furnished to the Senate by contract are republished in the Globe .-

#### PLOTS IN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

The PRESIDING OFFICER.—The next subject for the consideration of the Senate, is the motion of the Senator from New Hampshire, [Mr. HALE,] for leave to introduce a hill relating to riots and unlawful assemblies in the District of Columbia.

Mr. BENTON moved to postpone the prior orders, and proceed with the consideration of the hill for ascertaining and paying the California Claims, which was the unfinished business of yesterday.

Mr. HALE said the morning hour land not yet expired, and he thought the leave he had asked to introduce a full ought to be first disposed of. He had no disposition to debate the subject, but he desired to have a vote. If the object of the motion to take up the California hill was to evade a vote upon the leave he had asked to introduce his bill, he must ask the yeas and nays upon it.

The yeas and nays were then ordered upon the motion to take up the California Claims bill, and it was decided in the allirmative, as follows:

VEAS — Mesov. Allen, Atchison, Athenton, Badger, Bagby, Beil, Benton, Breese Buglin, Cameron, Casa, Clavton, Cuttenden, Davos, of Misusuppa, Dayton, Biv. Feleb, Houstan, Johnsan, of Maryland, Johnson, of Geogia, Lewis, Mangunn, Mason, Moor, Pearce, Rusk, Spurnace, Underwood, Westeut.—29.

NAVS.— Mesov. Calhon, Douglas, Hale, Nike, Phelps, Tarrey, Upham.—7.

NAVS.—Mesov. Calhon, Douglas, Hale, Nike, Phelps, Tarrey, Upham.—7.

## THE CALIFORNIA CLAIMS.

The Senate then resumed the consideration of the bill for ascertaining and paying the California Claims.

The question pending was upon agreeing to the amendment reported from the Committey on Military Affairs.

Mr. MASON moved to amend the amendment by striking out the first fitteen lines, and the word "department" in the sixteenth line, and inserting in lieu thereof:

"And for the purpose of acceptancing the justice and amount of the relates of said claims, a board belowly attailable of the control of these fload comporate presons to be monitored by the Persistent of the Control of the Control of the Control control of the Seates, which board half slift in different places in Caldornia, and to give certificates for the amount due, which certificates shall be forthwish usal in Calfornia by come proper officer thay appointed or disquared for that purpose.

Mr. MASON.—If the honorable Senator from Kentucky will yield the floor for a moment, I would like to state the species object which I have in view, in offering an amendment to the amendment reported by the committee. It is to strike out so much so constitutes these gentlemen by name to be a board of commissioners, and to substitute this phrase: "a board to be appointed by the President." I offer this amendment, and while up, it will remark that I do so for the purpose of testing the sense of the Senate; upon it I ask for the yess and mays.

Mr. UNDERWGOD.—But for the constitutional question that has been raised upon this occasion, I should have contented myself, in all probability, with giving a silent vote. Like the gentification of the probability, with giving a silent vote. Like the gentification of the probability, with giving a silent vote. Like the gentification of the solid and legislative, But those precedents, to entitle them to respect, should always be settled upon due deliberation; and at the time when they are settled, the-attention of the body, whether it be judicial or legislative, should be called to the important at the time when they are settled, the-attention of the body, whether it now, if there has been any formal discussion of the Senate or the House, on this important subject, has a direct bearing upon it, no gentleman who has herotofore participated in the dediscussion, to show that upon arrived at a conclusion, it was done upon mature deliberation, and afterful discussion. This, then, as fir as I know, is the first time this important question has been brought upon an endeal of the side of the

Now the object of the act which the judges were required to accent, after the limitation had been taken off these climis was this: they were directed in ascertan what widows and what or shall be the second of the

but, you must take into view the nature of the duty which the commissioner is to perform, in order to apply the constitutional test. It will be seen by the decision of these judges, that they recognized the right of Congress to appoint commissioners to enquire and report upon what the legislation of Congress might act. No one ever denied that this may be done. It is the every day practice for either House, to appoint a commission to ascertain facts upon which their legislation shall be based. That is morely an employment as it has been properly defined. It is not a judicial rolegislature office, but merely an employment, the result of which is considered to the control of the control of

considered of them were not indical.

The judges were required by the act, to report to the Secretary of War, and when they did report to him, the Secretary lad a right, as will be found upon examing the act, to suspend or control their judgment, and further, that it should be submitted ultimately to the revision of the legislature. What does this prove? It proves that the judges were nothing more than commissioners to ascertain facts upon which the war office and legislative department, were the case of Gratiot brought forward by the gentleman from North the case of Gratiot brought forward by the gentleman from North the case of Gratiot brought forward by the gentleman from North the case of Gratiot brought forward by the gentleman from North the case of Gratiot brought forward by the gentleman from North the case of Gratiot brought forward by the gentleman from North the case of Gratiot brought forward by the gentleman from North the case of Gratiot brought forward by the gentleman from North the case of Gratiot brought forward by the gentleman from North which the property of the case of Gratiot brought forward by the gentleman from North low do these cases stand? They show that Gorgress may appoint a commission to ascertain facts for the future action of the of the constitution. What is an office within the meaning of the constitution. What is an office within the meaning of the constitution. That instrument has divided the government into three departments, the executive, the legislative, and the judicial. By those departments, the books not in this wast country of ours is conducted. These departments embrace the business of the classifications of the business are such, as all near of ordinary intention of the secretary of the classifications of the business of the classifications of the business of the classifications of the business of the classifications taken in the books not in the form or nature of an office. But if it be the final disposition of the business, that can be price of the control of the

Senator from Virginia.

Allow me one more crearsk, and I have done. I have no personal acquaintance with Mr. Fremont. I have admired the man from character. I have read with pleasure the valuable information of the property of the propert

Mr. BENTON.—Will the Senator have the answer now?
Mr. UNDERWOOD.—I shall be glad to hear it sir.

Mr. BENTON quoted from the testimony taken before the

Mr. UNDERWOOD.—I had not examined that part of the testimony, but I see the basis of their action now.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi.—I think the Senator night have gone much further into the matter of the services rendered by the battalion. I do not intend to occupy the time of the Senate by entering upon any extended argument—that has been fully done by others—but simply to call the attention of the Senate to the subject of constituting by legislation a legal agent. And I will make a supposition. Suppose those officers had remained in the service, it would be demanded of them, that their vouchers should be perfected on the ground where the claims arose. And what subject of conditions are supposed to the service, it would be demanded of them, that their vouchers should be perfected on the ground where the claims arose. And what subject is the solid for Nething more than to give an opportunity to send those very persons, who, if they had remained in service would have been selected to discharge that duty, by reason of the offices which they held, to perfect the vouchers or those unpaid claims in California, that is the whole purpose of the offices which have been thus perfected. If the President does not choose to rely upon the certificates of these commissioners he will require the vouchers to be examined at the Auditor's office. This is the plain view of the case. This is the extent to which it is necessary to legalize the acts of the persons herein named, hence they were named, hence their compensation is fixed at precisely the same rate to which they would have been entitled had they remained in the service. It merely places them back in a position to render that service which they would have performed if their office had not been extinct. I have been somewhat surprised to read grain the recreation of the care gualternear arting upon the question as to the difference between an officer and an agonificers. My purpose is merely to legalize the certificates of these commissioners so that they may supersede the necessity of any further formality by ourselves or otherwise.

Mr. NILES .- The yeas and nays having been called for on the amendment of my honorable friend, the Schator from Virginia, 1 beg leave to give a very brief explanation of my vote upon this subject. The amendment which was reported from the committee as a substitute for the original provisions of the bill has obviated the difficulty which was supposed to exist at that time, and the question now is whether there is still a difficulty. The original bill provided for the establishment of a board to examine and deeide upon these elaims, to consist of one person named, and two others described as officers of a certain corps. This provision was clearly in conflict with the constitution, as it directed that the appointments were to be made by the President, with the concurce of the Senate, and, at the same time specified the persons should appoint. Whilst admitting that the appointment behe should appoint. he should appoint. Whilst admitting that the appointment de-longed to the President, it undertook to restrain and limit his pow-er. This could not be done, as his power is derived from the con-stitution and cannot be restrained by law. This struck me ut the time as not only exceedingly novel, but as bring actually a limitation on the constitutional power of the Executive. To direct the President whom he shall nominate, is a manifest limitation of the power which belongs to him under the constitu-tion. Now, however, we have a different proposition offered to us, and what is it? It is that in one of the sections of the bill certain persons are designated to carry into effect one of the objects of the law. These persons are not called a board, not designated as filling or nomine any office whatever. Still they have a very important duty to perform, and that is neither more nor less than to execute the law that we are about to pass, at least all that part of the law which provides for examining and adleast all that part of the law when provides by examining and ac-judicating the claims. Another part of the law provides for their payment, which is to be done by a person to be appointed by some-body, and of course, if we provide by law for any public duty, and do not provide for the appointment of the person to discharge that duty, the constitution in such case directs that the President shall do it. The first portion of the duty then required by this act, we propose shall be performed by the persons named in it. That is the whole matter; and gentlemen cannot make any thing else of it. It is a question whether Congress can themselves execute their own law, because whatever we do by our agents, we do ourselves. I am not going into any nice examination of these ourserves, there's not group more and the examination of not believe the thing never was attempted before. Can Congress exceute their own law? Why geutlemen must see that that would be to conflound exentive and legislative powers. Are we to appoint our own agents—to execute our laws? If so, what is the use of lawing an Executive department at all? The datases of those individuals are of a judicial, a ministerial character, they certainly are not logislative, not such duties as belong to us to discertainly are not registative, not signs double as belong to us to design consistency of the regions. And if we undertake to do it, we are undertaking to withdraw from the Executive, that which properly belongs to him. And what will be the accountability of these men? To whom will they be responsible? Who cam a move them? To whom will they be responsible? Who cam prove them? Can the Preneve tuent: who can give instructions to them? Can the Pre-sident? No, sir, he can have nothing to do with them, any more than he can with a committee in this body. They are substanti-ally a committee of this body. I hope the time is remove when a precedent of this kind can be set. I can say with my hope and lirelads, that I am far from heigt disposed to increase the Execu-

tive power, but at the same time, I am not for depriving the Executive of the power that rightfully belongs to him. I am not for assuming by the legislative department of this government, any Executive function, because it must tend to produce a conflict between the departments which may lead to the destruction of our How was it in England during that long struggle whole system. between prerogative and privilege—prerogatives of the crown and privileges of Parliament? I know it is said that the Presi dent has a veto upon our acts, and can by it, protect his rights Still that is no reason why Congress should attempt to encreach Still that is no reason why Congress should attempt to carracate upon them. Sir, it is the principle with me in all cases, with regard to questions of power, or where a reasonable doubt exists, with regard to the power of Congress, to give the benefit of that with regard to the power of Congress, to give the benefit of that doubt to the constitution. But in my judgment, this is not a case of doubt. It is a case perfectly clear, unlessit can be made plain, that in some way you can get awound the provision of the constitution which has separated, the Executive power being a ministerial power, from the legislative power. We are endeavoring to combine the two when we propose to execute our own law. The only example that has the singulest occarring upon this case, is the action of Congress upon private bills; but is there not a manifest distinction between private and public acts? A private act is not one that requires the action of the Executive; and everything in such a bill which is operative, and has the force of law, is nothing such a bill which is operative, and has the force of law, is nothing more than authority to some officer, to do an act specified. As for example, to pay money. We investigate the case here either in the Senate or by committee, and direct a sum of money to be paid. The law is exceuted by the public officer. Such arbitrary tors do not execute a public trust; they only make the investigation which a committee might make. But, sir, a public law is a different thing altogether. The Executive is sworn to execute the laws of the country, and we create for bim such duties as we please, within the limits of the constitution. If we direct a new service to be performed, and either provide of ficers, or throw it tupon these aiready anjointed, the duty devolves theres, or throw tupen these speedy appointed, the distributions of the upon the Executive in a greater or less degree. Because if you direct the appointment of new officers, these appointments devolve on him, and if the duty is thrown upon existing officers, he has to superintend their action, and you cannot withhold from the Executive cut upon the transfer of th these men or withdraw from them their power, and if he cannot, then would it be consistent with the constitution that the appointment should be made in this way? This is not a slight matter, I mean of keeping the various branches of the government separate; it is a great fundamental principle. I can therefore support no such pro-vision as this in its present, or in its original form; and the omission to give these agents any distinctive name or obstracter, or even to define their course of proceeding, will not at all help the matter, because they are to execute the law the bill recognizes them as public officers, I think by providing a rate of conpensation. If we can do this, we can pass a law dispensing entirely with the Executive department of the government. It is very easy to see that in this way we could withdraw the whole Executive duty from

the regularly constituted officers.

I am not disposed to take up the time of the Senate, but this question involves an important principle. We are getting around the constitution, and the fact that it is done in this cover imanner is no recommendation. I am rather more suspicious of it on that account. I do not like that feature of the amendment. I would not vote for a loan which should bear on its face an apparent design to evade and got round the constitution. There is too much of an appearance of an artempt to escape the difficulty by keeping it out of sight—too much the appearance of a studied purpose to clear the constitution, to clear the Executive, and to cheef the nur, instead of releving it from the constitution difficulty. I shall vote for the amendment of the Senator from Virginia, not that disible the numerical studies of the summariance. It has the summariance in a constitutional manner, I should have as much confidence in those gentlemen, especially one of them, from my knowledge of his public character and services, as in any individual that could be named. Still in all legislative acts I would rather have the gaurds which the constitution of mishes, and if the bill can be so amended, it will remove all hesitation on my part. It will, I think, remove the difficulty entirely.

Mr. PHFLPS.—This is a matter of very great importance; for if a precedent of this kind be set now, it must unquestionably for if a precedent of this kind be set now, it must unquestionably the properties of the government. If however, the properties of the government of the properties of the propert

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi.—Will the Senator allow me to ask him whether, at the close of this war, the duty of the disbursing officers, the commissioners and quartermasters will not have to be centimed for six months, in order to bring up their accounts and their certificates, which will have to be placed in the department exactly as it is proposed to be done here?

Mr. PHELPS .- No doubt the regular distribution of power is Mr. PHELPS.—No could the regular distribution of power is the subject of legislation. There is no doubt that Congress can add to the duties of any officer, or transfer duties from one officer to another. Congress has, from time to time, conferred specific duties, but this is a totally different matter from the appointing of officers. But I was remarking upon the feature in the amendment that these men shall allow such claims as they think just, and if they disallow any, nothing but new legislation will cover the case; and its below the water of the state of the state of the conditions is the conditions in the conferring upon these men judicial authority, and a judicial authority which is not open to revision, but which is conclusive upon the government itself? But whether their authority be judicial or not, the consideration hinted at by the Senator from Connecticut, has been from the beginning decisive with me. What are their powthe consideration finited at by the Schator from Connecticut, has been from the beginning decisive with me. What are their pow-been from the beginning decisive with me. What are their pow-government—is this not an official duty? How are these excressed now? By the departments. You have a numerous class of exceutive officers, whose duties are exclusively confined to these subjects. You have anothers in the several departments whose appropriate and principal duty it is to decide upon claims. Now, if we take these powers which the constitution has placed in the hands of these persons, and confer them upon our own appointees, the result is that the appointing power of the Executive is worth nothing; it is entirely useless. This consideration has been decisive with me from the beginning. This bill takes from the officers, established by low, the exercise of their appropriate. This being the principal official duties of these officers, is that duty to be changed into the character of an employment at your discretion? Does it change the duty because you choose to give it a systo be changed into the character of an employment at your dis-cretion? Does it change the duty because you choose to give it a new denomination? No, sir, the duty is precisely the same, and the objection returns upon you. This bill proposes to take from the accounting officers of the Treasury powers and duties conferred upon them by law, and to place those powers and duties in the hands of persons not appointed agreeably to the provisions of the constitution. If you one adopt the principle that you can do it again. If you once adopt the principle that you can withdraw from these men their power and confer it upon whom you please, can you so withdraw from the departments all their power and place it in the hands of your own nominees? It seems to me there is no avoiding this conclusion. Take any branch of the public service, and if you can once enter upon this course you can carry it vice, and it you can once enter upon this course you can carry it to the end. Under these circumstances, without trobling gentlemen or myself with a lengthoned discussion, I have morely to say that, if this is an official duty in the hands of these officers of the government. It is so in the hands of our appointee. The duties government, it is so in the hands of our appointee. The duties cannot be changed. And, in my humble judgment, if we can give these duties to whomsover we please, we can go far enough to make the whole corps of officers appointed by the President entrely useless. I am not in favor of setting such a precedent, which would have the effect of opening the door for a further assimption

Mr. CRITTENDEN .- I find that gentlemen the most compe-Mr. CRITTENDEN.—I find that gentlemen the most compe-tent to judge of questions of constitutional power, differ in opinion upon this subject. That would be a sufficient reason with me— if I had no opinion of my own on the constitutional question—to vote in favor of the exercise of the power, now in controversy, by Congress rather than by the President. I prefer to see it exer-cised by Congress as a safer and less dangerons depository of pow-er than the Executive. If it were matter of doubt as to where the power belonged, I should prefer, that it should be placed in the bands of Congress. I am not however, without an painion on the hands of Congress. I am not, however, without an opinion on the constitutional question. I know the powers of government are divided into legislative, executive and judicial; but I know another vided into legislative, executive and judicial; but I know another thing, that this division canot, in the nature of things, and of the constitution of governments, be perfect and exact. There will necessarily be a small mixture of powers, and this is as requisite as the alloy in your coin. Take your courts of justice—are there not discretionary rules enforced by them independently of enactments affecting the rights of parties? Undoubtedly. You give them power to prescribe rules of practice, and it is necessary that they should have that power. Take the executive department of the governhave that power. Take the executive department of the govern-ment. Are his powers as existing in practice within the limits of the constitution merely ministerial? How often, in the course of his duties, does he exercise a power which, in an abstract point of view, may be regarded as legislative? So with the legislative branch of the government. How can it got along without some portion of executive? power—such a portion as is necessary or nucleiental to the wholesome exercise of the power with which water unquestionably wested. I do not propose to enter at large into the subject, but merely to call the attention of gen themen to this view of the matter. The question is whether the provision contained in this bill is an assumption of the power which belongs to the President—an usurpation of his power. which belongs to the President—an usurpation of his power—ls it not our constitutional and legitumate province to provide for the payment of these claims? Undaubtedly. Without legislative provision, there could not be the payment of a dollar; and no other power than Congress has a right to provide for that payment. It is a legislative duty. We can prescribe the rules of evidence that shall govern in the establishment of these claims, and the form and mode of their authentication is entirely within the discretion in Washington. We can direct un to be paid in California or in Washington. We can direct up the consideration of the control of the c

testimony we can rely. We may declare that we will not rely testimony we can rely. We may declare that we will not say upon California witnesses; they are out of the limits of our criminal jurisdiction. We cannot punish them if they swear falsely. We may therefore exclude their testimony; or we may say we do not choose to trust to that sort of testimony alone. If then, we may do this, can we not point out certain men, and as on these we now can rely; and those claims which they allow shall be paid? We have a right to say in what manner and with what qualification they shall be paid; and in this point of view-contemplated in this as-pect—is it not a proper and judicious mode of legislation? It seems to me so, sir; it seems to me that we need not shrink back seems to me so, sir; it seems to me that we need not shrink back from it. It may appear, upon a refined construction, to come in conflict with the executive power to appoint to office. But it is rather as witnesses, than as officers of government that these gentlemen are to act. The claims in question originated through their agency, and under their authority as officers of the govern-ment. They, of course, are best acquainted with the subject, and course he invesced those hy fraudilent claimsts.

cannot be imposed upon by fraudulent claimants.

The object of the bill is, that none of these unliquidated claims The object of the bull is, that noise of these uniquidated claims shall be allowed unless their validity and amount be certified to by persons upon whom we can rely; and I really do not see how these constitutional questions can be raised, except by an ingenious and refined turn of argument. They do not arise naturally out of the ease. We are but giving to the certificates of these gentlemen the same legal operation that they would have had, if they had continued in service. Sir, if we are to admit, to the utmost extent, the claim set up for Executive power, it seems the united extent. The claim set up for Executive power, it seems to me that we should find it in the end very inconvenient indeed. It is admitted that there is a distinction between an office and an employment, a nice legal distinction. If gentlemen will search further they would find that there is an admitted legal distinction between an office and a power. Every power that may be granted is not an office. A particular power is, in common language, a distinctive hole from an office as an employment. When is this, as distinguishable from an office as an employment. as distinguishable from an office as an employment. What is this, then, but a mode of legislation by which we are to designate the persons that are to exercise a particular power—that of authenticating and certifying to certain claims? To say that we cannot theating and certuying to certain claims: To say that we cannot do this, would be to make the Executive government essential to every agency and every particular power to be created; that we could do nothing in fact without the agency of the Executive; count or notating in fact without the sgency of the Executive; in that his power must be invoked in every instance; that the legis-lative department is an inert mass, requiring the touch of the ex-centive to give it life and vitality. Let us take care that we do not run into an extreme on the one hand or the other. It is our duty to preserve every constitutional power belonging to the executive; but the tendency of our government has not been heretofore calculated to create any alarm of encroachment upon that power. In the nature of things, the encroachment which is to be cared is the encroachment by executive power. But there is no invasion here of executive power. There is no attempt to steal, or to cheat him of his power

or to cheat him of his power.

There is but one other question, and apon that I need say nothing—it is, whether Congress is disposed to pay these claims or not. I think we are bound to pay them. They have originated in a manner that is not regular, I admit. They have grown up under peculiar control of the product of the great responsibility to himself—characterized by great firmness and humanity, as well as devotion to his country. The expenses incurred in the performance of those services, were incurred under his own orders and those of his quartermaster and commissary, and upon their liquidation and authentication of them, we can most safely rely. And, in my judgment, the mode of settlement prescribed by this bill, is constitutional and proper.

Mr. ALLEN.—Like every other man who is sworn to support the constitution of the country, I should be extremely unwilling even to run the risk of a violation of it, and if I believed that there was just foundation for a reasonable doubt as to the constitution-ality of the amendment offered to this bill, I should unquestionably vote against it; but I have no such doubt and I shall therefore support it. It is a mistake to suppose that there can be nothing done on the part of, or for the government of the country, except by an "officer" under the constitution of the United States. An officer under the constitution of the United States derives his ap-pointment from one of three sources, first, from the President of the United States; secondly, from one of the heads of depart-ments; thirdly, from a court. The constitution is perfectly clear on the subject. It declares that

"He (the President), s' all have power, by sod with the advice and consect of the Seoate, to make treatise provided two thirds of the Seoate, to make treatise provided two thirds of the Seoates present concern; and he sall companies, and by and with the advice and consect of the Seoate, build appoint ambassaders, other public ministers and consults, judges of the Superme Court, and all valued for, and which shall be established by law; but the Congress may, be law, rest the appointment of such inferior officers, as they think proper in the President allow, in the control of law, or in the heads of departments. The President table we continued to the control of the president allows the control of the control of the president allows.

So that every officer under the constitution of the United States

whose appointment is not provided for in the body of the constiwhose appointment is not provided for m the body of the consti-ntion, must derive his appointment from the President, or the heads of departments or from a court; for Congress is not author-ized to vest the appointment of any officer, by law, in any body but in the President, in the head of a department, or a court. This is the language of the constitution, and I state it with the view of di-rectly calling the attention of the Senate to another matter. Conrectly calling the attention of the Senate to another matter. Congress, it appears eannot vest the appointing power in any officer of this government, if that officer be not the head of a department. This being the case, let us see what Congress has done. I go not back to the individual instances that have been cited, but to the uniform practice of Congress—to no solitary case that may have been a subject of particular attention, but to the uniform practice of congress for the last sixty years. By the constitution Congress is directed to take measures for ascertaining the number of inhabitants every ten take measures for ascertaining the number of inhabitants every ten-years. They have ordered that the marshals of the United States shall proceed to make a count of the whole population and return them within a given period of time. This is the duty of an officer of the United States called a marshal, whose office is created by law and whose appointment is made by the President of the United States. But can the marshal go into every county of his State and make a count of the people? By no means. Consequently Congress has created agents to assist the marshals. Congress has by law authorized the marshals of the several States in the Union to appoint assistants to aid them in counting the people. Are these assistants "officers" within the meaning of the constitution? I hold they are not. Because they are neither appointed in the constitution, nor by the President, nor by one of the heads of the departments, nor by a court, but appointed by a subordinate executive officer in each of the States—to wit: the marshal. Congress may omeer in each of the States—to will the marshal. Congress may vest the appointment of such officers in the courts of law, and they would then be officers in the strict sense of the term; but when appointed by the marshal they are not officers—still they derive their cmolument from the public treasury and are denominated in law not marshals but the assistants of marshals. More than a thousand such were created by law in 1839. Why are they not officers? Because they do not derive their ex-Why are they not officers? Because they do not derive their existence through any of the media known to the constitution, through which officers only can be appointed. The law did not create them officers, because their duty, like the duty intended in this case, was temporary in its nature. Here is the act, sir. The number of these appointees of the marshal is not limited. He may appoint any number lie pleases. (The honorable Senator here read an extract from the law, wherein it is provided that the marshals shall have power, and are required to appoint once or most assistants in each city or county in their respective of the same assistants in each city or county in their respective of the control of the performance of his official duty. Because it was physically impossible for him to do it alone; and yet they are not efficers—not one of them deriving his appointment from any of the sources indicated in the constitution. I happened to have my attention called to this subject, from the fact that the marshal of Ohio having apto this subject, from the fact that the marsian of Onto maxing pointed assistants, as he was authorized to do under the act of "29, the fall elections came on, and one gendeman who was his assistant, became a candidate for election to the Senate of Ohio. He was elected by a legal majority of votes, and his seat was controlled the control of the cont the constitution of that State declaring that no man who held an office, under the general government was eligible even as a candidate to a seat in the legislature. I was employed as counsel for the contesting candidate, and Mr. Ewing was employed as counsel for the contesting candidate, and Mr. Ewing was employed on the part of the sitting member. The result was that the sitting member retained his seat upon the ground that he was not an officer of the United States. Here, then, is a large class of nubbic functionaries United States. Here, then, is a large class of public functionaries, who are not officers under the constitution of the United States—who are not recognized as officers, for the reason that they do not who are not recognized as omeers, for the reason that they do not derive their appointments from any source pointed out by the constitution. But what is the practice of the federal government constitution? Poes not the executive department constantly employ-pecial agents? Have they not recently sent agents to Europe, to collect information which the government supposes will be valua-ble? Does not the Postmaster General constantly send out agents to superintend the external operations of that department? there not numberless cases of appointments, where the persons ap-pointed are not known to the law as officers? But the strong ground on which I rest the whole matter is the

But the strong ground on which I rest the whole matter is the uniform practice of Congress itself—six times repeated in public acts—directing the appointment of a large body of men to die charge public functions, and yet not one of these men being an of-ficer within the meaning of the constitution. They have to take an oath. The same law that directs their appointment, prescribes the oath, and fixes their salary; yet all this does not create an officer. There is a trong case, sir, and now which may resee assigns that duty to the meaning of the United States, and authorizes them duty to the meaning of the United States, and authorizes them

duty to the inarshals of the United States, and authorizes them to appoint assistants, who are not ollicers under the constitution because Congress has no right to confer upon the marshals the power of appointing officers.

What is the present case? It is nothing more than for the adjustment of claims, which to all intents and purposes legally, are now pending heiore Congress. It is a congressional business. It is not a claim pending before the Executive department, because it is admitted on all hands, that the very in which these claims accrued was irregular, and them the control was irregular, and tienness can adjust them. They have accrued under no known law. The President cannot appoint an agent to

adjust the claims, nor could be adjust them himself, if they were laid before him to day; neither could one of his subordinate officers
It is a business exclusively belonging to Congress. It is pre
cisely like the matter that was referred to Mr. Sargent, and by law claims then are before Congress. It is adjust them; and we propose to appoint a man to stand between the public treasury and the claimants, and see that justice is done the prime treasury and mechanisms, and see that justice is done to them and the government. It is as if we sent the matter to a committee. It is precisely like the investigation and examination of our own personal accounts in this body. They are claims which have no validity until we give them validity. It is our busi-Well, shall it be said here, that in a matter pending before Congress we have no right to prescribe the mode of ascertaining Congress we have no right to prescribe the mode of ascertaining the truth!—that we cannot assign the investigation of these claims to a committee! Why, who dure assert that the constitution stands between us and such a power as that? None, sir. We can pass a resolution this very hour, instructing the Judiciary Committee to do the very thing that it is proposed that these men, that are named in the bill shall do, and that because it is our business and not the Executive's. We are here to adjust claims, which we are named in the one and the Executive's. We are here to adjust claims, which we are under no legal hut a high moral obligation to pay, and which because under no legal obligation, cannot go before the Executive that the form of a meaning the second of the control If this case were now before us in the form of a me denartment. morial, could we not refer the claims to some committee of this body, to do the same thing these men are required to do? Shall we be told that it is the business of the Executive? This is all I we be took that at its educates or the Exceedings of the that I intended to say on the subject, but if I were to indulge myself in commenting upon the events out of which this claim grave. It should be inclined to occupy seme of the time of the Senate, in giving my opinion in regard to the conduct of Lieut. Colonel Frament, and the gallant men under his command. But that opinion mont, and the gallant men moder his command. But that opinion could center nothing upon a name which has acquired greater renown in this country, than that of any other man of his age who now 
breathes its air. I say, sir, that Fremont is—and if I was called 
on to speak under eath on the subject, I would declare, I believe him 
to be the most meritorious man of his age now living in this country. Nor is this my opinion of him just now, or recently formed; for at the opening of the war with Mexico, I took occasion to suggest his name in connection with a command in the war which 
would have called him to ever that williver, coming and energy. would have enabled him to exert that military genius and energy which I knew him to possess, and by which he would have confer-red yet greater services on his country, and upon his own name still greater renown.

Mr. BUTLER.—I did not, the other day, enter into the debate in spirit of controversy. At the time I made my remarks it was understood that the vote was about to be taken, and all that I intended was to explain my views, and to assign the reasons which would govern my vote. I then said, and I have heard nothing since that is at all calculated to induce me to change my opia-inn, that the gentleman nominated in this hill, would have all the in, that the gentleman hominated in this hint, would nave an the duties and more than the authority of a paymaster. Place the mat-ter in whatever light you will, his duties will at last involve those of a paymaster. By reading one or two lines, I believe I can as well illustrate my views as if I were to elaborate them for half an hour. The persons named are to allow the claims, and then they are to be paid by one who is acknowledged to be an officer—to be appointed as all other officers are—that is to be nominated by the Pre-sident, and confirmed by the Senate. And let me ask, who has the greater duty to perform, he who audits and ascertains what shall he paid, or the paymaster who checks out the money. ne paid, or the paylinaster who cheeks dut the indirey. One forms a judgment and issues, in effect, a peremptory order, and the other is obliged to obey it. To say that a man who is simply intrusted with the payment of money shall be styled an officer, whilst those who are required to say how much shall be paid, and to whom, are not to be regarded as officers, seems to me to involve the mathematical paradox that the minor can contain the major pro-position. The difference is this: the president of the bank orders position. The difference is mass the presence of the want orders the note to be paid, and the cashier pays it; yet, according to the reasoning of gentlemen, the eashier is the only officer. You cannot put it otherwise. I do not intend to chop logic, however; I have taken a palpable view, and if I am wrong in that, I am have taken a palpable view, and if I am wrong in unay a sin-wrong altogether. My view is this: every appointment for the discharge of a duty, which requires judgment and discretion, in the administration of the public treasure of the nation, is an office; and I say that, from the humblest paymaster, who pays a private soldier, up to the Secretary of the Treasury—in the case of every appointee whose duty it is to administer a public trust— he is an officer. I recognize a distinction between this and the employment of a man to do a specific piece of work. Let it be employment or a man to do a specific piece of Work. Let it be put in this way. Let us accretan whether the duties to be performed involve a trust. Suppose the claimants come here with their petitions, it might very well say with the Scanator from Ohio, we could investigate the claims, but admitting that we could we may grant a claim directly, by our committee, but the moment we may proport an agent to represent us, and to have vested in him whether the proportion of the propo constitution. If a commissioner were to be appointed to decide and report on all claims, I take it, he would be regarded as an important officer. If a commisioner were to be appointed to in the control of the control of the control of the consti-tution, before it could go into operation. Can this be dis-tinguished from such a case? If such a trust will not constitute an office, I confess I am at a loss to know what is sufficient. The Senator from North Carolina said, I believe, that an offici moved secretary but it does not do so always. That office in the sufficient of the sufficien

Mr. CASS.—I think that the course recommended by the committee is the correct one. One point, however, has been touched upon which I think it would be proper to mention. These operations took place at a great distance off, and under peculiar circumstances. A great responsibility devolved upon the officer at the head of the expedition; and I think he is entitled to great credit for the course which he pursued in getting possession of the country. That country has now passed under our jurisdiction; and the operations which to only the last taken place and has since been adopted as our act, what course would have been pursued in regard to these expenses? The officers would have been pursued in regard to these expenses? The officers would have hen nothing more to do than issue their requisitions to the quarter-master and the means would have been furnished, and the accounts paid on the spot and then settled by the proper accounting

officer of the treasury. Here you will have not only the requsition of the officer in command, but you will have the additional security of the other officers in the investigation of the propriety of each particular expenditure. These accounts must be settled, and if you send persons unacquainted with the condition of affairs under which the claims arose, it will be utterly impossible for them to arrive at proper results. We all know how easy it is to set up spurious claims in such cases. These were the considerations which operated with the committee. There was almost a parallel ease decided in regard to Florida, a case embodying the very principle involved in this ease. If the honorable Senator from Kentucky has got that act in his possession I would be glad if he would read the case.

Mr. CRITTENDEN.-The Senator from Delaware has it on his table.

Mr. CLAYTON read from the act of 3d March, 1845, "providing payment for certain military services in Florida."—Laws U.~S.,~v.~745.

Mr. BADGER.—I believe there are some other gentlemen who desire to address the Senate on this bill, and as it is necessary to have a brief executive session, I move it be passed over informally.

# EXECUTIVE SESSION.

On motion by Mr. BADGER, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of Executive business, and after some time spent therein.

On motion.

The Senate adjourned.

# THURSDAY, APRIL 27, 1848.

## PETITIONS.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, presented the petition of David Baker, praying an extension of his patent for an improvement in the Curvilinear saw-mill; which was referred to the Committee on Patents and the Patent Office.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, presented the petition of Richard T. Metrick, an officer in the army, setting forth the loss of his vouchers after he had deposited them in the hands of the proposed accounting officer of the government, and praying the settlement of his accounts upon the principles of justice and equity; which was referred to the Committee on Mintary Affairs.

Mr. MOOR presented a memorial of citizens of Ellsworth, Maine, and a memorial of citizens of Bangor, Maine, remonstra-ting against the admission of lumber cut in the State of Maine, and manufactured in the British Province of New Brunswick, into orts of the United States free of duty ; which were referred to the ports of the United Stat the Committee on Finance.

Mr. HALE presented a petition of inhabitants of Winnebago county, Illinois, praying the enactment of a law probibiting the acquisition of any new territory by the United States, unless on condition, that slavery be forever excluded therefrom; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

Also, a petition of inhabitants of Winnebago county, Illinois, praying that two slaves, sold to satisfy a judgment in favor of the United States against the estate of a debtor to the government, may be emancipated, and the money refunded to the purchases; also, the enactment of a law to prohibit the sale of that species of property for any debt to the government, and to forbid the holding of slaves by any officer of the United States; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Also, a petition of inhabitants of Winnebago county, Illinois, praying the repeal of all laws that authorize or recognize slavery, except as a punishment for crime; the motion to receive which, was ordered to lie on the table.

On motion by Mr. MOOR, it was

considered, by unanimous consent, and agreed to :

Ordered, That the petition of the heirs of John Riggs, deceased, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Revolutionary Claims.

INTERNATIONAL EXCHANGES. Mr. BENTON submitted the following resolution, which was

Revised, That the Secretary of the Senate he attained and directed to purchase one hundred copies of Hickey's edition of the constitution of the United States, and to deliver the same in the name of the Senate of the United States of Mr. Alexandre Vattenare, of Paris, to be distributed by him to France, according to his system of national exchange of books.

MANUFACTURE OF FIRE-ARMS FOR THE UNITED STATES.

Mr. CLAYTON submitted the following resolution for consideration

Resolved, That the Secretary of War be, and he hereby is, directed to report to

Resolved, That the Secretary of War be, so do he hereby is, directed to report to Prot.—How many spublic amonors there are telegority to the United States in which live arms are nanufactured, and where the same are located, and the date of commercing manufacturing operations in each. In the second manufacture of the states of the same are located, and the date of comparing the amonate used response to seek to date of region. In the same are th

# VENTILATION OF VESSELS.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, from the Committee on Com-merce, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives to provide for the ventilation of passenger vessels and for other purposes, reported it with amendments.

On motion by Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, it was

Ordered. That the further consideration of said bill be postoned to, and made the order of the day for, Monday, the 1st of

## FOREIGN MAILS.

Mr. NILES, from the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads, to whom was referred the bill from the Honse of Representatives to amend the act to provide for the transportation of the mail between the United States and foreign countries, and for other purposes, reported it with amendments

## THE PRIVATE CALENDAR.

Mr. MASON gave notice that on to-morrow, at one o'clock, he will move to proceed to the consideration of private bills upon the calendar, and devote the remainder of the day thereto.

# PAYMENT OF INTEREST TO ALABAMA.

Mr. BAGBY moved to postpone prior orders, in order to proceed to the consideration of the bill authorizing the payment of interest on the amount advanced by the State of Alabama to the general government pending the Creek hostilities in 1836 and '37.

Mr. PEARCE remarked that he desired to offer an amendment to the bill, and wished that the consideration of the bill might be postponed till another day, in order that he might prepare his amendment which had for its object the extension of the general principle of the bill.

Mr. BAGBY said that at the hazard of a little seeming unkind-MY. BAOD's said that at the hazard of a little seeming unkind-ness to the Senator, he must press the motion. A postponement of the bill would he tantamount to its defeat at this session, and he would take the liberty of suggesting that his friend from Mary-land, who did not, as he understood, object to the bill, could introduce his proposition in the form of a separate bill

Mr. PEARCE had no desire to delay action on the bill; but he regarded it as manifestly proper that the principle should be made applicable to other States

Mr. MANGUM said that the bill should be allowed to remain in its present position.

Mr. BAGBY reiterated his apprehension that if the proposition of the Senator from Maryland were connected with the bill, it would lead to fatal delay.

The question was then taken upon the motion to postpone the prior orders, for the purpose of taking up the bill named, and it was determined in the affirmative.

The Senate proceeded to consider said bills, as in Committee of the Whole, and the amendments reported from the Committee on Finance were agreed to.

No further amendment being made, the bill was reported to the Senate, and the amendments were concurred in. The question upon ordering the bill to be engressed and read a

Mr. PEARCE explained that his amendment would not in the least militate against the bill. As the bill had been taken up out of its order he was not prepared with his amendment. All that he desired was to make the principle of the bill applicable to Maryland and other States similarly situated.

After a brief conversation in which Messrs. BAGBY, ATHERTON, R. Johnson, and Phelps took part, relative to the circumstances in which the claims of the State of Alabama provided for in the

Mr. PEARCE asked that the bill be passed over informally.

Mr. BAGBY acquiesced in order to allow the Senator to prepare his amendment.

The said bill was then passed over informally.

# PORTRAIT OF GENERAL TAYLOR.

The Senate proceeded to consider the following resolution, submitted yesterday by Mr. BADGER :

Resolved, That the Committee on the Library he instructed to purchase the full length original portrait of General Zachary Taylor, painted by William G. Brown of Richmond, if the same can be obtained at a pince deemed by the Committee to base reasonable.

Mr, HALE .- I desire to know whether it be in order to address such an order to the joint committee?

The PRESIDING OFFICER .- It is addressed to the Library Committee of the Scnate only

Mr. HALE .- I move that the resolution be laid on the table.

Mr. HANNEGAN.—I beg 'to ask one question. Has there yer been a full length likeness of General Washington or of General Jackson ordered by the Senate?

Mr. BADGER .- I do not know that there has been.

The yeas and nays were then demanded on the question, and being seconded were ordered, and taken with the following result:

YEAS —Mestr. Allen, Atchino, Athertoa, Bright, Felch, Hale, Haongran, Ma-son, Sturgeon, Turney, Underwood—11. XAYS —Mestr. Bodger, Bagby, Bell, Borland, Cameros, Chrisc, Claytoc, Cri-tenden, Davis, of Massachusetts, Dayton, Johnson, of Maythand, Johnson, of Geo-gan, Mangum, Moor, Nels, Pitelya, Sprance, Uphan, Westcott—19.

The question recurring on the adoption of the resolution-

Mr. HANNEGAN moved to amend it by striking out the words "General Zachary Taylor," and inserting "Generals George Washington, Andrew Jackson, Winfield Scott, and Zachary Taylor." Taylor.

Mr. HALE .- I move to amend the amendment as follows : Add "and all the full length portraits of all the Generals in the Americae army."

Mr. UNDERWOOD.—I desire to make a very few remarks on this motion. I voted in favor of laying this proposition on the table in order to avoid what we just now witnessed, and what you will always witness whenever a measure of this sort is introduced. will always witness whenever a measure of this sort is introduced. I protest against the introduced in into this body of any movement of this character, because calculated to exette the heated feelings and partizan views of the members. We are sent here, as I believe, to legislate for the great interests of the American people and not to county ourselves in porchasing pictures to form a picture gallery. We do not come here for the purpose of naming one popular man to the exclusion of others, thus exciting delate as to who is most deserving of honor and distinction. If Gen. Taylor re-who is most deserving of honor and distinction. who is most deserving of honor and distinction. It I Gen. 1 alylor receive the nomination for the Presidency I feel very certain that I shall vote for him. I will yiel I to no gentleman on this floor in admiration of Gen. Taylor. I hope I have also a deep feeling of gratitude to the "father of his country." Although politically opposed to General Jackson, yet I respect and admire his character. So with regard to Gen. Scott. There are many others that I might name, for whom I entertain the same feelings, but without forther remark I beg to submit that the resolution is inexpedient.

Mr. HANNEGAN-(in his seat.)-There is General Butler from your own State.

Mr. UNDERWOOD.—Yes, and a schoolmate and associate, and a man whom I am proud to say here and every where, all and a man woom I am proue to say nere ane every worse, in must respect for his honesty and elevated character, however, they may differ from him in political matters. I ask, sir, if we have not already seen enough to convince us of the impropriety of di-verting, our attention from the legitimate husiness of the country, in order to select individuals on whom to bestow hoor and distinction ?

Mr. BADGER.—I had not the slightest expectation when I presented this resolution, either that it would produce or was calculated to produce any species of excitement. I offered this resolution for the purchase of a portrait of Gen. Taylor because we can obtain one which is said to be an excellent likeness, painted from life. It is now exhibited in the rotunds of the capitol, and there seemed to me to be a very evident propriety in the government obtaining possession of it. I hold that there is a mean of the same possession of it. I hold that there is a mean of the same possession of it. I hold that there is a mean of the same possession of it. I hold that there is a mean of the same possession of it. I hold that there is a mean of the same possession of it. I hold that there is a mean of the same and character of our country. And I assure my friend from Indiana that when he brings forward his resolution for the purpose of purchasing a full length portrait of the "father of his country," of Gen. Jackson, and of Gen. Soct, the will not find me in the sightest degree disposed either to within our find me in the sightest of the same possession of the same po Mr. BADGER .- I had not the slightest expectation when I presented this resolution, either that it would produce or was calacopied without embarrassing it with this amendment. I assure him that he cannot introduce a proper resolution to testify respect for any distinguished gentleman without receiving my hearty ap-probation. Now with regard to the objection of my friend from Kentucky, that the Senate came here to attend to the great inter-Kentucky, that the Senate came user to when to the great mice. I agree to it out and out. I do save him that I never entertained a contrary opinion in my life, but when he says that were not sent here for the purpose of forming a picture gallery, does he recollect that he is pronouncing a solemi judgment of cenare upon collect that be is pronouncing a solemn judgment of censure upon the American Congress, almost from the commencement of the government? I am sure, observant as he is, he cannot so often have passed from this chamber, to the other, or when a member of it, from that House to this chamber, without seeing that we have been actually engaged in preparing a picture gallery. And what is a very reantable circumstance, so far from thinking it beneath the dignity of an American legislature, seat here to attend to the national interests, we have purchased many portraits and bests for the purpose of adorning this edifice.

Mr. DAYTON, (in his seat.)-Not exactly "adorning" it !

Mr. BADGER.—The gentleman says not for the purpose of adorning the editice. Well, that is a matter of tasts. But we have gone farther. We have not only been in the habit of purchasing pictures, portraits of individuals, and placing them no arm and the properties of the properties o name; but we may also purchased statues not intended to repre-sent American citizens at all, and sculpture not like any thing in the heaven above nor on the earth beneath nor in the waters under the earth. So that I must be permitted to say that the objection the earth. So that I must be permitted to say that the objection of the Senator from Kentucky has been made rather late in the day. I proposed the resolution in good faith, and I hope that my friend will not insist on complicating it, with any amendments, but that the sense of the Senate will be taken immediately upon it.

Mr. ALLEN rose, but yielded to

Mr. HANNEGAN, who said: I am confident that there is no American any where, be he who he may, who holds in higher esteem than I do the eminent services of General Taylor. His patriotism, his valor, his good conduct upon all occasions have way sincerest regard. But to my mind, sir, there is something inmy sincerest regard. But to my mind, sir, there is something in-ridions in the proposition before the Senate. A Presidential elec-tion is approaching and the name of that distinguished soldier stands prominently smong the list of those who aspire to the pos-session of that empty bubble. It is for that reason, in the first place, that I regarded this resolution as out of place. But again, it signalizes General Taylor above all the illustrious men that have adorned your country's history. What is the proposition? To buy the full length portrait of General Taylor and suspend it, I sup-pose, in one of the panels of the rotunda, or perhaps in the Senate chamber, excluding the "father of his country," Marion, Ern's trusted son, Wayne, Lincoln, and he who fell first at Bunker Hill! Where have you full length portraits of these heroic men? Let we say here, that I have never seen but one life-like [all length Where have you full length portraits of these heroic men? I Let us say here, that I have never seen but on life-like full length potrait of the "Father of his country"—taken in the vigor of hie—in the bloom of manhood—just after the close of the revolution-ary war. Has the nation purchased that picture? Has any massked the nation to purchased lit? It was on occasion of an accidental visit to Princeton, in New Jersey, that I had the pleasure of hetololing this soul-stirring out and glorious zenith from which first began could! In the college hall of that respectable institution I saw this inestimable portrait, gracing the very frame from it never receded! In the college hall of that respectable institu-tion I saw this insentimable portrait, gracing the very frame from which the likeness of George the III was struck by a cannon bain in the battle of Princeton. The portrait was taken at private ex-pense; and it is the only lile-like full length portrait of the "Father of his country," taken in "\$3. Not one human sheing has ever apof his country," taken in "83. Not one human being has ever applied to Congress to bring it here to adon't hese walls! And now, sir, I am reminded of what I saw last Saturday amid the hallowed shades of Mount Vernon. On a visit to that sacred apor, I saw what is called a Sarcophagus, of American marble, and I like it none the less for that, though it is not perhaps as one as the Parian marble! and on the stone which resting on poor, morehild prick, wark, copyers the ashes of Washington and his nne as the Farian matther; and out the stone which resting on poor crumbling brick-work, covers the ashes of Washington and his wife, sleeping side by side, I found this inscription:

"By the permission of Lawrence Lewis, the surviving executor of George Wash-ngton. This succephages is presented by John Strathers, of Philadelphia, marble mason, A. D., 1837."

"By John Struthers, of Philadelphia." I reverence the man who had heart enough to do it for the "Father of his country!" But talk not to me of particism upon an occasion of this kind, so long as the representatives of the country into which he absolutely breathed existence, and to which country into which he absolutery breathed extracted, and to which he gave all the glory of his imperishable name, have left to an obscure private individual the erection of his tomb! And now you propose to select a living man and an aspirant to the Presidency, his heart warm and beating, and signalize him by this public mark of distinction! Well, perhaps I too may vote for him—I do not know—it is impossible in these times of change to tell what men may do! But I do maintain that there is an indelicacy in men may ention which must prevent me from giving it my appropul. Where is your monument to the memory of Washington? To you, "Old Virginia," I look for the removal of the stain which this neglect has affixed upon us! You will not surrender his ashes—and you never should—hat you alone should give him a monument that should bower to the skies!

Mr. BADGER.—I beg to make an explanation in regard to the remarks of the Senator from Indiana, but I do not propose to enter into any animated discussion on this subject. I do not see any necessity for that there is the second for mo any ammateu discussion on this subject. I do not see any ne-cessity for that, though I listened with great pleasure to my friend from Indiana. The resolution, as I have already remarked, does not propose that a full length portrait should be executed. It is simply a proposal to purchase a full length portrait which happens to be here

Mr. HANNEGAN—(in his scat.)—Why not huy the full length portrait of Washington from Nassau Hall, and that of Jackson from New Orleans?

Mr. BADGER.—The proposition was made by me, because there were friends of Gen. Taylor here who said that this is an excellent likeness. Now, if there he no objection to the propriety of this thing in itself, why not adopt the resolution? I do not know where the full length portrait of Gen. Jackson which it is proposed to purchase by my friend from Indiana, is to be obtained.

Mr. BUTLER-(in his seat.)-What picture do you propose to buy ?

Mr. BADGER .- The full length portrait of Gen. Taylor, now

in the rotunda.
Mr. HANNEGAN—(in his seat.)—A burlesque of him I have no doubt

Mr. BADGER .- Well, it may be so; but his friends say it is an accurate likeness.

Mr. UNDERWOOD.—My friend from North Carolina suppo-

ses that my faculties of observation are very obtuse Mr. BADGER-(in his seat.)-Quite the contrary.

Mr. UNDERWOOD .- He supposes that I cannot have noticed the other pictures in the rotunda, and the statuary which adorns the capitol

Mr. BADGER-(in his seat.)-Or deforms it!

Mr. UNDERWOOD .- Or deforms it, then. Now, I think there is a manifest distinction between the proposition of the gentleman and the case of these pictures. The panels in the rotunda were expressly designed for pictures, and I regard those historical paintings as altogether distinct from the formation of a picture gallery I think that this innovation on the practise of the government with regard to this matter, is most inoportunely commenced in the case of the living instead of the dead. No one's epitaph ought to be written till after his death.

We ought to be cautious in our commendations of those who are alive, and particularly those who are situated as General Taylor is at present. It does, as my friend from Indiana, says, look somewhat invidious—a little like rendering personal homage to the man in consequence of the position which he now occupies before the American people. As I have already intimated, I feel deeply interested in every thing that re-lates to General Taylor. He is a great favorite of mine; but I lates to General Layoff. He is a great involve of hinds, our dislike movements of this sort, in the face of the American people, at this particular juncture, selecting him in preference to the "Father of his Country" or any of the great men of the past. I think the proposition had better he dropped. Not that I would for a moment suggest the propriety of doing so, in order to prevent the remarks which might be made upon our conduct if we work as dear the receivable. were to adopt the resolution. I would not myself he influenced by any such intimidation, and I am equally confident that it would not operate on any member of that hody. But I think that it will not operate on any memory of that hosts. But I that be altogether in better taste to set aside the proposition. It may be that the adoption of a system of this kind, for the decoration of our public huldings here with portraits of distinguished citivens here, would stimulate laudable ambition; but I am rather inclined to think that it would strike the American people with some surprise.

Mr. ALLEN.-This resolution does not, I believe, purport to be a joint resolution, though it is addressed to a joint committee, and there is, therefore, as I understand, some irregularity in it. But if the Senate be disposed to overlook the irregularity, I have no particular objection to going into the business of commemora-ting the achievements of the generals of the republic in painting, executed at the public expense, provided, that in order to avoid even the appearance of injustice to some while doing justice to resolution be made sufficiently comprehensive. it ought to contain within itself something like a system unsuch, it ought to contain within itself something like a system un-der which the government may act hereafter, and for that purpose I move to strike out all after the word "instructed" and to insert the following:

OHOWING:
"To procure to be provided and placed in the Rotunda full length portraits of all the Generals of the republic who may, as generals-in chief in fields of battle, have achieved victories for which they have received the thanks of Congress."

Mr. DAYTON .- It is no part of my purpose, sir, to embark in MT. DATION.—It is no part of my purpose, six, so emantain this argument. I merely desire to say in reply to my friend from Indiana, that with some knowledge of the feelings of those gentlemen who control the institution of Nassau Hall, the federal government is not rich enough to purchase the full length portrait of Washington to which the gentleman alludes.

Mr. HANNEGAN, (in his seat.)-I do not believe it is.

Mr. DAYTON .- It is within the bounds of possibility that if that should turn out to be the only full length portrait of General Washington, and at any time hereafter it should become a matter Washington, and any time hereather it should become a matter of necessity to the federal government to have such a portrait here, it might be presented by that institution to the government. But as a question of dollars and cents, of buying and selling, it is not a thing to be thought of between the parties. My purpose, was to make that single remark, and to synthat for one I am always ready to grant these little appropriations for the purpose of distinguishing our illustrious men. I would be glad if the federal ways ready to grant these fittle appropriations for the purpose of distinguishing our illustrious men. I would be glad if the federal government could obtain the full length portraits, or any other momorial, of all the Presidents of the United States, and any other men, who stand a head above their fellows—whether it is Jackson, Taylor or Scott.

Mr. HANNEGAN .- Will the Senator allow me to ask him a art. AANNEARAN.—Will the Senator allow me to ask him a question? Why distinguish soldiers above statesmen? Where are your portraits of the two Adams—of Jefferson—of Madison—of Monroe,—of a living man, Martin Van Buren, who, although you did not support him, you must admit has rendered the most eminent services to his country?

Mr. DAYTON .- May I beg to remind the Senator that that very f the federal government should procure portraits of every one of those emment civilians. There are many whom I could name; Alexander Hamilton, for instance,-

Mr. HANNEGAN .- (In his seat.) Yes, and John Hancock. and Henry Clay.

Mr. DAYTON.—And many more—republican and federal—whig and democrat. I should gladly see their portraits here, instead of the statue of Columbus, looking like a man rolling ninepins, and the girl lrightened, lest the ball should fall on her toes! And it seems that the opposite pedestal is to receive another groupe in equally good taste—a groupe of four figures, illustrating the "triumphs of orulization over savage life,"—a female protecting a child, and standing over her, a savage with a raised toma-hawk, and behind the latter, a white man holding back his arm! Certainly, I should greatly prefer making an appropriation to decorate the capitol with portraits of eminent citizens, rather than with such "works of art!" But I did not intend to trespass on the time of the Senate.

Mr. HALE .- I think that this discussion must convince the country of the present extraordinary evaluations of great most. They seem to spring up on all hands. I have been revolving in my mind some plan by which the homage which is to be paid them, may find a proper merit. Let a standing committee "on GREAT MER" he appointed, whose business it will be to report to us at each session of Congress! The Senator from Indiana has as at each session of Congress. The Section from Indiana has alluded to the neglect with which the "Father of his Country" has been treated. It has been worse than neglect! We have not only neglected to purchase any full length portrait of Washing-ton, but we have disgraced the most heautiful grounds in the count try with one of the most ill-conceived statues I have ever beheld. I allude to Greenough's statue. It resembles rather some one of the heathen deities, which, I can hardly say, for it is sometime since I looked into Tooke.

A SENATOR .- Jupiter Tonans !

Mr. HALE.—And a very poor one at that! But this discussion has disclosed another fact, that while there are so many illustrious men whose ears are forever closed to the voice of censure or applause, an attempt is made to select a living man with whose likeness to decorate these halls! Sir, I belive that it is quite time names to decorate these mains: Sir, I neave that it is quite time enough to talk of thus distinguishing men when they have passed through all the trying vicissitudes of life. I cordially concur with the gentlemen from Indiana and New Jersey, that we should look to the walks of civil life for objects of respect and homage. I go farther. There is at this moment in our land a female who has done more for humanity, Christianity, and the elevation of man above all that afflicts and degrades him, than a thousand so-called heroes. I refer to that illustrious woman whose "works of mer-cy and labors of love" are known all over the land, and through whose exertions an hospital for the insane has recently been commenced in the State of Tennessee—Miss Dorothea Dix—a name which will live so long as all that is most estimable in human na-ture shall command the respect of mankind!

If in order, sir, I move to lay this whole subject on the table.

The motion was decided in the affirmative by yeas and nays as

YEAS—Meurs, Allen, Archivon, Atherton, Beatton, Breese, Bright, Buller, Bougha, Felich, Hain, Hamesen, Jeris, Mann, Niles, Fearre, Rusk, Sprance, Sturgon, Tyrney, Inderwood, and Yulov—29. NAYS—Messes, Badger, Bagby, Bell, Bordand, Cameron, Glarke, Glydno, Calernden, Bavis, of Massekhusetts, Dayton, Foote, Greene, Johnson, of Marphad, Uplan, and Weston—12.

# THE CALIFORNIA CLAIMS.

The Senate then resumed the consideration of the hill for ascertaining and paying the California Claims.

Mr. MASON briefly replied to the remarks of the Senator from Missouri, contending that the constitutional objection to the bill had not been at all obviated by the amendment reported by the committee, and of course his argument against the bill was unaf-fected by it. The Senate would determine whether he was right in his view of the constitutional question. As to the precedents relied upon by the Senator from North Carolina, he had shown that they were not at all appropriate to the present case. He need not remind the Senator, that in a court of law there was no authority but that of a decision of an appellate court upon the point in ques-ion. Short of that, the decisions of courts were only persuasive, All he desired in this case was, to impose and entitled to respect. all the safeguards which the constitution provided.

Mr. CLARKE then addressed the Senate at length in favor of the bill. His speech is given in the Appendix.

Mr. RUSK .- I desire to trespass on the patience of the Senate for a moment only before the vote is taken. It seems to me that if any question can be settled, the constitutional difficulty here has been. We find in cases precisely similar, that Congress some seven or eight times has acted just as this hill proposes, by referring claims, for the purpose of ascertaining their justice, to persons who are not officers of the government, and such referrence has never been considered, so far as the legislation of Congress is concerned—as constiting the individual to whom such reference is made an officer of the government. There can be no distinction so far as this reference is concerned, between the duty astination so in r as this reserence is concerned, between the duty to be performed by the referees, and the duty of an arbitrator to whom a case is submitted by a contr. Both perform the same sort of duties. It is competent for Congress, of course to pay the claims without testimony, as well as with the best, or the weakest

testimeny. It is for them to determine what evidence will satisfy testimeny. It is for them to determine what evidence will satisfy them. They may take the certificates of officers or of individuals cognizant of the origin of the claims, and they may determine those to be sufficient testimony upon which they will authorize the proper officers of the government to pay the claims. That is all hat is asked in this case. And it seems to me strange, I say it with the utmost respect for the opinions of those who differ with me, it must I am sure proceed upon this ground alone that they make a mistake in supposing that the right to ap-point officers by the President is not inherent in him but point others by the President is not inherent in him but confided to him by the constitution, and is more a duty than a right—it does appear to me strange I say, that such should be the conclusion at which Scnators arrive, that it is the duty of the President, and not merely a right which he may excress or not, President, and not mercily a right which he may excreise or not, to fill up such offices as Congress may create by law. What is it that is proposed by this bill? We determine by it, that those claims which are just, shall be paid. The question then is, what testimeny will be required to assure us of their justness? The bill proposes that Colonel Fremont, who was the commander, together with the paymaster and quartermaster of the troops who performed the service, shall furnish the evidence upon which the government will authorize their payment. And it does seem to the constitutional right, me, that there can be no interested in point of constitutional right, which is we do not constitute the property of the constitution. If there is no presented by the constitution. If there he a difference, which, I confess, I am unable to precieve, then Congress has violated the constitution in several instances, because they have repeatedly referred cases to individuals who were not officers. A peateury reterred cases to individuals who were not omeers. At case was referred to yesterday, where a law was passed for the payment of some half dozen companies of troops in Florida. How were they to be paid? Why, upon the testimony of two individ-uals with the approval of the governor of the State. Were they constituted officers of the government of the United States? If they were, they would necessarily have to be appointed by the they were, they would necessarily have to be appointed by the President. But they were nothing more than arbitrators, or rather examiners for ascertaining and satisfying the government of the justice of the elaims. Then, as I said before, if we are wrong in this, Congress has been wrong seven or eight times. The Su-preme Court has been wrong in making decisions which they were not authorized to make. Nothwithshanding, with all the argu-ments which I have beard to the contrary, I insist that the case I read is conclusive of this very point in controvery. That case was on a mandamus requiring Mr. Kendall to perform the award was on a manual requiring an Actional to perform the award of the soliciter of the treasury. Five judges were in attendance. Two of them dissented from the opinion given by the court—one of the dissentients being the Chief Justice—upon the ground that it was not a subject for a mandamus. If, in dissenting from an of the dissentients being the Chief Justice—upon the ground that it was not a subject for a mandamus. If, in dissenting from an opinion of this description, the law that they were required to enforce had been unconstitutional, would it not be a reflection upon the Chief Justice—who took different ground from a majority of the judges—to imagine that he would never once have alluded to the lact of unconstitutionality? Three of the judges say expressly that Congress had the power to pass a law authorizing an efficer, or any one clse, to determine a claim against the government.

There seems to be another question started upon this subject, and that is the authority under which the claims originated. I wish briefly to explain this authority, and to show the grounds wish briefly to explain this authority, and to show the grounds upon which the government ought to recognize the claims. What were the facts in the case? In the year 1845, before the war broke on the tween this country and Mexico, Commodore Sloat, with a large naval force, is despatched into the Pacific ocean; and for what purpose? To take possession of California. Nobody has contended that this was not the purpose for which the armament was sent there. The Navy Department afterwards, upon sending him leave of absence on account of ill health, gave him something of a reprimand because he had not proceeded to take possession of California at an earlier period. It appears, then, that the sending of the squadron into the Pacific, was not for mere ammsement, but for a specific object. It was known that a war was likely to take place, and it was known that England was desirous likely to take place, and it was known that England was desirons of obtaining, if not full possession, at least commercial advantages in California. The armament is sent there; and afterwards an offieer is sent by the land route with a letter which has been be a mere letter of introduction to Col. Fremont. What did Fremont consider it his duty to do after receiving that letter? Had none consider it his duty to do after receiving that letter! That he not gone back, the country would have been in possession of the British. He returned; and it is highly creditable to him that he did so, and these claims accuract in consequence of the military operations which ensued. Will Congress now say that they are operations which ensued. Will Congress now say that they are going to reluse the payment of these claims, because one of the most gallant officers of the United States, dared to assume the responsibility of defending his countrymen from a merciless feel For about thirty days only, were the operations of Col. Fremout carried on, previous to the receipt of positive instructions—borne by Commodore Stockton—to take possession of the country. These can be no question as to the policy of the government. So far from being deserving of any blame, the Executive is entitled not been as prompt as he was, we may be assured from what is now going on in Yucatan, that Macamanra would have been in possession of California, it is not the time now to go into an enquiry of what California is worth to us, in regard to the extension of our commerce or otherwise; it is now in gard to the extension of our commerce or otherwise; it is now in our possession. I will venture the assertion, that at the end of ten years from this time it could not be purchased from the United

States for two hundred millions of dellars. This country has been saved to us, in agreed measure, by the prompitude, vigilance, and foresight of this officer. The question comes simply to this. If we are willing to avail ourselves of the advantages which the services of these individuals have procured us—actuated, if you will, at the same time by a desire to save themselves and their families—in saving a country which is worth two hundred millions of dollars, exposing them to heggary, refusing to pay them, why then let us vote against this bill. If you are willing to pay for their services then the only question is, whether Col. Fremont and those named in the bill are the proper individuals for the government to trust in inves-tigating the claims. They are, in my opinion, the only individ-uals that can with propriety be selected. Suppose you select three greedy office-seekers, of whom there are so many to be found about the purliens of the Capitol, they would not understand the nature of their duties, whilst the persons named in this bill know

nature of their dines, whilst the persons named in this bill know precisely what the claims are the claims are. I am willing to trust Col. Freemont. In the first place I believe he is well qualified for the task; and for selecting him, we have another reason. He is a young man who has acquired a distinguished reputation, and that reputation furnishes us a bond that he will do nothing to cast a shadow upon his character.

Mr. BAGBY .- I take this occasion to say, that the vote I shall give will be given without the least reference to the distinguished gentleman who is named in the bill. I agree with the Senator from Texas, that he is a man of extraordinary merit and abili-ties. If my testimony were of any avail, to enhance the estimation in which he is held, he would have it to the full extent. Indeed, If the passage of this bill were dependednt only upon his merits, I worture the assertion that the bill would receive the unanimous sanction of thus body. Neither have I any hesitation as to the propriety of paying these California Claims. They orght to be paid. They are as meritorious claims as were ever presented to the Congress of the United States. But I leave out these views entirely, and come to the great question involved, that is, the question of constitutional right between two departments of this government. No matter what may have been the practice of Congress or the decisions of the Supreme Court, they have no proper application to the case now before the Senate. I say it with enter respect for the decisions of that court, I think it is no disrespect to the chief justice to differ with him in opinion, because es spect to the chief justice to differ with him in opinion, because es "Homer sometimes nodded," the wisest men may sometimes cortex in judgment. In the proceedings of this body, I am not to be bound by the decisions of the Supreme Court upon constitutional questions. The course of reasoning by which I satisfied my mind in regard to this matter was this, I asked myself, who would have the work of the court investigated these trains provided using year area within ready do the ordinary dependent of the treasury? As a free part of the ready do that these accounts would have been investigated by constitutionally appointed officers of the ready are the provided the properties of the provided the provided the provided the provided the provided the provided the disposed of by reference to the regularly constituted officers of the government, the question comes up how are they to be disposed of? Why, by a law making provision for the appointment of officers, Why, by a law making prevision for the appointment of officers, with the same powers that those officers would have for adjusting the claims. I have had no difficulty on the subject from the beginning. I regard the amendment reported by the committee, as precisely the same in substance, in effect and operation, as the bill itself. The same thing is to be done, though in a different manner. It always pains me to differ from the views of a committee, as commended to a first adjust men in the budy-bard I confess these composed too, of the ablest men in the body—but I confess, they have not satisfied my judgment, and by it I must be governed. I dissent from the view taken by the Senator from Kentucky, who assys that in doubtful cases, we should exercise the power ourselves. My doctrine on the subject of doubtful power, has always been to abstain from its exercise altogother. But upon this point I have no doubt, and I shall therefore vote for the amendment of the Senator from Virginia.

Mr. BREESE said he wished to make one remark. When the bill was reported by the Committee on Military Affairs, organizing as it did, a board with officers and salaries, and appointing those officers by law it was against that provision of the constitution which gives the appointment of officers to the President by and with the dvice of the Senate, and he therefore should have voted against the bill for that reason. The amendment, he thought, obviated the objection he entertained, and it seemed to him that the whole case was now in a very small compass. It is nothing more than this: certain claims are alleged to exist against the United States, but from their peenliar nature cannot be adjusted by the officers of our norm near pecuniar nature cannot be adjusted by the officers of the Exceutive department. Congress then declares by this bill, that if J. C. Fremont, Captain Hensloy, and Major Reading, who know all about these claims, will certify to their extent, we will pay them by an officer to be appointed for that purpose; and this, be said, seemed to be most clearly within the constitutional competency of Congress, and he therefore should vote for the bill as amended.

Mr. WESTCOTT said he desired to say a very few words in explanation of the vote he was about to give. captions the members of the war and to give. He should the manifold th

regarded it as an encroachment on the power delegated to the Preregarded it as an encountenation on the power delegated to the Fresident under the constitution. The amendment had obviated the difficulty be then had in some degree. He would not say that the convictions were entirely clear—the question was one of some doubt. But when he reflected that the Executive power of appointment, and of conferring official patronage was the most fearful in our institutions—that its corrupting tendencies and effects were of the most dangerous character;—when the fact stared him in the face, that it has had for years a most pernicious influence upon the action of the government, he felt disposed to circumscribe it in every and any case in which be could do so without plainly and palpably violating the constitution. This is not such a case of palpable, undoubted violation. I find precedents for it approved by Presidents who would not have submitted to encroachments on their power. Among them, one in 1845, passed with reference to the payment of volunteers in Florida, is directly in point. The regiments of Colonel Brown and Major Garrison, and several companies of volunteers, were ordered to be paid at the Tracsary on moster rolls to be verified by the oaths of their officers and approved by the Governor of Florida. Those officers had been out of service for years, and Florida was made a state the very day this law was passed, and the office of territorial governor therefore beful in our institutions-that its corrupting tendencies and effects law was passed, and the office of territorial governor therefore be law was passed, and the onice of territorial governor the color be-came definite. I regard that law as a precedent that I may, in voting on this bill, follow with safety, and especially when, if there is no constitutional difficulty, all admit the bill in this provision will be judicious. The President must concur in the bill before it will be judicious. The President must concur in the bill before it becomes a law. If he regards it as an encreachment on his constitutional powers, he can protect himself by rejecting it. I have no idea that under the precedents existing such difficulty will be

Mr. CALHOUN.—Before the question is put, I desire to state the reasons upon which I shall feel myself compelled to vote for the amendment offered by the Senator from Virginia. I have bestowed upon this case that attention which the magnitude, both of the principle and the amount of money involved, seemed to me to demand; and after the best consideration that I have been able to demand; and after the best consideration that I, have been able to give it. I have been brought to the conclusion that the original bill as reported by the committee, and the amendment which the committee now propose to substitute for it, are both unconstitutional. In order to understand whether this opinion of mine be correct or not, it will be necessary to understand what are actually the provisions contained in the bill and the amendment, and what is the object intended to be effected? We are told by two of the members of the committee that the object is, to prescribe the evidence on which the claims are to be allowed, is, to prescribe the evidence on which the claims are to be allowed, and that the persons named are to act in the character of witnesses. If so, the bill and amendment are strangely drawn. I find not a word about evidence or witness, or having the least reference to either, and the language used excludes the possibility that the object was such as they allege. They are vested with the function to examine and allow, and not to testify. They act as a board of commissioners. The majority decides, and their decision is final. By what abuse of language, then, can individuals performing such functions be called witnesses, when they are of a charassing a very different object. They allege that it is intended to enable the three individuals named in the amendment, to nerform assign a very unieron togect. Anoy arege una it is intended to enable the three individuals named in the amendment, to perform that which they would have performed at the time, had they had duck in their hands to meet the expenses incurred; that is, to en-able Col. Fremont to perform the functions of commander, and the other two, those of paymaster and quartermaster respectively. To judge of the correctness of this allegation, it will be necessary scertain what additional functions they would have had to per to assertain what additional functions they would have had to per-form, if they had been in funds at the time. None whatever, but to pay as the expenses were incurred, instead of giving certificates for the amount. Col. Frencont had performed all his functions as commander, as fully as if the ample funds had been furnished, and none but those of the payments and another theoretical the state of the payment of the payment of the performance of the payment of the paym performed. Now, I ask, is it the object of this bill, or the amendment reported by the committee, to enable them to perform their unexecuted functions? Is it proposed that either of these disbursing officers shall perform the function of paymaster or commis-sing officers shall perform the function of paymaster or commis-sary, or that Col. Fremont should perform that of commander? There is not a provision to that effect. Their functions are to be entirely different. In the first place, instead of acting in their in-dividual capacity and on their individual responsibility, as they would, if the duties attached to each had been performed at the time that we at not as a board of commissioners to be converted time, they are to act as a board of commissioners to be governed by the votes of the majority, and be exempt from all responsibility. Their functions are as dissimilar as is their character. Instead of performing the duties of commander, paymaster, and commissary, they are to examine and allow the claims against the government without being subject to any restriction, either as to rule or evivarious rooms support to any restriction, other as to rule or evidence. Indeed, in not a single particular does the provisions of the bill or amendment, correspond with the object which the members of the committee allege was to be effected. But suppose they corresponded in every particular, would it get clear of the constitutional objection, which the allegation is intended to avoid? constitutional objection, which the allegation is intended to avoid?

Ilow can Congress vest by law, the functions to exocate duties which apportuned to these individuals in their official characters, now when they are no longer efficers? That is the question. What are these functions? Do they partake of the character of office, or that of more employment? The answer is to be found in the fact already stated, that they apportuned to them in their official characters, and could only be performed by them in that

character; and we have just as much power to invest them with

character; and we have just as much power to invest them with all their other functions as these—to appoint them to the full ex-ercise of all, as of a part. The one would not be more clearly and directly usury the rights of the Executive than the other. But I regard the functions proposed to be conferred on these in-dividuals, as not only very difficult, but of a far higher charac-ter than those which they had to perform, had they been furnished with funds. They partake of those belonging to the highest order of the fiscal officers of the government, to whom they would have but to account had the expenses been paid when incread had to account, had the expenses been paid when incurred.— To understand who those officers are, and to what extent those individuals would, in that case, have been held responsible, I will individuals would, in that case, have been held responsible, I will state the process to be gone through before their accounts would be allowed. They would, in the first place, have to present them to the proper bureaus of the War Department—the Commissary to the Commissary-General, and the Paymaster to the Paymaster General. Then they would have undergone an administrative examination. What its nature is, can best be understood by giving the process. Take for instance the Commissary. He would have had to exhibit the orders under which he made his purchases. They would have to state the number or amount of articles, and where necessary their description. These orders would have to be presented to the Secretary of War for his approval, if there should be any doubt of their propriety, judged of in reference to the number of troops, or the nature of the service. He then would have to exhibit his vouchers to show that the prices of the articles were reasonable, and would have to furnish evidence to that effect. If, on examination, all were found correct, the Commissary-Generid would endorse his approval, out pass the commission of the reserve per Auditor of the Treasury for his examination and audit. From him, they would pass to the Comptroller-General of the Treasury, who would review the whole; and then, if approved, the account would be allowed and the officer credited. Such is the careful and refined process prescribed by law, and regulation for the settlerefined process prescribed by law, and regulation for the settle-ment of military accounts, and such the process through which those now in question would have had to pass, if the officers had been in funds, and had paid them at the time. By the provisions of this bill and amendment, the whole process is all laid aside. The very officers who would thus have been held responsible to ac-count for the proper application of every cent placed in their hands, are authorized to examine and allow their own accounts; and their certificates allowing them, are made as conclusive of heir justice and correctness, as would have been their allowance their pusice and correctness, as would have been their allowance to the property of the property of the property of the property invested in effect with all the functions of these high and responsi-ble officers. Indeed, they are invested with far higher. The lat-ter are bound by law and established rules, but they are bound by neither. They act under their own unlimited discretion, and are neither. They act under their own unlimited discretion, and are bound to establish no rules and keep no record; nor has the Pay-master, or whoever may hold the lunds, any discretion as to pay-ment. Their certificates are the only voicher necessary to the settlement of his account, so that there is no responsibility any-where. I yenture to assert that there is no zemple in all our legislation of an act of the kind; and to cap the climax, these indi-viduals are to be appointed by an act of Congress to perform these high official functions, which otherwise would have to be performed by officers who hold stations among the highest under the gov-crament—and all this on the ground, that the functions they would have to discharge are mere employments, and not offices

have to descende are mere employments, and not offices?

I do not oppose this monstrous measure on the ground of opposition to Col. Fremont, or either of his associates in this light commission. Of the latter, I know nothing; but I have a slight acquaintance with the Colonel, and am so favorably impressed as to him, that I would as readily trust him as any other individual. But a regard for the constitution and the great fundamental principle, that no man shall be a judge in his own case, which embraces this, that no man should settle his own account, compels me to oppose it. It has been attempted to bolster it up by precedents, but without success. Not one has been cited that is applicable, but witnout success. Not one has been cited that is applicable, and if there were hundreds to the point, they could have no weight where the constitution and the fundamental principles of justice are so palpably volated. This I believe to be the first time that the question of our power in like cases has been formally discussed. It is of great importance that our discussion, in so leading a case, should be right.

But, Mr. President, I hold that if there be any doubt in this case—if it be not clearly right—there are reasons of a delicate character why the measure should not be adopted. It would be indelicate for me more fully to explain myself; but I will be understood, when I say, there are irremstances attending it which are calculated to induce the belief, should we adopt the measure, that we have been actuated more by the feeling of esprif du cops than we ought to be. We all know how liable we are to be influenced by those with whom we are associated in the discharge of our duties, and with whom we hold daily intercourse. We ought to allow for it, and guard against it, especially in a case like this, involving the constitution and a fundamental principle of justice.

Having said so much upon the amendment reported by the committee, I must say that I have objections even to the amendment offered by the Senator from Virginia, although as I prefer it to the offered by the semator from rugging, atthough as 2 present of which amendment officed by the committee, I shall vote for it. Myopinion is that the simple way of adjusting all these claims, is to appoint two or three able officers belonging to the army, upon whem such duties appropriately devolve, and send them there to settle these expenses as far as they can be legally settled. Mr. RUSK.—Mr. President: The honorable Senator from South Carolina, [Mr. CALHOUN,] is, I think, mistaken when he supposes that the positions assumed by the chairman, and two of the members of the Military Committee are in opposition, or inconsistent with the grounds which the honorable Senator from Kennedy, [Mr. CRITIENDEN,] and myself have taken upon this bill. The chairman of the committee, sir, stated in his remarks, that this bill only required the persons named in it to do now, that which they had a right to do, and which it was their duty to have done when they were in office, and to this I can see no constitute containtly required to go on and settle, and adjust accounts which were made while in office? The honorable Senator from Kenteky and myself have not been driven in support of this bill to any untenable position. We took the ground, sir, that the act of the persons named in this bill, in certifying to the amount, and any unternable position. We took the ground, sir, that the act of the persons named in this bill, in certifying to the amount, and justice of the claims, should be made the evidence upon which the proper officers of the government are required to pay them. It pertains to the authority of Congress to pay the debts of the United States. They have the right to judge of their amount and justness. They may order payment without evidence. They may require the weakest or the strongest testimony. They may, may require the weakest or the strongest testimony. They may, if they choose, make the certificate of an officer, a court of justice, or a private individual, evidence of a debt against the United States upon which to base an order for its payment. You have laws now making the base of the state of the s

You have laws now, making the bare certificate of an officer evidence, upon which you require the proper officers to make pay-

30TH CONG .- 1ST SESSION-No. 69.

ment. The proper department is now required by law to issue a bounty warrant to a disabled soldier, upon the certificate of the captain of the company, or a surgeon, that he is disabled. The honorable Senstor, [Mr. CALHOUN,] starts two other objections to this bill. First, that it makes these offerer judges in their own ease, and authorizes them to certify to their own claims against the government. Now, sir does anyhody suppose this would be the effect of this bill? Certainly not. They could not, under its provisions, certify their own claims; nor would their own delicacy permit them if they could.

The other objection is, that it is conferring a high power with-

\* The other objection is, that it is conforring a high power without any responsibility. All will admit, that if this campaign had been conducted from the beginning under the authority of the government, Colonel Fremont could have made his requisitions to any amount. The quartermaster would have been bound to furnish the supplies; and the paymaster to have paid the money.

But, sir, this bill provides responsibility with which I um content. Past experience proves that an oath may be violated—a pecuniary bond disregarded. But, sir, when you have the guarantee of the character of a man, who has a due regard to character, and as high a reputation to lose as the honorable Senator, concedes to Colonel Fremont; then, sir, you have the strongest security which man can give for good conduct.

The Senate adjourned.

# FRIDAY, APRIL 28, 1848.

## REPORT FROM THE COMMISSIONER OF THE LAND OFFICE.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a report from the Commissioner of the Goncral Land Office, made in compli-ance with a resolution of the 3d instant, calling for a statement of permits granted under the acts for the armed occupation of Florida.

# On metion by Mr. WESTCOTT, it was

Ordered, That it be printed, end that fifteen hundred additional copies be printed for the use of the General Land Office.

# RESOLUTIONS OF THE LEGISLATURE OF LOUISIANA

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, presented a resolution passed by Mr. JOHNSON, of Lonisiana, presented a resolution passed by the Legislature of the State of Lousiana, expressing their admira-tion of the efforts of Pope Pius the Ninth, to extend within his do-minions the principles of civil liberty, and in favor of the estab-ment of duplomatic relations between the government of the United States and the Court of Rome; which was referred to the Com-mittee on Perciga Relations, and ordered to be printed.

Also, a resolution passed by the same Legislature, in favor of the enactment of a law to extend the jurisdiction of the State of Louisiana over certain portions of the Sabine river; which was re-ferred to the Committee on the Judiciary, and ordered to be

Also, a resolution passed by the same Legislature, in favor of Also, a resolution passed by the same Legislature, in layor of the enactment of a law to reimburse the Parishes of St. Mary, St. Martin, Lafayette, and Vermillion, the money paid for the purchase of certain land records in the District of Attakapas, in the State of Louisiana; which was referred to the Committee on Pub. lic Lands, and ordered to be printed.

Also, a resolution passed by the same Legislature, in favor of the establishment of a Light-bouse at the mouth of Sabine river; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce and ordered to be printed.

Also, a resolution passed by the same Legislature, in favor extending the port of Shieldsborough, in the District of Pearl River, to Cat and Ship Islands; and the erection of Light-houses on Ship Island and Proctor's Shell Bank, in the State of Louisiana; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce, and ordered to be printed.

Also, a resolution passed by the same Legislature, in favor of the establishment of a Navy Yard at Algiers, or some other place on the Mississippi river, within the limits of that State; which was referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs, and ordered to be printed.

Also, a resolution passed by the same Legislature, in favor of the establishment of a mail-route from Winsborough to Monroe in that State; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Of-fice and Post Roads, and ordered to be printed.

Also, a resolution passed by the same Legislature, in favor of the adoption of measures to prevent the deterioration of that spe-cies of sugar cane called the Riband cane; which was referred to the Committee on Agriculture, and ordered to be printed.

# PETITIONS.

Mr. UPHAM presented a memorial of citizens of Vermont, praying the purchase of Mount Vernon by the government; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. CAMERON presented two memorials of citizens of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, praying that a territory west of the Mississippi river may be set apart for the permanent home of the Indian tribes inhabiting the United States; which were referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

Mr. MASON presented a potition of citizens of the counties of Shenandoah and Frederick, Virginia, praying the establishment of a post route from Gravel Spring to Woodstock in that State; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Mr. NILES presented a memorial of citizens of New York, DIT. ALLEO presented a memorial of cutzens of New York, praying that Congress may authorize the construction of a rail-road between the cities of New York and Philadelphia, for the purpose of fiscilitating the transportation of the anal and relieving the public from an alleged monopoly; which was referred to the Committee out the Post Office and Pest Roules.

# On metion by Mr. PEARCE, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on the Library ho discharged from the further consideration of the memorial of Thomas F. Gordon, and that it be referred to the Committee of Claims.

#### IMPORTATION OF SUGAR

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to

Resolved. That the Secretary of the Treasury be directed to report to the Senate the quantity of sugar imported into the United States during the last and during the three preceding years; the places from wheace imported; the quantity of the several qualities, and the pinces, respectively, at the places of export.

## THE LATE JOHN QUINCY ADAMS.

Mr. HALE submitted the following resolution for considera-

Resolved, That the Secretary of the Seatte present, for the use of the Seatte 5,000 capits of the addresses made by the Syeaker and the members of the House of Representatives, and of the addresses state to the Seatte, together with the discourse of the Rev. Mr. Garley, none the occasion of the death of the Hen. 3 bain, Quincy Admin. Powded they only be obtained upon the same terms that 20,000 copies of the same were framided to the House of Representatives.

## CALL FOR INFORMATION RESPECTING APPOINTMENTS

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, submitted the following resolution for consideration

Resolved, That the President of the United States be requested to inform the Sen-ata whether any officers are now in the military or earli service of the United States, and of there has yearly approximately the state of the state of the con-ciliant of the state of the state of the state of the state of such spontanents, and why it is that it has not been in the power of the Prendent to submit them to the consideration of the Senate.

Mr. MASON, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the petition of Eugene Van Ness and John M. Brush, ex-ceutors of Nehemiah Brush, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for their relief.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

Mr. MASON, from the same committee, to whom was referred the petition of Gad Humphreys, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for his rehef.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

Mr. UNDERWOOD, from the same committee, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of Jacob Gideon, reported it with an amendment.

# THE SUPREME COURT.

Mr. DAYTON, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom Mr. DAY 10M, from the Committee on the Jindicary, a when was referred the bill to promote the despatch of business in the Supreme Court, and to repeal the second section of the act approved 17th of June, 1844, entitled "An act concerning the Supreme Court of the United States," reported it without amend

# NOTARIES PUBLIC.

Mr. BADGER, by unanimous consent, asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to authorize Notaries Public to take and certify oaths, affirmations, and acknowledgments in certain eases; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent. and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

## MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE

The following message was received from the House of Representatives by Mr. Campbell, their clerk :

Mr President. The House of Representatives have passed a bill entitled "An in addition to an act therein mentioned;" and a bill entitled "An act in inclution multary land warrants," in which they request the concurrence of the Senute

The said bills were severally read the first and second time by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Public Lands

# THE PUBLIC ARMORIES.

The Senate proceeded to consider the following resolution, submitted yesterday by Mr. CLAYTON, and it was agreed to:

Resolved, That the Secretary of War be, and he hereby is, directed to report to

Congress—
First.—How many public arguments there are belonging to the United States in which fire arms are manufactured, and where the same are located, and the date of com-

for arms are manufactured, and where the same are located, and the date of com-moneing manufacturing operations in each, he been invested in each armanier, and Second.—How much actual equatal is and more permanent machinery, etc.; spec-trying the amounts mader expanse betate to date of representations of said armanies for Virgue the amounts mader expanse betate to date of representations. The date of said armanies for the manufacture of arms, in adhers, wages, and materials, or in any of the elements entering mit all the current expenses of manufacturing such arms, independently of finded cipital and the interest thereon.

Fourth.—How many small arms have been produced annually from gael, of the said armones, all the cost of construction, and how many of the vanous descriptions of arms and of what descriptions, are now in serviceable condition.

Fifth.—What number of arms have been condemned from time to time, and what the continues of the contin

# TONNAGE DUTIES ON SPANISH VESSELS

The Senate proceeded to consider the resolution, submitted by Mr. WESTCOTT, to repeal or amend the act of June 30, 1834 concerning tonnage duty on Spanish vessels; and

# On motion by Mr. WESTCOTT, it was

Ordered, That it be postponed to, and made the order of the day for, Monday, the 8th day of May next.

## PAYMENT OF INTEREST TO ALABAMA.

Mr. PEARCE moved that the prior orders be postponed, for the purpose of resuming the consideration of the bill authorizing the payment of interest on the amount advanced by the State of Alabama to the general government, pending the Creek hostilities in 1836 and 1837

Mr. CLAYTON hoped that the bill would not be taken up in the absence of the Senator from Virginia, [Mr. Hunter,] who had been obliged to return to his home in consequence of sickness

Mr. PEARCE said that he had no intention to change or modify the bill, but only to add a general provision.

The bill was then taken up and the following amendment was offered by Mr. PEARCE :

Sign. 2. died be it further enacted. That the praper accounting officers of the Treasury Department, be and they are hereby subhorized and directed to liquidate and stell the claims of the several States, whose claims larve not been hereitoric fully liverage to the control of the several States, whose claims larve not been hereitoric fully liverage to the control of the control

discharged by San Grane by the surprise and a secretaining the summer of interest s. And the first first researched. That on ascertaining the summer of interest second secretaining the summer of the second states respectively, the following rules shall govern; to wit second second

ates. Second. No interest shall be paid on any sum for which the States did not either pay

or low interest as aforestad.

Arad. The cole presented by the Supreme Court of the United States at its Japany term 1859, [13 Peters' 371,] shall be the rule for adjusting these account, viz: in Table 1859, [15 Peters' 371,] shall be the rule for adjusting these accounts, viz: in Table 1859, [15 Peters 1859, [

Sec. 4. And be it further enocted, That the amount of interest, when ascertained as aforesaid, shall be poid out of any monies in the Treasury not otherwise appro-

Mr. PEARCE.—There is nothing new in the principle of the bill reported by the Committee on Finance. The principle has been recognized on more than one occasion in this body. Many years ago it was recognized in the case of the claims of Maryland years ago it was recognized in the case of the same arising out of advances made in the last war; and it was also recognized in the case of South Carolina in 1831. The case of South Carolina differed somewhat from that of Maryland. In that case the State had borrowed money and incurred a debt which was liquidated by the transfer of a fund from which she had derived interest. The State of Maryland borrowed money for the purpose of repelling the incursions of the enemy during the last war. She created a stock for that purpose and discharged the debt by the transfer of United States stock which she held. The principle applied to these cases by the committee was that when the State had lost interest it was entitled to it. I propose to make the principle general and apply it to all cases of State saims against the government for money advanced in the war of 1812 which have been recognized and admitted. I do not propose to settle any of the disputed claims, but only to meet those claims which have been admitted. That is the object and effect of the first section of the amendment. The second section goes a little farther, and is rendered necessary by the very extraordinary rule adopted by the accounting officers of the Treasury department in manufact by the accomming omers or the Archard properties the calculation of interest. The rule has been that when the claim is made up of principal and interest, and payments are made by the United States, they are applied to the reduction of the principal. This is manifestly unjust, and is entirely contrary to the equitable rule observed in transactions between individuals. I have proposed, therefore, sundry rules intended to modify and correct the rule adapted by the accounting officers. rect the rule adopted by the accounting officers

Mr. ATHERTON .- It seems to me that there ought to be Mr. ATHERION—It seems to me that there ought to be some examination as to the results to which this amendment would lead if adopted. I concert en'irely with my friend from Delaware as to the propriety of postponing this subject till the Senator from Virginia, who has had charge of the bill, who has examined the cases, and is familiar with all the facts, shall return. examined the cases, and is tamiliar with air the facts, shall return.

Aside from that, it seems to me that this amendment contains some principles proposed to be applied to the calculation of interest in the cases of claims against the government, which demand

our attentive examination. 1 suggest, therefore, to the mover of the amendment, that it be printed in order that we may have an opportunity of examining it, and that in the meantime the subject be laid over informally.

Mr. PEARCE acquiesced in this suggestion.

The PRESIDING OFFICER .- Does the Senator name any particular day for the consideration of the subject.

Mr. PEARCE .- We propose to wait until the Senator from Virginia returns.

Mr. LEWIS.—I hope the Senator will feel bound as soon as the Senator from Virginia returns, to call up this bill.

Mr. PEARCE -I shall do so.

Mr. BAGBY.—I wish to make a single remark. I think it is evident that the apprehensions which I expressed yesterday, so about to be confirmed, certainly not on account of any design on the part of the Senator from Maryland, but because as I said, the delay of this bill, will be tatamount to its defeat at the present The bill contains but one single distinct proposition, and that is this, whether when a certain amount of money has been advanced by a state, and applied to the uses of the general govern-ment, the general government will, when it acknowledges and pays the amount of the principal, pay the interest upon it.

On motion by Mr. PEARCE, it was

Ordered, That the further consideration of said bill be postponed until to-morrow; and that the amendment be printed.

# FREE BRIDGE OVER THE EASTERN BRANCH

The bill to provide a free communication across the eastern branch of the river Potomac in the District of Columbia, was read the second time and considered as in Committee of the Whole.

Mr. ATHERTON inquired if there was any report on this bill. The PRESIDING OFFICER .- There are no papers accompa-

nying the bill.

Mr. CAMERON remarked that the whole District was interested in the passage of the bill which had been unanimously re-ported by the Committee.

Mr. HALE moved to amend the bill by adding the following

"And be it further enacted, That the Secretary of the Treasury be directed to purchase on the same terms the bridge over Piccataqua river, between Portamouth, Naw Hampsbire, and Kutery, in Maine."

Mr. HALE observed that he did not know of any authority in the general government to purchase bridges in this District, if they had not authority to purchase bridges elsewhere.

Mr. CAMERON could hardly suppose that the Senator from New Hampshire was serious in proposing his amendment. The cases were not at all parallel. It was to be recollected that the government owned seven millions of property in this District, for which no taxes were paid; and it was only a small act of justice to make the bridges free.

Mr. HALE did not know whether the Senator from Pennsylva-nia was in earnest or not. The bridge named in his amendment was similarly situated to the bridge in the bill. It was a bridge leading to property owned by the government—to the navy yard at Kittery, Maine

The question was then taken upon agreeing to the amendment of Mr. Hale, and it was determined in the negative.

No other amendment being offered, the bill was reported to the Senate.

On the question, "Shall this bill be engrossed, and read the third time?" Mr. ALLEN demanded the yeas and nays, which were ordered, and it was determined in the affirmative, as follows: YZAS—Menn. Balger, Benton, Caneron, Claske, Chysia, Griteaden, Davis, of Manshelman, Davis, Of Manshelman, Davis, Of Manshelman, Caneron, Claske, Chysia, Griteaden, Davis, of Manshelman, Davis, Officer, Poore, Freigh, Spunkte, Sturgeon, Johnson, of Maryland, Johnson, at Louisnay, Esperage, Fleigh, Spunkte, Sturgeon, Underwood, Uplan, Westeed, and Yukes—20.

NAYS—Mensy, Allen, Archison, Atherion, Ragby, Brene, Douglas, Felob, Hale, Jonnson, of Georgia, Mor. Niles, and Tuney, 1988.

The said bill was read the third time, by unanimous consent.

Resolved, That it pass, and that the title thereof be as afpresaid.

Ordered. That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in said bill.

# SETTLERS UNDER THE ARMED OCCUPATION ACT.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill for the relief of the bona fide settlers under the acts for the armed occupation and settlement of a part of the territory of Florida; and

On motion by Mr. WESTCOTT, it was

Ordered, That the further consideration thereof be postponed to, and made the order of the day for, Thursday the 4th day of May next.

# THE PRIVATE CALENDAR.

Mr. MASON, in accordance with the notice given yesterday, moved to proceed to the consideration of private bills upon the

Mr. BADGER suggested that it would be better to dispose of the California claims bill, which could be done to-day, and he would then join cheerfully in promoting the views of the Senator from Virginia.

Mr. MASON acquiesced in the suggestion, and observed that he should move to proceed with the private calendar as soon as the California bill was disposed of,

## THE CALIFORNIA CLAIMS.

The Senate then resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill for ascertaining and paying the California

Mr. CLAYTON .- In rising yesterday to address the Senate on this bill, it was my design to suggest that some amendment was necessary. In my opinion it ought to be provided that the commissioners or persons who are to examine these claims, should be sworn or affirmed to discharge the r duties with fidelity. I did not suppose, until the honorable Senator from South Carolina, who last addressed the Senate on this subject, mentioned it, that there was an idea entertained by any one that either of the persons to whom the bill proposed to entrust the examination of these claims, was to decide upon a claim in which he was personally interested. not suppose that any such thing was intended on the part of the gentlemen who advocated the bid; or that those high-minded men who were named in the bill, would decide on their own compensation. But, in order to preclude the possibility of that, I shall propose an . But, in order to presenue the possionity of tail, 1 sant propose an amendment to prevent these commissioners from settling any claims in which they or either of them may be per sonally interested. He would be not so the subject of debate, that these claims were, or would be, the subject of extensive speculir ion. That objection must be easily obviated by an amendment, and before I proceed to the few remarks on the constitutional question which I intend to submit to the Senate, I give notice that I intend to offer an amendment which I will request the Secretary to read.

The SECRETARY then read the amendment, which is as follows

Add the following section:

Sec. 3. And be it further enerth. That its period breby appointed to examine when the period breby appointed to extend the second of them. It is not seen that the second to them, be first day worn or affirmed to faithfully period the dates accorded on them by this act; and that no a case shall they or either of them examine or allow any claim in which he or any of them is affected by the original claimant, the assigned that he allowed no more than the paid for the claim, with lawful interest on this amount.

Mr. CLAYFON .- This amerdment, I think, obviates all objections which have been fairly taken in debate-with the exception

of the constitutional objection.

It is contended that the Congress of the United States has no power under the constitution to name the persons who shall as-certain the amount of claims which they are called upon to settle; but that all such persons are "officers" to be appointed only by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate I do not concur in that construction of the constitution. It is my opinion, after giving to this subject all the investigation that I am capable of bestowing upon it, that the Congress of the United States has the right necessarily incident to its general legisted States has the right necessarily incident to its general legis-lative power to appoint persons to take testimony for them; or to arbitrate claims between the government and any private individ-nals; or to settle and adjust the amount due from the government to these individuals. I hold that Congress possesses this power, as anecessary and indispensable part of its legislative power. The constitution confers upon Congress all the legislative power given by that instrument. Among the trust powers of Congress, expressly that instrument. Among the flush power to pay the debts of the United States, and to exercise all other powers necessary and proper, to earry that power into execution. The power to pay to elaims due from the government to individuals, was a right deerams and from the government to individuals, was a right de-volved upon the legislative body when the constitution first went into operation; and Congress has exercised that power from the earliest period to the present day, in every case and form in which it thought proper to exercise it.

I shall not go through the statute book to show how often Con-I shall not go through the statute book to show how often Congress has appointed an arbitrator to settle a private claim be tween an individual and the government. Some cases of that description are of recent occurrence, and it is true, as was remarked in the progress of debate, that an arbitrator in the case of the Island on which Fort Delawaro stands, was named to settle the controversy, between the claimants in one State, against the general government, holding under the title of another State, by two bills which passed this body. I great that two, three, or half a dozen precedents, will not settle a question of constitutional law. But if we can show that from the very origin of the government, the state of the progression of the provention of the provent down to this time, Congress has been in the habit of designating individuals, to settle and adjust claims between the government and claimants-and that such has been the practice of ment ab urbe condita down to this time—if the principle has been settled by the Supreme Court of the United States, and proclaimed settied by the Supreme Court of the United States, and proclaimed judicially, then, we are justified in regarding this question as settled, if anything can be considered as settled and determined. Allow me to read from the judicial declaration of the chief justice of the Supreme Court, two passages for the purposes of explaining what, in my opinion, ought to be regarded as the principle bind-

ing upon us.

".2 contemporary exposition of the constitution, practiced and acquisced under for a period of years, fixes the construction, and the court will not shake or control it."

"It will probably be found, when we look to the character of the constitution of the United States itself, the objects which it useks to attain, the powers which it cent have been considered to the constitution of the constitu

I think, then, it is clearly established, so far as precedents can I think, then, it is clearly established, so far as precedents can settle it, that Congress has a right to name the individual who shall settle and adjust these claims. Honorable gentlemen have adverted to the precedent quoted by the Senator from North Carolina, on my right, where the judges of the Supreme Court sitting then as judges of the circuit court of the United States, exercised the power of determining upon the claims of the windows and orthans of those who had died in talking the control of the United States, and the states of the s And I have not heard any satisfactory answer given to that de-eision, though I have been an attentive listener to the very able argument presented by the gentleman from Virginia and others, argument presented by the gentleman from Virginia and outers, who have spoken on the subject. What did the chief justice with his associates decide? Wby, that in cases of this description, where Congress devolved upon them the duty of deciding upon claims against the government, they had the right to do it; and they actually went on, and did adjudicate and settle the claims, which they could not possibly have done, if they had considered that the constitution of United States had been violated by Conthat the constitution of United States had been violated by Congress in the passage of the act, calling upon them to examine the claims of the widows and orphans, to the penson allowed the relatives of those who had fallen in the revolutionary war. Some of those learned and able indges, were among the men who formed the constitution; they all ranked among the fathers of the republic; and it is impossible that they could have preceded adjudicate these claims under this dispersion of Congress to pass this law. They say, after quoting the law:

"As, therefore, the business assigned to this court by the act is not judicial, nor directed to be performed judicially, the act can only be considered as appointing commissioners for the purposes mentioned in it by official instead of personal de-

scriptions.

That the judges of this court regard themselves as being the commissioners nated by this act, and therefore as being at liberty 10 accept or decline that office

They then proceed to say that they will perform the duties assigned by the act, and they do perform them. I contend, then, that in so doing they have decided the whole question now pendthat in so doing they have declared the whole question has pear-ing before the Senate. They have determined—and it is impossi-ble to construe the act in any other way—that the Congress of the United States has the right to appoint commissioners to settle claims against this government. What manner of answer is it claims against this government. What manner of answer is it to say that they do not act as judges? It is not by virtue of any judicial power that they proceed to act, but being designated any junction power that they proceed to act, but being designated as individuals to exercise a power not conferred upon them as judges at all, they proceed to settle these claims; and in doing so I contend that they have affirmed the power of Congress in the whole matter.

Mr. MASON .- Will the Senator allow me to ask him whether the law of which he is now speaking was not confined altogether to giving power to these judges to take evidence only, and that they were to report to Congress and not to adjudicate the claims?

Mr. CLAYTON.—They had power not only to take evidence but also to decide upon the claims. But the honorable gentleman must admit that if Congress had the right to appoint them to take evidence, the whole ease is yielded.

Mr BUTLER .- As this precedent seems to be relied upon, I would ask my honorable friend from Delaware whether that power would ask my nonorane iried tron Delaware whether that power was not conferred by Congress upon the supposition that it was competent for Congress to add to the judicial functions of the judges by requiring them to perform this office; and whether it was not, therefore, simply conforring additional duties upon officers already in existence?

Mr. CLAYTON .- I think I can answer the Senator by referring bim to the language of the judges. He will perceive from that, that it is not the addition of a new judicial power.

Mr. BUTLER.-I am aware that the judges assumed the per-formance of duties without salary, but my inquiry was whether formmee of duries without shary, out in inquiry was whether Congress did not act upon the supposition that they were adding to the judicial duries of the judges. The point of the decision was, that Congress had attempted to devolve upon them duties which did not belong to them.

Mr. CLAYTON .- Will the Senator allow me to read again from the decision of the judges? [He then read the whole deci-

sion.] The court then say that they were not appointed as judges. The term "judge" is merely descriptio persona, and the effect and meaning which the court assign to the act, is nothing more than if Congress had said when passing the act, that John Jay, and his associate judges by name—without calling them judges—should perform this daty. That is precisely what the judges understood by it, as is apparent from their decision; and therefore I contend that this precedent covers the case and settles the whole question now before Congress.

The Chief Justice of the United States says—"the best light for construing the constitution is consumeraneous history," and I

for construing the constitution is contemporaneous history;" and I

am one of those, like most of my profession, who have great reverence for well considered precedents. Congress has covercised this power, not only in this case, in which the pulses of or School of the power, and the power, and the power, and the power of the power of the power of the power of the power. It is did not human being even many cases there are of this description. It will take one case which occurred in my own to the power of the po

court in this case has been aircuny quotes 197.

Feass, (Mr. Ross.)

Here the court s., expressly, that it was in the power of Congress, and in their discretion, to appoint any one to settle claims against the government, and hos we have an entire concurrence in the opinion given of violence of the set of the set of the court in 1792, in the case of the adjudication of the open court in 1792, in the case of the adjudication of the open court of the court in 1792, in the case of the adjudication of the open court of the court in 1792, in the case of the adjudication of the court have decided and the court have decided the power of Congress, but on the host of the court of the court of the court in 1792, and the court of the

that Congress has not the right to appoint these commissioners.

The true question to be decided is this: Is the appointment of these persons necessary and proper, in order to enable gentlement in the course of debate, here of these learns are the result of the decided in the subject matter of these claims in the course of debate, here of a subject matter of these claims in the course of debate, here of a subject matter of these claims in the course of the term of the course of the cours

In many others the different auditors and sceretaries have been selected for a similar duty, and Congress has been in the constant habit of imposing such duties on any officer or person it saw fit to select without reference to any other consideration than his fitness of the performance of it as an individual. I know women weight unless the subject has under go to show that Congress has never hererofe budget and the subject has under go to show that Congress has never hererofe the government. On the other hand it is said that chains are several instances in which the President has appointed commissioners to settle chains of individuals against the government, as in the cases in which the Fresident has appointed the government have foreign government have been deadly to the consent and advise of the Serrenment have have been deadly to the consent and advise of the Serrenment have have been deadly to the consent and advise of the Serrenment have been deadly to the consent and advise of the Serrenment have cannot appoint commissioners in this serve everse of that which is intended. If, in these consents and serve everse of that which is intended. If, in these consents and server everse of that which is intended. If, in these consents and server everse of that which is intended. If, in these consents and the power to appoint of the constitution gives the power and the author President and Senate had the power without authorizing this mode of appointment inserted in the acts of Congress was not wanting, these clauses conferring a should have been left out. Why were they have the should have been left out. Why were they have how they have been a popointment of congress was not wanting, these clauses conferring a should have been left out. Why were they have how they have been a popointment of congress was not wanting, these clauses conferring a should have been left out. Why were they have how they have been left the appointment of congress was not wanting, these clauses conferring a should have been left out. Why we

there are against the right of others to appoint without the coasent of Congress. It is somewhat remarkable that now, and for years past, discussion on the powers of the different branches of the government should run more frequently upon and against the powers of the Executive. In former days there was a great of jealousy of Executive power. But now the order is reversed. Power is stealing from the many to the few, and from the leve to one. The order of the United States to interfere in cases, where, if Congress the dependent of the United States to interfere in cases, where, if Congress the denied the power to appoint commissioners, they cannot reportly legislate! This is Executive encroachment with a water of the United States to interfere in cases, where, if Congress defined the power to appoint commissioners, they cannot reportly legislate! This is Executive encroachment with a water of the United States to interfere in cases, where, if Congress as a state of the United States. I hold, that if this power be denied think right. We shall in such cases have to any clause and President thinks right. If we have not the right to man the persons in whom we have confidence that are to settle and adjust them, it is impossible that we can get through the lador, either ly committee or otherwise, of examining them all, and consequently we never can to our own satisfaction discharge the darty which devolves upon us. I am, therefore, altogether opposed to the amendment of the homerobal gentleman from Wirginia.

persons in whom we have confidence that are to settle and adjust them, it is impossible that we can get through the labor, either by committee or otherwise, of examining them all, and consequently committee or otherwise, of examining them all, and consequently considered the control of the

Inven class are equined upon persons in tuers situation.
Inve not thought it necessary in discussing this question to enter into the consideration of a matter which was very much debatted new, whether these persons are not "officers" within the meaning of that clause of the constitution which gives the President the power of appointment. I do not care whether they are called officers or not. You may find helf a dozen definitions of the term officer, but what I mean to say is, that one clause of the constitution gives the legislative power to Congress, and another clause confers the power of appointment upon the President. The two clauses must be construed together, and the power of appointment given to the President of the United States, must not be so construed as to confict with the legislative power of Congress. In every care in

which the appointment or designation of the commissioner or which the appointment of designation of the commissioner or officer is necessary to the due discharge of a legislative function, the great constitutional provisions that ordain Congress, and give it legislative power, confer the power to make this appointment. The general appointing power of the President of the United States, and the power incident to the discharge of legislative functions, which rests in Congress, are entirely reconcilable. If the argument of the gentleman on the other side were sound, it would deprive us of the power to appoint commissioners to take evidence. If good for anything, the argument is good for that ex-tent; if not, it is good for nothing.

In my judgment, then, the amendment of the honorable gentlemen from Virginia, is liable to constitutional objections, and does not remove those which have been urged against the hill. I shall, therefore, vote against it, and when the bill comes up for consideration, I shall move the amendment which I have had the honor to send to the desk of the Secretary.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland .- When this bill came from the committee in the first instance and was first seen by me I regarded it as being most clearly objectionable upon the ground which I am now about to discuss-it trenching upon the constitutional power of the Executive. I was not here when the bill was discussed as it originally stood; but I suppose may infer that in the opinion of the majority of the Senate that discussion was fatal to the bill, and that the reference to the committee was made in order to get rid of the objections which were urged in that debate. Now, as preliminary to the remarks I propose to effer, beg leave to recall the attention of the Senate to the provision in the original bill so far as it is material to the point at issue. It

"And for the purpose of ascertaining the justice and amount of such part of said claims as have not been allowed or authenticated by either of said officers; the President be, and he is nothorized, to appoint a hoard consisting of John Charles Fremont, and two officers of said battalion while in service."

The decision of the board was to settle the whole controversy as between the government of the United States and the claim-ants; and the objection to the provision was that Lieut. Col. Fremont was one of the parties named by Congress to constitute the board. Since then the bill has been again before the committee and, I suppose, with a view to obviate the objection which seemed to be apparent on the face of the bill to some, and, as I believe, to be apparent on the face of the built o some, and, as I believe, the majority of the Senate, or it would not have been recommitted, the present amendment has been proposed. In my opinion it does not obviate the objection. The original till named Lieut. Col. Fremont, and limited the choice of the Bresident in the selection of the others to two officers of the California battainton. The provision of the amendment is :

"And no unascertained claim shall be prud not if fart examined by the late commun-der of the Chifornia battalon, 5. C. Fremont, and by the late communary and the by them of an alignity of their, and payment of used has acceptancel shaum, as soon as allowed and certified, shall be made to the chamants in Chifornia by some proper dinburing officer, oldy appointed or designated or that partipose."

So far, perhaps, it was within the power of the Executive. But that did not answer the purpose. The purpose is not only to exclude claims which shall not have been examined and allowed by Lieut. Col. Fremont, but to provide for the payment of the claims which shall be so allowed; and with a view to that object the amendment goes on to say :

"And all clauss out presented and allowed within one year and a half from the time of passing this bill shall be foeever baried; and the commonation of the examining persons shall be according to that of their repetite ranks in the later bartalous and shall be paid out of the appropriation contained in this bill, and shall make re-turn of their proceedings to the War Department.

Now, with all due deference to the committee, if the original hill was liable to objection, the amendment is, if possible, still more objectionable. The objection to the original bill was, that we took from the Executive the appointment of one memthat we took from the Executive the appointment of one mean-ber of a hoard, to consist of three persons, limiting the Ex-ecutive in the selection of the remaining members, and the object of the committee, in good faith of course, and, as they supposed perfectly legitimate, was to avoid that objection. Their amend-ment provides for the appointment by Congress of all the members of the board. It is quite immaterial whether the word "appoint"

of the board. It is quite immaterial whether the word 'appoint' be found in the law or not. It depends upon the character of the duty to be performed. The original bill says that the indegment of the board is to be final and conclusive on the subject of all the claims—final against the government where the claims are allowed—final against the channats where disallowed.

Without attempting to show that the provisions in both cases me precisely alike, or that if there be any difference it is rather against the amendment, I now proceed to enquire, what is the character of the duty to be performed? I suppose there can be no doubt that that duty is no more nor less than judicial in its character—strictly judicial; that this bond con-titutes an inferior court to decide between the United States and saitors to the United States whether anything, and if anything, what is due to them ted States, whether anything, and if anything, what is due to them by us? I sit not judicial? Why, if these claims are all known and admitted by the United States, pay them at once. If the claimants are known, and the general due to each is because are known, and the amount due to each is known, let it be paid at once. It is because the claims of these individuals are not admit-ted, or, because, if there be any admitted to costs, the amount is not admitted, that it has become important to uppoint a board to ascertain the facts, and to decide upon the facts, and the law which is to settle the existence of the claims as well as the amount to be paid. The duty of the board then is a judicial duty. If I hava

read aright the opinion given by the Supreme Court upon the act relating to the pensions, widows and orphans, so much relied upon by my friends from North Carolina and Delaware, [Messrs. Banger and Clayton,] so far from heing an authority to support the proposition which they advocate, it is, I think, directly the other way. proposition which they advocate, it is, it think, directly the other way, First, it has been introduced as a legislative construction; and, secondly, as a judicial interpretation. A word on both. To what weight is it entitled as an instance of legislative construction? The very judicial interpretation relied upon by my learned friends on the other side shows, that in the opinion of the judiciary it was not entitled to any weight in that aspect. I have got the fore me, and no man can read it without perceiving that the ques-tion asked by the honorable Senator from South Carolina [Mr. non asked of the nonorance senator from south Carolina latr. BUTLER, I can be answered only in the affirmative. What did Congress think when they passed that act? That they had authority to exact of the judges the performance of the duties imposed. Why, clearly, they thought that they had the authority to impose upon the judges the duty of carrying out the provisions of that act.
Whether it was in the contemplation of Congress that the duties were indicial or extrajudicial is quite immaterial. So far as the act proves any thing of the opinion of Congress upon the question immaterial. So far as the of constitutional power, it demonstrates that they thought they had a right to exact of the judges the performance of the duties. Had they any, such authority? Let us go to the opinion relied upon for a different purpose, and we will find that the judges unanimously decided that if the functions which they were called upon to discharge by that act, were not judicial, Congress had no right to impose them upon them. Why, then, did they unhad no right to impose them upon them. Why, then, did they un-dertake to exercise those functions? They go on to say, that the duties devolved upon them by the act did not partake at all of the judicial character-that they look to other employments and other functions, and, therefore, Congress had no power to impose those duties upon them. But still they proceeded to execute the provisions of the act—and why? Because they considered themselves duties upon them. But still they proceeded to execute the pro-visions of the act—and why? Because they considered themselves merely as commissioners, although named by their official designa-tion—that they were called upon to perform a mere ministerial duty, in the discharge of which they were willing to accede the request of Congress. How did they come to that conclu-sion? Upon two grounds, that what they were to do in the act was not tinal, and that the whole was to be returned to the Scoretary of War. This is their language :

That neither the legislative nor the executive branch can constitutionally assign to the judicial and ottes but such as are properly judicial, and to be performed in a ju-dicial manner.

ducid manuer.

The the ducid manuer.

The third was supported to the elevations to by the set are not of that description. The third was the ducid does one appear to contemplate them a such, instanced in subjects the devices of these coarts made pursuant to those ducies, far to the comist exists and instances not the Secretary of War, and the to the revision of the Engineer and the secretary of War, and the to the revision of the Engineer course officer, not even the Legislators, and authorized to at a a court of error on the justical acts on quemon of this court.

The provision of the law to which they referred was this.

Sec. 4. 4 4 d > 1 further reacted. That he Secretary of War, approprint of the proof, certificars, and opions a forceall, shall caves the came to be also field in his office, and place the same of such applicant on the pension hat of the United States is conformly therefore. Provided alreagy, That is any case where the anal Secretary the name of such applicant from the pension hat of the United States the name of such applicant from the pension his, and make report of the same to Congress at there are it resions.

As a legislative construction it is entitled to no weight. If it proves any thing, it proves that Congress thought they had a right to impose the duty on the judges; the judges decided other wise. As a judicial construction it proves nothing but this: if you are disposed and I am willing to admit that you may draw that inference, that the judges would have taken into considera-tion the constitutionality of the act. What is this hill? Why it makes the persons named the judges to examine the facts and decide upon them. It appropriates the money just as this board shall think that the money ought to be appropriated. It dis-tributes the money just as the board thinks proper. Now I know that there is a difficulty not only in relation to the particular question which is before the Senate, but in relation to many other questions as to the respective powers of the various departments of the government. It is not in every instance easy to draw a distinct line, but that does not prove that there does not exist a line of demarcation between their separate powers. It has been proposed frequently for many years past, to constitute a board to de-cide upon all claims existing now or hereafter against the go-vernment. Now I put it to Senators whether it is in the power of the legislature to appoint that board. What says the consti-

"He shall have power, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, to make treative provided two lifetis of the Senators precent concur, and he alial hominizes, deal by and with the advice and conneur of the Senate, shall appear to the state of th

Can any body doubt that the three individuals named in this act will be officers of the United States, called upon to dis-charge these particular functions. What are they, if they be not? Are they the officers of the Congress of the United States, contra-distinguished from officers of the United States? such a thing known in the constitution, as an officer of the legislative power as contra-distinguished from an officer of the United s, except when in the words of the constitution the legislative bodies are authorized to appoint their own officers? No moment these commissioners come into existence by this act they

at once become officers of the United States. The only question remaining is, are their functions such that they would be considremaining is, are their inductions such that they would be considered as having been appointed merely for the purpose of discharging some duty not falling within the range of those duties contemplated by some law of the United States The honorable Senator from Delaware [Mr. CLAYTON] tells us that he will not stop to inroom Detaware [Links and the Member of the Member of the Member of the United States within the meaning of these terms as used in the constitution. He has found out a new power in Congress, and what is that? It is in the terms "encessary and preper." How did he make it out? Several Senators who have spoken per." How did he make it out ? Several Senators who have spoken on this subject, and perhaps all have agreed that there are no turee men in the United States so well qualified to decide upon these claims, as the gentlemen named in the act, and thereupon the Sen-ator from Delaware gravely concludes that as we have authority to pay the debts of the United States, and to pass all laws neceso carry into execution these laws, we must have the authority to appoint these men.

Mr. CLAYTON .- I said that it was a necessary incident to our legislative powers.

Mr. JOHNSON.—Just so. Let us examine that position. Why we have sometimes thought on this side of the chamber, that there was a great deal of misconduct in some of the Executive departments. In the opinion of some of us the Secretary of the Treasury has not faithfully discharged his duty. The Secretary of War has also been occasionally denounced for neglecting his duty, of for mal-administration of his office. Well now we have the authority to lay and collect taxes, to raise and support armies. These are legislative powers. Will the Senator contend that in order to carry out these powers with efficiency and lidelity, we must have some authority to agnoint the officers, who are to colmust have some authority to appoint the officers, who are to col-lect the taxes, and conduct the operations of the army. It is a legislative power under the constitution to raise and support arlegistative power unner the constitution to raise and support armies. There are certain men in the United States, in the opinion of Senators, who alone are able to march the army to the field and conduct it to victory. Have we then the power to appoint these officers? To make the declaration of war effectual—to effect our officers? To make the declaration of war effectual—to effect our object in raising an army, it may be in our opinion chsolutely necessary—and I mention the name in no invidious scuse—to place cessary—and I mention the name in no inviduous sense—to place at the head of the army General Winfield Scott. Have we a right then to place him by law at the head of the army? Again, we have a right to levy duties and lay taxes, to pay the public debt, and provide for the general welfare of United States. It is a very material matter to be considered, in carrying out that power, to whom shall be entrusted the duty of collecting taxes. I trust one man, and distrust another. Because we are clothed with legislative power to lay duties on imposts, and are invested with authority to pass all laws necessary and proper to carry into execution all our legislative power, we will name the collectors!— I defy the ingenuity of man-I speak now of the argument of the Senator from Delaware-to avoid the conclusion, that the reason-Sensor from Denware—to avoid the conclusion, that the reason-ing of the honorable Sensor, if pushed to its legitimate result, must take. The the Resemble powers which have never been ques-tioned. The honorable Sensor has remarked, and perhaps with a good deal of truth, that the tendency of the times is to curtail the legislature and enlarge Executive power. It gives me no alarm and never has at any period. I believe now, as I have ever believed, that the power conferred upon the Executive by the constitution in two of the particulars most censured, and, in the opinion tution in two of the particulars most censured, and, in the opinion of some, the most practically mischevous, is indeed the most wholesome grant of power to be found in the instrument. I mean the power of the vote and the power of suppositionent to office. I believe, as firmly as I do in my own existence, that this noble government oudl not have lasted to the present day, if the power of the veto had not formed a part of the Executive power, not that it has not been abused, and in some cases improperly exercised, but because its existence of the control o the Union to fall into fragments. It is this power that se-cures to the smaller States all their rights. What do we often see? Popular passion, excited and inflamed, finding its way into see: Popular passion, exerted and intained, moning its way into the halls of legislation, and the rights of the minority trampled upon in violation of all the guaranties in the constitution. And what more than anything else, prevents the successful assaults of popular passion upon the guaranties of the constitution, except the knowlpassion upon the guaranties of the constitution, except the know cledge that there exists in the government one man who can stop it until the question is submitted to the sober good sense, bette thought, and matured consideration of the people? As to the power of a papinitment of lone, if I were called upon to take part in the formation of the constitution to-morrow, I should give the power to the Executive. No man feels higher respect for the secutive. In the constitution of legislative bodder, who could, I think, consent that either house of Congress should extend the contract of think, consent that either house of Congress should extend the contract of think, consent that either house of Congress should extend the contract of the contrac ercise such a power. I admit that there have been abuses of this power, for where power is great in buman hands, it will he abused. But to talk of the abuse of this power in the hands of the Executive, But to talk of the abuse of this power in the hands of the Executive, and that a remedy is to be found in taking it upon ourselves, is the extreme of folly. Were the power of appoinment to office vested in Congress, the most mischievous, ruinous results would follow. The number of the "Pederalist," upon the subject, is entitled to great consideration, and addresses itself most favorably to the understanding of the American people, written by Alexander Hamilton, who ever wrote with a pen which illmanned every page on which it was employed. He shows that it was not only better to place the power in the hands of the President, but that it was neplace his power in the hands of the President, but that it was necessary to do so. But what right has my friend from Delaware to suppose that the President of the United States, finding the three persons named in the bill to be the best qualified men in the country, will not appoint them to discharge this duty? I have a right to infer, if he is an honest man, and I am not here to say he is not—if he is an intelligent man, and I am not here to deny it, that the same evidence that satisfies the Senate that these men ought to be appointed, will satisfy and ought to satisfy the President. But, again: how does the Senator know that the judgment of the Senate, which he imagines to exist—and I believe it does, so far as I know with respect to these individuals—will be the judgment of the House? They are to go through an ordeal of two hundred and thirty members of the other house. I do not speak of numered and furry memoers of the Other house. A do not speak of the present instance, but party considerations may come to interfero with the selection of individuals to perform the duties devolved upon them by acts of Congress, if the power of approximent is to the lodged in the hands of these legislative bodies. Suppose the House does not decide that these are the hest men to be selected, and that they not accrite that these are the best men to be selected, and that they insert instead of this provision, such a one as has been proposed by the Senator from Virginia, [Mr. Masox.] would my friead from Delaware, then reject the bill! Why, certainly, he could not say that he would not pass the bill, because unless these men were appointed, our fegislative power will not be properly exercised. I appointed, our legislative power will not be properly exercised. I am very far from saying a word in disparagement of either of the gentlemen named in the hill. I think they are qualified for this office, but what I mean to say is, and I say it with all the confidence which we can have in such a case as this, that it will be a great deal better to appropriate the seven hundred thousand dol-lars at once, and pay the money into the hands of Lieut. Colonel Fremont forthwith, and let him go to California and pay the claims, than strike, as I conceive we shall do in the passage of this bill in its present form, a blow at the constitution.

A word on another topic, and I have done. My friend from Tex-

as, [Mr. Rusk.] yesterday, and on a former occasion in which he addressed the Senate upon this bill, stated that the judgment of these arbitrators, or whatever you may term them, would be nothing more than evidence of the existence of these claims. It is not so. I fully admit, that we can by a committee of our own body, have testimony taken upon which the Senate is to act. I admit that we may authorize testimony to be taken before any tribunal existing, or we may create for the purpose a tribunal to take it and report it to us, and on which we are to act in our legislative capacity, and order to enable us to act in that capacity. But this is not the character of this bill. What these commissioners are authorized to do, is not to collect the evidence, but to decide the case. They are to make no report to us, upon which we are afterwards to decide, but they no report to us, upon which we are alterwards to decide, but they are of themselves and by themselves, in the exercise of their own judgment, to settle forever the question, whether there are any California claums, and if any, what they are, and how much is due, It is as strictly judicial, as absolutely final upon the whole matter in dispute, between the claimants and the United States, as would be the decision of the Supreme Court of the United States, if it could get there, and a decision of that tribunal be pronounced upon

Mr. BADGER.—Before I proceed with the remarks which I design to offer, it is proper that I should make a preliminary statement, because it is just to others, and because I ought to apologize for having so often trespassed on the attention of the Senatr The particular provision of this bill, which has excited so long, The particular provision or this bull, which has excited so long, and so earnest a discussion, was a provision suggested and insisted upon in the committed by myself. It originated with me, and with no one else. So far as I know, until I suggested it, it was thought of by no else; and I suggested it, of course, under the impression that we had a right to adopt the provision, which I still entersion that we had a right to adopt the provision, which I still entersion that we had a right to adopt the provision, which I still enter-tain, notwithstanding the observations of my friend from Maryland, and because I chose in devolving the power to dispose of this amount of public money, in the peculiar circumstances of these claims, to know the persons on whose decision and award the money was to be disposed of. Without entering into any inquiry, which I must say was unnecessarily brought forward by my friend from Maryland, as to what, or what would not be the action of the President of the United States, if the selection was left to him, I, for one, did not choose to commit the selection as a general and indefinite subject of choice to the discretion of the President or was body else. But in making maryland for the secretains as agencial any hody else. But in making provision for the ascertaining and pument of claims against the United States, arising in the pen-liar circumstances which characterize these California claims; to be investigated and decided upon in a foreign country, I, for one, was not willing that this duty should be develved upon any but the persons selected by ourselves.

After this statement, I beg the attention of the Senate to some observations in reply to the remarks which fell from my friend from Maryland. In the first place I wish to notice an observation made by the Seaator from Virginia, (M. Mason.) He said, if I understeed him aright, that I knew that in courts of law, noticing was considered as authority, except the decision or adjudication of

an appellate tribunal.

Mr. MASON .- If the Senator will allow me, I will state what I said. I remarked that the Senator from North Carolina well has a remarked that the senator local way to decision was taken as authority but that which had been made directly, upon the point under consideration. I mean by that, that in a subordinate court the decision of an appellate court is the law of the court.

Mr. BADGER .- So I understood the Senator. Before I proceed to examine the nature and character of the precedents re upon here, allow me in reference to that point to say, that so far from understanding it to be as the Senator from Virginia supposes I understood that the authority which guides a court of law embraces not only the case of an express adjudication made upon the point and made in a higher tribunal, but that in the sense in which we use the term "authority," courts of law consider themselves bound by their own adjudication even when they do not constitute the appellate tribunal. They feel themselves bound by the course and practice of their own court. They feel themselves bound by the judicial course of proceeding of their predecessors in office. But what do we mean by this being bound? Why, of course, not But what do we mean by this being bound? Why, of course, not bound in the sense in which an inferior tribunal is bound to execute the decision of the superior court. The inferior tribunal is bound to obey, and can be compelled to obey. But by authority I mean those judicial measures which morally coerce the determination of the judge, whether they satisfy his understanding as a lawyer or not. There can be of course no physical coercion—the judge is not liable as he would be for his contempt of the mandate of a superior tribunal; but he is judicially coerced to take that ground because it has been so decided. I beg to refer to what has been because it has been so decided. I beg to refer to what has been said by two or three distinguished judges on this subject. [The honorable Senator quoted from Coke, Kenyon, and others.] These, then, are the great principles upon which courts of justice recognize not only adjudicated cases, but the constant practice of the courts as being authority to direct them in the decision to which they came; and though upon examination it appears that "wisdom willeth to the contrary," they cannot for that reason disregard it. They must understand that if there had been just foundation for objection, it would have been taken by some of the counsel or judges, and that the point would not have been permitted to pass sub silentio. It is a necessary, inevitable rule. Notwithstanding what may be said by gentlemen in theory, and said with great sincerity, as it was said by the Senator from Virginia, and I know that what he speaks here and elsewhere, he speaks sincerely, yet, in point of fact, we cannot get along with the administration of this government without habitual reference to the precedents es-

tablished by those who have gone before us.

I now heg the attention of the Senate to what was said by my friend from Maryland, in order to escape the force of the decision of the judges in 1792; and let me say that what was ruled by them is of paramount importance, both on account of the person who wrote it and the time when it was written. That eminent person, Chief Justice Jay, who presided in the circuit court which came to this conclusion was not only entitled to all confidence and respect on account of the most pure and unsullied integrity—integy never surpassed by that possessed by any human only on account of his eminent legal learning and high mental powers, but because it so happened that besides being contemporaneous with the adoption of the constitution and the discussions upon him, he furnishes us with almost an authentic interpretation of that instrument. He had studied it in all its parts, and the time when this decision was made was immediately after the contime when this decision was made was immediately after the constitution was put into operation. There was no party excitement—no heats to distract the judgment or mislead the proper excise of the understanding—no distrate of the great and good man then at the head of this nation, as he stood prominently lefore the world at large. It was a question decided simply upon intrinsic merits. Well, now let us see what was decided. My friend from Maryland and nyself do not agree as to the decision. He contends that the judges decided that the duties devolved upon but simply to collect testimony, and, in the next place, they were not to decide judicially, but to report to the head of the department. I thin my friend is entirely mischen as to what the encurrent is. The judges were not merely to collect testimony, for the second section of the next provides: the second section of the act provides :

[The honorable Senator read the section which has been already given. ]

Well, now, did the judges understand that they were merely to Well, now, did the judges understand that they were merely to get together and collect testimony? No. The act confines them to no such duty, but requires their decision to be made, their opinion to be written, and transmitted to the head of the department. The decision of the court was both according to the act as it plainly reads, and what is more important on the present occasion, as the judges understood it. They understood it to be an act under which they were to take testimony, form an opinion, and certify a decision. The henorable Senator from South Carolina, (Mr. Calardont) shades head. He will have an opportunity when I have concluded, to show that I am in error. The words of the next Deve read and they sustain use in the view which I of the act I have read, and they sustain me in the view which I have taken.

Mr. CALHOUN .- I shook my head because the practice of the Office is quite the contrary.

Mr. BADGER .- What Office ?

Mr. CALHOUN .- The Pension Office-under the direction of the War Department The practice is entirely different.

Mr. BADGER .- I have not said one word about the practice of the Pension Office. It may be anything or nothing.

Mr. CALHOUN.—The Senator spoke of the decision of the

judges as being final in the pension cases.

Mr. BADGER.—I stated, as a pertinent answer to the Senator

from Maryland, that the judges of the circuit court of the United States did not consider that they were discharging a mere ministerial function in collecting testimony, but that they were to come to an opinion and certify their decision; and one of their objections to the opuion and certify their decision; and one of their objections to the act was that their decision was to be reconsidered, and did not operate by its own power. The judges say that they decline to execute the duties imposed upon them. The duties imposed upon them were not judicial, and the Legislature did not contemplate them to be judicial. What then did the judges of 7 This is the real point of the matter. This is an act of Congress, which says that the judges of the circuit courts shall exercise certain functions. The judges say, that as judges of the circuit court, Congress has no invite either to come lu six or express the interiors. gress has no right either to compel us to exercise these functions, gress has no right either to compel us to exercise these functions, or to confer them upon us. So far as the net was an attempt to confer anything upon the indges, as judges, it was, so far as the opinion went, annulled. What do the judges say next? That they will understand the act as appointing them commissioners by official instead of personal description. For instance, the judges say that they will understand the act to mean, that in the State of New York, John Jay, and the other two judges named, shall be combated the companies of the property of the companies of the property of They say it is a tender of an office to them; for they assigned the business assigned this court by the act is not solve as a solvent of the property say "as therefore the business assigned this court by the act is not nate those as the individuals who are to perform these duties. But precisely the same thing was done in the act of '92, and the judges declared that Congress had the nodoubted power to name these commissioners, and that they had the right either to accept or decline the office of commissioners, on which they proceed to accept and discharge the duties.

eline the office of commissioners, on when any process to accept and discharge the duties.

My friend from Maryland has discovered a very ingenious mode of getting rid of the weight of this. He says that the judges in that case determined that the duties were not judicial; and that the control of the weight of the weight of the says that the duties conferred upon the condend here, and why! He says that the duties conferred upon the duties of the says that the duties conferred upon the duties. He whole argument is based upon that, and yet, if I under stand it, be has no objection to the amondment offered by the honorable Senator from Virginia. Let us look into this for a moment. He has asked, very triumphandy, if under the constitution there are any officers known except officers of the United States ? I reply by another interregatory—is there any judicial power known under this constitution, but the judicial power of the United States ? I pressume the answer must be in the negative. Well, then, is my friend willing to vote for the provision proposed by the Senator from Virginia T. he provision of the constitution is clear and express, that the whole judicial power of the United States —there is no exception—shall be vested power of the United States —there is no exception—shall be vested son of the Constitution is clear—there is no exception—shall be vested in one Supreme Court, and in such inferior tribunals as the Con-gress may from time to time ordain and establish; and that the judges of all these courts shall hold their office during good behaviour. Now, if my friend be sincere—and an doubt he is, there is no man more sincere than he, as there is no man more generally correct, but on this occasion he is, I think, singularly mistakenbe be correct in montaining that the movers to be acceptable to the correct in montaining that the movers to be acceptable these commissioners are judicial powers, must be not admit that they cannot be conferred except upon a court of the United States? This is clear. The constitution is imperative. No human ingenuity can make a difficulty about it. Well, now, he must take one side or the other. If the power be judicial, he cannot confer one side or the other. If the power be judicial, he cannot confer the content of the cont one side or the other. If the power be judicial, he cannot confer it upon the commissioners, he must confer it upon a court. If it be not a judicial power within the constitution of the United States, he argument of the Senator falls to the ground. He may take either horn of the dilemma. It is impossible that he can escape from it, with all his learning, and neuteness, and long experience in the courts. It is a judicial power or it is not. He affirms it to be judicial. Then it can be vested only in a court of the United States. If not judicial, his argument falls, for it is based upon that assummits. that assumption.

I do not understand the Senator as denying that Congress may appoint an arbitrator. So far as I know, that has not been denied by any gentleman who has spoken on the subject. Are not the by any gentlemna who has spoken on the subject. Are not the functions of arbitrators judicial? How are they described in the ordinary language of the books? An arbitrator instead of being a judge appointed by public authority to decide, is a private judge nominated by the parties in the controversy. Can any thing be clearer? What was the question about the Pea. Patch Island? Mr. BUTLER, (in his seat.)—Did the Senator ever hear of an exparte arbitrator?

Mr. BADGER .- I shall notice that before I have done. Senator is something like my honorable friend from Maryland, he did not survey the whole ground, before he ventured his position. It is conceded that we can appoint an arbitrator. It is beyond dispute that the functions of an arbitrator are judicial. It is, therefore, manifest, whatever other difficulty may exist, that so far as the argument of my friend from Maryland which he brought therefore, manilest, whatever other difficulty may exist, that so har such act may make the argument of any fired from Maryland which he brought forward with a considerable air of trumph and amounteed as contained to the second of the second with a contrained any that we should establish a court and make judges for good behaviour. But I was about to remark that this unusual mode of interpretation would place us in this extraordinary position. He says that zo addit and settle accounts against the United States is a judicial power within the constitution of the United States and that the judicial power cannot by law be treated otherwise than as a judicial power. And yet he admits that we may authorize any of our committees, if we please, to example the second of t cannot adopt such an interpretation of the constitution. It would not only make the constitution mischievous, but impracticable. It would make it not only inoperative as beneficially as it might ope-rate, but would prevent it from moving at al.. If this rule be true, the basiness of government cannot be carried on a day. Are not elaims settled, andited, determined upon, and paid by your committee of accounts in this chamber, and when so settled, are published as the contingent in the contingent in the properties of this body from the contingent lund, placed at their disposal? As was said by my friend from Kennucky the other day, is it not clear, and such must be the effect, that to a certain extent there are powers of an Executive character which we exercise, of a legislative character, that the judges exercise, and of a judicial kind that we exercise? How are we to ascertain the true boundaries upon this subject? I humbly appreascertain the true boundaries upon this subject? I humbly apprehend by no other possible rule than that of plain common sense, unless we intend to embarrass ourselves at every step by technical difficulties. We are to interpret the constitution according to the sense in which it was formed by the plain men who were its anters, and put it into execution. We are to continue to early it out as it has been excented heretefore, without a doubt expressed from any quarter sufficiently eminent to have it handed down to us. As was well said, by my friend from Delaware, Congress is charged with this special duty, of paying the debts of the United States, and is it not a strange and extraordinary interpretation to say, that Congress may not ascertain and pay the debts of the United States, without calling in the action of the Executive branch of government to assist them? That is the necessary result of teu States, without calling in the action of the Excentive branch of government to assist them? I that is the necessary result of his argument, when pushed to its extreme. We cannot even pay the charges against our contingent fund, without an appeal to the Executive—it the argument of the gentleman from Maryland be The whole government becomes impracticable, if such be

the true interpretation of the constitution.

The case put by the Senator from Maryland, about appointing a general to take charge of the army, so far from interfering with my argument, strongly confirms it. We propose to ascertian and pay a dobt. That is the exercise of a function conferred upon us by the constitution. With regard to this case also, the honorable Senator entirely overlooks the fact, that although Congress has been power to declare war, and therefore as I conceive—though some gentlennen do not even admit that as an incident to the power of declaring war—thor right to declare the purpose for which the war is to be waged, yet it is conceded by all, that as the President of the Clutied States, by the constitution of the United States, commander-in-chief of the army of the United States, the actual direction of the army must be in bis hands. Therefore, if we were to undertake by law to appoint officers in his army, it would be obviously a violation of the constitution. My friend also lays down that where the power in question is purely legislative, it follows that Congress has a right to adopt just exactly the agents for its execution, that they deem most proper. Where the power is on Executive the agents for its execution, that they deem most proper.

President.

My friend from Maryland conceives that the amendment is more exceptionable than the original provision of the bill, because the control of the bill, because the property of the property of the present provided the present of the present consider the amendment a great deal better than the bill, for what is the objection with respect to the constitutional power Beyond all doubt, if we name one of the gentlemen, we can anne the three; and, therefore, the amendment remains on the same footing as if one only wore named. I had supposed that it was generally understood that the amendment would be satisfactory; not, as the Senator from Maryland supposes, that the recommittened in the present p

amounts of which and the persons to whom due, are not ascendined. This bill appoints three persons, with authority to examine into these claims, and provides that upon their certificate the claims shall be paid. Now, the Senstor admits that Congress has the right to prescribe rules of evidence, and this bill only provides that the certificates of these commissioners shall be evidence of the justice of these claims. He admits that there is no objection, provided they all shall undergo the supervision of Congress or heads which the prima face evidence, where is there a compresering what shall be prima face; some evidence is the prima face; or an absolutely conclusive, beyond which none of the parties can go. The very recital in the premarble of a general statute of the existence of a certain state of facts is not only high evidence, but as I conceive conclusive, beyond which none of the parties can go. The very recital in the premarble of a general statute of the existence of a certain state of facts is not only high evidence, but as I conceive conclusive evidence in every court. In England, even the statement of certain facts in a proclamation issued by the sovereign, and published in its tence of such facts. All governments excrete this power, and the business of no government can go on without it. What are these men to do ? I am not disputing about terms, but about the substance of thingss. No matter whether they certify to the existence of a feeting the proper paymaster or disharsing officer to pay the amount which is accertained to be die. I have said that I do conscious the part of this governments, and, if I am capable of understanding it, the solemn decision of a high tribunal shows that Congress have the power to confer upon these persons the power to discharge the duties presented by the bill before the Senate.

Mr. CALHOUN.—I rise, before the question is put, to make a very lew remarks on the amendment of the Senator from Delaware to the meantment of the Senator from Delaware to the point of order, be put first. That amendment product that these officers, commissioners, or whatever they may be called, shall be sworn to perform their duties faithfully; secondly, that they shall not decide upon any claims in which any of them may be naterested; and thirdly, that in cases where any claims has been transferred, the assignce shall receive no further compensation than the amount which they paid for the claim with legal increast. This amendment deserves a good deal of consideration persons appointed to perform these dates." If you don't to the amendment reported by the committee, you will find that the use of the word appoint? is most carefully schewed. You cannot find that word, nor any one of tantamount meaning, in the amendment, from beginning to end. I will not say that this avoidance of the use of that term was designed, but, certually great care of the word appoint? is not avoid the use of this word. Now, seems to have been taken to avoid the use of this word. Now, exems to have been taken to avoid the use of this word. Now, ment; that was never heard of. You "appoint?" to diffice. It is the specific word which is always used in that connection, and, therefore, I have been taken of the word with the amendment of the Senator from Delaware. The human mind is a curious organ; and the force of habit will often lead to the use of terms of which the person may not be conscious. I take this to be a case of that description. The term "appoint" is eligitinated the amendment acknowledges that the excress of the powers conferred upon these commissioners is liable to be very greatly abused. Without this amendment the hexagonial that word in the amendment acknowledges that the excress of the powers conferred upon these commissioners is liable to be very greatly abused. Without this amendment the three propers of the powers that the

There is not a particle of responsibility in the whole matter—
There is nothing comes before the government but the certificate
of these geatlemen. The importance of the rentine of examination before all the regularly constituted officers, can only be a
perceisted by those who have had some practice in it. I claim to
honor of instituting this process of examination, and it claim to
to the government many thousands of tollars annually. When I
became Secretary of War, I found all the necounts were sent upto the Treasury without passing through the War Department at

I found there were great ahuses. An act was passed, drawn all. I found there were great abuses. An act was passed, drawn up by myself, in which I inserted the provision, that all accounts should pass through the several bureaus, and it all was found to be right after examination, they should be endorsed by the beads of the bureaus, before presentation at the Treasury for payment. What was the effect of this? I found the medical department costing the government a bundred thousand dollars a year. I brought this expenditure down to twenty-five thousand. Other expenditures the government a moured thousand donars a year. I prought this expenditure down to twenty-five thousand. Other expenditures were subjected to the same process. The clothing accounts were reduced two-thirds—the quarter-masters' accounts one-hall. The expenditures of the department were brought down from four millions to two millions one hundred thousand

thous to two millions one hundred thousand.

From the heads of bureaus the acounts were sent to the Auditor, an officer whose name indicates his duty; and then to the Comptroller, the highest officer of the Treasury, before they were finally paid. And you give to these gentemen the same power that is confided to all those officers. Can this be right? None of these officers have equitable jurisdiction, yet these gentlemen aro clothed by this bill with unlimited powers. All this may be very safe in the present case. That is not the question I make at all; but is it sale as a precedent? I put it solemnly to gentlemen op-

posite.

Mr. RUSK.—I simply wish to ask this question. If this expedition had been under the authority of the United States, and Colonel Frement had been commanding on detached service, would it not have been competent for him to have made the requisitions, and for the quartermaster to have supplied them on the quisitions, and for the quarter master to have supplied them of the psych, and for the paymaster to have paid the amount there without going through these forms which the honorable Senator from South Carolina has been describing?

Mr. CALHOUN.—No question at all about that; but each officer would have acted, in that case, upon his individual responsibility.

SIDILLY.

Now, I put this important question: If you appoint this board of officers, where is the responsibility? What duty is to be performed by the officer who is to pay the claims allowed by this board? No other than that which the Secretary of the Treasury would have to do in regard to accounts which had regularly pass would have lot on in regard to accounts wanch has regularly plasses, through all the forms of examination. The certificate of the board is his warrant for paying the money, and he is relieved from all responsibility. We have had precedent after precedent quoted which are not at all analogous. A very brief view will enable to determine as to whether the duties assigned to these men are official duties or not; whether their functions are those of officers official duttes of not; whether their functions are those to onecers or not certainly they are official duties of the highest character. Is the commissary general not an officer? They perform he adult or ought to do it. Is the Auditor not an officer? They must perform all his duties, or ought to do it. Is the Comptroller of the account of the comparison of the compariso the treasury not an officer? I hey are to perform all his duties, or ought to do it. And yet performing all these duties, it is solemnly argued here, day after day that they are not to discharge official duties, that they are not officers. If they are not, in the name of Heaven what are they? Extend this case. It is not only in California that military operations have been carried on; we have had some in New Mexico, and some further south under General Taylor; others on a larger scale under General Scott. General Laylor; others on a larger scale under General Scott.

Are you willing to adopt the same process in these cases? Who would dream of such a thing? Who would be bold enough to come here and propose that General Scott and his paymaster, and his commissary should constitute a board to adjust all the accounts. and draw, and pay away the money. Who would say that this would be a safe depository of power? Who would say, if they were authorized thus to act, that they would not be officers to all when a mid purposes, such as I have described. Can any man doubt if I There may be greater reason for deviating from the ordinary process in settling these claims, but the cases are in every respect analogous, and there are a thousand cases where the difficulty would be as great as in California. Why, the whole country would be startled, manzed, at the ndoption of such a course of proceeding as this.

Mr. CLAYTON .- I will briefly reply to the objections that have been raised by the Senator from South Carolina to the amendment which I have offered. His first objection is, that I have used the word "appointed" in reference to these gentlemen who used the word "appointed" in reference to these gentlemen who are to settle these claims in California. I am entirely willing to modify the phrase, and substitute the word "designate," or "name," but the word appoint is in itself a word of the same inport. I have a dictionary here of the highest authority, and I find that the first definitions of the word "appoint" are to "allot," "assign," or "designate," and as an illustration the following is given: "Aaron and his sons shall appoint every one his service." So much for that. Now for the second objection of the honorable Senator.

The Senator commenting on the report of the committee says, that under such a provision Colonel Fremont, and those associated with him, will be authorized to settle their own claims. I offered my amendment, not because I supposed that it was contemplated by the committee, that they should settle their own claims, but because the objection was raised yesterday, and it was to exclude any such inference. The committee said nothing about whether any such inference. The committee said nothing about whether they were to settle their own claims or not, nor have I ever heard it suggested as being necessary, when a man is ap-pointed as a judge, or to decide upon claims, that there should be an express provision accompanying the appointment, that

he should decide no case of his own. Such a thing is un-necessary. Yet, I introduced this into the amendment for the necessary. Yet, I introduced this into the amount of excluding any possible inference that they might os . As to the other point, it merely provides, that if any any persons speculate in these claims, they shall not speculate to the disadvantage of the government. But the gendleman leaves the amendment, and goes into a discussion of the general subject. His objection is to the payment of the claims in this way. says they should be subjected to the ordinary forms of examina-tion, and the number of offices through which they would necessarily pass will furnish a salutary cheek. It was for purpose of preventing the monstrous injustice that would be done to the individuals who have these claims, that the measure was introduced. Who are these claimants? They are men residing introduced. Who are these claimants I mey are their lessang several thousand miles away—men destitute of means—and to say that they shall come here and pursue their claims is to say, that they shall never receive a dollar. The object of the measure is to afford a competent Iribunal where they may be heard, and their afford a competent tribunal where they may be heard, and their claims adjusted. The gentloman objects, because the usual for-malities will not be observed. How is it in all cases where com-missioners have been appointed? Take the treaty of Paris, or the treaty respecting the Spanish claims. The certificates of the commissioners in those and all similar cases, were by law made conclusive evidence of the claims, and when previously all department they were immediately paid. The productions will be the board of commissioners in this case will be precisely similar to those of the commissioners in the cases to which I have referred. We desire to prevent these poor men from being subjected to the necessity of travelling to Washington, or of losing their claims altogether, by paying them upon the spot where they arose.

Mr. CASS.—I desire to say one or two words in answer to the honorable Senator from South Carolina. He has foreseen a great many difficulties which are to be encountered if this measure be adopted. It will be recollected there are two principal and substantial reasons for adopting it: one is, that the claims exist in a reasons for adopting at one of the control of the component of the control of the component of the control of the component of the control of the contr region exceedingly remote, and it is used to get in the persons to come here and settle their accounts. If you require persons to come here and settle their accounts. To compel them them to do so, it amounts to a relusal of justice. To compel them to go through the ordinary course of application and the usual form authentication of their claims, you defeat the very object you in view. The second reason is, as the honorable Senator have in view. The second reason is, as the honorsule Senator from Morth Carolina has said, you take upon yourselves the advantages of the conquest, and you are morally bound under such circumstances to pay the claims. If we had pursued the ordinary course, we should have done what? We should have sent disburscourse, we should have done what? "Should always shift that ing officers to California, with funds to meet the requisitions of the quartermaster in obedience to the orders of the commanding officer. Let me say to the Senator from South Carolina that these accounts will go through the departments, and there will be precisely the checks which he desires. What testimony is required in the case of the disbursements made for the army under General Scott? Nothing but the requisitions of the commanding general What testimony is required in and the receipts of the parties. The honorable Senator wishes to have an investigation as to the justice or proper rate of charges. I believe that is a thing unleared of. The is paid on the requisition of the commendate of the first paid on the requisition of the commendate of the first paid on the requisition of the commendate of the first paid on the requisition of the commendate of the first paid on the requisition of the commendate of the first paid on the requisition of the commendate of the first paid of excites suspicion, the necessary information is required to be produced before the accounts are permitted to be paid. But if you require the administrative officers to judge of the propriety of the expenses authorized by Gen. Scott, how are you to get your moexpenses authorized by Gen. Scott, how are you to get your mo-ney lack again? All the guaranty you have is the character and position of your officers, and that you have got here; and, as I said, if you parsued the ordinary course you would have the certi-ficate of the commanding general, and you will have more than that in this ease, you have the guarantee of the three gentlemen who compact the board. Now, I do not know what the gentleman means the think that their victorial. Here you are they making. when he talks about being interested. Have you any law making it felony or a crime at all to be interested. I do not know why It tenony or a crime at all to be interested. I do not anow my fraud is to be presumed in such a case as this more than in any other. Fraud may be practised in California, and it may be practised in Mexico. and, if it appear, the matter will be investigated, and the payment checked.

The honorable Senator alluded to the fact, that the certificates

might get into other hands. So they may. Every man knows that on the frontier when a quartermaster gives a certificate, and it goes out into the neighborhood, it is generally circulated at a depreciation; indeed, I have known them sacrificed at one quarter their value. It is not peculiar to these claims, but incident to all, their value. It is not peculiar to these chains, but includes con-when the government is not ready to make payment. I repeat, sir, if you subject these claimants to the necessity of coming here to recover their claims, you put it altogether out of their power

Mr. CATHOUX.—No one supposes that these claimants are to come here to recover their claims. The question is first, by whom will you allow them to be investigated and paid, by persons appointed in conformity with the requisitions of the constitution? The next question is, will you have them settled by the very men who incurred the expenses? There being a necessity for knowledge in regard to the claims, I should think it very desirable to a proceeding the constitution. ble to appoint some officer who served in Carifornia to be a member of the board. My opioin is, that the true way is to send a quartermaster and commissary there, and if necessary, to add a third person. Take any officer. I have no objection that it is should be Colonel Fremont. He gave the orders, and very properly, I have no doubt; those orders must be presented to the commissary, and be fortified by his endorsement.

. DAVIS, of Mississippi -In the progress of this discussion Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi—In the progress of this discussion ellusions which I consider equally unjust as unkind, have been made in relation to the conduct of the committee by whom this bill and amendment were reported. It was within the knowledge of the committee that indeterminate claims against our government for supplies furnished to the battalion of Colonel Fremont, existed in California, and that the failure to make payment in these cases had produced a disastisfaction which could not be otherwise than dangerous to whatever interests we may have in that remote country. To silence complaint, to appease discontent, and to secure our government against fraud, and the future annoyance of namicatured claims, the plan which the committee have adopted was presented to the Senate. It approximates as nearly as the present state of the case will allow the established usage, and the bill as amended seemed to us beyond the reach of constitu-tional objection. It has been said that the word "appoint" was carefully avoided. Sir, the purpose of appointing officers was not entertained; and it, therefore, required little care to avoid the use of any word which would convey such an idea. Claims which could not be settled by the accounting officers of our government were presented to our consideration. We believed them to consticould not be settled by the accounting officers of our government were presented to our consideration. We believed them to constitute a just demand against us, and a bill was introduced to legalist them. The first and controlling question is, will Congress declare them to be valid, if this be decided in the affimative. Payment must be ordered as a consequence, and there remains but the minor consideration, the manner of discharging the admitted obliminor consideration, the manner of discharging the sumitted our-gation. A portion of the debt is established by regular vonchers, which it is ordered shall be paid as ascertained claims. If Con-gress receive the testimony to these cases as sufficient, and order payment thereon, have we not the same right to specify how the validity shall be determined of those which are denominated unascertained claims? Surely the decision can be made by us as to what testimony shall be deemed sufficient to establish whether a claim be a debt of this government or not. Otherwise it would be idle for us to entertain any proposition to examine a claim presented to our consideration.

sented to our consideration.

The Senator from South Carolina treats this as an ordinary case of army disbursement, and seems to me to confound the rights of those who formish supplies to our troops with the accounts of the disbursing officers of the army. It is the latter which are adjusted, as he states, in the auditing offices here. The payment for the supplies famished to our army is ordinarily made in the field by counts of those by whom and first heard of here through the decounts of those by whom and first heard of here through the decounts of those by whom and first heard of here through the decounts of those by whom and the heard of here through the decounts of those by whom and paymenter, to this hattalion (California had been supplied with finds these claims would have been paid as they arose. The whole case was an irregular one, and if deemed worthy, required an unusual course in relation to it. Hence the proposition for special legislation. The officers annued in the bill were those naders, or by whom the debts were contracted; they have ceased to be officers, and it is proposed to review their functions so far as may be necessary to complete their vouchers, and to determine how far by their former official acts, they have rendered their government responsible. It is not only true that they are best qualified to do justice between the parties, but is further true that they alone can give to these claims the form which will admit of hear being finally audited by the United States Treasury. The Senator from South Carolina treats this as an ordinary case

they alone can give to these claims the form which will admit of their being finally audited by the United States Treasury. The commanding and purchasing officers of the California bat-tation, and they only can reduce these claims to the established forms, and give to them their appropriate character. But those who held sole positions in this case, are no longer in the service, to who held sole positions in this case, are no longer in the service, to tions of their expired offices. This it is proposed to do to the ex-tent required, for the purpose declared, and no further. Those who deny the justice of these claims, those who refuse to seknow-ledow the reasonshillity of our government for the debts contracted ledge the responsibility of our government for the debts contracted by the persons named in the bill, when they were officers of the California battalion, may properly refuse to extend the functions of these ex-officers, to the end that they may perfect their accounts; but such as admit our obligation to pay for the purchases they made for the use of that battalion, are, I think, cons rained to grant to them such powers as will enable the government justly to discharge its obligation to its creditors. The connexion of the persons named in the bill with the transactions out of which the claims arose, instead of being an objection, as has been assumed, constitutes the only sufficient reason for having named them. Such is the connexion which all commanding and disbursing officers necessity the connexion which all commanding and disbursing officers necessity. essarily have to army expenditures and purchases. Had the campaign been regularly ordered and supplied, these persons would, in their official character, have made purchases and paywould, in their olicial character, nave make parenases and pay-ment to prepare. The commission is no wriposed to anthorize government, who is to be provided with lands for that purpose. The supposition that the check imposed by the anditors in other cases is to be here dispensed with, is entirely erroneous. A pow-er is given to the persons pamed in the bull, which will enable them er is given to the persons based in the bill, which will enable them to perfect their accounts, but as they have ceased to be officers, it is not proposed to entrost them with funds for payment. If the President shall choose to avail bimself of the means provided in this bill, he will give his instructions, and it is fair to suppose that they will require all second to to be as fully weached as they would

have been had payment been made by these persons when they were officers. The disbursing officer who may be sent out will, of course, only make payment upon fully authenticated vouchers. end is accounts will be subject to the same revision here, as would have been made had the claims been paid originally. The amount, though certainly important, is small, compared to the disbursements which have, in the progress of this war, been made by individual Quartermasters. The paying officer in this case, will give to the government the same assurance of integrity and accuracy which is possessed in other cases, where officers are eatrusted with sums vastly disproportionate to their bond. The good faith which has heretofore been kept in our army disbursements does not warrant apprehension upon the present occasion.

ments does not warrant apprehension upon the present occasion. To maintain the credit of the government so as to exclude distrust from entering into the calculations of those who sell to our officers, is an obligation which it requires no argument to enforce, but more than usual care is demanded, when, as in this instance, our dealing has been with those who have the best means to know our intentions, and who may be supthe best means to know our intentions, and who may be sup-posed most ready to suspect our intergrity. Nor should time be allowed to accumulate, and the value of the claims to depreciate, in the hands of original holders, or be transferred to others who seek to speculate on the fears or necessities of those who have beseek to speciment on the ears of mecosities of make who have come creditors of the government. At the present session of Corgress the committees of both houses have reported upon a case of deferred payment of a claim growing out of supplies farmished to our troops in Florida, that a docision which placed the rights of the claimant under the rules applicable to a disbursing officer was not reputable to our government. Disreputable, and truly unfortunate will it be, sir, when those who supply our army with food, or clothing, or transportation, shall be required to wait until the purchasing officer shall have submitted his accounts to the scrutiny of the auditing officers of his government, with hopes and fears

adding onlers of an government, what nopes and rears alpen-dent upon the decision, but with the certainty, if it be adverse, that his property is gone, and that no redress is left to him. The question which contains all others is, will you legalize the "California claims?" If so, then the direct and just mode is to "California claims?" If so, then the direct and just mode is to send those in whose official action these claims originated, to collect the vouchers, and perfect their accounts, to the ead that prompt payment may be made.

Mr. WESTCOTT .- The amendment of the Senator from Delaware asserts a rule which I should be sorry to see carried into effect in relation to any claim whatever. I have heard a great deal about speculations, and no doubt there is a great deal of speculation carried on, but it appears to me that the mode proposed suppress speculating is a most shocking one to be adopted by the government. What is it? A B has a claim—C D buys it—and the government puts the profits of the transaction when they pay C D into their own pockets. I can well understand that there must be great depreciation in the value of claims. Already a year or two have elapsed since the claims accrued, and there is no certainty now that this bill will pass. Who, then, supposes that a purchaser would give the full amount? And if he do not, are we to punish him as if he bad committed a crime? There would be some more junted in that the motion I aim about to make abouth prevail. It is to strike our that part of the amendment of the Senator from Delaware which relates to the purchasers of the claims, or else to add to it these words: "but the residue shall be paid to the original claimant." I do not see any right on the part of this government to set itself up as a legal tribual to the right. of the original claimant and his assignee. I do not see any pro-priety in the government meddling in these accounts. If the gov-ernment owes a hundred dollars, let them pay it honestly, and if there is any difficulty between the claimants, let them settle it between themselves. I have no idea of the government mixing itself up in such matters. I will ask for a division of the subject; for I cannot vote for this bill if it contain such a provision as this. I have seen the principle attempted to be carried out arbitrarily by the accounting officers of the government in former times, who set themselves to decide questions between the assignces and the original holders of claims.

Mr. CLAYTON .- The object of that part of the amendment was to prevent imposition upon the government by speculators, and I consider it desirable that some such provision should exist. With regard to the suggestion made by the Senator from Florida, that the amount of discount from the claim which the speculator that the amount of discount from the claim which the speculator has possessed, shall be paid to the original holders. I have not the slightest objection to it. I am quite willing that the government shall be held responsible for the whole amount, but I do not wish that the speculator should receive that for which he has not paid

Mr. FOOTE .- There is a matter which requires our attention in Executive session, and as I see no probability of taking the vote upon this bill to night, I move that the Senate proceed to the coasideration of Executive business.

Mr. CAMERON .- I hope the henorable Senator will withdraw that motion, and allow the question to be taken upon the bill. I shall be compelled to leave the city in the morning, and I am anxious to give my vote upon the bill.

Mr. FOOTE.—With the understanding that the vote be taken, will withdraw the motion for the present.

Mr. MANGUM .- I move that the Senate adjourn.

SEVERAL SENATORS .- Oh, no, proceed with the hill.

Mr. MANGUM .- I withdraw the motion.

Mr. FOOTE.—I hope my motion for an Executive session will now be entertained, and for that purpose I move that the further consideration of the bill be postponed until to-morrow.

Mr. MASON .- Let the vote be taken on that motion as a test, whether we will vote upon the bill.

The question being taken on the postponement, it was not

Mr. CLAYTON modified his amendment by adding the words suggested by the Senator from Florida. The question being about to put on agreeing to the amendment

offered by Mr. CLAYTON, the amendment was read. Mr. UNDERWOOD .- I voted to lay this bill over until tomorrow, hoping that the Schale would reflect upon the subject.
What right have we to interfere with contracts between individu-

als? It is a subject of legitimate contract. Mr. RUSK.—They are not legal obligations, consequently they are not the subject matter of bargain and sale. We make them legal by this hill, and in legalizing them we have a right to con-

Mr. UNDERWOOD .- Equitable claims are the subjects of sale

Mr. CLAYTON .- The claim is good for nothing in the hands of the specialists, until we make it good for nothing in the states of the specialists, until we make it good for something. We by this bill, make it good to the full amount paid by the specialists and I am willing to go no forther in his favor, but I am willing to give to the original sholder the whole. Thus the government will make nothing out of it, but the original claimant will be protected.

Mr. UNDERWOOD .- I desire to make another remark or two, because I look upon this matter as one of some consequence.

The suggestion I made, is attempted to be obviated by the
Senator from Delaware, by saying that there is claim legal or

Mr. CLAYTON .- I do not say they are not equitable.

Mr. UNDERWOOD.—If equitable, then they are the subject of contract between man and man; and if they be the subject of contract, there may be contracts already made, and this government is attempting to annul them, and set aside those contracts. That is the effect of it. If that be no claim at all either of a legal r equitable character, then there is no utility in your enactment. I deny that you have a right to do any thing of the kind. In any point of view, what position do you occupy? You say that valuable services have been rendered to the country; that the people of California have rendered services of which you are deriving the benefit, and while you acknowledge this, you say there is no right legal or equitable, which can be the subject of contract.

Suppose I But how will this operate in another point of view? Suppose I have one of these claims and sell it for one half of its amount, according to this amendment, one-half will come to me, when the final settlement is made by the government. If the purchaser then comes to me and says, I purchased this claim of you, and paid your price for it, what would I, as an honest man be bound to do under these circumstances? Why, most undoubtedly, to head him the whole of the money. It was a fair legal transaction, the money was advanced by the purchaser, and received by me. I should be only fulfilling an obligation, which boner and conscience would be conly fulfilling an obligation, which boner and conscience would be conly fulfilling an obligation, which boner and conscience would be conly fulfilling an obligation, which boner and conscience would be conly fulfilling an obligation, which boner and conscience would be considered to the constraints. be only futuring an obligation, which donot and conscience would render imperative, by paying over to him the money. This is what would be done by those who are honest, whilst the dishonest, con-sidering themselves absolved by the act of Congress [from the oblisatering internseives absolved by the act of Congress [from the obli-gation to refund the money, would refuse to do so. Now I am not willing by the adoption of this amendment to sanction any of those principles, and I hope the bill will be postponed, and that the Se-nate will reflect more maturely upon the matter.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, called for a division of the amend-

The question was then taken upon the first clause of Mr. CLAY-TON's amendment, (as modified.) to the amendment reported from the Committee on Military Affairs; and it was agreed to. It is as follows :

SEC. 3. And best further enacted. That the persons hereby designated to examine the said claims shall, before they enter on the dutie, assigned to them, be first dely sown on administ to fauthfully perform the duties devolved on them by this act; and then in o case shall they, or either of them, examine or allow any claim of which be overage of them; is personally to receive any part.

The question was then taken upon the remaining clause of Mr. CLAYTON'S amendment, as modified, which is as follows:

And in all cases where any claim has been assigned or traceferred by the original claimant, the assignee shall be allowed no more than he paid for the claim, and the residue of the claim shall be paid to the original claimant.

And it was determined in the negative.

The question was then taken on the amendment of Mr. Mason, and it was determined in the negative, as follows:

YEAS.—Messrs, Bagby, Buller, Calhonn, Foote, Johnson, of Maryland, Johnon, of Georgia, Maogum, Mason, Moor, Niles, Turaey, Underwood and Yulee—13.

—13.

NAYS.—Messr. Allen, Atchisoo, Badger, Beaton, Breese, Bught, Cameron, Crev., Clarke, Clayton, Davis, of Mussissippi, Doughas, Felch, Hannegan, Houston, Johnson, of Lousiana, Rusk, Spraance, Sturgeon, and Westcott.—20. The amendment reported from the Committee on Military Af-

fairs, as amended, was then agreed to. No further amendment being made, the bill was reported to the Senate, and the amendment concurred in.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was then read a third time, by unanimous consent. Resolved. That this bill pase, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid

Ordered. That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this hill.

## EXECUTIVE SESSION.

On motion of Mr. FOOTE, the Senate procedeed to the consideration of Executive business, and after a short time spent therein.

The Senate adjourned.

# SATURDAY, APRIL 29, 1848.

MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT.

The following message was received from the President of the United States by Mr. Walker, his Secretary:

The following message was received from the President of the United States by Mr. WALKER, his Secretary:

72 the Search on Mr. WALKER, his Secretary:

73 the Search of Mr. WALKER, his Secretary:

74 the Search of Mr. WALKER, his Secretary:

75 the Search of Mr. WALKER, his Secretary:

75 the Search of Mr. WALKER, his Secretary:

76 the Search of Mr. WALKER, his Secretary:

77 the Search of Mr. WALKER, his Secretary:

78 the Search of Mr. WALKER, his Secretary:

79 the Search of Mr. WALKER, his Secretary:

70 the Search of Mr. WALKER, his Secretary:

71 the Search of Mr. WALKER, his Secretary:

72 the Search of Mr. WALKER, his Secretary:

73 the Search of Mr. WALKER, his Secretary:

74 the Search of Mr. WALKER, his Secretary:

75 the Search of Walker, his Secretary:

76 the Search of Walker, his Search of Walker, his Search of Search o

Washington, April 29, 1848.

The message having been read,

Mr. HANNEGAN moved that it be referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations, and be printed.

on Foreign Relations, and be printed.

Mr. CALHOUN.—Before that question is put, I rise to express
my regret that the President should place this recommendation on
any other ground than that of humanity. If I heard the message aright, he asserts the principle as deduced from Mr. Monroe's
declaration, that when the people of any portion of this continent
is placed in the condition in which Yucatan is, and either party
should be compelled to apply to us for protection, we should interpose and protect them, to prevent the interference of England, or
truly! It goods far beyond Mr. Monroe's declaration. It is difficult to say what limits can be fixed to it, or to what it would carry
s, if reduced to practice. I take this early opportunity—for experience has brought me to strike at once on the introduction of
an objectionable measure—to express my surprise and regret, that an objectionable measure—to express my surprise and regret, that an objectionant measure—to express my surprise and regree, unather President should seize such an occasion as this to recommend the occupation of Yucatan by our army, or a portion of it, if it could be spared, from Mexico. It is startling. Who can tell to what it

would lead; and where it would end? How strange to recommend it at such a time, on the ground, if we did not occupy it some other power power. In the present condition of Europe, the provide of the control of the con blood and treasure, would have taught the administration moderation and entition, and induced them to shun any course of policy calculated to plunge the country in a similar cost and sucrifices. Who can form an estimate of the expenditure, the sacrifice of life, and the difficulties, to which the adoption of the President's recommendation in this case would lead? The condition of Europe ought to adminish as against taking it.

What are the control of the present upheaving, we have a control of all her governments? What are those which are assigned for the overthrow of the Freuch monarthy, and the dauger that threatens the British with the same fate t

chy, and the danger that threatens the British with the same fate faloning the prominent is the heavy burden imposed on the people, which has crushed them to the earth, and which has been continually increasing. It is charged, that the onerous burden imposed on the people of France by the nighty wars of Napoleon, instead of being dimnished, were actually increasing, under the government of its late monarch, and that those imposed on the people England to resist his gigantic power, are as great as they were at the end of the mighty contest between the two powers, allowance being made for the depreciation of the currency. Are we not fairly liable to the same charge? Has their been any alleviation of the burden imposed no our people by the payment of the debts of the revolution, or the war of 1812? Are our expenses less than they were in the war of 1812 allowing for the deprecia chy, and the dauger that threatens the British with the same fate? less than they were in the war of 1812, allowing for the depreciation of the currency during that conflict? Those who have not attended to the subject would be surprised, on comparing the exntended to the snaper would be surprised on comparing the ex-penses of the government now, with what it was during Mr. Mon-roe's administration. It terminated in 1825, twenty-three years ago. The average expenditure of this administration did not ex-ceed \$10,000,000 annually, deducting the payment of the princiago. The average expenditure of this administration dut of ex-ceed \$10,000,000 anually, deducting the payment of the princi-pal and interest of the public debt. It is difficult to say what it is now; it will probably be not less than \$30,000,000. It is true, our population has increased, but it has probably not more that doubled, while our expenses has increased three-fold. And yet, heedless of consequences, it is proposed to adopt a course of poli-cy before we have extrinated ourselves from the burden and losses or before we have extricated ourseless to study at the season of the Mexica was form over a conjecture. I am willing, on the sover of humanity, to go as fir as we can with safety and propriety, in this case. How far that is, I am not prepared to say, but I cannot possibly support the course of pulley recommended by the President, as I understand the message. I am not certain as to what be intended, but be it such as I suppose, or not, I cannot that regret that be should mix up what ought to be an appeal purely to our humanity, with the considerations he has. The case of Yuestan is indeed an awful one. In the midst of our sympathy we may derive instructions form it. The people of Yuestan, after they threw off the Spanish yoke, acting on the idea that all men are qualified to enjoy the blessing of liberty, and ought of right possess it, liberated the large mass of their population, consisting of aborigines in a state of ignorance and subjection, and raised them to a level with themselves. by making them etizens. The result is such as we this day winters. result is such as we this day witness. They were too ignorant to appreciate liberty, or exercise the rights it conferred; and instead of gratitude, they have turned round and murdered those who conof grantines, they more turner round and manufactor holes who country. ferred it on them, and laid waste and devastated the country. Such are the fruits of a misguarded, misjadging philanthropy, combined with erroneous political notions, which is so prevalent at the present time, in more enlightened and civilized countries, but which whenever reduced to practice must lead to disastrous coasequences.

Mr. HANNEGAN .- I cannot but think that the honorable Mr. HANNEGAN.—I cannot but think that the december scenarior from South Carolina has misconceived to a very great extent the reasons assigned by the President for making the recommendation which he has made. I am inclined to thank the Senator must have mistaken the nature of the message, and I awould now proceed to set him right upon the subject, but for a reason which I suppose is known to every Senator, on account of which I intend to move an adjournment. It is out of respect to a mesh ber of this body, who is at this moment in the agonies of death. I dislike that the discussion should be proceeded with at such a time; and I, therefore, move that the Senate do how adjourn a Mr. FOOTE.—I hope the honorable Senator will withdraw his motion for a moment, in order that I may make a suggestion in reference to what has been said by the Senator from South Car-

Mr. HANNEGAN.—If the debate be allowed to proceed, it will occupy the whole day.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts.—Will the gentleman allow the question to be taken on a motion to print, before he moves an adjournment?

Mr. HANNEGAN .- Certainly.

Mr. FOOTE.—I rise for the purpose of urging on the honorable Senator from Indiana, whose official station as chairman of the Committee on Foreign Affairs, seems to indicate the peculiar propriety of a suntable response to what has fallen from the honorable Senator from South Carolina, being offered by him. The unhappy condition of one our most worthy associates in this body, so pathetically alluded to by the Senator from Indiana, night well prevent a long debate at the present moment. But yielding to mone in sympathy for my sufficing friend, and his distressed family. I feel bound to insist that at least a few remarks should be made by some Senator in defence of the Executive messages spointedly and as I think, unjustly assailed, by the Senator from South Carolina. The speech of the Senator from South Carolina going out without any reply is well calculated to engender prejudice and diffuse error as I am sare, in relation to a great question, involving most deeply

the honor and welfare of the republic, and which, in several of its bearings, is one of paculiar delicacy in the present condition of our country and the civilized world. I hope the honorable Senator from Indiana will consent to say something at once in defence of from Indiana will consent to say something at once in defence of the message; if he will not, as he seems unwilling to do, I feel bound to say, before I yield the floor, what I am convunced will be justified by the reading of the document in question, when it is shall have been examined, that it has been most surprisingly misundartood by the Senator from South Carolina, who, "taking the question at the first pop," as he styles it, has entirely failed to interpret the language of the message with even an approximation to bis usual accuracy in such maticutes. I have read the message, at the clerk's or from South Carolina done himself and the country the ipstice to examine it before he indulged the harsh strictures to which we have heard from him on the present occasion. I solemnly invoke a complete suspension of the public judgment in regard to the message until it shall have been printed and dispassionately examined.

Mr. HANNEGAN.—I feel as much anxiety to reply to what has fallen to the Senator from South Carolina, es the Senator from Mississippi can do, but from the fact I have stated, I feel a disinclination to do so at present.

On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# MONDAY, MAY 1, 1848.

DEATH OF MR. ASHLEY.

The journal having been read, Mr. BORLAND rose and said : Mr. President: My official introduction into this chamber has been marked with calamity, and overcast with gloom. The first of its public duties that has devolved upon me is of deep solem-nity, and its performance is undertaken with feelings of oppressive

Just one week ago, a venerable form-in the fullness of life, and the seeming vigor of perfect health—rose in his place, and, in a voice well known and respected here, announced my position in this assembly. That form will not rise again in this presence; for it sow lies lifeless and cold as a clod of the valley. That voice will be heard no more within these walls; for it is hushed in death. In return for the kind courtesy of presenting me, as his colleague, to this company of honorable Senators, I pay but the poor and painful tribute of making known his final separation. The last act of his public life was my introduction: the first of mine must

act of his bituative. As my introduction: the first of mine must.

CHESTER ASILLEY, as Smy introduction: the first of mine must.

CHESTER ASILLEY, as Inst, at his lodgings in this city, on Saturday last, the 29th of April, at fitteen minutes before 2 o'clock, in the atternoo. On Sunday of last week, he felicitated himself upon the perfection of his health. Soon after breakfast, the next morning, he complianted of slight indisposition; but as slight as hardly to command a second thought. At the usual hom he preceded to his place in this chamber. When the journal bad been read, he did me the favor to present my credentials, as his col-league. A few minutes after his indisposition increased; he had league. league. A low minutes after his indisposition increased; he had the sensation of chilliness, and leaving the capitol, returned to his lodgings. That evening I found him decply jaundiced, and in the delirium of fever. This latter symptom had usually marked even his delirium of fever. This latter symptom had usually marked even his slightest indisposition—as is very common with individuals of largo brain and sanguine temperament. His family, however, thought him not seriously ill—certainly not in danger. But on Thurs-day morning he had grown evidently worse, and a distinguished phys-cian of the city was called to see him. His condition was found to be such, even then, as to afford little hope of his recovery. Another eminent practitioner was consulted, and the same opinion

On Friday morning I was invited to make a third party in the professional consultation. But there was no ground for hope. An intense and extensive inflammation had seized upon the bowels, and, in my opinion, upon the liver also; and was of that type, oc casionally encountered, which, setting at naught the highest soience and the best directed efforts of human skill, run, almost from ence and the best directed efforts of human skill, run, almost from the very outset, steadily and rapidly not a fixtal termination. I had seen similar cases before; and I am particularly reminded by it of that of the late Senator Fulton, who was, alike in station, in disease, and in death, the predecessor of him whose loss we now

depiere.

Colonel Ashley was a native of New England. He was born
at Westfield, in Massachusetts, on the 1st day of June, 1790; and,
consequently, at the time of his death, was in the fifty-eighth year
of his age. When an inlant of only three months, he was carried
by his parents, who removed thinker to the towa of Hulson, in New York. He grew up, engaged in the practice of the law, and resided there about twenty-seven years; then migrated to Illinois, where there about twenty-seven years; then migrated to Hindow, where he remained about two years; and subsequently visiting the territory, of what is now the State of Arkansas, in 1819, determined to make his residence at Little Rock, then a mere landing on the southern bank, some three hundred miles above the mouth of the Arkansas river. Soon afterwards he married in Missouri, and removed, with his young family, to his home in the wilderness.

At that period, a settlement in what was truly "the far west,"

was no trivial undertaking. It required a high degree of enter-prise to encounter the privations, hardships, and perils of frontier life-now so much talked about, but then actually endured. An uncommon share of mental forecast would alone suffice to ascertain a point in so wide a range, which must become the centre of important operations, from which settlement and civilization must important operations, from which settlement and envinzation must radiate, and then throw back an accumulated interest. A firmness, fixedness, singleness of purpose, true to its object as the needle to the pole, was alone capable of abiding the full development of the little cloud of improvement, then no bigger than a ment of the little cross on improvement, then no sugger man a man's hand, which was, within a quarter of a contray, to cover with its golden drapery the whole borizon. Yet many a New England boy—many a strippling, from anywhere this side the mountains, impelled by the irrepressible spirit of progress, guided by a judgment so clear in its perceptions, and so rapid in its combinations, as to seem intuitive, and sustained by a will as pitent as a the lever of Archimedre have exhibited as the lever of Archimedre have exhibited as the Disactors, as o seem infutive, and sustained by a will as p-lefer as as the lever of Archimedes, has exhibited all the high qualities I have mentioned; and that, too, in the construction of imperishable monuments—not monuments like the towering pyramids of £zypt, barren of utility as the wastes of sand they overlook—nor like the huge walls of the Roman collisioum, within which human beings

were wont to be degraded to the cruel level of wild beasts; but of monuments of a purer order, of a lottier structure, of more com-prehensive proportions—dedicated to the higher sentiments of the prenensive proportions—declicated to the nighter sentiments of the human heart, and adapted to the true wants of human society—monuments made up of the wilderness reclaimed and converted into cultivated fields; of the towns, with their bristling spires, which crowd our thoroughfares; of the teeming commerce of our "inland seas;" and, above all, of the millions of freemen who sleep securely under their own roof trees, and stand in conscious sove-reignty upon the fertile soil of their own broad acres. In a word reignly upon the tertue soft of their own mona series. In a word——the noble aggregate of these monuments is before the world, in the perfect sprosperity of "the great west." I have selected the New England boy, the cis-montane stripling, as the type of a class. And of that class, it would be difficult to find o more obstructeristic representative than the individual of whom I am here to speak, whether we regard the vigor, the perseverance, or the success of his exertions.

At the age of 29 years, without patrimony, without resources of any kind, except those he possessed in his acute and compre-hensive intellect, his high purpose, and indomitable will, but recently married to a woman of congenial spirit, he landed at Little Rock, and entered upon the practice of the law, which was necessarily restricted then, and for several years afterwards, almost exly to cases before the territorial courts involving the titles to hand, and personal wie lines among a border peoplistics. In the tending to these cases, of which, on account of his high intelligence and untring industry, he soon obtained a large proportion, he was requently required to traverse, as his circuit, the whole country which now forms the State of Arkanasa, and portions of that included in Missouri, Even for one who participated in them, it would be difficult to depict the scenes incident to professional life, in times and under circumstances when the law was administered almost without books-the courts often held in the open air-the most without books—the courts often held in the open air—the leathern thong serving the place of prison walls, and the ready rifle that of the jailor's key. Then the land had no reads—the streams no bridges—the country, for many miles between certain points of settlement, was unmarked by human habitations. Through all this, the course of the young lawyer was noward and upward—undismayed, unchecked by difficulties, which seemed, indeed, but to excite to still greater activity the strong energies of his nature. Storing his mind from books whenever the means were within his reach, ho was ever introduct his newer by those were within his reach, ho was ever introduct his however by those of his nature. Scoring ais minus iron poons whenever the means were within his reach, he was ever improving his powers by those exercises among men, and in the practical effairs of life, which qualify the man of business to be useful to bis fellows, while giving date attention to his own interests. But it is not necessary that I should follow up in detail the steps of him I would represent. It should follow up in detail the steps of nim I would represent. It is of results, and not the particular means of their accomplishment, I have to speak. And if the results attained by my deceased colleague may be the measure of his worth, then in the success of his exertions, he has established a reputation for wisdom cease and execution in the execution of a regulation of wastern voting himself assiduously to the business of his profession, he suffered not the contagious example of political aspiring to lare him from the high and holy purpose of making provision for the wants and comforts of the interesting family he was gathering around him. Until the frosts of more than fifty winters had bleached his locks, we find him in the walks of private life, with unflagging industry and unabating vigor raising upon the foundations be and already laid the superstructure of allhence for his children. He was at length successful; and as if Providence—ever propitious to those who are laborious in useful pursuits—bad been watching his career, so soon as be had completed the provision for his own household, a station of high public trust—the station he held in this chamber—was presented to his neceptance. Although he had long enjoyed a high character for ability, and ever felt a deep interest in the public welfare, it was not until the month of April, 1841, that he entered actively into the political movements of the country. At that time a warm party contest was commencing, and his talents were called into the service apon the democratic electral tieset of Arkansas. Well and onable hid the democratic electral tieset of Arkansas. Well and onable hid the democratic electoral tieket of Arkansas. Well and nobly did he justify the confidence and fulfil the expectations which had in-duced his selection. With an activity rarely witnessed in one of to the spectrum. With an activity rarrely witnessed in one of this years, he traversed the whole State, through the leasts and rains of summer; with zeal and power he advocated the principles, and urged upon the people the measures of his political laith; and while all confessed his fidelity and efficiency in the faith; and while all confessed his fidelity and efficiency in the cause in expossed, even those who most sufficerd from the blows he dealt so last and forcibly, were the last to be oftended at the manner of their infliction. He accomplished one achievement in that canvass, which stands unparalleled, I apprehend, in the na-mals of political controversy. He actually converted from the "error of his ways" one of the electors on the opposing party ticket—caused him to withdraw from the contest—and enjoyed the satisfaction of knowing that, in the autumn election, he voted for the democratic electors. From this, some idea may be formed of the character of his services. Expede Herculen! In the month

of Angust of that year, the excellent, the estimable Fulton died. Almost all eyes were at once turned to the accomplished elector as his successor. So, when the General Assembly of the State and the State of the S

If to be great, a man must perform with ability his public trustys, to be good, he must sustain worthly his private relations. In my opinion, no man can be wholly unhappy who is surrounded with an aminhe family; no rea he be otherwise than good, if he devote himself with idolity to the happiness of that family. I am ware, sir, that I am approaching a sacred subject—flitter for discussion in narrower and less public walls than these. But I merely alload to it in illustration of the finest feature in the human character—I mean domestic affection—a feature strikingly preceded colleague. Many who now hear me have noticed and admired, as all must respect, this endearing characteristic. It distinguished him at home, wherever he was known. But if he loved his family, he was loved by them in return. If his investment of the heart's best treasures in this noblest of institutions, sanotioned of Heaven and known among men, was munificent, he was not without reward—well and punctually was he repaid with usury. Even had business disappointed, or ambition failed him. he had this rich and sfficient uresource, which no power on earth could destroy. The title of domestic affection and happiness at home, like the labeled Pactolus, rolled its golden sands, in attractive heavy

But, sir, if the strong cords of pious sympathy, which bind the members of a family together, confer the truest happiness upon those within the charmed circle—the severance, and, above all, sudden and unexpected severance of those cords, is productive of the hardest agony the heart can know. That this is so, I have but to refer to that scene which I have but recently left. But who Surely I shall not attempt it. Sir, though I sman desertion that? Surely I shan not accompt it. Sir, though I am, comparatively, but a young man, my pursuits in life, and my own sad experience, have made me familiar with scenes of suffering—too often of death. As a duty, I have trained myself to look on the sufferings, even the dissolution of my own sex, not with ujon the sufferings, even the dissolution of my own sex, not with coldness of heart, I trust, but with some degree of composure. But the sight of a woman's sorrows—and of sorrows such as I have had to witness within the last few days—has, I confess, unmanned me—has made me a very child in feeling; and in its manifestations I have seen the strong man, full of life, and hope, suddenly cut down—not afraid to die, but unwilling to leave those he loved so tenderly. His agony was touching. I howed my heart in humility before that Power who has created, and who may destroy all things, while I confess the emptiness of earth and the flecting ity of all human pursuits. But, sir, it was the heart-breaking of that noble and devoted wife, who, for more than a quarter of a century, had spread the bulm of her affection about his heart, and smiled away his eares, while she leaned upon him for that support and protection which was never withheld—it was the utter pros-tration of that lovely daughter, who, like an angel of grace, hung about his pillow, endeavoring to repay his early and never failing knows when to offer, as her hand alone can apply;-Rhows when to only as he have cause the call apply.—It was one things, sir, which over an me—under these my heart has sunk. From the seem of these occurrences, I have come here to speck of their subject. No wonder, then, I am unable to do bim justice; no wonder that language fails to do the bidding of my own full heart, and falls far short of your desires.

But, sir, gloomy as the picture we contemplate undoubtedly is, it is not entirely without relief. Deep as its sludes confessedly are, the light is not wholly excluded. Our friend has died, and his loss has brought agony to the hearts of survivors; but, as he lived not without usefulness, so he has died not without hope. He gave abundant and heart-cheering ordinare, in that hour when on his death-hed, and when he knew he must die—in that solenn and soul-trying hour he gave abundant and heart-cheering ordinare that he was a patriot and a Christian. It has been my fortune to stand by many beds of death, in the retirement of domestic privacy,

surrounded by all the endearing associations of home and friends. I have seen have men die upon the field of hattle, when nerved by all the appliances of enthasiasm—the clash of arms and the shouts of vectory. But I can say with perfect truth, that never have I seen any man meet death (although unwillingly) with more calm courage or pious resignation. Among his last words, addressed to his family, with great self-possession, and in tones of emphatic sincerity, were these—and they are worthy of remembrance "Pray for the welfare of our country, and prepare to meet me in Heaven."

Mr. President, my relations toward all of the three Senators from Arkanasa have been peculiar. Though not yet five years a resident of that State, it has been my fortune to succeed one of them upon this floor—to have attended, as a friend and medical adviser, in the dying hours of the other two; and, in reference to the last, to pronounce his enlogy. Sad have been these latter relations; and strange are the mutations which they mark upon the calendar of human aldiars! May Heaven grant me strength to sustain the responsible duties to which I have succeeded.

The honorable Senator then offered the following resolutions:

Resoluted, uncuimonsile. That a commutee be appointed by the Vice President to take order for appointending the funeral of the Hoa Chuster Ashiev, which will take place to morrow at twelve o'clock, mendian, and that the Senate will attend the large

Resolved, unanamousle, That the numbers of the Nenate, from a sincere device of showing every mark of respect due to the memory of the Hon. CHESTER ASHLEY, deceased, late a member thereof, will go into monuming for him one month, by the

Resolved, unanimously. That as an additional mark of respect for the memory of the Hon. Chester Ashley, the Senate do now adjourn.

Mr. BREESE.—Mr. President: In rising to second the motion of the honorable Senator, who has just made the solemn announcement of the death of one of our honored associates—an event alike ment of the death of one of our honored associates—an event alike sion, to add a few words to the beautiful calegium he has so feelingly presonanced. I fear, no doing I shall disturb the harmony of its language, whilst it is yet vibrating on the ear, and interrupt that generous flow of feeling it has so universally inspired. Yet, sir, there are circumstances in the life of the deceased, and of him who now addresses you, to which it may not be improper, on this mourrid oceasion, to advert, and which prompt me to offer a slight of the third of the deceased, and of him mournid oceasion, to advert, and which prompt me to offer a slight of the deceased, and of him mournid oceasion, to advert, and which prompt me to offer a slight of the deceased, and of him mournid oceasion, to advert, and which prompt me to offer a slight of the deceased, and of him mournid oceasion, to advert, and which prompt me to offer a slight of the deceased, and of the promising world before us, and both entering it with an ardor of the table of the deceased temporarily, as in then winter of 1819–20, called the deceased temporarily, as in the winter of 1819–20, called the deceased temporarily, as in the high standing he had acquired at the land of managed a large fortune. I never saw him again until I tnet him here as an associated in the shunder, at the second secsion of the twenty eight Congress. Our acquaintance was at once renewed, and we had much to speak of the varied yet similar fortunes a quarter of a century had achieved for us. In the next Congress, we were associated on two of the most important committees of this body, we lived together at the same house, and an intimacy was established effect, and an unfarging zeal seldom surpassed. His talents were of no common order, and he was never found umpreparent research, and an unfarging zeal seldom sur

In his disposition, the deceased was eminently social: his sustive of manner—his nurulled temper—his freedom from irritation by all those little amovances that disturb the pathway of our lives, was a subject of common remnit to those who were inturately associated with him. But it was in the family circle he shone most conspicuous, as a flus-hand—as a father—as one connected with those delicate relations of life in which humanity is exhibited in its most capituding form. In all of titles, sir, he was a model and an example, lavissing all the tenderness of his nature upon those dear objects of his lore, when returned it with the most smeere and devoted affection, and to whom it was permitted to receive his fact terrible as this blow to them, who, but a lew days ago, were all joy and glindness, now sobbing in anguish over his inanimate remains, conscious—painfully conscious—they are never more to feel the pulsations of that heart which beat alone in love for them; as they are their more to feel the pulsations of that heart which beat alone in love for them; as ing will be the thought that they have parted forever with the idol of their hearts—their protector—their father—their dearest friend—and that his place at their once happy board is to be vacant for-

How quick, Mr. President, is the passage from the Senate to the grave! How fleeting and transitory the hopes and promises of this life! And how full of warning should be this sad event, so sudden and so startling, prompting us to recur often to the injunction, "Be ye also ready," for no ene of us can tell at what moment that awful summons may break upon our ear! No one can tell when the dread messenger may appear.

"Leaves have their time to fall,
And flowers to wither at the north wind's breath,
And stars to set; but all.
Thou hast all seasons for thine own, O Death!"

The resolutions were then adopted; and,

On motion,

The Senate then adjourned.

# THE FUNERAL SOLEMNITIES.

# TUESDAY, MAY 2, 1848.

Shortly before 12 o'clock, the Committee of Arrangements and pall-hearers, with the ceffin containing the body of the deceased Senator Ashley, entered the chamber, followed by the Senator and Representatives of Arkansas, the widow, daughter, and personal friends of the deceased. The President and his Cabinet entered immediately afterwards, and were soon followed by the members of the House of Representatives.

There were also present several officers of the army and navy, members of the corps diplomatique, and other distinguished gen-

The services commenced with an impressive and eloquent prayer by Rev. Mr. Gurley. The funeral discourse was delivered by Rev. Mr. Slicer, from 1 Peter, 24th and 25th verses: "For all flesh is as grass, and all the glory of man as the flower of the grass: The grass withereth, and the flower of the grass fadeth away; but the word of the Lord endureth ferever."

The services being concluded, the funeral procession moved from the capitol in the following order:

The Chaplains of both Houses of Congress. Physicians who attended the deceased. 30TH CONG .- 1ST SESSION-No. 71.

Committee of Arrangements of the Senate of the United States :

Mr. Hannegan, Mr. Greene, Mr. Dayton Mr. Houston,

Mr. Mangum Pall-Bearers :

Mr. Baeby,
Mr. Daves, of Maes,
Mr. Daves, of Maes,
Mr. Johnsen, of Md.,
Mr. Auchison.
The family and friends of the decessed.
The Senator and Representative from the State of Arkansas, as mourners.

The Sergeant-at-Arms of the Senate of the United States.
The Senate of the United States, preceded by the Vice President
of the United States and their Secretary.
The Sergeant-at-Arms of the House of Representatives.

The House of Representations, receded by their Speaker and Clerk.

The President of the United States.
The Heads of Departments.
The Chief Justice and Associate Justices of the Supreme Court of the United States, and its officers.

The Diplomatic Corps.
Judges of the United States.
Officers of the Executive Departments. Officers of the A:my and Navy. The Mayor of Washington. Citizens and Strangers.

Having reached the Congressional burying ground, the remains of the deceased Senator were temporarily deposited in a vault, from which they were afterwards removed to their final resting-place in the State of Arkansas.

# WEDNESDAY, MAY 3, 1848.

#### PORTRAIT OF BARON DE KALB.

The VICE PRESIDENT had before the Senate a communication from William Brent, stating that he had received, addressed to his care, from Robert Walsh, Consul of the United States at Paris, a portrait of General Baron de Kalb, for the purpose of being presented to Congress as an offering from the surviving members of the family of de Kalb; which was read.

#### DETERMONE

Mr. MOOR presented the petition of Abraham Cousins and others, heirs of Robert Libby, deceased, a revolutionary soldier, praying to be allowed arreors of pension; which was referred to the Committee on Revolutionary Claims.

Also, a memorial of citizens of Arosotook county, in the State of Maine, praying that lumber ent in that State and manufactured in the British Province of New Brunswick, may not be admitted into the ports of the United States free of duty; which was referred to the Committee on Finance.

Mr. STURGEON presented a memorial of citizens of the United States, praying the purchase of Mount Vernon by the government; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Also, three memorials of citizens of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, praying that a territory west of the Mississippi river may he set apart for the permanent homes of the various Indian tribes inhabiting the United States; which were referred to the Committee on Indian Adairs.

Mr. CRITTENDEN presented a memorial of citizens of the United States, praying the purchase of Mount Vernon; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, presented two memorials of citizens of Philadelphia, Peansylvania, praying that a territory west of the Missippi river may be set apart for the permanent homes of the various Indian tribes inhabiting the United States; which were referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

Mr. BORLAND presented the petition of John B. Luce, praying the reimbursement of the expenses to which he was subjected by a prosecution instituted against him for acts done while in the discharge of his duty as an Indian agent of the United States; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Mr. CASS presented the memorial of Patrick Masterson, a revolutionary soldier, praying to be allowed a pension; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, presented the petition of William Pittman, a soldier in the last war with Great Britain, praying to be allowed a pension; which was referred to the Committee on

# On motion by Mr. STURGEON, it was

Ordered, That the legal representatives of Jesse D. Elliott, deceased, have leave to withdraw their petition and papers.

# THE LATE SENATOR ASHLEY

Mr. HANNUGAN submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Retailed. This the Assistant Doubleeper of the Senate be directed, when no recursted by the window of the deceased, to convey the remains of the Hon. CHESTER, ASSIEVE, lake Senator of the United States from the State of Archasas, from the materiopin of the Intel States to the resultence of the family at the deceased, in that State; that the exponent siltenting the same be paid out of the contingent fam of the State; that the exponent siltenting the same be paid out of the deceased from the state of the considerance of the state of

Mr. BORLAND submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unnnimous consent and agreed to:

Resolved, That the Vice President be requested to communicate to the Encentive of the State of Arkansas information of the death of the Hon. Chester Ashley, late a Santor from said State.

## COLORED CITIZENS OF FREE STATES.

Mr. HALE submitted the following resolution, which was conoldered by unanimous consent and agreed to:

Resolved. That the Committee on the Jadiciary be instructed to inquire what legalation, if any, be necessary to sective to the colored curzes of the coo-slaveholding States the privileges and immunities goaranted by the constitution of the United States to citizens all each of the States.

## THE YUCATAN MESSAGE.

# On motion by Mr. HANNEGAN, it was

Ordered, That the message of the President of the United States of the 29th April, relative to affording aid to the people of Yucatan, be referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

## PRIVATE BILLS.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisians, from the Committee on Pensions, to whom was referred the bills from the House of Representations, the control of the Committee of th

# On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, it was

Ordered, That the report of the Committee on Invalid Pensions of the House of Representatives, relating to the bill for the relief of Jeseph Johnson, be printed.

### ADVERSE REPORT.

Mr. PHELPS, from the Committee on Finance, to whom was referred the petition of John W. Leuchs, submitted an adverse report; which was ordered to be printed.

## UNITED STATES COURTS IN ILLINOIS

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom was referred the hill from the House of Represedtatives autherizing a term of the United States' circuit and district courts at Chicago, Illinois, reported it without amendment.

On motion by Mr. WESTCOTT, the Senate proceeded to consider said bill, as in Committee of the Whole, and no amendment heing made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered. That it pass to a third reading,

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved, That this bill pass.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

### THE WIDOW OF COMMODORE BARNEY.

On motion by Mr. CRITTENDEN, the prior orders were postponed, and the Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of Mrs. Harriet Barney.

Mr. NILES remarked that he thought there was a law providing for all such cases as that presented in the bill.

Mr. CRITTENDEN expressed regret that the chairman of the Committee was not present for the purpose of explaining the circumstances which renderred the passage of the bill necessary. But he supposed that it would be sufficient to state that the lady for whose relief the bill bad been reported, had not been included in the general law to which the honorable Senator referred, in consequence of her pension not having expired till a few days after its passage.

Mr. CALHOUN asked for a reading of the report, which was then read, setting forth the fact just stated by the Secator from Kentucky.

Mr. VULEE observed that it was the intention of the Naval Committee to report a general bill, which would cover this case. He perceived that this bill came from the Committee on Pensions; but the subject had been before the Naval Committee, and as he had remarked, it had ordered a general bill to be reported which would meet this case.

Mr. CRITTENDEN replied that he greatly preferred the bill before the Senate to any bill before the Naval Committee, of which be know nothing. The case of this lady came clearly within the known of the committee of the committee of the circumstance which he had mentioned, she had failed to obtain the benefit of that law.

No amendment being made, the bill was reported to the Senate Ordered, That it pass to a third reading.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved, That this bill pass,

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

# ARREST OF FUGITIVE SLAVES.

Mr. BUTLER, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom was referred the resolution of the Legislature of Kentuely asking the passage of a law for the recovery of ingitive slaves, submitted a report, accompanied by a bill to provide for the more effectual execution of the third clause of the second section of the fourth article of the constitution of the United States.

Mr. BADGER .- Has the report been printed !

Mr. WESTCOTT .- No. sir.

Mr. BUTLER .- I will take the liberty of reading the report.

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—I think it will be better to print it, as it will be very imperfectly understood from the mere reading of it.

Mr. BUTLER then read the report, having briefly stated the circumstances in which it originated, and the general objects of the bill accompanying it.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

The report accompanying the report having been read-

On motion by Mr. ATCHISON, it was

Ordered, That it be printed, and that ten thousand additional copies be printed for the use of the Senate.

Mr. BUTLER, from the same Committee, to whom was referred the memorial of citizens of western Pennsylvania, praying the repeal of the law imposing a fine for harboring a slave, submitted an adverse report thereon.

### PRIVATE BILL.

Mr. UNDERWOOD, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the bill from the flows of Representatives for the relief of Charles Reeder, Walter R. Johnson, and the legal representatives of Thomas P. Jones, reported it with an amendment; and submitted a report on the subject, which was ordered to be printed.

# AMERICAN COLONIZATION SOCIETY.

Mr. UNDERWOOD, from the same Committee, to whom was referred the memorial of the American Colonization Society, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for its relief.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered. That the report be printed.

# WEST FELICIANA RAIL ROAD.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. FOOTE asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill for the relief of the West Feliciana Railroad Company; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Finance

# VACANCIES IN COMMITTEES.

On motion by Mr. WESTCOTT, it was

Ordered, That Mr. BUTLER, of South Carolina, be appointed chairman of the Judiciary Committee, in the place of Mr. Asst-LEY, deceased, and that the Vice President appoint a member of said committee to fill the vacancy occasioned thereby.

Mr. Moon was appointed.

On motion by Mr. BREESE, it was

Ordered, That a member be appointed by the Vice President to fill the vacancy in the Committee on Public Lands occasioned by the deccase of the Hon. Mr. ASHLEY.

Mr. Borland was appointed.

On motion by Mr. WESTCOTT, it was

Ordered, That a member be appointed by the Vice President to fill the vacance in the Committee on Printing, occasioned by the decease of the Hon. Mr. ASHLEY.

Mr. Borland was appointed

# THE PUBLIC LANDS.

On motion by Mr. BREESE, it was

Ordered. That fifteen hundred capies of the report of the Commissioner of the General Land Office, in answer to a resolution of the Senate, respecting the quaranties of public lands sold from time to time, and the quantity remaining unsold, be printed for the use of the Senate.

# MESSAGES FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. CAMPBELL, their Clerk:

Mr. President: The Hoose of Representatives have passed the bill of the Segato for the relief of John Black, late consul of the United States at the city of Mexico. Decisions, to the district of the True. In portions of the southwestern load district. Decisions, to the district of the True. In portion of the southwestern load district. Commissions, to the district of the True. The portion of the southwestern load district. evidence in applications for positions; and sundry private bills, in all of which they request the concentrace of the Security.

## NOTICES

Mr. WESTCOTT gave notice that on to-morrow or some future day, he will ask leave to introduce the following bills: An act respecting the public archives in the State of Florida, and an act to grant the Everglades and certain lands contiguous thereto, in the State of Florida, to said State upon certain conditions.

Mr. BELL gave notice that on to-morrow, or some future dey, he will ask leave to introduce a bill to authorize the district court of the United States, for the district of Tennessee, to hold special

Mr. BORLAND gave notice that on Monday next, he will ask leave to introduce a bill to grant to the State of Arkansas, the public lands lying within that State; remaining unsold on account of overflow by the water courses, for purposes of internal improvement, and other purposes, in the discretion of the State, to be executed by authority of the State government.

Mr. MANGUM gave notice that on to morrow, or some future day, he will ask leave to introduce a bill, the title of which he named.

Mr. CASS gave notice that on to-morrow, at one o'clock, he will move to proceed to the consideration of the volunteer bill.

Mr. ATHERTON gave notice that on to morrow, he will move to proceed to the consideration of the West Point appropriation bill.

#### GRANT OF LAND TO ILLINOIS.

Mr. DOUGLAS moved that the Senate proceed to the consideration of the bill granting to the State of Illinois, the right of way and a donation of public lands for making a railroad connecting the upper and lower Mississippi with the chain of northern lakes at Chicago; which had been made the special order for this day.

Mr. HALE.—I would inquire whether my motion for leave to introduce a bill does not come up as unfinished business?

The PRESIDING OFFICER.—That will come up as a matter of course, if the motion of the Senator from Illinois does not prevail.

Mr. HALE.—I only ask that the Senate will give leave or refuse it.

Mr. DOUGLAS .- My bill is the special order of the day

Mr. HALE .- Mine is the special order of the morning.

Mr. DOUGLAS .- The morning hour has passed.

The motion of Mr. DOUGLAS was then agreed to, and the Senate resumed the consideration of said bill as in Committee of the Whole.

Mr. CLARKE then remarked that one of the Senators from Indiana who desired to offer an amendment to the bill was not present, and in his\_absence he hoped that the consideration of the bill would not be pressed.

Mr. DOUGLA'S hoped that the bill would not be laid over.—
He would glady insert the amendment to which allusion had been
made. He would now move as an amendment, that a clause be
inserted in the second section of the bill, including the Northera
Cross railroad, extending from the Mississippi via Springfield to
the Indiana line in the direction of Covington.

Mr. CLARKE reiterated the expression of his desire that the consideration of the bill should be postponed in consequence of the Senator from Indiana.

Mr. DOUGLAS remarked that if the Senator from Indiana was not satisfied with the amendment, he should pledge himself to move a reconsideration of that vote.

Mr. NILES —I would inquire of the honorable Senator who has

charge of the bill, what is the quantity of land which the bill proposes to grant?

Mr. DOUGLAS.—I have not made the calculation. The grant

of land is for alternate sections—six miles on each side.

Mr. NILES.—For the whole length of the road—what is the

length of the road?

Mr. DOUGLAS.—Four hundred miles.

Mr. NILES -Four hundred!

Mr. DOUGLAS.—The lands have been for sale for a period of twenty-two years, at a dollar and a quarter an acre, and have not found purchasers, for the reason that they are situated in large prairies, distant from a market, and without timber. If by coatructing a ralload, the means of transporting timber be provided, there can be no doubt the alternate sections will be readily sold for two and a half dollars per acre.

Mr. NILES .- The gentleman gives a very fair explanation! .

Mr. DOUGLAS .- I am happy to bear it !

Mr. NILES.—I have another inquiry to make. Does the bill absolutely vest the lands in the State, whether the railroad be made or not?

made or not?

Mr. DOUGLAS.—No. There is a provision that if the road
be not completed within fifteen years, the lands revert to the Unided States; and if any portion should be sold, the purchase money
is to be refunded. There is also a provision securing the free word
of the road to the United States government, for the transportation
of its troops, grmy and navy supplies, and all their public property
of every description.

Mr. NILES .- Is there any provision for carrying the mail ?

Mr. DOUGLAS.—There is not. But if the distinguished chairman of the Committee on the Post Office offer a reasonable and liberal provision to that effect, I shall have great pleasure in accepting it.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland-(in his seat.)-There ought to be such a provision.

Mr. DOUGLAS.—I shall cheerfully insert such a provision, and add a clause to the effect, that in case of disagreement between the Department and the State, the district court shall decide.

A Senator—(in his seat.)—The mails ought to be carried free.

Mr. DOUGLAS.—Oh, no! That could hardly be asked. But I am willing to accede to any reasonable provision.

Mr. NILES .- Well, if the United States give the means of making the road, I do not think it would be unreasonable to ask that the mail should be carried without charge. I understand that it is proposed to grant a portion of the public domain equal to six miles in width for a distance of four bundred miles. That is almost as ample a proposition as we have before us for the construcmest as ample a proposition as we have before us for the construction of a railroad to the Pacific. The projector of that road asks for a little larger breadth of land, and proposes to make the whole road out of the land granted to him, making it free to the United States. This road is for the benefit of the State of Illianis, to be under the management of that State and to be owned by that State. This bill is designed to put into the treasury of the State the value of this large amount of public lands, in order to enabla it is the state of the tion to mail service, because I believe the whole thing is wrong-wrong in view of the constitution-wrong in view of any sound principles of policy and justice in relation to the whole of the States of this Union. I admit no power in this government to make a railroad in this or any other form. Our attention was dimake a rairosa in this of any other form. On a decision was di-rected the other day to a very great inconvenience and evil under which the whole country labors in relation to a railroad across the Stato of New Jersey; and in that case I almost wish we had such a power as is claimed by those who advocate this bill; but I have never been able to satisfy myself that such a power does exist.— To say that we can get round the constitution by granting the pubits lands, instead of taking the money directly out of the treasury, is certainly trifling with the judgment of this body. It means the same thing. The whole thing is wrong, and cannot, in my judgsame thing. The whole thing is wrong, and examet, in any judgment, be sistenied at all, without a violation or latitude of construction of the constitution entirely unjustifiable. I hold that this system is entirely incompatible with any rational construction of the constitution, or any just interpretation of that instrument—What is this principle? Why it is this: that you may exercise this prover where the government of the United States have a certain description of constructions and the state of the stat tain description of property, and no where else; that you may take tain description of property, and no where cose; man you may cake the public land and make railroads or other public improvements, in the States where the public lands happen to be, from the funds thus obtained. I am utterly at a loss to know how the existence of public lands in a particular state, gives any soft itional claim on these grains from the general government, soft tional claim on these grants from the general government, so far sthe policy and constitutional justice of these grants are concerned. I know it is contended that benefit accrues to the general interest of the United States, and it has even been claimed, that this consideration sets asade the constitutional difficulty. I never could see the force of that reasoning; and in this cose it is particularly difficult to perceive its force, when we recollect that according to the provisions of the bill, the land to be selected is not confined to the immediate vicinity of the road. This is a simple proposition to grant the propriectorship of these lands for the benefit of a work of internal improvement, which is to be owned by a particular State. Now I believe it is founded upon the most effect of works of this kind, they should be made on some general principle—some system which will be under the consume general principle—some system which will be union.

I do not propose, however, to go into a general discussion of the

common benefit of all the States of this Union.

I do not propose, however, to go into a general discussion of the question. I know it will be of no avail. I have seen all this before, and I know the result. I suppose this bill will pass. I think it is proper that some provision for the conveyance of the mail should be inserted, but recollecting that I oneo effered such a proposition, and that it was voted down, I do not feel disposed to offer any amendment on the present occasion. I shall content my-

eelf with asking for the yeas and nays,

Mr. DOUGLAS.—I have drawn up an amendment in relation
to the transportution of the mails, which I think will be satisfac-

tory.

Mr. DOUGLAS read his amendment, as follows:

Add the following: Sec. 8. And he it further exacted. That the United State mask shall be carried on soul road under the regulation of the Post Office Department at a rea onable price paid on other railroads, and in case of disagreement between the State as I the depart ment as to the price, the matter in dispute shall be referred to the United State shutter glug for said State.

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—My only purpose was to suggest to the honorable Senator to offer such an amendment as he has done, with which all my objection to the bill is removed. Such a provision is necessary in order to prevent exorbitant exactions for carrying the mail; there being no mode of conveyance that could compete with the railroad in point of speed, the company would have a complete monopoly, and be enabled to impuse their own

terms. It is necessary, therefore, that they should be hid under comer extriction as to price. Sir, I rejoice to see a portion of the public domain of the country applied to such a purpose as this, for I do not know a more a rural or more wise purpose to which it could be applied, than to improve and settle the lands themselves. That will be the effect of this appropriation. The interceurse that will be facilitated also by this measure, the in establishment of this road is very important, connecting as it will the waters of our western rivers with the waters of the lakes. I hope the bill will receive the sanction of the Senate. And in regard to the constitutional question, it is a certain and underiable to the constitutional question, it is a certain and underiable to the constitutional question, it is a certain and underiable to the constitutional question, it is a certain and underiable to the constitutional question, it is a certain and underiable to the constitutional question of the source of the constitutional question of the provenment, by whom an appropriation similar to this has not been an administration similar to this has not to the sanctioned—not one administration from Washingen down to this day, that has not given its sanction to such a grant. Old Colonel Zane had a grant made to him for marking out the first footpath in Ohio, and preparing the way for settlement. I flany-thing can be settled by precedent, if anything can be settled by the settled by reper it that the wisdom and experience of our predecessors must be disregarded, and that such matters must anything can be settled by prepared, and that such matters must be made and the such a presence of the provided since the formation of our constitution—this is such a question. I have no doubt myself, citter as to the constitutional right of Congress to make the grant, or of the wisdom and sound policy of such a measure.

Mr. BAGBY—Mr. President: Several years of observations upon the correct and the tendency of sentiment, and of action in this body in regard to this subject of internal improvement, has satisfied me that there is but lute hope or pruspect of resisting it successfully. Nevertheless, there are some few of us, who with entire respect tor the views and opinions of those who differ from us, consider into duty to resist it to the last, no matter what form it may assume, or by what arguments it may be sustained, or by what means it may be attempted, to accomplish it. In addition to the question with respect to the constitutional power of Congress, exercised either directly or indirectly, to engage in works of internal improvement in the States, and which the Senator from the Kentucky, [Mr. CartracaDex.] seems to think has been settled by the heavy practice of unbroken years under preceding administration to the constitution; there is another leature in this bill connected with the public policy of the country, from the correctness of which I entirely dissent. In order to be distinctly understood, I place my opposition to this bill upon two grounds, its unconstitution; the constitution; they consider the public lands are situated the accident of the second of time, at least as long, perhaps longer, than this proposition to grant rights of way and alternate sections of public land to make railroads in the States where the public lands are situated has existed; there has also been a proposition to graduate and reduce the price of the public lands an apposition to graduate and reduce the price of the public lands.

where the photo inhos are studied has existed; there has has been a proposition to graduate and reduce the price of the public land, a proposition is a proposition to graduate the price of the public land, a proposition is brought forward, the direct and inevitable tendency, and the around the around the price of the public land, a proposition is brought forward, the direct and inevitable tendency, and the avowed object, of which is, to increase the price of the public land throughout the whole extent traversed by this railroad, which is said to be four hundred miles, from one dollar and twenty-five ents to two dollars and fifty cents per acre. Indeed this is the argument mainly relied upon by the advocates of the bill to relieve it from its unconstitutionality, and to sustain its expediency—that is to say, the advocates of the bill contend that, although it may be true that Congress would have no right to appropriate any portion of the public land for the purpose of making railroads or trief and unconnected with any other, yet that insamuent as the government is the proprietor of all the public lands, it is entirely competent for Congress to give way one-half of the public land, provided such giving away will double the value of the remainder. Now, to make the most of this proposition, it only leaves the government in afatu quo. For if you give away half the land it is necessary to double the price of the remaining quantity in order to break even. But the idea of increasing the receipts into the Treasury by the enhanced value of the land in consequence of granting a right to run a railroad turough it, is a complete delument to break even. But the idea of increasing the receipts into the formal country and the substanting a right to run a railroad turough it, is a complete delument to break even. But the idea of increasing the receipts into the public what they would have to pay the government for them; and, in the next blace, you will cheat the government for them; and, in the next blace, you will cheat the go

is said, he werer, that they have power to dispose of the public land. No doubt about that. But they have no power to dispose of it for unconstitutional purposes, unless the constitution was inceded to be destructive of itself. It is not the disposal of the land that violates the constitution. It is the object to which it is to be applied that forms the test of constitutionally. I do not question the power of Congress to give away the public land, but insist it shall not be used to break down the constitution. But it 8-seator from Kentucky relies upon precedent to sustain the principle involved in this bill. Unfortunately, Mr. President, in the progress of our history as a nation we have furnished precedents for almost every thing. For a period of forty years emitted that the state of the parties of the revolution, and who, in the language of fine great cotemporary and illustrious rival, who set the ball of the revolution in motion, the alien and sedition laws were passed. And yet, I apprehend, if the proposition before the Senate was to revive the alien and sedition laws, or to re-charter a bank of the United States, the honorable Senator would receive but a very small reinforcement from this side of the chamber, however powerfully and cogenity he might sustain it by precedent. Divest and strip this measure of the extraneous considerations that have been thrown around it in the course of the discussion, and what does it

It is admitted the road cannot be made without a donation of these valueless lands. It is admitted that the road is to be constructed out of the proceeds of the sale of the land; and that making the road is the consideration upon which the grant of the land king the road is the consideration upon which the grant of the land is founded. Disguise it as you may, it is nothing more nor less than this—Congress is informed of the great importance of a rail road from Chicago to Cairo, in the State of Illinois, but is informed that Illinois cannot make the road for want of means, and therefore, that Illineis cannot make the road for want of ments, and therefore, Congress is asked to appropriate the land embraced in this bill, and does it upon the express condition that the land thus appropriated shall be applied to that object. Is not this the true state of the ease! The government of the United States by this bill appropriates, in the State of Illinois, a quantity of Ind amounting at one dollar not twenty-five erons per acc; to construct the government of dollars to make a railroid from Chicago to Cairo. The governments of the properties of the control of the or occurs to make a rairoad from Cheago to Cairo. The govern-ment appropriates the land, from the proceeds of the sale of which the road is to be made, and without which it cannot be done; and making the road is the consideration for appropriating in land; the appropriation is to be void and of no effect, unless the road is made in the time named is the bill, and yet it is gravely contended that the finger of the government is not seen or its hand employed in the transaction at all! Sir, it seems to me there can be no un-derstanding so shallow as to be imposed upon by such reasoning as this. Whoever furnishes the means to do a thing causes the thing this. Wheever famishes the means to do a thing causes the thing to be done. It is the main-spring that sets the machine in motion, that imparts action and vitality to it. But, sir, the evil does not stop here. In many, perhaps in most of the States in this Union, there is no public land; and if you grant to the States in which there is public land, a sufficient quantity to make a railroad four hundred miles long in each, thereby withholding from, and keeping forever out of the treasuly the amount for which the land would sell, with what justice, what equity, what show of plausibility even can you refuse to the States in which there is no public land an equal amount of money? How enough you refuse do the Massachusetts or Virgma, where the fires of liberty were first kindled, and the cradled of freedom was first rocked? I sthere any difference in principle or cellect between withholding from the Treasury that which, without your action would flow into it, and taking an equal amount from the tween withholding from the Treasury that which, without your action would flow into it, and taking an equal amount from the Treasury after it had been actually paid in? I dely human ingenuity to point out a valid distinction between the two cases. Sir, this is a monstrous system. I will not go now into the influence, the perincious influence at must exert upon, the legislation of the country. Already there are before Congress propositions of various kinds for works of internal improvements amounting to twenty millions of dollars, at a time when we are staggering under a load of debt. Fasten the system upon the country, and all the great measures that illustrate the glory and efficiency of this administration will be labor lost. The revenue tariff, the independent Treasury, all the anticipated benefits and advantages of free trade; all these proud criumphs of party conflicts, and of sound policy, will wither and perish under its influence. You are not only, as I believe, inflicting a serious wound upon the constitution, but you are opening a mighty gulf that will swallow my open treasury, and exhaust your resources, and render a system of exhorbitant taxation certain, inevitable.

The Senator from Kentucks misunderstood me if he supposed

of exhorbitant taxation certain, inevitable.

The Senator from Kentucky misunderstood me, if he supposed I contended, that as many Presidents had sanctioned the constitutionality of a bank of the United States, as he says, have approved of the principles contained in this bill. What I said was, that a sak had been finally corruned by the theory of the government, as had been finally corruned by the suppose of the properties of the properties. The properties of the properties

disappeared from it forever. But under the full and continuing influence of all these emotions I, like the Senator from Kentucky, am sometimes a little jealous of executive power, and cannot always yield full credence and entire acquiescence to the corrections of the constitution. And with ness of presuential interpretations of the constitution. And with the permission of the Senator, I will go one step further, and say, that there is another class of great respectability, talents, and partioism, not altogether unknown in this, our day and generation, with the correctness and orthodoxy of whose interpretation of the constitution I cannot fully occurr—I mean those who aspire of the constitution I cannot fully concur—I mean those who aspire to be Presidents. Indeed, sir, as an humble citizen of the re-public, I have been troubled in this respect, and at times have almost despaired of ever being able to arrive at the true construction of the constitution, or of keeping pace with those who, at stated periods, once in about four years take that vencrable instrument periods, once in about four years take that vencrable instrument into their own hands. Nothing seems to sharpen the powers of interpretation so keenly, to give such scope and expansion to the views and the understanding, and to inspire so lofty, enlarged, and disinterested a patriorism as a prospective view added by the hop of occupation of a celebrated massion at the other end of the avenue, lamiliarly known as the White House. It is wooderful see how it vivilies and invigorates the understanding, and brings all the latent powers and energies of interpretation into play. Under its powerful and almost superhuman influence, pushed for Under its powerful and almost superhuman influence, pushed for-ward by feelings of the most cleavated patrotism, gentlemen are willing literally to go about dong good. It enables them to find a remedy for the most extreme cases—to find a measure for any pattern and almost every fashion of legislation. And in nothing does this improved, almost sublimated faculty of interpretation, exhibit itself with such wonderful facility as it does in regard to this subject of internal improvement. If its desired to construct in one of the States a railroad four or five hundred miles long, costing a couple of millions or thereplant all that is necessary is to reprea comple of millions or therenbout, all that is necessary is to repre-sent the government as a great land holder, fully authorized to give away one half of the land in order to enhance the value of the remainder, and compel the people, purely for their own good, to pay double price for it; and if any should be so sceptical or wavering as to manifest a want of faith in the efficacy or the soundness of this doctrine, their doubts are removed, or they are strengthened and confirmed by a body of well arranged and approved precedents. "This land service." however, like all terrestrial means, is rather adapted to things upon a limited scale, and cannot be conveniently applied to more than one State. In fact, I believe there is still an hintus in the system, and that no precise and appropriate remedy has yet been discovered for a case in which two States are interested. This system being adapted to obtuse intellects and plant thinkers, like my triend from Connectiout and myself, somewhat an odd one, seems to be best adapted in its application to odd num bers; but if a case can be made out in which more than two Statas are interested, it assumes the aquatic form and the three States principle. In the correct application of this principle, however, you are not only compelled to take water, but what is worse, you have to stick to it. For, although, in the proper execution and principle. have to stick to it. fu!filment of this principle you may, under the power "to regulate commerce," spend a lundred millions to remove an obstructive river, you cannot spend a sixpence to get around the obstruction, although it would be just as beneficial in every possible or conceivable respect.

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—I have but a single word to any upon this subject. I know from a long acquainance with my friend from Alabama, how strict and how streaucous he is in his construction of the constitution. As far as precedent is concerned, there have been a great many more in layor of the exercise of this power than there have been in the favor of the exercise of the power to which he has alluded. There have been I believe fifty toone. It is not not precedent alone that can establish and settle a particular point, but the settle a particular point, but the properties of opinion among me placetofure, certainly must be allowed to have some influence in our determination. Whether the precedents for a bank of the United States are, in number or force, sofficient to establish its constitutionality, is a matter to be left open for future determination. I can only say, that Mr. Madison considered that opinion by giving his smertion to a law establishing a bank of the United States. And Mr. Madison was a wise and good man. But as to this power of granting the public hards it has been exercised—I believe I do not speak in exaggreration when I say—a critical properties of the properties of the

Mr. BAGBY .- Four hundred thousand.

Mr. CRITTENDEN .- Yes. Four hundred thousand acres

Mr. BAGBY .-- And all thrown away.

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—That has nothing to do with the question of power. I have myself no hesistation or doubt on the subject, lut I do not expect to be able to change the opinions of the gentleman. This proposition asks only about three tumes as much land as has been thrown away in an ineffectual attempt to make a canal in Alabama. We have made grants of public hands for the establishment of institutions in certain States. This proposition is free from the objection which might be made in those cases. Here it is upon our own land that the improvements are to be made, and they will contribute to enhance the value of the lands. This certainly affords us an object and motive for interesting ourselves. We make the great of a portion precisely upon the consideration of an improvement of the rest. We may be deceded in this expectation, but it is a reasonable one; and I have a considered in the expectation of the contribution of the rest. We may be decembered to the contribution of the rest when the contribution of the rest were contributed in the contribution of the rest when the contribution of the rest were contributed in the contribution of the rest was the rest of the rest when the rest was the rest was the rest of the rest

Mr. NILES .- The Senator from Kentucky says that grants of Mr. ALLES.—The Senator from Rentucky says that grants of this character have been made under every administration. I be-lieve the Senator is entirely mistaken; no such hill was ever intro-duced into either house until recently. According to the best of my recollection neither Jackson nor Van Buren gave any sanction my reconnection neutrel saccoon nor van Journe gave any saucrition to propositions of this kind. President Jackson attempted to limit and restrain these schemes for internal improvement, and for time he succeeded in the attempt. What is a proposition for a grant of public land, for the construction of a railway, but an attempt to use the funds of this government, in eco-operation with the state authorities, in matters of internal improvement? thing different from this in principle? Not at all. President Jacknot to the erection of original modes of communication; and President Van Buren, I am well assured, acted upon the same prin-ciple. The Senator says he has no doubt as to the constitutional question. I do not propose to argue that question now. If I were o do so, I should not expect to raise a doubt in the mind of hat honorable Senator. I believe he is so fortunate as not often that honorable Senator. I believe he is so fortunate as not often to be troubled with constitutional doubts, but I acknowledge I am often troubled with them, and they are very annoying. Some gen-tlemen, of whom the Senator from Kentucky is one, are generally free from any of these constitutional scruples, which I feel the force of almost every day They, I have no doubt, take a more liberal view of the constitution. They look not to the letter of the constitution but to results, and whatever tends to the improvement of the country, according to their doctrine, comes within the constitution somewhere. I confess that I have a more limited rule, and I have felt it to be a point of duty to take care that the onstitution is not infringed, in regard to these grants of power. We are mixing up our authority, with state authority, to accomplish what? To accomplish a work in which the State is immediately interested, and it being exclusively a State object, there eannot, constitutionally, be any joint action, or cooperation. cannot interfere with it in any way. If it be a federal object, then let us execute it. But I rose merely to remind the Senator from Kentucky, that he is mistaken when he asserts that all the administrations have sanctioned propositions of this description. I know there have been numerous grants of public lands to the States, and perhaps some of them were limited to objects of internal im provement. But the limitation amounted to nothing. The States were left at liberty to exercise their own discretion. That is a very different exercise of power. Here is a specific object for public improvement in which we conjerate, to which we contribute our agercy, to which we contribute funds. This is a very different case from a sample grant of land. In the act of 1842, providing for a general system of distribution of the public lands among the States, half a million of dollars is given to each of the States. But that act did not pass during the administration of any of those Day that act dut not pass during the administration of any of noise Presidents, who have been at all inclined to adhere to what is called a strict construction of the constitution. It arose under different auspices. I merely rose to set the Senator right, in re-gard to his statement, that all the administrations had sanctioned propositions of this kind.

Mr. BENTON.—I have no intention to consume the time of the Senate on this question. I have been long enough there to have voted for the original grant to the State of Illinois, for the purpose of connecting Lake Michigan to the Illinois river, by means of a canal. When I gave that vote, I did not expect to remain in these councils until the object intended to be accomplished, should be attained. I did not expect the good fortune of seeing the vaters of the Lake Michigan, with those of the Mississippi, in my life time. But it has been done, by the aid of a grant of land work would hardly have been undertaken, much less been neemptished. Now, however, the work has been completed, and the United States is to-day, an Island! Leaving the Gulf Mexico at New Orleans, or the Atlantic at New York, you may go as you choose, around the United States without cuching land. The result, then, of a grant of land to the State of Illinois, by Congress, has been prodigious, and even if there were no other advantageous result, than the vast facilities affinded with some acres of land, over which this government then wielded a sorne acres of land, over which this government then wielded a barren sceptre. I have no reason to regice that vote. I rejoice in it. I have not the same degree of reason to rejoice in the vote which I also gave in favor of appropriating nearly half a million to the State of Alabama, for the purpose of making a canal around the Missis gave in favor of appropriating nearly half a million to the State of Alabama, for the purpose of making a canal around the Missis gave in favor of appropriating nearly half a million to the State of Alabama, for the purpose of making a canal around the Missis gave in favor of appropriating nearly half a million to the State of Alabama, for the buried States to lat of individual edizies of the country, who ediviate it, and render it subservient to the wealth and prosperity of the State to hat of individual edizies of the country, who ediviate it, and render it subservient to the

States and the benefit of our treasury. I do not, therefore, consider any of those grants as unprofitable; but, on the contrary, I fully concur in the opinion, that a great public object is gained in the transfer of these lands from those by whom they are not cultivated, to those by whom they are not cultivated, to those by whom they are made productive.

the transfer of tuese amos from toose by whom they are not emitvaried, to those by whom they are made productive.

I shall, with great pleasure, vote for the bill before the Senate; and hope that the construction of the contemplated railroad will produce similar beneficial results to those effected by the canni. The length of the road makes no difference to me. If it he a long road it is still within the limits of the State. It might be six miles longer, and not pass beyond those limits. The State of Illinois is one of the first in the Union in point of territorial extent. It must contain nearly forty millions of acres.

Mr. BREESE-(in his seat.)-Thirty-five millions.

Mr. BENTON.—Of which a great proportion consists of public lands.

Mr. BREESE-(in his seat.)-Fifteen millions of acres.

Mr. BENTON.—Fifteen millions of aeres! It is appalling to think of it, that the people of a young State as ete purchase, with money, the whole extent of the soil! That is not the way in which any country in the world can be settled. The told state which any country in the world can be settled. The old state present who was a settled to the state of the settled to the state of the settled to th

Mr. BUTLER.—I do not know how far this subject is consected with a general system of internal improvement to which I have radical, abiding, and constitutional objections. I shall take some proper opportunity to express my opinion upon that question. I do not know that this is the proper occasion, but I am afraid there is too meb truth in the remark made by the honorable Senator from Missoui, that there is a gap in the constitution through which our money has been flowing. And there is little prospect which we have lost. I might say in regard to it will be represented by the constitution through the constitution through the constitution through the constitution through the constitution to be stored and worshipped by the Egyptians. The Wile was considered a god, and worshipped by the Egyptians. The wise states we are giving—not money, but the equivalent of money, on the ground that we have a right under the constitution to bestow our bounties where, when, and for what purpose the constitution to bestow our bounties where, when, and for what purpose me way. It if were proposed to give five millions of dollars in money, there would probably be objection raised on the ground of want of constitutional power; but it is proposed to give up four handred miles of land, extending from Chicago to Cairo, a tract our handred miles of land, extending from Chicago to Cairo, a tract our handred miles of land, extending from Chicago to Cairo, a tract our handred miles of land, extending from Chicago to Cairo, a tract our handred miles of land, extending from Chicago to Cairo, a tract which is the constitutional power in the proposed to give up four handred miles of land, extending from Chicago to Cairo, a tract our handred miles of land, extending from Chicago to Cairo, a tract which were the constitutional power in the proposed to give up four handred miles of land, extending from Chicago to Cairo, a tract which is not provided to the constitution of the proposed of the constitution of the proposed to give up four handred miles

government, for I see it is becoming utterly without limitation.

Mr. CRITTENDEN—There was one remark made by the honorable Senator that is so utterly new to use, that I cannot pass it by without notice. He says that the honorabe of the government have been tending westward. We, of the West, have been constantly arguing, that all the means of this government are expended upon the Atlantic frontier. There cannot be a greater mistake than to suppose that this government is pouring out its wealth upon the West. The prosperity of the West is not the result of the expenditures of the general government there. Where are all your ship-yards, and your custom-houses? They are not in the West, If Kentucky constitutes any portion of the West, 11 think the honorable Senator will hardly be able to show that much of the treasure of this government has been expended

Mr. BUTLER.-1 do not think that the State of South Carolina has received a dollar from this government.

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—More public money I will venture to say has been expended in the city of Charleston, first and last, than has been expended in the whole State of Kentucky. And this remark will apply generally in regard to all the western

States. It must be so naturally. Your fortresses are scattered, along the Atlantio border. Your ship-yards and custom-bouses are there; and there the public money is expended. More public money has been laid out in the building of one custom-bouse in New York than has ever been expended in the whole State of Kentucky. The Senator is entirely mistaken I do not wish the West to be supposed to be monopolizing the bounties of this government, to be drawing all the wealth in that direction. The lon's track is entirely the other way. The wealth comes from there.

Mr. CASS .- As I intend to vote for this bill, I wish to say a very few words in regard to it. The subject has been often before ated his scruples, taking the same ground that he has taken to-d sted his scruples, validing the same ground that he has taken to-day, and carcifully avaiding the grounds spon which the bill rests. This bill does no tight on the part of this government to lay out a road or to region and the part of this government to lay out a road or to region to the part of this government to lay out a road or to region and the part of the government to lay out a road or to region the the part of the government to lay out a road or to region at a not better than the part of the government to lay out a road or to region at an advantage of the part of the away to a State. As the Senator from Kentucky has said, every President has signed bills asserting the principle that these lands Frequence mas signed onlis asserting the principle (old those) along may be disposed of by the general government, without restriction as to the purpose of such disposition. We may bestow them for improving the value of the rest. What right have you to sit still and see your hands growing in value through the instrumentality. of individuals without rendering any aid in furtherance of that ob ject? It is the settlement of the lands that makes them valuable. It is the settler who converts the howling wilderness into fruitful fields. It is the unbor and the enterprise of the settler that has given you in the West a magnificent empire, and one which has arisen within so brief a period that it is almost incomprehensible. When I told the story in Europe that I had crossed the Ohio when there were scarcely twenty thousand people in that country, and that it now contained five millions, they did not laugh in my face to be sure, but they did not believe what I said. There is no parallel to be spre, but they did not believe what I said. There is no parallel in the history of man; no such splendid tribute to human industry and enterprise since the first man went out of the garden of Eden. It is not twenty-five years ago that I sat all night in a canoe at the head of the pond at Chiengo, there being no human habitation in which we could obtain shelter from the mouth of the Illinois to the mouth of the Chiengo river; and now it is one of the great highways. of travel between the northern lakes and the ocean. Sir, I hope the gentleman will put this apon its true ground, leaving out the consideration what is your duty as landbulders in a new country; a comment what is your duty as landbulders in a new country; a comment what is your duty as landbulders in a new country; a comment of the country is consideration what is your duty as landbulders in a new country; a comment of the country is consideration. try, too, which must derive its improvement from the industry and enterprise of your own population; where every stroke of the woodman's axe redounds to your advantage. The man who sits woodmans axe redunds to your autuanage. In it man wab nome down with his family in the widerness to make the man wab nome down with his family in the widerness to make goes into battle. No man who has not experienced the difficulties and dangers he so encounter can estimate them. I appeal to the Senator from Connectient to look at it in this point of view. He is from an old country where such improvements have been ready made to his hands by his great, great grandfather. Roads have been made, and bridges built for his accommodation; but he must recollect that his cotemporaries, his friends around him, his children perbaps, are going into this new country and enduring privations to make that valuable which was not so before.

Mr. NILES.—No doubt my honorable friend's construction of the constitution satisfies his own mind, but he will excuse me for saying, that I cannot permit him to construct the constitution for me. I admit there is no question of jurisdiction as to the right to dispose of the lands, but the question recurs, is the object here proposed such an one as the federal government can take under its jurisdiction. I hold that the power of the government as to the disposal of the public lands Is limited, if not in express terms, it is so by other grants of power; and those who telieve with me that this power is not an unlimited power, must feel the force of the difficulty I have suggested. I am not going to argue the question; but I must be permitted to set myself right on this point, and the proposition of the public almost in the public domain, yet if they attempt to apply it to an object with which the constitution does not authorize them to interfere, then they are exceeding the bounds of their authority, and their act is unconstitutional. I am not disposed to question the importance of this work, but I say it is one with which we have nothing to do. The Senator from Kentacky is certainly smowthat in error in regard to the money for the sale of the public lands being drawn from the west. A very small portion of it is drawn from the State where the lands ine. How can it be so, unless the citizens of the when hold not to settlers, by offering them the very beta lands for enlivation at nominal prices, instead of being a source of gain to the United States, is an expense which we incur for the purpose of hringing in population and wealth. My constituents have inacted the purpose of hringing in population and wealth. My constituents have inacted the many and the little change over and above what was necessary for the pur-

chase of their farms. I consider there is no more hardship imposed upon one State more than another; and in regard to works of internal improvement, I can refer the Senator to some quite as important the middle and eastern States within the hardship of the model of the internal improvement, I can refer the Senator to some quite as important the middle and eastern States within the middle and eastern States within the state through which it rans. I might refer him to the Eric canal, a considerable work, made by the State through which it rans. I might refer him to the extensive railrands through all the eastern States. We are glad to see our brethren of the west endeavoring to compete with us. I will not complain even if they excel us. But I thus there should be something like justice in the administration looked to for objects of this kind, then I say with the Senator r on South Carolina, that we should have some system of equality. I can see no reason why one of the old States, whose people lought for this land, and who, at least, incurred the perils and hazards common to us all in the revolution, by which this immense western domain was acquired, should not be permitted to share in some degree—if this property is to be appropriated for these purposes. But it is my to it as a permanent source of revenue. I see no hardship in this to state corporations, its estellment is accelerated F. No, sir. It can only be settled as the wants of the people—the cultivators of the soil—require it. And I think the best disposition that could be made of it, is to retain it in possession of the government until faster the better for the resources of the country, but you and not force settlement by any judgment, not even by graduation.

Mr. BUTLER.—One word to my honorable frend from Michigan. If he supposes that I entertain any feelings of sectional bigotry, he is misraken. I have a high admiration for the West, and always rejoice as much as any one, at its prosperity and advancement. For the old Atlantic States to make war upon the West, would be like the mother chiding the child. I am more disposed to consult and promote the interests of the West, than to retard them, but it strikes me as singular, that the West while it was young, asked no aid from the government, but now that it has become strong; it seeks assistance. I have no objection to the expenditure of the funds of the government for the advantage of the States, provided there be uniformity. When the property of the States, provided there be uniformity. When the property of equivalent has been given. I ask the gentleman to point out an instance in which the money of the government has been expended in improvement in the State which frepresent. But I have a high regard for the West. It is destined, I have no doubt, to fulfil the ardent anticipations that have been formed for it. My remark was, that I was indisposed to give money or land without on examination of the object for which it was to be given, and without having regard to some system that would operate with justice and equality. I shall not hestiate to vote an appropriation for myself. These are matters that admit of the opposition of myself. These are matters that a shift of the opposition of the object for which are a marray of precedents—measures berotofore insiduously brought in under one pretext or other—to govern our decisions, we shall find such precedents—encourse successive the violent of the opposition of the object of the proposition of the object of the proposition of the object of the opposition of the object of opposition of the obje

Mr. CALHOUN.—The question in this case is a very simple one. We are authorized by the constitution to dispose of the puble lands. Here is a public improvement, projected either by the State or by individuals in the State through which it will pass; and by which the value of the public lands will be enhanced. If then, it will add to the value of our lands, ought we not to contribute to it? Would we not, as individuals, thus act? This is not a novel principle. It has been acted upoo for more than twenty years. The case of the canal connecting the Illinois river with Lake Michigan is a striking one. There, alternate sections were given to make a canal, and I suppose I can appeal with confidence to the Senators from that State, whether the lands reserved to the United States were not disposed of alterwards readily?

Mr. BREESE, (in his seat.)—Thousands of acres were disposed of which would otherwise never have been sold.

Mr. CALHOUN—I have seldom given a vote the result of which gratified me more, than the vote which I gave on that or casion. I then presided in that chair which you now occupy, and the control of the case of of the

gainer. It appears to me to be an equitable arrangement, and I doubt whether, in any case, either of a canal or a railroad passing through the public lands, the United States will not be a gainer. To that extent I am prepared to go, be the road long or short; if it be long, you gain the more; if it be short, you gain the less;

and you co ontribute in proportion to your gain.

But while I approve of this principle, it seems to me upon a hasty examination of the bill, that it goes beyond the principle; and to that extent I cannot approve of it. for I put my views in this and in all similar cases upon principle, and not upon the ground of internal improvement at all, nor the power of the government to engage in such works. I observe that the bill provides that the to engage in such works. I observe that the mit provides that the such state may locate the lands elsewhere in eases where lands adjacent to the line of the proposed road have been heretofore sold or otherwise disposed of. Now, it appears to me that that is going beyond the power of a proprietor. I think that the principle had one wise disposed of. Now, it appears to me that that is going beyond the power of a proprietor. I think that the principle had better be adhered to strictly. I do not think six miles too great a breadth; but it appears to me to be ample—to be a very fair contribution on the part of the United States. There is also another difficulty which may be removed. I see that a period of filteen years is allowed for the completion of the read. This is a very long period, but I do not believe to wave for its retails have no of year exercise. but I do not object so much to it as to the absence of any restriction which will prohibit the State of Illin is from selling it out in the meantime. It is true the bill provides that if the road be not finished in fifteen years, the State shall refund the amount. Now, I object to the reestablishment of the relation of debtor and creditor, here tween the general government and the State; therefore, there tween the general government and the state; increases, there ought to be a provision, that the sales should be proportioned, 1 do not say exactly proportioned—to the progress of the work. That can be easily managed. If the Senator who has charge of the bill, will upon due reflection accord to these suggestions, I shall most cheerfully vote for the bill, otherwise, I shall find great difficulty in doing so.

Mr. DOUGLAS .- I hope the henorable Senator will allow the MT. DOUGLAS.—I nope the nonorable senator will atlow the bill to pass, as it stands. We do not propose to sell the lands until the work be completed, when of course their value will be greatly enhanced. We design to adopt the same plan which was followed in the construction of the canal.

Mr. CALHOUN .- Of course I do not wish to embarrass the bill. Let me suggest to the honorable Senator from Illinois, that if confidence be created in the successful completion of the work within a reasonable time, the attention of capitalists will be invited, and money may be borrowed on the lands.

Mr. DOUGLAS .- I would remind the honorable Senator that the work undertaken by Alabama, failed in consequence of its being left to capitalists.

Mr. BREESE.—I will state that the provisions contained in this bill are precisely the same as those of the bill making an ap-propriation for the Illinois canal. The only security we have, that the work will be done, is eion until it is completed.

Mr. BAGBY.—Before the exception was taken by the Senator from South Carolina, I had brought it to the notice of the Senator from Connecticut. But my objections he deeper. I understand the Senators from Illinois and Michigan to put this measure, which is clearly one of internal improvement, upon the ground of the pro-prietorship of the public domain being in the United States. Let as see how far this doctrine will carry us. If it is good for any-thing, it is good for everything. Do 1 understand the Senator thing, it is good for every from Michigan correctly?

Mr. CASS .- I will answer the Senator. The general government has no power to make any railroad or canal through any State; but the disposal of a portion of the public domain to raise the value of the rest is clearly within the power of this govern-

Mr. BAGBY .- The honorable Senator from Michigan is still more latitudinous in the interpretation of the constitutional powers of Congress over this subject, than the Senator from South Carolina. The Senator indulged in strains of femily all and the Senator indulged in strains of femily all and the Senator indulged in strains of femily all and the Senator indulged in strains of femily all and the Senator indulged in strains of femily all and the Senator indulged in strains of femily all and the Senator indulged in strains of femily all and the Senator indulged in strains of the Senator from South Carolina. enator indulged in strains of fervid eloquence upon the effects of this system in carrying the pladsome lights of civilization, education, intelligence, and refinement into the wilderness, thereby causing it to bud and blossom as the rose. Every school house, every church you erect, says the honorable Scnator, is directly conducive to this great end. I say so, too. But who is to erect them? Has Congreat end. I say so, too. But who is to erect them? Has Congress power to creet school houses and churches in the States? The answer must be, no. And we then house have gress power to creek senion houses and cunreness in the states? The answer must be, no. And yet they have the same power to do that, that they have to build railronds; and I do not believe the exercise of it would be half so dnagreous. The powers elaimed for this government by the Senator from Michigan are appalling to all who retain even a faint and lingering sense of the importance of a strict construction of the constitution and the rights of the States -they are unlimited and illimitable. I was also unable to discover the force of the analogy which the Senator from Michigan attempted to draw between the principle involved in the bill now betempted to draw between the principle involved in the fill now before the Senate, and the pre-cauption system. The great object of the pre-cauption is, to secure to the settler upon the public hand the benefit of his labor upon it, and a home for his family, in exclusion of all others, at a dollar and a quarter an acre. This is, in my opinion, a most landable and highly commendable policy, well worthy of the attention and most layorable consideration of Congress—a policy which, in my judgment, ought long since to have been carried much further. But the effect of this bill is, instead of securing a small tract of land to settlers at a dollar and twenty-five cents an acre, to compel them to pay two dollars and fifty cents an acre for it.

There was another view of the new States submitted by the Senator from Michigan to the country, of which I cannot at all sub-I do not consider it necessary to engage in these schemes of unconstitutional internal improvement in order to induce the active and enterprizing people of the United States to emigrate to them. They are not more places of refuge, vast howling wildernesses, where it is necessary for the government to carry the torch of civilization and improvement in advance. Not at all. It is true, they have their hardships and privations, difficulties and dangers; but they present glorious fields for the display of enterprize, ener-gy, fortitude, genius, and talent; and where these qualities are to meet with an adequate reward, of which the Senator from Michigan, if he will allow me to say, is at once an example and illustration. I. sir, emigrated to the State of Alabama at as early illustration. I, sir, emigrated to the state of Alabama at a carry an age, though without having conferred upon others half the benefits, or secured for myself half the distinction which he enjoys, and as well deserves, as did the Senator of Michigan. But sir, I have never repented, never regretted it, and never shall, look back through the lapse of years that are passed, to the scenes of privation, of hopes and expectations and excitement in the carly settlement of Alabama, without any other regret than that they can never more return. The new States and territories are the appropriate spheres for new hands, new heads, new hearts; if the young men of the rising generation would, instead of lia-gering around the scenes and the graves of their ancestors, dear as gering around the scenes and the graves of their ancestors, dear as they are to their reverence and to heir affections, to the associa-tions of youth and the recollections of childhood, by to the unoul-tivated wilds of the new States and territories, carrying with them the sound principles of religion, morality, and patriotism, include ted by parental counsel, solicitude, and affection, it would tend more to increase the true glory, and secure the permanent prac-tical productions and the production of the permanent prac-tical productions. Which are the production of the permanent prac-lation mechanisms. trading machines, which the enterprize of individuals and the un-constitutional prodigality of the government combined, will be able to construct or invent in a century to come.

Mr. CASS .- The Senator has entirely misunderstood the p Mr. CASS.—The Senator was enurely missionard the price of the public lands. To that I am totally opposed. I hope the price will be brought down. What I said was this: Whatever price will be brought down. you fix, the land is perfectly valueless until people begin to go there. Your land must remain unsold until improvements are made

Mr. BAGBY -I would ask if the object of granting land to construct this road be not to enhance the price of the lands adjoining?

Mr. CASS.—I thought I answered that question before. Without the atvantages afforded by the improved state of communication, your lands will not be entered, settlers will not go

Mr. BAGBY .- I understand; they ask for this amount of land to make the road, with the assurance that it will have the effect of carrying up the minimum price of the remaining lands to two dollars and fifty cents per acre.

Mr. CALHOUN .- If the effect of the construction of the road be only to bring the lands more readily into market so as to sell for one dollar and twenty-five cents, it is, in point of fact, an increase of value. But, I believe that the remaining sections, after alternate sections have been sold, will sell more readily for two dollars and fifty cents an acre than for one dollar and twenty-hve cents at present. It is for this reason that I am in favor of And yet the gentleman can see nothing in all this hut impure motives, disguises of expression, as if every one who differed from him must be actuated by sinister and improper views. Sir, I wish to do justice to every one. No doubt the gentleman is influenced by honest views; and he has no right to doubt the honesty of man. We are told that our system of improvement applied to inland seas is going to absorb all the means of the general government. This is a subject to which I have given some atten-tion, and I lay it down as my deliberate opinion that two hundred thousand dollars annually supplied for the Mississippi and its great navigable branches will keep them in the most complete navigable order; whilst the losses which occur annually in the present condition of these rivers cannot be less than two millions of dollars. And yet gentlemen are willing that they should remain in their present condition. As far as the valley of the Mississippi is conerned, I will say that that portion of the country has received smaller portion of the public money than any other section of the country. It will require a larger sum annually to keep up the light-house system on the coast, with the buoys and beacons, than it would to keep the Mississippi and its branches in a proper nav-gable condition. These are great inland seas. I hold it to be perfectly clear that Congress has a right under the constitution, to dispose of the public lands to the best advantage. And I shall choerfully give my vote for any measure, by which they may be so disposed of as that the greatest pecuniary benefit will accrue to the treasury.

Mr. FOOTE .- I will state that as far as I am concerned, my own mind was perfectly satisfied on this subject last winter, by the arguments of the Senator from South Curolina, and others. I have thought ever since that period, as I did before, that there were certain questions on which the brethren of the democratic party, so to speak, night well differ. I did not believe then—and up to this period I could not expect it; that any member of the democratic party would feel himself authorized to use to other members the strong language which the Scantor from Alabama has used. More especially as it might be deleterious to the interests of the State which he represents, in reference to measures of public improvement. What does he say! He quotes from Milton, comparing himself with the angel, the only faithful among the faithless. The Senate from Alabama is to be recognized as the Abdiel, the only faithful roam Alabama is to be recognized as the Abdiel, the only faithful the battements of the Senate! This language is rather strong, we have investigated the question as well as the Senator from Alabama, with the best lights that we could obtain. We have examined it, and having, consentaneously made up our minds, it does seem to me, he night have acknowledged that we were not quite so bad as the devils, and that he was somewh inferior to the angel to whom he modestly compared himself. He has thought proper to allude to certain members of this body as aspirants for the Presidency, and I certainly think his allusions were most unkind. Let, me, for a moment, show the Senator that if there is any virtue in the old adage, "that it is a bad rule that will not work body ways," he is in an aufmember of this of the one of these constitutionality of the measure—if it is true that there are aspirants for high political distinction, it follows that the Senator from Alabama, the only faithful among the littless, is alone in such an attitude before the country, that he is forbidden, honceforward and forever, to oberish the idea of advancement. I regret much to hear it; for he is one of those gentlemen, whose abilities and the hear it; for he is one of those gentlemen, whose abilities and the hear it; for he is one of these gentlemen, whose abilities and the hear it; for he is one of these

Mr. BAGBY .- I am not conscious, after all that has been said, Mr. BAGBY.—1 am not conscious, after all that has been said, of having impogned the motives of any man. Indeed, I think those who have taken the trouble to attend to my course, during the seven years I have had the honor of a sext in this body, will do me the justice to say, that I have never been so forgetful of myself, or unmindful of the respect due to others, as to violate any order of the Senate, or any rule of decorous delate. And yet, the Senator from South Carolina, [Mr. Ca.Houva,] rises with imposing gravity, and charges me with having assailed his motives; and the Senator from Mississippi, with his accustomed chivalry, and who always has a speech on hand to relieve a friend, charges me before the Senate, with having been guilty of unkindness and discourtesy towards the Senator from South Carolina, and the Senator from Michigan, and of having arraigned the whole list of Presidential candidates. Under what rule the Senators from South Carolina and Michigan appear by atterney, in the person of the Sanatarram Mississippi, it is not my province to inquire. That is a question to which I am not a party. To be convinced, Mr. President, that may thing or in any way, I had manifested a want of kindness, would indeed give me real pain. To that charge of the Senator from Mississippi, made in behalf of the Senators from Michigan from Mississippi, made in behalf of the Senators from Mischigan and South Carolina, who, all things considered, ought to be considered as able to take care of themselves, I must plead not guilty. With respect to the other count, a want of counteys, I assert entre innocency, so far as intention is involved. And although deeply impressed with a sense of my imperfections, as far as polished education and the partial mentary decorum are concerned, and even somewhat impressed with the necessity of improvement and natruction upon that subject, I must fall much lower than I stand in my own estimation, in these particulars, and judging of the capacity he has heretofore exhibited in this respect in this body, before I can be induced to avail myself of the services of the Sense. before I can be induced to avail myself of the services of the Sena-tor from Mississippi as an instructor. I am almost as clear and decided in this, as I am in regard to the constitutional principle decided in tims, as I am in regard to the constitutional principle involved in this bill. And it will require stronger symptoms of improvement in capacity to become my preceptor, than I have yet witnessed in the Senator from Mississippi, to induce me to change it. The Senator says that so far from a disposition to do me injustice, he had even thought of me for higher distinctions than those I now enjoy. This, sir, may be evidence of the Senator's partiality for me, and certainly is of the activity of his intellect, or of his imagination; for I assure him in all sincerity, that he has of his imagination, for I assure min in an success, and he was indulged thoughts of my promotion and advancement, that never entered into my head. And whilst I thank him, as I do, most sincerely, I trust he will pardon me for saying that I think he has on eerdy, I trust he will pardon me for saying that I think he has on hand already nearly as much weight as he can conveniently carry, without burdening or eneumbering himself with any concern about which the control of the control of

Mr. CALHOUN.—The Senator will permit me to say that I did not make the remark in any such connection.

Mr. BAGBY.—The connection existed, however, in my re-30TH Cong.—Ist Session—No. 72. marks to which the Scantor referred, and it is inseparable as sin and death. Without intending to arraign or impugn the motives of any one, I was glancing rapidly, and with serious apprehension, I admit, at this system of indirect internal improvement by the general government in all its hearings and ramifications in different sections of the Union, and contemplating the fearful extent to which it would probably be enried. And having got to the end of the Illinois ralicoad, my mind not being altogether as well disciplined as that of the Senator from South Carolina, I did wenture a short aquatic excursion in order to test the correctness of the ashort apartic excursion in order to test the correctness of the gard to the constitutionality of measures without being charged with arraigning the motives of Senators, I shall, indeed, consider myself most unfortunate. I participated in the views so eloquently expressed by the Senator from Missouri, who always takes an enlarged and comprehensive view, when he represented the United States as an Island under the influence of this great system of internal improvement. No one, I trust, rejoices more sincerely than I do, at the growth and prosperity of the country. I must, in justice to myself, however, as well as to others, and above all to what I consider the true construction of the federal constitution deny myself any merit in the construction of the federal constitution deny myself any merit in the construction of the federal constitution deny myself any merit in the construction of the federal constitution deny myself any merit in the construction of the federal constitution deny myself any merit in the construction of the federal constitution deny myself any merit in the construction of two relations.

Mr. FOOTE.-Will the Senator allow me to make a single remark?

Mr. BAGBY .- Certainly.

Mr. FOOTE.—I believe it will tend to adjust the difficulty. The subject of discussion being the inland sens, the Senator from Missouri claims the authorship of the project of their improvement, reaching as far back as twenty-five years ago. I presume, therefore, he must be the Senator to whom the remarks of the Senator from Alabana were applicable.

Mr. BAGBY.—Here again it is my misfortune to differ with the Senator from Mississippi. Utilike that Senator, my views in regard to the improvement of these intal season, my views in regard to the improvement of these intal season in the day of the day o

Mr. FOOTE.—I understood him as quoting the language of Milton precisely, that is, in the singular number.

Mr. BAGBY.—Here again the Senator from Mississippi misunderstood me, as he will always misunderstand me when he supposes I quote poetry in the discussion of constitutional questions I never quote poetry to aid my interpretation of the constitution never! Upon all such occasions, I leave that delightful region to the full and uninterrupted possession of the Senntor from Mississippi, than whom no one could fill it better.

Mr. FOOTE.—If the Senator from Alabama stands in need of no teaching, he stands in need of no modesty. I merely suggested, on teaching, he stands in a gently of some degree of discourtesy. But the Senator was guilty of some degree of discourtesy. But the Senator say he did not intend to single out himself. He intended in all courtesy and kinden Connective. He intended to include the Senator from Connective. He intended to have two angels. He quotes the language of poetry, but wishes to be understood as not quoting it much. When he quotes, I kope he will quote trully, or he will do injustice to one of the most classic writers that England ever produced, alone, or of he senation to say, that whether he mean to stand alone, or of he has the stands alone in separating from his party, or he stands in a would minority in party or he stands in a would minority his party, or he stands in a would minority his party, or he stands in a would minority his party, or he stands in a would minority his party, or he stands in a would minority his party, or he stands in a would minority.

Mr. CALHOUN.—The Senator from Alabama, when speaking of the enhanced price of land, certainly alluded, though with-

out intending any direct application probably, to disguised mo-

Mr. BAGBY.—I used this expression, "disguise the question as you may." This is all I intended by the expression I used.

The question being upon ordering the bill to be engrossed and read a third time, the yeas and nays were ordered, and it was determined in the affirmative, as follows:

YEAS—Messa, Allen, Atchison, Badger, Bell, Benton, Borland, Breese, Bught, Cass, Clarke, Clayton, Cuttenden, Davis, of Missistiph, Douglas, Felch, Foote, Greece, Hannegan, Hooston, Johnson, of Loumana, Mangum, Spinauce, Underwood, and Westcott—24.

NAYS-Messis. Atherton, Baghy. Butler, Calhoun, Hale, Johnson, of Georgia, Lewis, Nilea, Sturgeon, Torney, and Yulee-11. So it was

Ordered, That the bill, as amended, be engrossed and read a

The said bill was read a third time, by unanimous consent. Resolved, That this bill pass, and that the title thereof he as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# THURSDAY, MAY 4, 1848.

#### PETITIONS.

Mr. CLAYTON presented two memorials from citizens of Phiiadelphia, Pennylvania, praying that Congress may authorize the construction of a rational between that city and New York to facilitate commercial intercourse, and the transportation of the mail between those cities; which were referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Mr. CLAYTON remarked that the memorialists alloded to the fact that the statements made in their memorials had been contradicted on the floor of the Senate, and expressed their willingness to be examined touching the same before any Committee of the Senate.

Mr. HALE presented a petition of citizens of Boston, Massachusetts, praying that the privilege of the writ of habeas corpus may be extended to every inhabitant of the United States, and that it be made a penal office to hold in restraint, or to inflict punishment on any such inbahitant without due process of law; the unction to receive which was laid upon the table.

Mr. BENTON presented four petitions of settlers on, and claimants to, the reservation of land in the county of Lee, in Iowa, praying the enactment of a law to quiet their titles; which were referred to the Committee on Private Land Claims.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Lonisians, presented resolutions passed by the Legislature of that State, in favor of the establishment of mail routes from Natchitoches to Shreveport; from Natchitoches to Vermon; from Minden to Shreveport; from Vermon to Simpson's store; and from Harrisburg to Athens, in that State; which were referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Mr. YULEE presented a communication from entirens of Florida, addressed to the Senators and Representatives of that State in Congress, requesting them to use their efforts to procure the establishment of a mail, by steamboat, from Charleston, South Calina, to Key West, and an appropriation for a light-house and buoys at Musquito Inlet in that State; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Pest Roads.

Mr. LEWIS presented the petition of Henry W. Paine, praying that Congress will authorize the construction of one or more steamships, under his superindence, according to an improved plan of which he is the inventor; which was referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

Mr. WESTCOTT presented a presentment of the grand jury of the counties of Orange and St. Lucie, in Florida, in favor of granting additional mail facilities to the inhabitants of those counties; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

DIFLOMATIC SERVICES OF COMMODORE BIDDLE.

Mr. STURGEON submitted the following resolution, which was

considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved, That the Committee on Foreign Relations be instructed to inquire into the expediency of granting comprovation to Commodore James Buldle for the diplomatic services which he has rendered as acting Commissioner to China.

## POST ROUTES IN LOUISIANA

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

tion, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:
Resteel. That the Committee on the Part Office of DPAR Read be instanced to
inquie mint the expediency of establishing in the State of Louisena, the following
and norts, to wit: A sain tracte from Natchifuches to Shreeyont, along the bank of
Jackson, passing are from Natchifuches to Shreeyont, and the test of Josephon,
passing are from Natchifuches and the State of Louisena, the result yest of the parish
theace by the most convenient under to Vennon, a ross route from Mintee, by the
way of Bossier Point and Helievien, to Shreeyont, and to be extended to Vennon, a set
a 10 part broade, the Indian ultiple, and to Simposi's store on the Chibmer road in
a 10 part broade the Indian ultiple, and to Simposi's store on the Chibmer road in
directly though what is generally known as the Funcher and Rigor estimenest in and
painh, flucion on the most public route to the town of Vennon in the grain of Jackson, between of Aleria in the parish of Chibmer.

CORRESPONDENCE WITH THE REPRESENTATIVE OF YUCATAN.

Mr. CALHOUN submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to:

Resolved, That the President of the United States be requested to communicate to the Senate all the correspondence between the Secretary of State and Don Justo Sierre, the representative of the government of Yacatso, if not inconsistent with the public interest.

### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

Mr. President: The President of the United States approved and signed, the 3d in stant; the carollad bill entitled "An act to change the name of Photius Kavanales to Photius Fak."

#### PUBLIC ARCHIVES OF FLORIDA.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. WESTCOTT asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill respecting the public archives in Florida; which was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

#### PRIVATE BILLS

Mr. BADGER, from the Committee on Naval Affairs, to whom was referred the memorial of F. Montmolin, praying an amendment to the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of David Myerle, submitted a verbal report asking that the Committee be discharged from the further consideration of the memorial, and recommending the passage of the bill without amend-

The report was concurred in, and the committee discharged accordingly.

Mr. PHELPS, from the Committee on Pensions, to whom was referred the memorial of Elizabeth Monroe, reported a bill granting a pension to Elizabeth Monroe; which was read and passed to the second reading.

#### WABASH AND ERIE CANAL.

Mr. BREESE, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives, entitled 'An act in addition to an act therein mentioned, "reported it without amendment; and asked the unanimons consent of the Senate to its immediate consideration.

No objection being made, the Senate proceeded to consider said bill, as in Committee of the whole, and no amendment being made it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it pass to a third reading.

Mr. BAGBY objected to the third reading of the bill at this time, but subsequently withdrew his objection, and

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved, That this bill pass

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

#### RETIRING LIST FOR THE ARMY.

On motion by Mr. DAVIS, of Missississippi, the prior orders were postponed and the bill to increase the efficiency of the army, by a retired list for disabled officers, was read the second time and considered as in Committee of the Whole.

Mr. CLAYTON remarked that this was a very important bill, and he hoped the vete would not be pressed upon it at this late hour, without time for an examination of the subject.

On motion by Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, it was

Ordered, That the further consideration of the bill be postponed to, and made the order of the day, for Monday next.

#### NOTICES OF BILLS.

Mr. ALLEN gave notice that on to-morrow, or some early day thereafter, he will ask leave to introduce a bill, further to extent the time for locating Virginia Military Lands Warrents, etc.

Mr. ATCHISON gave notice that on to-morrow, or some early day thereafter, he will ask leave to introduce a bill to grant to the State of Missouri the right of way, and a donation of public land for the purpose of making a railroad in that State.

## TEMPORARY OCCUPATION OF YUCATAN.

Mr. HANNEGAN, from the Committee on Fereign Relations, to whom was referred the message of the President of the United States on the subject, reported a bill to enable the President of the United States to take temporary military occupation of Yucatan, which was read and passed to the second reading.

Mr. HANNEGAN asked the unanimous consent of the Senate to the second reading of the bill at this time, not with a view to immediate action, but that it might be made the special order for to-morrow, at one o'clock.

No objection being made the bill was read the second time, and is as follows:

An Act to enable the President of the United States to take temporary military occupation of Yucatas.

Sec. 1. Be it enacted, &c., That the President of the United States be and he is bereby authorized to take temporary multirary occupation of Yacatan, and to employ the sumy and any of the United States to assist the people of Yacatan in repelling the incursions of the Indian savages now overnaming and devastating that country.

Sec. 2. And be it further enacted. That the President be and he is hereby authorized and empowered to family, on such terms and conditions as he may deem propert to the white papilstation of Yustahu, such arms, amounting, ordinates, and other to the white papilstation of Yustahu, such arms, amounting, ordinates, and other distances waged against them, and to restore peace and security to their country.

Sec. 3. And be it further nearted. That the President he and he is hereby authorized and empowered to accept the services of an epoil number of volunteer troops to the act, provided that services with a required the services with a man to he raised for services via mig the wax with Mexico, agreeably to the previsions of the acts of May 13, 1816, and Mirch 3, 1826.

Mr. HANNEGAN .- I move that this subject he made the special order for one o'clock to-morrow.

Mr. BADGER .- I hope not. It is too soon.

The question was put, and decided in the affirmative.

Mr. BADGER asked for the year and nays.

Mr. CALHOUN.—I am in favor of deferring the consideration of the subject for a few days. The bill was only laid upon the ta-

Mr. BADGER-(in his seat.)-To-day-it has just been re-Mr. CALHOUN .- It seems to me there is a necessity for ad-

ditional information. I hope the Senator will name another day Mr. BADGER-(in his seat.)-Let it be Monday next

Mr. HANNEGAN .- I have no disposition to press unnecessarily this or any other measure. But, sir, this is an extraordinary exigency, such as has never, perhaps, before presented itself. I exageuey, such as nas hever, jornings, ocore presented itself. If we set at all—I we be sincere in our profession of sympathy for wallet to them. A day may involve their existence—the existence of every living being with white blood in its veins within the territory of Yucatan. For this reason alone, and because every Senator is a study living possession of the facts now as he could be next week, I am anxious that the message discloses an the mass be entered upon at once. The message discloses an the mass been before the correspondence has been before France Sonator has had a factor of the correspondence of week, I am anxious that the consideration of this measure should The message discloses all the facts neobsastly to our action. The correspondence has been before us with the message for several days. Every Sonator has had a full opportunity to judge of the merits of the whole question. These are the considerations, sir, which influence me in moving so early

Mr. CALHOUN.—It may be, and I presume it is the case, that this is a great exigency. But I do hope that this Senate is not to be forced on every occasion to act upon an emergency. not to be force of the very excession or act upon a mergency. From looking over the corrective that even before the 7th of March last, the administration was fully apprized of the state of affliars, it fuecata. They have taken their time to deliberate, and sposify a few days may be allowed to the Senate. I have no disposition to occasion any unnecessary delay whatever. I am willing that Monday next should be the day fixed for the consideration of the subject.

Mr. HANNEGAN .- I may state that I have seen letters from MI. HANNEGAN.—I may state that I have seen letters from some of our naval officers in that vicinity—describing the present dreadful state of affairs. Lieut. Murray Mason states the fact that the beach is darkened with women and children naked and perishing from hunger. They have not sufficient means to feed them. Commodore Perry's letter is almost as strong. Mr. Mu-son is stationed there. Our naval force has not the means of aifording food and shelter to the numbers that are flocking to the coast. Every hour they are expecting the assault of the merci-less savages who according to their well known rules of warfare, butcher without regard to age or sex.

Mr. CASS .- It appears to me that this is one of these things Mr. CASS.—It appears to me tout this is one or these similar which to be well done, should be done speedily. The appeal which is made to us is very strong. Providence has placed us in some measure at the head of the republics of this continent, and there never has been a better opportunity offered to any nation to fulfil the high duty confided to it than the present alfords to us. I see no reason for delay. I believe the feeling of the country is altogether in layor of the adoption of the measures which have been ommended. I do not believe that there is a Senator presentrecommended. I do not believe that the mind—but is strongly inclined to vote either for or against the measure, and to whom any farther information would not be at all necessary. If we have any disposition to aid these people, it should be, as my honorable friend from Indiana has said, manifest at once by decisive action. It is a ease of crying necessity. I think the last accounts left the Indians hesicging the capital of the country. It is a war of extermination. It is precisely one of these wars in which a nation ought to engage on the side of humanity.

Mr. HALE .- I would enquire of the chair, whether may a swer has been received to the resolution of enquiry submitted by the honorable Senator from Alabama, passed some four weeks since, calling for the propositions submitted by various States of the Mexican Republic !

The PRESIDING OFFICER.—The chair is under the impression, that an answer has not been received.

Mr. HALE .- I submitted an ameadment to that resolution which was accepted by the mover of it.

Mr. HANNEGAN .- Yucatan was not amongst the States to which the resolution referred.

Mr. HALE .- I desired to include Yucatan, and for that pur Arr. HALE.—I desired to include Yucatan, and for that pur pose offered my amendment, which I have remarked was accepted by the honorable gentleman from Alabama. I should be very happy to be in possession of the information for which a call was then made.

Mr. FOOTE.-I must confess that I feel greatly surprised at the attempt made on the present occasion to prograstinate our action.

Mr. CALHOUN.-Does the Senator mean to charge me with a design to delay the action of the body!

Mr. FOOTE .- I know precisely what I intend to state. understand that the Senate at the present time—so far as the fact can be ascertained upon a superficial examination of the body, and from the indications all around—is divided into two classes, one of which is for immediate action, that speedy action which has been so judiciously advised by the Senators from Michigan and Indiana; and the other—I hope it is composed of a very small number, is in favor of delay. To the former class I belong, And I was about to express the surprise, amounting to a most profound sentiment in any bosom, that there should be the least death in any using with reference to the recognitive of seasons are stated. from the indications all around-is divided into two classes profound sentiment in my bosom, that there should be the load doubt in any mind with reference to the propriety of speedy action upon a question of so much importance, and seriously involving the oner and welfare of this nation. I am particularly surprised—I say it with the most perfect respect—that this suggestion of delay should come from the quarter whence it emanates. The Senator from South Carolina the other day, with more than his usual impetuosity I trust, certainly not with all that deliberation and formal gravity which ordinarily characterize his course in this body, when the President's message was received, arose, and apbody, when the Fresident's message was received, arose, and appearently with much excitement, avowed his bostility to the recommendations of the Executive. He indulged in language of recrimination and censure. He was prepared then to take the question at the first hop—to enter at once upon it, and he made a speech somewhat extended, quite ingenious, and decidedly unkind towards the administration-in reference to the message and the recommendations which it contained. All this the Senator did on the spur of the moment. Now, however, so far as we have reason to know anything on the subject, or form any conjecture, I believe I may say, with the utmost respect for the Senator from South Carolina, that he regrets the precipitation of his conduct on the occasion to which I have alluded. Now, he is not ready to proceed. He was to which I have alluded. Now, he is not ready to proceed. He was ready to declare his opinions at once upon the message and the views contained in it, and he did express them at some length in a speech which is now circulating far and wide throughout the re-public, has even gone beyond our shores, and is well calcu-lated to produce the most injurious impression. I believe that that speech has been already detrimental to the cause which now demands our consideration. I believe that already some error has been diffused, and some prejudice excited by the remarks of the hoursaftle Senter. Whi is it to have the Source's sour own. honorable Senator. Why is it, then, that the Senator is not now prepared to go on? Why is it that he desires this bill to lie upon ur table, for wnat length of time I do not know? he asks for delay on a question on which, when first presented, he was prepared to pronounce his opinion, and to utter a most fierce vindictive philippic against the administration for daring to send that document here ? We hear in various high quarters denunciations of this adminis-

ation for its tardiness in regard to this matter. It has been accus ed of disregarding the most important interests of the country, and in other quarters equally influential and respectable, we hear much complaint, that an attempt has been made improperly to hurry the action of this body in relation to this subject. Well, sir. I believe the Senate is now prepared to act upon this matter, and to act in opposition to the views of the Senator from South Carolina. Every intelligent Senator must have made up his mind, to some extent at least, in regard to the simple proposition contained in the bill. We must all feel it to be painfully true that instead of our being over hasty in this body, we are in general, most shamefully tardy in our legislation; and our tardiness seems unfor-tunately, to be proportioned to the magnitude of the matter on which we are called to deliberate, and the pressure of the exigency in which it is presented. When we were invited to adont resolutions of sympathy with the people of France, the Senator from South Carolina and a few others, were not at all prepared to cooperate with us. The action proposed was too quie He wished time to deliberate—to consider the matter—to ascertain whether the new government of France was likely to succeed. Happily for the honor of the country, and the cause of freedom, we have, by a majority of this body, evinced our readiness to proceed with that promptitude, which such an occasion demanded. So it was in regard to the various measures connected with this war. Frequent attempts were made to postpone our with this war. Frequent attempts were made to pospone our action. When the army was known to be in a state of real suffering and surrounded with perils, there were those who conselled and endeavored to prener delay. The Senator from South Carolina is mistaken when he supposes that there is any particular the state of the state o lar body of men here who always raise an emergency known no emergency to arise here that was not real and substan known no energency to arise here that was not real and substan-tial. I have known no attempt made to quicken the legislation of this body unduly. In the case now before the Senator, the Senator from Indiana, who is, as I understand, thoroughly nequainted with the subject, has presented reasons which should induce us at once to unite with him in giving immediate consideration to this grave and important matter. I can conceive no reason for delay. Indeed,

no reason has been given, except that the case is one of grave importance, of extraordinary magnitude, and that, therefore, it would be improper to proceed too rapidly to act upon it. I think the Senator from South Carolina might be prepared to act, at all events, to-morrow. He was prepared a few days since to condemn, in the strongest manner—whether justly or not will be seen becafter—the recommendations contained in the message. I do hope that the Senate will not delay its consideration of the measure proposed.

Mr. ALHOUN.—I did not know that the message had been primed, and had upon our desks till this murning. I have east my eyes over it however and I see green and the set of the peace and welfare of this country are of any value, the recommendations presented in this message call for the most deliberate and cautions consideration. There is, indeed, a great deal upon the score of mannity to excite our attention to this subject. I believe there is a wretched state of things in Yueatan; whether we can relieve its or not is another question. The Executive have not been in any hurry in this business. If the Senator from Mississippi will look to the document which has been laid upon our desks, he will find that the letter from Mr. Sierra of the 7th March complains that he land written previous notes to the Secretary of State, to which he had received no answer; and these notes gave an account of the atrovities perpetrated in Nearatan, as foreity and fully as any subsequent of the secretary of the complains that the complains that the complains that the secretary of the complains that the first of the complains that the latter of the complains that the first of the complains that the first of the complains that the complains that the first of the complains that the complains that the first of the complains that the first of the complains that the complains the complains that the complains the complains the complains that the complains the complains the complains that the complains that the complains that the complains the complains the compla

of compleation and difficulty.

Now, I am not to be intimidated. I know how to discharge my duty here, whether alone or in company. My duty I know, and I cannot be diven from it. We were one urgd into a war, precipitately and hurriedly, for which we have paid dearly. I trust we shall not be driven into another war, and perhaps a more complicated one, in the same rash and precipitate manner. I desire delay, because it appears to me to be necessary, in order that we may obtain all the information. Many notes which have passed between Mr. Sierra and the Secretary of State have no been publicated in the second of the secretary of State have no been publicated in the second of the sec

Mr. POOTE.—I regret very much to bear the Senator talk about attempts to intimidate. I understand this sort of language very well. I have heard it before. I trust I am one of the last persons who would attempt to use the language of intimidation any where. The respect which I entertain for the honorable Senator from South Carolina, who is a gentleman of known moral and physical courage, inflexible will, and the utmost fearlessness of character, prevents the supposition that I could be so silly as to attempt, on any occasion in this body, to use arts of intimidation in reference to him. I do trust that there was nothing in my man ner or in my language to authorize such an idea. It is true I did express myself in the language of surprise and regret. I did say that I thought that the Senator from South Carolina when so ready the other day to denounce this message, might have been equally prepared now to decide upon precisely the same subject as that emberaced in it.

Mr. CALHOUN—If the honorable Senator will give way for a mement will state by way of explanation, that I expressed my regret that the President should mix up a question of pure humanity, with high political considerations, connected with the general policy of the country.

Mr. FOOTE.—I suggested to the Senator after he had made his remarks the other day, that an attentive examination of the message might convince him that he had misunderstood the document. I can satisfied that such will be the fact. I was urging, and I still urget, that if the Senator was prepared the other day to discuss the merits of the message, he must be equally well prevention of the message, he must be equally well prevention of the message, he must be equally well prevention of the merits of the

upon a man of exalted intellect, unwavering patriotism, inflexible temper, who, in obedience to a call of a former Executive of this nation, left his quiet home in South Carolina to come to the succor ton, sort memors and manufactured and the content of the succession of the government, at that time much perplexed by the concerns which occupied its consideration; and the application for whose was, as I have understood, unanimously sanctioned by this body.—"He came, he saw, he conquered!" He arrived in Washington. He assumed charge of the duties of the State department. He concerns the concerns of the state of the State department. assumed charge of the datues of the State department. He conducted the movement of Texan annexation to its conclusion. It was the most signal act of his life. He performed it holdly—fearlessly—in defiance of menaces from various quarters. It had been predicted before that event, as it was afterwards, by various distinguished. before that event, as it was afterwards, by various distinguished individuals, that Texan annexation would lead to war. The Seator from South Carolina was bound to perceive that there was much danger that these predictions would be fulfilled. The Mexican minister had openly proclaimed that Texan annexation would be recognized in Mexico, a just cause of war. The Sentor from South Carolina, with his keen perception, might almost have anticipated with octainty, that the act would "be those the dogs of war," in Mexico. But with that boldness of character which belongs to him, and with none of that timidity which it seems be supposes I have suspected him to possess, he dared to do what he believed was necessary for the honor of the country and the safebelieved was necessary for the honor of the country, and the safe-trof the South. I speak of a delicate matter, but I wish to speak frankly. He regarded it also, as an act of justice to our brethren in Texas. The measure was accomplished, and war followed began the Mexican war—the wretched war of which the Senator from South Carolina spoke the other day. The war which has eost so many lives, and such a large expenditure of treasure; but cors so many rives, and solar a targe expenditure or treasure; as, it as we are all the entering the fell, met a glorious death. Texan annexation, then, was in part, the cause of this war; but it was a righteous war, and one into which the administration was justified in entering. But the administration did nothing more than sanction what had been done by its predecessors. We inherited the war from the administration, of which the Senator from South Caroline was the writering member. In the State are which belower. Carolina was the principal member. In the State to which I belong, humble as I am, I have ever been the advocate of the policy of the honorable gentleman from South Carolina, with regard to Texan anhonorable gentleman from South Carolina, with regard to Texan an-nexation. I passed through the State from one end to the other, vn-dieating that act, as the act of the Senator from South Carolina. I endeavored to repel the dennuciations which had been attered against him in various quarters, even in this body. His friends everywhere gloried in that measure, and omitted no opportunity of defending him against the ill-will and aspersions, which it provoked against him. And, when the war occurred, what course did his friends throughout the Union pursue? Did they endeavor to shuf-fle themselves out of the responsibility of the next? Now-they holdly avowed that to him and them belonged the glory and the responsi-bility of the measure. We had a right to anawa Texas, but we were bility of the measure. We had a right to annex Texas, but we were bound to suppose that it was at least probable, that nanexution would lead to war. It did lead to war. But the Senator bound to sappose that it was at least probable, that anaexation would lead to war. It did lead to war. But the Senator from South Carolina says, that the war has been brought on by this administration, and that from it, they should have learned a signal fesson, and he warned against the danger of precipitate conduct in future. Now I believe, that in this body, among those conducts of the war, there are the conduct of the reference to the least of the war, there are the conduct of the reference to the law soil that it was Texan annexation; others, the movement of the army to the Rio Grande; and others again have said that I have endeavored to maintain, that it was the act of Mexico—however instigated, that brought on the war. I believe that the Senator from South Carolina, has insisted that the movement to the Rio Grande, for which he holds the administration responsible, brongth on the war, and that those engaged in the annexation of Texas are not to be held responsible. It will hardly do to urge this view of the subject very stremously now, inasmuch as it begins to be understood that General Taylor claims the responsibility in part of this movement of the army. He saw the danger begins to be understood that General Taylor claims the responsi-bility in part of this movement of the army. He saw the danger of an immediate invasion of our soil; he beheld a strong concen-tration of hostile forces on our border; he almost heard the fierce threatenings of the foe; and he asked the consent of the govern-ment to be allowed to defend his country in the only mode deemed by him possible. I pronounced in this chamber several months since, without the least personal acquaintance with Gen. Taylor, that it was impossible that he would be mean win Gen's Hypothesis in the state of t that it was impossible that he would be mean enough to den dared to do an act that we were bound to do, and by a failure in the accomplishment of which, the national character would have been disgraced. The Seantor from South Carolina dared to do his duty, and the country gave him full credit for it. I histist that it is too late for him to attempt to avoid the just responsibility of his own

noble conduct; and I hope he will not be offended at my saying so. I intend no intimidation—I mean no discourtesy. speak warmly, but frankly and sincerely. Useful as has bee his life, brilliant as have been his achievements, notwithstanding the extraordinary intellectual power which he has always display ed, and the reputation for purity, not only unsullied, but beyond question in every quarter of the globe, it is his misfortune—if I may call it so—justiy or unjustly—to have been often charged with originating measures and not afterwards standing op fully to those measures. sures in all their consequences. I do not undertake to prefer this charge. He has often vindicated bimself against it, but not always satisfactorily to all. Some have said, and he will permit me to say it, that he is responsible as a former advocate of a national bank. Yet, surely, he does not now admit any responsibility on this bank. Yet, surely, he does not now admit any responsibility on this subject. Again, at different times he has been necused, by various individuals, on such evidence as appeared to be satisfactory to them—of being the father of the protective system; but at the present time he would not think of assuming any reout at the present time he would not time of assistanting any re-sponsibility upon that score. His friends, at different times, have sponsible to the state of the score of the score of the score of favor of various other measures, which I need not now name— among them internal improvements—to which he afterwards man-ifested hostility. It has been even said that he is actually the father of the whole system of internal improvement, which once overshadowed the country, and as we believed, seriously menaced the wel-fare and freedom of its citizens. Yet, I believe that he has not been known lately to assume any responsibility upon that subject. I do not say that these charges are just; but I insist upon it, that the Senator so surrounded by circumstances of this character, that he is so stationauctry circumstances of this fear-address, that he is bound to be a little more circumspect than other individuals, else men will get into the halat of saying, that the Senator from South Carolian originates wast projects for the advancement of his country's prospecty and his own personal fame; and that yet, when their remote effects turn out to be direstrous, he is found denying all responsibility or account of those acts, and easting it upon those who have had the good fortune to be clevated by the suffrages of their fellow-citizens to high situations, and whose duty it is simply to take care, so far as they can, to guard the coun-It is simply to take ears, so that as they can, to guard the country against those consequences which have inevitably followed the adoption of the measures proposed by him.

So it is with this war. I insist that every man who has fallen

So it is with this war. I lissist that every man who has fallen in Mexico. if murdered, cas has been strongly suggested by the honorable Senator, in consequence of the improdence and folly of this administration, would—if he could arise from the grave and assume the attributes with which the bard of Aron has invested the dramatic Banquo—come to the bedside of the Senator from South Carolina in the stillness of the night, and say to him—

"Thou art my murderer"."

Nor could the Scnator respond in the words of Macbeth:

"Shake not thy gory locks at me-Thou caust not say I did it."

Yes every million of money expended in this war, which the Senator from South Carolina deems so unjust, so wretched in every point of view, is, for the reason I have given, justly chargeable upon him more than upon any man in this nation. Let the Senator, then nobly assume the responsibility of his acts. He has received credit for them, and he will breezie will more credit for ways insposited with him, and defend the most noble achievement of his life instead of burdening others with the tusk of vindienting it.

dienting it.

In relation to this particular matter, I am sure that the Senator must be satisfied that the occasion is urgent. There is ground for must be satisfied that the occasion is urgent. There is ground for the most serious apprehension, in consequence of delay. The Senator from South Carolina is bound to sustain us in every thing serious control of the serious companion of the serious control of the serious companion of him. I be that the serious aright to complain of him. I be that we have a right to complain of him. I be that the serious control of the serio

Mr. President, I cannot bring these remarks to a close without expressing the deep regret which I feel that the course of the distinguished Senator from South Carolna should have not only justified the strictures in which I have muldiged, but have made it indispensable to a just appreciation of the administration in power that the whole truth about the origin of the war should be at last plainly told. I lament that I have been felt compelled in addition to declare that this administration has much reason to complain of the declare that this administration has much reason to complain of the since its career of authority commenced. Never, I believe, has the Senator from South Carolina been known to defend this administra-

tion, or to commend it even in terms of the most moderate landa-He has seen it surrounded with difficulties from the beginning, the most serious of which had been entailed upon it by ning, the most serious or which and been entailed upon it by the administration which had preceded it; jet has seen arrayed against it continually, many of the ablest and most influential politicals in the country, whose energetic and steadfast hostility had been evidently called into action chiefly by that extraordinary devoting manifested on all occasions by this administration for those great and invaluable principles of democratic policy, to the maintenance of which the Senator from South Carolina owes so large a share of that enduring fame which he has acquired as a statesman. He saw this administration, in the very outset of its career, boldly place itself upon the very ground that had been proudly occupied by the enlightened and high souled statesmen of South Carolina for years before; he saw free trade principles asserted and suc-cessfully maintained by it, in all their umplitude, for the first time in our annals. He saw his favorite independent treasury system adopted and enforced also. He beheld a gigantic scheme of internal improvement, so dangerous to the rights of the States and the freedom of the citizen, prostrated by the fearless exercise of the veto power, that truly conservative leature of our system, for the retention of which in the constitution of the republic, and its triumphant vindication in this body on a memorable occasion, the country owes such a debt of gratitude to the Senator from South Carolina humself. He saw the country engaged in a troublesoma and expensive war—calumniated, denounced, belied by thousands in every form which malignant opposition could assume; and yet the Senator from South Carolina has either been found in the Senate room speaking and voting against this administration, or giving a cold and reluctant support to its measures. When did he withhold censure, when any pretext, even merely plausible, was afforded to him? How many speeches designed and calculawas allorded to him? How many specieles designed and calcula-ted to bring the administration into discredit, and to counteract its favorite measures of policy, have we not all heard from that Schator in the last year or two? How often have we not seen him surrounded by distinguished members of this body, avowed opponents of the administration, from whose lips he was receiving the language of warm congratulation and gratitude for the effithe infigurage of warm congratuation and grantess for the cur-cient service which he had just rendered to their cause! Sir, how are we to account for the conduct of the bonorable Senatur from South Carolina? What are we to think of it? How shall we characterize it? To what mysterious motives are we to attribute it? I am willing to let others, or the Senator himself, attempt the full elucidation of these points; being content for the present, with having suggested them, and by doing so, to have administered a seasonable warning to democrats every where no longer to rely upon the Senator from South Carolina for the maintenance of their principles in the only manner in which they can ever be maintained—by sustaining those who, amidst the most fearful re-sponsibilities which any administration in this country has ever had to encounter, have never wavered for an instant in their supnad to encounter, may enever waveful or a meaning in their sup-port; an administration, whose whole career has been marked with the most distinguished success both abroad and at home— and which is destined, as I confidently believe, to descend to pos-terity with as much of true glory as any which has ever been entrusted with power in any age or country under the sun.

Mr. CALHOUN.—I certainly had no expectation when I asked further delay of a few days in relation to this subject, that it would give rise to so long a debate. I am sure the Senate will not except the to undertake to repel the various charges of the Senator from Mississippi, old as well as new. I rise to make only a very few remarks upon a general subject with which these charges have been connected. In the first place, I have given this administration as full and complete support upon all occasions as my conscience would permit. There has not been a single measure of theirs, to which I could give my support, to which that support has not been given. I yielded to the administration upon the question of the tarifi, though the modification of it did not entirely soft may be upon the treaty, upon all the measure of preparation. I have deal with this administration as I have given in administration, whether freendly or ungreas. I have given no administration, whether freendly or ungreas. I have given no administration, whether freendly or ungreas. I have given no administration, whether freendly or ungreas. I have given no administration, whether freendly or ungreas. I have given no administration, whether freendly or ungreas. I have given no administration all occasions. I think it proper, also, to make a few remarks relative to the annexation of necessity, undispensable at the time, and will be so considered hereafter. But we full took ground, Mr. Polic and whole of necessity, undispensable at the time, and will be so considered hereafter. But we full took ground, Mr. Polic and the whole of necessity, undispensable are necessarily involving war, that we had recognized Fexas, that we had a right to annex her, and thus measured upon that ground will be so considered hereafter. But we full took ground, Mr. Polic and the whole of the expective proper and the ground will be so considered hereafter. But we full took ground, Mr. Polic and the whole of its this war with Mexico given the ground of the proper deal of the gi

Mr. FOOTE.—If the honorable Senator will allow me a moment, I will inform him that I said that war did not necessarily grow out of annexation; but that af the time annexation was eflected there were circumstances which I enumerated, and of which the Senator from South Carolian must have been coguizant, which excited the apprehension, that if annexation took place war must follow.

Mr. CALHOUN.—The Senator must permit me to actupon my judgment; whether I owe a debt of gratitude to Mr. Polk or not; whether I am bound to support this war because the author of it, must be decided according to that judgment. I dony that the war necessarily grew out of annexation. On the contrary, I speak with the full knowledge of the circumstances connected with the subject, when I say, that in my opinion the war could have been avoided by using ordinary discretion. I go farther. In my opinion it required a great deal of mismanagement to make the war. I was about to say, it required something like ingenuity, but that the supersystem of the supersy would be improper. I do not believe that the administration would be improper. I do not believe that the administration in-tended war; it would be a serious charge to say they intended war. It would be an impeachable offence to say they intended war, when they ordered General Taylor to the Rio Grande. But I ever believed that the movement could not be made without pro-ducing war. Can any man doubt it? They were told it would produce war. Amongst those with whom I conversed on this sub-ical there was war kits it diversaries from the conversed on this subproduce war. Amongst mose with whom I conversed on this sub-geet there was very little difference of opinion; and that was known to be my opinion from the first. With the view of preventing war I stated that opinion to the President. The gentleman entirely mistakes my course with regard to the administration in speaking— not as an organ but as one articularly attached to it—when he charges not as an organ but as one ardently attached to it—when he charges me with being its enemy, and as having come here to assail it. I took my seat here with great reluctance, and was rather compelled to come than otherwise; but believing I might be able to do something to avert a war with respect to Oregon I acame; with the most leading desire to co-operate with the President; and no man knows it better than he does. I held frequent conversations with him in the kindest innancer, and never speke an amblind word with regard to the administration courses show a complex of the sailed in this body. So far from being the assailed in this body. So far from being the assailed to the body So far from being the assailed to the body So far from being the assailed to the sailed the sailed to the sailed the sailed to the sailed the unkind word with regard to the administration except when asbe mistaken it is because I am henestly mistaken.

Mr. HALE said he desired to express great gratification at the MI. HALD said no desired to express great gratification at the occurrence of this debate. The causes and origin of the war had been discussed frequently in his own and the neighboring States. Indeed this was the great question of the age; and however nuch matter of glorification gentlemen might find in it, it was one which would stamp itself in indelible characters upon the history of the time. He did not say what the judgment of posterity might be, though he had his own convictions with regard to it, to which he had given expression on a former day; and which became which he had given expression on a former day; and which became daily deeper and stronger. But he desired to state the reason why he felt gratified at the debate. Whenever he had alleged that the war had grown out of annexation, and that the measure itself had been effected for the purpose of extending slavery, he had been accused of saying that which was not true. He was glad that he could now appeal to one who could not be considered a partial witness, for proof of the allegation that this war had grown out of the annexation of Texas, and that the object of that measure was the protection of the interests of the South.

Mr. FOOTE .- I might have said that out of anocxation neces-Mr. FOOTE.—I might have said that out of annoexation necessarily grew the Mexican war; and that I was perfectly willing to meet the responsibility of that statement. But I was more cautions than the Senator seems to suppose. I said that there ware circumstances at that time which I enumerated that authorized the opinion, which was entertained in various quarters that war would be produced by annexation. I believe that annexation was the remote cause of war.

Mr. HALE said he was happy to hear the explanations of the honorable gentleman, as he did not wish to misapprehend his position. He hoped that the attention of the country would be called to the dehate, and he thought that cut of doors it would excite axily more interest than it had awakened in that chamber. Bewastly more interest than it had awakened in that chamber. Be-fore the interruption of the honorable Sonter he had remarked that he would call to the stand, one who would not be considered a partial witness, and ask him to testify moder the responsibility of his senatorial oath and declare that this war had grown, not necessarily, but simply grown cut of annexation. If he under-stood the Senator from Missussippi, he said that if there was any man in the whole country that should have sustained the war, it may be a supplied to the supplied of the supplied of the senator from left annexation. And butther the limit of the supplied of the annexation was necessary for the protection of the interest of the South. He wished to know if the Senator was now perfectly un-derstood?

Mr. FOOTE.—My remarks were hastily made; and, perhaps, I enumerated some circumstances which the Senator has not thought proper to notice. I alladed to prognestications in 1844, with respect to the results of annexation. And amongst them, I

had reference to a speech made by a distinguished Kentucky states-men at the city of the Oaks, in North Carolina, which contained such predictions, predictions which were frequently repeated in certain other high quaters among the whigs, and possibly among other persons calling themselves Liberty men in New England, the Carolina of the Carolina of the Carolina of the Carolina of the theorem of the Carolina of the Carolina of the Carolina of the and, perhaps, to French influence. Giorni disease; to British and, perhaps, to French influence. Giorni of the Carolina of the were certainly opposed to annexation; and it was not an improba-ble supposition that they gave some encongragement to Mexico. I ble supposition that they gave some eneouragement in Macine of did say also, that amongst the objects which the Senator from South Carolina had in view in annexation, one was the security and safety of the South, at that time certainly menaced, as we now all know in connection with that subject. But I did not mentoo that, as the primary object of annexation. I alluded to it as an incidental object.

Mr. HALE believed that he now understead the Senator, and he had called the attention of the Senator to the subject, for the purpose of guarding against any misspirehension. He had not thought of undertaking the task of following the gentleman from Mississippi in all his flights of oratory. Far be it from him to attempt any thing of that sort! All that he desired was to call the tempt any thing of that sort! All that he desired was to call the attention of the country to the fact, that the Seantor from Mississippi had added his testimony to that of his friend from Tennessee sitting not far from him. [Mr. Tensey,] that the Seantor from South Carlonian, inasmuch as he was the author of annexation, was intended to secure the search of the Seant Seanth of the Seanth Sea of the Senator from Mississappi would induce him to admit, that when he said that annexation was due to the saiety of the South, he meant simply that it was necessary for the protection of ala-ever. That was the position to which he had desired to direct public attention; and an account of which he regarded this debate as so interesting and important. It had now been asserted and established by one of the sachems of the tribe, one of the chief-tains of the camp, that the people of the United States, were this day engaged in a foreign aggressive war, growing out of a measure intended for the protection and sustenance of slavery. That is the admission without any paraphrase.

Mr. FOOTE .- I did not use the word "aggressive."

Mr. HALE .- I do not say that the Senator did

Mr. FOOTE .- I made no such acknowledgment as the Senator Mf. FOOLE.—I made no such acknowledgment as the e-enauter represents. It said that it was a defensive war on our part, occa-sioned in part by the performance of an act which a distinguished Senator from Massachusetts, not now in his seat, in his Spring-field speech openly allitmed to be justifiable, and on account of which Mexico had no right to complain.

Mr. HALE .- When the Scnator from Mississippi undertakes to eless my mouth by no higher authority than the Springfield speech of the distinguished Senator from Massachusetts, I can only say that that is not my catechism. I am not bound by any such pinions. The Senator had spoken of seers who predicted this can be seen to b war, well, among their number was Martin Van Buren. In one of his celebrated epistles, written just before the convention, that gentleman laid down the principle that we could not consistently with the relations that we then sustained with Mexico annex Texas, without giving just cause of war. That was in May, 1844; but in November of the same year, the thing was entirely different but in November of the same year, the thing was entirely different phosis of circumstances which we work that we completely changed the aspect of the question.

phosis of circumstances which had, in a few months, so completely changed the aspect of the question.

But he would leave that subject. As to the bill before the Senate, he did not think there was any danger growing out of it, because, by the constitution of Yucatan, slavery has been entirely abolished in that territory. The honorable Senator from South Carolina indeed, had attributed all the evils under which the people of Yucatan labored, to the abolition of slavery. While that honorable Senator views the institution so favorably, as to regard the present efficiency of Yucatan as a righteous judgment from Heaven for doing away with so Divine an institution, all who felt alarmed about the annexation of Yucatan, might renose their alarmed about the annexation of Yucatan, might repose their heads upon their pillows in perfect peace and security. As to the declaration which Mr. Polk has made relative to the adoption of the needs upon their pillows in perfect peace and security. As to the declaration which Mr. Polis has made relative to the adoption of the principle avowed by Mr. Monroe, it never was and never could be the policy of the United States in the sense which Mr. Polic enceived it. The moment that such a policy would be attempted, the great maxim of Washington. 'Peace and friendship with all-entangling maxim of Washington.' Becae and friendship with all-entangling would be departed from; and the nation would not be such as the property of the property of the question of humanity, while cert under the property of the question of humanity, while cert was the sold property of the question of humanity, while the property of the property of the question of humanity, while the property of the property o latter. A boundary line had been agreed upon. Would it not then be in direct violation of that treaty to send to Yueatan any portion of the forees of the United States, without the consent or cooperation of the Mexican government? He did not throw out this in a spirit of eavilling, but suggested it as one of the scrious difficulties which presented themselves to him in the way of adopting the measure before the Senate.

Mr. WESTCOTT.—I feel bound to make a point of order, without any particular reference to the Scator from New Hampshire. But it appears to me that this debate is out of order. We have already wasted two hours on the motion, merely to fix a day for the consideration of the bill. There is important business before the Senate which ought to be taken up.

Mr. FOOTE.—I hope the debate will be allowed to go on; if not, as my remarks were somewhat extended, I would beg to say in vinducation of myself—

Mr. WESTCOTT.—I had no particular reference to the remarks or course of argument of any gentlemen.

THE PRESIDING OFFICER.—In the opinion of the chair, the debate has undoubtedly been very disensive; but the chair does not think that at this stage it will be warranted in arresting it.

Mr. CASS.—The question is whether this bill shall be taken up to-morrow. That it should be taken up to-morrow seems to me a matter of also but a crossing to the bonorable Senator from South Carolina argues, as a reason for the postponement of the consideration of this bill, that the administration was apprised of the facts out he 7th of March.

Mr. CALHOUN .- (in his seat.) - Before that time-

Mr. CASS.—But they had not come to a decision upon the matter. When the subject was presented to our consideration, the facts were all before us. What, in the meantime, has the government been doing? They have been collecting information, until finally they received a communication directly from the government of yeartan, detailing the progress of events, which had rendered it essentially necessary that some civilized nation should interpose, else the white population of Yencatan would be swept out of existence. All the information which can possibly be acquired has been obtained by the Executive What additional information ean possibly he procured and laid before us between this time and Monday next? The message was published last week. It states all the facts. There is not another fact which can possibly be obtained, necessary to guide our action. This is a fact that the state of the last accounts, the procure of the processing of the coast and fortified places. Application had been made to Clus for aid, and a wassel had been despatched by the governor of that island. Every consideration urges the propriety—the necessity of immediate action.

I must be allowed to say that I heard with extreme regret the Senator from South Carolina speak of "this wretched war." Whether he referred to its inception or progress, he considered it

'a wretched war.

Mr. CALHOUN .- (in his seat.) - Rash and precipitate.

Mr. CASS.—I am happy to hear the explanation. My impression was that the Senator had used the term "wretched."

Mr. CALHOUN—I do not undertake to correct always. All who were here at the time will recollect what took place on the day previous to the declaration of war. The President's message was communicated to us, and on motion of the Senator from Missouri, [Mr. Benton, I that portion of it relating to the raising of an additional military force, was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs, and the other portions of it to the Committee on Military Affairs, which will not be consistent of the Committee on the control of the Committee on the Committ

Mr. CASS.—The recollection of the Senator is perfectly correct; and his statement is entirely consistent with that which I was about to present. The war. in his opinion, was "rash and precipitate," because we did not wait in order to sweetin the views of the Mexican government. The Senator labored with his usual ability to induce us to wait till we assertance whether it was the act of the Mexican government. The forces of Mexica had crossed the Rio Grande in order of battle. The Senator wished to ascertate the historic did not be the control of the contro

Mr. CALHOUN rose, and was about to address the chair.

Mr. CASS.—I shall yield to the Sonator with pleasure when I conclude, but I am not now making any statement calling for explanation.—I am reasoning on the facts before us. We know that it was the act of the Mexican government, and I ask the Senator, had we waited for any length of time, could we have ascertained any thing more? The forces of Mexico had crossed the Rio Grande and attacked our troops. We had a right to regard that san act of war. Every nation would have so viewed it, and would have acted accordingly.

With regard to annexation, which so much connects itself with

Would nave acted accordingly.

With regard to annexation, which so much connects itself with this subject, I have no hestitution in declaring that it was the cause that the subject, it have no hestitution in declaring that it was the cause the country has pronounced the same decision. The President has said it. Mexico-said it from the first moment that the suggestion of the annexation of Texas was presented down to the last moment. Mexico declared it through her minister here; and through our minister here. She declared again and again that if we annexed Texas she would go to war. We did annex Texas, and Mexico fuffilled her threat. But this is a double question.—It is a question of fact and a question of political cassistry. I believe it is now pretty generally conceded by all except the warmest political partizans that annexation was no just cause of war. I hope that there is scarcely one member of this body who will adifirm the contrary. The honorable gentlemen from Maryland and Texas, fluess; Joinson and Russ, law placed the title of the United States to the to Grant and respectively and the state of the total contract of the co

Mr. CALHOUN.—It is very painful to me to be thus called upon as often in this irregular debate. I chose to say that this was a "rash and precipitate war," and gentlemen think it necessary to enter into a formal argument to show that it was not "Would it not have been enough if they bad said that they thought differently? But there is always, it seems to me, a lurking suspicion in the minds of the gentlemen that their cause is not a good one, for I have never known a case in which there has been so much effort at all times to prove that the war was just and necessary. These can of the way, do not indicate a well settled state of mind. I am at issue with the Senator from Michigan, as to the fact that the Mckean government authorized the war. Arista may have authorized it. Paredes may have authorized it but that is not the question; it was the Congress alone that could have authorized the war. But the Congress was not in assession, and therefore could not have authorized them are to the confidence of the con

Mr. CASS, (in his seat.)-1 shall.

Mr. CALHOUN.—I am about to put the argument. The resolution of amexation admitted that there was a disputed boundary, because it expressly provided that it should be settled by the United States. Now, the utmost elaim that Texas ever made was to the Rio Grande. I do not say that the Rio Grande was the boundary; that is another question. But Texas admired the texas of the Rio Grande. Now, I beg the attention of the generated of the Rio Grande. Now, I beg the attention of the generated the Rio Grande. Now, I be given the strength of the Rio Grande. Now, I be given the trent in one of two modes—by treaty or by war? If by treaty, the settlement is made by the President and the Senate; if by war, the war power is exclusively with Congress. After the treaty power had exhausted itself, as the Senator and all have assumed, is it not perfectly clear that the settlement of the disputed boundary could be unade only by the Congress of the United States? We had a right to order General Taylor to the Del Norte, to replication. It was not the President who had the right to issue that order, but Congress who ought to lave here added upon to it. The same error, then, was made on both sides. It was fixed the same error, then, was made on both sides. It was fixed the same error, then, was made on both sides. It was fixed the same error, then, was made on both sides. It was fixed the same error, then, was made on both sides. It was fixed the same error, then, was made on both sides. It was fixed the same error, then, was made on both sides. It was fixed the same error, then, was made on both sides. It was fixed the same error, then, was made on both sides. It was fixed the same error, then, was made on both sides. It was fixed the same error, then, was made on both sides. It was fixed the same error, then, was made on both sides. It was fixed the same error, then, was made on both sides. It was fixed the same error, then, was made on both sides. It was suffered the same error then, was made on both sides. It was made that the sett

Mr. CASS.—I said that in point of fact Mexico went to war on this account, but that in point of justice, she had no right to view it as a cause of war.

Mr. CALHOUN.—The Senator said that Mexico threatened war and went to war. Who does not know that she fumed and fretted; but was that any reason why we should take a high stand and force a resort to arms? Not at all. Paredes showed a strong

desire to terminate the controversy without war. It was he or his minister who made a proposition to Mr. Black, looking to an accomedation of the difficulties between the two countries. True, it came to nothing, but it evinced the disposition of the Mexican authorities to settle the controversy without war. Herrera was urned out; but there were circumstances connected with his re-moval, aside from the proposition which he had made with this country. I have been informed, on good authority, that the gen-therms afferwards ampointed as Secretary of Legation, was in moval, aside from the proposition which he had made with time country. I have been informed, on good authority, that the gentleman afterwards appointed as Secretary of Legation, was made by the Secretary of State to Mr. Black, our consultance in the absolute necessity of secrecy. I under strong provide in formation was made public. Mr. Black, and the sum of the sum are thus furnished with evidence that there was a desire to make peace, and I feel the deepest conviction that having settled the Oregon question, the Mexican question would have settled the Green question and the settled the Rio General Taylor had not been ordered to the Rio Grande. I really regret that I have been obliged to take up the time of the Senate by these remarks in my own defence. I had not the slightest idea that any delate would have arisen. My solve light asking for delay was to oldstun an epportuality for examining the

Mr. HANNEGAN.—It seems to me that the question before the Senate, has entirely disappeared from the view of honora ble gentlemen. In order twisting meaning the tenter of the which all admits the seems of the properties of the properties of the seems of the the bulb can be seen to seem to seem to the seems of the see cating this matter to Congress

Mr. CALHOUN.—I only said that the administration did not regard the subject as one of so much urgency, inasmuch as them fall knowledge long ago of these calamitous occurrences in Yucatan. I by no means censured them for what they had done both expressed the opinion that as they had had an opportunity for deliheration, it was proper that the Senate should also have time to form their judgment.

Mr. HANNEGAN.—The delay was occasioned in consequence of the endeavors of the administration to collect information.

Mr. CALHOUN .- We have not a particle of that information.

Mr. HANNEGAN .- Pray whose fault is it? On last Saturday, this message and the accompanying documents, were or-dered to be printed, on Monday morning they were laid upon our

Mr. CALHOUN. (in his seat.)-I did not see the publication until to-day

MR. HANNEGAN.—I received mine on Monday. The House of Representatives did not pursue the course which has been taken to the Monday of the Monday Officer correspondence of the Navy Department and the different proposed of the Monday Officer of the Monday of the Monday

30TH CONG.-IST SESSION-No. 73.

appalling. All I ask is, that the Senate should enter at once upon the consideration of the subject.

Mr. NILES .- This debate has been remarkably pertinent; and

Mr. NILES.—This debate has been remarkably pertinent; and has at least proved one thing, the propriety of giving gentlemen time to prepare their speeches. All the old questions of the war are, it seems, to be discussed over again. We are to have second and third editions of these speeches; and I do not know but that we may run as high as the seventeenth edition. New, however good these speeches may be, they have become somewhat obserbet—there is not much freshness about them; and, therefore, I think it would be well to give a few days delay for preparation on this subject. The little of the preparation of the subject. The little of the preparation of the preparation. The bill does not prepose more temporary relief, it goes beyond that; and the view presented by the Senator from New Hampshire is important. There certainly should so some deliberation before we interfere with a civil war in any be some deliberation before we interfere with a civil war ne some deliberation belore we interfere with a civil war in any other country. We should proceed with the utmost caution. I am opposed to legislating under impulse. We should act promptly, I admit; but our duty to the country requires that we should act with great deliberation.

by I admit; but or duly to the country requires that we should act with great deliberation.

The administration have done no doubt what they supposed to be their duty, and I hope the Senate will do its duty. I must say I can see no propriety in extense of this kind. I have known such action hore, sir; at least attempts of the kind. I have known such action hore, sir; at least attempts of the kind. I have known such action hore, sir; at least attempts of the kind. I have heard it declared in regard to great measures, some few years ago, that it was "now or never." Sir, I do not wish to act in any such impulsive manner. I do not choose to act under coercion, or in the manner that is implied by such a remark as that. I think we should act as promptly as the nature of the circumstances will permit, but at the same time, that our duty to the country requires that we should not act without giving to the subject all the consideration which its importance demands. And, as I said at first, I helieve the delay of a few days will expedite our final decision; for gendemon will have an opportunity to digest it, to settle their own judgments, and to narrow the debate, and confine the discussion to the essential points in the case. to the essential points in the case

Mr. CALHOUN .- One word in justification of the course which I shall pursue. It is important that we should have a knowledge as to the nature of this conflict in Yucatan. I have never seen documents relating to the matter until this morning. had no information except such as is contained in the public papers, and upon such information I never act. I desire to have the offi-cial information, for which the Executive department waited so long before they made up their minds to act in this matter, which calls so loudly upon their humanity. As soon as I get that information I shall be ready to act.

The question was then taken upon the amendment proposed by Mr. Calhoun, to the motion of Mr. Hannean, making the bill the special order for "Saturday next," instead of "to-morrow," and it was, upon a division, determined in the negative:

For the amendment, Against it. Majority against the motion,

Mr. HALE then moved to amend the motion by substituting 'Monday next," for "to-morrow

Mr. HANNEGAN demanded the yeas and nays, which were ordered; and the question being taken, it was decided in the negative, as follows:

YEAS-Messrs, Badger, Ballwin, Bell, Calhoun, Clarke, Clayton, Crittendavion, Greene, Hale, Johnson, of Louisiana, Niles, Spruance, Underwood, a YEAR—Messis, Batter, Hallwin, nem, Calhoun, canaer, "second, and Durion, Greene, Hale, Johnson, of Louisian, Niele, Spruance, Vaderwood, and Upham.—15.

"AAYS—Messis, Allem, Atcheon, Allenton, Bigly, Benton, Borland, Brees-Nay, Roberts, Allem, Atcheon, Allenton, Bigly, Benton, Borland, Brees-Durion, Line, Cass, Davie, of Minostpin, Felch, Foote, Hannegan, Houston-Lewis, Moor, Sturgeon, Thuney, and Westcott—21.

The question was then taken on Mr. Hannegan's original motion, to postpone the bill and make it the special order for to-morrow, at one o'clock, and it was agreed to.

On motion,

The Senate then adjourned.

# FRIDAY, MAY 5, 1848.

### MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT.

A message was received from the President of the United States, by Mr. Walker, his Secretary, in answer to a resolution of the Senate of the 4th instant, transmitting the correspondence between the Secretary of State and the representative of Yucatan.

On motion by Mr. HANNEGAN, it was

Ordered, That it be printed.

#### VIRGINIA LAND WARRANTS.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. ALLEN asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill farther to extend the time for locating Virginia military land warrants, and re urning surveys thereon; which was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and roferred to the Committee on Public Lands.

### GRANT OF LAND TO MISSOURI.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. ATCHISON asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill granting to the State of Missouri the right of way and a donation of public lands, for making a railroad connecting the town of St. Joseph on the Missouri river, with the town of Hambial, on the Mississippi river; which was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

#### UNITED STATES' COURT IN TENNESSEE.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. BELL asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to authorize the District Judge of the State of Tennessee to hold a special term; which was read and passed to the second reading.

Mr. BELL.—I rust that there will be no objection to the passage of the bill in this time. It is on to which I cannot conerive there will be any objection. It is purely of local interest, and there is a necessity that it should be passed at once, in order to be of any avail. The distance between the two exterior counties of the State is between two and three handerd miles; and there is but one district judge who is required to hold two terms in each year. It is desirable that the privilege should be given to him to hold a special term in order to expedite the business. I trust there will be no objection.

Mr. WESTCOTT.—I feel myself bound to object to any bill, for altering the plan for holding courts in any State, until it has received the approbation of the committee, and has been regularly reported.

Mr. TURNEY, (in his seat).—It does not propose to change the place of bolding the courts.

Mr. WESTCOTT.—There are a number of applications of that sort from several Stutes of the Union, and the Judiciary Committee has had a great deal of difficulty in regard to them. Much until the large though the regular course. I must insist on my until it has gone though the regular course. I must insist on my

Mr. BELL.—It is merely for the convenience of the judge, to enable him the better to despatch the basic resolving to his consistency of the production of the production of the production of the production of the final production of the final of the production is objection, I suppose we must submit to the delay, and allow the bill to ge to the committee. I trust, however, it will be speedily permitted to pass.

Mr. BUTLER.—I hardly think it is a bill of such a character as to require that it should be referred to the Committee on the Judiciary. I understand that it is simply intended to supply an omission.

Mr. BELL.—I will state further to the Senator from South Carolina: that it is simply authorizing the judge to hold a special term whenever he ma' find it expedient, on account of any obstruction which may have prevented him from holding the court at the appointed time.

Mr. BUTLER.—On principle then it is neither more nor less than I have said, to supply an onission in regard to a matter that is incident to almost all the courts I have ever known. Some of the bills that are before the Jad'ciary Committee are very embarrassing, so far as regards the creation of new circuits, or additional judges. And any amendment of any knd that is calculated to introduce a new feature ought to go before the committee, in order to be reconciled with the general system. I think, however, that this bill does not come within that description.

Mr. WESTCOTT —From what I gather in regard to this bill Labal Ifeel myself bound to oppose it. The expenses of our judiciary system are a subject of great complaint; and these expenses are being continually augmented under one pretext or another One mode of increasing the expense is, by creating additional places for holding these federal courts. Virginia, I believe, has five or six separate places at which courts of the United States are or six, petit jurors, marshals, and officers of the court all will get their per diem at these special terms, which laws like this now proposed allow, adding enormously to the expense of the judiciary system. I have resisted the attempt to get these increased expenses, during all the time I have been a member of the Judiciary Committee. I hope the bill will be referred to the

Mr. BELL.—The Senator seems to misunderstand the object of the bill. But I suppose his objection is available, no matter upon what ground he places it. The bill must of course go to the committee.

Mr. TURNEY.—It does seem to me that there can be no necessity for a reference of this bill to a committee.

The PRESIDING OFFICER.—A single objection is sufficient to prevent the action of the Senate upon the bill without a reference.

The bill was then read the second time by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

#### NAVAL PENSIONS

Mr. YULEE, from the Committee on Naval Affairs, reported a bill renewing certain naval pensions for the term of five years, and extending the benefits of existing laws respecting naval pensions to engineers, firemen, and coal heavers in the navy, and to their widows; which was read and passed to the second reading

#### PRIVATE BILL

Mr. YULEE, from the same committee, reported a bill for the relief of commander James M. McIntosh; which was read and passed to the second reading.

#### ADVERSE REPORTS.

Mr. YULEE, from the same committee, to whom were referred the petitions of John H. Williams; Passed Midshipman John L. Worden; Susan T. E. Williamson, widow of Charles L. Williamson; Henry La Reintree, and of Samuel Raub, submitted adverse reports thereon; which wace ordered to be printed.

#### PRIVATE BILLS

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of John Morgan, reported it with an amendment; and submitted a special report on the subject, which was ordered to be printed.

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the same committee, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of G. de Lirae, reported it without amendment.

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the same committee, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of William T. Holland, reported it without amendment; and asked the unanimous consent of the Senate to its immediate consideration.

The bill was then considered, by unanimous consent, and passed over informally.

### THE ADAMS TESTIMONIAL.

The Senate proceeded to consider the following resolution, submitted on the 28th ult. by Mr. Hale:

Restored. That the Secuentry of the Senate procure, for the use of the Senate, Softward of the Markow make by the Synders and the members of the Home of Restored of the Senate of the Senate of the Senate, together with the discours of the Rev. Mr. Gurfley, upon the excess on the destato of the Hom. John Quince Adams: Provided they can be obtained upon the same terms that 20,000 copies of the same were furnated to the House of Representatives.

Mr. TURNEY moved to lay the resolution on the table.

On this question a division was called for, and no quorum voted.

The PRESIDING OFFICER again put the question on the motion to lay the resolution on the table.

Mr. ALLEN demanded the yeas and nays, which were ordered, and it was determined in the affirmative as follows:

MAY 5.

YEAS—Messr. Allen, Atchion, Atherton, Bagby, Borland, Breese, Bright, Bot-let, Calbana, Cass, Crittenden, Fedeb, Foote, Houston, Johnson, of Georgia, Lewn, Moor, Neles, Sturgeon, Tomere, Underwood, Westert, and Yele—St. N.AYS—Messr. Baldwin, Bell, Clarke, Clayton, Davis, of Macaschuett, Greene, Hale, Johnson, of Maryland, Johnson, of Loussian, and Upban—10.

So the resolution was laid on the table.

#### DEFERRED NOMINATIONS.

The Senate proceeded to consider the following resolution, submitted on the 28th ult. by Mr. Johnson, of Maryland, and it was agreed to :

Resolved, That the Percelots of the United States he requested to inform the Sen-table helicitud professor are now in the multifact or visit service of the United States, under appointments from the Pecadeau, which have not been submitted to the Sensity, and, if there he are years of parameters; that he tastes the date of such appointments, and why it is that it has not been in the power of the Pecadeau to submit them to the consideration of the Senate.

#### HOUSE BILLS REFERRED.

The bill from the House to amend an act entitled "An act supplemental to the act entitled "An act providing fir the prosecu-tion of the existing war between the United States and the repub-lic of Mexico," was read a first and second time, and referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

The joint resolution from the House extending the time for the ereation of certain lighthouses, was read twice, and referred to the Committee on Commerce.

#### RECONSIDERATON.

Mr. ALLEN said the Senate had adopted a measure of some Importance (alluding to the resolution just agreed to) without their attention being drawn to it, and he moved, therefore, that the vote be reconsidered.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland .- I will inquire whether the Senator voted for the resolution.

Mr. ALLEN .- I believe that the resolution was passed sub silentio, and that it attracted no attention.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland.—The question I put is whether the Senator voted for the resolution; because, unless he did, he cannot move a consideration.

Mr. ALLEN.-Why really I do not think I voted at all; but I will ask somebody who did vote, to move the reconsideration.

Mr. BREESE .- So far as I am informed, it is the constant practice for any member to move a reconsideration.

Mr. BAGBY .- I am certain I did not vote, for I did not hear the resolution read.

The PRESIDING OFFICER.—In the opinion of the chair, a motion for reconsideration cannot be made except by some Senator who voted for the resalution.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland .- I would ask the Senator from Ohio, what his object is in having the vote reconsidered.

Mr. ALLEN -My object is to call the attention of the body to the question which the resolution presents, and that is, whether it is in order for the Senate of the United States, to demand of the President his reasons for not sending in his nominations within a given time? Has not the President an equal right to demand of the Senate, why they have not confirmed his nominations?

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland .- If the Senator means to discuss the question, I have no objection at all that he should move the re-consideration, in order that we may have the discussion at once.

The question being put, on the motion to reconsider, it was agreed to

The question recurring upon the adoption of the resolution.

 $Mr.\ HANNEGAN$  inquired whether it would not necessarily be upon the table for one day under the rule ?

The PRESIDING OFFICER replied that it would not.

Mr. ALLEN then moved that the resolution be laid upon the

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland .- I thought the Senator was about to discuss the question.

Mr. ALLEN.—My only object was to call the attention of the Senate to the subject, in order that no incartious proceedings this kind should be had. I believe there is no instance upon the journals of this body, of the Senate having demanded of the President, why he had not done a particular thing, which, by the constitution, he has a right to do at any time during the continuance of the session. If it be in order to call upon the President now, to show cause why he has not sent in the nominations, it was quite as parity of reasoning, the President would have an equal right to call upon the Senate to know why we have not confirmed his nominations, or rejected them, within a given twihin a circum thin a constitution of the president would be senated to some the president would be senated to the order of the president would be senated to some senated to the order of the president would be senated to some senated to the president would be senated to the president would be senated to some senated to the president would be senated to the president wou nations, or rejected them, within a given time.

Mr. HANNEGAN moved that the Senate proceed to the consideration of the special order,

The motion was agreed to.

#### THE YUCATAN BILL.

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill to enable the President of the United States to take temporary military occupation of Yucatan, which is as follows:

A Bill to enable the President of the United States to take temporary multary occu-pation of Yucatan.

Be it enough by the Senate and Hunse of Representations of the United States of America in Congress assembled. That the Paudent of the United States he, and he is brebly, antionized to take termonary military overpation of Yacatan, and to employ the army and have of the United States to asset the people of Yacatan in repelling the incursions of the Indian stazes now overpaning and devastating that committee the Paudent States of the States of the Paudent States of the States of the Indian stazes now overpaning and devastating that committee the Paudent States of the

ning the measurions of the 1 minan averages now overanning and deveatabiling that cools are provided in the provided and the provided and empowered to fairability. That the Precision he and the in beetly authorized and empowered to fairability on such terms and non-times as he may deem proper, to the white population of Vietaria, such arms, emmantion, onlines, and other terms are the provided and the value of such as may be will deliver from their precision duty, by viction of the provided and the value of the

Mr. HANNEGAN .- When the message of the President was BIT. HANNEGAN.—when the message of the President was received, and before it was referred to the committee, I entertained the hope that action, and prompt and decided action, would be taken, in pursuance of the recommendation of the message, without debate, or at least without opposition.—I am satissage, without debate, or at least without opposition. I am satisfied however, from what has transpired, that we have opnosition to activity the same proposition of the same proposition of the same proposition of the sage states which fell from the Senator from South Corollina on Saturday. Those saggestions—for they were suggestions raiher than arguments—comprise I believe the only objections rather than arguments—comprise I believe the only objections that have been, or can be offered apon the merits of the question, and without further premise or proface, I shall at once proceed to consider them in the order in which they present themselves to me. The Senator expresses his surprise that the President should have taken an occasion of this kind to recommend to Congress the armed occupation of Yuontan even for a temporary period. He pronounces it to be in his original most imporprine; and points armed occupation of Yucattan evon for a temporary period. He pronounces it to be in his opinion most incopportune; and points with alarm to the crealls which are to follow. But the distinct of guished Sentor has failed to point out the results which be seen to considerer so formidable. I, myself, after the closest and most to considerer so formidable. I, myself, after the closest and most have been been unable to discover the alarming dangers which, like a hidden ledge of rocks beneath the smooth surface of the sea, in have been anable to discover the alarming dangers which, like a hidden ledge of rocks beneath the smooth surface of the sea, in the Senator's apprehension, are covered by the plain and explicit ingrage of the message. The meaning of the message, it appears to me is obvious; the case is there plainly and clearly stated, and it is also fully given in the documents which accompany it. Yucatan applies to the United States for assistance to protect her people against the barbarous swarges who are parsuing them, their wives and families to the ocean. They appeal to as by every obligation which men hold dear to come to their rescue, or else in a few short months from this period, they must be a superior of the states of the sta our first intentions, and render it imperative that we should convert this temporary occupation into something more. I am thus frank in the outset, for I desire no disgnise. Let it be re-membered, however, that these are my own individual feelings and opinions; and that I speak only for myself. Sir, there is a most formidable power in Europe menacing Ameri-can interests in that country, and let me add American institutions were the property of the state of the state

That power is hastening with race-horse speed to seize upon too. the entire Islamus. Heretolore, by slow-degrees, according to be usual policy. England has got possession of various points along the gulf coast of the Islamus. Now, sir, we have authentic information that at this hour, despite the assertion of the Senator from South Carolina—whose information and whose opinions I always hold in the most profound respect and veneration—despite the statement of the Senator, that England has enough to attend to at home, and will not attempt to interfere with the affairs of Yucatan, we have authentic information that she has interfered in the affairs of have authentic information that she has interfered in the affairs of Vucatan already. England has seized upon the territory of the Belize. She holds that absolutely. Farther south the whole Mosquite coast is in her possession; and if not openly, by her agents she has advanced her troops and actually seized upon the southern portion of Vucatan, under the pretence of taking care of British interests there. England "enough to do take care of her own a feire at home!" England never saw the day when "she had oanough.

to do at home." Since the remarks of the Senator from South to do at home. Since the trainings of the Scantor from South Carolina were made on Saturday, the steamer has arrived with the intelligence, that while all the other powers of Europe are convulsed and distracted with internal dissensions, England has had the ability, without shedding a single drop of blood to allay the tempest that was threatening to disturb her tempest that was intentening to destire the deficiency and to laugh at the threatenings of her disaffected subjects! England never yet had "enough to do at home" to prevent her from extending her power all over the habitable globe. ishes the design, at this moment, to secure the most practicable route for an artificial means of communication between the two oceans, and to effect that object she is gradually and rapidly absorbing the entire Isthmus. Unless we act, she will accomplish her purpose. Does any man suppose for a moment that the miserable traffic in dye-woods, which is the principal article the missrable traffic in dyse-woods, which is the principal article of commerce there, is what is leading England so steadily and regalarly to senze, foot by foot, all the territory of which she can obtain possession in that quarter of the globe? No! It is the great and mighty object, which I have just indicated. In Yuontan she has another and a higher object. She has in fact a double purpose. The first relates to herself, but the second strikes directly at us. Look at the position of Yucatan! Look upon the map—she how she stands out in almost juxta-position with Cubat. The shakes hands with Cubat by the possession of Cuba. Lentertain no doubt that if she secure Yucatan now, five years hence we shall see her in messession of Cuba. tan now, five years hence we shall see her in possession of Cuba. tan now, five years hence we shall see her in possession of Cuba. I doubt it no more than I do ny own existence. I doubt it no more than I doubt that the trees will put forth their leaves, and that the grass will renew itself next spring. It is inevitable.— Every indication points to it. The conduct of England tends directly to it. We have, I may say, authentic information that at this very hour she is taking steps to accomplish that object. Give her Yacatan and Cuba, and what will be the result? That very instant the Gulf of Mexico will be under her control. It becomes mare clausem? The whole clean to the United States, from Cape Sable to the mouth of the Rio Bravo-a coast, with all its sinuos ities, nearly two thousand miles in extent—is as locked in as it possibly could be by fortified positions. Cuba has been called the key of the gulf. Yucatan and Cuba combined are the lock and Place them in the hands of England, and she controls the mouth of the Mississippi, as absolutely as she centrels the mouth of the Thames! We shall not be able to go in or out without her of the Induces: we shall not be able to go in or our without not permission. Is it not enough that she holds all the maritime power of the North Atlantic coast? Is it not sufficient that she holds Halifax, standing out as it does—that mighty observatory, the most prominent feature of the coast? Shall we stand still, quietly folding our arms while she is proceeding thus to hem us in and enoir. Cle us with her possessions? Shall we, by rejecting this bill, show that we can will be called a single processing the standard process. that we are willing to acquiesce in her aggressions

Sir, will the American government stand quietly by and see England take possession of Yacatan—and if we refuse to act she will do so, for she is acting in advance—she has taken the first steep; the Governer of Jamaien has been already appealed to, and he will probably respond to that appeal before we act? If we fold our arms and refuse to render assistance to drive back the savages and protect Yucatan, the probability is—nay it is a certainty that England will seize upon Yucatan and afterwards apon Cuba. There is one feature in this correspondence, to show the design of England. The allusion, mone of the letters of Mr. Sierra, the commissioner of Yucatan, is so broad as not to be misanderstood; leading the solution of the second of the second of the second of the Indians with arms and multitons of war to enable them to pursue this horrible massaere.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland.—Does the Senator say that the British government is doing this?

Mr. HANNEGAN.—Yes! I say that England through her agents is fairnishing these Indians with care driving the inhabitants to the sen coast are armed with British muskets, hearing the mark of the Tower of London. It is more than suspected that they are supplied through the instrainmentality of Mr. Patrick Walker, the British agent at the Belize, where England has established a depot of arms. Where else do they procure them? I noe of the communications to the Secretary of State the allusion to this fact, is so broad that it almost amounts to a distinct charge. I put it then to the Senate, if we stand quietly by, if we are deaf to the appeal now made to us, if we refuse to respond to it, the invertable result will be, that England, and the standard of the support of the suppersist of the suppersist

she does it will not matter a hair with me. If we interpose the great principle haid down by Mr. Monore, reiterated by Mr. Polk, England will hold hands off! Never—never will she plant her takin or our tameness shall encourage her, that she will present takin or our tameness shall encourage her, that she will present her advancing front. I trust no Senator thinks that I am weak enough to believe that she is afraid of us. No! The English heart never knew fear. But it is not ther interest to fight us. For no cause short of the sacrifice of her honor—of her character—of her reputation—would England fight us, simply because it is not her interest to fight us. If her interest led her to engage in conflict with us, if her honor was involved, if her character or reputation were at stake, though she had read in the book of down, that the result would be her national vanishiation, I believe she would fight us or any other nation on the face of the earth. Such is the indomittable character which England has ever exhibited. But she has an eye would be the research. The destruction of British interests would be the conquerees of a war with the United States. This she knows (all well.)

so the Postulia We has enarce from South Carolina in the course of the seam-state of the seam of the s

Mr. CALHOUN-(in his seat.)-Yes, sir; nearly the whole.

Mr. HANNEGAN.—The Senator stated that the average annual expenses during Mr. Monroe's administration, was about ten millions of dollars.

Mr. CALHOUN,—(in his seat.) The ordinary expenses of the government.

Mr. HANNEGAN — Now, does the Senator roquire his attention to be directed to the striking contract between the circumstances of the country at that period, and the present time? We had not any period of Mr. Morroe's administration, ten millions of people Where were, then, our boundaries? Since that period, our population has increased three-fold. I believe it is a moderate estimate to place it at twenty-four millions; and how was that been the extension of our borders? It is only necessary to point to Pittsburg, Wheeling, Cincinnati, Louisville St. Louis, or New Orleans, a illustrative of our extraordinary increases. Look at New Orleans, already rivedling the mighty commercial emporium of the North on our Atlantic border; and look at St. Louis with its one hundred thousand inhabitants, yet at the commencement of Mr. Morroe's administration it was little more than a collection of hats of Indian traders! Then, there is Pittsburg, with a population of an hundred thousand inhabitants, which was then a mere point of embarkation for emistration, which was then a mere point of embarkation for emistration. CENTTY-KDIN knows how long it then took to perform the journey to Washington on horselack. Since that time we have shot to the foot of the Rocky Mountains—have passed heyend them—and are now resting on the shores of the Pacific! All the resources of vast territories, unrivalled for productiveness and fertility, have been brought to hight. Our internal commerce has literably grown by since that bour; for before we had comparatively nece. Yet the production of the productive of the supposed that ten persons shall be able to subsist on that which only suffice of for the austenance of two?

The Senator admitted the other day that it would be justifiable to act on one ground presented in the message of the President. He remarked that we would be justified in acting on the graund of bunnanity; but he said at the same time that he did not know how far or to what extent the President ought to go.

Mr. CALHOUN-(in his seat.)-How far we ought to go.

Mr. HANNEGAN—I thought that he included the President. He was not prepared to say, then, how far we ought to go. I ask if we move at all, can we stop short of what the hill proposes? Will you merely send them food and raiment? Of what avail would such a measure of relici be, unless accompanied by arms and manitions of war? Would you send food and raiment to feed and clothe dead bodies? If you send them not troops and munitions of war of what avail is your sympathy, unless you mean to "hold the word of promise to the ear, and break it to the hope?" We confine ourselves within limits, as close and strict, as could possibly be imposed in the circumstances. If repeat, that it is not the intention of the committee, in draughting this hill, to retail persibly be imposed in the circumstances. If repeat, that it is not the intention of the committee, in draughting this hill, to retail persible the control of Congress. It is the first time in my life in which I have found a gentleman approving of a measure on account of the course of the control of

"been judged proper for asserting as a principle, in which the rights of the United States are avolved, that the American contocents, by the free and independent position they have assumed and maintained, are henceforth not to be considered as subjects for future colonization by any European powers."

In the same message it was declared, that we should regard any attempt on the part of European powers to extend their political "system to any portion of this hemisphere as dangerous to our peace and safety." The message says:

"What setting reduction of dependencies of any Emperim powers we have not an extended and vision of the control of the control

To my poor judgment that is as strong language as Mr. Polic has ever used; and if it is to be regarded as offensive to quote it, or allude to it and it is to be regarded as offensive to quote it, or allude to it must be a superior of the every fine the total the superior of the property of the property of the property of the memorable declaration, the Senator from South Carolina should think proper to denounce it, when he was certainly committed to it bimself as a member of Mr. Monroe's calinet? I await the exp'anation of the honorable Senator, If it be offensive to him now that the danger is impending, and, when we are invoked to maintain it, by our regard for the common but hallowed to maintain it, by our regard for the common but hallowed to finemantly which bind the whole human family, why was it, I ask, that at the hour of its adoption—the hour not merely of its adoption by the administration of James Mouroe, but of its instantanent into the great American political creed—no voice of demonication was raised against it; and that opposition to it has been reserved until the moment that it is about to be put into practice?

practice?

Sir, I hold that it is a fundamental principle of our system, that there shall be no intervention in the affairs of the North American people by any European power. The Senator from South Carolina must certainly have given his assent to that ductine at the time when it was embodied in the message of Mr. Monroe. In the work of the state of the

and these interesting and important events were the subject of conversation between Mr. Monroe and Mr. Adams. Mr. Monroe asked him what duty was indicated to the United States by the occurrence of these gratifying changes in the aspect of affairs upon Aller on which to a monome this principle to the world. He was requested by Mr. Monroe to reduce it to writing. Next day Mr. Adams returned to President Monroe that declaration, which, with possibly a few slight verbal alterations was incorporated in the message. Was it meaningless? No, he replied. It was fraught with meaning, and intended to convey all that it expresses. And Mr. Adams added, that neither the Senator from South Carolina nor any other member of the cabinet heard of it until he heard it read in the message. Lasked, did it was a made, we should first resort to negotiation, and exhaust it; and negotiation having failed, it would then be time to consider the question of peace and war, which would depend entirely upon the importance of the object.

The declaration was officially communicated to the ambassadors of the various European powers represented here. The English minister instantly protested against it. The other European ministers silently acquiesced, with the exception of the Russian ambassador, who took it with a quasi protest, announcing his intention of the European ministers of the European ministers of the European ministers of the European with the exception of the European with the comminication of the European was never returned. Now, I have the authority of that venerable man in making this statement, which I committed to paper on the evening of the day on which I had the interview. I shows that Mr. Monroe and Mr. Adams meant something of that character whether others meant any thing or not. I think it presents a principle upon which alone I would be willing to phase myself on the present occasion, which alone I would be willing to phase myself on the present cocasion. The control of the contr

power.

I have spoken at greater length, sir, than 1 designed, but situated as I am in relation to the measure before the Senate, I could not well have said less. Thanking the Senate for the kindoess with which it has heard me, I conclude by imploring you to act said.

Mr. CLAYTON.—I desire very briefly to state the reasons why I cannot vote for the bill. It goes beyond the recommendation of the President, as I understand it. He does not propose to take military possession of the province of Vucatan. He merely proposes to send our naval forces in the Gulf, not required at other points, to relieve the white inhabitants from the war which is waged against them on the coast.

Mr. HANNEGAN.—The Senator will acknowledge that it is not my habit to interrupt a gentleman when he is addressing the Senate, but I beg that he will allow me to read an extract from the President's message.

Mr. CLAYTON .- I have the message before me, but I shall be very happy to allow the Senator to read any extract from it.

Mr. HANNEGAN.—I am under obligations to the courtesy of the honorable Senator. The President says:

Whilst till considering Yuctan as a portion of Mexico, if we had troop to spare for this purpose, I would doen it peoper, during the continuance of the war with Mexico in the peoper of the war with Mexico and the peoper of the peoper of the war with Mexico and the peoper of the finding it in the same way that we have employed our teopris in other States of the Mexicon republic in our possesson, in repelling attacks of surgest upon the olimbation, who have continuance their execution, in

 $\mathrm{M}_{\Gamma}.$  CLAYTON.—The President adds :

But, unfortunately, we cannot at the prevent, without writer drawer, withdraw our forces from other port of the prevent of the

I do not then understand the President as being at all responsible for this bill. He has not recommended it. He has said that during the continuance of the war with Mexico we cannot spare the trops, but if he could spare them, he would take military possession of this as of other Mexican provinces; and he would hold it how long? Why, during the continuance of the war with Mexico. The President has not proposed to retain possession of Yucatan an Mexico. But what does the bill propose? To take military possession, and retain it indefinitely. My homorable friend from Indiana says for his part, he would exist in the continuance of the converted from Indiana says for his part, he would call the propose to restrict myself, however, to the bill itself; and I ask the Senate of the United States whether they are prepared to take military possession of this provunce, a part of Mexico, and ho'd it for an indefinite period, even after the war shall have ceased?

Mr. HANNEGAN.—The message says "temporary occupapation."

Mr. CLAYTON.—That is true. What does it mean ? How long is the occupation to last? Why, just as long as you please. The gentleman does not propose any limitation at all, as to the time during which this occupation is to contince. The first question which meets me, and I think will meet others; is this: how

does this bill consist with the treaty stipulations which we have does this bit coasts with the treaty superations which we have recently offered to Mexico? I desire to know from any of the gentlemen who support this bill, or who have intimated a desire reculemen who support this bill, or who have initianted a desire of support it, how they can do so consistently with these treaty stipulations? I suppose I am at likerty to say, that we have offered a houndary line to Mexico, and pledged ourselves by treaty, that it should be forever the boundary between Mexico and the United States. Now, Youcain is, as the President says in bis message, at this moment a part of Mexico. We have never recognized her independence. Like many of the other Mexica States she has been occasionally in a state of revolution. But there can be no doubt, that if a treaty of peace should be finally anale between this country and Mexico. Mexico would resume made between this country and Mexico, Mexico would resume her empire over that State. It is now claimed by her, that she inade between this country and Mexico, Mexico would resource her empire over that State. It is now claimed by her, that she has never relinquished her title to Yucatan; and I presume she never will relinquish it. Again, we have stipulated also, after declaring that this shall be the boundary line between us and declaring that this shall be "the boundary line between us and Mexico, that after a certain period we sliml withdraw our troops from every part of that republic. Yet this bill proposes without reference to the toontionance of the war, to take and bold military possession of one of the most important provinces of Mexico. It seems to me with all deference to the honorable chairman of the Committee on Foreign Relations, that this bill violates the treaty in both particulars; first, in reference to the line, and secondly, in respect to our removal of the troops, making the proposition for the military occumation of any portion of Mexico. I fear the effect of it. It is said that the Nextean Congress is about to assemble at Oueretaro, and we have strong hopes

gress is about to assemble at Queretaro, and we have strong hopes gress is about to assemble at Queretaro, and we have strong nopes that that Congress will ratify the treaty between us and Moxico. Now if at the first moment, after that Congress shall assemble they learn that there is a bill actually pending before the Congress of the United States, which has passed one branch of that Congress, whose provisions are directly in conflict with the so-lemn stipulations of the very treaty, which they are asked to make with us—that it provides that we are to take and hold one make with us—that it provides that we are to take and not one of their most important provinces, I put it to honorable gentle-men, what will be the opinion which that Congress will entertain of our good faith? Will they not believe, notwinstanding all your professions that you are acting from principles of humanity alone, that your real object in making this whole movement, has been, to violate the treaty, to disregard its provisions, and that you now stand ready on the slightest pretext, to east it to the winds to acquire more Mexican Territory?

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland-(in his seat.)-Then there is the armistico

Mr. CLAYTON .- Sir, as it is suggested, what are you to do with the armistice? That is now in force, and in direct violation of it, you propose to send troops into Mexico! Why this bill appears to me to he so plain a violation of the treaty; and the ne-gotiations which have been entered into between us and Mexico, hat I trust it never can obtain the assent of the Senate of the

United States.

In regard to those principles of humanity, on which we are called upon to act, I am very willing to adopt the suggestion made by the President of the United States; if he, who ought to under-stand the subject and doubtless does much better than I do, is of stand the subject and countess does indeed octer than 1 co, is of opinion that by sending some portion of our navil force, now in the Gulf, for the relief of these suffering people, their lives may be saved without our being involved in a war. To that extent I am willing to go with all my heart. What I object to is, entering into a war with either the Indians or Crooles of Yucatan, at this or any other time. If we are to act on the great principles of humanity I desire to know if we are not to have some regard for the heal and lives of our own gallant soldiers, who, after having fought through one of the most brilliant campaigns on record, in Mexico, are now, by this bill, to be sent away to Yucatan? I apprehend that there is not a more destructive climate in the world than that of Yucatan for our soldiers. Our army is for the pre-sent in Mexico. Are we now at the commencement of the sumsont in Mexico. Are we now at the commencement of the sum-ner months to order the embarkation of any portion of that army at Vera Cruz, or any other point, for Yucatan? Or are they to be marched thither? If you send them there, how many of them are destined to return to their native country?

Mr. HANNEGAN. (in his seat.)—The country around Merida, the capital of Yucatan, is represented as being quite salubrious.

Mr. CLAYTON .- There are various representations on that subject. It is certainly said, that the country through which you approach Merida is extremely unhealthy. Why, before our army went to the Rio Grande it was stated that the country there was went to the New Trande it was stated that the country there was very healthy, but how many of our most gallant countrymen per ished on the banks of that pestilential river? I take it, that the propical chimate of Yucatan is still more destructive. While fully disposed to carry out the principles of humanity in reference to these Ynoatecos, I desire the Senate of the United States to have some regard for the health and lives of our own countrymen. I understand gant for the nearth and nives of our own countrymen. I understand that there are about fifty thousand of the white race in Yncatan, and that mne-tenths of the population consist of Mexican Indians. How many lives have been sacrificed in this conflict? I know not, How many lives have been sacriliced in this connect? I know not, and I have no means of ascertaining. It has been said, and I believe on credible anthority, that the Spanish race is unable to raise un army of more than two thousand men. I suppose then, that we are called upon to send an equal number; and that, with that force, we are to encounter as many assailants as these four hun-

dred and fifty thousand Mexican Indians can muster. I do not dred with the probability of the another right with 40,000 Caman. One arriors on our hands, if the Mexican treaty be ratified. Now, I do not desire too many fights on our hands at one time. Aware of the disposition and determination of my countrymen, that if once they enter into a fight with these people, or any other, they never will cease until they have conquered, at whatever cost of blood and treasure; as one of the Senators of the United States I desire to enter upon this business with great causion. I deprecate precipitate action. I desire information to guide my action. The principle of interven tion in the affairs of other nations, carried out in this bill, is inconsistent with the faewell admonitions of the father of his country, and with the whole policy of the government under our earlier Presidents. If we adopt it aow, it will react upon us at some future day.

I do not think that this is an appropriate time for discussing the question of the war with Moxno. That subject has been already exhausted. I trust that no such issue as that of farther annexaexhausted. I trust that no such issue as that of farther annexa-tion of territory will be brought into the coming Presidential elec-tion. I trust that no such issue as that, shall ever again be pre-sonted to the American people. We have got into a war, which my friends on the other side as well as myself, regard as having terminated gloriously, so far as the success of our arms is con-cerned. How much it has cost us, we do not know; and prohably we shall not ascertain for a year to come. We have acquired, by we shall not ascertain for a year to come. We have acquired, by that war, that which many regard as an absolute curse, and others as a blessing. Which of these opinions is the true one, re-mains to be decided. But I think it is a most unfortunate thing to agitate the country about annexation at this moment, and I hope that my freuds on the other side do not intend any thing of the kind. I content myself with adding to what I have already I content myself with adding to what I have already the kind. I content myself with adding to what I have already said, that I think this measure in direct conflict with the treaty between this country and Mexico, and that from all that I can see of it, if adopted, it will be most disastrous in its consequences, If we do any thing for the relief of Yucatan, it should be done with the consent of the Mexican government. If we enter upon a crusade against these Indians, it only to be with the consent and consent and a first of the first of the consent and the cooperation of Mexico. If, without obtaining that consent and cooperation, we embark in this movement, in my judgment, the ne-gotiation of the treaty will be broken up, and Mexico will charge us with having acted in bad faith. For these reasons, sir, I must vote against the bill.

Mr, DAVIS, of Mississippi .- I have no disposition to follow the Senator from Delaware into any discussion of the treaty, or the probabilities of future annexation. On the treaty, I consider my lips yet sealed. The subject of future annexation, I leave to the future. The President's message distinctly amounces that he seeks no annexation of Yucatan. It is not the acquisition of territory to which he directs his attention. He merely points out the sole motive which has prempted him on this occasion, to invoke the action of the legislative branch of the government. Nor do I conceive it mecessary at present, to assert that principle, which, when the time arrives, I, like others, shall be ready to maintain; the non-increase of European powers, in the affirs of the North American continent. I do not think that that principle is involved in this question. We are at war with Mexico. Yucatan is recognized as a part of Mexico; standing neutral, it is true, through the greater part of the war, hut on one occasion, throwing off her future. The President's message distinctly announces that he seeks nized as a part of Mexico; standing neutral, it is true, through the greater part of the war, hut on one occasion, throwing off her neutrality, and identifying herself with Mexico, in her war against the United States. Being thus a part of Mexico, the Mexican war covers Yucatan. The President requires no more than a sufwar covers Yucaian. The President requires no more than a sufficient force to enable him to prosecute his military operations in Yucatan or elsewhere, to save him from the nocessity of applying to Congress, for any action at all. Its well known, that a response to his application for an increase of the army, has been long delayed. The measure has been long discussed in this body, and it remains to be seen, how long action upon it may be deferred in the other branch of Congress. In these circumstances, an argent demand for the presence of American troops in Yucatan, arises, and the President calls upon Congress to give him the means to carry out what was his plain day, as the chief officer which we made war, and rendered especially belpiess by our net of invasion, calls to us in a voice of deep suffering for aid. That is the ground upon which I put this question. This measure is an incident of the Mexican war, which past legislation has declared and recognized. Yucatan or elsewhere, to save him from the necessity of applyand recognized.

The President only asks for troops to enable him to carry out an object, entirely consistent with the prosecution of the war against Mexico. It is true that he alludes to the present condiagainst Mexico. It is the that it is a control of Yucatan in connection with Great Britain. This is no now announcement. We have seen Great Britain year after year tion of Yucatan in connection with Great Britan. Lins is no new announcement. We have seen Great Britan year after year extending her naval stations, until by a line of circumvallation she calmost surrounds the gulf of Mexico. We see her posts at telegraphic distances from the linaks of the Bahamas to the mouth of the Oronaco. And certainly we may be jaelous of any attempt on her part to series a cape which actually commands the cuttance into the gulf from the Carrichean sea.—
The chairman of the Committee on Foreign Relations has appropriately connected with this the question of the post session of Cuba. Yucatan and Cuba are the salient points commanding the gulf of Mexico, which I hold to be a basin of water belonging to the United States. Whenever the question arises whether the United States shall seize these gates of entrance from the South and East or allow them to pass into the possession of any maritime power, I am ready for one to declare that my step will be forward, and that the cape of Yucatan and the island of Cuba must be ours

Mr. CLAYTON .- Will the honorable gentleman allow me to ask him a question?

Mr. DAVIS .- Certainly.

Mr. CLAYTON .- Suppose there should be a negro insurrection in Cuba, and that from motives of humanity Great Britain should interfere and take military possession of that island, for which course we are about to make a precedent, would the honorable Senator hesitate to go to war?

Mr. DAVIS .- Not a moment !

Mr. CLAYTON .- It is the answer that I expected

Mr. DAVIS .- I have no confidence in the humanity of Great Britain, the great slave-trader of the world. If she should interfere, on any pretext, in the affairs of Cuba, in order to obtain a looting there, I would regard it as a proper oceasion to interfere. Great Britan has already attempted, under a pretext of establish-Great irritan has already attempted, under a pretext of establishing an hospital on the island of Cuba, in connection with her slave ships, to build up a Gitrattar to overlook the Spanish Moro Castler, and if the government of Cuba had yielded to that domand, the weak coart of Spain not denying it, I would have considered it as demanding the immediate interference of the two considered it is considered in the contract of the c the freedom of the great point of exit and entrance to a large por-tion of the American coast. But I understand the question of the Senator as making the interference of Great Britain in the affairs of Cuba a parallel case with the present.

Mr. CLAYTON .- I put that case to show the Senator the effect of his own declaration.

Mr. DAVIS .- I saw the conclusion, and was prepared for it.

Mr. CLAYTON .- It is a foregone conclusion.

Mr. DAVIS .- If we were not at war with Mexico, and a war MI. DAVIG.—II we were not at war win ankened, and a war of castes had spring up in Yacatan, in which we had no right as a belligerent power to interfere, however I might have been paint in beholding the spectacle, I should have viewed it as I did the case of Guatemala, in which the Indian race trumphed, and established, as I will oncede to the Scantor a better government than Guatemala ever had before. It such were the case at present I would stand quietly by, and let the people decide which race should rule them. But I place this case of Yucatan, solely on the ground of the Mexican war. I have not yet seen any convincing proof some troops, and I believe has sent three companies of artillery.

Mr. CLAYTON .- Is there not an armistice now existing?

Mr. DAVIS .- That originally constituted a difficulty with me which by one best calculated to construe it, has been removed and does not now interpose any obstacle to my action. I am not apprized at what date that armistice expires, but I think it will come prized at what date that armistice expires, but I think it will come to an end before we can possibly get troops to Yucatan. Again, that armistice points directly to the fact that no new post are to be taken in Mexico, except on account of hostile movements on her part. Here is a movement, the result of which, we cannot discrimine. It is like the war of factions all over Mexico.

eannet determine. It is like the war of factions all over Mexico.

It may be for the purpose of interferring with the progress of the

American army in the conclusion of the treaty. Is the Senator

from Delaware prepared to say it is not?

I do not rely upon the argument of the honorable Senator, the

chairman of the Committee on Foreign Relations, based upon the

fact that these Indians have been furnished with arms, bearing the

Towner work. It does not follow from that fact, that these arms fact that these Indians have been furnished with arms, bearing the Tower mark. It does not follow from that fact, that these arms were furnished by Great Britain. Cassar, Frederick, and Napoleon the three greatest generals, have demonstrated that celerity of movement is the great groundwork of military saccess. Great Britain aware of the value of the maxim has been constantly reducing the weight of her arms. The Tower muskets have been condemned and sold as unift for service. Hence, they are found

all ever the South American States.

Mr. HANNEGAN.—Has not Great Britain established a great depot of arms at the Belize?

Mr. DAVIS .- Certainly, I am aware of that fact. If she was sending muskets there, however, she would send them from her own armories, and of the present standard. Those Tower muskets were also found in the hands of the Mexicans, having been kets were also found in the hands of the Mexicans, having been purchased by those who could obtain only cheap arms, or had less skill in the use of them. Great Britain may be interfering in the affairs of Yucatan, but I am not prepared to jump to that conclusion. Like ourselves she may only be answering the call of he manity; or she may be insiliously arming the Indians. But whether it be the one or the other, it is immaterial to my argument. I take the ground that as we are at war with Mexico, we have a right to establish posts in any part of Mexico, it is the meessary in the present of the property of the propert

we have prestrated the Mexican government, to take advantage of the condition of affairs and seize Yucatan, we have the right to interpose. We are the beligerent power; we may take up posi-tions within that territory; and with the highest motives of hutions within that territory; and with the alignest motives of administry and policy assert our right to exclude any other power from soizing Mexico, or any portion of her territory in the present prostrate condition to which she has been reduced by us. In my judgment, therefore, the President has placed the question on the true ground.

I rise to offer an amendment to the bill, upon the ground simply The to one it an alteriodicate to the birth open the gloome samply of the urgent demand which exists for the immediate increase of the army, and to give power to the President to call out troops to supply the place of those withdrawn from the army for the purpose of holding posts in Youtakan. With these introductory remarks, I beg to ofter my amendment.

The amendment which is as follows, and is a substitute for the whole bill, was then read

Be its natural, dor. That the President be, and he is bendly, sutherized and empowered to accept the services of an equal number of volunter topos to supply the place of such as may be writhdrawn from their present duly, to answer to the except of camal for the immediate presence of a portion of our sumy of Wastan expended of the properties of the properties of the properties of the act of May thriteenth, eighteen handled and forty seven.

Mr. UPHAM .- I would ask if the President has not the right now to increase the army to the extent of twelve thousand volun-

Mr. DAVIS .- The President is authorized to call out a certain Mr. DAVIS.—The President is authorized to call out a certain number of volunteers by regiments. These regiments were called out. They have wasted away in the service, and it would take perhaps the number named to lill up the ranks of regiments al-ready existing in the volunteer service, but the only way in which that can be done is by recruiting, and recruiting for volunteer reg-iments has been found to be so difficult, that no one looks to it as a means of increasing the army with the rapidity required by this

The Senator from Delaware, I may remark before I resume my I no senator from Delaware, I may remark before I resume my seat, represents Yucatan as a sickly country, and speaks of the sufferings of our troops in Mexico. Now, I do not believe that the interior, either of Yucatan or of Mexico are sickly, but when new troops are sent to a tropical climate in the summer season, new troops are sent to a tropical climate in the summer season, exposed to the inclemencies of eamp life, and put upon soldier\* fare, they are liable to contract disease, partly in consequence their want of knowledge of the proper mode of encampment, and of the best means of protecting themselves. Hence the necessity for sending into a new country troops that have had some experience; and in sending out fresh volunteers, they should be mingled for a time with troops that have been in service, from whom they can learn all the necessary means of taking care of themselves in a climate, the peculiarities of which are to be learned.

a camate, the pecuairdes of values are 60 of carmed.

Mr. CRITENDEN—It appears to me that the amendment of the honorable Senator from Mississippi, entirely changes the character of this bill, and that it is simply a proposition to increase the military force employed in the war with Mexico. In that point of view there is no occasion for this measure whatever. My honorable friend from Michigan [Mr. Cass.] has been laboriously marching forward—slowly, I acknowledge, but not the less diligently—for the last two months, at the head of his twenty thousand militain men! They are, indeed, now pretty well additionable to the constitution of the complex of the purpose of making as uncertain and indefinite augmentation of the army, instead of taking the bill which has already been prosed, providing for an army commensurate with all the extremposed, providing for an army commensurate with all the exigenposed, providing for an army commensurate with all the exigen-geneies of the war? The amendment makes this bill nothing more than a provision for the general purposes and exigencies of the war, according to the discretion of the Executive who has the management and control of it—nothing more. The twenty thon-sand volunteer bill has the same purpose for its object. Why then introduce another measure to effect the same object? If there be any new exigency, demanding the augmentation of the twenty any new exigency, demanding the augmentation of the twentheons and volunteers, we have only to as mend that bill and centarge that measure. The bill now before the Senate has a peculiar and especial object and purpose which have been explained to us by the honorable chairman of the Committee, and by the message of the President of the United States. My firend's amendment cuts loose the bill from the object of the President altogether. The object of the Decidal Committee of the Decidal Commit the President is to rescue an unhappy people overwhelered by a savage foe. That is his object. But the amendment proposes to increase the army so as to give to the President a force adcapate increase the military eacy pion of the country we a measure flow war with Mexico. But, sir, we may have, and I trust that at his day we have, a treaty ratified which is to give peace to this comparty and Mexico. What then becomes of the message of the President and the object of this bill, if you connect this warrare in Yocatan as merely incidental with your war in Mexico? If the war catan as merely incidental with your war in Mexico? If the war with Mexico is terminated by a treaty of peace, the incident goes along with it, and you do not interfere at all. The amendment of the gentleman has for its object the following up of purposes of war, and the abandonment of the purposes of peace and preservation proposed by the message under consideration. If the exigencies of this war, or the interests or proposed to the country require it, I am prepared to vote for any additional number of men that may be necessary for the general purposes of the war. But as to this particular nessure, and this particular ob-

jiet proposed by the President, it seems to me that it is subject to great objections—objections of the gravest character. This interpresion in Yicaton is set proposed, by the proposed propose

Mr DAVIS, of Mississippi.—I beg to call the attention of the Senator to the fact that there was an express provision in the armistice, that in the case of any military movements being made, we had the right to send our troops to counteract them.

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—I snppose it may be fairly inferred that that provision had reference only to such military operations as were supposed to be hostile to us.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, (in his seat.)—Yes; I have no doubt such was the understanding of the provision.

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—Let it be recollected that this orvil war ranged in Yucatan at the very time that the armistice was made. The property of the

### Mr. HANNEGAN signified his assent.

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—Then, how long is the occupation to continue? To what extent? Every thing, ir, is bere boundless, both as regards time and space! Is it not a perilous step, then, which we are called upon to take? We are called upon, then, from netives of humanity, to involve our constry, to an extent of which we know nothing, in foreign difficulties, foreign wars, and vast expenditures of the public money. How far ought we to go? Are we not transgressing altogether that principle of non interpart of the public money. How far ought we to go? Are we not transgressing altogether that principle of non interpart of the public money. How far ought we to go? It is not merely a sound rule of domeste policy, but it is a great punciple, which seems to me to be necessary to the preservation of nations in their distinct and independent character. If this doctrine of the right of intervation be generally admitted, the ambitious nation which seeks aggrandizement and extension of power, will enable every pretext and be astificial with the slightest reasons, to act upon the principle. The consequences must be apparatually in the principle of non-intervention is calculated to fill the world with principle of non-intervention is calculated to fill the world with principle of non-intervention is calculated to fill the world with principle of non-intervention is calculated to fill the world with principle of non-intervention is calculated to the control of the region of the property of the principle of non-intervention is calculated to the control of the principle of the principle of non-intervention is calculated to the control of the principle o

of the peace and order of society, and add to these very evil which we depreact, and in the vain hope of averting which, we embark in this war? There will be wars; there will be runners of wars. And when will they case, or how are they to be made to cease? By our interposition, or the interposition of the army of any single nation? No. It is a higher and mightier Power alone that cau stay the course of war, destructive war, angle war, waged in various quatrets of the globe. How war, on the war, waged in various quatrets of the globe. How war, on the war, waged in various quatrets of the globe. How war, on the war, was and the various quatrets of the globe. How war, on the war was and war, was and war of the war war, was and the war of the

Bott, Mr. President, there is beyond all this, an entire want of the necessary information upon this subject that will enable us to interpose with justice. We hear but one side upon this question. I am entirely without information as to the other side of the question. I am entirely without information as to the there is add to be an unlawful one, one of indiscriminate shaughter? That is wrong in itself, whatever may be the merits of the controversy. But before we interpose, we ought to know something of the merits of the controversy itself. Who complain? Are they the majority of the people of the country? Undoubtedly they are the mere minority. They must be in a minority, or how could they come here as men, and seek as supplicates for the assistance of a foreign nation?—And are we, without examination, simply upon the cry of the minority, to interfere and take up arms and unsheath our swords against the majority. They must be given the property of the minority of the presume here, that the majority is right, and it seems to me but fair that we should earry out the principle. The persons against whom this complaint is made of earrying on an inhuman warfure, are the aboriginal inhabitants of the country. What is their condition? I am, for one, but very imperfectly informed respecting it.

Mr. HANNEGAN .- (in his seat.) -They are savages.

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—I do not understand it so. If so, what a mighty repreach it must be to the men who are calling to us for assistance.

Mr. HANNEGAN.—The whites muster but two thousand

Mr CRITTENDEN.—For three bundred years they have governed these people, and Leon teaching them religion, and giving time howelding and education. And now they come and tell us that these people are still savages, when church and state band them under their direction for three bundred years. They have been a very impast one, at least a very unparental one, if after three hundred years of subjection, they are still in their savage state. Now, I apprehend my friend is a good deal mistaken. They may be savages in point of education and information, in comparison with what we have called savages. The relation which the savages of our country have horne towards us. These people are cifizens. There is no sent thing as slavery there in the legal sense of the

Mr. CLAYTON .- (in his seat.) - They have the right of suffrago.

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—They enjoy civil rights. But such civil rights and such enjoyment of them as the laws of Spanush colonization have left, to the conquered people of South Amorica. A state of subjugation I acknowledge; but what are these people attempting to do in Yucatan more than has been done by these people in Guntermala, which we have considered as a government, and to which we send a diplomatic agent? What are they doing, but what has been successfully done by the people of Guntermala about twenty years ago, when one of their chiefs, at the head of the Indian population—who would be better understood in this country if we were to call them native Mexicans—or the aboriginal inhabitants of the country—throwing off the yellow of the Spaniard, established a government for themselves? They have risen up against the discreding the country—throwing off the yellow of the Spaniard, established a government for themselves? They have risen up against the discredinate of the Spaniards, by whom they were first computered, have overthrown them, and have governed know of no difference between these Indians and those who are termed awages in Xucatan; but I may be mistaken. I wish a great deal more information than I now have, before I can venture to vote for this bill. If our humanity must interpose, I would rater send an unapire to examine into the justice of the cease, before

we should take part with either side. Let us be at least judges before we become executioners. It seems to me extremely us before we necome executioners. It seems to the extremely ad-wise, and manifestly anjust, to enter into a controversy in the cha-racter of arbiter and judge, without knowing anything whitever, of its merits. While I say all this, there is nothing short of en-tangling ourselves with this principle of intervention, as now preof its merits. While I say all this, there is nothing short of enanging ourselves with this principle of intervention, as now presented, nothing short of adopting it as a principle of policy, that I would not be willing to do, to rescue the descendants of these Spaniards, the while possessors of Yucatan, from the cruelters warfard. We may uffer that the prince speak. No doth it is a cruel warfard. We may uffer that the prince peak. No doth it is a cruel warfard. We may uffer that the prince peak. No doth it is a cruel warfard. We may uffer that the prince peak of the country, who for three hundred years have been the victims of missovernment and cruelty; for three hundred years they have suffered the oppression of the Spanish yoke. They have worn it upon their galled necks. That the warfare between these two races after the accumulation of such a debt of vengeance should be exasperated and uttirily opposed to all the dictates of justice and humanity will not be regarded as sarpising by any man who knows human nature. But I would not stand by and, if I could prevent it, behold the accomplishment of this work of vengeance. I would nasert it, if I could I would not succeed, and found that one party was, without eause, nurdering their innocent neighbors, I would not hesitate for a nument to arrest the blow. But as for bankining an army of our own citizens to that terribary But as for banishing an army of our own citizens to that territory there to remain, I know not how long, that is another question althere to renam, I know not now tong, that is another question are together. How long are they to remain there? Suppose the sawages recoil and floe to the fastnesses, of which we are informed in these documents, there to nourish the vengoance which our interposition has restricted, will it not be only to pounde upon their prevaisions as we withdraw? Does not my honoral be friend from Indiana perceive that our occupation of the territory may be all together the properties of th Indiana perceive that our occupation of the territory may be al-most interminable. If our object to to protect the inhabitants must we for the following the protect them, until the vengcance of the Indians the extinguished? And how long will it be till these fifty thousand of the white race shall become strong enough to protect themselves? Are we to stay there till that time shall ar-rive? To consummate our act of humanity was are bound to use so. We are not to protect them for a day and have them slaughtered on the morrow. In order to make our interposition effectual the army must remain there, and it is because I want information that I cannot now act upon this bill, assuring the gentleman at

that I cannot now act upon this bill, assuring the centleman at the same time that there is no daty of humanity, none of its tenderest obligations which I do not stand ready to perform so far as I can do so consistently with the great national trust which is committed to me as one of the Senators of the United States.

Our first duty is no curselves, and our own fellow-chitzes at the case of the Senators of the United States. The state of the Senators of the United States at the case of the Senators of the United States. The state of the Senators of th you demand of me this particular act of interposition, I want to know all the circumstances which can guide me in forming a judgment of the probable extent of that service to which by my vote I have committed my country. How many men will we be bound to furnish by this bill? How much money will we be called upon to expend? How long have you bound us to this service? All these questions we ought to be prepared to answer for our-selves, in order that we may answer them to our constituents. At present I can answer none. I must, therefore, vote against this bill.

present I can answer none. I must, therefore, vote against this bill.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississipp.—If I understood the Senator from
Kentucky, his objection to the bill as I have proposed to anead it,
arises ont of the existing armistice between the United States and
Mexico. In addition to the stipulation before mentioned by me,
the Senator will find two general exceptions to the condition of
the armistice. One to restrain the Indians from predatory incurthe Senator will find two general exceptions to the armistice. One to restrain the Indians from predatory incursions upon the Mexican settlements; the other, where armed men may be found banded together acting without the authority of other of the contracting parties. The first case gives the right of the contracting parties to the contracting parties of both contracting parties to suppress such lawless or insurrectionary movements. Within one or other of these exceptions the example of the arminion in Vincatan must be included. contemplated campaign in Yucatan must be included

Mr. FOOTE.—I do not propose to occupy much of the time of the Senate. Indeed, I pansed to see it some other gentleman would not proceed to address the body; but discovering there is no one who seems disposed to rise, and having a few remarks to make, I may as well, perhaps, proceed to reply to a portion of the speech of the Senator from Kentucky.

The chief Executive of this republic is the most unfortunate an in the world. The Senator from South Carolina has no obman in the world.

man in the world. The Senitor from South Guevine last no objection at all to the proposition presented to us, except that the President did not confine himself esclusively to the high ground of humanity; wholst on the other band, his placing it on the ground of humanity constitutes, with the Senator from Kentucky, an insurmountable objection. It is quite impossible that the President can conform to the taste and judgment of both these distinguished can conform to the taste and judgment of both these distinguished Senators. If he can make an approximation towards harmonizing the views of both, it is as much as can be reasonably expected to be accomplished. The distinguished Senator from Kentucky ought be accomplished. The distinguished Senator from Kentucky ought Senators is the great teachers on the ground of humanity. That Senator is the great teachers are not be ground of the both of this chamber, a most stirring appeal in the cause of humanity,

every word of which went to my heart; every argument that he every word of which went to my neart; every argument that no uttered had more or less influence upon my understanding. It was an appeal in behalf of the suffering Irish. The Senator from Ken-tucky, with that commiscrative sympathy for the sufferings of his fellow-heings, which constitutes equally his ornament as a private tembers and the constitutes equally its fornamen as a private or and seatained by his irresistable eloquence, a measure for the re-lief of the starving sons and daughters of Erin, which did the high cet honor to his heart, and, as I timk, impartie additional dignity to his character as a public man. From him we all learned, on the occasion referred to, that one of the highest duties which is go. vernment like ours can perform, is that of looking out semetimes beyond our own borders, and administering to the wants of othera who have, strictly speaking, no legal claim to our bounty. Then who interest the streaming and tege drawn out nountry. In the civilized nations of earth, to evine on all suitable eccasions, the absence of every thing like cold-hearred selfishness, or aparthetic indifference to the hypiness of other human beings, where ever situated—whether located in our own vicininge, or separated from all by the wide Atlantic. The Senatro now instructs us, as from us by the wide Atlantic. The Senator now instructs us, as I understand him, very differently, indeed. He advises us to look I understand him, very differently, indeed. He advises us to look I understand him, very differently, indeed. He advises us to look I understand him, very differently, indeed. He advises us to look I use to the distinguished Senator, nor for I see how he can enforce the views which he at present enunciates, without somewhat impairing the glory which he has herefore acquired as a political philanthropist. Sir, the case of intense human suffering which has been so pathetically presented to us by the Senator from Indiana, has been so rethereastly expected to us by the Senator from Indiana, has been seldom surpassed in the annals of civilization; the pricture of wretchedness, destintine, nurder, ravage, and desolarities of control of the committee of Pereign Relations, needs no additional coloring from me, in order to be enabled to awaken, in all who shall behold it, sentiments of sorrow and shame, and of intense indignation.—

The evidence submitted to us of present distress, and the tentimes greater distress which is obviously threatened, is so conclaime, that has a present distress, and the tentimes greater distress which is obviously threatened, is so conclaime, that he are the submitted that we cannot refuse the aid demanded sive, that I am persuaded that we cannot refuse the aid demanded by the unfortunato people of Yucatan, without incurring the most profound and lasting disgrace which has ever been incurred by any great nation, in ancient or modern times. It was certainly not thus that Rome was seen to act when the Grecian colony of Marseilles appealed to her for protection against the barbarians who menaced her with destruction; nor can we extract one justifying precept from the whele code of pagen ethics, for such a course of disgraceful inaction as that to which we are advised. One of the inost distinguished of the classic historians of Rome has told us— "Idem velle, alque idem nolle, ea demum vera amicitia est," and surely we all feel, sir, h we closely the sympathies of human hearts become intertwined, and how powerfully our own sensibilities are often awakened to the duties of heaven-born charity, by finding that the objects presented to us for commiseration and relief, are persons whose moral and intellectual qualities are entirely in son with our own. In the case now under consideration, we learn that civilized men have been overrun by harbarians—that their towns and villages have been burnt down or otherwise destroyed —that their property has been seized upon—that thousands have been massacred in cold blood, "without distinction of age, or sex, or condition"—that their merciless enemies are still pursuing them, or condition"—that their mercedess enemies are still pursuing them, and are determined still to pursue them, with all the nameCess hercors of the most internal savage warfare, until the whole white race in Yueatan shall have been utterly externinated, and all the vestiges of civilization shall be made to disappear throughout that unhappy country. Men, women, and childron cry out to is in ones of agony that pierce the sky—fellow Christians devoulty implore our protection—a civilized race invokes us to shelter them from the unsparing violence of enraged barbarians; and when we prose to admoister, in moderation, some small still to those who are bound to us by such tonder ties of moral and religious brother-hood, we are coldly urged to pause—to wait until we can send a special agent to Yuocatan, to ascertain who is in the wrong, the special ages to I toatian, to ascertain who is if the Wrong, the civilized class, or their barbarian enemies; after which we will be able to act more understandingly and with less risk of committing some mistake in the affair!! I should certainly have sooner exsome mixture in the affine!! I should certainly have sooner expected such admonitions from any other querier than the ownence it has emanated on the present occasion. So much for the question of humanity; I will not enlarge upon it; it cannot be necessary in this illustrious body.

And now, sir, let me examine for a moment the other question growing out of the celebrated recommendation of Mr. Monroe, And now, str. research and a commendation of Mr. Monroe, growing out of the oelebrated recommendation of Mr. Monroe, concerning which we have of late had so much discussion, both here and elsewhere. This chances to be no new topic, but has been much and ably debated, at different periods, in both houses of the national legislature; but the doctrine of mon-interference on the part of any European power in the concerns of this continent, has, so far a 1 am informed, had comparatively few opponents any where. I regret to know that the wisest and most salutary principles of the property of the continent of the commendation of the continent of the c where. I regret to know that the wisest and most salutary prinorple announced and acted upon by the administration of Mr. Monroe, has met with the sternest and most deadly opposition from a
surviving member of the calinet by which it was, at the period of
its original announcement, unanimously approved. I have heard
from various sources heretofore the history of this part of Mr.
Monroe's message; and that it was unanimously and deliberately
anothered by his whole calinet, we have all long substantiance
from contemporances history, and we would equally have all
red it from the fact, that no protest ageinst it ever found its way to the public attention, and no disapprobation has been at any time insimated, even by the Seator from South Carolina, now so web meat in his deminications, until very recently, indeed. In confirmation of this statement, I begleave to read a short extract from a memorable speech, delivered in the other wing of this capitol, by memorane specific, detwere in the other wing of this capitor, of the distinguished Senator from Massachusetts, now so unhappily detained from his seat here by domestic afflictions, in the year 1826. The gentleman alluded to, thus spoke in reference to the declaration of Mr. Monroe, of late grown so odious to some of its former friends:

"It has been said, in the course of this debate, to have been a loose and vague declaration. It was, I believe, sufficiently studied. I have understood, from good author ity, that it was well considered, weighed, and distinctly and decidedly approved by every one of the President's advisers at that time."

When this account of the matter was given in the House of Re-presentatives, by the distinguished Senator from Massachusetts, the Senator from South Carolina was presiding in this body, must have read the speech, and yet did not undertake to deny the correctness of the assertion contained in it relative to the unanimous and deliberate approval of the principle now in question by the whole cabinet. I think he will have to admit at least, that, if under this message of Mr. Monroe, his countrymen have been led into error, and bave been persuaded into the adoption of a princi-ple now deemed by him so unsound and dangerous, he is h-mself

not altogether free from censure in the premises.

But, Mr. President, I hold the declaration of Mr. Monroe in as But, Mr. President: I foun the description of an all a source as much respect now as I have ever done heretofore; and I am gratified that the present Eexecutive has so strikingly and repeatedly manifested his own deliberate regard for it. It asserts a principle which, I am satisfied, is indispensable to the statisticy of our promising the contractive from foreign volence, and our exemption form influence and our exemption from influence. ences unfriendly to our peculiar institutions. I shall not enter at this time into a labored vindication of Mr. Monroe's declaration; perhaps its wisdom has been sufficiently established by experience however, read a few extraots from the speech already referred to, supposing that they may be not without some persuasive cogency upon the minds of those who hold the opinions of the distinguished gentleman by whom it was delivered, in special re-

Specit:

"Sign" stall the personage alluded to, "I agree with those who maintain the proposition, and I coatest against those who deny it, that the message did mean something, that it meant much, and I maintain, against both, that the declaration effected much pool, answered the end designed by it, all great honor to the foreight and the spini of productions are supported to a service of the end designed by it, all great honor to the foreight much the spin of the contrary. The tone which it attends found a corre-ponding response in the becaute of the contrary. The tone which it attends found a corre-ponding response in the becaute of the few people of the United States. That people saw, and they rejuded to acc, out departing from our duty, we land done comeding useful and something effectual for the case of a cult therty—one general glaw of cautistion—one universal feeling for the gratified low of liberty. One conceans and produper-equivalent for combeter and all the contrary of the contrary

only, or, with approximate, but, I may say, with no intel enthusiano.

I have how a south a say on the subject of the actuation against European admiration in America. The late President seems to have though, the common med by him for that purpose to be the proper one for the open aways of the principle which find already here accided on. Great and practical monoconeness, it was found, most be approximable, from means cameron. Attempts of the lating, it was obround, indigently the made, ambot the choraces that were taking place, in the contraction of the storage of the contraction of the storage. We have a general unterest, that, through all the wast territories received from the common except alterest, though such as the storage of the storage

supports the principles a spinistance retired over a continues.

And now sir, having, as I think, vinideated both the ground of "humanity" and that of "policy" which have been taken in the President's message, I will offer one or two observations farther upon the latter of them. I confess, Mr. President, that whilst I would be willing to do all that Yucatun requests, because I deem her suffering people entitled to our sympathies, I am not wholly unmindful of the fact, that if we do not grant her assistance she is likely to receive it from other powers—from England especially. likely to receive it from other powers—from England especially She has made application for aid and offered to surrender the territorial dominion of her country either to Spain or Great Britain, if we refuse to recognize her as entitled to our sympathetic regard. if we retuse to recognize nor as entired to one symptomical regarding to Reppear we not return the succession of the control of the control symptomical procession of the control of the control, should grean it, and proceed to take possession of the country, (all of which she is estrain tod, as I thuk no one can doubt who me examined the documents upon our table), what will we say then? What will we do? I will we then go back to the message of Mr. What will we do? Monroe, and order her to retire from her newly acquired domain? Or will we submit to her retaining possession of Yucatan? If we do, then it is plain it.a. Cuba will also shortly be in British possession. do, then it is plain II.a. Caba will also shortly be in British possession. Long has England been sighting for this rich prize; and nothing has deterred her from seizing upon it but the declaration of Mr. Monree, and the well-founded belief which she entertained that that declaration would be maintained by arms if necessary. Let her ascertain once that our government has resolved to cower before her, and to permit her to colonize again on this continent, and she will own Cuha and all Mexico up to the Rio Grande, in less than ten years from the present time. No man is excusable for not comprehending this branch of the policy of Great Britain; it has been developed in a thousand instances, many of which are too recent to have been forgotten by any member of this body But, sir, I take it for granted that were we even to allow Great Britain to get possession of Yucatan, and thus open the way to farther conquests on the American continent, the citizens of this republic would never permit her to hold it in her grasp. The would prefer going to war to submitting to evils worse than war woman preest some to war to summating to evin worse than war. If war would and ought to be resorted to in the ease clied, I earnestly ask, why shall not war be averted by the only mean capable of being used for that purpose; viz—the present occupation of Yucatan ourselves as proposed to as! Sir, I confess that I am willing to go farther in securing our country from prespective I am willing to go farther in securing our constry from prospective dangers than this bill proposes to go. I should be willing to acquire Caba at once from Spain, by purchase, on just and equatable terms. We have reason to believe that the present is a particularly favorable period for attempting this important movement. If we neglect our interest in this matter, no reasonable man can doubt that the opportunity of obtaining this noble island will have purchased away forever. With Coha and Y acata we will have compased away forever. lete control of the Gulf of Mexico, and of all the commerce that floats over its surface; we will have it in our power to establish at once a direct communication between the Pacific and Atlantic oceans; we will be able to secure to ourselves the rich monopoly of the East India trade; we will be safe in every direction from foreign assailment. Without both Yucatan and Cuba—(and doubtforeign assainment. Without norty quanta and Cubar-quao doubt-less they must shortly belong to the same power)—to what incon-veniences and dangers must we always be exposed? Other Sena-tors have pointed these out so particularly, that it is unnecessary for no to dwell upon them.

for nic to dwell upon them.

But the Senator from Kentucky supposes that we sustain the same relations to Yucatan and Cuba that the powers of Europe do—that we have no greater right to complain of their interfering in the affairs of this continent, than if this intervention should occur in Europe; or, rather that we have no more right to comoccur in Europe; or, rather that we have no more right to com-plain of such intervention by any European power than that power would have to complain of such intervention on our part. That Senator seems to place no value upon the circumstance of local proximity; and yet this circumstance has been relied on by a distinguished statesman of the whig school, in a speech which bas already supplied me with several valuable extracts for the edifica-tion of his brethren of this body, as authorizing even a declaration of war, by the United States, against any power of Europe who should undertake to possess herself of Cuba, either by conquest or purchase. I read for the instruction of Senators on the other side of the chamber, as follows:

should undertakte to possess hersolt of Cutin, either by conquest or purchase. I read for the instruction of Sonators on the other side of the chamber, as follows:

'I now pacced, Mr. Chairman, to a few remarks on the subject of Cuba—the most impotination of our foruga relations. It is the buge on which interesting events the control of the control of the control of the control of the business of the control of the control

our population extends. It is the commanding point of the Gulf of Mexico. See, too, how it lies in the very har of our exist wise triflic, interposed in the very highway tamine, the effect of a change which should place this ishad in other hinds, todepent in new rals of commercial interconnects, or connect it with object of a different and till mose deagerons nature? Sr. I repect that I find an objectation to presse this to new rals of commercial interconnect, or connect it with objects of a different and till mose deagerons nature? Sr. I repect that I find an objectation to presse their specific connections of the pressure of the presseries of the pressure of the presseries of

And now, Mr. Prosident, what remains for us to do, but to support this bill, simply and heartily, in the form in which it has been offered to us by our committee. I see no necessity for material amendment of any kind; and I feel bound to declare that I am particularly opposed to the amendment introduced by my worthy colleague, which, in my judgment, would seriously jeopard the success of the measure contemplated. For; if our sending troops, munitions of war, and money to Yueatan, be made to depend not be continuouse of the war with Mexico, it may happen that we may get intelligence of the ratification of the treaty in Mexico, just after the passes of this discretization of the treaty in Mexico, just after the passes of the disclosure of the passes of the serious of the passes of the first passes of the serious passes of the first passes that the first passes are the first passes of the first passes are the first passes of the first passes are the first passes of the first successful.

On motion.

The Senate adjourned.

# MONDAY, MAY 8, 1848.

### RESOLUTIONS OF STATE LEGISLATURES.

Mr. DIX presented a resolution passed by the Legislature of the State of New York, in favor of the payment of the claims of American entizens, for spoliations committed by France prior to the year 1800; which was read, and ordered to lie on the table and be

Mr. DIX, in presenting the resolutions said, he owed it to the Legislature of the State of New York, and to himself to observe, that during the second session of Congress, after he had the honor that during the second session of Congress, after he had the honor of taking his seat on this hiory, this shipled came hefore the Senate, and he was appointed a member of the Select Committee, to which the application of the claimants was referred. A majority of the committee were in lavor of satisfying the claims, and reported a bill for that purpose. In employer the with an home able Senator from Series had claimant, [Mr. Manutha] not now in the seat, he had disserted from the opinion with the majority of the seat, he had claim the opinion with the majority of the conference of seat that the conference of the conference of seat that the conference of the con regarded the case of the claimants as one of great hardship, they did not think it could, either in justice or in equity, be thrown directly or indirectly upon the public Treasury. He had seen no cause to change the opinion he had then formed after the most careful examination he had been able to give to the subject, though he regretted to find his opinion at variance with that of though he regretted to find his opinion at variance with that of the Legislature of the State he had the honor in part to represent here; he should, if the resolutions contained an instruction, pay a cheerful obedience to tiem. But it will be observed that the Legislature has not given to the resolutions such a binding force. the Senators and representatives in Congress, are "earnestly requested" to support the claim. He would, therefore, only adult that if the subject should come before Congress, in should pay to the request of the Legislature the most respectful consideration.

Mr. UPHAM presented a resolution passed by the Legislatore of the State of Vermont, in favor of the construction of a railroad from Lake Michigan to the Pacific Ocean apon the plan proposed by Asa Whitney; which was ordered to lie upon the table and he printed.

Mr. STURGEON presented a petition of citizens of Philadelphia. Pennsylvania, praying that a Territory west of the Missis-sippi river, may be set apart for the permanent homes of the vari-ous Indian tribes inhabiting the United States; which was reterred to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

Mr. DAVIS. of Massachusetts, presented a memorial of citizens of the United States, praying the purchase of Mount Vermon by the government; which was referred to the Committee on Milita-

Mr. DICKINSON presented a memorial from citizens of New York, praying that authority may be given for the construction of a railroad between that city and Philadelphia, to facilitate commercial intercourse and provide for the transportation of the mail; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post

Mr. GREENE presented a petition of Naucy Jillson, praying a pension, which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. BENTON presented a petition from citizens of Oregon, asking the immediate extension of the laws of the United States over that Territory, and donations of land to settlers therein; which was ordered to lie on the table and be printed.

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Villeneuve Le Blanc, on the files f the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Private Land Claims.

#### EXTENSION OF THE CIRCUIT COURT SYSTEM.

Mr. YULEE submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to:

Resolved. That the Committee on the Judiciary anguire into the expediency and best mode of extunding the circuit court system to the State of Texas. Florida, Iowa, and Wiscousta.

### NEW POST OFFICE IN LOUISIANA.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to .

Resolved, That the Committee on the Post Office and Post Rosals be instructed to inquire into the expediency of establishing a post office at Bougere's, no the possib of R. Jomes' State of Louisiaga, on the west bank of the Mississipis river, to be called Et James.

#### THE LAW OF COPY RIGHT

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to : Resolved. That the Committee on the Library be instructed to inquire whether the provisions of the law requiring of publishers who take out a copy-right, to place the work in the Library of Courses well a mendment.

### INCREASE IN THE NUMBER OF MIDSHIPMEN, ETC

Mr. JOHNSON, of Georgia, submitted the following resolu-tions, which were considered by ununimous consent and agreed

Readerd. That the Commotes on Naval Affaira be, and they are hereby, instructed to examine into the property and necessity of exhibiting a professorithing of instructional law in the nearth-should of Amagodia. However, the nearth-should also make a nearth-should be a new analysis of tensor me the restrictions imposed by the act of 18. America. 18.2, to which the instance of multilities on the near of fair acts on attentive the merica of the number to 400; and show of allowing, at all times the nearth of the nearth-pinness out of the abronaut a look care to otherwise.

#### AFFAIRS IN YUCATAN.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, submitted the following resolu-tion, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to Resolve I. That the President be sequested to communicate to the Senate all the information, in his possission, in relation to the condition of Yucatao; and which he had before him when his recent message, relative to that country, was sent to Coogness; and also any information which he may since have obtained as to its present condition.

#### NOTICE OF A BILL.

Mr. DOUGLAS gave notice that on to morvow, or some early day thereafter, he will ask leave to introduce a bill to grant the right of way, and a donation of public land, to the State of Iowa,

#### RIGHT OF WAY TO INDIANA.

Mr. BREESE, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the memorial of the Chio and Mississippi Railroad Company, reported a bill to grant the right of way through the public lands to the State of Indiana for certain purposes; which was read and passed to the second reading

### BOUNTY LANDS, ETC.

Mr BREESE, from the same Committee, to whom were refer-red the bills from the House of Representatives for the relief of rea are one from the rooted of Representatives for the relief of those pre-emption clamators on Minni Indoa in Indiana, who, by their services in the Mexican war, are entitled to bounty land; and to authorize the curisms of Ozark county, Missouri, to enter less than a quarter section of land for the seat of justice in said county; reported them without amendment.

Mr. BREESE, from the same committee, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives in relation to military land warrants, reported it with an amendment.

### CHANGE OF REFERENCE.

# On motion by Mr. BREESE, it was

Ordered. That the Committee on Public Lands be discharged from the jurtier consideration of the memorial of the legislature of Alabama in relation to the assent of Congress to an act leasing the canal round the Muscle Shols, and that it be referred to the Com-

### PRIVATE BILLS.

Mr. HANNEGAN, from the Committee on Foreign Relations to whom was referred the memorial of the personal representative of William A. Slacum, reported a bill for the rehef of the personal representative of William A. Slacum; which was read and passed the second reading

Mr. NILES, from the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads, to whom was referred the joint resolution from the House of Representatives for the relief of George R. Smith, reported it

#### ADVERSE REPORTS

Mr. TURNEY from the Committee on Patents and the Patent Office, to whom was referred the petition of James Harley, re-ported "that the cummittee be discharged from the further con-sideration thereof," which was referred to.

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom was referred the memorial of Sarah Ten Eyek widow of Conrad Ten Eyek, submitted a report asking that the committee be discharged from the further consideration thereof on the ground that the committee, at this and former sessions, had declared "that verdicts and certificates of juries finding halances in favor of defendants, when sued by the United States, cannot be received as avidence

### CHANGE OF REFERENCE.

On motion by Mr. DAYTON, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on Patents and the Patent Office be discharged from the further consideration of the petition of Cadwallader Evans, and that it be referred to the Committee on

#### FEDERAL COURTS IN NEW JERSEY.

Mr. DAYTON, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom MR. DAYLON, nom the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom was referred the bill in relation to the terms of the circuit and district courts of the United States for the district of New Jersey, reported it without amendment.

#### DISTRICT COURT IN TENNESSEE

Mr. DAYTON, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom was referred the bill authorizing the district judge of the State of Tennessee to hold a special term, reported it without amendment, and asked its immediate consideration

The Senate proceeded to consider sald bill, as in Committee of the Whole, and no amendment being made it was reported to the Senate

Ordered. That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent.

Resolved, That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as afore; and. Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

### PUBLIC ARCHIVES IN FLORIDA.

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom was referred the bill respecting the public archives in Flo-rida, reported it with an amendment, and asked its immediate consideration.

The Senate proceeded to consider said bill, as in Committee of the Whole, and the amendment was agreed to.

No further amendment being made, the bill was reported to the Senate and the amendment concurred in.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent.

Resolved. That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered. That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in said bill.

### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. CAMPBELL, their clerk

"Mr. President; The House of Representatives have passed bills for the relief of John B. Smith and Simeoo Darden; for the relief of Thomas H. Leggett; for the relief of Lot Davus; for the relief of William H. Wilson, and for the relief of Amos Bull, in which they request the concurrence of the Secont.

### HOUSE BILLS REFERRED.

The bills from the House of Representatives for the relief of Amos Bull; for the relief of John B. Smith and Simeon Darden; and for the relief of William H. Wilson, were severally read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee of Claims

The bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of Lot Davis was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

The bill from the House of Representatives for the relicf of Thomas H. Leggett, was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Finance.

### DISCHARGED.

### On motion by Mr. LEWIS, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on the Post Office and Post Ronds be discharged from the further consideration of the petition of Joseph Nock.

### PRIVATE BILL.

Mr. DAYTON, by unanimous consent, asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill for the relief of John W. Simonton; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

#### GRANT OF LAND TO ARKANSAS.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. BORLAND asked and obtained leave Agreeaby to holtoe, Mr. BUKLAAD asked and ontaine leave to bring in a bil to grant to the State of Arkansas certain navel lands, subject to overflow, for purposes of internal improvement, education, and other purposes, in said State; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

#### AID TO YUCATAN.

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill to enable the President of the United States to take temporary military occupation of Yucatan.

The question pending was upon agreeing to the amendment submitted on the 5th instant, by Mr. Davis, of Mississippi.

Mr. HOUSTON addressed the Senate at length in favor of the bill. His speech is given in the Appendix.

Mr. NILES intimated his intention to submit some remarks upon this hill, but desired an opportunity to examine the docusubject to which the bill related.

Mr. GREENE .- I am informed that there is some Executive business to be transacted, and as it is late in the day, if there be no objection, I will propose that the bill be passed over informally.

Mr. FOOTE .- There is an urgent matter of business to be transacted in Executive session.

Mr. HANNEGAN.—If the Senator from Connecticut is not ready to proceed with the discussion of this bill, I suppose some other Senator is ready. It is very important that the bill should be speedily passed.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland -1 understand the bonorable Se-Mr., JOHNSON, of Maryland—I understand the bonorable Se-nator from Connecticut to say that he desires to examine the doc-uments connected with this subject. They were laid upon the table only this morning I believe, and I suppose there are not three Senators who have seen them. The Senator is naturally decisors of informing timeself first of the facts out of which this particular measure has grown. Now, I understand the chairman of the Committee on Foreign Relations to say that it is a matter of vast importance, which demands instructurous action on the part of the Senate. If it be so all important, this is, of itself, a sufficient reason why it should be well considered. But I am surprised to hear from the Senator that it is a matter demanding instantaneous action. Why, if I understand the matter aright, the subject has been before the Executive for six months. The papers subject has been before the Executive for six months. The papers are now laid upon our tables, and we are asked to involve the nation, if such is to be the decision of Congress, in all the perils of a protracted and uncertain warfare against savages. I trust that Senators will see that it is due to the President, that it is due to the faction, to say nothing of the Senate that we should have full time to deliberate before being called on to act upon a measure so vitally important.

Mr. FOOTE .- It seems to me that there has been sufficient time for an examination of the documents that have been laid upon time for an examination of the documents that have been laid upon our table; and that Senators ought to be prepared to act upon at this moment. In reference to a remark of the Senator from Marynand, he will allow me to say that the Executive has not been in possession of all the facts relating to the case of Yucatan, for six months as the Senator supposes. New facts have been communicated from time to time. I trust that if the Senator from Connecutent is not ready to proceed this morning, that there is some other Senator, who desire to discuss this bill who may be ready.

Mr. HANNEGAN .- The Senator from Maryland will recollect Mr. HANNEGAN.—The Senator from Maryland will recollect that the message was communicated to the Senate on last Saturday week, and ordered to be printed; that on Monday it was referred to the committee, and reported backs on Tharesday. The Senator will perceive, then, that I have not demanded instantaneous action. I had hoped, however, that the Senate would take action to-morrow upon the subject, for the reason that if we act at all it is necessary that we do so at an early period. When we come to rend the accounts that have been placed upon our table of what we have already heard. The delay in communicating to us the facts of the case by the President was because he was waiting until all the information relating to the subject had been obing until all the information relating to the subject had been ob-

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland .-- I do not wish to be understood as consuring the Executive at all-

Mr. HANNEGAN.-I will state another fact connected with this subject to show the reason why I deem it of the utmost importance that we should act promptly upon this subject. It is that if we do not act promptly, not only will the people of Yucatan suffer in the meantime for the want of assistance, but the result will be that on the arrival of our troops we shall find an English force there, and who will pretend to say that he can foresee the consequences to be apprehended from such a state of things?

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland.—I was about to say that it was not my purpose to censure the Executive. The message was sent on Saturday week, but so far as I can understand from examining the papers accompanying the message, the facts were laid before the Executive six months ago. I now understand the Senator from Indiana to say that this is true, but that the Executive waited until further information was received, and I desire to ask the Senator if that information is not contained in the documents that have been laid upon our tables this morning.

Mr. HANNEGAN.—I reply to the Senator that it is not any new or additional information, it is merely in corroboration of that

which has already been put into our possession. The subject has been before the Senate for upwards of a week, and the Senator has not thought proper to call for any information in addition to that which was communicated with the message.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland.—I had presumed that the President of the United States, in sending to the Senate a message like this, would have sent with it all the information relating to the case. I understand now, however, from the Senator from Indiana, that the information he has sent, is information on which the Executive did not act, and that the information that induced the sentence of the senator of the s netore. I supposed that what was not upon our tubes the morning, was every thing that the Executive was in possession of at the time he sent in the message, but, if it is not it affords another reason why we should act deliberately, and, I propose, if the subject be postpoored, to call upon the President for all the informa-

Mr. HANNEGAN—If it were proper to allude to the proceedings of another branch of Congress, I could inform the Senator that a call was promptly made and promptly responded to by the communication of letters from commodore Perry, and from Licut. Murray Mason who have been upon that station, in confirmation of the statements already communicated. Much as I dislike the mode of debate by question and answer, yet, if the Senator from Maryland will allow me, I will ask him, suppose we delay action and that authentic information comes to us that England is in possession of Yucatan, how would be then act?

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland.—When I get such information I shall be prepared to answer the Senator. About the time when the annexation of Texas was proposed, we heard a great deal said about the design of England to interfere and take Texas under orotection. We heard a great deal about England's coqueting Texas, and we were told that if we did not annex her, she her protection. would annex berself to England-that the affair was pregnant Now, if the honorable chairman will permit me to put the same question to him in relation to every part of the continent. According to the doctrine of Mr. Monroe, we must take charge of the whole continent. How do we know but that the forcharge of the whole continent. How do we know out that the for-ces of England are now crossing the Atlantic with the view of seizing apon some portion of the continent, and if this is to justify us in involving ourselves in a state of war, we shall be engaged in war continually? I think that one war at a time is quite enough, we have got little from it except glory. And it is a httle extraor-dinary that there should be an effort to force upon us now a measure like this, when we have it confessed by the chairman of the ure like this, when we have it connected by the transmission of the Committee on Foreign Relations, that the credit he has not from the proper to communicate to the Senate. I ama little surprised, and I cannot help expressing my surprise, that he should not have communicated it without being interrogated. I propose now has him to communicated the information in his possession to sak him to communicate all the information in his possession to

Mr. CALHOUN -There are two questions involved in this Mr. CALHOUN—I here are two questions involved in the matter. One is the danger of England taking possession of this country before we can; but in my apprehension, there is no necessity for hurrying on that account. The other question is that of humanity. According to the provisions of this bill, we can render no aid for months to evue. The only aid that can be furnished is in the hands of the President. I mean the naval forces—and I trust he will use them with the utmost effect. He has ample power to do so without the authority of Congress. I trust that the Senator from Connecticut will be permitted to have to-morrow for presenting his views to the Senate.

Mr. HANNEGAN .- So far as aid can be extended by the naval forces it will be to a very limited extent indeed. I dislike ve much to have this question delayed. One word in reply to the Senator from Maryland. I put the case of England taking pos-session of California. If England puts a hostile foot there, she meets an enemy to oppose her, there is no similarity at all between

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland.—Does the honorable Senator mean to say, that we have both Californias, and mean to hold

Mr. HANNEGAN.—Sufficient unto the day is the evil thereof. I hope her foot will not be placed there; if it should be, I would hold it right to drive her off without hesitation. Engiand may be coquering says the Senator, it is a strange species of coquery.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland .- I did not say so.

Mr. HANNEGAN .- The Senator said that Texas and England had carried on a little game of coquetry.

Mr. HOUSTON.—Will the Senator be good enough to state upon what nuthority he founds a charge like that—for it is certainly a serious charge

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland .- I said that Texas had coquetted with England, but I did not intend to bring it forward as a charge against Texas, or her worthy representatives. If the Senator will allow me, I will recall to his recollection, that on a certain occasion when he was making a pilgrimage with General Jackson, and was at New Orleans, where the people received Jackson, and was at New Orloans, where the people received them with great celat, in a speech that he made there, he said— if the papers of the day correctly reported his remarks—that there was a little coquetry in the manuer of England towards Texas.

Mr. HOUSTON .- I am glad that the Senator has afforded me an opportunity of replying to a charge that was utterly unfounded an opportunity of replying to a charge that was utterly unlounded. It was corrected in a communication the next day, sent to an editor, which he never thought worth while to publish. What I said was, that if Texas had been guilty of coquetry with England, she would be perfectly justifiable, in consequence of, the indicates the contract of the contr upon the public mind to dispose it more favorably towards Texas. The agent of the United States in Texas was a gentleman who felt deeply interested in the matter; and from a want of becoming courtesy on the part of the authorities of Texas towards the British agent, his apprehensions were greatly excited. No pains were taken to allay or counteract these apprehensions. the United States was at liberty to draw his own conclusions, and I would have thought it very indelicate to have instructed a minister of this enlightened government to extend civilities to any one which were not agreeable to himself.

Mr. HANNEGAN.—I have only to say that this coquetry, like most coquetry, would have resulted in the most serious coasequences, had not the United States determined to annex Texas. It would have resulted fatally for Texas. The bogorable Senator from New York, in a speech some eight or ten days since, laid so clearly before the Senate, the rapid stride that England is making along the Isthmus, that it would be folly for me to advert to it. arong the Islamins, that it would be folly for me to advert to it again. The gentleman said that England is ready to grasp Yucatan. Has she not already seized possession of the most fertile part of that country called the Balize?

It is England through her agents, that has stirred up the Southern Indians against the white people. The gentleman is not sat-isfied, because the President has not communicated every thing to us. What does the President do? He communicates to your body the transactions, he gives you all the substance, all the information that any man can reasonably ask, and yet it does not satmation that any man can reasonably ask, and yet it does not satisfy the Senator! Yucatan being a province of Mexico, and being overrum and laid waste by savages, applies to us for protection, simultaneously with England, whose object we are told, is to seize upon Yucatan. The question is then, will you wait and allow her to do so? That would involve at once the question of war or peace between the United States and England. The governor of Jannica has been applied to, and I have not the slightest doubt, that without waiting to hear from bome, he will respond to the call that is made upon him. Mr. Sierra says, that unless they receive aid within two months, Yucatan must cease to exist and yet we are to sit here day after day, without coming to exist, and yet we are to sit here day after day, without coming to any determination. I have ever been in favor of the largest liberty in debate, but in such a case as this, when we are called upon by every consideration of justice and humanity, out of regard to our own government and its institutions, we ought not to hesitate a moment.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi.—The honorable Senator from Indiana, the chairman of the Committee on Foreign Relations, has ana, me cuarram of the Committee of Foreign Resistors, flas presented the emergency is such a strong light that it ought to be sufficient to induce the Senate to act upon the bill, but if not sufficient, then I hope the bill will be passed over until to-morrow, and give place to a bill reported by the chairman of the Committee on Military Affairs, for raising twenty regiments of volunteers. I hold that if we are to do any thing, it must be done immediately, hote that it we are to so any thing, it must be some immediately, that Great Britain may find us in the occupation of the country, otherwise we may be compelled to come in collision with that power. If the bill be passed over, than I hope the chairman of the Military Committee will press his bill, and that it will be passed this day

Mr. CALHOUN.—I think that the Senate must be satisfied by this time of the correctness of what I said, when the message first came in. that there was a great deal in it to produce de-liberation. When certain words were used in a message—I am always on the look out. Here comes a quiet message of but few lines, merely intimating that it is possible, and only pos-sible, that Great Britain may take possession of Yucatan.— But there is not a particle of information which leads us to appre-The original basis of the recommendation hend such a result. hend such a result. The original basis of the recommendations of the President, however, is the question of humanity. But connected with this, there is presented the supposition that there is actually danger of an English war for the possession of Yucatan. That, I regard, as the merest fiction. This is not, jin my opinion, any such exigency as requires us to act at once, except as relates to the question of humanity.

Mr. CRITTENDEN supported the motion of the Senator from Mississippi, to lay the bill on the table, and reiterated his objections to the bill itself.

Mr. WESTCOTT.—I am in favor of the suggestion of the Senator from Mississippi, [Mr. Davis;] but not on the grounds advanced by the Senator from Kentucky, [Mr. CRITTENDEN,] and I will state my reasons. I am in favor of measures being adopted I will state my reasons. I am in favor of measures being adopted by this government with respect to Yucatao, like tobes indicated in this bill. I have heard a great deal said about sympathy and humanity, and so forth for one of the belligerent parties in Yucatan, and that it should influence our action on this bill. These considerations, in this matter, have not a feather's weight with me. My sympathy, as a Senator, is for my own country—my care for its honor and its interests. I don't know, nor do I care, any thing about the quarrel between the different hostile parties in Yucatan. It is not a more than a tion is induced by totally different considerations. It is not a fact that I regard it as important to know, that Yucatan is now the theatre of a civil war. Under the pretence of sympathy or humanity, or of protecting the interests of examples, Great Britain is sending trops into that State. I have had sent to me a newspaper, published at Campeachy, on the 31st of March, 1848, called "El Amgo del Pueblo," which I have now in my hand, with a translation of it, showing fully the state of affisirs on this point in Yucatan. It states that the British governor of the Balizo had sent to a town or village in Yucatan, called Bacalar, "three companies of troops for the object of progovernor of the banks may be a subject of the object of pro-teeting the interests of British subjects in the town of Bacalar.' I am satisfied, from the past courses of Great Britain, that the ob-I am satisfied, from the past courses of Great Britain, that the object stated is a mere pretence—a protext for getting possession of the country and holding it. She got possession of the Balize some sixty odd years ago, by a diplomatic fraud followed up by force. By the treaty of peace between her and Spain in 1783, he secured the right to cut logwood in Honduras, but the sovereignty was not ceded by Spain to her, and Great Britain was even required to demoish all forts her had restet there; (Vide Chalmers collection of British Treaties, vol. 2, p. 233) and vet she has seat and kept through there, has a governor and experies all the powers of surhouse the same of the properties of the power of the same seat of the properties of the power of the same seat of the properties of the power of the same seat of the same seat of the power of the power of the same seat of the same seat of the power of the same seat of the s never landered at one mode of michage or naval positions belonging to another nation. Gibratter and Malta, and the Bahamas, are held by her because they are such positions. Sho is now ready to posuce down or Vucatan, and she will hold it, because it will asko, in her hands, be such position—any, she has already got it will asko, in her hands, be such position—any, she has already got it.

also, in hor hands, be such position—nay, she has already got it within her grasp.

Mr. President: It is important for our interests that she should not continue to hold Yueatan. She hates us, and there is not much love lost. She wants Yueatan as an important naval position, from which she can, in time of war, harass and annoy our commerce in the Gulf of Mexico, and that which goes through the Caribbean sea further south, and as a military outpost, from which she can assail the five States lying on the Gulf. Sir, the northern point of Yueatan is hut 20 miles from the southermost point of Florida, and but 60 miles from Cuba, and less than 400 from Jamica. Look at the map of my State; for nearly a bunderd miles the Lucayo or Bahama islands lay a long side of her. ard with a population of emancipated slaves, many employed in ressels of small burthen, and being from fifty to sixty miles only from us. These weessle visit our coast at pleasure, and seek to interfere in the These vessels visit our coast at pleasure, and seek to interfere in the occupations of our citizens. They have often aided slaves to abscond, and have harbored them, and as the Senator from South Caronina, [Mr. Ca.houvn.] can state, while he was Secretary of State; they harbored several fugitive slaves, who fled acress the Florida straits to Nassan, N. P., in a stolen boat, after perpetrating an atrocious murder, and yet, when demanded by the United States, arrogious murder, and yet, when demanded by the United States, and an officer sent in public a vessel for them, and though they were in Nassau, in violation of the tenth article of the Ashburton treathe British authorities refused to yield up the fclons, though evidence of the murder and felony was furnished duly authentica-ted-being copies of the indictment found against them in Florida This infamous violation of the treaty, is yet unatoned for! Great Britain covets Cuha, and unless we keep a sharp look out, will get it. Does any body doubt it? If there is any one who does, I would ask them to listen to a part of Lord George Bentinck's speech in the House of Commons, on the 3rd of February last, in a debate about the West Indies and slavery, and emancipation and sugar, and this country. I call the from South Carolina, to his language. I call the attention of the Senator

from South Carolina, to his language.

"He quite agreed with Captain Philing on. Their would never just down the abserade so long as it depended upon block-sizing 102:50 miles of coast. He would do with Captain Philingian economically—attive a blow at the head and not at the hundred and the properties of the properti

The CHANCELLOR of the EXCHEQUER (Sir Charles Wood,) but would you seize

the Brazia is a well?

Lood Genore Bastriner, said, "t be case of Cuba stood upon its own ments, and apon the debt of £45,000,000, due to Bransh allipects from the "Spanish Government."

There, depend upon its where foreit Britain prosessed Havarnah, as once she did, in when the could cut the trade of America in two, no more basts would be heard of what the 'Luted' basts could do, saids in latth when was not long and untered by one of the trade of America in two, no more basts would be heard of the trade of the said of the said

critication of the coil. He thanked the house for having so long listened to him. (Hear, hear). As he had said before, if any one should chose to take a more decisive and mineclaid cooper for affording relate to the British plants,—a counce which he should think must desirable,—be should not consider hunself preclated from any considerable and the state of the state

This was the language of one of the most distinguished statesmen of Great Britain, in his place in Parliament.

Mr. CALHOUN.—I am very glad that the Senator has called my attention to the declaration of Lord George Bentinck, and I my attention to the declaration of Lord George Bennick, and I take this opportunity of exposing what I regard as a very fraudient proceeding, on the part of newspaper editors in this country. Lord George Bentinck is bostile to the present administration in England. Whas he made the declaration the British ministry in Legiand. When he made the declaration the British ministry in the gravest manner uterly disavowed it, and yet our editors have printed and published in every direction the remarks of Lord George Bentinck without publishing the contradiction. But the fact is as I have stated, that an express disavowal was made by the British ministry in the strongest possible language.

Mr. WESTCOTT .- The interruption of the Senator prevented Mr. WESTCOTT.—The interruption of the Senator prevented me from adverting to the course of the British minister in the next sentence I should have n tered, as I have seen that course stated in the same report from the London Times from which I copied the remarks of Lord Bentinck.—I may be in error, but I am quite certain, the British Chancellor of Exchequer, (not Lord John Russell,) in reply, confined his speech to disk, and that he could should not long Lord Green speech to disk, and that he could be considered to the second of the second sections. I do not remember any emphatic reputation of them. But it is not Lord George Bentineks declaration of them. But it is not Lord George Bentineks declaration of them. But it is not now the designs of Great Retiation of the second sections. repudiation of them. But it is not Lord George Bentineks declaration alone, that I rely on to prove the designs of Great Britain on Cuba, and, as it follows of course, on Yucatan. Besides the possession of these places, and whether she gots possession of them or not, she seeks to emancipate the slaves in Cuba, and to strike the southern portion of this confederacy through its domestic institutions. She has avowed her design to see slavery abolished on the continent, and that her efforts will be directly abolished on the continent of the contine the Texas atlair—she meddled in California and Mexico, and is now interfering in Yucatan. Her agents made the Mexicon treaty and it is more for their advantage than it is for ours. Are the Southern States of this condederacy prepared to see the slaves in Cuba emancipated by the efforts of Great Britain—and then to see her in possession of Yucatan, and populate it with a colony of manumitted negroes from Jamaica. My State will not assent to such a state of things, while my voice can be heard to protest against any line of policy that will result in it. Why sir, Florida would be surrounded by a cordon of foreign colonial governments, the be surrounded by a cordon of loreign colonial governments, the population of which would be emancipated slaves, under the con-trol of the worst enemy of the United States, While Cuba is not disturbed by British intrigues—while Spain is allowed to remain in quiet possession of that heautiful Island—while abolitionism is not allowed to foment incendiarism, then I would not interfere with Spain in her dominion over it. We do not covet it, unless our enemy seeks to obtain it as a means to work us injury in peace or in war. Before Great Britain is allowed to take it, I trust those who may be in the administration will resist it by force. Rely upon it, Great Britain looks to Yucatan with similiar views, as she looks to Cuba. With respect to it I would observe the same policy. I would go to war with her for it.

Mr. CRITTENDEN would ask the Senator from Florida if the government meant to take possession of Yucatan under this bill and hold it permanently?

Mr. WESTCOTT .- If Great Britain attempted to retain possession of it, I hope our government will, and I would resist Great Britain's attempts by force.

Mr. CRITTENDEN .- That is not an answer to my enquiry Does the Senator design to take possession of Yucatan at once and retain it, and, should it he accessary, by military force?

Mr. WESTCOTT.—That depends on circumstances. If Great Britain makes any attempts to hold, or if the country becomes de-relict of government, I certainly would do so. Yucatan was of the utmost importance to the United States. I have not time, and this is not the proper oceasion to go into this part of the subject, but I can show it is important to us. As to its present condition being any evidence that it was no agricultural country; every body knows that the Spanish and Mexicans, and half Indians that reside there, are not the people to develope the agricultural resources Twenty-seven years ago, when under Spanish rule of a country. Newton states ago, which indeer Spanish Lack-there was searcely a white inhabitant within a hundred miles of what is now a flourishing city in Florida, exporting upwards of six millions of agricultural products annually, and the surround-ing country settled with planters of cotton, sugar, rice, and corn, though that country was then denomed by travelling writers, corn, though that country was then denounced by travelling writers, newspapers, and in Congress as valueless. Yucatan can be made valuable as an agricultural country but if not, and if held by us merely as a naval station it will render the possession of Cuba to Great Britain for the objects she wished, comparatively worthless. Mr. President, I am in favor of carrying out the principles pro-

claimed by President Monroe in his messages of 1823 and 1824. I regard his declarations to amount in substance to an annunciation to gard his declarations to amount in substance to an annunciation to the world. that no European monarchical power would be allowed, thereafter, to extend or increase its empire or dominion in this country. I am for standing by those declarations at all lazards. And, sir, it is a mistake to suppose that this was the first time in the history of this government that a President of the United States advanced such doctrine. In 1811 President Madison advanced it, and carried it out. Congress snactioned it by practical and efficient action. I allude to the acts of Congress passed in 1811 with reference to the taking magnetic most of the Floridas. We and efficient action. I allude to the acts of Congress passed in 1811, with reference to the taking possession of the Floridas. We were then at peace with Spain—land no dispute with her—Great Britain, it was sneapeted, was seeking to get hold, of the Floridas. Not half the evidence of her designs as to the Floridas existed, that exists as to the resists as to the resists as to the resists as to the closings, on Cuba and Yuentan. Mr. Madison addressed a special secret message to Congress, and it legislated upon it with closed doars. upon it with closed doors.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland .- Give the dates if you please.

Mr. WESTCOTT .- The message is in the 3d vol., American Mr. WESTCOTT — The message is in the 3d vol., American State Papers, tule Foreign Relations, page 395; see also page 591, for other papers; and it advances the doctrines, and policy declared in the iaw and resolution I shall quote. It is dated Lan-nary 3, 1811. The resolution and laws are to be found in 3 vol., Stat. at Large, page 471. It will refer to them particularly. Congress, in secret session, January 15, 1811, passed a reso-lution resolving:

That taking into view the peculiar situation of Spain and of her American inces, and considering the influence which the destiny of the territory a loging inthem border of the United States may have upon then security, tranquility omneries, therefore

Raskett, &c., That the United States under the peculiar can amstances of the assisting rats cannot without sensor applicable, see any part of the said turning a perfect of the said turning a perfect their to provide under centar contingencies for the emporary occupation of the said terrings, they at the same time declare that the said terrings which is the said terrings which that the said terrings which the said terrings which to thinton engoistoms.

On the same day it passed, in secret session also, an act authorizing the President "to take possession of, and occupy all, or any part of, (the Floridas,) in case an arrangement has been or shall he made with the local authority of the said territory for delivering up the possession of the same or any part thereof to the United States, or in the event of an attempt to occupy the same, or any part thereof, by any foreign government. But, and it anthorized the employment of the army and navy which he deemed necessary for that purpose, and it appropriated money to effect the object; and it further authorized the President "to establish within the treature affects".

the object; and it further authorized 'file President ''tō establish within the territory aforesaid a temporary own omment," with military, civil, and judicial powers, &c.; and March 3, 1811, it military, civil, and judicial powers, &c.; and March 3, 1811, it passed, in secret session also, another act directing said law and resolution not to be published with the other laws.

In 1811 part of West Florida, west of Pedidlo, was taken possession of, and occupied, and held under this authority; and February 12, 1812, another act was passed authorizing the President to continue to hold it, and we did hold it till Spain ratified and confirmed our possession by the treaty of 1819. In 1811, and 1812, and 1813, under these laws troops were also sent to East and West Florida, west of the Perdido, but subsequently withdrawn at the close of the war with Great Britain.

Here is the action of Congress carrying out the doctrine claimed.

Here is the action of Congress carrying out the doctrine claimed

to be Mr. Monroe's twelve years before his message.

Mr. President, I am for standing up to these principles and doctrines. I would not retreat one inch. Main them by the them by the doctrines. I would not retreat one inch. Main' them by the power we now possess, trebel that we could wired in 1811. The case of Yucatan is one that calls for their being put into force. For this reason, and not trom any affected sympathy, shall I support the administration in sending troops to Yucatan; and I would not leave the President to act on his own responsibility, I would make it his duty by express law. It is due to the country, and it is due to the chief magnetizet, that the action of Congress should be had, by which he can be governed, and faction cannot impute unconstitutional proceedings to him if it should involve us in a contest with another power.

The bill was then passed over informally.

On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# TUESDAY, MAY 9, 1848.

#### PETITIONS.

Mr. DICKINSON presented a petition of Seneca, Onondaga' and Cayuga Indians, praying the reimbursement of expenses in entred in an ineffectual attempt to emigrate to the territory as signed them in the southwest, and that homes may be provided for them in the northern portion of the United States; which was referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

Mr. STURGEON presented a memorial of citizens of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, praying that a territory may be set apart; west of the Mississippi river, for the permanent homes of the various Indian tribes inhabiting the United States; which was referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

Mr. BORLAND presented the memorial of the representatives of William Armstrong, deceased, praying compensation for his services as United States' Indian agent; which was referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

Mr. HOUSTON presented a memorial of citizens of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, praying that a territory west of the Mississippi river may be set apart for the permanent homes of the various Indian tribes inhabiting the United States; which was referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

BUOYS ON THE COAST OF FLORIDA AND GEORGIA.

Mr. YULEE submitted the following resolution, which was considered, by unanimons consent, and agreed to:

Resolved. That the Committee on Commerce be instructed to inquire into the ne-cessity of making further provision for buoys at Nassau Bar, in Finida, and et St. Andrew's, St. Simon's Sapello, St. Cathaine's, Ossibou, and Warsaw, in Georgia

#### SUPERINTENDENT OF THE ANTE-CHAMBER

Mr. BADGER submitted the following resolution for considera-

Resolved, That the resolution adopted on the 21st of December last, authorizing the Vice Freudent in appoint a superintendent of the Senate's nate-chamber be, and the same is hereby repealed.

COMMITTEE ON ENROLLED BILLS.

On motion by Mr. UPHAM, it was

Ordered, That two members, to be appointed by the Vice President, be added to the Committee on Enrolled Bills.

Mr. Johnson, of Georgia, and Mr. Borland were appointed.

# MESSAGES FROM THE PRESIDENT.

The following message was received from the President of the United States by Mr. Walker, his Secretary:

### To the Senate of the United States:

To the Senate of the United States:

I communicate herwith a report of the Secretary of War, together with the accompanying documents, in compliance with the resolution of the Senate, and the 25th April, repeating the President to cause the levent to the Senate, a copy of the opinion of the Altonay General, with cepies of the secondarying papers, on the claim noted by the companying papers, and the claim noted being the difference between the cost of the stock, and the ary value theory (transferred to them by the Chicaksawa, noder the convention of the 17th Janaary, 1837, JAMES K. POLK

Washington, May 8, 1848.

The message having been read, it was

Ordered, That it be referred to the Committee on Indian Af-

To the Senate of the United States:

In answer to the resolution of the Senate of the 8th instant, requesting further information in relation to the condition of Yucataa, I transmit herewith a report of the Sercatay of the Navy, with the necessmanying copies of communications in mortice or not the Navy on the subject.

JAMES K. POLK.

Washington, May 9, 1842.

The message having been read, it was

Ordered, That it be printed.

#### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives by Mr. CAMPBELL, their Clerk:

Mr. President: The House of Representatives have passed a bill to emend an act, nititled "An act to raise for a limited time an additional militery force, and for other nrigues." approved February Hill, 1847; in which they request the cancarractor.

The above named bill was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Public

### UNITED STATES' COURTS IN NEW HAMPSHIRE.

Mr. MOOR, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom the 30TH CONG .- IST SESSION-No. 75.

subject was referred, reported a hill to change the place of holding the Circuit and District Courts in the District of New Hampshire, which was read and passed to the second reading.

#### PRIVATE BILLS.

Mr. YULEE, from the Committee on Naval Affairs, to whom was referred the petition of Michael Hanson, reported a bill for his relief; which was read and passed to the second reading

Mr. DIX, from the Committee on Military Affairs, to whom were referred the documents relating to the claim of Emiline Owens, reported a bill for the relief of the legal representatives of Thomas J. V. Owens; which was read and passed to the second reading.

Mr. UNDERWOOD, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the petition of Calvin Read, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for his relief.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

### CHANGE OF REFERENCE.

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, it was

Ordered, That the Committe on Pensions be discharged from the further consideration of the bill from the House of Represen-tatives for the relief of Francis M. Holton, and that it be referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs

#### NOTABLES PUBLIC.

Mr. DAYTON, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom was referred the bill to authorize Notaries Public to take and certily oaths, affirmations and acknowledgments in certain cases, reported it with an amendment.

### PAY DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. DIX asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill concerning the pay department of the army; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and refer-red to the Committee on Military Affairs.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the hill to divide the District of Arkansas into two judicial districts; and

On motion by Mr. BORLAND, it was

Ordered. That it be recommitted to the Committee on the Judioiary.

#### MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT.

The following message, was received from the President of the United Sates, by Mr. Walker, his Secretary:

Mr. President: The President of the United States has this day approved and signed an act for the relief of John Black, late Consul of the United States at the city of Mexico; an act for the relief of Christopher Cunningham; and a joint resolution respecting contracts for hemp for the use of the American navy.

### DEFERRED NOMINATIONS.

The Senate resumed the consideration of the resolution submitted by Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, on the 28th alt., in relation to military and cutil appointments made by the President during the recess of the Senate, and not yet nominated for confirmation.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland.—The honorable Senator from Ohio the other day objected to this resolution on the ground, that it was unconstitutional to demand of the President his reasons for it was unconstitutional to demand off the President his reasons for onlinating test to us for confirmation the appointments that he has made during the recess of Congress. Although in my opinion it is not obnoxious to this objection, yet to remove all difficulty I will move to strike out the latter part of the resolution, so as to make it call upon the President to know merely whether there are officers, civil or military, holding commissions, whose appointments have not been echanisated to the Senate.

Mr. ALLEN .- The amendment which the Senator proposes to make in the resolution does not entirely remove my objection to it. If the Senate has a right to call upon the President at all for information m regard to such a subject as this, he has an equal right to call upon the Senate to know what they have done, or intend to do in regard to matters before them. I think the thing is entirely irregular and unconstitutional; and I, therefore, move to lay the resolution on the table.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland.—I had hoped that the amendment which I have suggested would have removed the objections of the Senator from Ohio, and have induced the Senate to adopt the resolution at one; but I was disappointed. I understand the Senator now to say, that any such resolution of inquiry would be irregular and unconstitutions.

Mr. ALLEN .-- Any resolution in regard to the appointing

Mr. JOHNSON.—I understand the honorable. Senator; he told us the other day that it was in conflict with the provisions of the constitution, which coofers upon the Excentive outhority to appoint to all vacancies that occur, in the recess of Congress, and that such commission would be in force until the end of the succeeding session. There is other pressing business before the Senate, and it would, therefore, be improper in me at this time to occupy its attention upon this question, but I must be permitted to say, that I think the honorable Senator from Ohio will perceive that the continue during the next session, was merely intended to give the Executive a reasonable time; but it must not be supposed that the Senate is to be deprived of a reasonable time to act upon the nonintarrogation in the service to be performed by any officer so appointed the provision was, that he should continue to hold his appointment during the continuance of the session unless he should in the meantime be displaced. Now it is a little singular—I am not to be understood as finding fault with the power of appointment of the President, but it is a little singular—I am not to be understood as finding fault with the power of appointment of the President, but it is a little singular—I am end to the inderstood as finding fault with the power of appointment of the President, but it is a little singular—I am a bappened more than once that the decisions of the judiciary departments of the government have been denounced as susrapaions. So far as the Executive is concerned, if he keeps' within the letter of the constitution it is supposed that he acts in obedience to its spirit. No man can be so blind as not to see, that the investment in the President of the appointing power during the recessof the Senate, is a matter arising from the necessity of the ease for the purpose of keeping the government in existence. But we have without official information in the Senates and spirituments of the constitution, and we are yet

For the first time—we have sent abroad our army and our nays charged with the duty of conquest, by way of indennity; for the very first time—we have sent abroad our army and our nays charged with the duty of conquest, by way of indennity; that conquest to be prosecuted until indennity was fully obtained. At the commencement of the war we gave to the Executive and the control of the war we gave to the Executive and the control of the war we gave to the Executive to the control of the war we gave to the Executive by Congress to appoint those officers were required, and authority, and surhority, and authority, and authority on this he has appointed other officers appoint those officers. He appointed them in obedience to that authority, and in addition to this he has appointed other officers another; and in addition to this he has appointed other officers ments have not been sent in? Is the government these appoint of the services of these officers? Are they not now receiving their pay and emoluments? I have said—and I meant it in no inviduous sonse—that we have a certain Bragadier General Pillow in the service, upon whom the chief command would have devolved in case of the happening of a certain event. Why is it that his appointment has not been sent to us for confirmation? Why is it that he, upon whose bravery, and skill, and judgment, the success that he, upon whose bravery, and skill, and judgment has the success that he, upon whose bravery, and skill, and judgment for the commander-a-chief of the same manner. There is a certain Bragadier General Cushing, who is now sitting in judgment on the commander-a-chief of the army. Why has not his appointment been sent in? Is to be cause the President has not had time? The question is an insult our common sense. For ought the President has move these commissions would not stand an hour if they were submitted to the came of the resident has not had time? The question is an insult our common sense. For ought the President had the right to fill vacuncies, and arqued from t

Mr. ALLEN.—So far as regards the merits of General Scott, and the merits of the court of inquiry now sitting upon his acts, and so far as regards the Executive in connection with either one or the other, I turn the whole matter over from the Senate to the bands of General Scott himself and Secretary Marcy. I will not be a party to any proceeding that is intended or calculated to ar-

raign the court of inquiry now sitting in Mexico, and to prejudice the results that may follow from that court of inquiry by a con-demnation of the court itself. When the proceedings of that tri-bunal shall have been completed, when the facts on which they have proceeded, and their adjudication upon those facts, shall have been made known to the public, it will be quite time enough to bring it forward as a subject for public discussion. But there are some observations of the Senator from Maryland which I cannot permit to remain unnoticed. His argument seems to be predicated on the idea that the President of the United States is a mere individual, and not a department of this government; that the President of the United States is a sort of appendage to the Senate—a sort of Sergeant-at-Arms—having no powers given to him by the constitution. The idea, sir, is revolutionary of our institutions. The Executive is a department of the government ; that department has powers which it is sworn to maintain and depend against the encroachments of the other departments of the government and which that department cannot allow to go to decay or into disuse, any more than we can the powers entrusted to us, without a manifest dereliction of duty. A law cannot be made by the la-gislation of Congress alone; it must receive the sanction of the Executive. The Senator from Maryland supposes the case of the President withholding the nominations until the last day of the session, and puts the question to us, how is it possible for us then to act upon them. The Senator must remember that if the Prasident were to abuse the powers given to him in the constitution, he would be censurable; but, that abuse of power on his part would not transfer the power to the Senate; his responsibility to he people is a sufficient guaranty against the abuse of his power He is bound to communicate to us his nominations within a reasonable time, and to recommend all such measures as he believes to be of paramount importance to the public interests. What has been the constant practice of the Senate? Has it not been to keep back measures until almost the last moment of the session? Suppose the President, at about the middle of the session, should send in a message enquiring of the Senate, why they did not pass such and such a bill? Would we not consider it an interference with our privileges? Yet we propose to do this very thing, under the supposition that the President is bound to accommodate himself to our wishes, as a sort of appendage, or officer of the Senate. The amendment proposed to be made by the honorable Senator, in his resolution, does not at all reconcile it to my judgment. If you have a right to demand a fact, you have a right to demand reasons. The whole thing is entirely irregular.

reasons. The whole thing is entirely irregular.

On motion by Mr. HANNEGAN, the resolution was passed by informally, for the purpose of proceeding to the consideration of the special order.

#### THE YUCATAN BILL.

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill to enable the President of the United States to take temporary military occupation of Yucatan.

Mr. NILES.—Mr. President Sir—Before entering upon the consideration of the merits of this bill. I have to notice the extra-ordinary urgency with which we are pressed for the immediate action upon it; and the reluctance which has been manifested to allow time for a full and fair discussion of the subject. No one I hope, desires any thing more than this; and certainly I wish as speedy a decision as is consistent with that discussion, which the great importance of the subject demands at our hands.

But I am the more surprised at this unusual argency, on looking at the correspondence between Mr. Sierra and the Secretary of State, and learning the length of time which this subject has been pending before the Excentive branch of the government. There it has slept for months; but the moment it is sent here, we are reminded of the urgency of the case, and called on to act immediately without taking time to debate, or consider a question involving such important principles and consequences.

Mr. Sierra has been here about six months in Arcember. On the 24th he wrote the second note, and received an answer from the Secretary on the 14th of December. These notes related to our occupation of Laguna and the collection of duties. On the 24th, these letters did not solicit assistance from the United States, but they explained the difficult and critical sination in which Yacatan was placed, and claimed certain rights on the ground that Vicetan was notural in the war. These letters were followed by others on the 7th of March, the 5rd of April, and 18th of April, other on the 18th of April, or the second of the Secretary, and the chief clerk, Mr. Appleton, addressed a note to Mr. Sierra, acknowledging therecipt of all these letters, and informing him that when the Secretary returned, he would call his attention to them. In his note of the 3d of April, Mr. Sierra asys that he has made his note short, hoping "that from the brevity of it, the honorable Secretary would ned into the read it." A pretty plain hint, certainly, that he con-

noping "time from the inverse of it, the flowership excellents young find time to read it." A pretty plain hirt, certainly, that he considered that his previous letters had not been read.

The letter of the 18th of April appears to have covered a remarkable document from the governor of Yucatan, which, after depict ing in dark colors the sufferings of the people and the critical condition of Yucatan, purposes to surrender up the dominion and sovereignly of the country to the nation which will save it.—

"I have, therefore," he says, "determined to appeal to the extreme measure suggested by our great necessity—that of soliciting the direct intervention of powerfuld as-

tions, offering the dominion and sovereignty of the country to the nation which will

Whether it was the communication of this document which pro-Whether it was the communication of this document which produced a more flavorable consideration of the pressing solicitations of Mr. Sierra for assistance, I will not andertake to say. But down to this period, the pressing and urgent appeals of Mr. Sierra appear to have received no attention. The distressed and soffering condition of Yucatan, appears to have been before the Exception of the production of assistance. But the very day the subject is laid before the Senate, we are told that the case is one of such extreme argency that we must not take time to consider it, but must act at once. It becomes a case of life or death, and will not admit of any delay; the comes a case of life or death, and will not admit of any delay; the people of Yucatan may all be destroyed whilst we are debating the question of our interposing for their relief. Sir, if the Excentive branch could take months for consideration, we may, I think take a few days to consider a subject involving such vital principles, and which may be attended with such serious consequences. This, I believe, is due to the country, and due to nonsequences. , I believe, is due to the country, and due to ourselves.

Mr. President, to judge of the propriety of passing this bill, and to appreciate the force of the objections which stand in the way of its passage, we must first consider what the bill is-what it proposes to do. The first section authorizes and directs the President to take temporary military occupation of Yucatan, and to employ the army and navy of the United States to assist the white population of the country in the war in which they are now en-gaged with the Indians. This is certainly a very important and a very extraordinary measure. It is not exactly a declaration of gaged with the include. This is certainly a very important and a very extraordinary measure. It is not exactly a declaration of war, yet it involves a war and all its responsibilities—a war which may be protracted and troublesome. But it is something more than a declaration of war; as the bill on its face looks to acquisit. tion and conquest. War may lead to conquest, but this bill, on its face, directs the President to take possession of a foreign country and establish a military government over it. A military oc-enpation will of course supersede the existing government, as has been the case in other parts of Mexico where we have had a mili-tary occupation. This, theo, is a bill to take possession of Yuca-tan, and establish our authority over it. I know it is said that the occupation is to be temporary; but when will a temporary occu-pation cease? Not, certainly, until the causes which induced the occupation have ceased to exist, and as they will not be likely soon to disappear the occupation will become permanent.

And on what grounds is it claimed that so extraordinary a mea-sure as this can be justified? Two have been assigned: first, on the ground of policy; and second, on the ground of humanity, our interference having been solicited by the government of Yucatan. These two grounds do not stand well together. If we interfere and take possession of the country from considerations of policy, the world will give us very little credit for our humanity. They are inconsistent with each other; one looks to our own interest, the other to the interest of the people of Yucatan. That we might interfere and assist the people of that country in a way to rest on grounds of humanity will not be denied. But the manner provided grounds of numanity will not be defined. But the manner provided in this bill, really excludes all idea of humanity, and makes the measure strictly one of policy. And the honorable chairman [Mr. Hanneoan,] who reported the bill had the candor to admit that this was mainly a measure of policy. His remarks were almost entirely confined to that view of the question. To justify the measure on either ground, it becomes important to see what is the measured of entirer ground, it necessities important to see what is the measure for the measurement of the m

No one claims that Yucatan is an independent State; she does not claim it herself. The most that she claims is that she has thrown off the authority of Mexico, and that she has been neutral thrown off the authority of Mexico, and that she has been neutral in the war between the United States and Mexico. Our government have, in some respects, recognized her neutrality, but in others have regarded her as a part of Mexico. We have taken and still hold Laguan, one of her ports where we have collected duties as in other ports of Mexico in our possession. But in what light does Mexico regard Yucattan I Slic certainly regards her as most of the States of this reput which is then can we take possession between the possession of the state of the reput with of the can we take possession which is the possession of the state of the reput with the possession of the state of the reput with the can be taken to be stablish our authority there it will exclude the authority of Mexico; we must hold the country against Mexico. If we were not ico; we must hold the country against Mexico. If we were not now in a war with Mexico, this would be regarded by her as an invasion of her territory, and would certainly involve us in a war with Mexico. That our occupation was in pursuance of a request oil Yucation. Unit or occupitation was in pursianance of a request, with Maction would be no justification to Mexico, as long as she regards Yucatan as a part of her territory. It would be another Texas affair, with this important difference, that Yocatan has not yet asserted her independent and an another recognized as an accordance of the state by any mation, not have not been recognized as an experience of the companion of the states. Her coarse to the complaints of Mexico to say that we had taken her coarse to the complaints of Mexico to say that we had taken

no answer to the compilants of Mexico to say that we had taken possession of this department of bers for purposes of humanity, to assist the people in defending themselvs against the Indian population. But how will this measures stand in view of our present relations with Mexico. We have ratified a treaty of peace with that republic, and spart out commissioners to procure its ratification by

the Mexican government; and an armistice has been entered into the Mexican government; and an armistice has been entered into suspending military operations. Can we take military occupation of one of the States of that republic without violating the armis-tice? Can we make war on the Indian population of one of the States consistently with the armistice? The Indians are citizens, and a part of the Mexican population. To show in what light the Indians are received in Yucatan, permit me to read an extract from one of the letters of Mr. Sierra:

from one of the letters of Mr. Sierra:

"Through the special favor of Dwine Poridaever, the olion-yell of focusor which for some time part has detailed the public mind, has histogenest squiner from Yearta; and it e-citizes answared by a common perh has encody stilled roand their coefficients and several control their coefficients and several coefficients and the Yearta coefficients and their coefficients and their coefficients and control their coefficients and control their coefficients and control their coefficients and control their coefficients and several coefficients and special gauge great and their class are very, and to the same exist in our morns and promotine product in the control their coefficients and specialized and their class are very, and to be some exist in our morns and promotine special control that are accessed in theories of the latest the product of the polocy been attained no explain the accessed in the control their control their control their control their control their control that are accessed in the control their control that their class are controlled and their class and the control their control that the control their control that the co

We are here informed that the Indians are citizens; that they have the same civil and political rights as the whites; that they are eligible to office; that it has been the policy of the government to clevate them, civilize, and Christianize them. Strictly then, this can only be regarded as a civil war; and can we make our this can only be regarded as a civil was; and can we make our-selves a party to it, consistently with our present relations with Mexico? Would it not give occasion to those disaffected towards the present Mexican government, and hostile to the treaty to excite prejudices against us, to charge us with bad faith, and perhaps defeat the negotiation?

Sir, we know the extreme jealonsy of that people. We know the whole coarse of their conduct, and the light in which they have hitherto viewed the transactions in Texas. We know they are extremely jealous of us, expecially when they see an apparent disposition on the part of this country to seize upon their territory. disposition on the part of this country to seize upon their territory. And now, when this quotation of a treaty of peace is pending, and in its present critical condition, would it be sale, would it not be a total abandonment of all ideas of ordinary pradence and caution for us to adopt a measure like the one now before us for consider. ation; a measure nuthorizing the taking possession of one of their States, and sending troops to engage in a war there, all at a time States, and sending troops to engage in a war there, all at a time when the treaty is pending, and an armistice in existence suspending hostilities between the two contending parties. We have been told that Yucatan is quasi independent. I have before remarked that Mexico does not so regard her; and though Mexico marked that Mexico does not so regard bet; and though are no has made no effort to exert her authority over this State for some time past, yet when in a situation that will enable her so to act, will she not do it? We well know the tenneity of that people, will sale induors. We well know the featurity of that people, the Spanish race now in that country; they give up no territory unless driven into the last extremity. Can this be regarded in any tother light than as a new aggression, that would give abundant reason for a continuace of the war to the disaffected to the Mexican government, who are opposed to terminating the difficulties between the two countries.

Mr. President, in whatever light we regard the people of Yucatan, whether as an independent people, or as a dependancy apon Mexico, or as in a state of revolution, you cannot pass this bill consistently with what I believe we admit to be the settled princonsistently with waar i besieve we admit to be an estitud principles of policy which have ever governed the conduct of this government in its intercourse with foreign powers. In accordance with these principles, it makes no difference whether Yucatan is regarded in any one of these three lights. We have no more right to interfere in the domestic concerns of a state or province undar to interfere in the definestic contents of a state of province under the jurisdiction of some other country—no more right to interfere in domestic affairs, local in their character, than we have to in-terfere in the domestic affairs of a great independent state. Upon terfere in the definestic audits of a great independent state. Upon what principle, sir, can this military occupation of this province, be justified in reference to the established principles which have governed the action of the United States? View it in whatever as pect you please, I ask upon what ground can it be justified?

Sir, there are two great principles which have been held sacred by this country from its earliest history; and I am not prepared to admit that the declination of Mr. Munroe, so often referred to, properly understood, gives any sanction, or recognizes any policy of this government, which involves a departure from these principles. What are these principles? First, there is the principle of neutrality with regard to beligerents. This principle our government has ever maintained, and a unjority of our most distinguished statesmen have endeavored to impress its importance upon the minds of our people, and upon every other nation in the world in every possible mode and form. They have held it as one of the in every possible mode and form. They have held it as one of the most sacred of principles in regard to our intercourse with the other powers of the world, and the surest guarranty of peace. Can we consistently with what is due to this great principle interfere in this civil war, whether we regard Yucatan as an independent state, or as a dependent province? She is no part of the United States; she is a country foreign to us. There is a war there, sir, and can we foreibly interfere in it, without invalidating the great principle of neutrality towards belligerents? I see no

answer to this query; and I believe there can be no answer.

The second principle we have hitherto held equally sacred, the principle of non-intervention. It is the principle which this go-

vernment, above all others, ought to hold sacred, because it strikes at the very foundations of free government. It is the principle which belongs to a free people; which is, that they should take ears of their own selfies. Is it consistent with this principle, to take possession of a foreign state, and take part in a civil war in which it is engaged? Why, sir, a proposition of this kind needs but to be fully understood, in order to show its fullney. It does not admit of argument, because straing it, carries with it the argument. Are we to undertake to control by force the destinies of this people, and because they are in a terrible state of tunnit, and civil anarchy, are we to settle their difficulties by taking possession of the country, putting down one party and setting up another? What sort of government will you give them? Will you attempt to force your own principles of government upon them, or will you consult their winders, and attempt to set up auch a sevential difficulties at every strik hands? Sir, this matter is beset with difficulties at every strik hands? Sir, this

with difficulties at every step.

I wish to say something, Mr. President, in regard to this doctrine which has been said to have been held by President Monroe; trine which has been said to have been and by Freshell Monfoe, and which is very gravely spoken of as the settled and established policy of this country. We must look to the circumstances of the nations in this hemisphere and in Europe at the time the declarations of Mr. Monroe were made, and to which they were applied, to form a correct opinion of them. These were not abstract dec-larations of principles to govern the action of this government, but declarations applied to the then existing state of the countries but decearations apputed to the account of the state of t much less did he assert the right of the United States to interfere between Spain and her colonies, or to set itself up as the arbitra-tor end guardian of all the nations in this hemisphere. What he declared was, that the political system of Europe must not be extended to America to control the destinies of these Spanish American He did not mean the monarchical system of Europe countries. Contains seem of the proposed that are contained a sandown he trapes powers, sometimes salled the Holy Allanace, which divided and disposed of the small States of Europe according to their plensure. This is the system to which he alludes when he says, "we should consider any attempt on their part to extend their system to any portion of this hemisphere, as dangerous to our peace and safety." It was that arbitrary system of the balance of power, maintained by the allied powers of Europe, and which virtually annihilated all the small States, which Mr. Monroe declared could not be extended to this hemisphere. This was to prevent the allied powers from assisting Spain in the re-subjugation of her revolted colonies, which he said, had assumed and were capable of maintaining their which pendence and when the description of intantishing uncom-bined pendency. When the time had arrived for acknowledging the independency. A resolution was introduced in the other chamber urging among which the control of the control of the control of the granuary was a superior of the control of the control of the control of the granuary was the control of the He sent out commissioners to ascertain the condition of these countries, and whether they had effectually secured and were able to maintain their independence as States. Being satisfied of this fact, he acknowledged their independence. In the meantime, a pecumaintain their independence as states, boing satisfied of this late, the eachnowledged their independence. In the meantime, p peculiar state of things had transpired in Europe. The several powers on that great continent had combined against one great power, one great man. The result of that combination, called the Holy Alliance, was the overthrow of this one great power. This Holy Alliance, or combination among the great powers of Europe, was intended to control the power and direct the destinies of the governments of Europe. Spain was a member of this Alli-ance, and at this time was attempting to reclaim her possessions in this country. She refused to acknowledge the independence of these States years and years after we had acknowledged it. Monroe then looking at the state of affairs in this country, and anonce their locating at the state of autars in this country, and then at the situation of things in Europe, considered that it he-longed to the United States, as the great power on this continent, so far to interfere in hehalf of the Spanish American States, as to declare to the civilized world, that we would not permit this European alliance to extend its system to any portion of the American continents. It was this combined system, this assumption of power on the part of the great powers of Europe, to dispose of the nations of the earth, according to their sovereign will, that Mr. Monroe said no! be extended to this hemisphere, taking in the southern as well as the northern continent. Gentlemen may well say with propriety, that this sentiment was heartily responded to by his countrymen; though there has been no occasion to put it into practice. After a while the combination was broken up; and soon after, Spain acknowledged the independence of these States on this continent. This is one of the positions of Mr. Monroe; and has no connection whatever with the right of intervention, as now asserted, in the concerns of foreign powers; no connection with the idea which seems to be assumed, that Mr. Monroe claimed for the United States, as the principal and only great nation in this hemisphere, the right to dictate to, or control the destinics of all others. or assumed the obligation to protect them. His was not the doc-trine of interference, but of resistance to the interference of others. He was a man of too much good sense to have contended for the right of intervention in the manner which is asserted. He had no

What further does Mr. Monroe say? In connection with this

view, he says, in direct reference to the condition of the Spanish American states in this hemisphere, that

"The American continents, by the free and independent condition assumed and maintained, are henceforth not to be considered as subjects of future colonization for

What did he mean by this? I think nothing more than what is contained in his first position, as it has direct reference to the abil-ity of the countries on these continents to maintain their indepen-He meant no more than that those countries ought not to be forcibly reduced to colonies by any European power. mean that no European power could establish colonies in any unoccupied territory they might have in this country? Certainly he could not mean taat; because he admits taat the existing rights of European powers could not be disturbed. It was, then, only an boration of the first doctrine, that the countries here are capable of sortation of the first dectrife, that the contrins here are explained or maintaining their own independence, and could not be disturbed or subjugated by any European power. What is there in all this that supports the idea that it was the purpose of Mr. Mouroe to watch over all the countries of this hemisphere, and to repel interference in any one of them without regard to the idea whether it was an encroachment upon our rights, or such an invasion of the rights of other independent nations, as might endanger our own safety; but merely as being the great power on this continent, we had the right and were under obligation to regulate the affairs of all other countries, at least so far as regards European interfer-ence? Has Mr. Monroe ever laid down any such principles of power on our part?

power on our part?

Now, I might contend that, even if Mr. Monroe's ideas were so extensive and comprehensive as seems to be claimed, they were direct conflict with the settled policy of national intervention with respect to the rights of all nations which has ever been recognized by this government since the days of Wallon and the contract of the country, has not the least support in the world. Why, if we understand Mr. Monroe as going that length, and to say there shall be no further colonization by any European power, no enlargement of their possessions in this country, I beg to ask for the proof that such doctrine has received the sanction of the other departments and days the same that th

Mr. HANNEGAN.—Will my honorable friend allow me to put one question? I dislike to interrupt, but would ask, if our title was clear and unquestionable, why did my friend from Connecticut vote for the treaty which surrendered that territory to Great Betains at

Mr. NILES.—I alluded to the opinions of my honorable friend from Indiana, and others, that our title was clear and unquestionable. I certainly never so considered it; if I had, I should not have yound for the treaty.

There was another instance, that of the northeastern boundary, where there was not only a yielding to an extension of European programment, and the properties of the programment of the Stato of Maine, to which I think our title was clear and unquestionable. I hope, however, we shall be able to sustain the principle so far as respects our own territory, and in perhaps defending territory immediately contiguous to us, where it is in timinent danger of falling into the hands of a foreign power, and thus hazarding our own security. That, I believe, is the length and breadth this principle of the most office of the programment of the pro

Mr. FOOTE.—The honorable Senator from Connecticut misunderstood me on this point. I expressly said, as he will discover from my printed remarks, that the extract referred to from the speech of the Senator from Massachusetts, was introduced for the edification of the whig members of this body.

Mr. NILES.—Very well; good enough authority any way. It may be ased on either side. But I should have been pleased, had be quoted from another gentleman more distinguished in his position—I refer to the President of the United States. He did not, I think, sanction this doctrine. He, with others, adhored to the old doctrine. The democracy on that occasion, and but shortly after Mr. Monroe's time, stood upon the old doctrine of non-intervention. That was then, as it ever had been, the democratic doctrine, yet the policy of all parties. The proposed convention

or congress at Panama, involved the same principle as the cases under consideration. It was to regulate, by some system, the concerns of the different nations in this hemisphere, and to secure all against European aggression and colonization. This measure, very unvise, as subsequent events proved, was recommended and santained by President Adams, with all his hearning and ability; but as it involved a dangeroos principle of foreign intervention, it was, after a warm and able debate, but down by both boness of Congress, and there the thing ended. Let us look further and tee how the doctrine stands of resisting colonization in this whole hemisphere. What has this nation done in opposing British errorchments and aggressions have proposing British errorchments. or congress at Panama, involved the same principle as the case croachments and aggressions, perhaps called so properly? Look at her acquisitions upon this continent, and below us, too! Great Britain, through some treaty in '83, acquired the right of cutting Britain, through some treaty in '83, acquired the right of entiting logwood at Balize—the right of establishing a factory there for trade, but no right of territorial jurisdiction; yet, through the excretise of that right, she has extended her possessions anul she has acquired a large province—a province embracing the most fruitful and valuable part of Yeactan, and I will venture to say, that the trade of Balize is ten to one of the trade of Yeactan, even before these distributions coocurred. This is not all by any means. What has she acquired still further south? They have possession themselves of a province aclied the Masquite Coast, through the force of a deed or will, from some Indian chief, and thus extended her dominion there. This is not all Travel over the earth, and then you may attempt to describe the aggressive inroads of that power. I saw this very day an account of their aggressions in Venezuelaian Guyana. They had some possessions in Guyana, which they have extended, until they have absorbed the whole of what was Spanish Guyana. The writer of the statement I saw, and who seems to be well informed on this subject, says that in 1841 they encroached upon Spanish Guyana to the amount of twen-1841 they encroacued upon opamish Guyana to the amount of twen, by thousand square miles. Since then they have swelled the amount of their possession, until now they occupy a portion of country one hundred and eighty thousand square miles in extent. These one bandered and eightly thousand square miles in extent. These bandered and eightly thousand square miles in extent and the freet doctrine of Mr. Monroe by a quet acquiescence, and the leievest the Executive branch of this government has not yet sent the first diplomatic note to this power, saying that these sent the first diplomatic note to this power, saying that these things would note. It is too late in the day, sir, to take ground quite so high as this put forth by my bonorable friend from Induan. It is a ground which ought dana. It is too late in the day; and it is a ground which ought never to have been taken, because it was one that we never could have maintained. It would have led to a perpetual war with the world, or at least with England, the mightiest power in it. She world, or at least with England, the mightiest power in it. Sho is it he only power we have any fears of collision with There has been a serious disturbance upon the La Plata. England and France have both been acting the part of mediators or invaders there. We retheir objects and purposes inquired into by us? Did we seek for epter their interference? The difficulty was carried on in a portion of this hemisphere. We might have had reason to apprehend that the result of their combined intervention would have been the establishment of the power of one or both of these nations permanently and extensively. At least it might have been supposed that they would gain in substance the control of that supposed that they would gain in substance the control of that portion of the country. Have we interfered in this matter? Not at all.—All these things have taken place since the declaration of Mr. Monroe in 1823-24. In a mere suppositious case we are told that our interest requires, not to interfere diplomatically, not to protest against a foreign power taking possession of Yucatan, but upon the mere assumption that she may be thus taken possession, we are called upon to seize the country and occupy it ourselves. Sir, that is one part of the doctrine.

Mr. President, I thought it proper to examine these doctrines to which such importance is attended of late, and which are assumed to be the established protected of late, and which are assumed to be the established protected of late, and which are assumed to be the established protected of late, and which are assumed to be the established protected of late, and the late of late o

be European, do precisely what we propose to do, on precisely the same basis, that is the relief of the soffering people of this distracted State? The Senator from Indiana has the candor to admit that there might be a state of things, in which, if we take possession, we shall be forced to hold on. That would not at all favor the measure, however, in my judgment. There are difficulties enough in the way before you most that point. Is this measure to assume the character of acquisition or annexation? If so, it becomes infinitely more important.

Clause a few words, Mr. President, to submit in regard to the expediency and advantage of our interfering in this ratter, and the probable consequences which may follow to ourselves. Aside from all the difficulties I have partially alluded to, if it should appear that our interference can be of no essential service to the people of that country; that it will involve great sacrifices on our part, sacrifices not only of money, but lives; that it will involve us in a war which will be as with all Indian wars, a very trouble, some one—even aside from all the great principles which impede our way at every step, I ask whether it will be wise and prudent to the contract of the contrac

This is no trivial concern. This not a question as to the right f intervention, but whether we know enough about the merits of of intervention, but whether we know enough about the merits of the controversy to justify our adopting and carrying out the extraor-dinary mensures proposed. We are to take sides on this question. We are to become a party to this war, and are to assume that it is on the one hand a war of extermination carried on by barbarians, and on the other, a war of self-defence, a resistance to the mur-derous incursions of savages. It may be so. But I ask whether there is anything before us to justify our assuming such a position. attached to which are consequences so very solemn, not only to that country, but to our own citizens. Why, I have just read that country, but to our own citzens. Why, I have just read from this report, that these so called savages are citizens of the State of Vicatan. They have been admitted as equals into society, The white citizens of that country, says Mr. Sierra, have made great efforts to clevate, educate, instruct, and even to Christianize them. They are, then, according to this authority, a portion of the people of that country. To a great extent they are a civilized and a Christian people. They are Catholics, I bea portion of the people of that country. To a great extent riney are a civilized and a Christian people. They are Catholises, I believe, and we are to be called upon to aid in what? Why, in their utter extermination. This is, as is said, truly a war of extermination. For one, sir, I would be desirate long before I would give a vote to take the responsibility of exterminating this race, to say a vote to take the responsibility of extending and this race, to say a nothing about exposing and hazarding the lives of my own countrymen. I should like, for one, to know more upon this subject of I find that the correspondence laid before us sheds no light on the question, how this controversy begun. The statement, also, our Nr. Sierra, affirming that there were no factions existing in the state, does not seem to be entirely true. He doubtless supposed it so at the time, and there may be a total absence of the exhibition of factions to day, and yet to morrow they may came forth in all their evils. We know that during the short period of our war with Mexico, the condition of Yucatan has assumed three or four different phases. But there are factions. There are two parties, and dissentions among the whites as well as this controversy with the natives. No longer than the 5th of last month I find that the then governor, believing it might tend to barmonize and unite the people, and thus strengthen their hands in the struggle in which they were engaged, resigned in some, they were engaged, resigned in some, they were engaged, resigned in some, they were seems to have a seem to have a seem to have the thought they more been designed to unite the two parties, and to enable them morreflectually to defend themselves against the assaults of their savage foe, and to strengthen their lands against their common enemy, the Indians. But such was the bitterness of feeling between the two parties, that it had a directly contrary effect, for the eight thousand men who composed their army at the time, about one half, comprising the partisans of Meodez immediately returned to their homes, reducing the army to some four thousand men. Sir, we know nothing of the occasion of this difficulty. I believe that the report received to-day, containing the correspondence with the Navy Department, throws some light on the origin and causes of this war; but I have not had an opportunity to examine it. The correspondence in the two messages offered very little light—in fact, Mr. Sierra seems to avoid going into the origin of these controversies. Traced to its en designed to unite the two parties, and to enable them more

source, I think it will be found that this war at present existing in that country, is one of the deplorable evils resulting from their divisions. Sir, is it not improbable that the degraded antire oppulation of that country, who, for three long centuries, have been in a state of complete subjugation, and whose natural characteristic, according to Mr. Sierra, is stupidity, should, of their own ne-cord, after having endured oppression so long, from some strange feeling newly spring up in their breasts, unte their strength and form an offensive league against their ancient oppressors? Sir, there are, the ne must have been, other causes of this difficulty of

which we at present have reclain.

Sir, when foreign intervention takes place it is supposed to be for peace. When any intervention with the the affairs of other nations is undertaken, it is assumed to be, upon the ground of humanity, and looks to the interests of both of the centending parties. Intervention looks to the great interests of peace, and is the enemy of war. It is only on this ground that it can receive the least justification. Can we do that in this case? This is a war of one partion of inhabitants against another portion, whom they regard as their oppressors; and can we by a display of force induced the state of the present of t

Herald of this morning.

A few words in reference to the consequences to ourselves. We know something in regard to Indian warfare. Who, and what are these Indians that are to be exterminated? What is their number? What their prowess? What their mode of warfare? What their sources are the United States? Let us see what Mr. Sierra says upon the subject:

us see what Art. Secreta says upon me supper.

"The aumenost nobes of that rare fall with surprising rapidity apon the defendes where the subject, is very them reduced to askes, and then whisting to the important bear and the subject of the supper supper the subject of the su

Such are the people we are called upon to assist in exterminating. They are without artificial wants, subsisting in a state of nature, living on little or nothing, and sallying forth with astonishing rapidity, falling upon hamlets and villages, devastating them with fire, and then retreating far from pursuit to their forests and fastnesses. The governor expresses his opinion of them still more strongly. He says:

still more strongly. He says:

"The white acce-the citable doss of this State—is now attacked in an atrocious and teathrones namer by the shorquind ceake, wheth, roany simultaneously in neuriand teathrones incurred by the shorquind ceake, wheth, roany simultaneously in neuritangent of the same of the same of the same and the same of these barbarrans, are

Everything a rad and destroyed, the traws are delevered to finnes, and all, willnateron-decration of text or says, who fall into the bloody hand, of these barbarrans, are

needs, their categories, and other particular eccentrations, render the indicate terminances, their sections, and other particular eccentrations, render the indicate terminances are the same of the same

Here the governor says, that these Indiums have become terribe enemies. Mr. Siera states that they are vasdy sperior to his own people. In all the accounts which we have had of this cruel war with all its terribile downstations, I have never seen any account of the first battle that has been fought, or the first stand that has been made by the white population of that distracted country. It appears that they have a small force in the field, but whether it is that they are thraid to fight, I know not, I cannot whether it is that they are thraid to fight, I know not, I cannot resistance however slight to the desolating progress this formulable people are continuing. Now, sir, if we engage in this war we assume upon our hands no small undertaking. I know our soldiers are brave, and probably one shousand of our troops would op, pose a more formidable resistance to the incursions of these Indians than the whole military force of Vuestam. But, sir, from the attracts which I have just read, it will be renduly perceived that these people will be then yet and the property of the property of the strength of the property of the property of the control of the property of the property of the property of the property of the control of the property of the p

it is given through a permanent occupation of that country, and as I have already stated, that is the aid to which they look forward, and the precise kind of relief which they desire. They are expecting and hoping that the strong arm of this government will thus be extended to their relief. And that is the question, whether we are prepared to do it? Nothing short of permanent possession, or the total extirpation of that race, will do any good; our sacrifices will otherwise be made in vain. The question, as I have said, simply comes to this, whether we are prepared to take the people of that country under our care and protection through ford to them the security which they seem unable to secure to themselves?

Mr. President, I think if we were to pass this bill upon any ground, either of humanity or policy, we would be subject to the charge of inconsistency by our consistencies at home. The position charge of inconsistency by our consistencies at home. The position is a subject to the control of the control of

Sir, let us for a moment contrast this bill with a resolution re-cently passed by this body, and see if there be not some little doubt existing as to the purity and consistency of our present design. I need not remind the Senate of the very interesting sub-ject lately before us, growing out of the great movement in the old world, considered in this body, not in the form of legislation to he sure, still certainly in a form assuming to express the sentiments of this great people, thus giving it a higher character than its ordinary expression might be entitled to. We have, after much deliberation and contemplation upon the matter, adopted a resolution expressing our concurrence with, and congratulations at rising of the lower classes of people in one of the great nations of Europe, and upon the success of their efforts in overthrowing the higher and aristocratic classes of their society. That I take to be the character of the revolution in a great degree. And it has been called the revolution of the blonses. The men in Irocks, the sons of toil with their bronzed faces and hard hands accomplished the revolution, and we have expressed our approbation at the result of their efforts. And now, sir, we are about to express our opinion of a revolutionary movement, of a civil war in another part of the world. Having congratulated the blouses in one counpart of the world. try upon overthrowing the power and ascendancy with all their privileges, and influences, enjoyed by the higher classes, we are now about to pass a law, making ourselves a party with the higher classes in another country, to overthrow and even exterminate the lower classes or more degraded portion of the population. These people have been vastly more oppressed and degraded than the lowest ranks of the French inhabitants. All will admit, I

think, that these two acts would not stand very well together. We were told, Mr. President, by the honorable chairman who has brought forward this hill, that if we do not assume the occupation and protection of this contry. England will take possession of it, and then we shall be called upon, in accordance with the great doctrine of Mr. Monroc, as that Senator understands it, to displace, or expel her, and thus become involved in a war with that mighty power. If the Senator's idea is right upon this subject, it is giving to this bill a higher character than it has been supposed to possess. If this is so, we are not only to nessume the responsibility of consequences, already referred to, resulting from the company of the present of the present of the control of the present of

Mr. IIANNEGAN.-I remarked, that we could not forstell the consequences if we encountered English troops there.

Mr. NILES .- I believe I understand the Senator's position clearly.

Mr. HANNEGAN .- I said for my own part, I would be in favor of expelling her.

MAY 9.1

Mr. NILES.—The Senator assumes that that is the course which we should be bound to pursue. But I will not dwell upon that point, as I have no apprehensions either one way or the other. I know her policy has been an aggressive and grasping one; but I believe that the time has arrived when that policy has reached its culminating point. I believe that the general sense of the British nation has been brought to hear upon the subject, and that there is at this time serious doubts in the public mind in England, whether at this time serious counts in the punite mind in England, whether the whole colonial system is not a burden upon their hands. In a statement contained in the leading journal of London, the Times, speaking of the government's sending three small ships of war to the Mosquite country where they have some difficulty, it condemned the measure in the strongest terms, affirming that "it was not a time for small wars." The Times was astonished at the action of the ministry at a time like this in relation to a country action of the ministry at a time like this in relation to a country so remote and the ministry as a time like this in relation to a country the day in the large and as elsewhere? Is it not in layer of the grant and the overthrow of the colonial system! Whether these mental assume that the control of the control of the control full, If it agost that length, there will be nothing more absent large that the goes that length, there will be nothing more absent that the goes that length, there will be nothing more absent to the control of the con of the earth. Colonies do not add to the power or strength of a nation; on the contrary, they are a source of weakness. They are points to be defended; thus scattering the force and power of every nation holding them. It is only the advantages of position that colonies afford, and this is more than counterbalanced by the difficulty of defending them. It is the monopoly of their trade, found in the colonial system, that renders colonies of any value or importance, and if this relation is destroyed these dependencies will importance, and it is relation is destroyed unsee dependencies will become extremely feeble. Sir, if this system is abandoned the British colonies will be our colonies. We will have their trade and commerce. I speak now of her colonies upon this continent on our borders. The idea that England is about to interfere with Yucatan for her own aggrandizement is as improbable and as unfounded as any thing that ean be well conceived of. The power and ambitious schemes of England are constantly brought in view The power It seems to be with some gentlemen here, sir, a very fruitful top and brought to bear upon various occasions as to what ought to be our policy in extending our dominion or territory; also as a subject of serious consideration the eneroaching power of Great Britain and the danger of that power. We are constantly told of her hemming us round by the extent of her possessions, of her superior commer-cial advantages on the lakes, the gulf, every where; and it is as-sumed that there is something very alarming, hazardous to our peace, or trade, in all this. Why, sir, I view the matter in a very different light. I would assert our rights in overy respect against Great Britain all essent independent of existing rights, from the increase or extent of the possessions of Great Britain on this continent. I regard all such apprehensions as utterly vain and and brought to bear upon various occasions as to what ought to be from the increase or extent of the possessions of Creat Dritain on this continent, I regard all such apprehensions as utterly vain and fuile, and unworthy of a great people who ought to have confi-dence in their own position and strength. Who knows but what the settlements and progress of Great Britain upon this hemis-phere are, in the course of events and the dispensations of Provi-dence, to prove elements of strength and prosperity to this country? When house how what her agreement which they streamed the Who knows but what her aggressive policy, her strennous exer-tions to build up her power here, are all to enure to our benefit, commercially, and perhaps territorially. Do gentlemen suppose that the possessions of the British empire can remain and be held together and controlled by her with her present political system? Such calculations may, and probably will prove as fallacious as those made by the late King of France. He was strengthening and building up power which he thought would be available against any dangers that might assail him from within or without.— He had control over military establishments, fortifications, and all He had control over military establishments, fortilications, and the the elements of power military establishments, but the nation on the globe. But when the day of trial came, what became all this apparent strength? It del fits the rotten reed. Thus may it be with the lopewer of Grote Bratian. A tribitarry and manner are all stems when the dependent of the property of the came to the can tell what events a few gent with produce? Changes are well own going forward in the British englip, at home and in her colo-tions. nies, and the condition of that country may, in a very short period, be entirely different from what it is at this time. Her Ameviol, be entirely different from what it is at this time. Her American colonies may become independent; or they may become an nexed to our confederacy. Sir, these British possessions are vastly more valuable to us, to our trade, than the portions of this continent so much talked about. All these Spanish countries put to egether in comparison with the British possessions, are but a mere bagatelle. Why, sir, the trade of the British possessions is a superior and the state of the British possessions are that a mere bagatelle. Why, sir, the trade of the British colonies in our own products, amounts to some nine or ten millions of dollars an-nually; whilst that of all the Spanish American colonies, except-ing Cuba, do not equal half that sum. And what have we to fear from British power here? Are gentlemen afraid that we shall be attacked in our own territory, or that our commerce may be cut up? Sir, we have nothing to fear at home from any power or all The power of all the metric of the control of the c

in the world. We see that the people of Europe are of two dif-ferent elements and cannot be relied upon to act together. Sir, to trouble ourselves about the growth of British power is one of the idlest things in the world. I do not say that there might not be cases of Great Britain's attempting to possess herself of countries which might endanger our commerce—Cuba, perhaps, would be one, which would demand our resistance. But I speak of the general idea of the growth of British power on this continent. We

have no more reason to fear from it than we have from Mexico.

Mr. President, the policy on which this bill rests, fear of a supposed extension of the dominion of a foreign power on the one possible and it design of extending our award form over the mo-fri such an idea on he allowed. I regard as a unsound and largest-ons. This spirit of jealousy in regard to the strength of any pow-er on this continent is, in my judgment, not only a very unwise, but a very dangerous policy. What is to be the result if we re-sist every attempt—which seems to be the idea of the honorable chairman and others-on the part of any foreign government to establish or extend their dominion here? Will we not be kept in establish or extend their dominion here? Will we not be kept in a constant state of war or preparation for war? Will not the adoption of such a policy change our character, perhaps, imperceptibly, to that of a military people, and lead to a steady enlargement of our military and naval establishments? Will it not entail ment or our mutary and naval estaquisments! Will it tol entail upon us a national debt and change, in some degree, the spirit of the people, and in process of time, the spirit of not the form of our institutions! W billst seeking to avoid imaginary evils we may fall upon real evils. These evils we may run into under a republican form of government as well as under a monarchies). The evils resulting from overgrown military establishments, are a publicable under the withdrawn of color broads in the color of t evils resutting from overgrown minutary establishments, are a public debt, and the withdrawal of so large a portion of the industrial power of the country, to be maintained at the expense of the remaining portion. Look at France, with a military establishment of five hundred thousand men! If she expects to get relief from of five hundred thousand men: It sue expects to get relies to the burdens arising from this military establishment and a national debt, its legitimate offspring, by a mere change in the form of governdeht, its legitimate offspring, by a mere change in the form of governent, she will find herself entirely mistaken. My honcrable friend, chairman of the Committee on Foreign Relations, in alluding to the remarks of the gentleman from South Carolina, who adverted to the impolicy of hazarding an additional war, at the time when we were involved in a heavy public debt, said that when any great measure for extending our dominion—I suppose he meant our resisting foreign colonization in this country, or the carrying out the great principle of last of dominion—whenever there was any occasion for adopting any such great measure, the Senator from South Carolina was constantly bringing forward the "phantom of a national debt." Sir, the people of England and France, and all the States of Europe, seem at last to have found that a national debt was no phantom, but rather a sad reality. And shall we the States of Darope, seem at last to be a considered that we have the check was no phanton, but rather a sal reality. As an office enter upon a policy which has brought such calamities upon Enepe. And I hope the Scantor will pardon me for saying that I think we are progressing in this matter very respeciably; and that a national debt of no hundred millions is not to be called a phantom. I think if not sensible now of the reality of a national debt, with this convenient mode of getting along, by making loans, that when we get peace, and shall find it hardly admissible on our own real resources, the actual revenues, they we honorable gentleman will be convinced that a national debt is something more than a phantom. And with my views of our present revenue, and looking to the present disturbed condition of Europe, and the effect it must have upon our trade. I am inclined to fear that the Scantor may find that the public debt is a reality, and our revenue a lagantom. I hope it may not be so, Mr. reality, and our revenue a plantom. clined to lear that the Senator may find that the punic ent is a reality, and our revenue a plantom. I beep it may not be so, Mr. President. I have got through what I have to say in opposition to this bill. I have got through what I have to say in opposition to this bill. In a say in a proper of the proper of the say in a period people as far as may be consistent with the established principles of this government—with maintaining our neutrality in this war as in all other wars, and of abstaining from improper interference with the domestic concerns of this, as of all other nations. I am with the connected connections of the opinion that something might be done without violating these principles. Whatever measure may be proposed in accordance with those principles for their relief, I assure the honorable chairman I will go as far, if not farther than himself, in carrying it continually will go safe as not continued that industry that people with arms and amminition; I am not prepared to say, but that I would go to that length, but that would be the extent to which I would go. We have hitherto maintained a prudent, just and safe course of policy upon this subject and tearnestly hope that no untoward of policy upon this subject, and I earnostly hope that no untoward circumstances, no canorgency in neighboring States, no affecting appeal to our leelings, will induce us to depart from it. Any departure might be fatal to our best interests; and we might find it difficult to get back into the path in which we have so far walked with salety, and which has given to this country a degree of prosperity, an exemption from the evils of war, and a freedom from disturbances and entanglyments which has fallent to the lot to the lot from distinct and contager in the same that far in the far of no other nation. If then we have thus far steadily pursued this policy with a success and prosperity almost unequalled, I hope and trust the Senate will consider long and seriously upon the and that the cenate will consider long and seriously upon the subject before they pass a bill which, in my judgment, involves a departure from established principles, and which will expose us to new sources of difficulty, and become an example for the future, fraught with danger and evils which no one can foresce, and no buman sagacity control. On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

WEDNESDAY,

# WEDNESDAY, MAY 10, 1848.

#### PETITIONS.

Mr. GREENE presented a memorial of citizens of Rhode Island praying the purchase of Mount Vernon by the government, which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. DICKINSON presented a memorial of citizens of Champlain, New York, praying the removal of the port of entry from Plattsburg to Rouse's Point, in that State; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce.

Mr. DIX presented the petition of the heirs of Charles Newbold, decread, praying remmeration for the benefits which have been derived from the use of the cast iron plough invented by the said Charles Newbold; which was referred to the Committee on Patents and the Patent Office.

Also, two petitions of citizens of the United States praying that the heirs of Charles Newbold may be remunerated for his invention of the cast iron plough; which were referred to the Committee on Patents and the Patent Office.

On motion by Mr. HUNTER, it was

Ordered, That John England have leave to withdraw the documents relating to his claim.

### POST ROUTE IN LOUISIANA.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, submitted the following resoluwhich was considered, by unanimous consent, and agreed to: Reselved. That the Commutes out Post Office and Post Roads be instructed to acquire into the expidence of establishing a post route from New Rever post office, and the eath bank of the Maissings nerre, to Galveston, in the Senior of Condision.

#### CHEROKEE CLAIMS.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, submitted the following resolution, which was considered, by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved. That the Committee on Indian Affairs be instructed to inquire into the claim of such Cherokee Indians as remain east of the Mississippi to commutation, for removal and submittence, that report such measures, if any, as in the opinion of the committee should be adopted for the adjustment of such claim.

#### PRIVATE BILLS.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, from the Committee on Pensions, to whom was referred the petition of Nehemiah Brush, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for his relief.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the same committee, to whom was referred the petition of William Pittman, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for his relief.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading

Ordered, That the report be printed.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the same committee, to whom the following bills from the House of Representatives were referred:

Ap act for the relief of Joel Thatcher.

An act for the relief of John Knight.

An act for the relief of Benj. Relfanyder.

An act for the relief of Wm, Paddy.

An act for the relief of Isanc Buyless.

An act for the relief of Arthur Wilson. An act for the relief of Benj. G. Perkins.

An act for the relief of Benah Wright

An act for the relief of John Savage,

reported them without amendment.

# THE PRIVATE BILL CALENDAR

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, it was

Ordered, That Friday next be assigned to the consideration of private bills.

### COMMITTEE ON ENROLLED BILLS.

Mr. Berland being, on his motion, excused from serving on the Committee on Enrolled Bills, it was

 $\mathit{Ordered},$  That the vacancy be filled by the Vice President ; and

Mr. Greene was appointed.

#### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

Mr. Pesulent: The President of the United States approved and spread, he had nataset, an act to make Shap haard, in the collection directly of President of President President States and the state of the Care States (Care to add Different for said port; ) as act substraining a term of the United States (Care to add Different for said port; ) as the state of the Care States (Care to add Different for said port; ) as the States; and a port in said to the said of the said States; and a port in said to the said States; and a port resolution of thanks to Algicia General Taylor.

The House of Representatives have passed a joint resolution providing for the payment of the regiment of Texas monoted troops, called into the service of the United States, under the requisition of Colonel Cartis in the year 1847, and for other purposes; in which they request the concurrence of the Senate.

The said joint resolution was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee en Military

### AGRICULTURAL AND MANUFACTURING STATISTICS.

Mr. UNDERWOOD, by unanimous consent, asked and obtained leave to bring in a joint resolution requiring the Commissioner of Parents to report annually upon the prices of labor and the productions of agriculture and manufactures; which was read, passed to the second reading and ordered to be printed.

### SETTLERS UNDER THE ARMED OCCUPATION ACT.

On motion by Mr. WESTCOTT, the prior orders were postponed, and the Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole the consideration of the bill for the relief of the bona fide settlers under the acts for the armed occupation and settlement of a part of the territory of Florida.

The amendment reported from the Committee on Public Lands, was agreed to.

The amendment submitted by Mr. WESTCOTT, to strike out in the third line of the second section all after the word "thereof," down to, and including, the word "Office," in the edge of the

Mr. UNDERWOOD moved further to amend the bill by inserting between the words "frontier" and "thereby," in the twelfth line of the first section, the words "south of said line specified in said act of 1842;" which was agreed to.

No further amendment being made, the bill was reported to the Senate; and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the bill be engrossed and read a third time

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved, That the said bill pass and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

### DEFERRED NOMINATIONS.

The Senate resumed the consideration of the resolution submitted by Mr. Johnson, of Maryland, on the 28th ultime, respecting appointments made by the President during the recess of the Senate.

The resolution was read, as follows:

Resolved. That the President of the United States is requested to inform the Senate whether any officers are now in the military or evolvervice of the United States, and the state of the United States, and the state of the state of the States, and the state the date of such approximates, it and why it is that it has not been in the power of the President to submit them to the consideration of the Senate.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, addressed the Senate in support of the resolution, and in reply to some remarks made by Mr. Allen at a former day. Without concluding, the hour for the special order having arrived, Mr. Johnson gave way, and it was

Ordered, That the further consideration thereof be pestponed until to-morrow.

A report of Mr. Johnson's remarks will be found in the Appendix.

#### THE YUCATAN BILL.

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill to enable the President of the United States to take temporary military occupation of Yucatan.

Mr. CASS .- Mr. President : Before I proceed to the direct consideration of the subject before the Senate, I beg leave to re-call a remark made by the honorable Senator from Connecticut in call a remark made by the bonorable Senator from Connectieut in the discussion of yesterday, and to correct an error into which I think he has fallen. In deprecating the prompt action of Con-gress in this matter, the honorable Senator justified our delay by the delay of the Executive; and I thought with an appearance of harsiness, if not of severity. He said the subject had been before the President for some weeks, perhaps for some months, without any decision; and he seemed to draw the conclusion, that we might now require an equal time to determine upon our legisla the action of the Executive and of the legislative departments upon this subject. I believe it is about two months since the melancholv state of things in Yucatan was first made known to this government, and its interposition implored. It was a new ques-tion, involving very serious considerations. We all feel this; for they are pressed upon us more and more at every step of our progress. However urgent were the claims of humanity, the necesgress. However urgent were the claims of humanity, the necessary information for discreet action was not in the possession of the Executive. The commissioner of Yucatan had indeed made the executive. his representation; but it required to be fortified by less partial in-formation—by the reports and opinions of our own officers, who were acting upon the coasts of that country, and who we quainted with its present condition, and the causes that led to it Well, sir, it took time to procure these facts through this channel and, as soon as they were procured, the President determined upon his course, and transmitted the message now under delibera-Certainly gentlemen do not desire the same time to discuss such a question as this, as was necessarily consumed in the collec-tion of information. The great points of the case are before us, and the application now comes, not merely from the Yucate commissioner, but from the legislative department of the government in a solemn decree, and from the Executive of the country. ment in a selemin decree, and from the Executive of the country. It is a case of overwhelm, or overpowering necessity. While we are deliberating, the sad action is going on; and however promise be, we may not be prompt enough for the circumstances of the country may be decided beginning the same and any relief. At any rate, let us rebeen ourselves from the reprocess of indifference or unnecessary delay. This side of the prompting of the country may be a supported to the country may be a supported to the country and the c

I need not recall the condition of Yucatan. The message itself, with the accompanying documents, the information which daily reaches us through the public journals, and the discussion here, have put us in possession of the true state of things in that unhappy country. It is divided between the two races of Spanish and of aboriginal descent, and the Indians have obtained the superiority—have descended from the high country upon the low, and are driving the white race before them to death or to the ocean. It is a war, if that can be called a war, where the fighting seems to be all upon one side, of detruction and extremination state of the state of

ness and by the contempt of the world.

The principle advanced by Mr. Monroe, many years since, in two of his messages to Congress, which denounced any future attempt of the European powers to establish new colonies in this country, has been brought into this discussion, and, in fact, necessarily connects itself with it. This principle has been reasserted by the present Executive, npon the same general considerations which influenced the action of his predecessor. It was a wise measure, fully justified by received principles of the law of nations and by the actual circumstances of our country. The honorable Senator from Connecticut, [Mr. Nitzs.] considers the reiteration of the principle by the present Executive, and perhaps its original amunication by Mr. Monroe, as the claim of a right to regulate all the affairs of this continent, so far as respects Europeans. But all the affairs of this continent, so far as respects Europeans. But all the affairs of this continent, so far as respects Europeans. But however, prevailed somewhat extensively, both here and classifier the conditions of the messages referred to would have corrected, or rather prevented, this flagrant error. Neither of these Presidents, the past nor the present, assumed to interfere with any existing rights of other mations upon this continent. Neither of them called in question

their right to hold and improve the colonies they possessed, at their own pleasure. Such an assumption would have been equally obtrusive and ineffectual; and how the opinion could have prevailed that has been advanced, no one can tell; for, in the documents themselves, the true doctrine is cautionsly guarded, and existing rights considered as unassailable. The object which these states mental in view was to prevent the colonization of any portion of the colonies planted upon it escaped from European When any they should not be again subjected to that comparatively bumiliar gendition. The Spanish colonies had shaken off the yoke of Spain, and had asserted their independence. The strongele bad been going on some time, and it was a paparent to all the world except to the world of Spanish obstinacy, that, if not prevented by external force, it would terminate, as it has terminated, in their admission into the great family of nations. It was obvious exceptions of the colonies of the colonies of the colonies of Spain, and of her recklessness in pushing the contest, which might affect the fate of these countries. French or English assistance might be asked for, and rights conveyed which would induce these powers to take part in the struggle, with a view to take part in the yeals that might result from it. This was the ovil foressen, and the declaration of this country was one of the remolies to avert it. On this, as upon many other occasions, which makes the power to enforce them. They become settled maxims of policy, and other nations are aware that they cannot be interfered with, except at the hazard of war. But it is far different here. Great principles of conduct depend essentially upon public sentiment, and can only be enforced by the action of Congress. Public sentiment in the sanction of its authority. It has rested, therefore, harron among our archives, only to hear fruit when the legislature of the country adopts it as its own. My honorable friend from Ohio, (Mr. ALLER.), with that suggeity and energy which m

body which has the power to give effect to the assertion.

Mr. Pesident, a few brief reflections will, I think, satisfy us that this measure is as just as it is important; and now, when we must discard or embrace it, it is our dity to examine the considerations which are connected with it. That I we which regulates the intercommunication of nations, is not vigid and stationary. It rests, indeed, upon certain fundamental principles of right and wrong; but many of its principles charged with the changes of ordering the state of the control of

was assumed as a kind of family haw belonging to the nations of that hemisphere, necessarily arising out of their conditions.

When this continent was first settled, its true destiny seems mover to have courred even to the most seguelous statesmanners to be considered to the control of the control of the control of the control of the metropolitan governments. The memory of this condition of things survives in the word plantations, yet retained as the name of one of our States, and indicating the object of its early establishment; and, in the term general court, the appellation of the legislature of several members of the confederacy, identical with the name of the directing power of large corporations in Englandship in the control of the control of the control of the control of the true consequences that were to follow. This difficult, and of executive administration, respecting these States, then colonies of that country. Why, sir, it has been said—rather 1 suppose in illustration and reproach, than as a literal fact, but still true enough to a great extent—that even a borse shoe and could not, by law, be made in America, but must be manifactured in England. Every war in Europe was a war upon this continent.

30TH Cong .- 1st Session-No. 76.

Portugal, and in the last stages of political decrepitude, owned and controlled half the world. Magnificent regions, destined by God to he inhabited by millions of human beings, penetrated by great arteries, divided by lofty mountains, where were emboweled the riches of the earth-prairies and pampas, and forests, as boundless in extent as they might be rendered fertile in their pro ductions-all these gifts of nature to man were locked up, rend useless by the wretched policy or the little miscrable intrigues of

the courts of Madrid and of Lisbon.

Such was the condition of this continent, whon we came upon the scene of political action as one of the independent powers of the world. New interests then arose, and a new party to assert the world. and protect them. With the change in our s tnation, came changes in our rights and duties. It was obvious that many old things must pass away. The first link in the chain of servile connection between Europe and America was broken. When the whole connection should be dissolved, became thenceforth only a question of time. For a while, however, we had too much to do with the present, to take any accurate survey of the future. Emerging from a terrible war, our first object was to repair its ravages, and recover from its material effects. We had then to consolidate our government, and to accommodate our institutions, State and general, to the new circumstances of our position; and while we were doing this, that tremendous storm prose in Europe, which swept over the Old World, and, during its progress, involved all the nations of the earth, directly or indirectly, either in its operation or its effects. Until this passed away, the government of the United States had no opportunity to investigate and assert the new principles arising out of their independence and their connection with e other communities of the American continent. But the revothe other communities of the American continent. Data the revolution of the Spanish colonies gave them this opportunity; and their increased power gave to the Executive—if it did not give to Congress—the condidence necessary for decisive action. There was an American interest apon this hemisphere, separate from the Entertainment. an American interest upon this nemisphere, separate from the La-ropean intorest. The powers inhabiting it, besides their more general relation with the nations of the earth, had a policy more peculiarly their own. The questions leading to war in Europe were almost as endless as many of them were futile. They were wars of succession, of interest, of aggrandizement of resentment, and of almost every other passion which sways the human breast. Minions and favorites and mistresses, acted upon imbecile sovearminis and ravortes and instresses, acted upon immenies sovereigns and corrept cabinets, and the peace of the world was serificed to the most despicable motives. It would really appear in some of the wars of modern times as though power were sometimes placed in unworthy hands, to show how far human forbearance can be carried, and the dignity of human nature degraded. desired to live out of the sphere of such operations, and we could not do so if they were brought into close contact with us. Political propagandism has no place in our policy. We prefer our own form of government, from a conviction that it is best calculaown form of government, area convection that it is oset eateura-ted to promote our happiness; and we rejoice when other nations are willing and prepared to adopt it, from an equal conviction that it will promote theirs. But with a determination to judge for ourselves, we leave to them the same right. Certainly it is interesting to us, that the States of this continent should be republican as well as independent. We can assimilate more readily with them, and we believe their condition will be safer and more perma-But whatever form of government they might adopt, it was for their interest and ours, that they should advance in all the elements of improvement, moral and material; that their powers should be developed, and their own industry opened to the world, and that of the world to them. Connected with the uncertain and ever-changing fate of European sovereigns, their condition would not only be precarious, continually exposed to war, but the sources of their prosperity would be locked up, as the mi-ser locks up his treasures, which he will neither use himself nor used by others. The honorable Senator from Connecticut, has put to us the argumentum ad hominem, and has said that we are inconsistent in our principles, because we do not in-terfere with France and England in their operations in the La Certainly the war which has been waging there is one of the most unjust in modern times; but still it is an open, public--not, it is said, for the purp ses of aggrandizement but with the professed view to terminate the hostilines which had long heen going on between the independent States on that river. The honorable gendeman, as I before said, has misconceived the nature of the principle. We do not deay the right of the powers of Europe to go to war with the American States, when they have of Europe to go to war with the American States, when they have the same the same of the same that they have most indeed for thomselves. When these wars, however, are undertaken for the purpose of recoloniz-ing any portion of this continent, or when that consequence is obto flow from them, then will come the time to test the true principles of our action.

Beside these considerations, arising out of the material and intellectual progress of the American States, there was another more immediately affecting us, and which we could not neglect. One of these States, and the most important among them, was coterminous with us along the whole extent of our southern frontier. Any convulsion, internal or external, affecting her, could not fail to engage our attention, as it could not fail to affect our interest. Continually attached to a European sovereignty, she would be con-Continuary statement to the European solverignty, since strong necessary the continually expended to the viestfudes which such a state of things must necessarily prime from the time and war upon the ocean and the man decode strong statement of the continuation of th

But it is objected, that this principle is at war with the salutary rule of non-intervention laid down by Mr. Jefferson, and now rogarded as one of the received maxims of our policy. Sir, this is not so. These declarations on the subject of European recolonization are not for the purpose of interfering with other powers but to prevent other powers from interlering with us No man will earry this doctrine of non-intervention so far as to say that it prohibits us from preventing the action, united or single, of other tions, who seek the adoption of measures affecting our interest and If a league were forming among the great powers of salety. If a league were forming among the great powers of the rope, which, under whatever pretence, was seeking the establishment of a principle which would give to England the control of the commerce of the world, must we sit still and calmly await its consummation, because, if we do not, we shall interfere in the afconsummation, because, it we do not, we shall interies in the ar-fairs of other nations? and then, when the time of trial comes, be compelled to resist by arms, when a firm interposition and declaration of our resolution during the progress of t he diplomatic measures might have thwarted the objects of ambition, disguised under the presence of philanthropy? Thus to interfere is no improper intervention, but a high dietate of duty, demanded by the true principles of public salety.

We desire no union of the American States; no league to in-

volve us in their difficulties, or they in ours ; no Panama mission to open a grand negotiation, and to open likewise a career of com-plicated diplomatic relations, as difficult to define in their princi control in their practical operations. We desire most perfect independence for all of them, and the most amicable relations among hemselves and with us. But we are determined so far as depends on us, that no European family principles shall come to find an abiding place upon this continent, and to involve in wars, that do not interest them, the various states which occu-

And, thanks to this "wretched," and "miserable," and "an-just," and "rash and precipitate war," our voice will now be heard and beeded through the world. Yes, sir, that war, thus characterized, has shed a flood of glory upon this country which will irradiate its history for generations yet to come. Its cost! its cost! is dai'y dinned into our ears, as though there were nothing to be regarded but money in the conduct and character of nations. It has cost much money—I do not dony it; though I believe it has has oost much money—t ou not deny it; inough a perieve it was been prosecuted with as man be economy as is practicable in sinch distant and extensive operations. I regret the cost, as I regret the necessity of the war whole led to it. But should we never get one foot of territory from Mexico as an indomnity—and appearances seem now to indica o that infattuated councils may prevail in that unhappy country, and that me may be compelled to hold on to the whole—but if we should nover get one foot, as an American citizen loging my country, and beginning by country and beginning by by country and beginning by country and b American citizen, loving my country, and having cause to love her, I would not sell my share of the glory we have acquired for many times my share of the expense it has cost. It is not mere glory which this war has brought us, though that is one of the essential elements of national power; but it is character, and distinction and position, and beyond these strength and safety. Our territory Our territory is henceforth holy ground. Ne hostile foot will pollute it. reign power will attack us. No other war, I verily believe, will be necessary for long years to come. Paradoxical as it may ap-pear, we shall have fought ourselves out of war. We were compapear, we shall have long at the reality unknown. Our flag, indeed, was every where the emblem and the evidence of our commercial activity and enterprize. But our power to defend it was little understood-I might rather utterly disregarded. But the great experiment has been made, and we take our acknowledged rank among the powerful nations of the earth. The decree has gone lorth, and he who runs may read it. The entire political separation of this continent from Eu-rope is not a question of fact, but of time. That event must come and appearances auger that it will come speedily. We may well leave it to its own lulness of time without any improper interfer ence on our part.

But we are now called upon to make a practical application of But we are now cared upon to make a practical application of the great principle I have been considering. The condition of Yucatan, and the considerations connected with it, bring this subject directly before us. We can enforce the doctrine; but we cannot enforce it without discharging the duties which it brings with it.

And if we do not enforce it, we shall expose ourselves to eternal self-reproach and to the contumely of the world.

1 have already briefly alluded to the condition of Yucatan. Its civilized population is placed, not between the ocean and the frowning battlements which drive it back, and where no human being can live, but between the ocean and ruthless barbarians, possessing as little mercy as the sea into which they are driving their wretched victims. It is one of those great cases in human affairs which override all other considerations. Yucatan has a right to go where she can, with her sovereignty in her hand, and demand protection from the powers of the earth, and offer her own admand protection from the powers of the earth, and oner her own allegiance in return for it. She has gone to England and to Spain, and she has come to us. She profers our action to theirs; but if she cannot get the one, she must accept the other. As to Spain, sne cannot get the one, sne must accept the other. As to spain, any effective aid or any design of aggrandizement is probably equally out of the question, and we have England alone to look to in the solution of the question presented to us. If we do not act, will she render the assistance demanded, and accept the consideration. ation which may accompany it? That she may do so, without giving us any just cause of offence whatever, and thus accomplish her mission without being involved in any controversy with us, is too clear to be called in question. Interest, therefore, and humanity, as well as the principles which from all time have regulated

her political conduct, prompt her to accede to the demands of the government of Yucatan The distinguished Senator from South Carolina [Mr. Cathour] thinks she will not; but, whether he cames to this conclusion from the facts in her past history or from the circumstances of her present position, it seems to me it is er-

reneous and unsafe.

I shall not enter into any review of the system of English acqui-sition. I shall briefly allude to the subject, not in the spirit of ensure—though, indeed, there is too often reason enough for that feeling—but merely to recall the principles of her policy, and to judge what she will do by what she has done. The distinguished judge what she will do by what she has done. The distinguished Senator from Kentucky [Mr. Cartrishney] asks, and with some emphasis, what England wants of such a barren country as Yuca-tan? I ask bim. In return, what she wants of such barren rocks as Gibraltar, and St. Helena, and Aden, and all the other barren rocks, and islets, and positions, which she has seized and now oc-cupies through the world? Why, sir, they are towers—some of them watch-towers, and others towers of safety—upon that wall of circumvallation, thus beautifully designated the other day by the honorable Senator from Mississippi, [Mr. Davis] with which she has surrounded the world.

Mr. CRITTENDEN .- Will the bonorable Senator allow me to make an inquiry?

Mr. CASS .- Certainly.

Mr. CRITTENDEN .- Will the Senator be pleased to tell mefor I am uninforced and ignorant upon this point-how near a man of-war or seventy-four gun ship can approach the promontory of

Mr. CASS .- I intended to advert to the subject connected with the inquiry of the honorable Senator in another part of my remarks, but I will now anticipate it. The application of steam power to armed vessels has introduced an improvement which may occasion an entire change in naval warfare. It is difficult to forese to consequences, or the effect it may hereafter produce. One thing, however, is certain, that armed steam vessels, of a size and drought suitable to the navigation they are designed to encounter, will take suitable to the havigation they are designed to encounter, will take a decisive part in naval operations. Depots for fuel become, therefore, of paramount necessity for commercial nations. Without them, their steam navigation will be circumscribed and inefficient. them, their steam navigation will be circumscribed and inefficient. With them, to furnish the supplies required to vessels as they call for them, the world may be circumavigated, and steam power every where used. Now, sir, we have no places of deposit any where but at home, and England has them every where. She has selected her positions for that purpose, with that foresight which marks her oharacter; and she will keep them at all times supplied with abundance of necessary fuel. The advantages she will derive from this system of policy are sufficiently obvious; and we must depend apon our every to v. s. if England passesses the promontory of Vacatan and the island of Cuba, she will build steam vessels suitable to the harbors which may be found there: vessels of suitable to the barbors which may be found there; vessels of a light draught of water, but carrying a few heavy guns, and ca-pable of commanding the outlet of the gulf—floating batteries, in fact, almost equal in efficiency to permanent batteries, ready to be stationed in the narrow channel, and completely to command it.

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—The honorable gentleman has not answered my question. Again I would enquire whether there is any port in Yucatan into which a seventy-four can enter?

Mr. CASS.—I am aware, sir, that the water in the neighborhood of Yucatan is shallow, and there are places where large vessels cannot approach within some miles of the land. But I repeat, that this consideration becomes comparatively unimportant, when we look to the nature of the vessels which will be employed, and upon the protection they will find even upon a shallow coast.

Mr. CRITTENDEN .- Again I ask the honorable Senator, how near a seventy-four gun ship can approach the promontory of Yu-

Mr. CASS .- Mr. President, I cannot give a direct and professional answer to the question of the honorable Senator. Our maps of Yucatan are imperfect; and how near ships-of the line can ap-proach its coast, I do not know. But I beg the Senator to recolpreach its coast, I do not know. But I beg the Senator to recolect that no government in its senses, possessing the point of Yucatan and the opposite point of Cuba, would comploy heavy shipsoche-line permanently to command the channel between them. It would employ steam vessels of light draught, but of great power, which might find protection in the various inlets to be found there. In looking at the eastern point of the promotory of Yucatan, it will be seen that the island of Cosamel stretches along it for some miles, with a considerable channel between the island and the main, which has probably a depth of water for vessels of a medium burden, and which would afford them adequate protection.

[Since this colleapy, an official copy of a secont Bertinb survey of the coast of the promontory has been received at the office of the coast Survey, in this city, and Lieutenant Porter has been good enough to furnish me with the following memoranda from it, which answers the inquiries of the Senator from Kentucky, and place in a stronger light than I had even anticipated, the value of

the points of Yucatan and Cuba :

"There is good anchoracy off the northeast point of the lathed of Constant; the situal appears on incorrect charts as Table Cape, but there is no such place." "Spirite bay would contain a hoodred steamers of the largest class, and any number of the source class."

"There is also fine anchorage at the northwest point of the island of Culta for any size vessel—2 12-0 7 faithons."

The territorial acquisitions which England has made through the world, have been selected with great sagacity; some for the purposes of power and commerce, and others as positions where her vessels can find protection and be refuted, and where supplies ner vessels can may protection and be refuted, and warre support for their necessities can always be found. She holds the south points of four continents, and entire possession of the fifth. The Fig. 1. The Fig The Falkwhole commerce of the world passes before her gates. The Falk-land Islands, near Cape Horn gwe her the command of the pas-sage round our hemisphere. The Cape of Good Hope gives her an equal control of the navigation of Southern Africa. Aden is the key to the Red Sea. The southern points of Asia. Cape Co-morin, on the east side of the bay of Bengal, and the Malacou-cape, on the west, are commanded; the former by Ceylon, and the teach of the Cape of the Ca cape, on the west, are commanded; the former of Ceyton, and the latter by Singapore: and to these she has recently added a part of Borneo and Labuan, in the Indian Archipelago. New Hol-land, in the great Southern Pacific Ocean, is one of her oclonial dependencies, and its harbors are essential to the navigation of that region. Hong Kong is her foot-hold upon the Chinese Enpire, equally available for the purposes of commerce now, and of ambition hereafter. The rock of Gibraltur, which frowns over the ambition hereafter. entrance into the Meditterranean, is at the southern extremity of Europe, and has been held by her for a century and a half, to consouthern extremity of trol its commerce, and is among the last positions from which she

So much for the policy of England as deduced from her conduct.

If the distinguished Senator from Sonh Carolina draws his conclusion that she will not interfere in the concerns of Yucatan from the circumstances of her present position, I think his views are quite as unsafe as if it were drawn from her established system of action. Certainly there is much in her existing condition to excite her own Certainly there is much in her existing domartion to excite her was solicitude, and the attention of the world. The honorable Sanator from Connecticut thinks she has reached, as he says, her culminating point. Perhaps she has; but I shall not venture to speak dogmatically upon that question. I leave to a rasher or to a wiser man than I am, to pronounce what is to be her future fate. I sincerely hope that the political convulsions which seem now to be shaking the frame of the English government, if not of English so-ciety, may pass away, leaving the principles of freedom and equal-ity perfectly established, and those exclusive privileges which elewate the hindreds and press down the millions forever abolished.
To free England from many of the arbitrary tendencies which prevail there, would be to do more for human liberty than almost any vail there, would be to do more for haman liberty than almost any other political measure now to be attauned. She is yet the strong-hold of many principles at war with human happiness; and its surrendered to the advancing sprint of the age, the example would exert a most salutary effect upon the other nations of Europe. But however this may be, sir, England is not to be annihilated, nor her spirit, nor intelligence, nor energy destroyed. She will have a government, be it monarchichial or republican; and she is not going suddenly to change the identity of her character-an identity which belongs as much to nations as to individuals-to relintry where belongs as much to nations as to incividuals—to refin-quish all her projects of aggrandizement, and to abandon, without effort, the high position she bolds in the world. Why, sir, repub-lics are as jealous of their rights, and as firm in their determina-tion to defend them, as the proudest monarchies. Every schooltion to defend them, as the proudest monarchies. Every school-boy can tell us of the bright days of Greece and Rome, when power was exercised by all, and when all were equally interested in the glory and prosperity of their common country. And we see the prevalence of the same spirit in modern times, when Ve-nice, and Genoa, and Holland, almost governed in succession the commerce of the world, and when the French republic marched commerce of the world, and wheat the French republic marched over Europe, prostrating the ensigns of royalty in its victorious career. The nations of the old hemisphere will come out of their internal struggles fitted, I trust, to enjoy free institutions, and prepared to maintain them, and determined to be rivals henceforth not in war, but in intelligence, in industry, and in productiveness.

In recalling the history of English territorial acquisition, I do

In recalling the instory of English territorial acquisition, I do not recollect one in the long list—except, perhaps, Scotland, which was joined to her, or rather which she joined by succession—which was not made by the sword. And is it probable she would reject one, if peacefully and voluntarily offered to her? When did she one, it peacetury and voldmartly onered to per! when and sept aside, even with the affectation of copress, the crown of territorial aggrand/termen! When did she say Note priscopart, with the mitre within her reach? And think you, sir, that she will commence her career of moderation, when the functions of conqueror and protector can be united without gelt and without requero and protector can be after a time of whom gain and without re-proach—when she can gratify at once her ambitum and her phi-lanthropy—and when the same act will elevate her character and extend her dominion? To believe all this, is to reject the lessons of experience and the motives of human conduct, whether personal of experience and the motives of adman conduct, whether personal or national. History, we are told, is philosophy teaching by example. If the examples of aggrandizement in the history of England, furnished by her conduct under ever-varying circumstances and too often with an utter disregard of the dictates of justice and the opinion of the world, do not teach us the philosophy of her past action, and the probability of her future, we may as well close the records of human experience, and abandon events to the doctrine of chances, seeking neither to control nor direct them. I thiak, sir, we might have safely arrived at the conclusion, even prior to this debate, that Yucatan would not apply to England for assistance in vain, unless there were controlling circumstances to

The points of a detain and Choa; "There is a he hard of any size wasel; under the island of Muleres, the east-ernment point of Yuentae; and it is protected from the winds in every direction."
"Both the harbor of Astecologic and Spirith by a reg god; the latter capable of bidding a large fleet of the beaviest kind of Eoglish figures and war-steamers. These positions may be made to command the coult of the gull."

farbid her interference. But, as if to rebule us for any doubt upon the subject, since this discussion commenced, it has been ascertained that at least four companies of British troops have murched into the Yucatan country from Balize. This is the act of the colonal nuthority; and the movement uself is not sufficient to excite any apprehensions as to ulterior designs. But it is one of the signs of the times, and shows pretty clearly that the colonial government expected support at home. What the several West India governments may do, is not known. If they follow the same course, a formidable force may be collected in Yucatan. Now. I do not undertake to say what the English government will do at undertake to say what the English government will do until the support of the sternal and internal, not to be appreciated here. The bonorable Senator from Connecticut asks if we could complain, not, sir, and it is this very view of the matter which excites my solicitude. I have no belief that England, at this moment, when the waters around her are all troubled, would take possession of Yucatan by force. But, invited there by the Yucatas people, under a pressing emergency, she has a right to go there—and to remain there; toe, if she will—as a proper consideration for her ser-

I now come, Mr President, to other, and perhaps graver con siderations, directly or indirectly involved in this question. The gulf of Mexico is the resorvoir of the great river of the North Ame rican continent, whose importance is as difficult to realize, as it is Flean continent, whose inpursance is as union to the control which must seek an onlet to the ocean through its waters. If the country which must seek an onlet of all Europe in extent, enbriening twenty-live degrees of latitude and thirty-five of longitude under the prest circles of the globe. This was basin extends from the summit of the Alleghany to the summit of the Rocky mountains, and its population now equals eight mil-lions. The man yet lives who was living when almost the first tree fell before the woodman's stroke in this great domain, and the man is now living who will live to see it contain one hundred millions of people. Already the hardy western pioneer has crossed the barrier of the Rocky mountains, and the forest is giving way before human industry upon the very shores that look out upon Coina and Japan. The Mississippi is the great artery of this re-gion; which, drawing its supplies from the fountains of the north, pours them into the ocean under a tropical sun, and drains, in its own course, and in the course of its mighty tributaries—tributa-ries in name, but equals and rivals in fact—the most magnificent empire which God, in his providence, has ever given to man to claim and enjoy. I have myself descended that great stream two thousand miles in a birch canoe, admiring the country through which it passes in a state of nature, and lost in the contemplation of what that country is to be when subdued by human industry The statistics of such a region in years to come is a subject too vast for calculation. Its extent, fertility, salubrity, means of in-ternal pavigation, and the character of the people who will inhabit it, baffle all efforts to estimate its productiveness, the tribute which its industry will pay to the wants of the world, and the supplies which the comfort and habits of its people may require.

Musting thombus quantum of Napo people is safe, that one of his projects was to convert the Mediterranean into a French late. England has nearly done what defied the power and ambition of the great conqueror. She has almost converted it into an E-galish Lake in time of war. Gibralar commands its cutrance. Malta the channel between Sielly and Africa, and the Ionian Islands the waters of the Levant. There were good reasons for believing, a short time since, that England was seeking to obtain a cession of the island of Crete, the ancient kingdom of Minos, which would give the prot of Canca, that I loand one of the most magnificent habors in the world, equally capacious and secure. If England, in the pursuit of the same system, should acquire similar commanding positions on the Gulf of Mexico, that great reservoir would become a mare clausim, and no keel would plough it, nor canvass which in it in time of war, but by her permission. Now, sr, looking to the extent of our coast in that direction—to the promotion which most pass there to reach another—co the approximation of the control of t

The Gulf of Mexico, sir, must be practically an American Inko for the great purpose of scentity—not to exclude other antions from its enjoyment, but to prevent any dominant power, with foreign or remote interests, from controlling its navigation. It becomes us to look our difficulties in the face. Nothing is gained by blinking a great question. Pradent statesmen should survey it; as far as may be, provide for it. Wo have, indeed, no Mount Carmel, like that of Judea, nor prophet to ascend it; and to warn us against a coming storm. But the home of evecy citizen is a Mount Carmel for us, whence he can survey the approaching cloud, oven when no bigger than a man's hamp, which threatens to overspread the political atmosphere, and to burst in danger apon his country. It should be a cardinal principle in our policy, never to be lost sight of, that the command of the Gulf of Mixico must

never pass into foreign hands. Its great geographical features indicate at once our safety and our danger. From the southern point of Florida to Yucatan, the cloud of the arc does not probably exceed two hundred and fitly miles—a shorter distance than that from Yucatan to Vera Crez. From the southern point of Florida to Culta, it is not more than forty miles; and from the western sixty miles. These two probabilities of Venatan, it is not western sixty miles. These two probabilities of the venatal strength sixty miles. These two outlets-the latter into the Caribbean sea sixty mines. These two officers—the latter into the Catholean away and the former into the Atlantic ocean—do not, therefore, exceed one bundred miles in their united width, and together make the exit and entrance of the Gulf. Opposite the mouth of the Missisexit and entrance of the Guit. Opposite the mouth of the Mississippi, is the noble harbor of Havana, almost within sight of which the whole commerce of the golf passes. England has already got the Bahama Islands, with the port of Nassan, and other positions. So long as Cuba and Yucatan are held, by their present possessors, neither we nor the commercial world have any ear from English projects, whatever they may be. But let their dominion be transferred to England, and where are we? The mouth of our great river might at any time be hermetically sealed and the most disastrous injuries inflicted upon us. One important step in the command of the outlet of the Gulf of Mexico she has already taken by the possession of the Bahamas. If she gets peaceable possession of Yucatan, by our remisness, she will have taken the second. Cuba may be the last. I will ask the distinguished Senator from South Carolina if he would advocate the inguished Senator from South Carolina if he would advocate the interference of this country by force, if England were attempting by force to take possession of Yucatan? And if he would—as I believe he would—how can he consent to permit her to do peacefully what we may peacefully prevent? I have already, sir, alloded to the effects which steam navigation is to produce upon the commercial and military marine of the world, and the various harbors and inlets of these possessions would be rendezvous whence armed steam-vessels would issue to prey upon our commerce, to close the steam-vessels would issue to prey upon our commerce, to cuse the great channels of communication, or to carry on marauding expeditions against our coast. England has recently extended ber possessums set h of Balize, by the acquisition of Indian territory.—
The honorable Senator from New York, [Mr. Dir.] brought this subject before us some time since, and exposed the details of her tortuous policy. The Musquito king, as he is called—the chief of tortuous poney. The Musquito king, as he is called—the chief of a tribe of Indans occupying a portion of the const-somehow or other passed under English pupilinge. It is said that he made the Queen his residuary legatee, and thus the country and its inhabi-tants have gone to increase the dominion of England. A cleary

tants have gone to increase the dominion of England. A cheap mode, this, of acquisition—much more economical than Indian councils, Indian presents, and Indian annulties.

Mr. Presadent, many of the great principles of national action depend on existing circumstances. There are few mere questions of abstract right in the intercourse of nations. Peaceable acquisition of territory, or acquisitions in a just war, can give no of the property of th sition of territory, or acquisitions in a just war, can give no of-fence unless to nations whose safety they endanger. Where this is the case, they may be protested against, or resisted, if neces-sary. It is a question which each nation must judge for itself, and upon its own responsibility, but one which it ought to udge fairly. Much of the public law of the world is founded upon this principle of salety, and the elementary works abound with its illustrations.

Traces of it are to be found in all the questions about the balance of power in Europe; in the disputes concerning Malta, and Al-giers, and Belgium, and many other subjects which have engaged the attention of governments and formed the labors of diplomatists. Its perversion has, no doubt, led to abuses, as has the perversion of many other principles; but its foundation rests in the nature of things. Self-defence is as incident to communities as to individuals, and a provident forecast requires us to watch any dangerous projects of domination, and to provide for them as w I repeat, that a nation under these circumstances must judge Proximity of situation, the nature of the intercourse resulting from it, co resulting from it, commanding positions to do injury, and other considerations, are all elements to be taken into view. In my opinion, we owe it to ourselves to avow distinctly to the world, that the attempt to procure the transfer of Cuba from Spain to other nation, whether peaceably or forcibly, would be resisted by other nation, whether peaceanly or forcibly, would be resisted by the winde power of this country. To others, it may be a question of territorial aggrandizement, or of mercantile cupidity; but to us, it is a question of necessity, I had almost said, of political life or doubt. It would become the gate to close the great river of our country. The waters of that river, thereafter as heretotere, would reach the gulf, but its commerce would never reach the ceem. The distinguished Senator from Kentucky says, that while we rewhere we shall stop. I do not know where we shall we shall stop. That decree is probably not yet written. But we seek no acquisition which can injure England, and we desire, in turn, that

sue should seek none which will injure us.

The principles involved in this system of policy have already licen as-erted and acted upon by the United States. They will be found in the proceedings respecing Florida, in the acts of Congress of 15th January, 1811, of March 3, 1811, and of February, 1813. It was then declared that the inducence which the desiring of territory adjoining the United States may have upon their security, transquality, and commerce, is a just movive for interference; "and that the United States cannot see any part of the territory pass into the hands of any foreign power; and that a dee regard to their own safety compels them to provide, under certain continuous genetics, for the temporary occupation of the said country."

gencies, for the temporary occupation of the said country."

I understand from one of our associates in this body, who is not likely to be deceived, that either in the biography of Mr. Jeffer-

son, or in his correspondence, similar views are expressed by him respecing the condition and importance of Cuba, and the interest which the United States have in its altimate fate. I have not had time to ascertain the fact by reference to the works referred to the condition to accretiant his but one proof the more of the sagacity of that great patriot and statesman, and of the decision of character which

great pairs of anotathersman, and of the decision of character which marked his constantes which is a factor of the subject of Forda, and I find the tradicated and defended the Forda, and I find the tradicated and defended the Asia similar last is asserted in the acts of Congress I have quoted, and which received the sanction of his friend and successor, Mr. Madison.

I trust that the instigues of no nation will ever compel us to take forcibie subject is examined, both here and all rapair, the more the subject is examined, but here and the Spain, the most devices it will by, that it is the linear seed for the confirmer that the island should be ceded to us for a reasonable consideration. But the details of such a question are better fitted for diplomatic ar-rangement than for legislative discussion. I shall, therefore, no enter into them here, contenting myself with expressing the hope that the whole subject will not fail to engage the attention of every existing administration till a successful result is obtained. Such negotiations are delayed or hastened by the condition of things in

The property of the property of the though they cannot be foreseen, yet exert a decisive influence when they occur. And our Executive should be ready to give to these a proper direction. Unfortunately for the stability of the Spanish monarchy, for almost a century and a half—since the death, indeed, of the last king of the house of Austria—Spain has been convulsed by questions of succession and by family difficulties, which have exhausted her power and almost ruined her prosperity. The vast empire acquired by the romantic but barbarous exploits of Cortez and Pizarro and Almagro, has fallen to pieces, and but a fragment of it remains—a sad memorial, as it were, of departed greatness. The jewels in her crown have been reft from it, and it has lost all its splendor. Looking at the present condition of Spain, there is no reason to hope that the difficulties immediately before her are less grave than those sile has passed through. Internal tranquil-

lity seems yet far off, and external circumstances are equally an-

lity seems yet far off, and extérual circumstances are equally an favorable. The disposition of the few colonial dependencies she yet retains will come up for discussion every time she is involved in a domestic or a foreign war. The fate of the island of Coba will approach the state of the state of Coba will approach the state of the state o this country. It has been repeatedly said that she bad demanded the island, either in absolute conveyance, or as a mortgage for the the island, either in absolute conveyance, or as a mortgage for the payment of the debts due to her people; and also to satisfy the claims she herself had for the expenditures made on account of Spain in her great struggle with Napolcon. These runners have been credited by our own government; and, in 1840, during Mr. Van Burer's administration, Mr. Forsyth, who then presided over the Department of State, so honorably for himself, and so useful for his country, called the whole subject to the attention of our diplomatic agent at Madrid. He stated the convection that these ellows had more than once been made; and then bringing to the notice of our chargé the great importance of Cuba to the United States, and to their indisposition to see it transferred to any other power, he directed him to make proper representations to the court of Madrid upon the subject.

Mr. CASS .- More recently, sir-indeed, during the present session of Congress-a discussion arose in the British House of Commons, confirming all the rumors to which I have alluded, and which may well excite our apprehensions, and call open us for decisive action. On the 4th of February last Lord George Bentinck, one of the principal statesmen of England, and the leader of the tory party in the House of Commons, in a discussion on the slave trade, said :

"He had real in the Times an extract from a United States paper, in which it was stated that if the United States of his optomes herein G Caha. Great British would; and that England had a greater claim by one hundred Fail to Caha than the 'United States had to States had to States had to State States had to States had been stated by the States

He added :

"They would put an end to the slave-trade if they could emancipate the slaves of

Credat Judæus Appella. Let him who will, believe that any motive of philanthropy enters into this system of policy. The cloven foot peeps out below, where the speaker says:

"Then depend upon it, when Great Britain possessed the Havaua, as once she did in 1762, when she held it for shont a year, and then exchanged it for the Floridas, and where some could cut the Trade of America in two, no more hoasts would be heard of what the United States could do," &c.

These are plain thoughts, sir, and plainly spoken, and spoken by a high man in a high place.

Mr. CALHOUN .- The design was disavowed by the British

Mr. CASS,—I do not find it so, sir. What the British minister said was anything but a disavowal. Here it is—all he said upon

this subject. The speaker is the Chanceller of the Exchequer, the organ of the British cabinet upon subjects of commerce:

"Neither did he propose to follow bis noble friend through his arguments in support of the proposition that we should foreclose upon Coba, and take possession of that dependency as like for the benefit of the Spanish bondholders."

Mr. CALHOUN.-There is somewhere a more direct disa-

Mr. CASS.—I cannot find it, sir, and I think the Senator from South Carolina is in error. But it matters little whether it is so or not. Formal disavowals cost but little, and prove nothing.

Mr. CALHOUN .- Lord George Bentinck is not a member of the British cabinet, nor is he at the head of the tory party

Mr. CASS.—I know he is not in the cabinet, sir; but he certainly leads the tory interest in the House of Commons, and speaks the sentiments of a large portion of the English politicians. My object is to show that the acquisition of Cuba is one of the objects of British policy. And I certainly do show it, when I show that the project is distinctly avowed and recommended by a leading member of the House of Commons, exerting a powerful influence over one of the two great parties into which the country is divi-ded, and who, in the mutation of English politics, may be prime minister to morrow.

Mr. WESTCOTT .- If the Senator from Michigan will permit me, I desire to make one or two remarks touching this part of the subject.

Mr. CASS .- Certainly.

Mr. WESTCOTT.—When interrupted on the day before yesterday, by the honorable Senator from South Carolina [Mr. Cal-Houn,] upon my quoting Lord Bentinck's speech of the 3d of Fe-Hours,] upon my quoting Lord Bentinek's speech of the 3d of February last, with a declaration by that distinguished Senator, that the newspaper press of this country had improperly suppressed as emphatic and decided rebuke, or disavowal, by the British minister, in his place in the House of Commons, of Lord George's suggestions, I had not at hand the newspaper from which I had copied the part I quoted. That paper was the leading tory paper in England—the "London Times," of the 4th of February last. I had seen in the same paper the observation made by Sir Charles Wood, the Charlest and the Exchequer, in reference to that part wood, the Chancelor of the Excheduler, in reterence to that plat of Lord George's speech, which the Senator from Michigan has just read; and, as I then stated, I should have adverted to t in the next sentence I uttered. I did not, and do not now, regard the observation of the Chancellor of the Excheduler as very strong or observation of the Chancellor of the Exchequer as very strong or decided; and, in fact, I considered it rather an equivocal dissent from the suggestions of Lord George. After the declaration of the Senator from South Carolina, in the pointed terms used by him, I was apprehensive that I had overlocked some part of the speech ho referred to, and I again read the report in the newspaper. The debate in the Hones of Commons on the 3d of February, as reported in the "Times" of the 4th of February, and as ray, as reported in the "Times" of the 4th of February, and as from the character of the reports, and particularly those of the debate of the 4th, reported on the 5th, Gmost of them being full of statistics and calculations,) it is apparent the reports were furnished or corrected by the speakers themselves. Excepting a remark of another member towards the close of the debate, [Mr. Laboucher] on the 4th, when Lord Georgie's resolution was adopted. another member towards the close of the debate, [Mr. Labou-chere] on the 4th, when Lord George's resolution was adopted. I find the sentence quoted from the Chancellor of the Exchequer, A man use sentence quoces from the Lumanov part of the Exchange of the Control of ferred to, applies only to the mode proposed. I firmly believe the British government intend to have Cuba, and Yucatan, and Porto Rico, if they can get them; and one great reason is, that the possession of either will aid them in carrying out their hostile schemes with reference to the United States, as avowed by Lord George Bentinck. I only desire to set myself right in this matter, and Lord George Bentinck's speech may now pass for what it is

But, Mr. President, in self justification, for venturing to express But, Mr. President, in self justification, for venturing to express the opinion I entertain, as to the designs of Great Britain. I will refer to some other evidence. It is of bigh authority. It is a lotter written by the honorable Senator from South Carolina, then Secretary of State, written in 1844, to William R. King, our minister to France. I will give extracts when I publish these remarks. I would call the special attention of the Senate,

<sup>\*</sup> Extracts from Mr. Calhoun's Letter to Mr. King, dated August 12, 1844.

and of the country, to that letter. In it the Senator from South Carolina refers to Lord Aberdeen's impudent avowal, that the British government desired that slavery should he abolished on this

and of the country, to that letter. In it the Senator from South Carolina refers to Lord Aberdeen's impudent avowal, that the British government desired that slavery should be abolished on this saved by her, we have desired that slavery should be abolished on this saved by her, we have desired that slavery should be abolished on this saved by the saved of the saved should be abolished on this saved by the saved should be abolished on the saved of the saved should be abolished on the saved should be abolished on the saved should be abolished to Capresa with the Texas result; that the desires its abolitons in Texas, and has used her influence and diplomacy to effect it there, the same document, with the control, in many first the saved the saved should be a saved to the saved the saved the saved the saved the control, the saved the save

ted, or expelled, and desolations would oversposed their ferritones, as in St. Domingo, it is callivating the great topolest alongly exolab terming from them to the British fraparal possessions, seems, and these beyond the Caye of Good Hope possession as the property of the control of the British fraparal possessions, seems, and these beyond the Caye of Good Hope possession as unknown amount of labor, standing ready, by the and of British captural, to supply the defect which would be occasioned by destroying the tropical productions of the submitted of the control of the control of the control of the standing of the control of the possession of the present control of the present control of the present control of the present control of the British possession of the present control of the British possession of the present control of the pre

continent, (and in the West Indies and Cuba, of course.) I will not, however, comment on that letter. It fully sustains my opinions expressed the other day, and, in fact, if I err, it has been one which course of holes which where chief cause of being misled. It seems to me no unprejudiced man can read that letter, and the decuments respecting the Texas ne-gotiation, and not be folly satisfied that it is the settled design and confirmed policy of Great Britain, from which she will not swerve till accomplished, or till she encounters from this government resistence of such character, and such mode, and by such means, that will deter her from going to extremities, to assail the southern portion of the United States, through its domestic instisouthern portion of the United States, through its obniesies institutions; and, as one means of effecting this, she covers Yucatan, and Cuca, and Porto Rico, and the Brazils, and will get hold of them by fair or foul means, if she can.

Mr. CALHOUN .- A letter of mine being alluded to, I hope the Senator from Michigan will give me an opportunity to say word or two in reference to it.

Mr. CASS --- Certainly

Mr. CALHOUN .- I had no doubt at all at the time that letter was written, that Great Britain had entertained serious views of abolishing slavery all over the world, as far as her efforts would month of the street of the str ment on the subject of the sugar duty, I found language used in reference to abolition and its effects, as strong as any I used in my letter to Mr. King, to which the Senator referred, and this not by one or two members, but by many. I believe the change has been so entire upon this subject in Great Britain, that her statesmen are actually looking to the virtual resuscitation of the slave trade, to restore the prosperity of her West India possessions. As to the other point in regard to the possessions of Great Britain on this continent, I shall postpone what I have to say to another occasion. As to the declaration of Lord Aberdeen, referred to in my letter to Mr. King, and which chiefly induced me to write it, it has passed away with the circumstances under which it was made, and the great changes which have since occurred. Our danger is no longer from Great Britain, but our associates in our federal Upion. If we wish to guard ourselves against the destructive consequences of abolition, we must direct our efforts in that direction. Against danger from any other quarter in that respect, we are safe.

Mr. WESTCOTT.—Since the day before yesterday I have looked at the delate in the House of Commons on the 3d of February, which I then referred to. It was on a motion by Lord Bentinck, to inquire us to the West Indies sugar duty, &c., which was adopted on the 4th. The Times of the 4th and 5th of February the sugar Suga rmary contains as I have satisfy, all the deate. Except the re-marks of Sir Charles Wood, the Chancellor of the Exchequer, I have referred to, and a query he put to Lord George while he was speaking about Cuba: "But would you seize the Brazils as well?" and except some remarks of, on the 4th of February, by another member, (Mr. Lobonchere.) I have not discovered one word in the whole report disavowing, repelling, or censuring Lord Bentinck's suggestions. Several eminent men spoke on that occasion, but I do not find that the Premier did. His name is not given as one of the speakers. It must have been another debate that the Senator from South Carolina saw reported, which he has mistaken for this. Nearly all of the speakers deprecate the effects of emancipation in their colonies; and say it has been destructive of their property, injurious to the emancipated slaves, and ruinous to the interests of Great Britain with respect to the colonics. I regard these effects and results as but stimulants to her designs as to us, and Cuba, and Brazil. I have other authentic evidence which I may hereafter submit to the country, tending to show that where 1 may nerestier submit to the country, tending to show that British intrigues are constant by exerted to instigant the adoption of the cmancipation system in Cuba. Her Bahama emancipees infest the coasts and shores of my State, and entue slaves to runaway; and as the Senator knows, while he was in the State Department the authorities of Bahama refused to deliver up several parament me aninorines of Banama reiused to deliver up several ranaway murderers under the treaty, which fugitives had been slaves in Florida. My State is but fifty miles from the Lucayo, or Balama Islands, and but sixty miles from Cuba. If Great Britain succeeds in her designs upon Cuba and Yucatan, we shall he nearly surpopoled on the section of the control of t be nearly surrounded on the enstern and southern sides of my State by free negro colonies, separated from us by a narrow strait only. She will persevere till cheoked by us by a firm and decided only. She will persevere the electron by us of a firm and accuracy course. She meddled in the Texas affair, and in Mexico and California. She may affect to change, but she has not changed her policy. She cannot retrace her steps, and establish slavery, and she wants Spain, and Brazil, and the United States to follow that she wants spain, and Brazin, and the Cittle states to follow her in her folly. She proposes to import Coolies, Kroomen, and Chinamen to the West Indies to supply the place of her manumit-ted slaves—this experiment will fail. If there had been any dis-claimer of the hostility to the United States displayed by Lord Bentinck, or disayowal of covering Cuba, or of an intention to persevere in the efforts to abolish slavery on this continent, I Senator from Michigan is done with them, and he will find I am correct.

Mr. CALHOUN.—I made no declaration in my letter to Mr. King, that Great Britain desired to get possession of Coba. I result of the property of the property is the set be disarvewed of the British minister in Parliament of an intention to scize Coba, as Lord Bentinek suggested, to which I referred. I am satisfied, that in relation to this subject, so far as Great Britain is concreted, we should not allow ourselves to be troubled concerning her coarse of policy. Our real difficulty lies at home.

Mr. CASS.—I do not know that any one proposes, under existing circumstances, to send forces to Yucatan with any design of holding permanent possession of the country. The President in his message openly disclaims any steh the country of the President in his message openly disclaims any steh the country. The President in his message openly disclaims any steh the country. The president in the message openly disclaims any steh the country of the protectings here are openly disclaims any steh the country. The president in the disclaim of the country of the country of the property of the property of the country of the property of t

honor."

A great deal has been said here, sir, respecting the connection between Yacatan and Mexico, and of the difficulties which this connection places in the way of our action. I am not going to enter into the casoisry of politics upon this subject. It is no place for subhal distructions—into the "sophisms and abstrase speculations" (to use the language of Mr. Sierra) by which equity and justice are mystified. The political bonds which have heretofere united those two countries, always sat loosely upon both; and when the properties of their connection by the grinciples of he who forms his judgment of their connection by the principles of our ewn confederation, will sacrifice truth to a false analogy. Yucatan was a severeign State. It joined the Mexican confederacy, and became one of its members upon the terms prescribed in acy, and peculia cite of the measures appear in the property of the act of union. How often those terms have been violated, and that union tritually dissolved, I do not stop to inquire. One tenth part of the abuses perpetrated in the name of the Mexican government, if perpetrated here, would long ago have rent this league assume the property of the perpetrated and the property of league asonder, and would have reduced it to its original elements. The State of Yucatan is now overrun by a domestic enemy. Protection is due to her from the Mexican confederation. It is one of the very cases for which she yielded up her sovereignty, and almost the principal one where the aid of the general government can be needed. But that protection is not granted. Perhaps it cannot be; and perhaps it would not be, if it could. Be this as it may, the result to Yucatan is the same. She burtered her allegicannot be; and perbaps it would not be, if it could. Be this as it may, the result to Yucatian is the same. She burtered her allegisance for protection. They must go together; and this principle so now everywhere acknowledged. The rights of the Mexican confideration are (if I may so speak) artificial, and the confederation itself destructible. But the rights of the States are permanent, and their sovereignties indestructible. Their governments are responsible for the safety and happiness of their people, and they must centrol the measures which are necessary to secure them. In this case, the government of Yucatian is endeavoring the measures which are necessary to secure them. In this case, the government of Yucatian is endeavoring and they must centrol the measures which are necessary to secure them. In this case, the government of Yucatian is endeavoring and they must enter the measures which are the same right which they have to render this assistance. The very extremity of the case creates its own principles. Does the existing war with Mexico limit our rights or control our duties in this respect? Certainly not, while the war is going on; for during that time we can carry on our operations wherever we please, and for what purpose we please, throughout the whole Mexico mendeleaver, and the Senator from Mentucky, [Mr. Cauttespex.] and the Senator from Mentucky, [Mr. Cauttespex.] and the Senator from Mentucky, [Mr. Cauttespex.] and the Senator from Maryland, [Mr. Johnson.] that the existing war with Mexico interposes insuperable obstacles to our action. I think this opinion is founded in error, which a little reflection will remove. The object of an armistice is to keep two military parties within given positions, and to prohibit any operations or increase of force during its continuance—generally to give time for negotiating a peace. But he very basis of such an arrangement is, that no change take place within the limits of one party, which would render the situs and to prohibit any operations or increase of their arms till again called into action.

Now, sir, this fundamental principle is violated in the case of Yucatan; and whether, from the want of inclination, or the want of power in the Mexican government to prevent it, is equally in-Interest and whetes, I observed a present it, is equally ininflored in the Mexican line of the armistice, if Ynestan is a part of
within the Mexican line of the armistice, if Ynestan is a part of
Mexico; and if it is not, this question is at an end; and this war
may change the entire condition of that country, which to-morrow, by the termination of the armistice, we have a right to occupy. An enemy is advancing there, who is seizing the cities and
towns, and may hold the fortifications, and whom, if let alone, we
may find it difficult to dislodge. The authority of the power
making the armistice is practically dissourced; and a party has
come forward, who neither claims its rights nor acknowledges its
obligations. Why, sir, if a French army were in Germany, and
suppose it would remain inactive, and suffer some other power to
interpose and take possession of the very State against which it
was engaged in hestilities? If the Indians should appreach San
Luis, as they are now approaching Merida, must we remain inactive in the neighborhood, and see it taken and destroyed, and

our means of further prosecuting the war vigorously thus essen-

our means of further prosecuting the war vigorously thus essentially impaired I No, sir, an armistice brings duties as well as rights with it; and among these duties, the most important is to preserve the relative condition of the parties unchanged. It has been also said, sir, that as a peace with Mexico would interfore with our action in this case, and might complicate our relations With that country, and as peace may speedily come, we ought not, therefore, to interpose under costsing circumstances.

I am not at all satisfied, sir, with this view of the case; be-

1. We do not propose to go to Yucatan for the purpose of conquest, but of protection; not to assail the Mexican government, but to discharge its duties. Our action will be independent of the condition of peace or war, and consistent with the most amicable condition of peace or wat, and consistent with the most anneanie relations between us and Mexico. Our duties, therefore, will not cease the instant a peace is formed, but must continue till the Yu-catese people are placed in safety. As seen as Mexico will put herself between them and their danger, with efficient means for an tion, we shall retire, and leave the governments to discharge their own duties.

2. We could not retire before, because we should find ourselves in conflict with independent savages—independent for the time being—and should have a right to finish it, or to see that it would be finished, and not precipitately to flee from it, leaving our object

unaccomplished.

A good deal has been said here, sir, respecting the condition of the inhabitants of Yucatan, both civilized and savage. Our information upon the subject is not so precise as we could desire; still the great features of the two races, both natural and political, are sufficiently obvious. The white race has been assailed by the colsufficiently obvious. sufficiently obvious. The white race has been assailed by the col-ored race, and the war, whatever may be the causes of its origin, has become a war of extermination. All the accounts from our own officers, as well as from other sources, public and private, speak of the assailing party as we should speak, under similar cir-cumstances, of our Indians; and Commander Bigelow calls them "ruthless Indians." I have conversed with two intelligent officers who are now there—Lieutenant Porter of the naxy, and Lieutenant Slack of the marine corps—both of whom have been among these people, and both of whom represent them as inferior to our Indians, as well in intellect as in physical conformation. Certainly the description of them given by the honorable Senator from Connecticut, the result of his inquiries, agrees in its essential points with the characteristics of the red man of our own forest. That with the characteristics of the red man of our own forest. That honorable Scantor, however, spoke of them in connection with the workmen of Paris, whom he called the BLOUESS, from the freeks which they warr, resembling the hunting-shirts of our western pioneers, and the freeks of the English ploughman. But the Scantor, if he meant to intimate, as I thought he did, that there were any points of resemblance between the French republicans and the Meyeron Indian event the command the fault of the facility of the second of the fault of t Mexican Indians, except those common to the family of man, knew little of the former, and elevated the latter much higher in the scale of humanity than they now are, or I am afraid, ever will he. I will not take upon myself the defence of the Parisian people. They do not need it; and their noble conduct during the recent convulsions in France is sufficient to redeem them from any aspersion.

[The extracts which follow were not read in the Senate, but Lane extracts whene some were now read in the Schäle, offer they are inserted here in order that the true condition of things in Yucatan may be understood. They are taken from document then just laid upon the table, but which have since been printed.

I understand there is but one exception in the correspondent of our officers with the government, from the general opinion of our officers with the government, from the general opinion of

the low condition of

"Leutenant Hernden, a highly respectable young officer, who dates his report on the 16th March, 1845, after a very brief service on the station. He derived his infor-mation at 8-sal, where Barbachino is more popular them Hendez. In transmitting his report, Commotione Perry in his let not the 16th March, does not express any con-currence of Learn, Hernden's specialismo."

Lieutenant Hernden was led to suppose that the Indians were induced to rise in consequence of some difficulties growing out of the removal of Barbachino from the government of Yucatan, and the removal of Barbachine from the government of Yucatan, and the substitution of Mendea in his place; that promises were made the substitution of Mendea in his place; that promises were made and that some outrages were committed upon them, and some of them Relied in the collection of a tax. All this, its o, would seem uterly is sufficient to account for this great outbreak, and for the shocking cruelties which attend it. But there is reason to doubt the correctness of Lieutenant Herndee's information on this subject, as it is not corroborated by other accounts, and as he is obviously in error in some important particulars. He supposes 'that the whole matter is a party quarrel,' in which, however, he thinks those who originated it may be utterly overwhelmed by the elements they have put in agitation. Ho obviously underrates the danger of the country, and says danger of the country, and says-

That the people of Merida, Sisal, and its neighborhood, entertain much less fear he Indians and their hostilities than those of Campeachy," &c.

He also says:

"The a gradients of inclinence, whose he monuntered at Sual, spoke with great confidence of the hall by of the whites to reside the almost and secured very condient and much at his case."

In further confirmation of my opinion, "he dads, "that this is not a war of eless, in a further confirmation of my opinion," he dads, "that this is not a war of eless, so that the secure of the secure of the secure of several his circumstant in the interior, started that the Indians is his employment had asked for arms, for the purpose of defending his property.

Lieutenant Hernden, it appears, was at Sisal but about a day;

a time entirely too short to ascertain the true condition of the country. Subsequent accounts prove that his opinion respecting the progress of the Indians is entirely erroneous. Barbachino has taken the place of Mendez, but this political change has been followed. lowed by no favorable change in the war. The correspondence with Commodore Perry comes down to the 15th of April; and be-tween that day and Lieutenant Hernden's speculations, affairs had grown werse and werse.

The consul at Campeachy says, on the 23d of March, "that the

Indians are gaining strength every day Lieutenant Mason, under the same date, says :

"The Indians are gradually and successfully taking the country. They are now toot a hundred miles from Merida, in large force. Merida, Sisal, and Campeachy about a hundred mile will finally be taken.

Commander Bigelow, on the 25th of March, reports that "things are daily getting worse in Yucatan."

Lieutenant Mason, on the 7th of April, reports:

"In my conressition with the ex-Governo Mendez, of Yucatan, he informs me that it is impossible for the whites to rests the Indians, who are destroying every towa, village, &c., killing men, women, and children. The Indians now muster about sixty thousand, and are divided into four columns."

The same officer reports on the 18th of March

"My opinion, from information that I can collect, is, that unless Yucatan can get troops, &c., from some foreign power, she is lost, and that within a few months:"

Lieutenant Glasson, in a letter dated April 2, says :

"That at Selam, about 120 miles to the eastward of Campeachy, he boarded a small vessel crowded with persons flying to the island of Cosamel, where there was an English settlement," for an asylum."

Lieutenant Glasson landed at the tewn of Selam, and found there a large number of persons from the city of Valladolid, who had fled at the capture of it by the Indians. He conveyed one hundred and twenty-one of them in his vessel to Campeachy. He also reports:

"That the Indiaos were within seven or eight leagues of Scham, and that they de-stroy every habitation, and put to death all whom they meet. The accounts of those whom I brought here give a most heart rending description of the unfortunate could-tion of the country. Something must be done, either by no some other power, or the whole country most full not be landed of the Indians."

Commodere Perry, on the 13th of March, in urging the necessity of assistance, says:

"The whites have lost all hope of checking the advance of the Iodians; and that the states cots set forth in the papers transmitted by him are not in the least exagge-rated; and that noless assistance is received, the whole constry will be laid waste, and the nomerous towns and villages of the interior destroyed."

The Commodore also says, in a letter of the 15th of April,

"The Indians were still gaining ground; and the whites, without attempting the least defence, continued to fly towards the coast."

And the very latest news from Yucatan, given us through the means of the public journals, fully confirms all these statements and anticipations.

"At the last accounts, (says the most recent rains), the Julinus were within now day's march of Campeachy, a wast nombor and with no nathermat of their desent day's march of Campeachy, a wast nombor and the national control of their desent days where the control of the control of the control of the control of their desent of the control of the contr

These descriptions, sir, are terribly graphic; and they make known to us as well the awful condition of the country as the characteristic features of the race which is producing it. It is very probable, sir, that these Indians may have been oppressed. Such indeed, is, perhaps, the inevitable consequence of the effect of power cereised by a civilized easter over a savage one. We read this trath in our own history, and we feel it in our own days. We are not guilless with respect to the Indians, who have fled for generations, and are still fleeing, before our advancing settlefor generations, and are still fleeing, before our navancing extensions, and to whom there seems no rest but the grave. And the reproach made by the honorable Senator from Kentucky against the Spanish races in Yueartan, that they have not improved the condition of the Indians, is as applicable to us as to them. Our many continuous and accordance of the conditions of the second conditions of th attempts at civilization have been almost utter failures. Whether these failures have originated in the inherent difficulties of the subject or in injudicious efforts, it would be difficult to decide with certainty. They are great obstacles to improvement in the fixed habits of the Indians. They change almost as little as the nomadic Arahs, who are essentially now what they were in the days of Abraham. There are but two occupations becoming an adult male Indian, and these are fighting and hunting. He may go to male Indian, and these are fighting and hunting. Ho may go to war to acquire glory, and be may go to the chase to procure meat and firs. But he must not work; if he does, he is dishonored; and all the labor is thrown upon, the women, whose condition is equally harsh and servile. This was originally a fundamental provision of Indian society, and it was too agreeable to the stronger party, to be easily surrendered. It has certainly given way very much to circumstances, but it still exerts a powerful influence upon the aboriginal race. But, whatever may have been the condition of the Yucatese Indians, whether attached to the haciendas as peons, or ronming through the forest, there can be no justification for their present conduct.

It is said, indeed, that they have a right to vote; and having been admitted to the enjoyment of political privileges, the war they are waging is a civil war, leeking to a change of government

by a revolution. The constitution of Yucatan may have said they by a revolution. In 6 constitution of 1 decital may have sale tage re fit to vote, while their own moral constitution may say they are not fit for it. A false philanthropy may have given them the political qualifications of citizens, while wholly destitute of the necessary intellectual qualifications. Their present conduct shows the type are titrely unprepared to exercise political power—as much they are interry unprepared to exercise political power—as much so as our Indians, whose conduct they closely imitate in this war of exter-unnation. The Yucatese government, in this extension of the right of suffrage, have made an unhappy experiment, as they now find to their cost. Such high privileges are not to be tamnow find to their cost. Such high privileges are not to be tam-now find to their cost. Such high privileges are not to be tam-pered with. Here, thanks to our condition, the very broadest ex-ercise of political rights is extended to all, for all may safely exercise them. Long habit and education have qualified our citizens eise them. Long habit and education have qualined our critzens to participate in all the powers of government, and this institution is the very corne ration of our whole political fabric. This war in a Yucatan is a war of races, not of partices—for physical existence, at least on one side, not for political power. The advancing sarset, it appears, have elected a chief, as their ancestors probably did in remote times, and as many ovid the true clearacter of savaday. Their cruefly stamps at some for demand our interpressition. , and this consideration is enough to demand our interposition, ges, and this consideration is consult to demand our interposition, without adverting to any other. Certainly, we are accustomed to associate a good deal of cruelty with civil wars, and especially with Spanish civil wars. But these contests do not sweep before them entire races, and utterly destroy whole countries; and, when them entire races, and utterly destroy whole countries; and, when looght for political rights, they cease immediately or gradually, with the attainment of their objects. But no such spectacle as this has been seen in the world since the cantastropte on St. Domingo, which seems to have been the exact prototype of the events now going on in Yucatan. The white races stoully suddently ken in spirit, and fleeting before their pursues; still no metry is shown, and the object is obviously extermination, and not political

In this state of things we are urged to stop; to get information, In this state of things we are arged to story to get into manon, as though we did not know all we could know, so far as the claims of humanity are concerned, and to examine and discuss all the easuistry of politics before we place ourselves between the barbarians and their victims. We might as well stop to investigate the cause and their victims. We might as well stop to investigate the cause of a destructive fire before we consented to aid is putting it out. And while we talk, other powers may discharge the claims of hu-

And while we talk, other powers may discharge the chains of the manity, and take possession of the country they protect.

We must recollect that it is the actual recognized government of Yucatan which calls on the world for assistance, not to guard its power, but to secure the existence of its people. Let us disits power, but to secure the existence of its people. Let us dis-charge the conjoined duties of humanity and policy, and leave the internal questions between the two races to be adjusted after the one is saved from the vengeance of the other.

The henorable chairman of the Committee on Foreign Relations alluded to the reports which have reached us, that the Yucatese Indians have been furnished by the British agents at Belize with houses have been normshed by the british agents at being with the arms which have enabled them to carry on this merciles warfare. The fact is distinctly intimated by Mr. Sierra, the commissioner of Yucatan; and, I understand, reported, if not credited, by some of our officers. It has been called in question here, and prinsome of our officers. It has been carred in question next, and had-cipally, I believe, from its very atrocity. The honorable chair-man stated that the guns had the English Tower mark, and had, therefore, heen manufactured for the government; and he drew the conclusion—logically enough, I thought—that this circumstance furnished presumptive evidence of their distribution by British au-thorized agents. The bonorable Senator from Mississippi, howthristical presuments of the through the senator from Mississippi, however, [Mr. Davis,] supposed that these guns might have been sold by the British government at home, in consequence of their having been made before some of the recent imprevements in fire-arms, and that they had thus found their way to the traders, and from them, in the usual course of traffic, to the Indians. This may be so, sir; for a similar disposition is sometimes made of arms come antiquated. But I am not aware that this has recently tacome antiquated. But I am not aware that this has recently taken place; and I had supposed the old stock on hand had long since been exhausted. I am not well enough acquainted with the hot of these Indians, to tell you what kind of arms they use; but they must be very different in their habits from our Indians, if they prefer English moskets for hunting. And if they do not, I do not understand how these muskets could become articles of taken in the state of the state o catan. I am not about to preter a bill of indictinent against Edg-land, as the honorable Senator from Connecticut thinks some of as are two prone to do. But I am not disposed to reject the lessons of history, because the truths it teaches may be harsh and mas-ceptable. I know that arms have been furnished to Indians within ceptable. I know that arms mere been turnised to moral as within the United States by the agents of the British government, and by the directions of that government; and I may thence draw the legitimate conclusion, that such an act is within its code of political chies, and may be done when called for by political consideracal cines, and may be done when came on its pointing densities.

The measures to which I refer, took place when the distinguished Senator from South Carolina [Mr. Calhova] presided over the Department of War, and connected his name so permanently and so brilliantly with the history of its administration. He came to it, sir, when it was languid, exhausted by the exercitions of a terrible war, and when it was comparatively without order or a terrible war, and when it was comparatively measured by the exercises. energy; and he left it in a high state of organization, prompt in energy; and ne test it in a high state of organization, prompt in its administration, conomical in its expenditures, and with a pervading spirit controlling all its branches. I can wish his successors no more fortunate termination of their labors, than that they should retire from them with a reput:tion equal to his. Reports

<sup>\*</sup> This fact is new here; an actual permanent British establishment on the coast of Yacatan, near the point, and capable of commanding the outlets of the gulf.

of the distribution of arms by the British authorities to the Indians in the United States were repeatedly made to him, and the matter became, the subject of formal diplomatic representations to the British government. I think the Senator from South Carolina must have a general recollection of the affair.

Here Mr. Calhoun gave a sign of assent,

For many years the various Indian tribes, as far as the Missis For many years the various Indian tripes, as far as the Mississippi, and some of them west of that river, were annually invited to Fort Malden, at the mouth of the Detroit river, where large supplies of arms, of ammunition, and clothing, and of other articles of taste or comfort, agreeably to their habits, were distributed to them. I speak of years of peace. If I went back to years of war, I could tell another tale—a tale of human flesh—of American flesh—sold in the market like butcher's meat in the shambles. But When, however, peace returned, and found large bolloroeat. When, nowever, peace returned, and round all dies of warlike sawages filling that portion of our country, it found also that their attachments to England were kept alive by the subsidies given to them. Our whole frontier was held in a state of the same of the of greater or less alarm, and all the outbreaks which took place among them could be traced to the ascendency acquired over them they this system, and to the purposes to which it was directed. They came to the great English storchouse as regularly as the ox that knowerh his owner, and the ass his master's crib; and they were fed from that crib, and many a deed of destruction was the onsequence. After som, sua many, access on use of usermenton was the consequence of the many and owning probably to the remonstrances of our government, tho depot was changed, and was established at Drummond's Island, in Lake Huron, then almost without the sphere of our observation. When, however, the Indian street deep of the month of the many of the Indian passed under our jurisdiction, another change was made; and perhaps more changes since that time, for, owing to other occupations, I have lost sight since that the jot, owen to their occupations, it was been again to file subject for some years. I suppose, however, that much is not done now, as from the increase of our power, and the ambit lation of the power of the Indians upon that frontier, England could hardy count upon their services during war, and would therefore feell tittle disposition to subsidize them during peace. So much for philanthropy

I prefer, sir, the bill reported by the chairman of the Committee on Foreign Affairs to the amendments proposed. I do so because, among other reasons, I like to call things by their true name. The bill expresses clearly the objects we have in view, and the motives which influence us. And our operations under it may be continued till the conflict is terminated, or till the Mexican government can interpose with sufficient vigor for the protection of the Yucatese people. And a peace with Mexico would not thus compel us to retire before the Indians at the very moment the ex-

compel us to retire before the Indians at the very moment use ex-igency might be the most urgent.

Some objection has been made to the provision for the armed occupation of the country. I do not object, sir, either to the ex-pression or to the power. If we go to Yucatan at all, we must be there not as subordinate allies, but with a right to control and di-rect all the operations we may deem nocessary. Assuredly we could not think of placing our officers under the but overpresent Yucatese government, timid and imcompetent as that government has shown itself. And it ought to be distinctly understood, that wherever our forces move in Yucatan, during this period of convulsion, they move with a right to take any positions they may deem expesince the white a right to take any positions they may deem expedient, and to carry on all the operations which circumstances may require. I have not had an opportunity carefully to examine the amendments, having only heard them read, but they seem to indicate our proper course of action less satisfactory than the original

Mr. President, great interests are committed to our keeping e are not, we cannot be isolated. The eldest of the independ Mt. resident, great interest. We are not, we cannot be isolated. The eldest of the independent States upon this continent—and, I may say, without the charge of partiality, the most advanced in civilization and improvement our course and our example must exert a decisive influence for event or for good, upon its future destiny. The honorable Senator from Connecticut alluded to an incident gratifying in itself, and ilfrom Connecticut ariaceu to an incacent grantying in itest, and in-lustrative of the progress of sound political opinions. He referred to a journal of Merida, the capital of Yucatan, which contained an article speculating upon the probability of our consenting to the annexation of that country, and warmly advocating the mea-

30TH CONG .- IST SESSION-No. 77.

sure. This is a tribute rarely paid to the institutions of other nasince. This is at trouge rarely paid to the institutions of outer ma-tions, and as little as any other to the government of England. Something has been said, and harshly said, of an emergency in this case, and somethine more of a crisis—of war, and of its cost and collected from which six, there are cases of emergency, but and collected from the control of individuals, which demand speedy and desiries we often and this control of the co in the lives of communities and of individuals, which demmad speedy and decisive action, and this is one of them—cases when promptness is wisdom, and select the control of the promptness is wisdom, and the idea of the control is a control in the control is a control in the control in the control is a control in the cont lighter, but each grave congil, in the opinion of the prophets of political evil, to destroy our constitution, and with it the last hopes of liberty. But we have gone on increasing in numbers and improvement, and in all the elements of power and prosperity, with an accelerated pace before unknown in the history of the world. And at no period of our progress had we more reason to humble our-selves in thankfulness to Providence than at this very moment, when many of the powerful governments of the world are falling around us; when society seems elsewhere almost in a state of dissolution; us; Wich society secure tractions are according to the while our institutions are not only unassailed, but, to all human appearance, beyond the reach of assault; while our government is growing stronger in the affections of the people, as time and expressions and that the brings of the art is best adapted to our condition, and that the brings of the art is best adapted to our condition, and that the brings of the art is best adapted to our condition, and that the brings of the art is best adapted to our condition, and that the brings of the properties of the properties of the strong felly in the opinion so well expressed by the Senator from Connection, and first advanced by Mr. Jelferson, that it is the strongest government upon the face of the earth; the strongest for the purposes of good, and the weakest for the purposes of eval, because controlled by an intelligent people who watch and restrain it. This characteristic I have heard well illustrated by the honorable Senator from Ohio, [Mr. ALLEN,] in a manner peculiarly his own. Our government, like the pyramid which stands upon its base, has a broad foundation, which cannot be shaken; while many another government in the world stands upon its apox, and is liable to be overthrown by the slightest shock assailing it. Our while our institutions are not only unassailed, but, to all human many another government in the world stands upon its apex, and is liable to be overthrown by the slightest shock assailing it. Our constitution is almost the only one where a revolution is impossible; because, if I may so say, it has nothing to revolve to. Fundamental alterations belong here to the ordinary power of the people, and may be made by their will as readily as the slightest clanges in our policy or legislations. I have yet to see the first man in this broad land who professes a desire to exchange this go. vernment for another; and in the whole range of human experience, where can as much he elsewhere said with truth?

The state of the Old World, while it is in singular contrast with

or own, excites the liveliest sensibility here. Its "throcs and convulsions," to use the forcible expression of Mr. Jefferson, are portentous of radical changes. The arrival of every steam-packet is watched with anxiety, and its earliest news is sent instantaneously by the telegraph, almost to the verge of our republic. And after all the gloomy varietinations of the English government, and country, and urges, rescention take such as And after all the gloomy vaticinations of the English government, and country, and press, respecting the duration of our institutions, and the opinion, so often expressed, and I may say the hopes so long cutertained by many, that they would soon pass away, and give place to a monarchical government, we exhibit to the world the unexampled, and I may say the sublime, spectacle of a people, looking across the ocean to Europe, watching the progress of the striking and striring events which threaten to overture all it is estimated as the sublimental of the sublimental string constitutions and the sublimental political systems of society; while their own social and political systems are propercies in themselves, nor ever dearer to the great people who protect them, and in turn are protected by them.

## EXECUTIVE SESSION.

On motion by Mr. BREESE, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of Executive business; and after some time spent therein the doors were opened, and

On motion.

The Senate adjourned.

# THURSDAY, MAY 11, 1848.

#### PETITIONS

Mr. STURGEON presented a memorial of sitizens of Philadolphia, Pennsylvania, praying that a territory west of the Mississippi river may be set apart for the permanent homes of the various Indian tribes inhabiting the United States; which was referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

Mr. BALDWIN presented a petition of citizens of New Haven, Connecticut, praying a reduction of the rates of postage on letters and newspapers; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Mr. DICKINSON presented a petition of citizens of the counties of Clinton, Franklin, and St. Lawrence, in New York, praying the removal of the port of entry from Plattsburg to Rouse's Point in that State; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce.

Mr. UNDERWOOD presented a petition of citizens of Simpson and Logan countres, in Kentucky, praying the establishment of a mail rottle from Frankini in that State, to Springfield, Tenessee; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

On motion by Mr. ATCHISON, it was

Ordered. That the Committee on Indian Alfairs he discharged from the consideration of the petition of Patrick Marantette.

#### A DUED OF DEPORT

Mr. ATCHISON, from the Committee on Indian Affairs, to whom was referred the petition of James Wilkias, submitted an adverse report.

# DEFERRED NOMINATIONS.

The Senate resumed the consideration of the resolution submitted by Mr. Johnson, of Maryland, on the 28th ultimo, respecting appointments made by the President during the recess of the Senate; and it was

Ordered, That the further consideration thereof be postponed

# ORDER TO PRINT

# On metion by Mr. WESTCOTT, it was

Ordered, That the report of the Committee on the Judiciary upon the petition of citizens of Western Pennsylvania, made the 3d instant, be printed.

# ADVERSE REPORTS CONCURRED IN

The Senate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee on Pensions upon the memorial of George Petty; and it was

Resolved, That the prayer of the petition be not granted.

The Senate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee on Finance upon the memorial of Hugh Munro McLean; and in concurrence therewith it was

Ordered, That the Committee be discharged from the further consideration of the memorial.

The Senate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee on Patents and the Patent Office upon the petition of Aaron Carman; and in concurrence therewith, it was

Resolved, That the prayer of the petition be not granted.

The Senate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee on Pensions upon the petition of Asahel Kingsley; and it was

Resolved, That the prayer of the petitioner be not granted.

Resolved. That the prayer of the periodic of the considered of the Committee on Patents and the Patent Office upon the petition of Hezokiah L. Thistle; and in concurrence therewith it was

Resolved. That the prayer of the pennoner should not be granted.

The Senate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee of Claims upon the petition of Volney E. Howard, Bainbridge Howard, and David Shelton; and in concurrence therewith it was

Readed. That the petition of Yalasy E. Howard. Exclude Howard, and David Scholm, with the necomparing paper, be transported by the Serveriery of the Jonate matter at the factor of the Comparing the Land and the Comparing of the Serveriery of the Land and the Comparing of the Serveriery of the Land and the Comparing of the Compa

The Senate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee on Military Affairs upon the memorial of Joshaa Shaw; and in concurrence therewith it was

Ordered, That the committee be discharged from the further consideration of the memorial.

The Senate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee of Claims upon the petition of Sarah Hubbard; and it was

Ordered, That the committee be discharged from the further consideration of the petition.

The Senate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee on Revolutionary Claims upon the memorial of Robert Piatt, heir and legal representative of Daniel Piatt; and it was

Resolved. That the prayer of the petition be rejected.

The Senate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee of Claims upon the memorial of the heirs of Major Caleb Swann; and in concurrence therewith it was

Ordered, That the committee be discharged from the further consideration of the petition.

The Senate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee on Revolutionary Claims upon the memorial of Nathan Lamme's executor; and in concurrence therewith it was

Resolved, That the prayer of the petition be rejected.

The Senate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee on Pensions upon the petition of Elizabeth McDougall; and in concurrence therewith it was

Rescleed. That the prayer of the petition ought not to be granted.

The Senate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee of Claims upon the petition of Richard G. Dove; and it was Resolved, That the prayer of the petition be rejected.

The Scnate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee on Commerce, on the petition of certain citizens of the United States, relative to "Evans' safety guard" for steam engines; and in concurrence therewith it was

Ordered, That the committee be discharged from the further consideration of the petition.

The Senate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee of Claims, upon the petition of John S. Harris; and it was

Resolved. That the committee he dircharged from the further consideration of the petition of John S. Harris; and that he have leave to withdraw the vouchers filed by him.

The Senate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee on Finance upon the petition of John W. Leuchs; and it was

Resolved. That the prayer of the petitioner cannot be granted.

The Senate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee on Patents and the Patent Office upon the petition of James Harley; and in concurrence therewith it was

 ${\it Ordered}$  , That the committee be discharged from the further consideration of the potition.

The Senate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee on Patents and the Patent Office upon the petition of Joseph Nock; and in concurrence therewith it was

Ordered, That the committee be discharged from the further consideration of the petition.

The Senate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee on the Judiciary upon the petition of Sarah Ten Eyck; and it was Ordered, That the committee be discharged from the further consideration of the petition.

The Senate proceeded to consider the resolution submitted on the 15th March last, to compensate James Moore for services rendered, and the report of the committee to adult and control the contingent expenses of the Senate thereon, and in concurrence with sail report, the resolution was disagreed to.

## THE YUCATAN BILL.

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the hill to enable the President of the United States to take temporary military occupation of Yucatan.

Mr. DAVIS. of Massuchusetts.—In the few remarks which I propose to make upon this subject, I shall not attempt to confine myself to the amendment, which ulone is appropriately under diseasion, or to discriminate between the amendment and the bill, but rather to the general question which is presented for the consideration of the Senate. Some time ago, sir, we were admonished that a message would be sent in by the Executive, demanding our immediate attention—a message energent in its character, relating to a matter, as was generally understood in the Senate, which admitted of no delay. The message came here, sir, accompanied by certain documents; and thereon a bill was reported, the title of which you have just read—a bill, sir, proposing to take temporary military occupation of the State of Yucatan, and proposing not to send our assistance, as many suppose, or a ceum-

try distressed and demanding aid from our humanity, to save the inhabitants from extermination; not to send them troops to assist in maintaining their jurisdiction over their territory, and thus es-tablishing their authority, but to take possession in our own name, and maintain it in our own right, by establishing a government of our own. It is not, therefore, a mere question of humanity, but one of appropriation to our own use, and therefore involves can-siderations of very grave character, and for one, I am greatly obliged to the honorable Senator from South Carolina, who so promptly met this subject at the outset, and warned the public of its importance. It comes here, sir, assuming, as a pretext, the claims of humanity. That was the principal ground upon which at first, it was placed. There has been information repeatedly demanded since upon the subject, and we have, I helieve, no less demanded since upon the sunject, and we have, I hereve, no less than three instalments of documents and messages now in print, which have been furnished by the Executive, which are now lying on my table, furnishing information relative to this subject; and, upon who at basis does the matter stand at this moment? may be permitted to express an opinion, with all due respect to gentlemen who take contrary positions, I would say that all grounds of humanity are substantially ahandoned, and that we are called on now to act upon a question of expediency. It seems to me, sir, to have assumed that shape and form, and for one, I am obliged to the chairman of the Committee on Foreign Relations for his frankness. He did not, in the course of his remarks, omit to urge our duty on the score of humanity; still he chiefly dishigher and more important bearing of the questioncossed the higher and more important hearing of the question— the expediency of acquiring the territory for our own use. The chairman of the Committee on Military Affairs, the distinguished Senator from Michigan, who occupied our attention through the day, yesterday, employed the greater portion of his time in labor-ing to prove that it is not only expedient, but our daty to take possession in some way or by some means, which he does not very satisfactority explain, of the whole coast of the Gulf of Mexico, satisfactority explain, or the wince teast of the Gulf of Mexico; and why is this necessary or expedient? Because, argued the gentleman, it is for the interest of this country so to do. We have, he urged, a great commerce passing through this golf, which may be interrupted by others, if they possess the adjacent country, and therefore we ought, for our own security, to hold the whole coast. That is the argument, sir, stripped of all plausibility, this the chiefet to be estimated and we are to begin by medicar by: this the object to be attained, and we are to begin by making Yucatan our own. Without at present, dwelling longer upon this
aspect of the case, it is enough for me here to say, that the quesspecific and case, as a consideration the rece to by that the questions are the consideration of this governsome one that demands the gravest consideration of this governsome one may involve consequences of a very alarming character.

First, then, sir, it is desirable to understand why it is that the Yucatanese apply to us for sid, and an armed force; and secondly, the terms apon which it is proposed that we shall lead our aid and

the terms upon which it is proposed where we was a civil assistance. We are told, sir, that in Yucatan there exists a civil war, and that one of the parties eagaged in the contest applies to the United States for assistance. This party is represented to be the existing government of Yucatan—a government that sends here the representation that she has no power to hold in subjection the opposition existing against it; and is incapable even of supplies that the farmage that a monotion; and that the force arrayed the opposition existing against it; and is incapative even of sup-porting itself against that opposition; and that the force arrayed against it is so irresistible in its character, that unless assistance is extended to them in order to drive it back, it will eventually overwhelm the government, if it does not externalment the white race altogether. That is the substance of the representation made race altogether. That is the substance of the representation made on this subject. That, according to the statement of the commissioner, is the condition of the party which applies to us for assisssoner, is the condition of the party which applies to us for assistance. Sir, it is said to be a contest between races-castes of men. I deny this, Mr. President. If it be meant, when that assertion is made, that there is an insurrection in which the whole Indian or indigenous race of Yucatan are arrayed against the white population. I affirm that the contents of the documents upon our hable justify no such conclusion. On the courrary, one of the officers of our own government, Mr. McKenney, of the navy, in his despatch, points out the extent of this rehellion—the portion of the country in which it has and does rage, and the number of the population engaged in it. He estimates the number of these Indians thus cagaged, including all ages and sexes, at one hundred and twenty thousand. Now, it appears, as an indisputable from the sexes as a sexes thousand. Now, it appears, as an indisputable fact, that the whole amount of this class of the population of Yucatau is between five and six hundred thousand. The exact amount I am unable at present to determine to my own satisfaction, but gentlemen all around me estimate it to be greater. Of these five or six hundred thousand Indians, then, only some one hundred and twenty thou-sand are engaged in an insurrection or civil war. The remainder are passive and obedient to all existing law. I deny then, sir, are passive and obedient to all existing law. I deny then, sir, that this is a war between the races waged generally in Yucatan. It embraces only an inconsiderable portion of the midgeanous of Indian race, and is not a general rising to exterminate the whites. These despatches emphatically confirm this view. This same officer, (Mr. McKenney,) as well as others, inform us that the mixed race, partly Spanish, partly Indian, have their symmethics and feelings more the question in Indian, have their sympathies and feelings upon the question in dispute, which are decidedly with the Indians. I might notice, sir, another fact stated by one of our own officers in his despatch that this war was not commenced without cause or provocation given to the Indians on the part of those who appeal to us for aid. Sir we have heard but one side of the question, stated in such terms and with such aggravations as self-interest dictates. Are these Indians at war as has been suggested, for the love of blood, or from a desire to exterminate their white associates, or is it because they have been wronged, and are now seeking redress by force of arms? have been wronged, and are now seeking redress by lorce of a rms! I need not enter here upon the injustice that has been done to the race from time immemorial, but I may state their recent wrongs, I may say that it was through their aid and instrumentality that eleven thousand of the Mexican troops, under the command of Santa Anna, in an invasion of the State of Yucatan by the Mexican government, were expelled from the borders of that the Mexican government, were expelled from the borders of that province. Sir, they may be ferecious, but if the evidence is reliable, they are a brave and fearless people. In consequence of the aid thus furnished them by the Indians against their invaders, the government of Yucatan promised them to remit the capitation tax, an odious, oppressive exaction. Their then Governor Men-dez, who, by one of their prenunciamentes superseded one Barha-chano holding the situation, refused to abide by the terms of his chano nothing the students, retused to abide by the terms of his own agreement. He gave orders to have the tax collected, though he had been paid with blood for the remission; a refusal followed, and the consequence was, as Lieut. Heradon of the narry states, some of the Indians were butchered. That is the way, sir, the war began, in bad faith and cruel marder by Mendez and his party. The government refused to fulfil their solemn arrangement entered into with this humble, but brave people, refusing the privilege which they had purchased with their blood, and the result is, what might be auticipated from such treachery, a civil war. That, sir, I understand to be the present condition of these races—the origin

We find, sir, that these Yucatanese, who come here soliciting our assistance, are represented in the despatches, not only as the on assistance, at represented in the despatches, act only as the weaker party, but as a pusillanimous, miserable people, utterly incapable of defending or protecting themselves, proof of which will be found in the letter of Commodore Perry. Lieut. Mason, who has associated with them freely, calls them a cowardly race, and another of our officers expresses it as his opinion, that they are incapable of making any substantial defence against the reso lute force arrayed against them. At page 17 of same despatch it is note lote a large a usual state. At page 1 for same despatch it is said, that after having raised un army, and giving to it something like organic form, a large portion of the soldiers deserted. This is the general character of the party we are invited to assist against an Indian force, which the fears of this flying people have never magnified beyond four thousand.

Sir, the evidence to which I have adverted is derived from our own officers and the Yucatanese commissioner, and gentlemen can easily satisfy themselves by reading the papers, if I err in affirming that this is not a war of extermination between races. The Indians had just cause for revolt in the had faith of Mendez. The fundams may have cause no revolt in the may have not a second. We have seen that this refusal to remit the capitation tax led to bloodshed. The ambition of why leaders with their partians, alike devoid of good faith and partiests, converted the struggle into a party contest, headed by the former Governor on the one hand, and the man in power on the other. A officer in the navy declares them to be politicians engaged in partizan war-fare, in which each assails the other with such force as he can com-mand.

We learn from the same papers what the character of the peobe is, whom we are called upon to subdue. Need I add to what I have said, that it is through their gallantry and services, bar-barians as they are represented to be, that the army of the Mexican States, when they waged war upon Yucatan, were expelled from the country. I do not mean that this is said in so many words in these despatches, but it is an irresistable inference from the four these despatches, but it is an irresistable inference from the facts therein stated, if we take in connection with them, the inc. pacity of the whites to make resistance. Sir, what are the numbers of this class applying for protection, compared with that of the Indian population? These Indians not only have strength. fortitude, and courage, but we are often assured by the evide pefore us, that their numbers greatly exceed those of the Spanish population. They constitute the principal portion of the inhabi-tants of that country. We are asked, then, sir, to interfere he-tween these two races, both acknowledged citizens of the State, to establish the power and authority of those of Spanish descent, amounting to some 50,000, and to bring into subjection the aboriginal inhabitants, as well as the mixed race, amounting to some 600 000. Mr. President, is the class of Indians referred to, what they are represented to be by the commissioner, and in this debate, savages? Do they deserve to be placed among the races of bar-barians? It is a fact, that when the constitution of Yucatan was formed years ago, these people were admitted to all the rights of citizenship, and that they have exercised these rights as fully and as unqualifiedly from that period to the present, as any other of the inhabitants of that State This fact is stated in various places. the inhabitants of that State. This fact is stated in various places, upon the authority of many individuals, and among them the commissioner. He informs us that they were not only admitted missioner. He informs us that they were not only admitted to all the rights and privileges of citizenship, but have held many offices in the State, and have filled many political stations of honor and responsibility. I think too, it must be within the recollection of many gentlemen, that one of this race of Indians, I know not why her a Yucatanese, represented the Mexican government in a diplomatic station hore. By what right, then, do you call this whole people a race of savages? By what right doyou stigmatize them as uncivilized, degraded savages? The larger portable are repulsible to the property of the property of the property of the property of the respective degraded coulding. matize them as uncivilized, degraded savages? The larger por-tion of them are probably in a comparative degraded condition; but they have had the benefit of an intercourse with civilized soci-ety for some three handless the type of the burner of an intercourse with civilized soci-tey for some three bundred years, and it is ide to say that they have not profited by it. I do not understand them to be a set of nonadic tribes; but in the main, a people who have fixed habita-tions, live by cutivation of the soil, or are collected in villages, towns, and cities, the same as other indabitants of that country.

I do not suppose this description of their way of living is appli-

cable to all, but it is to a portion of them, and a large one too.

Now, sir, what are we asked to do? Why, to take military occupation of this country. The Senator from Alabama explained occupation of this country. The Senator from Anadama explained and developed the meaning of this term a little in the amendment which he offered. We are to take and keep possession of the country until the weaker portion of the people are capablo of protecting themselves, or the Mexican government is able to render them suitable protection. Now, if these one hundred and twenty thou sand Indians, including men, women, and children, are sufficient to expel the Mexican people from the country, and to cause them to explet the Mexican people from the country, and to cause them to send a representative here to beg our assistance, how long, allowing we take pass-ession, shall we have to wait for this people to grow sufficiently strong to take gare of themselves? If these sawage people have expelled Mexican armise-noo of five thousand, and another of eleven thousand, at different times from the country—bow long shall we have to keep possession of Yuestine before Mexico herself would be able to different times from the country—bow long shall we have to keep possession of Yuestine before Mexico herself would be able to different times from the country—bow long shall we have to keep possession of Yuestine to the contract the country of the countr temporary occupation to end? Mr. President, while we are playing upon the word "temporary" do we not mean, in reality, a permaneney, a continuous occupation of the territory? The lacts circumstances of the case, justify us in this interpretation. Who is to decide upon the period when they will be able to take care of themselves i themselves? This question, in my opinion, is easily answered. The portion that comes here for aid, do not mean to take care of The boon they chiefly desire, as every gentleman will learn by reading the despatches relating to this matter, is, not protection against the Indian race so much as against the Mex-States. They are most anxious to obtain, at assistance requisite to repel aggression from the United Mexican States, because of the rebellion they have entered into, and the course they have pursued, for which they will be, as they ited with retributive vengeance by the remaining confederated States. The United Mexicans will not so much protect them, as hold them accountable for treasonable desertion; and this is the last thing they desire, as I shall soon show by their attempts to frustrate the pending treaty. They want to be protected against these States, instead of looking for protection from them; and this is not a matter of inference from the evidence, but one of direct avowal in the most unequivocal language.

Now, Mr. President, I do not propose to dwell on, or reason NOW, Mr. Fresteett, 1 up not propose to want on, to essent pon these finests, for they speak a language plain, strong, and conclusive in its character, needing no aid from argument. It is enough to state the plain history in its simplest form, and the plain history in its simplest form, and the plain history in its simplest form, for the plain history in the plain history in the simple of the plain history in the simple of the plain history in the simple of the plain history in the plain teated, and the only remeal for time is, permanent occupation. We do not propose to lend aid to another power, but to take possession, or, in one word, to annex. I am greatly obliged to the Senator from Michigan, who, I think, in the claborate argument which we listened to yesterday, devoted himself mainly to the question which is really to be settled. It is in fact a question of annexation, and we are to decide whether we will assume a permanexation. nexation, and we are to decide whether we will assume a perma-nent, lasting jurisdiction over the country, and take the responsi-bility which will come with it. That is the real inquiry. Sir, I cannot avoid, in looking over these papers, in listening to the ar-guments of gentlemen and their conclusions, with the probable consequences, calling to mind some of the history of this government.

It is a recent matter that Texas was introduced here: and how she to be united to us as an integral part of this Union? And what have been the consequences? The facts surrounding that event were, in some respects, similar to those now hefore us; but in others, dissimilar; though on the whole, the question was much less preghant with misehief than the one we are now to decide. She had been separated by rebellion from the Mexican States. Not only was she separated, but her independence had been recognized by the United States and several European governments, and for herself, for several years, she had maintained an independent jurisdiction over the territory which she had con-quered. A war, however, existed between her and the parent country, notwithstanding her assumed independence; and it was said, that if we should almost Texas we should also assume the war, because Mexico had never surrendered or abandoned her right to subject the rebellions State to her duty. That was the reasoning adopted. And although the President was pieased to say in his first annual message, delivered in December after his inauguration, that the annexation of Texas was a bloodless victory and a peaceful achievement, yet every body knows and sees at this moment, this country is involved in a war treasure, produced by that namexation. s country is involved in a war, wasting our blood and produced by that nnnexation. I know there are pol-who sometimes stand up—I don't know whether they believe it or not-and argue that annexation did not bring was with it. But I give my friend, the Senator from the State of Texas, [Mr. Houston,] full credit for his frankness upon this subject. He told us, in so many words, the other day, that it did bring war with it; and that we were now engaged in hostilities Mexico in consequence of the act of annexation. The same opinion was expressed by some of the distinguished members on opinion was expressed by some or the deconguished members on the other side of the chamber, in a debate which took place at the last session. This opinion has been thus openly avowed as a fact. And if it were not, there are other facts, sustaining that declaration too clearly to have any doubt. Is there wet, then, a resem-blance between the state of affairs at the time Texas applied for admission into this Union, with the state of allairs now existing in relation to Yucatan—a resemblance too identical to escape observation? Texas applied for admission when in a state of war with

the States of Mexico, caused by her occession and rebellion. Now. I do not say that a state of war exists between Yucatan and the States of Mexico, but I do say, that Yucatan claims to have rebelled against the Mexican government, and to consider herself, in conse against the Mexican government, and to consider herself, in conse-quence of that act, to be in a neutral position towards the United States, and to fear punishment from Mexico for disobedience, as soon as she is able to inflict it. Her course has not been marked by firmness of purpose, or adherence to principle, but is more the result of captrice or weakness in Mexico, than of fortuled or fixed purpose in l erself. After the commencement of the war, although some difficulties existed between Mexico and Yucatan, she volunta rily bowed her neck and gave in her adhesion to Santa Anna, the Try lowed her neck and gave in her adnessed to Sainta Anna, the Dictator, and cooperated with him, while she believed success would follow his arms. She claimed to be a State of Mexico, an integral part of the confederacy, but when the day of disaster came—when defeat followed defeat—and Mexico, in its greatest ex--when defeat followed defeat-and Mexico, in its greatest ex-tremity, demanded the aid and support of all her citizens, then it was that Yueatan, abandoning her sister States, took refuge under professed neutrality. The posture which she occupies is equivocal, and affords little proof of attachment to principle or patriotism. The President says in regard to the war with Mexihitherto prevented the United States from recognizing her as an independent State. The President manifestly considers Yucatan naterpondent State. The President mannesty consists Addition part of confederated Mexico, notwithstanding her professions of neutrality, and is not alone in his opinion. One of the Senators on the other side of the chamber, states that the only ground upon which the proposed interference can be justified, is, that a ists between Mexico and the United States, and Yucatan being an integral part of the Mexican States, we have a right to eater it with an armed force, and to take possession. According to the argument, therefore, our right to interfere is derived from the fact, that Yucatan is now a Mexican State, and belongs to the confedcracy, and neither is a neutral nor an independent State. Her position, therefore, is far less favorable for annexation, than that of Texas when she applied for admission into our Union. Texas was recognized by the United States, as an independent State before she asked for annexation; and then sought it, not only by her government, but the whole people requested it. This was the posture of Texas. She was in rebellion, and so is Yucatan. The government and the people of Texas approved of the measure, while only a faction—a weak, contemptible minority of the people of Yucatan, with the civil powers falling, I believe actually fallen from their hands, demand -not from regard to us, but for prothe there is a second of the first t we are at war with Mexico.

They entreat us to do this, and assume the responsibility which belongs to it, which will prove to be nothing less tion of the war, which we have been exerting ourselves to bring to tion of the War, when we have been exerting ourselves we string our and. White, therefore, there is, in many important leatures, a resemblance between Texas and Yucatan, yet the posture of the former was such as to be comparatively free from objection, when she asked for nanexation and yet it produced a calamitou war, which is not yet ended, nor is it at all certain, when it will be—a war that is wasting the valuable lives of our citizens, and loading us with an accumulation of debt, which will oppress us for many years. It is too manifest to admit of doubt, that if under existing c.rcumstances, we enter Yucatan to settle domestic quarrels, and for the purpose of establishing our authority there shall be in the greatest danger of interrupting the negotiations

now going on, and of perpetuating the war.

But I have thus far, followed out chiefly the views of those who profess to be influenced by humanity. There are, however, other reasons alleged for the adoption of this measure, which throw all considerations of humanity into the shade. Indeed, I cannot help thinking that humanity is already substantially laid out of the question, and we are meditating in its stead an ambitious aggrees sive policy. Humanity, I fear, has become a mere pretext to sive policy. Hums

The Senator from Michigan hardly condescended to notice the argument of humanity, but placed himself on other, and in my opinion, more alarming and dangerous ground. What did he unertake to establish in an claborate speech, as the doctrine which ought to prevail in this country? Why, that we shall possess our-selves of the whole coast along the Gull of Mexico. I do not know that he advised to direct interference by violence, but if I did not misunderstand him altogether, he thought it wise and expedient, that the policy of this country should be directed to that contingency with great earnestness. It may be very convenient for this country to possess the Gulf of Mexico, and we may have to this country to possess the Guil of MCXEO, and we may have the greater security to our borders as the result. A desirable ob-ject, truly. But it is worthy of the consideration of the Semant whether it is expedient to compromit the peace of this country, and wade through blood and desolation, to the attainment of such an object. Whether in a word, it is desirable to provoke bostilities with countries capable of doing us much greater mischief than Mexico.

I was not, Mr. President, quite able to see the force or justice, in the reasoning adopted by the Senator from Michigan, He sketched at much length the geographical position of Mexico, also of Cuba, and the breadth of the channels leading into and out of the Gulf, and enjoyed by the commerce and navigation of the world. He proceeded to extend his ideas, sir, in proportion to the extent of his subject, shadowing forth views of our glory, of our brilliant destiny, and of the necessity which existed, in order to the continuance of that glory and prosperity, of taking possession of the gulf coast, to make room for the trade and population of this country. Did he go far enough, sir! If the bonorable gentlemen could acquire all he aims to possess, embracing the coast of the source of the coast of the country. But he shows the gentlemen could acquire all he aims to possess, embracing the coast of the country of the coast of the country of the coast of the country of

Mr. WESTCOTT.—The honorable Senator from Massachusetts misunderstands that treaty, if he supposes it grants to Great Britain any of the sovereignty of the country. It merely grants her the right of cutting log wood.

Mr. DAVIS.—I am under no misapprehension with regard to that treaty. I stated that its terms were such as to authorize the English to reside there and cut logwood—a grant of the right of possesson made to them forever without limitation. They were authorized to build towns and occupy exclusively this territory designated in the treaty; but while authorized to do this, they were ever, in that treaty which fortide their maintaing a navy as large set they please, and auchoring it in the Belize or otherwise using the waters for its convenience. There is nothing in the treaty which restrains Great Britain from thus using the ports, or employing them for any purposes of navigation. The Belize has the best larbors in the whole country. If, then, we do not annex it, the Bahamas, Jamaica, &c., have we got rid of Great Britain or any other country that has possessions in the gulf or upon the bighways leading to it? Have you warded off the dangers that the honorable Senator apprehends? Sir, he will not have gained an inch towards his object. This treaty, to be sure, did not grant the sovereignty of the Belize to the English, but it gave them the privalege of maintaining their possessions there, which is just as good a grant for all practical purposes as the sovereignty itself, and any interference brings with it just as serious consequences. I can

see no difference whatever.

The Senator from Michigan drew a very flattering picture of our future prosperity. He spoke of the person being now alive that would behold in the valley of the Missnispip, as I understood him, some one bundred millions of inhabitants, with all the wealth of the missnispin and the proper of the property of the missnispin and the property of the control of the Country, first to make room for our growth, and, second, for fear of being interrupted in our trade and commerce by some other trading or ambitious power. I think the Senator from Michigan has mistaken the trade and commerce by some other trading or ambitious power. I think the Senator from Michigan has mistaken the trade and commerce by some other trading or ambitious power. I think the Senator from Michigan has mistaken the trade and commerce by some other trading or ambitious power. I think the Senator from Michigan has mistaken the trade and commerce by some other trading or ambitious power. I think the Senator from Michigan has mistaken the trade and commerce by some other trading or ambitious power. I think the Senator from Michigan has mistaken the trade and commerce by some other trades and continued the trade and popular spirit of this government, trading under the authority of the people of the country. They appoint their own agents or delegates to Congress, and invest whomsover they think proper with a practical exercise of the constitutional provisions for legislation. Now, sir, such a government as this, wherein public opinion is supreme, demands a state of peace. A sagacious, enlightened, comprehensive public mund can alone be its additional provisions for legislation. Now, sir, such a government that is to flourish under the old idea of the monarchical and the consists in a long and brilliant history of military achievements. They spread their principles, both political and religious, by the sword, hier

what will it some to? We may by unparalleled bravery and skill raise our day in foreign countries, and like the Romans, establish what we call free governments, but all seed thus sown by violence and blood-sled, will, I fear, fail to produce the penceful furits of public liberty. I would ask, Mr. President, if it is not infinitely better to rely for success upon the convictions of men, upon the dissemnation of just and equitable principles, upon the doctrines of peace, upon the practical infillment of the doctrines of qual rights and equal privileges? These are not the doctrines of the layonet, sir. You may early the name of the republic to Sonth America, you may plant your standard entirely around the Gulf of Mexico, you may plant prough your great power possession of Yucatan, you may and through your great power possession of Yucatan, you may and Enhama Islands, but what have you gained when you have done all this? If you have not carried free principles there, and respected in others those rights which we demand for ourselves, of what avail are all your efforts—all your chievements! what will it come to? We may by unparalleled bravery and skill raise nurselves, of what avail are all your efforts—all your achievements? None at all. Oppression is not the less odious because it is done None at all. Oppression is not the less odious because it is done in the name of a republic—violation of rights is not the less panful to endure because inflicted in the name of a free people. All this must be obvious. And, now, Mr. President, I cannestly desire the Senator to consider what the peace of the last thirty years has done for us and for mankful? That period of peace, sr., has done more for the human race—more to elevate and improve the condition of man, than all the wars that have raged from the days of Alexander down to the present time. I reflect with amazement upon the progress of free and enlightened principles in a state of peace, when I see the condition of the peace tion of public sentiment, crushing the overshadowing powers of a great and ancient dynasty. This is the work of panes, sir, and does any one believe that if war had been continued, moral power could have attained this ascendency? This is what belongs to free institutions—to mind left to freedom of action—to mind which finds repose to deliberate. Every sect of wrong done, sir, by usupon a neighboring nation, brings ignominy not only upon us, but upon our principles. We should stop, then, Mr. President, and consider what we do before we earry our bayonets into Yucatan for the purpose of unting that country to this. Again, we should consider, sir, whether, if we administer our government in the spirit which belongs to our constitution, and fully demonstrate to mankind, whose ther, if we administer our government in the spirit which belongs to our constitution, and fully demonstrate to markind, whose friends we profess to be, the justice and equality it asserts—the privileges to the person and to property which it secures—ts tolerance of opinion on all subjects—the enterprize to which it gives birth—and the mexampled prosperity which it secures—whether all these countries will not, from witnessing our example of moderation, justice, equality, and security, drop into our arms, seeking of their own free will our friendship, association, and protection. Sir, the time will come, if we so conduct our affairs, when they will eagerly embrace us, and desire to belong to a family of states where such principles find root and grow to maturity. We word where such principles find root and grow to maturity. My word for it, sir, this is the way to conquer nations, and vastly more ef-fective than the bayonet. What has England done in the six hunlective than the payoret. We may be a large and color in the sak forder dyears she has held possession of Ireland to harmonize and reconcile the people? Has she conquered and subdued their free spirit? Has she reconciled them to their condition? Is Ireland not hostile and rebellious to her authority at this day? Does she not remember that she was subjugated by conquest, and feel the degradation now? Will Poland forget, even if her condition is or should be improved, to feel that she is the victim of ambition (— No. sir, the sword is the most dangerous of all ties of union; the disgrace belonging to defeat, and subjugation is seldom effaced.

Mr. President, the Senator from Michigan is endeavoring to bar-

Mr. President, the Senator from Michigan is endeavoring to harmonize the ambitious love of conquest with the gentle spirit of a free government, which aspires not to the glory of arms but to the elevation and improvement of our race—nothing can be more incompatible with the genits of free distinctions and the compatible with the genits of free distinctions and the deadly enemy of penjular liberty. I say, therefore, that every proposal to extend our territory or principles by force, is greatly to be deprecated our territory or principles by force, is greatly to be deprecated to abbit liberty, he attempts to engraft upon us the feudal notion, the ancient idea, that power is to be obtained and principles propagated by force of arms, by the shedding of blood. That idea, sir, does not belong to our institutions; it does not belong to a generous, but to a sellish spirit. It does not belong to freedom of conscience, or to a philant herposidate it. Give us peace, Mr. President, so that men may pause, reflect, and examine into their rights and consider the means by which they are to be maintained, and the methods by which the grievous burdens which have been loaded upon them by wars, and by an unantural social organization, may be mitigated or totally removed. It has already been demonstrated, sir, that all you need to do, is to let the human mind become acquainted with its own condition and high destiny. When the saferady taken place in many parts of Europe will from the hands of the soldier, the sword from the hands of the officer, because the people have been wise enough to see where their common prosperity fies; and that the means by which to secure it are not to be found in arms or the shedding of each other's blood. Never was there a greater mistake made, than when this country took that attitude. Gentlemen are congratularing themselves on our increasing power and glory, the result of the bravery of our cities.

are always strong when they act from convictions of right-but

whatever success may attend us in prosecuting wars of conquest, the result will as certainly ruin us as it did Rome.

Sir, there is another difficulty which seems to trouble the minds Sir, there is another difficulty which seems to trouble the minds of many gentlemen. England, say they, is ambitious; England is for clustering together nations and establishing in them her power and her principles. I shall do no more than justice to my own feelings when I say, that I am often pained in reflecting upon these considerations, when I call to mind England's history. I am not unmindful of her aggressions, and of the pretexts by which she has often possessed herself of the territory of others, nor of the manner in which she has demonstrated her power when she has obtained possession. All this is too obvious to admit of any doubt or mistake. When she is about to commit an aggression, what does she do? She sets up some plausible pretext, claims she has been wronged some way or other, and thus she justifies not only the infliction of punishment, but conquests which she and this deel winding a sine way to only on the process the his his most only the infliction of punishment, but conquests which she will be weaker party. Rome did that, sin, and it was a favorite policy by which she overrun Asia, Africa, and Europe. Who does not know that a very large portion of the Roman Empre was annexed by this process. A party or faction, incapable of protecting itself, sent to her for assistance, ollering, perhaps, the sovereignty as a consideration for her aid. Of course the aid was given, and the sovereignty claimed as the reward, whether offered or not; and thus kingdom were the aid was given, and the sovereignty leained as the reward, whether offered or not; and thus kingdom store kingdom were from Michigan pertinently enquired, when England puts ber foot down in any place does she voluntarily take it away? I fear she asseldom it ever yielded territory which she thought to be useful to herself. When Rome interfered to aid a weaker faction, did she ever subsequently find a state of things existing which induced her to herself. When Rome interfered to nid a weaker faction, did she ever subsequently find a state of things existing which induced her to relinquish her misnamed protection? Never. If remonstrance was made, what was the answer? I twas, that the condition of the people was improved, and Roman institutions were better than their own, and remonstrance was of no avail. And what is the answer of England when remonstrance is made concerning such aggressions? Her reply is of the same touro. We give you a aggressions? Her reply is of the same tenor. We give you better government; you have greater security to your persons better government; you have greater security to your persons; is larger liberty than belove; what have you to complian of? That is the course of reasoning adopted, sir: and although it implies a violation of every principle of liberty, and an utter diseaged of the opinions and happiness of others; yet, in ambitious minds, it is a justification even of bloodshed. Are we not falling gradually into this same process, and bringing odium both upon our name and our pruniples? When we wish to advance our frontier a lit-tle, do we think our better the process of the process of the process argument wherevith to satisfy the world? But does it satisfy our argument wherewith to satisfy the world ( But does it satisfy our own judgment ( If we were to be placed in the condition of these we undertake to annex to us, whether they will or not, would we be satisfied with the same course—with a declaration that our condition would be improved. Of this we prefer to be our own judges, We do not desire to have even happiness thrust upon us against our will, for a down admit that others have the right to decide questions for us and to compel our acquisecence. Sir, the great principle of safety every where, is non-intervention. The great and fundamental principle when he sat the very root of public liberty, is the right of a people to judge for themselves and erry, is the right of a people to pingle for measures and maintain such institutions as they please and in the way they please, provided they do not interfere wrongfully with others. They may appear absurd to us, but if they find happiness in maintaining them, no means of violence employed to demonstrate such an error can be justified. It is a privilege of the free to act from conviction, but justified. It is a priving of the free to act from conviction, but to force opinions or views of policy upon others is a violation of the first principles of freedom. It is said, Mr. President, that there is danger if we do not take possession of Yucatan, that some other country will. Who is to do it? England it is said—ambithere is danger if we do not take possession of Yucatan, that some other country will. Who is to do it? England it is sid—ambitious England, and we are to seize it for fear she will take possession. Mr. President, let us proceed in such a matter with deliberation, and act upon evidence. England might have done it long ago if she had desired it. There are, in the documents which are upon this table, however, some statements which it is affirmed should be considered as conclusive proofs of a purpose on the part of Great Britain to indulge in this scheme of aggrandizement. I find in these papers no proof whatever that the English government by any these papers no proof whatever that the Eaglish government by any act, movement, or claim, have set up any pretension to Yucatan. Any consistency of the property of the property of the proof of the pr le it not worth while to stop and consider our past history, before princeeding further in our nggressive career upon more idle rumor? What did the Senator from Texas tell you standing ramor ' want du tue Senator from Texas tell you standing in his place the other day ! What was said to us a year ago, by gentlemen on the other side of the chamber ! It was this, that although it was boldly asserted in messages sent to this body, and published officially through the country, that England would take possession of Texas if we omitted to do it; although it was so often reiterated, by authority and without authority It was so orich reterated, by authority and without authority, that the people began to believe it, yet the Secator, who from his ollicial station could not be otherwise than well informed upon the subject, pronounced in substance the whole affair to be a humburg, got up to excite the public mind here, and

to foster the scheme of annexation. I do not mean to assert that I use his language when I asy it was humbug, but I believe I do no injustice to his meaning. He used the gentler terms of diplomatic intercourse, which was got up, no doubt, for the express purpose of alarming the people, and of exciting their apprehension of such an act on the part of England. This belief, he gave us to understand, was encouraged, that it might give facility and despatch to the meditated annexation. My irrend from Mayland, (Mr. JOHNSON,) among his reminiscences the other day, referred to a speech made by the Senator from Texas at New Orleans, long since, wherein —as it was represented—the Senator asserted that all these rumors hout the designs of the English upon Texas were mere coquetry becauter from Texas, disclaimed. But in reasing the transfer from Texas deschared. But in reasing the three transfer from Texas guinfeant, proving that the whole matter was utterly without foundation. Those, therefore, who plumed themselves on the discovery, that the despatch of Lord Aberdeen disclaiming all interference, was a diplomatic humbug, were themselves humbugged.

When we listen to these idle stories and insinuations contained in the despatches, which the commissioner does not dare to call facts, is it not worth while to place them in juxtaposition with the other fact, that he is anxious for the annexation of that State to this Union? Lest these insinuations and suggestions should not be heeded Union! Lest these insimations and suggestions should not be needed— lest this kind of argument should not have sufficient force, and act with sufficient despatch, the government of Yucatan, then falling to pieces, under the assaults of Barbachino, and also of the Indians, came forward with a direct and unequivocal offer of the sovereignty of that country, not only to the United States, but also to Engl and Spain, if they, or any of them, would interfere and give them the aid and protection which this minority of the people deemed essenand and protection which this minority of the people deemed essen-tial to their safety. In other words, a sinking party offer their country for sale. The consequences which such a proposition may involve, if we treat it as an offer to us, and expect the whole re-ward, will more fully appear if we trace the matter a step further. Ward, will more bull appear it we trace the matter a step intriner. We are officially informed that Spain is already on the ground with three ships of war, and has furnished a quantity of arms and ammunition to these people, pursuant to their request. What will she expect in return? Does she demand the sovereignty? She will she expect in return? Does she demand the sovereignty? She has complied with the request made to her, and her good offices and acts of interference have been very acceptable to these people. But yesterday—I speak it on the authority of the Sonator from Michigan, who seems to have credited it—there was a rumor that no less than four companies of British troops have been marched to the sid of these Yucatanese. Now, sir, suppose we send a body of men there. Spain, England, and the United States will be there; and the sovereignty of the country is promised to each power that renders aid. How will the question of sovereignty be set-tled, as each power cannot have a fulfilment of the promise? Mr. President, if my apprehensions are correct—if such a supposed President, if my apprehensions are correct—if such a supposed state of things should come to pass—if all these powers should meet in Yucatan, each with a military or naval force, or both, in my opinion, we would find ourselves in a position, from which to extricate us without a rupture of peaceful relations, would demand more wisdom and moderation than I am prepared to concede to the present administration. There must be imminent danger of conflict when three such powers meet in pursuit of an object which but one can obtain. When we shall meet two of the old powers of Europe under such circumstances, if the same ambitious desire of carried inner such circumstances, it the same amounts a course of acquisition which influences us stimulates them, can be questions which will arise be discussed, or the plunder be disposed of, without a rupture among the parties? If the doctrines of Mr. Mouroe, as expounded by the President, are to be enforced as the Monroe, as expounded by the President, are to be enforced as the established policy of the country, we shall be fortunate if we do not find ourselves arrayed in war against Mexico, England, and Spain. Why should we rush into such folly, and disregard the manifold blessings which an indulgent providence has in store for material to the state of the state the result of the action of the colonies of Cuba and Jamaica, and not direct assistance from those two great powers themselves.— But suppose Great Britain and Spain—as they will do, I thunk—snaction the action of their colonics, as they have an undoubted right to do, because these troops are farmshed on the assumption that their governments will approve of the measure. If this should occur, then it behooves the Senate of the United States to consider what it does, when it proposes to send troops into Yucatan and to reflect upon, and weigh well the consequences that may probably result from such a course of policy. It is hinted, and pretty broadly asserted, without any proof however, that the English furnish these Indians with arms and ammunition, and that they are thus encouraging the rebellion. Now, this same commissioner who brings this case before us for consideration, makes a very singular declaration in one of his despatches He says that they the (Yucatanese) could easily and cheaply have bought the arms they wanted of the English at Balize, but they preferred to obtain them from the United States. According to this statement, then, sir, these very people who are said to be encouraging this rebellion by placing arms in the hands of the Inencouraging this rebellion by placing arms in the hands of the Indians to proscente this war, are at the same time willing to sell arms cheaply and freely to the other party. Could there be a more striking proof of the fact, that all these statements about arms mean nothing more, than that either of these parties who choose to purchase at Bality, can do so if they have the means? If there were any such sinister purpose as has been suggested, if the Earlich, we see that the propose and the suppose that the contract of the the English were anxious to strengthen and arm these Indians in

order to overthrow or exterminate the whites, surely they would not be found selling arms and ammunition to the very men whom

Bit We food some state.

The President of the United States, in his message to us adverting to the doctrine advanced in 1823, by Mr. Monroe, then the President of the United States, has taken occasion twice or three times in the course of that message, to say that he considered this doctrine recognized by him in his annual message, the say that he considered his doctrine recognized by him in his annual message, the year before last, to be the established policy of the United States. He has not condescended to shadow forth the interpretation which in pracnot condescended to shadow forth the interpretation which in prac-tice measured give to that policy, nor to state by what acts or opinions of this government, expressed in the forms of the consti-tution, it has received such sanction as to authorize the declara-tion, that it is our established policy. The Senator from Michi-gan, who is very act to see things through the Executive media-gan, who is very act to see this characteristic of Mr. Monroe, does not com-sider it to be the established policy of the country, that is whole argument is but a commentary carrying out this text. Mr. President, I should be glad to notice in a suitable way this decla-President, I should be glad to notice in a suitable way this declaration, and the construction given to it, but I have not the power left which is requisite for that purpose. It must suffice for me to remark—that it has become in the minds of such as adopt it an authority, justifying any and all schemes of aggression or ambithe assumed pretension that we have a right so far to regulate the affairs of this continent, as to determine who shall hold sovereignty here, and under what form of government. This was arrayed against the settlement of the Oregon declaration question. It is now to be maintained against any European power which may attempt to acquire territory any where in this vast new world. Yucatan has offered her sovereignty to England on the same terms upon which she has proffered it to us—suppose England avails herself of it, and becomes the purchaser of the country, or suppose Yucatan or any other State voluntarily delivers itself over to England to be governed by her authority-then, according to the views of the President, we not only have the right, but are bound by an established policy, to interfere and expel England because we deny her right to colonize upon this continent. We who traffic in nations—and when we cannot buy, conquer them to make acquisitions—have a monoply, a patent right to this peculiar trade and hold the right to restrain others from engaging in it. Yucatan may sell herself, but we alone have the right to purchase. tan may sell nerselt, but a we alone have the right to purchase. It England enters Yucatan under the invitation of its government, upon the sassumption that she too bas a right to trade in sovereign-to, which is the same properties of the same properties of the same properties. One was a way we may be content with a a war of work, but if armed forces meet, and ours is, as it must be, a war of words, but it arrived roles meet, and out is a sar than under the command of the President, how can be avoid carrying out what he avows to be our established policy?

Mr. President, can any reasoning illustrate more satisfactorily,

not only the folly but the peril of assuming toward others such a posture as the President assigns to us? No administration down to the present, ever thought of giving such a construction to this declaration-we have in no instance interfered with or objected to

the arrangements of other nations.

Mr. President, I feel confident that there must be some misan prehension about the opinious of the President now, or his senti-ments have undergone some change. He took part as a member of the House of Representatives, in a great debate upon the Pa-

of take noise of kepresentatives, in a great useate inpointing the hard debate, as gentlementally great ago.

In that debate, this gentlementall for Mr. Monroe occupied a large share of the attention of the members, who criticisted it very feel and I recolled very well listening to the President among others. at various times upon that subject. But I shall not trust my memory in this respect. memory in this respect. What I wish to draw the attention of the Senate to is this, that if Senators now give a fair interpretation to the declaration of Mr. Monroe, and do not strain the principle which he is said to have avowed, and the President concurs in these sen-timents, he has very much changed his own opinion since the pe-riod of that debate. I do not assert that he has changed his opin-riod of that debate. I do not saver that he has changed his opinfood, but leave it for every gentleman who hears me, to compare the views he then put forth, with those now before us, and judge for himself. A little explanation may be useful to make the then ex-isting state of things understood. What, then, was the cause of that discussion? I will briefly answer that according to my rethat disension? I will offenly asswer that according to my re-collection; it was this, some seven or eight republies had suddenly sprung into existence, having thrown off the colooial bondage of Spain, and established free popular sovereignties for themselves. There was a strong sympathy, a kindly feeling for these States by us; we were anxious to encourage and countenance them as far as was consistent with our own safetey, and the rights of others. They believing it to be important to them to have a harmonious understanding, not only among themselves, but with us, invited the United States to meet them at Panama in a congress represent-ing the sovereignties of this continent. The design was, that each the United States to meet them at Panama in a congress represent-ing the sovereignizes of this continent. The design was, that each government should be represented by one or more diplomatic agents, and if any thing was agreed upon, it should not be bind-ing unless sanctioned by the treaty making powers of the parties. They were to meet, as was said, to commune, confer, and con-

sult upon great questions relating to the advancement, elevation, and improvement of our race. None but moral means were thought Military force, swords, and bayonets were not to be employed of. Miniary lover, swords, and adjusted series have to be employed to propagate their principles of to enforce their reasoning—all was pacific, and all principles were to find their way, not by the aid of force, but through conviction. It was believed they would consult as to the best and most successful means of enlightening the human of the property of the prope

man mind, and strengthening its moral tone, and thus give just man mind, and strengthening its moral tone, and thus give just support to free principles—that they would consider he wast importance of toleration in religion, and of separating Christianity from the corrupting influences of civil power. It was thought, too, that international law would not escape their attention and it was hoped, among other things, that they would do something for the freedom of the seas by establishing the principle that free ships stoolly make free goods. It was believed, moreover, that they should make free goods. It was believed, moreover, that they would recommend improvements in commercial intercourse, which would promote trade by removing many obstacles, and prove mutually beneficial, by increasing mutual interests and uniting all in stronger bonds of friendship. And lutriber. Mr. President, as it was known that these republies which were then at war with Spain, meditated an invasion of Cuba, for the purpose of wresting it from the domnion of that kingdom—it was confidently believed that this matter would not only be considered, but that the plan of invasion would be abandoned and Cuba be left a colony of Spain.

women be nonmoned and cloud be left a colony of spain.

These, Mr. President, were some of the topics which it was believed would engage the attention of this congress of nations; and I might, no doubt, add, that they would probably consider whether the Holy Alliance was such a combination as would demand, on their part, any countervailing influence of the free governments. The President of the United States nominated two ministers plen-In e President of the United States nominated two ministers plen-iptoentiary to represent the United States in this congress, and upon these nominations a long and animated debate occurred in the Senate, while upon the appropriation for their pay a similar de-bate arose in the House of Representatives. Although nothing could be done which would not come here for sanction before it could be binding—although the use of nothing but moral power was meditated—although the whole thing resolved itself into a mutual conference, a friendly consultation about the common good and the common prosperity—yet in that debate it was earnestly contended that such a step was full of danger—that it was a fear-ful departure from established policy—that our interests were hest fal departure from established policy—that our interests were best properties of the properties of the

volume of the Register of Debates, second part:

"Mr. Ports offered he following:

"Mr. Ports offered he following:

"Attractives, when called the following in the following of the following in monors, to deblerate on the expediency of mexpediency of such missions, and to determine and art there's, as in fiver judgment may be most conditioned to the following in the following i

This, sir, was the opinion of the President when a member of Commission was the work of the commission of the upon the same subject. He says :

"The proposed mession to Panama was without a precedent in our history, was no vel in its character, and, in his judgment, dangerous to the best interests of the coun

This language, sir, is very happily adapted to the present occasion—is very emphatic and full of meaning. He continues:

sum—six very empiratic and full of meaning. He continues:

"This (and Mr. P) as a portections and very important crisis in the hurtry of this country, and every patrot should be at his post. We are about to depart from our ancient and plan regulifical simplicity, and to become a great and splendid government; new projects are set on food—we are called arou by the President to change their posterity, down to the present prient. He called long greatenay, abore they also adoned, the present safe policy of the country, to ponder well what they are about to do—"—p. 2475.

Sir, I hope this opinion of the President then, will not be without its influence on this body. Ponder well what you are about to do. One sentence more, and I have done:

do. One sentence more, and I have done:

"Before be combined [M.P., vanil by would say a word in relation to the pledge [M. Monne's declaration) which it was said the nation had given in regard to the Smith American policy. When the message of the late President of the United States Smith American policy. When the message of the late President of the United States where expression of opinion of the Executive, attaintied at the consideration and definition of Comparis, and deligned, probably, to produce as effect spon the coneth of the Holy Albanee, in relianon to their supposed metricon to to nerview the coneth of the Holy Albanee, in relianon to their supposed metricon to to nerview the coneth of the Holy Albanee, in relianon to their supposed metricon to to nervie in the colamited of the same below the probably to the condition that it is not a power to 
build the author by such as polege. The somal and other judgment of the people of the 
Lamited State has now been boundly unto the condition that we consider in the exlamited or War in their behalf. All our sympathies, all our good feeling, were welllamited or War in their behalf. All our sympathies, all our good freeling, were welllamited or War in their behalf. All our sympathies, all our good freeling, were wellthem; we whiled them success, but alpreservation is the final law of attura and of 
mention of the success of the state of the state of the state of the state of 
processing the state of the mensage of the Final and obmitted a resolution 
responding to the such mention by the hearn-the member from Massachusert, 
we through the contract of the process of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the member from Massachusert, 
we through the contract of the processing the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the member from Massachusert, 
we through the contract of the processing the contract of t

the forw was np. we seemed to be then, if we ever had been, greated to go so a pointiand emassion is behalf of others. This sober judgment of the House interposed, the Greek recollation shared its fate, nod sleeps upon the table.

"Mr. CLAx as we clearly that the same fate inevtrably awaited his South American resolution, with only the difference, that it would probably have been negatived by a much more coverebeining majority. It was not called in ju- "—p. 240.

I might, sir, read much more advice and argument from the speeches of the President equally important for the cautious administration of the consider, called forth these warnings, these forebodings of evil? This great adarm was excited by a proposal to send to the republies of South America two diplomatic functionaries elothed simply with the power to consult and confer together for the peace, safety, and prosperity of the free governments of this continent. The President the saw areast despress in an destruction between the considerations of the continent. prosperity of the tree governments of this continent. The President then saw great danger in any departure, however inconsiderable, from the old and safe policy of non-intervention. He feared not only all entangling alliances, but all association whatever, and evidently holds it to be a trimph that the resolution of Mr. Clay, in response to Mr. Moncoé declaration, was defeated, and that the resolution offered by Mr. Webster in regard to the Greeks shared the same fate. "The fever [says the President] was then up." Yes, sir, the fever of sympathy with nations struggling for their freedom, and none other. They were weak feedbar mayer. and none other. They were weak, feeble their freedom, and none other. They were weak technologies it is true, making no great figure in this noisy world, but still liberty was as dear to them as to Frenchmen, and they contended manfully for it, and achieved it without the countenance of the Pre-sident. The declaration of Mr. Monroe is couched in a manner not to be misapprehended. Its force and validity is justly denied, and it is placed on the footing of an Executive opinion called forth by the combination of the Holy Alliance; but having performed office, which amounted to nothing, as it was obligatory upon no tis office, which amounted to nothing, as it was obligatory upon no body, it was substantially, in 1826, obsolete. There is truth in this, Mr. President—it was dead, buried, and forgotten until the President day it up, breathed into it new life, and now declures it to be (Exceutive opinion though it is, and nothing more) the es-tablished policy of the country. His language in his message of April 29th, 1848, is in regard to the dominion and sovereignly of Yucatan, as follows

Yucatan, as follows: "Yes, coming to our established policy, we could not consent to a tramfer of the "dominon and sovereigns," [Yucatan, sether to Span, Great Britan, or any comment, 123, "we should come agree of breadern Monoto, in his messee of December, 1253, "we should come agree of the sether Monoto, in the messee of December, 1254, "we should come agree of the sether of

Sir, I have not strength to pursue this subject, but the reading of the opinions of 1826, and those of 1845, and 1848, when it became necessary to justily the Executive policy in regard to Texas, Oregon and Yucatan, will present the contrast, and exhibit the change which circumstances have worked out from a remark-

the change which circumstances have worked out from a remark-ably cautious policy, to one which is marked, I might say, com-paratively with arrogance and presumption.

I must refrain from further comments, Mr. President, that I may notice one topic more, which must not be omitted. What teffect, I would ask, Mr. President, if we send an army to take temporary military occupation of Yueatan, is it to have upon our pending treaty with Mexico? The Senator from Michigan scem-ed to think, that the only obligation which attached to any armis-tice, was simply to keep within the limit a recorded by the marties. tice, was simply to keep within the limits prescribed by the parties.

I take it, of course, that he would do all that is required to be done in the agreement, and leave undone those things which would vio-late our faith or tarnish our honor. The question is a plain one. If an army of ours arrives in Yucatan, while we are negotiating a If an army of our arrives in Tucatan, while we are upon the reatification of that treaty? Will not a step of this description defeat or be likely to defeat the treaty? There are gentlemen disfeat or be likely to defeat the treaty? There are gentlemer satisfied with the instrument, who, no doubt, desire its defeat. such, the accomplishment of a scheme of this description, would no doubt be acceptable. But aside from all influences of this description, adult to deceptable. But asked from an inhance of this description, we find Mexico claiming Yucatan, as one of the States of her united confederacy. We have taken from her Texas, under circumstances much less aggravated than those under which we now propose to take possession of Yucatan. If, under such circumstances, we send down troops to occupy Yucatan, even on the condition contained in the amendment, viz: that the occupation should we sent down troops to occupy.) Indicatal, even on the consoner contained in the amendment, viz: that the occupation should contained in the amendment, viz: that the occupation should containe only until the inhabitants can protect themselves, or until the Mexican government protects them, would not such occupation be called the occupation of the occupation occupation of the occupation Mexico, we are thus intent upon seizing another province that be-longs to her, will she ratify it under such circumstances? Unless we have misconceived her character entirely, unless we have failed to comprehend the irrascibility of her temper, and her impaed to comprehend the irrascibility of her temper, and her impa-tience under insult, it is evident that every step towards the ratifi-cation of the treaty will be suspended, the moment she becomes acquainted with our course. In connexton with this probable event, I desire to call the attention of the Schate to the lact, that this commissioner from Vucatan, had no sooner learned that a treaty would probably be entered into, thus he sent a formal pro-test to the President, through the Secretary of State, remonstrating against the ratification, unless provision was made in the

treaty, for the protection of the Yucatanese, against the vengence of the Mexicans. This commissioner is not the advocate of peace, nor is his State, if he represents her sentiments. His first wish is to keep us embroiled in war, till Yucatan falls into the possession of the United States. All his letters have been written, and his facts. if facts they are, have been stated under this bias. Shall we, sir, act upon such evidence! Hear his language in a brief quo-

Tation: "Various rumous have been in circulation during the last few days, in reference to a truly of peace between blexice and the United States, and even the trems of the most peace of the state of the states o

Again, further along in the despatches I find still stronger language used by the commissioner

gauge used by the commissioner: "A treaty of gener, in which Youtan is not fered from the fury of the mandarities of Mexico, on account of its conduct in the present war, or is not left at therety to excit for admission as a free and sovering State in the vast confiduracy for the United States, which it most anhently desire, as most advantageous for it; a treaty of peace which was the state of complaint, without taking some measure to demand justice.

"For these reasons I protect, in the name of my correment and of the people of Yandan, acamst the terms of this treaty; and I demand that is any event, the lot of Yandan should be acusted in it."

That is the language of Mr. Sierra the commissioner, which very plainly discloses his feelings and wishes. We can judge very plainly discloses his feelings and wishes. We can judge from these facts, how much interest Yacatan has, to default artification of this treaty, and to prolong the war with Mexico, and also by what means she proposes to accomplish her object what the acquit we to expect from a rebellious province? Mr. What else ought we to expect from a rebellious province? President, I have been constrained to pass over many facts, quite as important and quite as full of interest, as those which I have commented upon.

It is a matter demanding the gravest and most commented upon. It is a matter demanding the gravest and most deliberate consideration of the legislature. A false step is full of peril, as it may involve consequences from which we should find it difficult to escape, without great sacrifices. War is, under any eivenmentances, prognant with evil, both to the morals and the best interests of the people. We now feel the truth of this remark, and the vholce country feels ii. It is the last means by which our principles can be strengthened, or our prosperity promoted.

It is our duty, then, not unnecessarily to prolong it, or rashly to rush into new contests. But we cannot set ourselves up as the guardians of the nations on this continent, or presentle rules of conduct to Europe in its intercorres with such antions, without rousing feelings of resentment, which cannot fail to beget hostility; we cannot do to others what we condemn in them without subject ing ourselves to the charge of arrogance, selfishness, and in-justice. It is not for us to dictate to others, the kind and extent of intercourse which they may establish, for this involves a viola tion of the most obvious principles of right. We have never ceased to denounce the assault upon the Danish fleet by the English, and the partition of Poland as shameful acts of aggression, nor can we perpetrate similiar wrongs under pretexts however plausible, with out incurring censure. It requires a very extraordinary case of threatened danger to ourselves, to authorize an interference with the admitted rights of others.

the admitted rights of others.

No such case now exists, authorizing interference for our own safety. I have looked at this proposition in its aspects, and though we have arrived slowly at the truth, by one revelation after another, enough now appears, to admonish us to pause, and in the language of the President in 1826, to ponder upon what we are about to do. No one can foresee where the adoption of this meaahout to do. No one can foresee where the adoption of this mea-sure would lead us. Will any one dare to say, it will not bring with it the continuance of the present war, or that it may not involve us in one greatly more calamitous? I hope, Mr. President, we shall not ahandon the old republican track, for that travelled by despots and ambitious chieftains, which leads to military glory and uespots and amusious calcitains, which leads to military glory and onds in the burdens of taxition, which bow down the mulistrial classes in sorrow and ignorance. Divine Providence has opened a brighter and better path before us, and it remains to be seen whe-ther we have the good sense and judgment to follow, and the avoid the great evils which oppress the nations of Europe.

Mr. President, if Yuentan is an object of compassion, I would be backward in fulfilling all the duties of humanity, nor woul I be scrupulous as to the exercise of power for that end. I would, if it can be done without entangling ourselves in dangerons responsibilities, send her arms if the crisis demands, and shipping to take the population away. But, sir, I cannot help feeling that the appeal to our humanity comes, under all the circumstances, with little lavor, and stands, to say the least, on statements that ought to be very carefully sertuinized before they are admitted as the basis of action. Beyond this it is very plain, that our duty and our interests forbid us to go. All beyond this is to doubtful to justify the steps which are proposed. I have, Mr. President, done this subject, I am aware, very imperfect justice, but if attontion has been awakened to its importance, my object is accom-

On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# FRIDAY, MAY 12, 1848.

### REPORT FROM THE STATE DEPARTMENT.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a report of the Secretary of State, made in compliance with a resolution of the Senate, on the memorial of A. A. Frazier; which was read and ordered to be printed.

### PETITIONS.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, presented the petition of David Hunt, praying the confirmation of his title to certain lands; which was referred to the Committee on Private Land Claims.

Mr. DICKINSON presented a petition of citizens of New York, praying the removal of the port of entry from Plattsburg to Rouse's Point in that State; which was referred to the Committee on Com-

# On motion by Mr. BAGBY, it was

Ordered. That Dudley Walker have leave to withdraw his netition and papers.

### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. CAMPBELL, their clerk :

Mr. President: The House of Representatives have passed a bill for the adm.
The State of Wisconsin into the Union; in which they request the concurrence

The said bill was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Territories

## GRANT OF LAND TO IOWA.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. DOUGLAS asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill granting to the State of Iowa, the right of way and a donation of public land for making a railroad connecting the Mississippi and Missouri rivers; which was read the first and se-cond times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

### LAND CLAIMS.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to amend the act approved 17th June, 1844, entitled "Annex to provide for the adjustment of land chains within the States of Missouri, Arkansas and Louisiana, and in hose parts of the States of Missourijand Alabama south of the 30th degree of north latitude, and between the Mississippi and Perdido rivers;" which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee ou Private Land Claims. Land Claims.

# PAYMENT OF INTEREST TO ALABAMA.

On motion by Mr. BAGBY, the prior orders were postponed, and the Senate resured, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill authorizing payment of interest on the amount advanced by the State of Alabams to the general government, pending the Creek hostilities in 1836 and 1837.

The amendment submitted by Mr. Pearce, when the bill was last under consideration, was agreed to.

30TH CONG .- 1ST SESSION-No. 78.

No further amendment being made, the bill was reported to the Senate; and the amendment was concurred in

Ordered, That the bill be ongrossed and read a third time

The said bill was read a third time

Resolved. That it pass, and that the title thereof be "An ect authorizing the pay ment of interest opon advances made by the State of Alebama, for the use of the United States government, in the suppression of the Creek Indians hostilities of 1836 and 1837, io Alebama, and for other purposes."

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in said bill.

## BOUNTY LANDS TO OFFICERS PROMOTED FROM THE RANKS.

On motion by Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, the prior orders were pestponed, and the Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill explanatory of the act entitled "An act to raise for a limited time an additional military force and for other purposes," approved the 11th February, 1847, with the amendments reported thereto.

The reported amendments having been agreed to, the bill was reported to the Senate, and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the bill be engrossed, and read a third time

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved, That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

### DEFERRED NOMINATIONS

The Sepate resumed the consideration of the resolution submitted by Mr. Johnson. of Maryland, on the 28th ult., on the subject of Executive appointments in the recess of the Senate.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, resumed and concluded his re-marks in support of the resolution; a report of which will be found in the Appendix.

Ordered, That the further consideration of the resolution be postponed until to morrow

## THE PRIVATE CALENDAR

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, moved that the Senate proceed to the consideration of the order assigning this day for the consideration of private bills.

On motion by Mr. CAMERON, it was

Ordered, That the consideration of private bills, which for this day was assigned, be postponed.

## EXECUTIVE SESSION.

On motion by Mr. CAMERON, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of Executive business, and after some time spont thorein,

On motion.

The Senate then adjourned.

# SATURDAY, MAY 13, 1848.

#### PETITIONS.

Mr. CALHOUN presented a petition of citizens of Charleston, South Carolina, praying a reduction of the rates of postage on letters and newspapers; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, presented the memorial of J. Anthony King and Cuyler W. Young, proposing to organize a voluter force, to be received unto the service of the United States, for the purpose of suppressing the insurrection in Yucatan; which was referred to the Committee on Foreiga Relations.

Mr. DIX presented the petition of J. Howard & Son, of New York, praying to be allowed to use two Spanish war steamers apart of a line of steamships which they propose to establish between the port of New York and certain Spanish and Mexican ports; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce

Mr. MASON presented a memorial of physicians, chemists, and others, citizens of Alexandria, Virginia, praying the adoption of measures to prevent the importation of adulterated and spurious drugs and medicines.

Ordered That it lie on the table.

Mr. DICKINSON presented a memorial of citizens of Washington, in the District of Columbia, praying the purchase of Mount Vernon by the government; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. DOUGLAS presented a memorial of citizens of the United States residing in Oregon, asking that the laws of the United States may be extended over the territory, and that donations of land may be granted; which was referred to the Committee on Territories.

Mr. BADGER submitted additional documents relating to the bill for the relief of David Myerle.

Ordered, That they lie on the table, and be printed.

# IMPORTATIONS OF IRON AND COAL.

Mr. DAYTON submitted the following resolution, which was considered, by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved, That the Secretary of the Treasury furnish the Senate with a statement of all the iron and manufactures of into linported into the United States from the 1st of July, 1847, to the 1st of May, 1848, designating the different states of iron, and quantity end water to the state of th

## POSTMASTER OF THE SENATE.

Mr. BAGBY submitted the following resolution for consideration:

Resolved, That John M. Jameson, postmaster of the Senate, be continued here after yearly in charge of the post office, at the same per dien he now receives.

The Senate proceeded to consider the said resolution; and

ne Senate proceeded to consider the said resolution

On motion by Mr. NILES, it was Ordered, That it be referred to the Committee to Audit and Control the Contingent Expenses of the Senate.

LIEUT. JOHN CASSIN, UNITED STATES NAVY, DECEASED.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, submitted the following resolu-

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryinna, supmitted the following resonition, which was considered, by unanimous consent, and agreed to: Resolved. That the Secretary of the Navy he requested to send to the Senate all the papers filled by Mary Cassin, widow of the late Lieutenant John Cassin, of the United States Navy, in her application for a pension.

## DELAY IN PRINTING

Mr. DAYTON submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved. That the Secretary of the Segate inquire and report to the Segate why the document relating to the proceedings of the arbitration in the matter of the island on which Fort Delaware is intuited, commonly called the Pea Patch, has not been printed according to the order of the Segate.

## ACTING DOOR-KEEPER.

Mr. HANNEGAN submitted the following resolution, which was considered, by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

was considered, by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved, That N. J. Holland act as assistant door-keeper of the Senate during the
absence of the assistant in conveying the remains of the Hon. Chester Asrkey to
the State of Aikansas

# CONSULATE OF MUSCAT.

Mr. HANNEGAN submitted the following resolution for consideration:

Resolved, That the Committee on Foreign Relations be instructed to inquire into the expediency of establishing the consulate of Museat, in the dominions of the Imeum, apon the same footing with those of Tangieri, Tripoli, and Tinns, in the Barbery States.

## ARMING THE MILITIA.

Mr. DOUGLAS submitted the following resolution, which was considered. by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved, That the Committee on Military Affairs be instructed to logaire into the expedience of a mending the law, approved 284. April, 1808, appropriating amounting \$220,000 for arming the whole militar of the United States and territones, so as to Increase the same to no amount equal to the present wants of the country, and report by bill or otherwise.

### AID TO YUCATAN.

Mr. Clarke submitted the following resolution; which was considered by manimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved. That the President of the United States be requested to inform the 8enate whether all or any part of the cavy stationed in the Gulf of Mexico has been or the control of the state country, and, if no, to treasmit to the Senate a copy of such orders, and show a copy of any adveces from the commander or officers of the squadros of a date later than those already communicated.

### CASE OF A. A. FRAZIER.

On motion by Mr. WESTCOTT, it was

Ordered, That the report of the Secretary of State, on the memorial of A. A. Frazier, be referred to a select committee, to consist of five members, to be appointed by the VICE PRESIDENT;

Mr. Westcott, Mr. Rusk, Mr. Foote, Mr. Johnson of Maryland, and Mr. Dickinson, were appointed.

## PRIVATE BILLS.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the Committee on Pensions, to whom the following bills from the House of Representatives were referred-

An act for the relief of Thomas Flausgau.

An act for the relief of Parmelia Slavin, late wife of John Blue, deceased, reported the same without amendment.

## RECIPROCITY WITH CANADA.

Mr. DIX, from the Committee on Commerce, to whom were referred several memorials on the subject, reported a bill to admit certain articles of the growth or production of Canada into the United States free of duty, upon the condition that the like articles of the growth or production of the United States are admitted into Canada free of duty; which was read and passed to the second reading.

## RETIRED LIST IN THE NAVY.

On motion by Mr. YULEE, the prior orders were postponed, and the Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill to promote the efficiency of the Navy.

On motion by Mr. YULEE, it was

Ordered, That the further consideration thereof be postponed to, and made the order of the day for, Monday, the 5th day of June.

# MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE,

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

sentatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

Mr. Pessider: The House of Representative have again passed tha bill of the Senate to a mend an act entitled. "An act to amend the act entitled." An act to review he rates of possing, to lomit the size and correct the acuse of the final-long piritiege, proceedings of the size of the siz

The Senate proceeded to consider the amendments of the House of Representatives to the bill last mentioned.

Resolved. That they agree to so much of the amendments of the House of Representatives as proposes to insert an additional section; and disagree to the residue of their amendments.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

## THE TUCATAN BILL.

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill to enable the President of the United States to take temporary military occupation of Yucatan.

Mr. MILLER.—If this were simply a question whether we should relieve the people of Vneatan from a sudden and overwhelming calamity, it would receive my cordial and hearty support. Beside the high Christian duty of humanity, there is to my mind a moral sublimity in the aspect of a great nation like ours, stepping in its career of power, of war, and of conquest, to listen to the cry of suffering and helpless women and children, and performing an act of pure disinterested, hencyclence. This I hoped would have been the position taken by our government towards Yucatan. But in this I have been mistaken; selfishness has triumphed over benevolence, love of dominion has superseded the love of peace, and the measure stripped of all its high claims of humanity; is now presented to us as a cold, calculating project of national policy. I will endeavour to show that that is the character of the proposition submitted to us in the message of the Executive, of the 29th of April, and embodied in the bill now under consideration. consideration

consuceration.

On the 21st of April last, Santiago Mendez then Governor of one of the States of the Republic of Mexico, scuds a communication our Executive, representing that that State was suffering under the adminity of a domestic war, asking the aid of our government, and offering in case let reflief be grained, to transfer the dominion

and offering, in ease the relief be granted, to transfer the dominion and sovereignty of his country to the United States.

This is certainly a very liberal, and a very singular proposition; it implores our charity, and at the same time profiers a most valuable donation to us. It is alike tempting to our humanity and to our selfishness; it appeals at once to our generosity as a Christian people, and to our love of dominion as an ambitious nation. These is here such a miving and conspicing matter than the contract of the tian people, and to our love of dominion as an ambitious nation. There is here such a mixing not opposite motives for action, that if we accept the proposition, it must be ever doubtful whether we were moved by feelings of humanity, or by the love of dominion; whether we save Yueatan from the borrors of a civil war, for the sake of its people, or whether we save the people for the sake of taking Yueatan to ourselves. Upon looking at this strange proposition, the first inquiry is, who and what is he, who thus proposes to deal with the calamities of his country, and profiters her dominion and sovereignly for present help, in time of need, who, as it appears from his own communication, is going from court to ever and overige and like a drowning wamman in the poetic lanas it appears from his own communication, is going from court to court, and orrying on tike a drowning woman, in the poetic language of the Senator from Michigan, [Mr. Cass.] "save me and take me?" Why, sir, it is a certain Mr. Santiago Mendez; and, who is Santiago Mendez? The documents on your table give you his official authority and character. He was, at the date of his communication to our government, 25th March, 1848, Governor of Theaten, but I do not find any evidence whatever showing, that as nich Governor, he was clothed with authority to transfer the soveriently of his State upon an wentzeney. The only authority pre-cienty of his State upon any emergency. eignty of his State upon any emergency. The only authority pre-tended is the following article contained in a decree made by the Congress of Yucatan on the 14th January, 1848.

ART. I. "The government is empowered to take any measures, executive or legi-lative, which it may judge necessary, for the restoration of peace, the consolidation of order, and the amelioration of all the branches of the public administration."

By the third article of the same decree, it is declared :

"These powers shall cease on the 1st of September next, when the chambers meet at the second constitutional period."

There is no authority conferred by this decree for the extraor-dinary proposition, to cede the sovereignty of the State of Yuca-tan. But if he ever had any authority for that purpose, that autan. But it he ever had any authority for that purpose, that au-thority had ceased before his communication was presented to our Executive, and that fact was known to the President when he sent the proposition to us by his message of the 29th of April. As early as the 4th of April, Commander Bigelow, then at Laguna, writes to Commodore Perry-

"We have accounts from Yacatta which state the troops stationed at Texax, on learning of Barbechano's having superseded Mendez in the Presidency, immediately took up the line of march for Campanchy, and abandoned their potition. The parties appear to be as divided and hostite to each other as every; or, prichaps, the troops who wished to retrest, took advantage of the change in the government to screen their who wished to retrest, took advantage of the change in the government to screen their

And on the 15th of April, Commodore Perry informs the Navy Department that

"Governor Mendez has resigned in favor of his political rival, Senor Barbechano, which measure has, it seems, produced increased dissensions among the troops—(See eacherne extract from the report of Commander Bigelow.")

Thus it appears that before the 21st of April, the day on which is proposition was presented to our government, Governer Mendez had been superceded by his political rival Barbechane, and it appears that this change in the Executive officer, was the cause of renewing party hostilities among the people of Yucatan, and of producing dissension in that country, and that the troops took advantage of the change in the government to screen their cowardice, abandoned their position of defence against the Indians, retreated to Campeachy, and left the people of Yucatan exposed to the very calculuse from which we are now asked to relieve them. But this is a Cantan, was instigated by the conduct of Mendez and his party, that for the purpose of advancing his own ambitious views, he first excited the Indians against his political rival, by promises of relief from an odious and oppressive tax, and then, after he had attained power by their aid, not only broke his promises, but cruelly inflicted other outrages upon their persons. Commodore Perry in his letter of the 16th of March, asys: Thus it appears that before the 21st of April, the day on which says:

any 2 - the years of high Extensition from the government of Yucetta, about the unit in term and the reductions of Sartings Motion, the Balans neer energied by the Campachenos is support of Mendez, with premises of a remission of the capitation tax and other indefenses; but here Mendez was desect, the tax was claimed; the fastions refused, and in its collection, some of them were put to death. Others, the fastion refused, and in its collection, some of them were put to death. Others, and the companion of the co

inclined me to the belief that the whole matter is a party quarrel, in which that of Barbachino has the best, and that of Mendez the worst, though they may have put elements in agitation which may overwhelm them both, and hence wish for Spanish interference and assistance."

So much for the character, official and personal, of Santiago Mendez, who now asks our humanity in exchange for the sev-

Mendez, who now asks our humanity in exchange for the severignity of his country.

I desire now to trace the history of this proposition. The causes that induced it, and the reasons and motives which have caused our Executive to entertain the measure. In November, 1847, Mr. Justo Sierra, styling himself "Commissioner and Special Agent of the government of Yneatan, near that of the United States," opened a correspondence with our Secretary of State. In his first opened a correspondence with our Secretary of State. In his first letter, dated 24th November, 1847, he relates the grievances which Ynoatan had sustained at the hands of Mexico; and that, in consequence of these grievances, Ynoatan was taking measures "which will probathly end in a special declaration of its absolute independence;" that Ynoatan had maintained "the most rigid and honest neutrality in the war now existing between Moxico and the United States." He then presents two requests to our go-

"1. That the duties now imposed at Lagman, under the nathority of the United States, on the vessels and productions of Yucatan, may be abolished.
"2. That the naval forces of the United States may cesse to occupy the port of Lagman and simbol of Carmen."

Here I would remark in passing, that as late as November last Yucatan asked no protection from us; but, on the contrary, begged that we would withdraw our naval forces from her ports, and per-

that we would withdraw our hava; forces from nor poits, and permit her to enjoy the rights of a neutral power.

Mr. Buchanan, in his answer, dated 14th December, to that communication, grants the first request and refuses the second.

The reasons for his refusal arc stated by the Secretary, as follows:

The reasons for his refinsal are stated by the Secretary, as louding where are sovening and independent State. She must still be considered as a period in a sovening and independent State. She must still be considered as a period in from the commencement of hostilities and the present period, it is more than probable the navel force of the United States never would have taken possession of Lagran. The probable the navel force of the United States never would have taken possession of Lagran, that port and the engineering proposed of Tabaco, but yet we might have borner this approach that port and the engineering control of Tabaco, but yet we might have borner this najary rather than have excreased the susquestionable right of arresting at by setting any variata, by the decree of the Eds. An Quest, 1846, one worked her neutrality used one was against the United States. After the had thus made hered! Our enemy, the port is tree that Yucstan has again become enemal, but it cannot be declided that his has ever ince been distracted by evel dissentions, and that the enemies of neutrality and an artistic and the second of the proposed of th

As this is the only latter from our Secretary in answer to the numerous communications made to him by Mr. Sierra, I ask the particular attention of the Senate to three important facts asserted by the Sccretary.

First. That the President could not recognize her [Yucatan,]
"as a sovereign and independent State." She must be considered as a "fortion of the Mexican republic."

as a "portion" or the Mexical reponer.

Second. That although sibe professed neutrality, the extraordinary Congress of Yucatan, by their decree of the 29th of Angust 1846, converted her neutrality into open war against the Unites. Third. That although she has again, become neutral, it cannot be "denied" that she has ever since been distrated by "civil dis-

sentions," and that the enemies of neutrality and partisans of Mexico are in "open rebellion" against her government.

These admitted facts will be found of the highest importance in the consideration of that subject; and I shall return to them again

under another branch of my argument.

Next, we have a series of letters from Mr. Sierra representing the suffering and helpless condition of the white citizens of Yncatan under a cruel and exterminating savage war, and asking, in tan under a cruel and exterminating savage war, and asking, in the name of humanity and civilization, aid irom the United States in money, arms, and military force. To this appeal to our humanity, there is no response from the Executive. He is as cold and as silent as the grave. The commissioner then strikes another cord; he appeals to our national pride and jealousy, and hints, that if the United States does not grant the aid asked for, England, France, or Spain will be appealed to; and then very dexterously quotes to the President his own message against European interference upon this continent. He also names our generous sympathy towards the Greeks and the Pope of Rome. Yet there is no response. The cry of suffering women and children is still unheard. The President does not find in any of these things sufficient consideration to induce him to grant protection to Yuestan; cient consideration to induce him to grant protection to Yucatan ; and the savage exterminating war goes on. Now, sir, what must be the opinion of the world in regard to such an application. This man comes here, and tells us that the women and children of Yuman comes here, and relia us that the management of the management

and be tempted almost to thrust its author in the flames. And yet this has been the way in which our humanity has been approached by the commissioner of Yucatan.

On the 23d of February, 1848, the treaty of peace between the United States and Mexico is sent into the Senate. Of the terms of that treaty I cannot speak; but it appears Mr. Sterra had his yet upon this treaty, and is quite well informed of its provisions. Sir, on the very next day, the 24th of February, he presents to our Secretary of State a formal protest against its rathlection. In this most remarkable protest, Mr. Sierra seems to forget the de-pendance and helplessness of his State, and assumes the tone and pendance and belplessness of his State, and assumes the tone and anthority of a sovereing prince, claiming the right to interfere in the excitations of our government. He commences by telling us that this treaty contains a question of life or death for Yucatan; that it is a hasty affair, and made by those who had "no authority or commission for that purpose;" that it is null and void and will not be submitted to by the people of Mexico, and that even Yucatan "will not crouch servicely before peril however grave." He then refers again to the situation of Yucatan, and concludes by worsetzing their to by protesting that

"A treaty of peace, so which Yucitan is not freed from the fury of the smadn new of Mevico, on account of six conducts in the present war, or is no left at liberry to twee the admission as a free small covering. State in the sext confedence of the University of the Control of

This protest expresses no fear of the Indians-it asks no aid of the United States against savage extermination. The alarm prothe United States against savage extermination. The alarm pro-ceeds from another quarter. Mexico is about to make peace with the United States—Yucatan has been declared part of Mexico by our government—she is in a state of rebellion against the general government of Mexico, and in the event of peace and the with-drawal of our array from Mexico, that republic may compel Yu-catan to return to her allegiance. To avoid this result, and not that of extermination by the Indians, Mr. Sierra asks the in-terforence of our government. It is not to save her from the sav-aces, of Yucatan, but to rotted her against what he calls the ages of Yucatan, but to protect her against what he calls the mandarines of Mexico, that our aid is now solicited for Yucatan.

Strange to say, that this most novel and meddlesome protest-full of bad faith towards Mexico, with whom we were then hold-ing negotiations of the most delicate and honorable character, is received and entertained by our Executive without rebuke or reply. Thus stood the matter until about the 20th of April, when the official communication from Governor Mendez offering the dominion and soveroignty of Yucatan to the United States, was pre-sented to the Executive. Then it was that our Executive first waked up to the cry of humanity, which had been ringing in the ears of the nation for months, and after nine days of anxious cabinet consultation, he sends a message to Congress in which, after depicting the sufferings of the people of Yucatan with a pathos that almost excites our sympathy to tears, concludes by submit-

ting —"to the windom of Congress to adopt such measures as, in their judgment, may be experient, to present Yasaban from becoming a cology of any Rujouene power, the constraint of the constrai

prevent Yucatan from becoming a colony of any European power, at the same time, that is, while we are contending for the great object, the dominion of the country, to rescue its white inhabitants

from exermination and expulsion.

When I first heard that message rend, I was at a loss to know what measure we could adopt, acting in our legislative capacity, to prevent Yucatan from becoming a colony of any European power; for if there be any real danger of this result, and we have, as is con-tended, the right to interlere, it was the duty of the President, in tended, the right to interlere, it was the duty of the President, in he Executive capacity, to say to such European powers, that the government of the United States would not permit them to interee with the sovereignty of Yucatan. But, sir, the Committee on Foreign Relations have solved the difficulty. The way to prevent Yucatan from becoming a coloay of any European power, is to take her ourselves—first, by an armed occupation, for the purpose of rescuing the white race from extermination, and they amenation, in order to secure the permanent dominion of the committee, is the object of the bill reported by the committee, as try. This is the object of the bill reported by the committee, explained by the honorable chairman, and also by the Senator from Michigan [Mr. Cass.]

bill, and tells us that England is proceeding "with race-horse speed" towards Yacatan, and that unless we get there soon, she will get in before us. The Senator from Michigan, still more will get in before us. The Senator from Michigan, still more alarmed by the emergency of the occasion, sees, or imagines he sees, England there already, not only in possession of Yucatan, but also of Chab, with towers and fortifications on the land and aimed steamers on the sea, cutting our commerce in two, commanding every entrance into the gulf, and thos imprisoning our trade within a line of strong military and navial positions. Under this grand national view of the subject, what becomes of our humanity for the poor women and childree of Yucatan? In this race manity for the poor women and confuse of Ancadan. In this lace for dominion, the exercise of our philanthropy towards the white race of Yucatan is to end in a contest between England and the United States over the graves of the Yucateeos, for the possession of a depopulated country.

Into what a strange and false position do gentlemen place Eng-land and America! The two great Christian and civilized nations of land and America! The two great Christian and civilized nations of the carth turning a deal ear to the cry of humanity, and each gazing with covetons eye upon a defenceless and wo-stricken country, and each under pretence of giving aid to its wretched people, seeking an opportunity to establish dominion. The eagle and the lion watching the same prey, and whether the eagle shall strike before the lion has time to leap, is the question which now learfully agitates the minds of honorable Senators.

Now, what becomes of all the cry about the suffering women and children, and those considerations of humanity which were dwell upon so eloquently by the honorable Chairman of the Committee o. Foreign Relations? The effort own appears to be, not to repulse the Indians, but drive off England in order that we may take the country to ourselves.

take the country to ourselves.

take the country to ourselves.

In my opinion, the Executive of the United States, ought not to have entertained this proposition in the form and manner in which it was made, and for the object proposed. I do not mean to say that he should have shut his cars against the cry of humanity, but that while he yielded to the call of bumanity, it was his dary to rethat wante as yetled to the cut of boundary and an entirely of the control of the the President himself. Here, then, comes one of the States of the republic of Mexico, and proposes in return for this supplicated sid, to code her sovereignty and dominion to the United States. Now, I suhmit this proposition, that if we were this day at peace with Mexico, and such a proposal were entertained by our government, it would no itself be an act of war, because of necessity it must lead to war. But the bacarable Senator from Michigan, enter-tains an opposite opinion. He says that if we were at peace with Mexico, we could lawfully entertain this proposition to take armed occupation of Yucatan. Why, the idea is monstrous! Suppose one of our States should think proper to place herself in a position one or our states among thing proper to place herself in a position somewhat adverse to the general government? Suppose a majority of the people of that State of a certain caste, should take advantage of this isolated position of the State, and should rise in rebellion against the whites—could that State, while we were at peace with Great Britain, go to that country and say, here is a domestic war; we are about to be exterminated; come save us and take us, and Great Britain should entertain that proposition, and take us, and Great Dittain should emercian that proposition, and in Parliament gravely diseases the question whether they would send an army into South Carolina, or Alabama, for the purpose of helping that State, and to take armed occupation of it, without the consent of the United States, would not the conductof Great Britain be regarded as hostile to us, and tantamount to war? Yet that is precisely the case here. The President proposes to take ain be regarded as baselet us, and tantamount to war; it was that is precisely the case here. The President proposes to take armed occupanton of one of the States of Mexico, under an offer its sovereignation of one of the States of Mexico, under an offer of peace, we would not a regard to the honorable source are right to do so. To sustain his opinious the honorable source are right to do so. To sustain his opinious the honorable source are right to do so. To sustain his opinious are to the honorable source are right to do so. To sustain his opinious are according to the honorable source are right to do so. To sustain his opinious after the honorable source are right to do so. To sustain his opinious after the honorable source are right to do so. that Yucatan is part and parcel of Mexico?

that Y meatan is part and parcel of Mexico?

But, sar, we are not in a state of peace with Mexico, our relations with that republic are of a peculiar character at this moment, For the last two years a bloody and disastrous war has been raging between us. The civil and military power of Mexico have been overthrown by the valor and skill for our ramy. To save herself from utter annihilation, Mexico has been forced to yield to our demands two of her northern States, upon condition that we would permit her to retain, in peace, the basance of her territory. Negotiations for this purpose are now going on, and our commissioners are now in Mexico, urging in good latih, I trust, the consummation of a tenty of peace and anity between the two nations. Yet at a time like this, and under circumstances like thesa, we are holding a secret intrine, with the governor of one of the southern holding a secret intrigue with the governor of one of the southern States of Mexico, and entertaining a proposition which is to separate that State from the Mexican republic, and annex it to our own. We are doing that, too, without consulting Mexico, and in the face of the admitted fact, that Yucatan "is a portion of the Mexi-

can republic.

We have heard much of the bad faith of Mexico; but if it be true, as has been said, that the treaty now under negotiation coa-tains, on our part, a stipulation not to interfere with any of the other States of Mexico without the consent of her general govern-ment, what will be said of our national honor and faith, if we should now, even before the ink is dry which records our covenant of noninterference, seize upon Yucatan? It is evident, to my mind, that if this project is to be persevered in, it will be the means of either continuing the present war, or the cause of a new war with Mexcontinuing the present war, or the cause of a new war with Mex-ico. It has been admitted, on several occasions, on this floor, by the friends of annexation, that the annexation of Texas was, if not the immediate, yet the remote cause of the present war with Mexico. Is there not a similarity in the two cases? Our interfer-ence with Texas commenced with the cry for aid against Mexican oppression and crostly. Our citizens, in deflance of law and of General Jackson's proclamation forbidding their interference with the internal allaurs of Mexico, passed into Texas, join such hor revolution, and wrested that State from the dominal that Maxican the control of the ico. Then came the cry of English interference, and the danger of Texas becoming a colony of some European power. The safety of the Umon—the extension of the area of freedom, ending

in annexation, and followed up by a war with Mexico. Do not Senators see, in the Yucatan affair, the beginning of the same pro-cess? First, we have humanity to excite our sympathies; thence fear of England to arouse our national jealousy; thence armed occupation, to be followed by annexation, all making out a cause immediate or remote, for another war with Mexico. With the history of our present difficulties with Mexico fresh in his recollecinstory of our present difficulties with indexed results in the president could not fail to see that the measure now recommended by him was the act, of all others, most calculated to excite the suspicions of Mexico, and to defeat the treaty which he professes to see speedily consummated. It has been said, in certain quarters, that this treaty was forced upon the Executive, and tain quarters, that this treaty was inteed upon the Executive, and that although he recommended its ratification, he was not at heart in favor of the measure. I cannot permit myself to believe that the President is capable of any such double dealing, upon a question of such high importance to the honor and peace of the country as this. Yet it must be admitted, that this untimely interference with one of the States of Mexico will, whether intended or not, out upon the States of Mexico with, wetter intended or not, cost suspicion upon the sincerity of our government, as to its professions for speedy peace with Mexico. The people of the country rajoiced at the first prospect of peace. They desired to see this miserable war with Mexico brought to a speedy termination, and longed to be assured that our difficulties with that unfortunate country were finally adjusted. Proud of the glory achieved by our gallant try were many adjusted. From the group achieved by our gainst army, and believing that no other advantage to the country could result by the further prosecution of the war, they did expect that the first opportunity to restore honorable peace to the country would be embraced by our government.

Would be embraced by our government.

I have already show a that the proposition to cede the sovereignty of Yucatan to us, had a close connexion with the depending
treaty of peace between as and Mexico; that it was not made until after that treaty had been sent to the Senate; and that the objeer of Yucatan in making the proposition was to indust this over enmouth the folieve her from the terms of the trusty; to save the not from the Indians, but from the power of Mexico. Nay, in-ther it is now quite evident that this proposition of Yucatan was made for the purpose of defeating peace. Let us, then, beware how we enterpriant it, and thereby disappoint the earnest desire of the country for a speedy adjustment of our Mexican difficulties.

If there ever was a time in our history when all the great iter-ests of the country demanded peace, and speedy prace, it is now —on this very day. The nations of the old world have been sud-denly thrown into a state of revolution. The policy of for eign interference, of national intermedding, is now receiving the set themselves up to regulate the affairs of the world, are now unable to defend their own existence. Ancient thrones are totter-ing upon their foundations; and which shall stand, or which shall fall, is a question belonging to the news of the day. Europe, still disturbed in all her political and commercial relations, yields the control of the world's commerce to our enterprise. Capital, irightened from its investments in the old world, seeks employment under the safety of our institutions; and labor, and enterprise, and escaping from beneath the tumbling ruins of despotism, seek safety in our temple of liberty. In this momentous crisis in the world's affairs—in this hour of confusion to nations—at this moment, when the clouds of war are gathering thick and dark over the eastern horizon-when we see the lightning's flash and hear the distant thunders roll, with what joy and hope do we turn to see tha how of peace span our clear western sky ! to see than how of peace span our clear western sky! Wipe off the little speck of war from our political horizon—cease this contest for worthless Mexican territory, and give us peace, immediate and permanent peace, and you will secure to your country the deminion of the sea—the control of the commerce of the world.

I will now notice the reasons assigned, as well by the Prisident I will now notice the reasons assigned, as well by the Prisident as by Senators, for the adoption of this measure. They are two:

Eirst—to prevent European interference for the sake of dominion. Second—to rescope the white population of Yucatan from an extending that makes. In support of the first reason, the President

"Wa have now aothentic information that, if the aid asked for from the United States be not greated, such aid will probably be obtained from some European power which may hereafter askert a claim to "dominion and sovereignty" over Yucatan."

If the President means by this that we have authentic information that some European power will grant aid to Yueatan for the saka of humanity. The fact is undoubtedly so; for Spain has al-ready granted such aid, and is preparing to afford more. But, sir, I have looked in vain through these documents for any evidence going to show that such as I has been, or will be, furnished by any gures pean power, for the purpose of asserting a claim to dominion and sovertigmy over Yugasta. It is true, we have opinions and suspicious given and entertained by Microscopial and this point, but there is not a single fact corresponding to the contract of the point, but there is not a single fact corresponding to the contract, the face stated these opinions and suspicious. On the contrary, the face stated these that they are unlounded. The suspected European powers amond in these documents are France, Spain, and England. As to France, Commodore Perry, in his letter of the 19th of March, oing to show that such aid has been, or will be, furnished by any

"I have it direct from the Franch cousul here, that the government of Yucatan homore than twice within a few years back, rpplied to France for permission to houst the franch flag, and to become a Franch colony, but their profilers have as often been closed."

Spain has also been appealed to through her authorities in Cuba Spain has also been appeared to through her authorities in Cara-and it is true, that she has listened to that appeal, and granted relief, but how, and for what object? Not by taking possession of the country for the sake of dominion, but by promptly sending

part of her naval force there, to give protection and succor to such part of me may notice there, to give protection and success of the white inhabitants of Yucatan, who might fit to the sea const for protection against a savage foe. And for fear that her motives might be suspected, she disclaims in advance, all intention to interference with the civil and political relations of Yucatan. The instructions gives to be roward commander, are worthy of our notice. I will read part of them:

"That the presence of his vessel on the coast of Yacatan is merely protectory, and has an hostile character whatsoever.

"That on this principle only, will we be allowed to grant assistance to the Spaniards at & other inhabitants who may look for protection under his flag."

"Luxly after having earnestly repeated to the consumed on the new theory and the should early the desires that similars the authorities of the desires that similars the authorities of the must be used to the similar to a thought of the inhabitants of Yucatan, to whom they are linked by the of blood and freedokip, he was further descend to effect a lending on the beach, if it should be accessary to do to, the state of the similar than the simila

Upon these instructions, the official organ of the government of Yucatan, under dato of the 8th of February last, remarks: (I read from the documents before us.)

"Thus they respect the independence and no energity of Yactiss and the serious live, in order that it should it so we lie to indended that, priding by the entical situation of the country, they had an insention of subgasting it by the establishment of a testing domination.

In the country of the country o

Who does not see and feel the beauty and justice of this senti-ent? The conduct of the Spanish authorities on this occasion, is worthy of the best days of old Spain, and instead of exciting our jealousy, should command our imitation.

jealousy, should command our imitation.

But England is the power referred to. England will take advantage of the critical situation of Yucatan, grant the aid if we do not, and then "assert a claim to dominion and sovereignty over Yucatan." Now, sir, there is not a particle of reliable evidence before us, to show that the government of England entertains any such illegal and dishonorable design toward the sovereignty of Yucatan. The nature and the character of all the information we lucation. The nature and the calculation have upon this point, are expressed in the following extract from a letter of Cummodore Perry, dated 13th March. He says:

"The Frank casal express the quinter that England may, in these of obtained an increase of territory in the lay of Handara, and possession of the lambon of Axcession' and Express State, on the east cost of Yacston, be induced to formish and interpose and maintain a found that the cost of the c

We have, it is true, the opinions and surmises of Mr. Sierra He says he has reason to believe, that the British government will interfers. From what does he make such an inference? From the fact that British arms have been found in the hands of Indians the fact that british arms have been found in the hands of scheme, obtained, as he supposes, from the British agent at the Bulize. He has not even proof of the fact, but if he had, would it follow the government of England had such an intention, because some of her ernment of England and such an intention, because some of ner subjects may have sold arras to the insurgents? Why since our war commenced with Mexico, it is said, I hope without foundation, that some of our own citizens, not being overburthened with patriotism, have sold arms to the enemy. The idea of charging the povernment of England with the intention to seize the dominion of Yucatan, because some of the Indians obtained arms, from some of the subjects of that government residing at the Balize, is perfectly preposterous. We may talk about the probability of some of the subjects of that government reasons are perfectly preposterous. We may talk about the probability of Great Britain seizing upon Yueatan, in order to excite our water foliases, but we must remember that such probabilities are not sufficient for government to act upon. We must have some evidence of her myving in the matter, before we can make her action the reason for our interference.

But, sir, when we consider the political relations of Yucatan, it is all idle to say that England will seize upon the dominion of that country. We know that an act of this kind would, under existing relations between the United States and Mexico, beginning the control of the country. an act of war; she would have two wars at once upon her hands— war with Mexico for seizing upon one of her States, war with the United States for enterfering with a country with which we the United States for enterfering with a country with which we are at war, and now blockaded by our fleet, and subject to be conquered by our armies. Even by the laws of war, England at this time could only be permitted to appear in Yucatan cither as the ally of Mexico or of the United States. If she comes seeking conquest for herself, a conflict of arms is certain, and war inevitable. When then the bonorable chairman expressed his constant of the constant o she was proceeding "with race horse speed," to take possession of Yucatan, he must have overlooked entirely this view of the case. This cry of England's interference with the nations on this continent has lost its effect upon me; it has been dinged in our ears for the last six years. On all occasions relative to our foreign relations, the last six years. On all occasions relative to our foreign relations, we are threatened by the fear of England. The present administration has lived with the fear of England continually before its eyes, and is likely to die under the same dreadful apprehencion. This fear of England, real or affected, has done much for us in the way rear or England, lear or anected, has done much for in the the an-pexation of Texas, and it succeeded so well in that case, that the pexation of Texas, and it succeeded so well in that case, that the government was induced the next year to raise it again upon Orgon, but then it did not succeed quite so well, it being elsewing by a little real lear of English power entertained by the Execu-

In the fall of 1845 this spectre again baunts the mind of the

[SATURDAY.

President; a rumor had reached the State Department that an Irish priest by the name of Macnamara, had gone to California on board of an English ship of war, that he was about to purchase a large tract of land at a very low rate, too, for the purpose no doubt of establishing an English colony there. Our government takes the alarm, and despatches Capt. Gillespie with secret instructions to Col. Fremont, to watch and counteract the movements of Eng-Forthwith California is revolutionized. Mexican authorit overthrown, and the country reduced to our possession, we being at the time when these secret orders were given, at peace with Mexico. Thus we obtained possession of California through fear of England. Again when peace was first proposed with Mexico, we were told that if our army were withdrawn from Mexico in her present distracted condition, England would enter and seize We must, therefore, hold on to all Mexico for upon the country. We must, therefore, hold on to all Mexico for fear of England. And now, when a call for the exercise of humanity is made to us from Yucatan, we disregard the appeal, until the fear of England comes in to excite us to action, and then un der the pretext of rescuing women and children. gravely recommends that we take measures to prevent Yucatan from becoming an English colony. With due deference to the With due deference to the ence to the fear of English interference, is unbecoming the honor and dignity of this nation. It smacks a little of cowardice, to be thus shaping our course to avoid direct contact with the power o England. If there be any real danger of interference by England with the independent governments on this continent, and if it be our settled policy to resist that interference, why not act openly and decidedly upon the point, and tell England in plain English, we will be resisted by the continuous and the continuous If there be any real danger of interference by England that any movement of hers towards this object, will be resisted by that any movement of hers towards this object, while resisted by the government of the United State. Surely such a course would be more manly and shonorable, and would at once relieve us from that duress of morbid fear, which frets and excites the Exeentive on all occasions.

Much has been said in the course of this debate about Mr. Monroe's declaration, relative to European interference with the nations upon this continent. This principle seems to have taken a strong held upon the President's mind, and for fear that we may forget it, be quotes it in almost every message seat to Congress. It is recited in the message now before us, as follows,

"That we should consider any attempt on their part, to extend their system to any ation of this bemisphere, as dangerous to our peace and sufety."

Mr. Monroe also avowed another principle, that,

"The American continents, by the free and independent condition which they have assumed and maintain, are henceforth not to be considered as subjects for future colonization by any European power."

The latter cannot be applied to the case of Yucatan, for she is one of the States of Mexico, and cannot therefore be made the subject of colonization. If, therefore, Yucatan is to be interfered with by any European power, it must be by overthrowing her present government, and establishing foreign dominion in its stead. It was this system of European politics—the system of the Holy Alliance to which Mr. Monroe alluded in the declaration first above cited, and against the extension of which system to our hemisphere, he solemnly protested, as dangerous to our peace and safety. Thus understood, this declaration of Mr. Monroe, may be dered as a solemn pledge on our part, to sustain the independence of the States on this continent, against the domineering interference of foreign powers. It is a general principle, maintaining in the broadest terms the great doctrine of non-in a doctrine, as hinding upon us, as upon the nations of e. Yet the President cites this principle of Mr. Monroe, as onceauthority for us to interfere with the domestic difficulties in Yucatan, to separate her from the Republic of Mexico, and forcibly to connect her sovereignty to ourselves. This, sir, is, in my opinion, an utter perversion of the principle, converting it into a dogma, and applying its restrictions to all the world, except our-selves. What do we propose to do by this hill? Is it to maintain the independence f Yucatan against English interference and power? Not at all. The independence of Yucatan is not thought of. The object is, as now avowed, to anticipate England, by interfering ourselves, for the purpose of extending our dominion over one of the States of Mexico. The Jews had a notion that many of their laws applied to the heathen world, and not to themselves and they frequently acted under this literal construction. Hence the divine rebuke which they received: "thou who sayeth, thou shalt not steal; dost thou steal." "thou that sayest, "thou shalt not covet, dost thou covet?" May we not likewise say to Mr. Polk, you, who sayeth to England, thou shalt not take Yucatan, will you take Yucatan? you, who sayeth, thou shalt not interyou interfere? The application now attempted to i tere, will you interfere? The application now attempted to be made of Mr. Monroe's principle, makes it a more selfish rule of action, under which you exclude others from plunder in order to take it all yourselves. I think Mr. Monroe would be somewhat astonished to hear that his declaration had been used for such a

The second reason assigned for our interference is, to rescue the white race from externmation or expulsion by the Indian race. The population of Yucatan is divided into two general classes or castes—the Indians or natives, ambering about 400,000 and whites, or Spaniards about 120,000. Both are citizens under the constitution of Yucatan, and have a voice in the affairs of government. These Indians, called savages by Mr. Sierra, are citizens under the constitution of Yucatan, and as such, they being largely in the majority, they have a right to regulate the political affairs

of their country. Their right to do so, is also acknowledged by Govenor Mendez, for it was by the aid of the Indian population, solicited by him, that he overcome his political rival, and ob-tained power, and which, as I have already shown, he afterwards abused by oppressing the Indians. Now it may be, and I confess such is my opinion formed from an examination of the ovidence before us, that the war now raging in Yucatan, is a civil war tween the two classes of her citizens, concerning the administra-tion of the government. The Indian citizens being in the majority, and having the political power, have overcome the white citizens and are now in their turn using power, as is said, with cruelty and injustice. The white citizens divided among themselves, and paposition. The waite cutzens divided among themselves to grant co-stricken, fly to us for aid, and the President proposes to grant could be tabled a grand occupation of the country. If we do this, the aid, by taking armed occupation of the country the aid, by taking armed occupation of the country. If we do this, we must take sides with the minority, and make war upon the majority of the citizens of Yucatan. Now, sir, while I am as anxious as any Senator here, to put en end to that cruel strife, and to rescue the women and children of Yucatan from the borrors. civil war, I am not willing to send our army into that country for the purpose of driving out, or exterminating the Indian population, who, by the law and constitution of the country, have the right to govern it. But they are mere savages, and the honorable Senator from Michigan, [Mr. Cass,] is of opinion that they ought not to have been admitted to the right of citizenship, that they two ignorant for the safe exercise of so high a privilege. understand that some of these Indians, either of the whole or the half blood, rank among the first citizens in Mexico, holding high man mood, rank sumong the first citizens in Mexico, holding high and responsible stations under that government. One of them, Mr. Rebon, was, I believe, Sccretary of State. The same gentleman who held a correspondence with Mr. Shannon, and proved himself quite equal to our minister, Almonte, also belonged to that salled savage race. But I was astonished to hear the honorable contor from Michigan, take the ground that a majority of the left this country ought not to be permitted to control its government. This is not very democratic. It is the dectrine of the aristocracy of Europe. Louis Phillippe thought the Blouses of aristocracy of Europe. Louis Familipe mongain the Disease of France ought not to vice, that they were too ignorant to be entrusted with that high prerogative, but the blouses thought otherwise, they rose in their power, and by force expelled the royal family from France. Whether the blouses of Paris will exercise their newly acquired rights with more humanity than the nations of Yu catan, is yet to be seen. But the Yucatecos have this advantage ever their compatriots of Europe, while they are many, contending for rights already secured to them by the constitution of the country, the blouses are fighting against the constitution of France in order to obtain privileges which they never enjoyed before. we should adopt the policy of interfering in a case of this kind, we shall have our hands full for years to come. But it is said, If that he a ground for our interference, we have grossly neg-lected our duty heretofore. The case has existed for centuries. Every civil war in Mexico—and there have been many of them more cruel and sanguinary than the present one-from the con most of Cortex and binding. has been save the entermonth of the control of that ill-fatted country—between the Indians (whole and half blood) and the Spaniards. The great war of the revolution, commenced by Hidalgo in 1807, and which faully secured the independence of Mexico from old Spain, was a war of races or castes; an exterminating war too, in which, the native boar Spaniard was an exterminating war too, in which the native boar Spaniard was slaughtered or expelled from the country. In the long and bloody history of those cruel and exterminating wars which were, for centuries, waged by old Spain against the Indians in her provinces, we have no account of an appeal to the humanity of Christian na tions for aid and succor to the red man. Men, women, and children, were cruelly murdered or hopclessly enslaved. Tribe after tribe were exterminated, and nation after nation sacrificed on the altar of Spanish dominion; yet there was none to interfere and stay the hand of the destroyer. But now, at the first cry of white man for aid agrinst Indian revenge—revenge, too, provoked by his own had faith—our humanity is excited to fever heat, and the military power of this Christian nation is to be forthwith sent into Yucatan to fight the battles of the Spaniard against the Indians. I will have nothing to do with this war of races in Yu

catan.

In conclusion, I desire to notice some of the remarks made by the distinguished Senator from Michigan. In the enlarged view taken of this subject, by that Senator, he considered the question of humanity as of little importance. He places our action upon the high grounds of national security, commerce, and dominion. And he calls upon us to take this opportunity to secure there national advantages against the grasping power of England. He looks upon Yucatan as a great commercial position—a site for a "tower of observation," overlooking the trade of the gulf—a said and the security of the disject to invest Yucatan with this importance, the Senator has been obliged to look a little beyond that territory, to the adjecent is lands in those latitudes. He has, I think, been acting under the advice of General Jackson, who said:

"That statesmen had better study the constitution less, and geography more, in order to be useful to their country."

The Senator has been looking at the latitude and longitude of Cuba, its relative and commanding position, and its liability to be seized upon by England. That Cuba is the last jewel of the kind

in the crown of Spain. That one of these days, the jewel may fall off, or, what I think, is more likely to happen, be found, as many other crowned jewels sow days are, in the market. In that are says he would not take Cuba by force of arms. He prefers negotiation, but would, at all heards, not permit her to fall into the hands of any government but our own. Now, sir, to drop the figurative hanguage of the Senator I understand him to be of opinion, that under the circumstances in which Yucatan is placed, and for the reasons he assigns this government, ought now to take possession of Yucatan with a view of amoxing it to the United States; of any other power, we should also seize upon that island, and amexit to our Union of States. This is making rapid advancement in the policy of annexation.

in the policy of annexation.

The case of Cuba, now anticipated by the Senator, is likely soon to be before us for action. It will be bere before the next administration is over, and, as the honorable Senator may, if his party succeeds, hold a commanding position in that administration, and where, he will permit me to say, I should be happy to see him, provided we do not fill the place by a good whig—his opinions upon the subject of the annexation of Cuba. will be received with deep interest by the country. This question will come, if it comes at all, within the next four years. The revolutions in Europe are not yet over. The crown of Spain may shake and fall next. Chain any of the country, may be subjected to civil war, like Yucchi and the country, may be subjected to civil war, that the country is an expectation of the country in the country of the country of the country of the country in the country of th

The Linear and the season was not of two more stave states to be used to be u

as our joint of the dark one search that are all the search that are search th

fere with our foreign commerce? Do we not at this moment divide commerce with her the world over? Do not our ships go wherever her ships go-our flag waving side by side with hers on every sea? And can it be supposed that she now contemplates the destruction of our commerce by sexing mon Yucatan and Cuba? The supposition is visionary and idle. The supposition is visionary and idle to take cars of themselves. They are now feeling the result of the policy that is astempted to be revived by us. This policy of interforing with other morrions of the world—this grashing disposition to take that which

The nations of Europe have enough to do to take care of themselves. They are now feeling the result of the policy that is attempted to be revived by us. This policy of interfering with other portions of the world—this grasping disposition to take that which belongs to others—one nation seeking to control the concerns of another—has left the nations of Europe in a condition in which they are hardly able to take care of themselves. Had Napoleon, in the early portion of his career, listened to the good spirit which advised him to stand upon the soil of France, he would have passed down to his posterity one of the most glorious empires that ever descended from site to son. And if England, with all her historic fame, her pride, her wealth and power—if England is to go down in this wreck of nations—one cause of her overthrow will be found in the extension of her dominion abroad, to the neglect, and at the expense of her people at home. And shall we, with these disastences an open can be caused to the care the same realized and the expense of her people at home.

policy? I was struck by a remark made a few days since, by the Senator from Illinois, [Mr. DOUGLAS,] that it was high time that we should recall our attention from foreign nations, and attend, for a short time, to the interests of our own country. For the last four years, most of our time, and money too, have been employed in looking after other countries. The administration has, with the colliness and stability of a statue, stood with its back to the country, and its face towards foreign dominions. No domestic interest, no internal improvement, could obtain a hearing, or elicit a response, guiless it was now and then a cold negative thrown over the special properties of the second of the second properties of the second remarks of the Senator from Illinois—that it is high most of the second of the secon

merce.

The Senator from Michigan, in the close of his speech, vory eloquently describes the rapid improvement of the country since the time, forty years ago, I think, when he descended the majestio rivers of the west in a bark cance, the adjacent country then being a wilderness. It is true, that since that day the industry and enterprise of our people have settled and improved that wilderness, nutil it now teems with population, and overflows with all the necessaries and luxuries of civilized life; but this has been the result of the enterprise of our people, with no aid from the government, other than that flowing from our free in stitutions.

But how is it with those majestic rivers, down which the Senator's bark canoe so smoothly glided? Have they been improved? No, sir, the same snags and bars are there still, interrupting and destroying, ever year, our internal commerce. Thus, while the people have long since cleared up the wilderness, the government has not found time or opportunity to clear out the rivers. Nay, further, we are now told by the President, that although there be power enough in the constitution to acquire foreign dominion with order to the state of the state of the state of the state of the power of the state of the state of the state of the state of the ciga war is over. I trust the time has come when we that allow to get rid of all our foreign difficulties—when the government, released from the burdens of foreign war, may devote itself to the great interests of peace—to our domestic affairs—to the promotion of the virtue, the prosperity, and the true glory of our own country.

On motion,

The Senate adjourned

# MONDAY, MAY 15, 1848.

# MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT.

The following message was received from the President of the United States, by Mr. Walker, his Scoretary:

## To the Senate of the United States

Tecmmanicate herewith a report of the Secretary of the Navy, together with the accompanying documents, in compliance with the resolution of the Secate of the 13th instant, requesting information as to the measures taken for the protection of the white population of Yucatan by the naval forces of the United Status. Warmstorova, May 13, 1846.

Ordered, That it be printed.

# PRIVATE BILLS.

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the Committee on Patents and the Mr. WESTCOII, from the Committee on Facetts and the Patent Office, to whom was referred the petition of Bancroft Weodcock, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for his relief.

The bill was read and passed to a second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the same committee, to whom was referred the petition of Obed Hussey, submitted a report, accom-panied by a bill for his relief.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading

Ordered, That the report be printed.

#### ORDER TO PRINT

On motion by Mr. WESTCOTT, it was

Ordered, That the memorial of A. A. Frazier and Alvin Baker be printed for the use of the Senate.

#### CONSULATE AT MUSCAT.

The Senate proceeded to consider the following resolution, submitted on the 13th instant, by Mr. Hannegan:

Resolved, That the Committee on Foregr Relations be instructed to inquire into the expediency of establishing the consultar at Muscat, in the dominious of the Imami. uron the same footing with those of Tangiers, Tripoli, and Taniz, in the Barbory States.

# THE INSTITUTION OF SLAVERY IN TERRITORIES.

Mr. BAGBY stated that certain resolutions submitted by him MIT. JACOI States that extent is some months ago, upon the subject of the institution of slavery in the territories of the United States, were, on the 11th of April, made the special order for the third Monday in May—this day. As the Senate was engaged in the consideration of another special order, of practical importance, he would not interfere with it; but he now gave notice that he would call up the resolutions during the morning hour to-morrow, and it no Senator desired to discuss them he would ask for a vote upon them.

## SCHOOL LANDS IN FLORIDA

On motion by Mr. YULEE, the prior orders were postponed and the Senata proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill concerning school lands in the State of Florida.

The amendment reported from the Committee on Public Lands was agreed to, and the bill was thus reported to the Senate, and the amendment concurred in.

Ordered, That the bill be engrossed and read a third time

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved, That this bill pass and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Scoretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill

## DEFERRED NOMINATIONS.

The Senate resumed the consideration of the resolution submitted by Mr. Johnson, of Maryland, on the 28th ultimo, respecting appointments made by the President during the recess of the

Mr. BORLAND .- Mr. President : It is with reluctance that I Mr. BORLAND.—Mr. President: It is with rejuctance that it undertake to speak in the midst of those who in every respect, and particularly by experience here, are so much better qualified than myself to do so. But it is my opinion—and I deem it my duty to express it—that the proposed call upon the President is inquisitorial, and beyond the just power of the Senate.

I do not know whether I was in my seat when this resolution was introduced and passed a few days ago. At any rate, I did not hear it, nor hear of it, until the motion to reconsider it was made.

not hear it, nor near of it, until the motion to reconsider it was made by the honorable Senator from Ohio, [Mr. Allen.] And I doubt whether more than a dozen Senators, oven if so many, heard any more about it than I did. I apprehend that even by most of those

who were cognizant of its introduction it was regarded as an ordimany resolution of inquiry, which no Senator would hesitate to pass, nor the President object to answer. But, he that as it may, now that I do hear of it, now that I do know its character, I am glad that it has been reconsidered, and I feel bound to protest against its adoption.

What is the resolution, sir?

Resolved. That the Presslent of the United States is requested to inform the Senate whether any officers are now in the military or civil service of the United States, under appointments from the Presslent, which have not been submitted to the Senate; and if there he any such appointments, that he state the date of such appointments, end why It is that it has not been in the power of the President to sobmit them to the con-

I am aware that its author has agreed to modify it-has agreed to inquire only "if there are any names not yet sent in." This does not, in my opinion, materially alter the question. It is still an inquiry about a certain kind of appointments; and it is the subject, not the terms of the inquiry, which gives it the oharacter to

which I object. where it object.

This resolution, and especially its modification, is in few words; and, "at the first blosh," seems very common place in character, and harmless in purpose. And so far as the motive of its author is concerned, I will not believe, will not extertain the suspicion, that any wrong was intended. The inward purpose of the mover that any wrong was intended The inward purpose of the mover was, doubtless, as harmless in intention, as his resolution is fair in its outward seeming. But, sir, apart from motives and intentions, I cannot help entertaining the opinion that this new occupant of "the meal tub," however thickly whited over, will prove to be a true and veterad specimen of the felian race. I will not say, "in face an angel, and in heart a cat;" but yet a grimalkin—ay, sir, 'a political grimalkin;" not, perbaps, "purring over petty schemes of personal aggrandzement," but not without claws; and they, too, of unconstitutional leputh. too, of unconstitutional length.

To drop this bomely figure, I believe this resolution, so simple in its first appearance, will be found, upon inspection, wholly unauthorized by the constitution, and justly liable to instantaneous

In this matter of appointment to office, the relation between the President and the Senate is established by the coostitution—and not otherwise. Now, sir, that portion of the constitution establishing this relation is contained in a single passage, and in words too few and plain to be misunderstood. It is found in article 2, section 2, and clause 2, and is in these words:

"And be [the President] shall nominate, and, by and with the advice and come of the Senata, shall appoint ambassadors, other public ministen and coasis, judges the Supreme Contr, and all other officers of the United States, whose appointment are not herein otherwise provided for, and which shall be established by law."

the supermental the supermental that the supermental that suppermental that supermental that supermental that supermental tha appointments to office, whether civil or military. But, sir, it is not all that may be found in the constitution in relation to appointby the Senate or the President separately. Let ments to office us see what other provisions there are in this counexion—not of joint agency, but of separate and independent action, on the part of these two departments of the government. Clause 5, section 3, article I of the constitution is in these words :

"The Senate shall choose their own officers, and also a President pro tempore in the absence of the Vice President, or when he shall exercise the office of President of the United States."

Under this clause of the constitution, did the Senate not, at the commencement of its present session, appoint its Secretary, its Sergeant-at-arms, its Dowckepers, and its President protempore? By whose and, co-operation, or joint agency, did it exercise this authority? Did the President of the United States interfers in any manner? Did he send here to inquire whether that authority had manner? Did he send here to inquire whether that authority had been exercised? Even if the appointment of any one, or all of the officers of the chamber I have named, had not one, or all of the officers of the chamber I have named, had been med to play the inquisiter, or demand of this Senate if this duty had been performed, or next a reason for the delay or neglect? Certainly not, six And why not? Because the sole authority to make the appointments in question has been exsted in the Senate—in the Senate alone, by the constitution, as I have quoted it. The exercise of this authority—free from restraint or interference, because conferred, and therefore guarantied by the constitution—has been enjoyed since the original organization of the Senate. Certainly no President has been found so unmindful of the constitution he had sworn to support, as to overstop the barriers it sets up to him, and invade the authority it confers upon another department of the government, in terms so plain and palpable as these. Had any Free invace the authority it cohers upon another department of the verament, in terms so plain and palpable as bese. Had any Pre-sident done this, then would I say his act was not only inquisited at, but entirely beyend the sphere of his proper power. But, sir, it is not the Senate alone upon which the constitution confers this "Separate" and "independent" authority to make ap-

pointments to office. Clause 3, section 2, and article 2, of the constitution, is in these words:

"The President shall have power to fill up all vacancies that may happen during the recess of the Senate, by granting commissions, which shall expire at the end of their session."

To whom is the power to appoint here given? To the Senate No. To the President, No. To the President, 19 me. Senate and President jointly? No. To the President, 19 me to be president, 19 me and exclusively of the Senate senate of the President, alone and exclusively. Really, sir, this clause, as I remembered it, seemed so plain and pulpable, and yet was its of flatly in the face of the positions assumed by the honorable Senator from Maryland, (whose eminent character every one respects,) that I almost doubted the lide ity of my own recollection, as well of the constitution itself as of those high authorities whom I was early tauglit to consult for its faithful interpretation, in the light of first principles. I was staggered, however, but for a moment. By reference, I find my monory was not at lault, in the one case or the other. The constitution remains the same as when oracle gives out the same interpretation as when first consulted. It tollows, then—and I am surry for it—that I must dissent from the positions of the Senator from Maryland. In doing so, I trust be will not suspect me of a vain reliance on my own judgment, nor yet of a slavish o'edience to authority. I rely no further upon my own judgment than every man is bound to do from a sease of duty. And the authority, in this instance, I yield respect to, is one which I apprehend, the Senator himself will acknowledge to be deserving of it, as readily as I do. I will read, sir, from an old work—a work venerable from age—wenerable from the eigenmatances of the Revolution—who had formed the constitution, and who then came forward, in these pages, to explain and recommend to the people the findmanental law of the land promy which might be raised, and in accordance with their good advice has been raised, this will make the might be raised, and in accordance with their good advice has been raised, this explanation of this very power of appointment to efficie, he says:

The onlines power of appointment is confided to the Peachet and the Senate jointly; and ean, therefore, only be exercised during the session of the Senate, But, as it would have been improved to alloy its like I/o to be continually in session for the appointment of others, and a vectors—while the peach is a proportion of the Senate. But, as a position of the Senate, But, as a position of the Senate, but, as the session for the appointment of others, and a vectors—while the appointment of the senate is a senate of the Senate, but and the senate is a senate of the Senate, but peached, single, to make lemmo-ray appointments 'during the recess of the Senate, by granting commissions which should expire at the end of their next session,"

Thus, sir, if my views upon this point he not original, they have a still higher title to respect, from being found in excellent company, and satained by the highest authority.

If this interpretation of the constitution be correct—and who

If this interpretation of the constitution be correct—and who will question it —what becomes of this assumed power of the Senate to interfere with the exercise of a power by a separate and co-ordinate department of the government. expressly and plainly conferred by the constitution, and which, in the language of Mr. Hamilton, "is evidently intended to authorize the President singly"—mark! he says "singly," and emphasises the word, "singly to make temporary appointments?" I appreliend E misst be assisted on the property of the Executive, or one with the similar of the Executive, or one will be a supported to the property of the Executive, or one will be a supported to the government, to interfere with the power of the Senate itself, derived in like manner from the constitution. "singly" to make appointments to certain offices. I can conceive of no alternative.

As an obvious and a necessary consequence of these views, I misst, sir, that the President has no authority to send to this body, for confirmation, any appointments he may have made under this power conferred exclusively upon him; and even if he were to send them here, this body has no authority to entertain or act upon them either for confirmation or rejection. If there exist any such authority either to the President or to the Senate, I desire to know where it may be found. In the constitution, where the whole mat-

As an obyious and a necessary consequence of these views, I misst, sir, that the President has no authority to send to this body, for confirmation, any appointments he may have made under this power confirmation, any appointments he may have made under this power confirmation, any appointments he may have made under this power confirmation for confirmation or rejection. If there exist any such authority either to the President or to the Senate, I desire to know where it may be found. In the constitution, where the whole matter in question is conclusively disposed of, there is no such authority. Though not well read in our statute-book, I assume that no am one of those, sir, who hold—and I am happy to find it is a growing seniment throughout our country, a sontiment promulgated from the Executive mansion at the other end of the capitol, and cherished even in the humble cabin of the far western settler—that this government, sither as a whole, or in any of its departments, has no power, and can exercise no authority, not expressly granted by the constitution, or unavoidably incident to the expressly granted powers. I have the authority of Alexander Hamilton for this doctria helevise. I am a saying nothing new, therefore, either for myself, or for any other person upon this floor who may be in the habit of avowing this dectrine. But, what is far better, I am what was among the most preten and because correct and true, what was among the most preten as headen of the constitution, and by consequence inducing them to adopt it.

adopt it.
Under this authority to the President, singly, to appoint to office,
30TH CONG.—IST SESSION—No. 79.

be alone can appoint, and is bound to appoint, without the cooperation of the Senate; ac, under the authority to appoint jointly with the Senate, he is bound to appoint with its expertation. He is bound by the law of its authority, in the one case scale to other, and as well in the mode as in the matter of appointment. If he look to the source, of his authority, he finds there not only the power, but the prescribed manner of its exercise. They are inseparable in the constitution and he is not competent to their separation. Nor, sir, is this Senate competent to separate them. And yet, this resolution, if it mean anything at all, proposes to do so—proposes to separate the manner from the act of appointment—proposes, that the Senate shall participate in a power with which the constitution never intended it should have anything to do; bur, on the contrary, excludes it from all concern—proposes, in a word, a direct usurpation of authority, in the very face of a plain and express provision of the constitution.

express provision of the constitution.

In this claim of power for the Senate in this matter of appointment, there has been evioced a recurricalle, and, as I think, most extraordinary want of discrimination between the two clauses—the two only clauses of the constitution which embrace it. They seem to be confounded, in their application to the separate purposes for which they were designed; and, as a consequence, the purposes themselves have been associated, overlapped, and blended together bemeabores have been associated, overlapped, and blended together produced it, if I may indee for inserticable to those who have produced it, if I may indee for inserticable to those who have produced it, if I may indee for inserticable to those who have produced it, if I may indee for investigation of the construction of the co

By this, the duration is limited to the termination of the next session of the Sonate. But, writin that period, though conferred by the President singly, the appointment is as complete and authoritative as any that receives the co-operative sanction of this Senate, or of all the departments of this government combined.

I said that the President could not send these appointments before the Senate; and even if he did so, the Senate could not entertain them, nor act upon them, either for rejection or confirmation. I repeat the assertion. I say so under sanction of the constraint that the senate of the military is attue book. The President having that the senate of the military is attue book. The President having the conformity with the law. Having granted the constraint is some senate conformity with the law. Having granted the constraints of the conformity with the law. Having granted the conformity with the law, the same sent that the law presents in the senate of the present solding the commissions. Much less, then, sir, can this Senate take back these commissions, or in any manner interfere with them; for neither hy the constitution, nor by any other law, written or common, have we anything to do with them. The recipients of the commissions in question have acquired rights under them—legal rights, the duration of which the constitution itself makes coextensive with this session of the Senate. Shall the Senate erect itself into an extra-judicial tribunal, to go behind the preventions, and volute its guaranties? I trust not, sir. And yet, unless we do all this, I do not see upon what ground this resolution can be adorted.

tion can be adopted.

It is true, sir, that the President may appoint the same individuals, who hold these temporary commissions, to the same offices pernanently, and he may send their nonmations here for our "advice and consent." But, the does so, it will be not under their present appointments, for they are complete without our co-operaments of the present appointment, for they are complete without our co-operaments of the present appointment of the preceding the substitution of the preceding commissions, by due course of law—that is, by the dismissal of the individuals from the service, their voluntary resignation or the adjournment of the Senate.

whether reject or confirm—ur action cannot take effect until after the termination of the pre-existing commissions, by due ourse of law—that is, by the dismissal of the individuals from the service, their voluntary resignatior, or the adjournment of the Senate.

The honorable Senator was surely wrong, yesterdny, in assuming a difference to exist between officers bolding these temporary appointments by authority of the President singly, and those holding by the joint authority of the President and the Senate. as to their liability to dismissal from office. Under the law, as understoox and administered, there is no difference in this respect. The regular mode of dismissal is by trial and sentence of a court martial. But for cause—of which he alone may judge—the President has the lawful authority to dismiss, summarily, any officer of the army or navy from the service, no matter what may be his grade, or the source of his commission. And not only the President, that other officers of the army have claimed and exercised this authority. It was once, at least, exercised by the late Gen Brown; though, I believe, upon appeal, a court martial restored

the drop adolling I know it his town of ined, do no they co

As I have said the properties of the confidence of the confidence

I say none of this by ruthers. For no two wilded Problem nor with any one in the complete e, have I ever the runs of the subject. I will be some the most distant, to be subject. I will be subject.

nor with any one in the complete control (1) in the property of the most obstance but subject 1 comes by any what occurred the most obstance but subject 1 comes by any what occurred the most obstance most obstance as the subject of control (1) in the subject of control (1) in the subject of the most of the most obstance of the subject of the control (1) in the subject of the

as wisely.

The extensive exemples of a language to the plant of the end The extensive contract of among a property of authority, then the extensive of authority, then the extensive of authority, then the part of action and the extensive of authority, then the part of the extensive of a the ext

vote in the negative.
If I understood the Smaller, he copper of the quantum coloring If I understood the Search of the stage of the production and day that it these to make a production of the search of the production of the search of the production of the search of th

precedents are before he, if any one wishes to examine them.

There exist necking and I have nothing to say, in relation, direct or comple, to the individuals holding these temporary commeanthe Sanot. They are loreign to the subject, and to my purpose. But even if they were involved in this discussion, there is a pose. But even if they were involved in this discussion, there is a seen whe I sended say as little as possible in regard to them. I measure of some of them is now the subject of investigation of them is now the subject of investigation that later the sees by to an impartial opinion will be discovered. Here, as mean 'knowledge of those facts, and not empowered to plantique their clausers. I am invaliding to sit in judgment, or exact possible in opinion, have able to inflavorable; and I am unitary to the strength of the second possible in the production of the prod

and solutions of the season laws; and the examination has satisfied my wear until at heart, that it is adoption will volote them all. I shall heart-law, with engages in P. Pringipart to region of the remarks of the formula of the country-peritually upon some of the remarks of the law able Sonator from Maryland, upon the country peritual of the country-peritually upon some of the remarks of the laws of the peritual of the country-peritually upon some of the remarks of the laws of the peritual of the country peritual of the laws of the peritual of the laws of the peritual of the laws of th substitute posteral frech which was to cure all the the ills of indicated speculation and in providence? Alas! sr., it has met are worse fare than its follows. Not only have its brethrea and to dis but its seen in-state parent. Starrn like, has devoured the for the other sky, in another room in this Capitol, he who substitute at existence, wring the last drops of life-blood from its the heart, and as he swallowed it, to the great edification of his hearts, probound of this epitaph:

If the spenging of this springur:

I have been always been always as the weed doing the most effective that it is not seen to be a ready says who were doing the most effective that the springur of the process of the fact of the fact of the most of the most of the fact of the springur o

But to a sweeping remunciation, and entire repudiation of the Applications of measures which marked the accession of the whiges by price in FIII, I riese it Secantor to a distinguished citizen of groups in the long since a recognized leader of the whige frame it the solds end of the capitol. He has recently condemned the whigh the hallowing protective turiff, distribution, unconsti-tion of the constitution of the control of the contro remain learn early position they have ever occupied, or voluntarily willing row as with their guins all spaked, or turned upon their standard colliners, the party to which the Senator belongs, very smaller same surrender! Really, sir, I standard some six well and demands our surrender! Really, sir, I standard some six spaced to thus, moless Santa Anna, when routed the contract of the standard some six of the contract of the co them, it, much in or advantages, we have a subject to the increase of the prosecute that it is a subject to the increase of the subject to the increase of the

a priving to skin lim. But, it simple to skin lim. But, it simple the priving a point, it has organization—the very manner of the course of th

formed. He served as the scapegoat for a party who had otherwise been lost, and who have not been as grateful as they

should be. .

The great embodiment " himself did not escape a buffet in pasne great emovament. Immort out not escape a billet in passing Of him, and such treatment to him, I can only say, in the lament of Antony over Cresar's dead holy, which appends to the sympathies of every generous heart, 'But yesterdar, his name had stood, against the world! Now, none so poor to do him

Of the democratic party, and the interests in the conting-centest, which seem to attract so much of the Senator's consern. I have only to say, we are in good hands, as the result will prove next week, in his own monumental city. And another thing ne may be very sure of, whoever we may choose as our leader, will be one whose principles are involved in no uncertainty, and who will not be found in opposition to the origin and conduct of a war to which he owes his only change for the Presidency.

Mr. President, reculiecting the continuous and the continuous c

On motion by Mr. HANNEGAN, it was

Ordered, That the further consideration of the resoution be post-poned until to-morrow.

## TEMPORARY OCCUPATION OF YUGATAN.

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill to enable the President of the United States to take temporary military occupation of Yucatan.

itary occupation of Yucatan.

Mr. CALHOUN.—The President in his messangs recommends to Congress to adopt such measures as they may down expedient to prevent, in the first place, Yucatan from becoming a ocher of any European power; and in the next place, to nevent the white inhabitance of that territory from leang exterminated or expelled. Hardward of the latter, he informed the Senate that there as now raging a creal and devastating war, on the part of the lunius status white such as the property of the property of the property of the property of the other recommendation, he states that the soverage of Yucatan has offered to the execution, he states that the soverage of Yucatan has offered to the overnments of Yucatan has offered to the overnment of Yucatan has offered to the port of the other recommendation, he states that the social ment of Yucatan has offered to the governments of four Liu nu. Spain, and the United States, the dominion over the country, in order to obtain aid. The President also informs the Senter, that unless we grant aid, some other power well; and that ultimately, it may assert if a dominion and sovereigning over the irritant —

it may assert ifs dominion and sovercingity over the treation—se-result which, he informs us, would be in contravention of the de-laration of Mr. Monroe, and which must on no account be permit-ted. The committee on Foreign Relations, in order to carry out these recommendations, have report d a full which is now before us; the first section of which provides for taking unifiary accu-pation of Yacatan, as recommended by the President. Such are the recommendations of the President, and singlett-measure recommended by the committee. The subject is one of great magnitude. It is pregnant-with consequences, both near-said remotely which may deeply affect the person and increases at the stowed upon it full attention, and have arrived at a recursion of verse to the recommendations of the President, and the report of the committee. It propose to show in the first pance, that the gase verse to the recommendations of the President that the against the committee. I propose to show in the first place, that the guest of Yucatan, even as stated by the President himself, does not remewithin the declarations of Mr. Monroe, and that they do not make the slightest support to the measure reported by the countries.

nish the slightest support to the measure reported by the comittee.

In the message referred to, that of 1823, Mr. Monno make-three distinct declarations. The first, and by fir the smoot puputant, announces that the United States would regard any integration to part of the Allied Powers to extend their secondary, as dangerous to our peace and safety. To show that the ease of Veneran does not come within this declaration, all this will be necessary is to explain who were the Allied Powers, he or pet of their alliance, and to show the control of the control hance turned its eyes to this continent in order to and Spain in regaining her sovereignty over her revolted proximes. At this stage, England became alarmed, by the Craiming was then prime at the surface of the Craiming was then prime of the Craiming was to him at the same alarmed, the Craiming was to him at the same alarmed with the Craiming was to the Craiming of the Craiming o not feel ourselves safe from its interpositions. Indeed, it was auticipated, almost as a certain result, that if the interference took place with the governments of SouthAmerica, that the Alhance

would ultimed by note their sinterference to consistence. It remember the reception of these proch from Ir. Rely a distinctly as if all the cree sections of the control western. It was received by the cabinet. It was not all the proposed of all to see that the cachinetes were sont remed to see to make a other change at the cachinetes were sont remed to see to make a other change at the cachinetes were sont to make the commentations and by represent to give his separation of the control of

or not: but if it be a fact, it still remains to be shown whether that or not; but if it be a fact, it still remains to be shown whether that was intended to relieve the people of Yucatan, or for the purpose of seizing and occupying the territory. The chairman of that committee took higher ground, and, without assigning his proof, said boldly and distinctly, that England "arditated occupation of said boilty and distinctly, that England "distincted occupation to the country, and that we ought to pass this measure in order to prevent it. But the President limited [c.es not put it upor this ground. He does not make this charge. He says, if we do not grant sid, England may; and that after granting it, she may—there is no stronger expression used—may it mively assert her dominion and sovereignty over Yucatan. This is the minost charge made by the President. Now the magnitude are guaranteed in the president of the president and sovereignty over Vucataa. Thus is the utmost charge made by the President. Now, the question arise—appose this contingency should happen, would it bring the class with a the declaration just quoted? Not at all. England does not incopes as a hostile power. She does not come to oppress Yuesten. She comes at the request termination and expulsion by the Indiana, according to the statement of the President himself. Again, suppose Luclaind does assert her sovereignty, does that bring the east with a proper some source and all job of the declaration is does the president three points. at all [1] for the declaration is directed (guist interpositions to change the government and oppress the centry. But in this case the tender of sovereignty is voluntarily und so the part of Yuestan. The acceptance of a may be objected to and thing be contended that we might not to allow it. I wrive that subject to the present. I assert, however, without poss/clif yet contradiction, that the case even then does not come within the declaration. The President himself gives strong indications shad in his opinionit does not, for although he refers to this declaration in the body of the message, he does not say a word in regard to it with ne comes to make his recommendation; in that he calls upon Congress to prevent Yuestan from becoming a colony to some foreign power make his recommendation; in that he calls upon Congress to prevent Yuestan from becoming a colour to some foreign power—
That shows on which of the three declarations he rests his recommendation. It is upon the third and test, which releves to an entirely different subject. That declaration is, that the continents of America, by the free and independent condition which they have assumed and maintained, are not, henceforth, to be considered as subjects of colorization by any European power. It is upon this the President has so his recommendation. It has the case of Yuestan, then, compreheded in the declaration? I expect to show that it is not, with just as much certainty as it has been established that it does not come within the two former. has been established that it does not come within the two former.

and begon estimated and the state of the sta force of my argument, to go into a nistory area of this decentration of Mr. Blomco. It grew out of circumstances altogether different from the other two. At that time there was a question between Great Britain and the United States on one side and Russia on the other All three claimed settlements on the niter of the continuous of the other states. All three claimed settlements on the metrioses portion of this contract. Great Britain and ourselves source common interest in keeping Russia as far north as possible, the former power applied to the United States for ex-operation; and it was in reference to that matter that this additional declaration was made. It was said to be a proper opportunity to make "at. It had reference specially to the subject of the north-western settlement and the other portions of the continent were thrown in, because all the rest of it, with the exception of some settlements in Surinam, Maracaibo, and thereabout, had passed into independent hands.

Now, having stated the history of these transactions, I contend that the word "colonization," does not apply to the case of Yucaatan. That is the case of surrendered sovereignty over a people already there—a people who have transacted; it, and if accepted,

eath. That is the case of surremoved sovereigns over a propose ulready there—a people who have tradecel it, and if accepted, freely accepted on the other side. Is that "colonization?" Can it be construed to be so by any forced interpretation? No—by accepting it Yocatum any become a province, or to use the appro-priate term that she employs, a "possession" of Great British—

branch colony are employed. a possession of the colonial Relations When the charman of the Committee on Foreign Relations When the charman of the Committee on Foreign Relations are the sense of the colonial season of the colonial sense of the colonial season of the colonial originating, not with Mr. Adams, but Mr. Gomong, and was first presented in the form of a proposition from Enabland. I recollect, as distinctly as I do any event of my life, that all the papers in concetion with this subject, was submitted to by members before the cabinet met, and were duly considered. Mr. Adams then in speaking of the whole as one, must have reference to the declaration relative to colonization. As respects that Irs memory does not differ much from mine. My impression is that it never became a subject of deliberation in the cabinet. I so stated when a subject of present may be subject to the control of the cont

lieve, as has been stated, entirely with Mr. Adams, and it is, in my opinion, owing to this fact, that it is not made with the precision and clearness with which the two former are.—
It declares without qualification that these continents have asserted and maintained their freedom and independence, and are It declares without qualification that these continents have asserted and maintained their freedom and independence, and are no longer subject to eviouization by any European power. This is not strictly accurate. Taken as a whole, these continents had not asserted and maintained their freedom and independence. At that period Great Britain had a larger portion of the continent in her possession than the United States. Russia had a considerable southern portion of this continent. The declarence portions on the southern portion of this continent. The declarence portions on the first continent of the southern portion of this continent. The declarence portions on the southern portion of this continent. The declarence portion of the southern portion of this continent. The declarence with the foregoing declarations. I speak no in the language of censure. As to them in concert with England, on a proposition coming from bersell—a proposition of the atmost magnitude, and which we felt at the time to be essentially connected with our peace and safety; and of course it was due to propriety as well as policy that this declaration should be strictly in accordance with British feeling. Our power then was not what it is now, and we had taken. We had then only about six or seven millions of people-scattered, and without such means of communication as we now possess, to bring us together in a short period of time. The declaration with repeat to colonization striking at England as well as Researd, eace editione to be re, and that to such an extent that Scatterin with respect to colonization striking at England as well as Researd, eace editione to be a full to such an extent that Scatterin with respect to colonization striking at England as well as Researd. russed, gave opposed to not, and that to shot an extent that sho Now, I will volume to say that it things the Russian question.— Now, I will volume to say that it things the Russian question that cautious cabinet—by Mr. Mouroe was among the wisest and must eautions men I have ever known—it would have been modified, and been expressed with a far greater degree of precision, and with much more delicately in reference to the feelings of the

Bitish government.

In stating the precise character of these declarations, and the manner in which they originated, I have discharged a double duty, a duty to ny county, to whom it is important that these declarations should be correctly understood, and a duty to the cabinet of which I was a member, and am now the only surrivor. I remove a false interpretation, which makes sade and proper declarations that the contraction of the contraction of the contraction.

But it is not only in these respects that these famous declarations are misunderstood by the chief magistrate of the country, as tions are mismoerstoon by the easer imagistrate or the well as by others. They were but declarations—nothing more—declarations, announcing in a friendly manner to the powers of the world we should regard certain acts of interposition of the allied powers as dangerous to our peace and safety; interposition of European powers to oppress the republies which had just arisen European powers to oppress the reputative which had just arisen upon this continent, as manifesting an unfriendly disposition, and that this continent laying become free and independent, was no longer the subject of colonization by European powers. Not one word in reference to resistance. There is nothing said of it; and with great property was it omitted. Resistance belonged to us, to Congress; it is for us to say whether we shall resist or not, and the whole areas. But such a not the property to the property was in the property of the to what extent. But such is not the view taken by the present chief magistrate. He seems to hold these declarations as imposing a solemn duty on him as chief magistrate to resist on all occaing a socian only on min as ener maristrate to resist on an occasions; and no only to resist, but to judge of the measure of that resistance. He tells us in this very message that it is not to be permitted in any event that may foreign power should occupy Yucatao. That is language for us to hold, not for the chief magistrate. And in conformity with that, he sends in a message with-rate. rate. And in consumity with finit, he sense in a message within our giving its one particle of evidence as to those great political considerations. Which influenced the cabinet decisions as stated on this floor, in declaring whether we shall occupy the country or not. I speak it not in the way of censure. I state it only as a matter of fact, deducible from the message itself, and as evineing matter of fact, deducible from the message itself, and as evineing undoubtedly a great and dangerous misconception of these celebra-ted declarations. But that is not all. He tells you in the same message, that these declarations have become the settled policy of message, that these declarations have become the settled policy of his equatry. What, the declarations 2 becharations are not policy and cannot become settled policy. He must mean that if has become the settled policy of this country to resist what these declarations refer to; to resist, if need be, by an appeal to arms. Is this the faat? Has there heen one instance in which these declarations have been carried into effect? If there be, let it be pointed out. Have there not been immunerable instances in which they have not been applied? Certainly: and more. The declarations, inder this broad interpretation, were discrowed entartions, mider this broad interpretation, were discrowed entartions, ander this broad interpretation. were discrowed entartions of the republican party, when the administration of the control the proportion of the company of the proportion of the control of the control of the subject. And let me say—for it is proport that I should make the declaration on this occasion—that there has been an entire revolution between the two parties in this country in reference revolution between the two parties in this country in reference to our foreign relations. At the commencement of our government, and down to a late period—I will mark it—the commencement of Juckson's administration, the policy of the republican party was to avoid war as long as war could be avoided, and to resort to every means to avoid war as long as war could be avoided, and to resort to every means to avort its calimatics. The opposite party, without being a war party, had not so decided an aversion. revolution between the two parties in this country in reference to war. The thing is now reversed; and hence, I, who have en-deavored to maintain the old ground of the party for years on all questions connected with our foreign relations, have been compelled to co-operate with gentlemen on the opposite side, and to resist

those in the midst of whom I stand. Now it is not and never has been those in the miss to woom I stand. Again I short and never has seen the established policy of the country. And if it should ever become so, to the wide extent to which these declarations have been interpreted to go, our peace would ever be disturbed—the gates of our Janus would ever stand open—wars would never cease.

Jamus would ever stand open—wars would never cease. What the President has asserted in this case, is not a principle belonging to these declarations; it is a principle, which in his misconception he attempts to engraft upon them, but which has an entirely different meaning and tendency. The principle which lies at the bottom of his recommendation is, that when any power on this continent becomes involved in internal warfare, and the weaker which the continent becomes involved in internal warfare, and the weaker which the continent becomes involved in internal warfare, and the weaker which was a white the continent becomes involved in internal warfare, and the weaker which was a white the continent becomes involved in internal warfare, and the weaker which was a supplied to the continent this deconsent becomes the consideration of the first side chooses the consideration to us for support, we are bound to give them support for fear the officer of the sovereignty of the country may be made to some other power and accepted. If goes infinitely and the source of the source of the containt in the power of the countries of this continent to make us a party to ull their wars; and hence, I say, if this broad interpretation be given to these decharations we shall forever be involved in

But in disavowing a principle which will compel us to resist every case of interposition of European powers on this continent, I would not wish to be understood as defending the opposite, that we should never resist their interposition. That comment, I would not wish to be inderstood as delending the opposite, that we should never resist their interposition. That is a position which would be nearly as dangerous and about as the other. But no general rule can be laid down to guide us as the other. But no general rule can be laid down to guide us case must be decided to a sown as the state of the control of the contro auministrations ever since I have been connected with the govern-ment, to let Cuba remain there; but with the fixed determination which I hope never will be relinquished, that if Cuba pass from her it shall not be into any other hands but ours. This, not from a feeling of ambition, not from a desire for the extension of domnion, a teening or amotion, hot irom a desire for the extension of dominion, but because that island i sindspensable to the safety of the United States, or rather, because it is indispensable to the safety of the United States of state that this island should not be in certain hands. If it were not state that the stand should not be included by control would, in case or dwar, be cut in twain, to be followed by control would, in case of war, be cut in twain, to be followed by control wite effects. In the same category! will refer to a case in which we mage the terms of the same category will refer to a case in which we mage the terms of the same category in the first of the same category in the first of the same category in the first of the same category in the same category in the first of the same category in the same cat in the midst of party exertement, when a large portion of both parties were opposed to annexation; and when it was difficult, if not impossible to get a lair fearing. I find in ere supposed, as has been stated on this Boor, that Great Britain intended to subject Texas to her power. That was not my dread. What was dreaded was this: Pexas being a small power, and Great Britain laiving a free and large commercial intended to subject though the gradually bave been weaned of her of our bone and less of our fless, "she would gradually bave been weaned of her us, would have been the result. That is the inevitable tendency between nations baving coterminous limits. At that very time there were several questions between this country and Texas, which, had it not been for the most amicable feelings which subsisted between us, would have ended in hostilities. A long line of more than a thousand miles illy defined the boundary between us and Texas, to become involved constantly in war with her, supported by Great Britain and Mexico as her allies. all this—I saw clearly, that it was a case to resist interposition, and that there was no other mode by which resistance could be made, except by annexation, and therefore I was in favor of an-

But I was asked by one of the members of the Committee on But I was asked by one of the members of the committee on Pereign Relations, if I would be in tayor of resisting Great Eri-tain if she sould assert sovereignty and dominion over Yueatan? I answer, I would not. And for irresistible tensons. I would not, because the country is, to a great extent, a most worthlead one. Nearly one half is destitute of a single stream—rooky and one. Neariy one hall is destitute of a single stream—rows and barren throughout the greater part; and it is only by means of the artificial reservoirs of water, that they are enabled to live through the dry season. I would not, because the possession of Yucatan would contribute nothing to the defence of the passage Yacatan would contribute nothing to the detence of the passage between it and Cuba, which is represented to be so important to our commerce. It is not without its importance—it is important to the inward trade, but not at all to the outward trade of the gulf. There is a constant current off wind and water setting in that di-rection, of which wassing guing to New Orleans, or any other port rection, of which vessels going to New Orleans, or any other port on the gulf, may avail themselves. But no coming from those ports, they almost invariably take their way between Florida and Cuba, the planest invariably take their way between Elprida and Cuba, and thus the passage between Yuentan and Cuba is the inlet to the gulf, but not the outlet from it. That is entirely, or nearly so, between Cuba and Florida. I speak in reference to coasting vessels in the regarded of the properties of the companion of Yuenta they are of outlet, the coequipation of Yuenta they are of outlet, the coequipation of Yuenta they are of outlet, the coequipation of Yuenta they are of the properties of the

of ports-there is not a frigate port laid down in the charts on the of ports—there is not a frigate port laid down in the charts on the whole Peniasula, unless that at the Bailge be so. But with or without Yucatan, Great Britain possesses an uncontrollable power over the passage whenever she chooses to exert it. If ever we should be engaged in a war with her, there is not a single vessel of ours, even if we were in possession of Yucatan, that could enter the gulf by that passage. The passage from the gulf between Vucatan and Cuba does not, as seems to be supposed, lead directly into the Athantic, but into the Carrbean sea, which is that portion of the Athantic, but into the Carrbean sea, which is that portion of the Athantic ocean, having on its north and west side Yucatan and Cuba; on the east the Windward Islands; and on the south all that portion of South America extending nearly from Oranca. tan and Cuba; on the east the Windward Islands; and on the south all hiat portion of South America extending nearly from Oronco to Yucatan. Great Britain has the complete command of that sea, the island of Jamaica being in the middle of it. Jamaica abounds with the finest ports, and the most commodious naval stations. In addition to that, she has the Balize, which is nearer the point of Yucatan than Laguna or Carmen on the opposite side of the Peninsula next the gulf, and which is the only port on that side in which even a sloop of war can enter, and of course the Balize is better calculated to command the passage. In addition, she possesses many of the Windward Islands to the east, and hence the complete command of the Carribean sea, and will conduct the complete command of the Carribean sea, and will conthe presence among of the Windward Islands to the east, and home the complete command of the Carribean sea, and will continue to possess it so long as she retains the ascendancy on the ocean. It would be thus locked up effectually against us in time of war. In time of peace we do not need it. But I would not take military possession of Yueatan, if I were certain Great Britain would, for another reason. Not only because it is worthless, but because it would impose on us a very heavy cost both in men and money, first to take possession and then to keep it. The extent to which our expenditures would go no man constitute to the continue to the factions and the war with Mexico, have become accustomed to them, and possessed of some military knowledge. They are represented as very active, espable of inarching rapidly, and in the habit of flying to the mountains to escape from their pursuers. In

resenced as very active, espanie of marching rapidly, and in the habit of diping to the mountains to escape from their pursuers. In that climate, among the most arid upon earth, when these people fly before as, how or when will this war come to an end? It may prove another Seminole affair. Who can answer what will be the

sacrifice of men and money?

But an Indian war would not be the greatest danger to which But an Indian war would not be the greatest sanger to wanted we would be exposed. To attempt to take military possession, with a view to prevent England from asserting sovereignty and dominion over the country—if that indeed be her intention—would bring us into certain conflict with her, and, it may be, with Spain, too. They, as well as we, are implored to accept the sovereignty, on condition of defending the existing government against the Intoo. They, as well as we, are implored to accept the sovereignty, or condition of defending the existing government against the Indians. Suppose they, as well as we, should accept the offer, and that we should find them with an armed force, prepared to take passession? Must it not lead to a direct conflict of arms, unless one or the other gives way? Would we be prepared, in such a case, to back out? And if not, what reason have we to suppose that others will not be as resolute to carry out their object as we that others will not be as resolute to carry out their object as we the result, is anticinated by Mr. Sierra himself, who, speaking in reference to it says that the condition of the country would be, in that case, "infinitely more unfortunate than it is now, because in addition to all the evils of the present war it would be exposed, on the other hand, to become the theatre of another war." Are we prepared to occupy the country by military force, as recommended by the committee, at the risk of so great a hazard? I am ont. I am in favor of peace, whenever it can be maintained consistently with the bonor and the safety of the country. I can see no such necessity in this case, even on the supposition stated, as to induce me to incur such hazard, especially at a period like the present. Never was the future more uncertain. Events occur with electric rapidity. No man cantrol what may come to-more, wand aever was there a time when caution was more necessary—when there was stronger inducement to husband our resource—to avoid quarrels and wars, or any thing that can involve sources-to avoid quarrels and wars, or any thing that can involve sources—to avoid quarrets and wars, or any thing that can involve us in difficulty in order to stand prepared to meet emergencies as they arise. He who looks abroad—he who looks at the eastern horizon, and does not see the necessity for eaution, is blind to the

I would not take military possession even under the contingency I would not take military possession even under the contingency. I have stated, for another reason. It would be a breach of good faith. Not long since we agreed upon the terms of a treaty with Mexico. That treaty, before this time, has been acted on, or is about to be acted on, by the Mexican government, and until it is acted on we are bound in good faith to observe it. If it is acted on favorably, it becomes a permanent obligation. We have considered Vuestan as part of Mexico, as one of the States of the Mexican republic. It is not comprehended within the line which is proposed to be drawn between us and her. We could not seize upon that State in conformity with good faith; nor could we used to be drawn when the state of t in conformity with the armistice, for the same reason. mistice makes some exceptions, but this is not one of them.

I have now stated my reasons against the measure reported by the committee to carry into effect the message of the President, recommending that we should adopt the measure to prevent Yucatan from becoming a colour of a feeting many many and the confrom becoming a colony of a foreign power. I now proceed to consider the next—to adopt measures to prevent the white population from being exterminated or expelled from Yucatan. And here let me

express my regret, that the President should, in the same message, unite two measures of such different characters; one an appeal to our humanity, which I would as far as we could with propriety act upon promptly and at once. The other, involving the highest consider ations of policy, and which requires much time and much delibera arous of powers, and when requires much time and much demorta-tion. It is among the most complicated questions ever presented to this body, and by no means the least important. Why these differ-ent questions have been miggled, I am not prepared to say. The emergency for the one seems to have existed long before the other. Danger to the white population has been known to exist since the middle of February, but the message has only been recently commindiated to us. During this long interval, if the case appealing to our humanity had been brought forward, we might long ere this have rendered efficient aid. But whatever may be the effects of the delay in reference to these Yucatanese, they are not chargea-Higher considerations in reference to us-considerations of policy, demand of us deliberation, and that deliberation, I trust, he given, in despite of the charge of unnecessary delay.

I pass on to the question of humanity.

If this be a war of races in reality—if the white race be not responsible for this war-if they have used all manly exertions, and exhibited due courage in repelling the dauger, strong indeed would be the appeal to my sympathies. I have no aversion to any would be the appear to my sympatties. I have no aversion to any race, red or black, but my sympathies are for the white race. I have not been so much sophisticated by misgaided philosophy or false philanthropy, as to lose the natural feelings which belong to me. I go farther. If this is a case of war between races—if the Indians have without just cause, risen and threatened the massacre and exter-mination of the white race, who have acted so generously towards them, as to raise them from the condition of slaves or seris to the condition of citizens and freemon-this would present a strong case on the score of policy for interposition, connected with considerations belonging to progress, civilization, and liberty. It was the Spanish or white race, and in that we include the mixed races, who overthrew the Spanish power, and have throughout evinced the greates! attachunder all circumstances to republican government, refusing to yield in any degree according to the well known Spanish charac-ter. They have all the wealth, and comprise nearly all the intelli-gence of the country; and on their ascendancy, in my opinion, de-pends the future progress of civilization and liberty of Yucatan. It s true, they are not very elevated in their sentiments, nor very well informed on political subjects; but they are far better informed, and far more elevated in sentiment, than the Indian race can possibly be. If they can maintain themselves, there is some hope that Yucatan may go lorward, that intelligence may increase, and that, at some fature day, they may be prepared to look for a higher position than at present. If the white race be overthrown, and Indian ascendency established, there will be a directly opposite tendency to end in a despotic government, like that of Hayti. Perhaps, a capable man may at first be elevated to power, and may govern tolerably well, but it will undoubtedly follow the course of Hayti. The tendency of power will be downwards, until it come down to the very bottom, and end in a savage state

But if there are powerful considerations why we should interfere but a there are powerful considerations why we should interiere as far as we could with propriety for these reasons, there are very powerful ones why we should act with great caution. The case of Yucatan does not stand alone. All the causes operating there to produce the present state of things, are operating in all the portions of this continent south of us, including Mexico, down on the eastern side of the Andes to Buenos Ayres, and on the western to Chili. All, all, are in great danger of falling into the condition in which Any art, are in great usinger of fating into the condition in which Yucatan is now placed. The history of all has been the same. The white and mixed races led in casting off the yoke of Spain. They, every where, elevated the Indian race to an equality with themselves. It was done most imprudently, and conveys a with themselves. It was done most imprudently, and conveys a solemn lesson. They conferred upon the Indians full political rights, subjecting them at the same time to unequal civil bur-While they gave them the power of voting-the highest political power—they imposed a tax upon them exclusively of a most oncrous character, so as to throw almost the whole burden of most outcome cuaracter, so as to time almost the whole burean of half period in the government and the church upon them. If the order half period is the government and the church upon them. The order dealt out to them more sporringly political rights, elevating the more intelligent, and extending the basis of suifarge as the intelligence of the Indian population increased, a very different result might have taken place. All these South American states consists of the same population, whites, mixed, and Indians. The African pop-ulation is small. All will, I lear, he agriated in turn. The whole of thom, it is to be feared, will be subjected to one melancholy late, and be overthrown in spite of all that we may do. But I trust that it may be otherwise. The magnitude of this subject, I trust that it may be otherwise. The magnitude of this subject, however, should teach us caution. Whatever we do in this case. we set a precedent; we allim a principle; and every one knows the force of precedents and asserted principles upon a population hike that of our country. You will have to follow it in all other cases. Even now, Venezuela is involved in a war every way similar. How it may end we know not. Gantanalo bus considerations of the country of similar. How it may end we know not. Gustamala has gone through the process. She is already under Indian authority. A man of remarkable character, it is said, is at the head of the governmentthings may go very well in his time, but how they are to manage afterwards who can tell? Look at the subject. Are we to declare now by our acts that, in all those cases, we are to interpose by force of arms if need be-and thereby become involved in by lorce of arms it need be—and thereby become involved in the fate of all these countries? Ought we set such a precedent? No. The first duty of every nation is to itself, and that is the case preeminently with the United States. They owe a high duty to them-

selves. To pursue a line of pelicy what will secure their liberty, The success of their great political system will be off infinitely more service to mankind than the securing of the assendancy of the white race in the southern portion of this continent, however important that may be. But if is, stend of pursoing this wise policy, such a course be entered upon as that recommended in the message of the President, I lear that somer or later the ruins of our government will be added to those which have fallen within the last few months. But while I see the greatest reason for caution, I think that this government, upon all occasions, ought to give encouragement and countenance, as far as it can with safety, to the ascendancy of the white races—that it ought to be the guardian of the civilization, progress, and liberty of this Outlined the reference to those portions of its where they are ex-posed to this Anager. I will not say that in no case should we ever pive them military aid, but if there be a case which will justify that, it must be no extraordinary one, to be judged of by its in-trinsic merits, and not governed by a general rule.

I have said that if this be a case of war between races—if the

white race be not responsible for it-if they have been patriotic and courageous in their own defence, it would present a strong appeal to my sympathy. Is it a war of races? I have exam-ined the case with all the lights before me, and I shall now state the conclusion to which I have arrived. It is now, I believe substantially a war of races, but was not so at the beginning. It there have been violent factions, accompanied by a disposition on the part of the factions to call in the aid of the Indians; and in the part of the factions to call in the aid of the Indians; and in order to obtain their aid as voters, certain promises were made to them which have not been well falfilled. It would seem that in this case one of the factions, to secure the Indian vote, premised the reduction of the capitation tax—an enormous burden which presses them to the earth. The conflict originated, it is said, in this wise: in the contest for power between Mendez and Barbachino, the partizans of the former, about Campeachy, made proposals to the Indians to reduce the capitation tax. Mendez in conse-quence of these overtures obtained the aid of the Indians and was elected governor. But when he got into power he did not fulfil his promises. Instead of removing the taxes, he enforced their collection rigidly, which produced some disturbance.— It seems farther—for this is an inference rather than a statethat the question of the war between the United States and Mexico entered into the quarrel, and that Barbachno leaned to the side of Mexico, while Mendez took the side of neureality, which preponderated. It would also seem that the Indian opposition was at first feeble. In the midst of the conflicts of the contending factions, it grew and became at length so important and threatening, as to occasion alarm and consternation tant and threatening, as to occasion alarm and consternation. There was almost no display whatever of courage on the part of the white population; and very little evidence of patriotism throughout the whole allair. All this tends very much to weaken my sympathies. Were the case confined to the male population, I should have little or none. But there are helplass women and children, whose wretched condition, on the score of humanity, demands interference. I may add, that there is some information inducing the helief, that it is not altogether even now a war of races. Barbachino is now in power, and such has been a war of races. Barbachino is now in power, and such has been the violence of faction, that a large portion of the forces of Mendez has withdrawn from the army. on the change in the govern-ment. I will state in this connexion, what perhaps should have been said before, that the intelligence brought by a late arrival at been sain denote, that the intelligence brought by a late mirror at New Orleans, establishes beyond all controversy, that England has not been implicated in the allair. It appears that even the British settlemen at the Baltize is threatened by Indians—that the last captured city is not more than one hund ed miles distunt from that settlemen—and that a dispatch had been sent for additional troops from Jamaica. This apprehension of an attack, had resulted from the British settlement having sent down a few vessels to the const of Yucatan, to pick up the miserable lugitives. If there had been any suspicion as to the conduct of that settle ment, or British subjects in that quarter, these facts ought to put

an end to them forever.

How far ought we to go then on the score of humanity? I think that all the naval force which we can spare should be sent to relieve these helpless people, and that we should supply food and raiment for their present necessities, and convey them whereshould do all that humanity requires. But I cannot agree to carry out the provisions of a bill which authorizes the Presicarry out the provisions of a bill which authorizes the President to use the army and navy to take military occupation of the contry. No considerations of humanity, or of the ascendancy of the white race in Vincatan, justily, in my opinion, the adoption of such a course of policy. It is now clear that the white population, including the mixed race, is so prestrated and feeble, and the Indians so powerful, that not a hope remains of re-establishing the permanent ascendancy of the whites. We can, doubtless, by force, subject the fludinas and reinstate the whites in power; but the manner that, was withdown the but the moment that we withdraw the former state of in nower : in power; but the moment that we strength or negated in this things will recent. We will thus be perpetually engaged in this work. Now, I am not willing to incor the danger and the cost of maintaining the ascendancy of the whites. I am not willing to have this task, which does not belong to us, attached to our government.

I come now to the amendment of the Senator from Mississippi, [Mr. Davis.] As between the bill and the amendment, I prefer the bill. They both propose the same thing. It is true the amendment says only that the President shall have the power, without prescribing what the President is todo with it. But the President has rold us what he will do. He has told us as clearly as if it was put in the amendment. His object is to take military occupation of Yucatan—temporary to be sure, but it must end in permanent occupation. Now I would rather do that with our own sanction directly, which the President proposes to do, than under the cover of this amendment. Nor and I reconciled to the unreadment by the preamble offered by the Senator rom Alabama. I think the Senator had not seen all the documents when he offered that preamble. It does not reach the case. It presupposes an obligation white rise there, because we had so eriplied Mexico that site could not afford them protection. I believe I state the substance of the preamble correctly.

## Mr. LEWIS assented.

Mr. CALHOUN.—The case is very different from what the reamble supposes. Yucatan does not look to Mexico for protecpreamble supposes. Yucatan does not look to Mexico for protec-tion. On the contrary, they are more alarmed at the danger they have to fear from Mexico than from the Indians. Unfortunately for themselves, they assumed a position of neutrality, or, as they say, of independence. They thereby became traitors in the eye of say, of independence. Mexico; and no doubt they will be held responsible as such. Hence Detaction, and no donot they will be nefor responsible as such. Hence we see, Mr. Sierra makes a strong and powerful remonstrance against the treaty with Mexico. Nay, he goes so far as to say that as a matter of good faith, that the United States should not permit Yucatan to be sacrificed. There has been, in my opinion, a good deal of mismanagement in reference to this whole affair. The people of Yucatan were recognized as neutrals or not, just as suited the pleasure of the President. So far as the collection of revenue was concerned, they were not neutral; \$\frac{8}{3}\$ far as the importation of arms into the country was concerned, they were treated as belligerents, and the arms were made contraband of war, lest they should be transferred to Mexico. What has been the effects? Among them has been this, and they complain of it, that they have been kept destitute of arms and means whereby to defend themselves with the strength of the in this contest. On reviewing the whole case, however, I think that the white population of Yucatan, have, in a great measure, themthe white population of Yucatan, have, in a great measure, them-selvest by the property of th clared themselves to be our friends, stood by with arms folded! Thus have they incurred a very heavy responsibility. They ought to have given no countenance to their claim of neutrality and treated them as one of the Mexican States, or ought to have fully recognized their neutrality and independence. On the contrary, by regarding them as a Mexican State or as a neutral and independent power, as best suited their convenience, they have placed the people of Yucatan in an awful condition, by leaving them unprotected from Heatern of the district of the

Mr. BAGBY.—I wish to say a few words in reference to this subject, but as it is now late perhaps it would be more agreeable to the Senate that the subject should be pussed by for the present. I move that the further consideration of the bill be postponed until to-morrow.

Mr. CASS.—Will the honorable Senator allow me to make one remark to the Senator from South Carolina; to state one fact which, perhaps, has escaped the recollection of the honorable Senator, which is, that there is a very excellent harbor at the extreme point of Yacatan. I saw a map the other day which shews one of the best harbors that there is to be found in all that region, having four fathoms and a half of water. The map that I refer to I consider to be the very best authority; it was in the possession of an officer recently returned from the golf, Liout. Porter.

Mr. CALHOUN.—I have not spoken on this subject without taking pains to be thoroughly informed. That which the Senator represents as a port, is not a port; it is an open harbor. If I were to name the gentleman on whose authority I relied. I am sure it would satisfy the Senator. I may name him—Lieut. Manry. But this does not touch the question. Let the gentleman answer the reasons I have assigned for the position that, even with Yacatan in our bands, in case of a war with England that entrance to the gulf would be completely closed against us in consequence of her command of the Carribona sea.

Mr. CASS.—I will answer very readily, and I think clearly. The ceast on the extreme point of Calu is an excellent coast, and notwithstanding the information of Lieutenant Maury. I will venture to say, but there is an excellent nathro on eastern Yucatan. Now, in respect to the effect of this, the goll stream we all know enters the Carribean sea between Cuba and Yucatan. Of course vessels readily enter here, and by the power of steam will as ready make their exit. With the possession of the two points on the opposite side of this channel, we can contend successfully against any power. The narrower the channel, the greater will

be our ability to command the navigation of the channel. It know that cruisers may come in between Cuba and Florida, but it is very difficult for them to do so. I repeat that notwithstanding the information of Lieut. Maury, or any body else, there is a har bor, and an excellent one on the coast of Yueatan.

Mr. CALHOUN in reply stated that Great Britain already possessed the command of the entrance to the gulf, and that in the event of war with her not a single vessel of our seould enter.

Mr. CASS.—One moment. The distinguished gentleman speaks of the ascendancy of England on the ocean. If that ascendancy is to be gnarded against, I trust that i will be so most effectually by the ascendancy which we will ourselves the most of the action as very successful contest with her already, and I for think we have reason to dread her superiority upon the ocean. But it is our interest to take care that she does not occupy positions still including us like lines of circumvallation around a fortres. It is our duty to ourselves, and to those who come after us, to prevent his if we can. Now, what is clearer, than that fine barbor on the point of Yucatan would enable us to command the entrance into the gulf? It would be of immense advantage; but give Great Britain that position and the point of Cuba, and she can shut the chancel against us.

Mr. CALHOUN.—The gentleman says that we must contend with the naval supremacy of Great Britain. Well, that is a great proposition. I do not dony it. But that is a different question altogether from the defence of this passage. If the gentleman and the same of the same state of the same state of this passage, and the same state of this Yucatan war. Let him plant the navy into an efficient condition. If he aims at commanding the American seas, let him indulge no longer in his warlike enterprizes, which exhaust the means that ought to be applied to the support of the naval force.

Mr. CASS.—The distinguished Senator will pardon me, but I do not precisely understand what his views are respecting the nature of re-colonization.

Mr. CALHOUN.-I said nothing about it. I spoke of colonization.

Mr. CASS.—But I desired to ask what the Senator's views are. Will he allow me to ask him if he considers Jamaica a colony of England?

Mr. CALHOUN .- It is a "possession" of England.

Mr. CASS-I should like to know, then, the meaning of the word "colony."

Mr. CALHOUN.—How far Janusica is a colony of England, it is not necessary to discuss. The expression in Mr. Monroe's message is "colonization." Colonization is the act of colonization or sending out inhabitants to a country, to colonize, to settle peacefully in a country. I ask if the conquest of a country is colonization.

Mr. CASS.—The act of conquest is not colonization, but the moment you conquer and reduce a country to subjection, you have a colony. The doctrine for which Mr. Morroe contended was, that European powers should not plant colonies on this continent.

Mr. CALHOUN .- Should not colonize

Mr. CASS.—Very well; that they should not colonize. Mr. Monroe distinctly disavowed interference with existing rights. What did be mean; if colonization did not mean going somewhere where they had no right to go? They could not found any mones; if they had not the right to establish colonies in their own

Mr. CALHOUN.—I shall restate the case and the goutleman may make as much as he pleases of it. The language of Mr. Polk is this: that obtaining aid from Great Britain, Yucatan may tender her her sovereignty, and Great Britain may assert dominion over the country; in one word, connecting this expression with the document itself, it means that if Great Britain sends a force there, and obtains the sovereignty of Youcatan, it will be a case of "colonization." Would it be so? Not at all. It would he a case of tendered sovereignty, accepted by Great Britain.

The further consideration of the bill was then postponed till tomorrow.

Mr. CALHOUN.-No.

Mr. FOOTE.—It is quite immaterial whether the Senator submits to be chatechized or not, as I perceive it to be altogether anexy task to show, without the aid of any response from birn, that he has committed a mistake in regard to the meaning of a plane and the property of the property

rent country, or maintaining certain filial relations therewith indicative of its origin." According to the Senator, Yucatan would not become a British colony were the country to pass into her permanent possession in the manner apprehended by many of us, though it should hereafter become ever so densely propled by British settlers, carrying with them into this new field of colonial carprise, British institutions and British laws, and remaining under the protection and control of the parent nation Colonization, we learn from the Senator from South Carolina, now for the first time learn from the Senator from South Carolina, now for the first time certainly with some of us, can only take place in a region which chances at the time to be utterly void of inhabitants. If the Senator has fallen into no error upon this interesting point, then will it be very easy to show that the genuine colonizing process has never gone on at all in the world; for, certainly, the pages of authentic history furnish no account of the migration of any considerable number of human beings from a peopled country to noe destitute of inhabitants. Colonisis, organizing awastellements, have unformly found themselves surrounded by earlier inhabitants of the soil, whether aboriginal or not, and have been constrained either to conquer or conciliate the earlier occupants. In order to evade the interpretation affixed by the Senator from Michigan to the famous declaration of Mr. Monroe, it is evidently necessary to misconstrue the term "colonize" as the Senator from South Carolina has thought proper to do; but I warn those who are inclined to agree with him, that they have first to forget many of the most instructive and interesting scenes in hiserops and Cadmus, and Queen Dido-the Grecian colonies in Asia Minor along the Thracian Chersonesus—along the borders of the Euxine—in Southern Indy and France—in Africa, and the minerous isles which hespangle the Mediternanean, from the most easterly point of the Levant, even to the pillars of Hercules—the colonies of Genoa, Venec, and Florenee—of Britain, Spain, France, Holland, Portugal, and Denmark-all these we shall have to forget entirely before we can concur with the Senator from South Carolina, touching the true meaning of the term "colonize." We shall have even to disregard the history of our own forefathers, who recognized themselves as colonists of Great Britain, because they eams across the Atlantic under the sanction of the mother they can actions the Attainte under the sacrotion of the mointer country, and for a long time remained under her protection. We shall also have to overlook the obvious fact, that there is no part of North or South America where Spaniards have attempted to colonize, even where the famous "colonial system of Span" has colonize, even where the famons "colonial system of Spann" has been enforced, that was not densely peopled at the period when the first European settlements were attempted. It is impossible the first European settlements were attempted. It is impossible for the Senator to recover from the hopeless embarrassment in which he has deliberately plunged himself; either the plain doctrine of Mr. Morpoe must be utterly abandoned, or Great Britain he prevented from obtaining footing and dominion in Yucatan now

or at any future time, upon any pretence whatsoever.

### VENTILATION OF PASSENGER VESSELS.

On motion by Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, the Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the hill from the House of Representatives to provide for the ventilation of passenger vessels, and for other purposes.

The bill, having been amended, was reported to the Senate, and the amendments concurred in

Ordered, That the amendments be engressed and the bill read a third time

The said bill was read a third time as amended

Resolved. That this hill pass with amendments

Ordered, That the Sceretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in the amendments.

### PRIVATE BILL.

On motion by Mr. CAMERON, the prior orders were post-poned and the Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill from the House of Representatives for the re-lief of William P. Brady.

Mr. ATCHISON desired some reason for taking up this bill at this time, without waiting for its regular turn when private bills should be considered?

Mr. CAMERON explained that Mr. Brady was a poor man, who had spent his all in the service of the country, and that even his household goods were now under execution, and in the hands of a constable. The pension granted him by the bill, would be the means of saving him from utter ruin.

Mr DIX desired to know why the pension allowed in this ease was greater than usual?

Mr. ATCHINSON, also, desired to know how it bappened that this case did not come within the general provisions of the pension

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, called for the reading of the report accompanying the bill, which gives all the particulars of the case, and it was read by the Secretary.

The bill was then reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved That this bill pass

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representa-

On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# TUESDAY, MAY 16, 1848.

### PROCEEDINGS OF THE PEA PATCH ARBITRATION.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate, a report of the Secretary of the Senate communicating the reasons essigned by the printers to Congress for the delay in printing the proceed-ings on the Pea Patch Arbitration; which was read and ordered to be printed.

### PETITIONS.

Mr. D1X presented the memorial of J. Heward & Son, praying Mr. DIA presented the memorial of 3. Howard & 50h, praying that the privileges allowed to American steamers trading to the port of Havana, in Cuba, may be granted to Spanish steamers trading between that port and the United States; which was re-ferred to the Committee on Commerce.

Mr. STURGEON presented a memorial of citizens of Trenton, New Jersey, praying the removal of obstructions to the navigation of the Delaware river at the Periwig Shoals in that river; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce.

Also, the memorial of William Greer and Company, praying the patronage of the government to a periodical publication, called the "Mirror of the Patent Office;" which was referred to the Committee on Patents and the Patent Office.

Also, two memorials of citizens of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, Also, two memorias of citizeness of Finineeripina, Femisyivations praying the construction of a railroad between that city and New York, for the purpose of facilitating commercial intercourse and the transportation of the mail; which were referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Mr. BORLAND presented a memorial of citizens of Arkansas, praying the purchase of Mount Vernon by the government; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. LEWIS presented the petition of Elijah Pratt, praying ompensation for the use, by the government, of certain valves, in violation of his patent right; and the purchase of his invention by the United States for the Pontoneer service; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

## ADMISSION OF WISCONSIN.

Mr. DOUGLAS, from the Committee on Territories, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Ropresentatives for the admission of the State of Wisconsin into the Union, reported it without amendment.

Mr. DOUGLAS gave notice that he will ask for the consideration of this bill on Thursday next, as it is desirable that it be passed with the least possible delay.

## PRIVATE BILL.

Mr. NILES, from the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads, to whom was referred the memorial of Samuel W. Chil-son, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for his relief.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

## RECOMMITTAL.

On motion by Mr. DOUGLAS, it was

Ordered, That the bill to establish the Territory of Minasota be recommitted to the Committee on Territories.

## MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. CAMPBELL, their Clerk :

sentitures, by AIT. UAMPRELL, their Clerk:

Mr. Pecileur. The Bouse of Responsative have paided the bill from the Sonato requires the bolders of multisty land warrants to compensate like land officers of the United States for reviewise is relation to the location of those warrants.

They recede from their amendments to the bill of the Senate in amendment of an extensitied "An act. on amend the art cautied". An exit to related the method for the control of fined to the terminal "An act. on amend the art cautied" An act to related the miss of postage, of fined to the terminal "An act to all the state of the sta

They concur in the amendments of the Senate to the bill to provide for the weati-ation of passenger vessels, and for other purposes.

They have passed bills of the following titles:

An act to refund muney for expenses incurred, subsistence or transportation fur-nished, for the use of volunters during the present war, before being mustered and received into the service of the United States.

An act to continue, alter, and amend the charter of the City of Washington, io high they request the concurrence of the Sepate.

They have passed the bill of the Scatte extending privileges to American vessels engaged in a cortain mentional trade and for other purposes, with amendments in which they request the concurrence of the Sentie.

30TH CONG.-1ST SESSION-No. 80,

## SLAVERY IN TERRITORIES.

The Senate proceeded to consider the following resolutions submitted by Mr. BAGBY on the 25th and 27th January last :

mitted by Mr. BAORY on the 25th and 27th January last;

I. Reselved, Tax Congress has no constitutional power to abolish or to probibit slavery in any State or Territory in this Union.

J. Reselved, That Congress has a deplinate mode of acquiring territory, and so redefined to the control of the state of the state

Mr. BAGBY said that if no Senator desired to discuss the resolutions, he would ask for a vote upon them now.

Mr. BADGER inquired if the resolutions were now before the

# THE PRESIDING OFFICER replied in the affirmative.

Mr. BADGER then remarked that there were at present many other questions before the Senute, at least, of more pressing im-periance than the resolutions of the Senutor from Alabama. Be-sides, an opportunity would be afforded of discussing the subject matter of the resolution on various measures, which awaited the action of the Senate; and therefore with the highest respect for the source from which they had emanated, he moved to lay the resolutions on the table.

Mr. FOOTE asked the gentleman from North Carolina to withdraw his motion for a moment, in order that he might make a single remark.

Mr. BADGER assented on condition that the motion should be renewed by the Senator from Mississippi.

Mr. FOOTE then said that he, among others, desired to be beard at full length, before any action should be taken on the subject of the resolutions. In his opinion, it would be proper to pass the resolutions over for the present. But should the motion to lay upon the table he pressed, he felt bound to say that he should vote for it, because he believed that no good, but will would exact for it, because he believed that no good, but will would exact for its because he believed that no good, but will would exact for the property of the archiver at the present time. He from an extended discussion of the subject at the present time. He was not prepared at this time to assert authoritatively, even what might turn out to be sound doctrine on this momentous subject. Measures of the highest practical moment require the action of the Senate, which would be delayed, and might be embarrassed by a discussion of the resolutions. He therefore felt disposed to support the motion of the Senator from North Carolina, which he would renew

The motion having been again temporarily withdrawn-

Mr. BAGBY remarked that he had stated some days ago, that Mr. BAGBY remarked that he had stated some days ago, that after the indulgence which he had received at the hands of the Senate, when the resolutions should be called up, he should not propose to discuss them, unless some other Senator did. His views were entirely different from those of the Senator from Missispiri. He did not believe that there was any question involved in these resolutions, in regard to which the mind of the Senator was not as well made up now as could be at any time hereafter, or ever. In obedience to the pledge which he had given, he would not press a vote. If any gentleman desired to address the Senato. But if the motion to lay upon the time aloud the considerate was the service of the senator o upon that motion as a test question upon the principles involved in the resolution. He asked for the yeas and year

Mr. BADGER hegged that the Senator would allow him to make a single remark. The Senator from Alabama had said that the wished the vote upon the motion to be considered as a test vote. That was the very sense in which it was intended that the vote should be given; but it by no means followed that in voting to lay the resolutions on the table, any Senator pronounced an opiniou upon their subject matter. There were a great many truths in the resolutions in which he himself believed most firmly, but should most decidedly object to embody in that form, for the purpose of being pressed upon by the Senate. Without pronouncing any opinion weatever with regard to the resolutions—without saying whether he had, or had not, made up his mind to any one or all of them, his view in making the motion was, that no good could possibly result from the expression of the opinion of the Senate in that form upon the questions raised. It was with that view he made the motion to lay upon the table.

Mr. FOOTE remarked that in consequence of the observation of the Senator from Alabama, that he should consider this a test vote, upon the principle contained in the resolutions, he felt himself entitled to a word of explanation. In voting to lay upon the table he could not consider himself as voting against the resolutions. The Senator from Alabama was at liberry to place which the special consistency of the properties of the senate of the resolutions. Explicit declaration; but the believed that the public would hardly be inclined to concer with him, in regarding that vote as having being given on the subject matter of the resolutions, despite of the express and explicit announcement to the contrary. The Senator from Alabama seemed to suppose that the resolutions could be very easily acted upon—that they embraced very plain propositions—that they were in fact so simple that no gengthen. The gentleman's conduct, however, seemed to be somewhat at variance with that opinion, for he had made a very elaborate, ingenious, solid, learned, not to say convincing, argument on these very resolutions. Now, either the question was not so plain, when the Senator undertook its discession, and he had made them plain by involved the proposed of the contract o

Mr. DICKINSON also disclaimed the idea of the vote being rearded as a test. The resolutions were, to some extent, in conflict with those which he limiself had the honor to introduce some time since, although in some points they agreed. He desired to say something upon them, before the Senate acted upon them, and he should therefore prefer that the resolutions be passed over informally, or liad upon the table for the present.

Mr. WESTCOTT—I risc merely to say that I object to any voto on an incidental question, and not a direct vote on a measure proposed being made a test vote. I shall vote against the motion lay on the table. I shall do so not merely because I am in favor of the doctrines advanced in these resolutions, but because I regard a different coarse the most proper one. There are several different sets of resolutions on this subject before the Senate. One set offered by my colleague, Mr. Techny, Jun. However, and there is the store of the set offered by my colleague, Mr. Techny, and those the cancel of the set of the set of the set of the senate. One set offered by my colleague, Mr. Techny, and those the cancel of the set of the

Mr. BAGBY rose but yielded to

Mr. BADGER, who had risen simultaneously; and who said, I now renew my motion to lay on the table.

Mr. BAGBY said that he certainly had not yielded the floor for the purpose of allowing the Senator from North Carolina to cut him down. He hoped the motion would be withdrawn for a few

Mr. BADGER .- I will withdraw the motion if the Senator renew it, and would not withdraw it.

Mr. BAGBY could not promise to do that

Mr. BADGER said he supposed, then, he must yield and withdraw the motion.

Mr. BAGBY their repeated that he did not desire to prevent discussion; but on the contrary he had stated that if any Senator desired to discuss the resolutions, he should not press them to a vote until the Senator had been heard. He thought that the object of the Senator from North Carolina might be accomplished by passing over the resolutions informally.

Mr. BADGER replied that his express object was to prevent discussion; and without intending any disrespect to any gentleman, he would now press his motion to lay the resolutions upon the table.

Mr. BAGBY demanded the yeas and nays upon the motion to lay the resolution on the table, which were ordered, and it was determined in the affirmative, as follows:

YEAS—Nesses, Allen, Atkluton, Athirton, Badger, Benton, Breese, Bright, Cast, Clarke, Clayton, Dickswon, Dix, Felch, Foote, Greene, Hannegan, Johnson, of Lonissana, Miller, Moar, Nies, Roik, Stargeron, Underword, and Ephan—94. NATS—Mestr. Bagby, Calhonn, Davis, of Miscussippi, Honter, Lawis, Maton, Turoe), Westott, and Video-2.

So the resolutions were laid on the table.

JOHN MITCHELL.

On motion by Mr. UPHAM, the prior orders were postponed, and the Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of John Mitchell.

Mr. UPHAM successively stated the circumstances in which the bill had originated.

Mr. BREESE objected on the ground that the petitioner had not been in the service of the United States.

Mr. UPHAM explained that the petitioner at the time when the secident, by which he had been nutrilated, occurred, was acting inder the orders of an officer in the army of the United States. It was in firing a national salute at the city of New Orleans that the accident had happened to this individual. Pensions had been frequently granted in similar cases, without any objection being made. Had this individual been a soldier or a sailor in the service of the United States: and his case had come regularly within the pension laws, there would have been on need of legislation in his favor. He hoped that no objection would be made to the bill for the relief of this sufortunate man.

Mr. BRIGHT knew nothing of the particular merits of the bill except from what he had derived from a reading of the report; and unless he misunderstood that report, there was nothing in it tending to show that the petitioner had been at all connected with the United States. In his opinion, the passage of the bill would be a permicious precedent, under which persons injured by accidents in eclebrating political victories—accidents which frequently occurred—might appeal to Congress for rehef.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, remarked that it appeared from the report, that when the accident occurred, the petitioner was employed on board the United States steamship Alabama.

Mr. BREESE said that he did not know there was any such vessel in the service of the United States.

Mr. JOHNSON stated that the Alabama had been chartered by

the government.

Mr. DIX suggested that as the morning hour had expired, and as the principle involved in the bill appeared to be a new one, requiring some deliberation, the bill should be passed over infor-

Ordered, That the further consideration of the bill be postponed until to morrow.

## NAVAL PENSIONS.

On motion by Mr. YULEE, the prior orders were postponed, and the Senatu proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the When the Senature of the S

Mr. YULEE submitted an amendment to the bill, which was agreed to; and the bill was then reported to the Senate, and the amendment was concurred in.

Ordered, That the bill be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved, That it pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

## DEFERRED NOMINATIONS.

The Senate resumed the consideration of the resolution submitted by Mr. Johnson, of Maryland, on the 28th ult. respecting appointments by the President during the recess of the Senate; and

On motion by Mr. ALLEN, it was

Ordered, That the further consideration thereof be postponed until Thursday next.

## INDIANA STATE BONDS.

On motion by Mr. BRIGHT, the prior orders were postponed, and the Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill authorizing the Secretary of War to surrender certain bonds of the State of Indiana, held by the United States, to the agent of State, for said State of Indiana.

The amendments reported from the Committee on Finance were

Mr. CLAYTON said the bill directed the Secretaries of War and of the Treasury, to surrender to the agent of the State of Indiana, bonds of that State, amounting to \$265,519 38, being the principal and interest due them—these bonds being held in trust for the Chickanaw and other Indians. The bill also directed the Secretaries to receive in lien of the sum due the new stocks proposed to be issued, under the act of the legislature of Indiana, of January 19th, 646, and a supplement passed January 27, 1847. The value of the stocks to be raised in lieu of the bonds held now by the government in trust for the Indians may be understood only by reference to the legislature of Indiana.

by reference to the legislature of Indiana.

It was uncontrolled by a verteched precedent set by the government, to invest the money of these Indians in State hends. The bonds were hought at par. No interest has been paid on them since the 1st July, 1st Park Indians must, or ought to receive the whole sum from the 2st July, 1st Park Indians must, or ought to receive most not to bear any loss that may are from the investment. It was a better speculation, however, than the Arkanasa interest of the

Smithsonian legacy.

If the documents submitted to them were entitled to confidence If the documents submitted to them were entitled to confidence, the State of Indiana had done every thing in her power to settle her debts on the best terms for her ereditors. Her public and domestic delt was stated at \$51,5271,250, besides the sum secured by State bank bonds. By the acts of her legislature referred to, she has created new stocks to pay the debt—one-half to be paid by new State bonds, and the other half by Wahash and Eric canal stock. The European creditors of Indian have yielded their assent to this new arrangement, and many other creditors residing in this country have done the same. They creative it to he have the term of the same than the second of the same than the same t sent to this new airangement, and many other creditors residing in this country have done the same. They consider it the best that can be made for the ereditors of the State, and I am quite satisfied they are right in that opinion. The State can certainly pay five per cent. on one half her debt, and the great Wabash and Eric can alwhen completed, will, if the opinion of the engineer's, who have estimated its value be correct, eventually pay the other half. At any rate, this is the best bargain the government can now make, and I am strongly induced to support the bill, by the fact that the refusal of this government to accede to the airangement, would jeopard a measure eminently calculated to sustain the sinking credit of one of the sovereign states of this Union, and to save her from the stain of repudiation. All the States are deeply interested in saving ber credit, and sive is now making a noble effort to uphold it. I hope the bill may pass.

Mr. BRIGHT.—The effect of this bill is nothing more nor less than to grant an extension of time to the State of Indiana. There is nothing in the bill which can be construed into the grant of a gratuity to that State. She is unable, at present, to pay her debt, but is honest and anxious to pay it. She believes that by the operation of that act, transferring one-half the debt to the Wabash and Erie Canal, she will be able, in twenty years, to liquidate ber entire indebtedness.

The eight hundred thousand acres of land heretofore given by the general government will enable the State to complete the canal; and when completed, it will doubtless pay a fair interest upon the and when completed, it will doubtless pay a lair interest upon the investment. The presumption is, that it will be worth all that it has cost. If the least reliance can be placed upon the estimates which have been made, that will be the result. Provision is thus made for the payment of one-half of the debt; the other half it is made for the payment of one-half of the deht; the other half it is proposed, shall be paid by direct traxation; and, according to our estimate, founded upon the present basis of taxation, that indebtoness will be liquidated by the year '70 or '71. If the Indians for whom these bonds were purchased, should need the money between the present time and that period, it is possible that the general government will be called upon to step forward and liquidate the debt; but with the full asserance that by the time I have mentioned she would be fully reimbursed. The question, however, is whether the general government is not as much interested in the reputation of the several States, as it is in systaining its own credit. Indiana, in consequence of an unfortunate movement, became inpreputation, in on the severale of states, as it is mustaining its own credit. In a constraint of the common constraints of the constraints of the common constraints of the common constraints of the common constraints of the common constraints of the constraints

The bill was then reported to the Senate, and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the bill be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved, That it pass, and that the title thereof he as afore-aid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

### ADVANCES FOR VOLUNTEERS.

The bill from the House of Representatives to refund money for expenses incurred, subsistence or transportation furnished, for the use of volunteers during the present war, before being mustered and received into the service of the United States, was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

#### CHARTER OF THE CITY OF WASHINGTON

The bill from the House of Representatives to continue, alter, and amend the charter of the city of Washington, was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent.

Mr. MILLER asked that this bill be now considered, without the formality of a reference, it being, in effect, the same bill that had already been reported from the Committee on the District of Columbia

Mr. HANNEGAN had no objection to this course, provided it was understood that no debate would arise.

The Senate then proceeded to consider said bill, as in Commit tec of the Whole

No amendment being made, the bill was reported to the Senate Ordered, That it pass to a third reading.

The third reading of the bill, on this day, being objected to by Mr. ATHERTON, it was, under the rule, postponed until to-morrow.

### NEW YORK AND NEW ORLEANS STEAMERS.

The Senate proceeded to consider the amendments made by the House of Representatives to the bill extending privileges to American vessels engaged in a certain mentioned trade, and for other purposes; and

On motion by Mr. DIX, it was

Ordered That they be referred to the Committee on Commerco.

### THE VUCATAN BILL.

The Senate resumed the consideration, as in Committee of the Whole, of the hill to enable the President of the United States to take temporary military occupation of Yucatan.

Mr. BAGBY.—In the discussion of this measure, two points have been made, upon the establishment of either of which, it is deemed proper by some of those who are in favor of the measure, that it should be adopted.

The one, sir, is the point of humanity, and the other is the great point of public policy. In regard to the first I have but little to say, for, however much I may be in favor of this great and ennobling quality of the human soul, which is allied to laith and bore, and is said to be greater then either. I do not consider the is to we and is said to be greater than either, I do not consider that in my capacity as a legislator, a representative or a statesman, I have anything to do with dispensing charity. I shall, therefore, in the views which I propose to submit in regard to the measure which

views which I propose to submit in regard to the measure which is now pending before the Senate, place the position which I intend to assume mainly on the ground of policy.

I do not believe—and I never have believed—adthough there are a great many precedents, and of the very highest authority, to show that this rovernment has authority to assume the province of a great Almoner, though a man in his individual capacity may go to the atmost extent to which his feelings of humanity and becavelence may prompt him. Upon the questionantly and becavelence may prompt pending, I have clear, distinct, and decavelence may a prompt him. Upon the questionstructure of the province of the control of the government of production of this government or not. I believe that a case has occurred, and that the time has arrived, when it becomes necessary and proper for the government of the United States to assume a substantial, immovable, position in regard to this question. I have said that I shall not disease this question upon the great principle of immovable, position in regard to this question. I have said that I shall not discuss this question upon the great principle of humanity; but if I should be able to satisfy the Senate that principle can be host preserved and maintained by pursuing the course pointed out by a sound and enlighted policy. I shall derve from it an additional argument in favor of the adoption and pursuit of that course which I think the Senate should on this occasion adopt. I have been somewhat struck when the course which is considered to the structure of the senate to be taken of the manner in which the what is understood to be, and what is suscered to mendation of the President does not seem to be acting under that branch of his power in regard to this subject, which authorizes and enables hum to recommend certain measures for the and index that drained in spower in regard to this subject, which adoption of Congress; but under the one adoption of Congress; but under the one which makes it his duty from time to time to communicate to the Senate such information as he may deem proper in regard to the interests of the Union. This message, which has been so much dwelt upon, and especially by my honorable friend from Massachusetts, upon, and especially by my honorable friend from Massacbusetts, contains no recommendation from the Executive, but it simply communicates the information in bis possession, and very properly asks Congress to determine what course, under the circumstances of the case, it is proper to adopt. There is no recommendation, whatever, in the message. There is, it is true, the assertion of a great principle of policy, clear and distinct. The principle of mainly is asserted, which does credit to the other magistrate, and to every man in the Union. There is not a more praisewortny notive that an actuate man. But on neither the principle of humanity, nor on that of policy, does the President make any requisition upon Congress. Entirely the reverse; for, after comquisition upon Congress. Entirely the reverse; for, after com-numicating the facts, he says, in the concluding paragraph of the message, that he submirs it to the wisdom of Congress to do that

which may be necess ry in the premises.

I therefore shall not discuss this question as one emanating fr m Therefore shan not discuss this question as one emanding it is the Executive, either for one purpose or the other; but I shall take the information impurted to this body by the President, for precisely what it is worth, and nothing more. Thre is an erecommensary when the precisely what it is worth, and nothing more. easily what it is worth, and nothing more. I have so recommendation—there is no pointine out of any particular course of action for Congress to pursue. Taking the course of a wise statesman, occupying the position he does, he says that in regard to this state in which Yucatan is placed. I have received certain information, and that information promony-cate to Congress, and I submit to their wisdom to take seein action as they deen prope under the circumstances. This, then, I take it for granted, is the question mow to be considered, what is it proper for Congress to do, considering the deplorable state of Yiuntan—considering the present situation of the United States and considering the present situation of the United States and considering the present condition situation of the whole world, what is the proper course for Congress to pursue in regard to Yucatan? Well, some one who is more in the liability arriving at conclusions than 1 am, may come to a different one, but from the moment that this message was submitted to the Sec ate. I had no doubt as to the course proper for Congress to pursue in regard to it. In arriving at this conclusion, I endeavored, as

far as possible, to look at all the causes which should prompt us to act, and at all the consequences which would follow from our action. I shall not attempt to place this question upon the dectrine advanced by Mr. Monroe a quarter of a century age, because I do not think that that doctrine sustams the course which it is proposed that the United States shall take, neither do I bink iltat it forbids it. I think it is entirely separate and apart from it. What is the doctrine advanced by Mr. Monroe, and by the bye, I must here be permitted to say, that the doctrine has been to some extent, miscalled the doctrine of Mr. Monroe, or his cabinet. Neither Mr. Monroe, or any member of his cabinet. Neither Mr. Monroe, or any member of his cabinet. Neither Mr. Monroe, or any member of his cabinet, ever conceived this doctrine originally. It originated in a discussion which took place at a distance of more than three thousand miles from the seat you now occupy. At the time it miles from the seat you now occupy. At the time it was first suggested, questions of grave import, not only to the government of the country, but more interesting to the government of the country in which the discussion took place, on the other side of the Atlantic. The highly respeciable, most judicious, and to do him no more than justice, I may say, distinguished minister of this country, at the court of St. James.[Mr.Rush] in his conversations and correspondence with Mr. Canning, first conceived the idea upon which the doctrine is founded. The question, so far as Mr. Rush representing the American, and Mr. Canning the British government were concerned, was simply this: What course was it proper for the two governments to pursue in regard to the numerous South American republics that about that time sprang into existence? The ministers of both countries were occupied with the consideration of what would be best for the commercial interests of their respective countries. And if you will trouble yourself to read the correspondence, you win see that he American minister at Libonov, in 1823, months prior to the promulgation of the doctrine here, planted himself upon that just, and I hope eternal foundation, on which the affairs of the United States. I trust, will always be conducted. Looking through the political telescope, he saw that these South American can governments were about to commence a career which would prove to be of more or less advantage to our own, and to the improvement of the political and social condition of mankind. He said this is a new question, we cannot depart from the principles laid down at the origin of this government. We must see to what the establishment of these republics must lead. On the other band, Mr. Canning wanted to see how far their establishment Band, Mr. Cahoning washed to see how lar their establishment would go in affect British interests in particular, and the policy of Europe and the Holy Alliance. It is, therefore, a mistake to trace the doctrino hack to Mr. Moarce. But, we must do justice to all in an inquiry of this nature. After the main points had been well discussed, well inaturely, and distinctly understood, Mr. Rash well diseased, well matured, and distinctly understood, Mr. Rush communicated to this government the course that England was deseated to practe, and the great question arrise, whether it would deseated to practe, and the great question arrise, whether it would deseate to practe, and the great question arrise, whether it would be seen to be a superior of the least in section of the south American tepublies. I mention these first, not for the purpose of detracting from Mr. Monroe, or any member of his exhibit, but of doing justice to all men, of all parties, and placing it on the true ground where it must always stand. I have a word to say in regard to the pole of Mr. Monroe, as it has always been understond. That the policy is founded upon the soundest principles of expediency, I have out now, nor have I ever entertained the slightest doubt. But the policy of Mr. Monroe, except in extreme cases, is not, and never can be the fighting policy of this country. It is a doctrine which diplomatically you may contend for, but I feed as well assured as I do of any fact, that it is a policy, which except in extreme cases, it will not do to fight for. What is the policy as it seems to be understood here? I it is his: hat is the policy as it seems to be understood here? That if in regard to colonization-although I shall not go into a critical examination of the meaning of the term-that gard to the question of colonization on this continent, if the interests of the United States should come into conflict with the interests of another nation, therefore, the United States in pursuit of

this policy, must assert the doctrine laid down in Mr. Monroe's message in 1823, and if necessary, they must fight to maintain it. Well, sir, anxions as I am, I hope to guard the interests of the United States at home and abroad. I never can agree, that if a question should grow out of the diplomation or political relations of any two countries of the world, and as in the case I have supposed, it should become necessary for the government of the United States to say—however necessary it may be upon principles of expediency to acquire Yucada no rany other country, which a government of Eunope might desire to obtain, I would not say, I could not not say, because I up not deliver, that the government of the Car-ted States, except in a case where it would be necessary for its own preservation, would be justified in going to the full extent of the doctrine laid down in Mr. Monroe's message, and contended for now. Sir, treatics are but bargains, or contracts. The only difference between a treaty and all other bargains or contracts is, that ordinarily bargains are made by individuals, but that a reaty is neither more nor less than a contract or bargain between na-Well, suppose the case to occur, that Great Britain and tions. Well, suppose the case to occur, use Great prison and the United States both desire to possess a tract of country, territory, province, or colony, or by whatever name you please to call it. I take it for granted that nations are like individuals—if they have the power to make treaties, they have the power to en-force them. And suppose that Mexico should prefer selling this they have the power to make the force them. And suppose that Mexico should prefer selling this province of Yucatan to England, is there any principle of actional away is there any principle, of justice, or morally which would alway in the end of the prefer should be a supposed to politicinas, to statesumen. Can there he but one answer? Are the parties able to cantract, do they contract, and are all the elements present necessary for entering into a contract? If so, the contract must be valid. It is competent for you, merely because you desire to have the benefit of the contract, to say that it shall not be made with any other nation, or if made, it shall not at snall not be made with any other nation, or if made, it shall not be binding. An honorable friend [Mr. Berese] makes a suggestion which comes to the same thing. The matter must be capable of being contracted for. That does not alter my position at all, because I bold that this government, where they are not limited, has the power to concrete that navay have possessed and exerticed that navay and this concrete has a last the same and the s eised that power, and this government has also the power, and I think has a right to do it. I, however, do not place my advocacy of this bill upon that ground at all, because I do not think the apof this bill upon that ground at all, because I do not think the application of the doctrine at all necessary. I do not go at all into the constitutional question. In regard to the doctrine laid down in Mr. Monroès message, we have a right to do this or not, as we please. I put it upon that principle. There is a time in the affairs of men, and in that of governments, too, when it is proper to act, and if I had been casting about for a state of affairs, in which the government of the United States were at liberty to do the very thing which they are called on to do by this bill, that state of alfairs exist more emphatically now in regard to the proposition before the Senate, than it ever has in regard to any question of the same character, and I think I may go further and say, than it ever same character, and I think I may go to the control of the circuit will in regard to any question that can arise neresirer. In or cumstances of the cose make it entirely appropriate. Now, sir, I do not pretend to apprehend—I should be uncandid if I slould do if a slould if I slould in the same time that I make this admission; I cannot be blind to the present position of Great Britain, nor to the fact that she bas at all times, and on all occasions, manifested an enger and elmost irrepressible desire to extend her dominions, and that the lion's paw was ready to grasp wherever there was a probability of making a successful seizure. It is for the purpose of avoiding the state of things which might arise from this desire on the part of Great Britain, to seize upon that which properly does not belong to her, that I maiatain that this is the appropriate, the chosen time for doing that which this measure proposes to de. The great danger apprehended by the bonorable Senator from Massachusetts—and I always listen to the views of that honorable Senator with pleasure, bowever much the views of that honorable Senator with pleasure, bowever muon I map be compelled to differ from him—was that we might by pursuant powerful antions on earth. What would Mexico, or the Indians of Yucatan be as enemes, compared with Great Britain. My great reason, so far as prudential considerations are concerned, (for advocating this measure is, that we now have an opportunity of caron the face of the earth, or of opening the temple of Janus at all I know that my honorable livend must appreciate and understand the present condition of Europe. He must be aware that Great Britain is not, at this time, in a situation to spare any forces for conquest on this continent; or, in fact, to attend to any other af-fairs than her own. Then, I ask my honorable friend, whether his objections to this measure, being the danger of collision with Great Britain, and, if I can show him, that there is less danger of Great Britain, and, it is an sow min, that there is less danger of that collision now than at any forme, time, and in all human probability than there will be at any future time—I ask I im whether, as far as this consideration is concerned, this is not the appropriate and chosen time for the government of the United States to act. Sir, it is elevating to man, it is elevating to a government to talk about grea: principles of morality and public virtue, and all those things which furnish the highest embellishment of private character, but every man, sir, at your time of life, or mine, or that of the Senator from Massachusetts, must know that governments and men must be more or less the creatures of circumstances. The opinions of some of the old philosophers was, that man was but a bundle of circumstances; that man had no separate, dis-

tinct, independent, substantive existence, but was a mere bundle of circumstances. Unless, therefore, this thing be radically and fondamentally wrong in itself, I ask, have circumstances ever arisen, or will circumstances ever arisen, or will circumstances the transfer for the government of the United States to do that which is preposed to be don't by the bill now under consideration. I do not put it upon Mr. Moreover published the consideration. I do not be under the consideration of the control The continue and the done. There is no fondumental objects to the gig it, and the only question is, are orienmataness anspicious at the present moment. Taking this view of the question, though I listened attentively to the arguments of the Senator from Massachusetts. I at once arrived at the conclusion, that it was proper to be done, and that this, above all other times, was the proper time for doing it. I do not stand here to give or to receive aims. That is not the business of the Senate of the United States, and to whatever extent they proceed in this matter, they take from the people that which belongs to them. I know that two cases have been cited. I remember them both. One was made the foundation for the other. The case of Carcasa visited by a great and overwhelming calamity—the other, the case of Ireland II wished to address myself to the popular feeling, or if I wi hed to address myself to the popular feeling, or if I wi hed to address myself to all the best sympathies of the human soil, I would sympativise in the cae of suffering Telland, but I would it as a man; I would not do it as a legislator. You have not the power. I dely the production of any proof to show that you have Ill wished to address myself to the popular lecting, or ill 4th incomplete the desired of the desired property of the case of suffering Ireland, but I would sympative in the case of suffering Ireland, but I would sympative in the case of suffering Ireland, but I would sympative in the case of suffering Ireland, but I would sympative to the case of suffering Ireland, but I would sympative to the people of the countries. The cases cited, therefore, have not the slightest impression or effect on me; I place this measure exclusively an the ground of policy. Well, what are the reasons derived from considerations of policy? The honorable Senator from South Carolina says. that this is a grave and important question, and that, therefore, great caution is necessary. Well, what are the reasons served from considerations says. that this is a grave and important question, and that, therefore, great caution is necessary in the formation of the determination which precedes action. That is the province and office of caution. It is for the purpose of enabling those who are disposed to do so, to exert that valuable quality in arriving at correct conclusions. But, after having exhansed all the arguments and reasons for the policy, does not the time for action arrive? After having given to all these reasons for caution their proper force, we are called on to act upon the measure itself. Weight measure upon the question of policy—as is the duty of the for action. It is said by the honorable Senator on the other side who addressed us last, that this is not one of those extreme eases which would justify the government of the United States in asserting a principle which cannot be sustained upon the geat principles of international law, or of public policy. Well, that may be so, I grant Yuactan may not be of so much importance to use associated on the principle is of international continued the sustained upon the geat principle and they are to determine whether they will receive it or not.

Suppose the case to happen that was put by t

Well, now, I do not profess to know much about the advartages, political, commercial, navel, or otherwise of Yucatan, but I know this—I know that it is adjacent to the territory of the United States, political, commercial, navel, or otherwise of Yucafan, but I know that we now have an opportunity of taxing it without what it is adjacent to the terriory of the United States, and I know that we now have an opportunity of taxing it without not being in a situation, to interfere. The only difficulty I have bad on the subject is this—whether the adoption of such a measure now, comported with the existing state of things between this country and Mexico. I leave Great Britain, France, Spain, and all Europeas countries entirely out of the question. Is there any thing in the condition of affairs between this country and Mexico, which would render it improper to adopt the measure at this time, because, even in regard to Mexico, miserable and degraded as being it is a subject to the cause of the subject of the s ble the dim crepuscular light of human reason to penutrate the veil of the future, and look through it and discern he majesty of truth. My honorable I lend, the Senator from Missouri, no doubt understands this, but I pass it by. I am not going into the Mexi-cular transfer of the modern part of the incidents connected ensure, I shall merely touch upon some of the incidents connected with the origin of it.

with the origin of it. Why, it is regard to Yucatan, viewed in connection with our relations to Mexico, if I were disposed to put this question, and discuss it on the ground of lumanity. I should derive the strongest argument that I could possibly employ from the existence of our relations with Mexico. We were at war with Mexico, that war relations with Mexico the were at which we will be supposed to the property of pauce, not sanctioned on their side I by megoliations of a treaty of pauce, not sanctioned on their side I by the construction of the supposed of the duty of the government of the United States, having conquered duty of the government of the United States, having conquered

Mexico, to extend that protection to the people of Yucatan which the government of Mexico herself might have been able, and if able, ought to have extended if she had not been compared by the government of the United States. This is our relation in regard to Mexico. Will you deprive that covernment, by having conquered and overcome her in a thousand well-lought fields—will you deprive her of the power of protecting the people of Yucatan, or any other portion of her population, and niter having done that, and stepped into her shees, will you say to ber, that we will not perform the office of humanity which you, if we had not interfered and conquered you, ought to have performed, and would have performed? It is, therefore, I think, not only the policy but the duty of the Enited Naties, considering the relations now existing between this country and Mexico, to all-wd that protection, not city to the people of Yucatan, but to every other province and state of Mexico, that Mexico herself, if she had been a stable and strong government cought to have extended, if we had not occustrong government ought to have extended, if we had not occupied the position we now do in regard to her. And my honorable friend from Indiana will find no difficulty in carrying out, with his usual ability, the policy of the administration in putting it upon this ground.

## Mr. HANNEGAN .- I did not it upon that ground

Mr. BAGBY.—I am aware of that. It is not only the duty of this government on the ground of humanity connected with policy, but it becomes the indispensable duty of the government for other reasons, to do every thing which, by the measure before you, you are called on to do. I need not remind you that the conqueror comes are called on to do. I need rot remind you that the conqueror comes into possession of the country—be occupied it. This is our possession in all respects as the conquered previously occupied it. This is our position in regard to Mexico. I, therefore, have no difficulty upon that score, not the least. My honorable frend from Connecticut—and be knows when I call hum yfriend it is no holiday phrase—seen-ed to think that there were views and projects concealed behind his measure, not disclosed by the me singe nor fairly demonstrated by the behind itself. Well, sir, that may be ; I speak for myself, and myself alone; I have not exchanged a word with any member of the administration in regard to this question since it has been before the Sante of the United States, and, therefore, I know not of the administration in regard to this question since it has been before the Senate of the United States, and, therefore, I know not what their views are; but I will tell you what mine are, and I believe they agree with the views of the people of the United States in regard to the policy which this government should pursue. I have a right to speak for myself, sir; you know that I voted for the treaty, not because I flooglint it was the best that could be made for the protection, promotion, and advancement of our intermediate the protection, promotion, and advancement of our intermediate the protection of the protect ests; not at all. I voted for it because it was the proposition made by this government, though under a state of things widely different from that which existed at the time the treaty was made; different from that which existed at the time the treaty was made; and, as I said before, I would not involve the goveroment of the United States in an act of bad faith, even with miserable, degraded, faithless Mexico. I voted for it for the purpose of giving Mexico the opportunity of pausing again for a moment upon the brink of her destiny before she took the plunge from which neither time nor circumstances would redeem her. I voted for it for that reson, not because I did not want any more of her territory—and I tell my honorable friend from Connecticut that I do not want any of her territory—but I know it is fashionable in the section of country in which I live, and I suppose somewhat so in the ancient and veserable province of Now England, a quality which they have inherited from their ancestors, to consider it perfectly lawful, when our neighbors are tumbling and going to tuin around as and when our neighbors are tumbling and going to ruin around as and when our neighbors are tumbing and going to ruin around us and no longer able to retain their possessions, to take possession of them it we can get them fairly. Now, that is precisely the state of things, not only in regird to this underrated province of Yucatan, but it is precisely the state of things in regard to the whole of Mexico. No man who looks at the condition of things there can doubt it. Well, but it is said that my honorable friend from Michigan is disposed that the temple of Janas shall be always open, and he would have his park of artillery always playing.

# Mr. CASS.-Not always.

Mr. CASS.—Not always.

Mr. BAGBY.—He will do me the justice to say that I was not one of the war inevitables. But this is not the war policy—it is the peace policy. You are called upon to do this under a certain state of things, and the only question is, whether circumstances are favorable for the doing of the thing now. Waterver may be war some time ago. I have no such tast, and in order to avoid war, I am in favor of doing this thing now, because I do not think it will involve us in war. Has there been a time within your recollection—has there been a time since the reformation, when Great Britain was so little in a condition to interfere with the affairs of other countries, as she is at this present moment. I think not. I am, therefore, in favor of the adoption of this measure, not only because it belongs more properly to us than to any body else—not only because I am satisfied that there is no principle to be violated by it, but I am in favor of it for the reason, that it is less likely to be productive of war now, than it ever will be in your lifetime or mine. There was another agament used against the passage of this bill, which struck me with as much force as a filmost any or mine. There was another agument used against the passage of this bill, which struck me with as much force as almost any other. It was this—That because this was not a case of the high-set importance, therefore we ought to let is alone. Well, do not Senators perceive that if they pretermit the principle which they contend for in this case, they set an example of the abandonment of it, which will be dwelt upon in every case that may arise here-

I do not believe in the importance of Yucatan, either for the purpose of agriculture or commerce; but the great question with me is, whether you can ever get it on better terms, or with less difficulty than you can at present, and whether it is not better for us to take it than permit any body else. That is the question Well, a further question is, whether by adopting the other ground the other course of policy, you will not effectually rule out the other great principle involved, to wit: the principle of humanity No man can doubt, that if we take the country we shall have to No man can doubt, that if we take the country we shail have to protect, to maintain, to occupy it. This, therefore, would have all the effect of accomplishing the ends of policy and the ends of humanity at the same time. A good deal, sir, not of consum or abuse, that has been disclaimed in every quarter, but a good deal of indirect consure has been east upon the administration because of its course in regard to this measure. It has been said details of its course in regard to this measure. It has been said details information has been allowed to remain in the Excentive department so long that it has litterally risted. This may be time or it many not; but we have heretofore had sufficient proof to convenient us, that a speedy communication of papers by the Executive is not alus, that a specedy communication or papers by the Executives in the days sufficient to insure the speedy consideration or action of this body. Before it was suspected or dreamed of that a treaty of peach would be formed, the honorable Senator from Michigan, as organ of the Committee on Military Alliars, of which he is so able a beneath forward the ten regiment bill, and I ask if the same reasons for procrastination that are urged now, were not urged then?
I suppose that some of my honorable friends on the other side will say, there is no necessity for haste, for though a communication might be sent with hot haste, it would be treated as the ten regiment bill was treated ; therefore, I do not think-exercising that sort of courtesy which ought to prevail between different branch es of the government-we ought to complain of the President ; for know, that no matter with what speed a communication is we know, that no matter with what speed a communication is made to us, it has not the least effect upon this body. Unless, therefore, Senators were better prepared to act tpon this bill some time ago than they are now, I do not see what could have been gained by an earlier communication.

gamed by an earlier communication.

Mr. President, this administration, like all things human, is passing away. It is no administration of mine, except so far as its principles are concerned, and as was said in regard to another

great man,

While the stream of time is gradually wearing away, and iemoving the saids of other administrations, it will pass without effect over the adamant of this."

I place this administration upon its merits, not upon the individu-I price one auditors fraction upon to meles, not religious and interest as who confide the memory. I have been a subject to the confidence of the properties, we have but one country. I don't though we have two parties, we have but one country. I don't that it not only requires great prudence and caution, according to the honorable Senator from South Carolina, in producing such a state of things as exists, not only in regard to the Mexican war, but in regard to

38 exists, not only in regard to the archives and, one in regard on another great question.

You are told, and the country was told, that it required great mismanagement to bring about this war with Mexico. Well—that may be so. All that I know about it is—and I do not include mysel—that some of the soundest intellects in this country said that it would not require any management, or mismanage ment on the part of the government of the United States, in order to produce a war with Mexico, but that if certain things were done, war would result inevitably—if the Senator from Michigan done, war would result inevitably—I lie Senator from Michigan will allow me to use the term. It was said bore, said in Mexico, and said in Texas. The President of Texas, now a Senator on this floor, and his colleague, both said, that it Texas was annexed under the resolutions, as they passed the House of Representatives, war would be inevitable. Is it just therefore, I ask, to say, that in consequence of the mismanagement of this administration we have been involved in a war with Mexico? Just as well mig Just as well might you say that the glorious scenes of Bunker Hill and Lexington were the causes of the war between this country and Great Bri-tain. They were the glorious effects growing out of the war they were no more the causes of the war than the moth that buzzes about the candle is the cause of giving light. But it is said that this administration not only brought on the Mexican war by that this administration not only prought on the McKlean war by mismanagement, but that they have mismanaged this Yuestan business. How mismanaged? Why, because they have not sent the navy to the aid of the people of Yuestan. Well, the navy in the condition of the coast which has been so well described, would be just as ineffectual for overcoming the savages in Yucatan as the attempts of the Lilliputians were in overcoming Gulliver, because there is not a port upon that coast which you can approach and yet gentlemen say that the navy is the only means that could have been, or ought to be employed. It is so much easier to lind fault with what others do than to do right ourselves, that I am not at all astonished that this argument is made. It is the easiest ing, but the existence of the fault ought to be well substantiated before judgment is passed upon it. My honorable friend from Con-necticut—and I am happy to say that in regard to many things we necticut—and rain alopy to say that in regard to many things we think and not alike—seems dreadfully alarmed about this namifest destiny. Well, I do not know whether those who use the term understand it I do not know whether they believe in manifest dostiny or not; but I thank God, I do believe in it. I see, in the realization of it, all that constitutes my hopes in life, and it will be my consolation in death. But I do not understand manifest destiny to be the policy of statesmen or the tricks of politicians. I understand the ort of manifest destiny which I embrace, to be the application of the proper instruments to the carrying out of

the great designs of Providence. That is what I understand by manifest desitury. And how can it be better applied than in regard to the miserable and degraded races, which occupy, not only Yuestan, hut the whole of Mexico. My views, sir, in regard to Mexico have been strangely misunderstood. It has been said that I am in favor of taking all Mexico. So I would—not in violation of the rights of any government, or of any individual, but as certain as the sun shines, if we do not somebody else will have all Mexico. It does not depend upon the humanity or upon the avarrice, upon the spirit of aggrandizement or the ambition of the government of the United States. It depends upon a cause much upon extrain and effectual in its oversition, and if there he a man the great designs of Providence. That is what I understand by more certain and effectual in its operation, and if there be a man well regulated, settled, established, permanent government, must have some testimony on the subject that I have not. The idea of the Senator from South Carolina is, that we must act with cau-tion, that we must adopt a course of masterly inactivity. Well if I had never had experience touching the adoption of such a course, I have no doubt I would have been one of the most streneous advocates for masterly inactivity, because I am slow in habits ous advocates of masterly inactivity, because 1 am slow in maints of thought, and more slow in acting. But how it is possible to distinguish between this case, and the situation in which Texas was placed in regard to British interference, I cannot imagine. The same arguments were then urged regarding British interfer-The doctrine which I can never lorget, but which I can never lorget, but which I can never lorget, but which I can never relish, was applied here with the effect of the scorpion lash. "Now, or never," was the cry.

"Now, or never," was the cry.

I happen to have before me—though I shall not stop to read it, the clear, distinct expression of the opinions of the President of Texas upon that subject, viz: that Texas was about to be sold to England. He said, that if any man entertained such an opinion he was a much fitter subject for a lunatic asylum, than he was for he was a much fitter subject for a huantic asylum, than he was bur a place either among Toxan or American statesmen. If, therefore, it was necessary for us to act "then or never" in regard to Texas, as the lion's paw was about to be laid upon it, I ask how it is that the same argument and the same reason should not be brought to bear in the case of Yucatan, as in the case of Texas. Well, I think I can account for it. We are all very fond of our way nets, but not so fond of the pets of others. I regretted another thing; I regretted to hear the distinguished Senator from Scath Cavaling saw—became be has always been considered one another thing; I regretted to hear the dissinguished one of the ornaments, and one of the chief supporters of the Democratic party—I regretted to hear him say, that in regard to the fotie party—I regretted to hear him say, that in regard to the foreign policy of this government, he had been compelled to eo-ope reign policy of this government, he had been competed to co-operate to a very considerable extent with gentlemen on the other side of the chamber. We all know that—it was not necessary the Scantor to tell us that. He said that the foreign policy of this government for years past had driven him into ec-operation with gentlemen of the opposite party. Well, how is this, and why is it, is there any thing in the policy advocated or recommended by Senators on the other side, that comes nearer to the great repub-lican doctrines upon which the gentleman from South Carolina commenced his political course, than there is in that pursued on this side of the chamber? And, if there be, I ask what it is. What is there in the foreign policy of this government which con-What is there in the foreign poncy of this government which some flies to any extent, or at all, with the uniform, unbroken practice of the republican party? I suppose it arises from that kind of in-dividual preference which every man, and especially every states— the consideration of the constant of the consideration of the consideration. I consider it conceives for the views for his own selection. man conceives for the views for his own selection. I consider it my duty, humble as I may he, to say in the presence of the Senate, that there is nothing in the course pursued by this administration which justifies the distinguished Senator in separating bimself from the party to which he has always professed to belong. I have been astwaished at another thing. We were told that great caution was necessary in the promotion of our opinions in regard to this measure. Well, I conceed to the distinguished Senator the ability to make up his opinion with more promptitude, and with less preparation than almost anyhody else. However much caution and deliberation may be required on the nowever much caution and deliberation may be required on the part of others, he is prepared to take the subject on the wing; or, as he said, at the first hop. In regard to this very ease, he pro-nounced the proposition to be bad the very moment it was sug-gested, and sounded the note of alarm against it. I am in favor of doing something in regard to Yucatan. The

question is, what is necessary and proper to be done. I have ex-amined the President's message, and I assert that he does not re-commend anything to subject him to the imputations east upon him. He informs the Scaate of the condition of the people of Yuestan—he adverts to the doetrines laid down by Mr. Monroe— American—age adverts to tile doetrines mud own by 547. Morroe— he invokes the great principles of humanity, and submits to the de-termination of Congress, whether they will take any steps in the matter or not. Now the question is, what ought Congress to do? I will tell you what I think Congress ought to do. What I, for one, an disposed to do. I have no disposition to negotiato or di-plomatize about, I take the question as it presents itself; and, forasmuch as we have placed Mexico in a condition in which she cannot preserve and protect and maintain the rights of the people of Yneatan hersolf, therefore it becomes our duty to do it. Not-withstanding the force of my honorable friend from Connecticut, and notwithstanding the saving provision embraced in the pream-ble and proviso brought forward by my honorable colleague-because they are only explanatory of the hill—if we take possession, if we take military occupation of Yuentan, I think we should hold it. They tell us they are incapable of taking care of themselves—that they have already cut loose from Mexico, and that if they had not, Mexico could take care of them, for sho cannot take care of herself. I am, therefore, without infracting the rights of anybody, any government, or any nation, in favor taking temporary military occupation of Yueatan, for two reasons—first, because it is our duty to do it; and, noxt, hecause it is our interest to do it. Well, where duty and interest combine, I think we should not hesitate; and in regard to this measure, I think there is a perfect combination of interest with duty; and I think above all, that there never will be such another opportunity when we shall be so little embarrassed by circumstance either abroad or at home, as that in which we are called on to act in real particular form in which the measure may be put—for I should be willing to vote for any measure that will enable us to occupy. Yueatan most effectually, until she is prepared either to sustain herself, to go back to Mexico, or, what I think much more proba-

ble, natil she is annexed to the United States. It may be a hard bargain for us. It is said to be a land of lizzards and snakes. But I never heard of but one land where there were no repilles; but whatever may be the condition of Yucatan in this respect, I would go forward, not withstanding all the lizzards and snakes that may be found in that country. There is a sensible difference of opinion in regard to what would he the result of this temporary occupation; but I am in favor of this couptatian, leaving the result to further than the same of holding it, until we ascertain what is best mandelly and of holding it, until we ascertain what is best mandelley, and with it. That is my opinion in regard to Yucatan.

On motion,

The Senate then adjourned.

# WEDNESDAY, MAY 17, 1848.

MEMORIAL OF THE LEGISLATURE OF MASSACHUSETTS.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, presented a memorial and resolutions of the Legislature of that State, in favor of the reduction of the rates of postage; which were laid upon the table and ordered to be printed

MANNER OF PAYING THE INTEREST ON THE PUBLIC DEBT.

Mr. NILES submitted the following resolution for consideration:

Reacher, That his decreasy of the Treasury be directed to report to the Senas such absolutes an army less in the possession of the Treasury Destinance in regard to the moment in which the interest of the public debt has been paid at Botton, New York, Philadelphis, and other places at which the interest on the public debt is paid; and particularly that he inform the Senate whether, in any instance, payment has been made in any other medium than gold or silver.

#### NEW YORK AND NEW ORLEANS STEAMERS.

Mr. DIX, from the Committee on Commerce, to whom were eferred the amendments of the House of Representatives to the bill extending privileges to American vessels engaged in a certain mentioned trade, and for other purposes, reported the same back with a recommendation that the Senute concur therein.

The Senate proceeded to consider said amendments; and it

Resolved. That they concur therein.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

#### PRIVATE BILL.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the Committee on Pensions to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of William Vice, reported it without amendment.

#### ADVESSE DEPORT

Mr. BRIGHT, from the Committee on Naval Affairs, to whom the documents relating to the claims of Hugh Wallace Wormley were referred, aubmitted an adverse report; which was ordered to be printed.

#### THE PATENT OFFICE BILL.

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the Committee of Conference, on the part of the Senate, on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the bill, "to provide additional Examiners to the Patent Office. and for other purposes," submitted the following report.

"That after full consultation, the Committee recommend that the Senate do receiled om its resolution disagreeing to the following amendments of the House to said

for That a tree ful consolitation, the Committee recommend that the Seaste do recede from the Committee recommend that the Seaste do receded by the Colorova and the Colorova an

# STATISTICS OF AGRICULTURE AND MANUFACTURES.

The joint resolution requiring the Commissioner of Patents to report annually upon the prices of labor, and the productions of agriculture and manufactures, was read the second time.

## RECOMMITTED.

The joint resolution for the relief of Clements, Bryan and Company, was read a third time; and

On motion by Mr. MASON, it was

Ordered. That it be recommitted to the Committee of Claims.

## MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives by Mr. CAMPBELL, their Clerk:

Mr. Presi lent: The House of Representatives have passed the bill of the Secate ex-ispatory of the act sputial "An act to raise for a limited time an additional military

rce, and for other purposes," approved 11th February, 1847, with an amendment, which they request the concurrence of the Senate.

They have passed bills of the following titles .

As act making appropriations for the payment of Revolutionary and other pen-sions of the United States for the year ending the 30th June, 1849.

An act to authorize the issning of a register to the brig Encernacion An act extending to John Whitsell's heirs the privilege of purchasing a quarter section of land which was given to him by an act approved March 2d, 1839.

An act to amend the act entitled "An act to appropriate the proceeds of the sale of the public lands, and to grant pre-emption rights," approved September 4th, 1841in which bills they request the concurrence of the Senate.

#### HOUSE BILLS REFERRED.

The bill from the House of Representatives making appropria-tions for the payment of revolutionary and other pensions of the United States, for the year ending the 30th June, 1849, was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Finance.

The hill from the House of Representatives extending to John The fill from the Tobse of Representatives extending to John Whitell's beirs the privilege of purchasing a quarter section of land which was given to him by an let approved March 23, 1839; and the bill to amend the act entitled "An act to appropriet the proceeds of the sales of the public lands, and to grant pre-emption rights," approved September 4th, 1841, were severally read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

#### BRIG ENCARNACION.

The bill from the House of Representatives to authorize the issuing of a register to the brig Encarnacion, was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent.

The Senate proceeded to consider said bill, as in Committee of the Whole, and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered. That it pass to a third reading.

The said bill was read a third time, by unanimous consent.

Recolved, That this bill pass.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

BOUNTY LANDS TO OFFICERS PROMOTED FROM THE RANKS.

The Senate proceeded to consider the amendment of the Hoose of Representatives to the hill explanatory of the act enritled "Au act to raise for a limited time an additional military force, and for other purposes," approved 11th February, 1847; and it was Resolved. That they concur therein.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

## CHARTER OF THE CITY OF WASHINGTON.

The bill from the House of Representatives to continue, alter, and amend the charter of the city of Washington, was read a third time; and it was

Resolved. That this bill pass.

Ordered. That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

### SCHOOL LANDS.

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, the prior orders were postponed, and the Senate proceeded to consider, as in Com-mittee of the Whole, the bull to authorize the relinquishment of the sixteenth section, in certain cases, and the selection of other lands

No amendment being made the hill was reported to the Senate. Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved, That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered. That the Scoretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in said bill.

#### ROBERT PURKIS.

On motion by Mr. GREENE, the prior orders were postponed, and the Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill authorizing the payment of a sum of money to Ro-

Mr. GREENE moved to amend the bill, so as to increase the our allowed from "four hundred dollars" to "seven hundred dollars."

Mr. GREENE.—This bill has passed this body twice, once granting the sum of five hundred dollars, and afterwards four hundred dollars, with intreest from 1813 or '14. The committee have now reported a bill by which they have proposed to give the sum of four hundred dollars only. Without reading the report which accompanies the bill, I will briefly state the faces upon which companies the bill, I will briefly state the faces upon which accompanies the bill, I will briefly state the faces upon which the said that the winter of 1812. The vessel in which he saided was adputed and despatched under the command of a prize master and three men for one of the ports in the British possessions. Purkis being the only man left on board, of the original crew, advised the fofficer in command that the vessel was not safe unless the dead lights were put in below. The officer, with two of his assistants, having gone below to perform this work, Purkis slipped the companion way over, and fastened it with a nail and hammer; and it being dusk, be then presented his lammer at the head of the man at the helm, who, supposing it to be a pistol, suffered Purkis to tate possession of the helm, and he here away for an United States port. If the tide to four hundred dollars prize money, one handred for each man. He was then a young man, and money was less an object to him than at a later period. He asked nothing of the government then, for he had strength and ability to take care of himself. He is now an old man, poor, suck and infirm. His application has been tendered bore some three years, and he now asks Congress, not to pay him the four hundred dollars and hence were, which would amount to something like eleven hundred dollars, but, according to the amount the committee propose to give birn, it will be about seven hundred dollars on him and the such as a present the whole the ground of a violation of no principle. If we make it a grantity, we can make it according to our sease of what is equitable and just towards this-man. I think there

Mr. WESTCOTT.—The committee, I believe, was disposed to allow the full amount of \$4.00 with interest. To this 1 strenuous-ly objected; but I have since had conversations with the Senator from Rhode Island on the subject, and I am strongly of the opinion that interest ought to be allowed. I was somewhat doubtful af first, whether the conduct of this applicant was of such a nature as entitled him to the grant. But I have wholly satisfied myself agon that point.

Mr. GREENE.—The circumstances attending the case are perfectly well known in the Stato from which I come, as in a small Stato like that may very naturally be the case. I may state also that there is a precedent—an act somewhat similar to this, compensating a citizen of South Carolina for a service of a like kind as early as 1815 or 1816.

The question was then taken upon agreeing to the amendment, and it was determined in the affirmative.

No further amendment being made, the bill was reported to the Senate and the amendment was concurred in.

Ordered. That the bill be engrossed and read a third time

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved. That this hill pass, and that the title thereof be evalore aid

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

## NOTARIES PUBLIC

On motion by Mr. BABGER, the prior orders were postponed, and the Seante proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill to authorize notaries public to take and certify oaths, affirmations, and acknowledgements in certain cases, together with the amendment reported thereto.

The reported amendment having been agreed to,

Mr. BADGER moved further to amend the bill by adding the words "District of Columbia" after the word "Territory," wherever the latter occurs in the bill; which was agreed to.

No further amendment being made, the bill was reported to the Senate, and the ameadments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the bill be engrossed, and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved, That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in said bill.

CLAIMS FOR LOSSES IN THE FLORIDA WAR.

On motion by Mr. WESTCOTT, the prior orders were postponed, and the bill providing for the obtaining testimony in relation to claims for losses sustained in the late Florida war, was read the second time and considered as in Committee of the Whole.

Mr. WESTCOTT.—I will state that this bill has been carefully prepared by the Committee on Claims. The object is to provide for taking testimony in relation to losses occasioned by Indian

30TH CONG.-IST SESSION-No. 81.

depredations during the late Florida war. The bill contains a section which expressly provides that the government shall not be made liable for these claims, by reason of any proceedings taken under its provisions.

Mr. BREESE.—I would like to hear the boorcable Senator explain why there should be a difference in the mode of proceeding in relation to claims arising in Florida from that in relation to claims in other States. I can use no other cartily reason why a separate commission should be established for the purpose of taking testimony in relation to claims in Florida. There is, I believe, a bill now before the House for establishing a general system for taking testimony in relation to claims. I think it will be better to pescapone action upon the subject until that bill comes before us

Mr. WESTCOTT—I will answer the gentleman in regard to the importance of establishing this commission. It is of importance as regards the expenditure attending the collecting of testimony in relation to these claims, which will not, under this bill, exceed \$2,000. It is important, in this view, to my own State, but of still more importance to the government. As far as regards any liability to be assumed by the government, ander the operation of this bill, it is expressly guarded against. It is important to the government for this reason. These claims are of a peculiar character; there are many of them which are admitted to be good, whilst this is denied in regard to others, but all of them, good, base here to be investigated, and many the calculation of the product of the strain, and many the case are all dependent open a very nice principle; the government is liable in some, and not liable in others. I believe that without some such measure as this, claims which have no good foundation will be allowed beyond the amount which this commission would cost. In respect to the House bill, I have no more deal it will pass, than I have that a dozen other projects that are before this body will be adopted. Besides, I am not prepared to vote for that bill. I am not for increasing the number of Federal officers, who, for the most part, devote very lattle attention to their business. I cannot due that bill will pass. I trust the honorable Senator from Illinos will withdraw his opposition, and that the bill will be allowed to pass.

Mr. CLAYTON.—The honorable Senator from Florida annonnes to us, that when the bill comes here from the House, he will be prepared to vute against it. Now, I take this opportunity of telling the honorable Senator, and all who are opposed to that bill, that I shall yet for it, and I hope there will be a majority en this floor to sestain it. This is not, however, the time to discuss that bill. In my pidgment, this bill its to lay the foundation for Senator thinks it will diminish the amount of claims, to be finally paid by the government. I am of the directly opposite opinion. Here we are to appoint a commission to go into Florida, and hant up testimony in favor of these claimants. Why should we, as the Senator from Illinois has suggested, adopt a more liberal rule in regard to claimants in Florida, than in regard to other claimants. Is it because of distance merely? There are other States equally distant; they would have a right to make the same application, and we shall never know where to stop.

Mr. WESTCOTT.—f will state that a similar provision was adopted, after the war of 1812, for taking testimony on the northern frontier.

Alt. CLAYTON.—Yes sir. But a bul precedent should not be followed. On a former vectors on, when a bill was pending below the Senate for the properties of the senate for relief, I recollect that opposition was offered by the Senator from Florida, on the ground that he was no more entitled to relief than all other claimants, who, upon the principle recognized in our acts of Congress heretofore, were entirely disregarded by this government. It was contended that this government was bound to pay for all depredations in the destruction of property, which the committed at the time, when such struction of property, distiller committed at the time, when such struction of property, which we consider the senate is not to go beyond the original principle. Now, this bill provides for taking testimony in all cases. Whether the evidence furnishes the foundation upon which we have been in the habit of acting, or not; and I take it, that the honorable Senator will insist that we are bound I take it, that the honorable Senator will insist that we are bound to pay for taking all this testimony. Where are we to stop? I say again that it will bankrupt the treasury; I am opposed to the not succeed in establishing a lourd of our he floats, if we should not succeed in establishing a lourd of our helicage, if we should not succeed the senate course that has been pursued beretofore in relation to all claims under similar circumstances.

olams under similar circumstances.

Mr. UNDERWOOD.—If the bill which is said to be pending in the other branch of Congress passes that branch, I shall be in-elined to vote for it. But whother that bill comes here or not, my opinion is, that this bill, or something like it, ought to be adopted. I was a member of the committee that prepared the bill. It is not exactly the project that I presented to the committee, but, because my particular notions did not prevail, I do not feel the less inclined to support that which the committee resolved to present. It is not, as gentlemen seem to suppose, the policy that claimants in Florida shall be placed upon a different footing from that of

claimants in other parts of the United States. It is not to discriminate in favor of Florida elaims. Far from it. But it is to prescribe a rule by which the interests of the government shall be protected. That was the leading motivo of the committee. It was the motive by which I was influenced, at least, and I take this oceasion to say to the Senate, that unless some such rule is established, we never shall have justice administered to the claimants. The object of this bill is to get clear of the evil of ex parte the Senate has thought proper to assign to me, will see day after the Senate has thought proper to assign to me, will see day alter day, and hour after hour, the great danger there is in doing injus-tice to the government, from the fact that all evidence before us is exparte, exhibiting apparently good claims against the govern-ment, when, at the same time, if they were thoroughly silted, there would be no foundation for them to stand upon. Sr, I could give you instance after instance of this in regard to those very Florda claims. The establishment of a commission would, in my humble judgment, save thousands if not hundreds of thousands of namore juagment, save thousanes in not minute of thousands of thousands of dollars to the government. Does not every body know that the history of our judicial proceedings has established the propriety and necessity of rejecting in all questions between individuals, expart estimony? And will you disregard the importance of a rule which has been sanctioned for a thousand years?

Mr. BERRIEN .- What sort of general system is proposed to be adonted

Mr UNDERWOOD .- I will answer the Senator. visions of the law which I proposed, were somewhat like these-that every private elaimant against the government shall, in the first instance, present his petition to the District Court Judge. The Judge shall issue a commission, and appoint an individual to take testimony on the part of the government, and transmit that take resuminary on the part of the government, some transaction of the part of the government, and transaction of the part of the government of the part of the project, and when I found it was likely to fail, this question presented itself to my mind. Here is a very manerous class of cases growing out of the Creek and Seminole war existing upon the fondier of the United States; more of that class having been presented for the consideration of the committee. class having oeen presented for the consideration of the committee than from all the rest of the Union together. Now, if I cannot get a general law applicable to all cases, it seemed to me good policy to get a law applicable to this numerous class of cases. Not being able to get all I wanted, I was disposed to take part, rather than none at all. That is the policy upon which I acted, and it seems to me that is a wise policy for the Seaate to adopt.

My opinion is, that if the matter be placed in the hands of commissioners industriously disposed, twenty times as much as the expenses of the commission will be saved to the government.

On motion by Mr. HANNEGAN, it was

Ordered. That the further consideration of the bill be postponed until to-morrow.

# THE YUCATAN BILL.

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill to enable the President of the United States to take temporary military occupation of Yueatan.

Mr. DIX .- Mr. President, I said yesterday, when I offered the amendment which you have just announced as the question first to be decided by the Senate, I should be quite willing that the vote should be taken upon it without discussion; but that if the debate proceeded, I should have something to say in support of my motion. I find the whole subject is to be further discussed; and so many enquiries have been addressed to me, by members of this body, in relation to the particular object of the amendment, uss booy, in relation to the particular object of the amendment, that I feel myself called on to explain it. I shall, at the same time, avail myself of the opportunity to make some remarks upon the general question. In doing so, I feel that I shall labor under some disadvantage, as I was not present during the first week of the discussion, and have not had time since to read the printed report; so that I is possible I may, in the remarks I shall make,

ver ground which has already been better occupied by others. The question presented to us by the bill we are considering, is not in itself a very simple one; and it appears to me that it been converted, perhaps not unnecessarily, into one of still greater complexity. I shall endeavor, in what I have to say, to divest it

complexity. I shall endeavor, in what I have to say, to divest it of some, at least, of its complexations. Yet in internal conflict hetween the different classes of which her population consists. She has applied to us, and to other nations for aid; and she tenders her political sovereignty to any power which will take her under its protection. Sir, there can be no higher evidence of the hopelessness of the condition to which she is reduced, and I recollect no other instance, in modern times, at least, in which a State has offered to surrender its nationality to a foreign power, for the purpose of being protected against itself. The President has ea pose of being protected against treel. The President has called our attention to the subject in a special message; and I think he would have been indefensible if he had not done so. He submits He submits no proposition to us, but leaves it to the judgment of Congress to determine what measures shall be adopted to prevent Yucatan from becoming the colony of a European power, and to rescue the from becoming the colony of a European power, and to rescue the white race from extermination or explaison. The Committee on Foreign Relations, in pursuance of the suggestion of the President has reported a bill authorizing him to take temporary possersion, or occupation of the country, and providing arms, munitions of war, ordnance, and troops for that purposes.

The first suggestion which occurs to us, is, that this is an internal dispute, in which, under ordinary circumstances, we could not properly take part. We insist on the principle of non-intervention other independent States. We hold every violatina of this principle, to be an offence against the common order, and the common tranquility of civilized society. We insist upon its observance by other nations. Our first duty, then, is to observe it

Is there any thing in the peculiar relations of Yucatan to the United States, and to Mexico, which would authorize us to inter-pose and perform a high duty of humanity, without violating the rule I have stated. Upon the solution of this question, the propriety of our interference mainly depends. In my judgment, ject, the circumstances do warrant our interference in some efficient mode; and I shall be happy, if I can succeed in making this conviction as apparent to the mind of the Senate, as it is to my own. In attempting to do so, it will be necessary to examine the relations, past and present, of Yucatan to Mexico, and the existing relations of both to us.

ucatan, I believe, was never comprehended in the vice-royalty of Mexico, under the old Spanish dominion—at all events, except-ing for purposes of revenue. She was under a separate government, or captainaptain-generalcy, and communicated directly with the court of Madrid. In 1821, she succeeded in establishing her in-dependence without the aid of Mexico; and when the empire was dependence without the aid of Mexico; and when the empire was formed under Iturbide, she became united to it under certain conditions. On the fall of Iturbide, and the dissolution of the empire, she again became independent. When the constitution of 1824 was adopted by the United Mexican States, she became a member of the confederation, with the distinct declaration, that her connexion with it should continue only so long as that constitution was preserved involate. In 1834, when the constitution of 1824 was subverted by Santa Anna, she became independent a bird itime. But an array was sent against her by Santa Anna. But an army was sent against her by Santa Anna, I third time. believe under the command of his Merida. capital, was taken, her militia disbaaded some of her principal a military despotism under the authority of the central government of Mexico. The same attempt was made on Texas, who was happily more successful than her southern sister, in repell-

This state of things continued until 1840, when Yucatan threw off her subjection, proclaimed her constitution, and was on the point of declaring her independence, when a negotiation was entered into with Mexico, which resulted in 1841, in a treaty leaving her a part of Mexico, but with certain separate powers in respect to her connne, which was left independent of the general revenue system of the republic. This treaty, though executed by commissioners on both sides, and agreed to by Yucatan, was never ratified by Mexico; and in 1842 another army was sent into Yucatan—Merida was again invested—Campeachy was bombarded for several months; but in the following year: the Mexican forces were defeated or withdrawn; and at the close of 1843, sho became again united Mexico, with soom reservations of sovereignity beyond those possessed by the other Mexican actions of sovereignity beyond those possessed by the other Mexican actions. constantly springing up between them, she declared on the first January, 1846, the connection dissolved; and in March of that March of that sidered imminent, she refused to furnish men and mon requisition of the central government. In August, 1846, about two months after the commencement of the war, an extraordinary Congress was convoked in Yucatan, chiefly through the influence of the hiends of Santa Anna who was then in Cuba, and by a majority of one vote he was declared to be the President of Mexand the declearation of the first of January, 1846, was revived and ratified with the popular sanction. From the commencement of the war, therefore, except for the very brief period I have mentioned, Yucatan has maintained na attitude of strict neutrality

Notwithstanding these repeated charges, I doubt whether the severance of the political relation of Yucatan with Mexico can be considered complete. Her withdrawal from the Union has never consucred complete. Fror wind awai from the Union has never been sanctioned by Mexice; nor is it quite apparent that her post-ive and an unqualified independence. In a qualified sense, indeed, she may be said to have been independent, but we have constantly treated her as a part of the Mexican republic, though abstaining from acts of hostility against her on account of her refinal to take part in the war against us. She complains, that while not considering her as an enemy, we have, nevertheless, not treated her as a friend or a neutral. We have occupied the port of Lagana, in the Island of Carmen-one of the islands which nearly shuts out Lake Termines from the southern portion of the Gulf of Mexico. The ground of this occupation on our part, was, that a trade in contraband was carried on between that port and Tabasco, which was hostile to us, and which borders on Lake Terminos.

Such, then, is the political condition of Yucatan, an integral portion of Mexico, having no active participation in the war against ns, and maintaining, for the most part, a strict neutrality. The peculiar relation in which Yucatan stands to Mexico, and to us. undoubtedly complicates the question of our interference in her domestic affairs. We have entered into a treaty with Mexico; and although we are not permitted here, to speak definitely with domestic affairs.

regard to its stipulations, enough has been made public in a legit-imate way, to show that we are precluded from undertaking any hostile enterprise against any portion of the Mexican territory or innite way, to show that we are presented into more taking appears to have been appeared. An armistice has been appeared to the Mexican territory or people. An armistice has been appeared to the more than a superior of the more than a superior than a sup implies, if carried out, a displacement or subversion of the existing government. It would be no defence to say that Yucatan volungovernment. It would be no defence to say that Yucatan volun-tarily submits to our power. Should we be authorised, this treaty being in force, to occupy by military force the State of Tobasco for instance, if the local government were willing to submit to us? No, sir. I apprehend that the sanction of the central government would be necessary to warrant it. In like manner, Yucatan, being a part of Mexico, it appears to me that the military occupation of that State by us would require the sanction of the central government. This rigid construction of the treaty may seem technical and over-scrupulous. Perhaps it is so. But in all matters involving the inviolability of international engagements, the ters involving the innovation international engagements, the strictest period primace of stipulations is any only the part of prudence, but of imperative duty. We should afford no pretence for impuring to us an act of bad faith. Now, it is only to the form of the interposition—to military occupation and its incidents—that I object. And I trust friend from Indiust, the chairman of the Committee or steering Relations, [Mr. HANNEGAN, ]will half adhere to the first section of the following the trust could be shall be satisfied that there is any o'her form of intervention which is unobjectionable, and that will, at the same time, accomplish the same end—which will avoid all prets tor the imputation of violating the treaty, and yet enable us to effect every legitimate object of the interposition. And here I desire to say, that I approve of the first and second sections of the full, providing arms munitions of war, and troops, to put an end to the war of devasta tion in Yucatan. I know nothing more revolting in the history of modern times, than the exterminating warfare carried on by the modern times, tima the exterminating warrate carries on by the aboriginal against the European races. Neither age nor sex, nor even the sanctity of religion is respected. The infant is slaughtered at the mother's breast, the priest is immolated at the altar. It is not legitimate warfare; it is cold-bicoded, atrocious murder.

So far as we are permitted, by international obligations and by constitutional forms of political organization at home, I am disposed to interfere for the purpose of putting an end to transactions so repugnant to every dictate of humanity, and every principle of civilization. I am willing to vore for the 2d and 3d sections of the bill. For the 1st section, I have proposed a substitute, which I

Strike out all the first section after the enacting clause, and insert the following:
"That the Presidents of the Unter Strates by outhorized to employ the army and anay
of the United Strates to and in pating a need to the war of deveatation in X-nextan
'Provided, the and hereby authorized be rendered in coccurrence with the government
of that State."

The difference between the original section and the substitute is this: the former authorizes the President to take military eupation or possession of Yucatan. The substitute nuthorizes him to employ the army and navy to assist the government of Yucatan in putting an end to the unnatural warfare carried on within that in putting an end to the unbatteral warriar carries on wheth that State. In the first case, the government would be virtually superceided; in the second, we should act in conjunction withit. And, sir, if we should decide to act, I should entertain a strong hope that our interposition might be speedily effected. With the moral surply we should declide to act, I should elected. With the moral power of our victories in Mexico, a discreet efficer going there, as much in the capacity of pacificator as a combatant, might, aided by a small lorce, be able to restore harmony and peace be-

and the distribution of th the bill. It is the peculiar relation in which we stand to Mexico, of which Yucatan is a part, which presents, in my judgment, an impediment to military occupation. As it is, the treaty being in force, I think if we had troops to spare in Mexico, they might be sent into Yucatan by the President, to aid the government in bringing about a termination of hostilities. If the Indians should attack the Mexican settlements in Coalmila or Durango, or any other portion of the republic, does any one doubt that we might detach a portion of our troops in Mexico to aid those settlements in defending themselves, without violating the armistice or ments in defending themselves, without violating the armistice or the treaty? It would be an act of friendship and of mercy-not an act of hostility; and it is only against offensive operations, that an action most my aim it is only against oncease operations, and the treaty and the armitted are intended to guard. The honorable Senator from Mississippi, [Mr. Davis,] suggests that the terms of the armistic require that we should interpose, wheeever a necessity arises, to protect any part of the Mexican republic from the incursions or attacks of the Indians, that we have so inter-

posed, and he considers it to be applicable to this case. Under this view of the subject, the interposition of Congress is required, rather with a view to provide the President with the means, than to confer upon him the authority to act. But, in placing the army and navy at his disposal. For a special purpose by law, it seems proper to define the conditions under which they shall be employed. This is done by the substitute, which declares that he shall act with the concurrence of the government of Yucatan. Thus all

pretence of violating the treaty or the armistice will be obviated. Is there any violation of international obligations, so far as they depend on principles of public law, in extending to Yucatan the required assistance? I than not. We are already in the occupation of a portion of Yucatan. Our fleet has, for a long time, been in possession of Lagana, and thus commanded a large portion been in possession of Laguna, and thus commanded a large portion of the coast. We have exercised, not only military, but political authority there, holding stations, imposing duties, and collecting revenue. Indeed, Yuestan complains, that by this very assumption, or exercise of authority, we have deprived her of her revenues, and dimnished her ability to provide against the exigencies in which she is placed. This is one of the grounds on which she appeals to us for succore. She asks us to give back to ber, in one way, the means we have taken from her in another. In this view of the subject, it is as much redress an id, which she seeks. Sir, I think there is some truth in what she says. But, whether that he so er out he very fact that we are in the occupion of a

that be so or not, the very fact that we are in the occupation of a portion of Yacatan, takes the whole case out of the ordinary rule of non-intervention. We occupy one of her sea ports under the laws non-intervention. We occupy one of her sea ports under the laws of war. To aid the existing government under such circum-stances, in subordination to its own wishes, in restoring tranquility and putting an end to domestic dissensions, cannot be deemed a violation of the rule, that one nation shall not interfere in the do-mestic concerns of another. Indeed, but for the treaty, we might interfere without the consent of the government, having already partial occupation. It is only the obligations arising under it that

partial occupation. At is only the congatons arising under it that make such consent necessary at all.

If we were at peace with Maxico and Yucatan, I confess I should very much doubt whether we could, on any consideration of humanity, interpose between parties engaged in intestine conflict with each other, bowever strong our inclination might be. not say that there are not obligations of duty to our fellow men, which rise above all the restraints of political organization and govwhich rise above all the restrauts of political organization and government. But it must be a very extremejease, which can authorise us, even from motives of humanity, to exercise powers not expressly conferred by the constitution and laws, by which we are governed. Nothing, perhaps, short of an exigency threatening to uproot the very foundations of civilized society, or concerning our own self-preservation, would warrant any other than a strictly constitutional expression of nover. But I see no such ambarrance and strictly conown sell-preservation, would warrant any other than a strictly con-stitutional exercise of power. But I see no such embarrassment in this case. Under the laws of war—by virtue of the occupation in this case. Under the laws of war—by virtue of the occupation of one portion of Yucatan—it appears to me that we may perform, in respect to any other portion, every obligation which humanity dictates and enjoins. I have no besiration, therefore, so far as the right of interposition is cencerned, to vote for the second and third sections of the bill, and I am willing to vote for the first section so amended, as to make our interposition subordinate to the government of Yeactan, to make it as act of friendship to ber, without being an act of hostility to Mexico.

Mr. President, in discussing the bill providing for the satisfac-

Mr. President, in discussing the bill providing for the satisfac-tion of certain claims in California, I stated that the Indians in Yueatan were abundantly supplied with arms; and that some of these arms were of British manufacture. I did not intend to infi-mate that they were furnished by the government of Great Bri-tain, or by agents acting under her direction or authority. I sup-posed then, as I suppose now, that they were, for the most part procured from British traders at Balize, in the way of exchange; and I have been confirmed in that belief by an article in a British and I have been confirmed in that beiter dy an article in a British newspaper published at Kingston, Jamaica, stating that an ex-terminating war was carried on by the Indians in Yucatan, we means of arms procured from British traders, and condemning the latter for engaging in a traffic which was the source of so much wanton violence and inhumanity.

By another article taken from the same paper, it appears that a commissioner has been sent from Yucatan to Ballaz, to invoke the observance of treaty stipulations by Great Britain, in respect to the sale of arms and ammunition to the Indians. I will read it to the

"The Indians had been waging a destructive war with the white inhabitants of the State of Yucatan, and had destroyed the large villages of Ajomeo and Yehmal, and possesed themselves of almost all the towns to the eastward of Peo. and Vallashold A commissioner has arrived at Balze, Honduras, from Yucatan, to prevent, if possible, the sals of arms or wardise stores to the Indians.

This traffic has been carried on in violation of an ancient treaty with Spain; and not very ancient either. By the treaty of Lou-on, 1786, it was expressly supulated by Great Britain that she would strictly prohibit all her

"Subjects from formishing arms or warlike stores to the Indians in general situated upon the frontiers of the Spanish possessions."

Mr. Sierra, in one of his notes to Mr. Buchanan, states that the Mr. Sierra, in one of his notes to Mr. Buchaaan, states that the firitish authorities at Balize, have consented to prohibit the sala of arms and ammunition to the Indians, though he expresses a doubt whether the assurance will be observed in good laith. I should have interred from the assurance thus given, that the obligations of the treaty referred to, were recognized as of binding force, for the plogde might have been given from motives the But I lind by an article in the Timer, a newspaper published

at Balize, that the British authorities have refused to recognise the obligation of the treaty of 1786. I will read an extract from it, that what I say may not be misunderstood:

"We understand that Mr. Peon has been appointed by the government of Yucatan on special mission to Her Majogsty's superintendent, to claim for his gost minent. the sencial of the terry of 1766, entered into between their unipotent, he knows of Gard Butann and Spinn. To that triary there is a clause which would appear to be desired on the earling state of affairs in Yucatan. It is to the following effort.

Here follows the stipulation which I have quoted. The Times

We are made to communicate the ground, on which we beau that he Majesty is superatendent has declined to adout the spicest at a phe aborty of the new X. He must be harvest, known to 3th life to not et his new fideling Spinich in problems are properly and to have known to 5th life to not et his negligibility Spinich in problems are properly and to have therefore the right, which it is spinich cover possible to the problems of the spinich cover possible to the provide product or arms being sold to the limin for adout a characteristic for increasing the product of the spinich product of the spinich spinich to the spinich cover and the spinich spinich to the spinich spinich spinich spinich to the spinich spinich

These remarks are in the nature of a strong appeal to the humanity of the merchants. It does not appear by this article what effort the British authorities at Balize have made, if any to prevent enort ine British authorities at panze nave made, it may, to preven the sale of arms and ammunition to the Indians. But it does ap-pear, that they deny the obligation of the treaty of 1786. And certainly, the interence is, that they have not interposed from no-tives of humanity, and probibited the traffic; for, if they had, this appeal by a newspaper to the humanity of the merchants, would

e been smerfinous

President, it would be a very harsh judgment to suppose that the British authorities at Balize had encouraged this traffic for the purpose of expelling the Spanish race, and thus facilitating the the interests of Great Britain to do so, such a supposition should not be made without the strongest evidence. But, sir, I do not think it unreasonable or harsh to suppose this contest is encouragthink it unreasonable or harsh to suppose this contest is encouraged by British traders, who have peaumary interests there, and whose gains might be increased by the explision of the Spanish race; for, in that event, the whole peninsal awould fall under the dominion of the Indians. British subjects would more readily gain a foothold there; having once gained it, they would be protected by their government, and it would not be surprising to see the protection of Great Britain excluded over the Indian population. It appears to me that we cannot doubt such a probability without wilfully doining our eyes against light. This process of extension is in progress at the very moment when we are discussing and doubting it. Let me state, a few facts in reference to the settledoubting it. Let me state a few facts in reference to the settle-ment at Balize, to which I have already referred. It was first recognized specifically as a British settlement by the treaty of Versailles in 1783, though there is a provision in the tripartite treaty of 1763, (that which terminated the old French war here.) recognizing the right of Great Britain to occupation in that quarrecognizing the factor of read a final to decapation in that quarter generally. But the treaty of 1783 is the first in which the settlement is distinctly recognized. The right of occupation was given for a specific purpose. It gave only the right to cut logwood, build houses and magazines for the convenience of the workwoody ultid holess and considered in the control to the value as the mean and their lamilies, and to enjoy a free lishery for their subsistence on the coast. Great Britain expressly stipulated to demand their coasts of the coasts of the coasts of the coasts. The limits of the sowereignty of Spain was expressly reserved. The limits of the territory, in which these expressly reserved. The limits of the territory, in which these daylanges were to be enjoyed, were territory, in which these advantages were to be enjoyed, were carefully defined. I have traced them on the map, and I find they earefully defined. I have traced them on the map, and I find they did not exceed an area of two thousand square miles, if the rivers Hondo and Balize, the northern and sonthern boundaries, are nearestly laid down. By the treaty of 1786 they were extended south to the river Subuu, making, at the utmost, an area of four or five thousand square miles. According to Arrowsmith's Lendon Atlas, published in 1840, that settlement has an area of four-team thousands and area. teen thousand square miles—three times its original extent.— Nor is this all. By the Encyclopædia Britannica and Martin's British Colonies, it is claimed to have an area of more than 62,000 square miles—a surface exceeding that of the entire Peninsula of Yucatan. In what direction it is proposed to extend the settlement in order to comprehend these sixty or seventy thousand square miles of surface, does not appear. It is left in doubt by the re-spectable authorities I have named, under the most ungeographi-cal declaration that "the inland boundaries are ill defined," shough cal declaration that "the mand boundaries are 111 defined," though they were most critically defined by the trenties of 1783 and 1786. With this shadowy boundary, which leaves every thing undeter-nined, excepting on the side of the Bay of Honduras, the sen, where nature has drawn a lice, which man cannot make uncertain, it may be defined hereafter according to circumstances. They may be extended north into Yucatan, south-west into Gostemula, or santh-asst into Hunduras, and in the latter case form a invaries may be extended north and regulary south west into Guardina, or south-east into Hunduras, and in the latter case form a junction with the territories of the Musquito king.

with the territories of the Musquito king.

And, by the way, the name of this nowly created sovereign reminds me that there are some indications of extension further south, which are not very easily discredited. By the treaty of Versailles, Great Britain sipulated that her subjects should alandound other portions of the Spanish continent and retrie within the limits of the settlement at Balmo. By the treaty of Lendon, and the state of the settlement and seather than the settlement at Balmo. By the treaty of Lendon, and as the continent in anneal and the Musquitors on nomine and as the continent in anneal and the Musquitors of nomine the settlement at the settlement and she illipianed to executive the commany of the subspirition of nomine as well as the continent in general, and the islands adjacent, without exception. I believe she did evacante them, and I am not out exception is been seen to be described the country of the Musquitos again an her own name. But she has done what is equivalent to occupation; she has taken the king of the Musquitos under ber pretended.

tion; she has assumed to define the limits of his deminions; she has given notice to the Central and South American governments the three are not to interfere with those limited in the contribution to the cost and troops into the interior, maintaining the former there under the name of the Musquito navy. She is encreaching on the Certal American states, attacking forts appropriating territory, and making war on the people. It is only about a month ago that we learned she had attacked and taken possession of the town of Nicaragua, and killed some seventy or eighty of the Central Americans. She has recently sent black troops there, not only from Jamaica, but from New Providence on the confines and only from summers, but from New Providence on the confines of Florids, to maintain the authority of the Musquito king, the chief of a hand of naked Indians, himself scarcely more clevated on the social scale than his followers. His throne a sand hill, his seeptre a reed, his robe a blanket, he puls armies and fleets in motion, speaks to the nations through the mouths of British diploma-tists, and invades the territories of neighboring states by sea and

I do not hesitate to say that so broad a farce as this has never been enacted, with so much gravity, by a respectable state. It would be a farce under all its aspects, were it not for the encroachments upon the Central American states, of which it is the source. To them it is a matter of the most serious import, and it has met their

NOTE.-It is due to fairness, inasmuch as some of the arguments A OTE.—It is due to fairness, maximien as some of the arguments contained in the text are drawn from construction put upon treaties and other public records by the Central and South American states, to exhibit the grounds on which Great Britain rests ber claim to the authority she is exercising in the country of the Mus-

remain to the authority she is exercising in the country of the Mus-phinos. They are as follows conquest of Jamaica by the expedi-tion sent forth by Oliver Cromwell in 1656, the Musquito king, with the concurrence of his chiefs and people, placed themselves under the protection of Charles the Second; and the governor of Jamaica, in the name of his sovereign, accepted this union, and promised them the royal protection."

promised them the royal protection."

2d. In 1749 a fort was erected by a British force from Jamaica, and the royal flag was hoisted, "thus making a formal publication to all the world, and to the crown of Spain, that the independent country of the coast was under the direct sovereignty and protection of Great Britain

"From this time until the conclusion of the war of 1756 the Mu-quito shore continued to be a military, federal, protected province of Great Britain." 4th. In 1675 a council of government was appointed, a court of

4th. In 1073 a council of government was appointed, a count of common pleas, &c.
5th. The Musquito nation was never subjugated by Spain, but always retained its independent character; and "the Musquito territory is still an independent country, and one over which Spain

never had the least control or occupation."

6th. "None of the anarchical states of Central America have any right by occupation, or by recognition, to the Musquito coun-

7th. "It is clearly shown in the works of writers well acquainted with the Musquito shore, such as Dampier, Falconer, Trobis too with the Misquite snore, such as Dumpler, Fasconer, a rous-ber, Bryan Edwards, Hodgson, and others, that the tribes under the Musquite kings have been independent ever since the downfall of Montezuma, and have had n recognized territory appertaining to themselves, and governed by laws administered by their own hereditary kings.

arrequisty sings."
These are, in brief, the grounds of the British claim to the protection she is exercising over the Musquito territory, and mace sepcially "of the proceedings of the British naval forces at St. John's on the Musquito coast," and they are stated in her own larguage. The quotations above made are chiefly from Magregor's gauge. The quotations above made are chiefly from Magregor's

Progress of America, 739 et seq.
It is unnecessary to add that some of the material facts are contradicted by the States of Central America.

In respect to the town and river of San Juan de Nicaragua, Great Britain contends that the government of Central America first sent a force down to San Juan, and established a custom house on the north side of the river, which the Spainards had never house on the north side of the river, which the Spainards had never before occupied, in 1836 j that it was done without the consent of the king of the Musquite coast, who had previously granted the territory, where it was established, to a British subject; that the Central American ling did not appear there till 1843 j and that the "administratory," or collector of customs, on the application of a British officer, gave a written acknowledgement, that he had boisted the flag by couriesy, and not as of right, and that the port was claimed by the king of Musquito. She also states, that the Musquito authorities, have remon-ing fainst the occupation, and that these remonstrances hav-ing fainst the occupation, and that these remonstrances hav-ing fainst the occupation, and that these remonstrances hav-

possession of the place.]

About three mouths age I stated, in some remarks on a mili-tary bill before the Senate, that Great Britain has recently set up tary bill before the Senate, that Great Britain has recently set up nelaim to San Juan do Nicaragua, and I prophesad at that time, Irom the indications I saw, that she would at no distant period, take forcible possession of that place. She has done so already. The prophecy has become history, written like many other transactions of the same nature, in letters of blood. I also stated, that one of the great objects of this extension was, to command a route for a ship canal across the continent, narrowed there to an istimus. This route has been critically surveyed and examined from the Caribbean sea, up the river San Juan, to Lake Nicaragua, from Lake Nicaragua to Lake Leon, and from Lake Leon to Realejo on the Pacific. Surveys, drawings, maps, plans diagrams, estimates—every thing that pertains to, and precedes the construction of public works, have been carefully prepared. social civilization, are not pretended to be the work of the Musquito king; but it would not be surprising if her claim to execute this great enterprise of uniting the two oceans, should be as-

cate this great enterprise of uniting the two oceans, should he as-serted in his name—certainly not more surprising than some other things which have been recently done under the same suspices. The river San Juan de, Nicaragua, is one degree south of the southern limit of the Musquito territory. According to British maps, that territory extends only to the 12th degree of north lati-tude. The river empties into the Carribean sea at the 11th parallel But be has recently claimed that it extends to the 11th with an

one size has recently channed that the extends of the Thin with an intrimation, as I understand, that it may possibly extend to the 10th, or even the 9th, which would include a part of Panama.

Before I quit this part of the subject, I will read to the Senate, an extract from the Despatch, another British newspaper, published at Kingston, Jamaica, reciting the grounds on which this claim

"The difference between the government of Ceutral Anomaca, and the long of Moquito, are now of some years standing. The former republic has no set ucknowledged, the aversering yellamed by Ring Gorge, nor and prot on of the territory alled dispersion of the property of t

according to this authority, is the claim of Great Britain to the Masquito territory, which she expressly stipulated by treaty to evacuate—a claim resting upon an arrangement with the Musquito government, which has never been recognized by the Central Americans as an independent State—a government, in fact, alleged to have been established, or rather got up in its present form by Great Britain herself, and it would seem from this stateform by Great Britain hersell, and it would seem from this state-ment, which is asstanced by other evidence corridorating it, that she examines documents, exparte, traces boundaries, settles theta without consultation with loose whom they vitally occurent, binds-herself to support them, and acts accordingly. A more summary execution of the law of britain the summary of the sum

copy or a nonze from the British consail center in Central America, addressed to the principal secretary of the supreme government of Nicaragua, in September last. It is translated from the English into Spanish. I bave only had time to look at it, so as to see its purport; but I will read it now to the Senate, translating see its purport; but it back into English.

BRITISH CONSULATE GENERAL, Guatemala, 10th September, 1847.

To the principal Secretary of the supreme government of the State of Nicorogua To the principal Secretary of the suprime government of the State of Nicrogous. Sim—Gostilous having aimen at various priofs, with the State of Illoudaria and Nicaragua, concerning the evenation of the maritime frontier of the King sing the various documents and kinderical registers which exist relative to the subject, as of the opacion that the territorial register which exist relative to the subject, as of the opacion that the territorial register of the King of the Mospatica should be and I and charged to norify the supermosphere governments of the States of Hondains, and Nicaragua, as I have now the londr of doing that the government of Her British and projudes the regist related her and Kings may have to any territory sunth of the register which the State of Muserity covernment examps seen with a mader the protection of the British Covern.

The State of State of State of State of State of States of Mention, who is mader the protection of the British Covern.

The State of State of States of the State of States of Mention, when the states of the States of the States of Mention of the States of Mention, when the states of the States of Mention of the States of Mention of the States of the States of Mention of the States of the States of Mention of the States of the States of the States of Mention of the States of the State

Copy: Department of Foreign Relations of the superior government of the State of Nicaragna. Macgna, October 14, 1847. SALINAS.

of Meangan. Magga, October 18, 1821.

It will be seen by this notice, that Great Britain lavs the foundation for a claim, in hehalf of the Mosquito King, to territory south of the river San Juan, leaving the boundary undefined. This note hears date the 10th of September last. And it is a curious fact, that in an official note, bearing date the 24th of the same month, addressed to the government of New Greanda, no intimation is given of such a claim south of the river San Juan. I read the last, mentioned note while addressing the Senate on the California discuss and the opinision is the none extraordium; as the forms claims; and the omission is the more extraordinary, as the British government can hardly be unadvised that New Grenada claims, jointly with the Central American States, the coast of the Claims, Jointy wint the Central American States, the class of the Carribean sea, not only to the river San Juan, but as far north as Cape Gracias a Dios. The object of the omission, it it lad an object, must be left to conjecture. It may have been necidently or it may be that Great Britain did not think it advisable to alarm. at that juncture, the most stable of the governments having an interest in the question, by putting forth a claim so well calculated to excite uneasiness.

ted to excite uneasiness. With these ordiners of a fixed purpose of extension and urgrandizement of Great Britain in this bemisphere; with our vivid recollection of the tenacity with which she asserted her claim to territory on our north-eastern boundary and in Oregon—territory remote from her, chiefly valuable because it encroached on us, and curtailed the limits for our expansion; with the still more fresh and vivid recollection of the transactions in California, with a view to obtain a large and valuable portion of that territory for British

subjects;\* with these evidences of a purpose, open and palpable, to extend her own dominion upon this continent, if not to prevent the extension of ours; I am really surprised that any one can doubt that she would avail herself of the first opportunity of gaining an ascendancy in Yucatan. It borders upon her own settlement at Balize, and is separated from it, according to her own representation, by a boundary "ill-defined." Sir. I must say that I know no parallel to this inceredulity, excepting in the state of things in Athens, which produced the third Philippe of Demonstence—in the blindness or and Flis, and Olynchus, comy in Philip, when the produced the context of Three had falled into his hambs. I do not make the vestes of Three had falled into his hambs. I do not make the Phoeis, and Pher, and Elis, and Olynthus, and fluc two and twen-ty cities of Trace had fallen into his hands. I do not make the comparison because I fancy any other resemblance between the historical features of that epoch and this. In other respects, the parallel fails. I do not wish any member of this honorable body to see an enemy in Great Britain. I do not so consider her my self. I consider her as a friend; I desire that she may continue so—at most a rival, in commerce, in the generous competition of industry, and in the extension of civilization and freedom. I do industry, and in the extension of civilization and freedom. I do not ency her, or its legitimate possessors, the dominion over the torrid plains of Central America—that erast of earth parched by a raging sun above, and heated by volcanic free sheensth. Much less do I regard her extension in our neighborhood, with apprehensions for our safety. We have long since grown beyond the dimensions in which there was any danger to be apprehended from the extension of other nations pion this continent, no matter how clearly this may be brought into contact with us. But make these statements in order that we may see what is actually in progress-not because it brings with it any serious cause of apprehension, on our own account, but that we may not coldly apprehension, on our own account, but that we may not coldly turn away our faces when weak and defonceless neighbors are jura-ded and despoiled. For myself, sir, I cannot help seeing in Great British a spirit of agrandelment which is perpetual in its produced by the second of the globe where there is territory and in every other portion of the globe where there is territory accupied, and too often where there is territory occupied, and too often where there is territory occupied by those who are too weak to defend it. I believe, also, whether this conflict in Yucatan shall terminate in the explasion of the Spanish race, or the discomfiture of the aboriginal, that her boundary will be likely to be extended further into the interior. That "ill-defined" boundary may become defined, and with creatly enlared dimensions.

farther into the interior. That "ill-defined" boundary may become defined, and with greatly enlarged dimensions.

Mr. President, I have nothing more to say upon this point, excepting that I do not support the bill, because I think the occepation of Yucatan by us is necessary to keep it out of the hands of European powers. I am not sure that I could, except under very extraordinary circumstances, be induced to advocate the military occupation of a country for such a purpose. But if we see movements of foreign powers on this continent, and especially in our near neighborhood, which are suspecious, we have a right to call on the continent of the c objects are in violation of the great principles of international right, or dangerous to our tracoquility, or even our interests, we may properly take such measures of precaution or prevention, as the exigency of the case shall require. I do not undertake—indeed it might not be very easy—to assign the precise measure of provocation which would justify resistance on our part, or the extent to which resistance might be rightfully carried. Every emergency that artering orientationsces. But of the existence of such a right of resistance on grounds of international law, I do not entertain the slightlesst doubt.

right of resistance on grounds of international law, I do not enter-tain the slightest doubt.

And here, Mr. President, I must ask the indulgence of the Se-nate, while I look hastily into the nature and origin of the right Every sovereign State is to be considered under two aspects. The Every sovereign State is to be consuered under two aspects. The first, concerns its interior relations—the relations which exist be-tween the governing and the governed, or, in other words, be-tween the government and the people. The second, concerns its exterior relations, or its relations with foreign States.

The first class only is ordinarily the subject of internal or ma-nicipal regulation. The constitution of the United States, for in-stance, regulates the relations of the federal government to the States and to the people. It scarcely to nohes the exterior rela-tions of the country, excepting so far as it declares is what cap-partments the powers of making war, peace, and treaties, and appointing ambassadors shall vest. Now, it is quite apparent that there is a numerous class of exterior relations wholly untouched by the constitution, not always regulated by treaty stipulations. They arise out of the natural rights and obligations of sovereign States, and are regulated by usage, by the general international law which has grown up and tecome sanctioned by the acquies-cence of all civilized communities. One of our vessels, public or private, cannot go ten miles from the land without becoming subject to an international code, not founded upon the internal laws of States, whether organic or administrative, not regulated ordi-narily by treaty stipulations between them, but as old, nevertheless, as the Consolato del Mare, and deriving its force from public consent.

These rights and duties are co-relative. What one nation is bound to do, any other may call on it to perform. We cannot live

<sup>&</sup>quot;Note.—In connection with this subject, I deem it due to fairness to state, that Lord Palmerston has instructed Her Britain Majesty's representative at Washington "to contrindic, on all occasions, the softenedd sacrifion that Her Majesty's government has been taking any steps whatever to acquire any footing in California." and that this instruction came to my knowledge after that speech was delivered.

in the general obscurity of nations, without observing these rules ourselves; nor can we consent that they shall be violated by others, where our safety or interest is concerned. There are obligations of this sort applicable to the land as well as the sea. One of these is, that no nation shall interfere with the internal concerns of another. As a member of the great family of nations, we have a right to insist that this rule shall be observed. In all cases, where the rule or the principle is settled beyond dispute, any member of the general society of nations is as fully warranted in calling upon any general society or trespect it, as any member of this configured order use in the member of the configured order authorized authorized to observe the obligations of the indiamental compact. The only question that can arise, is one of practical prudence—bow far we shall deen it expedient to the terpose to prevent a breach of international obligations. I have terpose to prevent a breach of international colligations. I have always contended that, even for this purpose, we ought not to interfere with the movements of European powers, when those movements relate to questions strictly European. And I have insisted with the same carnestness, that there should be no interference. stated with the same carnessness, that there should be no morne-rence on their part, with the internal concerns of the independent States in this hemisphere, and especially in our near neighborhood, involved as our interests, political and commercial, are in their tranquility and exemption from domestic agitations.

If I am asked for the origin of the right on one part to interpose for the purpose of preventing a breach of international obligations. I refer again to the general code by which all civilized States are governed. As to the mode I have nothing to say. I repeat, every emergency must be determined by the surrounding circumevery energency most be determined by the surrounding circumstances in which it is presented. Whether we shall interpose at all is a question of produce—a question undoubtedly to be disposed of with the greatest debluration, when it is proposed to make it the basis of practical conduct.

make it the basis of practical column.

But I do not put our intervention in this case upon the ground either of resisting manthorized interference on the part of other nations, or of anticipating and preventing it. I place it upon the peculiar circumstances in which we stand in relation to Yucatan circumstances which seem to me to impose on us an obligation in-depently of all considerations even of humanity. We have taken We have taken possession of the principal outlet of trade in her chief staple pro possession of the principal office of the foreign commodities, which she received in exchange. We have appropriated her revenue to ourselves. We even wont so far as to impose duties on her own ourselves. We even went so far as to impose duties on her own products, carried from one of her ports to another, though as soon as this was ascertained to be the case, directions were very properly given by the President that they should be discontinued have thus not only taken her own revenues, but we have imposed nave thus not only taken her own revenues, but we have imposed on her people new burthens by taxing the transit of rucles which were previously exempt from duty. I do not intend to intimate that we have done any thing not essential to the successful con-duct of the war. In regard to the revenue which we have collectduct of the war. In regard to the revenue whien we have conceed duct of the war. In regard to the revenue when we have conceed at Laguna, I have endeavored to ascertain the amount; but I learn that the accounts are kept in connection with other receipts and disbursements, so that time is required to separate them. The Navy department, however, has been able to ascertain that the amount collected has been between fifty and sixty thousand dolamount collected has been between fifty and sixty thousand dollars for a pertion of the last year—the returns for the year not being complete. But this does not show the amount that we have diverted from the treaty of Yucatan. We all know that war is the great enemy of commerce; and it must readily be seen, that the effect of or hostile operations in Mexico has been to diminish the ability of Yucatan to meet the exigency in which she is placed. the anmy or relation for inset the exigency in when site is practic. It seems to me, that if she had no ober claim than this—in addition to the consideration that site was been neutral throughout contest—she nght ever properly call upon is for aid. If we cannot not from motives of humanity—if we feel constrained to regard this question as one to be determined according to the collects and this question as one to be determined according to the coldest and most rigid maxims of political prudence, may we not find, nevertheless, in the circumstances. I have stated, an appeal to our justice which we cannot readily set aside. I think so; and it is upon this ground chiefly, that I place my support of this bill.

In performing this act of justice, it is a grateful reflection, that we may also perform an act of humanity—that we are enabled to turn, for the moment from the painful duty of assumiting towns, and

overrunning provinces—a duty imposed on us by the prosecution of hostilities with Mexico—to the more congenial office of extinguish-ing the flames of internal discorde, and of reconciling classes which are waging against each other an exterminating war. Sir, I cannot fancy a more striking contrast in the social and political condition of two nations, than that which exists between the United States and Yucatan—in the prosperity and tranquility of the one, and in the disorder and desolation of the other. The law presides here in her majesty, spreading her broad shield over all. Industry and the arts, helpless lutancy, decrepit age, life, liberty, property, all that men possess, and cherish, and hold dear, is protected by the power of a moral opinion, which lies at the foundation of the and his of the of representation and essent the unmandation from the western to unmandation from the western to our Central American neighbor, the whole picture is reversed. Law, order, tranquility, the friendly association of classes and testes—all have perished. The moral and physical ties, which render life desirable and human possessions secure, have been foreighly rent assunder. Towns and villages have been nave need foreiny rent assainer. I owis and villages have been given to the flames, and their wretched inshiritants to the sword. Plantations have been ravaged; farm houses sacked, demolished, bornt; property plandered where it could be earried away, and destroyed where it could not. Men, women, and children, driven from their homes, if, indeed, they are so fortunate as to escape the fury of their remorseless pursuers, rush to the sea in the hope

of finding some passing vessel which may take them from the blackened and desolated land. Sir, this is a mere outline of the picture of devastation which Yucatan presents. I would not, if I could, undertake to fill it up with its loathsome and revolting de-I do not draw this sketch, imperfect as it is, for the purpose of making an appeal to the sympathy of the Senate. I only present it for the purpose of adding a final remark.

Mr. CLAYTON .- Will the honorable Senator allow me, before he concludes, to ask him a question? Is the Senator satisfied in his own mind, that there is, at this moment, a war raging between the Indians and the whites in Yucatan?

Mr. DIX -- I am perfectly satisfied of the fact. All the testi mony that I have seen, tells me that such is the ease. I think there can be no doubt of it.

Mr. President, as I said, I desire only to make a single remark more. If honorable Senators shall think with me that it is our right and our duty to interpose; if they shall consent to act in the mode proposed by the bill, or in some other mode, which may seem to them more free from objection; if the effect of our interposition shall he to put an end to this unnatural warfare, to restore peace to Yucatan, to give back her desolated fields and plains to industry and order, and the empire of law, it will constitute, in the sight of civilization and humanity, a far more ennobling triumph, than a dozen victories won for the excension of empire at the point of the bayonet and the cannon's mouth.

Mr. HANNEGAN -It is due to the honorable Senator from New York that I should state, that since be commenced his speech, in addition to the information contained in the Baltimore Sun of this morning, I have received further intelligence upon which I rely with a confident assurance in my own mind, of its correctness, that a treaty has been made between the contending parties in Yucatan. The heads of this treaty are given in the Sun. parties in Yucatan. The heads of this treaty are given in the Sun. For my own part, I think the treaty a proper, liberal, and just one to both of the parties concerned. The information which I have received, to be sure, comes from a private source, but one on which I can rely—and I think, therefore, that a treaty has positively been concluded. In such circumstances, I feel it due to the Senator, due to the country, and due to myself, that I should not press the question at this time. I will will move, then, that the bill be passed over informally, until we can receive official information contring the matter. This treaty is made hetween one of the principle lenders of the savages and the white population. of extermination has, therefore, ceased at least for the present; the interests of the United States are scorre from injury in that quarter, while a state of war with Mexico continues, inasmuch as the landing of any troops by a foreign power, without our consent, would be an act of direct aggression, according to all the recognized law of nations.

Mr. DIX .- I regret very much that I did not receive this infor-

Mr. HANNEGAN .- The Senator will permit me to say, that as I knew that he would upon this, as upon all occasions, make an excellent speech, I was unwilling to deprive the Senate and my-

Mr. UNDERWOOD .- I hope the gentleman's motion will prewil, though it cuts off my speech. I wish, however, to assign an additional reason why it should prevail. Mr. Sierra first applied for assistance in the month of February last; renewed his supplications for aid repeatedly through the months of March and April. About the latter end of April he hints that perhaps his letters were too long; and that the Secretary had been deterred on that account from reading them. He then wrote a very short letter, assigning its brevity as one renson why he hoped the Secretary would condescend to read it. Here, then, are two months of in cessant application to the humanity of this government to interpase and save the women and enderen of a ucatan from stanguer, and their towns and villages from configration. But to all these applications the Executive turned a deaf ent. No interposition "ethought of until the 21st of April, when Mr. Sierra communicates a paper from Governor Mendez, offering the dominion and sovereign'y of Yucatan. Just as soon as that offer is made, and the tender of a price for our exercise of humanity is given, then the President of the United States makes a communication to Congress and about a week afterwards the whole subject is placed before us. Now, if the Excentive could wait till two months after the application was made before it moved at ail, it ems to me, that in the face of the information, that a treaty has been concluded between Mr. Par-as I believe the Indian leader is called—on one side, and Mr Barbachmo on the other, this bill should not be pressed upon the Schale. If the intelligence which we have received be not confirmed, I shall then claim the privilege

Mr. HANNEGAN .- I am rather inclined to think that I may felicitate myself that, after all, I have not been the means of de-priving the Senator from Kentucky of an opportunity of making his speech. He has made quite a speech in the few words which he has addressed to the Senate. But let me remind the Senator that he does not stand alone; for I knew several Senators here, who are quite as auxious, and as ready as the Senator for Interbucky to address the Senator. Indeed, I was myself under the impression, that probably in accordance with the rules of the Senate and parliamentary usage, I had a right to close the debate, inasmuch as 1 had the honor of natroducing the bill. But cheerfully, and without any hesitation, I surrendered that privilege, and waived every personal consideration, or rather, as I suppose it may more properly be called, vanity, than any thing isses—believing that duty called for the sacrifice. Let me add, that the attack upon the administration which the Senator from Kentucky has just made, has been repeatedly made in the course of this debate. I stated twice on a formor occasion, that the reason which administration is supported by the administration of the course of this debate. much as I had the honor of introducing the bill. But cheerfully on a former occasion, that the reason why the administration did not act sooner, was, because they were waiting to hear from our own officers in the gulf, to whom orders had been issued, to collect information and transmit it to the department. Does the Senator from Kentucky need to be informed by me, that Mr. Sierra, to whom so much importance has been attached, was not here in a whom so much importance has been attached, was not here in a recognized diplomatic capacity; that he was, in fact, no more than a private citizen of Yucatan? He was called a commissioner, to be sure, by the province of Yucatan; and come here with that title. But could we recognize him in that capacity? Why, sir, no man would have gone in ther than the Senator from Kentucky, no main wound have gone in the range the senator from Kentucky, in denouncing the conduct of the administration, if they had received this gentleman as a diplomatic agent. Yucatan had no power to appoint a commissioner; and Mr. Sierra was here only as a private citizen.

Mr. NILES.—I am perfectly willing to acquiesce in the post-ponement of this bill till we obtain additional information. At the same time, I must say, I am somewhat surprised that the postpone-ment should be preferred by my honorable (riend, on the ground of the noformation that has been recently received. I believe that my bonorable fried and others have advocated this bill maily. I might almost say exclusively, on the ground of policy, with the view of meeting at the threshold the assumed aggressive policy of England. Now, if that he the consideration on which the bill was upon us, why postpone it? There is no evidence at all that the designs of England, if they ever existed, have been abandomed. Certainly, if Great Britain has such a settled purpose, as has been ascribed to her, we have no evidence that she will not pursue in The argument of humanity has, I undertain grained that The argument of humanity has, I undertain grained that the state of the the information that has been recently received. I believe that m

Mr. HANNEGAN .- I should be much better prepared to an Mr. HANNEGAN.—I should be much better prepared to answer the Senator from Connecticut, if I could upon this, or any other question, which has presented itself to the Senatu, since I have had the pleasure of being associated with him on this floor, ascertain from his declarations, what his real opinions are, and what course he is resolved definitely to pursue. But, I must candidly confess, that up to this moment, I have never been able gather from the declarations of that humorable gentleman, the course which on any subject, he intended to adopt. Only a few days since, the Senator from Connecticut addressed the Senate at length, in opposition to the whole proposition before the Senate. Now, I understand him, if I can understand him at all, as urging its adoption on the score of humanity. Need I tell the honorable Senator, who represents a portion of the shipping interest of this country, in reply to his remark relative to apprehensions of English power there, that we have a squadron in that vicinity, which will know what to do, should Great Britain attempt to land any troops there

do not abate one hair of the high ground upon which I placed this question at first. But circumstances have changed the case. I am satisfied that there has been a treaty concluded between the two races in Yucatan. I have no doubt of it; and in such circumstances, I would be playing the part of a demagague and imposter, before the Senate and the country, if I should press the adoption of the bill. It was only the great emergency of the case that impelled me to act. But now we have the assurance, that the war of extermination has, for the present at least, been brought to a close; and whilst the war conduces between the United States and Mexico, the presence in Yucatan of a foreign armed force would, as the Secretary of the Navy correctly says, be regarded justly as

as the Secretary of the Navy correctly says, be regarded justly as a not of war upon us.

Let me advert for a moment to the consequences of the concentment of the intelligence just received from Yucatan, if I had been expable of doing so. Four months of the research of the concentration of the consequence of the presence there also of a British armed force, a conflict could be avoided, unless the two countries had united in a common object? No. Unless and alinace for some high and holy purpose should be contracted between England and the United States, whenever, and wherever the banners of the two powers shall be displayed in the same field, while the notes of "God save the King?" and "Rule Brittania" are asswered by the inspiring strains of "Hail Columbia" and the "Star Spangled olashing steed and an ensauguined plain".

I have only to add in reply to the insinantion of the bonorable gentleman from Connection; that so far as I have been able to judge of his course, it is in this instance as much at war with the interests of his constituents, and it has uniformly been at war with the interests of his constituents, and it has uniformly been at war with the former political professions with which he extered appn public life. an act of war upon us.

Mr. NILES.-I am not to be shaken in my course by any one, not even by the Senator from Indiana. And neither he nor any

other individual has any right to assail my course. That course other individual has any right to assail my course. I hat course has been dictated by my own conscience, and I am quite willing that my votes and my specches during the whole period of myser view here should be subjected to the severest test which the gentleman may choose to apply. I am quite willing to be true thy those principles whose that homorable gentleman and myself have professed to maintain. It is by that test that the specific stard or to fall in the estimation of those who they are professed to maintain. the estimation of those who regard my course or character as of sufficient consequence to merit examination. I have stood by those principles, and it is not for me to say whether my bonorable friend has stood by thom or not. These principles in regard to our exter-nal policy are pacific. They are the principles of that school in which I was initiated into political life. I see with regret, with pain, a departure from those principles, and I see my innorable friend on all "tity-form forty"—I see him to the very last at-tempting to defeat a treaty which is to restore peace to this com-try. I see him now attempting to pursue what my indement temping to deteat a treaty which is to estore peace to this construct. I see him now attempting to purse what my judgment teaches me to regard as an uncalled-for and rash measure, which might, and probably would, moved this country in difficulties which no human sugacity can foresee—in a war of conquest—in entanglements with the whole of these Spanish American countries. in entanglements with the whole of these Spanish American coun-tries—and does the gentleman suppose that in re-isting a measure like that, I am to be charged with departing from that policy and those principles which characterize the old democratic school in which I was brought up I Sir, the gentleman and others have for-gotten those doctrines which once distinguished not by profession merely, but in practice and in action, the course of that party!— The gentleman says that he cannot tell how I shall act on any question from what I say. Sir, if my course here has not been frank, and open, and independent, and fearless, I do not know what course I could have pursued that wend have deserved that charfrank, and open, and independent, and feariess, I do not know what course I could have pursued that would have deserved that character. I have no dignises here or elsewhere. I speak what I think. I, to be sure, have been called upon to condemn, in some eases, a general course of policy, when, in the peculiar circumstances of the particular question before us, I have given my vote stances of the particular question before us, I have given my vote for that measure. But my views have not been concealed; they have not heen lid, if they have been open to the face of day. Thave held myself, however, responsible to no one but my own conscience and my constituents. I have been as allegetiler regardless of any other consideration as any member appar this floor can less of any other consideration as any member upon this floor can possibly be. My public life is approaching its close. I wish only to end it as I have pursued it. in the honest and fearless discliarge of my duty, and a steady devotion to those principles now desert-ed by so many who professed adherence to them. Whoever else many in public life, I, for one, but the latter than the many it up down, it bell to properly a properly any treachery of mine—by any it was the contraction of the properly of the contraction of the contraction. it go down, it shall not go down by any treachery of mine—by any yielding up of those principles to any considerations of expedience or temporary policy, or truckling to the powers that may at any particular time desert the affairs of the government. I had no intention to offend the Senator. I did take the liberty to allade to what I believe to be a jirst view of this question—that

although I was entirely satisfied as to the disposition of it, yet I believed that the matter had been misconceived from the beginning. I did also allude to the position which the honorable gen tleman had assumed, with others, that this was a measure of politem and assumed, with the control of the question on two distinct grounds of policy. One of these grounds was, that the bill was sustained by the declaration of Mr. Mouree, that it was our duty sistanced by the declaration of Mr. Monroe, that it was our duty and interest to resist the extension of the power of any European nation upon this continent, meaning England, as the distinguished Senator from South Carolina said. The other ground was, that the possession of Yucatan was necessary for the security of our commerce. I had no concurrence with the Senator in either of these views, and I stated the ground of my opinions in the speech which I made a few days since. And rather as a matter of mere pleasantry, called the attention of the Senator to what I regarded

pleasantry, called the attention of the Scnator to what I regarded as inconsistency in his conduct. I remarked that I saw nothing in the information recently received which had changed the grounds on which the honorable Scnator advocated the bill.

I will not trespass on the time of the Senate. I regrete very much that I should have effended the honorable Scnator. Our relations have always been of the most friendly character. This has been the first instance in which any unknot disposition has been manifested by him. I think be has newerrantably arraigned my conduct, in reference not to this measure only, but for the whole period in which I have had the honor to have a seat here. I deny nis right, or that of any other man, thus to arraigu me. However Is an quite prepared to meet any gentlem us on this size. However, I am quite prepared to meet any gentlem us on this issue. I have ever adhered with strict fidelity to those principles with which I set out and my own conduct has been actuated by a sincere desire in truth, consistency, and honesty to promote the interests of the

Mr. HANNEGAN .- It was no intention of mine to arraign the Mr. HANNEGAN.—It was no intention of mine to arraign the course of the Senator from Connectiont. It never could be my intention to arraign the course of any Senator here on account of any act which be might see proper to do. Simply, by way of re-taliation on account of what I regarded as an ocalled for made provoked attack upon myself, I considered that a title. But even in the numer of repelling that attack, I do hier distinctes towards the numer of repelling that attack. I do hier that the defend my. the Senator from Connectiont. My only object was to defend my-self, and correct an unjust imputation, but I have no unfriendly feeling towards the Senator. The words which be has just spoken

have already erased even the memory of the attack which I thought at the time he had wantonly made. Let me say here, sir, that were I to leave this Senate to-morrow, never to return to it again, the proadest, dearest, and most chershed recollection of my life, would be that I believed I left it in the enjoyment of the kindest relations towards every member of the holy. The marks of condense and friendship with which I have been henored since I became a member of this august body, have made an impression upon me which can never be officed. I might, indeed, employ the emplate language of Queen Eirabeth, when she said that the world sent income thus inspired has been engraven on my heart in characters which even death will not obliterate.

The Senator does me injustice when he represents me as being foremest on every occasion in manifesting a disposition to plunge the country into a war. There is no man who more highly appreciates the blessings and the glory of peace, or who regards with greater pain than I do, the necessity of our appeal to anns. I know well, that but for the peace which has existed for the last thirty-three years, the l'nited States could not possible have attained their present point of prosperity and grandeur. War would have returded our every step. We would still have been in the word on although but an infant in wears, all the noble proportions of manhood in his prime. Peace I believe to be the true policy of the country. It is our mission. The people of the United States love peace rather than war; but if is peace not purchased by scribity or dishonor, They love peace for its own sake, because it clovates the condition of men, and is the surest patients. But all the the conditions are all the robbe peace alone that they love—peace preserved consistently with the rights, honor and interests of the country. Peace on any other terms, they would spurn as infamous. Hence it is, that associated with the part of take the highest stand, and most determined position, whenever the honor or interests of the country, are in the slighest degree endangered or assaided; because nations are always more likely to succeed in their purposes of right when holdly assorted, than when they assume the actitude of supplicants and beggars.

Mr. FOOTE.—I cannot withhold the language of surprise at a portion of the remarks which have just fallen from the honorable Senator from Kentucky. That gentleman complains that the President has been to dilatory in calling the attention of Congress to this Yucaran affair—arges that the people of that unbiappy country have been, for months past, in a condition to need such a first that the present of the properties of the p

httor reconcies his bother could be a little difficulty with its present classifiers, think it would be a little difficulty for the total case the season of this time, is chiefly to respond to a very extraordinary remark which we have heard this morning from the Senator from Connecticut. That Senator has ventured to accuse the democratic party of having abandoned its ancient principles—of having discredied its famor character—and has particularly urged upon in the proposition that the democratic party, formerly known as the peace party of the country, has become distinguished of late by that fondness for war, which, he insists, was in former times the distinguishing characteristic of their opponents. This suggestion has not originated with the honorable Senator from Connecticut but was quite caroestly urged in our hearing the other day by the Senator from South Carolina, now in his seat, [Mr. CALTIOUN.]

Sir, I have no objection to any thing which may be offered to our consideration by either of these gentlement a vindication of his own consideration by either of these gentlement a vindication of his own rescent themselves from the discredit of impured appearet, they undertake to inveigh against the whole democratic party, and elarge us with such sanderless recreancy as that so emphatically imputed, I feel called on to say something in reply, if for no other reason, in order that the truth of history may stant vidicated.

I feel assured that the assertion, now for the first time ventured.

upon, so for res I am informed, that the penes party of the requisite has been always, nutil lately, known as the democratic party, and the war party as the whigt, or federal party, will surprise the whole nation; and I dust exceedingly whether such an assertion is not as well enleulated to give offence to consistent and honest whigs as to democrats. In taking a retrospective view of the history of parties in connection with this interesting point. I find that it was at an oarly period of the war of 1812, that is high-souled son of the Palimetto State first made known to his countryme and the world those are intellectual powers which have since procured for him the universal respect of all, who are capitle of appreciate the duty of this personage, then young, and energetic, and full of enthusiasm, to respond to one of the most remarkable public speakers, in some respects, that bas ever made his apprearance in this

Great Britain was the subject of debate. The young representative from South Carolins was in favor of the war, and all the great measures of the period connected therewith; and the speech which he delivered on the occasion referred to, asserted, with a boldness which has never been surpassed, with a logical power sold, if ever equalled, and with a firer and ferrid eloquence which Demosthenes himself might have envired, all those noble particular evers and scattiments which then prevailed in the republican party opponents. The youtful orator was the chief champion of the war, and he saw standing by him, and co-operating heartify and efficiently in unison with him, a favorite son of Kentucky, who has since acquired a celebrity and influence which few persons in our day have enjoyed. Were these two young advocates and defended to the war policy of the government federalists? Was it the republican party at that time that denonneed the war with England all refused in Congress to wote supplies of men and money for its prosecution. Was it the republican party in New England that refused in Massachinestry, that retined to permit the prison-bouses of the State to be used for the confinement of British prisoners of war? Was it republican bands that supplied the famous his "Jahra which were once seen to glummer along the New England coast? The simplest peasant in the land would experience no difficulty in answering these questions, and even lisping childhood has been known to grow eloquent in discussing them.

Again: when, some years since, a broker-king attempted to do herope President of that bright period in our citizens, and the herope President of that bright period in our anoals, announced to Congress his determination, if properly sustained by the legislar we department, to obtain speedy and foll redress, though war with France might be the result, what party then sustained the honor of the country? Who, in Congress, voted in support of the proposition to arm the President with such powers as might canable min to maintain the honor of the republic, and who against it?

Sir, I shall pursue this subject no further; and I regret the necessity which has been imposed upon me of alluding to such humilia-ting incidents in our history as a nation at all. And now, Mr. Presi-dent, what is the attitude of the Senator from South Carolina, the gentleman who accuses the whole democratic party of abandor-ing most of its ancient principles, which he himself, as he says, has what is the attitude at the present mement of the personage who an nounces himself most gravely, as the political Abdiel, of this perverse and wicked generation, "among the faithless, faithful only he?" Why, he is opposed to a vigorous prosecution of the war with Mexico, though his own Texan annexation policy undeniably brought it on lle cell did not be a full mission to Rome, and could not vote even for sending a minister of subordinate grade, to his Papal majesty, without amouncing solemly in our hearing that he was not at all influenced by sympathy for the grand movements of socar any pointest retorm now in progress in Lurope. He would not vote in support of resolutions, expressive of sympathy merely, in behalf of the French people when the distinguished Senator from Ohio, [Mr. ALEEN,] with his characteristic magnatimity, ventured to introduce them in this body. He had then no con-fidence in the competency of the French people to govern them-selves. He angued the worst results from their revolutionary. solves. He augmed the worst results from their revolutionary movements; and when the vote upon the resolutions was to be taken, the Senator from South Carolina was not to be found in his secar. These, sir, are melanetholy details; but they are too true to be denied. And now, sir, what remans for us to do? Shall we still recognise the Senator from South Carolina as a democratic levider, earthful to control the councils of our party in the face of our wield to his, necessary, when more than the second of the control of the second source of the second or yield to his persuasions, when, more than twelve months ago, public: and, desiring to commit no breach in our present relations of social amity, I am compelled to declare my deliberate convic-tion, that the safety and true honor of the nation will be best conmave onserved his course this whiter, it has been most apparent that he is not nw, what he was in the outset of his public eareer. Whether it be that the flow of years has chilled his native entinusias not from emperation or the disappointment of cherished wishes has seured and disgnsted bim with his former politic classociates, or the organ of caution has in some way become unduty enlarged, whilst the organ of hope has been distinctively and the property of the property of the property. come industry emargety, within the organ of nope has been ni-minishing. I am not prepared to determine. But I shall take leave to lament on this occasion, as I do most painfully and profoundly, that the illustrons chief, who was once joyously and proudly hailed as the noblest champion of our cause, to be found has, under influences visible, yet mysterious, permitted those in vincible locks, beneath which lay concealed strength, such as God Vincinic mosts; oriental vinten my concentrative strength, siten as com-has schlom; if ever, given to mortal man besides, to be shorn away by promate Philastine hands, and himself to be delivered into the power of his intergring and mocking conenies, bound, blind, and impotent, saves or purjoses of indiscriminate destruction. Also alast, and yet alast. Our long trusted and world-encowned Palina-diast, and yet alast. rus has permitted himself to grow slumberous at his post, and has

tumbled into mid-ocean, whilst his hands still firmly grasped the rudder of State; and now, if the last hopes of the Trojan people shall be
rescaned from the innumerous perils of the bring eep, it will be because some Æneas will promptly seize the helm, and steer holdly and
at one to the destined port. Another image, yet more borrife, suggests itself to my excited and tortured fancy. I behold the rocky
store of Sielly; vonder stands the hange Clycopean shepherd, of
some stands of the stands of the stands of the stands of the
been accentanced to survey, at a glance, some stands of the
looks serenely upon his flocks who repose at his feet—now he sees

20 mr. Corp. Left. Stream, N. 8.3

30TH Cong .- 1st Session-No. 82.

in the distance the boiling whirlpool and the frowning rock. "Will he. oh will he," permit that cunning Greek, whom he holds eaptive, to consign him to unseasonable slumber? Will great Polyphenuas sleep whilst that same wily Greek shall sharpen his own club, tear away from him his precious organ of sight, and leave him howling along the shore, to dwell in solitude, in darkness, and in desperation forever? It will be for the future to decide these great and momentous points.

On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# THURSDAY, MAY 18, 1848.

#### REPORTS FROM DEPARTMENTS.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a report of the acting Secretary of the Treasury, made in council a recolution of the Senate, showing the quantity and avalue of sugar imported into the United States during the years 1944, 1846, 1846, and 1847; which was read and ordered to be printed.

Also, a report of the Secretary of the Navy, made in complinace with a resolution of the Senate, accompanied by the papers filed by Mary Cassin, with her application for a pension; which was read and referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

#### PETITIONS

Mr. PEARCE presented the memorial of Sarah A. Mackay, widow of a deceased army officer, praying the renewal of her pension; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, presented a petition of citizens of the parish of Natchitoches, Louisiana, praying an appropriation for impreving the navigation of Red river; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce and ordered to be printed.

Mr. CLAYTON presented a memorial of citizens of Backs county, Pennylvaniu, praying the construction of a railroad between the citize of a York and Philadelphia, for facilitating vecen the citize of a York and Philadelphia, for facilitating memorical intercourse and the transportation of the mail, which Roads for the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads

Mr. CRITTENDEN presented the petition of Johnson Price and Alexander R. McKee, praying a grant of lands in the Territory of Oregon, for the purpose of occupation and settlement, which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands and ordered to be printed.

On motion by Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, it was

Ordered, That J. Anthony King and Cuyler W. Young, have leave to withdraw their petition.

### CONSIDERATION OF PRIVATE BILLS.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, submitted a motion that Friday and Saturday of this week be assigned for the consideration of private bills.

Mr. ATHERTON said that he would be very happy to agree to the proposition if some reservation were made, by which the military appropriation bill might be taken up to morrow. It was important that that hill should be acted upon, as it made appropriation for the board of examiners at West Point, which would assemble early in June next. He did not suppose that the bill would give rise to debate.

Mr JOHHSON, of Louisiana, replied that he had no objection to the understanding, that the bill referred to should be taken up to morrow morning.

Mr. BRIGHT was not disposed to object to the motion, provided the bill providing for the admission of Wisconsin into the Union, should be deleyed. The chairman of the Committee the ball been usexpectedly called from the chamber yesterday, and owing to that circumstance, the bill has not been taken up to-day.

Mr. JOHNSON suggested that the bill might be taken up to-day.

Mr. BRIGHT remarked that there were some estimates necessary to be made, in case any member of the body wished to be informed of the precise condition of the lands hitterto granted for purposes of internal improvement. If the Senate, however, should consent, he would be very glad to call up the hijl to-day.

Mr. JOHNSON said he would, for one, agree to taking up the

Mr. BRIGHT replied that he had just been reminded that the Senator from Ohio was entitled to the floor to-day, and as the Wiseonsin bill would doubtless occupy some time, he did not wish to interfere with the arrangements for the day.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusotts, observed that there were soveral important public measures awaiting the action of the Senate, which, it appeared to him, should not be set aside by private bills. Among these measures was the bill rolating to the administration of justice, under the terms of a treaty between the United States and the Chinese ampure—a bill somewhat complicated, and which had been unduly suspended, as it was very probable that the public interests were now suffering in consequence of delay in acting

Mr. DICKINSON then moved that the motion be laid upon the table.

A division was called for with the following result .

Majority for the motion

So the motion was laid on the table.

## EMORY'S AND ABERT'S REPORTS.

Mr. CASS submitted the following motion for consideration

Ordered. That the Secretary of the Senste deliver to the Bureau of Topegraphical Engineers for distribution, two bundred axtra copies of Lieutenant Emory's report, and two hundred extra copies of Lieutenant Abert's report, dread to be printed, of the expedition from Fort Leavenworth to the Pacific, under General Kearny.

#### MOTION FOR A RECESS.

Mr. BADGER submitted a motion that when the Senata adjourn on Monday next, it be to the following Thursday.

Mr. ATHERTON objected to the consideration of the motion.
Mr. BADGER said he had submitted the motion only as an act
of courtesy to the other side of the chamber, and as it was objected to, he would, with the consent of the Senate, withdraw it.

Leave being granted, the motion was withdrawn accordingly.

#### PRIVATE BILL.

Mr. YULEE, from the Committee on Naval Affairs, to whom was referred a momorial of Sarah Ann Hart, submitted a report, accompanied by a bill for the relief of the representatives and sureties of Benjamin F. Hart, late a pursor in the United States Navy.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the raport be printed.

# LIGHT HOUSES.

Mr. DAIVS, of Massachusetts, from the Committee on Commerce, to whom was referred the joint resolution from the House of Representatives extending the time for the eraction of certain light houses, reported it without amendment.

### PRIVATE BILL

Mr. NILES, from the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads, to whom was referred the petition of L. P. Sanger, submitted a report, accompanied by a bill for bis relief.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading

Ordered, That the report ba printed.

# DISCHARGED

# On motion by Mr. YULEE, it was

Ordered. That the Committee on Naval Affairs be discharged from the further consideration of the memorial of John Crosby, from the further consideration of the petition of Henry M. Paine; and from the further consideration of the petition of clitzens of New Buffalo, Michigan, for a naval depot.

# PAYMENT FOR HORSES, ETC., IN THE MILITARY SERVICE.

Mr. TURNEY, by manimous consent, asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to revive the net entitled "An act to provide for the payment of horses and other property lost or dostroyed in the military sarvice of the United States," approved January 18, 1837, and the acts approved October 14th, 1837, and August 23d, 1842, amendatory of the same, which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee of Claims.

## MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

Mr. President. The House of Representatives have passed a bill making appropriations for the payment of navy pensions for the year ending 30th of Jone, 1849; it which they request the concurrence of the Serate.

The House of Representatives have agreed to the report of the conferees on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the bill entitled "An net to provide additional Examiners in the Patent Office, and for other purposes."

The Speaker of the House of Representatives having signed six enrolled bills, I am directed to bring them to the Senate for the signature of their President.

#### SIGNING OF BILLS.

The VICE PRESIDENT signed the following enrolled bills :

As act to require the holders of military land warrants to composate the land offi-

As act in amendment of an extension to the occurrence of the warrants act to reduce the rate of postage, to limit the use and correct the abuse of the franking privilege, and for the prevention of franks on the revenues of the Post Office Department, "pussed the 3rd of March, 1845."

Ao act for the relief of William P. Brady.

An act to provide for the ventilation of passenger vessels and for other purposes

Ap act to continue, alter, and amend the charter of the City of Washington.

Ao act to anthorize the issuing of a register to the brig Encurancion. ADDITIONAL EXAMINERS IN THE PATENT OFFICE

The Senate proceeded to consider the report of the conferees on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the bill to provide additional Examiners in the Patent Office and for other purposes; and it was

Rerolved, That they concur therein, and that the bill be amended accordingly.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives thereof.

## DEFERRED NOMINATIONS.

The Senate resumed the consideration of the resolution submitted by Mr. Johnson, of Maryland, on the 23th ultimo, in relation to Executive appointments in the recess of the Senate.

Mr. ALLEN addressed the Senate at length in opposition to the resolution, and in reply to the argument of Mr. Johnson, of Maryland, delivered at a former day. A report of his speech will be found in the Appendix.

Ordered, That the further consideration of the resolution be postponed until to-morrow.

Mr. BELL submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to :

Resolved, That when the Senate adjourns on Monday next, it will adjourn to meet again on Thorsday.

#### EXECUTIVE SESSION.

The Senate then proceeded to the consideration of Executive business, and after some time spent therein

On motion.

The Senate adjourned.

On Monday, the 22d instant, the Senate met and immediately adjourned.

# FRIDAY, MAY 19, 1848.

## MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT.

The following message was received from the President of the United States by Mr. Walker, his Secretary:

To the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States

To the sende on a House of Representatives of an extension from the Secretary of Uara, with the information of Congress a communication from the Secretary of War, and a report of the Commissioner of Indian Affairs, showing the result of the settlement required by the testery of August, 15-fit, with the Cherokees, and the appropriations required to carry the provisions of that treaty into effect.

Washington, May 19, 1848.

The message was read.

PETITIONS.

Mr. CLAYTON presented a memorial of citizens of Bucks county, Pennsylvania, praying the construction of a railroad from Philadelphia to New York, to facilitate commercial intercourse and the transportation of the mail between those cities; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Mr. CLAYTON presented the petition of William H. Rogers, attorney of the United States for the district of Delaware, praying compensation for extra official services; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Mr. DIX presented the petition of Edward A. Buttolph, praying that the papers found on the person of Major Andre, at the time of bis capture, and now in bis possession, may be purolised by Congress; which was referred to the Committee on the Library.

Mr. STURGEON presented the petition of William Norris and Company, praying to be allowed drawback of duties on imported iron used by their in the manufacture of steam engines, and re-exported; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce.

Mr. BREESE submitted documents relating to the claim of William L. Wigent, to the right of pre-emption to a quarter section of land; which were referred to the Committee on Public

Mr. BREESE submitted documents relating to the claim of Charily Herrnation, to be confirmed in her rigut of pre-compution to a tract of land, together with a potition of citizens of Geneva; Illinois, on the subject of said claim; which were referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

# COMPENSATION TO ASSISTANT TREASURERS.

Mr. BRIGHT submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to.

Resolved, That the Committee on Finance he instructed to inquire into the expa diency of providing, by law, for the payment of a fair and just compensation to the automation of the committee of the payment of the payment of the payment of the payment of the Teasury, and for the collection, safe-keeping, trusteer and dishurements of the public revenue," who are not now specially provided for in said act.

#### PATENT OFFICE REPORT.

Mr. BADGER submitted the following motion for considera

Ordered, That twenty thousand copies of so much of the annual report of the Commissioner of Patents, received during the present session, as has been ordered to be printed by the House of Representatives, be printed for the use of the Senate.

## MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT.

The following message was received from President of the United States, by Mr. Walker, his Secretary

Mr. President: The President of the United States approved and signed the 17th instant the following acts.

An act in require the holders of inditiny band warrants to compensate the land out four of this United States, fine services in relation to the location of those warrants. An act in anomalous to its net exhibited. "An act to animal the act entitled." An act to endone the rates of postage, the limit the see and correct the abuse of left franking privilege, and for the prevention of fraud on the revenue of the Post Office Department," posted the 2d of Marcia, 1-2.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

## MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

Mr. President: The House of Representatives have passed a bill contride "An act for the relie" of William Tee, of Portamouth, Virgans, in which they request the concurrence of the Senate.

The President of the United States this day approved and agged the following ists:

An act to continue, elter, and amend the charter of the City of Washington.

An act to provide for the ventilation of passenger vessels.

An act to authorize the issuing of a register to the brig Encarnacion.

An act for the relief of William P. Brady.

## House BILLS REFERRED.

The bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of William Tee, of Portsmouth, Virginia, was read the first and second times by unanimous consent.

Ordered, That it he referred to the Committee on Pensions.

The bill from the House of Representatives making appropriations for the payment of navy pensions for the year ending 30th June, 1849, was read the first and second times by unanimous con-

Ordered, That it be referred the Committee on Finance.

## SPANISH STEAMERS.

Mr. DIX, from the Committee on Commerce, to whom was referred a memorial of J. Howard and Son, reported a bill concerning Spanish steam vessels; which was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and considered as in Committee of the Whole, and no amendment being made, it was reported to the

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent.

Resolved, That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforeanid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

Mr. DIX, from the Committee on Commerce, to whom was referred the memoral of J. Howard and Son, repured a bill authorrizing the issuing of registers to the Spanish steam vessels Tuderte and Cetro for a limited time; which was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and considered as in Committee of the Whole, and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engossed and read a third time

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent.

Resolved, That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

### PRIVATE BILL.

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the Committee of Claims, reported a bill for the relief of Manuel X. Harmony; which was read, and passed to the second reading.

#### ADJOURNMENT OVER

On motion, it was

Ordered, That when the Senate adjourn it he to Monday next.

#### MILITARY ACADEMY.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of tha Whole, the bill making appropriations for the support of the Military Academy for the year ending the 30th of June, 1849; and having been amended, it was reported to the Senate, and the amendment was concurred in.

Ordered, That the amendment be engrossed, and the bill be read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time as amended.

Ordered. That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in the amendment.

#### THE PRIVATE CALENDAB.

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, it was

Ordered, That the previous orders be postponed for the purpose of considering the private bills on the general orders, which will not give rise to debate.

The bill for the relief of George Center was read the second time, and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and

On motion by Mr. UNDERWOOD, it was

Ordered, That the further consideration thereof be postponed until to-morrow.

The hill for the relief of John Milliken and others was read the second time; and On motion by Mr. FELCH, it was

Ordered, That the further consideration thereof he postponed

The following bills were read the second time, and considered as in Committee of the Whole :

A bill for the relief of Benjamin I. Calicone

A bill for the relief of Mesurs. Cook, Anthony, Mahon, and others

A bill for the relief of William II. Prentiss.

A bill for the relief of Anna J. Hassler

A bill for the relief of William Parmeter.

A bill for the relief of David Carrier

and no amendment being made, they were reported to the Senate. Ordered, That they be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bills were read a third time by unanimous consent.

Resolved, That they pass, and that their titles so as aforesaid

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the

Honse of Representatives therein. The bill for the relief of the widows and orphans of the offi-cers, seamen, and marines of the brig of war Sowers, was read the second time and considered as in Committee of the Whole, and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

The blank in the 10th line having been filled with the word "twelve," it was

Ordered, That the bill be engrossed and read a third time

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent. Resolved, That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the

Honse of Representatives therein

The Senate proceeded to consider the following bills, as in Committee of the Whole:

An act for the relief of Mary Brown, widow of Isaac Brown

An act for the relief of John Mitchell.

An act for the admission of the State of Wisconsin into the Union and no amendment being made, they were reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That they pass to a third reading.

The said bills were read a third time.

Ordered. That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives

On motion by Mr. CAMERON, the prior orders were post-poned, and the Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill from the Honse of Representatives for the re-lief of David Myerle.

Debate arising-

On motion,

The Senate adjourned

# THURSDAY, MAY 25, 1848.

#### PETITIONS.

Mr. BRADBURY presented the memorial of Clifford S. Worthing, asking the establishment of a mail route from Augusta to Bangor; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Mr. DIX presented the memorial of the Steam Navigation Company of New Jersey, and the New York, Boston, and Providence Railroad Company, asking renumeration for maintaining a hightboat on the Eel-grass shoal, in Fisher's Island Sound; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce

Mr. BENTON presented the memorial of J. Quinn Thornton, asking that the laws of the United States may be extended over the Territory of Oregon, and that donations of land may be made to settlers in said Territory.

Mr. BENTON said the memorialist had lately arrived in this country, that the memorial was replete with valuable information connected with the operations of the inhabitants of the Territory; and he should, therefore, move that it be printed; which motion was agreed to.

#### PRIVATE BILLS.

Mr. NILES, from the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads, to whom was referred the memorial of Trick and Haddock, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for their relief.

The bill was road and passed to the second reading

Ordered, That the report be printed.

#### CREEK INDIAN WARRIORS.

Mr. BELL submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

"Resolved, That the Sectedary of Wer be, and he is breby directed to furnish the Senate with a list of the Greak fundam warrons who were killed or wounded, or who did with his in the service of the United Statest, during the late war in Florida, with the dates when the year severally killed or wounded, or when they died together with a statement of the arrears of pay due to each of them respectively.

## ADJOURNMENT OVER

On motion, it was

Ordered, That when the Senate adjourn, it be to Monday next.

# MODE OF PAYING THE PUBLIC INTEREST.

The Senate proceeded to consider the following resolution, submitted by Mr. Niles, on the 17th inst.

Resolved. That the Secretary of the Tressury be directed to report to the Senate ratio information at may be in the possession of the Tressury Department in regard to a probability of the Tressury Department in regard to a particularly that he inform the plants of the public debt is paid, sub-particularly that he inform the Senate whether in any instance payment has been made in any other medium thang eldo or sirver.

Mr. NILES.—I offer this resolution in consequence of information which I received from a friend, as the violation of the expreprovision of a law, and I thought it proper that the subject should be inquired into. I do not know how long the practice has prevailed; but that it has prevailed, I am well assured. I refer to the fact of placing the funds of a government in a bank, and of disbursing the bills of that bank in the payment of government dues. This practice is a direct violation of the porsisons of the sub-treasury act. The object of that law, as every one knows, is to provide that the transactions of the federal government, both as regards receipts and disbursements, shall be in a constitutional currency. Having, myself, been one of the early and steadfast friends of that measure, I desire to see it honestly executed.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississiphi.—I certainly have no objection to an inquiry; but I alumit to the Senate, whether it is first, that the beads of departments should be called upon at the bidding of irresponsible persons, to make replies to inquiries, and have their time, which ought to be applied to the discharge of their official duties, which ought to be applied to the discharge of their official duties, thus occupied. I do not say that what the Senator complains of has not been done, but we ought to be furnished with some evidence of the fact. It is very easy to make a charge of this kind, but before the Senate act upon it, I think they should require some evidence of the justness of the charge.

Mr. NILES.—I am surprised that the honorable Senator should object to the passage of this resolution. It is a mere matter of inquiry. As I have already stated, the resolution is offered in consequence of information received from a very high private source. I am also surprised that the honorable Senator should regard the resolution' as being an attack upon the Secretary of the Treasury. I have no idea that the Secretary has been conniving at the practice to which I have alluded. It is the act, I netternly think it is a very strange position to assume, the lawful. I certainly think it is a very strange position to assume, the lawful of the think it is a very strange position to assume, the lawful is a surprised or not. I am not prepared to say upon whom the blame will fall; but this I say, if souch a practice has prevailed, it is a gross abuse, and should be inquired into. When we passed this act, we were told by those who were opposed to it, that it would have no practical effect, that it is operation has been highly shutary in every respect. Get, that its operation has been highly shutary in every respect. The resolution merely asks for information has been any abuse.

Mr. DAVIS.—The Senstor will understand that the objection I make, is solely upon the ground of information being derived from an irresponsible source. If the Sensot says he believes that such abuse exists, I have no objection to the adoption of the resolution; but I certainly do object to all these harrassing calls upon the Departments, which are calculated to occupy the time that might be more usefully apphed in the discharge of their official might be more usefully apphed in the discharge of their official

Mr. NILES.—I have full confidence in the source whence this information comes; I am satisfied there has been abuse, and I dasire that it shall be brought to the notice of the Secretary.

The question being taken upon agreeing to the resolution, it was determined in the affirmative.

On motion,

The Senate adjourned

# MONDAY, MAY 29, 1848.

#### RESIGNATION OF SENATOR CASS.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a letter from the Hen. Lewis Cass, resigning his seat in the Schale of the United States, as one of the Senators from the State of Michigan, which was read

On motion by Mr. FELCH, it was

Ordered, That the Vice President be requested to inform the Executive of the State of Michigan that the Hon. Lewis Cass, one of the Senators from the State of Michigan, has this day resigned his seat in the Senate of the United States.

Mr. HALE presented a memorial of citizens of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, praying the construction of a railroad between New York and Philadelphia, to facilitate commercial intercoinces and the transportation of the mail between those cities; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Pest Roads.

Also, three petitions of citizens of the State of Maine, praying Also, three petitions of citizens of the state of Maine, praying that an inquiry may be instituted by Congress into the existence of the slave trade in the District of Columbia, and under what authority of law the same is carried on; the motion to receive which was laid upon the table.

Also, a petition of female inhabitants of the United States, pra ing the withdrawal of the United States' army from Mexico, and the re-establishment of peace with that republic; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. FELCH presented the petition of Jedediah Gray, praying a pension for an injury received while a seldier in the ordnance de-partment of the army; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. BELL presented the memorial of Samuel F. Read praying compensation for a horse taken for the use of the United States in the Florida war; which was referred to the Committee of Claims.

Mr. DOWNS presented two memorials of citizens of the State of Louisiana, praying the purchase of Mount Vernon by the government; which were referred to the Committee on Military

Also, a petition of citizens of Louisiana, praying the establishment of a judicial district north of Red river in that State; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Mr. CRITTENDEX presented the memorial of Lawrence M. Morton and others, clerks in the pay department of the army, praying an increase of compensation; which was referred to the Committee of Claims.

Mr. NILES presented the petition of Candace Munn, widew of John G. Munn, deceased, a soldier in the last war with Great Britain, praying to be allowed a pension; which was referred to the Committee en Pensions.

On motion by Mr. BAGBY, it was

Ordered, That the petition of Wade Allen, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads

### LIGHT HOUSE ON LONG ISLAND.

Mr. WEBSTER .-- At the last session of Congress a sum of Mr. WEBSTER.—At the last session of Congress a sum of omony was appropriated for building a light house at the western end of Long Island Sound. I learn that no progress has been made in the erection of this light house in consequence of some obstacle that has occurred. I wish, therefore, to submit a resolution of equality in regard to it, and hope that the Senate will adopt it. It calls upon the Secretary of the Treasury for information as to what cause has occurred, if any, to deler the building of this light house; and I take occasion to say, that very recently, in consequence of the want of a light at the place designated by the law of Congress, authorizing the erection of the light house, the government itself has sustained a very heavy loss.

Mr. WEBSTER then submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to :

Resolved. That the Secretary of the Treasury inform the Senate what progress hav been made in the erection of a light house on Execution Rock, under the act of March 3d, 1247, and what causes have occurred to delay the completion of that work.

#### MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT

The following message was received from the President of the United States, by Mr. WALKER, his Secretary:

To the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States

I lay before Congress the accompanying memorial and papers, which have been transmitted to me by a special messenger, employed for that purpose by the Governor

and "Legidative Assembly of Oregon Tetritory," and a state the temporary government which the inhabitants of that distant region or convictive have, from the necessity of their condition, organized for themselves. The immonistant see circums of the United States. They express anderto attachment to their native lend, and in their present perious and distressed stutation, they exement in another the and and pro-

evenment when the minimum and the that distant regions or over country have, from the specially of their country. In momentum, the or current their preparation of the property of the country have the set of their country of the cou

WASHINGTON, May 29, 1848.

The message having been read-

Mr. BRIGHT moved that the message be referred to the Committee on Territories, and be printed

Mr. BADGER meved to amend the motion to print by including the documents.

Mr. ATCHISON could see no necessity for a reference to the Committee on Territories. That committee had already done althat was recommended by the President in reference to the establishment of a territorial government, by reporting a hill for that purpose. If the message was referred at all, it should be to the Committee on Military affairs, in order that they might report upon the subject of the regiment of mounted volunteers.

The message was hen referred to the Committee on Military Affairs, and, with the accompanying documents, was ordered to be printed.

#### CHANGE OF REFERENCE.

On motion by Mr. DIX, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on Commerce be discharged from the further consideration of the petition of William Norris and company, and that it be referred to the Committee on Finance.

### ADVERSE REPORTS.

Mr. YULEE, from the Committee on Naval Affairs, to whom was referred the bill in addition to an act for the more equitable distribution of the navy position fund. reported the same without amendment, and submitted an adverse report on the subject, which was ordered to be printed.

Mr. YULEE, from the same committee, to whom was referred the petition of Abel Gregg, the memorial of John Ericsson, and the memorial of Ann Kelly, submitted adverse reports thereon; which were ordered to be printed.

On motion by Mr. YULEE, it was

Ordered. That the Committee on Naval Affairs be discharged from the further consideration of the memorial of Sarah Ann Hart, and from the further consideration of the memorial of Sarah Hebard

#### PRIVATE BILLS.

Mr. YULEE, from the Committee on Naval Affairs, to whom was referred the bill for the relief of John W. Simonton, reported the same with amendments.

Mr. BADGER, from the Committee on Naval Affairs, to whom was referred the bill for the relief of Frances M. Holton, reported the same without amendment.

#### VIOLATION OF FORECT

Mr. BUTLER, from the Committee on the Judiciary, who were instructed to inquire into the subject, reported a bill to punish violations of the secret proceedings of Congress, which was read and passed to the second reading.

Mr. BRADBURY, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was recommitted the petition of Amos Holton, reported a resolution:

"That the evidence pre-ented by Amos Holton is insufficient to justify the allownce of his claim, and that the committee be discharged from the further consideration of the subject."

#### EMORY'S AND ABERT'S REPORTS

The Senate proceeded to consider the motion submitted by Mr. Cass. on the 18th instant, that the Secretary of the Senate furnish the Bureau of Tengenphical Engineers with two houdred copies of Licutenant Emory's report, and two houdred copies of Licutenant Emory's report, and two houdred opies of Licutenant Abert's report; and the motion was agreed to

### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

Mr. President: The House of Representatives have passed the bills of the Senato for the relief of Edward Bolon; for the relief of Thomas Brownell; for the relief of Samuel W. Bell, a native of the Cherokee nation; and for the relief of Reynolds May.

They have passed a bill in explanation of an act entitled "An act to a primpilate the proceeds of the public lands as to grant per engine right; a bill supplemental supplemen

The Speaker of the House of Representatives being streed the centelled bills, I am directed to bring them to the Senate for the agratument their Frenchent.

#### SIGNING OF BILLS.

The VICE PRESIDENT signed the enrolled bills for the relief of Mary Brown, widow of Jacob Brown; for the relief of John Mitchell; and for the admission of the State of Wisconsin into the Union.

#### HOUSE BILLS REFERRED

The bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of the legal representatives of Lieut. Francis Ware, was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Revolutionary Claims.

The bill from the Heuse of Representatives for the relief of Lewis Benedier, was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Private Land Clams.

The bills from the House of Representatives in explanation of an act entitled "An act to appropriate the proceeds of the public lands and to grant pre-emption rights;" and supplemental to "An act to confirm the survey and location of claims for lands in the degree of the processing the confirmation of the Part I rever and south of the Stat degree of the Stat degree of the State o

The bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of Levi H. Corson and for other purposes, was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

The bills from the House of Representatives for the relief of the ewners of the Spanish brig Restaurador; and for the relief of Philip J. Fontane, were severally read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Commerce

The bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of Joseph Perry, a Choctaw Indian, or his assignees, was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

The bills from the House of Representatives for the relief of Anna Yurrington; for the relief of Hugh Riddle; for the relief of Marrier R. Summas; for the relief of Flaphas C. Brown; for the relief of Hugh M. Lataset; for the relief of Houry N. Halsted; for the relief of Moher Kansay; for the relief of John Farnham; for the relief of Adverwe Hangran; for the relief of William Gutt, for the relief of Catharine Wood; for the relief of Sundaming of the relief of Sundaming G. Brown; for the relief of Joseph Taylor; for the relief of Sundaming G. Brown; for the relief of Joseph Taylor; for the relief of

Mary W. Thompson; and for the relief of John Haup, were severally read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Pensions.

The bills from the House of Representatives for the relief of the beirs of Joseph Gerard; for the relief of the legal representatives of Captain George R. Shoemaker; for the relief of Elsha F. Richards; for the relief of Mrs. Mary B. Renner; for the relief of John P. B. Gratict; and the legal representatives of Henry Gratict, and for the relief of Archiblad Beard, and twenty-one other tenses were volunters, were severally read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee of Claims

The bill from the House of Representatives amendatory of an act entitled "An act an act and act of the act entitled "An act to incorporate the Provident Association of clerks in the civil department of the government of the United States, in the District of Columbia," approved 3d March, 1825," was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on the District of Columbia.

#### MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT.

The following message was received from the President of the United States, by Mr. WALKER, his Secretary:

Mr. President: The President of the United States approved and signed, on the Ω(t) instant, the following acts.

And act extending privileges to American vessels cogaged in a certain mentioned stade, and for other purposes.

trade, and for other purposes.

An act explanatory of the act entitled "An act to raise for a limited time an additional military force, and for other purposes," approved 11th Fabruary, 1847.

An act to provide additional Examiners in the Patent Office, and for other pur

# COMMITTEE ON MILITARY AFFAIRS.

On metion by Mr. BADGER, it was

Ordered, That Mr. BENTON he the Chairman of the Committee on Military Affairs in the place of Mr. Cass, resigned, and that the vacancy in the committee be filled by the Vice President

Mr. Borland was appeinted.

#### REPAYMENT OF ADVANCES.

On motion by Mr. TURNEY, the prior orders were postponed, and the Serate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill from the House of Ruber, the bill from the House of Ruber of the Serate of the United State of the Serate of the Serate of the Serate of the United State of the Serate of the

THE PRESIDING OFFICER stated that this bill had been referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. TURNEY had prepared an amendment which he designed submitting, and in order to afford the committee an opportunity to report the bill, he moved that its further consideration be postponed until to morrow: which was arreed to.

## INDIAN APPROPRIATION BILL

On motion by Mr. ATHERTON, the prior orders were postpuned, and the Senate proceeded to consister, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill from the House of Representatives making appropriations for the current and contingent expenses of the Indian department, and for fulfilling treaty stypolations with the various Indian tribes for the year ending Jone 30, 1849, and for other purposes, together with the amendments reported thereto from the Committee on Finance.

The reported amendments having been agreed to, the bill was reported to the Senate and the amendments were concurred in.

Mr. ATCHISON stated that he had been instructed by the Committee on Indian Affairs to offer an amendment to the bill and, in order to afford bin an opportunity to prepare it, he moved to postpone the further consideration of the bill until to-morrow, which was agreed to.

#### FOREIGN MAIL SERVICE

On motion by Mr. NILES, the prior orders were postponed, and the Sente proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill from the House of Representatives, to amend the act to provide for the transportation of the mail between the United States and foreign countries, and for other purposes, with the amendments reported thereto.

Mr. NH.ES.—Several amondments have been made to the bill from the House by the committee without introducing any new principle, or changing the principle of the bill, but merely to perfect the law. And in additionable the change mental the committee have reported two or three new second measure independent of the bill as it came from the House, but are nevertheless connected with the general subject. I desire the attention of the Seante to the reading of these mendaments, and I will then briefly explain their purposes and objects.

The amendments having been read by the Secretary,

Mr. NILES proceeded.—The new sections that have been in-reduced by the committee of the Senate are intended to give effect, so far as it can be done, and to secure the rights of this government under the net of 1845, relating to this branch of the service. That are provides that the United States shall at any time have the option of taking the ships employed in earrying the mail, into the service of the government as war ships, upon a vuluation to be made by disinterested parties, but there is no provision to the made by disinterested parties, but there is no provision to an interest of the service of the government as war ships, upon a vuluation of the made by disinterested parties, but there is no provision to mail temporation companies being in the hands of foreigness in mail temporation companies being in the hands of foreigness mans to keep up these mail lines we have no security against the possession of the vessels, efficient as they are for service, falling into the hands of our enemies. Such a state of things might exist, and it seems to me important therefore, that we should provide against such a contingency. If or one, any case of the failure or reliasd on the part of the contractors, or the corporation, to deliver over the ships for the use of the government. The next section merely gives to the Postmaster General the right to exercise authority over these lands in the same manner, as over the service generally, in order to enforce regularity. The third section relate to transfers of British contracts, which have already become

In regard to the bill as it came from the House, it is a measure In regard to the bill as it came from the flower, it is a measure strictly retailatory, and is intended exclusively to operate upon Great Britain. It is known to the Senate that a few years ago we established a line of mail steamers to Bremen, touching at Southampton, in England, copisiting of four steamers. It was supposed that we would have a fair chance for competition in the carrying of letters and packages, but instead of that, the British government in a very unfriendly spirit, not only enforced against our mails the general law of the country, but imposed an equal charge upon all letters coming into the country, or passing out, to and from the United States, to that which was exacted when the betters were conveyed by their own steamers. Son e years ago, when they established the Cunard line, they modified their law as regards postage, and reduced the rates of postage. At the same time a discretionary power was vested in the Lords of the Admiralty, I believe, or in some board, to raise the postage upon fo-reign letters. This board exercised their power, and made the letters contained in our mails subject to the same charge as the letters contained in our mails subject to the same charge as the eletters converged in their own mails. In addition to this, they would not suffer them to be distributed at Southampton, but sent them to London. This proceeding was calculated to depreciate very much the value of our mail service. and of course could not very well be submitted to. Mr. Hobbie, who was then our agent, in regard to the foreign mail service, opened not successful to the subject, and those negotiations were continued for a very considerable time, and various propositions were made on both sides, but the result was, that they could come to no satisfactory arrangement. preposed that the sea postage should go to the parties who conveyed the letters. This was fair enough upon its face, but it would have thrown the payment of the greater portion of that postage upon us, because they carry nearly all the letters—they carry at present probably a bundred letters to our one; jo this, earry at present probably a hundred letters to our one; to this, however, our negotiator could not agree. There was another difficulty, and that was in regard to transit postage. Upon this point no arrangement could be made, and the negotiations were broken off. There seems, then, to be no other course for us but broken off. There seems, then, to be no other course for us of ut to retain and to place upon their mail precisely the same rate of postage which they place upon ours. That is the object of this bild. The bild does not impose the increased postage, but it authorizes the Postmaster General, with the concurrence of the President, to do it, and thus to place our moil secure upon an equal footing with that of Great Britain. At the same time must be confessed that it will be inconvenient for the public. is a state of things not at all desirable; but we have no other means of defending ourselves against the exactions of Great Britain, who has acted in a far less liberal spirit towards us in regard to postal arrangements, than she has towards the different countries of Europe. If this bill passes it will make the postage on all letters carried out in our mails, or in the English mails, 44 cents, including the inland postage. The bill is not intended to be a permanent law, but merely a retallatory measure, in the hope that when the British government finds that their mails will be sufficient of the same charges and burdens as on own, in only of the different countries will not a proposed to the charges about the same that they have a reasonable and moderate the charges about their swill probably reliancish, as I think they ought has acted in a far less liberal spirit towards us in regard to postal Both countries will probably relinquish, as I think they ought Doub countries with procastly reinquists, as it finise free you'd to do, the scaper duties on letters brought in the mails of the other. If we do not adopt a measure of this description, the en-tire monopoly of this mail service will eventually go into their hands. The question is, whether we will adopt this measure and maintain our rights, and force them to come down to a reasonable manutan or offer rigits, and itere men to come adval to a reasonator of the property of the come adval to a reasonator of the property of the come adval to a reasonator of the property of the come advantage of the property Whether it be necessary to exercise this power not, I am not prepared to say; but it was thought proper, by the committee, that if we adopt a measure on the subject, that it should prer the whole ground; and as their law contains a provision of this kind, that it might be proper for us to make our law applicable to all inter-communication, whether in public or private ships

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts.—I wish to ask the honorable Senator, in case this matter should be arranged in a satisfactory manner, is there any power to suspend the operation of this law?

Mr. NILES.—I will answer the honorable Senator. The bill does not impose the increased rate of postage; it merely atthetizes the Postmaster General, with the concurrence of the President, to do so; and I suppose, of course, that they can withdraw that increased rate at any time that they may think propa-

Mr. DIX.—I desire to ask the honorable Senator from Connection whether his attention has been called to the fact, that one of these seam lines has horrowed money abroad of a foreign government or corporation, and that the stock of the company is mortgaged to that foreign government or corporation; and if so, whether it may not be an evasion of the provisions of this law?

Mr. NILES—It is somewhat questionable what the extent of their power would be to dispose of these ships; still that has no marked the committee to introduce the section that has been called the committee to introduce the section that has been read, to enable the government to take possession of these steamships, by paying a fair price for them, whenever, in their discretion, they may deem it advisable so to do. We are expending some seven hundred thousand dollars a year to keep up these vessels, as guasi public ships, and when a contingency arises, in which they would be required by the government, we might find that we had no power over them, and that they might be sold to the enemy.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts -From the construction of the provision to which the amendment of the honorable Senater refers had supposed that this was a matter of contract between the United States and those persons who undertook to construct the yessels. I suppose that it might well enough be doubted whether after the contract was concluded and subscribed by the parties, it would be competent for one of the parties to introduce new cove-nants into it. If they have such power, then this act will be ne-cessary, therefore, I do not know that it is worth while to make any objection to it, but I very much doubt whether the govern-ment would wish to have these vessels under any circumstances. The material question is upon the principle contained in this bill in regard to postal arrangements. I regret very much to learn from the remarks of the honorable Senator, what we have been before apprised of, that the two governments have failed to make any satisfactory arrangement, and that the interests of the public any satisfactory arrangement, and that the interests of the photo-are likely to suffer from not having the postage reduced to the lowest rate. The controversy that exists has a tendency precisely contrary to that of securing the public interests; it has a ten-dency to increase, instead of diminishing the rates of postage; this is contrary to the spirit of the age. I regret still more to learn, that all negotiation on the subject is considered by the honorable chairman of the committee as hopeless. I was in hopes that the honorable Senator would have stated the precise difference in honorable Senator would have stated the precise difference in money which is charged on a sheet of letter paper transmitted in our own steamer, and one transmitted in a British steamer: that is the additional amount of money we are required to pay on such piece of paper. I do not know that it is very material, but the teadency is, as I understand it, to discourage the transmission of letters in the American line of steamers. I alo not know that there is any other way to remedy this, than by authorizing the Post Office Department to make corresponding charges and yet it is a matter of great regret that we should be brought to this exis a matter of great regret that we should be brought to this ex-tremity, for the public must be the sufferers by such an arrange-ment. If this be the only remedy, we must meet it as well as we can, and it is time to meet it, if we have reached the point at which negotiation wholly fails. I hope, however, that is not the case. I hope no such view is entertained. But it seems to be considered necessary that some such law as this should be passed, and I am not prepared to say that it should not; on the eand I am not prepared to say that it should not; on the contrary,
I am prepared to adopt some measure which shall utilimately
place this matter upon a proper footing that is, as I consider it,
no other than a cheap system of postage. The experience of mankind in this respect, is favorable to a cheap system. Cheap postage has been every where successful, and there is no reason why
it should not be cheap on the ocean as well as on land. Thethickness of the start of the property of the contraction o lieve that the revenue to be derived from the conveyance of the mails under a cheap system of postage will be considerably in-creased. Taking it for granted that the details of this bill have been made, under the supervision of the honorable chairman, substantially correct, I shall very cheerfully give the bill my support.

Mr. NILES.—A letter conveyed in the British mail pays twenty-two cents postage if delivered at the port at which it steamer lands, but it pays four cents in addition if sent to any other office, whilst a letter carried in our mails will have to post office, whilst a letter way, together with the inland postage in England, and the inland postage here, making it at least double upon letters conveyed in our mail. So the law now standard Under these circumstances it is not to be supposed that most better will be conveyed in our mails, the tax being so the letters will be conveyed in our mails, the tax being so the being have been being about an arrangement that will be satisfactory. They proceed to being about an arrangement that will be satisfactory. They frees to be willing to arrange the matter on principles of recipro

30rh Cong .- Ist Session-No. 83.

city, but when it comes to the carrying it out practically, there is no rec. procity.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts.—I nm inclined to think that the honorable Senator had better permit the bill to be amended, so far as not only to impose the increased duties, but to suspend its operation in the event of a satisfactory arrangement being made.

Mr. NILES —I certainly have no objection to such an amendment, if there be any doubt as to the power, but I think it is obvious that that power is necessarily implied.

Mr. HALE moved to strike out from the first section of the bill, that portion of it which gives to the custom house officers, their agents or servants, power to open and inspect any packages, or parcels, found on board of vessels, which are supposed to contain malable matter. This power he considered was liable to most outrageous abuse, and ought not to be entrusted any where.

Mr. NILES—The honorable Senator will recollect that this proximin does not apply to our own vessels, it applies only to foreign steamers or mult ships; and if we undertake to impose that gest upon mailable matter, we must do it in a way that will be effective, so as to prevent smuggling. We must be able to ascertam whether our law is evaded or not.

The question being put on Mr. Hale's amendment, it was ne-

The question was then put on the reported amendments, and they were agreed to.

The bill was then reported to the Senate, and the amendments appeared in.

Ordered. That the amendments be engrossed, and the bill read a third time.

Tue said bill was read a third time, as amended.

Resolved. That this bill pass, with amendments.

Ordered, That the Scoretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in the amendments.

#### DAVID MYERLE.

The Senate resumed the consideration, as in Committee of the Whole, of the bill from the House of Representatives for the rehef of David Myerle.

Mr. UNDERWOOD moved to amend the bill by adding a protuc, that before the payment of any part of sard sum to the said Myerle, he shall give bonds, to be approved by "he Secretary of the Treasury, conditional for the re payment of all sums advanced to him for the purchase of hemp, or for labor, to enable him to fall his contracts with the government.

They the Senate's attention to this matter. When it was last before the Senate I heard from various quarters the suggestion that it was a most extraordinary proceeding o my part, to propose to amend the bill by adding such a proviso as this, and I believe, that I have just "ord the same suggestion again made

lieve, that I have just should be same suggestion again made.

I will claim to a indulgence of the Senare for a few moments while I state the true analyse of this case. The provise which I while I state the true account of this case. The provise which I have offered is not without precedent a will mention a case precisely in point. Messes, Fair r a i Hairis and a contract with the government for the building of a fort on the Gull of Mexico, near Mobile, I believe. In the course of the work they became entitled to receive from the government, some seventy or eighty thousand dollars They petitioned the government, and timately a bill was possed to pay them the money, but in that hill was inserted a proviso precisely similar to the one which has bill was inserted a proviso precisely similar to the one which has just been read to the Sonate: providing, that be inc payment of the money a bond should be exceuted by Farrar and Harris for the distribution of the money among those who had furnished labor and materials for the erection of the fort. Now the question is, whether Congress ought ever to set a precedent of this kind? whether Congress ought ever to set a precedent of this kind? I heard it suggested the other day that the parties ought to be left to the courts of justice, and it was asked, are we about to erect ourselves into a Court of Chancery, and undertake to apportion this money among the claimants? You have already set the example in the case of Farrar and Harris, and the reason was, that they had become hopelessly insolvent, and if the money went into their hands, they might pay it over to preferred claimants, and those individuals who had turnished materials and performed work in the erection of the fort would go altogether unpaid. the erection of the fort would go altogether unpaid. Was Congress to sit by and see this great injustice perpetrated upon those individuals? Congress in that case thought differently. What is the amendment proposed here? The amendment is, that this individual, before be receives the money, shall give bond and security, conditioned for payment for the hemp, and for the labor in preparing it, out of which this sum of twenty thousand dollars accure to him as a debt due by the government. What were the facts to which I called the attention of the Senato the other day? Myerle drew orders upon Montmollin and Cornwall, which were accepted and paid by those gentlemen, in payment of his purchases to the amount of some fifteen or twenty thousand dollars, the vouchers for which are now in my desk, and yet these gentlemen are to be subjected to the tender mercies of Myerle, and run the risk of losing their claim alrogether. I am informed that Myerle-in fact losing their ciain anogenes.

The admits it in his memorial—is insolvent, and yet he is to be paid, whether those gentlemen who furnished him with the means of fulfilling his contract with the government ever ge, one cent of

not, and they are to be told that they may resort to the courts of justice. I have looked a little into this matter, and I am contrained to say that the courts furnish no remedy-none whatever. No such thing It was suggested, let them file their injunction can be done. In my State the matter has been fully tested and settled. The government of the State allowed a man of the name of Divine a sum of money for the performance of a piece of work.

A creditor of Divine endeavored to obtain payment of his claim by an injunction upon the money thus granted by the government The case was taken into court and the injunction sustained, but being carried to the appellate court the judgment was reversed, and on the reversal of the judgment, the very case that is now be forc the Senate entered into the contemplation and reasoning of the court, and they decided that such a thing ought not, and could not be done. The court said, and most correctly in their opinion upon the case, what would be the consequences to the government of the United States, if every contractor for carrying the mail, where labor is the consideration for the emolument, was liable to have the money promised him by the government arrested before it comes into his hands. Does not every man see at once that he cannot go on with his operations under such circumstances? This is on'y one illustration, and I might adduce many, but I will not detain the Senate. The case of the contractor for carrying the mail illustrates the whole subject. So in this case. Suppose this contract had not been broken up suppose this man was s going on with the performance of this contract, and receiving annually from the government his fifty or a hundred thousand dollars to purchase hemp; if he did-not receive this promised compensation from the government regularly, how could be obtain his supplies of hemp for the purp se of manufacturing the article for the use of the government? It is impossible. The idea that the the use of the contractor can step in and lay an injunction upon the money that is payable by the government would be utterly inconsistent with the proper fulfillment of the contract. I know there has been a practice tolerated of laying injunctions upon a principla of courtesy between the departments and those who are setting up claims against each other, but it has never been considered ting up claims against each other, but it has never been considered obligatory upon the departments. And they are not upon principle bound by any judicial order, restraining them from paying over the money. But the idea is altogether unterable for another consideration. Can you sue the government? No, sir. Can you indirectly sue the government under the pretuces of making the treasury or any other department of the government a party to the suit? Certainly and. That point was settled in the very case the suit? Certainly not. That point was settled in the very case to which I have anded in the State of Kentucky. Our constitu-tion requires that the legislature shall pass laws by which the government shall be sued. The legislature has not yet thought proper to carry out that constitutional provision. Can'the government of the United States be sued? No, sir. But is not in sub-stance a sait against the government, when an attempt is made to seize money in the hands of the Treasurer of the United States, and to pass a judicial sentence by which he shall be made to pay this money to any particular individual. It is nothing else but a suit against the government. You subject in this way, if it can be done, the whole Treasury of the country to judicial determination, but it is a thing that cannot be done. Now, if you cannot sue that but it is a thing that cannot be done. Now, if you cannot sue the government, or get at it through its Treasury Department, how can the courts of justice, according to the suggestion here, furnish a remedy? It is utterly impossible. But another thing, pass this bill without some such provision as I have offered, and this man can transfer every dollar before the passage of the bill, and thus you put it in his power to deprive the men who furnished the hemp and the labor to prepare, it of every dollar that is due to them. When partners in manufacturing, or any other class of business fall out, they are something like relations who quarrel, they become more hostile, more bitter, more vindictive, more disposed to thwart each other, than people under any other circumstances whatever. These partners, then, in this arrangement, having fallen out, you need expect no sort of justice or equity to be practised between them, but if there are other creditors, it will be among them that the moncy will be distributed; and those persons who hava furnished the means to purchase the hemp and to manufacture it, will never get one dollar. Now, in view of these things, and in view of the precedent that Congress has set in the case of Farrar and Harris, I ask the Senate whether, with all these facts before thein, they can pass this bill, giving to this man twenty thousand dollars, whilst the individuals upon whose capital the money was carned are to go away unpaid? That is the question. I have earned are to go away impair? That is the question. I have discharged my duty as lar as I can in bringing these facts before the Senute. It is for them to dispose of the mutter. It does seem to me that the papers which I haid before the committee justified the introduction by them of such a provision as I have offered

Mr. BAG BY.—I understand that my honorable friend, the Sentor from Kentucky, lies no objection to the passage of the hill; in other words, he has no objection to the appropriation of the \$20,000 in satisfaction of this contract between Myerle and the government, but he ealls upon the Senate to constitute itself a court of chancery for the purpose of distributing this find among Mr. Myerle's creditors. This is the position which, I understand, has been taken by the honorable Senator, and in justification of this course he finds one precedent. There may be others for all I know; but with entire respect for the honorable Senator from Kentucky, and for the legislative body which passed the law in the case of Farra and Harris, and their creditors, I must be permit

ted to say that it is a precedent much more honored in the breach than the observance.

Mr. UNDERWOOD .- Will my honorable friend indulge me for a moment ?

Mr. BAGBY .- With great pleasure.

Mr. UNDERWOOD.—A friend near me has furnished me with another precedent, and as the honorable Senator from Alabama contends that the precedent furnished by the case of Farrar and Harris would be more honored in the breach than the observance, I will give him an opportunity to apply his doctrine to this

Osbo 1810:

In the set of March 3d, 1850, to pay Peter Berry justion, for the loss custimed by bin in the accessing performance of his control of the control of the period of the control of the control of the period of the control of the period of the control o

justly due to seek haboers, shall be fully satisfied by the said Barge."

Now, all that I ask is, that this man should pay for the hemp furnis ed, and for the labor employed in preparing the hemp for the use of the government—that he should pay the farmers for their hemp and the workmen for their labor. I blink these precedents ought to be honored by their observance, but I am very willing to hear from the Senator from Alabama, that honor consists in

their breach and not in their observance.

Mr. BAGBY .- I admitted that there might be other precedents. I said then what I now repeat, that all these legislative precedents are more honored in the breach than the observance. The powers of this government are not all confined to the legislative department; a portion of them belong, according to the ar-And I ask rangement of our system, to the judiciary department. And I ask the honorable Senator from Kentucky it it comports with his idea of correct legislation, for this branch of Congress to constitute itself a court of chancery, not for the purpose of inquiring into the validity of contracts between the government and individuals, but to interpose in the settlement of claims between individuals with which pose an uncertainteen of containers were instituted as tries vinced in the contract, then they ought to come in the vinced in the contract, then they ought to come in to reher appropriate share in the appropriation, but after the bill has passed through one branch of Congress, we are told, for the first time, that there were persons connected with the transaction, not to be sure having any claims upon the government, but having private but siness arrangements with Myerle, and that Congress ought to interfere and settle the accounts of those persons whe are not known at all in the contract with the government. I ask the honorable Senator, are they known here as at all connected with this con-

Mr. UNDERWOOD.—They were certainly not parties to the contract with the government, but Myerle made a contract with them by which he was permitted to fraw upon them to the amount of twenty thousand dollars, in order that he might be enabled to fulfil his contract with the government, which he could not have done except by their assistance. That is the relation between the

Mr. BAGBY.—I understand it precisely so. I have no doubt of the existence of the facts precisely as the Senator states them. But those persons who now claim a distributive share, if not the whole of the appropriation, were entirely unknown in the contract. whole of the hopperpraised, were clarify unknown in the contract. Only the property of the contract of the co And I ask the honorable Senator what justice there is in the amendment he proposes, when it goes to secure the rights of but two of the creditors and excludes all the rest? My honorable friend asks whether it is consistent with honor to allow this individual to receive the amount of his claim without making provision for the distribution of the money to those who fornish the ma-terials and the labor. I understand honor to consist in a complince with the obligations resting upon the government in good faith, leaving individuals who have no connection with the govern-ment to take care of themselves. This is not the appropriate tri-bunal to act between Myerle and his creditors. I do not go now into the claim of Myerle, but I say if he has a valid claim, and it is incumbent upon Congress to make a distinction at all, all the creditors should be included, and the benefit should not be confined, as is here proposed, to a portion of those creditors, in fact to two only, while there are doubtless many others whose claims are equally meritorious.

Mr. UNDERWOOD .- My honorable friend is entirely mista Mr. UNDERWOOD,—My honorable friend is entirely mistaken in supposing that this amendment is intended for the benefit of
two creditors only. It provides not only for them, but for all others who fornished material or labor. In fact, I would prefer leaving out the names of individuals, and let it be expressed in general
terms, as it is in reality intended, for the benefit of all those who
furnished hemp or labor. I do not contend that this government
should constitute itself into a court of obsacery for the purpose of
making a distribution of this lund among all the creditors of My-

orle Far from it. I would not be willing to go that length. All that the amendment proposes is to provide for the payment of those who are instrumental in enabling Myerle to fulfil his contract, because it is from their means in material and labor furnished that the government receives the advantage to be derived from the fulfilment of the contract.

Mr. BAGBY.—I am only mistaken in part. Instead of providing for all the creditors of Myerle, the amendment only proposes to provide for one class.

Mr. UNDERWOOD .- The purpose is to pay those who made advances of the means to enable Myerle to carry on his business, and complete his contract.

Mr. BAGBY .- I understand that. I understand that these men Mr. BAGBY.—bunderstand that. I understand that these men advanced money to enable Myerle to folfia contract to which they were not parties, and in which they were not known. But it these men, who advanced the money, are entitled to the consideration of this government, are not the other classes of his creditors equally entitled-for instance, those who furnished subsistence to the men

who performed the work?

who performed the work?

I am not gove given examination of Myerle's claim at this time. It may be a valid one, or it may be uvaid. The question at present is, if Co. 10 is, instead of contining itself to its appropriate business of le is, at ion, going to assume indicial functions as in the case of Fariar and Harris? There is another scrouss objection, if it be not a constitutional one, to the adoption of such a provision as is here proposed. It is the easiest thing in the world, when a claim finds a difficulty in rubbing through, to increase the when a coam most a unicuity in rusoning intrologis, to there use the number of claims: its at to bring all their artillery, their weight, influence, and execution; to bear upon it. It is urged that Con-gress should provide by legislation for the material furnished by individuals who are unknown to the government; but let me tell gentlemen who seem to think there is soundness in this principle, that they will have enough to do to investigate and to provide for the payment of those with whom the government has made conthe payment or times with whom the government this linaue con-tracts. That will give us ample employment, as the bills which are from day to day iaid upon your table, most clearly testify— There is but a slock-principle involved in this matter. You have but to inquire whether there has been a contract between Myeule and the government, which cannot be carried on by Myele. If and the government, which cannot be carried on by Myerle. If there is, you are bound to legislate so as to enable the contract to be fulfilled, but beyond this you cannot go. This is peculiarly a case for a court of chancery. The honorable Senutor from Ken-tucky says, that in his State the course exercise jurisdiction tucky says, that in his State the couris exercise jurisdiction in such cases. I have no doubt about that; but I am astonished to hear that the ground upon which they do so exercise jurisdiction, is not the great principles of law, but by a sort of courtesy towards individuals. The injunctions are grantion that it is ought to be supped instantly. They have no right to exercise that sort of courtesy. But the reason assigned by the Senator from Kantucky, by the court in Kentucky, affords a strong additional reason why Congress should not do that which, by his amendment, the Senator calls upon us to do. He says they by his amendment, the Senator calls upon us to do. He says they refuse to do it for the reason that the government, according to existing laws, could not be sued; that they could not grant that relief indirectly which could not be done directly. Insk, what is the purpose of the gentlemant's amendment? Is it not for the purpose of doing that which they principal party himself could not do in the State of Kentucky! As I sad before, I shall not go now into an investigation of Mycrel's case. My only purpose is, to prevent this engrating of judicial power upon the legislation of Congress.

Mr. BENTON .- I believe the Senator from Kentucky has in formed us that he has got the papers relating to this case locked up in his desk.

Mr. UNDERWOOD .- They are; and I have unfortunately forgotten to bring the key.

Mr. BENTON .- I should be very glad if the Senate could have an opportunity of looking at these papers, for I have some know-ledge of this case; in fact, I am acquainted with all the circum-stances attending it from the commencement, and I think that if the papers were examined the Senate could have no hesitation as to how they should act, In the meantime, I wish to say half a dozen words respecting this case. I think that Myerle is not entitled to receive any thing.

Mr. UNDERWOOD .- I have not looked into that.

Mr. BENTON .- That is a part of the subject that might as Mr. BEXTON.—That is a part of the subject that might as well be looked into before twenty thousand dollars are voted. But as to the second part my mind is pretry well made up. It so happened that this contract for furnishing hemp was undertaken to be performed in the county of Woodford, in kentucky, where I happened to be at the time, and it so inspend that I knew every thing about it. I keew that Myerle could not make a purchase of bempat all, unless he gave the acceptances of merchants who were known.

Mr. UNDERWOOD .- That is the case.

Mr. BENTON .- That is one class of claimants in connexion MI. DEA ION.—Into its one class of communication with this contract. Then comes another class having a more in-timate connexion with it. I understand that McMullen, in consequence of this acceptances in favor of Myerle, and in consequence of the mutual profits which they were to derive from the contract, became implicated as a partner, and was saed thirty, first, or fifty times in all possible ways, and judgments recovered against him in all these caves, which judgments he had to pay, amounting altogether to a large sum of money, very nearly, if not quite, twenty thousand dollars. No such bill as this shall ever pass by my vote, without knowing who is subsantially to be benefitted, or who were the persons who, in reality, fulfilled the contact. Myerie could not advance the means, and he would have been wholly unable to complete his undertaking, had he not been aliced by the advances made by these individuals. I am not at all satisfied that Myerie is entitled to the money, and I should prefer that the bill should he over for the present, until a further exami-

Mr. UNDERWOOD.—Let the bill be passed by informally for the present.

Mr. BADGER -I shall have no objection to passing the bill by for a day or two, but as the subject has been gone into this morning, and as I, by direction of the committee to whom it was recommitted, reported the bill back to the Sepate. I beg leave to occupy the attention of the Senate for a few moments in presentthem what I consider is the true question for their determination. We have, at present, nothing to do with the question of the propriety of allowing to David Myerle twenty thousand dollars. The amendment supposes that sum to be justly due, and it proposes, before Myerle shall receive the money, that he shall enter into a bond, conditional, to satisfy Montmollin and Cornwall, and every a oona, conditions, to suisy anotherism and conversing an every other person who may have made advances towards enabling him to fulfil his contract with the government. The question is, whether this amendment is a proper one to be annexed to the bill. In the first place, I agree entirely with the Senator from Alabama in considering it as an irregular and improper mode of legislation, and that if the two cases adduced by the Senator from Kentucky as precedents, were in reality precedents which could apply to this case. I think also with the Senator from Alabama, that they would be much more honored in the breach than the observance bill proposes—upon a claim set up by an individual as between him and the government in which, if any body is entitled to reour propress—upon a claim set up by an individual as detween bin and the grover-ment in which. If any hold is entitled to re-ceive a penny, lie is the only person that is outlided to it—that the government, in paying the do it which they justify owe, shall im-pose a condition to be performed by the party who is to receive the money, that the government shall look beyond their contracts the money; that the government such now evolutions contract beyond the party they contract with, and compel him to give security that he will discharge certain liabilities which, it is said in point of equity and conscience, he is bound to discharge towards other persons. I cannot understand the equity of such a proceedother persons. I cannot understand the equity of spen a processing on the part of the government. In my judgment, it is the exercise of a power that ought not to be assumed by Congress. It the exercise of which equity does not warrant. moral sense a government stands in no different position than an individual does towards an individual to whom they owe money. An ndividual, when he pays a debt, has not the right to impose a conapprehend, a vicious punciple of legislation. But the cases referred to by the Senator from Kentucky, are not like the one under Congress acted in imposing the restrictions which they imposed in those cases; but we have enough to show this, that they were cases between the government and contractors who had exe ented certain work, and in those cases the law required that the contractors, before they received their money, should enter into stipula-tions to pay for the labor and for the materials used and absorbed in the work. And in the other case, Congress seems to have proceeded in these two cases upon the idea, which we know prevails in some of the States, that persons who furnish labor and material upon a building contract, are not regarded in the light of general creditors, but as having a lien upon the building for the payment of their debt My honorable friend from Kentneky very dexterously speaks of the subject, as if certain poor farmers had furnished the material and the labor for fulfilling this contract, and it was proposed to give Myerle the money, and to prevent them from being paid. But anysine the money, and to prevent them from being paid. But there is no such question before the Senate. Every farmer has been paid, and paid with the means farnished by Montmollin and Cornwall under stipulations between them and Myerle. It is not the case of farmers who have advanced their hard earnings, and their labor going unpaul, but it is the case of men who, for their own advantage, made advances to Myorle, and who are now calling on Congress to interpose and convert them from general credsort of mortgage creditors. The case then, putting it itors into a sort of mortgage creditors. The case then, putting it upon its best footing, stands thus: these sore men who made advances of money to Myerle without stipulating for any lien or security-without stipulating that they should be considered as partners—without any reference to the contract from beginning to end. They have then, no more claim for the interposition of Congress than any other men in the world who may have advanced money than any other men in the world who may have advanced money to Myerle. Then the question is, whether, at this day, Congress is going to exercise this sort of jurisdiction, and to determine that if a man have a claim against the government, and we pass a bill to pay it, we have a moral right-wo hate the power we all knowhave we the moral right to require that he shall give security but have we the moral right to require that he shangly security before he is padd, that he will disburse the money that he has earned. Where is thing to end? Must you go through all the ramifications of these various contracts and stipulations, and find out who is the man who is to be the object of your bounty? Sir, you canad to it. The case under consuderation is one in which the only

person known to the government is Myerle. When the case again comes up, I hope to be able to show that David Myerle is a meritorious subject for the interposition of Congress; that he is entit tortions anguer to the position of Congress, and the Berntlide bill, and indeed to much more, but that is not the question now before the Senate. David Myerle undertakes, under an arrange-ment with the Secretary of the Navy, to introduce a new principle in the preparation of hemp, an object in which every one has an interest. Myerle being the only person known to the governan interest. Myerie being the only person known to the government, according to my view of the subject, this case is ended by the passage of the bill granting him the money to which he is entitled. But it is said that under some sort of attachment, or process, I know not what, if this was the case of an ordinary debtor, the funds might be attached or seized in his hands, and detained there until by a compulsory process, they are paid over to his creditors, but that in this case the thing cannot be done, and therefore Congress must provide for the case expressly. If this appeared to be a case in which Montmollin and Cornwall had come orward, either with a view to advance the public interests, or from a desire to aid and assist a man to get forward, who, by the exercise his industry and care, was endeavoring to fulfil his contract with the government; if it was either patriotism or benevolence that guided them, there would be some reason for our interposition. But the slightest attention to this matter will show that their only object was to make money by a most exacting and usurious transaction. Allow me to call to call the attention of the Senate to transaction. Allow me to call to call the attention of the Senate to one class of their agreements. Myerle agrees to give Montmollin and Cornwall his acceptances, payable in three and four months in the city of Boston, to enable them to make the necessary advances and he also agrees to allow them two and a half per cent. for endorsing and negotiating his bills, and one per cent. interest upon them, and the difference of exchange between Lexington and Boston. I certainly think I cannot be mistaken in saying there is no kind of justice, either legal or equitable, in our listening to any claim whatever, founded upon such a contract as that. Why it is gross usury. Two and a half per cent, as the consideration for accepting the I wo and a man per cent, as the consideration for accepting the bills, the difference of exchanges, and then one per cent, a month interest. Sir, if we have the power to interpose at all, and if it be proper to exert that power in any case, it certainly cannot be proper to interpose for the benefit of usurers, to men who are seeking to make a profitable speculation. But I have objection to this amendment. The amendment is founded upon the idea that these people were in some sense partners in this transaction. My honorable friend from Kentucky repeatedly spoke of them un-der the description of partners. He told us that partners after der the description of partners. He told us that partners after disagreement always manifested the utmost bitterness toward each other. But these men were not partners in any sense. If they had come forward avowedly for the purpose of enabling My-erle to execute his contract with the government, with the understanding that they were to stand or fall with him, why beyond all doubt, having thus become parties to the contract, they would be entitled to be provided for in the bill. But that is not the case; there is no reference or allusion to their becoming partners or parties to the contract. It is a mere stipulation between Myerlo and Montmollin and Cornwall by which the latter expected and Montmollin and Cornwall by which the latter expected to make a handsome profit, not only in the way of commission upon their advances, but also twelve per cent. per animu upon the money so advanced. It is said by the bonorable Senator from Missouri that these men have been made responsible as partners, that they have been sued, and that judgments have been recovered against them. That may be very true, but it would not give them the rights of partners. A man may by his act become liable as a partner in the same man-ras be may become liable as a partner in the same man-ras be may become liable as an expensive tangestar. ner as he may become liable as an executor to an estate, without in either case being entitled to the rights which appertain to an individual in such a capacity. But there is still another objection. These men strip themselves of every shadow of claim upon the consideration of Congress by withholding their co-operation in the prosecution of the claim, until after they found that a bill had passed the House of Representatives, and then all at once eagerly comand thrusting themselves upon the Senate as joint claimants, whilst this poor fellow Myerle has been soliciting his claim before Congress for many years. When he called upon these claim before Congress or many years. When the calculations men to aid and assist him in prosecuting the claim, they would have nothing to do with it; but as soon as the bill passed the other House they come forward and endeavor to procure the annexation of this amendment.

nexation of this amendment.

Upon the whole, it seems to me that the objections to the proposed amendment are simply these. In the first place the principle of the amendment is vicious in toto; and in the next place, if you look into the merits of the claim of these individuals you will find it is nother legal or equitable; and thirdly, this application is an after thought. For after lessing this poor fellow Myerle to be passed, then for the first time do we hear any thing of the claim of these individuals. Then what species of justice would the towards Myerle to oblige him to give security to the government in the sum of twenty thousand dollars to discharge all his liabilities! Why he is broken down—he has got nothing—be has sustained losses upon losses in consequence of this contract. Who is going to be his security? The Secantor from Kentucky will not. The necessary consequence will be this, he cannot receive one cent tade of supplication to these new, Monumblia and Cornwall, and be content to receive at their hands such pittance as they may shirk proper to allow him.

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—I rise rather for the purpose of recalling the attention of the Sonate to the two other acts of Congress which contain provisions similar to that now proposed, than for the purpose of making any observations in regard to the subject.

nose of making any observations in regard to the subject.

I must confess that I have hardly ever heard a more technical argument in a court of justice than that made here by the honorable Seantor from North Carolina. What was the course taken by Myerie after having entered into his contract with the government? I do not wish to speak harshly of him, but it is only considered to the season of the season o

Nothing can possibly be more equitable, than the claim of Montmollin and Corowall; and Myerle, in reason, substance, and in sense, is a mere name; just as much so as John Doe. The honorable Senator might as well contend that John Doe is the bonorable Senator might as well contend that John Doe is the substantial farmer. Does not the record say so? We are under no obligation, the gentleman saws, to any person but Myerle. What obligation are you under to Myerle? Is it an obligation you can go into a court and sue upon? No. What is it then? It is the high moral obligation to do rigit and justice. Well, when you are doing right and justice, will you do it by halves? will you pay money to a mere name, or will you look behind that name and see the real sense and substance of the transaction, and make your the real sense and substance of the transaction, and make your payments accordingly? I should do the latter; that would be my judgment; and it is all that these men sale. Myerle comes here olaiming pay for heap that never belonged to him. Sir, there needs no on a precedent on a subject of this sort, principle as enough, and the principle is plain here. "Poor Myerle" the gentleman says. Myerle is the subject of sympathy—for what? For what does he claim our symmathy—for according to the subject of sympathy—for what? Sometimal says. Some superior so support of sympathy of the has borrowe other people and to be he claim our sympathy, or our equity? He has borrowe other people she had been compared to the government shall produce that money. The money should therefore go to the creditors. But can the property of the control of the con money in his own pocket, and cast his creditors adrift. He cer-tainly would not be "poor Myerle" long. It is surprising to me that this plain view of the case does not strike honorable Senators as the one Congress should adopt. But gentlemen seem to appre-hend that Congress will be involved in much difficulty herealter, hend that Congress will be involved in much difficulty herealter, if they assume such jurisdiction. But this sort of legislation is altogether a matter of discretion. If a man comes forward with a doubtful or questionable datum, Congress may say we do not see the justice of it—we cannot allow the claim. That Montmolin and Convall advanced the money, is admitted. Shall we refuse then to reimburse him because doubtful cases may be advanced? When these cases occur we may reject them, but we cannot divest ourselves of our power to investigate, such easier, and ascertain their valuative. It is ject them, but we cannot divest ourselves of our power to investigate such cases and ascertain their validity. It is a power that has been exercised in numerous instances. So clear and undoubted a claim as this has seldom occurred; it's a claim that his been for the service of the service o merely, but acting upon a class of cases. Myerle goes to these men and says to them, I have not the means to fulfil my contract; furnsh me the means, and you shall be repaid out of the proceeds of the contract. They do so; making it a joint stock affair No s, how would equity dispose of such a case? Without a further remark, the proposition is so plain, that I cannot but indulge the hope that Congress will agree not to take Montmollin and Corn-wall out from the mass of creditors of the same description with himself, but allow the whole class who participated in furnishing the means for the fulfilment of the contract to be provided for by

Mr. BADGER.—My honorable friend from Kentucky, who al ways sees things very clearly, not only expresses his perceptions with great force, but in his view what he deems to be right is so absolutely apparent, that he is surprised that every other person does not look upon them exactly as he does. The honorable Senator suys that the name of Myerle in this case is nothing more than John Due in legal proceedings. I can only say, that this is certainly a strong and extrawagant figure of speech. David My crie undoubtedly contracted with this government. The governmen

is not in the habit of treating with, imaginary persons; and I am inclined to believe that the Senate will, at all ceres, present inclined to believe that the Senate will, at all ceres, present person of this contractor. My honorable final before them in the person of this contractor. My honorable final before them in the person of this contractor. My honorable final contracts the senate of the person of the contractor. My honorable final collection is clearly equitable—that there is no doubt about it. But if my honorable friend will look into the papers in the case he will find—unless he takes if for granted that whatever one party says is true, and whatever the other party says is false—that there is no such equity in the case of Montmellin and Cornwall at all. My honorable friend says that if doubtful cases come before Congress, it will be proport to exercise that discretionary power which he recommends should be contracted in the case of the contract of the contract of the person of the case of the contract of the person of the case of the contract of the person of the case of the contract of the person of the case of the ca

Mr. UNDERWOOD.—The Senate is now thin, and with a view of having a full vote when the matter is finally disposed of, I will move that the further consideration of the bill be postponed until the day after to morrow, and be made the special order of the day for that day. I desire this, because I wish to produce the papers relating to the case; and those papers; I think, will seew such facts as will enable the Scinate to dispose of the case satisfactor is filterature the principle which the Senator from Albahama condemns as strenucosly, and I put the case to bim, to know whether, with his sense of justice, he will adhere to the opinion he has expressed. In time of war, you put into the hands of a contractor, or an agent who makes a contract with the government, sifty or a hundred thousand dollars, and send bim to purchase a toousand males. He exhibits his contracts to those who have properly of vanced to him; but there is still due him fifty or a hundred thousand dollars, and send the property and the spent the money, and has obtained their property on credit, and they represent the case of this finalulant agent to the government; and they say we did not make the contract, it is true, but we furnished thin, and that this contractor has spent the money, and has obtained their property on credit, and they represent the case of this finalulant agent to the government; and they say we did not make the contract, it is true, but we furnished thin, and he for you pay the amount due on the contract you are the money, and he fore you pay the amount due on the contract you are furnished thin, and they they make the property, and he fore you pay the amount due on the contract it has made to get held of the money, is seems to me. that You have got the bemp and the labor. The men who paid for them do not want this man to get hold of the money, because if he does, they will never get a cent. Now, I would like to know what the Senator Irom Albamam would do in such a case?

Mr. BAGBY.—As far as the hemp is concerned, I am rather nothing to thisk that Myerle and the Kentucky clients of my honorable frend are about equally interested, but in regard to the questien, which the honorable Senator has put to me, I will answer, that if a person in time of war obtain advances on the faith of a contract. I should say that the persons furnishing the property should be poid; but if the consideration for making those advances was an usurious contract, amounting as in this case, to about 17 per cent. on the advances, I would let the horses slip their halters and go heak to their owners. These men did not inquire whether Myerle had a contract with the government, but they said if you give us your fills, with a commission of 24 per cent, with a difference of exchange, and I per cent. a month on the money advanced, we will accommodate you with the finds—not relying upon the credit of the government at all, but having in view the profit that was thus to accrue to them from the transaction. I will say, with the Senator from North Carolina, "poor David Myerle-poor indeed. He has not only to meet the enactors of the government.

mort, but he has to go through the hands of these extortioners. The honorable Senator over the way says, that it would be the discovered that the senator over the way says, that it would be the discovered that we ought to do it. Six thousand the senator of the

Mr. UNDERWOOD—The honorable Senator from Alabama used the expression, "my Kentucky clients" I wish to inform him, that upon this floor I have no clients. I know nothing about the gentlemen, except that they handed me their papers the other day, and as a Kentuckian, it was my-duty to pay some attention to the subject. I may conclude, from the reply of the Senator to the case which I put to him, that his heart is so much like my own, that he could not help acknowledging that he would remerate the owners of the property. By that acknowledgment, he

is committed, as far as the principle is concerned, and if I can show, when the case comes up again, that the usury which he complains of interposes no bar, then he will be bound to support the proviso which I have offered. I want to produce the papers, and when they are examined, I believe they will farnish sufficient evidence to convince the Senate that these persons are entitled to a reasonable rommercation. Even if the contract were usurious, it would not be vidiated on that account in Kentucky, as my honorable colleague has explained. The law only relieves from the excess of interest over six per cent.; the hainee of the contract is good, it will not deprive the party of his right to claim the amount actually advanced with the legal interest.

The bill was then postponed, and made the special order of the day for Wednesday.

On motion by Mr. UNDERWOOD, it was

Ordered, That the further consideration of the hill be postponed until Wednesday next.

On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# TUESDAY, MAY 30, 1848.

## REPORT FROM THE TREASURY DEPARTMENT

THE VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a report of the Second Auditor of the Treasury, made agreeably to law, accompanied with such accounts as have been rendered by persons charged or entrusted with the disbursement of moneys, goods, or effects, for the benefit of Indians, from the 1st of October, 1346, to the 30th of September, 1847; which was referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs, and ordered to be printed.

#### PETITIONS.

Mr. YULEE presented a petition of citizens of Hamilton county, Florida, and James county, Georgia, praying the establishment of a mail route from Troupville, Georgia, to Columbus, in Florida; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Mr. DfX submitted additional documents in relation to the claim of Elijah Pratt, for remuneration for the use of his patent valves; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. FELCH presented a memorial from citizens of Wisconsin, praying that the next census may contain a return of the number of freeholders in each State and Territory of the Union; which was referred to the Committee on the Judicary.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mnssachusetts, presented the memorial of citizens of Boston, Massachusetts, praying the purchase of Mount Vernon by the government; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. DOWN'S presented the memorial of the judges and members of its bur of New Orleans, with a report of the committee of the Louisiana bar, asking that the practice in the circuit and district courts of the United States in all civil cases may be made to conform to that of the courts of that State, remarked—This is an important subject, and I wish the early action of the Senate upon it. In 1824 an act of Congress was passed providing that in civil cases, the practice of the courts of Louisiana should be adopted by the United States courts for that district, and for many years this was carried into effect, as it was understood that the practice of the courts of Louisiana was adopted by the United States courts. But in 1835, in a case brought up from the United States court to the Supreme Court of the United States, it was decided that there being me enuty urris-Mr. DOWNS presented the memorial of the judges and membrought up from the United States court to the Supreme Court of the United States, it was decided that there being no equity jurisdicting ground to the suprement of Louisiana, the federal courts must determ ground the property of the court of Louisiana they missing the constitution required it. The courts contended, that the principle applied to all civil cases, but unfortunately at the bar of Louisiana they misunderstood the law, and our own courts decided that they had no equity jurisdiction, consequently they have descarded our practice in Louisiana, and adopted the English system, which is entirely unknown in that State. If it were a mere matter of procedure, the bar and children of Louisiana would not object to writerially so fish have the statinguish between procedure and the The transfer of the second of the second property of the second property of the second property of the second principles of the law itself, that it has actually in practice decided principles of law opposite to the jurisprudence of our own State. The very case in which the question was settled was a most extraordinary one. The case was first decided by our own courts, and finally by the Supreme Court of the United States, when the parand many by the supreme court of the ordered cates, when the par-ties had had the advantage of all the privileges which the law gave them, and a final decision was made, it was suggested by the counsel of one of the parties, that if they changed their domicil and got a residence in another State, the decision might be different. They did get a residence in another State; they again brought their suit, the former decision was overturned, and probrought their suit, the former decision was overturned, and pro-perty to an immense amount changed hands. This was only the commencement of the difficulty. Then arose the suit, in which millions of coldiars worth of property in the city of Fayette were involved, the parties obtained a residence in another State, not inwifred, the parties obtained in visidances in nontier State, or that justice was denied them in their own Scate, but with a view to overthrow the jurisprudence of Louisiana. When the decision was made a few years ago in the case of Livingston, so oppressive was it, that the bar of Louisiana immediately adopted resolutions remonstrating against it. They were sent bere, but for some reason or other they have not been acted upon. When I came to the Senate I found that a memoral similar to this had been presented and referred to the Judiciary Committee, and as usual at the commencement of a new session, it was called up and referred again. On my asking the "editional of the committee to take up the subtraction of the committee of the subject of the memorial, serious objections, resting upon configurational grounds, and upon enquiring what those objections were he showed me a commonineation which he had received on the subject, and a most extra ordinary communication it was. That commitation is the cause of this memorial being presented. At the time the former memorial was presented, a member of the bar of

another State, who perhaps had some intention of locating himself in Louisiana, presented, I will not say a strong remonstrance, but the whole system of jurasprudence of Louisiana. And this was communicated privately to the chairman of the committee, and recommunicated privately to the chairman of the committee, and recommunicated privately to the chairman of the communication were so extraordinary, that they absolutely required the notice of the judiciary and bar of Louisiana. In order that the notice of the judiciary and bar of Louisiana. In order that the fasts might be fully developed, not secretly, but publicly, the communication was sent by me to the bar of Louisiana. They have forwarded a new memorial for the purpose of having the whole subject fully examined. I hope then that the subject which has been so long pending, and in which the rights of the circitens of Louisiana are deeply concerned, will be taken up by the committee and reported upon at an early day. I know it is as question which may be considered in some degree local, but if the committee will do us the lawor to report a bill, which is very simple, merely embodying the provisions of the act of 1824, it will give as an opportunity of showing that it is highly improper that the change should be made in the jurisprudence of the bar, and the resolutions of the Legislature, be printed and referred to the Judiciary Committee.

The motion was agreed to.

On motion by Mr. HALE, it was

Ordered, That Mary Furber have leave to withdraw her petition and papers.

#### CHEROKEE CLAIMS.

Mr. ATCHISON submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

was considered by maintimous consistent, and agreed to:

Resided. That a select committee of three be appointed by the Pesidia; Officer
of the Senate to examine into and report topo. The memoral of certain Cheroke
climating presented to the Senate, complishing against the counce of the Commissions
of Initian Affairs, as also the different boards of commissions appointed under the
heavy directed to send for records and pagers, as I to complet the attendance of witnesses, and to report to the Senate such evidence as my be furnished by the record
and quapers on be greave by the webrease volucing the resistent of completing
and quapers and the results of the constraint of the constraint contained
in musclaintons fleque document No. 5 of the pre-ent as on, and that said committee report what neares not related. It am, the climatina are existed to

Mr Archison, Mr. Jehnson, of Maryland, and Mr. Houston, were appointed said committee.

#### COMMITTEE DISCHARGED.

# On motion by Mr. YULEE, it was

Ordered. That the Committee on Naval Affairs be discharged from the further consideration of the memorial of the surviving officers and crew of the United States' brig Somers; from the further consideration of the memorial of Evenezer Whitten, from the further consideration of the memorial of William Davis; from the further consideration of the memorial of the officers and crew of the United States' ship Warren; and from the further consideration of the memorial of the officers and crew of the United States' steam frigate Missouri.

#### AFFAIRS IN YUCATAN.

Mr. FOOTE submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved. That the President of the United States be requested to send to the Sen ate, (if in his judgment not inconsistent with the public interest,) a copy of any communication from Commodote Perry, or from any other authentic source, contribute additional information connected with the existing condition of singure in Vocation.

## CLOSE OF THE SESSION.

Mr. ATCHISON, by unanimous consent, asked and obtained leave to bring in the following joint resolution, which was read and passed to the second reading:

Resolved. That the present session of Congress be closed by the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives by adjoorning their respective House at 12 o'clock mendian, on the first Monday of July next, and that the next session of Congress be held the first Monday of October next.

## MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

Mr. President: The Hoose of Representatives have passed the bill of the Senate concerning Spanish steam vessels.

The Speaker of the House of Representatives having signed an earolled bill, I am livested to bring it to the Senate for the signature of their President.

## SIGNING OF A BILL.

The VICE PRESIDENT signed the enrolled bill to provide for the purchase of the manuscript papers of the late James Madison, former President of the United States.

#### INDIAN APPROPRIATION BILL.

On motion by Mr. ATHERTON, the prior orders were postponed, and the Senate resumed the consideration, as in Committee of the Whole, of the bill from the House of Representatives making appropriation for the current and contingent expenses of the Indian Department, and for fulfilling treaty stipulations with the various Indian tribes for the year ending on the 30th June, 1849.

Mr. ATCHISON, by direction of the Committee on Indian Affairs, submitted the following amendment :

For compensation to Richard M. Johnson, of Wentucky, for expenses incure him in executing and furnishing buildings at the Crociaw academy in the State of the control of the control of Indian boy, of sundry tribes, ten thousand dollars, we buildings, by easier of the removal of said school, are now rendered of no value.

The PRESIDING OFFICER .- In what part of the bill does the Senator propose to insert his amendment

Mr. ATCHISON.—The amendment will be appropriate in any part of the bill, but, perhaps it may be as well that it should be introduced into the latter part of it.

Mr. ATHERTON .- The Senator from Missouri seems to sup-Mr. ATHERTON.—The Senator from Insecuri scenar of soppose that his amendment is not only appropriate to this bill, but that it belongs equally to any part of the bill. I agree with the Senator. It certainly belongs to one part as much as it does to another. For it seems to me that it belongs no where in the bill. I do not intend at present to go into the merits of this claim ; for if I understand the amendment, it is nothing else than a private of I understand the amendonent, it is nothing else than a private claim, and ought to stand upon the same ground as other claims that are presented to Congress. The amendment proposes to pay the very large sum of ten thousand dollars to Colonel Richard M. Johnson for buildings that have been occupied as a Choctaw neadeny, in consequence of the removal of that candemy. I can see no reason why any other claim that is before Congress should not just as well be appended to an appropriation bill as this claim. If the claim he meritorious, it can be neted on by the Committee of Claims, or by the Committee on Indian Affairs, if its consideration is thought in belone more appropriately to that committee, and they can report a bill for its payment. There is a rule in the House of Repuesetatives which forbids a grant of money being included in an appropriation bill, or appended as an amendment thereto, or an tem of appropriation being increased, except in pursuance of the authority of a law already passed. Now, here is a ceneral appropriation belil for fulfilling stipulations with Indian tribes, and what justuce, I ask, is there in appending to this bill this private claim of Colonel Richard M. Johnson, leaving out of the question altogether the incrits of the claim? It seems to me that it is important that we should attend to the principle which has been observed almost uniformly here, of keeping appropriation bills as far as possible free from those appendiency which introhas been observed aximost uniformly bore, of keeping appropriate bills as far a spesible free from those appendients, which introduce new subjects—subjects which may not have undergone the commendation committee, or which do not come here on the recommendation is pursued of the departments; because, if such a course is pursued, if such a committee, or render the appropriation bills nothing but cannot be a subject to the commendation of money, and to commendate the commendation of money, and to contend the commendation of the commendation of money and to contend the commendation of the commendation o that provisions may not be inserted, and very properly inserted, in that provisions may not to observed, and very projectly inserted, in appropriation bills to limit and guard the appropriations made in prising and provisions and provisions and the provision of sources of expenditure, or expenditures not authorized by laws already existing. I therefore hope that this statement of the objections that exist to including this grant in this appropriation bill will be sufficient; for the Senate must proceive, that unless the will be sofficient; for the Senate must perceive, that unless the principle to which I have referred be adhered to, the appropriation bills might be loaded with every bill on the Secretary every claim, every bill, no matter for what object, might be intro-duced as an addition to the appropriation bills, and they would at

Mr. ATCHISON.—I think, sir, that the Senator may be very readily answered in regard to the objections he has made, upon this ground, that this matter has undergone the examination of a committee of this body—the Committee on Indian Alfairs, to which a muttee of this body—the Committee on Indian Affairs, to which a memorial of Col. Johnson was referred early in the session. We have examined it carefully, and we believe the claim to be a just one; and the committee instructed no, when this appropriation bill came up, to offer the amendment which I have now offered, bebut came up, to our-rise anneamon While I have now ourert, or lieving t to belong appropriately to this bill, it being a matter in-timately connected with, and growing out of the administration I Indian affairs in this country. I concur with the honorable chair-man of the Committee on Finance, that it would be highly impol-ition and aviolation of the rate to which he has referred, to attach the and a violation of the rate to where he has referred, to attach this item to the appropriation bill, if the matter had not underzone the examination of a committee. The gentleman makes no objec-tion, I believe, to the justice of the claim, but objects to its intra-duction here merely by the ground of irregularity. But I think it can be made manifest by the former action of the Senate that there is no impropriety in treating this matter in the way I propose.— All that Col. Johnson asks is compensation for his buildings, which are now entirely useless to him, the Indian academy having been broken up; and the compensation proposed to be given him is put at the very lowest valuation of the property. If there should be any difficulty or doubt about the justice of the claim, I will ask that the report be read.

Mr. ATHERTON.-My honorable friend from Missouri does not state the objection I made to the full extent. My objection was not solely that the subject bad not been examined by a com-Are there not various other claims here which have been mittee. Are there not various other claims here which have been sammed by a committee, and which are just as meritorious as this? Why should they not he appended to an appropriation bill? The principle which I consider objectionable, is the attaching to appropriation bills subjects that are foreign to the bills themselves, creating a kind of necessity that they shall be adopted, or else that the appropriation bills must be lost. One of the objections to the mirolluction of this amendment is, that it exposes us to a conflict between the two houses. Suppose we should be satisfied of the justice of the claim, and the other house not satisfied, then a question comes up upon a collateral matter which endangers the massage of an appropriation bill that is necessary for carrying on question comes up upon a collateral matter which endangers the passage of an appropriation bill that is necessary for earrying on the operations of the government. In regard to the merit of this claim, I believe that Col. Johnson has been fully paid and more than paid in rent for the use of his buildings. He has been paid in over and over again. I would like to know what evidence there is before the Committee on Indian Affairs to sustain this claim of ten thousand dollars. I would like to bear the report read if a report has been made.

Mr. ATCHISON .- The objection comes very strangely, I think, Mr. ATCHISON.—The objection comes very strangery, I business from the chairman of the Committee on Finance on the ground of an increase of appropriation, that gentleman having bimself inserted in the bill an increased appropriation for Indian agents.

Mr. ATHERTON .- The Senator will find there is no increased expenditure authorized by the bill.

Mr. ATCHISON .- The second section of the hill provides that, "That from and after the thutch of Jone, eighteen banded and forte-sight, no money, which have been, or may be, appropriated for the purposes of education among the Indian tribes, shall be expended for any such object chewhere thus in the Indian contact.

By the operation of this section the Choctaw academy is dis-By the operation of this section the Conceau accounting is dis-continued. Then here is another section that cannot, according to the doctains of the honorable Senator himself, properly be insert-ed in an appropriation bill, and I had intended at the proper time to move that it be stricken out:

 $^{6}$  That, for the In inovest of the Rocky mountains, there shall be two superiolend on s of Indian affairs, with a compensation each of one thousand six hundred dollars per a num, &c.  $^{27}$ 

Now, if this be proper to be inserted in an appropriation bill of this description, I cannot see why a just claim, growing out of our relations with the Indians heretofore, would not be still more appropriate. But the gentleman calls for the reading of the report; I will send it to the Secretary. The report is fully sustained by the evidence, which can also be read if the gentleman desires it.

The report was read by the Secretary.

Mr. ATHERTON—In reply to the suggestion of my bonorable friend from Missouri in regard to a portion of this bill not pre-perly belonging to an appropriation bill, I mean that portion which relates to the discontinuance of the academy, I suppose his objec-tion is, that it alters an existing law. Ent I do not know that there is any law which establishes a Choctaw academy.

Mr. ATCHISON.—The bosorable Senator mistakes my meaning. What I say is, that the argument comes stranglely from a member of the C minitize on Finance, against this amendment, without moving to strike out those two clauses to which I have referred as nappropriate to this bill.

Mr. ATHERTON .- I believe I stated, when I addressed the Senate before, that I could conceive of no possible objection to the insertion of anything that was calculated to limit, or guard the appropriations contained in the hill. In fact, when I was a memappropriations contained in the lim. In law, weef a was a hear-ber of the other House, I took an appeal from a decision made upon this very matter, upon the ground that you could not, in an appropriation bill, after an existing law. The House decied that an appropriation authorized by an existing law might be limthat an appropriation authorized by an existing law might be limited and guarded by a provision inserted in an appropriation bill, although it might impair the force, or even repeal the provisions of the existing law. In regard to the increase of salary of the Indian agents, to which the Senator has referred, I will remark that the bill provides for limiting the salary of the subagents, while it slightly inoreases the salary of the agent, and it also limits the number of agents and sub-agents, the usual number having been rendered unnecessary by the removal of various Indian tribes. The aggregate expenditure, then, for Indian agencies, is very materially reduced. I have given the sub-oct a very through examination, and that examination has extended through several years. There was a bill which passed the House of Representatives some years ago, containing the very provisions which several years. There was a bill which passed the House of Kepresentatives some years ago, containing the very provisions which are embodied in this bill, to handle the provisions which are embodied in this bill, to handle sees of our Indian agentation of the property of the property of Indian and Forest, which shows the property of Indiang and providing for those expenses, as is done in the clause which the Senator from Missouri objects to, and which also shows, that by such a provision a saving may be made in the expenses of Indian agedies and subageoies of about ten thousand dollars a year. Those expenditures will be diministed by this clause to that extent annually. Therefore, I think that the clause comes within the principle which I stated as applicable to apportpriation bills. But, sir, this acadeau, was never established by law. The treaty of Dancing Rabbit Creek; whele provides the found upon which this school owns Irst started, provides also that the money shall be expended within the Indian country. But some difficulty grew up between the Indians, or some of their chiefs and the missionaries, and there was a request made they har. Ward, who I believe was a relative of Col. Robert M. Johnson, that there should be a school establement was made by which Col. Johnson was to take, a certain number of Choetaw youths—twenty five I believe was the original number—and provide instructors for them, each of these youths paying him, I believe, a hundred and twenty dollars a year for board and tution, and eightly dollars in addition for medical attendance, clothing, &c. For this first number of twenty-five school area, there was allowed \$120 00 for rent. There were afterwards other Indian youths added to the school, and each one of all those that were added, was charged ten dollars a year for the rent of the buildings. I find, on referring to a letter in document 129 of the 26th Congress, 2d session, which contains a great deal of informa-Indian country. But some difficulty grew up between the Indians, buildings. I find, on referring to a letter in document 129 of the 26th Congress, 2d session, which contains a great deal of informa-tion on the subject, that one reason why Col. Johnson was willing to take these youths was, as he states, that he had buildings ready for the purpose—that he had a house suitable for their accommo-dation, and another house for the accommodation of the teachers and their assistants, and of the superintenses his sense of the hencit that from Col. Johnson of the superintenses his sense of the hencit that the property of th cation of these twenty-five Indian youths. There was a sum allowed as a salary for the superintendent; there was a sum allowed for the teachers, and there was a sum allowed as an infit and outfor the teachers, and there was a sum anowed as an initi and out-if to eloching for each scholar; a sum also for the conductor for taking the scholars there, making the whole expense for each scholar, not less, I believe, than 230 or 300 dollars. In a short time other tribes began to send their sons to this school. There are some letters among the documents, containing importunities addressed to Indian agents to intercede with their respective tribes to send their children to this school; and no efforts seem to have leen spared to obtain a large number of several their sources. It is document, and it contains a large to start the starting of this school, which is a support of the starting of this school, contained the starting of this school, after the starting of this school, several to make the starting of this school, several to make coession, and then it appears be expended a thousand stollars. Well, for every additional scholar he was paid \$200 for board, tuttion, medical attendance, &c., besides the allowance for infit and outift, and the expense of the conductor; and there was also paid ten dollars each for a saistant instructors and also ten dollars for each scholar, as appears by this document, for rost. I may as well heer road what Col. Johnson says in a letter written in September 1825, about the buildings: to send their children to this school; and no efforts seem to have

"As I am better situated to take them than any other person in the country, they have consequed them to ine to board and clothe, &c. I have a house with three torsoms, twenty by thirty feet, which is shall appropriate evelusively for their accommodation. I have another house with four count, twenty feet square, which will do for the teacher lost us, man do er own for the school."

It appears there has been paid for the first 25 boys For salary of superintendent For rent of buildings For all other boys 500 00 120 00 per annum. 200 00 each. 200 00 each. 10 00 " 10 00 " 300 00 per annua 200 00 per annua 1,050 00 For all outer toys
Towards teach of subdiscipations
For rent of buildings
for rent of superintendent's salary
Addition paid by Greeks for teachers
Three has also been paid for eattertaining inspectors
For postage annuals
For the size of the spring per annum 50 00 1 00 for each scholar

# A SENATOR .- One dollar for each swallow ? (A laugh.)

Mr. ATHERTON .- For each scholar. I may as well ob-Mr. ATHERTUN.—For each scholar. I may as well observe here, that in a communication from Mr. Crawford in 1840. I find that he says he had made an agreement with Colonel Johnson, that this school should be discontinued in two years from that time, and in the meantime he desired that as many vonths should be sent to the school as possible in prespect of its discontinuance. So that I apprehend the discontinuance of the school at power works when the school as the school at this time, cannot engage a very constituence of the school at this time, cannot engage a very constituence. discontinuance. So that I apprehend the discontinuance of the school at this time, cannot operate a very great inconvenience upon Colonel Johnson. And I believe it is a well settled opinion with those who are familiar with Indian affairs that schools should be established in their own country, and that the boys should

should be established in their own country, and that the boys should not be sent to distant places to receive their education. But in order to ascertain how much has been expended for the additional stolars, it becomes necessary to refer to the number of scholars. I find that in 1826, there were in the whole sixty scholars; in 1827, ninety-six scholars; in 1826, one hundred and fourteen scholars in 1835, one hundred and seventy-four scholars in 1836 one hundred and cighteen scholars, and so on. It will thus appear that there must have been paid in the whole for rent since 1826 over twenty thousand dollars—or nearly at the rate of one thousand dollars per year.

#### A SENATOR .- How much for the spring ?

Mr. ATHERTON .- I have not calculated that. It does not and that there was no other canonical extreme the govern-ment and Colonel Johnson, that in case he kept up this school, which he was very auxious to do, payment should be made for the buildings when the school was discontinued. And there was no understanding that the school should always be continued; on the contrary, as long ago, as 1840, there was an understanding that it should be discontinued in two years from that time. I believe it has been wisely discontinued for the benefit of the Indians, and I believe, taking all things into consideration, there is doubt enough thrown upon this claim to show that it ought not to be included in

30TH CONG .- 1ST SESSION-No. 84.

this appropriation bill, and that it ought to undergo another examination before it receives the sanction of the Senate.

Mr. BELL -I agreed to the report in this case in committee. Mr. BELL —I agreed to the report in this case in committee, but I confess I was not aware of the array of facts that have been adduced by the Senator from New Hampshire, or I should have flet it my duty, as a member of the committee, to have examined more minutely into the grounds of objection against the claim when the case was presented to the committee. Although it was an application that was not founded upon any legal obligation, and the least the authority of any existing law to support it was it was an application that was not founded upon any legal obligation, and had not the athority of any existing fave to support it, yet it was presented to us strongly, whether this allowance ought not to be made under existing eircumstances. Perhaps we were influenced in some degree by the character of Colonel Johnson—by the station he filled under this government—by our knowledge of his warm and generous disposition, in consequence of which he failed to profit, as he might have done, from whatever sams of money he may have received for his public services; perhaps, I say, we might have been influenced in some degree in pressing the matter at this time, as an amendment to this edge of the other house has been exceeded in our to be adopted as the other house has been exceeded in our who all the some degree of the other house has the contract of the contract of the other house has the contract of the other house has the contract of the other house has a contract of the other house has the other house has the contract of the other house has the other hands of the other house has the other house has the other hands of the other house has the other hands of the other house has the other hands of the other hands of the other house has the other hands of the consucrations; for a domin top place the practice of the con-pression processing through Congress claims which have not under gone proper investigation. But it did seem to the committee that this was an appropriate season for making this appropriation, on the ground that this was the first year in which the annual allowance that has been made to Colonel Johnson for the maintenance of this school has been suspended, and I, for one, supposed that he was not prepared to anticipate that he was about to be deprived of his ol. I should like to know now from the honorable Senator New Hampshire, whether he has any certain information that Colonel Johnson entered into an agreement in 1840, that the school should be suspended?

Mr. ATHERTON .- I have a letter in my hand from T. Hartley Crawford, dated April 9th, 1840, in which he says-

'I have Colonel Johnson's agreement that this school shall terminate at the end of o years from last January."

Mr. BELL .- Well, I should like to know how it has happened MY, BELL.—Well, I should like to know how I has happened that the school has continued up to this date? But I can easily imagine, that a proposition for a discontinuance of the school might have been made at that time, and yet, that Colonel Johnson might have been encouraged by the competent authorities of the government to continue the school; and that it has been his business his means of subsistence, that the superintendence of the education his means of subsistence, that the superintendence of the education of these Indian youths may have been his only reliance for subsistence since that time. It was upon this ground that the committee thought that this appropriation would recommend itself to the special favor of the Senate and the House, in consideration of the peculiar circumstances of the case. But It confess, I should not be disposed to press its incorporation in this appropriation hill, had not appropriately and I believe the committee enter since the same -and I believe the committee entertained the same I not supposed supposition-that Col. Johnson had not been warned until a very recent period at least, that the appropriation for the maintenance of the Indian academy would be cut off. I do not think there is very great weight to be attached to some of the arguments of the hongreat weight to be untaken. He some of a standardisticate the opportunities of the proprint of the standard of that the Senate will not insist upon. It can cause no dissention therefore. The question it seems to me is: is this a claim that is proper to be allowed, come before the Senate at whatsoever time proper to be allowed, come before the Senate at whatsoever time it may, and if it be a proper claim, is it if and proper under the peculiar circumstances of the case, that the allowance should be made to Col. Johnson oney, at the time when you stop the animal appropriation for his sehool? Does the claim address itself sufficiently strong to the sense of justice, or the sympathies of this body, to induce them to grant an allowance at once? If so, if may be done very properly, I think, in this bill; for it is not likely that it would be granted during this session by a separate bill. Now in regard to these extraordinary sums of money, which appear to have been voted heretofore in support of this school, I would like to know from the honorable Senator from New Hampshire, in what manner he has made his calculations of the aggre-

gate amount of rent, which he says has been allowed to Co Johnson? Whether it is an inference of his own from an allow-ance in some one year, or whether he knows from the statement before him, that it is not based upon such an inference on the part of the dopartment?

Mr. ATHERTON .- I have the statement of the Commissioner of Indian Affairs

Mr. BELL .- I know. But it seemed to me from the reading, that the statement of the aggregate was but an inference

Mr. ATCHISON.—I have a document from a very respectable gentleman—the superintendent of the Cfloctaw academy—which may throw some light upon the subject. I will ask the Secretary to read it.

#### [It was read by the Secretary.]

Mr. BELL.—I was proceeding to remark that I did not think the Commissioner of Indian Affairs meant to state what was not true; nevertheless, from the reading of the statement it seemed to

me that the estimate of the aggregate receipts was founded upon an estimate of some one or two years only, and I still think there is some mistake; but if the Senator from New Hampshire has examined for himself I shall not debate it any further. amined for himself I shall not debate it any further. But in reser-ence to the rent charged upon the pupils of the academy, it may be reasonably supposed that it was not more than sufficient to re-pair dilapidations. And neither Col. Johnson nor any body else be reasonably supposed that it was not more than sufficient to re-pair dishpidations. And neither Col. Johnson nor any body clee could have supposed that when the school was discentinued by or-der of the government, under chose sanction the buildings were either originally constructed or kept in repair, for the purpose of accommod ving the school, the property was to fall upon bits hands us a dead loss. Even though it be true that he received an anna-l rent, it does not give him an equivalent if the school is not continued. And in regard to arguments founded upon the letter writ-ten by Col. Johnson io 1825, that he had buildings already erected calculated for the accommodation of the school, we find within three or four years afterwards that, instead of having twenty-five pupils, as originally contemplated, he had sixty Choctaw youths, pupis, as originally contemparen, on mo waxy's uncluse Volunta and this additional number of course required additional buildings. But at a still later period we find the number rising up to a building of the death of the strength of the vided for these Indian youths were such as those inspectors approved. I infer that they were substantial and comfortable buildings, and when he provided accommodation for one hundred and seventy-five scholars I infer that his expenditure must have been at least as considerable as the committee assumed. And in regard to the large sum of money which is said to have been received by way of rent, it must be remembered that the accounts run through a long Take any of the employees of government, and calculate the amount received by them for a series of years, and you will have an imposing sum-fifty, a hundred, or two hundred thousand dollars, according to the magnitude of the business .-What sort of argument would that be against a claim for losses addressed to the equity and justice of the government at the closing up of the individual's connection with the government when suddenly dismissed from employment? And that is Col. Johnson's case. He has been dismissed from his employment. I perceive a very invidious nem enumerated among the charges, viz: a charge for the use of a spring. This, I presume, was for some specific occasion. For what period of time was this charge made?

Mr. ATHERTON.—The account states \$1.00 each for the use of the spring per annum. It would appear to be an annual allow-

Mr. BELL.—I should distrust very much the correctness of such a statement. I will voture to say that the charge was made under very peculiar circumstances. If it were in reality ever mude at all. I should like to see some assistancy explanation of that item. I have thought it proper to make these remarks, although Idd not naticipate the necessity for saying a word in regard to this subject. I do not know but I have given the subject as attentive consideration as any member of the committee, although it was not expressly within my charge. I was aware, from my knowledge of Indian affairs generally, that considerable sums of money had been appropriated for the maintenance of this Choctaw academy. What the amount was I did not know, but I took it for granted, and still suppose, that the allowance for providing accommoditation at was. For a cross-good the indicings in repair, and the opinion that Col. Johnson, upon whom the empty. I am of the opinion that Col. Johnson, upon whom the empty, and of providing for the education of these Indian youths was conferred by the government, had a right to suppose that while he continued to thum. But having been deprived of it, he has an undoubted right to ask and receive indemnity from the government for the property, of the use of which he is now deprived.

Mr. CRITTENDEN.—I do not intend to delay the Senate upon this subject beyond a very few moments, for the purpose of making a single remerk in reference to the state of the purpose of making a single remerk in reference to the state of some gentlements of the sounded very invitiously in the ears of some gentlements of the sounded very invitiously in the ears of some gentlements of the state of

it, I do not hold myself beand to investigate it further; but feet that in good faith I may rely, and that I had better rely, upon the that in good faith I may rely, and that I had better rely, upon the that in good faith I may rely, and that I had better rely, upon the that the content of the committee, and nothing it appears to me has been adduced here to controvert that judgment. Sums have been exaggerated by easting up the various amounts received during a long period of years, but nothing can be more fallacions or delusive. Colonel Johnson has received a certain amount for the rent of this property. I' that proves any thing, it does not prove that too much rent has been paid, but it proves that the property is sufficient value to command that rent. It proves the value of the property and this property has been left useless upon his hands in consequent of the content of the

Mr. BAGBY .- I should like very much to vote for this amendment if I could do so with propriety. I have a great respect for the opinions of the committee by whom it has been recommended, and in the next place, I trust I have a proper appreciation of the services of Col. Richard M Johnson. But notwithstanding these considerations, it is impossible for me, according to my views of propriety to countenance it to the loust extent. I think it is out of place in connection with this bill, but that is a matter of minor consideration. I think it is out of place to attach it to this bill or to any other, or to vote for it if presented in a bill by itself. What is the proposition? In 1825 Col. Johnson informed the governis the proposition? In 1825 Col. Johnson informed the govern-ment that he had buildings fit and appropriate for this Chectaw ment that be had buildings fit and appropriate for this Cheetaw school. He describes them, gives gange and dimensions, and we are informed that the buildings originally cost \$10,000. Since that time, according to the proof we have before us, all the expendi-tures incurred by Col. Johnson in extending the buildings have been \$1,000. Call it then, if you please, eleven, or even \$12,000, and how does the case then stand? Why, having received for up-wards of twenty years what he obarged for the use of his build-wards of twenty years what he obarged for the use of his buildings-after having been paid for the board, tuition, clothing, medical attendance for the scholars in his academy, as well as all miical strendance for the scholars in his academy, as well as all mi-nor charges for wood and water, medicinal waters, if you please; after having received all this, what does he call upon the Seaate to do now? You are called upon to pay what the property was originally estimated to be worth. That is the proposition. Acoriginally estimated to be worth. That is the proposition. According to this doctrine, if you lease your property for twenty years on your own terms, and get extra considerations allowed you in the bargain, you must, at the end of the twenty years, by way of indemnity, be paid the full original value of the property, I ask if there is any justice, equity, or sound policy in the pursuit of a course of this kind? No, sir. I think I, see in one remark which fell from the honorable Senator from Tennessee, the whole motive for this grant, and it is highly creditable to him. I wish I felt at liberty to allow the same movive to prevail with me. But the question is, whether the Senate is to be guided by onsiderations merely of sympathy and respect, in acting upon a matter of this kind. Sympathy has weight with me, but it is the we'rst possible argument that can be urged for our action in this body. If I were to recur to one part of the history of Col. Johnson, my synthesis would carry me all lougths; but I must say in regard to way of indemnity, be paid the full original value of the property were to recur to one part of the listory of Cor. Journson, my sup-parties would carry me all longths; but I must say in regard to his connection with the Choctaw academy, I have no sympathy at all. That institution has been of no benefit to this government, and it has been of no benefit to that degraded and miserable na tion. It has been like all other cases in which the white man has taken charge of the Indian, it has been profitable to the white man, but it has been useless, if not ruinous to the Indian. gret—and I cannot refrain from the expression of that regret—see a man of Col. Johnson's high and respectable station in life pursuing a claim of this kind, a claim which if it had emanated from a man of ordnary standing, could not, I appreciant, exceive the sanction of this hody for a single instant. It can only be the influence of the name of Col. Johnson that can secure its passage, whether attached to this bill, or in a separate form. Suppose this case—suppose an ordinary countryman of the interior had supulated twenty years ago to lease a house to the government, and

that the government had not only paud him the price agreed popn, but had actually paud him more, and he were to insist, that in addiction to all this the government must now pay him the entire valae of the property, I ask if any Senator would sanction an apprepriation of that kind.

Mr. BELL .- It is not an appropriation of that kind.

Mr. BAGBY .- I understand it to be so.

Mr. BELL.—I wish to correct the honorable Senator upon this point. The argument is placed, among others, expressly on the ground that Col. Johnson had a right to suppose that this school would be continued.

Mr. BAGBY.—I understand that, and I understand that these buildings were in the possession of Col. Johnson in 1825. He-says so himself, and goes on describe them, showing their adaptation to this use. And the only expenditure he has incurred since that time is \$1,000. From 1825 then, down to the present time, he has received what is an equivalent for the use and occupation of his property—he has received more than the government of the part of the same control of the part of the same part of the part of th

Mr. WESTCOTT.—When this amendment was first proposed, Imade up my mind to vote for it, although when I did so, I was not fally apprised of all the circumstances of the case. I would sail the attention of the Senator from New Hampshire to what I conceive to be an inconsistency between the argument he now advances, and an argument of his the other day in regard to an amendment proposed to another appropriation bill. The argument now is, that this item ought not to be inserted in this bill, because it has not been submitted to the Committee on Pinance.

Mr. ATHERTON .- I did not state that.

Mr. WESTCOTT,—Well, the Senator objected because it was not germain to the bill. The other day an amendment was proposed to an appropriation bill which was before the Senate, an amendment that went a great deal further than this for the prosed to abolish an office. I objected to it, because I thought is improper to be inserted in an appropriation bill, but I was attained, and I was obliged to accede to it, alther, but I was advanced in its favor acceded to the argument of the argument of the second second to the argument of the second second to the argument of the second second to the second second to the second secon

Mr. ATHERTON.—If my honorable friend from Florida had attended to my remarks, he would have found there was no inconsistency between the position I took the other day and the present is regard to the amondment proposed to the appropriation bill which abolished an office, I stated expressly that I had contended in the House of Representatives that it was legitimate to limit and gnard an appropriation, and even to go so far as to repeal a law for that purpose. But that was altogether different from the principle of increasing an appropriation or adding an appropriation and tauthorized by law. And I adverted to the rule of the House which forbids the introduction of a clause in a general appropriation bill for an experiment Florida, that that was exactly the cotten bill for an experiment Florida, that that was exactly the cotten that I took the other day. As to the charge for the spring I meant nothing inviduous against Col. Johnson, for whom I have the lighest respect both personally and politically. But it was included in that it took the other day. As to the charge for the spring I meant in the present of the commissioner of Indian affairs to show the whole of the expenses that were incurred for this Indian school, and reckning all the expenses; I believe it will be found that there is no equal number of white hoys have paid so much Indeed, I have a letter from the President of the commissioner of Indian affairs to show the whole of the expenses that were incurred for some interest of the commissioner of Indian affairs to show the subject. I have no wish to detain the Sentence of the commissioner of the superincianos for the education of the fundam. This money comes from the Indian fund, which is set apart by the teasy for that purpose, and the money is paid under the superincians for the education of the superincians for the education of the superincians of the edu

tendence of the War Department without any specific appropriation by Congress.

Mr. BELL.-The appropriations for the Choctaws come on of the Treasury I believe.

Mr. ATHERTON.—Not by specific apprepriation for each item. But is it contended that this sum is to come out of the Indian fund 3 and is it contended that there was any agreement on the part of the overcoment that they would pay for the buildings when the school was discontinued? Nothing of the kind. It could not have entered into the contemplation of any one, that after having received compensation for the use of his buildings during that long period he should come forward with a claim of this sort. And I may mention farther, that in 1833 there were five hundred dollars expeeded by the government in buildings with a tive to change this softs of the honorable Senator from Tennesse himself, this subject requires investigation. What does the Senator state? He states that the facts I have disclosed were unknown to him.

Mr. BELL .- What facts does the Senator mean ?

Mr. ATHERTON .- About the sum received by Colonel Johnson for the rent of his buildings.

Mr. BELL.—What I meant to say, was not that I did not know he had received rent. That appears in the report of the committee. But in regard to the amount of the rent we had no estimate.

Mr. ATHERTON—At any rate the honorable Senator seems not to have en aware of this agreement made by Colonel Joinson in 1840, that the school should be discontinued, and there are other things I believe of which he was not aware. Now when the gentleman schowniedges that the subject has not received full investigation by the committee, how can be undertake to say that we ought to pass the claim? It seems to no that this sery statement of the honorable N-mator shows that It would be unsafe and anjust towards the treasury of the United States, that this sum of ten thousand dollars should be granted thus hastily by being appended to thus bill.

THE ATCHISON—I wish to call the attention of the Senate with Attention of Col. Johnson ninvels, as to the inception of the grant and the same than the same

to be indemnified.

Now, it seems to me that this is the only point for the Senate to determine. Whatever he may have received, naless it be in fulfilment of this agreement, it seems to me as entirely asside of the question. It is proved by two witnesses, that these buildings must have cest some \$10,000, or more. Well, according to the honorable Senator From New Hampshire, the rent paid has amounted to somewhat or \$20,000 for a period of twenty-two years, and the repairs baving required that sum, Jol. Johnson has not received one cent for his buildings. According to the account stated by the honorable Senator from New Hampshire himself, the cest of the repairs has exceeded 10 per cent. on the value of the building. In twenty wears, then, the amount expended for repairs would be equal to the original cost.

If there was an understanding or agreement such as this between Col. Johnson and the Secretary of War, the government is bound to recognize the Secretary of War, the government is bound to recognize the steen the uniform practice of the government, the the secretary of the Indian within the limits of the United States, whence they have been removed west of the Mississpip, to make appropriations to pay for the buildings. This was the case with one establishment in Missouri, within my knowledge. The mission-ries at the Harmony Mission had been receiving the lund appropriated by the government for education at that point. Aboy the government after wards, when and hey were paid for by the government after wards, when he beyond our territory; the diam, the forecarred in relation to the Chappewa, the Cherckee, and the Chord we missions. Congress has acted upon it time and again, and made similar allowances. I admit there is no testimony of the agreement with Secretary Barbour, other than Col. Johnson's own stacement.

Mr. WEBSTER .- That ought to be sufficient

Mr. ATCHISON .-- I think so,

Mr. ATHERTON.—Do I understand the Senator to state that for the first twenty-five Choctaws Col. Johnson expended \$5,000 in buildings?

Mr. ATCHISON.—And the additional buildings cost spwards of \$5,000 more.

Mr. ATHERTON.—Col. Johnson states in his letter to the War Department in 1825, that be was provided with buildings.

Mr. ATCHISON .- Col. Johnson states afterwards that the additional buildings cost him \$5,000.

Mr. ATHERTON.—The only statement of additional expense that I can find in the documents is the amount of about \$1,000.

Mr. NILES.—We all know the distinguished gentleman to whom it is proposed to make this grant; and we all entertain a

high opinion of him: and if, upon any principle of justice, equity, raw, or usage, I could vote for it, I should certainly do it with a great deal of pleasure. And I have been carefully watching the progress of this debate for something upon which to rest my vote. Now, there have been two grounds stated, which seem to afford about as reasonable a pretext as any I have discovered. One is, that which the honorable Senator from Tennessee, who is a good lawyer and a competent judge, refers to. If they have we have been two grounds stated, which seem to a good lawyer and a competent judge, refers to. If they have we have the property of the principle if I could. It would be a very convenient one, that we should all have an inft, or outfit, it may be more properly called, I suppose, when we go out of employment. I should have been glad if the distinguished gentleman had remained in employment. Dut the question we have been glad if the distinguished gentleman had remained in employment, but the question iew of this whole sulps to belong to Congress, do something in the way of remaneration for loss of employment. If I could, It would do it do not be the property of the pr

the necessary accommodations.

Well, what else is there in the ease upon which I can rest my Possibly I might vote for it on the ground of an equivalent vote: Possibly 1 migni vote for it on the ground of an equivalent. It is an old saying that one good service should be rewarded by another. Now, this gentleman, as we all know, has performed a long service and a very useful one in both honses of Congress.—
He was a very industrious and useful member. He did also a great He was a very industrious and useful member. He did also a great deal of out-door service. I believe, in fact, he has rendered more service, both in his seatin either house, and by his labors out of Congress, in aiding petitioners to obtain their private claims, than any other man that has ever heen in Congress. Well, now, considering the importance of his services in this particular waygenerous feelings—his desire to be useful—his liberality—his rea-diness to serve all who had transactions with the government—considering how much he has done in this way, I think we ought to be very liberal towards him, and act in the same spirit which he al-ways manifested. If I could vote for the bill upon that ground I certainly would; for I believe this claim in that respect is stronger than any other that has ever been before Congress, or probably ever will be. I recollect one case of a private claim in which the Vice President was called upon to give a casting vote. The clain: rested, I believe, upon a basis that no one could discover, but it was advocated with a great deal of cloquence and ingenuity. It was the case of a lady, too, a daughter of Governor Madison, who applied for a grant of \$5,000. She had no claim to a pension, although her husband, it is true, had fought gallantly in the Indian war, and had been wounded from head to foct, and, no doubt, was a cripple; and under these mysterious circumstances, the case having been ably argued, when it came to the vote there was precisely a tie, and the distinguished gentleman who is now here with his petition had to give the casting tote. He made a very feeling speeh—not touching upon the point, however, for the case had no point—but he spoke feelingly of the daughter of Governor Madison, and of the wrongs of woman in general, and wound up by say-ng, "the chair votes for the woman." Now, there is no woman this case, but the woman's advocate has come here himself, and the question is, whether we ought not to exhibit towards him a little of that generosity which so eminently distinguished him. I nittle of that generosity when so emmenty distinguished him. I would like to vote for it upon that ground, but I am firad it would be establishing a dangerous principle. On the whole, if I were to vote for this claim at all it would be on the principle of an equivalent—in consideration that he voted for all claims. Ho voted for

claims having less foundation than the voted for an enable. The voted for claims having less foundation than this, secured by a spirit of benevolence and liberality.

Now, I think I have given you a view of all the merits there are in this case. If there is any thing more I would be glad to bear it stated by any gentleman, for I am anxious to vote for the

Mr. BUTLER.—I would like to know from the honorable chairman of the committee, whether it is proposed to compensate Col. Johnson for buildings that were erected at the time when he

entered into his engagement with the government, for I think there is a very great difference between giving him compensation for buildings which he erected expressly with a view to accommodate the school, and buildings which were already upon his land.

Mr. WEBSTER .- I do not think that this claim, whatever its MI. WEBSIEA.—I do not think that this chair, whatever its merits may be, should be prejudiced by any charges made by Col. Johnson against the government, or by any allowance from the government in times past, for the education and maintenance of government in times past, for the euccation and manuscance of these Choctary youths. That matter is closed. The accounts between him and the government are all settled. Nor do I think the fact of any importance, that in 1840 he entered into an agreement or understanding that he would discontinue this school; hecause it is abundantly apparent that the government did not wish him so to do. For from that time to the present, as I understand, the so to do. For from that time to the present, as I understand, the government has paid him the usual allowance. I think the precise equity of this case has been hit, in one word, by the honorable Senator from South Carolina, that Col. Johnson should be remuerated for the buildings which he has actually erected for the minierated for the buildings which he has actually elected for the accommodation of this school. When the school was first established, it appears he had certain buildings already on his plantation, erected of course for other purposes, which he was willing to convert to this use, upon the understanding that he should be allowed a reasonable rent; and, as stated by the honorable Senator lowed a reasonable ront; and, as stated by the honorable Senator from Missouri, that when the school should be discontinued, he should have some equitable remuneration. Well, I can conceive that under the circumstances, even for buildings already erected, and found on his plantation, if his school should be suddenly discontinued, there might be a very equitable ground for the understanding, that in that case he should have some compensation; and the written paper which is before the Senate, in which Col. Johnson states that he had the promise of the Secretary of War Johnson states that he had the profits to him, is. I think ever fair Johnson states that he had the promise of the Secretary of wat-that compensation should be made to him, is, I think, very fair proof. A statement of that sort under his own hand is, at all events, sufficient to satisfy me. But there are the additional build-ings that were erected when the school was enlarged, for the propose of accommodating the school, and therefore I presume fit for no other purpose, and erected at a cost of \$5,000 as seems to set (orth in the documents that have been read. This creates was set forth in the documents that have been read. online new equity; and now by the action of the government the school is discontinued—as I think very properly—and the business of educating these youths transferred to the tribes to which they belong. I think unquestionaly there does remain in equity a claim of justice on behalf of Col. Johnson to be allowed what is reasonable for the capital expended in the erection of these buildings which have now become of no use in consequence of the termina-tion of what may be called a tenure at will, in consequence of the sudden discontinuance of the establishment, without previous notice to him, or without enabling him in any way to turn the property to account. This seems to me to be the equity of the ease; and therefore if the gentleman who has the charge of this matter will reduce the sum to be allowed to the cost of the buildings, proved to have been creeted for this particular purpose, I shall give it my support.

Mr. ATCHISON.—The proof is not directly to the point on this question. Cel. Johnson states that after the treaty of Dancing Rabbit Creek, when the number of pupils at the school increased, it hecame necessary to increase his accommodations, and that he expended afterwards some \$5,000. And we have the testimony of two gentlemen before the committee, who state that the buildings used were worth considerably over ten thousand dollars. I suppose it would be fair to say that the value of those receted after the commencement of the school is about \$5,000.

Mr. BUTLER.—But there is another consideration. The buildings are worth something now.

Mr. ATCHISON.—Not at all, they are utterly useless. But I would be willing to leave the matter in this vary—I would allow the Commissioner of Indian Affairs to ascertain the Juleu. And if the Senate will do me the favor to postpone the subject until to morrow morning. I will propose the amendment in that shape.

Mr. BELL.—Will the Senator allow me to make a single remark' My honorable friend from Connecticut rests bis opposition to this claim upon the ground that'at the time the school commenced in 1825. Cel. Johnson had buildings already creeted. It is true he had, but what number of scholars were they capable of accommodating? Twenty-five pupils was the original number that constituted the school. At a subsequent period the school was enlarged, the number of scholars was increased, and of course additional accommodations were required. The number at last amounted to one bundred and seventy-five, and although he might have had originally accommodation for twenty-five, yet after this accession of number there would be one hundred and firty to he provided for. The cost of the buildings he was bound to crect under instructions from the War Department, when he was expected to receive the forty additional papils after the treaty of Daneing Rabbit Creek, amounted to \$5,000, and the event pure upwards of a hundred papils revealed. That is, the ground upon which the \$10,000 is recommended to be allowed.

Mr. BAGBY .- The proposition, I believe, is to postpone the bill until to-morrow.

Mr. ATCHISON.—Perhaps it would be better to take the vote upon this proposition now, and if it should not be agreed to, it can be submitted in a modified form to-morrow.

Mr. BAGBY.—I had hoped that the subject would be postponed, that an opportunity might be afforded for further examination; for although I have great respect for the statement of Col.
Johnson, yo before voting upon a matter of this kind, I desire to
be the control of the statement of the sta

Mr. BADGER.—I hope the Senator from Missouri will have no objection to let this matter lie over until to morrow.

Mr. ATCHISON .- I have none.

Mr. BADGER.—I am strongly disposed to vote for this claim, but if the question be pressed at this time I shall be compelled to vote against it. And I desire also to ask the Senate's attention to a matter of business for about five minutes, in Executive session. before the adjournment.

The further consideration of the bill was then postponed until to-morrow.

#### EXECUTIVE SESSION.

The Senate then proceeded to the consideration of Executive business; and after some time spent therein

On motion,

The Senate adjourned

# WEDNESDAY, MAY 31, 1848.

#### CREDENTIALS.

Mr. BORLAND presented the credentials of the Hon. William K. Sebastian, appointed a Senator by the Executive of the State of Arkansas, to fill the vacancy occasioned by the decease of the Hon. Chester Ashley.

The credentials were read; and the oath prescribed by law was administered to Mr. Sebastian, and he took his seat in the Se

#### PETITION.

Mr. HALE presented a petition of citizens of Sandusky city, Ohio, praying the removal of the seat of government of the United States to Cincinnati, in that State.

Ordered, That it lie on the table.

#### MR. RUSH'S CORRESPONDENCE.

Mr. MASON submitted the following resolution, which was considered, by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved, That the President of the United States be requested to communicate to the Sensite the correspondence on heretofour communicated between the Secretary of States and the minister of the United States in the reasons rance the record thongs in the government of France, provided, that in the opioion of the President, the same may be done without nighty to the poblic touriest.

#### ATLAS FOR THE COMMITTEE ON COMMERCE.

Mr. DIX submitted the following resolution, which was considered, by nuanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved, That the Secretary of the Senate be authorized to purchase an atlas of a United States for the use of the Committee on Commerce, and pay for the same to fit the contingent fund.

## MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT.

The following message was received from the President of the United States, by Mr. WALKER, his Secretary:

To the Senate of the United States :

I trausmit, herewith, reports from the Secretary of State and the Secretary of the Navy, with the accompanying correspondence, which contino the information called for by the Senate to their resolution of the 30th eatlant, relating to the existing condition of affair in Yocatao.

JAMES K POLK

Washington, May 31, 1848.

The message was read.

Ordered, That it be printed with the accompanying documents.

## MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. CAMPBELL, their Clerk :

Mr. President: The House of Representatives concar in the amendments of the Seast to the bill making appropriations for the support of the military academy for the year eading the 50th June, 1849.

The House of Representatives have passed a bill making appropriations for the apport of the Post Office Department for the year ending the 30th of June, 1849; in bids they request the concurrence of the Senate.

The President of the United States has approved and signed the following acts

An act for the relief of John Mitchell.

An act for the relief of Mary Brown, widow of Jacob Brown.

An act for the admission of the State of Wisconsin into the Union.

The Speaker of the House of Representatives having signed aix carolled bills, I am directed to bring them to the Secate for the signature of their President.

#### SIGNING OF BILLS.

The Vice Prestdent signed the following enrolled bills

An act for the relief of Edward Bolon.

Au act for the relief of Edward Brownell.

An act for the relief of Samuel W. Bell, a native of the Cherokee nation

An act for the relief of Reynolds May.

Au act concerning Spanish steam vessels.

An act making appropriations for the sopport of the military academy for the year ending the 30th June, 1849.

# HOUSE BILL REFERRED.

The bill from the House of Representatives, making appropria-tions for the support of the Post Office Department for the year ending the 30th of June, 1849, was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Finance.

# SELECT COMTITTEE ON CHEROKEE CLAIMS.

Mr. ATCHISON having been, on his motion, excused from eerving on the select committee appointed yesterday on the memo-rial of certain Cherokee claimants—

## On motion by Mr. HOUSTON, it was

Ordered, That a member be appointed by the Vice President in the place of Mr. Atchison; and

Mr. Johnson, of Georgia, was appointed.

#### THE TEXAS NAVY.

On motion by Mr. YULEE, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on Naval Affairs be discharged from the further consideration of the bill to authorize the President to increase the naval establishment of the United States.

#### ADJOURNMENT OF CONGRESS.

The resolution for closing the present session of Congress and fixing a day for the commencement of the next session, was re the second time and considered as in Committee of the Whole.

Mr. ATHERTON .- I hope the consideration of this resolution will not be pressed at present.

Mr. TURNEY .-- I move that it be referred to the Committee on Finance

Mr. ATCHISON.— I can see no propriety in referring the resolution to any committee, much less to the Committee on Finance. I do not know that it increases or diminishes the expences nance. I do not know that it increases or diminishes the expenses of the government in any manner. My object in introducing the resolution, which I did after consulting with various gentlemon, was, to avoid, if possible, stitting in the monts of July, Angust, and September, the most disagreeable portion of the year. The public business will be equally promoted by the arrangement which the resolution proposes. The appropriation bills, and all measures of vital importance, can be passed before the 1st of July, and then there will probably be no necessity for the transaction of any further business before the 1st of October. It is said, that if we have peace with Mexico, we must edopt various measures of levisitating for dishanding the forces, establishing territorial governagislation for dishanding the forces, establishing territorial governments, &c. That can all be done in October, and we shall have avoided sitting here during the warm months, that unhealthy season of the year

Mr. BAGBY .- It appears to me that the agitation of the question embraced in this resolution at the present time, can do no good. The length of the present session ought, and will depend materially on the fate of the treaty now pending in Mexico. Until that is ascertained, I think it would be improper to fix upon any time for the termination of the session. For the present, therefore, I move that the resolution be laid upon the table.

Mr. BADGER .- I would ask the honorable Senator to withdraw that motion for a moment, to enable me to offer an amendment.

### Mr. BAGBY .- Certainly.

M. BADGER.-I propose the following amendment to be inserted at the close of the joint resolution :

"And that all business pending before Congress, shall be resumed in the stage in which it may be left at the close of the present session of Congress."

Mr. ATHERTON .- I hope the consideration of the resolution will not be pressed now, for it appears to me that the Senate is not prepared to fix the time for the adjournment. In the first place, there are a great many appropriation bills that have not been acted upon; and in the next place, the considerations refer-red to by the Senator from Alabama concerning our relations with Mexico, ought to have some weight. And there is another im-portant subject that has been pressed upon the attention of Con-gress by the President, and that is the establishment of a Territogress by the resident, and that is the examination of a territorial government in Oregon; and the events that have recently taken place in that country, would seem to render it imperative that something should be done before the adjournment of Congress. Under all those circumstances, I think it would be most proper that the resolution should lie upon the table.

Mr. BAGBY.—A single remark. The very instant we hear from Mexico the resolution can be taken up and acted upon.

Mr. MILLER .- I desire to make one suggestion. I am in fa vor of the adjournment as proposed, but I have some doubts whethto be on. By claim cash page 50 at the first of the winds when the companion of the compani

Mr. ATCHISON.—I have no objection to alter the form of the proposition, although I believe that Congress may, by joint resolu.

tion, expoint the time for the commencement of the next session. In regard to the form of the resolution, I care nothing about that; my object is simply to avoid sitting here during the hot months. If the treaty is ratified by the Mexican government at all, we must receive news of it within a week or ten days I suppose; and whatever legislation may be requisite, in case there be any thing that will require the immediate action of Congress, in consequence of the catification of the treaty, and it should be found that it could not be completed within the time limited by the resolution for the catification of the treaty, and it should be found that it could not be completed within the time limited by the resolution for the state of the adjournment, we generally work up to that time, and more basiness is done in one week than in three, when the time for the adjournment is not fixed. My impression is, also, that ninety days will be too short a time for the transaction of the business that will come before Congress at its next session. By meeting on the lat of October and resuming the business where we leave off, as proposed by the Senator from North Carolina, we shall be a little of Cotober and resuming the business where we leave off, as proposed by the Senator from North Carolina, we shall be also persponed, I would profer that a day certain should be fixed for its consideration. I will move, therefore, that the consideration of the resolution be postponed and its extraction and the consideration of the resolution be postponed and the Norday week.

The motion was agreed to.

#### OREGON BILL.

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill to establish the territorial government of Oregon.

Mr. BENTON from the Committee on Military Affairs, to whom was referred the message of the President of the United States on the subject, moved to amend the hill by adding the following section:

jowning section:

Sec. 29. De it further emeted, That the President of the United States he and he is herbly authorized to accept for service in Oregon, for the term of twelve months in the states of the states o

The amendment was agreed to.

Mr. HALE moved to amend the bill by adding the following section:

section:

"Sgc. 21. And be it further exacted. That the inhabitants of and territory shall be entitled to enjoy all and singular the rights, privileges, and substanting, granted and second to the propiet of the centroly of the United States on three so the view Chio, or the Chio and the Chicago of the Chicago of the United States on three so the view Chio, ningy, on the IRth day of July, 1787; and shall be subject to all the conditions, and extentions, and probabilous, in said articles of compact imposed upon the propiet of said territory; and the existing laws now in force in the territory of Origon, under the lates to be valid and operative therein, so far as the same be so the compatible with the principles and provisions of this act; subject, accordibels, to be altered, modified, or repealed, by the government and beglitative ascending of the said seriory of Origon, and the control of the

Mr. LEWIS remarked that this bill involved a most important principle, and he desired some time to examine it.

Mr. HALE.—This is, indeed, an exceedingly important bill, and involves most important principle. It involves the question whether the privileges extended to the inhabitants of the territory north-west of the Ohio, shall be seemed to the people of Oregon. I concede to the Senator from Alabama that the principle is the most important that can come before Congress or the country. It is the great question of the day, upon the proper solution of which hang the destinies of this country. I hope, then, some day will be fixed for the consideration of the bill. I am willing that it should be an early day—say Monday next.

Mr. BRIGHT.—I hope that the motion to postpone this bill will not prevail. In addition to the general obligation to furnish the people of Oregon with a government, recent events, developed in the late message of the President, imperiously demand that we should pass this bill immediately. If we desire to extend ail to our fellow-citizens in that distant region, it is absolutely necessary that we should act promptly. The Indians are in a state of hostility. They are massacreing the white inhabatnars. Military aid is implored in the most pathetic tones. A messenger has arrived within a few days, bringing a memorial from the provisional government presenting a case which must, I am outvinced, satisfy expensive the same three properties of the properties of the properties of the prevention. The amendment offered by the Senator from New Hampshire involves a question which he remarked was full of interest and very important; but I hope that it will not be discussed at longth on this bill to the coasumpt on of time which is now invaluable to the people of Oregon. I shall insist upon a vote upon the bill to-day, and I hope that it may be passed before we adjourn.

Mr. CALHOUN.—I should be glad to obtain some information from the chairman of the committee,

Mr. BRIGHT .- He is unavoidably absent.

Mr. CALHOUN.—I desire to know whether it is the intention of the friends of the bill to incorporate this amendment with the bill?

Mr. BRIGHT .- For myself I can answer that I shall most assuredly vote against the amendment.

Mr. CALHOUN.—If I could be assured that that was the sense of the Senate. I would certainly have no objection to the discussion of the bill to-day. But if it be otherwise, on a question of this magnitude I should be a supported by the country of the constitution. I regard it as involving the degradation of the constitution. I regard it as involving the degradation of nearly one-half of the States of this Union, who claim to be full equals here, and who intend never to yield that full equality. Now, I should be very glad to hear from the several sections of this body whether it is intended to vote this down at once so as to permit the bill to pass promptly. If not, I shall certainly agree to the postponement of the bill.

Mr. NILES.—It has been stated that an extreme urgency exists requiring the immediate passage of this bill. I believe that it is a measure important in more respects than one, and I am not at all prepared to set upon it to day, not having examined the details. In any view of it, it is a must important measure, and besides we have had the novel question raised and elaborately discussed, whether we have any right to legislate for these territories at all. A great principle, as well as the interests of the people in this remote region, are involved. I had certainly supposed that in order that all might have known when it would be taken up, and have an opportunity of examining it with the attention which it demands.

But we are told that there is a great argency, and that this argency demands the immediate passage of the bill. What is the traffic of the control of the same and the action of this body a year ago, looking to that very state of things, and providing a force adequate for the protection of these distant settlements, has, it seems, entirely failed in consequence of this unfortunate war with Mexico. I do not censure the Executive, but the fact is so, and those people have been left, as I understand, without the protection of a single company of armed men to meet any emergency of its nature, which might have been reasonably is concerned, and take up the proposition of the Senator from Missouri as an independent measure, in order to provide without delay a military force adequate to the protection of these defeace-less people; and then do what we thought we had done years ago. But I am not prepared to act on the bill before the Senator, when any great principle important to the whole Union, and forcibly presented, we owe it to ourselves and the country that it should be reasonably and properly discussed. The discussion is important and ought not to be repressed. I desire to see if we have justiced in the control of the second of the country, in the country, and the character of the government which is to be established there. If the territory be in the Union.

Mr. HANNEGAN.—I feel no hesitation in expressing my conviction of the propriety of the conclusions of the Senator from South Carolina. It is proper that the views of all sections of this body should be made known. I am free to say in the first place, that I shall vote against the amendment, and that I am atterly at a loss to perceive the necessity or propriety of presenting it to the Senato in existing circumstances. Why, sir, every foot of Oregon leis north of the forty-second parallel of latitude, while the line of the Missouri compromise, the principle of which has, I toltewe, on all occasions, been conceded by the South, is fixed at 36° 30°. Let me ask then, is there a same man on this continent who can be likewished to the same that is a substantial that sharey can reach the shores of the Pacific Control of the same of the share of the Pacific Control of the same of the share of the pacific control of the same o

car to these cries, for the purpose of discussing this idle, wild and wicked question—for such it is, in all dircumstances, and now preminently so? I trust that a vote may be taken at once and the amendment he voted down. Every gentleman who might be willing in other circumstances to give his support to the Wilmot Proviso, will for the sake of justice and humanity now vote allifumatively on this appeal for the people of Oregon.

It will be idle, sir, to send a military force, without at the same inner organizing a territorial government. What! will you send a regiment of raw recruits to that remate region to be subject only to the orders of oldiers of their own selection? The people to the greatest crimes. You must then, in sending a military force to aid then, send at the same time a government to maintain order and control that military force itself. I forvently trust, sir, that the Senate will take immediate action on the bill before them.

All EENTON.—Only three or bur years ago, the whole Univer States seemed to be inflamed with a desire to get possession of the continent. To obtain exclusive possession of the continent. To obtain exclusive possession of the continent. To obtain exclusive possession of Gregon, the greatest efforts were made, and it was at length obtained. What seem that the continent of a country, to obtain possession of Vergon in order that of a country, to obtain possession of which we were willing to go to war with England! Year after year and session after session have gone by, and to this day the laws of the United States have community is growing up there, composed at this time of twelve thousand south—persons from all parts of the world, from Asia as well as Europe and America—and which, till this time, have been preserved in order by compact among themselves. Great efforts have even dether analysis to maintain their own reputation and that of the country to which they belong. Their efforts have been made to preserved order—most meritorious efforts, which have evined their analysis to maintain their own reputation and that of the country to which they belong. Their efforts have been emission of the country to which they belong. Their efforts have been entire the country and shocks and resistance under which they fall. Besides the inconvenience resulting from the absence of an organized government, we are to recollect that there never yet has been a civilized settlement in territory occupied by the aborigmal inhabitants in which a war between the races has not occurrent. Down to the present moment the extlers in Organ had escaped a coult and the first the continuous first the continuous first after the treaty with Great Britain, with a military force to systain after the treaty with Great Britain, with a military force to systain after the reaty with Great Britain, with a

But no government was established; and now all these evils are coming upon these people, as every body must have foreseen they would come—and in the depth of winter they send to us a special messenger, who makes his way across the Rocky mountains at a time when almost every living thing perished in the snow; when the snow was of such a depth that nothing could penetrate to the the snow was of such a department morning, come products of the bottom of it. He midde his way erross, however, and brings those complaints which we now hear. They are in a suffering condition, Not a moment of time is to be lost. If the bill were passed this astant—this morning, as I hoped it would—it would require the atmost degree of wgor in the execution of it to be able to send troops across the Rocky mountains before the season of deep snow. They should cross the mountains before the month of September. I was in hopes then, that on this occasion there would be nothing to delay action-that we should all have united in deploong that for years the proposition to give these people government and laws has been defeated by the introduction of a vernment and make has been detected by the infrometion of a question of no practical consequence, but which has had the effect of depriving these people of all government, and bringing about the measures which have taken place, and in which the benezolent missionary has fallen in tho midst of his labors. All the alamities which have taken place in that country have resulted from mixing up this question, which has not a particle of practi-cal value, with all the measures which have been introduced for the organization of a government in Oregon. All the laws passed by the Congless of the United States can have no effect on the by the congress of the United States can have no effect on the p-nestion of showy there is a law superior or any which Congress can pass on the subject of shavey. These is a law of climate, of position, and of nature herself, against it. Besides, the people of the country itself, by far the largest number of whom have gone out from slaveholding. States, many of them from the State of Missouri, in their organic law, communicated to Congress more than a year ago, and printed among our documents at the last session, declare that the law of nature is against slavery in that region. Who could think of carrying slaves to the Lake in that region. Who could think of carrying slaves to the Lake
of the Woods; and what would anyhold think of a law of Congress
which should say that slavery should or should not exist there?
I was in keeps, then, that this bill would be allowed to pass
through this morning. And it was in order to avoid delay that I
did not make a separate bill to raise the regiments necessary to
ustain the government there. I did hope that on this occasion, the
should be a separate bill to be a superior to the state of the should be allowed to
have been deployed for years, and which delay has broadle to the
massacres of which we new hear—this question which has already produced these calamities, would not have been introduced, and that some other opportunity would have been taken for its discussion. There will be opportunity ecough for its introduction or discussion. 2The doors of legislation are open to it as a separate measure. I trust even now that this question will not be permitted to delay our action. The delay of a few days here, will for a week or a month, but for a year, during all which time these calamities will continue.

I have paid some attention to the character of the Indian population beyond the Recky mountains, both in Orgon and California. I have availed myself of all possible opportunities of becomination beyond the Recky mountains, both in Orgon and California. I have been able to obtain respecting them, I believe that will be considered the state of the receiver the state of the mountains. They have not that detestation and sorn of labor which distinguish the Indians on this side. They are more decile, more tractable. More easily taught the arts of industry, they readily accept seeds and agricultural implements. They are not unwilling to engage in the art of cultivation of the soil. The insistonaries lived in peace with these Indians, and the Indians were their laborers; they have done the immense labor of the country, which they were taught by the missionaries, who have benevolently superintended them for three quarters of a central production of the country which they were taught by the missionaries, who have benevolently superintended them for three quarters of a central production of the sound of the production of the superintended them for three quarters of a central production of the production of the superintended them for three quarters of a central production of the superintended them for the quarters of a central continuous countries of the production of t

will be respect to the question itself, I am ready to meet it in covery shape and form. Let me here say, that no gentleman on this floor must assume to be the Representative of the fifteen staveholding States. I assume to represent one—no more than one—and if I can satisfy my constituents, my duty is performed. I invade no gentleman's ballwick, and no one shall invade mine. Let every one speak for himself. This federal government was made for something else, that to have this pestiferous question constantly thrust upon us to the interruption of the most important basiness. An advantage of the properties of the state of the properties, and extra upon the state of the state

We ought to sole down this amendment as a thing which should not be allowed to interrupt our raction. Our netion should not be delayed a single moment. This cruel war, which cannot continue in Orecon without extending to California, must be stopped without delay. Oregon and California must be saved from the desolation of an Indian war. Whatever opinions may be entertained upon the subject of slavery, let us agree on this point, that we will give law and government to the people of Oregon, and step, if we can, the vote now. As to the slave question, I am ready to meet it to the fullest extent at a proper time.

Mr. HANNEGAN remarked that the massacres had already commenced in California.

Mr. WESTCOTT—Mr. President: I do not claim to have any particular partiality for what, since the capitulation of 5th August, 1816, is called Oregon, over any other portion of this country, but without such Ceding, I will not yield to any Senator, in sincere desire to see a proper government established there as soon as possible, and all the protection and aid that the people may need promptly afforded by the United States. It seems to me, however, that the course pursued heretofore, and that proposed now to be adopted, as well as much of what has been said now, and at other times, and elsewhere, is entirely unnecessary and out of place, and imputations have been made entirely erroneous in point (fact, and wholly mijast. The honorable Senator from Missouri, [Mr. BENNEN,] has charged that the question of slavery in Oregon has been 'durust' upon the country heretofore, and upon the Senate now innecessarily, and as a "firebrand," and for sinister purposes. This I agree to. But when this accountain is applied to

Southern men-to Southern Senators acting here, or elsewhere, and especially to myself, (and that the honorable Senator intends such application that he has made it distinctly in terms elsewhere, than here, fully proves,) I met the charge by an appeal to the journal of the Senate and its files, as furnishing conclusive record evi-

than here, fully proves, I met the charge by an appeal to the joural of the Senate and its files, as furnishing conclusive record evidence of its injustice.

Sir, this question of slavery as connected with Oregon, has not
been "thrust" upon the country, or upon the Senate by the South,
or by any Southern Senator. It has not been mixed my with our
national politics by the South. The South las acted on the
defensive throughout. What are the facts? At the hast assiss
of Congress, (January 18, 1847.) a hull in effect precisely the same
as that now reported to the Senate by the Committee on Termiostandard throughout. What is senate by the Committee of Termiostandard throughout the Senate by the Committee of Termiostandard throughout the senate of the Committee of Termiostandard throughout the senate by the Committee of the Audiciary
Committee of the Samet by the Committee of the Julie and
sent here. [Bill number 57.1] I It was referred to the Julie and
sent here. [Bill number 57.1] I I was referred to the Julie and
sent here. [Bill number 57.1] I I was referred to the Julie and
sent here. [Bill number 57.1] I I was referred to the Julie and
sent here. [Bill number 57.1] I was referred to the Julie and
sent here. [Bill number 57.1] I was referred to the Julie and
sent here. [Bill number 57.1] I was referred to the Julie and
sent here. [Bill number 57.1] I was referred to the Julie and
sent here. [Bill number 57.1] I was referred to the Julie and
sent here. [Bill number 57.1] I was referred to the Julie and
sent here. [Bill number 57.1] I was referred to the Julie and
sent here. [Bill number 57.1] I was referred to the Julie and
sent here. [Bill number 57.1] I was referred to the Julie and
sent here. [Bill number 57.1] I was referred to the Julie and
sent here. [Bill number 57.1] I was referred to the Julie and
sent here. [Bill number 57.1] I was referred to the Julie and
sent here. [Bill number 57.1] I was referred to the Julie and
sent here. [Bill number 57.1] I was referred to the Julie and
sent here. [

try acquired by the United States under the Louise as treaty extend to Oregon. Hence other exclusions must be resorted to. The second prohibition was by the adoption of the temporary association called the "provisional government" of Oregon. These laws prohibited slavery in Oregon in the very words of the ordinance of 1871. I repeat, sir, it was thus this question was "thrust" upon the South. They could not evade or avoid it.

Wall it have full-ware Committee at the last session struck out.

Well, sir, the Judiciary Committee at the Inst session struck out Well, sir, the Judiciary Committee at the Inst session struck out the provision in the House built that adopted the ordinance of 1787, retaining that which adopted the laws of the territorial provisional control of the Institute reversing attempts and the manufacture at the first state of the which I will now read from the bill as reported, as which I will now read from the bill as reported, as which I had will be printed at length in any report that may be made of my remarks. [Mr. W. here read us follows, adding, that the words in italies were the amendments adopted by the Judiciary Committee, and the words in brackets stricken out.]

toe, and the words in branchets stricken out.]

"The existing have now in force in the tention of Organ, under the authority of the provisional government established by the people thereof, and least note to be valid and questive themes, to fir as the same be not incomplated with the greenples and disciplinate themes, to fir as the same be not incomplated with the greenples and field, or encoded, by the governor and legislative assembly of the sant tentropy of Organ, invalid the end of the first excession of the lond-interaction exactedly of interaction, and interaction of the sant tentropy of Organization of the sant tentropy of Organization excession of the lond-interaction exacted in the sant tentropy of Organization exacted prices of a sant tentropy of Organization exacted prices of a sant tentropy of Organization exacted parts of a sant tentropy of Organization exacted parts of the Santana exacte

# Mr. LEWIS .- What bill does the Senator read from ?

WESTCOTT .- From the bill reported by the Schate Judi-Mr. WESTCOTT —From the bill reported by the Scenate Judi-ciary Committee last session, and from section 12 of that bill. In lieu of the provisions adopting the ordinance of 1787, the bill was amended by inserting clauses securing the labeas corpus, trial by jury, equal representation, compensation for private property ta-ken for public use, &c. &c., borrowed from the constitution of the United States, and from that ordinance, and the bill of rights of

United States, and from that ordinance, and the bill of rights of different State constitutions.

Sir, this is all the foundation for the accusation made here and elsewhere, it has the Judiciary Committee at the last session "thrust" this question before the country and the Senate. I have deprecated the excitement sought to be created on this subject throughout the country, and especially the mixing it up with the Presidential election, as much as any man. I foresee that the most alarming confederation is the control of the control of the control of the country is the control of the c

sequences are to grow out of this bad measure, contrived by bad men, and for bad purposes. I proposed these amendments in the committee. They received the deliberate consideration and approval of the distinguished scansor from Georgia, who sits behind me, [Mr. Berahler,] and of the late distinguished chairman of the tark the sequence of the sequenc Mr. CALHOUN signified his assent.

Mr. WESTCOTT.—And, sir, I do not hesitate to say—for I feel fully authorized to declare from the declarations of every southon this subject, that these provisions in the twelfth section of the bill as amended by the Judiciary Committee at last session, with the 'provisor' I have just read, will, if adopted in this and other similar cases, lorever quiet this mischievous question, and that the adoption of the mis all that the South asks, or desires, or will ever and prino of them is all that the South asks, or desires, or will ever ask with respect to any territory now or hereafter acquired by the United States. I repeat it is all they ask, and this much, rely upon it, they will insist upon. Read the section as amended carefully, and you will find that the sum and substance of it is merely that it secures the equal and common use of the common property to all the owners. Was the proposition of this proviso in lieu of the doious exclusion of the south from participation in the benefits of this territory—the double exclusion created by the ordinance and the territorial laws adopted by the House, "thrusting" this ques-

tion of slavery before the Senate?
With respect to the bill now under consideration, it is in effect
precisely like the House bill of last session. Both recognize and
adopt the principle of the Wilmot Provise, for both exclude slavery from Oregon by force of Congressional legislation. The
laws of the provisional government of Oregon are sanctioned and
confirmed by both bills, and they probibit slavery. I will not enter
into the discussion as to the character and authority of the temporary provisional government of Oregon now. By these bills it is
not merely admitted that such association had the power to exclude slaveholders with slaves from settling in Oregon, but superndied to such admission Congress exercises a like nower; in conadded to such admission Congress exercises a like power, in confirming and adopting these laws. Not content to leave the question of the power of the provisional government to rest upon its own strength, it is by these bills sought to aid it by the asserted power of Congress to exclude slavery. It asserts first the power of Congress, and secondly the power of the Territorial Legisla-

ture to exclude slavery.

ture to exclude statery.

If the Senator will allow me, I will have rend by the Secretary from the amended organic laws of the provisional g vernment of Oregon adopted in July, 1843. They are in wil. 7. Senate does ments, 1st. Session 29th Congress, document 332. The Secretary will now read the extracts marked from pages 2, 3, and 5, of that document. They were laid before the Senate May 21st, 1846, and printed by its order.

The Secretary read as follows:

Sgc. 4. There shall be neither slavery nor involuntary servitude in said territory otherwise than for the puasishment of crimes whereof the party shall have been do:

consisted.

Sec. 6. The house of representatives shall have power to law out the terr over into institution, and apportion the representation in their own body; they shall have covered to get here for image are revenue, each by the law region of voccounts of the contract of the contrac

not hereby expressly delegated remain with the people.

Ser. 1. Any pressure now helding or hereafter withing to establish a clause to land in this tentory, shall designate the extent of his claim by antural boundars or by marks at the comers and support the lanes of such claim, and have the event and boundars or shall be already at the cortical and support the support of the people of the tentors of said claim recorded in the office of the tentors are soften in a best to be skiple of said the support of the said to be supported. That those who shall be alleady in postession of has dastin in the receipt of soften of the said that the said of the said that of the land to the said that the said of the said that of the land to the said to the said that of the land to the said that the said of the said that of the land to the said to the said that of the land that of the said that the said that the said to the said that the said to the said that the said to the said that the said that

30TH CONG,-1ST SESSION-No. 85.

ATTE ORDE.

ATTE ORDE.

ATTE ORDE.

The same not occupied, the period holding said claim shall pay not be treasury the record, in case not occupied, the period holding said claim shall pay not be treasury the mont of the sum above stated, the claim shall be considered as shandoned. Froeder, at farther, That an onco-response to this terrory shall have the benefit of this law. And period
at farther, That are the paying five dollers annually to the treasury.

Set. 3. No noticion has paying five dollers annually to the treasury, and or as headed and farty acres, in a sparse or oblogs form, according to the in
Set. 3. No noticion has paying five dollers annually to the treasury, are claim at the cune tran. Any period control of the control of the

The amendment of the Senator from New Hampshire is therefore superfluous, for slavery is excluded from Oregon without it, by both bills.

Mr. HALE.—I must inform the Senator from Florida that my amendment was copied from the House bill of this year, the same as the House bill of last year, and that it is not in the Senate bill now under consideration.

Mr. WESTCOTT .- I understand that very well. It is preeisely what I have stated. What I say farther, however, is, that the honorable Senator must have mistaken the effect of the Senate bill, for without his amendment it contains the same prohibition of slavery in Oregon by the adoption of the laws of the provisional government, and hence there is no necessity for his amendment to earry out his object.

Mr. HALE .- Will the Senator allow me one word? The 12th Mr. HALE.—Will the Senator allow me one word? The 12th section of the bill now under consideration I conceive does not cover the whole ground contained in my amendment. There may be some question at any rate on that point. The House bill of last session, and the House bill of this session contain the amend-

ment Loffer

Mr. WESTCOTT .- What I assert is that in one of the Terri-Total laws of Oregon, called the organic laws, enacted in 18-15, before the treaty, by what is called the organic laws, enacted in 18-15, before the treaty, by what is called the "provisional government," which has never been authorized or sanctioned by Congress; what I say is, in one of these laws laid before the Senate at last session, and printed by order of the Senate, and contained in the volume printed by order of the Senate, and contained in the volumes of Senate documents, there is a provision expressly excluding slave-try forever in Oregon. I have not the volume of documents at hand to refer to. I will get it and refer to the law as soon as I hand to refer to. I will get it and left have the opportunity. I am satisfied Senators know the fact to be as I state. The Oregon Territorial law is so. By the 12th secas I state. The Oregon Territorial law is so. By the 12th section of this bin now inder consociation, desertance expressive adopted, and sanctioned, and confirmed by Congress. These laws, printed by order of the Senate, were sent here by this provisional government. They are authenticated as correct. Now I ask Senators whether this bill does not "thrust" this slavery question before the Senate by proposing to adopt and confirm these laws as valid and in force, now and hereafter? I ask if the adoption of these laws by Congress is not as virtual, as complete, and as an effectual prohibition of slavery in Oregon, as would be effected by the adoption of the ordinance of '87 or the express adoption in a

the adoption of the oraniance of or the express adoption in a direct mode of the terms of the Wilmot Proviso? Sir, I agree with what was said by my bonable friend from Indiana, (Mr. HANNEGAN.) in relation to this question not being with respect to Oregon of any practical importance. I coincide with him as to the improbability of slaves ever being token to that

region and held there.

Mr. HANNEGAN .- There are not ten slaves in the whole territory, and never will be, with or without any restriction.

Mr. WESTCOTT.—I have no question of the fact as stated by the Sunator, and though practically the proposed exclusion may be of little consequence to the South, yet it is for that very reason that I feel bound, under the circumstances, to resist the course proposed by those who are inimical to her institutions. Why is this thing attempted to be thrust upon us? Why, if there is no necessity for the exclusion of the South, is the exclusion forced into It is for one of two objects equally objectionable, our legislation. and alike periodious in character. It is either to get an entering wedge—to establish a precedent to be followed in other cases where the exclusion would have practical effect, injurious to the weets an excuración would have pracuicial encels, injurious to the South, or it is to perpetrate a wanton outrage, to inflict a gratuito apat to aignit aport when outraged. South, and excite her to eccureses that men are apat to aignit and per when outraged. This attempt to stan your legisguire records with continue to the South, or of her Senators or uncalled for by any contuct of the South, or of her Senators or Representatives, or of her politicians. They have not sought to force their institutions upon the northern or western States. From the outset they have been satisfied to be let alone, and to let things take their natural course. In territories where slavery would not take their natural course. In territories where stavery would not probably be adopted, they have not deemed it necessary to insist upon a valueless paper privilege. They have only missited upon the principle of zon-anterference. But when it is sought to establish in Oregon, unnecessarily, without any one reason requiring it, an olious precedent for all time to come, and as reason requiring it, an outous precedent of all time to come, and as a principle, her representatives here would be recreant to their duty if they did not resist such a course. By this exclusion, slaveholders are held up as tainted, as leprous, as unfit to live in Oregon

with slave property. They are prohibited from enjoying the benefits of that common property of the confederacy, on an equal footing with the citizens of the non-slaveholding States. The provise I proposed at last session as a substitute for this invidious and in-sulting exclusion, recognizes our equal rights, and so far as our legislation here, or the legislation of the territory, can secure them, it does so, subject of course to judicial decision as to the powers of Congress, and the powers of the territorial legislature on this subject, and which are eminently judicial questions. The amendsubject, and which are eminently judicial questions. The amendment I propose, I repeat, is all the South desire, and it seems to me nuless there is a determination to encroach upon her rights and outrage and insult her, the concession made by it will be met in a rresponding spirit.
But, Mr. President, there is another consideration to which I

would call the attention of the Senate as important with reference would call the attention of the Senate as important with reference to this bill. In my judgment the bill as reported to the Senate, is, in its details, exceedingly objectionable. I have understood that its provisions have been borrowed from the acts organizing the territories of Iowa and Wisconsin. They may have been appli-ciable to those territories—interior countries—and yet be very inap-plicable and inappropriate to a country berdering on the sea up-wards of four hundred miles, and which must have ere long considerable foreign commerce—a country as dissimilar from Iowa and Wisconsin as it can well be, and requiring different laws. The Judiciary Committee, when the House bill was referred to

them, examined its details attentively. They spent two or three days in correcting and amending what they regarded as defects, and to render it suitable to Oregoo.

They struck out the proviso to the first section referring to Iodian lands, for the reason that although proper in a territory where the Indians occupied lands by treaty, it was not proper in Oregon, and Audiential in connection with other proposed legislation as to made in Oreçon by the sume bill, and by another important bill reported from the Committee on Public Lands by the Senator from Illinois, [Mr. Barzers.] not now in his seat. Various amendments were reported by the Judiciary Committee, relating to the duties of the federal territorial officers, and the

powers and duties of the legislature and judiciary demanded by the geographical position of Oregon; by the fact of many of her inbabi-tants being foreigners—claimants under the Hudson's Bay Company, and others having rights of a peculiar character, acquired under the treaty of 1846. The great distance of Oregon from the most remote western settlements required peculiar legislation, adapted to the exigencies this circumstance might occasion. Iowa and Wisconsin had been long owned and possessed exclusively by the United States, and were peopled by our own citizens, while Oregon had been recently in the joint occupation of Great Britain and the United States, and many British subjects residing there, who desired to become citizens of the United States. I presume Iowa and Wisconsin had no courts of admiralty, and never can have such courts, until the principle broached within a few years past, that the admiralty jurisdiction extends wherever it is damp is established by the Sapreme Court of the United States, as a part of the novel code which may be created ere long under its decisions. But it will be found, I imagine, that Oregon, with her extensive Pacific coast, and her waters flowing into that occan, and the valuable foreign commerce. exigencies this circumstance might oceasion. Iowa and Wisconsin waters flowing into that ocean, and the valuable foreign commerce the must have in the course of a few years, must also bave admiralty courts established there. The amendments reported by the Judiciary Committee contain all the legislation that it occurred Juniciary Committee Contain an the legislation that it vectories to them was necessary as to these various subjects. But for their alterations made with respect to the duties of the officers of the circitorial government in its organization, I doubt if all of the difficulties that would arise under the original House bill, could be obvisited by the most indicous officers you could send there. No expedients they could resort to, would prevent such difficulties, and consequently disastisation would ensue. The amendment propose provision's regulating the claims of the Hudson's Bay Company, and of individual settlets to real estate arising under the treaty. There are, also, provisions regulating the proper proceedings to be adopted by the foreigners, denizens of Oregon, who wish to become citizens of the United States, and other alterations, modifications, and additions to the House bill, the result of three days assidious labor by the committee, with no purpose except to give them a good government. The difficulties which have occurred in Congress respecting expenditures and arrearages of approreu in congress respecting expenditures and arrefrages of appro-priations for territories are all provided against by these anend-ments. So, too, proper provisions which the original bill does not contain for the prosecution and punishment of crimes against the federal government and against the local government also are To correct all the errors and blunders of the original bill in the

Committee of the Whole of the Senate would take several days and he attended with a great deal of time, and occasion delay. shall therefore move to substitute the judiciary bill of last session, as amended, for this bill, nuless this bill is committed for the purposo of amendments being made similar to those reported by the

Judiciary Committee.

As to an early decision of this matter, there is not a Senator on this floor who is more anxious for it than I am, if that decision is this floor who is more auxious for it than I am, if that decision is a just and wise decision. I wish the speedy establishment of a government in Oregon, founded on just principles. I do not wish a partual and unjust, and within a bungling, ill-arranged government fiastened upon her. Instead of this, I desire a government organized under which she will prosper, and ere leng become one of the independent avereignties of the Union.

Mr. BRIGHT .- The committee have no disposition to press Mr. BRIGHT:—The committee have no upportant no product upon its subject, provided Senator from Florida misapprehends the Evidady. I think the Senator from Florida misapprehends the Section of the bill. With a view of enabling Senators to evanish the matter, and believing that it will expedite the passage of the bill, I will move that it be made the special order of the day for to-morrow, one o'clock.

Mr. WESTCOTT.—My motion to substitute the bill reported as amended at last session by the Judiciary Committee, I presume is before the Senate. Senators suggests to me to modify it by moving to strike out all after the enacting clause of this bill first and the move to insert the amended bill, and I will do so; and as the consideration of the hill is to be postponed, I move that the amendment be printed.

Mr. BRIGHT.—I hope that course will not be taken. We desire early and decisive action. The printing cannot be had in time

Mr. HALE.—The amendment proposed by the Senator from Florida is not in order. My amendment was first proposed, and is now proposed while it is pending, to strike out the whole after the enacting clause.

Mr. WESTCOTT .- I desire no advantage in any way. I will give notice now, that on to-morrow, when this bill is taken up, I shall, at a proper time, offer the amended bill as a substitute, either section by section in detail, or as a whole, and in the meantime I will presently move to print it. I am assured the printing can be done by to-morrow.

Mr. HALE .- I suggested Monday week as a suitable day for Mr. Hatz:—I suggested Monday week as a suitable day lor the ensideration of this bill, because it is not likely from circum-stances known to Senators, next need the rewill be a fall Senate. I have now a word to say with reged to the propriety of intro-ducing this amendment at this time. The bonorable Senator from Indiana who sits nearest to use, has said that there is no sane man in the United States, who can inagine the probability of the oc-currence of the set all against which the amendment proposes to preentrence of the evil against which the amendment proposes to present a barrier. I can tell him that there is one man, sane or it sane, who does not apprehend that evil; I believe that this amendment is absolutely necessary. It is not a mere abstraction. I could not undertake to say whether it is pestiferous or not; but if has meaning, vitality, and energy in it. It means something, and it will effect something. With all deference to the better judgment, long experience, and great ability of the honorable Senator from Indiana, I must say I have felt pained to find opposition to the same and the same something that the same called upon to point to one of the proudest monuments ever raised to the wisdom and philanthrophy of human legislation, I would have pointed to the State of Indiana, and called an admiring world to look upon her proud position, her pleirong, earrer, her more glocalled upon to point to one of the proudest monuments ever raises to the wiscon and philanthrophy of human legislation, I would have pointed to the State of Indiana, and called an estuaring the look and the property of the intelligent statesmen, her industrious or globules to be a superior of the wiscon, and her ample means in everything that gives dignity to a people. I would have pointed to all that, as one of the most enduring monuments of the wisdom, patriotism, and foresight, of the ordinance of '83'. I would have said, sir, that Mr. Actierson needs no "monumental stone" to mark his tame, or tell his history to perity, so long as the proud State of Indiana lives and her history is known to the world." And when I see the Senator from Indiana coming forward and regulating the appears to me as if the children had forgotten the mother who nouriside and brought them up it is appears to me as if they had forgotten the true foundation of their highest prosperity and their proudest hope. We are told by another Senator, whose vone I was sorry to hear utter such language, that this is not the time for its discussion. He is ready, he says, to meet his question. I only wish that he had told the country how he would have met it—but that this wall the time count of the properties of the most of the world o erushings and thunderings of the storm are heard drowning the ery of liberty; and yet we are told in the language of the song-

"There's a good time coming-wait a little longer!"

When will the "good time" come? You are about to establish a when will the "good time" come? You are about to establish a territorial government over this country, giving it ovil institutions, and impressing upon it legislation which is to characterize and mould its progress through all future time. We are told in relation to this country, not that the principle proposed to be applied

to it is an unjust one, but that its recognition at the present time is uncalled for and inopportune. Sir, I know no time but the present. There have been opportunities presented, but they are past and gone forever. With respect to the future, God only knows what is in store for us. In His providence he has given us the present moment, and I know no time or moment more appriate for the application of this principle given to us to act upon.

than the present

It was said by the honorable Senator from South Carolina, that this measure was insulting to one-half of the States of the Union Was the adoption of the ordinance of '87 nn insult! This amend Was the adoption of the ordinance of '87 nn insult'. This amendment which I have the honor to present, only adopts the provisions of the ordinance of '87, which had the sanction of a very large majority of those enlightned men who were engaged in constructing the fahrie of this government. This principle has had the sanction of the wisest and hest men that, ever adorned the history of this or any other country. If ever there was a measure in human legislation, the humanity, wisdom, and policy of which have been sanctioned by the development of the future, the ordinance of '87 may fully claim such merit precinitely over any measure of legislation ever adopted. I confess that for some time! I have been endeavering to collect statisties, some facts, and my own thoughts unon this subever adopted. I confess that for some time I have been endeavering to collect statistics, some facts, and my own thoughts upon this subject for the purpose of presenting them to the consideration of the Senate and the people of this country, but the more I have dwelt upon it, the wider the field has grown. Feeble as I know my owa efforts to be at the best, the longer I have studied, the more I have felt my utter inability to do justice to it, and the more I have gretted the necessity that has flawly seek to novid this question, belief in the state of the sta to put it off? It is given the control of control of the control o was met and decided.

was met and accused. The honorable Senator from Missouri thought that this pestificerous subject of abolition was like the frogs of Egypt. I do not know but that it may be so here, but I can tell him there is another subject lies a little deeper than abolition, that is like the Foreign subject lies a little deeper than abolition, that is like the Foreign subject lies and beginning that the subject is human slavery and may be a little and the subject is human slavery and may be, to be let alone. Take ear of your insittations, and blessy ourselves as you may with it, but do not force it upon other States or territorial government. Do not baptise other portions of this country, whether they will or no, with slavery, or what we are pleased to consider, the guilt of this institution. When you come to being the action of the general governments for your territories, how idea it is to say that this governments for your territories, how is question. Sir, you cannot avoid it. The action which the legislative or the provisional government has taken, or the laws that may be passed under the mere imposing form of a territorial government graated by Congress, must be submitted to your approval. The honorable Senator from Missouri thought that this pestif-

your approval.

verring government granted by the control of the co

Mr. HANNEGAN .- I presume, sir, that there is not a Senator here that cherishes for an instant the desire to intimidate or threat-en the Senator from New Hampshire for the course which he seems en the senator from New Hampshire for the course which he seems to think proper to pursue, so long as that course is restricted with in the laws of propriety and parliamentary rules. I know not, if there be any such; and take it upon myself to defend the Senator, from New Library to Senator from New 1 there he any such; and take it upon myself to defend the Senate, and say there are none such here. I thank the Senator from New Hampshire for the kind eare he has seen proper to hestow upon Indiana and her representatives. It was a voluntary guardianship he extended to us, and of course he will expect no remuneration for it. But in her name, sir, I solemnly decline his protection. Let mo tell him that that perfection and state pride—that elevation of character which she has justry reached, has been attained by an and character which she has justy reached, has been attained a manualified repudiation of the principles which govern and control him. She would not have been this day where she is, had she cherished these principles. The course of Indiana, sir, and of her Scuators, is governed by high, honorable, patriotic, and I trust holy motives upon this question. We oppose there as we oppose here, the wild maniacal appeals to the assassin's knife and the midnarry, the while maniacet appears to the assassing kille and the mindrit torch of the incendiary. The Senator from New Hampshire says that all he asks, and those who act with him, is to let us alone. In the name of God, from whom should that uppeal come but from this very South against whom your assaults are continu-ally directed! When has she assailed the North? In no instance, then, has she ever asked, and at this hour she asks no concession then, has she ever asked, and at this hour she asks no concession at the hands of Congress—none at all. But the Senator from New Hampshire, at the very moment when the cry so beautifully described by the distinguished Senator from Missouri is ringing in scribed by the distinguished Senator from Missouri is ringing in our ears—when outraged humanity, the agonizing cries of men, women, and children appeal to us as their natural and only protectors—comes forward and invokes a protracted, useless, idle, and pestificrous discussion—for that word, properly expresses its title—to defeat the aims of humanity! And in the adoption of a curse of this kind, he declares he finds his instification in that Holy Book to which he has alluded. But, sir, I have never read

A Vorce.—" Never read it!"

Mr. HANNEGAN.—Aye, sir, I have read it all, perhaps as well and to as much profit as him whose colder heart suggested the thought and expression. The Squator from New Hampshire disclosed, how-ever, his true feelings and motives, and the feelings and motives of those who act with him, when he declared that a war must nevi ably The Senator from New Hampshire disclosed, howcome between freedom and slavery, as he terms it, and that soon er than that slavery should continue, let the constitution which has gurrantied it, perish. Is this fit language for the Senate of the United States? Is this fit language for any American assemble 2. to us by our fathers, let the government perish—let wild unarchy, blood and misrale prevail. These are his sontiments; and are they taught in the Bible? No, sir. The Bible never taught, inulcated such a policy or such principles?

Mr. BRIGHT then moved that the bill be made the special or-

der for to morrow at one o'clock.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi -I would remark, Mr. President, Mr. DAVIS, of Mississpip — I would remark, Mr. President, that it has long been my earnest desire to see a territorial government established in Oregon. I desired it before the bill to terminate the joint occupation of that territory with Great Britain was passed. The extinguishment of the Indian title in the valley of the Wallawalla, which had been ceded to use after the war with Great Britain would have enabled us to establish a territorial government. This would have been more desirable to the indivisors. This would have been more desirable to the inhabitants and emigrants in Oregon than the repeal of the joint occupation of the country then held by Great Britain and the United States conjointly. From that day I have desired to see a hill passed esof the contact was conjustify. From that day I have desired to see a bill passed ex-capillation of the conjustified and the consideration of the consideration of the Ju-diciparation in order to secure stability to the laws which we may enact. This matter was long under the consideration of the Juenact. This matter was long under the consideration of the reported back almost immediately. Amendments are required by the peculiar condition of this country. By adopting the laws of lowar or Wisconsin In the government of Oregon, we adopt laws of manuscript of the control of the country. By adopting the laws of loward was the control of the country. By adopting the laws of lowards are manuscript of the control of the con One of the members of the Judiciary Committee, the Senntor from Florida, who is familiar with the legislation requisite for a territory lying on the sea coast in the deliberations and legislation of the committee at last session, I doubt not will be able to provide for all these difficulties. The bill providing for troops to protect Oregon I hold to be very essential to the best interests of that country, and should be passed immediately. If this amendment is to create discussion and delay, I hope it will be withdrawn in order that we may take the necessary action for the immediate protection of Oregon. But if the Judiciary Committee, as I surpose it will, report immediately such amendments as are required pose It will, report immeniately such amendments as a re required and enacet the whole together, this will remove all disposition to discuss or delay this bill. I therefore would suggest that the Senator from Indiana would move that this bill be committed to the Committee on the Judiciarry, and if it be proper, with instructions that the reported immediately back to the Senate.

Mr. BERRIEN .- I beg to suggest to the Senator from Mississupply that his object is attained in the amendment made by the Senator from Florida. The bill which is now before the Senator is provided in the senator in the senator is provided in the senator in the senator is supplied by the House at the last session. That bill was referred to the Judiciary Committee, was examined with great care, and the result of their deliberation was presented to the Senate in the bill which the Senator from Florida now moves as a substitute for this bill. If this subject be recommitted, the Judiciary Committee having this bill of last session in view as a substitute for the present one, would do nothing more than report. I am reminded by Senators near me that as this bill came from the Committee on Territories, it should not be recommitted, but committed to the Judiciary Committee. I would again suggest to the Senator from Mississippi that his purpose will be accomplished by the motion of the Senator from Florida. I understand the question before the Senate is to postpone this matter until to-morrow, and that the amendment of the Senator from New Hampsine is until Monday week; the question then recurs upon the longest period. I hope the Senate will not acquiesce in the longer period, but only postpone until to-morrow. In the mean-

time gentlemen will have an opportunity of examining the bill as reported by the Judiciary Committee at the last session, and will then probably proceed to immediate action. It is desirable on all hands that this territory of Oregon should have an organized government. It is suggested to me as unprecedented to take a bill out of the hands of one committee and place it in the hands of an other. I confess I do not perceive the force of this suggestion. I might of my own individual act present the House bill reported at would of course be received, and the action is as valid when such an amendment is reported now through the Judiciary Committee. What appears to me to be the universal desire, sir, is to give an efficient government to Oregon, for we are all deeply impressed with the necessities of that people as exhibited to us in the late in-telligence from that country. I desire, for one, to concur in that course of legislation which shall bring the action upon this bill to e speediest termination.

Mr. DAVIS.—I would merely say that one of the objects of my

motion was, that the Committee on the Jidiciary might examine whether, from the changed condition of Oregon, it would be necessary to make any alterations in the bill reported at the last

Mr. BRIGHT .- The result of the motion of the Senator from Mississippi will be to delay action on this bill, a course which eye, y friend to Oregon wishes to avoid. Our object is to get a direct vote to the bill immediately, so that the people of Oregon may not only have a registerial government, but be protected in the enjoyment of that government. The only difficulty that seems to enjoyment of that government. The only difficulty that seems to exist, arises on of the interpretation given to the twelfth section of the bill. I find that the Senator from South Carolina, a member of the Committee on Territories, and the Senator from Florida disagree as to the object of that amendment. My object is to give an opportunity of comparing the amendment of the Senator from Florida with the twellth section, so as to avoid the very issue pre-

Florida with the twellth section so as to avoid the very issue presented his morning. If we mere to disense the question presented in the amendment of the Senator from New Hampshire, we may not get a vue for ten or twelve days upon the bill.

Mr. CALHOUN—It does not appear to me very material whether the motion made by the Senator from Indiana or the Senator from Mississippi prevail. I do not think there will be any loss of time if we adopt the motion of the Senator from Mississippi. The Committee on the Judiciary continues, as respects its members, nearly the same as at the last session, and the bill doubt-less would be reported forthwith. The simple advantage in favor of the motion of the Senator from Mississippi is, that upon a review of the whole bill something may require modification. view of the world bit something may require modification. I am in favor of early action. I do not wish to interpose delay further than that which my duty to my country imperatively demands.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi. I will withdraw my motion as it

does not seem to be necessary.

Mr. BUTLER.—I wish to make one remark by way of explanation. This bill was sent down to the committee on attribute of which I am a member. There are four on that committee. When the bill was sent down to us, I recollected saying that I was not in Congréss at the time when it was discussed before. I supposed the bill would come up in the form proposed by the Senator I from Florida, and was unexceptionable. The parts which were

struck out of the bill were in these words:

"Intit the inhuluration of all rearring be entitled to enjoy the rights, privileges, advantages, &c., and an least not be people of the tentory of the United States northwest of the "Lab Ohlo, by the articles of compand contained in the ordinance for the government of all tentors, (usually known as the ordinance of 1767.")

That was struck out leaving the remainder of the clause as it

The standard was bride out texting its remainder of the change of the following the standard with the tion put upon it by the Senator from Florida I cannot say; it was

not, for one, my intention to have given it that meaning.

Mr. WESTCOTT.—The laws of the territorial "provisional"
government (s at its called) established in Oregon for the last few
years, or which were before the committee, expressly excluded

Mr. BUTLER -Those laws were not before us, nor did I then understand that there were such laws in force. At any rate my vote was given on the assumption that this clause made no inhibi-

On motion by Mr. BRIGHT, it was Ordered, That the further consideration of the bill be postponed until to-morrow and that the proposed amendment be printed.

### INDIAN APPROPRIATION BILL

The Senate resumed the consideration, as in Committee of the Whole, of the bill from the House of Representatives making appropriation for the current and contingent expenses of the Indian Department, and for fulfilling treaty stipulations with the various Indian tribes for the year ending on the 30th June, 1849. Mr. ATCHISON withdrew the amendment submitted by him

Mr. BELL submitted an amendment; which was ordered to be printed, and the further consideration of the bill was then post-poned until to-morrow.

After the consideration of Executive business,

The Senate adjourned.

# THURSDAY, JUNE 1, 1848.

### PRESIDENT PRO TEMPORE.

The VICE PRESIDENT being absent, the Senate proceeded to the election of a President pro tempore, as the constitution provides:

## Whereupon,

On motion by Mr. BENTON, seconded by Mr. MANGUM, it

Resolved, unanimously, That the honorable David R. Atchison be appointed the President of the Senate pro tempore.

## On motion by Mr. BENTON sit was

Ordered, That the Secretary wait on the President of the Unit-education than that the Secate, in the absence of the VICE PRESIDENT, have chosen the homorable DAVID R. ATCHISON President pro tempore of the Senate; and that he make a similar communication to the House of Representatives.

### RESOLUTIONS OF THE LEGISLATURE OF OHIO.

Mr. ALLEN.—I have received from the Executive of Ohio a number of resolutions passed by the General Assembly of that State in relation to matters which come within the action of Congress. The first of these resolutions expresses the desire of the State that an eat of Congress shall be passed to reduce the price of the public lands on cittler side of the Wahash and Erie and the Minama extension canal in that State. There was a cession made by Congress to the State of alternate sections of the public land to the extent of five millions of acres, and the alternate sections reserved by the government to itself were doubled in price by the sea of coding the other resources. reserved by the government to itself were doubted in price by the act of ceding the other alternate sections to the State. Them has gone on and a large proportion of these alternate sections retained by the general government remain unsold, the State having reduced the price of those sections that were ceded to her by the federal government. The consequence is, that these lands being to some extent put out of the market, by the doubling of the price of them. remain unoccupied, and being so onoccupied and unsertled are of course suproductive. Besides this, they reduce the usefulness and value of the canab by keeping a wilderness on each side. These doubts are the second of the sec

### The motion was agreed to.

Mr ALLEN .- There is another resolution which was commu-Mr. ALLEN.—There is another resolution which was comminated to me at the same time, and which had passed the General Assembly of the State expressing the opinion of the State of Ohio in regard, to the manner in which territories acquired by the United States should be treated when it becomes necessary to organize them into States. As this resolution, sir, is one of some interest and moment, I desire that it may be read and laid upon the table and printed.

The resolution declaring that so much of the ordinance of Congress of 1787 as relates to slavery should be extented to the territory which may be acquired from Mexico, was read by the Secretary, laid upon the table, and ordered to be printed.

Mr. ALLEN .- I present also a resolution of the General Assombly of the State expressing their desire that the postage on let-ters and newspapers should be reduced. I desire that this resolu-tion should be printed, and referred to the Committee on Post Offices and Post Roads.

## The motion was agreed to.

Mr. ALLEN also presented resolutions passed by the Legislature of the State of Olio, declaring it to be within the constitutional power of the federal government to construct harbors and improve rivers; in favor of an appropriation for improving harbors on the north-western larkets, and condemning the exercise of the Executive power in relation to the act of the last session of Congress making appropriations for that purpose.

## Ordered, That they lie on the table, and he printed.

Mr. ALLEN also presented resolutions passed by the Legislature MI. ALLEN his presented resolutions passed by the Legislature of the State of Ohio, relative to the powers and duties of Congress in arresting the encroachments of the Executive department of the povernment upon the legitimate province of the legislative department, and approving the course pursued by the honorable Thomas Corwin, one of the Senators of that State in Congress, in regard to the Mexican war,

Ordered, That they lie on the table, and be printed.

#### PETITION.

Mr. DOWNS presented a memorial of judges and members of the bar of New Orleans and other parts of Louisiana, praying that the rules of proceedings in all civil cases in the courts of the United States in the State of Louisiana may be made to conform to those practised in the courts of that State; which was referred to the Committe ou the Judiciary.

### REPORT OF THE SMITHSONIAN REGENTS.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, submitted the following resolution; which was considered, by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved, That one thousand additional copies of the report of the regents of the Smithsonian Institution be printed for the use of the Senate.

### LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES.

Mr. BUTLER, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom Mr. BUILER, from the Committee on the Gladelary, to whom was referred the joint resolution authorizing the Secretary of State to furnish the clerk of the district court of the United States, for the western district of Virgina four copies of Little and Brown's edition of the Laws of the United States, reported it with an

#### PRIVATE BILL

Mr. BUTLER, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives for the re-lief of Levi H. Corson, and for other purposes, reported it without amendment.

### REFUNDING EXPENSES OF VOLUNTEERS.

Mr. RUSK, from the Committee on Military Affairs, to whom, was referred the bill from the House of Representatives to refund money for expenses incurred, subsistence or transportation furnished for the use of volunteers during the present war before being mustered and received into the service of the United States, reported the same without amendment.

The Senate proceeded to consider the said bill as in Committee of the Whole; and on the motion of Mr. TURNEY, it was amended by adding the following section :

"Be it fulther enacted. That in refunding moneys under this set, and the reach-tion which it amends, it shall be lawful to pay interest at the rate of ur per cent, per annum on all sumstadvanced by States, corporations, or individuals, in all casses where the State, corporation, or individual paid or bott the lotteest, or is liable to pay it."

The bill was then reported to the Senate, and the amendment was concurred in.

Ordered, That the amendment be engrossed, and the bill read

The said bill was read a third time, as amended.

Resolved. That this bill pass, with an amendment.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in the amendment.

### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

Mr. Pesident: The House of Representative concern in the 14.2 46, 16.0 to 16.0

### INDIAN APPROPRIATION BILL.

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill making appropriations for the current and contingent expenses of the Indian department and for fallilling treaty stipulations with the various Indian tribes for the year ending June 30, 1349, and for other purposes.

The question pending was upon the amendment submitted yesterday by Mr. Bell.

The amendment was read as follows :

Add the following sections:

time of the passage of this act; and continue annually thereafter said payment of

rest at the rule aforesaid.  $c_{\rm c} = 3$  days the three properties of an including the control of the cont

Mr. BELL.—This is a proposition of considerable interest to the Indians in the State of North Carolina, and also to the State itself. And it is of consequence also to the public Treasury, to the amount probably of eighty or ninety thousand dollars. And the occasion of presenting it as an amendment to this hill arises from the peculiar position of the Indian tribes which remained in that , and refused to emigrate under the treaty of 1835. number that remained, as I learn from the documents before the committee was about twelve hundred and fifty, and about one half of this number are in a condition, not only of great distress, are actually becoming a nuisance to the white population. And if this measure of relief is delayed it may not only produce serious disturbance among the Indians themselves, but between them and their neighbours, the white inhabitants. The Indians also are very much excited and disturbed by the apprehension of losing lands which they have purchased, a very serious questi having arisen, whether they can hold them or not. Now, if this claim is well grounded, the amount ought to have been appropri-But the Executive government who have ated long since. some degree the control of the matter, have thought they were not authorized to make the payment at an antecedent period, and all the appropriations out of which this payment could be made have been long since exhausted. A very serious question is pre-sented to the Senate growing out of the construction of the treaty of 1835, and to that question I desire to call the attention of the Senate, because on its decision, involving as it does some seventy or eighty thousand dollars, will depend a question which the Com-mittee on Indian Affilirs will be constrained in a short time to present to the Senate, involving a million or a unilion and a half of dollars. I state this fact, that the Senate may have a full view of the importance of the subject. I am not sure, however, but that it will be found that this claim stands upon a higher degree of justice or found that his chain stands upon a larger aggree of justice than many other claims that are set up in connection with the re-moval of the Indians to the west. The Senate will remember that the treaty that is referred to in the amendment was made in the year 1835, and that the whole difficulty has grown out of a misunderstanding on the part of some of the officers of the general government and the Indians themselves, in relation to the proper interpretation of that treaty. The Indians that remained in the State of North Carolina, it should be understood, took no part in State of North Carolina, it should be understood, took no part in the negotiation of that treaty. They had not attended the coun-cils of the nation since the year 1820, but still they were a con-stinent portion of the tribes to which the trenty applied, and were necessarily so regarded. They were a portion of the Cherokee antion of Indians; and when the proper construction came to be put upon the treaty, though they had not attended the councils, nor given their consent to the ratification of the treaty, wet, they nor given their consent to the ratification of the treaty, yet, they found that the land on which they resided since the year 1783, with the permission of the State of North Carolina, who very much to her credit be it said, never made any movement to disturb the Indians, yet, when the treaty of 1835 was ratified, it was construed to embrace all the lands of the Cherokee nation of Indians and the State of North Carolina as she had a right to do, because she was but following the example of other States, sold the land-upon which Indians resided. I understand that a part of the diff-colty with the Indians now remaining arose out of the sale of those The Indians in that State then, are certainly entitled as they lost their lands under the treaty to a fair proportion of the benefits of that treaty. The treaty it will be remembered, was made upon the basis of giving the five millions of dollars for the Indian territories lying in the State of Mississippi, Georgia, North Carolina, and Tennessee, and a small portion in Alabama, but in the instructions to the Senate, nothing was said about the expense of remo val of the Indians, although mention was made of the price of the lands. But when the treaty came to be carried into effect, many using the unit of the unit of the unit of the unit of the Indians in all those States refused to emigrate, and the commissioners of the United States found themselves compelled to yield to their determination. In consequence of this, the President of the United States authorized the commissioners to enter into stipulations for certain allowances for improvements; and for claims for spoliations, and as the Indian tribes contended for the expenses of removal and subsistence, and it is on account of that clause of the treaty in reference to these points, that the whole difficulty arose. The 8th article of the treaty provides—

roses. The 8th arricle of the treaty provides—
"Such priors and fundles as in the opinion of the eagerstime agent are enabled for abstanting and removing themselves shall be permitted to do so; and they dall be lowed in full for all chains for the soar twenty dollars forced imagine of their factorist and the state of the source of the factorist and the state of the source of th

"ARTICLE 2. Those individuals and families of the Chesister action that are averse to a removal to the Cherister control was of the Missesqua and are demoss to be-come of the Cherister and the property shall be could to represe the deep period of all the personal benefits accurage ander this treaty for their chains improvements and per-coptic, as soon as an appropriation is made for this treaty."

It is under this clause of the treaty, that the difficulty arose.

Not only with the Indians in North Carolina, but the Indians of another tribe. Soon after the ratification of the treaty, or while it was yet under consideration, they protested that the construction that was likely to be put upon it, was not in conformity with their understanding, and not in conformity with the contract which they signed with the commissioners. This was upon finding that the 15th article provides that these expenses of removal, &c., shall be paid out of the five million fund. Of course the Executive and the commissioners considered that it was to be paid out of the Indian fund of five millions. The President again submitted a mes-sage to the Senate, and informed them that the expenses of removal must also be paid by the government, and they accordingly made an appropriation for the purpose, and a supplementary arti-cle was added to the treaty. All these points are important to be cle was added to the treaty. All these points are important to be be considered in order to arrive at a correct conclusion on the subject. As the difficulties of emigration increased in 1828, and as war was likely to take place between a portion of the In-dians and the white inhabitants on their borders, the Executive department of the government recommended to Congress to appropriate a sum to pay the entire expenses of removal and subsist proprints a sum to pay the entire expenses of removal and subset-ence. And upon a recommendation being sent to the House of Representatives, an appropriation was made of six hundred that sand dollars, which proved to be inadequate, however, and in fact a large portion of the five million fund has been appropriated to that purpose. But the Cherokees contended that as their lands that purpose. But the Cherokees contended that as their lands had been sold, they were entitled to a full proportion of this five million fund according to their numbers, without having it diminished by the expenses of the removal. For it will turn out that if all those expenses are to be borne by that fund, a very small part of it will be left. The Indians insisted that the express understanding at the time this treaty was entered upon between the council of Indians who acted on that occasion, and the commis-sioners of the United States, was that this allowance for subsist-ence and removal should be paid to all the Indians alike, whether removed or not, on the ground that they were entitled to a portion of this five million fund as a consideration for their lands; for they were obliged to resign them, and the lands upon which they sub sequently settled they had to pay for. Their understanding was selves, that they were entitled to this allowance, and this is further fortified by the admission of the War department.

Now another, and to some gentlemen perhaps a more difficult question arises, out of what fund is this appropriation to be made it to come out of this fund of five millions, or out of the treasury of the United States! This will depend upon what consideration and weight the Senate on this occasion and the House when the bill comes before them, shall give to the decision of Congress al ready made on this subject. After the treaty was ratified, an ap-plication was made to know what was their construction of the treaty, and the Senate agreed that it was intended that the ex pense of removal should be paid by the government independently of the five million fund. And in 1838, when application was made to provide for the emigration of Indians west of the Mississippi, Congress appropriated one million and five thousand dollars for that purpose, not out of the five million fund, but out of the Treasury. So far, then, as precedent is concerned, the matter has been Still it depends upon the decision of Congress whether they will go on and pay all these expenses out of the Treasury I have made this explanation, so that the Senate may see and un derstand all the hearings of the case. I have bestowed some con-sideration upon the subject, and I am of opinion, that the government ought not to be required to pay the whole of these expenses.

The government of the United States cannot be called on to pay
the whole of them. It is well known that the expenditures have been very extravagant, and that that extravagance of expenditure excited considerable attention at the time. That portion of it, at all events, the government cannot justly be called on to pay. But as far as the other portion is concerned, I think it will be found that the faith of the government is concerned. that the faith of the government is pledged by a recognition of the principle on the part of the War department, and of both Houses of Congress. I think that the government is pledged to pay the entire expense of removal and subsistence, except those extrava-

gant allowances which were made to a portion of the tribes.

With regard to the amendment before the Senate, I shall myself With regard to the amendment oence the senance, I simil mysul-in on other Senator does, moye to limit the amount of interest. I in on other Senator does, moye to limit the amount of interest. I from an earlier time, than when they treaty was ratified. I have thus alluded briefly to some of the grounds, for there are many, upon which the claim of the Indians to this appropriation is found-ed; and I shall sit down coment for the present with having made this preliminary statement. The question is one, as I said, involv ing a considerable appropriation; and unless it can be included in this bill, there is no hope that any relief will be afforded to the distresses of the Indians in North Carolina—any prevention of the

Mr. ATHERTON .- From the statement made by the honorable Senator from Tennessee, the Senate will rereceive the importhat are exciting difficulties between the Indians and the white inliabitumes, but that it also snotices in its decision a great amount of expenditure on the part of the government. I had hoped, and still hope, that the Senator from Tennessee will not persist in an attempt to attach this semendment to the Indian appropriation bill; but that it may be considered separately, at some future time, for it seems to me that an affair of this importance really deserves more consideration than it is likely to receive, as an amendment, to this bill. It is not an appropriation, strictly speaking, in pursainace of any existing law, because the question arises distinctly, whether the law or the treaty anthorizes this appropriation or not; and therefore it falls with the objection which I have heretofore stated, as applying to amendments to appropriation bills. derstand the honorable Scuator from Tennessee, this amendment, if adopted, directly involves an expenditure of between eighty and a hundred thousand dollars; and if the principle is recognized by Congress, it involves an expenditure, in other cases, of a mil-lion and a half of dollars—a sum much larger than the whole amount appropriated by this bill.

Mr. BELL.—I stated, that in my opinion, the government would not be liable to pay the whole of the expenses that have been extravagantly incurred in the removal of the Indians. The principle in the two eases is not precisely the same, although there s a similarity between them.

is a similarity between them.

Mr. ATHERTON.—Still it is to be inferred, that if Congress decide in favor of the principle embraced in this amendment, it alfords a colorable petterne in favor of a claim upon the treasury, seems to me, then, considering the importance of this subject, there cannot be that consideration given to it, when officed as an amendment to this bill, which its importance requires, and I may state here that this subject has been under the consideration of the Commissioner of Indian Affairs heretofore, and a very long report has been made, I believe, by Mr. Crawford, a former commissioner, against the claim embraced in this amendment. There has been also a very long and claborate opinion given by a former Attorney General, Mr. Mason, I against this claim, and that opinion has been approved by the Executive. The whole subject has been under consideration by the Executive department, and after the most mature deliberation and investigation, the opinion of the executive officers has been adverse to the claim. Not only of the executive officers has been adverse to the claim. that, but I understand that at this very session the Committee on Indian Affairs, upon a memorial relating to this subject, made an adverse report, or at all events requested to be discharged from the consideration of the memorial. I think I have seen a report to that effect, made by a gentleman who was formerly chairman of the Committee on Indian Affairs. In order to show the importance of this subject, I will ask that a letter from the Commissioner of Indian Affairs be read. But in the first place, to show what I conceive to be the impolicy of attaching this amendment to the Indian appropriation bill, I will state that the subject is under consideration by a committee of the House, and that there is a diversity of opin-ion on the subject, and that there will undoubtedly be a full discussion of the subject in the committee and in the Honse. It is important that we should have all the information that will be cheited by that discussion.

Mr. BADGER .- The question under consideration of the Senate is admitted to be important, but it by no means follows that it is difficult. On the contrary, I think a little attention to the remarks of the honorable Senator from Tennessee will show that it marks of the honorable Senaior from Tennessee will show that it is a matter of plain right, and so far from the anendment being an evasion of the principle for which the honorable Senator from New Hampshire so streamously insists, this very sum which is now sought to be appropriated by the proposed amendment, ought to be inserted in the very bill which the chairman of the committee has reported to the Senate. It is an Indian appropriation bill, by which a sum of money is proposed to be appropriated for the benefit of the Indians, to which sum they became entitled under a treaty with the United States.—

The sum of the sum of the sum of money is proposed to be appropriated for the benefit of the Indians, to which sum it is being a violation of the strictest rule of exclusion suggrested by the Senator from New Hampshire, it is only a just an excessive the design a vorantive with a matter, in it is a textual suggestable to the control of the contr allowance—that it is as clearly and as undoubtedly due as any salary is due under the laws of the United States to any officer of the government, and that the difficulty interposed to the payment the government, and that the difficulty interposed to the payment of it furnishes an additional evidence of the mode in which these public contracts with the Indians had been habitually interpreted by the agents of the United States, centrusted with their interpretation and the carrying them into effect. By the 8th article of this treaty it is stipulated that the United States hall remove the Indians to their homes west of the Mississippi, at the expense of the United States, and shall furnish them with subsistence for one year after their arrival there; and then the article has this precision. provision :

"And they shall be allowed in full for all claims for the same twenty dollars for ach member of their family; and in hen of their own year's rations they shall be sid the sam of thirty-three dollars and thirty-three cents if they prefer it."

By this article it is clear that a per capita provision of twenty dollars for removal, and thirty-three dollars and thirty-three cents for subsistence is to be given to all Indians. Then by the 12th article it is expressly declared that—

These individuals and families of the Cherokee nation that are averse to a renovate the Cherokee country west of the Alinshappi and are desirons to become cell-ated to the Cherokee country west of the Alinshappi and are desirons to become cell-ated to the country of the Cherokee country west of the Alinshappi and the country of the cell-ated to the country of the Cherokee caste in the Cherokee

Now, sir, the only per capita personal benefit mentioned or al-luded to in any part of the treaty, is the payment of these two sums to the Indians who remove themselves. It follows, then, with the clearness of the conclusion of a demonstration, that if the with the clearness of the conclusion of a demonstration, that if the Indians who remove themselves were entitled to this personal benefit, per capita, the Indians who chose and were permitted to remain were entitled to it also. The treaty declares this in so many words. The Senator from New Hampshire says that long papers have been written, and repeated decisions made by the Commissioners of Indian Affairs, adverse to this claim. The length of the papers furnishes no recommendation to me. It neither makes them more agreeable to read, nor, in my judgment, more satisfactory in arriving at a conclusion. It is said also that the subject ought to undergo an elaborate discussion. To what purpose? Why should that which is plain be long discussed? If the subject has been examined by the Exceutive department, and they have, ye perverse unterretation, caused to be withheld from these hy a perverse interpretation, caused to be withheld from these helpless men that which is justly due to them, in my judgment it is a reason why Congress should now act promptly and do them justice. The committee in the other house have reported the pre-cise provision which my honorable friend from Tennessee proposes to attach to this bill. Under these circumstances, why should not to attach to this bill. Under these eircumstances, why should not the appropriation be made, and made at once. The question is said to be important. Certainly, sir, the expenditure proposed by this bill is not important on account of the mere amount of it. It is said that other cases will come forward, if the principle in this case be allowed. Be it so. What answer is fund? If eighty thousand dollars he justly due, shall we refuse to allow it because another man may have a claim for a little more? It seems come are the contractions of the contraction of the another man may have a cann for a little more! It seems to me to be a singular argument with which to meet a claim presented to the American Senate. But the question is important in anoth-er aspect. It is not only evident that the Indians who are per-mitted to remain should receive this sum of money, but it is also plain to demonstration that the chiefs who signed the paper so understood it; and although ten years have passed away, they have not received that which the government stipulated to pay to them, and they are restless and discontented under a sense of wrong, and are becoming disagreeable and troublesome and dangerous neigh hors; and I appeal to the Senate, that the importance of doing bors; and I appeal to the Senate, that the importance of doing justice to them makes the mere amount of money sink into comparative insignificance. Shall we consider, discuss, debate the subject, and in the meantime permit the peace of North Carolina to be violated? Shall we run the hazard of having the quiet that now exists broken up and destroyed? And to what end? What is proposed to be accomplished by it? Is it to preserve the due and orderly observance of the rules under which appropriation bills are to be considered and passed? Sir, such rules should be, under such circumstances, dispensed with. But is it true that any rule will be violated? I think not.

But there is another consideration. The honorable Senator from But there is another consideration. The conorable Senator from Tennessee has presented this amendment in the most unexceptionable form. The sum of money to be appropriated is not to be paid to the Indians until it shall be ascertained who of the Indians that remain have not received the benefit contemplated by the treaty And for the benefit of such only is the interest upon the money to be paid until they think proper to remove themselves to the West, and so soon as they do so, and only then, is the principal to be paid. Now, here is a measure which is calculated to produce the most beneficial effect upon the State which I have the honor in part to represent. It is holding out an inducement to these Indians to remove. Some of them are good and valuable eitizens—others are of a different character; and the object is to hold out to those of the latter character an inducement to remove themselves beyond the latter character an inducement to remove themselves beyond the Mississippi, and relieve us of their inconvenient and dangerous neighborhood. In my judgment it is a perfectly simple question, and if we look at the terms of the treaty, it appears to me to be a plain, obvious, and evident duty towards the Indians, that we should make the appropriation. By passing it we shall accomplish two objects at once—we will secure the peace and quiet of the State, and thus subserve the interests of humanity, and we will at the same time do an act of plain and simple justice towards the

On motion by Mr. BRIGHT, it was

Ordered, That the further consideration thereof be postponed until to-morrow.

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the conideration of the bill to establish the territorial government of Oregon.

The question pending was upon the amendment submitted yesterday by Mr. HALE.

Mr. BUTLER said a bill to organize a territorial government for Mr. BUTLER said shill to organize a territorial government for Oregon, containing resentially the provisions of this bill, with the exception of the 12th section, had been reported more than a year ago by the Judiciary Committee. At last session the bill came up from that committee with important, and, as Mr. B. thought, unexceptionable modifications. At this session it had been committed, not to the Judiciary, but to the Committee on Territors, of which he, Mr. B., was a member, where the committee with the committee of the co en out or so modified as to contain no provision, one way or the other, on the subject of slavery. Upon examination it appears now that it does contain such a provision—perhaps a double provision. The laws of Jowa are extended to Oregon. These laws and the provision of the provisions; but the 12th section contains this provision.

"The existing laws now in force in the Territory of Oregon, under the authority of the provisional government, established by the people shall be valid therein."

These laws contained in express terms, and in its language the prohibitions of the ordinance of '87. The bill has therefore been reported without the sanction of a full committee, or by a misconception of its true but correct previsions. He was sure that moing wrong of deceptive could have been intended by the chairming wrong of each promote the which the chairming without the contained and the chairming without the chairming with the chairming without the c

who was ausent. Bt. B. Said of wised ne were present to make explanation. He presumed, however, that the bill had been funding and upon in committee when he, Mr. B., was not present. The gentleman from Kew Hampshire new proposed autober problistory clause, thus shingling. It had been, and was willing to strike out the 12th section, so that there might be no notice one way or the other of the subject of slavery. He presamed, however, thus the questions must rest, and if so, they were properly presented in the amendment offered by the gentleman from Florida, to suit the bill of last session.

Mr. BRIGHT—I units with the honorable gentleman from South Carolina in regretting that the chairman of the committee is not present. I apprehend that the bill would not have been called up in his absence, if it were not for the peculiar circumstances by which we are surrounded. A communication from the President of the United States informs us of the condition of things in Oregon, which demand our immediate action upon this measure, in order not only that a territorial government may be regamized there, but also that a military force may be sent out to protect the people. I would be glind to have the expension of the protect the people. I would be glind to have the expension of the protect of the Committee on the Judiciary and by them apported back with certain amendments. It is substantially the same bill as those by which Wisconsu and Jowa were brought as territories into this Union. I understand that the Senator from the protect of the bill. The 12th section of the bill is before us.

"That the inhabitants of said territory shall be entitled to all the right-privileges, and immonibles, herefolore granted and secured to the territory of flow and its inhabitants, and the sexisfing law now in force in the territory of Orgon, in der the analytic that provisional government shall continue to be valid and operative so far as the same be not incompatible with the provisions of this set. &c. &c. ."

This section does not differ from the bill organizing a territoral government in lows and Wisconsin, except in the last clause of the section which I have just read. It appears that among the provisional enactments to the present organic law of Organization so no providing that neither slavery nor involuntary servitude otherwise than for the punishment of crime, whereof the parties shall be doly convicted shall exist in said territory. Now, by the passage of the bill with the 12th section, his oneatomat remains in force unless the retritorial legislature see proper to alter, modify, or repeal it. In the set bringing, Wisconsin into the Union sa a territory; the ordinance of W7, as it was called, was subjected and absolute ordinates with the additional clause recognizing the depth shat ordinates with the additional clause recognizing the depth shat ordinates with the additional clause recognizing the scape of the provisional government. Let me read the amendment of feed by the Senator from Florida.

leved by the Senator from Florida.

"Sec. 12, And he is further energical Thirthe ministrant of and territory shall always be entitled to the benefit of the wat of tabets orgus and the trial by mry, of a pipotionate representation of the people in the begindate, and of patient places of a pipotionate representation of the people in the begindate, and of patient places of for capital editences, where the proof shall be evident or the presentation of method. No man shall be deprived of his fiberty or properly but by the judgment of his peers or the man shall be deprived of his fiberty or properly but by the judgment of his peers or the prosecution to take any peems it property or to demand his patery like remaining the property of the propert

Mr. WESTCOTT.—Will the Senator permit me to remark that the provisions are copied from the ordinance of 187, rights of vessitation of the United States, and from the bill of rights of vessitation in the Union; and that similar provisions existed in the law organizing the territorial government of Florrida?

Mr. BRIGHT.—I have nothing to say with reference to the portion of the amendment which I have rend. I now approach that which is important and about which we differ.

That When is important non nout which we differ. The existing laws own in fore in the transport forgon, under the authority of the provisional government established by the propic thereof, shall continue to be valid and pearture themsen, so far as he same been incompatible and the pring is and provisions of this set, until the end of the first reason of the leg. First essensibly of suid and pearture themselves the property of the property thereto, and fully participating an all the bandle, advantages, property, and settling and a citaling an solar latter, which who property thereto, and fully participating an all the bandle, advantages, property and settling manner thereof as a terrino of the United States, which was property, on

an equi footing with concern of any of the United States; and all laws and parts of laws which shall operate in centrate of, and lettiment to, the fall on 190 ment of such nights are hearly declared to be only and yout; and the laws of the United States are night extended over and declared to be in voice in said territory, so far as the same, or any 190 ment thereof, may be applied to

The effect of that amendment would be to take from the terrical legislature of Oregon the right to do their own legislation, to declare that the laws now in force unider the provisional government should remain in force unit medified, altered, or repealed by the legislature, except that they could not alter, modify, or rep. Is on much of the laws as relates to the right of citizens of it. Used States to emigrate to that territory, taking with them we species of in order to repeat the law of the States. On the result of the states were the related to the states of the could that differ by the central to the states. We related the law of the States to emigrate to that territory, taking with them which they were the law of the States. We related the states were the states of the states of the states of the states of the states. We related the states of the stat

Mr. FOOTE inquired if the Senntor from Indiana would not agree to strike out the twelfth section?

Mr. BRIGHT —I cannot consent without the assent of the Senator from Missouri.

Mr. WESTCOTT.—I desire to put an interrogatory to the Senator from Indiana in relation to the construction of a clause in this section as it now stards. I allide to that clause which says that the people of Oregon shall be entitled to all the rights, immunities, and privileges incretoire secured to the people of the territory of lowa. If I am right in my construction, that is an adaption of the lowar cognaic law, and an adoption also of the ordinance of 187. I moderated the Senator to admit that the second clause of this twelfile section but the affects.

Mr. BRIGHT.—I stated that the fourth settin of the enactment of the prositional government sected of sharey from that tertiony; and than me adoption of the twelfth section would continue that act in free until the legislature authority of the tertitory saw fit to alter, another, or repeal in.

Mr. WESTCOTT.—Will the Senator answer the inquiry, whether the resence to the law of Iowa is not also writted exclusion of lawery from Oregon? Whether it is ret a virtual adaption of the ordance of '87?

Mr. BRIGHT .- I do not so understand it.

Mr.  ${\tt BAGBY}.{\longleftarrow}{\tt Is}$  the question now on striking out the twelfth section ?

The PRESIDING OFFICER replied that it was not.

Mr. HALE asked if it would be in order for him to renew his motion after the vote upon the amendment of the Senator from Florida?

The PRESIDING OFFICER replied in the affirmative

Mr. HALE .- Then I withdraw my amendment

Mr. WESTCOTT .- I object.

Mr. HALE .- I ask leave to withdraw it.

The question being put, leave was granted to the Senator from New Hampshire to withdraw his amendment.

Mr. WESTCOTT.—I desue that the bill should be amended in detail, and therefore moved that in the first section all after the word. "Provided" be struck out.

Mr. BMGHT. -I believe that by striking out the twelfth section we will be able to pass the bill without any delay.

Mr. FOOTE hoped that the Senator from Florida would withdraw his proposition, which, in his opinion, presented the point in a mere completed manner.

Mr. WESTCOTT.—The gentleman misunderstands my motion. The first section of the bill is now before the Senate, and I moved to strike out all after the word "provided." My object is

to perfect the details of the bill, as I cannot vote for it unless it be corrected in the details in almost every section. I consider the details of the bill exceedingly crude and objectionable, and not applicable to this territory, without reference to the question of slavery at all.

Mr. BADGER.—Allow me to make a suggestion. I understand that it is proposed by gentleman on the other side of the chamber, that by common consent the question should now be taken on striking out the twelfth section.

Mr. WESTCOTT .- I have no objection to that.

Mr. BRIGHT.—My object in consenting to that was that the bill might pass without farther debate; and under the impression that the Senator from Florida would not \*unbarrass the bill with amendments. I apprehend that the bill is quite as perfect as it could be made in his hands. I move then to strike out the twelfth

Mr. YULEE.—I would inquire whether a proposition has not been made by my colleague which has not been withdrawn?

Mr. WESTCOTT .- I have no objection that the question should be new taken on striking out the twelfth section.

be now taken on striking out the twelfth section.

Mr. IALE—I withdrew my amendment for the purpose of enabling the Senator from Indiana, who seems very desirous of the second form Indiana, who seems very desirous of final action of the body. If, however, after having withdrawn that amendment the bill is to be further amended by striking out this twelfth section, thereby leaving the people of that territory in fairlefty worse off than they now are, I shall feel bound to renew my amendment, and press it as well as I may. It was solely because I was desirous of removing any imperiment to the passage of the bill, and at the seriest request of several Senators upon the amendment. But if the bill is to be emasculated by the clision of this section, I must insist upon my amendment, and endeavor to satisfy the Senate that it cought to be adopted. Not wishing to detain the Senate now, I ask for the yeas and nays.

Mr. CALFUUN.—It think that upon reflection, Senators will

Mr. CALHOUN.—It this that upon reflection, Senators will breve that the difficulty will not be removed by striking out the twelfth section. I am not desirous to create any delay. Never have I raised a single question in this Senate, except when a horse this one has been offered. I have ever and upon the defensive, and on the delensary that the defensive and one of the delensary that the defensive is the statement of the delensary that the delensa see, that attrongs the twenth a course be struck out, the dimensity will not be removed as the twenth of the structure of the with their property into any territory. The next question is the right of the inhabitants of a territory to make a law excluding the eitzens of these States from emigrating thin the with their property, and the third question is the power of Congress to vest the people of a territory with that right. All these questions come up, and cannot be avoided. I regret it exceedingly. The short cut is the one proposed by the Senator from Florids. As I understand, the Judiciary Committee of last session, after a great deal of deliberation, reported a bill providing an amendment to meet certificiary to the senator of the senator. It has not been brought up by me, or by these who more satisfactory in its details than this. Certainly I am not willing, for one, to blink the question by elading the amendment of the Senator.

Mr. MILLER.—The meaning of the senator of the Senator.

Mr. MILLER.—The question, as I understand, is upon striking out the twelfth section. The objection to the laws of the provisional government is founded on the fact, that they abolish slavery ional government is founded on the fact, that they abolish slavery of the properties of the properties

into the culture, it was expressly contented—

"That in all that territory ceded by Frauce to the United States, under the sum of Louisans, which lies north of thirty six degrees and thirty musters, north littering on included within the limit of the State, contemphated by this are, shavery and in one inclined within the limits of the State, contemphated by this are, shavery and in the shall have lead to the state of the state of

The same principle was applied to the Territories of Iowa and Wisconsin. I have risen merely for the purpose of stating the position of the question before the vote is taken.

30TH Cong.-1st Session-No. 86.

- Mr. DICKINSON .- I am one of those who recognize the authority of territorial legislation; and I am happy to see that the Senator from from South Carolina concurs with me, for he announces, if I understand him, that we are bound to recognize the legislation of the people of Oregon.
- Mr. CALHOUN.—The Senator is entirely mistaken. The peo-ple of a territory can act as they please so far as the territory itself is concerned.
- Mr. DICKINSON .- I did not expect that the Senator intended to go further. But he recognizes a provisional government.
- Mr. CALHOUN .- I do not recognize its right to exclude citi zens of the United States.
- Mr. DICKINSON.—I am quite ready to strike out the twelfth section, and thus leave the people of Oregon precisely where I desire them to stand, with the right to pass their own local and domestic laws. I am equally willing to retain it. But as for the amendment of the Senator from New Hampshire, I shall vote against it in every shape and form.

Mr. HALE .- It has been withdrawn.

Mr. DICKINSON.—I understand that it is to be renewed. I shall also vote against the amendment of the Senator from Florida, and vote for organizing this government in its simplest form, leaving this question where we found it; allowing it to take care of itself under the constitution and local government.

Mr. BAGBY.—The part to which the Senator from New York has alluded, is one to which I intended to refer as likely to occasion difficulty in the final action on this bill—the right of a territorial legislature to legislate on the subject of slowery. Early in the present session of Congress I introduced a series of resolutions whole subject. And the more I have reflected upon the positions assumed in those resolutions, the more I have become confirmed in the belief that they were not only sanctioned by every principle of the constitution as it now stands, but by every consideration of sound polley and equality, not among the States of this Union, but the people of the Union. These resolutions declared three things; first, that the people of a rittory of the United States in the act authorizing them to form a temporary government; second, that Congress itself property from such territories; and, third, that forasmuch as Congress possessed no such power, it could not be delegated to the territories. Can there be any doubt, about the correction of this body, whether it be right, proper, and constitutional, to say that this government can exclude any portion of the people of the United States in the state of this power of the property from such territories, and third, that forasmuch as Congress possessed no such power, it could not be delegated to the territories, Can there be any doubt, about the correction of this body, whether it be right, proper, and constitutional, to say that this government can exclude any portion of the people of the United States? I ask on what such a right could be founded? I am not now going to question the power of this power manuer to acquire territory, or to excreice any constitutional right over that territory but I take the ground, without lear provision on the Subject, which I have no doubt is quite familiar to belonging to the United States than they have over other property belonging to the United States. Because the only constitutional provision on the subject, which I have no doubt is quite familiar to you, places territorial and all other property on the same footing. But what are you now called upon to do? To exercise that right of property merely over territories belonging to United States. And do you stop there? No. You are about to erect a barrier around one of those territories over which a portion of the people can never leap, unless they leave their property behind them. I differ with the distinguished Senator from South Carolina with the credit has estimated to the credit of their property of the twelfth senting is struck out. That section constitutes the great eye-sore with me, and with it out I am prepared to vote for the ill. It is for this reason that I am in lavor of authorizing the people of Oregon to form a temporary government, sufficient for all purposes of protection, and subordinate, as of course it will be

neeple of Gregon to form a temporary government, sofficient for all purposes of protection, and subordinate, as of course it will be to the future action of Congress. The dectrine, however, contended for by the Senator from New York, is the most monstores one ever advanced by any statesman in the United States, although I regret to say it has been maintained by able, distinguished, and the Senator will permit me to add, even more experienced men fundamental law and give senation to it, by which any portion of the citizens of the United States shall be excluded from the territory of the United States! I know it is said that the whole of this territory lies beyond a certain parallel of latitude, and I admit that in all human probability, slavery never will exist there. I have no expectation that slavery will ever be found there, but if that be true. I ask whence the necessity and propriety cuttory for the purpose of keeping out an institution which gentlemen tell us by no possibility can ever be introduced there? These attempts have but one object, and that is to engraft upon the laws of this court ya doctrine known, to be repulsave to a large portion of the peotry a doctrine known to be repulsive to a large portion of the people of the Union. The effect of tris—I hope the Senator from New Hampshire does not design it—to east a direct, unnecessary, and gratuitous insult in the teeth of the people of the South.

Mr. HALE.—Will the gentlemen allow me to remind him that I have withdrawn my amendment; I have removed my firebrand, and it is a Southern one which is now hurning.

Mr. BAGBY.—Yes, I know that Northern fires burn more slowly than Southern fires. But the Schator from Michigan has told us that he will kindle his fire again.

Mr. HALE.—Not the Senator from Michigan—another Presidential aspirant!

Mr. BAGBY.—The aspirants are so plenty that there is some little confusion. But I pledge myself if this principle of abolition or exclusion, or whatever else you may please to call it, touches or taints this bil at all, so far as I am concerned the people of Oregon will go without a government to the day of judgment. Now, whence the necessity, whence the propriety of thrusting this measure forward when we were told by those who advocate it that it is wholly unnecessary, as by the law of nature slavery must forever be excluded from that territory.

Ind not the honor of being in public life when the Missouri compromise, as it is coiled, was adopted; if I had been I should have voted against it for two reasons. First, because there was no right to compromise any of the provisions of the constitution; and second, because it was no compromise at all. It was an arrangement by which the fire which then hurned, was smothered for a time, only to break out upon us continually over since, and concession by one party, whilst the other folded its arms determined at a future day to ask for more. Never can I consent to have this principle again engrafted upon any bill. I deny in toto the principle, that the moment a few thousand people get possession of the public land, they have a right to institute a territorial government by which any portion of the people of the Union can be excluded from it. The Senator from New York contends that the power is inherent in the people. What! An unorganized community cannot possess any political power, if they did, why call on compress to authorize them to form a territorial government! Inherent rights attach to men as individuals, but not as communities. The client of the detrine contended for would be to give to these unorganized aggressions of individuals the same politics.

As I said, I am willing to vote for this bill as it is, provided the 12th section be stricken out.

Mr. FOOTE expatiated briefly upon the course of the Senator from New Hampshire, alluding very emphatically to the dangerous consequences which the agitation of this subject was likely to produce in the coming election.

Mr. HALE —It seems to me there is some inconsistency in the views of some gentlemen of the Seante. I am accused of embarrassing this subject by the introduction of a proposition, which seems especially to alarm the Senator from Mississippi, who regards it as calculated to affect the Presidential election. Now, to quiet the fears of the honorable gentleman, I will tell him, in all honesty, that so far as I am advised, my friends do not anticipate running a ticket in that election in the State of Mississippi.

Mr. FOOTE.—I will tell the Senator that I should not be apprehensive of the result of running any such ticket in the neighborhood where I reside. Any other ticket than that of Cass and Butler I have not thought of; and, if I had, it would be with such scorn as would not allow me to feel the least apprehensive.

Mr. HALE.—Well, the candidates will feel "very bad" at this annoancement, no doubt." But I rose for the purpose of saying that this is no movement of mine. I have thrown in no firebrands, on the contrary, I have been trying to remove them. Complaints are made that a pestiferous question has been introduced; that a freshrad has been thrown into the Senate—a question introduced that is likely to produce agitation. I can only say, that it has been my purpose to remove any such cause of agitation. For one, sir, I want the country to understand what this proposition is. I have always done ample jouries on the people of the Someon you know them, you can see them hard. They are not hise the centerpithe animal that I have not seen described in any history of animated nature I have not seen described in any history of animated nature I have not seen described in any history of animated nature I have not seen described in any history of animated nature II have not seen described in the proposition before us is simply this: that slavory is one of the natural and inherent rights of property which belong to the people of the rights of property which belong to the people of the rights of the property which belong to the people of the rights of the control of the proposition before us is simply this: that slavory is one of the natural and inherent rights of property which belong to the people of the rights of property which belong to the people of the rights of this proposition. If it goes any farther, I hope it will be so announced. The people of the North have been desired the control of the property of the people of the rights of the people of the rights of the property of the people of the control of the people of the p

South? If so, why has it not been found out before? Why have they permitted that standing insult to remain upon the legislation of the country? How has it happened that, with their peculiar sensitiveness to insult—their chivalric sense of honor—their keen percentions—

Mr. BAGBY.—I did not say that the action of this government, in any respect, upon any subject, was an insult. I asked this question: II, when gentlemen on the other side admitted it was not necessary to interprese this obstacle to the admission of slavery into that territory, it was not an insult to the South to propose it?

Mr. FOOTE—If the 12th section be stricken from the bill, there will be nothing remaining to which any one favorable to the institutions of the South could in the least degree object. The laws of Oregon will be still in force.

Mr. HALE.—I desire to know if that section be stricken out, and the bill remain as it is, whether the institution of slavery will exist in the territory of Oregon?

Mr; FOOTE .- I shall come to that by and bye.

Mr. HALE.—I understood the Senator as advancing that proposition.

Mr. FOOTE.—Impulsive as I am, I do not choose to commit

myself by any hasty assertion. I was endeavoring to reconcile the Senator from Alabama and the Senator from South Carolina, and those who concer with those gentlemen, with those of us who are opposed to all agitation on the subject, and who believe that by striking out this clause all difficulty will be removed in regard to the question concerning which we all feel so much solicitude. I will not a reason a continuous many agrees as a continuous man the orbiter abbendal. I will not now express an opinion upon the subject, although I will be prepared at the proper time, not only to express my views, but to act upon it. But when I listened to the éloquent and powful speech of the Senator from Missouri yesterday—a speech that all must have listened to with a thrill of admiration—I felt that I was listening to the arguments of a wise man and a patriot, when that Senator urged upon us that we should attend to the bu-siness of the hour—that we should perform the duty which exist-ing circumstances called upon us to perform in behalf of the feeble and suffering people, and that we should avoid all factions and agitating discussions upon the question of slavery, which he consider-ed, and which I conceive, has no connection with the subject before the Senate. If this 12th section he stricken out, the people of the cd, and which I conceive, has no connection with the subject before the Senate. If this 12th section be stricted out, the people of the territory of Oregon will be exactly in the same condition in which the people of the various territories of the United States have found themselves from time to time. They will, have that power to legislate which the constitution of the United States allows to the constitution of the United States allows and the constitution of the United States allows and the constitution of the United States allows all those constitution are not not so that the constitution of slavery, it will come for advantage and if they weight the constitution of slavery, it will come for adopted; and if they raise the question of slavery, it will come for adjudication before the courts of the country, and I trust there will be wisdom and patriotism enough in those tribunals to decide it according to the constitution and the best interests of the com In according to the constitution and the best interests of the con-traction of the properties of the manufacture of the matter, and at the properties of the properties of the properties of the properties resolutions here, presented by the Senator from New York, which are lying upon the table for the present. When they come up for discussion I shall announce my views and opinions—humble as they may be—but at present I am unwilling to embarrass this subject with any such discussion. And I invoke the Senator from Alabama, if he concur with me, to vote in support of the motion to strike out the 12th section, leaving the bill in such a shape as by a fair interpretation of it. I think ought to satisfy the honorable enator, and all that think as he does, on the subject of slavery, I hope I am understood as simply being opposed to the agitation of the question. I know it can do no good to the question now before us, or to the country at large; it may enable certain individuals who have, at the present time, the sympathies of the abolitionists of the North, to obtain a little increased popularity, or it Hofsis of the North, to obtain a little increased popularity, or it might—a thing not quite so hamentable, but yet lamontable—it might each little might excite the public mid there, as to weaken the chances of unded yet excite the public mind there, as to weaken the chances of success in the Previdential campaign, of the great party with which I am allied. I will arow that one motive with me in keepping down excitement is to prevent the enfectlement of the great democratic party. Efforts have been made to excite the public maid upon this pestiferous question to induce the people of this country to support on individual for the Presidency who lives north of Mason's and Dixon's line. Therefore, I propose to take some country to support no marconaut for the rresonence who fives north and Dixon's line. Therefore, I propose to take some safe ground, and to give to the people of Oregon the protection which they stand in need of, keeping out of these halls, for the present, the discussion of this pestiferous question. Thus, I think, we shall show ourselves as having acted the part of wese statesmen and natriots Mr. HALE .- I did not refer to the gentleman from Alahama,

Mr. HALE.—I did not refer to the gentleman from Alabama, but to a recard of the honorable Senator from South Carolina, who said, if 1 did not misunderstand him, that the proposition was insulting to one-half of the States of this Union—a sentiment in which I believe the Senator from Mississippi concurred.

Mr. FOOTE.—It seems to me that when the gentleman from New Hampshire renews his amendment, his remarks may be in place, but I doubt their propriety now. He certainly is not justified in making them on the ground that he is responding to me.

Mr. HALE.—If the honorable gentleman says he did not sny any thing, I certainly do not mean to say any thing to him. But

I was proceeding to inquire how it happened that these very sensitive gentlemen, with their keenness of perception and quickness of resentment, had not before this time found out the insult under which they have been grieving for more than half a century? If we will not leave the sign of I was as a territory was before this body? That bill contained, in express terms, the very provisions contained in the 12-h section of the bill now before us, which is now deemed so insulting, and denounced as a firebrand. I find that the question on the motion to lay that bill upon the table was taken by yeas and any and decided in the negative by a large majority, many Sonthern

and decided in the negative by a large majority, many Soathern members voting against it.

Again I ask, why did not the gentleman then discover this insult to the Soath? With all respect to these gentlemen, I must say that I can have very little sympathy with that exquisitely nice sense of bonor which cannot find out an insult until the becomes to be saxty years old. It has been supported by the soath of the saxty years y

I know that I stand here under peculiar circumstances; but I can appeal to gentlemen on the other side of the Senate in pool of the statement, that I was applied to by those for whose opinions I have deep regard, to withdraw the amendment, in order that they might proceed with the bill. At their request I did withdraw the amendment, in order that their feelings of humanity that had been appealed to so eloquently by the honorable Senator from Missouri, to the profound agitation of the sympathies which stir the benevolent heart of my friend from Mississippi, might be gratified. I do not desire to be obstinate, or to emburrase or to impede the action of the Senate. I am not at all desirous of claiming a right to any of the hare to be offered to the senate. I am not at all desirous of claiming a right to any of the hare or, at the risk of offending those whose judgments I regard as much as those of any around me, and who have stood by me when their sympathies were worth something, and their votes worth more, I consented to withdraw this pestiferous amendment, this frebrand, in order to ascertain if I could not produce a little harmonious action here. But what has been the result !— Something more pestiferous still is introduced; and when I reminded the honorable Senator from Alabama that I had withdrawn it, he says that no doubt I latend to introduced;

Mr. BUTLER.—Will the gentleman allow me to ask him a question? I understand that the honorable gentleman has withdrawn his amendment for the present only, will leave to introduce it again after the amendment of the gentleman from Florida had been disposed of; so that he let his firebrand burn out, when he saw another one likely to be used, with the intention of restoring his own afterwards.

Mr. HALE.—The Senator makes a statement; when he puts his question, I will endeavor to answer it.

Mr. BUTLER.—I ask the gentleman whether he did not withdraw his amendment with a distinct notice that he would introduce it again?

Mr. HALE.—I will answer the Scnator. I asked the Presiding Officer of the Senate, if I withdrew the amendment this time, and suffered the question to be taken on the amendment of the Senator from Florida, then pending, whether it would not be in order to introduce the amendment again; to which he replied in the affirmative. I then withdrew the amendment, graing notice that I reserved to myself the right to renew it if I thought proper. I can have no hesitation in stating-what my intention was. It was this: if the bill was allowed to remain as it was, I did not intend to renew my amendment; but, if other doctors went to does it. I meant to try my medicine again. I believe the Senator now underly my medicine again.

Permit me to say, that I differ entirely from the gentlemen on the other side, who have laid down the proposition, that property in slaves stands upon the same foundation as other property mentioned in the constitution. If I had time and opportunity, I might present to the Senator ample authority for the distinction which I has annoance. I might bring judicial decision of the highest authority, from almost every one of the Southern States, to establish the proposition. Slave property is the mere creation of local, municipal law; and when, by consent of its owner, it is removed from the territorial limits of that municipal law, it ceases to be property; the thing then merges into a man; and, although he may after ty, the thing then merges into a man; and, although he may after the too him, he cannot be recaptured and made a slave. I believe that I have one of these decisions before me, and I may refer to it.

Davis vs. Jaqoin, 5 Harris & Johnson, 107. Biewart vs. Oakes, Note, Maryland Court of Appeals, 1813, 3d volume U. S. Digest, p. 172, sec. 175. By the law passed Devember 17, 1792, claps, 103, sec. 3, "slaves which shall herrst-ter be incoght into this Commonwealth, and kept therein one whole year together, or so long at different times as shall monocit toole year, shall be free."

The facts are: This pertitioner was the slave of the defendant, who is a citizen of Maryland, and resided therein prior to 10th of January, 1783, and has resided there ever since. That he owns a stone quarry in the State of Virginia, where he has been in the habit of taking the pertitioner for a number of years past, for the habit of taking the pertitioner for a number of years past, for the re's being in Virginia, in the whole, upwards of one year. The defendant never resided in Virginia, except for the parpose of quarrying stones as aforesaid, and always returned to this State, (where his family constantly remained) as soon as he got a sufficient number of stones to supply his manufactory at Baltimore. The petitioner waver applied to any court of record, or competent tribunal, in Virginia, for the purpose of obtaining his recolour number the laws of that State. He petitioner was always et al. The petitioner was always end thereto by any force or violence. The several times in which the petitioner remained in Virginia were subsequent to the passage of the above-mentioned law of Virginia.

Under his state of facts the Court of Over and Terminer dis-

Under this state of facts the Court of Over and Terminer discharged the prisoner from slavery, and the Court of Appeals confirmed the judgment.

Take that single case, and you have a most foreible illustration of the difference that exists between property in men and property in the case of the

erty in things. Would it be for a moment contended, that the title of the owner of a horse in Virginia would be actinguished if the horse were twelve months out of the State? It is then a decided principle, that this right, instead of being a natural, indeleasible right, is a qualified one, dependent upon the local mannicipal legislation of the government that undortakes to establish it. Not long ago, it was decided in England by Lord Mansifield, that in "Sommersett's" case, the common law and civil law were both against the right over to find a decision of any please to call it; and, wherever it exists, I venture to say, I may challenge its advocates the world over to find a decision of any respectability in which it will not be held, that the right, wherever it exists, exists solely by virtue of the local legislation establishing it; and that, when the individual goes beyond the limits of that legislation, by the contry being qualities?

right in this country being qualified by the provision of the constriction requiring States to surrender lightine slaves.

Without going any further South, I might stand here till the shades of evening should fall upon us, detailing to the Seaate, in language containing the shades of evening should fall upon us, detailing to the Seaate, in language described the standard of the standard standard

Mr. FOOTE.—I did not intend to say any such thing as that imputed to me by the Senator from New Hampshire. I stated that I considered the question as not naturally and properly arising at this time; and I said also that I considered its effect from what severe quarter introduced, would be of a most mischievous charac-

ter; that it would embarrass, as the Senator from Missouri observter; that it would emmarkass, as the senator from missouri observed yesterday, our action upon this bill. I said in addition, that I was mavilling that such agitation of this question should occur here, as would give to heartless demagogues in New England a pretext for that line of conduct which signalizes them, and which pretext for that line of conduct which signalizes them, and which no right minded man and tree patriot would ever think of pursuing. The motives attributed to me by the Senator from New Hampshire would place me upon a level with such company as the Senator—if the newspapers reported him correctly—lead days ago enjoyed in New York, when fraternizing, as I suppose he calls it, with his colored brethren and his colored sisters. Lie ways take what falls from the homorable Senator, eum grano satisfactors are the contract of t —with due allowance, for I know that he has certain interests at home that he is bound to regard; and that there are ectain newspapers constantly applying the lash to him, in order to goad him into the expression of more violent and demunciatory language. against the representatives of the South.

Mr. HALE.—I do not know but that the remarks of the Senator do require some notice at my hands. This is not the first occa-sion on which remarks of a somewhat similar character have been made by the honorable Senator from Mississippi. I believe that I may be permitted to say that I can appeal to every gentleman in the Senate, on both sides of the chamber, that, ever since I have the Senate, on our sides of the chainer, that, ever since I have been a member of the body, I have never on any occasion—in the Senate or out of it—so far forgotten myself as to be wanting in that respect, that courtesy, and that kindness which ought to characterize the intercourse of gentlemen and christians. I believe that, in the hearing of the Senate, I can appeal to every man to bear me witness, that on no occasion, even when standing alone, bear me witness, that on no occasion, even when stamming money my views misrepresented, my principles were more than the my money of the money of th attacks and alusions, such as he has made, whatever their effecting if they had any effect—may have been upon others, they reached not me. And now I leave the honorable Senator. I leave blue secure in the enjoyment of all the glory, all the reputation, and all the self-satisfaction which he may gain here or elsewhere, now or forever, by any such course as that which he has thought proper to pursue.

Mr. FOOTE .- Do I understand the Senator as saying that I demeaned myself?

Mr. HALE .- Very far from it!

Mr. FOOTE.—A single remark. It was only yesterday that we heard from the Senator from New Hampshire a denunciation of the Union. His remarks fell gratingly upon my ears, as I presame they did upon the ears of gentlemen around me. If the Sen-ator considers that when guilty of such conduct he is to be free from rebuke, he has made a great mistake.

Mr. HALE.—I am exceedingly unwilling to make myself the subject of remark before this body. I did not come here for that purpose. But it has been forced upon me, and I must say a word in vindication. The Senator is entirely mistaken when he represents me as denouncing the Union.

Mr. FOOTE.—Did not the Senator say that he would urge his amendment, even if its adoption should lead to the dissolution of the Union 2

Mr. HALE .- No such thing ! I said that on this question we MI. HALE.—No such thing: I shu that on this question we must take a course which commends itself to us as a right—that, having found where the right was, we must abide by it, regardless of consequences—and that if the result should be that which was talked of so much—the dissolution of the Union—if it shall be found that this glorious Union of ours, endeared as it was to us by so many cherished associations, had no other principle of coment but the blood of slavery, let it sander! That is just exactly what I said. Who, then, speaks of repreach upon the constitution? Who rakes up the ashes of the illustrious dead, and pours con-tempt upon the living, but the man who would come forward and declare that the cement of this Union is slavery?

Mr. FOOTE, (in his seat.)—Nobody says it!

Mr. HALE.—Well, then, I have not said that the Union ought to be dissolved. [A langth.] The honorable Senator has undertaken to administer to me a great deal of reproof, and advice, and caution, and perhaps he will think I speak in irrony when I say I thank him—I thank him!—I am not so did or so obstinate that I am not willing to be truth. I surely evince my doculity when I say I from Mississippi but permit me to say in all kindness—send I man no makindness to anylody—I do think that when the Senator from Mississippi the permit of the property of the property of the permit of the say in the say in a late of the say in the say in the say in a late of the say in th Mississippi undertakes to give ndvice, and talk about "windy and gusty harangues" and "antics," and so forth, he mistakes his calling! I think he has need to repeat this line of the poet—

"O! wad some power the giftie gie us, To see ourselves as others see us!"

I think that if he occasionally reflected on that line, he would not

I think that it is consistently featered to that the, he would not be found giving me any lectures again.

Once for all, I desire to say that we have strong convetions upon this subject. We believe that slavery is an evil—a moral, political, social svil. In the expression of that belief we do not go beyond the declaration of many distinguished citizens of the South-I believe that the legislation of this country, from the adoption of the constitution to the present time, has been continually subservient to this institution; and, so far from believing as gentlemen on the other side have said, that they stand upon the defensive, I believe that this legislation has been constantly aggressive. I believe that we are now engaged in a war, costing us more than fifty millions annually, for the perpetuation of this institution. I think I can call witnesses from the other side of the Senate to prove, that at least one gentleman has furnished me with his deposition in perpetual remembrance of this fact. But 1 do not need it. The fact stands out so boldly in the history of the country, that neither the present age nor posterity can be in any doubt with regard to it.

I have trespassed longer than I intended upon the attention of the Senate. The subject is by no means a pleasant one to me; but, unpleasant as it is, so long as I have the honor of a seat upon this floor, I shall on every fitting occasion—of which I myself must be the judge—within the rule of the Senate, and that propriety and decorum which become a body of gentlemen, introduce any thing that, in my judgment, falls within the range of legislation, be it "justificrous" or not—let it endanger the success of any ticket—be it illustrious or ignoile; and when I oldend against the proprieties of this place, or that decorum which should prevail amongst men, I am willing to submit to whatever rebuke the Senate in its wis-dom may see proper to administer. But because this is an unpalatable, unwholesome, and pestiferous subject to the minds of some men, is there to be freedom of debate on every other subject, and for every other man but myself on this subject, and to me that freedom is to be denied? No, sir; and I only regret that there have not been others, abler and older men, to raise their voices be-fore the Senate, speaking out what I know and what they know to be the deep convictions of their constituents on this subject. I to be undergo considered the constituents of this singlet. I regret that this great issue, upon which the destinies of the country are dependent, should by the force of circumstances, or the sense of propriety of those around me, be left in such feelbe hands. But unequal as I am to the task, feeble as are my powers, over-But unequal as I am to the task, reeme as are my powers, over-whelming as are the odds against me, entertaining these convic-tions, I must press them upon the consideration of the American Senate and the American people. Permit me to say, in no sprit of intumidation or menace, that the people are a vast way ahead of any of those who talk here upon this floor on this subject. A deep feeling on this subject sways the hearts of the American peodeep feeling on this subject sways the hearts of the American people—a feeling which is gathering strength, and never can be repressed! In the Empire State, the heart of the young democracy has been toched—they have arisen, with the strength of another Samson, and have snapped asonder like burning tow the withes with which they have been bound. And where is that other giant of the West, that stretches itself in the peaceful valley of the Ohio? A feeling is swelling in men's hearts there, the strength and importance of which are but little appreciated here. Perhaps the extent and depth of that growing tide of popular sentiment will not be fully developed until the last experiment be made on their condrance, in the nomination of him whose fame has been acquired in a war which they detest.

I leave this subject for the present. It certainly cannot be more

I leave this subject for the present. It certainly cannot be more unpleasant for the Senate to listen to me, than it is to me to be compelled to utter these truths here. I am sensible that these poor efforts of mine, repeated, as the honorable Senator from Mississippi has said, tautologically, over and over again, are irksome and wearisome. I would to God that some other man might rise and wearsome. I would to foot that some other main higher ise up, not belonging to the ranks of a proscribed few—might rise up here to advocate these great truths! Would to Heaven that some other man might rise up and speak, so that the Senate and the nation should know that when he spoke his State and his party spoke. tion should know that when he spoke his State and his party spoke. Those truths which are so unwelcome, coming from a man whose party subjects him only to the scorn of Senators. If I am running the race of popularity, I have chosen a stranger oad to it. Let me assure gentlemen that there is no office within the gift of the Executive or the people that I solicit. Never shall I condescend to flatter popular prejudice or popular passion. I shall content myself with the enuncation of what I believe to be the truth upon such occasions as my own judgment may commend, willing to bide we tries and await the consumerors. my time and await the consequences.

Mr. FOOTE.-The Senator speaks of being instructed by me. I can only say to him, that if I should undertake the task of in-structing him—an office of which I am not at all ambitious—I beheve every Senator will concur with me in the opinion that I must despair of ever being able to teach the Senator to demean himself in the manner that most appropriately becomes a Senator of the United States,

On motion,

The Senate then adjourned.

## FRIDAY, JUNE 2, 1848.

#### REPORTS FROM THE TREASURY DEPARTMENT.

The President pro tempore laid before the Senate a report of the Secretary of the Treasury, made in compliance with a resolution of the Senate, relative to the causes which have delayed the erection of a light-house on Exception Rock; which was read, and ordered to be printed.

The President pro tempore laid before the Senate a report of the Secretary of the Treasury, accompanied by a report, by Professor R. S. McCulloh, of scientific researches on hydrometers, prepared under the direction of the superintendent of weights and measures; which was read

## On metion by Mr. DOWNS, it was

Ordered, That ten thousand copies thereof be printed for the "Oracrea, that ten thousand copies thereof be printed for the use of the Senate, together with a reprint of the three former documents or reports which relate to the same series of scientific researches on sugar, hydrometers, &c., made by Professor McCalloh, under the superintendence of Professor A. D. Bache.

#### PETITIONS.

Mr. CALHOUN presented a memorial of merchants and other citizens of Charleston, South Carolina, praying that a contract entered into between the Postmaster General and certain citizens of Charleston for carrying the mail between that place and Havana, in Cuba, may be approved by Congress; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Reads.

Mr. HUNTER presented the petition of John R. Bryan, ad-ministrator of Isaac Garretson, deceased, late a purser in the na-vy, praying the reimbursement of money expended for office rent; which was referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

Mr. BREESE presented the petition of Mary Ann Bronaugh, widow of a deceased volunteer officer in the Mexican war, praying to be allowed a pension ; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions

On motion by Mr. WESTCOTT, it was Ordered, That Bancroft Woodcock have leave to withdraw cer-tain original papers filed with his petition, upon depositing in their stead copies of the same.

### AMERICAN FLAG FROM MEXICO.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, presented to the Senate a flag transmitted by General Twiggs, being the first national flag of the United States raised on the palace in the city of Mexico.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi.—General Twiggs, of the United States army has transmitted to me for presentation a flag. It de-rives its importance from the fact, that it is the first flag that was raised over the fortress of the city of Mexico after the capture of that city-one of the most brilliant achievements that history rethat city—one of the most brilliant achievements that history re-ords. Our troops, from the time of marching to the Rio Grande up to this last and great event of the war, were every where met by immense and overwhelming numbers of troops, disciplined and armed hist themselves. Though this disparity of numbers, like that which renders some of the their condities memorable, of it-that which renders some of the size of the condities memorable, of itself reflects honor upon the American name, yet the success of our arms is the more to be admired from the fact, that the dispa-rity is rendered still greater by the means of warfare that is emrity is rendered still greater by the means of warfare that is employed. Formerly, when troops fought with the pike and javelin, they were compelled to approach so near each other, in order to render effective these small missiles as to bring the opposing ranks almost in contact. But now the immense range which artillery gives to operations on the battle field, affords to that army which has the operations on the battle field, affords to that army which has the variance, and under those converging fires which they may bring to ben't upon the enemy, the smaller force is obliged to hight at great disadvantage. Still the proad heart of the American soldier qualled not, and in every encounter our troops were victorigreat disadvantago. Still the prond heart of the American soldier qualied not, and in every encounter our trops were victorious. It is not my purpose now, however, to dwell on the events of the eampaign, but merely to present this flag, which is associated with one of the most brilliant events of the war, and hears upon it the marks of the balls of the enemy, and ask that such action shall be taken as the Senate may deem proper.

Mr. DAYTON.—It is thought to be proper, I believe, this flag having been presented; that some resolution should be proposed for the purpose of indicating the proper action to be taken in regard to it. By a reference to the course of proceeding which has been adopted in past cases, I find that it has been extomary to deposit flags taken from the enemey in the Department of War, and that it has been extomary to deposit any thing of this kind in the Department of State. I believe the sword of Washington was deposited there. A flag presented by the government of France at an early day to this government, was deposited there. I have no resolution prepared at my table, but I beg to suggest to the Senate a resolution of this character.

Resolved, That the President of the Senate be requested to have the flag which is now presented, denosited in the Department of State.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi .- I wish barely to remark to my Monorable friend that this is a case without a precedent. It is not a trophy of war to go to the War Department, nor anything presented to the federal government by another power, which would make it appropriately belong to the Department of State. It is the flag which your own army has sent home as a mement of the many battle-fields upon which it has been borne trumphantly; and many patterness upon which in his been note distillant achievement by which the capital of the enemy was wen. It has been sent, not to be buried in any of the departments, but I trust to be hung conspienously some where under the action of the Senate

Mr. DAYTON.—I shall certainly be most happy to concur in any suggestion the henorable Senator from Mississippi may make in regard to it, but I did suppose that a depository worthy of the sword of Washington, might perhaps not he unworthy of the flag that was first raised by our army in the capital of Mexice. Even, sir, the memorial presented by a concept generation of the property of pro presented by our gailant army in Mexico. But it any place more appropriate be suggested it will review my hearty concourrence. None can appreciate the offering more highly than I do. I will most cheerfully concur, therefore, in any suggestion that may be made by the Senator from Mississippi, or any other gentleman to indicate a more fitting place of deposit. May I beg that the subject may be passed over for the present, in order that a resolution was be recovered? may be prepared?

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi .- I have no objection to that. But I certainly think that the Senate ought to take the flag under its own charge.

The further consideration of the subject was then postponed until to-morrow.

#### PORTRAIT OF BARON DE KALB.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, from the Committee on the Libra-y, to whom was referred the letter of William Brent, submitted the following resolution for consideration:

Resolved by the Senate, (the House of Representatives concurring.) That the por-trait of Major General the Bason de Kalh, presented by his surviving family, be placed in the Library of Congress.

### THE FLORIDA TREATY.

Mr. WEBSTER, from the Committe on Foreign Relations, to Mr. WEBSIER, from the Committee on Foreign Relations, to whom was referred the bill further to carry into effect the provisions and stipulations of the 9th article of the Florida treaty with respect to certain losses of Spanish subjects in West Florida, reported the same without amendment, and submitted a report on

On motion by Mr. WEST COTT, it was

Ordered. That the report be printed, and that five hundred additional copies be printed for the use of the Senate.

### MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT.

The following message was received from the President of the United States, by Mr. WALKER, his Secretary:

Mr. President: The President of the United States approved and signed, the 31st May, the following acts:

An act to provide for the purchase of the manuscript papers of the late James Mad ison a former President of the United States.

An act concerning Spanish steam vessels.

An act for the relief of Reynolds May.

An act for the relief of Edward Bolon.

An act for the relief of Samuel W. Bell, a native of the Cherokee nation.

An act for the relief of Thomas Brownell,

### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE,

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. CAMPBELL, their Clerk :

Mr. President: The Speaker of the House of Representatives having signed an en-rolled bill, I am directed to bring it to the Senate, for the signature of their President.

## SIGNING OF A BILL.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore signed the enrolled bill to refund money for expenses incurred, subsistence or transportation furnished for the use of volunteers during the present war before being mustered and received into the service of the United States.

### CLERKS IN THE DEPARTMENTS.

The bill from the House of Representatives regulating the ap-

pointment of the clerks in the executive departments, and for other purposes, was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Finance.

#### OCEAN MAILS.

The Senate proceeded to consider their amendments to the bill of the House of Representatives to amend the act to provide for transportation of the mail between the United States and foreign countries, and for other purposes, amended and disagreed to by the House of Representatives, and, it was

Ordered, That they be referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads, and that the amendments be printed.

#### THE OREGON BILL.

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill to establish the territorial government of Oregon.

The question pending was upon the motion of Mr. BRIGHT to amend the bill by striking out the 12th section.

Mr BRIGHT.—When the bill was under discussion yesterday, I maved to strike our the 12th section, under the expectation that the motion would lead to no delatte, and that the action of the Senate upon the bill, instead of being retarded, would be hastened. But being disappointed in this expectation, and being satisfied that there is nothing in that section which is contrary to the rights of citizens of the United States, I now withdraw that motion, and shall insist upon the vote being taken upon the bill as it stands.

Mr. BERRIEN.—I am very desirous myself to avoid the discussions which I think will be the inevitable result of the course which seems now about to he taken; and with the view if possible to accomplish that object, I renew the motion which has been just withdrawn by the honorable Senator from Indiana, to strike out the 12th section, and upon that question I ask for the yeas and navs.

They were ordered.

Mr. WESTCOTT.—I beg leave, before entering into the discussion of the main question, and before the vote is taken on the motion to strike out the 12th section, to make one or two suggestions as to matters that have not been adverted by any Senator, and which have no reference to the subject of slavery.

This section adopts all the laws of the association called the "Provisional government of Oregon," contrived in that country in 1845 by the residents there, comprised of citizens of the United States and British subjects, and half-breed Indians, employees of the Hudson's Bay Company, before the treaty was made, and while the country was in the joint occupation of the United States and Great Britain under the old conventions. No law, either of and Great Britain under the old conventions. No law, either of this government or that of Great Britain, authorized this provis-ional government, or those laws. Are Senators prepared to adopt all these laws in this way? I ask Senators do they know what these laws are? Have they read them at all? We are called on to confirm and adopt them all by wholesale, in a lump! It seems the Committee on Territories that reported this bill had not these laws before them. Some of the committee of the confirmation laws before them! Some of the committee, at any rate, had no knowledge of some of their provisions, particularly that excluding slavery, till I directed notice to them on this floor on Wednesday last. But I refer to all these laws of every kind, to their provis-ions on every subject, and particularly to that read on yesterday by the Scnator from Indiana, [Mr. BRIGHT,] excluding slavery Senators in favor of adopting them, must, I think, not know their purport and effect. They cannot have examined them and ascertained what they are. They have not been fully submitted to us in such mode and form that we can ascertain what they are Sir, some of them, I know, are of a very peculiar and extraordinary character. There is authority given in their organic regulations to their legislature to pass some of a novel character in this country. Under the sumptuary" Under that authority, I am informed, they have established law there. They have prohibited the introduction, by sea or by land, of ardent spirits into Oregon, and authorized it to be seized and destroyed in a summary way.

### Mr. HALE .- Good !

Mr. WESTCOTT —The Senator from New Hampshire says "good" He would, perhaps, vote for such a law. It will not discuss the point with him; but what will be say, when he is informed that the same law authorizes a kind of Lynch court, without a regular prosecution and jury trial, to punish the person accused of its violation. How this law can be enforced consistently with the impost laws and other laws of the United States, will be adifficult question to be naveraged to the state of th

And I present another view of this subject. Suppose it should be found, on an examination of these territorial laws of this "provisional government" of Oregon, that they had attempted to establish a religion there connected with the government—that they had excluded Catholicism, Presbyterianism, or Methodism, and

adopted Mormonism as the established religion of Oregon, by express law. Can Scatators says they have not done so? The Santon is atterly uninformed respecting it. The provisional government had just as much power and right to do thus, as thad to
exclude slavery. Both are acts of sovereignty. Sir, if they had
the power to exrlude slavery, they had the power to exclude every
religious sect except the Mormons. Had not Senators as well
look to those laws carefully before they adopt add confirm them by
a clause of eight lines in this 12th section. By sanctioning these
laws in this blind and lumping way, we may be giving force to such
ennetments, and to all sorts of enactments, equally irreconcilable
to the policy and principles of our institutions. I have booked at"provisional government." I find their legislature or council is
expressly anthorized by it to exercise all the attributes of sovereignty of an independent nation! It can "declare war !"—regalate the Indians!—" regulate the currency!—and imports and
exports in every way; and the occupants, who made this or
gainc law, American, British, and half-breed Indians, have
parcelled out the public lands among themselves in tracts of 640
acres each, in a most liberal and statesmanlike mode! Why, sir,
it has been denied that the sovereign States can pass such laws,
and yet we are asked to sanction and confirm! Why, sir,
it has been denied that the sovereign States can pass such laws,
and yet we are asked to sanction and confirmed in provisional
government," and to confirm all the rights claimed to be derived
from them, and in a lump, and blindly! How much of the public
lands in Oregon will remain, worth any thing, if these laws are
confirmed, is a proper inquiry that we should have answered, before we pass this bill as now presented. I trust Senators will re-

Again, there is a prelimmary question to which I desire to direct the attention of the Senate, and which, in my humble judgment, is an important one. What is this "provisional government?" From whence is its authority derived? What competent power authorized it? Who formed it? It is a mere voluntary association of individuals—squatters and settlers on the public domain there—some Americans—some British subjects—the employees of the Hudson's Bay Company—Scotch, Canadians, Bois Bruilees or half-breed Indians, and foreigners of all nations, alleans to the United States, who have patched up a few regulations for their own conduct, and to enable them to get hold of the best lands in advance of the unjet hepople, some subjects of monarchical governments, we are invoked to swallow at one moutful. They clothe themselves with all the high attributes of sovereignty, and we are called on to sanction the assumption, and without full samination of their acts. By such course we make their acts our acts. It should be recollected also that this provisional government was made partly by British allens residing north of 49°, before we gave up all between 49° and 54° 40°. They helped to make these regulations. If would, it seems to me, be quite as well to adopt the charter of the Hudson's Bay Company at one and perhaps it would be in as good keeping with the whole business. So far from the tent of the nears of the British Parliament relating to that country, and we never passed any law on the subject. These laws prever received the sanction of this government, any wise.

laws never received the sanction of this government in any wise. The "provisional government," it is said, was formed from ne-cessity. Be it so. When that necessity ceases, the government should cease and give place to a legally constituted government. It is to my mind preposterous to ratify and adopt their loose and fugitive legislation in this way. That the association was formed before the treaty was made, and while Oregon was in the joint occupation of the United States and Great Britain, and by the stragglers there of all nations and of no nation, is enough for me stragglets the state of the sta begged to adopt, ratify, and sanction this government, and all of its laws, and yield all the rights that may be claimed under them; and without satisfactory knowledge of them or of their effect. Sir I repeat, without reference at all to the question of slavery-if that was stricken out, I, for one, have no idea of doing this. about slavery in this bill is made right-if an express provision is inserted allowing it in Oregon, the proposition to adopt all the laws made there by the occupants of that country, more than half of whom were subjects of a foreign power, occupying also a country since cut off from Oregon and given to the English, is a montry since cut oil from Oregon and given to the Englist, is a mon-strone proposition. I cannot give it my support under any circum-stances. I would as soon think of adopting the laws of a hand of Indians for a territorial government established in the country where they reside. I have deemed it my duty to make these suggestions, and I do trust Senators will not hastily render the cry of "great necessity for immediato action" and so forth, ratify and sanction this "provisional government" and its laws. I defer remarks on the other question, that of slavery, till a more proper time will arrive for the expression of my views and opinions at length. I would observe that the amendment I propose, by the adoption of the Judiciary Committee hill, modifies and qualifies the adoption of these laws so as to avoid ell difficulties. It continues them till the end of the first session of the legislature, abrogating all inconsistent with the principles and provisions of the constitution and laws of the United States and of the bill itself.

Mr. TURNEY.—I regret very much that this motion has been withdrawn by the Senator from Indiana. I had thought when he

made the motion to strike out the 12th section, that it was upon the principle of establishing a common ground or platform upon which the North and South might meet. That it was intended to leave this question of slavery to be regulated by the people of that territory in such manner as suited their wishes; to leave to that people the power to regulate their own institutions. This I thought was a principle upon which all might rally and all might stand, as upon one common ground. But he has thought proper to with the water that what was the motion and now the motion is under the Southern draw that motion, and now the motion is made by a Southern man, and for the first time since the foundation of the government we are to have a sectional vote on the question of slavery, with the exception of a few Southern men perhaps voting with the North upon that question. What, then, is to be our position, if we stand thus divided here? If the question is to be forced upon us in this way, what is to be the effect of it in the territory of Oregon, when you force Southern men to say that they have Oregon, when you torce Southern men was that you have common platform on which they can meet the people of the North?
We are told by the democracy of the Iree States that there is no common ground on which they can meet us on this question of slavery. They cannot meet us upon democratic principles. But it appears that we are to assume the principle here, that upon the appears that we disclose such that you have no organization of a territorial government, that you will exclude all the citizens of the South from the enjoyment of their property in such territory. Why, sir, this is monstrous—it is alarming, and gentlemen will feel its consequences in November, if they force the question upon us in the shape in which it now is, according to their localities. I had hoped that a common platform had been fixed upon which all might rally and stand by mutual consent. But, sit, we are now to violate that principle, and establish the princi-ple, upon the organization of a territorial government, of excludple, upon the organization of a territorial government, of excluding a portion of the citizens of the United States from the privilege of going into territory belonging to the United States and there enjoying their property. Sir, you are about to make this a paramount question in all future elections. You will make every thing else depend upon and yield to it. I appeal to Senators to reconsider their determination and to permit this section to be stricken out; for if we cannot meet upon this common ground, this equitable and just ground, we can meet on none. I hope the section will be stricken out; for if it be not, I shall be compelled to vote against the bill. I can vote for no bill in which such a provision is contained. Whenever it is assumed here to exclude slavery by direct legislation, I shall take my leave; I can participate in no such legislation. I had hoped the motion, coming from the quarter it did, would have been persisted in and sustained, and that all would have nitted in allowing the people of the territory that all would have united in allowing the people of the territory of Oregon to regulate their own institutions according to their own inclination—placing the matter upon the ground of non-inter-ference, and enabling the North and South harmonicusly to unite. elerence, and enabling the North and South narmonicisty to music the question has come, and perhaps it is as well that it should come now as at any other period, although I had begin it would come not have been forced upon upon the common the common the common the common so forced upon the conditions of the common the common so forced upon the common so expects a slave ever to breathe in that territory. It is the princi-ple, therefore, that we contend for, and which I had hoped would have been maintained, for if that principle is to be abandoned and make been manusaned, for it that principle is to be abandoned and nothing else proposed to be substituted for it, upon which the North and South can meet as upon common ground, then we shall be completely at sea. It cannot be expected that the South is to yield every thing, nor will she. If you have the power to adopt this course of legislation, compelling this to be the exclusive ques-tion in future elections, it will not be very hard, I think, to predict what will be the result. what will be the result.

Mr. BADGER.—Before the question is taken upon the motion now pending before the Senate, I desire, in a very brief manner, to state the grounds upon which I shall give my vote for retaining the 12th section of the bill. If I understand the state of the case it is simply this: the inhabitants of the territory of Oregon, in consequence of Congress having passed no law to establish a territorial government there, were left in a situation absolutely requiring that some volinatary organization, by which they could have the protection of law, should be established. They have consequently organized themselves under the direction of what is called a provisional government, and that provisional government called a provisional government mader the authority of the United States. The effect of the passage of this bill, if the particular clause in question is struckee out, will, as I apprehend, be clearly this: that all the legislation established by this provisional government of the treitory. Congress is onese, ipso facto, upon this bill receiving the sanation of the treritory in its previous condition and in its now present condition, must instantly ecase, ipso facto, upon this bill receiving the sanation of the President; and the consequence will be, that the people of the territory when beleft, not only without any local government, as they have heretofore been, but without the privilege which they have heretofore enjoyed of making regulations for their which they have heretofore in the security and peace of the country, for enforcing the rights of its entires, and for punishing violations of those laws, will be an act of usurpation, an act illegal and oid in itself. Months will probably elapse before any steps can be taken under this law in that remote and distant part of the country.

effectual operation. It seems to me, therefore, to result, necessarily, that Congress must, if a due regard is to be paid to the incrests of those people, introduce some provision to save them from the inconvenient and dangerons situation in which the passage of this law, without such provision, would necessarily place them. They have adopted certain laws, and we must either, by a provision in this bill, give temporary effect and force to the whole system of laws which they have established, or we must, if we legislate on the subject at all, make a selection from those laws giving force and effect to some, and excluding from force and effect others of which we do not approve.

laws giving force and effect to some, and excluding from force and effect others of which we do not approve.

If I understand the objections which are urged by the Senator from Georgia to this provision of the bill, it is this: the provisional government has established a prohibition against the introduction of slavery into the territory. And it is supposed the retaining this provision in the bill, will bare the effect of extending the ordinance of 1787 over that territory. In the first place, I apprehend that in the sense in which that ordinance operates in the territors over which it has been extended, and to which it was originess over which it has been extended, and to which it was originess over which it has been extended, and to which it was originess over which it has been extended, and to which it was originess. really applications and the control of the control the legislation and render all the municipal regulations of those states and territories subordinate to this particular prohibition Whether it be so or not in regard to the territory to which it originally applied, it is certain, as I apprehend, that this 12th section will give no such effect and operation to that ordinance as it that will give a scale elect and operation to that ordinance as it stands among the laws of the provisional government of Oregon. If this bill is passed without this provision its whole authority ceases instanter. The bill proposes to give a certain degree of validity to it by the provision contained in the 12th section. But the effect of that section is, to fix upon the territory no provision of that sort, to embarrass it with no irrepealable act of legislaof that sort, to embarrass it with no irrepealable act of legisla-tion, but to give to this, as to every other of the laws adopted by the people of the territory, the simple effect and operation of mu-nicipal regulations, to be modified, altered, or repealed, at the pleasure of the territorial government. For myself, I have no particular solicitude whether this provision be put in the bill or left cut, but I can see no objection to its remaining in the bill, for it leaves to the people of the territory in this, as well as in other particulars, the regulation of their own affairs. I do not adopt the notion entertained by some gentlemen, and gentlemen too of very high eminence and consideration in this country, that Convery high eminence and consideration in this country, that Con-gress has no rightful authority to legislate for a territory. On the contrary, I believe that Congress has full, supreme, sovereign power to legislate for the territories. I believe that it has the right to pass any regulation to be applied to the territories acquir-ed by the United States, and that any regulation is within the power of Congress which Congress seems proper to adopt. I do not hold, therefore, that the inhabitants of a territory have any inherent right at their own will and pleasure to act in opposition to the superior power and better judgment of Congress in regulating this or any other subject. While they continue in their territorial sate, in my indement they are subject to the suprementation of the Congress of the United States, as representing the sovereignty designated by the term "United States of America," to which the territories are ceded, or by which they are acquired. But while I hold this doctrine, and do not believe that it would be an usurpus-tion of power in Congress to undertake to regulate this matter, I still think it is more according to the genius of our system of government to allow the inhabitants of the territories to establish their own municipal rules and regulations, than that we should undertake to assume the direction of there into our own hands; and that when we have said, that the particular regulation here adopted shall be enforced as the municipal law of the territory autil altered by competent legislative authority, we have done what involves no volation of principles; we have done what is entirely consistent with our notions of liberty, entirely consistent with those elementary principles upon which our institutions are founded; and that Congress has but restrained as far as justice re-quires, irregular legislation on the part of the territory. With ese views I am disposed to vote, and shall vote, for retaining these views I am disposed to vote, and shall vote, for retaining this section in the bill; at the same time, if it had been the continued disposition and pleasure of the gentleman who has charge of the bill, and the determination of the Senate by common consent, to leave out that provision, I should have had no objection. I do not coosider that it is indispensably necessary, but when called upon to vote on the question, whether it shall be stricken out, perceiving no error in the provision, and believing it under the circumstances to be expedient, I shall vote for retuning it.

Mr. RUSK.—I regret that the honorable Senator from Indiana thought proper to withdraw his motion; for I saw in that motion a ground upon which we might all meet, and harmomously proceed in giving to the territory of Oregon a government. In my opinion, the Senator from North Carolina is greatly mistaken, if he suppose positioned and the section it repeals any law of all laws that the web below it. It is a general principle of law, that all laws that have below enacted remain in force unless specially repealed. The 15th section of this act is in these words:

SEC, 15. And be it further enested. That all suits, process, and proceedings, civil and criminal, at law and in chancery, and all indictments and informations, which shall be predigg and under erraned in the control stabilished by authority of the provisional government of Orgon, within the hinits of eard territory, when this actifialt

take effect shall be transferred to be heard, bried, prosecuted, and determined in the obstact contra bordy ansallable, which may installed, set the countees or districts where any such proceedings may be pending. All bounded the counter of the c

Here every thing is provided for relating to the exil and criminal administration of justice, and the 12th section is neither more nor exit and the section of the control of the control

Mr. BADGER.—The honorable Senator misunderstood me. I did not mean that we were leaving them no power to legislate; but, that by striking out the 12th section and adopting this bill without it, we annihilate their enactments and leave them without any.

Mr. RUSK—I differ from the honorable Senator. We do not repeal their laws; there is not a single word to that effect in this bill; and it is a general principle, that all laws continue to exist until they are specially rapealed, or expire by limitation. The libth section is sufficient to keep them in force, and the 12th section is entirely useless, unless it be thought necessary to bring up this vexed question.

Mr. WESTCOTT asked for the reading of some of the enactments of the provisional government of Oregon.

They were read by the Secretary.

Mr. BUTLER .- If I were not a member of the Committee on Territories, I would not again trespass on the attention of the Senate. But it is evident that the 12th section of this bill does not appear with the sanction of a full meeting of that committee. Yesterday I made some remarks explanatory of the relation which I sustain to the bill. It was under discussion before the committee on one occasion when there were but three or four present; and since I have reflected on the facts, I have become entirely and sinker that Penecocu on the tasts, I make become entirely satisfied that I was uncompromisingly opposed to that section of the bill, and insisted on its being struck out. It was retained, and came here with the sunction of only two members of the com-mittee, in conflict with the principle of a bill deliberately prepared and well digested by one of the ablest committees that ever reported on a subject of this kind-I allude to the bill reported by the Judiciary Committee at the last session. In my apprehension the provisions of that bill were entirely unexceptionable; and yet with a view of avoiding the agitation of this subject, I yielded yesterday to the suggestion that if the amendments were withdrawn, this section might be struck out, so that all objection might be obviated, which might come from any section of this confed ey; leaving to the inhabitants of Oregon, in the organization of the government which we are now about to give them, the entire control of the subject, within the rightful limits of territorial juris tion, without indicating by definition or description what those limits shall be. To say in advance that they shall not allow slavery to exist, or to impose a restriction, if not wrong in itself, is odicus in its intendment. It is more than probable that ultimately the people of Oregon will have no such institution as slavery among them; and that, too, under physical laws more powerful in influence than any that we can impose. What laws their territorial legislature may think proper hereafter to pass, I will not anticiregishated any units proper "acreated" to pass, 2 wir hot ancer-pate. It is only when they become a State that they have a per-fect jurisdiction on the subject. It is not likely that Congress will ever, under its revising power, exercise a controlling influers over the legislature of the territory. All that we of the south now ask is, that no principle, by implication, may be engrafted on our legislation to be held up as a precedent, or as a rebuking conssion, upon other and more real occasions, involving our interests Here my friend from North Carolina, for whose opinion as a lawyer lentertain high respect, rises up and contends that blindly, without in recognize the legislation of the provisional government without a full knowledge of it. That is a blind faith to which I shall never tall knowledge of it. That is a bland latth to which I shall never vield on any occasion. I am quite willing to leave them the right to adopt hereafter the laws which they may have made, but I can not go to the extent which is now proposed, and recognise their right by the power incident to, or inherent in, as some contended; their territorial, condition to exclude slavery. An important principle, it will be seen, is here involved; and I cannot consent to a We have principle which I regard as an utter heresy. right to legislate for territories, and we can exercise it either through the agency of Congress, or through delegated authority conferred upon the territorial government. But in the present case it is maintained that the right belongs exclusively to the territorial government, and that we are to be bound by its action. I can never concar in that opinion. It is a convenient proposition I admit. I will not say that it is likely to enter into the political canvass for the Presidency. I have no doubt that by many the opinion is honestly entertained, but I would be guilty of treason to up with the contests of the day for the Presidency. I trust I shall ever give up my birth-right for a mess of pottage.

Mr. BADGER .- I intend to occupy only a few minutes in reply to my friends from Texas and North Carolina. My friend from Texas supposes that without any recognition by Congress of from texas supposes and tribude any the people of this territory, they will nevertheless continue in force until they shall be repealed some legislative authority. And he supposes further, that the fifteenth section of the bill does precisely, by necessary implication, that which the twelfth section does in express terms. Putting these two propositions together, I must confess that I was sur prised to hear my friend say that we stood in a different posi-tion in relation to the subject which produces the objection, by striking out the twelfth section. If he be correct in the proposition that without any sanction, direct or implied, our part, these regulations continue in face as laws multility we altered or repealed, why, then, by voting for a bill which contains no repeal or disapprobation of any one of these laws, he does indirectly keep them all in force; and how has he avoided the difficulty which he supposes to exist in retaining the twelfth section? And, again, if the filtenths vection of this bill impliedly recognizes and establishes the laws now in force until they are repealed, does not my friend see that the subject stands precisely in the same attitude, that the law has precisely the same legal effect, as if the twellth section were retained? There is no conceivable difference in the effect and operation of an implied sanction of an act, at d an express sanction of it. If the saaction be necessary to give validity and an implied sanction be given, the validity is given. If it be not necessary to give sanction to the act, it follows as a necessary consequence, that with, or without it, it is in force. Nor is it true that the particular provision to which the Senator has re ferred, says nothing about any body of law, that may have been established there. But if he will look to that sanction in connection with the position which he himself has laid down, it follows according to my apprehension, as the direct and inevitable con-sequence that in my friend's view of the case, the striking out or retention of the twelfth section of the bill, is a matter of perfect indifference. But how is the fifteenth section an establishment of existing laws? It is a just and proper sequence from the provision made in the twelfth section. The twelfth section adopts provision made in the twelfth section. The twelfth section ado the laws, and the fifteenth proceeds to declare that processes a proceedings in courts of justice, may be taken in courts established by this act, for the purpose of giving validity to these existing laws. It may be true, that the filteenth section standing by itself would have the force of law to carry into effect all municipal reg laws ulations there. If so, why object to the twelfth section, which duations liter. It so, way soper to the twenth section, when does the same thing in terms. I hope that my friend from South Carolina, does not understand me as saying that there is an inherent right or authority in these torritories, to set themselves above congressional legislation. My doctrine upon that subject is directly the contrary

Mr. BUTLER.—I did not understand the Senator as taking that ground. But I remarked that in my opinion, such an infler evence might be drawn from his reasoning. My essential objectives, that he was a support of the senator of a country by squatters, could exclude any except those who acceded to the terms which they prescribed.

Mr. BADGER .- I look upon the territories of the United States, whether acquired by dession or conquest, as subject absolutely to the legislative authority of Congress. I hold that they have no power of legislation, except that which is conferred upon Congress, with every limitation and restraint that ( gress thinks proper to interpose; and that it is in the power of Congress at any and at all times, while they continue as ries, with or without reservation, in the law observation to right to repeal or abolish any laws they please, st with or without reservation, in the law establishing the terriplying their place with any other they may deem proper. om my friend from Texas, in the supposition that these regula tions will have the force of law after the passage of this act unless To make that follow, you must have a condition of things in which some legally existing government, existed previous things in which some legally existing government, existed previous to the time when you passed the act, extending your legislation over it. But in my view, these regulations in Oregon exist only by mere sufferance. The people of Oregon, without the permission of this government, had no power to establish any government. Their regulations sprung out of the necessities of the ease, and existed by sufferance, not by right. The moment, therefore, that Congress exercises its constitutional power in the establishment of a government, the sufferance under which they before acted is at an end, and every regulation is necessarily swept away unless Congress recognizes it and gives it force and validity. I do not suppose that my friend from South Carolina imagined that it would follow as a consequence from my position that the people of Oregon could claim as a right the negotiation of the recognition which they had established. My friend permitted humself, I think, to they had established. My friend permitted himself, I think, to get a little excited in speaking on this subject. I deny the right of these people to have any of their laws recognized and put in force. We have an absolute power to sweep all from existence and replace them by such as we think proper. But the the question of power and the question of expediency are different. people of Oregon, in consequence of our omission-I will not say our neglect, for I mean nothing of reproach upon Congress, an am aware of the circumstances which prevented our action at the last session—but in consequence of our omission to act, were thrown into a condition in which self-preservation required the

adoption of certain regulations. The gentleman from Florida has adoption of certain regulations. The gentleman from Florida has alluded to the pomp and circumstance with which they have established their fundamental law providing for the right of declaring war, and many other things, appropriate only for the condition of an independent people. All these regulations are of course utterly void. The land is ours. It can be given away only by us. The power of war and peace is vested in us by the constitution of the United States, and can be vested by the second transfer of the Privace States. United States, and can be vested by us nowhere else. Every reg ulation of that territory is reduced in its recognition by the twelfth section of this bill to a mere municipal regulation, subject to re-peal at any moment when the proper authority deems it expedient. pasl at any moment when the proper authority deems it expedient. My friend from South Carolina savs, that in giving effect to these regulations without examination in detail, we may give effect to laws which are unjust. Ferhaps we may. But does my friend propose that we should institute any such particular examination of these laws, selecting from them such as we may approve? Certainly not. Every law which is contrary to the constitution of the United States, or to any law of the United States applicable to the subject, or to any provision contained in this bill, is of course utterly void. I confess then I have not been able to see the force of any objections that have been urged to the twelfth section. I should indeed have been perfectly willing to strike it out by comshould indeed have been perfectly willing to strike it out by common consent in order to prevent exciting debate. Certainly no such debate shall grow up by any thing I shall say, but when the friends of the bill insist on retaining this section, to which I can see no objection, I shall vote for it.

Mr. RUSK.—I have but a few words to say. I do not understand my friend from North Carolina as controverting the proposition which he hald down as ta the laws of the counterways.

stand my friend from North Carolina as controverting the propo-sition which he laid down as to the laws of the country remaining in force until they are altered or repealed, whatever may be the change of jurisdiction. Nor is the objection which he rauses in my opinion well founded, that these laws are by this fifteenth section recognized, and that if they wanted validity that section gives it to them, and that, therefore, that section does by implication that which the twill have described. which the twelfth does directly. I do not agree with my friend by any means, that the operation of the two sections would be in of the same. In my opinion it is impossible to avoid the con-elusion, that by the twelfth section we affirm the principle which the people of Oregon have incorporated in their laws in reference the people of Oregon have incorporated in their laws in reference to the subject of siavery. Why not postpone this evil day if we can avoid it? According to the admissions of all, there is not exessity whatever for the admissions of all, there is not exest whatever for the admissions of all, there is not exest whatever for the admissions of all, there is not exest. My principle is non-interference. I do not wish either to force or to restrict slavery. But I shall not go into an argument on that question. The fifteenth section does all that is required; and with regard to difficulty. I hope that the friends of the bill will reconsider their determination, and let this vexed question remain in abeyance. I cannot vote for any proposition excluding the citizens of the country from any part of the United States.

excitoning neocursors.

States. U.S.—Mr. President: I have a few remarks to submit of the questions raised by this bill, and I may as well offer them at this time as at any other fer them at this time as at any other question of slavery in one of its forms—always a delicate subject, on this but a strong sense of duty could induce me to take any part in this debate. During the time I have been honored with a part in this debate. During the time I have been honored with a part in this debate. nothing out a strong part in this debate. During the time I have been honored with a seat in this body, I have always forborne to enter into any discusseat in this body, I have always forborne to enter into any discussion. seat in unit body, i mave always forocraft to enter into any discus-sions upon that in this come up, as it often has, in the form of abstract propositions. But it now comes before us in a different spect, being directly connected with legislation, with the establishment of a territorial government in Oregon. In this view of the question now before the Senate, it is not an abstraction; nor of the question how before the Schatch, it is not an abstraction; nor can I perceive the justice of the remark, that any proposition affirmative either of the principle of absolute freedom, or of that of an opposite character, is to be regarded as a "firebrand" thrown the Senate

into the Senate.

We are now called upon to pass a very important act—an organic law to establish a government for a distant people; and the question is, whether in undertaking this great work—laying the foundation for a mighty empire which is to spring up on the shores of the Pacific Ocean, haing Asia as we lace Europe—we shall transplant there, the sacred principles of freedom, which have taken root in our midst, and by which we have become a great people among the nations of the earth. That is the question, and it is no small question. Whether the people of that distant repeople among the question. Whether the people of that distant re-gion are to continue a part of this confederacy, or whether, as is quite probable with the part of this confederacy, or whether, as is quite probable with the part of this confederacy, or whether, as is independent nation, still the responsibility now devolving upon us dependent nation, our duty is the same whether they are to remain under our jurisdiction as part of us, or to grow up into an inde-pendent State distinction apart of us, or to grow up into an inde-pendent state under our aspects and guardian care.

pendent State under our auspices and guardian care.

What, then, is the particular question before the Senate? If 1
was to judge from the debate, there is no question here as to the
exclusion of slavery; the only question is, as to how far it is proper to go in favoring the introduction of slavery; whether we shall
actually incorporate it into the institutions of that distant and rising people, or shall so shape their organic laws as simply to
concurage its introduction; leaving the door open, and asserting
the right, that it may insinuate and establish itself there. The
difference is between those who are for establishor the strabilishor the the right, that it may insinuate and establish itself there. The difference is between those who are for establishing by have the principle of slavery, who occupy the extreme ground, and the more moderate advocates of the same object. The former, as I understand from the remarks of some of them, the gentleman from the remarks of some of them, the gentleman from the state of the continuation of the conti

30TH CONG .- IST SESSION-No. 87.

all our territories—I state their position in my own language—and that it is not in the power of Congress, nor in the power of the people inhabiting a territory to abelish slavery—that is above the people inhabiting a certificity to apositis sinvery—that is above time reach of both, resting on the solid foundations of the constitution itself. Well, I profess to be a steadfast and firm supporter of every legitimate and censitiutional principle, whether it operate in favor of my views and the interests of my constituents or not. II I could believe that the position which I have just stated was well sustained, however reluctantly I might come to such a conclusion, still my friend from Alabama would find me standing by this in supporting even a prepesition so hostile as I know that to be with the spirit of the age. But this proposition is one very easily understood. I do not propose to discuss it at length; but as I understand, it rests on the simple idea of the right of private property. Well, now, it is certainly one of the strangest propo-sitions I ever heard, and if any thing could add extravagance to a proposition in itself so extraordinary, it would be the fact that it emanates from the Senators from Alabama and South Carolina, it emisnates from the Senators from Alabama and South Carolina, and others who are par accellence, the advocates of state rights. What does this proposition mean? Why, nothing less than this: that the right of property depends upon the sanction of the federal government? Where, I ask, are your "state rights" if we have the power—the responsibility of guaranteeing private property to the citizens of the various States? If we can protect it we can movade it. We have the power or we have it not. It is idle to discuss a proposition which, upon the face of it, in my humble it discuss a proposition which, upon the face of it, in my hum-ble judgment, requires only to be stated to show the falacy of it. Private property is that which the laws of the States constitute property, and we have nothing to do with it here. The rights of property do not depend on the constitution or laws of the federal

Mr. CALHOUN .- I have great respect for the honorable ? nator, and I depart from my usual rule in interrupting him. But we do not rest this question upon that foundation. I rest it upon we do not rest this question upon that foundation. I rest it upon the comity of the States of this Union. The territory of Oregon is the territory of the United States, and by the United States wo mean the States in their feederal oapacity as members of this Union. I rest it upon the additional fact, that the States in their feederal capacity are equal and coequal, and being so, no discrimination can exist between those who hold and those who do not hold slaves.

Mr. NILES .- The explanation is such as I expected, and it Mr. ALLES.—The explanation is such as I expected, and it does not affect my statement of the question. The honorable Schator rests his position on the ground of equal rights guaranteed to citizons of all the States, which would be violated, as he silledges, if citizens from any of the States should be prohibited from enes, il cittzens from any of the states snown or promounce from con-tering any of the territories and enjoying the same rights of pros-perity there which they enjoyed in the States from which they re-moved. Does this prove any inequality of rights among the citi-zens of the different States? Will not their rights of property zens of the different states. With not their rights of property and all other rights be the same in the territory? It is no inequality that all the rights of property which exist in the different States may not exist in the territory; these are State rights, creatively in the state of the s ted by the State laws, and held under State authority. They are not rights derived from the federal constitution, nor upheld by it. They may cease when a citizen removes from the jurisdiction of the State where they were enjoyed. The rights of property, and the rights of persons in their social relations, do not depend on the federal constitution, but on the constitution and laws of the States. For Congress to interfere with either in a State, would States. For Congress to interfere with either in a State, would be a most flagrant invasion of State rights. Can this government regulate the titles to lands, the descent of property, or the rights of master and servant? We all know that these matters belong exclusively to the States. And in regard to the territories, although we have exclusive legislation, and may, if we please, regulate property there, still, even in that view of it, the argument, as I conceive, can derive no support whatever. I admit that we might legislate in these territories. That we have not done. We have delegrated that we ware, when we constituted level even the state of have delegated that power—we have constituted local governments based on organic laws. But were we to legislate, could we intro-duce the laws of all the States there? Would it be possible for us, however inconsistant they might be with dark of possible for the heave estating in all the States in relation to property intables the laws estating in all the States in relation to property in another. Every one must see the impossibility of such a system of legislation. The argament of the honorable Senator, bused on the equality of the States, thus falls to the ground.

It is impossible that the citizens of every State should enjoy the same rights of property in a certifory has they may have enjoyed in the States from which they removed, as the rights of property are different in the several States. Nor can this be said to occasion any inequality or injustice. The power and jurisdiction of Congress over a territory is cuitively different from what it is in a State. In the latter it is defined and limited; in the former it is exclusive and lineal. It does not operate upon the citizens of the engineering of the configuration of the confi It is impossible that the citizens of every State should enjoy the

But we certainly cannot incorporate the laws of all the States into the institutions of a territory. That would be impossible. We cannot make that property in a territory which is recognized as property by the law of some of the States, without conflicting with properly by the law of some of the States, without conflicting with the law of others. In this, as in all other cases, the majority must decide; and the whole subject is in our hands; there is no constitutional re-triction one way or another. It is a question like all others where there is no doubt as to the power, in which the minority must. submit. I have no doubt where the majority is in this case. A proposition, then, resting on this ground or any other, that we are required to introduce slavery into Oregon, is, to sny the least of it, a very strange one. It makes this federal government the propagandist and supporter of slavery! Hitherto I believe the doctrine has been in the South and every where else, that this government should let sla--that we have recognized it in the States and ought not to touch it. As long as my hieads from the South occupied that ground, I always stood with them, and so long as they stand there. I shall sustain them. We have been told again and again that it was a State institution—a State interest—that the federal constitution had recognized it as such—not as an institution existing under our authority or sanction-and that we had no right to interfere with it many way. But the proposition now advanced goes much farther, and presents a new question. It connects this government with slavery—it makes slavery a federal right—an institution not established by an act of Congress indeed, but which is a part of the constitution itself! I will presently notice the more quali-I will presently notice the more qualified statement of the doctrine; but that is the proposition now pre-sented in its length and breadth. I ask by what authority is sla-very to be introduced into Oregon? By authority of the territory? Even in this qualified form of the proposition, it is by your authority—by the federal authority—by the act or acquiescence of this government. To that I am not prepared to accede. I have this government. To that I am not prepared to accode. I have always voted here in favor of maintaining the rights of the South, to the utmost limit to which I believed the constitution secured and guaranteed them. I do not use the word "compromise." It has no application here. "Guravaniy" is the proper term. All the States to the confederacy have guraranteed slavery. Our militia may be called out to protect it. All that I am prepared to such its. But when I am called on to employ the authority of this government for the purpose of introducing slavery into territory now free, a new question is presented, and it is one to which, I believe, the people of this country will give a decided negative. They will never sanction such an exercise of the federal authority. I am not here to excite irritation, or to use the language of menace; but, I ask, do gentlemen suppose that the free States will send representatives here to take an active agency in the introduction of slavery into free territory? Do they think that the moral sentiment of

The second proposition is not so extravagant as the former, and yet I think it amounts to pretty much the same thing. In the one case we are called upon to moorporate the principle of slavery; in the other to permit it to be done—to leave it to introduce itself if it can, either with or against the will of the people of the territory. The right of the people to govern is a just and popular idea; but it applies only to independent, organized communities possessout a applies only to independent, organized communities possessed of sovereign power. It enunot possibly apply to the people of this territory, who do not possess one particle of sovereignty. We are called non to exercise sovereign power over this territory. If the sovereignty is in the people of the territory, then we cannot pass this bill. I am not prepared to leave to the people of a territory the question of the establishment of slavery there. I do not think that that would be an honest and faithful discharge of our think that that would be an honest and fuithful discharge of our I know it is said the climate, and other geographical causes, daty. I know it is said the commute, and other geographical causes, will inhibit the establishment of slavery there. But that is a circumstance with which I have nothing to do. I am not here as a legislator to speculate about the probabilities of the introduction of regisation to specialize todat in photobrides of the introduction of this great evil, or of the necessity of asserting any of the great principles of freedom. Our ancestors did not act in that way, eith-er in the establishment of their State or federal constitutions. The illustrions statesmen of old Virginia did not so act when they proposed their ten amendments to the federal constitution. them perhaps were necessary; yet they deemed it wise and pru-dent to throw every safeguard around the rights of the States and the people, and her enlightened statesmen were not prepared to the constitution without the security which those amendments offerded On the same consideration we have those declarations of the great principles of liberty in our hills of rights in all the States. Why do you provide that there shall be no estabrations of the great principles of liberty in our lists of rights in all the Stutes. Why do you provide that there shall be no estab-lished religion? Why do you protect the sacred rights of conscience? Why do you establish the right of trial by jury? Sir, the ques-tion comes up before us, and we are bound to meet it. Without disrespect to any one. I must be permitted to express the regret with the property of with which I perceive a disposition on both sides of the chamber to evade and avoid the great question which now presents itself, and which, I must add, cannot be blinked. All the efforts to evade it must prove mayailing. The amendment of the Senator from it must prove unavailing. The amendment of the Senator from Florida [Mr. Westcott] brings up the extreme principle centained in the bill of the last session, asserting the first proposition to which I have directed the attention of the Senato. We must meet which I have directed the attention of the Senate. We must meet that probability. Then there is the proposition of the ordinance of '37. Now, do lunorable Senators suppose that standing here as we do, the representatives of ten of the sovereign States of this Union, instructed to engraft the principle of freedom upon all the territories of this Union, that when we have a bill before as by which the foundations of a new empire are to be laid, it is possible

to evade and avoid this great question? I do not know what my to evene sind around the error operation. We not answer what my homerable friends, the Senature from W. In the same was the homerable friends, the Senature from this subject; but I believe they have been instructed taken ever to assert this great principle prople of the "Empure State," through their local legislature, have not two necessors assisted their Senature, where on two occasions instituted their senators here to occasions esentiments on this great subject. I believe I have myself tent circumstance exercises but a small in fluence upon my course. Independent of all insunctions, I feel called upon in this instance to charge a solemn duty. upon us. It must be met. The question cannot be avoided. It is

have said that I cannot accede to the proposition even in its Third sud that I cannot accode to the preposition even in its qualified form. I count cause to the extension of slavry by quiet acquiescence. I do not believe that that acquiescence would be consistent with what is due to ourselves or the country. Have we no opinion on this subject? Have we no judgment of our own as to whether will be better for that country, or for the Union, that one or other of these principles should be incorporated into the inctitutions in be exablished three? If the whave an opinion, why should it not be a secreted? Ah! havmony—harmony may be endangered by the a secriton of a great principle! And we have been told of a "platform." Let me remind gentlemen that there been told of a "platform." Let me remind gentlemen that there is but one platform on which we can stand in regard to this or any other questions-the platform of the constitution. standard by which all questions are to be decided. I would not go out of my way to being up any disturbing questions; but when a question arises, whatever its character, I would meet it fearlessly and look it holdly in the face, and give my vote according to my

own judgment.

The delarte on the present question seems to me to have been all on one side. I think that the great principles of liberty—of equal rights—of humanity—onght to have nt least more than one voice raised in this chamber in their vindication. These great voice raised in this chamber in their vibilication. These great principles are not without tongues among the people. On this subject the people are not asleep. In many of the States they have spoken andibly. But the misloritune is, that in their State legislatures, their representatives speak one voice, whilst their representatives here, further removed, and surrounded by other in-fluences, often speak a very different voice. How long this is to continue is not for me to say. For my own part I have no wish to

continue is not for me to say. For my own part I mave no wash to avoid this question. I believe that a decision of it will tend to quiet the public mind.
It is proposed to strike out the 12th section of the bill before the Sennte, leaving the question of slavery to be decided by the people of the terriory. This section is better nothing, because its asserts of the territory. This section is better nothing, because its asserts the principle of freedom in this government when it goes into operation; and it also does recognize the fact, that the people of O gon are a free people. By adopting this section, we also declare that we establish a government for this people in conformity with their own wishes. But strike that section out, and where do you their own wishes. But strike that section out, and where do you stand? Why, in that case, you reverse the facty ou introduce a new system of legislation in regard to territorial government new known heretofree. I would call the attention of the Senate particularly to this point. I find on an examination of our whole legislation on this subject, that from the organization of the territorial control of the state of the gislation on this subject, that from the organization of the territo-ry of Ohio, down to the procent time, Congress has acted upon one settled principle—both in the establishment of territorial governments, and in the admission of States into the Union— and that principle has been, to take he condition of the peo-souri to set aside this principle, but it did not succeed, and Missouri was admitted as she was. Now we find the settlers in Oregon are a free people. They have voluntarily organized a provisional government, and expressly excluded slavery; we cannot doubt what their will and purpose are. And shall we not recognize their action as the basis of our legislation? Shall we not earry out their wishes, which they have expressed in the most solemn form? their wishes, which they have expressed in the most solemn form? Will you force upon them an institution which they do not desire? I know it has been said, and it may be repeated, that this matter must be left finally to the people; and this is true, when they become a sovereign Siste. But that is no reason why in organizing a territory we should not course it in the rest institutions the true principles of freedom. We possess and exercise the sovereign were them. We cann't delignet it entirely to them. Their condition is a fact which must regulate our action. They are a free people—I use the term in no offensive sense by implication, for we are all free; yet the law of slavery is no part of free institutions.

They have not introduced, and do not desire this law. Shall we They make not infromecia, and do not desire this link. Shart we then not assume this action of the people of that territory as the basis on which, under our care and guardianship, the superstructure of the government of that people is to be traised? Shall we now depart from a propelled which has been heretofore recognized by both parties to this question?

Mr. WESTCOTT -1 understand the Senator to say, that in the territory acquired by the treaty of Louisiann, Congress recognized the existence of slavery there. In two-thirds of that territory, by express netion of Congress, slavery has been excluded.

Mr. NILES .- I said that in the organization of territorics, and

in admission of States to this Union, we had always respected the condition of the people—that we had uniformly recognized and respected the existing state of things.

Mr. WESTCOTT.—Slavery has been attempted to be excluded by the adoption of the ordinance of '87 and the Missouri compromise, in at least two-thirds of the Louisiana purchase, and without a shadow of right. It existed in that territory under Spain.

As success on figure. It existed in teat territory undere spaning. Mr. NILES.—The 12th section of this bill may not be worth much, but it is better than nothing. It asserts the principle of '67 with some qualification. That principle has been already recognized by the people of Oregon. The question have the power to settle it. If we settle it now, we get rid of it forever, so far as the action of this government is concerned. If this section is expunged, the question is left open to be agitated in

Oregon, and in this country.

It has been suggested that this question is a political one—that slavery has become an element of political power. That it enters into the action of the federal system, not only as forming a basis of representation in one of the houses of Congress, but much more extensively as a controlling influence at all times in the administration of the general government. Well, this is a difficulty which like all other difficulties, must be met. It is a difficulty which did not exist, in my judgment, in the early stages of this region of the general government, in the early stages of this region of the general government, in the early stages of this region of the second greater. The question must be disposed of, however, according to the judgment of the majority, in which the minority must acquiesce. Not long since, the honorable Scantor from South Carolina, [Mr. Cak-Hoven,] went into a c-asideration of this subject time in relation to that point, and may now repeat may be a subject of the properties of the south, and of the afarmating increase of power in the free States. I made some remarks at the time in relation to that point, and may now repeat met all knew that our Southern friends have for a long period enjoyed the highest effices of the government—that the subject of the section of the section

tion lies on the present occasion. It is a struggle for power! Every one must see, that so far as the right of currying slaves into a remote Northern territory is concerned, the present question has very little importance. No. sir, it is a struggle for power! A new property of the prop

Pray, sir, is not the slave power seen and felt every where in he action of this government—in all its departments? Who

meets with most favor from it? Those who stand on the side of freedom, or those who advocate the opposite principle? Why I believe it is very well advocate the opposite principle? Why I believe it is very well advocate the opposite principle? Why I believe it is very well and the proposition of the opposition of one titled and who are a much proposition of our citizens to be prescribed for their opinions, however mixthen? They are called inancies—abolition fanaties! No one of them can receive office under this government any more than though he had been concisted of treason against it! I have known eases in which the cry of "mad dog" has led to the rejection of me in these halls who did not really belong to that proscribed class. Is it right that this principle should enter so decept just the administration of this government? Is it just—is it naccordace with those great principles of human liberty in which we are all accessomed to glory, that such a prejudice should be pernitted to produce a perfect proscription of a class of our fellow citizens?

Mr. WESTCOTT.—I feel bound to call the Senator to order. The point of order I make is that it is never allowable to refer to the acts of the Senate in Excentive session until the injunction of secrecy is taken off, which he does do when he asserts that nominees have been rejected on account of their anti-slavery opinions. It is not on my own secount I ebject to this. I do not he istate to say that I have and shall continue to vote against any nominee who I believe is tainted with abelitionism to any office, as I would against any incendiary. With respect to the alledged case put by the Senator, Senators cannot defend themselves without referring to the facts. Hence a reference to them by the Senator, Senator is cannot defend themselves

Mr. HALE .- The Senator from Florida must reduce the words not in order to writing.

Mr. WESTCOTT—The call to order is not for exceptionable language or verbal impropriety. It is to the range of the speech I except—it is for reference to secret Executive proceedings prohibited by our rules that the call to order is made. The words need not be reduced to writing in such a case.

Mr. NILES .- Shall I proceed.

THE PRESIDING OFFICER —The Senator from Connecticut is in order—the chair so decides. The Senator will proceed.

Mr. NILES.—Every territorial government is feunded upon the praciple of regulating their own internal affairs within certain limits, and those limits are, that they shall not violate the constitution and laws of the United States, nor interfere with the primary right to dispose of the soil, and certain other great principles of freenom, which it is deemed more safe and proper that Congress should afferm and establish. I hope the section may not be stricken out.

Mr. BUTLER.—I desire to ask the honorable Senator, whether under the guaranties of the constitution, the tribunals of the conntry would not be bound to recognize slave property, yes even the tribunals of his own State?

Mr. NILES.—That question has been settled long since by the adjudication of the courts.

Mr. BUTLER.—I know it has where a slave was brought from a foreign country; but I desire the opinion of the Scenator as to a suit, say an action of trover for a slave brought in his own State. If you was the judge how would you decide it?

Mr. NILES.—I would not give much for your suit, [a langh.] I have not toucled the subject of the rights of property in slaves in the States, but have confined my remarks to the immediate question before us—the power and duty of Corgress in respect to slavery in territories, where we have exclusive legislation, and if slavery is carried there it must be carried by the authority or acquisence of Coogress. I have entered into this debate with reluctance, and have studiously avoided going beyond the limits the occasioned called for.

What is this question 1. It is not the question which has really been before the despoint governments of Portugal and Prussis—it is not the question which formed the subject of the thoughts and efforts of that great philanthropist, Wilberforce, during a whole life—it is not the question which has recently commanded the attention of the Provisional government of regenerated France, and which they have transferred to the national ass-milly—n, sir, it is not the question of the abolition of slavery, nor is it a question as to the restriction of slavery, nor a question as to the anciloration of the condition of those who are the subjects of slavery; no. sir, but it is a question as to the extension of the area of slavery. This is the question upon which the American Senate—in the middle of the 19th century—before the eyes of the world—at a time when new ideas of liberty are springing up in Europe—upon which the Senate of this model republic—bolding ourselves up to the world as an example for all other nations—upon which the Senate of the United States has been for three days engaged in grave debate a question as to the form, and to what extent, and under what local provisions, showing the short provisions, showing the provisions, showing the provision of the property of the

they have set their faces against it.

Mr. President, it is destrible that this bill should pass; we have too long neglected to extend our jurisdiction over the people of Oregon and afford them our protection. I feel anxious to consider the protection of the principle of the ordanace of '87. I hope to have an opportunity to give my vote for such an amendment. Can we not, in the assertion of human rights, come up to the line where our ancestors stood sixty years ago? Can we not assert those principles of freedom which they then proclaimed, and which have, from that day to the present time, when occasion called for it, been repeatedly realifrimed. And are we now, in this ago of progress, to be gravely deliberating whether we shall not reputdiate the principle altogether? We are not proposing to introduce any new principle—not endeavoring to make any advance. I am considered rather behind the age. I do not profess to belong to the party of progress, and God forbit that I should belong to that progressive party which advances backwards in the cause of civil herry, which, instead of advancing and adopting a more liberal upon antiquated ideas, and to extend and perpetuate an institution originating in a barbarous age, and equally in conflict with every sound idea of enlightened government as it is with every true feeling of humanity.

Mr. DOWNS .- I am very sorry that the debate upon this bill, which was entirely unexpected to me at least, should have taken who was cutterly inexpected to the ac reast, should have taken the turn it has this morning. I supposed yesterday that there was a general understanding, it being that there was a sufficient number of Senators in favor of the bill, with one modification, to seuer of Senators in layor of the belli, with one modification, to se-cure its passage, that this twelfth section should be stricted out. This was the understanding of the majority of the committee. The gentleman who acts in behalf of the committee in the absence of the chairman, assented to that course. I am very sorry be have changed his course, and has this morning withdrawn his motion to strike out the twelfth section. I agree with the honorable Sena-tor from Missouri [Mr. Benton,] that in acting upon this bill it is wholly unnecessary to introduce the question of slavery. A bill so important as this should be passed without raising unnecessa-rily that vexed question. I believe I may say I regretted its introduction as much as any member of this body. I wish to be understood upon this subject. I hold not extreme opinions on the extension of slavery into new territory. I stop a good deal short of the opinion, it is well known, which some gentlemen upon this floor entertain. I do not choose to pursue it as far at present as some gentlemen do I have been contending for moderation, forbeargentlemen do I have been contending of moderation, indeed, ance, for no denunciations, for standing where we have always heretofore stood. I have never contended that by operation of the constitution of the United States in a new territory, slavery necessarily existed. I have assumed no such position. That is a necessarily existed. I have assumed no such position. That is a question which has not been muon discussed. I do not choose to commit myself fully upon it. But I may say that no man up to this time, ever heard use express a desire to be exonerated from an expression of my opinions. I do not ask it now. But it requires further illustication. My present impressions are these: I am will-turner in the state of the state o express declaration of Congress as to the position they will take upon this exciting question. Now, upon what right, or upon what upon this exciting question. Now, upon what right, or upon what ground can those who hold a different opinion object to this course? According to their own principles, they ought not to object for a moment. Their first principle is, that by the constitution and laws as they exist, in new territories slavery cannot exist. It not contended that it was ever established there, it cannot therenot contended that it was ever established there, it cannot therefore exist according to their own doctrine. The whole weight of argament seems to be against those who insist that Congress should now interpose. Where is the necessity if their principle is correct? But in this case it is not left a blank. The people of Oregon have moved in regard to the subject. They have passed an ordinance, made a provision, which I think is tantamount to the anneadment proposed by the Senator from New Hampshire [Mr. Hale,] prohibiting slavery as though there was a doubt what the oxistence of the problems of the proposed of the property of the pr bout the existence of the prohibition there, or that we s they had provided for its establishment. Now, does striki the twelfth section interfere with it in any way? Not at all Not at nll. in the least. I was astonished at the strange position assumed by the honorable Senator from North Carolina [Mr. Banger,] that unless this proposition was adopted all their laws would be abrogauniess this profession was adopted at their navs wome de arroga-ted. It was sufficiently answered by the honorable Scanators from Texas and South Carolina, [Mr. Rusk and Mr. Butlen.] But I presume there are very few in this Sonate who entertain that opinion. Those laws were adopted in Oregon under extraordinary circumstances. This government had failed to extend its laws over them. It was necessary that they should have laws for their government. It is perfectly immaterial whether the act recognize them or not; they were adopted because they had no other laws there. They will, so far as they are incompatible with the constitution and laws, cease when our laws are extended over them. I know not by what authority, except by consent of the people, thority they have will remain, it is certain—whatever you ennect here, until your new system goes into operation, and then when not inconsistent.

not inconsistent.

Why, then, should those who coatend that slavery ought not to be extended to Topon, ruise this question here? In the first place, according to their properties, it is passion here? In the first place, according to their properties, and the provisional government of the provisional government forbidding it. In addition to that, there cannot be a man who believes for a moment that slavery can exist thore. You cannot induce a man holding slaves to go there with his slaves. It would be perfectly useless. Every body seems to admit that. Upon these three grounds then, the case stands clearly against us. You have it all your own way. And yet it is insisted here now without object, and therefore insultingly, that this measure spirit of brotherly love, compromise and encession, in which our glorious Union originated? Could thave grown up under the influence of such feelings? Will it last while such are indulged? These are thoughts on which we ought all to ponder and to pause. I have never yet despaired of the Union, I hope I never shall. I am not disposed to brood over evils that may never come. But such a measure, at sent a time, under such circumstances, offered voluntarily as a boon one day and smatched book off feeth to the Jow, but down to confess no omen of good! It cannot be contended that there is a necessity for it.

he direct sendent, some gentlemen may have been surprised at the concessions of the honorable Senator from New Hampshire, [Mr. Harr.], the other day when he withbrew his amendment; but they see now. It have no doubt, that the gentleman understood what he was doing. Whatever may have been considered as to concession in the withdrawal of that amendment at the time, it is evident now that the question of the Wimott proviso is as clearly and distinctly myolved in the 12th section of this bill as in the amendment, And when the amendment of the honorable Senator from Florida was also withdrawn, it was understood that the bill should be passed without either; but now the head of the committee has abandoned that position and withdrawn his amendment, and I regret to say we are back in the same position in which we were before the amendment of the Senator from New Hampshire was withdrawn. And we have no choice. It amounts to the same withdrawn. And not think this ought to be pressed upon us under the

circumstances, at this time.

I had no idea of saying a word upon this subject. Upon this, as upon most other questions, my disposition is to hear, and to be informed, not to speak, but I was so much astonished at the course tormed, not to spens, but I was so much asconsided at the course taken this morning, I felt it to be so unpropitious a course to produce harmony on this subject, so calculated to lead to evil consequences, that I could not resist the inclination I felt to raise my voice against it. I do not intend to enter into the general discussion upon this subject. I believe the die is cast. Gentlemen see sion upon this subject. I believe the die is cast. Gentlemen see that this question must be met, and perhaps it may as well be met here as any where else. It seems to be the necessary tendency of the proceeding of to-day; still at this stage of the debate I am not disposed to enter into the discussion of the subject at large although there were some remarks made by the Senator from Connecticut, [Mr. Niles,] that are so extraordinary, that I would consider myself derelict in duty if I were to permit them to pass without notice. But here be it remarked as in all other phases of without notice. But here he is remarked as in an other phases of this matter, we act on the defensive. I shall not attempt to quote the precise words of the Senator, but merely state the substance of some of the points made by him. As I understand one of his positions is, that the power of this government rests with the That there is a preponderance of power and most of the South. That there is a preponerrance of power and most of the high offices held there, and that that power is maintained by the institution of slavery. Now I do not think there is any cause for a complaint of this kind. I do not believe that it ever entered into the designs or thoughts of the people of the South, that this predominance in relation to public offices should prevail in the South If it has existed, it has arisen in the natural course of events, and not from any design on the part of the South. If it has happened that more distinguished officers of the government have come from the South to say that they have been brought into office by the power of this slave question, is an assertion which has no foundation. But gentlemen say that the greater number of Presidents have been taken from the South, and that it has been through the influence of this slave question. You might as well say that man who fought at the head of our army for our liberties in You might as well say that the revolution, and who was by acclamation placed in the Presiden-tial chair afterwards, attained these honors by means of the slave nuestion. Why was it that he was placed in the most distinguished position in the revolutionary war? Why was it that the sinews of war were placed in his hands? It was not by a cancus of Southern men, it was not upon Southern soil, but in the North. not assume his command in the South. Did it enter into the conceptions of either the North or the South, that he was placed there because he came from the South? Not at all, but because

he had rendered more service to the county than any other man. He was consequently the people's choice. The same almost might be said of other Presidents and high officers from the South—whoever pretended that Jefferson, Madison, Monroe, Jackson, Marshall, and others like them, owed their high places and honors to the slave power, as it is called? Who thought of sorh a thing when they came into power? No, Sir, blame us not if we have had more high offices than you—it was not our fault—we left these things to the natural course of the government. If you had these tunns to the natural course of the government. I you make the same or a greater preponderance we should not have complained—but can you not see other causes for this preponderance—they may suggest themselves to all minds—the history of the country suggest them—but I shall not here state them. Then are some things in this government besides offices. I dislike to distinctions are raised we must advert to them. We cannot, when institute comparisons, they are always offensive, but when such distinctions are raised we must advert to them. We cannot, when we enter into battle, always choose our own weapons, we must be governed in some degree by those with, whom we have to contend. When we are taunted with the insignation that we are overrunning the Union with our slave power, it is, I must say, a most unnerited and unjust accusation. There are some things as valuable and as dear to us as office; there are some things that come more directly home to our firesides than office, for instance your comparison of the control of the comparison of the contitution, which have borne most oppressively in some cases upon the South. They have borne us to the dust, yet we have not complained. We have seen our substance taken away from us; we have seen the manufacturing resources of the North built up by the commerce and labor of the South, we have seen their ships in every part of the globe laden for the most part with the products of the South, we have submitted to it all and complained but little. We have depended upon the action and operation of the constitution for a mirgation of these oppressions. It comes slow and gradingly when it comes very President from the North of the South, we have seen contained to this government for every President from the North of the South, with a submitted to it all and complained but little. We have depended upon the action and operation of the constitution for a mirgation of these operations of the south will raise his vivice against the The very president from the North of the south will raise his vivice against the The very president of the south as acceptable, and no man in the South will raise his voice against it. The people of the South do not come to this government for office; politicians may struggle and contend for offices, but I speak straw for your offices. You may have all your Presidents and your straw for your offices. You may have all your Presidents and your Secretaries for the next fifty years and we will never complain. We consider the Union a solemn compact, we wish to abide by it, and not reproach our brethren of the North. The interests of the South without the aid of this Union might have gone on very well-I will not say as well-but I will say that the South couin nave petter dispensed with the Union than the North, and if I were disposed to pursue this question further, I would ask what would a certain portion of the North be without the Union? Where would have been employed the ships of Massachusetts and New Hampshire? Where would have been the sale for their productions? Where the value that is given to every little stream of water that flows through their sterile hills? Where the price that is water that lows through their sterile hills? Where the price that is water grante and their ponds of ice, enriching them more than our fertile fields? Give all the wealth that has gone from the South to the North back again, and wails of grante, and palaces, and they would rise there also. Reproach us not, then for any supposes devantage on account of the institution of slave-

knowit. We do not complain, neither should you.

Another position assumed by the gentleman is, that the question
presented here is, whether we shall adopt slavery in Oregon or
not, whether we shall earry it beyond the boundary where it already exists? I cannot for my life, understand why the gentleman
places it upon this footing. He says it has been the custom heretofore, to leave the territories where we find them in regard to
this place. Do we propose to make any provision for the establishment of slavery in Oregon? If I should come and ask to be
exercited, to the territories where the should be come and ask to be permitted to take my negroes to Oregon, then the case would be different. But who would ever think of conveying his slaves there? With what propriety can the gentleman say that slavery ever will be exist there? I am willing to let the matter stand as it is; to say nothing about it. I do not wish to agitate the question. And I would go further, and enter into a compact, that not only upon this occasion, but upon no occasion hereafter, shall the question be agitated. I believe the whole South will agree with me. not introduced the subject. Our proper position on this question is defensive. All that we wish is, that we may not be encroached upon. Has the South contended that where slavery does not exist upon. Has the South contended that where stavery does not exist is shall be extended? Never has any such proposition heen set up by the South, and never will it be. But we were told yesterday, by the Senator from New Hampshire, [Mr. Hale,] that the integration of the Maylorus was the state of the Maylorus was the state of the Maylorus was the state. rests connected with slavery, had produced the Mexican war; that the war grew ont of the annexation of Texas, and that annexation was the offspring of the slaveholding interest. When the history of this war comes to be written impartially, it cannot be imputed to the South. But I shall not go into that question now. It is

ry, or any other peculiar advantage we have under the constitu-tion. You have the best of the bargain, and you feel, and you know it. We do not complain, neither should you.

not necessary to do so. When Texas first succeeded in establishing her independence, when the question was first mooted of the analysis of the text of

to pass it by. They will expostulate with their friends in the North—they will do all that dignity and a sense of honor permit them to do, to prevent it; but when it comes they will meet it as it ought to be met. They will stand firm as did our fathers in the revolution. They have done so already, and it is their glory that they have done so. They would have been unworthy of their an-cestors if they had not done it, and I assure gentlemen they will do it still. But do not place us in a false position; it is your own aggression. Let us, then, bury the question, and swear upon the altar of the constitution that it shall not be exhumed. If you will not do so, take your own course; but, I beg of you, when you come to speak of us and of our acts, speak of us as we are, and of our acts accepting to their true interpretation. Speak of us as heing caus acceptuing to their true interpretation. Speak of us as heing encroached upon and as resisting—not as endeavoring to carry our institutions where they are not acceptable. The South, willing as she is to make concessions, is not so fond of them as to make useless concessions.

. This appeal was proceded by the excludated speech of Mr. J. Q. Adams "from the Dick of Jane to the rh. J. alsy, 152s." and of which be stail, "the senson of Congress closed before I could finals," and at Brauntee on the 17th of September, 1642; and by the speech of Mr. Werbate in March, 1687. Here any the names varied to the ad-not become a superficient of the superficient of the

The address itself is not to the people of the United States, but "To the People Free States of the Union," It is dated on the 3d of March, 1843, and signed my one abolition members of Congress, as follows:

John Quincy Adams, William B. Calboun, Nathaniel B. Borden, Christopher Morgan, Hiland Hall, Thomas W. Williams, Staley N. Clark, Seth M. Gates,
Joshoa R. Guldings,
Thomas C. Crittenden,
Joshoa M. Howard,
David Bronson,
George N. Briggs,
Archibald L. Linn, William Slade, Shelbrock J. Andrews, John Mattox, Victory Birdseye, Trunian Smith, Charles Hudson, Thomas A. Tomhason.

Staley N. Clark, Archihald L. Lino, Thomas A. Tomitason. This desumes nogle to be read by every man of the South. I can now founds only a few quotation. If commences, "We, the undersigned, in cloning our Johns to our constituents and our country, as members of the twesty sevents. Ungeres, feel bound to cell your attention very unrelly to the project long entertained by a portion of the people of these Paules Stairs, and ill permitsoring valueted to, and in table soon to the people of these Paules Stairs, and ill permitsoring valueted to, and in table soon to when the people of these standeds to the last days of the session of Congress, we have not turn, elike vident in the test of the resears when force upon our numbs the convertien that this project is dyne mezine objects when the control of the people of the people of the people of the search when force upon our numbs the convertien that this project is dyne mezine objects when the control of the people of the peopl

were originally the poncy and design or the navenuous causes and the laction.

"Although perfectly aware that many important and controlling objections to a measured exists, aside from the question of slavery, we have, in this address, confine necation exists, aside from the question of slavery, we have, in this address, confine ourselves principally to that, because of its paramount importance, and because the addressed of ameraciation addressed places; it spon that greenal."

The address then proceeds to quote some opinions of Mr. Webster, in March, 1837, follows:

"We all see that by whomeover possessed, Texas is likely to be a slaveholding conn-try, and I frankly arow myentire unwillingness to do any thing which will extend the slavery of the African recon this continent, or add other slaveholding States to the

"In my opinion the people of the United States will not consent to bring a new, vastly extensive, and shaveholding country, large enough for half a dozen States, into Union. It was of construction of the Union. It was of construction of the Consent of the Conse

The address from Mr. Adams and others then proceeds :

The address from Mr. Adams and others then proceeds:

"We henite not to say, that annexation effected by any set or proceeding of the federal government, or any of its departments, worth on it measures a consistent of the federal government, or any of its departments, worth on it measures are more too, that we say with confidence they send and examine the six." It the concludes with the following appeal:
"To prevent the success of this mefarious project—too preserve from such grows visit to the success of this mefarious project—too preserve from such grows visit to the conclude and out to the success of this mefarious project. To prevent the speedy and violent discussion of the Union, we invarte you on mine, without function of the original violent discussion of the Union, we invarte you on mine, without function of the original violent discussion of the Union, we invarte you on mine, without function of the original violent discussion of the Union, we invarte you on mine, without function of the original violent discussion of the Union, we invarte you on mine, without function of the original violent discussion of the Union, we invarte you on mine, without function of the original violent discussion of the Union, we invarte you on mine, without function of provided the original violent discussion of the Union, we invarte you on the subject, in such manner as you may deem best cachestic to assure the end proposed.

<sup>.</sup> Mr. Webster in his speech at Fanueil Hall, on the 6th of No. vember, 1846, said :

<sup>&</sup>quot;Has not the constitution given the people great prosperity? Has it not made our flag float in every sea on earth? Has it not fostered our manufactures? Where would Massachuretts have been without it? NOTTHE MASSACHURETTS THE NOW 19."

Mr. HOUSTON .- I propose, with the view of bringing this amprofitable, if not injurious discussion to a termination, to offer the following amendment to be inserted after the word "equity" in the ninth line of the twelfth section, with the hope that it will render the bill acceptable to Senators, and do away with the objections that have been urged against it. The section will then read thus:

"That the inhabitants of said territory shall be entitled to all the rights, providege in immorphies beretofore granted and secured to the inhabitants of the territory of

I offer this amendment, sir, without any remark; and wish the vote taken upon it, if it be in accordance with the judgment of

The amendment was agreed to.

Mr. CALHOUN .- I would be very glad if the honorable Senator would inform us whether, under the provision as it now stands, the people of the South emigrating to that territory would be per-mitted the enjoyment of their property as in the States where they now reside

Mr. HOUSTON.-I can say to the gentleman that my object is to have protection extended to the people of Oregon. They require an organization to protect them against the surrounding tribes of Indians, and for the purpose of establishing such an organization as the constitution of the United States guarantees to them without reference to the subject of slavery; for I consider that a matter with which Congress has nothing to do. the constitution has guaranteed the right to them, so far they will be in the possession and enjoyment of it. It is a question to be determined by the judiciary of the United States, and any law agasted by Congress the world be under the control of the United States, and any law occermine by the punchery of the Chuces States, and any mw enacted by Congress that would be incompatible with the consti-tution, would be interly volid. I am for extendible with the consti-tution, would be privileges that are accorded to the people of that part of the country from which I come. I will premise that I have no idea that slavery will ever be extended to that portion of the United States; nor have I any idea that any person from the South—any person from that part of the U., ited States lying south of latitude 36° 30' would desire to emigrate with his slaves to a region inclement as that is, and incompatible as it is with th region inclement as that is, and incompatible as it is with the labor. I cannot conceive that it is inconsistent with the slaveholding interests in this country, that the citizens of that territory should inhibit slavery. But I act upon the principle which I find to be established, and by virtue of which Texas was admitt-d into the castablished, and it was a point conceded, established and isld down, that north of 36° 30′ slavery was clearly problitized by the joint resolution that brought Texas into the Union. Whether the principle recognized by that resolution, and by the Missouri compromise, is to extend to Oregon, must be a matter for future adjuditional control of the cation. These are my opinions in relation to this subject. But I do not conceive that it involves the slaveholding interest in the I wish to guarantee to the people of Oregon all the rights teast. I wish to guarantee to the people of Oregon an interient that belong to them; and any laws not incompatible with the con-stitution that may be in existence in Oregon, I desire shall continue in existence and enure to their benefit. I would be the last man stitution that may be in existence in Oregon, I desire shall continue in existence and enure to their bonefit. I would be the last man to wish to do any thing to prejudice the interests of the South, but I do not think that on all occasions we are justified in agitating this monted question. I am not one of those who feel disposed to croak, and who leel alarmed whonever the subject is alhoed to, belief the subject is alhoed to the dispolated. I have too much confidence in the integrity, in either dispolated. I have too much confidence in the integrity, in either the control of confidence in the integrity, in either the control of the confidence of the gence and patriotism, not only of gentlemen upon this floor, but of the people of this Union. The little agirations that may arise in the people of this Union. The initio agulations that may arise in this hall or elsewhere are not calculated to affect the great inter-ests of the Union. Our institutions are ton valuable, and have cost too large a price to be easily parted with or disturbed. The intelligence of the people have taught them to appreciate those They consider them a sacred legacy left them by their fathers, and they will not allow the petty schisms and agita tions which may prevail for a time among politicians to endanger their safety. If I come into this Union under a compromise, I will stand upon that compromise, upon it I will plant myself; feeling no apprehension of any encroachment being made by the North upon the rights of the South, so long as they are not goaded by an untimely and uncalled for assertion of rights and privileges which are guaranteed by the constitution under which we live. For these reasons I offered this amendment, in the hope that it would meet the views of every gentleman, as it embrabes the constitumeet the views of every genieman, as it embraces the constitu-tional interests of every section. These questions are always un-pleasant to me, but when I see them made the instrument of a lit-tle brief excitement, I do not dread the result if an appeal be made to the intelligence of the people of this Union.

Mr. CALHOUN .- If I understand aright the explanation of the honorable Senator, his object is to avoid any decision on this question on the part of the Senate.

Mr. HOUSTON .- That is the object.

Mr. CALHOUN.—The most simple form of effecting that object is to strike out the section. No change is in reality made by the ameadment. That is my judgment. All must desire to act in light rather than darkness. In order, therefore, that we may time for deliberation, I move that the amendment be printed. so that it may be before us to-morrow.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, here moved an adjournment.

Mr. HANNEGAN hoped that the Senator would withdraw his motion—that the amendment would be agreed to, and that the Senate would then go into Executive session.

Mr. CALHOUN strongly objected to pressing the amendment, and insisted that it did not in the slightest degree vary from the

Mr. BERRIEN contended that the amendment would be en tirely nugatory, and that the provisions of the bill would remain just as they were. The question before the Senate and the country was, and he desired the people of the South to understand it the extension of the provisions of the ordinance of '87 to the terri tory of Oregon.

Mr. UPHAM renewed the motion to adjourn.

A division was called for, and it resulted as follows:

Majority against the motion

Mr. WESTCOTT then moved that the bill be passed informally over until to-morrow for the purpose of going into Executive

Mr. BRIGHT desired a vote upon the bill immediately.

Mr. WESTCOTT said this was a question of vital importance, and he did not wish to see any attempts to apply the gag.

Mr. BRIGHT disclaimed any such design. He thought that there was an evident desire on both sides of the chamber to put the question to test, by obtaining a vote to-day.

Mr. MANGUM apprehended that it would not be possible to obtain a vote to-day

Mr. HANNEGAN pressed his motion for an Executive session. He was quite willing to sit till Monday morning, if a decision of the question could be obtained; but if it was the will of the majority that the bill should be postponed, he must acquiesco.

The yeas and nays were called for, and being ordered, were taken, with the following result:

YEAR.—Mesur. Aichion, Badger. Baldwin, Bell, Berien, Buller, Calboun, Caixe, Ortheada, Davis of Massichustts, Davis, of Massispif, Dayton, Downs, Craixe, Ortheada, Davis of Massispif, Dayton, Downs, Tuneve, Underwood, Ipain, Westerolt, and Yules.—2018s. gebaums, Spransec, NAYS.—Mesur. Allen, Atherion, Badby, Beaton, Boriead, Bradbury, Bisees, Physik, Dekatono, Day, Felch, Pools, Hannegan, Hossion, Moor, Raix, and Stur-Beyls, Dekatono, Day, Felch, Pools, Hannegan, Hossion, Moor, Raix, and Stur-Beyls, Dekatono, Day, Felch, Pools, Hannegan, Hossion, Moor, Raix, and Stur-Beyls, Dekatono, Day, Felch, Pools, Hannegan, Hossion, Moor, Raix, and Stur-Beyls, Dekatono, Day, Felch, Pools, Hannegan, Hossion, Moor, Raix, and Stur-Beyls, Dekatono, Day, Felch, Pools, Hannegan, Hossion, Moor, Raix, and Stur-Beyls, Dekaton, Moor, Pools, Pool

The Senate then entered into Executive session, and after a short time speat therein, adjourned.

## SATURDAY, JUNE 3, 1848.

### PETITION.

Mr. STURGEON presented a memorial from citizens of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, praying that a post road may be established and a railroad onestructed between the cities of New York and Philadelphia; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

### COMPENSATION TO LABORERS.

Mr. HALE submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and referred to the committee to audit and control the contingent expenses of the Senate:

Recoluted. That Lloyd Wallace a d Sylverter Gray, labrates in the service of the Senate, be allowed, the former two dollars, and the latter one dollar and fifty cents, per day, to take effect from the commencement of the present session of Congress; to be paid under the direction of the committee to anout and control the contingent expresses of the Sconte.

#### HOUR OF MEETING.

Mr. DOWNS submitted the following resolution, for consideration:

Resolved, That on and after Monday next, the Senate will meet at 11 o'clock, A. M.

### RECESS ORDERED.

On motion by Mr. HANNEGAN, it was

Ordered, That when the Senate adjourns on Monday next, it will adjourn to meet again on Thursday.

### PRIVATE BILL.

Mr. NILES, from the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads, to whom was referred the petition of Wade Allen, reported a bill for his relief; which was read and passed to the second reading.

### PRE-EMPTION RIGHTS.

Mr. BREESE, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives in explanation of an act, entitlen "An act to appropriate the proceeds of the public lands, and to grant precention study," experted it without amendment, and recommended its immediate passage.

The Senate proceeded to consider the said bill as in Committee of the Whole; and no amendment heing made it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it pass to a third reading.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved, That this bill pass.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

Mr. Prasilent: The House of Repr sentatives have passed the joint resolution of the Secate in favor of David Shuw and Solomon T. Corner, and the half of the Sen are for the rehef of W. B. Slaughter, late Secretary of the Territory of Wisconsin, with amendaments, in which they request the cancorrence of the Senate.

They have passed the bills of the Senate for the relief of Joseph Wilson; for the rehef of Charles L. Dell; for the relief of Fernando Fellany; for the relief of Jones
and Boker; and for the relief of Richard Bloss and others.

They have also passed a bill to prohibit the importation of adulterated, deterorated and minamed medicines, and several private bills, in which they request the concurrence of the Senats.

### PALMER'S MEMOIR.

## On motion by Mr. DIX, it was

Ordered, That two thousand additional copies be priated of the memoir of Aaron H. Palmer on the State, resources and capabilities for commerce of Siberia and client countries of the Eenst, with the accompanying additional map, for the use of the Senate,

### STATISTICS OF AGRICULTURE AND MANUFACTURES.

On motion by Mr. UNDERWOOD, the prior orders were postponed, and the Senate proceeded to consider as, in Committee of the Whole, the joint resolution requiring the Commissioner of Patents to report annually upon the prices of labor, and the productions of agriculture and manufactories.

Mr. BENTON —This resolution, it seems to me, is opening a field for inquiry which has no limit, no boundary. It proposes a collection of facts gone by—things of an once value than the contents of last year's almanac. It proposes to ascentain the price of produce and manufactures, and the price of lator every where during yast years. When you have got these facts collected what

are you going to do with them; and in the first place, how are you to get them. The Commissioner of the Patent Office is ordered to collect them, but I take it, he has his hands full already with his basiness of patents, and his new department of collector of exrecultural statistics. The examination of patents is in arrears about how many months? Can nuy Senator inform me?

### A SENATOR.—Seventeen months.

Mr. BENTON.—The proper business of the Department is so much in arrear, that people having basiness with it are applying continually to members of Congress and olicers of government, to have their patients extended. The proper business of the olice is now greatly in arrear, and yet we are going to create new few is now greatly in arrear, and yet we are going to create new few is now greatly in arrear, and yet we are going to create new few few in the present office is nothing in point of him, compared to which his present office is nothing in point of him, compared to which his present office is nothing in point of his time, and will require the services of a great number of individuals all over the United States. And when their communications are received, how are they to be verified? Are you going to have a man appointed at every place where labor is performed, where produce is sold, to collect this information? What will be the expense attending such a proceeding, and when the matter has all been collected, what will it cost to print it? The collection and the printing will amount to more than the expenses of the two Houses of Congress, and after all, what will be its practical triple of the property of the

Mr. UNDERWOOD.—I regret very much to have introduced a proposition which excises the opposition of the gentleman so experienced as the Senator from Missouri. If I had entertained the least idea that the consequences likely to result from this proposition would be such as the honorable Senator has indicated, I should never have introduced it. If I had the the consequence is the proposition would be such as the honorable Senator has indicated, I should never have introduced it. If I had thought that the resolution was susceptible of being construct the control of the proposition of the proposition was susceptible of being constructed that senator from the process of the proc

if it have no other utility than that which I have pointed out—of furnishing something like a check upon extravagant expenditure in the contracting department of the georement. This is the inducement with me in offering the resolution, and I regret very much that it has excited the opposition of so distinguished a gentleman as the Senator from Missouri. That the proposition should meet with so strong an opposition from a gentleman of so much practical knowledge and one who has occupied a place so long upon this floor I exceedingly regret. If I could discover the resolution. But believing that it would be useful, and that it would cost little or nothing, I hope the Senate will give it a favorable consideration.

Mr. BENTON .- If the honorable Senator feels so much regret Mr. BEKTUN.—II the honorable Senator feels so much regret that his proposition has met with opposition from me, in order to get rid of that opposition it would be very easy for him to with-draw his resolution. But he does not withdraw it. The Senator says he has no idea that a work of this kind will be attended with the expense I have supposed. Does the Senator remember when the Patent Office was charged a few years ago with the collection of agricultural statistics, they produced a work of about fourteen hundred pages, the printing of which amounted to some ninety thousand dollars? Did any body suppose when the thing was ordered that the expense was to be so great? No, sir. But that book of 14,00 pages is nothing compared to what would be pro-duced under this resolution. To carry out the object proposed, the information must be minute and universal, and when the fifteen or twenty thousand Postmasters now in the United States baye burthened the mails with answers to these interrogatories, in bave burthened the mails with answers to these interrogatories, in a way in which every one will do for himself, you will have a mass of documents which you have never dreamed of. How many clerks would it be necessary to employ to digest all this matter under the proper beads? And when it is all prepared and digested, you have only gone through the preliminary steps. Then comes the printing of your hundred thousand volumes, and that is not all. They must be distributed. This is an immense labor, and when distributed, then comes the great point of what earthly we would if the "The greatleman says that some acts were The gentleman says that some oats bought for the government on one occasionat double price. Does it require any such book as this to detect a transaction of that kind? Did the gentleman find it out by any such book? Is there use would it be. kind? Did the gentleman find it out by any such book? Is there a human being who, for practical purposes, would look into it? No, sir. For practical purposes every one will look at the priese cirrent at the present time, and not at those paid at some former period. There is no knowledge of past prices that can alter the present prices in the market. Will it show gentlemen in the cotton growing region what they may expect to receive for their cotn? The recollection of prices would be of no avail, in fact every body will recollect what they have heretofore received, without the assistance of such a book. The utility of it then dwindle's the assistance of such a book. The utility of it then dwindlers down to the subsistence department of the government, in case they should want to buy more oats. Gen. Gibson, and Gen. Jessup are, I apprehend, better acquainted with the prices of the various articles necessary to be purchased for their ments than to allow the government to be imposed upon. I saw once a communication to Congress concerning an enormous price that had been given on the frontier of Missouri for coal. It appeared to have cost the government \$13 a bushel, it was so carried out in the account stated, and the enormity of the abuse created a great deal of excitement, but when the original account eame to be examined, it turned out to be 13 cents gentleman will reconsider the matter and withdraw his resolution. but if it is persisted in, I want further time to pursue the matter, and to convince the gentleman, as well as the Senate, that the publication of old prices current can be of no value to any body. nate, that the re-

Mr. UNDERWOOD,-I was sincere in the regret which I expressed at meeting the opposition of the distinguished Senator, but when I express regret of that kind, however sincere, I am governed nevertheless by my judgment, and by the reasoning tlemen here. And when the Senator from Missouri addresses himself to that judgment, he must do it in a different style from himself to that judgment, he must do it, in a dulicent style from that of sarcasam and ridicules. I expressly told the gentleman that the case to which I referred regarding the purchase of cats, was merely an individual case in illustration of the whole subject. There is a great deal of labor employed by the government in the building of fortifications, vessels, &c., in addition to the public money that is pail out for the subsistence and clothing of the army and navy, and for all these branches of the service you will find tables such as are here proposed extremely useful. I have as manuf-confidence in the heads of the departments of subsistence and clothing as the Senator from Missouri. I have the utmost confi-dence in their judgment, skill, and fidelity, as officers of the go-vernment, but, notwithstanding that I have such confidence, does it follow that this information will be of no value? But you have set an example for this thing—you set it every ten years. Look at your last eensus. Look at the columns you have devoted to information of this very character, and where is the difference between my proposition and the means taken by you to obtain similar information, which you have obtained and paid for. difference: I propose to obtain it annually, and in such a way as that it will cost nothing to obtain it. If it should be afterward deemed unadvisable to incur the small expense that will be necessary for printing it, will not the gentleman have it in his own power to dispose of that question? Are you not willing to put it in the

power of Congress to say whether such a document shall be printed or not? If appears to me there can be no such danger of running into excessive expense, as the Senator from Missouri seems to apprehend. I have already informed him that I have no desire to go into minutise. I only wish to reach the great manufacturing and agricultural statistics, a work in which you have set me the example every ten years; and I desire they should be collected more frequently. I have made these remarks without being more anxious perhaps than many other Senators that the resolution should be passed. I do not intend to consume any more time upon the subject at present. If the Senate is not ready to act, I have no objection that it shall lie over until some future occasion.

Mr. BRIGHT moved that the further consideration of the resolution be postponed until to-morrow.

The motion was agreed to.

#### HOUSE BILLS REFERRED.

The bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of Emanuel Berri and John M. Keese, was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on

The bills from the House of Representatives for the relief of John Ozias, and authorizing the Servetury of War to bisse a duplicate of land warrant number 1469, which originally issued in favor (Adam Hart, February 3d, 1829; were severally read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Public Lunds.

The bills from the House of Representatives for the relief of H. D. Johnson; for the relief of the legal representatives of Robert Fulton, deceased; and for the relief of Thomas B. Graham, were severally read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee of Claims.

The bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of William Fuller and Orlando Saltmarsh, was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent and referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

The bills from the House of Representatives for the relief of Anna Griffin, of the county of Wyoming, State of New York; for the relief of William Butler; for the relief of Artemas Conant; granting a pension to Ruth Hallenback; for the relief of Jases Washington Jackson, for the relief of James Fugate; for the relief of Samuel Gray; for the relief of Lizin B. Canfield; for the relief of John Hibbert; for the relief of Daniel H. Warren; for the relief of Nathaniel Shiflet; for the relief of Lewis Hastings; and for the relief of Skelton Felton, were severally road the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Pensions.

### ADMITERATED DRIGS

The hill from the House of Representatives prohibiting the importation of adultrated, deteriorated, and misnamed medicines, was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and

On motion by Mr. DIX, it was

Ordered, That it lie on the table.

### PRIVATE BILL AND RESOLUTION.

The Senate proceeded to consider the amendment of the House of Representatives to the bill for the relief of William B. Slaughter, late Secretary of the Territory of Wisconsin; and it was

Ordered, That it be referred to the Committee on Territories.

The Senate proceeded to consider the amendment of the House of Representatives to the joint resolution for the relief of David Show and Solomon T. Corser; and it was

Ordered, That it be referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

### THE OREGON BILL.

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill to establish the territorial government of Oregon.

Mr. FOOTE.—I hope the Senator from Georgia will consent that this provision shall be further amended in order to make it as perfect as possible. I move to insert after the word "inhabitants" the following words:

"So far as they may be compatible with the constitution."

I will add a short explanation, although I do not know that any is necessary. I understand that an objection, and a very scrious one, is made, that not withstanding the amendment that has already been made in this section of the bill, yet if the preceeding part of the section be retained without any modification, the same will will still exist. I do not omein in that opinion, nor do I contributed in the properties of the p

Mr. BRIGHT.—As fur as 1 am authorized to spenk, as one of the members of the committee, I will say that there is no objection to this amendment being inserted.

Mr. WESTCOTT,-I am not certain that I correctly understand Mr. WESTCOTT.—I am not certain that I correctly understand to what the amendment is intended to apply. If it applies to the ordinance of 1787, it is one thing, and if it applies to the "provisional" government of Oregon it is a very different thing. Perhaps it is intended to refer to the laws of I owa and Wisconsin, or the legislation of the future legislature of Oregon. I hope the Senator will inform on specifically as to which it is intended to apply, and its effect and object. Whatever may be the object, in my opinion, it does not change the effect of this 12th section in the least. I learn, it is supposed this amendment will leave the onesapply, and its elect and object. Windows have be no object, in my opinion, it does not change the effect of this 12th section in the least. I learn it is supposed this amendment will leave the question of the control the United States. How the judiciary—to the Supreme Court of the United States. How the judiciary—to the Supreme Court? Under what law? By what process? I my which cases, civil or criminal? What power have the Supreme Court to decide as to the operation of the ordinate of the Court of the United States. The Windows of the way of the provisional government of Oregon. The case of Scattus, Jones, 15 Peters, shows that under the present nots of Congress, that court has no jurisdiction. In Michigan some years ago, while there was a territorial government there, the people beld a convention and formed a State constitution, without an act of Congress attherizing such proceeding. Their legislature under that State constitution, passed a law; the constitutionality of that law was contested; and in order to have a decision, the case was law was contested; and in order to have a decision, the case was brought up to the Supreme Court. Justice Woodbary delivered the opinion of the court, deciding that the federal judiciary had to cognitaries of the case. So in a set tend the facility many above opinion of the continuous of 1267. On this point I rater to 5 Peters' reports 505. The law to give the Supreme Court jurisdiction, under the 25th section of the judiciary act, must be an act of a State legislature. None of the other laws of Congress conforring the appellate jurisdiction of the Supreme Court under conforming the appellate jurisdiction of the Supreme Court under the constitution, have any more applicability to cases that will arise under this act of Congress, than the 25th section of the judiciary act. Of what use, then, is this amendment? We are told that the laws of lows and the ordinance, and the laws of Oregon, are only to apply according to the principles of the constitution and the laws of the United States—and we are left without any remedy, except from the inferior tri unalls which you create by remedy, except from the inferior tri anals which you create by this act of Congress—without any remedy whatever to enforce rights, which it is said the constitution and acts of Congress guarantee to every citizen. Besides, I wish to be satisfied what particular clause of the federal constitution applies to the cases now presented. I hope it will be cited. In my humble opinion, the constitution of the United States does not contain any express provision on the subject. It contains no clause but that in the 4th constitution of the United States does not contain any express provision on the subject. It contains no clause but that in the 4th Control of the United States in the case decision of the Supreme Control of the United States in the case decision of the Supreme Control of the United States in the case decision of the Supreme control of the United States in the case decision of the States in the case of the Control of the State of the Control of the State of Massachus and the Constitution of France, or the constitution of Prance, or the constitution of Prance, or the constitution of the State of Massachus and the state of the Control of the State of Massachus and the constitution of the State of Massachus and the Control of the State of the Contr constitution of France, or the constitution of the State of Massa-ehusetts, or of Mississippi, as to the constitution of the United States and eats of Congress. I object to this amendment, and to that offered on yesterday by the Senator from Texas, [Mr. Houston.] First, because the federal constitution contains no provision TON.] First, because the federal constitution contains no provision that can operate on the ordinance of 1787, or on the laws of Iowa or Wisconsin, or the laws of the "provisional" government, or their sanction by this bill, or on the laws of the future territorial legislature of Oregon. If I am wrong, I hope the clause will be cited. The right to take slaves to Oregon from the slave States rests on the fact that there is nothing in the constitution probabiling it. In the second place, if there be such provision, it does not require an act of Congress to make it operative. I have been taught to believe the constitution is the necessary of the restriction. require an act of Congress to make it operative. I have been taught to believe the constitution is the paramount law, and if it applies, does not need ao act of Congress to sanction and adopt it. And in the third place, this amendment is delasive and deceptive, if do not use the word offensively,) without affording any shield or security for the reasons just stated; and even if I err on this point, in not affording any jadicial remedy—not preserting the mode and means of resort to the judiciary. If the effect is any thing, it is measured of the control of

Mr. FOOTE —I do not perceive now, nor did I at first, the imperions accessity for the argument of the Senator from Florida. It is very easy to show that the decision of the Supreme Court, which he has referred, has nothing to do with the question now under consideration. But as it desirable that this bill should be passed with as little delay a possible, I shall throw no obstacle in the way by interposing any lengthened remarks after the locid arguments of the Senator from Florida, not one word of which was partinent to the question. He said he considered this amendment as amounting to precisely the same thing as that offered by the Senator from Texas y sesterday. That is true. I intended to make the businesses of the Senator from Florida is not how to make the businesses. Well, if these gentlemen who contend that every citizen of the United States is cultimated to form the such property as he possesses. Well, if these gentlemen be right, it must be obvious to all that my amendment is very material, in order to make the bill conform to the constitution, and to shut out all objection.

Mr. UNDERWOOD.—We have a hill before us proposing 30th Ceng.—Ist Session—No. 88.

to establish a territorial government in Oregon, and to extend the laws and jurisdiction of the United States over that territory. We propose to appoint a governor and judges, and other officers to administer the system of jurisprudence that is now about to be introduced for the first time in the territory of Oregon. And when this bill takes effect; when the governor and those judges are thus invested with authority in Oregon, the principles of the constitution of the United States will be obligatory upon them, and if you inverse advances in the bill deep. tion of the United States will be obligatory upon them, and if you insert a clause in this bill declaring that they shall be obligatory, it amounts to nothing, because they are so necessarily; for, after the organization of the territory, and the appointment of this governor and these judges, the constitution of the United States becomes the supreme law of the land; and every right to which citizens of the United States are entitled under it, the citizens of that territory will be entitled to by virtue of its existence there as the supreme law. I am, therefore, opposed to saying any thing in this bill. by way of giving legislative effect to the constitution and laws of the United States in that territory. When the gentleman from Connecticut, and the gentleman from Now Hampshire addressed the Senate vesterday. I endeavored to get the floor, for I wanted to say something in reply to what had been said by both addressed the Senate vesterday, I endeavored to get the floor, for I wanted to say something in reply to what had been said by both gentlemen. And I intend now briefly to notice some of the positions taken by them, which, I think, as a southern man I ought to notice. The Senator from Connecticat, in the course of his remarks expressed the opinion, that the South in consequence of its being a slaveholding country, was more untied than other sections, and that, in consequence of its union of shared the section of shared the section of the sec vestigate this position and see upon what foundation it rests. It does seem to me that the Scantor from Connecticut was mistaken when he sapposed that the people of the South were politically and the more than the people of the North. Why look at the past. You see Tennessee and Kentucky, adjoining States, occasionally differing in political sentiments, and giving different votes in reference to the Presidential election, and if you look at the whole many than the sentence of the presidential election, and if you look at the whole in many instances, the different States taking different consecution many instances, the different States taking different consecution. southern portion of the United States you will had, not more, but in many instances, the different States taking different courses in regard to their political conduct, precisely as at the North. And I think that when the opinion is advanced, that in consequence of the existence of the institution of slavery we are not consolidathe existence of the institution of slavery we are more consolida-ted and united, and have an undue influence in wielding the affairs of this government, it is a mistake. But assuming for argument sake that it is the case, though I utterly deep it, what is the reni-edy that the people of the North propose for this evil as they con-cive, in consequence of which we are regarded as possessing more political weight and power in this Union than we ought to have? The remedy is to attack this institution which exists among us; and you see the assault made on all occasions when it can be brought about. Against this course I protest and complain. How is it here? Is this discussion in which we have been engaged for two or three days of any practical moment? It is admited on is it here? Is this discussion in which we have been engaged for two or three days of any practical moment? It is admitted on all hands that it is not. It is conceded by every one that this is stitution will not exist in Oregon. Well, sir, with this confession why is it, that when a bill of this sort is before the Senate we cannot proceed with it without introducing such remarks as we have heard from the Senator from Connecticut, and the Senator from New Hampshire? I admit, that looking to the great necession of territory which we shall obtain if the treaty with bleaxed the state of know but the movement that is now made may have in view ultenor matters that may bereafter come before Congress to be ser-tled. And I think, that in the movement and the speeches I have referred to, I can see a disposition on the part of Sena-tors from the Northern portion of the United States to restrict in every possible way every thing like the extension of the institution of slavery over any portion of our acquired territory, institution of stavery over any portion of our acquired territory, as well as of that which we now possess. It was remarked by one of the gentlemen, that in this nineteenth century, when the principles of liberty seemed to be bursting forth in every part of the world, and shackled humanity about to throw off its chains, it becomes an account of the progress and extending sharey. But the Senator who made the runnifought to have remembered, that the great principle of self-government, which, I hope, may be extended to every part of the world, the great principle of popular right arises from the capacity of mankind to govern themselves. It is not a thing to be andered upon them. What is the course the North is taking? In no offensive sense I must be permitted to say, that it really seems to me to be a little phari-saical—blessing themselves that they are better than their neighsacal—blessing themselves that they are better than their neigh-bors, and saying, you shall not have such institutions as you please, but shall take such as we prescribe for you. In this the great de-monratic principle of the age ! Is this the principle of self govern-ment! No, sit; it is the principle of monarchy—it is the princip-ple of despotism. With the view of preventing, as you say; the extension of alsever, you assert a principle by which you push the people the rules by which they shall be great on the scribe inyou if it be not arrogant assumption on your part to prescribe in-stitutions for other people, while you deny the right of others to intermeddle with your own?

Now, what do we, who advocate the striking out of the 12th section, contend for? We simply say, leave the matter to the people of Oregon. They have already declared that slavery shall

not exist among them. But when they did so this government had given the people of Oregon no authority to legislate; and consequently their anti-slavery declaration was an unauthorized act. By the bill before us, for the first time we concede to them the right to make laws, such as their circumstances and interests may require. In doing this, all that I ask is, that we may not prescribe the social institutions they shall adopt. Leave them free to act and its to be pressured that as kep have acted here tolore, so will they presumption, which, it seems to me, attaches to any people who undertake to prescribe for others a system of laws which are not to operate upon themselves. This is just the position which her hopele of the South occupy. They say to the people of the North, do not undertake to prescribe of operates upon us. Leave us free to adopt our own system, and when you do that, you are acting on the principle of self-government. But just as soon as you interpose, and say that we shall adopt this particular system, to that examples the system of the self-government. But just as soon as you interpose, and say that we shall adopt this particular system, to that examples of the system of the self-government. But just as soon as you interpose, and say that was what you and to that extent we are manaeled and made your slaves. Do you not treat the people of Oregon in this way when you say they shall not have slavery if it be their desire? Does it not involve you in that arbitrary and donineering doctrine I have adverted to? You undertake to say you will not leave them free; you undertake to say you will prescribe for them a rule—one which, as I have already said, however, can have no practical officet. But you undertake in this very bill that the people of that territory shall legislatic for thems very than the same time you have done that when is the propriety of prescribing how it shall be exercised? All you have to do is, to extend your

selves—that they shall modify, repeal, and change the laws they have adopted. You have conceded to them all legislative power, and when you have done that where is the propriety of prescribing how it shall be exercised? All you have to do is, to extend your constitution and laws over them, and that constitution and those laws will be enforced by the judiciary.

Since the 1st day of January, 1808, according to the constitution and act of Congress approved on the 2d March, 1807, the people of the United States have been prohibited from introducing any slaves into the country from abroad. Well, if you cannot bring in slaves from abroad, even it increase slavery to permit these You may say that they will propagate faster if you send though the contribution humanity also that the abolition humanity of the States, than if you confine them to one. Is that your humanity? I is that the abolition humanity of the Senator from New Hampshire? Would be pen them up and keep them within circumseribed limits, because, by extending into new territory they would increase more rapidly? Sir, I hope that arguments such as these will never be advanced here.

In my opinion, all nations have the right to change or medify their fundamental laws of government. The Declaration of Independence upon which the abolitonist harps from morning to night-ence upon which the abolitonist harps from morning to night-ence upon which the short of the equality and freedom of men by birth, from nature, contains the very principle I am now adverting to, viz: that all people when their form of government becomes iristone to them, have a right to change or modify it. You may prescribe rules to the people of Oregon so long as they remain under your jurisdiction as a territory, but when they form a State, and as such become plimitted into the Union, if they choose to alter the laws you give them, they are at perfect liberty to do so. And if they choose more planted into the Union, if they choose to alter the laws you give them, they are at perfect liberty to do so. And if they choose we have a supported to the law you give them, they are at perfect liberty to do so. And if they choose them, they are at perfect liberty to do so. And if they choose them, they are at perfect liberty to do so. And if they choose them, they are at perfect liberty to do so. And if they choose them, they are at perfect liberty to do so. And if they choose them, they are at perfect liberty to do so. And if they choose them, they are at the perfect liberty to do so. And if they choose to alter the laws you give them, they are the perfect liberty to do so. And if they choose the perfect liberty to do so. And if they choose to alter the laws you give them, they are the perfect liberty to do so. And if they choose the perfect liberty to do so. And if they choose the perfect liberty to do so. And if they choose the perfect liberty to do so. And if they choose they are the

Mr. DAYTON.—As the Senator from Kentucky is so distinguished for his professional reputation, as well as his statesmanlike views, I am anxious to hear a distinct enunciation of his principles as to the right of the Federal government to exclude slavery in the territories under its jurisdiction. Did I or did I not understand him to admit the existence of that right?

Mr. UNDERWOOD.—I admit that during the existence of territorial governments, you have a right to legislate for them within the limits of the constitution and the United States. It is said, however, that there is a constitution of the said, however, that there is a constitution state of the said, however, that there is a constitution of the opinion that sayer cannot exist in a territory without the positive sanction of law tolerating it. However, I do not desire to zo into that matter now. I desire to see how this apparently difficult subject can be treated constitutionally so as to harmonize the mind of the country upon it. This is the object of my rising. The idea was advanced here the other day that this slave power was a great evil to the North, and augmented the influences of the South so as to give it an undue preponderance over the remaining portions of the country. Now, sir, in a few words, I propose to show you that the course of the North on the subject of slavery, if carried out fully, will only tend to an augmentation instead of a dimunition of this evil of which the North, groundlessly as 1 think, complains. What is the proposition of reacety from the North? Why, that we should emancipate our slaves. Well, suppose we do it, will, we should emancipate our slaves. Well, suppose we do it, will, we should emancipate our slaves.

that lesson the slave power as it is called? Under the constitution three-fifths of our slave population are estimated in making up our federal number, and to that extent we have political weight on account of our slaves. But just as soon as our slaves are made free, then the whole of them are estimated in fixing our federal number, and therefore, unless we confer upon the cmaneipated slave the right of suffrage, we shall gain by this northern remedy additional political weight equal to the two-fifths of our slaves not now estimated. At present our slave population gives political and proposes a remedy which will increase the power? Was there ever such infatuation before presented to a gazing people! Look at your constitution; I beg Northern men to consider that instrument. At present we have a political influence growing out our slave population every ten years as free, and as free men, have proportionate consideration in securing to the South additional members of Congress and Presidential electoral votes, the free white pepulation will alone be gainers by this augmentation from the North get up here and talk about our political influence and power, and suggest a remedy for the alledged evil, by desiring us to set the slaves free, while at the same time they are adopting constitutional provisions to prevent emancipated slaves from emigrating to the free States, as in the case of Hinois, and expelling them by moles, or threatening to do it, when they do entirely the proposition of the country. Sir, you are confining them outered to the South; and to this this your homomanity?

ing their or commit in one section of the country, when the country of the countr

But the gentleman from New Hampshire goes a little farther. He thinks—and if I am on timistaken the Senator from Mussissippi, (not now in his seat—alluding to Mr. Foort,) concurs with him in opinion—that this question of slavery is to influence in a great degree, if it does not coutrol, the approaching Presidential electron. I understand the gentleman from New Hampshire to say that in case the whige convention at Philadelphia nominates a certain the season of the properties of the control to the

seal the political fate of the whig party, and consign it to bending a fitther we have acted with our whigh brethern of the North in selecting a candidate for the Presidency upon the platform of great measures of national policy. We have inquired in relation to the doctrines of revenue, protection, finance, internal improvements, and the veto power, and how these great questions would be controlled or affected by the individual selected for office. Sir, when great measures of this kind, vitally affecting the public interests, are forgotten, and the subject of slavery is to be the test of the fitted six of the fitted

The whigs of the South have stood by the whigs of the North, in advocating and sostaining measures in which we of the South felt that our pecuniary interests were rather injured than promoted; but convinced that these measures were heneficial, regarding all the States as composing but one great whole, we did not bestrate for the sake of a common country and for the general welfare, to take upon ourselves some seeming disadvantages. When all of the South by those of the North, when southern institutions are to be overturned, and southern men proscribed, although I do not threaten, still I will say, there is danger of a resort to some revolutionary remedy to rid the South of supposed or real evils. This new anti-slavery test is nothing less than the introduction of an amandment to the code of prescription for opinions sake, which has been heretofore exercised, with a view to get une out of office for the benefit of those who desired to get in. The amendment to the code of prescription for proposition the people, "all slaveholders," and thus to appropriate the Executive department and all its patronage to the use of the eitzens of the free States, and those who do not own slaves. It is about the

This new auti-stavery test is nothing fees tina the introduction of an amandhament to the code of proscription for opinions sake, office for the benefit of those who desired to get in. The ancendance is designed to exclude from the highest office in the gift of the people, all slaveholders, and thus to appropriate the Excentive department and all its patronage to the use of the elizions of the free States, and those who do not own slaves. It is about the same thing to close the door against merit, and thus prevent a patriot from receiving office, and to eject him from office without of removing from office in order to provide for favorites, has become slanost a cardinal doctrine with all political parties of the country. I have been opposed to it from the time it was first started, and I shall oppose it till die. The application of this move anti-slavery test is of the same proscriptive character. A

word or two more upen this subject and I have done. I felt it my duty to express my sentiments upon this question, because I believe they are the sentiments of that quarter of the country from which I come, and that upon them we can all harmonize. Gentlemen at the North speak of the preponderance of the slave power, when physically and numerically the North has the advantage. Look at the greater number of representatives from the free States in the other end of the capitol. Look at the disparity here when lows and Wisconsin shall be represented, but the state of the presentative of the control of the state of the states at the North. Look also at the greater number of square miles of territory lying North of 36–30 -the Missouri compromise line yet to be settled and formed into free States. Where, then, is the foundation of your supposed danger? A gentleman near me [Mr. DAVIS, of Mass.] says that I do not notice the dough-faces. Now, sir, if there be men at the North who have been considering the evils I have presented, who are nor willing to be eternally goading and tantalizing us on this subject, and who say that they can harmonize with so on the great principles of the constitution given they stand on the true constitutional ground—the ground on which we have hitherto and always can harmonize. Do not goalednen at the North perceive that the course which many of them take upon the subject of slavery, and especially it it is to be made a new test of eligibility to the Presidential office, tends to produce at the South a political alliance, Officeave and defensive, with those who

they are pleased to denominate "dough faces?" Let whigs at the they are pieasee to denominate "congulatees". Let wing set the North forget all old issues as soon as they please—let them go for Cass, California, Cuba, and Cape Horn, if they choose, rather than support the conservative nominee of the whin national convention in case he should be a slaveholder. Let them distinctly come out like the gentleman from New Hampshire, [Mr. HALE,] and threaten us with anti-slavery thunder storms. When all that and threaten us with anti-slavery thunder storms. When all that is done, and we of the South distinctly see it, then you may look for united action in the South, and I tell my northern whigh brethren that if those who they denounce as dough faces can make a diversion in our favor, they will find that notwithstanding we are the weakest party, slavery becoming the great test, that the maxim "divide and conquer," will be engraved on the southern essuresheen. If we can divide the North, our whole weight will be thrown into one end of the scale, and we shall justify this corres before God and man, npon the ground that it is the only thing left us whereby to escape the chains which northern fanaticism is forg-ing for us. Sir, I believe such a state of things will be fatal to the ing for us. Sir, I believe such a state of timings will be tatts to the best interests of all parties, and I give a timely warning in the hope that the North may consider and avoid the danger. It is for the North to take its conrise. The duty of the South is, to watch the signs of the times and to be ready to meet any emergency.— Formerly when a fugitive slave or a fugitive from justice, no mat-Formerly when a lugitive slave or a lugitive from justice, no mat-ter for what indicted, escaped from any of our slaveholding States to a free State, he was delivered up and sent back without any diffi-culty; but let a man now. from New Hampshire for instance, go to the South, kidnap a slave and bring him into one of the free States of the North, no matter what kind of application may be made to the governor, or authorities of the State, he will not be surrendered and returned for trial to the State where he committed the offence. This proves, sir, that the feelings of mutual confidence, affection, and reliance upon the good sense and principles of all the States of this Union have greatly fallen off since the formation of the conof this Union have greatly fallen oil since the formation of the constitution. The South retaliates by passing laws oppressive apon the seamen of the North, and in violation of the constitution of the United States. When is this thing to ead?—There is no end to it. It is a perpetual caustic application to an old sore, and cannot be remedied unless you harmonize upon the principles I have laid down. Place yourselves right upon the principles I have laid down. Place yourselves right upon the principles thave advocated, strike out of the bill every thing which can have the remotest bearing upon slavery, and leave the matter to be settled by the people of Oregon, as they have the right to do, and you will get clear of all difficulty. I go further; I wish the prophe of Oregon to settle it themselves; I do not desire to pre-seribe that they shall make their territory a slave or a free territory, but simply wish to leave the matter with them to decide for themselves. Had this course been adopted in the first place, the bill could have been passed in five minutes, and saved a useless and irritating discussion.

and irritating discussion.

I hope I have given no offence in any thing I have said. I thought that the remarks by the gentlemen from New Hampshire and Connecticut [Mr. Hartend and the large in the large in the confidence of the large in t

Mr. BALDWIN.—Mr. President. In rising to address the Senate on the question now under discussion, I do not regard myself as the representative of any objects of the United States, in the preformance of a duty imposed by the constitution, to legislate for the whole country in such manner as will lest promote the common interest and welfare of the people of the United States, as one people, in providing for the government of a portion of our national domain. It involves, in my judgment, no question of State or individual right; but is purely a question of national policy and justice. It will be my endeavor to treat it as such, and entire to indulge in, or give occasion for, ermination or recrimination, in any remarks I may deem it my duty to make.

The people of the territory of Oregon baving established them-

in multiple of the territory of Oregon having established themselves per pulse domain, without the assent of the government of the United States, to whom the jurisdictions pertains, had on inherent right of legislation which it be United States are bound either to recognize or confirm. Their legislation was the offspring of necessity alone; and their laws while uncooffrend, can be regarded as obligatory only by the tribunals of their own creation. They assert no claim to independence, but entreat us to confer on them the privileges of a lawful community, by the organization of a territorial government. Year after year they have petitioned for this boon. And as during all this period they have been left by the United States without any system of government, or laws for their protection, the Committee on Territories have, with general morphists, inserted in the bill the provision to give scalability to their

for their protection, the Committee on Territories have, with great propriety, inserted in the bill the provision to give validity to their past legislation, which it is now proposed to erase. The honorable Senators from Texas, [Mr. Russ.] and from Louisiana, [Mr. Dowss.] have urged the adoption of the amendment on the ground that there is no necessity for the section proposed to be erased, because without an express recognition of their validity, the laws enacted by the superceded government would continue in force till repealed. And the honorable Senator

from Texas also supposes that there is an implied recognition of their validity in the 15th section of the bill. Both of these propositions may well be doubted. The adoption of the territorial government proposed by this bill supercedes the old system entir It treats the existing government established by the people of Or-egon as a nullity. It contemplates no transfer of jurisdiction. It is unlike the case of a cession of territory received from an existing government, whose validity is necessarily recognized by the act, and whose laws continue antil they are repeated. Such would undoubtedly be the ease, in regard to the laws of New Mexico and California, in the event of the ratification of the treaty with Mexico.

But suppose it were true, that the laws enacted by the provisional government of the people of Oregon would continue in force without this provision, as the houndard became to represent the provision of the pro express recognition contained in the section proposed to be crased? express recognition contained in the section proposed to be crased f Why should we be unwilling to declare openly on the face of the bill what we really intend? Why leave it to be implied, and ex-pose our citizens to the hazard of a doubtful construction, by re-jecting the clear and intelligible provision contained in the bill as jecting the clear and intenging provision contained in the one as reported by the committee? It appears to me that under the circumstances in which the people of Oregon have been placed, there is an obvious propriety in the express recognition by this go-

vernment of all their proper acts of past legislation.

The people of Oregon, convinced of the evils of slavery, and aware of the advantages resulting from its exlension as exhibited in the unexampled growth and prosperity of the States composed of the north western territory, have enacted what they denominate a fundamental law, forever prohibiting involuntary servitude in the territory. This law, among others, would be confirmed by the section proposed to be stricken from the bill. The honorable Senator from South Carolina, [Mr. Calhoun,] and the honorable Senator from Alabama, [Mr. Bagev.] have aunounced to the Senate the novel, and to my mind alarming doctrine, that Congress has no power to legislate so as to prevent a citizen of a slave State has no power to legislate so as to prevent a citizen of a slave State from emigrating with his slave property to any of the territories of the United States, and there holding them in servitude; that the people of the territory have no right so to legislate; and that Congress has no power to vest such authority in the territorial legis. doctrine the honorable Senator from South Carolina deduces from the equality of the States in the federal compact, from which he infers that po discrimination can be made between those who hold slaves, and those who do not.

those who hold slaves, and those who do not.

Sir, what have the State governments to do with this question?

What right of theirs is involved in the legislation of Congress for the government of the territories of the nation? The constitution of the United States was ordained and established by the people of the United States. It was made for, and adopted by the people of the United States, as one people, to enable them by means of the unition? means of the national government thereby created, to exercise in the manner prescribed, the powers specifically confided to that go-vernment for the accomplishment of the great national purposes

we to the pressule to the constitution.

Before the Declaration of Independence, the several States being mere colonial dependencies of the British empire, the people of all the colonies would allegiance to the King. The same constitution, and the same common law were appealed to as the source and guardian of their civil and oplitical liberties.

While yet owing this common allegiance, they united to resist oppression, and to demand redress from their common sovereign. e Congress of 1776 was not composed of delegates from sove The Congress of 1770 was not compused or ornegates from sone reign States, but from colonial legislatures owing and acknowledging allegiance to the British erown. They consequently had no authority, and could have none under such an appointment, to declare a dissolution of the connection of the colonics with Great Britain.

In making that ever memorable declaration, they did not, therefore, act as delegates of colonial legislatures, but as representa-tives of the people of the United States, then, for the first time, ONE PEOPLE," and announcing their severeignty to speaking as "ONE PROPLE," and announcing their severeignty to the world. It was the unanimous declaration of the thirteen United States of America, assuming to speak as one Prople at the same moment that they passed from the condition of colonies to that of States. It was an authoritative declaration of persons It was an authoritative declaration of persons assuming a right to a make it, in the name of the American peothat they no longer owed allegiance to the British crown.

That declaration when ratified and sanctioned by the people in whose name it was made, has the same force and effect as if prewhose flather it was the exercise by the American people, as a nation, of the highest attribute of sovereignty, claiming a right to establish for themselves an independent government. The declaration thus put forth in the name of the whole, was sustained by common efforts and sacrifices, and confirmed by a treaty which by common efforts and sacrifices, and confirmed by a treaty which acknowledged their claim to an equal rank among the nations of the earth. The several colonial jurisdictions became at the time of the Declaration of Independence, States, with an inherent power in the whole American people, to provide for their common welfare by a confederacy of States or a constitutional government. They chose the former and laided in their experiment.

The convention of 1787, which formed the constitution of the

United States, was composed of delegates from the several States United States, was composed or delegaces from the several states in their separate political capacities. They were appointed pursuant to a recommendation of Congress, "to revise, amend, and alter the articles of confederation." They had no power confer-

red upon them directly by the people, and consequently had no authority in their name to organize a government, which should operate on individuals, in any other way than through the State operation of a many points of the property of the control of the c experience to be wholly insufficient; and it would have been so from the beginning, had not the external pressure by the common enemy, kept them united for the purpose of defence. They were now divided among themselves; distracted with jealousies industrionally fomented by aspiring demagogues, a prey to internal dis-sention, without credit, and almost without respect of foreign

The wise men who composed that convention perceived that unless a more perfect union could be formed, it would be in vain to attempt to seeme for themselves and their posterity, the bl to attempt to secure for themselves and their posterity, the bless-ings of that liberty, which it had so long been the object of their united efforts to acquire. Assuming a responsibility required by the occasion, they determined to bandon the instructions under which they were convened, and in the name of "the people of the United States," to form one nation of the confederate States, with a constitutional government, specifically invested by the people with every power deemed needful for the accomplishment of the great objects enumerated in the preamble to the constitution, a covernment to which allerance should be due from every edizion. gevernment to which allegiance should be due from every citizen.

Now, as each individual, before the formation of the federal con-

stitution, owed allegiance only to the State to which be belonged, sitution, owed arregrance only to the State to which be belonged, it was necessary that the new government, which required to a great extent the transfer of that allegiance to itself, should be adopted, not only "by the people of the United States," in whose name it was ordained, but by the people of each of the States in

their separate political capacity.

It was not enough that it should be adopted by a majority of the people of the United States, unless it had likewise the assent of the people of each particular State, since they alone, to whom the sovereignty pertained within their particular limits, had a right to transfer the exercise of such of its attributes as the common wel-fare required to the government of the Union.

It was competent for the people in the several States, if they pleased, to unite in the adoption of a constitution which should make them one nation for all purposes, and entirely subvert the governments of the several States. But this could only be done governments of the several States. But this count only be done the cally by the people of each State in its separate convention assembled with the consent of the existing government. Hence the necessity, as well as the propriety from considerations of convenience, of submitting to the people in their State conventions for ratification, the constitution which was ordained in the name of the people of the United States.

The constitution when ratified took effect according to the manmer of its adoption by the convention, as the act of the whole community upon whom it was to operate. All the people became "citizens of the United States," as well as citizens of their re-

spective States.

The President of the United States, Senators, &c., are required The President of the United States, Senators, &c., are required by the constitution to be "citizens of the United States," and the crime of treason, which necessarily implies allegiance, is created and defined. The government of the Cinted States having been adopted by a majority of the people of the United States, and of cach State, is, to the extent of its powers, just as much the govern-ment of the people of the United States in their swereing expa-nent of the people of the United States in their swereing expa-ility, as the government of each individual State is the government of its people. The object of both governments is the same: to exercise in the name of the people, powers derived from the peo-ple for the protection and preservation of their rights and fiberties. Neither government is sovereign or can exercise any power what-ever, except so far as it is entrusted by its own people with the attributes of sovereignty, or the exercise of legislative power. Neither can impose laws on its citizens except by their consent. When the government of the United States acts, it acts upon individuals as citizens of the United States. When the State go-

individuals as citizens of the United States. When the State government acts, it acts upon them only as citizens of a State of the constitution, the people of each State are not now sovereign within the limits of the State. The constitution of the United States is paramount to the constitution as well as to the laws of the States. The people of the United States have prohibited the States from doing many acts which, before the adoption of the constitution, they might lawfully have done. These are limitations of the sovereign states are the states from the property of the source of th reignty of the people of the several States, imposed and secured toggir on the people of the several states, imposed and secured by the concurrence of the people of the United States. It is not the government of the United States that speaks in these problidings. It is the people of the United States. They are imposed not on the legislatures of the States alone, but on the States then selves—on the people of the States alone, but on the States them.

Mr. BORLAND here put one or two interrogatories to Mr. BALDWIN respecting the positions he had assumed

Mr. BALDWIN replied, by reading from a judicial opinion of the late Chief Justice Marshall, as follows:

"The government of the United States is a government of the people; its power are gratted by them, and are to be exercised directly on them, and for their benefit Although limited in its power, the government is supreme within its sphere of action and its laws, when made in pursuance of the constitution form the supreme law (the land.")

Mr. BORLAND here stated that the Supreme Court was a tribunal whose decisions were binding upon the courts of the country, but not upon the legislature of the United States; nor could try, but not upon the legislature of the United States; nor could their decisions authoritatively define the powers of this government. Neither did he recognize the authority of Chief Justice Marshall, the mere opinion of a distinguished jurist, as worthy of greater consideration on the constitutional powers of the government than the opinions of Thomas Jefferson and James Madison which were directly opposed thereto.

Mr. BALDWIN.—I must address myself, then, to Senators who do acknowledge the authority of of that august tribunal, and of the eminent judge whose sole employment it was, through a long and glorious life, to expound and elucidate the constitution and laws of his country :

and I nw of his country?

"In discouning this question," says Chief Justice Marshal, 4 Wheaton, 402, "the cannel for Maryland have deemed in of some importance, in the construction of the cannel for Maryland have deemed in of some importance, in the construction of the cannel control of the control of the

what, then, are the powers of Congress over the territories of the United States? Has Congress power to prohibit or to authorize the prohibition of human slavery in a territory in which it does not exist? This power has been exercised from the origin of the government. It was exercised under the confederation by the ordinance of 1787, with the assent of the delegates from every State. And almost immediately after the organization of the government under the present constitution, an act ordinance of the confederation by the ordinance of 166. The two securities of the confederation when the confederation is the confederation of the government under the present constitution, an act ordinance night "continue to have full effect." It was exercised again in what is called the Missouri compromise, and in the organization of the territorial governments of Wisconsin and Iowa.—
For the source of the power of Congress to legislate for the government of the territories, resort has commonly been had to that ernment of the territories, resort has commonly been had to that clause in the constitution which empowers Congress

-" to dispose of and make all needful rules and regulations respecting the territory or other property of the United States."

But I think it is elso expressly conferred, as incident to the power of acquiring territory, by the provision

"that Congress shall have power to make all laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into execution the foregoing powers, and all other powers vested by this constitution is the government of the United States," & ...

If the constitution vests in the government of the United States the right to acquire the dominion or sovereignty over new territory, which has not, by becoming a State, acquired the means of selfgovernment, thereby creating the necessity for legislation, Congress must pass the proper and necessary laws to meet the extence. It follows, therefore, that Coogress must judge what laws are necessary and proper for the government of the territory, ac-cording to the spirit of the constitution, and most conducive to the general welfare and interests of the people of the United States. The States, as such, have no interest in the territory acquired by the nation to justify their interference with its government. No the action to justify their interference with its government. No citizen of a State has a right to go there with, or without his property, till Congress gives him the liberty. Congress may, if it judge it best, keep the territory bolly anoccupied, as was proposed in regard to the territory between the Nacees and the Rio Grande; or it may dispose of the land to immigrants from abroad; to free people of color; or to the Indian tribes. If Congress deem it most promotive of the general interest to provide for its settlement as free territory, excluding no one, but securing to every inhalitant his personal liberty, whose rights, I task, are violated?

When a citizen of South Carolina emigrances with his property to settle in another State or territory, he cases to be a citype of the

when a citizen of south Catolina charginates with an sproper of settle in another State or territory, in ceases to be a citizen of the State which he has abandoned, or to have a claim on her sove-reignty for his protection. He goes upon the public territory as a citizen of the United States, and is entitled to the rights, privile-ges, and immunities of a citizen of the United States, under the

laws of the territory and to nothing more.

If the citizen of South Carolina emigrates to a slave State with his slaves, though he does not carry with him the slave laws of South Carolina, he carries with him what those laws regarded as property, and which by the comity of the State to which he has come, property, and which by the comity of the State to which he has come, will, in accordance with their own laws, continue to be so regarded. But the condition of the slave there will be governed, not by the law of South Carolina, but by the laws of south Carolina, but by the laws of the State into which his master has brought him to reside. If the citizen of South Carolina, instead of going with his slave to a slave State, should rake rollina, instead of the slave of south Carolina, instead to the slave of the

come as a fugitive to a free State, the master is authorized by the

constitution to retake bim wherever he can find him, for the purpose of carrying him back to the State under whose laws he was held to service or labor.

But the convention which formed the federal constitution, though they recognized slavery as existing in regard to persons held to labor by the laws of the States which tolerated it, carefully exclud-ed from the constitution every expression that might be construed into an admission that there could be property in man. Mr. Ma-dison objected to a clause as it was originally reported, on the ground "that it admitted that there could be property in men," an idea which "he thought it wrong to admit into the constitution;" and it was altered in conformity with his wishes.

and it was altered in conformity with his wishes.

What, then, is the property which a slave-owner has in his slave?

It is just that power which the laws of the State or territory in which he resides confer. If those laws do not recognize slavery as there existing, it is nothing; for the laws of the State he has left there existing, it is nothing; for the laws of the State he has left have ceased to operate. In every community in which the law of nature is uncontrolled by positive law, all men are free and equil in regard to their personal rights. Slavery is a status contrary to the law of nature. It had its origin in force, and can exist, as a legal relation, only by positive law. This is not the doctrine of the free States alone; it has the sanction of the highest judicial authority in the slave States, as well as in the free. In a volume of reports by the honorable Secretary of the Treasury (Walker), Rep. 36) is contained a decision of the Spreeme Court of Missianes.

"Slavery does not exist by the law of nature. It exists, and can exist, only through municipal regulation."

In the same volume, p. 83, it is said by the court :

"In the constitution of the United States slaves are expressly designated as persons. The right of the matter exists not by force of the law of unitions or of nature, but by virtue only of the positive law of the State."

The Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana, in a case reported in 14th of Martin, 404, say that the relation of owner and slave in the States of this Union in which it has a legal existence, is a creature of the municipal law

The Supreme Court of Kentucky, also, in 3 Marshal, 470, say : "Slavery is sanctioned by the laws of this State, but we consider that as a rig existing by a positive law of a municipal character, without foundation in the law nature."

This, too, is the doctrine of the common law, and fully sanctionand sustained by the Supreme Court of the United States Hence it was that when the ordinance of 1787 declared that slavery should not exist in the territory North-West of the Ohio, all slaves then there, or afterwards brought there by their masters, became free.

became free.

If the proposition of the honorable Senator from South Carolina be true, it would follow that the moment foreign territory is acquired by treaty or otherwise, it becomes, or unstant, is ave territory, having local laws to sustain the unnatural relation of owner and slave—laws which cannot be abrogated while the territorial government shall endure. I should like to know what sort of slave have would come into existence by virtue of the action of the President and Senate in the formation of a treaty, or of the operation of the constraints of the United States, warrain plager, on the torof the constitution of the United States, proprio vigore, on the ter-ritory acquired. The property of the South Carolina slaveholder is just that control over the life of another which the laws of that is just that control over the nor another which the laws of that State give him. The property of a Delaware slaveholder being that alone which the milder laws of Delaware confer, is quite another thing. The offspring of the one are slaves for life, while those of the other are but servants for a time. Which of these slave laws are to spring into existence in the territories of the United States? Or, are the inhabitants and territorial authorities to United States? Or, are the minoritains and territorial administrates be required to become skilled in the code noir of all the states, so as to be able to administer them in all their variety? No, sir. Unless a slave law is enacted by Congress or by the people of the territory by their authority, the natural law of liberty, as proclaimed by our lathers, will remain in full force. And whoever removes there with his slave, comes into a jurisdiction where no law exists to retain him in servitude.

But, sir, if this be not universally conceded to be the law, I would not leave it as a matter of doubt, in the organization of a territory now free. Not only is there now no slave law existing in Oregon, but the people have endeavored, by a fundamental law, in Oregon, but the people have endeavored, by a fandamental law, to seems themselves against the introduction of slavery. Why should the people of the United States desire to inflict it on them with all its attendant evils? Will the people of the United States be benefitted by so doing? Will the people of the United States be benefitted by so doing? Will the people of Oregon be benefitted? Senators who oppose this prohibition admit that, in its practical operation, no public interest will be prejudiced by it.—They say that slavery can never be profitably introduced into Oregon. Then, why not suffer the prohibition to continue? Other Senators entertain a very different opinion. There is nothing invidious in this prohibition. It is in accordance with a polecy universally approved at the organization of the government, and which has immortalized the names of the authors of the ordinance of 1787. The territory is equally open to the immigration of the which has immertalized the names of the authors of the ordinance of 1787. The territory is equally open to the immigration of the free laborers of the Southern as well as of the Northern States. The number of slaveholders, in relation to the entire free population of the Southern States, is comparatively small. A large proportion of the emigrants from the South are not slaveholders. They go to avoid the evil on a system which inevitably rends to the discovery or of free labor, and to say system which inevitably rends to the other or of free labor, and to those who destine to emigrate with their slaves immense and fertile regions are now open and unoccupied, far exeeeding in extent the territory which invites the settlement of the free laborer of the North and South.

We are here to legislate on this question as American states-men for the promotion of the common welfare, and not of merely sectional interests. The territories acquired by this government sectional interests. The territories acquired by this government beyond the limits of the States, must be as completely subject to its jurisdiction, in all proper subjects of legislation, as those of a State are to the jurisdiction of the State government. The people of the territories have no constitutional rights, as such, until they are extended to them by Congress, as they are in the bill under consideration, and in every act for the government of a territory. consideration, and in every set for the government of a territory. It seems preposterous to claim, then, that in a territory beyond the jurisdiction of any State, whose inhabitants have ceased to be the citizens of any State—a territory in which slavery has never existed, its exclusion in accordance with the desire of its inhabitants, can be regarded as a violation of any State or individual rights under the constuttion of the United States. No citizen has any such interest in the common property of the Union as to render it unjust for Congress to deny to him the right of settling upon it with his slaves. It so, it would be equally unjust to give power to the peo-ple to exclude him, by their admission into the Union as a State, o long as any portion of the soil within its limits continued to be the property of the United States. If it is competent for the State of Virginia, Massachusetts. Connecticut, or New York to prohibit the continuance of slavery within those States respectively, it follows that there is nothing in the nature of slave property which prevents it from being the object of exclusion by any government presenting the point of the control of any of the control of the c and the right to keep them there in servitude by virtue of those laws, is declared and secured. This right being acknowledged to that extent only, it follows that an escape by a slave, or his forcible abduction from the State whose laws hold him in servitude, is regarded as a violation of duty—a wrongful act, which, consequently, does not confer on him the right to be regarded as a freeman in the State to which he has fled or been forcibly carried, if his master desire to retake him for the purpose of subjecting him again to servirude in the State from which he fled. The same provision applies equally to the relation of master and apprentice for a time. The provision in the constitution is founded on a principle some-what analogous to that which provides for the surrender of fugitives from justice. It gives extra-territorial force to the local law just so tar as is necessary to prevent the fugitive from gaining his fiberty, by what the law of his domieil regards as a wrongful act. But it has been invariably decided, that if the owner of a slawe vohintarily takes him into a State where no law exists to impair the natural equality of rights, the slave is free.

Mr. BERRIEN.—Suppose he is shipped on board of a vessel bound from one slave State to another on the high seas?

Mr. BALDWIN .- On that question I may perhaps differ in opinion from some Senators whose views on this subject are generally coincident with my own. In my judgment he ought to be free Hingroes, originally belonging to the State of Maryland or Virginia, are shipped as merchandize on board of a vessel to be transported on the high seas to a Southern market for sale, by virtransported on the ingn seas to a Southern market for saie, by vir-tue of what Iaw, I ask, are they holden as property, while sailing under the flag of the United States, without the territorial limits of either of those States? Does the vessel carry with her any per-tion of the sovereignty of the State of Maryland or Virginia? "If tion of the sovereign) of the state of Maryland or Virginia; it those States had been separate and independent sovereignites, like Spain or France, the laws of the State under whose flag they were sailing would have determined the personal relations of the individuals on board. The vessel, while on the high seas, would have been regarded, with all on board, as still under the jurisdiction of the State to which she belonged. But under the constitution of the State to Whien size occording to Data under the communion of the United States, the sovereignty of the several States is confined to their territorial limits. The jurisdiction over our vessels at sea is mational. Commerce and maving timb year are subject to the exclusive regulation of Congress. Our vessels are vessels not of a particular State, but of the United States, whose flag they bear; and offences committed on board of them at sea are offences against and other secondaries of board of them at sealing connected galaxy, and and virginia, therefore, sould have no force of themselves on board a vessel on the high seas, any more than they would have in the territory of lowa over a slave who had been violantarily sent there by his master. The constitution of the United States concerns on power on the government to establish or regulate the institution of slavery. It forms no part of the duty of the government in the exercise of its power "to regulate commerce among the several States," to protect or recognize as legitimate commerce the traffic in, and transportation of slaves by sea as merchan-dize. But as Congress have in fact passed a law to regulate the

coastwise transportation of slaves, it has been supposed that dur-ing their passage on shipboard, their former legal relation would continue

Sir, I cannot regard this as a merely local question, affecting the people of Oregon alone. It is a great question of national po-licy involving responsibilities and consequences affecting the whole American people, and fixing for all future time the destinies of our American people, and fixing for all fluture time the destinies of our immense territornal acquisitions bordering on the Pacific. We have not acquired this territory for the mere purpose of giving room for the expansion of our population. We have room enough, already and to spare, for generations yet unborn. It is regarded as an object of national interest from its position, its capabilities of sustaining a vigorous and industrious population, and of opening to our enterprising citizens new avenues to the trade and commerce of the world. It belongs to the nation—to the people of the United States, as an organized community. It is for us, acting as their representatives, discarding our sectional feelings, and regarding it as a national question, to induce of what will most regarding it as a national question, to judge of what will most conduce to the welfare of the whole people, and now—while the territory is all free—to decide for all time to come whether it will promote the common weal, and be in accordance with the spirit of

our institutions to plant slavery there, or to exclude it.

Who that bears in mind the countless blessings that have been and are now enjoyed by the great States, whose unexampled prosperity has filled the once north-western territory with a prosper-ous and happy people, and added so much to the wealth, and to the strength and glory of this republic, in consequence of the ex-clusion of slavery by the ordinance of 1787, can wish to deprive the people of Oregon of the opportunity of imitating this glorious Have we not a common interest in whatever will promote the prosperity and add to the strength of our possessions on the borders of the Pacific? Will it aid us in the performance of our common duty of defending them against foreign aggression, to introduce what Mr. Madison declared to be "an element of imbe-cility and weakness" in their midst? Would it not tend to ine, as it has done elsewhere, the danger of war with the surrounding Indian tribes, as well as with the people of the adjoining rounding mana tribes, as wen as with the people of the appointment territories? And, it so—which would seem to be quite apparent—have we really a duty imposed upon us by the constitution, to extend and sastain the institution of slavery from the shores of the Atlantic to the Pacific ocean? Is that the guarantee which is found in the constitution ?

No, sir; it is our duty to exercise the powers conferred by the No, sir it is our only to exercise the powers construction for the promotion of the greatest good of the people of the United States, in harmony with those great principles of human right and liberty, which this whole people, as a people, have united to declare and establish. Those principles can never anthorize Congress to create slavery, or any other institution founded in violation of the laws of nature, nor to disturb the compromises of the constitution by laying the foundation for the establishment, in the free territories of the Union, of new States to be admitted with a slave representation to increase the inequalities already ex-

Mr. HALE then took the floor, and moved that the further consideration of the bill be postponed until Monday; which was

Mr. BADGER, by unanimous consent, submitted the following amendment, which he proposed as a substitute for the amendment submitted by Mr. Foore this morning:

SEC. 12. After the word "mhabitants" insert the following—"but shall not be subject to the restriction expressed in the sixth article of the compact contained in the ordinance of seventeen hundred and eighly seven, for the government of the territory of the United States northwest of the myer Onio."

Mr. FOOTE said that this amendment was the result of a conference between the Senator from North Carolina and himself, and accepted it as a modification of his own.

### OUR FLAG FROM MEXICO.

Mr. DAYTON submitted the following resolution for considera-

Resolved. That the VICE PRESIDENT be requested to have the flag of the United States first erected by the American army upon the Palace in the capital of Mexico, and now here presented, deposited for safe keeping to the Department of State.

Mr. DAYTON briefly pointed out the propriety of the resolution, and showed by reference to precedents, that this disposition of the flag was in accordance with the established practice of Congress.

The resolution was agreed to.

### EXECUTIVE SESSION.

On motion by Mr. HANNEGAN, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of Executive business; and alter some time spent therein,

The Senate adjourned.

## MONDAY, JUNE 5, 1848.

#### CREDENTIALS

Mr. NILES presented the credentials of the Hon. Roger S. Baldwin, elected a Senator by the General Assembly of the State of Connectiont, to fill the vacancy occasioned by the death of the Hon. Jabez W. Huntington; which were read.

The oath prescribed by law having been taken by Mr. Baldwin, he took his seat in the Schate.

#### MAP OF OREGON AND CALIFORNIA.

Mr. BENTON presented to the Senate a map of Oregon and California, prepared by J. C. Fremont in obedience to a resolution of the Senate.

Mr. BENTON — At the sossion of the Senate before the last, an order was passed directing the compilation of a map of Oregon and California, from materials collected by Mr. Fremont in his first and second expedition, and also such as he might collect in his third expedition. The map has been compiled. So far as the materials extend, they have been all worked up. The map exhibits the western part of this continent more fully and perfectly than it has ever before been known. Mr. Fremont takes out no copy right for any thing that he has done. The map was prepared, as I should be such as the country, Mr. Fremont has furnished also a proceeding to the country, Mr. Fremont has furnished also a proceeding analy, which can be readily lithographed or engraved. Accompanying the map is a geographical memoir of about forty or fifty pages which inlustrates the map, and is intended to accompany it. Having brought the matter to the notice of the Senate, I will offer the following resolutions:

Resolved. That the Secretary of the Senate be authorized to contract for lithographing and printing——copies of J. C. Fremont's map of Oregon and California, reduced from the original according to the projection to be furnished by the said J. C. Fremont.

Resolved. That there be printed for the use of the Senate, the same number of copies of J. C. Fremont's geographical memoir on Upper California, and in illustration of his map of Oregon and California; the manuscript and printing of said memoir to be subject to the revision and correction of the author.

The Senate proceeded to consider said resolution, hy unanimous consent, and the blank in the first resolution baving been, on motion by Mr. BREESE, filled with "twenty thousand," they were agreed to.

### RESOLUTIONS OF THE LEGISLATURE OF RHODE ISLAND.

Mr. CLARKE presented a resolution passed by the Legislature of the State of Rhode Island, requesting the Senators and Representatives of that State in Congress, to urge upon that body the abolition of slavery in the District of Columbia, or the immediate removal of the seat of government of the United States within the limits of some one of the non-shaveholding States; which was read, laid upon the table, and ordered to be printed.

### PETITIONS

Mr. BORLAND presented a memorial of citizens of Arkansas praying the purchase of Mount Vernon by the government; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. DOWNS presented the petition of Robert W. Richardson, praying the confirmation of his title to a tract of land; which was referred to the Committee on Private Land Claims.

Mr. BENTON presented a petition of citizens of Missouri, praying the establishment of a Western Armory at Cape Girardeau in that State; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

VACANCY IN THE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE. .

On motion by Mr. DOWNS, it was

Ordered, That the PRESIDING OFFICER fill the vacancy in the Committee on the Judiciary occasioned by the absence of Mr. Moon.

### LAND DISTRICT IN LOUISIANA.

Mr. BREESE, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was partiefered the bill from the Honse of Representatives to attach a portion of the North-western Land District of Louisiana, to the District north of Red river, Louisiana, reported it without amendment, and asked for its immediate consideration.

The Senate proceeded to consider the said bill as in Committee of the Whole, and no amendment being made it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it pass to a third reading.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved. That this bill pars.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

### INCREASE OF THE MEDICAL CORPS OF THE NAVV.

On motion by Mr. MILLER, the prior orders were postponed and the bill for the increase of the medical corps of the Navy was read the second time, and considered as in Committee of the Whole.

Mr. BREESE.—Will the the honorable Senator be good enough to explain the necessity for the bill?

Mr. MILLER.—It may be shortly explained by a reference to a letter of the Secretary of the Nay. O braing to the severe service received the medical open the severe service received the medical open the received the second severe service received the medical open the severe service severe service received to seek an extent, that there is not now a sufficient number of medical officers to perform the service. Many of the surgeons, and assistant surgeons, are returning from the service in such a condition that it is impossible that they can be again employed for a length of time. I will send to the chair a letter from the head of the Bureau, addressed to the Committee on Naval Adfairs.

The letter was read by the Sceretary.

Mr. BREESE moved to amend the hill by inserting after the word "President" the words "by and with the advice and consent of the Senate;" which was agreed to.

No further amendment being made, the bill was reported to the Senate, and the amendment was concurred in.

Ordered. That this bill be engrossed and read a third time

The said bill was read a third time, by unanimous consent.

Resolved, That this bill pass, and that the title thereof he as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

#### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

Mr. President: The President of the United States approved and signed, the 31st of May, the bill entitled. "An act making appropriations for the support of the Minh and the state of the s

### JUDICIAL POWERS TO MINISTERS AND CONSULS

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, moved that the prior orders he perspende, and that the Scante proceed to the consideration of the bill to carry into effect certain provisions of the treaties between the United States and Chin and the Ottoman Porte, giving certain judicial powers to ministers and consuls of the United State; in these countries.

Mr. DIX.—This is a measure of great importance, and I would say to the Senator from Massachusetts that it ought to be considered in a full Senate.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts.—The Chairman of the Judiciary Committee is desirous of speedy action on the bill.

Mr. BUTLER.—I am in favor of the consideration of this bill. If it is to be acted upon at all, it ought to be passed at this session. It is an important subject and should not be delayed.

sion. It is an important subject and should not be delayed.

Mr. DAYTON.—I have no special objection to the consideration of this bill, beyond that which connects itself with the business before the Judiciary Committee generally. There are a number of bills that have been reported from that committee which are important. One reported by myself, concerning the surrender of fingitives from justice, is particularly so. I have not moved in the matter, but have left it take its course on the calendar. If the business of the Judiciary Committee is to be taken up out of its course, I think the order of the business as reported by the committee ought to be observed.

The question being put on the motion to take up, a division was called for, which resulted: ayes 9; nocs 14.

No quorum voting-

Mr. BADGER demanded the yeas and nays.

They were ordered.

Mr. WESTCOTT —I am perfectly willing to take up this bill whenever the Senate is full, for I think it is one of those bills which require that every member of the Senate should be present. I

do not agree with the honorable Senator from Massachusetts, that the bill will not give rise to discussion. I regard it as one of the most important questions that can be presented to Congrees. I do not not not not consider the configuration of the preparation, the constant of the preparation of the preparation of the configuration of the preparation of the constant of the constant in the consta do not agree with the honorable Senator from Massachusetts, that

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts.—The question is merely whether you will do your own hanging or let the Chinese do it.

Mr. CAMERON.—I have no particular objection to the consideration of this hill, but I think the business ought to be taken up in its regular order.

The question being put upon the motion to proceed to the consideration of the bill, it was determined in the negative as fol-

YEAR.—Meser. Atchieon, Atherton, Badger, Baldwin, Berrien, Butler, Davis, of Manachemett, Downs, Hunter, Miler, Nies, Sprunner, Underwood, Uplam, and Nava-Guerra, Santan, Bernin, Bridan, Bradhur, Breec, Calbonn, Cameron, Davis, of Mansuppi, Dayton, Dakunon, Dix, Felch, Lewis, Rusk, Sebastian, Turney, Westetti, and Yuke.—17.

### PATENT OFFICE REPORT.

Mr. BADGER moved that the Senate proceed to the consideration of the resolution heretoforc submitted by him, ordering the

printing of 20,000 copies of so much of the annual report of the Commissioner of Patents, as had been ordered to be printed by the House of Representatives.

Mr. WESTCOTT desired that the resolution should be deferred until the public printer should have laid upon their tables, as he had promised to do, a bound copy of the work referred to by the resolution, which had been prepared for the House of Representatives, in order that they night examine the work.

Mr. BADGER .- Every body has seen them

Mr. WESTCOTT .- I have not.

Mr. BADGER .- But the session is drawing to a close.

Mr. WESTCOTT .- It will occasion no delay.

Mr. CALHOUN.—I do hope we shall know semething about the size of the document before we order it. I believe the Senate two years ago ordered a somewhat similar document which cost them about ninety thousand dollars-a document made up of scraps for the most part. For my own part I am utterly opposed to the whole affair. I hope the resolution will not be taken-up.

After some further conversation, the question being put, there were on a division ayes 16 and noes 7.

No quorum voting-

On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

## THURSDAY, JUNE 8, 1848.

#### PETITIONS

Mr. BADGER presented the petition of Gaspard Tochman, nar. BADUER presented the petition of Gaspara Rochman, praying the passage of an act authorizing a change of the venue of certain suits to which he is a party, now pending before the United States Circuit Court for the District of Columbia, to the United States Circuit Court for the District of Maryland; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Mr. CALHOUN presented the petition of Maria Caldwell Robertson, representative of James Caldwell, deceased, praying the payment of certain outstanding loan office certificates; which was referred to the Committee on Revolutionary Claims.

#### ADJOURNMENT OVER

On motion, it was

Ordered. That when the Senate adjourn it be to Monday next.

#### THE SOUTHERN MAIL.

Mr. PEARCE, from the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads, to whom was referred the resolution of the Senate of the 17th January last, upon the subject, submitted a report ac-companied by a joint resolution to authorize and require a renew-al of a contract for carrying the mail.

The joint resolution was read and passed to the second reading. Ordered, That the report be printed.

#### PRIVATE BILLS, ETC.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the Committee on Pensions, to whom the following joint resolution and bills from the House of Representatives were referred, reported the same without amend-

Joint resolution relative to evidence in applications for pensions.

An act for the relief of Anna Yarrington

An act for the relief of Anna Griffin, of the county of Wyomiog, State of New York.

An act granting a pension to Ruth Hollenbece.

An act for the selief of Elizs A. Mellon

An act making appropriations for the payment of navy pensions for the year ending 30th June, 1849.

An act for the relief of William H. Wilson.

An act for the relief of Amos Boll. An act for the relief of Maurice R. Simons.

An act for the relief of Henry N. Halsted.

An act for the relief of John Farnha

30TH CONG .- IST SESSION-No. 89.

An act for the relief of William Go As act for the relief of Catharine Hoffman

An act for the relief of Mary Pike.

An act for the relief of Gideon A. Perry.

An act for the relief of Joseph Taylor. An act for the relief of Mary W. Thompson.

An act for the relief of John Hann.

#### CHANGE OF REFERENCE.

### On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on Pensions be discharged from Oracrea, that the Committee on Pensions be discharged from the further consideration of the roport of the Secretary of the Na-vy, communicating papers filed by Mary Cassin, in her applica-tion for a pension; also from the further consideration of the fol-lowing bills:

An act for the relief of William Butler;

An act for the relief of Robert Ramsay ;

and that the same be referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

### PRIVATE BILLS.

Mr. BREESE, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom were referred the petition and decuments relating to the claim of Charity Herrington, reported a bill for the relief of Charity Her-rington; which was read and passed to a second reading.

Mr. BREESE, from the same committee, to whom was referred the petition of William L. Wigent, reported a bill for the relief of William L. Wigent, which was read and passed to a second reading.

### THE JEFFERSON PAPERS.

Mr. MASON, from the Committee on the Library, to whom was referred the memorial of Thomas J. Randolph, submitted a report, accompanied by a bill, authorizing the purchase and publication of the papers and manuscripts of the late Thomas Jefferson

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

## EXECUTIVE SESSION.

The Senate proceeded to the consideration of Executive business; and after some time spent therein,

On motion.

The Senate adjourned.

## MONDAY, JUNE 12, 1848.

### MESSAGE PROM THE PRESIDENT.

The following message was received from the President of the United States, by Mr. WALKER, his Secretary:

### To the Senate of the United States

I communicate herewith a report from the Secretary of State, together with the accompanying documents, in compliance with the resolution of the Senate, of the all this time. The report of the Senate, of the all the second minimum of the Christic Secretary of States and the Minimum of the Christic States at Paris, since the recent charge in the government of France."

JAMI'S K. POLA.

Washington, June 12, 1848.

The message having been read-

On motion by Mr. MASON, it was

Ordered, That it be printed, and that five thousand copies, in addition to the usual number, be printed for the use of the Senate

Mr. BRADBURY presented the credentials of the Hon. HAN-NIBAL HAMLIN. elected a Senator by the Legislature of the State of Maine, to fill the vacancy occasioned by the decease of the Hon. JOHN FAIRFIELD; which were read.

The earli prescribed by law having been administered to Mr. HAMLIN, he took his seat in the Senate.

### REPORT FROM THE WAR DEPARTMENT.

The PRESIDENT, pro tempore, laid before the Senato a communication from the Department of War, in answer to a resolution of the Senate of the 28th of April last, in regard to the expenses of the national armories, the cost of erms, and the quantity of arms made, procured, condemned and sold; which was

Ordered, That it lie on the table.

### PETITIONS.

Mr. WEBSTER presented the petition of Daniel G. Ingra-ham, heir and legal representative of Joseph Ingraham, deceased, praying ompensation for certain manuscript journals of two younges to the northern section of America, in 1787 and 1791, which were used for the purpose of substantiating the old the United States to terror and an another of the United States to terror on the Committee on Foreign Relations.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, presented two memorials of offi cers and non-commissioned officers of the District of Columbia and Maryland regiment of volunteers in Mexico, contradicting certain allegations, contained in a memorial of Charles Lee Jones to Congress, against the conduct of the officer commanding that regi-ment, and praying that an investigation of the matter may be di-rected by Congress; which were referred to the Committee on Military Affairs

Mr. DICKINSON presented the memorial of George C. De Kay, praying the reimbursement of money expended by him on account of the frigate Macedonian, while employed in transporting provisions for the relief of the distressed population of Ireland and Scotland; which was referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

Mr. BRADBURY presented the petition of Isaac Davenport, heir of Joseph Davenport, deceased, a revolutionary soldier, praying to be allowed a pension; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, presented the memorial of James Ferrell, a soldier in the Mexican war, praying an increase of pen-sion; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. BRADBURY presented a petition of citizens of Maine, praying the discontinuance of the mail from Weld to Andover, and the establishment of a mail route from Moxico to Byron in that State; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office

Also, the petition of the heirs of Isaac Worthen, deceased, a revolutionary soldier, praying to be allowed arrearages of pension; which was referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. DAYTON presented a momorial of citizens of Trenton, New Jersey, praying the removal of obstructions in the Delaware river; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce.

Mr. WESTCOTT presented the memorial of George Colce Postmaster at Picolata, in East Florida, praying an increase of compensation; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

On motion by Mr. ATHERTON, it was

Ordered, That the heirs of Phineas Babcock have leave to with draw the documents relating to their claim

### THE WAR CORRESPONDENCE.

Mr. BADGER submitted the following resolution for conside-

Resolved. That there be printed for the use of the Scoate —— copies of Executive document No. 60 of the House of Representatives of the presentation, entitled the Mexican war consepondent.

#### CHARGE OF THE CAPITOL AND GROUNDS.

Mr. BADGER submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved. That the Committee on Public Buildings be instructed to inquire into the expediency of tracefering to the Secretary of the Servate and Clerk of the House of Representatives all the duties and powers ow vested in or exercised by the Commissioner of Public Buildings, on far as re-perts the capital and the grounds appearants to the commission of the servation of the serv

#### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Represontatives, by Mr. CAMPBELL, their Clerk

Mr. Provideri. The Hear of Representative have passed a resolution fixing a time for the department of the green among of Congress; in which they request the concurrence of the Senat. They have also passed a resolution to cause the lump and staff to be removed from They have also passed a resolution to the senate of the resolution of the senate of the resolution of the senate of the senate of the resolution of the senate of the sena

### SIGNING OF A BILL.

The PRESIDENT, pro tempore, signed the enrolled bill of the House of Representatives entitled "An act to appropriate the proceeds of the public lands and to grant pre-emption rights."

### RETIRED LISTS FOR THE ARMY AND NAVY

On motion by Mr. YULEE, the prior orders were postponed and the Senate proceeded to consider the bill "to increase the ef-ficiency of the army by a retired list for disabled officers," and the bill "to promote the efficiency of the Navy."

Mr. YULEE moved that said bill be referred to a select committee

The further consideration of the subject was postponed until tomorrow.

### JACKSON AND BRANDON RAILROAD.

Mr. BREESE, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives to amend an act entitled "An act to appropriate the proceeds of the sales of the public lands," approved September 4, 1841, reported it without amendment.

On motion by Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, the prior orders were postponed, and the Senate proceeded to consider the said bill as in Committee of the Whole.

No amendment being made, the bill was reported to the Senate Ordered, That it pass to a third reading.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved, That this bill pass.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly

### PRIVATE BILLS

Mr. BREESE, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom were referred the following bills from the House of Representatives, reported them without amendment:

An act for the relief of John Ozias.

An act authorizing the Secretary of War to issue a duplicate of land warrant No. 1469, which originally issued in favor of Adam Hart, February 3d, 1829. An act extending to John Whitsell's heirs the privilege of purchasing a quarter secon of land which was given to him by an act approved March 2d, 1839.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the Committee on Pen-sions, to whom was referred the petition of John Leroy, submit-ted a report accompanied by a bill for his relief.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading

Ordered, That the report be printed.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the same committee, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of Fielding G. Brown, reported it with an amendment.

THE PATENT OFFICE REPORT.

The Senate proceeded to consider the motion submitted by Mr. BADGER, on the 19th ult., that 20.000 copies of so much of the annual report of the Commissioner of Patents received during the present session as has been printed by the House of Representatives, be printed for the use of the Senate.

Mr. WESTCOTT moved to amend the same by striking out 'twenty thousand" and inserting "fifty thousand," and adding at the end thereof the following:

—"to be bound in like manner; and also five thousand copies of the residue of said report containing the laws relating to Patents, and the legal decisions thereon; and that one thousand copies of each be given to the Commissioner of Patents for distribution."

Mr. WESTOOTT—I hold in my hand the book which has been printed under the resolution of the House, and which I propose shall be bound in like manner as this volume is, for the use of the Senate. The House has ordered 100,000 copies, and I propose that we shall have half that number. The work has been considerably abridged, and the printing will not cost over thirty-seven and a half cents per copy.

seven than a man countries per copy.

Mr. CALHOUN.—The Commissioner of Patents, I believe, has already more duties than he can attend to. If gentlemen propose to divide the department, and have one individual contribution of the internal affairs, be it so. But I ask them to internal affairs, be it is one but I ask them to man the propose of the prop

Mr. HALE—I shall have to vote against the anendment and against the original resolution, whether amended or one. Since we have got into this book making bearers, applications frould quarters are multiplying, and we shall find rather a serious difficulty in supplying all the demands, as it is to be done gratuitously. Solomon said, 'to the making of books there is no end.' It strikes me, that in his time there was no beginning, in comparison with what we have at present. I am opposed to the whole of the

Mr. BADGER.—I have the misfortuse on this occasion to differ with the honorable Seastor from New Hampshire, and I regret that his zeal for preventing the publication of information for the people had not broken forth a little earlier. I regard this information as of more value to the people the United States than the large amount of political matter which has been published, I think, 20,000 copies of the report on military affairs on the California claims, and we have ordered a large number of copies of the proceedings of the court marrial in the case of Lieut. Col. Fremont. And I think it mas the seal that the people of the United States than the large amount of years of the court marrial in the case of Lieut. Col. Fremont. And I think it must be admixed that the people of the United States than the report now proposed to be printed. For myself, I near not estimate the precise value of these spares. I am neither a farmer nor a planter; but it is admitted that they are of great value to the agriculturist, and I do not think that an expediture of money could be made that would be more advantageous to the people who are engaged in industrial pursuits in the cultivation of the soll. And as it is their own money which is to pay for the printing, I am exceedingly sorry that gentlemen should find fault with it. The Senator from South Carolina says, if we propose to fine comes up we will present the content of the commissioner of the Patent Office are too great. If so, of the commissioner of the Patent Office are too great. If so, the more of the Commissioner of promote the establishment, directly or indirectly, of any home office, by ordering it to be printed. I will lin at going this report; but I am clearly of opinion, I hat having been prepared, it is desirable that it should be printed.

Mr. CAMERON.—This Patent Office is the only branch of the government that sustains itself. It has a large fund to its reedlin in the Treasury which has been received from the patentees of inventions. It is but just then, that a portion of this fund should be appropriated to such a purpose as will bensh; the very class of people from whom it was derived, and they are chiefly the farmers and mechanics of the North. This document is one of peculiar interest to the northern portion of the country. I receive communications deally from my constituents asking when it is to be published. We publish other works for which we pay thousands and bundreds of thousands of dollars.

Mr. WESTCOTT .- Our own speeches among the rest.

Mr. CAMERON.—Yes, our own speeches, a thing I have always regarded as being very unwise, and I hope that before the session is over, we shall put a stop to it. But the subject treated of in this book is of the utunost interest to our farmers; and if up bublish at all, I think that Sp.000 will not be too large a number.

Mr. HALE.—The Senator from North Carolina is astonished that I should interpose an objection here, because I had interposed no objection level, because I had interposed no objection to the printing of something elseaned we, it is exactly for the reason that the printing of this document in he objected to without readering the person who objects obnozion to any accessation against his patriction. If the document had contained any thing political, or any thing relating to the army or navy, and

I had objected to its being printed, my patriotism would prohably have been inspeached—I would have been set down as being indifferent to the interests and glory of the country, but here is a dictiment to the interests and glory of the country but here is a document that is separate and distince some all such considerations. About its merits I have nothing to say many other the anxiety of individuals to obtain it. But there are many other the sanctity of proper would like equally well to obtain. I dare say if you were to print a handred thousand copies of Dicker's last novel, it would be equally sought after. One word in regard to a remark of the Senator from Pennsylvania. He says this money ought to go back to the inventors, who have paid it for their patents. But it is not proposed by this recolution that it shall go to them at all. The books are to be put into the possession of members to be distributed amongst their friends. I think the system is wholly wrong. If the people want the book, they ought to procure it as they do all other valuable books.

Mr. WESTCOTT.—I wish to make one or two observations, in order to correct what I conceive to be a misapprehension. The expense incurred in printing the report from the Patent Cliffce last year was a large amount.—I believe about ninety thousand dollars year was a large amount.—I believe about ninety thousand dollars but the volume was more than twice as large as this, and the cost was more than one-third more for each page. This report, so far as relates to agricultural matters, is under the direction of the net of Congress, which prescribes that it is shall not exceed three mecessary to be printed few to see the case of any document, of which we order ten, twenty, thirty, or forty thousand copies printed, so large a number is necessary for the use of Congress alone. We print, at the beginning of each session, the Executive message, at a very large expense, to distribute among our constituents. For what purpose? Why, to give them political information, but for the purpose of relieving them more interest, we pay \$12,000 a year to them. In relation to our own species, we pay \$12,000 a year valuable to the people much might be will not continued that it has become naused more interest. The printing of the additional number proposed here, will not cost any thing like the proportional expense of a smaller number. I have been informed that it has been steroeyed; and the expense will be but little. I am induced, however, at the suggestion of the Senator from North Carolina, and others,

Mr. NILES.—I supposed that this matter had been settled by the action of the Senate some years ago. It was very made at the action of the Senate some years ago. It was very made at the action of the Senate some of the Senate that the whole proceeding was wrong, and that it should be stopped. I supposed that appropriations for this purpose had been abandoned. My objections to them rest upon two grounds. In the first place, it is an abuse—there never has been any law to sanction it; and in the next place, ld on think it is within the proper action of Congress to make appropriations for printing and poblishing books in this way. If any thing he done is this matter, it should be by constituting a board or bureau, to be regulated by law, in order to give authenticity to its proceedings. The present this way. A few days ago the Commissioner of Patents was authorized to collect agricultural statistics, and very soon we had placed upon our table a book of 400 pages, the whole of which might have been contained in a pumplied of twenty pages, and was so intended by Congress. The Senate was startled to see so large a document produced under so small an authorizy as that merely authorizing the commissioner to collect some tables of statistics on the subject of agriculture. Now we have a report, but not so voluminous certainly, of the same character. There has a tristed to the subject of agriculture. It may report authory on the part of the Senate to order its publication. It is not a report of the action of any bureau or branch of this government. It has nothing to do with the service of the government in any of its parts, but it is simply a book of agriculture. It may be a valuable book, but the question is, whether it belongs to the Senate to publish ascah a book. It this it is not the agriculture, we may, with the senare propriety, publish books upon all other subjects. The Senator from North Carolina says that it is more valuable than many documents that we publish. That may be. The New Tesseners of the government, but

and the Senate having a few years ago repudiated this whole proceeding, I hope we shall adhere to that course.

Mr. CALHOUN .- It is said that the money that is to be ap propriated for the printing of this book is to be taken from a fund that has been collected from inventors in payment for their patents, the cost of each patent, I believe, being about \$30. This fund is set apart, and out of this we now propose to print this book fund is set apair, and out of units we now probes to be interested for distribution. Now, I put the question, what right have we to take from the inventors this sum, and to give it to any one portion of the country? If the expenses of the office do not require that the fees be so high, why not reduce them? Why should we saw the fees be so high, why not reduce them? rifice one class of people to benefit another class? It is said that How many will it benefit? Fifty thousand is but a small portion of the whole number of agriculturalists in this country. You propose to take the expenses out of a fund collected from one class of individuals in the community and bestow it upon a small portion of another class. You have just about as much right to do this as you have to take the money out of the Treasury. I put it solemnly to the Senator from North Carolina, whether he can justify himself in taking it out of this fund ?

Mr. WESTCOTT .- Will the honorable Senator allow me a The Senator from Pennsylvania was mistaken in single remark? regard to one fact to which the Senator from South Carolina has adverted. The expense of printing this book is not to be paid out of the Patent Office fund, but out of the contingent fund of the Senate. Nevertheless, it is true, as the Senator stated, that there is a large balance in favor of the Patent Office in the Treasury.

Mr. CAMERON .- It is true, this expense will be paid out of the contingent fund of the Senate, but a large fund has been col-lected from inventors, and I am prepared to go not only with the Senator from South Carolina to reduce the fees of that office, but also to make some disposition of the funds that have been thus col-

Mr. CALHOUN .- I do not know where this money is to come from, but I believe firmly that it is to be taken from that fund. I ask the Senator to inform me what has become of that fund, if it set apart by law and does not go into the common treasury? But be that as it may, we are not to take money out of the treasury to give it to one particular class of citizens, to make a mere donation to a particular class of individuals. Sir, the whole thing is wrong. It is unjust.

Mr. BADGER .- The Senator from South Carolina looks upon these appropriations of money for disseminating information, being improper. It is unfortunate that the Senator should differ entirely from both Houses of Congress. Congress has been con-stantly engaged in placing information before the American peostantly engaged in placing momentum or order the American per-ple; and I have heard no answer yet to my question, why we or-dered the printing of so large a number of those voluminous re-ports, that of the Committee on Military Affairs, and the trial of the court martial in the Fremont case.

Mr. CALHOUN .- I rose in my place at the time, and stated my positive and decided objection.

Mr. BADGER .- Did the Senator call for the yeas and mays? Mr. CALHOUN .- No.

Mr. BADGER.—Well, then, he merely took occasion to state his opposition. Now here it is proposed to publish valuable information, and the Senator from South Carolina supposing that the money is to be taken out of the Patent Office fund, opposes it on the ground of injustice. But it turns out that we are taking it out of the contingent fund of the Senato. It is money belonging to the American people, and it is to be devoted to furnishing them information. But the Senator from South Carolina objects also, because it is impossible to put the book into the hands of every man in the United States. If that argument is good for anything, man in the content states. It that argument is good for anything, it will apply equally to every document that we publish. We diffuse the information as widely as the nature of the ease will admit. This is desired by the people a great deal more than the President's message and documents, and the expense is to be paid out of their moosey. I think, therefore, that it would be very well for the Senate to gratify them.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana .- I consider this. of all the docu-Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana.—I consider this, of all the docu-ments published by Congress, to be the most important to the mass of the people of this country, and it certainly seems to me strange that the Senate should refuse to print it. I think that a very large number ought to be printed. I have had numerous applications from my constituents for copies of this document.

Mr. CALHOUN .- With regard to the California report, when that report was presented here by the honorable Senator from Michigan, chairman of the Committee on Military Affairs, I objected to the printing of the large number proposed. Mr. Cass rose and stated that it was a most important document, and that it was nestated that it was a most important document, and that it was necessary to the understanding of the claims, and the printing was accordingly ordered. But there is a vast distinction between a document which has a direct bearing upon the action of Congress. and one which has not. What act of legislation is ever expected to grow out of this report of the Commissionor of Patents? With regard to subjects connected with legislation, it is necessary that documents should be printed to enable our constituents—at least the intelligent portion of them—to understand how we perform our duty here. It is said that this document is sought for by the people, and that its publication will be popular. No doubt it will be popular with those who get the books, but will it be so with the people from whom the money comes with which the expense is to be paid. The tax-paying part of the people have a right to know at is going on here, but this is not the sort of document that will inform them.

Mr., UNDERWOOD.—I hope this document will be printed, and I hope it will not only be printed as it now is, but that the Senate and Honse will take measures to make it more perfect by the and the first of the resolution which I offered the other day. Now, what does Congress do in regard to publishing? They give twenty-five or thirty thousand dollars to purchase the Madison papers, twenty-five or thirty for the purchase of the papers of Alexander Hamilton, and there is now a bill before Congress for the purchase naminon, and there is now a only estore Congress for the pur-chase of Mr. Jefferson's old manuscripts to print. Now, I make a great distinction between matters of that sort and propositions such as we have now before us. But gentlemen who rote very readily their twenty or twenty-five thousand dollars to buy up old manuscripts that have been lying in the private chests of old fami-lies for centuries, it seems to me, act very strangely when they rethese to vote to print a document, for the printing of which we have been appealed to by the people more than for any other. What did you do the other day? You determined to have, I do not know how many, maps engraved at a very considerable expense, resulthow many, maps engraved at a voy survey of Oregon and California, and a geogra-ing from a partial survey of Oregon and California, and a geogra-ling from a partial to go along with them. If you incur so phical memoir printed to go along with them. If you incur so much expense thus early to publish a partial exploration of those countries, what will your expense be hereafter? You cannot turn to our past proceedings here without finding appropriations of hundreds of thousands of dollars for objects, it seems to me, that are useless in comparison with the present. I admit that one abuse cannot be justified by another, but I deny that this is an abuse in cannot be justified by another, but I deny that tols is a shouse in view of what we see every day passing around us. What is the nature of this document? It contains statistical information on the subject of the productions of the country, a subject which constitutes the very foundation of legislation, daily and hourly, and if you embrace my resolution you will have the whole information in regard to supply and prices.

[The honorable Senator referred to instances in which the Secretary of State had heretofore been authorized to obtain for publication, statistics of the prices of commodities purchased at foreign ports.]

Here is the very thing which I have proposed shall be done in reference to the productions of our own country, and this is pro-nounced by the Senator over the way to be of no more value than the last year's almanac. I am for making our Patent Office and statistical bureau for collecting that information upon which our legislation will be in a great degree based.

The question was then taken upon agrecing to the amendment of Mr. WESTCOTT, as medified, and it was determined in the affirmative, as follows:

YEAS—Merrs Badors Borban, Bernen, Bresse Cameron, Corvan, Cristandon, Devard Musaciandett, Borona, Schich Genera, Handan, Jahimon, del Mayaland, Johnson, of Louissian, Mangean, Pearse, Raik, Sebastian, Sturgeon, Underwood, Uphan, Webster, and Westocit—23, XAX9—Messrs, Atchinon, Atherion, Bagiv, Bell, Butler, Calhonn, Daxis, Massingapi, Decknon, Dox, Hild, Hanter, Levis, Nies, Tumer, and Vales—13.

The question recurring on the motion as amended-

Mr. DICKINSON said: I have long seen that there would come a stopping place to this book-making business by Congress. I should regret that the stopping place should be at this particular document, if it could be elsewhere. But for the present I clearly perceive that it enanch the stopped here, or any where else; however, I shall vote against it. I voted against the amendment and although it was adopted, I shall still vote, however ineffections. ually, against the resolution, We are getting a great repute for book-making. A great proportion of the letters received by Sen-DOMAINABLE A great proportion of the letters received by Senators, contain application for books. I had a few days ago a letter from a stranger, in these words:

"Sir: Please send me a blue-book; as they are scarce and difficult to be obtained, and cost \$2 a copy."

Of course I was very happy to comply with so modest a request. Of course I was very happy to comply with so modest a request. On another day, as I was passing over to the Honse of Representatives, I was met by a pleasant and obliging gentleman, who asked me if I was a member. I answered in the affirmative. He then said that Congress was about to publish a book upon Odd Fellowskin and buffeed that I would be feared in each yellow him that I had not the honor to belong to the order, and would probably not be furnished with any of the books—that he had better apply to some other member. Somebody, no doubt, had been practising a joke upon this individual, but it goes to show the reputation Congress has for book-making. The circumstance of ple being desirous to receive books from members is no answer to the objection against the practice. It is of course regarded by the constituent as a compliment when a member sends him a book, and I regard it as a compliment to receive a request for one. Congress has gone far enough in book-making; it will have to be ended. It has been well remarked by the Senator from South Carolina, that there is nothing in this document which concerns legislation. We are undertaking the instruction of the people in agriculture—we are undertaking to instruct them how to produce. If we could instruct them how to consume, it would be more to the purpose. It is gratifying, to be sure, to be able to send books; but you can send to but comparatively few, and when you send to

one you disoblige ethers who have an equal claim. I repeat, that I regret to begin with this document, but I have made up my mind to resist every proposition of this sert.

The motion, as amended, was then agreed to, as follows

Ordered. That 30,000 copies of so much of the annual report Ordered, That 30,000 copies of so much of the annual report of the Commissioner of Patents received during the present ses-sion as has been ordered to be printed by the House of Represen-tatives be printed for the use of the Senate, to be bound in like manner, and also 5 000 copies of the residue of said report, em-bracing the laws relating to patents and the legal decisions there-on, and that 1,000 copies of each be given to the Commissioner of Patents for distribution.

### DRAWINGS AND ENGRAVINGS.

Mr. WESTCOTT submitted the following resolution for consideration :

Resolved, That the Secretary of the Senate be authorized to pay out of the contingent find of the Senate to Charles L. Fleichmann such sum as the Commissioner of Patents may certify is reasonable, and the Commistee of the Contingent Fand may approve of, for finishing the drawings and engravings for the publication, by order of the Senate, of Patent Office report.

### CLOSE OF THE SESSION.

The joint resolution from the House of Representatives fixing a time for the adjournment of the present session of Congress, was read the first time; and it was

Ordered, That the further consideration thereof he postponed until to-morrow.

#### HOUR OF MEETING.

The Senate proceeded to consider the resolution submitted by Mr. DOWNS, on the 3d instant, that on and after Monday next the daily hour of meeting of the Senate be 11 o'clock, A. M.

Mr. DOWNS modified the resolution by striking out "Monday next" and inserting "this day."

The question being put on agreeing to the resolution it was determined in the negative.

#### NOTICES OF BILLS.

Mr. BORLAND gave notice that on to-morrow he should ask leave to revive an act authorizing certain soldiers in the late war with Great Britain te surrender bounty lands drawn by them, and to locate others in lieu thereof.

Mr. WEBSTER gave notice that on to-morrow he should ask leave to extend the benefits of an act entitled "An act to establish a uniform rule of naturalization, and to repeal the acts heretofore passed on that subject," to the wives and children of citi-

### INDIAN APPROPRIATION BILL.

The Senate resumed the consideration, as in Committee of the The Senate bestined the Consideration as in Committee of the Whole, of the bill from the House of Representatives making appropriation for the current and contingent expenses of the Indian Department, and for fulfilling treaty stipulations with the various Indian tribes for the year ending on the 30th June, 1849, and for other purposes

The question pending was upon agreeing to the following amendment, heretofore submitted by Mr. Bell:

amendment, herefolore submitted by Mr. Br.L.:

"And the problem of the problem of

Mr. ATHERTON .- This amendment is not only important in Mr. ATHERTON.—This amendment is not only important in itself, but important as regards the question which it involves. I hope I shall be so fortunate as to have the attention of the Senate whilst I endeavor briefly to explain my objections. The amendment declares that the number of Cherokees who remained in North Carolina and the other States after the treaty of '36, shall be ascertained, and that the Secretary of the Treasury shall set aside \$833 35 or each Indian who thus remained. It provides further than the secretary of the second of the ther that interest shall be paid upon this sum from the time of the ratification of that treaty at the rate of six per cent., and that it shall be paid to the Indians per capita, the principal remaining to small be paid to be indicate per capita, the proper to remove, but the in-terest is to be paid to them whether they remove or not. It is not the intention, I believe, of this proposition that they shall be re-moved. Indeed, I believe that they state in their memorial their express design to remain. The proposition in itself involves an

amount of about one hundred thousand dellars. The number of amounts of about one unique unousand collets. In entitliner of the Cherokee's remaining is large unough to make the principal amount to over sixty thousand, it and the interest will amount to over housand. It thousand it create a charge will amount to over the collection of the col after, this is not the full extent of the importance of the amendment. I said the other day that this proposition, whether the money is due to the Indians or not, did not properly lelong to the Indian appropriation bill. It is a mere claim—ti sput forth as such by Mr. Thomas on behalf of these Cherokees, and the claim has been rejected over and over again by all the officers who have had charge of the department for twelve years past, and not only rejected by them, but it was brought before Congress and reported upon adversely on two occasions. It is again pressed upon Congress, and it is contended that it should be inserted in this appropriation bill report by those expectations which are usually enteriated in some cases, when they have the United States for a pretended debtor, and the Indians for their clients, especially when the amount claimed is a large sum. I understand the Senator from North Carolina to state that there could be no difficulty whatever about this claim. I understand him to contend that it arose from Norm Careina to state that there could be be difficulty whatever about this claim. I understand him to contend that it arose from the construction of the treaty itself, and that was the reason he urged for its being introduced into the appropriation bill. This, I urgest for its using introduced motous appropriation on. "Ausy," contend, is an implied admission on the part of the Senator from North Carolina, that unless the claim arises from the legal and proper construction of the treaty, the ground would be abandoned by him, and that if it was a claim arising merely upon equitable grounds it should stand upon its own merits in a separate bill.

Mr. BADGER .- I do not admit that it is necessary to show that the claim arises from the legal construction of the treaty, in order to make it a proper subject to be inserted in this bill. I offer that as a conclusive reason why it should go into the hill.

Mr. ATHERTON.—I understuod, also, the henorable Senator to suggest that this subject had received the attention of a committee of the Honse, which had reported unanimously in its favor. I beg leave to correct the gentleman. So far from the committee having been unanimous in its favor, there was an able, and as appears to me, conclusive minerity report made against it. pears to me, concentisive inmostry teport made against it. On one subject the committee were unanimous, and that was in the opin-ion that the terms of the treaty do not embrace this allow-ance. Permit me to read the following extract from the minority

"The committee are unanimous in the opinion that the terms of the treaty con aed in the most liberal manager, do not embrace the allowance."

The committee are unanimous in the opinion that the terms of The committee are unanimous in the opinion that the terms of the treaty do not embrace this allowance. I also understand the Senator from North Carolina to suggest that the opinion of the Commissioner of Indian Adlairs and of the Attorney General were founded on the presumption that this ength not to be paid out of the Indian India, and did not express an opinion as to whether it was required by the terms of the treaty.

Mr. BADGER .- I referred only to the opinion of the Attorney General.

Mr. ATHERTON.—Well, I will inform the gentleman that the opinion of the Attorney General is founded on the terms of the treaty itself; and distinctly concludes that the terms of the treaty do not embrace this allowance. A reference to the clauses of the treaty affords the most convincing argument on this point. The 8th article of the treaty of New Echeta, is in these words:

SIN article of the treaty of New Ecologia, is in these words:

Article 8. The United States sho agree and stipplate to remove the Cherokees to
their new homes, and to sobist them one year after their arrival there, and that a sol
fleient aumber of teamboats and bagger wagnes shall be framished to ensow them
comfortably, and so no oft to endanger the characteristic and the states of the endoughter them of the conformation of the configuration of the states of the comment. See process and framines as in the opinion of the emigrating agent, are
capable of sobisting and removing themselver, shall be permitted to do so; and they
shall be allowed in full for all characters for the same, twenty dollars for each one moment of
their family, and in lade of their one year's rations, they shall be parent the training of the control of the control

So it seems by this article it was provided that the United States about section of the desired with the desired with the Message should be about the Message provided that, if in the opinion of the agents any of these Cherokees should be capable of removing themselves, they should be allowed twenty dellars for removal and thirty-three densi in lieu of their rations. Now, strange as it may appear after reading these words, this allowance of fifty-three dollars and thirty-three cents, which was to be in the nature of commutation money for removal and subsistence to those who removed themselves, it is now surged should be paid, not only to those who have not removed, but to those who declare that they do not intend to remove. And not only so, but that interest shall be paid to them from the date of the treaty up to this time. It appears to me that the words of the treaty are clear and unambi-guous, and that it never could have been intended that those who remain should receive any such allowance. What was the object of the treaty? It was that the Indians should be removed. Why, to allow to those who remain this sum, which was intended as commutation for the expenses of those who removed, would be treating the Indians who remained better than those who went. It would in fact be offering a bribe, an inducement to remain. But there is another clause which puts this construction beyond all

The 8th article also provides as follows .

"Such Cherokees also as reside at present out of the nation, and shall remove with them to two years west of the Mississipps, shall be cottled to allowance for removal and substatence as above provided,"

Now if all, whether they remained or went, were to be entitled

to remeval and subsistence, where was the use of providing that those who should in two years emigrate, should have the benefit of the commutation above provided? Besides it was by this article not even provided, that all who should emigate, should have the benefit of the commutation, but only such as in the opinion of the emigrating agent, "were capable of subsisting and removing themselves." The words of the article are so clear and unambiguous, that it is impossible to make their clearer by comment. But it has been contended by the Senator from North Carolina, that the 12th article of the treaty contains a provision which shows that these Indians who remained in North Carolina, are entitled to commutation for the expenses of their removal west of of the Mississippi, and that those who never went to "their new homes" are entitled to commutation for subsistence for "one year after their arrival there.

Now, sir, is there any thing in the 12th article which makes it incumbent on us to place upon the 8th article and the treaty itself, such a forced and unnatural construction? On the contrary, the

such a forced and unnatural construction? On the contrary, the Lish article confirms the construction which arises irresistilly from the clear and unequivocal language of the Sth article.

"ARTICE IT These individuals and finalises of the Cheroker annual interval and the Cheroker and the Cheroker and the properties of the States where they reside, and ratch as are qualified for take any of themselves and their property, shall be entitled to escene their experience, and per capite, as soon as an appropriation is made for the treaty."

"All the personal benefits accuraing under this retartly for their claims, improvements, and per capita." The word "claims" is no where used in the treaty to include removal or subsistence, but the word of the contract of the state of t odiams, is specificary mentioned. Claims amorthly reters to demands for spoliation and debts against the nation. The omission of removal and subsistence in the 12th article fortifies the construction arising from the clear language of the 8th article, instead of contradicting it. It will not be pretended that the term improvements" can, by any reasoning, be made to include remo-al or subsistence. The "per capita" mentioned in the 12th ar-Improvements can, by any experiment of the 12th are all or abuscatenee. The 'per capita' mentioned in the 12th are all or abuscatenees. The 'per capita' mentioned in the Ladeed, there is no other per capita distribution provided for in the treaty since the language of the 8th article provides commutation only for those who, in the opinion of the emigrating agent, were able to remove and subset themselves. Per anim mo to read the 15th article; "Anticle 15 in expensive posteriol and agent detected the particle article, which again fortifies the clear intention of the 8th article; "Anticle 15 in expensive posteriol and agreed between the paints to this article, which again fortifies the clear intention of the 8th article; "Anticle 15 in expensive posteriol and agreed between the paints to the most of importances, ferree, claims for spolation, resource, substitute, and other other and the spot of the substitute, and the general automat food provided for us the swernal article of this treaty, the bedame, the state of the substitute of the substi val or subsistence.

This brings me to another view of the subject. The amendment of the Senator from Tennessee provides that this amount shall be paid out of the Treasury of the United States. The treaty expressly provides, as appears from the article just read, that the removal and subsistence expenses shall be paid out of the Cherokee find. It becomes then a question of no small importance. Formerly, when the contest was between the different sections of the tribe, those who had gone west and those who did not go, it was contended by John Ross and his party that those who remained should not receive the allowance, on the ground that it was to come out of this five million fund, an that the balance to be distributed would thereby be lessened. But now, when the proposition is to take it out of the Treasury of the United States, United States, they all come in together demanding that the allowance shall be paid even to those who do not remove. But, sir, this five million fund was not the only money set apart for their use. The government has acted with great liberality towards them. There was a supplemental treaty made, in which it was provided that six hundred thousand dollars should be granted to them, and that this should be in full for all claims not provided for in the treaty. And this was not all. In 1838 there was another appropriation made of over all the state of the state they all come in together demanding that the alpretended that because this additional allowance was made, the government is therefore bound to pay for their removal and substance out of the treasury? No, sir, the proceedings of Congress show that this conclusion is entirely negatived. The report nade by Judge White states expressly, that this is a voluntary grant to the Indians. Judge White in his report recommending the allowance, uses the following language:

"They believe the few fillows of solains given by the treaty as the difference in the state of the solains and the state of the solains of the state of the solains from the solains for the Christians and the solains for the Christians from the solains from the solains for the Christians from the solains from the solains for the christians from the solains from the so

United States if this liberality on its part is to be made a ground for further and extertionate demands, and not only so but that the money should be paid out of the Treasury-for it includes also

The amount paid already under this treaty, which, it was supposed would have cost us five millions of dollars, is over seven millions. The Indians refused to go for a long time, and it became necessary to call out the military force. Indeed, reckoning all the expenses incurred under the treaty, they cannot be estimated at less than ten millions of dollars. And if we are to establish the principle, that those who remained are to be entitled to the same allowance as those who went, and that the meney is to be taken out of the Treasury, I ask what can prevent those Indians who have already been removed, from coming forward and claiming the same allowance out of the Treasury? Nothing can

If you allow from the treasury of the United States commuta tion for removal and subsistence to those Indians who did not go west, on what ground could you refuse to those who have removed, the same sum from the treasury also? But is that all? No, sir; the principle of this amendment would pledge you to pay interest also. And suppose the expenses and subsistence of the Cherokees who have gone west and have been paid out of the Cherokee fund, as expressly provided by the treaty, to amount to three millions of dollars, the interest on that would amount to two millions, and you have a claim of five millions on the treasury invelved in your action on this amendment. It seems to me that this is too important a question to be decided at once by way of amend-ment to an appropriation bill. But the extent of the impolicy and impropriety of thus deciding it depend also on other circumstances which I will state. It is well known that there has been much which I will state. It is well known that there has been allowed difficulty among the emigrating Cherokees. Disputes and quarrels have arisen, even going as far as bloodsbed. There was also much complaint that the Cherokee fund had been charged with expenses not properly belonging to it. To decide finally on all these mat-ters, and to restore peace and harmony to the Cherokees, the President appointed commissioners to examine the whole subject, and all the questions growing out of the treaty of New Echota. made a report, and there was another treaty negotiated, based principally on the recommendations of the commissioners, and ratprincipally in the recommendations of the commissioners, and ratified in 1846. That treaty provides, if the 8d article, that whereas certain sums for rents under the name of improvements and spoliations, &c., &c., had been improperly paid out of the five million fund, the United States shall reimburse the fund the sum thus paid.

The 9th article provides that the United States shall make a fair and just settlement of all moneys due to the Cherokees, and subject to the per capita division under the treaty of New Echetawhich settlement shall embrace all money properly expended under said treaty, and shall embrace, among other things, all sums paid for removal and subsistence, and commutation therefor, the aggregate to be deducted from the fund, and the balance paid per

capita according to the treaty, &c.

The Commissioner of Indian Affairs has recently, at this session. made a report, pursuant to this treaty, on this whole matter, to show the grounds for a "just and fair settlement." The subject in all its bearings must come before us. Why should we, then, in this incidental manner, by way of an amendment to an appropria-tion bill, prejudge this case, without seeing all its consequences and looking into all its relations?

But this is not all. The very question to be decided and pre-judged on this amendment, on which our sympathy is attempted to be excited for the North Carolina Indians, is solemnly submit-

to be excited for the North Carolina Indians, is solemnly submitted to us in relation to the whole Cherokee nation. The 11th article of the treaty of 1846 is as follows:

\*ARTICE II. Whereas the Cherokee delegations contend that the amount of the content of the treaty of the content of the

We are thus called upon to prejudge in this incidental manner the whole of this great question, thus solemuly submitted to us in

Executive session.

Sir, as I said before, if we allow to those Cherokees who remain Sir, as I said before, it we arrow to those Cheronices were formulation for removing, and for rations, out of the treasury of the United States, with what face can we hereafter say, when the question is submitted to us, that all the other Indians shall not be entitled to the same allowance? We shall thus involve the treasury to the extent of five millions of dollars; and where such an amount is involved, is it to be wondered at there is great activity on the part of the agents of these Indians? I helieve I have stated enough to show the importance of this question, and I trust the Senate will give it that consideration which it magnitude demands.

Mr. BELL was proceeding to address the Senate at length upon

the bill, when
Mr. MANGUM interposed, expressing a hope that the Senator
would dofer his remarks until to-morrow, when there would be a

more full attendance of the Senate.

Mr. BELL acquiescing, the further consideration of the bill was then postponed until te-morrow.

## EXECUTIVE SESSION.

On motion by Mr. WESTCOTT, the Senate preceded to the consideration of Executive business; and after some time spent therein, On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

## TUESDAY, JUNE 13, 1848.

Mr. SEBASTIAN presented a memorial of citizens of Arkansas, praying the purchase of Mount Vernon by the government; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. BUTLER presented the petition of James Chapman, administrator of Thomas Chapman, deceased, late collector of the port of Georgetown, South Carolina, praying the payment of an amount due on account of the proceeds of a eargo condemned and sold in that port for a violation of the non-intercourse act, which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Mr. RUSK presented the memorial of George C. Hutter, an officer in the army, praying to be allowed arrears of pay; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. DIX presented the memorial of Solomon T. James Clinch praying compensation for a quantity of tea fur-nished them for the use of the navy; which was referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

Mr. CALHOUN presented a memorial of merchants and other oitizens of Charleston, South Carolina, praying an appropriation for the erection of a new custom-house at that place; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce.

Mr. BELL presented a memorial of the Delegates of the Che rokee Indians, praying the final settlement of their claims against the United States agreeably to the treaty of 1846; which was re-ferred to the Committee on Indian Affairs, and ordered to be printed.

Mr. WESTCOTT presented a memorial of the Mayor and Board of Aldermen of Pensacola, Florida, praying a grant of certain lots in that place for municipal purposes; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lards.

Mr. HALE presented a memorial of citizens of Ohio, praying the removal of the seat of Government of the United States to Cincinnati, in that State; the motion to receive which was laid upon the table.

Mr. HALE demanded the yeas and nays upon this question.

Mr. DAYTON .- The question has been decided and the decision announced.

Mr. HALE then asked leave to withdraw the petition.

Mr. STURGEON called for the reading of the petition.

It was rend by the Secretary, as follows

"This whereas recent events, as well as the nollections of just years, have must been did not too painfully manifest that the hety of the press and freedom of speech in our Homes of Congress are no longer, on all subjects, safe and notrammelical structure of the contraction of t

the rainless builty and manants for words spokes in delate in their respective Houses on the same subject.

The payer of your monoralists, herefore, it, that passars be immediately taken. They are not provided from the removal of he sent of government is speedly at convenient from it is present location to one new site, within the bounds of some free Stete, where the rights of the press and the privilege of parliament in the persons of Your moreonizations would also forther singest that what renders such a change still more proper and called for, is the fact that in the najouralised growth of our country the pressed sent of government, however entent it may have treders such a change still more proper and called for, is the fact that in the najouralised growth of our country the pressed sent of government, however entent it may have treders such a change still more proper and called for, is the fact that in the najouralised of the voting positions. They would, therefore, wontime to present the city of Cincinnati, in the State of Ohia, as a place in which, from its more contracting to extensively carned on within the bounds in wooling the fact of the fact sent facts over the city carned on within the bounds making what cought to be the citized and sanctuary of liberty, the great slave mark of the Change.

The question was then taken upon the motion of Mr. Hale for withdraw the petition, and was determined in the negative, as follows

YEAS—Mean, Davis, of Massachmetts, Greens, Hale, Niles, Fhelps, Upham, and Westner.—
NAYS—Means: Atchions, Atherton, Redger, Bagby, Bell, Berrien, Borland, Batter Cameno, Barts, of Slusingspt, Bullyon, Dictionson, Drx, Downs, Felch, Hamin, Mangens, Mason, Pearce, Rusk, Sebestian, Stargeon, Turney, Underwood, Westcott, and Yeles—Stargeon, Turney, Underwood, Westcott, and Yeles—Mangens, Mangens, Ma

Mr. HALE -I rise to a question of order. The Senate have refused to receive the petition, and now refuse to let me have it. I desire the chair to state whether, the reception of the petition being refused, it does not belong to me?

Mr. MANGUM.—The paper does not belong to the gentleman. It belongs to the Senate, and lies upon the table. And permit me to say, that I admire very much the firmness and consistency of the Senate in this matter. Until the Senator from New Hampshire came here, during the last twelve years, this subject has

been allowed to rest. If the gentleman came here to agitate for a small party, I hope, at least, that the Senate will not be moved by any such attempt. I say again, the petition is not his. Uhder the action of the Senate, it lies upon the table.

Mr. NILES.—The petition is not at all within the rule which directs that petitions on the subject of the abolition of slavery shall on the received, but that a motion to receive the same shall be laid pion the table. It is a petition for the removal of the seat of go-norment. The remark of the Senator from North Carolina, therefore, is not called for. The petition, although it is perhaps frivious, is not within the rule, as the Senator supposes.

The PRESIDENT, pro tempore, overruled the point of order raised by Mr. HALE.

## THE PRIVATE CALENDAR

Mr. MASON submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to

Resolved, That Friday and Saturday next, the 16th and 17th instant, be set apair for the private bills on the calendar; and that the same then take precedence of all other business.

## FRENCH AND SPANISH GRANTS

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to: Recolved, That the Committee on the Judiciery be instructed to inquire into the expediency of making as appropriation to pay the extra compensation allowed to the Judice of the United States Datitic Contri for the State of Lounaina by the act of 1823, to enable claimants of land under French and Spanish grants in Lounaina to try the validity of their titles.

#### THOMAS F. GORDON.

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the memorial of Thomas F. Gordon, submitted a report, which was ordered to be printed.

## CHANGE OF REFERENCE

## On motion by Mr. MASON, it was

Ordered, That the Committee of Claims be discharged from the further consideration of the memorial of the Clerks in the Pay Department of the army at New Orleans, and that it be referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

# HORSES DESTROYED IN THE MILITARY SERVICE.

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the bill to revive the act entitled "An act to pro-vide for the payment of horses and other property lost or destroyed in the military service of the United States," approved January 18, 1837, and the acts approved October 14, 1837, and August 23, 1842, amendatory of the same, reported it without amendment.

## PRIVATE BILLS, ETC.

Mr. MASON, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was recommitted the joint resolution for the relief of Clements, Bryan and Company, reported it without amendment.

Mr. MASON, from the same committee, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of Wil-liam Ralston, reported it without amendment.

## COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY

Mr. PHELPS was appointed a member of the Committee on the Judiciary, in the place of Mr. Moor.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. BORLAND asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to revive an act authorizing certain soldiers in the late war with Great Britain to surrender bounty lands drawn by them, and to locate others in lien thereof; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

## NATURALIZATION LAWS.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. WEBSTER asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to extend the benefits of an act entitled "An act to establish an uniform rule of naturalization and to repeal the acts heretofore passed on that subject," to the wives and children

Mr. WEBSTER .- I yesterday asked leave of the Senate to in-Troduce a bill further to extend the provisions of the naturalization laws of the United States. The main object of the bill is a very simple one. I will endeavor to state it, with all possible brevity, in the hope that when the committee shall have examined the bill, and shall have reported, it may pass without much delay, as it is

certainly somewhat of an important object. The difficulty which exists in the case respects the national character of children of American on 1802, without a very violent construction of its prosition, children now born abroad of American parents resident abroad, whether in a public capacity, like that of ministers or consults, or private persons travelling for pleasure or on husiness, are not to be deemed and taken to be citizens of the United States. The question or difficulty arises in this way. The phraseology of the act of 1802 is, "that children born abroad of parents who heretofore have been, or now are, etiziens of the United States, shall be deemed and taken to be citizens of the United States, shall be deemed and taken to be citizens of the United States, shall be deemed and taken to be citizens of the United States, themselves." But there are in the act no prospective word; at are, or hereafter shall be, citizens with the states of the United States, and therefore the thing 1802, who may consequently be forty-xis years of the States with the states of t

of age, now going abroad temporarily with their families, either for business purposes, public or private, or for the sake of travel, and having children properties of the pr

The bill was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

#### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

Mr. President: The Speaker of the House of Representatives having signed five enrolled bills bills, I am directed to bring them to the Senate for the signature of their

#### SIGNING OF BILLS.

The PRESIDENT, pro tempore, signed the following enrolled bills:

An act for the relief of Joseph Wilson.

An act for the relief of Jones and Boker

An act for the relief of Richard Bloss and others.

An act for the relief of Fernando Fellanny.

An act for the relief of Charles L. Dell.

RETIRED LISTS IN THE MILITARY AND NAVAL SERVICE.

# On motion by Mr. YULEE, it was

Ordered. That the bill to increase the efficiency of the army by a retired list for disabled officers, and the bill to promote the efficiency of the Navy, be referred to a select committee, to consist of five members, to be appointed by the PRESIDENT, pro tempore.

### CLOSE OF THE SESSION.

Mr. BERRIEN moved that the Senate proceed to the consideration of the joint resolution from the House fixing upon a day for the adjournment of the present session of Congress.

Mr. ATHERTON said he hoped the motion would not prevail, for he thought they were not yet prepared to act upon that resolution. Several Senators were about who would probably be in their seats by Monday metal. It would then he quite some enough to take the model of the model of the solution of the sol

Mr. BADGER was in favor of proceeding to act upon the resolution, for buth! the time of adjournment was fixed the business would not be conducted with a view to its speedy conclusion. If the time were not fixed, probably by the 1st of July the business would be no further advanced than it was at present.

Mr. DICKINSON hoped that they would be able to adjourn at as early a day as that named in the resolution, hut it secured to him that the resolution should not be acted upon now, as the chairmen of the most important committees were absent; there might be degislation connected with the termination of the war, that would necessarily extend the session somewhat beyond the seventeenth of lob.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, observed that in regard to any legislation that would be required in reference to the army, it

would have to be speedily completed, otherwise the troops would be disbanded before it could have any effect. So far then as the Military Committee was concerned, there need be no delay in acting upon the tesolution. It was rather unfortunate for sound legislation, that we were standing upon the verge of a Presidential canvass. How far that would influence their legislation, he would leave for older Senators to decide; for himself, be would prefer to leave all important matters for a new session, which would be free from such influences.

Mr. BERRIEN said the question at present was, whether the Senate would preceed to consider the resolution. He concurred very fully in the sentiments expressed by the Senator from Missispip. He thought the experience of the last week had shown that it was not likely that there would be a speedy disposal of the public business until the time for adjournment was fixed. He thought it would be well to postpone until another session all business that could be postponed.

Mr. BAGBY thought the time specified in the resolution from the House would give smple time to enable Congress to dispose of all the business. It was true, the chairmen of two important committees were absent, but he would venture to say that if the session were prolonged for six months there would be more absentees than there were at present.

Mr. BUTLER had no objection to fixing a day for the adjournment, but he was satisfied that the time specified in the resolution would not enable Congress to complete the business which remained to be done. The most important business of the session still remained to be acted on, and the off four weeks, and done also the still desired to the session of the session

Mr. BERRINN suggested that the objection against fixing an carly day for adjournment might be removed by amending the resolution, in accordance with the proposal of the Senator from North Caroline and the left unfinished at this, precisely where it was at the close of the session are business which of the session are the service of the session and the session are sufficiently as the session are sufficiently

Mr. RUSK hoped the resolution would not be taken up this morning. It was a matter which required deliberation. They was a great deal of husiness remaining to be done. A treaty of peace had been concluded with Mexico, and much legislation that was far more the consultation of the consul

ans, and being at present under military reposition to take up, sans, and being at present under military reposition to take up, Senaters seemed to be making such suggestions as applied to the merits of the question. In regard to the Senate not being full, he believed it had not been more full at any period of the session, and in regard to the absence of the chairmed was as well known to other and the committees as to the chairmed. The Senator bad asked why this indication of impatience to adjourn? He thought there was but little impatience manifested; the 17th of July was quite late enough for Congress to sit, unless they intended to sit the whole summer. If the resolution were adopted, and in the meantime any thing should occur to require a prelongation of the session, there would be no difficulty in the way whatever. He thought the might safely appeal always anticipate the House in the contract of the sense of the contract of the sense of the contract of th

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, remarked that there were upwards of two hundred bills how upon the enlender which were prepared for the action of the Senate, and it was utterly impossible, at the rate at which they had been progressing, that one-half of these bills could be acted on within the time specified in the resolution for the adjournment. If these bills were left without the action of the Senate, they would all have to be re-examined by committees—the whole business would have to be commenced denote. He was as anxious as any one, but he did not think they were prepared at this moment to fix the day for adjournment.

Mr. CALHOUN said there was no Senator more anxious to return home than he was, but he could not reconcile it to his sense of duty to agree to an adjournment until they were enabled to dispose of the important measures that were to be acted upon. Every gentleman must perceive, upon a view of the calender, that it was atterly impossible that the business could be completed within the time fixed by the resolution. It was said that this was an ombarrassing and inconvenient time for the transaction of business. He would admit it, and he lamented that it was so; but inconvenient as it was, it was not so inconvenient as it would be next session. Senators who had been here for a number of years would bear him out in saying, that the session that brought in a new President was a session in which little or no business could be done. So that the postponcement of the business now was not a postponement to the next session, but are assistent when there was greater urgency for the finishing up of the business, would of course involve important considerations, bearing upon the Presidential clection; but were they for that reason to shun them? There was the greater reason for discussing them, in order that the people might be fully informed of their true bearing. He thought the resolutions were appreciated by a property of the regarded it as perfectly fallacious to hope that they could finish seven the bills that required immediate action.

The question being put upon the motion to proceed to the consideration of the resolution, a division was called for, and it was determined in the negative. Ayes 16, noes 21.

## COMPENSATION FOR HORSES IN THE MILITARY SERVICE.

Mr. TURNEY moved that the prior orders be postponed and that the Senate proceed to consider the ball to revive the act onterment of the process of the payment of horses and other property lost or destroyed in the military service of the United States," approved 18th January, 1837, and August 234, 1842, amendatory of the same.

The question being put upon the motion to proceed to the consideration of the bill, it was determined in the affirmative. Ayes 20; noes not counted.

The Senate proceeded to consider said bill as in Committee of the Whole.

Mr. BADGER desired the Senator from Florida, or the Senator from Tennessee, to explain the character of the legislation that was to be continued by this bill.

Mr. WESTCOTT referred to and read the acts of Congress which was proposed to be revived.

Mr. BADGER inquired in what manner the value of the property was to be ascertained.

Mr. WESTCOTT replied that proof was required to be furnished to the War Department.

Mr. BADGER.—What is the maximum price to be allowed for each horse?

Mr. WESTCOTT.—Gen. Jessup's letter written in the case of Licut. Buel, which is printed, says one hundred dollars.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi.—I believe the Senator will find that there is an act relating to this subject, passed in 1846, which is yet in existence, and to which he has not referred.

Mr. WESTCOTT.—That act does not, I apprehend, relate to the point which is aimed at in this enactment.

The PRESIDING OFFICER.—Is the Senate ready for the question?

Mr. DAYTON.—I trust not. The act referred to by the Sena-

Mr. DAYTON.—I trust not. The act referred to by the Senator from Mississippi has not been read. I would like to have a elear understanding as to whether that act does not involve the very point embraced in this bilt, or will not conflict with it.

Mr. WESTCOTT—I apprehend there is no conflict between the two which should prevent the passage of this act. There was an omission in the act of '46. It certainly could not have been intended by that act that the forty cents should be the compensation for all risk. The cases intended to be provided for by this act are not included in the act of 1846, and they are cases in which, as the law now stands, the volunteer can claim no remuneration for lisloss.

Mr. BORLAND.—I wish to inquire of the honorable Senator from Floria what is his understanding of the 9th section of the act of IS-16? I do it for the purpose of calling his attention to a recent decision of the Third Auditor in regard to that portion of the section which excepts borses actually killed in action. My understanding is, that the intention of that section is to provide that the volunteer shall be paid the value of his horse. It provides that the shall receive forty cents a day for the risk arising from other causes than actual battle, and who the correlation of the Third Auditor, no horse is to be paid for at all. My inquiry is, whether the Senator considers that horses actually killed in action are to be paid for our the act of IS-16?

Mr. WESTCOTT .- I do conceive so.

Mr. BUTLER .- Not the horses of volunteers ?

Mr. WESTCOTT .- Yes, sir.

Mr. BORLAND .- It is an act directly applied to volunteers.

Mr. WESTCOTT.—And provides that forty cents a day shall

be paid for the "tuse and risk" of the borse, except in the case where a horse is killed in action. Whether the forty cent is to be paid up the tume when be is killed, and then his value, I do not know. By the term "use and risk," I approse it was only intended to cover that sort of risk which borses sulfier by going into hard service.

Mr. BORLAND.—I would suggest to the henorable Senator from Florida, that in view of the recent decision of the department upon the subject, some provision in addition to what he proposes, should be made, inasmuch as the decision of the department is in conflict with the Senator's opinion.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi — I dislike to continue this colloquy, and it is particularly disagreeable to me in this case, where ny duty is on one side and feelings on the other. I conceive that the account of the continue of the decision to which the Scnator from Arkansas refers is palpable, when you consider that an officer has only to present his account and it is paid; but when a private has his horse killed the continue of the continue of

Mr. DOWNS.—Do I understand the Senator to say that volunteers furnish their own forage?

Mr. DAVIS .- Certainly.

Mr. DOWNS .- How was it in Mexico?

Mr. DAVIS.—I ask the honorable Senator from Arkansas whether the volunteers there did not furnish their own forage?

Mr. BORLAND.—It was always furnished by them, except upon the march from the Rio Grande to San Antonio, when it could not be obtained.

Mr. DAVIS.—To revive the law of 1836, overlooking an act amendatory of that law, is not, in my opinion, the proper course.

Mr. WESTCOTT.—This bill is similar to one reported in the House, and was considered sufficient to embrace all the cases that could arise under the law. I will read the cases again, and I think the Seoator will be easisted, that there is a miscondition on the report of the control of the con

Mr. NILES.—I was about to move to postpone the bill until Monday next, but if the gentleman desires it, I have no objection that it be referred to the Military Committee. I only desire to act understandingly. As I understand it, the bill proposes to review an act providing compensation for horses lost in the public service, and it appears there is a law in existence, the provisions of which are certainly different from those which existed when the act proposed to be revived was passed. I am not prepared to say that some new provision should not be made, but I want an opportunity to examine what that provision should be.

Mr. DAYTON remarked that it was evident at the first glance, that the bill was so loosely expressed, as to cover a vast augrement of claims against the government. The maximum allowance for horses killed in action was one hundred dollars, and yet the per diem allowance for "use and risk," amounted to one hundred and fifty dollars per anount. He was, therefore, strongly impressed with a conviction of the propriety of the suggestion of the Senator from Florida.

Mr. BORLAND stated that the remarks of the Senator from New Jersey as to the maximum value allowed for bores killed in lattle applied only to the regular army, and not to the borses owned by privates in the volunteer service, which were valued by a board appointed for the purpose. In many cases a private whose horse had been valued only at sixty dollars, was obliged to supply the loss of the animal by purchasing another at a cost of perbaps double the sum. Fully one-half of the borses of volunteer soldiers were lost before they ever got into action, subjecting the owners to great additional expense. The allowance of forty cents per diem was intended only as the ordinary "use and risk." It did not cover for instance, the risk incurred in express riding, which almost invariably resulted in the loss of the animal. There was also the great loss attendant on the deficiency and bad quality of the forage. He contended that adequate provision should be made for the payment of the just claims of the volunteer privates, who were obliged to yield obedience to the orders of superiors, and had no discretion in the care of their borses.

Mr. TURNEY was opposed to sending the bill to the Committee on Military Adiars, although he had no objection to passing it over informally fill to-morrow, so that Senators might have an opportunity of examining its provisions. But resolutions on the same subject from the legislature of Tennessco, had been referred early in the session to the Military Committee, and there had been

no report on them. He was, therefore, not authorized to expect speedy action on this bill if it was sent to that committe. He could not conceive how any objection could be urged against the bill unless it was proposed to change the whole legislation of Congress heretofere on this subject.

Mr. "DAVIS, of Mississippi, said that the resolutions to which the Sentar from Temesses that alluded, were submitted to the Sentar from Temess, [Mr. Russ.] who had, he helicated pre-pared a report upon the subject. The resolutions were, therefore, in the hands of one who was thoroughly nequainted with the subject, and no doubt a report would be made in doe time.

Mr. RUSK said he rose simply for the purpose of remarking that early in the session, the resolutions alluded to were referred to the Milutary Committee, and then placed in his hands. He had as yet made no report, because he had observed that the question had come up in the House of Representatives, and he had awaited the action of that hedy. As to the bill before the Scuare, he was well satisfied with it and should vote for it, although, perhaps, it went a little too lar. As a matter of economy, however, he thought that this was the best arrangement that could be made. If a general law were made, all cases must come up to it, and the applications for relief would stop there.

After some further conversation, the bill was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

REMOVAL OF THE LAMP AND MAST FROM THE DOME OF THE

On motion by Mr. WEBSTER, the Senate proceeded to consider the resolution from the House of Representatives directing the removal of the lamp and mast from the dome of the capitol; and it was

Resolved, That they cancur therein

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

## FOREIGN MAILS.

Mr. NILES, from the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads, to whom was referred the amendments of the House to the bill to amend the act to provide for the transportation of the mail between the United States and foreign countries, reported the same back with a recommendation that the Sonate recede from its third amendment and concur in the amendment of the Senate.

Mr. NILES asked for the immediate consideration of the report.

Mr. HALE objected, and the report was laid over.

#### REMISSION OF DUTY ON RAILROAD IRON.

On motion by Mr BUTLER, the prior orders were postpened and the Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill for the relief of the Central Railroad and Banking Company of Georgia.

No amendment being made, the bill was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent.

Resolved, That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

On motion,

The Scrate then adjourned.

# WEDNESDAY, JUNE 14, 1848.

Mr. DIX presented the petition of Daniel G. Garnsey, an offi-cer in the last war with Great Britain, praying compensation for his military services; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. FELCH presented a memorial of citizens of Michigan praying the purchase of Mount Vernon by the government; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

### HOUR OF MEETING

Mr. ATHERTON submitted the following resolution for consideration :

Resolved, That the daily hour of meeting of the Senate shall be II o'clock, A. M.,

#### ORDER TO PRINT.

On motion by Mr. BADGER, it was

Ordered, That the report of the Secretary of War relative to armories and the manufacture of arms by the United States, made in compliance with a resolution of the Senate, be printed.

#### THE TREATY WITH MEXICO.

Mr. MANGUM submitted the following motion for considera-

Ordered, That 20,000 copies of the proceedings of the Senate in Executive session on the treaty with Mexico, and of the documents from which the injunction of secrety has been removed by the resolutions of the Senate of the 31st May and the 2d instant, be printed for the use of the Senate.

#### MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT.

The following message was received from the President of the United States, by Mr. Walker, his Secretary :

Mr. President: The President of the United States approved and signed, the 13th instant, the following acts:

· An act for the relief of Fernando Fellagny

An act for the relief of Jones & Boker.

An act for the relief of Richard Bloss and others

An act for the relief of Charles L. Dell.

An act for the relief of Joseph Wilson

### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

Mr. President: The Speaker of the Honse of Representatives having signed twandled bills, I am directed to being them to the Senate for the signature of the

The PRESIDENT, pro tempore, signed the following enrolled bills: An act to attach a portion of the North Western Lund District, Louisiana, to the District north of Red River, Louisiana,

An net to amend the net entitled "An set to appropriate the proceeds of the sales of the public lands, and to grant pieremption rights."

Mr. BADGER, from the Committee on Naval Affairs, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of Robert Ramsay, reported it without amendment.

## DISCHARGED.

# On motion by Mr. ATHERTON, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on Finance be discharged from the consideration of the petition of John Golder.

# APPROPRIATION BILLS.

Mr. ATHERTON, from the Committee on Finence, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives making appropriations for the service of the Post Office Department for the year ending the 30th of June, 1849, reported it with an amend-

Mr. ATHERTON, from the same committee, to whom was referred the bill from the Honse of Representatives making appropriations for the payment of Revolutionary and other pensions of the United States for the year ending June 30, 1849, reported it without amendment.

## PRIVATE BILLS.

Mr. DOWNS, from the Committee on Commerce, to whom were referred the following bil's from the House of Representatives, reported them without amendment :

An act for the relief of the owners of the Spanish brig Restaurador

An act for the relief of Philip J. Fontain.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the Committee on Pensions, to whom was referred the following bills from the House of Representatives, reported them without amendment:

An act for the relief of Artemas Conant.

An act for the relief of Jesse Washington Jackson.

An act for the relief of Nathaniel Sheflett.

An act for the relief of Hugh Riddle

### CHANGE OF REFERENCE.

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of La., it was

Ordered, That the Committee on Pensions be discharged from the further consideration of the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of Wm. Tee, of Portsmouth, Virgioia, and that it be referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Lonisiana, from the Committee on Pen-sions, to whom were referred the following bills from the House of Representatives, reported them without amendment, and that the same ought not to pass

An ect for the relief of Daniel H. Warren

An act for the relief of Sarah Wood

COMPENSATION FOR HORSES LOST IN THE MILITARY SERVICE.

Mr. BORLAND, from the Committee on Military Affairs, to whom was referred the bill to revive the act entities "An act to provide for the payment of horses and other properly lost or destroyed in the military service of the United States," approved 18th January, 1827, and the acts opproved 14th February, 1837, and August 23, 1842, amendatory of the same, reported it with amendments.

The Senate proceeded to consider said bill and amendments as in Committee of the Whole; and the amendments having been agreed to, the bill was reported to the Secate, and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the bill be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time, and the title was emended. Resolved, That this bill pay, and that the fills theored be "An set to revive the set cattitude". An set to provide for the payment of horses, and other property, just or destroyed in the military service of the United States, approved Janary 16, 1837, and the acts approved Oriober 14, 1837, and August 23, 1842, and the last province the earth Mules 3, 1843, amendously of the same.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

### TEXAS MOUNTED REGIMENT.

Mr. RUSK, from the Committee on Military Affairs, to whom was referred the joint resolution from the House of Representatives was reterred the joint resolution from the flows of Representatives providing for the payment of a regiment of Texas mounted from called into the service of the United States under the requisition of Colonel Curtis, in the year 1847, and for other purposes, reported it with an amendment, and asked for its immediate consi

The Senate proceeded to consider the said resolution as in Committee of the Whole; and the amendment having been agreed to, it was reported to the Senate, and the amendment was concurred

Ordered, That the amendments be engrossed, and the resolution read a third time.

The said resolution was read a third time, as amended.

Resolved, That this resolution pass, with an amendment.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in the amendment.

## ISAAC GARRETTSON, U. S. N., DECEASED.

Mr. YULES, from the Committee on Naval Affairs, to whom was referred the bill for the relief of John R. Bryan, administrator of Isaso Garretton, decessed, late a purser in the United States Navy, reported the same without amendment, and submitted a report on the subject; which was ordered to be printed.

#### DISCHARGE OF TROOPS

Mr. BENTON, by unanimous consent, asked and obtained leave to bring in a joint resolution to regulate the discharge and payment of the temporary troops returning from the Mexican war; which was read and possed to the second reading.

Mr. BENTON gave notice that he should ask the Senate to proceed to the consideration of the resolution to morrow; and on his motion it was

Ordered, That it be printed.

## WAR CORRESPONDENCE.

The Senate proceeded to consider the following resolution submitted by Mr. BADGER, on the 12th instant:

Resolved, That there be printed for the use of the Senate —— copies of Evecutive document No. 60 of the Honce of Representatives of the present session, entitled the Mexican war correspondence.

Mr. N1LES thought that it was unusual for the Senate to order the printing of House documents. Besides he did not know the character of the document.

Mr. BADGER replied that it contained the correspondence relative to the Mexican war.

Mr. DOWNS enquired whether it embraced the last correspondence?

Mr. BADGER was not certain that it did.

Mr. MANGUM said he should like to see the resolution amended by making it embrace a good deal of the correspondence communicated to the Senate in Executive session.

Mr. BADGER then remarked that he was willing that the resolution should lie over another day, merely filling the blank at present.

Mr. TURNEY objected to filling the blank.

Ordered, That the further consideration of the resolution be postponed until to-morrow.

#### DRAWINGS AND ENGRAVINGS.

The Senate proceeded to consider the following resolution submitted by Mr. Westcott, on the 12th inst., and it was agreed to:

Resolved, That the Secretary of the Senate be authorized to pay out of the contingent found of the Senate to Charles L. Flev-shanan such ann as the Commissioner of Etatein may certify: resonable, and the Commissioner of Intention provides the Contingent Fund may approve of, for finishing the drawings and engravings for the publication, by order of the Senate, of Patent Office report.

### INDIAN APPROPRIATION BILL

The Senate resumed the consideration, as in Committee of the Whole, of the bill from the House of Re-presentatives making appropriation for the current and contingent expenses of the Indian Department, and for fulfilling treaty stipulations with the various Indian tribes for the year ending on the 30th June, 1849, and for other nurmose.

The question pending was upon agreeing to the following amendment, heretofore submitted by Mr. Bell:

Successful to the extraction of the state of

uniese far life right already.

Next. — And be it farther context. That wheneve, becausing a windwidth of individuals of rand Cheroke Indians, shall desire to remove and join the title West in the context of rand Cheroke Indians, shall desire to remove and join the title West in the remove and point to the West indians and the remove and point the remove and the farthest disc and it is indicated, the sum of fifty lifter dolls, and litter little contains and the farthest disc and unpeach thereon, and apply the same or such part thereof, and apply the same or such part thereof and apply the same of the sum of t

Mr. BREESE moved that the Senate now proceed to the consideration of the bill providing for the establishment of the territorial government of Oregon.

Mr. DAYTON hoped that the Indian appropriation hill would first be disposed of. It had already occupied several days to the exclusion of other business, and as it was likely that a vote could the consideration of it in order to dispose of it finally while the the consideration of it in order to dispose of it finally without farther delay.

Mr. BREESE said that the Indian apprepriation bill would undoabtedly consume the whole day. All knew the importance of the Oregon hill. It had been brought to the notice of the Senate by a recent message of the President, and immediate action upon it was absolutely necessary.

The question was then put, and on a division being called for, it was decided in the negative, by ayes 14, noes 19.

The Senate then resumed the consideration of the Indian appropriation bill, the question pending being upon the amendment offered by the gentleman from Tennessee.

Mr. BELL addressed the Senate in support of his amendment He said it is well become the said and support on an amendment, with the Cherokee Indians, made in the vor 1835, course out teath ed the treaty of New Eebota. The principal stipulation of that treaty was, that the whole country held by the Cherokee tribe of Indians, and lying in four States of the Union—Georgia, Tennessee, Alabama, and North Carolina—should be ceded to the United States in consideration of the sum of five millions of dollars. When it came to be finally settled and ratified by the Senate, it was provided by the 15th article that the whole expense of are: it was provided by the 15th article that the whole expense of removal and subsistence of the Indians west of the Mississippi was to be paid out of this fund. But after the main articles of the treaty were ratified, a portion of the Cherokee delegation memo-rialized the President of the United States, suggesting that by the terms of the treaty at the time it was entered into by the United States were to bear the expenses of the removal of the Indians, in addition to the payment of the five millions given in consideration for their country. Upon the message of the President, the Senate took up that subject for consideration, and resolteent, the Senate took up that subject for consideration, and resorved, that in addition to the five millions, they would pay the expense of the removal of the Indians; which was provided for in a supplemental article of the treaty. But this further difficulty arose. The Cherokee Indians of North Carolina, about the time of the ratification of the treaty, sent on their agent here to in-quire how their interests were to be effected, and they learned, it seems, to their astonishment and suprise, that their country, as well as that of the treaty party, was ceded to the United States by this treaty of 1835, and it became a question of interest to ny tins treaty of 1555, and it became a question of interest to them, to ascertain what provision was made for the security of their interests. They found that no reservations were made in their favor, except that they had the privilege of purchasing their lands at the minimum government price. They also discovered, lands at the minimum government price. They also discovered, on examining the treaty, that much the larger portion of the benefit extended by it would inner to the Indiana emigrating west of the Mississiph. They protested against the ratification of the treaty until these questions should be in some manner settled to their satisfaction, and I propose now to show by a brief reference their satisfaction, and I propose now to show by a brief reference to the articles of the treaty—to the communications of the Execu-tive, and to the course taken by Congress on this subject, that the Indians remaining in North Carolina have a just ground to appeal to the Congress of the United States for the appropriation which is asked in the amendment.

I will read again, a part of the 8th article, as there are now some Senators present who were absent when I had the honor of addressing the Senate on a previous occasion:

"Such persons and families as, in the opinion of the emigrating agent are capable of subsisting and removing themselves shall be permitted to do so; and they shall be allowed in full for all chains for the same, twenty dollars for each member of the family; and in her of their one year's rations, they shall be paid the sum of threy three dollars and thurly-three cents, if they prief is,

The next article, hearing upon this question is the 12th, and to it I beg to direct the attention of the Senate.

"ART. 12. Thus individuals and families of the Circades nation that are sweeted in removal to Be Circades native was active the removal to Be Circades to become relevant to the control of the circade and the circade and the circade and their property, shall be establed to receive their due portion of afthe personal benefits accurage under this treaty for their claims, improvements, and per capital, a stoom as an appropriation is made for this treaty.

Now, the North Carolina Indians were no parties to this original treaty. They were not represented in the council which made the treaty. But their whole country was coded. They took the hencift of the permission given by the 12th article to remain east of the Mississippi; and the question arose, what were those personal hencits to which they were entitled? Their country had passed out of their hands, and they could acquire territory in no their shades, and they could acquire territory in no their parties of the many they are the state of the many that they had a right to purchase at the minimum government price. They contended, then that they were entitled to the full proportion of the consideration given for the whole country in proportion to their number; which was, as I have ascertained, about twelve hundred and fifty at that period; and when they came to look at this 12th article, they became doubtful whether it did secure to them this commutation for a commendation of the control of the full spirit was that a control of the Mississippi, they could not be entitled to the commutation. But the treaty gave them the privalege of remaining cast of the Mississippi, they country belonging to the Cherokees was sold for five millions, and the North Carolina Indians contended that if those lad and equally good claim to it.

⊇Now, I will attempt to show in what manner these Indians became satisfied that they would be safe in acquiescing in the treaty. For the sake of convenience, I will read from a document which contains extracts from the various papers on the files of the departments relating to this subject. The commissioners to whom the project of the treaty was committed by the then President, General Jackson, received instructions from the Secretary of Warner and the treatment of the treaty was committed from these instructions I under the drection of the President, and from these instructions I

"ARY, 14. Those individuals and families of the Cherokee nation that are averso to a removal to the Cherokee country west of the Massimppa, and are designs to become eitziers of the States where they reide, and such as, in the opinion of the agent, are qualified to take care of themselves and their property, shall be entitled to receive their date portion of all the personal benefits executing mader that the shall be a superior of the personal benefits executing mader that the shall be a superior of the familiary to their common benefit of the nation."

Now, as I have remarked, these Cherokees of North Carolina Now, as I have remarked, these Cherokees of North Carolina were not represented in the council, and when they learned that without their knowledge or consent, their country had been eeded away, they naturally sent an agent to Washington to inquire as to the facts, and if it were not in their power to interfere with the the lacts, and it it were not in their power to interfere with the ratification of the treaty, directing him to ascertain what consideration they were to receive. Their agent was informed that they were entitled to all the personal benefits of other Indians, whether they emigrated or not, and they were assured by the government, that if this were not a fair construction of the mean state of the construction of the construction of the construction of the difficulty. That this was the express understanding at the time, will be seen by reference to the statement of the commissioner himself. I will show from the documents, that the commissioner himself. I will show from the documents, that the commissioner acted in strice conformity with the instructions which I have read

Brave read:

"Those individuals and families of the Cherokee nation that are averse to a temo-val west of the Musasippi, and are descens to become citizens of the States where hey reade, and who, in the opinion of the commensioner or the agent of the Chero-ter of the States of the States where the States where the states are states as the states of the States where the states with discretion, shall be entitled to recover their due praparation of all thelphen sould benefit according under this treaty, for their elams, unprovements, ferries, per capita allowance and subsistence here, and shall be entitled to a pre-superior neight of of the Cherokee nation which has within the States of North Carolian, Transecse and Alabana: this right of pre-emption to extend to all those heads of families of the above description who now reade within the States, or-shall be found resident inferen-bles of the States of the States of the Cherokee and the states of the commissioners shall entitle them to their right of pre-emption.

There is other testimony of a similar nature; but the extract which I have read are sufficient to show the understanding on the subject. Had that not been the understanding, and if this objection had been made at the time, the supplemental article would have been insisted upon by the opposition as a matter of course, on the ground that it was but just and equitable that these Indians of North Carolina should be allowed their fair proportion of the fund.

I conceive that it is hardly necessary to proceed any farther. This five million fund, as I stated the other day, has, according to the report of the commissioner of Indian Affairs, dwindled to an hundred and eighty-four thousand dollars; so that if the construction of the Executive government he correct, all that these North Carolina Indians can receive, after having lost every acre of their land, will be some ten or twelve dollars a head. Yet, as I have been told by gentlemen who profess to know, their lands have been sold for half a million of dollars. Now they are to be put off with sold for half a million of dollars. Now they are to be not off with sold for half all million of dollars. Now they are to be put off with since they were stripped of their continuous and the test of the commissioner of Indian Affairs will not stand the test of strict examination, and that a million of dollars will be found yet remaining of the fund. That, however, is yet to be examined. The committee have not yet come to a conclusion upon it. But even mader the most flavorable construction that can be given to the articles of that treaty, these Indians cannot receive more than fitty odd dollat controls. host avoidable constitution in air can be given to the articles of that treaty, these Indians cannot receive more than fifty old dollars per capita. I may here remark, that the settlement of this question cannot be at all affected by the decision on another question to which allusion has been made, as to the claims of the question to which allusion has been made, as to the claims of the Western Cherokees. In order to show how far the government has committed itself, it may be necessary to refer to one of the supplemental articles of the treaty of 1835.

I will admit that this estimate fell very short of the expenses of the removal, but I read it only for the purpose of showing that the Senate of the United States confirmed the construction of the dele-sation from the Cherokees in relation to the question whether the

gation from the Cherokees in relation to the question whether the expense of removal was to be paid out of the five millions. By exponse of removal was to be paid out of the five millions. By making this additional appropriation the Senate have so far sanctioned the claim of the Cherokees to have this expense paid independently. Let us now see how much farther the government has committed itself in relation to this question. It will be remembered, that newtibetsanding the liberal provision of this treatly much the larger proportion of the Cherokees refuse to remove, and it become necessary in 1832 to see all a second second control to the control of the Cherokees refuse to remove, and much the larger proportion et une Cherokees tenuse to tenuore, and it became necessary in 1838 to send an armed force into the Cherokee nation, in order to coerce the emigration. Several of their chiefs were then sent to Washington or order to prevent this military coercion; and I ask the attention of the Senate to what was stated to that delegation at that time :

[Mr. Bell here read the statement.]

[MI. DELL RETE FORM THE SEASURED.]

Now, this is worthy of attention. Here is an executive officer of this government, in 1838, who is entrusted with the subject, pledging the government to the payment of the entire expense for the removal of the Cherokees west of the Mississippi—that is, in addition to the supplemental article, whereby it was agreed that the original intention of the Senate was to pay the charges of the removal. The War Department pledged itself to these chiefs that the entire expense of removal would be paid. Now, let us see what the Senate did not supplement of the second control of the se what the Senate did do:

"Sec. 2. And be it further enacted, That the further sum of one unition forty seven thousand and sixty-seven dollars be appropriated, ont of any money in the treasury not otherwise appropriated, in full, for all objects specified in the third article of the sup-

plementary articles of the treaty of 1835, between the United States and the Cherokee Indians, and for the further object of adding in the subsistence of said Indians for one year after their renoved west. Previoled, That no part of the said saim of money shall be efectated from the five millions rispolated to be past to said tribe of Indians by the part of the said of the said of the said tribe of Indians with reverse no benefit from the visit appropriation are "Tax it me taid from the said spanyonation and complete them engingation within such time as the President shall deem reasonable, and without correction on the part of the occumulation."

Here was an appropriation made by the Congress of the United States to the Cherokees in 1838, two years subsequent to the rati-fication of the treaty: in pursanaee of a pledge of the Executive government that the entire expenses of their removal would be paid. I think, then, that the 12th article of the treaty was intended pour. I tube, doen that the 12th arrives of the treaty was intended to include the North Carolina Indians; my argument is irresisti-ble. But if by accident or design provision for them was omitted, the error should now be rectified. If the omission were accidental, it should be supplied, if it were designed, there was premeditated fraud, and justice should now be done.

Mr. MANGUM.—After the lucid exposition of the Senator from Tennessee, which appears to me to be entirely eenclusive, I do not of course design to enter into the argument. I rise simply do not of course design to enter into the argument. I rise samply for the purpose of presenting a statement of facts. When this treaty of 1835 was under the consideration of the Senate, it encountered great opposition, and was ratified with great difficulty. The senior Senator from South Carolina must recollect the circumstances attendant upon the ratification of that treaty. At that time the administration brought its whole force to be a upon the stiffential of the treaty. It was conselved amonomed their if the the control of the co agent who represented the Cherokees of North Carolina was very decidedly opposed to the ratification of the treaty. He appealed to the Senators from North Carolina—of whom I myself was one—to interpose our efforts, so as to procure for those whom he represented at least equal justice. This appeal led to an examination and construction of the articles of the treaty; and the honorable Senator from Tennessee has informed you what that contemporances of the content of th Senator from Felmessee and informed you what that contempora-neous construction was. We acted on that construction, and had it not been considered sufficiently clear and satisfactory, the treaty would not have been tatified. My recollection is distinct, that the treaty was ratified by a vote of thirty to fifteen—the precise numtreaty was ratified by a vote of thirty to fifteen—the precise num-ber required by the constitution. I voted for the ratification, and it was one of the most reductant votes I ever gave in this body, knowing well in advance that without my vote the treaty could not have been ratified. I call the attention of the honorable Sena-tor from South Carolina to these circumstances connected with the history of the treaty, and have only to add that it seems to me that as all the officers of the government at that time acquiesced that the senator of the senator of the senator of the senator of the treather of the senator of the senator of the senator of the subject by officers of the War. Department ought not to be admitted. I hold that the Congress of the United States is bound in good faith to execute this treaty according to the terms in which it was faith to execute this treaty according to the terms in which it was understood at the time of its ratification.

Mr. CALHOUN .- The Senater from North Carelina having Mr. CALHOUN.—The Senator from North Carolina having made an appeal to me, I will state that I very well remember the transaction to which he has alluded—I mean the time and eir-cumstances in which this treaty came before the Senate. I was in toto opposed to it, because I considered it to be no treaty at all. My mind was directed to that point mainly, and if I do not recollect the incident alluded to by the Senator from North Carolina, I have no doubt of the accuracy of his recollection. This treaty actually a remarkable example of the danger of making a country of the contract of the ulent transaction, and the account is not yet closed. As far as these North Carolina Indians are concerned, I am inclined to think these North Carolina Indians are concerned, I am inclined to think flavorahly of their claim after a basty examination of the facts of the case. One remarkable fact has occurred in the execution of this treaty. The very parties who gave us all the trouble have received every thing, and the parties who stood by us have received every thing, and the parties who stood by us have received every thing, and the North Carolina Indians, have fared very badly. Ross, a man of decided talests, assumed the superiority or made the others subcritinate, shughtering them as he cannot be considered to the United States who had personded the people to go, are shown to the United States who had personded the people to go, around the Carolina Indians, living in the mountains of North Carolina and approvant and unoffending race, had, I sunose, very little international and incorrect and unoffending race, had, I sunose, very little international care. unoffending race, had, I suppose, very little agency or control in the business of the treaty, and have received very little, if any benefit.

Mr. MANGUM.—The Senator from South Carolina is correct MI. JUANUE 31.—The Senator from South Carolina is correct
in his recollections as to the treaty; and in corroboration I will
state, that the policy of Georgia being to seize upon the land without giving any consideration for it at all, when this treaty, or this
outging any consideration for it at all, when this treaty, or this
time under purporting to be a treaty, was pressed by the Executive under the finest that if not ratified, the Indians would be left to the sword of the Georgians, or abandoned by the government. I was induced to vote for it, in the hope that the Indians would get more for their lands by the treaty than otherwise.

Mr. BERRIEN.-What does the Senator mean by the "sword of the Georgians?"

Mr. MANGUM .- Only that Georgia meant to have all the

Mr. BERRIEN.—Georgia never asserted more than her own rights as she claimed them from the United States, by virtue of the cession which she had made to the United States. The idea that Georgia was ever disposed to draw her sword against a defence-less people like the Cherokees within her borders, is an idea which I am sure the Senator from North Carolina does not intend to convey, and would be most unjust to the State of Georgia.

Mr. MANGUM.—I am a little unfortunate it appears, in the figurative manner in which I have expressed myself. All I meant to say was, that Georgia believed she had a right to the lands of the Indians, and intended to have then; whether any sword was to be used in the case or not, depended, I suppose, yety much upon the conduct of the Indians.

Mr. BERRIEN.—All that I desire to be understoed in this case is, that there was no state of circumstances which authorized the idea either iterally or figuratively, that the sword of Georgia was to be directed against these Indians. They were within the limits of the State of Georgia. Georgia had ceded to the United States a vast extent of territory, in consideration of which, the United States stuplated to extingaish the Indian title within her limits, and the State of Georgia called upon the United States to fulfill heir contract. The action upon the Indians, therefore, was the action of the United States, and not the action of the State of Georgia.

Mr. ATHERTON.—One thing has been made manifest by this discension, and it is this. It is not now contended that by the terms of the trenty of New Echota the North Carclina Indians have a legal claim to their commutation for removal and subsistence. I hope that I was fortunate enough to satisfy the Senate in my remarks the other day—and indeed I believe it is conceded by the Senate from Tennessee—that according to the words of that treaty, no such claim can be urged. Now, certain certificates as to what was the understanding of the Indians after the negotiation of the treaty, are presented as a widence of the claim. The Senator from Tennessee has quoted from Mr. Schermerhorn. I shall in regard to the six bundred thousand dollars appropriated in particular, that great the the six bundred thousand dollars appropriated in particular, and the properties of the six bundred theousand dollars appropriated in particular and the six bundred theousand dollars appropriated in particular and the six bundred theousand dollars appropriated in B333, as a further addition to this five million fund, it must be obvious that both of these sums were considered by Congress as a voluntary grant made in consequence of the complaints of the Indians. In the supplemental act, however, nothing its said about an allowance for the expense of removal. The six hundred dollars were appropriated in tull for all claims for subsistence, and all other claims. It was meant as a compromise, to close all these complaints. But this was not all. In 1838, in consequence of the unwillingness of the Indians to remove, a further appropriate with the substitution of the complaints of the Indians to remove, a further appropriate of the unwillingness of the Indians to remove, a further appropriate of the unwillingness of the Indians to remove, a further appropriate of the unwillingness of the Indians to remove, a further appropriate of the unwillingness of the Indians to remove, a further appropriate of the unwillingness of the Indians to remove, a further ap

The question is not whether the North Carolina Indians have been hardly dealt with or not. If they have been dealt with the role. If they have been dealt with the role been hardly dealt with part of the station, let a bill be brought forward, and I will go as far as the farthest in doing justice to them. But I am entirely opposed to encluding the judgment of the Senate on an important question in regard to the whole Cherokee nation, by adopting this amendment. Do not put this claim of the North Carolina Indians upon a ground which will preclude us hereafter, from objecting to a construction of the treaty which binds us to pay all these sums for subsistence and removal out of the United States treasury. The question of payment of interest has also been concluded by this amendment. The interest in this case, amounts to two-thirds of the principal, and if we adopt this precedent, it will involve the United States treasury to the amount of five millions. I misst that this question is not legitimately presented to us in an amendment to the Indian appropriation bill. I am quite willing to meet the question; but let it come up and be devided in the proper way.

Mr. NILES said that he was a member of the body at the time when the treaty was made. The Senate had then made what they considered fail and all ple por side to be senate he was the made what they considered fail and all ple por side to the said the treath of the senate of the minds of the senate to the ratification of this trenty was because it was negatiated with only a portion of these luminar; whether we could take possession of the country occupied by the whole tribe, when one half of it protested against entering into any such-ireaty. Whether the understanding then was that the expenses of the removal would amount to some five millions he was not table to say. His conviction was that they thought the allowance a very large one; the obligation that existed, as he had before said to the ratification of the treaty by the Senate, being that they considered that the treaty was made only by a portion of the nation, thus foreing the remander, contrary to their wishes, to give up the possession of their country.

session of their country.

In regard to the fact stated, that nearly all of the sum of five millions appropriated to carry into effect the provisions of the treaty, had been expended upon the removal and subsistence of

these Indians that had gone west of the Mississippi, he would say that it was appropriated by the Senate, if he could correctly judge their opinion, with the full conviction that it would be amply sufficient for the compensation of the Indians, for improvements made in their country, as well as for the expenses of their remoments in the property of the second of t

simileration the compensation of the Indians, for improvements made in their country, as well as for the expenses of their removals and the expense of their removals are the subject of the whole sum had been expended in their removal; the object of the whole sum had been expended in their removal; the object of the when they ratified the treaty, had of course been entirely frustrated. Those who removed had got possession of a fine section of country in the west; these who remained had got nothing. It appeared to him then that inasmuch as the object which the Senate had in view of doing justice to all, had failed in reference to those who had remained behind, it was the clear and manifest duty of the Senate to make such allowance to those Indians who had remained to make such allowance to those Indians who had remained their country, as was contemplated at the time the presence of tifed. Such allowance would be in entire conferently with the provisions of the treaty.

provisions of the freaty.

There was a fact which be thought should deeply influence the minds of Senators, and that was, that the portion of the Indians who remained behind were not parties to the treaty that laid been made. They had never given their consent to it. That portion which was the active party to the treaty thad stipulated, it was true, that the benefits of it should result to all, but those benefits have the contract of the same who remained, were we not, he would ask, called upon hy every consideration of justice, to see that they were not despoiled of their country, or of the alletted remnorariant He thought it would be an act of manifest injustice to these Indians who were not represented here, should the Senate act to the

contrary.

Another point raised in this case by the hourable Senator from Another independent of the construction given to the treaty, we that their their point of the construction given to the treaty, we that their their order to save these people from engaging in the unhappy centroversy that existed in relation to the various tribes in the State of Georgia. This government had stipulated to extinguish the Indian titles within the limits of Georgia, and was therefore compelled to act, and to use every expedient in their power to get these Indians tool of the jurisdiction of Georgia. With a view to this object the treaty was brought to the Senate, and they had voted for it with the full conviction that it made ample provisions for remunerating these Indians in full for their country and their for remunerating these Indians in full for their country and their plantanes in the treaty. They had then had were beyond these insees had received the sanction of both Honses of Congress, that in addition to the sum granted, the expenses of their removal should be paid. Even this ground, in his opinion, was not so strong as the one he had at first assumed, viz: that insumnch as those remaining had been despoiled of their territory through the treaty, acceded to only by another portion of their tribe, and as thus the provisions of that treaty had not inured to their advantage, it was perfectly clear that they had received nothing at our hands and consequently were fully outlied to remmeration in some form or prepared to say, two compensation should be rendered he was not been supported to say the compensation should be rendered he was not here a state of their satisfaction.

Mr. ATHERTON wished to correct an erroneous impression on the part of the Senator from Connecticut. He understood him to take the ground that the whole or nearly all of the five million fund, with the additional sums granted, had been expended in removal and subsistence, and that there was nothing left to pay for improvements. Now, he would state that the improvements had been paid for. It had been paid for a five and the the many of the same of

Mr. BELL replied that the general government had no right to it; the land belonged to North Carolina.

Mr. ATHERTON could not but think it would be better to wait until they should receive the roport of the settlement made by the commissioner, in regard to the sums expended hitherto out of the five million fund, and see how much remained to be distributed per capita mong the Indians before they proceeded to grant them further remneration. These Indians stood upon the same ground as those of other States. If they had been deceived or despoiled, he was willing to reimbrast them; but he thought this as improper mode of paying them for removal and subsastant of the control o

Mr. BERRIEN desired to be informed by the chairman of the Committee on Finance whether he was in possession of any information that reabled him to contradict the statement made by the Senator from Tennessee, that these North Carolina Indians had in fact received nothing under the treaty.

Mr. ATHERTON stated in reply, that they had received payment for their improvements the same as other Iudiaus. They

had not received any thing for removal or subsistence, nor their per capita allowance

Mr. BERRIEN would put the question specifically. Did it come within the honorable Senator's knowledge that any payment whatever had been made to these North Carolina Indians?

Mr. ATHERTON was not able to say positively whether any had or had not been made. If they were entitled to any thing by the terms of the treaty, they had received it.

Mr. BERRIEN understood the Senator from New Hampshire, then, as saying; that, to the hest of his knowlodge, if these Indians of North Carolina were entitled to any thing under the treaty they had received it; but that, in point of leat, he did not know that they received any thing for their improvements.

Mr. ATHERTON would inform the Senator from Georgia that the Commissioner of Indian Affairs had stated that payment for improvements had been made to all the Indians.

Mr. MANGUM believed that the fact was true that some of the Indians resident in North Carolina had received payment for for improvements, but it was not the case with all. In the county of Haywood, to his own certain knowledge, there were some seven or eight hundred of them who had not received a cent.

Mr. ATHERTON was now enabled to reply to the question of MIT. ATTENTION was now enabled to reply to the quiestonic the graitenan from Georgia. The 17th atricle under the treaty provided that a commission be appointed to examine into these claims for imprevenents. That commission had reported once or twice; and in their final report it was stated, all the Indians that had presented their claims had been paid.

Mr. BERRIEN thought that he now understood the true Mr. BERMER togeth the position of the Indians position of the question. A considerable portions of the Indians of North Carolina had not received any thing under this treaty—had been despoiled of their lands under authority of this treaty, and received no compensation for them. Now, the objection make by the chairman of the Committee on Finance was not to the just by the chairman of the Committee on Finance was not to the jus-tice of these claims, but to their payment in this mode. He objects to a provision being made for their payment by the amendment offered by the Senator from Tonnessee-not merely on the ground that it comes improperly as an amendment to a bill like the pre-sent, but because it will have the effect of concluding the docision of the government in regard to the second of the concluders of the conclusion of the conclusion of the conclusion of the conclusion of the claims of these Indians to be perfectly valid under the cidence firmished in the treaty. The government was bound, unless will, use to submit to an imputation of gross injustice and omression. formistised in the treaty. The government was bound, unless willing to submit to an imputation of gross injustice and oppression, independent of the claim furnished by the provisions of the treaty, nindependent of the claim furnished properties of the provisions of the treaty made at the time of the treaty, which might be supposed to be merged into the original instrument after being executed, but he relied upon that great and broad principle relating to treaties that the true intent and meaning of their provisions is to be ascertained; not merculated the provision of the treaty itself, but at the original instruments of the treaty itself, but at the original transfer of the treaty itself, but at the original transfer of the treaty itself, but at the original transfer of the treaty itself, but at the original transfer of the treaty itself, but at the original transfer of the treaty itself, but at the original transfer of the treaty itself, but at the original transfer of the treaty itself, but at the original transfer of the treaty itself, but at the original transfer of the treaty itself, but at the original transfer of the treaty itself, but at the original transfer of the treaty itself, but at the original transfer or the transfer of the treaty itself, but at the original transfer or the transfer or transfer or the transfer or the transfer or transfer or the transfer or transf there was a very broad distinction recognized in law between tho there was a very broad distinction recognized in law between the interpretation given to an instrament of this sort, preceded by a great law support of the sort preceded by a great loss on the will of the parties concerned, and a private instrument executed between individuals.

In respect to these Indian treaties he would ask, how negotiated, and by whom negotiated? This government drew up artreaty, and through its agont presented it to a certain tribe of In-

treaty, and through its agent presented it to a certain tribe of la-dians for their concurrence, and not in one instance out of ten did it occur in which, in negotiating with this unlettered people, any other interpretation was given to the treaties than that made by the legislation of this government. These people did not look to the strict letter of the treaty so much as they did the instructions given them orally. He confessed that he viewed this question as totally independent of the treaty stipulations. He considered that these Indians were not parties to that treaty, and had been either deluded or coerced into an acceptance of its terms. Whether the five million fund had or had not been exhausted, he regarded it as the duty of the government to provide immediately for the reim-bursement of their claims.

Mr. ATHERTON represented that the rights of the Indians might not depend upon the question whether this fund was saved to their benefit, but that the rights of the treasury might depend upon it. It would, in his estimation, make no difference to the Indians whether they were paid out of this fund or out of the treasury.

Mr BERRIEN agreed with the honorable Senator from Now Hampshire that the condition of the treasury might be affected by such payment. But the obligation of the government to do im-mediate justice to these people, in any view of the subject, was so wident to his mund, that he thought it would be wrong for the government to be influenced in its duty in this matter by the state

Mr. ATHERTON considered that the obligation of the government was not the same. The obligation of the government might be to pay it out of the fund or out of the treasury, but it made no difference to these Indians, whether they paid out of the fund or out of the treasury. It was a question which would interest the Western Cherokees, whether their fund should be diminished or

not; but it did not affect the North Carolina Indians whatever. As he had before stated, these Indians had had the same opportunity as other Indians to present their claims for improvements, first for allowance and then for payment. Same five or six years ago, a commission had set under the 17th article of a treaty with ago, a commission had set under the 17th article of a treaty with certain Indians, to decide upon the allowance of compensation for improvements. The decision of that body, of whom Mr. Sobermerhorn was a member, was conclusive. All the claims presented to the commissioners were allowed and paid. These North Carolina Indians were placed on the same ground. They had their claims always allowed when presented and substantiated to the control of the property of the claims always allowed when presented and substantiated to the board of commissioners.

Mr. BERRIEN still insisted that the obligation of the govern ment to pay these Indians immediately remained the same, whether the five million fund was or was not exbansted. It would make or the five inillion fund was or was not exhausted. It would make no essential difference to the Indians whether they were paid out of the find or the treasury, provided they were paid immediately, but if these Indians were to want the decision of the government in regard to the state of the fund, of course they could not have the benefit of the provision made in the treaty, for the immediate liquidation of the debt due them.

Mr. BUTLER remarked that he was very anxious to understand the matter then before the Senate, inasmuch as it would be a precedent by which to he gnided in the settlement of similar questions. The case, if he understood it right, was as follows: questions. The case, if he understood it right, was as follows: A treaty had been made in North Carolina, to which these Indians A treaty had been made in North Carolina, fo which these Indians mow remaining there, were not parties conveying away their whole country, of which they were part possessors. Immediately after they were apprised of the fact that their land was to be taken from them, at the time the treaty was being considered in the Sonat they sent in a form of protest, stating to the government that they had no agency in forming the storing the stori be bound by the language of the treaty, and that if they would be quiet and not disturb the negotiation going on, they would undertake to pay them an equivalent to that which the others had received. So they rested quiet under the expocatation that the United States would carry out their agreement in good faith. In his opinion, the government should either pay them out of the treasury of the United States, or should compel the Indians at the West to pay it out of their fund. He would be glad to see the government by the amount directly out of the treasury.

Mr. WEBSTER supported the amendment. These North Carolina Indians had parted with their possessions, and were equally contilled with views who had emigrated to the benefits of the treaty. It might be true, that in general in regard to contracts, the conversation of parties, or the undestrateding of evidence of the meaning of the terms and stipulations afterwards reduced to writing. Probably the rule was not applicable to the same extent to treaties. But however that might be in general, a very indigent consideration be thought, should be given to the Indian parties in all our treaties; because they were more likely to past attention to what was said than to what was written. They heard the talk and conversations in council, and if they were satisfied with them, there was very little probability of the case, but upon the mode of considering this treaty, there was one consideration almost conclusive with bim. It was a universal rule of law and justice, that whether conversations that have been dead to streng mental the conversations that have been held be fore the contract is reduced to writing, may be clied to prove its formed, will show clearly how they understood it. He remembers of in the course of some trial, that he found a remarkale mental remembers of in the course of some trial, that he found a remarkale mental remembers of in the course of some trial, that he found a remarkale mental remarkation. Tall course of some trial, that he found a remarkation. Tall course of the parties mendiately after the course of some trial, that he found a remarkation. Tall course of some trial, that he found a remarkation. Tall course of some trial, that he found a remarkation. Tall course of some trial, that he found a remarkation. Tall course of some trial, that he found a remarkation. Mr. WEBSTER supported the amendment. These North Casion of that opinion by a distinguished chancellor of England. Tall me, said the chancellor, how the many the contract was made, understand the contract was made, understand the properties of the contract was made, understand the contract was made, understand the properties of the contract was made to the contract was made that they were entitled to a foir allorment of the other amount therein appropriated. The Indians have all along expected it themselves. He thought, then, that in accordance with a well settled rule of construction of written contracts, these acts of the parties subsequent and immediately subsequent to the execution of the contract, were proper evidence to show the intentions of the parties. An allowance ought, then, to be made, be contended, to those North Carolina Indians.

Mr. ATHERTON asked normission. inamuch as the honorest

Mr. ATHERTON asked permission, inasmuch as the bonora-ble Senator from Massachusetts had alluled to the correspondence in regard to the treaty, to read from a report upon this subject by the Commissioner on Indian Affairs, who quoted from a report of commissioners appointed to examine into this question. The com-missioners said :

missioner's stud.

"That nothing more was intended to be paid by the United States for the possession of the Cherokees, each of the Missiasppi, than the sum of \$5,000,000, pt., and offer the Cherokees, each of the Missiasppi, than the sum of \$5,000,000, pt., and offer students of the Cherokees and t

of the Cherokee Inhabitants situated thereon ; and that the United States will in addition pay the expense of transportation and subsistence in their removal, &c. To which the Charles of the Charles of

As he had remarked before, the supplemental article said nothing about subsistence. It alluded to claims that had been set up on the part of the Indians for expenses of removal, &c. From Judge White's report to the Senate, it would be seen that he considered the addition to the extra six hundred thousand dollars appropriated for their removal, &c., of one million as a voluntary grant. He placed it on the ground that the committee felt that toe much should be dene for the Cherokees rather than too little.

Mr. WEBSTER suggested that, of course, General Cass and all the other Secretaries following him had refused to make these payments, or the claims would not be then before the Senate for their consderation.

Mr. ATHERTON begged leave to inform the Senator from Massachusetts that this letter was dated before the ratification of the treaty in 1835.

Mr. WEBSTER knew that. If he had rightly understood the remarks of the honorable Senator from New Hampshire, he had not touched the point he meant to submit for the consideration of the Senate. The Senator attempted to show that if paid, the claims should be paid out of the five million fund. He (Mr. W.) did not enter into the question of the fund, for the fund was exhausted, run out, to a certain extent, and of course the claims could not be paid. out, to a certain extent, and of course the claims could not be paul. The point he wished to present to the Senate was, that these Indians had a right to this money; first, upon the general principles of equity, they having surrendered their property to the United States; and, secondly, as being within the provisions of the treaty,

States; and, seconary, as entire within the provisions on the tear; subsequently acknowledged by both parties.

Mr. ATHERTON rejoined, that this was what he had complained of in the amendment, because it provided for the payment of the claims out of the reasury of the United States.

The question was then taken upon agreeing to the amendment, and it was determined in the affirmative; as follows:

YEAS.—Mests, Atchnon, Bailger, Bell, Beaton, Berrier, Boriand, Butler, Corvan, Bowas, Gerenr, Blab, Johnson, of Lonsana, Lewn, Mangno, Miller, Nies, NAYS.—Messe, Atherton, Braddinny, Burce, Davis, of Messeyapp, Davison, Dicknon, Dix, Felch, Handlin, Hunter, Johnson, of Georgia, Mason, Schristin, Stargeon, Turney, Wettect, and Vulce—IV.

Mr. RUSK moved to amend the hill by inserting the following

See. — And be it further cancied, That the Prevolent of the I' untel States be and he is keeply authorized, by and with the advise and consent of the Senate, to appoint one Supermised and O Indian Affairs, and each wante on the Settle to appoint a supermised of the Affairs, and tach sure to the Settle of Transaction the Settle of Transaction the Settle of Transaction and that the sum of ten thousand dollars be, and the same is hereby appropriated out any money in the Treasury is otherwise appropriatel, for the propriect of the salars of the and otherwise appropriatel, for the propriect of the salars of the and otherwise appropriatel, for the propriect of the salars of the and otherwise appropriated for the propriect of the salars of the and otherwise and the salar factors.

Mr. RUSK deemed it necessary, perhaps, to make a few words of explanation in relation to the amendment, and as to the reason he had offered it to the present hill. Some time since a trea ty had been entered into between the commissioners appointed by the United States and the Indians residing in Texas, by which vathe United States and the Indians residing in Texas, by which various favors were to be granted them; among others a blacksmith was to reside among them to keep their tools and implements in order. The Indian appropriation bill following that treaty, by one of its sections authorized the President to cupley for the time being a temporary agent among the Indians in Texas, and made an appropriation for paying his salary. The condition in which these Indians were now placed, he said, was a peculiar one, and unless Indians were now placed, he said, was a peculiar one, and unless means were taken to change it, there was reason to apprehend a bloody Indian warfare on the frontiers of Texas. The most of the Indians there had emigrated from the United States. They were Indians there had emigrated from the United States. They were remnants of some ten or twelve tribes, and were the only ones the Texans had any difficulty with. These Indians had gone there against the wishes of the Texans, and in direct violation of a treaty between the United States and Mexico, the benefits of which were to curre to Texas. With the Cananches they had had no trouble. He thought, too, that there rested a strong obligation meant this geography. upon this government to assist in removing the difficulty, inas-much as in 1835 the United States entered into a treaty with the Caddo Indians, on the condition that they should leave their territors forever. With the very arms and ammunition they had received from the United States at that time, these Indians had gone over into Texas and had committed serious outbreaks upon the in-habitants. It was impossible, he urged, that one agent could at-tend to these remnants of twelve tribes, scattered over a vast tract of 700 or 800 miles of wilderness country. The amendment appro printed a sum sufficient to carry out the provision of the treaty of

Mr. BELL stated that the Committee on Indian Affairs had had the matter under consideration, and had a great deal of trouthe with it. They had, however, agreed upon a report not yet made. The difficulty was in regard to the authority, (lany, which this government had, to exercise control over the Indians within the benndaries of Texas. The proposition finally agreed upon was a provisional one, viz: that if the legislature of Texas would concedd the power to the general government of the United States

to control the Indians in Texas, and impose the laws over them to control the Indians in Texas, and impose the laws over them usually prescribed in the territories of the United States, that a law defining these powers of the United States government should go into immediate effect, and the President be empowered to appoint the necessary officers to earry out the intentions of the government. The officers or agents hitherto appointed had acted without any obligation being entered into on the part of Texas to centrol her own eitzens. Any one acquainted with the situation of Indians generally, knew very well that some anthority was acceled to resist the parceachers of white settles were Indians who had not been applied to the state of the property of the property of the state of the property of the state of the property of needed to resist the encroachments of white settlers upon Indian necesso to resist the eneroacuments of white settlers upon Indian territory. The power asked for by the general government was such as simply related to the removal of difficulties existing, or that might arise, between the Indians and the neighboring settlers. At present the government had no such power or authority within the limits of Texas.

Mr. RUSK would simply refer to the laws of Texas upon the subject. He would read the second article of the treaty which had been ratified between the government of the United States and these Indians, which defined the powers of jurisdiction given to this government over the Indians :

this government over the Indians:

"ARTICLE 2, It is tipulated and greed by the said tribes or nations, and their associate bands, that the United States shall have the exclusive right of regulating traid and interconne with them, and they do heaving respectively engage to dished protection of the purpose of traile and interconne, and to their agents and servants; but no person shall be permitted to sende among them as a trailer, who is not trainfished with a license regulation of the United State, or such other person as the President of the United State, or such other person as the President of the United State, or such other person as the President of the United State, or such other person as the President of the United State, or such other person as the President of the United State, or such other person as the President of the United State, or such other person as the President and a present trade is all almost the proper of the president and a state of the United State; and if any license of the United State; and if any person shall natroth knowly as a trader without such license, upon compliant is shall be dealt with according to the laws of the United State; and if

As he had before said, there were some twelve tribes of these Indians with whom all the difficulties had occurred. Among them were the Shawnees, the Delawares, the Kickapoos, the Cherokees, the Creeks, and the Seminoles, who had all come over from the ations in Texas and then fall back into Louisiana; and though he did not wish to allude to himself, yet he would say that in consequence of these depredations he raised a force, and following them inte Louisiana, took away their arms and ammunition, compelling the agent of the United States to keep them within their own limit For this conduct he had been severely censured by the government of Louisiana, and by members of the House of Representatives These Indians were doing injustice to the United States; they had gone into Texas in violation of the treaties of the United States, and was not this government authorized to restrain and subdue them?

Mr. BELL was of opinion that the amendment proposed by the honorable Senator from Texas would not effect the purpose he de-sired to have accomplished. There were no provisions for the prevention by law of the mroads of these Indians in this amendment. The amendment did not cover the whole ground; it was no remedy for the evil. The passage of the proposition to be reported by the committee to pass a law authorizing the appointment of a proper number of agents, and this agreement to exercise certain powers of restraint over the Indian tribes within the boundaries of Texas, and also the white settlers. At present there is no definite Indian boundary fixed upon, and how could an agent tell whether an inroad had been made upon Indian or upon Texian territory? We should adopt the same rules of intercourse as were adopted in the early action of this government in regard to all the large tribes west of the Mississippi. He was in favor of the most decided and liberal provisions on the part of this government for the restraint of the difficulties brought on by the Indians in Texas, but such re-straint could only be maintained by the general government, with the express sanction of the legislamure of Texas. The treaties formed prior to the annexation of Texns by that occurrence were involved in doubt, or at least had become somewhat invalidated.

Mr. RUSK had no doubt that it would suit these Indians, Cher-Mr. RUSk had no doubt that it would suit these mains, cher-okees and others, to establish a boundary in Texas when they were actually intruders on the soil. They would no doubt be very thankful for the opportunity of laying claim to a portion of her domain. The honorable Senator from Tennessee had stated that the annexation of Toxas had divested the existing treaties of them former force and validity.

Mr. BELL acknowledged that they ought to have been earrie out in good faith, but that they were really not now to be relied upon, and thought that there ought to be some new arrangements made.

Mr. RUSK -That is just what this amendment proposes. addirius the Predict of the County with the provision of the County and sord a stillion must be provided by the County and sord a stillion number of ugents to control the Indians. The small amount proposed in that amendment to be applied to support of agents for the estellment of these difficulties, was nothing in comparison to what it yet might cost Texas and the government of the United States. Should an Indian war enso, Texas and the government of the United States. Should an Indian war enso, Texas and the government of the United States. Should an Indian war enso, Texas and the government of the United States. Should an Indian war enso, Texas and the government of the United States. vernment of the United States. Should an Indian ware ensure, I can blood in profusion would have to be shed, and perhaps hundreds of thousands of dollars appropriated by the general government before it could be suppressed. The authority of a United States agent would be recognized and acknowledged, because it was well known that the United States would maintain the power of its representatives. The Indians would, therefore, be compelled to move back without bloodshed.

Mr. ATCHISON had but a very few words to say in reference to the subject. He would inform the honorable Senator that a bill would be reported from the Committee on Indian Alfairs to-morrow, which would answer all the purposes the Senator from Texas desired to have accomplished. If had been unanimously agreed upon by the committee; they having by investigation become satisfied that there was danger of an Indian war resulting from baldificalties that had along place. The Prevalent studies pointed an Indian agent for Texas called a special agent. That agent had represented to the Indian Department that he was enterly mable the restrain the intercorses between the citizens of Texas and the Indians; and unless that could be done, peace could not be maintained. When the agent forbid any white man settling on the Indian territory, they replied that intercourse did not exist between the Indians and the United States, and that they were citizens of Texas, and would acknowledge no jurisdiction, no legislazens of Texas, and would acknowledge no jurisdiction, no legislation beyond that of Texas. The intercourse of the United States did not reach Texas, and could not, noless through the neclium of the legislature of Texas. Hence the appointment of Indian agents whose authority would not be recognized in Texas, without the consent of the legislature of Texas, would be worse than a farce. The bill, as he remarked, that would be reported to-morrow, would do all the Senator proposed to do by his amendament, and so soon as the authorities of Texas would consent to its provisions being enforced in Texas, and give ne United States the requisite power to restrain the Indians the government would put their powers to freez. As to the timeran Hodians the tivel of the State. rers in force. As to the itinerant ladians that lived out of the State, Texas had a right to keep them off by force or to call upon the general government for a sufficient number of troops to d.ive them

Mr. RUSK disliked to be troublesome, but in regard to the reference spoken of to the legislature of Texas for authority to subden and restrain these Indians, he would say that the legislature of Texas would not meet again for two years, so that this bill could not be acted on during that time. To be sure, if Texas ehose to convene an extra session of the legislature, and pay four times the amount involved in the amendment he had oldered, the bill might be passed upon at an earlier period. The United States had made a treasy with these Indians—they were dissatisfied be-eause of the non fulfilment of some of its stripulations, and it was eause of the non infilmment of some of its stripmations, and it was the duty of the government to send out agents to settle and ar-range the matter. His amendment embraced all that was neces-sary to be done at the present time.

Mr.WESTCOTT .- 1 am much surprised at the remarks of the Mr.WESTCOTT.—I am much surprised at the remarks of the senator from Tennessee, flir. BeLL, I and of the chairman of the Committee on Indian Allairs, [Mr. Arctinsox.] They say it the opinion of the President, Secretary of War, Commissioner of Indian of Indian Allairs, and of the committee of which those Senators are members, that we have the committee of which those show her legislature, the federal government has no jurisdiction or com-trol over the Indians residue within the State of Jexas. They the registrature, the received government this no jurisdiction of control over the Indians resident within the State of Texas. They argue that therefore it would be wrong to adopt this amendment. I recollect well when the treaty with the Texas Indians, concluded by the late Col. Butler, was submitted to the was with the opposition, it was opposed on was fully discussed. An honorable Sensitor from Arkansas, then at the head of the Indian Committee, now in Mexico, [18]. Sexus, 19 to sastain the rights of the federal government to make the treaty, referred to the constitutional provision, giving to it the right to "regulate increosures with the Indian tribes." It is true, the United States does not own the territory where those Indians reside, but there is no exception in the constitutional delegation of power. The United States have made treaties with the Sencess, Stockbridge, Brothertown, and other Indian tribes, in States where the federal government had no claim upon the land. The treaty was ratified by two-thirds of the Senate; and I had supposed the question of jurisdiction was settled. I have no difficulty about it. Since that treaty was ratified. ace; and I had supposed the question of jurisdiction was settled. have no difficulty about it. Since that treaty was ratified, (Texas acquiescing) I conceive the United States have as much right to control the Indians in in Texas, as the Indians in any other part of the Union. If not the making and ratification of that treaty was a solemn farce, and the treaty itself a dead letter.—
I became satisfied that the United States had the right to the the text of t I became satisfied that the United States and the light to make the treaty, and the right to exceute it, or adopt any other measures, regulating the intercourse of the whites with the Indians, in conformity to their policy. Texas owns the land, subject to the Indian claim of occupancy, and it is to be presumed that the United States will, by its laws respecting the In-dians, have special reference to the right of Texas to the landto the necessity of the Indians retiring before the white emigration, and the preservation of peace and quiet on the frontier. As to the question of the right to control these Indians by the federal the question of the right to control these Indians by the federal government, and the right to regulate their intercourse with the border settlers, it can be exercised without special law, under the military power delegated by the constitution. The United States can establish military posts along the border, and inhibit the whites or Indians from crossing either way the military pine. As to these Texas Indians, the United States have not acted in good faith with Texas. I know, personally, that about the year 1833 or 1834, several hundred Indians were sent from Apalachicola, Florida, into Carlos and 30TH CONG .- 1ST SESSION-No. 91.

concerned in attacks upon, and massacres of, the Texan frontier settlers; and some of them over kinstalted by the Administration of the Control o settlers; and some of them were killed in battle by Texans under the I make the material teachers and onlines by adjusted the characteristic and the second of the property of the second of the

Mr. ATHERTON remarked that in common with the other members of the committee who had addressed the Senate, so far as he had examined the subject, he could not see what right it be United States had to jurisdiction over the Indians of Texas, unless authority was given her by the State Legislature of Texas. In the appropriation bill before the Senate, an appropriation of lifteen hundred dollars for a sub-Indian agent for Texas was made, and it was supposed that nothing further would be necessary until the bill framed with particular reference to a removal of the difficulties was reported from the Indian Committee. By the interactions were ported from the Indian Committee, By the interaction was also because the supposed that only the supposed that only the supposed that only the supposed that the supposed to the supposed that the supposed to the suppos Mr. ATHERTON remarked that in common with the other

The question was then taken upon agreeing to the amendment, and it was determined in the negative, as follows :

YEAS.—Mesur. Benton, Borland, Bradbury, Calhoun, Davis, of Mississippi, Fréch, Hamlin, Mangum, Rusk, Sebastian, Surgeon, Turney, and West-orth—12.

NAYS.—Messrs, Atchion, Altendan, Bailger, Bell, Bernen, Ridler, Corvun, Havin, of Mawachusetts, Davion, Dickmonn, Dix, Downs, Greene, Hale, Hunter, Johnson, Oftongia, Mason, Miller, Nicks, Pearce, Sprance, Underwood, and Yufes—23.

Mr. SEBASTIAN moved a postponement of the bill until to-morrow, in order that he might submit an amendment which he intended to offer.

The motion was agreed to.

EXECUTIVE SESSION.

On motion by Mr. DIX, the Senate proceeded to the considera-tion of Executive business; and after some time spent therein,

On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

Approved March 20, 1848.

GEO. T. WOOD.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Joint resolution instructing on: Senators and requesting our Representatives to care the passage of an act of Congress concerning military posts on the frontier relative to intercourse with Indians.

relative trainersome with Intans.

Sir. J. Bet are resolved by the legislistance of the State of Terna. That our Sewa tors in Congress be nationed, and our Renewentaries respected, to nee their influence for procuring the pissage of an act establishing a claim of mithary posts in old shall be removed from time to time as the settlements advance.

Sir. 2. That we also recongrued that in any Congressional reactionst concerning the United States, and the commandant of the troops in the government service state of the Congression of the Congre

The foregoing is a copy of the original joint resolution on file in the State Depart-W. D. MILLER, Secretary of State.

# THURSDAY, JUNE 15, 1848.

#### THE VICE PRESIDENT.

The Hon. GEORGE M. DALLAS, Vice President of the United States, and President of the Senate, resumed the chair.

#### REPORT FROM THE WAR DEPARTMENT

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a report of the Secretary of War, made in compliance with a resolution of the Senate, of the 28th ultimo, showing the number of Creek Indian warrors killed or wounded, or who had died while in the service of the United States during the war in Fiorida, and the arrears of pay due to each of them, which was read and ordered to be

Mr. ATCHISON presented the petition of John H. Eaton, praying the reimbursement of the cost of a horse purchased by him from the United States, which proved to be unsound; which was referred to the Committee of Claims.

Mr. BUTLER presented a petition of citizens of Charleston, South Carolina, praying that the spirit ration in the navy may be abolished; which was referred to the Committee on Naval

Mr. CAMERON presented a petition of citizens of Philadelphia engaged in commerce and navigation, praying an increase of the naval establishment of the United States; which was referred to the Committee on Naval Aflairs.

#### PUBLIC STABLE.

Mr. HUNTER, from the Committee on Public Buildings, reported the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to:

Resolved. That, to provide accommodations for the horses and wagons employed in the everge of the Senate, the Commissioner of the Public Buildings came a stable to be built on the public ground near the Capitol; and that the expense thereof, not to exceed \$500, be paid out of the contingent fund of the Senate.

Mr. BENTON submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to:

Resolved, That there be printed, for the use of the Topographical Bureau, one hundred copies of Fremont's map of Lower California, and the same number of Fremont's Geographical Memory, in illustration of his map of Upper California.

## RECRUITING SERVICE

Mr. ATCHISON submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to:

Resolved. That the Sec. stary of War inform the Senate what number of recruits have been enlisted in the army during the war with Mexico within the last ninety

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk :

Mr. President: The President of the United States approved and signed, the 19th instant, the conciled bill entitled "An act in explanation of an act entitled "An act to appropriate the proceeds of the public leads, and to grant pie-empion rights."

The House of Representatives concur in the amendment of the Senate to the point resolution providing for the payment of the regiment of Tevas mounted troops called into the service of the United States under the requisition of Colonel Contistin the year 1847, and for other purposes.

They have passed a resolution in relation to the transportation and discharge of the military forces of the United State 5tt the close of the war with Messeo, in which they request the concurrence of the Senate.

The Speaker of the House of Representatives having ugned an enrolled resolution, am directed to bring it to the Senate for the signature of their President.

## SIGNING OF A JOINT RESOLUTION.

The VICE PRESIDENT signed the enrolled joint resolution providing for the payment of the regiment of Texas mounted troops called into the service of the United States under the requisition of Colonel Curtis in the year 1847, and for other purposes.

## TRANSPORTATION AND DISCHARGE OF TROOPS.

The joint resolution from the House of Representatives in rela tion to the transportation and discharge of the military forces of the United States at the close of the war with Mexico, was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent

Mr. BENTON.—I would inquire if that resolution is not a counterpart of the one which I presented yesterday morning to

The resolution was again read by the Sceretary.

Mr. BENTON .- It is the same precisely. I have no objection that the Senate should proceed at once to its consideration, as it has come into our hands at the very moment when, according to the notice I gave yesterday, I was about to call up the one which I introduced. I move that the Senate proceed to the considerations of the consideration tion of the resolution new

The PRESIDING OFFICER.—With the unanimous consent of the Senate, this resolution will now be considered as in Committee of the Whole.

Mr. BREESE.—I do not quite understand the resolution. It seems to me there will be some little difficulty, if it be adopted as it stands at present. So far as the officers of the marine corps are concerned, a regulation has been adopted by which first lieutenants have been made captains, and second lieutenants have been made first lieutenants. The military law does not recognize the promonrs neutrenans. The mintary has does not recognize use promo-tion of officers in that way, except the appointments are made to fill vacancies. Now, if this joint resolution passes, what becomes of these classes of officers? They cannot go back to their original rank; they have had regular promotion. But by the passage of of these classes of officers? They calmot go book to their original rank; they have had regular promotion. But by the passage of this resolution, these second lieutenants will be thrown out of the service—the corps will 1e disarranged—the others must be transferred back. Besides, it seems to me to be premature to act upon this resolution now, before we have received the preclamation of the President. It is possible that he may recommend—though 1 the parties that he will—and white the property of the prope the President. It is possible that he may recommend—though I do not say that he will—an addition to the military force of the country. Our frontier will be almost entirely undefended; and it seems to me to be absolutely necessary that there should be an increase of the military force. I give this opinion without any very accurate knowledge of the subject, I confess. But in regard to the marine corps I do not see how the difficulty that I have adverted to is to be got over, if this resolution should be adopted.

Mr. BENTON.—If the Senator desires a postponement of the subject, I will not press the vote to-day. Perhaps the difficulty which the Senator has stated may be removed upon a little further consideration. In the meantime, bowever, I will myself ofter an amendment to the resolution. In every ones in which officers have been dishanded at the conclusion of the war, there has been an allowance made to them of three months pay, in order to cover an anowance made to them of three months pay, in order to ever-their loss of time, and expense in returning home. This was done at the conclusion of the war in 1815, and it has been the case at the conclusion of all wars. I therefore offer this amendment:

"And all commissioned officers so discharged, shall be entitled to receive three months extra pay in addition to the pay and allowances due by law."

It is taken from the act of 1815, and embraces only commissioned officers. Non-commissioned officers have their land bounty and other allowances. It is for three months' extra pay only, in order to cover their expenses in returning home

Mr. CAMERON .- I move to amend the amendment by includin CARLEAGO — move to amena the ancoment by melaing "non-commissioned officers, nusicinns, and privates." It is true that they get their land, but experience has taught me that although they do get their lands eventually, it is a long time before they get them; and they undergo great privations. I move that these words be added to the amendment.

The question being taken upon the amendment to the amendment, it was determined in the affirmative. Ayes 25, noes not counted.

The question then recurred upon agreeing to the amendment as

Mr. ATCHISON said. I would inquire if this amendment includes Mr. ATCHISON said. I would inquire if this ameadment includes all the troops that are discharged; those who enlisted but who have never reached Mexico, as, well as those who have fought? If it were so modified as to embrace those only who were in the army at the time of the war, I should have no objection; but as it stands, I apprehend it will put those who have never been in Mex-ico at all upon the same fouting with those who have fought. It seems to me proposterous to place them all upon the same footing.

Mr. WEBSTER .- I take somewhat of a different view-in-Mir. WEBSTER.—I take somewhat of a different view—inced an entirely different view, from that adopted by the Senator from Missouri. He says the amendment will embrace many soldiers who have not smelled powder. The greater their misotrume! And you may say the same of the efficience. The impulse of any honorable person, officers or soldiers, is to enter into and pass through the service with distinction, and if he has failed in an opportunity to obtain that, it is his misotrume. I believe if you look at the progress of officers and soldiers, whose fait is to them a subject, not the able to face the enemy, you will find it is to them a subject, not who will be a subject to the control of th who enter the service take their chances, they hazard the climate, and the climate is ten times more fearful than the swords of all the soldiers in Mexico. Why then make the distinction? And besides, if you make the distinction in regard to soldiers, why not in regard to soldiers? They have been occapied going hither and thither, without meeting the enemy. Sir, put them all on the same footing. We are bound to presume that all, both officers and men, have entered the service not only with the rational view of receiving their proper reward, but the view also of meeting the enemy and distinguishing themselves in battle. I am for placing them all exactly upon the same ground, and if this proposition does not embrace all the soldiers equally, I, for one, cannot concern in it.

Mr. BENTON.—The words of the amendment are. I believe, "all commissioned officers so discharged."

Mr. CALHOUN asked for the reading of the amendment.

It was again read by the Secretary.

Mr. BENTON.—Thus you see it is narrower in its application than is apprehended by either of the gentlemen who have spoken. It is limited to those who will be discharged under the resolution. Having now brought this subject to the attention of the Senate, I should prefer that it lie over for final decision, until to-morrow.

Mr. ATCHISON.—I wish to make one remark by way of expanation, in regard to what was said by the honorable Scuator from Massachusetts. I agree with him, that it is the misfortune of these men that they did not smell gunpowder. It was no fault of theirs. But, sir, my remark was intended to apply only to those who were lately enhisted, and who, perhaps, have not reached Mexico at all I would ask the Senator from Massachusetts if it be proper, that those who have been recently chilisted, and have not been in service at all should receive in addition to their bounty longht during the whole war, and encountered all the hazards of the climate, receives nothing more? That is the view that I take of the subject.

Mr. WEBSTER.—I dare say that under the proposition as it is, some five hundred or a thousand men may receive this quarter section, who have not worked very hard for it, who have not encountered any particular danger, and have not been exposed to any particular severity of climate. But we cannot in such cases graduate our bounty with such precision. If we adopt the amendment which the Senator suggests, we may shut out live hundred persons who may be supposed not to deserve the preposed bounty, and in doing so, we may perhaps retain what properly belongs to a bundred very deserving men. Now, I think it is better to make the rule general. If there is bounty and grace falling on those who are not entitled to reward, then they have it. But let bounty and grace fall upon those in the mass who have certainly entitled themselves to it.

NOTICE

Mr. DICKINSON gave notice that on Monday next, he would ask the Senate to take up the bill reported by him to amend the naturalization law.

The object of the bill he would simply state, was to entitle those persons who had taken the proper perilianing steps, to avail themselves of the benefit of the law, though they may have been temporarily absent from the country in Mexico or elsewhere, during the period of residence required by the law as it now stands.

Mr. BENTON moved that the resolution lie upon the table; which was agreed to.

### EXTENSION OF THE NATURALIZATION LAWS.

Mr. BERRIEN, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom was referred the bill to extend the benefits of an extent thed "An act to establish an uniform rule of naturalization and to repeal the acts heretolore passed on that subject" to the wives and children of citizens, reported the same with amendments.

Mr. BERRIEN.—I beg to say that when this bill was introduced the other day by the Senator from Massachusetts, that honorable Senator presented to the Senate certain considerations which rendered it important that the bill should be speedily acted gone by the Senate. With regard to that portion of the bill which provides for the condition of the children of parents, citizens of the United States, which children are born cut of the limits of the United States, which children are born cut of the limits of the United States, which children are born cut of the limits of the United States, which childred should be successful to the state of 1802 the provision is confined to children of parents who were in life at the time, and by the lapse of time it is therfore becoming inoperative. The act of 1802 supercedes the act of 1755, which included all citzens of the United States, without reference to time. It has been thought expedient by the committee to reinstate the provisions of the act of 1755, and thereby the children of citizens of United States. That is the first provision. The second provision is to give the right of citizenship to an alien frue covert, being married, to a citizen of the United States. We propose to limit the provision scale frue coverts as shall continue to reside w thin the United States. That is the first provision is, I think, of such importance as to induce the Senate to give it immediate consideration. I therefore move that the bill be now considered.

The Senate proceeded to consider said bill and amendments as in Committee of the Whole.

Mr. WESTCOTT —I hope this bill will not be pressed to day, I was not stisifed with it, even as amended, in the conscittee, and am not prepared to vote for it. I prefer the amendments, which alter the original bill, greatly to it. I do not know that I have any great objection to the first section of the bill as amended. It re-enacts an old repealed law merely. But I should like to examine the subject more closely. I wish to see why that law was repealed. I think there should be in all laws on the spiect of naturalization provisions requiring positive and direct acts, by the persons which it may make citizens, indicative of their intentions to avail themselves of the law, such as reasonicing all foreign allocations of the second of the law, such as reasonicing all foreign allocations of the second of the law, such as reasonicing all foreign allocations of the law of the law of the law is the law of the

of any foreignet to the country of his birth by a naked act, without any expression on his part of his wishes, or even assent, to a
change of his allegiance. With respect to foreign countries who
may claim the allegiance of such person, it strikes me such law is
exceptionable, even if we have the full right thus to sever such
allegiance. I suggest this for the consideration of Senators.

As to the second section as amended, I shall, as at present informed, vote agninst it; but I desire it postponed, that I may
draw the attention of more experienced Senators to its phraseology and its effects. It makes, by its own force, every foreign
female, may, "every woman married, or who shall be married, to
mi," a citizen of the Ulmoi and shall continue to reside the
say what effect this may have. What are the rights intended to
say what effect this may have. What are the rights intended to
say what effect this may have. What are the rights intended to
say what effect this may have. What are the rights intended to
say what effect this may have. What are the rights intended to
say what effect this may have. What are the rights intended to
say what effect this may have. What are the rights intended to
say what effect this may have. What are the rights intended to
say what effect this may have. What are the rights intended to
say what effect this may have. What are set in the position of the conformed on such female will she acquire—what disadvantages
will she avoid? These, in my judgment, are all pertinent questions, which, I trust, Scantors will maderate and well before they
decide on this bill. One effect, I think, I understand clearly. In
some of the States an alien my work and the second of the se swein allegiance to this. No expression or manifestation by ber of her wish to become a citizen is required, except mere residence with her husband here. This fact, whatever her feelings and in-tentions, is all sufficient. Under this bill a foreign female, after residence here long enough to get the property, this bill, I suppose, is intended to give her a right to claim and hold, could return to is incomed to give not a right to command holds, come return com-bet room country, and say size to the command to the command of the between command to the command to the command of the command of the law to make her such citizen and dissolve her natural allegiance against her wishes! Could a foreign female be proceeded against for treason upon the mere facts of marriage and residence being proved? While married, the residence is held by law to be under the constraint of the husband. I hope Senators will consider the practical operation and effect of this section before it is adopted. The chief difficulty, I have, however, is, that this section of four lines will so operate upon and affect the local laws of the State I have referred to, respecting dower, descents, and devises and aliens holding lands, that every State in the Union having such statutes must, as soon as this bill passes, resort to affirmative, positive legislation to meet the alteration in the laws of nations re-specting allegiance, and in the common law as to feme covert specing allegrance, and in the common law as to grow aliens. This bill virtually repeals or changes the effect of all these laws of the States. It creates the necessity of an immediate revision by the States of all their statures, on the subjects I have mentioned, to meet these new rules of alienage and citizenship mentioned, to meet these new rules of alienage and citizenship processed by Congress? Can it, under the delegation in the constitution of the United States, "to establishing an uniform rule of stitution of the United States, "to establishing an uniform rule of the Congress? I do not be stated to the constitution of the United States, "to establishing an uniform rule of the Congress naturalization," do this? If so—if, by our legislation, we can thus change the effect of all these laws of the States in an instant—it is a fremendous power. We could at once, by four lines of a law, admit every foreigner of every country to citizenship without residence or any other condition! It seems to me the sole object of this law is thus to affect the rights of alien females to property under the State laws. There can be none other; for they cannot have any mere political rights of value to them. I would also call the attention of Senators to the phraseology of this section allowing any female who has or may marry a citizen to become herself a citizen, no matter what country she came from. It strikes me the terms are somewhat too broad. I object to the preamble of the bill also as superfluous and uscless, and that I shall move to

Mr. WEBSTER.—I would suggest to the Senator from Florida to withdraw his objections to considering the bill now: accept the amendments, strike out the preamble, have the bill printed as amended, and let it go over to Monday next, by which time Senators can examine it.

Mr. WESTCOTT.—I am entirely agreed to such a conrse, All I desire is, that the bill shall not be decided finally to-day—not until its provisions and effect can be fully considered.

The amendments having been read by the Secretary

Mr. CALHOUN.—I had no idea that this bill was coming up to-day. It requires, Ilthink, some consideration.

Mr. WESTCOTT .- The question is only to be taken upon the

Mr. CALHOUN,-Well, the amendments may be important. I desire an opportunity to examine them. I therefore move that the bill and amendments be printed.

Mr. WEBSTER.—I hope that the honorable Senator from South Carolina will allow the amendments to be adopted, and he will then have an opportunity to consider whether he has any objection to the provisons of the bill. I will suggest fur-ther to the honorable Senator, that the bill as it was sent to the committee contained some provisions of a disputable character, and the committee has stricken them all out. I wish the hoaoraand the committee has stricken them all out. ble Senator would allow the amendments to be acted upon.

Mr. CALHOUN .- It would then be too late to offer any oppo-

On motion by Mr. WESTCOTT, the preamble to the bill was stricken ont

The amendments reported to the bill were agreed to; and it was

Ordered, That the bill be printed, as amended, and that the further consideration thereof be postponed until to-morrow.

## CHANGE OF REFERENCE.

On motion by Mr. RUSK, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on Military Affairs be discharged from the further consideration of the resolution of the Legislature Tennessee in favor of compensating certain companies of mounted volunteers.

On motion by Mr. TURNEY, it was

Ordered. That the said resolution be referred to the Committee

#### ADVERSE REPORTS.

Mr. MASON, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the memorial of Ann B. Cox, submitted an adverse report, which was ordered to be printed.

Mr. BUTLER, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom was referred the petition of inhabitants of Winnebago county, Il-linois, presented the 27th April, submitted an adverse report.

## PAIVATE BILL.

Mr. NHES, from the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Repre-sentatives for the relief of William Fuller and Orlando Saltmarsh, reported it without amendment.

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN LOUISIANA.

Mr. BUTLER, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom the Delthest, non the committee on the Shareary, to whom the petitions of certain citizens of Louisiana, for the establishment of a judicial district, were referred, submitted a report accompa-nied by a fall for the better organization of the District Conrt of the United States within the State of Louisiana.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered. That the report be printed.

SHIP CANAL AROUND THE FALLS OF ST. MARY'S, MICHIGAN,

Mr. FELCH, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the resolution of the Legislature of Michigan, in fawas referred the resolution of the Legislature of Michigan, in fa-vor of granting a right of way and extrain public land to a canal company, submitted a report accompanied by a bill granting to the State of Michigan the right of way and a donation of public land for the construction of a ship canal around the falls of St. Mary's, in said State.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading,

Ordered, That the report be printed.

## PRIVATE BILL.

Mr. BRADBURY, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the petition of the legal representatives of John G. Mackall, deceased, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for their relief.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

## LAND CLAIMS IN MISSISSIPPI.

Mr. BORLAND, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives supplemental to an act to confirm the survey and location of claims in the State of Mississippi, cast of the Pearl river, and south of the 31st degree of north latitude, approved March 3, 1845, reported it without amendment.

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN ARKANSAS.

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom was recommitted the bill to divide the District of Arkansas ato two judicial Districts, reported the same, and submitted a special report on the subject.

#### MENICAN WAR CORRESPONDENCE.

The Senate resumed the consideration of the resolution submit-ted on the 12th inst. by Mr. Banger, for the printing of an Exec-utive document of the House of Representatives No. 60; and the blank having been filled with "five thousand," the resolution was agreed to, as follows :

Resolved. That there be printed for the use of the Senate 5000 copies of Executive document No. 60, of the House of Representatives of the present session, entitled the Maxican war correspondence.

#### THE TREATY WITH MEXICO

The Senate proceeded to consider the following motion, submitted yesterday by Mr. Mangum, and it was agreed to:

Ordered, That 20,000 copies of the proceedings of the Senato in Executive session on the treaty with Mexico, and of the documents from which the icianation of secresy has been removed by the resolutions of the Senate of the 31st May and the 2d inst., be printed for the use of the Senate.

#### INDIAN APPROPRIATION BILL.

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the con-The secarci resumed as in commutee or a Whole, the con-sideration of the bill making appropriations for the current and contingent expenses of the Indian Department and for fulfilling treaty stipulations with the various Indian tribes for the year end-ing June 30, 1849, and for other purposes.

## Mr. SEBASTIAN submitted the following amendment :

Strike out the whole of the third section, and strike out in the 17th incof the first section, the words "twelve thousand as a brudered and seventy-eight" and insert in hear there thereof "eightheen thousand," and strike out in the 19th and 29th these of the same section, the words "eight hundred and seventy" and insert nine thausand seven hundred and first the section.

Mr. SEBASTIAN.—The object which I propose to effect by the amendment, is to prevent a reduction in the establishment of the agencies and sub-agencies upon the western frontier, and re-store it to the condition in which it was found at the last session of Congress. By the act of 1834, and subsequent acts of 1837, and '46, there were successively established a number of agencies and sub-agencies, increasing in number as our Indian relations were enlarged, until about twenty-seven were created, the aggregate authorized by the different acts. This bill proposes to regate authorized by the different acts. This bill proposes to reduce the number, so that the maximum shall not exceed diffeen, and confers upon the Executive the power to enlarge the number whenever a change in the position of the tribes, or their relations may render it accessary. I have examined into the history of the legislation upon this subject, and of this particular feature in the bill, and can see no propriety in the proposed reduction, nor can't see that the reduction when made will effect any thing more than see that the reduction when made wint extend that the proceed of a nominal relief to the Treasury at present, at the price of peace and security on the frontier. This economy is ill-timed and uncalled for, and amounts in its results to the most prodigal extravagance. It has been the policy of the government heretofore, to establish twenty-seven agencies and sub-agencies, in order to secure the protection of the frontier, and maintain internal peace among the tribes. We can untol less submit to their withdrawal among the tribes. We can batch ress staum to their windows are more more above, when we have the contract the contract with Mexico, the army on the frontier has been withdrawn to other fields of operation, the posts left without garrisons, the country without dedence, while our chief safeguard and protection has been found in a well or dered system of agencies extending into every tribe, as organized dered system of signoise sections into every thee, as organized by the laws to which I have referred. This has been the most effectual means on our part of enforcing our intercourse law; regarding their local affairs, and municipal measures, and maintaining in their integrity the established relations towards them and each other. As yet the policy of the government has never carried pro-tection as far as we were entitled to it by the circumstances under which the different tribes were transplanted, and domiciled en our frontier, exposing us to many of the cvils which caused their removal. As yet it is unknown what portion of the army, when reduced to the peace establishment may be awarded to frontier de-fence. While our arm of defence is entirely withdrawn and it is uncertain when, and with what strength it may be restored, it is not wise and impolitic to impair the strength and efficiency of the remaining barrier left. I am opposed to the reduction therefore be-cause it is breaking down this barrier, destroying this protection, and weakening the security which it has heretofore afforded. maintaining of agencies among the tribes is the least obnoxious mode of superintending their affairs, and discharging our duties towards them. They regard them with favor and with pride, and plume themselves upon their privilege of a separate and distinct agency. It is the visible evidence of their nationality, their independence and protection, which their weakness derives from our pendence and protection, which their weakness derives from our strength. It is the connecting link between them and the fed-eral government. Devoted to the distinct interests of the tribe, transacting their separate business, the agent possessess their confidence, and through this is enabled to exert an influ-ence otherwise unattainable. Destroy this separate agency, confederate them under one agent—attempt even this limited (Thion among discondant elements, and you give rise to discontents, calousies of the weaker tribe against the stronger, suspicions of partiality upon the part of the agent, and by destroying their contidence in his integrity, destroy his elliciency, and deteat the whole policy of the government in these establishments.

This bill proposes to enlarge the number when the altered posi-

tion of the tribes may, in the opinion of the President, require it. This is leaving a measure of present necessity to the future. By strking out this section, as I desire, the bill will then leave the whole matter in the hands of the President, where it is to be lodged by existing laws. By the set of 1834 he is authorized, when it can be saicly done, to reduce the number of agencies. This power has never yet been exercised. I presume no necessity has been seen for it. The President, and Secretary of War, and the Head of the Indian Bureau have not, in any dificial and responsible form, recommended it. I prefer the provisions of the old law, which fixes the higher number of agencies, with the power of reduction, to this bill, which reduces the number; and grants the power to enlarge the number in durue. The old law gives the best security, and if at any lature time the number and grants in the power to enlarge the number to do so is left where it ought to be, in the President. This is better than making an indexible iron rule on the subject, which right or wrong, effects a reduction 1 oppose this feature as a reform, because it will be attended with immediate confusion. As a ttop in economy, it is taken at the wrong place, and will be followed by large expenditures in the fufure, when perhaps a simple expenditure of money may be too late to avoid the consequence of an error now.

Mr. BORLAND.—I propose submitting a few remarks in support of the amendment offered by my sollegue, for the purpose of calling the attention of the Senate to the peculiar situation of the State of Arkasass in regard to the Indians. It is differently situated from all other States. The policy of the government has been to send almost all the Indians upon the western frontier, and they have not at any time granted us adequate protection from those landians. The consequence has been, that disturbances have arisen upon our western frontier, creating for the federal court the greater amount of its business, and imposing a leavy expense upon the government. As a matter of justice, then, to the people in the general government is in important that we should have some adequate protection; at all events, that we should have some adequate protection; at all events, that we should have more than we have hitterto had. The circumstances of the withdrawal of the troops of which my colleague has spoken renders it necessary that, unstead of a diminition of agencies, they should be increased. The necessity for additional security and protection to be afforded to us on the western frontier became so great in 1844, that the second regiment of dragoons was raised to protect us against the mearsons of the Indians, and against the disturbances which were occasioned mainly by disputes and conflicts among the different tribes. These disturbances which were occasioned mainly by disputes and conflicts among the different was the state of the disturbances which were occasioned mainly by the part of the state of the prevented by laving a strong military force there, or else by having additional agencies established among the Indian tribes.

Mr. ATHERTON.—I hope the amendment will not prevail.
I should be as averse as any one to do any thing to quadrager the
security of those who reside near these Indian territories, or which
might lead to any difficulty among the Indians. The senators asys
he can find no recommendation from the department, which empraces the section he proposes to strike out. Sir, this reduction
of the expenses of superintendents and Indian agents has been
and by the floose, with the full concernees of the Committee
on Indian Affairs. The propose of the change that the committee
on Indian Affairs are supported to the change that the committee
on Indian Affairs in any of the tropose of the committee
on Indian Affairs in any of the tropose of the committee
on Indian Affairs in any of the tropose of the wish and recommendation of the Commissioner of Indian Affairs that it has been
introduced. I believe that, instead of dunishing, it will increase
the efficiency of the agencies; and I certainly think it is important
in one respect, because the bill so sent to us from the House does
dipinish the expenses of Indian agencies in the aggregate to the
extent of about ten thousand dollars. I have here a statement of
the distribution while, it is proposed to make of these agencies and
sub-egencies among the Indian tribes:

[Mr. A. here read a paper containing an account of the proposed distribution of agents and sub-agents among the Indian tribes.]

This is not the first time that this subject has been before Coperess. A bill was passed by the House has a session containing in the two first sections the same provision for retrenchment of agencies as in this bill. That bill contained also other provisions. The department had not then obtained all the information necessary to enable them to decide upon the property of the reduction. Therefore, when the bill came to the Senate the two first sections were strucken out, with the view of obtaining further information before acting upon the subject. The department has now obtained that full information, and is of opinion that the reduction can safely and properly be made, and it is therefore introduced into this bill. But there is something further in regard to this matter. The matter that the behavior of the provision of the provision of the provision of the law of the

"And the superintendents, agents, and sub-agents shall be furnished with offices for the transaction of the public limines, and the agents and sub-agents with ioness for their renderses at the expense of the United States, and with the areast of the Indians, be permitted to cultivate such portions of land as the President or Secretary of Wai may deem piopar."

Now this allowance for officers and dwelling houses and of certain portions of had to cultivate, was made to the agents and subagents in consideration of a reduction of their salaries, as contained in the two first sections of the full; and those sections having been stricken out, the reduction did not take place. The agents and subagents then if this amendment prevail, will be entitled to the whole of their old salaries without any reduction, and in addition to that, to the dwelling houses to be built by the government as well as the portion of land allowed them to cultivate. The salary of an agent which is now hitcen hundred dollars, is proposed by this bill to be reduced to twelve hundred dollars. The number of agents is diminished, and the number of sub-agents somewhat increased, and it is supposed that they will be equally elicient. Now it will be perceived, that the adoption of the amendment proposed by the Sonator from Arkanasa will create much confusion. And saving can be properly made, and as the recent change in the position of the troops made by the department reaching on the same training of the processor of the processor to keep up the present number of agencies, I do hope the amendment will not prevail. In many cases more than one tribe occupy the same territory, and the business can therefore be done by one agent as well as by two, for the two tribes thus mingled together—as for instance, for the Chuetaws and Chickasaws, for the Creeks and the Seminoles. There is no good reason I think why this reform should not be carried out.

Mr. SEBASTIAN .- The statement which the honorable chairman of the committee has made to the Senate, shows the fallacy of the ground upon which this reduction is proposed, and I am convinced now that the department has been wanting in that full information which is necessary to bring them to a correct under-standing of the subject. I am surprised to find the honorable gen-tleman embracing the Creeks and Seminoles under the same head. tleman embracing the Creeks and Seminoles under the same head. Those tribes were formerly of kndred blood, it is true, yet cir-cumstances have occurred which have arrayed them against each other. There fare at this time, difficulties of the most irritating character existing, which are now under consideration of the de-partment; and the affiliation of those tribes is an object which the department has been trying to effect, and in which thus far they have most signally failed. There is a deep sented jedonary the part of the Seminoles against the Creeks, and they have refused to come to any terms with them, on the ground that pow-er will always steal from the weaker to the stronger, and that the or will always stear from the weaker to the stronger, and that Crocks will consequently exercise undue power over them; and now we prepose to join them together, and to allow one agent to transact the business of both tribes. It is proposed also that the Chicknesaws and Choctaws shall be united, and that there shall be but one spent for both, and yet I no informed by persons sequator-ed with those tribes, and whose personal knowledge enables them to spend confidently on the subject, that their relations towards each other arc of such a character that the business of those tribes cannot be transacted by the same ageocy. And their relations with each other are becoming more complicated, for it is always. the case that as a nations advance in civilization, their rights be-come of a more complicated nature. It is an historical fact, that in regard to all those nations residing in the west, they have had in regard to air inose introduce resulting in the west, tasy of we have feeded, and exterminating wars in days gone by, the recollections of which still remain, and if not culficient to destroy all intercourse they at least create that degree of prejudice, which renders it impossible that you can combine them under the agency of one man. possible that you can compute them bades the above, When you attempt it, you not only effect no good purpose but de-When you attempt it, you not only enset no good purpose as the stroy the very policy of the government. It is unpossible that your agent could have the endidence of both tribes, he must loose that of one or the other. The whole plan of reduction is a fallacy. It is calculated to defeat the very policy of the government; and when you propose to reduce the number of agencies from tw seven to fifteen you might as well consulidate them at once, and let one man attempt to do the whole business. The duties of these agents as laid down in the act of 1834, are of such a character that one man cannot perform the duties of more than one. He required to ruside upon the spot, and is required to perform the specific duty of enforcing the intercourse law and preventing all violation of it. He is required to keep out intruders. It is utter-ly impossible that one man could perform the duties of several agencies, it would an amount of physical labor, that would destroy his efficiency entirely. As to the reduction of salaries, the present compensation is only a reasonable one; and you can get no person who is qualified to discharge the duties for a smaller no person who is quained to discharge the duties for a shader sum. They are entirely shut out from all civilized life, and are exposed to much danger and latigue. I am utterly opposed to the reduction, it will prevent the possibility of obtaining competent

Mr. ATHERTON.—The honorable Senator does not refer to the fact, that accompanying this reduction of salary is a provision, by which the agent is entitled to a house and land to outlivate. This makes it more than equal to the old salary; and if this amendment should be adopted, they would be entitled to the old salary still, in addition to the house and land.

Mr. BUTLER.—I have not a very intimate knowledge in regard to this matter, but I am satisfied af one thing; that the attempt to easifound different tribes together, and to have but one agent for two tribes, will be found to be impracteable. It is vain to attempt to assimilate what is entirely dissimilar. You will only create jealousies on the part of the Indians, and, as the Senator from Arkansas has saul, it will be ellogether out of tha

power of any one agent to superintend the affairs of several tribes, and to keep not those irrespossible intraders—blose whiter becare the superintendent of the property of the several tribes and the several tribes and the several tribes are principally occasioned. As to the Cherokees, I am not sure that an agent should be sent to them at all. Many of them are enlightened and are capable of administering the law among themselves. That is an agency that I would be very willing to get rid of. But if you attempt to confound the Creeks and Seminoles together, they will fall out with in one year from this time, and the very purpose of the government will be defeated. If you undertake to appoint one agent for two tribes, you will be but sowing the seed of dissension and disminon.

Mr. RUSK .- I have no doubt that the whole Indian system might be better regulated, but I shall certainly oppose this reduc-tion. I think it is ill-timed and not at all economical. The salary of these agents is altogether too low. The result in running them down to \$500 will be, that you can get no man who is quali-The only men that you v fied to accept the appointment. able to get will be such as are incompetent to discharge the duties and who, instead of preserving peace and quiet, will only produce difficulties. You will not be able to make the Indians understand the reason for the reduction; they will see that there is a change the reason for the reduction; they will see that there is a change in the policy of this government, and they will imagine that you are withdrawing from them—that you are attempting to break off your relations with them. The frontier people have been protect-ed heretofore by a considerable military force, but now they will have to depend upon the proper management of these agents and sub-agents for the preservation of peace and quietness. Every one knows that there have been difficulties among the various tribes those difficulties have been increased in consequence of the and those difficulties have been increased in consequence of the withdrawal of the troops. They are no longer nufer that terror, and it depends now solely upon the capacity of these agents whether those disturbances will be restrained or not. It is a very bad time, I think, to make a change of this description; and I think it is bad policy in the way of economy, for I believe that difficulties will arise that will require ten times more expense than the whole expense of the agencies. It is wrong policy, in my opinion, to western frontier. Here is the place where economy ought to commence. Around this capitol you might find subjects for reduction There are many officers of large salaries that might well be dispensed with. But I cannot consent to any snen requestion as passed by this bill, particularly at this moment, when there is no

Mr. ATCHISON.—I have but few remarks to make in addition to what has been said by the Senator from Arkansas. We have now about 165,000 Indians on our western borders, according to the last census. It is proposed that the number of agencies shall be reduced to the extent of nine, I believe, for the sake of saving some \$10,000. It appears to me that the proper course to be pursued for the purpose of reducing expense, and at the same time to seemre efficient agents is, to get rid of all the sub-agen-cies. Their aggregate salaries amount, perhaps, to \$15,000. My nupression is, that it would be better to dispense with these sub-agents, for the reason that you cannot get a man who is qualified discharge the dutes for the salary you propose to allow to your bougents. The moment you send out a man with that salary, sub-agents. that moment be commences speculating upon the government, upon the Indians, and upon all connected with him. You should engage eapable men and give them good salaries. Of all the officers of the government there are none that ought to be more carefully selected than those that have to discharge the duty of Indian agent. Get rid of the sub-agents, and, if need be, establish a larger anni-ber of agencies with large salaries—\$1,500 at least. But this bill proposes to reduce the salary of the agent to \$1,200. I concur with the Senator from Texas, that we are beginning at the wrong end to economize. I have in my possession a memorandum stat-ing the exact number of Indians of each tribe, and where the tribes located, and I am satisfied that, with a smaller number of agencies, we could not get along. And here let me remark that some of these agents, whose salaries you propose to reduce, are entrusted with the disbursement of large sums of money, some times extending to \$150,000 in a year. It seems to me it would be a narrow-minded policy to undertake to reduce the salaries of these agents. I can conceive of no reason for making such re-duction, except it be that some of the agents are obnoxious to the government.

 $Mr.\ BUTLER,$  (in his seat.)—The government is ambitious of great reforms.

Mr. BENTON.—I believe this is a proposition to make a change in the Indian system, by a provision for an appropriation bill. In my opinion it does not belong to an appropriation bill at all. An appropriation is for the parpose of applying money to some object previously authorized by law, or to an object which has been some toned by some committee, to which that particular subject or branch of basiness properly belongs. The Finance Committee whose bosiness it is to consider of all changes that are necessary to be made in data system. According to my understanding, then, to be made in data system. According to my understanding, then, it. The Indian Committee has not hing to the property of the Indian Committee has not had that subject before it; and I must say that I consider it vicious legislation to undertake to make such a change by a mere provise in any appropriation bill whatever. It is contrary to all tsage.

proposition for an alteration in our Indian system ought to be tracken out of this bill and sent to the Indian Committee to be by a tracken out of this bill and sent to the Indian Committee to be by make the alteration, they may bring it before the Senare. The reason assigned for the alteration is that it will make a saving of \$10,000 a year. But how is the alteration to work? It may save \$10,000 in salaries, and occasion an expenditure of a larger amount in some other way. It may ent off at one place and put on at another. I am opposed to this mode of legislation; \$1,500 was the old established salary of an Indian agent, and I can see no good reason for a reduction, nor can I see any good reason for a handle of the salary of the sub-agent. From \$5750 to \$500. It will not make any alteration in the character of the sub-agent. Broydone a sensible effect—the only practical alteration is that proposed by my colleague. I really think that this subject ought not be introduced into an appropriation bill, and that any proposition for an alteration in the system ought to come from the appropriate committee.

Mr. ATHERTON.—This provision was not introduced into this bill by the Committee on Finance. It came to the committee having been adopted by the House, and upon an inquiry by the Committee of the Indian Affairs, whether this proposed reduction partment, the committee were informed that if did, that it was upon consultation with the department that this provision had been inserted in the full. And not only so, but it appears that this matter has heretofore heen a subject of consideration to Congress, and that a bill at the last session passed the House containing the same provision, which provision was stricken out in the Scenate for the purpose of enabling the department to get further information on the subject. That information has been obtained, and the And as stratisfied that the reduction can with propriety be made. And as surfaced and the surface of the control of the contr

Mr. ATCHISON.—What I said was this. I would recommend that the number of agents be increased, and sub-agents dispensed with altogether. Where an agent is necessary at all, give him a salary of \$1,500. I contend that no man who is fit to be an Indian agent would go there for a smaller sum:

Mr. ATHERTON.—It seems to me that if we are to diminish the number of agents in the whole, and increase their salary, it is in conflict with the argument of the Senator from Arkansas, who contends that we must have one agent for every tribe. To do this we must increase the number to a great extent. And it is dimitted by the Senator from Missourr that there is some change required. Now, to certain extent, that change is provided by the section which a terraphasele men. What is the proposition here increase it from \$750 to \$800, but they are to have also a house provided for each, at the expense of the government, and to be allowed a portion of land to entity are.

Mr. ATCHISON.—I would ask if it has not been the uniform practice of the government to pay for the agent's houses?

Mr. ATHERTON.—I suppose not, because this provision was made in the bill which passed the House, and of which the two first sections were stricken out in the Senace. In regard to appointing one agent for two tribes, concerning which it has been considered the properties of the properties of the considerable by the department, that it would render him more efficient, inasunch as the interests of the two tribes would become identified. I am informed that the Chicasaws and Choctaws are very much mingled together in the sune territory, and that the same is the case in tegrand to the Creek and Seminoles. There can be no necessity for keeping up two agencies when one would do as well.

The question being taken upon agreeing to the amendment, it was determined in the affirmative.

No further amendment being made the bill was reported to the Senate.

The question being upon concurring in the amendments agreed to in Committee of the Whole, Mr. ATHERTON asked that they be taken separately.

The amendments reported from the Committee on Finance were concurred in,

The question being upon concurring in the amendment proposed by Mr. Bell-

Mr. HUNTER.—I know it is a difficult task to attempt to induce the Senate to change its action, but the amendment is in my opinion so objectionable, that I feel bound to say a few words in relation to it. This amendment proposes to allow the Chercheckee Indians who remained in North Carolina the commutation for removal and subsistence, which by the 5th article of the New Echota treaty was to be given in consideration, (as I think;) of

their removal. It not only allows them interest upon this sura from the date of the treaty, but it provides that the money shall be paid out of the Treasury of the United States, notwithstanding the express provision of the 15th article which prescribes that the expease of removal and subsistence shall be taken from the fund of

The amendment has been sustained upon various grounds. The amendment has been sustained upon various grounds. The Senator from North Carolina maintains that it is justified by the express terms of the New Eebsta treaty. The Senator from Tennessee abandoning that ground as I inferred from the course of his argument, maintains that the United States are bound to the money who assurances given the Indians by the commis-sioner who negotiated the treaty. The Senator from Connecticut relying upon none of these reasons, is yet willing to vote for the amendment because he is convinced that the North Carolina Chr-rokees have never received a sufficient compensation for their land, and be desires to remedy the injustice even in this indirect land, and he desires to remedy the injustice even in this indured manent. I have extrained the position of each of the Senators and with great deference for authorities which I highly respect, I must be permitted to say that I diliter from them. It is obvious, that the 5th article of the treaty which mado this allowance for compensation to the Cherokee Indians on account of the expense of removal and subsistence for one year after they reaching their new homes, was designed to induce the Indians to emigrate. their new homes, was designed to induce the Indians to emigrate. and that the allowance was given in consideration of their removal. From the very nature of the stipulation, it is apparent that it never could have been designed to give this allowance to those who did not emigrate. But it is maintained that under the 12th article of the treaty, the Indians who remained east of the Mississippi are entitled to the allowance; because it provided that they should have their due proportion of all the advantages securively. they should have their due proportion of all the advantages secur-ed to the others. It seems to me, that the opinion of the Attor-ney General on this point is clear, convincing, demonstrative. It is surely obviens from the very terms of the treaty, that the allow-ance was not to be extended to those Indians who did not remove. Those who removed, actually expended the money in their emigra-tion, and were therefore, justly entitled to the allowance. Having thus removed to a new home, where they were not at first able to obtain subsistence, they were entitled to the commutation for subbutain substitute the properties of the control of claims upon considerations or conditions presented by the treaty, In this respect all the Cherokees were placed upon the same flori-ng, and those in North Carolina were entitled to claim upon the same principles and conditions as those who removed week. But it does not follow as necessary to this equality, that because those who emigrated were compensated for the expense of their remo-val and subsistence after they reached their new abodes, that therefore those who did not remove and expended nothing for that therefore those who do not remove and expended nothing for the purpose, should receive a similar allowance. There is no equality mothing from spoliation should receive as much as those who had incored losses from this cause. The one would be a gratity, the other a compensation for losses actually sustained.

[But there is another consideration arising out of a just construc-

tion of this article of the treaty, which, in my judgment, demonstrates conclusively, that it never was designed to allow the commutation to the Indians who remained east of the Mississippi. mutation to the indians who remained east of the mississippi. What are the words of the treaty? That those who remain east shall have their due proportion of the personal benefits secured by the treaty for "claims, improvements, and per capitar."

Now, it will be remembered that this per capita allowance is that to which each member of the tribe would be entitled, after all legitimate expenses should be paid out of the fund. then after an regittinace expenses should be pair out of the many.

Amongst their legitimate expenses, the 15th article of the treaty
expressly includes those for removal and subsistence, and as you
increase these last, you dimnish the fund for ultimate distribution.

The Indians who removed west, expended all they received for
commutation of removal and subsistence to emigrating. To them The Indians who removed west, expended all they received for commutation or removal and subsistence in emigrating. To them this commutation was a compensation for expenses actually incuré. But the Cherokees who did not remove, expended nobling for that purpose and such an allowance would be a mere gratuity. And yet these last would receive as large a portion per capita of the final to be distributed as those who emigrated in compliance that if the Indians who remained east should be paid the commutation for removal and subsistence, they would receive an undee proportion of the final? Had this been the true construction of the treaty, it would have held out an inducement to the Indians to remain stated of emigratics. But it is well known that the object of the treaty was to induce the Indians to remove The Senator from Massaschuetts, however, says that according to the maxim of some English chancellor, in constraing a construction of the order to the construction of the commutation of the parties. We have been informed by the chairman of the Finance Committee as to the understanding of the parties. We have been informed by the chairman of the Finance Committee as to the understanding of the Commissioners of Indian Affairs, Secretaries of War, and Presidents with regard to the true construction of this treaty; that understanding clearly was, that these Indians who remained were not entitled to the allowance for removal and subsistence. It is true that there have been made in cases arising in Georgia. I have equipment to the cases, and I have ascertained that some advances were made on

the assurance that the Georgia Indians would remove and the sum, thus paid was charged to them as their share of the per capita allowance when it was found that they would not emigrate. So far as the conduct and understanding of one of the parties are concerned, it is clear then, that it never was intended to give these North Carolina Indians who did not remove the commutation for

removal and subsistence.

removal and subsistence.

But the Senator from Tennessee rests the claim of these Indians on another ground; the assurances which, it is alledged, they received at the time of the negotiation of the treaty, from the United States' Commissioners, and more than all, upon the words in the projet of the treaty inserted by order of President Jackson, which, as he thinks, were calculated to induce a well founded belief in the might of the Indians, that these who remained Execution. when, as no traines, were extended to notice a well foduced opened in the minds of the Indians, that those who remained East would receive this allowance. Doubtless that argument made an impression on the minds of others as it did upon my own; an impression which was not removed till I examined the documents and became convinced that there was nothing to justify the inference which the Senator drew. I do not remember at this moment the number of the article to which reference is made, but I can state the sub-

Mr. BELL .- (In his seat.)-It was the fourteenth article.

Mr. HUNTER.—It instructed the commissioners to assure the Indians who remained East, that they would be entitled to their due proportion of all personal benefit accruing from this treaty their due proportion of all personal benefit accruing from this treaty for claims, inprovements, removal and subsistence, but that they would not be entitled to any portion of the find to be expended for the common benefit of the action. It will be perceived, then, that according to the proje of that treaty, these Indians were not to be entitled to the per equita allowance, but as the treaty was formed this provision was changed and one still more beneficial to the Ludions was made in the airth, article of the treaty. not to be entitled to the pier capita allowance, but as the treaty was formed this provision was changed and one still more beneficial to the Indians was made in the eighth article of the treaty, which allowed them a share in the pier capital distribution in hea, I suppose, of the commutation for removal and suoisstence mentioned in the projet and omitted in the treaty. Subsequent circumstances changed the case, and the treaty differed from the proje. Again, the Senator from Tennessee referred to a certificate from Mr. Schermerhorn, and one from Mr. Hanson the former gave the Indians. In relation to this matter I think that the distinction taken by Mr. Crawford, one of the former gave the Indians. In relation to this matter I think that the distinction taken by Mr. Crawford, one of the former commissioners of Indians Alfares is a very sound one. He says that parole evidence may be taken in explanation of a treaty, but not in contravention of it. We must abide by the written instrument as the authoritative expression of the understanding of the parties, which they committed to writing, which was signed by the commissioners on hoth sides, and on which the Senate and the President acted. Need I point out the peculiar danger of applying any other rule of interpretation to these Indian treaties, fruitful sources, as we know them to be, of every species of frand? Shall we suffer the commissioners who megotiate a treaty to come here, and by testimony to contradict their own work, setting up a different understanding from that creases and the reserved in the reserved in the large of the contradict their own work, setting up a different understanding from that creases and the large of the contradict their own work, setting up a different understanding from that the server is an attracement of the large of the contradict their own work, setting up a different understanding from that the server is an attracement of the large of the contradict their own work, setting up a different understanding from that the server is an expressed in their own written instrument? The Senator from Georgia says that this agent is a most respectable man, but I am informed by the chairman of the Finance Committee that he was attorney for the Cherokees, laying his eximin to services at two ave thousand dollars; and that he had already received nine thousand dollars. Sorely, then, he cannot be regarded as a disinterested w tness. But whether he was or was not, I maintain that Scher-merhora eannot bring forward parole evidence of the assurances which he, as commissioner, gave the ludians, not only discrediting,

hut contradicting his own work.

I hold that no man who reads that treaty can arrive at any other conclusion than that one manifest intention was to discriminate between those who remained east and those who emi-grated west of the Mississippi and in favor of the latter.— Yet this Mr. Schermerhorn says that those who remained Yet this Mr. Schermerhorn says that those who remained were to be entitled to the commutation, although they never did remove! I know that in relation to these Indian treaties and these Indian treaties, we should act in a spirit not merely of justice, but of liberality; but really the question is now presented to us in a shape which forces upon us the consideration, whether an Iodian treaty is worth any thing at all, for if the Indians can come here, in the lace of positive treaty appears to the properties of t system is to be permitted, the Indian treatics might as well be at

e expunged

The am pot-celled upon to enter into the question whether this is a treaty or not. The Senater ratified it, and must now act upon it. But the Senator from Connecticnt placed the question on another ground, creditable to his heart, and he sustained it as ably, as it seems to me, such a view could be maintained. He says that the North Carolica Indians received nothing for their lands, and it was but just that they should be paid. Since the debate of yesterday, I have made inquiries on this point, and have ascertained that the Senator was mistaken. The North Carolina Indians have received all that the others received, except that, by remaining east of the Mississippi, they did not enjor any share of the magnificent domain which was granified loved whenever, under the same circumstances and upon the same consideration, the claim of a western Cherokee would have been allowed. They are entitled, too, to a share in the per capita distribution of the Che-I am not called upon to enter into the question whether this is

rokee fund, and they have only to remove to the magnificant doman provided for them in the west, to participate capually in all
the benefits enjoyed by their brethrea. In point of fact, I ma assured by the Commissioner of Indian Affairs, than a considerable
sum has been paid them. I do not know the exact amount, but
individuals amongst them have received as much as five hundred
doll are for improvements. In short, the North Carolina Indians
have received all the benefits provided in the treaty, and if those
were insufficient, the remedy is not to be found in a lake construcoff the distribution, but in a separate hall to receitly the congruention of the distribution of the control of the control
off the distribution, but in a separate hall to receitly the original
meetis, and without prejudging another of much larger amount,
whose decision may be seriously effected by the precedent established in this instance. It is known that the Cherokees who have
removed now set up a claim, that the express of this removal shall
be paid from the United States Treasury, and not out of the Indianiand, as provided by the loft arrived of the treaty. The amount
thus claimed is large—I know not precisely how large—Init I am
formed that it is nearly \$\$0.00,000 to the treaty. The amount
thus claimed is large—I know not precisely how large—Init I am
formed that it is nearly \$\$0.00,000 to the treaty.

Now, if we depart from the express provision of the treaty, and
pay the North Carolian Indians from the United States Treasury,
and not from the find charged with these expenses, will not the
western Cherokees have a still stronger claim upon the Treasury?
Their claim is for compensation for expenses actually incurred—
the other is a clear profit. The very position of this amendment
in a general appropriation bill, will add to the force of the precethe other is a clear profit. The very position of this amendment
in a general appropriation bill, will add to the force of the precedispress of the process of the control of th

a m willing to deal with his subject in a spirit of liberality, but I am willing to deal with his subject in a spirit of liberality, but a more or despensing of it which is proposed elaims of these ludians on the subject in the proposed claims of these ludians on the rune ground—not on the stipulations of this treaty, but on the consideration that justice has not been done them, and that the reparation for the wrong should now be made. I am mowilling to prejudge another ease submitted to us for arbitration; and commit ourselves to such a construction of the treaty as is involved in the amendment. Even on the ground of propriety I regard the amendment as objectionable. We cannot deal intelligently with new and complicated subjects of I datia legislation in a general appropriation foil. We have seen in his very case an illustration of the bad policy of interpolating amendments in illis with which they cannot be legitimately connected. No sooner had

the Senator from Tennessee succeeded with his amendment than the Senator from Texas offered another proposing a new system of legislation in relation to the Indians of his State. The Senator from Tennessee himself protested against the introduction of that

amendment. With the best disposition in the world, I doubt our capacity to benefit these wild Indian tribes by pecuniary grants. I do not helieve that you can confer the benefits of money upon those who know nothing of its uses or value, by placing it under their own much good from such attempts. Take the very tribe whose conse we are in part considering, and towards whom this government has evined so liberal a spirit. In exchange for some 7 000,000 of acres of land cast of the Mississippi, we have given them 13,800,000 acres of land cast of the Mississippi, we have given them 13,800,000 acres of and cast of the Mississippi, we have given them 13,800, acready given them more than \$7,000,000 in addition to this magnificant iomain, far more valuable than that which they left behind them, and what has been the result? The two Senators from South Carolina, who have each had opportunities of knowing something of the history of this tribe, assure us that the great body of the people have derived hitte on ao benefit from the generous provisions made in their favor. The chiefs and the speedlators have monopolized nearly all of the people have derived hitte on ao benefit from the generous provisions made in their favor. The chiefs and the speedlators have monopolized nearly all of the people have derived hitte on ao benefit provided it to each of the cast of the cast of the control of the provision of the service of the measure if presented in a separate bill, in a spirit of liberality. If I shall be endy to make a just provision, provided it be done in such a manoner as to secure the benefits of that provision those who tender to the such a manoner as to secure the benefits of that provision to those who have suffered. But let us strike this amendment out of this appropriation bill; and let the case of these Indians come up with that of their brethren who have removed west, who are certainly entitled to more sympathy than those who remained cast contrary to our policy and wishes.

The further consideration of the bill was postponed until tomorrow.

#### TRANSFORTATION AND DISCHARGE OF TROOPS.

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the joint resolution from the House of Representatives to regulate the discharge and payment of the troops returning from the Mexican war.

Mr. BENTON, by unanimous consent, withdrew the amendment proposed by him this morning.

No amendment being made, the resolution was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it pass to a third reading.

The said resolution was read a third time by unanimous consent.

Resolved, That this resolution pass

## EXECUTIVE SESSION.

On motion by Mr. MANGUM, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of Executive business; and after some time spent therein, the doors were opened, and,

On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# FRIDAY, JUNE 16, 1848.

RESIGNATION OF MR. BAGBY,

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a letter from the Hon Arthur P. Bacby, resigning his seat in the Senate of the United States as one of the Senators from the State of Alabama; which was read.

On motion by Mr. LEWIS, it was

Ordered, That the Vice President be requested to inform the Executive of the State of Alabama that the Hon. AATHUR P. BAGBNY has this day resigned his seat in the Senate of the United States.

#### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

Mr. Praydent: The House of Representatives have passed a resolution defining the reports to be animally made to Congress by the heads of the several Executive Departments, and requiring the same passed materially after the close of seach final year, and forthwith communicated for publication; in which they request the concurrence of the Senate.

The Speaker of the House of Representatives having signed an enrolled resolution, 1 am directed to bring it to the Senate for the signature of their President.

#### ANNUAL REPORTS FROM DEPARTMENTS.

The above-mentioned resolution from the House of Representatives was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Printing.

#### SIGNING OF A RESOLUTION.

The VICE PRESIDENT signed the enrolled joint resolution in relation to the transportation and discharge of the military force of the United States at the close of the war with Mexico.

#### LEAVE TO WITHDRAW PETITION

On motion by Mr. BADGER, it was

Ordered, That the heirs of John H. Pratt have leave to withdraw their memorial and papers.

## THE PRIVATE CALENDAR.

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill for the relief of George Center.

Mr. UNDERWOOD.—This bill involves a principle, which, if decided in favor of the bill, will operate in a great many other cases, and upon its decision a great deal of money depends. The principle ought to be decided, so that the Committee on Claims may hereafter have a guide for their conduct in similar cases. The bill gill gives to Mr. Centre \$5,569 80.100 for property that was destroyed in Florida by command of Major Pierce, when it was destroyed in Florida by command of Major Pierce, when it was destroyed in property is post under his command at Maccanopy, to prevent it from falling into the hands of the Indians. The point is, is the government under such circumstances bound to pay for the property? I will put this case: Suppose a town to be attacked by the enemy, and I, a private individual, found that I was unable to save my property, would I not destroy exition: Suppose von determine to pay for the property, what would it be worth under such circumstances, when it cannot be protected? Yet this bill proposes to pay the full value of the property; Indeed, independently of all other circumstances, I believe that the property is valued entirely to only.

that the property is varied univery too mg.).

Mr. MASON —The principle which is announced on the part of the committee is this—and 1 apprehend it is one which will meet the entire approbation of the Senate—that when in a state of war it is found necessary to destroy private property for the public good, or when the public service requires it, and the property is destroyed by order of the commanding officer, the public must pay for it, and it is the public article property is destroyed by the public authority, the Indiana themselves would have destroyed by the public authority, the Indiana themselves would have destroyed by apprehend, is fully established, that the government is bound to pay for the property destroyed by its orders.

Mr. BREESE.—Is there any evidence going to show that it was in the power of the individual to remove his property?

Mr. MASON.—No evidence was taken in regard to that fact, and I apprehend none was necessary.

Mr. WESTCOTT.—The principle involved in this case has received the sanction of both Houses. [Mr. W. here referred to various cases of a similar character, in which the claim for loss of property had been recognized and paid.] The principle is, that where property is destroyed by the command of a military officer

30TH CONG .- IST SESSION-No. 92.

to prevent it from falling into the hands of an enemy, the government is liable; and it appears to me that the Senator from Kentelly overlooks one very material point. He supposes that if the property had not been destreved by order of the commanding officient of the commanding officient would have taken the property; and it would have the noted then a great deal of aid. They might have fired the houses, but they would have taken the store goods and would have been embled by this means to carry on the war more successfully. With respect to the government being liable, I apprehend there can be no doubt. As to the objection of the honorable Senator, that the valuation of the property is to object, it appears to me that the government in such a case as this ought to be liberal. The use of his property from the time it was destroyed, if it had not been destroyed, would have been worth far more than the valuation that is put upon it.

Mr. PIELPS.—It seems to me that this bill introduces an entirely new principle, and one which I have never known to be sanctioned by the Committee of Claims. This is not a sease of the senting of the Committee of Claims. It is he case of the destruction of property by the publicates. It is the case of the destruction of property by the publicates. It is the property was sustained. If the force stationed at that post land been overpowered it would unquestionably have been a case in which property was sustained. If the force stationed at that post land been overpowered it would manuscript the property was searchized by the hazards of war. And what difference does it make whether a destruction of property arises in that way, or whether it be destroyed through necessity by the authority of the commanding other? If the officer was justified in destroying it there must have been a strong probability that it would fall into the officer was pushed to the property than if it had been destroyed by the Indians—The Committee of Claims have never admitted any claim for the loss of property than if it had been destroyed by the Indians—The Committee of Claims have never admitted any claim for the loss of property than public service, as where property is occupied for military purposes. Inasmuch as the property was expensed to destruction in these cases by the occupancy of the government, the government is liable. This however is not the lact part of the government is unjoined to the case of the government of the government is used to the case of the government of the government is used to the case of the government to see the content of the case of the government to such the case of the government to be very different to the case of the government to such the case of the government to make the property and converting it. The cases appear to me to be very different.

Mr. YULEE.—It is evident that the honorable Senator is under a misapprehension in regard to what has been the former legislation of Congress in reference to such cases as the present. This is a case of peculiar hardship, and after examination 1 am sure that the Senate will not refuse to comer in the report of the committee. Mr. YULEE proceeded to set forth the facts of the case, and made a strong appeal to the Senate, in view of those facts on behalf of the claimant and in favor of the allowance of the claim.

Mr. BADGER.—I have heard with a great deal of satisfaction the statement of the honorable Senator, but I perceive that we are not likely to arrive at a termination of this debate, and with the view of disposing of other business about which there may not be so much controversy, I move that the bill be laid upon the table.

The motion was agreed to, upon a divison. Ayes 21, Noes 9 So the bill was laid on the table.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill directing the Secretary of the Navy to purchasfrom Dr. James P. Espy his patent right for the conical ventilator, and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That the bill be engrossed and read a third time.

The said hill was read a third time.

Resolved. That this bill pass, and that the title ther of he as aforezard

Ordered. That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in said bill.

The bill for the relief of John Millikin and others, to secure certain rights to pre-emption in the State of Louisiana, and for other purposes, was read the second time, and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and

On motion by Mr. BADGER, it was

Ordered, That it be referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

The bill to allow arrearages of pension to Hugh W. Dobbin, an officer in the late war, was read the second time, and considered as in committee of the Whole-

Mr. FELCH.—I would remark in regard to the merits of this case, that Col. Dobbin entered the array in the last war as a volunteer; and that he performed highly meritorious services on the Canada frontier. He was wounded and his health was greatly injured. He had two sons also in the army who acquitted themselves well. It is on account of the peculiarly meritorious services of this effect that the committee selected this case in which to report a bill, in order to have the sense of the Senate upon it, that the committee might be directed in their action upon bills of a significant of the committee might be directed in their action upon bills of a significant content.

Mr. HALE.—May I ask if the notion of the government in cases of this sort has not hitherto been uniform?

Mr. FELCH.—It appears by reference to the past action of Concress that in the years '35-'36, bills were passed giving arrearages of pensions in cases similar to that now before the Scratt. It appears also that there are cases in which the application has been refused. There is, therefore, no established rule. And the cases being attmerous, the committee thought it desirable that there should be some settled and uniform practice.

Mr. HALE —I would also ask whether, in the case of a pensien to a wounded soldier, the law is not imperative that the pension shall commence at the time of the passage of the act?

Mr. FELCH .- Undoubtedly.

Mr. HALE—I make this inquiry because I had charge of a petition and presented at to the House a few years ago, praying for arreads of a persion, and the commutee reported that the practice of the government had been uniform against the allowance of arreadings, and that no pension could be allowed until after the time when the evidence in the case was completed.

Mr. PHELPS.—I really desire that the Senate should consider bits matter, with the view of removing the perplexity which arises from opposite courses of action in regard to cases that are similar. I remember many applications for arrearages of pensions which have been refused, and I remember also that in 1842 we gave him arrearages. It is to be borne in mind that in these cases the pension is a newer grature—it as a matter of generosity, and of the pension is a newer grature—it is a matter of generosity, and period, without reference to the practice of the department, founded upon the construction of the pension law. But in this case, and in the case of all officers wounded in the late war, the very law under which the troops were raised, entitled them to pensions, And the argument insisted upon is, that by the terms of their ensurance in the pension is secured to them, and they say with great force that the moment, they are wounded the right becomes a vester digit. Well, if the party is entitled to the pension production of the evidence. In all cases of legal right the ight is perfected without waiting for the pendeution of testimony. I will asy that there is a degree of plausibility in the arguments at were addinged before the committee, and if I were divined to the wild never a vote without further reflection, I would adopt that view and say that the government was beaution to recognize the right.

Mr. HALE.—If the principle suggested by the Senator from Michigan be adopted it will open a very wide field for legislation.

[Mr. H. read from the report made in the House of Representatives in the case to which he before referred, being the case of a soldier who had been discharged from the service in 1812 disabled, and who did not get his persion until 1838. In this case arrearages were refineded.]

If we are going to depart from the principle now, it ought to be by a general law.

Mr. ATCHISON.—For the purpose of testing the question, I move that the bill be recommitted with instructions to the committee to report against the bill.

Mr. DICKINSON.—I hope that will not be done. I hope that this ease will be decided upon its merits. That will not prevent the committee from bringing in a general bill.

Mr. HALE.—In order to test the question I will move that the bill be laid upon the table.

The motion was withdrawn, however, at the request of

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiann, who remarked, that the officers who entered the volunteer service in the last war entered it under an implied contract, that in case of being wounded they would receive pensions. There was a good dead of difficulty experienced in filling up the name, and strong inducements were held out for men to called, and this got-rather of a pension was one of shock inducements. The rules of the department, continued quite tim a particular kind of testimony shall be adduced before the pension shall take citiest, and that evidence is sometimes difficult to be premied.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi.—I think that if additional proof were wanted, it has been furnished in this debate, that there ought to be a general bill to cover cases of this description. This case was presented for the purpose of testing the principle, and I will

state fankly that it was presented because it was the strongest care which the committee had before them, amongst a very lorge number; at d there are, no doubt, numerous other cases that will come in; and if the principle of allowing arrearages is to be allowed in this case. I give notice that I will vote for it in all other cases, Our praise system, as it is now extending itself, must become a great bard in upon the treasury. There was a time, at an early praid of this republic, when men catered the service prompted by pride and pariotism. Men who are in the possession of large examples of the property of the property of the property of the service prompted by the control of the property of the committee of the committee will attach a provision that before a pension shall be granted, indigence stall be clearly established.

Mr. NLES desired that the general measure should be reported, in order that the subject might be examined and disposed of one way or the other. The requisitions respecting pensions for the army are much less its orable than for the navy. He could see With regard to cases like the present, they certainly could not be very numerous, as those who were engaged in the war of 1812 were now far advanced in ace, and there was the greater necessity therefore for doing something for them. His gallant friend from Mississippi did not seem so kindly disposed towards those who had served and heen disabled in the army, as he would have expected him to be. The Senator, continued Mr. Nilexy, says that he wently never grant a pension until he had inquired whether these men were indigent or not. Indigent! Who wants to inquire these men were indigent or not. Indigent! Who wants to inquire disposed to the desired of the continued for the continued for the continued for the desired of the desired of the desired of the continued for the continued for the desired of the desired

Mr. WESTCOTT.—I am so un'ortunate as to differ with almost every gentleman in regard to this subject. I think the present system is precisely the correct one I shall vote in this case for the allowance of the pension, because I believe that the applicant was a very meritorious officer; but I cannot vote for a general law for granting arrearages in all cases, because you cannot make a law that will apply equally to all cases.

Mr. HALE renewed his motion to lay the bill upon the table.

The motion was agreed to upon a division. Ayes 22, Noes 7. So the bill was laid on the table.

The bill for the relief of James F. Sotheren was read the second time, and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and ao amendment having been made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was rend a third time, by unanimous consent.

Resolved, That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

The bill for the relief of the heirs and legal representatives of William Grayson was read the second time, and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and

On motion by Mr. BADGER, it was

Ordered, That it lie on the table.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in committee of the Whole, the following House bills:

An act for the relief of George Newton.

An act for the relief of Russel Goss.

An act for the relief of Jesse Young.

Ordered, That they lie on the table.

The bill for the rehef of David N. Smith was read the second time, and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engressed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent.

Resolved. That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

The bill for the relief of John Caldwell, was read the second time and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and

On motion by Mr. FELCII, it was

Ordered, That it be on the table.

The bill for the relief of John P. Baldwin, owner of the Spanish brig Gil Blas, was read the second time and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and

On motion by Mr. UNDERWOOD, it was

Ordered, That it lie on the table.

The following bills were read the second time, and considered as in Committee of tha Whole :

A bill granting a pension to John Clark

A bill to provide compensation to William Woodbridge and Henry Chipman, for services in adjusting titles to land in Michigan, and for other purposes.

A hill for the relief of the beirs of Jean F. Perry, Josiah Blakely, Nicholas Jarrot, and Robert Morrison.

No amendment being made, said bills were reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That they be engressed and read a third time.

The said bills were read a third time by unanimous consent.

Resolved, That the said bills pass, and that their respective titles be as aforesaid. Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the

House of Representatives therein. The Senate proceeded to consider as in Committee of the Whole, the hill for the relief of Silas Waterman; and no amendment being

made it was reported to the Senate. Ordered, That it pass to a third reading.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved, That this bill pass.

Ordered, That the Sceretary notify the House of Representa-

The bill for the relief of John Devlin, was read the second time and considered as in Committee of the Whole.

Mr. WESTCOTT remarked that when this bill was referred to him by the Committee on Claims, he was very much opporte its allowance. He went to see the fifth auditor about it. anditor wrote him a letter which was on file, satisfactorily demonstrating the justice of the claim. The man had worked thirteen strating the justice of the claim. The man had worked thirteen months for the United States, and as he had no pay for his labor, he thought he should receive it

Mr. BADGER moved to lay the bill on the table.

Mr. NILES regarded the bill as involving an important principle; and as contrary to the express law on the subject. It was a role that where authority was given to employ clerks temporarily in any of the departments, the head of the department was nuthy in any of the departments, the head of the department was the thorized to pay for such service out of the contingent fund of that department. It appeared in this case that the head of the department would not pay for these services out of the contingent fund. It did not appear even that this person was employed by the head of the bureau who had the authority to do so if his services were required. He remained more as a volunieer with a view to a place than any thing else, which was a common practice; so much as that if a clerk were to leave his situation one day, there would be a candidate for it the next.

Mr. WESTCOTT contended that the person alluded to in the hill had been requested by the head of the bureau to assist in perout has been requested by the head of the bureau to assist in por-forming the duries of clerks who were necessarily absent. In re-part to the payment of the claim out of the contingent fund of the department in which his services were bestowed, he would say that payment was refused because of the limited amount of the

Mr. UNDERWOOD had went into the committee with his mind set against the payment of all claims of this character.
Thousands of dollars were paid by the government to persons hired to perform the duties of clerks receiving regular salaries and hired to perform the duties of clerks receiving regular salaries and put in office for four years. But upon examination be had-found that oftentimes many of these persons were incompetent to perform their allotted duties, or were sick, and it became necessary to appoint some person to discharge those duties; he was therefore of opinion that claims for services performed under such disconstances should be paid. It was impossible to prevent their occurrences of the desired persons th

Mr. NILES could not sanction any such course as this, in any of the departments. If any new and unexpected business aross in any of the departments, as was the case this winter with the Land Bounty and Pension Office, the head of that bureau with the concorreace of the head of the department, might employ a larger number of clerks. But in the ordinary business of a depart.

ment the contingent fund of that department was the proper source from which the claim should be liquidated.

Mr. CALHOUN briefly expressed his concurrence with the views already presented in opposition to the principle attempted to be established by the passyge of this bill.

No amendment being made, the bill was reported to the Senate. On the question-"shall this bill be engrossed and read a third time?" it was determined in the negative.

On motion by Mr. CLAYTON, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on Territories be discharged from the further consideration of the amendments of the House of Representatives to the bill for the relief of William B. Slaughter, late Secretary of the Territory of Wisconson.

The Senate proceeded to consider said amendments; and it was Resolved. That they concor therein.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill for the relief of Phineas Capen, administrator of John Cox, deceased, of Boston.

Mr. WESTCOTT —The facts are, a sailor enlisted in the naval service at Boston. He sailed in a public ship, which returned to New York. He died abroad. One of his ship-matestook of tele ters of administration, in regular form, from the surrogate of New York and drew from the department the deceased arreas of pay, about \$300. Since then the sailor's relatives in Boston pot letters there, and demanded the same arreas. Having been once paid to a regular administrator, the department relives 1. is a billegred to the result of the sailor of the sai New York letters are void—that the surrogate had no prisidention, as the deceased's domicile was Boston—as he had no estate in New York, owed no debts there, and that the letters were obtained by fenal, the administrator falsely stating he was the deceased's but ther, and assuming his surranue. (Cox ) and giving insolvent surries, one a negro. The proofs of these sallegations are experte indicates of claimant's actorney, and heirs, and others of heartsystem of the control of the co and reports, and are wholly unsatisfactory. It is not pretended that any attempt has been made to set uside or revoke the New York letters, or that the department had any notice of the alleged fraud. It paid the arrears upon letters of administration duly aufraud. It paid the arrears upon letters of administration duly au-thenticated, and lair and regular on their face. If the allegations made he all true, the claum now made is not one of legal right. If an idoividual debtor of the deceased had paid the New York ad-ministrator under similar circumstances, he would have been dis-cluraged. Nay, the New York admistrator could have been dis-cluraged. Nay, the New York admistrator could have sued him, and coerced payment; and before the letters were revoked and regular proceedings had, he could not have resisted payment by showing the fosts alleged. If Buston was in fact the dominele, it to the conder the New York letters yould or affect the in siderion showing the facts alleged. If Buston was in fact the domicele, it does not reader the New York letters void, or affect the jusisdence of the surrogate of New York. It only affects the distribution of the personal estate of the deceased. The department were bound by the act of Juno, 1812, to rece-gaine hose letters, and had no right or power to go behind the legally certified letters, and inquire as to domicile or the sufficiency of sureton, or decide as the the imputed fraud. It is questionable if it could, with proprietty, the imputed fraud. It is questionable if it could, with propriety, delay payment till legal pocceedings to revoke the letters could be had in New York; for, if all the allegations between, the letters are viable only, not wid. Boan Affe payments by an individual in such case would be a discharge; and so Williams and Toler, and other writers on the law of administration, lay down the rules are the adjudged sees in Eoglands and the rules are the sees of th taonsa the rate assets or, and not separations will insert in Sate in making payment to any administrator. Under the law of 1922 it was bound to pay upon the letter granted in the S a es. The payment was valid. Grant this case, and thousands of pelitions will be sent here and millions of dollars will be naked on a filter grounds; and there is danger of collusion between parties to defraud government.

On motion

The Senate then adjourned.

# SATURDAY, JUNE 17, 1848.

## CENSUS OF 1850.

Mr. DAYTON, from the Committee on the Judiciary, reported point resolution in reference to the next census; which was read

#### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Repre-entatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

Mr. President: The House of Representatives have passed the following bills: An act making appropriations for certain fortifications of the United States for the sear ending the 30th June, 1849.

An act to provide for applications for the renewal of patent rights in certain cases? in which they request the concurrence of the Senate.

#### THE PRIVATE CALENDAR.

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill for the relief of Phineas Capen, legal administra-tor of John Cox, deceased, of Boston; and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Mr. BALDWIN stated that this individual had owned real es-Mr. FALDWIN stated that this individual had owned real es-tate in Beston. He shipped on loard a vessel, and died on the voyage. There was due him at the time of his death, the amount specified in the bill, some hundreds of dollars. After the vessel returned, a stranger professing to be the brother of the deceased, applied to the Surrogate of New York, where deceased had never domiciled, and there obtained letters of administration, giving a negro destitute of property as a bond. Through these letters this negro destitute of property as a bond. Through these letters this Cox, the pretended brother, obtained the amount due him at the time of his death.

The committee, after due examination, were of opinion that the letters were null and void, that it was a fraud upon the rightful administrators, and that the administrators of the deceased were

auministrators, had that the auministrators of the deceased were entitled to the payment of the claim.

Mr. B. proceeded to advocate the payment of the claim, representing that the court of New York had no jurisdiction over the property of the deceased, and urged it as a duty upon Senators, to inquire whether this was really a case within the juris-diction of New York; of course, if it was not, there was no justification for the payment of the claim already made by the officer of this government, and the United States were bound to pay it over again.

Mr WESTCOTT remarked, that in regard to the jurisdiction of the New York court to grant letters, he would defy any lawyer to adduce a single authority of any weight, showing that domicil had any thing to do with it. Domicil controlled the distribution of personal estate, but it had no other effect. Administration was granted every day where the deceased never had a domicil. As to this payment by the department, he would refer to the Supreme Court reports to show it was correct. 14 Peters', Rep., 41, and to Toler, 130, and to Allen vs. Domaka, 3 Tera Rep. 125. The last was a case of forged letters.

Mr. BADGER stated that they were not forged letters, but letters obtained on a forged will

Mr. WESTCOTT was satisfied. The Senator had corrected The suit was brought by the rightful representative but rightly. The suit was prought by the rightly representance against the assistant treasurer of the British navy, who had paid enears of pay to a fraudulent claimant under such letters. The British courts decided the payment was a good discharge. In that case the fraudulent letters were first set aside.

Mr. CALHOUN wished the Senator would state the point pro-cessly, whether the letters on which the department paid the money in this case were those in force and valid, and whether they had since been revoked?

Mr. WESTCOTT would inform the Senator that they were ill in force and valid. Congress was asked to set them aside, to Mr. WESTCOTT would moran the Senator that they were still in force and valid. Congress was asked to set them aside, to declare them void, in the most hoose and er parle affidavits of frand, and so forth, which are count of law or equity would not instea to for a moment. [Mr. W. here rend extracts from the allierits.] He would apply the same rules to government that he deartis.] He would apply the same rules to government that he fraught with danger. The departments would not be safe in paying an administrator in any case. If the United States were hable in this case, there would be claims made for large amounts paid onder similar circumstances. The claimann's remedy was a sait. under similar circumstances. The claimant's remedy was a suit against the New York administrator and his sureties, and if they were insufficient by the neglect of the New York surrogate, a suit against him. Put this claim on the ground of a gratuity merely, and it would be much stronger than no it was now preferred. It was the only ground on which it could, in his judgment, be at all sustained,

Mr. WESTCOTT moved to lay the bill on the table, and the question being taken, resulted as follows:

So the motion was negatived.

Mr. BRADBURY urged the justice of the claim, and hoped that Congress would not shelter itself behind judicial decisions to avoid the payment of it. The objection arged by the Senator from Florida might be a bar to the payment in a court of law, but the Florida might be a bar to the payment in a court of law, but the appeal was here made to the equity and sense of justice of Con-gress. The deceased sailor had faithfully served his country, and catused the amount claimed. He had deed in the service, and his relations by law entitled to administer on his extate, had, on infor-mation of his decease, taken out letters of administration and de-manded payment of the governmen of the wages faithfully carried. They had done this promptly. They had been guitty of no ne-glect. And in such a case Congress should not shelter itself behind a technical rule, to defeat the claims of justice.

Mr. MASON dissented from the report of the committee. It might be true that the court that granted the letters was impoupon, but the fraud should not operate on the government. The money had been paid at the department, and as a matter of law and necessary policy, ought not to be paid again.

Mr. BUTLER was of opinion that the payment in this matter was made in good faith, and, under the act of 1812, was legal and proper. The claim might be allowed as a grutuity, on the principle on which pensions were granted, but not by law. ment was good in law.

Mr. UNDERWOOD thought the United States could not clear Mr. UNDERWOOD thought the United States could not over themselves of this or any other similar claim until they had paid the proper person. The case had been settled before. [Mr. U. here cited the precedent.] The question was whether the United States should suffer from frauds of this character, or the individuals who claimed justice at their hands

Mr. CALHOUN regarded the principle advanced in favor of the payment of this claim as an massle one. He believed it would leave the United States if earried out, without protection in the payment by the departments of similar claims in future. It would stablish a dangerous precedent.

On the question, "shall this bill pass to a third reading?"

It was determined in the affirmative. Ayes 22, Noes 18.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved, That this bill pass.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the resolution relating to errors and defective returns in certain surveys, plats, and field notes.

Mr. FELCH said, the report in this case, with the documents printed therewith, were long, and instead of asking their reading, he would recapitulate beigly the facts stated, and the relief sought by the petitioners. The bill proposed to pay to example purchasers of land in the township of Selem, in Michigan, damages for deficiency in the quantity of land purchased by them, or their grantees from the government. This deficiency was owing to the false and fraudulent surveys and returns made by the sur

to the lanks and transment surveys and returns made by the sur-veyor acting under the government.

The original survey of the township in question, was made in 1816, by Joseph Waupler, a deputy under Edwin Tillin, then sur-veyor general of the district including Michigan.

In 1842 the purchasers memoralized Congress on the subject of the erroneous surveys, and the consequent loss of n portion of their land. The committee of the Senate, to whom the matter was referred, conferred with the Commissioner of the General

Land Office, and he directed a re-survey of the township In accordance with this order, a re-survey was made in 1841, by Harvey Park.

In February, 1845, the Senate adopted a resolution calling on the Secretary of the Treasury for information as to the progress of the survey ordered by the Commissioner of the General Land

In December, 1845, the Secretary of the Treasury made his report, accompanied by the returns of the Commissioner of the General Land Office, and surveyor general, under whose charge the re-survey was made in 1844.

In August, 1846, a joint resolution was passed by Congress requiring the Commissioner of the General Land Office to appoint some suitable person to ascertain and report the amount of dama ges sustained by the purchasers, or their assignees, by reason of the false and fraudulent surveys and returns of the lands. This the tame and radiument surveys and returns of the lands. I has resolution required the person so appointed to visit the premises in question, and to make his return from a personal examination. In January, 1846, Lucius Lyon, the surveyor general of the district in which Michigan is situated, was appointed, and in September of the same years he made his report.

The documents above mentioned established beyond controversy the solution of the continual network of the solution.

The documents above mentioned established beyond controversy the fact, that the returns of the original surveys were false and frandalent. The whole township was found, on the re-survey, to contain 631-23 acres, less hand than was reported as the result of the first survey. The whole township had been sold to 275 purchasers, of wom 147 had received more than the number of acres described in their patents. This excess was 382-15 acres. The remaining 129 purchasers found therefore a deficiency in the lands parchase by them of 1013-35 acres. The purchase money for this land was received by the government, while the land was never obtained by the purchasers. Of the 60 miles of sub-division lines in the tawnship reported by the surveyor to have been run and marked, 244 township reported by the surveyor to have been run and marked, 244 township reported by the surveyor to have been run and marked, 24 were in fact never run, and the lines of the remaining portion, alwere in fact never run, and the fines of the remaining portion, at-though actually surveyed, were grossly erroneous. It was to be ex-pected that surveys in a wilderness country would necessarily be subject to errors of minor importance. The surveyor general had consubject to errors of minor importance. The surveyor general had consequently, in his report of the damages sustained by the settlers on these lands, deducted one-fortieth part of the whole tract surveyed for ordinary errors, for which, in his opinion, no compensation should be made. It was, not however, of mere ordinary errors that the petitioners complained. It was of the extraordurary deficiency in the quantity of land purchased of the government, and paid for by them, that they asked compensation.

The purchaser of lands in a wilderness country, such as was this when these purchases were made, had little means of ascertaining lines or boundaries. To run out the lines by chain and compass would lines or boundaries. To run out the lines by chain and compass would be impracticable to most persons making entries at the land oftice; to trace them out, even when faithfully run by the surveyor, could seldom be done. The cheif reliance for the purchaser must, therefore, be had first in his general knowledge of the locality se-lected by him; and secondly and chiefly, in the plots and field notes at the land office. He had a right to inspect these for informa-tion, and to presume they were correct, and relying upon the rep-resentations there found, he made his purchase. It was no faul to resentations thereform, he made his purchase. It was notati-his if, through their misrepresentation, he was deceived. The vernment representing them to be correct, was the vender, vernment representing them to be correct, was the venture, and should see to it that no false representations were made to the purchaser. The ease provided for by the bill under consideration was a case of that description. The frauds of the deputy surwas a cake of that description. The frauds of the deputy sur-veyor, the agent of the government, had supplied false plats to the office, misrepresenting both the boundaries and the quantity of the land purchased, and referring to hines as run and marked which had never been surveyed. The purchasers had paid their money on the finith of the representations of the government. The bill provided for compensation to each purchaser or his assignee, ac-cording to the resolution under which the report of damages was made by Mr. Lyon.

The resurvey by the government in 1844, made very material changes in the boundaries of these different tracts of land. In some instances improvements were transferred to the occupant of the adjoining farm, and the whole arrangement of the premises were, in some instances, disarranged. Farms had been transferred from the original purchasers from the government to others; and the premases from the government to others; and the present owners, purchased at comparatively high prices. These parehasers also were misied by the frandulent returns, and were, by the re-survey, deprived of portions of the premises for which they had paid. The estimate of damages, as reported by Mr. Lyton, was based upon the actual value of the premises of which they had paid. on. Was based upon the actual value of the promise of which they had been deprived in consequence of the errors and frauds above mentioned. The value of the lands was estimated at its actual mentioned. worth at the time of the personal examination by Mr. Lyon, re-jecting the value of the improvements, and supposing the land to be in an uncultivated state. The compensation provided by the bill was, therefore, a mere act of justice.

Mr. NILES enquired of the Senator from Michigan what was the amount of damages as reported by the surveyor general, and at what rate per acre for the deficient land?

Mr. FELCH replied that the total amount of damages allowed, according to Mr. Lyon's report, was \$10.648-72, and the total

number of acres deficient was I,013-38. The estimate of Mr. Lyon also included a small sum to some six individual owners for money paid out in ascertaining the defects, and other matters incidental to the defective survey and returns.

Mr. NILES objected to the payment of any thing more than the amount paul by the purchasers into the Treasury for the deli-cient land. He said the case of the applicants was certainly a case of merit, but the government had never, to his knowledge, done more in such cases than to restore to the purchaser his money. This was the only rule which could, with salety to the Treasury, the applied. He was willing to grant that in the case of these settlers, but nothing more.

Mr. FELCH replied that this would be no adequate compensation to the owner, a portion of whose land was cut off by the re-sur-vey, and especially to those who purchased from the original owners at a high price, and who trusted as much as the first purchaser to the returns and plats made by the government. The damages ascertained by the commissioner in accordance with the resolution of Congress, gave only the amount of damages actually sustained by the owners of the land, and this bill was in accordance with that resolution and the return under it

On motion by Mr. NILES, it was

Ordered, That the bill lie on the table.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committe of the Whole, the bill for the relief of the legal representatives of Francis Cazeau, late merchant at Montreal; and,

On motion by Mr. WESTCOTT, it was

Ordered. That it lie on the table.

The Senate preceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whele, the following bills.

An act for the relief of the legal heirs of John Snyder, deceased.

An act for the relief of the legal representatives of James Brown, deceased

and no amendment being made, they were reported to the Senate. Ordered, That they pass to a third reading.

The said bills were read a third time.

Resolved, That they pass

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly

The following bills were read the second time and considered as in Committee of the Whole:

A bill authorizing the sale of a part of public reservation numbered thirteen in the City of Washington and for other purposes. A bill for the relief of J. W. Nye, assignee of P. Bargy, and H. Stewart.

and no amendment being made, they were reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That they be engressed and read a third time.

The said bills were read a third time.

Resolved, That they pass, and that the titles thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

Mr. DICKINSON moved that the Senate reconsider the vote of yesterday, on passing to a third reading the bill for the relief of John Devlin; and it was

Ordered. That this motion lie on the table.

On metion by Mr. CAMERON, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on printing be discharged from Oracrea, I nat tue Committee on printing be usenarged from the further consideration of the resolution defining the reports to be annually made to Congress by the heads of the several Execu-tive departments, and requiring the same to be prepared immedi-ately after the close of each fiscal year, and forthwith common catel for publication; and that it be referred to the Committee on

After the consideration of Executive business.

The Senate adjourned.

# MONDAY, JUNE 19, 1848.

MEMORIAL OF THE CHICAGO CONVENTION.

Mr. WEBSTER presented a memorial of a convention of delegates from the different parts of the Union assembled at Chicago, Illinois, in favor of the improvement of harbors and rivers by the general government.

Mr. WEBSTER.—It is known to the Senate that in the coarse of last year a large convention was holden at Chicago, in the State of Illinois, to take into consideration the subject of improvements of the rivers and harbors in the contray, and especially in the West. That convention adopted certain resolutions expressive of their opinions and sentiments, and directed that a committee be appointed to address a memorial to the two houses of Congress. That committee, in the performance of its duty, has prepared a memorial, and placed it in my hands to be presented to the Senatt. I can only say that it is a very respectful paper, and in my indiment, an aftle paper. It this paper, and in my indiment, an aftle paper. It there is the presented to the Senatt. I can only say that it is a very respectful paper, and in my indiment, and the paper. It there is a subject, about which there is known to be a good deal of diversity of opinion. In presenting this memorial, I have thought it my duty to look to an analogous seas for a precedent to direct une as to the motion which I should address to the Senate. That case is, the course that was pursued upon the presentment here, in 1846, of the proceedings of the Memphis convention upon the same subject. That, also, was a very important paper, and one that was generally read, and all though probably it they are both aby drawn, argumentative papers. When the Memphis memorial came to the Senate, it was presented to its consideration by the honorable Senator from South Carolina, who moved that it be referred to a select committee consisting of five members, to be appointed by the Vice President. Following that precedent, I now move that the memorial be printed of five hearts.

Ordered, That it be referred to a select committee consisting of five members, to be be appointed by the Vice President; and

Mr. Webstea, Ma. Davis, of Mississippi, Mr. Breese, Mr. Johnson, of Maryland, and Mr. Borland, were appointed the committee.

Ordered, That that the memorial be printed.

Mr. WEBSTER gave notice, that in pursuance of the course taken in the case of the Memphis memoriat, he would probably at some time hereafter, move the Scante to print the same number of copies of this memorial that were printed in the former case.

## PETITIONS.

Mr. HALE presented a potition of citizens of Rosendale, Wisconsin, remonstrating against the appropriation of any portion of the public lands to the construction of a railroad from Lake Michigan to the Pacific ocean; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

Mr. WEBSTER presented the memorial of Charles Colburn, praying compensation for his services as yeoman in the naval service; which was read and referred to the Committee on Naval

Mr. TURNEY presented the petition of Samuel Rush, William Tyler, and George S. Gaines, late Choetaw commissioners, praying to be allowed their travelling expenses; which was referred to the Committee on Indian Allairs.

Mr. STURGEON presented a memorial of citizens of New York, praving the construction of a ratiroad between Philadelphia and New York, to be used as a post-road to facilitate commercial intercourse and the transportation of the mail between those places; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Mr. LEWIS presented the memorial of B. Marshall and others, delegates of the Cherokee nation, praying the final settlement of the claims of that nation against the United States; which was referred to the Committee on Indians Affairs and ordered to be printed.

Mr. WESTCOTT presented the petition of Daniel Morgan in behalf of limmelf and the officers and soldiers of a company of mounted Florida volunteers, praying compensation for their services in the Florida war; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

## SEATS OF MEMBERS.

Mr. DOWNS submitted the following resolution for consideration:

Resolved, That a member leaving the Senate, shell not, directly or indirectly, assign is scat to another; and that vacant seats shall be assigned by the President from a list kept for that purpose, and according to the date of the record of their near

### OFFICERS IN THE CUSTOM HOUSE AT BALTIMORE.

Mr. JOHNSON of Maryland, submitted the following resolution for consideration:

Resolved. That the Secretary of the Treasory inform the Senate of the whole number of officers now employed to add about the custom Louise of the United States to the city of Balmoner, with the compensation allowed to each; and that he also ratiom the Senate what was the number of such officers at the same custom house on the 4th of March, 1243, and the compensation allowed each.

#### INDIAN CLAIMS.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, by unanimous consent, asked and obtained leave to bring in a resolution, to authorize the adjudication of certain Indian claims under the act of 23d of August, 1842; which was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

### SHAW AND CORSER.

Mr. NILES, from the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads, to whom was referred the amendment of the House of Representatives to the joint resolution in favor of David Shaw, and Solomon T. Corser, reported thereon.

The Senate proceeded to consider the said amendment; and it was

Resolved, That they concur therein.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

## MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

Mr. President: The House of Representatives have passed a bill for the relief of the legal representatives of Johna Kennedy, deceased: in which they request the concurrence of the Scoate.

The President of the United States approved and signed, the 10th instant, the following enrolled bills, and enrolled resolutions:

An act to attach a portion of the North Western Land District of Louisana, to the district north of Red River, Louisana.

An act to amend an act entitled an act to appropriate the proceeds of the sales if the public lands and to grant pre-emption rights, approved September 4, 1841.

A joint resolution providing for payment of Texas mounted troops called into the errors of the United States under the requisition of Col. Contr., in the year 1847, and

A joint resolution in relation to the transportation and discharge of the military forces of the United States at the close of the war with Mexico.

### INDIAN AFFAIRS.

Mr. ATCHISON, from the Committee on Indian Affairs, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of Joseph Perry, a Choctaw Indian, or his assignees, reported it without amendment.

Mr. ATCHISON, from the same committee, to whom was referred the bill to regulate trade and intercourse with the Indian tribes residing within the limits of the State of Texas, reported it with an amendment.

## PRIVATE BILL.

Mr. UNDERWOOD, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the bill for the relief of Alborne Allen, reported it without amendment.

## GRANT OF LAND TO MISSOURI.

Mr. BREESE, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the bill granting to the State of Missouri, the right of way and a donation of public lands for making a railroad connecting the town of St. Joseph, on the Missouri river, with the town of Hannibal, on the Mississippi river, reported it with un amendment.

On motion by Mr. BREESE, it was

Ordered, That the documents accompanying the bill be printed.

## JUDICIAL.

Mr. BUTLER, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom was referred the memorial of Mary Ann W. Van Ness, reported a bill to regulate appeals from the trial of issues in the District of Columbia; which was read and passed to the second reading.

Mr. BUTLER, from the same committee, to whom was referred the potition of Gaspard Tochman, reported a bill to authorize the change of vonue in certain cases; which was read and passed to the second reading. ADVERSE REPORTS.

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the bill for the relief of Thomas B. Graham, report-ed the same without amendment, and submitted an adverse report on the subject; which was ordered to be printed.

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the same committee, to whom was referred the bill for the relief of the legal representatives of James Porterfield, deceased, reported it without amendment, and submitted as alverse raport on the subject; which was ordered to

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the Committee on the Judiciary to whom was reierred the bill for the relief of Gammliel Taylor, late marshal of the State of Indiana, and his securities, reported it with an amendment, and submitted a special report on the subject; which was ordered to be printed.

AMENDMENT OF THE NATURALIZATION LAWS.

On motion by Mr. DICKINSON, the prior orders were post-poned, and the Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of this Whole, the bill to amend the not entitled "an act for the re-gulation of seamen on beard the public and private vessels of the United States, passed the 3d of March, 181.

The bill having been read for information -

Mr. UNDERWOOD .- I would like to be informed by the honorable Senator whether, in case an individual makes application for naturalization, and then leaves this country and resides in another for the five years, or a part thereof, he will be entitled, under this bulk to convenience. der this bill, to naturalization.

Ger tins out, to naturalization.

34r. DIX.—It is a mere question of residence. The 12th section of the act proposed to be amended, provides that the individal applying for naturalization shall reside for the continued term of five years within the United States. It is proposed to leave that as it is. But the bill goes further and says, "without being at any time during the five years out of the territory of the United States." The Supreme Centr of the State of New York has decired, that although an individual had been for five years a resident of the United States, yet having casually set his foot on the Canada shore during that time he was not entitled to be naturalized.

Mr. UNDERWOOD.—I am satisfied. I understand that the five years residence is to be made complete; that if the individual has within the five years been absent one year, he must continuo one year he nust continuo one year he nust continuo the United States, so as to make the five years complete.

Mr. BREESE.—As the law now stands, an individual may be four years and eleven months a resident of this country, and if he then goes beyond its limits, he loses the benefits of his four years and eleven months residence.

Mr. CALHOUN.—It seems to me that some provision is ne-cessare to be attended to this bill, to say how long the temporare dasacre to be attended to the bill, to say how long the temporare on the subject of naturalization, and I would suggest that this bill be allowed to stand over, so that we may have the whole subject before us at once.

Mr. DICKINSON .- I am unwilling that this bill shall be delayed The subject has been before Congress for several sessions; and every one must see that there is a pressing necessity for the passage of the bill. It is a mere question of domicil, and that is a spec of the bill. It is a mere question of domical, and that is a question that we cannot regulate by legislation with any more distinctness than it is done by the common law. Temporary absence at present, whether voluntary or involuntary, deprives the individual of the lenefits of our naturalization law; whereas, no cording to sound reason and common sense, if the individual nanifest the intention to preserve his residence within the country it ought to be sufficient. He is required by this bill in ease of temporary absence to produce to the court evidence of the arimus recreated. If that is not sufficient protection, I do not know what would be sufficient. I hope that we shall have the action of the Senate apost the library.

Mr. WESTCOTT .- When this bill was reported by the Judi-Mr. WESTCOTT —When this bill was reported by the Judi-ciary Committiee, this session before the last, and also at this ses-sion, in cunsenting to the report I did not commit inyself to the support of the bill. I feel bound to vote against it; and I will state briefly the reasons. In the first place, the naturalization law originally required as an evidence of a man's good feeling tolaw originally required as an evidence of a man's good feeling to-wards the natirutions of this country, that he should have resided in the country, and not have been out of it for the continued term of five years. It seems to me that this evidence was as little as we could require of foreigners who emigrato hither; although in one instance where they come here undor 18 years of age, a con-tinued residence of three years only is required. By the bill upon your table this policy is done away. A British or French sailor can come into this country and petition for naturalization, and he can be out of the country all the time that has been formerly pre-scribed as the period of residence, and he can neverheless be no embedded as the period of residence, and he can neverheless be no the applies for naturalization is, had be the intention to return? Did be intend that his residence should be in this country in the interim? I object to leaving this question of intention to the judi-ciary in the loose manner in which this bill prevides. Affidavits

of an ex parte character are to be submitted to any judge, and of an ex parte character are to be submitted to any judge, and even some of the inferior judges are to have jurisdiction in cases of naturalization. It will occasion an abuse of the naturalization laws. I would, by a special act, if there was a case of a volunteer who had been in Mexico, who had filed his petition for naturalization, provide for such case. But this act was not intended to apply to such cases, because the bill was brought before Corress limits over the Mexican war commenced. The effect of laws, and I feel bound to vote against it.

laws, and I feel bound to vote against it.

Mr. BERRIEN.—If the bill which is urged by the Senator from New York shall be passed, the law will be this: To entitle an attended to the senate of the se basiness, maintaining his residence with the intention of returning, the term of his absence will not be abstracted from the five years of residence. But as the law now is, though a man should come here and obtain what he designed to be a permanent residence, and should make his application for admission as a citizen, and should contain a contemplation of law and common sense, during the whole five years a resident, yet if he party his ford across the boundary of the United States, voluntarily or uncolumnarily. the boundary of the United States, voluntarily or involuntarily, during the five years, he has to begin and go over the who ecouse of resudence again, dating from the period when, after this temperary absence, he returned to the United States. Now, there seems to be no just reason for this. I can see no objection to investing the centry with the right to determine what is a residence and what is an abandanment of residence. If a man leavegate United States temperarily with the intention of returning, in the meantime maintaining his domicil here, I see no casson why the court should not be invested with the power of determining the question as to the anisons recerteadi, as to whether the residence is remaintained an abundance of the properties of the properti

No amendment being made, the bill was reported to the Senate. Ordered, That it be engrossed and rend a third time.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved. That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

THE SUPREME COURT.

On motion by Mr. BADGER, the prior orders were postponed, On motion by Mr. BADGER, the prior overse were josspines, and the Senate resumed the consideration, as in Committee of the Whole, of the bill to promote the dispatch of business in the Supreme Court, and to repeal the 21 section of the act appreced June 17, 1844, entitled "an act concerning the Supreme Court of the Universe of the Court, and the concerning the Supreme Court of the Universe of the Universe of the Court of the Universe of the Court of the Universe of the Court of the Universe of the Univer ted States;" and no amendment being made, it was reported to

Ordered, That it be engressed and read a third time

The said bill was read a third time; and it was

Ordered That the further consideration thereof be postponed

THE SOUTHERN MAIL.

Mr. PEARCE moved that the prior orders be postponed, and that the joint resolution to authorize and require a renewal of a contract for carrying the mail be read the second time and considered as in Committee of the Whole.

Mr. ATHERTON opposed the motion. He hoped the Indian appropriation bill would be taken up. It was now nearly finished, and he thought it would be good policy to finish that bill before proceeding with any other busines

Mr. DIX .- I conenr with the Senator from New Hampshire as Mr. JIA.—I concer with the Senator from acts Hampshire as to the propriety of finishing the ludin appropriation bill before proceeding to any other business that will lead to debate; but I hope that the honorable Senator will allow a bill that is now upon the table to be taken up and disposed of. I mean the bill relating to the importation of adulterated drugs and medicines.

to the importation of southerated drugs and medicines.

Mr. BEREIEN.—Having introduced the resolution upon which
this joint resolution is founded, I hope the motion of the Senator
from Maryland will prevail. The country at large is suffering
very much from the condition of what is colled the great northera
and southern mail. There is a very full report made by the committee to whom the subject was referred which will, I trust, with
manner proposed by the committee of the decision of the conmittee of things that existed an account to this interference in this
great northern and southern mail line, and removing the grievance

under which the people are laboring. This resolution was introduced some months ago, but from circumstances beyond our control, action upon it has been delayed. A very full report has been prepared, and it will present the case to the Senate in such a manner that it may be readily comprehended.

The question being taken on the motion to take up the resolution, it was, upon a division, decided in the affirmative. Ayes 16,

Mr. UNDERWOOD.—If this resolution passess it seems to me that it ought to be amended by nuthorizing the Postmater General to pay to Graham and Finnell such a sum as will be a proper compensation for the loss of their contract for earrying the mail in stage coaches. The effect of the resolution will be to interfere with and put an end to that centract. Mr. Graham has called my attention to this matter, and I thought it my duty to bring it to the notice of the Senate. He asks to be indemndified for any losses they may sestain by having their contract at this early period and the second of the second

Mr. PEARCE—It seems to me that the anondment is unnecessary. All contracts by law in relation to the department may be rescinced, and upon being rescinced the Postmaster General is authorized to make such allowance as is suitable. I know of inthing special in this contract which should make it an exception

Mr. (NDERWOOD—— will state why the amendment is perner accessive. There is such a law as the gentlemen alludes to the state of department voluntarily discontinues a route, not where it is done by the legislation of Congess. But here, by your legislation, you force the department to discontinues this mail route, and you do it, too, at the very commencement of the service under the contract. Where the department has complete control over the matter, they will allow the individual a reasonable compresstion, but here just as soon as the performance of the duty commeges, as soon as the expesse of preparation has been incurred, your viscontinue the service by your legislation, and then you limit the compensation under the old rale which the Senator from Maryland speaks of, to compensation for three most in an arrival of a limit of the service of the compensation for the compensation of the service of the contract transcend that amount. My amendment proposes to allow him to make such compensation as the peculiar circumstances of the cuse require.

Mr. PEARCE.—If you adopt such a course as this in one case, you must necessarily do so in others. Besides, it does not follow that you must continue the contract to these gentlemen merely because you have commenced it. All contracts are subject to interruption, and this being the case, I apprehend there is no good casen for the adoption of this amendment.

Mr. NILES.—I do not entirely concur in this resolution, yet I am not altogether disposed to oppose it.

Mr. PEARCE.—It is the amendment which is now the subject of discussion. I have confined such observations as I have made to the amendment proposed by the Senator from Kentucky. When that is disposed of, I propose to enter upon the subject of the reso-

Mr. NILES—In regard to the existing arrangement for earrying this southern and, as I understand from my colleague on the committee, by whom this report was made, the contractors are now pand more than has heretofore been paid to the railread and steamhout company for the same service. This resolution, however, is suspending the operation of the general law, which fixes the maximum allowance for railread service. Though I binkit requires that something should be done, still I should feel vary reluctant to weaken the force of the law, or furnish an example for other companies to demand exorbitant prices above the maximum fixed by law, and thereby embarrass the department, and compel them to apply to Congress for authority to comply with such exorbitant demands. During the administration of Mr. Tyler, there was some difficulty experienced in regard to the transportation of the nail upon this route, and the matter heap referred to him, he established an aibitrary rate to be allowed to the company upon that route, irrespectively a trained to the transported to force. And with regard to the services, than to antibrize the Postmotter General to renew the arrangement made by Mr. Tyler. And with regard to the services that have been commerced, to which reference has been made, they will necessarily the discontinued, but under the general law, in all cases where services have been performed, the given for damages. And if any thing more is required, the parties have to make their application here.

The question being taken upon the amendment, it was negatived.

Mr. PEARCE.—The great parthern and southern mail for may years prior to the last two months. has been carried upon the two Petanae on the raute between Baltimore and Richmond, but by the present route. Which is much longer, the time occupied in conveying the mail is double the travelling time. The delay of expense effected; on the contrary, the expense of conveying the mail is an much as twenty four hours, and there is no saving of expense effected; on the contrary, the expense of conveying the mail is increased. The Postmaster General has taken the con-

tract from the railroad and steamboat company, because he supposed their charges exceeded the maximum, which, according to his construction, the law authorizes him to pay to such companies. Now, the only reason offered by the Postmaster General against this resolution is this: He says that if Congress directs a restoration of his contract all the railroad and steamboat companies will demand an increased allowance. But this railroad and steamboat companies and they are entitled to a somewhat larger allowance, for they are subjected to considerable hazard in navigating the Potoma during the winter, and are obliged to incur the expecse of providing ice boats, in order to prevent any interruption to the regular conveyance of the mails. And it is to this source that they look chiefly for the means of their support during the winter, there being then but little travel. This turnishes a consideration why there conveyance of the mails. And it is to this source that they look chiefly for the means of their support during the winter, there being then but little travel. This turnishes a consideration why there desire to save the public money, but it seems to me that the accommodation of the public should be preferred to the mere object of saving a small sum of money.

Mr. BRADBURY inquired whether the department in case, this contract were annulled, would not be exposed to heavy damages for the breach of the contract?

Mr. PEARCE .- I think not

Mr. BRADBURY.—Will the Senator consent to amend his resolution so as to provide that the department shall not pay damages?

Mr. PEARCE.—Certainly not. It would neither be consistent with propriety, nor a proper dignity on the part of Congress. I think we ought, at least, to pay any damage that may be occasioned by the action of the government. I have a letter, however, from the agent of the steam-packet company, in which because that the company will assent to the change, and that they will make no demand for damages. There remains, then, only Mr. Mayo, a party to the contract, and his claims will not exceed six thousand dollars for the year. His demand cannot be so great as the damages we are every year sustaining under the present arrangement.

Mr. BRADBURY.—I am certainly desirous that every famility should be allored for the transportation of the great southern mail. But I understand the department was exceedingly desiron of making a contract with this company, and that it proposed the highest rate that the law authorized; and that the company relased to transport the mails and threw them off. I have heard nothing which goes to show that the sum offered by the Postmaster General was not a fair and adequate price. By gielding to the demands of the company in this case, and paying an extrawagant price, it appears to me, we shall be placing the Post Office Department at the feet of railroad corporations through and then can come here and enforce the department to contract with them at a higher rate than is paid to others, what company will not do it? I think the application is to the wrong tribunal; it should be to the Legislature of Virgima, to mpose a penalty for a refusal on the part of the company to carry the mails.

Mr. HUNTER.—The Virginia legislature, I beg to inform the gantleman, has no right to impose any penalty upon the railroad company, nor to interfere in the matter in any way.

Mr. BRADBURY.—I regret that Virginia should place herself in the power of a corporation of this kind; and I sincerely hope that Congress shall not do the same thing.

Mr. PEARCE.—The Legislature can hardly assume to dictate to a corporation that is already in existence, as to the manner in which they shall carry on their business. But in fact, the Legislature of Virginia was in session when this was made public, and they took no action upon it.

Mr. H.A.L.E.—I hope the Senate will not pass this resolution, for it looks to me like a very dangerous precedent. Here is a controversy between the Post Olike Department and a railroad conjant. I know nothing about the acer's of the ease, but I know this, that if the power of the Post Olikeo Department is not sufficient to meet the case, then the remedy should be by general law. If we pass has been taken by the company in this case, and there is no knowing when applications of this knot will end. These corporations are all, I imagine, desirous of making the most out of the equal invested, provided it be done fairly. And when it is known that this corporation has been enabled to set themselves up, and demand a greater allowance from the department, and that the Postmaster General has succumbed to the demand, any word for the before the experiment will be tried by corporations at the Elast, by the Boston, New York, and Philadelphia raitroad companies, and that New Jersey railroad which we have heard so much about lately, with its perpetual charter, how long will it be before they come and ask that special contracts be made with them? If the law is not sufficient as it stands, or if a wrong construction has been put upon it, remedy it by a general hav; for its cosms to me that this is the most injudicious and lilipidged compliance with me that this is the most injudicious and lilipidged compliance with me that this is the most injudicious and lilipidged compliance with a cloudted.

Mr. BERRIEN.—The Senator from New Hampshire claims from the Senate a refusal to adopt this resolution with the distinct arowal that he knows nothing of the merits of the case; and most assuredly in the observations he has made, he has verified that asknowledgement. This is not an application to Corgers to except a particular case out of the operation of the general law; it is an application to correct a unisinterpretation of a general law. It is an application to correct a unisinterpretation of a general law are considered to the contract of the contra

Mr. RUSK.—The resolution requires the Postmaster General to return this contract at the same rate of remuneration that the company has been receiving for the service for four years. And this change will save at least \$4,000 a year, as shown by the report, in the actual cost of the transportation of this mail. And it will save a good deal mere; because, as it is now arranged, a great loss of tunn is experienced. What is the result? It is that communications are made by telegraph, and the revenue of the department is reduced. How the Senater from Maine can come to the conclusion that the department is about to suffer in a peculiary point of view by making this change, I cannot conceive.—The amount to be paid would certainly be less, and the time consumed in conveying the mail would also be less. The resolution simply requires that the contracts shall be returned to them at the same rate that they received for four years preceding the present.

Mr. BRADBURY.—In consequence of the conduct of this rail-road company in refusing to transport the mails, the department was forced to enter into awe arrangements suddenly, under such probable average cost. But the question of cost is not the material question. It is a general one, and important in its consequences. It is, whether the Pest Office Department shall be forced, by an application here, to yield to the demands of this corporation, and pay it at a greater rate than that which is paid to any other railroad for similar service—and at a greater rate than is authorised by law, according to the construction given to the acts of Congress on the subject by the present Postmaster Genewith this company, and offsted the highest rate of compensation paid any where. No one can doubt but that the price offered was a bheral and ample compensation for the service required. No one has here asserted that it was not. If I recollect correctly, the rate offered was S237 50 per mile per annum, for a single daily mail. The average cost for the great mail hetween this city and New York is \$150 per mile per annum for a single mail, or \$500 for one twice each day. No special reasons are mged in this case convenience or expense, in enveying challed the subject to more incorrectly and the contraction of the contrary, it is said that the hours for the departure and arrival of the mail are well accommodated to the public travel. No extra train would, therefore, have to be run at hours unswited for general travel. This, then, is not a case presenting any especial claims for exemption from the general rule.

If Congress does not exempt in three will be no difficulty in securing its services. It will come to the terms offered by the department. I will refer to a case which occurred last very an and the contract and arrived meanded the hearm and, I thunk; to curry the moths that the state allowed by the department. The company demanded

Il Congress does not exempt it there will be no difficulty in sccing its scripies. It will come to the terms offered by the department. I will refer to a case which occurred last year, as I am informed, of the reliasol of a railroad company in Connecticat (the Hartford and New Haven road, I tlunk), to carry the manifed a higher rate. The department cond. The total representation of the properties of the contraction of the contraction of the Other arrangements were made. The public complained, and, in the first moments of excitment, were disposed to throw the blame upon the Postmaster General. A discussion of the facts led to the conviction that the department was in the right, and the company at the wrong. The people then began to petition the legislature for the repeal of the charter of this corporation, and it son yield-

conviction that the department was in the right, and the company of the wrong. The people then began to petition the legislature for the repeal of the charter of this corporation, and it soon yield to the force of public opinion, and entered into an arrangement of the force of public opinion, and entered into an arrangement of the charter of the contract of the co

[The amendment provided that there should be deducted from the amount of compensation to be paid to the railroad company the amount of damages which would arise from the discontinuance of the existing contracts.]

Mr. PEARCE—The Senator is certainly not aware of the facts of this case. He stated that this company threw off the mains; and artimated that they had acted in a manner that was disreputable, with a view to extor from the department a better contract. It is right that I should state the facts as they really

30TH CONG.-IST SESSION-No. 93.

[Mr. P. read from the correspondence between the Postmaster General and the agent of the company, to show the true position of the company.]

There was no disposition here to throw off the mails. The Postmaster General was notified forty days before they refused to earry the mails, that they would not earry them at that rate.— The amendment is one which it will be highly improper to adopt

Mr. UNDERWOOD.—It seems to me the proper enquiry for us is, what would be a reasonable compensation for the performance of this service ' While I am willing to vote a reasonable compensation, I am altogother unwilling to go beyond that

Mr. PEARCE.—It would be a very difficult matter to show precisely what would be a reasonable compensation. The company is subjected to extraordinary expenses in order to convey the mails at all seasons of the year with punctuality. It is to be presumed that Mr. Tyler intended to make such arrangement as would be just and fair, and be allowed the company the same compensation that they now ask.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, asked for the reading of the

It was read by the Secretary

Mr. BRADBURY.—I would sorgest that, as the resolution now stands, it does not provide for the rescinding of the existing face, to be based upon the offer of one of the present controllers to relinquish his contract without claim for damages. It is deciviley drawn up. This offer should appear, and it should be made condition that, before entering into any new contract, the depart ment should be released from all claim for damages under contracts already made. I am desirous that an arrangement should be made with the rallroad company referred to, for the transportance of the country at large, and discovered to, for the transportance of the country at large, and the control of railroad corporations. The passage of the resolution without amendment would place the department hereafter within the power and at the meroy of these corporations. It is said that the sum in control verys is not large, as one of the present centractors will make no claim for damages, and that of the other cannot extend to a large to dop a face of only the control of the mails, upon the condition that there shall be deducted from the amount to be paid the damages arising under the existing contracts, an arrangement on this basis can be made, and that shortly. The company will not stand out if we do not compel the department to typicd. The sum to be deducted from the amount to be paid the damages arising under the existing contracts, an arrangement on this basis can be made, and that shortly. The company will not stand out if we do not compel the department to yield. The sum to be deducted will minish the receipts of the company for a year only; afterwards there will be no diministing from the control of the company for a year only; afterwards there will be no diministing the receipts of the company for a year only; afterwards there will be not diminish the receipts of the company for a year only; afterwards there will be not diminish the receipts of the company for a year only; afterwards there will be not diminish the receipts of the compan

Mr. PEARCE.—I suppose that Congresseannot annul a contract, but may direct the transfer of the mail to another route, leaving the contractors at perfect liberty to lay claim to, and obtain damages. The southern mail line has expressed its willingness, by a letter that is now on file, to the transferment of the carriage of the mail to these new condictions of the contractor of the carriage of the c

Mr. YULEE.—I shall cheerfully give my support to the resolution presented by the Committee on Post Offices. I trust me amendanen will be made to the bill. The delay in the transmission of the mails hitherto upon this route has been positive injury to the whole community of the North and South, more particularly to the commercial classes. I was disposed, at the early part of the session, to sustais the action that the Postmaster took in this matter, in confident lope that he would have made it his day to give to the corporation of the railroad that hid for the carrier of the mail, the sum they asked. As he has not proposed any remedy, and as it is impossible for the community longer to suffer under this evil, I accept the remody offered by the Committee on Post Offices, in the full assurance that they have 'taken the ut most care to guard the country from being improperly imposed upon, or the Treasury from unjust extortion. Of course, if imposed upon, we can remedy the matter in future logislation.

Mr. ALLEN.—This is the first attempt, within my remembrance, of substituting Congress for a department in the making of contracts on the part of the federal government—

A SENATOR.—There have been several cases of a similar character.

Mr. ALLEN.—If there have here other causes it only proves how dangerous it was to begin this business. If there had been no first case, this one would not now he before us for consideration. The making of contracts is a matter of administration, not of legislation. If we begin this basiness of bringing these powerful corporations into the two Houses of Congress to hid for contracts.

it is not difficult to perceive what manner of legislation will grow up here. When these railroads were first employed, all who late aughting about corporations knew that they would extort mome for the transportation of the mails. These corporations were for the transportation of the mails. These corporations were the transmission of intelligence to the people. They knew how casy the transmission of intelligence to the people. They knew they could use this very plea of popularity and patriotism as a means of extoring unjustly, money from the public treasury. Congress knowing how feelle the power of one head of department would be in opposition to these corporations all over the Usion when they from the public treasury. Congress knowing how feelle the power of one head of department would be in opposition to these corporations all over the Usion when they from the public treasury. Congress knowing how feelle the power of one head of department would be in opposition to these corporations all over the Usion when they for the property of the people of the property of the people of the public treasury. In the same time allowing them for mild exact from a private man for doing the national people of the pe

Mr. MASON.—I apprehend that Senators were fully alive to the objections natural interposing special legislation in a matter which cought to be remedied by general lay, as also to the objection of the objection of the object of

Senator from Onio.

In passing upon this matter it becomes us, I think, rather to enquire whether the public mischief proposed to be removed is of a character that requires the legislation proposed, and if it be, whether the necessity has not devolved upon us to necede to it terms offered rather than to indulge in general demonstations against corporations and monopolies. I have taken some pains to collect facts in relation to this matter, more particularly became the portion of the State which I represent is deeply interested in it.

It is unreaso able and preposterous, in my estimation, that the whole tier of States from North to South should have their business affairs deranged merely because of the slight sum in disjuite of some two or three thousand dollars. Suppose the payment of it be an extortion; shall we derange the commercial affairs of the it be an extortion; shall we derange the commercial attains of the whole country rather than submit to an imposition of some two or three thousand dullars? In regard to the true construction placed upon the law of the Post Office Department relating to this suffect, I have been struck with the fact that we have not been furnished with the opinion of the law officer of the government upon it. I have a construction that the opinion of the law officer of the government upon it. I have a construction to the construction of the law officer of the government upon it. I have a construction to the construction of the law officer of the government to be denoted the denoted the construction of the law officers the denoted the denoted to the construction of the law officers are the denoted the denoted the construction of the law of the denoted the denoted the construction of the law of the inciple involved in it, as that it becomes the department to subeet the States to the mischief of which we are new complaining. submit to Senators that we ought to be furnished with the opin ion of the law officer of this department as to the true construction of the law. My opinion is that the construction placed upon tion of the taw. By opinion's that the construction placed upon the law is erroneous. The fact is the mischief results from a mere angry difference between the head of the Pest Office Depart-ment on the one hand, and the head of this railroad company on ment on the one hand, and the head of this rairroad company on the other, and is too small a matter to be made the foundation upon which this great public mischief is to be continued. It does not in my opinion, show a retailatory or 'undictive spirit' on the part of this railroad company, when it carried the mall from July to September with ut a contract. It appears to me that the company wanted to do what they contract. It appears to me that the company wanted to do what they contract. It appears to me that the company wanted to do what they contract the contract of osed upon by private individuals as by public corporations ?-Rulrond companies can have no competition, and if charge exorbiantly we can only submit to the impositi charge exorbinantly we can only submit to the imposition.— It has been remarked that the State of Virginia did not bring her It has been remarked that the State of Virginia did not bring her railroad companies under such restrint as would prevent exter-tion. I would say that Virginia has set an example in regard to her railroads that very few other States have followed. She has compelled the railroads throughout the State to carry municions compelled the railrouss invogrous the State to carry munitions of war and troops free of charge. I have seen troops travelling through my own State free of expense, and in other States have seen them compelled to pay for their travel. This thing, if an imposition, will remedy itself in the course of time. Gradually,

yet surely, as railroad and other lines of travel increase, the work will be done.

Mr. WESTCOTT .- It is proper for me to make an explana-Mr. WESTCOTT:—It is proper for me to make an explana-tion of my vote, inasmuch as in conversation with one or two Sen-ators, as the resolutions were about being called up, upon my then understanding of them, I stated that I should probably vote for them. The discussion that has taken place has awakened my reculted into fa debate I heard at an early period of this session in the other House on the same subject, and to some facts that had southern extremity. Since I have been in Congress my colleagues southern extremity. Since I have been in Congress my conceault and myself have again and again sought to obtain some mail facilities for our critizens, and what is the result? Though she is young State, and immigration constantly taking place by parchasers of public lands, yet for three years past our mails and post offices have been decreased instand of increased. I will not say who is to blame, but between the Postmaster General and Congress together our present mail arrangements have been useless, and are in fact, misances. In our whole State south of Tampa we have no with in the interior of the control of the con fact, nuisances. In our whole State south of Lampa we nave no mails in the interior. The Atlantic and Gulf coasts, from Key West for several kundred miles, have no mails whatever. Key West has no mail. You are building extensive fortifications at Key West and the Tortugas, and your engineer officer there did not hear of nnu use fortugas, nnu your engineer ouieer taiere did not fear of-ficially of your appropriations for the works until is ix months after they were passed. A million of dollars of wrecks of eastern, northern, and foreign vessels occurs annually on that reel, and the letters to the owners and underwriters have to be sent to Havana, or to the North, by vessels that happen to stop in at Key West. Several thousand settlers on the peninsula in the interior below Tampa are without mail facilities, the nearest post office being 20, 30, 40, 70, or 100 miles from the settlements. pass once being 20, 30, 40, 70, or 100 miles from the sectements. Maintee and Starsota, on the west coast, and Minni, on the cast coast, are refused mails. None of the islands or keys have mails. This very morning I received a letter written forty days ago, and the writer of which lives in a settlement of some thirty lamilies, the writer of which lives in a settlement of some thirty tamines, and who had to send it thirty miles to a post effice. This is the state of things in the lower part of our State. In the upper part it is but httle better. We are allowed a sufley or horse mail, I forget which, which erceps alveg once a week, perhaps twice, from the metropolis Cfallahussec) to Penscola in West Florida, and some trifling horse mails numing off latterly to perhaps a domestic than the state of the state and some trifling horse mails running off latterly to perhaps a dozen points in a distance of trace hundred miles into the country afficining the main read. We had some years ago four-horse conches and steamheats on this rotte, or rather in West Florida, but the General Post Office refused to pay a fair price, and it had to be abandoud. So in East Florida, we have a mail from Tallahassee to Jacksonwille and St. Angustine, which, I believe, by the contract is to be carried in a buggy. Now, for all these routes I know there were reasonable hids for post coaches and other medica of conveyance by which the mails would have been distinct to our citizens, but they women that and vessels where they had the common the common that is the contract of the contract of the contract of the property of the contract of hids to carry the mails in steamboats and vessels where they could only be carried by water. They were all said to be too high. Ten thousand dollars annually could have supplied us will good mails, with what is now paid, and every section of the State could have been supplied properly. The revenue of the Post Office would have been increased, not perhaps to a corresponding amount to the outlay at first, but in a year or two, and the grogeragest would have made the times thus mains that a corresponding amount to the outbay at first, but in a year or two, and the government would have made ten times that sum in the increased sates and enhanced prices of the public lands. Settlers are now deterred from purchasing lands, as hey do not like being eat eff from all communication with the ot or parts of the United States. They want to know what we me doing here. Our election returns cannot get to the seat of government of the State for each state of the seat of government of the State for the seat of the seat of government of the State for the seat of t puts us off on Congress. He says our mails wont pay, and refers to some act of '25 or '45 which, he says, prohibits him from establishing routes that are unprolitable to a certain extent, and so forth. He discontinues our post offices for similar reasons, having first caused such result by refusing reasonable mails in proper conveycaused such result by refusing reasonable mails in proper convey-nees. Under the present system our mails may not pay, and they ought not to pay, and Hope they wont pay. Citizens send their letters by private conveyance. I am obligated extry day to send my letters for South Florida to a commercial house in New York, and they forward them by vessels to Havana or Key West, as epipor-tumities offer. I get my letters from South Florida in the same way. If you will establish proper mails and proper effices, they will pay in a lew years. Why not send some of our army and may steamers as mail steamers from Key West along the coast? It would cost little more than these vessels and officers cost doing recluding of a tangent of the control of the coast? nothing, or at any rate doing little good.

Mr. PEARCE.—I take great pleasure in informing the Senator that the Post Office Committee have included a provision in the bill of this session for a mail to Key West.

Mr. WESTCOTT.—So I understand, and I know the House refused it a day or two since, and I know also that we had, I believe, every session something or other proposed or reported by different committees, but we never get the mails. It is the mails we want. I confess I have no patience with the middle States on this subject. They seem to think the government leadings to them exclusively, and especially that all the mail facili-

tics should be given to them. This Richmond mail, it is said, does not, without the proposed new arrangement, go last enough by a day or two. A Senator near me says twelve hours. The Post-master General and this Virginia railroad company dispute about the sum of \$3,000, or thereabouts, and a wonderful fuss and rumpus is made. It is a terrible affair, and Congress are invoked to pus is made. It is a terrible affair, and Congress are invoked to cere et the Pestmaster General to yield to the corporation. I am told this resolution is for the henefit of my State, in common with all the southern States. Every thing, I am told, is to he of great ndvantage to the South, but it never turns out so. I don't value the henefit to Florida a fig. What difference does it make to us, whether we get our mails two days less or two days more, in 10, 15, 20, or 30 days? It is of very little consequence. I am quite certain it is of no benefit to those Floridians who don't get any must at ly if or instance, in the whole country thow Tamping, at Manitee, Sarasota, Miami, or the islands and keys, and Key West.—
West ver millions more influence for free mail securine; number at all; for instance, in the whole country is low Tampa, at Manice, Sarastan, Miami, or the islands and keys, and Key West.—You pay millions upon millions for foreign inal seamers running every where, all sorts of splendid arrangements for the Atlautic cities and middle and eastern States, but the Arla and extending the states of the states and respect to the middle Nates, and the States at the extremities are related a few thousand dollars for necessary mails. I am told it is worse in Texas, than it is in Flavida. I was hay friend the Senate what he caid me the other day about the mails there. I feel that the present state of things is a great grievance, and I have pretty much made up my mind, though I may change it, that so far as any vote of mine goes, unless there is a little more justice and equality dispersal to my State in it all the more justice and equality dispersal to My State in the middle States and equality dispersal to my State in the middle States are so urgent at every session, while the extremities are entirely neglected. I do not blame the Postmaster General so much on his subject as I do Congress. We are denied the laws necessary to coerce the Post Office Department to do us justice. The petitions of our citizes and resolutions of our legislature are never reported upon. They sleep in the committees unnoticed. I have been told also that one reason why we are denied our mails is, that the co-pound of the reports of the observation of the theorem and the state of the observation of the repoiles of the observation of the third of the observation of the relation of the results of the observation of the relation of the observation of the relation of t reason why we are denied our mails is, that the corporation manopies of the middle and eastern States exhaust so much of the resources of the department that it cannot aid us. This argument is important in this case. The sam required in this case to increase the speed, or expedite the mail twelve hears between this and Richmond, would give us a semi-monthly mail to Key West for a whole year, and I am in favor of its being so applied, as being the most useful application. As long as ell the revenues of the department are expended about the Atlantic cities and about the centre of the government, the people in the States at the extreminies will be neglected. I wish to witness some apparent equality and fair play in this mail business. I shall vote against the resolutions for these reasons.

Mr. RUSK.—The law recognizes that a mail shall be transported regularly between New Orleans and Galveston, Texas, and it has been earried under a contract which permitted the contractor to carry it or not, as he chose. I would complain not of the law, for that has made ample provision for the transportation of the mails, but of an act of the Postmaster General.

The question being taken upon the adoption of the amendment, the result was as follows:

NEAS—Mestr. Allen, Alberton, Beston, Borland, Bradbury, Bress, Brght, Davis, of Micsusippi, Deckmon, Dax, Felch, Foote, Itale, Hamlin, Johnson, Ottorija, Niles, Sokshatan, Treney, and Westoott—19. XAYS—Mestr. Badger, Baddwin, Berraen, Butler, Calbonn, Cameron, Clarke, Clyston, Corrun, Davis, of Masschuttet, Inwas, Gereie, Honton, Hunter, Johnson, Off Adarphard, Johnson, of Losimana, Levil, in Branch, Maleon, Miller, Fource, Paules, Raide, Spracase, Citergona, Undersond, and Vales—27.

So the amendment was rejected.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts .- I voted against this amend-Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts—I voted against this amend-ment, and do not like to vote for the resolution, but I suppose I shall. The amendment seemed to me to be absolutely preposter-ous. In relation to the subject matter of the resolution the diffi-culty is to get any other mode than by railroad conveyance that will transmut the malls with equal despatch. If the Senator from Obio can bring blooded horses that will beat the steam horses, I am sure, for one I would senator them. am sure, for one, I would employ them even at greater expense in order to show these corporations that we were not to be imposed upon. If the sum demanded in this case is exorbitant I do posed upon. If the sum demanded in this case is exarbitant I do not see but that we are forced to give it. I give my support with some little reluctance, and because at the same time I have no means of controlling the evil until there is raised up a steam com-petition, as has been the ones on other mail routes.

Mr. HALE then offered an amendment providing that the price paid shall not be higher than is paid for similar service to other

Mr. CAMERON.—I do not approve of special legislation upon a subject of this kind, though in this perhaps it is requisite. I do not think the charge of this railroad for carrying the mail oxor bitant; neither do I in relation to the other mail routes. I think that the railroads have reduced their price, I know that the originators or first stockholders of railroads, generally have been losers. instead of gainers. The first proprietors lose; the men of capital who buy up the roads constructed and ready for use, making the money. We should view this matter in the light of a busicess transaction. In private life we pay the price asked for an article

or not, as we choose. So in this case, we may give the price asked

Mr. ALLEN—Even the Senator from Pennsylvania admits that railroads get more than they ought to have. If then they get more than they ought to have, it is quite a sufficient reason why we should not increase the amount which we are to give them. The Senature asys that railroad eompanies are like individuals, and have a right to say, "give us our price or not as you choose." And because they have list right, the government must acquiese Ano occasion day nate that any regard to justice or propriety, with not any regard to the taxed population out of whose pockets tho sams demanded are to come. Suppose we reverse the preposition and say that government has a right to employ railreads or not to employ them. The answer to this is, that, the peopl, demand that employ them. The answer to this is, that the people demand the we should employ realized so as to leave information more rapidly transmitted from one part of the enuntry to the other. So while the company has the right to carry or not as it chooses, the goverument is under the positive necessity of paying the highes price vernment is under the positive necessity of taying the highest price that may be demanded. The Senators from Massachusetts and Virguia talk in the same strain, and it would appear in this large body of able men, that no other mode of argument can be tound. If you pay, this company three handred dollars a mile this year, you will have to pay if they choose to charge it, four hundred dollars the next year, and so on through all future time. If this be a good argument, that we must pay these companies what they ask, why are they limited in their charges for the restricting the numents for levaset for feeight and travel, know-

transmission of men and ireight? The legislatures grant charters restricting the amounts to be exacted for freight not travel, knowing that otherwise the public would be liable to unjust an exercise the public would be liable to unjust an exercise the time and place to make a stand against these combinations. In the fixed maximum that we have nucle in regard to the price of mail conveyance per mile throughout the Union, we have allowed too much, nevertheless let us stand it. When overlate and on this operation, in such a reserving as the standard of the William of the W by it. We should stand, on this occasion, in such a position, as to show the people that we are interfering between the railroads and their pockets. Money does not come from the clouds, but from show the people that we are interesting between the randows and their pockets. Money does not come from the clouds, but from the pockets of the people. I have been informed that in one of the Congressional contracts that was made, seventy five thousand dollars were paid for the transmission of the mail from Mobile to dollars were paid for the transmission of the mail from Mobile to New Orleans; and that smee the expiration of that centract, the Post Office Department contracted with the same contractors on the same line, for twenty four thousand dollars. This single ex-ample shows how very incumpetent large bodies of men are to cuter into the minute details, always necessary to be looked into, of a contract. The Senator from Pennsylvania thinks that the price of transporting the mails has not been increased but diminished. I have been informed by a person sitting near, that in Virginia, upon a line where the mail was formedly transmitted for five thousand four hindred dollars, it now costs twenty-four thousand dol are. four innared dolars, it now costs twenty-iour innovand out and between the core stand against these monopolies; and go before the people with the taxes in one land, and the mail in the other. The people are willing to pay taxes for intelligence, but they are not willing to pay five dollars for one dollar's worth of intelligence. This a question between taxation and intelligence. Let the people know who are these extortioning monopolies who are taxing their pockets, and all these combinations of monopolies will be broken up. I would refer to the general contenument of all the other railroads, to show that Congress has found a criterion all the other rainroas, to show that a Congress around a Cruciny by which we can meet out justice to these railroads hereafter. Let us then stand by it. It is not the little amount of money identifies the principle, the precedent we shall establish. I move? to lay the resolution on the table, unless some other gentlemen is desirous of making some remarks. upon the question.

Mr. PEARCE .- I wish to say a few words only. The act of Mr. PEARCE.—I wish to say a few words only. The act of 1839 establishes the principle that no larger sum than three hundred dollars per mile shall be given for one or more daily lines upon a railroad. Now, this steamboat and railroad route together, by which the mail for the sake of dispatch, is now proposed to be which the mail for the sake of dispaten, is now proposed to be carried, is one hundred and thirty-one miles in length. You give to the railroad between Baltimore and Philadelphia thirty thousand dollars a year, and the distance being one hundred miles, of course they get the amount prescribed by law, three hundred dolours they get the amount prescribed by law, three hundred dolours they get the amount prescribed by law, three hundred dolours are the same than course they get the amount prescribed by law, taree hundred dollars per mile. By this now railroad route two hundred and sixty dollars per mile is asked, a smaller amount by the steamboat route, so that they get less than the maximum price or amount prescribed by law. The whole dividend declared by this company during the last ten years, amounts to less than five hundred thous and dollars, an average rate of 3f per cent. If they laws exceeded an exorbitant sum from the government littlerto, it was not exorbitant enough to pay the ordinary interest.

Mr. UNDERWOOD.—I rise for the purpose of stating that I think we should not be subjected to any extortions from corporations, similar to what the Senator from Go has referred. The line of telegraph exactables of the control of the second of Mr. UNDERWOOD .- I rise for the purpose of stating that I

stand up here in the face of the country, and protest against all such exactions. I think that we may vote for this bill without incurring the danger pointed out by the Senator from Ohio.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts.—The last ten years, I think, have developed two things in regard to postal arrangements. One is that the public expect the mails to be carried with the greatest despatch. That is a settled matter. The other is not qually as well settled. A few years since we had a great deal of controversy about the mails; expresses were established on a large number of lines, and letters were carried for five and ten-cents, which, by the government mail, would cost eighteen and twen-ty-five cents. The public mind North and East was tending very rapidly to dispense with all your postal arrangements. Now, if gentlemen mean to make despatch tardy in its progress, and expensive to the public, the result will be that the people will take this whole matter into their own hands; and you will find that a this whole matter into their own hands; and you will not take and will be passed authorizing private expresses to run upon a considerable of the property of the property of the property of the country then? How will they be ecommodated in regard to mails? These every railroads and corporations would be glad to exclude every express upon these roads that they could—to have no private expresses at all. When one man can do the business of twenty, of course the loss to the railroad must be considerable. I would guard as much as I possession of the property sibly could, against imposition, looking mainly to the great public interests of the country.

Mr. HALE.—In answer to the suggestion of the honorable Senator from Kentneky, of voting for the resolution under protest, and hidding every body to take notice of it, I apprehend the protest would not be worth mech, and that the company would be vastly obliged by his vote, and very little incommoded by the protest. For one, sir, I am going to protest against the vote. The gentlemn from Massachusetts says that certain sentiments have been gaining strength in the public mind. I would say that other been gaming strength in the public mind. I would say that other principles are gaining strength, in my estimation, far more patri-utic. When the people see an officer of the government endea-voring to discharge his duty conscientously, they will sustain him, and they will put up with a great deal of inconvenience, and be and tracy will put up when a great use of the constructions are secured to the second of the construction of the construction of the construction of the law. Where is this thing to end—the field is illimitable. As soon as we enter the field of the Postmaster General we have precedent, and may do the same in the army and navy departments. We might as well dispense with the whole ng one; if every time We might as well dispense with the whole at once, if every time one of the contracts proves disadvantageous Congress is to repu-biate the action of the heads of the departments. If the law relating to this subject is defective or inefficient, let us amend it. This action of Congress is not only an impeachment of the Postmaster General, but of Congress and the President, for it goes on the assumption that the existing laws are insufficient to goes on the assumption that the existing laws are insumetent to remedy the evil, and that the officers elected to carry them out are incompetent to fuffil their duties. This evil being general in its character, requires general action to remedy it. In reference to the statement of the bonorable Senator from Georgia, that my remarks demonstrated nothing new upon the subject, I would say that if I knew nothing about the matter, I am not entirely alone in that position, nor have I been very much enlightened by the suggestions of the honorable Senator himself. the suggestions of the honorable Senator limited. Another suggestion made is that because it is a very little extortion we ought to submit to it. May not the evil increase; and if we are compelled to pay three thousand dollars this year, are we not as likely pelled to pay three thousand dollars this year, are we not as likely to pay four or six thousand dollars next year, and so on in proportion every succeeding year? Let the action of the government be consistent with itself, its former acts, and the general administration of the laws throughout the land, and not depart, upon the currence of any little inconvenionee, from the operation of general laws, so apply special legislation to remedy it. I renew the motion of the homorable Senator from Ohio to lay on the table.

The question being taken upon this motion, resulted as follows: YEAS.—Messrs, Allen, Atchison, Atherion, Beiton, Borland, Bradbury, Breese Eight, Davis, of Mississippi, Dickinson, Dia, Felch, Foote, Hale, Hamlin, Houston, Niles, Sobatian, Turney, West-out.—20.

NAYS.—Mesars, Bailger, Baldwin, Bernen, Butler, Calhonn, Cameton, Clarke, Payton, Corwin, Dave, of Massachinette, Downs, Hannegan, Hannier, Johnson, of Louisana, Johnson, of Georgia, Lewis, Mangam, Mason Itiler, Pearce, Pheips, Rusk, Spruance, Stargeon, Underwood, Upham, Yalee.—29

So the motion to lay on the table was not agreed to.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Georgia, then offered the following amend-

"Provided the companies owning the Bay line and the James river line, now carry ig the mail, relinquish their present contract without claiming any damages to be par-y the department."

A brief conversation here took place between Messrs. Westcott, Allen, and Mason, as to the connection of the old and new contracting parties on the mail route.

ALLEN supported the amendment of the Senator from Georgia, remarking that if the old contracting party were willing as it declared it was, and as he was bound to believe, to give up as it declared it was, and as fee was sound to neiewe, to give up the contract without claiming damages, he thought it would not be opposed to having its declaration apprehed in the form of a private to the resolution. Subsequent Congresses took no notice of ser-vise to the resolution. Subsequent Congresses took no notice of ser-guments made by Senators concerning a law as precedents—of mothing but what appeared on the face of the law in general.

Mr. BRADBURY stated that the Baltimore Steam Packet Company had sent to the committee a letter, expressing their readiness to give up their contract without claiming damages; and that reference should be made to this offer in the resolution, so that this company should be hound by it, or the department re-leased from making any new contract in consequence of its re-

Mr. PEARCE read from a letter he had received from the agent of the company, stating that the board had directed him to inform the Post Office Department that they were willing to reinquish their contract without charge for damages.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Georgia, would inquire where would be the Mr. JOHNSON, of Georgia, would inquire where would be the bardship upon the company of adding the provise he had sub-mitted to the resolution? The moment the Senato passed the contract, this company would have the government in their power. When embracing one set of difficulties, he thought they should see ourselves clear of another set that was likely to impede them

No amendment being made, the resolution was reported to the

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said resolution was read a third time. On the question, "Shall this resolution pass?" it was deter-

mined in the affirmative, as follows: YFAR-Adventional and the state of the state

On motion by Mr. PEARCE, the last mentioned vote was re-considered, in consequence of an accidental omission in printing

On motion, the vote ordering the resolution to a third reading

was also reconsidered. The resolution was then amended, on the motion of Mr.  $P_{\texttt{EARCE}}$ , so as to conform to the original; and it was

Ordered. That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said resolution was read a third time.

Resalred, That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

On motion.

The Senate adjourned.

# TUESDAY, JUNE 20, 1848.

#### CREDENTIALS

Mr. FELCH presented the credentials of the Hon. THOMAS FITZGERALD, appointed a Senator by the Governor of the State of Michigan to supply the vacancy occasioned by the resignation of the Hon. Lewis Cass; which were read, and the oath prescribed by law was administered to Mr. FITZGERALD, and he took his

#### REPORT FROM THE WAR DEPARTMENT.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a report of the VICE FIRESIDENT laid before the Senate a report of the Secretary of War made in compliance with a resolution of the Senate showing the number of recruits who have been enlisted in the army for and during the war with Mexico, within the last ninety days; which was read.

Mr. PEARCE presented the memorial of Emily Maria Pink-ney, daughter of a Naval officer who was drowned while in the discharge of his duty, praying to be allowed a pension; which was referred to the Committee on Naval Aflairs.

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of La., it was

Ordered, That the petition of Margaret Duval, and the petition of Littleton Bailey, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee on Private Land Claims.

On motion by Mr. UNDERWOOD, it was

Ordered, That William Nation and Rachael Davis, have leave to withdraw their petition and papers.

### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following Message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. CAMPBELL, their elerk:

Mr. Prendent: The House of Representatives have passed bills of the following ties, in which they request the concurrence of the Senate:

An act giving further time for satisfying claims for bounty lands and for other pur

As act further to extend the time for locating Virginia military land warrants and turning surveys thereon to the General Land Office.

As act to regulate the exchange of certain documents and other publications of

An act to regulate the postage on newspapers, and for other purp I um directed to inform the Senate that, in the absence of the Speaker, by reason of the senate the House of Representatives have this day made choice of the Hou. Anthi-TREM BURY, one of the representatives from the State of South Carolina, as Speaker

On motion by Mr. RUSK, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on Military Affars be discharged from the further consideration of the memorial of Albert Pine.

Mr. RUSK, from the Committee on Military Affairs, to whom was referred the hill from the House of Representatives, for the relief of Dr. A. G. Henry, of Illinois, reported it without amend-

Mr. MASON, from the Committee of Claims, to whom the following bills from the House of Representatives were referred

Ac act for the relief of B. O. Taylor, An act for the relief of John H. Baker,

reported the same without amendment.

# ADVERSE REPORTS.

Mr. MASON, from the same Committee, to whom was referred the petition of Arnold Nandain, submitted an adverse report. which was ordered to be printed.

Mr. MASON, from the same Committee, to whom was referred the hill from the House of Representatives for the relief of Mrs. Mary B. Penner, reported the same without amendment, and submitted a special report on the subject; which was ordered to he printed.

Mr. UNDERWOOD, from the same committee, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of Almedius Scott, reported the same without amendment, and submitted a special report, which was ordered to be printed.

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the same committee, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of H. D. Johnson, reported it without amendment, and submitted a special report on the subject, which was ordered to be printed.

## PRIVATE BILLS.

Mr. UNDERWOOD, from the same committee, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of the widow of Elijah Bragdon, deceased, reported it without amendment

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the same committee, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of Eleanor B. Watkins, widow of Gassaway Watkins, reported the same with amendments, and suhmitted a report on the subject which was ordered to be printed.

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the same committee, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of Samuel A. Grier, reported it with an amendment, and submitted a report on the subject, which was ordered to be printed.

#### PRESENTATION TO FRANCE

Mr. PEARCE, from the Committee on the Library, reported a joint resolution authorizing the presentation to the government of France of a series of the standard weights and measures, which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and no amendment being made, it was peorted to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said resolution was read a third time by unanimous consent Resolved. That it pass, and that the title thereof he as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Scorctary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

#### HOUSE BILL REFERRED.

The bill from the House of Representatives giving further time for satisfying claims for bounty lands, and for other purposes was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

#### VATTEMARE'S SYSTEM OF NATIONAL EXCHANGES.

The bill from the House of Representatives to regulate the exchange of certain documents and other publications of Congress, was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent.

Mr. PEARCE remarked that the subject had already been un der consideration with the joint Committee on the Library, and there was no necessity for a reference of the bill. He hoped, therefore, that the Senate would take up the bill and act upon it

The Senate proceeded to consider said bill as in Committee of the Whole; and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate

Ordered, That it pass to a third reading.

The said bill was read a third time, by unanimous consent. Resolved, That this hill pas-

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representa-

### BOUNTY LANDS.

Mr. BREESE, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives giving further time for satisfying claums for bounty lands, and for other purposes, reported it without amendment.

The Senate proceeded to consider said bill us in Committee of the Whole; and no amendment being made, it was reported to the

Ordered. That it pass to a third reading.

The said hill was read a third time by unanimous consent.

Resolved. That this bill pa Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representa tives accordingly.

## HOUSE BILL REFERRED.

The bill from the Honse of Representatives further to extend the time for locating Virginia Military Land warrants, and re-turning surveys thereon to the General Land Oilfice, was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

The bill from the House of Representatives making appropriations for certain fortifications of the United States for the year ending the 30th of June, 1849, was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Finance.

The bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of the legal representatives of Joshua Kennedy deceased, was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

The bill from the House of Representatives to regulate the post age on newspapers, and for other purposes, was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Post Office and Post Roads.

The bill from the House of Representatives to provide for applications for the renewal of patent rights in certain cases, was r the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Patents and the Patent Office.

#### PREVENTION OF THE IMPORTATION OF ADULTERATED DRUGS.

On motion by Mr. DIX, the prior orders were postponed and the Senate preceded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill from the House of Representatives prohibiting the importation of adulterated, deteriorated and misnamed medicines.

Mr. DIX moved to amend the bill, by striking out all after the Mr. DIX moved to amond the bull, by striking out all all the enencting clause and inserting the bill on the same subject reported from the Committee on Commerce of the Senate. He said the bill before the Senate was passed by the House of Representatives, I believe, without opposition. It was unanimously resentatives, I believe, without opposition. It was unanimously ported by a select committee of seven members of that body, were also members of the medical profession. Before that bill was reported, a similar bill had been reported by the Committee on Commerce of the Senate. This bill I propose to substitute for the other; it differs in some respects, though not very materially, in its main provisions; and the committee are of opinion that it is, in some respects, better in its phraseology. I, therefore, move to strike out all of the bill after the enacting clause, and insert the substitute, which I will send to the Secretary's table. The bill has been long on our files, and, I presume, Senarors are familiar with its provisions. Unless it is necessary, therefore, I will not take up the time of the Senate for a moment, for the purpose of explaining it. I will only add that the bill was reported by me as Unless it is necessary, therefore, 1 will not explaining it. I will only add that the bill was reported by me as chairman of the Committee on Commerce, under its instructions, and with my concurrence.

Mr. DICKINSON .- I do not intend to vote against this bill. DIV. DICKINSON.—I do not intend to vote against this bill. bill I cannot refrain from the expression of my aversion to this species of legislation. Nor do I believe that the passage of the bill will prevent the evil for which it is intended to be an effectual remedy. The material for the manufacture of these adulterated drugs will be sent here, and the only difference will be that they will be compounded on this side, instead of the other side of the water. To make the bill effectual, it should provide that these drugs should not be compounded here—that no apothceary should sell them-no physician prescribe them, and no patient swallow them! I apprehend the passage of the bill will be of very little

Mr. DIX .- Mr. President ; This subject was brought before the Senate by a large number of medical gentlemen in different parts of the country, by colleges of medicine and pharmacy, and by joint resolutions of the Legislature of the State of Mississippi.

When the subject was first presented, I confess I had great doubt about the propriety of legislative interference upon the gen-eral principle that trade should be as little restricted as possible, and that the keen-sightedness of private interest would always reject what is false and worthless, and put the proper estimate on what is genuine and valuable. But on further consideration, and especially on becoming acquainted with the circumstances out of which the application arose, I became satisfied that legislation was not only proper but necessary to guard life and health against the dangers resulting from the use of medicines which are either wholly counterleit or spurious, or which are so deteriorated by age or adulterations, as to be unfit for medical purposes.

The committee understand that, for a number of years, such medicines have been imported in large quantities, and that the evil is constantly increasing. They understand, also, that in most countries in Europe it is unlawful to administer medicines which countries in Europe it is uniawini to administer menucures when are either not genuine, or which, in point of purity and strength, fall below the established standards in those countries. I have not had time to examine the laws of other countries excepting those of Great Britain, where I find very restrictive regulations in force in relation to the business of apothecaries.

As long ago as under James I. certain persons were incorporated, under the name of "The Master, Wardens, and Society of the Art and Mystery of Apothecaries of the city of London," with the Art and Mystery of Apothecaries of the effect of London," With perpetual succession, Sc. This corporation had certain powers in respect to the examination of drugs and medicines in apothecaries' shops. With certain modifications these powers were continued in force by the net of 55 George 3, chap. 199, passed in 1815. They authorized the society, or persons nominated by them and properly qualified to go into any apotheeary's sliop, to scarch, survey, prove, and determine whether any medicines, simple or compound, were "wholesome, meet, and fit for the cure, health, and case of his Majesty's subjects," and if found "false, unlawful, deceiful, stale, unwholesome, corrupt, pernicious, or hurtinl." the statute required that the said medicines should be burnt or destroyed, and required that has an incutines should be a thin of tastyet, and the persons having them in possession were subjected to certain fines and ponalties specified by the act.

The consequence of these restrictions in other countries is, that inferior and adulterated drugs and mediaines are sent to the

United States, as we have no custom-house regulations to prohibit or prevent their importation. The evil has at length become so great, that it has led to the extensive and highly respectable applications to Congress, on which the action of the committee was ounded. And, I may add, that scarcely a week has passed during

the last two months without bringing some new complaint.

The complaint of the memorialists was not limited to the fact The compinant of the memorialists was not Emilied to the last that the United States appeared to have become a receptable for refuse and spurious drugs; but it stated, aso, that a system of adulteration in the preparation of medicines had grown up abroad, with the express purpose of introducing them into the United States. At my request, the officer who is charged with the examination of imports of this character, with a view to ascertain the drug to be asserted on them Greniched me with cases. the examination in imports of this character, with a view to ascer-tain the duty to be assessed on them, formished me with a state-ment, which is too long to be read, but from which I will give, from my recollection, some facts touching the most striking of these deceptions and frauds—for such, I think, they may be properly termed.

He stated that he had, in the period of three months preceding the last of March, passed about 7,000 pounds of rhubarb root, wholly unfit and unsafe for use. Some of it was deteriorated by age, some worm-eaten, and some wholly deprived of its virtues by age, some worm-earn, and some whonly deprived of its virtues of decoction, for the purpose of making the extract. It was invoiced at a cost varying from 5 to 14 cents, while the East India rbubarb varies from 35 to 45 cents the pound, and the Russia and Turkey rbubarb from \$1.25 to \$2.50 the peund.

The Peruvian bark, one of the most useful medicines in all parts of the country, and particularly in those which are subject to intermittent fevers, is imported in large quantities of inferior value. It is bought up at auction, sent to drug mills, in which it is ground and pulverized, and its sensible qualities imitated; and in this form it is administered, to the sacrifice of health, and sometimes form the administer of the second section of the second se worthless by the artificial extraction of its medicinal virtues. The medicinal extracts are also imported in a very impure state. They were formerly "prepared with great eare, and of uniform strength," but they are now not only made from refuse and inferior drugs, but greatly adulterated"—not possessing balf the proper efficacy of the pure.

I will only detain the Senate by referring to two other medicinal oreparations-the blue pill and the sulphate of quinine, or salts of Peruvian bark-the former, in general use in all parts of the Union, and especially in the warmer latitudes, and the latter in the newly settled districts in the west.

The blue-pill consists of 33½ per ct. of mercury, in combination with conserve of roses and extract of liquorice in like proportions. Large quantities are imported in an adulterated state; and, according to an analysis by Professor Reid, of the New York college

| marmacy, they are round        | (0 00 | greati |  |     |
|--------------------------------|-------|--------|--|-----|
| Mercury,                       |       |        |  | 7.5 |
| Earthy clay,                   |       |        |  | 27  |
| Prussian blue, -               |       |        |  | 1.5 |
| Sand, in combination with cla- | Σ.    |        |  | 2   |
| Soluble saccharine matters,    |       |        |  | 34  |
| Insoluble organic matters, -   |       |        |  | 15  |
| Water,                         |       |        |  | 16  |
|                                |       |        |  |     |
|                                |       |        |  |     |

The mereury and the earthy clay—the former being little more than one-fourth of the latter—are equal to about the quantity of mereury in the genuine blue-pill mass; so that the adulterated has only about one-fifth part of the proper proportion of mercurv

Let me now say a word about the sulphate of quinine, or salts of Peruvian bark, in which our friends in the fever and ague districts are so deeply interested. There are said to be various modes of adulteration. The most common is to combine it with salicine of adulteration. The most common is to combine it with sameine or salts of the willow bark, which possess similar properties, but are greatly inferior in strength. They bear a strong resemblance, and are not readily distinguished from each other, except by an experienced druggist, though I believe the two salts are distinguishable by the difference in the forms of crystallization. It is represented that they are put up in the same manner as the generating of the same in the same of the same in the same of the same in uine, and with the names of some of the most distinguished and honorable foreign manufacturers fraudulently labelled on them. Whon the western practitioner administers these spurious prepar ations, he is astonished at the obstinacy of the disease, when, if he knew the truth, his astonishment would all be bestowed upon the extent of the fraud practised on him and the public. In or the extension and train practised on annual the public. In order to produce the desired results, he is compelled to give spoonfuls where he should give grains. In this process the disease, perhaps, gets beyond his control, or is a gravated by the very prescriptions which were designed to relieve it.

scriptions which were designed to relieve it.

I have referred to but very few of the articles which are imported in an adolterated state, or under spurious names. Titce is an extensive catalogue which I have not touched. The only question is whether the legislative authority should be interposed to prevent their importation. If so, it has been asked, why it should not, on the same principle, be invoked to prevent the imtensive their control of the prevent their than a part distinction may be made howeven them. The preparation of madelines is a matter of science. The principal countries of Europe have their standards, to which all homorable panafica of Europe have their standards, to which all honorable manuface

turers conform. The ingredients, which are combined to form a particular preparation, must be uniform in purity and strength, to otherwise they cannot be prescribed in given quantity with any certainty of particular properties of the properties o

the adulterations.

Such, Mr. President, are some of the evils the bill is intended to guard against. And with this explanation of its objects, and of the circumstances under which the attention of the Committee of Commerce has been directed to the subject, the whole matter is respectfully submitted to the judgment of the Senate.

Mr. DAVIS, of Missisippi.—The subject of this bill has excited a great deal of interest in the State which I have the honor in part to represent. It provides against an evil which has been long felt, and which has been increasing in magnitude. So serious has the evil become, that in one of the principal towns of the State physicians have associated themselves together in the establishment of an apothecary's shop, at which alone their prescriptions are made up. The leaf is notorious, that adulterated drags are prepared in Europe expressly for the American market. At a large establishment in Belgium the subplate of quinnies is manufactured in an adulterated form in immense quantities, and sort because the properties of the subject of

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts.—The substance of the bill has been recommended by the most distinguished physicians and apothecarres in the country. If the manufacture of adulterated drugs be commenced here, it can be dealt with by our domestic legislatures. Let the general government, in the meantime, do all it can as far as the subject is exclusively under its centrel.

Mr. BORLAND.—I feel very desirons that this bill should pass; and I rise merely for the purpose of adding my approval of the views presented by the Senators who have advocated it. There is perhaps some propriety in the expression of my approbation of the bill, inasmuch as a good many years of my life have been span in the practice of medicine, and I have thus had frequent opportunities of observing the magnitude of this evidence of the state o

Mr. CALHOUN.—I approve entirely of the object of this bill, but I must say that I have little confidence in its effecting the object intended, and may in some respects do harm. The evil can be remedied only in the manner alluded to by the Senator from New York [Mr. Dix.] as has been done in Europe, by making the vendors of the article responsible. All other attempts will fail, and the public mind will be hilled into false security. The people supposing that our action has effectually remedied the evil, will be impleed upon perhaps more than ever.

Mr. DIX intended to have said when he was up before, that this subject was before a national convention of medical gentlemen from all parts of the country, held in Baltimore about two months ago. They were unanimously in favor of this measure from their practical knowledge of existing evils, and their only objection to

it was that it was not sufficiently restrictive. The committee were of opinion, however, that we should go no farther than the provisions of the bill.

provisions of the only.

In respect to a suggestion from the Senator from South Carolina, he would only say that this hill proposed only to diminish one avil, that of importing adulterated medicines. It was all the feeling government could do. Tife cvit of domestic adulterations must be reached, if they could be at all, by State legislation. The States could not reach the former ovil, and it is for this reason we propose to do what we can to remedy it.

The amendment having been agreed to, the bill was reported to the Senate, and the amendment was concurred ia.

Ordered, That the amendments be engrossed, and the bill read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time as amended, and the title was amended.

Resolved. That this bill pass with amendments.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in the amendments.

#### THE SUPREME COURT

On motion by Mr. BADGER, the prior orders were postponed, and the Senate resumed the consideration of the bill to promote the dispatch of business in the Supreme Court, and to repeal the second section of the act approved June 17th, 1844, entitled "an act concerning the Supreme Court of the United States," on its third reading; and

On motion by Mr. BUTLER, it was

Ordered, That the further consideration thereof be postponed until to-morrow.

## INDIAN APPROPRIATION BILL.

The Senate resumed the consideration of the bill making appropriations for the current and contingent expenses of the Indian Department, and for Infilling treaty stipulations with the various Indian tribes for the year ending June 30, 1849, and for other purpases.

The question pending was upon concurring in the amendment submitted by Mr. Bell, which had been agreed to in Committee of the Whole.

Mr. BELL.—I was answering the orgument of the Senater from Virginia when the Senater of journed the other day, and I was proceed using the fallesy of the honorable Senator's argument of the transport of the tra

[Mr. B. here read from a speech of the commissioner of the Indians at one of the councils that was held for the purpose of forming the treaty.]

forming the treaty.]

This was the council preceding that at which the treaty was ratified. This clause shows the per capita; it is supplies the omission; shows how the commissioner who negociate together the sion; shows how the commissioner who negociate together the council of the total o

rendered, and which has been seld for half a million of dollars, as has been stated here; for the government did not permit them to retain an acre of their land. So much for the justice of the claim,

retain an acre of their land. So much for the justice of the chain, so much for the understanding of the parties at the time the engagement was entered into; so much for the gross injustice that will be done to the Indians if you do not grant this allowance; so much for the ourrageous fraud that will be perpetrated upon the defencedess Indians by a powerful people, who make treates and then construe them according to their own will and pleasure. But it is said, that the Senate, if they admit the principle in the case, are in danger of pledging themselves to the payment of much larger sums; and that we should therefore wait and consider further before we decide. I have stated, I repeat, that these Indians have wated stready, for their just does, thirteen years, and how much longer does the Senator from Virginia wish them to wait. It is said by the Senator from New Hamshire, and requested by It is said by the Senator from New Hampshire, and repeated by the Senator from Virginia, that the Indians in North Carolina have received their equal portion of their claims for improvement, and for ferries, and for spoliations. If they have received \$1,500,000. for spoliations and improvements, then one fifth of the entire tribe that reside in North Carelina, only received \$150,000, according to the Senator's own exposition of the facts derived from the commissioner of Indian Affairs; being one-tenth of the whole sum. Every step that you advance in this investigation, shows the injustice that has been done to the Indians eas justice that has been done to the Indians east. But, sir, to whom were these allowances for improvements made? They were made to the richer and more thrifty Indians; those who had made considerable improvement—for none other were paid for—leaving the great body of the Indian family in North Carolina, without the payment of a single cent.

particular a singlet be other points at issue in the amendment. As to the payment of interest. The 12th article of the trenty stipulates, that all the personal benefits, or allowances, shall be paid to the Indians of the Chorokoe tribes that remained east, as soon as an appropriation was made for that purpose. Stifettly speaking, the interest is dee upon the commutation fund from the ate of the ratification of the treaty, or perhaps a year or two after, by way of a reasonable allowance of time, for the settlement of the accounts between the United States and all the Indians, in order to ascertain what was done, and to take the census, so as to order to ascertain what was done, and to take the census, so assertain how many Indians were determined to remain east; and, therefore, as I said, I have no objection to the proposition to reduce the interest that is to be paid. But it is said, why pay this out of the Treasury of the United States! why not pay it out of his SP42,000, the remainder of the Indian fund? Because that is already a fund that is due to the Indians. You cannot take the unferest upon a debt due to them in 35 or 40, out of a general fund, stipulated to be paid to them for their property. With what propriety can you diminish the fund that has been set apart for the propriety can you ominist the runo that has seen set apart for the hadians, by taking a part of it to pay the interest upon the whole? I think there is no plausible ground for taking the interest out of the five million fund. And I think when you came to investigate the whole question, although it would require too much time to go over all the arguments new, you must come to the conclusion upon the clearest and strengest grounds, that the money should

Mr. HUNTER .- The Senator from Tennessee supposed I had gone a little out of the way to make an attack upon the Commit-mittee on Indian Affairs. The Senator is entirely mistaken. I only asserted that this proposition is entirely out of place in the Indian appropriation bill.

come out of the Treasury.

[Mr. H. read and commented upon the 8th and 12th articles of the freaty, contending that a wrong interpretation had been upon them by the Senator from Tennessee, and the Senator from North Carolina. He maintained in reference to the word claim, that it was designed to include only those claims, for which there was a sufficient consideration; and that the consideration for a claim of this nature was the act of removal; and that it was as reasonable to permit those Indians who had received nothing for spoliations to come in and participate in the funds, as it was to allow to those who did not remove, commutation money. He went further and asserted, that according to the construction which they put upon the 12th article of the treaty, those Indians who did not remove, were placed upon a better footing than those who did remove

were placed upon a better footing than those who did remove.]
But the Senator from Tennessee has instead, that if the treaty
thd not bind the United States, to make this allowance to Hecherokees in North Carolina, we were nevertheless bound by
the assurances which had been given to them by the President and the commissioner. Sir, I pointed out when I was up
before the difference between the 14th article off the project
and the this control of the treaty. But, sir, the Senator reagainst the state of the treaty of the senator reform the state of the senator in the s Illumins, that the minimum who remained east were to make and allowance. I confess, I did not understand how it was, that this mistake could have arisen, if it was a mistake, but I think I can see how the Senator might have fallon into it. The gentleman has confounded the projet with the treaty. But I say, as I said before, I would not permit a commissioner who had excuted a treaty to come here and substantiate his understanding of cured a treaty to come here that substantiate his inderstanding of the written historian control of the substantial control of the meant another thing. If we proceed in this way it is manifest that it will hereafter be difficult to avoid applications of a similar kind. But the Senator from Temessee says, that there is no magnanimity in saying that we will deal liberally and justly. Well, sir, I do not think that any grent magnanimity is requisite. I am willing to act liberally and justly. I am willing to all that can reasonably be required. I do not say I would give them less than they are entitled to, but I object to this mode of doing it, because it is permitting a claim to be set up for removal and subsistence to which they are not entitled. But there is another objection which I think the Senator from Tenaessee has not fairly met. This till proposes to take the money out of the Treasury of the United States. Now I sak, if they are entitled of the five million fund? If we take the many contributions of the five million fund? If we take the many carried to come will not those who have a grint to come will not those who have a grint to come will not those who have a grint to come ry, will not those who have removed west, have a right to come and claim a similiar allowance? They have a better and stronger claim. I admit that the Indians who remained in North Carolina have not received so much benefit from the treaty as those who removed west, and it was the design of the treaty, that they should not. It was the design of the treaty that they should all west, and there receive lands as valuable as those in the east. But if any remained, it was intended to make a difference between them and those who removed. The Senator from North between them and those who removed. The Senator from North Carolina, and the Senator from Tennessee, seem to be ignorant as to what the North Carolina Indians have received. They sav they have received nothing, and yet I find upon a reference to a statement of the Commissioner of Indian Alfairs, that they have received about one hundred and eighty thousand dollars.

Mr. BELL.-That was under a prior treaty.

Mr. HUNTER.—It was an allowance as I understand it made under this treaty. And now, if this per capita fund is reduced by the enormous allowances for removal and subsistence to these Indians who have gone west, it is not the fault of the treaty, it is the fault of those who have managed the business so badly. I cannot believe that it was intended to give the Indians the benefit of the provisions of the treaty unless they removed.

Mr. ATHERTON moved to amend the amendment by adding thereto the following

\* Provided That the amount herein required to be funded for the benefit of the sanderokees of North Carolina, and the amount required to be paid to them shall charged to the general Cherokee fund, under the treaty of New Echota, and shall reimbursed therefrom."

Mr. NILES .- At this stage of the question, this amendment is MILES.—At this stage of the question, this amendment's undoubtedly proper. The allowance is claimed upon several grounds, and as one ground in particular it is claimed under the provisions of the treaty, and upon that ground, the amendment is proper. The United States have kept this fund in trust, and when the matter ultimately comes up for settlement we may have to add to fund, but inasmuch as this application is made upon the ground of the treaty, in that view of it, it is certainly a proper and satisfactory ground. I hope the amendment will be adopted.

Mr. BELL .- I want to state in regard to this whole question, MI. BELL.—I want to state in regard to mis whole question, that I have no other desire than that justice shall be done to these Indians, and to the government. With regard to taking the interest out of the remainder of the five million fund which is now reduced it appears to \$184,000, it would absorb the principal part of the fund out of which they are entitled to receive ita. It is their fund already; and supposing the Indians that re-main to be but 1250, when this sum comes to be divided amongst after taking out the interest they will have but some 10 them, after taking out the interest hay a man at 12 dollars a piece about one fourth part of what they are entitled to as commutation money. The Senator may vote to take it out of this fund, but it is only robbing one fund to pay another. the Senate choose to adopt the amendment, I can only say that I have discharged my duty in laying before them the claims of these Indians. It is in the power of the Senate to manage it in this way if they think proper, but it is certainly an extraordinary mode of discharging our obligations. In pay to these Indians interest out of their own money

Mr. PHELPS.-I have listened with a good deal of attention, and it has struck me that if the proposition should be adopted, the money must necessarily be taken out of the Indian fund. nator from Tennessee insists, not so much upon the construction naror from Tennessee insists, not so made upon the construction of the treaty, as he does upon the consideration that the treaty was misrepresented to the Indians; that they were doceivel. But certainly if the Indians understood that communication was to be allowed them, they certainly must have understood that it was to allowed them, they certainly must have understond that it was to come out of this intol. I confess I can see no possible grounds upon which this should be made a charge on the I reasury of the United States. On the contrary I can see many very satisfactory reasons why it should not be. If you make an allowance to one portion of the portion of the tribe out of the Treasury, you will be called upon when other allowances are granted to pay them from the sume source. With respect to the allowance to these Indians who remained, the condition at which I have arrived my own mind, is, that allowance the Vinied States undertook to Here is a stipulation that certain allowance is to be given on condition that they remove The removal being a condition praced dent. The stipulation must be taken with the condition. It cannot be converted into an absolute grant of so much money, is to be made absolute only by complying with the condition. is to be made absolute only by complying with the condition.—
This seems to me to be the true interpretation. But it is said the trenty enumerates all the benefits, including their improvements, and per capita. What does this term per capita mean? Why, most unquestionably, as the atterney general tells you in his opinion, a division of the residue of the funds, after compensation has been made for expenses incurred. But it was said that the paojet or draft of the treaty furnishes a true interpretation of the understanding of the negotiators. The draft enumerated, not only the per capita, but the allowance for removal and subsistence. But it is said that certain representations were made at the time of negotiating the treaty which we were now called upon to substan-tiate. But if we are to be governed by the treaty at all, we must take it as the Senate ratified it. I cannot, for one, lose sight of the dangerous tendency of legislating, not upon the treaty as rati fied by the Senate, but for the purpose of carrying into effect the subsequent explanations of intention as certified to by any individual however respectable. I am willing, as I doubt not the whole Senate are, to do justice to those Indians if any injustice has been done them; but I am not willing that it shall be done in nas neen done them; but tain not witting that it shall be oble in this way. I dislike to have such an appropriation inserted in an appropriation bill, and have it sustained upon general considera-tions of previous injustice to the Indians.

I do not consider this a fit subject to be engrafted upon an ap-

100 not forsible units a its suggest to be engiance upon an appropriation bill. It is a case which very few seem to understand: propriation bill. It is each seem to be a question of a coupled character growing out the whole course of accottation with these Lidians. Let it they stand by itself. Let it come up at a proper time, when the South at the can enter into its examination, and decide upon its merits irrate can enter into its examination, and decide upon its merits irrate can enter into its examination, and decide upon its merits irrate.

respective of any other subject

Mr. ATHERTON .- I mercly wish to say, in regard to the interest provided for by the amendment of the Senator from Ten nessee, who objects to its being paid out of the Cherokee fund Senator from Tenthat there is no reason that can operate against the payment of the interest out of that fund, if interest is to be paid at all. My the interest out of that find, if interest is to be paid at all. My amendment does not embrace the question whether interest is to be paid, but if interest is to be paid, it provides that it shall be paid out of that find. If this amount is due to the North Carolina Indians, it is due upon the ground of the treaty. And if interest is also due, there is no reason why the interest should not be paid out of the Cherokee fund, as well as the principal itself—There is no pretace that the treaty provided that this sam for removal and subsistence should be paid out of the Pressury. Est were given to the Indians that it should be paid out of the Treas, sury? Not at all. In all the proceedings of the government in relation to this matter, it is distinctly kent in view that this allrelation to this matter, it is distinctly kept in view that this allowance is to come not out of the Treasury, but out of the Cherokee fund; and the additional appropriations that had been made, were made upon the condition that they were to be in full for all the claims the Indians might have. They were voluntary grants, not required by the treaty, but given merely to induce the Indian probably to remove. This claim has been submitted to various Secretaries of War, and their opinions have been uniform against it.

Mr. BELL.—Having offered this proposition to the Senate. I might, according to forensic usage, have the privilege of replying. But I shall not take up the time of the Senate. I merely wish to say that both the Senator from New Hampshire and the Senator from Vermont have totally denied the ground upon which I place my amendment, and I think I have a right to ask my honorable friend, the Senator from Vermont, who is a member of the Com-mittee on Indian Allairs, whether he has paid the slightest attention to the subject until this discussion arose

Mr. PHELPS .- The only information I have derived is from what has taken place here recently.

Mr. BELL .- I wish further to state, that the Senator is mistaken in regard to every leading fact or argument upon which his position is founded. The Senator says that he understood this ex-

30TH Cong.-1st Session-No. 94.

ense of removal and subsistence was to be paid out of the Indian pense of removal and subsistence was to be paid out of the Indian fund, and the Senator from New Hampshire still persists in argu-ing the question upon that ground, notwithstanding that, upon three separate occasions, I have read authority to show that that was not the understanding.

Mr. PHELPS .- I said I understood that the expense of removal was to be paid out of the Indian fund, because the 15th article of the treaty expressly so stated. I presume that if the Indians are competent to enter into a treaty, they must be bound by it.

Mr. ATHERTON.-The agreement that was signed by Mr Thomas and the Indians themselves, recognizes the fact that the Thomas and the Indiana institutely-specognizes use new time in money for removal and subsistence was to come out of this fund. I have a letter from the Secretary of War to General Scott, who was engaged in the runs of the Indiana, in which it stated, that the expense was to come out of the fund, and that in no event would the Expective deem it expedient to recommend to Cogress

Mr. BELL .- That is not at all contradictory of what I said.

The question being put upon agreeing to the amendment to the

Mr. ATHERTON demanded the yeas and nays, which were ordered, and it was determined in the negative as follows:

YEAS — Messes, Atherion, Braino, Boriand, Braidony, Resee, Right, Davis, of Mossbapp, Dekinson, Day, Down, Foote, Handar, Hanter, Johnson, of Ga, Marshand, Day, Chandar, Hanter, Johnson, of Ga, Marshand, Chandar, Garante, Hander, Cathe, Crowin, Davis, NAYS. Messes, Balley, Riddon, Bell, Bertin, Baller, Clarke, Crowin, Davis, of Masschmotte, Green, Hale, Honston, Johnson, of Md., Johnson, of La., Lewis, Mangam, Miller, Rask, Spranser, Underwood, Updam—20.

The Senate being equally divided, the Vice President deter-mined the question in the negative. On the question to concur in the amendment of Mr. Bell, as

agreed to in Committee of the Whole-Mr. ATHERTON demanded the yeas and nays, which were ordered, and it was determined in the affirmative as follows:

YEAS.—Mesre, Badger, Baldwin, Bell, Benton, Berrien, Butler, Clarke, Corwin, Davis, of Mass., Droms, Greene, Hale, Honton, Johnson, of Mas, Johnson, of Park, Clarke, Corwin, Grand, Gradbar, Perender, Grand, Gradbar, Frees, Bright, Patris, of Mess, Dickstoon, Dr., Felch, Foote, Hamlin, Hunter, Johnson, of Ga., Mayon, Fhelps, Stargon, Turney, Westcott, Yeles—20.

The question recurring on concurring in the amendment of Mr. SEBASTIAN, as agreed to in Committee of the Whole, it was determined in the affirmative.

Mr. HANNEGAN submitted an amendment, authorizing the Secretary of War to issue certain certificates to the Pottawattamies, which was agreed to.

Ordered. That the amendments be engressed, and the bill read a third time

Mr. ATHERTON objected to the third reading.

The PRESIDING OFFICER stated that it did not require the unanimous consent of the Senate for the third reading of the bill on this day.

Upon the question-"shall this bill be now read a third time?" it was determined in the affirmative.

The said bill was read a third time.

The question being on the passage of the bill-

On motion.

The Scuate adjourned.

# WEDNESDAY, JUNE 21, 1848.

#### REPORT FROM THE TREASURY DEPARTMENT

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Sanate a report of the Secretary of the Treasury, made in obedience to a resolution of the Senate, showing the amount of hospital money received at each of the custom houses of the United States, in each year from 1833 to 30th June, 1847; and the amount expended in each year for the seme period; which was read and ordered to be printed.

#### PETITIONS.

Mr. ATCHISON presented a petition of citizens of Missouri, praying the establishment of a mail route from Sand Hill to Kinksville in that State; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, presented the memorial of L. Warrington, for himself and the officers and crew of the United States sloop-of-war Peacock, praying the payment of certain prize money due them and improperly retained in the Treasury; which was referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

#### COURT OF INQUIRY AT SALTILLO, MEXICO.

Mr. MANGUM submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to:

constructed by unnotingous consent and agreed to :

Basines. That he Product be required to communate to the Senate the proceeding of the control require which convened at Sabilio, Mexco. January 12th,
1885, and which was constituted for the purpose of delianing this floramon relative
to an alleged mutthy in the camp at Buena Vista, Mexco, on or about the 16th of
Senata, 1876, which lead to the death of one of the soldner, by the hand of Codomi
in the fact connected with the dishonorable duchange of certain officers of the North
Carolina wouldness.

THE PRIVATE CALENDAR.

Mr. MASON submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to:

Resolved. That Saturday next, the 34th of June, he set apart for the consideration private bills, and that at one o'clock P. M. on that day the Securite will proceed to be coasileration of those bills to the exclusion of all other lusures.

PRIVATE BILL.

Mr. BRIGHT, from the Committee on Revolutionary Claims, to whom was referred the petition of the legal representatives of George Gibson, deceased, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for their relief.

The hill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

ADVERSE REPORT.

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom was referred the petition of John B. Luce, submitted au ad-verse report, which was ordered to be printed.

### PRIVATE BILLS.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Lonisiana, from the Committee on Pensions, to whom the following bills, from the House of Representatives, were referred:

An act for the relief of James Fugate

An act for the relief of Samuel Grav.

Ap act for the relief of John Hibbert.

Ah act for the relief of Lewis Hastings An act for the relief of Eliphias C. Brown

reported the same without amendment.

DISCHARGE AND RECOMMITTAL.

On motion by Mr. BUTLER, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on the Judiciary be discharged from the consideration of the bill for the relief of John Milhkin and othors, to secure rights of pre-emption in the State of Louisiana, and for other purposes, and that it be recommitted to the Committee on Public Lands.

### PRIVATE BILL.

Mr. BERRIEN, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom was referred the petition of James Chapman, administrator of Thomas Chapman, submitted a report, accompanied by a bill, for the relief of the legal representatives of the late Thomas Chapman, formerly collector of the port of Goorgetown, South

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

REDUCTION OF POSTAGE.

Mr. NILES, from the Committee on the Post Offic and Post Roads, reported a bill to reduce the rates of postage; which was read and passed to the second reading.

Mr. NILES said: Mr. President, I am authorized and directed by the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads to report the bill which I hold in my hand for the reduction of the rates of post bill which I hold in my hand for the reduction of the rates of postage. It is a measure to which the public mind has been directed
of late, one perhaps second to no other in importance, and, if enried out, cannot fail of being productive of the most beneficent results to the whole people. We have legislated for the army and
anyy, for war and for peace, for futurity and posterity, for New
Mexico and California, and not forgetting in our discussions the
interests of Mexico and Yustan. But what have we done to advance the convenience or the interests of the people in their private, social, or commercial relations?

Without intending to go into a discussion of measure at this time I propose to state some facts, accompanied with a few sugges-tions, to go before the public with the bill, my principal object be-ing at this time to call attention to the measure, to call out the public judgment upon its merits. I shall not probably sake for the final action upon it at this session, now drawing to a close, but shall hope to obtain for it the thororable consideration of Congress

at its next session, sustained, as I am quite sure it must be, by the powerful influence of an culightened public opinion.

Had it not been for the existence of a war, bringing a heavy charge upon the Treasury, with a revenue depending mainly upon loans, I should have felt it a duty to have brought this measure to the attention of the Senate early in the session, and endeavored to the attention of the schake early in the essential, and colorative to have obtained its sanction to it; but whilst the war continued, with an increasing debt, I could not consent to throw additional burdens upon the Treasury, as this hill may temporarily, even for the attainment of a boon so important to the whole people as I believe this measure will prove to be.

But, the war being ended, I now, by the direction of the com-

mittee, bring forward the measure ; but as the finances are unsettled, as neither the exsenditures nor the revenue, on the return of peace, can for some time be known, we do not propose to have the

peace, can for some time be known, we do not propose to have the law go into operation until July, 1849.

This bill is simple in its provisions, and is substantially the pen-ny postage system. It establishes three cents as the uniform postage on letters not exceeding half an ounce in weight, and the same sum for every additional half ounce or fractional part thereof, the postage to be prepaid, and if not so prepaid the postage to be five

The postage on newspapers, pamphlets, and other printe Let y which has always been complicated, is somewhat reduced and greatly simplified by adopting a uniform principle of taxing weight, discontinuing all distinctions between newspapers and other periodicals, or hetween periodicals and non-periodicals. Newspapers and all other publications are to be changed one cent postage for any distance, when the weight does not exceed one ounce, and the same for every additional ounce or fractional part thereof. Newspapers of the common size, such as the two principal with the common size, such as the two principals of the common size, such as the two sizes of the common size, such as the two sizes of the common sizes of t par papers in this city, weigh a trifle short of an ounce. par papers in ans city, weigh a trunc short of an onnee. It is this general rule there is one exception intended to favor the country or interior presses—reducing the charge to half a cent on papers not sent more than fifty miles from the office of publication, and not weighing over one ounce. Some of the committee had doubts as to the propriety of this exception, but it was thought best to present it for consideration.

The bill contains some provisions, not hitherto introduced into our system, which prevail in Europe, for the collection, depositing, and delivery of letters in the large cities. It is believed that by judicious arrangements these provisions may be executed with very little expense, and which must afford great conveniences, and in connexion with the low rate of postage, greatly contribute to

in connexion with the low rate of postage, greatity contribute to the increase of mail communications.

Mr. President, of the great henefits of this reform to the whole people in an enconnecial, social, and commercial point of view, no one carb doubt. Indeed, their importance can hardly be fully ap-preciated, and, in my judgment, they cannot well be over-esti-mated. This system is a near approximation to a universal fraction for a rate of postage so low that the posters presson will hardly for a rate of postage so low that the postage so will hardly my desire to have fixed the rate at 24 cents, if we had a coin of this denomination—a nearter aline, which I think desirable. But that denomination—a quarter dime, which I think desirable. But as it will be easier to descend than to ascend, we thought it best to adopt the rate of three cents at this time

The great benefits of the system being admitted, the only doubt there can be is as to its success in a financial point of view. But in this respect any more than in regard to the advantages of the in this respect any more than in regard to the advantages of the measure, it is not now to be viewed as an experiment. It rests upon a principle well established, the efficiency of which has not yet been fully developed, even in England, where the system has been in operation more than seven years. This principle is the been in operation more than seven years. This principle is the increase of consumption, or of letters bearing a certain proportion to the lowness of the charge. But the full results of this principle cannot be immediately realized; the increase goes on from year to year; and, what is remarkable, after its first and most powerful effect has been realized, the principle appears to retains its power in about the same degree, and the increase continues about the same for a series of years, and perhaps for an indefinite period. Such has been its result in England. The system has been in operation there now going on eight years. The first year the in crease was une hundred and twenty-three per cent., and for the subsequent six years from twenty to thirty per cent; rhe last year it was about twenty-three per cent.

In support of these statements, as well as to show my opinion of the importance of this great measure, I cannot forfear to read a letter from Joseph Hume, the Distinguished English reformer. It was addressed to our Minister in London, and dated the 2d March, 1848:

March, 1848:

March, 1948:

"Daan Six: I have the pleasure to send you the copy of a paper I have prepared at the request of Mr. Welds, of Booton, to show the progress of nice mass of the same way, the copy of a paper I have prepared to make the progress of the control of th

Appended to this letter is a table showing the progressive increase of letters in England since the adoption of the penny system of postage. From this it appears that in 1839, the last year of the old system, the number of chargeable letters was 76 millions; in 1840 it increased to 169 millions, 2004 millions in 1840 it increased to 169 millions, 2004 millions in 1840 to 2004 millions. The progressive increase the last year was quite equal to the average for the whole period, except the first year. And this progressive increase is the result of the new principle, as ander the old system the revenues of the post office had been nearly stationary for twenty years, amounting to about two millions and a quarter per annum. In 1847 the gross revenue fell short of what it was previous to the change to the lower the growth of the progressive increase seemen to the observation of the progressive increase seemen to the change to the nearly stationary for twenty years, amounting to about the gross revenue this year will equal, it is expected, the gross revenue this year will equal, it is expected, the gross revenue this year will equal, it is expected, the gross revenue this year will equal, it is expected, the gross revenue this year will equal, it is expected, the gross revenue this year will equal, it is expected, the gross revenue this year will enter the properties of the system of low postage in England. But the principle has by no means exhausted its power; the ratio of increase was nearly as great the last as the preceding years, excepting the first. It may, therefore, be considered as established that the low principle will, when its power is fally exerted, be as efficacions in respect to revenue as the principle of higher rates. But it requires he first in the principle of the properties of the properties of the properties of the principle will, of the principle of the properties of the principle of the properties of the principle of the properties of the principle will not the power is fally exerted, be as effi higher nates. Dut it requires time to greate the recurse its results. It has goes not necessarily and the oncrease still goes on, in a corresponding degree, with former years. In eight years the increase has been nearly five hondred per cent. The efficiency of this principle depends on the collection of the property into the mail.

into the mail.

This mostly, as far as the experiment has been tried, the result has been ried, the result has been ried, the result has been equally successful and satisfactory. The reduction by the act of 1845 was only a halfway measure. It was a reduction of about fifty per cent.; the average rate of postage at that time was about thireteen or fourteen cents, and it was reduced to two rates of five and ten cents, being an average of seven and a half. This bill will be a reduction of more than fifty per cent, upon the present rates. But the effect of an equal per cent, reduction must be much greater on the increase of lettern, as that law still to little more than a nominal sim. The act of 1845 can have had little or no effect on letters subjected to the lowest rates of post-age under the former law, as the reduction was only one and a quarter per cent, whilst the present bill will reduce that rate nearly fifty per cent.

ly fifty per cent.

ly fifty per cent.

Although the act of 1845 was but a halfway measure, and could not be regareed as introducing the principle of the low or peany system, yet its influence on consumption has been very great. The whole number of clargeable letters in 184g, as appears by the report of the Postmaster General, was 24, 267, 552, which yields a revenue of \$3,923,520. The number of chargeable letters, includes high before handred and twenty per cent in two years under the operation of the present law establishing five and ten cent. the control of the present law establishing five and ten cent. test. The revenue from letter postage last your was \$3,225,1512. the operation of the present law establishing five and ten centrates. The revenue from letter postage law year was \$2,324,512, including \$200,000 paid for the government postages, being only \$200,000 paid for the government postages, being only \$200,000 paid for the government postages, being only \$200,000 paid for the government postages. In two years, therefore, the revenue has seen of high postages. In two years, therefore, the revenue has nearly education of helf a million in the expenses of transportation, from the provisions of the act of 1815, the whole revenues the last fiscal year were quite equal to the expenses of transportation. The result of the partial reduction by the act of 1845 is much more favorable than any of the advocates of that measure at the time anticipated, and cannot but be regarded as affording the strongest evidence that the low postage will prove as successful and as efficient for revenue in this country as it has in England. That the revenues would equal the expenses of the department in two years none, when the reduction was mide, anticipated. And it is estimated by the Postmaster General that the whole revenues the

carrent year will amount to \$4,313,157, being more than an aver-

carrent year will amount to \$4,813,157, being more than an average of the revenues of the department for nine years preceding the reduction. Such has been the gratifying and truly astonishing success of the partial reduction of postage in this centry. Under these circumstances, the measure now proposed by the committee cannot be regarded as involving any great responsibility in a financial point of view. It may be assumed, however, that, for a few years, it would throw some charge upon the Treasury; perhaps the first year some half a million of dollars. I have made the following calculation, based on the same rate of increase of letters as has been realized by the reduction in 1845, although I think the increase will be found to be much greater:

of increase of rectres as an seem realized by the reduction in 1845, although I think the increase will be found to be much greater:

An increase of sixty per cent, to the number of chargenulle letters last year will make \$83,477,280 letters for the first year; which, at three cents, will yield a revenue of \$2,504,318.

Add ten per cent. for double letters, is \$2,041,318. For government postages, now allowed 200,000

\$2,729,359 For newspapers, &c. 600,000

By this calculation the letter postage would fall off the first year a little over half a million, as compared with the last year, But the increase of letters would continue for a series of years, if not indefinitely, so that the revenue would have a steady and cer-

not indefinitely, so that the revenue would have a steady and ear-tain increase, and, in all probability, would soon be found equal to the expenses of the department.

Of the immense benefits of this great measure, in a country like ours—so extensive, with a population so generally educated, and where there is so much activity and enterprise of every kind, stimulated by our expanding railroad system. It is not my present purpose to speak. All mast see that they would be great; and no one, perhaps, ean fully estimate their extent or importance. And, it may be added, that these henefits would be universally diffused, extending to all interests, to all classes, and to almost every individual in the country.

# TITLE TO LANDS IN ARKANSAS.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. SEBASTIAN asked and obtained leave to bring in a hill to revive and extend the provisions of an act entitled "an act to settle the title to certain tracts of land in the State of Arkansas," which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on

## INDIAN APPROPRIATION BILL.

The Senate resumed the consideration of the bill, (on its passage,) making appropriations for the current and contingent expenses of the Indian department, and for fulfilling treaty stipulations with the various Indian tribes for the year ending June 30, 1849, and for other purposes

At the suggestion of Mr. ATHERTON, the amendment which had been adopted upon the motion of the Scnator from Arkansas was, with his assent and the unanimous concurrence of the Scnate,

Resolved, That this bill pass with amendments

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the Houso of Representatives in these amendments.

# EXECUTIVE SESSION

On motion by Mr. HANNEGAN, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of Executive business, and after some time spent therein, the doors were again opened.

### REVOLUTIONARY PENSION BILL.

The Senate proceeded to consider as in Committee of the Whole. the hill making appropriations for the payment of Revolutionary and other pensions of the United States for the year ending 30th June, 1849: and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it pass to a third reading.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved, That this hill pass.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

# PRIVATE BILLS.

The bill granting a pension to William Pittman, was read the second time and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, it was

Ordered, That it lic on the table.

The Senate resumed as in Committee of the Whole, the emsidcration of the bill for the relief of George Center; and

On motion by Mr. YULEE, it was

Ordered, That the further consideration of the bill be postponed until to-morrow.

#### MUDICIAL BILLS.

The Senate proceeded to consider as in Committee of the Whole, the following bills:

A bill concerning testimony

A bill to change the time of holding the terms of the circuit court of the United States in the District of Maine.

States in the Detrict of Maine.

And having been amended they were reported to the Senate and

the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That they be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bills were read a third time.

Resolved, That they pass and their titles he as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Sceretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

On motion by Mr. DIX, the prior orders were postponed and the Senate proceeded to the consideration of the bill for the relief of the Society for the reformation of Juvenile delinquents, in the city of New York; and it was read the first and second times, and considered as in Committee of the Whole.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, usked an explanation of the object of the bill.

Mr. D1X made a brief statement. The bill simply proposed to release the Society in question, from a judgment of \$4,000, which they were unable to pay, and for the satisfaction of which they possessed no property that could be appropriated.

No amendment being made, the bill was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engressed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent.

Resulted, That this hill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

#### JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS.

On motion by Mr. BORLAND, the prior orders were postponed, and the Senate proceeded to coasider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill to divide the district of Arkansas into two judicial districts.

Mr. BORLAND.—I desire simply to call the attention of the Sonate to the necessity that exists for the passage of this bill. Almost all the business in the federal courts originates in the Indian country, in the western portion of the State. The court is held at present in the contre of the State, at Little Rock, and very great exposes is incurred in bringing witnesses and the parties interested from the remote part of the State to the place where the court is hold. It is proposed to divide the State into two judicial districts, and allow a court to be holden at Van Buren for the western district. It will require but one judge to hold both courts. There will have to be only the appointment of an additional marshal and district atterney, and a clerk of the court.

Mr. ATCHISON moved to strike out the fourth section of the bill. He had no objection to authorize the judge to appoint a elerk, but he did not see any reason why an additional marshal and district attorney should be appointed. It was nunceessarily increasing the expenses of the judiciary of the State.

Mr. BORLAND.—The increased expense will be but a few hundred dollars—not exceeding four hundred—for the salaries of those officers; whilst the advantages that would accrue to the public will be very great. The principal compensation to those officers is derived from fees; and they are entitled to mileage for rived. So that, if you inake one set of officers perform the duties of both courts, their mileage will amount to as much as their self-time of the frontier, it is necessary that they should a them to the frontier, it is necessary that they should a form the form of the frontier, it is necessary that they should also secured.

Mr. WESTCOTT—I should be very glad, if I could consistently with what I casceive to be right, vote for the mation of the Senator from Missouri; but I feel compelled to vote against it, upon the very ground that the Senator nerges in its favor. The striking out of that section would occasion the expenditure of several thousand dollars more every year than would be expended if it were retained. The principal business of the court arises out of the disturbances that occur on the western frontier. And under of the disturbances that occur on the content frontier, And under the second of the disturbance of several hundred miles, at a very great expense. A great preportion of this would be saved by the establishment of a separator distinct of the western part of the State. I do trust that the bill will pass without atteration; for I think it exceedingly necessary that it should pass. The only question is, whether the present marshal shall be required to perform the duty for both disastence of the distinct of the distinct of the distinct of the distinct of the duty for both disastence of the distinct of the distinct of the duty for both disastence of the distinct of the distinct of the duty for both disastence of the distinct of the

Mr. BORLAND.—I will simply remark, that personal considerations are altogether foreign to the question. As respects the present marshal, I take pleasure in saying that he is my personal

friend; but if he were ten times more my friend than he is I would not let that consideration have any influence.

Mr. HANNEGAN.—I dislike very much to interpose an objection to a proposition of my honorable friend from Arkansas, but I am constrained to enter my objection to this bill. The business of the courts in Arkansas ecrtainly cannot require such a division as the courts in Arkansas ecrtainly cannot require such a division as which are divided for judicial purposes—New York Francy Union, Virginia, Tennessee, and I believe Alabama—all of which States have a dense population. In Alissourt the jurisdiction of the district court may be said to extend even to the Rocky Mountains, and yet they have no more than one judicial district, although the population of Arkansas, yet no can has ever asked here for a division of her judicial district. The territory of Arkansas is a wide-symal territory, to be sure, but it is not more extensive than avides greater than the pullation of Indiana, and yet we have these act a tenth of the population of Indiana, and yet we have a loss and the pullation of Indiana, and yet we have a greater than the our State into judicial districts in this way, and thus increasing our expenses. It would be establishing an outrageous precedent togive to a State with a small and sparse population two distinct attorneys and two marshalls, and pay them for doing nothing—literally for doing nothing—literally for doing nothing.

Mr. BORLAND.—I am a little astonished at the violent opposion of the Senator from Indiana. He seems not to be acquaincid with the nature and extent of the business in the cours of Arterior of the second of the second of the second of the the law is more creditable to be that of the second of expense, the gentleman seems to thank that the proposed arrangement would be outrageous. If he will examine the report of the committee, and consider the matter in its true light, he would find that it would be a saving of expense—a saving of thousands of dollars to the government.

Mr. ATCHISON—It is not at all strange that the Senator from Florida should advocate this bill. It is known to every Sortron From Florida should advocate this bill. It is known to every Sortron from Florida should advocate this bill. It is known to every Sortron from Florida, not into two, but into three junesing of a bill to divide Florida, not into two, but into there junesing on the floridation of the floridation of the floridation of the floridation of the floridation objection to furnish all reasonable, facilities; I have no objection to furnish all reasonable, facilities; I have no objection to flowing them two districts; but this is my objection: I can see no reason why, if one judge can discharge the duties, one marshal and one district attorney any not. I am perfectly willing to allow the Senator all he asks in the way of facilities for holding the courts, but there can be no necessity for the additional district attorners and marshals, unless there is a necessity for additional district attorners and marshals, unless there is a necessity for additional district attorners and the marshal discharge the duties of both tisticts as well as the judge? But I am not at all satisfied of the necessity of dividing the State into two districts. When this bill was under disensision before, I called the attention of the Senate to the fact that you were giving to the district court circuit court tried to a certain amount, whilst the circuit court has jurisdiction in criminal officaces only in such cases as amount to misdementation, whilst the circuit court has jurisdiction in crivil cases the jurisdiction is limited to a certain amount, whilst the circuit court has jurisdiction in crivil cases the untillient in similar court of a certain amount, whilst the circuit court has jurisdiction in crivil cases the untillient in medicion in Mindtot a certain amount, whilst the circuit court has jurisdiction in crivil cases the untillient in medicion. You are changled the section I cannot crive in the subjection I for a certai

section I cannot give it my support.

Mr. WESTCOTT.—The Senator from Missouri says it is not surprising that I should advocate this bill, because I advocate the establishment of three districts in my own State. Now, the Senator must pay very little attention to the business which is done by the Senate. If the Senator will take the trouble to refer to the remarks I made, he will discover something of the nature of the business to be transacted by the courts in Florida, which differs somewhat from that of States in the interior. Our courts are not for us, but for citizens of the western and eastern States on the means of the states of the states of the states of the the states of the establishment of this additional district, the Senator says there is no necessity for an admirally court in Missouri. With respect to the establishment of this additional district, the Senator says there is no necessity for it. I differ from the honorable Senator entire is not examined the amount of business in that State, and I find that there is great expense occasioned by briging witnesses from the Cherokee country. Some of them have been examined the district judge could have to the federal court in eases which the district judge could have to the federal court in eases which the district judge could have to the self-time our transcribed by the court of the district judge with circuit court jurisdation.

Mr. DOWNS.—I think the Senator from Indiana and the Senator from Missouri are both greatly mistaken in regard to his matter. This bill eannot increase the expenses, and it is certainly calculated to contribute very much to the convenience of the public and to facilitate the administration of justice. The great object is, to bring the court as near as possible to where justice is to be administered. It is an established principle that the jury shall be taken from the vicinage or neighborhood, but this cannot be done when you hold the court in one center of the State. I

think that you should, in all cases, endeavor to make it as convemient as you can for the parties litigant. Instead of obliging them to run across the State, you should bring the court to them. There cannot he an objection on the score of expense; in fact, the expense will be greatly diminished, and justice will be more promptly administered.

Mr. HALE.—I would call the attention of the Senator from Missourı to one fact in relation to the amendment which he has offered. I find that the 35th section of the judiciary act passed in 1789, makes it imperative on the President to appoint a district attorney and marshal in every district, so that if this act be passed without the 4th section it will be the duty of the President to appoint those officers.

After some further observations the question being taken upon the amendment, it was, upon a division, adopted. Ayes 21, Noes 9.

The bill was then reported to the Senate, and the amendment was concurred in.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

Resolved, That this bill pass, and the title thereof be as afore said.

The said bill was read a third time.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

#### PRIVATE BILL.

The bill for the relief of the personal representative of William A. Slaeum, deceased, was read the second time, and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engressed and read a third time

The said bill was read a third time by ununimous consent.

Itesolved, That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as afore- and

Ordered, That the Sceretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# THURSDAY, JUNE 22, 1848.

# REPORT FROM THE TREASURY DEPARTMENT.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a report of the Secretary of the Treasury, accompanied by a communication from the Commissioner of the General Land Office, and a report of Dr. David Dale Owen, containing geographical explorations in the Chippewa district of Wisconsin and the northern part of Iowa; which was read and ordered to be printed.

The VICE PRESIDENT presented the petition of James W. Day, messenger to the President of the United States, praying to be allowed additional compensation; which was referred to the Committee on Finance.

#### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. CAMPBELL, their Clerk :

Mr. President: The House of Representatives have passed a full making appro-nations for the naval service, for the year ending 30th Jone, 1849; in which they quest the concurrence of the Senate.

The House of Representatives concur in the amendments of the Senate to the hill prohibiting the importation of adulterated, deteriorated, and misnamed medicines.

They have passed the bill from the Senate to amend the act entitled "An act for the regulation of seamen on board the public and private vessels of the United States," passed the 3d of March, 1843.

#### NAVAL APPROPRIATION BILL.

The hill from the House of Representatives this day received for concurrence, was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Finance

#### PRIVATE BILL,

Mr. BRADBURY, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the bill for the relief of Daniel Robinson, reported the same without amendment.

Mr. BREESE, agreeably to notice, asked and obtained leave to bring in a joint resolution for the relief of such persons as may have incurred the disability of the 23th section of the "act to change the organization of the Post Office Department, and to provide more effectually for the settlement of the accounts thereof," approved DJuly 24, 1836; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

# HOUR OF MEETING.

The Senate proceeded to consider the resolution submitted by Mr. Atherton, which was amended and agreed to as follows:

Resolved. That the hour of meeting of the Senate shall be cleven o'clock until

# SUPERINTENDENT OF THE ANTE-CHAMBER

The Senate proceeded to consider the resolution submitted by Mr. Badgea, the 9th of May, respecting the appointment of a superintendent of the ante-chamber of the Senate.

After some conversational debate-

Mr. MASON moved that the resolution lie on the table.

The question being taken, resulted: Ayes 13, Noes 14. No

The question being again stated upon the motion to lay the resolution on the table, the yeas and nays were demanded, and it was determined in the negative as follows:

YEAS—Mesor, Allen, Altston, Beaton, Bodand, Braibury, Bresse, Bught, Buda, Dir., Ilamegan, Hunter, Mason, Niles, Sebastian, Sturgeon, Turney, Westort, and Yeber Alleron, Rushey, Baldern, Bull, Berner, Galbon, Clayton, Cayron, Davas, of Missiaspip, Downs, Hamin, Johnson, of Mayland, Johnson, of Corem, Davas, of Missiaspip, Downs, Hamin, Johnson, of Mayland, Johnson, of Contingan, Mangon, Miller, Theley, Rusk, Sprinner, and Uplan—12.

The Senate boing equally divided, the VICE PRESIDENT determined the question in the negative.

Ordered, That the resolution be referred to the Committee on the Contingent Expenses of the Senate.

# ADJOURNMENT OF CONGRESS.

On motion by Mr. ATCHISON, the Senate resumed the consideration of the resolution from the House of Representatives, "That the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives adjourn their respective Houses on Monday, the 17th day of July next, at 12 o'clock, meridian.

Mr. BADGER moved to amend the resolution by adding the following:

"And that the next session of Congress he held on the next, and that all bosiness shall be resumed in the condi-close of this session."

Mr. ATCHISON suggested that the blank be filled with the third Monday in October.

Mr. BADGER remarked, that it was suggested by his friends around him, that that would be an inconvenient period. It was the time of harvest with the planters of the South; he would therefore move that the blank be filled with the second Monday in No-

Mr. ATCHISON .- I believe it is admitted on all hands, that if Congress adjourns in July, the next session of ninety days, will be too short for the transaction of the public business; and I doubt too short for the transaction of the paone used as an a very much whether, if we make the next session commence on the 3d Monday io October, we shall even then, be enabled to transact the business that will remain unfinished. It was upon this considerable to the contract the state of the Contract that the state of the contract that the state of the contract the contract that the contract the contract that the state of the contract that the contract the contract that the contract the contract that the contract that the contract the contract that the con the business that will remain minimised. It was upon the consideration that I offered a resolution some time ago, that Congress should adjourn on the first Monday in July, and meet again on the first Monday in October, and with the additional object of avoiding the heat of summer.

Mr. CALHOUN .- It is my impression that the Senate can do more husiness in one month henceforward, than in two months af-ter its next meeting. I believe this body has never permitted the business before it to go over to be revived at the next session. every point of view, it is unparliamentary and dangerous. An adjournment now, without completing the business before us, will adjournment now, without completing the business before us, will have the effect of postponing that business, not until the next ses-sion, but until the session after the next. At the next session we shall scarcely be able to do any thing at all. The only chance for us is to continue where we are, and go on with as much dispate as possible, and if we do this, I should think that within a month we might get through with the greater part of the business. I am as desiruous to return home as any one; I feel as much inconveam as desirous to recurn nome as any one; i need as much meanwenter from remaining here; but I am willing to encounter that inconvenience, for the sake of accomplishing as much as possible of the public business, whilst we have it now in a partial state of to the punno obstances, while the nave is now in a partial state of preparation before us. With regard to meeting in October, a more inconvenient season could not be named for these of us who are planters. I do hope that the resolution will be laid upon the table for the present, and that we will proceed with the business before us with all possible dispatch.

Mr. BERRIEN.-I doubt whether it would be respectful to the House of Representatives to hold this resolution in our possession without acting upon it. They have concluded by the vote which which acting a point. The most act to the word of the most appears to me, that we ought to determine a tane, whether we will concur with them or not. With respect to our incapacity to transact the husiness at the next session, it will, I think, he removed by adopting the proposition of the Senator from North Ca. relina, that the business shall be resumed at our next meeting precisely where we shall have left off at the close of this session. The objection of the Senator from South Carolina will apply to the meeting of Congress so early as the 3d Monday in October. The Presidential election will then be pending, and no doubt there will be a good deal of excitement, but if a period be somewhat later fixed upon, that objection will be obviated, for probably a later need upon, that objection will be convicted, for probably a greater calin will exist immediately after the storm shall have subsided, than at any other time. I do not conceive that there will be any great inconvenience in our re-assembling on the second Monday in November. As to our continuing the business, so as to take it in pin the same condition in which we leave it, the Senator from South Carolina says that this is an unparliamentary and developed a service. I admirately that it is not the ways located of the services of ways. atter from southers. In this ship was part immersely and the construction of the const the husiness that is pending.

Mr. ATCHISON .- If it has not been the practice of the Sen-Mr. ATCHISON.—II it has not been the practice of the Senate to take up the unfinished business where it was left off at the preceding session, I believe it has been the practice of the House. If Congress, at the next session, was going to be constituted of entirely different members, the objection of the Senator from South Cardina to a continuance of the business, in an unfinished state to the next session, would be a valid edjection; but it does seem to the objection of the Senator from South the next session, would be a valid edjection; but it does seem to me that the gontlemen who constitute our committees, and from whom we derive our information, cannot, in the short space of three months, forget the state of the business which they themselves have investigated with so much care. The Senate will be then composed of precisely the same members as now, and we shall be as well prepared, any better prepared, after a short recess, to resume and conclude the business, than we are now, worn out, as we are, by a protracted session. I admit there is a great deal of business that ought to be transacted, and that speedily, but it is impossible that it can be brought to a close within three weeks. It will require three months of constant industry. I should be unwilling to vote for the adjournment of Congress on the 17th of July without adopting the amendment proposed by the Senator from North Carolina. With regard to the objection that has been suggested to our meeting in October, on account of the Presidential election being then pending, I believe the people of the United States will be able to elect a President without the assistance of the two hundred and ninety members of Congress. Parties are pretty equally balanced in the two Houses. I believe the difference is not more than ten or fifteen, and I do not think that would at all affect the result.

Mr. CLAYTON .- This subject, since I have been a member of this body, has been frequently before us for consideration. Many years age the matter was fully canvassed in this, as well as in the other House, and it was the general sentiment of both branches, that it would be better if we could shorten the long sessions, can then give the control of the control of the control of the control of opinion on this point, and alter debating it, we passed a bill which was intended to operate permanently, directing that in for ture the session should commence on the 1st Monday of November. There was a constitutional objection to it, and while the President admitted the expediency of the law fit it could be passed control of the control of the control of the control of the control operation. stitutionally, yet upon the ground of unconstitutionality he put his veto upon it. The only course then for Congress was by joint resolution to make their own regulations by adjourning to such time as they thought proper. Such is the course proposed now to be adopted, and I for one, for the same reason that I voted for that bill, am in favor of this proposition. I recollect very well when we passed the bill to which I refer, the matter was much canvassed, the convenience of gentlemen from all sections was consulted, and I should think that the day fixed in that bill would be the most appropriate for the next meeting of Congress, viz: the first Monday in November. With regard to the Presidential election, I do not know that we can do better for the country than by attendto our own duties here, and leaving the election to other hands It is suggested that there will be too much excitement among the members to admit of a proper attention to the business of le-I have no such apprehension; I believe there is quite as much excitement now as there will be then, and I fancy there is quite as much danger of precipitating the business now as there e then. I know from what observation I have made, that at will be then. I know from what observation I have made, that at the close of a long session in July or August, we pass more im-portant bills without due consideration than we do during the pre-vious months of the session. We become worn out with constant attendance here during the heat of summer, and if we can have the pleasan here morth; misted and free months of July and August for the transaction of the business, it certainly seems to me desi-rable that we should take that course.

Mr. BUTLER.—In order to afford ourselves an opportunity for the transaction of all the necessary business, we must either protract the session or meet at an earlier day than that indicated by the amendment. I am extremely anxious to return home, there is business here which requires to be disposed of, and I should infinitely prefer proceeding to dispose of that business at once, to being obliged to return here in October. There are many matters which I desire should be disposed of before the Presidential election. I wish to have a very clear prospect before me before I vote, or recommend my constituents to vote one way or the other. I do not wish the canvass to be conducted in the dark.

Mr. ATHERTON.—It has been suggested by the Senator from Georgia that it would be discepened in the Hense to post-pore section upon this resolution, but I think there can be nothing of the sort inferred from our delay, because as far as I recollect, it has been the common practice of the Senate to retain such resolutions coming from the House until it was ascertained that the business could be disposed of. It is well known that all the appropriation bills have to originate in the House, and that the Senate has to act upon them in very considerable haste. It is important herefore, that the Senate should know when they are to be put in possession of those bills. The most important of them are still in consideration, that there will not be, between this and the 4th of March next, a period so favorable for the transaction of the public business as the month that is to follow this very day. As to the inconvenience of remaining here during the warm weather, I believe I feel that inconvenience as much as any one; but I appeal to Senators, whether a summer session is not more healthy than a winter session. There are fewer cases of illness and mortality among us in the summer than in the winter. If we can conclude to concur in the resolution. But it seems to me that we cannot now say that we can properly despatch the business that is now before us within that time.

Mr. BRIGHT.—The hour for the consideration of the special order has arrived, and I must insist upon calling it up unless the motion I am about to make shall be acted upon without debate. I believe that if we fix upon the 31st of July for the adjournment, it will probably suit all parties. I move to insert the 31st of July.

Mr. FOOTE.—Mr. President: This question of adjournment has awakened more feeling in the Senate, and given rise to a mach more extended discussion than any ofus anticipated. Heartily concurring in all that has been heretofore said in opposition to fixing a day, at this time, pnow which the deliberations of Congress at the present session shall be made to terminate, I seize the opportunity of stating an additional consideration which of itself would be of sufficient cogency to control my action upon this subject. There is at least, one measure, before the adoption of which. Congress, in my judgment, should never comments in Oregon, California, and New Microl territorial governments in Oregon, California, and New Microl territorial governments in the regions named; but I am only the more desirous, on that account, that they should be boldly met and promptly decided. If these questions remain open during the Presidential contest it is impossible to conjecture what consequences may arise. The intense excitement now raging in two opposite quarters of the confederacy, and every day growing more and nore intense, may put at hazard the Union itself, and will certainly call into being two sectional factions, divided by a mere geographical line, which will never eeast to war upon each other as long as the Union shall confident in the confederacy of th

Besides, sir, I am willing to confers that I am not a little desirous of ascertaing, ere Congress shall adjourn, of what precise materiel the whig party is composed—whether that party, if it can reach the seats of authority once more, will be inclined to enforce the seats of authority once more, will be inclined to enforce the seats of authority once more, will be inclined to enforce the seats of authority once more, will be inclined to enforce the seats of authority once more, will be inclined to enforce the seat of the seat of

I am free to acknowledge that the conduct of whig Senators in desiring to avoid a positive committal upon the great questions now in agitation, is in excellent keeping with the course pursued by the coavention of their party which lately assembled in Philadelphia; which remarkable body is known to have met and adjourned without the least declaration of principles, or the most remote allusion to the objects which they desire to attain. The failed journey of Eneas to hell was not a with more mysterious than the movements of those wise men who the other day were sent the movements of those wise men who the other day were sent of them, as of the san of Anchizes and the Sybl, ibout solar was the survey forgotten among them; for did not the Sybl, ibout solar was the interplay forgotten among them; for did not the President of this famous body, even in his opening speech, amounce to the multitude who surrounded him, boldly to inserble upon their banner the significant motto: "To the victors belong the spoils"? I am decidely of opinion, that we are bound as liberal men to make more or less allowance for the members of the Philadelphia convention; we should not perhaps censure them too severely for not laying down a regular party platform for the opening Presidential campaign. It recred could be decised to which any consideration of every thing like principle. Availability and the spoils of office were alone looked to. It was not desired to give officence to any who might be inclined to support General Taylor on any possible ground; and the utmost care was accordingly employed to avoid the introduction of topics which might produce disputation, and develop contrariety of sentiment. It was resolved, in the language of St. Paul, "to be all things to all men," at least for a season, or in the campaign of 1840, and lay to be profusely and explain those of their Presidential candidate, or permit the most analyzed to avoid the introduction of 1840, and bot be my faul if the game of 1840 shall be played over again successfully

Mr. MANGUM.—I do not perhaps very well comprehend the atter to which the honorable Sepator from Mississippi refers, Mr. MANGUM.—I do not perhaps very well comprehend ton matter to which the honorable Seator from Mississippi refers, when he speaks of certain whigs not being willing to meet the questions that are before the country. I speak for mobody but myself when I say that there is no question that I am not ready to on this side of the chumber of the distribution of the side of the chumber of the side are not all prepared to meet. And I think I may therefore say to the Senator from Mississippi, that he may dismiss from his mind any suspicion or alarm, if he be capable of feeling it, that any movement will be taken here to avoid meeting any question what-ever that may be raised. It is true, as the honorable Senator has said, we have spread our drag-net, and we expect to bring up a great many fish from the great deep, that have not been found hutherto in the whign net. The purpose of every gendleman, I apprehend, is to do the public business, and no one who has turned his attention to the business that is new produce are proposed it is excelled to disto the business that is new pending, can suppose it is possible to dispose of it properly before the 17th of July, and with the view of avoiding the difficulties that will result from leaving a great amount of business unfinished, to be perfected hereafter, it is proposed to elongate the next session by meeting in November or October. It is there fore simply a question of convenience, and upon that point, without any very tenacious views in regard to it, I consider it a matter of great inconvenience that we should be obliged to meet here in the autumn; and I believe with the Senator from South Caro-lina, that if the Senate will set itself earnestly to work, we shall be able to accomplish more by the first of August than if we meet in October. The committees are now fresh from the subjects they in October. The committees are now fresh from the subjects they have examined, and we shall proceed with greater facility than we can possibly do after a recess. I am disposed to yield very much to the personal inclination of our friends who are in favor of an early adjournment, but I suppose every Scantor must perceive that if we adjourn on the 17th of next month, and meet at the usual time next year, it will be impracticable to accomplish all that remains to be done. I think that the further consideration of this resolution should be postponed for the present-say until next week

Mr. BRADBURY .- I am in favor of acting upon the resolution now; for all past experience has shown, that when a day is fixed for adjournment, the Senate will work up to it, and complete the business within the time. If it be supposed, however, that the day named by the House will not give us an opportunity to complete the business, let the re-olution be amended by substituting the 31st of July. I hope, at all events, the resolution will be acted ed on without further delay.

Mr. FOOTE .- I always regret to be compelled to differ from my distinguished friend from Maine, who has just addressed the Senate, distinguissient irrent from ranne, who has just admirested the sension, I find it impossible to avoid it. The honorable Senator from North Carolina (Mr. Mancus) has done me the honor to notice some of the suggestions thrown out by me upon the question of adjournment, and has expressed a willingness to do hat the inbehalf of the Presidential ticket, lately nominess to do hat the inbehalf of the Presidential ticket, lately nominess to the fact in behalf of the Presidential ticket, lately nominess to the fact in behalf of the Presidential ticket, lately nomines to the fact in behalf of the Presidential ticket, lately nominess to the fact in behalf of the Presidential ticket, lately nominess to the fact in the fact of the presidential ticket, lately nominess the fact of the presidential ticket, and the presidential ticket is the presidential ticket in the presidential ticket in the presidential ticket is the presidential ticket in the presidential ticket in the presidential ticket is the presidential ticket in the presidential ticket in the presidential ticket is the presidential ticket in the presidential ticket in the presidential ticket is the presidential ticket in the presidential ticket i nated at Philadelphia. This is exactly what I expected from the nated at Philadelphia. This is exactly what I expected from the chivalry of that goatleman; I fear though that he will find himself but slenderly supported by his customary allies in this chamber; and it is still more certain that in venturing upon discussion, he does not pay the least respect to the example of discreet silence set him in Philadelphia; where were assembled men professing free trade principles, and ultra-protectionists; men in lavor, even yet, of a National Bank, and men who awe themselves opposed to bank and banking in every conceivable shape and form; Independent Treasury men, and men opposed to the Independent Treasury—nen opposed to the Mexican war, and men in favor of it; no territory men, and men whose appetite for territorial acquisitions. Treasny—nea opposed to the Mexican war, and men in navo of it; no territory men, and men whose appetite for territorial acquisition can only be satisfied by all Mexico and Cuba into the bargain—friends of the Wilmot proviso, and enemies to all restrictions upon settlement in our territorial domain of any kind whatsoever, when the satisfied in the satisfied of t save what the settlers shall themselves impose. Will the Senator from North Carolina have the goodness to inform the Senate and the country, with what class of his supporters it is, that General Taylor concurs? It would be a pity that any of his present friends should be disappointed by his course as President, should be chance to be elected. I am afraid they might be tempted to denounce him as a traitor, as it is well known they did Mr. Tyler under similar circumstances. If the Senator from North Carolina, then milar circumstances. If the Senator from North Carolina, then-am in advance inform us what Gen, Taylor's real opinions are, I feel certain he will do much present good and prevent future mis-understanding among the friends of that distinguished personage. Whether he will strengthen the General very greatly for the pre-sent cauvas is perhaps more doubtful; I hough I regard the ex-periment as decidedly worthy of trial. In the absence of a clear and assisfactor expension of the control of the control of the analysis of the control of the control of the control of the con-trol of the control of the control of the control of the control to other sources for information, and the result of my serutury I will proceed to look for the Senate. I find that the newspapers sustaining his pretensions all recognize him as a while, and, as such, in favor of that whole class of measures understood to be sustaining his precisions all recognize limit as a willig, and, as such, in favor of that whole class of measures understood to be embraced in what is known as whig policy. This is certainly contradictory to declarations which some of us encountered last winter in the social circles of Washington, when it was freely and positively asserted by several who were presumed to speak by authority, that Gen. Tayler, if elected, weuld not be inclined to disturb the measures of domestic policy so successfully put in operation by the present administration; but inasmuch as no written assurance has been given by Gen. Taylor, at least none that it has been

deemed safe to promulge, of his willingness to play the part of a democratic President, though taken up by the whig party, and to be elected to the Presidency, if at all, by whig votes, I suppose we shall have to regard him as a genuine and unadulterated whige of the most approved Clay and Webster stamp. There is one point, however, upon which the newspapers of the whige party seem to differ very widely indeed. I allude to the Wilmot provi-so. For it is a striking fact that whilst the southern whige editors all set bim down as a zealous and inflexible pro-slavery manwhose pecuniary interests as a large slaveholder will insure his firm and steady opposition to all attempts to restrict the extension of domestic slavery, by Congressional legislation, within its present curritorial limits; the newspapers of the whig party in that sec-tion of the Union where this system of slavery is not tolerated by law, and where the firecest opposition is exhibited to its farther diffusion, without a single exception, so far as I have been able to diffusion, without a single exception, so far as I have been able to ascertial, have presented him to their respective readers as a Wilmot proviso man, and as such prepared, if elevated to the Excentive chair of the nation, to withhold bis veto from this noxious measure—thus permitting it to become one of the permanent laws of the republic. I dislike exceedingly to run into detail upon this measure—this perintiting it to decome not the permanent have of the republic. I dislike exceedingly to run into detail upon this head; but holding it to be quite important that the South should understand in time the precise extent of the danger to which she stands exposed on this yital point, I shall take the liberty of laying before the Senate and the country a few extracts from leading whig journals of extensive circulation in the free States of the Union, from which the general course of the editors sustaining General Taylor's pretensions in that section of the confederacy, may be easily inferred:

And, first, I will read an extract from the "Daily Democrat,"

published at Rochester, New York, which is as follows

"And here is the precise difference between Cass and Gen. Taylor. It is possible that Gen. Taylor entertains doubts of the expediency of probibiting slavery in the ter that Gen. Taylor enletans, doubt of the experiency of probabiling slavery in the ter-cinens, and that the would not organize or reconnected ucts a measur-terior of the state of the power to Courses to legislate for them upon this subject. But Taylor tells us that the personal opaulism of the Executive cought not to control the action of Congress, nor ought his objections to be interposed when guestions of constitutional power have been settled by the various departments of government, and acquised in by the

prouds.

Now, we are entirely willing to rest the power of Congress to restrain the extrasistency in the lawing been settled by all the departments. If government,
to support the property of the property

Next, a short extract from the "Boston Atlas" claims attention. It reads thus

tion. If reads thus:

"We are not answare that there are some among us who are reluctant to yield to
Gen. Table their support, even though the nomine of the Philadelphia convention.

"Bet them, They retail, mean to be reglet, and to act for the best, and with closer
consecures, consider whether they really have any good grounds for their heuntation
lithly they will take upon themselves, if by their opposition they from puon the
country all the avial consequences involved to the decision of Lowis Case. Let them
and hims to the tunds—that Gen. Taylor in a whige immedie—in a floror of peace
—opposed to all wars—believes slavely to be a curse to the country, and dissures it excumpation—and a opposed to the thirther extraorance "dissecurity", and dissures its extermination—and a opposed to the thirther extraorance "dissecurity" ("The Lot "Case.").

Next, I will invite notice to an extract from the "Toledo Blade," published in Ohio:

Next, I will invite notice to an extract from the "Toledo Binde," published in Ohio: 
"General Taylor declares expressly in his fint letter, written two years size," to James M Taylor, Evel, then editor of the "Chiemanta Sizeas," that he considers the James M Taylor, Evel, then editor of the "Chiemanta Sizeas," that he considers the statemer. Now, what is the prominent feature of this ordinance? I be it not, clearly, that no slavery shall exist in the terrory for wheal the sax framed? I feet set the decreme of the Winner proving fully endouged. If the language of Gen. Taylor makes the complex of the Chieman and the Winner proving fully endouged. If the language of Gen. Taylor makes the complex of the Winner proving fully endouged. If the language of Gen. Taylor makes the state of the Winner proving the state of the work for the Winner proving the work of the work of the Winner proving the work of the Winner proving the work of t

Next, an article from the Cincinnati Gazette will be found werthy of attention :

thy of actoritor:

"The nomination of General Taylor by the whig national convention is responsive to the authoritative voice of the popular will of the whigs of the Union, legitimately expresed in the mode and momor which they themselves provided:

"In April, 1847, the relitor of the Concinnal Viginal near to General Taylor an editariation of the Concinnal Viginal near to General Taylor an editariation of the Concinnal Viginal near to General Taylor and the transition of the Sacciutive will not fairly for this even who may hereafter fift the Presidential office is to rest in the duchange of Executive functions, and let the legislative will of the people find utterance and concinnat. The American people are about to assume the responsibility of frauncy the institutions of the Pacific Sistles. We have no fear for insine, if the action of the high debate it the assumble of the people and did for re-

presentative halls. The extension over the continent beyond the Rio Grande of the ordinance of 1787 is an object too high and permanent to be baffled by Presidential

vectors."

"To the article from which the above extract is made, General Taylor responded, in a letter of date May 18, 1847, a cknowledging his 'high opinion and decided appearance of the best of the control of the c acquired Mexican territory.

The following extract from the "Pittsburg Journal," one of the strongest Wilmot proviso papers in the country, will be found to the same effect :

"The position of General Taylor in regard to this all important question is perfectly satisfactory to the whigs of the North. What we desire in a President is, that he shall not interpose to defect the wild of the people, as expressed through Congress. In the language of Mr. Forward, the whigs want andhing that they cannot obtain through the action of Congress.

the tangeoge of Mr. Furward, the wrigs want nothing that they cannot obtain through the action of Congress.

"General Taylor's position is one which will make him the excentor, not the dictator, of the public will.

"If the Wilmot proviso is adopted by Congress, General Taylor, as President, will

"It the Wilmot provise is adopted by Congress, General Taylor, as President, will not vetoit.

"We regard General Taylor as opposed to the extension of slavery, although a conthern man. extract from Mr. Ashmum's address, which we publish above, is the ground upon the cuttent for the support of General Taylor. This ground is sure, firm, and specific "the support of General Taylor. This ground is sure, firm, and specific."

Let me now call attention to the address which has just been is-sued by the State Central Whig Committee of Ollio to the voters of that State. The whole address is too long to have read; but the succeeding extract will plainly show what are the views of the whigs of Olio in regard to General Taylor's principles, and especially as to his views on the Wilmot proviso:

especially as 10 his views on the Wilmot provine.

"It would doubtless have been more concents to the Whigh of Olios had a case didde been referred winour residence and associations would have naturally inclined mit to agree with a full provine and association would have naturally inclined mit to agree with a full provine and association would have naturally inclined mit to agree with a full provine and association would have not association, however, here not blanched Georal Taylor to the evolute of the institution of slavery, and the following extract of an editorial article, published in the "Carcinant Signal" of April. "The early part for a full provine and the summarise and the following extract of an editorial article, published in the "Carcinant Signal" of April. "The early path of askifty for those who may hereafter fill the Presidential office is to rest in the discharge of Executive functions, and let the legislature will of the people substitution of the high delates is the assembles of the people and their representation of the high delates is the assembles of the produced and province of the high delates is the assembles of the produced and province and the contractive state of the produced and province and the contractive state of the produced and province and the contractive state of the produced and province and the contractive state of the produced and province and the produced and produced and produced and produced and produce

In addition to these testimonials, I beg leave to suggest to gentlemen not already apprised of the fact, that a certain manifesto has been recently addressed to the whigs of Massachusetts, by one of her leading representatives in Congress, and who was also a member of the Philadelphia convention, which, if not expressly contradicted by Gen. Taylor during the Presidential canvass, will bind him as an honorable man to throw no obstacles, as President of the United States, in the way of the Wilmot proviso. I allude to the circuit of Mr. Ashmun to his constituents, which has evi-dently constituted the basis upon which the whigs of Massacht-setts have ralled to the support of General Taylor. That doonment reads as follows :

ment reads as follows:

"General Taylor (says he) was not my preference, but I believe him to be a true whig, as showest and expashle man, opposed to the exquisition of Texts, with sound an conservative principles, opposed to further enlarging the bonders of our Union; and conservative principles, opposed to further enlarging the bonders of our Union; that he desires or approve its extension. His declared centiments are a generaty that he will sever in the sulfigence is the sulfigence in the sulfigence in the sulfigence is the sulfigence in the sulfigence in the sulfigence is the sulfigence in the sulfigence in the sulfigence is the sulfigence in the sulfigence in the sulfigence in the sulfigence is the sulfigence in the sulfigence in the sulfigence is the sulfigence in the sulfigence in the sulfigence is the sulfigence in the s

Such is the condition of things in New England and the free States generally, in regard to General Taylor's attitude upon the Wilmot proviso. I do not charge him in direct terms with being at heart favorable to the Wilmot proviso; but I do insist, and with the utmost confidence, too, that if elected without farther explanathe his emportere around the "consecta we whige" the Capture could be could we will be considered as the could will be considered any attempt on his part, as President, to defeat their favorite measure by the interposition of the Executive veto. And, now, sir, I again appeal to the frends of General Taylor in this body for some assurance as to the conduct of their Presidential candidate on this subject should to the contact of their residential catalogue of this subject should be obtained to be elected, as they seem now so confidently to anti-cipate. Our candidate has come out plainly and unequivocally, and magnanimously risked his election upon the sonndness of his views. Should be be chosen President no fastidious delicacy would restrain him in the exercise of the veto power upon all measures deemed by him unconstitutional, and he has declared his opinion that the Wilmot provise is unconstitutional, in phraseology too explicit to be misunderstood by the dullest intellect in the republic. But if Gen. Taylor's position as a Presidential candidate is so ob-Dut it cen. Laylors position as a Presidential candidate is so on-jectionable for the reasons now stated, how much more objection-able does be become when regarded as associated with Millard Fillmore, of New York, upon the whig ticket? Mr. Fillmore has been known all his life, and no man here or elsewhere

30TH CONG .- 1st SESSION-No. 95.

will dare deny it, to have been a thorough going whig of the will date deny it, to have been a thorough going wing to true Boston stamp; he is the author of the tariff of 1842, and has never wavered in the support of ultra whig princi-ples. I am not authorized to charge him with being an abolition ist, but no one can safely contradict the assertion that, whilst a member of the House of Representatives in Congress, he gave more than one vote which strongly smacked of abolition. His general course as a politician is well known to the country; but as all are not familiar with the incidents which have marked his publie life for several years past, I deem it prudent to read on this oc-casion an extract from a letter which I have just received from an ecomplished and honorable friend of mine in the State of New York, whose means of obtaining correct information on this subject are such as few persons living possess, and whose reputation for veracity and fairness authorize me to avouch, as I solemnly do, the perfect accuracy of his whole statement :

My DEAR SIR I am happy to reply to your favor of the 12th instant, in the terms which I have teplied to other similar applications, to give you any information

for veracity and fairness authorize me to avouch, as I solemnly do, the perfect accuracy of his whole statement.

Alsaw, June 19, 1858

My man sim: I am happy to spely to your flyor of the 12th instead, in the term which I have spilled to other similar applications, to give you say information. You are not matched in the feet that Himse as Wilmot provision. He is a constant of soil, as all know who have any knowledge of his opinions and asteenshoot. Come up in that body, and us one was take he has obbeen called upon forth is very an third report. Because it is political fraudit, the white, who were rampout provided in the contemp in the body, and us one was take he has obbeen called upon forth is very an third responsible State office in the State, by the whig State convenion land Central and responsible State office in the State, by the whig State convenion land Central and responsible State office in the State, by the whig State convenion land Central and responsible State office in the State, by the whig State convenion land the convention and with the whigh party of the State.

You may receilest that the demorates State convenions held at the close of least promisers. The party terror, and that appeals the state of the State, which was the promisers. The party terror, and the promisers in Party terror. In the state of the State, which was the state of the promisers of the party terror, and the promisers of the party terror. In the state of the state of the convention, as in closes:

You may receilest that the demorates of New York, represented in this commenced on the party of the state of the state of the convention, as in closes:

You may receilest that the demorate of the convention of the convention, as in closes:

You want to be stated to the convention of the convention of the party terror of the state of the convention of t

"One thing is certain, that if the South shall full in support Can and Butler, with of principle, on which the South can brain, and deall profess of the state of

# Extracts referred to in the preceding letter.

[From the Evening Journal October 11, 1847.]

"You the Evening Journal October 11, 1847.]

"Our opponents will be active; they have every thing at attale; their hope of suces in Posadential content rests apon the result now. They have present into this present in the such as the property of the second of the seco

## [From the same, October 13.]

"The pensioned emissaries of slavery in this State have made a distinct issue. I voting down an endor-ement of the Wilmot proviso they superadded to every oth issue, that issue, more important than all others, slavery or freedom. It is to be see in whose favor the verther of the hallor-box will be rendered."

#### From the same, October 20.

Stayery or freehor.—The approaching election will decide the question whether the election of New York are in favo of freedom or slavery. The order has all government that the Empire State must successful to the slave power. For the first me in our policies this own the State convention of a great party, the democratic merit of the slave power. For the first me in our policious history the State convention of a great party, the democratic party, last goodly spirmed a resolution protein granut curring free soil with him.

Severy set deposited will be a deteration for or against the principle candidard or the state of the s

#### [From the same, October 27.]

"Os' To VICTORY—The busy note of preparations comes upon us flow all quaters. The ever true and faithful workingmen of the what purty are easly and eager for this context. The people epigeate the momentum is use in olved in this context, and the context of the property are at stake. The result of the context will deside whether drivery or freedom bus tip popular verifict. This was made an issue by order of the general government. The freeman's resolution, (the provinc,) was spurated by a fraudsletch's constructed promotion of the context of

## [From the same, October 28.]

"Sinke for freedom! The emissaries of the general government simplified the white man's resolution (the proviso). Let the trature be remembered at the ballot boxes. Let the potent voice of New York be heard in diduncation of the revenue who would turnsh ber fair fame by a compulsory endorsement of slavery. Sinke for

#### | From the same, November L.|

"SLAVERY OVERSHADOWING PRIESON—The territory demanded of Mexico by Ir. True, as a condition of peace, is large enough to form five States as large as New York. With the boundaines of slavery this callenged, what has the North to expect at a perpetuation of the dominion of slavery?"

### [From the same-same date.]

"Slaves are chimed and held as topogrey: they are not proguized as men, or all towed to crapp any off new property: they are not proguized as men, or all towed to crapp any off new property. They are not progued as the contract of the con

["Of course Fillmore and company were recognized every where as entertaining these sentiments, and as the champions and standard-heaters of the party avowing and centralining them. Such was the character of all the proceedings of all their county conventions and meetings."]

[Resolutions unanimously adopted at the whig general meeting in the city of New York approving the nomination of Fillmore and company.]

"Recolord, That we examely deprecate, and will resist to the future, the extension of human slavery under our laws and our flag, into any territory previously free from that scorage. We deny the constitutional right to extend and establish it, and we call on all who love liberty, whatever their name or party, to must with it is accurately a destinated of free-

dom.

"Reoleed, That in Millard Fillmore and " on the whig State ticket, we have enablates of more integrity, undoubted exacity, usualited character, and in wavering whig pinneiples, whom we are moud to endogree and point to as changing of our canse, and we will give them that support which they enumently deserve, an which our country's good emphatically requires a four hands."

Such, then, are the political characteristics of the gentleman selected by the whig convention in Philadelphia to be voted for in connection with General Taylor; such are the principles of that personage who, in the event of General Taylor's death before the personage who, in the event of General Taylor's death before the exparation of his official term, would have charge of the Executive department of the government at this critical period in our history. I now solemally call upon the friends of this ill-omened ticket to denote the control of hands even of a whig convention, since the system of nomination was first adopted.

Mr. MANGUM .- It has not been my purpose to enter upo this debate, nor will it be any part of my purpose to enter upon protract it, but some of the remarks of the Senator from Mississippi certainly require a few observations in reply: for instance, the charge is very distinctly insimated, if not made in direct terms, that this portion of the Senate is endeavoring to avoid questions that are before the country, and with the view of accomplishing that object, that we seek an arry day of adjournment, so as to be incompatible with the proper transaction of the public business. Now, let me appeal to the tanganaimity of the Senator to remember who introduced the question of adjournment to-day. It was introduced by the Senator from Missouri, who has never been suspected of whiggery, I believe. I have avowed already that I am willing to meet any of these questions; and supposing that every gentleman here has felt as deep a sense of self-respect as I have, gentiamon mere has lett as deep a seense of self-fespect as I have, I take it for granted that every Senator is willing to meet them. The Senator concedes to me, personally, that I would not avoid any question. But he asks me to look at the convention lately held in Philadelphin; and asks me if I do not know that it was made up of blue light federalists, of abolitionists—of birds of every made up of fue ngh rederants, of adolitionists—of brids of every feather, as he expresses it—men of all political creeds. Sir, it may be so. I have had very little to do with any party matters. I was not one of those who participated in that convention, nor have I examined minurely the character of the delegates, nor have I examined the Baltimore convention, to see how it was consti-tuted—perhaps it included also some of the party colored, ringed streaked, and speckled politicians-

Mr. FOOTE .- The Baltimore convention was composed of a band of brothers, who dared to avow their principles

Mr. MANGUM.—I will come to that point by and by. We are tanned with not having laid down principles for the whig party to be governed by. Sir, are not the principles of the whig party Does the sun in Heaven require to be painted on a gle? Is there a man in the country who does not understand the gle? Is there a man in the country who does not understand the principles of that conservative party which has sawed the destines of this country? Do we shrink from responsibility? No, sir; no, sir. We are not of that progressive character, that every six months we have to make a new platform. You might as well at-tempt, with a pocket handker-chief, to shut out the light of the sun as to shut out the principles of the whig party. All the world knows them. But it is remarked here, that we have got a candishows ment. Our it is relinated mere, that we have got a cannot acke hold of him—they do not know what he is. The democracy at Baltimore, it is said, have had the chivalry to stand out in the open field, inviting their opponents to the attack, whilst the whig party are endeavoring to dolge the blows that are aimed at them. are endeavoring to dodge the blows that are samed at them.—
Sir, it will be something rather new to the people of his comtry to be informed that de "Rongh and Ready" dodges any blows;
and I apprehend that the principal part of the misery of the gentleman, at this day consists in the fact, that the character of the
man of whom he complains has exercised so overpowering an influence, that even abolitionism, as it is supposed to exist at the
North, is croneling and succumbing to it day after day. These
whom he leads to the field, whether they be volunteers from the
commencement, or eleventh hour men, as we have seen on the
field of Buena Vista, will do their duty nobly. The blows will
fall thick and fast, and I hope my honorable friend from Mississippi, for whom I have a great respect, will escape at least with
his life But it is saud that the democratic party stand out fearlessly in the open field. Sir, they are a bold, sagacious, formidable power in this country, as its history has sbown; they are proble powr in this country, as its history has shown; they are pro-gressive, and they have a bold leader, if the people would only not make so much noise as they did at Cleveland! so that the demo-eratic principles could be heard! I have a great deal of kindness and regard for the standard bearer of the democracy, but at Clev-land some meddlesome whigs propounded certain troublesome questions to our friend, the nominee of the Baltimore convention.

# A SENATOR .- The questions came from the democracy.

Mr. MANGUM .- No, they must have been whigs in disguise Mr. MANGUM.—No, they must have been whige in disguise who propounded them, and the noise of the crowd was so very em-barrassing that the questions could not be answered. The trath is, that under the oppression of the hot weather, and the fatgues of a hasty journey, the standard hearer of the democracy did not stand out in the open field as, according to the Senator from Mississippi, the Baltimore convention did. Well, it is good that there should be harmony among brothers. This Baltimore convention was quite be harmony among brothers. be harmony among brothers. This Baltimore convention was quite harmoniously conducted so far as the Empire State is concerned, and I understand that this day is big with the fate, not "of Cæsar and of Rome," but of the chosen standard bearer and of the vaunt-ed platform of the convention. I perceive that at a meeting re-cently in Charleston, a very prominent young gentleman of the democratic party has been denouncing this platform, and he went so far as to charge the convention with hypocrisy. As to these platforms, they can be made on occasion to suit a given purpose. they have been so made.

they have been so made. We have taken a gentleman very much on trust, I confess; but We have taken a gentleman very much on trust, I confess; but he is a whig, and he is the purer perhaps from not having been trained, disciplined, and perhaps indurated in the school of polities. He who has been true to every duty, poblic and private, expable of meeting every emergency, will be found, whether he belong to the Abbott Lawrence school of whiggery, or any other, to answer all the just expectations of his firends. Gentlemen combelong to the Abbott Lawrence school of whiggery, or any otner, to answer all the just expectations of his friends. Gentlemen complain that they cannot get hold of him; they do not know any thing about his polities. Why, that is only a renewal of Santa Anna's complaint. Santa Anna said Taylor was whipped by him, but he was so stupid that he didn't know it. It is asserted that he is a Wilmot provise man. I do not know any thing about it. It may be so. I have no personal acquaintance with Gen. Taylor. I have never had a letter from him, but I am willing, looking to the integrity of his character, the soundness of his understanding, and his unchanging patriotism, I am willing, I say, to put into his hands the destinies of the South. So with regard to Mr. Fillmore, I know only that he is a true whig and an accomplished gentleman, and I believe that whatever views may be entertained by either of those gentlemen upon abstract questions, they will be at all times ready to make any personal sacrifices upon the altar of their country, if such should be necessary for the preservation of

Mr. FOOTE.—I am certainly quite gratified at finding that the honorable Senator from North Carolina concurs with me touching the appropriateness of the present occasion for the discussion which is now in progress. He seems to be quite astonished though the special progress. He seems to be quite astonished though the progress of the white party. Whitgerey, tags he, is the sen in Heaven, irradiating and refreshing with its heat all surrounding nature. Well, sir, I have seen it somewhere mentioned that in ancient times there was once a theory aftent which asserted the existence of two distinct species of stars in the firmament—once class of which emitted rays of light, whilst the others emitted rays of dark measures. It think all who have witnessed this debate will acknowledge that if whitgery be really a sun, it is not such a sun as supplies any large quantum of illumination; or that like the vertiable Phebus, its brightness is too intense to allow the substance of which it composed to the ascertained by the examle abeholder—being, percentages, as the poets. The secondary of the composed to the ascertained by the casual beholder—being, percentages, as the poets. The secondary of the substance of which it of the light in which that sun of whitgerey of which he has spoken must abound, to fall upon those opake spots which now stand for whitg principles, I am sure that he would confer a great favor upon many, and do not a little service to the country. Will the Senator from North Carolina be kind enough to tell us whether the whitg party, if they get into power, will again struggle to establish a national bank?

Mr. MANGUM .-- I will answer the Senator, but I will not now interrupt him.

Mr. FOOTE.—If the Senator shall answer satisfactorily, he will be more successful than any of his party have been lately. I see the Senator from Delaware (Mr. Clanton) in his place; and I am reminded of a certain published letter of his, issued in the autumn of 1816, in which he proclaimed the existence of the old party issues. Does he addrer to what he then declared!

Mr. CLAYTON.—The letter to which the Senator refers was in relation to a protective tariff. That was the only subject embraced in it.

Mr. FOOTE.—I think the Senator is mistaken; but it matters not; the Senator from Delaware then insisted upon the restoration of the tarilf of 1842, and I understand him yet to do so; and doubtless he expects General Taylor to aid in its restoration. Well, sir, if General Taylor will explicitly acknowledge that he is in favor of the tarilf of 1842, I can assure his friends that his supporters in the South will soon dwindle to a corporal's guard. But let us also the Senator from grad to General Taylor's politics, to tell us whether he is in flavor of the independent treasury. What are his views in relation to the Mexican war? P bid he, or does he, approve or disapprove the conduct of his own government in prosecuting that war? Was he really, as Mr. Asiaxun asserts, opposed to the annexation of Texas? Was he opposed to our receiving territorial indemnity from Mexice? Would he, if Presi-eving territorial indemnity from Mexice?

ceiving territorial indemnity from Mexico? Would he, il President, wote the Wilmot proviso or not?

The Senator from North Carolina has announced to us that he has determined to suppert General Taylor, because he knows him to be a Wilig, and recognizes him to be a well-independent of the first to be a Wilig, and recognizes him to be a well-independent of the first to be a Wilig, and recognizes him to be a well-independent of the first to be a well-independent of the first to be a well-independent of the suppert of the first to be a well-independent of the first to be a well-independent of the first to be a well-independent of the suppert of the first to be a well-independent of the first to be first to be a well-independent of the first to be first to

produced agorance. Se Seator from North Cavolina to assail the standard-bear of the democratic party, our admired enablidate for Presidential, honors, in a syle and manner far more unkind than I had natiopated; and yet I cannot perceive that he has done him the least injury. The fact is, the char-eter and qualifications of our candidate are such as to make it impossible for his adviser-

ries to weaken him by assallment, however ingenious or malignant. The whole American people know him to be a man of the highest abilities, of large attanments in science, thoroughly accomplished in all things appertaining to the management of public affairs, sober, industrious, persevering, frank, independent, wise in compact, fearless in action, of unblemished reputation in private life, and possessed of every quality which can confer dignity or some friendship. His history as a public man is hamiliar to entirely unnecessary. But I trust I may be pardoned for saying thus much; for more than six months past, I have known him Isamiliarly; I have been a close observer of his cenduct in this ledy, and have listened to his sage counsels in privacy; and it has ever seemed to me, that it would have been impossible for any man whom I have known, or of whom I have read, to discharge all the duties of a wise and patriotic Senator, with more complete success than I have seen them performed by him. During his connection ter more difficulties, or to meet more new and perilous questions than the hase; and yet no one can justly charge him with having on any occasion recoiled from responsibility, or with having in the least degree wearing with continued well doing. Whilst among us in this body, he was oftentimes thrown into collision with the ablest decree wearing with continued well doing. Whilst among us in this body, he was oftentimes thrown into collision with the ablest decree wearing with continued well doing. Whilst among us in this body, he was oftentimes thrown into collision with the ablest decree wearing with continued well doing. Whilst among us in this body, he was oftentimes thrown into collision with the ablest decree wearing with continued well doing. Whilst among us in this body, he was oftentimes thrown into collision with the ablest of acquire new bonor from the encounter. His great simplicity and manliness of character cnabled us always or in which he failed to acquire new bonor from the encounter. His gre

nomination to the Presidency:

"The letter, genthener, close my profession of political faith. Receiving my first appearance in the passe parameter and passes are supported by the profession of the passes and passes are supported by the passes and the passes are passes are passes and the passes are passes are passes and war. If my conduct in these ultitations, and the speakers of the passes are passes and war. If my conduct in these ultitations, and the speakers are passes are

Such is our candidate for the Presidency, and such his opinions; who does not perceive the iojustice attempted to be done him on this occasion, by charging him with attempting to conceal his principles the other day at Cleaveland, when he was rudely and presumptuously catechised in a vast crowd, amidst a seene of tunult and confusion, which had be attempted to speak at length, would have subjected him to every sort of misinterpretation and consequent misrepresentation! When the candidate of the whig consequent misrepresentation! When the candidate of the whig cally, his advocates here may have some little ground to complain of General Cars's conduct at Cleaveland; but until that distant day, a day which I fear is never to be realised, I would admonish them to be as silent as the grave itself upon this subject.

I believe I may venture to assure gentlemen also, that there is not much danger of our candidate for the Presidency flooding the

I believe I may venture to assure gentlemen also, that there is not much danger of our candidate for the Presidency flooding the country with electioneering letters during the earness, as General Taylor has done; and I will go farther, and engage that not a single letter will ever he published over his signature of which he will not be the sole author, and whose style shall not plainly bespeak its origin. But how is it, on the subject of letters, with General Taylor? Why, the number of his epistles is legion; and such wondrous variety do they exhibit both in phraseology and such wondrous variety do they exhibit both in phraseology and such wondrous variety do they exhibit both in phraseology and such wondrous variety do they exhibit both in phraseology and such wondrous variety and to have called forth commisseration even from his enemies. Some of these famous letters are short, concise, and nervous; others are long, verbose, and meaningless; others glitter with all the meretricious and unpolished nonsense. One or two of these letters are supposed to have been written in this city and forwarded to him for signature at his place of residence in the far southwest; and these hear indubitable tokens of having been concocced by old stagers in polities for the purpose of deceiving and deluding. I venture to assert that they do so believe.

Mr. President: I feel that I should poorly perform my duty on this oceasion were I to omit to allude to a persoanage, whose name cannot be mentioned anywhere without awakening sentiments of respect and admiration, and who, among all I row whige, has been for a long time regarded as the very personification and embodiment of their principles as a party. Henry Clay! Henry Clay!" "Clarum et venerable nomen!!" So spoke of him lately in our hearing an oloquent Senator, who is no longer one of our bodythe justice of whose commendation I felt bound to admit in full Senate. Where is Henry Clay I in retirement! How? By the cruel ostracism of an ungrateful party—a party first organized by him upon its true platform—a party which learned all its principles from his eloquent lips—sustained by him, defended by him, sometimes led to victory by him—when hope seemed forever to have abandoned the whig standard, and when all other vones but his had grown silent from utter despair. His high abilities, his and the same silent from utter despair. His high abilities, his thorough knowledge of men and parties, his masterly dexterity as a party tactician, the deep and firm hold which he held upon the popular feeling—all, all, have been forgotten, overlooked, disregarded, nlmost mocked at and despised; and oh! shame upon such heartlessness! such renel and insulting disregard of his sensibilities! a man has been nominated in preference to him, who prevents a such a such a such as a such and a such as a such as a such and a such as a such as a many tack and a such as a such

"Spirit ranging for reven ge
With Are by his side, come hot from hell,
Shall, an whig confines, with a monarch's voice
Cry Havoc, and let slip the dogs of war—
That this foul deed shall smell above the earth
With carrion men groaming for bural."

Mr. MILLER.—Mr. President: I did hope that this resolution, fixing the time for the adjournment of Congress, might have been considered without its being mixed up with the polities of the day. Surely we can agree upon an adjournment, however we may differ upon Presidential candidates. But the Senator from Mississippi (Mr. FootTs) says he will not consent to an adjournment until sofficient time is given to discuss what he calls the great issues before the public. He wants time to examine the respective creeds of the two great political parties. To talk them over, to "hammer them out;"so as to exhibit them to the people so plainly that when we look first upon this and then upon that, we will be able to judge between them. Now, for my part, I am not willing to stay here this hot weather for any such idle purpose. Congress into a long parliment, endless much be to convert this Congress into a long parliment, endless much be to convert the token, before we adjourn, something more shouther than the control to know, before we adjourn, something more shout the whig creed, and asks us to explain and define it by our speeches and vote her. For my part I do not think that the whig creed has been reduced to the point where it becomes necessary to define its position. It is an old proverb, "That good wine needs no bush."

Mr. FOOTE.—Will the gentleman allow me to refer him to that passage of Scripture which advises not to put new wine into old bottles?

Mr. MILLER.—Yes, sir; but the Senator will find that our old bottle is strong enough to hold the wine until the 4th of March, when I shall be very happy to drink a glass with him: he will need a little exhibaration about that time. We will take it at the White House.

But as to the white greed, it needs no Congressional certificant to give it character or currency. It is not like some new publications of the day which require the endorsement of n legislative subscription to introduce it into notice. No, sir, our creed is a standard work; the people have read the book before to-day. It needs no notes nor comments from the

and works are manufactured from the form of the form o

the question of the Wilmot provines? Is he ready to make a true issue upon that point? I know that the last expressed opinions of General Case are against the provine, but I also know that of General Case are against the provine, but I also know that the provine that measure; that he was so during the last two sessions of Contain measure; that he was so during the last two sessions of Contain the provine the pr

reported. I will proceed with the statement.

At the first session of the twenty-mint Congress, the two million bill, as it was called, came to the Seaate from the House, with the Wilmor provise in it. On the last day of the session, (100 of the session of the

was lost for want of time to act upon it.

As soon as Mr. Davis had taken his seat, General Cass came
over to his side of the Senate, and with much extractsness said, in
the presence of the Senators, that he regretted much that Mr.
Davis had by his spoech prevented the vote from being taken; that
be (General Cass) and overy democratic Senator from the free
States, would have voted to sustain the proviso; that Mr. Allen
would have led off, and all the rest would have followed; that he was
very sorry that they had been deprived of the opportunity of voting
upon it; that it would have settled the question, and Gov. Davis

npon it; that it would have settled the question, and Gov. Davis was responsible for defeating that result.

These expressions made a deep impression upon my mind at the time, and that impression was increased when, after the adjournment of Congress, I saw in several newspapers published at the North, democratic and whig, unjust tharges made against my honorable friend from Massachusetts for having defeated the previous, when we all knew that the object of his speech was to sus-

tain that measure.

The next day, on his way home in the cars, Gen. Cass spoke of the subject frequently and publicly, in the presence of several gentlemen, to the same effect and expressed much disappointment at not having been able to record his vote in favor of the proviso. He afterwards expressed the same opinions in New Jersey, and also, as I have been informed, in New York; but of this I have no personal knowledge.

At the next session of Congress, (March I, 1847.) the three million bill came before the Senate, but without the Wilmot proviso in it. The Senator from Vermont [Mr. UPHAM] moved to amend the bill by inserting the proviso, when Mr. Cass rese and, in a speech of some length, opposed the amendment. This speech is reported, and I refer Senators to it. Recollecting what had taken place at the previous session, I rose at once, and expressed my astonishment at the course of the Senator from Michigan, so directly at variance with his sentiments declared at the last senson, when the same measure was before the Senate I also stated with the same in the same was before the Senate I also stated with the called upon him to give to the Senate and to the contry his reasons for the sudden change in his opinions upon a subject of so much importance.

subject of so much importance. General Case, in reply to me, commenced by saying that he was surprised at the extraordinary course of the Senator from New Jersey, in calling him to an account for his opinions, but said he was prefer of for his, and den which he read to the Senate. Had did not deay the statement made by me; but said, is substance, that he had not changed his opinions expressed the session before opinion that subject of the proviso, but that that was not the occasion nor the hill in which to apply the proviso; that the object of the proviso had that the was to enable the President to econdude a treaty of peace with Mexico. That he did not wish to do any thing which might delay peace. That it would he of no use to write the provisor of the president to endude a treaty of peace with Mexico. That his was not the time nor the occasion. He also spoke of the resolutions of instructions from Michigan, which he had presented during the session, and to which I had referred him, and said that he had examined them, together with the resolutions looked to some permanent provision or fundamental law; he did not think they were intended to apply to the bill them ander constitutions as the subject of the Wilmot proviso, 1847. At that time he was still in favor of the Wilmot proviso, 1847. At that time he was still in favor of the Wilmot proviso, 1847. At that time he was still in favor of the Wilmot proviso, 1847. At that time he was still in favor of the Wilmot proviso, 1847. At that time he was still in favor of the Wilmot proviso, 1847. At that time he was still in favor of the Wilmot proviso, 1847. At that time he was still in favor of the Wilmot proviso, 1847. At the declare their views on the subject of the Wilmot Presidency to declare their views on the subject of the Wilmot Presidency to declare their views on the subject of the Wilmot Proviso.

proviso. To show what the Senator from Mississippi calls a 'clean hand,' on the 24th of December last, General Cass makes this show. It will be found in what is called the Nichelson letter. I have it before me. In that letter he says:

"The Wilmor provio has been before the contral young time. It has been selfy discosed in Congress and by the public press. I am strongly impressed we congress and by the public press. I am strongly impressed with the public press. I am strongly impressed with the property of the public press. I am strongly impressed with the property of the public press. I am strongly impressed with the property of the public press. I am strongly impressed with the property of the public press. I am strongly impressed with the property of the confederate or the property of the propert

Thus it is seen that the issue which the Senator has tendered in the person of his candidate is somewhat of a confused character. First, we have the earnest friend; then the doubtful supporter; and, lastly, the out and out opponent of the Wilmot proviso. It is not for me to censure this change of position. Every man has the right to correct his opioions when new lights are shed upon the subject. But it must be admitted that the change in this case has been very rapid. Passing from one extreme to the other—from an anxiety for Congress to act at once upon the measure, to morratic candidate will stand by his fast position or return to his first love, will depend upon subsequent events and considerations. Thus it is seen that the issue which the Senator has tendered in

The democracy of Cleveland, when they met a few days ago to welcome and do honor to their candidate, and put certain questions to him, (which the noise and confusion of the meeting prevented him from answering,) had not been informed of the last vented him from answering,) had not been informed of the last opinions and consumers of the candidate upon the Wilmot provisos. They being his heighbors and friends, know what his former opinions were upon the sibplect, and, in their simplicity, believed that the opposite opinions were but the shaders of his political opponents. They therefore took advantage of the first occasion to give to their candidate an opportunity to refluce the shader, and to set himself right before the demonstrate of the first occasion to give to their candidate in the constraint of the demonstration of the candidate, however, did not be opportunity offered; the noise and confusion of the embrace the opportunity offered; the noise and confusion of the

embrace the opportunity of the control of the Wilmot proviso. Answering mere-Taylor upon the question of the Wilmo proviso. Answering mere-ly for myself, I can only say that I have no information upon that point. I do not know that Gen. Taylor has expressed any opin-ion, either for or against that measure; but I can assure the hon-orable Senator that, whatever may be the opinions of the old hero orable Senator that, whatever may be the opinions of the old hero upon that subject, they are honestly entertained, and whenever he takes a position he is in the habit of maintaining it. The whig party is willing to trust him upon that subject, in the full confidence that, whatever may be his private opinions. he will administent the government according to the constitution and in the true spirit of our free institutions. But, sit, whatever may be the opinion of Gen. Taylor upon this subject, he certainly has the advantage of the constitution and the day of the constitution and the constitution and the constitution and the constitution and the constitution of the constitution o

of having expressed them both ways.

of having expressed them both ways.

It does not become me, neither is this the place nor the occasion, to defend the personal and political character of General Taylor. That character is fully understood and appreciated by the country, and, although he has spent the most of his life in camp, and anaway from the strife of politics, he has, on certain occasions and under great emergencies, shown of what stuff he is made. He is, to commence with, an honest man, possessing strong common sees; intelligent and patriotic, prompt in action and successful in execution; cool, circumspect, and heroic; and has, when placin excention; cool, circumspect, and heroic; and has, when placed under the most adverse circumstances, nobly sustained the hinor and glory of his country, and won for himself the gratitude of his countrymen. Sir, he will go to the Presidential chair on the fourth of March next as he went from Point Isabel to Fort Brown, no matter what may be the number or the strength of the enemy in the way. But, after all that has been said by the Senator against our candidate, the sum and substance of his opposition will be found at last in the lact that Gen. Taylor is a white. That four weak him the strength of the value of the supposition will be found at last in the lact that Gen. Taylor is a white. That be found at last in the lact that Gen. Taylor is a wHic. That fact made him the standard-bearer of our party, and it is that fact which make shim so books on the Senator and his party. Had not Gen. Taylor been a which go to could be have been made any thing less than a whig, the democratic party would have gladly and the standard that the sound that the force Gen. Tay-lor's politics were generally known at the North, strong move-rable when the strong had to severally shown at the strong house the properties of the strong mover. the democratic candidate; but, as soon as it was ascertained that he was a whig, and a Clay whig too, they all forsook him and fled. I support Gen. Taylor because he is a whig, and the Senator opposed sim for the same vession; and let me full the Secutor that, if Gen. Taylor had been a democrat, and nor a whig, that syn-pathetic culogy which he has just pronounced on that great states man of the age, Mr. Clay, might, with some qualifications, have been used to sooth the disappointment of the present nominee of the Baltimore convention. The Senator has expressed much apparent sympathy for that

distinguished statesman, who has been long known as the leader of the whig party. The Senator says that we have forsaken the the whig party. The Senator says that we have foreshen the "embodiment" of whig principles; that we have discarded Henry Clay. Not so, sir. We know, and that Senator knows, that for the last twenty years Mr. Clay has been the mark for the poison-ed arrows of the adversary. Day and night have they shot at his bosom, and nothing would have delighted our opponents more than to have had another chance at him. In such a fight the whig principles could not have had fair play, and we should only lave sacrificed our glorious old leader without winning the battle. But, sir, we now present you another embodiment of white principles in the person of Gen. Taylor. Now, try your arrows upon him. He has stood many a hard fight, and has never been beaten. As our standard-bearer, be will enable us to give effect to our principles, and to secure to the country a good conservative white administration in all the departments of the government. But I will not pursue this subject any further. I only rose to show that there were difficulties and uncertainties on both sides of the issue ten dered by the Senator from Mississippi. We had better have these matters tried by the country in Nopenhee 1. matters tried by the country in November next.

Mr. FOOTE .-- I congratulate the country upon the prospect suddenly opened upon us at last, of obtaining a little insight into this heretolore mysterious and incomprehensible thing called who this heretofore mysterious and incomprehensible thing called whiterary. The Senator from New Jersey cidently suppose that he has given us some explanation of what has so long and the suppose of the sup

Mr. President, we have heard from the Senator from New Jer-Mr. Preadont, we nave near from the senator from New Joseph sey, what he seemingly regards as quite a serious accussion against sey, what he seemingly regards as quite a serious accussion against time entertained impressions more or less favorable to the Wilmor provise, and runs into a long and telious exitation of particulars in order to make good his accusation. I confess, I do not know pre-cisely what has been the mental process through, which General Cass may have advanced to the deliberate conclusion, which he so explicitly announced to the public some six moeths ago. He may explicitly amounced to the public some six months ago. He may have been, and I suppose was, upon a superficial examination of the question, at one time inclined to yield to grave legislative precedents, and to suppose that Congress possesses authority to legislate in opposition to the extension of slavery in territories newly acquired. I know that this notion was widely adopted some year or two ago, by some of the soundest patriots, and wisest men of the soundest patriots, and wisest men of the soundest patriots, and wisest men of the control of the control of the soundest patriots, and wisest men of the control o mor provise man, and one account of the control of which the control of the contr most signatly discomfited.

I have said that I suppose it possible that General Cass's mind I may said that I suppose it possible that General Cases mind may have undergone some change upon this question, but I concess that my chief reason for so supposing, is that in his admirable letter to Mr. Nicholson of Tennessee, last December, he uses the following language:

the following language:

The Whene provides have in those the country come time. It has been report
to the Whene provides have the public sense. I am strong it more self-with the
common that are real stangle has been going on in the jundle much upon the subjectto my own as well as others; and that doubts are easily in themselves into constitunes that the principle it involves should be kept and of the National Lenglatine, and
left to the people of the confederace in that respective best governments.

I am satisfie, I man all I have seen and beard here, that is accessful atmosphotous
graft the principles of the Wilmid provide upon the bendation of this government,

I am satisfie, I man all I have seen and beard here, that is accessful atmosphotous
graft the principles of the Wilmid provide upon the bendation of this government,

I am satisfied, you must have the provide upon the provide upon the provided in the second of the seco

Now it cannot be necessary for me, in this calightened and phi-losophic age, to inform the Senator from New Jersey that every change of opinion upon new and complex questions, does not ne-cessarily imply either weakness of intellect, or insincerity of heart. cessarily imply either weakness of intellect, or insincerity of heart. If this were so, to what profound contempt would every leading statesman now living, or who ever has lived, be consigned! Why, sir, a wiser saying has never been attered than humanum est errare; man must sometimes err; God alone can escape error judgment, perceiving as he does, all the bearings of every question whatever, whether remote or well the process of ratioconstants in the process of ratiocons. But man with bis limited faculties must oftentimes find his first impressions not such as

will stand the test of sober reasoning and mature contemplation, and feel himself called upon to renounce such impressions, even at the hazard of being charged by illiberal adversarios, either with the hazard of being charger by informal adversaries, extent with monostating of judgment or want of honesty. If there be a member of this body who has never yielded up as opinion once adopted, "let him throw the first stone." That there is one man in the nation that might be able to assert with truth, that he has never changed a political opinion, I do not at all doubt; that person is the whige candidate for the Presidential office; but his good forms in the same paragraph is alone the national truth. tune in this respect is alone to be attributed to his having no po-litical opinions to be changed. Whether he will always remain in a condition so felicitous, remains to be seen hereafter.

Mr. MANGUM .- As a matter of personal duty which I owe to a friend in North Carolina, I deem it proper to say, that the odious doctrine which I have heard attributed to the President of the late convention in Philadelphia, was only alluded to by him as a docconvention in Philadelphia, was only alluded to by him as a doc-trens of the democratic party. My purpose is to do justice to Governor Morehead; I know the gentleman well. The man does "to the victors belong the spoils." I will read the removels of that gentleman as I find them in the paper which, I hold in my hand. And I appeal to every Senator, if there can be more mag-nanimous, patriote, elevated sentiments, than those which are breathed in these remarks.

[Mr. M. here read from a report of Gov. Morehead's in support

the statement he had made.]

of the statement he had made.]
The sentiment's here expressed have no assimilation to that
which the Senator has attributed to him. Victory with the whig
party is only useful as it enables us to elevate the American character, and to give energy and stability to American institutions.
I will take another occasion to answer the various interrogatories of the Senator, as the hour is late, although I am perfectly ready

Mr. FOOTE .- I feel sure that I have quoted the language alluded to correctly; and before this debate shall terminate, I will read from a Philadelphia paper precisely the words I have com-plained of. I am not responsible for any cha ge of phraseology which Gov. Morehead's friends may have afterwards judged it prudent to advise.

A motion was made that the Senate adjourn. It was negatived, upon a division. Ayes 18, Noes 22.

Mr. MANGUM .- I am ready to answer, as far as my know ledge extends, the various interrogatories of the Seator; but I shall have very little hope that he will derive any substantial benefit from the information. Notwithstanding the geatleman's acuteness, I shall hardly be enabled to enlighten him; for he has avowed in his speech this evening, that the whig doctrines are in-comprehensible to him. If he has lived all his life without under-standing what they are, he can hardly expect that I should, at this day, furnish him with that clear conception which he seems so de-strictions to obtain. But I am asked what is the opinion of the whig party with regard to the expediency of establishing, and power of party with regate to the experiency of escapioning, and power of the government to establish, a national bank? I think I can have no difficulty in answering that question. In accordance with what I understand to be the universal whig sentiment, they do not doubt the power of the government to establish a national bank. Washington did not doubt it; Madison did not doubt it, Jackson did not doubt it. But I have yet to learn that there is a whig in the United States, at this time, who contemplates the establishment of a national bank at all; and certainly not as a party

Mr. FOOTE.—My inquiry was, as to the views of the standard bearer of the party in regard to this subject.

Mr. MANGUM -I have no express authority to speak for General Taylor; but I derive authority as a whig to speak for the standard hearer of the whig party, and for the whig party itself, and I repeat, that there is not a whig within my knowledge, in the United States, who, by mere party power, would construct a national Ciment States, win, by there party power, wount construct a national bank. I answer the Senator's question then, by saying that the party contemplate no such thing as the establishment of a national bank. Then I am called upon to say what General Taylor's sen-iments are with respect to annexation. I do not know whether the question has reference to past annexation or to the annexation the question has renerence to past animaxion of more territory. I have a laways understood that General Taylor, with the united whig party, or an overwhelming portion of them at least, were opposed to the annexation of foreign territory in all at least, were opposed to the annexation of foreign territory in all the states of the states which we have recently acquired, if the enormity of the act were not somewhat mitigated, by knowing that we are paying its value fourfold. I am asked what is the opinion of General Taylor upon fourfold. I am asked what is the opinion of General Layou upon the subject of a tariff. I will here inquire of the honorable Sena-tor, if those glorious visions of free trade, with which he has been delighted, are likely to be realized under the expected democratic delighted, are likely to be realized under the expected democratic administration I is that the way they propose to pay a debt of one handred and fifty millions! I had supposed that those haleyon dreams had passed away, even from the heated imaginations of the gentlemen opposite. Vour present tariif, according to authon-tic statements, statements in which I have cutive confidence, would

not raise twenty-two millions of dollars during the next fiscal year, not raise twenty-two millions of dollars during the next isseat year, but for the large loans lately effected, much of which may come here in the shape of dutiable imports. Where are the other cighteen millions of dollars to come from? I take it for granted that Gen. Taylor admits the doctrine—all the evidence that I have that ten. I hylor admits no accurage—an the evonere must I link be seen confirms in —that fair enougagement and I links hereafter we shall not have much controversy on this subject, because, arrange the tariff as you will, you enant be able to meet the absolute ne-cessities of the government. Those necessities cannot require nuch less than forty millions annually—to pay interest on the national debt, to contribute moderately to a sinking fund, and to meet the current expenses, that must be much enlarged by the ne-cessary enlargement of the army required by the multiplication of cessary emargement of the army required by the montheaton of military posts at vest distances, and of difficult and expensive accessibility. I am asked the opinion of General Taylor on the independent Treasury. On that subject I have no detailed information; I think it is a matter of very little moment.— The government will hardly have money enough to put it to any Are government with narmy nave money enough to put it to any monovenience. But every whigh is against it. As I have once be-fore said in this body, I believe that a degree of importance has been attached to this subject to which it never was entitled. Its value has been magnified. I have looked upon it as a sort of stratagem to divert the public mind, being in itself menapable of standard or over up notice in your content and of the dependent of the property of the work of paone man, tan in the event that centeral case comes into power it is not certain what he may do. I am ready to admit that a magnasimous man may change his opinion, and not hesitate to avow the change, but when a gentleman who has been forty years in public life, and who must be supposed to be familiar with all questions of this magnitude, avows contradictory opinions, it is to be presumed, that the latest edition is the one to which he will adver. We away exprest young men to change their wisines have here. We may expect young men to change their opinious, but when the mind attains a degree of maturity that may be supposed to exist after forty years meditation upon a question of great to exist after forty years meditation upon a question of great public interest, we have a right to expect some stability, and when we see a sudden change we must conclude that it indicates either infirmity of purpose, or sinister design. Sir, as regards General Taylor's opinion upon the Wilmot proviso, I have no express information, but I am willing to rely on his patriotism, intelligence, and sound sense, upon his conservative character as developed in overy trimsaction of his life. As I said before, the whole South will puther truck the control of the summary of the Altison letter. Sir, the great advantage, in my opinion, which General Taylor possesses over his adversary is this, that his opinions are not contradictory. General Taylor holds, I believe, that ions are not contradictory. General Taylor holds, I believe, that the public sentiment constitutionally expressed should in all eases prevail, where it does not come in conflict with constitutional

Mr. FOOTE .- Does General Taylor regard the Wilmot proviso as constitutional, or not ?

Mr. MANGUM.—Upon that point I can give the gentleman no information, because I am not one of the initiated. I am some-what in the condition, perhaps, of a new recruit. I have not sought information, but I was sure of this, that the question is safe in his hands. I can express what my own opinions are. I have a very clear opinion that Congress has no power, either negative or affirmative, over the subject. But I think I may say this, that whatever General Taylor may do on the subject of the veto, he was never before in the case of the control of t never be found in the category of President's that I have heard of; and here I desire to make an interrogatory—I desire to know the fact, as a curious incident in history. A certain harbor bill, of ours, an omnibus containing a good many tings, was most ingeniously constructed with the President's approbation, and in the other branch of the legislature an amendment was made to it in reference to the canal near Louisville. It was given on the light devoted friends of the President that if a significant on the bill should be altered it would be vected when the light of the president that it is simple scand that my unfortunate free with the light of the president of the president that my unfortunate free with the light of the unfortunate friend, Col. Tibbatts, who introduced the amendments was at last compelled to have it voted out. The bill passed the other House, and it passed here, and after all it met the veto. It is a curious incident, and ought to be written. But what I say is, the Compell Taylor of ledeted, will not act in this way. What that the manner of the control of the property of the control of t understandit so. But, sir, we are tunnted over and over for not hav-ing put before the country the whig platform. Sir, this great whig ing put before the country the whig platform. Sir, this great whige party of ours stand upon the platform—yes, I may say awtichutrineve-rence, the sacred platform—of the venerated fathers of the repul-ie. It stands where, in the early ages of our fistory, Washing-ton, Madison, and others, the purest and ablest men that the country ever saw placed it. Sir, perhaps the geutleman, in his extensive reading, knows something of Mahomet. He promuliga-ted certuin decrimes for the guidance of his deluded followers, and as often as he found that any of the dogmas became choosious, and in conflict with his practice, he kept expunging them and substituting others. So it is, it appears, with the demecratic

doctrines. They are changing from time to time. I have no doubt that democracy is as pure in politics as Mahomet in religion. We do not worship at that shrine, however. This Signal letter man, however, has quit us, and my friend from Mississippi—he will pardon me for calling him so—may sleep of nights. The truth is, the Senator will have to make up his mind to the fact that there will be an utter route of the democratical contents.

mund to the fact that there will be an utter route of the democratic party. I am sorry to say so to my firends over the way; for, although I have pride in victory, I have none in triumph.

Now, I want to ask the honorable Senator a few questions in regard to matters upon which I think the country is vastly interested in endeavoring to obtain information. In reference to Gen. Taylor, I think t is very likely that the country takes this view. They know him to be equal to every occasion. That safe, nuerr-Taylor, I think it is very likely that the country takes this view. They know him to be equal to every occasion. That safe, nuering sagacity, that steady judgment, as steady as his integrity is immoveable: that faculty of weighing and judging—the highest of the human mind—the great faculty of the lather of his country—all these farmish a full guarantee for a liberal confidence. No man living has been exposed to more trying situations and views—tudes—with a formidable cenumy in front, to say nothing of the guerrillero fire in the rear. No, not even the illustrions Scott, the first captain of the age, and the worst treated man in Christendom. arse captain of the age, and the worst treated man in Christendom, has been more exposed—and yet General Taylor is found justly equal to the occasion—and while building monuments of glory for his country more durable than marble, as durable as history, yet seemingly wholly unconscious of having done more than a naked

seemingly wholy unconscious or naving uone into what a det of common duty.

Althou called to the head of the government, he will call around him the ablest, wisest, and purest of his frends, his political san-heidrem—and after hearing all, he will then rely upon his own sound judgment, as did Washington before him.

Mr. FOOTE .- Who will be of that council or sanhedrim?

Mr. MANGUM .- I knew not, but suppose I were to name our friend Crittenden as one?

Mr. FOOTE.-I ask the Senator whether Mr. WEBSTER will make one of the council?

Mr. MANGUM .-- Mr. WEBSTER was cut up "horse, foot and dragoons" in the Philadelphia convention—and yet he has had the magnanimity to give in his adhesion to the nomination. He could magnaturity to give in he assumes to the assuments. It is not have done less with honor, than abide the decision of our recognized organ—the national whige convention. His eminest abidity entitles him to any position in his party or country. I know nothing of his wishes, or General Taylor's intentions in this repect. I should hardly think he would descend to a place in the

edition that it is a would married that it is desired to a piace in the cabinet. This chamber is the true theatre of his fame.

But it is said that General Taylor has written some of the weakest, as well as some of the most polished letters. I know not who writes General Taylor's letters, but I know this, that when he writes, he generally writes to the purpose; and that these same letters have done more to overthrow the democratic party than letters have done more to overthrow the democratic party than even his military renown. They are characterized by simplicity and force—they exhibit truth in all its nakedness, and yet in all its beauty. But the honorable Scantor has his sympathies excruciatingly touched by the overthrow—the ungrateful and heardess overthrow of Mr. Clay at Philadelphia. In a gusto feeling and sympathy as sincere as it is eloquent, he announces that Casar is dead and buried. As the firmed of Mr. Clay, I am groiced to used am order. As no treering of Mr. Clay, I am rejoiced to find these evidences of a returning sense of justice to the illustri-ous merits of that great man. If any thing could mitigate the deep sense his firedes entertain of the hard measure deatt out to Mr. Clay, it would be the hoper that Mr. Clay, now out of the public eye for public place—for when in the field, the terrors with public eye for public place—for when in the field, the terrors with which he inspired democracy left hum no chance for justice. But now Mr. Clay may live to witness a deep and universal sense on the part of his countrymen of the emment merits of his patriotic life. But "Casar is dead!" The ancient Casar, when he great-tly and gracefully tell, had yet the fortune to leave his Antony who gave tongues to wounds, and made even the stones to mutiny at the disaster, of Rome. the disaster, of Rome.

Our modern Cæsar-greater than the ancient, will find myriads Our modern Cresar—greater than the allowing, but her tears and all. I don't say crocodile tears—for I think the naturalists say it is all a mistake about crocodiles shedding tears—but tears of deep, and the same tears of the company of the compan be an a mistake and unavailing sorrow at the overthrow and death of our Cœsar—aye, and we may expect them wreathed in willow, and bearing boundets to make sad pligninages to his "political grave," to wet it with their tears and bestrew it with roses, by way of consecrating his memory in the affections of his country, men, and perchance with the better purpose—in expiation of the iniquitions viting cations, reveilings and calumnies they heaped upon him while living. Yes, the Senator does him no more than justice; he is the very first in our ranks—a man worthy than justice; he is the very first in our ranks—a man worring of all honor, but in the degeneracy of the age, and mader the bitter vituperation of his enemies, it would be impossible perhaps that be could carry the whig banner successfully through the contest. But we have another and a glorious old hero, who will nobly sustain every assault that is made upon him. But as to our friend General Cass. I understand the Senator to say

that he had never seen him in debate here that he had not been able to overthrow his antagonists. Was the Senator here in the time of 54° 20°? It those days: there was a Golish, hat ame from a State on this side of the Rocky Monnains. He came how? With a pears? No. With a hear? No. But as if with one hand be had torn up by the roots, the noblest of his own Missouri forest trees as if they were grass, and in the other carried the spear of his own Rocky Mountains, and heaped them all—mereliessly, remoreslessly, and unrelently, upon the devoted but philosophic head of the giant of 54° 40°, the nominace of the Baltimore convention. With what patience, endurance, and commendable philosophy he hore it all, I need not say. But I, who witnessed this war of the democratic gods, and more than all, the suffering, felt that I would not have undergone it for a nomination for the Presidency—mo for the office itself. But if the Senator did for the Presidency-nor for the office itself. But if the Senator did for the Presidency—nor for the once itself. But it the centard and not overthrow his antagonist on that occasion, I suppose it was be-cause he was a democrat. I would like to know what Gen. Cass would do, if cleeted, and the river and harhor hill should be passed by both Houses? I understand that he voted for the bill when it was before the Senate. I have seen a very interesting letter of the General's, written since the nomination, in which he gives his entire approbation, as I understand, to the course taken by this administration; and I believe that Mr. Cass would not have been the democratic candidate this day, but for that veto.

Mr. FOOTE .- Mr. Polk declared that he would not be a caudidate for re-election.

Mr. MANGUM.—But the truth is, the honorable Senator knows, that Mr. Polk is patriotic, and seeing his party hard pressed, he would have come to the reseue. But I want to know —Gen. Cass having in his letter of acceptance endorsed all the leading measures of the admissiration, and this heig one—what tactual decisions of the statement of the democratic party, which, according to the distinct of the democratic party, which, according to the distinct of the statement of the s

Mr. FOOTE .- There was no harm done.

Mr. MANGUM .-- No, sir; the Senator must be accustomed to it as a sort of practising lesson, for the great downtall of next 1 ber. But let us come back to the subject of the democratic platform I wish to know whether it includes internal improvement? General I wish to know whether it includes internal improvement? General Cass having expressed his approval of all the acts of this administration, I take it for granted he will be against all bills for opening harbors and improving rivers. The Scoator has somewhat taunted me with having given in my adhesion to Gen. Taylor, without knowing what his views and principles are. Now, I should like to know how it happens that he has given in his adhesion to Gen. Cass without Knowled with the shade of the state of the sta Should like to know now it bappens that he has given it has abus-sion to Gen. Cass without knowing what he would do if elected, I will pursue these inquiries from day to day. I take it for granted that as Mr. Cass approves all the measures of this administration, my honorable friend from Ohio [Mr Allen] is left in the lurch, whilst his ancient friend and compeer is sunning himself south of 49. Sup-pose that this fair sunny country of New Mexico should be created into a territory, and our friends at the north here, who are visited with many visions, should succeed in getting an act passed declar-ing that a man who goes there with his servants shall be prohib-ited from claiming their services, I would like to know what Gen Cass, in the event of his being President, would do in such a case? Would be veto the bill?

Mr. FOOTE.-Congress has no power to legislate on the

Mr. MANGUM—Then of course he would veto it. I have not read Mr. Cass's letter, because I did'nt know but that by the time I got through there night be a new edition of it. I should like to know, in the event that the new territory should determine to exclude slavery, and the question should come here, as it must, to receive the approhation of Congress, what would the President do in that case

Mr. BUTLER.—Will the honorable Senator give way for an adjournment. This debate has assumed a latitude which was not

Mr. MANGUM .- I will yield the floor to the gentleman if he will allow me one moment, that I may get an answer to the question; for I shall sleep more calmly after I obtain an answer to it. [A laugh.] I want to know, in the event the people of New Mexico determine to exclude slavery from their territory, and both Houses of Congress concur in passing an act for that pur pose, what course would Mr. Cass take if elected President, in reference to such a case?

Mr. FOOTE.-Mr. Cass has set forth his views in the most conspicuous manner in his letter of acceptance

On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# FRIDAY, JUNE 23, 1848.

#### CREDENTIALS.

Mr. BENTON presented the credentials of the honorable Henay Dobge, chosen a Senator by the Legislature of the State of Wisconsin; and the eath prescribed by law was administered to Mr. Dobge, as he took his seat in the Senate.

## THE PRIVATE CALENDAR

On motion by Mr. MASON, it was

Ordered, That the Senate will proceed to the consideration of private bills at 12 o'clock to-morrow.

#### PETITIONS.

Mr FELCH presented a memorial of citizens of Washington, in the District of Columbia, praying the purchase of Mount Vernon by the government; which was referred to the Committee on Militury Affairs.

ABOLITION OF SLAVERY IN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

Mr. HALE submitted the following resolution for consideration:

Resolved. That the Committee on the District of Columbia be instructed to report
a bill abolishing slavery in said basinet.

# PRIVATE BILLS.

Mr. UNDERWOOD, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the bills from the Honse of Representatives for the relief of P. B. Gratiot, and the legal representatives of Henry Gratiot, reported the same without amendment.

Mr. BALDWIN, from the same committee, to whom the following bills from the House of Representatives were referred—

An act for the rehelf of the leval representatives of David Gardner, of Southborough, Massachusetts

An net for the reheff of the legal representatives of Captain George R. Showmaker, descented

An act for the relief of Cha- Abrentelit and John F. B. Vogt reported the same without amendment.

### INDIAN CLAIMS.

Mr. ATCHISON, from the Committee on Indian Affairs, to whom was referred a resolution to authorize the adjudication of of certain Indian claims, under the act of 23d August, 1842, reported the same without amendment.

# THE CHOCTAW ACADEMY

Mr. ATCHISON, from the Committee on Indians Affairs, to whom the subject was referred reported a bill to compensate R. M. Johnson for the erection of certam buildings for the use of the Choctaw academy, which was read and passed to the second

# ORDER TO PRINT.

On motion by Mr. ATCHISON, it was

Ordered, That the document accompanying the joint resolution to authorize the adjudication of certain Indian claims under the act of 23d August, 1842, be printed.

### RICHARD FIELDS.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. TURNEY asked and obtained leave to bring in a resolution for the relief of Richard Fields, which was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Judiciary Affairs.

The Senate proceeded to consider the resolution submitted the 19th mst., by Mr. Downs respecting the assignment of seats in the Senate.

On motion by Mr. BADGER, it was

Ordered. That the resolution lie on the table.

# FOREIGN MAILS.

The Senate proceeded to consider their nmendments, nmended and disagreed to by the House of Representatives, to the hill to amend the act to provide for the transportation of the mail between the United States and foreign countries; and it was

Resideed, That they recele from then 3d amendment disgreed to by the House of Representatives, and concur in the an endment of the House of Representatives to the 9th amendment by the Senate

# WYANDOTTS AND DELAWARDS.

The joint resolution to sanction the agreement made between the Wyandott and Delawares for the purchase of certain lands,

by the former of the latter tribe of Indians, was read the second time and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and no amendment being made it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered; That the resolution be engrossed and read the third time.

The said resolution was read a third time.

Resolved. That this resolution pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives.

# MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

Mr President The Speaker of the House of Representatives having signed tee enrolled bills, and an enrolled resolution, I am directed to bring them to the Medite for the signature of their President.

They have passed the joint resolution from the Senate authorizing the presentation to the government of France of a series of the standard weights and measures of the Inited with an aimendment.

United with an amendment.

They have also passed the bill from the Senate respecting certain surveys in the State of Florals with an amendment in which amendments they request the concentrate of the Senate.

# TESTIMONY RESPECTING LOSSES IN THE FLORIDA WAR

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill providing for the obtaining of testimony in relation to claims for losses sustained in the late Florida war.

Mr. DICKINSON had no doubt that the words should be struck out—that it seemed to him that the bill would still be defective. There should be a provision for the cross-examination of witnesses.

Mr. WESTCOTT said that the bill did contain such a provi-

Mr. DICKINSON replied, that he was aware of it; but it was to be conducted by the judge. There should be some one to conduct it on the part of the government. If the duty of cross-examining witnesses and recording testimony devolved upon the officer who was to decide upon the admissibility of evidence and the propriety of pursoning it, it was obvious that great embarrassment would be the result.

Mr. WESTCOTT contended that if the bill were as the Sensor from New York supposed, his objection would be effective—But in order to obviate the objection would be effective—But in order to obviate the objection of the merely the attorney of the government, the bill was so muended as to meet that objection. The only objection that seemed to strike members of the Senate, when it was under consideration the other day, was, that tenacted a special law for Florida, and that there was an impropriety in it inasumed as a proposition to establish a general board claims had been presented to Congress. But the species of claims for which this bill was intended to provide could not be determined by that board. The expense and of depositions by mail, would cost the Intel States more than the salary of the commis-

## Mr. DICKINSON still insisted on his objection.

Mr. WESTCOTT suggested that the District Attorney might be required to attend and act as attorney for the government.— The President could appoint him, and it would be preferable to have him from another State.

Mr. DICKINSON said that he had anticipated that suggestion. He did not object to the cost of the plan proposed by this bill, for these claims went by tens of thousands of dollars. But the comissioner should not have double duty cast upon him. The duries of attorney and commissioner should be separate, and be disclarged by different individuals. As the system, if adopted, must be extended to every Natte and territory in which truns had been committed, it was, in his opinion, advisable to start

Mr. CLAYTON was in favor of the bill if it could be so modified as to make its provisions consistent with the previous action of the Senate in cases of this description. He supposed that no one imagined that the government was, bound to pay the claimst in all these cases. The principles which had governed the Senate in the payment of claims on account of Indian depredations were well settled. Wherever private property was taken for public use, or destroyed in consequence of the United States troops occupying places as military posts, the parties had received adequate compensation, but it never was contended, as he undertood, that the government ought to pay every person whose pro-

perty had been destroyed in that Florida war. Where then was the necessity of taking testimony in cases in which it was not in-tended to pay a farthing? Why incur the expense and trouble of taking testimony to establish the fact that such a man's house was taking testimony to establish the fact that such a man's house was burnt by the Indians, when it was known in advance that the government did not intend to pay? If the bill were so amended as to provide that testimony should be taken only in those cases in which the government were bound to pay, he would willingly yote for it. There was much force in the remarks of the Senator from New York, that in taking testimony there ought to be some ground interposed in behalf of the United States.

Mr- WESTCOTT stated that there was an express provision inserted in the bill by the honorable Senator from Kentucky, requiring the commissioner to cross-examine the witnesses in regard to the value of the property and every incident connected with the case.

Mr. CLAYTON said it seemed to him that the government should be represented. The commissioner was to act in some s ns a judge. It was proper that there should be some one then to protect the interest of the government, especially when the claims were of such magnitude. When the testimony would be returned, and whole volumes of it presented, would it not be argued that cases of great hardship have been disclosed, and that although not coming within the rule of action heretofore adopted by the Senate, yet that justice and humanity require that provision the Senate, yet that justice and humanity require that provision should be made far them? If Congress commenced in this way, where were they to end? They would be obliged to pay not only the claimants in these cases, but all claimants for Indian depreda-tions in all other cases. There would be no end to it, and the result would be the expenditure of millions upon millions of the public money in cases in which the government had not heretofore felt bound to make payment.

Mr. MASON remarked that the bill had been considered with Mr. MASON remarked that the bill had been considered with some care, and with a design to prevent, if practicable, the impositions which the committee have reason to believe had hera, and would continue to be practised on the government through these claims from the State of Florida. He had no objection that in accordance with the suggestion of the Senator from the State of Florida, the commissioner should have authority to determine whether it was or was not a claim which ought to be allowed.— The bill made provision that the petition must be filed before the The only make provision that the petition must be filled before the commissioner, and the character of the claim be fully set forth.—
The real object of the bill was to obtain testimony on the spot. It was insisted by the Senator from New York that there should It was insisted by the Senator from New Xork that there should be some officer or agent, under the authority of the bill, to repre-sent the government. But he did not see any reason why the bill should be encumbered, or the expenses increased by adding an agent. He saw no impropriety in assigning to the commissioner the duty of taking testimony on both sides.

Mr. UNDERWOOD remarked that the object of the bill was to protect the interests of the government. With reference to the objection of the Senator from Delaware, in relation to the taking of testimony in cases where the government did not mean to pay, of testimony in cases where the government did not mean to pay, he would remark that it was impossible to say, without examination, whether the clear fell within the description given by the Senator front Delaware, or not. Of course the commissioner would be vested with some discretion as to the cases into which an examination was to be instituted. He thought that the experience of members would satisfy them of the value and propriety of such an arrangement as the bill proposed.

Mr. BADGER concurred with the gentlemen from New York and Delaware in their objections to this bill. He contended that there should be in this, as in all other legal cases, a rule of rele-vancy by which the commissioner would be enabled to determine whether the testimony offered was proper or not. It was certain that in the bill there was either no restraint upon the admission of that in the bill there was either no restraint upon the aumission of evidence, or the matter was left to the discretion of the commissioner. It seemed to him then that the objection was well founded, and that the bill ought to describe the classes of cases in reference to which the testimony was to be taken, and that the releancy of testimony to be taken by the commissioner should not be vancy of testimony to be taken by the commissioner should not be determined by the exercise of an arbitrary discretion on his part. He had several other objections to the bill, with which he would not now trouble the Senate. He was glad to find the bigbly respectable Committees of Claims, in both houses, engaged in deviaing some general system by which these claims upon the government should receive a full and satisfactory examination and settlement. He asked for the yeas and nays upon the question.

Mr. WESTCOTT remarked that if the Senator from North Carolina had examined the bill attentively be would have seen that there was no ground for his objection. In the first place he had mistaken the object of the bill. The commissioner was not to act as judge except with regard to relevancy of testimony.

Mr. BADGER remarked that the bill expressly declared that the commissioner should give a written opinion on the merits of

Mr. WESTCOTT reminded the Senator, that in order to obviate the objection of the Senator from Connecticut, that very sentence had been stricken out.

Mr. BADGER asked if the decision on the relevancy of testimeny was not a judicial decision?

30TH CONG .- 1sT SESSION-No. 96.

Mr. WESTCOTT replied that the commissioner merely occupied the position of an arbitrator between the parties. cision was to be left to Congress.

On motion of Mr. BRIGHT, it was

Ordered, That the further consideration of the bill be postponed

#### THE OREGON BULL.

Mr. BRIGHT moved that the prior orders be postponed for the purpose of proceeding to the consideration of the bill to establish the territorial government of Oregon.

Mr. BRIGHT demanded the yeas and nays on his motion, which were ordered; and it was determined in the affirmative as follows:

YEAS — Massa Alise, Ashhian, Asheron, Benton, Budand, Bedlant, Bergil, Dava, of Massingh, Devicton, Ber, Down, Felde, Ettracula Brea Hale, Handen, Haneryan, Housten, Hunter, Lewis, Mason, Niele, Rask, Solvator, Hale, Handen, Haneryan, Housten, Hunter, Lewis, Mason, Niele, Rask, Solvator, Solvator, and Tameryan, Handen, Santan, Garaga, Garaga, and Carlotte, Garaga, Capita, Garaga, Capita, Garaga, Garaga, Bell, Rairen, Balter, Galzke, Chylan, Chynn, Deis, of Massachnetts, Green, Johnson of Ma., Johnson, of La., Mills Phello, Spranner, Underwond, Uphan, and Westenlin-Hale.

The Senate then resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill to establish the territorial government of Oregon.

Mr. BUTLER hoped that the gentleman from North Carolina would excuse him from any want of courtesy in moving, yester-day, an adjournment while he had the floor. His expectation when he did so was, that he would be allowed by the Senate to resume his remarks to-day.

Mr. MANGUM said that it was wholly immaterial whether he spoke that day or another. Any one who was acquainted with the Senator from South Carolina knew that he was the last one that could be charged with want of courtesy.

Mr. WESTCOTT stated that he was as much in favor of taking up the Oregon territorial bill as any one, but it was because the Senator from North Carolina was entitled as a matter of cour-tesy, if not of right, to the floor this morning, that he voted against its being taken up.

Mr. BRIGHT observed that at the time he made the motion he had not the Scnator's position in mind. Ho was asked the day before to yield the floor a few moments, and the result was a debate which consumed the entire day.

Mr. MANGUM was perfectly content with the decision of the

Mr. YULEE would call the attention of the Senate before the Mr. YULEE would call the attention of the Senate before the debate on the bill was proceeded with, to a section which might require some alteration unless it contained then what was de-signed by the committee. He found by the first section of the bill it was proposed to cover the whole of our possessions west of the Rocky Mountains, so that it would include California and all the rest of the country under the title of Oregon. He would call the attention of the Senate particularly to that fact.

Mr. BRIGHT said he had stated, when this bill was before the Senate the other day, that the chairman of the Committee on Territories was absent; and that the portion of the committee left Territories was absent; and that the portion of the committee left did not exactly understand each other with reference to the bill at the time it was reported. The Senator from Sent Carolian Bullet attack that he did not understand the 12th section of the bill or he should not have consented to the report. The Senator from Florida does not understand the first section. He hoped the bill would be passed as it was read, without any atteration. The question them before the Senate was upon the amendment offered question them before the Senate was upon the amendment offered question them to the mendment offered to the senate was upon the amendment offered to the senate was upon the senate was upon the senate was upon the senate was upon the senate was the senate was upon the senate was the sena by the Senator from Mississippi.

Mr. HANNEGAN remarked that the territory was north of the parallel of 42°.

The PRESIDING OFFICER stated that the amendment ending was the one offered by the Senator from Mississippi, in the following words :

After the ward "inhabitants" insert the following—"but shall not be subject to the restriction expressed in the sixth nucle of the compact contained in the ordinance of seventeen hundred and eighty-seven, for the government of the territory of the United States marthwest of the new Obio."

Mr. DIX suggested that that was offered as an amendment to the amendment of the Senator from Georgia

Mr. FOOTE regarded it as an amendment of the 12th section. It was a proposition emanating from the Senator from North Carolina, and accepted by him as a substitute for the amendment which he had originally made.

Mr. BERRIEN explained that his motion was to strike out the 12th section. The motion, as he understood it then before the Senate, was the amendment offered by the Senator from Mississippi, and as soon as that was disposed of then came up his motion to strike out the whole section.

Mr. BRIGHT would state the question as he understood it. Mr. BRIGH1 would state the question as he understood it.— The Senator from Georgia made a motion to strike out the 12th section. The Senator from Mississippi moved an amendment, and accepted as a substitute the amendment offered by the Sena-tor from North Carolina. The vote was first to be taken on the 762

amendment, and after that upon the motion of the Senator from Georgia to strike out.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi.—I do not see what value can be attacked to the amendment which is proposed. It is merely introduced, it seems to me, to declare a thing merely introduced, it seems to me, to declare a thing can be more appeared by the control of the c

Mr. PADGER.—As I suggested the amendment accepted by the Senator from Missisippins a substitute for his, it is, perhaps, to peraction of the state of the property of the period of the state of the period of the twelfth scotion were stricken out there would be no necessity for the introduction of the pending amendment. But the case arises in this way. The introductory words of that section conformation of the period of the pending amendment. But the case arises in this way. The introductory words of that section conformation of the pending amendment of the pending amendment. But the case arises in this way. The introductory words of that section conformation of the pending amendment of the case of the pending that the pending the pending that the pending the pending that the pending th

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi.—I would ask if it was the purpose of the Senator from North Carolina to conclude this question, why he did not at once declare that the inhibition against slavery, as in Iowa, was not extended to Oregon?

Mr. BADGER.—There are different ways of doing the same thing.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland.—I was not here when this bill was before the Senate, and perhaps I have put an improper meaning on the amendment of the Senator from North Garolina, who has just spoken. I rise nerely to state what I consider to be the true interpretation of the bill as it originally stood, and of the amendment proposed by the Senator from North Garolina bill this compared to the state of the senator of the senato

"That the inhabitants of the said territory shall be entitled to all the rights, privileges and immunities heretofore granted and secured to the territory of lown and to its obshibutes."

That means precisely the same thing as if you were to add the words, "and no others." They have no rights, privileges or immunities, except those we are about to grant. Their whole existence will depend upon our grant; and if we tell then they shall have no rights, privileges or immunities other than those given to the territory of Iowa—as the inhabitants of the territory of Iowa have in inhabitants of the territory of Iowa have in inhabitants of the territory of Iowa—as the inhabitants of the territory of Iowa—as the inhabitants when the top the territory of Iowa—as the inhabitants of the territory of Iowa—as the inhabitant when the top the territory of Iowa—as the inhabitant when the inhabitant will be to the inhabitant will be to be the inhabitant will be sufficiently and inhabitant will be inhabitan

—"and the existing laws now in force in the Teintory of Oregon, under the authority of the provisional government established by the people thereof, shall continue to be valid and operative therein, so far as the same be not sucompatible with the provisions of this set."

If by the laws of Oregon slavery has been excluded there by this section, you no about to say that that exclusion is right. But you go a step further, and say that that exclusion whill be permanent until put an end to by the people of Oregon who are there now. Citizens of the South may desire to go there with their slaves, but cannot, for two reasons, if you pass the bill in the form in which it now stands. First, they cannot go, because the rights, permited to lows, and they cannot go into lows. Secondly, the noment you cross the threshold of that territory with one of your slaves that moment he becomes free by vitrue of the local laws of

Oregon, which you are now about to pass. If you pass the law as it now stands slavery will be just as forcibly prohibited in the Territory of Oregon as if you were to attach to this bill the Wil-

But oven if it was doubtful—which I maintain it is not—whether starry will be inhibited in the Territory of Oregon by the passage of the bill, I contend that it will be made certain by the acceptance of the amendment of the Senator from North Carolina.— What is it, sir? I t does not propose to alter a single word in the provision of the 12th section, but leaves it as it came from the committee. Let us see how it reads:

After the word "inhibit ante" meet the following—"that shall not be subject to the estriction expressed in the sixth article of the compact contained in the ordinance of eventeen hundred and test testeven, for the government of the territory of the United State northwest of the river Olus.

Now, sir, what is the effect of this proposed amendment? By the 6th article of the ordinance of 87s, davery is prohibited in the territury of lown; and by the restrictions of the 6th article, slavery is prohibited within certain sections of the 6th article, slavery is prohibited within certain sections of certifory and is allowed by not being prohibited in certain other sections. What is to be effected by this amendment? I is it to allow slavery? If it is, it is inconsistent with the bill. Is it to prohibit slavery? If it is, it is prohibited in the bill. Is it to the stands? It is even so. In effect it leaves the bill precisely where it was before. The is one of three consequences necessarily resulting from this amendment. It either authorizes slavery, or prohibits slavery, or demoting. Now, str. is there any thing in the 6th article of the ordinance of '87, which authorizes slavery in the territory of lows. Why, then, incons of the ordinance of '87, that we mean as the stream of the ordinance of '87, that we mean as a first of the ordinance of '87, that we mean as a first of the ordinance of '87, that we mean as a first of the ordinance of '87, that we mean as a first of the ordinance of '87, that we mean as a first of the ordinance of '87, that we mean as a first of the ordinance of '87, that we mean as the ordinance of '87, that we mean

Do you seek, Senators from the North, to prohibit slavery at all times in new territory? Do you, Senators from the South, say that such a provision is right? Let us know it, and see the platform upon which you stand. Sir, the case is clear. Pass the bill as it stands, and slavery will never be allowed within the territory of Oregon. Let the people know the true design of this bill. Do not pass a bill couched in such phraseology that it will remain at least a matter of doals, whether it is its purpose to exclude or establish slavery. It wish the Scandin form of the three three

Mr. FOOTE.—As my object in accepting the amendment of the Senator from North Carolina was simply to millify the odious feature of the 12th section, I will state that in conformity with the suggestions of several Senators, the amendment will be withdeay.

Mr. BADCER.—I will consent cheerfully to the withdrawed of my amendent after I have said a word or two in explanation of its Maryland stated when he began, that he was not here when the bill was before discussed, and therefore, left us to infer that he was not very well acquainted with the particular provision, and what the effect and bearing of the 12th section. If my friend ind not stated this fact, he will allow me with all good feeling and respect to observe, that his observations made on the subject of the amendment would have sufficiently manifested it. It says the samendment has one of three effects, it either authorizes slavery, or prohibits it, or guletly accurate in his phraseology, appears to me to be a remarkable one. He says the effect of this amendment will be to prohibit slavery in the territory, that it will rather have that effect or none at all he less with the effect of the samendment will be to prohibit slavery in the territory, that it will rather have that effect or none at all he hases his argument upon this—that the section gives to the inhabitants of the territory the same rights, privileges, and immunities, which were possessed by the people of the territory of lowa, and as slavery supportants to make the support of the same prohibited in the territory more prortaint point pricing, a correct one. Signif we mean to extend a perpetual prohibition of slavery over this territory, the amendment of course would have no effect, but if we do not, the amendment is absolutely necessary. The purpose of this statute is to center upon the people of Oregon, the rights, privileges, and immunities, afteredy conferred by a statuto of the Virted States upon the people of I owa. What they are we learn by referring to the statute. It provides,

"That the inhal-stants of the said tenitory shall be entitled to all the rights, privileges, and impainties heretofour granted and secured to the Territory of towa and to its inhabitants."

When we come to read this statute in the laws of Iowa, making reference to the rights, 8c., of the people of Iowa, we find that we are just as har from understanding upon the face of it what it he subject matter of the declaratory enactment, as we were before. We are, therefore, under the absolute necessity of turning back, and referring to the statute containing an explanation of the rights, 8c., of the people of Wisconsin, to which the statute we find.

"That the inhabitants of said territory shall be entitled to all and singular the rights, privileges, and immunities granted and secured to the people of the territory of the United States north-west of the river Only, by the articles of the growth must not officiance for the government of the said territory, passed 13th July 1777.

edisance for the government of the raid tenuor; passed 12th 14th, 1377. Hence, the provision of this bill is to confor upon the inhabitants of Oregon the rights, privileges, and immuniles conierred upon the people of Iowa. But as the statute confers no particular privileges upon the people of Iowa, only the same as conferred upon the people of Iowa, only the same as conferred upon the people of Wisconsin, we have to look hele into the laws of Wisconsin in order to ascertian what are the privileges referred to a continuous contained to the province of the laws of Wisconsin in order to ascertian what are the privileges referred to contained to the second of the laws of Wisconsin in adopted to the whole of the articles of compact contained in the ordinance of 87. The question, then, naturally present itself, in-asmuch as the territory of Wisconsin is subject to the whole of the articles of compact contained in the ordinance of 87, including restrictions as well as grants, is it the intention of these general words contained in this 12th section to put in force in the territory of Oregon the restriction of that ordinance, as well as the grants? It seems to me that it is not at all out of a law of the section as a seconding to my interpretation of the matter, that granting to these inhabitants of Oregon the rights, &c., specified, does not put in force the restrictions enumerated. I was content with the 12th section as it stood, but inasmuch as I learned from gentlemen conversant with that the words of the clause, as they store not will ago to affine that the words of the clause, as they store not will ago to affine that the words of the creation in force—Soppose that, instead of this general reference, the words of the statute should read—

"That the inhabitants of the said territory of Oregon shall be cuttled to enjoy all and singular the rights, privileges, and immunities granted and secured to the people of the territory of the United States more have of the river (blood, bld the articles of the compact contained in the ordinance of 13th July, 1787, but shall not be subject to the restrictions contumed in the 6th article."

Would not its meaning be clear and intelligible? And what this enactment would do in the way I have supposed it is benedit read, this enactment would do in the way I have supposed the insertion of the question clear and indisputable, I proposed the insertion of my amendment. If by any interpretation of this have the prohibition contained in the ordinance of '87 is applied to and made hinding on the inhabitants of that territory, then you proclude those inhabitants from any control whatever over the subject. Even lift was their manimous opinion that no prohibition can be put upon the introduction of savery, they could not alter the restrictions, because of a findamental cancetment put in force there beyond their control. Whereas, regarding the creating as a deal letter, this the allowance or disallowance of slavery in the subject to the depend upon? It depends upon the territorial legislation of the whole of the people of Oregon one's living there; and that is just exactly where I want to place it. As I have already said, I believe Congress has absolute control over this whole subject. I do not consider that territories have any jurisdiction over the question of slavery, except as Congress (orders it upon them by giving them legislative expets a Congress offers it upon them by giving them legislative and the expedience of passing them are two very different things. In my view they should be left to the legislation of the territory, and the said of the property, and the said of the property, and the said hy the honorable Senator from Maryland, at a territory to draw up their own laws, rather than to imprese laws upon them. It is said by the honorable Senator from Maryland, that inasmuch as we give effect to the laws already passed by that territory, and they shall otherwise the endmitted there. Prohably it never will. I know that the people do not want it, and I have not the slightest disposition to put it upon them.

The Senator from Florida states that among the laws there is another monstrons proposition—that they have actually problished ite introduction into the erritory of any ardent spirits, declaring that it should be seized. What disposition they propose to make experimentally a state of the propose of the property the moment it enters their territory becomes mull and void. Now my opinion is that such a provision would be a very unwise one, and that it is a lear innovation of the rights of property; for it matters not whether a man enters the territory which are the property in the proper

The amendment was here withdrawn

Mr. BERRIEN .- I submitted the motion to strike out the 12th section of this bill, not for the purpose of provoking the discussion which has followed; and I also abstained at the time I made it from offering any suggestions in favor of it. My purpose was to have a distinct vote upon the question which was presented by the section, whether you mean to exercise the legislative authority of see on, wenter you mean to oxercise the regislative authority of this government to inbilit slavery in the territories of the Union? I am glad that the Senator from Mississippi and the Senator from North Carolina have united withdrawn the amendment which they proposed, which amendment I consider with all due respect to the gentleman from North Carolina as perfectly nugatory—as a to be genleman from North Carolina as perfectly nugatory—as a more waste paper. I desire to see this question presented to the American Senate for their consideration unembarrassed by any amendment which might veil from the public view the real ques-tion at issue. I believe it is the first time in the history of our leamountment when might ventrom the phase wew the reat gracial and a same and the provision of the provision of the provision of the provision of the law exhaust of the provision of the provision of the law exhaust of the provision of the provision of the law exhaust of the provision of the provision of the law exhaust of the provision of the provision of the law exhaust of the provision of the same section that the laws of the provisional government shall continue in force until altered by the legislature? Every one can perceive that the laws of the provisional government are a nallity, and have no force or validity except as you give it to them. These laws were supposed to have a certain degree of force, from the fact that there was a body of American citizens within that territory, permitted posed to have a certain degree on lorce, from the fact that there was a body of American citizens within that territory, permitted to go there by federal authority, and yet not protected by federal legislation. Out of such a state of things it was supposed arose a necessity which entitled these to form a government for them. selves. Now the answer to this case of supposed necessity is this. If, ex necessitate, these people were invested with powers to form a government to regulate each other, the necessity in which the a government, to require case outer, one necessity in which the authority originated must limit the exercise of the power. There was no necessity for the extension of that assumed authorize them to say, who hereafter should come into their territory. The idea is not to be tolerated for a moment, that the first settlers in this region of country should get into their territory. The idea is not to be tolerated for a moment, that the first settlers in this region of country should get together, form a provisional government, and say to the citizens of the United States, scattered throughout the Union, to whom the country belonged, that nobody should come there except such persons as they shall specify. That is a proposition to monstrous to be thought of for a moment, yet it is but little short of it to maintain the proposition I hear maintained upon this floor, and which is maintained clsewhere, that you can delegate by any act authority that can be exercised by this government to these few straggling sets that or shell not be permitted to enter their territory. I consider that the political hereay in the one case is equivalent to the other, except that in the latter case we shall have permitted ourselves to deligate a power which we do not possess. I regret exceedingly the course this delate has taken; but gondenen having seen it to discussi it in a manner which imposes upon one, situated as I am, and standing in the relation that I do to a certain portion of the people of the Union, the duty to advance respectfully yet faithfully, the sentiments which they feel, and to maintain the rights which they hold sacred, I shall do my whole daty, as Iar as I may be able, to them and to my country. I presume the question now to be taken is upon the motion to strike out the 12th section, now unencumbered with any amendment.

Mr. JOHNSON. of Maryland.—The question before the Seante is whether the 12th section shall stand or not. My habitual deformers the section of the season of

or restriction. Whether, as a general rule of interpretation, the prohibition is not right, it is not necessary at present for me to say, shough if it he like all other general rules, it is liable to exceptions. Now, I propose in a word or two to state why, it is the rule, it does not rule to the rule, it does not rule to the rule of the ru

"All the rights, privileges, and immunities heretofore grained and secured to the territory of Iowa and to its inhabitants."

What are they? We find by the 12th section of the territorial laws of Iowa, that

"The inhabitants of said territory shall be entitled to all the rights, privileges, and immunities heretofore granted and secured to the territory of Wisconsin and to it in-

Not satisfied when we come to logislate for lowa with investing hem with all the rights, privileges, and immunities possessed by the people of Wisconsin, and leaving open to enactments whether a great of that description shall be subject to all the qualifications imposed upon the territory of Wisconsin, Congress goes on to say

"The inhabitants of and territory shall be entitled to all and singular the lights, privileges, and immunities granted and secured to the people of the territory of the Vinited States northwest of the river Ohio, by the articles of compact contained in the ordinance for the government of the territory, passed on the 13th of July, 1787."

What are the conditions, restrictions, and qualifications to be found in that compact? Here they are, sir:

"There shall be neither slavery nor involuntary servitude in the said territory other was then in the punishment of crimes, whereof the party shall have been duly victed." con-

Vou are, then, about to give to the people of the territory of Oregon all the rights, &c. of the people of the territory of Iowa. All the rights? What are they? Have they any right to legislate upon the question of slavery? No, sir. They have only the rights conferred upon the people of the territory of Wisconsin. And what are they? They are the rights conferred upon the people of the territory of Wisconsin. And what are they? They are the rights conferred upon the results of the right conferred upon the round the right conferred upon the round the right conferred upon the round the right conferred upon the results of the right to establish slavery.

This you have the result before you. Pass the bill as it saneds.

northwest of the Ohio river.

Thus you have the result before you. Pass the bill as it stands, and the moment a southern man with slave property attempts to enter the limits of Oregon, that moment the becomes dispossessed of his slaves. A judge of one of the supreme courts recently expressed it as his opinion, that in territory recently acquired where slavery did not exist by the local that it recreases the standard where slavery did not exist by the local of Congress. The opinion was based upon the ground that the local law, no matter what its origin, the moment it eams within the limits or jurisdiction of the United States became the law of territorial intercurse with the United States became the law of territorial intercurse with the United States have a flectually as if it had legitimately originated by the power of Congress. Slavery does not exist by the laws of New Mexico, California, and Oregon; and the proposition thus laid down in respect to these territories is, that slavery cannot be extended to them without active legitestion about which jurists borthin such a decided opinion, as did this one, pass this bill, and the argument now in their hands is strengthened, and bo-comes irresible.

Mr. DIX.—The question before the Senate is on striking out the 12th section. Upon that motion I wish to speak.

Mr. WESTCOTT moved that the quesion be postponed until Monday next, in order to give the Senator from New York a full apportunity to speak to it.

Mr. DIX took the floor, and it was

Ordered, That the further consideration of the bill be postponed until Monday noxt.

#### DAVID MYERLE

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the coast-deration of the bill for the relief of David Myerle.

Mr. UNDERWOOD remerked that the pending question before the Seant on this subject was the amendment to the bill. He had modified the amendment in two particulars in consequence of some remarks offered by the Senator from North Carolina during the progress of the debate upon the subject a short time since in which these modifications were suggested and their reasonableness satisfactorily advocated. The first was an allowance—the insertion of a clause allowing to Myerle — dollars for the expenses incurred while attending upon the committees of Congress and securing information respecting the claim. This blank could be filled up with twenty three or more handred dollars, or whatever amount Congress thought D. Myerle justly entitled to for his expense of the contracts with the government. It may be possible that Myerle could not give the requisite security, could not get it; and therefore the amendment as it originally stood would do him great injustice. He flux. U.] had so modified the amendment as that if Myerle could not give the requisite security, sould not get it; and therefore the amendment as that if Myerle could not give the requisite security, that the money should be withheld for six months by the Secretary of the Treasury until a sait at law could be instituted when the chancellor would of contact and the first of the contract and of facts connected with the case, citing from numerous documents as he proceeded confirmatory evidence of the statements presented. He contended that Myerle was a man destitute of means, that he had drawn upon Montmollin and Cornwall almost wholly for the funds that enabled him to proceed with the contract; and that therefore in the allowance of the claim of Myerle, Congress should provide as far as practicable for the re-imbursement of Montmollin and Cornwall, Myerle's woor present and the contract and and contract and contract and the contract and and contract and contract

MRI, BUERRIEN briefly reviewed the merits of the claim. The proposition submitted to the consideration of the Senate by the honorable Senator from Kentucky, to make Montmollin and Cornwall the principal general creditors in the case, he regarded as a most extraordinary one. The claim of Myerle rested upon no such small basis as that of violation of contract. It was a claim advantage and adventured all he was worth at the instance of this government. Myerle did have means when he undertook to idifful the contract. In making the agreement with Montmollin and Cornwall, Myerle had been the subject of usurious exactions. The whole responsibility, and of the contract and the loss that might be incurred in case of failure in the quality of the hemp to be prepared for the government was to fall upon Myerle.

Mr. BERRIEN here yielding the floor for a moment-

Mr. BENTON said he was well acquainted with all the facts in the case, and was prepared to prove them when more time was afforded for doing so.

The further consideration of the bill was then postponed.

On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# SATURDAY, JUNE 24, 1848.

#### REPORT FROM THE SOLICITOR OF THE TREASURY.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a report of the Solicitor of the Treasury, on the claim of the Rev. B. Madeon to certain lands occupied by the government of the United States, at St. Augustine, Florida; which was read, referred to the Commit-tee on Private Land Claims, and ordered to be printed, with such of the decuments accompanying the same as the committee may deem proper.

#### REPORT FROM THE TREASURY DEPARTMENT

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a report of the Secretary of the Treasury, made in compliance with a resolution of the Scuate, in relation to the necessity of a new custom house at the city of Charleston, South Carolina; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce.

#### PETITIONS.

Mr. D1X presented a petition of citizens of Rochestor, New York, praying that the Tonawanda band of Sonoca Indians may be compensated for their lands taken by the United States under the treaties of 183a and 1842 with the Senesa Indians; which was referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

#### SIGNING OF BILLS, ETC.

The VICE PRESIDENT signed the following enrolled bills and enrolled resolution :

An act giving further time for satisfying claims for bounty lands, and for other

An act for the relief of Phineas Capen, administrator of John Cox, deceased, of Boston.

Ao act for the relief of Silas Waterman

An act for the relief of the legal representatives of James Brown, deceased.

An act to regulate the exchange of certain documents, and other publications

An act for the relief of the legal heirs of John Snyder, deceased. An act to prevent the importation of adulterated and apunous drugs and mega

An act making appropriations for the payment of revolutionary and other pensions of the United States, for the year ending the 30th June, 1849.

An act to amend the act entitled "An act for the regulation of seamen on board the public and private vessels of the United States," passed the Jd March, 1813. An net for the relief of William B. Slaughter, Inte Secretary of the Territory of Wis-

A resolution in favor of David Shaw and Solomon T. Corse

# ADVERSE REPORTS.

Mr. DOWNS, from the Committee on Indian Affairs, to whom was referred the petition of certain Sencea, Onondaga, and Cayaga Indians, submitted an adverse report, which was ordered to be printed.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the Committee on Pon-sions, to whom was referred the memorial of Mary Morris Foot, submitted an adverse report, which was ordered to be printed.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the Committee on Pensions, to whom was referred the petition of Levi Wells, submitted an adverse report, which was ordered to be printed.

## PRIVATE BILL

Mr. DOWNS, by unanimous consent, asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill for the reliof of W. Woodward, which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

# ABOLITION OF SLAVERY IN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

The Senate proceeded to consider the resolution submitted by Mr. Hale the 23d instant, that the Committee on the District of Columbia be instructed to report a bill abolishing slavery in the District of Columbia; and

On the question to agree thereto-

Mr. WESTCOTT demanded the yeas and nays, which were erdered, and it was determined in the negative, as follows:

YEAS .- Messrs. Baldwin, Clarke, Corwin, Davis, of Massachusetts, Halo, Miller YEAN—Mesra Baldwin, Clarke, Corwin, Davis, of Massachaetts, Itale, Diller, Mannander, Markander, Mannander, Markander, Ma

## OFFICERS OF THE CUSTOMS AT BALTIMOR

The Senate proceeded to consider the resolution submitted by Mr. Sohnson, of Maryland, the 19th instant, relative to the number and compensation of officers employed at the custom house at Baltimore; and the resolution was agreed to

The Senate proceeded to consider the resolution submitted the 2d instant, by Mr Davis, of Mississippi, respecting the portrait of Baron de Kalb, presented to Congress, and the resolution was agreed to.

## DISCIPLINE OF THE NAVY, ETC.

#### On motion by Mr. YULEE, it was

Ordered, That so much of the bill from the House of Representatives making appropriations for the unwal service for the year ending the 30th June, 1849, as relates to the discipline and organization of the navy, and improvements of navy yards, be referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

Mr ATHERTON moved that the vote agreeing to the motion last mentioned be reconsidered; and it was

Ordered That the further consideration thereof be postponed nntil to-morrow.

# RECONSIDERATION AND REFERENCE.

# On motion by Mr. BREESE, it was

Ordered, That the vote ordering a report of the Secretary of the Treasury communicating a report of Dr. David Dale Owen on certain geographical explorations, to be printed, be reconsidered; and that the report be referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

#### ADVERSE REPORTS CONCURRED IN

The Senate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee of Claims on the memorial of Ann B. Cox; and in concurrence therewith, it was

Resolved. That the prayer of the petitioner be rejected.

The Senate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee on the Judiciary, on the petition of certain inhabitants of Winnebago county, Illinois, and in concurrence therewith, it was

Resolved, That it is inexpedient to grant the prayer of the petition

The Senate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee of Claims, on the memorial of the legal representatives of Reuben Lassiter; and in concurrence therewith, it was

Resolved, That the prayer of the petitioner be not granted.

The Senate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee on the Judiciary, on the petition of citizens of Pennsylvania, praying the repeal of the act imposing a fine for harboring a fugitive slave; and in concurrence therewith, it was

Resolved, That it is inexpedient to grant the prayer of the p

The Senate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee on the Judiciary, on the petition of Susan C. Randall and others; and in concurrence therewith, it was

Resolved, That the prayer of the petitioners ought not to be granted.

The Senate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee on Revolutionary Claims, on the petition of John S. Russworm. and in concurrence therewith, it was

Resolved, That the prayer of the petitioner be rejected,

The Senate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee of Claims, on the petition of John J. Sanchez, administrator of Francis R. Sanchez, and in concurrence therewith, it was

Resolved, That the preyer of the petitioner be rejected.

The Senate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee on Naval Affairs, on the petitionof Hugh Wallace Wormley; and a concurrence therewith, it was

Resolved, That the prayer of the petitioner ought not to be granted

The Senate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee on Indian Affairs, on the petition of James Wilkins, Jr. and in concurrence therewith, it was

Resolved, That the prayer of the petitioner ought out to be granted,

### COMMITTEE ON ENGROSSED BILLS.

# On motion by Mr. DOWNS, it was

Ordered, That two mombers to be appointed by the Vice Paes-ident, be added to the Committee on engrossed bills.

### NOTICE OF A BILL.

Mr. HAMLIN gave notice that at some carly day he will ask leave to bring in a bill creating Bangor, in the State of Maine, a port of entry.

# PRESENTATION OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES TO FRANCE.

The Senate preceded to consider the amendment of the House I no senate proceeded to consider the amendment of the House of Representatives to the resolution of the Senate authorizing the presentation to the government of France, of a series of the standard weights and measures of the United States; and it was

lved. That they concur therein,

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

#### SURVEYS IN FLORIDA.

The Senate proceeded to consider the amendment of the House of Representatives to the bill respecting certain surveys in the State of Florida; and it was

Recalred. That they somen therein

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representa-tives accordingly.

#### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. CAMPBELL, their Clerk:

Mr. Precideot: The Hoose of Representatives have passed the bill of the Senate to authorize the settlement of the account of Joseph Nourse deceased, with an amendment in which they request the concurrence of the Senate.

They have passed bills of the following titles; in which they request the concur-ence of the Somete.

An act for the relief of Dr. Adolphus Wislezenns.

An act for the relief of William Parker.

An act for the relief of Joshon Barney, United States agent

An act to authorize the issuing of a register to the Schooner James.

# HOUSE BILLS REFERRED.

The bills from the House of Representatives for the relief of Dr. Adolphus Wislezenus; and for the relief of Joshua Barney, United States' agent, were severally read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee of Claims

The bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of William Parker, was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Pensions.

# ISSUING OF A REGISTER.

The Senate by unanimous consent, proceeded to consider as in Committee of the Whole, the bill from the House of Representatives entitled "An act to authorize the issuing a register to the Scheener James;" and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it pass to a third reading.

The said hill was read a third time, by unanimous consent. Resolved. That it pass

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representa-tives accordingly.

### REFERENCE.

The Senate proceeded to consider the amendment of the House of Representatives to the bill to authorize the settlement of the account of Joseph Nourse; and it was

Ordered, That it be referred to the Committee on Claims.

### THE SUPREME COURT.

The Senate resumed the consideration of the bill, on its third reading, to promote the dispatch of business in the Supreme Court, and to repeal the 2nd section of the act approved June 17, 1844, entitled "An act concerning the Supreme Court in the United States," and having been amended by unanimous consent; it was

Resolved, That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

### THE PRIVATE CALENDAR

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill for the relief of George Center; and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

After debate on the question-" shall the hill be engressed and read a third time?

It was determined in the negative, as follows:

At the observations in the negative, as follows:

YEAS—More Dalege, Benton, Berrier, Bandar, Burler, Calhonn, Chaire
Daleg, Downs, Fergenald, Geree, Hunter, Johnson, of Maydand, Jo. 1000, of Lon
NAYS—Allen, Alchinon, Albert, Wester, 100
NAYS—Allen, Alchinon, Alberton, Baldwin, Benez, Bright, Clavton, Davy, of
Geogra, Mangaum, Niles, Phelps, Spirmance, Sturgeon, Turney, Underwood Upham
-24.

On motion by Mr. DIX to reconsider the vote last mentioned, it

Ordered. That the further consideration of the motion be postpened to Menday next.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the following bills.

An act for the relief of Russell Goss

An act for the relief of Barclay & Livingston, and Smith & Thurger and company As act to change the name of the steamboat "Charles Downing," to the Calhounand no amendment being made, they were reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That they pass to a third reading.

The said bills were read a third time.

Resolved, That they pass

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representa-tives accordingly.

The following bills and joint resolution were read a second time and considered as in Committee of the whole,

A bill for the relief of William B. Stokes

. A hill to confirm to the legal representatives of Joseph Dutadles the location of a certain New Madrid certificate.

A bull for the relief of Samuel Grice

A hill for the relief of Stalker and Hill

A hill for the relief of George V. Mitchell.

A bill for the payment of Charles Richmond

A hill to relinquish the reversionary interest of the United States in a certain Indian reservation in the State of Alabama.

A bill for the relief of Alfred White Joint resolution for the relief of H. B. Gaither.

and no amendment being made they were reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That they be engressed and read a third time. The sail bills and joint resolution were read a third time. Resolved, That they pass, and that their titles be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill for the relief of Benjamin Adams and Company, and others.

Mr. WESTCOTT .- I am not satisfied as to the justice of this sea without further explanation. These claims to have duties returned on different protexts are becoming enormous. Why, sir, great outery is made about petitions for relief for property destroyed by Indians in a frontier State, or for previsions furmished your troops, and these kind of claims for return duties from the eastern troops, and these kind of clams for return duties from the eastern cities are ten tumes as large in amount. I am suspicious of all of them. I have seen enough of them to satisfy me, they should be watched closely. I will relate an incident that occurred this session as to one of them. A bill passed the House to pay it. It was referred to the Committee on Claims and given to me to examine. I did so, it seemed all fair and henest, very plansibly got up. It contained no reference or allusion to the fact, that if had been formerly before Congress and rejected. So I reported the bill to the committee and it ordered a report to the Senate. About that time a former distinguished Senator from Maine [honorable George Evans] was here on a visus, and he observed to me that he was surprised I had let that claim pass, that it was an old customer and referred me to the Senate records, showing it had been examined by Mr. Woodhury and himself, and rejected. I had the bill committed again to the Committee on Finance. As to the pretexts on which this character of claims are founded, they are as plenty as blackberries. I am opposed to the whole practice on principle. The importer uses the government and its laws the on principle. The importer uses the government and values as machines to make money out of the consumer. He imports his goods under a specified rate of duty, say a high duty, sells them to the consumer at the enhanced price occasioned by this duty. and then endeavors to get a part of the duty returned.—
This speculation should not be encouraged. If encouraged, under our general warehousing system, we cannot alter the tariff, but those petitions will come pouring in upon us to remit the duties as to all the goods in store, and all will be said not to have been sold. We shall have all sorts of speculations on our levilations are tariffs, in advance of the preserved the law. have been sold. We shall have all sorts of speculations on our legislation as to tariflis, in advance of the passage of the laws. We shall see the importers here exerting themselves to get laws passed to enable them to apply to have duties refunded. I object to the whole system. The United States might as well ask the importer to pay when a tariff is raised, the additional duties on all the goods he has in store, and which he will sell at an advanced price. In this case, I should like the Senator from New York to inform the Senate, how it comes that this claim originated in 1832, and the Senate from New York to inform the Senate, low it comes that this claim originated in 1832, and case. It looks rather state. It know mothing about it in any way, but all these kind of chains I feet bound to watche classely.

Mr. DICKINSON thought the whole matter lied in a nut shell. In the 18th section of the laws of '32, it was provided that a certain class of goods in public stores after 3d March, 1833, should not be charged over five per cent. On the 2d of March, 1833, and at was passed charging upon this class of goods a duty of fity per cent. The claimants in this case had their goods warehoused under the first ent, and demand a return of the additional forty-live per cent, exacted by government, as they contend, united. instly.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, trusted that this claim would Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, trusted that this claim would be allowed. It had been long before the Senate, and had been favorably reported upon a great number of times. There might be some objection urged to the policy of the payment of the claims for return duties, had duties not been refunded in many other similar cases. The precedents were numerous and the principle was

Mr. NILES viewed the principle involved in the question of refunding the duties claimed as a very important one. If he undertenantic use used scalined as a very important only. If it is that the the stood he matter, the goods were substantially imported when taken out of the warehouse by the of goods in warehouse, and consequently the precedent to be established in this case would materially affect the Treasury.

No amendment being made, the bill was reported to the Senata.

Ordered, That it be engressed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved, That it pass, and that the title thereof he as aforesaid. Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the

House of Representatives therein. The bill for the relief of Frederick Dawson, James Schatt, and Elisha Dana Whitney, was read the second time, and considered as in Committee of the Whole.

Mr. HALE suggested that as the bill was a very impor-tant one, and involved a new principle in the legislation of the go-vernment, that it had better lie over for the present. If it came up then, it would do away with all other bills. He would move that it be laid on the table.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, hoped that the bill would not lie If the bill was important, so much the greater reason why it should be considered immediately.

Mr. CLAYTON was desirous that the Senato should proceed with the consideration of the ball. It was a matter of enhorate investigation at the previous session, and the committee had made a full report in favor of the bill. It nonsequence of the press of business, the bill was not acted upon at the last session, I was now nearly the close of the present session, and the claim had not been settled yet.

Mr. DOWNS thought it was very likely that he should vote for the bill, but would rather it be made the special order for some other day—Monday perhaps—inasmuch as to take it up then would be to do away with any further action that day upon many important private bills.

Mr. HALE urged that if the bill be taken up then, it would do away with all further business. It involved the important ques-sition of the assumption of State debts, not of all the States, but of one State, to an amount exceeding five bundred thousand dollars. Of course such as bill would be receive the attention of the democratic Seasons on the other side of the chamber, inasmuch as the non-assumption of State debts was one of their cardinal principles. He would not now express any opinion upon the merits of the bill.

Mr. WESTCOTT said he hoped the bill would not be passed by. The Senator from New Hampshire did not seem to un-derstand the character of the bill. It is true it had some rela-tion to Texas—but it was not for the United States to pay a debt of Texas—it is to pay her own debt, and a debt the moral obligaof 1ex8s—it is to pay her own acct, and a cect the moral oniga-tion to pay which was as strong as any debt the United States ever owed. He hoped the Senate would not repudiate a just debt in the way the Senator urged. The passing by the bill was equiv-alent to its loss at this session. He supposed it would be opposed in the House, and if so at this late period of the session it could not pass. He should vote to proceed with the bill.

Mr. BRIGHT was opposed to the consideration of the bill then. He desired to go on with the private calendar. Instead of half a million, the bill involved an amount of over a million of dollars. He could not consent to its being made the special order of either one of the first three days of the coming week, inasmuch as the Oregon territorial bill was entitled to those days.

Mr. CLAYTON did not apprehend that the discussion of that bill would consume much time or occasion very great delay. The case was too clear to admit of doubt. Upon the act of annexation cases a too feel rind matter the proposition and of american control of the contr It was a question whether this government should redeem its own plighted faith. The United States would, in all human probability, lose nothing, inasmuch as Texas had agreed to reimburse the United States for the outlay with land.

Mr. BRIGHT would say nothing in reference to the merits of the bill, although it was apparent that if the doctrine of the as-sumption of State debts was involved in the question before them, as was stated by the Senator from Now Hampshire, it would lead to a lengthy discussion. He earnestly hoped that it would be passed over for the present, and the private calendar be taken up.

Mr. TURNEY must insist that this was not a private bill. It Mr. TURNEY must insist that this was not a private bill. It was a bill to be sure, appropriating certain amounts ofmoney, but involved at the same time a great principle, whether this government should engage in the purchase of lands from Texas. In consideration of the assumption of the debt of Texas for these ships, the United States was to be reimbursed with land by Texas. He was prepared to vote against the bill whenever it was taken up. He did not desire to purchase lands from Texas to be given away to railroad and other companies. The land he considered as mere-liquidate State debts. He hoped the both of this government to liquidate State debts. He hoped the bound lie cover, as all know there would be a protracted discussion upon it whenever it was broards in the was brought up.

was brought up.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, had understood in common with
many other Senators, that the true mode of proceeding with this
calender was to take up the cases as they stood on it. The friends
of the bill had not proposed at any time to take it up out of its regular order, and had even suffered other bills that were not entitied to precedence to be acted upon. The bill was reached now;
and could its importance be reasonably regarded as an objection
to its present consideration? The larger the claim upon the government the greater the duty he thought of Congress at once to
extent of this claim, that had may be analyzed to the sufference of the second In regard to the suggestion thrown out by the Seator from New Hampshire, more particularly for the benefit as he had said of gentlemen on the other side of the ebamber, that to pay this claim would be an assumption of State debts. he would say that it was no such thing. The claim rested on a different foundation. There was no man more opposed to the assumption of State debts than he would be, or than would be every member on that side of the floor. They went in common with others for the payment of State debts, it was a payment to be made by the States themselves. bill before them however involved claims on the United States.

Mr. HALE inquired if this was a claim against the United States, why it was not provided in the bill that the appropriation was to be made for the payment of their own debt?

Mr. JOHNSON of Maryland, would ask in reply whether the United States and Texas did not both owe it, inasmuch is the United States had assumed it by the treaty of annexation? Texas while struggling for her independence, had purchased ships for which the claimants were then demanding pay—for her Nay—and had given security for the payment. What was that security? The security was that the builders of the ships were to be paid two hundred and eighty thousand dollars—he was not sure as to two hundred and eighty thousand dollers—he was not sure a to the precise amount—in each and honds to the amount of about one hundred thousand dollars, said bonds to be paid in the course of some ten or fifteen years. How were the bonds to be paid? The interest on them samually, and the principal at the end of the al-lotted time of credit. How was their payment secured? The revenues and duties to be collected in the State were pledged to their payment on the face of a bond. Now the United States had thought proper to annex Texas, and had taken from Texas the power to collect duties, &c. The money with which we were car-rying on the government, was in part derived from the revenues of Texas. We have the transfer of the world, insamele as it had possession of the means wherewith Texas designed paying their debts, to promptly discharge the obligation it had incurred by the act of amexation. He trusted the bill would have an im-mediate consideration. mediate consideration.

Mr. FOOTE made a few remarks in reply to an allusion of the Senator from Maryland; after which the ayes and noes were or-dered and taken upon the motion to lie on the table; and it was determined in the negative.

YEAS—Mears, Allen, Atherton, Beatao, Barland, Bradbure, Brieda, Carwin, Bernald, Carwin, Bernald, Carwin, Bernald, Carwin, Carwin, Chang, Carwin, Mancan, Manon, Miler, Rusk, Sprance, Singson, Uederwood, Uphan, Westoot, Voleca, Manon, Miler, Rusk, Sprance, Singson, Uederwood, Uphan, Westoot, Voleca

Mr. MASON then advocated briefly the immediate considera

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, was opposed to the considera-tion of the bill at the present time, because there were many pri-vate bills of pressing importance that should he acted upon impe-diately. He moved a postponement of the further consideration of the bill.

The question was taken, and resulted as follows:

Majority against the motion

So the motion was rejected.

Mr. HALE was not prepared at that moment to discuss fully the principle of the bill, but would say a few words in reference to it. What was the debt the bill proposed to pay? The amount was immaterial; the principle involved was the thing at issue. Whose debt was it? I swas said by the Senator from Maryland that it was the debt of the United States. When asked if the debt of the United States, when saked if the debt of the United States, when saked if the Senator from Maryland had taken the

Yankee privilege of answering his question by asking another-whether the United States and Texas could not both owe it? Texas and the United States both owed the debt, it consisted in the relation of principal and surety. The debt originally was not the relation of principal and surety. The debt originally was not incurred by the United States but by Texas while an independent government. The Senator from Maryland had said also, that we could not maintain the character of an honorable nation without paying this debt. He wished that the United States had no worse The Schator from Maryland had said also, that w imputation upon its character, in the transactions upon this subj commencing with the United States. But how did this matter stand? He would speak from memory concerning it. Negotiations were entered into between the United States and Texas for the annexa tion of Texas to the United States. A treaty was formed and in that treaty an express provision was made that the United States should pay, to a certain amount, the debts of Texas; a provision particularly being made for this very debt. That treaty was submitted to the Senate, and not receiving the favorable consideration of two-thirds of its members, was rejected. And one of the rea sons given by some of those who voted against the treaty was, that sons given by some of those who votce against the treaty was, that there was a provision incorporated in the treaty for paying the debt of Texas, which they did not believe the United States had a right to do. This was a reason assigned, that made the treaty objectionable. It was said that we were bound by political fath right to do. objectionable. to pay this debt, because by the act of annexation we had pledged to pay this debt, needuce by the act of singexation we had preuged ourselves to do it. If there was any force in that argument, be thought it would apply to every debt of Texas. Texas had pledged herself by a bond to apply her revenues and land to pay this debt; and he would ask, what was the implied faith given by Ins dect; and ne wond ask, what was the impried the given by any independent government, when they borrowed money and gave security for its payment? Was not the property of the nation, and the faith of every man in it pledged to the payment that these debts should be paid? This was a debt higher than a mortgage debt although technically speaking, it was a mortgage upon gage debt although recommenty speaking, it was a mortgage upon everything she possessed, her faith, her hands, and revenues. Whether put in the bond or not, the distinction in that country had always been maintained. It was an act of morals, however it might be viewed in law. This, then, was a bill to pay the debt of Texas. The fact was admitted on the face of the bill. And if that was so, where he would inquire, was the necessity of the United States stepping in and paying it? Why not, he would ask, let Texas transfer her land to these claimants? Texas was bound to pay the debt eventually. The bill proceeded upon the assumption that she would reimburse the United States. If the United States paid the debt, it was upon the assumption that Texas would ultimately return the amount paid by the United States. He asked the Senate to pause before they entered upon such a system of legislation as that. From the time the system of the assumption of State debts had been broached, the democratic party had set their faces against it like a flint. And it was said also that the whig party were opposed to it. But why did they not stand up to their profession? It had been fastened upon them just like the system of internal improvements. A large majority of the Senate on both sides of the chamber, would get up and say they were opposed to the system of internal improvement. let a hill come before them granting alternate sections of land, say in Indiana for that purpose, and these same Senators would say they were opposed to the system of internal improvements but were in favor of the passage of that particular bill. So with many other similar grants; and so it was in this case. Gentlemen were opposed to the assumption of State debts by Congress, but were in favor of the particular bill before them. He could con-ceive of no case in which the principle of the assumption of State debts could more plainly present itself than in that. It was a naked proposition, that the United States should come forward and endorse the note of Texas whatever the amount, and take a mort endorse the note of Texts whatever the absolute and take a more gage security on her lands to pay the endorsoment. He had looked into the bill with an earnest desire to record his single vote in favor of it. But he was compelled to look upon it as one of tha most alarming steps the government could take. It was a maxim of wisdom to oppose the first step. When once taken, it was hard to retrace it. While in the House of Representatives, Sid-ncy Smith forwarded him a memorial from England, requesting 60y Smit forwardou bin a menioral trob england, requested faith sports meant to come forward and reduce the plighted faith of the States, which had been violated to an immense amount their monetorial transactions with that country. Now if that bill in relation to Texas was to receive the favorable consideration of Congress. If we were to assume the debts of Texas, be was in favor of even handed justice. If the debts of one State were to be assumed, why not the dobts of all the others? If the United States did not meddle in this transaction, it would be ve Texas in the same position as that in which the other States stood—that is where she could pay her own debts. It was argued that the go-vernment took possession and made use of the custom house reve-ness of Texas, thus using up her resources wherewith to pay her debts. Did it not do the same with the States wherein Philadelphia, New Orleans, Charleston, and New York were located, and where it collected the largest proportion of its revenue, and did it pay the dobts those of States? In addition to that when Taylor was annexed to the United States, the whele title and sovereignty was aniexed to the United States, the Whole title and sovereignty of the land within her borders, was left to her sole and undisputed control, mainly upon the ground that as the United States did not meddle with her debts, the United States should not take her soil but leave it to pay those debts.

soil but leave it to pay those dents.

It was said by an honorable Scuator, that he did not believe the
United States would have a dellar to pay of this debt of Texas, in
the end. If the passage of this bill then, was but a more surren-

der of a great principle without any beaefit to those for whose sake we were about to pass it, he trusted it would not be made. He had not gone into the minute features of the bill, as ha did not desire to use declamation on the subject. They could not alter thingsly altering names; their essential character would remain the same. And so in the case hefore them, it was a bill to assume the dobts of Texas, whatever name was given to it. He trusted the Senate would panse, and consider well the consequences hefore they acted on it favorably.

Mr. WESTCOTT said he had expected, that before any objection was made to this bill, as is usual, the committee that reported it would have hern permitted to explain it, or, at least, that the operation of the committee of the control of the contr

Mr. HALE—I would say to the Senator, that I know the general character of the bill, and had my attention attracted to it some time ago. I had no idea of improperly forestalling the judgment of the Senate, or prejudicing the bill by the remarks I made, nor any discourtesy to the committee or any Senator.

Mr. WESTCOTT —The Senator seems laboring under some hallucination with respect to this bill; for is no other way can his discount of the second of this are connected with it, he accounted for unless perhaps because the claim originated in the South and in Texas. If he will read the bill and report attentively, his intelligence, I am satisfied, will convince him that his objections are chimeras of a fervid imagination.

[Mr. W. read the bill and report and commented upon them.]
Mr. W. said that the claimants bad, while Texas was an indedependent republic bought for her a navy, and armed and equipped it. Texas gave them her bonds for the amount due them,
pledging her duties on imports for their discharge. When Texas
was annexed, by the express terms of annexation the United
States received that navy and its armament, equipment, &c., or,
at least what was left of it. We paid nothing for the vessels we

This bill provides, in substance, that the United States shall pay claimants the value of these vessels, arms, equipments, &c. sot received by us—and received, too with a knowledge that they had not been paid for; upon four conditions:

1. Texas should agree to the arrangement.
2. Claimants should also agree.

Claimants should also agree.
 That claimants transfer to the United States their bonds and

vouchers to the amount paid.

4. That Texas transfer to the United States lands equal in value to the amount paid to reusem the bonds.

The arrangements are deemed just, and are proposed by the committee solely and exclusively on the ground that we received the tessels without paying for them, and knowing that they had not been paid for by Texas. A moral obligation rests on this government, under these circumstances, to pay the value of the property it received. The hill does not go one inch further than this, it does not propose to assume the whole debt—it does not involve that the propose to assume the whole debt—it does not involve thing of the kind. The report and bill of last session were different. It proposed to pay the whole debt. Mr. W. said the did not advocate that bill, and he should not have voted for it. He could not agree this session in committee, to report the hill of last sessi

Mr., BUTLER.—If the Senator would allow, he would explain the circumstances under which the report of last session was made. It was argued that the Committee of Claims should decide early, so as to have the case acted on. He was mable to meet the committee, and informed the other members that he agreed the report should be made without committing himself for or against the bill.

Mr. WESTCOTT proceeded.—He did not perceive what the project of the assumption of the State debts, which had been alided to, had to do even with the bill of last session. Mr. which was as much opposed to the assumption project as any body, but that the bill had nothing in it of the kind That bill recognized the entire claim as a debt due from the United States, on the ground, chiedly, that the hypothecation of the customs of Texas, which were claimants' security, was mulified by the annexation, and that those duties were now collected and received by the United States. To this he could not assent. The owing of this debt could not fatter even Texas legislation, with respect to her turil; if she had not been annexed much less prevent her from coming into the Union. It made the United States in no wise label outside of the treaty of annexation which was not necepted, and it was stipulated \$250,000 should be paid by the United States. This showed we had notice when Texas was admitted under the resolutions. [Mr. W. referred to the treaty of annexation which you had not also should be provided by the United States. The showed we had notice when Texas was admitted under the resolutions. [Mr. W. referred to the treaty of annexation which you had not also should be provided by the United States. He argued that the same rule would make an individual liable in law. Between individuals the donce of property who takes it of a donor knowing that it is not paid for, the voluntary transfer is

void nnless be pays the amount due for it; and this is sound equity and law? We paid not a cent for this property. It was a grit—a voluntary transfer by Texas to us, that site might conform to the federal constitution prohibiting a State own a navy. Unless we took the vessels from Texas as the price of admission, he would like to be informed what the United States had paid, or was to pay Texas for them. He hoped it would not be said we took them as pay for admission.

Mr. TURNEY how much does the bill allow?

Mr. WESTCOTT.—I will satisfy the Senator as well as I can on that point presently. I will first say, however, that whatever we pay, Texas is to give using the application lands. We want these lands for the live cok on the present when the work of the last few years we have paid two or three in Navy. Within the last few years we have paid two or three in Navy. Within the last few years we have paid two or three in Navy. Within the last few years we have paid two or three in Navy. Description of the control of the last few years when the last few years when the last few years when the last five the

Mr. BADGER.—Will the Senator permit me to ask what bear ing the facts he has stated as to the value of the live oak in Texas and the policy of the United States buying it has upon the question of the liability of the United States to assume this doht?

Mr. WESTCOTT.—I fear I shall be unable to do so, as the Senator does not see it at once. I am afraid I should fail to convince him by a speech here, but I will, though it is a difficult task, try to convince him in a private way. I will try to save time by refraining here in debate.

Mr. TURNEY.—I hope the Senator will not omit to answer me as to the amount this bill proposes to pay. How much does he suppose it will take to satisfy this claim, if this bill is passed,

30TH CONG .- IST SESSION-No. 97.

Mr. WESTCOTT.—The Secretary of the Navy under the sanction of the President settles that. The committee had no data by which to ascertain the value of the vessels, armaments, &c. received. All that is referred to the Secretary of the Navy and President. This is all that I can answer, except that I do not suppose it will amount to \$150,000. I hope the Semant's satisfiant.

Mr. TURNEY,-Not at all. If we pay this claim, we should pay all the arrears of pay of the Texan soldiers who won her in-

dependence.

M. WESTCOTT.—I regret my inability to satisfy the honorable Senator. I believe the claim as recognized by this bill it just. It does not follow that we should pay the claims the Senator except to if we pay this—but if we had received property of Texas which these soldiers had captured from Mexico, and had taken been the particular of the senator except the property of the senator of the control of the senator of the beautiful the sasage of this bill. I believe the fame of the United Standard the passage of this bill. I believe the fame of the United Standard the passage of the bill. I believe the fame of the United Standard the passage of the solid in the love the fame of the United Standard to fire and honorable course for the United States to have passage of the bill that the theorem of the United States to have passage of the solid honorable course for the United States to have passage of the solid passa

On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# MONDAY, JUNE 26, 1848.

#### PRESIDENT PRO TEMPORE.

The VICE PRESIDENT being absent, the Senate proceeded to the choice of a PRESIDENT, pro tempore, as the constitution provides.

On metion by Mr. BENTON, it was

Resolved, That the Hon. David R. Atchison be appointed President of the Senate,

On motion by Mr. BENTON, it was

Ordered, That the Secretary wait on the President of the University of the States and inform him that the Senate, in the absence of the Vice President of the United States, have chosen the Hon. DAVID R. ATCHISON President of the Senate, pro tempore; and that the Secretary make a similar communication to the House of Representatives.

Mr. DODGE presented the credentials of the Hon. ISAAC P. WALKER, chosen a Senator by the Legislature of the State of Wisconsin; which were rend.

The eath prescribed by law was administered to Mr. WALKER, and he took his seat in the Senate.

#### CLASSIFICATION OF WISCONSIN SENATORS.

Mr. BENTON submitted the following resolution; which was considerco, by unanitaous consent, and agreed to.

Resolved, That the Senate proceed to ascertain the classes in which the Senators om the State of Wisconsin shall be inserted, in conformity with the resolution of the

# On motion by Mr. BENTON, it was

Ordered, That the Secretary put into the ballot box two papers Ordered, That the Secretary put into the hallot box two papers of equal size, one of which to be numbered one, and the other to be blank; that each Senator from the State of Wisconsin draw out one paper; that number one shall entitle the Senator to be placed in the class whose term of service shall expire the 3d day of March, 1819; that the Secretary then put into the ballot box two other papers of equal size, numbered two and three; that the Senator who shall have drawn the blank shall then draw one of these papers; that number two, if drawn, shall entitle the Senator to be placed in the class whose term of service will expire the 3d of March, 1851; and number three in the class whose term will expire the 3d day of March, 1853.

Whereupon,

The papers above mentioned, numbered one, and a blank, were put by the Secretary in the box, and Mr. Walker drew the paper numbered one; and is accordingly in the class of Senators whose term of service will expire the 3d day of March, 1849.

'he Secretary then put the papers numbered two and three into the box; and Mr. Dodge drow the paper numbered two; and is accordingly in the class of Senators whose term of service will expire the 3d day of March, 1851.

# THE PRIVATE CALENDAR.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, submitted a resolution, which was considered, by unanimous consent, and after being amended, was agreed to, as follows:

Resolved. That Friday next, after 12 o'clock, he set apart for the consideration of easte private bills, to the exclusion of all other business.

# JONES AND BOKER.

Mr. WESTCOTT submitted the following resolution for consi-

Resolved, That the First Comptroller of the Treasury be directed, as soon as practicable, to report to the Nonate asy farts that have come in his knowledge since the state of the state of

Mr. NILES presented the memorial of John T. Sullivan, praying compensation for executing the binding of the laws and in-structions to postmasters for the use of the Post Office Depart-ment; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Reads.

# TITLE TO LANDS.

Mr. UNDERWOOD, from the Committee on Public Lands, submitted a report, accompanied by a bill, to vest the title of the United States in the purchasers of certain lands sold under exeention against Gordon D. Boyd.

# The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Mr. UNDERWOOD.—This is a bill by which the Solicitor of the Treasury supposes he will be able to save something like \$20,000 to the government. The facts, very briefly, are these: A gent-eman by the name of Boyd being receiver of public money in Mississiph, became a defaulter some years ago, and it appears A grant count of the lattle of Doy centy recover to plante money in Mississiphi, became a defaulter some years ago, and it appears that he had issued overflicture skewowledging the receipt of large money, however, was received into the Treastry. The government recovered a judgment against him for a large amount, and the execution which was issued was levied upon these very lands which be returned as being the purchaser of himself, and the purchasers at the sale under the execution, were allowed to give bond and security to the government for the payment of the purchaser of the payment sippi reports the facts, suggesting the uniform that exists and their contracts unless Congress interposes. The Solicitor of the Treasury brings the subject before the Committee on Public Lands, and a bill has been reported which provides that the marshall shall convey these lands to the purchasers, with the view of securing to them whatever title Boyd may have in the land; and that upon filter the death the covernment shall issue nearly for the lands to filing the deed the government shall issue patents for the lands to the purchasers under the execution, who will thus obtain good titles, and they will redeem their bonds, and \$20,000 will be saved to the government.

The said bill was then read the second time, by unanimous con-ent, and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and no sent, and considered as in Committee of the Whole amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered. That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent.

Resolved, That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

# LIGHT-HOUSES, BUOYS, ETC. .

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, from the Committee on Commerce, reported a bill making appropriations for light-houses, light-boats, bnoys, &c., and providing for the creetion and establishment of the same; which was read and passed to the second reading.

## ARKANSAS VOLUNTEERS.

Mr. BORLAND, from the Committee on Military Affairs, to whom was referred the memorial in behalf of certain Arlansas and other volunteers, reported a bill to allow pay and subsistence to certain Arkansas and other volunteers, who have been prisoners of war in Mexico; which was read and passed to the second reading.

### RAILROAD TO THE PACIFIC.

Mr. BORLAND, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom the memorial of Asa Whijney was referred, submitted a report, accompanied by a joint resolution, to require the Secretary of War to cause a survey and exploration of routes for a railroad from the Mississippi river to the Pacific ocean.

The resolution was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

### PRIVATE BILL.

Mr. DOWNS, from the Committee on Private Land Claims, to whom was referred the petition of Robert W. Richardson, sub-mitted a report, accompanied by a bill, for his relief.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

### MAIL CONTRACTORS.

Mr. NILES, from the Committee on the Post Office and Post Ronds, to whom was referred the joint resolution for the rulef of such persons as may have incarred the disability of the 25th experience to other persons as may have incarred the disability of the 25th experience to other persons of the Post Office Department, and to provide more effectually for the settlement of the accounts thereof, reported the same without amendment. The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the joint resolution last mentioned; and,

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, it was

Ordered. That the further consideration thereof be postponed until to-merrow.

#### RECONSIDERATION.

The Senate proceeded to consider the motion submitted yesterday by St. ATHERTON, to reconstitute multi-motion manager single-the motion of Mr. Yurse to refer to the consumers on Naval Affairs so much of the bill making appropriations for the naval service for the year-ending 30th June, 1849, as relates to the dis-cipline and organization of the navy, and improvements of navy yards; and the motion by Mr. ATHERTON was agreed to.

Mr. YULEE had, thereupon, leave to withdraw his motion; saying that he would examine further into the subject and renew it, if he should deem it proper to do so, hereafter.

# MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

Mr. President: The House of Representatives have passed a bill concerning the taking of official naths in the District of Columbia; in which they request the concerrence of the Senate.

The Speaker of the House of Representatives having signed an enrolled bill, I am directed to bring it to the Senate for the signature of their President.

### TAKING OF OFFICIAL DATHS IN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

The bill from the House of Representatives concerning the tathe oull from the House of Representatives concerning the ta-king of official oaths in the District of Columbia, was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered. That it pass to a third reading.

The said bill was read a third time, by unanimous consent. Resolved, That this bill pass.

Ordered, That the Sccretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

#### THE OREGON BILL.

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill to establish the territorial government of Oregon.

Mr. DIX .- Mr. President : During the present session of Congress, propositions have been repeatedly introduced into the Senate involving the question of slavery. I have abstained from all participation in the discussions to which they have given rise, beparticipation in the discussions to which they have given rise because I considered them as abstract propositions having no direct practical bearing or effect. The measure before us is of a different character. It contemplates an act of legislation; it proposes a law containing provisions to be enforced and to control the inhabitants of a district of country more than two bundred thousand square miles in extent. By this act we are librarily laving the foundations of a future empire. It is a subject eminently prac-

tical; and therefore I speak.

The questions, to which the discussion of the bill has given rise, The questions, to which the discussion of the offin has great rise, are of the highest moment. They concern the power of Congress over the territory belonging to the United States, and especially in respect to slavery in such territory. Nor is this all. They involve not only the authority of Congress, under the constitution, to regulate the domestic concerns of the persons inhabiting or occupying the public domain, beyond the limits of the States, but they may affect, for an indefinite period, the social and political condi-tion of antire communities. They may vitally concern the pros-perity of the future millions who are to fill the valleys and cover the hills of Oregon; and it is due to the magnitude of the subject, the hills of Oregon; and it is due to the magnitude of the subject, that it should be discussed with ealmess and without asperity either of feeling or of language. Conducted in such a spirit, discussion, even if it were unnecessary, could not do harm, which it has to deal. Indeed, it is always possible the very conflict of opinion may strike out light and truth, and furnish a basis for an amicable adjustment of differences, which would otherwise have been irreconcilable. It may be a vain hope to expect to harmonize those who are now so wide apart; but if it prove a deliastion, it may nevertheless be profitable to indulge it. It may, at least, serve to moderate the tone of discussion.

In the coarse of the debate and this and other kindred topics, various propositions have been advanced; and they have been sus-

rious propositions have been advanced; and they have been sus-tained with distinguished ability. Some of these propositions are repetitions of the same general assumption under different phases. For instance, it has been assumed that the citizens of any State in the Union have a right to go into any territory belonging to the United States, and take with them whatever is recognized as pro-United States, and take with them whatever is recognized as pro-perty by the local law of the State from which they migrate. It is also assumed that the inhabitants of a territory cannot, by and legislative concatment, prevent the citizens of any State in the Union from coming into the territory with whatever the local law of such State recognizes as property. These are little else than verbal modifications of the same proposition; or, at least, the one is a necessary consequence of the other. On the other hand, it is

contended that the lnhabitants of a territory belonging to the United States have an inherent right to regulate their own domes-tic concerns for themselves, wherever the jurisdiction of the soil they inhabit may reside, and without being overruled by the sove-

reign political power, to which they are subordinate.

There is a question which lies beyond all these propositions, and which, if it can be satisfactorily answered, must be decisive of them all, because it includes them all. Has Congress the right, under the constitution, to legislate for the territory of United States, organize governments for the inhabitants residing in such control, and the children within it at the manner of health making of the concern? I believe this question can be satisfactorily anxwered in the affirmative, that the power, to this unlimited extent, can be asstained—last, by cotemporaneous exposition of the meaning of the constitution and the intention of its framers; 2d, by judicial interpretation; and 3d, by the whole practice of the government,

interpretation; and 3d, by the whole practice of the government, from its foundation to the present day.

This is the fundamental question I propose first to discuss. I shall lay aside all consideration of subordinate propositions.—These necessarily full, if the other can be established. My purpose is, to attempt to establish it; and in all I have to say I shall endeavor to be strictly argumentative.

endeavor to be strictly argumentative.

endeavor to be strictly argumentative.

and the public domain of the strictly argumentative argumentative argumentative argument of the public domain to the power of sequiring territory, and this not only in respect to the disposition which may be made of the nucled soil, as it has been denominated, but in respect to the classes of persons who are permitted to occupy it, and the conditions of the occupation. I consider this unrestricted power, as an inseparable incident of sovereignty, to be exercised by the supreme authority of the organized community or State in which it resides. The power of acquisition is itself unrestricted by the terms of our social compact, so far as the objects of acquisition are concerned. It is incidental size. It is derived from the power of making war and treaties; and the limits to the exercise of these powers are to be found in fundamental rules and principles applieable to all organized socieits. But I do not, for the purpose of my argument, place the power on this ground. I assign to it an origin less likely, I think, to be questioned. I place it on that provision of the constitution

er on this ground. I assign to it as origin less likely, I tulns, to be questioned. I place it on that provision of the constitution which gives Congress "power to dispose of and make all needful rules and regulations respecting the territory or other property belonging to the United States."

I am aware that this clause of the constitution has recently received a construction which confines the action of the government in respect to the public demain to the narrowest possible limits-a construction which leaves to Congress the mere right to regu-late the mode in which the public land shall be surveyed, brought late the mode in which the public land shall be surveyed, brought into market, and sold, without any power to regulate the pultical or municipal affairs of those who settle upon it, while they are acquiring the requisites usually exacted as conditions of their admission into the Union. This construction is subversive of every idea of sovereignty in the State (I use the word in its largest sense) as the owner of the soil. It reduces the government of the Union without a single attribute of political powers should be constructed in the property of the soil of the contrary, I am satisfied they regarded it as conferring a power of the most plenary nature. I shall endeavor to make this apparent to the Senate; and in doing so, it will be necessary to look at that battory of the clause of the constitution referred to. Senate is a substantial to the substantial constitution referred to the Committee of Detail. Among them were these: To anthorize Congress—

# "To dispuse of the unappropriated lands of the United States "To institute temporary governments for new States arising the

"To unitate temporary government for new States using therefor."
On the 22d of August, Mr. Rulledge, from the Committee of
Detail, made a partial report on Mr. Madison's propositions, and
on others submitted by Mr. Pickney on the 20th. Mr. Madison's
propositions, above quoted, providing for the disposal of the unappropriated lands and the institution of temporary governments
for new States arising therein, were not reported by the committee.
But, on the 30th of August, Mr. Gouveauer Morris introduced the
clause respecting the territory belonging to the United States,
which, with a few immaterial verbal alterations, is now a part of
ment, which was rejected, the clause was adopted, Maryland
slone dissented. alone dissenting

alone dissenting.

It may not distinctly appear at first glance what Mr. Madison designed by the institution of temporary governments for "new States arising within" the unappropriated lands. It might be supposed that he intended to provide for their temporary government as States after their erection or formation. But those who are familiar with the parliamentary phrasoology of that day, will have no doubt that the term States was used as we now employ

the term territories.

But be this so or not, it is certainly not fair to say, as has been But be this so or not, it is certainly not tair to say, as has been aid, that it shared the fate of the proposition to confor upon Congress the power to grant charters of incorporation, to establish a university, and to construct canals, &c. These propositions were distinctly presented to Congress, and formally and decisively negatived by a direct recorded vote, as may be seen by referring to the proceedings of the convention on the 14th of September. It was not so with Mr. Andison's proposition in respect to the

unappropriated lands of the United States. The most that can unappropriated lands of the United States. The most that can be said is that the committee were not in favor of it in its original form. There was no vote on it in that form in convention—no rejection. The proposition of Mr. Morris, which is now a part of the constitution, was manifestly, from its terms, as well as the circomstances and the subject matter, intended as a substitute for it. It was adopted almost without opposition. The power it is construed to confer has been exercised from the earliest period in our strict to color has been exercised from the earniest period in our history. The attention of the convention was distinctly drawn to the subject by Mr. Madison; and it is difficult to believe that an authority so general as that of making "all needfal rules and regulations" respecting the territory belonging to the United States, (the term regulations being used at that time much as we now use the term laws,) could have been conferred without question, if it had been intended to withhold the nower of meviditury for the it had been intended to withhold the power of providing for the government of the individuals inhabiting it, until they were admit-

fold into the Union.

On the 18th of July preceding, the Congress of the confederation had passed the eelebrated ordmance of 1787, in relation to the
territory northwest of the Ohor river. Thus fact could hardly
have been unknown to the members of the convention. Congress,
it is true, was stitting in New York, while the convention sat in
Philadelphia. I believe the proceedings of both were with closed
doors; but the members of the latter were doubless made acquainted with the proceedings of the other. This fact—the coincidence in point of time—may have some shight bearing upon the
intention of the clause giving Congress power to dispose of and
make needful. Lineal Struct Justices.

make needful rules and regulations respecting the territory be-longing to the United States. In has been quoted to prove the file-The opinion of Mr. Alexan has been quoted to prove the file-ty any supposed consequence or analogy have any bearing on the power of legislation by Congress, under the constitution, in res-pect to the prohibition of slavery in the territories of the United States. The ordinance, as we know, was passed by Congress under the articles of confederation, though it was ruitified by the ference from the proceedings of the one, so far as the question of power is concerned, would be wholly inapplicable to the other. But I hold, and shall endeavor to show, that the very argument in which Mr. Madison denied the authority of Congress, under the articles of confederation, to pass the ordinance of 1787, had for its object to prove the accessity of such a power in Congress under the constitution, and that it proceeded upon the supposed existence of the power.

The usual reference to prove the illegality of the ordinance is to the opinion of Mr. Madison, in the 38th number of the Federalist, which was written by him. I will read an extract from it referring to the western territory :

"We may calculate, therefore, that a rich and fertile country, of an area equal to the indulated extent of the times States, will some become a national code. The laws proceeded to form any States, to creat temporary governments, it to appoint of faces for them; and to pre-order the eventions on with a such where shall be soluted faces for them; and to pre-order the eventions on with a such where shall be soluted constitutional authority,"

What was the object of this reference? Was it to pass a useless comment upon the conduct of Congress in exceeding its powers? By no means. He adds:

extract appears to the constraint program of conditions in the Mr. Madison regarded the new system of government, the constitution, as supplying defects which had led to abuse and warpation under the old, the confederation is that he considered the former as remedying the very defects which had imposed on Congress the necessity of overlenning the constitutional limits of their power; that he viewed the provision of the constitution authoriz-ing Congress "to dispose of, and make all needful rules and reg-ulations respecting, the territory" of the United States, as conferulations respecting, the certainy of the Onited States, as conter-ring the power which, in his opinion, Congress had usurped, and as giving legality, under the constitution, to proceedings which he condemned, under the confederation, as void of constitutional au-

thority.

Happily, sir, we are not left to mere inference in respect to the opinions of Mr. Madison on this point. If we turn to the 43d annaber of the Federalist, also written by him, we shall find a direct reference to the clause in the constitution concerning the territory of the United States. If there were any doubt before, I think this would dissipate it. It speaking of certain powers conferred on Congress by the General Response in Page 3.

"The eventual establishment of new States seems to have been overlooked by the compilers of that instrument, intrides of confidentions." We have seen the inconvernmence of this omission, and the assumption of power into which Congress have been led by at. With great propriety, therefore, has the new system supplied the do tect."

He next quotes the clause giving Congress " power to dispose of, and make all needful rules and regulations respecting, the ter-retory" of the United States, and adds:

"This is a power of very great importance, and required by considerations similar to thme which show the propriety of the former."

By the former, is meant the power of admitting new States into

the Union-a power which he had adverted to as supplying a defeet in the articles of confederation, and as avoiding the evil of usurping the exercise of an indispensable authority. Would be have denominated it a "power of very great importance," if he had regarded it as limited to a mere sale of the public lands? Would be have said it as required by considerations similar to those which show the propriety of the former —the admission of new States—unless he had considered it as naving "supplied a defect," as in the other case to which he had referred, and empowered Congress to do what it had done in respect to the northwestern territory without authority? There were other territories beside that north-west of the Ohio to be provided for. South Carolina had at that very time ceded to the United States her interest in the territory very time edect or to the United States her Interest in the territory cast of the Missassippi, now comprised in the States of Missassippi and Alabhma; North Carolina and Georgia were expected to cade what now constitutes Tennessee, and the residue of Missassippi and Alabama. Mr. Madison, in the 38th number of the Federalism with the Missassippi and Alabama. Mr. Madison, in the 38th number of the Federalism with the Missassippi and Alabama. Mr. Madison, in the 38th number of the Federalism with the Missassippi and Missass alludes to those two last cessions as reasonably to be expected alludes to those two last cessions as reasonany to be expected. How were these territories, and that which South Carolina had ceded to be provided for—how were temporary governments to be erected—how were officers to be appointed for them—how was the authority of the United States to be extended over them? Was it not ander the clause of the constitution authorizing "all the authority of the Unifed States to be extended over them? Was it not under the clause of the constitution authorizing "all needful rules and regulations" to be made? Was it not in contemplation of these organic arrangements for the communities which were to arise within the territory then nequired, and expected to be acquired, that Mr. Madison pronounced that clause as conforring "a power of very great importance?"

If we take these two numbers of the Federalist, (the 38th and the 43d,) the reasonings of which are directly connected by himself, in conjunction with his subsequent participation in egislative acts, by which the ordinance of 1787 was enforced, and similar intermentation of the constitution, in reserve the the waves of Constitution of the constitution in reserve the the waves of Constitution of the constitution in reserve the the waves of Constitution of the constitution in the constitution of the

interpretation of the constitution, in respect to the powers of Con-gress over the territory of the United States, cannot well be doubt-But, if any lingering doubt should remain in respect to Mr. Madison's opinion as to the right of Congress to legislate in respect to the manicipal concerns of the persons residing upon the territo-ry belonging to the United States, it will be removed by his deto the mannepal concerns of the persons resuming upon use of the United States, it will be removed by his declaration in Congress in 1790, that, though Congress was restricted by the constitution from taking measures to abolish the slave trade, yet there was a variety of ways in which it could countenance abolition, "and regulations might be made in relation to the introduction of them [slaves] into the new States to be formed with the property of the proper

out of the western territory

I have been thus particular in explaining Mr. Madison's opin-ion, not only on account of the high authority which it carries with it, but because, from the manner in which it has been cited, it might seem to support conclusions which, in my judgment, derive no strength from it whatever.

Let me now call the attention of the Senate to the acts of Congress by which this construction of the constitution is supported, for the purpose of exhibiting the force it derives from legislative

precedents.

I. The ordinance of 1737 was recognized by chapter 8, 1st session 1st Congress. The preamble recites that "it is requisite certain provisions should be made," &c., no order that the said ordinance may continue to have full effect." There was no division in either House upon its passage. There seems to have been no objection to it. Mr. Madison's name occurs on the Journal of the proceedings of the day on which the bill passed the House, of which he was a member. He was doubtless present, and concurved in the measure. red in the measure

This first precedent which I cite, has all the force of cotempo-rancous exposition. It is coeval with the birth of the new govern-ment. It may be almost denominated the work of the framers of the constitution. It is recorded among the earliest acts by which that instrument was put in operation. It is one of the first footsteps by which the movement of the new government is to be tra-ced out of the darkness in which its dawn was enveloped, into the clear, broad sunlight of its stability and strength. The act was signed by General Washington.

That the ordinance was not deemed by its framers, or by the Congress which continued it in force, incompatible with any degree of freedom from restraint, which may be justly claimed as essential to political liberty, is apparent from the terms of the instrument itself. The articles, of which the sixth and last prohibited slavery, were expressly declared to be adopted,

"To extraording the fundamental principles of civil and reliains there; which form the state of the state of

Several considerations suggest themselves in connection with this

subject.

1. Neither the framers of the ordinance nor the first Congress considered the perpetual prohibition of slavery in the northwestern territory inconsistent with the admission of the States to be formed out of it into the Union on "an equal footing with the original States." Neither the actual tenure of slaves, nor the right to hold them, and large of slaves described them, and have been considered essential to the full fruition of the admissal fluorist which the States assessed as members of the the political liberty which the States possessed as members of the

2. The prohibition was not considered inconsistent with the

terms of cession of the territory by Virginia in 1784, which required that the States to be formed out of it should be

"republican States, and admitted members of the federal Union, having the same rights of sovereignty, freedom, and independence."

These rights of sovereignty, freedom, and independence."

These rights of sovereignty, freedom, and independence, therefore, which the members of the federal Union enjoyed, were by the Congress of the confederation, and the first Congress, deemed fully possessed, although the right to hold slaves was prohibited. Virginia concurred in passing the ordinance in the Congress of the confederation in 1787, and in continuing it in force in the first Congress under the constitution in 1789.

Whatever doubt there may be as to the original validity of the ordinance, I believe, its authority has always been respected by responsible tribunals. I will read a decision from the Supreme Court of Louisiana, in the case of Merry vs. Chexmider, 8 Martin's Reports, (new series), 699:

"Appeal from the court of the First District.

"Porter, I., delivered two opinion of the own of the First District.

"Porter, I., delivered two opinion of the court. The plantiff area in this action to recome in freedom, and from the evidence on record is clearly entitled to it. He was men in the motherwise terrority after the entertaints of Contres, in First, of the or-could be therein actiber alavery nor involuntary scrutuals. This ordinance fixed for ever the character of the population in the regim over which it is extended, and takes away all foundation from the chain set up in this instance by the defendant. The action of the plant of the chain set up in this instance by the defendant. The action of the plant of the chain set up in this instance by the defendant. The action of the plant of the chain set up in this instance by the defendant. The action of the plant of the chain set up in this instance by the defendant. The action of the plant of the chain set up in the power to make such a regular deposition by Capital did not deput as the chain set up in the power to make such a regular deposition of the plant of the plant of the plant of the power to make such as a regular deposition of the plant of the plant

Uon.

"It is therefore ordered, adjudged, and decreed, that the judgment of the district court be suffraised with costs."

This decision was pronounced in 1830, and it fully sustains the view of the subject I have taken.

II. On the 'tho of April, 1789, an act was passed for an amicable settlement of limits with the State of Georgia, and authorizing the establishment of a government in the Mississippit retritory. This act authorized the President to establish therein a government in all especies similar to that in the territory northwest. ernment in all respects similar to that in the territory northwest of the Ohio river, except the sixth article of the ordinance of 1787. It then prohibited the importation of slaves into the territory from any place without the limits of the United States. This act was passed ten years (less a few months) before Congress was autho-rized by the constitution to prohibit the importation of slaves into the States which were originally parties to the federal compact.

This provision of the constitution applied only to the then existing

States. It did not extend to the States thereafter to be formed, States. It did not extend to the states thereafter to be formed, or to the territories of the United States; I act at the bighest importance, if it is to be regarded as a limitation of a vested power. The exercise by Congress of the power of prohibiting the introduction of slaves into the Mississippi territory from foreign committees appears to have passed without toppistion. I find no diviruities appears to have passed without toppistion. I find no diviruities appears to have passed without toppistion. I find no diviruities appears to have passed that clause of the bill. This fact shows the undisputed interpretable passed by the statement of the United States. undisputed interpretation put at that day on the constitution of the United States in respect to the powers of Congress over every matter of domestic concern in the territory belonging to the United States, and especially over the subject of slavery, the most delicate of all. There was a direct exercise by Congress, in respect to the territories, of a power which was positively prohibited in respect to the States existing at the adoption of the constitution. This act passed under the administration of the elder Adams.

III. At the first session of the 6th Congress, chapter 41, laws of 1800, an act was passed to divide the territory belonging to the United States northwest of the Obio river into two separate governments. This act created a territorial government for Indiana in all respects similar to that provided by the ordinance of 1787 for the government of the northwest territory. This precedent reaffirms the principle contained in the ordinance. The act was signed by the elder Adams.

estimate the principle contained in the ordinance. The act was signed by the older Adams, and the property of the contained o was probibited.

When this section was under discussion in the Senate, a motion When this section was under discussion in the Senate, a motion was made to strike out the last clause, and it was negatived by a vote of 19 to 9. Among the votes in the negative were John Breckenridge and John Brown of Kentueky, Jessee Franklin of North Carolina, James Jackson of Georgia, Samuel Smith of Maryland, Thomas Sumpter of South Carolina, William H. Wells and Samuel White of Delaware; 8 of the 19 from slaveholding States

The House journal does not show any opposition to this section. The vote on the final passage of the bill was 66 yeas and 21 nays. Of the latter, only 7—one-third, of the whole number—were from Of the latter, only 7-slaveholding States

The territory of Orleans appears to have remained subject to these restrictions—at least all but the first—until 1812, when it uses restrictions—at least all out the first—until 1812, when it was erected into a State, with the name of Louisiana. At least I can find nothing to the contrary. On the 2d March, 1805, an act further providing for the government of the territory was passed, by which the ordinance of 1787 was applied to it, except the sixth

article, prohibiting slavery forever, and so much of the second article, prohibiting slavery forever, and so much of the second paragraph as regulated the descent and distribution of estates. But, by the 8th section of the act, the act of March 26, 1804, dividing the territory of Lonisiana, which was limited in its operation to one year and to the end of the next session of Congress thereafter, was continued in full force until repeated, excepting so far as it was repugnant to the act of 1805. The restrictions on the importation of slaves were not repugnant to that act, and they must have been continued in operation. I state this fact because peated the next year; as though Congress and the state of 1804 was repeated the next year; as though Congress to the line of the likepility of the restrictions upon slavery which it contained. But the construction of the act of 1805 is so obvious that the repeal cannot be admitted without judicial interpretations showing it. I find none. struction of the act of 1800 is so obvious that the repeat canbot of admitted without judicial interpretations showing it. I find none. On the contrary, I find a decision of the Supreme Court of Louisiana, showing that those restrictions were continued in force. I will read an extract from it to the Senate:

"Formerly, while the act dividing Lonsiana into two territories was in force in this country, daves, introduced here in contravention to it, were freed by operation of law; but that act was meged in the legislative purovious which were subsequently enacted on the subject of unpertation of slaves into the United States generally."—Gener vs. Bonneced, 6 Juliuria & Exp., 656, (800, Court of Lan.) [19].

The general law referred to, went into operation on the first of

The general law referred to, went into operation on the first of January, 1808. If, therefore, there was, as this decision shows, a merger in 1808, there could have been no repeal in 1805.

There cannot be a stronger case to show the control Congress has exercised over the subject. Slavery existed in Louisiana when it was ceded to the United States. Congress did not impose any restriction on the tenure of slaves then held in the territory; that might have imparted vested rights of property under the local law, which the United States had covenanted in the treaty of easien to maintain and protect. But Congress not only proceeded, at once, to prohibit the importation of slaves from foreign contries, but to prohibit their introduction from the States of the Union, excepting when accompanying and belonging to citizens of the United States moving into the territory to become residents. This was to impose restrictions upon its extension, even within the territory in which it existed. It was a direct prohibition of the territory in which it existed. It was a direct prohibition of the domestic slave trade. It was an exercise of power, in respect to the territories, which Congress did not possess in respect to tho the territories, which Congress did not possess in respect to the States. It was an antieipation, by four vears, of the time at which Congress was authorized to problibit the importation of slaves into the original States. This act was signed by Jefferson. V. On the 11th January, 1805, an act was jussed establishing the territory of Michigan, with a government "in all respects similar to that provided by the ordinance of Congress, passed on the 13th day of July, 1787, for the government of the territory of the United States northwest of the river Olio."

VI. On the 3d of February, 1809, a similar government was established for the territory of Illinos. These two last acts also passed under Mr. Jefferson's administration.

VII. On the 4th of June, 1812, an act was passed "providing for the government of the territory of Missoni," and the laws and regulations in force in the district of Louisiana were continued in operation.

regulations in lore in the district of Debasians and the Consequence of the territory of Alabama, and the laws then in force within it as a part of Mississippi were continued in operation. These acts were massed under Mr. Madison.

1X. On the 2d March, 1819, the territory of Arkansas was formed from the territory of Arkansas was

X. On the 6th March, 1820, the inhabitants of Missouri were X. On the 6th March, 1820, the inflandants of Alissour very authorized to form a constitution and State government, and sla-very was prohibited in all that part of the territory of Louisiana north of 36° 30° north latitude. In this exercise of legislative power, the greatest latitude is given to the authority elaimed under the clause of the constitution respecting the territory of the

XI. On the 30th March, 1822, an act was passed for the estabislument of a territorial government in Florida, containing provisions making it unlawful "to import or bring into the said territory, from any place without the limits of the United States," any

These three acts were passed under Mr. Monroe's administra-

100. 1. On the 20th April, 1826, an act was passed "establishing the territorial government of Wisconsin," securing to the shlabitunts "the rights, privileges, and advantages" secured to the people of the northwestern territory by the ordinance of 1787, subjecting them to "the conditions, restrictions, and probibitions" contained in said ordinance, and extending the laws of the United

actes over them. This act was signed by General Jackson.
XIII. On the 12th June, 1838, a territorial government for lowa
as established, and the laws of the United States extended over.

was estammed, and the laws of me Content crates extracted or This act was signed by Mr. Van Burco.

This act was signed by Mr. Van Burco.

Salative precedents, commencing with the first Congress, and running, with a current of authority uninterrupted and almost unopposed, through more than half a century, down to the present

Only.

By looking through these acts, it will be found that the power of governing the persons occupying the territory belonging to the United States has been exercised by Congress in almost every form, and for a great variety of purposes, municipal as well as

political. Officers have been appointed, their qualifications pre-scribed, the right of sulfrage fixed, limited, and extended, the de-scent and distribution of estates regulated, courts organized and their powers defined, personal rights secured, and, in general, the whole power of legislation has been controlled by Congress through has retained over the laws passed by the legis-

Let me now see how far this exercise of legislative power has Let me how see now lat runs exercise of registance power has been sanctioned by judicial interpretations. I quete from decisions of the Supreme Court, the highest judicial tribunal in the United States. That court, in reference to the clause of the constitution giving Congress power to dispose of, and make all needful rules and regulations respecting, the territory belonging to the United States, say:

and regulations respecting, the territory belonging to the Carlos States, and:

"The power pivon in this chance is the most planer, band. Rules and regulations of the power pivon in the chance is the most planer, band. Rules and regulations of the light of Stores, here measured under complete junctions. It was seen say to confirm the pledge gives to the old in relation to the formation and powers of the confirmation of the pledge gives to the old in relation to the formation and powers of the pledge gives to the old in relation to the formation and powers of the pledge gives to the old in relation to the formation and powers of the pledge gives to the old in relation to the world hands of the pledge gives to the same power over it as over any older properly belonging to the Third Store State State of Maryland, 4 Meeting, 424, the Charle Bander is Demandation upon which the territorial governments rest. In the case of McCallough vs. the State of Maryland, 4 Meeting, 424, the Charle Bander is Demandation and the control of the Charle Maryland, 4 Meeting, 424, the Charle Bander is the consistence Company vs. Canter, (1 Peters, \$242, in speaking of the cease of Maryland, 4 Meeting, 424, the speaking of the case of the Charle Store, containes to be a territory of the United Store, governed by virue of that clause in the consistency which represents the same position of the United Store, and the same of the control of the Charle Store, and the same of the control of the Charle Store, and the same of the control of the Charle Store, and the same of the control of the Charle Store, and the same of the control of the Charle Store, and the same proposition of the Charle Store, solven the proposition of the Charle Store, and the same proposition of the Charle Store, because the same proposition of the Charle Store, and the same proposition o

I might refer to other decisions of the court, in which the same principal is recognized, though less directly perlaps, but sustaining the same interpretation of the constitution, and giving validity to the legislative precedents I have cited. Writers on constitutional law (Kawle, Sergeant, Story) concern in this construction. In short, it is believed that no power exercised under the constitution of such magnitude as that of governing the territories belonging to the United States has been more uniformly acquiesced in from the formation of the government to the present day, and in from the formation of the government to the present day, and indicated. No sysall its departments, legislative, executive, and judicial. No system of rules would be safe, if its authority could be disputed and overturned, in the face of such comprehensive and long continued sanctions. Government, law, social and political order, would become unstable, uncertain and worthless, as safeguards, either to property or lile, if their foundations could be thus sapped and undermined by logical subtlety and refinement—by new versions of the constitution at war with its ancient interpretations, and running counter to the whole course of the public administration from the carliest period of time.

Ironal terms, Mr. Presiden II. dismiss the question of power. If, as I think, the affirmative is, snatined, something, avertheless, remains to be considered. A power may be possessed, and yet it may not be right to exert it. Its exercise must be justified by considerations of public or private advantage: it must not work either public or private wrong. I propose to consider it under

And, in the first place, I intend to say nothing in regard to pri-vate interests excepting this—that there is no proposition before us to interfere with slavery where it exists—no restriction on the exercise of private or personal rights within the sphere of the local laws under which they arise. The question before us is, whether slaves shall be permitted to be introduced into Oregon, or whether their introduction shall be prohibited. It is a remote territory, generally conceded (though in this I do not concur, as I shall hereafter explain more hally) as not likely to be occupied by slaves, if they were allowed to be carried there. The fact hat it staves, it they were anowed to be carried there. The fact hat it is generally admitted to be unfit for slave labor must divest the question of all practical infringement of private rights, even in the estimation of those who take extreme views of the subject. I shall therefore consider it only in its bearing upon the great publie interests.

Mr. President, I consider this question, in the form it has assum-

Mr. Presuent, I consecr this question, is the form it has a sundered, as involving the extension of slavery. I consider it is a sunder the motion to strike out the 12th section, which substantially prohibits the introduction of slaves into Oregon. But it is made so make the property of the property of

"That nothing contained in this act shall be so construed as to authorize the prohibition of dome-tic slavely in said territory whilst it remains in the condition of a territory of the United States."

toy of the United States.

I understand this as an assertion of the right to earry slaves into Oregon both against the interference of Congress, and the desire of the inhabitants to exclude them. I understand it as maintaining the right to introduce domestic slavery into Oregon. This is extension, and against the wistless of the inhabitants who have proextension, and against the wisnes of the inmatatants who have pro-bibilited its introduction. Let me, then, present some considera-tions concerning this whole subject of extension. Those who oppose the extension of slavery to whele limits be-lieve that such extension promotes the multiplication of slaves. On the other hand it is contended that it makes no addition to

their numbers, but merely spreads them over a broader surface. This position is believed to be wholly inconsistent with all the received laws of population. The tendency of the buman race is to increase in a compound ratio of the extent and productiveness of the surface on which it is sustained. The highest possible impulse the surface on which it is sustained. The highest possine impulse is given to this increase in an unoccupied country, distinguished to the surface of the surface of the surface of the surface of the fort. This is the character of our own soil. Wherever slavela-bor can be carried, it will, for a time, be productive. Missouri affords a strong illustration of the truth of this proposition. The State lies wholly north of 36° 30° north latude, excepting a strip about thirty miles wide on the Mississippi, running dow thirty-sixth parallel, and yet, though so lar north, slave rapid progress there after her admission into the Union. down to th slavery made census of 1820, there were 10,222 slaves; in 1830, 24,820, an increase of one hundred and thirty-five per cent. in ten years; and in 1840, 59.240, an increase of one hundred and forty per cent. in ten years. For several years the slave population increased more rapidly than the free. In all new and fertile soils, where the demands for la-bor are urgent, this will be the inevitable result. The multiplication of the human species is governed by laws as inflexible and certain as those which govern the reproduction of vegetable life. In both, the stimulus, whatever it may be, constitutes the law of In note, the stimules, whatever it may be, constitute in the law the increase. I am aware that the ratio of increase in Missouri, both in respect to the white and the black race, was materially modified by immigration; and to that extent the result is independent of the application of the principle I have stated. But it can dent of the application of the principle I have stared. But it can hardly be demied that surface, productive surface, is the great ele-ment in our extension. It is this alone which has carried the ra-tio of our increase far beyond that of any other people. If we had been restricted to the area of the thirteen original States, how different would have been the result of our decennial enumerations!

different would have been the result of our decennial enumerations; I he same irreple governs the white and the black races. The laws of laker, subsistence, and peopulation, act on both; though not overwhere with the same intensity.

If these conclusions are just, an enhancement of the surface over which shavery is spread carries with it, by force of invincible laws, a multiplication of the race held in bondage; the coston irrespect substantial increase of the number of faces. The two monoidings are the properties of the number of faces. substantial increase of the number of slaves. Extension in respect to surface is multiplication in point of number. The two propositions cannot be legitimately separated either in reasoning or in practice. In this view of the subject the extension of slavery is a reproduction of the original responsibility of introducing it; and in this respect it has a moral bearing, to which the great mass of the

community cannot be indifferent.

Mr. President, in providing for the government of our territo-ries, while they continue subject to the exclusive regulation of Con-gress, no view of the subject would be complete which overlooks the part we are performing in the great movement of civilized so-ciety on both sides of the Atlantic. Let us turn our attention to some of the considerations which suggest themselves in connection some of the considerations when suggest themselves in connected that we ore destined to spread ourselves over the greater portion of the American continent on this sude of the great lakes—south to the densely peopled portions of Mexico, and west to the Pacific. No: is it as idle dream of the imagination to foresee in our political oris it an title dream of the imagine complete execution of the property of the she conquered them, instructed them in the art of war, and made them the instruments of new aggressions. We receive into ours them the instruments of new aggressions. We receive into the surplus population of the Old World, to instruct them in aris of peace, and to accelerate the march of civilization across the western continent. There is nothing in the history of human society so calculated to exalt it as the spectacle we present—ecving mot the bonds of firenship, and admitting to the rights of citize aship, the surplus of the over-peopled and over-governed countries of Europe. These annual additions constitute an element of no inconsiderable force in the ratio of our progression. In the last numeric of a centure, ashout the nacid was take forced with arts of peace, and to accelerate the march of civilization across the the last quarter of a century-about the period we take for a du-plication of our numbers-we have received, from the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland alone, nearly a mil-lion of immigrants; and from continental Europe, we have had large additions. These drawns, on the one hand, and necessions, large additions. These drams, on the one hand, and necessions, on the other, are not only likely to continue, but to increase in force. A surplus population, provided for by emigration, is certain to be regularly reproduced. Europe, therefore, will not be numerically weakened by these annual drains, oven though they should be indefinitely augmented; and overy addition to our numbers from abroad renders the force of immigration more intensity by relaying the ties which bind to their native soil the kndred multiple of the control of the contr titudes left behind

For an indefinite period, then, we may calculate on large and constantly increase in subtraction to one population by inneignation, and the natural multiplication of our own people, under the impulse of the powerful stimulants contained in a soil of extraordium y fertility, and in the supernhundant supply of food, will doubt-less maintain our past rate of increase, and give us, at the close of the present century, a hundred millions of inhabitants.

One of the most interesting and important problems, both for the American statesman and philosopher, is to determine of what race or races this vast population shall consist; for on the solution which future generations shall give to it, will essentially depend

the prosperity of the community or communities they will constithe prosperty of the community or communities they will consist tute, and their ability to maintain such a form of government as shall secure to them the blessings of political liberty, and an ad-vanced evilization. In a general survey of the races by which the earth is peopled, though the varieties are infinite, there are but four grand divisions—the Assiate, the Canesian, the Ethiopian, and the Indian. The whole surface of Europe, with some incom-ilizatile averagines is accurately the siderable exceptions, is occupied by the Caucasian race—by the siderable exceptions, is essentially exception of the energetic and independent tribes, which, from the shores of the Caspian, have, in different orns, spread themselves over Germany and western Europe, and laid the foundations of nearly all the civilization the world contains. From this Indo-Germanio or Causesian race we are ourselves descended; and we Germanio or Cauonsian race we are ourselves descended; and we are doing for the New World what they for the Old-gareeding ourselves over and subditing it—indeed, by arms, but he arts of peace. In whateverportion, indeed, by arms, but he the United States takes its rise, it hrings with it homogeneous currents. The same blood fills the veins of all. It shades of variety exists who come among us, it is to be traced to this diffusion multitudes who incurrents. The same blood us, it is to be traced to the influences which diversities of soil, climate, and government have exerted upon them in the different sections of Europe in which their lot upon them in the different sections of Europe in which their lot has been east. In the great outlines of their physicogrown, animal and moral, they are identical, and they are distinguishable from all other races by peculiarities not to be mistaken.

I believe it to be in the order of Providence, that the continent

of North America, which there is no revealed, that the condined to the control of accomplish; but the deeply sealed causes which are at work will ultimately triumph over all obstacles. Years, possibly centuries—(and what are centuries in the history of nations and empires?)— I say possibly centuries may be necessary to complete this pro-cess; but it must, in the end, be completed. I believe it may be satisfactorily shown that the free black population in the northern States does not increase by its own inherent force. I doubt whether it is fully reproduced. In four of the New England States—Vermont, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Connecticut—the black population, from 1820 to 1840, materially decreased. In New York, Massachusetts, and Maine there was an increase du-ring the same period; but this was doubtless due to the immigraring the same period; but this was doubtless due to the immigra-tion of manunited blacks from the South, finding their way to the principal commercial States. Without these accessions, the result in these States would probably have been the same as in the four New England States referred to. Under the most favorthe lour New Enginau States reterred to. Under the most lawer-able circumstances, it is, and must continue to be, an inferior caste in the North. It counts nothing in the stimato, physical or in-tellectual, of the strength of the body politic. Even where the forms of its admission to the privileges of freemen are complete, it is an excluded class. Let the liberal and the humane do what ey may, they cannot change the unalterable law of its destiny. they may, they cannot change the unalterable law of its destiny-public opinion at the North-call it prejudice, if you will—pre-sents an insuperable barrier against its elevation in the social scale. My own State has recently, by a majority of about one fused to place blacks on the same fonting as whites in the exercise of the elective franchise. Illunois and Connectivet have, believe, done the same thing by decided votes. A class thus degraded will not multiply. This is the first stage of retorgradation. The so-cond almost certainly follows. It will not be reproduced; and in a few generations, the process of extinction is performed. Nor is it the work of inhumanity or wrong. It is the slow but certainly resistant, that their operation is only seen in their results, I am not silent, that their operation is only seen in their results. I am not sure that this fact is so supported by statistical data that it can be considered settled beyond doubt. If it were, it might solve a great problem in population in the United States—a problem full of consequence and of instruction for our guidance—that manumitted blacks, as a class, do not multiply, and perhaps are not reproduced

Is it the part of wisdom or humanity to promote the extension or is the part of wissoul or unumarily to promote the excession or increase of a race which has its destiny written in characters not to be mistaken or efficed—an extension adding nothing to the public prosperity or strength, and enlarging the basis of human degradation and suffering?

degradation and sullering?
What is the true policy of the country, looking to its rapid growth and to the steady extension of our people over the uncecupied portions of this continent? Sir, there is grave cause for reflection in the anexampled increase of our population by its inhe-every dictate of humanity repels and condemns. It is in the vast and fertile spaces of the West that our own descendants, as well as the oppressed and needy multitudes of the Old World, must ind the food they require, and the rewards for labor, which are necessary to give them the spirit and the independence of freemen.

I held it to be our sacred duty to consecrate these spaces to the multiplication of the white race. Our part is to see, also, as far as in us lies, that this new material is made to conform to the political organization, of which it is to become an integral part. I have always believed this object would be best accomplished by a liberal policy. The federal government can do nothing in this respect. The State governments must do all—rather perhaps by acting upon future generations than the present-by establishing schools, by the removal of restrictions upon the application of la-bor and capital, and by emancipating industry, under all its forms,

bor and capital, and by emaceipating industry, under all its forms, from the shackles of privilege and monopoly. If we were to look to the rapid increase of our own population alone, without reference to external accessions—accessions annually increasing and with a constantly-accelerated force—I should loid it to be our duity to prunte, by all just and constitutional means, the multiplication of the white race, and to discourage, as far as we properly can, the multiplication of every other. Reason and humanity, acting within the limits of the constitution, will define the mode and extent of the agency we may exert over our thing the continual properties of the properties of the continual properties and extent of the agency we may exert over our thing this continual by the highest race in the order of origina. We obtained and of the continual properties of th physical endowment, there can be no difference of opinion. nan ean hesitate to say whether the condition of this continent, in all that concerns its government, morals, civilization, prosperity, strength, and productiveness, would be most likely to be promoted strengin, and productiveness, would be most likely to be promoted by peopling it with the race from which we sprung, or with the dessendants of the Ethiop and the Callre. There may be partially of the southern States in which the climate and objects of cultivation require the labor of blacks. I pass by all considerations of this character, or an arbitrast reason. If there are portions of the Union which can only be cultivated by the African race, they are embraced within the territorial boundaries of organized States, over whose domestic condition and relations the iederal government has no-control. The question concerns only them, and I forbear to touch it. But admitting the necessity of slave labor there, the admission furnishes no argument in layor of the extension of the African race to territories in which no such necessity

The character of the population, by which this continent is to be occupied, is a subject of vital importance to every section for Union. The strength of the whole is concerned, and with its strength its security from external aggression and intestant disorder and violence... The neuter the great body of our people—those der and violence. The nearer the great body of our people—those especially who till the earth—approach the same standard in intelligence and political importance, the more likely we shall be to maintain internal tranquility in peace, and bring to the common support in war the united strength of all. A degraded class is always, and must be, by force of immutable laws, an element of always, and must be, by force of immutable laws, an element of insecurity and weakenss. I will not asy that the North is as much interested in this question as the South. But we have a very deep interest in it. Manumitted slaves come to us in considerable numbers. They will continue to do so in spite of any discouragements we may oppose, and without the aid of compulsory legislation on the part of the States in which they are manumitted. All such additions to our numbers are in the highest degree undesirable. They add nothing to our strength, moral or physical; and, as we fill up, their tendency is to exclude whites to the extent that they contribute to supply the demand for labor. If the fifty thousand free blacks in New York were to be withdrawn, their places would be filled by an equal supply of white laborers. their places would be filled by an equal supply of white laborers. Our strength and our prosperity would be proportionably increas-ed by substituting white citizens for a class laboring under citid siqualifications, and excluded, by the force of opinion, from all share in the concerns of government. We desire and need inde-pendent, not dependent classes. We have, then, a deep interest in this question, first as a member of the common Union, and next as a community in some respects independent and sovereign. In both relations it concerns our permanent welfare, and we can ver consent or contribute—by any act, by inaction, by acquiescence, express or implied—to the extension of slavery to regions in which it does not now exist.

It is generally conceded that there is nothing in the climate or

It is generally conceased that there is nothing in the cumate or productions of Oregon, which requires the labor of blacks. If this be so, slavery, if introduced, would gradually give way in the competition with free labor. Notwithstanding this inherent tendency in slavery to wear uself out in districts to which it is not indispensably necessary, it will be profitable for a time in new countries, where there are lands to be brought under cultivation, and where where there are lands to be brought under entivation, and where there is an urgent demand for labor. But for a temporary purpose—with the assurance that it must eventually be cradicated—would it not be unjust and unwise, considering the question in its political bearing alone, to decline to exclude it, and to make the

prohibition absolute?

political bearing atone, to decline to exclude it, and to make the prohibition abolute? Gentlemen have said or egan. With all delevence to their opinion, and the said of the

this side of the continent? Where we sit—near the 39th? No. sir, far to the south of us. The latitude of Georgia gives on the

ifie a tropical elimate. When I say this is a practical question, I do not rely on reasoning alone. The prohibition of slavery in the laws of Oregon was adopted for the express purpose of excluding slaves. A few had been brought in , further importations were expected; and it was with a view to put a stop to them that the prohibitory act was

Shall we, then, refuse to ratify this prohibition. ing to extend to the inhabitants of Oregon a privilege they ask for themselves? Shall we, by our judgment solemnly pronounced here, declare that the territory of Oregon shall be open to the introduction of slaves, unless the people, through their le-gislative assembly, re-enact the prohibition? I might go further, gistative assembly, resenant inc prominion? I might go interest and ask, in reference to a proposed amendment, whether we are prepared to say, against the wishes of the inhabitants, that the introduction of slaves into Oregon shall not be prohibited?

Mr. President, I desire it not to be understood, in putting these Mr. President, I desire it not to be understood, in putting these inquiries, that I am in favor of leaving to the inhabitants of territories the decision of a question not only affecting them, but of vital importance to the prespective of the whole community. I have always regarded it as one of the high duties of the federal government to give direction and shape to the institutions of the inhabitants of a territory while preparing themselves for admission into the I loid. This temporary subscription was downed necessitions. into the Union. This temporary subordination was deemed neces-sary for the northwest territory, even though settled by the un-mixed population of the thirteen original States, trained to selfgovernment and to the exercise of political rights under instituis such a supervision now, when territories are becoming annexed

is such a supervision now, when territories are becoming annexed to the Union inhabited by the most heterogeneous races, and wholly unused to the enjoyment or exercise of rational freedom? An honorable Senator from North Carolina [Mr. Badoera] denominated this submission of power to the inhabitants of the territories a republican measure, or as in accordance with the genius of our republican institutions. Sir, it was not so considered in former times—in the earlier and better days of the republic. Let me state some historical facts touching this question.

In 1805, an act was passed for the government of the territory of Orleans. While the bill was under discussion in the Senate, certain amendments were offered, the effect of which would have been to give the inhabitants of the territory of Orleans the management of their own domestic concerns, uncontrolled by Congress. The journal of the Senate does not show by whom the amendments In journal of the Sonace obes not show by whom the amendments were offered; but on searching the records of that period, I find the manuscript copy endorsed, "Mr. Tracy's motion to amend bill." I think this may be regarded as the original, to which subsequent attempts to emancipate the territories from the control of sequent attempts to emanerpate the territories from the control of the federal government, before they have the population necessary to give them a representation in Congress, may be referred.— Whatever the dectrine may be considered at the present day, it derived little support from republican sources then. It was brough to forward by Mr. Tracy, an able and respectable federals tfrom Connectiont. On the division, which was called on his motion to extribe and for the nurness of inserting his amonglaments; it received strike out for the purpose of inserting his amendments, it received but eight votes, including his own. They were given by Timothy but eight votes, including in sown. I day were given by I moved probleming and John Quincy Adams, of Massachusetts, Urah Tra-Polekring, and John Quincy Adams, of Massachusetts; Urah Tra-Bayard and Stephen White, of Delaware; Simeon Oleott, of New Hampshire; and James Jackson of Goorgia. With the exception of Mr. Jackson, all these gentlemen were federalists, for it was not until several years later that Mr. Adams acted with the repub-Some of them were among the brightest ornam of the federal party of that day, both in respect to talents and private character, and all were strenuous opponents of Mr. Jeffervate character, and all were stremans opponents of Mr. celes-son's administration. Against these eight ayes were twenty-four noes, given by the great body of Mr. Jelferson's supporters and some of his opponents. Among the former were Baldwin of Geor-gia, Giles of Virginia, and Smith of Maryland. The supporters of the measure were, with one exception, federalists, and opponents of Mr. Jefferson's administration. Its opponents were chiefly republicans, and supporters of his administration.

ly regulations, and supporters or unla administration.

At the same session of Congress memorials were presented to both houses of Congress from the inhabitants of the territory Corleans, and from the district of Louisiana. The former prayed to be admitted insued heightly into the Union, and insisted that they had a right to such admission under the treaty of cession. The latter asked for a territorial government; the whole territory, or district of Louisiana, as it was called, lying north of the 33d parallel of latitude, having been virtually subjected, in respect to the administration of its legislative, executive, and judicial powers, to the government and judges of the Indiana territory. In both cases In both cases the inhabitants prayed for the privilege of importing slaves. Those memorials were referred, in the House of Representatives, to a committee of which Mr. John Randolph was chairman,

On the 25th of January, 1805, Mr. Randolph made a report, which will be found at page 417 of volume 20, American State Papers, printed by Gales and Seaton, concluding with a resolu-

"That provision ought to be made by law for extending to the inhabitants of Louisians the right of self government."

This resolution was agreed to on the 28th of January, without

Mr. Randolph's report, while asserting that "every indulgence,

not incompatible with the interests of the Union," should be exnot meompatible with the interests of the Chica, should be ex-

-" to give to Louisiana a government of its own choice, administered by officers of its own appendment,"

Maintained at the same time, that in

—\*\*renormonding the extension of this privilege to the people of that country, it would not find the privilege to the people of that country, it would not the understood related to the concentration of the committee that it should be unaccompanied by containing the protection of the important on foreign shaves, equally disturbed by limitarily and policy, there follows in such as the lower as may be disapproved by Congress, within a limited time after their pa-age, shall be for fore and effects.

The report of Mr. Randolph asserts, to the full extent, the right of Congress to provide for the government of the territories, to impose on them such restrictions as were demanded by the interests of the Union, and to prohibit the introduction of slaves from

foreign countries, as a measure of humanity and policy.

Such was the action of the two houses of Congress on this sub ject, involving the question of yielding to the inhabitants of terriject, involving the question of yealing to the inhantants of terriories the control of their own domestic affairs, and excupting their legislation from the supervisory and repealing power of Congress. If we regard it as a party measure, all the republicas sanctions of that day were against it. And if we consider it as a political question, to be determined, with regard to its complexion, by a reference to the genius of our institutions, it is singular that those who were most deeply imbued with the spirit of republican-

Let me now examine for a moment the question immediately before us. A motion is made to strike bill. The section provides, first, that A motion is made to strike out the 12th section of this

—"the inhabitants of the said territory shall be entitled to all the rights, privileges, and innounties heretofore granted and secured to the territory of lows, and to its inhabitants."

#### Second, that

"The existing laws now in force in the territory of Oregon, under the authority of the provisional government established by the people thereof, shall continue to be valid and operative therein, so for a site same be an incompatible with the provisions of this act, subject, nevertheless, to be altered, modified, or repealed by the governor and legislative assembly of the said territory of Oregon."

#### Third, that

"The laws of the United States are bereby extended over and declared to be in force said territory, so far as the same or any provision thereof may be applicable."

In order to see what rights, privileges, and immunities the peoan otter to see must rights, privileges, and maintaines in peo-ple of Oregon are to acquire, we must refer to the act organizing the territory of Iowa. The 12th section of this act provides— "' that the inhibitants of the said territory shall be entitled to all the rights, privileges, and manualities heretofore granted and secured to the territory of Wiscosias and its

We must next have recourse to the act organizing the territory of Wisconsin. The 12th section of this act provides

—"that the inhabitants of the said territory shall be entitled to, and enjoy, all and signals the rights, provineys, and advantages granted and secured to the people of the said provineys and the province of the province of the said entiops, passed on the L3th day of July, 1767; and shall be nibject to all the conditions and restrictions and problibitions in said articles of compact imposed quot the people of the said territory."

probabilization is said stricted of compact impacted upon the people of the said tentiony.

It will be seen that there is an essential difference in the language of the two sections. The 12th section of the act organization the territory of Iowa secures the rights, privileges, and immunities, secured to the territory of Wisconsin and its inhabitants, including the ordinance of 187; but it does not expressly impose the conditions, restrictions, and probibitions contained in that ordinance. Now, I suppose the exclusion of slavery from the northwest territory by the ordinance is to be referred rather to the class of restrictions and prohibitions than to that of privileges and im munities. Under such a construction of the act, slavery would not have been excluded from Iowa by the 12th section of the act establishing a government for that territory, nor would it be ex-cluded from Oregon by that portion of this bill which secures to the inhabitants "the rights, privileges, and immunities heretofore the inhabitants "the rights, privileges, and immunities heretofore granted and secured to the territory of Iowa and its inhabitants."

granted and secured to the territory of Iowa and its inhabitants."

I hrow there is a difference of opinion in respect to the true construction of the 12th section of the act organizing a government for the territory of Iowa. The Senator from Maryland, IMT. Joinson, I whose legal opinions are entitled to great weight, is of opinion that the slavery restrictions contained in the 12th section of the act organizing a territorial government for Wisconsin, from which territory Iowa was taken, are embraced in the 12th section of the act establishing a government for the latter. The Senators from North Carolina and Coorging, [Mr. Badocza, and tions, imposed by the ordinance of 1787, on the one hand, and the rights, utilityees, and advantees, secured on the other, as disrights, privileges, and advantages, secured on the other, as dis-tinet, substantivo propositions, of which, the latter only are emthet, substantor propositions, or which, the latter only are embeaced in the 12th section of the last named act. And although I will not indertake to decide between them, I confess this seems to me the most reasonable construction. Practically, this question was of no importance as to lown, as slavery was excluded from that territory, which was a part of Louisiana, by the Missouri compromise

Let us now look at the next provision of this section, which I onsider the most important. It declares that the laws now existing in Oregon shall continue to be valid and operative, &c.

One of these laws contains a prohibition of slavery. I will read

it; it is article one, section four, of the organic laws of Oregen;

. "There shall be neither slavery nor involuntary servitude in said territory, otherwise than for the punishment of crimes, whereat the parties shall be duly convicted."

This prohibition is adopted by the section I am considering; and the exclusion of slavery will, for the time, be as complete as though it were expressly prohibited by an adoption of the amend-ment offered by the Senator from New Hampshire, and subse-quently withdrawn by him. That amendment subjected the terment offered by the Senator from New Hampshire, and subsequently withdrawn by him. That amendment subjected the territory of Oregon to the restrictions and prohibitions of the ordinance of 1978. It would have been a perpetual exclusion of slavery; and in this respect it differs from the 12th section as its stands. For instance: under this section the inhabitants of Oregon might rescind or repeal the law prohibiting slavery; this scat for the restriction. The satth section, however, provides that all laws passed by the Governor and Legislative Assembly shall be submitted to Congress, and if disapproved, shall be void and of no effect. If such an act of repeal should be passed, it would bring the question again before Congress for its approval or disapproval. Such an act is certainly very unlikely to be passed, it would bring the question again before Congress for its preferable act by the legislative, authority of the territory. Still, the positive prohibition contained in the ordinance of 1787 is preferable awith the whole legislation of the country in respect to territories some other Senator does so, offer an amendment to that effect.

I regret exceedingly, Mr. President, to have taxed the patience of the Senate so long; but I believed I was performing a daty to high principles, and to the State I have, in part, the honor to resent; and no consuleration could induce me to shrink from the performance of the senate in discussion which I took has winter, in discussion what was torreade the these actions which I took has winter, in discussion what was torreade the these actions which I took has winter, in discussion what was torreade the these actions and the territories that was the results as winter, in discussion what was torreade the these actions and the same and the second such as winter, in discussion what was torreade the these actions and the territories and the same and the these actions and the territories are such as the same and the sam

Belore I conclude, I desire to state some positions which I took last winter, in discussing what was termed the three million bill. I thought then, and I think still, that they constitute the only practical and reasonable basis for the settlement of this question.

They were these:

1. All external interference with slavery in the States is a violation of the compromises of the constitution, and dangerons to the

lation of the comprensies of the constitution, and dangerons to the harmony and perpetuity of the federal Union.

2. If territory is acquired by the United States, it should, in respect to slavery, be received as it is found. If slavery exists therein at the time of the acquisition, it should not be the subject of legislation by Congress. On the other hand, if slavery does not exist therein at the time of the acquisition, it is introduced ought to be prohibited while the territory continues to be governed

oligate to be possible as such.

3. All legislation by Congress in respect to slavery in the territory belonging to the United-States, ceases to be operative when
the inhabitants are permitted to form a State government; and
the admission of a State into the Union carries with it, by force
of the sovereignty such admission confers, the right to dispose of
the sovereignty such admission confers, the right to dispose of interference

These positions were in substantial accordance, as I supposed, with the declared opinions of the Legislature of New York; and they have been recently re-affirmed; so far as the exclusion of slavery from territory in which it does not now exist is concerned.

very from territory in which it does not now exast is concerned.

I believe this to be the only just, equal, and reasonable basis on which this question can be amicably settled. Such a result may be hopeless. Extreme vives on both sides may defeat all adjustment of it on friendly terms. If so, I shall have the consolation of reflecting that while my compositions lie between those extremes — while they have been advanced, as I trust, in language no one and deen offensive then shave been maintained with a steadiness which ought always to accompany settled convictions of right and

Mr. President, I conclude by saying for New York, as I think Mr. President, I conclude by Pe Isgying for New York, as I tunke I am uthread to say by by Isgying the Vorke, that while she will adhere steadfastly to all the compromises of the constitution, and while she will resist all interference with slavery in the States as manuthorized and disorganizing, she will never consent to its extension to territory in which it does not now exist, and es-pocially where it is now prohibited. On the contrary, she will, not every constitutional mode, oppose all such extension, as of evil tendency in government, wrong in itself, and repagnant to the ha-manity and the civilization of the age.

Mr. CALHOUN .- It appears to me advisable, that if this dis-Mr. CALHUUN—It appears to me advisance, that it dis-cussion proceed it should proceed upon this amendment. It dis-tinctly presents the question at issue. Whereas the section pro-posed to be stricken out endeavors to effect the object by indirec-tion and abstraction. The country will far better understand the tion and abstraction. The country will have needed and execution that is made upon this amendment. I think, therefore, the debate had better proceed upon the amendment. I do not desare to speak at this stage of the debate, but if no other Senator is ready to take the floor, I shall have no objection to deliver my sentiments to morrow.

On motion by Mr. CALHOUN, it was

Ordered, That the further consideration of the bill be postponed

# PATENTS FOR LANDS.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill for the issuing of patents in a certain class of cases, and for other purposes; and having been amended, it was reported to the Senate and the amendments were concurred in.

30TH CONG .-- 1ST SESSION-No. 98.

Ordered, That this bill be engressed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time, and the title was amended. Resolved. That this bill pass, and that the title thereof he "an act for the issuing of patents for lands in the State of Louisiana."

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the fellowing bills :

An act for the relief of Edna Unckman, wife of Alexander D. Peck,

An act for the relief of William Ralston.

An act for the relief of Thomas Scott, Register of the Land Office at Chilicothe, Ohio, for services connected with the duties of his office.

No amendment being made, they were reported to the Scnate. Ordered, That they pass to a third reading.

The said bills were read a third time.

Resolved, That they pas-

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

# JUDICIAL.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill supplemental to the act passed the 9th day of May. Whole, the bill supplemental to the act passed the 9th day of May, in the year IS46, entitled "an act to retrocede the county of Alexandria, in the District of Columbia, to the State of Virginia," and having been amended, it was reported to the Senate, and the amendment concurred in.

Ordered, That it be cagrossed and read a third time.

The said biil was read a third time.

Resolved, That it pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

# W. B. SLAUGHTER, OF WISCONSIN.

Mr. MASON, by unanimous consent, asked and obtained leave Mr. MASON, by unanimous consent, asked and obtained each to bring in a joint resolution to explain an act passed 21th June, 1348, ontitled "an act for the relief of Wm. B. Slaughter, late Secretary of the Territory of Wisconsing." which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered. That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said resolution was read a third time.

Resolved, That it pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered. That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

## LAND CLAIMS IN MISSISSIPPI.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill supplemental to an act to confirm the survey and location of claims for lands in the State of Mississippi cast of the Pearl river, and south of the 31st degree of north latitude, approved March 3, 1845; and having been smended, it was reported to the Senate, and the amendment was concurred in.

Ordered. That the amendments be engressed, and the bill read a third time

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved, That this bill pass with amendments.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in the ameadments

# SURVEY OF THE MOUTH OF RED RIVER

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill to provide for a survey of the mouth of the Red river; and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Se-

\* Mr. WESTCOTT moved that the bill lie on the table; and on this motion he demanded the yeas and nays, which were ordered, and it was determined in the negative, as follows:

Y. A.S.—Mean, Athorton, Bright, Buder, Dave, of Musinippi, Dickinson, Ham line, I, Intere, Johnson, of Georgie, Lewin, Mann, Turney, Westerdt, and Values—II.
Yules—II.
XAVS—Mears: Achinson, Bagier, Fell, Bradleyr, Clarke, Chryson, Coven, Daves, of Manualweite, Do., Barry, Feel, Grander, Carner, Grander, Coven, Daves, of Manualweite, Davids, Charles, George, Control of Con

Ordered, That the hill be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved, That this bill page

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# TUESDAY, JUNE 27, 1848.

#### THE WASHINGTON MONUMENT.

The PRESIDENT, pro temporε, laid before the Senate a commication from the committee on arrangements, in behalf of the Washington National Monument Society, inviting the Vice President and Senators in Congress to attend the ceremony of laying the corner stone of the Washington antional monument, on the 4th day of July next; which was read.

Mr. CLAYTON submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved, That the Senate and its officers will attend the ceremony of laying the arner stone of the Washington national monument, on the 4th day of July next.

#### MEMORIAL OF MISS D. L. DIX

Mr. DIX presented the memorial of Miss D. L. Dix, praying a grant of public lands, for the relief and support of the indigent insane within the United States; and said:

I rise, Mr. President, to present a memorial from Miss Dix, who is well known to the American public for her disinterested efforts in ameliorating the condition of the indigent insane. For many years her time, her health, and her pecuniary means have been freely spent in this charitable service. Through her perseverance freely spent in this charitable service. Through her perseverance and through the impression produced by the information which she has gathered, institutions have been rearred in different parts of the country, the wealthy have contributed from their abundance, and States laboring under heavy bardens of debt have already tax-definements for the relief of a deas which, of all others, has the

ed themselves for the relief of a class which, of all others, has the strongest claim to our sympathy and support, able lands may be appropriated for the purpose of affording relief and support to this unhappy class in each State of the Union. Her memoral contains a mass of interesting and instructive information, derived partly from public sources, and founded partly on her own personal ob-servation; and her application is supported by reasonings and facts which cannot fail to make a strong impression on the mind cout the facilities. and the feelings.

It is due to her to say that she comes before the Senate with the Triangle for the Say hat she comes become the contact with the greatest reluctance, but that she has yielded to a sense of duty, which has overruled all personal considerations, in behalf of the cause of humanity, which she pleads.

I will read to the Senate a very few passages from the closing

pages of the memorial.

# [Here Mr. D. read a part of the memorial.]

I ask, Mr. President, that this memorial may be printed. Its object is purely public, and its purpose is co-extensive with the universality of the moral disease which it aims to relieve. It does not concern one State alone, but all. And in view of the importance of the subject, I also ask that it may be referred to a select committee, to be appointed by the presiding officer of the Senate.

Ordered, That the memorial be printed, and that it be referred to a select committee, consisting of five members, to be appointed by the PRESIDENT pro tempore; and

Messrs. Dix, Benton, Bell, Hannegan, and Davis, of Massachusetts were appointed the committee.

# On metion by Mr. HALE, it was

Ordered, That five thousand additional copies of the said memorial be printed for the use of the Senate.

# WHITNEY'S RAILROAD TO THE PACIFIC.

Mr. NILES, by unanimous consent, asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to set apart and sell to Asa Whitney, of New York, a portion of the public lands, to enable him to construct a railroad from Lake Michigan to the Pacific Ocean; which was read the first and second times by unanimous consent.

# On motion by Mr. NILES, it was

Ordered, That the bill be referred to a select committee to consist of five members, to be appointed by the PRESIDENT pro temnore, and

Messrs. Niles, Coawin, Lewis, Bell, and Felch were appointed the committee.

### JOHN MC GARR.

Mr. BENTON, by unanimous consent, asked and obtained leave John McGarr; which was read the first and second times by unanimous consent.

Mr. BENTON remarked that the hill might lie on the table until to-morrow morning, and he would then ask its passage by the Senate.

#### JOSEPH NOURSE, DECEASED

Mr. MASON, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the amendment of the House of Representatives to the bill to authorize the settlement of the account of Joseph Nourse, deceased, reported thereon.

The Senate proceeded to consider the said amendment, and it

Resolved, That they concur therein.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representa-

#### JONES AND BOKER.

The Senate proceeded to consider the resolution submitted yesterday by Mr. WESTCOTT, relating to the act for the relief of Jones and Boker

Mr. WESTCOTT said that since he had proposed the resolution, deeming it might be possible there was some mistake, al-though he did not believe there was, he had had another conversa-tion with the Comptroller of the Treasury, and had directed his attention to the explanations given by gentlemen here, and he had informed him that his first information was correct.

Mr. HALE stated that his objection to the resolution was chiefly this: that be thought there was manifest impropriety in one branch of the legislature directing an executive officer to suspend the action of a law which had been passed by both Houses of Congress, and had received the sanction of the President.

Mr. TURNEY suggested that the resolution had better be passed over until they obtained further information.

Mr. NILES .- The resolution is virtually repealing a law that Mr. NILE5.—The resolution is virtually repealing a law that we have passed, and that to upon a mere suggestion coming from a subordinate officer of the government. These gentlemen, Jones and Boker, area as respectable merchants, I believe, as any in the country, and yet this suspension of the law, is to be predicated upon the assumed fact, that they have practised a fraud upon the government. I suppose we have the facts as well made out in this case, as in most cases, it can hardly be deemed possible, plying for; still, if so, I should not wish the bill to the new plying for; still, if so, I should not wish the bill to the new plying for; still, if so, I should not wish the bill to do not not any means. The resolution might be differently worled, however, making it the duty of the accounting officer to enquire into the facts; and to ascertain whether the notes have been paid. But to repeal a law which has gone through all the forms of legislation by a mere resolution, seems to me rather an unwarrantable promere resolution, seems to me rather an unwarrantable pro-

Mr. BADGER -I entirely concur with the Senator from Connecticut. The securities no doubt have been paid under a forged necticut. The securities no quots have been pain unner a torget endorsement, and we are passing this resolution upon the ground that the money has been received by the persons whose annes have been this forged, and that they have been guilty of practis-ing a gross fraud upon the government. It would be highly un-just to cest a censure of the kind upon these individuals without a thorough investigation.

Mr. WESTCOTT .- I understand there is an error in regard to one thing, and that is the simulation of the name. I am told that their names were not forged, but that there was a blank endorseroad name were not origed, not that there was a biank canorise-ment upon the notes, and that the notes were impropelly obtained by those to whom they were paid. And J will here say, that I understand that it can be all reconciled without any imputation against them. The only object of the resolution is to give the Comptroller of the Trensury authority to suspend action until the matter can be properly investigated.

Mr. BADGER .- It may be true, that the offleers of the execu-Mr. DADGEN.—At may be true, that the officers of the exceeding the department are as competent to decide matters of this kind as the two Houses of Congress; but in this particular case Congress has thought proper to decide, and they have put their decision into the form of a law, and it has received the sanction of the President. Now, I, for one, and not for passing a resolution devolving upon an officer of the executive department authority to say that want we have done double have a "effect" it in the terms of the congress of the sanction of the sanc say that what we have done shall have no effect, if he thinks we have determined erroneously. At the same time I think it is due to ourselves that the matter should be reinvestigated by ourselves

Mr. RUSK .- I have no objection that the matter should be re Mr. RUSK.—I have no objection that the matter snound on re-investigated. My impression is that upon investigation it will be found that the error is in the office, and not in the individuals for whose relief the bill was passed. I have a distinct recollection of the circumstances under which it was passed. One of these indi-viduals being in one of the western States, these Treasury notes were cast to him, made payable to his order. The mail was robbed, and the name of the individual to whom they were made payable was forged upon the notes, and the fiscal agent of the government redeemed the notes with this forged endorsement. I have no objection that the resolution shall be referred to any com-

Mr. BADGER .- Which would be the proper committee?

Mr. RUSK .- The Committee on the Pest Offices and Pest Ronds, I suppose. Mr. BADGER .- I move that the resolution be referred to that

committee. Mr. WESTCOTT .- I have no objection to the reference.

The motion was agreed to.

#### MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT.

The following message was received from the President of the United States, by Mr. WALKER, his Secretary:

Mr. President: The President of the United States approved and signed, the 26th instant, the following acts:

An act for the rehef of William B. Slanghter, late Secretary of the Territory of Wisconsin.

An act to amend an act entitled "An act for the regulation of scamen on heard the public and private vessels of the United States," passed the 3d day March, 1813. A resolution in favor of David Shaw and Solomon T. Corser.

#### NOTICE OF A BILL.

Mr. HALE gave notice that on to morrow, or some early day thereafter, he would ask leave to bring in a bill to prevent speculation in the public lands, and to open the same to actual settlers and cultivators.

COMMITTEE ON ENGROSSED BILLS.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore announced the appointment of Mr. Fitzgerald and Mr. Walker as the additional members of the Committee on Engrossed Bills, in pursuance of the resolution of the 24th instant.

#### THE OREGON BILL.

The Senate resumed as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill to establish the territorial government of Oregon.

Mr. BRIGHT .- When this bill was first before the Scnate, it was represented that the 12th section contained objectionable fea-tures, by many gentlemen on this floor; and, as having this bill in was represented that the 12th section contained objectionable learners, by many gentlemen on this floor; and, as having this bill in charge, I felt inclined to obviate the objection. I was one among the number of those who believed that the 12th section was not very important to the bill. Entertained the 12th section was not very important to the bill. Entertained the exciting question, I moved to strike out that section. But what subsequently occurred induced me to withdraw the motion. It was renewed, however, by the Senator from Georgia, thas raising the very question which I intended, if possible to avoid. Following that motion is the ameadment offered by the Senator from Mississippi, which involves the agitation of the same question, connected with other circumstances, I am induced in the senator from Mississippi which involves the agitation of the same question, connected with other circumstances, I am induced in the senator from Mississippi which involves the agitation of the same and the senator from Mississippi be voted down, and if the 12th section be striken out—or be that as it may, I intend, at the proper time, to propose the adoption of this amendment; and I am action puon yadgment, and from a sense of daty, believing that if the principle contained in it be doubted it will statisty—I will not say the entire country, for I believe there is a set of men in the country who are determined not be satisfied—but the great body of the American people; and that it will restore peace and harmony to the country. It is altered that more onless than the Missouri compromise the tellow state. ther more nor less than the Missouri compremise.

NISSOUTH OF PASS THAN THE PAISSOUTH COMPTONIES.

"And be it firster custed," That in all the territories owned by the United States, including Origon, New Mexico, and Upper California, which lie bottle of 30° 30° onto listificial, sixty part of involutionary carrivale in the thand territory consistent of the state o

Mr. BERRIEN .- I renewed the motion made by the Senator Mr. BERRIEN.—I renewed the motion made by the Senator from Indian to strike out the 12th section, expressing my desire that no debate should take place upon it; and I manifested that desire by abstaining myself from saying one word on the subject. The question, therefore, which now agitates the country, is not brought before it by my motion. And I concer most cordially with the Senator from Indiana in the desire be expressed to withdraw this aritating question, not movely from the excellentian of Conthe Senator from Indiana in the desire be expressed to withdraw this agitating question, not merely from the consideration of Congress, but from the consideration of the American people; for I feel, with him, that it is a question which deeply menses the security of this Union. I shall be glad, therefore, at the propertime, to consider the motion which the Senator is disposed to submit. At present my, purpose is merely to acquit myself of the imputation of having forced this subject into a discussion which I did desire most auxiously to avoid.

Mr. BRIGHT.—I hope the Senator from Georgia did not un-derstand me as censuring him at all for the renewal of the mo-tion. I certainly intended nothing of the kind,

Mr. CALHOUN .- There is a very striking difference between the position on which the slaveholding and non-slaveholding States stand in reference to the subject under consideration. The former desire no action of the government; demanded no law to give them any advantage in the territory about to be established; are willing to leave it, and other territories belonging to the United States, open to all their citizens, so long as they continue to be territories, and when they ceased to be so, to leave it to their inrestriction or condition, except that imposed by the constitu-tion, as a prerequisite for admission into the Union. In short, tion, as a prerequisite for admission into the Union. In smoot, they are willing to leave the whole subject where the constitution for the contrary, the non-slaveholding States, instead of being willing to leave it on this broad and equal foundation, demand the interposition of the government, and the passage of an ect to exclude the citizens of the alsoyebolding States from emigrating with clude the citizens of the slaveholding States from emigrating with their property into the territory in order to give their citizens and those they may permit, the exclusive right of settling it, while it remains in that condition, preparatory to subjecting it to like re-strictions and conditions when it becomes a State. The 12th sec-tion of this bill is intended to assert and maintain this demand of the non-slaveholding States, willie it remains a corresponding to the bill for the establishment of the lown attention to the like the holl for the establishment of the lown attributed government of Gregon, which, among others, contains one prohibiting the inratifying the acts of the informal and self-constituted governors of Oregon, which, among others, contains one probabiling the roduction of slavery. If thus, in Califyr, but, as the cellide the Winter Among the

The first question which offers itself for consideration is: Has the northern States the power which they claim, to exclude the southern from emigrating freely, with their property, into territories belonging to the United States, and to monopolize them for

rories belonging to the United States, and to inonopolize them for their exclusive benefit?

It is, indeed, a great question. I propose to discuss it callly and dispassionately. I shall claim nothing which does not fairly and clearly belong to the southern States, either as members of this fed-ral Union or appertaining to them in their separate and individual character; nor shall I yield any which belong to them in either exactive. I am influenced neither by sectional nor party considerations. If I know myself, I would repel as promptly and decidely any aggression of the South on the North, as I would any on the part of the latter on the Former. Another her work of the South on the North, as I would any on the part of the latter on the Former. Another hers solvent, than the obligation of from making aggression; and that the party which submits to it when it can be resisted, to be not much less guilty and responsible for consequences than that which makes it. Nor do I stand on party grounds. What I shall say in reference to this subject, I shall say entirely without reference to the Presidential election. I hold it to be infinitely higher than that and lotter questions of the day. I shall direct any efforts to ascerdential election. I had it to be intunely angue that that and all other questions of the day. I shall direct my efforts to ascertain what is constitutional, right and just, under a thorough conviction that the best and only way of putting an end to this, the most dangerous of all questions to our Union and institutions, is to

most dangerous of all questions to our Union and institutions, is to adhere rigidly to the constitution and the dictates of justice. With these preliminary remarks, I recur to the question. He was the North the power which it claims under the 12th section of this bill? I ask at the outset, where is the power to be found! Not leave that the relation in which the northern and southern States stand to each other. They are the constituent parts or members of a common federal Union; and, as such, are equals in all respects, both in dignity and rights, as is declared by all writers on governments defounded on such Union, and as may be incred from a gennest defounded on such Union, and as may be incred from a gennest dein dignity and rights, as is declared by all writers on governments founded on such Union, and as may be inferred from arguments deduced from their nature and character. Instand, then, of affording any conteneance or authority in lavor of the power, the relation in which they stand to each other farnishes a strong presumption against it. Nor can it be found in the fact that the South holds property in slaves. That, too, fairly considered, instand affording any authority, for the power furnishes a strong presumption against it. Slavery existed in the fact that the South holds property in slaves. That, too, fairly considered, instand from the standard property of the power furnishes a strong presumption against it. Slavery existed in the fact that the South holds property and the states in the government, and the apportion to their from thion as it does at this time. It is the only properly recognized by it; the only one that is put under the express guarantee of the States in the government, and the apportionment of direct taxes, and the only one that is put under the express guarantee of the constitution. It is well known to all conversant with the history of the formation and adoption of the constitution, that the South was very jealous in reference to this property; that it constitutes one of the difficulties, both to its formation and adoption, and that it would not have assented to either, had the convection refused to allow to it its due weight in the government, or to place it under the guarantee of the constitution. Nor can it be found in the was to allow to it its does an admitted a fixed in the government, or to place it under the guarantee of the constitution. Nor can it be found in the prevention of the state of the discussion. Sufficent to say, the whole was acquired either by purchase out of the common funds of all the States, the South as well es the North, or by arms and mutual sacriface of men and money, which instead of giving any countenance in favor of the power claimed by the North, on every principle of right and plustee, furnishes strong additional pre-

Bit, if is annot be found in either, if it exists at all, the power must be looked for in the constitutional compact, which kinds these States together in a federal Union; and I now ask can it be found there? Does that instrument contain any provision which gives the North the power to exclude the South from a free admission into the territories of the United States with its peculiar property, and to monopolize them for its own exclusive used. If it in fact conciting grant, or be inferred by irresistable deduction. From some clear and acknowledged power. Nothing short of the one or the other can overcome the strong presumption against it.

That there is no such specific grant may be inferred beyond doubt, from the fact that no one has ever attempted to designate it. Instead of that, it has been assumed—taken for general of the distribution of a particle of proof—that Congress has the absolute right to govern the territories. Now, I concede, if it does in reality possess such power, it may exclude from the territories who or what they please, and admit into them who or what they please, and admit into them. may exercise the power claimed by the North to exclude the South from them. from them. But I again repeat where is this absolute power to be found? All admit that there is no such specific grant of power. If, then, it exists at all, it must be inferred from some such power. I ask where is that to be found? The Senator from New York, behind mo, [Mr. Drz] points to the clause in the constitution, which provides that "Congress shall have power to dispose of and make all needful rules and regulations respecting the territory other property belonging to the United States." Now, I under-take to affirm and maintain beyond the possibility of doubt, that so take to affirm and maintain beyond the possibility of doubt, that so far from conferring absolute power to govern the territories, it con-fors no governmental power whatever; no, not a particle. It re-fers exclusively to territory, regarded simply as public lands. Every word relates to it in that character, ane is wholly inapplicable to it considered in any other character but as property. Take the ex-pression "dispose of" with which it begins. It is easily understud-what it means when applied to lands, and its the proper and natural expression regarding the territory in that character, when the object is to confer the right to sell or make other disposition But who ever heard the expression applied to government? And what possible meaning can it have when so applied? Tak the next expression, "to make all needful rules and regulations." These regarded separately, might indeed, be applied in the constitution. In every case where they are used in it, they refer to property, to things, or some process, such as the rules of court or of the Houses of Congress for the government of their proceed ings, but never to government, which always implies persons to be governed. But if there should be any doubt in this ease, the be governed. words immediately following which restricts them to making "rules and regulations respecting the territory and other property of the United States," must effectually expel it. They restrict their meaning beyond the possibility of doubt to territory regarded as

But if it were possible for doubt still to exist, another and conclusive argument still remains to show that the framers of the constitution did not intend to confer by this clause governmental powers. I refer to the clause in the constitution which delegates the power of exclusive legislation to Congress over this District and "all places purchased by the consent of the legislature of the State in which the same may be for the receiving of forts, magazine the state of the same may be for the receiving the same places that the same may be for the receiving the same places therein referred to are clearly embraced by the expression, of the property belonging to the United States," contained in the clause I have just considered. But it is certain, that if it had been the intention of the framers of the constitution to confer governmental powers over such places by that clause, they never would have delegated it by this. They were incapable of doing a thing so absurd. But it is equally certain, if they did not intend to confer such power over them, they could not have intended it to conferred in reference to one must have been intended to be conferred in reference to one must have been intended to be conferred in reference to one must have been intended to be conferred in the surface of the former, with the consent of the States, and the latter to public land lying beyond both. The cession and purchase of the former, with the consent of the State within which they might be statuctly due to one the source of the State. They still remained in the State in order to explain a purchase of the former, with the consent of the State within which they might be statuctly due to one the sovereignt or jurisdiction of the State. They still remained in the State in order to carginally and purchase of the respective States within which they might be an admitted the substitute that of Congress in its plac

legislation. Thus, in the case of this District, since the retroesession to Virginin of the part beyond the Potomec, the sovereignty still continues in Maryland in the manner stated. But the case is very different in reference to territories, lying as they do beyond the limits and jurisdiction of all the States. The United States possess not simply the right of ownership over them, but that of exclusive dominion and sovereignity; and honce it was not necessary to six dominion and sovereignity; and honce it was not necessary to ing the excercise of exclusive legislation to Congress. It would have been an act of superregogation. It may be proper to remark in this connection, that the power of exclusive legislation conferred in these cases must not be confounded with the power of absolute power of legislation is always exclusive, but it by no means follows that exclusive power of legislation or of government is likewise alther than the confounded with the power of exclusives and a state of legislation or all the Set of legislation for a state of legislation for

I have now made good the assertion I ventured to make, that the clause in the constitution relied on by the Senator from New York, so far from conferring the absolute power of government over the territory claumed by him, and others who agree with him, confers not a particle of governmental power. Having conclusively established this, the long list of precedents, cited by the Senator, to prop up the power which he sought in the clause, falls to the ground with the fabric which he raised; and I am thus exempted from the necessity of referring to them, and replying to

them one by one. But there is one precedent referred to by the Senator unconnected with the power, and on that account requires particular notice. I refer to the ordinance of '87, which was adopted by the old Congress of the confederation while the convention that framed the constitution was in session, and about one year before its adoption, and of course on the very eve of the expiration of the old confederation. Against its introduction, I might object that the act of the Congress of the confederation cannot rightfully form precedents for this government; but I waive that. I waive also the objection that the act was consummated when that government was in extremis, and could hardly be considered compose menture was in extremis, and could hardly be considered compose account of the confederation required nine to form compacts. I waive also the fact that the ordinance assumed the form of a compact, and was adopted when only eight States were present, when the articles of confederation required nine to form compacts. I waive also the fact, that Mr. Madison's declared, that the act was without shadow of constitutional authority, and shall proceed to show, from the history of its adoption, that it cannot justly be

to show, from the instory or its subuption, that it cannot spassy use considered of any binding force the retrieval porth of the Disc, and bying between it and the Mississipp and the fakes, in 1784. It is now contains the States of Disc, Indiana, Illinois, Mielingan, Wisconsin, and a very considerable extent of territory lying north of the latter. Shortly after the cession, a committee of three was raised, of whom Mr. Jefferson was one. They reported an ordinance for the establishment of the torritory, containing among other provisions one, of which Mr. Jefferson was the author, excluding slavery from the territory after the year 1800. It was reported to Congress, but this provision was streak out. On the conditions of the state of the state of the control of t

It may be inferred from this brief historical sketch, that the ordinance was a compromise between the southern and northern States, of which the terms were that slavery should be excluded from territory upon condition that lugitive slaves, who might take refuge in the territory, should be delivered up to their owners, as stupulated in the proviso of the fids article of the ordinance. It is manifest from what has been stated that the South was unitedly and obstinately opposed to the provision when first moved; that the proposition of Mr. King, without the proviso, was in like manner resisted by the South, as may be inferred from its entire want of success, and that it never could be brought to agree to it until the prevision for the delivery up of fugitive slaves was incorporated in it. But his well understood that a compromise involvesent a surrelater, but simply a waiver of the right to power; and hence in reader, but simply a waiver of the right to power; and hence in

provision for the delivery up of fugitive slaves was incorporated in t. But it is well understood that a compromise involvesnot a surrender, but simply a waiver of the right or power; and hence in the case of individuals, it is a well established legal principle, that an ofter to settle by compromise a litigated claim, is no evicence again the justice of the claim on the side of the party making it. The South, to her honor, has observed with fidelity her engagements under this compromise; in proof of which, I appeal

to the precedents cited by the Senator from New York, intended by by him to establish the fact of her acquiescence in the ordinance. I admit that she has acquiesced in the several acts of Congress to carry it into effect; but the Senator is mistaken in supposing that it is proof of a surrender, on her part, of the power over the territories which he claims for Congress. No, she never has, and I trust never will, make such a surrender. Instead of that, it is conclusive will, make such a surrender. Instead of that, it is conclusive proof of her fidelity to her engagements. She has never attempted to set aside the ordinance, or to deprive the territory, and the States erected within its limits, of any right or advantage it was intended to confer. But I regret that as much cannot be said in favor of the fidelity with which it has been observed on their part. With the single exception of the State of Illinois—he is said to have hoove—ever other State greeted within it finish have it said to her honor—every other State erected within its limits have pursued a course and adopted measures, which have rendered the stipursuod a course and adopted measures, which have rendered the sti-pulations of the provis to deliver up fugitive slaves magatary. Wis-consin may, also, he an exception, as she has just entered the Union, and has hardly had time to act on the subject. They have gone farther, and suffered individuals to form combinations, with-out an effort to suppress them, for the purpose of enticing and se-ducing the slaves to leave their masters, and to run them into Canada hevend the reach of our laws—in one violation, and only Canada beyond the reach of our laws—in open violation, not only of the stipulations of the ordinance, but of the constitution itself. If express myself strongly, it is not for the purpose of producing excitement, but to draw the attention of the Senate forcibly to ing excitement, but to draw the attention of the Senate forcibly to the subject. My object is to lay bare the subject under considera-tion just as a surgeon probes to the bottom and lays open a wound, not te cause pain to his patient, but for the purpose of healing it.

Mr. HANNEGAN .- I am not aware that there is any such law in Indiana

Mr. CALHOUN .- I spoke on the authority of a report of one of the committees of this body.

Mr. BUTLER.—In that report I alluded particularly to the northern and New England States: and Illinois, I believe, was the only exception.

Mr. CORWIN.—Will the Senator allow me to inquire, what law on the statute-book of Ohio prevents the recapture of fugitive

Mr. CALHOUN.-My colleague can doubtless refer to the law. I made the statement on the authority of his report.

Mr. CORWIN .- There is no such law in Ohio.

Mr. CALHOUN .- I am very happy to find that it is so; and I should be equally happy if the Senater will make it out that there are ne organized bodies of individuals there for the purpose of pilfering our slaves.

Mr. CORWIN .- Am I to understand the Senntor, when he spoke of "incorporated individuals," as referring to the Legislature.

Mr. CALHOUN .- No ; merely organized individuals -- a very different thing from corporations.

Mr. BUTLER.—On that point I refer the Senator to the documents on the files of the Senate. If the gentleman desires to call out explanations of that kind, he can be gratified.

Mr. CALHOUN .- I come now to another precedent of a simi-

Mr. CALHOUN.—I come now to another precedent of a similar character, but differing in this, that it took place under this government, and not under that of the old confederation; I refer to what is known as the Missouri compromise. It is more recent, and better known, and may be more readily despatched.

After an arduous struggle of more than a year, on the question whether Missouri should come into the Union, with or without restrictions predbling always, a compromise line was adopted between. the vorth and the South; but it was done under circumstances which made it no nowise obligatory on the latter. It is true, it was moved by one ef her distinguished citizens, [Mr. Clay.] but it is equally so, that it was carried by the almost united vote of the North against the almost united vote of the South; and was thus imposed on the latter by superior numbers, in opposition to her strenuous efforts. The South has never given her sanction to it, or assented to the power it asserted. She was voted down, and has simply acquiesced in an arrangement which she has not had the power to work the strength of the power to which she could have attempted to device whether the contract of the contract the North and the South; but it was done under circumstances which reverse, and which she could not attempt to do without disturbing the peace and harmony of the Union—to which she has ever been adverse. Acting on this principle, she permitted the territory of Iowa to be formed, and the State to be admitted into the Union, under the compromise, without objection; and that is now quoted by the Senator from New York to prove her surrender of the power he claims for Congress.

To add to the strength of this claim, the advocates of the power hold up the name of Jeffersen in its favor, and go so far as to call him the author of the so called Wilmot proviso, which is but a general expression of a power of which the Missouri compromise case of its application. If we may judge by his opinion of that case, what his opinion was of the principle, instead of being the author of the provise, or being in its fluor, no one could be more deadly hestile to it. In a letter addressed to the Fider Adams, in 1519, in answer to one from him, he uses these remarkable expressions in reference to the Missouri question :

"The banks, hankrapt law, manufacturers, Spanish treaty, are nothing. These are occurrences, which, like waves in a storm, will pass under the ship. But the Missons question is a breeker on which we loss the Missons country by revolt, and what must God oils known."

To understand the full force of these expressions it must be borne in mind that the questions enumerated were the great and exciting political of the day on which parties decided. The banks and bankrupt law had long been so. Manufactures, or what has since been called the protective tariff, was at the time a subject of great. excitement, as was the Spanish treaty, that is the treaty by which Florida was ceded to the Union, and by which the western boundary between Mexico and the United States was settled from the Gulf of Mexica the Pacific ocean. All these ex duing party meastered the Majouri day Mr. Jefferson regarded as nothing compared to the Missouri question. He looked on all of them as in their nature fagitive, and to use his own foreible expression, "would pass off under the ship of State like waves in a storm." Not so that fatal question. It was a breaker on which it was destined to be atranded, and yet his name is quoted by the incendiaries of the presenday in support of, and as the author of, a provise which would give indefinite and universal extension of this fatal question to all the territories! It was compromised the next year by the adoption of the line to which I have referred. Mr. Holmes, of Maine, long a member of this body, who voted for the measure, addressed a letter to Mr. of this body, while often like measure, addressed a fetter to sir. Jefferson, inclusing a copy of his speech on the occasion. It drew out an answer from him which coght to be treasured up in the heart of every man will send it to the Secretary to be read. The time of the Signate amont be better occupied than in listening to it:

To John Holmes.

MONTICELLO, April 22, 1820.

To John Helmer.

MONTICELLO, April 22, 1980.

I think you, dear sir, for the copy you have been 50 kind as to send mee of the letter to your constituents on the Mission question. It is a prefer justification to them. I consider they were in good lands, and canterat to lie a passenger in our lank to the store from which I muon the data. But this momentous question, his a fire held in the high, available I muon the data. But this momentous question, his a fire held in the high, available I muon the data. But this momentous question, his a fire held in the high, available I muon the data to the high, available I muon the data to the high, available I muon the data to the high, available I muon the lands of the lan

Mark his prophetic words! Mark his profound reasoning!

"It [the question] is hushed for the noment. But this is a repriere only, not final sentence. A geographical line coinciding with a marked principle, owned an political, once consciend and held up to the angry passions of men ucil never be oblit erreted, and every acce irritation will mark it desper and desper."

crated, and every axes irritations will mark it there and desper."

Twenty-eight years have passed since these remarkable words were penned, and there is not a thought which time has not thus far verified; and it is to be feared will continue to verify until the whole will be fallfilled. Certain it is, that he regarded the conjunction of the strength of the conjunction of the promise line as utterly inadequate to arrest that fatal course of events, which his keen segacity anticipated from the question. Was but a "reprieve." Mark the deeply melancholy impression which it made on his mind:

"I regret that I am to die in the helief, that the useless sacrifice of themselves by the generation of 1776, to acquire self-government and happiness for themselves is to be thrown a way by the navise and naworthy passions of their sons, and that my only consolation is to be, that I shall live not to weep overit."

Can any one believe, after listening to this letter, that Jefferson is the author of the so-called Wilmot provise, or ever favored it? And yet there are this time strement efforts making in the North to form the property sectional party on it, and that, too, under the provise of the provise the highest veneration for the section of those who profess the highest veneration for its character and principles? But I must speak the truth: while I vindicate the memory of Jefferson from so foul a charge, I hold he is not blameless in reference to this subject. He committed a great error in inserting the provision he did, in the plan he reported for the government of the territory, as much modified as it was. It was the first blow—the first essay "to draw a geographical line cinciding with a marked principle, meral and political." It originated with him in philanthropic, but mistaken views of the most dangerous character, as I shall show in the sequel. Others, with very different feelings and views, followed, and have given to it a direction and impetus, which, if not promptly and efficiently arrested, will end in the dissolution of the Union and the destruction of our political institutions. Can any one believe, after listening to this letter, that Jeffers of our political institutions.

or our pointeal institutions.

I have, I trust, established beyond centroversy, that neither the ordinance of 1787, nor the Misseouri compromise, nor the precedents growing out of them, nor the authority of Mr. Jefferson, furnishes any evidence whatever, to prove that Congress possions.

sesses the power over the territory, claimed by those who advocate the 12th section of this bill. But admit, for the sake of argument, that I am mistaken, and that the objections I have urged against them are groundless—give them all the force which can be claimed for precedents—and they would not have the weight of a feather against the strong presumption which I, at the outset of my re-marks, showed to be opposed to the existence of the power. Precedents, even in a court of justice, can have but little weight, except where the law is doubtful, and should have little in a deliberative body in any case on a constitutional question, and none, where the power to which it has been attempted to trace it does

where the power to when it has geen attempted to trace it does not exist, as I have shown, I trust, to be the case in this instance. But while I deay that the clause relating to the territory and other property of the United States, confers any governmental, or that Congress possesses absolute, power over the territories, I by no means deny that it has any power over them. Such a denial would be idle on any occasion, but much more so on this, when we are engaged in constituting a territorial government, without an are engaged in Colonian distinction and control of the colonian objection being whispered from any quarter against our right to do so. If there he any Senator of that opinion, he coght at once of the colonian or to let who hill on the table, or to dispose of it in some other way, so as to preven the waste of time as a subject upon which we have no right to act. A saming, then, has two possess the power, the only questions that remain are-whence is it deriv-

and, what is its extent?

As to its origin, I concur in the opinion expressed by Chief Justice Marshall, in one of the cases read by the Senator from New York, that it is derived from the right of acquiring territory; and I am the more thoroughly confirmed in it from the lact, that I entertained the opinion long before I knew it to be his. As to the tright of any figure are in the property of the second of States have the right to acquire territories, it would seem to not be up necessary consequence, that they have the right to govern them. As they possess the entire right of soil, dominion, and svereignty over them, they must necessarily entry with them the right to govern. But this government, as the sole agent and resentative of the United States—that is, the States of the Union resentative of the United States—that is, the States of the Union in their federal character—must, as such, possess the sole right if it exists at all. But if there be any one disposed to take a different view of the origin of the power, I shall make no points with him, for whatever may be its origin, the conclusion would be the same, as I shall presently show.

same, as I shall presently show.

But it would be a great error to conclude that Congress has the
absolute power of governing the territories, because it has the sole
or exclusive power. The reverse is the case. It is subject to
many and important restrictions and conditions, of which some are
expressed and others implied. Among the former may be classed
all the general and absolute prohibitions of the constitution; that
is, all those which prohibit the exercise of certain powers under
any circumstance. In this class is included the prohibition of
granting titles of nobility; passing expend facto laws and hills of
attainder; the suspension of the writ of habcas corpus, except in
certain cases: making laws respecting the establishment of reliattainder; the saspienson of the wife of nacous corpus, except in certain cases; making laws respecting the establishment of religion, or prohibiting its free exercise; and every other of like description, which conclusively shows that the power of Congress over the torritories is not absolute. Indeed, it is a great error to over the torritories is not absolute. Indeed, it is a great error to suppose, that either this or the State governments possess in any ease absolute power. Such power can belong only to the supreme ultimate power called sovereignty, and that, in our system, resides in the people of the several States of the Union. With us, governments, both federal and State, are but agents, or; more properly, trustees, and, as such, possess, not absolute, but subordinate and limited powers for all powers possessed by such governments must from their nature be trust powers, and subject to all the re-

strictions to which that class of powers are.

stretcions to which that class of powers are.

Among them, they are restricted to the nature and the objects of the trust; and hence no government under our system, federic or State, has he right to do any thing inconsistent with the nature of the powers entrusted to it, or the objects for which it was remarked, or to express it in more usual language, for which it was remarked, or to express it in more usual language, for which it was propose news intended, and would be to pervert the power to purpose news intended, and would be to pervert the power to purpose news intended, and would be to pervert the power to purpose news intended, and would be to the proposed of the power of the po important class of restrictions which more directly relate to the sub-ject under discussion; I refer to those imposed on the trustees by the invested them with the trust powers to be exercised for its hene fit. In this case it is the United States, that is the several States of the Union. It was they who constituted the government as their representative or trustice, and entrusted it with powers to be exercised for their common and joint benefit. To them in their the constitution. They are their joint and common owners, regarded as property or land; and in thom, severally, reside the dogarded as property of raise, and in them, severally, reside the do-minion and sovereignty over them. They are as much the terri-tories of one State as another,—of Virginia as of New York; of the southern as the northern States. They are the territories of all, because they are the territories of each; and not of each, because they are the territories of the whole. Add to this the perfect equality of dignity, as well as rights, which appertain to them as members of a common federal Union, which all writers on the

subject admit to be a fundamental and essential relation between States so united, and it must be manifest that Congress, in governing the territories, can give no preference or advantage to one verning the territories, can give no preterence or auvanage or observed over another, or to one portion or section of the Union over another, without depriving the State, or section over which the preference is given, or from which the advantage is withheld, of their clear and unquestionable right, and subverting the very foundation or which the Union and government rest. It has no more dation on which the Union and government rest. It power to do so than to subvert the constitution itself. Indeed the power to do so than to survert the constitution itself. Indeed the act itself would be its subvertion. It would destroy the relation of equality on the part of the southern States, and sink them to mere dependants of the northern, to the total destruction of the federal Union.

I have now shown, I trust, beyond controversy, that Congress has no power whatever to exclude the citizens of the sonthern States from emigrating with their property into the territories of the United States, or to give an exclusive monopoly of them to the North. I now propose to go one step farther, and show that neither the inhabitants of the territories nor their legislatures have neither the inhabitants of the territories not their legislatures have any such right. A very few words will be sufficient for the purpose; for of all the positions ever taken, I hold that which claims the power for them to be the most absurd. If the territories belong to the United States—if the ownership, dominion and sovereignty over them be in the States of this Union, then neither the inhabitants of the territories, most of the territories to the state of this Union, then neither the inhabitants of the territories, most of the territories, but the contravence of the shown, which I hold to be impossible, it would be subject to all the restrictions, to which I have shown the power of Concessis, and for the same reason, whatever power they might gress is, and for the same reason, whatever power they might gress is, and for the same reason, whatever power they might hold, would, in the case supposed, be subordinate to the constitu-tion, and controlled by the nature and character of our political institutions. But if the reverse be trace—if the dominion and sove-reignty over the territories be in their inhabitants, instead of the United States, they would indeed, in that case, have the exclusive and absolute power of governing them, and might exclude whom they pleased, or what they pleased. But, in that case they would cease to be the territories of the United States, the moment we acquired them and permit them to be inhabited. The first half nequired them and permit them to be inhabited. The first half dozen of squatters would become the sovereigns, with full domin-ion and sovereignty over them; and the conquered people of New Mexico and California would become the sovereigns of the coun-Mexico and Cantornia would become the sovereigns of the country as soon as they become the territories of the United States, vested with the full right of excluding even their conquerers.—
There is no escaping from the alternative, but by resorting to the greatest of all absurdities, that of a divided sovereignty—a sovereignty, a part of which would reside in the United States, and a part in the inhabitants of the territory. How can sovereignty—the ultimate and supreme power of a State—be divided? The exercise of the powers of sovercignty may be divided, but how can ere be two supreme powers?
We are next told that the laws of Mexico preclude slavery;

assuming that they will remain in force until repealed, it is contended, that until Congress passes an act for their repeal, the crittens of the South cannot emigrate with their property into the territory acquired from her. I admit the laws of Mexico profiles into slavery, but slavery in the form the exists with us. The In the SHAVETY, DUL SHAVETY IN THE FORTH IT EXISTS WITH US. The Puros are as much slaves as our negroes, and are less intelligent and well treated. But, I deny that the laws of Mexico can have the effect attributed to them. As soon as the treaty between the two countries is ratified, the sovereignty and authority of Mexico to the territory and the countries of the countries. two countries is ratined, the sovereignty and administy of breaked in the territory acquired by it becomes extinct and that of the United States is substituted in its place, carrying with it the constitution, with its overriding control over all the laws and institutions of Mexico inconsistent with it. It is true, the numicipal laws of the territory not inconsistent with the condition and the nature of our political co inconsistent with the condition and the nature of the training system would, according to the matter of right, but merely of sufferance, and the training the matter of right, but merely of sufferance, and the twenty, before they can be brought under our leaves of matter of right, but merely of sufferance, and the twenty, before they can be brought under our leaves. This is the utmost limits to which sufferance goes. Under it the pean system would continue; but not to the exclusion of such of our citizens as may choose to emigrate with their slaves or other property, that may be excluded by the laws of Mexico. The humane provisions of the laws of nations go no farther than to protect the inhabitants in their property and civil rights, under their former laws, until other scan he substituted. To extend them farther and give them the force of excluding emigrants from the United States, because their property or religion are such as are prohibited from being introduced by the laws of Mexico, would not only exclude a great majority of the people of the United States from emigrating into the acquired territory, but would be to give a higher anthority to the excursion the interiority of Mexico over to give a higher authority to the extinct authority of Mexico over the territory than to our actual authority over it. I say the great majority, for the laws of Mexico not only prohibit the introduction

majority, for the laws of Mexico not only promise as microalector of slaves, but of many other descriptions of property, and also the Protestant religion, which Congress itself cannot prohibit. To such absurdity would the supposition lead.

I have now concluded the discussion, so far as it relates to the power; and have, I trust, established beyond controversy, that the territorics are free and open to all of the citizens of the United States, and that there is no power under any aspect the subject States, and that there is no power index any aspect the subject can be viewed in by which the citizens of the South can be excluded from emigrating with their property into any of them. I have advanced no argument which I do not believe to be true, nor pushed any one beyond what truth would strictly warrant. But, if mistaken, if my arguments instead of being sound and true, as

I hold them beyond controversy to be, should turn out to be a mere mass of sophisms, and if in coresquence, the barrier opposed by the want of power, should be sarmounted, there is another still in the way, that cannot be. The mere possession of power is not itself sufficient to justify its exercise. It must be in addition of itself sufficient to justify its exercise. It must be in addition shown, that in the given case it can be rightfully and justly exercised. Under our system, the first enquiry is: does the constitution authorize the exercise of the power? If that be decided in the affirmative, the next is: can it be rightfully and justly exercised under the circumstances? And it is not, until that too is decided in the affirmative, that the question of the expediency of decided in the affirmative, that the question of the expediency of Now, I put the question solemnly to the Senators from the North. Can you rightfy and justly exclude the South from terries of the United States, and monopolity them for convertices.

North: Can you rightly and justif excitate the South from territo ries of the United States, and monopolize them for yourselves, even, if in your opinion, you should bave the power? It is this question I wish to press on your attention, with all due solemnity and decorum. The North and the South stand in the relation of and decorum. The Notar and the South Stand in the relation of partners in a common Union, with equal dignity and qual rights. We of the South have contributed our full share of funds, and shed our full share of blood for the acquisition of our territories. Can our but share of blood for the acquisition of our territories. Can you, then, on any principle of equity and justice deprive us of our full share in their henefit and advantages? Are you ready to affer that a majority of the partners in a joint concern have the right to monopolize its benefits to the exclusion of the minority, even in cases where they have contributed their full share to the case of the contributed of the minority and the contributed of the minority of the contributed of the contribute opinion manify, on our purchase of Louisiana; that was strength-ened by the Florida treaty, which transferred to us the title also of Spain; and both by the discovery of the mouth of Columbia; ri-ver by Capt. Gray, and the exploration of the eutire stream, from its source down to its mouth, by Lewis and Clark. The purchase of Louisiana cost fifteen millions of dollars; and we paid Spain live millions for the Florida treaty; making twenty in all. This large sum was advanced out of the common funds of the Union, the sand, a saw the least, contributing the fluid are. Then, two every was made, it is true, by a citizen of Massachusetts, but he sailed under the flag and protection of the Union, and of course whatever title was derived from his discovery, accrued to the lenefit of the Union. The exploration of Lewis and Clark was at the expense of the Union. We are now about to form it into a terriexpense of the Union. We are now about to form it into a terri-tory; the expense of governing which, while it remains so, must be met out of the common fund, and towards which the South must contribute her full share. The expense will not be small. Already there is an Indian war to be put down, and a regiment for that purpose, and to protect the territory, has been ordered there.— To what extent the expense may extend we know not, but will not, improbably, involve millions before the territory becomes a State. I now ask, is it right; is it just; after having contributed our full share for the acquisition of the territory, with the liability of contributing, in addition to our full share of the expense for its or contributing, in acution to our full spare of the expense for its government, that we should be shut out of the territory, and be excluded from participating in its benefits? What would be thought of such conduct in the case of individuals? And can that be right and just in government, which any right minded man would cry out to be base and dishonest in private life ? would be so pronounced in a partnership of thirty individuals, how can it be pronounced otherwise in one of thirty States?

can it be pronounced otherwise in one of thirty States? The case of our recently acquired territory from Mexico, is, if The case to our recently acquired territory from Mexico, is, if the state of the truth; to be attributed, however, to no superiority, in either respect, but to accidental circumstances, which gave both its officers and soldiers more favorable opportunities for their display. All have done their duty nobly, and high courage and gallantry are but common attributes of our people. Would it be right and just but common attributes of our people. Would it be right and just to close a territory thus won against the South, and leave it open to close a territory this won against the South, and leave it open closules ley to the North! Would it deserve the name of free soil, if one half of the Union should be excluded and the other half should monopolize it, when it was won by the joint expense and joint offorts of all? Is the great law to be reversed—that which is welforts of all? South of the south of the south of the south of the which address themselves more to the heart than the heat. Feeble must be the intellect which does not see what is right and Feeble must be the intellect which does not see what is right and just, and bad must he the heart, mless unconsciously under the control of deep and shiding prejudies, which hesitates in pronouncing on which side they are to be found. Now, I put the question to the Senators from the North, what are you prepared to do? Are you prepared to prostract the barriers of the constitution, and in open deliance of the dictates of equity and justice, to exclude the South from the territories and monopolize them for the North? If so, vote against the amendment offered by the Senator from Mississippi, (Mr. Davts, Jan dif it that should fail, vote against striking out the 12th section. We shall then know what to expect. If not, place us on some ground where we can stand as equals in rights and dignity, and where we shall not be excluded from what has been acquired at the common expense, and won by common skill and gallantry. All we demand is to stand on the

same level with yourselves, and to participate equally in what be

longs to all. Less we cannot take.

I turn now to my friends of the South, and ask, what are you I turn now to my friends of the South, and ask, what are you prepared to do? I fineither the harriers of the constitution nor the high sense of right and justice should prove sufficient to protect, you, are you prepared to sink down into a state of acknowledged inferiority; to be stripped of your dignity of equals among equals, and be deprived of your equality of rights in this federal partnership of States? If so, you are wouldly degenerated from your sense and will well deserve to change going on with worse above. and or deprived on your equality of rights in this federal partnership of Statess I I so, you are would y degenerated from your sires, and will wall deserve to change condition with your slaves; so that if not, preque to meet the issue. The time is at hand, if the out, and the property of the state of t the time, if ever. Cast your eyes to the North, and mark what is going on there; reflect on the tendency of events for the last three years in reference to this the most vital of all questions, and you years are electrone in an independent vital of in questions, and year question, litov can the question be settled? It compared to the compared proposed to the compared proposed to the compared proposed to the proposed principles of justice and the constitution. For a not to leave it to them. The less you do the better. If the North and South cannot stand together on their broad and solid foundation, South cannot stand together on their broad and solid ioundation, there is none other on which they can. If the obligations of the constitution and justice he too feeble to command the respect of the North, how can the South expect that she will regard the far more feeble obligations of an act of Congress? Nor should the North more feeble obligations of an act of Congress? Nor should the North fear, that, by leaving it where justice and the constitution leave it, she would be excluded from her fall share of the territories. In my opinion, if it he left there, climate, soil, and other circumstances would fix the line between the slaveholding and non-ascambodate itself to circumstances—sometimes quasing to the south of it; but that would matter little, and would be more satisfactory to all, and tend less that the contract of the

And here, let me say to Senators from the North, you make a great mistake in supposing that the portion which might fall to the South of whatever line might be drawn, if left to soil, and the south of whatever line might be drawn, it left to soil, and climite, and eircomstances to determine, would be closed to the white labor of the North, because it could not mingle with slave abor without degradation. The fact is not so. There is no part of the world where agricultural, mechanical, and other descriptions of labor are more respected than in the South, with the exception of two descriptions of comployment—that of menial and eeption of two descriptions of employment—that of menial and body servants. No southern man—not the poorest or the lowest —will, under any circumstance, submit to perform either of them. He has too much pride for that, and I rejoice that he has. They are unsuited to the spirit of a freeman. But the man who would spurn them feels not the least degradation to work in the same field with his slave; or to be employed to work with them in the same field or in any mechanical operation; and, when so employed, they claim the right, and are admitted, in the country portion of the South, of sitting at the table of their employers. Can as much, on the secre of equality be said for the North! With a state of the south of t deprive them.

But I go further, and hold that justice and the constitution are the easiest and safest guard on which the question can be settled, regarded in reference to party. It may be settled on that ground regarded in reference to party. It may be settled on that ground simply by non-action—by leaving the territories free and open to the emigration of all the world, so long as they continue so, and when they show the sound in the world, so long as they continue so, and please, with the single restriction, to be republican, in order to their admission into the Union. If a party cannot safely take this broad and solid position and successfully maintain it, what other can it take and maintain! If it cannot maintain itself by an apcan it take and maintam? If it cannot maintain itself by an appeal to the great principles of justice, the constitution, and self-government, to what other, sufficiently strong to uphold them in public opinion, can they appeal? I greatly mistake the character of the people of this Union if such an appeal would not prove successful, if either party should have the magnanimity to step forward, and boldly make it. It would, in my opinion, be received with shoats of approbation by the patriotic and intelligent in every quarter. There is a deep feeling prevailing the country that the Union and our political institutions are in danger, which such a course would dispel, and spread jey over the land. Now is the time to take the step, and bring about a result so devoorly to be wished. I have believed from the beginning that this was the only question sufficiently potent to dissolve the Union, and subvert our system of government; and that the sooner it was

met and settled, the safer and better for all. I have never doubted but that if permitted to progress beyond a certain point, its settlement would become impossible, and am under deep conviction that it is now rapidly approaching it, and that if it is ever he averted, it must be done speedily. In uttering these opinions I look to the whole. If I speak earnestly, it is to save and protect all. As deep as is the stake of the South in the Union and our political institutions, it is not deeper than that of the North. We shall be as well prepared and as capable of meeting whatever may come, as you.

Now, let me say, Senators, if our Union and system of government are doomed to perish, and we to share the fate of so many great people who have gone before us, the historian, who, in some future day, may record the events tending to so ca-lamitous a result, will devote his first chapter to the ordinance of 87, as lauded as it and its authors have been, as the first in that Series which led to it. His next chapter will be devoted to the Missouri compromise, and the next to the present agitation. Whether there will be another beyond, I know not. It will depend on

what we may do.

If he should possess a philosophical turn of mind, and be disposed to look to more remote and recondite causes, he will trace it to ca to look to more remote any reconsite causes, ne will trace it to a proposition which originate in a hypothetical truism, but which, as now expressed and now understood, is the most false and dangerous of all political error. The proposition to which I allude, has become an axiom in the minds of a vast majority on both sides has become an axion in the himse of a vass indigency not beautiful of the Atlantic, and is repeated daily from tongue to tongue, as an established and incontrovertible truth; it is, that "all men are born free and equal." I am not afraid to attack error, however deeply it may be entrenched, or however widely extended, whenever it becomes my duty to do so, as I believe it to be on this subject

and occasion.

and occasion.

Taking the proposition literally, (it is in that sense it is understood) there is not a word of truth in it. It begins with "all men are born," which is utterful untrue. Men are not born. Inflatts are born. They grow to be men. And concludes with asserting that they are born "free and equal," which is not less false. They are not born free. While infants they are incapable of freedom, being destitute allike of the capacity of thinking and acting, without which there can be no freedom. Besides, they are necessarily born subject to their parents, and remain so among all people, savage and civilized, until the development of their intellect and physical capacity enable them to take care of themselves. They grow to all the freedom, of which the condition in which they were born all the freedom, or when the conductor in which they were com-permits, by growing to be men. Nor is it less false that they are born "equal." They are not so in any sense in which it can be regarded; and thus, as I have asserted, there is not a word of truth in the whole proposition, as expressed and generally under-

If we trace it back, we shall find the proposition differently ex-pressed in the declaration of independence. That asserts that 'all men are created equal." The form of expression, though less dangerous, is not less erroneous. All men are not created. According to the Bible, only two, a man and a woman, ever were cording to the Bible, only two, a man and a woman, ever were, and of these one was pronounced subordinate to the other. All others have come into the world by being born, and in no sense, as I have shown, either free or equal. But this form of expres-sion being less striking and popular, has given away to the pre-sent, and under the authority of a document put forth ose sgreat an occasion, and leading to such important consequences, has spread far and wide, and fixed itself deeply in the public mind. It spread far and wide, and fixed itself deeply in the public nind. It was inserted in our declaration of independence without any necessity. It made no necessary part of our justification in separating from the parent country, and declarage ourselves independent. Breach of our chartered privileges, and lawless eneroachment on an acknowledged and well testablished rights by the parent country, were the real causes, and of themselves sufficient, without resorting to any other; to justify the step. Nor had it any weight in constructing the governments which were substituted in the place of the colonial. They were formed of the oil materials and part forced and well established principles. horrowelf for the most part from own experience and that of the country from which we assume that the country from which we assume the country from which we assume the country from which we are more capacitated. we sprang.

If the proposition be traced still farther back, it will be found to have been adopted from certain writers on government who had at-tained much celebrity in the early settlement of these States, and tained much celebrity in the early settlement of these States, and with whose writings all the prominent actors in our revolution were familiar. Among these, Locke and Sidaey were prominent. But they expressed it very differently. According to their expression "all nen in the state of nature were free and equal." From this the others were derived; and it was this to which I referred when I called it a hypothetical truism. To understand why will require

As Alson, the purpose of reasoning, may be regarded in three different states: in a state of individuality; that is, living by himself apart from the rest of his species. In the social; that is, living in society, associated with others of his species. And in the political; that is, being under government. We may reason as to what would be his rights and duttee in cuther, without taking into consideration whether he could exist in it or not. It is certain, that in the first, the very supposition that he lived apart and separated from all others would make him free and equal. No one in such a No one in such a state could have the right to command or control another. Every man would be his own master, and might do just as he pleased. But it is equally clear, that man cannot exist in such a state; that he is by nature social, and that society is necessary, not only to the state could have the right to command or control another.

proper development of all his faculties, moral and intellectual, but to the very existence of his race. Such being the case, the state is a purely hypothetical one; and when we say all men are free qual in it, we announce a mere hypothetical truism; that is a truism resting on a mere supposition that cannot exist, and of course one of little or no practical value. But to call it a state of nature was a great misnemer, and has

But to call it a state of nature was a great misnemer, and has led to dangerous errors; for that cannot justly be called a state of nature which is so opposed to the constitution of man as to be in-consistent with the existence of his race and the development of the high faculties, mental and moral, with which he is endowed by

his Creator.

Nor is the social state of itself his natural state; for society no more exist without government, in one form or another, than man without society. It is the political, then, which includes the social, that is his natural state. It is the one for which his Creator formed him, into which he is impelled irresistibly, and in which only his race can exist and all his faculties be fully developed. Such being the ease, it follows that any, the worst form of governments.

ernment, is better than anarchy; and that individual liberty, or freedom, must be subordinate to whatever power may be necessary to protect society against anarchy within or destruction from sary to protect society against anarchy within or destruction from without; for the safety and well-being of society are as paramount to individual liberty, as the safety and well-being of the race is to that of individuals; and in the same proportion the power necessary for the safety of society is paramount to individual liberty. On the contrary, government has no right to control individual liberty beyond what is necessary to the safety and well-being of society. Such is the boundary which separates the power of government and the liberty of the citizen or subject in the political state, which, as I have show, is the natural state of man—the only one in which his race can exist, and the one in which he is born, lives,

It follows from all this that the quantum of power on the part of the government, and of liberty on that of individuals, instead of being equal in all eases, must necessarily be very unequal among different people, according to their different conditions. For just in proportion as a people are ignorant, stupid, debased, occurryt, exposed to violence, within and danger from without, the power necessary for more mental to possess in order to preserve over the argument. sary for government to possess in order to preserve society against anarchy and destruction, becomes greater and greater, and individu-al liberty less and less, until the lowest condition is reached, when absolute and despotic power become necessary on the part of the government, and individual liberty extinct. So on the contrary, just as a peo-ple rise in the scale of intelligence, virtue, and patriotism, and the more perfectly they become acquainted with the nature of government, the ends for which it was ordered, and how it ought to be administered, and the less the tendency to violence and disorder within, and danger from abroad; the power necessary for govern ment becomes less and less, and individual liberty greater and Instead then of all men having the same right to liberty greater. Instead then of all men having the same right to hierty and equality, as is claimed by those who hold that they are all born free and equal, liberty is the noble and highest reward bestowed on mental and moral development, combined with favorable circumstances. Instead then of liberty and equality being born greater. with man; instead of all men and all classes and descriptions being with man; instead of all men and all classes and descriptions being equally entitled to them, they are high prizes to be won, and are in their most perfect state, not only the highest reward that can be bestowed on our race, but the most difficult to be won, and when won, the most difficult to be preserved.

They have been made vastly more so, by the dangerous error I have attempted to expose, that all men are born free and crund, as if those high qualities belonged to man without effort, to acquire them, and to all equally alike, regardless of their intellectual and meral condition. The attempt to earry into practice this, the most dangerous of all political error, and to bestow on all, without regard to their finess, either to acquire or maintain liberty—that unbounded and individual liberty supposed to belong to man in the hypothetical and misnamed state of nature, has done more to retard the cause of liberty and civilization, and is doing more at present that all other causes combined. While it is doing more at present that all other causes combined. While it is powerful to pull down governments, it is still more powerful to is powerful to put now governments, it is suit more powerful to prevent their construction on proper principles. It is the leading cause among those which have placed Europe in its present anarchical condition, and which mainly stands in the way of reconstructing good governments in the place of those which have been overthrown, threatening thereby the quarter of the globe most advanced in progress and civilization, with hopeless anarothy, to be followed by military despotism. Nor are we exempt from its discounting the programing clients. We now begin to experience the danger of admitting so great an error to have a place in the declaration of our independence. For a long time it hy dormant; but in the process of time it began to germinate, and produce its poisonous fruits. It had strong hold on the mind of Mr. Jefferson, the author of that document, which caused him to take an utterly laise view of the subordinate relation of the black to the white special the Smath. overthrown, threatening thereby the quarter of the globe most adsubordinate relation of the black to the white race in the South; superfunding relation to the bries to the water add it the sound and to hold, in consequence, that the latter, though utterly unqualified to possess liberty, were as fully entitled to both liberty and equality as the former; and that to deprive them of it, was ucquist and immorth. For this error, his proposition to exclude always from the territory northwest of the Ohio may be traced, and to that the ordinance of '87, and through it the deep and dangerous agitation which now threatens to engulph, and will certainly engulph, if not speedily settled, our political institutions, and involve the country in countless wees.

Mr. BERRIEN .- It will be my duty, considering the relation in which I stand to those whose interests are materially affected by the proposition that is now before the Senate, to assign bri the reasons which have influenced me in taking the course which I have taken in regard to this subject; but I think the views presented in the able and elequent address which the Senate has heard to-day from the Senator from South Carolina, will afford sufficient food for reflection for at least one day. I therefore move that the further consideration of the bill be postponed until to-

Mr. HALE .- If the Senator from Georgia will allow me a mo-Mr. HALE.—If the Senator from Georgia will allow me a mo-ment, I will call the attention of the Senate to a piece of his-tory that was referred to by the Senator from South Carolina, in which I think be made a mistake. That honorable Senator stated, as I understood him, that the Missouri compromise was forced upon the country by the votes of the North, the South being against it. I have the Journal before me, and I will read a few of the names given on that question. Upon the question, whether the resolution should be engrossed and read a read a third time, the following continuous question. the following gentlemen voted in the affirmative.

[Mr. HALE read the names.]

Mr. CALHOUN .- That was not the vote upon the compro-

Mr. HALE .- It was upon the resolution which contained the comprom ise

Mr. JOHNSON, of Md .- That vote was taken after the compromise had been determined upon.

The motion to postpone the further consideration of the bill until to-morrow was agreed to.

## POST OFFICE APPROPRIATION BILL.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill making appropriations for the service of the Post Office Department for the year ending the 30th of June, 1849.

Mr. BADGER moved to amend the bill by adding thereto the following section:

"Size. And be it further enacted, That the Postmaster General he, and he is been called and required to renew the engagement heretofore exclosing for the beautisestation of the size of t

Mr. ATHERTON moved to insert the words "by way of Kcy

Mr. WESTCOTT moved to amend the amendment by adding the following:

"By way of Key West, calling at Savannah."

Also the following:

"And for transportation of the mail by said line, or by other steamers to such other places on the coast of Florida as the Postmaster General may deem practicable and expedient, five thousand dollars."

Mr. WESTCOTT .- I will merely observe, that this amendment was submitted to the Postmaster General, who gave it his approval. Mr. BUTLER .- Do I understand that by the original contract

the mail steamers were to touch at Key West Mr. ATHERTON .- That was specified in the contract.

Mr. BERRIEN.—The amendment as it now stands, contemplates that these vessels shall touch at Key West and other places

Mr. ATHERTON .- The amendment offered by the Senator from Florida, has no reference to the proposition which I submit-ted. I proposed the insertion of Key West in order to make the law conform with the contract.

The amendments were adopted.

Mr. BERRIEN .- I move to amend by inserting the following : "By way of Savannah," and to strike out "forty-five" and insert "fifty thousand dollars,"

My purpose is to ask the Senate to provide that the mail line which is established between Charleston and Havana, passing by Savannah, shall stop at that port for letters and for passengers do not know why Senators, who are particularly interested in the passage of this bill, should consider themselves under the necessity of opposing this amendment. The Pustmaster General was authorized by an act of the last session to contract for the transportation of the mail from Charleston to Havana, thence to Chagres, taction of the main from Charleston to Havana, thence to Charges, thence along the Pacific coast to Astoria; and the sum of \$100,000 was appropriated for that purpose. For the smaller portion of the route for which he was authorized to contract, he did enter into a contract for the sum of \$45,000. That course tract is for the transportation of the mail from Charleston to Havana, passing by the intermediate ports, and bringing those two vana, passing by the intermediate ports, and bringing those ivvo places into immediate and exclusive connection. In the first place the distance covered by this contract is probably not more than one-fifth of that contemplated by the law making the appropria-tion. In the next place, it is to give to the port of Charleston the exclusive benefit of this mail route. Why should this preference be given to Charleston, passing by all the other ports which lie in

30TH CONG,-IST SESSION-No. 99.

the direct route to the terminus which has been fixed upon by the

Mr. BUTLER.—So far as I can see, there can be no reason why we should not concede what is asked on the part of Savannah. If it accords with the views of the Post Office Committee, I can say for the contractors, that they are willing to accede to the

Mr. BERRIEN.-I am very glad to find that all obstacles are removed. I believe now there can be no objection to the adoption of the proposition.

Mr. ATHERTON.—I hope if the amendment of the Senator from Georgia be adopted, it will not be made imperative, but that it will be left discretionary with the Postmaster General, because otherwise it may be found impossible to earry out the centract—I may be impracticable for these steamers at all times to touch at Savannah. The state of the water may not permit them to go up to the city.

Mr. BERRIEN.-I think the answer which I can give to the chairman of the Committee on Finance will be satisfactory. I am appealing to the justice of the Congress of the United States, for I do not choose to be placed at the tender mercies of the Postmaster General. The city of Savannah has already experienced the evil resulting from the course that that high officer has thought proper to pursue in relation to it upon this very subject of the transportation of the mais. There was an act of Congress passed, which required the Postmaster General to transport the mail between Charleston and Savannah by sea. In dehance of that act he transported it by land, subject to all the cassalities and that are he transported it by land, singlect to air the cassatties and delay of land conveyance, and when he was implored by memorials, and by various officers of the administration to give them a daily mail by sea, he imposed these terms—un act which shows what confidence we can repose in his liberality and justice—he required that the United States should not pay more for transporta-tion by sea, than he could get the mail transported for by land, and the Central Railroad and Banking Company of Georgia were compelled to pay the difference, amounting to about \$6,000. These are the burdens which the Postmaster General has imposed upon are the burdens which the Postmaster General has imposed upon the people of that State. I claim, that if the mail be established between Charleston and Havana, passing immediately by the mouth of the Savannah river, it should stop at that city. I am willing to allow them the necessary additional compensation for travelling seventeen miles back and forward, but I am not willing to leave it to the discretion of the Postmaster General whether the mail shall stop at Savannah or not. Let not the chairman of the Finance Committee entertain the least thought that it will embarrase the Postmaster General. The sum appropriated is safficiently large to cover the expense. But to remove all domb. I have monosed to amend the amendment by substituting \$50.066. hereafty large to cover the expense. But to remove all doubt, I have proposed to amend the amendment by substituting \$50,000. I trust, therefore, that the Senate will do to me, and to my constituents, the justice to pass the bill in this form; entertaining, no doubt, after the assurances given by the Senator from South Carolina that the contract will be accepted by the contractors. The chairman of the Committee on Finance proposes to compromise this matter by saying they shall stop at Sayannah "if practicable." this matter by saying they shall stop at Sawannah "if practicable," A mail line has been established from New York to Savannah, which is to stop at Charleston "if practicable." Vessels that can cross the bar at Charleston on an always go up to Savannah. We are in the one case directing that the mail that goes to Savannah shall stop at Charleston "if practicable," and now it is proposed that the until which goes from Charleston shall stop at Savannah "if practicable," it will be exhibiting us to the community, vannah "if practicable," it will be exhibiting us to the community. I think, in rather a ridiculous attitude.

Mr. NILES .- It appears to me that the Senator is laboring under a misapprehension in regard to the whole subject. He seems to regard tuis as a domestic line. On the contrary, it is a foreign mail service; and a foreign line must depart from, and return to, man servece; and a foreign ine misst depart from, and return to, the same point. The idea that this foreign line should be com-pelled to stop at other ports on the coast is inconsistent with the service itself. This is a line not for Havana only, but for all the West India islands, and it is supposed it will lead the British to discontinue their mail service there, which is burdensome to them, when they find there is a line established by us. To acconthis, we must have certainty and regularity, which we are cannot be attained if the steamers are compelled to stop at Sa-vannah. I cannot yield to the claim, because I know it will lead to other claims, which, if allowed, will impair the value of the whole line

Mr. BERRIEN .- I am complimented by being told, that in a matter that immediately concerns my constituents, I am acting under a total misapprehension of the subject. Whether the misapprelicasion be with me or the Senator from Connecticut, may determined by looking at the act under which this mail service authorized. The law originally authorized the transportation of is authorized. The law originally authorized the transportation of the mail from Charleston to Hawan, and thence to Chargof, the mail from Charleston to Novamba departure from this con-tract would it he to authorize the [mail steamer to touch at one additional port lying directly within her path?

Mr. CALHOUN -I have not the slightest indisposition to afford every accommodation to Savannah that may be reasonable and proper, but I hope the Senator from Georgia will not, in this instance, insist upon his amendment, as it may have the appearance, at all events, of interfering with the contract.

The question being taken upon the amendment, it was agreed to.

Mr. YULEE moved to amend by inserting St. Augustine, so that the mail steamers should touch at that port, but opposition being manifested, he withdrew the motion.

Mr. BADGER then moved to amend by adding the provisions contained in the resolution which passed the Senate the other day authorizing the Posumaster General to renew the former contract for earrying the southern mail.

Mr. ATHERTON opposed the amendment, and after a short discussion, the question being taken by year and mays, it resulted thus—Yeas 15, Nays 27.

The hill was ordered to a third reading, and by unanimous con-

sent it was read a third time and passed. The question being put upon agreeing to the amendment pro-posed by Mr. BADGER, the yeas und mays were demanded by Mr. BRADBURY, and it was determined in the negative, as follows:

YEAS.—Messe, Balzer, Berlen, Guele, Clayten, Carvin, Dekis, of Marcucke, stts, Greese, Hoston, Johnson, of Marjand, Johnson, of Lominan, Miller, Reak. Sprainer, Underward, Yuke.—15.
NAYS.—Messe, Alen, Achton, Athertan, Benton, Bodind, Bradhery, Broog, NAYS.—Messe, Alen, Achton, Athertan, Benton, Bodind, Bradhery, Broog, Frigerald, Foots, Hamin, Hameran, Johnson, of Geogia, Lews, Niles, Phelps, Schatza, Stuggon, Torney, Walker, Westout.—73.

The hill having been amended was reported to the Senate, and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the amendments be engrossed and the bill read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved, That this bill pass with amendments.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives in these amendments.

EXECUTIVE SESSION.

After the consideration of Executive business,

The Senate adjourned.

# WEDNESDAY, JUNE 28, 1848.

# MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. CAMPBELL, their Clerk :

Mr. President: The House of Representatives have passed the resolution from the Senseto to exudain the act passed 24th June, 1845, entitled "an act for the rebef of William B. Shaphter, lake Secretary of the Termitory of Wrecomia. Abo, the bill from the Senate for the relief of the hone 3th exterior with the extra for the erased occupation so sat extlement of a portion of the Termitory of Flunds.

They have passed a bill giving the consent of the government of the United State to the State of Texas to extend her extern houndary was to include within her limit one half of Sabuse Pass, Sabine Lake, and Sabine River, a far north as the 32d degree of north lantide; in which they request the concurrence of the Senate.

The Speaker of the Hoose of Representatives having signed ten enrolled bills and we enrolled joint resolutions, I am directed to bring them to the Senate for the sigtwo enrolled joint resoluti nature of their President.

# SIGNING OF BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS.

The PRESIDENT, pro tempore, signed the following enrolled bills and enrolled joint resolutions:

An act respecting certain surveys in the State of Florida.

As act to authorize the settlement of the account of Joseph Nourse, deceased,

An set for the relief of William Ralston.

An act for the relief of Thomas Scott, Register of the Land Office at Chihoothe, Olno, for services connected with the duties of his office.

An act for the relief of Edna Hickman, wife of Alexander D. Peck.

An act concerning the taking of official oaths in the District of Columbia,

An act to authorize the issuing of a register to the Schooner James

An act to change the name of the steambout Charles Downing, to the Calhoun.

An act for the relief of Barclay & Livingston, and Smith, Thurger and comp An act for the relief of Russell Goss.

A joint resolution anthonsing the presentation to the government of France, of a senes of the standard weights and measures of the United States, and for other pur-

A joint resolution to explain no act of 24th June, 1848, entitled "An act for the rehef of W. B. Skunghter, late Secretary of Wisconsin."

#### HOUSE BILLS REFERRED.

The bill from the House of Representatives giving the consent of the government of the United States to the State of Texas to extend her eastern boundary, &c., this day received for concurrence, was yead the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

# PRIVATE BILLS

Mr. MASON, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the petition of George Poindexter, submitted a report, accompanied by a bill for his relief.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the Committee on Pensions, to whom the following bills from the House of Representatives were referred, reported them without amendment:

An act for the relief of Jonathan Slyter.

An act for the relief of William Parker.

# ADVERSE REPORT.

Mr. FELCH, from the Committee on Pensions, to whom was referred the petition of Isaac Davenport, submitted an adverse report: which was ordered to be printed.

### BOUNTY LANDS.

Mr. BORLAND, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the bill to revive an act authorising certain soldiers in the late war (with Great Britain) to surrender bounty lands drawn by them, and to locate others in lieu thereof, reported it without amendment.

The Senate proceeded to consider the said bill as in Committee of the Whole; and no amendment being made, it was reported to

Ordered. That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time.

Revolved, That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be es aforesaid.

Ordered. That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein

### JOHN MC GARR.

The joint resolution in relation to the naval pension of John McGarr was considered as in Committee of the Whole; and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

The said resolution was read a third time, by unanimous consent. Resolved. That this resolution pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered. That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

#### JONATHAN FITZWATER.

Mr. BORLAND moved that the prior orders be postponed, and the Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of Jonathan Fitzwater.

Mr. BORLAND.—The circumstances of the case are these.
Jonathan Fixwarter went, some years ago, to the city of Mexico
to reside. After the commencement of the war, being desirms
of serving his country, he came to me, I being then a prisoner of
war in the city of Moxico. I gave him a letter to the Americas
commanding general at Puebla. He reached Puebla after consigave him communicated some information, which was deemed important, and it was forwarded by Col. Harney to be sent to the
United States. Before reaching Vera Cruz, however, it was taken
by guerillas and sent to the Mexican government, It was pub-Mr. BORLAND .- The circumstances of the case are these United States. Before reaching Vera Cruz, however, it was taken by guerillas and sent to the Mexican government, It was published in the "Diario," and Fitzwater being favorably mentioned in it, he was pointed out as a fit object for vengeance if ever he should be caught. Since the arrival of our army in Mexico he has been constantly in the service. On the 18th of August he was sent forward with a reconnoitering party commanded by Capitam Thornton; and the same ball that killed Capitain Thornton infilted a very serious injury upon him. He is now compelled, on account of the bad feeling existing against him in Mexico, to leave that country and come home with the army. I believe all the officers have joined in a recommendation that he should be put upon the proposition is a recommendation into the should be put lipon the pension list, considering him, on account of the meritorious services he has rendered, to be well deserving of the American people. The House of Representatives has passed a hill granting him a pension of \$20 a month for life. That is the bill which I wish to call up.

The Senate proceeded to consider said bill, as in Committee of the Whole; and

No amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it pass to a third reading.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved. That it pass.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

## THE TEXAS NAVY

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill to authorize the President to increase the naval establishment of the United States; and,

On motion by Mr. RUSK, it was

Ordered That the further consideration thereof be postponed to Thursday, the 6th day of July next.

## APPLICATIONS FOR PENSIONS.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the resolution relative to evidence in applications for pensions; and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it pass to a third reading.

The sail resolution was read a third time.

Resolved, That this resolution pass

Ordered. That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

# MAIL CONTRACTORS.

On motion by Mr. BREESE, the prior orders were postponed, and the Senate proceeded to consider the joint resolution for the relatef of such persons as may have incurred the disability of the 23th section of the act to change the organization of the Post Office Department, and to provide more effectually for the settlement of the accounts thereof, approved July 2, 1836.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, submitted an amendment, requiring the Postmaster General to report each case in which he may remove the disability from any mail contractor to Congress, together with his reasons therefor.

The amendment having been agreed to, the joint resolution was reported to the Senate; and the amendment was concurred in.

Ordered. That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said resolution was read a third time.

Resolved, That this resolution pass, and that the title thereof be as afore and.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the Honso of Representatives therein.

Mr. ATHERTON, from the Committee on Finance, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives making appropriations for certain fortifications of the United States for the year ending the 30th of June, 1849, reported the same with an amendment

The Senate proceeded to consider the said bill, as in Committee of the Whole, tegether with the amendment reported thereto.

Mr. ATHERTON .- I will state in regard to this amendment that the recommendation comes from the department which has charge of this subject. When the estimates came in, the title to Pea Patch Island had not here settled, but it lawing now been setrea rates issued from not need settled, but it leaving now been settled, it is deemed proper that progress should be made in the crection of the fort. The condition upon which the United States received the cession of that island, was that they should creet and maintain a fort there. I believe it is a well known fact, that there is no suitable fortification in the neighborhood of the city of Philadelphia, and that it is absolutely necessary that one should be erected at this place.

Mr. NILES inquired if the question as to title was definitively

Mr. ATHERTON replied that it was.

Mr. CLAYTON.—There is but one objection to this proposi-tion, and that is that the amount is not so much as should be ap-propriated. For some years past the title to this island has been in controversy. That question has been settled and the title of the United States is now nearly perfected. In the grant which was made by the State of Delaware of this island to the United States, mane by the State of Dolaware of this island to the United States, there is a condition such as the gentleman from New Hampskire has monitoned, that if the United States neglect for ten years to keep up a fortification upon the island, the title shall revert to the State. Now for a period of something like fourteen years, the goverument has neglected to fulfil this condition, and it is necessary that something should now be done. present, and there is no other point from which Philadelphia and the various towns upon the river above Philadelphia, can be so effectually protected from a hostile fleet.

The amendment having been agreed to-

On motion, it was

Ordered. That the further consideration of the bill be postpened

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill to establish the territorial government of Oregon.

Mr. BERRIEN .- Mr. President : From the first moment when I took my seat in the chamber, up to that in which I now address you, I have studiously abstained from intruding upon the Senate the distracting question which this bill forces upon our consideration. I have not permitted—those who hear me will bear witness to the truth of the declaration—I have not permitted my conduct to the truth of the declaration—I have not permitted my conduct to be governed by sectional considerations. I have seadlously endeavored to regulate it by a just regard to the interests of the country, and of the whole country—to the rights of the American people, uninfluenced by loval discriminations. If I am driven from that position to-day-if in vindication of the rights of a portion of that people—my own immediate constituents, who have honored me by their confidence—if in defence of their rights, I enter upon a discussion from which I have hitherto abstained, let those hear me bear in mind that this unwelcome task is forced upon me, by the advocates of this bill. On this question of slavery, in every by the dayworks of this out. On this question of stayery, in every aspect in which it can be presented, our position—the position of the united South, is truly stated by the Secator from South Carolina, [Mr. Calhoun.] We ask you simply to let us alone—leave us to the onjoyment of our domestic institutions, in which we canus to the onlymmin to our doministical institutions, in which we can dissever the New York of the Transport to them, by desping to us the common rights of American citizens. You retuse this. The bill before the Sondare, stamps the peculiar institution which exists in the community in which we live, as one which is beyond the pale of legislating protection—as one which is so characterized by its own intrinsic impurity, as to require you in the exercise of your own intrinsic impurity, as to require you in the excretes of your legislative authority, to dury to the citizens of the South—to those of every slaveholding. State, a right to participate in the common hencitix which belong to all the citizens of the Intel States. Un-der such circumstances, we would be wanting in self respect—we would be fathless to the memory of our fathers, who have lived in the midst of these institutions, fulfilling all their duties, as men and as citizens, and who have gone to their rest with unstained reputations, if we could sit here in silence.

I repeat to you, sir, it is all we ask, let us alone. With our do-mestic institutions, authorized and protected by our own laws, and

recognized and guaranteed by the federal constitution, we are contented and happy—we do not ask your assistance to uphold them—we cannot submit to your interference with them, directly in the —we cannot submit to your interference with them, surcely in the States where they exist, or elsewhere, and indirectly by denying to us the enjoyment of a common right, because of their existence among us. We say to you, continue to enjoy in full measure the blessings which Providence has allotted to you—the free soil and free labor which commend themselves to your taste, and are ap--leave us to the enjoyproved by your judgment, but let us alonement of those institutions which we have received from our fathers, and do not seek, because we prefer them, to deprive us of our common rights under the constitution. You refuse to listen to this appeal. The framers of this bill, and its advocates in this chamber, force this discussion upon us, and it must be met.

You are about to organize a government for the territory of Oregon, and not content with providing the several departments which will be necessary to municipal legislation, to judicial interpretation, and executive enforcement of the laws which may be pretation, and executive enforcement of the laws which may be constent, on propose in the exercise of your sovereign will to establish as a fundamental law of the territory, the inhibition of slatery, and thus to exclude the eitzens of one-half of the States of this Union from all rights of ingress into this territory, carrying with them their slave property. This is to be accomplished, too, with them their slave property. This is to be accomplished, too, in a manner not quite consistent with the dignity of an American Senate—not by a direct enactment—by an open avowal of your senate—in My a unject dimension—by an open arowar wyour pripors, but by the adoption of what, by a singular perceision of language, are decominated the laws of the provisional government of Oregen, one of which contains this inhibition. I have, therefore, moved to strike out the twelfth section of the bill, which preses this adoption, and thus to leave the question of the existence or non-existence of slavery in that territory, to the unembarrassed decision of those who are alone competent to decide it—to the people of the territory in the formation of their constitution. If this motion should prevail, I have proposed to follow it by another to substitute in lieu of the twelfth section of this bill, the corresponding section of the bill prepared by the Senate's committee at the last session. It is indifferent to me, however, whether the object which I bave in view he accomplished in this mode, or by the adoption of the amendment in the form of a proviso, moved by the Senator from Mississippi, [Mr. Davis.] What I desire is, that Congress should abstain from an act of usurpation—from the exe of a power not conferred by the constitution.

you propose by legislative act to declare that slavery shall not ist in the territory of Oregon. I deny your power to de this,

and thus the issue is formed between us.

In discussing this question, I will pursue the course adopted by the Senator from New York, [Mr. Dix] and followed by the Senator from South Carolina, [Mr. Calhoun] and inquire, Has Congress the power to prohibit slavery in the territories

of the United States? 2. If Congress has such power, is it just, expedient, consistent

with the split of the constitution to exercise it?

On this question of the power to inhibit shavery in the territories, there are turee distinct opinions. The first assigns it without limitation or control to Congress. The second aseribes it to the territorial legislature, subject of course to the revision of Congress. The third opinion denies it both to Congress and the territorial legislature, and affirms it to be the exclusive right of the people, to be exercised in the formation of their constitution, preparatory to their admission as a State, leaving it an open question during the existence of the territory. It is the first of these opinions which is maintained by the advocates of this bill, and which, therefore, I proceed to examine. Let me, however, say in advance, that the importance of this question is not seen, and cannot be felt, if confine our attention exclusively to the territory of Oregon, which is the only one embraced in this bill. Notwithstanding the suggestions of the Senator from New York, [Mr. Dix] as to the variation of climate, in the same parallels of latitude, on the Atlan-tic and Pacific coasts of this continent, I think the better opinion is, that slavery cannot exist in Oregon, because slave labor not be valuable there. The inhibition of it in this bill can, there fore, be made, as it seems to me, but with one of two purposes. Either you desire to avail yourselves of every occasion to stamp our domestic institutions with the seal of your reprobation, or you propose to assert this power now, in relation to a territory where slavery cannot exist, and where, therefore, its assertion for any present effect is nugatory and useless, that having thus obtained its recognition, you may apply it hereafter to those other terri-tories, for which Congress will soon be required to establish territorial governments. It behooves the South now, therefore, to look to their rights, lest they should be concluded hereafter by the preeedent which will be established in this case.

I propose, then, to examine the question, whether Congress has the power to do what this bill proposes. My purpose will be to

To trace the power of Congress to organize a territorial government, to its true source; and

To show that it is subject to limitations, which exclude all

2. 10 Show that it is subject to initiations, which exercise an right of interference with the question of shavery in a territory. The Senator from New York [Mr. Dix] has taken a leading part in this discussion, and has presented to the Senate an argument manifesting extensive research, and great ability. The spinor rit in which it was conceived has enabled me to listen to it respectful attention. The power of Congress in the organization of a territorial government, to prohibit slavery in a territory, is traced by the honorable Senator to the 3d section of the 4th artiele of the constitution of the United States, which is in these

"The Congress shall have power to dispose of, and make all accided roles and regulations respecting the territory or other property belonging to the United States; an nothing in this constitution shall be open streed as to prejudice any claim of the United States, or of any particular State."

I cannot acquiesce in this opinion. I think the terms of this section are entirely too limited for the extensive power which it is at-tempted to deduce from them. The provision of the constitution has a subject on which it proposes to operate, through the medi-um of an agent which it designates. That agent is Congress.— That subject is the territory, or other property of the United States. Put the words of the section in their natural order, and they will stand thus

Congress shall have power to dispose of the territory, or other property of the United States, and to make all needful rules and regulations respecting the same.

Surely, these rules and regulations must relate to property alone, for nothing but property, the territory, or other property, is made subject to the disposal of Congress. The language, too, is ap-plicable to property only—to territory considered as property shaper to the property only—to territory considered as property—not to persons, or to jurisdiction to be exercised over them. It is a power "to uispose of," This expression is applicable to property, and accordingly it is applied to "the territory, or other property belonging to the United States," The term "belonging" has a like reference to property, and not to persons. Congress is empowered to "make all needful rules and regulations" respecting the territory, or other property belonging, 8c, 8c. When it is emplowered to "make all needful rules and regulations" respecting this territory, or other property belonging, \$\cdots\$, \$\cdots\$. When it is remembered that the ensettled lands of the United States were looked to as a source of revenue, and the Congress was to esta-blish a system for their disposal, the intent and purpose of these terms will be readily understood. It was under the power thus conferred, that Congress was provide all needful rules and re-lations for the safe (disposal) of these lands in any territory of the likitons for the safe (disposal) of these lands in any territory of the United States, and of any other property belonging to them. If beyond this it were necessary to add any thing to the argument of the Senator from South Carolina [Mr. Calhoux] on the true interpretation of this section, the proviso lumishes an additional and, as I think, an unanswerable reason in favor of that for which I contend. Let it be remembered that the title to large quantities of unlocated lands, lying within the chartered limits of several of the States, was in controversy between those States and the United States—the former claiming them as their individual rights, the latter asserting that as they had been won from a common enenergy, by the united efforts of all the States, they ought to enure to the benefit of all. While this controversy was yet undetermined, the constitution was framed, and it seemed proper, therefore, while giving to Congress a power to dispose of the public lands, while grining to Congress a power to dispose of the public lands, to avoid all interference with the controversy between the finite States and the individual States. Accordingly, it is provided in the last clause of the section, that "nothing in this constitution shall be so construed as to prejudice the claims of the United States, or of any particular state," polyonisy to restrain Congress from disposing of any public lands, the title to which was contested by any State. Considering the section a mere authority to dispose of public property, this proviso is appropriate, but if you look to it as conferring jurisdiction over persons, it is not merely mapt, but absurd.

And now, sir, I leave this verbal criticism. The Senator from New York has manifested his own apprehension of the insufficiency of this section considered in itself, to authorize the exercise of the power for which he contends, and has, therefore, endeavored to sustain it by calling to his aid cotemporaneous exposition, tegislative precedents, and judicial decisions. My duty, then, is to examine these references, to ascertain whether they are capable of propping the magnificent, but tottering fabric, which rests upon a foundation in itself so insignificant. And, first, of cotemporaneous exposition.

The honorable Senator supposes that in the history of the proceedings of the convention, he finds what is calculated to susuait the construction of this section for which he contends. Upon look the construction of this section for which he contends. Upon look, ing into those proceedings, as they are disclosed in the Madison papers, it appears that a report was made by a committee in which, among others, was a provision that Congress should have seems that this report was not adopted, but that the convention is substituted for it the section as it now stands in the constitution, and because the proposal expressly to invest Congress with the power to establish territorial governments, was thus distinctly presented to their view, and because they substituted for it the clause which we are considering, the honorable Senator concludes that in the information of the convention the new restriction is clause which we are considering, the honorable Senator concludes that in the information of the convention the new must have been that in the judgment of the convention, the one must have been deemed equivalent to the other. Now, sir, it seems to me that such an inference could not be deduced from these preceedings, we have entering upon the consideration of them with a foregone conclusion. There was a distinct proposal to invest Congress with power by an express clause in the constitution to organize with power by an express clause in the constitution to distance territorial governments—not merely to dispose of property, but to exercise jurisdiction over persons. That proposal was not adopted, but in lieu of it the convention inserted a provision in its terms. applicable to property alone. On every fair principle of construc-tion, this was a rejection of the first proposal, silent it is true, but

tion, this was a rejection of the first proposal, stent it is true, but as emphatic as language could have made it.

The opinions of Mr. Madison.—The Senator from New York next supposes that he flods in the views of Mr. Madison, as they are expressed in the 38th and 43d numbers of "The Federalist,"

an authority which is favorable to his argument. This book was written expressly for the purpose of recommending the constitu-tion, to secure its acceptance by the people of the United States. It was a eulogy upon that instrument, especially as contrasted It was a eurogy upon that instrument, especially as contrasted with the articles of confederation. The great object was to show the defects of the latter, and the efficiency of the provisions made in the new constitution to supply those defects. The particular subject under consideration in the numbers referred to, was the power to admit new States, a power expressly provided for in the constitution, which had been exercised by the Congress of the confederation, without legitimate authority, and which had only heen acquiesced in under a conviction of the necessity in which it originated, and then Mr. Madisen asks: Why will you not adopt a originated, and then Mr. Madison asks: Why will you not adopt a constitution, which by its express provisions on this subject, investing Congress with the power to admit the new States, supersedes the resort to this dangerous mode of proceeding, which finds its only justification in the plea of necessity I. He then adverts to the section we are considering, as another important provision in the new constitution, but without expressing any opinion as to it construction. This is the substance of what Mr. Madison says in the two numbers of the Federalist, and I submit that they do not support the inference of the Senator from New York.

But these are only the stirmislers which I is to legislative precious and inducing decisions that he looks for the establishment of

of the argument of the honorable Senator. It is to legislative pre-cedents and judicial decisions that he looks for the establishment of the construction of this section of the constitution for which he so earnestly contends. I ask the attention of the Senate to a brief review of those precedents and decisions. Legislative precedents.—The lirst legislative precedent on

Legislative precedents.—The lirst legislative precedent on which the Sentor from New York relies, as evincing the authori-ty of Congress to interfere with slavery in the territories, is the act passed on the 7th August, 1789, recognizing the ordinance of 1787, and adapting its provisions to the new form which the gov-ernment had assumed. This was followed by several nots, by which that ordinance was applied to the States created in the northwestern territory.

northwestern territory.

Mr. President, if there be any peculiarity in the opinion which I entertain of this celebrated ordinance, I claim for it only the dispassionate consideration of Senators. I proceed then to say, that this ordinance, so much denonneed on the one hand, and culothat this ordinance, so much denonneed on the one hand, and eulogized on the other, so far as relates to the question we are considering, has had, in my judgment, a very undue degree of importance ascribed to it. Whether you look to the ordinance itself—
to the recognition of it in 1789, or its subsequent application by
Congress to the several States ereated under it, there is nothing
in all or any of these considerations which can in the remotest
degree affect the question of the power of Congress, under the
constitution, to inhibit slavery in the territories. Consider, sir,
under what circumstances, and by whom this ordinance was
adopted—who were the parties to the articles of cession on which
it was founded, and what was its object. The parties to that
cession were the State of Virginia on the one hand, and the Unied States on the other; and the object of the ordinance was to cession were the State of Virginia on the one mand, and the United States on the other; and the object of the ordinance was to give effect to the cession, by which Virginia had transferred to the United States both soil and jurisdiction in the territory to which it applied. Its provisions were then to be tested by the terms of It appares in the provincing the control of the con constitution. She stood alone and absolute in her sovereignty, unfettered in the exercise of her legislative power save by her own constitution. She was proprietor of the soil, and had sovereign jurisdiction over persons in the northwesters, territory. She had the same right of legislation there as over any portion of the State. It was competent to Virginia to abolish slavery in that territory or throughout the State, or in advance to declare that it should not exist there. The rights which she possessed she had power to transfer to others. She did transfer to the Unicel States alone, the United States acquired whatever right Virginia had. The only question then which could arise, would be whether the Concress of the confederation was competent to receive the trans-Congress of the confederation was competent to receive the transfer I agree that in the infinite variety of the human mind, its ilfor "I agree that in the infinite variety of the human mud, its illlimitable capacity to generate controversy on any, and on every
subject, this might have been a question, but subsequent events
have relieved us from whatever difficulty it presented. Congress
did receive the cession, after a serutiny of the title of Virginia,
and was thereafter estopped to question that title, and bound to
fulfill the conditions on which she had received the transfer of it.
It was an "engagement entered into before the adoption of the
constitution," which, by the 6th article of that instrument, was
declared to be "ins valid against the United States under this constitution, as under the confederation." The act of 17 %, the
correspondent recombination of the constitution of the confederation of the constitution of the constitution of the power conferred by the constitution, to make rules
and regulations for the government of territories, but in simple
fulfillment of the engagement entered into by the Congress of the
confederation, manifested by the acceptance of the eession from
Virginia.

But the Senator from New York does not stop here. He refers to the acts of Congress which prohibit the introduction of slaves into the territories of Mississippi and Louisiana, and would infer

that since this was done while they were territories, it was an exeroise of the power to regulate slavery in the territories of the United States. I submit to you, sir, that the honorable Senator has misapprehended the source of the authority exerted by Congress in those laws. It was the commercial power under which they were passed—under which Congress claimed the right to re-gulate the traffic in slaves in the United States and its territorics not the power to make rules and regulations for the disposal of the territories; and this, too, has been questioned in their own su-preme judicial tribunal, by more than one of the learned judges who preside there. If, then, the act of 1789, adapting the ordinance of 1787 to the new form which the government had assumed, and the subsequent acts passed on the formation of the several States in the northwestern territory, were but the fulfilment of an engagement entered into before the adoption of the constitution, and by it rendered obligatory on the new government, as we have seen-and if the acts in relation to bringing slaves into Mississippi and Louisiana are referable alone to the commercial power, it is clear that the construction of the section which gives to Congress power to dispose of the territories and other property of the United States, for which the Senator from New York contends, can receive no aid from the acts to which he has referred. These legislative precedents, therefore, vanish as the mists of the morn-

Passing from these, the Senator from New York next endeavors to establish his proposition by a reference to judicial decisions.—
I confess, sir, I was startled by the assertion, that this power, as derived from the clause we are considering, and in the extent decision, but I was relieved by the reference to the cases, which were cited to prove it. I will not detain the Senate by reading them. A very brief reference to them will serve to show that they do in fact decide nothing in relation to the question we are considering. The first is the case of McCunougn vs. the Surface of Maryland, 4 Wheat., 420. Now, the moment this case is mon The first is the case of McCullough vs. the State of tioned, every professional man recognizes the fact that it is totally tioned, every professional man recognizes the fact that it is totally mapplicable to our present requiry—that the question which we are now considering did not come before the court in any aspect of the case, and consequently could not have been decided. The question in that case was, whether Congress had power to establish a bank, and if incidentally, in illustrating his opinion, any one of the judges had asserted that Congress had established territorial governments under the clause on which the honorable Seriorial governments under the clause on which the honorable Seriorial governments under the clause on which the honorable Seriorial governments under the clause on which the honorable Seriorial governments under the clause on which the honorable Seriorial governments under the clause on which the honorable Seriorial governments under the clause on which the honorable Seriorial governments under the clause on which the honorable Seriorian governments under the clause on which the honorable Seriorian governments under the clause on which the honorable Seriorian governments under the clause on which the honorable Seriorian governments under the clause on which the honorable Seriorian governments under the clause on which the honorable Seriorian governments under the clause on which the honorable Seriorian governments under the clause on the clause of the government governments and the government governments government governments and the government government governments governments governments governments gove nator relies, he will agree with me that such an assertion would not have been a judicial decision, but a mere obiter dictum, entitled to just so much respect as the legal attainments of the individual expressing it, could secure for a hasty opinion given on a vidual expressing II, could scoure for a hasty opinion given on a subject which was not under the consideration of the court.— What was said by the court in that case was as follows: "The power to 'make all needful rules and regulations respecting the territory, or other property belonging to the United States,' is not more comprehensive than the power 'to make all laws which shall be necessary and proper for enrying into execution' the powers of the government. Yet all admit the constitutionality of a ter-ritorial government, which is a corporate body." Certainly the constitutionality of a territorial overnment, created by Congress. constitutionality of a territorial government, created by Congress is universally admitted, but how does that decide that the power of Congress is derived from this clause? The court did not intend to decide, and did not, in fact, decide that question.

The case next referred to by the Senator, is that of the Chero-kee Nation vs. the State of Georgia, 5 Pet. R., 44, in which the question was, whether this Indian tribe was a foreign nation in that sense, which would enable them to maintain their suit in that court. And in illustrating his opinion on this question, one of the judges adverted to the fact, that Congress had established territorial governments in territory yet occupied by Indian tribes; and did

rial governments in territory yet occupies by should not, therefore, deal with them as nations. This case may, I appreaded, be dismissed without further remark.

The case of Gratiot vs. the United States, I4 Pct. R., 537, is next referred to. There the question was, whether the power given to Congress to dispose of the public lands was limited to a power to sell, or included also a power to lease. It was a grave question, if gentlemen please so to consider it, for the decision of so high a tribunal, but certainly cannot aid as in our present en-quiry, the object of which is to ascertain whether under a power spose of the public lands, Congress has jurisdiction over the persons accupying them-a pretension, the assertion of which would involve us in immediate controversy with several of the States of the Union.

The remaining case is that of the American Insurance Company vs. Canter, 1 Pet. R., 542. It approaches the question which we are considering, and leaves it indecided. The question in controare considering and leaves in microcated. The question in considering versy in that case was the validity of a sentence of a Control of Admiralty in the Territory of Florida. The government had been organized by Cogress, and the territorial legislature had established the court. For example, the right of Cogress to establish the territorial government, but the right of Congress to establish the territorial government, our whethor that right was derived from this particular clause of the constitution, or resulted by necessary implication from the power to acquire territory, as in that case by treaty, was left undecided in that, and in overy other case which has fallen under my obser-

vation.

These are all the judicial decisions which have been referred to by the Senator from New York, and I think it must now be obvious that they cannot subserve the purpose for which they were eited.

I have stated in an earlier part of this argument, the several opinions which are maintained in relation to slavery in the territo-ries, of which the first asserts the power of Congress—the second that of the territorial legislature, subject to the disapproval of Congress; and the third that of the people of the territory, when assembled in convention to form a constitution preparatory to their admission as a State. I have been examining the first of these opinions, not with a view to deny the existence of the power, but to ascertain whether it is derived from that clause of the constitu-tion on which the Senator from New York relies for its support, and I trust I have shown that it cannot be so derived.

The second opinion is, that the power to decide whether slavery shall or shall not exist in a territory, belongs to the territorial legislature, subject of course to a disapproval of their acts by Congress. Now, sir, I cannot, by any effort of imagination, councier of a greater bundle of absorbities than is involved in such a proposition. It assumes that Congress has no original power over position. It assumes that Congress has no original power over the subject, but that it belongs to the territorial legislature. Yet all the powers which are exercised by that legislature are to be de-rived from Congress—and thus Congress is made to eonfer a power which it did not possess—and then though it had no original power over the subject, it may still negative, and render invalid, the act

of the territorial legislature.

THE OREGON BILL.

There is yet another opinion, and that is that the power to determine this and all other questions connected with it, belongs to the people of the territory, not while they are in the chrysalis state, exercising the delegated and limited powers conferred upon them by an act of Congress, but when they have increased in numbers sufficiently to form a State constitution, with a view to admission into the Union. That, as I noderstand it, is the southern ground, and this is the view which in behalf of my constitu-

I desire to present to the Senate.

But there is another branch of the subject, the consideration of which is more important. It is that which ceneeding the power of Congress to establish territorial governments, from whatever source the power may be derived, nevertheless limits its exercise. source the power may be derived, nevertheless limits its exercise, denying the right to interfere with the subject of slavery. I have said, and endeavored to maintain, that the power of Congrese over the territories, in the extent which is claimed for it, cannot be derived from the clause of the constitution, on which the Senator from New York relies—but I do not, therefore, deny its exist-ence. On the contrary, if I am asked whether Congress has the power to establish territorial governments, I answer the enquiry in power to establish territorial governments, I answer the enquisy in the affirmative: without doubt it has, but it is a power which though exclusive, is not unlimited. It results from our nationality—from the power to make war, and to conclude treaties, and the consequent right to acquire iterritory. The right to acquire includes the right to use—to enjoy—and government is indispensable to use and enjoyment. Such is the doctrine stated by Chief Jasice Marshall in the case of the American Insurance Company and Canter, before referred to. Thus the power to govern results from the power to acquire, and that is itself consequent to the war from the power to acquire, and that is itself consequent to the war form the power to acquire, and that is itself consequent to the war further than the condition to governing the territory until it is in a condition to govern the filt who formation of a constitution preparatory to its vern itself by the formation of a constitution preparatory to its admission as a State. It springs from the relation of principal and dependent, and is limited by the duration of that dependence. The purpose is to train up a nation of freemen, and to fit them to share in the privileges of this Union. Whatever is necessary to this object, Congress is authorised to do, and bound to do, and equally to abstain from every other exercise of power. When the territory becomes a State—or rather when its people form a State constitution with a view to admission into the Union, they have the exclusive and sovereign right to decide between free and slave labor—and if the spirit of the constitution is conformed to, they will be left free to decide that question unembarrassed by

they will be left tree to decide that question unemparrassed by any previous legislation of Congress.

I desire briefly to recapitulate the foregoing positions, to connect them with the urgument which follows. You desire the power to acquire territory. How? Not by an express grant, but by implication from the war and treaty making power. by hipheranon robin too war and ready hazing power. You desire tho power to govern the territory acquired. How? Not by an express grant, but by implication, as necessary to the enjoyment of the territory acquired—and this power is vested in Congress. Why? Because by the constitution all legislative power is vested in that body—and legislative power is vested in that body—and legislative power. must be exercised in framing the organic law of a territory. the power, though exclusive, is not unlimited. It must be exer-eised within the pale of the constitution, for the specific purpose, and since it concerns a subject in which all have a common and an equal interest with a strict regard to that communion and equalof interest. It cannot violate the right of a citizen, which is derived from or recognized by the constitution. It cannot indefi-nitely prolong the tutelage of the territory. In relation to a com-mon property, it cannot violate the equality of right which be-

to every eitizen.

In organizing a territorial government, Congress is bound to give security to the inhabitants of the territory, to respect the rights of the citizens of the United States, in and to the property, while it remains common, and to provide for the termination of i dependent territorial condition as soon as the inhabitants are in sufficient numbers to be admitted into the Union. Meanwhile, it is a common property, which every citizen has an equal right to enjoy. Congress may regulate the manner of enjoyment, but that regulation must not be inconsistent with the equality which be-

longs to a common property. It is in strict analogy to, or rather On this subject Vattel says, book 1, ch. 20, p. 113:

On this studied, visit any power is period to the common "All the members of a corporation have an equal register to the use of the common property. But respecting the memors of enjoying it this body of the corporation property. But respecting the memors of enjoying it is the body of the corporation and nonintestive with that equalifies of enjoying the common factor common pasture, but that equalifies it is all the members, according to their vanish of common pasture, better allowing it is all the members, according to their vanish or all betts, or to make a distinction to the discontance, it is agreen, this is less than that that of the others.

Now, if this principle be true, if it is obviously just in its applieation to the property of a corporation, who does not perceive its precise analogy to, or rather its actual identity with, the principle which is involved in the question under consideration? The several States of this Union, associated under our federal charter, several States of this Union, associated under our federal charter, constitute one great corporation, of which the citizons in the several States are corporators; and the federal government represents the body of the corporation. The territory of the United States beyond the limits and jurisdiction of any individual State, whether acquired by conquers or by treaty, is so negarized by the common efforts of all the citizons of the United States, and constitute on the common efforts of all the citizons of the United States, and constitute on the common control of the United States, and constitute on the common control of the United States, and constitute on the common control of the United States, and constitute on the common control of the United States, and constitute on the common control of the United States, and constitute on the common control of the Common control common electric of electric deficiency and entertric description of the control tutes one vast common, belonging to the corporation, to control tutes one vast common, belonging to the corporation, you altitude power, constituting the whole of the corporation, you part personal tuting the manner in the first common property may be enjoyed, but you make it we had the that your regulations are "not inconsistent with the property of the property mumon of property." You may regulate its enjoyment in such manner as may be most conducive to the interest of all, but you cannet. "exclude any one of the number," or "make a distinction to his disadvantage." The territory of the United States is the common property not of this government, but of all the citizens of common property not ot this government, but of all the citizens of the United States. As their general agent, invested with legisla-lative power, Congress has the right to organize a government for this territorial dependency, and under the clause of the consti-tution, so often referred to, to make all "needful rules and regu-lations for the disposal" of the soil; but whether, in the expense of the one power or the other, thus principle of equality, which is thereast in an insurant plant from a company assential with the inherent in and inseparable from a common property, must be sacredly adhered to. You cannot forbid any citizen from entering into such territory. You cannot limit the right of ingress to any into such territory. You cannot limit the right of ingress to any given number of States, which you will designate by man to any classion of the rest. You cannot confine that right of entry to the non-staveholding States, and exclude the slaveholding States from enjoying it. These propositions will not be denied. If it seemed by on expedient to settle this territory exclusively with haborers, to exclude all non-producers, and capitalists, literally to execute the carse consequent on the sin of our first parents, that man should earn his bread by the sweat of his brow, and to force him to do so by his netural metal labor in the cultivation of the soil, your powers would be inadequate to such a purpose; for you could not deapt to the citize that religible to sking with him the means of dispensing with daily labor. As a general proposition it will be admitted that you could not make the right of taking with him the means of dispensing with daily labor. As a general proposition it will be admitted that you could not make the right of emigrant of ependent upon the condition that the emigrant should leave all his property behind. If not, whence do you derive the right to distin perty behind. If not, whence do you derive the right to distin-guish between different kinds of property? Is it not obvious, that the exercise of such a right would lead inevitably to the inequalithe exercise of seal a right would lead meritably to the helptanity, which we have seen is forbidden in relation to that in which numbers have a common and an equal interest? You would do by indirection, what would be obviously inadmissible by a direct exercise of power. You cannot revoid by law that the inhabit by indirection, what would be obviously inadmissible by a direct exercise of power. You cannot provide by law that the inhabitants of New Humpshire. Connecticut, and other non-slaveholding States, and they alone, shall be permitted to settle in Oregon. You cannot say that the inhabitants of those States shall have any exclusive privileges in the entry and occupation of the public lands there. You cannot declare that they shall have the right of en-tering and occupying those lands at a lower price per acre, or on more favorable terms as to the time of payment, than you allow to emigrants from the slaveholding States. You cannot forbid an emigrant from a non-slaveholding State from taking his property emigrant from a non-slaveholding State from taking his property into the territory. By what authority do you exercise such a pow-er in relation to the emigrant from a slave State? Do you not preceive that it violates the principle of capality, indirectly, to be sure, but as palpably as if the inhibition to the citizen of a slave-holding State were enacted in terms. Consider its effocts. The citizens of the non-slaveholding States will exercise their right of convenience they are a possible to take their reporter. cuizens of the non-siaveholding States Will exercise their right to emigration, because they are permitted to take their property with them without restraint. The citizens of the slave-holding States, to whom this right is domied, must remain where they are. Thus a territory which belongs to all the citizens of the United States, in which the interest of each one is undomiably and exactly equal to that of any other, will be exclusively enjoyed by the citi zens of one balf of the States of the Union.

zens or one nau of the States of the Union.

I inquire of Senators, on what principle such legislation can be justified? Why may not a southern planter as well as a northern farmer take with him the means by which they pursue their research cocupations? If the one is disposed to cultivate the soil, specific occupations? It in one is disposed to chilivate the soil, and the other to become a herdsman, why has not one as much right to take his negroes with him as the other has to take his flock? The Senator from Connecticut [Mr. Baldwin] answers nock: The Senator from Commerciacy [Mr. DALDWIN] abswers this inquiry by saying that negroes are not property; and fearing that this is too large a proposition, corrects himself by saying that they are not property, at feast in the sense in which this term is applied to other objects of property. Now, sir, I desire to inquire

whence has the Senator derived this distinction? Is it founded upon any principle of reason? Is it sustained by any recognized authority? Is it not a mere phanton of an imagination Is it not a mere phanton of an imagination excited in authority? Is it not a mere pinancor of an inaggueration in the pursuit of a particular theory? Has not man, in all time, been the property of man? What page of history, sacred or profane, does not attest this truth? What distinction do the laws of a State, where slavery exists, make between this and every other species of property? What distinction do the laws of the United species of property? What distinction do the laws of the United States make 'What is property in a slave! It is the right to his service and labor. You cannot deal with him as with mani-mate or merely animul subjects of property. Justice, humanity, the laws of the State forbid it. His life is protected. To kill him is murder. His person also is under the guardinaship of the law. You cannot indict unnecessary had occessive whipping. You can-not withhold from him proper food and sustemance per comfortable clothing. And you cannot require from him more labor than he is able to perform. For the first-mentioned offence the slayer would he liable to be punished as for the murder of a white man. the others, he would be subject to indictment, and punishable by line and imprisonment.—(Hotehk. 779.) I speak of the laws of my own State; but I doubt not there are similar laws in the other my own state; and I combt not there are summar have in the other slaveholding States. Still the right of property remains. It is a right to his service and labor. The Senator from Connecticut [Mr. Baldwin] contends that slavery is created by statute, and [Mr. Baldwirs] contends that slavery is creased by stattle, and has no existence beyond the limits of the State emacting it, and relies upon the decisions of certain State courts to support his po-sition. The honorable Senator mistakes the extent of those decis-ions. In their utmost limit, they merely affirm that a slave who is brought within the limits of a State whose laws forbid slavery, thereby hecomes free. Now, how far these decisions can be sus-tanded in reliation to a slave, the property of a citizen of the United States, who is merely travelling through, not commorant in a State where slavery is prohibited, is a question which may hereaf-ter be submitted to the decision of the Supreme Court of the United States. I do not deal with it now, because it is not material to my present purpose. With reference to the question which we are considering all that it is necessary for me to maintain is, that are consuering, in that it is useessary for me to maintain is, that the property of a master in his slave continues beyond the limits of the State in which he dwells, and until he comes within the jurisdiction of a State where slavery is forbidden by law; for if this position be sustained, it necessarily follows that a citizen of the University of the State where slavery is forbidden by law; for if this position be sustained, it necessarily follows that a citizen of the University of the State where the state where the state of the State where the state where t sition be sustained, it necessarily follows that a citizen of the United States owning a slave may emigrate with him to the territory of Oregon, and still hold him there, because there is no law in that territory which forbids slavery—nor any other law of any description—it having been universally conceded, in the progress of this discussion, notwithstanding some contrary protensions in the commencement of it, that the regulations agreed upon and adopted by some squatters on the public lands in that territory cannot be dignified with the name or not the proprietary interest of the master in his slave. Is it confined to the limits of the State in which he lives, or does it continue to exist beyond those limits? The case referred to by the Seantor from Connecticut do not touch that question. They only decide that it ceases when the slave is voluntarily brought within the lains of a State whose laws forbid its

question. They may determine the constraint of safe is vor-untarily brought within the limits of a State whose laws forbid its continuance. The Maryland case cited by the Seantor is equally inapplicable. That was decided under a law of Virginia, which forbade a non-resident slave from remaining in that State, beyond a certain limited time, and it therefore still leaves open the ques-

tion we are considering.

tion we are considering.

Mr. President, having I trust, shown that the cases referred to by
the Senator from Connecticut, do not sustain the position for which
be contends, which is that the proprietary interest of a master in
his slave, ceases when he carries his heyond the limits of the State
in which he resides, I proceed to state this proposition. Slaves
are recognized as property not merely by the laws of the State
of the State state of the State of terest of the master must continue to exist.

terest of the master must continue to exist.
Slaves are recognized as property by the constitution of the United States. I have before said that property in a slave, is a right to his service or labor. The constitution, art. 4, sec. 2, describe a slave as a "person held to service or labor." and provides that such slave escaping into another State, shall not be disclared from service or labor under any law or regulation of that State, but shall be delivered up on claim of the party to whom such blate or service may be due—that is to his owner. Now, consider, the

or service may be due—that is to his owner. Now, consider, the

\*In the remarks submitted to the Seaate on this occasion, I confined myself to the
remarks of a project of always in the Territory of Organ. because that alone was the
subject of the bill. A different question will be presented in relation to New Mexico
and California. There are explained to the presented in relation to New Mexico
and California. There are captured to will be presented in relation to New Mexico
flags unlet the treaty. R -serving the full consideration of this question for its appropriate occasion. I make now the following infer francist of seed or crede construction.

The inference of the control of the control of the present of the control of the co

slave in this case is beyond the limits of the State, whose laws it slave in this case is beyond the limits of the State, where the said, and they alone create the slavery to which he is subject. According to the argument against which I am contending, his contending to the argument of those State laws. Yet, he is master cannot hold him by virtue of those State laws. Yet, mill recognized as a dave, as a personnel data service or taker. I amplify the compared as a dave, as a personnel data service or taker. I amplify by what have is his condition of slaver; thus recognized! And the answer is by the supreme law of the land—by the constitution of the United States. But this supreme law does more. It not only recognizes him as a slave, although he is beyond the limits of the State, under whose laws alone it is said he is held in slavery. but it still holds him to this condition of slavery, although he is within the limits of a State whose laws absolutely forbid the ex-istence of slavery. Thus, then, if slavery is created by the law of a State, the constitution of the United States comes in aid of that law where it cannot operate by its own intrinsic force, and con-trols and silences the conflicting provisions of the laws of another State within whose limits the slave is found. If these two States scare within whose timits the slave is found. If these two States were sovereign independent States, not united by the federal boud which constitutes us one people, and which we have all agreed to consider as the supreme law, the owner of the slave would have consider as the supreme law, the owher of the sixtee would make no claim but upon the country of the State in which he was found for his delivery. The prohibition of slavery by such States would be imperative and resistless. It is made to yield—to what? Not to the law of the State, which it is said constitutes the man a slave, for he is beyond the limit within which that law can operate, but to the constitution of the United States which recognizes him as a

slave and enforces his surrender.

Look now at the practical operation of this clause of the consti-Look now at the practical operation of this remise which continuous premediates the question which we are considering, is whether a slave ceases to be so when he passes beyond the limits of the State, under whose law he is held as a slave, and this is off this continuous the state of the state, where the state of th will, if possible, be still more obvions. The laws of Virginia allow, and those of Massachusetts forbid slavery. A slave from the former State escapes into the latter, his owner pursues and claims him. Now, if the argument of the Senator from Connecticut be correct; if the slave can no longer be held as such, because the laws of Virgioia have ecased to bind him, then unless some other law intervenes to operate upon him, the answer of the authorities of Massachusetts would be, this man has ceased to be your slave; he is beyond the reach of your laws which held him in slavery; he is nuder the protection of ours, which seeme to him his freedom. The authorities of Massachusetts will not give this answer, and why? because another law does intervene; because the supreme law of the land, the constitution of the United States declares that a "person held to service and labor" under the laws of one State and escaping into another State, shall not be discharged from such service or labor under "any law or regulation" of that other State, "but vice or nator most any taw or regulation of that can exact, our shall be delivered up on claim of the party to whom such labor or service may be due. The constitution provides that the fugitive shall not be discharged—but why is this? If the argument of the Senator can be maintained, he is already discharged by the mere fact afor can be mannaned, ne is aiready disensarged by the mere had of being beyond the limits of the State, whose laws held him in slavery. The framers of the constitution did not take the same view of this subject with the Senator from Connecticut. They did not think that the man was discharged from having passed beyond the limits of Virginia. On the contrary, they held that he was still a slave, and provided that he should not be discharged, but should be delivered up to his owner.

Mr. President, it is indeed singular, at this late day, when this

government has been administered under the existing constitution for nearly three-score years, that it should be a question whether the property of a man in his slave, in other words, whether slavery is recognized by the constitution of the United States. Why, sir it lies at the very foundation of your government; it is a basis of representation; an elemental principle of your constitution, without which that constitution would never have existed, and in conformity to which it is daily administered. The constitution declares that representatives shall be apportioned among the several States according to numbers, "which shall be determined by adding to the whole number of free persons, including those bound to service for a term of years and excluding Indiaes not taxed, three-fifths of all other persons." Now, no one doubts that the other persons all other persons." Now, no one doubts that the other persons here spoken of were the slaves of the South, who are thus made to institute a basis of representation—one of the foundations of your representative government—an elemental principle of the constitution itself. You call this a compromise, which you say you are representation tiself. You call this a compromise, which you say you are tution itself. You call this a compromise, just disposed to observe, but not to allow the principle which it afferms to influence your legislation. Well, it is a compromise, just of the constitution of the constit disposed to observe, but not to allow the principle which it as firms to influence your legislation. Well, it is a compromise, just in the same sense in which every other stipulation in the constitu-tion, in relation to which a supposed diversity of interests gave rise to conflicting opinions, which were ultimately reconciled is a compromise. In every other sense it is a positive stipulation—a mutual agreement—a sine gaz non, a condition without which the resentation according to numbers, and were answersed a repre-resentation according to numbers, and were answersed as the that the nature of their occupations would necessarily forbid the densitive of nonulation which would grow up in other States, and density of population which would grow up in other States, and therefore they elaimed the representation of three-fifths of their slaves-a political power to be exercised by them as their owners You acquiesced in the reasonableness of this claim then, and it he-You acquiesced in the reasonableness of the small than, and it became a part of our constitution, an express, well understood stipulation in the bond which unites us, and which you are bound to fulfil now, not merely literally, but in its spirit and according to its

But however this may be, whether it be a compromise which you reluctantly yielded, or a stipulation in which for the sake of

Usion you freely acquiesced, there it is, a part of the constitution which we are all bound to observe. We recognize it in the daily operations of our system-here, everywhere, where government operations of our system—nere, everywhere, where government has a function to perform. It encounters you in this chamber, in the other extreme of the capitol, in the Executive mansion, nay, if you please so to consider it, it darkens the judgment seat. Can we then be told, and told here, that slavery is not recognized by the constitution of the United States, that it depends, and depends only on the laws of the State where it exists? It is plainly written is the charter of our Union. Break that charter if you will, we will not; but do not hold us to its stipulations without fulfilling them on your part according to their spirit and intent.

Slaves are also recognized as property by the laws of the United

In the decennial apportionment of representatives among the sev eral States, conforming to the provision of the constitution to which I have just referred. Congress has included three fifths of the slaves, and in imposing direct taxes has made this recognition yet more distinctly. I refer among others to the act of 1813, 3, Pet. laws U.S., 22. The third section declares that direct taxes shall be U. S., 22. The third section declares that direct taxes shall be ald "on the value of all hands, lot of grounds, with their improvements, dwelling-houses, and slaves." The seventh section relates to the list to be required of the property "taxable as aforesaid," and includes "slaves" by name. The tenth section provides for the valuation of "slaves." The eleventh relates to the "slaves," of absentees. The twelfth provides for the assessment of the value of the the "slaves." The twenty-first section authorizes the collector to enforce the collection of the tax "by distress and sale of the goods, chattels or effects of the persons delinquent, and when these are usefuliced, the twenty-second section empowers him to sell so much of the real estate as may be sufficient to satisfy the tax. By the act of the 26th April, 1816, 2 Peters laws U. S., 302, in the sixteenth section it is provided "that in all cases in which a tax shall be charged for slaves, the real estate of the person charged therewith may be sold therefor, in the same manner as for a tax due thereon; but no slave sold for taxes shall be purchased on behalf of the United States." By a previous law the goods and on behalf of the United States." By a previous law the goods and chattels of a delinquent in which his slaves were included, were first to be proceeded against before his real estate was sold; and by this section real estate might be sold for a tax assessed on slaves, it is presumed to protect the government against the concealment of such slaves from the collector.

Thus, then, it appears that Congress proceeding to earry into

effect a clause of the constitution, has imposed a tax upon slaves conomine, and in the same manner as it has taxed other property, that it has provided for the retura, assessment, or valuation and sale of the slaves so taxed, and without doubt there are many persate of the states of taxed, and written doubt there are many persons who now hold slaves or their issue, under a title derived from the United States, by purchases at sales made by their officers under the authority of these laws, as well as by sales under judgments obtained by the United States, and yet it is said here in the Senate of the United States, that slaves are not recognized as pro-perty by the laws of the United States.

perty by the laws of the United States.

Again. Senators will probably recollect the inquiry which I took the liberty to address to the Senator from Connecticut, [Mr. Eallburn,] in the course of his argument, viz: Whether a slave embarking in the service of his master on board of a vessel in the embarking in the service of its missier on board of a vesser in see port of Charleston, and proceeding to St. Augustine would thereby become free, as soon as he passed beyond the limits of South Caro-lina, and the auswer of that Senator, that in his judgment that slave would be free. The honorable Senator is aware that Congress has passed a law expressly recognizing the relation of matter and slave, in precisely such a voyage, for he says, "as Congress have in fact passed a law to regulate the coastwise transportation of slaves, it has been supposed that during ther passage the former legal relation would continue;" and yet because the vessel is sailing under the flag of the United States, and not of the particular State in which the owner and his slave reside, the Senator gravely expresses the opinion that such a slave would become free. Without doubt he is sincere. Far he it from me to question his sincerity; but testing the entire argument of the honorable Senator by this exemplification of his legal acumen, I think I may be ator by this exemplification of his legal actioner, I limbt I may be spared the necessity of long more than exposing the fallacy of this position. The negro in question is supposed to have been a slave in South Carolina—to take a common case the body servant of his master. That master destroins of going to St. Augustine, taking his servant with him, goes before the collector of the port in company with the captain of the vessel, and there in conformity to the regulations of the act of Congress of the 2d march, 1807, 2 Peters laws U. S., 429, they make out and subscribe duplicate manifesto of this servant, specifying his name, sex, age, stature, &c., with the name and place of residence of the owner or shipper, and delivered them to the collector. &c., before whom the captain with the owner or shipper must make eath that this negro was not imported or brought into she United States, from and after the 1st day of January 1808, and that under the laws of the State be is held to service or labor. Theroupon the collector is to certify these proceedings on the manifesto, one of which he is to deliver to the captain with a permit authorizing him to proceed to the port of his destination. These are the provisions of the act of Congress in relation to vessels of forty tons or more, "sailing constwise from In relation to vessels of forty tons or more, "saiming conservase from any port of the United States to any port or within the jurisdiction of the same, having on board any negro, mulatto or person of color, for the purpose of transporting them to be sold or disposed of as slaves, or to be hold to service or labor." The act, mereover, provides for the granting of a permit to land such slaves on the arrival of the vessel at her port of destination.

Now, sir, here is a law of the United States which expressly re cognizes the slavery of this negro, which authorizes his transpor-tation from the State under whose laws he is held as a slave to another State, there to be sold or disposed of as a slave, or to be held to service or labor, which requires the evidence of the owner-ship before this permit is granted; and yet we are told that by this of transpertation thus regulated and authorized by an act of Congress, this man becomes free. I will not trespass upon the time of the Senate by a further exposure of the fallacy of such a

The Senator from New York [Mr. Dix] has adduced the obiter dicta of learned judges in support of his argument on this question, whether negrees are recognized as property by the laws of the United States. I present, therefore, not a mere obiter dictum of Judge Baldwin in the Supreme Court of the United States. In the case of Groves, et. al. vs. Slaughter, 15 Pet. R., 449, he says:

the case of Groves, et. al. vs. Slanghter, 15 Pet. R., 449, he says:
"I feel bound to cansider alars as property by the law of the States hefune the
adaption of the constitution, and from the first settlement of the colonies; that this
explication property exists independently of the constitution, which digs not create, but
explicit property exists independently of the constitution, which digs not create, but
the production controlled to the constitution applies. If was a principle of the Revolution, and
the production controlled to the constitution of independent that 'necessity or expetable to the constitution of the destruction of the destruction of the constitution of the
table to the state of the controlled the controlled the state of the controlled the

To show that this reference has more authority than that of a mere obiter dictum, I quote what the learned judge says in reference to it :

"In other times, and in another department of this government, I have expany opinion on this subject. I have done it in judgment in another place."—join R., 576, 6cc.

Now, sir, I claim to have established my position that negroes held to service and labor under the laws of a State, are recognized as property by the constitution and laws of the United States in the same sense in which every other object of man's dominion is considered as property—nay, even in a higher sense, since under that constitution and those laws they give to the owner political rights which no other species of property can impart. But if this is so—and if the territory of Oregon is the common property of the people of the United States, why should the holder of these slaves be denied the right of going with them into this common territory? In the settlement of these States our ancestors brought with them from Great Britain such of her laws as were applicable to their condition here. Is not the same thing true of emi-grants to Oregon, and with reference to the laws of the United States? In what spot within the limits of the Union, or its dependencies, does not the constitution operate, and constitute the supreme law? Is the emigrant to Oregon, beyond the pale of its protection, withdrawn from the sphere of its influence? Does he case by that emigration to be a citizen of the United States I—What binders such a citizen from going to Oregon, taking his slaves with him? We have seen that they are recognized as slaves by the constitution, beyond the limits of the State in which they live—why not within the limits of that territory? Certainly not because slavery is forbiddon there, by what the framers of this lail have been pleased to denominate the "laws" of Oregon. Inc. of the legislature, want of validity is admitted by the express adoption of them in this bill. It is the authority of Corpress, not of the legislature, institution there. We do not ask you to legislate for its allows ance, but to abstain from legislation—to leave us free to go there with our slaves under the protection of existing laws. The ase by that emigration to be a citizen of the United States ? ance, but to abstau from tegrislation—to leave us free to go there with our slaves under the protection of existing laws. The Senator from Connecticut [Mr. Baldwin] tells us that a negro in Oregon, being beyond the sphere of the laws which held him in slavery, would become free. Why would not an apprentice in in Oregon, being beyond the speece of the laws which field him in slavery, would become free. Why would not an apprentice in like manner, be discharged from his indentures? The relation of master and apprenticels protected and regulated by the laws of the State in which the contract of apprenticeship is entered into, in the same manner as that of master and slave, and the operation nt no same manner as that of master and slave, and the operation of the State laws is as limited in the one case as in the other.—
But if the Senator is satisfied of the validity of his own argument—if a negro held in slavery here, would become free by heading carried to Oregon, why pass this bill? Whence the nocessity of prohibiting by law that which is already forbidden—that held cannot legally exist now? The very fact of the insertion of such manner is the proposed of the cannot legally exist now? a provision in this bill, is a concession of the invalidity of the arument by which it is attempted to sustain it.

I have thus endeavored to show that Congress has no right to

interfere with slavery, either in the States or in the territories mineries with savery, either in the States or in the territories— that slaves are property in like manner as any other object of man's dominion—that they are recognized as such by the constitu-tion and laws of the United States—that their condition remains unchanged in every portion of the United States, or its dependencies, unless they become commorant in a State whose laws forbid avery-that whether slavery shall or shall not exist depends upon the will of the States, and of the people of the territories, to be exercised in the formation of their constitution preparatory to their admission as States into the Union—an exercise of sovereign will which Congress has no constitutional power to influence or

to embarrass by any previous legislation. But,
2. If Congress has such power, is it justly expedient, consistent with the spirit of the constitution to exercise it?

Those who advocate the exercise of this power may be divided into two classes, who act upon principles, not merely distinct, but antagonist to each other.

The first consists of statesmen, who dream of extended empire, and coldly calculate on the most approved principles of political economy, the best means of stocking it with an efficient popula-

The second is composed of gentlemen who are horror stricken at the contemplation of the evils of slavery—who denonnee an in-stitution of which they know nothing, with a confidence which is exactly proportioned to their ignorance of it—who mourn over eplamities which have no existence but in their own distempered impginations, and are therefore conscientiously opposed to the extension of slavery. A word or two in relation to each of these—remarking first that the concurrence of action between the advocates of this bill, thus impelled by considerations so variant and conflicting, leads irresistibly to the conclusion, that beyond all these, the preservation and enlargement of the preponderating influence of non-slaveholding States in the councils of the Union, is the governing motive.

ing motive. The Senator from New York. [Mr. Dix] who helongs to the first of these chasses, openly avovs his purposo— He dreams of extended empire. In imagination he sees the stars and stripes of this republic fleating over the North American continent. This is our destiny, dimly foreshadowed in the carlier discussions of the session, and now more distinctly exhibited to our view. The northern portion of this continent, in its whole extent, is to be sufjected to our sway. The honorable Senator considers it as the sacred duty of an American statesman, to acquire and preserve it as an asylum for the oppressed. In this labor of love his sympa-thies are, however, exerted only by the sufferings of the Caucasian race, and for them alone is this refuge to he reserved. of Africa who dwell among us, are not to be permitted to intrude. It is found to be a well settled principle of political economy, that in proportion as you add to the cemierts of a people, you promote the increase of their numbers. If the negroes of the United States were suffered to join in this adventure, and become the cultivators of a rich and virgin soil, under the influence of a more genial cli-mate, the increase of their comforts and consequent multiplication of their numbers would conflict with the views of the honorable Senator. He considers it a sacred duty to promote the increase of the Cancasian race, and the decrease of every other. The negroes of the United States (the argument is equally applicable to the free negro and the slave) must therefore, remain pent up within their present limits—in many of the States working lands which are worn by long continued cultivation, and which yield a scanty return for their labor—that their numbers may decrease in obedireturn for their tanon-mina their numbers may decrease in obedi-ence to this law of political economy, while the Cancasian emi-grant from foreign shores, the favored occupant of a richer soil, revelling in comfort, shall increase, multiply, and replenish these western wilds. And why should not this same principle of politieal economy be made to apply to the mongrel races which now inhabit the country which we have acquired, and are hereafter to acquire in extending our sway over the North American continent? They surely will not be fit coadjutors with the Caucasian race in the great scheme of political regeneration contemplated by the Senator from New York, and they, too, must, therefore, be subject to the operation of this law of political economy, by which the increase of their numbers will be checked.

Sir, this scheme is alike offensive to my judgment and my feelings. On the score of policy and of humanity it is equally exceptionable. I abjure with a feeling, which each successive more ment of this administration only serves to render more intense, all farther noquisition of territory. We have already more, much more than we govern well. The improvement of what we have would furnish ample scope for all our energies, for a time beyond which it is not wise to anticipate, lest in the vain pursuit of future and distant projects, we sacrifice present and actual advantages. If this question of territorial acquisition, whether for the Cancasian or any other race, could be presented simply on its own merits, an overwhelming majority of the American people would promptly reject it; but it is always accompanied by incidents which inflame the passions and bewilder the judgment. glory of our arms with some, the anxious desire for peace on almost any terms with others, reconciled us to the injustice of coercing our neighbor to remove his landmarks, and now, instead of deploring an event which has brought upon us this distracting dis-cussion, the Senator from New York recalls us to the recollection of our destiny, in order to familiarize us to the contemplation of

the boundless empire which his imagination disclases to his view.

Mr. President, in every form in which this enlargement of territory is proposed. I have resisted and will resist it, always with ritory is proposed. I have resisted and will resist it, always with the qualification which I have heretofore stated, and need not repeat. It is unoecessary. We have an extent of territory which is commensurate with all our wants, present and prospective. It is injurious. It retards the improvement of the rich heritage which we possess, by diverting our efforts and our means to the gratifi-cation of this lust of territorial acquisition. What noble, valua-ble, and durable benefits might have been secured to the countryby applying to its improvement the millions which have been ex-pended in the prosecution of the Mexican war! It is dangerous. pended in the prosecution of the bleshear was

to an extent of which our fathers never dreamed; but new this has its limit, an extent beyond which the central government will be too feeble to resist the repeated shocks to which that extension I pray you also to observe, sir, that in this pro ject of the honorable Senator, every consideration of humanity to the blacks which has been heretofore in the foreground in these assaults upon the peculiar institutions of the South, is quietly but entirely laid aside. They are to be destroyed, (the process is gradual, by checking their increase) to make room for a more efficient

lation of the Cancasian race.

The second class which I have mentioned consists of those who are horror-stricken by the contemplation of the evils of slavery -They are influenced by higher considerations than those which enter into the cold calculations of the Senator from New York. They are free soil men; the very idea of slavery is horrible to them. They cannot telerate the thought that this free government should participate in any measure which may usistain an iastitution so steeped in iniquity; only, that it should abstain from any measure which may destroy it. Now, sir, if there be any warrant for opinions like these—if these denunciations have any foundation in ruth and justice—if slavery, as it exists in the States of this Union, be what it is represented to be in some of our public receives—if the demonstrate which was uttend bare be at all conpresses-if the denunciations which are uttered here be at all conistent with the fact-if this domestic and cherished institution of the South be flagrantly unjust, violative of the principles of humanity, incompatible with every dictate of religion, with every emotion of piety, what are we, who live in the midst of it, who tolerate it, sustain it, defend it—who have received it from our fathers, and mean to transmit it to our children? What are outcasts from every principle which is dear to man in his association with his fellows, and yet more sacred in his accountability cation with his fellows, and yet more sacred in his accountability to his God? If these things he trie, then in all those qualities which ennoble man, which enable him, Deo juvanle, to fulfil his duties to God and his fellow-mea, we must shrink from a compurison with the citizens of the non-sluveholding States of this Union. Justice, humanity, the love of God and our neighbor, in all those virtues which belong to man, they are our superiors. Is there any man, the citizen of any free State, who will have the arrogance man, the chizen of any free State. Who will have the arranged to claim this superiority for them or for himself? And yet such a claim is the direct consequence of these demonstations. Sir, as the representative of a people among whom this institution exists—knowing them and honoring them, with no desire to oftend any man, but with something more than a mere desire to fulfil my du ty, and my whole duty to them, I feel bound calmly but frankly to say, and to say here, that these denunciations of slavery as it exists among them, are the result of an ignorance of the institution. its practical operation and effects, which could alone impart to them the confidence with which they are uttered. I will not humble myself or them by entering upon their vindication, but I propose an inquiry.

Is there any full-grown man who hears me, who is endowed

with the ordinary share of intellect, which God in his mercy allots to his creatures, who does not know that, on this subject of slavery. a man's opinions and feelings in relation to it, depend upon the place of his birth, his education, his association, the habits and feelings and institutions of the community, in which he lives? Does any many doubt this? Let him for a moment consider it. A man is born, educated, and continues to reside in a non-slaveholding State; he knows nothing of the institution practically-nothing from his observation—but from infancy to age, he has been accustomed to hear of its horrors. The tales of the nursery first affrighted his miant imagination, and pictures of men languishing in chains, or writhing in torture, and of a tyrant master plying the lash which infliets it, which he finds in his school books, rivet upen his young mind the impression which they were calculated to make. Can rou wonder that such a man grows up with an innate, irrepressible horror of an institution which is productive of so much misery? And when, having attained full age, he mingles with the elders of the land, and finds that there are also political considerations to strengthen his opposition to it, that the preponderance of his party at home, may depend upon the activity of that opposition, are you surprised that he comes here prepared to denounce this institution with an ardor which is proportioned to the value of the stake for which he plays, and a confidence which is the exact measure of his ignorance of its practical operation and effect?

Another man is born, educated, and resides in a State where avery exists. The institution is familiarized to him in the carslavery exists. last moments of his life. It is sustained by the parasite whom he reverse and loves. In their mild and gentle rule he sees nothing of the horrors, in the cherdle and merry countanances of the slaves he beholds none of the sufferings, which are elsewhere said to attend it. The nurse who has wateled over his infarey, on whose bosom he has reposed, whose breast has been to him a foun-tain of life, is a slave—his lirst playmate—the companion of his boyish sports—the sharer of his childish revellings—is a slave. In his earliest wanderings from the paternal roof, an aged domestic the family, his companion, protector, and guide, to whom he looks with affection and respect, is a slave. They who have watched over him in his hour of sickness, whom he has seen ministering to his aged parents with affectionate assiduity on their death-beds, and mourning their departure with a sorrow which would not be conforted, these, too, are slaves. Can you woulder that such a man, with a consciousness that he is not a tyrant, with the conviction that his slaves are cheerful and contented, sees in this institution none of these horrors which affright the imagi-

nations of others

Which of these two men has formed the most correct estimate of this institution? The one knows nothing of it personally; his judgment has been formed on the representations of others, whose means of information were as imperfect as his own. The other has grown up with it—witnessed its operation and effects—daily observing them from infancy to manhood. Let those who hear

Take another test. The citizen of a non-slaveholding State, who has grown up with a cherished horror of slavery, and has found, on arriving at manhood, a superadded motive for opposition to it, as a political institution, is tempted by the love of adventure or by whatever cause, to migrate to a slave State. The institution, in its practical operation, is now before him, and he has thus so the property of the conference of the property of the prope honest and humane, often distinguished for their piety and for exercise of charity in its best sense. He becomes reconciled to the institution, and very soon is himself a slaveholder. A man brought up in a slave State, sometimes, though the instances are more rare, removes to a non-slaveholding State. He does not change his opinions, but listens with scern or with contempt to denunciations of an institution which he feels to be misrepresented

only because it is misurderstood.

The first will change his opinion—almost universally does so The first will enlarge his opinion—almost universally does so. The second rarely, if ever. A Senator near me speaks of instances of such change as within his knowledge. It may be so. I will not doubt it; but they are sufficiently rare to form exceptions, which only prove the role. The suggestion of the Senator has which only prove the rate. The suggestion of the centur has herought to my recollection instances, not of persons horn in a slave-holding State, but who had removed there, and become slaveholders, who, returning to the States from whence they came, underors, who, returning to the states from whence they came, anter-went a second change of opinion, and denounced slavery as a mor-tal sin. My recollection also furnishes me with the fact that they had first qualified themselves for this second migration, by selling their slaves, and pocketing the proceeds of the sale. a lact, which every man, whose opportunities of observation have enabled him to speak to it, will affirm, that it is precisely those persons we have removed from free States and become slaveholders, who are most severe in their exactions from their slaves. It is perfectly natural that it should be so. They know these people only as laborers for whom they have paid a price, and depeople inly as abovers for what they have paid a price, and demand from them the labor which they have been accustomed elsewhere to see performed. They have not, it is impossible that they should have, towards them the same feelings of kindness and forbearance as those have who have been born and brought. up in the midst of them—who have played with them, and labored with them—who have laughed with them, and wept with them— and who, in hours of sickness and sorrow, have ministered to, and been ministered to, by them.

I trust, sir, the institutions of the South stand vindicated from

I trust, sir, the institutions of the South stand "Inducated from the imputations which have been east upon them—that however our northern brethren may be disposed to question their policy, they will agree that they are not so steeped in iniquity as to exclude those who tolerate them from their right to parricipate equally in the enjoyment of that which is the common property of the people of the United States. And now I ask, can this exclusion be within the spirit of the constitution?

Mr. President, the Senate has been told, and unfounded as it is in fact, the declaration will be reiterated, that the South has ori-ginated this discussion, that they are agitating this distracting question. I say simply and frankly, the fact is not so. The disquestion. I say simply and transity, the fact is not so. The discussion is forced upon a by our norther brethren. If they had contented themselves with organizing a government for the territory of Organ, leaving the question of slavery untouched, this discussion would never have arisen. Why, sir, look at the practical operation of the 12th execution of this will. cussion would never have arisen. Why, operation of the 12th section of this bill. It proposes by an act of legislation, doubtful at least, in relation to the question of constitutional power, to exclude the citizens of one half of the States, from all participation in a territory which is the common property of all. You say that slavery shall not exist in the territory of Ore-gou—that southern men shall not be permitted to go there with gon-that southern men smut not or primitize to go these was their slaves. We do not asky that savery shall or may exist in that territory, that we may be premitted to go there with them. We asky on simply to withdraw your prohibition, to say nothing, and then there can be no discussion. You tell us that slavery exists only by force of State laws, that the moment we pass the boundary of the State they are free, that Oregon is a free pass the holding of the state they are free, that origin is a free they will become free. Then why legislate to forbid slavery there! If you are right, the thing is already done to your bands. Prove the sincerity of the thing is already done to your hands. Frove the succerty of your belief by withholding the probabilists, and leave us to test the correctness of our epinion, by carrying our slaves there in the absence of such prohibition. I on will not do this; you insist upon the constituent by which slaver just torbidden. By so insisting, do the onactment by which slaver just not prohibited for the constituent of the proposed proposed in the constituent of the prohibit of the prohibit

You admit the right of the people of the territory when they come to form a State constitution, to determine the question whether slavery shall or shall not exist there. Now look at the pracoperation of the course which you propose, upon the exercise You forbid slavery so long as Oregon remains a territory; no slaveholder can go there with his property, and of course will not go. When the question comes to be settled, it is then to CALL STREET, STREET, STREET, ST.

be decided exclusively by citizens from the free States. Who does not foresee how it will be decided? and who does not as plainly see that this decision will be produced by your previous legislation? You cannot but be aware how much this question at this moment agitates the Union. You know that handreds of demagogues mounted on this political holby, are distracting the American people. The South is comparatively tranquit but when you come the state of the

tion in it.

One more consideration: the country is separated into two great political parties, who are divided on principles which they respectively believe to be important to the best interests of the country. I affirm the sincerity of any own convictions, and accord to others what I claim for myself. The principles which we respectively maintain, concern the great interests of the auton; but every thing must yield to the excitement which is produced by this anitional background of the produced by the p into confusion

into coafusion.

To my political friends—to those with whom I have voted in resisting the acquisition of territory, I say, adhere to the principle which governed you then. You sought to exclude this question from our national councils by resistance to territorial acquisition. In this you have failed. What remains but to refuse all legislative interference with a question so pregnant with danger to the integrity of the Union? I address myself also in a perfectly respectful spirit to gentlemen on the other side of the chamber—to spectrul spirit to genuemen ou the other side of the enamer—to my political opponents. To them I appeal to adhere to those principles of policy which they have recently and publicly proclaimed. In the resolutions of the democratic convention at Baltimore, I find it solemnly resolved as a cardinal principle of demo-

eratic faith, "That Congress has no power, under the constitution, to interfere with, or control the dumestic institutions of the several States."

And agaia :

"That all efforts of the abolitionists, or others, made to induce Congress to interfere adds quantitum of sidarrey, or to take incipionist style in review in therein, are calculated in a sidar an invertible tendency to dimmiss the thoughout of the people, and columper the permanency of the Union, and cogist not to be countenanced by any friend of our political institution."

laddress myself to gentlemen, some of whom participated in the deliberations of that convention, and united in the adismance of these resolutions—all of whom are "frends to our political institutions"—I appeal to them to say, if this bill which inhibits slavery in one of the territories of this Union is consistent with that resolution. I ask them if it is not an "interference with the question of slavery"—if it is not at least an "inception type in relation thereto," and then I inquire if as "friends to our political mixitutions" they will lend themselves to a measure which they themselves have recently and publicly declared to be "calculated to lead to the most altarning and daugerous consequences"—to "bave an mevitable tendency to diminish the happiness of the people and ecdanger the permanency of the Union." However we might of democratic faith, and pray them to adhere to it. With the solitons which belows the solitons to these of a common faith, I warm. ciple of democratic faith, and pray them to adhere to it. With the privilege which belongs to those of a common faith, I warn them against an interference with the question of slavery, by taking even an incipient step in relation to it.
One other question, and I have done. You tell us that you will

not interfere with slavery in the States-that you will respect what you denominate the compromises of the constitution, and so long as it is kept within those limits we may enjoy it, or softer under it as we can—that beyond these it cannot pass—especially that at cannot enter into the common territory of the Umon, all which was intend hereafter to appropriate exclusively to yourselves.— You tell us that slavery is an outrage upon human rights—a vio lation of the laws of God—a leprosy which must be excluded from the camp—and that unless we queetly submit to these terms, the Union is not worth preserving. Now, I propose to you a question, and I pray you to answer me. As men, as honorable men, do you believe that this is the spirit in which the constitution was formed? If in the convention which framed it, your fathers had addressed this language to ours, do you believe that this this longous to ours, do you believe that this men of the South would have indignantly rejected a proposal so degrading to South would have indignantly rejected a proposal so degrading to would ever have existent. Do you not betteve that the men of the South would have indignantly rejected a proposal so degrading to them as men, and as fectored. If they had tamely submitted to so arrogant a demand, would your parior stress have deemed them it associates for freemen? Would they not rather have unfied their political destinities with the slave, than with his master—with the political destinities with the slave, than with his master—with the political destinities with the slave, than with his master—with the Arrogan to the slave of the slave of the slave of the Arrogan than the slave of the slave of the Arrogan than the slave of the Arrogan than the Arrogan the Arrogan the Arrogan than the Arrogan the against their equals?

Mr. UNDERWOOD.—I beg leave to read to the Senate, and ask that it may be printed, an amendment which I contemplate offering to the amendment proposed by by the Senator from Indiana. And while I am up allow me to state what I conceive to be some difficulties growing out, of the amendment proposed by the Senator from Indiana, which my amendment is designed to observe the contemporary of the senator from Indiana, which my amendment is designed to observe the senator of the senator from Indiana, which my amendment is designed to observe the senator of the sen

[Mr. UNDERWOOD read the amendment of the Senator from Indiana.]

Now, sir, I wish to bring to the consideration of the Senator from Indiana, and the Senate, this state of the case. Suppose I emigrate from Kentucky with my slaves, and settle south of latiemigrate from Kentucky with my slaves, and settle south of factioned 36° 30°, what is any condition in reference to the property thus emigrating and settling south of that line? The amendment of the Senator from Indiana is entirely slient upon this state of the case. We have been informed by gentlemen on this floor, that the institutions and laws of the newly acquired territories are not changed by our assuming jurisdiction over them; and we have also been told that if we take slaves into a country, the jurisdiction of which we have newly acquired; and the laws of which are unchanged, those slaves, upon being taken there, immediately become free. If this doctrine be correct, the effect of my honorable friend's amendment is use this. I twill be a positive declaration that slavery shall never exist in any of the territories thus acquired aorth of 36° 30′. Now, my object is, if we are to compromise and settle this question, to do it so plainly and holdly, by meeting the question in all its aspects, that for all time to come, there shall be no difficulty in regard to it. And hence I propose there shall be because it regard to be. And nemes a propose to offer an additional provise, that enigrants from any State or territory of the United States going into the territory of New Mexico or California, may carry with them any description of property, and that they shall be protected in the use and enjoyment of such property during the existence of the territorial content of the United States in those territories. Should they become a state with the property during the chief of the content of the California of the Califor come States, this description of property will be a supert of Course to the State laws. But I whist to obtain a pledge, while the general teman is exacting from us that north of 36° 50° there shall be nothing like slavery—that south of that line, when any property that is recognized by State laws, shall be removed into any newly acquired territory, it shall be protected.

The amendment was read and ordered to be printed.

On motion by Mr. PHELPS, it was

Ordered, That the further consideration of the hill be postponed

EXECUTIVE SESSION.

After the consideration of Executive business.

The Senate adjourned,

# THURSDAY, JUNE 29, 1848.

#### PETITION

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, presented a memorial of certain citizens of Boston, praying the survey of a ronte, and the construction of a railroad between the cities of New York and Philadelphia, to relieve the community from an oppressive monopoly; which was referred to the Committee on Roads and Canals.

#### CHURCH PROPERTY IN FLORIDA

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the Committee on Private Land Claims, to whom was referred the report of the Solicitor of the Treasury on the claim of the Rev. R. Madeon, submitted a report accompanied by a resolution authorizing the submission of certain claims to arbitration.

The resolution was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

#### VIRGINIA MILITARY LAND WARRANTS.

Mr. CORWIN, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives further to extend the time for locating Virginia military land warrants and returning surveys thereon to the General Land Office, reported the same without amendment; and asked for its immediate conside-

The Senate proceeded to consider the said bill, as in Committee of the Whole, and, no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate : and

On motion by Mr. PHELPS, it was

Ordered, That the further consideration of the bill be postponed until to-morrow.

## THE BOUNDARY OF TEXAS.

Mr. BUTLER, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives giving the consent of the government of the United States to the State of Texas, to extend her eastern houndary so as to include within her limits one-half of Subine pass, Sabine lake, and Sabine river, as far north as the 32d degree of north latitude, reported it without amendment, and asked for its immediate consideration.

The Senate proceeded to consider said bill, as in Committee of the Whole, and, no amendment being made, the bill was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That this bill pass to a third reading.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved, That this bill pass.

Ordered. That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

## NAVAL APPROPRIATION BILL.

Mr. ATHERTON, from the Committee on Finance, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives making appropriations for the naval service, for the year ending the 30th of June, 1849, reported it with amendments.

Mr. DOWNS, from the Committee on Indian Affairs, to whom was referred the resolution for the relief of Richard Fields, reported it without amendment.

The Senate proceeded to consider the said resolution, as in Committee of the Whole, and, no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said resolution was read a third time.

R - deed. That this resolution pase, and that the title thereof he as aforesaid. Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this resolution.

# LIMITS AND JURISDICTION OF LOUISIANA.

# On motion by Mr. BUTLER, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on the Judiciary be discharged from the further consideration of the resolution of the legislature of Louisiana, presented the 28th of April, on the subject of the limits and jurisdiction of that State.

# PORT OF ENTRY AT BRAZOS, TEXAS,

Mr. RUSK, agreeably to notice, asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to establish a port of entry at the Brazes de Santa

Iago, in the State of Texas; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Commerce.

# PORT OF ENTRY AT BANGOR, MAINE.

Mr. HAMLIN, agreeably to notice, asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to make Bangor a port of entry for ships or vessels, coming from and beyond the Cape of Good Hopo; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Commerce.

#### CHICAGO CONVENTION.

The following motion was submitted by Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, and considered by unanimous consent.

Ordered, That ten thousand copies of the memorial of the convention at Chicago he printed for the use of the Senate.

Mr. BRIGHT moved that the further consideration of the motion be postponed until to-morrow; and on this question he de-manded the yeas and nays, which were ordered, and it was determined in the affirmative, as follows:

YEAS—Mesra, Allen, Atchison, Atherton, Borland, Bridbory, Breese, Bright, Burker, Davis, of Missispip, Beckinson, Dr. Bodge, Dowas, Fringenl, Foote, Fales, NAY—Messes, Badger, Bell, Charte, Claron, Governi, Davis, of Masselmetts, Greese, Houston, Johnson, of Maryland, Johnson, of Louniann, Phelps, Rusk, Spriace, Underwood, Uphan, Walker—16.

#### MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT.

The following message was received from the President of the United States by Mr. WALKER, his Secretary :

Mr. President: The President of the United States approved instant, the following enrolled bills, and enrolled joint resolution

An act respecting certain surveys in the State of Florida,

An act to authorize the settlement of the accounts of Joseph Nourse, deceased, A resolution to explain an act passed 24th June, 1848, entitled "An act for the re-hef of William B. Slaughter, late Secretary or the territory of Wisconsia."

# MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. CAMPBELL, their Clerk :

Mr. President: The House of Representatives have passed the following bills, in thich they request the concurrence of the Senate:

An act to change the times for holding the district courts of the United States in the western district of Virginia, and for other purposes. An act to incorporate the Washington Gas Light Company.

The Speaker of the House of Representatives having signed two enrolled bills and and an enrolled resolution, I amdirected to bring them to the Senste for the agenture of their President. The President of the United States approved and signed the 26th instant the fol-

An act making appropriations for the payment of revolutionary and other pensions of the United States, for the year ending the 30th June, 1849. An act to prevent the importation of adulterated and spurious drugs and medi-

An act to regulate the exchange of certain documents, and other publications of

An act for the relief of the legal representatives of James Brown, deceased, An act for the relief of Silas Waterman.

An act giving further time for satisfying claims for bonnty lands, and for other

An act for the relief of the legal representatives John Suynder.

An act for the relief of Phineas Capen, administrator of John Cax, deceased, of

# SIGNING OF BILLS AND RESOLUTION.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore signed the following enrolled bills and enrolled joint resolution:

An act for the relief of Dr. Adolphus Wishzenus.

An act for the rehef of the bona fide settlers under the acts for the armed occupation and settlement of a part of the Territory of Florida.

Resolution relative to evidence in applications for pensions.

# OREGON BILL.

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill to establish the territorial government of Ore-

Mr PHELPS .- Mr. President : It was not my purpose when this debate commenced, to take any part in the discussion of a sub-ject which I had studiously chosen to avoid, as a subject of an irri-tating, troublesome, and perplexing character. But, sir, I have been induced to change my purposo, in consequence of the course which has been taken in the debate. Doctrines have been advanced, which, in my judgment, are at war with the constitution. Positions have been taken which I regard as wholly untenable; and claims have been set up in relation to the slave-holding power which I deem it my imperious duty to resist. It is a very important of the Union, can arreer, existing as it does in certain content of the Union, can arreer, existing as it does in certain content of the Union, can arreer, existing as it does in certain content of the Union, can arreer, existing as it does in certain content of the Union, can arreer, existing as the does not be union of the Union, can arreer, existing as a does not certain distance of the power of Congress included as electronic of the Union, can arreer, existing as a does not be dead in the Union of local legislation. Sir, this pretension is, in my judgment, wholly in dead missible. I had supposed that a proposition so inconsistent with what has heretofore taken place, would not have been advanced. We have beard a good deal of the Missouri compromise, if I understand it, fixed upon the line of north latitude 36° 30°, as the ince of demarkation between what are called the free and the slave States. I lind supposed, that by virtue of, that compromise, the territory of the United States north of that line was to be forever exempted from the institution of slavery. But, sir, we are now told, whatever may have been the purport of the compromise, that we possess not the power to exclude slavery from any portion of the territory of the United States. If this position away portion of the territory of the United States in the position and potential to the compromise, that we possess and the power to excelled slavery from any portion of the territory of the United States. If this position had, the position of the United States and the same position of the Compromise of the state of the state of the state of the compromise of the state of the

permitted to examine the ground on which this homited.

In the outset, I doem it interly immaterial whether the power of Congress over the territories of the Union is to be derived from the express grant of power in the constitution, to make rules and regulations for the government of the territories; or, whether it he derived from the power which is incident to this government, because essential to all governments, of acquiring territory by conquest or parchase, which carries with it the right to govern. It has been argued that the express provision of the constitution extends the legislative power of Congress over these territories no further than the subject of property is concerned; and that legislation over persons is not included. It is, however, conceded on the other hand, that it is incidental to this government to acquire territory, and if the power to acquire territory and if the power to acquire territory to admitted, the power to govern its of course involved. But, sir, all this discussion is, in my much logical control of the constitution. It was not denied or prohibited by the constitution itself when adopted. It has been exercised from the first existence of the government down to the exercised from the first existence of the government down to the exercised from the first existence of the government down to the exercised from the first existence of the government down to the might be a subject to the power has not been recognized in the most of the business of the power has not been recognized in the most of this bill as to that it is sould the, proceeds upon the first the hill as to the power and every discussion, every question that is raised in the lace of the power has the process the power and every discussion, every question that is raised in the face of the power is a constitution.

same assumption.

Sir, my first position is, that let the power be derived from what source it may, it is a general, an exclusive, and therefore unlimited power. If you attribute the power to the right of acquisition—to the war-making power—to the capacity of the government to acquire territory, its jurisdiction over it is necessarily unlimited, because no other sovereignty can exist. By the very act of cesson which made this territory the property of the United States, son which made this territory the property of the United States, at once extinct. The power of Congress over these territories is the only power that can thereafter exist. Well, sir, if it he true that this legislative power over the territory is unqualified and unlimited, and if Congress possess this general legislative power, the only remaining question is, whether this institution is a legitimate subject to the regulated by the local legislature, it is upon this ground, viz: that the subject falls within the exclusive propers of the power of Congress to interfere with the institution within the States. It is upon this ground, exist constitution, that the subject falls within the exclusive propers of the power of Congress from their ground, existing the power of the

I admit that the legislative power of Congress is limited in some respects; I admit that Congress can grant no patent of nobility in these territories any more than in the States; I admit that the restrictions in the constitution upon the legislation of Congress are to be observed; but, sir, I sak gentlemen on the other side to point out the restriction in the constitution which prohibits us from legislating upon this subject. Sir, if the power is not general, if it is not tulnimited, and exclusive, if any restrictions are imposed upon

it by the constitution of the United States, let those restrictions be pointed out. Let gentlemen refer us to the article, the section, the clause in the constitution of the United States which interiers in this particular with the general legislative power of Congress. No gentleman lass referred us to any portion of that instrument which involves such a doctrine; and I think I may say with confidence, that no gentleman will make the attempt.

But, sir, from what source is this restriction attempted to be derived? Is if from the nature of the subject! Various analogies have been stated to illustrate the position that there is inherent in the subject itself something which involves a restriction upon our action. The Senator from South Carolina asserted that the power was vested in Googress in trust; and he argued from analogy that the power of Congress as trustee was restricted. Sir, the great mistake is, that things are treated as identical which are in their very nature different. I admit that all the powers of government are held in trust for the benefit of the people, but I do not admit that this trust has any analogy to an ordinary legal trust. The very ciencus of legislative discretion which enters into every grant of political power puts an end at once to the analogy. No two hings are wider apart than political power vested in a legislative body, to be exercised in legislative discretion, and a mere legal power vested in a trustee at law, which involves no discretion, whilst the other involves the highest discretionary power known to our system of government. An argument drawn from an analogy of this sort would be donying to the legislative a discretion in this matter, because a similar discretion is not attached to a le-

gal trustee. Equally unfortunate was the Senator's analogy, which he endeavored to derive from a comparison of the common property of the people of these States in their territories to an ordinary partnership. Had the Senator taken the case of a corporation acting by the will of the majority, he would have found a closer analogy. The interest of the people of these States in the sease of the

Only, the argument of the hoserable Senator from South Carolina Mr. CALAROWS and the honorable Senator from Georgia [Mr. CALAROWS] and the honorable Senator from Georgia [Mr. CALAROWS] is used to the Carolina for the Carolina f

Sir, in every aspect of this argument, and in all its parts, it is fallacious. So far as it is hall upon the supposed inequality between those who are assumed to have equal rights, I conless it is beyond my comprehension. Whatever may be the determination of Congress, whether any

Whatever may be the determination of Congress, whether any restriction is imposed in relation to slavery, or whether it is admitted freely and without restriction every citizen of the United States stands upon the same footing. If it be admitted, he may cither take his slaves there or not, as he pleases. Whatever may be the decision of Congress in regard to this matter, all stand upon the same footing—the rule is uniform. If slaves are admitted, are they not admitted for the benefit of all? And if they are excluded, are they not excluded for the benefit of all?

Although I am not disposed to question the general trath of the proposition upon which the argument rests, to wit, that every citizen has a right to emigrate to the territories with his property, I am disposed to deny its application. And in order to determine how far it is applicable to the question now before us, it becomes necessary to inquire, what is meant by property in slaves. It is property lounded upon a domestic relation, and may be compared to the interest which a parent has in the services of his child; and it hears a still closer resemblance to the relation of master and servant; for, let me remark, the constitution of the United States denominates slaves not as property, but as "persons held to service," which is in my judgment the proper designation. The property which a master has in the services of his slave, if voc choose to adopt that language, is the property which the master has in his apprentice. This property, then, is dependent upon a domestin his apprentice. This property, then, is dependent upon a domesting the state of norm Georgia asked with a good deal of emphasis, whether if a master from a State in the Union where apprentices his recognized, takes his apprentice to a part of the Union where it is not recognized, he will loose the service of his apprentice. I answer

cal jurisdiction only, and the local power being as applicable to the relations of master and servant as it is to any other question that can arise in connexion with mere municipal regulations. But there is another principle which stands in the way of the ar-gument of the Senator from Georgia. The Senator must be aware that when he removes with his property into a new juris dwarfe that when he removes with insproperty into a new junc-diction, he holds that property subject to the local legislation of such new jurisdiction. Sir, what is the principle which ob-tains throughout the civilized world upon this very subject? Is it not the common judgment of the whole civilized world, that a slave taken into a jurisdiction where slavery is not recognized hecomes ipso facto free? Is not this the doctrine of every civilized comes upso facto tree! Is not this the doctrine of every evulized nation upon earth! and is it not the doctrine that is recognized by every State in the Union? This property is what? Is it that species of property which is recognized everywhere! No, sir. And here is another difficulty in the argument of honorable gentlemen. When they tell me that citizens of every State have a right to emigrate to the territories of the United States and hold their property there, I answer that you may carry such property as is recog-nized as such everywhere by the common consent of nations and the commercial world, but you cannot carry with you the peculiar regulations of your own country, which create a property that is unknown elsewhere. The Senator from Georgia saw fit to denominate this distinction as a chimera of the imagination. nominate this distinction as a chimera of the imagination for its, it has found its way into the magination and into the jurispundence, and commended itself to the judgment of every eviluxed people. If there he no distinction between this species of property and then species of property which is recognized everywhere, how happens it that of property which is recognized everywhere, how the property is the property of the property is the property in the property in the property is the property in the property in the property is the property in the property in the property is the property in the property in the property is the property in the property in the property is the property in the property in the property is the property in the property in the property is the property in the property in the property is the property in the property in the property is the property in the property in the property in the property is the property in the property in the property in the property is the property in the property in the property in the property is the property in the property in the property in the property is the property in the property in the property in the property is the property in the property in the property in the property is the property in the property in the property in the property is the property in the property in the property in the property is the property in the proper absolutely as the property of their own people, but if you take those persons who are held in servitude, they recognize no such property, and under that jurisdiction they necessarily become free. ou take your cotton or merchandize of any description to Eng If you take your cotton or merchandize of any description to Eng-land, your right to it is recognized and protected by the law of nations; any unlawful interference with that properly becomes a subject of national discussion, and if unatoned for, a legitimate cause of war. Is it so with this species of property? Will Great Britain admit your right to follow your fugitive slaves there, or will you make it a cause of war II she does not? The Senator from Georgia himself admitted that if a master take his slave into a free State voluntarily, it is a virtual manumission. If you take property then to Oregon, you hold it there subject to the local laws; and if you take persons there whom you call property and they do not, your title is forfeited. This is admitted to be a prin-ciple of law by gentlemen of the slaveholding States. I am a little surprised that the Senator from Georgia should have seen fit to bestow upon this distinction thus recognized in the jurisprudence of the United States, and of every other country, the cpithet of a chimera of the imagination.

chimera of the imagination.

But sir, what is this property? It is a species of property which is recognized an certain localities only. If it be of that character which is recognized and protected as property by one local legislature, and not protected as protected by another, is it. or is it not a proper subject for local arrangement? Its very basis is local; it stands upon that principle, and that alone; and yet we are told that although this is the basis upon which this species of property rests, yet a fair all, Congress passessing the exclusive power of legislation does not ston become as no control over it. But, the pretension does not stop here. It is said that the citizens of the slaveholding States have a right to earry their institutions into the territories of the United States, and that you have no power to the territories of the United States and that you have no pease to prevent it. When this pretension is set up, the question becomes this, not whether citizens of the United States have a right to emigrate to this territory with their property, but whother they have a right to carry with them their peculiar domestic and municipal regulations. and substitute them for the laws which you choose to establish. Such a doctrine would place the slaveholding power above the legislating power. If they have a right to claim exemption within your territories from the operation of your laws, they may claim a right to follow your arrapes atom or you career of company suited plant to follow your arrapes atom or you care or company suited plant the standard of slavery you every inch of the wide domain which your power and your ambition combined may bring under your dominion. Instead of extending the area of freedom would carry abroad the standard of slavery; while the slavehishing power, thus stalking in the wake of your victorious arrapes and appropriating to itself your successive nequisitions, would render itself co-extensive and coeval with the boasted "destinies" of this country. Sir, I solemnly protest against the pretension which tramples legislative power under foot-which sets all human au-Sir, I solemnly protest against the pretension which thority at defiance, and arrogates to itself the right to extend its peculiar institutions wherever it chooses to plant its footsteps.-Sir, before I admit this doctrine, objectionable as it is to me, and, the Scuntor will permit me to add, offensive as it is to the people of a great portion of this Union, I wish to see the foundation upon which this pretension rests; I desire to see the clause in the stitution which ties your hands and mine. It is insisted that the constitution recognizes this species of property. How does it re eggnize it? In the first place it recognizes slaves as persons held to servitude, as persons entitled to representation in these halls. They are at this moment represented here as a portion of the poriety are at this moment represented nere as a portion of the po-pulation of this Union, and yet we are told they are property— mere merchandize. If these people are persons and not property, upon what principle is it contended, that when they emigrate to your territories they do not go there subject to the laws which prevail there? The falley of the argument consists in the demonianion that is given to thom, in supposing them property such as is recognized by the common judgment of the civilized world. It consists in putting them upon a footing which they ought not to occupy, and which no logic can make them occupy. The constitution recognizes the institution so far as this it authorizes the recapture of slaves, or, to use the language of the constitution, "prosons held to service." It is a mere recognizin on the rights of the master in the State where he resides, and upon whose municipal regulations has rights rest. But how far does this provision go in recognizing the right of slavery? It goes no farther than 1 have mentions—the base of the rights of the master in the State where he resides, and upon whose minute a free State, what becomes of his right? Why, as the Senator from Georgia himself observes, it is a volontary manumission. I would like to ask the honorable Senator where he finds the provision which authorizes the extension of this institution by its own voluntary act, not only without the sanction, but in defance of local legislation? This right of recapture is one thing, and the right to transport slaves from one State or territory to another and plant the institution there, is another thing; and while the honorable Senator claims this right of recapture is one thing, and the right to transport slaves from one State or territory to another and plant the institution there, is another thing; and while the honorable Senator claims this right of recapture is one thing, and the right to channel senator comes. He claims the right to plant the seeds of this institution in Oregon. Yes, sir, to plant them every where; and will eyou are extending the "area of freedom," be its ownering that "area of freedom" with a cloud of slavery. Trepeat the belond? In what provision can you find the basis from which and an interface on the draw of

Mr. BUTLER.—I would ask the honorable Senator whether he supposes that the mere passing from a slaveholding State, with slaves, animo revertendi, would render them free?

Mr. PHELPS.—I hold that the master who takes his slaves into a free jurisdiction, whether it he arimo reverteds of or not, emancipates them; and 1 hold that this emancipation does not depend upon the will of the master, but rests upon the principle of a change of jurisdiction. The master, by placing himself and his since in any invisidencies, subjects himself, his slave, and the relation between them, to the local laws of that jurisdiction. The same result would follow if the slave scapes. In the absence of the constitutional provision for recapture, the fugitive slave arring upon the solid of the facility of the slave scapes. Women's free, and the state of the constitutional provision for recapture, the fugitive slave arring upon the solid of the facility of the slave scapes. Women's free gauge of the celebrated I risk or orter, with which all are familiar, to establish the position that the moment a slave sets foot upon the soil of Eggland the shackles fall.

We have proof of this in a recent transaction. The slaves of the Creele who mutinied, overpowered their masters and took refuge in New Providence, were not surrendered by the British government, but your application was refused. The same thing occurred in the case of the rhaves of the Amistad. We refused to regard them as property and dechared them free. This doctrine, I believe, has been sanothing action on the subject. It has been recognized by your own Supreme Court. Sir, I am asked if I approve it his doctrine in the shell by the court. I across well without hesistic them to the subject which the sheen are thing the subject without hesisten the shell by the court. I across well will be share power above all the institutions of this government. If the converse of this doctrine where to obtain, and the property of the master in his slave were to be recognized every where, it would be in the piece of this doctrine work; by a simple transfer of what he calls property, to establish the institution in any of the States, in disregard of its laws and in defiance of the wishes and power of the people. Such a result is too monstrous to be claimed on the one hand, or admitted on the other. The provision of the constitution for the reclamation of ingitives, so often ceted as importing a constitutional recognition of a shear which is since the such as the such

and find no exceeding the provision of the provision of fingitives. I am perfectly content that these shaves should be rechimed. I cheerfully concede to the slaves bound be rechimed. I cheerfully concede to the slaves bound in maintaining their rights as they are recognized by law and founded in the compromises of the constitution. But I am unwilling that they should impose this institution mon people by whom it is not desired. As I have already remarked, every person that chooses to emigrate to the territory of Oregon can go there with such can claim no immunity or exemption from the jurisdiction under which he chooses to live. All he can ask is to stand upon the same footing as his fellow-citizens. But, says the Senator from Georgia, we shall not stand on an equality, because we cannot take our slaves there. But, cannot the territory be occupied, possessed and priety of this probibition of slavery. Be it constitutionally and pro-priety of this probibition of slavery. Be it constitutional or not, when gentlemen tell me they cannot enjoy their rights without the aid of a slave population, I ask them to look at the territory to which the ordinance of '87 applies, and then tell me whether this Ohio—that mighty State which is but a lew years old—and hehold the results of this prohibition of slavery. That as a civilized com-munity is hardly as old as my recollection—for 1 recollect very well when the first considerable emigration to Ohio took place. went when he last some steader emigration to . Ohlo (olos) place. They were enabled to occupy that territory and to lay the solid foundations of the great and growing prosperity of that State without the aid of a shave population. Will it be pretended that the right of that people were impugned or violated by excluding this matitudin? Did they not live there and flourish without it? Can the people of the South then not live in Oregon without their slaves? people of the South then not live in Oregon without their slaves? Can they not be as successful without them as the people of the North have been in planting their settlements in the West? Is slavery really necessary to the progress of this people?

Let gentlemen compare the present condition of that portion of

our Union covered by the ordinance of 1787, with the older States where slavery exists, and they will find the answer. The argument that the exclusion of slavery will exclude the people of the slaveholding States from the benefit of the territory assumes that the ter-ritory enmot he peopled, outlivated, occupied and enjoyed without the aid of slave labor. If this is not made out the argu-ment fails. If the arts and occupience of civiled his the aid of slave labor. It this is not made out the again-ment fails. If the arts and occupations of evilyized life can be established then, and the great ends of the social sys-tem and of evil government attained, without the institution of slavery, then the institution is a mode of enjoyment merely, no essential to the rights of the proprietors, and therefore a legit-mate subject of regulation by the majority—in other words, of

You cannot, of course, deny to any of the common proprietors You cannot, or course, deay to any of so common property, the certainty you can regulate the mode in which they can enjoy it. You can make all all these regulations which are necessary for the common benefit. and adopt such legislation as may best promote the prosperity and happiness of the whole. If, in the exercise of your indement, a particular institution stands in the way of the accomplishment of this great object of the common good, you can prohibit it. This question of slavery in the territories is reduced, then, simply to question of slavery in the territories is reduced, thea, simply to the mere mode of enjoyment of that which is the property of all. The question of slavery in the territories thus becomes a legiti-mate subject of legislative action. It is a mere question of expe-diency—of sound legislative discretion. I oppose these doctrines to the proposition that we possess no power to arrest the progress of this evil—that we cannot prevent the seed from being planted -that the only power on earth that can interfere is that of the people of the territories when they come to form a State constitution. Sir, prevention is altogether better than cure. It is easier, as the melancholy experience of the country illustrates, to prevent the introduction of this system than to eradicate it when once es

The Senator from Georgia desires that before the formation of these State constitutions, the slaveholding interest shall be repre these state constitutions, the savenoining interest state terper-sented there. Sir, I desire no such thing. The extreme difficulty and danger, perhaps I might say the impossibility of eradicating the institution when once planted, is an insuperable objection.— This consideration of the hazard, the peril of so radical a change In connection of a meaning the meaning the period of a meaning in the domestic and an entire in the domestic arrangements of any people, constitutes the great ballwark sixtuit of slavery at this moment—the only plank upon which will be a substitution as great plank and the institution is great prior to the property of the state of the sixtuit of the state of the stat whole system sinks under the pressure of the moral sentiment, the rinciples and the policy of the age in which we live. Sir, I would not subject the people of the territories to such impe-

rious necessity. If they are to be the ultimate judges of this ma ter, leave them an election. Do not impose upon them an insti-intion which, however offensive it may be to them, they may not be able to get rid of if they would.

be able to get rid of if they would.

But the question recurs as to the expediency of the provision in
the bill. It seems that the people of Oregon have established
something like a temporary government for the preservation of
peace and order until the legislation of Congress shall interpose.

By these regulations slavery is prohibited, and this bill, as it
stanks, gives the sanction of Congress to that prohibition. The proposition now is to strike out this provision, which virtually give effect to the action of the people of Oregon. Hon. Senators have emphatically claimed the right to disregard this prohibition and claim to be income of the prohibition of the prohibition. and plant the institution of slavery in the territory, in and plant the institution of startery in the terrory, in despite of the action of Congress and the wishes of that people. But they have altogether, while pressing their claims, omitted to enumer-ate the blessings that are to flow from such a procedure. The moral, political and social blessings that are to spring from this

institution in Oregon have not been described. We have not beard a syllable with respect to the aid that the people of Oregon are to derive from this institution in their career of civilization. The high and important influences which slavery is to exercise upon high and important influences which slavery is to exercise upon their prosperity and happiness have not been touched upon. This omission is certainly remarkable. If we are called upon to force this institution upon the people of the territories, let us know at least some of the benefits that are to grow out of it.

Sir, there are many reasons why slavery should not be planted there. The country is not adapted to such an institution. The soil, the climate, the character of the resources of the country, and I may add, that of the population likely to occupy it, all for and I may addy that of the population fixely to occupy it, all for-bid its introduction. In what one particular can the people of the territory be benefitted by extending this institution there? But the question is, whether we shall force this institution upon them? the question is, whether we shall loree this institution upon them; whatever effect you give to their laws, they may be regarded as expressing their sentiments and wishes. Are you prepared then, to impose upon the people who are just laying the foundation, of their social fabric, an institution which they have thus selemnly repulsited. The honorable Senator from Georgia says that all the people of the South desire is to be let alone. All I ask on repudiated. The nonorance senator from Georgia says that an the people of the South desire is to be let alone. All I ask on behalf of the people of Oregon is, that they should be left free to eloose their own institutions. I would not obtrude upon them an institution which is offensive to them.

It is not my purpose to gn into a discussion of the merits or It is not my purpose to guinto a discussion of the institution of slavery. I trust I indige no fanaticism on the subject. I have no desire to display before the Senate its supposed horcros or to entertain honorable. Senators with the thousand and one stories of its atroclitics—all doubless exagger. thousand and one stories of its atrocities—all doubtless exagger-ated, and many utterly false—which constitutes the aliment upon which funaticism feeds. Much less am I disposed to impute it as reproach to the people of the South that this institution exists. It is an institution inherited from their fathers—entailed upon them and whether they choose to retain or al andon it—whether it can be got rid of at all, and if so, how, are questions which I am wil-ling to leave to the people of the South themselves as the persons ling to leave to the people of the South themsolves as the persons most interested, and last qualified to judge. The vindication of the people of the South by the honorable Senator from Georgia, against the charges alluded to by him, was, so far as I am concerned, unnecessary. Tam willing to add my testimony, that my observation, so I are as I has gone, though it has not been very extensive, sustains all that he said on that point. I have found that condition of the Slaves infinitely better than what I had supposed condition of the Slaves infinitely better than what I had supposed

But, sir, I choose to deal with this this subject not as a matter of reproach to the people of the South, not as a question of morals, of reproach to the people of the South, not as a question of morals, but as a political question, of transcendant importance, to be determined by our legislation. In that point of view I regard it, and in that aspect I feel at liberty to discuss it, Sir, I am confident that I speak the sentiments of three-fourths of the people of this country, and of a very great proportion of the people of the slaveholding States, when I say that the institution itself is an evil and a curse. When I say that it is an evil of which they would get rid in a moment if they could do it with safety, I believe I speak the general sentiment of the slaveholding States, very few men at the present day can be found willing to defend this institution, as in its origin and incention used or recedent. We be shown men at the present day can be tound wining to decided this institu-tion, as in its origin and inception just or expedient. Who is there at this day, if the institution were not in existence amongst us, who would raise his voice in favor of the introduction of the first colored slave. Who, indeed, would not protest against it not only as an outrage upon humanity, and as incompatible with the fundamental principles of our institutions, but as introducing a political evil to endure to all generations, increasing in magnitude and in danger, the consequences and the termination of which no human sagacity can foresee. And yet, with this sentiment in relation to the institution pervading our people, we are called upon to extend it. The honorable Senator from Georgia seems to be alarmed at The idea of the instruction being pent up in some of the old States.

Why should it not be pent up? Where is the necessity of inflict-Why should it not be pent up? Where is the necessity of ing the institution—if gentlemen will pardon the phrase—on territories where it does not now exist? I can conceive of but one consideration which should excite anxiety in this particular, and consideration what is some feature manager in the platforms, and of a safety-valve to the increase of that population. If the institution is limited it is not necessary that the population should be pent up. Admitting the lorge of this consideration, the question results in this: whether that is crosse, if it should be thrown off, should be thrown off, upon the rest of the world as freemen or slaves? Shall they be sent forth in the character of freemen, to aid in the extension of civilization over our immense territorial doand in the extension of civilization over our immense territorial domain, or shall they be sent as slaves, extending and perpetuating an institution acknowledged on all hands to be an evil? I will you lot these men, created in the likeness of their Maker, go forth free —possessed of all the rights and advantages which the God of nature has bestowed upon us all—or will you send them forth as the representatives of this relie of a harbarous age, and the living monuments of the insincerity of your professions? Sir, I am opposed to this extension of an institution which I hold to be utt at war with the opinions and moral sentiment of the age. The sense of the Christian world, and I may add of the civilized world, sense of the Crimical word, and I may and of the crimical words is universally against it. Shall we set set the example of perpetuating and extending an institution which the whole civilized world, with the exception of a portion of our own people, have combined to exterminate.

Sir, I desire to preserve something like consistency in our ac-

resolutions of sympathy with the people of France, congratulating them upon the events that had transpired there. We have seen the throne demolished. We have seen aristocratic and hereditary distinctions, sanctioned by time, and venerable for age, abrogated. distinctions, sanctioned by time, and venerable for age, abrogated. We have seen the masses rising in their might, and amid the throes of revolution, prostrating their arbitrary, and as they deemed them, tyrannical and oppresses neistuttions, and proclaming the doctrines of universal liberty and political equality. Nay, more, we have seen the shackles stricken from the African, and as one of the first fruits of the revolution, the whole system of African slavery exterminated at a hlow—fully, alsolutely, and forever, in all the dominion of the new republic. And we raised aloud the voice of approbation and of sympathy. Yet, while the resolutions are yet floating to their destination, as if in mockery of our professions, our congratulations and our sympathy. we are engaged in extending the same system of African slavery over the immense region ow subject to our dominion, and preparing the way for its furnow subject to our dominion, and preparing the way for its further extension to our future acquisitions. While we are congratulating the world upon the progress of the great principles of human liberty, and the overthrow of ancient despotisms, shall we be called upon to propagate a system of slavery which reduces our fellow-man to the condition of a hrute-which converts a being, created originally in the likeness of his Maker, into an article of merchandize, like the beast of the stall? Let us be consistent.

Let us prove the sincerity of our professions by our action.

Sir, I have had occasion heretofore to express my alarm at the spirit of conquest and aggrandizement which has infatuated and debauched the country. Happily, for the present at least, that spirit has been quelled. Hew long it may slumber—how soon it may awake to covet new acquisitions—how soon it may seek to grasp Canada, or the West Indies, or the remainder of Mexico, time and the dispensations of Providence will disclose. But nothing, certainly, was further from my expectations than to find the Senate of the United States promulgating the doctrine that in our career of conquest, while professing to extend the area of free-

our nareer of conquest, while professing to extend the area of tree-dom, we are in reality adding to our possessions only for the pur-pose of carrying slavery in our train, and planting this relie of ba-barism wherever the stars and stripes may float in triumph. Sir, I did not intend to detain the Senate so long. This contro-versy upon the subject of slavery is one which theretofore I have endeavored to avoid. I had cherished the hope that what is called the Missouri compromise might have proved effectual, to some extent at least, in the settlement of this agitating and troublesome question, and that in the end the threatening storm which still hangs over us might be averted. But if the compromise is to be make the bounder the power to make it is demind—if the genum of slawery is to exalt itself allowe all power—if it is on mrude upon our territories without our leave and in defiance of our pro-bibition, a new issue is made, more difficult than any heretofore presented. If gentlemen are satisfied with the Missouri compro-mise, perhaps the country may be also satisfied. But if that is to be overthrown, and not only so, but if we are to be told that we can impose no prohibition, prescribe no boundary to this institution, the prospect of the settlement of this question is forever at an end. It can be adjusted and settled only when these arrogant and of-

fensive pretensions are withdrawn.

Sir. I would gladly have remained silent on this subject, but after listening to the doctrines which have been advanced in this debate, I am driven to enter my solemn protest against them by an imperious sense of duty to myself and my constituency.

Mr. MASON obtained the floor; and

Ordered. That the further consideration of the bill be postpoped to Monday next.

# FORTIFICATION BILL.

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill making appropriation for certain fortifications of the United States, for the year ending the 30th June, 1849, with the amendments reported thereto, and the reported amendments having been agreed to-

Mr. UNDERWOOD moved further to amend the bill by adding the following section:

"See, —, And be it further exected. That the sum of \$30,000 he, and the same is seedly, appropriated, out of any money, in the treatury not otherwise appropriated, to be expended, or so much thereof as may be necessary, under the direction of the See, clary of War, in the improvement of the dam at the head of Cumberland Island, in by Olion iver."

Mr. ATHERTON opposed the amendment on the ground of its impropriety in such a bill as the present. It was very important that the Senate should act upon the bill at once.

Mr. BENTON supported the amendment. He felt it his bounden duty to call the attention of the Senate to the subject. They were now very near the close of the session, and they all knew that great measures were frequently lost at such a period merely because there was not time to give them a few moments considera-tion. The amendment proposed to remove an obstruction to the and the amendment proposed to remove an obstaction of an analyzation of the Olio river, which affected the commerce of the whole line, and which had been occasioned by an improvement made in that river by the power of the federal government. Both Houses of Congress, uniting with the Executive under varions administrations, had sanctioned that improvement, and he held it to be decided that it was the work of the federal government. He then described, from personal observation, the very scrious obstruction to navigation, occasioned by the present condition of the dam at Comberland island and strongly insisted on the immediate action of Congress, for the purpose of repairing the injury, and remedying the delays and dangers arising from the dilapidated condition of that dam, frequently causing great sacrifice of prop-erty, and sometimes of human life. He had himself been detained frequently in consequence of this

obstruction. On one oceasion, in which he was accompanied by his family, the passengers were all obliged to leave the boat, and wait until with very extraordinary exertions and the aid of other boats they were enabled to pursue their journey. He had in his bands a letter, written by a gentleman, worthy of credit, which described the serious injuries constantly occurring to the commerce of that river. It presented the Whole story in a mech more impressive manner than could be done by any language of his own, and he therefore begged to read it to the Senate.

manner than could be done by any language of his own, and he therefore begged to read it to the Senate.

Washington Leve 22, 1848.

Sit: In compliance with your request, I have the hours to shint to you a bind station of the changes of one of the hours to shint to you and the data of the changes of the compliance with your request, I have the hours to shint to you as bind station of the changes of the compliance with the change of t

hopes and their fortunes.

I have the honor to be, your obedient servant,

waters, which had been created by the federal government.

Hon Tros H. BENTON.

The honorable Senator remarked that he could corroborate every word of the letter, and concluded by an earnest appeal to the Senate, in favor of the immediate adoption of measures for the removal of this dangerous obstruction to the navigation of the western

Mr. CLAYTON had nothing to say in opposition to the views Mr. CLAYION had nothing to say in opposition to the views of the Senator from Missouri in relation to the result of the improvement at Cumberland Island. He was himself favorable to the improvement or fivers and harbors; but this was a fortification bill, and he could not see any propriety in appending to it the amendment which had been proposed. The fortifications and defences of the country had been signally neglected for many years proposed for a pr past, and this was the first full which had been proposed for a long period providing for putting them into a proper condition, and preventing them from going to ruin. The effect of inserting the amendment must be manifest to all who heard him. Other propositions of a similar character would follow. It the amendment were successful, he should at once move a proposition to im-prove the harbors of his own State. The Senator from Maryland said he would do the same thing, and thus they would have a harsau ne wound to the saine thing, and rous they would have a har-hor bill and fortification bill combined; leading inevitably to the defeat of a measure in the necessity of which all agreed—the im-provement and preservation of the fortifications of the country. were aware that the Executive would veto the bill if it provided for the improvement of rivers and harbors. He made no objection to the proposition of the Senator in itself. He was a friend of internal improvements, and had voted for improvements on that. very river; but this was not the occasion to present such a proposition, and he trusted it would not receive the approbation of the Senate, unless it was designed to defeat the passage of the bill.

Mr. BELL earnestly hoped that the amendment would be added to the hill. The dam for the improvement of which the appropriation was asked, was daily presenting fresh and dangerous ob-structions to the navigation of the Ohm river. It had been urged by the Senator from Delaware, that the bill should be appended to by the Senator from Delaware, that the bill should be appended to an internal improvement bill. This could not be done because they had none; neither could it be expected that there would be any. It was then said put it to some other more apprepriate bill. This could not be done because the navy, the array, and the diplomatic appropriation bills land all been passed, and allowing the could be appropriated by the said all been passed, and allowing the could not be attacked. If it was not appended to this say, it could not be attacked to any other bill this session; and to let the bill go by itself would be to have it hid by until the next ses-sion, insumous has at hel louse would never be able to reach it upon sion, inasmuch as the House would never be able to reach it upon the calendar before the time for the regular adjournment. because it was absolutely necessary that the appropriation should

be made this session, that he had pressed the attachment of the bill to the appropriation bill for fortifications. If it were not made, the river would not be entirely free from obstruction until the next two years had elapsed. If they did not get the appropriation until two years had elapsed. If they did not get the appropriation until the coming winter, they would have to wait until the suc-oceding summer before the improvements could be mide; and it would not be until the following year that the obstructions would be entirely removed. The government had created the obstruction in this river, and it night to remove it. For this simple reason he could not think that the President would voto the bill men who felt an interest in the fortification bill, need not suppose mon who felt an interest in the fortification bill, need not suppose that it was in danger because of this proposed appendage of a bill for the removal of an obstruction in river navigation. Allowing that the President should indulined Innder the necessity of vetoing it, they could by a suspension of the rules in both Houses, pass the bill by a large majority in twenty four hours after its rejection by him. The Senator from Delaware had said that if this bill was to be appended to the fortification bill; that there were some improvements to be made in the harbors of his State, which he thought extremely necessary, and for which he should desire to bring in a bill and have attached to the bill of appropriations for fortifica-tions. He would ask the Senator from Delaware to contrast the importance of the improvements he desired made, and that con-templated by the passage of the bill before them. In the case of obstruction proposed to be remedied, the commerce and navigation of the entire West was threatened with an almost total sus-pension. He hoped Senators would take into grave consideration the importance of the improvements contemplated, and would attach the amendment appropriating the requisite amount for the construction of that improvement, to the fortification appropriation bill then under consideration.

Mr. UNDERWOOD wanted to eall the attention of the Senate to what he considered a new feature in the legislation of the country. He referred to the appropriation of some forty thousand dollars asked for after the 63d line of the bill, for the construction of a sea wall upon an island which the government did not own. The bill also stated on the face of the appropriation, that not dollar could be expended until the government had procured a title to the property upon which the fortifications were to be built. In regard to the amendment then under discussion which he had pro-posed to the fortification bill, he would say that he regarded it as appropriate an opportunity as could be offered for doing it this session. It was indispensably necessary that it be attached to some appropriation bill this session, or it would be laid over until the next.

Mr. ATHERTON observed that the appropriation alluded Mr. ATHERTON observed that the appropriation alluded to by the Senator from Kentucky was an appropriation for the completion of fortifications in Boston harbor; and the sen wall proposed to be built around the island was recommended by the engineer of the military department of the gov-ernment as necessary, in order to keep the island from he-ing washed away, and to the completion of the defences for Boston better. The improvement contemplated in this bill for Boston harber. The improvement contemplated in this bill was in strict accordance with the plan for fortifications in Boston harbor originally laid out. In regard to the amendment, embraceharbor originally laid out. In regard to the amendment, embrac-ing a bill for appropriations for internal improvement, he consid-ered it as out of place in a bill for appropriations for fortifications. If they departed from the principle upon which the Senate and hitherto acted in this matter, it would lead to great confusion.— Each appropriation bill that would be presented would become but the emmbus for the passage through of bills of a long light distribution. elaracter. It was said this was a case sai generies—so important as to authorize departure from a general rule. The Senate was called upon to pass a bill containing it, in the expectation that if sent to the House by itself, it would not be taken up. How did they know that the House would not take it up? If it was of set pressing importance it was not to be presumed that the House would so far neglect its dary to its constituents as not to give it the necessary consideration it was entitled to. How did we know the necessary consideration it was entitled to. How did we know recommending other internal improvements when sent back; and thus we would have a request system of internal improvements when sent back; and thus we would have a general system of internal improvements engrafted upon a system for the defence of the country-for the con-struction of fortifications. He would have the bill pass upon its merits, and not forced upon the House of Representatives by being appended to another bill totally different in its general na This was the only way, he thought, that our legislation could be preserved uncorrupted.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, asserted, that judging from the opinion laid down by the President in his veto messages, he was authorized to say that this bill, it it went to the President with the amendment for an appropriation for internal improvement annoxed to it, would be rejected, and receive his veto. Insamuch, then, as or, which is the United States, and had told us that we had no power in prove rivers in the United States, and had told us that we had no power to improve rivers in the United States, and had told us that we had no power to improve rivers, it was perfectly idle to inquire what was whether it was the act of God or of this government. remain unnavigable, because the President had said that this gov-ernment had no power to remove obstructions. This was the condition of things in which this country had been placed by its Chief Magistrate; but he felt bound to say, that if, when presented to the suffrages of the people in '44, he had proclaimed his opinions upon this subject in the manner that he had in his veto messages,

he would never have been vonchsafed the opportunity to defeat, he would be better larve been voorscansucht use opperatuum voorscansucht by his action, measures of such public importance as the one then before them. Many of those who had worked hardest to secure has election were opposed to his sivies upon this subject, and in favor of the blis he had vetoed. The amendment, as was evident on the foreign was not congruous to the fortilization bill; and must certainly lead to its rejection by the President.

Mr. DOWNS knew by practical observation the necessity of Mr. DOWNS thew by practical observation in necessary of the improvements to be made by the passage of the amendment. When before the Senate on a previous occasion he had votted for the bill. He thought it should become a law, and that it was an exception to a general rule. Nevertheless, he was not in favor of forcing legislation upon either of the Houses of Congress. He thought that the House of Representatives and the President of the United States were as much entitled to their opinious upon the question as the Senate. He could not favor the idea of forcing his bill upon them in the form of an amendment to a bill of an en this outlines the most experience of the control of ment in the public business

Mr. BELL remarked that he did not desire to force the hell cither upon the House of Representatives or the President. He had advocated the attachment of the amendment to the fortifica-tion bill, merely on account of the peculiar and pressing necessity of the case, that the appropriation should be made this session. It is not the case that the appropriation should be made this session. The had not the least door but but that it could pass upon its merits, the last of the case this consideration of the calendar of the House if it stood alone this session.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, asked for the reading of the amendment.

The amendment was read by the Secretary,

Mr. DAVIS then stated that when the question was before the Senate previously he was entirely in favor of repairing the dam. He regarded the dam as a misance, and he thought it would be cheaper to repair than to remove it. But when it came before the Senate in the form of an amendment to an appropriation bill, with which it had not the slightest possible connection, he felt constrained to vote against it. He fervently hoped that if the nill should pass with the amendment, that one of the last and crowning acts of the President's career would be a veto of the whole bill

The question being taken upon agreeing to the amendment proposed by Mr. Underwood, it was determined in the negative as

New Allen, Bell, Benton, Bright, Clarke, Corwin, Hansegan Johnown Alson, and Underword, and Underword, and Underword, and Underword, and Underword, and Underword, and Underson, Barley, Berton, Bradhary, Butler, Canon, Clarton, Davin, of Massingh, Beckaron, Dr., Dowes, Felch, Yoose, Hale, Humin, Houston, Hunter, Johnson, of Maryland, Johnson, of Geogra, Maagom, Manay, March, States, Sprance, Starcero, Tamery, Upham, and Manay, March, States, and March, Allen, Schaltzin, Canada, Sprance, Starcero, Tamery, Upham, and

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, moved to amend the bill by striking out the following :

"For the protection of Great Brewsterisland and defence of the principal ship, nels into the harbor of Boston, Missachusetts, forty thousand dollars. Provided, no portion of said sum of forty thousand dollars shill be expeeded for the objects as said until the United States shall procure a good and valid talte to so much of the Brewster shalm as may be necessary to the construction of the proposal for

Mr. DAVIS of Mississippi, remarked that he had moved to strike out this portion of the bill for the reason that it was not rightfully a part of the necessary appropriations for facilities and of rightfully a part of the necessary appropriations for facilities. The sland proposed to be fortified was not the property in the property for the property, too, would be enhanced by the very appropriation we were making. He considered it premature to make any such appropriation. The present low condition of the treasury also should be considered.

Mr. DIX explained the object of the appropriation, and stated that there was a provision in the law making it incumbent upon the United States to acquire a title to the island, before expending any thing upon it for fortifications. He was in favor of the retention of the clause making the appropriation.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, stated that the recommendation In DAVISO, of massacinascits, stated that the recommendation for the appropriation eams from the government itself. For a few years past he knew from his own observation, that the sea had made great inroads upon this island, some 100 or 130 feet having been washed away, filling up the ship's channel that ran between it and the island opposito where fortifications were already boilt. it and the island opposite where fortifications were already balit. The sea wall proposed to be built would preserve the island and contribute to the defence of the harbor. It was a portion of the original plan of the government in laying out the tortification of that harbor. If the island was swept away, the Senator from Mississipp—being a military mam—could readily perceive that it would greatly deteorate the three three three three three properties are all the strength of the fortifications: and obstruct the habits for the fortifications and obstruct the habits. and obstruct the ship channel running between the two islands

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, ngreed with the gentleman from Massachusetts that the fortification and improvement soggested were necessary, but thought that the United States had better wait until it had obtained possession of the island; and then they could make an appropriation to protect their own property.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, thought that the Senator from Mississippi del nei make a propre discrimination, or had not me, incenting the design of the critical that the improvements contemplated were a part of the critical had been a few or the delense of the barbor. He del not think that title alluded to could be made a question of much controversy, inasmuch as the United States were not to expend a cent upon the island until they had secured the title of the

Mr. ATHERTON briefly supported the retention of the appropriation in the bill.

The question being taken upon agreeing to the amendment proposed by Mr. Davis, of Mississippi, it was determined in the negative as fullows:

XVIVO us IBHOWS:

YEAS—Mesus, Allen, Arbison, Borton, Bught, Butter, Davis, of Missiai pi, Dickmon, Down, Petch, Foote, Hannegan, Houston, Johnson, of Georgia, Maria, Sandara, Garagan, Houston, Johnson, of Georgia, NAYS.—Meses, Atherion, Bailer, Bell, Bardbary, Cameron, Clarke, Chyson, Cyrone, Davis, of Maschanett, D.G. Gernet, Ibbl. Bandin, Haster, Johnson, of Marjiand, Jónson, of Louissina, Niles, Phelps, Spunner, Sturgson, Underwood, Upitam—24.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, submitted the following amendment:

"For the commencement of a fortification proposed to be constructed at Proctor's Landing on Lake Borgne, Louisiana, \$20,000."

Mr. JOHNSON explained the necessity of a fortification at Proetor's Landing, and stated that Colonel Totten, who is at the head of the engineer corps, had recommended an appropriation for the purpose.

The question being taken upon agreeing to the amendment of Mr. Johnson, it was determined in the negative.

No other amendment being made, the bill was reported to the Senate, and the amendments concurred in.

Ordered, That the amendment be engrossed, and the bill read

The said hill was read a third time as amended.

Resolved, That this bill pass, with amendments.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein. EXECUTIVE SESSION.

After the consideration of Executive business.

On motion.

The Senate adjourned.

# FRIDAY, JUNE 30, 1848.

#### THE VICE PRESIDENT.

The Honorable George M. Dallas, Vice President of the United States, and President of the Senate, resumed the chair.

#### MEMORIALS.

Mr. DAYTON presented the memorial of the Medical Society of New Jersey, praying the enactment of a law to prevent the im-portation of adulterated and spurious drugs and medicines.

Ordered, That it lie on the table and be printed.

Mr. WALKER presented a petition of citizens of Wisconsin, remonstrating against the appropriation of any portion of the pub-lic lands for the construction of a rail road from lake Michigan to the Pacific ocean; which was referred to the Select Committee on the subject.

#### POST ROUTE IN FLORIDA.

Mr. YULEE submitted the following resolution for consideration:

Resolved, That the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads inquire into the expediency of establishing a post route from Newmansville to Orange Spriogs, via Madisonborough, in Florad page 19.

#### FORTIFICATION AT PROCTOR'S LANDING.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, submitted the following resolution; which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to:

Resolved, That the Secretary of War report to the Secate whether or not a fortifi-cation at Proctor's Landing on lake Bogge, Louisiana, is necessary for the defence of New Orleans, and if necessary, what sum is required to commence the work.

#### ROUTE TO CALIFORNIA.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, submitted the following motion; which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Ordered, That ten thousand additional copies of the report of that the series of the report of Lieutenant Emory, communicated therewith, he printed for the use of the Senste, and that the said copies, as well as those already printed, he bound in muslin.

## PRIVATE BILLS.

Mr. UNDERWOOD, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the petition of Thomas W. Chinn, and others, submitted a report, accompanied by a bill for their relief.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

Mr. CLARKE, from the Committee on Roads and Canals, to whom was referred the memorial of the representatives of Moses Shepherd, submitted a report accompanied by a bill to enable the proper officers of the treasury to settle the accounts of the legal representatives of Moses Shepherd, deceased.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

# CARRYING THE MAIL IN STEAMERS.

Mr. WESTCOTT, by unanimous consent, asked and obtained leave to bring in a hill respecting the employment by the Post-master General of public steamers to convey the mail along the coast of the United States; which was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

## MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following Message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their clerk:

Mr. President: The House of Representatives have passed the following bill and sint resolution from the Senate:

Resolution in relation to the vaval pension to John McGarr,

A bill supplemental to the act passed on the 9th day of July, 1846, entitled an act to retrocede the county of Alexandria in the District of Columbia, to the State of Viginia.

The Hoose of Representatives concur in the resolution passed by the Senate, that the pottrait of Major General, the Baron de Kalb, presented by his family, be placed in the Library of Congress.

The President of the United States approved and signed, the 27th instant, the bill to mead the act for the transportation of the mail between the United States and foreign southers, and for eight purposes; and the 28th instant the following acts: An act concerning the taking of official oaths in the District of Columbia.

An act to authorize the issning of a register to the schooner "James,"

An act to change the name of the steamboat Charles Downing to the Calhonn. An act for the relief of Edna Hickman, wife of Alexander Peck.

An act for the relief of William Ralston

As act for the relief of Russell Goss,

An act for the relief of Barclay and Livingston, and Smith, Thorgar, and Company An act for the relief of Thomas Scott, register of the Land Office at Chilhothe, Ohio, for services rendered connected with the duties of his office.

## HOUSE BILLS REFERRED.

The bill from the House of Representatives to change the time for holding the district courts of the United States in the western district of Virginia, and for other purposes, was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

The bill from the Honse of Representatives to incorporate the Washington Gas Light Company was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on the District of Columbia.

# VIRGINIA MILITARY LAND WARRANTS.

The Senate resumed the consideration of the bill further to exthe Senate resumed the consideration of the bill further to ex-tend the time for locating Virginia military land warrants, and returning surveys thereon to the General land Office; and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it pass to a third reading.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved, That this bill pass.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

# REPEAL OF THE PILOT LAWS.

The Scnate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill to repeal the act of the 2d March, 1837, entitled "An act concerning pilots."

Mr. DIX .- Mr. President : On a former occasion I said to the

Mr. DIX.—Mr. President: On a former occasion I said to the Senate all I desire to say in relation to the legal questions involved in this bill. I shall not, therefore, repeat what I said then, or enter into any new assignment or disension of objections arising out of the powers of Congress over the subject of regulating pilotage in the States. But I am constrained by the remarks which were made by the Senator from Massachastests, [Mr. Davis,] and the Senator from New Jersey; [Mr. Millers,] to enter into any other properties of the pilot question in New York. I regret that I am compelled the pilot question in New York. I regret that I am compelled the pilot question in New York. I regret that I am compelled the pilot question in New York, in 1836, had entered into an agreement to share equally their joint receipts, and that this combination had leid to a relaxation of their accustomed vigilance in looking out for vessels and taking them into the properties of the State of the Sta yeard reported with amendments who selected the consequence of the pressure of important humons, and the consequence of the pressure of important humons, and the consequence of the pressure of important humons, and the children that which the Legislature, of the State of New York acted on this important question. The number of pilots was increased, a careful system of examination was established, combinations were guarded against, and all the known and alledged evils of the system were provided for by appropriate remedies, or remedies at least which were considered appropriate and effectual.

The Senator from Misaschaestis, [Mr. Davits,] in his remarks seemed to assume that the New York pilots of 1843 are answers-were the constant of the present of the constant of the con

there are but twenty-nine now engaged in piloting. More than half have perished at sea, died on shore, or become disqualified by age for the arthous services both they were engaged. The properties are the state and the state of the state in the state is eighty; and of these fifty one have been appointed since the State has well as the state law of 1837 was passed. Whatever responsibly, therefore, belongs to the pilots of 1836 has, for the most party, one with them to be met at a higher tribunal than this. I state these facts that the Senate may understand why it will be proper to deal with systems and not with men.

The haste manifested in passing the act of Congress of 1837, of which we ask for the repeal, stands in strong contrast with the legislation of New York. Let me recite the facts as briefly as

possible.
By the Senate journal of 1836-7, it will be seen that a resolution was introduced on the 12th of January, by Mr. Tallmadge of New York, instructing the Committee on Commerce to unguization the expediency of legislating on the subject of pilots on the sea coast, with power to report by bill or otherwise. This resolution was adopted; the Committee on Commerce consisted of the following distinguished gentlemen: Mr. King of Alabama, chairman, Mr. Davis, of Massachusetts, Mr. Linn, of Missouri, Mr. Brown, of North Carolina, and Mr. Ruggles, of Maine. The committee, though they had maple time for deliberation, never

made a report on the resolution.

On the 28th day of February, the last day of the month, Mr.
Wall, of New Jersey, introduced a bill on notice. It was instantly
read the first and second time, reported to the Senate, and immediately read a third time—the work probably of as much time as 1
take to ever the details.

In the direction recessary that we should accompany the bill through all the stages of its course. It was received in the House on the 28th of February, the day it passed the Senate, (House on the 28th of February, the day it passed the Senate, (House on the 28th of February, the day it passed the Senate, (House on the 28th of February, the day it passed the Senate, (House February) and the senate of the Senate (House Senate Senate

President's significant, and became the favor to the later.

Nor is this all. The subject had been referred to a committee in the later of the proceeding the bill was passed, and in the summary way I have strated.

The as remarkable a specimen of the dispatch of business—business involving a question of constitutional power, and brunging intertain collisions between individuals, and elasses, and States—the train collisions between individuals, and elasses, and States—Congress, almost at the last hour of its session; indeed, almost at the last hour of its existence of its existence.

I have heretofore told the Senate that the Legislature of New York, after becoming satisfied that the act of Congress operated injuriously on the plots of that State, and after wairing eight years for its repeal, came to the conclusion to repeal her own laws regulating pilotage by way of Sandy Hook, the great outlet anilate for the foreign commerce of the country, providing only that the pilots then licensed should continue to be pilots. It has been alleged that the New York pilots were applicants to the Legislature for the repeal. This is a mistake. They appealed to the Legislature for the State to—

Try and determine whether the aforesial act of Congress does or does not transcend the legislative power of the federal government, and whether the arts executivel under its sunctiona and nafect the sulmonty of the laws, of the State of New Jercey by the extrems of that State, are or are not in violation of the sovenegaty of the State of New York, and in contempt of the Taws."

It is true, they acquiesced in the repeal of the State laws, and determined it as an alternative, if they could not produce the repeal of the net of Congress. The latter object was always what they sought. Failing in it, they consented to the repeal of the State laws—a measure proposed by the mercantile interest. The pilots believed it would place them on an equal footing with the pilots of Vork pilots, on consultation with the management of the New York pilots, on consultation with the configuration of the resultance of Commerce and Board of Underwriters consented to it. Such appears to be the fact from the pertain to the levislature, and the debate on the bill. It was supposed that it would open a four field of competition to them and the New Yorks pilots, and that their fitness and industry would be the measure of their Mancess.

As soon, however, as the State laws were repealed, the Chamber of Commerce and the Bard of Underwriters organized a hoard of commissioners to examine pilots and give them cert fleates. Nor was this all. They published a notice, which I will read, calling on the ship masters not to receive a pilot maless he could produce a certifient from them or the State of New Jersel.

"It is recommended that no vessel receive a person as pilot except on his producing a certificate signed by the above board, or those issued under the authority of the State of New Jersey."

This measure was a virtual proscription of the New York pilots, unless they would consent to receive the certificates of this irresponsible board, and acknowledge its authority. It was not to be supposed that they would discredit the licenses they held under the laws of the State by receiving the certificates of a board organized without any legal authority whatever—certificates, which could confer no authority on those to whom they were given.—
Was it reasonable to expect them to do so? Certainly not. They possessed in the State licenses the highest warrants of authority.

They required nothing more: they should not have been asked to

Though the Chamber of Commerce and the Board of Underwriters have no logal control over the subject of pilotage, their actual control is very great. They represent and indeed wield the commercial influence of the City of New York. The ship masters are subject, in a great degree, to that influence, and hence therecommendation had in many cases the efficacy of a command. It was literally warning the public against employing the New York pilots, though trained from their youth to their vocation, an less they, bolding the Set of the Commendation and the state of the control of the property of the Set of the Commendation and the Set of the Commendation and the Commendation and the Commendation and the Commendation had been acted on, the whole pilotage of the city would have been given to 38 persons, and 82 persons. Under the recommendation had been acted on, the whole pilotage of the city would have been given to 38 persons, and 82 persons would have been commendation had been acted on, the whole pilotage of the city would have been given to 38 persons, and 82 persons would have been continued to be and are still extensively employed, though, as I shall show, they have felt severely the effect of the invidions distinction, which has been made between them and others.

I will now proceed to state in detail the consequences, which have resulted from the act of Congress; for it is to this act that all the difficulty which exists, is to be traced.

1. There has not been a fair competition. The ship-owners, who represent a considerable portion of the active commercial capital of the city, have contributed to make it unequal. In the first place, the regulation I have quoted has had its influence. The New York pilots are passed by, and the New Jersey and merhants pilots as they are called, are employed in preference—not because the latter are more competent, but because they are considered as prefered by the mercanticle classes, on whom the ship masters are often dependent for employment. The injustice of the regulation is more distinctly seen in a practice, which has brought in by a New York pilot out of his bands, and giving her to a New Jersey or merchants' pilot to take out.

to a New Jersey or merchants' pilot to take out.

It may be moper to say that in every State, of which I have been able to examine the laws, and in which those laws are passed with a view to equality, a pilot bringing in a vessel, or a pilot belonging to the same hoot, has the privilege of taking the vessel out. The reason is olvious. The inward pilotage is often hazardous and laborious. Vessels are sometimes boarded two hundred miles at sex. Pilots are often out a week or ten days in pursuit of them. They are to be brought into port in all weathers. But the outtward pilotage is always easy. Vessels go to sea in fair weather only. The pilot takes his charge a few miles from shore and leaves her. There is no labor, no hazard and but little responsibility in it. Nothing can be more unjust, more regugnant to every principle of latiness and liberality, than to take from a pilot a vessel he has brough in, and give her to another to take out. It is in fact only by taking a vessel out, that a pilot can be compensated for the labor of bringing her in. Accordingly, this previage is usually secured by law. The laws of Massachusetts for instance, provide that the pilot who brought in a vessel (or one became in the labor of south Carolina provide, that "every pilot taking a vessel in has the exclusive right to take her out," except in any of the control of the

Let us see what has been the operation of the system in New York since the act of Congress passed. That act authorizes a pilot from New York or New Jersey to be employed to pilot a vessel to or from New York. It leaves it optional with the master of a vessel to employ the same pilot, who brought in a vessel, to take for out, or to employ another pilot; and here the regulation of the Chamber of Commerce and the Board of Underweiters comes in, recommending bin to employ another pilot; and here the regulation of the Chamber of Commerce and the Board of Underweiters comes in, recommending bin to employ another the commending bin to the complex of the commending the commending bin to employ another the commending the letter of the commending the comme

These facts are proved by unquestionable evidence. In the year IS44, before the merchants' pilots were appointed, it appears by a "remonstrance of the underwriters of the port of New York," Doc. No. 60, House of Representatives, 1st session, 29th Congress, that the New York pilots brought in 1992 vessels, and took out 1610—a difference of 382, the photage on which must have amounted to \$9,650. By the same return it appears that the New York pilots, SI in number, piloted in and out 3,602 vessels, or 44

vessels each pilot. giving them, at \$25 per vessel, \$1,112 50 each, to pay the expenses of their boats and maintain their families on shore. It also appears that the New Jersey pilots, 17 in number, pilated in and out 1,131 vessels, giving them at \$25 per vessel, \$1,603 23 each, or \$550, 73 each more than the New York pilots. A very considerable portion of this difference is owing to the open manner in which the New York pilots have been discouraged by the board of commissioners.

I have stated these facts, Mr. President, in justice to the New York pilots, who have been charged by the Senator from Massachu-setts. [Mr. DAVIS.] and the Senator from Now Jersey, (Mr. MIL-LEB.] with sinister motives in coming to Congress to ask for a repeal of the act of 2d March, 1837. I have felt it due to them to show that they are laboring under a serious disability, and are the objects of a most invidious distinction under the operation of this act

But I proceed to other considerations;

2. One of the great complaints against the system in force pre-2. One of the great compliants against the system in force pre-vious to 1837, was that pilots would not go to sea in search of vessels, but waited at Sandy Hook to receive them. This evil has been remedied; but it has been followed both by inconveniences and injustice, which, perhaps, fully counterbalance it. and alpha fee, which, per alph, the very confined to the property of the prope miles from New York, he does not take charge of her. She is ordinarily given to him when she comes in sight of land. It is not every pilot who is expable or laking charge of a vessel out of sight cervery pilot who is expable or laking charge of a vessel out of sight of land and carrying her into port. The consequence of the present over-active competition is that the pilot boats go to sea, vessels canstantly pass them, and or reaching Sandy Hook they find no pilots. At the very place where they are most wanted they are often not to be found. I shall refer to an honorable Secator from Maryland, [Mr. Jointsow.] to know whether, on arriving at Sandy Hook in the Great Westere, that vessel was not detained because no pilot could be found. I will read some notes from the log-book of the United States' light-ship off Sandy Hook, showning that it is a very common occurrence for vessels to arrive there ing that it is a very common occurrence for vessels to arrive there and that it is a very common occurrents for verses to a rive there and be detailed for want of plots. It but of several consistency of the common of the com

many other cases when he saw miners, and of wines no end year made in the log-hook. While, therefore, the active competition in pillotting has corrected one evil, it has produced another. And now, ir, I will state the injustice of the system. Under the laws of New York and New Jersey, and I believe under the laws of most other States, if a pilot hoards a vessel beyond a certain distance from the land, he is entitled to 25 per cent. in additionable to the state of the s tion to his established fees. In New York this distance is some tion to his established levs. In New Jork this distance is some pilotage, and was intended as a compensation for the extra labor and inconvenience of the pilot. When there was a legally estaband inconvenience of the phot. When there was a legality estab-lished system, it could be exacted. Now it cannot, and ship-own-ers and masters knowing this, refuse to pay it. This remark is not of universal application, but the practice is becoming very gen-eral. It is believed that four-fifths of the vessels refuse to pay the ords. It is believed that four-initis of the vessels refuse to pay the off-shore pilotage. They are willing the pilot should go 100 or 200 miles to sea; but they are unwilling to pay him the costomary addition to his fees. If he presents himself, the first question is, "do you charge off-shore pilotage?" And if he refuses to give it up, the ship refuses to take him. Or if no hargain is made with him, he is required to deduct it when the vessel goee out, or she is conventionally applied to the property of is given to another person. Under such a s security, either for just or liberal treatment. Under such a system he has no legal

3. The active competition which has grown out of the existing system, and which would have grown up without the act of Con-gress under the State law of New York, has not had the effect of secoring commerce against shipwrecks and other disasters. I very much doubt whether they have been at all diminished in nun The cases of the Mexico and the Bristol were extraordi-occurrences. They happened at an inclement season, and nary occurrences. nary occurrences. They happened at an incleanent season, and even if the old system had been continued, such disasters might not have occurred again in half a century. Since that time there have been shipwrecks equally disastrous, except in the loss of life. Some of the property in the Bristol and Mexico was saved; and the deaths which occurred in the Mexico were not from drowning, but from frost—the passengers who were emigrants, having been driven to the deck in a winter's night of unparallelled severity.

I hold in my hand a list of 220 vessels stranded, run aground, or wrecked from April, 1839, to March, 1846—seven years—all under the new system. Of this number over 30 were a total loss—and 22 were engaged in foreign commerce. Some of these shipwrecks were of a most distressing character, on account of the loss of life, with which they were attended. The ship John Minturn, for instance, went ashore on Squam Beach about fifteen miles from Sanity Hook, the captain and his family, the pilot, and thirty-right others having perished together, and the ship and earge were tally lost. On the second present of property it was probably a heavier loss than that of the Bristol or Mexico.

I have another list of 111 vessels stranded, run aground, or wrecked from February, 1846, commencing where the other list

terminated, to the 14th January, 1848—say two years. Of this number 24 were a total loss, a large increase in the ratio compared with former years; and nine were engaged in foreign commerce. In the first seven years above-named, the vessels totally lost amounted to nearly five per annum—more than doubled, though the increase of the commerce of the city bas been in a much lower proportion.

I state these facts to show that security to property and life has I state these facts of soon that security to properly and me mes as on increased under the existing system, whetever opinions may be expressed to the contrary. It is true, I have not been able to make comparisons with the period preceding 1837 for want of data. There is, however, an account by Capit. Larl, who was adduced as a witness against the New York pilots, and who stated in 1835 that he had enumerated from memory 39 vessels totally lost within a "few years." The number of years is left to conjecture, but upon the most unfavorable supposition, the loss could not have exceeded

that of the last two years.

I have also felt myself called on to make this statement to the declaration of the honorable Senator from Massachusetts [Mr. Davis] that "shipwreeks in the neighborhood of that harbor are almost unknown," a declaration which he would not have ventured to make, if he had taken time to investigate the facts with his usual care and discrimination.

From what I have said it will be apparent that the whole control of the subject of pilotage is in the haz's of the ship-owners and ship-masters, without legal regulation. The master of a vessel may take any pilot he pleases—he may bargain for the amount of pilot fees—he may, after having taken a pilot two hundred miles at sen when coming in, give his vessel to another pilot to take out. The pilot himself has no security either that the customary fees will be paid him, or that he will have the preference in taking out a vessel he has brought in. He is, in fact, wholly dependent on the ship-owner or ship-master. Is this right?

I believe, Mr. President, that the best systems of pilotage are those, which are under the most rigid regulation. The defect of the system in New York is that there is no law—no systematic government or roles. Nor can there be while a master of a vesselong the state of the system in New York is that there is no law—no systematic government or roles. From what I have said it will be apparent that the whole con

the system in New York is that later is an law—no systematic government or rules. Nor can there be while a master of a ves-sel is allowed to take a pilot from two different States. It is im-possible to enforce prohibitions or commands in one State, when there is a competition between different classes holding warrants or licenses from two separate authorities, neither of which is re-

sponsible to the other.

The Chamber of Commerce and the Board of Underwriters have by establishing rules themselves, confessed the necessity of legal regulation. They have no authority to enforce the rules they have regulation. They have no authority to enforce the rules hey have adopted, and those rules are not enforced. They fixed the rates of pilotage according to the standard established by the law of New York, which had been repealed; but these rates are not uniformly paid. The pilots are constantly obliged to take less is order to obtain employment. Pilots are required to board the nearest vessel under a penalty of \$50; but there is no power to enforce the penalty. The commissioners are authorized by the regulations to impose fines; but it is a perfectly nominal authority. shore pilotage is allowed by their regulations; but vessels, as has been seen, in most instances, refuse or evade the payment, be-cause there is no legal authority to exact it.

If a pilot offers his services to the eastward of the white buoy, about three miles from Saudy Hook, and is refused, he is entitled to half.pilotage; but it is never paid, because the commissioners had no power to make such a regulation. They have no authority whatever. Their regulations are a dead letter. All the control there is rests upon the commercial influence wielded by the

The trace is the ship owners.

I believe this state of things to be radically wrong. The reasons for subjecting the business of pilotage to legal regulation seem to me urgent and unanswerable. Let me advert to a single one. The entries and clearances of foreign vessels at New York one. The entries and clearances of loreign vessels at New York exceed 1,100 per annum. They are entirely dependent on a judicious system of pilotage for their safety. The regular traders may know the pilot beats, and sometimes may make selections. But fore gn vessels, without this knowledge, will naturally take the first pilot two presents himself. They can have no knowledge of his qualifications: they are entirely at his merce; and it is only by a proper system of regulation, under an andwided archority, that we can do justice to the vast foreign commerce, which centres in the view I New York. The act of Congress is the only that we can to justice to the vast torigin confinerce, which centres in the city of New York. The act of Congress is the only obstacle to the establishment of such a system. If it were repealed, I am entirely satisfied that a system could be adopted, in concurrence with the mercantile classes, which would meet the views and interests of all and secure every public object.

But, to pursue this point a little further, the New York mer-

chants are not the only parties in interest. New York is the centre of commerce for the whole Union. Foreign countries, as 1 have already shown, have also a deep interest in the matter—an interest which we have no right to subject to the control, without law, of a single class. It is due to the country that the system of pilotage for the great emporium of the Union should be made efficient by judicious regulation, instead of being thrown open to unrestrained competition. The interests at stake are too important; not property alone, but human life is concerned. I am not aware non-property atome, but norman life is concerned. I am not aware that there is any commercial country, which intrusts the business of piloting to open competition. It is one of the few mutters in which regulation has always been deemed indispensable. Strongly impressed as I am with the importance of freeing commerce and arrigation, as far as possible, from all shackles and restrictions; I cannot, on the fullest reflection, bring my mind to the conclusion

that pilntage should be without law.

Those who now control ni-But there is another consideration. lotage in New York to a great extent, have an interest adverse lotage in New York to a great extent, have an interest adverse to the pilots. Their interest is to have piloting done cheap. Bargains are frequently made, and the pilot is compelled to take less than the customary rates—less than the rates fixed as reasonable and just by the Chamber of Commerce and the Board of Understanding the pilot of the The tendency of this state of things is to drive the best pilots into other employments, and to introduce an inferior class pilots into other employments, and to introduce an interior class of men in their place. And from the best judgment I have been able to form, I cannot but think, that if this state of things continues until the present stock of well-trained pilots pass off the stage, New York, instead of having pilots equal to the best in any

country, will have the worst.

I am not quite certain, Mr. President, that the interest of insurers is so very decidedly in favor of the highest degree of security property afloat, as the Senator from Massachusetts supposes. surance is regulated by the hazards. The rates are high when the hazard is great, and they are low when the hazard is small. it may be said with safety that the rates of insurance rise in a ratio higher than that of the increase of inzard; and rance rise in a ratio inguer man that of the increase of induct of the that the profits of insurance are greatest when the security is least. I speak in respect to the operation of principles, and not in respect to motives of action; and only for the purpose of explaining why a very defective system of pilotage might exist with-

prejudice to insurers.

The Senator from Massachusetts has said that the mercantile interest generally is in favor of the present system, and he intimaters generally is in layor of the present system, but he immates pretty strongly that there is not a merchant in New York who is not opposed to the repeal. Now, I can assure the Senator that the memorials from New York asking for the repeal of the act of Congress signed by some 5,000 persons, contain the names of eral highly respectable merchants, besides a large number of ship-masters and ship-owners. I can also assure him that I have con-versed with some of the most respectable ship-masters who sail from New York, and who believe the present system tends to degrade the pilots, and to render life and property insecure. It is no doubt true that the merchants generally are opposed to the repeal : Ist, for reasons I have stated; and 2d, because it has been industri-ously circulated by interested persons that the object of the repeal is to establish the old monopoly. The Senator from Massachusetts twice said so; and I regretted to hear it. He has been deceived. There is not a shadow of foundation for the charge. It is this belief which has produced so much hostility to the repeal and I regret that my honorable friend from Massachusetts should

have fallen into so great an error. Let me state a few facts:

The New York pilots assented, in 1846, to the creation of a board of commissioners of three persons, one to be chosen by the Board of Underwriters, one by the Chamber of Commerce, and one Doard of Chartwitters, one by the Chains of pilotage. They offered to take into the association of pilots all those now licensed by New Jersey and the merchants' pulots, if found qualified on examination. This proposition contemplated a repeal of the act of Congress and the establishment of a system of pilotage by The agent of those two bodies was understood State legislation. to give his assent to it in this city; and I supposed the matter would be satisfactorily adjusted. But on his return to New York, they refused to acceede to it. The pilots agreed that the mercan-tile interest should have two to one of the commissioners, a com-plete control, provided they could have legal regulation. Under the existing system this interest has the control without legal regulation. This is the issue joined between the parties. The New York pilots desire no monopoly. They do not ask even for congulation. They ask only legal protection, for rights universally ac-

knowledged to be just

The Senator from Massachusetts also stated as bis belief, that the papers in his possession showed no persons connected with the shipping interest of the country, except the pilots, desired the repeal of the act of Congress. I have shown this to be a mistake. I might go on and say that two sovereign States have I might go on and say that two sovereign States have complained of it as injurious to great public interests. But if it were true that none but the pilots desired the repeal of the law, would it be a reason why Congress should turn a deaf ear to their appeal. May not any individual, or any class of individuals fairly appeal to Congress for protection against the operation of its own enactments, when they are oppressive or injurious to the party appealing? I have always supposed it to be the peculiar duty of Congress to see that in the analysis of the peculiar duty of Congress to see that in the analysis of the peculiar duty of Congress to see that in the analysis of the peculiar duty of Congress to see that in the analysis of the peculiar duty of the peculiar dut Congress to see that in the enactment of laws individual rights were not injuriously affected. The only question is how far those rights shall give way to great public interests. And, sir, I insist that on the application of the pilots alone, asking for the repeal of an act which has proved oppressive to them, it would have been that on the application of the pilots alone, asking for the repeal of an act which has proved oppressive to them, it would have been the duty of Congress to look into the facts, and to grant the prayer of the petitioners, unless high public considerations renprayer of the pertioners, timess mgn paone emission and dered it improper. But, as I have already said, the pilots are not the only complainants. Numerous and respectable classes of citizens unite with them; and the legislatures of two States remonstrate against the net of Congress either as oppressive or as injurious to the public interest, which it was designed to secure.

I cannot dismiss this part of the subject without saying that I

I entanto usums units part of the subject without saying that I entertain for the merchants of the city of New York the highest respect. In enterprise, intelligence in commercial matters, and in an homorable discharge of their pecuniary obligations, they are unsurpassed by any any class of men in this country or any other. When I took my sout in this body; it was with an earnest desire to

contribute my humble efforts to the promotion of the commercial to interest of the country, which I thought had not received the notice to which it was entitled; and it is to this subject more than any other that I have devoted myself. I shall continue to do so. But in this matter of the pilots, I am constrained to differ from what seems to be the general opinion among the merchants of New York. And yet I am entirely satisfied that if we understood each other, there would be little difference between us. I do not think the great body of the merchants have looked into the subject timix the great coop or the inferentians solve modes into the super-ortically. Thave inquired of many of them why they were op-posed to the repeal of the set of Congress; and I have received the same answer in every essemble to see we are opposed to this re-establishment of the old monopoly." And when I have rejoin-ed, that I was equally opposed to such a measure, that I was in favor of placing the whole subject of pilotage for the city of New York under legal regulation, and leaving the administration of the system in the hands of the Chamber of Commerce and the Board of Underwriters, their representatives, I do not recollect an instance in which they have not acquiesced in the propriety of the suggestion. What I have insisted on is legal control, to be exercised by those who have a practical knowledge of the subject. But I am unwilling to leave it even in their hands without legal control—it for no other reason—because there is no power to en-lorce proper regulations or punish their infraction. And I do not hesitate to say, if the merchants will look into this question, that there will be a general concurrence in the propriety of the measure I propose. It is possible I may be deceived ; but if am-if they shall continue to differ with me in opinion-one assurance I shall still retain-that they will do me the justice to believe I am actuated solely by what I consider the true interests of the city and the countrv at large

One word in conclusion. My suggestion in respect to a system pilotage in New York is this: I. A board of commissioners of of pilotage in New York is this: 1. A board of commissioners of three persons; one appointed by the Chamber of Commerce, one by the Board of Underwriters, and one by the pilots, who shall have the Board of Concernities, and one by the phoiss, who sain have power to examine and license all persons desirous of acting as pilots. 2. A classification of the boats, to include those of New York, New Jorsey, and merchants' pilots, with all the persons now belonging to them, who shall be found competent, and an assignment of the heats, in turn, to three descriptions of service, viz: cruising out of sight of Sandy Hook, within sight of the light house, and in the vicinity of the Hook. This will correspond substantially with the station system at Liverpool, and will, at all times, insure to vessels arriving at the city of New York, competent pilots, under legal regulation, and under the supervision of the mercantile interest of the city. The first step towards the establishment of such a system is a repeal of the act of Congress, without which it cannot be placed on such a footing as to insure its efficacy.

On motion by Mr. DAYTON, it was

Ordered, That the further consideration of the bill be postponed until to-morrow.

THE PRIVATE CALENDAR.

The hill for the relief of Charles M. Gibson was read the second time, and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent.

Resolved, That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill for the relief of Daniel H. Warren; and

On motion by Mr. FELCH, it was

Ordered, That it be recommitted to the Committee on Pen-

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill for the relief of Frederick Dawson, James Schott, and Elisha Dana Whitney.

[Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, addressed the Senate in favor of the bill. His speech is given in the Appendix.]

On motion by Mr. PHELPS, it was

Ordered, That the further consideration of the bill be postponed until to-morrow.

The bill for the relief of David Myerle was read the second time and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and

On motion by Mr. ATCHISON, it was

Ordered. That it lie on the table.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill for the relief of Colonel Robert Wallace, aid-decamp to General William Hull; and

On motion by Mr. HUNTER, it was Ordered, That it lie on the table.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill for the relief of Stalker and Hill, and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it pass to a third reading.

The said bill was read a third time, by unanimous consent. Resolved, That this bill pass.

Ordered, That the Sceretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

The following bills were read the second time, and considered as in Committee of the Whole:

A hill for the relief of D. A. Waterston.

A bill for the relief of the heirs of Moses White.

A bill for the relief of the heirs of John Wall, deceased.

A bill for the relief of Columbus Alexander and Theodore Barnard.

A bill for the relief of Mary Taylor.

A bill for the relief of James G. Carson.

A bill for the relief of David Wilkinson

A bill for the relief of H. Fredien, M. Vorcher, C. Sanmiguel, P. N. Gagnon, V. Canbarreux, and F. Harbo, of Louisiana.

A bill for the relief of Wm, W. Wynn,

No amendment being made, they were severally reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That they be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bills were severally read a third time.

Resolved. That they pass, and that their respective titles be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

On motion by Mr. CAMERON, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on the District of Columbia be discharged from the consideration of the bill to incorporate the Washington gas light company.

On motion by Mr. WESTCOTT, it was

Ordered. That a message be sent to the House of Representa-tives to request the return of the bill, originating in the Senate, for the relief of Stalker and Hill.

On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# SATURDAY, JULY 1, 1848.

#### MEMORIAL OF THE CHICAGO CONVENTION.

The Senate proceeded to consider the motion submitted by Mr. Johnson, of Maryland, for printing an additional number of the memorial of the convention held at Chicago; and

The motion was disagreed to. Aves 15, Noes 16.

#### POST ROUTE IN FLORIDA.

The Senate proceeded to consider the resolution submitted by Mr. YULEE, the 30th June. in relation to a post route in the State of Florida, and the resolution was agreed to.

#### DISCHARGED FROM CONSIDERATION.

#### On motion by Mr. RUSK, it was

Ordered, That the Committe on Military Affairs he discharged from the further consideration of the following subjects:

Resolution of the Legislature of the State of Louistana, presented February 2d.

Petition of citizens of Pennsylvania, presented March 15th.

Petition of entizens of Mercer county, Ohio, presented April 17th.

Resolution of the Legislature of Missisuppi, presented March 27th.

Resolution of the Legislature of Tennessee, presented February 29th.

Resolution of the Legislature of Tennessee, presented February 29th.

Memorial of the General Assembly of Missionii, presented January 31st.

Memorial of the General Assembly of Missiani, presented January Resolutions of the Legislature of Michigan, presented March 27th.

Resolutions of the Legislature of Michigan, presented March 27th. Resolutions of the Legislature of todiana, presented March 8th.

Resolutions of the Legislatine of New Jeisey, presented March 29th.

Memorial of the clerks of the Pay Department of the army at New Odeans, praying an increase of empressation.

Documents relating to a grant of certain emoluments to military storekeepers, presented February 15th.

Petition presented May 29th, for the withdrawal of the troops from Mexico.

Petition of John Campbell & Co.

### THE AMERICAN FLAG IN MEXICO.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, in presenting a document in relation to the American flag, stated to have been first planted upon the Capitol of Mexico, said: Sometime since I presented to the San a United States flag, which had see Interested to med by the said of the Capitol of Mexico, said: Sometime see Interested to med the value from the assurance that it was the first national flag raised by our troops on the citadel of the Mexican capital, and additional interest from the fact that it was the first national flag raised by our troops on the citadel of the Mexican capital, and additional interest from the fact that it was made ragged by the balls of the enemy. The officer who handed me the flag gave no special history of the circumstances or the individuals connected with the event from which this flag draws its peculiar interest. I believed he was unwilling to do so, because of rival and conflicting statements in relation to it. Be that as it may, the consequence was, that no report or statement was made at the time of presentation showing the corps or individual by whom the service of raising that flag was sperformed. As I did not then, so I do not now, intend to consider the pretensions or relative merit of individuals connected with that transaction.

This morning letters have reached me from a distinguished citizens of Iowa, and Irom the officer whose immediate command carried this flag into the city of Mexico, representing that a report made by the latter contained the history of the flag, as connected with the capture of the city, and complaining that it was not presented with the flag. A duplicate of that report was enclosed to me. It seems to be appropriate to the action which the Seanto has taken, and as an act of justice, I present it, with a motion that it be read and printed.

Mr. FOOTE.—I feel reluctually compelled to make a single suggestion. When this flag was first presented to the Senate by my colleague, as an olfering from General Twiggs, I confess I did not perfectly understand what flag it was; the anne of a distinguished critizen of Missassippi, Major General Quitman, under whose immediate command the first flag of this republic which ever waved over the Mexican palace was raised, having been entirely omitted by my colleague in the explanatory remarks which he made on that occasion. I was certainly under the impression at the fine, that it was some other flag than the one raised under the order of General Quitman, which was thus presented to I was in error on this point. Now, sir, as the creating that flag, under the very imposing circumstances which attended upon the proceeding, has attracted in a very special manner the public attention, and cannot fail to claim the notice of the future historiam of the war, I regret that, since it was deemed proper to introduce this subject at all in the Senate, a more ample account had not been given of the whole transaction—such an account as would been given of the whole transaction—such an account as would

have done full justice to General Quitman, as well as to other distinguished offerers, from none of whom, and particularly from the thrice gallant Twigges, would I willingly detract in the least degree. Whist I feel satisfied that my colleague is wholly incapable of intending injustice to his late coursade in arms, in all of whose achievements our gallant State feels such a peculiar and just pride, I feel bound to say that this repeated introduction of the flag, without any particular mention of General Quitman, is decidedly calculated to do him injury, inasmuch as it is a fact very well known, that the circumstances connected with the raising of office of the highest character, cluicidative of the point in controversy, I shall ask leave, at a seasonable time, to bring the same to the noise of the Senate, that the whole matter may be everywhere thoroughly understood, and due honor be awarded to all concernacion that the control of the co

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississiphi—I am surprised at the course my colleague has thought proper to take on this occasion. Did he not have me state that proper to take on this occasion. Did he not have me state that proper to take on this occasion. Did he not have me state that the state of the state of the controversy about the transaction with which it was associated, and had received no statement from the officer who handed me the flag. The rival pretensions of which I had heard, and to which alusion was made, were of regiments and of company officers. A controversy between general officers upon such a subject was not thought of by me; the service was appropriate to lower rask. A general with a command should, at such a moment, be otherwise employed. The condicting claims of which I had heard were among subaltern officers. To them it was a matter of importance. They had no command by the conduct of which they could acquire reputation—no elevated rank to attract observation—no world wide fame which would sink by connection with so small a transaction—to their energy, personal during, and physical provess, must bring the favors of war. It is the duty, as it should be the pride, of a commander to aid such subordinates in seconing to the pride, of a commander to aid such subordinates in seconing to the general to whom my colleague has all had as a firefluxation of the general to whom my colleague has all had as a firefluxation to every proper limit, if it had been assailed. He must have been a careless reader of the official reports, who does not know that General Quittana commanded the division which first reached the citadel of Mexico. Illis liame is for est upon the success of nis command. It would sank if it could be involved in a intile controvers about the raising of a flag.

To commemorate the last evec in a war most brilliant from its beginning to its close—to mark the moment of final triumph, when the long succession of glorions victories brought our army to the goal for which it had touled, and bled, and performed prodigies of valor—the national flag that was first raised upon the Capitol of Mexico was presented. No notice was taken of the regumental flags which had been previously displayed at various had been the control of the cont

\*The documentary evidence here alluded to, we are requested to sny, consists in part of the following extracts from the reports of Generals Scott and Quitman. Extract from report of General Scott, Ex. doc. No. 1, page 333:

"Quitinan proceeded to the gent place or square, planted guards, and hosted the colors of the United States on the National Plakes—containing the halfs of Congress and Neventre September 10 felects. Mexico. For this guideli service, Quitinan the head of the Alamesta, (a green park,) within three squares of that good of general malition."

Extract from General Quitman's report, page 414:

"Captain Roberts of the rifle regiment, who had left the advanced company of the storming party at Chapatirpee, and had greatly duting anised himself during the preugent to the present of the regiment of the present of the presen have been, might have been expected to constitute an occasion on which rivalry and contest for individual pre-eminence would have slumbered rather than been aronsed. Certain it is, that I had no expectation that any one would object to the printing of a report which is official in its character, add ean only thus be made public.

Mr. BUTLER.-Remarks made on oceasions like this, however transient, might assume an historical interest, especially to military mea having a sensitive jealousy of reputation. The first flag raised on the walls of Mexico was hoisted under the direction of Major General Quitman, commanding the Rifles and Palmetto of Major General Continuity, commanding the Kints and Fadirector regiment, and being in the advance. In the mists of the fire of the enemy, General Quitman, with his own hands, first hoisted a bandkerchief, and then called for a flag; and that flag was the Palmetto banner of the South Carolina regiment, and it was placed on the walls by a lieutenant of that regument.

Mr. FOOTE .- I am very much indebted to the Senator from Mr. POOLE—I am very much indepted to the Senator from South Carolina for the seasonable and brilliant tribute which he has rendered to a patriotic son of Mississippi, in what has just falled from him. Ture-hearded men everywhere will be ready to approve the generous display of sympathy for a gallant follor, which has been made by the Senator from South Carolina; and, as n Mississippian, and the devoted friend of the distinguished pera Mississippian, and the devoted frend of the disagnished per-sonage so kindly referred to, I thank him from the bottom of my heart. I feel more surprise at the effect produced apparently upon the sensibilities of my colleague, by what I have said on this occasion. It is surely needless for me again to disclaim all inten-tion to wound his feelings or involve him in the suspicion of being influenced by motives in the least degree anworthy. In all that I have sead, I have been alone actuated by a profound sense of duty, and so must all have perceived who have witnessed the proceedings now in progress. I must again express my regree, though, that I was not conferred with before the fing was presented to the Senate, and for the reasons already stated.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi .- I will tell my colleague why I did not. The flag was sent to me as a member of the Military Committee to be put in the possession of that Committee. But that could not be done until it had been presented to the Senate.

Mr. FOOTE .- I again say, that I intended to present no accusation of unworthy conduct against my colleague. I readily con-tide in his declaration that he designed no injustice to General Quitman in what he has done; and yet he cannot fail to perceive that such must have been the consequence resulting from had no farther explanation been given. I could not easily be in-duced to believe that the State of Mississippi holds within her confines a wretch so base as to attempt to undermine the fame of commes a weren so base as to attempt to capertain the late of a gallant officer, by indirect means, in the absence of that officer. I have not acoused my colleague of belonging to that class of officers who are noted for entering perpetually into a scramble for petty honors; nor did I charge him with endeavoring to secure to purposed in an amount of the given sequired on any occasion during this war, and why he has thus gone out of his way to vindicate himself, I am not able to perceive. Not being conscious of having done anything to ruffle the feelings of my colleague, I can only at-tribute the excitement which he has displayed to the delicate rela-tions existing between himself and General Quitman, for which I am certainly not at all responsible.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi.—When the flag was presented to the Senate I made no claim for any one, denied no pretensions of the Senate I made no claim for any one, denied no pretensions of any one. Knowing that some entireversy existed, I said not a word shout individuals as connected with planting it on the capital at Mexico. I then supposed the context was confined to scholterias. General Twitings certainly set up no special claim for himself—be well of the supposed the context of the correctly understood that positions of the troops, nor was be marked to the context of t ed for presentation.

ocived for presentation.

Why, then, does my colleague continue to make baseless suppositions, and follow them with a declaration that he makes no charge against any one. Except by the report presented today, nothing has been claimed by any one in connexion with this flag; and the Senate was not asked to take any action on the re-

and the domain was not asked to take any action on the op-port beyond having it printed. I did not understand my colleague as imputing to me personally any of the charges which he has disclaimed. My answer was not made under any such supposition. If I had so understood him, my reply would have been different, and made elsewhere. My col-

ague understands me. When I presented the flag, the Senato was asked to take such or-When I presented the flag, the senato was asked to take such or-der respecting it as should seem proper. I offered no resolution; and alter some discussion, the matter was postponed. On a subsequent day a resolution offered by the Senator from New Jorsey was adopted. There was full notice, and enough of discussion to have acted attention-certainly to have prevented any one from pleading a surprise.

Mr. FOOTE .- I have expressly and repeatedly declared that I did not design in aught to reflect upon my colleague. He says he did not understand me as designing any imputation upon him; and yet he has thought proper, very gratuitously, as I consider, to declare, and in a manner, to say the least, decidedly significant, that had he understood me as intending to assuil him, he would not have replied to me here, but most certainly have resorted to a different arbitrament for satisfaction. I leel called on to declare in reply, what my colleague, I am sure, will not be at all inclined

30TH CONG .- 1ST SESSION-No. 102.

to doubt, that though seeking no altercation with any one, whenever he shall feel inclined to take the course which he has intended, he will find me as ready to accommodate him as any man in Christendom.

Mr. BORLAND .- A sense of duty which I deem imperative,

Mr. BORLAND.—A sense of duty which I deem imperative, impels me to say a few words in ennaction with this subject.

I do not rise to present a competitor for the honor of raising the first American flag upon the capitol of Mexico. But as it so bappened that the taking of the City of Mexico. But as it so bappened that the taking of the City of Mexico. But as it so bappened where the capacity of t rest upon the facts as set forth in the official reports of command ing officers.

ing officers.

On the 18th of September, the first division of the American army, commanded by Major General Worth, after gloriously participating in the assault and eapuror of Chappilepee, marched upon the City of Mexico, by way of the aqueduct, and suburb of San Cosmé. Reduced by heavy losses in previous but the, (seponally at Churdhusco, and Molion del Rey.) in numbered barrely eighteen hundred men, of all arms. With this small force, General Worth fought bis way, against greatly the properties of the confronted the unsincential and the configuration of the configura at the gate of San Cosme; and before right had closed in, its observation of the protection of the protection of the grant part of the gra Hinger, and Liout. Haguer, of the ordnance, they were placed in position in the gate-way of San Cosmé. By the energy and skill of Liout. Hawner, this was accomplished by 9 n'clock: and, then, five shells from the nortur, and three shot from the 24 pounder, were fired into the city, in the direction of the palace. This done, General Worth, with his staff, crited, it rest from the severe fatignes of the day, in quarters selected for time about a quarter of a mile within the gate, and a portion of his force occupied a position quite as far and the day of the staff of the st we were aroused by a delegation from the municipal authorities of the city, who came to say that Santa Anna, his government, and army had fled, and the city was placed at our disposal. The three shot and five shells had carried terror and consternation into three shot and five shells had carried terror and consternation into the Moxican hosts—had divien their President, his government, and army, forth in flight, and placed their prond capital at our feet! This delegation, in charge of Capt. Mackall, Assistant Adjutant General, was despatched to the General-in-Clinic, that at his quarters in Tacubaya. At day-light, (morning of the 14th) Capt. Mackall returned, bringing an order to General Worth, to move forward his division as far as the markle avenue. The move forward of the state of the contract of the c move forward his division as for a the Alameda, and there wait for furthers. This order was promptly executed. The possible the state of the state o The orders a lamed to, were that usederat Quitmas should move his division, then at the citadel, to the national palace, or cap-tol. Then it was, the American flag was first hoisted, by an American soldier, upon the walls of the Mexican capitol; and thus, there is no doubt, under the immediate direction of Major General Quitmaa. What measure of reputation shall belong to him, on the one

What measure of reputation snail belong to him, on the one hand, who first hoisted our national flag upon the walls of the Mexican capitol—or what to him, on the other hand, who struck the blow which caused the enemy to surrender that very capitol that the struck of t the blow which caused the enemy to attender that very support into our hands, I undertake not to determine. To each, and to all who did their duty in that gallant achievement, high honors are, undoutedly due. But the meed of each, as compared with are, undoutedly due. that of the other, has yet to be awarded by the generous, yet disthat of the other, has yet to be awarded by the general, yet the criminating public sentiment of our countrymen. As a small rivolet to the ocean of that sentiment, I have presented, here, a few of those particular incidents, which I saw with my own eyes, which I heard with my own ears, and which will be found confirmed by the official reports of the whole transaction. Of these I make no application here. They will properly compose the ver-dure and the sheen of that garland which, at another day, and from other hands, shall crown the impersonation of our country's

Mr. DAYTON .- I think the dehate we have had here illustrates very clearly the questionable propriety of the Senate of the United States taking any cognizance of the question at all. I felt, from the beginning, that even the presentation of the flag in this body, use neginning, that even the presentation of the mag in this hody, was a matter wheln might as well have been omitted, and that it would have been perchaps a more proper destination, had it been sent by the officer in command to the appropriate department—But having been placed in the hands of one of the members of this body for presentation to his committee, he certainly could get it there only in the mode which he adopted. And I may add here, since only in the mone which he adopted. And I may add nere, that so far as regards the records, of the Senate, nothing appears which adds to, or takes away from the merits of any officer of the army. The resolution has no reference to General Twings, General Quitman, or any one cles; it simply states that this was the first national flag that was raised over the city of Maxico. The first national ling that was raised over the type of news or that Scenator from Mississippi, [Mr. Dayts, ] referred in his remarks to any officer by name, his colleague has now given his version of the matter by like remarks. There let the subject rest. With great respect for the opinion of others, I will suggest that this whole

On motion by Mr. DAYTON, it was Ordered, That it lie on the table.

# WIDOWS OF DECEASED SOLDIERS.

Mr. BORLAND, agreeably to notice, asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to revive an act for the reliof of widows of de-ceased soldiers; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Pensions.

#### CENERAL AND STAFF OFFICERS, ETC.

Mr. BENTON, from the Committee on Military Affairs, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives to whom was received the on hold the transfer of the capacitation amend an act entitled "An act supplemental to an act entitled "An act providing for the prosecution of the existing war between the United States and the republic of Mexico," and for other purposes, reported it with the following amendment :

Line 15, strike out the word "repealed," and most, suspended until the fourth day of March, eighteen hundred and forty-more; but no vacancy happening among the generals may in service hall, in the mean time by thied up.

Add the following sections to the bill.

See, and the tryptore quested, Plant to much of the provisions of existing laws as requires the discharge of the officers and processor successive between the remarks and the control of the same is heavily using med until the fourth of Mixed in exit to control of the control of t

The Senate proceeded to consider the said bill as in Committee of the Whole; and the reported amendments having been agreed to, the bill were reported to the Senate, and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the amendments he engressed and the bill read a third time!

The said bill was read a third time as amended.

Resolved. That this bill pass with aroundments

Ordered. That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in the amendments.

#### WASHINGTON GAS LIGHT COMPANY.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill to incorporate the Washington Gas Light Company; and, having been amended, it was reported to the Senate, and the amendment was concurred in.

Ordered, That the amendment be engrossed and the bill read a

The said bill was read a third time as amended.

Resolved, That this bill pass with an amendment.

Ordered. That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in the amendment.

# ARKANSAS AND OTHER VOLUNTEERS.

The bill to allow pay and subsistence to certain Arkansas and other volunteers who have been prisoners of war in Mexico, was read the second time, and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and having been amended, it was reported to the Senate and the amendment was concurred in.

Ordered, That this bill be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time, by unanimous consent, and the title was ameaded.

Resolved. That this hill pass, and that the title thereof be "An act to allow sub-distance to certaio Arkansia and other voluntees, who have been prisoners of war in Mexico."

Ordered. That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

#### GAMALIEL TAYLOR.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill for the relief of Gamaliel Taylor, late marshal of the State of Indiana, and his securities; and, having been amended, it was reported to the Senate, and the amendments were conenrred in.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved. That it pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

# EXECUTIVE SESSION.

On motion by Mr. DOWNS, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of Executive business, and after some time spent therein, On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# MONDAY, JULY 3, 1848.

#### CREDENTIALS.

Mr. UNDERWOOD presented the credentials of the Honora-ble THOMAS METCALFE. appointed Senator by the Governor of the Commonwealth of Kentucky, to fill the vacancy occasioned by the resignation of the Honorable JOHN J. CRITENDEN.

The credentials were read, and the oath prescribed by law having been administered to Mr. METCALFE, he took his seat in the Senate.

#### PETITIONS.

Mr. NILES presented a petition of citizens of Allegheny county, Pennsylvania, praying a reduction of the rates of postage, and the discontinuance of the franking privilege.

Ordered, That it lie on the table.

Mr. TURNEY presented a perition of citizens of Tennessee, praying the establishment of a mail route from Smithville to Botton's store, in that State; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

#### ADJOURNMENT OVER.

On motion, it was

Ordered, That when the Senate adjourn, it be to Wednesday

#### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. CAMPBELL, their Clerk :

Mr. President: I am directed to return to the Senate, agreeably to their request, the bill entitled "An act for the relief of Stalker and Hill."

The Speaker of the House of Representatives having signed three enrolled bills and an enrolled resolution, I am directed to bring them to the Senate for the agnature of their President.

## SIGNING OF BILLS, ETC

The VICE PRESIDENT signed the three enrolled bills and the enrolled resolution above mentioned.

### RED RIVER RAILROAD COMPANY.

Mr. HUNTER, from the Committee on Finance, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of the Red river railroad company, reported it without amend-

### GEOGRAPHICAL SURVEY AND EXPLORATIONS.

Mr. BREESE, from the Committee on Public Lands, submit-Mr. BREESE, from the Committee on Public Lands, submit-ted a motion that a report of the Secretary of the Treasury, ac-companied by a report of the geographical survey and explorations in the Chippewa district of Wisconsin and the northern part of Jowa, with diagrams and illustrations, he printed, and that two thousand additional copies be printed for the use of the Senate; and the motion was agreed to.

# SUSPENDED PRE-EMPTION LAND CLAIMS.

Mr. BREESE, from the Committee on Public Lands, reported a bill to extend an act entitled "An act providing for the adjust-ment of alt suspended pre-emption land claims in the several States and territories, approved 3d August, 1846;" which was read and passed to the second reading.

# SCHOOL LANDS IN ARKANSAS.

Mr. BORLAND, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the petition of citizens of Arkansas in relation to school lands, submitted a report accompanied by a bill allowing exchanges of, and granting additional school lands in, the State of

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

### ADVERSE REPORT.

Mr. DOWNS, from the Committee on Private Land Claims, to whom were referred sandry petitions of settlers on public lands in Illinois, praying the enactment of a law to quiet their titles, sub-mitted an adverse report; which was ordered to be printed.

# PRIVATE BILL.

Mr. ATCHISON, from the Committee on Indian Affairs, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of the legal representatives of Joshua Kennedy, deceas-ed, reported the same without amendment.

#### MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT

The following message was received from President of the United States, by Mr. WALKER, his Secretary :

Mr. President: The President of the United States approved and seried, the 39th June, a resolution authorizing the presentation to the government of France of a series of the standard weights and measures of the United States, and for other purposes; and, the Ist instant, the act for the relief of bone faire settlers under the acts for and, the 1st instant, the act for the rener of the territory of Flonda

#### THE ADJOURNMENT RESOLUTION.

The Senate resumed the consideration of the resolution passed by the House of Representatives, fixing a day for the adjournment of Congress.

Mr. MANGUM .-- When this question was last before the Senate, it will be remembered that the Senator from Mississippi, aban-doning the tone and character of the debate, which had been con-

adming the tone and character of the debate, which had been contion, basiness-like, and strictly confined to the consideration of the
most appropriate day to adjourn, having reference to the state of
the business before Congress—gave an entirely new direction to
it, and pushed insimutations quite offensive to the self-respect of
those to whom they might be supposed to apply.

Although extremely anxious to avoid any discursive and desultory discussion, touching mere party questions, and the position
and views of Presidential candidates, yet I was constrained to
dery promptly and peremptorily that the whig party, or that I as
one of that party, was unwilling to meet any question pending before Congress. It will be remembered that the Senator from Mississippi at once exempted the from the category of timid and
shrinking whigs, who were unwilling to lace all their responsibilities, but still persisted in the justice of his insinuation
against others, and entered upon a wide and extended field of aggressive party debate, and by a series of interrogatories addressed
to me personally, thrown out with a somewhat triumphant air, to me personally, thrown out with a somewhat triumphant air, fastoned upon me this discussion, while I could not have avoided, without seeming to shrink from an investigation of while principles and whig pretensions.

I regret that this subject has been called up so early to-day, and before the Senator from Mississippi has taken his scat, because it will be remembered that when I gave way on a former day, at a late hour, for adjournment, I was in the course of presenting a series of interrogatories to the honorable Senator—and whether those questions contained matter somewhat emburrassing, or those questions contained matter somewhat embarrassing, or whether the gentleman was taken somewhat by surprise, or whether he was not in his usual frask and communicative ven, I certainly received very little light from his answers—they were, to my mind, wholly inexplicit and unsatisfactory. I had hope that if the homorphic Senator were in his place, after the lapse of several days of consideration and inquiry, he might have found the consideration of the control of the control of the control when the control of the control of the control of the control of the innerest in the country. After having given explicitly and frankly Senate, although the result of such questioning may prove of some interest to the country. After having given explicitly and trankly nll the information that I possessed in regard to the views of Gen. Taylor upon various subjects; I thought it necessary to present some interrogatories in regard to the opinions of General Cass, because, looking at the positions of the two gentlemen, it is matter of interest to the people of the United States, that their views and opinions should be understood. I have inquired the opinions of General Cass in regard to internal improvements—the improving of harbors in our great inland sees, and the removing of obstructions in the Mississippi and its great tributaries, and I have received no information from the Senator, excepting the very genreceived no information from the Senator, excepting the very general, and to me, unsatisfactory statement, that General Cuss stands upon the provisions of the constitution.

eral, and to me, unsatisfactory statement, that General Cass stands upon the provisions of the constitution.

Passing from this subject to that which the Senator from Mississippi seems to regard as of the very greatest interest at this period—that which the terms of the very greatest interest at this period—that which the terms of the very greatest interest at this period—and was teld by the Senator that those views had been explicitly presented to the country in a letter addressed to Mr. Nicholson, of Tennessee, and that there could be no doubt in regard to them. I repited that I had not read that letter—I meant no disrespect, but averse to useless labor—I had waited for the last edition. I have sat by as a calla spectator—I have seen waves of opinion followed by waves of opinion, modified, reformed, and sometimes reversed—the political phantasunagoria baving, sat and watobed the control of t

sidered this last edition-" the Nicholson letter"-as final, as sterectyped. As at our last meeting on this subject, I received no light from the Senator from Mississippi, but barely a reference to this letter, I have since read it and studied it with care. I must regard it as definitely fixing General Cass's position on this ques-tion. He declines giving further answer; this closes his "profession of political faith."

sion of political faith."

Let us examine this letter, and the principles contained in it with eare and with candor. This brings me to begin where I left off the other day—what are the views and principles of General Cass on the matter of this provise? My proposition, one that I shall maintain become the possibility of refuntion, evenion, or escape—that I shall demonstrate conclusively to every fair and unbiased mind, is that General Cass, in the "Nicholson letter," has evaded the only "real issue" on this subject, and left the public whelly in the dark in regard to his opinions. And here, undoubtedly I shall be a little tedious, but I beg the Senate to bear with me, as I mean to be particular and exact.

easy I shall not an inter tenous, part I neg the Schale to users what mer, as I mean, as I mean, as I mean, as I mean as the particular and exact, it is necessary to have fixed in the mind the exact and precise points in controversy between the North and the South. There is no corroversy between the North and the South in re-

lation to slavery as it exists in the slaveholding States in the South and West. The North—I mean the whole North—with the exception of that band of mad and wild fanatics, who would describe the constitution to accomplish the immediate abolition of slavery; and their numbers and their influence are be-lieved to be small and comparatively insignificant—I say the whole North—the intelligent, the wise, the patriotic, the law-ahding and constitution loving people of the North—are impressed with a deep sense "that domestic slavery is one of the greatest of evils, both moral and political." This convection, I cannot doubt, they longer than the convertion of the property estly entertain, yet the whole North, with the exception referred esty emergin, yet new more youth, with the exception realized to, stands upon the compromises of the constitution upon this sub-ect. They occupy the ground taken by Mr. Webster in his sele-brated speech in reply to Mr. Hayne, diverse distributions of the United States, in January, 1830, to wit:

There is not, and never has been, in the North a disposition to interfere with the "Three is not, and never has been, in the North a disposition to inverfer with these powers of governments, no his these, in any way, attempted. The shawy of the South has always been regarded as a matter of domestic polecy, left with the State the theoretics, and evil which the federal government has bording to do. Centanly, the three controls of the state of the st

These opinions are in exact accordance with the plain meaning and inent of the constitution of the United States, and in exact conformity with the opinions of a committee, consisting of six northern men out of seven in the House of Representatives, to whom the schleet was referred in 1790, and to the resolve of the same House of Representatives, passed in that year, in the follow-

Resolved, That Congress have no authority to interfere in the emancipation of aves, or in the treatment of them in any of the States, it remaining with the several target to provide rules and regulations therein, which homianity and true pokey may

These are now the opinions of the North.

I have heard, in this chamber, no opinion avowed by any one-nor in the midst of the excitements, and irritations, and jealonsies arising out of the annexation of Texas—nor out of the Mexican war, which was supposed to be begun and proseouted with pur-poses of territorial acquisition, have I heard, or heard of, any opinion expressed in this chamber, or in the other branch of Con gress, or in any other respectable quarter in the North, in opposi-tion to, and in conflict with, the true and plain meaning of the resolution just referred to, or at variance with the clear and explicit remarks of Mr. WEBSTER, just cited.

remarks of Mr. W.RESTER, just citted.

There is, thee, therefore, no controversy between the North and South in regard to slavery in the States of this Union. When, therefore, General Cass, in his "Nicholson letter," avers that the federal government has "neither the right nor the power to touch it (slavery) where it exists" in the States, he does no more than avow an opinion held in common by every Senator in this chamber. I regret not to see the Senator from New Humpshire, [Mr. Hale.] Were be here, I should take the liberty of asking him whether he dissents from the opinion of Mr. Cass, and I am sure his answer would be in the negative, as I cannot be mistaken in my recollection of having beard the Senator from New Hampshire

any reconcettion of naving bears the Senator from New Hampshire area what had opinion on several occasions during the present session. What, then, is the "real issue" between the North and South? It is not in regard to slavery in the States. It is wholly and ex-clusively confined to slaves in the territories belonging to the peaple of the United States, and which territories it is alleged are held by this government in trust for the uso and common benefit of the people of all the States. The North, very nonneally, not op-posed to the extension of slavery, and particularly to its introduc-tion into territory where it has hitherto nor existed—as for instance into Oregon and the territory acquired by the late treaty with Mexico—to wit, New Mexico and California. The people of the North yery generally assert the power of Congress under the constitution to apply to those territories the ordinance of 1787, (now commonly called the Wilmot proviso,) applied to the territory northwest of the Ohio, to wit

"That there shall be neither slavery nor involuntary servitude in either of the said territines, (or States, when they shall become such.) otherwise than in publishment of ordine, whereof the party shall have been convicted.

The South, on the contrary, very generally assert that Congress has no power to legislate touching the territories, the common property of all the people of all the States, in such manner as to exclude citizens of fifteen States of the Union—the slaveholding States—from emigrating to these territories with their slave pre-perty. The South, it is believed, is not unanimous in the denial of the power of Congress, but approximate unanimity in the asseror me power of conjects, and approximate anatominy in the assert tion, that such legislation against the will, and without the assent of the South, would be flagrantly unjust or emmently inexpedient. For, say they, territory acquired by a common sacrifice of blood and treasure, and held as the property of all, should not be so go-verned as to exclude the citizens of one-half the States of the Union, unless they sacrifice their property in their slaves

This, then, is the real issue. No one affirms the proposition that Congress can interfere in any way with slavery in those States where it now exists; nor do any dispute the power of a sovereign State to introduce and establish slavery with in her limits, if it be her sovereign will and pleasure to do so. The real ques-tion is therefore confined to the territories. The question recurs. tion is therefore confined to the territories. The question recurs, what are General Case's views on this subject? As far as I have been able to trace the history of his opinions, I find that some two years ago, he was openly, a wowedly, and zealousy a Wilmot proviso man. His declarations were strong and unreserved in this obamber, not in speeches, but in conversations publicly held with gentlemen of all shades of party politics. The declarations of the Seatot from New Jersey, [Mr. MILLER,] made this day, are full and conclusive to that point. They are but the repetition declarations made in the presence of General Case on a former oreasion in this chamber, and in public debate-emphatically invok casion in this engineer, and in profit debate. Explanation, or apoling his attention, and meeting neither denial, explanation, or apoling in the first they are accurately stated, is strongly confirmed by the admsssion of General Cass in his "Nicholson letter," that

"He was strongly impressed with the opinion that a great change had been going us the public mind upon this subject, in his own as well as others."

The next historical trace that I find, is in General Cass's vote The next historical trace that I find, is in General Cass's vote upon the three million bill in the winter of 1847. He voted against the proviso: and I find that his biographer, in "a sketch of his life and public services," printed in June, 1848, treats the subject so as to leave room for a fair inference that he voted against it, not because he was opposed to the principle, but because it was out of place in that bill. The biographer says:

"The Wilmon proven was introduced into the Senate as an amendment to the three million bill, by a feleral Senator from New England. The deepen of the mover was avoided by a defeat the bill to which it was to be attached, and to embarrass the administration in the provention of the war. General Cass voted against the proving for restores even in his speech on the occasion."

I have not found any published speech on that occasion. He, however, had evidently somewhat cooled down his fiery zeal, if he had not to some extent modified his earlier opinion. The next and final trace of General Cass's views is to be found in the "Nicholson letter," and what is that?

1st. That Congress cannot touch the subject of slavery in the States. Messrs. Giddings, Hate, and an, 2d. In respect to the territories, he says: Messrs. Giddings, Hale, and all, agree with him in that.

"Briefly, then, I am opposed to the exercise of jurisdiction by Congress over this matter, and I am in favor of leaving to the people of any territory, which may be hereafter acquired, the right to regulate it for themselves under the general panciples of the constitution; because I do not see in the constitution any grant of the requisite constitution.

Upon a hasty or careless reading of the foregoing, General Cass would seem to stand side by side with southern men, and to have adopted the prevailing opinion of the South. But it is not so. It is manifest evasion; and why? Because, under our system and unvarying practice, a territorial council or legislature can be ore-ated only by act of Congress, in the form of an organic law. The territorial government can do nothing unless by the assent of Congress previously or subsequently given. Yet General Cass is "in layor of leaving to the people of any territory" this great question. He cannot leave it to the people of the territory; and why? Be-cause they cannot act, anless first upon authority given by Con-gress, and that act of Congress must be approved by the Presi-Can General Cass, as President, approve such act of Con-Not at all; and why? Because he declares

"he is opposed to the exercise of jurisdiction of Congress over the matter—that he does not see in the constitution any graot of the requisite power to Congress."

Or, secondly, the people of the territory cannot act, unless with the subsequent approval of Congress. Take New Mexico: Their territorial government abolishes and prolabits slavery, the Congress of the United States concurs, and promints shavery, the Congress of the United States concurs, and the net goes to the President. What would Gen. Cass do in such a case? Suppose the opposite: New Mexico recognizes and establish-es shavery, Congress concurs, and the net goes to the President. What, in this case, would General Cass do? In both, or either, he must meet the acts with his veto; and why? Because, in his opinion, Congress has no jurisdiction over the matter, and of course he cannot approve an act having no warrant in the constitution.
What, then, is the consequence of these opinions of General Cass? What, then, is the consequence of those opinions of General Cass? It is that every act of the people of a territory, whether affirmatively or negatively, on the subject of slavery, must be annulled by the President's veto. What beautiful contisson will arise out of this masterly statesmanship! Sir, a gentleman must be hard pushed when driven to such a resort; the responsibility must, in his mind, be fearful when he shuns a question of such magnitude, by throwing it upon the people of New Mexico, and such a people! the definitive settlement of these questions—they having no

power to settle them—questions that are said almost to shake the pillars of this glorious Union.

But suppose the people of New Mexico had the power to settle these questions, with what admiration must we contemplate the profound wisdom and consummate statesmanship of General Cass in devolving upon such a people the adjustment of these great stirring and argitating questions! Who and what are the people of New Mexico! The entire population is either black or mixed—the black with Indian or the original Peons, the most abject, degraded, and debased race in all Mexico—in morals scarcely above the protection. ded, and debased race in all Mexico—in morals searcely above the brutes—in intelligence depressed to nearly the lowest point of rational creatures. In the whole of New Mexico—of course including Santa Fe—I have been informed by the Senator from Missouri [Mr. Bextox] that but a single white man was resident at the opening of the war. I need not say to this Senate that the Senator from Missouri is perhaps the very best informed man this body upon all matters emercing New Mexico and California. And yet to these people and to this population Gen. Cass would leave the adjustment of these difficult matters, that are to endure, not only for this generation, but in all future time Is it because they are better judges in these matters than those who occupy these halls of Congress, or the people of this Union? Or is the cause it is the only device by which a gentleman rather hard pressed by a dangerous question can escape responsibility? It is a most bunghing device; it deceives no one. The very boys in the streets would laught it to scorn.

Mr. HANNEGAN .- Do I understand the honorable Senator to say, that Mr. Cass, if elected President, can toke no other course in accordance with the principles contained in his letter, than to veto the Wilmot proviso if it should be adopted by Congress?

Mr. MANGUM .- He can take no other course if he follow the principles laid down in his letter; and, moreover, veto every thing done by Congress or the territories, either in favor of, or against slavery.

Mr. HANNEGAN .- Does the Senator from North Carolina urge that as an objection?

Mr. MANGUM .- That is another question. We have been taunted with supporting a candidate who has no opinions on the sabject, or at least without knowing what his opinions are. I meet that taunt by showing that General Cass stands exactly no where upon the subject, and although our southern friends are flattering upon the subject, and although our southern irrends are flattering themselves with the idea tint we are to get a President who is not leastle to the South, they are, in justic of fact laboring under deliasion. Mr. Cass has not committed himself at all upon this question. But General Cass stands not only upon this letter, but also upon the "platform" of the dignocratic party, as hid down by the Baltunore convention. Let us examine that and General these to extribe the first of the convention of

there to extricate him from mysticism.

In the first place I will read from Mr. Cass's letter of acceptance, to show that all the dogmas and canons of his party, as set forth in that platform, are by him fully and unreservedly recognized and adopted. He says:

"I have carefully read the resolutions of the democratic national convention, laying down the plutform of our political faith, and I adhere to them as firmly as I approve them containty."

Now, let us see what this platform is. I may say in advance, in pretty nearly the language of an ingenious and guited friend of mine, that all the principles and dogmas contained in it may, with sufficient accuracy for all practical purposes, be referred to three classes: 1st. Affirmations, that nobody denies; 2d. Negations, that no one controverts; 3d. Resolutions and declarations, that no one believes. I think it probable that my ingenious friend would refer to the last class, all those resolutions and avowals of confi-dence in the capacity, farmess, integrity, energy, ability, and wis-dom of this administration so signally displayed in all our affairs at home and abroad. The truth is, it consists of little more than barren generalities, high-sounding professions and ingeniously turned periods, in which it is as difficult to find any thing precise, explicit, and exact, as it is to ascertain General Cass's opinions upon the Wilmot proviso.

But to the platform. I will read the 7th canon. It is in these

Values: "That Congress has no power under the constitution to interfere with or control like the "That Congress has no power under the case that some "three was the tool and power to be considered with the control of the control of

It will be remembered that this is a precise copy of the canon of 1844, and that again of the enon of 1844. The democratic party has professed steadily and consistently for eight years the principles contained in this canon. I feel, therefore, bound in justice to retract something of what I said the other day, of the progressive democracy calling in every six months or so, their political architects to construct a new platform as the old one became the transmission of the state o

to the democratic party, which spreads so broad a shield over the domestic institutions of the South? Why cannot the whige party cherish a like comprehensive partorists? Why leaves to the democratic party the exclusive merit of goarding our southern hearths and firesides? of protecting the weaker sex or sleeping infancy in the silent watches of the night, from the torch of the incendiary and the knife of the assassin? Why cannot the whigs come and stand upon this platform? The democratic answer is ready. It is our ground, not whing ground; it is a part of the pure democra-cy, it is ours, wholly ours, exclusively ours, and peculiar to us and to our creed. Let us see.

I find in the journal of the House of Representatives of the first session of the 28th Congress, page 476, that Mr. Campbell, on the 26th February, 1844, moved the following resolutions:

26th February, 1844, moved the following resolutions:

"Rodred, That justice and sound, poler bould the federal government to four one former than the federal government to four one former than the federal government to four one federal f

It will be perceived that these resolutions are identical-word for word with the 4th and 7th canons of this vanuted democratic platform; that the first resolution, which is identical with the 4th canon, contains the peculiar doctrine of the democratic party on the sobject of "black and abnormable tarilis," and it contains the whole of their dectrine which they boast as theirs exclusively.— The resolutions were divided, and the vote was taken upon the first part of the first resolution, in the words following:

"Resolved, That justice and sound policy furbid the federal government to for ne branch of industry to the detriment of another; or to chersh the interests of o ortion to the injury of another portion of our common country."

what do you suppose, Mr. President, was the vote on this ques-tion? You suppose, of course, that none but democrats voted for it. It being a part of the 4th cannor of the democratic platform, is therefore peculiar to the party. But the journal tells different tale. The vote stood, yeas 166, mays 4. The entire whig party, with the exception of four, voting for this exclusive democratic doctrine.

The question was then put on the remainder of the first resolution in these words:

"That every citizen of every section of the country has a right to demand and un-iet opon an equality of rights and privileges, and to a complete and ample protection of person and property from domeste violence or foreign aggression."

Upon this question, this exclusive democratic doctrine, strange to tell, the vote was unanimous; yeas 172, nays 00. So much for this resolution. Does not every one see that it is couched in terms so general, so inexact, so unspecific, that no one can find a ground so general, so mexact, so inspecime, that no one can find a ground for disagreement. This, perhaps, should excite the suprise of no one. A party so progressive, so full of change, so perpetually tossed on the waves of excitement and of faction, ought to leave themselves sufficient "sea-room." It is provident, as being politic; it is commendable.

Mr. FOOTE .- I would like to ask the Senator a question if he will allow me. I wish to know if he did not understand that the tariff of 1842 was a whig measure; and secondly, if he believes that the whigs in two years discarded their former doctrine?

Mr. MANGUM.—As to the first question, whether the whigs voted for the tariff of '42, I say, I think they did generally. But was not a regularly formed bill—it was tomeated. And as to the second question, I say I do not think that their doctrines mederevent any change, but on the contrary, that they were steadfast and firm in their principles in regard to this subject. What I have I have a breakly indicated. It is, that this was the way in the contrary and was a superior and what I was I have a breakly indicated. It is, that this meant, and what I mean, I have already indicated. It is that this platform, so gravely exhibited, is unworthy of respect; if it be not mischievens, it is at least ridiculous, as putting lorth nothing that any sensible man will scriously controvert. If it have not the merit of meeting the universal accoptance, it has not the dignity of provoking the slightest serious opposition.

Mr. FOOTE .- I wish to state a fact if the Senator will allow me, and I am sure he does not wish to deal unfairly with us, that if he will consult the National Intelligencer and all the leading whig papers for the year 1844, he will find that in accordance with the declarations of the distinguished Senator from Massachusetts, and the distinguished Senator from Delaware, and of the eloquent and distinguished exponent of the whig principles, Henry Clay himself that in 1844, the issue between the two great political parties was whether the tariff of '42 should be retained or not. Now the question I desire to put is, does he or does he not recognize the rariff of '42 as a regularly agreed upon measure of the great whig party of that period? If he answers affirmatively, then I have only to say that as the two parties harmonized in 1844, a great change must have taken place in the whig party. There is not now, I am sare, a whig who dares to speak in terms of respect of the tariff

Mr. MANGUM .- The Senator is mistaken in his last remark, wholly mistaken. In regard to the tariff of '42 being an issue between the parties in the Presidential election of '44, I think it was very generally so in the southern States and in some other quarters, It certainly was not so in the great State of Pounsylvania, for leadeds shaving passed by the vote of her favorite son, Mr Buchmun, I have heard from undoubted sources—(and you Mr. President, can correct me, if lear)—that the battle cry of the democratic leaders in the Kevstone was the "traiff of 422" "Polk, Dallas, and the tariff of 429" was thrown to the breeze on many a democratic banner—if gave ornament and attraction in glaring capitals to many a democratic crystopic and it was commended in enthusiastic and horning elopmone by democratic crystopic through of the property of the

Nor do I yet suppose it was an issue in the State of New York. The late Silas Wright, the first favorite of the State has victed for it. He was blooght forward by the democracy for the first demonstrate the state of the state

Let me return to the next resolution and the 7th canon of the platform.

The question then recurred on the first clause of the second res-

olition, in these words: "Reselect. That Congress has no power under the constitution to interfere with or control the olonestre institutions of the several States, and that such States are the sole and proper judges of everything appertuning to their own affairs not prohibited by the constitution."

This clause passed by a vote of 151 yeas, to 2 nays. I find that Messrs. Giddings, John P. Hale, Preston King, and every other porthern man saye two, yoted for this clause of the canon set forth in the democratic pixtlorm, as being of such potency to protect and preserve the slave institutions of the South.

The question was then put on the remainder of the resolution, in these words:

"That all efforts of the abolitionists or others made to induce Congress to interfers with questions of slavery, or to take incipient steps in relation thereo, are calculated to lear to the most alterning and dangerous consequence; and that all such efforts have an inertable tendency to dimmiss the happiness of the people, and endanger the stability and permanency of the Usion, and ought most be counternanced by any friend of our policies institutions."

This clause also passed—yeas 128, anys 23, by the vote of the great mass of the wilip party, and so little was this regarded a suptling peculiar and exclusive, and so intensing was it deemed that the world of the control of the con

olson letter" leaves us in the dark. The platform holds nothing to aid us. The Senutor from Mississippi stands mute or speaks in oracles vs unintelligible and as incomprehensible as are the opinions of General Cass himself. Embarrassed as I am, and utterly at a loss how further to proceed in these hitherto unavaling in-quiries, it is barely possible that I hold in my hand what may show that I am engaged in a wild-goose chase, that I am in search of the philosoper's stone, that I am vainly attempting to grasp an evanescent shadow, in short, that I am looking for an opinion where none exist, or if it exist, it lies hidden in the deep, dark and silent recesses of the mind that formed it. It is a very curious thing that I am about to present to our friends of the democratic party Mr. President, do you recollect anything of the "Kane letter" The fruits of that letter were so perfectly miraculous; the electing of a man who in his wildest mood had never dreamed of such ele vation over the head of the foremost man of the age -I say, such were the fruits, that a bold, reckless, sagacious, I will not say unscrupulous party would not be likely to abstain from the use of like means, when working heart and soul for a like result. the Senator from Mississippi, are indestructible, eternal. I suppose that may be true, whether good or bad. The latter in the hands of skillul, admit and unprincipled tacticians, are capable of an infinite variety of applications, and with a little ambi-dexterity such as that which once appeared in a "Kane letter," may be re-vived as at once ornamental and useful in the life of a distinguished statesman. I hold in one hand, "A sketch of the life and public services of General Lewis Cass." I hold in the other, "A sketch of the life and public services of General Lewis Cass."

Mr. FOOTE .- Where were they published?

Mr. MANGUM.—They were published at the Congressional Globe office, Jackson hall, D. C., price 50 cents per hundred conjes.

Mr. FOOTE .- Will the Senator inform me where he obtained

Mr. MANGUM.—It is sufficient that I have them. The Globe office will not dure deny their publication there; their types speak the truth, and if needs see, there is other evalence at hand. One of the pamphlets has written upon it "North," the other "South." One is evident designed for any revealed at a time of the property of the property of the property of the other "South." One is evident designed for upon evaluation of the property of the other "South." One is evident designed for upon evaluation of the property of the other contains on the last page an eloquent outburst of Mr. Cass on the Mexican war, in reply to some poor remarks of mine. I will not detain the Senate by reading them, as we have all been thrilled and electrified by them heretofare. It contains also on the same page a long extract from a speech delivered by Mr. Cass, at Odd Fellows Hall in this city, at a meeting held to express the sympathics of the American people with the people of France upon the result of the late French revolution. It also has on the same page an account of Mr. Cass's nomination for the Presiduery, with some could his money, but not one word on the "Wilmot proviso." On the preceding page there is a very slight notice of Mr. Cass's voice at the three million bill in the winter of 18-17, and against the attaching of the proviso to that bill, from which the inference is farm and plain that he voted against it, because it was out of place there and for that reason only. The other pamphlet designed, as 1 suppose, for the South, has on the last page the same remarks by Mr. Cass in reply to me; nothing upon the subject of the French revolution. But the "Wilmot proviso." In the conductively shown in a preceding partie of my remarks. The pamphlets are in all, other respects, as far as I have been able to see, identical, precisely alike. I read what is found in this pamphlet for the South on the 'Wilmot proviso."

on the "Willmot proviso." It is as follows:

'In Devember, 1947. Geneal Caga we his view at length upon the "Willingt proviso." in a letter to Mr. Nichelson. of Tennessee. In that letter he avowed himself proposed to the meaner, and to the evener of any lengthation by Coggress, over any of trants. He believed that all questions of the state of the state

Mr. FOOTE.—Does the Senator charge that there is any repugnancy or conflicting principles in the two pamphlets?

Mr. MANGUM.—They do not come in conflict, for one takes the road directly to the North, the other the shortest cut for the South

Mr. FOOTE .- Does the meaning conflict?

Mr. MANGUM.—The meaning of the two is not at all coincident. They do not approximate each other on the "provisos" there is no similitude on that subject; there is manufest incongraity, and I think, antagonism. In the pamphlet for the North Gereal of the Control of the North Gereal of the Control of the North Gereal of the No

Mr. HANNEGAN.—Will the Senator allow me two or three words, as I have been notified that at the close of his speech another bill will be called mp, and I shall not then have an opportunity of making any observations? I trust the Senator will not, for one moment, suppose that either General Cass, or any friend of his, would ever contremance the publication of documents for one region of country different from those published for another. I know not whence these two documents preceded, nor by whose authority they were published, but I am authorized to assure the honorable Senator that the Congressional committee, appended to prepare and publish documents, have nothing to do with any thing by declared that no document should go out with their authority that was not intended for each and every part of the Union. Can the whig party make a similar declaration.

Mr. MANGUM—I am not at all surprised at any sensibility that is exhibited by the Seantor from Indiana. I should feel it myself. But I have advanced no charge against General Cass that he had any participancy in any such meditated fraud. Nor have I any reason to believe that such is the case. As to what gentlemen may do in this House or the other, I know nothing. I do know, however, that here is a plain, palpable case of meditated

fraud—one of great enormity—seeking to mislead and delude the peole upon matters of the highest delicacy and greatest importance to their interests.

tance to their interests. While your jails and penitentiaries groan with oulprits, con-While your jails and penitentiaries groan with oulprits, con-victed of "false pretences and franks" in trifling pecuniary mat-ters, what oulprit to be done with the vile malefactor who, live fla-gridous frauls like this, cheart the people, not out of a few shillings, but out of their deavest rights, in matters touching their privales.

Happiness and the public prosperity?

Here are the pamphlets—I hand them over to the gentleman to examine-hoping they may be able to investigate the fraud, trace it to its source, drag the guilty culprit from his hiding place, and expose him and his inlamy to the scorn, the hisses, and the

contempt of the public.

Mr. FOOTE.-The letter of General Cass respecting the Wilmnt provise was written as early as December—long anterior to the French meeting—and I would ask the Senator, as a candid man, if it is not reasonable that the friends of General Cass should desire to promulgate his views and opinions in regard to the French revolution; and whether that is not sufficient?

Mr. MANGUM .- I certainly think it would be very proper in a life of General Cass, published as late as June, 1843, a reference should be made to his views upon the late French revolution. They could no made to his views upon the late French revolution. They could not have been referred to in the Marche citistion. But I do not see the propriety of excluding from the June pamphlet all the nature contained in the March edition upon the "Wilmot proviso"—a question so widely agitating and deeply dividing the public opinion. I cannot conceive how the bingrapher should have deemed a sympathizing speech of more moment to the public, in a sketch of the life of a candidate for the Presidency, than his views upon a great and aborting question, that some, in the excess of them of the control of the control

saam's, longing any stage to plants of the Union. It cannot be so. The purpose must have been sinister—a frand was meditated. To shake the pillars of the Union! The "Wilmot proviso" to shake the pillars of the Union! I feel no such apprehension. These fears are idle—they are ridiculous. This Union, thank God, is not in the keeping of ambitious political aspirants or disappointed politicians. This great work of Washington and his compatriots—the ark of our safety—sprinkled with the best blood of the Revolution, consecrated in the affections of our countrymen Union, in its strength and its grandour will repose upon the hearts of twenty millions of freemen; and when factionists, agitators, and conspirators shall assail it, will remain as firmly and quietly seated on its foundation as do the eternal Alleganies in the midst

of a transient summer tempest.

Sir, I am a southern man, identified with southern institutions Str. 1 am a southern man, identified with southern institutions; I take a common destiny with my countrymen, whether for weal or for wee; I would live or I would die, as I trust, by the sule of the work of the work. I stand by the rights of the South; I repel this importance. I stand by the rights of the South; I repel this 'proviso,' as implying an offensive disparagement: I resist it, as having no warrant in the constitution, in good faith, or in equal justice. But what is it after all? Of what practical importance usines. But what is it after an it of what practical importance is it? Where can it apply to the real detriment of southern inter-ests? Can New Mexico become a slaveholding country? A succession of bleak and sterile hills and volcanic mountains—fit only succession of bleak and sterile bills and volcanic mountains—fit only for pastoral life or mining operations—with no land for cultivation save only the narrow valley of the Rio Grande, which winds its way as a riband amongst rocks, barren hills, and rugged and bare volcanic mountains; and that valley packed with a population for two bundred years beyond the capacity of the soil to support but for the flocks and herds that wander and browze among the hills and mountains. Sir, talk not of periling this Union for New Mexico, or the whole of Mexico, or the whole wide world. This, the home of our fathers, great free and harmy—our way haven by mean the borne. our fathers, great, free, and bappy—our own happy home—the home we would transmit to our children—to peril this; and for what? For lands, that no wise man desired. No, si; I had rather see New landes, that no wise man desired. No, sir; I had rather see New Mexico and Cultural new life in the control of time vertical in the district of the district to the earth as a mirror, and shivered to pieces, each fragment reflecting but the broken fragments of divisions, sections, and States of this once glorious and happy republic? None, not one; for all the broad lands that all the empires of all the earth could give him. But. sir, I quit this topic. I had no idea of touching it. My business sat, quantum copie. I had no need of coreinng it. may obsides just now is with much smaller things—"de minimis," I talk. Well, sir, there was another question I asked the other day. I got no answer—none that I could understand, I asked what were alr. Cass's views on the subject of internal inaprovement?

Mr. HANNEGAN .- What are General Taylor's views on that subject ?

Mr. MANGUM .- General Taylor will leave that subject to the wisdom and sound discretion of Congress. I propounded the in-terrogatory the other day to the Senator from Mississippi. What would General Cass do with the river and harbor bill? I suppose

Mr. Cass is in quite a dilemma. I believe he has uniformly voted for all the internal improvement bills. If I am in error, I beg his fitneds to corroct me. He certainly veted for that harbor bill which met Mr. Polic's veto. But then he was free—he was not in more platform, not voluntarily assumed the letters of the Baltimore platform, have would be do now with that bill; or rather what could be do? I in the platform I find the following canon:

"That the constitution does not confer on the general government the power to numerice and carry on a general system of internal improvements."

This, like the vest of that imittable platform, is quite general and indefinite enough. But, unfortunately for Mr. Cass, Mr. Polk, who gave in his adhesion to this article, has given his exposition of it by vetoing the harbor hill that Mr. Cass veted for. Notwith standing great and extensive clamor against Mr. Polk's veto, the Baltimore convention readopted this eanon, and approved by resolution Mr. Polk's conduct. Mr. Cass has given in his adhesion to this creed; and now what can be do? Of course, in like case, exactly what Mr. Polk dat. I trust this is not a case where Mr. Cass, by virtue of his former votes, is to stand in the North and on the lakes as an internal improvements have and in the South, particularly in the Ancient Duminion, as a straight-laced '98 and '99 man—out and out against all internal improvements by the gene-ral government. In decency, I feel bound to suppose that Mr Cass will adhere to the principles of the platform; and where they are a little Delphie, that contemporaneous expositions will be his guides. So, if this "wave of opinion" is hard frozen, and shall never thaw under the sun of popularity or the wooings of soft breezes, coming up the great Mississippi and its great tributaries, we may set down Mr. Cass as against river and lake harbor im-

musements.

But, sir, these matters are of comparative insignificance. My objection to the election of Mr. Cass to the Presidency, takes a higher and wider range, and is connected with higher and graver matter. I consider him as the true representative of the worst rape of democracy—of that protion of it which I regard as fraught with most danger to the peace, prosperity, and caduring glory of the country. After all we have seen, who could hope, in the event of the elevation of General Cass to the Presidency, that we could pass through the four years of his administration without being involved in one or more wars? Opinion throughout the word is in strange and learnil commontion—portentions of change—deep, radical, and sanguinary. Speculations bold, daring, andacious are aning to say the Coundations of existing political systems, and are In straige and rearms commetton—portentons of enange—usep-rational, and sangunary. Speculations bold, during, andersons are threatening with disintegration the social place systems, and are, in conjunction with the example of the only really free political and civil institutions, are just beginning to be left and developed. That power and that example will go on working their wonders. The results will be seen in the fall of dynasties, the erushing of thrones, and that toppling down of monarchies and despotisms. The upheavings of that great down-trodden mass of human beings, like the fabled Enceladeus, may, in their frantic writings and contortions, throw up and broadcast over Europe, the smoke, the great slock has been felt, and thrones, and cloud-capped towers, and gorgeous palaces have passed away and disappeared. There is now a troullous and fearful pause in this great phenomenon. The heart of Europe quakes in the fear of the unknown future. Way Gird, in lis kind providence, bring order and blerty out of this wild chaos of struggle and of passion. What may not be foured when these down-trodden millions shall have severed their chains, when these down-trodden millions shall have severed their chains, and burst from their manaeles, feeling a bnoyant sense of enlargement; unraught in the simplest elements of regulated liberty, feelment; untaught in the simplest elements of regulated liberty, feeding a keen, strigging sense of revenue for past oppression: and more, a consciousness of irresistible physical power? What may not be feared? Is there any satisfactory forund to believe or to hope that Europe will not, at no distant day either, be enveloped in war? In that event, what should be the policy of this country? It has been marked out by the great Washington. Is that policy likely to be respected by the proud, the passionate, and the reck-less progressive democracy? At such a crisis is it safe to elevate to the Presidency the one, more than all others, representing that type of the democracy? What is the distinctive characteristic of that portion of the democracy that Mr. Cass most truly represents? What is it as exhibited steadily and uniformly during this administration?

It is "jealons of honor and quick to insult." It is aggressive, reckless, grasping, and, I fear, rapacious. It is addicted to quar-rel with strong proclivities to violence and excess. Bearing itself rei with stroat proteinties to violence and excess. Examp itself boldly, hangdility, and offensively, with a courage that never qualis, with a rashieses that defies danger, or in good repute in the world, when stimulated by pussion or impelled by popular empidity. This bold, aggressive spirit first disclosed itself in the Oregon controversy. Mr. Cass whas thoroughly identified when other discussion views on that subject. The question had here under discussion for more than twenty years between the British and American go for more than evenly years between the Dritish and American go-vernments. That discussion had been conducted with good tem-per on both sides. It was apparent there were deep and hone-convictions on both sides, entirely in conflict. Compromise or war between two proud, haughty, and powerful nations was obviously the only attentives. We had just compromised a dispute very the only atternatives. We had just compromise a dispute very similar in many of its features with the same power, in a spirit of magnanimity, justice, and a sense of mutual convenience. After the compromise argointainos had been opened under the auspices of the Senator from South Carolina [Mr. CALHOUR] and it was in progress marked with a kind and friendly spirit.

Mr. Polk comes into power. He at once and peremptorily asserts our claim to the whole of Oregon as clear and unquestionative of unconditional oncession on the part of Great Britain, or war. Every one knew the concession was impossible, and, therefore, (in the language of Mr. Cass) "war was inevitable." Without saying any thing about the taste, what was the wisdom or promise in the contraction of the contracti

This question of war was settled by general of others. This question of war was settled by general of others. This question of war was settled by general of others. The open of the part of Great Britain. The country owner, under Providence, to this happy eco-operation than to the wisdom or the prudence of the Executive department of the government, or of Mr. Senairo Cass. When the historian shall come to consider this part of our annals, I think it probable be anay long doubt, as many of us have doubted, the real objects and purposes of the Executive. Whether the Executive bona fide and in fact upon the consideration of the contraction of the contraction of the providence of the Executive. Whether the Executive bona fide and in fact upon the contraction of the providence of the Executive. Whether the Executive bona fide and in fact upon the contraction of the providence of the Executive. Whether the Executive bona fide and in fact upon the contraction of the providence of the Executive bona fide and in fact upon the contraction of the providence of the Executive bona fide and in fact the tensor of the propagation of the providence of the providen

Let me do General Cass the justice to say, that when his leader backed out of this daugerous controversy, he submitted with the most imperturbable good humor. General Cass is a warrior, and his leader might have been, had he lived in the days of Agamem-

The war with great Britain having been settled—this "name and fame for spirit?" might be extinguished forever, if one could not be got up elsewhere. We had exactly the state of relations with Mexico that most favored these ambitions aspirings. With a statesman at the head of our government, the war with Mexico might have been avoided as easily as I can now avoid offering you, sir, a personal indignity. Had the Senator from South Carolina [Mr. CA.HDOVI) remained in the State Department, and his wise counsels could have prevailed, we should have had neither war, or scarcely the runn or ol war. The natter of Oregon heigh settled, with a week of the state of the s

Disregarding this obvious policy, the Executive pushed into Mexico a minister who acted upon them more like a firebrand than a messenger of pease. The President moved the army from Corac an amession of pease the President moved the army from Corac and the pease of the pease of

That this war has been proscented from the very first with view of territorial aggrandizement, is more device to every one. If it do not originate in views of that sort, it was commond to signalize and illustrate an administration that had like the commond of the contemporary of the co

under the auspices of Mr. Cass, it will be planted on the Sierra Madre, Tehuantepee, and, perhaps, Cuba.

Mr. FOOTE.—Is the Senator opposed to receiving accessions of territory?

Mr. MANGUM.—Yes, sir, I am opposed to the acquisition of a foot of terretory by conquest, or in any other manner, unless with the general concurrence of my countrymes. I prize the farmony, mutual confidence, and kindly feelings among the States of this Union, above all acquisitions makely or ever to be made.

Mr. FOOTE.—The Senator has announced himself as being opposed to the peaceful acquisition of Cuba?

Mr. MANGUM—Yes, sir, I am opposed to the acquisition of it, but there may be greater city than the acquisition of Cuba. In that there may be greater cytle than the acquisition of Cuba in willing to see that great key, that commanding position in the gulf, in the hands of any great and formidable naval power. It might be also see sevil to acquire it. It might be a case requiring the interposition of all our power and resources to prevent it. But these are hasty and unconsidered intimations, and I shall reserve to myself, in imitation of the Senator's noodel statesman. Mr. Cass, the linkerty of giving a new edition, if upon consideration, I shall deem it expedient or necessary. Regarding Mr. Cass as fame, but that which is enthroned under the shadow of langels steeped in blood—seeing him always ready to augment the Executive power, even new overshadowing and fearful—seeing him always the apologist of Executive average of the state of the stat

party, fulfill from these reflections, and from out to a series described party, fulfill from the research of the fulfill for the fulfill from the fulfill from the fulfill fulfill from the fulfill fulfill from the fulfill fem things I regretted to see. I regretted to see such labored dis-paragement of General Taylor's understanding. He writes non-sense, says the Senator. I am very sure, when the heat and ex-citement of debate shall have passed away, the honorable Senator two to be intented at the contract of the second of the contract of the contr eitement of debate shall have passed away, the bonorable Senator true to his institucts of justice and generosity, will regret the passage even more than I do. Again. The Seator speaks of a fetter encoected here, 'deceiving and delauling, See." I know nothing of the origin of the letter. This is certain, whether written by General Taylor or not, its sentiments were his and published by his authority. Now, I appeal to the gentleman's cunder, to say, whether he helique, that General Taylor way. by General 123 or 100.

by lis authority. Now, I appeal to the gentieman's canoor, we say, whether he believes that General Taylor woold intentionally deceive or delude any human being I Again. Speaking of Mr. Fillmore. The Scantor charges him with being favorable to Mr. Fillmore. has spoken or written—neither is alleged—but upon circumstantial evidence detailed in a letter of Mr. Croswell's, and upon divers extracts from divers newspapers, &c. Now I submit to the vers extracts from divers newspapers, co. 1006 i submit to the Senator whether angly extracts from partizan and perhaps, venal and subsidized prims, is the sort of evidence that should find its way into this body to impeach or exculpate any one of apposite polities—not by statements of freets, but upon surmise and inference. Sir, it is the least reliable and most untrustworthy evidence of which I can conceive. Likel and vituperation are the vector of the heentious press. Many an angry and unprincipled libeller fishes up day by day his dirty and dependent bread from the vile pools of slander and calumny. What public man eses the malignant shafts of a licentious press? If any, he is shielded by his insignificance rather than by his public virtues and public efficiency. Why, sir, let me remind the Senator, that he too, has been the mark for many a poisoned arrow-for the most unmen sured vituperation. Is there any one here who would rake into toose vio reservoirs of cultumy, for matter of impendement against him—him whom we know and respect, for his high impulses, and gouerous and frank nature? No, sir—not one. I would seorn it from the bottom of my heart. That there are presses, and many of them conducted with perfect honor and veracity, we all know and yet we and they know there are many others, a disgrace to the profession. That great engine of modern civilization is enti-tled to all respect, when pure, and the severest condemnation when otherwise

But to return-this debate of the honorable Senator's and mine But to return—into debate—its gyrations, sinuesities, and episodes are without limit, coherence or continuity. I have said safety might be found in the whig policy, and in their leader, General

The Senator from Mississippi has drawn a strong and vivid pic-The Senator from Mississippi has drawn a strong and vivid pic-ture of the emment abilities, the large attainments, and the tho-rough accomplishments of General Cass in all things pertaining to public affairs—of his steadiness, his per-everance, his maliness, public affairs—of his steadiness, his per-everance his maliness, cealing no opinions—giving no conflicting assure are feartnesses, as a stateman, of popular clamor—and his abhorence of being elevated to high station by management, dexterity or in any way, than upon a full inspection and consideration of every act, sentiment, principle, or inclination of his mind. The Senator in-diantee, that General Cass may be regarded as carrying his heart in his hand, and opening to the public gaze the deep, dark, and This nictors is presented in contract with the off-This picture is presented in contrast with that of General Taylor and this latter exhibits General Taylor as utterly ignorant—sand —and this fatter exhibits General Laylor as uterry ignorances a having no mature opinions on public affairs, a mere soldier—as a equivocator—exhibiting wondrous variety as to the substance of his opinions—as having flooded the country with electioneering and opinions—as naving needed the constry with electioneering letters—as a more cristolary driveller—filling his friends with regret, and his onemies with commiscration—as writing "long, verbose and meaningles letters, fill of false grammar, confused ideas, and the most rude and unpolished nonsenas." In short, the substance of what the Senanter said, is, that General Tajlev is an georant, equivocating, electioneering character—having no opin-itosis or, if he have, withholding them, and taking the beacht of conflicting and false interpretations—or, what is the same thing, being "art and part" in a fraud sought to be played off upon the people of the United States.

Now, Mr. President, look on this picture, and now on that-Now, Mr. President, look on this, picture, and now on that—
the first is the democrate Hyperion; the latter, the whig Satyr.
Now, my first observation is, that if the honorable Senator will
strike out the names, and exhibit the pictures simply, there is not
a man, woman, or child in the United States that would take the
one for General Case, or the other for General Taylor. I have
always heard, and readily believe, that General Cass is nonexeptionable and kind in his domestic relations. Why. sir, his very
children would not recognize the portrait that the Senator—who
is a skilled artur—has painted for their kind, affectionated and
affectionately wear in their immost heart the image of their dear
father, would see almost as little resemblance between that image
and the portrait by the Mississipp artist, a we see between his

father, would see almost as little resemblance between that image and the potrtnit by the Missispipi artist, as we see between his Hyperion and his Satyr.

But what shall I say of the portrait of General Taylor? Would his children recognize it? No, sir, no. They might book upon it as the picture of a low, vulgar, ragamoffin deserter, who had just returned from the wars. Sir, the artistical skill of the Senator reminds me of a vulgar story that I have seen or heard somewhere of the Dutchman's horse painted at first with masterly and exquisite skill, and exhibited in public with paints and brashes at hand, for all the amateurs—the judges of horse flesh—just to louch and aller, so as to exhibit a perfect animal. The amateurs, (they were doubtless democrate of the genus-loeefoco.) went to work, one elengated the ears, another—but you know the story—at length a non-descript was produced, and but for "horse" written ander, none could have known whether it was ass, lion, or horse. length a non-descript was produced, and but for "horse" written ander, none could have known whether it was ass, linn, or horse. The Senator first works at the ears. (that is instinct—democratic instinct) hat he should recollect that the merest dauber might make an ass of Bucephalus—the proud Bucephalus that spurned any rider but the master of the world. I would commend the next any rider but the master of the world. I would commend the next efforts to be made npon the mane, and if he can paint a few pearly "dew-drops" on the said mane, he may have awakened in his mind an idea of the noble and powerful animal, before which the democracy trembles, and trembling—will fiy.

Sir, to be serious, this studied disparagement of General Taylor need not, and ought not, to excite a feeling of indignation. The need not, and ought not, to excite a resing of indignation. I arrangs of despart are objects of commiseration—not of resentment. They can do no harm. The people of this country have sense, they have sagacity, they have judgment. They are better judges of men, and the worth of men, as I verily believe, than even this Seatase. I mean no disparagement of this body—which judges or men, and the worth of men, as I verily believe, than even this Seaths. I mean no disparagement of this body—which for Izleat, virtue, and patriotism, may not shrink from a comparison with any other. But I mean the people live, move, and have passions, no prejudices, no artificial standards, no personal interests strong as we have to biss and thwart strong, sound, plain, common sense. No, sir, this studied—I must think—ungenerous disparagement, cand no harm. I have no purpose to culogize General Taylor. It would he as offensive to his simple tastes and delicate sense of self-respect, as it would be unbefitting me. I am not, by nature, strong and tuned to give out the music of culogize demand continuous men in power, or to he in power. It is not my tool—I menominate men in power, or to he in power. It is not my tool—I menominate the men in power, or to he in power. It is not my tool—I may not a randour of character—" when maierred, adonted the most." Would you have the Venue de Medici furiclowed and flounced in the tinselled fleery of moders millinary Venuel when the state of Hercales crowned with a tawdry cap and feathers? I would you have me weave garlands for the pinacles of the Sterra Madre, that lift their heads and bathe their naked brows in sanlight far above the region of the clouds? Given 30rn Con.—1st Sesson—No. 103

down to immortality as they are in history, in poetry, and in song, by the associated glorica of the hero of Monterey and Buena Vista, I leave them in their simple grandear. The people well know how to estimate him. His strong sense, fine sagacity, and unerring judgement—firmness of purpose, incorruptible integrity—and his open downright frankness and honesty of heart—firm and feerless as it is Sowbright transiess and nonesty not centre-frm and useries he wise kind and humane. His expansive viewer—looking to the whole country as his country, and every part of the country as his part of the country—knowing an parizan cliques or mere see usual interests—planting himself upon the constitution and the whole constitution, and sorving the people and the whole people. All this the people know well.

this the people know well.

Sir, I shall support General Taylor, and support him cerdigilly, as the true representative of all the great conservative charactersistics of the wilp party. I shall support him as a man of pecase as opposed to all wars of coaquest—as opposed to that rajectous policy that would pick a quarrel with a neighbor, and then succeed the goods. I support him for his sound constitutional views in regard to the relative daties of the respective departments of the government. King Veto will not be put in chains, but confined to this proper sphere. He will not be permitted, as a marrander of the government of the government and upon every subtle and private interest. I support him also, because and the state of t and because I have confidence in his moderation and good sense; above all, in his moderation and right-mindedness. It I have learned any thing in public life, it is, that pure intentions and single-mindedness, with strong good sense, is worth in re: than the most splendid abilities and the largest experience without them. It would be said were it otherwise. He what seeks what is right, and seeks it with singleness of mind, will rarely miss it. What care I whether General Taylorean or cannot play at a game of apphissis with expert and dexierous political dislections? What care I whether be can, whit 'm teaphysical sciences.

" Sever and divide
" A hair 'twixt north and northwest side.

What care I whother he has exact and precise views (lo we all have them D) upon many of the transient and numpers are questions of the day. Might not Washington have been werried in pelifond metaphysics—say the resolutions of '98 and '99—by may a kinght of the green bag, scarce out of his 'teens?' and une, ton, who would not have been interacted by his neighbor with the trial of a cause of the value of one hundred dollars? And yet the people intensed in the bands of that same Washington the honor, the safe ty, and glory of this great republic. Were they unwise? What I do care to know, that his views are moderate, conservative, national—all tending to peace, to wirelessme and gradual development, and progress. He who has learned by experience the miseries and horrors of war, if he be a good man, will gensally the temest strenous advocate of peace as hund as prace can be preserved with national honor. Who so pacific as Washington? Who so powerful and advocate of peace on the comition. What care I whether he has exact and precise views ( lo we all

peace of this to proceed to the first french resolution in Washington? Who so powerful an advocate of peace on the cominent of Europe as he woo struggled on a bundred latifield—some Wellington, the conjugar of Nupoleon? And Taylor, with equal virtue, equal moderation, and equal havery, will act upon the wise

maxims of peace.

Mr. HANNEGAN.—I do not rise to reply to the Senator from North Carolina, or to follow him through all the windings of his lengthened speech, but simply to make an explanation in relation to the pamphlets which he saw proper to hold up to the Senate us designed, one for the North and the other for the South. Since I designed, one for the North and the duler for the South. Since I made the brief explanation which was hastily given to me by a gentleman, a member of the other House, who happened to be in this Chamber when the charge was made, I have received the fall explanation. I put it to the Senator from North Carolina. full explanation. I put it to the Scenator from North Carolina, for whose observed as a gentleman of honor I entertain the most sincere regard, whether there is in fact, any importan or essential difference between the twe editions of this biography of General Coss! My attention has been called to this matter for the first time by the Scanter from North Carolina, and before to-day I never saw the publication. It seems that the first edition was issued in March, and the scend on June, and that in the last edition are extract from the proceedings in the national convention is substituted for a reference to the proceedings of certain State conventions which appeared in the first edition. I must confess, then, that I was not prepared for what appeared to me to be the uncondid course of the Senator from North Carolina.

Mr. MANGUM, (ia his seat.)-No uncandid course upon my

Mr. HANNEGAN .- I said what appeared to be an uncandid Mr. HANNEGAN.—I said what appeared to be an uncandid course; and certainly that was the last quarter in which I expected to find any want of candor. But it is said that there is an omission in relation to the Wilmot proviso. Let us examine this charge presented with such imposing gravity. I find that a brief existence of some nine or ten lines from the letter of General Gers to gir. Nicholson of Tennessee, is omitted in the June addition. And why! I have the explanation to make by authority from the Glu

30TH Cong .- Ist Session-No. 103.

office, and I presume no man here will pretend for one instant that either Francis P. Blair or John C. Rivos is capable of uttering a falsehood. The young man who wrote this biography of which an edition was issued in March, was desirous, after the nomination had been made at Baltimore, to introduce some new matter-the letter for instance, of General Jackson to General Cass. which was published after the biography was written; and was also desirous to substitute for the State nominations a portion of the proceedings of the Baltimore convention. The price of the work for general distribution was fifty sents a hundred copies, and if new matter had been introduced without omitting some of the old, the size of the pamphlet would of course have been increased and its price enhanced. In these circumstances it became necessary to omit some of the matter in the first edition in order to give place to the additions which were desired.

A SENATOR, (on the whig side)-Indeed!

Mr. HANNEGAN.—Ah! Let him sneer whose heart and conscience are clear of fraud. I now ask the Senator from North Carolina—and I appeal to the whole Senate—if at the first blush it did not appear as if the words "north" and "south" had been inscribed upon the pamphlets by the parties by whom they were issnedi

Mr. MANGUM .- I meant, certainly, to convey the idea that the words had been written upon the pamphlets since the publica-tion, and nobody could have supposed otherwise.

Mr. HANNEGAN .- This is a most serious charge. It imputes Mr. HANNEGAN.—This is a most serious charge. It imputes from to the democratic party—a party that to this hour has never been sustained by fraud or collusion—oever, never! I will say to him on the authority of the conductors of the Globe office, that not a single copy of the edition published in March has gone to the country since that of June was centited. Sir, General Cass stands far beyond the reach of any such assaults. What man is there who ever retired from a seat in this body with higher honor. Even Henry Clay himself, who once honored a seat there, on that side of the chamber, never took holder ground—never more promptly assumed his position upon every question than did Lewis Cass. In vain can you attempt to fasten upon him any charge of inconsistency; and as for this petty fraud which you fancied you had established, his friends spurn it with contempt collectively and indi-We hurl it back, as the offspring of a heart as base and as black as hell itself

Mr. MANGUM .- Does the Senator mean to apply these odious epithets to me?

Mr. HANNEGAN .- No, sir. Certainly not; I intended to apply them to all who would impute such a despicable fraud to us

Mr. MANGUM .- I have listened to the explanation of the hon-Mr. MANUUL—I nave instelled to the explanation of the flor-orable Senator with a great deal of interests but I make confess or a superior of the confession of the confession of the con-question so profoundly interesting—a question that is supposed to shake at this moment the very pillars of this Union I, must be per-mitted to say, the omission, and as it certainly appeared, the stu-died omission of the sentiments of General Cass in one edition of his biography, while they were retained in another edition does look very much like meditated fraud. At all events, it strikes me that the substitution of an extract from a speech about the French revolution, though its eloquence might have surpassed that of Cicero and Demosthenes, for the declaration of his sentimenis in the Wilmot proviso was in very taste.

Mr. HANNEGAN.—Why, what has been excluded? A mere extract from this letter to Mr. Nicholson, which has been published and republished in every paper in the Union.

Mr. MANGUM.—Not only that extract, sir, but the preceding paragraph which draws conclusions, as I undertake to say, utterly erroneous in reference to the contents of that letter. Will the Senator look at it?

Mr. HANNEGAN .- I will read it, sir, with great pleasure.

"The Wilman provid west tolked from its legitiants thingaid a specim of do mestic policy, having no relation to the Union, as such, and to transfer it to another, created by the people for a special propose, and foreign on the ashipe-threat in observed in this nate. By going lack to our true principles, we go back to the road of period of the contract of the contract of the proposition of t

Mr. MANGUM .- But the henorable Senator excludes the prepreceding paragraph, beginning with the words, "In December, 1847, General Cass," &c.

Mr. HANNEGAN .- Shall I road that also?

Mr. MANGUM,-If you please.

Mr. HANNEGAN .- This is I suppose the paragraph to which the Senator alludes.

"In Becumber, Perf, Gorant Cas, yav. In-vew at length agon the "Wilmott pro-"In Becumber, Perf, Gorant Cas, and Fee, very as a second consistent of the property of the prop

I now road a portion of the letter which the Senater from North Carolina did not read.

"But after all, a seem to be goognily concoled, that this retriction, if carried into effect small do in permits no or some control of the co

Mr. MANGUM.—I did not read that, because I did not have General Cass's whole letter before—I had only the extracts in the

Mr. HANNEGAN.—Yet that is the strongest part of the letter, and distinctly—as I understand it—and I think I understand it-asserts-

Mr. MANGUM .- Will the Senator allow me to explain?

Mr. HANNEGAN .- Certainly.

Mr. MANGUM.—I did not read it, because it is not contained in either of the editions which I had. That is surely a very satisfactory reason for my neglect. Let me give the history of these factory reason for my neglect. Let me give the history of these various editions. Two were placed in my bands, and I read them in order to ascertain if they were identical. I found that they were precisely so, with the exception of this matter touching the Wilmot proviso. In this one, intended for the South, in an extract from the Nicholson letter, with the commentary of the biographer, and I think his deductions are false. In the other, the only reference to the Wilmot proviso is that contained in a very brief para-graph alluding to Mr. Cass's vote on the Wilmot proviso, and asigning as a reason that it was nrged at that time with a view of impairing the reign of the administration in the prosecution of the mark that I felt it due to myself to state that I imputed nothing to General Case as taking part in this matter. I know that hungry mendicants hang upon the skirts of all parties—the leeches that desire to gorge themselves—and that they are capable of the lowest, dirtiest, and most detestable artifices.

Mr. HANNEGAN .- I believe that I know the author of these Mr. HANNEGAN.—I believe that I know the author of these biographers of General Cass. He is a young man, whom I have met accidentally. He is about twenty-five years of age, and judging from his countenance, which seems frank and open, I think he is incapable of fraud. But, indeed, there is no fraud in the case. The explanation which I have given, shows that no fraud has been attempted—no fraud committed. Take the whole letter—the famous letter of General Cass to Mr. Nicholson—and can there be any doubt as to the sentiments of our candidate? And certainly you must take the text and the context together. The gentleman from North Carolina is a lawyer, and an able one. He has bon-ored the bench, and knows that it is against every rule of law to extract a single sentence without taking the context. But it does seem strange to me, that representing the gallant old State of North Carolina, the Senator, whom I have allways been proud to call my friend, should have assailed General Cass for holding doctrines conservative of the domestic institutions of the Senator's own State

Mr. MANGUM.—I never made any such charge. I said that he had made no avowal upon the point at issue.

Mr. HANNEGAN .- Does not the last extract which I have read contain an avowal—a very strong and explicit avowal? The Senator from North Carolina himself could not desire one more emphatic. But, will my friend pardon me if I ask why it is, that while assailing our candidate for what he thinks proper to term bad principles, he did not come forward with some of the princi-ples of his own candidate? He has assailed our platform at Baltimore—where is your platform at Philadelphia? Here it is— (holding up a blank sheet of paper,) not one solitary principle is inscribed upon it. You take your candidate on trust. You once took John Tyler on trust, and never were curses of execration so deep and so loud as those which you have never ceased to pour out upon his devoted head. But you are ready to take another upon trust. You have nominated General Taylor—and I am the last man alive that would assail him as an individual—I believe him to be a aged, however, are well and also. Hard, by a come a dimestion, he never east as vote in his life for any officer, from constaints up to President. You say you will dictate to him. But we seek in vain for any indications of your policy. True, you assail as for the In dependent Treasury, which has given security to the finances of the country; and on account of the tariff of '46, which is this year yielding us thirty-two millions, although you told us it would bring over sixteen or seventeen millions. You assail us also on account of this Mexican war; but remember that General Taylor was a great instigator of it, and a leading actor in that war. the Senator from North Carolina himself earnestly aided in giving to that war an official character.

Mr. MANGUM .- (in his seat.)-Protesting against the preamble all the time.

Mr. HANNEGAN.—The Senator says that he cannot comprehend our principles by our resolutions. Let him look at our practice—let him see the results of this very war. I thank the Senator for his nid. His course was patriotic, as I believe his heart to for for his fig. 11s course was particule, as incineve his means to be. The war has resulted in the acquisition of an empire. But, then, the Senator charges as with covering up our principles in language which cannot be comprehended. Pray what proclamation of principle do you make to the country? At Philadelphiahere, everywhere, it is "old Zack for President and old Whitey for Vice President!" Yes, so it was openly proclaimed in the Philadelphia convention. And are you certain that the gentleman who made that nomination will not be a member of the eabinan who made that nomination will not be a member of the eabinan who made that nomination will not be a member of the eabinate, and insist in making "Old Whitey" a judge of the Sapreme Court? Caligula made his horse a consul, and built him a golder scale, and an invery manager, as my friend suggested. These are your principles—this the proclamation of your policy. And yet whose gigantic arm overspread the land, and in whose grasp the public liberty had well nigh perished! You assail us for having destroyed your tariff of '42, which built up such colosal fortunes as hat of the accomplished gentleman who can gue fifty thousand dollars every three or four months to inscribe the name of 'Abbott Lawrence,' on some great literary or charitable institution. We broke it down, and enacted a law which stopped the accommistion of millions in individual hands, and increased the connect and nominated "Old Zack" and 'Old Whirey." It may be that the intelligence of the American people has become suddenly blind. Malaness may have seized upon us. The tures are portentous. One of the signs of the times was alloded to in a very pleasant spirit by my firend from North Carollian—the demonstration made by that arch-apostate to his country and his party—Martin Van Bernen. It may be that the admonstration made by that arch-apostate to his country and his party—Martin Van Bernen. It may be that the andness has selected upon the land, but I will not believe it. On the contrary, I believe that the deal of friends, rising from the banquet which they would fain taste—the banquet of the spoils—as, in the language of England's more than Homer—

"Rose the Daoite strong, Herculean Samson, from the harlot-lap Of Philistean Delilah, and walted Shora of his strength"

Yes! in the very act of raising that Taylor flag you are doomed to be forever shorn of your identity and strength.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland.—I do not rise for the purpose, at this time, of discussing the question before the Senate. The Senator from North Carolina informed the Senate that he had in his possession two pumphlets, containing a sketch of the life and services of General Lewis Cass; and that finding them on examination to be different in certain particulars, he was led to believe that one was intended for circulation in the North, and the other for circulation in the South, and had so endorsed them. His reason for that supposition was, that in one of the pamphlets he found, what purported to be the sentiments of Lewis Cass on the Wilmot provise; and that in the other he was mable to find anything on that subject, except a very brief reference, which was calculated to make the public believe that General Cass would have voted for the provision on other circumstances. The passage alluded to will be found in the edition which is supposed to have been intended for the North, and is as follows:

"In the winter of 1847 the Wilnot proviso was introduced into the Seante, as no amendment to the three million bill, by a federal Senator from New England. The design of the mover was evidently to detent the passage of the bill, to which it was attached, and to embarate the administration in the prosecution of the war. General Case voted against the provise, for reason grear in the speech on the coefficient.

It is evident that a casual reader, not acquainted with the reasoning given, would have supposed from this passage that, but for the proposed amendment, General Cass would have voted for it; and that his vote was given against the amendment, in order to prevent embarrassment of the Administration in the prosecution of the war. That paragraph the Senator from North Carolina informed as was also to he found in the pamphlet which he imagined was inrended for the South. But, is order to make it pulatable in that latitude, it was accompanied by a portion of a letter said to have been written—and no doubt was written—by General Cass to Mr. Nicholson. And in introducing that he says.

"In December, 1847, Control Cans ever his views at each pour the "Wilmot governo", in a fetter bit. Nicholony of Pennesses that the pour the "Wilmot governo" is a fetter bit. Nicholony of Pennesses the property of the United States, respecting the cumulate feltons of their bindle taxis. He believed list all questions of that patture should be witted by the pennesses of the property of the pennesses of the penne

That portion of the edition purporting to be published in March is not to be found in that edition purporting to be issued in June. Taking these two pamphlets together, the Senator from North Carolina was, I think, perfectly justified in supposing that, by whomsoever these two pamphlets were prepared, the omission to make them exactly the same was for some purpose. That finding an exact similarity between the two in every thing excepting this one particular, he was justified in the interence that the pamphlets were intended for different meridians, and tata, as the South felt exceedingly sensitive upon the subject of this interference by Congess with the rights of southern citizens to migrate to the territories of the United States with their slaves, their good opinion was to be won by convincing them that this democratic nominee would veto any buil looking to any such anilar, or supposed to maintain the two plantation of the boarroble enator from Indiana, which he supposes to be entirely satisfactory, to be this—that the French reports on having intervened, or the intelligence of it having been re-

ceived, after the issue of the edition of this biography issued in the month of March, it was thought proper by the biographer-

Mr. HANNEGAN, (in his seat.)-And after the nomination at

Mr. JOHNSON.—I understand. It was thought proper by the biographer to give to the public the opinions of General Cass on that revolution and the questions connected with it; and that in order to do so, and at the same time keep the pamphlet within such a compass as would enable the publishers to dispose of it at the contemplated price, it was necessary to omit some portion of the March edition, as otherwise, the pamphlet would exceed the number of eight pages, and of course be charged with higher postage.

Mr. HANNEGAN, (in his seat.)—And a higher price. It was done at his own discretion altogether.

Mr. JOHNSON.—That, then, is the explanation. What is it worth? It may be extifactory to my friend from Indiana, and withstanding the indignate feelings of the Senator from Indiana, expressed with that impulsiveness which characterizes him, ac expressed with that impulsiveness which characterizes him, ac expressed with that impulsiveness which characterizes him, ac expressed with that of the senator from Indiana, expressed with the form which accordance from the senator of the senator of the domestic participant of the senator of the senator in the senator of the senator is the senator of the senator

Mr. HANNEGAN .- I had it from a member of the House.

Mr. JOHNSON.—He may have been taken in, as the Senator was taken in. The unfortmate "federal Senator" [Mr. Ufikan] having heard something of the issue of these pamphlets obtained possession of copies, one of them dated in March and one in Jure. Now, what will the Senator from Indians as in behalf of that young man, only "twe ity-five years of age," with a countenance beaming with candar and fraikness, when he is informed that in the copy of the June edition which has fallen into the hands of the Senator from Vermont there is no such omission!

Mr. HANNEGAN.—I will give you the names of my authorities for the explanation. General Bayly, of Virginia, and Howel Cobb, of Georgia.

Mr. JOHNSON.—Honorable men! And you are "all honorable men!" Now, will my friend from Indiana have the goodness to take that edition dated in March, which came into the possession of the Senator from Vermont, and do me the favor to see whether, on the eighth page of that pamphlet, something is not said of Gen. Cass's opinion of the Wilmor proviso.

Mr. HANNEGAN, (with the pamphlet in his hand.)-Yes, it is here, sir.

Mr. JOHNSON.—Now, that is left out, says the Scantor, in the edition of June, in order to make room for additional matter. But I hold in my hand a copy of the edition of June in which that very omitted passage is to be found. So then, we have two editions in June, one intended for the North and the other for the South.

Mr. FOOTE.—Will the Senator allow me to ask him a question?

Mr. JOHNSON.-When I have concluded, I will allow the Senator any thing.

Mr. FOOTE.—I merely wish to know whether these editions were issued by the same authority and by the same person; whether they are not second and third editions of the first pumphlet issued by other persons?

Mr. JOHNSON.—They have all been published at the same offies, they are all printed on the same paper, in the same type; and, for sught that hos appeared to the contrary, written by the same young man of only "twenty-five years of age," with the frank and candid countenance.

and I have done. It was stated, during the last camplage, (and every one conversant with the history of the election knows that it was true,) that publications were issued to the North which never found their way to the South, and rice versa. I am well aware found their way to the South, and rice versa. I am well aware that my valued friends of the democratic party are as incapable as any of us of perpetrating such a fraud for such a purpose as that of elevating any man to the Presidency—a position conferring honor only when obtained by honorable means. But such things have been done. Why, I ask, in the uame of all that is decent, honorable, and of good report, why is it that we find issning from the "Congressional Globe" office—from the democratic hall—the "Congressional Globe" office—from the democratic hall—same almost in all particulars, except in this single particular, same almost in all particulars, except in this single particular, shout which the public mind is more sensitive than on any other sablect? Why is it that there is sues from that office not only two editions, dated one in March and the other in June, characterized by such a difference; but that, in the month of June, the same month of the same eyar, in the same city, and from the same cifice, there is found emanating two editions of this biography marked by the same designed difference? It is no error of the press. The compositor is not to be visited for the sin. How did it happen I it did not do itself. Who did it?

Mr. HANNEGAN-(in his seat.)-Some villain !

Mr. JOHNSON.—No doubt! I say, too, it was "some villain?" and wint has been done since the doed was perpetrated? I make bold to say that hundreds of thousands of three editions of June have been scattered broad-east over the land—the one in the North and the other in the South. I did rejoice to hear the Sena-tiffent for the land was experiency satisfactory. It gives me unmixed gratification to believe that with in the aimosphere of Congress, there could be found no man base enough to act either as employer or employee in the work of a tempting thus to deceive the public for auch a purpose. But the explanation falls to the ground. The facts set is slongether saide. Talk about "Platforms!" There is but one platform which can command any respect. It is the platform of honor and integrity. Honorable men of ull parties must unite in indignant reprobation of the miserable artifice—the pality truck, which this day's discussion has detected and exposed. Nor let it be said that this divergence from the question directly before the Senate, has been profiless, or a waste of time. A base attempt to delode and defraud the American people has been frostrated. The author of it, who were he may be, stands convoiced of "false pretences" in the judg-work has the protences and the converte may be, stands convoiced of "false pretences" in the judg-work has the contraction of the miserable of the forestrated. The author of it, who

some ammentant people has been trustrated. And subtor of II, Whowere he may be, stands convicted of "false pretences" in the judgment of the whole community.

Of Gen. Cass's pretensions to the Presidency, I shall speak bereefter on a proper occasion. But if here, no man would more indignantly denounce than he, this miscrable truckery which would
almost diegrace that class of our fellow men who ere so degraded
as to seek the means of support by cheating at the gambling table. Their operations are limited to a small circle; but this mode
of electioncering, perincious and detestable as it is, affects the interces so the people of the United States, and not their's alone,
but those of all who love constitutional freedom throughout the

world.

Mr. HANNEGAN .- I am very much surprised by the disclo sure which has been made by the Senator from Maryland. Had been aware that there were two June editions of this biography of General Cass. I would have announced that fact to the Senate .-When I interrunted the Senator, I was satisfied that there must When I interrupted the Senator, I was satisfied that there must be some mistake about his matter, and I think so still. At once and lerver, on the part of every democratic member of both house of Congress, I disclaim all participation in this transaction. On the jart of every friend of General Cass, I indignantly repudiate any shure in any attempt to deceive the public mind. As to the nature of the occurrence itself, permit me to say one word in reply to my Irrude from Maryland and North Carolina. The omission, alteration, difference, or by whatever other name you please to call it, is quite unsubstantial. Whoever may be the unhappy and misguided man who made the alteration, if it was designedly done, is perfectly preposterous to bring the thing forward as a gra charge against General Cass and the democratic party. Why has it been presented here? Is this chamber to become a mere arenn for Presidential gladiatorship? Are we to step from our high costs and sink into the mere business of electioneering for rival can'd dates for the Presidency? I protest against every thing I hold a seat in this chamber to be far elevated above all those lancied enjoyments of the station to which so many aspire, and in pursoit of which so many have gone mad. Why should pire, and in pursuit of wines so many nave gone mad, way should we waste our time in discussing the pretensions of Presidential aspirants? Do you want the history of Lewis Cass? Do you wish to investigate his principles? If you do, go study the history of the country from about the middle of Mr. Jefferson's admin-Jefferson's administration to the present hour. Do you desire to know his political views upon any of t. e great questions that agitate the country? Go to your journals—to the records of your proceedings and de-bates, preserved here by your faithful official reporter. There you will find his sentiments declared with that frankness and manliness which characterize him. There is no dodging about him. The record of your debates shows, that he was niways prepared to declare his views and sentiments, and that every interrogatory and very demand met a full and prompt response. This is the re-cord that we read daily, north, south, east, and west, and I do protest against this attempt to hold General Casa and the demo-erate party responsible for this alleged deception.

Mr. MANGUM.-We do not hold him responsible for it.

Mr. HANNEGAN .- Well, then, I have nothing more to say on the subject.

Mr. FOOTE.—It must be obvious to all that the Senator from Manyland has failed most egregiously in his attempt to exhibit his charge of there having been two editions of the life exhibits his charge of their having been two editions of the life charge of the life that the life is the south, differing from each other in tegs and the other in the South, differing from each other in regard to the Wilmot proviso. The truth is—as I not told can be easily proved, if necessary—that there never has then two editions on hand at the Globe office at the same time; and that, of all the editions published, as large a number were sent to one section of the Union as to the other. In addition, I will remark, that there is really no repugnance, or very material difference of any kind, between the two editions which have been subjected to criticism here, so far as the Wilmot provise is conserved. One of these editions runs somewhat more into detail on this subject than the other, which it was deemed expedient to enhuen and dorn with the letter of General Jackson, and General Cas's views in regard to the revolutionary movements in Prance—a subject which he is well known to have discussed at a public

meeting in this city acme time after the first edition of his life had issued from the press. Besides, sir, this earnestly urgad charge becomes perfectly ridiculous when we reflect upon the fact that General Cas's letter to Mr. Nicholson, plainly declaring his hostility to the Wilmot provite, has been published, in pampliet form, by thousands upon thousands, and distributed all over the republic, and has been republished in every leading democratic and whig newspaper on the continent; so that it eannot be possible that any intelligent man any where can be ignorant of his true attitude. Why, sir, I have seen some ten or a dozen different lives of General Taylor, and some of them ridiculous enough, God knows; but who ever thought of helding him or the whig party responsible for any of them! I will not argue this matter grave, I some todo so; it is unworthy of this body and the respecta-

ble Senator who introduced it to our notice.

The Senator from North Carolina, [Mr. Manous,] has closed his elaborate defence of General Taylor; an address charaoterized by ability, learning, and a peculiar austuteness of mind. But all will admit, who have listened to it, that he has given no new information to the Senate and the country relative to General Taylor's political opinions. This is just as I had anticipated, and helforthand ventured to predict. Surely, if any one knows anything positively on this subject, the Senator from North Carolina cannot remain in ignorance. He is one of the most prominent leaders of the white party; and though it be true, as he says, that he is but newly initiated in the Eleusinian mysteries of Taylor's monitation to allow the Senator from North Carolina to give in his adhesion to him, I do not not see why we might not reasonably demand from him one or two substantial political reasons at least for ampending the confidence of his chosen candidate as some others, I trust that he at least occupies such an attitude at present as will enable him to learn all that it is important for him to find out concerning the principles of his candidate, to enable him to deficient ser-

ce in his support.

Mr. President, I regret that my friend, the Senator from North Carolina, who so decidedly declines a regular vindication of the whig ticket, should have put himself to the trouble of assailing that of the democratic party. More especially do I regret that he should have gone out of his way to denounce General Cass's alleged change of opinion upon the Wilmot proviso. I have heretofore explained the conduct of General Cass in regard to this imputed change of opinion, and will not trouble the Senate further on the subject at this time. But before my friend from North Carolina so hoisterously complains of General Cass's supposed inoonsistency, and charges it so fiercely to be a deeply disreputable thing for a statesman of years and experience to undergo and confess the least alteration of judgment upon a grave public question, I am of opinion that it would be at least discreet in him to recollect, that perchance he is not himself now the advocate here of all the principles which he once zealously maintained. I shall not go at large and minutely into the history of that worthy Senator, for the purpose of pointing out his inconsistenceies; but there is one remarkable change of opinion, made manifest by the Senator for the markable change of opinion, made manifest by the Senator for the first time, I believe, in the progress of this debate, which is allogether so glaring and material that he will excuse me for making specific mention of it. It will be recollected, that whilst the Senator from North Carolina was addressing the Senate, I propoundator irom North Carolina was addressing too Senate, I propounce deservat interrogatories to bim, which be undertook to answer. Among them were the following: What were Geeral Teylor wiews touching the origin of the Mexican war? Did he believe it to have arisen by the act of the Mexican war? Did he believe it to have arisen by the act of the gight in regard to the commencement of the war, and our country in the wrong? Or was Moxico in the wrong, and our country in the right! The honorable Senator awong, and our country in the right? The honorable Senator awong and an answering for himself, and, in doing so, declared, beliation in answering for himself, and, in doing so, declared, that it was his deliberate conviction that the war had been com-menced by the President of the United States, and unjustly and unnecessarily commenced by him. I shall not stop now to defend the President and our own country from the present animadver-sions of the Senator from North Carolina. I prefer reminding the Senator of what he himself said in this body, on a very noted oc-Seator of what he number said in fins body, on a very noted oc-osion. When sir, on the 12th day of May, 1846, a bill was raceived from the House of Representatives, entitled "An act providing for the prosecution of the existing war between the United States and the Republic of Mexico," and was undergoing considering in this chamber, the honorable Senator from North Carolina addressed the Senate at some length, and used, in the progress of his speech, the following language. the following language:

"He had no disposition to enhances the passage of the bill. He should, however, greatly heatfast to vate for it in its present shape, inamuch as il was equivelent to a declaration of war, but he was prepared at once to vote trupplies to any amount, whether of men or money. All he saked was, that the political question as to the other control of the Administration wasted their first thousand men end then tree millions of follars, they could have both to held an hour, if they would not emburans the bill be cancerting it with the other questions." "They were not willing to as a cancerting it with the other questions." "They were not writing to as Maxico did actually exist. Suppose that the troops which created the Ric Ground (Renices tutops of course) and exist exhibit the earthering of their Occuments, I as one, Mr. President, we know they did not, and that the collision which had name, and the state of the same of the control of the same calcular of the control of the control of the control of the beat of the control of the control of the decision of the control of the c

Well, Mr. President, we have since ascertained, and the Senator will not deny the fact, that all which was done by the Mexican officers and soldiers referred to, so far from being disavowed by their government, was done by express orders of that government, and has been repeatedly sauctioned and justified in the most solemn and formal manner. We all know that a state of war did actually exist on the 12th day of May, 1846, not by the unathorized act of Mexican officers and soldiers, but under the express and the second of the sec

Mr. NILES —I think, sir, that the Senator from Mississippi has done remarkably well! I rise only for the purpose of saying, that considering this as a "ratification meeting," and comparing

it with the accounts of similar meetings all over the land, I must congratulate the Senate and the country that it has been conducted with so much decount and propriety! There have been on cheers, no greate, no hisses. All that seems to be wanting is the intro-presidential candidates. Where the senation of the nomination of must congratulate honorable gentlemen on both sides on their shifty in assault. They have reminded me of an ancedtor of Dr. Franklin. Two men got into a violent quarrel, and after abosing each other, and enling all sorts of hard names, they agreed to refer the matter to the Doctor. The Doctor heard their statements, and then remarked, that he did out understand the matter himself, but it was undenable that the individuals aggrieved seemed to understand each other remarked, that he did out understand the matter himself, but it was undenable that the individuals aggrieved seemed to understand each other remarked, that he did out understand its manuferable that the individuals aggrieved seemed acquired to the candidate of the opposite side, but neither succession in attacking the candidate of the opposite side, but neither succession in a tracking the candidate of the opposite side, but neither succession in a tracking the candidate of the opposite side, but neither succession in the succession of the succ

Mr. CLAYTON then obtained the floor, and,

On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# WEDNESDAY, JULY 5, 1848.

### REPORT FROM THE TREASURY DEPARTMENT.

#### DETITIONS

Mr. NILES presented two petitions of citizens of Troy, New York, praying a reduction of the postage on letters.

Ordered, That they lie on the table.

#### SURVEY OF RED RIVER.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, asked and obtained leave to bring in a bilt to provide for a survey of the Red river from its mouth to the head of its navigable waters; which was read the first and second time by unanimous consent; and it was

Ordered, That it be referred to the Committee on Commerce, and that it be printed.

#### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

Mt. Penidett. The House of Representatives have passed a joint resolution disposing of two biass field pieces captured at the battle of Benangton in 1777, in which they request the concurrence of the Senate.

The Speaker of the House of Representatives having signed an emolled bill, I am directed to bring it to the Senate for the signature of their Penident.

### THE ADJOURNMENT RESOLUTION.

The Senate resumed the consideration of the resolution passed by the House of Representatives, fixing a day for the adjournment of Congress.

Mr. NILES.—I gave notice the other day, that if this debate was followed up, I should raise a point of order.

## Mr. CLAYTON .- I believe I have the floor

Mr. NILES.—I will allow the Senator to proceed of course, as he is entitled to the floor; but if he pursues the irrelevant course which this debate has already assumed, I shall be compelled to call him to order.

Mr. CLAYTON.—I do not know that I should have troubled the Senate upon this resolution, in relation to the subject which is the common topic of discussion here, had I not been directly and personally appealed to by the Senator from Mississippi, who seems to apprehend that I have some particular knowledge of the views and opinions of General Taylor npon the various political questions which are agitated in this country. I am averse, as all who know any thing of my course here will acknowledge, to the introduction of topics which are irrelevant to the matter before the Senate, and I feel now the awkwardness of my position in to set of pertinency to the subject under our discussion. Wet, appealed to as I have been by the Senator, I cannot withold a fall and free expression of my own opinions in regard to those topics which have excited so much interest in him. Let me say in the outset I have no other means of access to the opinions of General Taylor than those which every other citizen of this republic has.

Mr. NILES.—I rise to a question of order. And my point of order is, that this discussion, in regard to the character, qualifications, and general fitness of General Taylor, of General Cass, and other candidates for the Presidency, is irrelevant to the question before the Senate, and hardly consistent with the decorm of this body, or the dignity which we ought to maintain. It is a range of debato which, I believe, has never been tolerated here since I have had the honor of a seat in this hody.

Mr WESTCOTT.—I very nuch regret, that the Senator from Connecticut should have felt bound to raise this question of order. Since the Sonator intimated his intention to raise the question, I have examined the rules of the Senate, and I can find nothing therein, that will authorize the Presiding Oillieer to determine that this debate is not pertinent to the subject of the resolution. Besides, if Congress can settle the point, as to who is best entitled to be elected to the Presidential char, the people will be relieved from the trouble of determining the question.

PRESIDING OFFICER.—The Senator is aware, that a matter of this sort must be decided without debate.

Mr. FOOTE.-I wish to set myself right.

PRESIDING OFFICER.—The question must be decided without debate.

Mr. FOOTE.—I mercly desire to make an explanation.

Mr. BERRIEN.—The Senator's object can be attained, by taking an appeal from the decision of the chair. The question will then be open to debate.

Mr. CLAYTON.-1 wish to say a word before the chair decides.

SEVERAL SENATORS .- Oh, no, let the decision be made.

The VICE PRESIDENT stated that he had been, for several days, of opinion that the debate on this resolution had taken too wide a range; and now, that the point was made, he decided that the Senator frem Delaware was out of order.

Mr. CLAYTON.—From that decision I appeal; and I wish to state to the Senate the grounds upon which I make the appeal. The honorable Senator from Mississippi, who introduced this subject into the discussion, told us that he supposed that gentlemen on this side of the chamber—friends of General Taylor—were anxions for an early adjournment, and their object was to avoid a discussion of those very topics to which he said he was about to provoke us. This subject, then, having been connected with the discussion upon the resolution, I think it is not out of order for us to pursue it. We wish to show, what is true, that we do not aim to shorten the session for the pursue of avoiding any question that may be proposed. And I think I need only appeal to the justice of gentlemen on the order side, after all that has occurred some form Mississippi. The debate has proceeded until all that can be said on one side has been said, and now with what propriety can gentlemen refuse to allow us to reply ? I make my appeal to their sense of justice as well as their generosity, to reverse the decision of the chair.

Mr. MANGUM.—With a view that no precedent may be set to make rass the progress of the Senate. I move that the question of order, together with the appeal lie upon the table. I understand the effect of that motion will be to leave the discussion open as before.

Mr. TURNEY.—The chair has decided the discussion to be out of order, and it mustbe so considered, therefore, until that decision is reversed.

Mr. MANGUM.—My purpose is, if the motion I have made prevails, to follow it with another motion, that the Senator from Delaware be permitted to proceed.

Mr. CALHOUN.-Will the Senator withdraw his motion for a

Mr. MANGUM.—Certainly.

Mr. CALHOUN.—I am sorry that this debate has arisen, but having arisen, and having been permitted to proceed thus far, I doubt the propriety of cutting off the debate at its present stage. The point of order should have been made earlier, and not having been made, the better way will be to permit it to go on to its conchaion, with the understanding that it shall conclude to -day.

Mr. EERRIEN.—I feel all the embarrassment of my position under the sense of duty which, as a member of this body, I feel that I owe to the country. I would hear the Senator from Delaware with great pleasure; I believe with the Senator from South Carolina, that the debate ought to have been arrested long ago, but I cannot persuade myself, that because I have failed to do my duty heretofore, I am absolved from the obligation to perform it now. I ask of Senators, I we are meeting the wishes of our constituency by a discussion of this sort? We are approaching the termination of the session; there is important business to transact; the country is looking to us to transact; it, and we are doing what Employing our time with the discussion of sense of the duty I owe to the country, acquiesce in this thing. I regret that the debate has gone thus far, and I regret that gonicum, if the decision of the chair is sustained, will not have an opportunity of expressing their views as fully as they desire upon all questions; yet I think that the Senator from Connecticut in making the motion he has made, has performed the duty that was incumbent on him.

Mr. FOOTE,—It is most evident from the remarks of gentlems who, I think, have not weighed the matter as they should not a the originate the matter as they should as the originator of this debate. The debate is pronounced to be out of order, and I am recognized as the main offender. I am very clear that this debate is a strictly in order as any debate that has occurred since I have been a member of this body. Who is to prescribe for us the occurse of argument we are to pursue upon

any question? I presume there can be no rule of the Senato which makes it necessary that a member should practice hyporary, and conceal his real opinions. I entertain an opinion—and realized by some members of the body to law—that the desire manifested by some members of the body to law—that the desire adjournment was dictated by a dissinclination on their part to meet certain issues. I stated that I was anxions to ascertain what disposition was likely to be unded of a great question concected with the organization of the territories of Oregon, California, and New Mexico; I was desirous that that question should be settled before we adjourned, for it not settled, I apprehended that fearful contains the state of the state of

Mr. HAMLIN.—I was myself gratified that the Senator from Connecticut deemed it his duty to arrest this debate. I shall you to sustain the decision of the chair, because I believe it is right, and because we can do it without discourtesy to the Senator from Disways. I design to do it without entering at all not the questions of the senator from the control of the senator from the control of the senator from the other house, the opinion was expressed by gentlemen who had long held senat on this floor, that we should undoubtedly be able to adjourn at a much earlier period of time, if we were to agree upon a time for adjournment and return the resolution to the House. In the discussion of the resolution it would certainly be appropriate to allude to the various subjects that will necessarily come before us for our consideration, but it would be clearly out of order and inappropriate to discuss the principles or the merits of those measures for this body to listen to the Senator from Delaware when he shall have occasion to address the Senate, and I would suggest to the Senator, if it would be equally satisfactory to him, to be tus hear from him upon some question that will hereofter come before us, propriets. I tusel, however, that the decision of the chair will be senatoring the remained, for it is in accordance with parliamentary law, and it will enable us the sone tree lose our duties here. If the interests of the country were to be forwarded by it I should be willing, for every found that the control were to be forwarded by it I should be willing, for every found that the decision of the chair will be senator for the rin ratification meeting during the remainder of the year; but as I conceive that there is no propriety whatever in such a course of the tree that the country were to be forwarded by it I should be willing, for

Mr. MANGUM.—I hope the Senator from Delaware will withdraw his appeal.

Mr. CLAYTON withdrew the appeal.

Mr. MANGUM —I now move that the Senator from Delaware be permitted to proceed, and upon that motion I call the yeas and nays.

They were ordered

Mr. BERRIEN.—I wish I could find in the motion which is now submitted to the Senate a relief from the embarrassment which I feel upon this occasion; but I cannot; and the yeas and mays being called I desire briefly to say, that the appeal of the Senator from Delaware being withdrawn, the decision of the ehair that this debate is out of order, stands as the decision of the Senate. This is, then, a motion that the Senator from Delaware be permitted to proceed out of order. Upon that question I am willing to respond by my vote.

Mr. DOWNS.—I am very sorry that I (sel it my duty to oppose this motion. I should certainly be gratified to hear the Senator from Delaware, and if I thought that justice to him required that he should be allowed to proceed, or if the debate had been entered into upon one side alone, I should certainly vote for the motion; for although I consider it very unfortunate that the debate has taken place, still I would not have relused an opportunity to gentlemen on that side of the chamber to participate. But is it not a fact that the debate has been confined to one side of the chamber. Gentlemen of both parties have entered into it, and if there

be any difference, those upon that side of the chamber have been heard to the greatest extrat. Nowe; it scena to me, that the debate ought to proceed no further, for if we have any of the conclequent Senator from Delaware, shall not we on this side desire to reply to it? In this way, there would be no end to the discussion. To sustain this motion would be, in effect, annuling the decision of the chair. I hope that by common consent the debate will now be terminated,

Mr. BORLAND.—It seems to me that until we have heard what it is that the Seaator from Delaware is going to say, it is difficult to determine whether he is out of order or not. It is certainty an extraordinary course to feetile that a Secator is out of order in debate before he has commenced his remarks.

Mr. BADGER.—I shall vote for the affirmation of this question, and I wish to state in three words why I shall do it. Wish the greatest respect towards the Presiding officer of this body. I am of opinion that the decision made by him upon the point of or der was not a correct one; and I regret that the bonerable Senator who took the appeal thought proper to withdraw it. I understand the case to be this—and I have learned it from the decisions of the Presiding officer hereforer made—when a debate arises upon any question, and gendlemen go into a disensision of matters and strictly pertinent to the question before the Senate, it is out of most properties of the decision of the Senate with a great progress of the decision.

Mr. WESTCOTT.—I find aothing in the rules of the Senate in relation to irrelevancy, it relevancy or irrelevancy, rivelevancy, then, I apprehend is left altogether to the discretion or sena of propriety of Senators. Acd I should repret to see any rule calcired here which would limit and restrict debate. This hody is differently constituted from almost overy other legislative body. Its anembers being the representatives of sovereign States, the same rules, therefore, which apply to other representative bodies same rules, therefore, which apply to other representative bodies can arise from any irrelevant debate that may no great harm that lie opinion operating upon those who engage in such a debate will always serve to restrain it within due hounds. I shall vote for the motion for leave to proceed.

Mr. BUTLER.—The question has assumed some degree of complexity. It would seem that we were denying the Senator from Delaware the right to reply, but the form in which the question, nor induce me to alter my course in regard to aller my course in retaining the second of the chair. I would be as willing as any Senator to indulge the Senator from Delaware, but this debate has assumed a latitude unknown certainly heretologies in the American Senate. I dislike very much the tone of the debate; it is altogether unworthy of the Senator.

Mr. FOOTE.—I understand the Senator from South Carolina to say that the course the debate has taken is in violation of Senatorial usage, and that it does not harmonize with the dignity of this body. I should suppose that the magnitude and importance of the subject discussed would make it harmonize with the dignity of any deliberative body; and with regard to triclevancy, I beg to call the Senator's attention to the case to which I have referred, where a distupuished Senator spoke for horns and days, without touching upon the question before the Senato. There are numerous cases of the same kind that might be cited, but this will suffice; and unless the Senator is prepared to di-prove this precedent, I shall certainly expect from him some meditaction of this assertion, that a debate of this kind is without precedent. I maintain with the distinguishe for wisdom, and I would rather err with such men, than be in the right with ordinary men.

Mr. BUTLER.—I assure my honorable friend from Mississippi, that I had no intention of censuring him for having originated the debate. What I object to is that a debate so olatitudinous in its character should be pursued. The Senator probably was not aware to what extent it would be carried, but he should recollect that sometimes, "Large streams from little fountains flow."

Mr. NILES.—The question now before us is a novel one in thus body, or in any other. By a vote of the Senate upon yeas and nays, we are to decide whether a member of this body shall preced in his speech "out of order." I am not disposed to debate that question. The point ordinarily is where a member has been called to order, that he shall be allowed to preced "in order," and this carries with it an admonition to the Speaker, or Presiding Officer to keep him in order if he eao.

But this delate has extended to the great issues that are before the country, and I beg to know if these issues have any boaring whatever upon the question of the adjournment of Congress I's. I have raised the question of order for two reasons: not never because the debate was irrelevant—for I am an advocate for the unsust freedom of debate—but because I believed the debate to be unsuitable to the dignity of this body—derogatory to the position which I hope the Senate of the United disposing of the public business, which is urgently demanding our attention. And we have now had a debate which has consumed two days of the

session, upon matters not connected with any one of the subjects session, upon matter as, but relating to the views and opinions of the candidates who are to be brought forward at the Presidential election What must be the judgment of the people of this country upon such a proceeding as this? I have listened to the debate with the deepest pain and regret; and Senators contend that it eannot now be arrested, having been allowed to go on thus far.

This is a vere extraordinate, does it become less so because it for the debate was

and to order at the beginning, does it become less so because it
has been permitted to proceed for two days? And shall we suffer
the so go on for weeks!—and that immediately consequent on the to go on to wears—out at immediately consequence of the statement that has gone out, if the urgency of the public business and of the difficulty of disposi, go if it for want of time? It must be manifest to Senators that we are recklessly wasting the time in speeches that properly belong to the stump. It is a course of proceeding that is altogether molecoming the Senate of the United States

Mr. FOOTE .- I must be permitted to vindicate myself from the aspersion of having introduced a discussion that is unbecoming and derogatory to the dignity of the Senate. The Senator from Connecticut characterizes this debate as an indecent and reckless waste of these life by the Senators of the control of t of time. If the Senator intends to apply such epithers to me, I hurl them back. I think the Senator was unfortunate in the selecof his phrases.

Mr. NILES .- My remarks had no relation to the connexion which the Senator has with the dehate; they were in reference to the state of the public business.

Mr. FOOTE.—I understood the Senator as referring to the introduction of the discussion when he used the term. I would rather have any character in the world fixed on me than that of reckless. The Senator says that questions have been discussed here that the Senste has nothing to with. The Presidential election here that the Senate has nothing to with. In a Presidential election involves certain questions which are necessarily associated with it in the mind of every intelligent man in the United States. Now, let me ask this question—it is a grave question: Whether the Senator considers it more indecent or reckless to have these matters discussed here in courteous terms, or to sit at our desks and do what I belive the Senator has lately done, indite a long epsile to a certain newspaper in New England, entitled the "Liberator?" discussing a certain subject in a style not only little becoming a Senator of the United States, but were it not for the respect which I entertain for the Senator, I would say in a reckless manner—in a manner calculated to make every patriot ashamed of the author of such a production? Sir, the honorable Senator knows to what a manner carculated to make every partiet usualized to the author of such a production? Sir, the honorable Senator knows to what I allude. I ask the Senator whether he will not permit us, who are not so fond of letter writing, but who prefer a friendly interchange of opinion here to filling the columns of newspapers with coarse and vituperative language, to indulge our preference? and I appeal to his magnammity to bear with us, and I contend that be has farnished us with an excellent example for the discussion which has here taken place.

Mr. NILES .- I do not know that the Senater from Mississippi is responsible for any letter I may write.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland-(interposing.)-What is the question before the Senate?

PRESIDING OFFICER .- The question is upon the resolution fixing the time for the adjournment of Congress

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland .- Then I call the honorable Se-

PRESIDING OFFICER.-The Senator will state his point of order.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland .- The Senator from Connecticut as about to speak in reference to a letter said to be written by him. I have not the slightest objection to hear the Senater upon that or any other question, but if he is ebout to enforce upon the Senator from Delaware a rule, I insist that the same rule shall be applied I am perfectly willing that the Senator from Connecto numeri. I am percetty wining that the centator from Conflec-tion shall be permitted to proceed equally with the Senator from Delaware, but I am entirely unwilling that he shall go into new matter, and take up the time of the Senato with reference to to-pics which he will not allow the Senator from Delaware to disenss. I ask the decision of the chair.

PRESIDING OFFICER .- If the Senator goes into a vindication of the letter referred to, it will be out of order.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland .- I now move that the Senator have leave to proceed.

Mr. BRIGHT moved that the further consideration of the res olution be postponed until to-morrow, with a view of taking up the Oregon bill; and on this question he demanded the yeas and nays, which were ordered, and it was determined in the negative, as fol-

Yacha, Menn, Mira, Athonia, Athonia, Bordon, Badhurr, Beres, Bugdie, Dawas, Oka, Dioke, Dawas, Salastian, Stanron, Walker—20, "Al\S—Menn, Badge, Holl, Berren, Bolland, Buther, Calhona, Canron, Carlon, Carlon, Canron, Charles, China, Canron, Charles, China, China,

Mr. TURNEY moved that the resolution lie on the table, and demanded the yeas and nays thereon, which were ordered.

The question being taken upon the motion of Mr. TURNEY, it was determined in the negative as follows

YEAS.—Menre Bentre, Davis, of Minsings, Dorros, Hanter, Johnson, of Googa, Lows, Mason, Ross, Sebatian, Sturgeron, and Turney—II, NAYS.—Messrs, Allen, Archison, Athenton, Badger, Bell, Bernes, Bodhard, Rodhry, Bress, Bighk, Baller, Calhona, Camono, Clarke, Criston, Gawin, Badhur, Bress, Bighk, Baller, Calhona, Camono, Clarke, Criston, Gawin, Maller, Manlin, Johnson, of Maryland, Johnson, of Lowishna, Margom, Merculliller, Miller, Peter, Pheighs, Sermaney, Tadewood, Uplam, Waller, and West

Mr. NILES resumed, and said that he only intended to show that the cases were not at all parallel. His position was, that they were responsible for the waste of time consequent upon the introducthe introduction of irrelevant matters in debate. In regard to his own course in the Senate or out of it, he was not called upon to defend it— He might submit to the Senate whether it was most consonant with the dignity that was supposed to belong to the Senatorial character, to write a political letter in answer to a call, at his room, or to leave the body and be absent for a week in the train of a political candidate-as a sort of tail to a Presidential But he would not go into that matter.

Mr. BADGER .- Before the question is taken I beg to read the MI. DADMER.—Defere the question is taken I beg to read the decision of the presiding officer, to which I referred a few minutes since. I find by reference to the official reports of proceeding and debates, that, on a motion to take up the bill for the occupation of Yucatan on the 4th of May last, a debate sprung up in this body about a great many matters, which were universally removed. tuis body about a great many matters, where were diversarily considered as not at all relevant to the question pending. After some time had been thus speut, I find that this decision of the presiding officer proceeded upon the ground that all the rules of the Senate are subject to be dispensed with by common consent. And if this be true in regard to the most solemn and strict rules of the body, there certainly cannot be any hesitation in applying the principle to a general parliamentary rule regulating the conduct of debate. The case is entirely analogous to the present.—
It is clearly the duty of the presiding officer to arrest an irrelevant debate, but, if no objection is taken it is considered that the unanimous consent of the Senate has dispensed with the rule .-The rule becomes pro hac vice, suspended.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Georgia, said that if the question were on the decision of the chair, he should tote to sustain its. But, and that was not the question, and as the Sonator from his sissippi had in the course of his remarks referred directly to the Sonator from Delaware, he should now vote in favor of allowing that Senator

Mr. HALE remarked that be had great respect, nearly approaching to reverence, for the Senator from Delaware, but his conviction of the impropriety of the debate was so deep, that he would be compelled to vote against giving him liberty to proceed.

Mr. FOOTE .- I am not at all surprized at the course of the Senator from New Hampshire, inasmuch as he never was guilty of any violation of parliamentary rules.

Mr. HALE,-Well, I did'nt mean to surprise any body !

Mr. TURNEY.—The motion is to allow the Senator to pro-ceed out of order. That would establish a very bad precedent. I move to lay the motion on the table; and ask for the yeas and nays.

Two members only rising, the yeas and nays were not ordered;

Mr. TURNEY withdrew his motion.

The question was then taken upon the motion to allow the Senator from Delaware to proceed, by yeas and nays, with the following result :

The question was then taken upon the motion of Mr. MANGUM, at the Senator from Delaware be allowed to proceed, and it was determined in the affirmative as fellows:

YeAs—More, Bedger, Ball, Rodnat, Brees, Calhors, Christ, Gerem, Diet, Son Massachuster, Bann, of Mussachuster, Bann, of Mussachuster, Bann, of Mussachuster, Bann, of Mussachuster, Bann, of Georgia, Levis, Mangum, Mersil, Johlson, of Lomaston, Johnson, of Georgia, Levis, Mangum, Mersil, Johnson, Porcer, Phisips, Ruds, Synauser, Ucdevoucd, Lyham, and Wattorder, Schulbert, Porcer, Phisips, Ruds, Synauser, Ucdevoucd, Lyham, Alverson, Manguette, Mangue

Mr. CLAYTON .- Mr. President: The decision of the chair was indeed unprecedented, and directly in opposition to his own was indeed unprecedented, and directly in opposition to his own solom decision, on a case precisely similar to this, made by him only a few weeks ago. Neither I nor my friends originated this political debate. We were content to leave Gen. Cass and Gen. Taylor in the hands of the people, and the Senate will unanimously bear me witness, that I have never introduced an irrelevant topic into any debate here. The political friends of the gentleman who occupies the chair began this discussion. They attacked Gen. Taylor and his character, and scoffed at his claim to the Presidence. They were allowed to more ed without interminia from the They were allowed to proceed without interruption from the Chir, through a divine whale extended through the greater part of two days. The Vice President was, by the rules of the Senate, bound to call his own political friends to order, if he thought the debate was out of order. But not a word about order was heard from his lips till I took the floor to reply, and then he arrested the debate, and put a seal upon my lips. The Senator from Missisippi had charged upon me and my friends that we desired to evade the discussion—that Gen. Taylor had no principles which we date ed to avow—and said that he had, on consultation with his friends

resolved to provoke us to a debate on this subject, and to see that we should "dodge no blows which in chivalry and bonesty we were bound to take." He even charged us with a design to pass were bound to take." He even obarged os with a designer op pass the resolution for a speedy adjournment of Congress in order to avoid and dodge 'this very discussion. He went turther, sir. He called on me personally to meet him in debate on these questions. Yet, after he had been permitted to indulge in this strain of attack on me and my friends, his own party associates seize the occasion, the moment 1 rise to take up the glove he has thrown at my feet, to dodge the encounter. These are the circumstances under which twenty-one democratic Senators have this day voted to the following the control of [Mr Foote,] who has expressed his own deep sense of the injus-uce done, I tender my thanks.

1 have already stated to the Senate that I have no means of ac-

new aiready stated to the Seenate that I have no means of ac-cess to the 'epinions of Gen. Taylor, which are not in the possession of every member of this body, and every citizen of the country.— Honoring his character, as I sincerely do, I have never yet had the pleasure of an in-roduction to that distinguished man—I have never Effects and a cutter to bim in my lie, nor received not from him. I have formed my opinions of the principles of the man from his writings and actions, and from what any other may have seen in the public prints. To these means of information all have equal access, and all can form an opinion as well as I can, on the whole subject of debate.

sunject of depate.

I think it is also due to General Taylor to say, in the outset, that the position which he has assumed before the country, as a candidate for the Presidency, has been entirely misapprehended in the course of this debate. He has been held up here as merely a the course of this debate. He has been held up here as merely a whig candidate, bound to sustain every whig principle with which that party has ever been identified. It has been alleged that he is bound to carry out all the dictates, and obey all the behests of a mere party—that he runs merely as a party man—that he is bound hand and foot by party pledges—and, that he must carry out, at all hazards, and under all changes of time and circumstanout, at an inazurus, and uduer an enanges of time and circumstan-ces, every ancient known measure proposed by the whig party. Now, undoubtedly Gen. Taylor is a whig, but 1 do not understand him as occupying any such position as that which I have just de-scribed. He, hunself, has repudiated it in every letter having reference to this subject. It is true that he has been nominated by the whig party; but it is also true that he was originally nominathe whig party; but it is also true that he was originally momina-ted by a meeting composed both of while was originally momina-ted by an electric composition of the presidency before he was nominated by the whig party. Naturalized critizens and native Americans, in all sections of the country had nominated him before he received the nomination of the Philadelphia convention. And now, the great objection urged against him, is that the whig party of the Union has confirmed the nomination which Gen. Tails that previously received. He was monimated by the Very convention at Philadelphia, with the assorance that he was a whig. In every letter that he wrote on that soliject, he declared that he was a whig, but he uniformly took the bold and manly ground, that if cleeted President of the United States, he should not consider himself the mere servant or tool of a party, not even of the party to which he had been attached, but that he should be the President of the American people. Under these circumstances, the honorable gentleman from Mississippi can find no difficulty in the honorable gentleman from Mississippi can had no dimensity in answering the questions which he has prepunded with regard to the principles of Gen. Taylor. If he will examine the principal letter which Gen. Taylor has written with reference to this sab-ject, the letter to Clay. Allison, be wirlt see the ground on which he places himself as a candidate be wird he American people. Before I proceed further, permit me to read the following extract from that letter :

"BATON ROUGE, April 22, 1848.

"Erns—I raterate what I have often said—I am a whey, hat on an airu whig, If elected I would not be the mee Freident of a party. I would endeavor to set in dependent of party donamaion. I should feel board to administ the government untrannelled by party whence it is a blood feel board to administ the government untrannelled by party whence the power given by the condition to the Executive to interport his vector, as high conservative power; I ten im sy quimos bould never be executed except in cases or clear violation of the conditionto, or manifert mate and want of consistention by Congress. Indeed, I have thought that for many yeas post the known opinions and write department of the government; and for this came I have thought our system was an daage of an discipacing a great change from it true theory. The personal opinions of the individual who may mapper to occupy the Executive and, ought not to control the action of Congress to one questions of donates policy; also and the state of the control the action of Congress to one questions of donates policy; been settled by the various department of government, and acquesced in by the people.

men settled by the venous department of government, and acquesced in by the pre"Third—Upon the subject of the tanff, the currency, the improvement of our great to highways, rivers, lakes, and androus, the will of the projet, as expressed through their "Fourth—The Mexican war. I succeed request at the project of peace, My life has been develoted to arms, yet 1 looks upan war at all tumes, and under all circum-stances, as a national calamity, to be avoid of compatible with national show. The transfer of the present of the property of compatible with national show. The transfer of the present of the reconstruct of the countries by compacts. In the language of the prest Washington, "Why should we quot our own to transfer of the present of the countries by compacts, and the present of the countries by compacts, and the even magnatiment to our talkin foo."

Gen. Taylor, then, stands before the country not merely as a whig, but as the great representative and champion of the principle of the right of man to self-government. He maintains the principle that the majority have the right to govern. He stands

precisely upon the ground on which Thos. Jefferson originally preceding the property of the 1813, and contains the following passages:

27, 1813, and contains the following passages:
"The terms of wig and up beings to national as well as evil history. They demote the tanger and conditions of mind of different individuals. To come toom
one control and to the times when you and I became find nonpainted; we well remember the violent parties which agrated the old Congress, and their bitter contents.
There you and I were arrayed together, other cherebodd the monarchy of Engineer
"But is soon as the constitution was put use motion, the fine of division was again
draws. We below me to we parties, each which the five the government addition
direction. The one the equalities in party 1 astrong-then the must popular breach (Youngss) the dather them personauch thomates, and to retain their parameters. Here
you and beyonder for the first time, and one party placed your name at their leadthem. The proceeding of the first time, and one party placed your name at their leadthem. The proceeding the control of the control of

Precisely upon that principle, General Lewis Cass and Geoeral Zachary Taylor now differ, and stand at issue before the country. General Taylor places himself upon this just principle, laying at the foundation of all republican forms of government—the right of the majority to govern. He holds that the popular branch of the government pussesses rights, and that he, if elected President, would be bound to respect them. He says, therefore, in reference to all those great questions which have heretofore agitated the country, and which are properly within the powers of Congress, that he will be guided by the will of the people, as expressed by their representatives. On the other hand, what says General Lewis Cass? He denies that the will of the people shall govern. He maintains the high federal doctrines of ancient days, that the President of the United States, with his veto power, shall control Tresident of the United States, with his veto power, such the will of the people. He stands up as the champion of Executive power, and has received his nonmation from a party convention, under circumstances which I think, when carefully examined by the American people, will seah his fate as a candidate before the standard of the property of the people will be such as a constitute of the people will be such as them. What were these circumstances? The very first rule adopted by the convention assembled at Baltimore was, that the adopted by the convention assembled at Baltimore was, that the will of the majority should not govern—that the vote of two-thirds should be necessary to nominate the President. They had laid down that doctrine before on a memorable docasion. I refer to the Baltimore nomination in 1844. The result reminds me of one of those games at cards which is called "Solitaire" in which you know a man plays against himself. Did you ever see a man sit down to play that game who file the the best being a solitative in the demo-cratic leaders, on this eccasion, undertook to play "Solitaire"—the whyse were not present to be cheated—and the very first act or decree was one amounting, in my judgment, to a most flagitious fround not not made the product of the best of the surface of the contraction o decree was one amounting, in my judgment, to a most flagitious fraud, not only upon the contry, but upon the party itself. It ordained that the will of the people should not govern, and that no man should be nominated for the Presidency without the vote of two-thirds of that convention. Well, now, what must be—(every body knows what was the consequence in this case)—the necessary consequence of the establishment of such a principle by any We can all very well estimate the power of one hundred thousand office holders, many of them anxious to perpetuate their dynasty. They can pack a democratic convention with more than one-third of its members, though they might not be able to control a majority. They can send on their relations, their friends a majority. They can send on tutir relations, their friends and dependants, as delegates, and, under the operation of this two-thirds rule, govern the convention. It was so on this occasion. All the gentlemen who composed the convention went to Baitmore bound to nominate some candidate for the Presidency. To fail to nominate by a convention would be to dissolve the party. They were compelled, therefore, to make a nomination, and when they entered the convention, they were met with a rule declaring that they entered the convention, they were met with a rule declaring that the vite of two-birds was necessary to nominate their democratic candidate for the Presidency. They knew that within the walls of the convention there stood a packed minority, of more than one-third, representing the office holders of the country, who could veto or negative the normination of any man not subservient to their veto or negative the normantion of any main and subservient to hear views, or who would not perpetuate their dynasty, and continue them and their friends in office. The candidates all understood this beforeband, and on such occasions he who makes the most satisfactory bargain with this elique or faction—constituting more than factory bargain with this clique or faction—constituting more than one-third, but not one-half of the convention—is sure to receive the nomination. No other man can get it. I say again, every candidate understood this, and every future candidate will, in all future democratic conventions, understand it. Each of them will know it is impossible for him to procure the nomination unless be can secure the services of those who come there for the purpose of sustaining themselves in office. He is bound then to lend himself to all their views. If they desire to establish a platform of political faith, he must subscribe to it. He has no option. He must either relinquish all hope of the nomination, or subscribe to every dogmatist this disjoin may choose to lay down. Under these circumstant is disjoined and the subscribe to the subscribe to the subscribe to every dogmatist this clique may choose to lay down. Under these circumstants are subscribed to the subscribed of the nomination, under these circumstants are subscribed to the subscribed of the nomination. Under these circumstants are subscribed to the subscribed of the nomination, under these circumstants are subscribed to the subscribed of the nomination. Under these circumstants are subscribed to the subscribed of the nomination. Under these circumstants are subscribed to the subscribed of the nomination, under these circumstants are subscribed to the subscribed of the nomination. that this clique may choose to lay down. Under these circumstances, I ask, what is the inevitable tendency of the party which has nominated General Lewis Cass? Does it not directly tend to the rule of the few over the many, and eventually to a monarchy!

It tends to the establishment, in the first instance, of an oligarchy, or an aristocracy of office holders—able to dictate the nomination of any man they please. They have a veto on the acts of the convention as absolute and effectual as that which the President of the United States, whom they may nominate and elect onder the magic name of democrat, may have upon the laws of Congress and the will of the people.

30TH CONG .- 1ST SESSION-No. 104.

Undoubtedly the great mass of the democratic party is honest and patriotic. We who are whigs, and opposed to them in politics, are entitled to a free expression of their opinion in making a ties, are entitled to a free expression of their opinion in making a party nomination; and whites, as well as democrats, are defrauded by this political legerdemain—this hocus pocus—introduced by some political magicians into that party within a few years past, which compels that party to accept a nomination made and forced upon them by the minority. Such were the circumstances—such was the fraud—such was the established rule and iron law under which General Cass received his nomination.

General Cass received his nomination.

Let us inquire, in connection with this, what are some of the other doctrines of the party to which General Cass has been compelled to subscribe. Among ther things, there stands prominently the assertion of the great right and duty of the President of the Once assection in the great right and only of the Previous of the United States to exercise the veto power, without reference to the limitations prescribed by the fathers of the constitution. Even one who has perused the "Federalist" knows that Mr. Madison and his associates uniformly maintained, that the great object of the veto was to enable the Executive of the United States to defend the constitution and the Executive power within its limits. No man of their day pretended it was designed that the vete should be extended, as it has been, to every act of ordinary legislation, and every instance in which a party might by the aid of it elevate or sustain itself against the interests of the whole country It never was imagined by any member of the convention which formed the constitution that the veto clause in that instrument could be so construed by the most latitudinarian expositor, as that the President of the United States should be enabled, by the force that President of the United States status as change, by the force of that clause, to become states should be change, by the country. Now, however, you find the deerine laid down by this party holdly in their public prints, that the President constitutes a part of the legislative power of the country, and that the veto power is unlimited, and was so intended to be by those who made the constitution. Let me call the attention of the Senate to a consideration of the principles upon which this veto power was insertsideration of the principles upon which this vector power was needed in the American constitution. The first sentence of the constitution declares that all "the levislative powers herein grant shall be vested in the Senate and House of Representatives." No part of the legislative power is given to the President of the United States. In the judgment of the farbor of the republic the Executive never constituted an essential component part of the legis A qualified power of revision was given to him; but lative power. It was never intended that he should exercise any legislative power. In order that we may understand this subject, which onters largely into the great questions now before us, let me read a portion of the debate on the adoption of the constitution. I am particularly de-sirous of the attention of the Senate to this point, because I wish it to see by whom these extreme notions in relation to the veto power were originally advanced. During this session of Congress, we have heard the honorable Senator from Ohio, [Mr. Allen.] utter very strong demonciations against Colonel Hamilton as the intentional advocate of kingly and monarchical dectrines, and a traiter to the cause of liberty. I do not stand here for the purpose of branding one of the greatest men the country ever produced with ignominious charges; but I desire to show that the great with ignorminous energies, when this subject was first presented to the consideration of the old continental Congress, was the very man to press this veto power upon the convention, and to insist upon its being made absolute and unqualified. In Madison's state papers, page 151, we read:

"Mr. Gerry's proposition bring now before the committee, Mr. Wilson, (then called expositions foreign) and Mr. Handson moved that the last part of a be strucked exposition foreign), and Mr. Handson moved that the last part of a be strucked from the last part of the strucked exposition for the last part of the strucked exposition for the last part of the strucked exposition for the last part of the last part of

That is the argument in favor of the absolute vote made by one who has been represented in this chamber, as the great aristocrat and monarchist of that day—Mr. Gerry, a democrat of that day—

Mr. MANGUM, (in his seat.) Republican.

Mr. CLAYTON .- I thank my friend for the word. Republican is a much better name.

is a much better name.

"M. Gurry and heave we necessity for so great a central over the herintature, as the best may in the country would be commend in the two branches of it.

"In Parkette such, he was surprise differ from her collecture, for whom he had a very great, espect, on any excession, but he could not help it on the. He had land some experience of the collecture, on the desired of the contractive on the legislature, under the projecting government of Eveny Tames, and the whatever centle be passed without a private longon with him." If the Kercentive was to have second, as a branch of the collecture of the collecture of the collecture, and the whatever centle be passed without a private longon with him. "If the Kercentive was to have a country, as it was considered as the collecture of the collecture

Then comes the republican shocmaker, Roger Sherman. What did he say?

"Mr Sherman was ugainst enabling any one men to stop the will of the whole. No one man could be found so fix above all the rest in westom. He thought we ought to read ourselves of his waslow in revising the laws, but not permit him to overrule the decided and cool opinions of the legislature."

Mr. Wilson said in his speech for the veto, "there might be tempestous moments in which animosities may run high between the executive and legislative branches, and in which the former ought to be able to defend itself.

"Mr. BUTLER had been in favor of a single Escentive magistrate; but could be have enteranced as idea that a complete negative on the laws was to be given him, be realistly should have need very differently. It had been observed, itsia in all concertes the executive power is in a contrast course of increase. This was certainly the reason for all thinns, of Gentlemon seems to thus that we had nothing to superheaf from an aims of the Escutive process. Out why might not a Catalone or a Commediance in discountry as well as an otherwise.

"Min Engrana of Delwans, was agreed to ever check on the lighthous, even the count of or soon for proposed. It is fought it would be efficient in much out in the countainian the boundaries to the legislative authority, which would give all the regional executive the right of the order denarimans. The representatives of the regional country to the right of the order denarimans. The representatives of the external country dwarf-er. The two branches would preduce a sufficient control what-ver. The two branches would preduce a sufficient control with-in the legislation entit?"

external control whatever. The two branches would produce a sufficient control with the legislature intel."

"Can. M. son's observed, that a vote had already passed, he found—he was out at the time—for swings the Exercitive process in a ninelegence, the control these powers had been well explained by Dr. Franklin, as proved by experience, the best of all the time—for swings and the control the Exercitive process and to necessary many and the canadrate began had been well explained by Dr. Franklin, as proved by experience, the best of all these many and the control the control to the c

After this Dr. Franklin again spoke against the veto power treating this question as if it involved that of monarchy or repub-licanism; and one passage of his speech contains a prophecy so remarkable that I must read it to the Senate :

"The first man not at the helm (of state) will be a good one. Nobody knows what sort may come afterwards. The Exceptive will be always locreasing here, as elewhere, TLL IT ENDS IN A MONARCHY.

Thus, then, it appears that the opinion of six out of nine who participated in the debate, was that an absolute and unqualified veto would introduce a great monarchical feature into our institutions; in other words, that the Executive would be converted into tions in other words, that he zecoure would be converted into a manage by a words in a line was the epinion of Franklin, of Manage by Javanian by Java solute and unqualified exercise of the veto power. That is the party which sustained the absolute and unqualified veto on the land hi I in 1833. That bill to distribute the nett proceeds of all the public lands among the States, which passed both Houses in March, 1833, was a bill which would have given the people of each State in this Union the means of educating all their children without taxation, and of improving their harbors and rivers. These funds have been since been wasted upon land jobbers and party favorities, on government contractors and office bolders, and not a dollar of all these unnumbered millions has been given to those who owned them, as rightfully as any man on earth ever owned his own house. By an absolute vare—"a packet veto"—a vile trick and a fraud upon the people and their Representatives, this ball was deleated after the Representatives of the nation had passed it by yeas 95, nays 40—more than two-thirds. The bill passed within the last ten days 6f the session, as three-fourths of all the laws of Congre-s always have, and always will pass. ence shows us that the labors of Congress are consummated within the last ten days of each session, and that bills which have been discussed or matured for months are generally signed at the close of the session. If, therefore, the President can, for the want of ten days, within which the constitution allows him to retain a hill for his signature, w'thhold his sanction and refuse to return the bill, he can defeat it, although two-thirds of each branch, should be disposed to past, n. site conscilution authorizes them to do. The Senate, as well as the House, in March, 1833, stood ready to annul the veto on the land bill. The Senators from North and South Carolina, [Mr. MARGM. and Mr. CALHOUN, Jas well as Soun Carolina, Jar. MAROWA, and Mr. CALHOUN, In swell as myself, were present at the time in the Senate, and we are all here now ready to attest this to be true. The President obtained secret information of the spirit of the Senate against his veto power, and pocketed the bill, in defiance of the whole spirit of the power into the property of the Congress, has been exercised in the cases of the bill to pay the interest due the States for expenditures in the last war, the various hills for improvement of rivers and harbors, the hill to re-cluster the bank, the bill to equalize the sessions of Congress, the French

spoliation bill, and in so many other cases that it is difficult to spoliation bill, and in so many other eases that it is difficult to enumerate them. These vetoes have been sustained by Executive influence. Congress has fallen beneath the Executive arm, strengthened as that is, and alwars will be, by a venul and subservient press and the ready uid of the Post Office Department, with a hundred thousand effice-holders, many of whom will always "crook the pregnant hinges of the knee where thrift may follow fawning." This whole veto power, as thus exercised, is now sustained by the Baltimore platform, and promptly adopted by General Cass, in his acceptance of the Baltimore nominator. It is part of his established creed.

On the other hand, how stands the man we support on this great and vital subject? He denounces the kingly power—the power for the exercise of which a Stuart and a Bourhon lost their heads and taxenegate of the tax Samer and Bourion lost their heads —and enfines the voto to the cases in what there is the relation of the remains a single property of the cases in what there is the remains it is prover. So did they. They declared by their growth is the Pederalist," that its chief object was "to enable the Executive to defend limsteff when attacked." They meant it to be a shield, not a sword. "In my opinion," says General Taylor, in his better to Captain Allison. "It should never be exercised except in cases of clear violation of the constitution, or manifest haste and want of emisdieration by Congress." He modestly adds, 'Indeed I have thought that for many years past, the known opinions and wishes of the Executive have excreted andrea and injurious influence upon the legislative department of the government; and from this cause I have thought our system was in danger of undergoing a great change from its true theory." Sir, if he had been forty years in these halls, instead of the tented field, he could not have more thoroughly understood the true theory of this government and its actual and inevitable tendency, as now administered. It and its actual and inevitable tendency, as now administered. It is evident he has, in the silent hours allowed him in the camp, by the watch-fire in the night, or amid the intervals of battle and military service, deeply studied the genius of our government and its practical administration.

Sir, it would consume more time than is necessary for my purose, to show how often the will of the people, expressed by Representatives in Congress, has been defeated by the iron will of a President, and especially by the exercise of his monarchical negative on the laws. In the language of a distinguished statesman, "the extreme medicine of the constitution has become our daily food." Every man who remembers the history of the last daily food." Every man witness, that in this respect, the modern democracy have discussed by the last twenty years, will bear me witness, that in this respect, the modern democracy have discled to a returnless distance from the old landmarks of republicanism. The Executive prerogative is no longer the object of attack, but its advocates have been then in landmarks of republicanism. The Executive prerogative is no longer the object of attack, but its advocates have been the nn ceasing assailants of the rights of the prople, and of the popular branch of the government. "The power of the crown bas increased, is increasing, and ought to be diminished." He has broken down the power of the people to improve their rivers and harbors—dictated a new and untried system of currency in despris of their expressed opinion—and even gone so far as to deay the right for the claim for French spoliations on our commerce prior to 1800, for the liquidation of which a bill passed both Houses of Congress two years ago—a bill, the principles of which he never understood—a bill, to which, it is palpalle, he never gave a week's attention in his life, though Congress passed it, after having held it under consideration for a period of nearly fifty years. The government has ceased to be a government of the people, and has become a government of me nan. Power, says Junus, is continually stealing from the many to the few, and I may add, from the few to one. The conceman power's the subject of unceasing ealogy among some of the advocates of the Baltimere convention platform, and one of the delegates lately made a speech to show its superiority in concentrating all responsibility in one head, in-

Its appearance of the common o economy, tell you that, annuagh I all it wing, mae inways bear as while, and expect to de whig, yet I hold that, in comparison with the great principle now at issue between Lewis Cass and Zachary Taylor, the other principles of any party ever yet known in this country sink into insignificance. I am called upon, in the el-scinn of Zachary Taylor, to vindicate the great right of man to self-government, and the right of the people, through their Representatives in Congress, to make laws. I am called upon to deny the right of the President of the United States to assume the deny the right of the President of the United States in assume the position of a legislator; and whenever the day shall come that his right to legislate shall be acknowledged, that moment the repub-lican character of our government utterly ceases to exist. You may call it what you please-you may style the man at the bead of the government a President, as Bonaparte was, in the first instance, styled the first Consul, and afterwards Emperor of the re-public—but to all intents and purposes your republic is at a end. It becomes a monarchy—an elective monarchy—the meanest and

It becomes a monarchy—an elective monarchy—the meanest and most despinable form in which that kind of government can exist. Here, then, is the principle upon which I make issue with the shoorable gentleman from Mississippi, in the appraching Presidential campaign. I mean to stand by General Taylor as the representative of the right of mon to self-government. I mean to support him because he has boldly and nobly proclaimed himself before the country as the champion of this great principle that the majority should govern. In all those cases where the fathers

of the republic intended that the veto power should be applied, he of the republic interded that the veto power should be applied, he declares that he will exercise it. In all cases where a bill passed by Congress is clearly and manifestly unconstitutional, or has been passed in haste and without due consideration, be will be prepared to apply the veto. He is ready to apply it to sustain the Union of these States a minist may dangerous infraction of the constitution. But it will permit the people of the construct to govern the states, in these that the States, in these halls, to make the laws. He will not set himself up as an essential part of the legislative power of the aution. He will constitute the will constitute the will not be the laws. He will, when he reaches the Presidential chair, stand by the great He will, when he reaches the rresidential chart, stand by the great principles avowed on this subject by Madison and his compartions of 1737, and atterly repudiate the kingly maxim practised upon in the present day— Sic volo, sic jubeo, sic veto. Stet pro ratione

I propose to look a little further into this subject. Spinose of loos a fittle further into this subject. I desire the Spinate and the people of the country to understand what kind of government was sought to be established by those who introduced the veto power into the constitution of the United States, and the kindred principles which actuated them. In Madson's papers, the find a copy of the constitution which Colonel Hamilton, the lather of the veto, intended to propose. Here are three of its pro-

"ARTICLE | —Sr.c.d. The hephality pares shall be vested in two disints belief on me, not to be called the Artenully, the other the Sennie, subject to the negative hereunfore mentioned.

"ARTICLE IV.—Ser. D.—The Product shall have a right to arrestive all hills, "ARTICLE IV.—Ser. D.—The Sentiers shall hald their places (among good below, "Review of the companies of the senting shall be all the senting shall be a senting shall be

Here are the principles of the government which the author the veto power intended to introduce. It is manifest that the mind of the author of the veto power was at an infinite distance from the opinions of those who made the constitution. It is evident that he intended to establish a Senate for life, and to make the President a part of the legislative power. But the Executive practice in these days, (all of which seems

But the Executive practice in these days, (all of which seems to be fully approved by General Class) is not merely siz evol—but sizevolo, siz jubeo. He now assumes the initiative in addition to the veto power. He not only recommends, but dictates to Congress. His opinions are duily quoted in debate here, and control our legislation. It has been but four days since an attempt was made by the Senators from Kentucky and Tennessee, [Mr. UNDERWOOD and Mr. Bitl.], to remove the obstractions in the river Olio at Cumberland Island. Every body here seemed to think it right to termove sit—but it was known and as suit that the President right to temove 11—but it was known and said that the Prosident would wot the bill which contamed it, and the improvement fell. So the navigation of the Ohio must be obstructed till Taylor can put it all right, by allowing Congress to make laws. We have now not a single river or harbor bill before Congress—not one measure for internal improvement. Why Because we all know it is side to waste our time in passing them, as the President has publicly avowed his resolution to veto them all. The will of the people is nothing. All men here look only to the will of the President, He is the Contain of Favor. Now, in the British Homso of cert. He is the Contain of Favor. Town, in the British Homso of next is secured by a rule which makes it out of order for any member to state in debate what is the will of the king; and it is perfectly true, as Colonel Hamilton stated in the convention of 1787, that no king of England has dared to exercise the veto power that no king of England has dared to exercise the veto power since the English revolution produced by the whigs of 1658—one hundred and sixty years ago. Why? Because the exercise of a power so despotio, which wholly denies to the people the right of self-government, would, even in that limited monarchy, have cost any English monarch his head. Queen Victoria would not keep the throne a week under one of our Presidential vetoes. We have the name of a republic, but stat nomis umbra—it stands but the shadow of a name. I first came into Congress nearly twenty years ago, and I have since been an attentive observer of men and years ago, and r have the humblest of the actors on this theatre, where men, with loud professions of democracy on their lips, cherish the most despotic praciples in their bearts; and I now say ehersh the most despotic praciples in their bearts; and I now say that the monachical tendency has every year been growing stronger, that many of our legislative acts of the most important character are drafted at the Executive departments to suit the will of the Executive, and that Congress has degenerated, noder democratic Presidents, till it is little better than the register of the edicts of an Emperor. It is but a few days since a member who has served in Congress about thirty years, [Mr. Warstan, III. Warstan

Why is this? Let a democratic report, made to drive the younger Adams from power twenty-two years ago, make the an-swer. The veteran member from Missouri, [Mr. Benron.] in his report on Executive patronage, May 4, 1826, after enumerating the monstrous extent of the power of that patronage, says:

"The whole of this great power will centre in the President. The King of Eog. Band is the 'Tomtang of Inong,' the President of the United States in the sources, and principle of the Court of States in the source of the President of the Court of States in the source of the Court of States in the Co

will sense to agentie. Pationage will penetrate this body, midding its expacity of resultance counset to the case of power, and enable the Penident for the sensity, and model searchly with, than without, the normal cleeck of the Senate. If the Penident was himself the officer of the copie, elected by them, and responsible to them, there was himself the officer of the copie, elected by them, and responsible to them, there was the contract of the copie of the copie. The penident was himself the officer of the copie of the

I proceed now to answer some of the interrogations proposed by the honorable Senator from Mississippi; and, first, in relation to the tariff. He asks me whether General Taylor is in layor of the I answer that General Taylor has expressly declared in fariff? taruit 1 answer that General Laylor has expressly declared in his letter to Captain Allison, that he will, on that subject, submit to the will of the people. If they, by their Representatives in Congress, choose to pass a protective law, he will not vet oit. If they choose to retain the free trade law of 1846, he will not inter-The Senator asks what is my position in regard to?
I answer that I am a whig, and as a true friend fere with it. this question? of the American tariff of 1842, and the principles of that tariff, I am perfectly content with the President of the United States who will permit the will of the Representatives of the people and of will permit the will of the Representatives of the people and of the States to become the law of the land. The honorable gentle-man referred to a letter of mine, written in 1846, to my political friends in Delware, in which I avowed myself to be what I have always felt proud to declare myself—a friend to the protection of American industry, and the tarif of 1842. It was a letter writ-ten, not as he says, for a Presidential election, but for a State election, and on the eve of it. I shall never abandon it-but I glory in the principle it avows.

The honorable Senator inquired if there was a man on this side of the chamber who was in favor of that tariff of 1842? I am, and I think all on my side of the chamber concur with me. But I do not desire General Taylor to force any tariff upon the countwo not desire deneral rayio to love any tank upon the contry by the exercise of the Executive power. He is willing, on that great question, to let the vote of the people govern; and no man, be he from the North or from the South, who desires to curh the expression of the people on this subject, can justly call himself

a true republican.

It is because General Taylor on this, as on other great ques-tions, stands on republican ground, that we desire his election. He occupies precisely the platform on which Mr. Jefferson placed himself in the origin of parties in this country. He is in favor of strengthening the popular branch of the government, and opposed strengthening the popular branch of the government, and opposed to the augmentation of Executive power. This was the great di-viding landmark between parties in 1798. But, alas! your mod-ern democracy has been driven by its office-holding leaders to a very different position from that occupied by Mr. Jellerson. It now stands as the advocate, the prop, and support of kingly power. [Here Mr. C. addressed himself to the democratic side of the cham-[Here Mr. C. addressed himself to the democratic side of the chamber.] You defend all its encroachments. You spurn the rights of the country and of Congress. You decide that the President shall put down the will of the people; and you have nominated a man who stands solemnly pledged helore the convention, by his letter of acceptance of your comination, to veto the will of the people, when expressed through their Representatives, on all great the contraction of the people, when expressed through their Representatives, on all great the size of the people when expressed through their Representatives, on all great the contractions of the people when expressed through their Representatives, on all great the people when expressed through their Representatives, on all great the people when the leading questions, in any other way than as a mere faction may dictate. By the action of a convention which the minority was uffered to control, and which took only one night to consider all suitered to control, and which took only one night to consider all the great questions of public policy; your eanbdate is pledged to veto the vote of the people, should even nine-tenths of them decide upon a repeal of the tarill of 1846, and a re-enactment of that of 1842. Now, on this ground, is there any honorable free trade most who will hesitate to give his support to General Taylor? Every who will nestate to her an supplet to the desired of the desired of the desired for the desire to govern; for that is the great principle laid down by him in the Allison letter, and it towers infinitely above all other questions of party ever made in this country. I cherish no unkind personal feeling toward the distinguished gentleman whom the democratic convention nominated. I have always entertained for him the convention nominated. I have always entertained for him the highest regard on account of the excellence of his private charac-ser. But I am now reviewing his public acts—not his private life. I have shown that, instead of occupying republican ground, he stands precisely in the position maintained by Cot. Hamilton he stands precisely in the position maintained by Col. Hamilton and Mr. Wilson in the ideard convention—pledged to sustain the ultra exercise of Executive power, and to veto and put down the will of the national convention have been described to convention have been defined by the definition of mass to the American people. These mesh thave thus set tiltements up and how the constitution, and usorped the negative power.—
They seek to control the apparatus of the definition of the described and the control the apparatus of the described and the control that the second of the described and the second of the described and the described an like the Polish Diet, virtually to elect a monarch to ride and rule

like the Polish Diet, virtuany e an abused and insulted people.

Which, then, is the republican party? It is the great national party, composed of democrats, naturalized citizens, native citizens, and whigs—partition ten of all parties—who now constitute the party of the government in the property of the government in great Taylor party of the country That is the true republican dministration-anxious to avert its progress to monarchy; and unless the principles of that party he sustained, the liberties of the country must sooner or later fall beneath the axe which has been laid to their root by the proceedings of the interested and intriguing faction which has by turns muzzled, cajoled, and trampled upon the rights of the modern democracy. When, then, I call upon any man to support General Taylor, I do not ask that he should be merely a whig or a democrat, but a republican—a re-publican not merely by profession, but a republican in heart and in deed.

The party leaders in the convention that introduced this twothirds rule, and thus cheated itself, relissing to allow the majority to govern, have felt already the consequences of their conduct. This rule was introduced as a permanent principle of the demo-eratic party in the convention of 1844, and was a deliberate fraud upon Mr. Van Baren and his friends. He went into the convention expecting that the will of the majority would be allowed to control its action; and he received the votes of a decided majority of that convention. But the politicians—those jugglers, who understood the game which was to be played—so contrived it, a majority could not control the action of the convention. a majority could not control the action of the convention. They deliuded southern men by the pretext that, as the North had a majority of votes, their institution of slavery was in danger, and thus procured their aid to establish the rule; that a vote of two-thrids was necessary to nominate the candidate. They has re-ceited Mr. Van Buren; and what has been the result? This political magician, as he was called, has applied his magic touch to your party, and lo! it is crumbling into dust! It is prostrate heneath his leet at this moment. The splendid party fabric-the re-gency palace-which, by his wizard art, he erected in the Empire State, has vanished like the morning mist, or one of those dreamy mansions of which we read in eastern tales, at the tooch of one of the geni. The vengeance of the man who was thus defrauded deleated, equals that of Mazeppa, the Prince of Barnhurn-

" For if we do but mark the hour "For if we do but mark the hour. There never yet was haman pow That could evade, if unforgiven. The parient search and vigil long, Of him who tieasures up a wrong

I say to gentlemen who now vainly attempt to uphold this tottering fahre of progressive, an greassive, and retrogressive democ-rey, that on the day on which you established that principle, and overthrew the fandamental principle of all republican government, your destiny was sealed! From that hour your doom was irre-trievably fixed. Nothing could or can save you from the fate that awatts you. Nothing can see any party in this country that shall dare to establish such an unprincipled rule. The people may be humbugged for a time; but when they have been allowed oppor-tunity for reflection, and see a fraud perpetrated, repeated, and tunny for remedium, and see a ratio perpeture, repeated, and enjoined as a principle, by which the right of the majority is denied, the shockless of party can bind them no longer. They imbibed this sacred principle from their fathers—they were taught it by their mothers and st the first lessons of their infancy, and they know that without it their government would be converted into a government of pronunciamentos, and could not exist an hour. Whig as I am, and ever have been, I have no hesitation in declaring, that if the whig party were to assemble in convention to-mor-row and adopt such a principle, I would leave them the moment I could address a letter to the public.

could address a letter to the public.

The Senator also inquires what will General Taylor do in reference to the sub-treasury and the bark. I answer, that General Taylor has pledged himself, in the Allison letter, to let the people govern. If they want this inserable sob-treasury scheme, they will keep it. If they wish to repeal it, he will interpose no veto upon the exercise of heir will. On the other hand, how stands Lewis Cass, the champion of the democracy! He stands pledged to retain the sub-treasury in spite of the will of the people. If three-fifths of the people should come here demanding the repeal of the sub-treasury law, Mr. Cass would be bound to trample upon that will. Which, then, is the republican? Which the true democrat?

Mr. FOOTE .- Will the gentleman bear with me while I submit another question? Does he regard the veto power as a conservative one, to be retained, or as one to be struck out of the constitution? If to be retained, should it not be exercised?

Mr. CLAYTON .- I am very glad that the honorable gen-Mr. CLAYION.—I am very grad that the honorable gen-theman has put this interrogatory. I hold the veto power to be a great conservative power in the constitution. I do not seek, nor do the friends of General Taylor seek, to strike it from the constitution. We regard is as the lathers of the republic did—as a conservative power to enable the Executive to defend itself, and to be exercised precisely within the limitations laid down by Mad-ison and his associates. General Taylor specifies the causes for which he will apply the veto.

Ircturn now to the consideration of the question of the currency and the national bank, at which point the Senator interrupted the course of my remarks. And I recur to the bank question particularly,

that this matter may be put to rest so far as is in my power, now and forever. I do not know a man who supports General Taylor that entertains the most remote idea of bringing the question of a na-tional bank before the Congress of the Union. I do not know a whig on this side of the chamber, or anywhere else, who cherishes any idea of reviving that question. The gentlemen on the other side will rattle the dry bones of the old Bank of the United States sade with fattle the dry bones of the only brank of the Online States in our faces, but they will, by doing so, only frighten the men of their own party who voted in Congress for, and carried that bank charter, and they will attempt in vain to ride any longer on their old political hobby as an anti-bank party. Whatever opinions we may have in regard to that great question, we have all resolved that we will never revive it. If the democratic party want a bank that we will never revive it. If the democratic party want a bank of the United States let them move it. We on this side of the chamber faxow that a national bank could be of no value to the country unless sustained by the will of both the great parties of the country. I am free to declare here the part which I to during the country. I am free to declare here the part which I to during the country. I am free to declare here the part which I to during the country. I am free to declare here the part which I to during the country. I am free to declare here the country. I am free to declare the country. I am free to declare the country is declared to the country of the country followed that lead. We passed the bank bill with your assistance, your argument, influence, and vote; and your aid extended much further; for when General Jackson vetoed it, you and I concurred torner; jo when General Jackson Vereet It, you and I concurred in voting against the veto! I will never deay the faith that is in me. As I thought thea, I think now. And the day will come when your democracy will, under the pressure of distress, cry aloud for another bank; but no while will ever start that question of a national bank should be and will be alagain. The question of a national bank should be and will be allowed to remain at rest until men of all parties shall seek to establish, and resolve to sustain it, by an unanimity which shall place it above the reach of the demagognes who will seek to destroy it. I am asked what General Taylor will do in regard to internal impovements. That is a most important subject, and I think I

improvements. That is a most important subject, and I think I have already answered the question. It deserves the patient and most deliberate consideration of every American citizen. But on this question, the course of the bold, many old patriot is as plain as the sum at noon-day. He will leave this question also to the will of the people. If the R-presentatives in Congress choose to pass a bill for internal improvements, he will not impose his veto on the law. Nor will he write any messages for the purpose of manufacturing internal improvement men, or tariff men, or any other kind of politicians. He will not enter on a career of propa-gandism. He does not intend to get up a hot-bed for tariffs or indoes not rest in the confidence and affections of the people, he will refer these questions to the original sources of power, and will susrefer these questions to the original sources of power, and will sustain them all with inflexible firmness, so long as the people will them to stand. He does not mean to push things to extremes, this administration will neither be aggressive nor retrogressive; but he will adapt his progress to the mighty energies and capabilities of a nation unexampled in the history of the world for its advance in Arts, as well as in arms, in agriculture, commerce, and manufactures.

Now, on this great question, let me ask, where stands General Cass I lamust now, in my turn, put the honorable Senator from Mississippi on the confessional, and ask him to illuminate my path by shedding a little light on this subject. I find that the resolution passed by the Baltimore convention in favor of the exercise of the veto power contained these words:

"Resolved. That we are decidedly opposed to taking from the President the veto power, &c. &c., which has saved the American people from the corrupt and tyran-nieud domination of the lank of the United States and from a corrupting system of general internal improvements."

From the statement of Mr. Yancey, a member of the convention, it appears that these words about internal improvements tion, it appears that these words about internal improvements, which were originally reported by the committee appointed to draft the "Baltimore partform," were objected to, for the reason thus stated by Mr. Vancey, in a speech in the city of Charleston, South Carolina, on the 6th June last, exposing the deeds of this conven-

tion:
"Amongst other things, he instanced, as evidence of its announdness as an exponent of democratic views, its refusal to adopt its resolutions accoung its principles, and the platform upon which it designed to place its nonnation. And now much the platform upon which it designed to place its normation. And now much the post as even of resolutions. Mr. Vancey said that the columnities met on the evening of its apportments, and ast audit less at a night. Before it adjourned, the resolution is relation to the veto jower had been mended to as to show its happy effect in awing meaning and the platform of the veto jower had been mended to as to show its happy effect in awing meaning and an adverved compliment to Mr. Pok. When the committee met part morning the first proposition mide was to Mr. Pok. When the committee met part morning the first proposition mide was to work and the platform of the pla

voied against that veo of this case, as on we provided against that veo of this case, as on we provided a consequent of the control of the co

Now, I ask the honorable gentleman from Mississippi will Genetal Cass veto a general system of internal improvements?

Mr. FOOTE—I am not prepared to state further than this —
that it is my own opinion, which I am almost certain is well-founded,
that Gooral Cass is opposed to any general system of internal
improvements; and that he would veto a bill in favor of such a system. Brt, as I said the other day, my own impression has always
been, that a liberal system of internal improvements, within the

constitution, would certainly meet the support of General Cass-Now, if I have answered the question satisfactority, I would ask the Senator whether General Taylor will withhold his veto, in case of his election, to any bill for internal improvements that may happen to pass both houses of Congress?

Mr. CLAYTON.—However vague the answer, or the question addressed to me, I reply promptly, that I do not believe the case will occur of the deliberate and well considered passage of a bill for any internal improvement by both houses of Congress which Taylor, while pledged to abide by the will of the people, is also free to restrain any hasty or inconsiderate legislation.

Mr. FOOTE.—The question is not whether such or such a case can occur, but whether General Taylor would so act in certain circumstances?

Mr. CLAYTON.—It is impossible for me to state my opinion as to what the action of General Taylor in a given case mist, but not action to the case in which congress, having gone raving mad, would vote twenty-five millions out of the Treasury when there were not five millions in it, and ask me whether General Taylor would yet But I am now looking, as a practical man, to the cases whi which are likely to occur, and I have not the most distant idea that any circumstances will actually exist in which Gen. Taylor will apply the veto to a bill for internal improvements. stands the candidate of the other side of the chamber with respect to this question? Perhaps, as his pathway still remains obscured, the honorable gentleman from Illinois can inform me. Does he believe that General Cass will veto a bill for internal improve ments?

Mr. BREESE .- I will answer the Senator very frankly. If I did not believe that General Cass would veto such a bill, I would not vote for him.

Mr. CLAYTON.—I believe that the gentleman from Illinois, as well as General Cass, twice voted for the river and harbor bill, and against the veto on that bill. Does he believe that such a hill would meet with a veto from President Cass?

Mr. BREESE .- I do not suppose that it would. Mr. BREESE.—I do not suppose that it would. And, with all frankness, permit me to say, that as I understand the principles of the democratic party, a palpable distinction has always been made between a general system of internal improvements and more appropriations out of the T. easily for the improvement of rivers and harbors. Reasonable appropriations for the latter purpose would no doubt receive the sanction of General Cass and the democratic party. But appropriations for a vast and expensive system of in-ternal improvements, I hope never will receive the sanction of

Mr. CLAYTON .- We see, from these vague answers, how difficult it is to ascertain white General Cass does not does not mean about these times. I know that he has voted in favor of every internal improvement bill, and against every veto of them. Now, I have always heretofore looked to a man's acts in order to ascertain what he meant to do; and I have hitherto regarded General Cass as a high ultra internal improvement man. I have always believed that he could go further on that subject than I could my sell, and that there were some things, besides Mexico, which I found very difficult to take, which he swallowed with great ease. It is apparent that there are two Senators who have very different views of General Cass's opinion upon the subject of internal im-provement. I am apprehensive that we are to understand General Cass one way in one part of the Union, and differently in another part. He was called upon by one of his friends at Cleveland, [Mr. Wood.] to state his opinions on this subject, but we were informed that the "noise and confusion" were so great that were informed that the "noise and confusion" were so great that he could not make any reply, although he was able to tell the ac-dience very andfully, much about his early history, and how be had risen from comparative obscurity to become the candulate of the great democratic parry. I am very much afraid, Mr. President, that the "noise and confusion" will continue throughout the campaign, and that we shall never be able to ascertain the opinions of General Cass on this important subject. He has written a letter approving of the whole Baltimore platform. Let me read a portion of that platform which was not expunged :

Resolved. That the constitution does not confer upon the general government the ower to commence and carry on a general system of internal improvement.

It seems, then, from the light before us, that the Baltimore con-vention held the government could not carry on a 'general system of internal improvement;" but the honorable member from Illinois of internal improvement;" but the homorable member from Illinois asyst im ay carry on a particular system, such as that indicated by the river and harbor bill, which met Mr. Polle's veto. That was first reported by a committee to the convention as a "corrupting system," and Mr. Polle was, in that report, complimented for his veto upon it. But as Mr. Cass had voted for the certupting system, and against Mr. Polle's veto, and it was held necessary, as Mr. Lacey proves, not to "condemn the nominee," the compliment was struck out, and by the committee not approved. Then, to mystify this whole matter still further, another research. Alex, to myshiv this whole matter still intrody, abouter expendition passed, "that the fruits of the great political triumph of 1844, which elected? ames K. Polk, have fulfilled the hopes of the democracy of the Union." But the most amusing part of the whole platform is the distinction of the Senator from Illinois between a "guencal system of improvement" and "mere appropriations for rivers and harbors." The Senator from Mississippi holds the river and harbor bill unconstitutional, but still sostains Mr. Cass, who, he says, is for a liberal system. The Senator from Illinois is for the river and harbor bill. Mr. Polk is against that bill. and also against the liberal system, toto celo. Yet all are on the platform. Each is orthodox. Is it not clear, therefore, that the platform to which General Cass, heldged himself by his letter of acceptuace of the Baltumore nomination requires another explanation from himself?

Mr. FOOTE.—General Cass, in his letter accepting the nomination of the Baltimore convention, stated that that letter closed his profession of faith.

Mr. CLAYTON.—I remember that very well. In that letter, after endorsing this unintelligible platform of the democratic conventor, he sads, that he will not say any more in the closed the conventor of the closed that the subject. It is palpable that his position is equivocal, and differently understood in different sections of the Union, and that the matform useff is a mere humber.

patiorm itself is a mere humbug. The honorable gentleman asked me what were the sentiments of General Taylor in regard to the Mexican war. I think he will find an answer perfectly satisfactory in the Allison letter. Then Gen. Taylor announces a great principle of vast importance to this country. He awows himself opposed to the doctrine of annexation by conquest. He is opposed to war, when the honor of the commerce of the comme

Mr. FOOTE.—The Senator seems to have glided past my interrogatory. The question was, did General Taylor approve of the conduct of his own country; or, did the hold that Mexico was in the right? And, secondly, when that war had been waged, my to the time of the treaty of peace, does he not know that General Taylor was in favor of that acquisition of territory which has been made by the treaty?

Mr. CLAYTON.—The geuleman unintentionally assumes, by is question, a knowledge of General Taylor's opinions which his published declarations do not justify, and about which neither her I can have any knowledge. We know nothing of General Taylor's opinions except from the letters which have been published to the word; and in them ho has given no opinion upon the subject to which the gentleman refers, nor can I conceive that it is of the slightest importance. As a soldier, his position made it improper to question any command of the chief magistrate, and his duty was obelience. It is not necessary, in this connection, cessary or not. If Mr. Polk were running as the candidate, I might have something to say on that subject; and when treating of the claims of General Cass, who approved by his speeches and votes in this chamber every act and saying of Mr. Polk on wars, it may be taken up with propriety. But, so far as General Taylor is concerned, the question has passed away with the war, in which he did his whole duty! If he announces himself distinctly be presented to the Mexican war, so, far as he is concerned, ceases to have the least importance with men of any party, in the Presidential campaign.

General Taylor has done more to terminate the war than any fifty democrats in this nation. Even the Baltimore convention, in the midst of all its party action, has not hesitated to introduce an acknowledgement that General Taylor and his gallant army, with the other American armies, have done everything that could have been done for the honor and the glory of the country. The platform savs, that they "have earried the arms of the nation into Mexico, crowing it with imperishable glory;" that "their unconquerable courage, their daring enterprize, their infaltering preservance and fortulude when assitied on all sides by innumerable foes, and that more formidable enemy, the diseases of the climate, exalt their devoted patrotions into the highest heroism, and give them a right to the profound gratitude of their country and the admiration of the world."

General Taylor was not a candidate when this resolution was adopted. They thought, perhaps, that General Taylor would not be nominated just they did justice to the gallant veteran. And I hope that at this moment the d-moreray of the Union are practed to unite with the honorable gentleman from Mississippi in eulogiums upon the public and private virtues of General Taylor. With regard to General Taylor. Taylor's opinion on the Wilmot provi-

With regard to General Taylor's opinion on the Wilmid provis, the Senator has the sature information that I have. He knows what interpretation ought to be put into the Alison letter, and I hope General Taylor may never assume the attitude of a sectional or geographical candidate. It is a sectional or geographical candidate. It is a sectional to though acting colleague and system to the request of the Legislature of the colleague and system to the request of the Legislature of vere tile territories of the United States to prevent the acquisition of any more slave territory, yet we do not intend ever to connect ourselves with any geographical party upon such an issue. We abhor such an issue in the party strue gless of the day, as leading to the most fatal consequences. We look upon the introduction

of a geographical party upon such a principle, as tending directly to the destruction of the Union of these States; and there is not one State within this confederacy that loves the Union more than the smallest in population among them all-whose lofty patriotism has become a proverb, and whose proudest hoast is, that she was the first to adopt the American constitution, and will be the last to desert it. No, sir. The men of Delaware will connect themselves with no such geographical movement. Most of us enter-tain the opinion that, when the action of Congress is invoked, free soil should remain free, and that slave territory ought to remain such. The doctrine prevailed among us at the time of the Missonri compromise, and still prevails, that Congress should leave the territory as they found it. We desire to abide by the compromises of the constitution; in that spirit we hold that very should be protected, where it exists under the ægis of the constitution, but ought not to be carried by us where it never existed. But, I repeat, I am not prepared to call that a political question, and I deny that you can call either this or the opposite doctrine mere whig doctrine. No man has a right to say that the Wilmot proviso is a whig principle, or that its opposite is a whig principle. We repudiate the question altogether as a political question; and I say that, whenever the members of the great Taylor republican party, which I hold at this moment to be the great majority of the country, shall descend so low as to make a geographical party out of this Wilmot proviso, with a view to President making or getting offices and power in the country, they will lose my respect, and I think that of every houest man. An attempt is now being made to force this upon us as a party question by the extreme partizans of the North and South. But neither the one side or the other of the question forms any part of our platform; and I hope there will be patriotism enough among the American and I hope there will be patriotism enough among the American people to keep the question apart from party politics forever. For myself, I am free to say that, although I voted in obedience to the request of the State I in part represent, yet so dear is the Union of these States to me and mine, that if this become a geographical question, I shall resort to the remedy adopted by the men of Delaware in another crisis and in other days—I shall act in the spirit of the men who made the constitution, and compromise the question if I can, on terms equally fair and honorable, both for the North and the South.

Mr. FOOTE.—Do I understand the Senator as saying that he is not prepared to inform us whether General Taylor would veto the Wilmst proviso, as every whig print in New England has said he would?

Mr. CLAYTON.—The letter of General Taylor speaks for itself, and the gentleman from Mississippi has precisely the same means that I or the whigs of New England have of forming an opinion upon this subjec. If General Taylor will write to man tell me what he intends to do, I will be able to inform the gentleman. But for me to intrude my individual opinions on the Senator, the public, or this august body, would be folly. The Senator will please now in his turn take the stand, and inform me what General Cass will do with respect to the Wilmot proviso.

Mr. FOOTE.—No doubt he will veto it. He has announced his opinion that the Wilmot proviso is unconstitutional, and declared that he will exercise the veto power in all cases of unconstitutional laws.

Mr. CLAYTON.—If the Senator is right, then General Cass stands before the country as a sectional candidate—the head of a geographical party. I hope General Taylor will decline that honor. My hope is that he will never lead his great name to either of these geographical parties, but retain the power to settle the question without taking part with city has

there is the archive the control of the control of

Among the proceedings of the Baltimore convention I observe that Messrs. Yanecy, of Alahama, McGehee, of Florida, and Commander, of South Carolina, reported the following resolution:

Resolved. That the doctrons of non interference with the rights of property of any portion of the people of this country, be it in the Sixtes or in the territories, by any others than the parties interested in them, is the true republican doctrons recognized by this body."

Mr. Yancey desired this principle to be incorporated in the Baltimore platform. Now, will the Senator from Mississippi tell me whether General Cass concurred in that report or not?

Mr. FOOTE.—I have no knowledge on the subject. I have stated what must be evident to the Senator, that General Cass discussed the Wilmot proviso in every aspect of it, in the plainest manner, and my impression is that his views will be sustained by the democracy in every part of the country. As to Mr. Yaneey I have not particularly noticed his views, but think that his course will meet the general reprobation of his party.

Mr. CLAYTON .- General Cass, then, repudiates Mr. Yancey's sentiments?

Mr. FOOTE .- I do not know.

Mr. CLAYTON.—I supposed that the Senator thoroughly understand all the opinions of General Cass. The honorable gentleman has travelled with the candidate, and was said to be a part of his body guard.

Mr. FOOTE.-That particular point was not started.

Mr. CLAYTON .- Then here is another subject about which Mr. CLAYTON.—Then here is another subject about when General Cass has no platform. Now all these doubts, and ambiguities, and irreconcileable inconsistences come from the party which daily assails General Taylor, because, as they say, "he does not speak out." "He has no platform." So they spoke of General Taylor, because, as they say, "he does not speak out." him "General Mum;" and now, as then, the compisint is made that those who nominated the whig candidate had made "no platthat those who nominated the while candidate had made "no platform." Sir, they knew that Washington had no platform, and they had more respect for General Taylor and for the intelligence of the people, than to put their candidate in a straight-jacket, or seek, by manufacturing professions of political faith for him, to deeeee to the test of the country. They intended that he should be free and unrammelled, as the President of the whole people. But who is there among us that has not long since felt, and, publicly or privately, expressed his contempt for these platforms of the property of Look back to your democratic platforms of 1894. Then, the extension occasic convention passed a resolution to re-annex all Oregon, avowing that the title to it was "clear and inquestionable up to 54° 40'." At the sound of the party bugle the partisan editors of os av. At the sound of the party ought the partisan fellots of the democratic press throughout the country shouted "clear and unquestionable." A thousand democratic meetings echoed base the sound. "Oregon and 54° 40" were painted on party ban-ners and party waits, and printed on party bandblis. 54r. Polic's Inaugural Address also declared the title "clear and unquestionable and the party bandblis. 54r. Polic's and party bandb able." His message, in December, 1845, repeated the same fol-ly, and threatened war to the knife, and the knife to the hilt, against the English claim above 49°, all the little, and nearly all the great politicians of the party, standing ready to make fight on this platform. The party leaders afterwards occupied the atten-tion of Congress for six months with this Oregon question, disturbing and distracting the nation, embarrassing trade and commerce, alarming the business men with the apprehension of a war with the most jowerful nation on the earth, with which we have more commercial relations than with all the world heside. The more commercial relations than with all the world heside. The
price of insurance rise so high at one time that no shipping merfree of insurance rise so high at one time that no shipping mering the front of the whole riot. He declared war to be inevitable.
His helitgerent propensities, displayed on this as well as on all
other subjects, covered the whole platform. I never believed that
this ery for war was sincere on the part of the wire-workers behad the screen, though I never doubted that the wortly General
was perfectly sincere, and was completely duped by them. I advised my friends here to vote for the amienable notice to England
—16 "pay out rope," and test their sincerity about this platform.
The result precisely answered my expectations. When we refused to hold them, they refused to fight, and the result was, that
the whole pretensions to fifty-four forty were abandoned by themselves, and they tell back to the British line of forty-nine, upon
which a settlement could, at any moment, have been made withcut a word of all this unjust and insolent bravado. The platform
was abandoned: those who had adhered to it, most vidently, was abandoned; those who had adhered to it most violently, among whom was General Cass, were prostrated in the dust.—
The chairman of the Committee on Foreign relations, [Mr. At-The chairman of the Committee of the Com and gave up the whole country above 49°

Mr. FOOTE-(in his sent.)-He was wise, and acted by the

Mr. CLAYTON .- Oh, yes! he was wise. The folly was in Mr. CLAYTON — Oh, yes! he was wise. In o folly was in having a platform! In this case, an irresponsible cabal, called a convention, like the last one at Baltimore, many of the members of which were appointed at a tavern or a cross-road meeting, assembled and assumed the duty of directing and controlling the whole legislation of Congress on questions of peace and war.—
They did not devote ten minutes to the title of Oregon, which they decided, and not one out of fifty of them had ever read or known any thing about it. The platform thus formed deeply endangered the peace of fifty millions of human beings. We were at one time, by all the blandering and blustering of the administration, driven

by all the binndering and blusteing of the administration, driven within an inch of a war with England.

There was another platform—that made by the famous Kaneletter. In that precious document, your President, according to the construction of some, avowed himself to be in favor of the tarriff, and according to that of others, opposed to it. Unquestonably the mass of the people in the northern States believed that in that letter he avowed himself to be a friend of the tariff. It is as true as scripture, that in the State of Pennsylvania and other States

at the North, the flags were flying with the inscription, "Polk Dallas, and the tariff of '42;" and when we assailed these with practised these impositions on the people, as we repeatedly did, and accused them of duplicity, the reply was, on all occasions, "we are the true champions of the tariff of '42," and in proof of the assertion we were referred to the democratic vote in the other House, without which the bill of 1842 could not have passed. er House, without which the bill of 1812 could not have passed, and told that, therefore, the democrats were entitled to the whole merit of the measure. Now, again the politicians have published one lift of General Cass to suit the North, and another to suit the South, in regard to the Wilmor proviso. Thus, by platforms they ever patter with us in a double seame—"keep the word of promise to the ear, but break it to the hope"—at one time debudge our lonest people into a vote for Mr. Polk, which he never could have nest people into a vote for Mr. Polis, which he never could have received had be then acowed brimself to be what he has since pro-ved to be, the champion of free trade; and at another, represent-ing General Cases as a man of northern principles in the North, and a man of southern principles in the South. There is another platform: I is that of an honest man, who says that he is a whig, but that if elected to the President of the not be the tool of a party—that he will be the President of the

not be the tool of a party—that he will be the President of the people—that he has no enemies to punish, no friends to reward—that while he will do his daty in removing corrupt, necemptera, the people of the peop

"The Devil was sick, the Devil a monk would be The Devil got well, the Devil a monk was he!"

The honorable gentleman also attacks General Taylor on tha ground that he lacks qualifications. In justice, however, to his own noble heart, the Senator admits that General Taylor is a pure, honorable, high minded, and patrioute man. But he finds fault with General Taylor on account of what he supposes to evince a with General Taylor on account of what he supposes to evince a want of great learning. He reasons from General Taylor's confession that he was not a politician, that he is not competent for the Presidency. That is, I thusk, his chief objection. The gentleman certainly did also find much fault with one or two of General Taylor's letters. I shall not deay that his letters, like those of other great military commanders, written in the burry of a camp, and on a barrel, a box, or a dram-head, have not the beauty of finish and the roundingly of port which the gentlemans are known how to give a become head of the standard propriate language to convey it, no man can excel hose letters of Taylor in which he found it important to attend to the manner as well as the matter of his composition. On every court-martial on which he has served for the last twenty years, the other members of the court, although often scholars of high character, have gen-erally selected Taylor to draw up the sentence of the court, on erally selected Taylor to draw up the sentence of the court, on account of his superior qualifications. We laugh at the story that he cannot write his letters. That from his enemies is a new tribute to their excellence! General Cass is, we admit, a knowing and a learned man; but Goneral Taylor is a wise man. I agree with the poet, that

"Knowledge and wisdom far from being one, Have oft times no connection. Knowledge dwells In heads replete with thoughts of other men— Wisdom in minds attentive to their own."

General Gass has great erudition, and has written books. But in the great essential qualities of wisdom, justice, integrity, humanity, and moral as well physical courage, Taylor approaches nearer to the character of Washington thun any man who has ocnearer to the character of Washington than any man who has oc-upied the Presidential chair since his day. And with regard to the champion of the gentleman from Connecticut, [Mr. Mitzs, who has modiged himself in a sly lifting at General Taylor, I will give my opinion with equal frankness. Mr. Van Baren is a enn-ning man, and it has often been observed, that no cunning man was ever yet a wise one. Exception is taken to Taylor's qual-fications, because he has been compelled, in his country's service, meantines, occares the last been completely in the colonity's service to pay more attention to the cartridge than the ballot-box; and in his letters has modestly expressed its own difficience of his ability to discharge the duties of President of the United States. But let me call the attention of the Senate to what Gen. Washington said of himself in his inaugural address. Ho says to Congress.

"The magnitude and difficulty of the trust to which the voice of my country called me, being sufficient to awakee in the wisest and most experienced of her clusters a districtful scriminy fries his unablifications, could not but overview mit dies on additionation of the contraction of the districtful scriming inferior endowments from nature, and compracticed in the duties of civil administration, ought to be peculiarly conscious of his own definition.

Taylor is the man of modern times who has rivalled this admi-Taylor is the mad of modern times from this rivers as a desirable modesty, and his friends, so in the features which adorable herein the modesty and his friends, so in the features which adorable herein character. If Taylor has stalified himself by that confession, to which the honorable Senator has referred, the wisest, he purest, and the greatest of all American Presidents, had taught him by his example.

Mr. President, a paper is put into my hands, which I am requested to read to the Senate. In 1840, General Harrison was charged by our opponents with the high crime of having signed a law, about fifty years ago, while Governor of the northwest territory, for selling poor white men into londage. That, if I understood it was a law to punish crime. It is a fair reprisal on an enemy that could make such a charge to refer them now to a law signed by their present candidate while Governor of Michigan, of so late a date as the 27th of July, 1818. The law, which the Sentor from Michigan [Mr. Felch] will acknowledge to be an authentic copy from the territorial statutes of Michigan, is in the following words :

AN ACT for the punishment of idle and disorderly persons.

SEC. 1. Best exacted by the Governor and Judges of the Territory of Michigan, That any justice of the peace, on conviction, may sentence say vaguant, lewel, alle, or disorderly persons, stabborn servants, common drankards, common night using of tourselety persons, stubbons servents, common dirankands, common night-walkers, pilleren, or any persons vasion or locations in speech, indexent behavior, common militers a branches, such as neglect their calling and employment, integered continuous properties of the common of the common of the common of the ceeding that steps or to be delivered over to any constitute, to be employed in abort nonexacening three months, by such constitute to be briefed out for the best wages that the properties of the control of the

te, adopted, and published at Detroit the This day of July, 1818.
LEWIS CASE

Governor of the Territory of Michigao.
A. B. WOODWARD,
Presiding July WITTER ALDY, of Michigan.
JOHN, GRIFFIN,
JOHN, GRIFFIN,

Judges of the Territory of Michigan.

This act was passed by the anthority originally given in the ordinance of 1787. It provides that "that the governor and judges shall adopt and publish in the district such laws of the original shall adopt and publish in the district such laws of the original States, criminal and civil, as may be necessary and best suited to the circumstances of the district, and report them to Congress, from time to time. In the year 1818, Sovernor Cass adopted and passed this act, as one of the legislators over the territory. By it a "common night-walker," or any "idle person," or any "stubborn servant," or any "erron licentious in speech," or more yearned, which was the speech of the constant of the person who should "missiphed what he hard earned, and not provide for binsell or family might, at the discretion of a justice of the peace, be whipped termingth, as the discretion of a justice of the peace, be whipped the might, at the discretion of a justice of the peace, be wimpled ten lashed, or delivered over to a constable, to be hired out for the best wages that could be procured! If the General should remain of that mind hereafter, what a prospect of whipping and hiring out does it present to all who may have a fancy for night-walking, out does it present to all who may have a takey for night-walking, for all idlers, such as may, in the judgment of a justice of the peace, be "stubborn servants," or "licentious in speech," or happen not to spend their money as the justice shall approve! There s nothing in the old sedition law, or in the blue laws of any of the old States, to exceed this precious specimen of the Governor's le-gislation in 1818. I commend it to the especial consideration of the modern democracy in their future progress.

Sir, I have done. Let me only say, in conclusion, that I hope that my friends on the other side now have enough of platforms; and that in fuure all genuine republicans may rally together un-der the standard of Taylor, which is wide enough and broad enough to protect and shelter every true friend of his country, whether native or a naturalized citizen, no matter what may have been his party designation. Let all such men stand up boldly together in the battle for the rights of man, as secured to us by the great charter of American freedom, the constitution of our country, and the REPUBLICAN PARTY of the country may triumph over all opposition from the self-styled democracy, to the end of time.

Mr. FOOTE .- Mr. President : I have heard it said that it is an established rule among professed rhetoricans, loth ancient and modern, that the peroration should always be the most brilliant and imposing part of a speech. It will be readily acknowledged by those who have listened to the honorable Senator from Delaware, that the conclusion of that very magnificent harangue which has riveted our attention for the last two hours or more, is which has riveted our attention for the last two doubted in the not altogether a very striking exemplification of the rule alluded to. After discussing with great ability, and with much of the pomp and circumstance of the war orat rical, many of the loftiest pomp and circumstance of the war orat freal, many of the fortiest, and most enkindling topics which can claim the consideration of enlightened statesmen, or rouse the sensibilities of the patriot, he descends, with a bathotic impetuosity which has no parallel, to the examination and elaborate discussion of one of the most petty and trivial topies which ever found its way into a grave delibers tive assembly; and thus is he content to close his speech!

Well, sir, since the Senator has resolved that we shall look into

the act of the Territorial Legislature of Michigan, to which he says the sanction of our candidate for Presidential honors was acthally given, let us examine it. It is as follows:

[The honorable Senator read the act as given above.]

I ne nonrance centari read une act as given anover. Jisr, this law is almost precisely correspondent with statutes to be found upon the statute-book of every State in this Union. I am informed that it is an exact copy of the statute of Vermont on this subject; and I know that there are but few States in the Union which have not at one time had a similar one. Whether General Cass introduced the bill in the Territorial Legislature. of which he was a component member, (for I understand that the whole legislative power was vested in the Governor and three judges of the territorial court;) whether he sanctioned it when proposed by another; or whether it became a law in opposition to his judgment and wishes, we are not informed, nordo I deem it at all material to ascertain. For my part, I am perfectly willing that all such miscreants as are described in the territorial law of Michigan may vote against us in the coming Presidential contest

—being perfectly convinced that there will be a sufficient number of honest, industrious, orderly democratic voters to scenre us the

most signal triumph which has been achieved by the democratic party since the overthrow of the elder Adams. And now, Mr. President, in closing this debate, I have only to repeat, what I have so often reiterated, that the leaders of the whig party in this body have been called upon to defend their principles, and they have undertaken to do so, but have totally fa even to make a single distinct issue with us. They have been called upon to explain the principles of their Presidential candi-date, and they have all confessed their utter inability to do so.— They have been requested to affix some definite interpretation to the published letters of General Taylor, and they have confessed themselves incompetent to the task. The Senator from Delaware says that General Taylor's political creed is to be found in his Allison letter. I have a ked him what the Allison letter means upon several important points, and he acknowledges that he is unable several important points, and ne acknowledges that he is unable to inform me. I have invited the honorable Senator's attention specially to his own letter published in the autumn of 1848 to 1848 to 1849 to me an answer, but has closed his speech without complying with his promise. From this time forward, let no man assert that the whigs, as a party, have any political principles; for certain it is, that if they have principles, they are such as they are asbamed to avow, and dare not attempt to vindicate by argument.

Mr. FELCH.—I desire to say a few words in reference to the w referred to in this debate. Neither the Senator from Delalaw referred to in this debate. Neither the Senator from Delaware nor the Senator from Mississippi, appears to understand the territorial organization in Michigan when this law was adopted.

The power of legislation was committed to the governor, presiding judge, and two associate judges of the territory. four individuals acting together, possessed the only legislative au-thority which was exercised within the limits of the territory. They acted together as a hoard, each having his vote upon the adoption of proposed laws, and under an express provision that a majority should govern. General Cass, who was governor of the territory, had no veto over the action of the other members; he was on an equality only with them and had his simple vote. may have been opposed to the law in question, and have given his vote against its adoption; yet by the votes of the other three it would become a law, and it would be his duty to certify it in the proper manner to give it effect. From the evidence here present-ed, it appears to have been adopted by a majority of the governor and judges, but having no record of the action of that tribunal upon it, we have no evidence to show whether General Cass was in or of it, or against it. All that now appears is perfectly consistent with the troth, even if General Cass strenuously opposed and voted against the adoption of the law. But whether it met his approval or his opposition, is to my mind a matter of little importance. The law-making powers of the governor and judges were peeu-

liar. They had no general authority of legislating for the terri-tory. Their power was limited to the adopting of such laws of tory. Incr power was imitted to the acopting of siten laws or the States, criminal and civil, as might be necessary and best suited to the circumstances of the district. They could adoptive laws of other States, but could originate none. Since the clurge has been made in the public papers against General Cass, that he approved the law referred to by the Senator from Delaware, a nd of mine has examined the original in the office of the Secretary of State, and informed me that it is certified upon the manuscript, that the same is adopted from the laws of the Stale of Vermont. From this information, I assert with confidence that such is the fact. In regard to the character of the laws adopted under the organization abovementioned of the territories of the northwest, two things deserve consideration. The first is that the laws were uniformly adopted from the statutes of the oldest and most enlightened States in the Union; from those in which the subject of good government were most perfectly understood, and the principle of morality most fully established. Hence the State of Vermont, a State which is admitted to be inferior to none in these important respects, is one from which such laws were derived and ransferred to the new country in the west. The Senator from Florida as-serts that a law similar to that under consideration existed in States, would, I believe, if examined, show similar provisions en-acted and enforced at an early period. It is also to be remarked in the second place, that the laws adopted under the early organ ization in the different territories of the northwest are similar in their character. Many of them are identical in terms; and laws their character. Many of them are identical in terms; and laws making penal the officaces described in the enactment under consideration, and inflicting punishment of the same character, will be found among the early laws of most, if not all the territories, and in some portions of the west they were long continued under and in some portions or the west they were long continuod under the State organizations. In the State of Indiana a law similar in its provisions to that under consideration, was for many years on the statute book and in force. This law at the time of its passage, received the official approval of General Harrison, then governor of the territory of Indiana, and since President of the United States-That law, however, was more severe in its penulties than the law adopted in Michigan. While the punishment in the latter was limited to ten stripes, and to a term of labor not exceeding three

months, the Indiana law extended the penalty to forty stripes save one, and the term of service at hard labor to nine months. The law-making power of Indiana at this time resided in a council and law-making power of Indiana at this time resided in a council and House of Representatives. General Harrison, as governor of the territory, had under the ordinance of 1787 an absolute veto upon every bill. Without bis approval no law could be valid; to the enactment abovementioned, he gave his approval in writing. General Cass as governor of Michigan, I have aiready shown, bad no such controling power over the action of the board of which he was a member. The law of which the gen-leman complyings, was adopted in 1818, thirty years ago. Sir, do not all know that within that space of time, crimes and punishment therefor have been the subject of careful investigation, and that the result has been a criest change in public opinion, and the law in regard to them. Methods of punishment approved by all at that time are discarded now Milder penalties and less ignominous inflictions are adoptconsent. ed by common consent. On this subject the efforts of philanthro-pists have ameliorated the punishments of offenees, and caused a system of criminal laws to be adopted more consonant with the

chelings of humanity.

To this advance of public sentiment, I am happy to know that
no one gives a more hearty approval than General Cass. His writings on the subject of legal and judicial reform, which may be
read by all, exhibit his deep interest in the matter. His efforts
have had their share in producing the result, and no man more

than he rejoices at such improvements.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, inquired of the Senator from Michigan, whether the law of 1818 was in force at the present time in that State.

Mr. FELCH.—No, sir. It was repealed long ago. The ter-ritorial organization under the governor and judges, was superse-ded by what was denominated overnor and judges, was superse-renment. In this second form of government, the people were represented by a legislative council, who had, with the governor, the power of legislation. When this form of government was or-ganized, the territory entered on a new course of legislation and new enactments were substituted for the former laws. The law in question was thus rescinded.

Mr. WESTCOTT stated that the law referred to was a part of the laws of Massachusetts and not of Veimont.

Mr. CLAYTON .- The Senator from Mississippi and myself Mr. CLAYTON—The Senator from Mississippi and myself have already occupied quite as much time on this subject as properly belongs to us. I shall be perfectly content to let the comparity judge let week us on the questions we have discussed. The people will very easily decide how much loundation there is for the Senator's pretence, that I have not been sufficiently explicit, and they will have as little difficulty in deciding whether be has been able to answer the question addressed to him. The only thing I wish now to say is, that the territorial law adopted by General Cass in July, 1818, owes its authority to the laws of Congress, which will be found in 1st vol. laws, page 51, and 2d vol. laws, page 308. The one is the ordinance of '87; the other the laws, page 308. The one is the ordinance of '87; the other the act to divide the Indian territory into two separate governments.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland .- I concur in the desire, which Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland.—I concer in the desire, which evidently prevails in the Senate, that the question of the adjournment should be decided to-day; and rise nowfor the purpose only of doing an act of justice to a distinguished citizen of North Carolina, [Gov. Morehead] whose name has been brought before the Senate in the course of this debate, by the Senato from Mississippi (Mr. Foorx.) In his inaugural address, on being installed President of the whije convention at Philadelphia, that ertizen was represented by the Senator from Mississippi as having adopted the sentiment that "to the victors belong the spoils." I was not a member of that convention, but I recollected distinctly the rep of the President's opening speech, which appeared in the Phila-delphia "North American" on the following morning; and I there-fore expressed to the honorable Senator my conviction that he must have been misinformed, and that the distinguished gentleman who presided over the deliberations of that convention, never made use of the language which had been attributed to bim. I have since ascertained that the official reporter of the Senate was in attendascertained that the observations of the material state of the charge of the relations of the relations of the relations of the relations of the president. I shall now read the report of what Gov. More-head really said; from which it will be seen that be utterfy reputated the degrading and detestable sentiment that "to the victors belong the spoils." I beg to state that the report was made by the official reporter of the Senate himself, and no one who knows Dr. Houston can have any doubt as to his eutire accuracy :

\*\*\*A.\*\* TOURSON CAN HAVE A BOT ORDER AS TO DIS CULTER ACCURACY !

\*\*All by have look is to select a standard beserve the off ill secretic hearty conjugates of all sections of our country, is enough to our country.

\*\*Comparison of our country is conjugate to our country is welfact.

\*\*All by have been depended upon our knowledge of our country.

\*\*The country is all the properties of the country is the properties of the country is the property in the country is the properties of the country is the present endargated though too, which will enjoy lend upon the country from the present endargated country to to the flooring and happy continue from which the the false.

Mr. FOOTE.—When this speech was delivered I saw the re-port, from which I quoted to the Senate the other day, and it re-presented the presiding efficer as delivering the sentiment I charged upon him. I saw the same report in other papers. But un-der the impression produced by the statement of our reporter I have withdrawn the charge; and I think all parties must be very much indebted to me for bringing this matter under revision.

30TH CONG .- 1ST SESSION-No. 105.

The VICE PRESIDENT then stated the question to be upon the motion of the Senator from Indiana, [Mr. Bright,] to amend the resolution by striking out the "17th July," and inserting the "31st July."

Mr. DOWNS .- I think it very desirable that the consideration of this subject be postponed for a short time. I understand the treaty has been received and will be communicated to the ate shortly, and I think that it and the Oregon territorial bill before us, should be settled before we adjourn. The question is: Shall we be able to perform our business within the time mentioned and he ready to adjourn at that time? We have highly imporand the ready to adjoin at that thie? We have ample time to perfect and conclude that business. In order that we may have a few days more to reflect upon the subject, and to proceed with the important bill before us, I move that the further consideration of question he postpooed until Monday week.

Mr. BERRIEN .- Whatever may be the decision of the Senate Mr. BERRIEN.—Whatever may be the decision of the Senate upon this resolution, I hope the motion of the Senator from Louisians will not prevail. It will scarcely be courteous to the House of Representatives who have sent here a resolution proposing to adjourn on the 17th day of July, that we should postpone a consideration until the very day proposed. We shall hold them bound by their action up to the morning of the 17th to do as we shall see fit, while we in the mean time may do as we think proper.—
I think we had better meet the question now. Let us have the conjunce of Senators unwards different days recovered. In exchange opinions of Senators upon the different days proposed. In respect to what we can or cannot accomplish, we have heard that suggesto what we can or canoni accomplish, we have feath that was sugges-tion made before, and the answer has been that we will accom-plish much more by fixing a day for adjournment, than we shall by leaving the matter undecided. If we fix a day I believe we shall work up to it. If this question is left open, we shall most probably be sitting here in the month of September.

Mr. BREESE.—As this is the day agreed upon for the final vote upon the Oregon territorial bill, I move that to morrow week instead of Monday week be the day fixed for the consideration of this

Mr. DOWNS .-- I withdraw my motion, and accept that of the Senator from Indiana as a substitute.

Mr BERRIEN .-- My proposition is not to take up and agree to this resolution, but determine whether we shall agree to or reject it, in order not to keep the House bound by that resolution, while we remain free to pass it or reject it.

Mr. DOWNS.—This subject is a very important one. It is known that when the time is once fixed for adjournment, that the proceedings of the Senate are no longer in the control of a majorproceedings of the Senate are no longer in the control of a major-ty of the body. If there be any objections to certain measures, it will be in the power of a minority to defeat their passage by talking against time. For one, I will not place Congress in this situation at the present time. I believe it is wastly supportant to the peace and quitetude of the country that this question of the territorial bill should be settled, and that Congress ought never to adjourn until it is settled. Already we have notice of a sort of northern Congress to assemble at Buffalo on the 9th of Angus., northern Congress to assemble at Duliato on the 9th of Angus; and if Congress goes away without settling this great question, my word for it it will not be long before we will have a southern as well as a northern Congress. It is in the power of Congress now to settle this measure, and I trust that time will be allowed them to have it settled.

Mr. LEWIS.—There are certain questions before us, which, if not settled now, I do not think ever will be. I therefore move that the longest period proposed be the day fixed for considering the question of adjournment.

Mr. TURNEY.-I am opposed to fixing any day for adjournment. I think this question of the territorial bill must be settled ment. I risuak suspension of the territorial bull mank for settled at the present session, or it never will be settled. We are told that by fixed session, been a member of this body set and the structure. I have winessed the defeat of a highly important measure by speaking against time. There is no important measure in this charge against the summer of the set desirons of placing it in the power of those who are desirous of shuning off this question to do so! For one, sir, I am not willing to place the time of adjournment beyond our control, until the Oregon territorial bill has first passed

Mr. ALLEN.—I am of opinion that all we can do, and do well, may be done in a month. I shall, therefore, vote against the proposition, and in favor of fixing the last day of this month as the

day for adjournment.

The question was then taken upon the motion of Mr. Downs.

The further consideration of the resolution be postponed antif that the further consideration of the resolution be postpood annut Monday week; and it was determined in the negative as follows: Xi-A-Messa Atheron, Beeton, Bortand, Butte, Calboo, Calyon, Davio O Stada, Tonga Atheron, Beeton, Bortand, Butte, Calboo, Calyon, Davio O Stadan, Tunny, Wevent, and Yuse-Be.

\*\*Na Na-Messa, Allen, Aclaison, Badger, Bell, Berrien, Brailburg, Bress, Bright, Camteno, Clark, Cavvin, Davio, of Masshchestt, Dayton, Belsmon, Dir., Felsh, Fürgerald, Green, Hale, Hamin, Johnson of Mil., Joneson, et La., and I phan—23. Miller, Naie, Pearse, Pholo, Sprance, Success, Cadercool, and I phan—24.

Mr. BREESE then moved that the further consideration of the resolution be postponed until to morrow week; and upon this question the yeas and nays were ordered, and it was determined in the negative as follows :

YEAS.—Messra Atherton, Benton, Borland, Breese, Butler, Calhoon, Clayton, aver, of Mississippi, Dodge, Downs, Foote, Hunter, Johnson, of Louisiana, John. of Georgia, Lewis, Mason, Rusk, Sebastian, Singreon, Turney, Westcut, and

sao, of Georgia, Lewa, Tason, Massa, Calendari, Georgia, Calendari, Vales—22, Vales—23, Vales—34, Vales—43, Vales—43, Vales—44, Vales—44, Vales—45, Vales—45

The question recurring on agreeing to the amendment proposed by Mr. BRIGHT-

Mr. DOWNS moved that the Senate adjourn.

The motion was determined in the negative.

Mr. BRIGHT.—My reason for making the motion for adjournment on the 31st of July was, because I feel satisfied that by time we shall be ready to adjourn. The Senators from Louisiana and Tennessee would make it appear that there is a party in this Senremessee would make it appear that there is a party in his section—a tee who are endeavering to dodge an all-important question—a question which they further assert, if left unsettled by this Congress, may lead to the dissolution of this Union. Sur, I am well satisfied that speech-making in Congress cannot separate button. I desire much to see the Oregon territorial bill settled, and I think that by fixing the 31st of July, we may put an end to nseless debate, and conclude all our business by that period. For one, sir, I am anxions to vote upon all the bills before us; and if we vote more, and speak less, we can soon despatch our busi-

Mr. RUSK.—Mr. President, I desire to say but a very lew words. The Senator from Indiana, [Mr. Brichtt.] has remarked that if we vote more and speak less, we shall be able to get through business by the 31st July. This may be true, sir. But will the fixing that day for adjournment stop the speaking? I doubt it. On the contrary, sir, I believe that if there is a disposition any where to avoid the responsibility of meeting the important questions presented by the territorial bills, the fixing a day for adjournment will increase the talking. Sir, it is the duty of this Congress to establish governments both in Oregon and Cali Sir, it is the duty of fornia, and furnish ample means for the protection of the citizens of those territories. There is, at this moment, an Indian war going on in Oregon, and while we are engaged in the laudable busiss of President making, your citizens in Oregon, without regard age or sex, are being butchered by savages. To the hardy ento age or sex, are being butchered by savages. terprize of these people, in a great measure, you owe your present possession of that country. They are not represented here. They have been described in this hall, as intruders upon the public domain; and all power to make any laws for their government and safety, has been denied them. I look upon them zens, worthy citizens of this republic, and tally entitled to have the protecting arm of this government thrown around them. It do for gentlemen here, who are in no danger, to theorize and make long speeches, intended to affect the question of the next or a future presidential election. But, sir, it is the bounden duty of a fixing presenting receipts. But, say it is of nothing duty this government, to afford prompt and speedy protection to the elitizens of Oregon. They have been neglected too long already. They are as much entitled to our consideration, as the citizens of any of the States of this Union. Fix the day of adjournment, and you deny them protection in all probability, for the next four

The citizens of California equally demand your consideration, as a The enizens of California equally demand your consideration, as a state of anixrby and confusion will prevail there. The population of that country are of discordant materials. They are discortented Mexicans; disappointed English capitalists, who have been baniked in their schemes of securing that important country.— They are surrounded by war-like tribes of Indians, who have been will be again, unless prevented, incited to acts of hostility You owe it to them, sr, you owe it to your own character, as well as interest, to make prompt and efficient provision for their protection. Fix your day for adjournment now, and any bill upon that subject will be defeated. More than that, sir, if this question is not now settled, I fear it will not be in the next four question is not now settled, I real it with not be in in all a systems. It is too important a question for reeldess and aspiring politicians to make capaital out for the campaign of '52. Now, in my opinion, is the most propitions time to settle it. I hope it my opinion, is the most proprious time to settle it. Hope it will be done; but I confess, sir, I have my doubts whether it will be settled. It is in my humble opinion, a question vastly more important to the quiet, not to say safety of this Union, than any contest for the Presidency can be.

Mr. BUTLER -I ask the question solemnly, if this Congress should disperse this month, and return in December, whether it will then constitute a more temperate or impartial tribunal for the satisfactory decision of the grave issue non which we have to pass at some time or other than it is at present? Sir, having the whole control of the matter in our hands, now sannot we dispose of it more satisfactorily than at any other line? If we disperse and go away without setting this question, the public mind will be. come more and more inflamed, and we shall meet here in December, nothing more nor less than a packed jury; for one section of the Union will be arrayed against the other section. Sir, it is our that become the control with the matter execution. By, it is our of deciding this question for ourselves. It we do not penaltonian cannot tell the consequence that may result. If the bosiness he fore us can all be disposed of by the 31st of July, I am perfectly willing to adjourn on that day. But if we are to go mg the dissection to the consequence of the disposed of the second of the constant of the disposed of the second of the disposed of the second of the disposed of th longest period that may be convenient fixed for the day of adjournMr. BELL .- I voted against both propositions to postpone the further consideration of the resolution. If necessary, I shall do so again. I do not feel the alarm or apprehension expressed by some of the Senators, in relation to this subject, by any means. Nevertheless, there are considerations connected with it that are of great weight. I understand from the Senator from Louisiana that the treaty will soon be communicated to the Senate, which will of course bring with it the question of the true boundary of Texas for settlement. I should like, for one, to see also what disposition is to be made in relation to the government of the territory of California and New Mexico, as well as of Oregon, belore placing the time of adjournment beyond our control. I think that we might fix a day, and then lay the resolution upon the table, thus having it in our power, at any day, to call it up and pass it if we find the business before us rapidly maturing. I move to lay the resolution on the table.

The question being taken upon the motion of Mr. Bell, that the resolution lie on the table, it was determined in the negative as

VEAS—Mears, Atchion, Atlerton, Beil, Bepton, Botland, Buder, Calhonn, Chayton, Davu of Wassurpu, Dodge, Down, Foots, Hunter, Johnson of Lonaisza, Bothennon, of Googles, Lewer, Mangan, Mason, Metzelf, Rock, Sebestina, Sturgero, May Server, May March, March, March, March, Sebestina, Sturgero, NAVS—Mears, Allen, Badger, Bertien, Bradbary, Bresse, Bight, Cameron, Carles, Cowrus, Davin, of Massachantik, Dayton, Dekindon, Dirt, Felch, Entgretol, Green, Elak, Hanin, Johnson, of Maryland, Muler, Niles, Pearce, Phelps, Spri anec, Underwood, and Uptlan—26.

Mr. ATHERTON.—The disposition of this subject which seems Mr. ATHERTON.—The disposition of this subject which seems to me most proper would be, to adopt the amendment to the amendment which fixes the 31st day of July as the day of adjornment, and then it could afterwards be altered as the necessity of the case might require. Thus we would indicate to the House or disposition to adjorn by that time and then the resolution might be laid on the table. It seems to me that the time has not yet come when the Senate onghit to give up the control over this subject, and that we are not prepared to say now whether we can be considered to the control over the co possibly get through our business by that time. Still, by the adop-tion of an amendment we shall evince a disposition to adjourn by that time; and then, by laying the resolution on the table, we may

Mr. BRADBURY .- The Senate will recollect that the other day when this subject was under consideration, by almost general consent, the 31st of July seemed to be regarded as the proper time for adjournment. Sir, how can we expect to get on with our business unless we do designate a day. Then it was apparent that the business might be all accomplished by the 31st of Julythat the dustines impair to all accomplished by the distribution of the best of the subjects. The surest way is to designate the day, and then we shall be likely to have our debates confined to the subjects under consideration. We are most likely to secure action apon the subjects before us by fixing a day, add, for one, I think that by the 31st of July we can accomplish all that is necessary to be desired.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Georgia.-I have no disposition at this late hour of the day, to trespass upon the time of the Senate, but nevertheless I feel it my duty to present very briefly the reasons for the vote I am about to give. I am sorry to differ with my col-league as to the propriety of fixing at this time a day for the adjournment of Congress, as the interests we represent are identi-eal. Sir, the question involved in the twelfth section of the hill for the organization of a territorial government in Oregon, is the great question of the session, and should be settled before we think of adjournment. It is an agitating question. The region of country from which I come is deeply excited; and indeed I may say the whole country is deeply excited. The eyes of the people North and South are turned with intense anxiety to the acpeople North and South are turned with intense anxiety to the ac-tion of Congress upon the subject of slavery in the territories of the United States. It is due to them—they have a right to ex-pect and demand at the hands of their representatives that this agitating question should be put to rest—removed from the arena of politics. It I could have the assurance that it is the fixed determination of Congress before its adjournment to decide these questions growing out of the territorial bills, I would vote for the earliest adjournment. But in opposition to the statements of experieaced Senators, I must beg leave to entertain the opinion that it is unsafe and unwise to fix a day of adjointment until we have made such progress as will ensure definite action. The question made side progress as will classife domitic action. In a question of estimating slavery in the iterritories is one of such magnitude of estimating slavery in the iterritories is one of such magnitude that it should—it ought to be thoroughly discused, to the end that the opinions of the various sections of our country may be fairly understood—that those who represent the various slaudes of quinting may common freely and candidly with each other. Fix a day on may commune reely and canonity with each other. It is a may of adjournment now, and you jeopard every thing; you place it in the power of those disposed to evade these absorbing assues, (if any there bec,) at the last expiring moments of the session to defeat the bill by spoaking against time. Is it right to do this thing? Is it not the part of wisdom and prudence, to hold this subject adjournment under our control, so that we may be certain of trans-acting the business entrusted to us? If this question of slavery acting the hussness entrusted to us? If this question of slavery in the territories be left topen, the country continues agitafed—the public mind is kept in the most painful suspense. Sir, I am disposed to relieve the country from this state of agitation, which is calculated to sever the ties of Irateraity which bind together the different sections of the confederacy, and weaken the bonds of this Umon. Take this question from the arean of politics and yos-assee us from the evils consequent upon its continuing to be use as capital in the scramble for party power. Is not this an object

as capital in the scramble for party power. Is not this an object worthy of serious consideration?

Can it he possible that it is the consideration of a few dollars and cents which will be drawn from the Treasury, by protracting the session for a few days, which is producing upon the minds of Senators such haste for an adountment? No, sr, it cannot be. Dollars and cents which into utter insignificance, when compared with the momentous question now hefore the Senate.

Can it he expected that the month? Look at your calcular and senate the second of the compared with the momentous question in the heavy series of the second senate that the party of the deministration of the government. The general appropriation bill has not yet passed the other bills, shouldely necessary for the administration of the government. The general appropriation bill has not yet passed the other house. In a day or two we shall receive a message from the President, communicating the ratification of the treaty with Mexico and California or to be legislated for—legislation in reference to the reduction of the army must be had. Can all this, which are designated? Sir, these are weighty considerations in my home in designated? Sir, these are weighty econsiderations in my home in designated? Sir, these are weighty considerations in my home proper in the present of the sent party are in the misority in this body. If the weighty matters are disposed of.

Sir, I will present one other view upon this subject. The democratic party are in the majority in this body. If the weighourn with the six of the control of the cannot resist the conviction that it is my duty to oppose an adjournment until these weighty matters are disposed of.

Sir, I will present one other view upon this subject. The democratic party are in the majority in this body. If the weighourn without disposing of the question of slavery in the territories, a dispriant control of the control of the cannot for the depresensability which rests upon them. On the other side of this chamber w

The question recurring on the motion by Mr. DOWNS to amend the amendment by substituting the "14th August" for the "31st July"-

Mr. LEWIS moved that the resolution lie on the table; and, the yeas and nays being ordered, it was determined in the negative, as follows :

LUC, as DOILWAS: Therton, Bell, Benton, Borland, Butler, Calbonn, Clayton, Davin, of Mususippi, Dodge, Dowar, Foote, Huuter, Johnson, of Louistan, Johnson, of Googa, Lewis, Mangam, Metzalis, Rusk, Schatzan, Sturgeon, Tamery, Wort-MAYS—Mears, Allen, Badger, Berrien, Brathaury, Bresse, Bracht, Clarke, Corwa, Davis, of Musschneistr, Dayton, Dekisson, Die, Felch, Függerald, Guille, Linnin, Johnson, of Maryland, Miller, Nilei, Fearre, Phelipe, Spruance, Underwood, Uplame.

Mr. RUSK moved that the Senate adjourn; and, the yeas and nays being ordered, it was determined in the negative, as fol-

VEA2—Merrs. Atherion, Benton, Borland, Butler, Calhoan, Dodge, Downs, Foors, Hunter, Johnson of Louisions, Johnson, of Georgia, Lewis, Mangam, Maoo, Mestalle, Renk, Sabastana, Sturgero, Terney, Watcatt, Yuke-2ll, Tank, Sabastana, Sturgero, Terney, Watcatt, Yuke-2ll, Zinck, Coordin, Davis, of Mangahesth, Davis, Peters, Petersenid, Greene, Hate, Hamilia-Johnson, of Maryland, Mus, Pence, Podgs, Spraner, Underwood, Jahan—20.

The question was then taken upon the motion of Mr. DOWNS to amend the amendment, by substituting the "14th August" for the "31st July;" and it was determined in the negative, as follows :

YEAS — M.—A. Altiron, Beston, Borlard, Buther, Chileng, Dekimon, Down, Foots, Hurtz, didmon, of Lemmars, Johnson, G. Georga, Essew, Ruck, Seksitias. — 14.
Rev. A.Y.S. — Mosar, A.P. a. Barger, Berron, Bondoor, Breen, Bught, Clurk, Cor. N. A.Y.S. — Mosar, A.P. a. Barger, Berron, Bondoor, Breen, Bught, Clurk, Cor. Green, Hile, Henlin, Johnson, of Maryland, Maogum Mason, Metalf, Miller, Green, Hile, Henlin, Johnson, of Maryland, Maogum Mason, Metalf, Miller, Maryland, Miller, Maryland, Maryland,

The question recurring upon agreeing to the amondment proposed by Mr. BRIGHT-

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi.—I wish to explain my voto upon this question. If we fix a day, as is proposed, those who are un-willing to meet the great question before us would have an in-ducement and an opportunity offered for idle and protracted dis-cussion, in order to have the bill delayed, so a not to vote upon it finally at this session. I am destrous of a so not to vote upon it finally at this session. I am destrous of a should processing, may no convince the next session of Congress. The question in-volved in this territorial bill, however, should be settled at this session. I shall vote against fixing any day. session. I shall vote against fixing any day.

Mr. ALLEN .- I am quite willing and ready to vote at a moment's warning upon any question that is yet to come before us for final decision this session. I can do so without offering one word of argument; and if this question be not acted upon, it shall not be because of argument or speech from mc.

The question was then taken upon agreeing to the amendment of Mr. BRIGHT, to strike out "the 17th day of July" and insert "the 31st day of July," and it was determined in the affirmative, as follows :

YEAS—Mesor, Allen, Atherton, Badjer, Bell, Bernen, Bradbury, Browe, Brght, Clarke, Goren, Davis, of Massachusett, Isayton, Dickirson, Dix, Feldy, Pingers, Michael Maryland, Manganu, Medalfa, Miller, and Maryland, Manganu, Medalfa, Miller, Pingers, Pingers

Mr. BRIGHT then moved that the resolution lie upon the Mr. BRIGHT then moved that the resolution lie upon the table. He remarked that the Senate had done row all that he thought was necessary at the present time in regard to the reso-lution. They had, by fixing upon a day evidenced to the coun-try that they were willing to adjourn at the earliest moment consistent with the public interests. They come as it approxima-ted to completion, they could call up the resolution, and finally dispose of it.

Mr. DICKINSON made a few remarks of a similar tenor,

Mr. BRIGHT renewed his motion that the resolution lie on the table; and, the yeas and nays being ordered, it was determined in the affirmative, as follows:

YEAS—More Atherns, Bell, Benton, Borland, Breen, Right, Baller, Clarkon, Davis Giller, Mossing, Dickinson, Bode, Dowes, Fargerik, Josei, Fully, Jahnson, of Gorgus, Lewis, Jiangem, Meson, Rusk, Schman, Nirgeng, Tirrey, Westocki, Marel Bern, Mirchell, Martin, Streen, Tirrey, Westocki, Marel Bern, Mirchell, Merk, Carven, Devic, of Marshoother, Dayton, Dx, Felst, Green, Life, Ranio, Johnson, of Maryhod, Metcalfe, Maller, Mile, Peter, Peters, Pieles, Squanco, Underwood, Upham—22.

Ordered, That the resolution lie on the table.

WABASH AND ERIE CANAL LANDS. Mr. BREESE, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the bill in addition to an act therein mentioned, reported the same without amendment.

The Senate proceeded to consider the said bill, as in Committee of the Whole; and, [a similar bill from the House having been passed,]

On motion by Mr. BREESE, it was Ordered, That it lie on the table.

On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# THURSDAY, JULY 6, 1848.

### PETITIONS.

Mr. D1X presented a petition of citizens of Guilderland, New York, praying that the franking privilege may be abolished, and the rates of postage reduced; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Mr. SEBASTIAN presented the petition of Joseph P. Williams, praying the confirmation of his title to a tract of land; which was referred to the Committee on Private Land Claims.

Mr. HAMLIN presented a petition of citizens of Harrison, Maine, praying that the franking privilege may be abolished, and the rates of postage reduced; which was referred to the Commit-tee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Mr. WESTCOTT presented a memorial of citizens of Florida, praying the adoption of measures for extending to the inhabitants of that State, residing on the gulf coast, additional mail facilities; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post

Mr. BUTLER presented a petition of citizens of Sumpter dis-trict, South Carolina, praying the establishment of a post route from Clarendon depot, to Vance's ferry Post Office, in that State; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

#### THE PRIVATE CALENDAR.

Mr. DOWNS submitted the following resolution for considera-

Resolved, That after the present week until the further order of the Senate, Fri day and Saturday of each week, he set apart after twelve o'clock, for the consideration of private bills of the Senate first, and then those from the Houses, and that, when so considering Senate bills, any one group me to delate beyond a bird explanation, shall be passed over and their other private bills shall have been disposed of

### COLLECTION DISTRICT.

Mr. DIX from the Committee on Commerce, te whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives, to establish the collection district of Brunswick, in the State of Georgia, reported it without amendment.

The Senate proceeded to consider the said bill as in Committee of the Whole : and no amendment being made it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it pass to a third reading.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved, That this bill pass.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. CAMPBELL, their Clerk :

Mr. Perident. The House of Representative have passed the bill from the Senate amending the set entitled "an set granting bill yet for videous and orphan, where the billing of the property of the pullary service of the Senate.

'i soy have passed a resolution to change the location of a light house on Lake Su-penor in the State of Michigan, in which they request the concurrence of the Senate.

The President of the United States approved and signed the 7th instant, a resolu-on relative to evidence in applications for pensions, and an act for the relief of Jona

### BATTLE OF BENNINGTON.

The joint resolution from the House of Representatives, disposing of two brass field pieces enptured at the battle of Bennington in 1777, was read the first and second times by unani-mous consent, and, on motion by Mr. PHELPS, was considered as in Committee of the Whole; and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate

Ordered. That it pass to a third reading.

The said resolution was read a third time, by unanimous con-

Resolved. That this resolution pass.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the Honse of Representatives accordingly.

### LIGHT HOUSE ON LAKE SUPERIOR.

The joint resolution from the House of Representatives to change the location of a light house on Lake Superior, in the State of Michigan, was read the first and second times by unan-mous consent, and, on motion by Mr. FELCH, was considered as

in Committee of the Whole : and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it pass to a third reading.

The said resolution was read a third time, by unanimous consent

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

### HALF PAY TO WIDOWS AND ORPHANS.

The Senate proceeded to consider the amendments of the Honse of Representatives to the bill amending the aet entitled "An aet granting half pay to widows or orphans, where their husbands and fathers have died of wounds received in the military service of the United States, in cases of deceased officers and soldiers of the militia and volunteers," passed July 4th, 1836; and it was

Ordered, That they be referred to the Committee on Military

### ADVERSE REPORTS

The Senate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee on the Judiciary, on the petition of John B. Luce; and, in coneurrence therewith, it was

Resolved, That the prayer of the petitioner coght not to be granted,

The Scnate proceeded to consider the report of the Committee of Claims on the petition of Luther Blake; and it was

Resolved, That the prayer of the petitioner should not be granted.

### NEXT CENSUS

The joint resolution in reference to the next census, was read the second time, and considered as in Committee of the Whols; and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said resolution was read a third time.

Resolved, That this resolution pass, and that its title be as aforesuid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this resolution.

### CHURCH LANDS IN FLORIDA.

The resolution authorizing the submission of certain claims to arbitration, was read the second time, and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and no amendment being made it was reported to the Senate

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

### THE OREGON BILL.

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill to establish the territorial government of Oregon.

Mr. MASON.—Mr. President: It seems that the people in Oregon, finding themselves without other law, when the title to the territory was ascertained and established in the United States, acceptible, acceptable, the territory was ascertained and estandance in the United States, assembled in convention and enacted laws for their temporary security. Amongst these laws we have been dily informed is one by which slavery, or, as it is termed, "finoduntary servitude except for erime," is forever prohibited.

Sir, whatever crude opinions may have been formed, when the Sir, whatever cruse opinions may nave need to make a subject now to be discussed was first under consideration in this body, or elsewhere, I apprehend there are none now who will subt at the penple of a territory belonging to the United States have a right, proprio jure, to pass laws in derogation of the authority of the United States. If there were such opinions, they have been exploded, and I assume that there is no Senator, and no ju rist, who will maintain, that when the people who may be found within a territory belonging to the United States, undertaking for their own safety, or for any other reason, to legislate for that tertheir own safety, or or any other leason, o legislate in matter-ritory without the saletion of the government, that such laws have any validity whatever against the owners of the country— that is to say against the government of the United States.— Well, sir, the Committee on Territories in this body, by instruc-Well, sir, the Committee on Territories in this body, by instruc-tion from the Senate, have reported a bill providing a government for the Territory of Oregon—under the sanction of what I of the government of the United States, whose property it is. And by the 12th section of the bill, the laws now existing within the limits of Oregon, be they what they may, are adopted, and declared to be in full torce for the government of the people of the territory. One of those laws then being, that involuntary servitude or slavery shall be forever thereafter excluded from the territory; and that law being adopted by the bill upon your table, if that bill be enacted into law, it follows of necessity, that involuntary servitude will be excluded from that territory by act of the Congress of the

United States; and thus we are called upon to treat this bill, so United states; and thus we are called upon to treat this bill, so far as regards the 12th section of it, precisely as if there was spread out on its face a prohibition in terms against slavery in that territory. Sir, it is right that it should be clearly understood, that it should be uncovered, that we should expose it, so that we may defeat it if we can.

that we may detent I I we can.

Gentlemen have said upon this floor, that the southern States, (where alone this institution is found,) are bere agitating this question—that the southern States have presented the question before the national councils—and that for all the consequences that result from its agitation, the South is responsible. Let, then, the truth be known; let the fact appear that a committee of this body have introduced a fail with this provision in it, and if there be ofhere the state of right belongs. What the provision in it, and if the said clean it of right belongs. When the subject whatever, but have fast it—we ask no legislation on the subject whatever, but have fast it—we also no legislation on the subject whatever, but have fast it.—

Mr. President, in common with others who stand upon this floor, representatives of sovereign States of the South, parties to this confederation, I depend, not upon the compromises of the constitution, (as they are called.) but upon its guarantees. And I here announce, as I will undertake to show, that if this prayision prevails, and it is the deliberate sense of this Senate, that slavery by act of Congress, is hereafter to be interdicted in the Territory of Oregon, it is done not only in derogation of the faith of the constitution, but it is done in violation of its letter. Sir, the guarantees of the constitution, 1 am free to admit, are founded in compromise, and although there may be no form of expression in the instrument, which would lead us to infer that compromises existed, yet they will be found in the character of the enactments, and in the contemporaneous history of the proceedings which led to those enactments. Sir, need I say to gentlemen around me bere, legisla-tors of the land, that in any government which is not founded in absolute force—in any government whose just power is derived from the consent of the governed, more especially if it consist of a confederation of States, each sovereign within its sphere, that such government can never be jorned, without mutual and great concessions, made with mutual forbearance for the common good of all; and under how much greater necessity of concession and compromise must such government be, when made up of States, extending for thousands of miles through every variety of climate, and with all the jarring and conflicting interests, that are incident and with an the jarring and connecting interests, that are measure to variety of occupation and of product. These compromises of the constitution, or more properly the guarantees that resulted from them, are what the South now appeals to for the preservation of their federal rights-rights secured to them by compact, and for which ample consideration was paid on their part.

Having premised this much, I now approach this subject with the gravity that becomes the occasion. It has been well said that republies have their foundation in public virtue, and when this is absent, they soon degenerate and fall into decay. Equally true is it, that confederations must have their foundations laid in political integrity and good faith Constitutional obligation is of little force,

when all respect is lost for constitutional faith.

Mr. President, there has been hut one former occasion when this formidable question was before the country in its present aspect; and Senators now present, who were then in the national councils, will bear me witness, that in its agitation then, the solid foundaries. tions of the Union were shaken. Upon the refusal to admit the State of Missouri into the Union without a clause in her constitution against the continuance of slavery within her limits, the whole South stood in hostile array against the North and East. The feeling it excited in the southern States was deep and intense.— There was no dissension or difference in that quarter. tempt to degrade the people of Missouri below the level of equality with the other States, insulting as it was, was yot a small offence, compared with the magnitude of the principle it involved a principle which assumed a right in the majority, under the sanction of the constitution, to forbid the extension of the slave population beyond the limits to which it was then confined. Sir, after auton beyond the lands to winner it was time commen. Sr, inter a lapse of more than a quarter of a century, the same question is again presented, and we are now to discuss, calmly and temperately I hope, whether a numerical majority has the power, under constitutional sanction, to interfere with the institutions of the southern ern States, by forbidding their extension into territory, the com-mon property of the Unoa, and thus to disparage and impair the political weight which has been assigned by the constitution to this portion of the confederacy.

Mr. President, when the constitution was adopted in 1788, the institution of slavery formed an important part of the social condiinstitution of slavery formed an important part of the social condi-tion of all the southern, and of many of the northern States. Its existence and its influence upon the future destiny of the South, where, from climate and other causes, it was most likely to be-come permanent, was recognized and discussed with mature deliberation. The antagonist interest of the North and East, where it had then already divided and was soon to disappear, was brought out in full array; and after mouths of consideration and debate by the wise and patriotic men then assembled; after great and mutual concessions on all sides for the common good, a repre-sentative weight in the federal councils was assigned to the slave population, and secured to the States interested by perpetual gua-

rantee of the constitution.

Sir, there are lour provisions in this instrument, recognizing sla and providing appropriate guarantees for the security of that institution

First-In the 2d section of the 1st article, establishing a basis of

representation on three-lifts of that oppulation. Second—In the 9th section of the same article, prohibiting the passage of any law by Congress prior to the year 1808, [a period of twenty years] to prevent the lutther importation of slaves by any of the States.

Third—In the 5th article, providing that no amendment to the constitution shall affect the prohibitions of the 9th section of the

constitution shall attect the probibitions of the 9th section of the 1st article, prior to the year 1808. And, Fourth—In the 2d clause, 2d section of the 4th article, providing for the surrender of fingitive slaves, on the claim of their owners, by the State where such figitive may be found. These, sir, are all full and distinct recognitions of a class held in bondage, and are guarantees provided by the constitutional comment—first all whigh their continuous laws. pact-first, allowing their continued importation for twenty years second, providing for the security of their tenure as property; and, third, and most important, admitting them in the scale of representation as an element of political power; and for each one of these gnarantees, a full and ample equivalent was given to the northern and eastern States, in immunities and advantages secured to them. I will instance a very striking one, which has been rescued from oblivion by Mr. Jefferson and left under his hand as a memorial for history. It is taken from his unpublished manu-

scripts, and was communicated to me many years since by the Hon. Wm. C. Rives, of Virginia, my predecessor on this floor. Mr. Jefferson was minister in France whilst the convention sat which formed the constitution, and Mr. Mason, at whose relation he recorded this scrap for history, was a member of that conven-tion, and it is dated at the family seat of the relator some four years only after the event :

"GUNSTON HALL, September 30, 1792 "Grewron Hata, Septembar 30, 1722.

"Er relations G, Mason. The contintion on agreed to till a fortable before the convention row, was such an one as he would have set his hand and heart to. I. The Persident was to the elected for seven years, then meltiple for seven years more. 2. Rotation in the Scotte. 3. A vole of two times in the legislation on particular rather than the second of the s

Now, sir, by reference to the journal of that convention it will be found that the votes of the States implicated were changed, as are recorded in that memorial. And what is proved by it? Why, first, that the right to import slaves for twenty scars was bartered away by three of the New England States; and, seeond, that in consideration of this immunity, the whole right of legislation on all matters affecting commerce and navigation, which up to that time had been restricted to a majority of two-thirds, was committed to a have anumerical maiority; and a very bad bargin it was for the had been restricted to a majority of two-thirds, was committed to a bore numerical majority; and a very bad bargain it was for the South. But cx hac, disce omnes. Let this one example illustrate the whole. Sir, the South has been faithful and true to all their constitutional engagements. If there be an instance where, how-ver enerous, the South has failed both in spirit and letter to infill those engagements on her part, I pray gentiemen to make it

known.

Let us see in reference to these guarantees respecting the institution of slavery, how they have been fulfilled by the States now called "Free States." I instance the obligation on the States for the surrender of fugitive slaves. How has that been fulfilled 7—The clause imposing it is part of the same section, and in parimateria, with that, requiring the surrender of those who shall "file from justice." Sound and good laid to the compact, requires that cach class of fugitives should be "delivered up," as an act of State authority, upon the demand of the "Executive" in the one case, and on the "claim of the party" entitled, in the other. I ask of Senotors representing the so-styled "free States," how one case, and on the "claim of the party" cattice, in the other, I ask of Senators representing the so-styled "free States," how are these obligations discharged? Is it not due to the faith of the constitution, that cach should be regarded as equally obligatory? And yet what is the fact? Why, laws are enacted in all the States, requiring of the Executive authority to surrender logitives from justice upon demand of the State whence they flee, and providing for their arrest and detection until such demand is made. But in the case of fugitive slaves, in none of these States is the like constitutional duty regarded. In some, laws are even enacted once constitutional unity regarded. In some, laws are even enacted denying the use of their jails for the custouy of such figilities, and denouncing penalties on their officers, if they lend any aid in arresting them. Whilst in all, the citizens of the South who go there in pursuit, are insulted and defied, and even hunted down and killed. parsant, are insured and orelied, and oven funded down and kined. I have no disposition to speak in terms of crimination, or to excite angry or bitter feeling. But our property is insecure. The guarantees under which we hold it are habitually and wantonly discignated, and I should be wanting in duty to those whose honor and interests are in part committed to my care, did I not avail myself of the occasion to make it known. Sir, all that the southern States ask is, that the constitution shall be observed in good faith. They have a right to demand, and they do demand, that the guarantees of the constitution shall be observed and held sacred. I have heard Senators on this floor, the Senator from New York, [Mr. Dix] and the Senator from Vermont, [Mr. Phelps] at this session, and within a week, declare that it is the purpose of the

northern and eastern States, to do what? To prevent the extension of what they call the "slave power." I put it to those Senators, what do they mean by the "slave power?"

Mr. DIX .- I did not make such a declaration.

Mr. MASON .- I so understood the Senator in his remarks, although I do not find the expression in his printed speech. It was used then by the Senator from Vermont What is the "slave powused then by the semior from vermont, we are however et?" In the discussion of a question like this, we have a right to expect that Senators should give us terms that are untelligible. What, then, is the slave power to which the Senator says an end must be put? Why, sir, it is the representative weight which is assigned by the constitution to this species of population or pro-perty. If there be any power lodged by the constitution, in which it is supposed the northern States do not share in common with their brethren in other States, it is referrible to the clause of the constitution which arranges and distributes the representation. And it is this power, for which an ample equivalent has been giv-And it is this lower hot which an ample equipment and the en, which we are told new by Senators is not further to be extended. Mr. President, this representative weight, assigned to the States of this Union by the constitution, must be preserved. If it quences will be. It is not only necessary for the security of their property, but it is indispensable to their political welfare. The property, out it is moispensame to interponucial welfare. The question of abolition heretofore has been a mere britum fulmen, but it comes now in a shape that is no longer to be despised. The mistitution was first assailed when a majority in the federal Congress attempted in 1820 to prevent the State of Missouri from coming into this Union, unless upon terms derogatory to her as a sovereign State, and directly in violation of the constitution. Sir, I know not how it was felt at the North—I know not how far northern statesmen or northern politicians may have believed that their ascendancy was involved in the curtailment of the slave rep-resentation, but I know this, that in the South it required but the resentation, but I know this, that in the South it required but the application of the torch to knulle the whole country. They looked upon it as not only vital to their safety, but they looked upon the attempt to assail it, as an insult, an indignity offered to them as sovereign members of this confederacy. Sir, Mr. Jefferson, lived in those days. No man, I suppose, will question his lovality to the constitution, and none his sagacity as a statesman. A letter was read on this floor the other day by the honorable Senator from South Carolina, [Mr. CALHOUX] in which Mr. Jefferson spoke his laws at the vertentous consequences threatened by this movealarm at the portentous consequences threatened by this move-ment against the South. His mind was filled with the portents of the occasion, and his views freely expressed in letters to his friends, show that in this parricidal attack, he saw the days of the constitution numbered.

Mr. Jefferson's opinions on the occasion cited are entitled to Mr. Jenerson's opinions on the occasion cited are entitled to great weight. A matured statesman and philosopher, profoundly versed as well in the science of government as in the shoals and depths of party, he saw through the vista of years this disturbing great weight. influence—ever on the alert, when once aroused, until its wicked work was ended in the overthrow of the constitution of his coun-

In a letter, dated on the 13th April, 1820, to Mr. \*\*\*\*\*, a gentleman now living, he says:

"The old schism of federal and republican threatened nothing, because it existed in every Stare, and matted them tagether by the fratterness of party; but the coincidence of a marked pranciple, moral sold political, with a geociaphosel line, once conceived, I federal, would never more be obliterated from the most; that it would be recurring on every occasion, and nervesay guitations, and at would knowle such matted and modal harred, as the serving rotations until it would know such nativals are made arreading amongs the mod sugarite in believing that or the mod sugarite in believing that or Union would be along distance, now donkt thinch, and we the event at no great distance, and the direct conspace of the question.

On the 20th December, 1820, he wrote to Mr. \*\*\*\*\*\*, a gentleman also now living, thus:

Heman also now living, thus:

"Nothing has ever presented so threatening an aspect as what is called the Misouri question. The federalist, completely not down, and despairing of ever roug gain ander the aid ferroons of White and the federal so the sour question. The federalist, completely not down, and despairing of ever roug gain ander the aid the federal so that the secondary of the control of the federal so that the federal so that the federal so the federal so that the federal so that the federal so the federal so that the federal so federal so that the federal so the federal federal so the federal federal so the federal federal so that the federal so the federal federal federal so the federal federal so the federal federal so the federal federal so the federal federal federal so the federal federal federal so the federal federal

And in this letter, after speculating on the probable consequence of the threatened disunion, he adds :

"Should this scission take place, one of its most deplorable consequences would be discouragement of the efforts of European nutions in the regeneration of their op-essive and cannibal governments."

In a letter, of the same date, (20th December,) to the Marquis de Lalayette, he prophetically shadows forth, what we now see realized, with the same precision as if he were the bistorian of today. I give an extract :

"With us things are going well. The hoisterons sea of liberty, indeed, is never without a wave; and that from Missouri is now rolling towards us. But we shall note over it as we have done over all others. It is not a moral question, but one merely of power. Its object is to rake a geographical principle for the choice of a President

and the noise will be kept up till that is effected. All know that permitting the alarse of the Sooth to spread into the West, will not add one being to that on-fortunate con-cition: That it will increase the happiness of those existing, and by spreading them over a large anface will dilate the evil everywhere, and facultate the means of getting finally into df. it.

So thought and so wrote Jefferson on the question which divided and threatened us then, as it divides and threatens us now. But, sir, the difficulty was then overcome. It was evercome by con-cession made by these very southern States—a great concession— a concession not only of their constitutional right, but of an exa concession not only of their consistantianal right, but of an expressed constitutional gonarance. And it was made for the sake of peace. How is it met now? I understood the Senator from Vermont, who had the floor on this question a tew days since, to say what? Why, that the compromise in the case of Missouri was really a boon offered by the North to the South.

[Mr. Phelps here interposed, and was understood to disclaim thr remark applied to him in the sense in which it was understood

Mr. MASON.—Well, sir, the concession was made in the hope that, in so doing, the question was settled forever. By mutual agreement for the sake of peace, it was agreed to limit the right to introduce slaves in the country acquired from France to a line extended west from the southern boundary of the State of Missou-ri, being the parallel of 30° 30°. Sir, this was conceded for the sake of preserving this Union. It was a consideration as high even as that; and we foundly hoped that at no future day would be the in the power of agilators again to jeopard the Union, with all the consequences that must ensue, in order to drive a political bargain. But this has been done. The very first occasion when new territory is acquired as the property of the confederacy, this dis-turbing question is brought up; and brought up hew? Brought up by connecting it with territory lying so fur north, that all must agree it never can become the property of slaveholders. It is brought up, sir, as a precedent, because Senators well know what will lollow. There are two other territories that have recently been obtained, California, and New Orleans, and here the precedent is to apply. Sir, we must meet the question in timine, and if it be the judgment of the Senate, of a majority of the States here represented, that the settlement of this question in 1820 is to be disregarded, and the question is to be carried as a matter of absolute power, let them take the consequence when it comes, as

Mr. President, when a matter of political rule-not of political Mr. President, when a matter of pointer and on there is no right, but of political rule—is once determined on, there is no great difficulty in finding arguments to sustain it. from New York, [Mr. Dix.] who has opened the debate upon this question, has invoked the ordinance of '87 for the government of the northwestern territory, and has rehed upon it, as what? a precedent? I should presume not-hardly as persuasive authora precedent! I should presume not—nardy as persuasive author;

iy—but as an example, that as early as the year 1878, before the
foundation of this government was laid, the American people, by
a compact, excluded slavery from a large territory that belonged
to the United States. Sir, the ordinance shows upon its face that,
it was a matter of absolute compact between the States then confederated and the State of Virginia, which made the cession. was a compact in terms; and whether the Congress of the con-federacy had or had not the power to make, it does not shed the haset light upon the majury, whether the Congress, under the pre-sent conteloration, can make a similar compact, and for the sim-ple reason that the ordinance of 87 was made before the constitu-tion was formed. The history of that ordinance is very little known. The proceedings of the old continental Congress were in secret, and no memorial was kept of their debates. But this territory was ceded by Virginia to the United States in March 1784, and the ordinance for its government was not adopted until July, 1787; and during the whole of the intervening time, the Journals of Congress show that the organization of a government for the territory was a sulject of discursion, engendering conten-tion and great differences of opinion.

The honorable Senator from South Carolina has suggested,

The honorable Sonator from South Carolina has suggessed, with great fore-at-less with a degree of probability in the abwith great fore-at-less with a degree of probability in the abvoluntary servitude in the territory, was in itself the result of compromise and that it was yielded by Virginia pone condition that
the guarantee which accompanies it should be given for the recovery of lugitive slaves. There is another suggestion that I have

derived from a different source.

The honorable Sonator from Florida, [Mr. Westcott,] whose habits of research we all know, and of which he has given me, to some extent, the benefit, has exhibited a letter from Mr. Madison, throwing out this suggestion. In these days all the States, under the articles of confederation, were importers of slaves. The New England States almost whitened the ocean with the canvass of their ships, bringing slaves from Africa to the coast of America; and although there was a strong disposition in the federal legisla-ture to put a stop to it, they had not the power to do so. And the probability is, says Mr. Madison, that the clause in the ordinance of 1787, which forbids "involuntary servitude" in the northwest territory was introduced, in order to strike at the foreign slave trade. Congress having no power to forbid the importation, taking this mode to restrain it, by limiting the territory into which slaves should be carried. But, be this as it may, the occasion which called it forth is pregnant with instructive history to the statesmen and people of our country, and so apposite, that I shall be par-duned for the digression in introducing it here.

The claim by many of the States to a large and unoccupied ter-

ritory in the West was the subject of much jealousy and dissension with those States whose boundaries were more circumscribed.

Virginia, whose chartered limits once extended to the Pacific,

then called the South sea,) had yet an immense territory unoc-cupied lying to the northwest of the Olio river. New York claimed a part of the same territory in opposition to the title of Virginia. While the States of Massachusetts and Connecticut, in

the East, and Georgia and the two Csrolinas, in the South, each held large bodies of waste and unappropriated land.

It was said by the other States that it was unjust and inequitable that these vast territories, the enjoyment of ble that these vast territories, and the blood and treasure of all, treely lavished in the revolutionary struggle, should be thus separately held. That Delaware, Maryland and New Jersey had equally contributed to rescue them from the dominion of the Brit-ish crown, and it was oppressive and unjust to exclude them from ish crown, and it was oppressive and impass to execute them from the finits of the conquest. This feeling, which grew as the Revolution progressed, manifested itself in a decided manner when the "articles of confederation and perpetual union," agreed to by Congress in 1777, were recommended to the several States for their ratification. The State of Maryland refused to ratify, and their ratification. The State of Maryland refused to ratify, and placed her refusal upon the express ground that she was excluded praced ner retusat apon the express ground that she was executed from participation in these unoccupied lands. I read an extract from the "instructions of the general assembly of Maryland to her delegates in Congress," presented by them on the 21st May,

"We are convinced policy and jostice require that a constry unsettled at the com-mensement of this war, claimed by the British crown and orded to it by the treaty of Parn, if street dron tile common enemy by the blood and treasure of the thirteen States, blood is considered as a common opporty, subject to be purcelled out by States, blood by the considered as a common opporty, subject to be purcelled out by such times as the windom of that assembly shall \*\*herepic lairests.\*\*

"We have coolly and dispassionately considered the valyiet, we have weighed pro-bable inconvenience and harasing against the sacrifice of joir and eventual rights, but the state of the same of the added thereto in conformity with our declaration.

New Jersey did ratify, but under protest, "in the firm reliance that the cander and justice of the several States will, in due time, remove, as far as possible, the inequality which now subsists

remove, as far as possible, the inequanty winter now substantial. The State of Delaware also came into that confederacy, but under like protest, from which I shall also ask leave to read an extract, as it exhibits the feeling which then actuated the States; all which they were willing to lay down for the common good:

an white they were willing to tay down for the common good:

"Extract from the resolution of the State of Delaware, presented by the delegates in

"Resolved, That the St. Congress Pedenary 25, 1770.

"Resolved, demenders of the United States, the property of which was not vertice were the state of the Francisco of the United States, the property of which was not vertice where the states of the States States, the property of which was not vertice which was not become the states of the States States, the property of which was not vertice which was not because the states of the States States."

The States S

Sir, it is useful to go back, and contrast the spirit with which these States came originally together, in the days of the revolution, with that which animates some of them now. Such was the state of things when the territory was ceded, which is now brought up in judgment against Virginia, and other Southern States. And what was done! Why the State of New York set the example, and made the sacrifice required on the altur of the country, for the common good. Let me exhibit an extract from an act passed by New York.

And then followed a resolution of the old Congress accepting this territorial grant from the State of New York, and inviting the other States to do the like. Sir, the next State in order was There had been a strong remonstrance presented by Virginia to this claim of New York to the lands which she considered embraced within her territory of the north-west, the whole of which was forgotten and laid aside, and that great State in the year 1783 gave authority to her Representatives in Congress, to convey to the United States in absolute perpetuity, a territory that is covered by ten parallels of latitude, and thirteen degress of longitude, out of which have been carved five of the States which are now in the Union, and enjoying its protection. And she did it for what? Why to meet in a spirit of conciliation the concesaions of other States, to do every thing for the common good, and aous of other Streets, to do every ting for the common security of t concession, a spirit that was disposed to give up every thing lor the common good, in order to prevail upon the States to hind themselves in articles of confederation, that you can keep these States under any Identritie government whatever, when that spirit is forgotten and disseguarded. Who is there on this floor who believes that Yirginia, the largest, most populous, and most wealthy of the Southern States, ever would have been a party to the constitution, if there had been a provision engulated in it, for hidding an extension of any part of her population to any territory, that might hereafter become the property of the United States? No one. And if she would not then, and believes now that such extension is her constitutional right, who believes that she or any of her southern sister States can remain in the confederacy, when the barriers that had been erected for their protection, have been

ruthlessly broken down and disregarded?

Every movement that is made affecting the rights and power of the southern States in reference to this population, is looked upon there, as in derogation of their exclusive authority. They are sensitive on this subject. It forms a part of their most valuable property. It is a great element of their political power, and its proper management is essential to their safety. Yet honorable Senators here, as I understand them, looking upon the powers of this government as unlimited, perfectly without control, this subject as they would approach an ordinary subject of legis-lation, and assert a right to control it, whether with or without the assert of the States where alone the institution is found. Is the assent of the states where more the institution is found, is not all power that is not granted to the general government re-served to the States? And do you find anything in the constitu-tion which authorizes any interference on the part of the general government with the domestic institutions, and the regulation of the internal affairs of the States? Sir, in this connexion I was very much struck with one view presented by the Senator from Vermont. The Senator from South Carolina attempted to show, and I Alink successfully, that both to power verification of the control of the c

Mr. PHELPS .- The Senator misapprehends me. My remark was that there was no analogy to be drawn between a legislative trust and a legal trust; and that the important difference between the two was the ingredient of legislative discretion.

Mr. MASON .- A trust is a trust, whether it be created under MI. JAANJY.—A trust is a trust, whether it be created under the sanction of law, or result from a delegated authority to legislate. And athorpid in its latter form, there may be written senator calls "legislative discretion," yet such discretion does not extinguish the trust. The Senator will not contend that does; neither will a legislative discretion enlarge the powers of They remain limited by the object of the trust, though the trustee. They remain inflicted by the object of the trust, thought the choice of means to execute it may be extended. Be it a public or a private trust, its obligations on the trustee are the same, that he shall not transcend his delegated authority. And if you want to determine whether the trust has been well or ill performed, you must look at the charter ereating it.

you must look at the charter creating it.

Mr. Preadent, I will not say there is a party, but there are statesmen in this country who look upon this government as a property to be enjoyed and parcelled out, rather than as a trust to be administered for the common good; and here is one great instance in which this principle is to be affirmed or denned. A territory is common property, the property of all; and I submit to any Senator who hears me, as I will also to the judgment of posterity—whether the trust is properly fulfilled when you exclude from such common property a large portion of the joint owners. The Senator from Vermont, however, has said, that when you do not destroy the equality between the citizens of the States, because all citizens are free to go there. Sir, if this is not keeping the word of promise to the ear and breaking it to the hope, I know not where an instance may be found. What would know not prounted or the ear and treaking I to the hope. I know not where an instance may be found. What would you have? Suppose a portion of that territory be valuable to the South, you extend full permission to the planners of the South to go there, provided, they will abandon the only properly that would make it valuable to known after they get there. That is the equality which the Senator from Vermont That is use equantly which the section from remain wood under out to us. No. sir. I finisk that the guarantees of the constitu-tion to which I have referred, as recognizing this portion of our population, and assigning its stipulated representative weight, were never designed to exclude the States interested, from participating equally with the rest, in all future acquisitions of terri-cipating equally with the rest, in all future acquisitions of terri-

I put it to honorable Senators who hear me, what would be the condition of the South, if this pretension were submitted to? There are now three millions of slaves 1 presume, in the southern States, and such is their condition of ease and comfort, and abundance, that they increase faster than the white population; and you propose to circumscribe them, and to declare that on no occasion shall they ever be extended into the territories of the United What then will be the condition of the South ; with their States. What then will be the condition of the South; with their lands worn ont, and wails of circumvallation thrown around them, they will have no choice but to abandon their property and their homes. And the Senator from Vermont says this isal fright, there is no objection to "penning whem up," and if ever they emerge beyond those prescribed limits they must come out as freemen I task Senators where are they to go. What territory is there within the free States that will receive in class of free blacks, not one. And yet the honorable Senator with the culmens the becomes a philosopher, or a gentleman who is not implicated at all in this institution, tells us, it is all very well, there is no objection to "penning them up." We had an unsupport the free States. long age of the late that awaits emancipated negroes in the free States. A gentleman whose sagneity and intellect illustrated and adorned the counsels of the country, while he lived, and whose memory will be honored and venerated when others shall be for-

gotten, manumitted his slaves, by his last will to the number of gotten, madumitied his staves, by his hast will to the number of some five hundred and made ample provision for their location be-yond the limits of the slave States. His executor—a man who knew what a trust was—went to the S ate of Ohio, and by inviknew what a trust was—went to the State of Onio, and by invitation there, purchased a tract of country as a residence for the manumit ed slaves, and when he took them there, they were driven off by force, by the people of the country where he had purchased. Sir, this matter of abolition is destitute of every savor coased. Sir, this matter of aboution is destitute of every savor of humanity, if the slave population are to be manumitted under the promise of a refuge in the free States of the Union. The Se-nator from New York has told us very truly, that the black pepu-tation in a state of freedom dwindles and diminishes, and would soon become extinct. It is neident to their race. They do not soon become extinct. It is incident to their race. They do not multiply in a state of freedom on our continent. No, sir, conteminitiate the appearance of experiments of the appearance of the ap the Senator from Vermont. I understood him to assert distinctly and thence draw an important legal deduction, that a slave wa not property, but that the tenure was, what? A mere "incident domestic relation." Well, sir, that was certainly a felicitous of expression. But the objection to it is, that it is utterly term of expression. void of meaning—the civilians tells us, that slavery had its origin in the rights of conquest—the captor had the right to put his pri-soner to death, and when he spared his life, it was done on the

implied contract, that the captive should become his bonds man.

This is yet the law of the savage race in Africa; and the first negro slave that was landed on our shores brought this condition

with him from the land of his birth.

Slavery, then, is an incident to a hostile relation, which is the very opposite to a domestic relation

But, be this as it may, the condition of slavery is fixed in the Bill, be the 38 it may the containing or swarp is lake in country whence the subject comes. It required no special law to create it here, as seems to have been supposed by an honorable Senator from Connecticut, JMr. NILES, J The first slaves imported into Virginia were landed from a Dutch ship in 1620, and were purchased by the platters. The common law was then the law purchased by the plauters. The common law was then the law of the colony. By that law their condition as property was recognized, and under its pervading principle, that the issue follows the condition of the mother, partus sequitur ventrem, their descendants, as well as the descendants of every subsequent importation, have remained in bondage. There never was a statute in Virgi-nia creating slavery, nor was there any need of one to establish that institution. The only statute of that character was one, passed at an early day, forbidding the planters from making slaves of the Indian children, who were sent in as hostages

Laws have been passed from time to time regulating the condition of slavery as a recognized condition of part of the population; but for no other end. And by the policy of such legislation, slaves have been at one time treated as real estate, and at another as

The condition of slavery, then, is nothing more than the right of the owner to the service of the bondsman during the life of the latter, and to which the jus disponendi is attached, as to any other speeies of property—the same right which the master at common law has to the service of the servant, or the master to the apprentice; has to the service of the servicint, or the master to the approaches, the only difference being, so far as the quality of property is concerned, that the one is temporary and the other during life. Whence then does the honorable Senator from Vermont derive his opinion, that a slave is not property.

But the deduction drawn by that honorable Schator is equally untenable. Proceeding upon the assumption, that slavery is a mere "incident to a domestic relation," he argues that it can exist only where such "domestic relation" is recognized by law; and

that in consequence, when a slave is taken to a State where there is no such relation, he becomes free.

Sir, I think I have established as a legal proposition the very opposite. When the condition of the subject is that of bondage, whether it be temporary or perpetnal, it is recognized by law, and enforced as a legal right. And if it be the case of a slave, such offorced as a legal right. And if it be the case or a sinve, since slave becomes free when tuken to a country or State only, where such servitude is forbidden or probibited by express local law. It follows, then, that while no special law is required to create this species of bondage, it does require positive or special law to de-stroy it; and such laws have been passed in all the Staties where slavery has been probibited.

Mr. WALKER .-- Will the honogable Senator allow me to ask him a question ?

Mr. MASON .- Certainly.

Mr. WALKER .- Does the Senator mean to say that slavery is the natural condition of man, and that manumission is a mere step in the progress of an artificial condition?

Mr. MASON .- I am unaware that the Senator from Wisconsin can draw that deduction from any thing said by me.

Mr. WALKER .- My deduction may not be the same that the Senator himself would draw. I merely ask, in order to get an explanation of the honorable Senator's views.

Mr. MASON .- The proposition I assert is this : that the Afriean population brought to the shores of North America in bondage, and sold to the inhabitants as bondsmen, brought slavery as their condition from the shores of Africa, and the law recognized it here. That is all. What the natural condition of these people in Africa may have been, the Senator may determine for himself: but where the condition of bondage is once fixed, that condition is recognized by law, unless there be a statute to the contrary. is said, that in Africa this reliet of barbarism, which places the captive at the absolute disposal of the captor, remains, and the larger portion of slaves sent over to this country before the probability. of the trade were those taken in battle or seized by the strong arm of power. The proposition I assert is, that let their condition result from what it might, they brought it with them From Africa. It was given to them, fixed upon them there; and all that was done in this country was to recognize it. There never was a law in Virginia creating slavery; and I doubt if there has been such a law in any of the southern States. There is no necessity for such a law.

Recessive for such a law.

Suffer me now, sir, to sum up the argument I have advanced.

This institution existed when the constitution was formed. It
was recognized, it was legislated upon, it was made the subject of concession on one side, and equivalent on the other. There was assigned to it, a representative weight, as an element of politteal power in the southern States. It was guaranteed to those States by the constitution and it can never be tolerated, that a power in Congress to legislate for the territories-a power dedu ced from necessity only, and temporary in its exceases when the territory becomes a State.) should be wrested from its legitimate ends, and made to unsettle the balances of the troin its regimine code, and make to unserve the manages of the constitution, and to destroy its guarantees. To give it such direction, would be in ourrage of all just legal construction, and of every sense of political right in the States interested.

The Senator from New York has said that there is a line of un-

broken precedents, from the first enactments for territories under

the constitution, down to this day, establishing the right in Congress, which is now contended for by him.

Sir, I have examined with care the laws which he has advanced as precedents, and I utterly deny that they touch the right in question-save in the single instance, of the act of 1820, for the admission into the Union of the State of Missouri, known as an exception, by the name of the "Missouri compromise."

The first law cited by the Senator as a precedent, is the act of

August 7th, 1789, entitled "an act to provide for the government

of the territory north-west of the Ohio river."

of the territory north-west of the Unio river."

This act does nothing more than make provision, for adapting the obligations, &c., of the ordinance of '87 "to the present constitution of the United States," in order that it may "continue to have full effect. It was a cessary to do this, in order to continue in force within that territory, the compact contained in that ordinance, as made by the Continental Congress-and the act was passed in obedience to the sixth article of the constitution, which is in these words

All debts contracted and engagement entered into, before the adoption of this constitution, shall be as valid against the United States under this constitution, so under the confederation."

The ordinance of '87 was one of these "engagements"-and the act of 1789 did nothing more than to continue it in force, nader the new government of the United States.

already spoken at large in respect to this ordinance of I have already spongen at rarge in respect to the state of the spongen of the spo and that sanction was recognized by the sixth article of the con-stitution, and thus became a mandate to the new government. When Congress then in 1789, and subsequently, legislated for the government of the territories, or any of them, to the north-west of the Ohio river; it legislated in subordination to the ordinance of 87—and it follows as that ordinance prohibited slavery, such prohibition was paramount to the authority of Congress. The States of Ohio, Indiana, Illinois, Michigan, and Wisconsin, were carved out of this north-west territory, and no precedent of constitutional power allecting the question of slavery, can be drawn from any act of Congress, for the organization or governments of the territories, out of which either of these States was formed.

The act of March 1804, creeting the territorial governments of Louisiana and Orleans, is next cited by the Senator from New York. It is true, sir, that this not regulated the admission of slaves into those territorics, but it expressly authorized, what the South now contends for, viz: the importation of slaves by a "cit-izen of the United States, removing into sand territory for actual settlement, and being at the time of such removal bona-fide owner of such slaves." The prohibitions were, only to the importation of slaves from beyond the limits of the United States, in other of slaves from beyond the limits of the United States, in other words a probabition of the foreign slave trade, and of slaves which had been imported into the United States since the 1st of May, 1798. The enuse of this last restriction I have not been able to trace, but I have been told that it was referable to some treaty. stipulations between France and Spain, from which latter, the province had been derived.

The laws for the government of the territory of Mississippi, which was ceded by South Carolina; of Alabama, which was ceded in part by South Carolina, and in part by Georgia; and of Arkansas, which was part of Louisiana, contain no prohibition against citizens of any of the States removing into those territories for settlement with their slaves.

In the instance of Iowa, which was creeted into a separate ter-ritory by act of June, 1838, the law scrupulously refrained from this prohibition of slavery, although it was formed of territory

subject to the restriction of the Missouri compromise act, being part of the Missouri territory lying north of the parallel of 36° 30'. By the act of April 20, 1836, establishing a territorial government for Wisconsin, (which was part of the northwest territory, and thus subject to the ordinance of '87,) the inhabitants were de-

"-entitled to all the rights, privileges, and advantages granted and secured by the ordinance of '87, and subject to all the conditions, restrictions, and prubibations in said articles imposed."

Whereas, by the act for the government of Iowa, the "rights, privileges, and immunities granted and secured to the inhabitants of Wisconsin," are extended to the people of Iowa, but without the "conditions, restrictions, and probibilitions" of the same ordi-

Thus, sir, after reviewing all the acts cited by the honorable Senator from New York, and to which he refers as authority uninterrupted and almost unopposed through more than half a century down to the present day," we find not one extend-ing the prohibition now contended for, save that for the admission

of Missouri in 1820, and which stands as a marked exception.
Sir, I will not go over the history of this act of 1820. It
presents the only instance since the foundation of this government presents the only instance since the rolling forcement of this government on 1788, who by his pishon seek accompanied by their owners, were excluded from any territory belonging to the United States. And although it was passed in wholation of the third article of the Treaty with France of 1893, by which the territory was eeded to the United States—which treaty was the "supreme law of the the United States—which treaty was the 'supreme law of the land;' and further, as I conceive in derogation of the constitutional rights of the citizens of the southern States, yet, as it was agreed to as a compromise by the South for the sake of the Union, I would be the last to disturb it.

Power; Mr. President, is never appeased by concession; and we are now reaping the bitter fruits of the concessions then made by the South. How strikingly is illustrated by this renewed struggle, the predictions of Mr. Jefferson in his letter of April, 1820, in which, speaking of the Missouri question, he says:

"The coincidence of a marked principle, moral and political, with a geographic of one conceived, I feared would never more be obliterated from the mind; the vould be recurring on every occition, and renewing irritation, until it would kin mind and moral hatted, as to render separation preferable to eternal discount

would be reasoning on every occasion, and reasoning motions, until two in the manifest manifest of the series repaired protective to extend on the series of the series of

of the party in embryo.

Next comes the speech of the honorable Senator from New

Next comes the speech of the honorable Senator from New York, following step by step the landmarks there laid down, and denomening any extension of slavery into territories where it is not now found, as of "evil tendency, wrong in itself, and repurgant to the humanity and the civilization of the age," And last, the manifesto of the Utica convention. I trust, sir, that Senators on all sides have read this paper with attention, because it developes, in extensy, the principles and purpurposes of this new northern party avows its objects to be to get strong the political weight of "slave representation," and signs their appropriate driftes to the tentry issues which have bereful the strong of the constitution.

To prove this, sir, I may be pardoned for making a single ex-tract from the document, where it will be found under the head of "Duty of the free States," and is in these words:

"Many of the free States," and is in these words:

"If, from these, or any side causes, the people of the free States have suffered in the estimator of the Statis, or of the synds, the time has now come when they owe it the themelves, and to the native, to elected their character from this responds. Both the late political parities have the opportunity to be, and they are called upon to do the states, the production of the state o

Mr. President, these are words of fearful omen. We are alrea-Mr. President, these are words of learnin omen. We are arready aware that ten States of this confederacy have, through their legislative assemblies, called upon their representatives in Congress to maintain this interdict against the extension of southern institutions to the new territories. And here we have a proclamation by a party said to be of formidable numbers, in the great State of New York, separating themselves from all former political-salinance, arrayed under leaders of known distinction, burying all former topics of political dissension, and proclaiming as the great bond of duture union exterminating war to "shave power." And for what objects is a party to be thus marshalled? For the public weal—the common good? Sir, let not words so dear to republicians he profunded by such unholy perversion. To advance the cause of freedom and free government? No, no! When was freedom born of tyranny—whether it be the tyranny of one, or of many.

many. The evil day long looked for and dreaded by the sages and patriots of the land dawns darkly through it is proclamation—when a line shall be drawn between the North and South, and when testing on geographical division alone shall march up to it as the line of power. This is the party which the Uten manifests seeks

Mr. President, I appeal to the States of New England. Mr. President, a appeal to the States of New England. Will they lend themselves to minister to the lust of dominion, which alone actuates this northern schima? To Massachusterts Connectuet, and Rhode Island. To Verment, which spring from the Joins of New York, midst the threes of the Revolution, as the tabled goddess from the head of Jupiter, fully armed for the coinsecution.

Sir, if the appeal he vain, and the conquest be achieved by their Sir, il the appeal he vain, and the conquest he activeved by the aid, it needs no Cassaulurs gift to forceful what their down simil are broken down and destroyed. They will share the fate of all weaker allies. The bonds of the federal Union violently disruptured, what shall keep these humbler planets in the independence of appropriate orbust. Let them look at the power, wealth, and position of their self-p, colaimed leader, already rejoicing in the provid distinction of the "Empire State," as it is esteriationsly proud distinction of the "Empire State," as it is estentiationally pointrayed at Utiea, and see in it their own hopeless and deserved annihilation when the work of disunion is achieved. Yes, sir, New York will then stand amongst them as the "chief," and they the vassal republies.

I quote from the Utiea address :

A quote from the Union Audress; "New York, with a terrotory possessing boundless advantages for foreign and in ternal trade, with a temic present and healthful sky, and with extensive distracts of fere soft, with administration of a six had into and registar freshines for every lavel the era of Ausrenan redonatation, and which may well be increased within high force to four times, to present molities, "skill sweral matter of internal testing and all, in queenly prifts, the commercial autropola, of this hemisphere; with a foreign connective that large to the feeleral government, more than one third of its revenues by the soft of the second process of th

But, sir, I pursue this ungrateful theme no further. I yet confide in the regenerative spirit of republican virtue at the North to consign to deserved obloquy this first attempt to array the repub-lies of the confedracy against each other in a sheer strugglo for

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Georgia, it was

Ordered. That the further consideration of this hill be postponed until to morrow.

### MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT.

The following message was received from the President of the United States by Mr. WALKER, his Sceretary:

Mr. Persident. The President of the United States approved and served the July, the lott supplemental to the act passed on the binds of July, in the west could be under the lotter of the lotter of July, in the west could be a fact to retroste the country of Alexandria, in the Dartics of Columbia to the State of Virginia; " also a resolution in relation to the awal pension of McGarr.

### NAVAL APPROPRIATION BILL

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill making appropriations for the naval service for the year ending the 30th June, 1849.

The amendments reported from the Committee on Finance

Mr. ATHERTON proposed briefly to explain the nature of the and ATTENT of physics and the amount of exhault included of amondations and some others the committee lad recommended, an amondation and some others the committee lad recommended, and gard to the furnishing and drection of maxine hospitals in the bill which had received, as regarded the nature of the expenditure proposed, the assent of the committee had thought it proper, anomalies the state of the expenditure of the expenditure proposed, and the expenditure of the propriety of the expenditure had not belong to the naval appropriation bill, that all the provisions in regard to marine hospitals, and those which hereafter might receive the assent of the Senate, should be inserted in the diplomatic appropriation bill where they properly belonged. Marine hospitals, as Senators knew, should not different ground from navil hospitals. This, pre-bage, was the time that price the assent of the properly and the provisions of the bill for marine hospitals, there was one clause for furnishing the marine hospitals at New Orleans, which was andoubtedly proper in the proper place. Also those relating to repairs upon the marine hospitals at Norfolk and Maine the principle that this species of expenditures should not be inamendment and some others the committee had recommended, in

troduced into the naval appropriation hill, they were stricken out. troduced into the naval appropriation only they were stricken out. There was also a clause appropriating for the completion of marine hospitals at Pittsburgh, Cleveland, and Louisville, ten thousand dollars for each, after these works had been already commenced, and there was no doubt of an appropriation to complete the complete the complete complete the complete the complete complete the complete complete the complete com sand collars for each, after these works and peen already collineaced, and there was no doubt of an appropriation to complete them. With reference to the other proposed expenditures in marine hospitals, considering all the conditions are considered as the control of the contr

At St. Louis provisions were made for expending money for the relief of sick seamen on very favorable terms—lar less than could be done if a hospital was provided. There was a contract by which the seamen were taken care of at the rate of three dollars per man weekly, which was a smaller amount than the expense incurred at hospitals in charge of the Urited States. Was it ex-pedient, the would ask, at the present time, to run to be thought it spating this bencheart fund in the name in many three thought is would be if all these hospitals were to be immediately completed at the expense of the United States. In regard to the three hospitals already commenced at Pittsburgh, Cleveland, and Louis-ville, he thought there was very little doubt provisions should be

made for their completion.

made for their completion.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisianas, hoped that the appropriation for the completion of the hospital at New Otleans would not be stricken out. This appropriation was recommended by the department, and was necessary to finish the haiding. The honorable Seantor admitted that the appropriation was necessary and important, but desired it to be thrown out of the bill simply because it was not in its appropriate and heliting place. He trusted that appropriation was location place and the standard propriate and heliting place. He trusted that the complete of the complete

cessary to make a motion now to reject these appropriations sim-ply on the ground of the impropriety of inserting them in the na-val appropriation bill, instead of the naval and diplomatic bill. It seemed to him that he had presented a very small obstade in the way of a great object. If the chairman of the Committee on Finance had said, that his object in rejecting these appropriations was to keep down the disbursements of the Navy Department, he could have admitted the reasonableness of the surgearing ware could have admitted the reasonableness of the suggestion very readily, though he would have replied to him that they had gained much more from this fund to former times than they could loose much more from this fund in former times than they could loose
ow. If this time in the appropriation was stricken out, it would
be impossible to obtain an appropriation at the present session of
Congress. There was a hospital then willing for an appropriation to have it to remark the proposition of the propriation to have it of the content of the propriation to the charty of the country, many of whom were sow thrown upon
the charity of the town, by whom large expenditures were made.
He did hope that the Senator would not insist upon his motion, as
t was merely a matter of form. The fact was the marine hospital was more intimately connected with the Navy Department
of the United States, than with the civil and diplomatic department. It was under the chief of the Chief States, the great marine of the country, the source from which the navy derived its rine of the country, the source from which the navy derived its supplies, in time of war and in time of peace.

Mr. PHELPS had occasion to regret the disposition which sometimes manifested itself of loading down the ordnary appropriation bills with matters that did not properly belong to them. If he understood the character of the bill, it was intended for the exclusive benefit of commercial seamen. He thought that an appropriation bill should not be made up of bills of a dissimiliar character. If the sense of the Senate was in favor of the appropria-tion, it could only be made in its more appropriate and proper form. The House, if in favor of the appropriation would undoubtedly pass it.

Mr. BREESE .- It appears from the argument of the chairman of the Committee on Finance, and of the Senator from Vermont, [Mr. Phelps.] that the objections which they entertain to these appropriations are wholly technical. They have not attacked the appropriations are wholly technical. They have not attacked the objects; they have not said they were not important; but, concluded the have been appropriated by the concluded the best in the naval appropriation bil, as the marine hospitals were under the charge of the Serestary. That was true. They are under the charge of the Teosaury, but that did not furnish a valid objection to the place in which the appropriation is now found. These hospitals had all, save one, been estimated for as far hack as 1837. Some of them are in a course estimated for as far hack as 1837. estimated for as far hack as 1837. Some of them are in a course of being erected, and the sites of others purchased by the government. The site at Chicago is owned by the government, and although no estimates are made for that, the reason of the omission is found in the fact, that in 1837, Luke Nichigan had no commerce. Now, many thousand scamen are employed upon it, exposed to sixtheses, and requiring shelter, medicine, and care.

He did not see the propriety of striking them out of this bill; He did not see the propriety of striking them out of this bill; and he warned the Senators who were interested in them, if they are stricken out, no appropriation will be made for them this session. And if they are in the wrong place, he said, it was better, in his opinion, that they should be in a wrong place rather than in oplace at all, and hoped the Senate would retain the appropriations where they are found, coming as they do from the House, the second results of the ration. holding the purse strings of the nati

Mr. UNDERWOOD recollected perfectly well the bill they Mr. UNDERWOOD recollected perfectly well the bill they had in the other end of the capitol, when appropriations were originally made for purchasing sites and locating these marine hospitels upon the Missistippi and Ohio rivers. The condition of the western beatmen on the western waters, was brought to the notice of Congress, and regarded as presenting an absolute new sity for such appropriations. He examt of the necessary attention they required; and was of course convinced they need ample and comfortable accommodations furnished for their relief. In view of this state of things. Congress ten years ago was induced to put seep requires; and was or course convinced they need anpire and comfortable accommodations furnished for their relief. In view of this state of things, Congress ten, years, ago was induced to profice a state of things whether they were not provided from that day to this. Now, when they were making further advances to them. When and in what form could that appropriate opportunity be afforded for making this necessary appropriations of Appropriations were, as he understood the matter, to be made in fulliment of existing laws. These were called our the statement of the property of the statement of the property of the statement of the property of the statement of t the system adopted some ten years ago, he hoped they would go on and vote the appropriation.

Mr. DAVIS. of Massachusetts, stated that there were two classes of hospitals, one for navid the other for evil service. Those for navid services were called marine hospitals; those for seames or civil service were called marine hospitals; those for seames or civil service were called marine hospitals; those for seames or supported here are not serviced to the povernment; the second was supported by a tax levied on seames of a certain class; another class being exempt from the payment. But all classes of seames in any foreign service, except those engaged in fishery, were required to pay out of their wages—and the ships was made responsible for it—twenty cents a mouth to support these narine wants of seames, each of the seames of seames, each of the seames of seames, each of the seames of t Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, stated that there were two

Mr. BENTON urged that the former policy of the government ir relation to this subject to slowe years age Congress had considered the question in all its aspects and bearings as a system. According to this system, all beatmen upon the western waters, river-faring as well as sea-faring menwhether in a boat or upon a raft, or hazging to a flat, or a hoard ships, they were together in the law which took twenty cents a month out of their peckets, and which entitled them to benefit of hospitals, and attendance when sick. In reference to the suggestion of the claim of the committee, that they were having sick seamen taken care of in St. Louis at a cheaper rate by contract, han could be done by furnishing a hospital, be would say that the contract was entered into by the Sisters.

In the contract was entered into by the Sisters and the contract was entered into by the Sisters. The contract was entered into by the Sisters and the sister of them at a mere migrant was the sister of the sister of them at a mere migran was worth. Now, when the what the label was the sister of the sixty had been so kind as to help the government Mr. BENTON urged that the former policy of the government what the labor and attention given was worth. Now, when the Sisters of Charity had been so kind as to help the government along in this matter, it was urged we should do nothing more because these sisters were doing so much.

Mr. DOWNS rose to express his astonishment at the position MIT. JUNWAN rose to express us astomshment at the position which had been so suddenly taken in relation to this bill. Gentlemen admitted the appropriation was right, but say they, it is not in its proper place. Was there any law, he would inquire, for making apprintions under particular names? It meany was propriated for certain purposes, he did not think it every magnitude. whether the appropriations were made in one particular hill or another. But the Senator from New Hampshire seemed to have some other objection to the appropriation than that of its being in some other objection to the appropriation than that of its being in an improper place. He seemed to think that the object of providing for the sick could be attained cheaper without hospitals. He also appeared to consider that they are being multiplied too fast at the West. He could say that in New Orleans a private hospital had been taken at vory great expense during the time that this hospital was being built. In the absence of the proper appropriations for fornishing it, the officers of the army had taken obarge of it. What he desired was, that the appropriation made for fur-

nishing it should be allowed, and not suffer the building to stand and rot

Mr. SEBASTIAN desired before the question was taken to make one or two remarks. It really appeared to him tat the dis-cussion which had arisen on the subject, was worthy of the im-portance of the appropriation contained in the bill. This appro-priation had been placed in the bill, in pursuance of the original and what might now be considered the fixed policy of this governand what might now be considered the fixed policy of this govern-ment—a policy settled upon after elaborate argument and debate. It was unsale, he thought, to allow a mere matter of form to un-settle a fixed policy of the government. The question raised was as to the propriety of passing the appropriation saked for in the axea's appropriation bill. He was not sufficiently well acquainted with the character of the division of matter between the several winds the character of the division of matter between the several varieties had a more direct reference to the Complexes approximation of the character of the c columns and not state and very termy that the present appear.

Affairs than it had to any other commutes. It is under see the propriety of inserting the appropriation in the civil and ciplomate bill; it appeared to him entirely foreign in its character to the general items composing this bill. Whether the power of making this appropriation was vested in the power of maintaining a navy or to neglect commerce between States, he thought in either case it had a more direct reference to the bill before the Senate. He regard-ed the objection as one of form, intended to deleat a matter of substance. He thought it impossible to have it attached to any other bill at this session. Although the honorable chairman proposed to attach this appropriation to a different bill, yet he did not propose to embrace all the items for supplies contained in this appropriato embrace as the tiens for supplies contained in this appropria-tion only these which he thought most important, leaving out some three or for measures which le did not consider important. the thouse, objections had been made to the furnishing of these sup-plies, but they had flightly agreed to return them in the bill; it would therefore be dangerous to divorce this appropriation from

Mr. WESTCOTT should vote for this appropriation, and vote rit with peculiar pleasure in this bill. The fund produced by for it with peculiar pleasure in this bill. The fund produced by the twenty cents per month, exacted by law from sailors in the marine and merchant service of the United States, in his humble marine and merchant service of the United States, in his humble opinion had been hitherto grossly misappropriated. One means by which that misappropriation had been occasioned was by its division, first into a,naval and then a marine hospital fund creating a distinction between the sallors of the commercial marine and these of the naval service. The consequence had been that two sets of hospitals had been erected. He was in favor of consolidating these two branches of expenditure. He had been informed sets of nospitale state that the dependence of expenditure. He had been informed that this division in the hospital fund, and these two classes of hospitals was the fault of the navy. He wanted to correct that fault and consolidate the two classes; and he should yote for that appropriate the state of the same banks of equipolishing the proposition of the same banks of equipolishing the same banks of equipolishi priation because in its passage he saw some hopes of consolidation.

Mr. BORLAND was not desirous of prolonging the debate, though he could not forbear at least entering his protest against though he could not lordear at least entering his protest against the notion to strike out. The ground of opposition urged against the notion to strike out and the strike of the strike for their benefit. On the other hand, the hospitals on the Atlantic coast had been in a great degree supported by these collections in the West. The appropriation heretofore had been made for marine hospitals on the Atlantic coast, and none made for hospitals in the Mississippi valley. Therefore they of the West felt that they bad a perfect right to come forward and say that their due proportion of this fund, the result in part of their contributions for so many years should be withheld no longer. The call was more pressing in the West for the aid of sick and disabled navigators, for the in the West for the and of sick and disance navigacous; or close of navigacous; or close of navigacous; or close of navigacous; or close of navigacous; or close and indeed, a larger class subject to disease on account of the climate. In regard to one of the appropriation funds a marine hospital in the time of Napoleon, he would say that heretofore appropriations fand not been withheld because the title to the sight of the hospital had not been secured. The title, however, was now complete, and the work ready to go on.

Mr. STURGEON stated that several of these hospitals had been waiting for appropriations for their completion for several years. He thought the propriety of hospitals particularly on the Ohio and Mississippi rivers could not be questioned. He hoped the appropriation would be allowed.

Mr. PHELPS thought that this appropriation belonged in the civil and diplomatic bill, and if so, it should be inserted in it. Who was authorized to say that the appropriation would be lost if not inserted in this bill? It had been the habit of the gentleman is not inserted in this bill 'It had been the hand to the gentlement from the first to make the necessary appropriations for various expenditures of each department were subject to the control of the law of that department. Did any one suppose that we could embrace all our appropriations in one bill indiscriminately. Was not such restrictions necessary in order to the regular action of the Executive department? We gave to each department cer-tain duties, and made them strictly responsible for their perform-ance. Any intermixture of accounts as would occur by the passage of the appropriation in this bill would tend to embarrassment and

confusion in the departments. This appropriation was connected with the commerce of the country, and had no sort of connection with the naval power. Proper arrangements of appropriations should be made and observed. The result in this case would be, that the keeping of these accounts would seem to be transerred to the charge of the Secretary of the Navy. All these forms were indispensable in order to a correct transaction of the business of the several departments.

Mr UNDERWOOD wished to say a word in reply to his friend Mr UNDERWOOD wished to say a word in reply to his friend from Vermont. He did not see, if they kept either appropriation for the marine hospitals in the present bill, how it would embarrass the officer of the treasury in keeping his accounts at all. How would it embarrass them any more in keeping them in the Treasu-ty Department than if you were to withdraw it from this bill and put it in the civil and diplomatic bill? When this bill was passed would not each particular bureau select every item of appropria-tion which properly belonged to it? If they were to pass all the different appropriations in one bill would not the different parts of these bills be selected at the various departments and distrib-nted?

On motion by Mr. HANNEGAN, it was

Ordered, That the further consideration of the bill be postponed until to morrow

MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT.

The following message was received from the Prosident of the United States by Mr. Walkea, his Secretary:

To the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States

I lay before Congress copies of a treaty of pace, friendship, limits, and settlement between the United States and the Mexican republic, the ratifications of which were duly scahnged at the city of Questron, in Mexico, on the 30th day of May, 1844. The warm which our country was reluctantly soveled in the accessary vandeation of the autional rights and shoot which been thus terminated, and Longratiolate Com-

The warm which our country was reluctantly isovored in the accessary vashesation of the national rights and mount has been thus terminent, and I congrationte Coordinate of the national rights and mount has been thus terminent, and I congrationte Coordinate and the second of the control of t

mutation and correyor appointed by Mexico, in executing the subplication of this art. It will be proper has to provide by law for the appointment of a hour of commissioners, to aljudicate and decide apon all claims of part extravals, against the Mexicos and convenients, which by the trusty has been assumed by the United States and now constitute a part of our country. Enthwesting search year degrees of lattices and convenients are provided by the control of States and now constitute a part of our country. Enthwesting search year degrees of lattices and convenients are provided by the country large enough for a great enquiry, and textual put have the best of States and the value of these possessions to the United States. They constitute of themselves a proper of the states of the provided by the country large enough for a great enquiry, and their acquisition is second only in invertible continuation of the continu

reports to our commercial sazine, and American mechanics will good formula finally means of simp bulbing and repair, which are now a much varietie in this distant. By the acquisition of these postessions we are brought into immediate promising. By the acquisition of these postessions we are brought into immediate promising with the west exact of American from Comean, and by a direct voyage in steamers we will be to less than thirty days of Canton and other parts of Comean. The come of the come

THE OREGO

Foreign commerce to a considerable amount it now carried on in the posts of Upper Colorial to extended over this commerce, a revenue of considerable amount of Upper Colorial to extended over this commerce, a revenue of considerable amount will be an encollected, and it is not identified this it will be annually interested. For those and considerable amount will be an encollected, and it is not identified this it will be annually interested. For those and considerable amount will be an encollected, and it is not identified this it will be annually interested. For those and the considerable amount of the Usual, in move that is pair of concession, considerable and an advantage of the covery against of the Usual, in move that is pair of concession, considerable and schoold be administered, and which is to indepensable to present and perpetuate the harmony and mains of the States. We should sever figure it that it is not confident to the properties of the harmony and mains of the States. We should sever figure it that it is not confident to the properties of the harmony and mains of the States. We should sever figure it that the ison of confident to the confidence of our asked of performs and of our fire the properties of the harmony and mains of the States. We should sever figure it that the resident of the confidence of our asked of performs and of the states of the confidence of our asked of performs and of the states of the confidence of our asked of the states and of the states of the confidence of the states of the confidence of the states of the

tight the assumantiate of the continuous and the continuous and the continuous consensation, and ungenerously throwing page polestry the burder we convice conjugate to the ungenerously throwing page polestry the burder we convice conjugate to the continuous and the continuous an

posses the means of meeting necessary appropriations for all the proper objects.  $U_{\alpha}$  less Congress shall authorize largely decreased expenditives for objects not of absolute meeting the object properties of the Mexican war, and that created darrang its continuous en may be paid off, without any increase of taxation on the people, long before it will full did e.

ring its continuouse, may be plat off, without any increase of taxinos on the people. If you there introduced of presserved boundaries of the problem of the

for other objects, some of them of more than doubtful constitutional antibuty and experience, some of them of more than doubtful constitution and the second of the second

Washington, July 6, 1848.

ritorie

The message having been read-

On motion by Mr. HANNEGAN, it was

Ordered, That it be printed, with the accompanying documents, and that five thousand additional copies thereof be printed for the use of the Senate.

On motion by Mr. HANNEGAN, it was

Ordered, That so much of the said message as relates to foreign Oracrea, That so much of the sand message as tenace to the significant of the Committee on Foreign Relations; that so much of the message as relates to the military establishment of the United States be referred to the Committee on Military Affairs; that so much of the message as relates to the public debt and the finances of the United States be referred to the Committee on Finance; that so much of the message as relates to the naval estabnance; that so much of the message as feaces to the navar establishment of the United States, be referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs; that so much of the message as relates to public lands, be referred to the Committee on Public Lands; that so rands, at receiver at the Committee on Funic Lands; that so much of the message as relates to the extension of the laws of the United States, he referred to the Committee on the Judiciary, and that so much of the message, as relates to the territory ac-quired by the United States, he referred to the Committee on Ter-

Mr. WESTCOTT submitted the following motion for conside-

Ordered, That so much of said message as relates to the making of needful rules and regulations for the territories acquired by the United States by the treaty with Mexico aforesaid, be referred to a select committee of seven to be cliosen by the Senate; which committee be instructed to report, as soon as practicable, a bill or bills organizing municipal governments for said territores, securing to the citizens of all of the United States their equal rights in said

### REPORT FROM THE WAR DEPARTMENT.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a report from the Secretary of War, communicating, in compliance with a resolution of the Senate, information respecting the utility of a fortification at Proctors's landing, on lake Borgne, Louistana; which was read and ordered to be printed.

### COMMITTEE ON MILITARY AFFAIRS.

On motion by Mr. BADGER, it was

Ordered, That a member be appointed on the Committee on Military Affairs in the place of the honorable Mr, Crittenden, resigned.

COMMITTEE ON TERRITORIES.

The following motion was submitted by Mr. BUTLER:

Ordered. That two additional members be added to the Committee on Territories, to be appointed by the Vice President. On motion.

Any internative or decrease and the appropriation and the appropriation in the bid and the appropriation in the bid.

The Senate, adjourned.

# FRIDAY, JULY 7, 1848.

#### ERROR CORRECTED.

On motion by Mr. GREENE; and by unanimous consent, it was

Ordered, that the assent of the Senate be given to a verbal al-teration in the engrossed bill to incorporate the Washington Gas Light Company, so as to conform to the original.

#### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE,

The following Message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. CAMPBELL, their Clerk :

Mr. Pendent: The House of Representative, have passed a bill to amend on act approved the Setti of May 1828, enabled "An act supplementary to an act approved the third of lang of March, 1829, settled "An act supplementary to an act approved in all the sid alog of March, 1829, settled "An act providing for the correction of errors in making entires of lead at the lead offices;" "I in which they request the concurrence of the Senate.

They have passed the bill from the Senate to extend the provisions of existing pen or laws to cultived men of the ordinance corps of the United States army, with a mendment; in which they request the concurrence of the Senate.

They concur in the amendments of the Seaate to the bill entitled "An act making appropriations for the service of the Post Office Department for the year ending June 30, 1849."

The President of the United States approved and signed, the 5th instant, the follow

An act giving the consent of the government of the United States to the State of Tokas to extend her eastern boundary, so as to include within her limits one half of Sahne pass, Sabue lake, and Sabue rave, as far northus the 32d legree of north lat-

sinds.

An act forther to extend the time for locating Virginia military land warrants and returning surveys thereon to the several land offices.

An act for the relief of Stulker & Hill.

The Speaker of the House of Representatives having signed an enrolled bill, I am directed to lung it to the Senate for the signature of their President.

### SIGNING OF A BILL,

The VICE PRESIDENT signed the enrolled bill to incorporate the Washington Gas Light Company.

### MEMORIAL.

### On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of La., it was

Ordered, That the memorial of the members of the har in New Orleans, Louisiana, on the files of the Senate, relating to an increase of the salary of the district judge of the District of Louisiana, be referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

### INCREASE OF SALARY TO DISTRICT JUDGES.

Mr MASON submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to:

Restored, That the Committee on the Jadiciary, to whom is referred House hill No. 200, entitled "An act to change the times for hobbing the direct courts of the line of the control of th

Mr. HALE submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to :

Resolved. That the Committee on the Judiciary be instructed to inquire into the ex-diency of increasing the salary of the district judge of the district of New Hamp

### DESTRUCTION OF SPURIOUS COIN, TREASURY NOTES, ETC.

Mr. PHELPS submitted the following resolution, which was eonsidered by unanimous consent and agreed to:

Resolved. That the Committee on the Judicary inquire unto the expeliency of providing by law for the destruction or other disposition of spurious and counterfeit treasury notes and other public securities, and of spurious and counterfeit patter, cours, and implements for uaking the same, to prevent future circulation or no.

### CLAIMS ON MEXICO.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland. after calling attention to an error in the message of the President of the United States of the 6 instant, in which he speaks of the "fluidated" claim against Mexico as payable in "twenty annual instalments instead of eventy quarterly instalments, submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to :

Resided, That the Committee on Finance be instructed to mapine what measure are necessary to prome the prompt parament of the claims of citizens of the Unite which have been inquisted and deceded against the Mexican government which are now due, and that they report by bill or otherwise.

### REPORT OF THE SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION.

Mr. PEARCE submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to:

Resolved. That one hundred and fifty copies of the report of the regents of the Smithvonian Institution, printed for the use of the Senate, be furnished to the secretary for the use of and notifution.

### WHITNEY'S RAILROAD TO THE PACIFIC.

Mr. NILES, from the select committee, to whom was referred the bill to set apart and sell to Asa Whitney, of New York, a por-tion of the public lands, to enable min to construct a railroad from Lake Michigan to the Pacific Ocean, reported the same with an amendment, which was ordered to be primed.

Mr. NILES gave notice that he would call this bill up at an early day, during the morning hour, and ask for a vote upon it without debate.

#### PAY DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY.

Mr. DIX, from the Committee on Military Affairs, reported a bill concerning the pay department of the army, which was read the first and second times, by unanimons consent, and considered as in Committee of the Whole.

No amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate. Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent. Resolved, That this bill pass, and that the title thereof he as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill,

### ADVERSE REPORT.

Mr. DOWNS, from the Committee on Indian Affairs, to whom was referred the petition of citizens of New York in favor of the Towarda band of Seneca Indians, submitted an adverse report; which was ordered to be printed.

### PRIVATE BILLS.

Mr. UNDERWOOD, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the memorial of Ward and Smith, submitted a report, accompanied by a bill, for their relief.

The bill was read and passed to a second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the Committee on Claims, to whom was referred a bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of John W. Hockett, reported it without amendment.

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of Archibald Beard, and twenty-one other Tennessee mounted ed volunteers, reported the same with amendments.

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the petition of Bryan Callaghau, reported a bill for his relief.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

### PAYMENT OF CLAIMS.

Mr. ATCHISON, by unanimous consent, asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to amoud an act in relation to the payment of claims; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous cousent, and referred to the Committee of Claims.

# DESTRUCTION OF SPURIOUS COIN, TREASURY NOTES, ETC.

Mr. DICKINSON, by unanimous consent, asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to amend an act more effectually to provide for the punishment of certain crimes against the United States, and for other purposes, approved March 3d, 1825; and an act authorizing the issue of Treasury notes, a loan, and for other purposes, approved January 29th, 1847; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary, and ordered to be printed.

### CHURCH LANDS IN PLORIDA.

The joint resolution anthorizing the submission of certain claims to arbitration, was read a third time.

Resolved, That this resolution pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid,

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

On motion by Mr. RUSK, the prior orders were postponed and the bill for the relief of Bryan Callaghan was read the second time, and considered as in Committee of the Whole.

### After debate-

Mr. BRIGHT moved that the bill lie on the table, with a view of taking up the special order, which was agreed to.

THE OREGON BILL.

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill to establish the Territorial Government of Oregon.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Georgia.—Mr. President: The Parliament of Great Britain is said to be omnipotent; and yet, in that empare, the humblest subject is protected in the absolute enjoyment of the rights of private property. So paramount is tills principle regarded, that the whole strength of the government, it need be, is exerted for its maintenance, in behalf of the meanest subject, in the most distant corner of the world. How strange, therefore, that in the American Congress, whose powers are defined and limited by a written constitution, we are gravely debating, whether fit either of the severeign States of this conf-deracy shall be permitted to enjoy their equal interests in the territory of the United States, that is calculated to chain and deceive, this is the naked question presented in the twelfth section, of the bill upon your table. That section, smong other provisions, proposes to approve and continue in force all the laws and ordinances which may have been passed by the provisional government of Oregon. Among the organic laws of that provisional government, is an ordinance which products the assumption, that Congress has the right to exclude slavery from the territory of the United States; or, in other workers the assumption, that Congress has the right to exclude slavery from the territory of the United States; or, in other workers the satisfaction of the Congress has the right to exclude slavery from the territory of the United States; or, in other workers to assumption, that Congress has the right to exclude slavery from the territory of the United States; or, in other workers the satisfaction of the United States; or, in other workers the satisfaction of the United States; or, in other workers the satisfaction of the United States; or, in other workers the satisfaction of the United States; or, in other workers the satisfaction of the United States; or, in other workers the satisfaction of the United States; or, in other workers the satisfaction of the United States; or, in other workers the satisfact

This confederacy consists of thirty sovereign States: fifteen of This conicotricly consists of thirty sovereigh States: interductions these are slaveholding; the other fifteen are called free States, but the states of the ritory of O'egon. Is this reasonable and jets? I appreciment use can be no doubt of the truth of this proposition: that if Congress have the right to probibit, they have an equal right to establish, slavery. For the very moment you concede jurisduction to Cost, gress over the subject, the same reason obtains in favor of the right to establish, that exists in favor of the right to probibit. Suppose, then, the fifteen slave States were to present themselves here by their representatives, and domand that Congress should establish slavery in any of the territories belonging to the United States: we should hear but one voice from the North, and that States: we should hear but one voice from the North, and that voice would he, that Congress has no right to do it; that it is a power not conferred by the constitution. Aye, sir, more than this. Suppose these fifteen slaves States should demand, that in organizing a territorial government for Oregon, a provision should be incorporated into the bill, that no citizen shall emigrate to and settle in said territory unless he shall carry with him slave property: what would be the response of the free States to such a proposi-tion? They would reply, that the territory is the common proption? They would reply, that the territory is the common property of all the States, procured by common treasure are document to it, and carry with him, or leave behind, what property seever he might consider most compatible with his interest. Now, sir, the reverse of this state of things is true. The slave States are asking nothing at the hands of Congress, but the free States are using notting at the names of Congress; but the free States are demanding that officers of the former shall not be permitted to settle upon the territory of the United States, and early or leave what property soever they consider most consistent with their interest. This is the naked question which is new for the consideration. ration of the Senate. Its very statement exposes its absurdity and injustice. I would earnestly recommend to our northern friends the adoption of the golden rule, to do as they would be done by.

If they would consider it unconstitutional and unjust for Congress, at the iostance of the southern States, to exclude them from territory because they would be unwilling to be compelled to carry slaves with them, they certainly should not ask that we be shut out, because we desire to earry out slaves with us.

This demand of our northern brethren, however, is pressed with an urgency which seems to set at defiance all appeal to their forbearance, or magnanimity, or scose of justice. Conscious of their numerical strength in the federal council, they march forward with a steadiness of purpose, far less characteristic of patriction, mere numerical strength between the North and the South shall be persisted in, irrespective of the constitution; if the slaveholding States are to be traded under foot, tracted as unequals, and inferiors, denied the enjoyment of their interest in the territory which is the common property of all, it needs no prophetic eye to foresee the face of this glorious fabric of freedom, reward by on the formal provisions. If it justify the proposed action of Congress, we must submit to our fate. But if the authority be not found there, we ask our preture of the fire States, by all that is validable in our assistance, and by all that is hallowed in the associations connectivity from an agitation which therefore the free diseasers in the ties of affiliation, which unite the two great sections of this confederacy. Let us come to the state-off instrument with religious greateness of this confederacy. Let us come to the state-off instrument, with religious greateness of the sonfederacy. Let us come to the state-off instrument, with religious greateness and the state of the sonfederacy. Let us come to the state-off instrument, with religious greateness.

and patriotic docility, resolved to surrender all preconceived opinions, and to bow to its teachings, however they may come in conflict with certain sentiments of a miserable, morbid, misguided philauthrony.

But before we proceed to the consideration of the particular clause of the constitution in relation to the power of Congress over the territores of the United States, it is important to beer immid the character of our government and the rule of strict construction, by which all sound republicans are guided in the interpretation of that instrument. This government is a confederated republic. The States composing it are each sovereign, passessing all the powers of independent governments, except to far a they sented that the general government shall excrease them, as the common agent of all, for the benefit of all. The constitution is but the written articles of agreement between the parties to the confederation, specifying the powers which each State has surrendered, and the extent and general purposes for which they may be excepted by the federal government. As each of the States were equals before the formation of the confederacy—each possessing has andse a like surrender of powers to the general government, they are still equals. This is as self-evident as the axiom in mathematics, "that if equals be taken from equals the remainders are equals." Hence, each State ought to share alike the burdens and enjoy alike the hencits of the compact and enjoy alike the hencits of the compact and enjoy alike the hencits of the compact in all we discrimination made in favor of one, to the prejudice of others, in the administration of its powers by the general government, is tolkite of the spirit of the compact and construction of the constitutionality of a national bank, Mr. Jelferson thus defines the true rule of constitutional interpretation:

"I consider the foundation of the constitution as laid on this ground, that 'all the powers not delagated to the United States, nor probibilited by it to the States, are reserved to the States of to the people." To take a single step beyond the houndaries thus specially drawn around the powers of Congress, as to take possession of a hound-less field of power, no longer state-pile of any defaultion."

In view, then, of this limited fluciary character of our government, and this rule of strict construction laid down by Mr. Jefferson, and which has been adopted and acquiesced in by all sound republicans from that day to the present, let us examine the authority on which the power is claimed, on the part of Congress, to restrict slavery in the territory of the United States. It is elaimed nador the third section of the foorth article of the constitution, which declares that—

"The Congress shall have power to dispose of, and make all needful and regulations, respecting the territory and other property belonging to the United States."

Let us analyze this provision. It is obvious, from its phraseology, that the great object which was intended to be accomplished by this grant of power, was to enable Congress to "dispose of" the territory of the United States. When the constitution was adopted, all the territory belonging to the United States was provided for, as to all the purposes of government, by the celebrated ordinace of 1787. To "dispose of" it, therefore, was all that was necessary to be done; and to that extent only a constant of the constitution of the constant of the constan

"The term 'territory,' as here used, is merely descriptive of one kind of property, and is equivalent to the word 'lands.'"

Conserve, further, in whom is the ownership of "territory," or "lands." It is not in "the Congress," which is authorized to "dispece of and make all needful rules and regulations respectings" it; but it is "territory," or "lands," "belonging to the business of the constitution they have constituted Congress their trustee, to "dispose of" if for the benefit of all. In view, then, of this plain analysis, of this provision, can any reasonable mind come to the conclusion, that the farmers of the constitution intended to confer on Congress any jurisdiction whatever over under the constitution of the cons

There is another clause of the constitution (article I, section 8) which declares that Congress shall have power—

"To make all laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into execution the foregoing powers, and all other powers vested by this constitution in the government of the United States, or in any department or office thereof."

Let us inquire, then, whether the power to prohibit slavery in "the territory" of the United States is "necessary and proper,"

in order to enable Congress to execute efficiently the trust confiin order to enable Congress to execute obsciency the trust confi-ded to them? That the implied powers? necessary and proper? I may be a considered to the confidence of the c er, it would be necessary and proper" to pass laws defining of-lences equinst the public lands, and the extent of punishment, and to organize tribinals within the limits of the "territory" to try ofto organize triumans within the limits of the "territory" to try of-fenders and administer those laws. These and such other powers only, as are "necessary and proper" to enable Congress to admin-ister the public lands according to the spurit and intention of the trust cendided, are all that is authorized, either by express grant or implication, to exercise. Is the power to probible slavery in the territories to be found among them? I list possible to imagine an emergency in which the exercise of such a power is "necessa-"I the ferritories to the proformance of the trust."

If the framers of the constitution had intended to confer larger powers—the powers of exclusive legislation over the territories—they would have employed language adequate for that purpose, as they did in reference to the District of Columbia, and "all places parchased by the consent of the legislature of the State in which the same shall be, for the erection of forts, magazines, areands, dock yards, and other needfal buildings." In relation to these, the constitution clothes Congress with the right of "exclusive legislation?" and, therefore the absence of such a "grant, in reference to the "territory" of the United States, is conclusive evidence that the framers of the constitution did not intend to confer it. The question, then, recurs recurs with redoubled force, if such be the limited power of "the Congress" over the territory, where is to be found the authority for problishing slavery therein? It does not exist. The attempt to excreise it is wanton usurpation, oppressive to the slave States, and, if persisted in, will be lated to the exist. The attempt to exercise it is wanton usurpation, oppressive to the slave States, and, if persisted in, will be latal to the

confederacy.

When driven from this provision of the constitution which I When driven from this provision of the constitution which I have been considering, the advocates of the restriction of slavery take refuge under the power to establish temporary governments in the territories. Whatever may be the source of this power, whether it is justified to the acquisition of territory under the treaty-making power, or whether it is implied from that clinase of the constitution which authorizes Congress. 'I omke all needful rules and regulations' respecting the territories, it is conceded by the ablest expounders of the constitution that it exists. Congres has exercised this power from the origin of the government down mas exercised unis power from the origin of the government down to the prescott time, without the right, to do so having ever been seriously questioned. In the case of McCalloch vs. the State of Maryland, in 4th Wheaton's reports, the Supreme Court of the United States said:

All admit the constitutionality of a territorial government,

In the ease, also, of the American Insurance Company vs. Canter, in 1st Peters' reports Chief Justice Marshal said

"Whichever may be the source whence the power is derived, the possession of

Though doubts have been entertained and expressed, whether the right to establish territorial governments necessarily included the right to legislate for the territory, yet it must be confessed, that the opinions of the ablest expounders of the constitution seem to be harmonious and conclusive in layor of its existence.—
The minor is included in the major proposition. If Congress can exercise the higher power of framing an organic law, it may certainly exercise the inferior power of legislating for the territory. I need not refer to the authorities by which this position is suttained; because, for the purposes of this argument, I am willing tained; necainse, nor too purposes on this argument, I am whiles to concede, in his broadest sense, that in write of the right to se-quire by treaty. Congress possesses the power to govern and legis-late for territory so acquired. But does it therefore follow that that they have the right to prohibit slavery in such territory? By no means. The legislation of Congress must be controlled by obvious limitations.

obvious limitations.
It iaust look, in its action, to its fiduciary character as a common trustee of the States, and, like all other trustees, must not go beyond the scope and intention of the trust. The title to the territory, as I have before shown, is not in the Congress, but in the States of the confideracy. It is acquired by the government in behalf of the States, and is paid for by the common treasure and common blood. As, therefore, it is the property of the States as joint tenants, and as the right to free and unlimited enjoyment. is the very essence of property, it follows inevitably, that Congress, as the trustee of the States, cannot pass any law which will exclude any of the States or its citizens from the full enjoywill exclude any of the States or its citizens from the fine enjoy-ment of their interest in the territory belonging to the United States. A very simple illustration cannot fail to enforce the cor-rectness of this view of the subject. There is a portion of this Senate who hold that Congress has the constitutional right to disscheduler was mode that congress has the constitutional regime on the tribute the proceeds of the sale of the public lands among the States. Let it be admitted. It follows, then, as a recessary him of the constitution of the c assigned to them respectively their proportionate shares of the public domain, would it be competent for Congress to prescribe to each State what species of property she might carry upon her own

territory? Could they say to Virginia, or Georgia, that her cititerritory? Could they say to Virginia, or Georgia, that her ditters removing upon her own territory, should not take their slaves with them? Such a preposition would be scouted from the balls of Congress as utterly absurb. But would sook a district of Congress confer upon the several States any higher tittle of congress confer upon the several States any higher tittle of each State han they now posses? No, sur, the title of each State han they now posses? No, sur, the title of each State has no undivided property in the whole. The States hold the turritory per my et per low; and each has the right to enjoy the whole. It is as absolutely absurd for Congress to undertake to prescribe to the citizens of the States what seedees of property they shall carry with them jute the territory. species of property they shall energy with them into the territory, as if such a distribution had taken place.

Again: Suppose A, being about to leave the United States for

Again: Suppose A, being about to leave the United States for foreign climes, constitutes B his agent to attend to his business during his temporary absence. Divers persons are indebted to A, and among other duties, B is charged with the collection and arand among other datases, is scharged with the collection and arrangement of his debts and contracts. He proceeds to the performance of his agency, and finding C unable to pay his linbhitty to A, in memory, agrees to receive in satisfaction is tract of land. Upon his return home. A calls upon B, his agent, for an account of his transactions. The agent informs him what he has done, or the contract of his department of his charles have been considered to the contract of his department of his depa money. The principal, A, expresses himself satisfied with the arrangement, and he intimates an intention to commence the husiagrangement, and he intimates an intention to commonce the business, of sheep-growing upon the land thus nequired. Here B interposes an objection, and threatens absolute prohibition. In astorposes an objection, and threatens absolute prohibition. In astorposes an objection, and threatens absolute prohibition. In astorposes, and the state of the consideration of the consultance of the consul fied onjowment of what is my own? B very coolly replies, because it is not your interest to engage in that basiness. Would such dictation by an agent to his principal be tolerated in any court of justice in Christendom? But this is precessly what is sought by this bill. The territory is the property of the States ne joint tenants—each having an undivided interest in the whole—acquired by their common and joint fund; the Congress is the trustee to whom the administration of these lands is centified, and now it is sought to probable each State from earrying whatsoever kind of property its citizens may desire into said lands. The negets sets lamself up above his principal—the servant dictates to his master.

The government, in the exercise of its powers of legislation, should also look to the ultimate object for which it acquires and holds the territory; and as its power of legislation is unulied, it

sound the local control of the with the view-61 lorning out of it new states to be admitted into the Union. What is the only constitutional condition proceedant to their admission? Simply that they should have a republican form of government. The presumption is, that the inhabitants of territory are ignorant of the principles of our government; and white in a territorial State, they occupy a dependant relation—a relation in a territorial State, they occupy a dependant relation—a relation publican lamily of States. Now, if the institution of the greatest compatible with a republican form of government, then Congress might forbid its existence in territory thus under its guardinashin and instruction. But no such incompatible ity exists, secause shading the state of the incompatione with a repulsival series of this under its guardianship and instruction. But no such incompatibility exists, because sharver is recognized in the constitution itself, and now States, since its adoption, have been admitted into the Union with slavery—research and the control of the control of

verail provisions in the constitution based upon this equanty. Each State is entitled to two Senators in this chamber. "No preference shall be given by any regulation of commerce or revenue to the parts of one State over those of another." When the election of President and Vice President fails before the people and devolves of President and vice resident and upon the House of Representatives, there each State is entitled to but one vote. "Full faith and credit shall be given in each State upou ine House ot Representatives, there each State is entitled to but one vote. "Full faith and credit shall be given in each State to the public acts, records, and judicial proceedings of every other State." "The citizens of each State shall be entitled to all pri-vileges and immunities of citizens in the several States." All these constitutional privisions, I say, look to the absolute political equality of all the States, and show that Congress, in the exercise the importance in a monomy bound to treat them as equals, as if the injunction in so many words were contained in the instrument. It is an obligation growing out of the spirit of the compact: that It is an obligation growing out of the spirit of the compact: this spirit which gave birth to all its magnanious compromises and equitable guaractees, and without which it never would have been formed. It is tree that none of these provisions apply in terms to the territories; but they point out the path of justice too plain to the mistaken. If the States be political coopulas—so regarded by the constitution—and hold joint property, purchased by a common fund, then they are entitled to be treated as equals in the disposition of that joint property. Any discrimination made by Congress, principle of caquality, on which the fabric of the confederation rests. Is not the prohibition of slavery proposed by this bill such a dis-

crimination? Is it not saying to the slaveholding States, that they have among them an institution of property unworthy of transplantation into new territory; and that the citizens of these States removing there, with that description of property, are unworthy to be the neighbors and fellow-citizens of the New England emigrant? Aye, is it not tantamount to conferring upon the free States the entire monopoly of the territory acquired by the common treasury and common arms of all? If this be the equal-ity of the constitution, our patriotic forefathers labored in vain.

But the advocates of the prohibition of slavery in the territory of the United States refer to the past legislation of Can-gress, in order to show by precedent, that the power exists. For this purpose, the celabrated ordinance of 1787 is relied on. A succinct glance at the history of that transaction will show how unavailing must be the effort, to sustain their cause by resting it

npon such a foundation

On the 1st of March, 1784, a committeee, composed of Messrs. On the 1st of March, 1784, a committeee, composed of Messrs, Jefferson, of Virginais, Chasse, of Maryland, and Howell, of Rhode Island, reported to Congress a plan for the temporary government of the western territory, which contained a provision "that after the year 1800 of the Cristian era, there should be neither slavery nor involuntary servitude in any of the States" formed out of said territory. This report was recommitted to the same committee on the 17th, and on the 22d of March they submitted a new plan, substantially the same in its provisions as the first. On the 19th of April it was taken up for consideration, and the clause containing the slavery restriction was stricken out; and after some un-

important amendments, it was agreed to.

About a year afterwards Mr. King submitted again to Congress the clause prohibiting slavery, but omitting the words "after the year 1800 of the Christian era." The motion was committed to the Committee of the Whole House, but it was not again called up, nor referred to by any of the committees who subsequently reon, not learner on any any of the communices were suscepted plans for the government of the western territory. The subject, bowever, was kept before Congress, and from time to time taken up. But no definite action was had until the 26th of April, 1787. On that day a committee, consisting of Messrs. Johnson, Pinckkey, Smith, Dane, and Henry, reported "an ordi-Jonason, Theodory, Santin, John, and Tranty, reported and Jona-contain the clause restricting slavery, which Mr. King had previ-ously moved. It was ordered to a third reading, but on the 4th of July, 1787, it was again referred to a committee, consisting of Messrs. Carrington, of Virginia, Dane, of Massachusetts, R. H. Lee, of Virginia, Acan, of South Carolina, and Smith, of Now York. On the 11th of July that committee reported a plan, still without any clause restricting slavery. On the 12th of July Mr. Dane offered the following amendment, which was adopted as the sixth article of the compact :

"Article the sixth. There shall be neither slavery not involuntary servidude in the said territory, otherwise than in the punishment of cross whereof the party the same from whom labor or servers is law from Fina any person ecosping into the same from whom labor or servers is law from Fina and the same from whom labor or servers is law from Fina and the same from whom labor or servers is law from Fina and the same from the same from

This amendment was carried, and the celebrated ordinance adopted by the unanimous vote of the eight, States then present.

From this succinct history of the sixth article of the ordinance of 1787, the following observations are apparent:

1. That M. Dane of Massachusetts and not Mr. helferson

of 1781, the following observations are apparent:

1. That Mr. Dane, of Massachusetts, and not Mr. Jefferson, was its author. He was not in Congress at that date. Those, therefore, who claim the authorship for him, not only do him injustice, but appropriate to their cause the weight of a name to

which they are not entitled.

2. That the naked proposition to prohibit slavery, without the proviso for the reclamation of fugitives, never received any counenance or favor from Congress. It was always rejected when submitted; and most strenuously opposed by the southern States

That this proviso for the recovery of lugitives was the test question, without which the sixth article of the ordinance never could have passed, or received the support of the South; and that therefore, it must have been finally adopted as a compromise he-

tween conflicting and otherwise irreconcilable opinions.

We have no sketch of the debates in Congress at that day; but
these inforences are as clearly deducible from the Journal as if we these inferences are as clearly deducine from the Southar as a sub-had access to the opioions expressed in discussion. If, then, the ordinance of 1787 was the result of a compromise, it proves noth-ing in favor of the power of Congress to pass it. It is the essence ordinance of 1784 was the result of a compromise, it proves noth-ing in favor of the power of Congress to pass it. It is the essence of a compromise, that the parties to it still stand upon their legal rights. Not being able to agree upon principle, they consent to meet on middle ground, for the sake of peace. How, then, can

this ordinance be plead as a legislative precedent?

But the ordinance was void and carries upon its face the evidence of its nullity. One of its provisions is—

"That the articles shall be considered as a tricles of compact between the original States and the people and States in the said territory, and forever remain analterable, naless by common consent."

Now, contrast this with the condition upon which Virginia, in 1784, ceded the territory northwest of the Ohio, to which the ordinance applied. That condition was-

"That the territory so coled shall be faid out and formed into States, containing a manable extent of territory, not less than one hundred and minded extent of territory, not less than one hundred or more than one hundred and fifty andies upage, or as must therefore so reiemstances will admit; in all that the States or formed shall be distinct emphibican States, and admitted manufers of the Federal Phinoin, having the same rights of sovereignty, freedom, and midepagence as the other

I ask, sir, whether the provision of the ordinance declaring that

the articles of compact " shall remain forever unalterable," is not in violation of that part of the condition of cession which

"That States so formed, &c., shall be admitted members of the Union, having the The other States of the Union have the right to adopt or abolish Are other states of one canon have the right to adopt of abolish slavery, at their pleasure. But, if this ordinance be walled, then Ohio, Michigan, Illinois, and the other States formed from the western territory bave not this right; they are forever probabiled by these manterable "articles of compact." Vigina was slaveholding State at the time of the cession, and of courses felt. Can it be supposed, that she would deep interest in the subject. have made so extensive a grant of territory if she had known at the time that the whole of it would, by ordinance of Congress, have been monopolized by the free States? Such an idea is absurd. In view, then, of the absence of any grant of power to Congress, under the articles of confederation, to pass the ordicongress, under the articles of concederation to pass the nance, and in view of the express condition of the cession by ginta, it is not strange that Mr. Madison declared it to be a out the color of constitutional authority." If void, then how fair to plend it as a legislative procedent! If void, then how un-

Moreover, the ordinance was canacted by the Congress of the confederation before the adoption of the present constitution. It cannot, therefore, with any plausibility, be relied on as a precedent to throw light upon the powers of Congress under the pres-

ent constitution.

But there are several acts of Congress passed since the adop-But there are several acts of congress passess same the anop-lon of the present conflicts is the net of 1789, "to provide for the government of the territory northwest of the river Ohio?" and it appears from its premulle, that it was specially designed to carry into effect the ordinance of 1787. Strong reliance is placed in this act, because the Congress of 1789 was composed, to part, of the members who adopted the constitution, and who were familiar with all the circumstances attending the passage of the ordinance; and it is asked with plausibility, whether these men, thus fresh from the deliberations of the convention, and acquainted with the history of the ordinance, would, within less than two years, have passed an act of Congress to carry into effect this very ordinance, if they did not believe it constitutional? Is it not very ordinance, if they did not believe it constitutional? Is a bot evidence of contemporaneous construction? It is a sufficient reply to this, that the ordinance of 1787, as I have shown, was the result of compromise; that it was regarded as a compact entered hetween the original States and the people and States of netween the original States and the people and States of the said territory," which, under the 6th article of the constitu-tion, was declared to be as "valid against the United States under this constitution as under the confederation." The act of 1789, therefore, cannot be considered as the exercise of an original power of legislation. It is the execution, in good faith, of a compact formed under the articles of confederation. The same is true in relation to the several acts of Congress organizing tempotrue in relation to the several use of Congress or gamming temporary governments in the territories of Olivia, Indiana, Michigan, Illimois, and Witcomain. In all these territories the ordinance of 1787 prohibiting slavery was applied. But Congress did not thereby assert or claim any right, by virtue of our present constitution, to excretice original legislative jurisdiction over the substitution, to excretice original legislative jurisdiction over the substitution, to excretice original legislative jurisdiction over the substitution of the control of the ject of slavery. These are the States which have been formed out of the western territory, for which the ordinance of 1787 expressly provided. So far, therefore, from these acts constituting legis-lative procedents, they are but evidences of the fidelity of the South, in carrying out every engagement into which she has

The next class of acts relied on, as logislative procedents, are those in reference to the soppression of the slave trade. The act of 1794 was designed to prohibit the exportation of slaves from any part of the United States to any foreign place or country; and the act of 1800, in addition thereto, only further defines the penalty for such exportation. No candid man will contend that these were designed to strike at the institution of slavery as it exists in the United States. They were purely commercial in their character, and referable to that article of the constitution which confers on Congress the power to "regulate commerce with for

cign nations

The ninth section of the first article of the constitution, by clear implication at least, authorizes Congress to prevent the importa-tion of slaves into the United States, after the year 1808. It is in the following words;

<sup>11</sup> The migration or importation of such persons as any of the States now existing shall think proper to admit, shall that be probabiled by Congress prior to the year one thousand eight hundred and eight, but a tax or duty may be imposed on each importation not exceeding ten dollars for each person."

At the time of the adoption of the constitution, the importation of slaves, as an articlo of commerce, was extensively carried on by nearly all the States: and it was regarded as a matter of so much importance, that its continuance was thus gnarantied for mach importance, that its continuance was thus gluarantee for the space of twenty years. It was not until near the expiration of that time, that we find any legislation on the subject. In 1807, Congress passed a law "to probabit the importation of slaxes into any port or place within the jurisdiction of the United States from and after the 1st of January, one thousand eight hundred and eight." In the year 1818, Congress passed an additional act, ancight." thorizing the President to employ armed vessels on the coasts of Africa and the United States, and to seize vessels unlawfully en-gaged in the trade. In 1820, an act was passed to continue in force "an not to protect the commerce of the United States, and punish the crime of piracy. The foorth section of this act declares the saizing a negro or mulatto not hold to service, with the intent to make them shaves, to be piracy. In 1828 and 1830, respectively, laws were passed appropriating money for the suppression of the slave trade. The act of 1804 divided Louisiana unto two territories, and declared those of 1794 and 1803, concentively, laws were passed appropriating money for the suppression of the slave trade. The act of 1804 divided Louisiana unto two territories, and declared those of 1794 and 1803, concenting the slave trade, to which I have referred to be of force in said territory. These, I repeat, are all commercial regulations, and dependent upon the constitutional provision oversing Congress with the power to make such regulations. They are directed to the suppression of the institution of slavery, and therefore, they fail to add those world, regard as immoral and at war with the benigm apirit of the age. None of them look to the probabilition of the extension of the institution of slavery, and therefore, they fail to add those where layers are the probability of the continuous and the constitution of slavery, and therefore, they fail to add those where layers are the suppression of the institution of slavery, and therefore, they fail to add those where layers are the suppression of the institution of slavery, and therefore, they fail to add those where layers are the suppression of the institution of slavery, and therefore, they fail to add those where layers are the suppression of the institution of slavery, and therefore, they fail to add those where layers are the suppression of the institution of slavery, and therefore, they fail to add those where the suppression of the institution of slavery, and therefore, they fail to add those where they are the suppression of the institution of slavery, and therefore the influence of the convention, in show the sense that the suppression of the convention, the suppression of the convention, to show the sense t

does appear, that Congress did lexislatio on the subject of sixery, and probibited its existence within certain limits. Unexplained, they might very properly be regarded as legislative precedents for the exercise of the power low claimed. But who does not know that both of these were compromises? Being compromises, they cannot justly be considered as favoring the construction of the constitution now claimed. So far from this, they prove represents the constitution of the constitution of the constitution of the considered as favoring the construction of the constitution of the considered as favoring the construction of the constitution of the considered as favoring the constitution of the properties of the properties of power and the right, both partner one proposed.

Time would fail me, to review critically the judical decisions which may be considered the proposition, that Congress which can be relied to the proposition, that Congress which can be relied to the proposition, that Congress which can be considered as the constitution of the proposition of the constitution of the constitution

conclusions as I pass along.

The case of McCuloch vs. the State of Maryland, in 4th Wheaton's Reports, decides the constitutionality of a national bank. That was the question before the court; and in delivering his opinion, one of the justices said, incidentally, that the article of the constitution now under consideration did apply to territorial overgraments and that all admit their constitutionality.

of the constitution now under consideration did apply to territorial governments, and that all admit their constitutionality.

The question in the case of the Chorokee Indians vs. the State of Georgia, in 5th Peters's Reports, was, whether those Indians were a foreign nation, in the sense to entitle them to be heard before the Supreme Court of the United States, in 14 Peters's Reports, it was simply decided, that under the words. "to dispose of," the President had the right to lesse the public hands of," the President had the right to lesse the public hands of, "the president had the right to lesse the public hands of," the Peters's Reports, decided the validity of the judgment of a court of admiralty in the territory of Florida. It also decided the winds of the public properties of the court of admiralty in the territorial governments.

right of Congress to erect territorial governments.

right of Congress to erect territorial governments.
I conclude, therefore, that Congress has no right to prohibit slavery in the territories of the United States. There is no grant of such power to be found in the constitution. It is incompatible with its fiduciary character and the scope and intention of its trust. It is viocative of the right of property which each State has in the whole territory. It is subversive of the political equality and dignity of the States. It is not sanctioned by any legislative precedents or judicial decisions.

Sir, if I undertand the position of the political party with which Sir, if I undertand the position of the political party with which I act, this is the doctrine which they proclaim: that Congress has no right-to interfere with the subject of slavery either in the States of the territories. My colleague, [Mr. Bazaren,] in his remarks the other day, referred to the sevenih resolution adopted by the ade Baltimore convention; and giving, what seemed to be a fair and reasonable construction to that resolution, he made a strong appeal to the densaturation to that resolution, he made a strong appeal to the densaturation of the second, so that by the subject of the second of the seco that resolution means anything, it is, that the democratic party of the detrined States are thoroughly and publicly committed to the dottrined States are thoroughly and publicly committed to the following the states of some property of the surface of slavery as any form or for any purpose. Let us examine its phraseology. It is as follows:

"7. Read-red. That Congress has no power under the constitution to interfere with or control the domestic in situation in the serval States, and that such States are the constitution; it is that the constitution; it is that all of the constitution; it is that all office the constitution; it is that all official is the abolitonists and others, made to indicate Congress to interfere with questions of always, or to take inciprent steps in relation there are the constitution of the congress to take inciprent steps in relation there are the constitution of the congress to take inciprent steps in relation the congress of the congress of

What language can be stronger or broader? It denounces "all 30TH CONG .- 1ST SESSION-No. 107.

He was requested by gentlemen on all hands to withdraw the resolutions. He did so, with the distinct announcement to the convention, that unless the platform resolutions should go far enough to effect the desired object, he would again offer his resolution. He did not present it again, and therefore the inference is clear that he at least, as one southern delegate, construed the so-venth platform resolution to cover the entire ground of noe-interference; or, in other words, to repudiate the Wilmot provise. Subsequently, a delegate from Alabama, [Mr. Yancey,] as one of the minority of the committee appointed to report resolutions for the convention, submitted the following:

tions for the convention, submitted the following:

"Resolved, That the doctrice of non interference with the rights of property or any portion of the people of the confederation, be it in the States or in the territories, by any other than the parties interested in them, is the true republican doctrine, recognized by Itis convention."

I make no comments upon the morits of this resolution, or the I make no comments upon the morits of this resolution, or the adequacy of its auadequacy of its language to express the avoved meaning of its author. It certaintly, however, did express the doctrine of non interference by Congress. I refer to it merely to show the action of
the convention upon it, as an index to the sense in which the sevantil platform resolution was adopted. It was voted down by a
large majority. But why? Was it because the convention did
not intend to avow the doctrine of non-interference by Congress?
and the property of the property of the control of the property of the p

Speaking of that resolution, a delegate from Alabama, [Mr. Moore,] said:

<sup>47</sup> The restuition just adopted prisent a platform spor which we can all stand. If they have not gone the full regular that case of an would have had durin, they goo claim doctrine dear to democrats. They deep the right of Congress to interfect any shape or form with the preculiar institutions of the South; they beared, in reference to them. the spirit of the constitution. The doctrine of non-interference to which we claim is boldly ascertal and proclaimed."

In declaring the vote of North Carolina on Mr, Yancey's resolution. the delegate [Mr. Strange] designated by his colleagues for that purpose, said:

"He was instructed by the North Carolina delegation to say, that they believed the resolutions of the committee core: the entire ground of non interference with the rights of slaweholders on the part of Congress, either in the States or territories, and that, therefore, they voted eleven pays."

and their, inevision, they total energiage. These, Mr. President, were the doclarations made by southern mon, in the presence and hearing of their northern brethren in convention, of the interpretation which they gave to the seventh resolution. They remained silent, and raised no objection to our construction of the resolution. That silence confirmed the interpretation, and it became morally and honorably binding upon every continuous and the convention in the scene in the silence pretation, and it became morally and honorably binding upon every member of that convention, in the sense in which it was under-stood at its adoption. Than this there is no principle in ethics better settled. If any member of the convention dissented from the meaning attached to the resolution by the South, then was his time to speak; but by standing mute, he acquiseed in that con-struction, and became bound by it.

I will not these the evidence on this point here. That conven-tion nominated beens Cass, of the property of the property of the expressed opinions against the Wilmot provise—his releved opposition to the constitutional right of Congress to entertain in-residetion over the subject of slavery in the territory of the United

risdiction over the subject of slavery in the territory of the United States. In his Nicholson letter, of the 29th of December last, he savs:

"Beirfly, then, I am opposed to the exercise of any fornaliction by Congress over this natter; and I am in favor of leaving to the people of any territory which may prove the control of the control of the control of the control of the piece of the condition, because, "I I too not see in the consultation any great of the requisite power to Congress," "I I too not see in the consultation any great of the requisite power to Congress, and I am not disposed to extend a doubtly processed by could in recently—the early register compatible with disposed to the control of the confederation. The consultation of the control of the control

With this public declaration of the dectrine of non-interference; that convention took him as their candidate. Does not this show

that they intended to adopt that as a party doctrine, and that the that deeperal Cass so understood it, there can be no question. In his letter of acceptance, of the 30th of May last, he says:

"I have carefully read the resolutions of the democratic national convention laying down the platform of our postural faith, and I adhere to them as firmly as I approvine confusity."

Why does he "approve them cordially?" It must be, because they express his sentiment on the constitutional power of Congress in relation to the subject of slavery; and that sentiment is, nonin relation to the surject of safety; and that seminion it is an interference by Congress with the subject of slavery, either in the State or the territyries. The South so understood the resolution and our or the territyries. The South so understood the resolution and one understood the resolution to the surject of the territyries. The south so understood the resolution to the south southern the surject of t port of its gallant nominee.

I do not make these remarks, Mr. President, to express, or even intimate, a want of confidence in my political associaces upon this floor or elsewhere. I have entire confidence in the patriotism this floor or eisewhere. I have entire confidence in the particular of the great democratio party North and South; and I believe that upon the harmony, integrity, and triumph of that party depend the security and permanence of this glorious republic. But, sir, I will say, that the eyes of the southern democracy are turned with intense interest to the socion of their brethren of the free States upon this bill. They consider them pledged to the doctrine of non-interference; they look with anxious solucitude to the redemption of that pledge, feeling that it will at once strengthen the bond of their union, and inspire them with hope for the future.

The bond of their union, and inspire their with inspired the inter-lar reflecting upon this subject, there occurs to my mind a fact which is full of instruction and werning. Who can close his eyes to the indication, that in the Empire State and in all New Eng-land there is an obvious tendency to the formation of parties upon land there is an obvious tendency to the formation of parties upon geographical lines? We see an enthusiastic and unitring party, rallied upon what they term the "free soil" policy; and it is hamiliating to know, that it has received its recent impulse from professed democrats. Who is the leader of this infernal crusade against the interest and peace of the South? It is that are trained to all the professions of his public life and the principles of the to all the professions of his public life and the principles of the party that has clerished him—who has received the highest homeometric professional profession the breast of the South? Sir, in proportion to the magnitude and importance which this "free soil" faction shall assume, will be the degree of distrust excited at the South. If the northern democracy desire to retain the confidence of their southern breth ren, and secure the vote which we are agxious to east for our galstandard-bearer, let them stand by the seventh resolution of the Baltimore platform, as we understood it, and as it was known that we understood it, when it was adopted.

Mr. FOOTE .- Do I understand the Senator from Georgia to say, that the vote of the South for General Cass depends upon the action of our northern friends upon this bill?

Mr. JOHNSON .- I do not know to what extent, but that it will have some influence upon the vote of the South, I have no doubt. If democratic Senators do not stand by the resolution of the Baltimore convention on this floor, it will awaken district in the South, which, to the degree of that distrust, will diminish the party's strength.

party's arrength.

Mr. President, I have now discussed the main question presented by the twelfth section of this bill. I have shown the great doctrine proclaimed by the democratic party to be true, that Congress has no fight under the constitution, either expressed or implied, to probibit slavery in the territory belonging to the United States.

It romains now to consider the question involved in the amendment proposed by the Senator from Mississippi, [Mr. Davis.]
That question is, whether it is the duty of Congress to guarantee to the slaveholder, who shall remove with his slaves into the territory of the United States, the undisturbed enjoyment of his property with the slaves and the states. tory of the United states, the monsturing enjoyment or ms property in them, so long as it continues to be a territory. Or, in other words, whether the inhabitants of a territory, during their territorial condition, have the right to prohibit slavery therein. For the purposes of this question, it matters not where the power of legis-lating for the territory resides—whether exclusively in Congress, lating for the territory resource and the inhabitants, or exclusively in the inhabitants of the territory: the power is procisely the same—no greater in the hands of one than the other. In no event, can the staveholder of the South be excluded from settling in each territory with his property of every description. If the right of exclusive legislation for the territories belong to Congress, then I have shown that they have no constitutional power, either expressed or implied, to prohibit slavery there n. But suppose that Congress have the right to establish a territorial government only, and that then, all further governmental control ceases: can the territorial legislature pass an act prohibiting slavery? Surely not. For the moment you admit the right to organize a territorial government to exist in Congress, you admit necessarily the subordination of the people of the territory—their dependence on this government for an organic law to give them political existence. Hence, all for an organic law to give them political existence. Hence, all their legislation only the in conformity with that organic law; they can pass no act in violation of it—none but such as it permits. Since, therefore, Congress has no power, as I have shown, to prohihit slavery, they cannot delegate such a power to the inhabitants of the territory; they cannot authorize the territorial legislature to do that which they have no power to do. The stream cannot rise higher than its source. This is estrue in government as in physics. It is idle, however, to discuss this question in this form. rise inguer time 118 source—the is a source and physics. It is tide, however, to discuss this questron in this form. For if Congress possess the power to organize tempor, ry coverances, it must then possess the power to legislate for the territories. The present they may be less; the major includes the present the present the properties of the present the properties of the present the legislation of the territorial government, reserved a vero upon the legislation of the territorial governments; it is absolutely the present the present with a properties. upon the legislation of the torifornal governments: it is absolutely necessary, norder to restrain them from violations of the constitution, and infringements of the rights of the States, as the joint tution, and infringements of the rights of the States, as the joint owners of the public lends. If, therefore, an net of the territorial legislatine, prohibiting slavery, should be cent up to Congress for a provid, they would be bound to withhold it, upon the ground of its item an act which Congress themselves could not pass. But sumose the right of legislation for the verticines he in its

But suppose the right of legislation for the territories be in its shahirant, can they prohibit slavery? Sucely not; and for reasons similar to those which show that Congress cannot.

The territories are not independent of, but subordinate to the United States; and, therefore, their legislation must be subordi-nate Let us look at some of the limitations which this condition imposes Under the constitution, "no title of nobility shall be granted by the United States;" Congress shall make no law respecting the establishment of religion, or probibiting the free exercise thereof," no religious test shall be required as a qualification to any office or public trust under the United States;" "the privilege of the writ of habeas corpus shall not be suspended, unless when, in cases of rebellion or invasion, the public safety shall require it." It is true, these restrictions do not somly in terms to quire it." It is true, these restrictions do not apply in terms to the territories; but will it be contended for a moment that they would have the right by legislation to lay these impositions citizens of the States who emigrate thither for settlement? Under the constitution, "the United States shall guarantee to every State in this Union a republican form of government." Could, then, the inhabitants of a territory establish a monarchy? Certainly net Why? The ultimate object for which territories are held points out the reason. It is to admit them as States into this I one the reason. It is to admit a the theorethe importance and necessity of requiring them to adopt a republic form, that they may be suitable associates in our great confederacy. They must, therefore, be considered as under the pu-pilinge of the federal government.

Sovereignty follows the ownership of the domain, and therefore

the sovereignity over the territories is in the States in their conled-erated capacity: hence, the reason that the legislation of Congress, as the agent of the States, respecting the territories, must be lias the agent of the states, respecting the territories, mist be in-mitted by the object of the trust, the situation and nature of the property to be administered, and the respective rights of the pro-per owners. Now, if the sovereignty over the territories is in the States, and the right of legislation not in Congress, but in the inlimbitants of the territories, it is evident that they can have no higher rights of legislation than Congress could have. They must be bound by limita ions just mentioned; and if the prohibition slavery in the territories by Congress be inconsistent with these limitations, its prohibition by the territorial legislature would be

so likewise

If, possessing the right of legislation, the inhabitants of the ter-ritories are bound by the limitations to which I have alluded, it may be asked, who holds the check upon their action? I roply, may be and it is need, who nobis the energy upon their nections is topiny that it is made, personable for Congress to exercise the veto apon their legislation. Minete, see son restrain them from "entering into a treaty, or alliance, or confederation," with foreign powers "from ground persons for manage and reprisal, coining money, emitting publis of credit?" Who cless thail prevent them from creating orders bills of credit? Who cise shall pewent them from creating orders of nobility, establishing a church, or suspending the operation of the writ of lankeas corpus? Who clse shall guide them in the republican path which shall lead them to preparation for final admission into the Union? Who clse shall prevent their passing laws in violation of the could right so of the States in the territory which is the common property of all? Without the retention of a veto upon the common property of all? Without the setention of a veto upon the legislation of the territorial governments, it would make the inhabit ants of the territory independent of Congress; aye, it would establish the proposition, that the moment you conquer a people, they rise superior to the government that conquers. New Moskies and California are ours by treaty, but for all the purposes of this argument, we have sequired them by conquest. To assert, there were the proposition of the control of the control of the control of the Cartery of the perior to those of tongress. The motitudin al slavery is guarantied by the constitution of the United States, and it has the same protection thrown around it. Abide guards our citizens against the granting of titles of nobility, or the establishment of religion; therengress would be as much bound to ve o an act of territorial legislation prohibiting it, as an act violating these rights of every citizen of the Republic. It is in this view that I support the amendment of the Senator from Mississippi, [Mr. Davis]

Mr. MANGUM—Does not General Cass take the position, that the people of these territories shall have the entire control of this question, and that Congress has no jurisdiction over this sub-

Mr. JOHNSON .- General Cass asserts that Congress has no jm isdiction over the subject. He does not say that the people o these territories have the original right to admit or exclude slavery

He is in favor of leaving it to them to "regulate it for themselves, under the general principles of the constitution.

Mr. MANGUM.—As I wish to come to as clear an understanding of General Case's opinions as I can, let me suppose a case. Emigrants go into these territories; the territorial legislature pass an act abolishing slavery; the act comes here for the concurrence of Congress, as it minst, and Congress approves it: would General Case veto or sign the act? O suppose the reverse. The territorial legislaure establishes slavery; the act comes here and is approved; what would General Case do?

Mr. JOHNSON .- I can only reply that General Cass nowhere MI. JOHNSON.—I can only reply that General Cass nowhere asserts the abstract right of the people of the territories to establish or prohibit slavery—he does not use these terms. He, in two instances, uses the word to "regulate," and in one instance, to "dojust," both of which imply something very different from "establishing" or "excluding."

Mr. MANGUM.—This is free territory I am now speaking about. Suppose a North Carolinian emigrates to New Mexico with his slaves; they must either be renegnized as property or not; who has the right to determine that question?

Mr. JOHNSON.—I think that question has already been de-termined by the late treaty. I think, by proceeding regularly with my argument, that the Senator from North Carolina will have as good on answer to his question as I am capable of giving. The Senator says that Now Mexico and California are now free territories.

### Mr. MANGUM .- So I understand them to be.

Mr. JOHNSON—He desires to know, if a North Carolinian were to remove to New Mexico with his slaves, what would be the effect of his passing the Rio Grando? would the act emancipate his slaves, or would be still be entitled to their use and enjuyment? I have no doubt I can answer the question fully and satisfantify to the mind of any legal gentleman such as I know the Senator to be.

Mr. MANGUM.—What would be the opinion of General Cass upon the subject, according to his views as expressed in his letter?

upon the subject, according to his views as expressed in his setter.

Mr. JOHNSON.—I have given to the Senator my interpretation of General Cass's letter. He is willing to leave the 'regulation' of slavery to the people of the territoriers—peaking as
though, in contemplation of law, it is an institution already in existence there, which I shall show is true. The gentleman is as
enpalle of understanding General Cass as I mm. We ask in van
for the opinions of General Taylor upon this identical question. The
oracles give to all our inquiries the most doubtful responses. All
I can do, after giving him my construction of it, is to initiate that
Senator. When asked for General Taylor's opinions, he refers us
to his letters: so I refer him to General Cass's.

to his letters; so I refer him to General Cass's.
In the constitution of Mexico, adopted in 1824, there was no In the constitution of Mexico, adopted in 1824, tinere was no provision upon the subject of slavery, cither tolerating or prohibiting it. But, in the amended constitution of 1834, slavery was forbidden in that republic. The provision, I understand, was adopted in obedience to the dictation of Great Britain, for the purpose of moderness of the declaration of Orea Brinary, in the parjoint power. Its prohibition, therefore, was a political regulation. I understand there is no manicipal enactation to the subject either in New Mexico or California. The federal constitution rendered it unnecessary.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi .- There is none.

Mr. JOHNSON .- The Senator from Mississippi, who is better informed, assures me there is none. If I understand the law of nations, it is this, as expressed in the following language of a judge of the Supreme Court of the United States, in I Peters's Reports, 511 :

"On a transfer of territory, it has never been held that the relations of the inhabit-ants with each other undergo any change. Their relations with the former soweright were disolved, and new indictions are cereate between the m and the potential within the control of the co

It is upon this principle of international law, that, upon the cession of the territories of New Mexico and California, the Cabolic religion essend to be a State establishment. Under the constitution of that republic, it is a political institution, and, therefore, to the property of the property of the property of the property of the government, supervised, and any citizen of the United States removing not those territories, bas the right to enjoy his own peculiar religious sentiments. So the prohibition of absvery by the constitution of Mexico, "noay be denominated political," and was, therefore, "heree "herees prohibition of absvery by the constitution of Mexico, "noay be denominated political," and was, therefore, "heree "herees political or unnicipal, forbidding the existence of slavery. It is equally well settled, that the political law of territory the sequired, ecessing, the political laws of the country acquiring, supervene. Now, is not slavery in the United States a political as well as a municipal institution? It is municipal, in that its entire control and continuance belong to the State in which it exists; and it is political, because it is rereligion ceased to be a State establishment Under the constituthe State in which it exists; and it is political, because it is re-cognized by the organic law of the confederacy, and cannot be changed or eltered by Congress, without an amendment of the constitution; and because it is a fundamental law, that three-fifths of the slaves are represented in the national legislature. Being political, upon the execution of the treaty of cession with Mexico, it extended so industri, over the territories of New Mexico and California. Then, I say, if a fellow citizen of the Senator from North Caolina, [Mr. MaxCus] were to remove with his slaves into New Mexico, his right to their use and service is guaranticed by the Constitution of the United States, and no power on earth can describe the California of the United States, and no power on earth can deprive him of them.

This view fully meets the objections to the amendment offered by the Senator from Mississippi [Mr. Davis ] It is urged that slavery does not exist in New Mexico and California; that they slavery does not examinate the control of the control of the control of the case of the ca

Upon the execution of the treaty, all political regulations of the United States were extended over these turntories, and the institution of slavery being political in its character, it now exists, in long all intendent, as absolutely in New Mexico and California, as it does in Virginia or Georgia. It is a misapplication of terms, to speak of probibiling slavery in the territory of the United States. It already exists, in contemplating players of the treather of the California of the Californi

If you assert the broad proposition that the inhalatants of the territory, by virtue of the right of self-government, have the right to exclude slavery therein, the question arises, how many inhabitants shall there be to enable them to do this? Shall it be five hundred, or ten or twenty thousand? Shall a lew thousand people in Oregon—a wast territory out of which five or six large States may be carved—determine that question for all future generations, and fix their desting for all time to come? Shall a low thousand half-civilized Mexicans, inhabiting the territories of California and New Mexico, decide what institutions shall exist there? The idea is ridiculous and absurd. The States of this Union have too deep an interest in these wast dominions to permit them to be surrendered to such a control and such a destiny.

When, then, shall they be permitted to settle this question?

rendred to sue a Recontrol and such a destroy.

The control is a such as the s point, in the progress of republican pupilage, at which, according to the usages of our government, they may be admitted us a State and participate in the councils of the confederation : then they "I am in favor of leaving to the people of any territory " " " " the right to regulate it for themselves, under the general principles of the constitution."

But suppose, Mr. President, you have the right to prohibit slavery in the territories of the United States, what high political consideration requires you to exercise it? All must see, that it consideration requires you to exercise if All must see, that it cannot be effected without producing a popular convulsion which will probably dissolve this Union. It is admitted by all, that as soon as the territories are formed into States, and they simil be received into the confederacy, they have the right to adopt slave-received into the confederacy, they have the right to adopt slave-ry. Will they not do it, if the soil and climate should demonstrate that kind of labor to be most profitable ! Then, why hazard aff that is valuable in this glorious confederation, for the small purpose of suppressing an imaginary evil, for so short a period of time? ! Ste good to be accomplished worth the leartied experi-

It is said that the growth of the "slave power" in this government must be stopped; that the three-fifths of slaves, who are rep-resented in Congress, give an undue weight to the southern Stares, Sir, what harm has the "slave power" ever done to this republic? Have not the southern States always been true to the constitution? Have they not strictly abided all its compromises and guarantees? Have they not been loyal to the interests of the republic? Have they ever been slow or reluctant to contribute their share, aye, and more, of men and money to defead its honor, or repel the massion of a loreign foc? Then, why tell us, with a sneer, that the "slave power" must be arrested, as if it were a foul blut upon our national escutcheon?

upon our nauonal escutcheon?

But by probibiling slavery in the territories, do you diminish its representative strength in Congress? Surely not; their ounder remains the same. By permitting it to extend into the territories, do you increase it? By no means. Their number still remains the same. You do not make a slave of a single other human be-

ing. Their increase in this country depends entirely upon the law of population; and wherever they may be, they will be represented in Congress according to the stipulation of the constitution. In proportion as they recede from one State to another, it will be minish the representative strength of the State which they leave, and increase that to which they energy the state power in the government by the process of diminishing the share power in the government by the process

Is there any great interest of philanthropy which requires the interference with the question of slavery which this bill proposes? We should look well to this, to see whether humanity will not suf-We should look well to this, to see whether humanity will not sofferly the very means sought to be used for its amplication. A half century is but a span in the history of governments; it soon rolls away. Our black population is rapidly increasing. What, then, must be the consequence of confining them within their present limits? In the progress of years, (and that very soon.) you will have the slave States crowded with a population so deuse, that the products of the soil will not yield a competent subsistence. Their conforts diminish, and they endure the ills of want. What relief can then be given then? Will it be found in emancipation? Emancipation! To what end? Would you turn them loose within their present limits, in juxtaposition with the white man, and vastly his superior in numbers? Sir, the very idea makes the blood curdle in one's veins. It would be the signal for extermination and indiscriminate slaughter. Emancipation! To what end? Would our northern brether receive them into their email which we have the signal for extermination and indiscriminate slaughter. Emancipation! To what end? Would not northern brokers.

Would not northern brokers.

State of the process of the process of the process of their doors would be closed against them forever; or if they received them, it would be to aggravate their miseries. For whilst they descant eloquently about the hardships of incoluntary servitude, it is undeniably true, that the free blacks of the North are more degraded than the slaves of the South. You tantalize are more degraded than the slaves of the South- You tantalize them with the name of freedom, but deny them all its social and civil blessings. Emancipation! To what end? Would you send them alroad! Sir, the entire annual revenues of this government would fall infinitely short of accomplishing such an undertaking. Besides, you have no right that to appropriate them. It behooves statesamen to look far down the vista of the future and scan well the consequences of their legislation. It is infinitely better to let the whole subject alone; leave the institution to work out its own destiny under Providence, and according to the immutable laws of labor, climate, and soil. If you confine it within its present limrature, cumate, and soil. If you confine it within its present limits, you multigate none of its imaginary evils, but you multiply them with the increase of population and the lapse of years, and inevitually force them to a late, at the contemplation of which, the the benevolent heart becomes sick and sad. I would implore generate the contemplation of which were therein to produce upon these reflections and decide upon these great interests, as in the presence of God, and with direct reference to the retributions of hereafter.

But the restriction proposed by this bill is said to be of no prac-

But the restriction proposed by this bill is said to be of no practical importance whatever. We are told that Oregon is not adapted to slave labor; thay, in the character of its soil and climate, nature has creeted impassable barriers against the liggress of slavery. The South are therefore tannted with being fomenters of discord, schismatics, and impracticables, because we resist this exercise of legislative power. Sir, the argument is as strong for us, as for those who use it. If it be not a practical question for us, it cannot be for them. If nature has erected these them? Why seek to prohibit slavery from content to trust to them? Why seek to prohibit slavery from the content of the property of the proper

exercise of power.

But to the South it is a practical question-a question of me-But to the South it is a practical question—a question of menetous, vital import. It asserts the constitutional right of Congress to entertain legislative jurisdiction over the subject of slavery. What is done here to-day will be plead as a precedent tomorrow. We war against the principle; and if you were to prose to probible slavery in the moon, I would stand here and nattle against it, as long as I could raise my voice or move a mancle, Yon have no right to touch the subject; and it is insulting and humilitating to the South, merely because you have the numerical power, to attempt to problib slavery, where you yourselves say, that it never can go. Let those who move it bear the column of the consequences.

in 1820, when the Missouri compremise was adopted, we Sir, in 1020, when the ansassir or was put to rest, never again to be called farth from it slumbers, with its hideous train of spirits fondly hoped this ogliating question was put to rest, never again to be called first from it; shumbers, with his hideous train of spirits of discord, agliatine, and strife. Nor has it been by the South. She used to be called that compromise, attaught it was forced upon here. Nor disabled that compromise, attaught it was forced upon here. Nor disabled that compromise, attaught it was forced upon here. Nor disabled that compromes and guarantees. But can this be said of our northern brediren? No, sir; from that day to this, we have been called "mea-stealers," "traffickers in human flesh," and our feelings outraged in every form which faratteism could another the stream of the stripe of the stream covery of ingitive staves, that the act of 1729, intended to carry it into effect, have been whelly disregarded, and, in some instances, the owner inhumanly butchered, in the attempt to reclaim his property. The following extract from the report of the Judiciary

Committee of the Senate shows to what extent the legislatures of free States have gone, to defeat the seuthern slave-owner in efforts to recapture his lugitive slaves;

"Several of the non-slaveholding States, those to the East and North especially "Several of the constated color States, those to the Zatt and Xarth especially have higher their rejectation in rock a nature at so repeat all State laws in favor of have higher their registration of the state of the states and the states of the states o

setts and Rhode Ishand. Having an identity of deeps, they see the same language:

"Secrows 1. No judge of any court of record in this State, and no justice and any of the secrows of the secrews of the secrows of the secrecal secretary of the secrows of the secretary of the secretary

Sir, these things would seem to warn the South that the day is not distant, when she will be forced to stand firmly apon her con-

stitutional rights.

Nor does this interference with the rights and tranquility of the South stop here. Look at recent proceedings in the lederal legis-lature. In the last Congress, the three million bill being under consideration, Mr. Wilmot moved as an amendment :

"That, as express and fundamental condition to the acquisition of any territory from the temble of Mexico by the United States, by virtue of any treaty which may be negotiated between them, and to the use by the Executive of the moneys herein appropriated, neither silvery nor involuntary servinde and lever exact in may past of said territory, except for crime, whereoff the party that first the considered."

Mr. Wick, of Indiana, moved to anend the amendment, by inserting therein, after the word "territory," the words "north of thirty-six degrees and thirty minutes north latitude." It was voted down by yeas 54 to nays 98; and the Wilmet proviso was

adopted by yeas 83 to nays 64.

Again, during the last session of Congress, the Oregon bill being under consideration, Mr. Burt, of South Carolina, submitted the following amendment :

"Insert io the twelfth section, after the word 'and,' and before the words 'shall bis subject,' the words 'unasmuch as the whole of the said territory lies north of thirty-six degrees,' thirty minutes north latitude, known as the line of the Missouri compromise."

Here, again, the elive branch was tendered by the South, and jeeted by a vote of yeas 82 to mays 114; and the bill, with the

Wilmot proviso, adopted by yeas 134 to nays 35.

The whole history of public feeling and opinion in the free States, who there we look at the action of popular assemblies or proceedings of their legislatures, or to the course of their representatives in Congress, mournfully warns the South, that she must stand upon her constitutional rights. I trust, sir, when the crisis comes, she is prepared to do it.

Mr. FOOTE.—My friend frem Georgia has spoken very emphatically of the netion of Congress; and has very strennously entored this view of the subject—that in this body, and so lar as be has taken a review of the other House, the North has been uniformly arrayed against the South on the question of slavery. The action of the present Congress has been pretermitted by the Sena-I know he does not intend to do injustice to the North, and, therefore, it is due to himself and to the truth of history, to say that a portion of our northern brethren at the last session stood with us; and that, during the present session, we have been greatly indebted to their prompt action upon the Wilmot proviso.

Mr. JOHNSON.—I do not intend to do injustice to that portion of our northern friends who have stood by our rights. I speak in general terms. I am well aware that many of our northern amount of them, have remarked and especially the democrate portion of them, have manifested a noble willingness to sustain us. The resolution of the Baltimore convention, upon which I have commented, is proof the Baltimore convention, upon which I have commented to which the contract of the proof of the Baltimore convention. they are entitled. But, I repeat, the inference from all the visi-blo indications around us evidently is, that the time has perhaps arrived, when the South has no other alternative but to stand upon her constitutional rights.

Mr. HALE.—I hope the Senator from Georgia will allow me to ask him a single question. De I understand him to say that Congress has no right to legislate upon slavery in new territory, or any where else?

Mr. JOHNSON,-That is my dootrine.

Mr. HALE.—Of course my question includes legislation in the District of Columbia. The Senator, therefore, by his doctrine, makes it the first duty of Congress to ando what they have already done in the District. They have legislated upon the subject of slavery in the District heretolore, but if the Senator's argument is correct, they have done so unlawfully. Consequently, I would ask the honorable Senator if, in accordance with his own argument, Congress should not undo their former legislation upon this subject in the District?

Mr. JOHNSON.—I have no idea of entering into a discussion of that subject at present. We have very tanguide evidence that the abolition interests of the District are in very good hands when represented on this floor by the Seanter from New Hampshire. If, as I was remarking when interrupted by the honorable Senator from New Hampshire, the South has no other alternative but to stand upon her constitutional rights—if this be so—if the spirit of the season of the

Mr. HALE.—I would have been exceedingly happy if the Sentor from Georgia had condescended to answer the question! put to him. As it is, however, he has thought a sneer better than an answer. Permit me to say, for one, that I agree entirely with the Senator in one thing, and that is, that Congress has nothing to do with it in snatter. This is a local municipal regulation with which it has nothing to do; if it has had heretofore, it has nothing to do with it in snatter. This is a local municipal regulation with which it has nothing to do with the support of the condition of the congress ought for the which they have unconstitutionally that which they have unconstitutionally that which they have unconstitutionally exact of columbia. The Senator also goes so far as to say that if Congress were to undertake to legislate in the moon, he would sit here—I do not know how long—to resist such an attempt, because he avers the constitution confers no power upon Congress to legislate at all upon it. I do hope then, sir, that the Senator will tage fround on one side or other of this question, that Congress activation of the control of the con

On motion by Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, it was

Ordered, That the further consideration of the bill be postponed until to morrow.

### BATTLE OF PLATTSBURG.

The bill for the payment of the fourth regiment in the second brigade of the Vermont militia for services, at the battle of Plettsburgh, was read the second time, and considered as in Committee of the Whole, and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent.

Resolved, That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

INCREASE IN THE COMMITTEE ON TERRITORIES.

Mr. BUTLER moved to proceed to the consideration of the

motion made by him yesterday that two members, to be appointed by the Vice President, be added to the Committee on Territories.

Mr. HALE hoped, as the motion was a very important one, that its consideration would be postponed until to morrow morning, when the Senate would be full.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, did not wish the consideration of the territorial government referred to the Committee on Territorries at all. That committee was a Committee on Territories, and not upon the formation of government, or the revision of plans of government. He hoped that so much of the message as related to the government of the territories, would be referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Mr. BREESE remarked that it was never intended when the Senator from Alabama offered the motion for raising the Committee on Territories, that it should have charge of the business of providing governments for territories. He desired its reference to the Judiciary Committee, and if its present number was not sufficient, was in favor of an addition of two or three more mean-

Mr. ATCHISON opposed the proposed reference to the Judiciary Committee, contending that the Committee on Territories was perfectly adequate to the accomplishment of any busness affecting the territories of the United States, that might be brought before?

After some conversation on a point of order-

Mr. WESTCOTT opposed the motion on the ground that a select committee ought to be chosen. He begged to call the attention of the Senators from Texas to the fact, that if one topic embraced in the President's message were taken up, the right of the State of Texas to the country which had been ceded to the United States would be brought up.

Mr. RUSK observed, that Texas claimed that country by her own law, which had been recognized by both Mexico and the United States.

Mr. WESTCOTT said, he was awne of that. He knew that the President had also recognized it; but he had already been denounced in Congress for that recognition. This afforded an additional reason for the appointment of a select committee. He had moved the reference to a select committee with an announcement at the time that he would deeline serving on it. Although he would not strink from responsibility, yet he thought it would be well to place these grave questions in the hands of the oldest and most experienced members of the body.

Mr. FOOTE rose to order. He desired to know whether the Senator from Florida could discuss the merits of the proposition on the question to take it up.

The PRESIDING OFFICER overruled the point of order.

Mr. WESTGOTT said, that the Senator from Mississippi might have saved bimself the trouble of interroping bim, as he had only one additional remark to make. He thought that it was olviously proper that the subject of the organization of the newly acquired territories should be referred to the Judiciary Committee rather than to the Territorial, inasmuch as parefy legal questions were involved. But he was opposed to the reference to either of these committees, and desired a select committee.

Mr. FOOTE was perfectly willing to leave the subject with the Committee on Territories.

Mr. BUTLER remarked that his friend from Mississippi seemed to think that there was but one way of organizing territorial governments, just as the lawyer thought there was but one way of drawing marriage settlements. But, he woold ask, had it never occurred to him that the system adopted for the Anglo Saxon race might not be altogether applicable to the people of New Mexico and California.

Mr. RUSK trusted that no such question would be presented as that apprehended by the Senator from Florida, with respect to the rights of Texas. But even without taking that into consideration, it seemed to him that it would be disrespectful to the standing committees the trained as the committee.

Mr. DAYTON expressed the hope that the suggestion made early in the debate would be acquiseed in, and that the subject would be passed over until to-morrow. It was a very unusual hour to bring up a resolution about which there was a difference of opnion, and several members had retired from the chamber under the impression that no business could now be brought up which belonged appropriately to the morning hour.

Mr. BUTLER replied, that he had given notice in the moroung that he would call up the resolution.

Mr. DAYTON had not heard the notice given. He did not deny that the Senator was in the exercise of his legal rights, but his notice was a notice that he would call up a matter out of order, and he did not know that Senators were bound by such a notice. But aside from that, the question indirectly involved a subject of great unterest. The Territorial and Judiciary Committees were constituted very mach in the same way.

Mr. BUTLER .- Not at all.

Mr. DAYTON .- I mean very much in the same way in relation to that question.

Mr. BUTLER .- Oh!

Mr. DATTON —Gentlemen antagonistic to the Wilmot provision, as it was ordinarily called, had a majority on both the committees. At the last session, he himsely unfortoately, stood alone on the Judiciary Committee. The Territorial Committee was organized in the same way. But he did not wish to discuss the resolution, and moved that it he passed over till to-morrow.

Mr. FOOTE observed, that the leading motive with him in op-posing the resolution arose from a desire that the olive branch might be extended by the Committee on Territories.

Mr. BRIGHT said that although the question was not proper-ly before the Senare, yet he felt called upon to make a lew re-marks in connection with it. There was certainly a necessity to add two members to the territorial committee. The chairm in had been absent for four or five weeks, and was not expected to return during the present session. Besides, the committee was rather unfortunately organized. The extreme views of his friend

from South Carolina, [Mr. BUTLER,] and the gentleman from Massachusetts [Mr. Davis.]—whom he named for the purpose of showing the description materials of which the committee was about 100 per possible to the committee of the committee that reference should be to that committee or to the undicinary Committee he would not undertake to decide. Senators who were older and more conversant with he parliamentary rules of the body could settle that point. But if it were meant to converted the committee of the conversation of th

On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# SATURDAY, JULY 8, 1848.

#### PETITION.

Mr. DIX presented the memorial of Samuel Colman, proposing to compile a general index to the public documents printed by the order of both Hopses of Congress, and usking a subscription for the same on the part of the United States.

Ordered, That it be referred to the Committee on the Library

## MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Raprasentatives, by Mr. CAMPBELL, their Clerk :

Mr. President: The Spraker of the House of Representatives having signed two eprolled bills, and two enrolled resolutions, I am directed to hung them to the Senate, for the signature of their President.

#### MEXICAN CLAIMS.

Mr. BENTON from the Committee on Foreign Relations, to AIT. BENVION from the Committee on prough Resaucois, to whom the subject was referred, reported a bill to carry into elici-certain stipulations of the treaty between the United States of America, and the Republic of Mexico, of the second day of Feb-ruary, 1345; which was read and passed to the second reading-

#### CHEROKEE CLAIMS.

Mr. BELL. from the Committee on Indian Affairs, reported a joint resolution authorizing the proper accounting officers of the Treasury, to make a just and fair settlement of the chains of the Cherokee nation of Indians according to the principles established by the treaty of August, 1840; which was read and passed to the second reading.

The said resolution was read the second time and considered as in Committee of the Whole, and

#### On motion, it was

Ordered, That the further consideration thereof he postponed until to-morrow

# INCREASE IN THE COMMITTEE ON TERRITORIES.

The Senate resumed the consideration of the motion submitted by Mr. BUTLER on the 6th instant, that two members, to be ap-pointed by the VICE PRESIDENT, be added to the Committee on Territories. The Senate resumed the consideration of the motion submitted

## After some conversation-

The question was taken upon agreeing to the motion to add two members to the Committee on Territories; and it was determined in the negative by years and nays, as follows:

So the motion was disagreed to.

## FEES TO U. S. MARSHALS, CLERKS, ETC.

Mr. FOOTE submitted the following resolution, which was considered, by unanimous consent, and agreed to

Restled, That the Committee on the Judiciary be instructed to implicate the expediency of requiring myritaris, derks, and distort strongers and eliminate control to the Source of the Land and the strongers and eliminate case to make reports to the Source of the Committee control to the Source of the Committee control to the Source of the Committee Committee of the Committee Committee

## PENSIONS TO THE ORDNANCE CORPS.

The Senate proceeded to consider the amendment of the House of Representatives to the bill to extend the provisions of existing pension laws to enlisted men of the ordinance corps of the United States army; and it was

Resolved. That they concur thereis.

Ordered. That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

# CORRECTION OF ERRORS IN ENTRIES OF LAND.

The bill from the House of Representatives to amend an act, The bill from the Irouss of Representatives to amend an act, approved the 21th of May, 1324, entitled "An act supplementary to an act, approved on the 3d day, of March, 1819, entitled "An act providing for the correction of arrors in making course of land act the land offices," was read the first and second times, by unantonous consents, but or for the control of the control of

## SUSPENDED PRE EMPTION CLAIMS.

On motion by Mr. BREESE, the prior orders were postponed, and the bill to extent as acc entitled "An act proveding for the adjustment of all suspended pre-emption land claims in the several States and territories," approved 3d August, 1846, was read the second time, and considered as in Committee of the Whole.

And no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered. That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent. Resolved, That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered. That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

The Senate resumed as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill to establish the territorial government of Or-

egon.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts.—The question now under consideration is one, the discussion of which has been greatly deprecated in this hody. But it now seems to be the pleasure of those who have highers to proved discussions of the triple that it is should be decreased to the provide the consideration of the triple that it is should be decreased to the triple that it is should be decreased to the triple that it is should be decreased to the triple that it is should be decreased to the triple that it is should be decreased to the triple that it is should be decreased to the triple triple that it is should be decreased to the triple triple that it is should be decreased to the triple tripl

United States no power over stavery—that it is a subject intangi-ble by virtue of any authority contained in that instrument; in other words, that Congress has no power to create slavery in a territory, or to extinguish it if it already there exists. I will read the clause of the constitution bearing upon this subject, which all be found at the twentieth page of Hickey's edition. The language

of the constitution is this:

"The Congress shall have power to dispose of and make all needful roles and reg-ulations respecting territory or other property belonging to the United States."

This is all the power conferred by the constitution over territo-TY. It has been argued that it gars only above, the profesty that it gives no sovereignty over tile territory—that it confers no authority apon Congress to control, rule, or govern territory by constitutional power. In and of this argument it is urged with some degree of force, I confess, that when the framers of the constitution much provision for the territory in which the government should be located, to wit, the District of Columbia, they there reconstitutes different phraseadous within 10 Coppress the exclusion. should be located, to wit, the District of Culumbia, they there used quite a different phrascology, giving to Congress the exclusive jurisdiction over such territory. It is said that if it had been intended to give to Congress aitise power and authority over other territory, it would have been so expressed, and the interescent of a waw from that consideration as well as from the peculiar phrascology of the constitution, that there was no purpose on the part of the framers of that instrument, to give to Congress any power of government over the territories of the United States. I admit that the language of the constitution favors this construction; and I believe that the Supreme Court itself—has decided that the language which I have read has a more direct application to properguage which I have read has a more direct application to property than to sovereignly. It seems, then, that some persons suppose that there is a casus omissus in the constitution—that the ty that to sovereignly. It seems, then, that some possible there is a cause omissue in the constitute povernment of territory. I apprehend that this tractice of the subject; and it we recurrent to the subject is subject; and it we recurrent to the subject is subject; and it we recurrent to the subject is subject in the subject is subject. It is see what state of things existed then. Cotemporate could be subject in the subject is subject in the subject is subject in the subject is subject. It is subject in the subject is subject in the subject is subject in the subject is subject. It is subject in the subject is subject. It is subject in the subject is subject in the subject is subject in the subject is subject. It is subject is subject in the subject in the subject in the subject is subject in the subject in the subject in the subject is subject in the subject in t and character is as much an organic law—as much a fundamental regulation, as the constitution itself. Such was the state of things when the convention assembled to frame the constitution What did three convention assembled to frame the constitution which was the constitution of the convention assembled to frame the constitution. They found, moreover, that the whole subject had been discussed in the Congress of the confederation, including the great question touching slavery. They found that not only the then existing state of things was considered, but that the future had been penetrated, and that it had been determined—by what steps it is not necessary for me now to definit—that six is not necessary for me now to definit—that six is likely to the constitution of the control of the contro

by the limits of the treaty of 1483. When the framers of the constitution were providing for a just apportionment of the political power among all the States, what did they see? They saw that in time—and probably the time exme much sooner than they apprehended—there would be five States upon this northwestern territory; and, alse, that in all human probability, the District of Maine, then belonging to Massachnestts, would be separated from it, and form another member of this Union. It is in vann to sey that no question then arose between free and slave States. It is in value to say that the decidence of the state of the s

nessee introduced. This happend very soon, as was foreseen. It was also foreseen that Mississippi and Alabama were at no remote day to enter the Union as sovereignties. When they should come, and the other portions of the country be filled with population, the relative power, as adjusted by the framers of the constitution, would be ten slave to fourteen livee States. This was the chart by which the convention were guided. The compromises of the constitution were made with that state of things standing out unmistakeably before every man—perfectly comprehensible and perfectly well

understood.

Now, I contend, and I think with great justice, that the concessions which were made at that time by the free States, and above all, the great concession of political power which was made in the permission to have the slave population represented, were made upon this state and condition of things—made in the belief and understanding that the ratio which then existed should continue and should not be violated. I know that it is not so nominated in the bloom the violated in the whole the convention, and from the tenor of the constitution itself, that no addition of territory was anticipated to interfere with the adjustment which was then made. Now, how does it happen that quite a different state of things exists at this time? The story is soon told. An emergracy not anticipated spring up. A foreign country had the rightfull possession to the month of the Mississippiarity of the state of the states, competent to sustain many millions of people. Difficulties very soon occurred in regulating the anxigation of this stream, and it very soon became apprecial that the interests and control of this highway. Without sow, into the motives beyond those which I have stated, which know, into the matter, it is sufficient to say, that an opportunity offered to purchase this territory. Negotinions were entered into which proved successful, and for a consideration in money the the territory was ceded to the United States. Now, in my opinion, the

last idea that entered into the minds of the framers of the constitution was that of trading in sovereignites, either by sale or puchase; but in this case the necessity existed. Thus was acquired in a peacealle way that territory, and without considering the transaction upon the various interests of the country or the adjustment of the constitution, the cession was taken. The country was purchased, and having got possession of the sovereignty and the vacent land, gendemen turned round and began to examine the constitution, and inquire whether there was any authority there to govern the territory. I am very much of the opinion of all the gendemen who have spaken on the other side, that it was a vain and fruitless search. They found no express or direct authority to acquire, any of the country of the countr

I say, then, to all gentlemen, that every man who en this floor or elsewhere advocates the right of acquisition, concedes the right to govern, and whether sustained by the constitution the right to govern, and whether sustained by the considerance or not, he admits that right on the part of the United States. Without dwelling longer, however on this subject, for I have said enough I believe, to make myself understood, and that is all I desire, I say in answer to the main argument argument been, that the right of sovereignty implies the right to regulate nere, that the fight of sovereignty implies use right corregularly property; that the conclusion is undensible the right corregularly property in the conclusion is undensible that the conclusion of in the history of political society. It is one of the very attributes of sovereignty to regulate, determine, and settle by suitable rules and provisions the title of property of all sorts, and to manage it as the public good and the existing exigencies of the coun try shall demand, both as respects the public morals and the prirry smil termand, norm as respects the phone morals also the par-vate rights of critizens. It is on this basis that we have governed Louisians, Florida, and Missouri, and all the territories which act obtained by these two purchases. When we became the purchasers of these territories, we pushed our-elves out to sea beyond the soundings of the constitution—beyond its bounds and limits. I say more; that we then went to other sources of power and authority unknown to the const ution. It proves to be an easy thing to acquire because money brings the acquisition. But when we come to the division of the spoils—when we come to great questions of political power and to the compromises of the constitution which are liable to be affected by these acquisitions-questions of greater difficulty, more abstruse in their character and more complicated present themselves. Great minds have been turned to this subject. Inquiry has been made in the course of this debate by the Senator from South Carolina [Mr. Calhoun] and other gentlemen as to the measure of this power. The gentlemen turn over the leaves of the constitution and find no warrant for this proceeding there, and according to some opinions which I have seen in the newspapers, they reach the conclusion that Congress has no power over subject because it is not written down in the constitution. is quite too late in the day for men who have advocated acquisi tion, to turn round and say that the constitution confers no power of government over acquired territories; and the distinguished Senator from South Carolina felt the pressure of this difficulty. took the ground-assumed I believe by the supreme court-that the power to govern was a necessary incident of the power to acquire. But the Senator says that it is not an absolute power. concede that in certain aspects of this question. If, for instance he means by it that the United States have no power to establish a despotism there, I concede that he is substantially right in principle, for we ought to exercise the power in conformity with the lud-damental principles of the constitution. But will the Senator inform me where the houndaries of that power are laid down, or by what authority he asserts that we have no right to touch the question of slavery? Does he find any warrant in the constitution for that assertion? Does he find any foundation for it in principle? It is just sertion. Does he find any foundation for it in principle? It is just as easy for the Senator from South Cardina to prove that there is no right, power or authority in the legislature of a territory or in Congress which is the same thing—for the territorial legislature derives its power from the latter—he can just as easily prove that mother possess any authority to regulate any kind of property. What is it that the slaveholder considers valuable? This right of property and nothing clse. It is this right to the service which is characterized, I believe in all the slave States, as a right of property. That is the thing which he appreciates-which he esteems of value and importance. On what authority, then, can any gentleman deny the right to regulate this property any more than he can deny the right to regulate this property any more than he can deny the right to regulate other property? You mist just as well undertake to prove that the territorial legislation power to suppress the sale of lottery times and prints, or any other thing in the nature of the value of the property the sale of prints, or any other thing in the nature of the property is always—if it be property, and I am an any other thing in order to meet it fully—in salvery—if it be property, and I am in order to meet it fully—in so no way distinguishable from any other property. Now, I go further. I maintain that Congress has regulated and controlled this property at all times. This is a fact, neither obscure nor doubtful in the history of the country. Slavery existed in Louisiana and Florida when the United States became the purchaser of these provinces. When the United States became the purchaser of these provinces. When the United States object was to obtain the right to govern the country of the coun of value and importance. On what authority, then, can any genby legislation of their own, or that of the governments established by them in the territories, that slavery has been maintained there by the power and will of this government alone; unless slavery can exist independently of law, and without authority and countenance from the existing government, which is absurd. It is wholly unnecessary for me to go into the history of this legislation. Every

gentleman understands, I think, this position.

But I go still farther. I hold that while these terrirories have been brought in without any grant or provision in the constitution authorizing it, Congress has imposed upon the constitution authorizing it, Congress has imposed upon the power legislation, the laws inhibiting slave as therefore, by its own legislation, the laws inhibiting slave as therefore, and it is considered to the control of the United States. The cry way; and if they have the power to support and maintain it—which is centend they have exercised just as effectually in the territories as they have in the District of Congress and the construction of the control of the con gentleman understands, I think, this position.

But I go still farther. I hold that while these territories have certistry of Oregon, comes clothed with power and authority in his own person, to maintain the same right to that property in the territory of Oregon, which he enjoyed in the State of Virginia, inrightly understand the argument—and if I do not I hope I may be
corrected—it has been contended that he carried the state of the State with him, by virtue of the co-equilation of the contended that he carried the state of the University of the Contended that he carried the state of this Union. That is not here already sufficiently anment is said to stand. The late of contended with the agreement is said to stand. swered, when it is said that if you have thirty emigrants from the thirty different States of this Union, each carrying upon his own mery different States of this Union, each carrying upon his own back the law which regulates property, every man would not only be his own lawyer, but an independent administrator of the law of the state suggestion of it is a sufficient argument against the prosection. But if there he any such punciple in the constitution as a co-equality of this character, I should like to he incread on what page it is to be found. I should be happy to see what is the tenor and phraseology of that clause of the constitution as a constant of the constitution which we have the state of the constitution which embraces that then. The argument process community that the constant is the state of the constant of the to see what is the tenur and phraseology of that clause of the constitution which enbraces that idea. The argument proves too much. If it he as constitutional provision, it is binding through all time, upon the poly; and if co-coquality enables a man to take the private of the provision of the country private that the provision is the provision of the country private that the provision of the country that the provision of the p York and maintain his right to slave property in defiance of the laws of that State. But it has been said that although there may not be any positive, absolute right on the part of slaveholders, decided from the part of slaveholders, decided from the part of slaveholders. not be any positive, ansolute right on the part of slaveholders, de-rived from this source, yet considering the nature and character of our government, and the fundamental equality of our institutions, that there is a trust repused in the United States, in execution of which they are bound to admit citizens migrating to a territory to the enjoyment of all the privileges of property which they pos-sossed in the States from which they came. In ray judgment a more erromeous view of a leonal trust could not be imagined. It sessed in the States from which they came. In my judgment, a more erroneous view of a legal trust centil not be imagined. It has no analogy, whatever, to a trust in law. In the first place, this government is not the trustee of anybody. It is the agent of the people. The government is sent here—If I may be permitted to compare great things with small—to execute a power of attorney given to it by the people under the forms of the constitution. Government is here employed in executing the work of its principal of the property of the contract of the contr

pal. It can claim no right to hold anything here by virtue of its own authority. It excreises its power by virtue of the constitution solely as the agent of the people. All analogy fails, then, income point of view. What right has any individual critizen or be United States to the pablic lands? What right has not individual critizen or any considerable from that source of record particle. No more than the handling and the same particle. No more than he has in the handling upon the same looting as this bailding, or a court-power within any of the States. It is perfectly plain that no man can description. It is not, then, a question of property but a question of sovereignty; and it is in no just sense of the term any more a trust than the ordinary power of legislation which we hold here to execute the basicess of the United States. It exceeds the whole we same footing and we assist in no other relation which we hold here same footing and we assist in no other relation to ease territories than that which we sustain to any of the property which comes under our control. pal. It can claim no right to hold anything here by virtue of its under our control.

under our control.

Now the owner and holder of slave property thinks himself ention to warraordinary privileges. He says he has a right of property rising above the rights of other citizens. If he has anything
peculiar which entitles him to consideration over others. I call uppeculiar which entitles him to consideration over others. I call uppeculiar which entitles him to consideration over others. I can byon those who maintain that opinion to adduce their authority. It
is incumbent upon them to show from whence their right is derived. If they claim extraordinary privileges they should certainly
show on what they are isonated. They do not grow up of them snow on what they are founded. They do not grow up of them-selves. They do not exist without some authority, and it is sure-ly, quite reasonable that these gentlemen should show us where

at authority is to be found.
Without dwelling longer upon this branch of the subject, I think
Without dwelling longer upon this branch of the subject, I think Without dwelling longer upon this branch of the subject, I think, I may say that the propositions upon which I have been commenting, as advanced upon the opposite side are wholly untenable. The reasoning upon which they are founded, to my mind, seems unsound and inconclusive; and the tendency of the whole area of the control of the co of the case then taken into consideration—that in fact it constitu-ted, if I may so say, the corner stone of the basis upon which these ted, if I may so say, the corner stone of the basis upon which these compromises were much. How comes it then, at this time of that it is an insult of any portion of the country to propose the application of that the mapping to other territories of the United States. What is there to ellend the judgment or delicacy of any man in a function of the territories of the United States. What is the consideration if we turn current on the correctly the force of this consideration if we turn current on the control of the state of the profile of the constitution of the control of attention to the history of the period when the constitution was attention to the history of the period when the constitution was adopted. As I have said, at the time of the adoption of this or-dinance, and the adoption of the constitution, there were six States in which slavery existed, and cight that were called free States. The ordinance provided for the admission of five new States States. The ordinance provided for the admission of five new States to be carved out of the territory to which it applied. If we add Maine, it will make six new States that were to be admitted, making fourteen free States in all; and if we add to the slare States. Kentucky, Tennessee, Alabama, and Mississippi, making in all ten, that would complete the system anticipated by the framers of the constitution. Now let us parse this subject a little larther, and see how it would have stood in other particulars, if you had belief all the probability and appealing the territory described in the state. farther, and see how it would have stood in other particulars, it we had limited ourselves to the territory described in the treaty of 1783. The first hates would have had in the House of Rep-resentatives one hadred and twenty-eight members and in the Senate and y-eight members. The slave States would have the house severally eight members— have the house severally eight members— would have here if the commonies of the constitution, it is would have here if the commonies of the constitution, is the resemble twenty-eight members are twenty-eight members had in the other House seventy-eight members and in this body, twenty. That is what the condition of things and in this body, twenty. That is what the condition of things would have been if the compromises of the Inited States had application to the original territories of the Inited States had application to the original territories of the Inited States had application to the original territories of the Inited States had application of the Inited States had applied to in this case the facts are notorious. The free States have not one hundred and thirty members in the House of Representativesa gain of two. The slave States have in that body interty-one members—a gain with a much smaller capital at the start of thirteen. The free States will have, when low is ropremombers—a gain with a much smaller capital at the start of thirteen. The free States will have, when lows is ropresented in the Senate, thirty members—a gain of two; the slave States have an equal number of representatives in this body—a gain of ten! Now, this has all grown out of disturbing the compromises of the constitution—of departing from the platform upon which the convention which framed the constitution stood. These are the circumstances and this the working of the system under which the Senator from Sonth Carolina [Mr. Calhovs] exhorts us to stand still. Let us, says be, slow—let the constitution have its own course and its own way non-let the constitution have its own course and its own wayalone want nothing—we tak nothing. Ah! And do you, indeed
want nothing, and ask nothing? Why! Do you not also
employ the treasure of this country in immene, for the acquisition
of territory? The whole resource the nothing the acquisition
of territory? The whole resource the nequisitions are made and
the sovereignty acquired; the constitution make its own way." stand
still?— he quite the constitution make its own way." stand
still?— the quite the constitution make its own way. "So we will the constitution of the constit alone—let the constitution have its own course and its own waydoubt find advocates.

This policy—dignified by a supposed connection with the great name of the constitution as a constitutional relies. This policy—nignitize by a supposed consection with the great name of the constitution as a constitutional policy—has been most unequal. I speak of the stand-still policy—the let-alone policy. Suppose we continue it—where will it lead us <sup>2</sup> Notining can demonstrate more conclusively than the facts which I have presented to it Scanac, that if the territory be left open, it will be dotted all over with slaves. Gentlemen on the opposite side exclaim continually that Oregon is a region in which slavery cannot exist; and yet they contend for the privilege of carrying their slaves there. It they contend for the privilege of carrying their slaves there. If bet open to the introduction of slavery, what will be the probable result? When the territory becomes a State, the burden of slavery will become irrevecably fixed upon it, and in vain will it or any new State attempt to shake it off, however sincerely they may desire to free themselves from it. That will be the invisible result of this stand-still policy—leaving the constitution to work its own way, when the constitution is silent upon the subject. Now, I think that such an unequal distribution of political power as that which exists, was never anticipated by the framers of the constitution; and yet the free States are charged with bad faith. It is alleged, with the utmost gravity of countenance in the

faith. It is alleged, with the utmost gravity of countenance in the face of these facts, that the slave States alone have manifested fidehity to the compromises of the constitution. The free States charged with had faith! Why, sir, have they not stood still and permitted millions upon millions of the public money to be applied to the

purchase of territory that is now slave territory?

Mr. CALHOUN.—I am very unwilling to interrupt the Sena-tor, whem I have heard with a great deal of pleasure, however much I disagree with several of his sentiments. I rise now only for the purpose of correcting one of his statements, in order that it may not go uncontradicted. The Secator has said, that after the formation of the constitution the free States and the slaveholding stood eight to six. But the Senator will recollect that Ver-mont came in after the formation of the constitution, and that Delmont came in aiter the formation of the constitution, and that Def-aware, being regarded as a doubtful State—which, I am sure, every one will acknowledge—the free and slaveholding States then stood exactly in the same proportion. The Scnate will also recol-lect that there was three times as much territory north of the Missouri line as there was south of it.

Mr. DAVIS .- I shall not trouble the Senate by going into any history of the annexation of Vermont. No doubt the Senator is correct. The argument which I have presented is not at all impaired; for Vermont was then an independent State, and no doubt

paired; for Vermont was men an interpendent state, and to doubt could be entertained of her joining the confederacy.

I was remarking, when I was interrupted by the Senator, that the free States had seen the treasure of the country expended in a war for the acquisition of territory—they had seen millions of the public meney thus expended—they had seen acquisitions made, including that of Texas, the whole benefit of which, so far as polittical power is concerned, accrued to the slave States; and yet they are accused of bad faith and with making war upon the rights

and interests of the South.

The henorable Senator from Virginia [Mr. Mason] adverted to the Missouri compromise, and, according to my recollection, said that even the concession of a single new free State caused him to hang his head in shame. He seemed to regret that the policy had hang his head in shame. He seenised to regret that the policy had not been pushed so far as to exclude the free Stetes from any parti-cipation whatever in the acquisition of new territory. What do we now see? Newthist-anding the compromise which was made on the admission of the State of Missouri, and which the bosons, ble Senator from Virginus state of Missouri, and which the bosons. ble Senator from Virgina said he supposed had forever settled this whole matter, so that slavery was to be excluded above latitude 36° 30°; yet we are charged with hed faith when we resist its introduction above latitude 42°. All Oregon is far north of the compremise line, and a region in which it is admitted that slavery is not desirable, nay gentlemen say it cannot exist there, and yet the application of the ordinance of 1787 to it is most strenously resisted, as if it were a greeous wrong, worthy of agitating the public mand and disturbing the public tranquillity. Who is charge—able with bad faith in foreing slavery into this region if the compromise be applicable to it? But does the compromise of 35 30 apnit on a country which we did not then possess—over which we ply to a country which we did not then possess-over which we had then no sovereignty? If it do, then the had faith is not on our

I remember an occurrence in this chamber some years agoand I dare say there are others within the range of my voice who recollect it also. There was a proposition to organize the territery of Iowa, new one of the members of this Union. It was optory of resolution, which will no doubt be found in Mos op-posed, and a resolution, which will no doubt be found in Journal, Journal, was increduced by a gentleman representing the State of Alabama, proposing to make a perpetual grant of the whole terri-tory north of Missouri to the Indian tribes, and to stop the program-of emigration west, at the bank of the Mississiphi, and turn it southward, note the slave instead of the free season of the free the state in the slave instead of the free state in the slave in the flows of Representatives we have fought battle after battle upon this question in disposing of the Indians who were removed to the West. I bring no reproach against any body. I only state what is notorious, that there have been individuals of influence and high standing who have advocated the proposition to stop the current of emigration at the banks of the Mississippi, and to secure to the Indian tribes of this country the territory beyond; but measures of that sort have been uniformly frustrated.

I am unwilling to go into this matter at greater length. facts which I have stated speak for themselves; they tell the whole story; they explain what has been gained by the free States and

what by the slave States; they make disclosures which will ena ble the public to form its own opinion. It is well that there should be a free discussion upon this subject—that opinions should be in-terchanged. Some gentlemen, indeed, deprecate this discussion; and I do not deny that some unpleasant agitation may attend it. and I do not deay that some unpleasant agitation may attend it. It is well known that there are discontents. Gentlemen advert to them in some severity of language. They bear the distant nur-nuring of the thunder, and they seem to understand its meaning. They say, let it couns—so I say, if they are unwise enough to make such an issue, and to place upon it the continuance of the union of the States. Fet there is nothing I should more deprecate than the dissolution of this Union. There is nothing that would grieve me more than to see any case occur which should be thought greeve me more than to see any case occur which should be thought to justify so fatal a step. But if we cannot speak the truth, if we cannot discuss this subject fully without endangering the Union, then the Uniod is only a repe of sand. Let me say, if the consti-tution is made only for a part, and not for the whole country, or if it is to be administered so as to benefit one part alone, then, in

my humble judgement, it is not worth preserving.

Let us pursue these results a little farther. The Senater from South Let us pursue these results a little lattner. I no Senater from South Cardina says that there is a large vacant territory north of Mis-cardinal specific properties of the lattner of the control of the ascertained; but I have never brand it spoken of as a territory of any considerable value or importance. Portions of it may be of some value, and may be capable at some day of being organized into a State of States. But unless I have been missinformed in reinto a State or States. But unless I have been misinformed in regard to it, it is one of the last sections of the country that would be thought desirable for settlement. It is a broken region, desti-tute of wood and water, and generally unproductive seil.

Mr. CALHOUN .- The Senator has been misinformed Mr. CALHUUN.—The Senator has been misinformed. The greater part of that territory is well watered. It is very far from being an and region. It abounds with streams and innumerable lakes, and, if my information be correct, a very large portion of the country has a soil exceedingly lertile. If the Senator will look at the map he will find that there is a heautiful valley of upwards of two bundred miles in length, containing some of the best land in all those regions. But the decisive face is, the laware believes. in all those regions. But the decisive fact But the decisive fact is the large Indian po-

Mr. DAVIS.—I do not profess to have very exact information, but I believe the general statement which I have made will be found to be correct. It is in the line of emigration and in a healthy climate, and yet is not sought by settlers. However it is not very material to the matter in hand. I will take the positive rather than the speculative-what is known rather than what is uaknown. It is not difficult to imagine that a large country lying in that region may at some future day be peopled, and that States may possibly grow up there. But let us see what provision has been made to secure political power for the slave interests of this country. I need not inform the Senate that Texas is now a part and parcel of the United States, and that the resolutions by which Texas came into the Union provided that there may be four additional States carved out of that territory. Of these, not less than tional States carved out or tract terratory. Or mose, not reserved three must be slave States, and as the admission of the four depends upon the consent of Texas, I do not think it is very likely peeds upon the consent of Texas, I do not think it is very likely that any of them will come in other than slave States. Here, then, are four States expressly provided for in a country which is rapidly becoming peopled, and will soon send to this Union additional members. Texas was brought in for this avowed purpose. Gentlemen really seem to think that in all this there is no cause of complaint—that it is not only unjustifiable, but proof of the alfaith, to make any complaint. Yet it is very well proof of bad faith, to make any complaint. Yet it is very well known that Texas, brought in, as she has been, for a specific purpose, has been the cause of the war with Mexico—that annexation was the cause of all the waste of blood and treasure which has been witnessed within the last two years. But there are other consequences which some portions of the country feel nex are other consequences which some portions of the country feel deeply and more strongly even than that war. It was by the introduction of the representatives of Texas into this chamber that Congress, following out the policy of the President of the United States, was enabled to overturn the former financial system of the country—by repealing the beneficial and salutary act of "32, that had received the sanction of the country, revived its credit, and accused the highest degree of prosperity. It was that addition to caused the highest degree of prosperity. It was that addition to the forces of the administration which enabled thom to pass the fiscal system of 1846. This is another of the results of the annexation of Texas. It is, then, remarkable that that portion of the country which complains of sectional legislation, should happen to be that very portion of the country which has received the benefit of all these acquisitions. Without dwelling more at large, as I might with great propriety do, upon this interesting aspect of the case, and the pernicious effect upon industrial pursuits, we naturally inquire, who has done this? Who has brought it about? Who is responsible? I can say who has not brought it about, and who is responsible. It has not been brought about by the whigs upon this floor. In good faith they stood by their principles. They opposed the annexation of Texas until a compact was made Incy upposed the aninexation of Texas units a compact was made a genuse their will, and compiled with on her part; but the united against their will an expensive their part of the North, accomplished it. And gentlemen will permit me to say—and I mean it in no offionive seuse—that that measure was accomplished under circumstances peculiar in their character. The aniexation of Texas was pressed into the Presidential election, and carried through by the espril du corps of party; and now another favorable moment for concession has arrived! The Senator from South Carolina, with characteristic frankness, avuws that now, on the eve of a Presidential election, a favorable opportunity is afforded of settling this question—of turning the screw upon party men. Politicians, in their zeal for the success of a party candidate, are apt to forget the interests of the country, and such an occasion is deemed favorable to effect certain objects.

Mr. CALHOUN.-The Senator entirely misapprehended the tenure of my remarks. He would scorn to resort to arts of that description

Mr. DAVIS.—The Senator certainly contends that this is the time to make these concessions, and best knows his own motives, which I by no means question. I agree that the success that has heretofore attended this policy, might well astonish those who have achieved it. But are gentlemen not sensible that they have pushed this policy to such a degree of extravagance that it has alarmed the public mind? I think I bazard little in saying, that the day has gone by when this system is to be further pursued.

#### A DEMOCRATIC SENATOR .- It is too late.

Mr. DAVIS.—I thank my friend for the word; it is too late: nor does this conviction in the public mind grow out of a little heat which has been engendered, and will speedly die sway. It is the result of the firm belief than will speedly die sway. It is the result of the firm belief than the word of the firm belief than the sea and the sea and the sea of the sea Mr. DAVIS .- I thank my friend for the word; it is too late

I have said little on the question directly before the Senate. My design was to limit myself to the general political aspect of the achieve. There are other topics connected with it in a moral point must at this time forhear. Enough has heen said to show that heretofore there has been a great inequality in the distribution of political power, and that there is an overwhelming conviction is the public mind of the necessity of a change, which shall restore the compromises of the constitution to their equilibrium. If gentlemen suppose that the free States can submit to the appropriation of additional territory to slavery, they are very much mistaken. They misapprehend the public temperament—the public eligoration of the public dispositive and frankly, intending to give offence to no hody, I may repeat what I have often declared, that I am entirely willing to able by the compromises of the constitution, and leave slavery in the States to be managed by the States, without interference from this government. On the other hand, I have long since declared my sentiments in this chamber—and very without interference from this government. On the other hand, I have long since declared my sentiments in this chamber—and very distinctly at the two last sessions—that free soil ought not to be encombered with slavery. Without going more at large into the reasons for that opinion, I am disposed to leave this subject to the judgment and good sense of the Senate and the country. But of a nacerely hope that general cancer will prove the country.

do sincerely hope that gentlemen will ponder well upon this mat-ter, before they proceed to force slavery into Oregon, or into any part or portion of the territory of the United States which is now free.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, expressed his desire to address the Senate, but as the hour was late, he gave way to a motion that the subject be passed over informally.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, took the floor, and it was Ordered, That the further consideration of the bill be postponed

to Monday next. After the consideration of Executive business, The Senate adjourned.

# MONDAY, JULY 10, 1848.

#### PETITIONS.

Mr. HALE presented a petition of citizens of Winnebago county, Illinois, praying the abolition of slavery in the United States.

The metion to receive the petition being objected to; it was

Ordered, That the motion lie on the table.

Mr. HALE presented a petition of citizens of Amesbury and Salisbury, Massachusetts, praying a reduction of the rates of post-age, and the discontinuance of the franking privilege; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Mr. UPHAM presented a memorial of citizens of the United

Mr. UPHAM presented a memorial of citizens of the Ulbia States, residing in Maryland, Virginia, and the District of Colum-bia, praying the purchase of Mount Vernon by the government. In presenting this petition, Mr. UPHAM took eccasion to ob-serve that he should ask a different reference of this petitions that which these of a like character had hithertor received. One that which those of a like character had hitherto received. One of the chief elejects in the purchase of any latee was to establish a school for the promotion of the chief elejects in the purchase of any latee was to establish a school for the promotion of the promotion of the chief elegent of the chief elegent elege booking further into the last census it would be seen that the annual products of agriculture amount to \$554,387,597; while the annual products of manulactures and commerce were only \$319,557,310; mining \$42,358,761; and forest and fisherics \$28,831,068

The petition was referred to the Committee on Agriculture

Mr. NILES presented a petition of citizens of Brooklyn, New York, praying that Congress will withhold any apprepriations for the purchase of certain grounds adjacent to the Navy Yard and Hospital in that place, which it is proposed to sell to the Utifed States; which was referred to the Committee on Finance

Mr. HANNEGAN presented the memorial of R. R. Gurley, praying that an appropriation may be made for the purchase of a collection made by George Catlin illustrative of the manners and custems of the North American Indians; which was referred to the Committee on the Library.

Mr. RUSK presented the memorial of delegates from the Chick-asaw nation of Indians, praying that the United States agency in that nation may not be discontinued; which was referred to the Committee on Finance.

Mr. BRADBURY presented a petition of citizens of York, Maine, praying a reduction of the rates of postage and the discontinuance of the franking privilege; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Mr. SEBASTIAN presented the petition of delegates from the Creek nation of Indians praying that ne change may be made in the United State's agency in that nation; which was referred to the Committee on Finance

On motion by Mr. PEARCE, it was

Ordered, That the petition of the heirs of James Maglenen, on the files of the Senate, be referred to the Committee of Claims.

# MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. CAMPBELL, their Clerk :

Mr. President. The Speaker of the House of Representatives having sign entabled bill, I am directed to bring it to the Senate for the signature of their

The House of Representatives have passed the bill of the Senate, to extend an actentide "an act providing for the adjustment of all suspended presemption land clums in the several States and Territories, approved 3d of Angust, 1846."

They cooler in some and diagree to other amendments of the Senate to the bill making appropriations for the Indian Department, and for fulfilling treat shundard with various Indian tribes for the Vegar eding June 30th 1893, and for other purposes, and agree to other amendments to the same with amendments, in which they request the concurrence of the Senate.

The President of the United States approved and signed, the 5th instant, the fol-

As act to incorporate the Washington Gas fight Company.

An act for the rehef of Russell Goss,

#### INDIAN APPROPRIATION BILL.

The Senate proceeded to consider the amendments of the Sen-The Senate processor to consucer the meaningents of the Senate, amended and disagreed to by the House of Representatives, to the bill making appropriations for the Indian department, and for fulfilling treaty stipulations with various Indian tribes, for the year ending June 30, 1849, and for other purposes; and

The question being taken upon agreeing to a motion made by Mr. ATHERTON, that the amendments be referred to the Com-mittee on Finance, it was determined in the affirmative.

#### CARRYING THE MAIL IN PUBLIC STEAMERS

Mr. NILES, from the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads, to whom was referred the bill respecting the employment by the Postmaster General of public steamers to carry the mail along the coasts of the United States, reported it without amend-

#### FRANKING PRIVILEGE TO M. VATTEMARE.

Mr. NILES, from the Committee on the Post Office and Post ALLES, from the Committee on the rost Office and rost Roads, reported a bill to facilitate international exchanges; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and no amendment be-ing made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent.

Resolved, That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

#### MEXICAN INDEMNITY.

Mr. ATHERTON, from the Committee on Finance, reported a bill for the payment of liquidated claims against Mexico, which was read and passed to the second reading.

Mr. BRADBURY, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the bill for the relief of John B. Rodgers, of South Carolina, reported it without amendment, and with a recommendation that it do not pass.

### PRITATE BILL.

Mr. FOOTE, from the Committee on Private Land Claims, to whom was referred the bill for the relief of Lewis Beaediet, reported it without amendment

#### CORRECTION OF ERRORS IN ENTRIES OF LAND.

Mr. BREESE, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the bill from the House to amend the act approved the 20th of May, 1824, entitled "An act supplementary to an act approved on the 3d day of March, 1819, entitled an act providing for the correction of errors in making entries of land at the land offices;" reported the same without amendment, and asked for its immediate consideration.

The Senate proceeded to consider said bill, as in Committee of the Whele; and, no amendment being made, it was reported to

Ordered, That it pass to a third reading.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved, That this bill pass.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representa-tives accordingly.

#### SIGNING OF A BILL.

The VICE PRESIDENT signed the following enrolled bill: A bill to extend the provisions of the existing pension laws to enlisted men of the Onlinance corps of the Unitee states army.

# BRANCH MINT AT NEW YORK.

Mr. DIX rose to give notice that he would, at an early day, ask the Senate to take up a bill, in which those he represented felt a deep interest—he alluded to the bill for the establishment of a branch min in the city of New York. He had introduced it at an early period of the session, and it had been reported, he believed, without amendment, by the Committee on Finance. His colleague, without amendment, by the Committee on Finance. Inscolleague, who felt the same interest in the subject with himself, had twice given notice, as a member of the Committee on Finance, that he would cell it up after the Oregon bill should be disposed of. But the debate on this bill had been protracted, and was likely to

continue, and he would, therefore, with the concurrence of his colleague, move that it be taken up during the morning hour-not to-day, but at an early day during the present week.

Mr. DICKINSON said the bill to establish a branch mint in the city of New York had been reported by him a few days since, from the Committee of Finance. A special better that the consideration was made at the last recently given better that the consideration was made at the last recently given notice that he would call it, the last recently given notice that he would call it, the discussion upon the Orgon hill should terminate. But it was now apparent that the discussion upon that bill was not soon to end, and he concurred in the suggestion of his colleague that it should be taken up as morning business. It was demanded by the public exigency, and would give extensive business facilities to the community, and put in circulation a large amount of coin and bailion now lying idle in the lanks or transferable only in large amounts or hy weight. Mr. DICKINSON said the bill to establish a branch mint in the

# THE AMISTAD CASE.

Mr. YULEE desired to know whether the Committee on Fo-reign Relations, to whom was referred so much of the President's annual message as relates to the Amistad case, were ready to re-port in relation thereto.

Mr. MANGUM replied that the Committee had had the subject under consideration, and would probably report in the course of a few days.

## COMMITTEE ON MILITARY AFFAIRS.

The VICE PRESIDENT announced the appointment of Mr.

METCALFE, as a member of the Committee on Military Affairs, in pursuance of the order of the 6th instant, in the place of Mr. Crittenden, resigned.

#### THE PUBLIC LANDS.

Mr. HALE, agreeably to notice, asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to discourage speculation in the poblic lands, and to open the same to actual settlers and cultivators, which was read the first and second times by onanimous consent; and refer-red to the Committee on Palble Lands.

#### THE OREGON BILL.

The Senate resumed, as in the Committee of the Whole, the bill to establish the territorial government of Oregon:

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, addressed the Senate at length upon the subject. A report of the honorable Senator's speach will be found in the Appendix.

Mr. HUNTER obtained the floor.

Mr. HANNEGAN gave notice of an amendment to be offered by him ; which was ordered to be printed.

Mr. UNDERWOOD gave notice that he would modify the amendment heretofore submitted by him.

On motion.

The Senate adjourned.

# TUESDAY, JULY 11, 1848.

#### ADVERSE REPORTS.

On motion by Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on Military Affairs be discharged from the further consideration of the resolution to inquire into the expediency of appointing ten or more additional cadets in the Military Academy.

On motion by Mr. RUSK, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on Military Affairs be discharged from the further consideration of the petition of Ambrose R. Davannor.

#### THE TEXAS NAVY.

Mr. RUSK moved that the prior orders be postponed for the purpose of taking up the bill to authorize the President to increase the naval establishment of the United States.

Mr. DICKINSON hoped the hill would not be taken up, as it would produce debate.

After some conversation, the motion to take up the bill was determined in the negative.

### BRANCH MINT AT NEW YORK.

Mr. DICKINSON moved that the prior orders be postponed for the purpose of taking up the bill to establish a branch of the mint of the United States in the city of New York.

Mr. BADGER hoped this bill would not be taken up, for the reason that the Senate had refused to take up the Texas navy bill. It would produce debate, and it wanted but twenty-five minutes to the hour for taking up the special order.

Mr. DICKINSON urged the importance of speedy action on the bill.

Mr. CALHOUN observed that his colleague [Mr. Butler] desired to be present when this bill was taken up; and, as he was now abseat and engaged in one of the committee rooms, he hoped the motion would not be pressed now, and the bill could be taken up after the Senator from Virginia [Mr. Hunter] had delivered his remarks on the Oregon bill.

The motion to take up the bill was negatived. Ayes 17, Noes

#### NAVAL APPROPRIATION BILL.

On motion by Mr. ATHERTON, the prior orders were postponed, and the Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill making appropriations for the naval service for the year ending the 30th June, 1849.

vice for the year ending the 30th June, 1849.

The question pending was upon agreeing to the second amendment proposed by the Committee of Finance, to strike out the 119th and 120th lines, on the 6th page, the appropriation for furnishing the Marine Hospital at New Orleans.

Mr. ATHERTON briefly stated the reasons which governed a majority of the committee in submitting this amendment, and was briefly replied to by Mr. UNDERWOOD, and Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana: when

On motion by Mr. HALE, it was

Ordered, That the further consideration of the bill be postponed until to-morrow.

## THE OREGON BILL.

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill to establish the Territorial Government of Oregon.

Mr. HUNTER.—Mr. President: Nothing but a sense of duty could constrain are to speak on a subject which I approach with great reluctance. The topics involved are so nearly connected with the limitly peace, that I take no pleasure in their agitation and discussion. But this debate has been forced upon us without any agency on the part of the South. The Committee on Territorics introduced a bill re-enacting a law passed by the so-called territorial government, which expressly excludes slavery from Oregon. The Senator from New Hampshire offered what is called the Wilmot proviso, and although it was withdrawn for a time, he has given notice that he would present it again. The whole he had not to the sum of the

inhabit it, but they exclude the son, if he be a slaveholder, of the sire whose bones are bleaching on the very soil which he contributed to win, at the expense of a life bravely lost to maintain the honor of his country's arms. The Senator from Connecticuit told us, as I understood him, that it was a struggle for power, in which the majority must govern and the minority must gred. The Senator from Vermont characterized the assertion of an equal right on the part of the slaveholding States to settle and colonize this common territory, as an arrogant pretension. Surely, sir, these things are hard to be borne. The smallest spark of sympathetic feeling must enable one to see that such pretensions are, at least, calculated to stir the blood of every southern man. But, sir, I shall discuss this question dispassionately. I believe that truth is always strongest when most calmip present.

Mr. President, this subject of the territories has been variously considered in the course of the disension which has arisen upon it. But the main issues involved are in whom or what body was reposed the power to govern them—under what limitations is this power to be exercised, and what are the rights of the several States, to be reserved and protected in the administration of this power?

When I remember the uniform course of precedents upon this subject, I am not a little surprised that the question should at this subject, I am not a intre surprised that the question should at his day be mooted, as to where is lodged the power of governing the territories of the United States. Congress has invariably presscribed the fundamental ordinance or quasi-constitution of the territorial government. It has introduced into these ordinances matters rial government. It has introduced into these orthinances matters of mere municipal regulation, such as the course of descents and distribution, and in some cases reserved to itself expressly the distribution, and in some cases reserved to itself expressly the right of vetorige the action of the territorial governments. In ad-dition to this, it has been universally conceded as the right of the federal government to cede away the territory, with sovereignty and jurisdiction, to foreign state. Now, if the major includes the minor, the power which can prescribe a constitution and transfer the sovereignty and allegiance of the territory and its people, must surely include the right of governing both. But of late the power has been denied by some to Congress, because there was no ex-press grant of it in the constitution, and it was alleged to be conpress grant of it in the constitution, and it was alleged to be con-trary to principle to imply it. Those who maintained that the power was expressly given in the clause of the constitution which provides that "Congress shall have power to dispose of, and make all needful rules and regulations respecting the territory, or other property belonging to the United States," were met by arguments, in my opinion, convincing and sound. I agree with those who maintain that the right to govern the territories, is in Congress but I do not concur with some of them who attempt to derive it from this clause. Upon that subject, I think the argument of the Senator from South Carolina was clear, conclusive, and demon strative. I shall not repeat it, not only because he has exhausted that branch of the subject, but because it would be unnecessary for the purposes of my argument, as I shall endeavor to show the purposes of my argument, as I shall endeavor to show that the power, no matter from whence it is derived, can only be exercised under certain limitations. The power, in my opinion, is implied, amongst other sources, as has been well said, from the right to acquire territory. If this right of acquisition is to be implied to acquire territory. If this right of acquisition is to be implied to expect the results of the right of the right of acquired and peace, or of making new States, over which subject Congress has power, this necessity of acquired only exist on account of some end to be secured after it is acquired. To effect these purposes and ends, the territory must be controlled and coverned by those who acquired, or the vormal security of the controlled and coverned by those who acquired, or the vormal security of the controlled and coverned by those who acquired, or the vormal security of the controlled and coverned by those who acquired, or the vormal security of the controlled and coverned by those who acquired, or the vormal security of the vormal secur of controlled and governed by those who acquired, or law or just decreases the which justified its acquisition could not be met. But there are other sources from which the implication is equally obvous. There are two purposes for which the territory of the United States is plainly destined by the constitution—I will not say that they are the only ends for which it may be acquired—but they are they are the only ends for which it may be acquired—but they are the only uses specied in that instrument. I mean the disposition of the soil and the erection of new States. Now, the right of go-verning the territorty is necessary to the efficient exercise of both powers. If the soil is ours to he sold and settled, we must have the means of preventing trespasses and keeping the peace upon it. This right of property vested in the States would not be seene if This right of property vested in the States would not be seeme if they were dependent upon any other authority that an agency of their own, for the preservation of peace and order upon this domain. Counterfeiters, hose thieves, fugitives from justice, might collect in bands upon this territory, and there would exist no adequate power any where to restrain or reclaim them, unless the authority to do so existed in Congress. Without this power, unless the authority to do so existed in Congress. Without this power, bould not guarantee to the purchaser the use of the public domain after he had acquired it, and of course there would be no demand tor the soil which we wished to sell. But there is another still more important purpose for which the territory of the United States is destined. I mean it a extelnment and erection into new

States. To train up these infant communities under such institutions as may fit them to become numbers. To do our confideracy is toom as may fit them to become numbers. To do our confideracy is where could the bughest importance. To do our confideracy is where could the power of governing be so well ledged as in Congress, the common agent of all the States? For these purposes, the maintenance of order and peace in the infant community is indispensable, and there is a point of time in its existence when Congress alone possesses the physical force to do it. There is, then, a point of time when Congress must govern this territory—when is it divested of this power? The constitution has specified the subject of the constitution has specified its indights—when it is strong conogh to assume the re-arrowhit its of a sovereignty, and come as a State into the confederacy. Here are three sources from which the power of Congress to govern the territory, as I think, may be clearly implied. I believe I might name others. But, it is said that no power so important can be derived to Congress by implication, and yet these very gentlemen, or most of them, maintain the existence of the power to acquire territory as implied from the war making or treaty-max caquire territory as implied from the war making or treaty-max some nocessity for its nee, surely they must go further and imply the right of controlling and making that use of it after it is acquired. But are not genilemen applying a strict rule of construction, fitting one class of cases to another, to which it is not so fully appropriate? When it is a question as to the distribution of power tower the federal and State governments, then the implication must be strict and necessary, by which the former can claim a stolen by the one is subtracted from the other, and fits, which it is not so fully appropriate? I when it is a capacited to the capacites to the contract, but from the express term of the instrument, which declares all powers not granted to be reserved. But there is

war and treaties, ground the control of the States are prohibited from making war or treaties, irough the control of the states are prohibited from making war or treaties, irough which that power is implied to med not be necessary, but under certain limitations may be one of convenience, fitness, and propriety. The limitations are, that Congress shall not assume obligations or exercise powers under their grants, express or implied, which are expressly probabited to it by the constitution, or which would encroach upon rights reserved to the States or the people thereof. A trustee cannot imply a right to do what is expressly probabited in the deed creating his powers, or what would defeat the great ends of the trusts described in the states of the property of the property of the states and federal government is vital to the body politic and essential to the scheme of American association. The power of making war and treaties was given to preserve the existence of this political organism, and must not be perverted to destroy it. To illustrate the rule and its limitations, Mr. President, let us take a recent instanco. In our war with Mexico we established governments, collected revenues, and regulated municipal affairs in that power at home. Then, it was no question of distribution between the State and federal governments, but as to a right which the States and federal governments, but as to a right which the States could not exercise and which the American people could not use at all, except through the federal government. But one pertodate that, under the war power, the federal government could exercise municipal functions in the States and home. Then, it was no question of distribution between the state sould not exercise municipal functions in the States at home. So mone pretonds that, under the war power, the federal government. But one pretonds that, under the war power is home. Then, it was no question of distribution in proposes to exercise this jurisdetion which I have named before. We have reported to us

case at all. enower does not exist in the Congress of the United Bergin and the Congress of the United Bergin and the Congress of the United Bergin and the Constitution was formed, the confederacy possessed territory. There was no obvious necessity that it should be governed. But we are told that it exists in the people of the territory. Does the constitution say any thing of the great of such a power? Is it not an implied power? And how do they imply it? There are but two possible modes in which the people

of the territories could derive it-either from the general right o man to self-government, the right of separate and distinct society, or by implication from the constitution of the United States. Can they derive it from the former, as a distinct and separate society? If they can, Congress has no right to extend over them its revenue laws, or exercise in relation to them any of the functions of note laws, of exercise in relation to them any of the relations of them any of the progression of the progression of the progression of this confederacy. But no man who will look to the consequences of this doctrine, can seriously maintain it for a moment. I would state, with great deference, that gentlemen have been misled by a funcied analogy between the territorial and State governments are identified analogy between the territorial and State governments. a indicate datalogy network his territorial and state governments —an idea that it was more harmonious and more consonant with the scheme of our confideracy, that Congress should exercise more power over the territories than it exercised over the States, and that as to the residue, the people of the territory derived from this natural right of man to self-government, the right to exercise all the other functions of government. This is a mere gratuitous assumption. I might demand the proof and the reason for any such assertion, but I shall endeavor to do more than that I think that I can demonstrate that it is an unconstitutional assumption It is to be remarked that there is a class of restrictions imposed in It is to be remarked that there is a class of restrictions imposed in the constitution upon the State governments, necessary for the whole scheme of American society, which apply in terms to the States and not to the territories. No State shall lay duties without the consent of Congress. But there is no such restriction with States and not to the territories. No State shall lay duties without the consent of Congress. But there is no such restriction with regard to territories. The citizens of each State are secured in the ejoyment of the privileges and immanifies of citizens of the United States. There is no such provision in relation to the territories. There is a whole class of restrictions and probibitions, which I need not commerate, applied in the constitution to the States, but not to the territories, and yet it it was assumed that this right of government existed in the territories, is it not obvious that these restrictions would have been extended to them? If this power of government exists in the territories, there is no con stitutional obligation upon them to deliver up a lugitive from justice or labor. Nor do the guaranties in relation to republican government or domestic insurrection extend to them, although the lattor is most indispensable for a sparse and weak people. Their power is greater than that of the States, and they would thus be allowed to derange the whole system of American organization. But this is not all. When we come to recognize the remarkable fact that none of these restrictions apply in the control of the properties of the control of the power in the congress of the United States. We repose it in them because they are the agents of the States, and because, ander the letter and spirit of the constitution, they are governed by all of these limitations, which are restrictions as necessary for the stitutional obligation upon them to deliver up a fugitive from jus-tico or labor. Nor do the guaranties in relation to republican goall of these limitations, which are restrictions as necessary for the he same ends in the territories as are effected in the States. In sue same enus in inocarritories as are circicie in the States. In that point of view it was unnecessary to introduce these express prohibitions, because they already existed as constitutional limita-tions upon the power of Congress to govern them. They were imposed upon State governments because they were separate and independent, but there was no necessity for introducing them in relation to territorial governments, dependent upon Congress.

But is this the only point of view in which the necessity of the

But is this the only point of view in which the necessity of the implication that all power to govern these territories, rests in Congress, is rendered apparent? It must be recollected that the States cannot acquire territory—that the territory thas acquired is the joint property of all the States; and therefore by necessary implication the power of governing this property, which is to be used not only for the purpose of disposing and therefore by necessary implication the power of governing this property, which is to be used not only for the purpose of disposing in that agency which acts for the States jointly. But if this power is govern the territories exists in Congress—as I maintain it does—the question presents itself, under what limitations that power is to be exercised? Is it a power colimited and absolute? Can there he any power in Congress express or implied, which is assolute and unfunted? Must not each provision of the instrument be construed in connection with every other by the state of the construent of the construence of the c

in the territories, and yet does not every one feel and know that there is a constitutional obligation upon Congress to secure that To suppose otherwise would by to suppose had the power by means of territorial government to defeat the There is another and still more important provision securing the equality of the States. Call upon any man who understands the theory of our government, and who has any experience in its workings, and ask him to name to you the grand cause of American progress and development, and he will tell you that it is to be found in the equality of the citizens and of the States. It is thus that the door of competition is opened to all. Every man has an equal chance in the struggle for wealth, honor, and the rewards of society, and each State has an equal right to participate in the benefits of the federal govern-We make success the reward of mcrit, and merit of ment. We make success the reward of merit, and merit consists in the possession of those qualities necessary under a system of free and universal competition in order to attain success. We thus afford the highest possible stimulus to individual and State organizations for the cultivation of those qualities necessary to the progress of the people; thus too we secure the harmony of the confederacy by the very means which foster a high and generous spirit of emulation amongst individuals and States in the general and equal competition for high rewards which must be deserved to Change these great features and you make a revolution as complete and entire in this system of American association as if you were to say that the President and members of this body should hold office, not for a limited period, but for life. of these changes would work a more entire revolution than would the destruction of this principle of equality of the citizens and of the States. Here lies the whole secret of our progress. Destroy the States. Here lies the whole secret of our progress. Destroy
the one and you put an end to the other. That this is the leading and cardinal feature in the construction of the American confederacy, we derive from every source to which we may refer.
We derive it not only from that doctrine of national law and common sense which makes a confederacy of sovereignities, a confedemon sense which makes a confederacy of sovereignities, a confederacy of equals, but from the constitution itself. Open this instru-ment any where, and you find abundant evidence of the equality of the States. What are the ends sought in the formation of this instrument, as given in the preamble?

"To form a more perfect union, to establish justice, to manne domestic franquility, movide for the common defence, and promote the general welfage."

How are these ends to be so well secured as by preserving the equality of the citizens and the States? In the enumeration of the powers given to Congress, how does the list commence? are authorized to lay duties, &c. For what purpose? Congress To pay the debt and to provide for the common defence and the general wel-fare. How can you provide for the general welfare unless yon make equality the rule? Let any man reflect upon it, and he will make equality the rule? Let any man reflect upon it, and he will perceive that there is no other rule by which this provision can be earried out. Contrive any scheme of universal benefit and you will find it must be equal. I mean not absolute equality, but the equality of proportion. But this is not all. It is provided that all taxes shall be uniform, and that the laws of bankruptey and naturalization shall be uniform. It is provided that no preference shall be given to ports in one State over those of another; that the citizens all the States shall have the privileges of the citizens of each; and in order to insure this equality, the State governments them selves are hedged about by prohibitions. They are not allowed to make treaties, because in that way some might gain the advantage over others. They are not allowed to lay duties, because the benefits of commerce might thus be distributed unequally among the Turn where you will, you find the constitution filled with provisions to secure this equality, and there is one remarkable inprovisions to secure this equanty, and there is one remarkance in stance in which it is clearly proved that the constitution contem-plated the States as equal. We all know that when an election by the people forthe Presidence fails, it is to be made by Congress, whose sense is taken by States, and by the very provision of the constitution these States are made equal, so that Delaware is as

constitution these systems are made equal, so that Delaware is an influential as New Sardes are made equal, so find amount all principle essential to the well-being and existence of American society as it was organized under the constitution. I ask if we are not bound in the exercise of every power, whether granted or implied, to administer it with reference to those limitations? The highest of all obligations upon government, is that of avoiding all acts which would change the organic structure and impair the vital functions of the society which entrusts it with power for purposes not of destruction, but of preservation. It is the obligation of the nurse not to destruct the hill. ask it we are not especially bound thus torial governments, when it is remembered but the people of the States cannot acquire territory in severally but must take it jointly. Who doubt shat in the disposition of the money arising from the proceeds of the sales of the public lands, an equal distribution is to emade? I did hear it stated on the other side, that Congress possesses the power to dispose of the property unequally; but no one who considers this proposition attentively for a moment, can, I think, maintain it; because to maintain that there was an absolute instains of the constitution enturely worthess.

If Congress has an absolute power of disposing of the proceeds of the sales of public property to that extent, it may do whatever can he effected by money; not only to that extent, but to the measure of the whole public ireasure; for it may convert the money derived from taxation into property, and when that is again reconverted into money, the former restrictions as to its appropriation no longer apply. The reservations of the constitution to the States and its limitations upon federal authority, no longer apply to the appropriating power, and are utterly useless. But who can seriously contend for a proposition which leads to such startling consequences. So long as it is a mere question of money it will be almost universally admitted that the appropriation of the proceeds of and implied of the constitution. To show bow deeply this sentiment of the equality of the States has entered into the hearts of our people, I would appeal to every one here if he would not feel it as a flagrant violation of right if these proceeds of the public lands were given only to part of the States, or if they were nnequally distributed amongst them. I mean, as I said before, the equality of propertion not absolute equality. It is in relation to States, and their preparatory extlement and colonization, that the equality of preparatory extlement and colonization, that the equality of the rights of the States is most important, and is most disputed. This right of colonizing and settling vacant territory is the highest and most important of all that arise out of such possessions. To find a convenient and casy outlet for the excess or discentented portion of the population, is an object of the highest possible importance for every Natic. To plant this population is and enter the confederacy as valuable and sympthizing associates, is to give our facilities for emigration a value and importance beyond any thing ever enjoyed by other nations.

How much have not these very opportunities added to the stability, harmony, and power of our confederacy? But this right, important to all, is most so to the slaveholding States. To deny it to them, and confine the slave population within its present bodiers, would be to deprive them of all chance of preserving weight enough in the confederacy to protect their rights under the constitution, whilst it would dangerously diminish the relative superiority of the white race at home—without an outlet for their redundant population, without the means of throwing off the dangerous and disaffected portions of society, in its growth, which is the rise medicatrix nature of our political system, and some to be without any would be the ultimate condition of these States? No impartial man can consider these things without feeling that it is indispensable to the slaveholding States to maintain their equal right to plant, colonize, and settle the vacant territory of the confederacy. No just judgment, I think, can be pronounced upon the constitutional obligations of Congress which does not maintain as one of the higheracy. It is, therefore, a high and positive thity of Congress to protect the property of those who move into the territory to set the it. The end of government is the pro-intendence of the mean contendence.

tection of the rights of persons and property.

If the duty of governing these territories devolves upon Congress, the obligation also rests upon it to protect the property of those who go there to settle, occupy, and colonize it. But we have been told, when insisting upon this obligation on the part of Congress, that it is asking upon this obligation on the part of Congress, that it is asking too matching the constitution imposed upon them that obligation, are to the charged with asking too match, when we demand that the obligation should be fulfilled? If they are disasticted or tude with the boad let them says. But if they mean to live under it, let them fulfil all the obligations which that instrained or tude with the boad let them says. But if they mean to live under it, let them fulfil all the obligations which that instrained in the superior of the same that it is a say in the same timpose to the same timpose of that State to say in what things property shall consist, and in what it shall not consist. It is one of the attributes of sovereignty, and if the State of Virginia so please that there shall all the same timpose ty in a laxes in the State of Virginia so please that there shall all the same timpose to the same property in a laxes in the State of Virginia so please that there shall all the same timpose that it is divested either by the act of owner, the act of lax, or of the act of God. If the slave is freed when he is carried to England, it is divested either by the act of owner, the act of lax or of the act of God. If you were to earry into the Court of King's Bench any question arising out of slavery, in a contract made in a State where slaves that is inflicted as a penalty on him for bringing the slave there. If you were to earry into the Court of King's Bench any question arising out of slavery, in a contract made in a State where slaves and the same the contract which the law of the place where

the reason that there is no property in the slave, but because it is a penalty for carrying him there. If the slave owner carries his property to territory which is the joint property of all, shall he be divested of it as a penalty for going upon his own land? I do not mean to say that it is his separately or solely but all of it is his joint by; and to divest him of that property for going there would be an act of practical abolition, which would be very little exceeded in its stretch of authority by an act for abolishing slavery in the

in its stretch of authority by an act for abolishing slavery in the States.

But I go further. If this property exists in the slave, and the owner is not divested of it by his own act, and he moves with it to his own land, it is not enough that Congress does not deprive him him the beam of the source of the slave of the slave of the duty of Congress to govern that territory, property. It is the duty of Congress to govern that territory, property. It is the obligation to protect the rights of person and property. If Congress fail in doing that it falls of its duty, and would be universally so acknowledged if the case arose with reference to any other species of property than slaves. I maintain, therefore, that we do not call upon Congress to establish slavery, when we call upon them to protect as in the preservation of that which they recognize as property, and which, if no constitutional provision existed at all, they would be obliged to recognize as property as resisted at all, they would be obliged to recognize as property as resisted at all, they would be obliged to recognize as property as resisted at all, they would be obliged to recognize as property as resisted at all, they would be obliged to recognize as property as resisted at all, they would be obliged to recognize as property as reporting to the law developed in situested of it either by his own act or the act of law, and can is directed of it either by his own act or the act of law, and can is directed of it either by his own act or the act of law, and can be property as a penalty for going there? Has any portion of these joint owners a right to expect that others, I as a family and horses to unite, and say to the owner of the sheep that he should horses, would it be completent for those who moved the cows and horses to unite, and say to the owner of the sheep that he should hor bring his stock upon the common. There knew beforehand that it was purchased for that very purpose. They knew that the posture was perhased for the town of the sheep that h

of horses, would it be computent for those was, not alterly and a foress to mile, and say to the owner of the sheep that he should not bring his stock upon the common. They knew beforehand that it was purchased for that very purpose. They knew that the pasture was purchased to be held in joint tenancy, and that each had a right to pasture upon the whole soil. Now, if they do justice, they must maintain equality and allow the right of each to pasture upon the whole, or make an equal division in severalty.

Another objection has been made in relation to our demand, that his right of governing territory shall be exercised under those lithis right of governing territory shall be exercised under those lithis right of governing territory shall be exercised under those lithis right of governing territory shall be exercised under those lithis right of governing territory shall be exercised under those lithis right of governing territory shall be exercised under those lithis right of a question, sir, upon both sides of which much may be said. It is a question, isr, upon both sides of which much may be ricumstances—by soil, climate, and a thousand things to which I cannot now advert. But I do not choose to go into that enquiry, as it does not affect the purpose of my argument. These provisions in relation to the establishment of new States were intended for the benefit of the old. The old States have certain rights, it disregard the obligations and duties which you are not or the inanginary good of some unborn people, who at some future may occupy a distant land with which we are not even acquainted. But even if it would be better for them, you have no right to trample upon our rights, and disregard your obligations, imposed by the constitution, in order to benefit them at our expansed. President suppose we were to take my view of the case—and exercise this power under the limitations which I have endeasored to establish a setably to be derived form the spirit and described to be abelian power with the limitations which tide of black population, following the natural law of gravitation, and settling by degrees towards the line, at each step of its progress attaining a clime more and more congenial to negro nature? Would we not then see old territory made free, as new regions become occupied by slaves, to be reduced in their turn to the uses of civilization and bumanity? And perhaps amongst the inscri-table purposes of Providence, there may be a design thus without shock-without disunion-without injury to any one, to educate and cultivate this race under the dominion of the white man, so and entitly the true race under the common of the world man, so that one day they may reach a clime and country fitted for them, around the shores of Mexico, some portion of which it may be their ultimate destiny to bold, govern, and enjoy. I know not what is to happen in the future, but I say that if there be any one of those to happen in the future, but I say that if there he any one of those schemes which look to the advancement and benefit of the negro race that is plansible, this seems to me the most practical of all that have been named. And what would be the effects upon the non-slaveholding States? Why, does any one believe that it would diminish their political superiority, or affect their power in this Union? They already have superior power and they mustreain it. When we look to the population of the United States we know that the free States must be predominant. The annual incred population in the shaveholding States. That question of power was settled when the provision was introduced into the constitution, prohibiting the importation of slaves. When von nothipower was settied when the provision was introduced into the con-stitution, prohibiting the importation of slaves. When you prohi-bited the importation to one, and opened the doors wide to the other, you settled the question of power, and settled it irretrieva-bly. The whole effect of permitting our people to migrate with their slaves where they choose, would be, not to raise us even to

an equal strength, but give us some little temporary addition to our political power, and teach us to feel that we were received and treated power, and teach us to feel that we were received and treated power, and the power of the teach of

Mr. DIX.—(In his seat, was understood to say,)—I did not express such an opinion.

express such an opinion.

Mr. HUNTER.—I am glad to hear it. I ask, then, is there any danger to this confederacy in permitting the southern States to retain their present relative strength, when it is remembered too, that it is less than that of the non-slaveholding States! The Senator from Mass achesters said that the slave States had grown relatively faster than the free. Is it not obvious that he was mistant is not the relative power of the non-slaveholding States stated to the relative power of the non-slaveholding States and the relative power of the non-slaveholding States are stated to the relative power of the non-slaveholding States are the future—let him look to the now terriories and States which have been brought into this confederacy, and is it not obvious that the non-slaveholding States possess an increasing superiority? Let him look to the sources from which our population must be derived—to the fatet that enigration alone furnishes a larger edition to the white race than the annual increase of the negrous. Let it be remembered, too, that at the last census the whites soud to the slaves nearly as 11 to 2.5, or as 25 to 5, so that the additions by whites. Who in his senses then can percent to believe of the southern States will acquire superior power in this confederacy hereafter as we have lived herectore, as your equals and betterien, the whole result would be, not to change to any sensible extent the relative degree of power possessed by the two sections of the Union, but to secure to you the united exercisons of all for the good of all. You would then have an harmonious, prospersors and happy confuture progress, if we thus moved on devoid of sense limits to our future progress, if we thus moved on devoid of sense he limits to our future progress, if we thus moved on devoid of sense he limits to our future progress, if we thus moved on devoid of sense he limits to our future progress, if we thus moved on devoid of sense he limits to our future progress, if we thus moved on devoid of sense he l

slaveholding States are not to be treated as equals and confedite ares in this Cinion. Suppose we brand them with the badge of inferiority, and deay them a participation in equal rights where those rights are not merely important, but essential to their very prosperity and existence. Suppose you thus introduce this principle of inequality and thas brand them as interior, I ask, if you have not virtually dissolved the Union? You have destroyed that spirit upon which it depends for existence. The southern States might nominally remain within the Union, apparently bound by its forms, but in spirit and in truth, they would be out of it, and you would have revolutionized the whole system of American society. The prosperity and happiness of the confederacy would have been hlast-two-like the properties of the properties of the confederacy would have been hissistence. They would they have in the government. The slaveholding members would be produced in the properties of mutual of of these minorities, effect results repugnant to you all. Thus the government, instead of being administered for the general prosperity and the welfare of all, would be converted into an instrument of mutual offence and hostilty. But it may be said that the fee States would bind themselves together, and become united on that considerations of the genoreal interest would be searched and considerations of the genoreal interest would be searched and considerations of the genoreal interest would be searched and considerations of the genoreal interest would be searched and considerations of the genoreal interest would be searched and considerations of the genoreal interest would be searched and considerations of the genoreal inter

\* If we reckon Delaware as neutral so far as the political differences between the slaveholding and non-slaveholding Sintes are concerned, and leave it out of the calcalation, we shall find that in the first. Coupress onder the present constitution, the northern members in the House of Representatives stood to the southern as 35 to 25°, the revent proportion is as 126 to 35° connectly the new includes the southern as 35 to 25°, the recent proportion is as 126 to 35° connectly the new includes a southern as a size of the necessary of the political power of the former.

30TH CONG .- 1ST SESSION-No. 109.

merged in the spirit of hate, which would be inevitably eagendered by this civil war, to be carried on not with arms, but under the forms of law. Suppose the South submitted to this treatment from day to day, what would follow? Its spirit would be worn down by constant submit all the submitted to this treatment from day to day, what would follow? Its spirit would be worn down by constant submit all the submitted to the treatment from day to day, what would follow? Its spirit would be worn down to the submitted the submitted that the whole of the slaveholder to participate in the honors or administration of the government would be denied. Is it to be supposed that the white man would be content to remain there as an inferior and degraded being? By merely leaving the country in which he was reduced to the level of his own slaves, he could go into a State where he would be received as an equal, and as one of the governing race? Sir, he would absended the experiment of the submitted of the submitted submitted the submitted submitted to the end of it all? You would have the West India experiment e-enacted. You would rease that, picture of life and progress in which we now rejoice, and substitute for it the dreary blank of submitted was a submitted to the submitted t

"Once more
Erect the standard there of ancient night,
Yours be the advantage all, mine the revenge."

Sir, I would ask any enlightened man in the non-slaveholding States, appealing not merely to his feelings of philanthropy, but of self-interest, to say if these are the results which he would be willing to bring upon the South? If he would not, let him remember that they are the inevitable results of the proposed system of assault not only upon the slave States, but upon the constitution. Let him not forget either that these measures are traced to their consequences upon the supposition that they could carry out all consequences upon the supposition that they could usery be these purposes, and regulate this government at will, without any breach of the Union. But can it be imagined that the southern States could shmit to a long system of such insults and oppressions? Why should they? Look to the elements of social strength and greatness already existing on the slaveholding States. If they submitted, it would not be for want of strength enough to ensure domestic peace, and secure themselves against aggression from somewith "Bit, sir, does any man byther of the the salesteed flower had been supported by the salesteed flower and the salesteed flower with the salesteed flower was the salesteed flower with the salesteed flower was the and me commen in a situation worse than that of their slaves—a condition in which they had all the responsibilities but none of the rights of freemen? Would be in particuce possess him of his soul in reverence for those bonds which bound him to behold his do-mestic altars wrapped in flames, and the midnight assassin enter-ing to slake the ashes on his heart betone with the hest and dearest blood of the household? If he did, sir, I can only say that he would richly merit the fate that would meet him, and deserve the worst of all the sufferings with which his heart would eventually be wrung. But I beg pardon for the feeling which I have manibe wing.

The State which I have the honor in part to reprethe moment. The State which I have the honor in part to represent, puts no words of taunt or menace in my mouth. She has not anticipated the necessity of ever being brought to calculate the consequences of such a course of action as I have supposed. She eannot permit herself to believe that her non-slaveholding confedcannot permit heriser to occave that her hore-streamount concar-erates would so far forget old associations—the constitution and a thousand obligations, as to attempt, withfully and willingly, to im-pose upon her a position of inferiority. Why should an American Congress hesitate between such alternatives as are presented 4 Congress assists we were thing internatives as are presented to the property of the united secretary of the good actuation, prosperity, the united secretains of all for the good actuation, the property of the united secretains of all for the good actuation of the property of the united secretains of all for the good actuation of the united secretains of the good actuation of the united secretains of the good actuation of the united secretains tribute most to his amusement? There is nothing that can explain it, except that cabalistic word, "slavery." When that word is uttered it seems that we are to forget all the obligations of the constitution—all the duties of partners—of confederates and friends. Alas! when the philosopher in his claset—when the dreaming en-

thusiast—from whose pen abstractions seem to coze without difficulty—traces them in ink, be little sees that hereafter they are to be graved by steel in characters of blood. He little knows that he is sowing the dragon's teeth which are one day to spring up as armed men. He does not foresee that the questions which he is preparing as "puzzles of the brain?" are hereafter to come up in the shape of revolutionary issues, to be decided by the "trial by battle," by armed men upon horseback. Perhaps, if he did, he would be somewhat more cautions in the coinage of those abstractions. He would weigh more carefully, and limit more considerately, the scattiments which he thus throws before the world.

ately, the sentments which he thus throws before the world. Sir, what is slavery? Solve me that problem, and, rah as the promise may appear, I think I might undertake to say, that I will reduce all the books on political science to the dimensions of a simple primer. What is slavery? Will any gentleman here deit; and after he shall have done so, let him see if he cannot find an application for his definition some where at bome? slavery consist in the control which man exercises over man? clime or age of the earth has the nation been found in which there have not been multiplied instances of such control? Or shall we be told that slavery does not consist in the fact of this control, but in the degree of it? Then define to me the limits within which this control is compatible with freedom, and beyond which which this control is compatible with freedom, and beyond which it becomes shaver; and when you have pointed out those limits, see if you do not find some where at home an application for your mow definition. In what country do we not find the old primitive legalized government of parent over child? Is that not often a control of the shadow of the sh natural characteristics so deep and strong that it is impossible to annulgamate them, is it not necessary for the good of society that the inferior race shall be ruled by the superior? Nay, I go further: Establish what laws you will, you cannot prevent it. cannot prevent the inferior from being virtually enslaved either as a class or as individuals. And have we not in favor of the form of individual slavery the affections which spring up between the master and slave, not as strong as in the parent's bosom, but still strong enough to afford him much of sympathy and protection?— In what civilized—in what Christian country, do we not find paupers, and paupers subjected to the control of their managers to an extent fully equal to that exercised by the master over his slave? Look to England, the great focus of abolition excitement, with her legions of paupers, and show me the practical difference between their condition and that of the slaves; nay, is not the dif-ference in favor of the slave? Shall we be told that the good of society requires that when the pauper comes for his portion of the common stock, he must submit to those regulations which are ne-cessary to secure the faithful distribution of this charity? I admit it; but does not the same argument apply when you come to mit it; but does not the same argument apply when you come to this question of the two races, side by side, one being superior to the other? If the stronger race permit the weaker to sit down by its side, to share the benefits of that society and the fraits of the soil, are they not authorized to impose conditions, not hardre trainly than those imposed upon the pauper? But does not the good of the two races require the imposition of those conditions? If the arrangement is justifiable and expedient in the one case, why not in the other ? But, again, is there any civilized country in which we do not

But, again, is there any elvinica country in which we do and ind involuntary servitude as we are told, and we must confine in a condition of servitude as we are told, and we must confine that condition of servitude as we are told, and we must confine could be considered to the confidence of the condition of servitude as we are told, and we must confine could of toolety demand that when two such races cone together, as are found side by side with us, that the weaker should be reduced to the dominion of the stronger? Does not the peace of our society imperatively demand it? I appeal to all experience if it hen to se? Wherever you place one of these black races beside a stronger, whether it be the white or even the Malay, the colored race has been enslaved; and the proposition is as trace in New Zealand as in the West Indies or the southern States of this Union. But of all the power exercised by man over man, the most despotite with which I am acquainted as that possessed by him who controls the entire means of subsistence of another, on whom he himselfs the control of the subsistence of another, on whom he himselfs the control of the subsistence of another, on whom he himselfs the control of the subsistence of another, on whom he himselfs the control of the subsistence of another, on whom he himselfs the control of the subsistence of another, on whom he himselfs the control of the subsistence of another, on whom he himselfs the control of the subsistence of another, on whom he himselfs the control of the subsistence of another, on whom he himselfs the control of the subsistence of another, on whom he himselfs the control of the subsistence of another, on whom he himselfs the control of the subsistence of another, on whom he himselfs the subsistence of the subsistence of the subsistence of another, on whom he himselfs the subsistence of the subsi

this revolution in the paternal or household government, we ought not to be surprised to find overthrown all those harriers between age and sex, which were designed to preserve the very decencies

Take the pictures drawn in these letters, or in any other accurate description of life in those crowded manufacturing cities, and you will find accounts of infant and adult depravity at which the blood runs cold. You will find, too, pictures not mere'y of crime, blood runs coid. You will had, too, heldres not merery of crime, but of destinition and sulfering, and the subjection not only of man and sin, which startle the more by the depth of their contrast with scenes amongst the more favored classes. Now, all of this is not inevitable—much of it is occasioned by hw, and ought to be removed by government; but, when that is done, much of the missry and dependence must remain in very crowded populations. 29 and urpenue-one must remain in very crowded populations. Is it surprising, with such specialces as these before the public that the doctrines of communism should find a footing amongst the la-boring classes? It is not so very wonderful that lahor maintained by wages should have been treated as modified shavery, and that government should be called to a to distinct the proceeds of pro-ceedings. government should be called on to distribute the proceeds of pro-duction between capital and labor. What is the answer to these appeals? That the laborer would suffer even more than the cap-italist by such attempts at reform—that it would diminish produc-tions of the laborer still lower by lesson. tion, and reduce the condition of the laborer still lower by tion, and reduce the condition of the laborer still lower by lessening, or perhaps destroying, the stock from which his substance is to come. He is told that the evil is confessed, but the proposed remedy is unwise, and be is left dependent still upon him who gives him employment and bread—who can say to him lever of the complaint. I am only remarking upon the tis, not attacking the institutions of others. I will only say, by the way, that this spectacle of a man willing to work and dying, for want of bread, is not to be found in the slaveholding States. It is not there that you

"See youder poor o'erlahored wight, So abject, mean, and vile, Who begs his brother of the earth To give him leave to tod."

On the contrary, the subsistence and protection of the slave, from the cradle to the grave, is secured to him by positive legislation, by public enactment, and last, but not least, by private affection. But, as I said before, I do not advert to these things by way of reproach to other States or nations. I point out the existence of these things to show evils amongst themselves of precisely ence of the strings to show evils amongst themselves of precisely the same character with those which they complain 65 much in its 140 not see that they uphers the pillars of State or dissolve the fabric of seciety in vain, or, indeed, in any, attempts to remedity these grievances to athoric. Why, then, disturb us with sight inosa and attempts to remove inequalities amongst its which they do not interfere with at home. If the good of seciety require them to tolerate this unequal condition of man at home, but were them to the second of the sec them to tolerate this unequal condition of man at mone, now as-stronger is the argument to justify us when the question is between two such races as are found upon our soil? We claim nothing but the protection of their own argument. I, for one, do not reproach titue governments of other States for the inequalities of human con-dition which exist under them if they have done all in their power and under existing circumstances to relieve them. The governand under existing circumstances to relieve them. The govern-ment which does the best which is compatible with the circum-stances under which it acts, has done all that could be expected. stances under which it nots, has done all that could be expected, and more than most of them effect. But if these inequalities still exist, and exist they will, I can only say, that such seems to me to have been the ordination of Providence. Why it should be so I know not—the finite cannot comprehend the infinite. But wherever I look on nature, whether to the vegetable or animal kingdoms, I find this eternal struggle between capacities of different degrees. The whole properses kingdoms, I find this eternal struggle between capacities of different ent extent and power of different degrees. The whole progress of organized life seems to consist in a series of victories achieved by the stronger over the weaker, whose places they take upon earth. In the forest, the stronger scions crowd out and overshad-ow more numerous germs, which spring up to decline, decay, and perish. Almongst herds of animals the stronger appropriates the perish. Amongst nerus or animas use stronger upon larger share of the food designed for all, and appropriates it at the expense of the weaker. So of the races of man; the superior subdues or supplants the inferior. Amongst the members of the same race and society we see the most powerful commanding a larger share of the means of subsistence, and grow, whilst the weak decline. If our sympathies should follow the weak, who disappear, we cannot deep but that a stronger germ is planted in their place, and another advance is thus made in the progress of organized being and finamen associety. What is it that characterizes free governments, if it be not the equal and general competition for the rewards of society which it nuthorizes amongst its members. And what is this universal competition but a universal struggle between man the degrees a structle not of robusing the toward force for mastery and society we see the most powerful commanding a larger share this universal competition on a universal study of and man—a struggle not of physical but moral force for mastery and power. It is true that social rewards in free governments are made to depend not upon law, but the merits of the aspirant. are made to depend not upon law, but the merits of the aspirant. To succeed, he must have the qualities which command success; and these are to qualities of wisdom, of fortitude, of energy, and virtue. It is thus that the highest possible stimules is given for the contraction of those qualities which promote the prosperity and the contraction of those qualities which promote the prosperity and the contraction of these qualities which promote the prosperity and the contraction of the property of the contraction of the property of the condition of the property of the condition of the condition of the condition of the condition of a three are in the capacities of mean. The nearest approach to absolute equality of condition of which I

have seen any account is to be found in despetisms, where one is master and the residue slave

master and the residues laves.

I spack, sir, of things as they are. I am not now dealing with imaginary schemes of government, or theories for human advancement, however wise and good those may be who have formed them. I am merely depicting things as they exist, for the parapses of showing our brethren who are disposed to interfere with us, that they have not taken the more out of their own ergs, before a catenpting to remove the beam from orrs. I have shown then, that to some extent these inequalities in human condition are included in the control of th endeavoring to show them that this question of slavery must be viewed in connection with circumstances—that what is good in one condition of society, may not be appropriate in another-and that all stable and wise governments must consider these circum-stances and conditions of society which modify and control partic-ular institutions, before they undertake to interfere with them.

unar institutions, before they undertake to interiere with them. Let gentlemen look at home and weigh the consequences of pushing this agitation to its extreme. Let him ask himself what would be the consequences of destroying this condition of slavery, and lenving the two races side by side in the same country. I and leaving the two races side by side in the same country. I have admitted that the extension of an equal privilege of competition was a characteristic of free government and a benefit, but this last is true only of a homogeneous people, and not of a society including widely different races under the same bonds. In the latter case, the benefit ceases when the privilege is extended beyond the members of the superior and governing race. Sup-pose the door of competition open to the black, as well as to the white, would not the former be trampled down and destroyed? Would they not be reduced to a condition infinitely worse than that in which they now exist? But why, I ask, why should there that in which they now exist? But why, I ask, why should there any doubt and hesitation, when these alternatives to which I have referred are presented to us; and when it is so easy to take that course which would lead to harmony, presperity, and pragress? Passion and prejudice, madness—if I may be pardoned for using the terms, may for the present rule the hour, so that reason may find no moment of calm to seek the response. But the day for that response must come. Mankind will demand of us to render up an account of that high trust of humanity and civilization, which was requestly in p. by the virtues of our torest contributions. der up an account of that high trust or mumanity and crivilization, which was reposed in us, by the virtues of our forefathers and the blessings of Providence; and posterity will reckon with us for the loss of that inheritance which was given to us to be improved and transmitted to them. The future historian will record with shame and grief, that the half-raised column and the broken shaft are all that remains to commemmorate the unfinished achievements and abortive efforts of a people more richly endowed than any that have ever existed upon the earth.

Let gentlemen beware how they stimulate this agitation, for

Let gentlemen beware how they stimulate this agitation, for their are problems in political equations as troublesome of solution north of "Mason and Dixon's line," as any south of it! This agi-tation which you throw upon us, may return to you in the expan-sion of its circle, over the great wave of public opinion. The seeds of the thistle with which you sow over our fields, may be borne on unseen wings with the breath of public discussion and be planted in your own. The very mine which is so cunningly wrought to shake our social fabric from "turrit to foundation wrought to wrought to shake our social labric from 'turrit to foundation stone," in the reaction and whratino fo its shock, may involve the ruin of your own. It is an evident truth that if this Union is to be dissolved, the injury will not be confined to one side. The mis-chiefs will be common to all. I can only hope that if this ruin chiefts will be cominon to air. I can only nope that it this robust.

Come, the sin will not rest upon mo or upon my father's house. The South will be elear of it. She has already sacrificed much Union. She is willing to make fresh sacrifices if she can secure and preserve her equality. But she never will be willing to sacrifice the birth-right of lier children, and thus reduce them to a condition worse than that of their own slaves—a condition in which, as I have said, they would be involved in all the responsibilities, without the rights of freemen.

On motion by Mr, DAVIS, of Mississippi, it was

Ordered, That the further consideration of the bill be postponed until to-morrow.

MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following Message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

Mr. President: The Speaker of the House of Representatives having signed two nrolled bills, I am directed to bring them to the Senate for the signature of their Pre-

SIGNING OF BILLS,

The VICE PRESIDENT signed the enrolled bill to amend an act, approved 24th of May, 1824, entitled "An act supplemen-tary to an act," approved an the 3d day of March, 1819, entitled "An act providing for the correction of errors in making entries tary to an act,—approved on the sid only of March, 1819, entitled "An act providing for the correction of errors in making entries of land at the land offices."

Also, the enrolled bill to extend an act entitled "An act providing for the adjustment of all suspended pre-emption land claims in the several States and territories," 3d of August, 1846.

# THE TEXAS NAVY.

On motion by Mr. RUSK, the prior orders were postponed, and the Scoate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the considera-tion of the bill to authorize the President to increase the naval establishment of the United States,

Mr. BERRIEN opposed the bill, upon the ground that it would do injustice to gallant officers in the American navy, who would be overslaughed by these Texan officers, if the bill should become a law.

Mr. RUSK contended that the "injustice" in the case had been done to the officers in the navy of Texas, who had risked their lives in aiding that republic in securing their liberty and independence, and placemaj it in a condition to become a part of this Union. The bill proposed not to fill vacancies existing in the present away but to errate additional offices, to be filled by these Texan officers. The necessity of an augmentation of the navy was apparent from the increasing extent of our sea coast.

Mr. BADGER observed, that a majority of the Committee on Naval Affairs were opposed to this bill becoming a law; but they had deemed it due to the honorable gentleman representing the State of Toxas to bring the question before the Scante for their direct vote. His own opinion was, that the Senate owed it to the gentlemen engaged in the American may to reject it.

Mr. UNDERWOOD considered the terms of the bill unconstitutional, inasmuch as it directed the President to appoint certain men to office, while the constitution provided that he should make appointments to fill those offices by and with the advice and consent of the Senate.

Mr. RUSK contended that the power to re-instate the Texian officers in the ships of the American navy by the President was not unconstitutional. He was opposed to any exclusiveness in the navy. In time of war he would be in favor of drawing from the ranks of private life, such citizens as would be competent to discharge offices in the navy. Mr. R. cited from an act passed by Congress in September, 1789, in reference to the officers of the army, connecting as a precedent in flavor of the constitutional power of the President to do justice to all the officers of the Texian navy.

Mr. CALHOUN advocated the passage of the bill, centrending that it was constitutional, proper, and just, providing merely for the billifluent of a stipulation made with Texas in the resolutions of amoration. Texas had always that Texas in the resolutions into that that stipulation what we strictly adhered to, and that if the resident of the properties of properties of

Mr. BERRIEN considered that there could be no greater me-Mr. BERRIEN considered that there could be no greater me-rit than that yaleh accrued from remaining in the service of one's country and faithfully discharging ull the necessary duties, no mat-ter how irksome, in time of peace. The feeling which prompted men to abandon the flag of their country to enter a foreign ser-vice, in which they would receive more rapid promotion, was sel-fish, not patriotic. Those gentlemen who had remained in the ser-vice of the United States had had as much opportunity to have entered the Texian service as did these officers whose claims for offices in the American navy were now being pressed; but they had chosen rather to surrender the advantages which might result from such a change, and though men of enterprize and ambition, they had a love of country which forbade their abandoning the American flag. For one, he could not see any just reason for dis-earding the just claims of his own countrymen in this matter, and giving the preference in promotion to those who were not more brave, or gallant, or patriotic, than those who remained at home In regard to the case cited by the Senator from Texas, he thought there was no analogy between it and the one then under consideration. The case (alluded to by the Senator from Texas was one in which the troops of the United States that had been raised by the several States to share in the revolutionary struggle, after the war was over, in consequence of the recommendation of Congress, were incorporated in the service of the United States. The case before them was that of a navy of a State admitted to have been foreign at the time when these officers entered its service—a navy too not raised under the authority of the United States. The offi cers who had entered this navy, were according to the bill before them, to be permitted to come in and command those in some in-stances who had commanded them when they were in the service of the United States

Mr. HALE wished, as so much had been said about the necessities of our navy in consequence of our extended coast, that gentlemen would look into the Naval Register and see what was the real situation of the naval corps at this moment. According to the register we had sixty-seven captains in the American service, forty out down as on leave of absence, or waiting orders, i.e. literally doing nothing. Of ninety-seven commanders, forty-three are on leave of absence and waiting orders; and of three hundred and twenty-seven leutenants, eighty-six are on leave of absence and waiting waiting orders. Thus we had forty captains doing nothing but simply waiting orders, and receiving twenty-five landred dollars a year; and so with the remaining grades. He did not know by what

rule of justice it could be made to appear that a captain should receive twice as much as a lieuteoant for doing nothing; nevertheless, according to the register, such was the fact. The appropriations proposed in the naval appropriation bill of this year amounted to some eleven millions, as much as the whole of the expenses of Mr. Monroe's administration of eight years. The amount of expenditure, however, was not at all surprising, when the fact of so many men being paid such large sums for doing nothing, was made apparent. Should he live to take his seat in that body at another session, he would pledge himself to introduce some practical reform of this enormuns abuse.

Mr. ATHERTON stated that the expenses of the navy proper were only some six or seven millions. If the appropriations for marine hospitals and other necessary adjuncts to the navy were included, the whole amount of appropriations would be some ten or eleven millions.

Mr. FOOTE was somewhat surprised at the range the discussion had taken, which seemed a very liberal one, to say the least. The question before the Senate was not the one that had just been discussed by the Senate from New Hampshire, but it was simply whether we should repudiate the solemn compact entered into with Texas at the time of her annexation, or whether we should, in a spirit of fairness and magnanimity, carry out that compact. There were no legal rights of the American officers violated in this case; this idea the Senator from Georgia had distinctly discarded. If their rights, then, by this bill, were not interfered with in a legal sense, were they in a moral sense? If these officers were men wanting in intelligence and moral worth, that suggestions of the moral of the sense of

Mr. WESTCOTT said he should vote for the bill, but preferred that its prasesology should be amended. The amendments he suggested would obviate the objection of the Senator from Kentucky, Mr. Unexpewson.) It would make the bill read so that the navy of the United States should not be increased by adding to it he specific officers of the Texan navy, by direction of Congress to the President, but merely allowing the increase of the United States navy sufficiently to enable the President to nominate those of the Texan officers he might deem, worthy and well qualified. He would not attempt to fetter in any wise the constitutional power and discretion of the President with respect to retary, or joint resolutions, not then annexing Texas or in any other mode. The amendments he suggested were as follows, and were read:

He proposed to strike out the words "by adding thereto the," and insert "by an addition equal to the," and to add at end of law "and in such appointments the President may select persons not now officers of the navy of the United States."

Mr. BERRIEN inquired if the Senator from Florida meant to authorize the President to build new ships if those of Texas were unfit for service?

Mr. WESTCOTT replied that he would; and for reasons that how could give presently. He would return to the claim of those obscious. He did not agree that they derived any rightful elsina, or founded on anexation. He did not agree with round the work of founded on anexation. He did not agree with rounding the way by those resolutions. Their arguments had not convinced him. He would take occasion also to reiterate what he had said at a former session, when a similar bill was before the Senate, that he did not conceive the officers of the United States nawy had any right, or that it was in anywise becoming, for them to object or interfere as to any provision Congress might make for these Texan officers. They had no business to meddle; they had just such rights as, and no more, than the laws Congress passed conferred upon them; and Congress had the rightful power to after, repeal, or amend these laws whenever it deemed it proper for the interfere as to see laws whenever it deemed it proper for the interference of the conference of the conference

federal constitution. These officers were, therefore, thrown out federal constitution. These officers were, therefore, thrown out of employment. They were gallant, uncircious men; some of them would adorn our navy, or any other navy. They were Ame-ricans, born and bred. He would act librally, generously to-wards these officers, not because there was an actual obligation to give them commissions, but because it was a course that became the government of this great confederacy, to act generously and liberally towards Texas and her officers. We received the ships liberally towards Texas and her officers. We received the ships and their armaments, and then refused to license the officers. We ought not to be restrained by any niggard rules of false economy in this matter. We should act towards those who aided the cause of constitutional freedom in Texas as became the greatest, richest and most powerful republican confederation that had ever recess and most powerful republican confederation that had ever existed, and these rules, in his judgment, demanded provision for these officers. He did not believe the President needed any prompt-ing, if the bill be general, to nominate the Texan officers. Public

ing, if the bill be general, to nominate the Texan officers. Public opinion and the debates here would indicate to him the object of the law, and he doubted not he would act properly.

As to the inquiry of the honorable Senator from Georgia, [Mr. Berriers] whether he (Mr. W.) would increase the navy without reference to these officers, he unequivocally answered, yes. He regretted to hear the remarks of the honorable Senator from New Hampshre, [Mr. Halz.] It was not a satisfactory mode of argument to compare it or its expenses now, with what it was, or what its expenses were, under Madison's or Monroe's, or any past administration. Within ten years we had added upwards of one thousand miles of sea-coast to our country on the or any past administration. Within ten years we had added up-wards of one thousand miles of sea-coast to our country on the Pacific; within four years we had annexed to the Union the Texan racine; within four years we had annexed to no contour the Texan coast of four or five hundred miles. In 1821 we had obtained thirteen hundred miles of gulf and sea const by the cession of Flo-rida. Who would say these additions to our maratine frontier, of nearly three thousand miles, did not demand increased naval force to defend them? Again, could any sagacious man be blind to what was going on in Europe? She would be involved in a general war in less than six months. Did any one doubt it? Could we keep out of the contest? We might try, sir, but we could not.— The belligerants would force us into it, unless we tamely submit-The belligerants would force us into it, unless we tamely submitted to spolarious on our commerce, and insult and outrage. If we had such contest with England or France, the struggle would be on the ocean. In such case our present navy would not be sufficient for the Gulf of Mexico alone. It should be increased in such event three or four fold. We should not forget the old maxim, "in time of peace prepare for war," Further, in such contest, if it should be with England, our castern and northern brothers might become a little less hostile to the great principle of progress and doctrine of annexation. As a set off to the acquisition of the British West Indies, the Senator from New Hampshire, and our northern and eastern brethren, might look with longing eyes to the Canadas, Nova Scotia, and Now Brunswick, where what that Senator calls the "black field of slavery" does not where what that Senator calls the "black field of slavery" does not spread over the land to shock his philanthropic sensibilities. Should we not want an increase of naval force to defend such possessions when acquired. Suppose we had Cuba ceded to us, should we then need no greater force? These were not dreamy visions. He did not think the army should be increased. The navy was the branch of the public service that we should look to now. We could raise an army in thirty days as powerful as any that ever fought. For the reasons he had suggested, he should vote for the bill, whether amended or not. He did not think an admiral as necessary, but he wanted to see more ships and officers and men ready for any crisis or contingency.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, was not willing that the navy of the United States should rest under the imputation thrown upon it by the Senator from New Hampshire. He had listened on a of the United States should rest under the imputation thrown upon it by the Senator from New Hampshire. He had listened on a former occasion to speeches made in a similar strain, in reference to the West Point academy, that it was a worm gnawing into the vitals of the government, and that on account of the expense it gave rise to, it should be put down. But he thought, after the service that the graduates of that academy had rendered in Mexico, that now there could not be found a man willing, in either House, to rise up and declare himself opposed to the institution because of its inutility. Our naval marine, in proportion to our commercial marine, was the smallest in the world. Our navy did not bear the proportion to our commerce that it did thirty years ago. It the proportion to our commerce that it did thirty years ago. It was necessary that we should have men in training in time of peace, at school as it were in the navy, for we all knew that it was by experience alone that a man was qualified to manage a ship—I may be an interesting the state of sip in avigation, and, therefore, be thought that the present number of officers, either on duty or off duty, was necessary, and that the arrangements made in reference to them was judicious.

Where were our enemies to come from in case of war? From Where were our enemies to come from in case of war? From across the Albantic. If we were to have a conflict with any foreign power, it would be with a European power, and of course our nay marine should be in a state adequate to repel aggression. In such a case we would need all their seamanship, which was only to be gained by years of preparation and practice. There was not a more gallant set of men, in his opinion, in the service of the United States hand the officers of the United States hasy none more instly entitled to the respect of their fellow-countrymen. He would venture to predict, whenever the occasion demanded, that they would not fall behind any of their countrymen for gallantry and particitism. and patriotism.

Mr. UNDERWOOD said that the respect which he felt for the opinions of the Senator from Texas, hardware in to examine the precedent he had cited of the act passed by the revolutionary Congress, in reference to the army in sersice during the revolutionary war. He apprehended that it did not approach at all the question at issue. That act merely adopted an existing establishment, and did not authorize the formation of a new commission. This was a bill in which the officers to be created were expressly designated.

Mr. RUSK was still of opinion that the case he had cited was rectly in point. He entered into a further exposition of its analdirectly in point. directly in point. He entered into a further exposition of its analy-ogy to the case before them; and defended the course of tibese offi-eers now claiming promotion, who had lieft the American, for the Texan service. He urged the passage of this bill for the relief of the Texan officer as an act of justice to Texan, and in Infillment of what had always been understood by the government and peoof what had always ocen understood by the government and people of Texas a solemn compact on the part of the United States, [Mr. Rvss: then handed to the Senator a copy of the message of the governor, and the resolutions of the legislature, affirming and sustaining the act of incorporation of the naxy officers of Texas into the American service, which was read.]

Into the American service, wonce was read.]

Mr. NILES did not take much interest in the bill, inasmuch as he did not like the title of it. He felt somewhat alarmed at the views thrown out in the course of the discussion, for although they had just got through with a treaty the other day, putting an end to a war that had been carried on for some time, yet here was a bill before them for the increase of the navy, locking to another war—a maritine war. The Senator from Massachusetts thought our present navy insufficient, although its expenditures had been increasing through the agency of an up to eleven millions. We had had a set speech from the Senator from Massachusetts, who had bitherto been advocating peace for years, and with whom be, [Mr. NILES,] had been fully cooperating in that advocacy. Now, if there was to be a war—a maritine war—and he did not know but that there was some intention of it—it must be an agressive war. Surely, there was no nation who could make war upon us. The gentleman from Massachusetts was looking to a different state of things from that of peace; but he, [Mr. NILES,] would inquire if we could not, for a brief season at least, have a little repose upon this subject of war. The public mind needed repose; but if gentlemen were to stand up and advocant in increase of the navy, because of a supposed martine war, the people of the whole country would become alarmed; they would high the tree was something in it. Mr. NILES did not take much interest in the bill, inasmuch as think there was something in it.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, disclaimed any intention of in-creasing the navy for the purpose of having a martime war, a alarming the country. His purpose was sumply to keep out of war by making suitable preparations, so that other nations would be more inclined to respect our rights, seeing we were fully prepared to maintain them.

No amendment being made, the bill was reported to the Senate. On the question, "Shall this bill be engrossed and read a third time?" Mr. Hall demanded the yeas and mays, which were or-dered, and it was determined in the negative, as follows:

V.J.S.—Mens Atchino, Borland, Butler, "labour, Cameron, Dicknoon, Developed, and Velecut, Alarico, Balager, Buldwin, Guld interner, Cowing, Davis, of XAYS.—Mensar, Adversion, Balager, Buldwin, Guld interner, Cowing, Davis, of Mid., Johnson, of Tox., Misson, Metcalfe, Miller, Niles, Pearce, Phelps, Spruance, Transey, Underwood, and Uphane-19.

The bill was rejected. On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# WEDNESDAY, JULY 12, 1848.

#### INDIAN APPROPRIATION BILL.

Mr. ATHERTON, from the Committee on Finance, to whom Mr. ATHERTON, from the Committee on Finance, to whom were referred the amendments of the Senate, amended and disagreed to by the House of Representatives, to the bill making appropriations for the current and contingent expenses of the Indian department, and for fulfilling treaty stipulations with the various Indian tribes for the year ending June 30, 1849, reported

The Senate proceeded to consider their said amendments; and

On motion by Mr. ATHERTON, it was

Resolved, That they insist on their 14th amendment, disagreed to by the Honse of Representatives; disagree to the amendment of the Honse to the 16th amendment, and ask a conference on the disagreeing rotes of the two Houses.

Ordered, That the Committee of Conference be appointed by the Vice President; and

Mr. Atherten, Mr. Badger, and Mr. Atchison, were appointed.

Ordered. That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

#### FREMONT'S GEOGRAPHICAL MEMOIR.

On metion by Mr. WESTCOTT, it was

Ordered, That the Secretary direct the public printers to cever the extra copies furnished the Senate of document number 148, (Geographical Memoir upon Upper California, &c., by J. C. Fremont, Esq.,) with strong colored paper.

#### MOFFAT'S INDEX.

Mr. HANNEGAN submitted the following resolution; which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to.

Resolved. That the Committee on the Library be instructed to inquire into the expediency of procuring for the use of the Senate, Moffail's Index to the National Intelligencer, from the year 12-10 to the year 18-29, inclusives.

#### MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT.

The following message was received from the President of the United States, by Mr. Walker, his Secretary:

To the Senute of the United States :

To the strate of the Critica states:

In compliance with a resolution of the Senate of the 21st Jane, 1848, I brewith
communicate to the Senate a report of the Senetary of Var, a with the accompanies
and the state of the Senate and the Senate of Senate

JAMES K. POLK.

Washington, June 12, 1848.

The message having been read; it was

Ordered, That it be printed.

# COLLECTION DISTRICT IN NEW YORK.

Mr. DIX, from the Committee on Commerce, to whom was referred the hill to establish a cellection district in the State of New York, reported it with an amendment.

## PRIVATE BILL.

Mr. SEBASTIAN, from the Committee on Private Land Claims, to whom was referred a petition of Joseph P. Williams, reported a bill for his relief; which was read and passed to the second reading.

Mr. BALDWIN, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the bill for the relief of Elisha F. Richards, reported it with an amendment, and submitted a report on the subject, which was ordered to be printed.

Mr. FELCH, from the Committee on Pensions, to whom was referred the memorial of the heirs of Judith Worthen, deceased, submitted a report, accompanied by a bill, for their relief.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered. That the report be printed.

## VIRGINIA MILITARY CLAIMS.

Mr. UNDERWOOD, from the Committee on Public Lands MI. UNDERWOOD, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the bill to provide for the unpaid claims of the officers and soldiers of the Virginia State and Continental lines of the revolutionary army, reported it with an amendment, and submitted a report, which was ordered to be printed.

#### UNITED STATES STATUTES AT LARGE.

Mr. CLAYTON, by unanimous consent, asked and obtained leave to bring in a joint resolution concerning the distribution of the statutes at large, which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said resolution was read a third time.

Resolved, That it pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the Heuse of Representatives therein.

# LIQUIDATED CLAIMS AGAINST MEXICO.

The bill for the payment of liquidated claims against Mexico was read the second time, and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

Resolved, That this bill pass, and that the title thereof he as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

#### THE FLORIDA TREATY.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the hill further to earry into effect the provisions and stipulutions of the 9th article of the Florida treaty, with respect to certain losses of Spanish subjects in West Florida; and, having been amended, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engressed and read a third time

The said bills were read a third time.

Resolved. That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

### MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT.

The following message was received from the President of the United States, by Mr. WALKER, his Secretary:

Mr. President: The President of the United States has approved and signed, the 10th instant, an act to extend the provisions of existing pension laws to enlisted med of the ordinance corps of the Utied States army.

Mr. TURNEY moved that the vote of yesterday, in ordering the bill "to authorize the President to increase the naval establishment of the United States," to be engrossed and read a third time, be reconsidered; and,

On metion by Mr. RUSK, it was

Ordered. That the motion lie on the table,

## THE OREGON BILL.

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill to establish the territorial government of Oregon.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi.—Shall jealeusy, discord, and dissen-sion, shall political strife, for sectional supremacy, be permitted to undermine the foundation of our republican fabric? Shall an to underraine the domainer of this "repulsion rative" security interference with this domainer of the people is one portion interference with this domainer inflairs of the people is one portion of our Union, wounding to their princip and sensibility, an experience of the compact of confideration, by consideration, the compact of confideration and sensibility, and described on the compact of confideration and consideration of the confideration of one section of the confederacy, the pulpable object of which is to-tally to destroy political equality, be sanctioned by the common agent of the States, and receive here an impulse to hasten its progress, to the inevitable goal of such a principle-the disunion of the

These, and such as these, are the grave, the melancholy questions which arise from the consideration of this bill and the character of the discussion we have heard upon it. Happy, thrice happy, will it be if the answers to these questions shall be given by a py, will it be if the answers to these questions smill be given by doffy particulars and enlightened statesmanship, which, disregards the properties of the properties of the permanent good. But, if personal considerations govern our actions, if each Senator reflects the prejudice and extreme opinion which may exist in the section he represents, then it may be not to witness the fulfillment of the foreboding fear of Mr. Jefforson, when such agitation as that which surrounds us caused him to express the apprehension, that the sacrifices of the generation of 1776 had been made in vain.

Deeply impressed with the gravity and importance of the sub-ject, I shall offer my opinions dispassionately and candidly, briefly and decidedly, as the occasion requires and my deep-rooted love of

the Union den ands

I consider the 12th section of this bill, to establish a territorial I consider the 12th section of this bill, to establish a territorial government in Orogon, to be practically the abolition of slavery in said territory by the government of the United States; and seeing no adequate disposition to strike that section out of the bill, I introduced the amendment now under consideration. To this I was troduced the amendment now under consideration. To this I was prompted by a sense of duty to mrself, of duty to those whom I have the honor to represent, of obligation to the principles awowed as the hasis of my political creed, and which are the cardinal points by which my political courso must be directed. This amendment has received an interpretation which his language in no degree justifies. To this misconstruction I will first call attention, gree justilies. To this misconstruction I will first call attention, as upon it resists a position, assumed in several quarters, which it is important to combatt. Senators have treated this amendament as a proposition to force slavery into the territory of Orgon. Sir, I had no such purpose, no such desire; and, surely, the most incrining the surface of the strength of the surface and such purpose the surface and such purpose the surface and surfa southern men generally throughout the entire period of our confederate existence. Its direct aim is to restrain the federal government from the exercise of a power not delegated, its ultimate effect to protect those rights which have been guarantied by the federal constitution. The amendment is in these words:

"That nothing contained in this act shall be so construed as to authorize the historion of domestic slavery in said territory whilst it remains in the condition territory of the United States."

There is nothing directory, or enactive, or proposed for enact-ment. It is restrictive, and directed against a prohibition which is covertly contained in the bill. Though it is not expressly de-clared that slavery shall be prohibited in Oregon, this would be virtually enacted by the 12th section of the bill which gives valid-virtually enacted by the 12th section of the bill which gives validvirtually emacted by the 12th section of the bill which gives valid-itly and operation to the laws enacted by the "provisional govern-ment established by the people" who inhabit that territory. It is known that one of the laws passed by the people of Oregon pro-bibits slavery. To give validity to those laws is therefore equiva-lent to the passage of a law by Congress to probbit slavery in that territory. Does Congress possess sich power! If the right to migrate with their property to territory belong-lar of the linted States attaches equally to all their citizons, and their slaves into Orectally informed, citizons have migrated with their slaves into Orectally and or the states of the control of the con-torior of the control of the control of the control of the con-torior of the control of the control of the control of the con-torior of the control of the control of the con-torior of the control of the control of the control of the con-trol of the control o

II. as I have been credibly informed, citizens have migrated with their slaves into Oregon, to pass the bill before as without amend-ment would be abolition of slavery by the federal government. Entertaining this opinion I submitted an amendment to meet the case distinctly and singly. Now, for the first time in our history, has Congress, without the color of compact or compromise, claimed to discriminate in the settlement of territories against the citizens of one portion of the Uhon and in favor of another. This, taken in connection with all which is passing around us, must excite the attention of Scnators to the fact, and forces on my mind the conattention of Seriators to the first, our torces on my mind the con-tinuous control of the control of the control of the control of the ture use. Here upon the threshold we must reserve for re-abandon, the claim to equality of right, and consent to be a mark ed caste, documed, in the progress of national growth, to be dwarf-ed into helplesseess and political dependence. As equals the States came into, the Union, and, by the articles of confederation, equal rights, privileges, and immunities were secured to the citi-zens of each; yet, for asserting in this case that the federal gov-ernment shall not authorize the destruction of such equality, we terment statu not authorize the description of salest educately, we have been accessed of wishing to claim for the citizens of the southern States musual rights under the constitution. This accusation comes hadly from these who insist on provisions for exclusion, and cannot find its application to a demand that nothing shall be done to affect the constitutional relations of citizens or the constitutional rights of property. We do not ask of the federal government to grant nt to forbear from interfering with existing rights; rights which existed anterior to the formation of the constitution, which were recognized in that instrument, and which it is made the duty of the federal government, as the agent of our Union, to protect and defend.

Such obligations as belong to other species of property, nor one no less, we claim as due to our property in slaves. Nor can this claim be denied without denying the property right to which it attaches. This, it has been contended, is the creation of local law, and does not extend beyond the limits for which stack laws were made, and, with an air of concession, we are told that it is not proposed to interfere with slavery as it exists in the States, because the constitution secures it there. Before the formation of our confederacy slavery existed in the colonies, now the States of the Union; and hut for the Union of the States, would have no legal recognition beyond the limits of the territory of each. But when the fathers of the republic had achieved its independence, they sought to draw closer the bonds of union, and to remove all cause for discord and contention. For this holy purpose, they met in council, and formed the constitution under which we live. This compact of union changed the relation of the States to each other in many important particulars, and gave to property and inter-course a national character. Property in persons held to service was recognized; in various and distinct forms it became property under the constitution of the United States, was made co-exten

sive with the supremacy of the federal laws, its existence subject only to the legislation of sovereign States possessing powers not drawn from, but above, the constitution. Thus provision was made for the recovery of fugitive slaves, and the question of right to such property as absolutely procluded, as the guilt or innocence of one charged with "treason, felony, or other crime." In both cases it is made the duty of the State authorities to deliver up the figitive on demand of the State from which the felon fled the one case, and of the person to whom the service is due in the other.

By the 2d section of the fourth article of the constitution, it is

provided that

In A person charged in any State with tresson, felosy, or other crime, who shall flee from patter, and be found in another State, shall, on demand of the executive an about the state of t

Thus was the property recognized, and the duty to surrender it to the claimant made as imperative as in the case of fugitives from State authority and law.

This property was further recognized by including it in provis-

ions which are only to be drawn from the power to regulate commerce. By the ninth section of the 1st article of the constitution, it is provided that-

"The migration or importation of such persons as any of the States now existing shall think proper to admit, shall not be profulated by the Congress prior to the year one thousand eight hundred and eight, but a fax or duty may be imposed on such motivation, not exceeding ten dollars for each person."

Could there be a more distinct recognition of the property right in slaves? Here is not only a permission to import, but a duty to be laid upon them as a subject of commerce. The fact that an exception was made against the entire control of such importation by Congress, is conclusive that but for such exceptions, it would have been einhraced in the general grant of power to the federal government to regulate commerce. If the framers of the constigovernment to regulate commerce. If the trainers of the contact tution had intended to recognize no other than the right to recap-ture fugilives—if they had denied the existence of property in persons, they surely would not have used the word importation, they have a full the constitution just cited. In further persons; they surely would not have used the word importation, as found in the clause of the constitution just cited. In further support of this opinion, I would roler to the fact that the exception was so strictly construed, that laws prohibiting such importation into territories not included in the exception were canceted, I was, therefore, surprised that the Senator from New York should have cited as a proof of the power of the federal government to legislate on the subject of slavery in the territories, the law to prohibit the importation of Africans into the Mississippi territory coping which estimates the content of the probability of the statement of the content of the prohibiting the importation of slaves before 1808; therefore it was exercised under the central nower over the subject as matter of commerce. under the general power over the subject as a matter of commerce. noder the general power over the subject as a matter of commerce. Upon this power over commerce, and upon the property nature of the presons so considered, must rest all our laws for the abolition of the foreign shave trade. To deep this general hasis, would were enacted without any grant of authority, and were therefore unconstitutional. Nor is it thus alone that this property in persons has been recognized. During the revolution, and by the mosh framed our declaration of independence, throughout all the States of the confederacy, the propriety of refusing liberty to a certain caste of persons was admitted, and in the cartiest legislation under the constitution of their declaration of the confederacy. The propriety of the property of the p

persons were due were denominated their "cowners." In the treaty of peace which closed the war of our revolution, the phrase "negroes, or other property," shows the position assumed upon our side, as well as the admission made by Grean Britain that the persons so referred to were recognized in their character as property. Again, in Pilo, after the adoption of the constitution, the same left of the constitution of the const treaty of Ghent, in the first article of which we find the expression, 'any slaves, or other private property.' With what propriety— with what fairness can it now be assumed that that which we have called property, and negotiated upon as such in our diplomatic re-lations and international acts from the birth of the republic down to 1815, has no existence among the States of the Ution—no claim to recognition or protection beyond the limits of the States where it is ordained and sustained by local law. The constitution recognized slavery-by it the federal government was constituted the agent of the States-entrusted with the power of regulating comagent of the States, and with the conduct of all foreign rela-tions. In the discharge of its appropriate functions, the federal

tions. In the discharge of its appropriate functions, the federal government, as shown above, has maintained this property right against a foreign power, and it is equally bound to defend it, within the limits of Federal prisidetion, against any encoachment upon its security for a government of the constitution. In the contraction of the property in slaves, beyond the limits of the States which they inhabit, as an inequal obligation or unusual right, I will render the admission, that but for the constitution, the right to property in slaves could not have extended beyond the States which possessed them. But gentlemen should recollect that all the territory northwest of the river them, which five non-subscriptions of the river them. Ohio, from which five non-slaveholding States have been carved, was originally the property of Virginia, and but for the compact of our Union, the institutions of that State would have been extended ever it. This territory, thus interposed between the northtended over it. This territory, thus interposed between the northern Altanic States and the wast region which has been acquired west of the Mississippi, must have prevented those States from all such acquisition. How, under this contingency, would have been the relative size of the slave and non-slaveholding territory? The answer to this inquiry should slience complaint of advantages accruing to the South from the guarantees of the constitution.

To avoid the possibility of misconstruction, I repeat that we do not seck to establish slavery upon a new basis; we claim no such power for the federal government. We equally deny the right to not seek to establish slavery upon a new basis; we claim no such power for the federal government. We equally deep the right to establish as to abolish slavery. We only ask that those rights of property which existed before the constitution, and which were guarantied by it, shall be protected. If it can be shown that the southern States would, as independent sovereignies, have possessed no right of extension, or that the right of territorial acquisition was transferred to the federal government, subject to the condition that it should be used for the benefit of the northern States exclusively, then we will have what has not yet been presented, a foundation for the assumption that from all territory th source of the assumption that from all territory thus adjusted, slavery or novoluntary servinde should be forever excluded. Sectional rivalry, stimulated by the desire for political aggrandizement, party zeal, local jealousy, and fanatieism, maddened by recent success, have each brought their contribution to the mass of cent success, have each brought their contribution to the mass of assertion, which has been heaped upon the claim of the South, to an equal participation with the North in the enjoyment of the ter-ritory belonging in common to the States. But assertion is not proof, abuse is not demonstration; and that claim sustained by justice, and supported by the staff of truth, stands yet unbeattle-

neath the mountain of error which has been accumulated upon it.

The various modes which have been proposed to exclude slaveholders from entering territory of the United States with their property, may be referred to three sources of power: the federal government, the territorial inhabitants, and the law of the land ante-

rior to its acquisition by the United States.

The federal government can have no other powers than those derived from the constitution. It is the agent of the States, has no other authority than that which has been delegated, eannot by the character of its creation and the nature of its being have any inherent, independent power. To the constitution as the letter of namerent, independent power. To the constitution as the fact of authority for this federal agent, we must look for every grant of power. All which is not given is withheld, all which is prohibited is doubly barred. It is not to be supposed that the sovereign States when forming a compact of Union would confer upon the Natics when norming a compact of Union would confer upon the agent of sund compact a power to control the desting of the States, nor is it is keeping with the avowed objects, "to insure domestic tranquility, provide for the common defenoe, and promote the ge-neral welfare," that it should be used to disturb the behaves power among the States. Were one portion of the Union to increase whilst the other remained stationary, the result would be reached in the course of years which led to the war of our revolu-tion, and the separation of the colonies from the mother country. What would it profit a minority to have representatives in Congress, if opposed to a majority of mastering strength, and of will. as well as power to sweep away all the protecting barriers of th constitution. It was not for representation in Parliament, that the fathers of our republic dissolved the political bands which conme nations of our replaine obsoived the political bands which con-nected them with the parent government; but to maintain the freedom and equality which could not be secured by a hopeless minority in common legislation; to defen their inalienable rights from aggression by those who were irresponsible to them, but they pledged their lives, their fortunes, and their saured honor. To they pledged their lives, their fortunes, and their sacred honor. To such men it was of paramount importance in forming a general government to guard against interference with domestic institu-ions, and to preserve such equality among the different sections and interests, as would secure each from aggression by the others, This purpose is deeply graven on the constitution, pervades it as a general spirit, and appears both in its grants and prohibitions. Thence areas the different basis of prepopulation in the two Thence arose the different basis of representation in the two Houses of Congress, thence the Executive veto, the limitations on the power to regulate commerce among the States, the prohibition against interference with private property, against discrimination in layer of one port over another, the partial representa-tion of persons held to service, and the many other provisions which will occur to Senators, illustrative of the design to preserve such equality, as is necessary to prosperity, to harmony, to union among sovereigns.

The right of the federal government to legislate for the territo-

ries has been claimed from two sources of power, the grant to Congress "to dispose of, and make all needful rules and regularespecting the territory or other property belonging to the tions respecting the territory or other property belonging to the United States," and as a power necessarily is noticed to the right belonging to the property of the property shows the data too source is plainly a power over the territory as public hand the experience of the property shows the idea too distinctly to require elucidation. The territory belonging to the United States at the formation of the constitution was such as had been coded by particular States as a common fund of the Union. The federal government as a signer of the States was charged with the federal government as agent of the States was charged with the disposal of the public domain, under the needful rules and regula-tions which Congress were authorized to make. The sonree from which this addition to the common stock was derived, the object for which it was given, the conditions of the cession, all nnic with the general provisions of the constitution to forbid the idea of a transfer of absolute powers of legislation, or the existence of a power in the federal government to make laws for the territory which would affect the political rights or interests of the States.

The laws of Congress in relation to territory belonging to the United States must be "needful" to execute the trust conveyed by United States must be "needful" to execute the trust conveyed by the States; and none of the grants of the constitution are to be so the States; and none of the grants of the constitution are to be 30 constituted as to pregulate the rights of the United States or of any particular State." To promote the sale of the public land, when on settled government exists, it may be claimed as an incident to the power to dispose of such property, that Congress should provide for courts and such government generally, as will give security to the contract of the property of the court of the contract o rity to settlers, and certainty to titles in the region to which we invite emigration.

Thus far the powers of a trustee may properly extend; thus far the agent may go in good faith to those for whom he acts; the sovereignty still remaining, where alone it can reside, in the States to whom the territory belongs. It will probably not be contended that to exclude a portion of our citizens, or to prohibit a certain kind of property, is a "needful regulation" for the disposal of pubsing of property, is a "needful regulation" for the disposal of public lands; certainly such a position could not be maintained, and those who conte.d for the power of Congress to prohibit slavery in the territories, have usually relied upon the second source of power, the right of acquisition.

THE OREGON BILL.

Before considering how much may be derived from that right, it might have been well to examine into its existence, and inquire to whom its benefits attach. The power to admit new States into the Union was conferred by the constitution; but not to acquire territory as such. The former was a power properly conferred upon a confederation which looked to the addition of new members; the latter belongs to sovereignty, and can be possessed by nothing less. The right to acquire belonged to the States as an inherent right of independent existence, one which attaches to all bodies nnimate and inanimate. Stones gather accretions, vegeta-bles collect increments, animals assimilate food and incorporate it with their bodies; by like operation of this general law, the Stat as independent sovereignies had a right to acquire. But t means of acquisition, the war and the treaty making powers, were cutrusted to the federal government. The right to acquire was not delegated, save as the means were to be used by the federal government, and therefore the acquisition must coure to the benefit of the States in whose right alone it could be made. The power to govern as an absolute, ultimate authority remains in the States, and their agent can only exercise so much of that power as has been granted. Our legislation for the territory must, if this as has been granted. On registation to the territory has, it has view be correct, be drawn from the specific graots, and be subject to all the limitations and prohibitions imposed on them by the constitution. The rule that the right to acquire carries with it the right to govern, receives a modifiation in its application to the federal government, in this, that it acquires as agent for the States, by the blood or common treasure of the States, or as in past cases hy a cession for the common benefit of the States, and can therefor only govern as authorized by the sovereign owners of the ter-fore only govern as authorized by the sovereign owners of the ter-vernment, under the grants of the constitution, power to prohibit "slavery" in the territories of the United States? The right to property in slaves being recognized by the constitution, this question is convertible into another: has the general government the the to exclude particular species of process from the territory of the United States, and thus confine the enjoyment of its advantages to a portion of their citizens? A proposition so repugnant to justice, so violative of the equal right which every citizen of the United States has in the common property, so destructive of the equals right exceude by the constitution of the equal right privileges and immunities secured by the constitution. would seem to be answered by its statement. Yet palpable as the outrage appears, it has been perpetrated in legislative resolutions by 11 States of the Union, bound by the federal compact to recognize the co-equality of the States; and repeatedly asserted by Senators in this chamber, pledged to maintain the constitution.

This federal government designed to render more perfect the Union of the States, and to promote their common defence, is thus to become the most formidable enemy of some, the great seedsman of discord among all. The union of the States into one confederacy, gave no power to

The union of the States into one conteceracy, gave no power as destroy local rights of property, or to change the condition of per-sons; but much, to protect and preserve the existing rights of pro-perty, and relative condition of persons, by extending the limits of their recognition, and enlarging the provisions for their securi-ty. Thus the federal government cannot take "private property" except for "public use," and by making "just compensation" there-for; the obligation of contracts cannot be impaired; duties cannot be imposed on articles of commerce passing from the limits of one State to another; nor apprentices, indeated sorvants, or slaves. State to another; nor apprentices, indented servants, or slaves, by escaping into another State be discharged from their obligations under the laws of that from which they fled. In these, and tions under the laws of that from which they field. In these, and similar instances, the federal government can do, and has done, much which is beyond the power of a State, to protect and enlarge the value of property. To determine what shall be property, what the condition of persons, are functions of sovereignty beyond its delegated authority, which can only be excreased by a svereign State within its limits, and beyond that, by the majority that the claim of the state of the sta and to the control of the control of

longing to the United States, bear with like force against the se-cond class of opinion—that the power rests in the territorial in-habitants. In the unwearied search of those who, from the foundmanuals. In the unweaters scarce of those wite, from the foundation of our government, have sought in every quarter for the fountains of power by which the sovereignty of the States might be submerged, this, until recently, remained undiscovered. When territorial governments were first established in the territories now the States of the northwest, a very different doctrine obtained, and quite opposite was the practice under it. There, though the foreign inhabitants were mainly those who had taken part with us in the wars against Great Britain, they were not considered so capable of self-government as to be entrusted with the powers of local legislation, and the restricted governments established in In-diana and Michigan, were required to adopt the laws of some State danta and sittingful; refer equired to supp me has a some state of the state of the

tutions of Oregon?

For a small settlement composed, to a large extent, of the late For a small settlement composed, to a large extent, of the late dependents of the Hudson's Bay Company, subjects of the British crown, the very men who were arrayed against us to dispute our right to the siol, the same who, by fraud and violence, wrested from our citizens their property and possessions on the Columbia from our citizens their property and possessions on the Columbia river; the same who, in violation of the faith of our treaty with Great Britan for the joint occupancy of Oregon, made regula-tions, the effect of which was to destroy the valuable first in that portion of the country which they expected to become exclosively the property of the United States, whilst they were preserved in that which was expected to pass at a subsequent day to the sovereign-tical Great British. So much for those who found a large if not which was expected to pass at a subsequent day to the sovereign-ty of Great Britain. So much for those who formed a large, if not controlling part of the population of Oregon when this policy of excluding slavery was adopted there. Shall they be permitted to sit in judgment on the constitutional rights of American citzens Shall they decided the future institutions of our territory? Look-ing further to the south, in the valley of the Walamette, we find it is true, settlements of American citzens, on whose patriotism and love for the States from which they are distant wanderers, we can salely rely. They are American citzens that wanderers, we can sale rely a substantial to the control of the state of the state when the substantial control of the state of the state of the state when the substantial control of the state of the state of the such affection and pride that their bond of allegiance needs no en-courage. Given them full gondeness of far as their conduct might Giving them full confidence, so far as their conduct might dorser. Giving them this dominates, so lar as their conduct hight be involved in any contest for the interest or honor of our common country, there arises, from the question before us, an inquiry of a very different nature. I have said that the power to prohibit the introduction into Oregon of slavery, as recognized under the the introduction into Oregon of slavery, as recognized under the constitution, is such centrol over property and persons as can only be exercised by sovereignty. If this be correct the proposition to leave the whole subject to the territorial inhabitants is equivalent to acknowledging them to be sovereign over the territory. If they are so, by their own right, then it is not "territory belonging to the United States." If in be the territory of the United States. Congress have no right to surrender the sovereignty of the States No right to entrust to other hands the formation of the institutions which are in future to characterize it. In connexion, institutions which are in future to characterize it. In control of the bowever, with this proposition, I have speken of one portion of the territorial iohabitants, as men having no claim upon our confidence, and suggested that there were other inquiries than those connectand suggested that there were either inquiries than those connected with their patriotism which required consideration in relation to the other portion of the settlers in Oregon. Are they statesmen? Have they such political experience and wisdom that the settled practice of the country should be changed in order that settled practice of the country should be changed in order that they may fix the fundamental principles on which their future institutions shall rest: that they may lay the corner stone of that republican edifice, which is in alter time to overlook the Pacinic 3 Or are they, as we have heretofore believed them, missionaries of religion, whose studies have heen devoted to subjects, which however high and holy, have not been those which would qualify them for the labor of forming temporal governments. And beyond this; traders, trappers, adventurers in the forest and in the mountain, whose pursuits and characterismics of our development of the country of the co there be little to justify the surrender of the highest powers of le-gislation to them, there is still less to warrant it in the character of the inhabitants of those territories we have recently acquired, and which must soon be the subject of governmental organization.

There we find a people educated to opinions and hubits hostile to our own, mongrels of the Spanish and Indian races, inheriting from our own, mongreis of the Spanish and Indian races, macrining num-both the characteristics, pertinacity, treachery and revenge; and fresh from conflicts, the history and consequences of which are well calculated to excite the bitterest animosity towards our crit-zens and our government. A people whose religious prejudices well calculated to exote the butterest animosity towards our cit-zons and our government. A people whose religions prejudices are so strong that they have recently sought to transfer a large part of their country to a foreign colony, for the purpose of exhading the immigration of American citizens, to whom they gave the name of "Methodist wolves." Morally, socially and intellectual-ly degraded to such degree, that with the forms of free govern-tors are the such as the second and the second in their With ment, they have never enjoyed any of its essential rights. With the writ of habeas corpus as the established law of the land, eiti-zens were nevertheless transported by order of the central government across several States of the republic, and incarcerated with-out question or power to obtain legal redress. Are these the men who shall prescribe the fundamental law of the land? Shall they

determine the rights, privileges and immunities of the American elternme the tigues, privileges and immunities or the American eltizons who may migrate into that country? Shall they decide with what property one of your citizens, to whom you have granted land for services in the war with Mexico, shall be permitted to take possession of his grant? If so, the territory we have acquired belongs not to the Otted States, but to the people so recently conquered, now become sovereign over the rights of our citizens, our laws and our grantfullion. This origina proper of the received laws, and our constitution. This opinion in favor of the sovereign-ty of territorial inhabitants, of such recent origin and rapid growth, ty of territorial immunicants, or some reconstructing managing theory, seems to have found an equally rapid decline; and has not, I bink, sufficient importance now, to justify me in detaining the Senate by Grether remarks upon it. To the citizen who preases beyond the limits of civilization, to open up to cultivation and settlement the Greek domain of the United States, I have always been willing to owners of the common stock, as are due to the services he has thus rendered to the common interest. But the civil rights, the politieat principles of our government are not to be transferred to those who shall be first in the race to reach newly acquired possessions or who shall by accident be found upon them. To point this opinor who shall by accident be lound upon them. To point this opinion by a single application, I will refer to a large body of American citizens, who under the centrol of religious enthusiasm, have gone beyond the limits of State pirisdiction to found a section colony in the unexplored wildcraess of the Tlamath lake. Myramak will, of course, be understood to apply to the Morromos, I introduce the case to sek if any one is prepared to welcome the consequences to civil and religious liberty, which would have from the exercise of sovereignty by them over the country of which they may take pressed.

may take possession.

I now pass to the third source of power from which it is claimed the right may be derived to exclude slavery from a territory of the United States.

The inviolability of the law as it exists at the period of acquisi-

tion.

Did I seek protection under a principle which I believe to be wrong, I would concede this point to those who make it, because I hold it to be conclusive against them in the case of Organ. That territory whether derived from Fannee as a part of Louisian aby the treaty of 1803, or from Spain as a part of the vice-reyally of Mexico, in 1819, would by the application of this rule be slave territors, that institution having existed moder the laws of the contract that institution having existed moder the laws of the contract that institution having existed moder the laws of the contract that institution having existed moder the laws of the contract that institution having existed moder the laws of the contract that is not the contract that the contract that is not the contract that the contract that the contract that is not contract to the contract that the contr of Mexico, in 1819, would by the application of this rule be slave territory, that institution having existed under the laws of both France and Spain in the provioces and at the dates referred to. If then the law existing at the date of nequisition be inviolable, the case is closed. Those who have set this mine have sprung it to their own destruction. But, believing this to be wrong in fact, I claim no advantage from it. The progress of humanity softening the rigors of war, has constantly modified and restricted the rights of the conqueror; it has gone so far us to leave the municipal termination of the conqueror; it has gone so far us to leave the municipal termination. lations, the private rights of property, and existing relations of persons undisturbed. The laws are permitted to remain so far as they do not conflict with the rights of the conquerer, not so much persons undisturbed. The laws are permitted to remain so far as they do not conflict with the rights of the conquerer, as to semuch to satisfy a supposed claim of the conquered as to prevent anarchy, and the new source of the country. The product of the control of the conflict of the control of the contro ico will prevent the introduction of slaves, as held among us, into California or New Mexico, have not shown why the same laws by their course of reasoning will not exclude the introduction of bacco. Believing that the principles and guaranties of the consti-tution extend over all territory belonging to the United States, and that all laws violative of either are abrogated by the act of acquisition, it imports to me nothing by what authority such laws were passed. To those who hold that municipal laws endure unwere passed. were passed. To those who hold that municipal laws endure un-til specific legislation repeals them, I commond inquiry as to the character of the laws prohibiting slavery in Mexico. They are not municipal, but general laws—were not passed by the State legislatures, but by the federal Congress, and I have been informed in opposition to the wishes of the negthern and eastern States of that republic. The central govern-ment against which we have waged war, from which as in-demnity for long continued flagrant wrongs we have taken continue will surely not be normitted to leave its legislation over erritory, will surely not be permitted to leave its legislation over the country we have acquired, as a form on which its institutions are to be moulded. Shall the citizen, who rejoicing in the extended domain of his country, migrates to its newly acquired territory, ed domain of his country, migrates to its newly acquired territory, find himself shorn of the property he held under the constitution, by the laws of Mexico? Shall the soldier who locates his grant in California find himself under the authority he had contributed to conquer? Shall the widow and the orphan of him who died in his

country's quarrel, be excluded from the acquisition obtained in part by his blood, unless they will submit to the laws of the power ho bled and died to subdue? Never, never! Reason and justice, constitutional right and national pride, combine to forbid the suppo-

I have thus presented my view of the three sources from which it is claimed to draw the power to prohibit slavery in territory of the United States. From the considerations presented, my conclusion is that it cannot properly be done in either of the crusson as max a cannot properly or onote in center of the index-proposed. That not being among the delegated powers of the fed-eral government, or necessary to the exercise of any of its grants, Congress cannot pass a law for that purpose. That the territorial government is subordmate to the federal government from which it derives its authority and support, and that neither separately or united can they invade the undelegated sovereignty of the States over their territory. That the laws of a former very interpretaover their territory. That the laws of a former proprietor so far as they conflict with the principles of the constitution, are abroga-ted by the fact of acquisition. That territory of the United States ted by the fact of acquisition. That territory of the United States is the property of all the people of the United States; that sovereignty of the territory remains with them until it is admitted as an independent State into the Union; and that each citizen of the United States has an equal right to migrate into such territory, United States has an equal right to migrate into such territory, earrying with him any species of property recognized by the constitution, until sovereignty attaches to the territory by its becoming a State, or until the sovereign States by agreement or by compact, shall regulate specifically the character of property which shall be admitted into any particular territory. Against such con-clusions, those who take an opposite view of this question, have ented precedents to sostain their positions. In the long course of and under the widely differing eirenmstances of the various eases which have arisen, the practice of our government has not been so uniform as in my judgment to furnish any settled rule of occasions the binding force of present to firm as may selled raccording to construction. Nor and I prepared to admit either on this or other occasions the binding force of precedent over the legislation of Congress. I yield to it such untority as is due to the wisdom and purity of those by whom it was established, more than this it cannot claim. In referring to the early legislation of Congress in archival may be a supported by the construction of the carly legislation of Congress in archival may be a support of the carly legislation of Congress in archival may be a support of the carly legislation of Congress in archival may be a support of the carly legislation of Congress in a support lation to territories, I have not been able to perceive the general application of more than one principle, which is that a territory politically considered should be treated as an embryo State, therefore the guards thrown around it have been mainly those which would prepare it for a republican form of government. This being the only restriction which Congress is authorized to impose on the constitution of a new State at the period of its admission into the Union. In the organization of territorial governments in the earlier days of our republic, we find no attempts by Congress to legislate for them. Where powers of legislation were not conferred upon the territorial inhabitants, their laws were to be adopted from the statutes of some State in the Union; and to show that no elaim was set up by the federal government to regulate property or change the condition of persons, I would refer to the States formed out of the northwest territory, over which the often cited territory, over which the often cited ed. There we find, notwithstanding formed out of the northwest territory, over which the often cited ordinance of 1787 was extended. There we find, notwithstanding the provisions of that ordinance, that slavery continued to exist, as to some extent it still exists, in the State of Illinois. In the act of 1793, passed to earry out the ordinance of 1787, the following language occurs: "where a person held to labor in any of the United States, or in either of the territories on the horthwest or south of the Onio, under the law thereof," &c., which is a distinct recognithen by Congress of the existence of stavery in the territory covered by the ordinance of 1787; and is conclusive against the preten-sion here set up, that by the ordinance of 1787, the power to pro-hibit slavery in the territories was claimed, exercised, and admitnint shavery in the territories was claimed, excressed, and animited. The whole extent and force of precedents upon this subject, has been so fully and ably investigated by others who have spoken on the same side of the subject with myself, that I will not pursue this branch of the investigation further. I therefore dismiss it with the remark that whatever of validity they possess, is to be drawn from the idea that each was a compact ratified by the acquiescence of the States, and can have no other application than to the par-ticular case for which each was formed. There is a marked dif-ference between territory acquired by joint efforts or common treasure of the States, and that which was derived by the eession of particular State. In the former case the sovereignty attaches to the States of the Union by the fact of acquisition, and no other the States of the Union by the fact of acquisition, and no other functions could be vested in the Congress than those derived from the constitution. In the fluctuary of the constitution of the constitution of the fluctuary of the constitution of lation in one case would form no precedent for the other, because of the different sources of authority. In this connexion, I will actice a position taken by the Senator from Massachusetts in relation to the eession made by Virginia of the territory northwest of the Ohio river. He assumes that it was made to preserve the existing ratio between the slave and non-slaveholding States. sir, I have read the history of that transaction aright, it was founded on far more noble considerations, upon motives alike honorable and patriotic in the State which ceded, and in those which demanded the cession; it was to preserve that just relation between the confederates, of which was deemed essential to preserve the equality of the States, the prosperity, the perpetuity, and the harmony of the Union.

The States of Maryland and Delaware objected to the articles of confederation because of the immense territory held by Virginia, maintaining that it gave her a controlling power which might be destructive of the prosperity of the smaller States, as it would be subversive of the equality essential to the confederacy of soverigns. In the act of New Jersey for ratifying the articles of confederation, this objection was noticed, and their delegates instructed to sign the articles, "in the firm reliance that the candor and instice of the several States will, in due time, remove as far as possible the inequality which now subsists." The legislature of Delaware passed resolutions, one of which contained the following

On That this State thinks it necessary for the peace and safety of the States, to be included in the Union, that a moderate extent of limits should be assigned for such of those States as claim to the Mississippi, or South Sec. "&c.

and 1779 the delegates from Maryland laid before Congress the internations of their general assembly. That paper was an ablo argument against the propriety and justice of the extensive claims of some of the States to the western territory—strongly exhibited the political and financial evil which would probably result from the admission of them, and after asserting the right of all the thirteen States to the unpeopled territory as a common property, declared,

"We have coolly and dispassionately considered the subject we have weighted robable acconveniences and hardships, against the sacrifice of just and assential rights; and do instruct you not to agree to the confederation, unless an article or atticles be didded thereto in conformity with our declaration."

It does not appear that any question of domestic institutions infinenced the action of the States upon this subject; indeed, an opposite conclusion is forced upon us by the character of the parties by whom the cession of this territory was insisted on. Slave States cannot be supposed to have insisted on a cession of territory, that the power of the non-slave States should be increased. Who, then, the power of the non-slave States should be increased. Who, then, looked to the igooble war of sections, which it has been our shame and misfortune to witness? Who, then, would have consented to any measure which looked to the reproduction of that inequality, the revival of that interference with the domestic affairs of the

the respective period of the resolution?

The reason most strongly urged was the ninry likely to result to some from the disproportionate power of others, the object most sought was the scentry which would result from equality. In keeping with these, the Congress of the confederation, in 1780, took into consideration the addresses of the different States on the subject of the western territory, and recommended to

"Those States which can remove the embarrassments respecting the western country, a liberal surrender of a portion of their territorial claims, since they cannot be preserved entire without endangering the stability of the general confederacy."

And resolved,

"That it becamestly recommended to those States who have claims to the western country to pass such laws, and give their delegates in Congress such powers, as may effectually remove the only obstacle to a final ratification of the articles of confederation."

By force of such appeals, urged by the conviction that it was by force of such appears, argen by the conviction that, by encessary to place the federal Union on a permanent basis, and to make it acceptable to all its members, Virginia, with that detent to the common good which became the land of Washington and Jefferson, ceded her rich birth-right, the vast territory round which has arisen the five northwestern States of our Union. This surrender of individual interest to the general welfare—this con-cession to secure the tranquility of the States, marked by a dignity and patriotism in the contemplation of which paltry struggles for political advantage should be forgotten, is now cited as a mea-

for political advantage should be forgotten, is now cited as a measure to distribute strength to the slave and froe States, as contending parties. With what probability can it be argued that Maryland would demand or Virgina give, for such a purpose? No, sr, it was fraternity, not stric—it was the general good, not sectional advantage—it was the socretigaty, the equality, and the prosperity of all the States, for which the men of the revolution mude their sacrifices, both of war and of pence. It was to perfect the confederation, to remove the distrust and dissatisfactory of the sacrifices of the states for the sacrifices of the sacrification of the s tion of slaveholding States, that Virginia ceded the northwestern territory to the common stock of the Union. And this act of mag-nanimity, of generous confidence, is now cited as authority against those who were weakened by it. Nor is it in this case alone that the South may complain of such injurious and unfair construction.

In every instance concession has been made the basis of aggres-In every instance concession has ucen made the basis of aggression, and the language of conciliation has been answered by objurgation and abuse. The right to representation in proportion to population was waived so far in relation to slaves, as to exclude two-fifths of their number, yet those who have the advantage of this concession, those who dary that there can be property in per-sons, are those who attack this compromise of the constitution, and denounce it as an unequal privilege bestowed on the property of the South. The partial representation of slaves is in keeping with their mixed character, being both persons and property; but with much more reason might it be contended that they were en-titled to full representation in the federal government. that to re-representation at all. Indeed, if the South had yielded no claim to full representation in proportion to the number of slaves, I do not preceive how those who deep hat there can be any connection between person and property would resist the right; except by the absurd contradiction they perpetrate when ruling against it as the representation of property. There is another concession which the representation of property. There is another concession which has been often referred to in this debate, the Missouri compro-

With the right to extend slavery into any portion of the territory of Louisiana, secured by the treaty of acquisition, there was,

nevertheless, a fierce controversy against the admission of Missouri into the Uoion as a slaveholding State. During its territorial condition the right had been unquestioned—the controversy only arising in view of the political power which would attach to I will not dwell apon the nugatory character a sovereign State. I will not awen apon the nugatory contracted of any law which should attempt fo control the domestic institu-tions of a State, but pass to the result of this controversy about the admission of Missouri. Again, the South, in the spirit of con-cession which find marked the conduct of her som at a former pe-riod, surrendered their unquestioned and unquestionable light to extend slavery over the whole of that territory which had been acquired under the name of Louisiana, and agreed, except within the limits of Missouri, to centine it to the south side of the parallel of latitude 36° 30' north. Again was sectional interest abandoned to the hope of permanently establishing tranquility in the Union. If that hope is now to be destroyed, it will be by those who derived all the benefit from the compromise—not by those who waived by it a portion of their rights. In the compromises of the constitution and the concessions which have followed its adoption, the advantages have mainly accrued to the North; yet the South has steadily and faithfully observed them. Can as much he said of the North? The constitution recognizes the institution of sla-Can as much he said of the North ? The constitution recognizes the institution of star-very, which thence acquired a general, instend of its previous merely local character. It was made the duty of the State autho-rities to deliver up fugitive slaves to their owners, and the free commerce among the States secured to each citizen, was a probibition against State legislation to disturb the right of the master to pass from one State to another with his slave property. The duty has been neglected, the right has been obstructed, slaves have been torn from their masters when exercising the right of every american extract a plass from one part, at the times to resoluter; including the state of looking to prevention and punishment of such cases in future, have cancted laws best calculated to magnify the evil. Even here in the course of debate it has been asserted that, to carry a slave out of the limits of the piratic to the state of the piratic torion of a State in which slavery is recognized, emancipates him. If that were true, the recognition of slavery by the constitution would be a nullity. The master who, in discharge of a duty to the government should enter an arsenal or dock yard under the exclusive jurisdiction would thereby lose the right to property in his slave. Or, if he should sail from Norfolk to New Or-leans by going to sea, he would pass beyond the jurisdiction of a State, and thus incur the forfeiture. Beyond the limits of a State, whether in territory or on the deck of an American vessel, the constitution and laws of the United States follow our citizens and protect their property. The recognition of slavery by the consti-tution, therefore, presents a case arising here, in a very different view from one in Great Britain. The difference destroys the vaview from one in Great Britain. The dimerence and analogy, lue of the argument based on British practice and analogy,

Eleven States of the Union have spoken through their legislatures against the further extension of slavery, with the clearly indicated, sometimes even expressed intention thus to prepare the way for a more direct and fatal attack upon the institutions of the South.

When we are told that slavery is an "immense moral and politi-When we are told that slavery is an "immense moral and political evil, which ought to be abolished as soon as that end can be properly and constitutionally attained;" when we are admonished of the design "to resist the admission of any new State into the Union while tolerating slavery," he must be blind, indeed, who does not see the propose by this forbiding the growth of the does not see the propose by this forbiding the growth of the tolerance from the proposed of the propose of the propose of the proposed of the propo strip the South of the guaranties it gives. If factious opposition and sectional disregard of the common good have been able thus to obliterate the great land-marks, equality among the States, and non-interference with domestic affairs, in so brief, and such partial enjoyment of power, how can we expect moderation and forhear ance when swelled to a three-fourths majority? Those who seek Those who seek ance when sweried to a tiree-touriss majority! I flose who seek to appropriate our territories to the exclusive formation of non-slaveholding States, must not hope by eatch words, and abusive epithets against slavery, to conceal their real purpose, the political aggrandizement of the North.

Was their object the henefit of the slaves—did they seek as a

paramount object their emancipation, the policy would certainly be the reverse; instead of confining, to disperse them. Nothing can be more plain than that if confined to small space, they must accumulate in the hands of a few, and if dispersed, that they must Whatever there is of harshness, arises from ave many masters. have many masters. Whatever there is of harshness, arises from their condensation, so that the master and slave are necessarily separated, and the 'atter placed under the authority of a hired agent. Whilst the number owned by one person is small, he has immediate charge of them; from their daily intercourse, permanent connection, and real identity of interest, arise those kindly relations usual in such condition. The power to oppress dependents exists in all countries, and bad men every where abuse the power. In no relation which labor hears to capital, is such oppression better guarded against than in that of master and slave. There is in it all which naturally excites the forbearance and kindness of the generous and the good; and this failing there are considerations of interest of pecuniary advantage, to restrain the sor-did and the vicious, which do not exist in eases of hired laborers. To confine slavery to a small district, would go further than any other means to strip it of its kind paternal character; when the master should no longer know his slave, when the overseer would

have plenary power, then would disappear many of the features which commend it to these who have been reared emidst it. Then would cease the moral and intellectual progress of the slave; then would sease us moral and melificiting progress of the slave; then would steadily diminish the feelings promotive of emacipa-tion, and the power to effect it. It has been from the association with a more elevated race, that the African has advanced; it has been from their mutually kind offices that the mester has, in many instances, liberated his slave as a mark of affection; for this assotion and for this feeling, it is required that there should not be a great disproportion in the number of the races where they reside great disproportion in the number of the races where they reside together. The power to emancipate must depend apon property considerations, and upon public policy conjointly. A large com-munity of free men would have the pecuniary ability to emanci-pate a small number of slaves, the reverse would be heyoud the ir pate a Supran here territory, a few blacks might be turned power. Upon a large territory, a few blacks might be turned provided by the provided pr exists, the consequence will be not its extinguishment, but its perpetuation. Each State, when it finds within its borders as many Africans as safety and policy will permit, will enact laws to pre-vent their farther introduction; the tide which has flowed reguerly on from New England to Texas will be checked, and they will thenceforward continue to accumulate, and when they reach the density which renders involuntary labor no longer profitable, they must still be held, from the impolicy of liberating them in the country, and the inability to send them away, the latter increasing in a compound ratio, because the augmentation of number lug in a compound ratio, because the augmentation of number will interpret with it a dimination of profit from their labor. Gentlemen between the second of the profit from their labor. It is the third there is no foundation for the presumption of moral change that all the changed action which has occurred is referable, to density of population. It may be taken as a general rule, that in voluntary service is less profitable than voluntary labor, and there is a singular uniformity in the degree of density, at which is different countries it has been abandoned. The villeinage of Englerent countries it has been abandoned. The villeinage of Englerent countries it has been abandoned. The villeinage of Englerent countries it has been abandoned. land, and the serfdom of Russia, both becoming a burthen to proprietors at the same point—that is when the population reached the point of forty persons to the square mile. But our slaves are a distinct race, physically differing so much from theirs, that no one can look to their emancipation without connecting with it the idea of removal, separation of the races. When, therefore, they cease to be profitable, we cannot, like the ancient Britons, say, be free, and see with the announcement, all cause for distinction cease. Therefore it is to be observed, that those States of our Union who have passed acts of omancipation, have first found themselves nearly rid of the caste, or made their laws prospective and so remote, that this result would be reached before the act into operation.

With what justice or propriety do those who have availed them-selves of the demand for their sloves in the more southern and sparsely settled States, now insist upon closing the door against their egress to newer countries, as the white population gathering behind them would press them still further on? They ave sold their slaves when they ceased to be profitable, and sla very became to them a sin of horrid coormity when the property was transferred from themselves to their brother. Therefore they was transferred from themselves to their brother. I nevelore may will confine it to the country in which it now exists, and deprive others of the means used by themselves, and which forms the only practicable mode of getting rid of it. To those who are sincere in their professions of a wish to banish slavery from the United States, and feel it is only to be effected by the voluntary action of States, and leel it is only to be eneeted by the voluntary action of those among whom it exists, I say, leave your territories open, and let the white race, as it flows in from the North, gradually, by its greater energy and intelligence, bear the African race before it to regions unsuited to the labor of the white man, as the tide bears the foam to the shore, and gives back to the beach the things

which are its own.

The Senator from Vermont objects to the introduction of slave preperty into territories, and says it should not be forced upon an infant community, but left to be adopted, if they desire it, when they have power to organize an independent government. I have my opinion of the constitutional rights of the holders of that property, and distinctly stated that I desire no Congressional legislation beyond that which is necessary to secure those rights. on-interference with the subject of slavery is our main position; and is equally opposed to force for or against it. But the experience of our pioneers, the condition of those who first grapple with the diffiulty of taming the wilderness, furnishes a forcible with the difficulty of standing the winderness, thrishees a foreign in Instration of the truth of the relation I have attempted to show exists between involuntary servitude and density of population the hard necessity, which maintains the power of capital over la-bor in old settled countries, is not known among the forest adven-turers. The bond between the employer and the sorvant is there fote so weak, that in the first settlement of a country, more than at any subsequent period, would involuntary servitude be advan-tageous and desirable. I can readily conceive that slaves would tageous and desirable. I can reason, tageous and desirable. I can reason, tageous and desirable taken into countries where they would cease to be prontage be taken into countries where labor flowed in. Such instances have occurred to the countries was the result. as soon as other labor flowed in. Such instances have occurred in our northern territories, and early emancipation was the result. Why is it assumed that slavery degrades lator, and its presence excludes the white labore? It may be true as regards the white, and free blacks of the North, that they will not toil together—there is rivalry between them; but if thence a conclusion is drawn that the same condition casts in the slave States; it is founded on

false reasoning, and is wrong in result. Among slaves, the white later reasoning, and is wrong in result. Among surves, the white thabover is elevated by the fact of a caste below hing, and in slave States there is an equality among white men which never can exist where the same race fill the places of master and mental.—Slaves are capital, and in the mind of the master there can be no contest between capital and labor—the contest from which so much of human suffering and oppression have arisen. No, sir; that slavery in the territory would not exclude white labor, the co stant emigration from the non-slave to the slave States, conclusively establishes. This opposition to slavery is political, and rapid are the strides it is making in aggression. The mighty State New York is now convulsed to its centre-men who were justly entitled to the appellation of statesmen, in its most dignified sense who have filled the highest stations of honor and trust, are now identified with a movement at war with justice—at war with the constitution, and which, disturbing the tranquility of to-day, will, if not checked in its onward progress, reach dismion to morrow. The time is not remote when an abolition meeting could not have heen held in New York; but it has become political, and before this new form of the monster, duty, fraternity, faith, give way, and masses worship the idol without the fanaticism which alone could exense the apostacy. With political abolitionists, what argument can avail? The security, the prosperity, the growth of a section only is considered; and all which would benefit those to whom they believe their interest opposed, must find therefrom resistance— Theirs is the policy so deeply and sadly deprecated by Mr. Jeffer-Incirs is the pulcy so deeply and sadily deprecated by Mr. Jefferson, when he spoke of a geographical line coinciding with a marked principle, moral and political, which every new irritation would mark deeper and deeper. Theirs the policy which Mr. Monroe described in his letter to Mr. Jefferson as "an effort to give such a shape to our Union as would seeme the dominion over it to its eastern section." That patriot statesman, in the same it to its eastern section." That patriot statesman, in the same letter, as a justification for the treaty by which Texas was sur-rendered, describes the sectional struggle which existed at the time as so ficeroe and uncompromising, that it was necessary for the internal peace to make the sacrifices of that treaty, and draws from the contest the conclusion, "that the further acquisition of territory to the West and South involves difficulties of an internal nature, which menace the Union itself." This letter of Mr. Mon-roe, taken in connexion with that of Mr. Jefferson, to which it was a reply's shows how deep-sected and extreme was the opposition at a reply, shows how deep-scated and extreme was the opposition at litht day to the growth and prosperity of the sonthern and western section of the Union. From the hezards which then imponded over us, we were saved by the partitioned evotion of those northern men, who sacrified themselves for the peace and general welfare of the confederacy. Now, when like hezard and dilitedly sur-rounds us, it is my pride and comfort to believe that like searchices, if necessary, will be made. To those who consider the Union Il necessary, will be made. To those who consider the chinoworth preserving, it must be a primary object to give peace and seemily to its members. The pure and wise men who formed our republic, foresaw what events have so clearly demonstrated, that these objects were only to be certainly attained by approximating equality among the sections, and leaving all domestic affairs entirely to the control of the States. This policy has been generally triely to the control of the States. I has policy has been generally adhered to, by admitting alternately shave and non-shave-holding States into the Union, and by affirming in solemn manner, at different periods in our history, the restricted character and general purposes of our federal government. Thus, on the 6th of Janary, 1333, the Senate of the United States, by a vetoe of thirty-one

\* \* "Resolved. That it is the solemn duty of the government to resist to the extent of its con-ditutional power, all attempts by one portion of the Union to use it as an instrument of attack, upon the domestic institutions of another, or to weaken

But ten years have passed since this declaration was made, yet mark how great has been the advance of aggression on the c stitutional guaranties and principles of our compact, as at that day admitted. It is openly asserted, as a principle of action, that slaves shall be confined to the territory upon which they are now located, not for their benefit, but for the political advantage of the non-slaveholding States; or in other words to weaken, who can doubt, finally to destroy slave institutions. No longer is the claim to humanity set up, but the thirst for power goes step by step in this uggression with hostility to the African race. The Senator New York, [Mr. Dtx.] my friend who sits near me-and I do not use the phrase in a merely complimentary sense; in oppos-ing the extension of slavery to wider limits, uses the following

"The tendency of he human race is to increase in a compound ratio of the extent "The irealizety of the human rate is to increase in a compound atta of the vertex and productiveness of the surfaces on which it is ustained. " The multiplication of the luminary species is governed by laws as "Liebeve it may be salf-species," and the first the level population in the underther States does not increase by its own niterate free back population in the underther States does not increase by its own niterate free. " I failer the most favorable createstances it, and must constitute the state of t

And this is the moral teaching of those who assume to be our pasters, and offer their vicutious repentance for the sin of slavery. With surprise and horror, I heard this announcement of a policy With surprise and horror, I neare this announcement of a policy which seeks drough powerty and degradation the extinction of a race of human beings domesticated among us. We, sir, stand in such relation to that people as creates a feeling of kindness and protection. We have attachments which have grown with from childhood—to the old servant who narved as in bidney—to the man who was the companion of our childhood, and the not less

tender regard for those who have been reared under our protec-tion. To hear their extinction treated of as a matter of public policy, or of speculative philosophy, arouses our sympathy and our indignation. If I believed slavery to be the moral, social, and political evil which it is described—if I believed the advantage of rendering our population homogeneous to be as great as it is a

restricting of popular properties to the strength of the popular properties of the popular properties. The reconciled to such a policy for such a purpose. It has been usual for southern men to decline any discussion about the institution of domestic slavery, in the midst of which they have grown up, and of which they may be supposed to know something, however vituperative and unfounded the geometries. something, however vitiperative and adioanoed the Recussions made against it. Agreeing in the general propriety of this ourse, I nevertheless propose, on this occasion, to depart from the ordinary practice. The question is forced upon us by our northern brethren to sach extent that silence, if porsevered in, might be construed injus admission of the truth of their accussions. In debates of Congress, by the press, by legislatures of the States, in the pulpit, and in primary assemblies it has become customary to denounce slavery as a political evil, as a burden on the governwe denounce slavery as a positivate evit, as a outroet of the government, as the sin and opproblem of the nation—as destructive of good order and human advancement, as a blighting curve on the section where it exists, and a gangrence, extonning its baleful influence to every portion of the Union. Now, sir, upon what do these assumptions rest. I have we been less faithful as ditizens these assumptions rest? Have we been less is authill as citizens— have riots, conflagrations, or destruction of private property heen more frequent in the slave than in the non-slave States? Have their churches been less harmonious, their divinces less prions, their statesmen less eminent, their soldiers less efficient than yours? statesmen less emment, their soluters less efficient man yours' If not, then why this unwarrantable demonication—why this unfounded assumption? If it be a sin, you are not otherwise involved than by your connection with its introduction—with its existence you have nothing to do. As owners of the commercial marine, you were the importers of Africans—you odd them in the South—you are parties to a compact which recognizes them as proporty. you are parties to a compact which recognizes them as property throughout the United States, and secures to their owners rights which, but for the confederation, would have been local. Show, then, your repentance, if you feel any, for having contributed to their, your repeatement, if you recently, he observing the obligations imposed by the circumstances of the rose upon you; and the rights recognized in the fundamental, paramount law of our Union. The constitution dd not create the institution of domestic slavery—it was strution and not create the institution of domestic shavery—it was an part of the object for which it was formed, to determine what should be property, but an important portion of its duty to generalize and protect the rights of citizens beyond the limits of State jurisdiction. From this duty has arisen all the intermediate acts in relation to slave property, yet, at this late period of the peractions of the property of the pr In relation to stave property, yet, at this late period or the protection and constitution, Senators assert that slavery is so purely local, that if a master pass with his slave into the limits of a State or territory where such property is not recognized by local law, the slave by that act becomes free. This is in keeping with the legislation of those States in which the legisland constitutional obligations to surrender fugitive slaves have been nullified. It is in keeping with the repeated declaration here, made with the conis in keeping with the repeated decearation nert, made with the col-descending air of a sovereign granting a favor—that there is no intention to interfere with slavery as it exists in the States, but that its further extension cannot be permitted. Do Senators for-get that this government is but the agent, the creature of the States—that it derives its powers from them—not they their rights or institutions from it. Slavery existed in the States before the formation of the constitution-it needed no guarantee within their limits-its recognition beyond this was part of the more perfect Union, as its protection against all enemies whomsoever Union, as its procession against an enomies winoussever is pair of the common defence for which that constitution was adopted. There is not a more prominent feature in the federal compact than the prohibition to the States to interfere with commerce. But if a citizen of Maryland cannot pass through Penasylvania or Ohio, on his way to kentucky or Missouri without submitting his property to the tests of those States through which he is merely travelled to the control of the control o elling, the right to free commerce among the States has no prac-tical value. The right to uninterrupted transit is not varied by tical value. In a fight to uninerrupted transits not write my the character of the property—the power is the same, whether the question arise upon a slave or a bale of goods. There is no discretionary power, and a total problishit in would be less offensive than an invidious distinction, claiming to spring from a moral superriority. Each State is responsible for its own institutions—the sovereignty and coequality of all the States forbid the idea of moral responsibility on the part of one for the acts of another. slavery he a sin, it is not yours. It does not rest upon your action for its origin, or your consent for its existence. It is a commonfor its origin, or your consent for its existence. It is a common-law right to property in the service of man—it traces back to the earliest government of which we have any knowledge, either among Jews or Gontiles. Its origin was Divine decree—the curse upon the graceless son of Noah. Shavery was regulated by the laws given through Moses to the Jews. Shaves were to be of the heathen, and with their oflspring to descend by inheritance: thus, in the main particulars, being identical with the institution as it exists among us. It was foretold of the sons of Noah that Japhet should be greatly extended, that he should dwell in the tents of Shom, and Canaan should be his servant. Wonderfully has the prophecy been fulfilled—and here in our own country is the most striking example. When the Spaniards discovered America they found it in the possession of the "Hudians"—many tribes the most strang example. When the Spannards discovered America they found it in the possession of the "Indians"—many tribes were enslaved, but the sons of Shem were not doomed to bondage—they were restless, discontented, and liberated because they were unprofitable. Their places were supplied by the sons

of Ham, brought acress the broad Atlantic for this purposeof Hain, brought across the broad Atlantic for this purpose-they came to their destiny, and were useful and contented. Over the greater part of the continent Japhet now sits in the tents of Shem, and in extensive regions Canaan is his servant. Let those who possess the hest opportunity to judge, the men who Let those who possess the nest opportunity to judge, the men who have grown up in the presence of slave institutions as they exist in the United States, say if their happiness and usefulness do not prove their present condition to be the accomplishment of an allpriors their present condition to be the accomplishment of an all-piced decree, which may have for its end the preparation of that race for civil liberty and social enjewment. Compare the slaves in the southern States with recently impreted Africans, as seen in the West Indies, and who can fail to be struck with the immense improvement of the race, whether physically, merally, or justilec-tually considered. Compare our slaves with the provided for in all their physical production and steadily improving in their moral conditions and disease which follows filter learner and view, evercondition; the other miserance importensive, including in deferming and disease which follows after pennry and vice, covering the records of the criminal courts, and filling the peniestries. Mark the hostility to caste, the social degradation, which excludes the able from employment of profit or trust, and leaves the helples to want and neglect. Then turn to the condition of the helpless to want and neglect. Then turn to the condition of this race in the States of the South, and view them in the relation this race in the States of the South, and view them in the relation of slaves. There no hostility exists against them—the master is the natural protector of his slave, and public opinion, common feeling, more interest would not allow him to neglect his wants. Those who urge that exclusion of slavery from the territories does not exclude the slaveholder, because he may dispose of his property hefore emigration, show such inability to comprehend the property helore emigration, show state manning to comprehend the attachment which generally subsists between a musticer and his slaves, that I will only offer to them interest as a motive for the care which is extended to those persons—securing comments aged and to the intant, attention to the sick, but the condition of the vision to all. Such is the difference the case the condition of the free and slave blacks under circumstances most favorable to emancipation. Does it warrant the desire on the part of any free and slave blacks under the desire on the part of any friend of that dependent race hasten upon them responsibilities for which they have shown the masters so unequal? If any shall believe that the sorrow, the suffering, the crine which they witness among the free black of the North have resulted from their degradation by combon with the white race around them, to such a naver, does the condition of St. Domingo, of Jamaica, given higher evidence of or do the recent attroctites in St. Martinique encourage uter hopes? Sir, this problem is one which might be considered to the proper structure of the control of the property of the nique encourage notier nopes: sir, uns pronem is one which must bring its own solution, leave natural causes to their full effect, and when the time shall arrive at which emancipation is proper, those most interested will be most auxions to effect it. But as the obligation is mutual, so must the action be joint; and it is per, those most interested will be most anxious to effect it. But a the obligation is mutual, so must the action be joint; and it is quite within the range of possibility that the masters may desire it when their slaves will object, as was the case when the serio of Russia refused to be liberated by their landlords. It is a country to the South and West open, and because the distant future slavery present a support labor to repical regions, where less ration will easily the shaper labor to ropical regions, where less ration will enable them to live in independent community. They must first be separated from the white man, then to live in independent community of the series of the States where it now exists.

When the colonies made common cause against the parent coun-

When the colonies made common cause against the parent coun-try and conquered their independence, no one State claimed the right to interfere with the domestic affairs of another; each was recognized experign within its limits, and all were disposed to respect the rights and feelings of each; had it been otherwise our confederation would never have been formed. This is clianged, and strange as it may appear, the change follows the action of the angular confederation of the control very government whose interference with the domestic affairs of the colonies led to the revolution, stranger still the first State to the colonies led to the revolution, stranger sun the first state to follow, is the same which was most oppressed in the colonial condition, and to her honor be it remembered, first raised the standard of revolutionary resistance.

When it was discovered that colored foreigners (from St. Do-

when it was desovered that colored loreigners (from St. Do-ming) had instituated the blacks of Charleston to murder the white, and burn the city, as a measure of policy warranted by ha-rantity and secessary for security, a law was passed to exclude rangen colored persons from the city. For fourteen years this law was enforced without objection; then came British enamicipation in the West Indies, British agiittation, British publications against always, and then for the first time Massachusetts discovered that a duty was imposed on her to resist a law necessary to prote the lives and property of those for whom it was passed, and in the regulation not directed against her inhabitants, best of both for regulation, Massachusetts sent an ambassado and the colonial triludation, Massachusetts sent an ambassado to South Carolina, she now sent another, but how distroop, now it was the purpose. And the end of the mission and the purpose of the colonial triludation of the mission of the colonial triludation of the mission of the mission of the colonial lived to be necessary to grant against the highest crimes and great-test misfortunes. A like mission was deputed to New Orleans where mingo) had instigated the blacks of Charleston to murder the

security to properly had rendered similar regulations necessary security to property had rendered similar regulations necessary. All this for the maintenance of a speculative philosophy which sees no guilt in crimes flowing from it, and asks for no practical result. Of all who engage in this agitation on slavery, this indecent intrusion on the domestic affairs of others; I ask what remedy do you propose! We have heard you denooned it in coarsest abuse. We have felt your interference by legislative enactment to render our property less secure, by individual organization to seduce our slaves from comfort and contentment, to turn them pennyless upon a commanity where they are desputed and oppressed, and in a climate to which by constitution they are turn them pennyiess upon a community where they are despited and oppressed, and in a climate to which by constitution they are ussaited. We have seen you unite with our foreign enemies to defame us, and join those who for ecompercial purposes have warred against slavery as the cause of our supermancy in the cotton market of the world. But we have not seen the good you have denoted to the world. But we have not seen the good you have denoted to the world. other effect you have wrought than to generate distrust among the other effect you have wrought than to generate astrony and whites, and to produce a necessity for increased rigor over the slaves. What then do you propose? You speak of emancipation, saints, and to promee a necessary for increased rigor over the slaves. What then do you propose? You speak of emancipation, but you know that immediate emancipation is impractable; that it wantle not approximate the purpose of the produced to supply your that without above route and want to compare the supply your approximate one want to another the your approximate your approximate. Not an instinctive opposition to involuntary servitude, as is shown by your readinests to give validity to the Mexical have your continuous of the proposition of the produced to supply your approximate. Not an instinctive opposition to involuntary servitude, as is shown by your readinests to give validity to the Mexical have your continuous proposition of the produced to the p that it springs from no affection for the slave. Is it the mornal conviction that there cannot be property in persons? No! you imported Africans and sold them as chattels in the slave market, and you are constantly objecting to their representation as persons in the connucis of the federal government. Is it because, as has been said in this debute, slavery is a burden on the government diminishing its power in peace and in war! It so, lot the exports of the country answer, what section of the Union contributes most to supply our Treasury. Lat he history of any war, and he was the section of the contributes most of the country answer, what section of the Union contributes most to supply our Treasury; let the history of our wars reply, as to the number and conduct of the troops which the slaveholding States have given to the service of the country. Those answers must show that this position is wholly untenable. The only commont show that this position is wholly untenable. The only conclusion is that you are prompted by the lust of power, and an itcusion is that you are prompted by the inst of power, and alli-rational hostility to your brethren of the South. I say irrational, because an injury inflicted upon us would surely recoil upon you, and because the sous of the South may proudly challenge the eta-tion of an instance when they have opposed the interest of the North, because it was such; or been recusant to any of the compromises of, or under our constitution.

Whilst northern men contend that the slave States shall not be extended, by participation in any acquired territories, they should remember, and blush to remember that Oregon was acquired by a remember, and blash to remember that Oregon was acquired by a treaty which ceded a large southen territory, and that southern men have been throughout, to make have led in the efforts to secure exclusive possession of the oregon. Floyd, Benton, and Linn, stopped not to be proposed to the settlement and use of our own people to the settlement and use of our own people to the settlement and use of our own people to the settlement and use of our own people to the settlement of southern men that they have faithfully adhered to all compromises. Is there one which has been fully kept by the opposite party? The ordinance of 1737, which can only be considered a compact by subsequent and exclusive provision for the restoration of longitive of ITST, which can only be considered a compact by Sanaer specific quiescence, contained a provision for the rest of Sanaer specific slaves, that being the only consideration to the South. It has been flagrantly violated substantial state of the sentation the South contained by deducing two-fifths of the sentation the Southersteen and the North has been from that time to the sentence of the Southersteen should be southersteen that the Southersteen should be southersteen that the Southersteen should be southersteen the Southersteen should be southersteen that the Southersteen should be southersteen that the Southersteen should be southersteen the Southersteen should be southersteen the Southersteen should be southersteen that the Southersteen should be southersteen should be southersteen should be southersteen should be southersteen sh persons bend to service, and the avera mas been trend into that to this endenvoring to get rid of the compromise. Without a shadow of propriety, the admission of Missouri as a State as the was opposed because of her domestic institutes as sixtle States to secure harmony conceded that shawards to secure harmony conceded that shaw and all the remaining part of the territory which was north of 36° 30′, but now when other territory is acquired, the North assert it all to be free territory, and refuse to declare the territory south of 36' 30' to be open to the introduction of slaves, as good faith would 30' to be open to the introduction of slaves, as good faith would require, if their assertion were tenable, and the territory in fact not could you prove to the property of all the people of the United States. But institute the property of all the people of the United States. But institute the property of all the people of the United States. But institute the property of the prop slave power." The question is before us, it is a struggie to pricial power, and we must meet it at the threshold. Concession has been but the precursor of further aggression, and the spirit of compromise has diminished as your relauve power increased. The scritting which the South has in other times made to the fraterity and tranquility of the Union are now cited as precedents against her rights. To compromise is to waive the application not to surrender the principles on which a right rests. It has not to surrender the priciples on which a right rests. It has been said that we are contending for an abstraction, a thing of no peeu said that we are contending for an austraction, a tinig of no practical importance. It so, then why is it so obtainedly resisted Do you wish to gain another and a broader precedent for future use? The course of this debate justifies the supposition, and de-

WEDNESDAY,

mands eaution on our part. If to contend for principle the practicel effect of which may be remote, is an abstraction, then, sir, the war of the revolution and the war of 1812, so far as the South was concerned, were both fought for abstractions. In the colonial cendition the southern States were especially fostered by Great Britain, and their prosperity was rapidly increasing at the commencement of hostilities against the mother country. The acts of unjust and oppressive legislation were applied to the northern colonies. Sympathy, fraternal feeling, and devotion to principle, brought the South to your side in your first step to resistance. Again int he war of 1812, it was your seamen, not our's, who were impressed, and again from devotion to principle, and the obliga-tions of our alliance, the South stood foremost in that conflict. The blood of her sons stained the battle-fields from Niagara to New Orleans; her exports, main dependence for her support, were out off, and distress came to every hamlet and cottage; yet also murmured not, railed not, raised not the standard of opposition

against the government whilst engaged in a foreign war.

I have said that the South has, on all occasions, been prompted by a sincere desire for domestic tranquillity, and an ardent love for the Union. The conduct of her sons on this occasion has, I think, sustained her past character. To prevent further agitation, to secure peace, to perpetuate our Union, I am willing to go as far as my p. inciples will allow. To compromise it is necessary that both parties should, to some extent, yield. To prevent continuance of the agitation, it is necessary that the conditions of the compromise should be express; that nothing should be left to deubtful construc-tion. Finally, the value of any compromise we may make, must tion. Finally, the value of any compromise we may make, must depend on the feelings of those for whom it is made, and to whom it is entrusted. If the spirit of compromise has departed from our people, it is idle to propose its forms. If the principles of the constitution are to be disregarded by a self-sustaining majority, the days of the confideration are numbered. The men who have encountered past wars for the maintained of minimals will have some the hardward of the majority will have some the hardward of the hard tenance of principle, will never consent to be branded with inferiority, pronounced because of their domestic institutions un-worthy of further political growth. If such be your determination, it were better that we should part peaceably, and avoid steining ham said to his nephew Lot, when strife arose among their peo-ple, "Go thou to the right hand, and I will go to the left, and let

libere be peace between us."
If the folly, and fanaticism, and pride, and hate, and corruption of the day, are to destroy the peace and prosperity of the Union, let the sections part like the patriarchs of old, and let peace and good will subsist among their descendants. Let no wounds be intheted which time may not heal. Let the flag of our Union be folded up entire, the thirteen stripes recording the original size of our fa-mily, untern by the unholy struggles of civil war; its constellatien to remain undimmed, and speaking to those who come after us of the growth and prosperity of the family whilst it remained united. Unmutillated let it lie among the archives of the republic, on some future day when wiser counsels shall prevail; when men have been sobered in the school of adversity, again to be un-furled over the continent-wide republic.

Sir, is the paltry consideration of political supremacy over those who do not possess the power, and have never shown the de-sire to intrude on the domestic affairs, to impede the growth, or to and the transport of conditions of the three properties of the conditions of the condition of the condition published this creased against the South? Shall the fabric of human liberty and republican government, which was founded and built by the wisest and purest of our land, and left as a heritance for their children forever, he toru down by the first generation which succeeded to it, and left in ruin; an object for the republiean's pity, the monarchist's scorn.

I hear and see the agitation of politicians, but from these I turn to the people; in their patriosm and good sense is my hope and confidence. They have no interest beyond the public good. To to the property of the propert tion which draws in its train the destruction of the compromises, the subversion of the principles on which the durability of our

confederacy depends.

Mr. President : I have intentionally extended my remarks to many points not involved in the amendment I proposed to the Senate. That amendment was confined to the case presented by the bill under consideration, which, though not in terms, does in fact bill under consideration, which, inough not in terms, does in fact as I have shown, authorize the prohibition of slavery in Oregon. It asks no additional guarantee, no privilege, no concession, but is to prevent a construction which would reenging in the federal government, as in those who derive their authority from it, power to control the subject of slavery without the concurrence of the States. If this amendment be rejected, I shall view it as ominous of the future, and stand prepared for whatever consequences may

Mr. CLAYTON .- This debate has been extended to a very Mr. CLAY ION.—I his debate has been extended to a very great length. I do not rise for the purpose of endeavoring to ar-rest it. It is apparent, however, I think, from its progress thus far, that it is endedated rather to exasperate, than to conciliate and molify. Different views have been presented by Senators who have purtuelpated in the discussion, and various amendments have been proposed to the bill now under consideration. Those amend-

ments clash entirely with each other, and we have not been able to ascertain, even after this protracted debate, upon what ground the Senate is prepared to settle this great question, if any settle-ment of it can be made at all. We have not heen able to ascerment of it can be made at all. We have not been able to ascer-tein what the sentiment of the Senate is upon the subject, and it seems to me as if we have been in session now quite as Congress is usually in session—it seems to me I say, highly im-portant that we should know something of each other's views. We have done nothing thus far to sacertain what the views of the Senate will be, nor do I conceive that we are likely, if the debate runs on toan indefinite period, to ascertain these views. It seems to me then, that at this stage of the session, and under these circommuner, something should be done to ascertain if possible, white the sense of the Senate is upon this great question. As the debate progresses, new amendments are offered, and if it continue, it is highly probable that we shall have many other propositions. Now at this time, the question may be said to be placed before one of the committees of this body—the Committee on Territories—of the committees of this body—the Committee on Territories—or which I am a member. That committee has no desire whatever to avoid the responsibility thrown upon it by the Senate. It is perfectly willing to do its duty, and its whole duty, on this great subject. It is now preparing a bill on the subject; but that committee, as all are aware, at present consists of only four members; and I would ask, is it probable that having been constituted origiand I without says, it probable that naving used constituted upon ally without any reference to this subject, is at all likely that it can report anything satisfactory to the Senate? Is it probable that such a committee could reconcile conficient geninous upon this great subject? Is it likely that that committee can present all upon views and information which the Senate would require? views and mormation which the Schate would require? I have been present in this chamber, when a question of as great magnitude as the present was before it, and recollect very well the course adopted by the Senate on that occasion; I refer to the compromise tariff of 1842. The ordinary committee of the Senate, to which the subject might have been referred, were discharged from the consideration of it, and it was placed in the hands of a special committee of seven members, through whose intervention and agend the question was disposed of, as I think, to the satisfaction of the country. At the time of the Missouri compromise, efforts were made in vain te settle that question through the ordinary commit made in value to settle that question through the consists committee of the body, and it was eventually settled by a committee of conference, consisting of eight members, three from the Senate and five from the House. The members of that committee represen-ed the various sections of the United States, and were enabled to inform each other of the feelings and views of every part of the country. By them the question was settled in a satissaction mer. I am deeply impressed with the conviction, that if the important question now before the Senate be settled at all, it must be by the same means that were adopted in the cases to which and if the american is not to be settled, it is highly important that somebody should be constituted by the Senate, possessing power and influence enough to be able to satisfy us on that sessing power and innuence enough to be since to satisfy use of the point, so that we may in that case drop the consideration of the subject—terminate the debate which is exciting and agritating the whole antion—proceed to discharge our other duties and go home. Now, with the view to concentrate opinion, if it he possible to act with effect, or if not, with a view to ascertain that facts so that we may proceed to discharge the pressing husiness of the country, leaving this question to the future, I move that a committee of reaving his question to the minder. Those that a committee of eight members be appointed by the Senate for the purpose of taking this question under their consideration, to be composed of four members from the nerthern section of the Union, and four from the southern, to be chosen by ballot. If the Senate should adopt this proposition, I would suggest that the committee should be so organized as to make it apparent to the whole country that this is no party movement; in other words, that two of the members from the North should be chosen from one party, and two from the other, and so with respect to the members from the South.

Mr. FOOTE.—I was one of those who were exceedingly gratified a few days since, when the olive branch was extended to the South by northern hands. I refer to the suggestions made in regard to the Missouri compromise. Deeply regretting the general temper and tone of this debate, which have been, in my judgment, well calculated to extinguish harmony and cause discord, ment, we have consequences to a printy up in our case and a second price as a set with great sincerity, and an confident that I do not stand alone in the expression of that sentiment, that I feel greatly rejoiced at the proposition which has just been made by the distinguished Senator from Delaware. I hope it will be met in the spirit in which it has been offered, and I have rise only for the purious the proposition which and the price of the purious control of the price of the pri pose of expressing that desire, and making a reply to an observa-tion which, if unresponded to, might perhaps, to some extent, in the estimation of some, implicate others and myself in a supposed understanding that, if this committee shall not be able to adopt some plan of compromise, the Senate is to regard itself as prohibited from any farther efforts in its general legislative capacity, to arrive at the same object. I simply wish to be distinctly under-stood on this point, that, whilst warmly approving the proposition stood on this point, that, whilst warmly appreving the projection of the Senator from Delaware, even though the efforts which lie proposes to be made should not be successful, I, for one, shift Jeel bound, as I intimated some time ago, in case the matter be not settled in this way, to struggle on as a member of this body in the effort, by every sort of legitimate and proper expedient, to bring about a settlement of this much vexed and perilous question. I shall never be willing to go home leaving this question open and undivided, apprehending, as I do most sincerely, the worst results from such a course. At present I say nothing more.

Mr. FITZGERALD .- I rise only for the purpose of having an Mr. FILZERALD.—Thise conjugate the application of the purpose of naving an application in regard to a charge, unintentionally made, no doubt, against the State which I have the honor in part to represent. I allude to the published speech of the very distinguished Senator from South Carolina, made upon this flore some days since, upon the subject still under consideration. That gentleman is made to from South Carolina, made upon this floor some days since, upon the subject still under consideration. That gentleman is made to charge the northern States with violating the ordinance of '87, by the passage of laws to problibit the reclamation of furitives from service. Now, what I desire is, to appeal to that distinguished Senator to do the State which I have in part the honor to repre-sent, the justice to produce any such law from her statute book, or, failing in that, to declare her free from liability to such a sort, the pasted of produced we are from from the street of the control of the co

Mr. CALHOUN .- I respond very cheerfully to the appeal made Mr. CALHOUN.—I respond very cheerfully to the appeal made by the Senator from Michigan, but I am very sorry to say that the answer will not be satisfactory. The amount of my temark was, that the ordinance of '87 was a compromise between the North and the South—that the South yielded up to the northern States the exclusive right of settling the northwest territory, and that the North entered into a solemn stipulation with the South, that they North entered into a solemn stipulation with the South, that may would deliver up ingitive slaves, and the point of my charge was, not that any specific laws had been passed, but that the northern States had pursued a course which has rendered utterly null and woul that stipulation. I am sorty to say that Michigan is one those States, and if the Senator wants proof of that, I relieve for a memorial from the legislature of Kentucky presented this very session, which has been referred to a committee who have exam-ined all the facts, and ascertained that citizens of Kentucky, in endeavoring to reclaim fugitive slaves found in Michigan, were overpowered and prevented from recovering their property. Now, the stipulation into which these States entered was not simply that they would not pass laws rendering that stipulation nugatory, but that they should pass laws to protect us, and use their whole pow-er and authority for the purpose of delivering up these fugitive slaves. If Michigan have passed no law against us, she has eith-or omitted to pass laws to fulfil that stipulation, or neglected to enforce them within her limits.

Mr. FITZGERALD.—I beg leave to state, in reply, that although individuals in the State of Michigan may have attempted to prevent the reclamation of fugitive slaves, yet the State authorities are not to be charged with had faith to the South. We have a few abolitionists in that State, and on some occasions their con-duct and declarations have been such as to cause sincere regret on the part of the great hody of the people. The legislature has on the part of the great hody in the people. The registrative asserter yet compiled with their request, or passed any law to prevent the reclamation of fugitives from service; and so far as I know and believe, the people of the State and the authorities have been anxious to see the spirit of that ordinance carried into full elements. been anxious to see the spirit of that ordinance carried into full effect. Such, at least, have been my views and feelings; and so far as I have been able to judge, I can say they have been shared by the great body of the people. I would ask the gentleman whether the State of Michigan, in its legislative capacity, or any other capacity as a State, or whether the great body of its citizens should be held responsible for the conduct of a few individuants. als. who, on some one or two occasions, may, for aught I know, have violated the laws—may have, as the gentleman insists, violated the spirit of that ordinance?

Mr. CALHOUN.—Michigna was bound to pass laws to earry out that ordinance. I wish I could place my hands upon the report in reference to the facts presented in the memorial from the legislature of Kentucky, renact outrage never was committed by one people and the presented in the memorial from the by one people and ask, was the State justified in remaining a particular of the present outrage Mr. CALHOUN.-Michigan was bound to pass laws to carry at that ordinance. I wish I could place my hands upon the rewith great reluctance! Would it were otherwise. I would re-joice if the stipulations of the constitution were lulfilled on all sides; but, I must say, that there have been most flagrant violations of these stipulations, not on the part of Michigan alone, but on that of almost every one of the free States.

Mr. CORWIN -I wish to inquire whether the Supreme Court has not expressly decided that the States can pass no such laws

whatever? Mr. CALHOUN .- My colleague, who has been investigating that subject, will answer the Senator.

Mr. BUTLER .- The case which the Senator from Ohio refers to, I presume is that of Pennsylvania vs. Prigg; in which some of the judges of the Supreme Court undertook to deliver opinions on questions not really involved; and such as did not fall within the scope of the authoritative judgment of the court. The constitutional validity of an act of the legislature of Pennsylvania, was tional validity of an act of the legislature of Pennsylvania, was alone involved in the issue before the court. The act made it a felony for the owner of a fugitive slave to pursue and apprehend thin within the limits of that State, without personing certain sta-tutory prescriptions that were calculated, and perhaps intended to throw impediments in the way of the owner in the pursuit of his

slave, escaping from his service. The defendant had been arraigned before the State centr, and by their judgment, was subject to the penalties of the net to pay a large fine, and he put to hard labor for seven years, for no other efficience than taking possession—forcibly—of his own property. The Supreme Court unanimously decided that the act was unconstitutional and void, inseinnoisy declored and no fact was unconstitutional and with a much as it was a prohibition on the perfect rights of the owner over his figitive slave—his dominion being ender the guaranties of the constitution, as perfect and complete in one State as in another. In other words, that no State had the right by any State statute to prevent the owner from apprehending his figure slave. statute to prevent the owner from apprehending his logitive slave. The judgment in fact went to further—but a majority of the function of the property of the state of the property of the pro slave was unconstitutional. Seeing the tendency of those opinions or they were nothing more than so many opinions—not essentially entering into the judgment of the court, the chief justice and two others—Thompson and Daniel—filed their own opinions, in which they endeavored to obviate such consequences of an unqualified judgment of the court.

ined judgment of the court.

They lield that the non-slaveholding States could pass no laws to profibit the owner from excressing his constitutional rights—in reclaiming his runaway slave; but that they might make such laws as would facilitate the delivery, which the obligations of good faith would seem to demand at their hands. In the early legislamust wouse seem of expension at their nands. In the early legislation on the subject, seemand been the character of the statutory provisions of most of the States. In other words, it was not wrong to cooperate by State action to carry out the objects of the federal constitution; whilst they were prohibited from opposing its provisions, by loss; the subject of the federal constitution; whilst they were prohibited from oppositions of the subject of the federal constitution; whilst they were prohibited from oppositions to the subject of the federal constitution. ing its provisions, by bostile enactments. The Judiciary Committee—in the report which I had the honor to submit sometime ago—took the same view, and gave their unqualified assent to the views expressed by the chief justice and his concurring colleagues. Justice Baldwin, in more judgments than one, entertained the same views. I submit that the authoritative judgment of the committee, and the opinions of a bare majority of the judges, were sentially different mode be a question as to the weight of the argument being in favor of the views of the chief justice. Some of the non-slaveholding States have taken advantage of the letter of the non-slaveholding States have taken advantage of the letter of the non-season and States have taken according of the letter to this decision, and have framed their laws in such a way as to make it criminal for their State officers to give any assistance in the ap-prehending and delivery of a ligitive slave. In this it was obvious that the objection of good faith had been violated. Indeed, the design seems to be to evade these obligations.

Mr. CALHOUN .- I would state, in addition to the explanation off yould go that at the time, I regarded its decision as the most extraordinary one ever made. It had been the practice the non-sleveholding States to pass such haw, and their constitutional power to do so had never been questioned. The provision of the constitution for the recovery of linguitive slaves is connected of the constitution for the recovery of ligiture slaves is connected with another immediately in juxtaposition with it—the provision for the delivering of ligitives from justice. Both come onder what is called extradition treatics, perfectly familiar to every public man, and as well interpreted as any treaties in the world extradition treating the interpreted in the world and in the delivery of fugitives from justice. That is the case allowed in the delivery of fugitives from justice in the Wind case also been in the State Department, early of fugitives from justice? And shall call the delivery of fugitives from justice? And shall the delivery of fugitives from justice? another provision, standing in the constitution, worded in the same manner, receive a different and most absurd interpretation? For, manner, receive a different and most absurd interpretation? For, if the States are to stand by themselves and make on eifforts whater, who does not see that the power of the United States will not be competent to fulfil the law, if the power of the United States will not be competent to fulfil the law, if the power of the United States is so very remote that it can never be exercised? The committee has reported a full with a view to carry into effect the powers of the United States; and we shall see how the gentleman will not can be table. will act on that hill.

Mr. CORWIN.—I am perfectly satisfied that the Senator stated the decision as recorded in our books. It is enough to say that a majority of the bench have decided the question which I proposed.

Mr. CALHOUN .- I do not recognize the decision.

Mr. CORWIN .- I will not undertake to say, from a very accurate criticism of the case, whether the point now suggested, was brought up directly before the court; but it was discussed bewas brought up directly bother the court; but it was discussed be-fore it, as one of the questions necessary to arrive at the decision on the main point; and being discussed by the counsel on both sides, the question was as fully decided by the court as any other brought before them. In regard to the legislation of the States, brought before them. In regard to the legislation of the States, I am not prepared to say whether the gentleman from South Carolina is fully correct in the statement of his views. But I think the gentlemen from the South have allowed their sensibilities to be quite too much exatted on this subject. With regard to the transactions referred to is kentucky, there has been a great mistake as to the facts. Commissioners were sent on behalf of the State of Kentucky to the State of Oho, for the purpose of negotiars. time a treaty of extradition, as the geatleman from South Caroline cells it; and I have only to say, that we did not imprison them nor send them home. We allowed them to remain at our court, where, with the help of the imperial parliament of Ohio, a law was enacted perfectly satisfactory to both sides, and almost terms the same as the law of Pennsylvania, which was decided upon by the Sapreme Court of the United States. That law was repealed by the legislature of the State of Ohio, for the simple reason that the highest jodicial tribunal in the United States is the same as the law of the States of the State of Ohio, for the simple reason that the highest jodicial tribunal in the United States is the same as the same

Mr. BUTLER—I hope the gentleman will inform us whether that extraordinary embassy from Kentucky to the "Imperial Contt" of Ohio was not occasioned by the intolerable mischiefs which the people of Kentucky suffered from the escaping of their slaves into Ohio beyond the reach of reclamation?

Mr. CORWIN.—I will answer the Senator with great pleasure. The embasy originated in the solicitude of our sister State of Kentucky to preserve amicable relations with us. The reason assigned by the embasy was, that our law did not furnish to them the means of reclaiming their fugitive slaves. The people of the United States had acted upon the subject in the law of 1793; but it seems that they did not act with that degree of efficiency necessary, in the judgment of the people of Kentucky, to severe to them their property. There was another reason which induced the State of the state o

Mr. CALHOUN.—I cannot permit the Senator to escape even under a decision of the Suprome Court. By express contract between the rest of the States and the people inhabiting these territories, which are now States, the latter bound themselves to deliver up our figures above. They are the parties to that contract, under the ordinance, and it has not been superseded by the conconstitution.

Mr. CORWIN.—Have not the Supreme Court, to which reference has been made, interpreted our rights, duties, and powers, nuder that compact?

Mr. CALHOUN.—Simply and only under the constitution of the United States. They could not put aside a contract. I stands upon higher principles. It stands entirely on different ground from the present states and the state of the stands of th

Mr. CORWIN.—I have only one remark in reply to the Senaor's view of our obligations under the ordinance. When the Supreme Court decided that, under the constitution, made subsoquently to that ordinance, these States had no power to pass such laws, unquestionably they have given a judicial interpretation to their rights, power, and duties under the ordinance and the constitution are in the very same words. Whatever obligations there may be under the ordinance of '87 remain under the constitutions and are reimposed by that instrument. Now, it must be seen, that the decision of the Supreme Court comprehends every obligtion above the constitution of that ordinance. Surely, if that compact, in the judgment of the Supreme Court, had had an obligation above the constitution and beyond it, they would have said so. It is true that the case was one from Pennsylvania, but much of the discussion, as every gondleman who attended to it at that time knows, was upon this very ordinance. But that is immaterial. If the obligations under the constitution of the United States which the States of Ohio, or any other State of the northwest tertrotry owers to the South, as it is called, exists by virtue of the constitution of the United States, they are not tolerated in legislating upon the subject.

Mr. CALHOUN—I cannot permit even that view of the case to pass. The constitution expressly provides for the continuance of this centract between the United States and the people that inhalited the northwest territory. The sixth article of the censtitution centains an express permission that "all debts contracted and engagements entered into before the adoption of this constitution shall be as valid against the United States under this constitution as under the confederation." Now, is it not manifest that the ordinance of '87 looked to its hidfillment under the present government and not the old confederation, which had no machinery, no capacity to exeute it? If the words of the ordinance and those in the constitution are presidely the same—and I have not compared them—it is one of the strongest a goments to show that the decision of the court was wrong, and that the words of the constitution ought to have received the interpretation of the prior words instead of the prior words receiving the interpretation of the

Mr. CORWIN.—I do not intend to controvert the right of the gentleman to take an appeal from the decision of the Supreme Court, but I do not know where he can find any revisory power at present.

Mr. CALHOUN,—That being admitted, everything is admitted. These States, if they have not violated the stipulation, have permitted it to be violated incessantly. It is of that we complain

Mr. BRIGHT.—The very important motion made by the Senator from Delaware seems to have been lest sight of. He moves to refer the bill under discussion, together with so much of the President's message as relates to territorial governments in Upper California and New Mexico to a select committee.

Mr. CLAYTON.—My motion embraces only the bill before the Senate, with the amendments.

Mr. BRIGHT.—I suppose the object of the Senator is to refer so much of the message as relates to the territories which I have named to the same committee?

Mr. CLAYTON .-- No; only the hill and the amendments.

Mr. BRIGHT.—I ask whether it is not the intention of the Senator to refer also the question connected with the organization of governments in the territories which I have named to the same

Mr. BERRIEN—If the Senator will permit me, I will state my view of the motion. I understand that the motion is to refer this bill, with the several amendments, to a committee, constituted in the manner suggested by the Senator. The consequence of such a reference would be, I presume, to induce the Committee on Territories to forbear their action upon the other bills which are before them until the sense of the Senate, shall be known upon the report of that committee. The purpose of the motion of the Senator from Delaware is not to withdraw from that committee the subjects which they have now under their control, but to refer this bill which is now under their control, but to refer this bill which is now under their control, but to refer this bill which is now under their centrol, but to refer this bill which they have now under their centrol, but to refer this bill which they have now under their centrol, but to refer this bill and also serve to guide the Committee on Territories in relation to the list which they have in oharge.

Mr. BRIGHT.—Sustaining the relations which I do to the Committee on Territories, I thought proper to make a reply to the motion of the Senator from Delaware. I have auding to say in reference to the bill under discussion. If the debate can be arrested and action had by the reference in the manner proposed, I, for one, shall be much gratified. The inference, however, is a subjects to which I alluded—the organization of governments in New Mexico and California, will also be referred. Indeed, I think it is proper that it should be so referred. I will be recollected that when a proposition was made the other day to cularge the Committee on Territories, by adding to its number two members, after extansiting a day in debate; the Senato refeared to increase that committee. The committee considered that its tanta-extansity is the second of the considered that its tanta-extansity of the considered that the committee of preparation of the preparation of the senate to refer the bill under discussion, I hope that the Committee on Territories governments in New Mexico and California. The only difficulty of that subject is he shave in the consideration of those portions of the President's message which the committee of the referred that the whole subject will be destroated to the select committee.

Mr. DICKINSON.—I am very much in favor of the motion which has been made by the Senator from Delaware. Here we are, nearly at midsumner, with a vast extent of territory morganized, and from a portion of which the most urgent appeals have delay is in my opinion inexcussable. That the content of the strength of the content of the conten

Mr. HALE—I confess that I retriefy dissent from the honorable Senator from New York. I does not seem to me that this motion of the Senator from Deliverse in the senator from the Senator from Deliverse in the senator from the Senator from Deliverse in the Senator from Delivers

Mr. FOOTE.—I rise to a point of order. The Senator is out of order in undertaking to discuss the merits of the bill. I have no desire to interrupt the Senator, but merely wish to prevent inflammatory discussion.

The PRESIDING OFFICER.—The Senator from New Hampshire is discussing the expediency of referring the bill to a committee, and is, therefore, not out of order.

Mr. FOOTE.—The Senator was speaking of the feeling in New England on this subject.

Mr. HALE.—I did not name New England, and I was about to conclude my remarks. Certainly I feel this call to order a little more keenly, because it comes from a gentleman who always confines himself so closely to the subject mutter before the Senate. I was about to remark that I wished to see a vote upon this question. I think that a vote negativing the provisional legislation of the people of Oregon, would be one of the most illuminating documents that could go out to the people, and would have a greater tendency to settle this question than any report that any committee could make. There is another proposition upon which I would not be compared to the proposition of slavery which the people of Oregon have incorpared in their provisional legislation. I am utterly at a loss to know how there can be any compromise upon these propositions. I cannot possibly executive what compromise can be made with respect to a territory in which it is conceded slavery cannot exist. Why did we not compromise when we annexed Texas The whole of that was given up to slavery. There was nothing said about compromise there.

#### SEVERAL SENATORS .- Yes-ves!

Mr. HALE.—I know what I am talking about. The bill passed through all its stages, and the idea of compromise was never suggested until the honorable chairman of the Committee on Territories—then a member of the House—got up, and just as the vote was about to be taken, appealed —the honorable member from Tennessee, who introduced the bill, [Mr. Milton Brown] and asked him if he dad any objection to have a provision inserted in the bill prohibiting slavery north of 36° 30°. The honorable gentleman said that he bad not; and why? Because there was no more

30TH Cong .- 1ST SESSION-No. 111.

of Texas, as was then supposed, that was north of 36° 30' than there was of Now Hampshre south of that like, for the territory of New Mexico ran down south of that parallel, and the city of Santa Se. which General Kearney lately conquered, is itself south that is 36° 30'. The provision was accordingly inserted in the billion of the control of the second sec

Mr. RUSK.—I do not like to remain silent while facts are misstated. I am prepared to show that Texas extended far above that line.

Mr. HALE.—I nm speaking only of the boundaries of New Moxico as they existed; and if all the maps which we have had published from the beginning of time are to be relied upon, the city of Santa Fe lies south of 36° 30'.

Mr. RUSK.—The same sort of reasoning would exclude Texas from the possession of any soil at all, because the maps show that Mexico came up to the Sabine.

Mr. HALE.—If the President's message is to be relied upon, Santa Fe was a part of the enemy's country conquered by General Kearney.

Mr. RUSK.—The title of Texas dates back to her revolution. It grew up anterior to any message of the President in relation to Texas. We hold that title neither under maps of Mexico nor Texas. We hold that title neither under maps of Mexico nor took place in 1836, and the of the faired States. Our revolution took place in 1836, and the revolution, the unit die acquisition of territory by virtue of that revolution, the unit of the conduction of the Rio Grande as the benefits of that we base our title.

that we base our title.

Mr. IIALE—I do not intend to say one word in derogation of the title of Texas. She ziay run her boundary north to 514 307 if she pleases. I have stated only the broad and notorious face that it was only at the very last starge of the Oregon bill in the House of Representatives, that the proposition to apply the compensative autroduced. The people of Oregon have incorporated that it was only at the very last starge of the Oregon bill in the bounce of Representatives, that the proposition to apply the compensatives untroduced. The people of Oregon have incorporated that the start of the oregon is the proposition of the propositi

have a vote upon one or the other of the propositions.

Mr. BUTLER.—I am not one of those who think that this question has gone beyond the reach of compromise. The Senator from New Hampshire has said that, in his judgment, the question can undergo no changes, and he draws the inference that southern members are anxious to extend slavery to the territory of Oregon by positive legislation. I think the Senator, from his local position, takes a direcumsorbed view of the subject. I should regard the 12th section of this bill as very imnocent, were it not that generate the section of this bill as very imnocent, were it not that generate the section of the southern than the interest of the section of the sect

Mr. HALE.—I must report what I said hefore, that where a moral principal was not involved, I was ready to ge as far as the farthest for compromise. There is one way of compromise which seems open to me. Let us, in a spirit of magnanimity which will be felt and recognized every where throughout the world, come forward and tell Mexico that what we have taken from her m-jured as infinitely more than her—that we have found it to be not high but a bone of contention, and that we return it to her. Let us say to Mexico, take it all back and keep it. Into that compromise I am prepared at once to cuter, but I can never be a party to any compromise that involves moral deroliction—and when I speak of that I do not mean to guage any man's conscience by mine own. All the horrors of dissolution I only look steadfastly in the face, before I could look to that moral Tom which must fall upon us, when we have so far prostituted ourselves as to become the pioneers of shavery over those territories. When the American eagle plannes his wing for new flights, let it never be to overshadow the land with the dark pail of slavery.

Mr. FOOTE,—The suggestion which has been made by the Senator from New Hampshire, [Mr. II.x.E.] touching the propriety of yielding back to Mexico all those valuable territorial equisitions recently seemed to us by treaty, I shall not undertake to reply to seriously. I shall be slow to believe that there is a significant manifely patriotic man in any part of the republic, who, if submitted the properties of the republic, who, if submitted the properties of the republic of the serious serious serious constitutions of the serious se

jected to regular test, would be found willing to stultify this nation so signally before the devilized world, as would be the inevitable consequence of a proceeding so unprecedented and so university of the second sold of the second sold of the second sold of the subject upon our attention. The Senator declares his capability of "looking all the horrors of dissolution steadfastly in the face." In this respect I differ with the honorable Senator very greatly indeed; there is no evil that I could not look in the face with more calmess and composure than the dissolution of this glorious confederacy. I do not understand how any patriot can gaze with steadfastness and resignation on any such event. As a man devoted to the Union of these States—sworn to support the constitution—whose grand purposes, as declared in the preamble to the instrument itself, to be-

"To form a more perfect union, establish justice, ensure domestic tranquility, provide for the common defence, pruniote the general welfare, and secure the blessings of blesty."

to the generation which honored it and their "posterity"—I can not see how any member of this body can reconcile it to hurself to aid, either directly or indirectly in any measure or act calculated in the least degree to defeat any one of these great objects. I design to impugn the conscientiousness and patriotism of no member of the Sonate, when I say, that I regard every Senator here as bound by the constitutional oath which he has taken, to do nothing, and say nothing, here or elsewhere, at all hidely to put in regulity. "Union of these States of the experiment of the second o

Mr. CLANTON—I have not participated in the excitement which has been manifected on this subject, because in all sincerity, I can say that I and none. I am a representative in part of one of the latter of the plant it is a State, which it has always been considered to say, whether it belongs to the North or to the South. A committee of lawyers, it is said, was once appointed to decide the question, as to whether Delaware was a northern or a southern State. It was nrged on the part of the South, that the northern boundary was a portion of Mason's and Dixon's line; that was thought to have settled the question at once. But on the part of the North it was immediately afterwards shown that the southern and western lines were also run by Mason and Dixon.

So the committee threw the matter up in despair, and I believe is the mean stude to which portion of the Union that State belongs. Ifeel, then, on this occasion, very little excitement, Burt, the people of my State, in the midst of this excitement, appear to be situated very much like the game cock in the stable with the horses. When they began to make a disturbance, he cried out, "gentlemen, let us make a bargain; let us agree that no gentleman here shall fly into a passion, or a stampele, or tread

on another one's toes.

I wish to say, in corroboration of what has been stated in part, by the Senator from South Carolina, that the object of my motion is not to discharge the territorial committee from any portion of the duties which devolve upon them, in consequence of the reference already made of portions of the President's message, relative to the organization of territorial government in California and New Mexico. I desire to leave the committee in possession of that subject, and that made to it, may go to the select committee. I would also state, for the information of those who do not perhaps comprehend the reason for this particular motion, the object which I have in view. In any event, I desire that the territorial committee should report, with the advice and information which they may obtain from the Secretary of the Treasury, a bill to extend our revenue laws over these two terratories. A bill on that subject is in course of other great and engrossing topic, I think we shall all all agree in the extension of our revenue laws over the courty, if the Secretary of the Treasury thinks it proper and necessary to do so.

to do so.

That subject may be separated with great propriety from the main question, and the Territorial Committee can at once proceed to act upon it, holding the main of Committee can at once proceed to act upon it, holding the main to the great and lexiting activation. I keep therefore, that the Territorial Committee will retain possession of the matter referred to them. No motion has been made to discharge them from the consideration of these subjects. It is not the Oregon hill allone which is proposed to be referred to the select committee, for the various amendments go with it, and they enhance the whole controvery between the North and the North. A maintenance the whole controvery between the North and the North. A maintenance that whole controvery between the North and the North. A maintenance which will be enabled to ascertain where it is practicable to obtain any settlement of it at present. If that result be impracticable, I, for one, would be disposed to leave the matter over for the present. But I will never abandon the hope that we shall eventually be enabled to settle this question honorably and satisfactorily to all parties. I have no more norther nor southern feeling, but as a sincere friend of temperate the consistency of the present of the pr

hereafter. If, at a future session that course should be impracticable to which they may now be disposed to give their assent, it is obvious that gentlemen will feel more or less embarransed. They will desire to adhere to the decision which they may now give. I desire to avoid that state of things. If Senators lail to agree now I desire to a state of the state of the

Mr. HALE rose to address the Senate.

Mr. WESTCOTT.—I call the gentleman to order. He has already spoken three times upon this subject, and the rule limits him to speaking twice.

Mr. HALE.—I call the Senator to order for a miscount; I have spoken only twice.

The PRESIDING OFFICER.—Shall the Senator from New Hampshire have leave to proceed?

The question deing decided in the affirmative, the Senator was allowed to proceed.

Mr. HALE.—I am obliged to the Senate for its indulgence. I rose only for the purpose of correcting a misapprehension of the Senator from Mississippi. The honorable Senator has alledged that I am affiliated in some way with a society or organization who have resolved to destroy the Union.

Mr. FOOTE.—I said more or less affiliated. They have determined, if the Senator be nominated at Buffalo, that we shall have a hail storm with a vengeance.

Mr. HALE.—Oh! all that will certainly be footed up when it comes. I must say that I am very sorry to have wounded the tender sensibilities of the Senator from Plorida. His peculiar sensitives of the Senator from Plorida. His peculiar sensitivity of the sensiti

Mr. FOOTE.—I ask the Senator whether as a candidate, he does not indulge fond expectations that he will get the vote of that faction?

Mr. HALE—Let me answer the Senator. In the first place, I do not look upon an election to the Prosidency as a very great bonor; and in the next place, I have not any very ardent boner; and in the next place, I have not any very ardent bopes of an election—this time! Wright and Garrison, and the men of that party, do not vote at all. They deny the obligation to sustain any human government whatever, and certainly the Senator must read their papers much more attentively than I do, if he find any thing complimentary to me. One of the most eminent of that party was formerly a lawyer in Boston, of high standing at the hear, and having occasion lately to appear as an advocate in one of the courts of Massachusetts, he procured from his client a special the constitution, wheches would be lever to the grant of the corresponding of the New York "Anti-slavery Standard," and the Boston "Liberator," and I suppose as these papers are furnished to the Senator, the Garrison men do not think him so incorrigible a case as I am.

Mr. FOOTE.—Not twelve months since, this Garrison stood on a platform, called a whig platform—I lope unjustly—where certain distinguished members of the whig party were present and spoke, and when the aid of that faction was invoked by one of the most distinguished whigs ever named in this Union, it was responded to by the assurance on the part of these gentlemen, that they would vote at the coming election for the Senator from New Hampshire, unless he should withdraw in favor of the Buffalo nominee, whoever he might be

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland.—I am very unwilling to interrupt honorable Senators, but I submit that the whole of this conversation is out of order.

Mr. IIALE,—The Senator from Mississippi has asked me if I on ont expect the support of Mr. Garrison as a candidate for the Presidency, if I do not withdraw: I tell him candidy that I do not. The gentlemants entirely mistaken when he represents Mr. Garrison and his associates to be the organs of the liberty party. The liberty party is satisfied to abde by the constitution, and wish to bring it back to its original spirit. We are the great conservative party, assailed by the ultras of the North and the South. The Senate might just as well call the State of Illinois

Mormonite, as charge the extravagance of these men upon those friends with whom it is my pleasure and pride to act.

[Mr. WESTCOTT here addressed the Senate at some length. At his request his speech will appear in the Appendix.]

Mr. NILES.—I have no doubt that the Senator from Delaware has been influenced by good matties in making the proposition of the proposition. It expects that he feels a good deal of sensibility on this subject. I regret that I cannot concern in his judgment, that any good can come from this proposition. We see, already in this debate, that the advocates of proposed compromise are all on one side of the line. What are we to compromise! Why, sir, I am reminded very much of the case of the two boxers; one of whom proposed to the other to have a trial of two boxers; one of whom proposed to the other to have a trial of which will get it. That seems to be the amount of the present proposition. Or good is now under the law of freedom. I trust it will never be withdrawn from that law by the action of Congress, or in any other way. For one, then, I can never agree to any compromise which is to give up a principle now established there. I know it is proposed to make this compromise more comprehensive, by embracing other territory. I am not prepared to say that that is wise. I believe in the saying, "Safficient unto the day is the cert through without knowing whether it

Sulmeter. Uniform test products is to be gained by patching up an arrangement, to be carried through without knowing whether it would be satisfactory to the parties concerned, that is, to our constituents who have sent us here. This is not a matter of cors. This is not a matter of curs. This is not a matter of cors. The people are not represented here upon this subject, and it is impossible that any assisfactory arrangement can be made unless made by the people themselves. The people will be represented in reference to this subject in the next Congress. This is not a Missouri compromise ease. That was the admission of a State, and when one admitted, the arrangement continues and the correct of the majority of the people clarify expressed on this floor, but whether misrepresented or not, we will be comprehenced to subject. The country shall be stained with slavery. That is the prevailing, general, universal sentiment of the North, and yet with attending the correct of the country shall be stained with slavery. That is the prevailing, general, universal sentiment of the North, and yet with that sentiment they are prepared to submit to a fair decision by a Congress fairly representing the will of the people. That is the prevailing, general, universal sentiment of the North, and yet with that sentiment they are prepared to submit to a fair decision by a Congress fairly representing the will of the people. That is the prevailing, general, uni

Mr. BERRIEN.—We ought to be admonished by all the inducations of the sexpeliency of coming to a speedy settlement of this quantum of the control of the sentence of the whole subject to destinate of the control of the sentence of the whole subject to gentlemen selected from various portions of the Union, to ascertain whether they, in the retirement of a chamber, exchanging opinions with the conviction that the declarations or declamations which may be made will not go out to the public, and they them selves become excited by that very consideration—whether of the sentence o

Mr. DOWNS.—I concur entirely with the Senator from Delawre, and my hopes of a beneficial result, it his motion be adopted, are strengthened by the active opposition made to it by the Senator from New Hampshire. I did think that when his reasons for objecting to the settlement were so obvious, there would not have been another member of the Senate to raise his voice against it. His opposition is very rational, nothing use could have been exherenced by the settlement were so obvious, there would not have been exherenced by the settlement which were the settlement which were the settlement of the desired of the settlement of the desired of the settlement of the question. They say it will amount to nothing; that the probe this prevent as advanced by agitation only, I don't see why they should oppose this on that ground. But the fact is they oppose it because they knew that it would kill this agitation stone dead, as it did in the case of the Missouri compromise, the Texas compromise, and all other compromises. Every man here who looks to the interest of the country alone, and not to his own advancement, whether from the North or the South will be poured upon the troubled waters, and the question the statisfaction of all.

Mr. NILES rose, but gave way to

Mr. BALDWIN, who said that he desired to ask the Senator from Florida a question. Was that gentleman one of the meeting from which this proposition emanated?

Mr. WESTCOTT.—I did not use the language which the Senator supposes be heard me atter, and I do not consider it important enough to repeat what I did say.

Mr. BALDWIN.—I should be very happy if the gentleman would inform us whether that meeting was or was not entirely as itional?

Mr. DAYTON.—It seems to me that the proposition of the long in this chamber. The proposition is to refer the question of slavery, so far as it respects Oregon, to this special committee of eight, in order that they may ascertain, after consulting together, whether any plan can be devised by which this difficulty may be surmounted. Now, I ask, is there one man in this chamber or out of it, throughout the length and breadth of this land, who feels that in point of fact, there is any difficulty about Oregon?

Mr. CLAYTON.—The Senator misunderstands. There is no difficulty about Oregon; but is not the Senator aware that there are amendments before the Senato applicable to all the territories, and that these are to be referred to the committee?

Mr. DAYTON.—I have not overlooked the previous explanation of the Senator, nor the proposition of the Senator from Indinator refer the whole matter to the committee; but those portions of the President's method to the committee; but those portions of the President's method to the Territorial Committee. Mexico six disconce of shavery in Oregon, or any where north of the president of the first of the president of the president of the president of the shave president of the president of the president of the president of the shave president of the president of the president of the president of the shave president of the pre

Mr. FOOTE.—The Senator certainly does not mean to say that it commenced here.

Mr. DAYTON—I do not mean to say that there has not been some general feeling throughout the country, that it has originated very much include the properties of Congress. As a practical question of the country of the

Mr. FOOTE.—Does the Senator mean to compare any excitement here with that which has brought up another Presidential candidate in New York?

Mr. DAYTON.—If the gentleman desires my opinion as to the comparative magnitude of the excitement here and in New York, comparing magnine to the excellent line ext. It is greater to Kew York; but if its unportance be the test, it is greater to Rew York; but if its unportance be the test, it is greatest here. I desire the whole subject to be referred, as suggested by the Scator from Indiana. If the question is really to be settled, gottlemen will know whether to debate it or not; but if it is to be merely talked about, many do not care to engage in the talk, and I am

Mr. CALHOUN.—I am very glad to hear the Senator from New Jersey say that this is not a practical question; and I hope, therefore, that he will leave it open. We are in favor of free territory, and opposed to monopoly. We wish to leave the soil open to every American citizen so long as it remains a territory, leaving the people to form their own government when it becomes a State

Mr. DAYTON .- The Senator goes for free territory; so do I. The question is in reference to free territory in Oregon, and the people of Oregon want the territory to centime free; and all that the Senator asks is, that the restrictions may be removed, so that he can go there with his slaves.

Mr. CALHOUN.—The Senator says that it is an abstract ques-tion; why not leave it open? We got Oregon from France and Spain—both slaveholding communities We got New Mexico and California also from a slaveholding community. Strike out the 12th section of the bill and leave the territory as you find it.

Mr. DAYTON.—I am for leaving the territories as we fourd them, and I trust the Senator will join with me. I would leave it as it now is with great pleasure, exactly as you find it—free from

Mr. CALHOUN .- It is slave territory. Did we not get it from slaveholding countries

Mr. DAYTON.—Not at all. I hold that we did not get Oregon through the purchase of Louisiana. I hold that the discussion proved—if it proved anything—that Oregon did not come from that source; and certainly we did not get New Mexico from that source.

Mr. CALHOUN.-Certainly. Slavery existed throughout the dominions of Spain on this continent. We got Oregon partly from Spain and partly from France-both slaveholding communities.

Mr. DAYTON .- As to the origin of our title to Oregon, there has been some difference of opinion. But that the territory is free—that the foot of a slave has never passed its soil, no man, I believe, ever controverted. Oregon is now free; and its inhabitants love, ever controverted. Organ is now bree; and its minimizants. have said that it shall continue free. Permit me now to ask the Senator from South Carolina does he not believe that this is an abstract question as respects Organ? Does he believe that shavery can ever be introduced there; and if so, why does he desire to change the existing law which has been adopted by the people? Mr. CALHOUN.—Whatever territory we purchase from any community, if slaveholding at the time of the purchase, the territory must follow that law. Every one who remembers the discussion knows that more reliance was placed upon our title through France than on any other. One of the ablest gentlemen on that side of the chamber [Mr. Evans] declared that that was our strongest title. All the possessions of Spain were open to slavery. Now, I put it to the Senator, that he is bound to unite with us, and make it free territory-open to every American citizen.

Mr. DAYTON.—The Senator has put that question half a do-n times, and I have answered him. The difference between us zen times, and I have answered him. The difference between us relates to a matter of fact. He says the territory was slave territory when we got it. In my judgment, it was not. In reference to the existing state of things upon which we are called to act, we should respect the wishes of the people of these territories, and take their laws as they have made them, and by which they choose to abide.

Mr. BRIGHT pressed his suggestion, that the whole subject should be referred to the select committee

Mr. CLAYTON said, that as the gentleman scemed to be so bis motion accordingly.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, moved that the Senate adiourn.

SEVERAL SENATORS .- No! no! Let the question be taken on the motion for a committee first.

The motion to adjourn was determined in the negative.

Mr. HALE demanded the yeas and nays upon the question of agreeing to the motion of Mr. CLAYTON, as modified; which were ordered, and it was determined in the affirmative as follows:

Ordered, and it was uncertifiared in the automatic as express year. YEAS.—Moss, Activon, Atherton, Bolger, Bell, Benton, Berzino, Boland, Breese, Bright, Butler, Calhonn, Ciayton, Dave, of Ministopio, Dayton, Dekmon, Downs, Foot, Hannegan, Hunter, Johnson, of Maryland, Johnson, of Chuistians, Lewisti, ann, Metralie, Rusk, Sebastian, Spinance, Turney, Underwood, West-Maryland, Phase, Ballwin, Bardbury, Cluck, Corrion, Davis, of Manschwetts, Dix, Fitzgenid, Greene, Hale, Hamlin, Miller, Niles, Upham, and Walker—H.

So it was

Ordered, That the bill, with the several amendments proposed thereto, be referred to a select committee, to consist of eight mem-bers, to be appointed by ballot; that the Committee on Territories be discharged from the consideration of so much of the President's message as relates to New Mexico and California; and that the same be referred to the proposed committee

On motion by Mr. CLAYTON, it was

Ordered, That the Senate will, at 12 o'clock to-morrow, proeced to the appointment of the committee.

On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# THURSDAY, JULY 13, 1848.

#### CREDENTIALS.

Mr. LEWIS presented the credentials of the Hon, WILLIAM R. MR. LEWIS presented the credentials of the Hon. WILLIAM K.
KING, appointed a Senator by the Governor of the State of Ala-bama, to fill the vacancy occasioned by the resignation of the Hon.
ARTHUR P. BAGBY, which were read; and the oath prescribed by law baving been administered to Mr. King, he took his seat in the

I'Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, presented the credentials of the Hon. James Alfred Pearce, elected a Senator by the General Assembly of the State of Maryland for six years from and after the 4th day of March, 1849; which were read.

Ordered, That they lie on the table.

Mr. DIX presented the petition of a committee of the Prison As-sociation of New York, praying a modification of the act regula-ting fines in the courts of the United States; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Mr. CAMERON presented a memorial of merchants, traders and others, of the city of Philadelphia, praying the adoption of measures for insuring greater expedition and regularity in the great northern and southern mails; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

#### PRE-EMPTION CLAIMS

Agreeably to notice, Mr. DOWNS asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to facilitate the entry of pre-emption claims; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Private Land Claims.

#### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. CAMPBELL, their Clerk :

Mr. President: The House of Representatives have passed a bill from the Sena confirm to the legal representatives of Joseph Dutailles the location of a certain Ne

They have passed the hill for the relief of Jose Argote Villalobos, Marie Rose, Francis Felix, Marquis de Fougeres, or their heirs or legal representatives.

Also, the bill to revive an act authorizing certain soldiers in the late war [with Great British] to sarrender the housty land draw by them, and to locate others in heu thereof, with an amendment to each, in which they request the concurrence of the Senate.

They have passed hills of the following titles, in which they request the concurrence

An act to admit certain articles, of the growth or production of Canada, into the United States free of duty, upon condition that like articles, of the growth or production of the United States, are admitted into Canada free of duty.

An act to annex that part of the State of Indiana bordering on Michigan to the Chicago collection district.

An act in addition to an act entitled "An act for the relief" of the rescared inhabit ants of the reserved township in Gibson county, in the State of Indiana," approved Angust 11, 1842.

The President of the United States approved and signed, the 10th July, the follow-

Au act making appropriations for the service of the Post Office Department for the year ending 30th June, 1849.

An act to establish the collection district of Branswick, in the State of Georgia. Joint resolution to change the location of a light-house on Lake Superior, in the late of Michigan.

Joint resolution disposing of two brass field pieces captured at the battle of Beunington in 1779.

## LIGHT-HOUSE BILL

On motion of Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, the prior orders were postponed, and the bill making appropriations for light-houses, light-boats browys, &c., and providing for the erection and estab-lishment of the same, was read the second time, and considered as in Committee of the Whole.

Mr. DAVIS. of Massachusetts, moved to amend the bill, at page 5, under the head of "Georgia," by striking out lines 96 to 99, inclusive, [the same provision being made under the head of "Florida,"] which was agreed to.

Mr. DAVIS moved further to amend the bill, in line 113, by striking out "Kchoon," and inserting "Raccon;" which was agreed to.

Mr. DAVIS moved further to amond the bill, in the same line, by inserting "\$12,000" after the word "point;" which was agreed

Mr. DAVIS moved further to amend the bill, in line 115, by inserting "\$12,000," after the word "foster;" which was agreed

Mr. DAVIS moved further to amend the bill, in the same line. by striking out the word "thereof," after the word "lien," and inserting the words "of the;" which was agreed to.

Mr. DAVIS moved further to amend the bill, in the 116th line inserting "\$15,000" after the word "shoal;" which was by inserting agreed to.

Mr. YULEE inquired when this bill was reported?

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts .- The Clerk will inform the Senator.

The PRESIDING OFFICER .- It was reported on the 26th of

Mr. YULEE desired to state that the bill was not on his table It was usual for such bills to originate in the other House, and he asked that it might be postponed.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, could not consent to the postponement. He would have no objection if he could see a prospect of the bill being acted upon by the other House in time, but he could not, and he had been arged to press the bill here.

Mr. YULEE said it was only a short postponement he desired, to examine the bill.

Mr. DAVIS said he had given notice, some days ago, that he should call the bill up on the first opportunity that presented itself, and Senators had had ample time in the meanwhile to exam-

Mr. YULEE said it had been his misfortune not to hear the notice. He desired to have an opportunity of looking into the appropriations for that section of the country which he represented.

Mr. BADGER hoped the hill would not be postponed.

Mr. DAVIS said the Senator from Florida could lay his views before the committee of the House, when the bill went there, if he desired any amendment made.

Mr. YULEE thought a single day could not make much difference. He had just glanced over the bill, and found a section for the appointment of a board of examiners, with, as he thought, very extraordinary and dangerous powers.

Mr. DAVIS inquired whether the Senator from Florida supposed the section embraced anything beyond light-houses

Mr. YULEE read the section. He could not help thinking it covered a very large ground. The terms "facility to navigation" and "any other improvement," were susceptible of being construct to mean many other kinds of improvement than light-houses or light-boats. He moved to strike out the section.

The question being taken, the motion to strike out the section was disagreed to.

The bill was then reported to the Senate; and the amendments were concurred in

Ordered, That the bill be engressed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent. Resolved, That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered. That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in said bill.

## THE SELECT COMMITTEE.

The Senate proceeded to ballot for the select committee to whom were referred the bill to establish the territorial government of Oregon, the several amendments proposed thereto, and so much of the message of the President of the United States as relates to New Mexico and California; and it was

Ordered. That the committee consist of Mr. Clayton, chairman, Mr. Bright, Mr. Calhoun, Mr. Clarke, Mr. Atchison, Mr. Phelps, Mr. Dickinson, and Mr. Underwood.

Mr. DICKINSON submitted the following motion; which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to.

Ordered, That the select committee to whom was referred the President's message relating to the organization of territorial governments in Oregon, Upper California, and New Mexico, have the use of the ante-room during their sittings.

# GRANT OF LAND TO ARKANSAS.

Mr. BORLAND, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the bill to graat to the State of Arkaosas cer-tain unsold land, subject to overflow, for purposes of internal im-provement, education, and other purposes in said State, reported

it with an amendment, and submitted a special report on the subject, which, with the accompanying map, was ordered to be

#### PORT OF ENTRY AT BANGOR, MAINE.

Mr. DIX, from the Committee on Commerce, to whom was referred the bill to make Baugor a port of entry for ships or vessels coming from and beyond the Cape of Good Hope, reported the same without amendment.

The Senate proceeded to consider the said bill as in Committee of the Whole, and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it he engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved, That this bill pass, and that the title thereof he as aforesaid.

Ordered. That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

#### UNITED STATES COURTS IN KENTUCKY.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. UNDERWOOD asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to change the time of holding the circuit and district courts of the State of Kentucky; which was read the

first and second times, by unanimous consent, and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent.

Resolved, That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as afore-aid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

#### CLAIMS OF THE CHEROKEES.

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the resolution authorizing the proper accounting officers of the Treasury to make a just and fair settlement of the claims of the Cherokee nation of Indians according to the principles established by the trenty of August, 1846; and after debate, I report of which will be found in the Appendix, ]

The Senate proceeded to the consideration of Executive business; and after sometime spent therein,

On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# FRIDAY, JULY 14, 1848.

# POSTAGE ON NEWSPAPERS.

Mr. NILES, from the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives to regulate the postage on newspapers, and for other purposes, reported it with an amendment.

#### PRIVATE BILLS.

Mr. MASON, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the following bills from the House of Representatives:

An act for the rehef of Dr. Adolphus Wislizenes;

An act for the relief of Joshua Barney, United States agent;

reported the same without amendment

Mr. BALDWIN, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of Charles Benns, reported it with an amendment.

Mr. BRADBURY, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of Joseph C. Doxey, reported it without: mendment.

## U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE IN LOUISIANA.

Mr. BUTLER, from the Committee on the Judiciary, who were instructed to inquire into the expediency of making an appropriation to pay an extra compensation to the dark of the United States district court for the State of Londards of the United States district court for the State of Londards of the United States district court for the State of Londards of the United States district court for the State of Londards of the United States district court for the State of Londards.

#### EXTENSION OF THE CIRCUIT COURT SYSTEM.

## On motionly Mr. BUTLER, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on the Judiciary be discharged from the further consideration of the resolution respecting the extension of the circuit court system to Texas, Florida, Iowa, and Wisconsin.

#### COLLECTION DISTRICT.

Mr. DIN, from the Committee on Commerce, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives to establish a collection district in the State of South Carolina, and for other purposes, reported it, with an amendment.

The Senate proceeded to consider said hill, as in Committee of the Whole; and having been amended, it was reported to the Senate, and the amendment was concurred in.

Ordered, That the amendment be engressed and the bill read

The said bill was read a third time, and the title was amended so as to read "An act to annex the town of Essex, in the State of Massachusetts, to the collection district of Gloucester."

Resolved, That this bill pass with amendments

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in the amendments.

## THE SENATE REPORTS.

Mr. NHLES submitted the following resolution and asked for its immediate consideration:

Resolved. That the committee to audit and control life contingent expenses of the Senate, be instructed to inquire whether the contract for reporting the proceedings and deliants of the Senate, has been faithfully exemented, and whether the same has answered the purpose expected; and if not, to report some measure to remedy the evil.

Mr NILES.—The resolution explains itself. I do not know that I understand what the processor requirements of the contract are, but I believe that the proceedings of each day were to be fails the contract the contract was adherent one of the following day. But whether the contract was adherent one of the following day. But whether it has answered the expectations and purposes of those who favored the plan at the ourset? As to the contractor, I suppose has endeavored to perform his duty faithfully; and without reference to that question, I offer the resolution, under the conviction that the plan, whether carried out according to the contract or not, has entirely failed to fall if the expectations of Scantors; for it body are, to all substantial processor, that the proceedings of this body are, to all substantial processor, that the proceedings of this body are, to all substantial processor, that the proceedings of this body are, to all substantial processor, that the proceedings of this body are, to all substantial processors are consistent of the newspapers this morning\* as a nutter of curiosity, the com-

parative quantity of reports given of the proceedings of the Scenate and House of Representatives, of which were eleven colorums and a half for the House, and for the Senate out of the acolorum. Well, now, this is the public record that goes out of the acolorum, well, now, this is the public record that goes out of the colorum transparently, is of no sort of consequence here. The public knows nothing of what we are about. We might as well-sit with closed doors. The reports which come out, are, I believe, substantially correct, and well got up, but the difficulty is, that they come so late that they are of no use except for posterity. Probably they will be valuable for the antiquaran, or the historian, but for present purposes they are totally useless.

Mr. CLAYTON.—I do not rise to appose the resolution, but I prefer that it should remain its usual time before the vote be taken upon it.

Mr. FOOTE.—The resolution, although it merely proposes an inquiry, amplies delinquency on the part of the individual who has contract an individual who has contract and the property of the propose of prevising and pre-pressing them, the de-lay would not we concretely a property of the propose of prevising and pre-pressing them, the de-lay would not have occurred.

Objection being made, the resolution lies over one day under the rule.

### HOUSE BILLS REFERRED.

The following bills from the House of Representatives were read the first and second times by unanimous consent:

An act to annex that part of the State of Indiana bondering on Lake Michigan, to the Chicago collection district.

An set to admit certain articles of the growth or production of Canada, into the United States free of duty, upon the condition that the like articles, of the growth or production of the United States are admitted into Canada free of duty.

Ordered, That they be referred to the Committee on Commerce.

The bill in addition to an act for the relief of the inhabitants of the reserved township in Gibson county, in the State of Indiana, approved August 11th, 1842, was read the first and second times by manimous consent.

Ordered, That it be referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

## AMENDMENTS REFEBRED.

The Senate proceeded to consider the amendment of the House of Representatives to the bill for the relief of Jose Argote Villalobos, Marie Rose, Francois Felix, Marquis de Fougeres, or their heirs or legal representatives; and it was

Ordered, That it be referred to the Committee on Private Land Claims.

The Senate proceeded to consider the amendment of the House of Representatives to the bill to revive an act authorizing certain soldiers in the late war with Great Britain, to surrender the bonnty lands drawn by them, and to locate others in lieu thereof; and

Ordered, That it be referred to the Committee on Public Lands

#### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

Mr. President: The House of Representatives agree to the conference asked by the Senane, on the disagreeing varies of the two Mones, on the amendment is to be full ment, and for diffilling treat sylinguistons with the surroun Indian tribes for the vorending Jane 30th, 1849; and have appointed Mr. Vinton, Mr. Aredl, of New York, and Mr. Ulngama, of North Carollons, managers, at the same, on their parts.

<sup>\*</sup>Note.—Upon an examination of the paper refered to, [the National Intelligencer,] I find that the eleven and a failf columns devoted to the Honse of Representatives, computes there days' proceedings, and in made in, not of delate, but of amendments and explanations of amendments to the bill under consideration, and offerences offered by members who were fined for absence during a call of the Honse—

BRANCH MINTS.

On motion by Mr. DICKINSON, the prior orders were post-poned, and the Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill to establish a branch mint of the United States in the City of New York.

Mr. DICKINSON,-This bill was introduced by my colleague Mr. DICKHISON.—This bill was introduced by my colleague two years ago. It has received a favorable report from the committee as often as it has been introduced, and at the last session it passed the House of Representatives. It has been recommended by the President, and its passage has been urged by the Secretary. by the President, and its passage has been urged by the Secretary of the Treasury. It will appear, by reference to the last letter of the Secretary, that about thirty millions of dollars of foreign coin have been imported into New York during the last eleven months, all of which would have been coined if there had been a mint at New York. If not re-coined, its value being unknown to the mass of the people, it cannot go into circulation, but finds its way unto the vaults of the banks or is reachinged, in place of sending into the vaults of the banks, or is re-shipped, in place of sending bills abroad. If specific, detailed information be desired by any Senator, I would refer him to the reports of the Secretary of the

Mr. BUTLER .- The reasons that have been assigned by the honorable Senator for the establishment of a branch mint in New York, are applicable in an eminent degree to the city of Charles I therefore offer the following amendment :

## Add the following sections:

ton. I therefore ofter the following amendment:

Not 7, Ind be a farther control, That symmetry the most of the United States while the symmetry of the Indian States while the symmetry of the Indian States while the Indian symmetry of the Indian States while the Indian of Indian States while the Indian of Indian States while the Indian Indian States while the Indian Indi

Mr. BADGER .- I confess, for one, I have heard nothing which shows the existence of any particular necessity for the establishment of either of these branch mints. The Senator from New ment of either of these branch mints. The Scienter from New York assigns as a reason for the establishment of one in New York, that a large amount of foreign coin strives there, which, for want of a branch mint, instead of being re-coinced and put in circulation, is re-shipped. How far that is the fact, I am not able to determine. But as far as my observation extends, it seems to me that our own proceedings on the subject has tended to dis-suprance the coinging of foreign and I. Concess has a desirable courage the coinage of foreign gold. Congress has adopted us the circulating medium of this country, all the foreign coins of the world; and when they are received by the banks, they are reworld; and when they are received by the banks, they are re-tained, because they answer their purpose just as well—indeed, better than the coinage of the United States, inasmuch as this gold, passing by weight and not by tale, does not answer the ends of those who wish to convert the notes they hold into speece. It is proposed now by the bill and amendment together, to authorize an outlay of about \$125,000 for the purpose of providing suitable buildings for these branch mints, and I suppose it would not be an extravagant supposition, that quite as large additional appropriations will be required before the respective establishments are completed. That is the ordinary experience of legislative bodies with regard to all appropriations. I think it may be safely assumed, then, that we are about to incur an expenditure of a million of dollars for preparing the two establishments, and then we entail upon Congress a large annual expense for the purthen we entail upon Congress a large annual expense for the purpose of keeping them ap, which will not be less probably than \$70,\* 000 or \$50,000. And what good, I ask, will it do for the country at large? If there be this large amount of foreign coin us the city of New York, and there be a necessity for its re-conage, why are not those who hold it willing to have it re-coined at the principal mint at Philadelphia? The only obstacle is the expense of transportation and the insurance; but New York is now within four hours of Philadelphia, and the expense of transportation is trifling;

would it not be hetter for us-would it not be cheaper and more advantageous to pass a law by which the United States should pay the expense of transportation, and ensure its safe deliverance.
The transmission and return of fifteen millions of dollars, I apprehend would not cost half so much as the salaries of the officers of your branch mint. If the banks are not keeping foreign gold in preference to American gold, because it is less desirable to the holders of notes, they would undoubtedly be just as willing to send it to Philadelphia to be re-coined, if relieved of the expense, and sure of a safe return. It seems to me, then, that we are about to incur a very needless expense. I know it will serve to adorn the noble commercial metropolis with another splendid marble the noise commercial metropons with abover splendid marries define, that will attract the attention of foreigners, add grace and dignity to the appearance of the city, and give it greater approximation to a "city of palaces," and I for one could have no objection to all that, if there was an appropriate amount of good to be accomplished by it. I trust I am totally ineapable of any feeling that would induce me to desire to do any thing to diminish the prosperity and beauty of that city, or to preven its advancement; but the only effect, as I conceive, would be that a large and splen-did building would be erected in the city of New York at a great outlay of public money, which will afford convenience to such officers as may be employed in it, and enlarge the patronage of the government now and forever, without any adequate return to the people of the United States. And these remarks apply also in their general scope and character to Charleston; but as far as that city is concerned, I would take even more pleasure than with regard to New York, in adopting a measure by which her pros-perity might be advanced, for the reason that she has lewer natural advantages, and because of her locality in the neighborhond rai savantages, and because of nor locatity in the neglatorineds of any own State, which would make me glad to see a large city there built up. But the question arises, whether we will be justified in laying out this money? Where is the necessity for a? Where the general good to be promoted by it? I contess I have been unable to see it, with regard to either of these institutions. been anable to see it, with regard to either of these institutions. There are other matters connected with this subject, which, in my view, afford additional objections against both of these proposed establishments, and which I will very briefly advert to. In the first place, I believe, myself, that the establishment of any branch mint, was to say, the least of it, a measure of doubtful utility. My own impression is, that no branch mint should have been established, and I think that we are now receiving in these two propositions, proof that the adoption of the policy of established parade mints we worse fleets in the community. I suppose that Congress was, in the first place, led—or, as I think, misled—into their establishment by circumstances peculiar to these districts of their establishment by circumstances peculiar to those districts of country where the branch mints are situated, in Georgia for instance, and in my own State where they were located in order that they might be in the neighborhood of the gold region, on account of the want of easy and cheap modes of transmission from tount of the want of easy and energy modes of transmission from those regions to the great seat of coming in Philadelphia. These reasons were plausible, and were supposed at the time to be strong; at all events Congress was induced by them to establish branch mints there; but the same reason does not exist for the establishmints there; but the same reason does not exist for the establishment of one in New York, so near to the principal mint, and baving so ready and easy a communication with it. If this proposition prevails, where is this matter to end? I think we ought to look a little ahead. Does any gentleman helice that the establishment of branch mints will stop with these two? If he does, he reasons contrary to all precedent. We shall in a short time have applications from Boston, Norfolk, and all the scanboard towns but Paranch mints to be established in them, until the original contracts of the scanboard towns but Paranch mints to be established in them, until the original contracts of the scanboard towns but Paranch mints to be established in them, until the original contracts of the scanboard towns but Paranch mints to be established. nal institution is lost sight of, and instead of one great establish-ment, we shall have the business divided among little establishments, insufficiently supplied with work, and earrying on the businces of coining at a disproportionate expense, and at great dis-advantage to the country. I, for one, an not for advancing a step further, in what I believe to be a wrong course. But there are other reasons why Congress should not enter upon such a work at this time. I ask my honorable friend from New York, whether this time. I ask my honoranic iricuit from New York, whether he has so soon forgotten the strong, the pressing recommendation of the President, that Congress, for the purpose of meeting the ex-penses of the war which has just closed, should alstrain as far as pos-sible, from all measures demanding an expenditure of public money not absolutely necessary. I admit that this recommendation furnishes no reason why a really necessary expenditure should not be incurred, but I think under the circumstances to which the President rered, but I think under the direminatances to which the President re-fers, it furnishes a very strong reason why there should be an experi-diture, unless for some object which the condition of the country de-mands. And I should be glid to know what inconvenience the country is to suffer if there should be no branch mint at New York, or at Charleston, for the next six years. The country has gone overly seed without them thus far, and I think it can go on very well for a few years longer, until we pay what we owe, and ascertain whether we can conveniently incur the large expenditure which this bill proposes. I hope the question will be taken by yeas and nays. Mr. DICKINSON .- The remarks of the honorable Senator in

and if Congress is desirous that this money shall be re-coined,

regard to the expense of establishing these mints, may be applied to regard to the expense of escausing these links, large or appear of any public structure. If the Senator draws his notions of the athlity of branchmints from those which have already been established, I am not surprised at hisopposition. The branch mints in Georgia and North Carolna, have, I believe, in no one year coined more, than three or four hundred thousand dollars each. But the Senator says, that if the mint was to be placed in the neighborhood of the gold mines he would be in favor of it.

Mr. BADGER .- The Senator misunderstands me. her. BADGER.—Lie Schator misunderstands me. I doubted the propriety of the policy of establishing branch mints any where, but I said there was some appearance of reason, if they were to be established at all, in having them placed near the mines.

Mr. DICKINSON .- I would like to know what better gold mine can be found than that which, in eleven months, produces \$30,000,000?

Mr. BADGER.—We have a great deal better mine, because the thirty millions of dollars which the Senator speaks of, and which he assumes to be all in foreign coin, is received, I suppose, virgin gold from the soil is, I think, a better mine.

Mr. DICKINSON .- Well, gold is the representative of labor, and from this it derives its value. But the proposition of the Sen-ator is directly against the course of events and against the laws of trade. He sup Philadelphia as in He supposes that this coin can as well be received of trade. He supposes that this come an as well be received in Publadelpha as in New York; but the Sentar must received that as advividual having a small sum in foreign gold will and subject himself to the inconvenience of going to Philadelphia to get it coized—he would sooner part with it below its value; whereas, if there was a minit in New York, he could get it exchanged at once, and the point of the property of the propert taking to make commercial law go along with my it would be much better. That a magnificent structure is to be erected is no argument for or against the establishment of a branch mint; the only question is, whether it will be for the public interest. And of this I think there can be no doubt.

Mr. NILES .- We have now several of these establishments, but not one of them situated at an important commercial point.

That at New Orleans is the most important: but in respect That at New Orleans is the most important: but in respect to foreign coin brought by immigrants from abroad, it stands very far below the northern cities. New York is the great commercial point; in fact, it may be said, that the whole trade of the country centres there. Well, now, what is the advantage to be expected to result from the establishment of a mint there. Why, if it is the settled policy of this government to secure a specie, urrent way, and that in our own coin the advantage to secure as year. tages of which perhaps some have over estimated but the advantages of such a currency I think were realized during the late war tages of such a currency I tains were related during the late war—
tt is important to inquire, whether we should not avni ourselves of those facilities which the establishment of a branch mint
at so important a commercial point would furnish. It was evidently a great mistake to establish mints in the interior, because as an important mistake to establish mints in the interior, occause dently a great mistake to establishments of this kind are connected with the commerce of the country. At least one third of your whole revenue is collected at New York; and collected almost entirely in specio—and that it New York; and collected almost entirely in specio—and that it New York; and collected almost entirely in specio—and that specie is, for the most part, of foreign coinage. I think, the fore, if we have a mint anywhere, it should be at New York.

Mr. BUTLER .- It is proper perhaps that I should say a word Mr. BUILER.—It is proper perofine that I shown any a worm in regard to the amendment I have offered. The reasons that ex-sisted for the establishment of branch mints in the intorior no longer operate, because railroads are now penetrating that part of the country, and it is known that a great duel of gold and silver coin censor from the West Indies to Charleston in the course of the trade that is carried on between those places, as a great deal that that that is carried to five orders. The same reasons, theu, that exist for having a mint at New Orleans apply to Charleston. It is known also that those who work the mines of North and South Carolina and Georgia earry their gold to Charleston for commercial purposes—Charleston being the intermediate point between New Orleans and New York. The same reasons that apply to both New Orleans and New York apply to Charleston.

Mr. DIX .- I desire to say a few words in support of the bill and in answer to objections which have been raised on the other side, although what has already been tated by my colleague and by the Senator from Connecticut, [Mr. Niles.] enables me to spare the Senate much, which I might otherwise have felt it ne-cessary to say. With regard to the amendment, I regret that the Senator from South Carolina [Mr. BUTLEA,] was not willing to allow the proposition for the mint at Charleston to stand upon its own inde nendent ground. I confess that I have been strongly inclined at all imes to vote for the establishment of a branch mint at Charleston, because I have no doubt it will became a place of great commer cial importance when the connection by railroad with the Missispipi is completed; but it was my wish that the proposition should be considered separately. A bill was introduced last year for the establishment of a branch mint in the city of New York; and it was followed by the introduction of a bill to establish one in case of the control of the con cial importance when the connection by railroad with the Missis tion of a mint had been unconnected with any other. The bill be-

30TH CONG .- IST SESSION-No. 112.

fore the Senate was introduced by me and referred to the Committhe measure is demanded by the commercial wants of the country,

the measure is demanded by the commercial wants of the country, by the banks, by the government, and I was not aware until re-cently that it had an opponent in any quarter. The city of New York, as all know, is the great receptacle for the coins which come to the United States, whether they are imported in the way of commercial exchange or brought in by im-migrants. These coins are chiefly foreign, and are for the most ware whalled until for circulation—as much as a fit they were memigrants. These coins are chically covering, and are for the most part wholly until for circulation—much as as if they were medials or writch cases. This remark particularly applicable to the gold coins. Foreign silver passes more readily by tale; a defect in weight makes little difference in one readily by tale; a defect in weight makes little difference in value, and few talendard in weight makes a great difference in value, and few talendard in weight makes a great difference in value, and few talendard in weight makes a great difference in value, and few talendard in weight makes a great difference in value, and few talendard in weight makes a great difference in value, and few talendard with a series of the whole gold coinage of the continent of Europe, and the greater portion of that of England finds it way into the vaults of the lanks, the merchants or the brokers, where it lies sucless and the lanks, the merchants or the brokers, where it lies sucless and the er portion of that of England finds it way into the vaults of the banks, the mechants, or the brokers, where it lies neeless and un-productive. Nearly three quarters of the specie in bank in New York is foreign. At least, so I ascertamed two years ago from a source which I deemed of unquestionable authority. I know of nothing in commerce or finance since, which is likely to have onthing in commerce or finance since, which is likely to have with the production of the productio

# Mr. BADGER.-What amount would seek coinage

Mr. DIX —I will speak of that subject presently. But I de-sire first to finish what I am saying on another point. I repeat, a mint in New York would liberate and convert into American coin a large amount of foreign gold and silver in the banks, which is coin a large amount of foreign gold and silver in the banks, which is as useless for the most part, as if it were in the form of hars or ingots. Even in the shape of coin it is inferior in value, though of the same weight and finences, to American coin. Fitness or capacity for circulation is an element of value. The American coin is worth in the market from it of jot one per cent more than the foreign. It is its adaptation to perform the active uses of money specie at their counters, they will, for its different counters, they will, for the different coin in case he wants a small quantity for a given pure nose. But if he wants much they offer him foreign coins, which But if he wants much, they offer him foreign coins, which

pose. But it no wants much, and it ends in his taking paper.

Why, it may be asked, is not this foreign con sent to Philadelphia to be recoined? The answer is, that the expense is an inphis to be recoined? The answer is, that the expense is an insuperable impediment in most cases. I believe it may be said to average 3 of one per cent., taking gold and silver together, and sent, as it often is, in small quantities; from ‡ to \$1 of one per cent., more than the difference in the current value between foreign and domestic coin. The consequence is, it is only sent in fimited quantities for special purposes, or under the impulse of an extra foreign gold and silver has been very heavy, in consequence of the foreign gold and silver has been very heavy, in consequence demand occasioned by the receipt and disbursement of the revenue o in specio. This demand being supplied, we may expect that things

In specie. This definition of their former condition.

If we had a mint at New York, foreign coin would, for the most part, he recoined, and much larger quantities would find their way into circulation. This would happen in two modes. Ist. The city banks rely little on the circulation of their own notes for their profits The great mass of the city circulation consists of country bank paper. The city banks have no interest in keeping it out. To pay out specie would not interfere with their own interests, and ey would do so freely, when its chief effect were to displace coupthey would do so freely, when its cancel effect were to displace com-try bank paper, if they could convert their foreign into American coin without cost. With a full, copious, and ample recoisage of foreign gold and silver, I do not hesistate to say that through the medium of ordinary bank transactions, a much larger quantity of coin would be thrown into circulation. 2d. From four to five coin would be thrown into circulation. 2d. From four to five millions of dollars in foreign coin is brought into the country by immigrants. A part of this amount is exchanged by them in New York, for bank paper. They take paper because they do not wish to lose the difference in the exchange of foreign for American gold. The residue they take with them into Miebigan or Illinois, or perhaps as far as Wisconsin and Iowa, since the "far west" has reached the Pacific. What is the consequence? Those foreign coins are not familiar to those among whom they are earried, their value is not known; they are parted with at a loss; they enter but slowly and but partially into circulation. If limitigrants could exchange their foreign for American If immigrants could exchange their foriegn for American gold at the place of debarkation without any discount, as they might do with a mint thore, how different would be the result! They would be proceed against loss, either on the foreign gold They would be protected against loss, either on the foreign gold or on the paper, for which it is now exchanged, and they would introduce into the western States—the usual boundary of their migrations—our own coin, which all lenoy, instead of foreign coin, with which none are familiar. The western States will, in this way, I verily believe, he more baselitted than we shall be. Now, sir, let me say a word about the exportation of specie. I have already said that the difference in the market value between American and foreign coin is from \( \frac{1}{2} \) to \( \frac{1}{2} \) on one per cent, the weight and purity being equal. I am not sure that this difference does not influence the point in the rate of exchange, at which

specie begins to be exported—that the point of exportation is not reached, with this mass of foreign coin bying side, at \( \frac{1}{2} \) of one per cent. I ower than it would be, if it were recoined at our mint. I do not mean that this circumstance will affect the ultimate parameter of balances in the medium, which costs least to the persons making the payment. But those who are familiar with our com-mercial and money matters in New York, know that the rate of mercial and money matters in New York, know that the lare of exchange often stands for weeks so near the point at which specie is exported, that a variation of \( \frac{1}{2} \) of one per cent, will turn the scale between coin and bills of exchange as remittances.

But there are other considerations arising out of the use of foreign and American coin as remittanees for the payment of balances abroad. The demand for this purpose is always for foreign coin, for annual. The definant for this purpose is always no foreign composition the reason that it is money the moment it reaches the place of payment. American coin is of no greater value for exportation than bullion. In France it costs about 1½ per cent seignorage to convert hullion. In France it costs about 13 per event sequence covording it into more year. On fine for more it British sovereigns, is worth 2.3 Tz. 104d. for the payment of a debt as soon as it arrives in England. It is money. An ounce of gold in the shape of American coin is worth nothing as money in England. It must be sent to the mint in London, where it is worth 2.3 Tz. 94., and it will it into mency. be re-delivered to the owner, on the average, in a month's time, making a loss to the owner in interest, besides the difference in value between the two coins, of about one half of one per cent. It. value between a receivance of deciging gold will operate 14 per contragations the export to France and something more than one half per cent. against the export to England. It may not be de-sirable, then, that the whole mass of foreign coin, which is im-ported, should be received. Nor is it likely to be so. The laws of trade regulate these matters better than legislation. All we of trade regulate these matters better than tegislation. All we can properly do is to provide the means of recoinage at the prin-cipal place of importation, so that foreign gold may be converted into American without being checked by extraneous causes, such into American without being checked by extraurons causes, such as the expense of transportation to and from a distant mint. This is all see and the expension of the property of the extraction of the expension of the extraction o The specie brought in by immigrants, that which is kept in circulation to perform the ordinary offices of domestic exchange, and that which is imported for the adjustment of commercial balances, would all find their way to the mint for recoinage, if it could be done without loss. And as we all know the mint charges done without loss. And as we all know the min charges nothing to the depositor for recoinage, except for relining, when the metal is below the standard, or for alloy, when the metal is above it. With a mint in New York the currency would be improved, the movements of the banks would be facilitated, and a larger infusion of specie into the West would be secured.

I am happy to bear testimony, Mr. President, to a more correct tone of opinion on this subject than that which existed in New York a few years ago. Some of the gentlemen who advocate the estab-lishment of a mint were formerly among the most streamous op-ponents of everything which bore the most distant relation to what was denominated the "specie lumbug." Though political oppoponents of everything which bore the most distant relation to what was denominated the "specia lumings," Though political opponents, they are gentlemen of fairness and high character, and they do not hesitate to advocate—and to advocate warmly—what they are now convinced will contribute to stability in the operations of commerce and finance. And, sir, I cannot to breax; in connexion with this subject, to congratulate my friend from Missouri [Mr. BENTOR] on my left, and others who con operated with him, on their good forms with each of the practical justification and fulfillment of

their theories.

Now, one word in regard to the expense. The Schator from North Carolina, [Mr. BADGER,] says that we must always calcu-North Carolina, [Mr. Bancra,] says that we must always calentae upon having the appropriation doubled. Sir, this has not been our experience in any case. I find that the appropriation for New Drienaw was \$200,000; and the whole expense was a little over \$300,000; but it is very well known that there was, to say the least, a very nanceessary expenditure, although the expense of building is much greater in New Orleans than in New York. The appropriations for the muits in Georgies and North Carolina were \$50,000 cach, and they case that \$56,000. I am surisified that the appropriation is sufficient, and that we shall not exceed that the appropriation is sufficient, and that we shall not exceed of machine in the construction of buildings, and in the purchase of machine the properties of the state of the s

Mr. BADGER—I am almost sorry that I said any thing on the subject, because it makes it necessary that I should make some additional observations, which I shall confine, however, to the subject immediately before the Senare, and I shall therefore say nothing about the specie humbug which has been alluded te by the Senart from New York, or the convulsions in the monetary affairs of the country. We have at present before us an injury, not about the currency, nor about the specie humbug, but—the Senator will pardom me for saying—about the mind humbug. If I understand the reasons upon which the establishment of this branch mint is said to be founded, they are briefly these. New York is said to be the centre of commerce, all the coin of the Mr. BADGER .- I am almost sorry that I said any thing on New York is said to be the centre of commerce, all the coin of the country finding its way-there, and the government of the United States receiving at least one-third of all its revenue from customs

at that port; and a large amount of specie being brought there by emigrants, a great difficulty is experienced, because there is not a mint on the spot to re-ecoin this foreign specie. Now, if there he any real difficulty on the subject, I would suggest to the Senator from New York that it can be relieved at once, without the ne-cessity of establishing this mint at all. As the government reerives all its revenue in gold and silver, and as it receives at New York at least one-third of all its revenue derived from customs, there cannot be the least difficulty in the government directing there can be a proposed to the force good, to convey the principle of the proposed to the prop would have nothing to do hat to walk into the office of the government agent and receive American coin in exchange. The only expense mentred by the government would be in the transmission of this foreign coin as bulloin. What would that cost? I understand that the whole expense of its transportation would not exceed one-tentl of what would have to be paid by the government in keeping up this branch mint. It is said, by having a branch mint at New York, all the foreign gold that is imported will be re-coined and kept in the country. How so? There is no problem gagnitude its exportation. If there is a call for it almost its exportation. If there is a call for it almost its will go abroad, if there is a call for it at home, it will come been the true way to remedy the distribution of the control of the contr the rounts will retain it, and it will not go into encounton at all. I will say in conclusion, that I shall vote for the amendment, not because I consider it necessary or right to establish branch mints, but because, if extablished at one place, I would do even handed justice, and establish them at others.

Mr. DIX.—A single word in regard to the difference of value between foreign and American gold. If a mint is esta lished in New York this difference will cease. That is what I want, so that the banks will have no interest in keeping this foreign coin out of circulation.

Mr. CALHOUN remarked that he hoped the amendment would revail. Charleston had now an extensive trade with the West Indies, and that trade was continually increasing in consequence of the extension of the railroad from Charleston to the West. No small amount of coin coming from the West Indies to Charleston would be the result of this trade; and a branch mint at that place would be of innuense advantage, not only to Charleston, but to a largo region of country.

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill was then reported to the Senate, and the question being on concurring in the amendment,

Mr. ATHERTON said, I think it admits of much doubt, wheant. ATHERION sant, (Tunin it aimits of much doubt, where ther we are not going too for in extending the expense of our mints by establishing one at Charleston. A branch mint at New York has been recommended several times in the messages of the President, and in the reports of the Secretary of the Treasury, and the reasons for the establishment of a mint there have been forcibly stated by the Senator from Connecticut; but there is a branch mint in North Carolina, and another one in Goorgia, and certainly it is worthy of consideration if a branch mint is also to be estab-lished at Charleston, whether it ought not to be connected with some provision for discontinuing the branch mints in Georgia and North Carolina, which are not of any great importance to the community. I hope the question of a branch mut at Charleston may be considered separately

Mr. ATHERTON demanded the yeas and nays on the question of concurring in the amendment, and it was decided in the alfirmative, as follows:

YAMA MASA, Baden Ball, Berton, Badand, Bresse, Berles, Caldonn, Camero, Barts, G.M., Bettoon, D.S., Diewes, Foode, Bartmeran, Boston, Guren, Barts, G.M., Bettoon, D.S., Diewes, Foode, Bartmeran, Boston, G.M., Johnson, of M., Johnson, of La., Johnson, of M., Johnson, of La., Johnson, of M., Johnson, M., Santon, Cang, Langer, M., Santon, Cang, C., Lander, C., Lander

The amendment was conemized in

On the question—"shall this bill be engrossed and read a third time?" The yeas and mays were demanded, and it was determined in the negative as follows:

VI. S. Services, Bound Brehand Breese Bogdat Burley, Callman, Days, of VI. S. Bordon, D. B., Belgy Bosses, Spote Lammin, Borston, Hauter, Johnson, of Md., Johnson, of Lu, Levis, Mason, Niles, Ried, Schallan, 22, VAVS, Alless Adebion, Allestin, Black, Eddown, Bell, Beidhurg, Cameron, Carlo, Catton, Cavan, Datton, Fish, Polegoudt, Green, Life, Hamegan, Waller, Westort, Volec., 27, Callbert, Phelgy, Sugaron, Timey, Individual, College, and Carlos, Carlos Carlos, Carlos

The bill was rejected.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

After the consideration of Executive business, On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# SATURDAY, JULY 15, 1848.

## MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following Message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. CAMPBELL, their Clerk :

All President. The Hance of Engineentalized segret to the first and second most in master file. Seating to the full framed as at surface. We not explained in an act entired an act providing for the prosecution of the existing was between the Hancel States and the equalite of Mexico," and for other purposes, agree to the third fluid States and the equalite of Mexico," and for other purposes, agree to the third diagnosing value of the two Bourses, and have appointed Mr. Botts, of Virginia, Mr. Botts, of Soulti Caudina, and Mr. Met-Lou, of May plant, unsugers on their part.

#### SIGNING OF A BILL.

The VICE PRESIDENT signed the enrolled bill to confirm to the legal representatives of Joseph Dutaillis, the location of a certain New Madrid certificate.

Mr. BUTLER presented a memorial of citizens of Barnwell distriet, in South Carolina, praying the establishment of a mail route from Barnwell Court House to King creek, in that State; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Mr. BORLAND presented the petition of Elias N. Conway, praying permission to change the entry of a certain tract of land; which was referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

Mr. WESTCOTT moved to reconsider the vote on engressing the bill to establish a branch of the mint of the United States in the city of New York; and,

On motion, it was

Ordered, That the further consideration of the motion be post-

#### UNITED STATES COURTS IN WESTERN VIRGINIA.

Mr. BUTLER, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom on a determination the commutee on the manner, to whom was referred the bill to change the times for holding the district courts of the United States, in the western district of Virginia, re-ported the same with an unendment; and submitted a report on the subject, which was ordered to be printed.

## SURETIES OF NEWCOMB.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. DOWNS asked and obtained leave to bring in a hill to authorize the Secretary of the Treasmy to make a compromise and settlement with the securities of Fruncis D. Newcomb, late Surveyor General of the State of Louistana, which was read the first and second limes, by unminimor concent, and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and no amendment be-ing made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by nnanimous consent.

Resolved, That it pass, and that the title thereof he as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

# PRIVATE LAND CLAIM.

Mr. BERRIEN, from the Committee on Private Land Claims. to whom was referred the ameadment of the House of Representatives to the bill for the relief of Jose Argote Villalobos, Marie Rose, Francois Felix Marquis de Fongeres, or their heirs or legal representatives, reported thereon.

The Senate proceeded to consider the amendment of the House of Representatives to the bill last mentioned; and

Resolved. That they concur therein

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Represent atives accordingly.

## COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE

The Senate proceeded to consider their amendments to the bill The sounce proceeded to consucer their amendments to the ball to amend an act entitled "An act supplemental to an act entitled "An act providing for the prosecution of the existing war between the United States and the republic of Moxico," and for other purposes, amended and disagreed to by the House of Representa-tives; and it was

Recolved, That they disagree to the amendment of the House of Representative to their third amendment; most on their first and second amendments, disagreed to the topic of Representatives, and agree to the conference asked by the House of Representatives on the disagreeing voltes of the two Houses.

On motion by Mr. DIX, it was

Ordered, That the committee of conference on the part of the Senate be appointed by the Vice President; and

Mr. BENTON, Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, and Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, were accordingly appointed.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives thereof.

## WILLIAM T. HOLLAND.

On motion by Mr. MASON, the prior orders were postponed on motion with Mr. Mr. Mr. With the prior orders were postponed and the Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of William T. Holland; and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered. That it pass to a third reading.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved. That this bill pass

Ordered, That the Sepretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

Mr. CLARKE moved that the prior orders be postponed, for the purpose of proceeding to the consideration of the bill from the Honse of Representatives for the relief of B. O. Tayloe.

Mr. ATHERTON hoped that the motion would not prevail, as he was desirous that the naval appropriation bill should be taken np.

Mr. CLARKE said the bill would necupy but a few minutes, and he hoped the Senate would include him by taking it up.

The motion having been agreed to, the Senate proceeded to consider said bill as in Committee of the Whole. The bill having been explained by Mr. CLARKE and Mr.

Mr. HANNEGAN moved that it be laid upon the table until further information could be obtained; which was agreed to.

So the bill was lald on the table.

## EXECUTIVE SESSION.

The Senate proceeded to the consideration of Executive busi-ness; and after several hours spent therein-

On motion.

The Senate adjourned.

# MONDAY, JULY 17, 1848.

LEAVE TO WITHDRAW PETITION.

On motion by Mr. MASON, it was

Ordered, That the heir of Francis L. B. Goodwin, have leave to withdraw his petition and papers.

## ADVERSE REPORT.

Mr. FELCH, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the memorial of Agnes Slacke and others, submitted an adverse report; which was ordered to be printed.

#### THE SENATE REPORTS.

The Senate proceeded to consider the resolution, submitted the 14th instant by Mr. Niles, in relation to the contract for reporting the proceedings and debates of the Senate; and the resolution was agreed to.

## MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. CAMPBELL, their Clerk:

Mr. PRESIDENT: The House of Representatives concur in the amendment of the Senate to the bill entitled "an act making appropriations for certain fortifications of the United States for the year ending the 30th June, 1849."

#### SIGNING OF BILLS.

The VICE PRESIDENT signed the following enrolled hills:

An act for the relief of Jose Argote Villalohos, Mane Rose, Francois Felix, Marquis de Fongeres, or their heris or legal representatives. An act making appropriations for certain fortifications of the United States for the year ending the 30th of June, 1849.

An act for the relief of William T. Holland

## SEIZURE OF THE SHIP ADMITTANCE.

Mr. BADGER submitted the following resolution for consideration:

Resolved. That the Provinces of the Unifed States be requested to communicate to the Search, any information which may be in the possession of the Escentive, relating to the Search of the Search of the Search of the Unifed States and Admittance, on the casa of California by a west off was of the United States navy; and whether any and what proceedings have occurred in regard to said west of her ergo, and to firmat the Search with every first of all discussments, papers, and communications in the possession of the Escentive eletating to the arms.

## FINES IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS.

Mr. DAYTON, from the Committee on the Judiciary, to whom was referred the petition of the Prison Association of New York, reported a bill for the modification of the law regulating fines in the courts of the United States; which was read and passed to the second reading.

## THE PRIVATE CALENDAR.

The Senate proceeded to consider the resolution submitted by Mr. Downs, the 6th instant, to devote Fridays and Saturdays to the consideration of private business; and

On motion by Mr. BENTON, it was

Ordered, That it lie on the table.

Mr. NILES gave notice that he should take an early opportumity to eall up the bill reported by the Select Committee to set apart and sell to Asa Whitney a portion of the public lands for the construction of a railroad from Lake Michigan to the Pacific, and ask the action of the Senate upon it during the morning hour.

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill making appropriations for the naval service for the year ending the 30th June, 1849.

The amendment under consideration was that reported from the Committee on Finance, to strike out the appropriation for far-nishing the Marine hospital building at New Orleans; which was not agreed to.

The next amendment of the committee was to insert at the close of the two hundredth line, on the ninth page, the following words:
"for arrearages due to Jerrison and Foster, four thousand seven
hundred and twenty seven dollars and cleven cents."

Mr. WESTCOTT moved to amend the amendment so as to read as follows: "for such arrearages as the Secretary of the Navy may in law and equity decide to be due to Jerrison and Foster, seven thousand dollars;" which was agreed to.

The amendment as amended was then agreed to.

The next amendment of the committee was to strike out the words "and seventy-four" and "thirty-eight" from the 207th line, in the 9th page; (heing a reduction of the appropriation for the Navy Yard at Memphis,) which was agreed to.

The next amendment of the committee was to strike out all from the 215th line to the 232d line, inclusive, in the 10th page. (being appropriations for Marine Hospitals.)

Mr. PHELPS.—I observe that there are three classes of ap-propriations providing for Marine Hospitals, embraced in this amendment, which I think it would be better to consider separately. The first class contemplates the completion of such as have been commenced; the second class is for the erection of hospitals not yet commenced; and the third is for the construction of hospitals whenever the sites shall be obtained. It strikes me that there is some difference in these appropriations, and that there is some difference in these appropriations, and that they should have a separate consideration. I ask for a division of the question.—
The first class is embraced in lines 215 to 219, inclusive; the second in lines 220 to 225, inclusive; and the third in lines 226 to 232,

Mr. BORLAND.—I desire an opportunity to assign the rea-sons for my opposition to this amendment, which proposes to strike out the appropriations for the hospitals at Pittsburg, Cleveland, and Louisville; and to show, as I think I shall easily be able to do, the gross injustice that would be done to that class of persons for whose hencit those hospitals were intended, if this appropriation be stricken out. I did not expect that the question would come up to day, and I am not at this moment prepared to present the views I entertain. I move, therefore, that the further considthe views I entertain. I move, therefore, that the cration of the subject he postponed until to-morrow.

Mr. ATHERTON—I would suggest to the gentleman from Arkansas, that no matter how the question is at present decided, it will be again brought up when the bill shall have been reported to the Senate; and the gentleman will then have an opportunity to present his views. Indeed, I suppose, from the decision already made, there is no doubt the Senate will retain the ptproready made, here is no doubt the schale will retain the pro-priations for the three hospitals to which the gentleman has re-lerred, as they have been already commenced; but if the gentle-man desires to be heard in regard to the subject, an opportunity will be afforded him when the subject comes up again. I hope, therefore, he will not press his motion.

Mr. BORLAND consented to withdraw his motion, all the amendments relating to the marine hospitals being passed over

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, submitted the following amend-ment intended to be offered by him, which was ordered to be printed :

Figure 4.

Sign: "Just let ut further created, That the sum of fifty bensard delbar be, and hereby is, appropriated for inderantification for loose of necessary delthing, uniform, and the sum of the

The last amendment of the committee was to strike out the fifth section, on the 15th page; (relating to the spirit ration,) which was agreed to.

Mr. ATHERTON.-1 would suggest that any gentlemen who have amendments to offer, will offer them now, and have them printed.

Mr. UNDERWOOD,-1 desire to ask the consent of the chairman of the committee to move as an amendment a hill providing for the payment of the expenses incurred under an act of Congress authorizing the Secretary of the Navy to make various ex-periments in regard to the explosion of steam boilers. The ser-sice was rendered for the naval department, and I think, there lore, it is appropriate to this bill. Mr. ATHERTON.—I shall certainly be under the necessity of opposing any such amendment. It is but a private claim, and if we incorporate this, we may, with the same propriety, incorporate all other private claims.

Mr. UNDERWOOD .- As the gentleman objects, I shall of course not press the motion.

Mr. NILES gave notice that he should move to amend that part of the bill relating to the mail lines of steamships by striking out the clause authorizing advances to be made to the contractors, and inserting a proviso in relation to the contracts

ALLEN—I do not design to say any thing upon this subjeat new, but I rase bardy to give notice that at the proper time I with to be heard in opposition to this whole system of ocean mail runtes; and I make this declaration in crdor that the bill may not be taken ap at a time when I shall not have an opportunity of being heard. I wish to oppose the whole system, not that I suppose any cilort of mine will be sufficient to arrest it, but the effort may have the effect of calling the attention of the Senate and the country to the subject, and to prepare the public mind to put an end to the system when the present contracts shall expire.

Ordered, That the further consideration of the bill be postponed until to-morrow.

# MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT.

The following message was received from the President of the United States, by Mr. Walker, his Secretary:

Mr. President  $^\circ$  The President of the United States approved and signed, this day, the following acts .

An act to extend an act entitled "An act providing for the adjostment of all 144pended pre-emption land claims in the several States and Territories," approved 3d of August, 1246.

An act to confirm to the legal representatives of Joseph Dutaillis the location of a certain New Madrid certificate.

## THE SELECT COMMITTEE.

On motion by Mr. CLAYTON, it was

Ordered, That the select committee, appointed on the 13th inst have leave to sit while the Senate is in session.

## EXECUTIVE SESSION

After the consideration of Executive business-

On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# THESDAY, JULY 18, 1848.

#### REPORT FROM THE TREASURY DEPARTMENT.

The VICE PRESIDENT had before the Senate a report of the Secretary of the Treasury, made in compliance with a resolution of the Senate, accompanied by a statement of imports of coal and iron from July 1, 1847, to May 1, 1838; which was read and ordered to be printed.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, inquired of the Chair if any answer had been received to a resolution submitted by him some weeks since, calling for a statement of the number of officers in the custom-house at Baltimore, &c.

The VICE PRESIDENT replied that the Journal did not show that any answer had been returned.

Mr. JOHNSON.—Then, if it be not received to-day or to-morrow, I shall renew the eall.

## CLERK TO THE COMMITTEE OF CLAIMS.

Mr. MASON submitted the following resolution for consideration, which was read and ordered to be printed:

Resident. That the Committee of Clause be authorized to employ a clerk annually, whose duties shall be the same as those now performed to the circle of the Committee of Clause of the Home of Representative, and advances endeed, to be grad monthly by the Secretary of the Seriate, one of the committees of the design of the secretary of the Assaulte facts by the committees of the committee of the

### POST ROUTE IN ILLINOIS.

Mr. BREESE submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved, That the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads he instructed to inquire into the expediency of establishing a mail route from Lebanon, in St. Clair county, through Manne fown, in Madron county, and Staniton, in Maconjon county, to Calam the, the county est of said county.

### J. C. FREMONT

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, submitted the following resolution for consideration:

Decoding, Thin the Se-stary of the Senate be directed to gav to J. Urmonat, for his severe-wave the fit he may be the Turtle States, in personal man comploing the map of Oregon and California, as ordered by the Senate on the 5d of February, 1847, and in the same gave a preparabilisation means in the cuttom of several, preparability of the contraction of

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi.—The memoir and map referred to in the resolution have been compiled and prepared by the geult-man mentioned therein, who was an officer in the army at the time when he performed the labor of exploration. But being now not of service, it could hardly he expected that he should prepare these works for the public without compensation. In minormal that he does not intend to take out a copy ten. The rate of compensation produces the second of the following the control of the following the following the following the following the control of the following th

Mr. KING.—The resolution had better take the ordinary course perhaps, until we ascertain what was the rate of compensation paid to Mr. Nicolet.

The resolution lies over

## REPORT OF CONFERENCE COMMITTEE.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, from the committee of conference on the part of the Scante on the disagreeing votes of the two Honses on the bill to amend an act entitled "An act applemental to an act entitled 'An act providing for the prosecution of the existing war between the United States and the republic of Mexico, and for other purposes," reported that the committee of conference have agreed to recommend:

1. That the Senate recede from its amendment to the first section of the bill striking out the word "repealed," &c., and agreethat the section stand as originally passed by the House, with a proviso herewith reported.

 That the Senate recede from its amendment proposed as the second section of the bill, and agree to adopt in lien thereof, the section herewith reported as the second, third and fourth sections of the bill.

 That the House recede from its amendment proposed as the taird section of the bill, and agree to adopt in lieu thereof, the section herewith reported as the fifth section of the bill.

4. That the two Houses do agree to adopt the bill herewith reported, embodying the agreements of their respective Committees of Conference in their disagreeing votes on House bill number 429.

On motion, by Mr. BADGER, it was

Ordered, That the report be printed.

## RESOLUTIONS OF LEGISLATURE OF WISCONSIN.

Mr. WALKER submitted resolutions passed by the Senate and General Assembly of the State of Wisconsin, in layor of a modification of the fourth clause, of the 7th section of an act of Congress to cnable the people of Wisconsin to form a constitution and State government.

## SCHOOL LANDS, ETC., IN WISCONSIN.

Mr. WALKER, by unanimous consent, asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to modify the 4th clause of the 7th section of an ase entitled "an net to enable the people of Wisconsin territory to form a constitution and State government, and for the admission of such State into the Union," approved August 6, 1846.

The bill was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and, with the memorial of the Senate and House of Representatives of Wisconsin, referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

#### SHIP ADMITTANCE.

The Senate proceeded to consider the resolution submitted yesterday by Mr. BARGER, respecting the seizure by a vessel of war of the United States, of the American ship Admittance; and the resolution was agreed to.

## HALF-PAY TO WIDOWS AND ORPHANS.

Mr. DIX, from the Committee on Military Affairs, to whom were referred the amendments of the House of Representatives to the bill amending the act entitled "an act granting half pay to widows or orphans, where their husbands and fathers have died of wounds received in the military service of the United Nates," in cases of deceased officers and soldiers of the militan and volunteers, passed July 4, 1736, reported thereon.

The Senate proceeded to consider the said amendments.

Mr. BADGER.—I would call the attention of the Senate to the phraseology of the last clause of the act:

Sec. 3. And be in further enacted, That all pensions under this act shall be granted under such rules, regulations, re-tretions, and luntations, as the Secretary of War, with the approbation of the Fresident of the Fueted States, may presentle.

This, in effect authorizes the Department of War to determine not only who are to receive pensions, and under what circumstances they shall receive them, but what shall be the amount of such pensions. I cannot myself see the necessity for such a provision. It may have erept in by an oversight; for I believe there is no precedent for it. I do not wish to be captions about it, but it really seems to me that it is conferring a power upon an Executive officer which he ought not to possess.

Mr. DIX.—If I had drawn the section I should have omitted the words "limitation" and "restriction." At the same time, I do not think that it will have the effect of changing the course pursued by the department in relation to these pensions. As the Senator suggests, it may have crept in by inadvertence, but I certainly think there can be no danger that the power could be exercised unfavorably to persons entitled to pensions.

Mr., BADGER.—I do not wish to be understood as making any positive opposition, but I think it is unfortunate that those words should have been introduced. Although there may be no improper exercise of power under this clause in the first instance, yet it may be reafter be used as furnishing a reason why such power should be vested in the Department of War. The phrasology is remarkable. It is not that the pensions shall be useertuned and the pensions paid, but that persons shall be "granted" ander such rules, regulations, lumitations, and restrictions as the Secretary of War, with the approximation of the President, may impose. It is a power to preserve the rule of evidence by which the fact shall be assertimed.

Mr. BORLAND.—I hope that the phraseology of this clause will be altered, and that no further discretion will be given to the ollier whose duty it is to siven these pensions. I think the discretion that he has exercised already is too great—much too great—entually too great for the interest of the persons entitled to pensions. The restrictions and limitations that are imposed amount almost to problishion. Obstacles are thrown in the way

which prevent the granting of these pensions for months, in some instances. I really think that so far from giving any more discretion, Congress ought to pass a bill defining explicitly the unsertion, congress ought to pass a bill defining explicitly the rules and regulations relating to the granting of pensions, and not leave anything to the dissertion of the excentive officers. In my short experience here I have had something to do with the pen-sion office, and I have found, that whenever dissertion is given, it son office, and 1 have come, that whenever discretion is given, it is used with the utmost rigor. To persons residing at a distance the restrictions and delays amount almost to a denial of justice. The trouble and expense attending the process of procuring the pension also its the whole. The complete power which it gives to the pension agents throughout the country over the infortunate persons for whose befit the law was intended is a crying evil, and it is time that it should be remedied. I would like to see the bill amended by adding any number of sections to define the rules and regulations under which the law should be administered

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana .- I think the phraseology is execedingly infortunate; but at the allowers of pensions any greater be construed so as to give to the officers of pensions any greater recover than they exercise at present. The law as it at present power than they exercise at present. The law as it at present stands, authorizes the War Department to adopt rules in relation scaling at the content of the conten There are many strong cases arising there—just as strong as those in Mexico, and a general wish seemed to be expressed that they should be included; but although they have been omitted, I shall not move to refer the bill back on that account.

Mr. BRADBURY .- I am unwilling to allow the remarks of the Senator from Arkansas to pass without notice. He seems to re-flect upon the public officers for standing between the applicants and the Treasury. I think for that course of conduct they are enand the Treasury. I think for that course of conduct they are en-titled to commendation instead of censure. And this applies not only to the Pension office, but to every other. These officers have an inducement to grant the allowances that are sought for in a an indirection to grant the allowances that are sought for in all cases where they can do so in accordance with their duty, for by that means they get rid of importunate applicants. But they stand as guardians of the Treasmy, protecting the money of the people; and standing in this position, and acting upon an enlarged view in reference to questions presented to them, there rules may appear to be rigorous, but when the necessity is known, it is gen-erally found that those rules commend themselves to the pudgment of all who consider the subject. I think those officers, instead of being recognised should be assessed. of an who consister to subject. I think three efficies, instead of being reproached should be commended. I am invaliding to be-lieve that they impose upon any applicant trouble that is unneces-sary. They establish general rules, and they do so from the ne-cessity that arises from an enlarged view of the whole subject, and not from a disposition to give trouble. This is the view that I take of it, and I hope that they will not be exposed to censure for doing that which certainly must be in many cases an important duty

Mr. BORLAND.—If the Senator supposes that I design to cast any censure upon the officers, he draws upon his margination, for I do not think that my language will bear that interpretation. I am as desirous as the Senator bimself, that the money of the government, or of the people, shall be disbursed in strict according over the state of the properties of the senator bimself, that the money of the according to the senator bimself is the money of the senator bimself, that the money of the senator and the senator bimself is a senator bimself in the senator bimself is the senator bimself in the senator bimself in the senator bimself is the senator bimself in the senator bimself in the senator bimself is the senator bimself in the senator bimself in the senator bimself is the senator bimself in the senator bimself in the senator bimself in the senator bimself is the senator bimself in the senator bimself in the senator bimself is the senator bimself in the senat and thought and the detect from consequences more of the Classical by think they are very much ble feed at terms so in the part of the government, and consider themselves bound to take advantage of every little technicality. They do not look at the case upon the sake of the applicant, but they consider themselves bound to oppose, every claim, and take advantage of every minute vireumstance creams and the consequence of the applicant of the consequence of the conseq that can mitigate against it. This has been the ease in regard to every claim Phavo presented. I will give a single instance of the interpretation of a law recently passed by Congress. It was found that a class of persons in the army in Mexico, in the volunteer ser that a class of persons in the army in Mexico, in the volunteer service, were not provided for by the law granting bountly band. It was that meritorious class of volunteers who left their homes, and served for some time as privates, and were subsequently promoted by the voice of their respective companies. When they applied for bounty land, it was decided that they were not entitled to it under the law, although the propriety of their having it was reconfined by every body. A bill was accordingly introduced, and passed through Congress, providing for the class of duced, and passed utrough Congress, provinting for this class of cases, and according to the phrasoology of the bill, those persons who had been promoted in Mexico were embraced by it. But it appears that some of these persons had not been promoted upon the very soil of Mexico, and it was decided in the department, that such persons must be excluded; although such was certainly not the intent of the law. By this interpretation, three-fourths, if not nine-tenths of those who were intended to be provided for, were excluded. I have decided objection, therefore, to giving any more discretion to Executive officers.

Mr. BRADBURY.—I am happy to find that the honerable Sen-ation in the very instance he has setted, has been constrained to ad-mit, that the officers, in the construction of the law, confined them-selves to its express terms. They did not feel at liberty to depart from the letter of the law, and to give to it a construction not war-

ranted; and I understand it is a fact, that in the case referred to, ranting and a understand it is a fact, that in one case refereed by. Congress had to supply its omission, and pass a supplemental act. No blame then can attach to the officers, on the contrary, they are entitled to commendation, for not taking it upon themselves, in the interpretation of the law, to depart from its express

Mr. BERRIEN.-Believing there is great force in the object the DERIVEAS—believing there is great lotee in the eigentions of the Senator from North Carolina, and coinciding also, in the suggestion made by the Senator from Arkansus, that too much discretion ought not to be vested in an officer who is delegated by law, to carry into execution the benevolent intention of Congress. law, to earry into execution the benevativit intention of congress, I will move to strike out from the amendment proposed by the committee, the words "limitations and restrictions," and further to amend by inserting "shall be allowed and paid" instead of 'shall be granted.'

Mr. DIX.—I do not consider this matter of very great impor-tance, for I am satisfied, that whether amended or not, it will make no difference in the course of proceeding in the Pension Of blake no ulti-term to the strike out those words, we do not reach the difficulty suggested by the Senator from Arkansas. The rules and regulations of the Pension Office will remain. Regarding the words as not affecting the provisions of the previous section, the committee were of opinion that we had better concur in the amendment, and not send the bill back to the House.

Mr. BERRIEN .- It certainly does not meet the suggestion the Senator from Arkansas, because we do not prescribe the rules and regulations under which pensions shall be allowed; but the Senator will see, that by divesting the Executive officer of the power to prescribe limitations and restrictions, the matter will be left as it stands under the existing law. No new power will be conferred. In regard to sending the bill back to the House, I supconsistency and the not appeared to the consistency of the second of the consistency of t

Mr. BORL AND .- The amendment proposed meets my views precisely, and it was only to show my objection to the allowance of any further discretion to the Ecxecutive officers, that I made the observations that I did = I do think, that it would be well, that specific rules and regulations should be prescribed by Congres, for 1 think the interests of all parties would be promoted by it. While up, I will call attention to one other instance of interpretation of a law where the intention of Congress has been

Mr. BORLAND referred to the provision of the law granting an allowance to volunteer companies of cavalry for the use of their

Mr. HALE .- I do not desire to mingle in this debate, but it Mr. HALD.—4 do not desire to image in this deract, out it appears to me that the reflections cast upon the Excentive officers are altogether undescrived. As far as relates to the Commissioner of Pensions, they do that gentleman great injustice. I believe him to be one of the most faithful and vigilant officers of the go-

Mr. BORLAND -1 said I intended no censure upon any offi-cer of the government. I believe they are actuated by consens-

Mr. HALE,-I am glad to hear the Senator say so I thought Mr. HALE.—I am glad to hear the Senator say so I thought the tone of remark indulged in by the Senator implied a censure And permit me to say, that I have known something of that often been imposed upon, with all his vigiliance, ten times where one pension has been withheld when it was due. He is a man who works early and late, and the greatest injustice that was ever done, was when in a spasmodic fit of economy, Congress reduced the salay of flat officer in builded deltal.

The amendment of the Senator from Georgia was disagreed to. nd the question being on concurring with the amendments of the House, they were, without a division, concurred in.

Ordered That the Secretary notify the House of Representa-

The Senate proceeded to consider the resolution "extending the fine for the erection of certain light houses," and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it pass to a third reading.

The said resolution was read a third time.

Resolved, That this resolution pass

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representa-tives accordingly.

MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. CAMPBELL, their Clerk :

Mr. Pre-ident. The House of Representatives agree to the report of the committee of conference on the disarrecing votes of the two Houses on the bill to amend an act

enutled 's act supplemental to an act entitled an act providing for the prosecution of the existing war between the United States and the repulsic of Mexico, and for other supplies.

They have passed the full for the renewal of centain Naval Pensions for the term of five years, and extending the benefits of existing laws respecting Naval Pensions, to engineers, finemen, and coal heavers in the Navy, and to their widows, with amending also, in which they request the concurrence of the Senate.

in als, in which they request the concurrence of the Senate.

They have provided a social condition to the State of Missouri, certain too place of Douglagua's victorious expedition; in which they request the concurrence of the Senate.

NAVAL APPROPRIATION BILL.

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill making appropriations for the Naval service for the year ending the 30th June, 1849.

The VICE PRESIDENT stated the question to be upon agreeing to that part of the amendment reported from the Committee on Finance, which proposed striking out the 245 to the 245 to the

Mr. BORLAND.—I see no necessity for dividing the question.
PRESIDING OFFICER.—The division was asked for on a former day by the Senator from Vermont

Mr. PHELPS.—The roason why I asked for a division of the question was this. It will be perceived that certain appropriations are designed for the completion of hospitals already commoned, others for the crection of new ones, and a third class of the site has not yet been prosented. However, the completion of soek as have been commenced; but I must confess that I am somewhat surprised at the numerous appropriations that are proposed for marine hospitals in the interior, when considered in comparison with the number upon the Atlantic coast. I believe there are but six marine bospitals on the Atlantic coast exclusive of the one at New Orleans, while this bill contains appropriations for nine upon the western waters. It is true, I have not given much attention to the subject, but it strikes me as somewhat extraordinary, that if six hospitals are sufficient on a range of coast extending from the extremity of Maine to the Gulf of coast extending from the extremity of Maine to the Gulf of real anxiety on the subject, but I must be permitted to say, that a larger is required upon the western waters, than upon the Atlantic coast.

The question being taken upon concurring in the amendment, it was determined in the negative.

The PRESIDING OFFICER stated the next question to be on concurring in that part of the amendment reported by the committee striking out lines 220 to 225 inclusive.

Mr. BORLAND addressed the Senate in a speech of considerable length, occupying about one hour and a half. A report of the honorable Senator's remarks will be given in the Appendix.

The question being taken upon concurring in the amendment, it was determined in the negative.

The next question was on concurring in that part of the amendment reported by the committee, striking out lines 226 to 232, inclusive: and it was determined in the negative.

On motion by Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, it was Ordered, That the bill lie on the table.

ORDER TO PRINT

On motion by Mr. HANNEGAN, it was

 ${\it Ordered},$  That the memorial of R. R. Gurley, presented the 10th instant, be printed.

REPORT OF CONFERENCE COMMITTEE.

On motion by Mr. BENTON,

The Senate resumed the consideration of the report of the consisted on conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the the bill to ansend an act entitled "an act supplemental to an act entitled note providing for the presecution of the existing war between the United States and the republic of Mexico, and loo other purposes:" and it was

Besolved, That they concur therein, and that the bill be amended accordingly

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives thereof.

EXECUTIVE SESSION.

The Senate then proceeded to the consideration of Executive husiness; and after several hours spent therein, the doors were again opened.

THE COMPROMISE BILL

Mr. CLAYTON from the Select Committee to whom was referred, the 12th instant, the bill to establish the territorial government of Oregon; and to whom was also referred, so much of the message of the President of the United States, as relates to New Mexico and California, reported a bill to establish the Territorial Governments of Oregon, California, and New Mexico; which was read and neased to the second reading.

Mr. CLAYTON said the subjects referred to this committee were of infinite difficulty. The territories for which temporary civil governments were to be organized embraced an area of 1,041,492 square miles—about as large as that of one-third of all Europe, at deaple of sustaining, sooner or later, the population of a mighty empire. The intrinsible difficulties necessarily belonging to such a organization were increased by the nature and character of the population now existing there, as well as of that hereafter to be irroduced by the extension of the constitution and laws of the

United Six as over so wast a country.

In the six as over so wast a country with a least and every one of his colleagues to say, that anidst all their long conferences, and laborious discrissions on the various topics necessarily considered by them, teach endeavoring to yield so much as he felt could be properly and knowrably conceded, without the sacrifice of what was essentially due to his own constituents and the people of all the States. The conferences of the committee were in this spirit—attended with fice and ample discussion—and after a full interchange of views, a vote was taken on a proposition moved by the Senator from promise he adopted to govern the settlement of all the territories of the United States. On this question the committee divided, five for and three against the motion. The Senator from Indiana, [Mr. Buffer] the moved the proposition, notice of which had been previously given in the Senate, containing the words of the Missouri compromise. As the condition of the territory was now sourt compromise. As the condition of the territory was now sand to be different from that to which that compromise applied in the territory was now sand to be different from that to which that compromise applied in the territory was now sand to be different from that to which that compromise applied in the territory was now sand to be different from that to which that compromise applied in the territory was now sand to be different from that to which that compromise applied in the territory was now sand to be different from that to which that compromise applied in the territory was now sand to be different from that to which that compromise applied in the territory of the Missouri compromise. As the condition of the remain and the proposition

impossible. But the committee proceeded afterwards to consider a proposition to endeavor to adjust the great question, at least so far as to enable Congress to extend the laws over, and provide for the administration of justice in the territories, leaving for the pre sent the settlement of it to the laws of population, or the adaptation of soil, climate, and all circumstances to the various kinds of labor. While it was admitted on all sides that by far the greatest portion of the territories was properly adapted to free labor, and would necessarily be free soil forever; yet it was also with equal unanimity conceded that there was a portion of it where free labor never could be introduced, owing to the climate and the peculiar productions of that portion. It was thought that if Oregon, which no one imagines can ever be slaveholding, could be organized as the people of that territory desired by the temporary adoption of their present laws, interdicting or prohibiting slavery till the territorial legislature proposed to be organized, by a popular vote under the bill referred to us, could organized, by a popular vote under the bill reterred to us, could cast some law on the subject, most of the objections which had been urged in debate to the 12th section, would be obticated without any sacrifice of principle by those who urged them; and that after thus disposing of the question so for as relates to Orrgon, the territories of California and New Mexico. could be organized in the same bill by the appointment of a governor, Senator, and judges to compose, according to the old precedents, a temporary legislature for each of these territories, but dents, a temporary registature on teach as these terry these obtained that the second temporary avoid the decision of this distracting question, lenving it to be set-tled by the silent operation of the constitution itself, and that in ease Congress should refuse to touch the subject, the country would be slaveholding only where, by the laws of nature, slave labor was effective and free labor could not maintain itself. On the other hand in ease Congress should hereafter choose to adopt the compromise line of 36° 30', (north of which I suppose it is not expected that slavelabor can be introduced,) or any other rule of settlement,

slavelabor can be introduced,) or any other rule of settlement, it will be free to act as to its wisdom and patriotism shall seem fit After many conflicts of opinion, these views thus generally expressed, were substantially agreed upon with great unationity, all the members of the committee nerveing to make the report, and but two of them (one from the North and the other from the learning of the committee of t

I do not expect, sir, that this or any other proposition which the wit of man can possibly suggest will provent agitation on this sub-

get, which is now daily spreading through the country, and I fear dividing it into geographics! parties. If the Missouri compromise the standard of repeal and agitate as fercely as ever, We know that, sir. They will egitate after the passage of any bill. But this bill resolves the whole question between the North and Sonth into a constitutional and a judicial question. It only asks of men of all sections to stand by the constitution and suffer that to settle the difference by its own tranqual operation. If the constitution settles the question either way, let those who rail at the decision went their indignation against their ancestors who adopted it. We offered no bill certification of the constitution settles the transparence of the constitution constitution gives the right to carry their slaves therethey will maintain that right. If, as the North contends, the constitution confers no such right, they will vindicate their claim. And Oregon will be at once organized as a territory, with power to elect their own legislature—a power which the committee think cannot now, with any propriety, be conferred upon the population of the two other territories.

30TH Cong.-1st Session-No. 113.

I now, sir, have the honor to report, as an amendment and a substitute for the bill referred to the select committee, "A bill to establish the territorial governments of Oregon, California, and New Mexico." The committee, with all becoming modesty, dosire to say that they do not view their work as perfect; but it is the very best which under all the embrarassing circumstances of their position they have been able to propose. To the Senate they appeal for assistance to correct any errors into which they may have inadvertently fallen. But it is the honest opinion of a large majority of the committee, that by the passag of this bill the safety of the Union will be placed beyond the reach of agitation; and that the queevion, and the only question which now threatens to endanger it may be, not immediately, but ultimately, put at rest forover.

The bill was then read a first time and unanimously ordered to be printed.

On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# WEDNESDAY, JULY 19, 1848.

#### PETITION.

Mr. DICKINSON presented the memorial of Λ. B. Johnson, praying that there may be no interruption of the mails on the Sabbath; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads and ordered to be printed.

#### THE COMPROMISE BILL.

Mr. CLAYTON.—There may possibly be some misapprehension in regard to a portion of the remarks made by me yesterday, in introducing the bill for the organization of territorial governments in Oregon, New Mexico, and California, and I desire to enter the comparison of the property of the comparison of

Mr. CALHOUN.—I am exceedingly gratified by the explanation of the Senator from Delaware. Had it not been made, it might have been supposed in some quarters that the whole committee had concurred in all the particular views which he for himself had expressed. I agree with him in testifying that it was the unanimous understanding of the committee that the bill should speak for itself; and I may add, that it was also understood that this was to be a permanent and not a temporary settlement of the whole question.

Mr. KING inquired whether the remarks of the honorable Senator from Delaware had been regarded in the light of a report from the committee, and were so recorded on the Journal of the Secretary?

## The PRESIDING OFFICER replied in the negative.

Mr. PHELPS.—I retrained yesterday from making any remarks in reference to what fell from the honorable chairman of the select committee, because I understood it was not be regarded as a report, for which, in all its particulars, the committee was respoa-

## Mr. CLAYTON, (in his sent.) -Of course not.

Mr. PHELPS—I am willing to say, however, that I concurred in the action of the committee. In my judgment, the only mode for the indigentient of this question has been adopted, and I think can assume that opport before the country and my constituents, by reasons which I shall take the opportunity of satting hereafter.

Mr. CLANTON.—I never spoke for any man in my life, except at the lar in my professional character; in very in any public body have I attempted to speak for any one but myself; and I smpose all understood that my remarks yesterday were intended as my speech, and that no man would claim it as his. I insist upon it, sir, that it was my speech!

## EMORY'S REPORT.

 $Mr.\ HANNEGAN$  submitted the following resolution for consideration.

Resolved, That eight thousand additional copies of Emory's report and illustrations, be printed and bound for the use of the Schate.

Mr. HANNEGAN in presenting this said, that a large number of Colonel Fremont's report had been printed, and he thought it would be well not to make any invidious distinction between these officers.

Mr. BENTON said that the gentleman could have an hundred thousand copies it he pleased; but if he printed one hundred thousand millions, it only amounted to this, that Emory went along an old path conducted by one of Frenont's guides to show him every step of the way. Fremout was an explorer.

Mr. HANNEGAN remarked that there was no conflict whatover hetween the two reports. Col. Emory had not trespassed in a single instance on Col. Fremont's rights. The expeditions were entirely independent and distinct. Both reports were exceedingly valuable. The work of Col. Fremont met with unbounded popularity, and he was satisfied after a thorough examination of Col. Emory's report, that it would meet with equal favor.

Mr. BENTON.—The only difference between the two is, that Fremont was an explorer.

## PALMER'S MEMOIR.

 $Mr.\ DAYTON$  submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to :

Resolved, That there be printed two hundred and fifty additional copies of Secate document No '0, prepared by Auton H. Palmer, Esq., and that the same be delivered to the said Palmer for his own use.

## TERRITORY OF WISCONSIN.

Mr. WALKER submitted the following resolution, which was considered by manimous consent, and agreed to:

Boolog That the Committee on the Judicipy beinstrated to inquire whether the law Can Hall Committee on the Judicipy beinstrated to inquire whether the law Can Hall Committee on the Judicipy beinstrated from the Law Can Hall Committee on the Law Can Hall Committee of Sail territory of William and the report to the Saintee at an easily a day a practicable, by Judicio otherwise.

### ADVERSE REPORTS.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Lonisiana, from the Committee on Pensions, to whom was referred the memorial of Mehitable Gibb, submitted an adverse report, which was ordered to be printed.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana. from the Committee on Pensions, to whom was referred the petition of Mary Coleman, submitted an adverse report, which was ordered to be printed.

#### SAULT ST. MARIE.

Mr. FELCH, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the petition of certain citizens of Michigan, submitted a report, accompanied by a bill providing for the examination and settlement of claims for land at the Sanit St. Marie in Michigan.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

## J. C. FREMONT.

The Senate proceeded to consider the resolution submitted yesterday by Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, for the compensating of J. C. Fremont for compiling a map of Oregon and California, and the resolution was agreed to.

## NAVAL PENSIONS.

The Senate proceeded to consider the amendments of the House of Representatives to the bill renewing certain naval pensions for the term of five years, and extending the benefits of existing laws respecting naval pensions, to engineers, firemen, and coal heavers;

Ordered, That they be referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

## FORT WINNEBAGO.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. WALKER asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to grant to the State of Wisconsin, the military reservation at Fort Winnebago, in said State: which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

## FLOGGING IN THE NAVY.

Mr. HALE submitted the following resolution for considera-

Resolved. That the Secretary of the Navy be directed to furnish the Senate with the official returns of each of the ships of the line, the frigates and the sloops of war, now in commission, of the punishment inflicted each of said vessels, as appears by the returns of each.

## DONIFHAN'S EXPEDITION.

The joint resolution relinquishing to the State of Missouri certain trophies of Doniphan's victorious expedition, was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and considered as in Gommittee of the Whole; and no amendment being made, it was reported to Kenate.

Mr. BENTON said that he would merely remark, that these the cannon among the number taken from the former capital of the internal provinces of Mexico, and brought out by the gallant column of Misseurians, led by Colonel Doniphan—Every cannon was taken, the position in which they were placed being turned. All the manitions of war, and every thing that the enemy had upon the field of battle were taken, except a small

quantity of ammunition which an officer's servant hid somewhere in a gorge of the mountain. The cannow were carried around the Rio Grande frontier, after Colonel Doniphan had heard of the battle of Beans Vista, which, coming to the discourins through Mexican intelligence, was of course reported as a great victory on their part. Our Missourians, the good Americans, relused to here a word of the defeat of General Taylor, and the discouring the through the control of the defeat of General Taylor, and the started off with these concentrations and diffusion all their own, and the started off with these concentrations and the started of with these concentrations and the started of the started started off with these ten cannon, in addition to all their own, and made that marvelbous expedition through an almost unknown country, to General Taylor's camp. When they arrived there, as their Colonel very properly said, "rough, ready, and ragged," with scarcely nothing but their arms brought from home, and those taken from the enemy. General Taylor directed them to carry these cannon home. They brought them home, and we had the proud satisfaction of seeing those cannon, which had beer rolled out of Chihanhua, placed in the capital of Missouri. The House of Representatives had unanimously passed this resolution yesterday, and he hoped the Senate would pass it with equal unanimity.

Mr. HANNEGAN said he did not know a more appropriate occasion than the present to inquire into the fate of a certain resolution submitted by him some three mouths since in relation to a medal to Col. D. and swords to the gallant officers who had participated with him in his glorious achievements.

Mr. BENTON replied that the resolution was in his hands. Mr. HANNEGAN .- It has been kept there a long time.

Ordered, That it pass to a third reading.

The said resolution was read a third time by unanimous consent.

Resolved. That this resolution pass.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

NAVAL APPROPRIATION BILL.

The Senate resumed as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill making appropriations for the naval rervice for the year ending the 30th June 1849.

Mr. NILES moved to amend the bill by striking out lines 92 to 105, the following words :

AUG, the following words:

"And the Secretary of the Nay vi hereby directed to advance to the contractors for stall service, or their assignment for the purpose of enabling them to finish the steam single contracted for one first properties of the purpose of enabling them to finish the steam of and stage, after an enabling or the state of the stage of th

shall be childred; expended an finishing and shap to the sist-facino of the Seevisty Mr. NILES said that he thought this a very extraordinary proposition, and he had been very much surprised that it had received the sanction of the committee of the other House with the concurrence of that House. It introduced a principle never before acted upon it ray case whatever. If they ever sanctioned this principle, that a centractor could come forward on ask the Government to furnish him capital to carry out his contract, there would be indeed to it; and it would be a source of minite troop received the sent to the part of another of the contract, there would be interested to the part of another of these lines.

The parties interested in the Breaden line were now pressing heir 'claims, and if the principle were applied in the one case, Congress would be obliged to extend it to others. He did not believe that any argument was necessary in order to show that this measure was dangerous on the part of the government, and unjust towards other 'contractors, could any one believe that these contracts would not have been taken at a very reduced price, if the understanting bad been that the government woold give the capital to convey them out to taking a lien upon the ships or any other security? What reason could be essigned for hard contracts—that there men har interested the expense and found themselves in difficulty by outering into these engagements? He helioved that

two of these contracts had been sold out as a speculation. deed they were taken as a speculation as he was informed, the men who became contractors were totally unacquainted with steam navigation, and probably never expected to carry out the contracts themselves, but entered into them with a view to speculate out of the government. They had sold out their contracts and the men who asked this advance were their assignees—speculators, who who asked this advance were their assignees—speculators, who had given, be believed, some fifty thousand dollars for the contract. It was true with had concurred in the law authorizing these contracts. It teams from the llouse at the very close of the last session—two hours before the adjournment, when there was no opportunity of examining it. In this judgment it was a very unfavorable law for the government. It excluded competition in regard to two of the lines. It gave an express authority to the Secretary of the Navy to contract with two of the persons named the bill at the prices which they had previously asked, thus withten from the Secretary. He then went on to express his appreciacion that in relation to one of the contracts there had been coltion from the Secretary. He then went on to express his appre-bension that in relation to one of the contracts there had been col-lison between the hidders, although he was satisfied that the Se-cretary of the Navy had done what he considered best in the circumstances. He objected to the continuance of the contracts for so long a period as ten years. The expenditure was already very large, and the income would probably always be very small. It harge, and the income would promany analysis every small. It was improdent to incur the heavy expenditure of a million and a half annually for ten years. He never could consent to that Five years was long enough for the contract to run—one year longer than the ordinary mail contracts.

Mr. HALE replied in support of the proposition which the Senator from Connecticut desired to strike out of the bill. He conceived that it was peculiarly entitled to flowroshle consideration. He could not conceive how it was possible for any detriment to the public interests to arise in consequence of giving a month's pay in advance. It could not be unjust towards any other contenters. If they desired the same indulgence, let it be given them. What possible advantage was there in retaining this small amount for thirty dollars in the public Treasury? It seemed to bim that the policy heretofore pursued, which was intended to encourage such an enterprise, should be continued in the present case. such an enterprise, should be continued in the present case

On motion by Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, it was

Ordered, That the further consideration thereof be postponed until to morrow.

MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

A message from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

Mr. President: The Honse of Representatives have passed the bill from the Sen ute to confirm the location and to grant a quarter section of public lands for the coun-ty site of thibborough country, State of Flonds.

They have passed a bill to establish certain post routes, in which they request the measurence of the Senate.

The Speaker of the Hoose of Representatives having signed two enrolled bills, I am directed to bring them to the Senate for the signature of their President.

SIGNING OF BILLS.

The VICE PRESIDENT signed the enrolled bill amending the act entitled "An act granting half pay to widows and orphans where their bushands and fathers have died of wounds received in the military service of the United States," in cases of deceased of fecrs and soldiers of the militia and volunters, passed July 4, 1836; and the enrolled bill—to aniend the act entitled "An act supplemental to an act citilled "An act providing for the prosecution of the existing war between the United States and the repulsion of Mexico," and for other purposes; and they were delivered to the committee to be presented to the President of the United States. States.

## EXECUTIVE SESSION.

After the consideration of Executive business-

On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# THURSDAY, JULY 20, 1848.

Mr. BADGER presented three petitions of citizens of North Carolina, praying the establishment of a mail route from Lexing-ton to Ashborough in that State; which were referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

### CHANGE OF RULES.

Mr. UNDERWOOD submitted the following resolution for consideration:

Resolved, That the following shall be an additional rule for the government of the

resources, a more the following shall be an anothoral rule for the government of the Sendie, to will.

After six days from the commencement of a second or subsequent session of any Congress, all bulb, resolutions, and reports which originated in the Senate, and at the close of the next preceding session remained undetermined, shall be resumed and acted on a rule same manner as if an adjournment lade out taken place.

## PRIVATE BILL.

Mr. BORLAND, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the petition of Elias N. Conway, assignee of William Barnett, reported a bill for their relief; which was read and was of a second sealing. and passed to a second reading.

### RECIPROCITY WITH CANADA.

Mr. DIX, from the Committee on Commerce, to whom was re-ferred the hill to admit certain articles of the growth or production of Canada into the United States free of duty, upon the condition that the like articles of the growth or production of the United States are admitted into Canada free of duty, reported the same with amendment.

#### BOUNTY LANDS.

Mr. BORLAND, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the amendment of the House of Representa-tives to the bill to revive an act authorizing certain soldiers in the late war with Great Britain to surrender the bounty lands drawn by them, and to locate others in lieu thereof, reported thereon.

The Senate proceeded to consider the amendment of the House of Representatives to the bill last mentioned; and

Resoled, That they concor therein.

Ordered. That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

The bill from the House of Representatives to establish certain st routes was read the first and second times by unanimous consent.

Ordered. That it be referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

## MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. CAMPBELL, their Clerk :

Mr. President: The House of Representatives have passed the following bills and bint resolutions from the Seoate.

An act for the relief of Alfred White

As act for the relief of the Society for the reformation of Juveoue dehaquents in the city of New York.

An act for the relief of the Central Railroad Company of Georgia.

A resolution to sanction an agreement made between the Wvandotts and Dela wares, for the purchase of certain lands by the former of the latter tribe of Indians.

They have passed the bill from the Senate, to authorize the sale of part of public reservation numbered thirteen, in the city of Washington, and for other purposes, with an amendment, in which they request the concurrence of the Senate.

The House of Representatives have passed bills of the following titles

An act to grant land to the inhabitants of township eighteen, north of and two, west of the fourth principal meridian of the State of Illinois, for si

An act to grant other land in hea of the 16th section, to the school commissioners, wiship two worth range nine, west of the fourth principal including in the comm

An act to grant unto the Trustees of township thirty eight, north of range five ead, a the county of Elkhart and State of Indiana, as much public land as may with the account state eith section therein make up an entire section.

An act to grant the right of way through the public lands in the State of Alabama, the general radioud company in said State, in which they request the concurrence to the general i

The President of the United States approved and signed the 17th instant, an act to amend an act approved the 24th May, 1924, entitled "an act applementary to an act approved on the 3d sias of March 1919, entitled an act providing for the correction of strong in making entires of land, at the land offices."

## MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT.

The following message was received from the President of the United States by Mr. Walker, his Secretary:

Mr. President: The President of the United States approved and signed, this day the bill entitled "an act for the relief of Jose Argote Villalobos, Maria Rose, Francos Felix, Marons de Ponzeres, or their legal representatives."

### SIGNING OF BILLS, ETC.

The VICE PRESIDENT signed the enrolled "Bill to confirm The VICE PRESIDENT agency the controlled "Bit to controlled to the location and to grant a quarter section of public lands for the county site of Hillsborough county, of Florida;" also the "Resolution extending the time for the erection of certain light-houses;" and they were delivered to the committee to be presented to the President of the United States.

## NAVAL APPROPRIATION BILL.

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill making appropriations for the naval service for the year ending the 30th June, 1849.

The question being on the amendment of Mr. Niles-

Into question beeing on the admentance of any in advance instead of paying when the money becomes due, I have a decided objection to I. I am told that it will require twelve, and sometimes fifteen, months for the completion of one of these ships, and suppose the ship be lost or hurst, what security have we for the repayment of the money? It is going beyond the contracts and contractions a loam which they have no right to extend the state of th pect at our hands.

Mr. ATHERTON .- The amendment proposed by the Senator from Connection, which is now before the Senate, and the amend-ment of which he has given notice, seem to involve three points in the first place he objects to the advance which the Secretary of the Navy is authorized by the bill to make to these contractors, to commence immediately after the ships shall have been launched, commence immediately after the ships shall have been lannehed, which advance is to be secured, so that the government shall run no risk of being a loser by such advance, by a lieu upon the ships. The Senator next objects to the duration of these contracts, and proposes to reduce the time for which they shall continue from ten to five years. His next objection is to the time of the commencement of pay upon the Panama and Astoria route, the bill providing that the pay shall commence upon the contract for that route from the time that the ships are placed at the disposal of the government. From the tenor of the remarks made by the Senator, I infer that he objects to this scheme of mail steamers in general as a system. I do not know whether I understood the Senator, as a system. I do not know whether I understood the Sendard correctly, but I was under the impression that on former occasions the Senator from Connecticut was favorable to this mode of in-creasing the available force of the navy of the United States; but, sir, it seems to me that the questions that come up in this discus-sion of the bill do not involve the continuance of the system. So far as regards the present contracts, those contracts are made— We may consider it inprudent herafter to embark in other con-tracts of this sort, but any remarks that go to show the impro-dence and improvidence of the system, it seems to me, do not touch the question that is now before us. If this scheme of employing steamers to transport the mail, and having them built in ploying steamers to transport the mail, and having them built in such a manner that they can be converted into vessels of war, be improvident, we ought to take the blame on ourselves and not find fault with the Navy Department, which has done nothing more than to execute the contracts according to the terms in which they were directed by Congress to be executed. That is the state of the case. The Senator says that the granting of these contracts does injustice to other contractors, who, if they had supposed that advances would be made by the government, would have taken the contracts at a lower rate. How does the comport with the circumstances under which the contracts with Sloo were made, and the contract for the route to Chagres? Sir, the law was absolute in its terms—the Secretary had no discretion; he was directed to contract with certain terms prescribed some in its terms—the Secretary man no unsersion; ne was unrelated to contract with certain persons upon certain terms prescribed by Congress, and Congress also prescribed the time the contracts were to run. And what right have we, after we have directed the Secretary to make a contract with an individual, and he made that countract according to our direction, what right have we, I say, to annul, or even to alter it? We have none. We have placed it ont of our power. And shall we find fault with the department, because we consider the contract now to be improvident, although I do not think it is so? That would be a strange mode of proceeding? With regard to the contract for the route of Panama and Astoria, the Secretary had some discretion permitted him. The individual with whom he is to contract was not named, and he was not even obliged to absentise for proposals, but he did do it, and accepted the proposal that was most inversible to the government. But before I proceed to this point, I will speak a little more particularly in regard to these advances. If I understand the subject, the reasons that operated with the government in authorising the advances were these: The contractors are building vessels of larger size than they were required to do by the terms

of their contract, and the Secretary thought it not unreasonable that this advance should be authorized for the sake of securing a class of vessels that will be the most serviceable in the event of being converted into vessels of war. For what is the main object which has induced Congress to authorize these lines of mail steamthe Navy Department, and built in such a manner that they may the vacy Department, and then it such a minimer that they may be converted, upon short notice, into vessels of war. The whole object is lost, unless these vessels shall be built in a proper and suitable manner: so that they may be used for war steamers.—
This has induced the Scoretary, as I have said, to recommend these advances, in order to afford the contractors every facility for nness auxances, in order to anord the contractors every facility for constructing the vessels in the best possible way, and that their construction may not be too long delayed. Upon this point he ask-ed the attention of the Senante to a letter of the Secretary of the Navy, dated March 13th, 1848, addressed to the honorable T. Bauler King, chairman of the Committee on Naval Alfairs in the House of Representatives, in which he expressed his concurrence with the views of the committee.

As regards the suggestion of the Senator from Connecticut,

that the term of five years would be sufficiently long for the duration of these contracts, if there be any fault any where it certainly seems to me that it must be imputed to Congress. I will read one of the sections of the act which directs the Secretary to contract with Mr. Collins in the Liverpool line.

[Mr. A. here read the section.]

These proposals contain the term of ten years as a part of the contract. And in pursuance of specific directions also, the concontrast republic processing the contrast republic contrast republic processing the contrast republic processing the contract with Sho was made for the term of Jen years. And in making this contract, the Secretary of the Navy did not suppose that he was departing from the intention of the government by contracting in the same manner, and for the same period as he had done with Collins and Sloo, although in regard to the Panama and Astoria route, neither the individuals with whom he should contract, nor the period of time for which the centracts should continue, were specified. The Secretary then having discretion in contract, nor the period. Time now which the contracts should be continue, were period. The contract should be contract as the contract altogether from Congress. He reserved to Congress the power; if they should think proper, to amend the contract at the ensuing session, upon yielding such fair compensation as should be due to the contractors, such fair compensation as should be due to the contractors.

sation as should be due to the contractors.

Now, sir, it may admit of great doubt, whether, having reserved in this contract the power to amend it under such terms as might be consistent with equity and fairness, we have the right to alter it. I certainly think we have no right to say to the contractor that they shall go on and perform their part for a less time than they contracted for, and which no doubt formed an inducement with them to cater into the contract at the price agreed upon. If we were to alter the contract in point of duration, the contractors would have a claim for damages, and therefore I say, that if there is any improvidence in the making of such contracts, that it there is any improvidence in the hashing of such contracts, but upon the scenario throw the blane upon the departments, but upon Congress, by whom the Secretary was authorized and directed, seems that the principal hostility of the Senator from Connecticut is directed against the Panama and Astoria line, but it appears to me that if we should direct the duration of this contract to he limited, varying its terms from those originally agreed upon, the contractors would not be bound to go on, and we should de-stroy the usefulness of the other lines. This forms part of a sys-What would be the use of a line from Havana to Chagre

stroy the usefumess of the other iness. Ins forms part of a system. What would be the use of a line from Havana to Chagres, unless we have one from Panana to Astoria? It strikes me, aside preserved more than another, it is this very Panana and Astoria line. It will be more beneficial to the commerce of the country that this should be preserved.

Before I proceed to some further remarks upon this Panama and Astoria line, I will advert to a point made by the Senator from Connectient, as it regards the time of commencement of the payments on this Panama and Astoria route.

Now, sir, I think it is right that if the payments shall commence, as they ought to do, at the time the ships are placed at the service of the United States, there should be an amendment adopted, which I will older to this chause, providing, that in their adopted, which I will older to this chause, providing, that in the same of the control o

They were read by the Secretary.]

Mr. ALLEN.-Before the Senator proceeds in his speech, I de-Mr. ALLEN.—Before the Senator proceeds in his speech, I desire that he would state what will be the expenses for this year arising out of the now existing system of ocean mail routes, including the expense which this bill will add. I want the aggregate amount.

Mr. NILES .- In reply to the honorable Senator from Ohio-

Mr. ATHERTON .- I have not yet concluded my remarks. MR. ATHER TON.—I have not yet concluded by remarks. The chairman of the Committee on Naval Affairs can probably inform the Senator as to the expense of the other lines. I bar not the data by me at present. The proposed advance, however, does not increase the expense. Under that arrangement the money is paid sooner than it otherwise would be. That is all. But some fault has been found with the Secretary of the Navy, or some implied censure has been east upon him in regard to the Panama and Astoria route, as if the contract had not been given to the lowest hidder. Some hints have been thrown out that it was given to the highest bidder, upon terms detrimental to the interests of the government. I believe that no one who has read the papers upon the files can come to any such conclusion. On the contrary, he the miss can come to any such concension. On the contrary, he he must conclude that the Secretary has acted with great saga-eity and prudence. In the first place, the law did not require the Secretary to issue proposals, and there were two individuals who applied together for the contract, at the rate of \$250,000 a year. applied together for the Southern are the contract to those individuals, but issued an advertisement for proposals. Proposals maximum, not a Sido John avertee ment not proposate. Proposate a reposate an arraction per vessel. Mr. Harris proposate to take the John and at Sido John, as this was the bill which was finally accepted under these circumstances. Mr. Todo differed to perform the service in propellers, an inferior sort of vessel, and altogether unfitted for the nain purpose for which the line was established—that is, for the nain purpose for which the line was established—that is, and the sido of th the conversion of the steamers into war steamers. His bid being the lowest, notwithstanding Commodore Morris's opinion that Mr. Harris's bid was in effect the lowest bid, the Scoretary determined that he would accept Mr. Todd's bid, that being, in a pe-cuniary point of view, the lowest. But, in the course of the ne-gotiation, Todd objected to the terms of the contract in reference to the inspection which the Secretary of the Navy was authorized thereby showing that he intended to put upon the route

vessels of an inferior description.

The Senator also objects to the Secretary reserving the right to Cengress to annul the contract. The Secretary did insist upon that reservation in the contract which he made with Harris, but he confined it to the coming session. An appropriation of money being necessary, if the appropriation should be made, the contract would be considered as confirmed. Well, these bids having been laid before the Navy Department. after some correspondence, I laid before the Navy Department, after some correspondence, I believe about the 20th of July, Todd relieved to take the contract. Previous to this, Woodward, who had originally offered proposals with Todd for \$250,000 a year, got an assagement of Harris's contract, agreeing to furnish securities, which he neglected to do untia in injunction was obtained against Harris for not producing his securities; and, in the mean time, Woodward claimed that the contract should be given to him, Todd having declined, and Harrish and the contract should be given to him, Todd having declined, and Harrish and the contract should be given to him, Todd having declined, and Harrish and the contract should be given to him, Todd having declined, and Harrish and the contract should be given to him, Todd having declined, and Harrish and the contract should be given to him, Todd having declined, and Harrish and the contract should be given to him, Todd having declined, and Harrish and the contract should be given to him. ris not having furnished his securities. But Harris afterwards pro-cured the requisite security, and the contract was given to him. Thus we see that a combination evidently existed between Todd Into we see that a commination evidently existed between Load and Woodward to get the control of Harris's bid, and to obtain the contract at an advanced price. But they were defeated, and the government was saved an expense which, for the ten years, would not be less than \$510,000. There can be no pretence by those who have examined the matter that Todd ever intended to take the contract and perform the service in a proper manner for the sum of \$150,000 a year. And, sir, if we examine the rates at which steamers have been furnished upon these lines, both at home which steamers have been furnished upon these lines, both at home and abroad, we shall find that the rates on the Pansma and Astoria route, considering the difficulties and expenses to which the most favorable may be a supported to the part of the part of the contractors and the part of the contractors and the part of the part of the contractors. The condition of the part of

coal may be obtained.

I have thus briefly noticed some of the positions taken by the I have thus prely noticed some of the positions taken by the Senator from Conacciner, and I certainly think that the Senator, upon a view of all the facts, must conclude that the advance of compensation in tegral to this route will be proper. It is proba-ble—any, I beleive it is certain—that the government will have cocasion to send troops upon that route, and that it will be of great occasion to send troops upon that route, and that it will be of great advantage that there should be no interruption. But as it regards the limitation proposed by the Senator from Connecticut, it de-But as it regards serves the serious consideration of the Senate, whether, if we undertake any such limitation, we shall not be called upon for damages which would be far worse than any evil that can result from the continuance of the line for ten years. But it seems to me that the Secretary having had specific directions, we cannot now find fault with a contract made in pursuance of those directions.

Mr. NILES.—In reply to the interrogatory of the Senator from Ohio, I will say that the aggregate expense of the several lines when completed will amount, as near as I can gather, to \$750,000

Year.

I have a very few words to say in reply to the various points adverted to by the Senator front New Hampshire, who is chairman of the Committee on Finance. I had supposed, that in the performance of his daty as chairman of that committee, I should have met the cooperation of that Senator; whose especial charge it is to take care of the interests of the Treasury; but instead of that, I find him attempting to sustain the claims of the preceding argument let to be such as the contract, and that we cannot modify them in the slightest degree. But when these men come here and ask for additional degree. But when these men come here and ask for additional

advantages, why, then, according to the Senator, the law does not apply; we must let them have what they ask. Sir, I have seen a different course of action on the part of Senators occupying the position which the Senator from New Hampshise now occu-pies. I have always supposed that it was the duty of the chairpies. I have always supposed that it was the duty of the charmain of the Committee on Finance to stand as a sentine over the Treasury, and not to become the advocate of contractors. Now, in regard to this, point, viz: that we are restricted by the law, whatever the provisions of the law may be, these contractors are subject to the notion of Congress. Congress is not without power over the subject. All these contractors are subject to the provisions of the art of 1845, which is the first act autorizing this kind of service; and although these contracts were made under the authority of subsequent acts, those dets were increded to carry out the act of 1845. If the Senate think, or the subject of the provision of the standard provision of the standard provisions of the subject of the provision of the standard provisions of the standard provisions of the subject to the provision of the subject to the subject to the provision of the subject to the provision of the subject to the provision of the subject to the pr on far more advantageous terms for the government. He has a ship already completed, the best that has been built in America; he is seeking service at our hands, and we might have this service in its seeking service it of in billion, in one longite rate care services on one half the price we are gained and not authorized to say so, at one half the price we are gained for it. In regard to the other contracts, the one with Mr. Collins was made to be sure, in pursuance of the express terms of the law which came to the from the House at the last hour of the session, and was passed unthe supposition that it had received due attention there, and der the supposition inact it has received one acteniation near, partition in that they were arrying out the original plan. As the Segutor seems to insinante that may course has been somewhat whose when the Sentor to sany that I was in favor of this kind of a whose when the Sentor himself was a gamet it, and when it, had little support on this aid of the chamber; but that when to the service which has been sade of the chamber; but that was not the service which has been sanctioned in the other wing of the capital. The service was left entirely to individual enterprise, and I was in favor of it on that account, and not upon the ground of it being a quasi public service. It was upon countercial grounds. We believed that inasvice. It was upon commercial grounds. We believed that inasher mail lines, giving them an advantage beyond the reach of private competition, and as she by that means was likely to monop-ohize all the commerce between this country and Europe, that was of such a description as would naturally fall into these lines, it was of site is a description as which analytically aim to these intest, it was mainly upon this ground, that I favored the establishment of the ocean mail routes. I never advocated them as a quasi public service. Their whole character, as I have said, has been changed in vice. Their whole character, as I have said, has been changed in the other wing of the capitol, and it is now to be controlled by the Secretary of the Navy, making it, I might almost say, an addi-tion to the naval establishment of the country. But whatever I might think in regard to these mail lines, I wish the government would hold tistelf as far as it can in its present relation to them, I wish that these contracts, which I think are not advantageous to the government, should be limited to a reasonable term, as the saligest is now admitted to us, and I think if we are to be held to the contracts on our part, we should hold the contractors to them on theirs. They have engaged to perform certain service, and they now ask us to furnish the capital to enable them to do it. Does not every one see, that this changes the nature of the transaction? The capital is the principal thing, and if the contracts had been proposed for with the understanding that the government should furnish the capital, can any one doubt that they would have been obtained on more liberal terms?

been obtained on more inertal tenns?

Now, the Senator proposes to give them their pay four or five months before they are entitled to it. Why, it is idle to talk about their having any claim on account of these ships being delivered to the government. They are not government ships, they are revited ships, and cannot be delivered to the government. The Senator says that this is a very salvantageous contract, and that Mr. Todd did not intend to perform the service for \$150,000 a year. The Senator will recollect that a, bill was introduced by me to authorize a contract for this service at \$150,000. Mr. Todd requested me to put his name in the hid. I told him I could not do so, that it must be open to competition.

Mr. UNDERWOOD.—Will the Senator allow me to ask him what the government receives back for this outlay? Isit any more than the transportation of the mail, or do the officers of these vessels account to the government for the passage money?

Mr. NILES—In answer to the Senator I can only state, that in regard to the Brenne line, the government has is outerest whatever, except what they derive from the carriage of letters. An inquiry has been made as to the amount received by the government from these mail lines. I believe, so far, there has been very little received. Some time ago I was informed that the receipts amounted to \$11,000, and I believe they have mide one two trips since. I am not sure, but that in some of these other lines of the lines of the state of the sta

Mr. ALLEN.—The act under which this system arises passed in 1845, and the fixst contract made under it was, I believe, about the middle of that year. When the matter was lirst introduced

here I opposed it on various grounds. In the first place I doubted its constitutionality. In the second place, I knew it was bad policy for the government to go into partnership with individuals poige for the government to go into partnership with individuals or companies in the transaction of any business. In the third place, I knew likewise that the system, if it once got into being would be very apt to find the means of perpetuating its own existence, and that from a small beginning it would run into one of the heaviest burthers that can be, or ever has been imposed upon the public Treasury. Sir, at that time the friends of this system expressed to consider it as a system. They spoke of the great profits derived by Great Britain from the treasmission of letters between New York and Liverpool both ways; and the pleay was that the people of the United States ought to participate in this profit. And the other argument was, that in order, that not only the newthe other argument was, that in order, that not only the pee ple, but the government should participate in the advantages of this peculiar system—that like the British government our govern-ment should form contracts with individuals or companies to trans-port our mails in steam vessels of a particular construction, which would admit of their being suddenly converted from business ves sels into vessels of war. It was upon these two arguments that this system was originally commenced. It was said, that in this line of communication large profits would accrue to our governmen of communication range promises would be considered as Circuit.

Birtials I was said that the government ought to dept the
Birtials policy of employing mail steamers in order indirectly to
augment its naval power. This was the argument. But the
constitutionality of the act was not a thing materially insisted on.
It was a matter of extreme inconvenence to the advocates of this It was a matter of extreme inconvenence to the advocates of this law, to lay their injert upon that part of the constitution from which the power to pass the law could be legitimately deduced. I know that this system having been commenced, it is not in my power to break it down by a single blow. I know that lat leave any upon this loccasion will effect nothing whatever, save possibly say apon this occasion will enter nothing whatever, saye possible to fix more earnestly the attention of government upon this particular subject. But I know likewise, that if the pernicious system he not assailed again and again—and especially a system that tem be not assailed again and again—and especially a system that contains within itself the elements of perpetuating its own life—if it be not assailed in time, and vigorously assailed, it will soon grow beyond the power of assault. I asked for the constitutional nuthority for the passage of this act, and I now demand the con-stitutional nuthority for its continuance. There are but two parts to the constitution is which I presume the friends of this measure to the constitution of the property of a stabilish next rates, and stitutional minority of its continuate. Later are deal very suggested will resort. The one is the power to establish as season will resort. The one is the power to establish as possible post offices. Until I hear whether of the power to establish a clause that the entering the power to establish a clause that the entering the power to establish a clause that the entering of the country over which that constitution prevails. The other clause is that which anthorizes Congress to regulate countere between the United States and foreign nations, and between ourselves and the Indian tribes. Unit 1 know that it is ppor that clause that the power is rested. I need only say that I deny its application to any such case. Here is not a question of regulating commerce, unless the transmission of articles is a means of regulating commerce, measures that of the government energying as, a merchant in the transportation of spritcles. The constitution provides that Congress may regulate commerce, that is, to say, it may make all needful rules and regulations, to give security and efficiency to, and promote the interests of our people in their trade may make an account rules and regulations, to give accounty and efficiency to, and promote the interests of our people in their trade with foreign countries. If Congress has authority under the place of interest, or any other place, to establish post rules between our continent and all the other countries of the globe, and to trans-nit the mail, or in other words, to transmit pieces of paper called init the mail, or in other words, it could be so the present of the country. When it is the right that the government has to transport letters, or to organize a system of mail transportation? Where do they got the power? They get it expressly from the constitution. It is not a power that belongs to the government, as a government, as more than a, power to transport dont. ment, as a government, any more than a power to transport noun-Our government derives its power freu an express elause in the con-stitution. Without that clause, the government would have had no such right. It is derived solely from express grant; and it was granted to the government for this single reason, that the mail and post office system was one so becessarily removed in its extent, so complex, extending over so vast an area, as to render it utter ly impossible for any one individual, or any combination of individuals, to give that scenrity, that unity, that uniformity to the transmission of letters through the mails which the public authortransmission or retters through the mails which the plants authority could give to it. And upon that ground the authority was vested in Congress to provide for the transportation of the mails and, as a necessary consequence, to establish post, offices and post routes. Without that Congress would have had no more right to send wagons, freighted with documents, across the Alleghames than they would have had to send wagons freighted with flour. Nor have would nave had to seak wagons recigned with node. You have they any more right to transport letters across the Alfaidle, ander the sanction of public authority, than to transport any of the pro-ductions of the country. But, sir, as to the matter of profit, the answer given by the Scantor from Connecticnt to the question of the Sentor from Kentucky is the most potent argument that reason admits of. It is the argument of fact. The profit thus far has been a mere bagatelle. But we have to establish this system son amin's on. It is the against the day.

It is not a more bagatelle. But we have to establish this system—and the law contemplates it upon its face—in order to augment our naval force. For what? I do not know what the appropria our naval force. For what? I do not know what the appropriation for the naval establishment will be this year. When I first came here, it was a fraction under three millions of dollars.

A SENATOR.—It is now four millions.

Mr. ALLEN.—This establishment, that originated in the post office, has now contrived to shift itself into the Navy Department, and to give itself a new character—throwing off its character of mail service, and taking on the character of the military defence of Well, sir, where are the uses of this vast augmentation of the naval establishment? Great Britain was taken as tern by which we were to guide and shape our legislation. Unfortu-uately aine-tenths of the bad legislation which we have ever enacted has originated in the spirit of British imitation, when, in point of truth, considering the different internal condition of the two countries, and the different structure of the governments of the two countries, that which is politic in Great Britain is impolitic with us, for the very reason that it is politic there. Our conditions are reversed. Our constitutions are not only dissimilar, but ours is an exact laversion of the British constitution; and the true mode of reasoning is to reason on the assumption that that which is true in regard to the British system is false with regard to ours, because the systems are opposite—the one being the inversion of the other. What is the fact in regard to her? Great Britain is a central power, in the immediate vicinity of the continent of Europe. a small island, not a twentieth part so large as the acquisition which we have made within the last four years. She has sixty She has sixtyodd colonies, stretched all around the globe, and occupying those points which give to Great Britain the greatest facility in monop points which give to Great Britain the greatest liaelity in monap-olizing the commerce and earrying-rade of the globe. Her em-pire spread over the world's surface, and comprohending about this-low separate and distinct parts of the earth, separated from the other portions of the globe by intervening waters—in such an engine, founded upon commerce, sitsained by commerce, living and breathing by commerce, and that commerce dependent on maintaining unimpaired the integrity of her empire in all its extremities—in this state of things it is wisdom, the very perfection of wisdom for Great Britain to augment her navy. She has given commercial law to the world. She has done the manufacturing for the world, until within the last thirty years—since nection for the work, and when the less trirty years since the become ber great rivals, not only in maniferances, but likewise in tonnage and navigation. This circumstance exposes the instant parts of her empire to all the assaults which the joalousy and rivalry of distant and hostile governments may suggest to them as their best policy to rocks. Canada these within the vicinity of it power which has but to stretch forth its hand and wrest it from h when it pleases, and when it becomes important that it should be done, threatened by the double ambition of France and Russia, and her possessions everywhere subject to be seized upon by the ra-pacity of rival nations. Nothing could be more politic with Great Britain. than to unite her naval force, to unite defence with trade, to make it the interest of every merchant, if that were possible to transport the products of the country, or his goods, wares, and merchandize in arined vessels. It is for these reasons that Great Britain has encouraged this thing. Does any man suppose that it was for the petty consideration of a little postage, that Great Britain adopted the system? Can any man be weak enough to tornam anoptes, the system Can any man be weak enough to many pounies sould be made by carrying letters between New York and Liverpool? Newer such a thought occurred there. It was but a disguised mode of keeping a floating armed power in the vicinity of all nations. How is it with us? Where are our distant possessions? Where are our sixty odd colonies placed in the vicinity of th possessing. Where are our stay one coronies process in the vertuity of great and powerful outsides, and subject to be sized upon unless-closely guarded? Where are they? There are but eight millions of tomage in the world, of which Great Britain has three and a half millions; the United States two millions and a half, France less than a million, and the other nations of the world the balance divided between them. No man dreams of such a thing in this country. How is it with Great Britain? Sir, British states-men have sense enough to know that a conflict with the United Statos would end in the dissolution of her empire. There is no respect of a war. Yet we are indirectly to augment the expenses of our naval establishment, under the name of mail facilities to one million and a half dollars per annum, over and above its already infinite and an administration of the sum force is arready accessed to the sum force of the ost office. The income of the post office was treated as so much post office. The income of the post office was treated as so much revenue, and the payments to sustain the establishment went out as so many appropriations from the common Trensury. That has assorted upon the result—forced upon the experiment and the necessities of the country. The people of the west demanded, as they had a right to demand in their sparses settlements, mail accommodations. The post office had to yield to the advanced recipient on the Post Office. the demand, relying upon the revenues accruing to the Post Office Department from these post offices and routes to meet the addial, which the establishment of other routes and offices imposed upon the Treasury. This the government did in order to accommodate the widely expanded settlements of the interior of the western country. It was found that the income of the general estab-lishment was insufficient to meet the expenses, and therefore they issument was insufficient to meet the expenses, and therefore they had to resort to the public Treasury for aid. Sir, this is of all periods the most inauspicious for increase in our public expenditure. We have recently come out of a war, and although it has been ably conducted financially, the first war ever conducted in such a manner as to leave undisturbed the business and credit of the people and

the government, yet it is a war which leaves some little debt behind it. But above all the reasons I have advanced, sir, is the one that we have made a vast acquisition of territory. We have doubled the size of our original limits within the last four years, so that we now reach to the Pacific and extend along its c that we now reach to the Pacinia and extend along its coast agreed degrees. All this region is to some extent peopled. With every part of the country this government must hold some kind of communication. You will have to have a post office at the mouth of the Columbia river as well as a custom-house. You will have to have them up the Columbia river and along the coast of the Pacine; in the interior of California and upon the castern side of the Rocky mountains, at least to New Mexico, and in that country. Hence it will be impossible to avoid the necessity of imposing upon the interior post office establishment the expense that will arise from establishing mail facilities in these acquisitions. It cannot be avoided; government must keep open commonications with its constituents. You cannot, then, by any device avoid the necessity of increasing the expenses of the interior post office estalishment very considerably—much beyond its income. Is it, then, a tune, when our internal expenses in the administration of the Post Office Department are about to be so greatly increased, by extending throughout this extensive region of country mail accommodations to expand this ocean post office system that comprehends the whole human race? This same necessity which makes it important to establish an ocean steamer mail route between Liverpool and New York, can be plead for establishing a mail route between any one point in the United States, and any other commercial point on the lace of our globe. Our Yankee friends, whose enterprise and gonius was celebrated more than half a century ago by British statesmen and writers, and who were spoken of as having made England ashamed of her want of enterprise and sagacity, from the extent to which they had carried the maritime researches of our country-our Yankee friends, I say, have carried their sails like many American flags to every portion of our globe, wherever there is a man to purchase and money to pay with. Well, sir, they have opened communications with all these places. They have communication by letter, and the argument which makes you carry one man's letter to his correspondent in Liverpool or London, en-forces the necessity of earrying another mans's letter to his cor-respondent in China. If the reason is good in the one case it is good in the other. In this case the reason given for action upon the matter is the augmentation of the navy. It cannot be pretended that we are to do it for the sake of the trilling profit accruing from the operation of the system. If there is to be any profit, I am in favor of its going to the people. Do not let the government become a trader or trafficker, a copartner with the merchant on the scaboard—it may be said in flour and beef, and pork, as well as let-ters and papers. If there be any profit, let it reward the services

ters any improve of our people and enterprise of the people and enterprise in the tore public means of defence should never depend upon private individuals or companies of men. If it be excessary to augment the navy, do it through the ordinary channels—by appropriations for the use of the navy under the administration of laws which will require our ships to be built under our own superintic of the commande of these ships by the voice of the Centar. Sir, a ship called for in the service of the country, but that there will be a knocking at the doors of Congress, session after session, of sugmenting, numbers of men coming forward with memorials to Congress setting forth inany plausible reasons for the establishment of me ineriod of stemers, and asking for allowance of money to sacrire their establishment. Shakspears illustrates a very previous concerning the control of the control of

to sacure their extanisations. Subsequer interactions a very prevacion trait of human nature in the long repetition of the word
attention to human nature in the long repetition of the word
to he word to the prevent of the word of the prevent of the prevent of the committee; one of the parties who bid for these contracts,
claimed it from the department because he had been instrumental
in getting the act through—that is by begging and consing members of the two Honess, and by importunity in exterting from those
who would not vote for his brill, a promise that they would not
vote at all. And thesi its, sir, bills are passed here, and their passage is considered as an act of the concentrated will and reason of
contract of the prevent of the prevent of the prevent
interest of the prevent of the prevent
leaves of the preven

acquainted with the leading and most influential spirits of the

Sir, a million and a half of per annum expenditure now accrues, and this is only the fourth year this system has been in existence—an augmentation of nearly a fourth of a million a year since the commentation of nearly a fourth or a number a year since we remement of this kind of expenditure. According to this rate of nerease, what will be the burden of debt that in twenty years this system will impose upon the treasnry? Why, at that rate, the revenue of our entire custom-house will not defray the annual expenditure necessary to keep up this system. penature necessary to keep up this system. Sir, there are from fifteen to eighteen thousand post offices in this contriv under the general administration of the department. The mail arrives at every one of these offices once a week, some twice a week, and a large number once a day; and the whole newsqiaper publication of this Union are thus transported and seathered among the people as well as the letters, for less than five millions of dollars But this system of transporting letters from one merchant to another in foreign countries, in the fourth year of its life, costs our country annually one million and a half dollars, with a prospect of its augmentation in three or four years, to some four or five millions. Sir, if a man should move the establishment of a post office on a piece of land within twenty miles of which there post office on a piece of I and within twenty inlies of which there are twenty American citizens residing, I would vote for it, but I cannot vote to spend a million and a half of the people's money in carrying letters between the bankers and importing merchants of New York and Liverpool. I am opposed, sir, to all these government copartnerships. This system is a foreible illustration of the infallible tendency of even the best regulated government in world, to meddle in other people's business, and other come of the control o superscule the enterprise of private individuals with their own superior means. We have commenced to send letters. Can we not put the public ships of the navy into service, to be commanded not put the public shaps of the navy into service, to be commanded to the control of the Calantic with as much propriety as we can build up a disguised navy, ander the plea that it is private property, resting in a contract the right to call it ours when necessary? All the money spent to arm this country should be administered by the public, and responsible agents of the country. But, I repeat, this not the time to swell our expenditures in any form. We have is not the time to swell our expenditures in any form. We have no pretext of colonial possessions, sir, to defend. We have none the reasons, domestic or loreign, that Great Britain for keeping up a navy that stretches over the globe. lish govornment has plenty of reasons for keeping up her navy and colonial system. She has to augment her income proportionate to the demands of the younger members of the nobility, and those who desire commissions in the navy and appointments to the administration of the provinces. We have no reason of that sort for increasing our navy. Sir, the more we expend in sending letters to England, the less we have left to pay for sending letters to our constituents. If we are to spend a million and a half of dollars, let us spend it in establishing post offices in the interior of our own country, for mail facilities rather than for the benefit of the British, Chinese, or any other people. Sir, let this system of ex-Brutsh, Chinces, or any other people. Sir, let this system of expenditure expand and increase—let the apex of this pyramid rise within the public view, and it will be struck down. Whenever the people ind that their petitions and memorials for new mail routes are passed by unnoticed, whilst millions of the public money are voted to send letters to China and Great Britian, they will soon legislate this law from the statute book, and small the concess. Sir Jarray the justiciant country against the enter world Sir, I array the internal country against the outer world I claim for America the faciliin this competition for post offices? in this competition for post omees? I claim for America the atomities and mail accommodations which this government can silord to give. I put up the claims of the American people in rivalry to and against the claims of the whole human race on the other side of the globe for the expenditure of the public money of this country in the transportation of the mails. Sir, for one, I must say, try in the transportation of the mails. Sir, for one, I must say, that this job-giving legislation of ours is doing the treasury of this country more harm, so far as the financial action of the govern ment is considered, than any other branch of its legislation.

There are two sources of revenue, one source is derived from the

There are two sources of revenue, one source is derived from the public lands. In the administration of the public land recently acquired—where the expense of surveying will equal the income to the derived from the sale of the lands—the fund or revenue will be duminished rather than increased. The other source from which the distribution of the control of the cont

stock jobbers and contract getters in the land. You are offering your bounty to sharp-sighted keen-witted men, to get together and devise schemes to rob the public Treasury in the form of establishing these routes, so as to make them as numberless as the lines on the surface of our globe. Sir, mark the progress you are likely to make in this system of expenditure, and compare it with the progress you have made during the four years of time. You commence dit in the form of a small favor to facilitate the transportation of a single mail, and in four years time there is a demand of thirteen hundred thousand dollars upon the Treasury to sustain a system which threatens an enormous and a dangerous into the same time to the state of the system must be stopped, and thus the same time from the state of the system in the same time from the state of the system in the same to the same time. This system must be stopped, the same time from the same time of the same time of the system in the same time to the same time. The system must be stopped, the same time from the state of the same time of the same time.

Mr. DOUGLAS.—Inasmuch as the passage of the bills establishing these lines of mail steamers in the other branch of Congress, was owing in some degree to the strenuous support which  $\hat{\Gamma}$  gave to the measure, there may be some propriety perhaps in my offer-ing a few remarks in reply to the statements of the honorable gentleman from Ono. He appears to have demonstrated to his gentleman from Ohio. He appears to have demonstrated to his own satisfaction—but I trust not to the satisfaction of the Senate or the country—that this whole system of ocean mail steamers is a gross violation of the constitution. He has made an appeal to the great West, and announced that he has made an issue between 'internal America and the external world.' Of course he takes the side of America. But I am not exactly willing to admit in voting for these lines of mail steamers, and in taking a deep and abiding interest in their success I was arraying myself against the interests of my own country; and I confess I am not altogether able to comprehend the force of his constitutional objection. The gentleman asserts that there are but two clauses of he constitution upon which we could predicate this bill. quotes the provision authorizing the establishing of post routes, and the other giving Congress the authority to regulate comand the other group Congress the authority to regulate coin-merce with foreign nations. He says he will not enter into a de-tail of his views to show the unconstitutionality of this system, but he denies emphatically that either of these clauses con-fers the power to establish it. Now the first clause conveys the power is so many words, and he himself in reading the constitution was arrested by it—was obliged to quote it, and then found it convenient to refrain from any attempt to disprove the existence of the power. After quoting these two clauses, he informed us that in his opinion, this power could not be exercised under the authority of the Post Office Department, because the under the authority of the rost Office Department, because the government was induced to adopt the system, not from any paltry consideration of dollars and cents, but from a great leading principle of policy, with a view to the creation of a navy. Ah! So, then, he has discovered that this is a bill to establish a navy. seems to me he might have read another clause of the constitu-tion which says, that Congress shall have power to establish a any, and his constitutional objections, might, in that way, have been entirely removed. I supported this system upon the three grounds to which he has alluded—first, as a measure for the per-pose of increasing mail facilities between America and all other parts of the civilized globe; secondly, for the purpose of extend-ing our commerce, our tonuage, and our commercial intercourse throughout the whole world, making us the first maritime power of the globe; and, thirdly, for the purpose of creating the most powerful navy, with the most economical expenditure of money. powerful navy, with the most economical expenditure of money. I firmly believe, that all these important objects may be effectually accomplished by this system, which the gentleman from Ohio has so vehemently denounced. By the expenditure of the money are the statem, which is the statem when yearly for the statem. called for by these bills, you set affoat ten steam ships, ready for the defence of this country in the time of war—for the extension of our commerce in time of peace—for the transportation of passengers and mails throughout the globe. Your navy is thus increased by the addition of these ten vessels, fitted for all these useful purposes, whereas, in the eld mode of building up your navy, only one

poses, whereas, in the old mode of building up your navy, only one ship of war could have been added by the same expenditure.

But the gentleman says, that if the object be to create a navy, he wishes no partnership of the government with individuals. He entertains a great horrer of these "contracts," and "stockphing affairs." He sees fraud in every contract. Does the gentleman mean to say that there were no contracts under the old system of ship-bailding by the Navy Department? Were there no "fat jobs" then dealt out to the contractors who swarmed around your halls? Were there no persons a similar to the contractors of the contractors who swarmed around your halls? Were there no persons a similar to the contractors of the contractors of the contractors who is the contractors of th

which might have been avoided if there had been thirty or forty mail steamers, of which the government could have availed itself-without increasing this immense extra expenditure, and have saved millions in this war, if the system of ocean mail steamers had been in operation when the war commenced? But the genhad been in operation when the war commences: but the gor-theman goes on to say, that in adopting this system we are aping Great Britain, and that nine-tenths of all our bad laws were bor-rowed from some sort of British precedent. He might have gone further and said that nine-tenths of all our good laws came from nurther and said that underteaths of all our good laws came from the same source. Just look at the gentleman's argument? Be-cause some of the laws of Great Britain are not adapted to our system of government, we must reject every thing that is good in her policy; because the political principles of Great Britain are in a great measure antagonistic to ours, it therefore follows, that the great principles of commerce and trade which are universally recognized throughout the globe, are to be reversed by us, because, adopted by Great Britain! To carry out his views consistenty. auopica dy Great Britain: 10 cerry out his views consistenty, the gentleman should relose to speak the same language which prevails in Great Britain; he should call upon us to repudiate any system of religion professed in Great Britain; he should discret any salings to alloyed the head of the professions to alloyed the professions and the saling the should discrete the professions to alloyed the professions and the profession of the profess system of religion professed in Great Britain; he should direct our sailors to alandon the nautical instruments which may be used in Great Britain, and refuse sail by the same compass. The gentleman coold hardly have been serious in using such an argument. One of the most forcible reasons which each be urged in favor of these steam lines is to be lound in the fact that Great Britain has adopted the system and has prosent the state of the state outed it with so much energy and success. It is only a part of that sagacious policy which has extended the commerce of Great Britain to every part of the habitable globe. She well knows the value of these steamships, affont on every sea. They constitute a most formidable addition to that power which she possesses on the ocean, by which she is prepared to resist any aggressive policy, or to assail any who attempt to thwart her views. Are we the remain blind to the advantages of such a system, and by which we may be enabled to defend our commerce and our coasts? I doubt much whether "internal Atoerica" would like very well, to see her coasts surrounded by this network of British steamships, guarding British possessions on all sides of us—hemming us in—monopoliz-ing the trade, and diminishing the tonnage of our own country, whilst we stood by with folded arms, destitute of any power of resistance. Great Britain has possessions all around our borders
-the Canadas on the north, New Foundland and Nova Scotia on the northeast, the Bahamas, Jamaica, and other West India Islands on the south, and with numerous lines of mail steamlands on the south, and with numerous lines of mail steamers, she connects them all together. Yet the gentleman says that in following this policy in order to resist encroachments and maintain our independence, we are merely aping Great Britain. No, sir! We are engaging in the great struggle for the mastery of the segs—for the empire of the ocean. And as an internal American—as a representative of the people from the great West, where the gentleman is airful all the forts are to be broken down if this system is sustained—I feel too much indignation when I be-hold these attempts on the part of Great Britain to monopolize noid these attempts on the part of Great Dritain to monopoinze trade and commerce, to remain quiescent. We have demonstra-ted that we are the first power upon the land—that upon this con-tinent we can conquer the whole world if they will come here to fight as. Our superiority on the land is settled; it now remains nght is. Our superiority of the halo is sected, it as we tentain to be established upon the ocean. But as long as Great Britain possesses superior steam power upon the ocean, she will be mistress of the seas. The wonderful progress of science and the arts in our day, has wrought mighty changes in the means of offence and defence possessed by the great powers of the earth. Your old sailing vessels—your old ships of war, built for an age gone by— have become comparatively useless. Steam is now the great ele-ment, not only in your manufactories, but it is the controlling agency which regulates your commerce, and gives victory in any contest upon the seas. Therefore, we must aim to excel in this great element of prosperity, and strength upon the sea.

great accement or prosperity, and strength upon the sea.

I fiel peculiar satisfaction in being able to say that I have supported this system from the beginning. I have given it an energy special content of the proper strength of

done only by the passession of a steam navy.

But the Senator from Connection insists that in this case we build the yeasels ourselves, whereas they should have been built by the private contractors. I do not admit this proposition by these contracts the contractors were bound to construct the vessels at their own expense; but in accordance with the mode prescribed by the Navy Department when constructed and put afloat, a naval was officer to be received on board with histractions in his

30TH CONG .- IST SESSION-No. 114.

pocket from the head of the Navy Department, authorizing him to take command whenever the interests of the country might demand it and the contingency pointed out in his instructions presented itself. A double advantage is secured by this arrangement. Yessels are always ready and fit for sen; whereas, if built at your own expenses, and haid up in your docks, when the period arrives when they are needed, you find that they have rotted down, that onlinears and erews must be procured and months elapse before the vessels can be fitted for sea and put affoat. But these steam vessels are always afloat—with the crews abourd—ammunition and fuel stored—steam up—ready for use at any moment, Again: as steam has now become a substitute for sailing power; it becomes necessary to educate your naval officers anew. Your old commodores, post captains, and captains who have commanded during their lives upon the briny ocean the old fashioned vessels, know nothing of the steam vessels. You must educate your naval officers in the principles of steam anxignion. These steam lines are always and the steam of the stea

There was a strange incogratify in his argument—if I may be allowed to say so with perciet respect to the Senator from Obio. He went on to prove in the first place, that if the object of this system was to make money in carrying the mails, the whole plan was wrong, because this government had no right to enter into competition with private individuals in carrying papers and mails, any more than in conveying flour, beef or pork. He said it was a sort of stock; obbding business on the part of the government, and saving stock of the proving a money naking machine. The most efficient part the service of the government, and saving the proving a money making machine. The most efficient part the service of the government, and a half per annum, without a dollar of income. These propositions destroy each other—both can not be tree, and, as I firmly believe, neither of them can be sunctioued. No fixed, as I firmly believe, neither of them can be sunctioued. No fixed, as I firmly believe, neither of them can be sunctioued. No fixed of this system over expects that it will be a gourse of money making. But ten ever expects that it will be a gourse of money making. But the work of the same proposed in the public debt of England, and ramaked that if we wished to create a similar debt, it was necessary only to adopt the same system which had produced it there. Does the gentleman need to be informed that this system of stems ocean anyigation never produced a dollar of debt in England, and that the public debt of that country was created by very different causes? Here, however, is the incongraity in the argument of the gentleman to be high discounts was reached between the demoneres the ocean may deal on the country was created by very different causes? Here, however, is the incongraity in the argument of the gentleman to be high down on the public debt. The friends of the system does not an inconsist the demoneres the control of the public debt. The friends of the system and one of the public debt. The friends of the system and

dued—as the receme analysis of the state of the properties of the medianed, and the system made merely to support itself.

The gentleman is entirely mistaken when he represents this as a stock jobing system. It is precisely analogous to the employment of a stage, steambeat, or railroad car for the transportation of the mail. We pay so much to the contractor for the service, and receive the proceeds of the mails. Is not the Senator aware that England has been endeavoring to secure a monopoly in the that England has been endeavoring to secure a monopoly in the she should succeed in that effort? Our self-respect, our feeling of antional prode, justice to our fellow etitizes—the elecarcs pransiples of public policy, all demand the continuance of this system. Borrowing a favorite expression of the Senator from Ohio, I would say that destiny demands it. If we are to become that ocean bound republic—extending from the Atlantic to the Pacific, of which we have heard so anoth, if we are to become that ocean bound republic—extending from the Atlantic to the Pacific, of which we have heard so anoth, if we are to biot out the red lines from the maje grows four which has formed the theme of some of the most eloquent speeches of the Santor from Ohio, I can assure him that all this is to be attained, only by acquiring that ascendency on the seas which we have secored upon the hand. If, on the other hand, we remain with folded arms, and suffer England to go on extending her maratime power with her one hondred row hundred steamers, and we should happen to come into cellison with her, what, I ask, then becomes of your eight hundred views of progress and extending our steam marine; and that great object can be obtained under this system of main steamers, et one tent the cast of any other system policy and self-interest, our pride as American capital affeat upon those seas? How is the gentleman to carry out his favorite was of progress and extended only by fostering and extending our steam marine; and that great object can be obta

insufficient mail service, I must declare that I am not to be rallied under an internal banner, against the Atlantic States, on a question of so great national importance. In this system, sir, the western States has West in a great producing country. We raise from the contract of the state of the state

Indicate the state of the state

Mr. CALHOUN said that when the bill first came before Congress he opposed its passage; but as it had become a law, and as he maintained the principle that encouragement should be extended to contractors in the faithful performance of their contracts, led tid sposed to vote in favor of the proposition now before the Senate. In this determination he was strengthened by the fact that the proposition had met with the approbation of the head of the department. He would, therefore, vote in favor of giving this advance to the contractors.

Mr. BENTON remarked that his opinions with respect to the system had not heen changed. He was in favor, however, of going on and perfecting the contracts which had been made. He was the more disposed to do so from the consideration, that if this experiment were successful, great facilities of communication with a vast territory belonging to the United States, three thousand miles off by land, would be afforded. He would, therefore, yote in favor of the advance.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, supported the amendment of the Senator from Connecticut. He would execute the contract with fidelity—carry it onto the letter; but according to his present information, he was not prepared to give the advance which was asked. It was desirable, he thought, to have a little more light upon the subject.

Mn. UNDERWOOD said he would vote for the amendment.—
He was opposed to giving the advance, the interest on which
would be equivalent to an hundred dollars a month. He presented
a statement of the various laws which had been passed epon his
majorited for the support of these steam lines. He thought that
the packets advertused to sail daily and weekly from New Orleans
and New York might be employed in the transportation of the
mails at a much smaller expenditure than that now required. He
hoped that the expectations of his friend from Illinois night he realized, but it seemed to him that the enterprize had commenced
under very unfavorable auspaces.

Mr. HALE strenoonsly supported the proposition to allow un advance to the contractors, in order to enable them to carry out their contract with the greatest possible advantage to the government. As to the amount of expenditure necessary to sustain these steam lines, he regarded it as applied to a most useful purpose. It was taking a part of the immerse expenditure of the government and applying at to the useful purposes of progress—the extension of commerce and the cultivation of the arts of peace, which tended to elevate instead of degrading mankind. The advance had been recommended by the department itself, and he should like to know wherein the inequality or unpropriety in making that advance consisted.

Mr. NILES said that he was somewhat surprised that his two friends on that side of the chamber, who had been so decidedly opposed to the whole system when it was first presented a few years ago, and one of whom, he believed, had domounced it as a humbour should have become so signally converted to it that they consider the state of the state

whatever to justify it in the present case. He had another amendment which he intended to offer, whether the one then before the Senate prevailed or not, limiting all these contracts to a period of five years. The contract had been made originally for ten years, because the contractors would consent to no other terms; and a sort of compromise had been made that the extension to ten years would be subject to the action of Congress at the present session. If the appropriation were made without

action on that, it would be cofirmation of the terms of the con-

Mr. VULEE said that in some of the views of the Senator from Connection the fully concurred, while there were others to which be could not give his assent. It was in the power of Congress to reduce the term of the contract, but he did not think it expedient to exercise the power. The parties had discovered great fidelity, and built fine vessels, which were now nearly ready for the service. But he did concur in the amendment to strike out the allowance of un advances. Such an advance would be in violation of that sound policy which had been observed by the government with great carculainess from the earliest period. He referred to the special provisions made in 1823, prohibiting advances upon contracts. There was no trightness in the money market now, over the special provisions are the proposed of the special provision of the special

It was alleged that because of the enlargement in the size of these vessels, Congress should make the advancement proposed. this enlargement had not only been made without the concurrence this enlargement had not only been made without the concurrence of the officers of the government in the Navy Department, but in one of the cases it was made in direct violation of the purpose a spirit of the act of Congress. This was the case with the line from New York to Liverpool, where enlargements had already been made to an enormous extent. The Great Britain had been found to be alsogether too cumbersome for the advantageous navigation of the ocean. These steamers were intended to furnish an addition to the means of const desence-were intended to be useful on our coast as warof coast defence—were intended to be useful of our coast as war-steamers. Yet these vessels had been made of such a size as that it rendered it impossible to apply them to that purpose. There were it renderedit impossible to apply them to that purpose. There were not not more than two or three ports upon the Adlantic coast that could be entered by these vessels. Charleston harbor could not be entered at low water, and Savannah harbor might be touched at but could not be entered. It was the intention of Congress that both of these ports should be entered by these vessels. He had noticed, since the suggestion of this proposition of mail steamers, that several of the keading newspapers in the United States had taken ground against it as an interference with private enterprise of Congress. He hazarded nothing in saving that if the activated by Congress. He hazarded nothing in saying that if the original terms of the contract were to be departed from, that the government owed it to itself, the Treasury, and the people of the country, ment owed it to user, the Treasury, and the people of the country, from whose pookets the money was to be derived, that in making these advances, they should be made on terms that would be advantageous to the public Treasury. If we were to make these advances, he would undertake to say that the service could and would be undertaken by competent men for a sum less that three-fourths of that now proposed. If we were to alter the terms of the original contract and make advances, he would say again throw open the contract to competition, and let these parties come in upon more cough terms. These contractors had it in their power to more equal terms. have revoked these proposals if they were not found to be advan-tageous. They were entered into by the contractors with a full knowledge of their abtility to carry them on or not; and therefore knowledge of their about to carry them on or not; and therefore netther of them had any right to come to Congress and ask relief from the binding responsibility, which was on their part voluntarily assumed, after deliberate consideration.

Mr. CLAYTON.—A matter of grave consideration in the discussion of this question is, whether we shall not, by abandonis his system of mail stoamers—which I apprehend, from the nonpayment of these three controls of the control of the control of the three controls of the control of the control of the control of the three controls of the control of the control of the control of the tenth of the control of the control of the control of the control of the steam navy at our expense, and at the expense of the great carrying trade of the country. They have already made the expenses of their steamers and some five millions over. I know no other way of maintaining the carrying trade of the country than by a system of mail steamers. It is objected that we contracted for steamers of 1,800 tons, and that they have been increased to twentry-seven handared tons in size.

Mr. YULEE.—The increase in the size of these ships has not been made at the instance of the Navy Department.

Mr. CLANTON.—Well, it has been done at the instance of persons in the interest of the government. Either formally or the formally the investigation of the government has increased the size, and the size of the size should be increased. Attenders of lifteen hundred tons are not sufficient in size to enter into competition with British steamers. If we can only make up our minds to cooperate with the energies of our own countrymen, as the English government do with theirs, I have no doubt we can successfully compete with these British steamers in the earrying trade.

Mr. BUTLER.—I would ask what security or lien this government has on these ships; and what prevents the selling of these ships in foreign parts?

Mr. ATHERTON —I suppose the Secretary will make all the necessary provisions with the contractors for the entire security of the government. There is a provision in the contract which provides that the money advanced shall be secared to the government by a lien upon the ships, according as the Secretary of the Navy

shall require. The twenty-five thousand dollars per month also is to be advanced until the ships are launched. So that there can be no doubt of the safety of making these advances.

Mr. BRADBURY .- I am in favor of observing the most scrumr. DRADDUR: —1 am in layor of observing the most sortu-pulous good faith on the part of this government, in carrying out all contracts that have been made uoder its authority. The mo-tion of the Senator from Connecticut, as I understand it, is to strike ont that part of the bill which provides for advances being made, out that part of the unit whan provides for available seem make; these advances are not necessary to preserve our good failt; neither is it alleged that there are any unnecessary hardships growing out of this contract—that it is a hard one, or that the government has had an undue advantage in the transaction. On the contrary, it appears that the contract is a liberal one; and yet we are asked to make advances. Now, these advances are to be made to whom?
Why, to the assignees of the contract. It appears that when the government made this contract it contracted with parties that were responsible. These responsible parties have transferred their swern responsible. These responsible parties have transferred their contract to other individuals, who now phy to us saying the need advances. If we do not make them, what follows? Why, the original contracts will have to make them, and they are those more with a should do it. It is a mere question, then, whether the government shall make these advances, or the original contract-ing parties. Sir, if we make these advances, we will violate a sound principle; and we may rest assured if we begin it in this case, we shall have to do it in others where the claims are much stronger upon the liberality of the government. Again, we not only violate a sound principle, but we expose ourselves to a loss on account of the security. The language of the act, to be sure, is, that the advances are to be secured by a lien on the ships. But what security is that? Sunnose they are burnt up, the govern what security is that? Suppose they are burnt up, the government will not only have to lose the interest on the advance stand as insurers upon the ships for the amount of the advances. But the opinion of the Secretary of the Navy has been presented. that the money market was in such a state that there was danger that the contract could not be continued, from the ioability of that the contract count not be command, from the manning of the contractors to obtain funds. Since that opinion was given the state of the property parket has obtained. The danger so much the recommendation for advances to prove the main reason on which the recommendation for advances is placed, has ecased to cairs. I hope we shall preserve these contracts in good faith, and carry them out as they were originally made and understood.

Mr. CALHOUN.—I can assure gentlemen that I had no iden of perverting or misconstraint the tone of the debate. The whole object of my remarks was, to bring out the true state of the case, inammen as my understanding of it heretofare was picked up informally. I now understand this subject before us to involve three centracts. For one, sir, I must say that I am altogether opposed to making the advances asked for. If the credit of the individuals asking for advances is good in ordinary times, they will have no difficulty in having the money they require loaned them. The opplication for these advances has been based mainly upon the ground of the tightness of the money market. That state of things has passed away.

Mr. CLAYTON.—I would state to the honorable Senator from South Carolina that, so far from the money market being casy, the rate of interest on money in New York is from twelve to eighteen per cent. Within a few days past, also, the government stock has fallen from three-quarters to one per cent.

Mr. CALHOUN.—I do not think at any rate that the state of the money market should be the great consideration in this matter. Nothing is a good consideration but what is a quid pro quo. As to this whole affair my impressions from the beginning have been against it. I believe now, as I have ever believed, that it would be better to leave the matter open to private enterprise. I shall yote in favor of the motion made by the Senator from Connecticut.

Mr. DIX—My position is directly the reverse of my friend from Missouri on my left. I am in favor of the establishment of these mail lines, and opposed to making the advances asked for. In 1846 when this same subject of mail steamers was up from the other House, I then said, as I now reiterate, that this government, by this system, may be provided with a navy of steamers without making any advances whatever; and that these vessels ought to be built by private capitalists, and the government only required to pay a specific sum for a specific service. Contracts made on such a principle I would be such as the sum of the service of t

Mr. WESTCOTT .- I understood that this Bremen line was established for the purpose of having the vessels to use when ne-

cessary in our navy. I would ask whether these ships are not now owned principally by the foreign authorities of Bremen?

Mr. DIX.—I believe such in the case. The city of Bremon has advanced several handred thousand follars to the proprietors of this line. What security help have given I know not; though I think it has some lien upon the stock; that it is hypothecates to their city. I do not know the amount. With regard to competition I think it may be questioned whether this system of mail lines under the care of the government does not interfere with private energies, and destroy competition from private companies or instances with the control of the control

Mr. CLARKE. - Do you not think the assignces in this case are responsible men?

Mr. DIX .- I have made no enquiry into the matter. I suppose they are.

 $Mr.\ CLARKE.{--}I$  have no doubt of the fact. I know them to be responsible men.

Mr. WESTCOTT.—I voted originally against the establishment of the Bremen, and all these schemes of ocean mail steamers, hecause I believed then, as I believe now, that there was considerable humbug about them. I thus the second into the system, and I am for getting out of it as soon as I can, in the meantime and I am for getting out of it as soon as I can, in the meantime she Senator from Connecticut moves to strike out. I am told that all, or nearly all of the stock of the Bremen line immediately after it was got up, was pledged in some way or other to the city of Bremen; so that to-morrow, if a maratime war were to be commenced, and we were to cell upon the proprietors of this line to fulfil their contract to this government, by furnishing the steamers for the use of the navy, they would not be able to do it if opposed by the city of Bremen. I believe in these advances in order that the United States may have their lines upon these steamboats; for not having them, what is to prevent these companies from hypothecating these steamboats to foreign governments, thereby disabiling themselves from fulfilling the contracts and Astoria line, because more beneficial to the people of the United States, purficularly than the foreign lines, and because of the representation of the contracting parties, that they would build steamboats of a certain class. I should not have voted for it, had I understood that they intended building steamers of tweaty-seven hundred tons burthen. It is an objection with me that their size has been increased.

As the bill progresses, some other amendments will be offered, and among them, I propose to present one. I shall vote for this amendment of the chairman of the Committee on Finance, and against the motion of the Senator from Connecticat to strike out. If these amendments are not adopted on the ultimate consideration of the bill, I shall vote against the whole concern.

Mr. DICKINSON—I have never invored, never intended to favor, any of these systems that look to the competition by government with private enterprise. I expect to see the day when the whole post office establishment will be aboilshed, and the whole matter conducted by private individuals, with more economy and efficiency than it can be done by this government. That time has not yet arrived, however, inasmuch as the new States in the West deserted the privileges conlerved upon the casterian neighbors. Inasmuch, too, as we have entered upon this system, I say for one, it should be carried out in good finth, until the West taxe become equal recipients of its benefits with the East, when I trust ose the whole system abolished. Now, in regard to not objection raised by the Senator from Connecticut, which is to strike out all the proposed advances to these mails, the advances being three months, two months, and one month before the regular payment, I would say, that again the month before the regular payment, I would say, that again the month before the regular payment, I would say, that again the month before the regular payment, I would say, that again the month before the regular payment, asked. I believe it is just and proper so to do under the circumstances. In addition, one line of these steamers will give facilities to emigrants and settlers going west to communicate with their friends in the eastern and Attantio States. Therefore, I feel it is incumbent upon me not only to give facilities for giving three, but to give good facilities for holding communication with their friends in the eastern and Attantio States. Therefore, I feel it is incumbent upon me not only to give facilities for give growth them the secretary of the Navy, who made the original contracts, who has been witness of the assignment, and whose integrity, ability, and fidelity is vouched for by Senators on this floor. I say I do not undertake to know more than be does, and he says it is said, and just, and proper. It is also saud the mony marke

in a precarious State-money difficult to be obtained-but that it is in a precarious State—money difficult to be obtained—but that it is not so now. I apprehend that that has very little to do with the question one way or the other. The money market is fully as stringent now as then, and it fully as difficult for individuals to raise money on this kind of security now as then. It is a very little matter, it seems to me, to advance, for a short time prior to its necessary payment, money that will be due. Why, the matter is discussed as it it were a question of the appropriation of so much money. The money must be appropriated, and when the contracts come due the Secretary of the Navy must meet the demands here away or burned. The Senator from Maine inquires what will be not the Secretary of the Navy not to turn insurers? Does not the Senator perceive that it becomes the duty of the Secretary of the Navy for a torum insurers? Boes not the Senator perceive that it becomes the duty of the Secretary of the Navy for a treat in that he will take care of and the New year trend to the matter, and that he will take care of the matter in the same way that every reasonable tradesman or merchant takes care of his property, requiring the contractors to have the vessels insured in proper offices, and the insurances transferred to the keeping of the government, to be held by it as security 3 suppose these insurance companies should fail, as is suggested by a Senator near me, we might as well go one step fur-ther and suppose the Union to be dissolved, when, of course, there rould be a general break up. It seems to me proper to make these advances.

The question being taken upon the amendment proposed by Mr. Niles, it was determined in the affirmative, as follows:

NEAS—Mers, II was nesermined in the affirmative, as follows:
VEAS—Mers, Alle, Baldris, Johnad, Raddiny, Buller, Cultum, Gravin,
Barn, of Masschwett, Dat, Davin, Felth, Hamin, Johnson, of Gonga, Kag,
Lew, Meralle, Valk, Feare, Pilely, Sebatan, Tamery, Underwood, Univ.
Walker, Vale—45. Arkino, Atheton, Balger, Reston, Berien, Breec, Briek,
Christ, Clarkon, Bayton, Dakmon, Douglas, Fitzgradh, Foots, Green, Hale
Hannegan, Houston, Johnson, of Louisnan, Mangum, Mano, Miller, Sprance,
Westont—54.

Mr. CLAYTON.—At this late hour of the day, inasmuch as it is hardly possible that the bill can be got through, I would beg leave to move that it be laid informally by and taken up to-morrow. I wish to do so, because I desire to give notice of another important bill which I desire taken up to-morrow.

Mr. YULEE.—I desire to read a single paragraph from the letter of the Secretary of the Navy, wherein he states the reason for recommending these advances. [Mr. Y. ber read the paragraph which gave as the reason for his recommendation of the advances the tightness of the money market at that time.]

Mr. CLAYTON .- I move to lay this on the table for the present, in order to give notice by general consent, of a motion to take up another bill to-morrow.

Mr. DIX.—If the Senator will allow me, I desire simply to ask the chairman of the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads whether this contract is capable of an amendment? The route, as

I understand it, is to run from Panama to the mouth of the Colum-I understand it, is to run from ranama to the mouth of the Columbia river. Now, as we have acquired California, it is very desirable to stop at Santiago, San Francisco, and other ports on that coast. I merely ask the question.

Mr. LEWIS -- Before the bill is haid on the table, I have been equested by one or two friends to move the reconsideration of the vote just taken, for the purpose of ebanging my vote.

Mr. CLAYTON said that he would have called up the bill to establish the territorial government of Oregon, New Mexico, and California, to day, but Senators had complained that they did not receive their copies of the bill in time to give them an opportunity of examining it in detail. He now desired to give notice that to-morrow at 12 o'clock, he would call up this bill. There were several errors in the printing of the bill, one in particular in the 12th section. [Mr. C. here read the bill as corrected.] If honorable gentlemen would deliver their copies of the hill at the table of the Secretary, they could have them corrected.

Mr. ATHERTON .- I understand it is the intention of the bon-MI. A I ILLA I OF.—I maderstand it is the intention of the bon-rorbab Senator from Delaware, to call up the territorial bill to-morrow at 12 c/clock; the Senate of course, can dispose of the motion as it thinks proper. As we seem to be in foll tide upon this Naval Appropriation Bill, had we not better settle it to-mor-row, so that we may not have to repeat at some future time, the discussions which has already taken place.

Mr. HALE asked that a resolution of inquiry to the Navy Department for certain information be taken up and considered.

Mr. YULEE objected to the passage of the resolution

Mr. HALE requested that the resolution be read so that the Senate could see the ground of the objection.

Mr. BADGER .- I move the Senate adjourn.

Mr. DIX .- I hope the Senator from North Carolina will withdraw his motion; as I desire to move that the Senate proceed to the consideration of Executive business to-day, that cannot be done to-morrow

Ordered, That the further consideration of the bill be postponed until to morrow

The four bills this day received from the House of Representa-tives, were severally read the first and second times by unani-mous consent, and referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

After the consideration of Executive business,

The Senate adjourned.

# FRIDAY, JULY 21, 1848.

## PETITIONS.

The VICE PRESIDENT presented the memorial of Amos Kendall and John E. Kendall, praying that provision may be made for securing the payment of their claim against the western Che-rokee Indians, out of the money due by the United States to those Indians; which was referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

Mr. PEARCE presented the memorial of Louisa Catherine Adams, and the other heirs of Joshua Johnson, deceased, praying the settlement of the accounts of the deceased, as Consul and Commercial agent of the United States, at London, upon principles of equity, and the payment of any balance which may be found due for his services; which was referred to the Committee of Claims.

### VOLUNTEERS IN THE WAR WITH MEXICO.

Mr. DICKINSON submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to:

Resolved. That the Committee on Military Affairs be instructed to inquire into the propriety of giving to the volunteers who have seved in the late war in Mexico, the arms and accontements with which they have performed said service.

#### PUBLIC DOCUMENTS.

Mr. ALLEN submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to:

Considered by automations consent and agreed of the property of the Senate, what extra public documents, and what number of each, the Senate have ordered to be printed this session, what number of each, the Senate have ordered to be printed this session, what number of each has been printed and delivered, and when the remainder are likely to be printed and delivered.

## PATENT OFFICE REPORT.

Mr. TURNEY submitted the following motion, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to:

Ordered, That the Secretary have leave to send to the Committee on Patents of the House of Representatives, agreeably to the request of said committee, the original manuscript copy of the Patent Office report presented at the present session.

## RELIEF FOR THE INSANE.

Mr. DIX, from the Select Committee appointed the 27th June, to whom was referred the memorial of Dorothea L. Dix, reported a bill making a grant of public lands to the several States of the Union for certain purposes; which was read and passed to the second reading.

## CHRIST CHURCH, WASHINGTON.

The Senate proceeded to consider the amendment of the House of Representatives to the bill to authorize the sale of a part of public reservation, numbered thirteen in the city of Washington, and for other purposes.

Resolved, That they concur therein.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representa-

## MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

Mr. President: The House of Representatives have passed a bill from the Senate for the relief of the heirs of Moses White.

They have also passed a bill for the relief of certain surviving widows of officers of the revolutionary army, in which they request the concurrence of the Senate. FLOGGING IN THE NAVY.

The Senate proceeded to consider the resolution submitted yesterday by Mr. HALE, relative to punishments in the navy, and agreed thereto.

## NAVAL APPROPRIATION BILL.

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill making appropriations for the naval service for the year ending the 30th June, 1849.

THE VICE PRESIDENT stated the question to be on the motion of Mr. Lewis to reconsider the vote of yesterday on the amendment proposed by Mr. Niles.

Mr. PHELPS .- I voted in favor of the motion of the Senator Mr. PHELPS.—I voted in favor of the motion of the Senator from Connecticut to strike out the provision for the advancement of money upon these contracts. I was induced to vote in favor of that proposition by the very serious doubts which I entertained as to the results of this whole policy. I fear we are very much mis-taken in our estimate of the advantages which we are to derive from this system of steam navigation. But, sir, finding that the sense of the Sonate, as it appears to me, is decidedly in favor of the

policy, I am determined to forego my purpose of recisting it für-ther. And I come to this iscondistion from a conviction that the sense of the Scaate was rather in favor of retaining the provision. Upon reflection, therefore, I am prepared to change my vote upon that proposition, and I will state, in a very few words, the reasons which have induced me to come to that determination.

which have induced me to come to that determination. Since the adjournment of the Senate yesterday, I have been informed, that the change in the construction of these vessels has been brought about by the government itself. I suppose that in the outset it was considered that steamers of about 1,500 from burthen would ensure the great object the government had in view, and that it was the purpose of the contractors to construct the vessels, but the Navy Department, as I understand, interfered, and pronounced these light steamers unsuitable for the service, because they were not sufficient capacity to sustain an armament with the words of the surface of the way because of the vessels, and the surface of the vessels when the purpose of the war steamers.

Mr. YULEE .- The Senator is under a misapprehension if he supposes that the plan of construcing the larger sized steamers organated with the Navy Department. Such is not the case. In respect to the size of the vessels the government have had no inclination to control the contractors. The only solicitade of the Navy Department was in respect to the satisfactors of the for war

Mr. PHELPS.—Well, I may have been misinformed in regard to that, but I believe I am right in supposing that the original purpose has been changed, and that vessels of a larger capacity now contemplated than those originally proposed. I am right thus far, am I not ?

Mr. YULEE .- The vessels that are being constructed are not of the size originally proposed, but the change did not originate

Mr. PHELPS .- If it he the purpose of the government to adapt the steamers to war purposes—if they are to be made subservient to purposes of national defence, then it becomes a question of expediency at all events, whether they shall be constructed accordpeonency at any events, whether they shan he constructed accounting to one grade or another. And supposing the department had no agency at all in the change, it being admitted that vessels of greater capacity are now considered to be necessary; it is a matter for our consideration, whether in the construction of these vestes we do, or do not, provide for a species of vessel which will answer war purposes.

Mr. NILES.—It is an important principle that is involved in the proposition, and if it should prevail in regard to this contract, will be followed by similar applications in other cases; indeed, there are now petitions before the committee for that very pur-pose. It will be opening the door to very extensive above.

Mr. FOOTE .- I understand this to be the case of a contract solemnly made by the government with an individual; and the pro-position now is, simply to exercise a little liberality on the part of the government with the view of facilitating the execution of the contract, the government being thoroughly secure in the premises. I cannot see any fraud in the proposition. I cannot see any illicit desire to make money out of the government. I can see no reason for Senators indulging in remarks about contrivances. No som for senators induging in remarks about contrivances. No man can undertake to assert that it is an unfair contrivance. I think the proposition is such a one as we should sanction; and no argument can shake my conviction. The contract having been made, I am prepared to afford, to a moderate extent, the means of facilitating its execution.

of hacilitating its execution.

Mr. BENTON.—I am entirely opposed to the system of ranning steamers to the old world. Great Britain may properly run steamers to the new world, because she has possessions on this scontinent; but we are undertaking to run them where we have no possessions. We have now extensive possessions on the other side of this continent, and in respect to ther we are situated as Great Britain is in respect to her distant possessions. Avraingements and progress for facilitating our commons up whether, by making the contract of the co

that will bring the two sides of the continent within about thirty days of each other, and I think we ought not to beside. We all know that it requires immense sums of money to earry into operation an undertaking of this kind; and it is but reasonable, therefore, that the government should contribute its aid in the manner

proposed.

There is also in this bill an appropriation for a dry dock at New York. I was there about a month ago, and visited several places where labor was going on, and among the rest this dry dock. God gave me a heart to feel for the laboring man. I remember to have read that: "the laborer is worthy of his hire," dry dock. God gave me a hear't to feel for the lishoring man. I remember to have read that "the laborer is worthy of his hire," and in another place, that "the sun should not go down without the reward of his labor being rendered to him." What was my astonishment, then, to hear that these men had been without their pay for half a year! The people's more has been paid into the Treasury, but it has not been applied to its proper use for want legislation. I desire to see this bill, containing these appropristions, passed as speedily as possible.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts .- I need not say that I have given my support to the lnw which established this line of steamers; and I need scarcely say that I wish to see it prosperous and successful; hut I concur most fully with the Senator from Connecticut, that the only way to make it so, is to take care that no abuses ereep into its management. Does any gentleman come here and say to Congress that he is unable to carry out his con-Does any gentleman come here and represent to us that he is unable to raise the funds that are required to earry his contract into execution? Why, sir, if there he any such statement it has escaped my observation. I do not understand that this applihas escaped my observation. I do not understand that this appu-cation is placed upon any such ground as that. I understand it to be simply a proposition made to Congress to change the mode of payment, by making earlier advances than was stipulated in the ontract; and I understand the proposition as being supported upon the ground that there has been a change in the system of building -an enlargement of the dimensions of the vessels-since the plan was originally entered upon. I do not think there is any gentle-man who will say that he is authorized to state to the Senate that e plan of construction was demanded or nsked for ates. I do not understand it so at all; on the conby the United States. trary, I understand the chairman of the Committee on Naval Affairs explicitly to disavow this charge. When the Cunard line of steamers was put in operation, they built ships of some 1400 tons burthen. It was found, however, that when they came, to make out then. It was bound, however, that when they came, to have arrangements for the extensive machinery employed, together with storage for coal and accommodation for passengers, there was very little room left in those vessels for freight. They, therefore, after they commenced their experiments and found that the system bad they commenced their experiments and round that the system because operated lavorably, found it expedient to enlarge their vessels. Those, therefore, that have been subsequently made, are greatly larger than the first, and the individuals engaged in that enterprise have found it good policy to enlarge them, because they can egage in the carrying of freight. The Senator from Delaware dy has stated that something like ten millions of dollars worth of goods had been brought into the port of Boston by those steamers. It has thus become a money-making business. I believe it is perfectly notorious, that so long ago as a year at least, after this Collins line had been taken into consideration, the contractors went into a consultation as to the size of the vessels which it was expedient for the company to build, and they decaded that the most suitable size for money-making was about 3,000 tons. And that is in exact accordance with experience. Those who mean to make money construct large vessels, and they are then suitable for commerpurposes. Under these circumstances, can these gentlemen and claim from this government this anticipated advance of money, on the ground that they have benefitted the government by enlarging the size of their vessels? I know, that for many kinds of service, a vessel of 3,000 tons is more efficient and suitable for war purposes than smaller vessels; but is it not equally obvious that for most of the ordinary services of war, a vessel of 1,500 tons is the more suitable of the two? A vessel of 3,000 tons could en-ter very few of the ports of the United States. The draught would be about how much?

Mr. WESTCOTT .- I should like some information upon this point. The very object of increasing the tonnage of these vessels, or at least one object I understand, was to give these vessels greatcr breadth, and less draught of water in proportion to the tonnage.

Mr. DAVIS.—I leave it to the Senator to demonstrate non-year can make vessels larger and yet draw less water. Ordinarily in naval experience, the larger the vessel the greater the draught of water. It is to be remembered that a vessel of 1,500 tons is a sour first class frigates. Unless I misvery large ship, as large as our first class frigates. Unless I mis-take, our frigates are less than 1,500 tons measurement. So far as they are applicable to purposes of defence, vessels of 1,500 tons are as serviceable as larger ones. I do not see, then, any motive that the government can have in making the change. I do not see any substantial benefit that the government is to derive from the change, and therefore it seems to me that whoever will look at the subject with any degree of candor, will see at once that this consideration is without any real substantial value. It is not asked for by the government. If the parties then are able to go on with their contract, why do they not go on? They do not come here and say they cannot go on; it is not put upon the ground that the system must be put an end to unless we make these advances. I shall adhere, then, to the vote which I gave yesterday to strike

out that portion of the bill which proposes to make the advances at out that portion of the oil which proposes to make the advances at an earlier day than the contract requires, and I do it on the ground that I assumed yesterday, that I think it an unsafe course of proceeding, and that the experience of the government has proved it to be so. It is impossible for me to anticipate in what way the government is to be reinbursed by merely taking the security of a bottomy bond, but I can see a bundred ways in which the property can exist out of their bands. I think but is a most live way to be some the cost of their bands. may slip out of their hands. I think he is a good paymaster w pays when the work is done, and unless some more cogent and pays when the work is done, and unless some more cogent and sufficient reason be assigned than I have yet heard, it seems to me that the friends of this policy, instead of lending a favorable car to this application, which will throw distrust over it, ought to adhere to the terms of the contract.

Mr. YULEE .- I feel it my duty to make some remarks upon this subject, but as the hour has arrived for the consideration of the bill reported from the Select Committee on Territories, if the Senator from Delaware desires to proceed, I will defer what I have to say for the present.

Mr. CLAYTON .- I will move, then, that this subject be passed by informally.

Mr. KING .- I would much rather, if it meets the approbation of the Senator from Delaware, that this subject be proceeded with and concluded. The subject has been fully discussed, and unless there be some specific information which it is desirable to obtain, I hope that the Senator from Florida will proceed to make the statement which he proposes to make, and that instead of passing the bill by, we shall proceed to vote upon it, or at least upon this proposition, which I am very sorry to see reconsidered

Mr. CLAYTON .- I will yield to the wishes which seem to be I very much fear that a "few remarks" of Senators will extend into long speeches, and that "single suggestions" will occupy whole hours.

Mr. YULEE .--A principal ground upon which this advance seems to be urged is the circumstance that the steamers are of much larger size than the minimum tonnage specified in the con-So far from the government being responsible for this, the tract. So in from the government compared to the contractors, especially under the Sloc contract, peremptorily refused to permit the Scoretary of the Navy to prescribe the dimensions and description of the steamers. The Secretary did not feel himself authorized to claim more than a right to be satisfied that the vessels would be suitable for our purposes, and the extremest the vessels would be suitable for our purposes, and the extremest concession which Mr. Sloo consented, was to adopt the model of the Missouri steamer as the general basis of his plan of construction. Nor is the government the party benefitted by the large size. Perhaps the great size of the Collins line may prove advantageous, as these steamers have reference to foreign service, though the experiment of the Great Britain is not encouraging. But as regards the other line, the enlargement of the vessels de-leats one of the leading objects of the line, to wit: to furnish ves-sels suitable for coast defence. There are very few harbors south of the Chescapeake which these vessels can enter. Nay, I am inclined to think that they will not even be able to enter Charles-ton and Savannah, which was one of the direct stipulations of

Mr. BERRIEN.—The Senator is mistaken as to the depth of water upon the bar at Savannah. It is twenty-one feet at low

Mr. YULEE .- It is certain, at all events, they cannot go up

Mr. YULEE.—It is certain, at all events, they cannot go up to the city of Savannah. Large vessels are found most profitable for commerce, and this was doubtless the consideration that operated upon the contractors. Smaller steamers, with a few large guns, answer the best auxililaries for defence in war. But my chief ground of hostility to this measure has regard to the mischievous system it introduces into the legislation of the country. Ever since 1823, a law has existed upon vour statute book expressly inhibiting advances to contractors. This may be regarded as the settled policy of the government; and I earn from the Secretary of the Navy, that in his opinion the policy of the rule is wise, and has operated most beneficially. I can perceive no speis wise, and has operated most beneficially. I can perceive no spe-cial reason or excuse for a departure from the rule in this case. The contract is not a hard one; so far from it, the original contractor sold it for a profitable advance. Nor are there any difficulties in sold it for a profitable advance. procuring capital that ought not to have been anticipated by the procuring capital that ought not to have been anticipated by undertook the contract. Besides, how can you decline to make the same advances to all other contractors who apply for aid? And thus you will soon practically transfer the whole list of public works to mere speculators, who will drive substantial men out of competition.

There prevails in the Senate an impression that this advance has been recommended by the Secretary of the Navy. This is not the sion to see the Secretary of the Navy npon the subject, and I learn from him that it is not a measure originated by him, nor one which he would have originated. The naval committee of the House enclosed to him an application from the assignces of the Sloo line for the advance, and informed him that the committee had decided favorably npon bis request. The Secretary replied, yielding his concurrence, but this concurrence rested upon the im-pression derived from the communication of the committee, and its pression derived from the communication of the committee, and its enclosure, that without the aid desired, the parties would be unable to prosecute the work in a manner, and under circumstances advantageous to the public.

Mr. FOOTE .- Is the Secretary now opposed to the plan?

Mr. YULEE.—I have said that the Secretary did not originate it. He does not intend, I presume, to oppose or sustain it.

Mr. FOOTE.—Do I understand the Senator to say that the Secretary regrets having made the recommendation, and has authorized the Senator to do it?

Mr. YULEE.—I he Secretary recommends nothing. The suggestion of this measure earne from the naval committee of the House. My purpose is to dery the responsibility of the Secretary for it, and to insist that its passage ought not to be vested in this body, upon the ground that it is a recommendation of the department. The Congress may take upon itself to order this departure from the policy of the act of 1923, but indoing so, the responsibility ought not to be thrown upon the department. Besides, the measure, as found in the bill, goes much beyond the contemplation of the Secretary's letter. He assented to the advance to Law, and ofly suggested a discretionary authority as to the rest. This act provides unperatively for an advance under each of the tree contracts.

Mr. ATHERTON.—I merely wish to make one or two remarks, and to read a portion of a letter in reply to what I consider the very extraordinary remarks of the Senator from Florida. If I understand the statement of the Senator, it is that the Secretary has not recommended these advances.

Mr. YULEE.—I say that the Secretary does not intend that this measure shall rest upon his recommendation for its support. His connexion with the matter is not of an active, but of an acquiescent character.

Mr. ATHERTON.—I suppose that no measure before Congress rests entirely upon Executive recommendation. We pay proper attention to the options of heads of departments, having professional productions of the production of the suggestions of the committee or not. It is the expression of the suggestions of the committee or not. It is the expression of the production of the productin of the production of the production of the production of the pr

Mr. YULEE.—The recommedation of the Secretary related to one only, and he proposed a discretion in regard to the others.

Mr. ATHERTON.—The Senator is entirely mistaken. I hold in my hand a communication from the Navy Department, dated May 29, 1848, addressed to Mr. VINTON, which I will read, showing that the recommendation applies to three contracts:

[Mr. A. read the letter.]

Mr. YULEE.—The Senator is mistaken. The letter to which lie refers is to the chairman of the Committee of Ways and Means, with a view to an ample provision in the appropriation bill for all contingencies that might arise under his suggestions in the letter to the await committee, formerly referred to.

Mr. DICKINSON—It seems to me that the zeal of the Semstor from Florida has led him to assume a very singular position, which, if it be made a rule of action in this body hereafter, in relation to the Executive departments, will be much more honored in the breach than the observance. The letter of the Secretary of the Navy explains itself, but the Senator from Florida has called upon him, and has got his permission to retract the recommendation contained in that letter. If the Secretary designs to give any different interpretation to a letter which he has sent to Congress and the contained of the secretary designs to give any different interpretation to a letter which he has sent to Congress in the secretary has the secretary better than the secretary of the sec

Mr. ATHERTON read the letter of the Secretary.

Mr. YULEE.—It is proper that I should make myself distinctly understood. A communication was made by the assignees of Sloo, asking for an advance of money upon the contract. The Committee on Naval Affairs informed the Secretary that they bad agreed to make the advance, and he had expressed his concurrence upon the presumption created by that correspondence, that the parties were not able to pursue their contract in a manner required by the interests of the government. That is the whole

Mr. BREESE.—I understood the Sanator from Florida to say, that the Secretary was influenced mainly in making his recommendation by the State of the new force of the new market where in the same condition now as then, the new ment of the Senator would have some force. I hold in my hand a recent newspaper, which contains the following:

[Mr. B. read an article from a newspaper in support of his assertion that the money market was now as stringent as it was at the time the application for an advance was recommended on the ground of the scarcity of money.]

So that the facts are now precisely as they were when the recommendation was made:

The question being taken on the motion the motion to reconsider it was decided in the affirmative, as follows:

YPAS — Merra, Antilon, Alberton, Badyr, Bell, Beston, Berien, Bohnad, Bressen, Bight, Charle, Chayle, Chayle, Bell, Beston, Berrien, Bohnad, Bores, Bight, Chayle, Chayle, Green, Hile, Hangpin, Houton, Johnson, Miller, Heile, Fringerin, Houton, Johnson, Miller, Pielle, Flank, Symanes, Siorgen, Westendt, 31, when Markey, Bendy, Symanes, Siorgen, Westendt, 31, ehnestin, Dr., Bowen, Hamba, Johnson, of Governa Alory, Leen, Mecalle, Nine, Perser, Underwood, Upham, Whiter, Yules—1B.

So the vote agreeing to the proposed amendment was reconsidered.

The question then recurred upon agreeing to the amendment, and it was decided in the negative, as follows:

YFAS—Meser, Alen, Bulder, Budhur, Couxin, Dave, of Mexachusts, Di, Bown, Hamin, Ashaba, et al., 1987,

So the amendment was disagreed to,

Mr. NILES rose to offer an amendment.

Mr. KING.—I hope the gentleman will now consent to let the bill be passed by informally , otherwise I must move to lay it upon the table.

Mr. CLAYTON.—I hope the chairman of the Committee on Finance will agree to let the bill be passed by informally.

 $\operatorname{Mr.}$  ATHERTON.—The subject is at the disposal of the Senate.

Mr. BADGER.—I think the Senator from Delaware is mistaken, if he supposes that he will expedite the action of the Senate upon the territorial bill by taking it up while this naval appropriation bill is pending. By devoting an hour or two to this bill now, we shall be able to get through with it.

Mr. BERRIEN.—I rise to a question of order. The Scnator from Alabama, I believe, submitted a motion to lay the bill upon the table.

Mr. KING.—I stated that if there was any objection to pass the bill by, I should be compelled to move that it be laid upon the table. I understand there are several amendments to be offered that will give rise to debate.

Mr. HANNEGAN.—I hope the Senator from Alabama will withdraw his motion for a moment.

Mr. KING .- Certainly, if the Senator will renew it.

Mr. HANNEGAN.—I concur with the Senator from North Carolina, in the hope that the Senate will proceed with this sill until it is completed, as I understand that there are appropriations contained in it which are immediately required for the public service. This session has been remarkable for doing business by shreds and patches. Subjects are taken up, discussed partly, passed over; the next day again taken up, and the debate of the previous day gone over again. Every Senator I believe is aware that there will be a very heavy debate upon the territorial bill. Let us attend to one thing at a time.

Mr. KING.—I am as desirous as the Senator himself to bring this matter to a close, but I see from what has already taken place, that we need hardly expect to get through with this bill today; I am willing however, that we should make the attempt, and I will, therefore, withdraw the motion to lay the bill on the table.

Mr. NILES proposed to amend the bill by inserting before the word "ships," in the 107th line, the words "shall commence from the time the service shall commence."

Mr. ATHERTON briefly opposed the amendment, and said that if it should not prevail he would himself introduce an amendment that freight and passengers should be carried for the government, for one voyage, free of charge.

The amendment was disagreed to, on a division. Ayes 12, noes 18.

Mr. NILES moved further to amend the bill by adding at page 5, line 110, the following :

Provided. That this appropriation shall not be considered as as approving and ratifying any of said contracts for mail lines for a longer period than five years.

The question being taken upon ngreeing to this amendment, it was determined in the negative, as follows:

YEAS—Mean Allan, Road, Cowin, Dix, Johnson, of Ga., Mangum, Nies, Percey, Torres, and Wilker—Hold, Cowin, Dix, Johnson, of Ga., Mangum, Nies, NAVS—Means, Arbeiton, Albeiton, Badjer, Baddwin, Benton, Bereie, Bradau-Rosse, Michik, Badder, Zahlam, Catvin, Davis, of Missaviap, Dixon, Dixon, Dixon, Galler, Missavia, Dixon, Bradau, Percey, Mangunder, Mangunder, Chan, Wiley, Chan, Wiley, and Vider-Sale, Staymon, Calerson, Uphan, Wetcule, and Vider-Sale, Missay, Sprance, Staymon, Calerson, Uphan, Wetcule, and Vider-Sale.

Mr. RUSK submitted the following ;

"See, ... and be it further enacted. That whenever, in his opinion, it may be expedient, the Pontmater General shall, with the sanction of the Prendert, make a requisition upon the Naxy Department of such a tenure or steamers under the evolution of the Naxy Department of such a tenure or steamers under the evolution of the control of the United States, and thereupon said department shall comply with such requisition, and such steamers shall be employed to carrying the made as discussed as may be furered by the Postmater General, and motivate the same shall be officed by the same shall be suffered by the same sh

and provided for on board and stemmers as may be presented in an aregulations.

"Sec. ... Jah be it further metal." Intak the Potameter General and the Secretary of the Navy may alopt and practile each regulations as they may feet myorget tray of the Navy may alopt and practile each regulation as a they may feet myorget, and entry produced the statement may convey public officers and other passengers, and entry the produced of the properties of the produced of the produced

"Size. "Judical by forther nearted, Thin whenever any steamer of the United States in the enaphyment of the army, or the Capatermane's between the consequence of the size of the states and the size of the size

Mr. BUTLER moved to amend the bill by adding after the 110th line the following:

Provided, That in consideration of the foregoing advance the line of steamers provided in the contract with A. G. Sloo, shall stop, going and returning, at Chadeste and Savannah.

Mr. ATHERTON said that if he understood the amendment of the Senator from South Carolina, [Mr. BUTLER,] it provided that the mail steam line should touch at Charleston and Savannah, whether practical or not

Mr. BUTLER had said not a word about stopping at Savannah; it was Charleston he spoke of more particularly

The question being taken on concurring in the amendment, it as decided in the affirmative. Ayes 17, Noes 17. The Presi-DING OFFICER voted in the affirmative.

Mr. WESTCOTT moved to add at the close of the amendment just adopted the following :

Just adopted the fonowing:

"And shall, if the Secretary of the Navy shall deem it practicable, touch at Key
West going and returning, under such equitable arrangements as and Secretary must
make with and patter for a o slong. And said Neverlay of the Navy, if he deem it
to consect therewith shall convey the mash by water to got offices that are or may
be established within the Untel States, on or near the Gulf or Attaintie owast: Piovided and arrangements are concarred in by the Portmatter General, and the sum of
five thomasted dollars is hereby appropriated for such deposits.

Mr. WESTCOTT would inform the Senate that the amendment was as near as possible in the same phraseology as the amendment adopted in the Post Office bill with reference to the Charleston route. The object of it was to enable the Postmaster General and the Secretary of the Navy together, to secure the use of the two routes for the local mails. The Postmaster General, the Secretary of the Navy, the chairman of the Committee on Na val Affairs, and the chairman of the Committee on Finance, and the gentleman who was the assignce of the contractors, Mr. Sloo, had no objection to its heing adopted.

Mr. ATHERTON said that it seemed to him that the way the amendment now read, it made it imperative upon the line to touch at Key West; and that it left no discretion to the Secretary in the matter. He would prefer that the amendment be made to read that they should touch at Key West, provided equitable arrangements to that effect could be made by the Secretary.

Mr. WESTCOTT having no objection to the alteration proposed, modified his amendment accordingly.

The question being taken on agreeing to the amendment, it was determined in the negative.

Mr. NILES moved to amend the bill by adding the following :

Provided, That the contractor for the line from Panama to Astoria, as the condition of this advance, be required to stop and deliver and take mails at San Dicogo, San Francisco, and Monterey in California.

Mr. ATHERTON moved to amend the amendment by adding:

"If required so to do by the Secretary of the Navy."

Mr. ALLEN proposed to substitute the Postmaster General for the Secretary of the Navy.

Mr. WESTCOTT would suggest to his honorable friend from Ohio, that his objection could be obviated by altering the phrase-ology of the amendment, and making it read "if required to do so by the Secretary of the Navy with the concurrence of the Post-master General."

Mr. ATHERTON had no objection to the modification, if it met the views of the Senator from Ohio.

Mr. ALLEN acquiesced.

The amendment to the amendment was agreed to; and the amendment as amended was then agreed to,

Mr. NILES moved further to amend the bill by striking out all after the word "ships" in the 107th line to the word "States" in 108th line, and insert the words "service commences."

The amendment was disagreed to. Ayes 15, Noes 18.

Mr. ALLEN moved to amend the bill by inserting the following after the 285th line:

"Sec. 22. And be it further enacted, That no other or new contract or contract for the transportation of the mail to any foreign country shall hereafter be made or entered into on the part of the United States; and all laws and parts of laws locon sustent with the provision, he hereby repealed.

Mr. MILLER could not see what the amendment had to do with the appropriation bill before the Senate. He did not think the Senate prepared to discuss, in connection with this bill, the ge-neral question that all contracts were to cease after a certain day.

Mr. BREESE would ask his friend from Ohio how it was pos-sible for the present Congress to bind the action of future Con-gresses in respect to contracts, as this amendment would appear

Mr. ATHERTON would simply remark that he hoped the amendment offered by the Senator from Ohio would not prevail. The provisions of the appropriation bill before the Senate were made in order to carry out existing contracts, made by laws of Congress. It seemed to him dangerous, then, to encumber an ap-propriation bill with the expression of their opinions upon the subpropriation but with the expression of their opinions upon the single-pect of these contracts. They might have been any repeal of a law now existing on the statute book, which authorized the outracts, it should be done separately, in the form of another law, and acted upon solely with reference to its own merits. If the doctrine of his friend from Ohio were true, that contracts were now so easily carried through by lobbying members, he (the Senator from Ohio) was defeating the end he had in view, by giving to Congress the administration of the matter. They had better he taken charge of, to secure the Scnator's object, by some other body or person. He did not know that there was any danger be taken cuarge or, or to did not know that there was any danger of improvident contracts being made under the existing laws as they now stool for it would be recollected that these very contracts were made by express direction of Congress. Congress had directed the Secretary of the Navy to make them.

Mr. ALLEN said that yesterday the argument had been offered ATLES and that yesterialy the algument has been decreated that they should great the indulgences or advances asked for by certain contractors, because they had entered into the contracts. Now, he wanted to do away with the argument that they were obliged to wanted to do away with the argument that they well bulged to appropriate large sums of money in advance, simply because they had contracted with parties that we should appropriate it, and for that reason he had affered his amendment.

Mr. WESTCOTT inquired of the Senator from Ohio if the Or-egon route was included within the term "foreign country?"

Mr. ALLEN observed that nothing was foreign that touched the soil of the United States.

Mr. ATHERTON perceived no necessity for an amendment to any bill, particularly to the one before them, io order to present the views of the Senator from Ohio. The law gave the Postuma-ter General the authority to make contracts for foreign mail service, but it was not pretended under this law that he had ever made contracts without first submitting them to Congress. As these contracts were under the direction of Congress, he thought there could be no danger of improvident legislation.

The question being taken upon agreeing to the amendment of Mr. Allen, it was determined in the negative, as follows:

YEAS.—Messrs, Allen, Bell, Borland, Bright, Corwin, Duvis, of Massachn-tts, Davis, of Missasippt, Dix, Dodge, Felch, Foote, Hale, Honston, Johnson, of corges, King, Metcalle, Niles, Schashan, Spruance, Turney, Underwood, and nice.—22.

veorgia, Aug., intentie, Aue., sebastian, Spitalice, Filiary, Coordinator, Aylice—22.

NAVS.—Mexes, Atchison, Atherton, Badger, Baldwin, Berrieo, Bradbury, Bresee, Buler, Dayton, Dicknoon, Donglass, Downs, Frizzerlid, Hanlin, Hanne gan, Johnson, of Lonsiana, Lewis, Mangom, Maioo, Miller, Roak, Stargeon, Uplana, Walker, and Westoch—25.

Mr. DIX moved to amend the bill by inserting :

Mr. DIX moved to amend the bill by inserting:

"Sec. \_And be it further nearcial. That it shall be duty of the Tottanster General to advance on the contracts herefolter made with Edward Mids for the transportation of the United State mail, from New Lot to the town of the United State mail, from New Lot to the town the entire the transportation of the United State mail, from New Lot to the town the entire the town the contracted or employed under and contract, to commence from the time either of unit-vessels shall be leanched or or employed: but the amount to advanced shall not advanced shall be faunched or normlyouth the time notation of the advanced shall be family be presented in finishing said shipt to the intriction of the advanced shall be family by the presented in finishing said shipt to the intriction of the said contract with Edward Mills be paid on the capies condition that the two steams ships to be employed in the transportation of the finishing said contract, in respect to the state of the state and from New York to Harve, shall be placed on and lace on the term specified in add contract, in respect

Mr. DIX was opposed to the system of making advances, but as it had received the sanction of the Senate, he thought that the application of the principle was very proper to the Bremen line. They had already constructed two steamers which ran to Bremen by way of Southampton. Under the contract with this govern-ment, the owners of the line had the alternative of sending two of ment, the owners of the line had the alternative of sending two of their vessels to Havre. This sumendment proposed to allow ad-vances to be made upon the fourth steambent that should be built upon the condition, that they were to transfer two of the line to Havre. This arrangement might not be satisfactory to the city of Bremen, but doubtless would be so to the company

The PRESIDING OFFICER here stated that there were two other ameadments in order before the amendment of the Senator from New York could be taken up.

The question being about to be put upon agreeing to Mr Rusk's amendment-

Mr. RUSK apprehended that this amendment was not clearly understood. It only authorized the Pestmaster General to take any vessel which belonged to the United States, and apply it to this mail service, whenever he chose to do so. The result would be the swing of several hundred thousand dollars to the department. When a new route was established for carrying the mail, as there would be to the Rio Grande, new companies of individuals would offer to take the contract of the department for carrying wash rails as the force for the inner the amount; it would west if one such mails, at four or five times the amount it would cost if any authority of this description existed in the Postmaster General, by direction of the President, to use temporarily any of the vessels belonging to the United States, and would thus prevent exorbi-tant contracts being forced upon the department. These vessels had been built by the government of the United States, and officers were receiving pay from the government, so that if we were to use the vessels in the manner proposed, an immease amount werd to use the vessels in the manner proposed, no influence announced of money would be saved to the government; no injury resulting, unless in requiring from the naval officers, sometimes, a little extra duty. This measure was recommended by the Postumster General in his annual report, and as ho was informed, was approved by the Secretary of War, and the Secretary of the Agraph of the Secretary of the Secreta

Mr. BERRIEN thought it very probable that an arrangement of the kind proposed might be advantageously made, but considered a bill for the establishment of regulations of this character, should be separately reported.

Mr. ALLEN was opposed to the governments's using the armed vessels of the country, to go into competition with private citizens as common carriers of freight and passengers. He moved to amend by striking out that part conferring authority upon the government, to become a common carrier.

Mr. WESTCOTT said that if the honorable Senator from Ohio had looked at the phraseology of the bill, he would see that his objection did not exist. It expressly prohibited interfering with any regular line in earrying freight or passongers. But it was provided, that inasmuch as the agent of this bill was to have small steamers to ply between ports when there was no regular mode of conveyance under authority of the Secretary of the Navy and Postmaster General, the vessels might be authorized to carry freight and passengers

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, was of opinion from his knowledge of the failure and delay upon some of the southern mail routes, that this amendment should be adopted.

that this amendment should be adopted.

Mr. YULEE regarded the amendment as a proper one. It did not authorize the Severatry of the Navy to add anything to the present material of the mail set the transport of the present material of the mail set the present which were important to be obtained by these departments, several objects which were important to be obtained by these departments. It was necessary for the Navy Department to keep up along the goal of the Navy Department to keep up along the oak and timber; again the United States were earrying on works at Key West, which would probably cost some three of four millions before completed, and it was necessary to land supplies at this place and other points necessary for earny different points which it was necessary to supply with mail facilities. These several objects could be accomplished by these mail stemmers with great saving to the government. The proposition came to them concurred in by the three Severatines of the several dopartments, and the Post Office Committee.

Mr. BADGER arreed with the Senator from Georgic these them.

Mr. BADGER agreed with the Senator from Georgia, that possibly a system of the kind proposed might be established in connection with the inval establishment of the United States, but he was satisfied that the amendment proposed would not answer the purpose intended. He concurred with the Senator from Ohio the purpose that they were sentering upon a new and strange sys-tem in employing jubble naval vessels for the carriage of freight and passengers. How were these ships in the service of the United States, if put to this use, to go to sea? Were they to go with their full complement of men as war ships? If so, where would be the room for freight or passengers! If they were to would be the local to league of person person as a public vessel of the United States under command of a naval officer, it was liable to be captured by any petty force that might be brought against it, and thus the honor of the American flag would be tarnished. This was a great objection in his mind to the amendment, which was apparent to him did not present a plan that had been care-

Mr. HOUSTON was in favor of the adoption of the amendment. For years past there had been the greatest irregularity
existing in the carriage of the mulsi from New Orleans to Galveston. They had been dependent upon the mercy of a contractor, who ran the mail just whenever he saw proper. For weeks
they had had to do without mails, in consequence paid than be wis
for the mail. The carriage of the mail upon the
plan proposed in the amendment would remedy the cvil, and as

he understood it, without any additional expense to the Treasury, he understood it, without any additional expense to the Treasury, inanunch as it would employ a portion of the naval force for the specific purpose of general intercourse. He did not want the United States to enter pon the carrying business, but he thought that any service for the diffusion of intelligence throughout the United States was worthy being undertuken by the highest officer in the navy. There was nothing discreditable in it. Many gallant young officers would take pride in commanding the vessels to be used for the particular service. It was not as matter of lavor or great that Texas asked for these multifacilities, just only one of lavor or great the Texas asked for these multifacilities, on the communication with the older States. Sometimes one, two, three, on the communication with the older States. Sometimes one, two, three, and even six weeks would elapse before Texas could have communication with other parts of the United States, because of the contractor withdrawing his vessels to carry troops for the United eontractor withdrawing his vessels to carry troops for the United States—a far more prolitable service than that of carrying the

Mr. RUSK said, that as there seemed to to be some objection to Mr. ROSE stad, that as there seemed to to be some objection that part of the amendment which provided for carrying freight and passengers, he would modify it by striking out altogether the third section, which provided for it. The amendment would now have no reference to the carriage of passengers or freight. He considered that the objection of the Section from North Carrolina had no weight in reference to the case before them. If the President of the United States choose to send out public vessels unarmed for specific purposes he had the power to do so, without any reference special purposes he had the power to do so, without any reference to the contingency of their capture. The question simply was, whether Congress should require the officers of the navy to porform such duty as the President and the heads of the departments might deem proper that they should perform.

Mr. UNDERWOOD remarked that the bill provided that an account of the expenditures and receipts should be kept, and that whatever profits should be made should got owards liquidating the expense of the service. What reasonable objection could be made to carrying freight or passegors? And, again, was it degrading the officers of the navy to employ them in this kind of service? If so, they had already been degraded. For this very service in fulfillment of the great contracts for occan mail steamers, officers of the nave of the contract of the versels. fillment of the great contracts for ocean mail steamers, officers of the navy had been employed to command the vessels. These ships made profit for the contractors, and these naval officers had been placed where they made profit, not for the government, but for contractors. Would it be degrading if an officer were to get a firlingth to command a merchant vesself 14 fso, then very many officers had been degraded. If these vessels were not employed in the day to govern the contract of the contract of the contractors. dry docks to rot-perfectly useless to any one; while the pay of their officers would go perhaps nearly as high as if they were

their officers would go perhaps nearly as high as if they were employed in the way suggested.

The gentleman from North Carol na had supposed, that if these vessels were to go nearmed, they might be captured by some foreign vessel, and thus that the American flag would be disgraced. He regarded that as a proposition which should not give the least alarm, especially in a time of profound peace. Supposing they were to send a vessel from Boston around to Portsmouth to receive her armament, and she was captured at sea, would that captured the state of the proposition of the propos ture bring with it national degradation? If that vessel was for ure pring with it hational orgraduation \* 11 that Vessel was liminshed with arms when ordered into service, then it would be a diagrace if the officers were not prepared for action at all times in the case before them, they would be rendering a service that would not require them to meet an enemy. He was in favor of the amendment as it originally stood.

Mr. RUSK would renew his amendment as it originally stood Mr. RUSK would renew his amendment as it originally stood if the gentleman from Kentucky desired it. It did appear to him that the arrangement providing for the earringe of freight and passengers, where there was no regular line for that purpose, would be a great accommodation to individuals desirous of going to the points where the mail was carried, and would also pay a large portion of the expenses. He would call the attention of the Senator to mother fact while np, which was, that the last section of the amendment provided that all the vessels now in the service of the army employed for transportation were to be turned over to the may or to sold. The result may be compared to the may be also that they would be the mother of the mot with the return they would bring to the government if employed in the manner proposed.

Mr. UNDERWOOD was very happy that the Senator from Mr. UNDERWOOD was very happy that the Senator from Texas had assented to let the amendment stand as it was. He would say to the Senator from Ohio that he would object to making the government a common carrier, as he called it, as much as any one, if that was to be the business of the government. But in this case it was a necessary means in order to accomplish a particular object of the government. They had catteed into the following that the same oessary incident in earrying out the system of punshacut for erime. The only way in his opinion to carry on successfully and economically the various objects of internal improvements in the country, was by a coalition of the government with private enterprise. There were various contracts going on upon this primelple. There was one at Louisville, in his own State, where the goe, the realized some eighteen per cent. For the more that found it too profit-ried to be broken up, but the government had found it too profit-able a business to dispense with.

30TH CONG .- 1st Session-No. 115.

have to bear the whole expense of the proposed plan. He would notice an objection made, which was a very common one, that the amendment was not in the proper place. It was said by some that they would like very much to carry out a system of this sort. It it were engrafted in a bill and left to be acted upon deliberately it were engrafted in a bill and left to be acted upon deliberately by itself, then they would adopt it. He had some experience on the subject. He had seen year after year, efforts nade to introduce the most sabilitary provisions, that had failed, when made in behalf of a bill that was to stand separately upon its morits. And why? Because they had never reached them. If they were to be carried through, they must be affixed to some appropriation bill carried through. upon which it was imperatively necessary they should act

Mr. ALLEN said that there was a good deal of reason in the concluding observations of the Schator from Kentucky, in regard to the efforts of Congress in rectifying abuses. They never could reach an ; buse or evil when it had once got the start of them, except by attaching the remedial measure proposed to some important bill necessary for the government to act upon. There was some reason in another one of his remarks—for Incre was some reason in anomer one or all relations— the took it that the Scrator spoke somewhat in objection to the practice of States in making crime compete with honest industry—a system he (Mr. A.) had always condemned, but thought that there was a want of due consideration on the part of his friend from Kentucky in putting their naval officers upon a par, in sustaining his position, with convicts. Now, because a State penitentiary employed its convicts in making shoes and clothes, should they hire out their naval officers as captains to merchant vessels because they were propelled by steam, or as capmercuant vesses necesses there were propertied by steam, of as cap-tains of their own war vessels, to carry on the coasting trade, to ply from point to point, and pick up a barrel of floor here or a cask of molasses there? Were those offerers to stand upon the beach waiting for passengers? It was, in his opinion, a petry law, ceeper upoplyoment for them to be engaged in, and would destroy ceny employment for them to be engaged in, and wound cestury the spirit of the navy. To commence employing the officers of the navy—a profession which claimed to stand, as it had through all past history, upon the high principles of honor—according to the system proposed, would be to make them the slaves of govau pas, instory, upon ne mgn principies of nonor—according to the system proposed, would be to make them the slaves of gov-ernment—to be sure, not to till plantations, but as mavigators— under the orders of government. Not as an independent navigator, with a right to go where he would, like a freeman, acting for him-self, but as a man who, under the orders of his government, with his epaulettes on, was to roll out a barrel of flour or fish. The whole system showed that they were mixing up the action of the gov-ernment with the rightful and legitimate action of the people. By this plan we were going to employ private steamers for mails and public steamers to carry passengers and freight. It was a system that should be arrested. He did not think the officers of the navy would like it. It was not the private vocation that constituted the stigma—it was to have a master to order them to their vocation—that master being the government. Nothing could be more honorable than the course of the gallant tar, who washed the decks of the forecastle, and who, for his daily bread, perilled his life in the service of his country. It was honorable because he willed to do it. The whole of this system stood upon the principle of the protective tariff, that it was the duty of the government to assist one set of men to the detriment of another.

Mr. RUSK was a little astonished at the remarks of the Senator from Ohio. The gentleman was introducing to tor from Ohio. He thought that the rolling of a fish barrely a vengeance. He thought that the rolling of a fish barrely a vengeance in the navy. There was no constant. The gentleman was introducing aristocracy with a fish barrel was honorable even by a commander in the navy. There was no class of men above the necessity of labor. No labor which served the interests of the community and extended the bonds of intelligence throughout the country, in his estimation, should be called a petty larceny business He had seen the highest officers of our army helping to roll wagons out of the mud, and they had not considered themselves degraded by so doing. Was it a petty larceny husiness to save a balf million of dollars to the country? When a man entered into the navy he entered into the service of the government to do its service, to perform whatever was required of him. cratic distinction to be made in their favor. In regard to the charge made against the Senator from Kentucky, of assimilating the labor of the naval officer to the labor of convicts, he could understand for himself how the remark was intended to apply to the case at issue, and would leave it to the honorable Senator, who was perfectly able to relieve himself from the imputation to

Mr. UNDERWOOD stated, that when up before, he had admitted a general principle laid down by the Senator from Ohio. that the government ought not, upon general principles, to enter into competition with its citizens in private life. He said that there were exceptions to this principle—that whenever the general principle. tleman had a great object to accomplish, it might become ne sary to interfere somewhat with private enterprise. Then he had introduced his pecitentiary labor illustration. He trusted that his friend from Ohio had no idea of charging him with any intention of disgracing the officers of the navy.

Mr. ALLEN replied that he had none.

Mr. UNDERWOOD said that he would, while up, give his friend from Ohio an illustration of the fact that it was not degrading to give one's attention to the rolling of fish barrels or any other manual labor. They had custom houses over the country; and guagers, inspectors, and measurers, in their employ whose duty it was to attend to fish barrels and flour barrels. And again, did these officers in command of these seventy-four's, with epaulettes on their shoulders, never pay the least attention as to how these vossels were loaded as to the manner in which the previsions and armament were stored? Was it degrading to them to attend to these duties? But it did not follow, because they seat attend to these uniters but it and not now, because the sent-noval officers to command these ships, that they were to look af-ter fish or flour barrels. If it was beneath their dignity to do business of this kind, it could be taken charge of by underlings or agents. It was in fact so provided for in the bill.

Mr. ALLEN should not have his language perverted or mis-construed. He had declared that no condition in life was more honorable than that of the laborer, and every man in the Senate knew he had so expressed himself. What made the degradation knew he had so expressed himself. What made the degradation he had said was in laboring under the orders of a master, instead of their own free will. He would say that if these officers desired to become captains of coasting vessels let them leave the navy. It was not desirable that these officers should roll barrels; and who helieved that they voluntarily entered the service of the govern ment and acquired a naval education to perform such labor. There was no vocation in life that was not honorable, provided it was done with a free will. He had said nothing in disparagement of labor; it was the voluntary or co-ercive circumstances that gave honor to labor. He spoke entirely in reference to free labor, and with reference to no other class or kind of labor.

Mr. ATHERTON said that this might be a very good provision, and might come to them recommended by three Secretaries and the Postmaster General, still it seemed to him a new system, which if important to be passed, should be presented in a bill by

The question was then taken upon the amendment proposed by Mr. Rusk, and determined in the negative, as follows

YEAS-Messrs. Borland, Corwin, Douglas, Fitzgerald, Foote, Hannegan, Hooson, of Louisiana, Lewis, Metcalfe, Rusk, Sebastian, Spruance, Turney, Un

Johnson, of Louisian, Lewis, Metcalfe, Rusk, Schattan, Spruance, Türney, University of the Charles, Ch

Mr. BORLAND remarked that the appropriations in the naval bill seemed to be made upon the condition that they were connected with interests that were located upon salt water. We were engaged in appropriating millions for the establishment of mail routes along our whole country and coast, where the water was salt, while our fresh water rivers such as the Ohio and Mississippi, were left unprovided with them. If we had a right to establish mail routes along the coast, and ask for appropriations for them in this hill, he had an amendment to offer to it providing for the es-tablishment of a mail route of equal importance. He offered, therefore, an amendment "that the Postmaster General be required to advertise for proposals for carrying the mail daily from Louisville, Kentucky, to New Orleans."

Mr. BORLAND stated that there was no mail route from ouisville to New Orleans, a distance of some fifteen hundred miles. It was true, that there was mail matter earried from Louisville to New Orleans, but not by any regular mail ine. It was carried the same as freight; and subject to all the delays and irregularities that freight was subject to. It had been objected that the expense to be incurred by the establishment and objected that the expense to be incurred by the establishment and support of this mail route would greatly exceed the amount of revenue to be derived from the receipts of the mail. By the pre-sent mode of carrying the mail on these routes, not one tenth part of the mailable matter that would be sent, if a regular system of transmission were adopted, was sent. If regular routes were es tablished between the two points, on account of the regularity and speed of the mails, the government would derive a very amount of revenue, more than enough to pay the expenses of the The introduction of a regular route, and a regular period for the transmission of mails, would soon give rise to competition for the transmission of the mails, which competition would of course lead to a reduction in the expenditures. As it was now under the present system, there was not a sufficient amount received to pay the necessary expenses

Mr. HALE wished that the Senator from Arkansas could show Mr. HALE wished that the Senator from Arkausas count snow what effect his amendment had upon the navy. He would simply give notice that if the Senator's amendment was adopted, he would immediately move an amendment to the bill to ruise the salary of the district judge of New Hampshire. This proposition had been before the committee, and was as fully germain to the bill as was the amendment of the Senator from Arkausas.

Mr. HAMLIN considered that the Senator from Arkansas was mistaken in his statement that there was no mail route established between Lonisville and New Orleans. By reference to the statute book it would be seen that all navigable streams within the Union were made post routes.

Mr. BORLAND knew very well that that was the law; but was equally true that there was no mail route between Louisville and New Orleans, as he had before stated.

Mr. HAMLIN replied that the law had established a mail route between Louisville and New Orleans. But he was opposed to the adoption of this amendment for another reason. The law having already established a post route between these two points, this amendment, if adopted, would take from the Post Office De-

partment the power to control this mail route, and would give to the government the power to say in what way our mails should be transported. It was objectionable, because it was usurping in this transported. body duties which appropriately belooged to the Postmaster General. If it was appropriate in this case for Congress to decide how the mail should be carried between these two points, it would be appropriate in all similar cases.

The question being taken upon Mr. BORLAND's amendment, it was determined in the negative

The question was then stated to be upon agreeing to the amendment proposed by Mr. DIX.

Mr. NILES could not but think, as the principle of advances had been adopted, that this was a case deserving of greater con-sideration even than the one upon which the principle had been sideration even than the one upon which too principle had been decided. Here it was evident that the contractors had exhausted their means, and were laboring under very great difficulties. If we adopted the same discussion is a substitution of the contract with the parties in this case we would gain audting. The contract with the parties in this case gave them the option to run two of their vessels to Havre. We had no right to compet them to great the third way to the properties of the properties and the properties of the properties of the properties and the properties of the properties of the properties and the properties of the prop probably control the action of the contractors, and keep all the vessels upon the regular route.

Mr. DICKINSON inquired whether these advances had been asked for by the contractors or the Secretary of the Navy?

Mr. RUSK understood that it had not been asked for by either of these parties.

Mr. NILES remarked that it had been asked for and recommended by the Postmaster General.

Mr. RUSK knew of no such recommendation. troversy now going on between the Postmaster General and the assignees about the subject of the contracts, and testimony had been exhibited before the Postmaster General of such a nature as satisfied him that the stock of the line belonged in reality to individuals residing in Bremen, represented here by citizens of the United States

Mr. DIX believed that there was a controversy between the original contractors and the assignees, but that would not effect the transportation of the mails. It was a question with sole reference to the interests of those parties in these contracts. The city of Bremen, as he had stated yesterday, had leaned some money—not to the company, but to individuals who had hypothecated their stock in the line for that lean—but he did not see what influence that had upon this question. These vessels were employed in this service under contract by the United States. The company was now engaged in building a vessels were employed party was now engaged in building a very much in need of funds. A little of the contract of t Mr. DIX believed that there was a controversy between the

Mr. NILES stated that the communication from the Postmaster General had been laid before the Committee on Finance. ter teneral had been laid before the Committee on Finance. The application made in that communication in behalf of these steambeats was offered contingently. It advances were made, to the other lines. He was, of course, in hopes that the Senate would not have granted the advances on any of the lines. But we would have been made, be thought the great importance of our wing two lines, where there was now one, demanded that advances should be made to these parties also.

Mr. DIX would state another fact in connection with this Mr. DIX would state another fact in connection with this mendment. Last year the government had paid this line one hundred thousand dellars for mail facilities to Bremen, and had recived for postage only fourteen thousand dellars, thus paying eighty-six thousand dollars more than the amount they had recived for postage. If the division in the mount they had reason to be charged the government of the mails would be only severally and dellars its the government. some fifty thousand dollars to the government

Mr. RUSK desired to say that the communication of the Post-masterGeneral was not laid before the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads, so that he was relieved from any responsibility in the matter, when he had stated that he knew nothing of all such communication. The contract for the west motivated with a Mr. All the capital being farmished by individually made with a Mr. All the capital being farmished by individually made with a Mr. All the capital being farmished by individually made with a Mr. All the capital being farmished by individually made with a great and the most of the present owners failed within a reasonable time to complete the vessels. The parties were now failing to comply with the contract, I will be made to the manufacture of the contract of the matter of the ma and Post Roads, so that he was relieved from any responsibility

Mr. NILES wanted to see, in this matter, if gentleman would carry out the principle they had established; whether they had be-

fore voted in reference to the particular interests of those who pe-tioned for advances, or whether they voted in regard to the fair-ness of the principle. This application for advances came here with the same recommendation that the other did; and was a much stronger case in every point of view.

Mr. BADGER had voted against striking out the appropriation in this bill for advances, because that appropriation was recommended by the committee of the House of Representatives, had the approval of the Secretary of the Navy, was adopted by the House of Representatives, and the bill containing it was reported to the Se-Representatives, and the oil containing it was reported to the Sonate with the entire approbation of the Committee on Finance That being the ground upon which he had voted, he should carry out his principles upon the subject hereafter by voting against the amendment proposed, because it had none of these sanctions.

Mr. BREESE coincided in the reasons which the Senator from North Carolina had just stated, for his vote in favor of the former appropriation for advances, and against the present proposition for advances to another line. He would simply ask for the reading of that portion of the amendment that defined the nature of the securities to be given to the government

The question being taken upon agreeing to the amendment of Ir Dix, it was determined in the negative, as follows:

YEAS—Meser, Dix, Ilalo, Hamhn, Juhuson of Loumana, Nilet, Pearce.—6.

NAS—Meser, Alleh, Alchison, Alherton, Badger, Baldwin, Benton, Berdon, Bordand, Bradshowy, Breen, Buller, Callonn, Clarke, Cortwo, Dekmon, Doire and Borland, Bradshow, Benton, Doire Green, Bangan, Hessian, Johnson, of Georgia King, Lewix, Malcalfe, Milet, Phelps, Raus, Schallan, Symmer, Tamey, Vales.—34.

Mr. YULEE moved to amend the bill by striking out at line 'and \$1,200,000 for completing four first class steamers," and add it at end of line 44, so as to read :

" For increase, repair, urmament, and equipment for the unvy, including wear and tear of vessels in commission, coal for steamers, purchase of hemp, \$2,331.474; and \$1,200,009 for completing four first class steamer."

Mr. YULEE explained that this was a mere transposition to conform to the estimates.

The umendment was agreed to.

Mr. YULEE moved further to amend the bill by adding the following section :

The amendment was agreed to.

Mr. YULEE moved further to amend the bill by adding the following section :

Mr. YULEE stated that the object of this amendment was to reduce the number of professors to the actual number required.

At present, constant employment could not be given to all of them; and it was desirable that the number of them should be reduced to the number actually required.

The amendment was agreed to.

Mr. YULEE moved further to amend the hill by adding the following section :

The amendment was agreed to.

Mr. YULEE moved further to amend the bill by adding the following section:

Becupy. Just be a further runtiel. That the provise of the set of August 4th, 1912, Institute provise of the set of August 4th, 1912, Institute provise and the sumber of uniform to the Navy of the guide of mindateman, to the manufer that were an service out the Hud are of January 1941, by, and a bright of the service of

Mr. YULBE explained the nature of the amendment, stating that it was designed to do away with a difficulty which had arisen in regard to the rank of passed midshipmen in the navy.

Mr. KING could not but think that the honorable Senator from Florida was mistaken as to the nature and effect of the amend-ment. It certainly had no earthly bearing upon the rank of passed midshipmen. It provided, as he understood, for an additional number of midshipmen so as to correspond with the increased number of the States

Mr. YULEE acknowledged that he had offered the wrong amendment. He had an amendment prepared relating to passed midshipmen, and thought that was the one he had sent up. On looking over his papers he found that it had been mislaid, moved therefore to proceed with the one he had submitted. was an amendment providing for an increase in the number of midshipmen, to make an equal division of appointments among

Mr. HALE did not see why the number of midshipmen at pres-ent was not sufficient; and why the department could not easily divide the present number of them by thirty, as to come to the Senate and ask for an addition of them by three years as to come to the Navy Register that there were 208 passed midshipmen, and 228 midshipmen in the service, making 434 in all. This number could be divided by 30 quite as readily as 464.

Mr. YULEE explained that the number of midshipmen now authorized was 260, and it was proposed to add four for Wisconsin and Iowa, leaving 200 as the number of passed midshipmen.

Mr. HALE demanded the year and mays on the question to agree to this amendment, and it was determined in the negative, as follows:

YEAS—Meser, Badger, Bernen, Borland, Davis, of Massachusetts, Davis, of Massachusetts, Davis, of Massachusetts, Davis, of Roman, Johnson, of Georgia, Lewer, Miller, NAYS—Meser, Allen, Adherion, Baddwin, Rection, Bradhury, Bresse, Bright, Dichotooo, Dodge, Felch, Fitzgendl, Foote, Hale, Hamlin, Houston, King, Metcalfe, Rosk, Sprance, Turney—22.

Mr. YULEE moved further to amend the bill by adding the following section:

Sec. . And be it further enacted. That from and after the passage of this act, the annual pay of boatswaios, gunners, earpenters, and sail makers at the navy yard, Pensacoa, shall be the saine as now allowed by law to the forward warrant officers at the navy yards Boston, New York, and Norfolk.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, moved the following amend-ment, notice of which had been given by Mr. Johnson, of Maryland at a former day.

sheet, notice to when the control of the property of the control of the control of the property of the control of the co

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, asked that the consideration of this amendment be postponed until to-morrow morning, when its author, Mr. Johnson, of Maryland, would be in his seat and prepared to defend it.

Mr. BADGER moved that the Senate adjourn. The motion was negatived-Ayes 14, Noes 24.

After some desultory conversation as to the propriety of con sidering an amendment of so much importance at that late hour of

Mr. BENTON remarked that this subject was a very intricate one, and required a patient, careful and calm investigation, and should be reported in a bill by itself. He wished to call the attention of the Senate to a subject, to him of greater moment. Since ring or the Schace to a subject, to min of greater moment. Since January last the laborer at all the navy yards had been without his hire. If they could not couply with the command of the bible, "let not the sun go down without pixing the laborer his hire," he would say let not the sun go down without passing that bill, II was a gamast every amendment, and wished to pass the bill as

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, withdrew the amendment in order that it might be further considered upon before again offered to the consideration of the Senute.

Mr. HALE moved further to amend this bill by adding the following sections:

Sign. 3-did be it farther enacted. That corporal punishment be, and the same hereby a abolished and ponishted in the navy.

Sign. 3-did be it farther enected. That the spirit ration in the day is hereby Sign. 3-did be it farther enected. That the spirit ration in kind shall be abonited, and in hem thereof every individual who receives he ration in kind shall be caused to prevene was easy not (e.g., and those who commute the whole ration two

Mr. HALF knew that the Senate were becoming wearied of the ong dehate they had had upon the bill, in consequence of the many amendments suggested, but he had a few considerations to present in connection with his amendment, which be felt to be his duty, notwithstanding the impatience of the Senate, to offer. He had found in the hill which was then before the Senate, in the eighth section, that there was an attempt made to regulate that subject by law. The section required that the Secretary of the Navy report to Cogress the number of persons flogged in 1846-'47, specifying the name of the ship and its commanding offser, and the number of lashes inflicted; similar reports to be made hereand the number of lashes inflicted; similar reports to be made here-after. We had had laws regulating this subject ever since the organization of the confederacy; yet what did they amount to? He spoke nothing but the literal truth when he said by looking into the records of the Navy Department, they would be found to be perfectly inoperative. By a law of the Congress of the United perfectly inoperative. By a law of the congress of the United States, made since the year 1800, court martials were prohibited from inflicting, for any offence not capital, more than one hundred lashes. He had found by reference to the Navy Department that by a court martial held at Port Mahon, in 1837, for an offence not capital-manslaughter-a man was sentenced to receive four hunred lashes; one hundred of them were remitted, and three hundred inflicted, when the law of the country for fifty years save two, had prohibited the infliction of more than one hundred lashes at had prohibited the infliction of more than one hundred lessless at one specified time. There was an minimate connexion between the spirit rations and corporeal punishment. If they took away the cause of intoxication, they done away with the necessity for corpo-real panishment. A man on board the frigate Constitution 19/mg off, Mazatlan, was on the 23d March, 1846/sentenced, because of intoxication, by Commodore Sloat, to receive fifty lashes. In a letter to the Commodore, the man stated that he desired of the court while deliberating upon the extent of the punishment to be awarded, that they would do him the favor to recommend his discharge from a ship, where he was placed in a situation where the temptation to indicate the space of the space of the temptation to indicate the government got their sailors drank by law and then flogged them for it. [Mr. H. here read an extract from a newspaper published in 1835, giving the record of the punishment inflieted during a single voyage, and showing the severe inflictions of corporeal ring a single voyage, and snowing the severe inflictions of corporeal punishment apportioned in the navy for the most trivial offences.] It was perfectly idle in his opinion, so long as these men were thus degraded by law, to undertake to regulate the matter by law. It had been reproachfully said of him (Mr. H.) that his sympathies and philanthropy had been altogether exercised in favor of the blacks. He would now ask gentlemen to have some sort of consideration for their white brethren, who were the subjects of the brutal system of corporeal punishment. He firmly believed, and bruital system of corporeal punishment. He firmly believed, and he said it with all truth, that if they could have the facts apparent upon the records of 'he Navy Department in relation to the sub-ject before them, it would be seen that the most oppressive sys-tem of slavery to be found engrafted upon the laws of this country, gave unlicensed freedom compared with the slavery to be found on board of the ships of war.

The question being taken upon agreeing to the amendment, it was determined in the negative

No further amendment being made, the bill was reported to the Scnate.

The question being on concurring in the amendments agreed to in Committee of the Whole-

Mr. TURNEY asked that the amendment reducing the appropriation for the Memphis navy yard be excepted.

Mr. KING asked that the amendment making advances to mail steamers be also excepted Mr. DICKINSON informed the Scnator from Alabama that

there was no such amendment. The clause authorizing advances was a part of the original bill as it came from the House.

The question being taken upon concurring in the amendments [excepting that relating to the Memphis navy yard] it was determined in the affirmative

The question then recurred upon concurring in the amendment reducing the appropriation for the Memphis navy yard from \$174,-038 to \$100,000.

Mr. TURNEY hoped that the Senate would not acquiesce in the amendment. It had passed through the committee without his colleague's notice or his own being called to it; and he should ms contegue's notice or his own being called to it; and he should much prefer that its consideration be postponed until morning. As however the Senate appeared desirous of passing the appropria-tion bill to-day, he would ask of the Senate that the amendment he rejected. The work was being prosecuted, was nearly com-pleted, and would require but a small amount of money to finish it. The appropriation asked for by the department was absolute-ly necessary for the completion of the work. It would be doing injustice to them and to the work itself to except the amendment.

Mr. BERRIEN thought that at any rate the sum advancedone hundred thousand dollars—would be sufficient to keep the work in operation until Congress again met the coming year.

The question being taken upon concurring in the amendment, it appeared that a quorum of the Senate were not present.

Mr. WESTCOTT moved that the Senate adjourn. The motion was negatived.

On motion by Mr. BENTON, it was

Ordered, That the absent Senators be sent for.

A quorum of the Senators being present,

The question on concurring in the amendment was again put, and it was determined in the negative, as follows:

YEAS—Views, Atherton, Berrien, Bradbury, Butler, Calhoun, Davis, of Mississipi, Hale, Hamlin, King, Lowis, Spinance—I.

"AXYS—Meass: Atchinon, Bertona, Borland, Breese, Bright, Dickuson, Dodge, Donglas, Felelt, Frügerald, Foote, Hannegan, Houson, Johnson, of Louisian, Johnson, of Georgia, Mason, Raik, Schodian, Trarrey, Westortt, Yules—91.

The amendment was not concurred in

Mr. YULEE moved further to amend the bill by adding the following section:

"Sgc. ... dad be it partner enough. That the creticities extehibled by the filtress time of the act approved March 1215, wheeling in come than one instanced and the partner of the partne

The amendment was agreed to.

Mr. YULEE then ronewed the amendment, disagreed to in Committee of the Whole, authorizing the appointment of officers of the grade of midshipman to the number of four hundred and sixty-four.

The amendment was agreed to.

Mr. ATHERTON moved further to amend the bill by inserting in line 108, after "United States," the following:

—"if the contractors shall so elect; Provided, That stores, freight and passengers shall be transported, for one voyage, in each of said ships, for the government, from any ports in the United States to Panama or any port on the route thereto, free of charge, excluding subsistence of passengers."

The amendment was not agreed to.

No further amendments being offered, it was

Ordered, That the amendments be engrossed and the bill read the third time.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved, That this bill pass with amendments

Ordered. That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in the amendments.

On motion,

The Senate adjourned

# SATURDAY, JULY 22, 1848.

## PETITION.

Mr. HALE presented the petition of John Lewis, of Philadel-Mr. HALE presented the petition of John Lewis, or Hallacepha, praying that the privilege of citizenship preposed to be con-lerred on "free white mail inhabitants" of the territory of Oregon, by the bill to "establish the territorial governments of Oregon, California, and New Mexico," may be extended to others.

Ordered, That it lie on the table.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the Committee on Pensions, to whom was referred the petition of Henrietta Bedinger, submitted an adverse report, which was ordered to be printed.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the Committee on Pensions to whom was referred the petition of Henry Gray, submitted an adverse report, which was ordered to be printed.

### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. CAMPBELL, their Clerk:

Mr. President: The House of Representatives have passed a bill making appropriations for the civil and diplomatic expenses of government for the year ending the 30th day of June, 1849, and for other purposes; in which they request the concurrence of the Senate.

They have passed the following bills of the Senate:

An set to make Bangor a port of entry for vessels coming from or beyond the Cape of Good Hope.

An act to authorize the Secretary of the Treasury to make a compromise with the securities of Francis D. Newcomb, late Surveyor General of the State of Louisiana

### MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT.

The following message was received from the President of the United States, by Mr. WALKER, his Secretary:

Mr. President: The President of the United States approved and signed the 21st restant, the hill amending the act entitled "an art granting half pay to widow, and or-hans where their his-bands and fatters have died of wounds received in the militizal vertice of the United States," in case of document of the United States, in case of the case of divers and soldiers of the militizal of volunteers, possed July 4th, 1836.

## THE COMPROMISE BILL.

The bill to establish the territorial governments of Oregon, Calitornia, and New Mexico, was read the second time and considered as in Committee of the Whole.

Mr. CLAYTON .- Nearly eight months of this session of Con gress have passed away; the interesting and most important subjects embraced in this bill having been long and ably debated. The Senate will, I doubt not, be rejoiced to hear that it is not my pur-Senate wit, a count mot, be reposed to near that it is not my puse to inflict upon them a long speech, in opening the discussion on this bill. My purpose now its chiefly to state the contents of the bill as briefly and as fairly as may be in my power—reserving the right usually accorded to the chairman of every committee to conclude the debate on the till, if debate should be maxodiable. conclude the debate on the bill, if debate should be maroidable. I understood the object of the Seaate in the appointment of the select committee to be, the prevention of an agistating and exciting discussion; and, with the other members of the committee, I cherish the hope that we may be able to effect that object by the passage of the bill which we have reported. At present, the only question to which I desire to call the attention of the Seaate is: What are the substantial provisions of this bill? The bill referred to the committee was one providing for the establishment of a territorial government in Oregon. The

bill which we have reported contains provisions for the establishment of territorial governments in Oregon, California, and New Mexico. As the twelfth section of the hill referred to us was the Mexico. As the twenth seem of the fint referred to is was the obief subject of debate here, it, of course, first occupied our attention. It is still retained as the twelfth section of the bill reported by us, but so modified by the committee as to provide that all existing laws in the territory of Oregon,

Stall contains the shall not perturb them no first the same much not us on particularly stall the contains the shall not perturb them no first the same in the contains and the processor of this set, for these months above, the first menting of the legislature of an elempty; subject, nevertheless, to be deep modified, or repealed, by the legislature strength of the said territory of thegan; can the constitution and laws of the United States are hereby extended over, and does not be in force in said territory, to fir at the same, or any provision thereof, may be applicable.

Think it my duty, in order to explain that section, to present in connection with it, a reference to those existing laws of Ore-gon, to which reference is made in this section. The Senate will find them in Senate document of the first session 29th Congress, No. 353. The Ist article 6th section of these laws positively pro-No. 353. The 1st article 6th section of these laws positively probibit all slavery or involuntary servitude (except for erime, where of the party shall be daly convicted,) in the whole territory. This provision, as well as every other contained in these organic laws of Oregon, is continued by the force of this bill, until three months after the first meeting of the legislature of the territory.

ritory of Oregon—I mean the legislature provided by the terms of this bill. The organization of the government of the territory of Oregon differs from that of California and New Mexico in one im-portant particular. We regarded the people of Oregon as enti-tled to the right of self-government, and we have, therefore, given them in this bill an elective territorial legislature, whose laws are liable to be reviewed and annulled by Congress; and we have are liable to be reviewed and annulled by Congress; and we have given them also, as in other cases, the right to elect a delegate to the House of Representatives of the United States. In the case of the territories of California and New Mexico, we have given, no such power. The legislative power in those territories is vest-ed in a governor, secretary, and three judges in the case of Cali-fornia—two judges only in the case of New Mexico—who shall have power to make all laws, as in the case of Oregon, except have power to make all laws, as in the case of Oregon, except such as relate to an establishment of religion and the institution of slavery. In both cases—that is to say, in the case of the legis-lature of Oregon, and in that of the legislature of California and New Mexico—the legislature power is restrained in regard to all emertments touching the primary disposal of the soil and the impo-sition of a higher rate of taxation upon the property of the United States and the property of non residents, than upon the property of residents. But the great distinguishing leature is, that in the case of Orcgon the legislative power is conferred upon men cho-sen by the people, whilst in the case of California and New Mexico, it is conferred upon the appointees of the President, by and with the consent of the Senate.

These constitute the important features of this bill to which it These constitute the important features of this bill to which it is my duty now to call the attention of the Senate; and I suppose that they will form the only subjects of discussion, if discussion hencessary and mavoidable. I repeat, that in the committee all other modes of compromise or settlement were in vain attempted; all efforts to settle the great question now agitating and disturbing portions of our country, except that which resulted in the preparation of this bill, latterly failed. It is my deep conviction that no other mode of compromise or settlement can possibly be adopted. I have been more actions, for my own part, that the question should be settled, than as to the means of settlement, though I would not, of course, surrouler any great principle which I held sacred in order to effect the settlement of this matter. Indeed, the great merit in my indement, of this mode of settling the conthe great merit, in my judgment, of this mode of settling the controversy, is, that no man, North or South, in voting for this bill, sacrifices any great principle to which he has ever been commitsacrinces any great principle to windon in each over been element.

North and Swith, to the decision at last of the judicial trihundal of
the country. It resolves the difference between them into a constrational and judicial question. Any man who prefers discord
and civil war ro that mode of settling all differences between sections of this Union, which the constitution itself points out, may and can consistently with his opinions, oppose the bill. But any man who desires in his very heart to avoid this great disturbing question between the North and South, as a political question question between the North and South, as a political question—
this great geographical question, as it has become—ean do so by
voting for this inll, which resolves into a mere judicial question
to be decided by the proper constitutional tribunals of the country. I do not mean to impeach any one's motives who may oppose the bill, or by any means to intimate that soch as differ with
me in opinion may not do so honestly.

The territorial judges appointed under this bill, will, in the first
instance, decide all questions submitted to them respecting slavery. But from them a writ of error or appeal to the Supreme
Court of the United States is allowed, as in all cases where writs
of error and anomela, are allowed to revise the opinions of the eir-

Court of the United States is allowed, as in air cases where write of error and appeals, are allowed to revise the opinions of the other oth

There was some information communicated to the committee. which has an experimental and communication, as the communication of the same state was proposed and voted down in the committee, after a most car-nest and anxious discussion. It was voted down in every way in which the proposition could be presented. The proposition of the honorable Sentator from Indiana was voted down, and that, also, of my friend from Kentucky. The Senate will perceive by the statement which I am phout to lay before them, how the question would have been settled if that proposition—the Missouri compro-mise line—had prevailed in committe. By a report made at the request of the committee, by the Commissioner of the Land Office, it appears that[Here the Senator read a full statement made by the Land Commissioner, of the area of each section of the territories outside of the States, from which it appeared that the area of all north of 36° 30' was about 1,600,000 square miles, and of all south of 36° 30' about 262,000 square miles.]

In other words, the North, by that compromise, would have about six times as much territory for free labor as the South would have for slave labor.

Mr. BALDWIN-(in his seat.)-What is the extent of the area of Texas?

Mr. CLAYTON.—The gentleman asks how much hard there is in Texas. Let him add it to the amount which I have just given as lying south of 36° 50°, and every one will see that the territory lying north of 50°, and every one will see that the territory lying north of the him. It was agreed by the southern man territory of the committee to empromise on the line of 36° 30°; but our northern friends would not accede to that proposition. I make no compliaint, thecause I do not stand here for that purpose, but rather to conciliate near from all sections of the country, and to entreat them to put this great question is such a position that it may never hereafter endanger the safety of our Union. It is my deliberate conviction, that although, as I said the other day, the bill on our table will not prevent agitation—because, in our country and under our institutions, no bill can prevent ngitation—yet, if adopted, the safety of the Union will be placed beyond the reach of danger from agitation, by its tranqual operation.

the reach of danger from agitation, by its tranquil operation. I forhear trespassing further on the attention of the Senate, for I did not rise to discuss the merits of the bill. I do not undertake to distate to gentlemen, but I hope that a vote may be taken speedily upon this bill. I trust its friends—if it have friends in this body—will stand by, and, after allowing—if there friends in this source of the property of the prop

Mr. NILES.—I desire to ask the honorable Segator one or two bill sirons. If I understand him, he says that, in his judgment, this bill sirons a scriftee of any opinion maintained by gentlemen on this floor in this debate. I wish to inquire of the honorable Senator whether he considers this bill

Mr. CLAYTON.—I spoke of members of the Committee, and intended my zemarks to apply to them. I do not mean to say that there are no opinions entertained out of the committee inconsistent with this hill; and, I will add, that it may be possible that one or two members of the committee may have some objections to the bill; but I only remarked that, in my own view, the opinions of members of the committee might be reconciled.

Mr. NLES.—The main question in the course of this long debate, has been a question of power. It has been denied that Congress has any power over slavery in the territories; while, on the other hand, it has been asserted that Congress possesses that power, and thus has a risen the question as to the justice and propriety of exercising this ris, whether he considers this bill as affirming or disallowing that power? Another question to which I would desire a reply is, what is the precise principle of compromise in this bill? I understood the honorable Senator to say that he had felt it to be his duty to arrest the debate with a view to compromise. It is destrable, then, to ascertain the principle of the compromise. I have been destroyed to the proposition of the conpromise. I have been destroyed to the principle of the compromise. I have been destroyed to the principle of the compromise, the work of the proposition of the principle of the compromise. I have been destroyed to the principle of the compromise that the proposition of the propositio

Mr. CLAYTON.—The gentleman puts two questions: He inquires, first, wheelter this bill affirms the power of Congress to legislate on the subject of slavery in the territories. I reply, that the bill neither affirms nor disaffirms, but leaves the whole question to the proper constitutional tribunal, which I understand the Compromise. Again, the gentleman inquired the Compromise Again, the gentleman is what is the principle of the compromise? I limb, that it refers the whole matter in the control of the compromise of the compromise

Mr. NILES.—I understand the honorable Senator regards this compromise as doing nothing—as leaving the matter precisely where the committee found it. Permit une here to call the attention of the honorable Senator at the head of the compromising committee to the fact, that that is precisely the position taken by the honorable Senator from South Carolina in his specen and concerred in, I believe, by other honorable gentlemen from the South. So, then this is a compromise on the basis claimed by the advocates of slavery here.

Mr. CALHOUN.—Will the Senator yield for a moment? I beg that the Senator will not represent ne as an advocate of slavery. That is not the attitude which we maintain. We stand here only a selaming the rights which belong to us as confederated members of this Union, and we are willing to rest our rights upon the high ground on which the Senator from Delaware has placed

them—where our forefathers placed them, and where we place them. That is all. We claim nothing for slavery—nothing at all. We wish to see this Union preserved, and its harmony preserved with tt—for it is worshy to be preserved only upon the principles of harmony.

Mr. DICKINSON .- When this matter was submitted-

The PRESIDING OFFICER.—The Senator from Connecticut retains the floor.

Mt. NLES.—I am very happy to hear the remarks of my honorable friend from South Cardina—for such I hope I may recording from South Cardina—for such I hope I may reduced by the control of the control of

But I apprehead that the Senator means something deb by the declaration which he has just made, and what it is I am not able exactly to inderstand. If it mean that he is merely contending for a ponetific—that the slave States must, in point of legal form, in some abstract point of view, he placed in that attitude, which be regards as giving them perfect equality, I should not, for one, be disposed to be very particular in regard to any matter of that ities in the state of the state of the state of the state of the country in the principles list regulate property and steer pand descriptions are in the principles list regulate property and seven private rights are uniform, and whatever they may be in the territories, they will apply equally to all. Gentlemen of the South—if certain rules of property were adopted there—might not be able to take into two States; and so, too, with us at the North. Will not the rules and regulations adopted, apply equally to all I will not the view and regulations adopted, apply equally to all I will not the rules and regulations adopted, apply equally to all I will not the rules and regulations adopted, apply equally to all I will not the rules and regulations adopted, apply equally to all I will not the rules and regulations disposed, apply equally to all I will not the rules and regulations disposed per quality to all I will not the rules and regulations disposed to the uniform and equal? However, I did not rive to disease the question.

Mr. DICKINSON.—It will be recollected that when the motion was made to refer this matter to a select committee, a somewhat protracted debate took place, and it was obvious to all that the farther the discussion proceeded, the different sections became still more and more removed from each other. I haired it then as a motion of peace, and I any vet of opinion and the process of the committee was made, the Senator from Connecticut saw fit to 'remove that senators who should vote for a compromise would very likely find thomselves hunt in ciling in some sections of the country. That had not a very great deal of effect upon me. So far as the undividuals to be harmless, while it would certained the proceeding would be sawing. If any unitviduals for disposed to do it at their own exposes, and furnish images about as heartless as themselves, they are perfectly welcome to burn me in editing at any time. I think the Senator from Connecticut said a little more than what was set down for him, though he may have pointed to a plun of foot not yet fully developed. However, the remark had no influence upon me, then, nor can it at any time hereafter.

then, nor can it at any time necessate, on which the Senate did me the henor to place me, continued upon this committee, on which the Senate did me the henor to place me, continued upon the view of effecting an honorable compromise into Fino are now placed. I believe that my associates on this committee were actuated by the same motives. We saw these territories without law; one of them having existed for a long time without any law, except that supplied by its provisional government; and now an undeppy difference growing ap between its people and the Indian tribes, rendering it exceedingly desirable that that territory should be immediately organized and placed on its way to the Union. It was also important was strable that the two new territories of Co the United States.—What was to head under the pure returns the territories without law. What was to approaching, and Congross engaged in an exciting debate in one section of it, without any prospect of coming to a clear. The select committee was raised; and what has it done? We have placed the Oregon bill in a form to which no luman besetion, nor given to the other. If the South her valee from one section, nor given to the other. If the South her valee from one section, nor given to the other. If the South her valee from one section, nor given to the other. If the South her valee from one section, nor given to the other. If the South her valee from one section, nor given to the other. If the South her valee from one section, nor given to the other. If the South her valee from one section, nor given to the other. If the South her valee from one section, nor given to the other. If the South her valee from one section, nor given to the other. If the South her valee from one section, nor given to the other. If the South her valee from one section, nor given to the other. If the South her valee from one section, nor given to the other. If the South her valee from one section, nor given to the other. If the South her valee from one section, nor given to the other. We

the rights of neither. We have left the subject where we found it -subject to the constitution and Congress of the United States; way to the Union, by the organization of a provisional government, which is restrained from any legislation that can embarrass this difficult subject. That is what has been done. We have not given an elective legislature to the territories of New Mexico and given an elective legislature to the territories of New Mexico and California, for the reason that the people are not there, who are to control their destiny. But we have extended the laws and con-stitution over them, and provided for the appointment, by the President and Senate, of ollicers who will carry the laws of the United States into execution. We have also provided that those individuals shall make local laws, or police regulations, as they may be more properly called, restraining them as I have said from touching this subject one way or the other, whilst all their laws must be brought here for our revision. The whole matter may be summed up in the inquiry, Is there any evil to be apprehended from extending the laws and constitution of the United States over these territories, and enforcing them there, without doing anything fartler?

Mr. NILES .- The allusion to burning in effigy to which the Senator from New York has referred, was made by me in re-lation to what had formerly happened, on occasion of an attempt to bargain away what were considered the rights of one section, by a patch-work trafficking scheme of this kind. Far be it from me even to indulge in the language of threatening, for the purpose of influencing the action of any honorable Senator here; and I may say farther, that my friend from New York would be the very last member of this body that I would think of treating in that way. If that gentleman disregards the solemn and reiterated expression of the great State which he has the honor in part to represent-if the declaration of her sentiments in her sovereign capacity at home cannot influence his conduct here, surely it would be foolish to attempt to control it in any other way. There was one remark made by the honorable gentleman which I rose to notice. I understand that he concurs in this bill.

## Mr. DICKINSON-(in his seat.)-I do

Mr. NILES .- He approves of the bill, and yet that Senator in the early part of this session made a speech of no ordinary charac-ter, but one of great deliberation, mainly delivered from his notes in which he attempted to prove that Congress has no jurisdiction over territories in so far as relates to their government. He held that Congress had merely power to dispose of the soil. It seems, then, that the Senator is getting light upon this subject. I take encouragement from this lace: who can tell what a few months more may produce in the developments going on in the country, both here and elesewhere? Who can tell what new positions may be assumed? I am not without hope that my honorable friend from New York will, before this matter be ended, entertain opinions much more consonant with those of his own constituents. He is progressing, sir. Another remark of the Senator I desire to no-tice. He concurs fully in this plan of compromise—this compromise, which is no compromise at all—which does nothing—which says nothing—which settles nothing—which amounts to nothing. The Senator asks what can be done, but organize the government The sending assist what can be cone, but organize the government there and leave this troublesome question open to be settled hereaf-ter? That is my objection to this whole procedure. Here is the point of the case. You propose to set up government there. You pro-pose to invite emigration there. You propose to give them protection and security there. Protection and security to whom, and in what circumstances, and in regard to what kind of property, and what relations of persons? Now, all that is undefined. Those persons holding slaves have a right to go there, and may take their slaves there. Do you not invite them to go there? Do you not in some degree sanction this proceeding? But the bill goes not in some degree sanction this proceeding? But the bill goes farther. It is not entirely negative. There is something positive. Let me call the attention of Senators to a point to which I believe the honorable chairman has not alluded. This bill affirms some thing. It affirms that slavery shall not be prohibited or inhibited, or excluded by any action by the government which is set up there. Is that nothing? I think it is not to be misunderstood. It is a sort is that nothing? I think it is not to be misunderstood. It is a sort of sanction to those who may wish to go there with that kind of property. You leave this thing open. Nay, you do more. You probibit the government which you establish there from interposing ny obstacle, however slight, to the introduction of slave property any obstacle, however slight, to the introduction of sixe property. You leave it to the government having final authority it is true, that is to the Congress of the United States. But I am not prepared to admit that this principle—a principle of fundamental political regulation—a principle of organic law can be in any sense settled by the courts. We leave this question entirely epon, and settled by the courts. We leave this question entirely epon, and that hadding out an invitation to the introduction of slavery there, and the Samons wale what is there in all this, Why, supposing and the Senator asks, what is there in all this? Why, supposing that in this state of things the population emigrating into these territories take slaves with them, come at the proper time, and bringing the treaty with them, knock at your doors for admiss claiming their right to come in as members of this Union, what are you to say? The questian, perhaps, comes up as in that inare you to say? The question, perhaps, comes up as in that un-ortunate controversy with regard to Missouri, and the crisis is upon us—such a crisis, I will not say as may dissolve the Union, for I eve the Union to be stronger than any contest, real or imaginary, between opposing sections of this Union. I said last session that I believed this Union to be stronger than slavery; and that is the strongest thing in our system, except the principle of liberty, which is perhaps still stronger and deeper. But the question will come up, and it is immaterial whether it be presented by the majority or the minority of the people, for when rights became established when the people is the people is the people of the p lished-when the preservation or sacrifice of property becomes the question, then even a small minority will everywhere prevail against a majority. The minority in that case obtain an immense advana majority. The minority in that case obtain an immense anyone tage. No longer in its original form and aspect, as it now presents itself to us, the question will then be whether we will sacrise the track had been a like territory, or whether we will some steen to us, the question will tren be whether we will seen the property that may be in this territory, or whether we will be bound to protect it. No 'no! This scheme of evading for a day or for the moment, the responsibility thrown upon us—this unmanly shrinking from the duty which we owe to the country, is in my humble judgment utterly unworthy of the American Sonate. Be it more or less troublesome this question is now before us, and we are bound to meet it and dispose of it as we can. If we candispose of it now, let us do no more than was done with regard to Louisiana. There no attempt was made to establish govern-ment, but an act was passed authorizing the President to appoint rs as might be necessary to preserve some temporary But this bill is of a very different character, and the officers as might authority. But this bill is of a very different character, authority authority. But this bill is of a very different character, and pushion honorable charman tells us that by it, this threatening question will be determined forever. That is, I think, rather an extraval the said admits that it setwill be determined forever. That is, I think, rather an extrava-gant expectation. Why, sir, the Senator himself admits that it setgant expectation. Why, sir, the Senator himself admits that it set-tles nothing, that it leaves this thing entirely open, adding only the evil of inviting emigration of every description into these territo-This the honorable Senator ealls settling the question !

Sir, the people of this country have some little discernment. and they will desire to know what sort of a settlement this select committee have made. The people understand the question.— With all the ingenuity which they have displayed in the able arguments on both sides of the chamber, gentlemen have not been saccessful in so mystifying the subject, as to render it inexplicable to the people. They know what the precise issue is. They un-derstand that the question is whether this territory, which we derstand that the question is whether this territory, which we have received as a free territory—if I may say so, doubly free-free by the act of God who created it, and free by the act of man; and that, too, of a half civilized people, who have impressed upon it the law of freedom—is in our hand to remain free; or whether we shall directly or indirectly subsert that law of heaven and of man, and implant there the institution of slavery? That is the question. The honorable chairman of the committee says that the subject is to be referred to the courts. That is avoiding the question. The committee have shrunk from the responsibility which we expected from them. I expected no good from the appointment of the committee, but I coules I did not suppose that they would shrink from the whole subject submitted to them, and between the little of the committee of the commi bring us a bill skulking, dodging, with a cowardly visage, avoiding altogether the subject submitted to their judgment.

Mr. DICKINSON .- It seems when the honorable Senator referred to Senators burned in effigy, that he referred to what had been done-that he made the reference to affect the past and not the future. It struck me then as a most extraordinary remark in the Senate of the United States; and even with the explanation which has been given, I do not regard it in any difficult light. I hold it to be no particular honor to he burned in ettigy as a Sena tor; but as that proceeding is the resort only of the coward and the ruffian, I do not consider that it inflicts any disgrace; neither the fullant, I to the collision that it infinites any usegated, senting in similar be induced to alter my views in any respect by such a threat, nor by the adhesion to the fact that a portion of my control of my with which he is probably familiar, "Who art then that judgest another man's servant; to his own master he is to answer, and not unto thee?" But, sir, if the Senator can show that I am responsible to his. sible to him, I will render him my reasons for my course with sure. And, in the mean time, when he shall have rendered an account of his own stewardship to his constituents upon all the great questions of the last four years, in the language of the banker, if he has "anything over," and I need it, I will draw on him for it, with his approbation.

The Senator says that my position is inconsistent with a speech which I delivered here at the early part of the session. If I had found it necessary to take a position somewhat inconsistent with that taken heretofore, if on deliberation I had regarded my former action as wrong, I would not for the mere sake of using measurements, refuse to become wiser on any subject. But, my present position is in perfect accordance with that assumed by me on the position is in perfect accordance with that assumed. Allow me mer action as wrong, I would not for the mere sake of being inconoccasion to which the honorable Senator has alluded. Allow me to recall the attention of the honorable Senator to the resolution which I had the honor to introduce. It was as follows:

Reselved, That in organizing a territorial government for territory belonging to the intelegistates, the principles of self government upon which our federative system rets-tell ble best promoted—the true superit and meaning of the constitution be observed, and the confederary strengthed, by leaving all questions concerning the domestic pol-ytheria to the legislature closer by the people thereof.

Now, so far as the Oregon bill is concerned, it recognizes to the very letter the principle of that resolution; and I would here add, as I feel at liberty to do, that in committee I voted for the extension of it to New Mexico and California—but my brethren of the committee overruled me, and I do not say that their reasons were not good—because the people now inhabiting these territories many of them are but half civilized, and are perhaps not qualified to exercise all the rights of government, and we deemed it best for the present to give them a provisional government. These territories have been thus placed on their way to the very end which I suggested, and if the arrangement does not fully come up to my resolution, the spirit of it is fully carried out. But whether or not consonant with my resolution, it is perfectly immatterial to my purpose, and perfectly immatterial to the American people. I have no schemes to subserve, and this is no skulking bill, unless the constitution is a coward, and the laws of the United States skulk. The constitution and laws are extended over these territories in the place of the bludgeon, the dagger and the rifle, which are now the law there. The Senator from Connecticut is in favor of leaving these as the "lords paramount" there, in order that they may be free! I mean to extend the laws there, and leave it as free as God and our institutions leave it, to fring itself into this Union according to the principles of our confederacy.

I did not ruse to discuss this bill, but I am not to be miscrpen-

Into this Union according to the principles of our consideracy. I did not rise to disease this bill, but I am not to be miscipresented. I know the fewered feeling on this subject, and I am not good the attempts to fan it. It has been said that it is a lignorant of the attempts to fan it. It has been said that it is a line. Much as I respect that gentleman, I do not think that the bill is better or worse for meeting his approbation, farther than I rely on his great experience. But why did the Sonator from Connections typed for the bill as having received the sanction of the Senator from South Carolina, unless he meant the people of the North to believe that it must be a little worse on that account? Is it anything the worse for having received the sanction of the Senator from South Carolina any more than it would have been if it had received the sanction of the Senator from South Carolina any more than it would have been if it had received the sanction of the Senator from South Carolina has the peoplar views, but I will do hum the justice to say, of the Senator from thode I sland, or a Sonator from south Carolina has the peoplar views, but I will do hum the justice to say, of the Senator from the senator from South Carolina has the peoplar views, but I will do hum the justice to say, indeed, was the spirit manifested by all the members of the committee. They brought forward the best project which, on the whole, they could present. The Senator has asked, if I approve the bill? I do with my whole heart. For one, I could go much farther. The bill does not meet all my views; but the constitution itself was made up of compromises on this subject, and all our action with regard to it must be in the same spirit.

Mr. HALE rose and was about to address the Senate, but yielded to

Mr. CORWIN, who said, I wish to salamit to any member of the committee one or two questions to which it is very desirable to myself, and I dare say to many others, that a reply should be given before we are called upon to vote on this bill. The bill, with what propriety I will not undertake to say, has been described by the honorable chairman of the committee as a compromise bill. It will be in the recollection of every Senator, that during the discussion upon the Oregon bill which gave rise to the proposition that laws should be made for all these territories to gether, there was one point of law discussed by several gentle-state, there was one point of law discussed by several gentle-South Carolina, if I did not mesunderstand him, maintained, that by the constitution of the United States it was incompetent for Congress to cancet that slavery should not exist in the territories; and that it was equally incompetent for any territorial government of any sort that might be erected there to make such a law. I understood my honorable friend from Georgia on my left [Mr. Barasies] to ministrain the same proposition, in the same identical whole question had been submitted to this committee, constituted eight of gouldenen learned in the law, they must have revolved in their minds and discussed in their retirement, this fundamental proposition, lying at the bottom of all our notion. I did expectitioning that radical question for the benefit of Senators who might not be able, in consequence of their not being learned in the deserved. If it be true, as was maintained by my friend from Georgia, for whose legal acquirements I cutertain so much respect, that I can scarcely trust myself to differ from him—that Congress can make no sould have—may their, len, I presume that the objection urged by the Scnator from Connecticut, on the other side of the chamber, falls to the ground. I fix, the, I presume that the objection urged by the Scnator from Connecticut, on the other side of the chamber, falls to the ground. I fix, the, I p

Again: I wish to be informed from these gentlemen learned in the law—for I have not turned my attention to the particular statuary provisions on this point, how it is that an appenl and writ of error shall lie from the superior judicial tribunal established un the territories, to the Supreme Court of the United States? The gentlemen of the committee, having, as I supposed, very sedulously directed their attention to the subject which divides us here—the subject of slavery—I wish to know whether, when this law comes to be put in operation, the committee have found with certainty that the question of slavery—at usually brought up in courts, can be brought by a writ of error before the Supreme Court of the United States without some specific legislation! For

instance: I believe that in the law which regulates writs of error and appeals from the circuit courts of the United States to the Supreme Court, it is provided that the value of the thoig in controversy must be at least two thousand dollars exclusive of exist. I have been told, informally, that the proviscion in this bill, exist. I have been told, informally, that the proviscion in this bill, the state of the committee to withful the states—to with the controversy about the power of Congress to make laws for the territories from the Congress of the United States—to with far with the constitutional question, in other words, from Congress, and submit it to the judicial tribunals of the country. Now, if that the so, and if that would be the effect of the bill in case it were enacted, I wish to know if a man go into one of these territories with a slave, whether the object of the bill is to raise the question whether that sort of property, without law, can be carried into a fleet? Under the existing law, and it so, how it is to be carried into effect? Under the existing law I suppose the slave would him in court, and show the canoe of his capture and determine before one of these territorial judges. The territorial judge, according to this bill, is to be appointed by the present Chief Magistrate of the United States—a fact which I beg to mention for the information of gentlemen north of Mason and Dixos's line. This judge will decide—if he believe the constitutional law to be as the genuine for the state of the state of the slave, who will be accordingly remanded into the service of his master. That is the way in which the case claborates itself not into a judgment, and how the constitutional law to be as the genuine from the first the master has a right to the service of his master. That is the way in which the case claborates itself not into a judgment, and how states, so that if may be decided by the highest judicial tribunal in America. How is it to come here? I set the property in controversy of the value of t

Mr. BERRIEN .- (In his scat,) - The gentleman is entirely nistaken.

Mr. CORWIN.—I withdraw the remark. How is the value of a slave to be accertained. We are told that there is no property in the man but simply a claim to his services. What then is the value of his services I than be more or less according to the judgment of men j but very few slaves I believe sell for a thousand dollars. If then the value of the slave do not reach two thousand dollars, his fate is decided by this judge appointed by the President of the United States, who sits in his court fitteen hundred miles from Washington City. This is the final judgment. I may be wrong in all this. But certainly, as the law now stands, if such case seem within the category of the bill before us, I have difficulty in perceiving how it can be brought here. I say nothing now of the great advantages that will accrete to the slave

I may be wrong in all this. But certainly, as the law now stands, if such a case come within the caregory of the bull belore us, I have difficulty in perceiving how it can be brought here. I say nothing now of the great advantages that will accrue to the slave below the control of the great advantages that will accrue to the slave below the control of the present of the case here and their master, as respects the giving of the requisite security for costs; pro of the ease with which they can attend the Supreme Court; or of the present of the tribunal as to whether Caffee or his master is right in the matter! But it does send raing the winner, to hear the decision of that tribunal as to whether Caffee or his master is right in the matter! But it does send that the control of t

Mr. CLAYTON.—The committee thought in view of all the facts, that the people of California and New Mexico were not now in that state which fitted them to elect a delegate to Congress, or a certificial legislature. The gentleman, as a north-western man, knows, that many of our territories in the first instance, had just such a form of government extended over them as is proposed in this bill for California and New Mexico. The next stage of territorion of Olio must admit that the character of the population of New Mexico renders them utterly unfit for self-government.

Mr. CORWIN.—Will the Senator from Delaware allow me to ask another question? Why does he consider the people of New Mexico unfit for self-government?

Mr. CLAYTON .-They are entirely too ignorant, and the gentleman probably knows that as well as I do.

Mr. PHELPS.—I do not rise for the purpose of discussing this bill, but to answer the inquiries of the Senator from Ohio; which were, in half, at least, addressed to me. But before I pro-

[SATURDAY,

ceed to answer the questions directly, I beg the privilege of saying in the outset, that in my judgment a great deal of confusion has been thrown around the subject from the want of a proper discrimination between the different aspects in which It came here originally upon this Oregon bill, as is presented. is presented. It came here originally upon this Oregon bin, as a mere legislative question. The question propounded was, what legislation, under all the circumstances, would be proper for these territories? Should we, by any express act of Congress, what segistation, included a weak the segistation and the segistation when the segistation is admit slavery. Should weak the same spaces and of Congress, admit slavery of this dulk eye is a same space as a problem in the course of this discussion it was a space of the same space of legisation was thus precluded—that this question is not a legisla-tic question—that it never was and never can be—that it is a ju-dicial question; not to be settled by us, but to be settled alone by the proper judicial tribunal. Now, suppose that we pass the Wil-mot provise—that we enset the ordinance of '87 as a part of the territorial law, this constitutional question lies at the bottom size. all. Gentlemen may then insist, as they insist here now, that these constitutional guaranties cannot be done away with by legis-The question still recurs, not withstanding our legislation, what is to be done with it? We must go to the expounders of the constitution. The question must rest with the Supreme Court, where the constitution itself has placed it. This bill, then, leaves the question where we must leave it, because it is not in our power to decide it.

I think, then, that great difficulty has been thrown over the subject by a failure to distinguish between the power of Congress to enact municipal laws, and a constitutional question involving a construction of the constitution, with respect to which Congress have no power of exposition. Let us determine as we may the ques-tion, the constitutionality of the law is to be settled elsewhere. If this bill leave the question to the constitutional expounders, can gentlemen complain that we have not attempted to do that which the constitution has put out of our power, but have left the subject

the constitution has put out in our power, but may left the singlest where the constitution placed it?

But the inquiry is raised, whether this subject can be brought before the highest judicial tribunal, and it is said that the bill leaves it to the territorial government jurisdiction. Well, that is inevitable. No judiciary system can be established there which does not possess that jurisdiction. It may be so connected with the ornor possess that jurisdiction is that it is impossible to down this dinary administration of justice that it is impossible to deny this power to the judicial authority of the territory. But the committee have endeavored to provide that the decision of the judicial autee nave enceavored to provide that the decision of the judicial attributions of the territories shall be subject to the supervision of the highest judicial tribunal. If the honorable Senator had adverted to the delebrated Mississippi case, (the case of Slaughter.) involving this principle, he would have found how the case was brought before the Supreme Court.

Mr. CORWIN .- What was the amount in controversy?

Mr. PHELPS .- I admit that it was over two thousand dollars But is it to be supposed that this species of population or property is to be carried to any extent into those territories without involvis to be carried to any extent into mose refroites window moot into gransactions which, in point of pecuniary magnitude, would be brought within the ecgaizance of the Supreme Court Possesses jurisdiction over writs of habeas corpus. I know that they decided in one case not to sustain the writ; I allude I know that they decided in one case not to sustain the writ; I allude to the case of Barry, of New York. The only practicable rule is, that they take cognizance of the writ, where they have cognizance of the subject matter. But if they have not jurisdiction of the subject matter, they have no cognizance of any writ. The case of Barry was one of that description. The subject matter did not come within their jurisdiction. But by the constitution, and, if I mistake not, by the act of '39, the Supreme Court can issue a writ of habcas corpus in all cases proper for the cognizance of that

court.
We insist on the part of the free States, and need hardly repeat that if the territory of New Mexico be free, as I now assume it to be, the manicipal laws of that conquered or ceded territory apply until they are altered by a competent legislative power. That I hold at present to be the decrine of the civilized world; and every gendeman from the slaveholding States will not controver it. But they insist that the constitution is necessarily extended over these territories, because that is the policies charter of the country. The argument is then presented, that charter of the country. The argument is then presented, that the guaranties of the constitution apply to this species of property and supercede the local law of the conquered territory. Hence the question comes directly before the Supreme Court of the United States, and no man can doubt that any process which is adapted to the case calculated to bring its merits before that Court will be sustained by them. There never suppresed that client in the control of the sentence of the Senator from Obio, or mine, to suppose that any pecuniary difficulty can be internosed. to mine, to suppose that any pecuniary difficulty can be interposed.

The question can very readily be tested. I hold that if the question arises, the constitution gives the Supreme Court jurisdiction over the matter without reference to the value of the thing in controversy; and that wherever the Court have jurisdiction over the subject matter, they have of course jurisdiction over all the means necessary to bring it before them.

Mr. WESTCOTT .- I desire to make an inquiry of the Sena ter from Vermont with regard to the provisious of this bill which relate to California and New Mexico. If I recollect the phraseology of the bill, it declares that the governor, secretary, and

judges, to whom is given the legislative power in those territojudges, to whom is given the legislative power in those territories, are prohibited from adopting any legislation respecting slavery. The query which I wish to put is this: whether the words "respecting slavery" relate merely to the mibition or exclusion of slavery, or a positive and direct admission of slavery in express terms; or whether—and this is the point to which I would particularly direct his attention—whether the phrase is intended to prohibit the legislative power from passing any of those enactments for the panishing of slaves for crimes and misdemeanors, as distinguished from the majichant of crimes capacitate by when we have the control of the prohibit that the state of the prohibit that the state of the prohibit that the state of the prohibit that the prohibit tingnished from the punishment of crimes committed by other perwhich, in every slaveholding community, have been found essential to the safety of such community.

Mr. PHELPS .- I will answer the Senator as far as I am able; and I will here remark that the language of the hill will speak for itself. I believe I was the first person who suggested this restriction, and for reasons which I need not explain. My purpose was Lon, and lef reasons which I need not explicitly the control of th purpose of the committee, was not to entrust this troublesome question to the appointces of the President, but as far as it was a subject of legislation, to leave the power, as it is now, in Congress. If we were to entrust this duty to the constituted authorities there, it would never be exercised in a manner satisfactory to the neople. But so far as respects the punishment of offeaces, great or small, it never entered into my conception that this bill would inhibit proper criminal enactments.

Mr. WESTCOTT .- The Senator does not touch the question Mr. WESTOOT:—The Senator does not touch the question which I propounded. He is aware that in all the slave States it has been found necessary to have laws for punishing slaves distinct from those which apply to the white inhabitants. The question I ask is, whether this distinctive character of the law is to be

Mr. PHELPS.—I was about to say, that in my judgment, whatever penal laws are enacted by the legislative power of the territory must be uniform. I certainly do not understand, that under the color or in the form of criminal legislation they could distinguish between persons held in servitude and other citizens; because, if the power is allowed to distinguish between those persons and others, there is no limitation upon the exercise of the power as to the extent to which it may be carried.

power as to tne extent to which it may be carried.

I think the question of the Senator is answered, by
the purpose of the committee was to leave the institution where
it has been placed by the constitution of the country; to retain the
power over it in that tribunal, from which we have no authority
to withdraw it.

Mr. NILES .- I understand the Senutor from as giving it as his opinion that the criticities of New Mexico and California are now free territories. I should like to know what was the opinion of a majority of the committee on that point. The Senate will see the importance of this from the inhibition of any action of the local government upon the subject. The existing law, whatever it is, is not to be disturbed. Another question, and that is, whether Congress, in establishing these temporary governments, and giving to the local governor the power to veto the territorial legislation, has parted with its jurisdiction over the subject, or whether we will still be at liberty to pass laws in relation to the subject of slavery, or any other subject, to be applied to the territories. If we still have this power, then there is nothing settled; if we have not, then, as the local authorities are prohibited from acting upon it, the question which I first raised becomes still more important, it, the question which I first raised becomes still more important, because the existing law must remain as it is. The local authorities cannot act, and if Congress divest itself of the power, there is no way in which the subject is to be controlled until the territories become States. All, I believe, will admit that Congress has exclusive jurisdiction over these territories, and they may exercise it in one of two ways: they may either make laws directly apply-ing to the territories, or they may constitute a government there. The latter has been the course of action heretofore in regard to territories; and when Congress does this in reference to municipal matters, divesting themselves of authority, and constituting local trilunnals, I wish to know whether it is considered that the power of Congress is exhausted.

Mr. BALDWIN .- From the views which have been expressed by members of the committee who have spoken upon the subject, as well as from the reading of the bill which they have presented to the consideration of the Senate, it would appear that the legislated contemplated by the committee as proper for New Mexico and California is very different from that which the committee and Califorma is very different from that which the committee bave contemplated as proper for the territory of Oregon. It has seemed to me, sir, from the first, that there was no proper basis for connecting, for any purpose, the interests of the people of Oregon with the interests of the people of New Mexico and California—territories acquired as the fruits of the war in Mexico. When this bill was referred to the committee, it was a bill for the organization of a territural government for the people of Oregon, and for them alone. I, for one, am not willing to admit that there is any question here, as it respects the different portions of this Union, of a purely local character. In the remarks I had the honor to submit to the Scnate at the commencement of the discussion of the Oregon bill I stated, that in my view the question

was a national question, and should be regarded as a national was a national question, and should be regarded as a national question, and that we should adopt a form of government for the Oregon territory, with a view to great national purposes, with a view to the interests of this republic as well as to the interests of the people of that territory. I at unwilling now, sir, to regard it as a question of any other character, and I thus, therefore, that there is an impropriety in connecting the question of as to California and New Mexico with the question of forming a territorial government for Ornexico with the question of forming a territorial government for Orgeon, because upon the very face of this bill, there is an admission, an neknowledgement, that we regard it as a question between the North and the South, which is to b settled irrespective of the interests of the whole community, irrespective of the interests of the acet particular portion of the territory for whose government we are now called on to provide. Sir, I regard these territories as a reading man, a different footing in many respects in course to remain are now called on to provide. Sir, I regard these territories as standing upon a different footing, in many respects, in regard to the legislation which it may be proper for us to adopt. We were informed the other day by the distinguished Senator from South Carolina, who is a member of this committee, and who has occapied heretofore, during the disensaison between this and a foreign government in regard to the title to Oregon, a position which caused him to direct his enquiries to the origin of the title of this government to that territory. We were informed by him, that he regarded our best title to the territory of Oregon as being derived from the cession by France of Louisiana; and in looking to the correspondence which took place between the distinguished Senator, then occupying a prominent place in the administration, and continued to the continued of the United States might be sustained, this certainly occupied a prominent place.

[Mr. BALDWIN read from the documents accompanying the message of the President to Congress in 1845:]

Now, at the time the resolution was passed for the admission of the State of Missonri, there was inserted an express provision, that in regard to all the territory acquired by the cession of France that in regard to all the territory acquired by the cession of France under the treaty for the cession of Louisiana, it should be forever subject to the ordinance of 1787. If then there was a compro-mise by which all the territory north of 36° 30' which was ac-quired by the cession of Louisiana should forever be free, why conmeet Oregon in a bill for the organization of territorial govern-ments with territory since acquired by conquest from Mexco? The compromise, commonly called the "Missouri compromise," ments with territory since acquired by conquest from Mexcot? The compromise, commonly called the "Missouri compromise," exhausted itself in this territory which is now Organ, and in that territory which has since been organized into States lying south of States lying south of States lying south of States. Oregon was then the subject of compromise. The fruits of that compromise have been enjoyed by the South of the States. Oregon was then the subject of compromise. The fruits of that compromise have been enjoyed by the South of the States and the states are successful to the state of the states and the states are successful to the states and the states are successful to the states and successful the states and the sta government of the territory of Oregon

[Mr. HALE here addressed the Senate at some length. A report of his speech will be given in the Appendix.]

Here there were general cries of "question!" question!" amidst

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland rose to inquire whether it was the purpose of the Senator from Connecticut to provide territorial governments for California and New Mexico, or leave them without any until Congress again assembled?

Mr. BALDWIN.—My purpose is simply to dissecumber the Oregon bull and the people of Oregon from any connection with the territorial governments proposed for New Mexico and California. My purpose is to consider the bill by tself, as it was pending before the Senate when it was referred to the committee of eight. It appeared to me to be unjust to the people of Oregon to convert their interests with those of New Mexico and California.

Mr. CALHOUN.—I rise to make but a single remark. Every Senator must know that the decision in this amendment will determine the fate of the bill.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts.--I rise simply to express my wish that my friend from Connecticut would withdraw his amend-

Mr. BALDWIN .- I have no objection to withdraw it for the

Mr. BUTLER .- Let me ask the Senator from Connecticut whether he would consent to any legislation in reference to either

of these territories that did not explicitly contain a prohibition of

Mr. BALDWIN.—In answer to the Senator from South Caro-lina, I feel called upon to state frankly that I could not consent to the organization of government for any territory now free, in which a security against slavery should not be provided.

Mr. BERRIEN .- I understand that the Senator from Connec Mr. BERRIEN.—I understand that the Senator from Connecticut is willing to withdraw his amendment for the present, reserving to himself the right of moving it at some future time.—The question is whether it is in the power of the Senator to withdraw the amendment after the years and anys have been ordered upon it? Now, much as I desire to see the amendment withdrawn, and the discussion relieved from the question which it presents, if we are to meet it, I prefer to meet it now; and I there exists, if we are to meet it, I prefer to meet it now; and I there fore object to the withdrawal of the amendment.

tore oppect to the withdrawal of the meanment.

There were then renewed cries for the "question," and the yeas and nays were taken, with the following result: 
"FASA.—Mens, Baldwin, Braddwin, Cluick, Cowen, Dava, of Massachussta, Dayton, Dix, Dolge, Feleb, Fungerald, Greece, Ilale, Ilandin, Miller, Niler, Debram and Walker.—Ilan, Avietion, Alberge, Baldwin, Braddwin, Christopher, Calibon, Clayton, Davit of Nile, Delinon, Deposit of Brees, Bright, Buller, Calbonn, Clayton, Davit of Nile, Delinon, Debraws, Foote, Hanneagen, Hounton, Johnson, of Ma., Jahnson, of Ga. King, Lewis, Mangian, Mason, Metalia, Feater, Philey, éclusius, Byra-nos, Sirgon, Treny, Challerood, Wartott, and Yulee—27

So the proposed amendment was not agreed to.

Mr. HAMLIN .- I am admonished, Mr. President, by the whis ATT HAMILIA.—I and administer, and research by the winperfect of the present sitting. If, therefore, I would
offer any suggestions, which will control my vote and command
offer any suggestions, which will control my vote and command
wy action. I must embrace the present as the only opportunity.
The question which we are now called upon to decide is of momentous importance. Yet from 1ts decision I have no disposition.

The question which we are now called upon to decide is of momentous importance. Yet from its decision! I have no disposition
to shirink. It is, indeed, startling, that in the middle of the nineteenth century—in this model republe, with the sun of liberty shining upon us, and while the governments of Europe are tottering
to their base; from the lights reflected from our own, and while
they are striking down the shackles of tyramy over the minds of
men—we have been gravely discussing the proposition, whether we
will not create by law the institution of human aberry;
whether we
will not create by law the institution of human aberry;
cannot create by law the institution of human aberry;
cannot evade hi—metaphysics cannot escape it. If there have been
these who have beretofore believed a discussion of this matter premature, all, or nearly all, have declared a willingness to meet the
issue when it should be practically presented. That crisis is now matter, in, or hearly an interest of the constituencies who it should be practically presented. That crisis is now upon us, and, as men faithfully representing the constituencies who have sent us here, we must meet it. I had hoped—nay, I had benave sent us neve, we must meet it. I have inprecionally from continued in the work to be common grounds of concession, unloa, and harmony, dictated by a lofty patrotism, upon which all would meet, and by which we would settle this wexed question. Of all things, I have been destrous that we might be table to arrive such a decision of this matter as would quiet the public mind, and

such a decision of this matter as would quite the public mind, and be just to all the people of all the States.

The character of the debate, connected directly with this subject, within the last few weeks, must necessarily associate itself with the question immediately before us for our decision. This bill sprang from that discussion. They are one and the same. That was a bill for the establishment of a government for the territory of Oregon. This includes also the territories of California and New Mexico. As there is no connection in these matters, I had hoped to have seen each bill presented by itself—to stand upon its own merits, or fall upon it s demerits. The Seante has decided that they shall not be separated, and we must meet it as it is presented. I will state the reasons why I am compelled to withhold from it my vote.

We have acquired the territories over which this bill eventuals.

hold from it my vote.

We have acquired the territories over which this bill extends. They are embraced within the Union, and it now hecomes our duty to legislate for them. It is proper and just that we should extend over them the laws of our country, and adopt such other legislation as the case shall demand. It is a solemn and repair that the committed to our hand the solemn and the properties of the properties of the committed to our hand the country, which in time shall become a mighty empire. Their destiny is in our hands, the responsibility is upon us. Whether that country shall present all the elements of a free government, in which man is cleared as an intellectual is upon us. Whether that country shall present all the elements of a free government, in which man is elevated as an intellectual and moral being, or whether the despotism of slavery shall imand moral being, or whether the despotism of slavery shall imprint its seil, are matters depending entirely upon us. Let wisdom guide us in the path of duty, and let not the light of the past be lost upon our action. We must act; it now presents a point from which no man can strink. The issue cannot be avoided; and let no one imagine that an intelligent public dependency of the state of the s

With such a hill as this, I cannot hesitate te give the aid of my with sucu a niii as uns, i cannot destrate to give the aid of my voice and my vote to arrestit. To know and understand the views of those who sustain it, will enable us to judge of its merits. The public mind will be startled through all the North; it will thrill though all the control the go destrict sheet when the control the go destrict sheet. public mind will be startled through all the North; it will brail through min the country like an electric sheek, that the acquisition strates of the country from a foreign power, necessarily subjects it to the institution of shavery—that the flag of this Union carries that institution with it wherever it floats. This is a new principle in the doctrnes of slavery propagadism. It is not the doctrnes of slavery propagadism. It is not the doctrne of the founders of the republic. Democracy has been called progressive, each style, while this doctrnes have been always to the doctron of the country of the gravely discussed later; that the constitution of the United States, whence a constitution of the United States, whence of excellent over territory which we may acquire, carries the laws of the free, and gives in stead the power of servitude.—
This is a doctrine of a latter day. It is not the doctrine that accreds with the sterling particisism of the founders of our republic-Far from it! While such are the views of aggressive slavery, which are promulgated here, it makes our path of duty as clear as sun-light. We must prevent this tide, by row the man of the state of the

"Truth crushed to earth shall rise again— The eternal years of God are hers— While Error wounded, writhes in pain, And dies amid its worshippers."

While I do not admit the force or justice of these demands, so pertinaciously insisted upon, yet they must be met, er they will be certain to prevail. In my judgment these doctrines are not dene certain to prevail. In my judgment these occurrines are not de-duced from the constitution, but are in derogation of its letter and spirit—that instrument is in all its terms, and in all its seope, an anti-slavery instrument. It was conceived, it was canacted, it was approved by the States of this Union, not in the spirit of extension or creation of slavery, but in a spirit which looked to the

tension or creation of slavery, but in a spirit which looked to the future emancipation of the slave in this country. It looked not to the extension of the institution, but to the time when this anomory in our system of government should case to exist.

I do not propose to follow gentlemen who have discussed this point at length, nor do I propose to detain the Senate with the views and opinions which I entertain, and which I have drawn from the origination of the state from the constitution, and which have brought my mind to a dif-ferent conclusion. It is necessary, however, that I state briefly my views; that I state the points without attempting to elaborate I deny then utterly and entirely this new doctrine which has been presented to us, that the consultation of the United States nas eeen presented to us, that the constitution of the United States contains within its provisions a power to extend and establish over territory new free, the institution of slavery. If I understand the argument upon which it is based, it is simply this: that these territories are the property of the people of the United States, that ritories are the property of the people of the United States, and that as the constitution recognized the institution of slavery at its adoption, it therefore authorizes the institution in those territories which belong to the United States, and in which those territories which belong to the United States, and in which the people of the United States may wish to reside with their slaves. The constitution does recognize slavery as existing, but it does not create er establish it. Article 1, section 2, says

"Representatives and direct taxes shall be approficed among the several States which may be included within the Unona according to their respective numbers, which shall be determined by adding to the whole number of free persons, including those borned to service for a term of years, said excluding Indiana not taxed, three-fifths of all other persons."

This surely is not establishing slavery by the constitutionmakes slaves a basis of representation and taxation. But in another place the constitution declares. Art. 4, sec 2.

"No person held to service or labor in one State by the lane thereof, escaping into another, shall in consequence of any law or regulation therein, be discharged from soch service or labor, but shall be delivered up on claim of the party to whom such service or labor may be due."

From these extracts it would seem to be perfectly clear, that From these extracts it would seem to be personal star, it is the constitution does not create or establish—it only recognizes a class of persons held to service in the States by "the laws thereof." not by virtue of the constitution. That clause, when fairly of," not by virtue of the constitution. That clause, when fairly construed, is only an inhibition upon the free States, that they construed, is only an inhibition upon the tree States, that they shall not pass laws to prevent the owners of slaves from reclaiming them. The argument that slavery is recognized by the constitution is used as equivolent to establishing. The laws of the State do that, not the constitution. It is a State institution resting on the local law of the State, without the aid, without the support, without the maintenance of the constitution in any way websterser. Yet in the face of all this, it is contended and extensive them. Yet in the face of all this, it is contended and attempt whatever. Yet in the face of all this, it is contended and attempted to be proven by metaphysical reasoning, that the constitution extends beyond the States in which slavery is extablished; that it carries it into free territories, and guarantees it there. Can this be so—and if so, where will the preverend? If the institution is one which has its foundation in the constitution, and not in the law of the States, where is the limit to its extension? What is the next step in the application of the argument? After you have overrun your territorics, what power can prevent the slave-

helder from coming into the free States with his slaves? If his right is a constitutional one—if he rests his claim there, and is right is a constitutional one—Il he rests has claim there, and is correct, a State law could not effect him, because it would be in conflict with the constitution. I cannot see how this conclusion can be avoided. If the premises are correct that result must fol-But I neither admit the premises or the conclusion. constitution gives no right, it creates no right, it merely recognizes a right which is created by the laws of the State. That it is a local institution there can be no doubt. The courts of nearly all the States have so decided. Authorities to any extent could be cited—they are familiar to all.

I hold that the constitution in and of itself, by its express language, authorizes Congress to inhibit this institution in our terri-I hold the article in the constitution which gives to Congress the power to make all needful rules and regulations respect-ing its territories, includes full and absolute authority over this whole matter. What is the language of this clause of the consti-

"Congress shall have power to dispose of and make all needful rules and regula ops respecting the territory or other property of the United States."

What is this grant of power?

What is this grant of power?

First. Congress may dispose of its public demain. Second—
it may make all needful rules and regulations respecting the territory, or other property of the United States. To dispose of is to
give, grant, or convey the public lands; but to make all needful
rules and ergulations, implies and carries with it full and ample
power of legislation, in all cases where the constitution does not
otherwise prohibit. There can be no doubt as to the meaning of
the terms rules and regulations. The constitution prescribed by the
them. A law is disc State? The constitution prescribed by the
constitution of the state of the prescribed in the prescribed by the
property of the prop to make rules for the government and regulation of the land and naval forces. It also provides that persons escaping from one State to another shall not be discharged from service in consequence of any law or regulation therein. In this case both terms are used any law or regulation therein. In this case both terms are used—
all needful rules and regulations—to give the wides; soope to the
power. But it is said that the concluding words in the clause
quoted "and other property" limit and confine our legislation over
the territory to the same as property. Grant that our territories
are denominated as property, whether inhabited on not, does not
the same power exist to pass all needful rules and regulations for
its settlement and its intial admission into the Union as & State. The power exist to pass at necessary frequencies for the settlement and its final admission into the Union as a State. The power is clearly within the scope and meaning of that clause. The instory of the manner the the question of the received frequency of the state of the state of the state when the power was found. This grant of power was therefore made, in the forming of the constitution, for the purpose of giving Congress the power. The doings of the convention and the declarations of Mr. Madison are cicar upon this point. But aside from this view of the case, we have the uninterrupted use of the post contribution of the purpose of the constitution of the purpose of the constitution of the purpose of the case, we have the uninterrupted use of the goal of the constitution of the purpose of the power and that power has been declared valid by the Supreme Court. I Peter's Rep., 543, Chief Justice Marshal says:

"Whatever may be the some where this power is derived, the possession of it is

Whatever may be the source whence this power is derived, the posses

In the 5th Peters', 44, again the court says : "Rules and regulations respecting the territories of the United States necessarily to-clade complete jurisdiction."

It is contained in the bill upon which we are acting. It is contained in the bill upon which we are acting. It com-tinues the laws of Oregon in force for three months after the meet-ing of the legislature. It provides in the territories of California and New Mexico that the legislature power shall not pass any laws on the subject of religion or slavery. Here we use the power in its hradest sense. We inhibit the use or exercise of any power on either of said subjects, and some others. Could there be any doubt still remaining, and it we had no grant of power in the constitution at all, there would yet he another source from which we must gather it. If the constitution were silent, as it is not, yet under that power which can acquire, we could most cer-rative covers. It matters title where you find the power to actainly govern. It matters little where you find the power to acquire; if you do acquire, you must have the power to govern. The quire; if yan do acquire, you must have the power to govern. The first is the major, the second is the minor proposition. It would not be good sense to contend that we have a power to acquire public domain, and yet could not pass needli rules and regula-tions for its government. The case when started is its own bear argument. The sovereignty to acquire mass and the second to the second property of acquire mass and the second to the second property of the second property of the second to the second property of the second property of the second content of the second property of the second property of the content of the second property of the second property of the content of the second property of the second property of the content of the second property of the with the one that we have no power to govern our territories. It with the one that we have no power to govern our territories. It is "too late" at the noon of the nine centh century to deny that right, or for us to avoid the duty of acting.

Having the power to act what is the responsible duty which I

Having the power to act what is the responsible dury which a feel imposed upon me, for I speak for none other? I is that I should exert all the power which the constitution gives to exclude the institution of slavery from our territories now free, because it is a social, moral, and political evil. That such is its character,

needs no argument to prove. They are conceded facts-supported by the declarations and admonitions of the best and wisest men of

### " Io thoughts that breath and words that burn.

I would resist the introduction of that institution in justice to a I would resist the introduction of that institution in justice to a superior race of men—ene who are capable of a higher state of social and political refinement. I would institute such governments as are best calculated to advance the true interests of our own Cancassian race, and not degrade the dignity of labor by fastening upon it the incubus of slavery. I would resist the locause I would not invoice or ase the name of democracy to strike down, as with the iron maco of a desport, the principles of social equality and freedom. I would not probable the the minds or persons of the contract of the c ness from the contaminating sweat of the slave. Sir, my course is a plain one, and clear from all doubt. Our position is unquestionable. We stand in defence of free soil and resist aggressive Sir, my course tionable. We stand in defence of free soil and resist aggressive slavery. And we demand enactments for the protection of free savery. And we define a soil against this aggression. We will not disturb that institution, but we will stand in defence of the freedom of our soil as right in principle and beneficial to free white labor in all parts of our com-

I have expressed the hope that we might have met upon a com-I have expressed the hope that we might have net upon a common ground upon the settlement of this question—a question which has agriated so much the public mind—and more did I hope for when I listened to the patriotic breathings of the message of the President on this subject; when I listened to the language lie used in his message, when he submitted the treaty of peace with Mexico to us, and called our attention to this unatter now before us for our ultimate decision. When I heard that language read by us for our ultimate decision. When I heard that language the Secretary, and recalled the history of the events to had invited our attention, I had hoped that the spirit of the fathers of the republic had not altogether departed, that the language of the declaration of independence had not become obsolete. I had here decreated or independence and not become obsolete. I had hoped that we would come up and, in the language of the President, in a spirit of forbearance and of patriotism, have settled the question in a way which would have secured the approbation of

the country

Allow me to read from the President's message :

"In organizing cormants over the settlemes, fingular with such ext alculat-ges to every potton of our I mon, I mode that a part of consession, conclusion und componen in your debie-auton, as which the cancel consession, conclusion and componen in your debie-auton, as which the cancel conserved and peptiestate the larmony and amon of the States. We should never forget that the summ of con-fidencied States we stablished and comments by kindless (Book, and by the control tols, suffering, danges, and tumophs of all on part, and lus been the ever augment-ing sources of our should greaters and of all now theselons.

This is the ground upon which we should have met and decided Ams is the ground upon which we should have met and decided the question. It is in this spirit of liberal and elevated patriotism that I had hoped that this question would have been settled, and that it would not have been merged in a mere question of power or of local or sectional character. I had hoped that we would have been guided by the lights of experience.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi .- I would ask the Senator, if he Mine, is now ready, or has at any time been ready to the Missouri compromise line being extended until it terminates in the

Mr. HAMLIN .- I answer frankly, no. Because the spirit of MI. HAMMIN.—I answer transity, no. Decause the spatt of the Missouri compromise was not the spirit which marked the wisdom of the framers of the constitution. I would not vote to extend an arbitrary line which permits the extension of the insti-tution of slavery over a portion of the continent.

Mr. CLAYTON.—Did not the Senator vote for the Missonri compromise upon the annexation of Texas?

Mr. HAMLIN .- I did not.

Mr. CLAYTON .- He voted for the annexation of Texas-did he not ?

M. HAMLIN.—I did not vote for the resolutions for the annex ation of Texas which passed the House, nor did! I vote for the Missouri compromise contained in the resolutions. A Senator from Himos, [Mr. Dorutakas], then a member of the House, often Himos, [Mr. Dorutakas], then a member of the House, often House, in the same of the senator from Missouri [Mr. Bersolutions after it was incorporated into it. The resolutions came to the Senate, and the distinguished Senator from Missouri [Mr. BENTON] offered another and distinct resolution to necomplish the annexation of Texas. Mr. H. said such was his recollection, and he would inquire of the Senator of Missouri whether he offered the resolution? M. HAMLIN .- I did not vote for the resolutions for the annex

Mr. BENTON .- It is of very little importance who offered the

Mr. HAMLIN .- Yes, it is of very little importance who offered it. All knew that it originated with that distinguished Senator; for that resolution I voted; and deeply is it to be regretted that the annexation bad not been accomplished as that resolution provided. The treasure of the country would have been saved and the lives of our citizens preserved. We should have had no Mexican war. I did not then vote for the Missouri compromise, and I would not vote for it to-day. I would vote for no arbitrary

line, even if it took the southern boundary of New Mexico and California, running to the ceast of the Pacific. I would vote for neight fixed line, whether upon a direct parallel of lutitude or winding, because it would lead to the very difficulties which Mr. 1820, alluded to with so much force, when he said that it would create sectional parties—that it would strike upon the car "I beca fire-held at night." But this line of 362-30, running to the Pacific has other and insuperable objections besides those already named. The superiority of our race and political institution, with the events of the past, teach is, with merring certainty, that one go. of slavery, when it shall become a part of our Union. You establish it on a section of country over which free labor cannot pass. That will be the inevitable result of such a line. Can it be doubted that such is the design.

Mr. CLAYTON.—The Senator speaks of compromise, will be tell us what compromise he alludes to?

Mr. HAMLIN .- I am coming to that, and should have done so if I had not been diverted by these interrogatories. In the lan-guage of the President's message, I would have our deliberations consummated in "that spirit of concession, conciliation and com promise, in which the constitution was framed." have no difficulty in the settlement of this question. What is the history of the times cotemporaneous with the formation of that instrument? The constitution was adopted by the convention, September 17, 1787. Virginia, in a spirit of wisdom and patriotism worthy of her ancient fume, had coded to the general government all her lands lying northwest of the Ohio river, and being all the lands lying within the limits of the Union. On the thirteenth day of July, 1787, and while the convention was in session to form a of July, 1642, and while the convention was in session to lorm a constitution, Yingmia entered into a compact with the States, and established what is known as the ordinance of '87, forever excluding slavery from all that country. Nay, it went forther it abolished slavery there and made it free soil. I think, too, that the history of these times will satisfy all, that this noble and patrithe misory of these times will satisfy an, that this notice and patri-otic act was designed to aid in the formation of the constitution by acting upon the convention. In the same spirit of restriction, too, did that convention authorize Congress to inhibit the importation of slaves into the United States after the year 1808. the history of those times—this is the spirit of conciliation and compromise that mark those days; let us adopt it now and our work is done. We need not go so far in the rule which we will adopt the provided of the conciliation of the continuous control of the continuous control of the continuous control of the control work is done. We need not go so far in the rule which we will adopt. The ordinance was an act of abolish. I would not abolish slavery in the States, and so help me God I will not abolish fred-dom in out territories. Let us have nothing of abolision enter Northor South, nor fix lines which shall divide a country without regard to its character or construction—which shall create seeout regard to its entractor of construction—which similar technological configuration of the configuration. If free, solet it remain, and so let it be preserved. If shave territory, so then let it entrained without our action. When we look at former acquisitions, it would seem that the South should not object to this manner of compromise. From the South should not object to this manner of compromise. From our former acquisitions, seven slave Nates having. From the Union, and three more off the Nation with the State has only added for to be carved out of the State has only been added from these acquisitions. Justice to the North demands this course. Here is compromise upon which all cau meet, and no ewhite cannot create these sectional divisions which all must deprecate. If I have a desire in my heart above all others, it is that this vesed question shall be settled—that it shall be taken from the wortex of political conflicts and the people quieted. Abhere to the ancient landmarks, conform to the settled usage of the country, and such will be the happy result. So much upon our power and duty to act. The bill before us is objectionable in its provisions, as well as in the manner in which it is presented. It comes in a trangular

be the happy result. So much upon our power, and such will the happy result. So much upon our power, and duty to act. The bill before us is objectionable in its provisions, as well as in the manner in which it is presented. It cemes in a transgular shape with Oregon as the base, and Calcing the control of the fort is side into which it is a state of the control of

Mr. CLAYTON .- If the Senator will allow me, it may not be inappropriate to make an explanatition upon this point concerning which an inquiry has been made. Section 12 provides that the laws now existing in the territory of Oregon shall remain as they are until three months after the first meeting of the legislature of the territory. The Schator from Maine understands, of course, I take it for granted that is his doctrine, that the legislature of Oretake it for granted that is his destrine, that the legislature of Urgon will have the power upon the subject of slavery. Gentlemen who argue as he does, argue that the territorial legislature has full power over this subject. Now take the sixth section in conceins with the 12th. The sixth section provides that the legislature power of the territory shall extend to all rightful subjects of legislation, consistent with the constitution and he provisions of this net. The gentleman holds that the legislature can consistent by with the provisions of the constitution, legislate con the subject of slavery. If it can do this, it will do it. If it cannot that of slavery. If it can do this, it wall do it. If it cannot do this consistently with the constitution, it ought not to do it. Now, rake the 12th and sixth sections together, and the whole will be I think, plain to the mind of any one. I do not se how gentlemen, advocating the opinions which are advocated by the gentleman from Maine can object to this provision of the bill.

Mr. HAMLIN .- From the explanation which the honorable chairman of the committee has given, I apprehend that I did not mis-understand the scope and meaning of the 12th section of this bill, and if the gentleman had listened to the conclusion which I drew from that section, taken in connection with the 6th, he would have from that section, takes in connection with the 6th, he would have had no occasion to interrupt me. I repeat, tien, that the com-promise of this bill is one which concedes that the fundamental law now existing in the territory of Oregon, shall remain in force for three months, and for only three months. That is the only concession which I can find B m the bill, and if for that I is to be taken as a compromise bill, why then let it be called such. The 2Ph section concedes that the laws of the territory shall remain in force for three months after the territorial government bill have met. They will then cases and the no longer in concession the have met. They will then cease and he no longer in orec, the territorial government shall see fit to re-enact them, and send them here for the approval of Congress. Now, if it were intended them here for the approval of the laws of the territory? Why was the law regarding the exclusion of slavery not permitted to remain in force until the territorial legislature should see fit to change it? Sir, it is not worth the name of compromise. This is the fundamental objection. It repeals all the laws of the territory after three months, and the 17th section provides that—

"All laws passed by the Legislative Assembly shall be submitted to the Co of the United States, and if disapproved, shall be null and of no effect."

Thus making the legislative acts of Oregon depend on our ap

Thus making the legislative acts of Oregon depend on our approval or disapproval. It is not then literally true, that this bill concedes the free principle to Oregon for only three months, after which it must depend upon action here. So I anderstand it.

There is to my mind another and must peculiar feature in this bil, rendering it must inconsistent in its character. It creates for Oregon a territorial government, and gives it a legislature elected by the meanle thereof. It is a government in which the meanle by the people thereof. It is a government in which the people participate. Every-

"Free white male inhabitant above the age of twenty-nne years, who shall have been a resident of said territory at the time of the passage of this act, shall be entitled to vote at the first election."

to vote at the interestion."

And it gives the legislature power over all rightful subjects of legislation. In California and New Mexico this bill deprives the people of all power, and of any participation in the goverament over them. It creates an odious oligarchy over that people of the most objectionable kind. It sets up a government, not with the consent and participation of the people, but rather in defiance of their just rights.

"Sp. 30. The highlatte power of sail periors shall used Congress shall observe the princip. In separal as the governous, excentry, and judges of the sixten account, who, or anaposity of them, shall have power to just any law for the administration of judges and currently, which shall not be reported to the set, or acconstruction of the set of the sail to the set of the administration or exceeding shall be supposed upon the property of the transfer of religion or exceeding shavily, and no tax shall be unjusted upon the property of the United States, and, if the approximation is the sail be substituted to the Congress of the United States, and, if the approximation is all and void.

What good reason there may be for entrusting full power and w nat good reason there may be for entrusting ton power and sovereignty to the people of Oregon, while you wholly deny it of California. I do not understand. Why adopt one system for Oregon, and another for California? Is it said that the people of California. and another for California? Is it said that the people of California re not yet suited to participate in a free government or in the ometaments of laws? If such even were the fact, why wholly exclude them from all rights? But Senators know, that at this day there are some five or sax thousand American citizens there, and they are ruthlessly oxcluded. Is their capacity for free government to be mistrusted? Is it not rather from the fact that they would set up a free government doed, that they are departed of all power? I know there is a mixed population in California, and so it is in Oregon, but the same limitations and restrictions which apply in one case can be applied in the other. The right of voting has been confined in Oregon to the 'free white inhabitants." The same limitation may apply to California. No sound distinction can be drawn in these cases, yet a republican gomanians. Are same maniation may apply to Chillorian. No sound distinction can be drawn in these cases, yet a republican go-vernment is established in one case, and an obgarely in the others. These people were but a bent time since the subjects of a foreign power, and sound policy would dictate that we should not set up a despotism over them. Is it not better to authorize our own

people to participate in this government, and allow the free white castilian race the same power? I sit not sound policy as well as correct in principle? Will it not fraternize them with our people and our own government? On the other hand, without power in the local laws by which they are governed, will they not be almost our Chion, and unfirsternal to our people? It must not be forgotten that all have which would be passed in California as in Oregon, would be subject to the approval or disapproval by Congress. This system is wholly repugnant to our form of governed to the companion of the provided people to participate in this government, and allow the free white governments. Be it so. It is not the form of later years. If it were the application should be uniform, not applied to the free white population of one territory and not to another.

white population of one territory and not to another. The settlement of the question of slavery by this bill, it is said, to be determined by the Supreme Court. I think, if that be the case, this is the first instance in the bistory of legislation where a question purely of a political character has been transferred to the judiciary. It is avoiding what necessarily belowes to us to determine. Is this the part of wisdom, or manly dignity and firmness, to avoid the settlement of a question which is political, and which belongs to us I think not. We are told that the Summit of the settlement is the settlement of the set and which belongs to us? I think not We are told that the Su-preme Court of the United States can determine whether sla-very will exist there or not, if this bill shall pass. That by the very will exist there or not, if this bill shall pass. That by the 22d section of this bill, a right to appeal is granted from the Supreme Court of the territories to the Supreme Court of the United States. Suppose it to be so. What would the right of appeal be worth practically? Suppose slatery steals in there, as it will, how ear the slave avail timeel of this right of appeal? Who is to aid him in the first instance, to obtain his writ of haebus corpus. on which to try the question of his right to freedom? And if he should ge that process and take the first step, how could he appeal? Who would be his surery; and at the distance of three housend miles from Washington, by what means could be reach the court? This right of appeal, if it existed by law, could have no practical effect whatever. It leaves all unsettled in fact, while no practical effect whatever. It haves all unsettled in fact, while two lines in a law we may pass, by simply inhibiting the unstitution, will settle all. But, sir, the bill in fact, does not grant even a right to appeal in a case of this kind. Though the right of appeal in certain cases is granted, it does not include this

The bill establishes " a Supreme Court, district court, and probate courts." The bill also provides that

"Writs of error and appeals from the final decisions of said Supreme Court shall be allowed, and may be taken to the Supreme Judocal Court of the United States, in the same manner, and under the same regulations as from the Circuit Court of the United States."

To understand correctly what are the rights of appeal granted To understand correctly what are the rights of appeal granted in this bill, it becomes necessary to see in what cases, and in what manner writs of error and appeals are granted from the circuit courts, to the Supreme Judicial Court of the United States. Then we can learn whether there is in fact, any thing practical in this right of appeal. This bill does not determine in words, how appeals shall be had, but refers to existing laws—granting writs of error and appeals. What are those happeals from the chapter 20, repulsate the manner of the chapter 20, repulsate the chapter 30, repulsate the chapter 30, repulsate the chapter Supreme Judicial Court of the United States. It says-

"Final judgments and decrees, in civil actions and soits in equity, in circuit courts benegled those be one read (10), or resolved those from the corts of the exert Stotes, and from a "direct beneather of the exert Stotes, and from a "direct beneather of the pure execute the sounder of the edition the stone and that is seen as a "direct beneather of the edition" of the edition o

The right of appeal, then, is given only when the property ex-ceeds in value two thousand dollars. As that would be greater than the value of a person who might sue out his writ of habeas corpus, no right of appeal would exist.

But, on this poin, we are not left to the doubtful or uncertain construction of a statute, although the language of that statute is to my mind clear and positive. The Supreme Judicial Court of the United States, our highest legal tribunal, have settled and subdivided that question. and officer States, our inglust right tradual; next season and adjudented that question. It meets this case precisely. The case to which I refer is one involving the right of appeal from the decision of the circuit court to the Suprome Court, on the process of the habeas corpus. Barry vs. Mercein et al. 5 Howard's Rep. 103. This case was decided at January term, 1847.

"This court has no appellate power in a case where the occurit court refused to crant a writ of librors, compa, payed for typ a tabler to take its instant child out of "The judgment of a crent court can be reve and only where the matter and dispute exceeds the sum or value of two through offers. It must have a known and creation value, which can be proved and checladed in the offining bounds itselfactor of the offers o

So the court has decided this case. No right of appeal could lie. If it did, every man knows that it would be atterly useless as a practical matter. It would not and could not reach the case of

a practical inatter. It would not and could not reach the case of a person hold to service—no right of trial can exist beyond the limits of the territory by this bill.

How, then, stands the case? You establish a government in California, a governor and secretary are appointed by the President, with three judges who are not removable, and to them you commit the legislative power of the territory; you deny them the power to legislate at all upon the subjects of religion or slavery! even if every person in the territory should desire to exclude the latter. You deprive the people of

the right to act at all—you refuse to act here, and one-half of the Senate nearly denying the power. Is not this witually building up a wall around that territory, which will and must serve as a protection to this institution? What is the origin of slavery? It is never created by law; it steals into territory, and then claims a law to recognize it. The Senator from Virginia [Mr. Masos]

"There never was a law in Virginia creating slavery; and I doubt if there has been such a law in any of the southern States."

Such is the fact. There is no law creating it. It exists by brate force, in the violation of the rights of every thing human or divine. We rew we called upon, could we justify it, if we thus aux-divine, the could be cou

steal in, as it has into all the territories, and then claiming vested rights, it would demand and obtain laws securing and recognizing it. Such has been its history in every State where it now exists; such will be the result here, if this bill shall become a laws.

The Senator from Delaware gave us the extent of the area included in those territories north of the parallel of 36° 30°. I was unable to get the precise amount, but if I am right in my recollection, the aggregate of territory north of that parallel was about one million five hundred thousand square index.

Mr. CLAYTON.—One million six hundred thousand square miles.

Mr. HAMLIN.—And that south of that parallel the area was about three hundred thousand square miles.

Mr. CLAYTON.—Two hundred and sixty-two thousand square miles.

miles.

Mr. HAMLIN.—Now, sir, we have not the Missouri compromise before us for discussion. What was the object of the gentlemen, then, in presenting that table of figures? Why did not the gentleman, in connection with it, present other facts and figures for our consideration? Why did he not state that in our free States the population is about twelve millions, and in the slave States made regist millions? Why did he not present another element—the relative proportion of territory between the free and the slave States alvain an area affects about a did millions that the free States having an area slaves and a laif millions in the slave State sharing an area slaves and the slave State of the control of the slave state of the slave state of the slave state of the slave state. The slave slave state sharing an area slaves a slave state on the control of the slave slave slaves are sufficient from Delaware go still farther into the statistical view of the subject, and show that

even with every inch of territory down to the southern limit of California and New Mexico, somewhere between the years eight to the southern the sou

Mr. CLAYTON.—The bonorable Senator has so repeatedly called upon me that I must again answer. As chairman of the committee, I stated its proceedings. I stated that the Missurr compronise was proposed—that northern gentlemen voted against it, and southern gentlemen for it, and that if adopted the effect of it would have been to give one million six hundred thousand square miles to the North, and two hundred and sixty-two thousand square miles to the South.

Mr. HAMLIN.—I do not object to the gentleman's statement, but I merely expressed the opinion that it would be much more pertinent to the occasion, had it been accompanied by some of those interesting statistics to which I alluded.

Some of those interesting statistics to which I antheen.

There are other objections to the bill to which I would gladly allude, but I have already detained the Senate longer than I designed or anticipated. Looking to the lights of other three bearings which there have been been as the state of the stat

Mr. CLARKE moved that the Senate adjourn.

And the yeas and nays having been demanded by Mr. Berrien-

It was determined in the affirmative, as follows:

YEAS—More, Alon, Balge, Albadam, Bell Baran, Bratlare, Clarke, Carwa, Bors, of Masse, Bayan, Brt, Belge, Fitgerial, Green, Hile, Handison, of Mars, Dayan, Brt, Belge, Fitgerial, Green, Libe, Handison, of Mar, Johnson, of Law, Margaran, Michele, Mades, Nike, Patre, Fitger, Frenzer, Haderwood, Yahan, Walker, Welvoir, and Valere—29. Peter, Prince, Prince, Patre, Patre, Patre, Callium, Cityan, Itawa, of Mass, Dekimoo, Daglys, Felds, Foot, Handison, Royato, Johnson, of Ga., King, Levin, Mason, Selastian, Sturyon, Turney, and Yules—20.

Whereupon,

The Scnate adjourned.

# MONDAY, JULY 24, 1848.

## REPORT FROM THE TREASURY DEPARTMENT.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate, a report of the Secretary of the Treasury, made in compliance with a resolution of the Senate, showing the number of officers employed in and about the Custom House in the city of Baltimore; which was seed.

#### SIGNING OF BILLS, ETC.

The VICE PRESIDENT signed the following enrolled hills and enrolled resolutions:

An act to authorize the sale of a part of public reservation numbered thirteen, in the city of Washington, and for other purposes

An act for the relief of the beirs of Moses White,

An act for the relief of Alfred White,

An act for the relief of the Society for the reformation of Juvenile delinquents in the rity of New York.

An act to confirm the location, and to grant a quarter section of public lands for the county site of Hilk-korough, State of Floring.

An act for the rebel of the Central Rad Road and Banking Company of Georgia.

An act for the rebet of the Central Ran Road and banking Company of Georgia.

An act to revive an act authorizing certain soldiers in the late war [with Great Britain] to surrender the bounty lands drawn by them, and to locate others in hen thereof.

A resolution to sanction an agreement made between the Wyandotts and Delawares, for the purchase of certain land by the former of the latter tribe of Indians.

A resolution relinquishing to the State of Missouri, certain trophics of Domphan's victorious expedition.

A resolution extending the time for the erection of certain light houses.

#### HOUSE BILLS REFERRED.

The bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of eertain surviving wildows of officers and soldiers of the revolutionary army was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Commutee on Pensions. The bill from the House of Representatives, making appropria-

The bill from the House of Representatives, making appropriations for the civil and diplomatic expenses of government for the year ending the 30th day of June, 1849, and for other purposes, was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Finance.

# MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

Mr. President: The House of Representatives have passed a resolution: that the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House on adjourn their respective Monday, the 7th day of August next, at 12 o'elock mendian; "in which they request the concurrence of the Senate.

#### SIGNING OF BILLS.

The VICE PRESIDENT signed the enrolled bill to make Bangor a port of entry for ships or vessels coming from and beyond the Cape of Good Hope.

And an act to authorize the Secretary of the Treasury to make a compromise and settlement with the securities of Francis D. Newcomh, late surveyor general of the State of Louisiana.

And they were delivered to the Committee to be presented to the President of the United States.

## THE COMPROMISE BILL.

The Senate resumed as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill to establish the territorial governments of Oregon, California, and New Mexico.

Mr. CLARKE—From my peculiar position in relation to this matter, having been placed upon the committee, from which this bill is reported to the Senate, I feel it to be my duty to explain the course which I have taken, and which I shall take, in regard to the bill. On the morning when the Senate was about to cleat the select committee, to whom was to be referred the subject of considering the territorial bills for Oregon, New Mexico, and Culturnia, an honorable Senator on this sade the claumber, expressed a wish that I should be a member of that committee. I decimed for the reason that my health would not enable me to undergo the fatigue, and also, because I proposed about that time to return home to my family, hoping that a slight relaxation strength. I referred the Senator to my colleague as more able than myself, and better fitted to execute the responsible duties which must devolve upon the committee. I foresaw the difficulties which surrounded the subject, and desterd mass sinceredly to avoid them. In-mediately I went to the other em of the capital to see a friend, and whilst there the committee was elected. When I returned, I understood I had been chosen a member of the committee, and was about declaiming to serve, when several Senators disastated me

from that purpose. I consented, much to my own discomfort, to remain and serve as a member of the committee, thereby lesing the opportunity of going home and a server of the committee of the committee of the committee of the Aristotic. It is fill represented by the committee of the Aristotic of the committee of the Aristotic of the committee of the committee of the committee, but there were two of the committee who did not give their assent to the provisions of the bill—I mean the Senator from Kentueky and myself. The other members gave their assent unqualifiedly to the bill as reported, and to all its provisions. Since that time an additional provision by way of codiel, or addendam has been reported, without my knowledge or consent, limiting the time for the action of the territorial government of Oregon to three months, and providing that if the law regarding slavery shall not be confirmed within three months after the first meeting of the territorial legislature, the act shall be null and void. I have never given my assent to such a provision—indeed I did not know it was to be reported. The conclusions was arrived at after the full was exhibited to the whole committee, and all be near larger the such as a review of the such as the reported. The conclusions was arrived at after the full was exhibited to the whole committee, and had been reported, and from such a provision I dissent enterity.

the first meeting of the territorial legislature, the act shall be null and wild. I have never given my assent to such a provision—indeed I did not know it was to be reported. The conclusion was arrived at after the bill was exhibited to the whole committee, and had been reported, and from such a provision I dissent entirely.

I shall be as brief as possible, sir, in what I have to say, for at this period of the session it would not be courseous towards the such as the provision I dissent entirely.

I shall be as brief as possible, sir, in what I have to say, for at this period of the session it would not be courseous towards the such as the provision I dissent entirely.

I shall be a brief as possible, sir, in what I have to say, for at this period of the session it would not be course towards the such as the

Mr. President, under a full knowledge of these views of my constituents, so exactly corresponding with my own, I have acted in regard to this bill, which has been completed by the ardnous and unremitting labor of the committee. Before it was reported I moved an amendment, which I shall move again to-day, and that amendment uses to recognize the fact, that by the existing laws of Mexico slavery has been abolished in the provinces or States of New Mexico and California. The amendment which I proposed, and which did not prevail in the committee, I then stated was, in my judgment; indispansible, and committee, I then stated was, in my judgment; indispansible, and the committee of the stated was, in my judgment; indispansible, and of desent I subsequently reduced to writing and filed with the minutes of the committee. I considered that it was a point, all but conceded, that the territory of Oregon was to be unequivocally and positively a free territory, not being within the probable range of the application of slave labor according to the principles of population, soil, and climate alluded to by the Scantor from South Carolina. It is senceded, I believe, that this matter, if uncontrolled, is, in a great measure, governed by geographical position; and no man of the committee believed

that Oregon was within that range, which would naturally make that Oregon was summant sugge, which would naturally make it a slave territory. Besides, the provisional government of that territory has enacted a law for its exclusion, which law should ne-wor be repealed by territorial legislation, without the sesent and approval of Congress. Well, it was proposed to put this matter beyond equivocation of outh, but in casting my eye over the bill, beyone equiversally tours, but in disting my eye ever the oil, it perceive in the sixth section that, after granting the power of legislation to the territorial government, there is this expression: "All the laws passed by the fegislative assembly shall be submitted to the Congress of the United States, and, if disapproved, shall be null and vold." That leaves the question in regard to slavery he null and void." That leaves the question in regard to slavery in the territory of Oregon precisely where I did not understand it was to be placed. There is this vital and essential difficulty in regard to this portion of the bill. If the legislative assembly of Oregon, at any time after the passage of this bill, whether it be at the time contemplated by the bill, or at any other time, shall repeal the law for the exclusion of slavery as passed by the provisional understanding the bill of the bill. If the bill of t tatives for their approval, and the Senate should be in favor of approving the law, and the other branch of Congress should be against it, then the act is not set aside, but continues and remains a law in full force. In order to meet this difficulty and to make the bill accord with my views. I propose to add at the end of the sixth section the following :

"Provided, however, That no low repealing the act of the provisional government said territory, prohibiting slavery or involuntary servitude therein, shall be valid till the same shell be approved by Coagess."

My object is this: that no repeal of a law so essential to a large portion of this country, so important to the North under the pre-sent excited state of public feeling, was contemplated by the com-mittee, nor should take place without it should first receive the decided assent of Congress. With this provision I shall consider that the territory of Oregon will, in all probability, be a free terthat the verticity is origon with, in an promonity, so a rice ser-ritory, and I also believe that she is entitled, clearly and usequi-vocally, to the power of excluding slavery and involuntary servi-tude from within her limits. I believe it was the understanding of all parties been, at the time of the admission of Texas, that Ore-gon should be a free State as an offset against the increase of slave power which would necessarily follow from the annexation of Tex-Per The service of the second to take within ner limits all one potential process. The South, and much has been lost to the free soil of the North. But by the proviso I offer, we shall secure what we have remaining, and with this amendment, in my judgment, the bill relating to Oregon will be satisfactory to all parties.

Mr. President, when the committee were dehating—and they

had much deliberation in regard to these territories of New Mex ico and California—there was some doubt expressed as to the fact whether, by the laws of Mexico, slavery had been utterly and ab-solutely abolished within all the States of that republic. It was solutely sholished within all the States of that republic. It was the generally received opinion that such was the case, but I have heretofore understood the Senator from Texas, [Mr. Housrox,] to assert that such was not the fact. In order that it may no longer be matter of doubt, but settled beyond controversy. I have prepared an amendment declaratory of the fact, which I will offer for the controversor of the senate—meanwhile I ask that the world he consideration of the Senate—meanwhile I ask that the world he consideration of the Senate—republic of Mexico may be word he consideration. be read by the Secretary.

or read by the Secretary.

"The President of the United States of Mexico to the inhabitants of the republic:
Kakow se, that desiring to dutinguish the nancernary of our independence, thus year
Kakow set, that the simple of the state of the therefore of the executations person of edit project to decree —

1st. Slavery is also lished from the republic.

2d. All those who until this day, have been considered as slaves, are consequently

20. At those with own unit on any, make norm consistence is size, an a consequency
3.4. When the situation of the public Treatry, will permit it, the projection of the
slaves shall be indemnified in the manner which the laws may presente.
To link John Marka Buckstona.
Mexico, 1630 September, 1630.
Mexico, 1630 September, 1630.
The special state of the Mexicon government published by authority.
It appears that in 1829 slavery was abolished in the republic
of Mexico by the then President Guerero, by this decree issued by
the in written of the autreactioner movemers, wasted in him but the him in virtue of the extraordinary powers vested in him by the constitution. In 1830 or 1831, the government of President Guerero was subverted and overthrown and he was put to death—and rero, was subverted and overthrown and he was put to death—and in the zeal of revolutionary exottement, the Congress of Mexico passed a general law, repealing all the laws that had been must or decree a useauch by Guerce by, wittue of those extraordinary powers, and in this general repeal of all laws, this decree for the abilition of slavery was included. But in the year 1837, the Congress of the republic of Mexico passed an act baring direct reference to this resulting the concett of the subject, which I will also sak the Secretary to read:

"AN ACT ABOLISING SLAVERY IN THE REPUBLIC."

1. Slavery without any exceptions, is, and shall remain abolished the republic

e cathe resultie.

ART. 2. The owners of slaves manumited by this act, or by the decree of 15th September, 1829, Attall be indemnified for the interest, &c.—providing only for appears).

Dated April, 5th, 1837.

30TH CONG .- IST SESSION-No. 117.

Well, sir, such being the undisputed fact in regard to the Mexioan law, in order that it may be placed beyond doubt or ques-tion in future, I propose to offer to the Senate the following amendment, to be added at the end of the word slavery in the 26th section of the hill-and also to a similar section relating to New Mexico, viz:

"It being understood and declared that at the time of the cession of the termion. New Mexico and Chiforias, by Me — to the United States, diverge and in oil or pervisible Sub-Bear abolinched by he have of Mexico, and did not exact therein; and the permitted of the sub-Bear about the sub-Bear and remain in full force, and termion guitatrees shall be formed by Congrey, with submirt to change or repeal the sam

There was another question, which was considered of vital im profere was abouter question, winch was considered of vital im-proper of the profession of the profes territories, as the citizons of the free States would have to energy with them their property. It was proposed in the coamittee and this question should be left open. The view taken by my friend, the Senator from Vermont, and by myself was, that if such was the law, another great constitutional question was involved, that if a slave should be carried to California, and if by the laws which exist there, he could not he held in servitule, whether he would be carried to the state of t and a many judgment, some mixture deconsucration of the case. We have a to enable the appeal to be carried up with an extension and deliberation. It was the opinion of the Senator from Vermont and others, in which I also concurred, that it would be a superformant of the senator from the senator for an appear to the supreme court; the unneutry would only say in its practical operation. The committee were divided more upon this question than upon any one of so vital importance; and as much doubt continued to rest upon the soliject, I propose to offer the following amendment as an addition to the 24th section of the bill. It can surely do no harm-but may be productive of good:

"And in all cases involving the personal liberty of a citizen of said territory, or any person residing therein, an appeal from the decision of the supreme court of said territory, or any judge thereof, shall be allowed directly to the Supreme Court of the United States."

My object, Mr. President, in effering these several amendments has been to endeavor to make the bill, if it shall become a law, as

unobjectionable as possible.

We cannot shut our eyes to the deep interest that is felt and the strong manifestations made in regard to these important subjects Why, sir, the charges that have been made against us have been rung, with all their changes, from the broad Atlantic to the Alle ghanies; and the reverberations will reach us from the more distant parts of our land. Clouds of discontent are gathering in the Tant parts of our land. Clouds of discontent are gathering in the political horizon—they are lowering upon us—and, without invoking the spirit of prophecy, we may easily anticipate the mis-chies that will ensue if these questions are not antisfactorily settled. He who can throw oil upon these troubled waters will have done much good. I am not vain enough to suppose that office to be mine. I anticipate no such distinction; but if my lumble aid to the suppose that office to be mine. I anticipate no such distinction; but if my lumble aid. shall conceive that my labors have not been in vain.

The Legislature of the State of Rhode Island has passed strong

The Legislature of the State of Rhode Island has passed strong resolutions upon this subject, and under the instructions contained in those resolutions I am not permitted to vote for the enactment of any law that will, upon any contingency, authorize the existence of slavery in the territory of Oregon; neither am I at liberty. once of sharely the territory of oregin; heather an 1 at morey to establish slavery in any territory now free. With regard to the instructions of a legislature, I do not consider them as obliging a Senator to surrender his own well-conceived and fixed opinions. I feel, that in receiving an appointment to the high honor of a seat in this body, a degree of confidence has been reposed in my discretion and judgment, and that I may be permitted to exercise cretion and judgment, that it may be permitted to exercise that judgment in sincerity and in honesty. Indeed, sir, I would shrink from no responsibility. But as the bill is now framed I cannot and will not give it my support, and may not, even if the amendments I have proposed are adopted—atthough they would certainly make the bill much less objectionable than I believe it to

I have said that I did not assent to the provisions of this bill as reported by the committee, and that I reserved to myself the right to propose such amendments as I deemed advisable, and to act upon its passage as my judgment should direct. This was dis-tinctly stated in the committee-room, and I supposed my objec-tions had been entered upon the minutes by the Senator from Inditions. Having assertained from hims that the energy profess must sale and become used, at the suggestion. I drew by a declaration myself, and gave the paper to the honorable Sentor, to be attached to the minutes of the committee, then in his possession. If he has the minutes of the proceedings, I will be obliged to the Sentor to allow that paper to be read.

Mr. BRIGHT .- The journal of the committee is in the com-mittee-room. I will send for it.

Mr. DICKINSON .- What the Senator from Rhode Island speaks of was all subsequent, I presume, to the action of the committee upon the bill. I heard nothing of any protest.

Mr. CLARKE.—It was perhaps not properly a protest. It was a statement of my objections and of the reasons that would govern my action upon the bill.

Mr. BRIGHT .- I did not understand the Senator as dissenting from the report, but on the contrary as consenting it should be made. Since the committee reported the bill, the Senator handed me the paper, which I have in my hand, and which can be read if he desires it.

Mr. BERRIEN .- I have no desire to oppose the wishes of the Senator from Rhode Island in regard to this matter, but the prac tice of referring to what took place in the committee is wrong. I beg Senators to consider how much it will embarrass the inter course of members of committees if the proceedings of such committees shall be liable at any time to be brought into public discussion. I merely make the suggestion to the Senator from Rhode Island, without meaning to make any objection to his having the

Mr. CLARKE.—I am not disposed to violate the centresies or the rules of the Senate. My only object is, that the matter should stand before the Senate and the country precisely as it oc-

Mr. FOOTE .- I certainly think the Senator from Rhode Island has a perfect right to make such explanation as he may think proper, and if the reading of the paper is necessary, in justice to the Senator, it should be read.

Mr. CLARKE.—I thank the Senator from Mississippi for the kindness of his remarks. It matters but little, however, whether the paper is read or not. I will state what occurred when the committee was called together for the last time, so far as an explanation is proper.

Mr. BERRIEN .--I hope the Senator does not understand me as making an objection.

Mr. CLARKE.—No, sir; but a suggestion from the Senator from Georgia has much weight with me. When the committee was in session for the last time, and the bill, as matured, was presented for approval. I offered an amendment, which I deemed important; which amendment was rejected by the committee. I then stated that I would not dissent from the report, but that I held myself free from any committal in regard to the bill—free to offer any amendment, and to vote upon it as I should think proper. That, instructed by the legislature of my State, and knowing the feelings of my constituents, which did not differ from my own, the reclings of my consumers, which du not duer from my own, I could not give it my support. The Senator from Kentucky also dissented from the provisions of the bill, and made the same explicit reservations; and it was to that Senator and to myself that the chairman [Mr. CLAYFON] referred, when he said that one of the committee from the South and one from the North dissented the committee from the South and one from the North dissentant from the bill as reported. I supposed these declarations had been from the bill as reported. I suppose these declarations had been so done, on the same day I drew up the paper, which has been re-ferred to, declaring unequivocally my position, and the reasons that would govern my course, as stated to the committee, and as now detailed to the Senate. Having done this, I considered my position undoubted, and my right as a Senator unquestioned and unquestionable. I did desire that the paper should be read, as its purport is plain and distinct—particularly that I might place myself here and elsewhere in a true position as to my action upon so important a measure. I have not the physical strength to proceed further

Mr. MILLER.—Mr. President: I desire briefly to express my objections to the bill now under consideration. It provides for the establishment of territorial governments in Oregon, California, and New Mexico. The reasons which induced the committee to unite these three territories in one law have not been given to the unite these three territories in one law have not been given to the Senate. And why Oregon is found in this strange connection, I, do not understand. Her history, her position, the character of her people, and their claim upon us for government, are so entire-ly different and disconnected from the two other territories, that I cannot comprehend the propriety of this alliance.

cannot comprehend the propriety of this alliance.

Oregon is a native territorry—her people are our people—with
the same manners, customs, and language. She had claims for
territorial government long before these foreign provinces were
conquered by our arms. California and New Mexico became
ours on the 4th of July. Our title to them is not one mouth old.
Many of the people inhabiting these territories do not know at
this hour that they are citizens of the United States. The chathis hour that they are citizens of the United States. The character of these people, and their feelings and disposition towards this government are unknown to us. We have but little information as to their local laws or customs, and of the nature of the soil and productions of the country. All the information we have is that we have acquired so many thousand square miles of territory, and that this territory is inhabited by mixed races of men, propring from the proof Sonairal down to the angulateration. ranging from the proud Spaniard down to the unadulterated negro; ranging from the protein they are the relative to the control of the protein they but in what proportion they exist there, and what are their relative rights, we are altogether ignorant. Now, fir, I am not praced, nor do think the country is prepared, to say what kind of political conaxion we ought to form with these strange people. Are we now propared to say that the government proposed in this

bill, which places all the power, legislative, judicial, and executive, in the hands of the same men—multing the three branches of government in one body, is the proper form of government to run in up these people in the ways of our republican institutions? If I understand this bill, it authorizes the judges and governor, with the aid of the secretary, to make the laws, and then to construe and execute them. This is a strange form of government. It is neither republic and, themorematic, nor theoremic. It is neither republic and, the more than the properties of the oracy—three in one. Now, although I am ready and willing to give to Oregon a proper government, I am not prepared to legis-late for California and New Mexico. And one objection which I have to this bill is that it compels me to legislate for all or none. Is this fair and just towards Oregon? Why should her fate be united with that of these Mexican territories? I Why should the free-horn be associated with the base-born? Why should the free-territory of Oregon be joined with the conquered retriety of New Mexico? Should the native citizens of Oregon be told that the laws and constitution of their configuration of the commission of the commissi part of the compromise? Is it the understanding of the committee that the claims of these territories upon us for government are so equal that they must stand or fall together? If so, let the friends of Oregon look to it, or another session of Congress may pass, and Oregon still left without a government. I did suppose that the friends of Oregon had found out, by this time, that there was some danger in these Mexican connexions. There was, I bean understanding between Texas and Oregon that they should come in the Union together-one as slave territory, they should come in the Union together—one as stave territory, and the other as free; but owing to some inattention, by not being put in the same bill, Texas gut in first, and then shut too the door, and poor Oregon has been knocking for entrance ever since; and even now, she is told that she cannot come in nnless she brings with her two other Mexican slave territories.

But this bill is presented to us as a measure of compromise, and in that point of view I desire to examine it. We all understand In that point of view I desire to examine it. We all understain that the subject of compromise is slavery in the territories named-In looking into the bill, I find that the subject is named or referred to in but two sections of the act. In the 12th section, (which rea to in this two sections of the act. In the 1210 section, (which relates to Origon.) it is declared that the existing laws now in force in that territory, under the authority of the provisional government, established by the people thereof, shall continue to be valid and operative therein until three months after the meeting of the first territorial legislature.

One of the laws now in force in the territory is known to be a law prohibiting slavery in Oregon. This law, then, is continued in force for three menths after the meeting of the first legislature, and then it will be abrogated by virtue of this act of Congress. This repeal will leave Oregon without any law against slavery. It is true, there is nothing in our bill prohibitory of the right in the territorial legislature to pass other laws upon the subject of slavery, and they may do so subject to the sanction of Congress; but useful they do plass and new laws, there will be no hay, congress-sional or territorial, against the admission of slavery into Oregon. The 26th section of the bill, which provides for the legislative power in Collornia, expressly prohibits the territorial legislature from passing any law 'respecting slaves.' The 33d section con-tains the same provision with regard to New Mexico. Thus by the prohibitions of this bill New Mexico and California are pro-hibited from passing any laws against the introduction of slavery into those torritories. Neither is there any reservation in the bill that Congress may hereafter act directly upon that subject.

These provisions in the bill do, in my opinion, amount to an abandonment of the power of Congress over the subject of slavery in California and New Mexico. We say in effect, that we will in California and New Mexico. We say in effect, that we will not interfere by law, although we have the power to do so, to pro-hibit slavery, but let it go there and sustain itself, if it can, under the constitution. In order to understand what it is that consti-tutes the compromise, proposed by this bill, it will be necessary to look at the matter in difference between us at the time we referred the subject in dispute to the committee. The question that divided the Senate, and upon which we spent many days and much cloquence, was this; whether Congress had the constitutional er to prohibit slavery in a territory of the United States?

There was also a collateral question, whether, if we had the twer, it would be expedient to exercise it in the case before us. power, it would be expedient to exercise it in the case before us. Upon the question of power, I entertained no doubt then, not now, and I am well satisfied that a majority of this Senate were of the and I am well satisfied that a majority of this Senate were of the had brequently exercised the power in the territories, both North had requently exercised the power in the Senate thought best to raise a committee upon this point. I then thought, and still think, there was nothing in the question which we could or ought to compromise, unless we were prepared to yield up or to qualify a God this question, the question of our power to problid slavery in the territories! The chairman of the committee says that this line title still me to the committee of Connection to testificate the constitute of the superstance of the superstance to the chairman of the committee says that this line title a slitter is the committee of the constitute of the constint of the constitute of the constitute of the constitute of the c

the territories? The chairman of the committee says that this hill neither affirms nor denies the power of Congress to legislate upon the subject, but leaves the question to be decided by the Sapreme Court. If this be so, we are just where we started. The committee have done nothing towards settling the question in dispute, they merely refer it to the decision of another tribunal. But is the bill of that negative character represented by the chairman. I think not. Upon looking into its provisions, I find that it does

exercise the power of Congress upon the subject of slavery. It exercise the power of Congress upon the subject of slavery. It is exercises the power in Oregon by abbrogating the law now in force there against slavery, in three months after the meeting of the first territorial legislature. It also exercises the power in New Mexico and California, by declaring that no laws shall be passed in those territories "respecting slavery;" thus imbitton of passed in those territories "respecting slavery," this inhibition of course problibis all laws against slavery. Thus this bill instead of neither affirming or denying the power of Congress over the question of slavery in these territories, does assert it openly and efficiently, and what is more objectionable, it exercises the power cleanty, and what is more objectionanic, it exercises the power all in one direction, and that is in favor of slavery. All laws now in force in Oregon are to be abrogated. While California and New Mexico are deprived of all power to pass faws respecting slavery, still more, if this bill is to be considered as a compromise, Congress is also to refrain from passing any law prohibitory of slavery in either of those territories, for it cannot hereafter be insisted upon with any fairness, that after agreeing to leave slavery unrestricted in these territories that Congress may hereafter pass laws to prolibit it there.

This bill, then, in my opinion, removes all restraint upon slavery in the territories. There will be no law, Congressional or territo-rial against its admission. It is left to stand or fall upon the constitution, without any law of Congress upon the subject. This I understand to be the compromise. This is making a clean field for slavery to commence operations in. There is no visible wall nor hedge to shut it out, and if it does not take possession of the field, and plant itself there with deep root and wide spreading branches, it will be because the field is not worth the cultivation. But it is said that slavery cannot be established in the territory

without a positive law to electric the And that to be design to law in the territory legalizing it, it cannot go there.

I have no doubt of the correctness of this principle of law. We all admit that the right of the master in his slave depends entirely upon positive law. Yet we all know that slavery has and will make its way into countries where there is no positive haw, prohibiting it. I doubt whether slavery was introduced in the first in-stance into the Atlantic States—then being colonies—by positive law. It came in at first by stealth, then by permission. It came in because there was no law to forbid its entrance; once in it soon made friends, and created an interest and an influence too powermade friends, and created an interest and an influence too power-ing to be discarded. Then came a demand for laws to protect and regulate it. Slavery is here—here without our consent—imposed upon us by England; east upon our shores by Yankee ships, but it must now be protected and regulated. being here it must now be protected and regulated. Thus said the slave States at the time of the formation of the consultation, and thus it was that African slavery commencing by imposition and without law, fastened itself upon the country and grew up until it is now dignified by the name of an institution, and sanctioned by the constitution itself. This non-interference policy is the true policy for the extension of slavery. "Let us slone," so the true policy for the extension of slavery. "Let us slone," so the true rotable Senator from South Carolina, [Mr. Califoux, h] pass no laws against allower in the territories, is all that the South asks of the constitution of the control of the control of the control of the laws against allower in the territories, is all that the South asks of the control of the that particular. Slavery is, as far as Congress is concerned, let alone, and being left to work its own way into these territories. Mone, and helps jett to work its own way into those territories, it will continue to be let alone until timilar locures intell homean our control. Again, if we pass no prohibitory law, slavery will go there under some color of right. It is insisted by distinguished Senators from the South—gentlemen, too, in whose opin on apon this subject the people of the South will have great consumption the proposed of the South will have great consumption the proposed of the South will have great consumptions. fidence—that the constitution of the United States carries slavery into the territories, and will protect it there provided there be no law to interfere. Now, sir, although I believe that there is no such power in the constitution, yet others as sincerely believe that the power does exist. They will act upon their opinion, and will carpower does exist. They will act upon their opinion, and will carry slaves into California and New Mexico, smeerely believing that their property will be protected by the constitution. Upon the property will be protected by the constitution to the constitution of the constitution of the constitution. der these circumstances that constitutional question which you reserve for settlement by this bill, will become more of a practical reserve for settlement by this bill, will become note in a price will than a legal question. Large interests, individually repeated and safety of the Union will be threatened. Under these difficulties the supreme court may say that as Congress had not ex-roised its constitutional power to prohibit slavery in the territory, and did by way of compromise agree to refrain from passing any and did by way of compromise agree to terian from passing any laws "respecting slavery," and slavory being now in the territory to a large amount, we, the judges of the Supreme Court, being also divided upon this constitutional question, will also compromise the matter by letting slavery remain until Congress shall pass a law to abolish it.

onstitutional powers as well as the Senate. If it will endanger constitutional powers as well as the Senate. If it will endanger the Union to prohibit slavery in a territory where it does not ex-ist, how much greater the danger to expel it after it has taken ist, now much greater the danger to experit airer it has make root there. Again, I am altogether opposit more of a politi-cal than a judicial question. It has for years entered into the ge-neral politics of the country. It has influenced our national poli-cy, both in war and in peace. From the foundation of the go-vernment to this hour; it has been treated as a legislative question. vernment to the sour it has been treated as a registrate value of the there in Cotanson where the States and the people are all represented, this vexed question has, through all the excitements of former times, been regulated and controlled. To send this question now into the Spirene Court for final adjustment, will be send there all the spirene court for final adjustment, will be send there all the spirene court for final adjustment, will be send there all sectional. Presidential elections, Executive judicial appointments, sectional interests, State rights and State honor will all follow the question into your courts like so many suitors and advocates.

to your courts like so many suitors and advocates.

In the course of the debate this slavery question has frequently been called a firebrand, the incendiary's torch, thrown into these halls to destroy our free institutions. But what do you propose to do with this explosive matter? To extinguish it? No, sir, you only propose to smother it for a time, to wrap it up in this law, and then send it down to the chamber below, into the Supreme Cert, and there, among the deeper foundations of our political court of the control of the court o legislative department, by a majority of the representatives of the States and people of this Union, fairly and honeafly to settle the question. This will be after the example of the fathers of the question. This will be after the example of the fathers of the republic, and in accordance with the spirt of our republican institutions. Let that majority decide the question either way, and I will be content. Neither of 0 in bleive that a decision of this question either way by Congress will endanger the Union. The people of this country have been too long in the habit of submitting to laws passed by their own representatives, to resort to millification now. They may seek to after or represent to disminst in order to represent the properties of starve or freeze to death on the mountains or in the valleys of Or-gon and Calismina? This alarm about the safety of the Union is a laiso alarm, and I trust that the Senate of the United States will not be frightened by it, out of its constitutional right to con-trol this subject. But, sir, suppose we should send this question into the Supreme Court, and that that tribunal should, in a particu-lar case, where the constitutional point, would their judg-ment finally settle the matter, or even quiet future agitation? If ment inally settle the emailer, or even quiet intue agration 1 if could believe in or even hope that this exciting question could be finally. But led by the Supreme Court I would cheerfully void this bill. But it must be remembered that decision under this bill would be founded simply upon the constitutional right to hold slaves in the territory. There would be no act of Congress prohibiting or admitting slavery in the case submitted, and therefore let the opinion of the court be either one way or the other upon the constitution, the great question which we have been agitating here for montis—the question as to the power of Congress to pass laws on the subject, would not be touched by the decision of the court.

That question will then come back to us-it will return with increased urgency and necessity. It will come with more force on account of the decision of the court upon the constitutional question; for that decision may be founded upon the fact that Congress not only neglected to pass laws, but agreed, as we do in this compromise law, not to pass any upon the subject; and we will then be told that slavery is admitted or rejected, as the judgment of the court may be, because Congress neglected to exercise its constitutional power upon the question. It would be but a sad result of this reference to the judiciary, if it should turn out after all that the only power in this government which can control slavery in the territories is the legislative power. But again, are Senain the territories is the legislative power. But again, are sena-tors from the North or from the South prepared to say that the decision of the Supreme Court will be acquiesced in by both sec-tions of the Union as a final settlement of this exciting subject. those of the Concas as a non-settlement of uns exerting subject. I have heard no opinion from either side upon this point. We all know, from past experience, that political questions of this character are not settled by one or two opinions of the court. The Supreme Court, with John Marshall at its head, solemnly decided Supreme Court, with John Marshall at its head, solemnly decaded that the charter of the bank of the United States was constitutional; yet General Jackson, and the party which he led, held otherwase; and claiming the right to construct the constitution as they understood it, overruled the judgment of the court, and substituted public opinion in its stead. Such I fear will be the late of any pinion of the court which may be obtained under this law. plus opinion will sustain it in one section of the Unioa, and public opinion will condemn it in the other; and the fight will go on until

Congress shall settle the question by positive liew. Permit me also to call the attention of the Senate to what, in my opinion, will be the practical operations of this law in the territory upon the respective rights of snater and slave. Under this system of non interforence on the part of Congress, and by virtue of the new doctrine, that the constitution protects slavery in the of the new doctrine that the constitution protects slavery in the territories, slaves will go there. It will be found scattered all over the country wherever slave labor may be profitably employed. It will soon become, in favorable locations, a dominating interest, exercising a controlling influence—the master believing that he has a constitutional right to this kind of property, while the slave, accustomed from his birth to obey, and ignorant of the privilege reserved to him by this bill, to contest the right of his master.—The master's rights will be maintained as strictly and as rigorously as in any slave State in the Union. Under circumfrequency, which is the slave of the property of the p of habeas corpus, or by an action for false imprisonment, try the right of his master to hold him in bondage. To suppose that the right of his master to hold him in bondage. To suppose that the slawe would or could commence these proceedings for himself, is idle. He has not the power to do so, even if he had the knowledge of his rights. He will be found on some plantation, remote from any of the means of redress. He cannot take the first step to. wards freedom without the consent of his master. His person under the control of another, without means, without counsel, and without opportunity, how can the slave commence and carry on to judicial decision, a law-suit against his master? Nay! will he be permitted to do so, when that decision may destroy the right of every master in the territory? But suppose a slave, impelled by the love of freedom, should escape the vigilance of his master and find his way to one of our judges appointed under this law, and find his way to one of our judges appointed under this law, and apply to him for a writ a gainst his marter. It is probable that the judge to whom the application is made, will be himself a slaveholder, and caterrain the opinion expressed here by several southern Senators, that the constitution of the United States carries and protects slavery in the territory. Will the judge grant the writ, or even listen to the appeal of a slave against what he considers the constitutional right of the master? But suppose the judge be willing to hear the case, it will not be his duty to have the writ. This, under some rules of practice he calter to be badden to the property of the writer of the property of the property of the property of the writer. by the court, must be done by an attorney or clerk, and the negro will be turned over to them for his writ; and whether they will undertake the case or not may depend upon the state of public opinion in the territory at the time. We all know its controlling influence both for or against the slave, depends upon the latitude in which he may be found. It will be a long while before a slave, in New Mexico, will be able to settle, in his own person, the great constitutional question referred by us for final adjustment in the Supreme Court of the United States. But it is said that the slave will be aided in this matter—that he will have friends at the North—that the Senator from New Hampshire, [Mr. treenes at the worth—that the Senator from Now Hampshire, [Mr-HALE.] will interest bimself in the matter—that Indas will be raused, and agents sent down to New Mexico to institute legal proceedings in behalf of the slaves, and in this way we shall soon have the question settled.

have the question settled.

Now, sir, suppose the Senator from New Hampshire, or any other gentleman equally ardent in the cause, should undertake this mission of philainthropy, what would be the course of proceeding and what the result? He would go, I trust, in the spirit of law and order—he would go there for the purpose of instituting legal proceedings against the master, for the purpose of testing the con-stitutionality of slavery in the territory. When he gets there, he stitutionality of slavery in the territory. When he gets there, he will proclaim his object. He tells every master that his mission is to free all their slaves—not by force, but by law, to be adminis-tered through the courts, and then asks the aid of local judges, marshals, attorneys, and witnesses in his suit. Now, whether he will get such aid or not, or whether he will be permitted even to remain there himself, will depend upon the amount of slave inter-est and slave influence to be destroyed and encountered by his mission. We have one or two examples of a mission of this kind, sent from a free State to try in a slave State the constitutional

question of slavery

In the year 1820, the State of South Carolina passed a law of a highly penal character against free colored persons being found on board of my vessel arriving at a port in that State. This law, I believe went so far as to doom the unfortunate colored sail-

to us soon into slavery.

Under this law, a colored seaman, and a freeman by the laws of Massachusetts, being found in the port of Charleston on board a ship belonging to citizens of Massachusetts, was seized and imprisoned, and was dealt with according to the provisions of this law.

The State of Massachusetts complained of this law. The State of Massachusetts complained of this law. She be-lieved it to be unconstitutional, and for the purpose of settling that question, she sent one of her most respectable citizens, with power and authority, to bring a suit in one of the courts of South Carolina, for the purpose of testing the constitutionality of South Carolina, for the purpose of testing the constitutionality of this law. What was the result of this message? If I recollect rightly, the agent's first difficulty was, that he could not find a lawver in Charleston who would bring the suit. And then under-taking to bring it himself, he found all avenues to the courts shut against him, and the result was, that instead of liberating the co-lored seaman by due course of law, he found himself imprisoned by a mob, and from which he only escaped with life and limb by being forcibly carried off by some friendly citizens of Charles.

I have not referred to this ease by way of ceasure to the citi zens of Charleston. I have no doubt they acted under the belief that the law was constitutional and necessary to their peace and safety. My sole object is to show how utterly futile it is to rely upon a mission sent from a free State into a slave community to settle through the coorts of the latter a constitutional question affecting the salety or the legality of slave proporty. If the enlightened and law-abiding citizens of the city of Charleston would not permit a question of this kind even to be heard by their courts, what may we expect from the slave owners of New Mexico? Let us also look at the operation of this law upon the master.

Let us also look at the operation of this law upon the master, the, as I have already said, will earry his slaves into the territory under the opinion that his property will be protected by the canstitution of the United States. Honestly entertaining that opinion, and there being no law in the territory against slavery, he will feel himself authorized to resist every attempt on the part of individuals to interfere with his slaves. At the same time, others who are opposed to slavery, and who embrace the other side of the question, will deny his right to hold slaves in the territory. They will tell the slaves that they are free, and may entice them to leave their masters. Thus beest and harrassed in the enjoyment of what he considers his property, the slave owner will resist this interference, and there being no law in the territory "re-

specting slavery," he will make law for himself, and riots and lynch-law will settle the question. Now do southern gentlemen desire to see this state of things, are they willing to place slave property, the most timid of all kind of property, in a position where it may be constantly interfered with, and where there is no law to regulate the relative rights of master and slave? My opinion is, that this no-law-policy will not be satisfactory either to the South or to the North. The South will complain that while you permit slavery to po there, you give no law for its protection. The North will complain that you permit it to go there at all when you have

the authority to prevent it.

The interest of both sections of the Union require that, before their people emigrate to these territories they should know whether they are to be slave or free territories. Do not send them there under false hopes, some with the opinion that slavery is to be pro-teeted, and others that it is to be abolished. Do not send slavery there for lligation, do not permit it to grow and spread itself there, under the hope that you may hereatter be able to root it out by the process of a law suit. No, sir, let us meet this question now openly and fairly, and declare at the very beginning of the these territorial governments, whether they are to grow up into free or slave States. It is due to the people of the territories. It

include to our citizens who may desire for settle there, not this question be settled before it becomes involved with the rights of private property, or entangled by local interests.

It was upon consideration of this character, that our fathers enacted in advance, the great ordinance of 1787 for the future government of territories and States which, in the course of time might arise out of the north-west territory. They enacted this law while that country was yet a wilderness. They impressed it upon the virgin soil, and made it an original element of social and the state of the st upon the virgin soil, and made it an original relation to social and political existence. They did not wait until slavery should go there and then gradicate it. They did inquire whether slavery could exist without positive law. They knew that the country was free then, and they determined to keep it so by positive law. against slavery, and who, now, can look upon those five magnifi-cent States, as free as they are great, which have grown up under the influence of this organic law of freedom, and not admire the wisdom of that forethought, which prompted the ordinance of eighty-seven.

The Schator from Mississippi [Mr. Foote] asks me whether I am in favor of any compromise upon this subject. I will answer the Senator's inquiry with pleasure. I am not in favor of any compromise that will edmit slavery into either of these territories; and

will now proceed to state my reasons for this position.

Slavery has now no legal existence in either of these territories. It is, in fact, prohibited in them all. They were free when we acquired our title to them. Oregon is free by nature and by the act of its inhabitants. New Mexico and California are free by the law of Mexico abolishing slavery. Now, if I agree by way of compromise to admit slavery into these territories, or into either of them, I would, by my vote, establish slavery in a country where them. I would by my vote, establish savely in a country when it had no legal existence before. This responsibility I cannot assume. In taking this position I make no war upon the institutions of slavery as they exist by law in the slave States. I interfere or shavery as they exist by law in the shave shakes. I interfere with no man's rights, private or political; I take things as they are, leaving slavery where it has the right to be, and prohibiting it where it has no right to go. Neither can I perceive that there is any thing unfair towards the South in this. The North has here is any thing untair towards the South in this. The North has here-tofore, on all occasions where the territory acquired was, at the time of its acquisition, subject to slavery, yielded the question to the South. Louisians, Florida, and Texas were all slave territo-ries when we nequired them. We of the North did not insist that you should abolish slavery there. We objected to the annexation of Texas as unconstitutional and impolitic, but we did not insist, with threats of disunion, that slavery should be abolished in Texas as a condition to her admission into the Union.

Since the acquisition of Texas we have acquired other Mexican territories, in which slavery is abolished. Now, all we ask of you is, to extend the same fair rule to them that we extended to Texis, to extend the same lair rule to them that we extended to I ex-as. They are free now; let them remain so. If we consent that acquired slave territory shall remain subject to slavery, ought not you to agree that acquired free territory should continue free?— What more fair and equitable compromise than that can you ex-To demand more on your part, would be asking for slavery a double advantage, and to grant more on our part, would be to surrender the rights of free labor in all the territories. While we of the North are willing to maintain the existing rights of slavery, as they exist in the States or in the territories, we cannot, we will not nid in creating for that institution new rights and new advantages. We cannot consent to acquire territory in order to increase the area of slavery. We will not conquer free countries

and then subject them to slave institutions.

There is another reason why I would not compromise the ques-tion upon these territories. It is this: New Mexico and Califorwere acquired by war; that war arose out of the annexation of Texas; and Texas was annexed for the avowed purpose of ex-tending and strengthening slavery. I am not willing to crown this unholy purpose with a double success, hy extending slavery, am not only into Texas, but also over New Mexico and California. I am not willing that the triumph of our arms in this war shall be the sole triumph of slavery. There are also other Mexican proam not writing that the triumpin of our arms in this war shall be the sole triumpin of slavery. There are also other Mexican pro-vinces lying south of New Mexico. They are well adapted to slave laber; they would make several slave States to be annexed to our Union, and if we now admit that the two provinces already conquered may be subjected to slavery, we shall hold out an induorment to a portion of our people for the subjugation of all the others.

ment to a portion of the proper to our stamples of the history of another war with Mexico; I would rather increase them. I am opposed to the admission of slavery into these territories for another reason. I believe its establishment there would not only be unjust to their present free inhabitants, but would also retard the growth, oripple the energies, and mar the future prosperity of the people and of the States which are destined hereafter to occupy that country. In forming the first government for our territes, soon to be States on the Pacific, we should look at the countries, the states on the Pacific, we should look at the countries that the states of the Pacific we have the pac try upon which that government is to operate, we should look at the country upon which that government is to operate, we should look at its climate, its soil, its relative position to, and its future influence upon the Union. With these enlarged views, it is our duty as well as our interest to give to this school of infant communities such laws and institutions as will best train them up into great and prosperous States—States where labor may receive its best reward, enterprize achieve its richest triumph, and liberty enjoy its We are not engaged in making a government surest protection. for South Carolina or Massachusetts, but for Oregon. for South Carolina or Massachusetts, but for Oregon. We are not making laws for the regulation and protection of the domestic institutions, or for the local rights of property in the several States of this Union, North or South. No, sir, we are legislating for our far distant provinces on the Pacific, and the question is what laws and institutions will best promote their prosperity, for their pros-perity will best secure the strength and glovy of the whole repub-lie. I deay that the slave States are alone interested in the intro-duction of slavery hat the slave States are alone interested in the intro-duction of slavery hat the slave slaver will be profitable there? If very should go that the slave labor will be profitable there? If the prosperity and wealth of that country are to be promoted by it? Then considering it as amere question of political economy, we of the North are as much interested in sending slavery then as you of the South; so on the contrary, if slavery will be a hin-derance to the growth and prosperity of these territories, you of the South are as much interested to keep it out as we of the North, unless you put yourselves upon the narrow and selfish ground, that you have the article to sell, and wish to get rid of it by inflicting it upon some raw and inexperienced territor

by millicting it upon some raw and inexperienced territory.

During this debete, Senators from the South have said that slavery was entailed help the southern States by England, introduced there while they were yet colonies, when they had not the power to reisst the imposition. They even charged our Yankee prieses to reisst with aiding and abstem the creed imother country in the perpetuation of the missery. We have also been told that it slavery the secures, that the North aided in inflicting that curses upon the Sharge, I desire to call the view of the properties of the state of the this charge to the striking similarity between the position of our colonies on the Pacific, and that of the old colonies of England on the Atlantic. The distance of each from the mother country is about the same, except ours have the advantage in the inland means of communication. Both are new countries about to be settled by freemen and improved by human labor. Both about to be impressed for the first time by the controlling influences of law and civil institutions, which will mould the character and fix the destiny of people and States. Now, sir, if it be true that England committed an enormous outrage upon the rights of humanity and upon the best interests of her subjects by sending slavery into her colonies, how can we excuse ourselves for following her perner countes, above can we excluse our severs at rotinowing uch pieces on the Pa-nicious example, by sending slavery into our provinces on the Pa-citic? England may plead as her excusse for justification, size has now the opinions of the age and the domained for labor has been country. But in this aineteenth century, full face the country and free labor, what a pology can we offer to Christendom for plant. free labor, what apology can we offer to Christendom for planting slavery upon the distant shores of the Pacific. There is no Christian man now living who would like to acknowledge his descent, face him who by force placed the first African slave upon our Atlantic shore, and who I ask is willing to take the responsibility of planting the first seeds of slavery in the free soil of Oregon and California upon the Pacific.

The proposition to establish slavery in countries conquered by

our arms, and free at the time of the conquest is a a new and startling proposition. This is far beyond and above the old question concerning the rights of slavery as they existed old question concerning the rights of stavery as they when our constitution was formed, or as they now exist under State institutions. With these vested rights, I have the disposition to interfere. I would der State institutions. With these vested rights, I have neither the power nor the disposition to interfere. I would protect them where they are as I would protect any other rights secured by law and constitution. But this new franchise which is now claimed for slavery, has neither law nor practice to support it. If we grant this privilege, we make ourselves the authors of slavery in these conquered provinces; we give to slavery new existence, new energy and a new country. We carry slavery new existence, new energy and a new country. We carry an exotic into fresh soil and with our own hands plant it there, cause it to grow and spread until its dark branches shall overshadow the land. To do this is to become propagators and cultivators of slavery in foreign lands, and only to be limited in our operations by the extent of the continent. To extend slavery by conquest of free soil is to make slavery one of the highest objects of national dominion, and the chief incitement to national progress. It is to place slavery above liberty by seizing upon soil in possession of free labor and converting it to the uses of slave labor. To grant this advantage to slavery will be to invest it with a progressive power, never before acceded to it by any nation, heathen or

I know of no higher political responsibility than that which rests upon legislators, when engaged in making the first laws for a new

and an extensive empire. It is, if I may use the expression, a work of creation, giving form and character where they never existed before, causing the spirit of law and order to move over the solitudes of nature, and converting them into the abode of social and political life. In performing this great work for our extensive territoof nature, and converting them into the aboue of social and points call life. In performing this great work for our extensive territories in the far West, I desire to give them such a government as will best secure to their citizens life, librety, and property, as will best encourage and reward labor, agricultural, commercial, and mechanical, as will best secure domestic tranquility to them, and methods of the secure of the control of the secure whereof the party shall have been duly convicted.'

Mr. PHELPS .- I rise, not to disense the amendment of the Senator from New Hampshire, but as a member of the committee who reported the bill, I feel hound to vindicate the measure; more especially as (if I am to judge from present appearances) I be almost the only representative of that section of the North from which I come, in layor of the bill. It is by no means a pleasant position. It was as a matter of necessity in the first instance that position. It was as a matter of necessity in the first instance that I consented to oct upon the committee, and to my entire regret I have discovered that the result of our deliberations had met with the most decided—the most vehement—I am strongly tempted to say—the most unreasonable opposition, from the northern section of the Union. This subject of slavery, so much discussed here, has indeed excited the deepest alarm in the mind of every man who is attached to the Union. Long before I had the honor of a sent here, the prediction had gone forth, that if the Union were to be discoved it would be theyough the sources of this existance. sent nere, the prevaition has been believed, and a the Union series to be dissolved, it would be through the agency of this agitating question. No reflecting man can regard it with other sentiment than those of alarm. No man who desires the perpetuity of this Union can avoid the strongest anxiety to terminate this excitement and, if possible, to settle this perplexing and dangerous question

As a member of this committee, I felt that I had a duty to dis-As a member of this committee, I left that I had a only to dis-charge to the whole country. I was not placed on that commit-tee for the purpose merely of representing the peculiar sentiments of the people of my own State. I was placed there to discharge a duty—an imperious duty—which I owed to all sections of the country. I was placed there in order to endeavor to bring to an country. I was pasted unter an offord to discontinuous method to the admitted and the agitation of this subject, at least in this chamber. Under these circumstances, I concurred in the bill which has been presented to the Seant as a proposition of peace. And it is now ray object to sustain, if I can, the assertion I made the other day, that on this subject I thought I could vindicate my course to the comtry at large, and to my own immediate consutuents.

The extenses of this committee resulted in a manuer surprising to all. I thus been suid that extremes met here. It is true. The result of our deliberations brought the honorable Senator from South Carolina and my humble self, who may be regarded as representing the extremes of opinion, into concert. It he and I agree, surely I am justified in asking that our proposition may be considered and discussed in all quarters in the spirit of conciliation. But how is this proposition met! To my surprise and my regret, the whole catalogue of approbrious epithets has been exhausted in the attempt to heap out stroking. "Subling," "cowardly" measure! I do not know but the term "dough-face" has been applied to each of its supporters.

Sir, all that the committee ask is a dispassionate and a candidering. If the bill do not commend itself to the sound, deliberate. The conferences of this committee resulted in a manner surpris-

bearing. If the bill do not commend itself to the sound, deliberate, and impartial judgment of the Senate, let it be condemned; we must submit. But I am not disposed to see the bill hunted out of But I am not disposed to see the bill hunted out of the Senate like a wild heast. I am not disposed to be impliented in the ery of "mad dog," in relation to the subject. The com-mittee are entitled to a candid and rational examination of their

What are the objections urged to the measure? The very first proposition, I believe, coming from my honorable friend from Connecticut [Mr. Baldwin] is founded upon the technical objection of proposed to the control of the control of proposed to the control of the con of a misjoinder—the subject, as we havers all know of special demurrer; yes, mere special demurrer. I regret very much, that in the case of a measure of so great importance—involving considerations of such magnitude, alleeting the interests of the whole country, the existence of our institutions and the Union-that we company, the existence of our institutions and the Union—that we are called upon in the outset to argue it on the nice point of a spe-cial demarter. My friend from New Jersey also [Mr. Miller] complains that we have united these several bills. But, ser, is there a single provision in this bill relating to Oregon that upplies to either of the other terrative's? Is there a provision which exto either of the other ferritories? Is there a privision which estends to California that estends to any other territory except New Mexico? Where, then, is the force of the objection that the committee incorporated in one bill provisions for three territories! I can answer the objection in one word. The committee thought it can answer the objection in one word.

The comments are the objection in one words.

The comments are the objection in one words.

The comments are the objection in one words. to avoid the manifestation of mutual distrust by a controversy as to which bill should be acted upon first, to pin together those bills, which were originally separate, and if any gentleman objects to the usion, he can, by simply taking his sessors, in one moner remove the objection, by cutting them apart.

Sir, it was but the other day that we had to meet the objection that a distinction was made between these territories; and the question was asked by an honorable Senator, "how does the peper that you have distinguished between these territories, making

one provision for Oregon and another for California?" The ques-tion is readily answered. I answer it for myself as a member of the committee, in vindication of my own judgment and my own motives as a northern man, hostile to the institution of slavery, and opposed to its further extension. The people of Oregon have already adopted my views and my opinions, in the absolute exclusion of slavery from that territory. Every consideration which bears upon the subject convinces me that they will hold of the bears upon the subject convinces me that they will node of the same opinion. There will be no inducement to carry the institution there. Their condition, soil, climate, productions, and pursuits will forbid it, while the sentiments and preconceived opinions of the people who will emigrate thither will be hostile to it. With New Mexico and California the case is widely different. Those territories are debatable ground. 1, for one, was not will ing to entrust them with the decision of this grave question; and therefore it was that I would leave the matter to territorial legis lation in one case and not in the other. But while we are called upon on the one side to answer the objection, we are met on tha other by the special demurrer of the Senator from Connecticut upon the ground that we have united in one bill separate and independent provisions for each territory which neither control, interlere with, or modify each other.

Mr. BALDWIN .- The Senator from Vermont does not seem to apprehend the point of the objection which I made to the union of those bills. The objection was taken and insisted on the ground that the people of Oregon were entitled to have their bill considered irrespective of any objection which might result from any un-willingness on the part part of members who were favorable to the bill for the organization of the territory of Oregon, to vote for these provisions benefits: to New Moyico and California. I de-sired the bills to be separate, inamunch as it the Senate and House of Representatives could not agree upon the provisions for the or-ganization of all these territories, all would be deprived of govern-ment, Oregon among the rest; whereas, it standing alone, Ore-gon might be supplied with a territorial government.

Mr. PHELPS.—I have no doubt that Oregon is content to stand on her own rights, but I do not see how these rights are affected by having the hill providing for her territorial government connected with another measure providing for the government of another people. It would be in due season to raise this objection when it is ascertained that such difference of opinion exists in the

But the proposition of the committee has encountered objections of more importance than those relating merely to technical forms. The details of the hill have been misrepresented—grossly misrepresented. It has been described to the country as an measure; and I must be permitted to say that it would seem, from the comments bestowed upon the bill here, that gentlemen had derived their ideas of it rather from the perusal of newspaper paragraphs than from an examination of the bill itself.

My purpose in addressing the Senate is, in the first place, to vindicate myself against the charges involved in these misrepresentations; and secondly, to vindicate the bill itself before the Senate and the country. As I have already intimated, the most decided opposition to the measure which has been manifested here has come from my own section of the country. It may be that I stand alone in its vindication, but I trust to be enabled to stand upon my own resources, and shall trust to my own intelligence for my vindication. It is well known that I represent a portion of these States as decidedly hostile to the institution of slavery as any sec-Start as the United Start and Start I professed my decided hostility to the extension of this institution to any portion of this great country under our legislative control, where it does not already exist. I maintain the same position still, and standing upon that position, I concurred in the bill reported by this committee. I will add that I will defy the world with all the ingenuity that can be brought to bear on the subject, and all its demagoguisms to show that I have abandance one particle of the principles heretofore maintained by me on this floor, or one lota of the constitutional power necessary to carry those principles out.

those principles out.

I am not about to enter into a longthened argument as to the effect of this bill. My views have been already expressed on a former occasion, and they have been reiterated by others who agree with me upon the legal principles which form the basis of my opinion as to the effect of the bill. At the same time it is necessary briefly to advert to them in order to show their application to the measure below us. In doing this, I be gentlemen to understand that my remarks are intended to meet northern object the charges have been made, and if in violenting myself it should hap pen that I do not commond the bill to the favorable consideration of southern gentlemen, a law on a set, that understanding tion of southern gentlemen. I have only to say, that understanding my purpose, they must judge for themselves of the force of what I say, and of the propriety of sustaining a measure which I fear

my arguments will not commend much to their layor.

What, then, are the provisions of the bill? What, let me ask In the first place, is the condition of those provinces? That in-quiry has been answered by documents read at the clerk's table this morning. These territories come to us with an absolute, express

abolition of this whole system of African slavery. It was exterminated there totally, absolutely, and forever. Such was the condition of things there when they eame under our dominion, and such, as I maintain, is their condition still. We have all supposed, until we learned to the contrary from the Senator from New Jersey this morning, that by the law of nations—the law of the critical world—the law of equity, and hamanity, and of common contractions of the common contraction of the con ervilized world—the law of equity, and humanity, and of common justice, the municipal regulations of a conquered or ecded territory, so far as they are connected with private rights and the rights of property, remnin in force until changed by the legislation of the conquering power. It requires no argument to establish that proposition. The question, indeed, becomes this: Does the cession of a territory, (or its conquest if you please to use the term,) disorganize and destroy the whole social system? Does it abrogate all civilized association, and is every individual of such a termination of the proposition of the proposition of the company of the proposition of the proposition of the company of the proposition of the proposi gate all civilized association, and is every individual of such a ter-ritory upon occasion of its cession, growing out of conquest or otherwise, turned out of the pale of civilization? Does all secu-rity for life, or liberty, or property cease? I ste title to all pro-perty vacated? Is crime legalized, if such an expression can be used, where there is no law? And can every crime known to the entegory of human depravity be perpetrated without the power to prevent or the power to punish? If not—if society can bold together under a cession, it follows that the ligaments which hold is consider companie, to see much the var observated in a varietie it together, remain in force until they are destroyed by a superior

Mr MILLER .- I hold that they do until altered by the conquering power; and that when you establish a government there, you abrogate the previously existing laws, which are inconsistent with the laws which you establish.

Aft. PHELPS.—Unquestionably. I will not differ with the hornerfuls Senator on that point. So far as the laws of the conquerce, which are extended to the province, come in conflict with praviously existing laws, the latter are abrogated. There is no doubt or that. But the general principle is what I contend for, and I am very happy to find that the Senator from New Jersey concurs with us on that point. We have had several arguments on that subject. I had myself the honor of addressing the Scaate on that very point, and I believe I was fully sustained by the honorable very point, and I believe I was inity sistained by the honorable Senator from Connecticut, [Mr. BaLdwin] who preceded methe honorable Senator from Massachusetts, [Mr. Davis] not now present, and the Senators from Maryland [Mr. Johnson] and New York [Mr. Dix.] and from Maine [Mr. Hamlin.] Indeed, New York [Mr. Dix.] and from Maine [Mr. HAMINN.] Indeed, I believe with respect to this principle thus advanced, there is not, after the explanation of my friend who sits behind me, [Mr. Miz. Lex] a difference of opinion any where. If these laws, then, are to he retained, what is the result? Here is an express law prohibing the institution of slavery, and if it is to remain in force undisturbed by us, I ask honorable Senators, where do they also to die authorities. I believed it to be unnecessary. Authority prente Court of the United States serve to settle this piont.—This position, that the law of the coded or conquered territory remains in force until altered by the conquering or succeeding nower mains in force until altered by the conquering or succeeding power is now, I believe, the received and acknowledged law of the civil-

is now, I believe, the received and acknowledged law of the cirriced world, and is questioned by no competent lawyer.

The next principle upon which I rely is equally well settled, and is, I believe, controvered by nobody—that a slave taken from a community in which the institution is recognized, into a community where it does not exist becomes by the transition, free

Mr. BALDWIN .- The Senator from Georgia, I understand, to deny the position.

Mr. PHELPS.—I did not so understand the Senator from Georgia. He says that the constitution of the United States places this upon a different footing. That position I shall advert to pre-

well thus far, I believe, there has been a concurrence on both sides of the chamber. If I desired a judicial concurrence, I have only to go to Louisiana, the very centre of the slave population, where I find decisions going as far as any to sustain me on this point. The people of Louisiana, deeply interested as they are in this species of property, with every inducement on earth to hias their judgment, through their judicial tribunals concede this doc-trine. I think, then, that I am relieved from the necessity of all argument on this salgeet. Now, after lawing advanced this argument to the Scante, and found myself sustained fully and absolutely by gettlemen on all sides around net, an somewhat surprised whea I am told that this bill carries the institution of slavery his these territories, and pure an eternal problition upon its exclusion these territories, and puls an elernal prombition upon its sexuision there. Upon what principle is such an assertion made? I listened to the arguments of the Senators from New Hampshire and Maino and found that they concurred with mo fully, as they traveled over the road along which I had journeyed, but found to my interastonishment, that when they had come to the conclusion of the whole matter they had, unaccountably to me, shot off in an unexpected direction. How do these gentlemen make out that this bill carries slavery into these territories? Is that the legitimate result of the doctrines which I have stated? How do gentlemen prove that, in earrying out these doctrines to their legitimate conclusion, I have ab\_indoned my former professions, and subjected myself to the charge of having concurred in "a dodging, skulking, evasive bill,

with a cowardly visage?" Sir, if I were to give a definition of a coward in relation to this matter. I should define it to be one who abandons his principles for fear of popular clamor : I should define it to be one who departs from his own convictions, lest some body who does understand the subject, or who does not choose to understand it, might raise a cry of disapprobation in some quarter: I should define it to be one who avails himself of the excitement upon this subject, and through its aid secures election to citize. Thou this support, the part of a political weather-cock, by indicating the shall near whillie in the political wind, trembles at the less indication while popular excitement, and is paralyzed by an opinion which floats to him upon the atmosphere of some bar-room discussion. I know not what other men may think on the subject, but in the

discharge of my duty here, if I thought I could depart one icta from the doctrines which I have advanced, with a view to effect a

discharge of my duty here, if I thought I could depart one iotar from the doctrines which I have advanced, with a view to ellect a decision at the ballot box, my own constituents would, in their deliberate jodgment, administer a rebuke never to be forporten. I know them to well to imagine that they will ever find fault with part of their representatives. I have no bestination in trusting my reputation, my standing, and my political existence, to the deliberate judgment of that people. But I never will jeopardize their integrity or my own, by yielding to a momentary impulse which may mislead them as it has misled others.

To return to the course of argument which I was pursuing. What are the provisions of this bull in relation to Oregon? The people inhabiting that territory from the necessity of the case, have adopted what they call are the course of a return to the course of a return to the course of a return to the return to the return of the provisions of this bull in relation to Oregon? The people inhabiting that territory from the necessity of the case, have adopted what they call we give validity they are subject to our adopted what they call with the course of a return to the course of a return to the current of the provision. Now, these laws exclude the institution of slavery entirely from that territory. But it is said that we have provided for a legislative power which may after them with our consent. How could we do otherwise? But here a plea in abstrement is put in. It is said that we have provided that the laws shall remain inforce for three months after the commencement of the first main in force for three months after the commencement of the first maia in force for three months after the commencement of the first session of that territorial legislature. Suppose they choose to continue those laws in force; they may re-enact them. But, say the gentlemen, you should have provided that the law should remain in force unless roperated. What difference does it make? If we suppose the legislature of that territory competent to re-enact those laws, why they are competent to repeal them, and e converso; if competent to repeal, they are competent to re-enact and I do not know that the difference is very important between providing "Be it enacted, that a certain law passed so and so, shall remain in force until the future action of the legislature?" and providing "Be it enacted that such a law shall be in force no longer, unless it shall be the pleasure of the legislature to continue it "I think the power to do one thing is competent to do the other. It is the it shall be the pleasure of the legislature to continue it "I think the power to do one thing is competent to do the other." It is the most immaterial thing in the world, in my judgment, and before I would hazard the pouce of the country, and jeopardus, these bills on a distinction like that, throwing these territories out of the pro-tection of law, I can only sught that I would select to stuffly myself in some other manner.

in some other manner.

But there is another view of the subject more worthy, in my judgment, of the consideration of the Senate. I approve of this provision, because I know the people of Oregon to be of my way of thinking. Having expressed their views, and believing without doubt or heistation that such would continue to be the views of that people, I was willing to say to them, go on and exclude this institution, as I would if I were among you. I suppose this concession to a people whose opinions have already been expressed on this subject, and whose adherence to them hereafter cannot be doubted, is to regarded as "dodging and skulking" this question. No man from the South has the least expoctation that slavery will ever get into Oregon. Every consideration forbids it. Now, whether the territorial government continue the law is force, aswhether the terrritorial government continue the law in force, according to the plan of this bill by re-enactment, or we leave it as the bill stood when printed, in force until repealed—in either event the matter subject to the matter that the matter event the matter of the matter that the matte destroy a measure upon which, in that committee composed of the ultraisms on this subject, all were so well agreed. I care noth-ing about this restriction of three months, and for the reason which I have mentioned. If they choose to perpetuate the law, I give them my sanction. There is no ground to apprehend that there will be any controversy about it.

will be any controversy about it.

The question now arises with respect to the other territories, New Mexico and California. Why do we put them upon a different footing? The answer is easy. We thought that the people of Oregon could be entrusted with the elective iranchise. But we were not justified in extending that degree of confidence to the population of New Mexico and California. In this point of view, believe that the Senate will concur with me. An pleasure conpopulation of New Augston and Camerina and the Point of the Company of the Compan question then was, how we could organize a government for these territories without involving ourselves in this question of slavery. After having rejected the idea of an elective legislature there, it

was proposed to organize a government on what is called the primitive plan—consisting of a governor and judges, appointed by the President of the United States. As a northern man, I objected htmitter plant-consisting of a governor and judges, appendict on that proposition without a restriction upon the introduction of the United States. As a northern man, I objected to that proposition without a restriction upon the introduction of the plant of the proposition of the proposition of the proposition of the power addition, the plant is made and control to seek lodgings in the proposition of the previous configuration of the proposition of the previous configuration of the previous configuration of my object on A prohibition was included in the bill restraining these temporary governments from enacting laws on this subject of slavery, and now, to my utter astonishment, I am told that I have concurred in a measure which perpetuates slavery in those countries. However, the proposition of the p in lorce, the institution would be effectually excluded. My idea of the matter is simply this: that the institution being already excluded, if you forbade any change of the law, it really was exclu-

This is one of the objections going the rounds of the newspa ers, and on account of which the committee is threatened with burning in effigy." We of New England have been accused of not only selling our constituents, but ourselves to perpetual disgrace, and all because we happened to believe in the old Yankee maxim of letting well enough alone.

What more do gentlemen require than is given in this bill? I What more do gentlemen require than is given in this bill I I. claim that, judging by their own platform, no fault can be found with it. If they demand an express probibition of slavery, here is a local law now existing there, probibition of slavery, here is a local law now existing there, probibition go the compared law which we recognize and suffirm. Why add another law? Could you in that way make the probibition any stronger? It would be a work of supereregation. The committee might have decided upon the Wilmot provise; and it we had succered in introducing it into this bill, loses any man suppose that we would floor? No, sir, the Wilmot provise cannot be carried between the floor of No, sir, the Wilmot provise cannot be carried between the control of the provise cannot be carried between the carried between the

whether I am or not-they are aware I am addressing mysell to objectors who agree with me in the general principles I advance,)
I ask why should I have entered into an idle contest about a pane

I has why should I have entered into an intercontest mobile a pine. I have the see were, a building previous is nothing but a little of the see were the seed of t ball refers the matter to the Supreme Court, and that we have each enveroed to escape responsibility by throwing our duty upon them. No greater mistuke can be made. The bill refers nothing to that court which falls within the constitutional power of Congress, nor any thing which does not belong to them independently of our network that the control of the control o

the present, and reaving the matter to their registation in future, with a negative epon their action in Congress, which will prevent the introduction of slavery without our assent. It is agreed on all hands that slavery cannot exist without a positive law allowing it. Should they be disposed to enact such a law, which an one supposes will ever be the case, we have a control over it.

one supposes will ever us the case, we may a gentru over it, time. Secundly, in regard to level Mosaco and California, by the first the territorial legislature from changing it. This is all which can be territorial legislature from changing it. This is all which can be done. To add a prohibition of our own would add nothing, because, first, we already sanction the exclusion; and secondly, it we did, a subsequent Congress might repeal it. We have done

all which we can do.

In the positions on which these results are founded, all gentlemen on both sides agree with me. I will repeat them.

Shaver exists only by force of positive law in its favor.

There is now no law in those provinces allowing it, but it has been expressly aboisted there.

The law of those provinces remains in force until changed by the legislation of the United States.

The bill prohibits the territorial legislature from changing it, 5. 1 may add that if Congress enach now an express prohibition, it adds nothing to the existing prohibition, and might be repealed by any subsequent Congress.

it adds nothing to the existing prohibition, and might be repealed by any subsequent Congress.

What more, then, can be done in the way of legislation than this bill proposes? I have said that I believe all agree in these positions. I believe neither the Senator from Georgin, [Mr. Branken], nor the Senator from South Carolina, [Mr. Calheon,] deny them. What, then, do those gentlemen misst upon, and what is the judicial question which is alluded to? I understand those gentlemen to insist that the constitution guarantees to the people of the slave States their property is always, and that by

force of the constitution, they are 'equally protected in that property in the territories if they choose to magrate there with it. This question is purely a judicial question. If such be the true intrypretation and meaning and effect of the constitution, how cast any act of Congress change it? Can we repeal the constitution? And if a question arises are to the construction of that ni-trument, does it not belong to the Supreme Court to determine it? How then can it be said that we refer to that tribunal a question which belongs to it by the constitution, and which we cannot take from them if we would?

take from them it we would? What, then, does this bit in the provide in relation to the judicial What, then, does this bit in Interpreted in the provide in the provide in the provide the provide the very few provides the verticine upon the judicial power in relation to this subject would render the arrangement nugatory and good for nothing. If this question arises, it must be acceed upon judicially, and a restriction upon the judiciary of the territory would render the whole inoperative. But I was no more willing to trust the judicial power than I was to trust the gliedial power than I was to trust the gliedial to

power, most especially as it was composed of the same persons.

The question then arose, what shall be done? And here let me toquire what is the question that is to go to the judiciary? It is question arising under the constitution, for that is the claim of southern gentlemen. All that was necessary, then, was to provide for an appeal which would bring this subject directly before the Sufor an appear which would bring this subject directly before the Su-preme Court as the constitutional exponders of that instrument. Is there any skulking or dodging here? If I were at liberty to de-tail what took place in committee, I believe, that for one. I should be exonerated from the change of dodging in reference to this matter. But I say here, as I said there, it there he a constitutional ques-tion in the case, I am willing to leave its decision to the constitutional authorities. I cannot repudiate them. Shall we distrust the co-ordinate department of the government? I may distrust the President. He was not elected by my vote; but while he is in office as a co-ordinate department of the government, he is entitled office as a co-ordinate department of the government, he is a consistent of the matter to the constitution along any matter to his constitutional control. How is it with the Supreme Court? Shall I distrust them? Shall I refuse to submit the matter to their decision? I belong to a class of politicians who have uniformly asserted the supremacy of that court, and I must confess that I have been greatly surprised to find whigs of the North discovning or distrusting its constitutional authority. I have North disowning or distrusting its constitutional authority. yet to learn either from political friends or political opponents, that that court has in any degree forfeited the confidence of the country. In the integrity and capacity of that court I have equal Who doubts the integrity or the learning of the confidence. Who consist the integrity or the rearring of the tinguished chief justice? And who is prepared to say that that court has become so degenerate, and is filled with such unworthy men, that it is not to be trusted with the power conferred upon it by the constitution? I can preach no such heresy, and I am perfeetly willing to leave this as a constitutional question to that If the court decide against me, I will submit. not trust the power there, where, in heaven's name, shall we repose it? To what earthly tribunal will gentlemen refer the question? I might take it home to a whig caucus in the State of Vermont, but their decision would hardly bind the people of the South. We may decide it here, but to what would our decision amount? My friend from New Jersey seems to think that the country would ac quiesce in the decision of Congress, though not in the decision of the Supreme Court. Does experience sustain the Senator in that Did the people acquiesee in the decision upon the tariff of '42' Did not the people, instead of acquiescing, take the liberty of changing their legislators and abolish that tariff? I hope my friend from New Jersey does not consider us estopped from finding fault with that last legislation.

There is one point upon which I forhear any remark, except to say that it there be any question as to the practicability under this bil of carrying the subject to the Supreme Court, let the bill be amended. I have been informed that some gentleman with the view of closing this whole controversy on this point, will prepare an amendment removing this objection. It is not necessary then to argue that point. But the bill has been most grossly misserpresented. We are told that we propose to abandon our legislative control over the subject—to call on some other department of the government to act for us, and take the responsibility from our shoulders. What portion of the bill justifies that charge? Where will gentlemen find the proposition to commit this subject as a legislative question to the judges of the Supreme Court? I should be tout to believe that any Senator upon this floor could imagine that control to the court. We have the court of the court of the supreme court? Nothing as a matter of reference. We samply leave that court to excress its constitutional functions of determining this constitutional question. We leave the power in their hands just where the constitution placed it, and we do so because we are not competent to withdraw it. Does not the bill place the subject where no legislation by the very auditority up-tou which may be beyond legislation, by the very auditority up-

pointed by the constitution itself for that purpose?

Sir, what would gentlemen have? Will they go for the Wilmot provise? Will they go for the ordinance of '87? Suppose we enact them in this bill. And suppose the court should decide after all, pitch there was a constitutional guarantee as insisted on by my friends from the South in relation to this species of property, and that our provision is unconstitutional. Suppose further, that we extend the ordinance of '87 over these territories, and that the moment they become States they repoal it, and the question goes to the Supreme

Court whether our ordinance was binding upon that people. How is my friend from New Jersey in that ease, to withdraw the subject fromthe Supreme Court? Will be call upon them to relinquish that power? Does not every gentleman see that we bave left the subject where we must leave it, and that there is no "evanjon" bere?

Mr. MILLER.—The Senator has misunderstood my argument. This bill will present the case to the Supreme Court without being accompanied by an act of Congress. I do not deny the right of the Supreme Court to decide upon the legislation of Congress; but it the bill be accompanied by an act of Congress, the Supreme Court may, in its decision, say that according to the constitution constitution to the constitution of the constit

Mir. PHELPS.—I was aware that the Senator made that distinction. But suppose both he and I are right, that this act of the suppose of the sup

ueny une power dedegated by int. But it is asked by other Senators why we did not settle this question? My friend from New Jersey has told us this morning that the committee was raised to settle this difficult conscitutional question. I beg leave to say that if I had supposed that I was placed to mittee with that at I'll had supposed that I was placed to mannite the committee was the committee of the Senate raised to decide a mere judicial question, and one upon which the opinion of every member was dombless deliberately formed. The committee was raised for the purpose of relieving the Senate from the embarrasment in which it had been placed. But to my northern friends who ask this question, I say that we have decided it as a legislative question, is adving the expediency of introducing this institution into those territories, in exact conformity to their principles and mine. If, then, they believe with me in the result to which I come, why ask me why we did not settle this question? I think we have settled it for the time being, so far as legislation can settle it. But we cannot tie the hands of another Congress or band the supreme judicial tripulant. How, then, can it be objected to the hill that it

settles nothing?
Other objections have been urged; and in the course of a very cloquent speech by the Senator from Maine, we were told that this compromise is all on one side. I do not know but the Senator is right. I take the liberty of reminding the Senator, however, that if it be all on one side, he or I are the last men that ought to complain of it. If it meet the approbation of our southern livends, for one, have two mouths of the control of the country. But the Senator from Connecticut asked, where is the compromise? The compromise consist in this: The people of the South concede to us that we may let the territorial law stand as it is, and we concede to them that if they have any constitutional rights affected by the action of this body they may appeal to the constitutional tribunals for the secretainment of those rights; in short, they yield to us the matter of legislation, and concede the territories, reserving to themselves (which we would be constitutional tribunals for these characteristics) and concede that the control of the constitutional tribunals for the secretainment of those rights; in short, they yield to us the matter of legislation, and concede that the matter of the constitutional tribunals for these leaves. Constitutional tribunals for these characteristics and the constitutional tribunals, the constitutional tribunal, the constitutional tribunals for these laws.

Another objection to the bill is that the law will not be carried out—that slavery will be introduced in despite of law, that the question of freedom could not be brought before the judicial tribunals as the slave would be ignorant of his rights and also unable to caforce them.

To these objections my answer is a very short one. If the law is not to be regarded of what consequence is it what that law is? Wil the Wilmot provise or the ordinance of '87 be any better if they are not regarded! Will the slave be any better informed or better able to enforce his rights under one form of law which gives him freedom than another? What kind of law will gentlemen cancet if they assume before hand that their laws will gentlemen cancet if they assume before hand that their laws will

Sir, if the honorable Senator from Maine thuks as I do, that the institution is now excluded, surely he will not complain that the subject is left where it is. Does he wish to change the state of things of which he approves? If it stands on a better footing than we can put it upon, by an ansuccessful attempt at the Wilmond Provise, then by all means let it remain. But almost in the same

breath in which the Senator charges us with doing nothing, he charges us with declaring that sharery shall not be prohibited, becharges us with declaring that sharery shall not be prohibited, becharges us with declaring that sharery shall not be prohibited, because of the property of t

But what could the committee do? Here is a very important question, the most troublesome, dangerons, alarming question that has arisen since the government was established; a question more difficult of adjustment, pregnant with greater danger to our institutions, with greater danger to the harmony and prosperity of this country, than any question which has heretofore arise, or is likely because the proposed the proposition is not satisfactory, the meast generalment who object to it, what it is that they would propose? It is an easy matter to find fault. Nothing was ever done right in the estimation of all. The world itself, and man its inhabitant, were unade wrong in the opinion of some modern philauthropists, but it is well for us they have not the power of making it over again. But let me conjune greatest the propose of the modern philauthropists, but it is well for us they have not the power of making it over again. But let me conjune greatest the summary of the propose of the propose of the summary of the propose of the will be senators either of them go for it? Will the Senators either of them go for it? Will the Senator is proposed a compro-

coadems? Will they consure me for not proposing a comprose against which both they and I are committed?

Well, what cise is there? The Wilnot proviso. These gentlemen will go for that. So will I. I am not child them on that subject, the state of the state of the committee is now, that we met this every number of the committee knew, that ye met this every number of the committee knew, that ye me this every number of the committee knew, that proviso, we would be voted down, and it was not my disposition to present the question to the Seante in such a form that it could not fail to be decided against me. It is not my purpose, in carrying out the principles and views of my constituents, to make up an issue in my case, which I know must be decided against me. I may be permitted, I hope, to borrow something from my personal expectage of the state of the

Popular excitement is not a matter to be trifled with in this compering with popular feeling. Experience shows us the danger of tampering with popular feeling. There is not a page in history from the creation to the present day, more pregnant with warning than the page that is now being enacted. There is inquiettude, rest-lessness, desire for change pervading every portion of the world. We have seen the wheels of revolution revolving in Europe, and the page that is now being enacted. There is inquiettude, rest-lessness, desire for change pervading every portion of the world. We have seen the wheels of revolution revolving in Europe, and for any one tell when those wheels will stop, or who is the last age that we were congratulating a pervading every last a few way ago that we were congratulating a pervading which all spents have far in the course of revolution. An individual who had spent his life over his books, unknown to the political existence in a moment as the presiding officer of the provisional government of one of the most powerfor had most rest-less people in the world; and, sir, our congratulations had hardly reached him before the revolutionary wheel which hore him triumphartly to the top, threw him from his high position into comparation are and obscurrity. Where will his unevenment, now proceeding new and obscurrity. Where will his unevenment, now proceeding new and obscurrity. Where will his movement, now proceeding new and obscurrity. Where will his movement, now proceeding new and obscurrity is better that can control its results, and that power is not a breath of the deciment of the observation of the old world; popular excitement and popular violence are not unknown in our owe country. The man who endeavors to carry this excitement to extremes, and to alienate the feelings of this people from each other, to the danger and perhaps destruction of our institutions, should be careful to ascertain wheelser he can ortunity and the province and control wheels have that the date may be an administrat

raises those a wnirt-want o accurates made years you create an active political grave.

an early political grave.

In have no partial provided the provided provided the provided provi

me justice—and that they will content themselves with a rational popesition to the institution without throwing themselves into the hands of the demagque, or forming sectional distinctions which may endanger the stability of the Union. I have only to add that I shall not contribute to the production of a dangerous excitement which may tend to disturb the harmony of the Union.

I have thus expressed my views on the subject, because I have flut myself somewhat implicated. If this bill carry on its lace a cowardly aspect, its authors are responsible for that aspect. But the cpittet. "cowardly," would be more applicable to those who watch with trembling anxiety the political twae, the turning and eddying of popular impulse—who waver in the discharge of their duty, lest an honest adherence to the dictates of their own judgments and their own consciences, should expose them to a ground-less censure from their political enemies, or, what is worse, to the treachery of political frenchs, and who are paralyzed by the slight-est breath of popular despressable, and who are paralyzed by the slight-est breath of popular despressable, and won the processed of the proposal continent information—body coordinal bastly excessed.

Pressed.

Upon this subject I may be permitted to add another consideration. I am not responsible for bringing this agitating question upon the conatry. It came here by no agreeny of mine. The responsibility lies elsewhere. It originated in the measure of the annexation of Texas. From that measure followed the Mexican war, from that war followed this conquest, and from the conquest follows this agitating and troublesome question. I am not responsible to the state of the condition of the conditi

roline and his freads on a former oceasion, let him unite with those grathene now upon some terms of compromise or adjust mean. Sir, if this subject is to be made a subject of popular extension, and my name is to be handled about in connection with it as an object of repreach, or if my old coat and jacket are to be stuffed with straw and burnt, I confess under those circumstances. I prefer that the man who brought about this state of things, if he cannot take my place, should all least furnish his old coat and jacket to add to the configuration. It required no great foreagilt to predict these consequences at the time of that annextation. We are now where we expected to be when we protested against it. We were driven into the measure and its consequences by some of our northern friends, whose late it has been to stand pretty much on that occasion where I am now, abone. As they forced us into it, for Heaven's sake let them be generous enough to mite with us

Mr. FITZGERALD.—I do not rise for the purpose or oscons-ing the ments of the ball, for I have no the vanit to suppose that at this stage of the discussion I could add anything now to what has been already said on the subject. Indeed, sir. I have no great disposition to talk for the research of talking. I have risearather to do justice to a gentleman who is abs. at than for any other purpose. Call chalch Jahnis the Senate but a very few minures. I alinde, Mr. FITZGERALD .- I do not rise for the purpose of discuss-And I shall detain the Senate but a very few minutes. I allude, sir, to General Cass. Since the commencement of this discussion I have been appealed to by gentlemen whose political opinions I have been appealed to by gentlemen whose poiling opinions may on some points differ, but who rank amongst the friends of General Cass, by whom I have been toll that the vote which I was about to give or the action which I might take in regard to this matter would have a tendency to affect the election of Gen. I have been told that inasmuch as I have succeeded in this Senate, am known to be his personal and political friend have been placed on the electoral ticket in my own State, and have conversed with that distinguished gentleman after his return, my action would be considered as reflecting the opinions of Gen. rising, then, has been chiefly to declare to the Senate that I never verbally or otherwise, had any intimation of General Cass's opinions upon this subject that were not known to all from the declarations made in his response to the committee who notified him of his nom-nation. I will add that I have not received a solitary or us nomination. I will and that I have not received a solitary line from any citizen of my own State on this subject. I have been left by my friends there to act as my judgment shall dictate. So far I have endeavored so to act, and I shall continue to do so as long as I have the bonor of a seat in this Senate. I am aware, sir, that there is a heavy responsibility resting upon me as a Sensir, that there is a neavy responsibility resting upon me as a senator, and not only as a Senator generally, but a scoming from Michigan, and as being personally and politically the friend of Gen. Cass; but I shall shrink from no responsibility. My main object has been to know what is right in this matter—when I know that, has been to know what is right in this matter—when know that, I trust I have the moral courage to do it regardless of consequences. If we are to believe the tenth part of what we hear and see published against General Cass he certainly has sine enough of his own to bear without being responsible for any thing that I may do here.

Having stated that I am not to be regarded as, in any sort, the exponent of General Cass's opinions upon the subjects, I will now add that when the question was taken upon raising this committee, I voted against the motion, because I desired to act directly npon the Oregon bill. I believed it was an act of justice to the people of Oregon that we should do so. I was willing to meet people of Origina that we should do so. I was willing to meet any question that might come up. The Searce directed otherwise, any question that might come up. The searce directed otherwise, then, and when the lenorable and distinguished chairman of that committee reported the sill, I listend with great pleasure, and no inconsiderable degree of interest, to the remarks which he made, when I learned from bum that the constitution of the United States was tae platform upon which we would be called upon to stand in the decision of this question. I was delighted at the prospect. It appeared to me that I could see the rainbow of peace. I thought this exciting question might be disposed of without a dissolution of the Union, or the shedding of blood, as without a dissolution of the Union, or the Shedding of blood, as and been intimated by some gentlemen on this floor. When the motion was made to amend the bill by striking out all after the 20th section, I voted for it, still preferring that the question should be taken upon Oregon alone, and not to have it blended with New Mexico and Cauliornia. I have been anxious to see this question adjusted, and although also not approve of this hill—for there are adjusted, and although do not approve of the hill—for there are proved to the strike of the provided sage of the bill depended on my own vote, and if its passage would be the means of a ettling this question, though it were to the means of setting this question, though it were to cast me into political oblivion—though it were to be the last act of my political life, I would vote for the bill with pleasure. I fear, however, from what I have heard in the Senate since the introduction of the hill, that its friends are doomed to disappointment. And why, sir? A political storm is rising in the North which is des tined to become a tornudo before it is allayed. Gentlemen who are seeking to direct it for their own benefit may not be able to succeed; but the prospect cannot but be regarded with apprehension by every patriotic citizen. God knows what may be the result of the excitement which now prevails, and therefore I say again, that if I could feel an assurance that the passage of this ould settle the question, though it were the last act of my hie, I would vote for it. And permit me to repeat, once for all, that whatever I may say or do here, I am myself alone to be held responsible for it.

Mr. CORWIN .- Mr. President : I should scarcely undertake to assign to the Senate a reason for prolonging this debate, especially after the very elaborate and lucid exposition of the bill now before us which has been given by the Senator from Vermont; I feel compelled, however, from various considerations, with which I will not trouble the Senate, to state, in very few words, if that be possible, what my objections are to the passage of the bill; and, it may be, to offer some few observations in reply to such proposi-tions as have been announced at various times during this debate, by Schators on the other side of the chamber. I have listened with by Senators on the other side of the chamber I have listened with great eagerness, since the commencement of this discussion, to every thing that has been said, with the most sincere and unfeigned desire to make myself acquainted with at least the primary ements and principles which enter into the composition of the b ements and principles whene near the othe composition of the bill.
And, I hink I may say, without exposing myself to the charge of
egotism, that I feel as little the influences which have been spaken
of by the Senator from Vermont as it is desirable that any gentleman, acting in the capacity of a legislator, should feel. I do not participate, however, I may advertise gentlemen, in the belief which has been so constantly expressed during this discussion, that this is a subject which is likely to produce that terrible and momentous excitement that is explained. I believe it this principle were disexcitement that is spoken of. cussed solemnly, and, so to speak, abstractedly from those extra-neous circumstances too frequently adverted to here, that we should be much more likely to arrive at a satisfactory conclusion to ourselves, and at more satisfactory results, I bope, to those who are to come after us. I have no belief that the passage of a law, such as is now before the Senate, will produce a disruption of the bonds that hold this Union together. I have no belief that the passage of the law so much deprecated by have no belief that the passage of the law so much deprecated by some gentlemen on this said by the name, if you please, of the "Wilmot proviso," could, by any possibility whatever, induce the southern portion of the Union, which, we are told, is so much ex-cited on the subject, to tear themselves asunder from the constitutional compact by which we are all held together. Sir, if I enter-tained an opinion of this kind, I should scarcely think a seat on this floor worth possessing for a single day. I do not think the technical term spoken of by the Senator from Vermont, the "Wilmot proviso," can of itself exercise that influence upon statesmen of exalted intellect of the South, which has been intimated by genof examed interior of the sound, which has been fitting any gen-tlemen who have participated in this debate. What is this terri-ble Wilmot proviso, that has been creeted here and elsewhere into such a raw-head and bloody-bones, to use a very expressive phrase of the nursery? What is it? Why, sir, there are about me Sensuch a raw-nead and tolody-hones, to use a very expressive parase of the ninsery? What is if? Why, sir, there are about me Senators who know very well to whom the paternity of the "Wilmot proviso," as it has been recently baptized, belonged. They know that the same gentleman who drafted the declaration of independones, we like it is burne up it our halfs and placed in our duffrey and regarded with the same reverence as our fable—for it has been a a gospel of freedom all over the world as well as in this country— drafted that which is called the "Wilmot proviso," composing as it did a section of the ordinance of 1787, and that the hand that drafted both was Jedferson's. There have been some strange misnomers in regard to acts, some strange confusion of nomenclature in this country, as in this case, when a part of the ordinance of 1787 has come to bear the appellation of the "Wilmot proviso." 1787 has come to bear the appellation of the "Wilmot proviso." Sir, much as I respect that gentleman for bis position upon this subject, which has connected his very name with the ordinance of 1787, I deny to him the honor of originating it. It is a piracy of the copy-right. I do not see that there is any danger that south-ern gentlemen, after the lapse of so many years, and after the founding of a young empire in the West, by writue of that ordi-nance, will so descrate the memory of Jedlerson and spit on his grave, because we merely re-enact that ordinance over a territory which has subsequently come into our possession. I have no idea that such consequences will follow from the passage of such a law, as gentlemen have predicted. There must have been a strange revolution wrought in the minds of southern gentlemen between 1787 and 1847, if such consequences are to follow. And I could not help observing while the Senator from Vermont was expressing these noble sentiments, which every body, even these who do not feel them, must admire, telling us we should act here indopendently of the excitement without these walls, and that we should seen those newspaper paragraphs in which we are villified, written by those who know little of the motives by which we are influenced, and who care less; I could not help observing that at last the Senator admonished us that there was an excitement abroad which we must allay; and to do that he agreed to bill, although it was somewhat different from that which he desired—so that the lion-hearted Senator from Vermont has agreed to this compromise, as it is called, because there is an excitement which he wishes to allay by it. Sir, I desire to see gentlemen act and vote here as if there were no excitement on the subject. I should be very sorry, at lenst, to allow any influences to operate upon my deliberate judgment, except those which belong to the relation of representative and constituent. It is the furthest from nation or representative and consistent. It is the naturest from my intention of any thing that can be conceived of to say any thing in regard to this bill which may wound the feelings of genttemen who have labored so hard to produce something that would satisfy us all. The Senator from Vermont has acted as he should have acted, has acted nobly in regard to this matter, and I know have detect, mis netted nown in regard to this indicate, and a very well that he will be willing to accord to me the same rule of action, it is same independence that he has used; and I fear when I come to speak of the bill, I shall be under the necessity of availing myself of what the gentleman has called a "special demur-er; ?" for I do not think there is such pressing necessity for the

passage of the bill, as to oblige us to forego the statement of such passage of the bill, as to oblige us to forego the statement of snoh objections as we may entertain. Suppose you cance to alway, what will happen? Oregon has for many years taken care of herself, and I believe on one or two occasions made hetter laws for herself than sho is likely to get at our hands. She has taken care of herself ever since she became an integral portion of the Union, by the settlement of the dispute between us and Great Britain. How the new provinces may fare, what may happen to New Mexico and California in the intermediate time which will elapse, if we have the state of the dispute the property of the state of the dispute state of the state should not be able to act upon this matter at the present session, is not a marter of much concern or apprehension with me, because I know they have been in your custody for a year or two, and have not complained at all for the want of legal enactments; they have only complained that you have made too free use of gunpow Rather than not act in the matter fully and definitively der. Kather than not act in the matter fully and definitively, as I would fit there were no emergency, I would allow those provinces to take care of themselves for another twelve months, and come here at the beginning of a new session, ready to act upon the subject as my judgment should dictate.

Now, sir, in the first place, I understand we have a message from the President, although I believe it has not been adverted to by any one calling upon us to designate the houndaries of those territories of New Mexico and California; and another braneh of the legislature has been anxiously looking to the geography of those countries, and tracing their history, and are as yet ineapa-ble of determining where Texas ends and New Mexico begins; and they have been under the necessisty of applying to the Chief Magistrate to give them a lesson in geography. What the substance of the information they have received was I do not know, but I have been informed, upon the floor of the Senate, that Texas extends to the banks of the Rio Grande.

If this be so, I must be permitted to look to the gentlemen of the committee for information as to how much is left for Mexico, what extent of territory, and what amount of population? Is it worth while to establish a territorial government there, if it be the that Texas extends has the Rio of government there, will be found that there will be but a fragment of New Macouchit, so far as population is concerned. It will be very convenient, perhaps, to attach it to the government of California. If you send your governors and other officers there without establishing the boundaries, there will be a conflict of territorial jurisdiction. Is boundaries, there will be a conflict of territorial jurisdiction. Is it not expedient to settle it now, when you are founding now governments there, and placing side by side institutions which may be very dissimilar? It is perfectly certain that Texas will extend her laws to the Rio Grannie; and if she does, she will comprehend within her jurisdiction a large proportion of the population of what was formerly New Mexico. Here, then, is my special demarrer. Under other circumstances, I am sure the Senator from Vermont would agree with me that it is indi-pen-able to the gov-ernments which we are about to establish, that the limits of their jurisdiction should be defined, although I do not know that this would be an insuperable objection with me, if the other portions of

the bill were such as I could give my assent to.

And new I intend, in a few words, to state why I object to this compromise bill. Sir, there is no one—there can be no one—who does not desire that every subject of legislation which comes be-fore the Senare sbould be settled harmoniously, and, if it might be so, with the unanimous concurrence of every Senator But, sir, in my judgment, with this subject as jit stands before us it would be arrogant presumption to undertake to vote upon this bill, and an examt presimpness. On interrupt to you upon this bill, in the state of the properties of the pr as to constitutional duty in the matter? Sir, if we know certainly what that law will be, need there be any hesitancy how we shall vote upon this bill? Can any one suppose that the Senator from Georgia or the Senator from South Carolina, if they believed that the litigation that is proposed by this bill to be brought into the the litigation that is proposed by this bill to be orought into the judicial tribunals of the country would result contrary to their determination of what the law should be, that they would be in favor of such a bill as this? Does any one believe that if the Senator from Vermont could anticipate that the Supreme Court of the United States might decide that Congress, being silent upon the subject, had allowed slavery to pass, at its pleasure into these newly acquired territories, and to become parts of the municipal institutions of those territories, and to decide also, that if Congress had enacted a prohibitory law, it could not have gone there, he would vote for this bill? Certainly he would not. Is there any would vote for this bill? Certainly he would not. Is there any necessity that there should be a prohibitory law passed, in order that the question of slavery should be presented with the aid of Congressional legislation to the Supreme Court of the United States? I will not undertake to say that I differ with the Senator form Vermont in a single legal proposition that he has laid down. I regard slavery as a local insitution. I believe it rests on that the same of the sam lieve it cannot be carried, by the power of the master over his servant, one inch beyond the territorial limits of the power that makes the law. I believe that a slave carried by his master into the territory about which we are talking, if slavery be abolished there, will be free from the moment he enters the territory, and any attempt to exercise power over him as a slave will be nugatery. That is my judgment. But I would guard against any doubt on this subject. I would so act that there should be nothing

left undene on my part to prevent the admission of slaves, for I am free to declare, that if you were to acquire the country that lies under the line, the hottest country to be found on the globe, where the white man is supposed not to be able to work, I would not allow you to take slaves there, if alavery did not exist there already. More than that: I would abolish it if I could, if it did exand work and that: I would abolish it if I could, if it due exist. These are my opinions, and they have always have been the same. I know they were the opinions of Washington up to the hour of his death; and they were the opinions of Jefferson and of others who, in the infance of the institution, saw and deplored its continuance, and would have taxed themovils and deprecated its continuance, and would have taxed themovils.

evils, and deprecated its continuance, and would have taxed themselves to the utmost to exterminate it then. I possess no opinion on the subject that I have not derived from these sources.

I have only to say, that these opinions have always received the concurrence of my own understanding, and this, after the most careful investigation I have been able to give the subject. I find the institution of slavery existing in several States of the Union—that the concurrence of the concurren any other merely State institution. I cannot, therefore, interfere with slavery in the States as I can in a territory, where as yet no State sovereignty exists, and as I will there, and would every where else on the face of the earth, where I am not forbidden, and where erse out the tace of the cuttin, where is an onto orbitoden, where my power might settend. And here sir, I ask what has been your practice as a government on this subject? If at any time in your practices are government of this subject? If at early where slavely existed in such form and consistency as to make you where slavely existed in such form and consistency as to make you may be minimize the contract of the contrac ted, to remain where by law it did exist; as in the northwestetn territory before 1789, but had not taken a deep root, it was expelled; and as in the Missouri compromise, excluding it in all territory before 1789. ritory north of latitude 36° 30' after 1789.

When Louisiana was acquired, such was the tore of public opin-ion then against slavery, that I am sure the men of that day would have abolished it there, but for the supposed evil of displacing a system long established, on which and by which the social and posystem long established, on which and by which the social and jo-litical systems of the country were necessarily formed. Perhaps, also, the terms of the treaty were with some an obstacle. The same men who directed paritie opinion in 1787 in a preat measure control-led it in 1804. Jefferson, who was the author of the ordinance of 1787, was Prosident in 1804, when Louisians was acquired. By his influence, the ordinance of 1787 made five iree States in the northwest, and I doubt not Louisiana would have been also freed from slavery too, but for the rensons I have assigned

from slavery too, but for the reasons I have assigned. Such were the views of men who directed patite opinion then; would, or God they, or such as they, had more to with public opinion now. When the ample patrimony of Virginia was transferred to the confederacy, Jefferson and those of his school, who made this noble donation, at one declared that slavery should not pollute the soil of five rich and powerful new States. Such was Virginia, such was American opinion then. I cannot suppose the opinions of these men were so changed letween 1787 and 1804 that slavery at the latter period would be spared by them, except for the re sons I have assigned alr-ady. Liberty, perfect freedom to all men of all colors and na ons, was the doctrine of Jefferson theo,

men of all colors and na ons, was the doctrine of Jehrson theo, and I am told he snow is a unifortative exponder of free principal and I am told he snow is a uniformative ventures to have an opinion contrary to the ligatust thought tan be ever expressed. And is it so, that we are now to be required, for the sake of some maginary bulned of power, to carry of very thind a contray where this bill The Senator from Vermont is satisfied that slavery cannot be extended to these territories. I believe, if his confidence in the judicial tribunals of the country were well founded, that slavery could not possibly go into these territories, provided the Senate is right both as to law and the lacts. I ask every mem-ber of the Senate—perhaps I may be less informed than any— whether slavery does not exist by some Mexican law, at this hour,

Mr. HANNEGAN, (in bis seat,)-It does exist: peon slavery

Mr. CORWIN .- I would thank the Senator from Indiana if he will inform me what peon slavery is; and really I ask the question for the purpose of obtaining information. I desire to know its conditions. Is it transm soible by inferitance? Does the marvellous doctrine of which the honorable Senator from Virginia spoke of as being part and parcel of the law adopted in Virginia—partus sequitur ventrem—prevail? Is that bely ordinance, that the offspring of the womb of her who is a slave must necessarily be slaves also, there recognized.

Mr. HANNEGAN .- As I understand, slavery exists in Cali-Mr. HANNEGAN.—As I understand, slavery exists in Cau-fornia and New Mexico, us it does throughout the republic of Mexico, and is termed peon slavery—slavery for debt, by which the creditor has a right to hold the debtor through all time in a far more absolute bondage than that by which any southern planter holds his slaves herc.

Mr. CORWIN .- So it has been described to me. I have not MIT. CURWIN.—So II has been described to the . I have not seen the Mexican laws upon the subject, but the statement just made agrees with that of many gentlemen who profess to know somehing on the subject, and therefore I am inclined to think that it is so, and that these people are the subjects of that inference law. The Senator from Delaware the other day informed us that the committee have not given to the people of California and New Mexico the right of suffrace, because they were incapable of exercising. In the control of them were of the colored receiving a superior of them were of the colored that the colored them were the colored that she colored that country.

Mr. PHELPS.—The gentleman will excuse me, I spoke of African slavery.

Mr. CORWIN.—Of that I am aware. I speak now of the general proposition. Now, this is a very carrious spectacle presented this day and for weeks past in the American Congress, and one cannot help pausing at this point, and reflecting upon the events of the last lew years. On looking back at what has happened to that periad, I am sure that the megnanimous spiral of each to the northern States at least some apology for the slight degree of excitement on this subject. His hypothesis is, that to every portion of this newly acquired territory—California not excepted—every slaveholder in the United States has a right to migrate to-morrow, and carry with him his slaves—holding them thereforever, subject only to the abolition of slavery when these territories shall be made in the abolition of slavery when these territories shall be made in flow chapters in our history? We find one hundred and fifty thousand soalts, if I am correctly informed, on California and New Mexico. The best authenticated history of the social institutions of that population informs us that there exists there at this moment a species of slavery as absolute and incovariate as exists anywhere on the face of the earlit; and that shout rive in six of the population of that country are abjected to

the iron rule of this abominable institution there.

Now, I do not expect that any man will rise up and say that because an individual happens to be the debtor of another, he shall have his own person sold into slavery; and not only that, but that the curse shall extend—worse than that of the Hebrew, not to the hird and fourth generation, but to the remotest posterity of that unfortunate man. Nobody will pretend to rise up in defence of such a proposition as that. Now, then, I will give over the criticism. Suppose there is a law in New Mexico, which obliges a man to work all the days of his life for another, becomes he happens to owe him five dollars, by some means contrived by the creditor to keep him always his debtor. Do you intend that that law shall exist there for an hour! Well, you have made a law here, that your law-makers who are to go to New Mexico and California shall not touch the subject of slavery; and if that which is designated in the popular language of that country slavery, exists there, of the control of th

Solvery to which two out of six of them are subjected.

Mr. President, this chapter in your listory furnishes instructive matter for our consideration. It is a strange act in the great drama of what we call progress. I have looked upon it with a something like this, would be the result of your Mexican war. I slaways believed, notwithstanding your denials here, that you made war upon Mexice for the purpose and with the intention of conject. I ventured to predict just what we now see, that acquisition of territory would follow the war as its consequence, and its object was that, and nothing else; and that this very question would arise, and arise here, to distract your commells, disuntite your people, and threaten, as we are now told it does, that prace which you thought of so lightly when war was so wantonly waged against flowing the progression of the control of the control of the country, the gening, to a said your armor here went from the being the spirit of love. You pretended their mission was not conjustified to the country, the gentle note of the dove is changed to the lion's roar. Instead of the proper blessing of peace to your converded, and now gravely contend that negro slavery shall be superadded to slavery for debt. This is your improvement, this your progress in Mexico. To exalt the miserable pean, you given the new testing them the enabled regregory for association and example. Sir, the is

indeed a spectacle worth noting, in this bright noon of the nine-

We problem to the world we would take nothing by conquest. This was our solemn hypocritical declaration for two dark years, while our progress was marked by blood, while the march of your proper was like another people of old, by clouds of smoke in the day, and fire by night. City after city fell beneath the assaults of your gallant rarmy, and still you ceased out to declare you would take nothing by conquest. Now you say this territory was conserved, was ecquired by the common blood of our common country. You trace back the consideration which you have paid for this country to the blood and the bones of the gallant men that you sent there to be sacrificed; and pointing to the unburied corses of her sons who have fallen there, the South exclaims—"These, these constitute my till to curry my slaves to that land! I have subject to go there to better their fortunes, if it may be, and pointing to the graves of husband and children, exclaim, "There, there was a first to go there to better their fortunes, if it may be, and pointing to the graves of husband and children, exclaim," There, there was a first to go there to better their fortunes, if it may be, and pointing to the graves of husband and children, exclaim, "There, there was first to go there to heter their fortunes, if it was that your exceed—if you can assert that you hold the country by the sirrong hand, hen you have a right to go there with your slaves. If we of the North have united with you of the South in an expedition of piracy, and robbery, and murder, that oldest law known among men—"Honesty among thieves"—requires us to divide it with you equally.

II., miced, Mr. President, we have no other right than that which force gives us to these our new possessions; if, indieed, we have slaughtered fifty thousand of God's creatures only to subject to our power one hundred and fifty thousand of an alien, enslaved, and barbarous people, it is but a fitting finale to all this to rivet yet closer the chain of personal slavery upon the Mexican peon, and people your possessions thus acquired by slaves. I repeat, that this right of complex applied to territory, is the same—no that the right of complex applied to territory, is the same—no elaim to hold another in slavery. It is but the right, if right if may be called, of the strongest—the law is both cases is simply the law of force. You march over a country, wreat it by war from its owner, and say to the vanquished possessor, this is now mine. I have seized your property; I hold it by the law of force. And so originally the slave delete seized the negron in his African home, slaughtered in combat part of his family, bound the rest in chains, right, in both cases, that makes the claim. I repeat, it is seem sindeed fitting and in character, that the two should accompany each other.

can be case of lands thus acquired, long possession and comined acquirecence (in the judgments of men) ripne the claim into legal right, so in the case of legal slavery, the captive, originally held only by force, in time, by the law of men, and by the judgment of men, becomes property!! And we are told by the Senator from Virginia, [Mr. Mason,] that the posterity of such become property only through the magical influence of these words, Roman words: 'Parius sequitur centrem"—'The child follows the condition of its mother.' Admirable—philosophical rises are to the sum of the sum of the condition of the mother. Admirable—philosophical rises when the will of a just God, 'whose tender mercies are over all his works, that her olisping to the remotest time shall be doomed to slavery. What sublime morathity! What lovely justice combine to sanctify this article in that new decalogue of freedom which we say, it is our destiny to give to the world "Partus sequitur rentrem!" Why, it is said to be "common law." Alas, Mr. Fresident, it is but too "common," as wo see. This may hold another in bondage. You may make it into a law if you please; you may enact that it may be so, it may be convenient to do so; after perpetrating the original sin, it may be well to do so. But the case is not altered; the source of the right remains unchanged. What is the meaning of the old Roman word servus? I profess no skill in philological learning, but I can very well conceive how somebody, looking into this thing, might understand bis cenny conquered him in hattle. He became servus—the man preserved by his magnanimous loe; and perpetual slavery was in which slavery began. Has anylooky found out on the face of the earth a man fool enough to give himself up to another, and leg him to make him his slave! I do not know of one such instance under Heaven. Yet it may be so., Still think that he atance under Heaven.

Thus far we have been brought after having fought for the context and conquered it. The soloun append is made to measure the country? But do we not consider the country? But do we not consider the country? But do we not consider the country by force from this people? That is equestion. You did not say so six months ago. You dare not say so now! You may say that it was purchased, as Louisiana, or as Florida was, with the common treasure of the country; and then we come to the discussion of another proposition: What right do you acquire to establish slavery there? But I was about to ask of some gentleman—the Senator from South Carolina, for instance—whose eye at a glance has comprehended the history of the world, what he supposes will be the impression abroad of the Maxima was a considerable and the conditions of the world, what he supposes will be the impression abroad of our Maxima nequisitions, if we should

give to them the direction which he desires? I do not speak of the propriety of slave labor being carried anywhere. I will waive the propriety of slave labor being carried anywhere. I will waive more than told us this morning, and or which was the sentor from Versum or has told us this morning, and or will our history read by the side of that? Every gale that floats across the Atlantic comes freighted with the death-groans of a King; every vessel that touches your shores, bears with her tidings that the captives of the old world are at last becoming free—that they are seeking, through the seeking, through the tiding that the captives of the old world are at last becoming free—that they are seeking, through as resoluted the seeking, through the theory of the seeking, through t free America—instead of sending stiouts of gratulation across the water to these people, we should send to them groans and commisseration for their folly, calling on them to beware how they take this basiness iato their own hands—informing them that universal liberty is a curse; that as one man is born with a right to govern an empire, he and his posterity must continue to exercise that power, because in this case it is not exactly partus sequitar ventrem, but partus sequitar parters—that is all the difference. The crown follows the father! Under your law, the chain follows the mather!

rentries, but partus sequitur potrem—that is all the difference. The crown follows the lander! Under your law, the chain follows the mother!

Sir, we may, we ought to remember, that it was law in this country in 1776, that Kings had a right to rule us, did rule us, Googge III. Saud then "partus sequitur partus," my con inherits follows the parture of the your ruler; "your fathers said, this is not true, this shall be law no longer. Let us look for a moment at the doings of that good old time, 1776. Then, sir, our fathers, being oppressed, lifted up their hands and appealed to the Good of justice, the common father of all men, to deliver them and their posterity from that law, which proclaimed that "Kings were born to rule." They (the men of 1776) did not believe that one man was born "hooted and sparred" to rule another. And if, as they said, no rightfully be born to serve another? Sir, in those days, Virginia and Virginia's sons, Washington and J-elf-son, had as little respect for that maxim, partus sequitur ventrem, as for that other cognate dogma, "Kings are born to rule." I liner from our history, sir, that the men of that day were sincere men, carnest, honest men, that they meant what they said. From thier declaration "all men are born equally free," I inter that, in their judgments, no man, by the law of his nature, was horn to be a since; and, therefore, he ought Kings being born to rule, and others hein before the control of the same family, and ought to have gone down to the same place whence I magine they came, long gon, together. I do not think that your partus sequitur ventrem had much quarter shown it at Yorktown on a certain day you may remember. I think that when the inon of England crawded in the dust, beneath the talous of your eagles, and Cornwallis surrendered to Geogge Washington, that maxim, that a man is born to rule, went down, not to be seen among us again forever; and think that part, not to be seen among us again forever; and that the part of the language of these men which has been cr

"June, 1774.—At a general meeting of the freeholders and inhabitants of Prince corge's county, Virginia, the following resolves were manimously agreed to, (among

"Resolved, That the African trade is injurious to this colony, obstructs the pop-lation of it by freemen, precents unantfacturers and other useful emigrounds fre Europs from settling amongst us, and occasions an annual increase of the halon of trade against this colony"—(See American Archives, 4th series, vol. 1, p. 493.)

"At a meeting of the freeholders and other inhabitants of the county of Colp in Virginia, assembled on doe notice, at the court how of the said county, oo T day, the Tho July, 1774, to consider of the most effectual method to preserv-rights and liberties of America;

"Reduced." That the importing slaves and convict servants is injorious to this col-ouy, as it obstructs the population of it with freemen and useful manufacturers; and that we will not buy any such alaye or convict servant hereafter to be imported."— (American Archives, 4th series, vol. 1, p. 523.)

"At a general meeting of the freeholders and inhabitants of the county of Naow-mond, Virginia, on the 11th day of July, 1774, the following resolutions were unaut-monsly agreed to:

"Resolved, That the African trade is injurious," &c., [same as the resolution of ince George's county.]— American Archives, vol. 1, p. 530.]

July 14, 1774, at a similar meeting in Caroline county, Virginia-

"Resolved, That the African trade is injurious to this colony, &c. and, therefore, that the perchase of all imported slaves ought to be associated against." — Do. p. 511.

July 16, 1771, at a meeting of Surry county, Virginia-

"oth, Restrict, That, as a meeting on sour, county, regions, with freemen and useful manifesturers is greatly obstracted by the importation of slares and convert screents, we will not purchase any used slaves or servants hereafter to be imported."— American Archives, 4th sense, vol. 1, p. 593.)

"At a general meeting of the freeholders and other inhabitants of the county of Faurlax, Virginia, at the court house in the town of Alexandria, on Monday, the 18th day of July, 1774, George Washington, Elaq., in the chair—

"Realed, That it is the opinion of this meeting, that, during our present difficulties and distress, no slaves ought to be imported into any of the British colonies on this continent; and we take this opportunity of declaring our most earnest wishes to see an entire stop put to ruch a wriked, creek, and construct trade.

"Resolved. That it is the opinion of this meeting, that a solemn covenant and secution should be entered into by all the colomes," &c., &c.— American Archivol. 1, p. 600.

control to the control of the contro

the same volume of American Archives the following, which, from Mr. Jefferson's connexion with it, becomes important:
At a very full meeting of delegates from the dilferent counties in the Colony and Dominion of Virginia, begun 'in Williamsburg, the 1st day of August, 1774, the following association was unanimously agreed to." I omit, Mr. President, all not hearing upon the subject of slavery, and quote only the following:

"We will not ourselves import, nor purchase any slave or slaves imported by any other person, after the first day of November next, either from Africa, the West In-dies, or any other place."

It seems Mr. Jefferson was a delegate to this convention, but was prevented by sickness from attending. He however addressed a letter to the convention, which I commend to the especial attention of gentlemen from the South, who object so strongly to the expression of opinions as to slavery here. Mr. Jefferson, in one paragraph in his letter to the convention, writes thus, on the subject of negro slavery-

"The abolition of slavery is the present object of desire in these colonies, where it was unhappily introduced in their infant state."

Mark these words, Mr. President. He complains that slavery was introduced into our American colonies in their "infant state." Would Mr. Jeffarson, were he here to-day, send slavery to the infant colonies of Oregon, New Mexico, and California? But Mr. Jefferson goes on to say-

"Hat prevois to the estimation when the falses we have, it is necessary to evided all fatther importantials from Vines; but our repeated attempts to effect this by pro-been defeated by its Wagey's necessary. The preferring the immediate advantage of a few Mincas cosmic to the fasting interest of the Junemean Market, and to the rights of Junem nature, deeply invanied by this inframes prostrice."

Here we see proofs undeniable that Mr. Jefferson, the leading spirit then, confidently unticipated, not the continuumee and further extension of slavery, but its abolition; and, in order to the speedy "cnfranchisement" of the slaves then in Virginia, he desires to pre-"entranchisement" of the slaves then in Virginia, he desires to prevent their augmentation, by prohibiting their importation. He complains that slavery was prejudicial to the "inflant" colony Virginia. Were he here, would be not vote to exclude slavery from the "inflant" colones of Oregon, New Mexico, and California We have seen that he drafted the clause against slavery in the ordinance of 1787. We know he remained unchanged till his death. How stood public opinion, Mr. President, in the year 1773, in the hostate of Georgia? From the proceedings of a patricula as circula in Georgia at that time, called the "Darien committee,"

I take the following:

We, therefore, the representative of the extensive district of Derien, in the colony of Georgia, having now assembled in Congress, by authority and free-clones of the order of Georgia, having now assembled in Congress, by authority and free-clones of the order of Georgia and Congress of the Congress o

From these papers, as well as the general history of the times, we can see what the fathers thought on this subject. May I not, with profound respect, suggest that these papers, dated in 1774 and 1775, explain to us the meaning of the declaration of independent and 1775, explain to its the meaning of the declaration of indepen-dence, adopted in 1776. Surely, the men who voted the foregoing resolutions in 1775, might, very consistently, in 1776, declare as they did—"We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all mon are created equal; that they are endowed by their Creator with certain inalienable rights; that among these are life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness." Well might these men, with their hearts purified from selfishness by the dreadful conflict which then was seen to be inevitable, feel that all men were equal before God, in whom alone they could trust for aid in that dark hour, and that therefore all men were or ought to be masters of themselves, and answerable only to the Creator for the use they should make of that liberty—well might those brave good old men, after such a declaration, look up calmly and hopefully to the heavens and de clare: "And for the support of this declaration, with a firm reli-ance on the protection of Divine Providence, we mutually pledge to each other our lives, our fortunes, and our sacred honor."

Mr. President, these men, when they spoke of slavery and its extension, did not get up some hybrid sort of "compromise," and consult some Supreme Court. They declared slavery an evil, a wrong, a prejudice to free colonies, a social mischief, and a political evil; and if these were denied, they replied, "These truths are ical evil, and if these were denied, they replied, "These truths are self-evident." And from the judgments of men they appealed to no earthly court; they took an appeal "to the Supreme Judge of the world." When I am asked to extend to this new empire of ours, now in its infancy, an institution which they pronounced an evil to all communities; when I reduce to agree with some here whose judg-ments I revere, and whose motives I know to be pure, I can only say, I stand where our fathers stood of old, I am sustrained in my tion by the men who founded the first system of rational liberty earth. With them by my side, I can afford to differ with those whom I respect. With such authority for my conduct, I can on earth. on earth. With them by my suce, I can allord to unser with mose here whom I respect. With such authority for my conduct, I can cheerfully encounter the frowns of some, the scorn of all; I can turn to the fathers of such, and be comforted. They knew what was best for an infant people just struggling into existence. If their opinions are worth anything—if the opinions of the venerated men are to be considered as authority—I ask southern gentlemen what they mean when they ask me to extend slavery to the distant shores of the Pacific ocean, and the slave trade betwee Maryland

sand virtue rad the victor, and the wearners, the rad the victor and the victor and the victor and rad victor and rad victor and rad victor and rad victor and suppose here, in the year of grace 1848, you had acquired California and New Mexico, and you were told that there existed a modified system of slavery there, and that they wanted laborers there, would a Senator rise in his place and say, we will authorize the African slave trade, in order to introduce laborers into our m-fant colonies! If you would not bring them from the shores of Africa—buying them with some imagined "partus sequitur ventrem" branded on them somewhhere, how ean you prove to me that it would be right to transfer them from Maryland or Virginia, 3,000 miles, to the shores of the Pacifie? If slavery were a curse to you in the beginning, but struck its roots so deep into your social and municipal system as was then said, that it could not be cradicated entirely, how is it that you call upon me, as a matter of conscience and duty, to transfer this curse to an area of square miles greatly exceeding that of the thirteen States, when the confederation was formed! If it is so that it is an evil—and so all you statesmen have pronounced it, and so all your eminent mon, with the exception of a few in modern times, have regarded it—how is it that you call upon me to extend it to those vast dominions which you have recently acquired? Is it true that I am obliged to recent into my family a man with the small pox or leprosy, that they may be infected? I know you do not consider it in that light now. But the gentleman from Virginia has said that it must be done. Why? the gentleman from virginia has said that it hust be done. Why Because it is compassion to the slave. He cannot be untrured in Virginia; your lands are worn out. Sir, that statement sounded ominious in my ears. It gave rise to some reflection. Why are your lands worn out? Are the lands of Pennsylvania worn out? Your lands worn out: Are the lands of reinsysvalua worn out: Are those of Connecticut worn out? Is not Massachusetts more productive to-day than when the foot of the white man was first impressed upon her soil? Your lands are worn out, because the slave has turned pale the land wherever he has set down his black foot! It is slave labor that has done all this. And must we then extend to these territories that which produces sterility wherever it is found, till barren desolation shall cover the whole land? If you call upon me, as a matter of compassion, to send the slave to California and Oregon, you call upon me by the same sacred ob-ligation to receive him into Ohio as a slave; and I would be just as much bound, as a citizen of Ohio, to say that the constitution should be so construed as to admit slaves there, because they have should be so construct as to admit saves there, because they have made the land in Virginia barren, and they and their masters were perishing, till Ohio had also become a wilderness. That reason will not do. Sensitive as Ohio may appear to the morbid be nevo-lence spoken of—with which I have no sympathy at all—we can see through that—the citizens of Ohio cannot accept these men upon such terms

What is there in the way, then, of my giving an intelligent vote on this subject? Nothing at all. I would take this bill in a moment, if I had faith in the processes through which that law is to

pass until it becomes a law in the chamber helow. But I have not that faith, and I will tell the gentleman why. It is a sad not that faith, and I will tell the gentleman why. It is a sad commentary upon the perfection of human reason, that with but very few exceptions, gentlemen coming from a slave State—and I think I have one behind me who ought always to be before me [Mr. BADGER,] with a very few exceptions, all eminent lawyers [Mr. BADGER,] with a very new exceptions, an emine response on this floor from that section of the country, have argued that you have no right to prohibit the introduction of slavery into Oregon, California, and New Mexico; while, on the other hand, there is not a man, with few exceptions, (and some highly respectable.) in the free States, learned or unlearned, clerical or lay, who has any pretensions to legal knowledge, but believes in his conscience that you have a right to prohibit slavery. Is not that a carious commentary upon that wonderful thing called human reason?

# Mr. UNDERWOOD .- It is regulated by a lice !

Mr. CORWIN -Yes, by 36 deg. 30 min., and what is black on one side of the line is white on the other, turning to jet black again when restored to its original locality. How is that? Can I have confidence in the Supreme Court of the United States, when my confidence fails in Senators around me here? peet that the members of that body will be more eareful than the nators from Georgia and South Carolina to form their opinions without any regard to selfish considerations? Can I suppose that without any regard to selfish considerations? Can I suppose that either of these gentlemen, or the gentleman from Georgia on the other side of the chamber, [Mr. Johnson,] or the learned Senator from Mississiphi, [Mr. Johnson,] or the learned Senator from Mississiphi, [Mr. Johnson,] who thought it exceedingly wrong that we should attempt to restroin the A'michty in the exceeding of His purposes, as revealed to no by Nonbe-can I suppose that these Senators, with all the terrible responsibilities which press upon as when engaged in legicl uting for a whole empire, came to their conclusions without the most anxious deliberation? And we can assign the slaws States, the conclusions of the line in the slaws States, the constitution yet, on one side of the line, in the slave States, the constitution reads yea, while on the other, after the exercise of an equal degree of intelligence, calmness, and deliberation, in the free States the constitution is made to read nay.

I admire the Supreme Court of the United States as a tribunal. I admire the wisdom which contrived it. I rejoice in the good consequences to this republic from the exercise of its functions. consequences to this republic from the exercise. Here is the most august body in the world, they say, composed of men who have wasted the middlight oil from year to year—men who in cloisters, in courts, in legislative halls, have been reaping the fruits of ripe experience, and suddenly their mighty intellects, able to scan everything, however minure, and comprehend everything, however rand, utterly fail them, and they kneed down in dumb insignificance, and implied the Supreme Court to read the constitution for them. I think the Senator from South Carolina must have had some new light upon the subject within the last few years, and that several of my democratic friends on all sides of the chamber must have been smitten with new love for the power and wisdom of the Supreme Court. Do you remember the case adverted to by the Sentence Court. Do you remember the case adverted to by the Sentence Form New Yersey to-day? I recollect very well when we did not stop to inquire how the Supreme Court had decided or did not stop to inquire the supreme Court had decided or the Supreme Court had decid cuted or ordained. It had decided, with John Marshal at its head —a man whose lightest, conjectures upon the subject of constitu-tional law have always had with me as most weight as the well-considered opinion of almost any other man—the Conconsidered opinion of almost any other man—that Congress had power to establish just such a bank as you had; but with what in-finite score did democratic gentlemen—Jackson democrats as they choose to be call de-curl their lips when referred to that decision of the Supreme Court. Then the cry was, "We are judges for ourselves; we make no law unless we have the power to enact it." Now, however, the dectrine is, that there is one only tribunal competent to put the matter at rest forever. We are to thank God, that though all should fail, there is an infallible depository of truth, that the grade so we there were maintained where the control of th us. I agree with gentlemen who have been so lofty in their en-comiums upon that court, that their decision, whether right or wrong, controls our action. But we have not hitherto endeavored to ascertain what the Supreme Court would do. I wish next to ascertain in what mode this wonderful response is to be obtained —not from the Delphie Oracle, but from that infallable divinity, the Supreme Court. How is it to be done? A gentleman starts from Baltimore, in Maryland, with a dozen black men, who have been slaves; he takes them to California, 3,000 miles off. Now, I don't know how it may be in other parts of the world, but I know that in the State of Ohio we do not travel 3,000 miles to get justice. What, then, is the admirable contrivance in this bill by which we can get at the meaning of the constitution? It seems the meaning of the constitution is to be forever hidden from us until light shall be given by the Supreme Court. Sir, this bill seems to me a rich and rare legislative enriosity. It does not seems to me a rich and rare legislative cariosity, enact "a law," which I had supposed the usual function of legis-lation. No, sir; it only enacts a "lawsnit." So we virtually enact, that when the Supreme Court say we can make law, then we have made it!

But, sir, to have a fair trial of this question, so as to make it effectual to keep slaves out of our territories, all must admit this trial should be had before slaves have become numerous there. If slavery goes there and remains there for one year, according to all experience, it is eternal. Let it but plant its roots there, and the next thing you will hear will be ownest appeals about the rights of property. It will be said: "The Senate did not say we had reight to come here. The House of Representatives, a holy of gentlemen elected from all parts of the country, on necond of their sagacity and legal attainments, did not probibit us from coming here. I though I had a right to come here; the Senator from South Carolina said I had a right to come here, the souther form Georgia said that I had a right to come here, his colleague said that it was a right secured to me somewhere high up in the clouds, and not belonging to the world; the Senator from Mississpip said it was the ordinance of Hexen, sametified by decrees and revealed through prophesy. Am I not, then, to enjoy the privileges thus so fully secured to me is have property here; several of my women have horne children, who have partus seguitar scatterns horn with them; they are my property? Thus experience, it is eternal. Let it but plant its roots there, and the here; several of my wmen have borne children, who have portuse sequitur eventrem born with them; they are my property. Thus the appeal will be made to their fellow-citizens around them; and it will be asked whether you for prepared to strike down the property while the seventre in those territories has thus acquired. That will be the ease, males the nerritories has thus acquired but by a method to the read of the seventre and sees peous there—slaves not by hereditary taint, but but by a much better titles—asked selection of the property of the property of the selection of the property of the selection of the selection of the property of the selection of the sel affer these men; and when the missionary arrives there, ho proposes to hold a prayer meeting; he gets up a meeting, as they used to do in Yankee times, "for the improvement of gifts." He goes to the negro quatre of this gentleman from Baltimore, and says; "Come I want this brother; it is true he is a son of Han, but I want to unstruct him that he is free." I am very much inclined to think that the missionary would fare very much as one did in Scattle Arolina, at the hands of him from Baltimore. This bill supposes the negro is to start all at once into a free Anglo-Saxon in Califor tia—the blood of liberty flowing in every year, and itself the interval of the start of the s before whom? Yerylkely one of those gentlemen who have been proclaiming that slavery has a right to go there; for such are the men that Mr. Polk is likely to appoint. He has prejudged the case. On the fauth of his opinion the slave has been brought there —what can he do? There is his recorded judgment printed in your Congressional report—what will he say? '' You are a slave. Mr. Calhoun was right. Judge Berrien, of Georgia, a profund lawyer, whom I knew well, I know these gentlemen well; their opinion is entitled to the highest authority; and, in the foce of it if does not become me to say that you are remember. the face of it, it does not become me to say that you are free-so, the face of it, it does not become me to say that you are free—so, by, go to your master; you belong to the class parties segulture ventrem; you are not quite enough of a Saxon?" What, then, is to be done by this bill? Oh! a writ of error or appeal can come to the Supreme Court of the United States. How? The negro, if he is to be treated like a white man, taking out an appeal, must give bonds in double the value of the subject-matter in dispute. And what is that? If you consider it the mercantile value of the negro, it may be perhaps \$1,000 or \$2,000. But he cannot have the appeal according to this bill, unless the value of the thing in controversy amounts to the value of \$2,000. But, then, there comes in this ideality of personal liberty. What is it worth? Nothing at all—says the Senator from South Carolina—to this fellow, who is better without it. And under this comdexity of le-Nothing at all—says in the section of under this complexity of le-low, who is better without it. And under this complexity of le-gal quilibiling and icontend with his master, and coming on to Wosh-ington, with the section of the section of the section of the section ington, with the section of the sect

Baltimore And now, Mr. President, if we have found upon the opinions of wise ones of old, upon the observations of past and present time, that involuntary slavery is not useful, prolitable, or beneficial to either master or slave, that such institutions only become tolerable because, when long established, the cyll is less than those con-sequences which would follow their sudden change, I think it will be admitted that we should prohibit involuntary servitude in the

territories over which we have control. Here, then, the question arises, have we this prohibitory pow-United States has solemnly adjudged any power to belong to any branch of this government, such adjudication should, until over ruled, have great if not controlling weight with Congress. What, then, are the adjudications of that court upon this point? I quote from the ease so often referred to, American Insurance Company vs Carter, (Ist Peters's Reports, page 511.) On page 542 of that ease the court say :

"The constitution coofers absolutely on the government of the Union the power of making war and of making treaties. Consequently that government possesses the power of acquiring territory either by conquest or treaty."

Again, on the same page, the right to make law for a territory is thus spoken of

"Perhaps the power of governing a territory of the United States, which has not, by becoming a State acquired the means of self-government, may reall assessably by becoming a State acquired the means of self-government, may be the assessable of the power and girdsdefine of the United States. The right to enver may be the inevitable consequence of the right to acquire territory; but wheelver may be the source whence the power is derived, the possession of it is unspectioned.

Nothing can be clearer or more satisfactory on this point .-

While this doctrine conforms to the plain dictates of reason, it is satisfactory to know that the principle has been strengthened by the uniform practice under the constitution. The latter class of ease is too numerous to permit even a reference to them all. Thuy, have been frequently adverted to in this dohate, and therefore I need not again bring them to the attention of the Senate. I therefore flut the power of Congress to make law for a territory absolute and unlimited. I have only to consider whether a law

ry absolute and unlimited. I have only to consider whether a naw probability all savery in a territory where slavery does not already exist, is sound policy for such territory.

No, if we can make any law whatever not contrary to the express prohibitions of the constitution, we can enset that a man with \$60,000 worth of bank notes of Maryland shall foreign the whole amount if he attempts to pass one of them in the territory of the constitution.

\*\*Collifornia\*\* or measure if a man argue, a memoracing layed. of California We may say, if a man carry a menagerie of wild beasts there worth \$500.000, and undertakes to exhibit them there bears in the work as 30,000 mm underlands to exhalt them here and says that the law forbade him to exhibit his amount interest was thought that, as an economical arrangement, such things should not to be tolerated there. That you may do: he of the lions and tigers goes back, having lost his whole concern But now you take a place to Galifornia, and instantly your power falls; all he power that are the concern but the same that the concern but now you was the same that the same to California, and mistantly your power falls; all he power that is all the power falls. er of the sovereignty of this country is impotent to stop him. That is a strange sort of argument to me. It has always been considered that when a State forms its constitution it can exclude slavery. Why so? Because it chances to consider it an evil. If it be a proper subject of legislation in a State, and we have absolute a proper suggest of tegrisation in a State, and we have absolute the cleribative power, transferred to us by virtue of this bloody power cash—why may we not act! Again—ensidering this as an abstract question—are there not duties devoting upon us, for the performance of which we may not be responsible to any earthly tribund, but for which God has ecreted us all will bold us actribinal, but for which God has ercrated as all will hold as accountable? What is your duty, above all others, to a conquered people? You say it is your duty to give them a government—may you not, then, do everything for them which you are not forbidden to do by some (andamental axiomatic truth at the foundation of your consistituits of Show me, then, how your action is pre-claded, and I submit. Though I believe it ought to be otherwise, yet, if the constitution of my country forbids me, I yield. The constitutions of many States declare shavery to be an exil. Southern gentlement have said that they would have done away with it if possible, and they have apologized to the world and to them-selves for the existence of it in their States. These honest old men of another day never could have failed to strike off the chains from every negro in the colonies, if it had been possible for them to do so without upturning the foundations of society.

I do not revive these things to wound the feelings of gentlemen.

I know some of them consider this institution as validable that many of them, I also know, regard it as an evil. But shever is not in Oregon, it is not in California; and when I find that you have trampled down the people in order to extend your deminion over them, I feel it to be my duty, when you appeal to me to make laws for them, and the Supreme Court has said that I have make laws for them, and the Supreme Court has said that I have the power to do so to never from them this evil of slavery, and establish free institutions, under which no man can say that another is his property. I do not doubt this power. I know that that been considered of old, from 1787 till the present bour, to be wested in Congress. The judicial ribunals is the West have considered it so, and the Supreme Court of the United States have said in this decisions so after referred to, that it was so. How sidered it so, and the Supreme Court of the United States have and in that decision, so often referred to, that it was so. Have they found any restrictons upon us? No. And what would you do if you were in Oregon today, and it were a State? What would you do, and you, and you? Would any man here, it he were acting in a legislative capacity, say, "I feel myself bound to admit this evil into this country for the benefit of some of the States who are overburdened with slaves?" If this were true, it would be the duty of the free States, in that fraternal spirit which could be country for the states who are overburdened to account the two would be the only of the free States, in that fraternal spirit which could be supported to the very decision of the Union to dewould no the duity of the ree's states, in that traderial spirit which cought to prevail between the various Seates of the Union, to added the control of th if you will, to take slaves into its bosom for your convenience, but they do not feel themselves bound by any government obligation to do it. Am I not, then, bound to lay the foundations of that State for whose furture progress I am to be responsible, in the way which I think the most likely to produce henceficial results to the people there? And when I find myself possesceed of this power, and clothed with commensurate responsibility, no threats of dissolution of the Union, no hearthurnings here or there, and, least of all—that which we have heard much of out of doors—the coming Presidential election, shall deter me from pursing this course. I am for making a law in the language of the ordinance of 178; I am to making a law in the language of the ordinance of 178; I am for m am for making a law in the language of the ordinance of 1787; I would have it enacted that slavery shall never exist in that country. Then, when my black man comes to the Supreme Court of the United States, as provided in this bill, he comes with a positive law in his favor, that court must overfule the decision of the case in Peters, or else such appeal must be sustained. Then we will have acted upon the subject—we will have forbidden slavery. I observed that some gentlemen who handled this subject were very careful to repeat, with emphasis, that slavery may go where it is not prohibited. That is the reason I prefer the ordinance of 1787 to the sn-called compromise bill. I have no doubt that every Senator wbo assented to that thell convinced himself that it was the best we could pass. I have no doubt that our friends from the

North thought it would be effective in preventing slavery in these territories. But I see that the Senator from South Carolina does not think so. He supports the bill for the very reason that it will admit slavery; the Senator from Vermont for the very reason that slavery is forbidden by it. Now, in this confusion of ideas, I desire that Congress, if it have any opinion, express it. If we have any power to legislate over these territories, how

Iong would it take to write down the sixth article of the ordinance of 1787? Those of us who think that ought to be a fundamental law in the organization of territories will vote for it; and those of law in the organization of territories will vote for it; and those of us who believe otherwise, will live to against it, and whichever party triumphs, will give law to Oregon and Californa, bearing the responsibility. But I must say, that I do not like what appears to me—I say it in no offensive sense—a shuffling off the responsibility which is upon us now, and which we cannot avoid. The Supreme Court may overrule our decision; but if we think we have power to ordain that slavery shall not exist in that territory, let us say so; if not, let us so decide. Let us not evade the question absorber.

tion altogether.

That honorable Senators who reported this bill had its passage very much at heart, I have no doubt; nor do I feel disposed to de-ny that every man of them believed that it was just such a measure as was calculated to give tranquility to the agitated minds of the people of this country. Well, I do not care for that agitation farther than it at I will look to it as a motive to inquire carefully alculated to give tranquility to the agitated minds of this country. Well, I do not care for that agitation farther than II at I will look to it as a motive to inquire carefully what my powers and my duties are. I have heard much of this—I have been myself a prophet of dissolution of this Union; but I have seen the Union of these States survive so many shocks, that I am not afraid of dissolution. Perhaps, indeed, when this cry of wolf has been long disregarded, he may come at last when not expected; but I do not believe that the people of the South are will ing to sever themselves from this republic because we will not estimate the second of the South are will not estimate the second of 1787, let the people of the South are in continuous of 1787, let the people of the South are in the Continuous and the second of the South are in the superior of the South are in the superior decided. It will only be a few Court, and have the question decided. It will only be a few months till the court resumes its session here, and the question can then be tried. If the decision be against us, the gentlemen of the South can at once commence their emigration to these territories. Let us then make the law as we think it ought to be made now.

I am the more confirmed in the course which I am determined to pursue, by some historical facts elicited in this very discussion. I remember what was said by the Senator from Virginia the other day. It is a truth, that when the constitution of the United States was made, South Carolina and Georgia refused to come un-to the Union unless the slave trude should be continued for twenty years; and the North agreed that they would vote to continue the slave trade for twenty years; yes, voted that this new republic should engage in piracy and murder at the will of two States! So the history reads; and the condition of the agreement was, that those two States should agree to some arrangement about navigation laws! I do not blame South Carolina and Georgia for this transaction any more than I do those northern States who this 'transaction any more than I do those northern State's who shared in it. But suppose the question wore now presented here by any one, whether we should adopt the foreign slave trade and continue it for twenty years, would not the whole land turn pale with horror, that, in the middle of the nineteenth century, a citizen of a free community, a Senator of the United States, should dare to propose the adoption of a system that has been denominated piracy and murder, and is by law punished by death all over Christendom! What did they do then! They had the power to probibit it; but, at the command of these two States, they allowed that to be introduced into the constitution to which much of can often it but, but for that would harrier, allower would be this can doubt that, but for that woful bargain, slavery would by this can doubt that, but for that would bargain, slavery would by this time have disappeared from all the States then in the Union, with one or two exceptions? The number of slaves in the United States at this period was about six bundred thousand; it is now three millions. And just as you extend the area of slavery, so you multiply the difficulties which lie in the way of its extermination. It had been infinitely better that day that South Carolina and Georgia had remained out of the Union for a while, rather than that the constitution should have been made to sanction the dates at the foregree of the state of th slave trade for twenty years. The dissolution of the old confederation would have been nothing in comparison with that i tion of pracy and murder. I can conceive of nothing in the dark record of man's enormities, from the death of Abel down to this hour, so horrible as that of stealing people from their own h and making them and their posterity slaves forever. It is a crime which we know hus been visited with such signal punishment in the history of nations as to warrant the belief that Heaven itself

the history of mations as to warrant the contribution in the difference of average the wrongs of earth.

In thus characterizing the accursed 'raffic, I speak but the common sentiment of all mankind. I could not, if I taxed my feeble intellect to the utmost, denounce it in language as strong as that intellect to the utmost, denounce it in language as strong as that uttered by Thomas Jeflorson himself. Nay, more—the spirit of that great man descending to his grandson, in your Virginia convention, denounced the slave trade, as now carried on between the States, as being no less infamous than that foreign slave trade the States, as being no less mannous than that ordered state trade carried on its ships that went down into the sea. I speak of Tho-mas Jefferson Randolph. If you would not go to Africa, and thence people California with slaves, may you not perpetuate equal enormities here? You take the child from its mother's bosom-you separate husband and wife-and you transport them three thousand miles off to the shores of the Pacific ocean.

I know that this is a peculiar institution; and I doubt not that in the hands of such gentlemen as talk about it here, it may be

made very attractive. It may be a very agreeable sight to behold a large company of dependents, kindly treated by a benevolent master, and to trace the manifestations of gratitude which they exhibit. But in my eyes a much more grateful spectacle would be that of a patriarch in the same neighborhood, with his dependents all around him, invested with all the attributes of free bestowed upon them by the common father, in whose sight all are alike precious! It is, indeed, a very "peculiar" institution. According to the account of the Senator from Mississippi, [Mr. Davis.] this institution exhibits all that is most amiable and beautiful in our nature. That Senator drew a picture of an old, greyful in our nature. That Senator drew a picture of an old, grey-beaded negro woman, exhusting the kindness of her heart upon the white child she had nursed. This is true; and it shows the good master and the grateful servant. Bot, sir, all are not such as these. The Senator concealed the other side of the picture; and it was only revealed to us by the quack apprehension of the Senator from Florida, [Mr. WESTCOTT.] who wanted the power to send a partial all way the country to revent the slaves from Senator from Florida, [Bir. Nestrooth], who wanted the power to send a patrol all over the country to prevent the slaves from rising to upturn the order of society! I had almost believed, af-ter hearing the beautiful, romantic, sentimental narration of the Senator from Mississippi, that God had, indeed, as he said, made this people in Africa to come over here and wait upon us, till the Senator from Florida waked me up to a recollection of the old doctrines of Washington and Jefferson, by assuring us that wherever that patriarchal institution existed, a rigid police should be mained in order to prevent the uprising of the slave. Sir, it is indeed a peculiar institution. I know many good men, who as mas-ters, honor human nature, by the kindness, equity and moderation of their rule and government of their slaves; but put a bad man, as sometimes bappens, as often happens, in pessession of uncontrolled as sometimes bapiens, as often happens, in presession of uncontrolled dominion over unother, bluek or white, and then wrongs follow that make angels weep. It is, sir, a troublesome institution; it requires too much law, too much force, to keep up social and domestic security; therefore, I do not wish to extend it to these new and as yet feeble territories.

Is it pretended that slave labor could be profitable in Oregon or California. In the weep well as the control of the country o

California? Do we expect to grow cotton or sugar there? I do not know that it may not be done there; for, as the gentleman from New York has told us, just as you go west upon this conti-nent, the line of latitude changes in temperature, so that you may ment, the line of latitude changes in temperature, so that you may have a very different, isothermal line as you approach the Pacific ocean. But I do not care so much about that. My objection is a radical one to the institution everywhere. I do believe, if there is nny place upon the globe which we inhabit where a white man cannot work, he has no business there. If that place is fit only for black men to work, let black men alone work there. I do not know any better law for man's good than that old one, which was announced to man after the first transgression, that by the sweat announced to man after the first transgression, that by the sweat announced to man after the beautiful don't know which was

announced to man after the first transgression, that by the sweat of his brow he should earn his bread. I don't know what business men have in the world, unless it is to work. If any man has no work of head or hand to do in this world, let him get out of it is soon. The hog is the only gentleman who has nothing to do but the world of the state of the sta ment only so because we will not look at it and treat it as an ori ment only so because we will not look at it and treat it as an original proposition, to be decided by the inflamence its determination may have on the territories themselves. We are ever running away from this, and enquiring how it will affect the "slave States" or the "free States." The only question mainly to be considered? Is slavery a good thing, or is it a bad thing, for them? With my views of the subject, I must consider it bad policy to plant slavery in any soil where I do not find the policy for the property of the property of the subject is an analysis of the subject is the property of the subject is the property of the subject is the subject in the subject in the subject is the subject in the subject in the subject in the subject is the subject in the subject in the subject in the subject is the subject in the

In the States where law and long usage have made the slave property, as property I treat it. It is there, and while there it should and will receive that protection which the constitution and the good neighborhood of the States afford and require at our hands, But I should be false to my best convictions of duty, policy, and right, if by my vote I should extend it one acre beyond its present may be mistaken in all this; but of one thing I islicd—of the honest conviction of my own judgment; and no inathe confederacy shall induce me to shrink from these convictions, whenever I am called upon to carry them out into law.

whenever I am called upon to carry them out into law.

But we are told that, when the constitution was made, there existed certain relative proportions between the power of the slave and the power of the free States. I understood the Senator from South Carolina, that we were under abligations to preserve forever these relative proportions in the same way.

Mr. CALHOUN -I said nothing of the kind.

Mr. CORWIN.—I am very happy to be undeceived. I understood the Senator to conceive that this is a question of power. It is not so. It is a question of municipal law, of civil policy. The men who framed the constitution never dreamed that there was to be a conflict of power between the slave and the free States.— They never dreamed that the South was to contend that they Iney never dreamed that the solution was to contend until the North, would always be equal in representation in the Senate to the North. They had no idea of that equilibrium of power of which we have heard so much. The circumstances of that period forbade any such supposition. Looking at all these circumstances, (and I have no doubt those far-seeing men regarded jaken earefully) you would not be considered that the content of the properties of the content of the cont have had fourteen free States and nine slave States. But every bave had bottem are re-states and nine surve States. Dut very, man who had much to do with the formation of the constitution expected and desired that slavery should be problisted in the new States; and they even expected to have it abolished in many of the States where it existed. They had no idea of conflict; and if the duting language will be should be sufficient to the South, see will as those in the North, would be sufficient to the South, see will as those in the North, when the South, see will as those in the North, when the South, see will as those in the North, when the South, see the south see the south seed as the south seed to the seed to the south seed to the seed to t let the subject alone, we should have much less difficulty in a pro-

per settlement of the question.

While the extreme fanaticism of the North, it is said, would burst the barriers of the coostitution, and rush into the slave States burst the barriers of the coostitution, and rush into the slave States to enforce their abolition views, trampling on your laws and mad-ly overturning existing institutions there, the South vents its fery indignation in tones of unmeasured reproach. But have southern gentlemen considered their position before the world on this question? You delear the opinion that slavery does not exist other in Oregon, California, or New Mexico; all these immenses regions are now and for many sense have how from for memorial controlled. in Oregon, California, or New Mexico; all these immense regonal are good, and for many years have been, free from nergor slavery.

And now what do the ultra fanatics of the South ask? Sir, they are well the termination to rush into these free territors, overturn the sould systems there existing uproot ull establishments to make a month of the stablishments are supported by the stablishments as weep to away the former free systems, plant there forever the system of involutionary services. Sir, southern gontlemen must say no more institution, while were finely thanks of the North endeavoring to uproof the systems. no more about the fanaties of the North endeavoring to uproof your institutions, while you ininite the example of thuse fanaties in your treatment of the free soil of this Union. Sir, there is no difference between the two cases. The fanaties of the South are but a counterpart of those of the North. If there be any difference, it is only this: The fanatic of the North has this papelogy—he proposes, at least in theory, to enlarge and extend the boundaries of human rights. The fanatic of the South, strangely inconsistent with the obvious tendencies of the age, seeks to extend, at one sweep, human black slavery over a country, new and sparsely settled, larger in extent than most of the governments of the old world. This does appear to my poor indement, not merely at war satern with the obvious tendencies of the age, seeks to extend, at me sweep, human black slavery over a country, new and sparsely settled, larger in extent than most of the governments of the old world. This does appear to my poor judgment, not merely at war with the spirit of the age, with the hetter spirit, I would say, or men in all ages, nay, more—I must be pardoned if I declare it was the espect of absurdity, arrogance and temerity. Sir, I for the state of the spirit of the same state of the spirit of the same state of the

progress, which have shed such laster around that founded upon the shora, of the Atlantic?

A Senator who sits before me [Mr. Firzgerald] has, with signed property, explained to the Senate the position in which he is placed on this subject, as connected with his friend, fem. Cass, not now a member of this hody. The subject, as bearing on the opinions and prospects of both Gen. Cass and Gen. Taylor, has been often adverted to in this debate. While I amy et on my feet been often adverted to in this debate. While I amy et on my feet I desire to say a word or two on this stapect of the debate.

1 speak of one absent from this chamber with every feeling of I speak of one absent from this chamber with every feeling of

I speak of one ansent from tois chamber with every feeling of respect, and with some rehicatione. It is said, and I believe truly, that General Cass has, within the last two years, entertained two opinions or this subject, the one in direct conflict with the other. In other words, he has changed his opinions respecting it. Where-In other words, he has changed his opinions respecting it. Whereas he was at one time in favor of extending the ordinance of 1787 over all new territory; now, he denies the power of Congress to do so. Thus it follows that he would arrest all such legislation by interposing his veto. His position at present is fixed. Bur, it, this facility in forming and changing opinious in a gentleman at his time of life, gives some hope that in the future he may not obstinately persevere in his error. Sir, one who on such subjects can change in the two past years his opinion, gives hopeful expectation that he may change back in the two years to come. As Major Dugald Dalgetty would say, "he will be amenable to reason." His opinion, it seems, is, that the whole subject is to be given over to the unlimited discretion of the territorial legislatures. As to General Taylor's position in regard to this and all like subgiven over to the unifimated discretion of the territorial registratures. As to General Taylor's position in regard to this and all like subjects o' domestic policy, I here declare that if I did not consider him pledged by his published letter to Capitai Allison not to interpose his veto on such subjects of legislation, he certainly could not get my otte, nor do I believe that of any northern State.

Mr. HANNEGAN .- I would like to be informed by the Senator from Ohio, as he has referred to General Cass's position, and as he is about to give his support to General Taylor, if he can give us General Taylor's views on the subject, and what his opin-ion he, as expressed in his message to Congress?

Mr. CORWIN .- I cannot.

30TH, Cong .- Ist Session-No. 119.

Mr. HANNEGAN.—I understand the Senator from Ohio to say, that if General Taylor would interpose a voto upon the subject, he would not vote for him under any circumstances.

Mr. CORWIN -I would not nor would nny whig in Ohio, Mr. CORWIN.—I would not nor would any whig in Ohno, unless indeed we found him opposed to just such another man who had a great many had qualities heside. But, sir, I have to say that I do not believe that General Taylor could get the electoral vote of a free State in America, [i it were not for the helicif that prevails, that upon this subject, well as spin say otter of domestic policy, where the power of Congress had been sonctioned by the various departments of government, and acquesced in by the people, he would not, through the veto power, insterior to create the free will of the people, as expressed through both branch-

crash the free will of the people, as expressed through both branches of Congres, that if Congress having the power as defined by the Supreme Court, acted on by Congress in various cases as shown by your legislation, sanctioned in so many ways, and till now cheeffully acquisered in by the people, should enact the ordinance of 1787 over again, and extend it over the three territories in question, and the nam in the Winter Bones should merepose his inquestion, and the majest of the three territories will be considered to the state of the state of

an abused and insulted people.

I know very well that the Senate is weary of this debate. I wish now only to state another lact, which will show what it as which our brethern of the South now demand. If you take the area of the free States and the slave States as they exist and compare them, you will find that the latter predominate. When the constitution was formed, and when all the territory which you then had was brought into the Union, the free States had an excess of 100,000 square miles over the slave States; but when you had acquired Louisiana, Plorida, and Texas, and sided them to the Union, and when you have added the claim of the South that they will carry their slaves into Oregon, New Mexico, and California, what twill then be the condition of the free States? The slave States will have smoothed more in the Senate of the United States than the free States could ever have.

Sir, if this is to be viewed at all as a question of power, what I

United States than the free States could ever have. Sir, it this is to be viewed at all as a question of power, what I have stated would be the exact result of yielding to the present claim of the Svath; and this will be the result, unlews you probibit the introduction of slavery into these territories. Sir I have seen the working of this system. Plant thirty-slaveholders among three houded inhabitants who are not slaveholders, and they will maintain their position against the three bindred. Let one man out of tifty be a slaveholder, and he will persuade the forty-all and several to be the time that is noticed to show that the results of the state tal and social position opposed to labor and poverty. How this war may wage in the future, I will not say; but thus far the former have ever been an over-match for the latter.

tal and social position opposes to more said poverty.

The way wage in the foure, I will not say; but this far the former have ever been an over-march for the latter.

But, sir, I do not like this view of such subject. If it were Date, sir, I do not like this view of such a subject. If it were could yield without a straggle. But I am called on to lay the foundations of society over a vast extent of country. If this work is done wisely now, ages unborn shall bless us, and we shall have done in our daw what experience approved and duty demanded. If this work shall be carelessly or hally done, countless millions that shall inhert that vast region will hereafter remember our folly as their curse; our names and deeds instead of pruses shall only ead forth excertain and retructure. In this conflict of opposed to some with whom I have noted ever differed before, I have doubted myself, re-examined my countains, reconsidered all the arguments on either side, and I still am oblaged to adhere to my first impressions. I may say, my long cherished opinions. If I part company with some here, whom I habitually respect I still find with me the men of the past, whom the natives venerated—I stand upon the ordinance of 1787. There the path is marked by the blood of the revolution. I stand in company with the 'men of 27," their locks were with the missts of the Judan over which they were the standard of the ordinance of 1787. There the path is marked by their locks were with the missts of the Judan over which they were the standard over which the standard over which they are the standard over which they are the standard over which the standard over say, tool looks wet with the miss of the Jaraan over which they massed, their garments purple with the waters of the Red Sea through which they led us of old, to this land of promise. With them to point the way, however dark the present, bope shines upon the luture, and, discerning their foot-prints in my path, I shall tread it with unfalletring trust.

Mr. UNDERWOOD moved that the Senate adjourn; and the yeas and nays being ordered, it was determined in the affirmative.

as follows:

YEAS—Mour. Allen, Badger, Boldvin, Bell, Benton, Bülder Chrie, Cerven
Barn, of Manaschusetts, Bayton, Dr., Hodge, Febb, Engezeld, Gerren, Hall
Hamin, Johnson, of Marchad, Johnson of Los tamas, Mangam, Meralef, Maler
Marker, Marchad, Marchad,

Whereupon,

The Senate adjourned.

# TUESDAY, JULY 25, 1848.

Mr. DOWNS presented the memorial of 'f'. Lewis, an officer in the first regiment of Louisiana volunteers, praying bounty land for certain recruits enlisted by him for the Mexican war; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. DIX presented the memorial of E. F. Aldrick, praying that Mr. DIA presented the memoral of E. F. Audrick, praying that the Secretary of the Navy may be authorized to contract with him for the construction of a steam vessel of war, on an improved method, of which he is the inventor; which was referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

Mr. UNDERWOOD submitted documents relating to the purchase of a work published by Robert Mayo, exhibiting a history of the operations of the United States Treasury Department; which was referred to the Committee on the Library.

# On motion by Mr. SEBASTIAN, it was

Ordered, That Hola to Emathla, and other Seminole Indians, have leave to withdraw their petitions and papers.

#### APPROPRIATIONS FOR SURVEYS.

Mr. BREESE submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved. That the Secretary of the Tenarry inform the Sentre what amount if any, of macpended balances of former appropriations for the arrivey of the public their properties. The sent macpended balances is the area to ladde to the absolute in their if there he sent unexpended balances, they are not ladde to the absoluted in the parment of contracts for the public surveys heretofone made, and if the same or any part of the same can be applied to the payment of contracts herefile made.

## ADJUSTMENT OF CLAIMS FOR EXTRA PAY, ETC.

Mr. BREESE submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to :

Resident, That the Committee on Military Affain be instructed to inquine into the expediency of authorizing the Freedom of the United States to detail a composent of the States to detail a composent of the Committee of the Comm

Mr. BRADBURY submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved. That the Committee on Pentions be instructed to inquire into the expe-diency of extending the provisions of the 8th section of chapter 34 of the 1st session of the 6th Congress, granting pensions to officers, settinen, and marines, disabled in the line of their duty, to exses where such disability occurred prior to the passage of said

# MOTION FOR A RECESS.

Mr. HANNEGAN submitted the following motion for conside-

Ordered, That the Senate will to-morrow take a recess from 4 until 5 o'clock P. M.

### SCHOOL LANDS IN WISCONSIN.

Mr. BREESE, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the bill to modify the fourth clause of the seventh section of the act entitled "An act to enable the people of Wisconsin territory to form a constitution and State government, and for the admission of such State into the Union," approved August 6th, 1846, reported it with amendments.

## RENEWAL OF NAVAL PENSIONS.

Mr. YULEE, from the Committee on Naval Affairs, to whom was referred the amendments of the House of Representatives to the bill renewing certain naval pensions for the term of five years, and extending the benefits of existing laws respecting naval pen-sions to engineers, firemen, and coal heavers in the navy and to their widows, reported thereon.

The Senate proceeded to consider the amendments of the House of Representatives to the bill last mentioned, and it was

Resolved. That they disagree thereto.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

# CREEK INDIANS.

Mr. ATCHISON, from the Committee on Indian Affairs, to whom was referred the memorial of Benjamin Marshall and other delegates of the Creek nation of Indians, submitted a report, accompanied by a bill for the relief of certain Creek Indians.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed.

#### PRIVATE BILL

Mr. MANGUM, from the Committee on Foreign Relations, reported a bill for the relief of John Hogan; which was read and passed to the second reading.

#### GIBSON COUNTY, LOUISIANA.

Mr. BREESE, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives in addition to an act entitled "An act for the relief of the inhabitants of the reserved township in Gibson county, in the State of Louisiana, approved August 11th, 1842, reported it with amendments.

#### PRE-EMPTION CLAIMS

Mr. DOWNS, from the Committee on Private Land Claims, to whom was referred the bill to facilitate the entry of pre-emption claims, reported it with an amendment, and submitted a report on the subject; which was ordered to be printed.

#### INDIAN APPROPRIATION BILL.

ATHERTON, from the committee of conference on the Mr. AI HERADA, from the committee of concretion on the part of the Senate, on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the bill entitled "An act making appropriations for the current and contingent expenses of the Indian department, and for fulfilling treaty stipulations with the various Indian tribes for the year ending June 30th, 1849," and for other purposes, reported thereon.

The Senate proceeded to consider said report; and it was

Resolved, That they concur therein. Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representa-

tives accordingly.

# MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

Mt. President. The House of Representatives concar in the report of the commit-ter of conference in the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the bill contiled "an ancimaking appointations for the current and contingent expenses of the War Depart-ment, and for fulfilling reastly stipolatinas with the various Indian tribes for the year ending 20th Jones, 140," and for other purposes.

They have passed the bill granting a pension to Wm. Pitman.

### THE COMPROMISE BILL.

The Senate resumed as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill to establish the territorial governments of Ore-gon, California, and New Mexico.

Mr. UNDERWOOD .- Mr. President : I am that member of

Mr. UNDERWOOD.—Mr. President: I am that næmber of the committee who was altogether dissastified with the provisions of this bill. I rise to discharge a duty which I owe to myself, and to explain to my constituents the policy I advocated. The Senator from Indiana (Mr. Buteurr,) had proposed before the special committee was raised to apply the Missouri compro-ries of California and New Masico, in the newly acquired terries of California and New Masico, in the newly acquired terries of the property of the property of the property of the property of the it had been annihied to the country and of which the Strate of Misit had been applied to the country out of which the State of Missouri was formed. I moved to amend, by declaring expressly that emigrants might settle with their slaves south of the line 36° that enugrants might settle with their slaves south of the line 38° 30°, and should be protected in the use and enjoymen of their slave property, when so settled, as long as the country of their residence remained under a territorial government. When converted into States of the Union, of course they would look to their State governments for protection. I was willing to extend this line, in which the country had acquiesced, to the Pacific; but in doing so, I desired a clear and explicit declaration, that south of the line slaveinoliters should be protected in their slave property. This I was unable to obtain. There is a marked difference be-This I was unable to obtain. There is a marked difference between the territory of Louisiana when we purchased it from France, and the territories of New Mexico and California when France, and the territories of New Mexico and Camorina when we acquired them by the recent treaty with Mexico. In Louisi and slavery was tolerated at the time she was annexed to the United States. So of Texas. But in New Mexico and Cultionia slavery had been abolished previous to their acquisition, and and state of things, sharely was a consequence of this state of things, sharely would continue in Louisiana, and could not exist in New Mexico and Californa without changing the laws which operated upon this particular subject. By the Missou and compromise the law was changed in regard to Louisiana, and oldcompromise the law was changed in regard to Lonisiana, and sla-very probibited in all the country north of 36° 30′ leaving it to continue south of that line. By our recent acquisition of territo-ry, the boundary of the United States has been extended upon the Pacific occun from 42° to 32° 30′ north laturate. Thus, by ex-tending the Missouri compromise line to the Pacific, only four do-grees of latitude would be set apart into which slaveholders with the wearest with those leaves which for our above the forecast might emigrate with their slaves; while five and a half degrees

of latitude of the newly acquired territory would be appropriated exclusively to free labor. This division would leave the States and territories from which the institution of slavery is excluded and territories from which the institution of absery's resoluted larger, by more than a half a million of square miles, that these in which it is tolerated; and with such a division it seems to me on northern brethren might be antisfed. Slavery is not favorable to the dense population of a country. The area of the non-slaveholding States, with a population of 9,728,722, according to the census of 1840, is aloud 450,000 square miles. The area of miles, with a population of 7,323,426, of whom 2,700,027 are blacks, according to the same census. True, a large portion of the States to the south has recently been covered by Indian tribes, and closed against white settlements. But, after allowing for this, it is manifest that the non-slaveholding States, Unider such considerable for the such has repeated by the such considerable for the such that the slaveholding States. Unider such considerable for the such that the slaveholding States. Unider such considerable for the such as the such as a part much the largest portion to the uses of free Jaher, I could not precive any cause of dissatisfaction, or any good reason why the compromise line of 36° 30' should not be extended to the Pacific. Pacifie.

Members of the committee from the non-slaveholding Stat Members of the committee from the non-shaveholding States, however, could not be induced, in express terms, to declare that slaveholders might emigrate and settle with their slaves in any part of the territories south of 36° 30′, and hold that kind of pre-operations of the state of the state of the state of the state orbital state of the state of the state of the state of the olders might settle and hold their slaves south of 36° 30′, I sub-mitted a scheme by which, had it been adopted, the question of slavery would have been disposed of by the people of the territo-ries. The people of Oregon, as the bill now stands, have that privilege. I proposed to confer the same privilege upon the peo-ple of California and New Mexico. My plan was to organize to place of the state of the state of the state of the state of the place of the state place of the state of the st ramino of mese certainers regardance assembles; to consist of permanents or chambers, one to be composed of representatives elected by the male citizens above twenty-one years of age; and tho other, of the governor and judges, appointed by the President, with the advice and consent of the Senate; each chamber to have a negative upon the precedings and resolves of the other. I proa negative upon the proceedings and resolves of the other. I pro-posed to limit and restrict the power of the legislative assemblies thus constituted, in such manner as the committee might agree to be expedient, and in the following particulars, to wit: that they should not interfere with the disposition or sale of the vacant dosnound no thilleflered with the tapes such to sale out of all the terminant or pull leads, or contract any dots to the part of the territory, or establish any cooperation. In other matters I amble to confer full legislative powers, and to give effect to all laws which might be graated, and which were not incompatible the constitution and laws of the United States. I thought with were striking advantages likely to result from the adoption of this scheme. In the first place, we should, by conferring the elective franchise, indoctrinate the people of California and New Mexico with the first elementary principle of American liberty. We should teach them by degrees the responsibility of the representa-tive to the constituent body, and they would gradually learn to since to the desiration. Body, and they would gradually learn to admire and practise all the political duties of a republicar people. Thus they would become instructed in the principles of self-government, and fitted to take their positions as independent States of our Union at no very distant day. While these advantages were secarcia, my plan gearded against any possible injury to the interests of our government, by retaining an absolute negative, in the hands arriving and promise the property of the hands of of the hands

Why should gentlemen from the North refuse to permit the people of these new territories to decide for themselves the question of slaver? Why not let the people organize their society upon the same basis with that of their neighbors of Texas, with whom they constituted part of the same Mexican confederation of States a few years since? The refusal to allow the privilege is a reflection upon the understandings of the people of these territories, and reproachful, ifacto insulting, to the southern States. It is equivalent to saying that the people of New Mexico and California have not expacitly to discorn their true interest, or lack moral honthe to saying that the people of New Mexico and California have not capacity to discorn their true interest, or lack moral board testy to guide them. By the constitution the first moral board tests to guide them. By the constitution the first embrace of the North and the South of Californians and New Mexicans, and desping to them the right to decide this question for themselvest. In this very dominia they declare to the people of the slaveholding States that their institutions are unworthy of imitation and adoption. Sir, it is impossible, under such a state of feeling and action, to expect anything but heartburnings and bitter ammostities. And as the North assumes the right, without regard to the opinions, or prejudices, if you please, of the South, to dictate, regardless of the couclinatory spirit of olifered compromise, it must expect that such conduct will be met by firm resistance, if not revolutionary defined.

ary defiance.

All schemes of compromise and conciliation fell by the divisions of the committee; and this bill has been reported as the panacea—the healing balm—for our distracting agitations. I have no con-

Iddone on the remedy.

I do not doubt the power of Congress to make laws for the government of the people who inhabit a territory belonging to the United States. I shall not onter upon an elaborate argument to trace the power to its source, and to prove the propriety of its exercise. It results necessarily from the power expressly granted in the constitution to dispose of and make all needful rules and a results in the constitution to dispose of and make all needful rules and sendations example the results of the University of the Property crace to power to its source, and to prove the propriety of its exercise. It results necessarily from the power expressly graated exercise. It results necessarily from the power expressly graated are gulations respecting the torreared make all needful rules and regulations respecting the torreared make all needful rules and regulations respecting the torreared make all rules are greated to the constitution. As the propriety of the stream of the var and the preserved make a necessary incident to the power of acquiring territory, which power enumates from the war and the preservation of its property and the protection of its editors whilst for the preservation of its property and the protection of its editors whilst preserved the preservation of its property and the protection of its editors whilst preserved the rules of the preservation of its property and the protection of its editors whilst preserved the rules of the preservation of its property and the protection of its editors whilst preserved the rules of the preservation of its property and the protection of its editors and the preserved the rules of the preservation of its property and the protection of its editors and preserve the nequisition must follow; and how can be applied to the preserved the protection of the protection of

for the same offence; compel a man to testify against himself; or do any other thing prohibited by the constitution sooner in a territory than a State. The prohibitions in the constitution are great funthan a State. The prohibitions in the constitution are great fundamental principles, to be observed and obeyed at all times and in all places. But there is no prohibition to be found in the constitution in respect to the power of Congress over the question of slavery when legislating for a territory. If, then, the subject of Alzeriaca slavery be one over which the States of this Union have unlimited control and discretion within their respective boundaries and jurisdictions, what reason can be urged against the exercise of similar control and discretion by Congress when legislating for the territories and when there is no constitutional prohibition. of similar control and discretion by Congress when legislating for the territories and when there is no constitutional prohibition? I perceive a propriety in submitting the matter to the determination of the inhabitants of the territory, who, for good or ill, are to be effected by the institution; but, us a mere question of power, I perceive no ground of difference between Congress and the States within their respective jurisdictions. It is certain and must be palpable to every one, that a single State Virginia for example, has no right to legislate for the people of California, and establish. African sharery among them; the Californians, either as a conquered or ceded people, have no right to legislate for themselves, without the consent of their new soverient, upon any pransibles of without the consent of their new soverient, upon any pransibles. quered or ceded people, have no right to legislate for themselves, without the consent of their new soveriegn, upon any pranejar or national law. It follows that we must legislate for them dreedly, or confer upon the present of legislate for themselves. In doing the latter, we may prescribe usels terms as we choose. In doing the latter, we may prescribe usels terms as we choose the regard to the Oregon territory, the bill allows their legislature to settle the question of slavery for themselves. But this is a concession made by us. If we can grant the power their legislature to cossion made by us. If we can grant the power without a surrounded to Oregon cannot be voted for by any Senator without a surrounded to Oregon constitutional vince the power of Congress over the subject of slavery in the territories.

subject of slavery in the territorics.

In respect to New Mexico and California, the bill prohibits the

subject to shave? In the deritorial challering, the bill prohibits the lin respect to two Malescapiller of shavery. The same power which catalog is the prohibit fegislation would equally give the right to legislate. The only question, then, in my judgment is, how ought we to legislate? It think we ought to coopcomise upon the line of 36° 30°. But this is opposed by gentlemen from the one-slaveholding States. I shall now examine the grounds and consequences of their opposition.

The question, says the Scantor from Maine, [Mr. Hamiln.] is the establishment of human slavery upon free territory. How do we denounce England for the original introduction, [Mr. Coww.] American colonies, asks the Scantors, about to perpetrate the same enormities we condemn in others. Sir, I apprehend that gentlemen delude and deceive themselves, by supposed analogies, when none exist. The extension of slavery into our newly acquired territory is not identical in priociple with its original introduction into the colonies of Great Britain. While the commercial

policy of England was planting human slavery in Virginia and the Carolinas, she did not allow it to take root within her home borders. If it be truly regarded as a social and moral carse, Great Britain exhibited herself as inflicting it upon a people over whom she claimed the power of taxtion without representation; upon a compile who had not the ability at the time to resist the idlication, and upon a poolle she was willing to sacrifice for her separate profit and aggrandizament. She had no slaves at home to send out with the crizene emigrants to inhabit the wilderness, to convert the forests into farms and plantations, to expel wild beauty and savages, and to introduce civilization and christianity. She and savages, and controluce entril Affaira, exciting way made pushed her trade into the continent of the fact, as exciting way, with all lits accompanying horrors of blood, conflagration, and kidangping, og egit slaves with which to pash forward her settlements, plantations, and rande in the "New World." She decolared and and of the legges to build up her interests in the land of the Indian; land of the negro to billio the interests in the land of the locality establishing slavery abroad without tolerating it at home. Is it possible that gentlemen can look at the origin of slavery and its introduction among our ancestors, and then regard the extension of the institution into New Mexico and California with our emito the institution into JSSW intersice and California Will off emigrating people as bearing the least resemblance to the conduct of Great Britain? I have been infinitely associated with slave-holders all my life, but I feel no more responsibility for the existence of the institution than I do for the time and place of my birth. My responsibility in regard to it is the same which I feel in reference to any other existing institution of my country which in reference to any other existing institution of my country which I found ostablished in coming to years of discretion. As a man and a citizen, it is my dury, so far as I am able, to mould every social, civil, and political institution in such manner as shall produce the greatest amount of individual and jubble happiness. I may, from my proximity to slaves, be compelled by deeper sympathy and strouger motives of action to consider the subject; but I doubt whether the moral colligation which should prompt and stimulate us all to ameliorate the condition of the slave is as hind-discovery are as it should be used to Fancisiman or the Valeze ing upon me as it should be upon the Englishman or the Yankee ing upon me bit stoudue or upon the Lugissuman of the Yang-whose ancestors brought their eargoes of manacled thinnan vic-tims and sold them to my forefuthers. The profit of them, and and the criminality of its origin have descended upon the track there be upon guill from its continuance, that alone has fallen to the portion of my southern friends and myself.

the portion of my southern friends and myself.

I am disgusted, I am incensed at the conduct of those who are perpetually goading us on the subject of African slavery, and I beg leave on this occasion to expose their errors, and to suggest what they may do to benefit both the black and the white races, if their beeveloneace were guided by intelligence and true low of their species. They do not understand the subject upon which they write and speak so much. Certain it, is, their opportunities to understand and to comprehend it are not equal to those possessed by us, who live in the midst of slaves, and from necessity

have daily intercourse with them.

What are slaveholders required to do by northern abolitionists? What are slaveholders required to do by northern abolitionists? We are importuned to repeal all laws which hold our slaves in bondage, and all laws which deay to the black race political and social equality with the white. We are told that we should allow intellectual and moral attainments to regulate social intercourse and political regists, irrespective of color, and that it is only a vulgar prejudice to object to a black face and woodly hair. I believe it to be a principle of our nature to how one weeks that and best, it to be a principle of our nature to how one weeks that and heat, are exceptions, generous and noble exceptions, to the general valle. A man may die for his wile, his field, his friend, his country, and in many circumstances it may be a duty to sacrifice life—a duty which some men will gloriously perform; they may be stima duty which some men will gloriously perform; they may be stimulated to perform it from motives generous and noble, although selfish. The building up a great character is not altogether a disinterested work. The general rule, however, with the masses senso. The unusual pa a great character is not antigerater a disinterested work. The general rule, however, with the masses of mankind, is self-love first; and we honor the exception the more because it is contrary to and a departure from the general rule. Under this rule our aflections and intellectual nature find their highest enjoyments in associating with those in all respects most like ourselves. Unity of sentiment and personal resemblance are the fountains of harmony and love; the want of them, cause of districts and antipathy, become the harred so easily generated among acates or different races of men. Abdish slavery, place the slave in possession of every right to which the master is entitled, and what will be the consequence? Will they harmonize and love each other because they are equal in all respects in the eyo of the law, or may not the antipathy, the rivarity, and harred of ensites assume the place of service obedience? Let the jestonies, hatred and wars which in all ages of the world have great heart proposed for extension of the control of the second control of the control of the proposed for the second control of the control of the proposed for the proposed distrust and antipathy; hence the hatred so easily generated among murdering the mulattoes. Trace these wars to their source, and we find no other cause for them as potent as the difference of color. Let any man of sense look ahead, and contemplate what must in-Let any man of somes look ahead, and contemplate what must in-evitably happen in the southern Sittes, opercally in those where the black population exceeds the white, in case our slaves are in-vested with all the rights and privileges of free white cliziens.— Let any one contemplate the consequences likely to result from the suffrages of those recently liberated, with their passions in-flamed by black or white denongegues, speaking to them of past oppressions and pointing to manisons of elegance, well-strocked farms, and rich plantations as the ring short of dangerous again-tor of their ancestors. Can be a fine partial pass and increasing systions and bitter animosities, if not agrarian laws and intestine war,

spring from such a state of things? Sir, I have witnessed the free white population of my own beloved State at the point of civil war, when divided upon a constitutional question affecting the debtor and creditor classes of society. I have seen my own State distracted between two appellate judicial tribunals, a "new court" and an "old court." I have seen neighbors and families so divided, excited, and infuriated, in regard to relief laws and the concontinuous and continuous continuous and the con-putation of the continuous continuous continuous continuous purpose and the con-putation of the shedding of blood. I have heard of anti-rept diffi-culties and morders in New York growing out of the relation of landiord and tenant. To teach the poor that the rich are their oppressors has become an article of faith in the political cred of demagogues. In view of these things, I never will consent to see political contests between black men and their former masters in my own State or any other southern State. On that subject the opinion of the whole South is unalterably settled, and the northern abolitionist who expects to change it is a philanthropist of the strait-jacket order. Sir, the idea of political, civil, and social equality between the black and white races, in the same community, is an impossibility, and the sooner all ranks of citizens, North and South, perceive it, the better for all.

The conduct of non-slaveholding communities confirms my nions, and justifies the South in relusing to abolish slavery. What has the Senator from New York, [Mr. Dix,] said in regard to has the Senator from New York, [Mr. Dix.,] said in regard to free negroes? He has most unequivocally declared that he re-garded them as disadvantageous, if not a noisance, to the white population; and the Senator from Ohio, [Mr. Corwin,] went so far as to evince a determination to exclude that description of population, and to prevent their settling in his State by force. In this his constituents had taken the lead, and actually put his principles into practice by the expulsion of the Rundolph negroes. Il-linois has excluded free negroes from her territory by constitutional provisions. I know not how many free negro mohs have been gotten up from time to time in our northern cities. With these northern manifestations of hostility to the doctrine of social and civil equality with the negro race before our eyes, how can gen-

horrent to them?

tlemen expect us to welcome and endure a state of things so ab-We are charged with doing violence to the moral, liberal, and regenerating free principles of the age in which we live, when we ask to be allowed to emigrate with our slaves to New Maxico and California. The up-risen people of France and the overthrow of Louis Philippe have been referred to, and those of us who vo-ted application sympathy to Frenchmen are charged with incon-sistency. In France there is a homogeneous population. The difference of castes does not prevail. No natural jealousies or antipathics exist, growing out of difference of color. Hence there could be in France no objection to placing the entire population upon the basis of political and social equality, allowing intellectuupon the basis of political and social equality, allowing intellectual and moral attainments to regulate the grade of individual elevation. I believe there are insuperable barriers to this equality among the black and white population of the United States; and hence I am not inconsistent when I sympathize with Frenchmen in their efforts to establish a republic. But, sir, the recent insurrection in Paris turnshess a most striking illustration of the danger which society is bamel, and to which it is the hibitated. We have seen multitudes, ourriers, under the fascinating idea of organized labor, liking monthe bublic treasury in idleness, until it was oblabor, living upon the public treasury in idleness, until it was obvious that public bankruptcy would result from the continuance of the system. We have seen the same persons organizing to over-throw the government so soon as their craft was in danger, inconflagration, if defeated." Sir, if the working classes of France, as soon as they are emacipated from the shackles of monarchy, forgetting their duties to liberty, by the establishment of just laws and social order, and organizing for public plunder, march to the and social order, and organizing for public plunder, march to the accomplishment of their nelarious purposes through seenes of fractricidal carange, what may we not expect from the emancipation of three millions of slaves, and immediately conferring upon them equal social and political rights?

It seems to me that gentlemen from the North, in their incessant attacks of our "peculiar institution," are influenced by a zeal without knowledge. Let them coolly look at facts, and they will sant attacks of our "peculiar institution," are influenced by a zeai without knowledge. Let them coolly look at facts, and they will find involuntary scrivinde enough, besides negre slavery to describe their sympathy. What is the relation between parent and child their sympathy. What is the relation between parent and child right and the part of the child and the particular scountries, but continuing on the part of the child and the particular scountries, but continuing on the part of the child and the particular scountries, but continuing on the part of the child and the particular scountries, but continuing on the part of the child and the particular scountries, but continuing on the part of the child and the particular scountries and the particular scountries and the particular scountries of the parent. I concede that this relation of parent and child, founded in nature and regulated by law, deserves the name of holy. I admit the great distribution of the parent and save. I only refor to the former to show that of mass case where the will and actions of one person are, for the good of that person during minority, subjected from necessity to the control of the will of another. The period at which nonage terminates is regulated by law, and hence the service of the child may be continued long after his intellectual and physical capacity have caulted him to provide for and take care of himself. enabled him to provide for and take care of himself.

There is yet another instance of involuntary servitude imposed-by law, not for the punishment of crime, but to display the noblest What are our lunatic asylums but houses, not prisons, humanity.

in which unwilling subjects, not slaves, are subjected by law to the control of another's will. Their servicule is involuntary, and tontinues through the had interval, and that is involuntary, and continues through the had interval, and that is involuntary, and the patient is not yet thoroughly reheveded from the ground that the patient is not yet thoroughly reheveded for the panishment of crime, I may be doing little else than laying the loundation for raillery and more indignation in the aorthern mind and heart. I admit the vast difference in degree between these cases of involuntary servitude and that of negro slavery, but I dely the intellect of man to make them less than cases of involuntary servitude, in which the will or voltion of one person is controlled and revitude, in which the will or voltion of one person is controlled and relation, the father, too, may will of another. In the parental relation, the father, too, may will of another. In the parental relation, the father, too, may will of another. In the parental relation, the father, too, may will of another. In the parental relation, the father, too, may will of another. In the parental relation, the father, too, may will of another. In the parental relation, the father, too, may will of another. In the parental relation, the father, too, may will of another. In the parental relation, the father, too, may allow the south of another. In the parental relation, the father, too, may allow the south of another and the south of th

an any good result from the denunciation of slaveholders? Will it benefit the slave to exasperate his master? If the abo-litionist could but know that his intemperate denunciation and misguided and ill digested expressions of sympathy have had no other effect than to tighten the cords of slavery, surely he would allow his reason to control his feelings, and he would leave to the people of the South the entire management of their domestic stitutions in their own way. If we are afflicted with evil, let us udge. We reject obtrusive and gratuitous instruction. I do not loubt but that there are cruel and inhuman masters. I do not judge. We reject obtrusive and gratuitous instruction. I do not doubt but that there are ornel and inhuman masters. I do not doubt but that there are ornel and inhuman masters. I do not doubt but that many individual eases of cruelty have occurred and may occur again. There are eases of cruelty in the matrimonial relation, and for many of which divorces are granted; but will any one assert that the relation of husband and wife is necessarily cruel and inhuman! It is not true, sir, that African slavery is meessarily accompanied by acts of cruelty. In my own State we have laws to take slaves from cruel masters, and apprentices like-wise. So also we have laws to take children from cruel parents, and to release wives from erucl husbands. There is nothing more fallacious than to make a few individual cases the basis of conclusions in regard to the whole subject. Now, sir, I do not hesitate to assert that there is not a laboring population to be found on earth who, in the general, are better provided for than the slaves of Kentucky. Our tables of population prove beyond controversy that the entire slave population of the United States are treated with kindness and humanity. It is the master's pecuniary interest not to abuse the slave, and there is a guarantee for good treatment, if no higher motive existed. But look at the facts. Can a down-trodden, half-starved, half-clothed, miserable, worked-to-death population increase and duplicate their numbers, as the slave population and free blacks of the United States have done? In 1790 there were only 59,466 free persons of color in the United States. In 1840 there were 386,303-making an increase of more than six-fold 1840 there were 386,303—making an increase of more than six-fold. No other fact is necessary to prove the existence of a strong disposition to emancipate. The importation of slaves from abroad was allowed up to the first of January, 1808. I have no know-ledge how many were imported between 1790 and that time. I therefore take the census of 1810 as the basis of a calculation to show how the black population, free and slave, has increased. The total colored population in 1810 was 1,377,801. In 1840 it was 2,873,759. Thus in thirty years they increased at the rate of 208½ per cent. Now, compare this with our free white population. In 1810 we had 5,862,004 free whites. In 1840 we had 1,489,595. Thus the increase with the free whites was at the rate of 242 per cent. making a difference of only 33½ per cent. in tirty years. But it must be romembered that, during these thirty tyears. But it must be romembered that, during these thir rate of 242 per cent. making a difference of only 334 per cent. In thirty years. But it must be romemilered that, during these thir-ty years, the tide of European emigration has been pouring in up-on us at the rate of hondreds of thousands, to help to swell the numbers of free whites, and that, during the greater part of the same period, we have sent our black emigratus to Liberia. Re-garding the accessions to our population from abroad, it would materially reduce the 334 per cent. of difference, and show that our black population had multiplied hearly if not quite emonstra-tion that there is no just foundation for those charges of cruelty and inhumanity which are constantly put forth to the prejudice of the needs of the South. I feel assured that there is no southern the people of the South. I feel assured that there is no southern State but will legislate still further; if it be necessary, to secure bumane treatment to their slaves. I hope, therefore, that our northern brethren will dry up their tears and distress themselves no more

I have said thus much to vindicate my section of the country against harassing and offensive attacks from those who have only against marassing and offensive attacks from those who have only done mischief by attempting to interfere in our concerns. I am no advocate for the institution of negro slavery. I believe its exist-cone in Kentneky to be prejudicial to the best interests of the white population, and if I had the power to colonize and remove every population, and it had the power to colonize and remove every slave within the borders of my own State, I would most cheerfolly do it. But I am deeply impressed with the conviction, that to lib erate our slaves and retain them among us, either with full or par crate our staves and retun them among us, either with fall or pur-tal privileges as free citizens, would be a calamity which would induce every same man who could escape, to fit from a society so constituted. The reasons for this opinion I have long since pub-lished and printed. I am, therefore, only willing to emancipate upon the condition of colonization. But, when the people of the South propose colonization, how are they met by those of the North? We are rold that it is indumant to exportantly free negroes North? or slaves, and that the scheme is impracticable. I will endeavour to satisfy all reasonable considerate men that one-half the expen-ses of the Moxican war invested in a six per cent. stock would, by a proper system of African colonization, in less than fifty years extirpate slavery in the United States. I will give the scheme as applicable to my own State, and if its practicability be demonstrated, the demonstration can easily be applied to every other State. ted, the demonstration can easily be applied to every other State. Let a future day be fixed, after which every alave child born shall be the property of the State, for the purpose of colonization—Place the children when weard in the hands of those who will raise them—females till they are eighteen years of age, and makes until they are twenty-flour or twenty-tive, and upon their reaching these ages send them to Africa. There, in a lew words, in the whole scheme. Now as to its practical operation. By sending off the females as they treathed eighteen, the race would become always past the prime fifty years, with the exception of a few old states past the prime fifty years, with the exception of a few old staws past the prime fifty years, with the reached womanhood would put an end to the hirth of a laws among us. The extripation of slavery under such a rule is therefore just as certain as the laws of nature. But it would be slowly accomplished. So much the better on that account, as Africa is not omplished. So much the better on that account, as Africa is not fitted for the reception of all at once, and by doing the thing gradually we should accommodate ourselves, in our labor and habits, to any we should accommodate ourserves, in our labor suc manuts, to the new state of things slowly yet certainly taking place. Where is the money to come from to defray the expense, and who is to furnish it? The colonization society can charter ships and transport adult colonists and take care of them in Alrica, until they are port adoll colonists and take care of them in Airica, antil they are acclimated and capable of providing for themselves, for \$60 a head. In their own packet they do it for \$50 a head. This information is given to me by Mr. McLain, the secretary of the society. Now, I believe that those to whom the children are bound would, in consideration of their services, readily stipulate to furnish the required outfit. Poor white children are bound out by our laws, and the master or mistress is required to teach them a trade, to educate them, &c. Boys are bound until they arrive at trade, to educate them, &c. Boys are bound until they arrive at reade, to educate them, &c. Boys are bound until they arrive at reade, to educate them, &c. Boys are bound until they arrive at reade to be furnished with three pounds ten shillings, stoom and a new suit of cluthes when their term of service expires. I would lengthen the apprenticeship of male colonists so as to raise the lengthen the apprenticeship of male colonists so as to raise the funds necessary. Females being apprenticed until eighteen, would serve two years longer than the period required for white apprenticed girls, and therefore their services would be much more val-nable. If the apprentice lived and emigrated to Africa, I would make just compensation to the owner; but if the slave died during the apprenticeship, and before his labor had paid for his raising, then nothing would be due.

then nothing would be une. Under the foregoing plan how many slaves would it be necessary to transport annually from Kenucky? I By the census of 1840 of 10 and 21 years. Divide by 11, and it gives 2,011 in their 18th year. Now, the slave children at this time in Kentucky would for he next eighteen years supply annually, in growing up. 2,001 females for transportation. But just as soon as the system goes into operation, there will be fever children born. After the end of thirty years from its commencement, it may be safely affirmed, there would not be a slave bear in Kentucky; after twenty years there would be but few births among the mothers remaining in the country. In the intecent, or twentieth years of the operation of years, and from that time their numbers would rapidly dinnish, until not one in her 18th year could be found for transportation. Now, multiply 2,201 by \$50, the sum it costs the colonization society in its own packet, and we have \$110,050 only as the sun necessary to be expended annually for the certain and grandes with them. Admit it, and double the expenditure in order and would be crued in the extreme to send off females without sending nales with them. Admit it, and double the expenditure in order and a surface of the males suntil they arrived to 24 or 25 years, or oven longer if necessary, and requiring them to labor the last four or five years in aid of colonization, I central no adoubt hat our slave population can, by their own labor, without cesting our white population one cent, transport, settle, and provide for themselves in Africa. But it must be systematically undersken and water population can, by their own labor, without cesting our white population one cent, transport, settle, and provide for themselves in Africa. But it must be systematically undersken and water population content, transport, settle, and provide for themselves in Africa. But it must be systematically undersken and water population content, transport, settle, and provide for themselves in Africa. But it must be

female slave population of the United States as they reach their 18th year. But, under the idea that humanity requires us out an equal number of males, let the expenditure be doubled, and it makes \$2,786,500. I said half the expenses of the Mexican war, invested in a six per cent. stock, would accomplish the ob-ject. A capital of \$50,000,000 would produce annually the sum required, and an excess of more than \$200,000 for centingencies. I have thus, sir, only sketched the outline of a plan by which united systematic effort can extirpate slavery. I have no time to go into minute details, and obviate every conceivable objection. Only look at the thousands and hundreds of thousands of foreigners an nually landing upon our shores from the old world, and then, sir, reflect that it only requires the removal of 27,865 female slaves annually, at a cost of \$1,393,250, and no sane mind can doubt the practicability of the scheme. I have made the calculations upon the census of 1840. The increase of population since then would increase the numbers and expense; but I possess no data upon which to give the increased expenditure required with accuracy. In our unbounded schemes of annexation and dominion, we have nothing to do but to annex Liberia, govern her as a colony until we have located the negro race there, and then separate, leaving her an independent republic. Such a scheme, in its execution, would lead to commercial results magnificent in their development; and, while it would be just as constitutional as other schemes of annexation, would be infinitely wiser, because its motive would be peace and good will to man, instead of war, con-

But suppose, Mr. President, colonization is rejected, what is to bappen then? You cannot divest slavery from the Dut suppose, you present the continuation is rejected, what is so the property of the property last. Our decennial tables of population prove, that in reference to many States in our Union, slavery has been marked by three distinct stages; the first is when the slave population increases at a greater ratio than the white; the second, when the white popula tion increases at a greater ratio than the slave; and the third when the slave population actually decreases. It is remarkable, too, that these changes have progressed with great regularity, establishing beyond controversy, that when the slave population begins to decrease, it must go on until the causes which produced its decline will ultimately exterminate it. New York and New Jersey together had, in 1790, 32,747 slaves. By the census of 1800 the number of slaves in these two States had increased only eighteen; but there was a decrease in New York of 98I, and an increase in New Jersey of 999. After 1800 the slaves in both States rapidly declined, until in 1840 there were but 678 left, and now in both States the institution has been abolished. In Delaware the number of slaves has decreased from 8,887 in 1790 to 2,605 in In Maryland the number of slaves increased until 1810. 1820 they had decreased from 111,502 in 1810 to 107,398. the number for a period of ten years fell about 4,000. In the next period of ten years the fall was a little more than 5,000, and by the census of 1840 the number had come down to 89,737, exhibiting a diminution in number of 12,457 in the last ten years. In the District of Columbia the number of slaves was 6,377 in 1820, had slightly declined in 1830, and came down to 4,694 in 1840. In Virginia the number of slaves continued to increase until 1830. when they reached 469,757. The census of 1840 exhibits a decline of 20 770 Thus we see that slavery has reached its height in the States on the Atlantic, including Virginia and all north and east, and commenced declining, making such progress that those far-thest north and east have abolished the institution. In North Carolina in 1830 there were 245,601 slaves. In eighteen hundred and thirty there were 245,817, showing an increase of 216 only; so that slavery for the intervening ten years was upon a stand. In Kentucky, we have passed from the first into the second stage The census of 1840, for the first time, showed of the institution. that our free population had increased by a small but greater ra that our rice population had hereused by a small off greater ra-tio than the slave. Since 1840 our slave population has been nearly at a stand. Our lists of taxable property, in which the slaves are annually enumerated, show that in 1847 their number was 189,549; showing an increase of only 7,291 since the census of 1840; or an increase at the rate of about half of one per cent. per annum. There has been no enumeration of the whole of our free population since 1840; but from other facts there is no doubt our free population has increased during the same period at a rate. In 1839 our free white males over twenty-one years, or the voters of the State, numbered 108,500. In 1847 our voters amounted to 136,945; making an increase at the rate of more than three per cent, per amount for the last eight years. In 1847 we had 173,968 free children between the ages of five and showing an increase of 4,773 in one year. sixteen years; facts, exhibited in the report of our second auditor, show that our white population in Kentucky is rapidly increasing, while the slave white population in Kentucky is raparly increasing, while the stave population is nearly at a stand; and they likewise prove that the day is not distant when the number of slaves in Kentucky will begin to decrease as in Maryland and Virginia. Our anditor's report already shows a decrease in the number of slaves in twenty eight counties in the State, comparing the years 1846 and 1847; and our lists of taxable property prove that there are more than three-fourths of our voters who do not own a slave. These re-sults have in part been produced by an act of the legislature, passed in 1833, prohibiting the introduction of slaves into the

State, except brought by emigrants, or whea they have been acquired by inheritance. If the convention about to be called in quired by inheritance. If the convention about to be called in Kentucky should, in the new constitution, provide that the further introduction of slaves should under no circumstances be allowed such a provision, I have no doubt, would tend to the rapid dimnution of the slave population.

nation of the slave population.

There are causes existing in the slaveholding States, independent of human sympathies and legislation, which tend to the removal of slaves from those of them furthest north to those situated

I will enumerate some of them :

Interest south. 1 win enumerate some of them:
First, and chiefest, slave labor can be more profitably employed
in the planting States to the South, in rice, sugar, and cottonfields, than it can be in the grain-growing and stock-raising regions of the middle States; and hence there is a strong motive of
interest and gain to transfer slaves where they can be most profitinterest and gain to transfer slaves where they can be most profit-

Second. In growing grain and raising stock the uaskilful and carcless management and habits of the slave, and the impracticability of furnishing him constant employment after the country is cleared, farms improved, and population become dense, renders it cheaper for the farmer to hire labor as it is wanted than to keep slaves of all ages and sexes, who are to be fed, clothed, and pro-

vided in all respects at his expense throughout the year.

Third. As our free population increases, there will be an in Third. As our free population increases, there will be an in-creased competition among those seeking employment. This nat-urally brings down the price of labor, and enables the farmer not only to obtain free labor whenever he wants it, but at such re-duced rates as to make it more profitable than slave labor. The inevitable consequence is, that the master's interest requires him to send his slaves further South, into newer soils, where labor more in demand, and commands higher wages, and produces better crops.

Fourth. The climate and mild temperature of the latitudes be low thirty-six degrees are better suited to the constitution and habits of the negro race than those north of that line. I believe the negro is better fitted by nature to labor under a southern sun than the white man. All these causes, combined with others, ac-count for the facts exhibited in our census tables, and they will contime to operate, with the same effect, until the cotton, sugar, and South are fully brought into cultivation and adequately supplied with slave labor. Some unlooked-for revulsion may render slave labor more profitable in corn-fields and meadows. In that event, they will be retained in the grain-growing and gra-

zing States where they now are.
But, sir, the time is rapidly advancing when a crowded population
will every where reduce the price of labor, and bring about an ac tive competition among those seeking for employment. Long be-fore our population is as dense as that of Europe slave labor will be undermined, subdued, and expelled by free white labor from all those States of the American Union where the white man is not physically enervated by the climate, and rendered thereby unable to enter into successful competition with the negro. means of subsistence are inadequate to the full supply of the wants of a redundant population, it is not difficult to foresee that then the sufferings from lunger will fall with greatest severity upon the slaves; if, indeed, they are not all liberated before that time ar-rives, from the atter inability of their masters to support them upon the products of an exhausted soil.

Now, sir, I hold it to be the duty of a statesman to contemplate this vast subject in all its aspects before the time arrives when necessity will force it upon our children, if not upon ourselves. I have looked at it, and have come to the conclusion that wisdom and philanthropy might unite upon a system of colonization, calculated in its results to bless the white man and the black, to relieve Ameri ca of a class whose continuance here I regard as an evil, and whose removal to Africa would, under the providence of the Almighty, civilize and Christianize that savage and pagan conti-

Now, sir, with these views, I think that scattering the slaves we have over wider territory would promote a more rapid decrease of that population in those States where it is now diminishing, and hasten the period when with safety they might imitate the examples of New York and New Jersey. We do not make anothe slave by spreading them over a larger surface. And as slaveholders have contributed in the war with Mexico their quota of men ers have contributed in the war with Mexico their quote of more and money. I hold that it is an act of justice to allow them to participate in the enjoyment of the new territories with their slaves. If it be refused, then the slave population will be confined to the limits of the States where slavery now exists. The gentleman limits of the States where slavery now exists. The gendleman from New York [Mr. Dix] has declared his intention so to confine it, upon the ground that, by the laws of pepulation, slaves would increase laster in the excitonent, health, and abundance now territories than they would if penned up where they are,

The gentleman forgets that the laws of population which op critic generation not go action that the layers of population which op-are restrinated, and will not readily contract marriage until they have before them reasonable prospects of comfortably providing for a family. Hence difficulty in supporting a family is a great restraint upon marriage, and a check to the increase of free popu-lation. But no such considerations as these operate upon the slave; he has no care in providing for wife or children. That is the bu-sinoss of the master, and consequently nothing will check the na-tural increase of the slave but the existence of such deprivation of physical comforts as to produce disease and death. Happily for the slave population of the South, there is not and has not been anything like physical suffering, for want of food and raiment, so

as to produce a general state of disease, and to prevent their increase. It will require a long time yet, I trust, before the southern States are incapable of supporting in confort their whole population; but the day may, and probably will, come when a superabundant population in the South may field the pinchings of cold and hanger as they have been felt in starring Ireland. My philanthrepy teaches me to look ahead, and legislate with a view to land the production of the prod

the least soundness in it. On the contrary, the dissolution of the Union would instantly emancipate all the intelligent active slaves in the border slaveholding States. From Kentucky they would be asserted to the state of the state of the state of the state of the would be asserted to the state of and the young to be left with the old and helpiess to take care of and the young to raise. The same thing would occur in all the border States. Every one must see that slavery cannot exist, after the dissolution of the Union, in those States binding upon dismembered States which would not deliver up fugitive slaves.

The Union will never be dissolved under the idea of better securing slave property. There is no danger to the Union from that source, but the danger lies in this, that northern members of Congress, northern ministers and members of churches, and the whole against southern men, southern institutions, and the cantier people of the South as to engeader sectional feelings and parties; to create sectional jendenses and animositiers; and to establish a public sentiment in favor of separation and non-interceurse, in order to avoid the bitterness and harted which ypring from an association between parties, when on the one side arrogant assumptions of superiority are propertually mannifesting their scorn for the principles periority are perpetually manifesting their scorn for the principles and practices of the other. Sir, it is just as impossible, in the nature of things, for confederated States to remain united, as it is for individuals to continue their friendly intercourse after every feeling of mutual respect has been supplanted by mutual contempt and abhorrence. Nor can the citizens of a consolidated government of muttar respect use to the citizens of a consolidated government, abhorrence. Nor can the citizens of a consolidated government, born and raised under such free institutions as ours, with the most perfect enjoyment of the liberty of speech and of the press, adhere to a common government, when they are divided into sectional factions and feel towards each other nothing but rivarity and ha-tred. Such a state of affairs will be broken up by revolutions and "Your last tables of commerce and navigation show that southern ports sent abroad upwards of twenty millions of dol-lars in value of domestic products more than were sent from the lars in value of domestic products more than were sent from the ports of the non-slaveholding States. The conduct of the northern abolitionists towards the South; the feeling of the North which has burst forth in the formation of a free soil party, with Ex-President Van Buren at its head; the refusal of this Congress to allow slaveholders to emigrate with their slaves to any part of New Mexico or California; and the obstructions by mobs and otherwise to prevent the recovery of fugitive slaves, are powerful agencies in alienating the citizens of the South from those of the North, and generating those sectional feelings which may lead to separation. The South knows her mereantile importance in the separation. The South Knows ner mercautie importance in size irrade of the world's she knows that, in point of interest, she can do as well, if not better, without the labor and shipping of the North than the North can do without the rich products of southern soil and labor. I feel and believe that both sections will Gourish best by reciprocal kindness, fractional toleration, and wise forbearance towards the peculiar institutions, opinions, and laws of each other. Sir, it would promote the pecuniary and commercial interest of the North to allow slaveholders to take their slaves interest of the North to allow slaveholders to take their slaves wherever they can be most profitably employed. Such a course would swell the already vast aggregate of the great southern staples, and formish increased employment for northern ships and sailors, factors and merchants. But this good is to be surrendered, and we are to be influenced by a vagoe, undefined notion that somebody would be benefited by confining our slaves to their present limits, and the idea that the examsion they would more rapidently and the sailors of the sail the sail to the sail the sail to the

someondy would be benefited by confining our slaves to their present limits, and the idea that by expansion they would more rapidely fulfill the great command to "multiply and replenish the earth." But our southern democracy affects to believe that the institution of slavery is only safe under the protection of the vectopower of the Executive, and that it is only necessary to elevate General Cass to the Presidency to secure all they desire. Sir, I am opposed to that reliance. I will consent to the exercise of the veto power on the part of the President for any purpose, except to save the constitution from violation, or to produce the reconsideration of some act manifestly passed without deliberation. I will not sustain or created any despote power in on man, to multip the design of the president for many the product of the president for many the product of some act manifestly passed without deliberation. I will not

liberate will of a majority of the American people; and before I would become a menarchist, asking protection from the throne, I would sooner dissolve all connexion with those who by their numbers had the power, and who by their votes manifested the disposential, to oppress me. Sir, I shall despair of the republic who the Representatives of the American people in Congress assembled can no longer be trusted, and when we are to look to the f'resi-

dent alone for safety.

But how is the veto power to save the South? Suppose (what is hardly supposable) that General Case should be elected by south is hardly supposable) that General Case should be elected by south ren votes, and that Congress should thereafter pass a bill prohibiting slavery in the new territories, and he should place his veto upon such bill, how then shall we stand in respect to New Mexico and California? If anti-slavery members of Congress are sufficiently aumerous to pass such a bill, it is utterly bopeless to expect that they will, by the application of the Missouri compromise the passive such as the such properties of the terreduction of shavery into these territories south the veto power of General Case as President should strike dead the positive action of Cengress in prohibiting slavery, then we are to be left without any law upon the subject in those territories without always the subject of shavery and that respect to give us precisely the blessings which we can derive from this bill; for by it he power to make laws "respecting slavery" in New Mexico and California is interduced, and on that subject there is to be no speech of that profound lawyer from Vermont, [Mr. Pixtzes,] who warmly advocates the passage of this bill, notwithstanding is open and avowed hostility to the further extension of slavery! He says, and I believe truly, that it is only necessary to prohibit further legislation on the subject of slavery in California and New Mexico, to exclude slavery from their borders forever. The decrees of Mexico abolishing are to remain as they are forever and all other parts of her empire, have been read at our clerks table. Now, sir, if things are to remain as they are forever and lawy of the passible that a slave can be bed as such in our newly acquired territories? If it he our "manifest destiny," in our progress of enlarging the "area of freedom," to annex Canada and relevant the subject of slavery in California and New Mexico, a so constitution of a sone as the deed is done ca

The position taken by me e-tenders from the Second with other cate the passage of this bill is, that, in retrieve the Second with other cate the passage of this bill is, that, in retrieve the Second with other cate the Second with the Sec

I therefore wash my hands of it.

But, Mr. President, let us look at facts, and consider for a moment longer how vain a thing it is to hope for the extension of perpetuation of negro slavery by relying upon the considerable to the constant of the constan

with the profession of such principles before the election, as their with the procession in such principles before the election, as their candidate for the Presidency? Does not every one perceive that such a course on the part of the South is calculated to aggravate the anti-slavery feeling of the North, and to bring upon us those insults, reproaches, and arrogant assumptions which will, unless-checked, divide us into sectional parties and ultimately sever the

For myself, I never will adopt such a line of policy. I shall at-tempt to reason with my fellow-citizens of the North, and their representatives, and when I find reason is vain, that ambition and self-sheets are covered under mock philanthropy, and that the con-demned institution of slavery, for which the people of my State are no more responsible than those of Massachusetts, has effectu-ally alienated the hearts of northern men and women from the people of the South, I shall lock to other reliances than Executive people of the state of the stat

can never hope to estate the unit of the triangle of triangle It provides for a lawsnit between master and slave, and South. It provides for a lawsuit between master and slave, and gives the right to bring it by appeal or writ of error to the Su-preme Court. I am unwilling, when I return home and an asked by my coastituents whether they can emigrate with and hold their slaves in New Mexico or California, to answer "you may do so at your peril and at the risk of having your shaves manimited by the decisions of the courts." Who will take slaves there under such discouraging circumstances? Who will incur the costs of the litigation, when the opinions expressed here by the lawyers from tigation, when the opinions expressed here by the lawyers from the North are unanimous against the master and in favor of the slave; and when, to say the least, the lawyers from the South are divided in opinion? What master so unwise as to risk his slave property under such circumstances, and to incur the certain expense and trouble of litigating with his slaves, as provided for by this bill?

by this bill?

But we are promised peace and quiet, in regard to the question of slavery, after this bill passes. If this bill contains one soporifie quality, my alchymstic skill does not enable me to detect it. Sir, it will throw a new, a stimulating ingredient into the effer-veesing political caldron. What have we already heard in debate?

The gentleman from Ohio, [Mr. Cownyn,] speculates upon the struggle) constructed and curious organ, the hardstruggle constructed and curious organ. man intellect, and wonders how it is that in this Senate all the members north of Mason and Dixon's line think one way, and members north of Mason and Dixon's line think one way, and nearly all South the other way, upon the questions which this hill proposes to submit to the decision of the Supreme Court. And then, sir, we have it gravely counted up and ascertained that five of the nine judges of the Supreme Court live south of Mason and

What is all this for, but to suggest to the Ameri-

Dixon's line.

can people that the Supreme Court will decide, not according to the law of the case, hat according to the feelings and wishes of the neighbors of the respective judges, and that they will be in-Hoenced by the slaveholding communities in which they live. Well, suppose the judges should decide that the constitution, per age-stablishes slavery in California and New Mexico, what theo? The gentleman from Oblo, [Mr. Coxwins,] will be ready to exclaim, "I told you so; I knew they could not be trusted; I foresaw the A told you so, I knew they could not be trusted; I foresaw the wonderful influence which geographical lines possess and exercise over the human intellect <sup>17</sup>. And what will the gentleman from Vermont, [Mr. Pherps.] say? He has already told us that the question was too plain to admit of doubt, and if the court should

question was too plain to admit of doubt, and if the contribuoid decide against his view, will be not exclaim. "stupidity, stupidity' corruption, corruption in And what will the great northern public say and do? Sir, they will denounce the Supreme Court and clamor for Congressional interference to avert the consequences of a corrupt decision. In all these steps 1 foresee the deepest and bitterest agitations and eriminations, and the danger is that they will progress and increase until their intensity melts the bands of union and dissolves society into its original elements. If we have power to refer the question to the decision of the Supreme Court, have we not the power to settle it ourselves? In my judgment, have we not the power to settle it ourselves? In my judgment therefore the question out in the American people simil definitions, and the suprementative of the suppose that a judicial toric transfer of the suppose that a judicial toric stop, based upon the laws as they now exist in New Mexico and California, will settle what shall be the law in regard to slavery in those territories for all time to come.

Canionia, will section that sharp be the law in Tegata to shavery in those torritories for all time to come.

Mr. President, when I was a member of the House I voted against all rules which restricted debate upon the subject of slavery. My votes at the commencement of the session show that I very. My votes at the commencement of the session where man-have been unwilling to silence any northern Senator, or to prevent the presentation of potitions. I hold that the attempt is prepasted rough to the following the properties of the properties of debate or petitions. Such a course only incenses the public mind, or the properties of the properties of the properties of the public mind. rous to stiffe inquiry or to keep uown agrantou by restrictions. Such a course only increases the public mind. Knowing, as I do, that no moral guilt can attach to the South for the institution of slavery, I have always thought that it was ubest policy to say to the North, "Calk as much as you please; we are not afraid to hear you, and we are very desirous to undorstand your designs and plans, so far as they are calculated to affect us."

Sir, the discussions upon this bill have demonstrated the designs and plans of the North in reference to slavery. They bom upor our vision like the waterspout of a stormy sea, threatening to burst

our vision like the waterspout of a stormy sca, threatening to burst upon us and sink the ship.

Mr. President, if I know my own heart I feel for the distresses and calamities of all mon, and I am not only willing, but consider it a duty to do all I can, in private and in public, to alleviate wretchedness and better the condition of my whole race. But, sir, I have, I trust, no morbid sympathies or antipathies. I feel for the voluntary slave as intensely as I do for the myoluntary. What is the man or the woman but an involuntary slave, who, under the grinding poverty of a dense and crowded population, is compelled from necessity to work according to the will of his employer for coarse bread! A Nakedness and hunger are as potent stimulants to from necessity to work according to the will of his employer for coarse bread? Nakedness and hunger are as potent stimulants to the starving laborer of the old world as the overseers lash to the involuntary negro slave, and they secure just as abject service. The soldier and the sailor, by their enlistment, subject themselves to the will of their commanders, and may be shot and hung for mutiny or disolaedience of orders. It is well that the bonors which they exceive in time of war reconcile and convenents them. mutny or disolectience of orders. It is well that the honors which they acquire is time of war reconcile and compensate them for the hard servitude they contract to perform. In our mercantile mire, the sailor, after his engagement, enant release himself from the contract, except by personal service; and if he deserts, he may be arrested, conflied, in jail until the vessel sails, and then delivered up to the master, and compelled to serve during the cycyge. The care-value-trials secures order and obedience on board cycyge. The care-value-trials secures order and obedience on board the ship. Sir, the world is full of voluntary servitude, forced upon the subjects of it by the inexerable circumstances which surround subjects of it by the inextrane encountries which surround them and presenting to the true philanthropist as strong claims for sympathy and legislation as the condition of the involuntary slave from birth. It is the business of a benevolent statesman to regard the actual state of things, and, by his legislation, so to record of the adiairs of society which fall within the scope of his legitimate pow-ers, as shall secure to each class and every individual of each class all the privileges and enjoyments solted to their condition, and which may not be dangerous or incompatible with the safety, welfare, and happiness of the great whole. This is the rule which no one questions when applied to voluntary servitude in the the army and navy and domestic service in families or the avoca-tions of life. We apply the same rule, without objection, to involuntary servitude as it exists in penitentiaries and asylums, and to the relations between parent and child, master and apprentice. Why shall it not be applied to the case of African slavery, as it exists in the United States?

exists in the United States? I have shown wherein this bill is mischievous, and why it will accomplish nothing. It can do very little harm to postpone action on the subject of certiforial governments for New Mexico and California for three or four months, when we shall meet again, after conferring with our constituents, and when we shall meet again, after conferring with our constituents, and when we shall be better informed. I have presented accounts to the property of the content of the property of the content of the property of the p a scheme by which, whenever it is the pleasure of the southern States, they can rid themselves of the institution of slavery with-States, they can fut incinseries of the distribution of Sarvery With-out feeling the change; and wheever they indicate that purpose, aid from the North in accomplishing the plan adopted by the South will be thankfully received. But all obtravies interference will be indignantly rejected. Having thus fully explained the po-sition I wish to occupy, I am prepared to vote.

[The debate was continued until late in the evening, by Messrs BUTLER, FOOTE, and WESTCOTT, whose speeches will, at their request, be given in the Appendix.]

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, then obtained the floor, and said Mr. 30 rn 80 rn, of maryiand, then obtained the long, and said before he proceeded to offer the remarks he intended to offer, and which would apply more particularly to what fell from the Sena-tor from Obio [Mr. Corwin] yesterday, he wished to present two amendments to the bill, to remedy the alleged defect in the 24th ction, although, in his opinion, there was nothing to prevent the Supreme Court of the United States from taking cognizance of the cases likely to grow up, even if the matter in controversy did not amount to \$2,000, should such be the pleasure of Congress; and, amount to \$2.0° counts show the up person upper to press, amount of the count, in cases of \$200, hrought in the District of Columbia.—
Mr. J. read his amendments, which stated, that cases brought for the recovery of slaves, shall be brought before the Supreme Court free of costs to the slave. He moved further to amend the 26th section, so as that the probbition, in the cases of California and section, so as that the promotion, in the cases of Cambrina and New Mexico, against legislating on the subject of slavery shall be confined to African slavery, whereby the said territories will be left free, if so disposed, to act in the suppression of pean slavery, or slavery for debt. Mr. J. said he would proceed now (6) elock or slavery for debt. Mr. J. said he would proceed now (6 o'clock P. M.), to address the Senate upon these propositions and the ge-neral subject, if they were willing to hear him.

Mr. CLAYTON moved that the Senate adjourn; and the yeas and may being ordered, it was determined in the affirmative, as

1010W8; YEAR.—Mesus, Alchmon, Badger, Baldwon, Bell, Bouton, Bernen, Bendbary, Batler, Calhoon, Clarke, Clayton, Cowan, Davys, of Massachusetts, Dr., Dodge, Green, Hamba, Johnson, of Maryshad, Johnson, of Lounnan, Levey, Magnin, Green, Lamba, Badden, Janes, Parkey, Spennere, Indexocod, Uphan, Weller, Westelt, and Yalee.—30.
NAVE—Menra, Allen, Bofand, Beress, Bright, Davys, of Musuappa, Dickinson, Ondords, Verlage, Allen, Marcha, Charles, Marcha, Charles, Marcha, Charles, Carlon, Marcha, Marcha,

Whereupen,

The Senate adjourned.

# WEDNESDAY, JULY 26, 1848.

#### PETITION.

Mr. HUNTER presented the memorial of the heirs and repre-sentatives of P. M. Butler, deceased, late Cherokee agent, pray-ing an equitable settlement of his accounts with the government; which was referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

## PAY AND ALLOWANCES TO OFFICERS OF THE ARMY Mr. HALE submitted the following resolution for considera-

Resolved, That the Secretary of War be instructed to furnish the Senate with an account of the grow amount poils or allowed each officer or person whose mans appearance who had not not a senate and the senate information a not contained to the Army Reguest for the present year, greenably to the requirements of a resolution of the House of Representatives passed F-brassy folia, 1943.

# FREMONT'S EXPLORATIONS IN CALIFORNIA AND OREGON

Mr. BREESE submitted the following resolution for consideration :

Readend, That, a select committee of five he appointed to unquite into the exped-eracy of providing for the publication, as a national work, and without copyright, un-der the direction of Congress of the results of the recent exploring explaints of J. C. Fremont to California, and Overon; and also mot the expediency of providing of Fremont to Congress, and the contract of the contract of the contract of the Fremont to Organ and California, with a view to develope the geography of those countries, and to discover the practicable lines of communication, by suitcader ordereries, between the valley of the Missaships and the Parick co-war, the are a basicant work, without copyright.

#### PERCEUTIONS OF THE LEGISLATURE OF WISCONSIN.

Mr. WALKER presented resolutions passed by the Legislature of the State of Wisconsin, instructing the Scantors, and requesting the representatives of that State in Congress, to use their influence to procure the insertion of a provision in the organic law of any territory now held, or which may hereafter be acquired by the United States, prohibiting the introduction of slavery or involuntary servitude in such territory except as a punishment for erime

The resolutions were read, and it was

Ordered, That they lie on the table.

### PRIVATE BILL.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the Committee on Pensions, to whom was referred the bill for the relief of Skelton Felton, reported it without amendment.

## ADVERSE REPORT.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the Committee on Pensions, to whom was recommitted the bill for the rollef of Daniel H. Warren, reported it without amendment, and that the hill ought not to pass

## JONES AND BOKER.

# On motion by Mr. RUSK, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on Post Office and Post Roads be discharged from the further consideration of the resolution submitted by Mr. WESTCOTT, the 26th of June, in relation to the act for the relief of Jones and Boker.

## REVOLUTIONARY WIDOWS.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the Committee on Pensiona, to whom was referred the bill for the relief of certain surviving widows of officers and soldiers of the revolutionary army, reported it without amendment

The bill from the House of Representatives granting a pension to William Pittman, was read the first and second times, by unanimous concent, and referred to the Committee on Pensions.

### RECESS.

Mr. HANNEGAN amoved to proceed to the consideration of the motion submitted by him yesterday, that the Senate take a recess this day from 4 to 5 p. m.

Mr. HANNEGAN said he would modify the motion, so me to read from "four to six."

Mr. BERRIEN thought the resolution very well as it was ; one hour was sufficient

Mr. HANNEGAN wished to give time to those who lived at a distance from the capitol, and he did not think two hours too long a period, but he would modify it so as to read from " four to half-past five."

30TH CONG.-IST SESSION-No. 120.

Mr. BENTON desired to inquire if the resolution was offered with a view to a night session; for if so, he should oppose it. was bad enough to be here all day during the dog-days, without adding in the "dog-nights;" besides being subjected to the effluvia arising from unpleasant gas.

Mr. HANNEGAN said his sole object was to have some dison made of this bill, in order that the session might be brought to a close. According to present appearances, it seemed as if the session was about to reverse the order of nature, "that all carthly things must have an end." It was not likely that this bill would he disposed of without a night session, and we might se well at to-night as to-morrow night.

Mr. UPHAM said, if it was intended to press this important measure through with such precipitancy; it was the most extraordinary course he had ever winessed. No Senator could be more desirous than himself to bring the session to a close; but he owed it to his constituents and to himself to grve his views on the bill. He had not been very cager to obtain the floor, for he always felt a reluctance to enter into a competition to eatch the attention of the chair, and, therefore, others had obtained the opportunity, when he desired to speak. He thought it hard, however, that while some of the friends of the measure had spoken twice and thrice, he should be compelled to address the Senato in the evening, when did not permit him to do this. He did not often address the Senate, and when he did, it was with great brevity. To others he all istende with great pleasure, and be did not think it unreasonable, if he claimed for himself a hearing on a subject of sach great importance, in which not only his own constituents, but the whole of the non-slaveholding community, were so deeply interested.

Mr. CLAYTON boxed that his fried from Vermont would have Mr. UPHAM said, if it was intended to press this important

Mr. CLAYTON hoped that his friend from Vermont would have Mr. CLAYTON hoped that his friend from Vermont would have ample opportunity to address the Senate during the day. He was perfectly satisfied that there was no disposition among the friends of the bill to prevent any Senator from being heard. But it was very desirable to have the bill disposed of in one way or encoler, and without delay. Congress had been already in session nearly eight months, and overy one must see the propriety of bringing it to a close. He expressed his willingness to vote for the resolu-

tion.

Mr. MANGUM asked if it was reasonable that a question of such immense magnitude, and which was presented to Congress in an entirely new aspect, should be thus precipitated to a decision. There was great interest and excitement throughout the whole country, in relation to this subject, and he should exceedingly regret to see it pressed through whon the Senate was in a complete state of exhaustion. Many members were in an enfeethed state of health, and could not endure a late sitting; and to urge the measure, under such circumstances, seemed to be a denal of their rights. It was very well to say it was desirable to terminate the session, and all that—Bad lithat! Bad he would say to Senators, there was a prodigious excitement throughout the country, and they would be held responsible for the consequences of haste or error. Still, be was ready to acquiesce in the decision of the Senate; but he would again suggest that it would be unvise to precipitate the measure, without allowing proper time for deliberation and discussion. ation and discussion.

Mr. HANNEGAN contended that this was no new principle which was under consideration. It was the same that had been before the country for three years. The subject had been exhausted, and he was exhausted, and he was exhausted, and he was exhausted, and he was exhausted. be wearied.

Mr. NILES said, he supposed this resolution constituted a part of the much talked of compromise, in which the bill was said to have been framed. It was all of a piece—a compromise entirely for the benefit of one party, whilst the other was to take nothing. One party here, relying on its numerical strength, had magnanimously come to a conclusion that no one should be permitted to debate the question, except those who were friendly to the bill;—and in this spirit, even on the day when the bill was introduced, it was attempted to press it through. Now, he believed it was the usual practice, whenever a majority had determined to posh a bill through, and "to sit it out," that they carefully refrained from taking any part in the debate themselves.

Mr. HANNEGAN.—Will the Senator allowme ? I withdraw the resolution. I withdraw it, sir. Any thing to stop debate, and prevent further delay.

Leave being granted, the motion was withdrawn.

## MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. CAMPBELL, their Clerk.

Mr. President. The House of Representatives concur in some and disagree to other amendments of the Senate, to the bill making appropriations for the navel service for the year ending the 30th June, 1849, and agree to the fifth amendment of the Senate to the said bill, with an amendment; in which they request the concurrence of the

#### NAVAL APPROPRIATION BILL

The Senate proceeded to consider their amendments to the bill last mentioned, amended and disagreed to by the House of Representatives; and it was

Ordered. That they be referred to the Committee on Finance.

#### ALABAMA RAILROAD

Mr. KING, agreeably to notice, asked and obtained leave bring in a bill granting to the State of Alabama the right of way and a donation of public land for making a railroad from Mobile to the month of the Ohio river; which was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Public

#### MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT.

The following message was received from the President of the United States, by Mr. WALKER, bis Secretary:

Mr. President; The President of the United States approved and ugned, the 25th istant, the following joint resolution and acts:

Resolution to senction the agreement made between the Wyandotts and Delawer for the purchase of certain lands by the former of the latter tribe of Indians. An act to authorize the sale of a part of public reservation unmbered thirteen, in the city of Washington, and for other purposes

An act to make Banger a port of entry for ships or vessels coming from and beyond the Cape of Good Hope.

An act to confirm the location and to grant a quarter section of public land for the county seat of Hillsborough county, State of Florida.

An act to authorize the Secretary of the Treasary to make a compromise and set-ilement, with the securities of Francia D. Newcomb, late Surveyor General of the State of Louisians.

An act for the relief of the society for the reformation of juvenile delinquents in the entry of New York.

An ect to revive an act authorizing certain soldiers in the late war (with Great Bittain) to surrender the bounty lands drawn by them, and to locate others in lieu

An act for the relief of the central railroad ead banking company of Georgia.

As set for the relief of Alfred White

An act for the relief of the beirs of Moses White

#### THE COMPROMISE BILL

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill to establish the territorial governments of Or-egon, California, and New Mexico.

Let The question pending was upon the motion by Mr. HALE to amend the bill by striking of section 5, in lines I and 2, the words "free white," and inserting after the word "act," in the 4th line, the words "and qualified to vote by the existing laws now in force in the territory of Oregon, under the authority of the provisional government established by the people thereof."

Mr. WALKER gave notice of an amendment which be proposed to offer to the sixth section, which was, to strike out the words
"nor shall the lands or other property of non-residents be taxed
higher than the lands or other property of residents"

IMr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, addressed the Senate at length in explanation of the amendments offered by him, and in an expo-sition of his views upon the important subjects presented by the hill. A report of his speech will be given in the Appendix.]

Mr. BADGER.—I am very sorry that my honorable friend who reported this bill, [Mr. CLAYTON.] felt himself compelled, by considerations of duty, under the influence of which I know he always acts, here and elsewhere, to press the measure through the Senate, and to prevent, so far as depended on his action and influence, a full, ample, and thorough investigation of the subject in all its bearings. What is the character of the measure if I is a proposal to settle a most difficult and anxiously considered subjcct, upon a plan entircly novel—one heretofore proposed by no one, and, so far as is known, thought of by no one. It is a measine of immense importance, relating, as it does, to a subject in itself of vast concern and complicated by many incidental difficul-tics. Now, it does seem to me, that when the gentlemen composmg the committee, after the various diversities of opinion among themselves, which were stated by my friend from Delaware, [Mr CLAYTON,] at last hit upon and concluded to present, as a com-CLAYTON, J at last lat upon wan concluded to present, as a com-promise, a measure before unknown and unconjectured, it was due to the importance of the occasion—the high, solemn, and lasting interest at stuke—and, in an eminent degree, due to this body its self, that, instead of being introduced to us with a significant notification that it was to be pressed through in hot haste-

# Mr. CLAYTON, (in his seat.)-Nothing of the kind.

Mr. BADGER .- I will show there was, though perhaps it was not intended. I was about to say, when interrupted by the Sena-tor, that in these circumstances, instead of such an announcement tor, that it these circumstances, insected of search an announcement being made to the Senate, we should have been informed that the committee, unable to agree upon any thing else, and acting from the best motives, had thought proper to present a new and un-heard of plan of pacification on this momentous question; that they desired no haste; that, on the contrary, they invoked from every member of the Senate the fullest scrutiny; that they not only wished and hoped, but demanded as due to themselves, the Senate, and the country, the application, on the part of every member of the body, of his best understanding to this subject, and

Senate, and the country, the application, on the part of every member of the body, of his best understanding to this subject, and a full, deep, therough and sear-ching investigation of the plan presented, in all parts and bearings; that full time should be afforded to enable Senators both to reflect and to debate; and that, so far from the usual order of the Senate being reversed, and this question pressed upon a weary and exhausted Senate—motions to adjourn, after a continued sitting of seven and eight hours, resisted, and the yeas and nays demanded—no opportunity for deliberation and discussion would be withheld be pressed that the state of the service of of intention to the gentleman, or those who acted with him

Mr. CLAYTON.—It is very extraordinary that the gentleman does not recollect that when the Senator from Maryland, [Mr. JOHNSON,] who was exhausted and unwell, desired the Senate to adjourn, I, in opposition to the wishes of the friends of the bill, expressed a eesire that the motion might succeed, and gave it my support. That the gentleman calls "hot haste."

Mr. BADGER.—Unfortunately the honorable gentleman con-founds two different cases. I know that the gentleman assected to the motion to adjourn last evening, for the accommodation of my friend Maryland; but how was it when my friend from Kentucky, Iffend Maryland; our now was it when my infinitely an allowing [Mr. Unperwoop.] who was supposed to be opposed to the bill, desired an adjoint ment for his accommodation? It was to this case stred an adjournment for his accommodation? It was to this case that I referred. Allow me, sir, to add what I was shout to say when interrupted by the honorable gentleman, that I regard his course as peculiarly unforturae with regard to such a bill as this. The great end and object of the bill, as avowed by the gentleman and the committee, is to pasify the public mind, to settle this agitating subject, and to restore harmony to the country. How? Only by its moral power. You cannot change the opinion, or set-tle the discontents of free America, by the mere force of law. On eccasions of this kind, it is all important that the moral influ-On occasions of this kind, it is all important that the moral influence which accompanies a measure, should be an extensive as possible in its operation; and therefore, I think, there should have been shown no disposition to cut off any gentleman from a diseasion of the question, by pressing a vote here until the physical energies of the Senate should be broken down, and the members he compelled by exhaustion to submit. This is the long session, and the Senate have refused to fix any day for its termination; and, therefore, there is no excuse, in my judgment, for the course which has been pursued. The session, it is true, has been a very song ones and the weether is very both and exhausting. I am a war anaxious as any gentleman to return to my home and my children, but I see no reason why this great and important measure should be harried through the Senate. If, indeed, the Senate had passed the resolution from the House, and the House had adopted its mane-diment thing the final day of adjournment for the 31st, we should have stood in a very different condition. In that case, I should myself have given a slient vote, or should, at most, have formed an opinion adverse to the passage of the bill. But, under present circumstances, with an unlimited session before us, I feel justified in presenting my views fully and at large. I shall undertake to slow, that this compromise measure, which my honorable friend has reported and recommends, involves a tetal and absolute surrender, on the part of the South, of whatever rights, tellings, being gained thereby to us, or to the country.

Mr. President, on the first day of June, in this present year of salvation, one thousand eight hundred and forty-eight, a speech was delivered by an honorable member of the other House, reprelong one; and the weather is very hot and exhausting.

was delivered by an honorable member of the other House, representing a district in my own State, which I find in a pamphlet published in this city, at dentitled, "Speech of Hon. A. W. Venable, of North Carolina, in the House of Representatives, June 1 1848," and headed, "Slavery in the Territories." In this speech are some remarks in reference to myself, and I read them because In this speech are some remarks in reference to myself, and read use necessary as the Senate will see, they have an immediate connexion with the subject under consideration. The passage will be found, [on important subjects I like to be precise,] on the 7th page, near the leot of the left hand column, and is in these words:

"Adistinguished Scantor of my own State, [Mr. Baders,] a gentleman of high attainments and extended reputation, in a recent speech on the Oregon bill, admitted the right of Congress to legislate for the exclusion of stavery in the territories, the the continuous of stavery in the territories, that continuous the principle of expediency and the sense of justice of the benefit heighted.

Now, to those who are curious in such matters, it may be some Now, to mose who are currous in suce matters, it may be some-what interesting to learn, that in this speech, distributed in North Carolina about the middle of this month,\* and delivered, as stated on its face, on the first day of June, reference is made in the pas-sage which I have read to some remarks submitted by me in the Senate upon the second day of the same month of June. My first impression was, that the gentleman was incorrect in his chronology; but before committing myself on this point. I thought I would follow the example commended to us by the Senator from Mississuppi, [Mr. Foorze, ] several times this session, and have a peep at the dictionary to ascertain what this world "chronology" means. I found that I was mistaken in my first notion, for the definition of "chronology" is satisfied by the gentleman was guilty may to the proper year, along when he represented binness for the property of impression was, that the gentleman was incorrect in his chronolring on the first of June to what was said on the second, both days being in the same year. Some persons, on reading this reference in the speech to my remarks, and finding, from the proceedings and debates of the Senate, that I had not said one word on the sub-ject until after the speech was made, might suppose that a slight baccuracy had crept in, and that those remarks were not made at all in the House of Representatives on the first of June, which reand in the flows of Representatives on the first of June, which re-fer, as a past event, to a speech of mine, made on the second of June, but were inserted for the first time in the printed speech in the month of July; but I draw no such inference. The whole matter may be satisfactorily explained without any such uncharitable supposition. In ancient times, when the prophetic spirit de-seended upon a man, the seer, "rapt into future times," often saw scended upon a man, the seer, "rapt into future times," often saw events with such force and distinctness, that in prophetic strain, he spoke of them as past already.

ne spone or toem as past arreasy.

Again: This is the age of progress. In the olden time, it was said that "coming events cast their shadows before;" and now, in the mighty improvements of the day, amists the wonders of steam and electricity, it may well be that "coming words cast the sounds before!" And thus it happened that my colleague of the sounds before "" And thus it nappened that my conseque of the House, in the dark hour of midnight, had his sprint troubled with a vision so clear of the atrocity which I should commit on the second of June, that it became impressed upon his mind as a past when the day of the should be the first. And then, cond of line, that it became impressed upon his mind as a past eyent, and, as such, he alluded to it on the first. And then, his patriotic horror, in this clear foresight or forehearing of my of-fence, impelled him to make a pious appeal to heaven in these words, immediately following those which I have quoted:

"Gracious heaven! are we reduced to this? Is our only, our last hope, verdict of a jury whose interest, whose feelings, and whose organization fix that diet against us?"

And a little after, still referring to me, he exclaims-

"And do southern statesmen sound the first note of retreat? Does the flag fall first

Now, as I intend to advance again that atrocious sentiment which, delivered by me on the second day of June, awakened the prophietic horrex of my colleague of the House on the first, and as his speech, no doubt with the kindest feelings to ward, and as printed and circulated extressively in the State of North Carolina. printed and circulated extensively in the State of North Carolina, the Sanate will see why I have made this reference, and that it is demanded of me, as a matter of respect and grateful consideration to him that I should state the grounds on which my opinion rests; an opinion, by the expression of which I have, according to his phrase, "sounded the first note of retreat" from a position which I have never assumed, and thrown down a flag which I never

In order to a full understanding of my views, it is necessary that In order to a full understanding of my views, it is necessary that I should go back a little in the argument, and show that this government has a right to acquire territory, and whence that right is derived. Upon this point different opinions have been expressed. My friend from Massachusetts, [Mr. DAVIS,] in a very able speech on this subject, treated this as a cause officers in the constitution, held the power to acquire an assumed one, and the right to government of the property of the acquirition. In my only of the property of the acquirition is not not considered the property of the acquirition is not not considered the property of the acquirition. ern as a consequence, merely, of the acquisition. In my opinion, the power to acquire territory is expressly conferred upon the government of the United States by the constitution. The Prresident, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, has power to make treaties. Congress has power to declare was power to make treaties. Congress has power to declare war. The constitution specifies no particular kind of treaties, as included in or excluded from the grant. Nor does it specify the purpose for which war is to be waged, or the manner in which it is to be concluded, but leaves these as necessary incidents to the treaty-making and war making powers respectively. Again, the constitution has not only omitted any express restriction upon the treaty-making power, but declares that "all United States, shall be the supported by the hardward of the support of the support of the land." Whatever limitations, shall be the support law of the land." Whatever limitations, therefore, may from the necessity of the case be inferred-as, for instance, that a treaty cannot be made to destroy the government or the constitution, or any integral part of them, or to introduce any new clement of pulse any new clement of political power—it is certain that the treaty-making power is subject to no express limitation whatever. When the constitution was formed, various kinds of treaties were known

among nations; and all these were undoubtedly included in the among nations; and all these were undountenly included in the granted power. Among these were treaties of cossion, by which the United States might acquire as well as cede territory. The power is a large one, and the limitations upon it, whatever they may be, have not yet been defined or applied. The extent of this power may be judged from a few instances furnished by the histo-

ry and practice of the nation.

First. By treaty the President and Senate can exercise a power First. By treaty the President and Senate can exercise a power expressly conferred upon Congress. For example, they can regulate commerce, and confer citizenship. Again, by treaty the United States can exercise a power not conferred upon the general government at all, but undoubtedly reserved to the States. Of this examples are found in the treaties with France and the Netherlands, by which the subjects of those powers were enabled succeed to the inheritance of lands in the United States without becoming naturalized, and thereby the laws of the States excluding sizes a consistency of the States excluded. becoming naturalized, and thereby the repeated and shorogated. Again, by treat he successful to the su United states. When that negligibility in analogy by the content of the power thus granted, the constitution confers expressly upon Congress the power to legislate for the government of the territory so acquired. For it confers on Congress the power "to make tory so acquired. For it confers on Congress the power "to make all have necessary and proper for carrying into execution" the "powers vested by this constitution in the government of the United States, or in any department or efficient thereof." To my understanding it is therefore plain that, by the treaty-making power, vision I have cited, Congress has controlly a congress that the power, what are the return the controlled of the property o none in the constitution usert. It is one ting for us to think that certain restraints upon the exercise of this power would be convenient, would at this particular time be reasonable, would subserve the interests of that section of the country in which we happen to the interests of that section of the country in which we happen to live, or, if you please, the general interests of the whole; and it is another and a very different thing to show an actual restriction upon the proper itself. The former relates to a just mad proper more than the proper interests of a pist and proper more than the proper is establed. The other implies an actual exclusion of the power; which leaves nothing for the exercise of discretion at all. The honorable Seanter from Virginia, [Mr. HUSTER,] acmarked that, although it seemed to him an absurdity to deep Courtess the nawer to grower the territaric, vet that nower now movement. remarked that, although it seemed to him an absurdity to deay Congress the power to gover the territory, yet that power most be excretised as abordination to some general rule green in the constitution. He undertook to specify one case, and certainly it was the constitution unhappy illustration of the rule he had laid down. He remarked that Congress was bound to establish over a territory a considerable of the constitution of the green seed that Congress was bound to establish over a territory a considerable of the constitution of the const and five to California, who, without the previous request or subsequent sanction of the people there, are to excreib episiative, excentive, and indienal powers over them. Is this republican I is this what the constitution powers over them. Is this republican I is this what the constitution powers over them. Is this republican I is this what the constitution power and the republican P is the proposed of the power of the sandlest restraint, control, or influenced and the proposed of the power of the sandlest restraint, control, or influenced power of government, conferred without qualification, is excepted the power of excluding the institution of stavery? Slavery, as it exists under the constitution of the United States, is a Stato institution. It exists in the States which allow it, as a Stato institution, ander their laws. It does not exist as an institution of the United States, it is not an institution which is the proposed of the United States, in the States which allow it, as a Stato institution. It exists in the States which allow it, as a Stato institution, under their laws. It does not exist as an institution of the United States, in the States which allow the proposed of the United States, by which prevents the proposed of the United States, in the State institution. The only reference to it in that uncertainty is the constitution. The only reference to it in that uncertainty is the constitution of the United States, of the party to whom such service or labor may be due. Although Congress has power to govern them in regard to this particular institution? It the conclusion that, although Congress has power to govern them in regard to this particular institution? It the conclusion were right, would it not follow, that to introduce slavery is as much beyond the power of Congress has power to govern them in regard to this particular intention? It the conclusion were right, would it not follow, that to introduce slavery is as much beyond the power of Congress has power to govern them in regard to this parti sequent sanction of the people there, are to exercise legislative particular institution? If the conclusion were right, where follow, that to introduce slavery is as much beyond the power of Congress as to exclude it?

Mr. President, the opinions I have expressed do not depend on the precedents.

Mr. President, the opinions I have expressed upon the upend on any reasoning of my own, but, without referring to the precedents which have been farmished by the past history of the government, are fully sustained by the solemn and considerate judgment of the Supreme Court of the United States, in the case so often referred to, (American Insurance Company vs. Camer, I Pet.,) both as to

<sup>&</sup>quot;After this speech was made I was informed by Mr. VERBUE that I was mit-taken in supposing his speech to have been first circulated in Jay-that it was, in fact, circulated in Jone. And I add this correction in justice as well to him as to myself."

the source from which the right of acquisition is derived, and the nature and extent of the power over what is acquired. In delivering the opinion of the court in that case, Chief Justice Marshall

"The constitution confers chealutely on the government of the Union the powers of making war and of making treaties; consequently, that government possesses the open of acquiring territory wither a part of the union to which it is menced, either on the terms supplied in the treaty of cession, or on such as its new master shall make the conference of the terms supplied in the treaty of cession, or on such as its new master shall make the conference of the terms supplied in the treaty of cession, or on such as its new master shall make the conference of the conferen

Mr. Justice Johnson, in his opinion, delivered in the same case, when in the circuit court, thus expresses himself:

where in the direction country, thus expresses imment;

"He seld; therefore, of acquing periody; a longether moderal to the treatymaking power, and, perhaps, to the power of admittice new Store into the Union;
and this government of each expensions of each expension and the government of each expension of each expension of each expension of each expension of each expension. It is the latter we require either positively or an mode, and by the former dispose of acquaintions so made; and in case of each expension. It even obtain on which the power acquired over the coded tearltonic can vary from the power acquired moder the law of nation by any other government over a equated or credit heritory."

Now, sir, here is, if I can understand it, a clear and decided opinion of the court, delivered by the eminent man who at that time presided over its deliberations, and who was himself the embodiment of all judicial excellence—that, under the treaty and war powers, the United States have, under the constitution, the right powers, the United States nave, under the constitution, including to acquire territory; that they acquire it upon the same terms as any other nation; and that it is subject, in their hands, to such terms and conditions as they may deem proper to impose, subject only to such restrictions, if any, as may be contained in the treaty of cession. Now, how do we expect, after this decision, to pro-cure from the Supreme Court of the United States an adjudication ome from the Supreme Court of the United States an adjudication that, independently altogether of legislation by Congress, the instant any territory becomes ours, the institution of slavery exists there by the mere force of the constitution of the United States? Gentlemen say that every American citizen has a right to go into the newly acquired territory. It is needless to examine that, for no one proposes to exclude them. But it is another and different question, whether he has a right to carry a slave there, and, hequestion, whether he has a right to early a state theer, and, the cause the slave was recognized as property in the State from which he came, to insist that, therefore, such slave shall be recognized as property in the territory to which he goes. The affirmas property in the certainty to which he goes. In a allumative of the question cannot, in my opinion, be maintained. Suppose, which is not at all unlikely, that within a few years we should follow the example of Great Britain, and procure the eessom of some station or post within the dominions of the Emperor of China, in order more effectually to promote our commerce and of China, in order more electually to promote our commerce and protect our citizens in that country, could it be maintained that, instantly upon the cession, from the nature of our constitution itself, negro slavery would spring up and become a recognized institution there? This would seem to be absurd. Yet it stands upon the same arguments, applying with equal force, upon which rests the alleged constitutional propagation of slavery into these Mexican territories. Sir, the propagation of slavery into these slaves are supported to the certificity efforce, in point of fact, slave was carried there by one of our citizens. If so, then the slave was brought. Thus, in my own State, though, in point of fact, there were not a single slave to be found to-day, still slavery would be a recognized institution of the State; and the man who should go there with his slave to-morrow, would not early any new institution with him him, but would merely carry there a recognized subject of property under the existing law. Now, it seems to me, that the geatlemen whose opinions I oppose must maintain one of two things: either that by force of the United State is and the man who should go the same property in the restriction of the United State is and the man who should not of the United State is and the man who should not be supposed to property in the restriction of the United State is and the man who should read the same state of the United State is and the man who should not of the United State is an according to six the constitution of the United State is an according to six the constitution of the United State is an according to six the constitution of the United State is an according to six the constitution of the United State is an according to six the constitution of the United State is an according to six the constitution of the United States and the constitution of the United States and the same states and the same states and the same states are constituted institution, or else, that whether one are according the constitut protect our citizens in that country, could it be maintained that,

quired stavery becomes their a recognized natural version, we case, whether it will be an institution of the territory or not depends upon the fact whether or not a slave shall be carried there; that, when earried, the law of slavery springs up, and when removed the law coase. Now, sir, this latter proposition seems to me an absurdity. The law which recognizes slavery must exist, or not exist, independently of the conduct of individuals; and as, in my andgment, the constitution does not of itself establish slavery where a did not exist, we must, in order to ascertain its existence or non-existence after our acquisition, resort to the previous law.— There seems to be some doubt, as I collect from the remarks of There seems to be some dount, as I concer from the fedulins of bonorable Senators on this subject, what was the state of the law in the territories acquired from Mexico. By some it is alleged that all slavary was absolutely prohibited; by some, that a species of slavery, called peon servitude, existed under certain modifications, about which gentlemen are not agreed; but it is conceded on all hands, that African slavary, as recognized in ertain the state of the state o ded on all hands, that African slavery, as recognized in certain States of the Union, was not an institution recognized in these

Mexican territories,

Now, I hold upon this concession, that the law in Mexico not Now, I hold upon this concession, that the law in Mexico not baving reconiced slavery as it exists with us, such slavery stands prohibited in Mexico until it shall be allowed by law. Nothing, I apprehead, is clearer than that, by the acquisition of a territory, whether it forms a part or the whole of a foreign nation—whether subdued by arms or caded by treaty—no laws are repealed except (hoso which are inconsistent with the relations which the subju-

gated people bear to their new new sovereign; that such acquisiion implies only a change of dominion and allegiance-a transfer of legislative authority and executive control; and that all laws not necessarily inconsistent therewith remain in full force until the new sovereign shall modify, alter, or abolish them. On this subject Vattel thus expresses himself :

"The finalmental regulation that determines the manner in which the public authority is to be executed is what forms the constitution of the State. In this is seen the form in which the suision acts, in quelity of a look politic, how and by whom the form in which the suision acts, in quelity of a look politic, how and by whom the the form in which the suision acts in quelity of a look politic, how and by whom the laws are regulations established by public unbority, to be observed in society." "The laws made directly with a view to the public verification as political france, and in this class those that concern the body useful and the being of the society, the form of government, the manner in which the public authority is to be extend; those, in a word, which together form the constitution of the State, are the functioneral leave. The second contribution of the clience, among them selves."

Chief Justica Marshall, in delivering the opinion of the court, in the case to which I have before referred, speaking of the effect produced by the cession of territory, says :

produced by the cession of territory, says:

"On such tasked of territory it has never been held that the relations of the inta-batant with each other undergo one change. These relations with there former row-renga are disolved, and new relations to created between them and the governed which has equired their territory. The same set which transfers here consist trans-wished has equired their territory. The same set which transfers here consists trans-cate in accessively changed, although that which regularly the intervence near con-tact in accessively changed, although that which regularly the intervence near the state."

And again, in the same opinion, he says:

"It has been already stated that all the laws which were in force in Florida, while a province of Span, those excepted which were political in their character, which concerned the relations between the people and their serveriege, maintain floreous that latered by the government of the United States. Congress recognizes this praceiple by oning the world's laws of the territory now in force therein."

Now, it is here manifest that of the laws of a ceded territory Now it is nere manifest that of this laws of a ceacut territory none are alorgated by the cession except those which are called political, and that these only are called political which concern the relations between the people and their soverein; that these are "necessarily changed," because inconsistent with the new relations. inconsisted with the necessarity changes, because inconsisted with the necessity of the case alone produces any change; and that all other laws, wheelver described as the municipal laws, the civil laws, or the laws regulating "the rights and conduct of the citizens among themselves," remain in force until aftered by the new sore-

Now, sir, it is agreed by all the writers on national law, by all judges who have treated upon this subject, that slavery owes its existence to positive law, to municipal law; that, independently of law authorizing it, it does not exist any where; from which it necessarily follows, that whether African slavery he expressly prohibited in these territories or not, it does not exist unless by prohibited in these territories or not, it does not exist unless by their law it is allowed, which no one pretends. Whether it is shall be intreduced or its exclusion continued depends, in my judgment, upon the will of Congress. If nothing be done by Congress, it remains excluded, and their power over the subject is complete and perfect. It seems to me that some confusion has resulted in the views of gentlemen upon this subject, from the fact that we heretofore have not made acquisitions of territory except with a view to the formation of States; but we have just as much power to acquire territory and keep it in perpetual pupilage as we have to bring it into the Union as a State. Our right to acquire springs out of the treaty power and the way nower, and when we acquire out of the treaty power and the war power, and when we acquire we are to decide for ourselves what shall be done with what has become ours, by cession or by conquest. If we should obtain that El Dorado of some gentlemen, the island of Cuba, would we be bound to ad-mit it into our Union? By no means. We should have a right to keep it as a territory, a province, and regulate it as we please. And if we deemed it best for the interest of the United States, we might rightfully so keep it, even, to use an extravagant phrase, "to the last syllable of recorded time." The constitutional restrictions were intended to protect us against our own government; they were intended to protect us against our government; they were intended to regulate us among ourselves, to define and distribute the powers which exist between the United States and the tribute the powers which exist netween the United States and to be several States, and to secure to the States and to the people powers not granted to the United States. There is not an article which looks to the restraint of power, except as it is to be exercised over us; not an article designed to shorten our hands or diminish the aggregate of our power in acting externally upon for eign territory. Therefore, I hold, that among those subjects fallminus to a garge. Therefore, I hold, that among those subjects lan-ing within the constitutional power of Congress, is the entire reg-ulation of such territory as we may acquire, to make such laws for it as we may think best, and to give it a political organization for it as we may think best, and to give it a political organization of such kind and with such restraints and limitations as we may prescribe. Within this power is included the introduction or exclusion of slavety, according to our own judgment, entirely independent and irrespective of the wishes of the people of the territory or any body else. My friend from Ohio, [Mr. Coswnx], in his speech yesterday, stated that I was the only gentleman sustaining the same relation to the subject upon this floor who entertained this opinion. Since that remark was made, my friend from Kenteky [Mr. UNDERWOOD] has expressed the same opinion; and I hazard nothing in saying that the honorable Senator from Missonir, IMT. BENTON. I now in my ever, than whom no man is more interest aroung it saying that the motorized scenario from manissimary, [Mr. B. stronk,] now it my eye, that whom no manissimary capable of forming a sound judgment, holds the same opinion with out qualification. If I do him jujustice, I hope he will say so. The opinion is by no means novel. Why, sir, when the bill and mitting Missouri passed the House, it contained an express promoting the saying the same properties. vision, as a fundamental condition on which that State was to be

admitted, that slavery should be excluded. When the bill came into the Senate that provision was stricken out, and the Missouri compromise, excluding slavery from the territory north and west, was agreed to; and so far were southern members from having was agreed to; and so far were southern members from having discovered at that time that Congress had no power over the subject, the amendment was adopted apparently without a division, at all events, without the yeas and pasy; no southern member appearing to have thought it necessary or important to record his you. Nor was this an instance of hasty and inconsiderate action. vote. Nor was this an instance of masty and inconsiderate action. Among the southern Senators present on that occasion was the late William Pinckney. It would be idle for me to say here, or any where in the United States, who William Pinckney was, or to what respect his opinions are entitled. In a letter written to his son-in-law, and preserved in his life by Mr. Wheaton, he said:

"The bill for the admission of Missouri into the Union (without restriction as to slavery) may be considered as passed. That bill was sent back again this morning from the Hoose with the returtions as to slavery. The Senate voted to a omed it by strewking out the restriction, (27 to 15) and proposed, as another amendment, which I have all along been the advenced to a stricted in post the varont tentropy to the oorh and

Now, here we learn that Mr. Pinckney voted for this exclusion Now, nero we learn that Mr. Pricking your of this carrianger of slavery from the territory, not reluctantly, because nothing letter could be obtained, but because he had all along been an advocate of it, and must, therefore, have thought it both constitutional and expedient

Mr. KING .- Does the Senator intend to convey the idea that Mr. Pinckney was in favor of a restriction upon a State entering the Union by which slavery was to be excluded from it?

Mr. BADGER,-Why, certainly not. He says directly the con-

Mr. KING .- That he was opposed to any restriction upon the State of Missouri?

Mr. BADGER.—Let me state again what Mr. Pinckney said: That he was opposed to the restriction upon the State, but that he was in favor of the restriction upon the territory north and west of the State.

Mr. CALHOUN.-I believe Mr. Pinckney was an abolitionist.

Mr. BADGER.-I hope that the honorable Senator, having made a remark of that kind with reference to a distinguished gentleman, once a member of this body and now in his grave, will give us some proof it, or retract it.

Mr. CALHOUN .- The fact is as I have stated,

Mr. BADGER.—The very paper which I read refutes the charge that Mr. Pinckney was an abolitionist.

Mr. CALHOUN.-No charge was preferred. I only stated that Mr. Pinckney is known to have entertained views favorable to obalition

Mr. BADGER.—If he had been an abolitionist, he would have been in favor of excluding slavery from the State of Missouri. But let me add, that with regard to the opinion of Mr. Pinckey on this subject, it eannot be in the slightest degree important whether he was an abolitionist or not. If Mr. Pinckey was in favor of abolishing slavery, he must nevertheless have understood the difference between his wiskes as to what should be done, and the power of this government to do it. I must therefore inler, out of a decent respect, to say nothing of a high admiration, for the extraordinary ability and legal acumen of that gentleman, that he did not doubt the power of Congress when he wrote that letter. Sir, he could not be excused, his moral character could not be preserved, he would stand charged in his grave with having violated served, he would stand charged in his grave with having viole his eath, and committed foul wrong upon the constitution of his country, if he had advocated and voted for that restriction—percountry, in he had advocated and voted to that testinon—per-manent, perpetual, and looking to all time—while he doubted the power of Congress to impose it. If Mr. Pinckney's opinion upon the propriety of abolishing slavery, referred to by the Senator from South Carolina, is supposed or understood to bave any effect in determining what his judgment was, or impairing the weight of that judgment as authority, I must say it was referred to to no purpose

Mr. CAHOUN .- It was stated for what it is worth.

Mr. BADGER .- Well, then, it is worth nothing.

\*Since this speeds was delivered. I respected the Hon. James A. Pearce, of Maryland, to secretum, if he could, from some authoritants course, the tree opinions of Mr. Pencken et as to draver; in order to undeate him from, what I desende, an in mixtuon input his memory. Mr. Benche was to in removalment of the request, to firm whom he reversed an answer, the following extracts from which he nevered an answer, the following extracts from which has received an answer the following extracts from which an allowed by my found, Mr. Pearce, to publish, and which is once explain the occasion from which when a very young man, there may exit nessees which might give higher his the tegislation of Maryland, when a very young man, there may exit nessees which might give higher his speech on the Missouri question, chelivered in the full maturny of his years, would southist any supposition of his heing an abolitosist."

\*\*Responsible to the supposition of his heing an abolitosist.\*\*

\*\*General Hospital States and the supposition of the first was not zelested and all the supposition of this city, well known as a most zeleste and all the states were worth the supposition of this city, well known as a most zeleste and after abolitosis. The supposition of this city, well known as a most zeleste and all the supposition of the first of the supposition of the section of the opening of the section of the fleed of election derived from that speech were wholly delivary, as, far as regarded his opinions or action on the opening the section.

I stand upon this subject on what I understand to be the opinion I stand upon this subject on what I understand to be the opinion of the Suprene Court of the United States, and upon the opinion of the Suprene Court of the United States, and upon the opinion of the southern statesmen who indomed this Senate at the time of the Missouri compromise. I stand upon the theory and practice of the government upon this subject; and occupying this position, I am called upon to vote for a bill by which, in my opinion, the South makes a total surrender of all the interests and wishes of her reached in this quantity. We are to the states of the suprementation of the state of the suprementation of the state of th people in this question. We are to submit to the judgment of the Supreme Court to determine whether, by virtue of anything in the constitution of the United States, the moment these territories be-Supreme Court to octermine whether, by virtoe of anything in the constitution of the United States, the moment these terriories become ours by treaty the institution of negro slavery is established, Court will ever hold the affirm temotest theat that the Supreme Court will ever hold the affirm temotest theat that the Supreme Clear, the third states to make this total surrender on her behalf. If gentlemen will show me what total surrender on her behalf. If gentlemen will show me what total surrender on her behalf. If gentlemen will show me what total surrender on her behalf. If gentlemen will show me what total surrender on her behalf. If gentlemen will show me what total surrender on her behalf. If gentlemen will point out to me any countervailing advantages, if they will make me understand how, upon the principle of compromising a dispated question as to the disposing of these territories, I shall surrender the whole to the opposite party, I shall he able to give this measurem ysupport. My friend from Maryland [Mr. Joinson's) has said that we only asked from Maryland [Mr. Joinson's) has said that we only asked interests of my constituents are concerned, time, so far as the interests of my constituents are concerned, time, so far has the interests of my constituents are concerned, were adopted. How then, is my honor saved? If I am disposed to give up; if for any consideration I am willing to yield the interests and feelangs of my constituents, why not do so at once? I can see nothing on the secre of honor to be gained by this measure. score of honor to be gained by this measure.

Mr. BUTLER .- As I have had some of the difficulties under which the Senator from North Carolina appears to labor, I beg to ask him what would be the state of our rights in New Mexico and California, if the laws now in force there were left unchanged? Can he point out any mode by which our rights would be more se eure, without repealing the laws now in force?

Mr. BADGER .- I think I could point out a very just and equitable mode, which would save the honor of all the parties to this agitating question. We have, however, now to do with the measure before us, which is recommended to us, as I have remarked, because it saves our honor. I say, according to my judgment, it saves no such thing

Mr. BUTLER .- I ask if any other remedy, which has been indicated here, would not be obnoxious to the same objection?

Mr. BADGER.—I think I could mention one. I repeat sir, that, in my opinion, by this measure we surremeler the whole and gain nothing in return. If it were to be the means of putting and to this dangerous and disorganizing argitation—if it would bring peace and quiet to the country—I would go boue among my constituents, and, appealing to them as sitizens of one of the old original thirteen, of whose gallant sons some died upon the battle-fields of the revolution, and others went down to their graves covered with honorable sears, and hundreds and thousands did battle in vindication of their country's cause, I would say to them-to the people amongst whom I was born, amongst whom I have lived, to whose amongst whom I was orb, amongst whom I have lived, to whose minds and promotion, and partonage I lowe everything of distinction and prosperity list I enjoy, amongst whom I expect to die, amongst whom my children, I trust, will live fire I am gone—I would say to them, I made this surreador because I knew your deplete the processing the usep account to the institutions nanoed own by o'd forestating by which the minon of these States may be severed or weakened; because I felt assured that you would justify me in giving any wherever of in-terest or feeling you might have in this question, in order to pre-serve the constitution, cement the Union, and perpotuate the free-

dom of our country.

But have we the fresh the same of the live will be the result of the passage of this bill? Sir, we have once.

On the contrary, we have convincing ovidence that its passage would be the signal for a now and bitter agitation. Sir, I could would be the signal for a new and bitter agitation. Sir, I could not stand up before my constituents, patriote as they are, willing as they are to make large concessions, and tell them that I had voted for a bill by which, in effect, they were excluded from the new territories; by which all their interests were surreedered, and nothing gamed in return. Is it not plain that this is so? Do gentlemen from the North accept the surrender in good part? On the contrary, do they not repel it with scorn? And do we not already hear from afar a storm of demanciation?

Mr. President, I do not regard the surrender of which I have spoken as a matter of such entire insignificance as some gentlemen appear to consider it. We have had not host uniformation! have been able to obtain, there are portions of the former suited to the cultivation of cotton and sugar. If so, it is my deliberate conviction that slaveholders should be allowed to settle there. I do not depy, I have expressly admitted, that Cogress

because consecond that stavenousers another of advocated to service there. I do not deay, I have expressly admitted, that Congress has the power to probibit slavery there. Believing this to be so. I have made no attempt to support the cause of the South by denial or evasion. But I say to our northern friends, that if the country is adapted to the cultivation of cotton and sugar, slave-holders should be allowed to people it, and I desire to obtain for

them that advantage. Certainly I cannot consent to surrender

I have been extremely pained at the course of observation which I have been extremely pained at the course of observation whene has been indulged in by several gentlemen in speaking upon this bill; and having expressed, fally and frankly, my own opinion upon the question of power, I will sdd some remarks addressed to what I consider the expediency and propriety of admitting into these territories the slaves of the South. I claim no exemption from those biases which belong to my particular position, but I will say this, that if I know myself, (a very difficult matter, I am aware.) what I am about to say is the honest conviction of my molectaphilm. What is the true noxition, hun, of this question. understanding. What is the true position, then, of this question, upon which our friends from the North have spoken in such indigupon winco our relends from the North have spoken in such indig-nant terms? In the first place, it is not proposed to introduce an additional slave upon the soil of America. We ask no such thing. On the contrary, I venture to say that no man can be found in the northern portion of the Union, though he be the most ultra of all abolitionists, who is more thoroughly, absolutely, and totally op-posed to the introduction of African slaves into the country, than are the entire population of the South. Northern gentlemen take up this subject upon some theory, in itself not sound, and not very soundly reasoned from, as it appears to me; they tell us that slavery is wrong, is an evil, and, therefore, they are resolved that those who are slaves shall not, under any circumstances, be removed into territory now tree; that free soil shall forever remain free. Now, in this, are they dealing with the subject as practical men? It is a great question, and is criticled to a fair and practical consideration. We have among us the institution of slavery, for which we are not responsible. It was forced upon our forefathers by avarage not responsible. rice and power from abroad. But, however produced, here rice and power from abroad. But, however produced, here is the institution, and among us are nearly three millions of slaves. Now, what is to be the consequence of allowing their masters to take them into such portions of this territory as admit of their profitable employment? Whom will it injure? Those who live remand have no always? Certainly not; for if this country is saited and have no always? Certainly not; for if this country is saited and have no slaves? Certainly not; for if this country is suited to the cultivation of cotton and sugar, they do not propose to go there to pursue this cultivation with free labor. If, on the contrary, this terrutory is not adapted to this cultivation, no man will willingly carry his slaves where their employment will be injurious our cuprofitable to him. Yet gentlemen, upon some finatical notion, (I use the term in no disrespectful sense, ) upon some energy that they are the statement of the contract of notion, (t use the term in no obsespection sense,) upon some ge-neral elementary opinion that slavery is an institution which ought not to be introduced among mankind, close their eyes to the fact that it is here; that it must continue to exist; agitate the question of free soil, and refuse or neglect to consider the practical effeet of the measures they propose upon the condition of the slaves for whom their sympathy is awakened. I was, I confess, deeply burt when I heard gentlemen say, that they wished to confine this institution within its present bounds until it should become intole-rable; that they wished this "festering evil," instead of being scattered, should be confined, until it should burst and discharge its social corruption upon the South. Is this to wish for good? Is it to desire the mitigation of evils which cannot be removed? Is it not, on the contrary, to desire the atmost aggravation of calamity, involving both slave and master in a common ruin?

Sir, the expansion of the slave population is of the highest im-

SIT, the expansion of the stave population is of the highest importance to the wellars and improvement of the slaves. What do not be a superior of the slaves is the superior of the slaves. What do not shall be a superior of the slaves and the slave superior of the slaves and superior of the slaves are slaves as the slaves and slaves are slaves ascaled and polygamy was tolerated and openly practised, until the

coince gracularly prepared for the restoration of the original law in all its purity, and to bear, without robellions dissent, its authoritative ro-establishment by our Saviour.

Take another instance, having a precise analogy to the case under our consideration. When the apostles wond furth to preach the religion of salvation, they found the institution of slavaroy existing everywhere—and existing everywhere in a form more oppressive, with authority in the master far larger, and the exactions upon the slave far greater, than in our own country. Yet, the laws of the Roman empire not only placed no restriction upon emancipation, but necouraged and favored it; and the slaves being of the same color with the master, the same difficulties did not exist with them as with us, to an immediate restoration of liberty to the whole, or any considerable portion, of the slave population. Now, the undoubted object of the Christian religion was to denounce all sin, and to extirate all contents that the content of the slave population. Now, the undoubted object of the christian religion was to denounce all sin, and to extirate all contents tendency, and this was the undoubted purpose of its first all price tendency, and this was the undoubted purpose of its first all reference to this with the content of the slave in the slave of the slave in the slave of the apostles, and, as inspired men, they knew it to be so. Yet, what was the course pursued by them in reference to this institution? As they made converts, who were slave owners, did they direct, or even recommend, the immediate or even gradual emancipation of their slaves? Did they teach christian slaves that they owned no obedience, as such, to their christian slaves that they owned no obedience, as such, to their christian slaves that they only the slaves of the slaves of the slaves the duty, the religious days of obedience to their masters, and urged this duty upon christian slaves towards christian masters by the affecting consideration of their being brethren in Christ. They urged upon christian slaves, by reminding them that all christians, masters as well as slaves, were the subjects of one common master—"even Christ." They tracted this relation like all others which they found existing among men, enforcing the duties which sprang out of it, recurrence of the substance of the scale of the production of the care of the scale of the content of the content

timately to remove whatever was evil in the institution, and, it wil altogether, the institution itself.

Now, what a contrast to all this is found in the conduct of antislavery men at the present day. The cry is "immediate abolitions states, which took a half a century gradually to emancinate a few slaves, now seem to require or expect the immediate restoration to liberty of more than two millions of slaves! Now, sir, if a gentleman tells me that slavery is a sin of a dye so deep that the existence of it for a day is utterly inconsistent with the divine law, and, therefore, he feels bound by every means in his power to see' its immediate extirpation, disregarding all constitutional bilgations which may stand in his way, I should know that he was opposed to the Apostles, and might think that he was mad, Bat, at the same time, I should perceive that though his premises were false, he reasoned correctly from them; because it is clear that the divine law cannot the superseded by mere human authority. But they who maintain that alway has in teself his malfield with the law cannot have a supersection of the constitution, in the States where it exists, to support it, reason falsely from their own premises. They would be more consistent with themselves, though more at variance with truth, if they became avowed abolitionists. Sir, the true reasoning upon this subject is pursued by neither of the classes to which I have referred. Slavery, in itself, is an institution forbidded by the Divine law, as is incontestbly proved by the conduct of the Apostles. It may be a vii. It may be proper that it should be removed. But the time when, and the manner how, must be determined by wise and even the manner how, must be determined by wise and even the meanine one evil, we introduce greater and more aumanageable.

Mr. President. I wish it int our morther friends would be reasoned.

Mr. President, I wish that our northern friends would re examine the ground which they have assumed, and allow Apostnile precept and example to have a just influence upon their deliberations. Would they doso, I cannot but hope they would see ground to change their position. I cannot believe, notwithstanding the strong expressions used by some of them, that they wish to do us injury, or, notwithstanding the tendency of their measures, that they wish read to a manufacture of the strong expressions used by some of them, that they wish to do us injury, or, notwithstanding the tendency of their measures, that they wish an institution of such unminigrated evil as to require, if it allowed of, immediate extraption. It exists in a mitigated form, tempered by humanity, and modified and softened by the influence of Christianity. True, there are creal masters, as there are creal enshands and cruef fathers, but is that a reason for immediately destroying the authority of all masters, fathers, and husbands? I assure out friends that humanity towards slaves is with us the tion with no little toleration as they do. Now, the plan which the territory now occupied by hem thas nothing to recommend it, but is in every respect objectionable. It does not diminish the number of slaves—it does not add to the number of freenen—it tends not be produce commencipation, except possibly at a remote day, and then by a convulsion, the consequences of which are too horizon that produce some loss of confort to the master, it will assured by incompression of the produce some loss of confort to the master, it will assured by incompression and have been also also not evil tendency. All we shall be a supported to the contentry, what we ske has every good, and so far as I see, no evil tendency. All we

desire is, that you allow the present slave population, without any addition thereto, to spread itself over such territory, if any, as is addition inerceo, to spread user: over such territory, it any, as is particularly suited to slave cultivation. By this we rob you of nothing; for such territory is not suited to the free laborer of the North. By granting what we ask, you ameliorate the condition of the slave and improve that of the master, and do injury to no class

of our population.

Mr. President, I beseech our northern friends to let these views MI. President, I beseen our nothern triends to let these views have a just influence upon them. Sir, let them follow the wise example of the Apostles—have a just diffidence of their capacity to devise a better mode of doing great and ultimate good—allow slaveholders with their slaves to occupy such territory as I have described—and not seek by extreme doctrines and practices to prevent this harmless and beneficial expansion. Let them permit the principles of Christianity, the influence of this control of the straining the property of the property of the property of the property. prevent this narmiess and defletional explaision. Let them permit the principles of Christianity, the influence of divine charity, to be gradually still more diffused amongst masters and slaves, force nothing forward by undue and premature exertions, and they may rely confidently that in God's good time it will be seen—not by this generation, but by those that come after us—that every thing has, upon this Apostolic pattern, worked out well, and produced the largest attainable amount, to both master and slave, of virtueand happiness.

occupy a peculiar position upon this subject, and have felt it my duty to myself and my constituents to make, so far as I was able, that position understood. So far as I know myself, I have no private ends to serve inconsistent with devotion to the public soid. Weight from Maryland (M. Villarcoot) has the public some supposed contexts of this measure with the predict probability of the measure with the product probability and include the public some supposed contexts of this measure with the product probability and induced to have any influence to direct my conduct independently of great national considerations. To any argument which should show that the adoption of this measure will settle a most agirating question, and give peace, harmony, and mutual confidence to the different sec-tions of the country, I would yield a ready submission, and gladly surrender to it the opinions I have expressed. I would then go heart and soul for the adoption of the measure, if its certain result would be the defeat of the party to which I belong in the coming election, and, I had almost said, its defeat now and for

Mr. DIX.—Mr. President: It is with great reluctance that I throw myself on the indulgence of the Senate a second time in this discussion. But since I spoke, positions have been taken in the debate, and assertions made, which I cannot pass by without comment; and especially am I unwilling to be silent when the whole subject is presented to us under a new phase by the report of the committee of eight, and brings up a train of considerations, Before I proceed to notice, as I shall very briefly, the provisions

of the bill reported by the committee, I desire to say something on other topics which have been introduced into the discussion.

The northern States have been repeatedly charged in this debate, and oo many previous occasions, with aggression, and viola-tions of the constitutional compact, in their action on the subject of slavery. With regard to the surrender of lugitive slaves—the of slavery. With regard to the surrender of fugitive slaves—the case most frequently cited—it is possible that there may have been some action, or inaction, in particular States, not in strict accordance with the good faith they ought to observe in this respect. I know not how it is; but we know there is an effective power to legislate on this subject in Congress; and I am sure there will be no want of cooperation on our part, in carrying out the requirements of the constitution, by providing all reasonable means for executing them.

The Senator from South Carolina, [Mt. Butler,] in the remarxs he addressed to the Senate yesterday, made repeated allu-sions to me in connection with the suggestion of a superior civilisions to me in connection with the suggestion of a superior civili-zation in the non-slaveholding States. I have made no such sug-gestion. I have drawn no parallel. I have made no distinction, in this respect between the North and the South. And in the case to which he particularly referred, and in which I spoke of "spires pointing to the sikes," in language perhaps somewhat more flowery than I am accustomed to use, I expressly said that I made no distinction between the two was accusived. made no distinction between the two great sections of

But this is a matter on which I shall not dwell. I am but an individual; and a misapprehension which concerns only myself, is comparatively of little importance. But when the Senator, turning from me, assails the State I have the honor to represent; when the misconception does injustice to those who have given me their confidence, he wounds me in a more tender point, and I cannot pass

remarks by without a more extended notice.

Mr. President, I endeavored to get the floor yesterday when the Senator took his seat, and I made repeated attempts after-wards, in all of which I was unsuccessful. I wished to notice, at the moment and on the spot, the imputations which he had cast on the State of New York, in language I regretted to hear from any Senator on this floor. He said a requisition had been made. Some years ago, on the governor of the State by the executive of Virginia, for the surrender of persons convicted of stealing a slave within the jurisdiction of the latter State; that the governor had with mind of medium and the persons show that the good me had refused to surrender them, and that this refusal had been sustained by both branches of the legislature; and on this statement, he charged New York with a want of "common honesty." Sir, these are harsh epithets—epithets which should not have been applied to us without a full knowledge of the facts. The Senator labors under a great misapprehension. The responsibility, which he charged on the State, rests upon the governor alone. The

In 1841 a requisition was made by the executive of Virginia on the governor of New York, for three persons, charged with steal-ing a slave in the former State. The governor refused to surrea-der them, for the reason assigned in the following resolution, which was adopted by both branches of the legislature of New York early in 1842:

Whitmen they averaged the State how resident depth in up in the dream of the executive analously of Norma, here holdened E leastly of the state is made, alliged fugatives from passes, charged with the erich of tieth, viz. which is previously alliged fugatives from passes, charged with the previously and whereas the execution of the state of the state

States: \*\*Resolved. That, in the opinion of the legislature, ste long a view within the in tridetion and against the laws of Virginia, is a crime within the menting of the six odes action of the forth active of the continuous of the Unit of States. \*\*Resolved. That the governor be requested to transmit the torogong promitle and resolution to the executive department of Virginia.

These resolutions, as I have said, passed both branches of the legislature. I am unable to state the vote; but I was then a mem-ber of the assembly, and I remember that it passed that body by a very decided majority.

Thus it seems that the legislature of New York, in both its branches, representing the people of the State in a double capaci-ty—for the Senate was at that time the high court for the correcty—for the sonate was at that time the high court for the correc-tion of errors—the highest judicial tribunal in the Stane—disclaim-ed and condemned the act of the governor, and left the responsi-bility to rest on him alone. Beyond this it could not go The act to be performed was executive, and the legislature had no con-

were the complete the particular and the registrator is an econ-less. But the Scantor did not stop here. His speech was replete with reproachful allusions to New York, too indefinite to be met with a distinct reply; and he concluded by saying that he expected no-thing good from her. Sir, there have been periods in the history of the country when she was mitther inactive nor inefficient in her of the country when she was mitther inactive nor inefficient in her of the country when she was neither inactive nor medicient in here elifors for the public good. In 1827, when the whole hanking sys-tem throughout the Union exploded; when the president of the Bank of the United States was putting forth manifestors and em-ploying the whole strength of that institution to continue the sus-pension of specie payments; and when, I believed I may say, most other portions of the Union were disposed to yield—New York stood almost alone in opposing it. Sile compelled her own banks to resume the discharge of their obligations under the pensity of forfeiture of their charters: she became the centre of all that was sound in commerce and finance; and through the influence and the power of her oxample, the country was saved from years of dishonor and pecuniary embarrassment.

In 1814, when the whole southern coast was at the mercy of the The forty, when the whole solution coast was at the intercy of the public enemy, and portions of it ravaged and laid waste; when the administration here was too weak to defend the capital; and when the very editiee in which we sit was given to the flammes by British vandalism, New York stood again almost alone and unassisted, and carried on the contest upon her own frontier chiefly with her own means. She raised moves and men, and contributed to sustain the bonor of our arms in a series of the most desperate public enemy, and portions of it ravaged and laid waste

engagements ever fought on this continent.

ongagements ever lought of this continent.

Of her institutions, social and political, I need say nothing—
the monuments she has reared to science and to the arts, her great artificial channels of intercourse, and above all, her system of common school education, embracing every child that is born or is brought within her limits. These are well known to all who hear me; and they say for her more than any words of mine can

speak.

Less than a year ago too noble spirited bands stood, side by side, on one of the bloodiest battlefields of Mexico. They were led on by chivalrons men, animated by the single resolution of upholding their country's booor and their own. They were the New noming men domery's book and acid with They were the sew York and the Palmetre regiments. The blows they gave fell upon the ranks of the snearly with equal force; those they received were sustained with equal tirmness. More than a third of these gallant combatants fell together. The grass which has grown up reb and rank upon that battlefield can tell where their blood was poured ment was among the slain—borne from the field of carnage per-haps by the united hands of those whom he led, and those who, though coming from a distant part of the Union, fought by his side with the same devotion as his own followers. Sir, there should be something in these sacred memories to disarm reproach—at least of its injustice. Let me commend them to the calm reflection of the Senator from South Carolina, who has so deep an interest in the glory and the grief of that battlefield. Ho is neither angenerous nor unjust. Let me ask him to think of the these things, and sny whether some good may not come from New York.

ject under discussion—the application of the principles of the ordinance of 1787 to the territories of the United States. This charge concerns the whole North; and I am ready to meet it.

In 1846 and 1847 mest of the non-slaveholding States, on high considerations of moral and political principle, declared that no consucrations of moral and political principle, declared that he new territory ought to be acquired without a fundamental provision excluding slavery. These declarations had an express and an exclusive reference to acquisitions from Mexico, where slavery had long been abolished, both by executive and constitutional acts and long usen aboushed, both by exceeding and constitutional acts. They amounted practically to declarations against the extension of slavery to free territory, and no more. New York did not take the lead in these declarations. The first legislative resolutions received here eams from the State of Vermoni, and were present-

ed to this body on the 28th of January, 1847. The New York resolutions were presented on the 6th February easuing; those of Pennsylvania on the 8th; of Rhode Island on the 10th; of Ohio on the 16th; of New Hampshire and New Jersey on the 19th; of Michigan on the 1st of March; and of Massachusetts on the 3d the last day of the session Connecticut passed resolutions on the 24th of June; but Congress had then adjourned, and they were presented at the commencement of the subsequent session. ware, a slaveholding State, followed, and requested her Senators to vote for the exclusion of slavery from territory thereafter to be acquired. Here are eleven States which have passed resolutions on this question. It was a spontaneous movement on the part of the non-slaveholding States, actibite led on by New York, nor set on foot by her, but arising out of indications in Congress of an in-tention to acquire territory from Mexico, and leave it open to the introduction of slaves; and every one knows they will be carried

introduction of slaves; and every one knows incey wile e carried wherever they are permitted uses several resolutions, I find New Hampshire, Vermont, Rhode Island, and Peomsylvania preceded New York, in the order in which I name them, in acting order in their respective legislatures. Three of the small New England States, which the Senator from Virginia, who spoke first England States, which the Senator from Virginia, who spoke first on this question, [Mr. Mason,] would have us believe New York on uns question, [Mr. MASON,] would have us befieve New York was seeking to seduce, and in the end to swallow my, were actually the pioneers in this movement. Pennsylvania was next in the field. New York did but follow and sustain them in their declarations against the extension of slavery to territory in which it does

Such is the history of this movement, commencing as far back as July, 1846, almost coeval with the war with Mexico, and ori-ginating in a charge of intending to conquer territory for the purpose of planting slavery upon it. And these public declarations may perhaps be properly regarded in a two-fold light, so far as motive, on the part of the legislatures, is concerned: first, to exonerate thereselves from the imputation; and, second, to array their influence against such a design, if it should be entertained in

Let me now take a somewhat larger view of this whole subject of northern aggression. It was said, I think, by a southern memer of the federal convention, though it may have been in Congress after the adoption of the constitution, that no slaveholding State would thereafter be admitted into the Union; that there were would thereafter be admitted into the Union; that there were eight States interested in abolishing slavery, and five interested in maintaining it, and that they would act accordingly in voting for the admission of new States. This prophecy had no foundation in truth. The members of Congress from the North have voted as freely and readily for the admission of slaveholding as for non-slaveholding States into the Union. If we look around us upon this floor, we shall find all prognostics founded upon the supposed this hoor, we shan min an prognostice nonnece upon the supproses. Prejudices or the unkind feelings of the North unterly falshied.— Sir, there are ten Senators here representing slaveholding States formed from territory acquired since the constitution was adopted. How many are there representing free States formed from new territory? Not a single one? But for a domestic difficulty in Iowa, it is true, that State would have been represented here, and we should then have had two Senators from Iree States against ten from slaveholding States formed out of territory purchased by the from savenoung states formed out of territory purchased by the common treasure and maintained by the common blood of the whole Union. We have given up the territory constituting these States to the South. We have reserved no portion of them to northern enigration, except the misshapen strip of Toxas north of 36° 30', which, so far as extent and productive value are concerned, is, for all purposes of a fair and equitable division, the merest The area of these five States is equal to two-thirds of mockey. The area of these rive states is equal to two-thirds of the entire area of the thirteen original Status. This the North has tension of slavery and the multiplication of slaves; for this yeat surface was almost uninhabited when it was acquired, and it is now filled up with a slaveholding population. There are more than half a million of slaves in these five States, not one-tenth part of whom would have been there, if the right to exclude them had been insisted on. But we have stood on the ground of non-inter-ference. Where we have found slavery, we have left it. We have not countenanced any measure of abolition or emancipation. On not countenanced any measure of aboitton or emancipation. On the contrary, we have uniformly opposed all interference with slavery in the States. With the single exception of the Louisiana territory, we have left it to spread itself over the areas on which it existed only nominally. We have almost gone, at the North, to the extreme of mobiling abolitionism, when it contemplated interference with the question of slavery in the States, and of instituting a scrutiny of the public mails to arrest the circulation of incendiary publications. And now, after all this active co-operative in the proposed of the public and the surfaces of the proposed of the state of the proposed of the publications. tion in the promotion of the objects and interests of the slavehold-ing States, how are we met? By charges of aggression, of hostility, and of violating the constitutional compact

thuty, and or volating the constitutional compact. Sir, we stand firmly upon the compromises of the constitution. We have ever done so. We shall continue to do so. We have gone further. We have opposed all interference by Congress with slavery in the District of Columbia, over which Congress is enpowered by the constitution to "execute exclusive legislation in powered by the constitution to "execuse exclusive legislation in all cases whatsever." Beyond this we cannot go. I deay that any compromise in framing the constitution, or any guarantee arising under its provisions, extends, or was designed to extend, to the regulation of shavery in the territories. What were the compromises of the constitution? They were three it. That the small States should be equally represented in the Senate with the large States. 2. That the slave population in the States should constitute a part of the basis of representation in Congress That the importation of slaves into the States then existing should not be prohibited prior to 1808. These were the three great compromises on which the adoption of the constitution may be considered as having turned. In settling them, some reference was naturally had to the distribution and regulation of the powers vested in the federal government and reserved to the States and

vested in the federal government and reserved to the States and the people respectively.

Now, sir, what was the security sought for by the South in the adoption of these compromises? Was is that Congress should impose no restriction on the extension of slavery to the territories? No, sir. That power I have no doubt was left, so far as it was contemplated at all, to be exercised by Congress, according to its own views of humanity and guistice. I humbly think this construction was allowed to the state of Tenestee, and the state of Tenessee, in which it was provided, "that no regulations made, or to be made, by Congress shall rend to emaceinate slaves"—a prohibition implying a right shall tend to emancipate slaves"—a prohibition implying a right to regulate, restrict, and exclude them.

to regulate, restrict, and exclude them.

The Senator from Florida, [Mr. Wertcorr.] read to the Senate yesterday the fac simile of an original paper found among the manuscript of Mr. Monroe, and in his handwrings, by which it appears, that when the Missouri compromise act, as it is called, was passed, he took the opinions of the members of his cabinet, in fortifying it with all the authority I can command; and I shall be particularly gratified, if it shall be found that the distinguished Senator alluded to, though now denying the stable. Senator alluded to, though now denying the right, was then in favor of it. I will read to the Senate all of this paper which relates to the subject :

(From Mr. Monroe's manuscripts.)—A paper endorsed "Inter-rogatories, Missouri—March 4, 1820. To the Heads of Depart-ments and Attorney General."

# Questions, (on opposite page :)

"Has Congress a right, under the powers vested in it by the constitution, to make a gulation prohibiting shavery in a territory?"
"Is the eight section of the act which passed both Houses on the 3d instand, for the insistion of Missouri into the Union, consistent with the constitution?"

With the above is the original draft of the following letter, in President Monroe's handwriting, on half a sheet of paper, but not endorsed or addrossed to any one. There are interlincations, but the text, as left by the writer, is as follows:

the text, as loft by the writer, is as follows:

"PLAR SR: The question which lately agitated Coopies and the public has been settled, as you have seen, by the passage of an act for the admission of Missoun as a settle, as you have seen, by the passage of an act for the admission of Missoun as the property of the section of the settled to the south. I take the equation, in writing, of the administration as to and permitted to the south. I take the equation, in writing, of the administration as to make many the settled to the south. I take the equation of the set was applicable to territories only, and not to States whose they bould be administration as to the settled to the south of the set was applicable to territories only, and not to States whose they bould be administration as the settled was expected to the settled to weight with one support of the settled to weight with one support of the settled to the settled the respecting it."

This letter has been supposed to have been written to General Jackson, though there is no evidence of it

Mr. FOOTE.-Were these interrogatories sent? or was it merely a statement for his own private convenience?

Mr. DIX .- It is impossible to say, except so far as the paper Mr. DIA.—It is impossible to say, except so far as the paper may be considered as indicating the use made of timen. I state the facts as they have been related to me. The paper was found among Mr. Mouroe's manuscripts, and is in his hand writing. It was read to the Senate yesterday by the Senator from Florida, [Mr. Westcott,] for another purpose, and the evidence of its authenticity I understand to be in his possession.

Mr. CALHOUN .- If the Senator will give way, it will be perlups better that I make a statement at once respecting this sub ject, as far as my recollection will serve me. During the whole period of Mr. Monroe's administration, I remember no occasion on which the members of his administration gave written opinon which the members of his administration gave written opin-ions. I have an impression—though not a very distinct one—that on one occasion they were required to give written opinions; but for some reason, not now recollected, the request was not carried into effect. He was decidedly opposed to the imposition of any restriction on the admission of Missouri into the Union, and I am strongly of the impression that he was opposed in feeling to what was called the Missouri compromise.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland .- Is this the original letter?

Mr. DIX.—I understand it to be a fac-simile of the original. As a long period (nearly theiry years) has elapsed since the act to admit Missouri into the Union was passed, it is quite natural that the Senator from South Carolina should have forgotten the circumstances attending the discussion of it in the Cabinet. Havcircumstances attending the discussion of it in the Cabinet. Hav-ing heard, some days ago, of the existence of such a paper, and being very desirous of ascertaining the facts, I wrote to Mr. C. F. Adams, of Boston, a son of the late ex-President, inquiring of him if his father's diary contained anything on the subject. In re-

\* The words to italics are crased in the original draft

ply to my inquiry, I received an extract from the diary of the father, certified by the son, which I will new read, and which firms fully the statement contained in Mr. Monroe's letter:

Extracts from the diary of J. Q. Adams,

Extracts from the darry of J. Q. Adams.

"Marcu 3, 123...—Who I came this days to my office, I found there a note requesting ma to call at one of clock at the President's house. It was time one, and I and to could allow the constitution, wend like the constitution of the analysis of the additional constitution of the additional constitution of the additional constitution of the administration to take the constitution of the administration to take the constitution of the probabilities of a term of the constitution of the probabilities of a term of the constitution of the constitut

This is the first extract; and before I proceed to to the others, I will state that, in respect to the second question, there was a diversity of a principal mean of the second puestion, there was a diversity of the second pushed by such a prolibition after its admission into the Union, and the other members of the cabinet, that it was only operative durging the territorial term. In order to secure unanimity in the animal means of the cabinet, that it was only operative durging the second pushed to the second maining extracts which I proceed to give :

maining extracts which I proceed to give:

"March 5,—The President sent me yesterday the two questions in writing upon which he desired to have answers in writing, to be deposited in the Department of State. The structure of the state of the structure of the st

These extracts are certified to be "a true copy from the original by me,

"CHARLES FRANCIS ADAMS."

Mr. CALHOUN .- Has any search been made in the State Department for these written opinions?

Mr. DIX .- The State Department has been examined-how theroughly I do not know-but they have not been found.

Mr. WESTCOTT:—I made an examination, as I stated yesterday, myself, but could find none. This letter is in Mr. Mon-roe's handwriting, and from its tenor is supposed to have been intended to be addressed to General Jackson. I understand that upon examination of General Jackson appers, a letter was found from Mr. Monroe, containing everything which is contained in this draught, except that part which relates to the action of the cabinet. The letter was also dated the same day. I presume, therefore, that upon writing the letter to General Jackson, ultitudent of the cabinet in relation to that portion relating to the action of the cabinet in relation to the "Missouri compromise." that portion relating to the

Mr. DIX .- I have examined the letter referred to, as addressed to General Jackson, and find that it was written in 1821, while the paper containing the interrogatories was dated the 4th of March, 1820; and the former has only two of the last peragraphs of the letter before us; all the rest being different.

Mr. CALHOUN.—If any written opinion was ever given by me, it has enirely escaped my memory; and I feel satisfied, if ever given, it was very little more than an assent or dissent to the ourse adopted by the administration. Mr. Adams had the advantage of keeping a diary, which no doubt may be relied upon, as far as he is individually concerned; but which, of course, is liable lar as no is individually concerned; but which, of course, is hand to mistakes, as far as it represents the views and acts of others. In this case there may be some explanation, if all the facts were known, which would reconcile his statement with my recollection. But of one this g.I feel perfectly sure, that I could never have directed my attention and formed an opinion on so important a subject, as a member of his cabinet, and reduced it to writing, for the purpose of being preserved, without recollecting it.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, was understood to say, that on examining the letter, he did not think it sustained the fact the Seator from New York was endeavoring to prove. He observed that Mr. Monroe had first stated that the opinion of the administration was unanimous, and that he had erased the word unanimous, and sabstituted the word explicit, which had quite a different meaning.

Mr. CALHOUN.—I feel justified in saying, from all the cir-cumetances of this case, including the facts stated by the Senator from Maryland, and the absence of any written opinion on the file of the State Department, that not withstanding the certificate from or me state Department, that notwithstanding the certificate from Mr. Adam's diarry, no such opinions were given as it states. There is some mistake about it, but how it originated I am at a loss to conceive. Perhaps it may be explained by the vague impression, as I have stated, on my mind, that the opinions were called for, but never formally given in writing, at least not beyond a mere assent or dissent as to the course ultimately adopted. I know well all knot the compromise; the cause which led to it, and the reason why, that the northern men who voted against it were universally sacrificed for so doing. It is online a mistake, as some subsally sacrificed for so doing. It is quite a mistake, as some sup-pose, that they were sacrified for voting for the compromise. The very reverse is the case. The cause I will proceed to state: Dur-

ing the session of the compromise, Mr. Lowndes and myself reing the session of the compromise, Mr. Lowness and Myself to-sided together. Ho was a member of the House of Representa-tives, and I was Secretary of War. We both felt the magnitude of the subject. Missouri, at the preceding session, had presented herself for admission as a member of the Union. She had formed herself for admission as a member of the Union. She had formed a constitution and government, in accordance with an act of Congress. Her admission was refosed on the ground that her constitution admitted of slavery; and she was remanded back to bave the objectionable provision expunged. She refused to comply with the requisition, and at the next session again knocked at the door of Congress for admission, with her constitution as it originally steed. This gave rus to one of the most against going assort that ever occurred in Congress. The subject was one of rue question was, what was to be done, and when and myself. The subject was the sequence if she was not admitted? After field reflection, we both accred that Rissouri was a State made so by a regular process of agreed that Missouri was a State made so by a regular process of law, and never could be remanded back to the territorial condition.

Such being the case, we also agreed that the only question was,
whether she should be a State in or out of the Union? and it was whether she should be a State in or out of the Union? and it was whether she should be a State in or out of the Union? and it was friend made one of his absence of the she will be she with the she whether it has been preserved or not, I am not absence whether it has been preserved or not, I am not absence whether it has been preserved or not, I am not absence whether it has been preserved or not, I am not absence whether it has been preserved or not a single point. All saw that if Missouri was not admitted, she would remain an independent State on the west bank of the Mississippi, and would become the nucleus of, a new confederation of States extending over the whole of Louisiana. None were willing to contribute to such a result; and the only question that remained with the corthern members who had opposed her admission was, to devise some means of resepting from the awkward dilemma in which they found themselves. To back out or compromise, were the only alternatives left; and the latter was eagerly soized to avoid the disgrace of the former—so eagerly, that all who opposed it at the North were considered traitors to that section of the Union, and sacrificed for their votes.

Mr. FOOTE.—The gentleman referred to, and from whose journal an extract had been read, as is well known, has been aljournal an extract had been read, as is well known, has been al-ways regarded as a most violent partisan of the peculiar views he held in relation to this subject. I beg leave most respectfully to inquire of the honorable Scaator from New York, whether the statement or extract read has been sworn to or not?

statement of extract read has been sworn to or not.

directly a statement of the statement ered to cutweigh the recollections of the Senator from South Car-olina. I will only add, that there is the strongest possible coinci-dence between Mr. Monroe's letter and Mr. Adam's dury in all the important facts. Both state the questions to have been 'in writing;' both show that they were submitted in the shape in which they were to be answered, on the 4th of March, 1820. The Manify of the questions is smother artificing conceidence. The only material variation is that suggested by the Senator from Maryland. Mr. Adams states, that the opinion of the members of the cabinet was "manimous" in favor of the power of Congress to probibit slavery in the territories of the United States. Mr. Moor over the "manimous" in the first instance, and then substituted to the control of the contro ree wrote "unanimous" in the first instance, and then substituted
"explicit"—an alteration be might very naturally have made, on
reflection, in writing to a friend, in order to avoid giving a clue to
the opinions of individual members of his administration. The anthe opinions of marybridge memors of as administration. The an-swers were very brief, as Mr. Admin shows; but from the man-ner in which the questions were drawn, the asswers, whether af-firmative or negative, must either have asserted or denied the on-stitutional power of Computer of the probability of the constitution of the table of the constitution of the c

Let me now cite a few of the remarks made in the federal convention on the subject of slavery and slave representation. On the 12th of July, Mr. Randolph, of Virginia, said:

"That express security onghit to be provided for including slaves in the ratio of representation. He hancited that such a species of property existed; but us it did exist, the holders of it would require this security. It was precised that the design was an tertained by some of excluding slaves altogether, the legislature, therefore, ought not to be left at fiberity."

In the convention of Virginia, by which the constitution was ra-tified, Governor Randolph entered into an elaborate argument to show that Congress had no right to abolish slavery in the States. It was feared that under the power of prohibiting the slave trade, or under the power to regulate commerce, or under some implied power, slavery within the limits of the States might be interlered with by Congress.

On the 13th of July, Mr. Butler, of South Carolina said :

"The seconity the southern States want is, that their negroes may not be taken from them, which some grotlemen within or without doors have a very good mind to de."

This was the tenor of the discussions in the State conventions by which the construction was ratified. They looked to security from abolition or emancipation by Congress within their own ities. Extension of slavery beyond their limits was hardly took of: and I have no heaitation in saying, from the tone of the debates, that if it had been fully discussed; it would have been to brand it with general disapprobation.

On the 22d of August, a very full and interesting debate arose in the federal convention on the question of prohibiting the importation of slaves. The only objects contended for in any quarter were the right to import them, and an exemption of the States from all interference with slavery within their own limits on the part of the federal government. It was generally enceeded except by the extreme South, that slavery would ultimately be shorted to be supported by the contraction of the state of

Mr. CALHOUN.—I must beg the Senator from New York to state me more correctly. We are not coatening for the extension of the area of slavery; and if he places us upon that ground, he places us in a very laise position. What we do contend for is, that the southern States, as members of our Union, are entitled to equal rights and equal dignity, in every respect, with the northern; and that there is nothing in the constitution to deprive us of this equality in consequence of being slaveholders.

Mr. DIX.—The Senator contends for the right of carrying slaves into the territories. I understand this to be an extension of slavery, and, with all deference to him, I can call it by no other name.

mannia, connection with this subject, we are asked by the Senator Tom Virginia, whether any one believes that State would ever have come into the Union of the right to exclude alaxes from the territories and been insisted on? I maker, yes. And on the strength of the known opinions of her delegates in the convention.

Mr. Madison would not consent "to admit in the constitution the idea that there could be property in men." He was unwilling to postpone the probabilism of the slave trade twenty years. "So long a term," he added. 'will be more dissonorable to the American character than to say nothing about it in the constitution." His language and his action then, and on all occasions, were favor of the restriction of slavery, and not in favor of its extension. The opinion of General Washington, the president of the convention, on the subject of slavery, is will known. I have already referred to the opinion of Mr. Kandolph. Colonel Mason was still more de-vided and explicit. His language may be quoted now with the more cellect, when those who have come after him differ with him so widely in opinion:

differ with him so widely in opinion:

"This infernal taille conjusted in the avance of Fritish mechans. The Britch
government constantly densied the attempts of Vagins to just a top to it. The open entities are not constantly densied the attempts of Vagins to just a top to it. The opset upenion concerns not be impossible of the property of the contract of the property of the property of the contract of the c

To this deciaration in the federal convention I might add, that in the convention of Virginia, he alleged, as one of the objections to the constitution, that it continued the slave trade for twenty-two years.

The Senator from Virginia wears, with equal dignity and graco, the name of the illustrious stateman I have quoted. It is quite probable that there is a closer bond of connection between them. But how different is their language, and the causes they have espoused, at the distance of more than half a century from each other! The partie of the Revolution denonced the British government for forcing slaves upon Virginia against her remarkances. The Senator from that State is contending here, in her name, for the right to carry slaves into Oregon, against the wishes and prohibitions of the inhabitants.

The construction them this digression. The four distinguished individual 1 have named constituted a majority of the delegation from Virginia, and I believe I am authorized, from their arowed comions, to say, that if there had been a positive provision in the constitution authorizing Congress to prohibit the Introduction of slavesinto retritionies thereafter to be acquired, it would not only not have been deemed an impediment to the accession of Virginia to the Union, but that it would have met their decided approbation. But in this case, as in many others, the framers of the continuous properties of the continuous of the continuous continuous and the continuous c

Mr. President, it was chiefly in the school of Virginia that the little howeledge I pessess of the theory of our institutions, and of the principles of postteal liberty and justice, was acquired. I have been accustomed to regard Mr. Jellerson as a standard, to which we might safely refer 'or the settlement of most questions, of political power and duty: and it is with something more 'han ordinary pain and regret that I have seen his principles assailed, and his acts repudated and condemned. I was not a little surprised, too, to hear the Senator from Virginia rest the legal justification of slavery upon the right of conquest, and its introduction into that State during her colonial dependence on the common law of England. I had supposed that Blackstone had furnished sufficient evidence of the mataken pretensions which had been set up on both those foundations to support the fabric of slavery in the American colonics and their successors, the States. I hold in my hand a volume of the English cost in the University of William and Mary, and one of the judges of the general court of Virginia. To this volume is appended an article or track written by him. "on the state of slavery in Virginia." Bir, it is in this edution of the writings of the great English commentator that many of us of the North have studied the principles of English law, and from the tracts, which are approached to the several volumes, that we have learned to consider the state of the control generally, were instructed, half a century ago, in the principles of political liberty and justice.

tice.

And, fi. st, as to the origin of slavery. Judge Tucker quotes largely from Blackstone, denying that slavery rests either upon the law of nations. by which according to Justinian, 'one man is made subject to another contrary to nature,' or upon capitivity or conquest, or upon the evul law, by which amo may suffer himself to be sold 'for the sake of sharing the price given for him."—He then proceeds:

He them produced:

"That, by benches, the same of sharing the price given for film."

"That, by benches, the produced of the p

Such, according to Judge Tucker, is the foundation on which starty in Virginia and in the other States rests—not on conquest, not on any ugb derived from legitimate wariaro, but on violence and teachery. I do not ci'e this authority to create prejudee of any sort. My only purpose is to meet argoments on the other

The common law of England utterly repudiated slavery. To use the language of one of her great commenstors, "the law of England abinors, and will not endure the existence of slavery within this nation." In the colonies it was introduced by write of the prerogative of the crown, as the fountain of chartered rights, and as the abiter of commerce. Nothing, I believe, is bette settled in English law than this. Slavery was at one time, it is true, regulated by act of Parliament, rather by recognizing the laws of the colonies than by original legislation; but the common law always rejected it as unnatural and noigies.

Virginia uniformly acced in accordance with the elevated senjiment sexpressed by Judge Tucker. She imposed duties on slaves brought within her limits as early as 1699—one hundred and fifty years ago. In 1759, she imposed a duty of 20 per cent, on all slaves imported from Maryland, North Carolina, or other places in America. In 1772, she petitioned the King of England to allow her to prohibit the importation of slaves from Africa. I quote from the neition:

"The importation of slaves into the colonies from the count of Africa, hath long been considered as a trade of great inhumanity, and under i spream encouragement we have too much reason to fear will endanger the very existence of your Mejesty's American domnions.

we have too much reason to leat will entanger the very extreme of your Majesty?

Anneus a dismulant storm of your Majesty's utilities of Great British may reap
emoluments from this sort of traffic; but when we consider that is greety retards that
extrement of the colonies with mouse medit indubations, and may n time, have the
verticents of the colonies with mouse well indubations, and may not made, have the
regulated, when placed in competition with its executy and happiness of such name
well out Majesty a found and fact a shadjects.

In the colonies of the colonies o

Judge Tucker says:

"This position produced no effect, as appears from the first clause of our constitutions, where, among other acts of mismic, the inhuman one of the royal negative," in relucing us permission to exclude claves from us by law, is commercial among the reasons for separating from Great Britain."

The clause in the constitution of Virginia is in these words :

"Whereas, George the Third, King of Great British and Ireland, and Elector of Hanover, beetofore utbusted with the exercise of the kingly office in this government, but death order over the provided the same into a detectable and insupportable tyrancy, polying a partie of the public good ?"

<sup>\*</sup> Virginia Bill of Rights, article 1.

[Hare follows an enumeration of other acts;] "by prompting our negroes to rise in arms against us—those very negroes whom he an inhuman use of his negative, he beth refused us premission to exclade by law."

Judge Tucker adds :

"The wishes of the propie of this colony were not sufficient to constetibilizes the interest at the English merchanist trading to Virice, and it is probable, that however disposed to pit a sign to so islaimous a traffic by law, we should never have been able to effect it, so long as we might have continued demanded on the Bittah government; an object sufficient of itself to justify revolution;

And now, sir, I ask, will Virginia insist on extending to other communities an evil which she deplored, and thus he guilty of an act which she considered, when done by the Baitish King, as a act Munch as decisiated, when John by the Dalliss King, as a sufficient justification of revolution a nast commercial in the first blusse of her onsitivition among the reasons for separating from Great Britain? Mr. Jefferson, as we all know, introduced into the original draugh of the Declaration of Independence a clauded reprobating the conduct of the British King in forcing slaves apon the American colonies; but it was struck out, to use his own lan-guage, 'in complaisance to South Carolina and Georgia, who had never attempted to restrain the importation of slaves, and who, on the contrary, still wished to continue it." Sir, are we willing to do towards other communities dependent on us what we condemned in the British King? what we relied on as one of the grounds of our justification in appealing to the sword for a vindication of our rights and the assertion of our independence? What matters our rights and the assertion of our independence. What matters it to the inhibitants of Oregon, or Now Mexico, or Culifornia, whether slaves are introduced from Africa or from the States of the Union which they are bred 1 Sir, let us abstain from this injustice and wrong. If we insist on carrying slaves to those territories; the arm of the public authority is employed, directly or indirectly, for the purpose of placing them there, and in the protating, in two of the territories, the fundamental law and Mexico, which declares is they expected the surprising it, in the progress of events, when those distant community is the property of the property nties shall have grown to manhood, that they, like us, should de-clare themselves "free and independent;" and among the courses of the separation, charge us, as we charged the British King, with foreing slavery upon them, against their wishes and their re-monstrances. If this was a just cause of separation for us, why would it not be so for them? God forbid that history should record such a passage as this, to confound and shame our descend-

It has been said, that this territory should be divided, so that a portion of it may be left open to the introduction of slaves; that it has been acquired by the common treasure and the common blood of the whole Union, and that it would be unjust to exclude a portion of the citizens of the Union from it.

a portion of the citizens of the Union from it.

In the first place, I do not admit that there would be any exelusion if slavery were prohibited. It would be open to every
freeman in the commanity. But even on the score ela nequituble
division—it the propriety of such a division could be admitted,
when the question is, whether laws abolishing slavery shall be
alregated—I hold that the territory should be, as it now is, free.
When Florida was acquired, we did not ask that any portion of it
should be set apart for immigration from the free States. We
elaised no division. We away it all not the South And wet it olaimed no division. We gave it all up to the South. And yet it was purchased by the common blood and the common treasue of the whole Union. The soil of Florids has been crimsoned by the blood, and whitened by the bones of northern men sacrificed in the and the second of the second o

It is the same with Texas. It is true, the Missouri compromise line was drawn across the States, leaving to the north of it a strip, narrow, misshapen, barren, and broken, for northern immigration. For all purposes of an equitable division, it would have hon a for an harposes of the reproduction of the Why, sr, this every wer, which has just terminated, prew out of the senaction of Texas. It is part and parcel of the acquisition. What will it cost? At least eighty millions of dollars, when arrears are liquidated, bounty lands set apart, and pensions fully paid. For this acquisition the North has contributed its full share in blood, and could be greater shifty for consumption, will pay the largest por-tion of the treasure by which it has been purchased. Taking Texas into the account, with its three hundred thousand square miles, and its capacity for production, I hold that an equitable di-vision—I the propriety of it were to be conceded—should leave California and New Mexico free.

Let us look at the money account, and see how that stends. Floida has cost us forty millions of dollars, and Texas eighty millions. For New Mexico and California we are to pay, includmillions. For New Mexico and California we are to pay, including claims of our own citizens, twenty millions. Deduct this from the other, and we have a balance of one hundred millions, which we have paid for new territory given up wholly to the South. The blood, tha treasare, the surface—everything taken into the account of the control of the contro tude of ministering to the propagation of an evil, for the presence of which among us we can only justify ourselves by necessity.

There is one argument on the other side against restriction-if it may not rather be termed a complaint, or an accusation—which I cannot pass by in silence. Gentlemen have represented us, who oppose the extension of slavery as intending to hem their slaves in, to "pen them up," to surround them with "walls of circumin, to perform the properties and leave them to perish; and we have been availed with such outbursts of claquent indignation we have been availed with such outbursts of claquent indignation and walls of circumvallation! These are not in four Performance of the properties of the pro vallation," to crow! them together and leave them to perish; and or in its lower tones of commisseration and sympathy. What is the area of the slaveholding States? What is the size of the enclosure in which the negro race is to be suut up by those who or pase the extension of slavery? More than ame hundred thousand square miles-more than the entire surface of France, Spain, Portigal, Germany proper, Prussia, Switzerland, and Italy, combined tigal, Germany proper, Prussia, Switzerland, and Italy, combined nearly equal to two thirds of the entire surface of Europe, Russia excluded—a greater area; than that which, in the eastern hemisence, austians a population of one handred and fifty millions of souls?! Let us turn to the non-slaveholding States, and see how their surface compares with that of the slaveholding. What is their area, Mr. President? But little more than incur hundred thousand by the state of the state of the state of the slaveholding. Thus, with a the comparison of the slaveholding. States, the free States are charged with aggression and injustices, because they will not consent to the extension of slavery beyond its present limits into districts of country to which it does not exist. And, what is more extraordinary, we are acstavery neyond its present minist into districts of country to windon it does not exist. And, what is more extraordinary, we are accussed of inhumanity because we perpose, to use the language of our accusers, "to pen up" the Africas race on an area nearly a million of square miles in extent!! Really, this sobject is hardly succeptible of being treated with becoming gravity, and I dis-

Let me now look a moment at the provisions of this bill, so far as they profess to older us a compromise of the question of always in the territories. And her I desire to say, that I intend no reduction upon the conducts mutures of the committee, collectively or individually. I deal only with the measure; but of that I must spenk freely and frankly.

There are but two direct references to slavery in the bill: the are contained in the twenty sixth and thirty-third sections, and both are to the same purport. They prohibit the territorial governments of California and New Mexico from legislating on the sub-

There is one indirect reference to slavery. It is contained in the twellth section of the bill, which declares the laws now in force in Oregon to be valid and operative for three months after the legislative assembly meets; and, as we all know, one of these laws pro-

hibits slavery.

These, then are the great provisions of this bill. They leave the whole of New Mexico and California open to the introduction of slaves, and prohibit the territorial governments from legislating on the subject, even if disposed to legislate for their exclusion. And, in consideration of this abandonment of all the territory we And, in consideration of this abandonimat of all the territory we have acquired from Mexico to slavery, we have received from the hands of the committee the bonn of reedom for three months in O. e.gon. This is the great concession to the non-slavehoding States, and this is presented to us as a compromise—a compromise—a compromise which surrenders everything on one side, and concedes nothing on the other. Let me pursue this subject, by examining some of the propositions with which this bill was othered into the Senator clamber, by the Senator from Dalware, (Mr. CLEYTOX,) as claiman of the Committee of the Commit them to be a true exposition of the inequality and the officer of the bill by the one who, of all others, is best qualified to interpret it—the one by whom it was drawn. I give the more credence to his interpretation of it, because, on a careful exa manton, I can put no other construction on it myself.

The first proposition is this: (I read from his remarks:)

"While It was admitted and sides that be far they at a position of use terminal was proporty similar to an admitted and sides that be far they at a position of use terminal was proporty similar to the terminal was a position of its far they are a position of the terminal to the control of the three was a position of its where produce the mate and the peculiar poducious into periods."

I consider this proposition unsound in all its parts. In the first I consider this proposition unsound in all its parts. In the first place, our own experience teaches us that slaves will be careful wherever they are permitted to g; that no soil will be frow where they are not excluded by law. They were taken into the territory northwest of the Ohio river. There are now five slaveholding States north of 35° 30's 'Missoni, 'Alaryland' Delawara, Virginia, States north of 35° 30's 'Missoni, 'Alaryland' Delawara, Virginia, States north of the Children of the States norm of so of instance, and that slaves would be valuable in any part of the contrary, in the early stages of settingent, where the demand for lator is argent. And I have no heattain in saying, that if this bill passes both Houses, and becomes a law, they will be carried into every part of New Mexico and

California which is habitable. This will be its practical effect. California which is nabitable. Also will be its practical effect.

Even if the northern portion shall in future years abelish slavery, it will be left with a black population—a burden and an incumbrance to the white race, and an impediment to its moral and phy-

promote to the watter face, and an impromens to its moral and physical development.

But the latter part of the proposition is far more objectionable than the first; and I regret exceedingly to hear that it was conceded with unanimity. I don't that there is any portion of these territories where free labor ean never be introduced. I dony that there is any portion of the globe which nature designed for slavery. It would be an impeachment of the character and purposes of the great Author of the universe to concede that there is any portion of the earth in which we cannot "stand fast in the liberty of the earth in which we cannot "stand fast in the liberty" wherewith God has made us free. I deny that there is any portion of
Oregon, or New Mexice, or California, to the enlituation of which
alave labor is midspensable. The suggestion is as unsoond in fact
as it is in philosophy. I do not admit that there is any portion of
those territories to which African, much less slave abort, is indispensable. There is no portion of it less solved to white labor than
an analysis to the standard of the standard than Andalusia—where slavery does not exist. Besides, there is a free Indian population, natives of the climate, willing to work, singularly docile, and adequate to all the demands for labor for years to come.

I regret exceedingly to have heard the admission that slave laber is necessary in these territories. But I have ceased to be sur-prised at anything from any quarter. I have heard of one of the principles of the Declaration of Independence impugned, and its author charged with error in advocating the exclusion of slavery author charged with error in advocating the exclusion of slavery from the territories of the United States. I have heard negro slavery defended as founded in right, as justified by the laws of God, and lauded as "the midsets species of houdage which labor ever bore to capital on the face of the globe." I confess I have been stonished at these declarations, so different from all I have heard and read of the sentiments of the great men of the republic first its foundation to the present day. I have been taught, and taught by the South, to regard slavery as an evil to be god rid of, and to as a good to be communicated to other communities.

The Senator from Delaware, after proposing to organize go-vernments for California and New Mexico, by the appointment of vernments for California and New Mexico, by the appointment of a governor, secretary, and judges, to compose a temporary legis-lature, without the power to legislate on the subject of slavery, proceeds: "It was thought, that by this means Congress would avoid the decision of this distracting question, leaving it to be settled by the silent operation of the constitution itself; and that, in case Congress should refuse to touch the subject, the country would be slaveholding only where, by the laws of nature, slave labor was effective, and free labor could not maintain itself

This proposition is subject to the great fundamental objection I have taken to the other. It contains a direct admission, that by the laws of nature a portion of the country or territory will b slaveholding. I deny that nature has any such law. It is the makes a country slaveholding, either by its own voluntary act, or

makes a control of the control of slaves—that they will be slaveholding wherever slaves can be carried. It is an admission that the "silent operation of the constitution" will be to make the country slaveholding, where constitution will be desired as a constitution of the constitution stricted enjoyment of the right they assert to carry their slaves into any territory belonging to the United States. It is the very extent of their demand. It leaves nothing for them to ask or deextent of their demand. It leaves nothing for them to ask a sire. The distinguished Senator from South Carolina, [Mr. HOUN, commenced his speech with the assertion that all the South desired was—no legislation. This has been conceded—ful-South desired was—no legislation. I his has been given up. It was by conceded. Indeed, something more has been given up. It was not enough to yield all that was asked. The territorial govern-ment is prohibited from legislating on the subject of slavery. This I should not object to, constituted as that government is likely to be, but for a single consideration-as a precedent, it may be of importance. It will constitute an argument in favor of extending the same prohibition to the legislative assemblies, when they shall the same promotion to the registative assemblies, when they shall be hereafter created. But I will not look beyond the present. I take it as it is. The territorial government is probibited from legislating; Congress does not legislate; and slavory will extend itself over the whole of New Mexico and California. It will en neen over the whole of New Mexico and Cantornia. It will en-ter the great basin; it will take possession of the maratime val-ley of California—the American Italy; and when planted there, neither you, sir, nor I, nor our children, will live to see it eradicated.

And, with this assurance, which no man can reasonably doubt, we are invited to leave this matter "to the silent operation of the constitution;" when we all know that the constitution does no constitution; when we an above that be constituted does no more than vest in Congress the power to legislate for the territories. It is an invitation to us to leave this power unexercised, and to let slavery extend itself wherever self-interest can earry it. is the same argument that was used in the federal convention is the same argument that was used in the learnal convention against the abolition of the slave trade. Our fathers were invited to leave the whole subject to the laws of nature. It is the argument which has been employed on all occasions to resist every at-

tempt to prevent the extension of slavery. It was urged against restrictions upon Louisana, against restrictions upon the territory northwest of the Ohio river, against restrictions upon the territory west and northwest of the Mississippi, when Missouri was ad ry west and northwest of the Mississippi, when Missouri was admitted into the Union. Did those who have gone before us yield to these persuasions of self-interest? No, sir, they refused to accede to them. They prohibited the introduction of slaves into the territories. They considered it as a political question, proper only to be decided by themselves, and not to be shuffled off upon the yideciary. They met the responsibility like men, and decided it according to the dictates of daty and right. This scheme of the committee, so far as it professes to be a compromise, secures nothing to the North. To the South it yields up all. It concedes thing to the North. To the South it yields up all. It concedes all that is asked, all that is desired. It imposes no restriction; it sets up no barrier; it leaves the whole field open to be entered and taken possession of, unresisted and unopposed. It is an unconditional surrender; it has not even the grace of a capitulation upon terms.

If gentlemen suppose this proposition will calm the prevailing excitemnt, they are greatly mistaken. What does it contain cal-culated to allay agitation in the North? Does it concede anything to the non-slaveholding States? No, sir. It excludes slavery nowhere—not even in Oregon. It only continues her prohibition in force for three months after the first meeting of her lebitton in force for three months after the first meeting of her legislative assembly. The prohibition is then to cease. From that moment slaves may be introduced, unless the prohibition is re-enacted. They will not be excluded then, if Congress shall disapprove the re-enactment. Oregon comes here with an organic w prohibiting slavery forever; and we throw it back upon her with a mere temporary vitality. We virtually invite ber to re-consider it, as if it had been passed without reflection, or as if, on consider it, as it it had been passed without reliection, or as it, on further deliberation, she may think it advisable to receive slaves into her bosom. Indeed, it is not necessary for her to do any act. She has only to be passive. We virtually repeal the prohibition. And this the committee give us to calm excitement! Sir, I consider this whole scheme of legislation unworthy of the high character of the country, unwortby of our fathers, unwortby of our-selves It is commended to us, that Congress may avoid the decision of the question. It is an evasion of responsibility, which will defeat its own purpose. It is sowing the seeds of a inture agitation, vastly more profound and exciting than this. temporary colonization of this controversy, to he sent out to the Pacific to stir up dissension among the first settlers, and then to be brought back here, after a time, to renew agitation among ourselves. It will turn out, like every other device of timidity, which shrinks from one embarrassment only to plunge deeper into ano-

But, sir, we have reason to be thankful that our case is not utterly void of hope. We are flattered by the chairman of the committee with the assurance that Congress will be at liberty bereafter to give us the Missouri compromise, and run out the line of 36° 30' to the Pacific. He considers the arrangement tempo-

It is not so with the Senator from South Carolina, [Mr. CAL-HOUR.] He has pronounced it permanent. And, what is emt-nently worthy of attention, the bill was to speak for itself. It was so announced. Well, sir, it has spoken for several members of the committee; and it is artfully or inartificially contrived, that it speaks a totally different language in each case.

But let us pause and survey this bow of promise which the chairman of the committee has hing out in the distance for our encour-agement and hope—the Missouri compromise. When it presents itself, I shall be opposed to it—utterly, irreconcilably; because it will extend slavery where it does not exist; because it would subwill extend slavery where it does not exist; because it would subvert the laws of Mexico which have abolished slavery, and introduce it where it is prohibited. It bears no analogy to the compromise of 1820. That settlement of the question, which was confined to Louisiana, contracted the area of slavery. This would extend it. The whole of Louisiana was open to the introduction of slaves. Slavery nominally existed there. But beyond the limits of the State of Missouri, north of 36° 30', the territory was nearly uninhabited. The compromise invaded no right. It was no act of abolition or emancipation; but it prohibited the extension of slaof aboutton or emanerpation; but it producted the extension of sina-very to areas over which, without such a probibition, it whold have been extended. How widely different is this proposition? It is to extend slavery where, without the sanction of the public autho-rity, direct or indirect, it cannot go or exist. It is a proposition to establish slavery by law in a district of country more than two hundred thousand square miles in extent, equal to the cettie rate hundred thousand square miles in extent, equal to the cettier and the state of the state of the state of the state of the first of the state of the tional character, and to the future millions who are to occupy the event Pacific or maxitime saller of BCalifornis—literals, the Itale great Pacific, or maritime valley of California-literally the of America, in all but the monuments and classical recollections of of America, in all but the monuments and classical recollections of the other. Let us look at this question practically. The proposed compromise would carry out the line of 36° 30° to the Pacific, and prohibit slavery north of it. Let us see the geographical divisions it would make. It would divide New Mexico just above Santa Fe, leaving that city and two-thirds of the entire State or territo-ry to the South. How is the distinction between slave and free corritory to be maintained? A new we to have two territories with torritory to be maintained: Are we to have two territories with separate political organizations, or only one with an astronomical line separating the bond from the free? Passing New Mexico, the compromise line would cross the Sierra Madre, or Rocky Mountain chain, and enter a district but little explored, but, so far as known, barren, and almost worthless-leaving a strip of three parallels of latitude to the south. It would next graze the great basin of California—one of the most remarkable features in the geographical conformations of this continent—represented by Fregeographical conformations of this continent—represented by Fre-mont as Asiatio rather than American in its character. It is five bandered miles in extent in all directions, enclosed by mountains— the Sierra Madre on one side and the Sierra Nevada on the other —and has its own systems of lakes and rivers. It is for the most part sterile, but with numerous and in some cases extensive their part sterile, but with numerous and in some cases extensive tracts capable of cultivation. Passing the great basin without tinching it, the compromise line would cross the sierra Nevada, and enter the maritime valley of California, five hundred miles in length and one hundred and fifty in width from the summit of the mountain one mindred and any in what from the summa of the mountain chain, which forms its castern boundary, to the coast range on the Pacific. This valley—the finest in the western hemisphere—is represented by Fremont as hearing a close resemblance to Italy in extent, in chinate, and in its capacity for production. It is the natural region of the vine and the clive, and of the infinite variety of grains and fruits which the earth brings forth in tropical clives. Though work further worth, it has all the milders of the contract of the contrac of grains and fruits which the earth brings forth in tropical climates. Though much further north, it has all the mildress of the tropical regions on the eastern face of this continent. The compromise line would sever this noble valley latitudinally, leaving four handred miles to the North and one handred to the South. It yields nothing to the production of which shave last after than a Slavery would go there as a Why, then, seek to introduce it, when an adverted the sit to be nawared—when it can only prove an element of numixed evil? Why sever a region which nature designed for unity in its geographical conformation, its climate, soil, and ment of unmixed evil? Why sever a region which nature designel for unity in its geographical conformation, its climate, soil, and
capacity for production? How is the social distinction which the
comproms line would introduce to be preserved inviolate? Will
you have two governments, or one with an imaginary line to define the boundary between slavery and freedom? Sir, this whole
scheme of division is wrong in all its elements—geographically, poscheme of division is wrong in all its elements—geographically, po-

somence of division is wrong in an its elements—geographically, po-litically, morally wrong—and I will have no part in it. Such, Mr. President, would he the Missouri compromise line, applied to New Mexico and California. Bad as it would be, the applied to New Mexico and Cambrilla.

bill reported by the committee is still worse. It leaves all open: it surrenders all. It will dedicate the whole of this noble valley. to slavery, and exclude from it the freemen of the North, who will not go where their labor is to be degraded by migling it with the labor of blacks. Sir, there were gallant bands from the North and West, who "coined their hearts and dropped their blood for drach-

west, who "coined their hearts and dropped their blood for dractmass" on the essanguined plains of Mexico, to make this nequastion. They are gone beyond the reach of sympathy on the one hand, or injustice on the other. But against their fathers and their children you will by this act put forth an edict of perpetual exclusion from an inheritance purchased by filial and paternal blood. There is another consideration which ought not to be overlooked. We have been acoused, for the last two years of making war no Mexico to obtain territory for the extension of slavery have denied the truth of these imputations. We have researched them as doing injustice to our intentions. And yet, significantly is hardly ratified before we are engaged in a structure to Andrew the American Senate to extend slavery to the territorial value of the control of

open to the introduction of slaves is consummated?

I do entreat our southern friends enrestly, solemnly, not to press this measure upon us: I mean that of insisting on the right cearry slaves into New Mexico and California. I say to you in sincerity and with the deepest conviction of the truth of what I say, that the northern feeling can go no further in this direction. I appeal to you, through the memory of the past, to do us the justice we have rendered to you. You asked for Florida. You said it shut you out from the Gulf of Mexico. It was an inlet for political intrigue and social disorganization. I was necessary for your safety. We united with you to obtain it. Our blood, our treasures was freely shared with you in making the acquisition. We gave was freely shared with you in making the acquisition. cal intrigue and some an exercise state. Our blood, our treasure was freely shared with you to behan it. Our blood, our treasure was freely shared with you. You asked for Texas. It was said to be or barger of falling under the centrol of your commercial rivals. It was necessary to your safety. You said it would become a thearte for the intrigues of abolitionism. Your slave population might be endangered without it. We united with you again, and gave you hack, by legislation and arms, what you had lost a quarter of a century before hy diplomacy. We have now acquired free the continuous continuous to the continuous continuous to the continuous continuous to the continuous con interference with slavery where it exists. We cannot sanction its extension, directly on indirectly, where it does not exist. And if the authority of the United States is exerted for this purpose—if slavery is carried into and established, as it will be by this bill, in slavery is carried into and established, as it will be by this bill, in the territory we have acquired—I am constrained to say—I say it in sorrow—the bond of confidence and constrained to say—I say it in sorrow—the bond of confidence and pears of alientation and unkind-mess may interest assumed and pears of alientation and unkind-mess may interest the same of the pears of the same pears of the same pears of the integrity of the Umon. I have not. It is capable of sustaining far ruder shocks that any possible settlement of this question can give. But what I fear is, that the current of reciprocal kindness and confidence—which runs through every portion of the community, pervading, refreshing, invigorating all, may be turned out of its course, and forced into channels to which the common feel interests and strike. I conjure you, then, to avoid all this. Ask us anen, and in which it may be converted into a jointain of inter-ness and strife. I conjure you, then, to avoid all this. Ask not to do what every principle we have been taught, and taught by your fathers, to venerate, condemns as unnatural and unjust.

[Mr. BUTLER made a brief explanation of his remarks of yesterday, [alluded to by Mr. Drx.] which will be given, in connection with his speech, in the Appendix.]

Mr. DAYTON.—Whatever may have been my intention once, I can assure the Senate that at this hour of the day or night, and under existing circumstances, I shall not venture upon an elaborate der existing circumstances, Ishall not venture upon an elaborate speech. I understand-however, that those gentlemen who are more particularly interested in the passage of this bill, have detern and to sit ti out, and therefore, the little I have to say I will say now. I have no purpose, I repeat, to enter into a discussion of the general question of slavery. It has been traced, I believe, from the legislation of Moses down to the legislation of Congress, now a system of close the substance of the system of the syst n decisian purpose is to be attained by this course of argument, I, at least, am ignorant of it, while I cannot but see that it is productive of much asperity of feeling. For myself, proposing to do little else than to justify the single vote which I shall give to my little else than to jushiy the single vote wince I shall give to by constituents, I shall overlook all such matters. This bill bas been reported by the committee, to whom the subject was referred as a bill of compromise, and for one, I am disposed to look at it as so intended. It is at least a mode of settlement of this controverted question, if not a compromise.

Mr. MANGUM.—If the Senator will yield the floor for a moment, I will move that the Senate adjourn.

Mr. DAYTON assented, though he added, not on his own account; and the yeas and mays being taken upon the motion, it was determined in the negative, as follows:

concernment in the negative, as follows:

12.3.3.—News, Actiona Balger, Baldwa, Bal, Reaton, Chrise, Davis, of Masvalination, Dr., Folds, Mangum, Metcalle, Miller, Niete, Pearse, Sornaner, Dealer
wood, and Uplane, Marker and Mark

Mr. DAYTON, proceeded. It is no silectation, when I say of the result of this motion, that it is entirely a matter of indifferency as I shall not attempt an elaborate speech, and I have only to hope that those gentlemen who are so sparing of time to others, will not at a later four of the day make sure of a little more time for themselves, and that in point of lact they may dispose of the question before another sun shall rise.

before another sun shall rise

This bill has been reported by the committee as a compromise
bill. I do not participate in the slightes degreet in the feelings of
those gentlemen on either side of the clamber, who have denounced the course of this committee, or of any member of the
committee as "evasive, cowardly, or skelling." Nothing of the
kind. Although I shall vote against this bill, I am yet disposed, I
repeat, to do justice to the committee; to look upon the measure
as a fairly meant eibret at settlement. It seems the measure
as a fairly meant eibret at settlement. It seems of the bill
Routh, and gentlement when the state of the constitution and
themselves in a set of the seems to think that this bill yields to the Sonth alt that they
ever asked, to wit, that the matter remain as it is. Ser, they have
which excludes slavery from Oregon, and the they ever asked that the previsional law
which excludes slavery from Oregon,
they have asked that the previsional law
which excludes slavery from Oregon,
they have asked that the previsional law
which excludes slavery from Oregon,
they have asked that the previsional law
which excludes slavery from Oregon,
they have asked that the previsional law
which excludes slavery from Oregon,
they have asked that the previsional law
which excludes slavery from Oregon,
they are the service of the previse good taking
although the second of the law shall provides in subtance, that the law shall remain there as it now is, putting the
South upon their constitutional rights for power to carry their
slaves there. If the whave carred in regord to the law, as I believe This bill has been reported by the committee as a compromise stance, that he my small remain time as a now as parting this South upon their constitutional rights for power to carry their slaves there. If they have errol in regord to the law, as in my conscious cut by have, and the matter my got into the courts, their ease is gone. The courts, their ease is gone. The courts of the my constitution of the courts, their ease is gone. The my constitution of the courts of the my constitution of the courts of the my constitution of the my const exempt an engaged in concecting this bits, from the imputation of "skulting or dodging" the question in controversy; and more par-ticularly would be exempt the Senator from Vermont, [Mr. Prillers,] of all others from this charge. He stands in a position which should at least shelter him at the hands of friends and focs from all such imputation.

It seems to me, too, that there is nothing evasive in the conduct It seems to me, too, that there is nothing exasve in the conduct of those who distrint litigation, or who believe there may possi-bly be a doubt as to the result, or who think it expedient at once in public to do so, there is nothing wrong in their saying to the right to do so, there is nothing wrong in their saying to Congress, legislate, act upon the subject according to your right feel the force of a remark made by the Senator from Vermont. I feel the force of a remark made by the Senator Iron Yermont. Believing, as we do, that the law is as we contend for it, to wit, that slavery can exist only by municipal law, and there being no municipal law there, I feel it to be some degree of sensitiveness when we strink from the position in thich this bill places us. It may be, though I trust not, that this plan of settlement has not been adopted Still, I propose voting against this bill, and to justify myself to my constituents, I shall assign a few reasons. In doing so, I address myself rather to them than to the South. It shall assign a few reasons. In shall assign, therefore, that which southern friends would save uoing so, i audress mysell rather to them than to the South. I shall assume, therefore, that which southern friends would say ought to be proved. I shall assume, in the first place, that slevery and the existence of slavery is an evil; and, in the second

place, that it is calculated to retard the growth and to interfere with the prosperity of any country where it may fix itself. I go into no argument for the purpose of prowing these positions. If the appearance of those lines of country, bordered upon one side by slave and on the other by free institutions, do not prove it—if the market value of the land on the respective sides of such line do not prove it—if the more speedy settlement of the one, as compared with the other, do not prove it—fit the more speedy settlement of the one, as compared with the other, do not prove it—if the more propered it. If it he not speed it is not prove it—if the other, do not prove it—an system of argument ean proper it. If it he not self-evident that a system which affords to valor at least the chance of personal reward, and the hope of an improved condition, be not better than that which holds out no hope—which says only to its subjects, eat, drink, and die f—ji fit he not self-evident that the one is preferable to the other as a means of developing the resources of a country—I repeat, that no system of argumentation can prove it. On the other hand, experience warns us that all this discussion upon slavery, upon its merits or demerity, as a moral or political institution ends in nothing, except in exasperatum and destroying the kind feeling that ought to exist in this

Sir, I mean, too, for the purpose of my vote, to assume the other position, which has been so much discussed in this channer, to wit, that Congress has the legislative right to inhibit the introduction of slavery in the territories. I have discussed that question one if not on two occasions before, and have proved it, at least to my own satilaction; and other gentlemen who have discussed it around me have proved it, I think, to the satisfaction of the world. There has been nothing, indeed, in the course of my legislative experience that has given me so much surprise as the diversity of opinion which I have found suddenly to spring up upon this question—a diversity of opinion, separated by a political, or rather a slave and free line. I have found able lawyers, somal jurists, taking one or the ether side of this question, as they happened to be North of South of Mason and Dixards line! It is a melancholy comment or south of Mason and Dixards line! It is a melancholy comment or south of Mason and Dixards line! It is a melancholy comment or south of Mason and Dixards line! It is a melancholy comment or south of Mason and Dixards line! It is a melancholy comment or south of Mason and Dixards line! It is a melancholy comment or south of Mason and Dixards line! It is a melancholy comment or south of Mason and Dixards line! It is a melancholy comment or south of Mason and Dixards line! It is a melancholy comment or south of Mason and Dixards line! It is a melancholy comment or south of Mason and Dixards line! It is a melancholy comment or south of Mason and Dixards line! It is a melancholy comment or south of Mason and Dixards line! It is a melancholy comment or south of Mason and Dixards line! It is a melancholy to mental the other line of the dixards line in the other line of the other

It has been arguen here by the scenator from South Carolina, by whonorable friend before me, [Mr. Berarlex,] and by other Senators of the South, that the power to inhibit slavery in the territories does not exist. Now, what is this law? Do you not here, by legislative act, recognise the existence and validity of a law which oxcludes slavery, for three months at least, from the territory of Oregon? Clearly so. And if it can be done for three months, I need not say it can be done for a thousand years. But you go further, and you provide that the territorial legislature may reenact the law.

Mr. CALHOUN.—[Remark not understood, but supposed to dissent from Mr. DATTON's position.]

Mr. DAYTON.—And does the Senator from South Carolina represent the committee as holding out a compromise or arrangement which, when the time comes, they will not tecl themselves bound to keep; thus "keeping the word of promise to the car, but breaking it to the hope?"

Mr. CALHOUN .- Not at all. The committee did not decide the question of constitutionality.

Mr. DICKINSON.—We did not suppose that a legislative act by Congress could make it constitutional or otherwise.

Mr. DAYTON.—Exactly; but the Senator from New York and the Senator from South Carolina will see, that if they vete for the continuance of the local laws of the territory, it is assuming that they are constitutional.

Mr. CALHOUN.-We did not decide whether they were censtitutional or not.

Mr. DAYTON.—Then the Senator from South Carolina and those who go with him are just in this position. They vote for extending a law new in existence in the territory of Oregon which they believe to be unconstitutional.

Nr. CALHOUN .- We inserted the words if not inconsistent with the constitution.

Mr. DAYTON.—Then the Senator puts himself in the awkward position, that when this law is re-centered in Oregon, and comes back for our approval, these southern gentlemen, who hold out to us this measure as a means of settling the question will tran round and say, the law is unconstitutional and viot against it!

Mr. DICKINSON.—The court may say otherwise. We do not call upon the courts to decide for us. It is a matter aliunate the question of slavery. We are now on a question of constitutional newer.

Mr. CALHOUN .- [Not heard.]

Mr. DAYTON.—Will the Senator from South Carolina be good enough to answer me one question? When this law comes back

from Oregon, forbidding the introduction of slavery, do southern gentlemen, who teader this measure to us as a compromise, mean to sustain it?

Mr. CALHOUN.—Southern gentlemen are perfectly indifferent on the subject.

Mr. DAYTON—The Senator does not answer my question. I beg, very respectfully, again to ask, if the law be returned here again for our approval, whether the Senator from South Carolina with his friends intend to vote for it?

Mr. CALHOUN .- I do not. I believe it to be unconstitu-

Mr. DAYTON.—I have at last got my answer. Then let me applies to Orea. gon, amounts to nothing; its effect will only be to delay action upon the question, and thus drive us round and round in a circle. The three mounts are nothing; for after the law has been re-enacted by the territorial legislature, and southern Senators, when the law is returned to us for our snotton, are as-ad to sustain it as part of the compromise they now offer, they say no, we cannot; it is unconstitutional.

Mr. CLAYTON.—If the Senator from New Jersey will permit me, he is under a misapprehension. He seems to enternain the idea that the laws of Orpornment come because the appropriate the idea that the laws of Orpornment come because the appropriate properties. The gentleman is entirely misablem in this. Congress reserves to itself the power to disapprove or annul the law, and unless Congress does this, it remains in force. The affirmative action of Congress is not necessary to give to the territoral enacements the operations of laws.

Mr. DAYTON.--I do not think so, but if so, it is a mere play upon terms, or rather an evasion of the point. It amounts to precisely the same thing. Any person in Congress can raiso the question for affirmative or negative question.

Mr. BERRIEN—If the Senator will allow me to interrupt him, the difference is a most essential one. If the approval of Congress were required to give force to the territorial laws, it would require the concurrence of both Houses, and the Executive, but since the law is valid, anless disapproved by Congress, if either House, or the Executive, refuse to disapprove it, the sot is valid. It is a difference of one to three. It may be rejected by a union of the three, but not by one branch of the legislative power.

Mr. DAYTON.—But, sir, are we to remain in peace under the force and effect of this compromise, or are we not? It would seem that the Senator's notion is that it merely increases our clances. If we can have the aid of our southern friends for the purpose of carrying this settlement into effect; if we can be assured of that, then there will be something effected by the compromise, but the Senator from South Carolina says we cannot have his voto on this question.

Mr. BERRIEN .- What assurance do you require?

Mr. DAYTON.—The assurance that we require is, that they who are weatin this compromise, will ther announce their intention, their difficult of the property of the territorial legislature). "shall be submitted to the Congress of the United States, and if disapproved, shall be submitted to the Congress of the United States, and if disapproved, shall be submitted to the Congress of the United States, and if disapproved, shall be sufficiently of the property of the proposed of the tention of the committee, that I shall assume for the purpose of my vote at least, that slavery is nevel; and that I shall assume for the purposed of the proposed of the tention of Congress to inhabit its introduction into the territories. Under

In the action of the committee, that I flat originally supposed. I have said that I shall assume for the purpose of my voter. I have said that I shall assume for the purpose of my voter of Congress to inhibit its introduction into the territories. Under these circumstances it will leave the question of such inhibition one of mere expediency. And here, permit me to say, as I have said before, that I cannot but heliver, that we, by our excited discussions, have been instrumental—and I key it not as a sin 10 one side of the cimaber, rather than the other—but we have been instrumental to a great extent, in getting up a facilitous excited ment upon this subject. I believe that in the nature of the question, there is no serious call for this deep fooling which it is said pervades the country. No man preferable to believe, that shavery can ever got a few slaves will get there in the early settlement of the country. I have no doubt; but that slavery will ever fix itself in country. I have no doubt; that that country, I do not believe. From all accounts we are given to understand, that it is physically adapted to

nore of those sources of industry to which the planting States devotes themselves. It grows no cotton, sugar, violaceo, or rice; it qualified for agricultural purposes only. Besides, Coliforna in a through portions of the year. They have rains there for aix months, and drought for the balance of the year; it is either feast or famine with them. The growth of grain or anything else by process of irrigation is not calculated firs always, and, in my judgment, this fact, with others, will forever prevent California from becoming permanently a slave State. Still 1 am not disposed, the North is general called a slave State. Still 1 am not disposed, the North is country open, if by any fair set of legislation we calculate against the admission of slaves. But we are here met with the general charge nguiest the North of aggression open the rights our compromises. This has been rung in our ears from month to our compromises. This has been rung in our ears from month to our form year to year. Sir, I deny it in the whole and in all his part of the south years of the south that the compromises is the state of the south of the south shall be compromised the compromises, they have always remained steadfasts, but I cannot say the same for the South. The first compromise on the question of slave territory, as I have on a prior occasion said, was at the adoption of the constitution. Then the compromise of the South and filled pall it is slave threat the North and the South and filled pall it is slave threat of the south of contry to which free labor and slave labor would thereafter be confined; and they compromised the question with a view to the state of things which was then before them. A quarter of a century passed by and the South had filled pall its slave territory, when with a southern Executive we acquired Louisianatory, the natter was again actiful by the Missouri compromise of 1820.

## A SENATOR .- We have Iowa.

Mr. DAYTON.—Yes, sir, and we have Oregon, but all the title that we have now to either of them we had then. At the time of that compromes we had all the country we have now. But another quarter of a century passed by, and the South ragin filled up every foot of territory allotted to her by the Missouri compromise; not an over remained for the further spread of her institution. Without Text not allotted for the further spread of her institution. Without Text not have been added to go under the compromest of the compromest of the end of your rether? But thou, through the agency of another shave administration, disregarding peak compromest, you annexed Texts. And on that constant of the compromest, you annexed Texts.

all time; you has one we also we administration, disregarding past the agency of another slave administration, disregarding past the agency of another slave administration, disregarding past assured you would not stop there, and so expressed myself.

I then put myselfon record, (and it will be so found in my printed remarks then made.) I ventured my character with posterity upon the presilection that the South would never rest content with a limit in the side of the Pecific ocean. Little did I suppose, that less than three short years would verify the prophecy. I say with all respect, that so fact as compromises upon this question of free and stood by every compromise, whilst the South has stood by none; as soon as the territory allotted to it by past compromises was filled up, it has reached out its hand to grasp at and secure more. And yet the North is constantly berated as fasthless to its compromises; as aggressive in its policy. Never was there a more unjust and groundless elamor; and yet I repret, even under these circumstances, that this question of slavery is now here; that it is made a matter of excitement in all accounty at large. I would we should have trusted the settlement of these retrictions to the effect of natural causes. But, sir, we are here in the midst of this excitement, we are driven to vote upon the question, and, as I have said on other occasions, whenever I am forced into a position which comples me to vote upon a question involving, or seeming to involve slavery, I can vute but in one way. My honorable irrend from North Carolina, [Mr. Badders, and whise a did lay decided the Senate, tells us that two do no multiply shares at all by we have a should have a real and the decided at that a frontier population will increase faster than one in dark in the second of the s

# A SENATOR .- Not at all.

Mr. DAYTON.—Senators from the South certainly know much better than I do as to this; but will they say to me that, in their judgment, slaves would increase as last as they now do in Virginia and Maryland if there were no such outlet, no such demand, no such high prices I Do not these give encouragement to a multipli-

eation of slaves? It would be strange, it would be a violation of all that we know of the nature of things if the were not so. It take it for granted, that their monbers will torrest more and their national properties of the facilities afforded them for increase—the ready means of support and their market value. But as to pending them up until the Suath shall become one great charach house, no man with the tecliogs of a man ever denamed of it. But as the country of the South is yet aparasely settled, it will he centuries before anything of the knot a pending the strange of the strange

# Mr. HANNEGAN .- And upon his posterity.

Mr. DAYTON.—Well, even if that be so, it does not constitute what we hold to be slavery. The peon or debtor can work it out and so can his posterity; it is a mere question of tune.— The Mexican government declares by public law that it is not by it regarded as slavery.

I repeat, that it is a mere lieu upon the personal services, the labor of the dehot; and permit me to say, hadly as it has been denounced, it is not worse, nor indeed so bad as that law which existed in England and in many of the States, entiting the credit tor to seize upon the body of the debtor, and keep him in prison until the debt was paid. He had not the privilege nor the power, indeed, to work it out. Yet I need not argue that this right of eaizure, and holding of the body of the debtor constituted no alse very in the eye of the law—nuthing of the kind. If a man owe one dollar, he is subject to this system of pens servitude; and yet it enn hardly be pretended that this debt of a dollar, which he has the right to work out, can make him a slave; and although the effect may be different, the principle is the same where the debt is a thousand

a thousand

The Senator from Ohio, [Mr. Coawts,] inquires if we will permit this oboexious system of servitude to remain; and whether we will not abolish it at once? With this system rights are connected—contract rights, according to the Mexican laws, and the wisdom of at once abolishing even this system of peon servitude, interwoven as it must be with the social rights and business relations of the country—may well be doubted. It is suggested—and rightly suggested—that the proper mode of getting clear of the system will be to pass a law upen the subject relating to future contracts. But that is not now the question; the law may be and labor under foot; yet, nowthistanding this, it is in on owner of the word slavery. Slavery does not exist, therefore, at all of that territory. If a man take a slave there from a slave State, he takes him where he cannot hold him; he loses the power over him, and, in my judgment, the slave becomes free.

and, in my juggment, the stave becomes tree.

Mr. President, I may have asked, and with some pertinency, believing, as I do believe, that the law is as I contend, why I am believing, as I also believe, that the law is as I contend, why I am ing, as I have before said, to go upon record in a position which makes me appear as consenting to the extension of slavery. Again, I am neuvilling to do so, because I may be mistken is my judgment as to what the law is, or rather what it may be decided to be. But here I am reminded by the Senater from Maryland, [Mr. JOHNSON,] that our action will make no difference, that we will have to leave this subject—as a constitutional question—for the Supreme Court of the United Sittes to settle. Be if we pass this act problibing slavery, or pass none at vall. The decision of the Supreme Court may be very well one way without a law, and another way with it.

a law, and another way with it. Again, sir, I am opposed to this bill on account of other objections on the face of the bill. In the organization of these terrices, we know little or nothing of the population of California or New Mexico, or the boundaries of etitler. New Mexico, are interested in the single state of the sing

and your judges into this scene of political strife, and the consequences may yet be deplored by us all.

We cannot even hope, if we judge of the mind of the Supreme Court, from the contrarrety of opinion we have had here, that there will be unanimity upon that thenches and if not unanimity, this questions are the contrarrety of the c will be unanimity upon that bench; and if not unanimity, this question will be tried over and over again. A pprointments to the bench will be made in reference to it. You will then, sir, have dragged this tribunal, our last, our only hope, into the scene of political strife, and the end may be that you will see its dead hody fastoned to the triumphant car of one political party, as it shall ride over the prostrate principles and down-trodden hattlements of the other. Sir, I do not want to see it. But again, sir, I have yet another reason which operates upon me somewhat in reference to this question, and it is this: I have before me the instructions of the New Jersey legislature upon this subject, asking that all territory to be added, shall be made free territory. Now, sir, gentlemen around me well know that I am no believer in the doctrine of instructions, whether they come from my political friends trine of instructions, whether they come from my political friends trine of instructions, whether they come from my political Iriends or foes. But Id on on held that legislative instructions are to be entirely disregarded. I do not hold that upon a question of doubt or of expediency, that they are not entitled to a respectful consideration. Where I can, without violating my own sense of duty, it will always give me pie-assure to conform my action to the sense of my State legislature as I do now. But, sir, let this matter be determined as a tamy, whether by the Supreme Court of the United March 1997 of the Court the North represent a law-shiding, peace-loving people, and there will be no threats of disunion from us. That is a kind of talk, peculiar, as it seems to me, to Congress; we hear little of it at home. There are no large masses of persons anywhere who contemplate anything of the kind. Yet so excited and perverted are the minds of some here. that they hold the Union just on the verge of discalation. I regretted to have heard a few days since, a sentiment proclaimed on this floor, which shocked me beyond measure. We were told that in the judgment of the speaker, if the knile were at the told that in the judgment of the speaker, if the knile were at the throats of our brethern of the South, no belp would come from the North, provided only the knile was in the hands of a negro. I hope, sir, I misunderstood the meaning of the speaker; if not, from the bottom of my beart, with my whole soal, I repudiate the sentenct. In the Union or out of the Union, here or elsewhere, now or hereafter, they are our brethren; and as such, though in prosperity we complain, yet in the day of their adversity; if it shall come, they will find we will never forsake, never desert them.

[Mr. UPHAM addressed the Senate at some length in opposition to the bill. His speech will be given in the Appendix.]

[Mr. BELL followed in opposition to the bill, and argued that the period was not propitious for a settlement of the question, in consequence of the excitement existing and the unsettled condi-tion of the public mind. A report of this speech will also be given in the Appendix.]

Mr. BERRIEN.—Mr. President: It is with great reluctance that I consent to occupy the time of the Senate, even for a very brief period, at this late boar of the night, and after so long and laborious a sitting. In doing this, sir, I yield to the wishes of others, rather than consult may own inclination, and will esteem onwelf particularly fortunate, if, after the very discussive delutes which has taken place. I can recall the attention of the Senate to the roal question which this bill presents for our consideration. This will be accomplished in the simplest manner, by recurring to the state of the debate on the Oregon bill at the moment when the select committee was raised, and the motives then openly avowed

select committee was raised, aim the motives tien openly avowed as influencing the Senate in raising it.

Sir, we had before us a bill providing for the government of the territory of Oregon, in which there was contained a provision which asserted indirectly the power of Congress to legislate on the subject of slowery, and to inhibit its existence in a territory. I subject of slavery, and to inhibit its existence in a territory. I advert to this fact as well for the purpose of recalling it to the recollection of the Senate, as to repel an assertion which has been retirerated in the ocurse of this discussion, that it has been provoked by the South; and I avail myself of the occasion to say that such an imputation is entirely inconsistent with the fact. A brief reminiscence will prove the truth of this assertion. The Territo-rial Committee presented to us a bill for the establishment of a government in Oregon, and Sanators pressed upon us the consideration that the condition of that people imperatively demanded the protecting arm of this government. We of the South replied to you, give to Oregon such government as her necessities require, to you, give to Oregon such government as her necessities require, but do not tant us by the nesless assertion of a power which can have no practical operation there. The provision in relation to slavery was inserted in this bill for one of two purposes: It was either a wanton exercise of power to accomplish no legitimate object, or it was introduced to acquire the authority of precedent for the exertion of the same power in relation to New Mexico and California. We said to you, omit this provision, which is admitted to be useless so far as the people of Oregon are concerned, and you may pass the bill with whatever speed you choose to give to it. Your refusal imposed upon us the necessity of moving to strike out the section relating to slavery, and thus the dispussions strike out the section relating to slavery, and thus the discussion originated. It is the North, then, and not the South, which must

be responsible for any consequences which may result from it.

The debate proceeded, and various discordant propositions were presented to the Seante. Northern Senators asserted the uncontrolled, unlimited power of Congress to legislate for the territory. We denied the existence of that power in the extent which was claimed for it. They maintained that, even in the absence of leclaimed for it. They maintained that, even in the ansence of 19-gislation by Congress, slavery could not exist in Oregoo, because, as they contended, it is an institution contrary to nature, existing only by statute, and therefore necessarily local. We questioned the correctness of this position; but we said to our opponents, if you have confidence in Pour Opinion that she way opposite any where it is not protected by positive statute, act upon your conviction; forbear to legislate; strike this provision from the bill, and it will pass without opposition from the South. Gentlemen were unwilling to rely upon their own repeatedly avowed convictions. They insisted upon legislating where they asserted that legislation was unnecessary, and, as a consequence, therefore admitted that it was useless.

In the midst of this pretracted discussion, the Senator from Delaware, [Mr. Clayton,] actuated by motives which found a cordial response from a majority of the Senate, proposed to raise the select committee, the result of whose labors is before you. And now, sir, I inquire for what purpose was that committee raised, if it was not with the hope of avoiding this exciting discussion on the subject of slavery? If we could have anticipated cussion on the subject of slavery? If we could have anticipated the rhetorical displays, alike violative of truth and decorum, which have been exhibited in this discussion; if we could have forescent that the occasion would have been esized upon to utter denunerations against this institution, which, if true, would put every man connected with it heyond the pale of humanity, what motive could we have had for consenting to raise this committee? Sir, I had hand. I continue to home, nowithstanding the opposite feeling we have had for consenting to raise this committee? Sir, I had hoped, I continue to hope, notwithstanding the opposite leehing heretofore manifested in this debate, that the attention of the Senate will be directed, not to extravagant, distorted, unfounded calumnies in relation to slavery, but to the questions presented by this bill—the mode of conciliation which it proposes. On this bill, to which I will first very briefly advert. It is said that the boundaries of New Mexice have not yet been definitely settled, and that for this presence of the continue of the proposed of the propo

until this is accomplished it is improper to establish a government for that territory. Sir, the answer is a plain one. The terms of this bill are equally applicable to that territory, whether it be of larger or of smaller dimensions. Nay, the fact of the existence of the claim of Texas to a portion of New Mexico, furnishes of itself a strong reason for its organization. It is fit that the interests of the United States should be protected there by their own officers, and that the territory has been all when the limits of the protection of the control of the territory should not be left in the anomalous condition in which it now is.

Again, it is said that the right of appeal which is provided by this bill is illnsory; that the limitation of it to cases where the value in controvery, exclusive of costs, exceeds two thousand dol-lars, will prevent its exercise by a person using for his freedom. Sir, if Senators will examine the case mentioned by the Senator from South Carolina, [Mr. Butler,] they will see that this difficulty is altogether imaginary. In that case the Supreme Court decided that when in a petition for freedom the appeal was taken by the petitioner, the requisition as to value did not apply, because by the petitioner, the requisition as to value did not apply, because there the question of freedom was the ground of the appeal, and that could not be appreciated by money; but where the defendant was the appellant, as his right of property was the matter in con-troversy, it must be of the money value required by the act; but, sir, having acquiesced in this bill, I desire to see its provisions fair-sylve carried out, and will therefore readily assent to the amendment suggested by the Senator from Maryland, [Mr. Johnson, Jor any other which may be necessart to accomplish of the property of the control of the property of the compliant of the property of the pro

But, again, it is objected that this is an evasion of our duty; a transfer to the Spipreme Court of a responsibility which we ought ourselves to assume. Mr. President, this is a misapprehension of the subject. It leaves the conflicting claims which have given this subject. It leaves the conflicting claims which have given rise to an exciting discussion in this chamber, on the footing on which they stand under the constitution and laws. When a case arises under these, the court, in the exercise of its appropriate jurisdiction, will take cognizance of it; but this would be equally true if you were to legislate on the subject. You will not deprive true if you were to legislate on the subject. You will not deprive that court of jurisdiction, or impose it upon them, by legislating or by refusing to legislate. If we abstain, it is because experience has taught us, in the course of this protracted discussion, that we cannot come to any satisfactory result by legislating on the

we cannot come to any satisfactory result by legislating on the subject of slavery in those territories.

And now, having stated, and I hop satisfactorily answered, the minor objections to this bill, I proceed to present my own. It is by no means acceptable to me, sir. If I had been free to closely the rights of my constituents should have been placed on a very different looting. The fact that a southern planter emigrating to one of these territories, and earrying with him his slave property, is faible to be harassed by excatisate highest in a bill containing one objection. The displayment of the green as well as the sinsuch a provision, is an evidence of the strength as well as the sin-cerity of our desire to adjust this unhappy controversy. I have yielded my assent to it, from the consideration that a decision in a single case would settle finally the principles applicable to all; and that that decision may be promptly had. Questioning, as I do, the power of Congress to legislate on the subject of slavery, do, the power of Congress to legislate on the subject of slavery, the provisions in this bill in relatin to the territory of Oregon are by no means acceptable to me, and, standing alone, would not have received my vote. As part of a measure of peace and conciliation, they are presented to me in a different sepect. I know the deep interest which is felt on this subject—how much it concerns as all that it should be ammably adjusted. In the history of all governments, cases have occurred which were not contemplated, and were therefore not provided for by the organic law. This, I think, is such a case, and feeling that the safety of the people is the supreme law; that the continued agriation of this question may endanger the peace and harmony of the Union, I yield my constitutional scruples to the ardent desire which I feel to test the

score truttonal scruples to the action which I feel to test the efficacy of this measure as one of peace and equilation.

Even this poor boon we are not peace and equilation.

Even this poor boon we are not peace and equilation.

Even this poor boon we are not peace and equilation. The Sonator from Ohio [Mr. Conwall declares that with the peace and the peace and the peace and the test of the peace and the er, it would seem, lor the purpose of giving scope to his anathem a gainst the mode of acquisition, than from any deference to southern rights. Nevertheless, it is an admission which authorizes me to naquire if the opinions of that Senator, as to the mode in which the territories of New Mexico and California were acquired, have undergone change? If we voted with me in favor of the resultation which It submitted to the Senate, as an amendment to the three million bill, and a gainst the bill itself. If wotted with me to strike out that part of the boundary in the treaty with Mexico which gave these territories to the United States; and, failing in when gave these territories to the United Strees; and, failing in these modes of resistance, be voted with me against the treaty ministration was to coerce Mexico to submit to this disacrablement by the terror of our arms; that it would be an acquisition by conquest, which was alike bateful to us both. Has be changed this opinion? Does he believe now that these territories have been this opinion! Does no unieve how that these territories have been fairly acquired by purchase? I that they have been relay yieldled by Mexico? that they have not been extorted by the terror of our arms? Or does he still believe, as he was wont to do, that they have been wrested by force of arms from a feeble republic—that it is an acquisition by conquest? If so, the admission of the Senator destroys the argument, and renders quite harmless the sentimental and vitupe-rative rhetoric with which he has assailed the rights of the South.

rative rhetoric with which he has assailed the rights of the South. I have heen gratified by the reply which the Senator from Olio has been enabled to give to the inquiry addressed to him by the Senator from Maryland, [Mr. Joursoss, 1], that he is unconscious of having used the language attributed to him by that Senator, and that, if used, (as it certainly was,) he now disclaims it. Having entertained sentiments of respect and good will for the Senator from Ohie, he will add to my gratification if he is enabled also to disclaim, or willing to recall, another portion of his remarks. In speaking of slavery as it existed in the southern States, I adverted to the affection which subsisted between the colored narse and the child committed to her eare. The Senator was olessed to sneak child committed to her care. The Senator was pleased to speak of that portion of my remarks in terms of cubgy, and as having for a moment beguiled his judgment and led him to believe that ior a moment beguide pair singular and led hum to believe that his was indeed in the transport of the transp

Mr. CORWIN .- I did not suppose the Senator from Georgia ant. CURWIN.—t aid not suppose the Sciator from Georgia could have so misunderstood my measing in the manner in which I presented the contrast referred to. All that I said on this subject was to prosent the necessity of watching these slaves, in the form of illustration, in a playful way.

Mr. BERRIEN.—Mr. President, I leave this part of the sub-ject with a single remark: that such levity was, in my judgment, unsuited to the occasion; that the wit which sparkles, is that which inflicts no wound, and that calumny is not divested of its odious character because it is uttered in the form of sareasm.

outous character because it is intered in the form of sareasm.

Let us pass to the consideration of the more important grounds of opposition to this use hill. The interests which it involves are sectional, and the discussion of it has unhappy become so. Northern Senators oppose it, because it surrenders what they are pleased to denominate the rights of the non-slaveholding States, while those southern Senators to whom it is unacceptable rest their opposition on the ground that it surrenders when the rights of the Social States, while the second of the social states which is the surrenders when the surrenders were surrenders when the surrenders when the surrenders when the surrenders were surrenders when the surrenders when the surrenders were surrenders when the s

open to such dreedly opposite objections. The claims of the North and South are exactly opposed, and yet it is said to surrender both. Now, sir, this cannot be. One class of disputants or the other must be in error. In my judgment they are both so.

To my southern living a desire to submit this simple suggestion. The bill abstains from legislating on the vexed question of slavery. It leaves that to be decided by the people of the terrires, when the decided by the people of the terrires, when the submitted has kinded. and are engaged in forming their State constitutions. In the moan time, if any question of freedom or slavery should arise, the judithis bill, but in the exercise of their pre-existing jurisdiction. All that it does in this regard is to speed the decision of the case by that it does in this regard is to speed the decision of the case by the appellate tribunal. In what sense this can be said to be a sur-render of southern rights I am totally at a loss to understand. In a government like ours, that which is properly called a right is

30TH CONG .- 1ST SESSION-No. 122.

something substantial— public of being maintained in judicature and thereout—something which a court of justice would be bound to recognize. To say that we have no right which the highest judicial tribunal would recognise, is to admit that we have no right at all, but such as Congress may be pleased to confer upon ns—is to concede in its whole extent the argument which is arged in support of the right of the North to the exclusive enjoyment of these territories. Now, sir, I do not on retain this opinio | II I in support of the right of the North is the exclusive enjoyment or these territories. Now, sir, I do not one start lits opinion. If I did, if I thought that in strict law our right could not be maintained, with the conviction which I have of the annohulted eputy of the claim of the South to participate in all acquisitions made by the expenditure of the countom blood and treasure of all the States, I would have remained selent, and would have left the argument, to be assembled by those who were to profit by its allow-gument, to be assembled by those who were to profit by its allow-gument. that claim, but had not sofficient confidence in its validity to trust it to judicial decision. If we have no right to carry our slaves into these territories without the permission of Congress (and that to these territories without the permission of congress (and that is the position in which this argument places us.) we may abandon at once the idea of having any share in them, for the Missouri compromise was rejected by the select committee, and will be by the House whenever it is offered.

But let us examine the argument which demes this right. It runs thus :

Slavery exists only by force of local statutes, and is not protected beyond the limits within which they operate. The laws of a con-quered country continue in force until they are repealed by the conqueror. Slavery has been abolished in New Mexico and California, and cannot be re-established there without the sanction of Congress-by the repeal of the existing law and the enactment of

Congress—by the repeat of the existing may not enterthered a law of slavery.

Now, Sir, it is and true in point of fact that slavery exists or has existed only by force of local statutes. The fact has been assumed in certain judicial decisions, and has been reiterated here, but it is contradicted in others, and is utterly at variance with the but it contradicted in others, and is interly at variance with the littoric record of the original States. Whoever will consult this will find that slavery existed in all the colories before any law was passed to authorize it. It was introduced into them by the capidity of the mother country, (seeking to avail herself of the profits of the African slave trade and of the market which the col-onics afforded for the sale of slaves,) not only without any local law to authorize it but in the of slaves, one only without any local law to authorize it but in the coordinates the colonists, and of acts passed by local legislatures, which were nega-tined by the royal governors. When, in process of time, it became necessary to regulate this peculiar class of people, and to distinnecessary to regulate this peculiar cause of people, and to distinct the people and to distinct the people and the people and the people are the people and the people are tees passed an act prohibiting the use and importation of negroes into the colony, yet, in despite of this, they were introduced from into the colony, yet, in despite of this, they were infroduced from South Carolina; so that, when the government of the teastes ceased, it was deemed advisable to repeal the prohibitory act. But the first law recognizing the existence of slavery in that col-ony, was passed in 1770, some twenty years after. Such, on ex-amination, will be found to have been the fact, I doubt not, in all the colonies. There is an express recognition of it in a case decided in Louisiana, in which the court say: It is an admitted fact that slavery has been permitted and tolerated in all the colonies estabslavery has been permitted and tolerated in all the colonies estab-lished in Amorica by the mother country. And again. Slavery existed in the colonies long before any legislative act of the mo-ther country authorizing their introduction, except the charter of the African company, and before any colonial act had pussed declaring its existence.

In a case decided in Virginia, the court say: The slavery of the
African has existed from the time of bringing them into the colo-

in others by custom.

cal law functions are passed to authorize it—may, notwitheranding the provincial legislature attempted to impose a fax which would amount to a probibition of their importation; and s. little foundation is there for the assertion that slavery exists only by force of local statutes, which has so often been made on this floor, that in the caso from Louisiana, to which I have bias referred, the court say: It may be laid down as a legal axiom, that in all governments, in which the municipal regulations are not absolutely opposed to slavery, persons reduced to that state may be held

in it.

The foundation of the first proposition, the assumed fact on which it rests, is therefore taken from it. It is not true, as we have seen, that slavery exists only by force of local statutes. It have seen, that shevery exists only by force of local statutes. It existed in those colonies long natior for non local statute in relation to it. Those statutes recognized and regulated, but did not establish it. The principle stated by the court in Louisiana, to which I have referred, was that on which it rested. The persons brought to the colonies by the African company, had been reduced to slavery, according to the laws and customs of their own country, either as expitees in war, or in whatever other mode, and there being no municipal regulation. The remaining branch of this proposition, that could be a superior of the state in which it is satablished, I will consider presently. The next proposition stated by Senators is this: the laws of a conquered country remain in force until they are altered by the conqueror. It is not necessary to deny this position, but it is desirable to understand it. A country subdued by loree of arms is beld as a conject of the country subdued by loree of arms beld as a conject of the country subdued by loree of arms beld as no conject on it until the long a time has elapsed as to destroy the right of post limits of the nation from whom it has been wrested by force of arms. If it has been yielded in the treaty of peace, the terms of that treaty settle the condition of the inhabitants. Now, that New Mexice and California are the fruits of conquest—that Mexice has been compelled to yield them by the terror of our arms, and for the preservation of her nationality—is a proposition which I do not doubt. But she has yielded function in the content of a conquered people. They were left by the terms of that treaty free to choose between Mexico and the United States. If they had adhered to the former, they would have continued to enjoy the benefit of Mexican laws by a removal to some other part of Mexico. If they chose the latter, they became at once entitled to the privileges of citizens of the United States, and in due time to be admitted as members of the China laws? A citizen of the United States has the privilege of worshipping God according to the dictates of his own conscience. The laws of Mexico prescribe the Only form in which that worship is allowed. A citizen of the United States is entitled to his personal liberty; his lands and tennents, goods and chartels are liable for the payment of his debts, but his person is exempt. For non-payment of a bebt the liated States who have enigrated or may emigrate to these territories the subjected time of seventy, which have considering distancely in the considering distancely in two develops and the United States who have enigrated or may emigrate to these territories the subjected time of seventy when the accurate o

The opinions on which they rely are the obiter dicta of the learned judges who nutered them. In the case adecided by Lord Mansfield, the question is, independent of Parliamontarios of his factorial to the control of the property of the property of the property of the day or tax which was the subject of controversy. It was a question of British constitutional law, and was the only one decided in the case. In the case of Canter, the inquiry related to the validity of a decree in a court of admiratly in Florida, established by the territorial legislature, under the authority of Congress; and the questions which it was necessary to decide were the right of this government to acquire territory, and the consequent power of govern it. In inhabitants of Louisiana Cases, the tied to the protection of their property, as well under the treaty as by the law of nations, and, in determining the question of title, to have the benefit of those laws under which it accrued. The distinct question webster the laws of a country which is acquired by treaty, incorporated into the United States as an integral portion of it, whose inhabitants are declared to be entitled to the privileges of citizens of the United States, and for which a territorial legislature, under the operate prospectively, has not, I think, been decided. In relation to the past, they are certainly efficive to protect rights acquired under them; but, in relation to the future, the laws of the United States and those certainly efficive to protect rights acquired under them; but, in relation to the future, the laws of the United States and those retainly efficive to protect rights acquired under them; but, in relation to the future, the laws of the United States and those retainly efficive to protect rights acquired under them; but, in relation to the future, the laws of the United States and those extrainly efficive to protect rights acquired under them; but, in relation to the future, the laws of the United States and those extrainly efficive to protect rights acqui

been in force, why was it made!

But, Mr. President, is it quite certain that slavery is abolished.

But, Sir. President, is it quite certain that slavery is abolished.

But, Sir. President, is it quite consumes or white slavery, and the state of the s

nification of their owners, and that until this is done they are inc-

perative, or rather their operation is incomplete.

And now, sir, having offered to the Senate such suggestions as occur to me on the questions we have been examining, I turn to the consideration of that which is in my judgment most important the right of every citizen of the United States to remove with his property, of whatseever kind, to any territory of the United States. He who denies this, is prepared to deny the right of all, to participate equally in that which has been acquired by the united efforts of all; to assert, as a legislator, what as an individual he would blush to affirm, that the majority of a joint association have a right to appropriate exclusively to themselves the whole gains of the copartnership. The farmer of the North may emi-grate to these territories with his family and household goods, with his apprentices and hired laborers, his hords and his flocks, his property of every description. Why is not a like privilege accorded to the southern planter? I am told that negroes are not pro-perty beyond the limits of the States in which the owner resides; that beyond those limits they are considered as persons, over whom that beyond those limits they are considered as persons, over whom the owner can exercise no dominion. Mr. President, I have before pointed out the fallacy of this position, but I desire again to expose it to the view of the Senate. Sir, no case has been, no case can be produced to sustain it. Certain State courts have affirmed that a slave brought with the consent of his owners within the limits of a State whose laws fortial slavery, thereby becomes free. The correctaces of these decisions may well be doubted, so far as they apply to a citizen of the United States transiendly passing through such States, not resident therein, but, warning this, it must be obvious to every Senator that they fall must be ordinated and the provided that they must every small or use position which they are added to maintain. They do not decide that the stee before the time steep a passing beyond the limits of the tate where being master resides, but by entering within the limits of a State whose laws down the steep and the steep steep of the steep the steep and which decides hat a slave becomes tree by possing a critical which before an olaw prohibiting sharey—into a trritory which before the property of all the property of a common property of all the property of was decided in the Supreme Court of Louisians, in the case to which I have before referred. The learned judge who pronounced that decision stated it as a legal axiom, that in all governments in which the municipal regulations are not absolutely opposed to slavery, persons reduced to that State may be held in it. If, then, the abolition of slavery has not been completed in Mexico, or if, as I suppose, Mexican laws will have ceased to exist, under the provisions of the treaty, from the establishment of territorial governments in New Mexico and California, and the extension of the laws of the United States over them, this is, then, the precise case suggested by the Supreme Court of Louisiana, in which persons previously reduced to slavery, may be held in it.

I have said that slaves we recognized as such in the constitution and laws of the United States. They are recognized both as persons and as property. As persons they constitute an element of representation, giving political rights to their owners which they would not otherwise possess. As property they are liable to taxation, and have been subjected to it whenever you have resorted to direct taxes. Your laws provide for the taxation of slaves, and the collection of the tax by distress and sale, by your officer, of the slaves so taxed. Undort the operation of these laws, shaves are now held who have been purchased from your officer, under warrants issued by your command. They have been sold at your instance, and the proceeds of the sales have been paid into the national treasury. You are daily repeating this operation by the sale of slaves under executions founded on judgments recurrend against defaulting officers. Do you mean to dony the tile which has been given by your command, under the authority of your laws, while you retain in your Treasury the price of the slave?

Again: slaves are recognized as property by your navigation laws. You provide for their transportation coastwise, from the port of any State to "any port or place within the limits of the Lorited States." You require certain things to be done by the owner, and thereupon your officer, under the authority of law, grants hin a permit to transport his slave expressly to any port or blace within the limits of the United States, to be sold as a slave, or to be held to service or labor. Now, consider the operation of these laws on the question before us. A citizen of Savannah holding a slave, the issue of one purchased by him from your officer, under a sale for direct taxes, for which he has paid the price which you hold, goes before the collector of that port, and, having complete with the requestions of Latel Law, obtains from him a pertoit limits of the United States, there to be sold as a slave, the limits of the United States, there to be sold as a favor, have a salve, tell me what authority is there in any territory of this Union which can override and nullify that of the supreme government on which it depends, and from which it derives whatever power it possesses? Holding a title to this slave, given lay our officer under the authority of your laws, while the price which he has paid yet remains in the national Treasury—having your permit to carry him there to be sold as a slave, or to he held to service or labor, what authority in that territory, over which you have

exclusive dominion, can wrest from the owner the right which he has thus acquired to the labor and service of this slave

has thus acquired to the moor and service or the sharer.

Mr. BRADBURY—Mr. President: I do not propose to trespass long upon the attention of the Senate at this late their of the cvening. After an uninterrupted session of more than twelven hours, following the protracted one of yesterday, the Senate is too much fatigued, and I find myself too much oxidated to anter upon a general discussion of the very important subject now under consideration

[Mr. UNDERWOOD here interposed, and desired the Senator to give way to a motion to adjourn; and the same desire was expressed by several other Senators.]

Mr. BRADBURY continued: I do not think it advisable to give way for the motion, as it is well understood that it has been determined to oring the discussion to a close before any adjournment, and the motion has already been voted down once or twice by the friends of the bill. I shall endeavor to be very brief. It was my intention to have considered the subject somewhat at length but I fool contributed. was my mention to have considered the subject somewhat at length, but I feel constrained to content myself with a statement of some of the reasons for the vote that I shall give, and some notice of the points I had intended to discoss more fully.

I have examined the bill before the Senate with some care, and

I regret that I am mable to give it my assent. I regret that I am anable to concar in epinion with many friends in whose judgam anable to concer in opinion with many friends in whose prog-ment and patriotism I have great confidence. I regret that I am unable to assent to the views of the committee who undertook the difficult task of devising the mode of adjusting the questions which

divide the Senate and the country. I will now refer to some of the provisions of this bill and proceed

at once to notice my objections to it.

The bill provides a territorial government for Oregon, with the usual legislative assembly to be chosen by the inhabitants, and reusual legislative assembly to be chosen by the inhabitants, and re-cognizes and gives force to the existing provisional laws until three mouths after the meeting of the first assembly. Amongst their laws is one embracing the 6th section of the ordinance of 1787. In California and New Mexico the legislative power is vested in the judges, governor and secretary, all appointed by the Presi-dent, and the inhabitants have no representation or voice in the exercised and there is no requirement.

government, and there is no provision against the admission of slavery into either of the territories.

This bill avoids the great question that has been discussed here, and instead of presenting it for our action, it leaves the subject of slavery in the territories acquired from Mexico, to turn upon the decision but the court of acquired highest acquired hi on, by the court, of another and different question. The great decision, by the court, of another and different question. The great question when has engaged the attention of the Senate for so many days, related to the power of Congress to legislate on the subject of slavery in the territories. This power was asserted on one side and denied on the other. The question has been examined with great care, and discussed with the ability which its importance demanded, and I hoped that the Senate were prepared to act upon it and would have been a convenient with the control of th

assection of the state of the s little discussed. It was presented to us near the close of last week in its present aspect, since which there has not been much time to investigate it, and different opinions are entertained by distinguished members of this body in regard to it, and of the probabble decision of the court upon it. The Senator from Vermont, IMF. PHELPS contends with great force, that slavery cannot enter these territories without affirmative legislation to authorize it. The Senator from Georgia (Mr. BERREN) has just closed an elequent speech in answer to the argument of the Senator from Vermout, and the support of the position that any citizen of the United States, with what is recognized as property in his State, and hold it there. I have, sir, great confidence in the Supreme Court, and in what I believe would be held to be the law upon this subject: but I do not feel anthorized, on behalf of my constituents, subject: but I do not feel authorized, on behalf of my constituents, to hazard the fate of these territories, so far as that may be affect to hizard the late of tasse territories, so far as that may be ance ted by the admission or exclusion of slavery, upon the decision of this intricate question of law. We leave the subject without the aid of any legislation. This is precessly the ground claimed by the advocaces for the extension of slavery into the territories. Pothe advocar'es for the extension of slavery into the territories. Fosture, alfirmative legislation was not esked. The distinguished Senator from South Carolina [Mr. Calhou's] expressly disclaimed asking it in the debate on the Oregon bill.

3. The bill is no compromise in respect to the territories acquired from Fexas. The decision of the court would determine the character of the whole. One side would trumph and the other fall as we all mud the under the expectation of compromise.

4. It would lead to great disappointment in one portion of the Union or the other, and would keep the country in a state of sus-pense until the question was settled—the ardent in each portion hoping to have secured ell its claims.

hoping to have secured ell its claims.

5. It would throw upon the court a subject appropriately pertaining to our dateis here, and which Congress should settle. It would transfer to the Supreme Court the responsibility and the interest connected with such a question, and throw upon it a great, and, perhaps, fatal burden. It might be regarded as novloung political power, and any decision would be likely to weaken condidence in the judiciary in one part of the Union or the other.

The bill is not, as I fear, calculated to allay the existing excite-

ment, but would probably increase it. It will be seen abroad that different opinions are entertained here in regard to it. Agitators are mile will represent there that nonline interests are all scrifficed in whole country lost to them. Agitators at the South will take the opposite ground, and fan up the fiame of excitement there.

eitement iners.

Another objection to the bill of sufficient importance to deserve notice is, that it does not respect the wishes of the oil milhibitants of Our first profiler of the heafits of our frest profiler of the cheefits of our fresh profiler of the cheefits of our fresh profiler of the cheefit solutions to highly counteness and secure attachment. Our own privileges of an American reasonment of the exercise of the privileges of an American reasonment of the some channel through which the grievances that more approximately of great powers by a few me administering a government of the proper solution of the proper solutions and the processing the property of the proper solutions are the property of the

have a voice in the government.

In regard to Oregon, the people have shown how capable they are of self-government, by these provisional institutions which they have established and unnitanced, and to which they have yielded their assent, in the absence of this protection which it has long been the duty of Congress to extend to them.

They have sent here, then, provisional and fundamental laws, and petitioned Congress to ratify and confirm them. One of these laws embraces the sixth article of the ordinance of 1787. They desire that it should be made permunent. Instead of complying with this request, the bill gives vitality to their laws for only three months after the first meeting of the legislative assembly! It is true, that legislative power is given to this assembly subject to the rovision of Congress, but why is it, that these laws established the crusion of Congress, but why is it, that these laws established by the people, under the authority of the provisional government, should survive for so limited a period, instead of remaining in force until they should be changed by the proper authority?

I desire here to call the attention of the honorable chairman of

the committee to certain defects in the 24th section of the bill, in reference to securing the benefits of the writ of haheas corpus, which may be obviated by an amendment.

This bill must, as I think, fail to commend itself to the enlightened

This bill must, as I think, fail to commend itself to the enlightened pidgment of the country, and I trust that something more satisfactory can be found and adopted.

Having glanced at some of the prominent objections to this bill, I wish here to notice the coarse of remark which some Senators have felt it incumbent upon them to purson in reference to the people of the northern States. Allosion has been repeatedly made to the existence of an aggressive spirit in the free States against the South. And the honorable Senator from Grogna, [Mr. Johnson, Jeweral days ago, called upon the North "to come up to the tor's argument seemed beginner to its mandatery" and the Senator's argument seemed the control of the service of slavery should be allowed the extend over the territories we have acquired, the mandates of the constitution would be stat definance.

I take the occasion here to remark that my constituents enter-I take the occasion here to remark that my constituents enter-tain no aggressive spirit; and have no desire that an argressive policy should be pursued towards any portion of the Union. They are attached to the Union. Their business relations connect them with nearly every portion of it. They desire its perpetuity; and they wish that just and equal policy to be pursued which is the surest basis of that perpetuity. For myself, I will say that I am amongst the last to desire a course of aggression— Satisfy me that a measure involves such a principle, or that it is in wordier with the letter or spirit of the constitution and I seemin conflict with the letter or spirit of the constitution, and I repu-diate it. That instrument recognizes the existence of shavery in the States, and all external interference with it there, is a violation of the constitution—dangerous to the harmony of the Union. The rights that are secured to the citizens of a State, those citi-The rights that are secured to the citizens of a State, those citizens should be permitted to enjoy peacesbly, and free from molestation. Such is the sentiment of the great body of the people of the State which I have the honor in part to represent hern.—They give no countenance to abolition schemes, which infranze panot ties principle. They have no sympathy with them. Abolitionsm, whatever there is of it, is fed and kept alive by the fanticism of a few ultimists of the South as well as the North Tegeneral feding amongst the masses of the people is sound and fraternal. There is no desire to interfere with those rights which the constitution has so wisely placed in other hands.

But the claim to extend the institution over all the territories of the United States, now free from it, presents a different question 

introduced in the debate upon this and the Oregon different or organize territorial governments; and it would seem, for this rea-son, to be supposed to have a direct bearing upon the policy that has been adopted in respect to previous nequisitions of territory by the United States. Now, sir, what has been the policy? I wish to call attention to it, and to examine it for a moment, not with the view of exciting feeling, but to meet the charge of aggression, our call when the needs accused, whom it is made in the nosition and place the people against whom it is made in the position which they in truth occupy upon this subject.

which they in truth occupy upon this subject.

Has an aggressive policy been presured towards the slaveholding
States in the disposition of the territories acquired since the adoption of the constitution down to the present day? Let us look at
the facts. Let us examino the history of past acquisitions. The

first acquisition after the adoption of the constitution was Louisiana, in 1803. Four States formed ont of this territory have been admitted into the Union-three slaveholding and one free. I am aware that there is a large extent of territory embraced in this purchase, which will, in time, he formed into States yet to be ad-mitted. This fact is not to be overlooked, nor its tendency to correct the inequality which would otherwise exist in the apportion-ment of the purchase. The next acquisition was that of Florida, from which we have one State only, and in that the institution of slavery is established

We come now to the annexation of Texas, a country of dimen-sions ample for an empire, and capable of sustaining a population of millions. One State has been admitted, and four more are to sions ample for an empire, and capable of sustaining a population of millions. One State has been admitted, and four more are to come into the Union as soon as they shall have the requisite population. By the constitution of Texas, the institution of slavery is established over the whole. This provision may be modified the resolution of admission, so far as it relates to the unimportant strip of land situate north of 36° 30′, and it does not affect the general viow of the question. These are our acquisitions, since the adoption of the constitution, over which we have extended our laws. From them, six States have come into the Union, Louisiana, Missouri, Arkansas, Florida, Texas, and Iowa, in all of which, with a single exception, the peculiar institutions of the South are established. The free States have added one, the slaveholding States five, thus far, from all our acquisitions of territory. Now, sir, I ask, do these facts indicate the pursuit of an aggressive policy by the former? Is it to be said that they refuse to stand by policy by the former? I sit to be said that they refuse to stand by eight to be suited by the former of the state of the s But the vast ranges of mountains, and the barren wastes which characterize a portion of the country, must limit the population which would otherwise spread over so extensive an area.

The aequisition of California and New Mexico has resulted

from the war into which we were forced in the defence of Texas. This great country has been added in the scale of the slaveholding States. Were there no other and higher considerations to be weighed than a regard to an equitable division of acquired territory between these States and the free States, should this disposi-tion of Texas be forgotten? Texas was received with her instito to texas be orgotten a rexas was received with her insu-tations as they were. The trifling exception is too unimportant to be noticed. Slavery existed, and it was allowed to remain. The territories recently ceded to us by Mexico are now free.

We know the repurgance with which the institution is regarded there. The question arises and presents itself for us to deter-mine, whether we should disregard the feelings and wishes of the mine, whether we should observe them, against their consent what is regarded by a large portion of the American people, as a social, moral, and political evil, or preserve the territory as it is, free. It is contended however, that the southern States would be excluded from a participation in the territories by the exclusion of slavery therefrom. Let us examine this subject of exclusion. eitizen from one portion of the Union is any more excluded, than eitizen from one portion of the Union is any more excluded, than from another. Any eitizen, from any portion, can remove into the territory and settle there, and all have equal rights. Nothing is poshibited to one, which is not probibited to all. All may be prohibited to one, which is not probibited to all. All may be prohibited allike. Citizens in every part of the Union own property, which is as effectually excluded by its character, as if it was stone by positive law. This fact does not debar them from removal into the territories, nor from a participation in their enjoyment.

Joynest images ionably true, that the prohibition would operate practically to prevent eithers of some States from removing into the territories, who would otherwise go there. And there is exclusion on the other side, to be considered in this connection. The admission of slavery would also practically operate to exclude many citizens from other States, who would otherwise premove inmany entreins from outer States, who would otherwise remove in-to the same territories. The former policy would exclude those who desire to go into them to cultivate the soil by the labor of others. The latter, those who wish to cultivate it by their own hands. Call it a prejudice, if you will, it is none the less opera-tive, that the free laborers of the North bayes a repugnance to labor by the side of the slave. Labor, with thom, is honorable; and they have too much independence of feeling to place themselves where it is associated in their minds with degradation. If they where it is associated in their minds with degradation. If they emigrate, they will not go where they suppose the range he posed to the loss of social position. They seek a home, not for themselves only, but for their children. They seek to secure for them, rank and re-pectability in society; and it is for this, that they sever the ties that bind them to thoir early homes. The practical exclusion from the territories, of this class of our citi-zeus, is justly entitled to consideration, as well as that to which the attention of the Senate has been so emphatically and repeatcdly called. The free laborers of the country have the right to claim that they should not be forgotten. When we are asked slavery is to be pent up by the east bank of the Rio Grande, it may be well to enquire into the comparative extent of territory which these two streams of emigration have yet to supply with inhabitants. The free States probably contain at this time from cleven to twelve millions of people, and cover an area of less than five hundred thousand square miles. The slave States with a population of less than nine millions, have an area, including Texas ith her enlarged boundaries, not much short of ten hundred thousand square miles. The habitual increase of population in the former, combined with the large and increasing imigration from abroad, nearly all of which goes there, create an annual demand for free territory which will exhaust the supply at quite as early a day as slavery. I must be permitted here to remark, that the question we are now considering, is in another aspect of it, a new question. It relates not to restriction, but to the extension of the institution of slavery over territory now free. The ordinance of 1787, adopted by the fathers of the republic, seemed restriction where the institution, prior to restriction, had a legal existence. The Missouri compromise did the same. It related to territory similarly situated, and provided that all beyond a certain line should be free. All the territories embraced in this bill are now free, and it is for us to determine bow far this feature in their characteristics. r shall be changed.

Mr. President, it is a great work which commands the atten-tion of the Senate. It is a responsible duty that is devolved upon Congress. Providence has placed in our hands the power to in-Congress. Providence has piaced in our mades the power to it fluence or control the destiny of the future States which are to arise on the shores of the Pacific. We are deliberating upon the structure of their political fabric. We are deliberating upon the frame work, and laying the foundation of their government. Our action must affect for an indefinite period, the social and political action must attect for an indefinite period, the social and pointed condition of the future millions that are to fill the valleys and spread over the hills of this broad region.

What, sir, should be the great and controlling principle that should guide us in laying the foundation of a government for these

future States? I answer : the general good of the people to be governed-their

perity and happiness. While we respect our own powers, and are careful not to transevent them, and to ée no injustree among ourselves, we should not forget, but should have constantly before us, the interest of the people for whom we are to provide a government. For this, the world will hold us responsible. The people who are to occupy these territories will hold us responsible. Posterity will hold us fresponsible. Posterity will hold us responsible. We cannot evade the enquiry, what institutions are hest calculated to develope the resources, and secure the prosperity of these territories?

But it has been contended that Congress does not possess the power to control the domestic institutions of the territories. It is too late an hour for me to go fully into the discussion of this question, and I shall content myself with a few observations.

The question is, has Congress the power to establish govern-ments for the territories of the United States, and to regulate within them by its legislation, matters of local and domestic con-

cern.

This power must necessarily exist somewhere, and is it to be found in Congress? or de the inhabitants of the territories possess it? Have the people of a territory belonging to the United States, the sovereignty over such territory? If they have, it is a power which, from its nature, they can exercise as they choose. It is the supreme power. Could they not refuse its exercise in an bordination to the interest of the United States, declare them. selves independent, or transfer this sovereignty, thus possessed by them, to a foreign State?

them, to a foreign State?

In the case of territory unoccupied and without inhabitants, would the first settler who entered upon it earry with him the sovereign power over it, disposess the government, and transfer it to himsel?? Would the first ten, or the first thousand? The inquiry needs on answer. How, then, can they acquire this power, until it is bestowed upon them, or wested by them from the government is which it is weather?

ernment in which it is vested?

But, sir, does it follow from this view of the subject that the ple of a territory should not be consulted in regard to the reg laidions by which they are to be affected, and that all power to participate in providing and establishing the laws by which they are to be governed should be withheld? Not at all. The enterprising pioneers who go forth from the States into the territories, are men of that discernment and that knowledge of the canascier of our institutions which will enable them to decide, more accurately than we can, in regard to their necessities and the regulations adapted to their condition. It is not only the part of wisdom, but I hold it to be due to them and to the principles by which we profess to

be guided, that their will should be respected and their voice heard.
Where, then, is the power to legislate for the territories of the United States, and to extend the protection of a government them? It is in Congress. It is now an admitted principle that the government of the Union possesses the power to acquire terrieither by conquest or treaty. Whether the power to govern tory either by conquest or treaty. Whether the power to govern the territory thus acquired is derived from the express grant of power in the constitution, or is a necessary incident to the power of acquiring, carrying with it the duty and the right to govern, it may not be material to determine, so long as the power is found to exist. It must exist where it is capable of being exercised to meet the necessities of its existence. We have seen that it does not reside in the people of the territory. It is not in the States. The only delegation of power upon the subject given by the constitution, is to Congress. In article 4, section 3, it is declared,

"The Congress shall have power to dispose of and make all needful RULES and RE STATIONS respecting the territory or other property belonging to the United States."

In article 1, section 8, the power is conferred upon Congress, "To make all laws which may be necessary and proper for carrying into effect all powers vested by the consistion in the government of the United States, or in any department or officer thereof."

It has been contended that the provision of the constitution to which have first referred, extends the legislative power of Con-gress no further than the subject of property is concerned; that it is limited to making rules and regulations for the territory as land, and does not neutule legislation over persons. The object for which and does not incurrence production or previous. The implication was to have been also nlations which are needful to secure the great and controlling pur-pose for the accomplishment of which the power was conferred. It can do more than to make rules and regulations respecting the It can do more than to make rules and regulations respecting the soil. It can extend its protection to the inhabitants, and give them a government. Congress has, then, mader this provision of the constitution, the power to govern—a power that miplies dis-cretion in its possessor. That discretion may be exercised in re-gard to the adoption of laws for the regulation of the municipal and political allairs of the inhabitants, while they are negurity the requisites for admission into the discretion than the processing

the requisites for admission into the Union. It is a discretion of the only legislative, the exclusive, and, therefore, the sovereign power over the territory.

If derived from the other source, as an incident to the right of acquisition, the power of Congress is alske exclusive and sovereign: for the property of the congression of a territory to the United States, all previous legislative power becomes extinct, and the sovereignty the property of the properment that approximately approximately a relative is transferred to the government that acquires. Indeed, a vilua-ble acquisition might be made, when the government could ac-quire no title to property, and nothing but bare sovereignty.

quire no title to property, and nothing but bare sovereignty.

I admit that this power, although exclusive, is not unlimited.

It is not contended that Congress has authority to do in a territory what is prohibited by the constitution. It cannot deny the exercise of religion, nor abridge the freedom of speech, nor grantities of noblity, nor rightfully do any thing which would disputify a territory from becoming a State, thereby defeating the puretion which the power was given.

nose for which the power was given.

It is, however, the sovereign power. The act establishing a territorial government is a full and explicit exercise of sovereignterritorial government is a full and explicit excreise of sovereigny. Political privileges are conferred and civil rights determined
and secured. The right of representation in a legislative council
or assembly; the qualification of members and electors; regulations, in regard to the descent and conveyance of property; laws
for the protection of persons and property, and for the punishment
of crima; the right of trial by jury, and the the punishment
of regularization of territorial and trial property is a significant of the right of
organization of territorial as an incident to the general legislative
of the constitution. It follows from these positions, as an inevitable
consequence, that this power extends to the subject of slavery as
a domestic institution. or Congress can judge to glavery laws
a domestic institution. a domestic institution, or Congress can regulate, control, or exclude it, as shall be deemed most conducive or necessary to the general welfare. No one can point to any provision of the consticoude tx, as shall be deemed most conducte or necessary to the general welfare. No one can point to any provision of the constitution which restricts Congress from so doing. It is the regulation of a subject which pertains to local legislation—in a State, to its legislature; in a territory, to Congress—which has the sole power of local legislation there.

Citizens of the States who remove into a territory, become sub-Citizens of the States who remove his a territory, account san-ject, with their property, to its laws. They cannot carry with them the municipal regulations and different and conflicting codes of their respective States, and substitute them for these laws.— The prohibition is no denal of the right to share in the common are promotion is no account of the right to share in the common property; it is merely the regulation of the mode of enjoying it; and it can be enjoyed alike by the North and the South, subject to this regulation. Nor is it a violation of the equal rights of the circumstens of each and every State. They all stand on terms of exact this regulation. Nor is it a volotation of the equal rigins of necessaries of each and every State. They all stand on terms of exact equality, and the same problistion applies to the citizens of every State of the Union, and the same privileges are extended to two I will now refer, sir, to some of the many acts of Congress, for the purpose of exhibiting the extent of its power ever the privileges are extended to the propose of exhibiting the extent of its power ever the privilege in the propose of exhibiting the extent of its power ever the propose of exhibiting the extent of its power ever the propose of exhibiting the extent of the propose of exhibiting the exhibiting the extent of the propose of exhibiting the exhibiti

The ordinance for the government of the northwest territory adopted under the confederation in 1787.

The act of 1789, confirming and giving effect to the ordinance The act of 1810, establishing a territorial government for Indi-The act of 1819, establishing a territorial government for indiana, and embracing the provisions of the ordinance.

The act of 1809, doing the same in regard to Minois.

The act of 1809, doing the same in regard to Illinois.

The acts of 1836, and 1838, giving governments to Wisconsin

and Iowa.

and lowa.

To the act of March 6, 1820, providing for the admission of Missouri into the Union as a State, and containing a section by which slavery was prohibited in all that part of the Louisiana pur-

chase, excepting that State, which was north of 36° 30' north lat-

To the act of 1804, dividing Louisiana into two territories, and

Providing for their government.

In this act there were provisions in respect to slavery, which fully and emphatically recognized the power of Congress over that

Subject in a territory.

The importation of slaves from any place without the limits of the United States was prohibited. This was the assertion of a power in respect to territories which Congress did not then, and

would not for four years, possess in respect to the States. See. 9, art. 1, of the constitution provides that— "The migration or importation of such person, a, any of the State now, hen, ex-isting shall think proper to admit shall not be prohibited by the Congress prior to the year one thousant eight thandred and eight."

Not only so, but this act also contained prohibitions against the admission of slaves from any place within the limits of the United States, excepting in certain specified cases.

I forbear further references, as no multiplication of them can give any additional force to the arguments derived from this source

give any additional tore to the arguments derived from this source. It is sufficient to say, that the precedents are numerous and uniform, and extend through a period of more than half a century. The rightful exercise of this power has been sanctioned and continued by the expositions of the most learned jurists and the authority of our highest courts.

Story on the Constitution says :

Notice of the Constitution Shys. 1: No one last very duality the submitted of Congress to excel teritorial governments within the territories of the United States under the periorial languages of the configuration of the Congress of the C

In Rawle on the Constitution, page 237, the principle is asserted, that "a general jurisdiction appertains to the United States

over ceded territory."

In 1 Peters, 542, the American Insurance Company vs. Canter Chief Justice Marshall says:

"Perhaps the power of governing a territory belonging to the U sited States, a thick has not, to becoming a State, acquired the means of self-government, may send to resolve the power of the self-defined the power of the power

I will also refer to the case of the Cherokee Nation vs. the State of Georgia, 5 Peters, 44, in which the court says, in reference to art. 4, sec. 3 of the constitution:

F. "The power sixes in the clause is of the most plenny kind. Rules and regulations respecting the tertury of the Cinted States, then necessarily confer complete jumds in. It was necessary to confere a without limitation, to enable the new government to redeem the pledge given to the old in relation to the formation and, owers of the new States."

I have briefly considered the subject of the power of Congress over the territories, and referred to legislative precedents and ju-dicial interpretations respecting it, us I deemed it to be the foundution upon which some of the strong objections to this bill will be found to rest; for, the power being admitted, it is believed that it could be fairly and appropriately exercised in a bill establishing

it could be fairly and appropriately exercised in a bill establishing governments for these territories.

And, sir, is it not strange, that at this day in the "history of not country, this power should be gravely called in question! Can we forget that it was asserted under the confederation? That it was not denied by the constitution when adopted subsequent, to this assertion? That it was been excressed at different converges, under peake every administration. quent to 10s assertion? I hat it has been excressed at discreta-periods, by didirect Congresses, under nearly every administra-tion, from the origin of our government to the present time? That it has been recognized by Washington, by Adams, by Jederson, by Madison, of the fathers of the constitution, and by whether great men who have commanded the configuration of the concitizens, and been elevated by them to the highest trusts in their

power to bestow.

I have so profound a respect for the authority of these wise and great men, thus sanctioned by judicial decisions and the practice of the government for more than balf a century, that I do not feel authorized to substitute a new construction of the constitution in opposition to them, and to my own convictions of the true interpretation of that instrument.

I forbear to detain the Senate any longer; for I do not propose at this hour to go into the consideration of the moral, social, and political aspects of the institution to which reference has been

Before I sit down, I feel constrained, Mr. President, to notice an allosion of the honorable Seauner from Georgia, [Mr. Johnson, I in the speed, which he advessed to the Senate some days ago. I refer to his intimation that the action of the South upon the Presidency agond of a certain distinguished candidate for the state of the speed of the state of the speed of the Before I sit down, I feel constrained, Mr. President.

for the Presidency. As such, he will be supported by the demoefor the Presidency. As such, he will be supported by the democracy of the North, and I will add, no where more cordially, more efficiently, than by the glorious and united democracy of Maine; and as such, he is entitled to the support of the democracy of the and as such, he is entitled to the support of the democracy of the South. Let him receive, as I doubt not, he will, the united support of South and North, on autical considerations, and as the exponent of the principles of the democracy of the Union, and the sectional organizations, so dangerous, so much to be deprecated, and portentous of evil, to which the Senator refers, will be dissolved.

Were it possible, for our Southern friends to falter or desert him, because of his residence in a Northern State. (a contingency not to be apprehended, or named except by way of hypothesis) to give their support to an opponent whose principles are unknown, and qualifications distrusted, and who can be recommended to them only by his locality, it would shake, to its centre, confidence in their fidelity, and aid disaffection, to fan into a flame the fires

that it is attempting to kindle in a portion of the Union.

Mr. UNDERWOOD moved that the Senate adjourn; and, the eas and pays being ordered, it was determined in the negative as

-Messis, Bailger, Baldwir, Clarke, Corwin, Davis, of Mass., Dayton, Dick-olmson, of Maryland, Metcalie, Miller, Niles, Phelps, Spraance, and Un-

Gierene, Johnson, of Maryand, Metealte, Multer, Niles, Phelps, Spraance, and Underwool.—15.
NAVS.—Messra, Allen, Atchuon, Atherion, Bernen, Borland, Breese, Bright Buller, Calhonn, Clavion, Divic, of Miss., Deckmon, Dodge, Douglas, Downs, Feleis, Frizgerald, Foote, Hale, Hanngera, Honston, Johnson, of Geogra, Kong Lewy, Malon, Kun, Schwann, Surgson, Thunge, Walker, Weston, and Yake

Mr. BORLAND addressed the Scoate in favor of the bill. His

eech will appear in the Appendix.
Mr. BALDWIN.-Mr. President: I regret the necessity which compels me at this unseasonable hour to enter upon the discussion of the bill before the Senate. But I cannot consent that a measure of such vital interest, and fraught with consequences so deeply affeeting the honor and future destiny of this nation shall be adopted without at least an effort on my part to arrest its progress.

without at least an effort on my part to arrest is progress. Hope-less, though that effort may be, a sense of duty impels me to make it. I have already taken occasion to express to the Senate my views of the injustice done to the people of Oregon by uniting in the bill for their territorial organization the entirely dissimilar provisions proposed for the government of New Mexico and Califorvisions proposed for the government of New Mexico and California. If our title to Oregon was derived, as asserted by Mr. Clay in his report on the land bill in 1532, and as the honorable Senator from South Carolina, (BM, Calinova, I has minimized, from the Lonisiana cession, then its territory is tree by the very terms of the Missour compromise act of 1520. Pravauant, to that compromise act of 1520. Pravauant, to that compromise act of 1520. the Missouri compromise act of 1820. Pursuant to that comprose which extended only to the territories then belonging to the United States Missouri and Arkansas, have come into the Union as slaveholding States. Florida has since been added, as an expense, including the Indian war for its protection, of more than forty millions of dollarsy and Texas a a cost of the other perspectation, the state of the stat State has yet been represented in the Senate from any territory acquired since the adoption of the constitution. Though their population exceeds by more than four millions the population of population exceeds by more than four millions the population of the slave States, the territory comprised within their himits is less, by nearly one-balf, in extent. Is it not, then, manifestly unjust to the free laborers of the country, when may desire to enugrate, as well as to the people of Oregon themselves, to deprive them of the benefit of a territorial organization, with the problishion of slavery, unless on condition the work lession and California, which compets shall only a pulparate News desired and California, which may fasten upon a reluctant people, and upon the free territory they occupy, the curse of slavery for all future time?

But the Senate have refused to separate them, and I will there-

fore proceed, as briefly as may be, to examine the principles of the bill as applicable to each of these territorics.

1. As to Oregon. The bill provides for the establishment of a

territorial legislature, consisting of two branches, to be elected by termonal registative, consisting of the walness, of the erection by the people; and gives validity to the existing laws of the provisional government, for a period of three months after the organization of the legislature, when they will expire unless re-macted. The fundamental law which now probibits slavery in the territory, will then case to have force, unless both branches of the legislation. with then cease to have loves, more short pranches of the legislature shall concur in its renewal. If seven out of the thirteen individuals who will constitute one branch of the legislature, should be opposed to the prohibition, the law expires, and the door is open for the entrance of slavery throughout the whole extent of this fertile territory, whose climate and productions, though at a higher latitude, correspond very nearly with those of Kentucky and Missouri. The principle assumed by the committee in regard to the exclusion or admission of slavery in Oregon, appears to be the same that was suggested by General Cass in his Tennessee letter, which has been so extensively repudiated both at the North and at the South.

and at the South.

It substitutes the will of the territorial legislature for that of Congress. But, surely, if Congress has power in the organization of a territory to confer authority on the territorial legislature tion of a territory to gener naturary on the territorial tegislature to probabit shaver; it has the power to do so directly by its own logislation. The argument which would deprive Congress of the power to probabit shavery, because the public domini is a trust for the common benefit, would equally prevent legislation by a territorial government, or the organization of a State with the power to the probability of the power to the probability of the power to be considered to the probability of the probability of the power to be considered to the probability of torial government, or the organization of a state with the power of prohibition. It would require of every new State a provision that no law should be passed to prevent purchasers of the public domain within its limits, from settling on it with their slaves, and holding them there in servitude.

The bill reported by the committee concedes the power to be in Congress, but transfers it, after three months, to the territorial legislature. This is unwise. If the power exists, it can be exercised much more efficiently by Congress. And we ought to be governed in this matter by great public considerations, and not suffer the national policy we approve to be exposed to the hazard of defeat by capricious local legislation. A large portion of the inhabitants of Oregon are emigrants from Kentucky and Missouri, who, however desirous they may he of preventing the introduc-tion of slavery into the territory, may find it difficult to resist the pressure from their friends and relatives in those States. It ought, therefore, in my opinion, to be prohibited by Congress, in accordance with the wise policy of our fathers, in the ordinance of 1787, as well as with the letter and spirit of the 8th section of the act for the admission of Missonri.

There is another feature of the bill in regard to Oregon, which strikes me as extraordinary and objectionable in this connexion. I allude to the clause which prescribes a qualification of color for the electors at the first election, thereby excluding from the right of sulfrage, or of holding seats in the legislature, a large portion of the population of the recritory, who, by their own organic laws, are entitled to that privilege. Why are the type who can be their necessions of privilege? Why are the free colored citizens of the New England States prevented from going into the territory on an equal flotting with the other inhabitants? Is the principle of equality of rights only in force between the white inhabitants of slaveholding and non-slaveholding States, or does it apply equally to all citizens, between whom the constitution of the United States angles no invidious distinction? A colored citizen Massachusetts enjoys in that State a perfect equality of politof Massachuserts enjoys in that state a perfect equality of point-ical rights, and is eligible, equally with every other citizen, to the highest positions in the State and national government. On what principle, thee, one Congress undertake to exclude bim from vot-ing in Oregon? He would probably be less likely than a white man from a slawe State to enouer in a repeal of the law probibiting slavery in the territory. But that surely can furnish ne just

ground of exclusion.

2. In regard to New Mexico and California, the bill is still 2. In regard to New Mexico and California, the bill is still more objectionable. The people of those territories have passed unwillingly under our dominion. As departments of the Mexican republic, they had a legislature of their own, and enjoyed the privilege of self government—a privilege, of which it would be manifestly unjust, and in violation of every principle of republicanism to deprive them. While the treaty with Mexico was under ossideration, a large minerity of the Senate expressed by their votes Sucration, a large minority of the Senate expressed by their votes the opinion that we could not rightfully acquire dominion over these territories, without the free assent of the people to the transfer. They are to a great extent a colored population, all of whom by the terms of the treaty are cotilled to be become citizens of the United States, with the rights, privileges, and immunities of citizens. Slavery could not be introduced among such a people, withont being accompanied by those police regulations everywhere attendant on the system, which must necessarily degrade them from their present position. They ought, therefore, to be secured against its introduction, so far as Congress has the power to effect

When General Kearney was sent out on his expedition of conquest to New Mexico and California, he was authorized by the Executivo to assure the inhabitants "that it was the wish and the design of the United States to provide for them a free government, design of the United States to provide for them a free government, with the least possible delay similar to that Wheb exists in our territores;" "and that they would then be ealled to exercise the rights of freemen in electing their own representatives to the territorial legislature." [Secretary Marcy to General Koarney, June 3-1846, in precise conformity to these instructions from the Executive, on the 22d of August, 1846, he issued at Santa Fo his preclamation, announcing it to be the internition of the United States to provide for New Mexico a free government, with the least pos-sible delay similar to those in the United States; and that the people of New Mexico would then be ealled on to exercise the rights phe of New Mexico would then be safety on to exercise the light of freemen in electing their own representatives to the territorial legislature; and that until that could be done, the laws hitherto in existence would be continued until changed or modified by compe-

existence would be continued until changed or modified by compe-tent authority. House doc. [9, 3d sees., 29 Cong., p. 12. Con-the same day Geoeral Kearney wrote from Santa Fe to Gen-eral Wool, that without spilling a throp of blood, he had taken pos-session of that city. "The people now understand", he added, "the advantages they are to derive from a change of government and are much gratified with it.'

Did they understand that slavery was to be established in their rritory! No, sir. The Mexican commissioners in their negotiations with Mr. Trist, informed him-

"That if it were proposed to the people of the United States to join with a portion of their territors, in order that the inquisition should be therein established, it could not excite stronger feelings of abhorence, than those awakened in Mexico by the propert of the introduction of slavery into any territory parted with by her."

Similar instructions to these given to General Kenrney were sent by Mr. Bancroft, the Secretary of the Navy, to Commodore Sloat, then in command of the mayal forces of the United States on the coast of California.

Why, then, are these people to be deprived, in violation of these With them, are times people to be deprived, in volution of uses solema pleiges, of the right of self-government, which they have exercised for a quarter of a century, and to be subjected to the despotic government of men in whose decition they have no voice? Is it republican? Is it just? Will it tend to promote the cause of freedom? or will it not rather inevitably result in establishing slavery there? I firmly believe it, and am therefore opposed to the

bill.

Theoretically, sir, I concur with my honorable friend from Vermont [Mr. Phell's] in the views he has so ably pre-sented of the legal principles which have been brought into discussion. And I fully appreciate the movives that have influenced him in yielding his assent and support to the provisions of this bill. If, by the existing law, slavery is probabited in New Mexico and Cautionna, and if that law will remain in force after the passage of this bill, unaffected by its provisions, I have no doubt of the correctness of the local informer that no energy with the local informer than the present which the present wh unallieeted het its provisions, I have no doubt of the correctness of the degree of the rope person who may be voluntarily carried there, ean be lawfully held as a slave. In the language of Judge Bronson, of the Supreme Court of New York, "the relation of master and allaw does not exist by the law of nature, nor has the carried that the suprementation of the recognized been recognized by all civilized communities. Slavery cannot exist it should be forbidden. It is enough that it is not specially and thorized. If the owner of slaves removes with, or sends them thorized. If the owner of slaves removes with, or sends them into any country, State, or territory where slavery does not exist by law, they will from that moment become freemen." In the celebrated case of Forbes vs. Cochrane, reported in 2 Barn and Cress's, 463, the court of King's bonds say:

Cress 5, 405, one court of King's conton say: "The right to slaves when tolerated by law is founded, not on the law of mature, but on the law of that particular country. It is a law to mature, and when a party region of the fine power of his mature, and gets under the protection of another power, matter, which is founded on the manifold law of the particular place only, does not continue. The common at protein stay in parts that the particular place only, does not always the parts that our shows he repeates the lea a slave, he came there is no law here which sanctions, he benty held in allavory. And the local law which held his in a discovery against the low of nature has foot in force."

The decision of Chief Justice Shaw, liberating on habeas corpus, Lucas, a slave who had been put by his master on board of a government ship in Virginia, which touched at Boston in the course of her voyage, was founded on similar principles, 7 Law Reporter.

But, sir, white I assent fully to these principles, the honorable Senator from Georgia [Mr. Berrien] and other gentlemen of great legal acumen, have maintained with a zeal that leaves no doubt of their sincerity, that the Mexican laws, so far as they prohibit slavery, will not continue in force, but that the constitution of the

very, will not continue in lorce, but that the constitution of the United States, will accompany the slaveholder, as a panoply for his protection, and override and destroy them. I coaless, sir, I should be much better satisfied with the bill if it contained, as in the case of Louisiana, and Florida, and the Distriet of Columbia, and of every other cession ever made to this government, an express provision that the existing laws shall regovernment, an express provision that the existing laws shall remain in force until the yar repealed; and better still, if the judges, by whom the government is to be administered, were prolibited in direct terms from repealing the law forbiding slavery in those territories. I do not like the guarded phraseology by which heir power of legislation is restricted. "They shall have no power to pass any laws respecting an establishment of religion, or respecting slavery" as it now stands, but as it is proposed by the friends of the bill to be amended, "respecting the admission or prohibition of slavery," or no power by the constitution of the United States to pass any law "respecting an establishment of religion," is there not reason to apprehend, from the similarity of the expression used in regard to the inhibition of legislation "respecting slavery," but it will be negod herefore as a recognition of

ing slavery," that it will be urged hereafter as a recognition of the claim that the same want of power in Congress exists in the

one case as in the other?

As the bill contains no express provision for the continuance in force of the existing lows, if they do in fact so continue it will be, not by their own inherent force, but by the implied assent of the government which has succeeded to the dominion. "Qui non prohibet, cum prohibere possil, jubet." But if that government has in any manner indicated its will, that particular laws shall ant contime, the implication ceases; and they will no longer have force. The Mexican government had a religious establishment. The constitution declares that Congress shall make no law respecting constitution declares that Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion. Congress, in communicating legislative powers to the territorial judges, imposes the same restrained on them. They would consequent was no power to assent to the contanuance of the Mexican law on that subject, since the would be equivalent to its re-enactment. The Mexican religious establishment must therefore cease. Now, when, in the same contanuance mexican, the power of legislating 'respecting slavery's also withheld, may it not be plausibly, if not strongly argued, that the Mexican laws on that subject, also, will cease, because no assent to their continuance can any longer be implied?

I should be glad to hear such a construction disclaimed by all the members of the committee; the more so, as the argument is certainly somewhat countenanced by the case of Permoti, vs. The First tainly somewhat countenanced by the case of Permott, vs. The First municipality of New Orleans, in the 3d of Howard's Rep. 610. The same reason exists for the implied continuance of the fundamental and other territorial laws, after the formation of a State government to which the jurisdiction is transferred, as in the case of a cession from one sovereignty to another. Yet, in the case referred to, it was decided by the Supreme Court, that the provision of the ordinance of 1787, "that no person demeaning hinself in a peaceable manner shall ever be molested on account of his mode peaceable manager shall ever be motested on account of in a note of worship or religious sentiments in the said territory," which was 'operative in Louisiana during the territorial government, "thad no further force after the adoption of the State constitution, than other acts organizing in part the territorial government of

Orleans, and standing in connexion with the ordinance of 1787." So far," says Judge Catron in delivering the opinion of the court, "as they conferred political rights and accured civil and religious liberties, (which are political rights,) the laws of Congress were all superseded by the State constitution; nor is there any part of them in force, unless they were adopted by the constitution of Louisiana as the laws of the State."

of Louisana as the laws of the State."

If it were not intended, by coupling these prohibitions together, to give to that "respecting shivery" the construction sage, sted, why, it may be asked, was the other restriction "respecting an establishment of religion" inserted in the bill! Why not directly repeal all laws of that character now existing? Or, it the extension of the constitution to the territories would of uself repeal them, and prevent their re-encentment. Why insert a probibition which is unnecessary and unmeaning, except so far as it may tend to give to the other restriction the construction which will be which is unnecessary and unmeaning, except so lar is it may tend to give to the other restriction the construction which will be claimed. It is to be regretted that the committee have not been able to furnish to the Senate more specific information in regard to the existing laws in New Mexico and California on the subject of slavery; and whother or not any changes have been made or attempted in those laws, under the governments organized by the direction of the President since the conquest and military occupa-

direction of the resolutions are the confirmed an animary occupa-tion. But whatever may be said of the Mexicon laws in relation to slavery, and however true in theory it may be, that slavery cannot exist where there is no pessive law to extablish and protect it, should this bill be adopted, there would be no preticular mode of preventing its introduction. The result would, in my opinion, inpreventing its introduction. The result would, in my opinion, te-evitably be, what indeed the chairman of the committee of compromise, [Mr. Clayton,] frankly stated in his speech on report-

"That in case Congress should refuse to touch the subject, it the territory would be slaveholding where by the laws of nature stare labor only was effective, and free

For my part, sir, I know of no territory, and should be unwill-ing to admit that any exits on the face of the earth, fit to be in-labited by man, where he must be reduced to the condition of a slave to render his labor effective. I do not doubt, however, that there are regions of large extent in New Mexico and California, if not in Oregon also, where slavery will certainly be introduced,

unless arcenty promined by taw.

A king of Eugland once stylaciously remarked that while the king could appoint his bishops and judges, he could have what religion and law he liked. By the bilt reported by the committee, Mr. Polk, a slavcholding President, is to appoint the law-makers and judges for these territories; and, with the known sympathies. of the President, can any one doubt from what class of our citizens the appointments will be made? And what security, I ask, would there be for a slave who should seek his freedom by an appeal to territorial judges, holding their own stayes by the very same tenure that his master holds him in servitude? Does any man, who has made human nature his study, believe that there would be any very great solicitude to put this poor slave in the commit to his charge? He would, as my friend from New Jersey has already suggested, get his ease into the Supreme Court about as soon as the free colored citizens of Massachusetts, imprisoned as soon as the rece control citizens of an assemblished, hippresented in the goals of South Carolina for coming there to enjoy "the rights, privileges, and immunities" secured to the citizens of every State by the constitution, have been able to get their cases before

sur, a passagnasetts, and not destinguished confinished even to provide for the protection of her own citizens, were foiled in the attempt, does any Senator seriously believe that a poor friendless slave, three thousand miles from this capitol, in the

poor friendless slave, three thousand miles from this capitol, in the interior of New Mexico or California, would be able to present his case to the Supreme Court for decision?

But even if your laws had made adequate provision—which they have not—to seque to him the henefit of such a resert, would it he a proper or a dignified course for the American Congress to pursue, to leave this great question of national policy to be settled as they way through the instrumentality of a slave? It is transpursue, to mave tims great question or national policy to be settled in this way, through the instrumentality of a slave? I sit expe-dient for us to throw off the responsibility of legislative action, and impose on the judicial tribunals the decision of an exciting political question of a sectional character, deeply affecting the prosperity of the extensive regions of which w. have acquired the

common!
Sit, I entertain feelings of the highest respect for the Supreme Court of the United States. The people have long been access-tomed to regard it with feelings of proloand concration and confidence. I trust the time will never arrive when that confidence will be impaired or shaken. But does not every Same of providing the property of the confidence of the confide that the inevitable consequence of the passage of this bill will be to cause the appointments of the judges hereafter to be made with reference to the opinions of the candidates on this question? And thus, by making the court sectional in its very organization, to imtimes, by making the court sectional in it very organization, to lin-puir the confidence of the people in its impartibility? Nay, is it not apparent that the power of appointing the judges will enter us an element of discord into every Presidential election?

an element of discord into every Presidential election?

Again: Suppose the question fairly presented to that august tribunal for its decision, and that the court, in accordance with the
recorded opinions of Mr. Monroe and his Cabinet, and with the recorded opinious of Mr. Monroe and his cannet, and with the action of Congress on the Missouri compromise, should deude—as I doubt not they would—that Congress have the same power to prohibit slavery in the territories as to pass any other law which

the policy of the nation may require for their government. When the poor slave, who has come up to the Capitol to test this great constitutional question, which it is proposed by this bill to send in advance to the Supreme Court for its decision, in the moment of his triumph is beginning to exult in the anticipated realization of his dreams of liberty, what will be be told? True, Congress have his dreams of liberty, what will he be told? True, Congress have a right, it will then be said, to prohibit slavery in the territories, but have they done so? So far from it, they have not only abstanced, themselves, from the excretise of the power, but have positively forbidden the territorial government they have established to legislate at all on the subject. If Congress, being in the full possession of the power, had desired to exercise it, they would surely have done so, and the Supreme Court would then be called on to decide, not whether a law prohibiting slavery would be effectual to exclude it from the territory, but whether the absence of any law on the subject, and an absolute prohibition to pass any would have that effect. This is a question on which the views of northern and southern lawyers, as expressed on the floor of the scenate, have, toto calo, differed. It is a question which, believing leave in doubt, as to the intentions of Congress. The question, whether slavery shall exist or not in these territories, is one which eminently belongs to the legislative department of the government in the first instance to decide.

On that question, as a question of national policy and justice, I What I then have already had the honor to address the Senato.

Sir, I do not believe it to be the interest or the desire of the mass of the population of the southern States to have slavery ex-tended to New Mexico and California. The free laborers of the South as well as of the North have need of a territory to which South as well as of the North have need of a territory or when they may emigrate, with the assurance that they and their families will forever be exempt from the evils of slavery. These opinions, I am well assured, are prevalent among the people of Western Virginia, North Carolina, and Kentucky. They prevail, if I ern Virginia, North Carolina, and Kentucky. They prevail, if I mistake not, to a considerable extent also in Missouri and Tennessee. If slavory is extended to these territories, the free laborers of the South as well as of the North are entirely excluded. To those who desire to emigrate with their slaves, immense and fertile regions where slavery now exists are already open, to an extent sufficient for their wants for a century to come.

Under these circumstances, then, sir, while the territories are yet free, it is, in my opinion, the imperative duty of Congress to settle the question now and forever in regard to their future destiny. The responsibility rests on us. We cannot avoid it if we would. Justice to our constituents and to all who may be affected by our Justice to our constituents and to air who may be enterted by our action, requires that we should meet if promptly. And I have that confidence in the patriotism of the people of the southern States, to whom so much has already been conceed, that I entertain no fears of dismine as the result of any legislation we may adopt for the exclusion of shavery from these territories. Politically, the production of cians, representing the capitalists of the South, may continue to cry "give, give !" but I am mistaken if the neonle of the southern States, as they calmly survey the costly acquisitious of slave territory already made, and contemplate the future results of this policy, will not be ready to exclaim, "It is enough!"

Mr. NILES took the floor, and after a few remarks, moved that the Senate adjourn; and, the year and nays being ordered, it was determined in the negative as follows:

YK N.S.—Moser, Radger, Baldwin, Ibv., Doder, Johnson, of Maryland, Miller, Nois, Piely, Squanee, Linderwood, and Ujulan — Il.
NAN S.—Messa. Men, Achiena, Alberian, Remen, Bodand, Borsce, Boglet, ANY S.—Messa. Men, Alchiena, Alberian, Remen, Bodand, Borsce, Boglet, Dauber, Calibona, Calake, Clavton, Davis, of Micselapia, Deckneon, Dougles, Douws, Pelch, Pitzgealth, Foret, Hale, Danarega, Intorion, Johnson, Georgia, King, Lewis, Mason, Rusk, Schastan, Sturgeon, Tumev, Walker, Westcott, and Yuloc—28.

Mr. N1LES then proceeded with his remarks, and after speaking for about an hour moved that the Senate adjourn; and, the

Y. R.S.—Meer, Bathen, Dr., Kiles, and Huban.—A. N.A.S.—Meer, Allen, Muteron, Badger, Bennen, Barband, Bradburr, N.A.S.—Meer, Allen, Muteron, Badger, Bennen, Barband, Bradburr, Brogke, B. C. Gliero, C. Chyton, Beey, G. Myssisspin, Bayton, Debege, Bonglas, Downs, Felds, Fargestall, Fourt, Gernen, Hale, Hamlin, Han Honston, King, Lews, Mason, Miller, Phelpa, Rask, Selas-tian, Sturgeon, T. Underwood, Valler, Westord, and Vulee,—20.

Mr. NILES then resumed and concluded his remarks in about one hour and a half.

Mr. ATCHISON .- I shall detain the Senate but a very few moments at this late, or rather early hour, for we are informed by the Secator from Mississippi in his classical language, that "Authe scenary from Mississippi in his classical ranguage, that "An-rora has made her appearance in the Heavens." I have more re-spect for myself, and for the Senate, than to detain them under existing circumstances, for one moment longer than is absolutely necessary, in order to explain my position in reference to this ques necessary, in order to explain my position in circuit of this ques-ion of the property of the property of the property of the con-tre line floor years. This committee, it is known to all, was raised for a special purpose, to wit, to take into consideration, and re-port, it possible, a bill to organize territorial governments for Oro-gon, California, and New Mexico. This duty has been discharged. The committee has reported a bill, and I will yentage to say, that there would have been no exception taken to the bill, but for the incidental question of slavery. The first subject which occupied incidental question of slavery. The first subject which occupied the attention of the committee was, in what monor this question should be settled. The Missouri compromise was proposed, or rather it was proposed that the spirit of the Missouri compromise should be a rule of action, governing the committee in its report. If I mistake not, upon this proposition the vote was five to three. The question then arose, in what manner the Missouri compromise should be applied to this question. The Senator from Indiana suggested that it should be conforced according to its strict terms, that is to exclude slavery from all the territory north of 36° 30'; and it was considered to be nothing more than just and right, and in strict accordance with the Missouri compromise, that there should be some guarantee that the institution of slavery should exist south of that line, and this guarantee we considered was secured by the amendment of the Senator from Kentucky. I myself was willing to accept the proposition of the Senator from Indiana, that the people inhabiting the territories south of that parallel of hatitude, when they applied for admission as States into the Union, ratinates when they applied in admission as States and in e Union, should decide the question for themselves. I was willing to accept the proposition of the Senator from Kentucky, and I believe it was regarded as proper by all the members of the committee from the slave States. The Missouri compromise, however, was It may regardly the memory of the commerce of some consideration at the hands of the Senate? There were but two courses for us to pursue. One was to report to the Senate that we could not agree upon any proposition, and asked to be dis-charged from the further consideration of the matter that had been referred to us. The other was to leave the question where we found it, and to submit to the Senate simply a measure regarding the territorial governments of Oregon, Culifornia, and New Mexico, in order that this government might, at all events, discharge the high and holy duty which it owes to its citizens in those terri-tories. Is there a gentleman who will have the hardihood to deny, that it is the first and highest duty of the government to be citizens, wherever they may be, to give them a government and laws. Whatever difference of opinion there may be between northern and southern men upon this question of slavery, such a mer sure could not affect their rights nor their interests. Sir, I hol Sir, I hold that where a government refuses protection to its citizens, it releases those citizens from their allegiance. Under this view of the ease, if this government refuse to establish a territorial gov-ernment for the territory of Oregon, I hold, that if the citizens of that territory should declare their independence, they would be perfectly justified in doing so. For six long years have our citi-zens in that territory been without law, and here let me remark, that whatever difference of opinion there may be between south orn gentlemen and myself on this subject, I have ever been willing from the hour that two lundred citizens planted their feet on the soil of Oregon, to give them a government and laws, either with or without slavery. I believe I was the first to introduce a bill into this body for establishing a territorial government in Oregon, and in that bill I incorporated the ordinance of 1787; and for years I heard no objection to it on that score. There were other objections to the bill, but none on account of its containing the ordi-

jections to the bill, but noise on account of its containing the originance. This bill permits the people of Oregon to decide for themselves, whether they will or will not have the institution of slavery. As to California and New Mexico, it presents another question. I was willing originally to abide by the principles of the Missouri compromise, and to extend the line of that compromise to the Pacific Compromise, and to extend the line of that compromise to the Pacific Compromise and the excluded. I was invaliding, for one control property of all the States should be devoted to the use and benefit of the citizens of one-half the States. I was then, and ann now unvilling that territory acquired by the joint treasure and blood of the citizens of this Union should be devoted exclusively to the benefit of one portion of the Union. III, in concert with other individuals, make a purchase of a tract of land, taking the tile individuals, and the apprehase of a tract of land, taking the tile individuals in the ordinary course to be pursued in case we can jointly, what is the ordinary course to be pursued in ease we can not agree upon the terms of settlement and management of the property? It is to appeal to a competent tribunal to determine how it shall be managed, er else to make partition of the proper ty. If it is not a proper subject for division, the property is sold and the proceeds divided. But this case cannot be settled in that way. There is a partnership of some thirty States, by whom this territory has been jointly acquired, and there is a dispute in regard to the property, one portion of the proprietors declaring that the other shall not occupy it, if they carry with them a certain species of property. How are we to decide this matter? We cannot settle the dispute by making a fair partition of the territory; we must

resort to some other means
But, as I promised to be brief, I will now proceed to answer the objections urged by the Senator from Kentucky, and the Senator from Rhode Island. Neither of those Senators coincided with the rest of the committee in regard to the bill, but they expressed their willingness to receive all the light that could be thrown upon the subject, being anxious to settle the question npon terms that would so the control of the branch of the legislative department of the government; and that another branch shall be elected by the people; and that the legislative department shall be subject to certain restrictions—that they shall not grant charters of incorporation, nor legislate in relation to the public lands. In the first place let mo state, that there was no shadow of probability that any such proposition would have the satisfactory to the Senate, for it would have been argued by morthern men that the governor and judges who were to legislate for these territories would be appointed by a savebolding President. And another objection that was urged against the proposition was that the people of Mexico were incapable of self-government, and therefore unit to be fettrasted with a participancy in the legislation for the territory. There exemed to be a perfect horrer caterianed by some gentlemen at the idea of admitting a man with the people with the proposition was a standard to the standard proposition and therefore unit to be a perfect horrer caterianed by some gentlemen at the idea of admitting a man with a standard proposition and the same of the committee, and I am of opinion that no gentleman of the committee, and I am of opinion that no gentleman of the committee was in always to the proposition save the mover. And suppose we had introduced such a bill, would it have met with favor from any quarter? I apprehend not. And suppose what we do nothing in relation to the question still open to against the South, it places is in the bands of the North a great political weapon; and if decided the other way, it places the weapon in the hands of the South. It if this bill should pass, then, I cannot see that the agitation would cease; it would still be an open question. And to leave the people of the territories without law and writhout government, would be to prevent the settlement and without government, would be to prevent the settlement and without government, would be to prevent the settlement and without government, would be to prevent the settlement and without government, would be to prevent the settlement and without government, would be to prevent the settlement and without government, would be to prevent the settlement and without government, more proposition for disposing of the slave question definitively, to pass a fall for the establishment of governm mankind as the highest degree of injustice, after lawing carried on a war for three years against a neighboring country, and acquired a territory from her containing a population of a bundred and fifty thousand soils, that we should leave them unprovided with laws for their government? I have listened diligently to all that has been said upon the subject, and so far as relates to the provisions of this bill, apart from the subject of slavery. I believe there onlying contained in it that is antagonistical to the opinions of either party. We may as well, then, I think, pass the bill, apart for the subject of slavery to be settled hiroartics, for in doing so we shall only be performing an act of sheer justice to the people of those territories

The debate was continued by Messrs. Dickinson and Bright, whose speeches will be given in the Appendix.

The question being taken upon the motion by Mr. Hale to amend the bill by striking out of section 5, lines one and two, the words "free white," and inserting after the words "are" in the 4th line—"and qualified to vote by the existing laws now in force in the territory of Oregon, under the protection of the provisional government established by the people thereof," it was determined the meaning of the provision of the provisional government established by the people thereof," it was determined in the negative as follows

YEAS,-Messrs, Baldwin, Benton, Clarke, Davis, of Massachusetts, Greene,

YEAS.—Mesra. Ballwun, Benton, Claife, Davis, of Masachusetts, Greene, Male, Upham.—T. A. NAYS.—Mesrs. Aften, Alchison, Atherton, Badger, Beil, Berrara, Rodand, Randuny, Breeze, Bijdt, Baller, Calhonn, Carrbon, Cowin, Darro, of Massequet, Hamilin, Hannegan, Houston, Hunter, Johnson, of Maryland, Johnson, of Congris, King, Lewis, Magnon, Mason, Metcleif, Miller, Niles, Rusk, Sebattian, Sturgeon, Turney, Underwood, Walker, Westcott, and Yulee.—44.

So the amendment was not agreed to.

After further debate-

The question being taken upon the motion by Mr. CLARKE to amend the bill by inserting at the end of the 6th section, "provided however, that no law repealing the act of the provisional gooverward, that no law repeating the act of the provisional government of said territory, prohibiting slavery or involuntary servitude therein, shall be valid therein until the same shall be approved by Congress," it was determined in the negative as follows:

VEAS.—Messs. Alien, Baldwin, Benton, Bradbury, Clarke, Corwin, Davis, of Massechmett, Dayton, Dax, Dodge, Feleb, Fürgerald, Groven, Hale, Hamilin, Mier, Niels, Urbain, and Walker—1900. Badget, Bell, Bernen, Botaland, Breece, Bright, Battler, Calhonn, Clavton, Davis, of Miss. Decksnon, Douglas, Downs, Pouts, Hamengea, Honston, Hauter, Johnson, of Mayfand, Johnson, Gargara, King, Lawis, Massen, Metcalfe, Phelps, Rusk, Sebastian, Sturgeon, Underwood, Westout, and Veiles—33.

So the amendment was not agreed to.

Mr. BALDWIN moved to amend the bill in the twenty-sixth ection, by inserting after the word "slavery," in line 10, the fol-

'Orto repeal the laws which were in force in said territory, when forming part of the republic of Mexico, prohibiting slavery or involuntary slavery therein, or any law securing the personal liberty of all the unbalantast thereof, but such laws, so far as they may not be inconsistent with the constitution of the United States, shall be and remain in force until repealed by Congress."

The question being taken, the amendment was disagreed to. After further debate-

The question being taken upon a motion by Mr. CLARKE to amend the bill in the 26th section, after the word "slavery," by in-serting: "It being understood and declared that, at the time of the cession of the territories of New Mexico and California by

30TH CONG .- 1ST SESSION-No. 123.

Mexico to the United States, slavery and involuntary servitude had been abelished by the laws of Mexico, and did not exist therein in; and that he laws now in said territory shall be and remain in or small be remained by the said that the said of the said of

So the amendment was not agreed to.

After further debate-

The question being taken on the motion by Mr. Johnson, of Maryland, to amend the bill by inserting in section 24, at the end of line 38, the following:

Every new that is all eases meeting title above, the sale wind of error of appeal hall be allowed and desided by the and Septeme Cross in these wind of the value of the matter, property, or title in controvers; and superposition that work of our or appeal hall a be allowed in the Supreme Fouri of the Fairst States of our of the distinct configuration of the Fairst States of the States of the

it was determined in the affirmative, as follows:

Wass overfinmen in the autrinutures, as follows:
YEAS,—Messer, Allen, Alberton, Balger, Bornen, Bradbur, Urke, Claytor Unwin, Busto, of Massachuests Dayton, Div. Dodge, Feldi Frageard, Green Hack, Hamber, Buston, Johans, and Johanson, of Lomi ana. Keng Hacker, Markey, Nier, Thelpir, Ruck, Spiranees Stuggen, Pilant Walker, Markey, Advance, Bern, Roffand, Highly, Butler Callonon, Davin AANS—Muser, Achieon, Berneth, Roffand, Highly, Butler Callonon, Davin Missispin, Dekimon, Dawan, Feori, Hannegam Johnson, of Georgia Lewi Mosen, Schushin Turny, Welcker Uskies—19.

So the amendment was agreed to.

The question being taken upon the motion by Mr Walker, to amend the bill in the sixth section by striking out the words 'more shall the hands or other property of non-readents be taxed higher than the lands or other property of residents;" it was de-

So the amendment was not agreed to.

After further debate-

The question being taken on the motion by Mr. Baldwin, to amend the bill by inserting the following additional section

amend the bill by inserting the following additional section:

\*\*And be a farter emetal, That is table the disp's of the attorns, for and teratures, respectively, on the complaint of any person held in menhants assumed
therein, to make a spiglemann in he belond; in the form of law, to the court next thinsalter to be holden in and terroter, for a win of halves, copins, to be directed to the
mountainty of the state of the control of the control of the control
pheating, or of, in the ratum of the writ, relief shall be deemed to the applicant on the
pheating, or of, in the ratum of the writ, relief shall be deemed to the applicant on the
pheating, or of, in the ratum of the writ, relief shall be deemed to the applicant on the
pheating, or of, in the ratum of the writ, relief shall be deemed to the applicant on the
pheating, and attractive shall cause of
transmitted to the Supreme Court of the United State Application of the Court of the
transmitted to the Supreme Court of the United States, who shall proceed
the same before said court, who shall proceed to be transmitted to the
terms thereof the transmitted to the suprementation of the same at the
direct term thereof?

it was determined in the negative, as follows:

YEAS—Meser, Allen, Balbuin, Benton, Convin, Dayton, In. Doige, Felch, Gerese, Hale, Hamila, Mailer, Mete, Uptom, and Wylster.—15.
NAYS—Meser, Alcehon, Badger, Bell, Bernen, Borland, Bright, Butler, Calbon, Chylon, Davis, of Africshipp, Bekinson, Dawn, Foote, Hangerga, Housen, Bond, Chylon, Chylon, Chylon, Baller, Garden, Johnson, of George, One-West, Chem. Conference of Computation of George, and Conversed, Computation of Conference on Computational Computation of Conference on Computation on Computation of Conference on Computation on Computation of Conference on Computation on Comput

So the amendment was not agreed to.

The question being taken on the motion by Mr. Hale, to amend the bill by striking out section 12, line 6, after the word "act," the words "for three months after the first meeting of the legislature of the said territory," it was determined in the negative, as

10100WS: YEAS.—Vleor. Allen, Atherton, Baldwin, Henton, Brailbury, Clarke, Corvin, Davis, of Mass., Dayton, Dav., Dolge, Pitrgerald, Greene, Hale, Handin, Miller, Xide, Sysiane, Ciphan, Wiker, and Vales—Qir. Aller, Markey, Pitrania, Charles, Waler, and Vales—Qir. Aller, Charles, Haller, Calloon, Chatton, Davis, of Miss., Deckmon, Boughs, Davis, Foor, Hanger, Globar, Charles, Davis, O'Miss., Deckmon, Doughs, Davis, Foor, Hanger, Globar, O'Ma, Johnson, of Ia., Johnson, of Ia., Sept., Margon, Maon, Metalie, Rosk, Seba-han, Sturgeon, Tarney, Pinetrovol. Vedest, and Vider.

So the amendment was not agreed to.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mass., moved to amend the bill by striking out the 12th section, and in lieu thereof inserting:

Sec. 12. 3ad be it further enacted. That so much of the fish section of line ordinance of the 12th of July, 17c7, as is contained in the following words, to wit "There shall be neither slavery no risolinative sectute in the said tentiory other was than in purchasent of crimes whereof the party shall have been duly contacted, shall be and termain is force within the tenting of Origon."

Upon the question of agreeing to this amendment, the yeas and mays were ordered, and it was determined in the negative as fol-

10085: YEAS.—Mesars, Allen, Atherton, Baldwin, Bratton, Braddary, Chrice, Corwin, Davis, of Masaschusetts, Dayton, Dr., Dolley, Felch, Ettigerald, Green, Hale, Harlind, Miller, Micke, Chain, and Walker—21.
Hernald, Miller, Micke, Chain, and Walker—21.
Hernald, Miller, Micke, Chain, and Walker—21.
Hernald, Miller, Brade, Miller, Chaine, Clayton, Davis, of Misc., Deckinson, Douglas, Downs, Foot, Harnes, Miller, Chain, March, Marchan, March, Chain, Chain, Chain, Chain, Marchan, Material, Rock, Schattan, Syrance, Sturgeon, Tarney, Underwood, Weisterd, and Yude, —30.

So the amendment was not agreed to.

No further amendments being proposed the bill was reported to the Senate, and the amendments were concurred in.

After further debate-

The question being taken on a motion by Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, further to amend the bill, by inserting, section 28, line 3, after the world "Maxioo," 'including all territory except Upper California," it was determined in the negative, as follows:

YEAS,-Mesors, Baldwin, Clarke, Corwin, Davis, of Massachusetts, Miller, and

YFA'S—Mesch Bautwin, Gase, Olahem, Aberton, Badger, Bell, Bouton, Borren, NAYS—Mesck, Allen, Abdanon, Aberton, Badger, Bell, Bouton, Brashurv, Brews, Bight, Buller, 'album, Brews, Boston, Borren, Waller, Waller, Waller, Waller, Waller, Waller, Maryon, Markon, Maryon, Maryon, Marker, Wetschi, and Yyles—M. Sugranor, Tanger, Vinderwood, Walker, Wetschi, and Yyles—M.

So the amendment was not agreed to.

After further debate-

On the question, "Shall this bill be engrossed and read a third

The yeas and nays were demanded and ordered, and it was determined in the affirmative as follows:

YEAS—Meiars, Atchison, Atherton, Becton, Berrien, Borland, Breese, Bright, Betler, Calhenn, Clayton, Davis, of Missisuppi, Dickinson, Donglas, Dorvan, Footk, Hanecean, Honsion, Johnson of Maysland, Johnson, of Lonnison, Johnson, of Georgin, King, Georgin, Georgin,

So it was

Ordered, That the bill be engrossed and read a third time.

The said hill was read a third time. Resolved, That it pass, and that the title thereof he as afore-aid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

ADJOURNMENT OVER.

On motion by Mr. HANNEGAN, it was

Ordered, That when the Senate adjourn, it be to Friday next. And at 53 minutes past 7 o'clock in the morning of Thursday,

The Senate adjourned.

# FRIDAY, JULY 28, 1848.

#### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

Mr. President: the House of Representatives have passed a resolution amend the joint rules of the two Houses in relation to the business pending at close of each session; in which they request the concurrence of the Senate.

They have passed a bill from the Scha're for the payment of liquidated spinst Mexico, with an amendment; in which they request the concurrence

## REPORTS FROM DEPARTMENTS.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a report of The VICE PRESIDENT had before the Senate a report of the Secretary of the Treasury, made in compliance with a reso-lution of the Senate, in relation to unexpended balances of former appropriations for surveys of public lands; which was read and referred to the Committee on Finance.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate, a report of the Secretary of the Navy made in compliance with a resolution of the Senate, accompanied by returns of punishments in the Navy; which was read.

#### MEXICAN INDEMNITY.

The Senate proceeded to consider the amendment of the House of Representatives to the bill for the payment of liquidated claims against Mexico; and it was

Resolved, That they concur therein

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

#### SIGNING OF A BILL.

The VICE PRESIDENT signed the enrolled "bill making appropriations for the current and contingent expenses of the la dum Department, and for fulfilling treaty stipulations with the various Indian tribes for the year ending the 30th June, 1849."

#### NAVAL APPROPRIATION BILL.

Mr. ATHERTON from the Committee on Finance, to whom was referred the amendments of the Senate, amended and disagreed to by the House of Representatives, to the bill making appropriations for the naval service for the year ending the 30th June, 1849, reported thereon.

The Senate proceeded to consider the said amendments; and it

Resolved, That they recede from their first and second amendments, disagreed by the House of Representatives, insist on their sixth, tenth, and nineteenth amenments, and usk a conference on the disagreetag votes of the two Houses.

Ordered, That Mr. ATHERTON, Mr. PHELPS, and Mr. YULEE be the committee of conference on the part of the Senate.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

### AMENDMENT OF THE BULES.

The Senate proceeded to consider the resolution from the House of Representatives to amend the joint rules of the two Houses; and after some discussion it was Ordered, That it lie on the table.

OUTFIT TO THE COMMISSIONER TO THE SANDWICH ISLANDS.

Mr. FELCH submitted the following resolution; which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to

Resolved. That the Committee on Foreign Relations be instructed to inquire into the expelliency of allowing an outfit to the companies to the Sandwich islands.

# ALTERATION OF THE RULES.

The Senate proceeded to consider the resolution submitted by Mr. UNDERWOOD, the 20th instant, in relation to a new rule for conducting business in the Senate; and after some discussion—

On motion by Mr. BRIGHT, it was

Ordered. That it lie on the table.

# PAY AND ALLOWANCES TO OFFICERS OF THE ARMY.

The Senate proceeded the consider of the resolution submitted by Mr. HALE, the 20th instaut, in relation to payments to officers and others of the army; and the resolution was agreed to.

The Senate proceeded to consider the resolution submitted by Mr. Beerse, the 26th instant, for the appointment of a solect committee to inquire into the explaining of the paper lication of the results of the exploring expedition of J. C. Fremont to California and Oregon; and the resolution was agreed to. It was, on motion of Mr. BREESE,

Ordered, That the committee be appointed by the Vice Presi dent, and

Mr. BREESE, Mr. BORLAND, Mr. CLARKE, Mr. Dodge, and Mr. Metcalfe were accordingly appointed.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the Committee on Peo-sions, to whom was referred the bill granting a pension to Wm Pittman, reported it without amendment,

Mr. MASON, from the Committee on Foreign Relations, to whom was referred the memorial of George L. Brent and Joseph Graham, submitted a report, accompanied by a bill, for their re-

The bill was read and passed to the second reading

Ordered. That the report be printed.

#### ADVERSE REPORTS.

Mr. BRIGHT, from the Committee on Revolutionary Claims, to whom was referred the memorial of Haya M. Aalomaa, submitted an adverse report; which was ordered to be printed.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the Committee on Pen-sions, to whom was refer ed the petition of Jelediah Gray, sub-mitted an adverse report; which was ordered to be printed.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiann. from the Committee on Pensions, to whom was referred the petition of Amos Doreghy, submitted an adverse report; which was ordered to be printed.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the Committee on Pensions, to whom was referred the petition of John Beatty, submitted an adverse report; which was ordered to be printed.

#### PRIVATE BILL

Mr. FOOTE, from the Committee on Private Land Claims, to whom was referred the memorial of Morgan McAfee, submitted a report, accompanied by a bill, for the relief of the heirs and legal representatives of Joseph McAfee, decensed.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered. That the report be printed.

### NEBRASKA.

WMr. BORLAND, by unanimous consent, asked and obtained Leave to bring in a bill to attach the territory of Nebraska to the surveying district of Arkansas; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Public Lands.

Mr. BREESE, by unanimous consent, asked and obtained leave to hing in a resolution to provide for the speedy payment of the three neutles pay to the officers, non-commissioned officers, musi-cians, and privates, who have served in the late war with Mexico, the extra pay allowed them by the act of July 19th, 1813, which was read the first and second times by unanimous cos s.r.d, and con-sidered as in Committee of the Whole; and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered. That it he engrossed and read a third time.

The said resolution was read a third time by unanimous con-

Resolved, That this resolution pass, and that its title be as aforesaid

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

### DYTOADITION TREATIES.

The bill giving effect to certain treaty stipulations between this and foreign governments, for apprehension and delivery up of certain offenders, was read the second and third time, and considered as in Committee of the Whole.

Mr. DAYTON referred to the existing treaties on the subject with Great Britain and France. A case had occurred under the former in which the fugitive was surrendered. A case had elso occurred under the French treaty, in which Judge Betts, of New York, held a figuritive charged with forgers. By writ of babes occrpus, this case was bronght to the United States Supreme Court, where it was refused to be considered, because Judge Betts heard the case in chambers, and the Supreme Court held it had no jurisdiction in the case. He stated that, under all the circumstances, this bill was reported, and he hoped it would be promptly passed.

Mr. HALE suggested that there was a defect in the bill, inas-Mr. HALL suggested that there was a decent in the infiliation much as it brought citizens of the United States within the operation of the bill, and subjected them to be delivered up without form of trial. He had prepared an amendment, which he would move to introduce at the end of the bill.

Mr. DAYTON objected to the amendment as subjecting every criminal to a trial by jury before he shall be delivered up. If any-thing of this kind is desired, the treaty ought to be amended. We are bound to carry out treaties as they stand.

Mr. HALE said he looked on these extraditional treaties with Mr. HALE said as looked on these extraditional treaties upon to gloomy, and he thought the Senate unght to do so, especially in such times as these, when we may expect enough business of his kind on our hands. Suppose an individual denies that he is the person designated, and that most difficult question—the question of identity—should arise, it ought to be settled hefore the person of identity—should arise, it ought to be settled hefore the person of the pers of definity—should also, to ught to be settled to the term is delivered up. The object of his amendment was to give the person an opportunity to prove his innocence. He called on the Senate to be careful not to lessen the securities for personal freedom.

Mr. KING said that the Senator from New Hampshire had ta-ken a wrong view of the matter. This bill did not touch political offences. As to American citizens heing taken inforeign countries for crimes, there was no danger that any would be wrongfully claimed by our government. He stated the difficulties he had to encounter while in France in endcavoring to discover and arrest a fugitive from justice who had escaped into Switzerland. He was foiled in his efforts, and he then made a treaty with the Swiss government which will render such arrests easy hercafter. But ought not to expect foreign governments to lend us facilities, if we do not show a disposition to reciprocate.

Mr. BUTLER suggested the propriety of showing a becoming comity towards other nations; all being alike interested in the arrest of criminals who are seeking to escape from justice. He objected to the amendment moved by the Senator from New Hamp-thire.

Mr. HALE made some remarks in defence of his proposition. Those around him seemed to think that he desired to make this country a rogue's harbor. Quite the reverse. It was only by a trial by jury that rogues were brought to punishment. He referred again to the difficulties which perpliced questions of identity, and to the ruinous consequences to an individual from a false inputation of crime.

Mr. BADGER thought that there was not only no good ground, Mr. BABUER thought that there was not only no good ground, but no reasonable apology for the indignant eloquence of the Senator from New Hampshire. His anger should have been directed against the treaty, not against the law which was necessary to earry it into operation. He stated that the treaties are especially earry it into operation. He stated that the treaties are especially guarded against including political offences. They had relation only to men who prey on society. He thought it might be well to amend the bill, by inserting after the words "according to the terms," the words, "and true intent," of the treaty. We do not undertake to try foreign erminals, but unerely, on such evidence as would justify his committal here for trial, to deliver up the individual. dividual

Mr. DAYTON defended the bill, and assured the Senator from New Hampshire that no act we could pass would take away from American citizens the constitutional right of a trial by jury.

The amendment was then negatived.

Mr. BALDWIN moved to amend the bill, by striking out the words "justice of peace," and inserting in lieu thereof the words, "Justice of the Supreme Court, and judge of a district court."

Mr. BUTLER said, he thought it unnecessary, but he had no particular objection to the amendment.

Mr. DAYTON said, that the language was in conformity with the treaty; but if his friend from Connecticut was tenacious about it, he had no objection.

The amendment was agreed to.

Mr. DAYTON modified the bill, by inserting the words "true intent and meaning," as suggested by the Senator from North Carelina, [Mr. BADGER.]

The bill was then reported to the Senate, and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time.

On the question "Shall this bill pass?" the yeas and nays were or-dered, and it was determined in the affirmative, as follows:

YEAR.—Mears, Badger, Baldwa, Bell, Borland, Bradbury, Butler, Calboun, Clarke, Chryton, Deven, of Messispip, Deyton, Dokhoron, Dex, Boren, Selbark, Martin, Chryson, Berker, Chryson, Medical Miller, Phelps, Sebantan, Sprance, Sturgeon, Metalfe, Miller, Phelps, Sebantan, Sprance, Sturgeon, NAYS.—Messis, Badton, Brece, Bright, Dolfey, Hale, Hancegan, and Rusk.—7.

Resolved, That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid,

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill,

#### CHEROKEE CLAIMS.

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the resolution authorizing the proper accounting officers of the Trasury to make a just and fair settlement of the claims of the Cherokee nation of Indians, according to the principles established by the treaty of August, 1846.

MI. BELL suggested to the Senator from Arkansas [Mr. SE BASTIAN] to withdraw the amendment he had submitted on a former day, as it involved a large amount of appropriation, and would, at this late period of the session, scriously embarrass the passage of the resolution. The joint resolution might be passed, with a provision referring it to the Secretary of War to examine and report to the next session of Congress.

Mr. SEBASTIAN consented to withdraw the amendment, and to renew it hereafter

The CHAIR stated that the amendment had been agreed to by the Senate, but the Senate could refuse to concur in it.

Mr. BRADBURY made some remarks on the importance of the resolution as involving a large amount of money. He had objections to the reference of the settlement to one of the departments, and thought it would be better to keep the control of the subject in the hands of the Senate. The report of the Commissioner of Indian Affairs, it appears, had not been satisfactory; yet he [Mr. B.] had had no opportunity to examine that report

Mr. BELL said he had proposed the change in the resolution DIT. DELLE SHAT HE BASE PROPOSED THE CRASHELL SHAT HE BASE HE HE SHATE HE S

Mr. ALLEN made some observations against the course indi-cated in this resolution. He prounced a high eulogy on the Com-missioner of Indian Affairs, pronouncing him a noral man, whose connection with the government conferred greater honor on that government than on himself. He moved to lay the bill on the table, but withdrew it at the request of—

Mr. BELL, who denied having attacked Mr. Medill, and thought the culogy of the Senator from Ohio entirely gratuitous. That gentleman was certainly no more than a subordinate officer Find generous was elections to more than a subminance out of the government; and this was a case not only important on account of the amount of expenditure, but no account of the labor resulting from it. He had not charged that the report of the Commissioner was incorrect, but there had been opposition to it, and it was desirable that it should be properly investigated.

The amendment of Mr. BELL was then agreed to

Mr. ALLEN moved to lay the resolution on the table · Ayes 9, Nays 15.

There being no quorum, the motion was withdrawn.

The resolution was then reported to the Senate, and the amendments were concurred in.

On the question " Shall this resolution be engrossed and read a time 2"-the year and nays were ordered, and it was determined in the affirmative, as follows:

YP.A.S.—Menga, Arrhona, Bedger, Baldvin, Bell, Benton, Borland, Butler Criba, Charles, Downs, Genera, Lanneau, Albora, Bradiana, Lewin, Mangun, Mixon, Metzalfe, Muller, Phelje, Rosk, Sebanini, Spinane, Marrowski, Chan, and Xu. Mercadia, Alberton, Bradbury, Brece, Brght, Davis of Minnippa, XAAS.—Mensa, Alben, Alberton, Bradbury, Brece, Brght, Davis of Minnippa, Bradbury, Carrier, and Walker—Heller, Minnip, Sattoryo, Turney, and Walker—He

So it was

Ordered, That this resolution be engrossed and read a third

The said resolution was read a third time.

Resolved, That this resolution pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representa-tives accordingly.

# UDICIAL POWERS TO MINISTERS AND CONSULS.

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the const deration of the bill to earry into effect certain provisions in the treatics between the United States and China, and the Ottoman treames between the United States and China, and the Ottoman Porte, giving certain judicial powers to ministers and consuls of the United States in those countries; and, having been amended by the adoption of a substitute heretofore proposed by Mr. DANIS, of Massachusetts, it was reported to the Senate, and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

Resolved. That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

# REVOLUTIONARY WIDOWS.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill for the relief of certain surviving widows of the

revolutionary war; and no amendment being made, it was reported

Ordered, That it pass to a third reading. The said hill was read a third time.

Resolved, That it pass.
Ordered, That the Scoretary notify the House of Representatives

accordingly.

## MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. CAMPBELL, their Clerk :

Mr. President: The Bouse of Representative have passed a bill for the relief of Pe-ler and the president of extens a president of the president of extens on the president of the president of extens of the president of the pres

## ADJOURNMENT RESOLUTION.

On motion by Mr. HANNEGAN, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of the joint resolution from the House of Representatives fixing the 7th day of August for the adjournment.

Mr. BREESE moved to strike out the 7th and insert the 14th.

Mr. HANNEGAN and Mr. CALHOUN thought the business could all be done by the 7th. There was now nothing to be gained by sitting longer.

Mr. FOOTE said he was not willing to go away and leave the country in danger, as he thought it was.

Mr. DOWNS thought that another week would be required to get through the necessary business. He would therefore prefer the 14th. He would not concent to take another step on the subthe 14th. ject of a compromise.

Mr. DOUGLAS would not be willing to adjourn and to leave the territories without law. The genuing appropriation of the contemporary of the cont measures. If we adjourn without establishing the territorial governments, we shall be responsible for the blood of men, women, and children, which will be shed.

Mr. BENTON regretted that this resolution had been called up. He was opposed to fixing any day for adjournment, until the great measures are all disposed of. The world would he led to the impression that the Senate is acting from a feeling of resentthe impression that the Schate is acting from a lecting of resent-ment. It would be much wiser that we should let the world see that we refuse to adjourn until the territorial bills shall be passed. The judgment of the world would be against us, when it is known that, immediately after the House had rejected the territorial bill, and the continue of the contin he opinion, Senators must expect to meet, at every turn, the question—whose fault is it that nothing is done? He was willing to take any bill which might come from the House rather than leave the territories without a government. Having labored hard to get of regon, how accession to let her remain another grown remnent! The came California and New Mexico. Are they to be left without law? He then went into a view of the present condition of hose territories, in order to show the necessity for prompt legislation on the subject. A continuance of the present unsettled state of things can have no other effect than to make our relations more perplexed and difficult of arrangement.

Mr. DAYTON advocated the resolution as it came from the House. To talk of the movement of the Senate being construed into an act of resentment, was absurd, when it was remembered that twenty-two of the Senators voted against the territorial hill, and that a majority of them would vote for this resolution.

Mr. HANNEGAN replied to the objections to the resolution. and urged that to remain here beyond the 7th would be useless.

To adopt the amendment, would be to add only another week of To adopt the ameadment, would be to add only and talk. He hoped Monday week would be agreed on.

Mr. BREESE withdrew his amendment, and moved to lay the resolution on the table, and asked the yeas and mays; which were

Mr. KING suggested that the motion be varied, so as to make

it a postponement until Monday. The question was then taken on the motion to lay on the table,

and decided as follows:

Der Schulder and Market Berker, Brees, Bleigh, Chyton, Delge, Dugles, Down-Swedin States and Schulder Louisiness, Johnson, of Georga, Nieg, Lewis, Despessor, Stargeno, and Westockt—17.

3. X4.3—Meers, Allen, Adnison, Badger, Baldwig, Bell, Bolinad, Badlung, Salays, Salays, Allen, Adnison, Badger, Baldwig, Bell, Bolinad, Badlung, Salays, Sal

Mr. BREESE moved to strike out 7th and insert 14th. The yeas and nays were then ordered on the motion.

Mr. KING thought we should not be able to get through all the needful business for the carrying on of the government by the 7th. He understood from some gentlemen at the head of influential committees of the House, that they would not be ready to ad-journ before a week after that time. He desired to satisfy himelf on these points, and would therefore move to postpone the further consideration of the resolution until Monday next.

Mr. DAYTON was willing to do any thing which personal courtesy dictated for the accommodation of the Senator from Alabama, but he thought we had the whole subject before us, and he hoped the subject would be taken up and acted on.

Mr. ALLEN would vote to postpone till Monday and would then vote to take it up, and to fix on the 14th as the day of ad-

Mr. DIX said he would vote against postponement, and in favor of the 7th.

Mr. FOOTE believed that the House would do its duty, and that a little postponement would be best. He would not vote for adjournment at present.

Mr. HALE moved that the Senate now adjourn; which was negatived,

The question was then taken on the motion to postpone, and de cided as follows :

YEAS—Messes, Allen, Atherton, Benton, Breese, Bught, Clavion, Davis, o Missessippi, Dickinson, Dodge, Douglas, Ibowas, Foote, Houston, Johnson, of Loissiana, Johnson, of Georgia, King, Lewis, Mason, Phelps, Sturgeon, and West offi-91.

colt—21.

NAVS—Messrs. Atchison, Badger, Baldwin, Bell, Botland, Bradbory, Butler
Calbona, Clarke, Dayton, Dr., Felch, Fitzgerald, Greene, Hale, Hamho, Han
negan, Hunter, Metcalfe, Miller, Niles, Schattan, Spruance, Turory, Undarwood
Upham, Walker, and Varke.—28.

The question being on the motion to strike out 7th and insert

Mr. NILES said, that instead of an adjournment being regarded as an unpatriotic act, our constituents would probably regard it as the most patriotic, the only patriotic act of the session. If we stay any longer, it may be that our constituents will have forgetten us altogether.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, said he should be compelled to adhere to a pledge he had once given not to vote for fixing a day of adjournment, as he did not feel disposed to leave the territories without a government.

Mr. METCALFE thought we could not get through by the 7th. If we make it the 14th, the House will then have it in its power to take it, or to amend it by fixing a longer period, a it be deemed necessary for the completion of the business. He re-buked the frequency with which the separation of the Union was alluded to

Mr. DOUGLAS asked the chairman of the Committee on Finance if he thought the appropriation bills could be got through with by the 7th?

Mr. ATHERTON replied, that he thought that the civil and diplomatic and the army appropriation bills would occupy until the 7th without other business. He thought we might get through by

Mr. DIX hoped that the appropriation bills would be acted on by the 7th.

Mr. ATCHISON said, with all the facts l-efore him, he did not think he would be doing his duty if he voted for the 7th. He did not entertain the slightest hope that there would be any territorial bill from the House. But if the chairman of the Finance Commitbill from the House. But if the chairman of the Finance Commit-tee thought he could not get through the appropriation bills by the 7th, he was willing to vote for the 14th.

Mr. TURNEY opposed the amendment in a few remarks, in which he evinced much warmth, in relation to the summary dispo-sal of the compromise bill by the House of Representatives, and declared that is he had now abandoned any hope of settling that question, he was ready to adjourn at the earliest hour, and be thought all the business which was necessary to be done might be completed in two days.

Mr. BUTLER expressed regret at the warmth of the Senator from Tennessee, and concluded with saying he would vote for the

The question being taken on the amendment of Mr. Breese, substituting Monday, the 14th of August, for Monday, the 7th, and decided as follows:

decuard Is Ioilows: VEAS.— Mess. Allen, Athinon, Athenon, Badger, Bell, Benton, Borland, Brathurg, Berese, Birght, Bullet, Dickmon, Dodge, Douglas, Downs, Foots, Ham, Indiani, Johnson, of Georgian, Johnson, of Georgian, Nieg. Levu, Mason, Meteolife, Niles, Schadian, Sprauder, Stargeon, Underwood, and Writcott.—30.
ANAIS.—Menus. Badaviria, Cathona, Cairias, Carjon, Basso, of Missimple, Dipton, Indiani, Carlos, Carjon, Basso, of Missimple, Dipton, Marchael Marchael, George, Hale, Hauseyan, Bustler, Malley, Furnir, Danis, Carlos, Carlos

Resolved, That this resolution pass with no amendment.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in the amendment.

On motion of Mr. HANNEGAN, the Senate proceeded to the onsideration of Executive business, and ten minutes past five the door were reopened, and On motion

The Senate adjourned.

# SATURDAY, JULY 29, 1848.

#### APPOINTMENT OF PRESIDENT, PRO TEMPORE.

The VICE PRESIDENT being absent, the Senate proceeded to the choice of a President, pro tempore, as the constitution provides; and

# On metion by Mr. BENTON, it was

Resolved, That the Han. David R. Atchison be appointed President of the mate, pro tempore.

# On motion by Mr. BENTON, it was

Ordered, That the Secretary wait on the President of the United States, and inform him that the Senate, in the absence of the Vice President of the United States, have chosen the Hon. DAYD R. ATCHISON, President of the Senate, pro tempor: and that the Secretary make a smillar communication to the House of Representatives.

Mr. BRIGHT presented a petition from citizens of Duval county, Florida, praying to be allowed additional mail facilities on the route between Savannah, Georgia, and Pilatka. Florida, and on the route between Jacksonville and Alligator, in that State; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Also, a petition from John A. Brackenridge, vindicating his

Also, a pelition from John A. Brackenridge, vindicating his father from certain allegations charging him with a misspipleation of the funds collected by him in the State of Missouri, for the Washington Monament Society; which was laid upon the table. Mr. HUNTER presented a petition from William Archer and other citizens of the District of Columbia, asking an appropria-tion for the removal of a noisance on Penasylvania avenue: which was referred to the Committee on the District of Columbia

### THE ASHBURTON TREATY

Mr. BRADBURY submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to:

Resided. That the Secretary of State be requested to send to the Senate a copy of the joint report of the commissioner under the treaty of Washington of Angust 9, 1842; together with a copy of the report of the American commissioner transmitting the same to the State Department.

Mr. BRIGHT, from the Committee on Revolutionary Claims, to whom was referred the petition of the heirs of David Noble, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for the relief of the legal representatives of David Noble, deceased.

The bill was read and passed to the second reading.

Ordered, That the report be printed ..

### ALABAMA RAIL ROAD.

Mr. BREESE, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the bill granting to the State of Alabama the right of way and a donation of public lands for making a railroad from Mobile to the mouth of Ohio river, reported it without amendment.

### HOUSE BILL REFERRED.

The bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of Peter Shaffer, was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee of Claims.

### RENEWAL AND EXTENSION OF NAVAL PENSIONS.

The Senate proceeded to consider the amendments of the House of Representatives to the bill renewing certain naval pensions for the term of five years, and extending the benefits of existing laws, respecting naval pensions, to engineers, firemen and coal heavers in the navy, and their widows, disagreed to by the Senate and in-sisted on by the Honse of Representatives; and it was

Resolved. That the Senate must on their amendments, and agree to the conference asked by the House of Representatives on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses.

Ordered, That Mr. BADGER, Mr. YULEE and Mr. BAIGHT, be the Committee on the part of the Senate.

### JUDICIAL POWERS TO MINISTERS AND CONSULS.

Mr. BUTLER called the attention of the Senate to two blanks which had been left unfilled in the bill passed yesterday, to carry into effect certain provisions in the treaties between the United States and China, and the Ottoman Porte, etc.; and

### On motion by Mr. RUSK, it was

Ordered, That the vote upon the passage of said bill be reconsidered.

The Senate resumed the consideration of said bill; and

On motion by Mr. BUTLER, it was

Ordered, That it be recommitted to the Committee on the Judiciary.

#### CLAIMS FOR LOSSES IN THE FLORIDA WAR.

On motion by Mr. WESTCOTT, the prior orders were post-poned, and the Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill providing for the taking of testimony in relation to claims for losses in the late Florida war; and, having been amended, it was reported to the Senate, and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That this bill be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved. That it bill pass, and that the title thereof be as afore; and

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

#### SCHOOL LANDS IN WISCONSIN.

On motion by Mr. WALKER, the prior orders were postponed, on motion by MI. WALKEN, the prior orders were posponed, and the Senate proceeded to the consideration, as in Committee of the Whole, of the bill modifying the fourth clause of the seventh section of an act relating to the admission of Wisconsin into the Union, approved 6th August, 1846.

No amendment being made, the bill was reported to the Se-

Ordeded, That it be engrossed and read a third time

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved. That it pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Orcered. That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

#### NOTICE OF A BILL.

Mr. HANNEGAN gave notice that on Monday next he would ask leave of the Senate to introduce a bill to establish a territorial government in the territories of Oregon, New Mexico, and California.

### UNITED STATES COURT IN LOUISIANA.

On motion by Mr. DOWNS, the prior orders were postponed, and the bill for the better organization of the district court of the United States within the State of Louisiana was read the second time and considered as in Committee of the Whole.

Mr. DOWNS explained, that since this bill was reported from the Committee on the Judiciary, he had prepared an amendment for the appointment of an additional judge, and he suggested the propriety of the adoption of this amendment in consequence of the at accumulation of business connected with land claims. He could anticipate no opposition except on the ground of the increased expense. This he showed to be untenable ground, because the appointment of another judge would save more than his salary in the reduction of mileage now paid to sheriff and witnesses in con-sequence of the distances they have to travel. He accordingly submitted his amendment, which divides the State into two districts, and provides for the appointment of a separate judge, to be appointed by the President, by and with the advice and consent of

Mr. TURNEY admitted that the increased business of the land chaims evented a pressure on the court for the present. But it could not be sufficient to warrant the appointment of an additional judge. Appoint a new judge, and the will be fastened on the country. There would be no getting rid of him; and although his salary was now fixed at \$2,000, reasons would be found, before the end of another session of Congress, for increasing this salary, and putting him on the same footing as other judges. He could not

Mr. BUTLER explained that the committee could not see the propriety of appointing an additional judge.

Mr. WESTCOTT stated that the business of the Louisiana courts was increasing with a rapidity which must soon place it above New York in point of importance. New York has two judges, and he thought it necessary to give two to Louisiana.

Mr. BADGER thought the amendment was a proper one Without looking to the future, it was sufficient to say that New Orleans is now a great city, and he thought it unreasonable to assign to the judge acting there all the duties of the State. The business at New Orleans is sufficient to occupy all his time. He hoped the amendment would be agreed to.

Mr. DAYTON said that the committee had not information be-Mr. DAY ION said that the committee had not information for fore it to show that the busicess was so great as to require a new judge, and the multiplication of judges he regarded as impolitic. Should this application be granted, other applications will follow. The appointment of a new judges involves the appointment of other new officers, and he hoped the amendment would be nega-

Mr. UNDERWOOD gave a few reasons which would induce him to vote for the additional judge. The great business of the whole of the western States required this appointment. He read a list of pending suits in the Louisiana court, to show the impos-sibility of one judge performing all the duties.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, said it was perfectly impracti-cable for the present judge to leave New Orleans for the purpose of taking charge of the saids in the remote portions of the State. He could not vote for the bill without the amendment. Persons interested in the business of the court in the interior, have, in some instances, to travel five hundred miles, and at some seasons the journey is impracticable. Instead of a cost to the United States, this appointment would be an actfal saving to the country.

The question heing taken on agreeing to the amendment proposed by Mr. Downs, it was, upon a division, determined in the affirmative. Ayes 22, Nocs 13.

The bill was then reperted to the Senate, and the amendment was concurred in.

Ordered, That it be engrosse | and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent. Resolved, That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

#### WHITNEY'S RAILROAD.

Mr. NILES moved that the prior orders be postponed, and that the Senate proceed to the consideration of the bill granting a tract of land for the purpose of making a railroad from Lake Michigan to the Pacific, on the plan of Asa Whitney, which land been reported by the select committee to which the subject was referred. It was thought important, by the gentlemen connected with red. It was thought important, by the gentlemen connected with Mr. Whitney, to have a decision concerning this measure at the present assession, accuse the public lards would probably be so disposed or the session, as to render the present plan impraction. He therefore desired a vote on his motion to take up the hill, and he would then name a day for its consideration. He would then name a day for its consideration adarming measure. It gave away one hundred millions of acres of the public lands at one swoop. He was sorry to differ from the Senator from Connecticut, but he was opposed to throwing such an immense mass of land into the hands of speculators. The taking up of this bill would alarm the public mind.

up of this bill would alarm the public mind

Mr. NILES said the company could not hold the lands. It was only intended to make a public road through them; and as they only intended to make a public road turough them; and as they are not now worth a earl, and no one could tell when they would be worth any thing, and as the making of this road would give them value, and the roate itself, if it could ever be completed as to make a common highway, it would bind the Union toge-

Mr. BENTON expressed his astonishment that the Senator from Connecticut, after what had passed, should under such a motion at this period of the session. At the very not find out some mode of settling the difficulty of the past verying the territories of California and New Lexicological and the Mr. BENTON expressed his astonishment that the Senator of the Union for recommendations.

Mr. BELL regretted that Senators should be so ready to act on preconceived prejudices, before they had even read the bill. As to Mr. Whitney, be had conversed with him, and had found him mod-

Mr. Whitney, he had conversed with him, and had found him modest and intelligent. He referred to the care which had been taken in the preparation of the bill; and he hoped the Senate would at least take up the bill and examine it. Unless the bill passed this session, the opportunity will have passed away forever.

Mr. BENTON sand, that if this bill was taken up, the formight to erome would not be sufficient. If geenlemen expected to pass this bill without debate, so long as he could stand up, they would be disappointed. He objected to this taking up a bill out of its order, which would consome the rest of the session. He would trust no man in existence with such a pawer as was proposed to be given by this bill. He moved to lay the motion on the table.

The year and mays were ordered, and the question being taken,

in the yeas and mays were offered, and the desired was decided in the affirmative as follows:

YEAS—Mears, Atchion, Atherton, Benton, Boleand, Breese, Buller, Calhoun,
YEAS—Mears, Atchion, Atherton, Benton, Boleand, Breese, Buller, Calhoun, of Davis, of Missiappi, Dayton, Douglas, Dowes, Hale, Houston, Hunter, Johnson, of Georgia, Kiga, Mason, Metcalfe, Favee, Phelps, Rusk, Spranner, Sturgeon, Tarney, Underwood, Westcott, and Yuke—27.

NAYS—Mesan, Allen, Badger, Baldwin, Bell, Bradbury, Bright, Clarke, Dickm-son, Diz, Dodge, Felch, Futgerald, Foote, Hamin, Hannegan, Johnson, of Louis-tana, Lewis, Miller, Niles, Upham, and Walker-21.

So the motion was laid on the table.

# REFUNDING ADVANCES FOR VOLUNTEERS.

Mr. LEWIS. by unanimous consent, asked and obtained leave to bring in a joint resolution explanatory of the act of June 24, 1248, to refund money for expenses incurred, subsistence or transportation furnished for the use of the volunteers, during the present war, before being mustered and received into the service of the United States; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Military

#### HOURS OF MEETING

On motion by Mr. DIX, it was

Ordered, That after Monday next, the hour of the daily meeting of the Senate shall be ten o'clock A. M.

On motion by Mr. DIX, the motion to reconsider the vote by which the bill for the relief of George Center was laid upon the table, was taken up, and the vote was recensidered.

Mr. YULEE submitted a substitute for the bill, which was or dered to be printed. The bill was then informally passed over.

# THE PRIVATE CALENDAS.

On motion by Mr. MASON, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of the private calendar, when the following bills from the House of Representatives were considered as in Committee of the Whole

An act for the relief of John Manly

An act for the relief of Sarah Stokes, widow of John Stokes.

An act for the relief of Benjamin White,

An act for the rehef of Amzy Judd.

An act for the relief of Charles Cappell

An act for the relief of William Culver

An net for the relief of John Anders

An act for the relief of the hers of Matth w Stewart

An act for the relief of E. G. Smith.

An act for the relief of Jonathan Moore, of the State of Massachuset

An act for the relief of Robert Ells An act for the relief of Catherine Fulton, of Washington county Pennsylvania.

An act for the relief of Bennet M. Dell,

As not for the relief of Elijah 11. Willis An act for the relief of the legal representatives of William McKenzie, late a 402 an op board the United States ship Vincennes.

An act for the relief of Bent, St. Vrain, and Company.

An act for the relief of J. Throckmorton

No amendment being made, the said bills were reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That they pass to a third reading.

The said bills were read a third time.

Resolved. That they par

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill for the relief of Reuben Perry and Thomas P. Ligon; and

On motion by Mr. BRIGHT, it was

Ordered, That it lie on the table.

### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. CAMPBELL, their Clerk :

Mr. President. The House of Representatives have passed the resolution from the Senate for the speedy payment of the three months' extra pay to the non-commu-stoned officers, musicans and privates who have served in the fate war with Mexico, allowed by the act of July 19th, 1848.

They agree to the conference asked by the Senate on the disagreeing votes of too Houses on it is bill making appropriations for the Naval service for the year end to 30th June, 1840, and trave appointed a committee of conference on their part

# CIVIL AND DIPLOMATIC APPROPRIATION BILL.

Mr. ATHERTON, from the Committee on Figance, to who MI. ATHERTON, from the Committee on Finance, to whom was referred the bill making appropriations for the civil and diplomatic expenses of the government for the year ending the 30th day of June, 1849, reported the same with amendments; which were ordered to be printed.

# EXECUTIVE SESSION.

After the consideration of Executive business.

On motion.

The Senate adjourned.

# MONDAY, JULY 31, 1848.

# PETITIONS

Mr. DIX presented the memorial of a committee of the Jackson Monument Association, in the city of Washington, praying that a portion of the cannon taken by Gen. Jackson in his campaigns, may be placed at their disposal, for a statue of his memory, with permission to erect their menument on one of the public squares in said city; which was referred to the Committee or Military

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, presented the petition of John Crawford, praying permission to locate a certificate on land lying beyond the territory for which the certificate was issued; which was referred to the Committee on Private Land Claims.

Mr. DIX presented a memorial of a religious community called "the community of true inspiration," resuling in the county of Erio, New York, praying the enactment of a law for the suppression of infidelity; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary

Mr. DICKINSON presented a petition of citizeos of New York, praying a reduction of the rates of postage on letters and newspapers; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Reads.

Mr. WALKER presented three petitions of citizens of Wisconsin, praying the establishment of a mail route from Winnebago to Green Bay, in that State; which were referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Mr. BELL presented the memorial of John McCoy, in behalf of the western Cherokee Indians, praying payment of the money due to those Indians under the treaty of August, 1846; which was referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

# RESOLUTIONS OF LEGISLATURE OF WISCONSIN

Mr. WALKER presented resolutions passed by the legislature of the State of Wisconsin, approving the measures of the executive, and the ourrage and discipline of the army in conducting the war with Mexico to a successful issue, and condemning any attempts which may have been made to prolong the war by opposition to these measures.

Ordered. That they be on the table, and be printed.

# REPORT FROM SELECT COMMITTEE.

Mr. MASON, from the Select Committee, to whom was refer-red the memorial of H. Ludor Brownell, submitted a report; which was ordered to be printed.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the Committee on Pensions, to whom was referred the petition of Joseph Hair, submitted an adverse report; which was ordered to be printed.

# GRANTS OF LAND IN ILLINOIS.

Mr. BREESE, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom the following bills were referred:

An act to grant land to the inhabitants of township 18, north of ranges one and two, est of the 4th principal meridian, in the county of Adams, in the State of Illinois.

An act to grant land to lieu of the 16th section to the commissioners of townshi two, anoth of range mae, west of the fourth principal meridian, in the county of Adams, in the State of Ullimos.

An act to grant unto the the trustees of township thirty-eight, north of range five east, in the county of Echhart, and State of Indiana, so much public land as may, with the fractional sixteenth section therein, make up an entire section. reported the same without amendment.

Mr. WESTCOTT, from the Committee of Claims to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of Charles R. Allen, reported it without amendment.

# LANDS IN NEW MEXICO AND CALIFORNIA

Mr. BREESE, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the message of the President of the United States of the 6th instant, reported a bill for ascertaining claims and titles of land within the territory of California and New Mexico, to grant donation rights, and to provide for the survey of the lands therein; which was read and passed to the second read-

### PRIVATE BILL.

Mr. TURNEY, by unanimous consent, asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill for the relief of James M. Scantland; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and, with

the accompanying document, referred to the Committee on Pen-

# REPORT OF COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE ON NAVAL AFFAIRS.

Mr. ATHERTON, from the committee of conference, on the part of the Senste, on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses, on the bill making appropriations for the naval service for the year ending the 30th June, 1849, reported that they have met the conference on the part of the House of Representatives, and after a full and free conference, have agreed to recommend, and recommend, other respective Houses as follows:

raced, to the respective Houses as follows:

14. That the Seate do recede from their disagreement to the amendment of the House to the Senate's fifth amendment, and agree to the same.

24. That the Buse do recede from their disagreement to the Senate's sixth amendment of the Senate's sixth senated sixth their senated sixth their senated of the Senate's sixth their senated sixth senated sixth their senated sixth senated sixth

4th. That the House recode from its disagreement to the 12th amendment of the

# The Senate proceeded to consider said report; and

Mr. BUTLER took exception to one of the items in which the report adopts the amendments of the House, in the clause relating to the steamers touching at the port of Charleston, by the insertion of the words "if practicable," which he contended was tantamount to an exclusion of these steamers from that port, as they would nover enter the harbor of Charleston, so long as it was left to the discretion of the ewners to decide whether they should

Mr. CALHOUN took the same view, and stated that a sort of understanding had been entered into by him with the Senator from Georgia, [Mr. Berrien,] that if he (Mr. C.) would support the clause requiring the steamers to touch at the Savannah, and would net oppose the advance of money to the contractors, the same provision which relates to Savannah should be introduced with refersoon which relates to Savannan should be infroduced with refer-ence to Charleston. The contractors had called on him, and in-formed him that they had constructed the vessels expressly with a view to enter the harbor of Charleston, drawing net more than fifteen fect water, which is the depth on the bar at low water.

Mr. ATHERTON stated that the objectionable words were in the centract itself, and that the obvious meaning was, that if not prevented by stress of weather or accident, the steamers were to touch at the port of Charleston.

touch at the port of Charleston.

After a few words from Mr. DICKINSON, Mr. YULEE, Mr.
JOHNSON, of Georgia, Mr. BORLAND, Mr. BRIGHT, and
Mr. MILLER, the question was taken on concurring in the report of the committee of conference, the yeas and mays being ordered, it was determined in the affirmative as follows:

YEAS.—Moor. Aller, Atlerion, Badger, Braidmy, Breen, Bright, Clarke Gryston, Govern, Devin, of Massochueste, Dyvinn, Dekinson, Degiska, Doven, Felcis, Pringerald, Foote, Humbe, Honston, Miller, Nides, Prelys, Senastian, Sprace, Stargeon, Option, and Wilder—27, B. Berdind, Buller, Chillow, Doren, of Musicappi, Da, Dudge, Hunder, Johnson, of Louunnas, Johnson, of Georgia, King, Lewis, Manoa, Marcella, Tunner, and Vindes-Res.

# So it was

Resolved. That the Sonate agree to the report of the committee of conference, and that the bill be amended accordingly.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives thereof.

### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

Mr. President: The House of Representatives concur in the amendment of the Senate to the resolution, "that the President of the Senate and Speaker of the Hoose of Representatives do adjourn their respective Houses on Monday, the fourteenth day of August next, at twelve o'clock mendian."

They agree to the amendment of the Senate to the bill, supplemental to an act to confirm the survey and location of claims in the State of Mississippi, east of the Pearl over, and south of the 31st degree of north latitude, approved March 3d, 48-45.

## MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT.

The following message was received from the President of the United States, by Mr. Walker, his Secretary

Mr. President: The President of the United States approved and signed, the 29th instant, the following acts:

### An act for the payment of hquidated claims against Mexico

A resolution for the speedy payment of the three months' extra pay to the officer con-commissioned officers, musicians, and privates, who have served in the late we with Mexico, allowed by the act of July 19, 184\*.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

#### MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT.

The following message was received from the President of the United States, by Mr. WALKER, his Secretary:

United States, by service the United States.

To the Smart of the United States,
The the Smart of the United States,
The the Smart of the United States,
The Smart of the United States of the United States,
The United States of the United States of the United States,
The United States of th

Washington, July 31, 1848.

The message was read, and, with the accompanying documents,

ordered to be printed.

# CIVIL AND DIPLOMATIC APPROPRIATON BLL

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill making appropriations for the civil and diplomatic expenses of the government for the year ending the 30th day of June, 1849, and for other purposes, together with the amendments reported thereto.

The question was upon agreeing to the first amendment reportand by the Committee on Finance, in section 1, line 12, to strike out the following: "Provided, That no member of either House of Congress, after the present session, shall be allowed a larger sum for milage than one thousand dollars for each session, any act of Congress to the contrary notwithstanding."

Mr. MASON asked for the yeas and nays, and they were or-

Mr. ATHERTON explained that the committee had no intention, in reporting this amendment, to give any opinion on the prin-eiple it involved. But they thought a provision of this character would be more in place in a separate bill, and one which related to the compensation of members generally.

Mr. BADGER said he should vote for the amendment. Reductions in the compensation of members had been frequently proceed but they never had been, and he hoped never would be adopted. He regarded it as objectionable on the score of justice, generosity, and policy. Many members coming from a great distance are completely excluded from the privilege onlyced by those them. nearer home of sometimes visiting their families and attending to their business; and although the additional mileage was but a poor their business; and although the additional mileage was but a poor compensation for such privations, it was all that could be given. It always had been allowed, and why was it to be stopped now? Why should be who comes just such a distance as to entitle him to that amount receive \$999, while he who comes a thousand miles further should receive only one dollar more? Another reason was, that this mileage operates in favor of keeping the seat of government where it is. He no objection to any gentletch elying to make a little popular to objection to any gentletch elying to make a little popular to be compensation, but he trasted it would never would be agreed to.

Mr. DAYTON followed on the same side.

Mr. MASON briefly stated his reasons for opposing the amendment. He thought the amount of mileago was, in some cases, an abuse which required to be regulated by law.

Mr. UNDERWOOD also sustained the limitation, stating that he had been applied to by the Secretary of the Senate for his construction of the act in relation to the nearest mail route, and that he had given such a construction to it, in his own case, as gave him only about half the mileage given to others who were at no greater distance from the seat of government. The mileage and pay had not been sufficient to cover his expenditures; and he had now been compelled to write for money to carry him home.

Mr. NILES adverted to the great inequality which, unless some provision of this kind should be made, would exist between the compensation of a member from Culifornia, whose pay and mile-age would amount on an average to some \$2.00 the session while those nearer the seat of government would not receive above He thought it right that something should be done to make it more equal.

Mr. CALHOUN contended that members of Congress were worse paid than any other portion of the government. It would have been wiser if, in the first instance, a specified allowance had been made for them. He knew of no better mode of making the been made for them. He knew of no better mode of mixing the compensation just than this system of milege, which he did not regard as fixed too high. He concurred in the views of the Sena-tor from North Carolina, [Mr. Badoza,] that a difference ought to made between the member who is two thousand miles from life family and his business, and him who is within two consideration of both. He also regarded it as a point world of consideration that this indicage had its effect in discouragement any attempt to remove the scat of government to a more central position, and referred to the situation of the principal capitals of the European nations, which were always located near the most assailable points, and not in the centre of the kingdoms to which they belonged.

The question was then taken and decided in the affirmative, as

YEAS—Messe, Atchison Atherton, Badger, Baldwin, Bell, Benton, Borland, Bradbury, Breese, Butler, Callionn, Clarke, Corwin, Davis, of Mississippi, Dayton, 30TH CONG.-IST SESSION-No. 124.

Dickiroon, Dudge, Douglas, Donies, Furgerald, vone, Hangegen, House de, Hucter Johnson, of Lousana, Johnson, of Geogra, King, Lewis, Miler, Phelps, Rusk Schattan, Storgon, Turrev, Walker, Westerd, and Visite-37. NAVS-Meson, Allen, Right, Davis, of Massachusett, Der, Fe. J. Han lin Mason, Metalfa, Nike Spranne, Underwood, and I sham. 12

So the amendment was agreed to.

Ordered, That the further consideration of the bill be postponed until to-morrow

On motion by Mr. HANNEGAN the vote agreeing to the motion made the 29th instant, that after Monday the Senate will meet at 10 o'clock in the morning, was reconsidered; and,

On motion by Mr. BADGER, it was

Ordered. That the said motion lie on the table

Mr. BUTLER, from the Committee on the Judicinry, to whose was recommitted the bill to carry into effect certain provisions in the treaties between the United States and China, and the Ottoman Porte, giving certain judicial powers to ministers and consuls of the United States in those countries, reported at with amend-

The Senate proceeded to consider the said bill as in Committee of the Whole, and, having been amended, it was reported to the Senate, and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That it pass to a third reading. The said bill was read a third time.

Ordered, That the Sceretary request the concentrate of the House of Representatives therein

## TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT

Mr. BENTON submitted a bill providing a consument for the territories of Oregon, New Mexico, and Calforno was to be madestrood to say, was copied from the act of 18.1 debauer Louisiana, in effect that the people should be governed as color to the existing law, until others were made. He desired that it night be printed, and laid on the table; and, if no bought the original original properties of the constraints of the desired that it is a solution of the constraints of the constraints.

Mr. HANNEGAN.—On Saturday last, Mr. Presider, I gave notice to the Senate that I would to-day introduce a bill providing for the organization of territorial governments in Oregon, Califor in and New Mexico. In contexton its superstances in October Carlior in an and New Mexico. In connexion with some of my freests I have given to this subject all the attention in my power, under a feel-time of the deepest anxiety, and I have become satisfied, that rifer the ordest through which the question has afreedy passed—after the scarcing in the select the scarcing in the select through the select the scarcing in the select through the select committee appointed by the Senate—a committee so remarkable, so pre-eminent, I might well say, for intellect, for wisdom, and for patriotism—it is in vain for any individual to attempt its adjustiment. I believe that when the proposition which emanated from that committee, representing every section of the funo, and representing the two great parties of the country, has fulled, there is no hope left to us of a final adjustment of the question at the time. I regret it deeply. From various quarters of the country, appeals to me have been made, with various nouvers, and the appeals to me have been made, with various notives; and it has been urged upon me that it is most expedient to leave this spustion open, because that course would promote the prospects of the electron of a favorite candidate for the Persidency—my favorite candidate for the Persidency—my favorite the Periah the prospects of any living man, be the whom be may, and mine own first, sooner than leave open, from such consideration, as those, a question so momentous, so agitating and execting "various five to the best interests of my country. I believe the question should be settled, and settled promptly too.

I think, sir, that the events of the present day are full a diminition to us, and I fear that the admonition is not sufficiently heed. When Christendon looks at the debates which have to.

nition to us, and I fear that the admonstron is not sufficiently heed.

When Christendom looks at the debates which have taken place here, and at the other end of the capitol, they will believe us to be on the verge of disunion and civil war. At the very same moment that we are standing out before Europe as the bright reamplar, illuminating and aronsing mankind to a consciousness of the value of rational and regulated liberty, we present this humbianting processed of internal diseasonsit. Why, sir, it was but the other day, as I have been informed through the correspondence of consections of the control of the con other day, at a nave been minimal untong time to responsible, or one of our diplomatic are minimal untong time to responsible. In our diplomatic are minimal untong time to the total eracy the Germanic States, on an allusion being made to the Uni-ted States of America, that angust assemblage, composed of men-cold and philogramatic as you have been ever taught to regard them, roos simultaneously in token of respect, and purst first, inste lead rose simultaneously in token of respect, and fourth Frin after louid and long protrated shouts, at the bare mention of our name! Yet, here we are, in relation to a que me. He here we are, in relation to a que me. As a substitution and a substitution and a mention and the other day by the venerable Senator from Kentucky, whose whole life has been one continued career of patriotism, how can you dismember us, when Kentucky stretches her arms across the Ohio, to Ohio, Indiana, and Illinois? You can aver dismember us! We will hold the Union tegether with hooks of steel. We cannot separate! Yet, you may go on and familiarize the public mind with the thought of dismoin and civil war, until you do indeed light up the torch of the incendiary. It has become already "familiar as a household word." All this may go on, till at last we behold the gleaming sword of brother arrayed against brother, and our streams and rivers running red with blood, but you can never, on

this or any other question, dismember the Union?

I have said, sir, that I hold it moral treason to talk of disminor or eivil war here! What! talk of disminon at this hour, when, from the central heaven, heams all over Christendom the star of our republic—not the less brilliant, because, like the fire-fly its light is meliow and mild—when our principles are scattered broadcast throughout the European world—when the German Parliament has the a period that we seem world of excitence and discord abroad, to make those who are struggling to imitate our example, doubt the capacity of man for self-government!

#### RELIEF OF VOLUNTEERS, ETC.

The Senate proceeded to consider the following hill and joint resolution, as in Committee of the Whole.

An net for the relief of Joshua Beard, and twenty-one other Tennessee mounted columbers.

Joint resolution, authorizing the Secritary of State in funch the Clerk of the distinct cont of the United State, for the Western deluted of Virginia, four copies of Little's and Brown's clution of the law of the United States; and, having severally amended, they were reported to the Senate, and the amendment's were concurred in.

Resolved, That the said bill and resolution pass, with amendments.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

# PRE-EMPTION CLAIMS.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill for the relief of the pre-emption claimants upon Miama lands in Indiana, who, by their services in the Mexican war, are entitled to bounty land; and, no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it pass to a third reading.

The said bill was read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time Resolved, That this bill pass.

Ordered, That the Sceretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

#### PRIVATE BILLS.

The following bills were read a first and second times, and considered as in Committee of the Whole.

A hill for the relief of the legal representatives of Thomas J. V. Owen, deceased.

A bill for the relief of Charity Herrington;

and, no amendment heing made, they were reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That they pass to a third reading.

The said bills were read a third time.

Resolved. That they pass, and their titles be as aforesaid.

Ordered. That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

# HAYNE M. SALAMON.

On metion by Mr. DIX, it was

Ordered, That the report of the Committee on Revolutionary Claims, en the memorial of Hayne M. Saloman, be reconsidered; and

On motion by Mr. DIX, it was

Ordered, That the said report be re-committed to the Committee on Revolutionary Claims.

## EXECUTIVE SESSION.

After the consideration of Executive business-

On motion.

The Senate adjourned.

# TUESDAY, AUGUST 1, 1848.

#### PETITIONS

Mr. STURGEON presented the petition of James Harrington, praying to be indemnified for loss of time, and expenses during an illness contracted in the service of the United States; which was referred to the Committee of Claims.

illness contracted in the service of the United States; which was referred to the Committee of Claims. Mr. FELCH submitted documents relating to the claim of Win. R. Noyes, to compensation for repairing the United States Court Honte at Detroit; which were referred to the Committee on the Judiciarry.

#### ESPY'S REPORT.

Mr. GREENE submitted the following resolution; which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to:

Resolved, That the Committee on Printing be authorized to deliver to Dr. Espy his report on meteorology, to make such additions thereto as he may deem proper, and then by it to be rottled.

#### ORDER TO PRINT.

On motion by Mr. HALE, it was

Ordered, That the bill to discourage the speculations in the public lands, and to open the same to actual settlers and cultivators, be printed.

#### PRIVATE BIL

Mr. MASON, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives, for the relief of Peter Shaffer, reported it without amendment.

#### ADVERSE REPORT.

Mr. BALDWIN, from the Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the memorial of Littleton D. Teackle, submitted an adverse report; which was ordered to be printed.

#### FREMONT'S EXPLORATIONS.

Mr. BREESE, from the Select Committee, who were instructed to enquire into the expediency of providing for the publication, as a national work, of the results of the recent exploring expedition of J. C. Fremont to California and Oregon, submitted a report, which was ordered to he printed.

# FORT WINNEBAGO.

Mr. BENTON, from the Committee on Military Affairs, to whom was referred the bill to grant to the State of Wisconsin, the military reservation at fort Winnebago, reported it without amendment.

### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

Mr. President: The Hoose of Representatives agree to the report of the Cummittee of Conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses, on the bill making appropriations for the caval service, for the fixed year endoug

pitations for the oaval service, for the fixed year endog the 39th of June, 1el9.

They have passed a residation for the approintment of a joint committee, three on the part of each House, to inquite into the expediency of devining a system of reporting the debates and praceedings of Congress, in which they request the concurrence of the Senate.

They have also passed the following joint resolutions:

A joint resolution concerning certain portions of the Marine and Ordinance corps.

A joint resolution of thanks to the officers, sailors, and Manues of the United States Navy, in which they request the concurrence of the Senate.

### CIVIL AND DIPLOMATIC BILL.

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill making appropriations for the civil and duplomatic expenses of the government, for the year coding the 30th day of June, 1849, and for other purposes, with the amendments reported thereto.

reported thereto.

The second amendment reported by the Committee on Finance, giving four watchmen to the northeast Executive building instead of three, and adding \$365 to the appropriation, was agreed to. The next amendment was to strike out the following items:

The next amendment was to strike out the following items:

For the collection of agricultural statistics, and other purposes, \$3,500; which seems summs, amounting in the whole to \$6,800, shall be paid out of the Patent fund.

verst sums, smoothing in the whole to SUN-00, shall be part out of the "faterit land." For defraying the expenses if chemical snalyses of vegetable substances, produced and used for the food of man and animals in the United States, to be expended under the direction of the Commissioner of Patents, \$1,000; the said sum to be paid out of the Patent fand.

Mr. BADGER wished to know why it was proposed to strike out these items?

Mr. ATHERTON said he thought these things would be better done by individuals and scientific societies than by the government.

Mr. CALHOUN was in favor of the amendment, as he thought the mania for purchasing books and book-making required to be

checked. He thought, too, that the Commissioner had enough to do without meddling with these matters, and with which the government ought not to connect itself.

Mr. BADGER could not agree as to the propriety of this amendment. He thought it quite as important to expend a little on agricultural objects as on geographical explorations.

Mr. KING was against these expenditures, and would vote for the amendment. He said the cost of publishing the history of the exploring expedition was \$10,000. Where were we to stop? He was not aware of any information of value being derived from these agricultural works.

Mr. HALE said he should move to strike out the items for the exploring expedition, when we reached them.

Mr. D.AVIS, of Massachisetts, said we expended a great deal of money in making discoveries; and these works which we are publishing are approfitude special ions for the government. He did not see, however, that this objection which had been raised on expensatisfies. He referred to the report from the Paton I office, until attitudes. He referred to the report from the Paton I office, until at this session, as more valuable, and less bardened with trash, than the reports which had preceded it.

Mr. DICKINSON referred to the exploration of the Dead Sea which was in progress, stating that it was undertwise by the officers of a provincia ship which our government had found to necessary to station in, the Mediterranean, who had to thinked leave absence for the purpose of visiting that interesting part of the

# Mr. BUTLER said he should vote again t all these schemes.

Mr. WESTCOTT said he should vote against the first amendment, by which it is proposed to strike \$5,000 for the collection of agricultural "statistics," and he should vote to strike out the \$1,000 for "in chemical analysis of vegetablo substances produced and used for the food of man and naiminal." As to "statistics," they are useful in legislation here, and they can be best collected and will be more authentic, and the information obtained can also be best disseminated by the federal government, and through the Patent Office and Cong-tess, than by the States, or by pravate associations. As to the philosophical and section in operations, the sections of the philosophical and section in operations, the constitution of the philosophical and section in operations, the constitution of the philosophical and section in operations, the constitution of the philosophical and section in operations, the constitution of the philosophical and section in operations, the constitution of the philosophical and section in operations, the constitution of the philosophical and section in operations, the constitution of the philosophical and section payment. We do not need such experiments for our legislation here. Mr. W. said he freely admitted that the book-making as to farming mat. ters by the Patent Office had not been as practical, and therefore not as useful, as it might have been. A great deal was printed annually that was of fittile service. An outpatted that therefore not as useful, as it might have been. A great deal was printed annually that was of fittile service. As one phase the philosophical characteristic schemes and projects, which were sometimes mere quack contrivances, and also those who parallely moter are quack contrivances, and also those who parallely made freeces and lad on their infests and existing and taking up with selectific schemes and projects, which were sometimes mere quack contrivances, and also those who parallely made and propertion of the program of the propertion of the propertion of the program

ton were of little moment. Mr. W. said he had solicited the Commissioner of Patents to write circulars to officers of the army to collect seeds, &c., in Mexico, but he learned very few had complied with the request. He hoped some measures would be adopted to obtain seeds, &c., as he suggested. They would be more beneficial than all the books, and while such measure would cost only \$10,000, books cest annually upwards of \$50,000.

Mr. DOWN'S thought this effort at retrenchment was beginning at the wrong cud; it was ecommencing with stopping the only book in which the people took any interest. We take a different invew of this subject from that taken by the people, who complain more loudly of our long speeches, which keep us in session eight months out of twelve, than of the appropriation of a little money to, the publication of useful works. Our system is founded on the principle that the people must have light.

Mr. NILEs regarded this business of protecting agriculture as not belonging to the legitimate duties of government, in its legislative action; it belongs to the people and the States. If it does belong to government, we are too inoperative; we ought to have a proper bureau and competent professors. He remarked on the imperfection of everything done by Congress in the Write is the way of the statistics, and thought on the proper statistics, and thought on a considerable professor which we want of the statistics and thought on a considerable professor which we want of the statistics and thought on a considerable primary books which are useful for education, and are cagerly sought after throughout the whole country, by parents and teachers.

The question was divided; and the question was first taken on the part relating to the agricultural statistics, by yeas and nays, and decided in the negative, as follows:

YEAS, "Mes rs. Atherton, Bell, Benton, Bradbury, Butler, Calhoun, Dickinson, Dix, Hale, Hunter, Kung, Lewis, Mason, Metcalle, Niles, Turney, and Yulee.

17.

NAVS.— Ms. — Allen, Atchuon, Badger, Baldwin, Borland, Clade, Clayton, Cauven, Baxus, of Missachusetts, Dayton, Dodge, Douglas, Downs, Felsh, Fitz-scall, Feetz, Green, Hannegen, Houston, Johnson, of Maryland, Johnson, of Lori and Johnson, at George, Mangam, Miller, Phelps, Rask, Sebastino, Spruance, Funz, con, Underwood, Ipham, Watker, and Weston—30.

The question was then taken on the second branch of the amendment, which was agreed to.

An amendment making the appropriations of fifteen hundred dollars for the purchase of scientific works for the Patent Office, and of three hundred dollars for the librarian, payable out of the Patent Office fund, was agreed to.

The next amendment was to strike out the reduction of five hundred dollars in the salary of the First Comptroller.

Mr. ATHERTON briefly defended the amendment, on the ground that the present moment, when the duties were more arilyous than usual, was most inappropriate for a reduction of sala-

Mr. BADGER also defended the amendment.

The amendment was then agreed to.

as likely to cause discussion :

The next amendment was to strike out the reduction of five hundred dollars from the salary of the Second Comptroller; which was agreed to.

The next amendment, inserting six instead of three additional clerks in the office of the Second Comptroller, was agreed to.

All the other amendments, striking out the reductions of sala-

The remaining amendments, of the committee were agreed to, with the exception of the following items, which were passed by

That to strike out the proviso relating to a captain of the navy being appointed to the Bureau of Provisions and Clothing.

[Mr. ATHERTON explained that this was not an amendment of the committee, and had been inserted by mistake. It was proper, however, and, if no other Seultter did, he should move to strike out the proviso.

Mr. BADGER thought the provise ought not to be stricken out. He would move to amend it by substituting "purser" for treaters "!

That relating to the appropriation of \$50,000 for the payment of claims of the Spanish government against the United States.

That relating to the improvement of the Savannah river.

That relating to the item of \$30,000 for the purchase of one or more bridges over the Eastern Branch, to be made free of foll; and that relating to the extension of the apprepriation from \$65,000 to \$115,000 relating to surveys in Michigan, Wisconsin, and Jowa, and private claims in Florida.

On motion by Mr. RUSK, the bill was further amended, by inscring a proviso, that from and after the 1st July, 1848, the Assistant Postmasters General shall receive the same compensation as Anditors. After the adoption of several unimportant amendments, the further consideration of the bill was postponed until to-morrow.

#### FLORIDA EVERGLADES.

Mr. WESTCOTT, agreeably to notice, asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill to authorize the draining of the Everglades in the State of Plorida by that State, and to grant the same to said State and up of the same to the said State by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Pucilic Lands.

### THANKS TO THE NAVY AND MARINES.

The joint resolution from the House of Representatives of thanks to officers, sailors, and marines of the United States Navy, was read a first and second times, by unanimous consent, and considered as in Committee of the Whole.

Mr. WESTCOTT said he should vote for this resolution with great pleasure, but he did not approve of the phraseology of it. He did not approve of the singling out the naval service at Vera Cruz and omitting Alvarado. The capture of that place, and of Tlacetalpan, by the gallant Charles G. Hunter, with a little one gun steamer, was the most brilliant and meritorious exploit of the may in this war; and that brave officer, in his opinion, and he believed in the opinion of the country, generally, deserved more credit than any other naval officer in the war.

No amendment being made the resolution was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it pass to a third reading.

The said resolution was read a third time by unanimous consent.

On the question, "Shall this resolution pass?" Mr. HALE demanded the yeas and nays, and it was determined in the affirmative as follows:

YEAS—Meuse, Allen, Archivon, Atherton, Badger Bell, Borland, Breibbry, Charlett, Charlett, Chayton, Dayton, Deknoten, Die, Douglas, Dowas, Furgerald, Lews, Mangan, Mason, Metalff, Miller, Miler, Rusk, Sabastiaa, Spruace, Turney, NAY—M. Jisle—I.

So it was

Resolved, That this resolution pass.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

#### REPORTS OF DEBATES.

The Senate, by unanimous consent, proceeded to consider the resolution proposed by the House of Representatives for the appointment of a joint committee on the subject of reporting the debates of the two Houses; and it was

Resolved, That they cooour therein.

On motion by Mr. BENTON, it was

Ordered, That the committee on the part of the Senate be appointed by the President pro tem.; and

 $Mr.\ BENTON, Mr.\ RUSK, and Mr.\ CLAYTON were accordingly appointed.$ 

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives thereof.

### HOUSE RESOLUTION REFERRED.

The resolution from the Honse of Representatives concerning portions of the marine and ordinance corps was read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

# JACKSON MONUMENT.

Mr. DIX. from the Committee on Military Affairs, to whom was referred the memoral of the Jackson Monament Association, reported a resolution granting to the Jackson Monament committee brass guns and mortars, captured by General Androw Jackson, and for other purposes; which was read the first and second time by unanimous consent, and considered as in Committee of the Whole.

And no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senato.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said resolution was read a third time.

Reviewd, That this resolution pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

### EXECUTIVE SESSION.

After the consideration of Executive business-

On motion.

The Senate adjourned.

# WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 2, 1848.

#### MEETING OF VOLUNTEERS.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore presented the proceedings of a meeting of volunteers who have returned from Mexico, in favor of a proposed modification of the act granting three months extra pay; which were referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Mr. MANGUM presented a supplemental memorial from Charles Lee Jones, in relation to the appointment of certain officers to the command of the volunteers from the District of Co-lumbia and Maryland; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

On motion by Mr. BORLAND, it was

Ordered. That Jonathan Lewis have leave to withdraw his petition and papers

# CHANGE OF REFERENCE.

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Lonisiana, it was

Ordered. That the Committee on Pensions be discharged from the further consideration of the resolution of the Senate, of the 25th of July, relating to the expediency of granting certain pensions; and that the same be referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

#### PRIVATE BILLS.

Mr. HANNEGAN, from the Committee on Foreign Relations, to whom was referred the memorial of Robert M. Harrison, reported a bill for his relief; which was read, and passed to the second reading

Mr. HANNEGAN, from the same Committee, to whom were referred the following bills from the Honse of Repsesentatives:

An act for the relief of the legal representatives of Cornelius Munning

An act for the relief of the legal representatives of Benjamin Hodges

reported the same without amendment.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the Committee on Pensions, to whom were referred the following bills from the House of Rep-

An act for the relief of Eliza Roberts.

An act providing for the payment of arrearages of pension to Anthony Walton

An act for the relief of Francis Hutinack

An act for the rehef of Auron Tucker. An act for the relief of Sarah Hildreth

reported the same without amendment, and that they ought not to pass.

# MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE,

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

Mr. President: The House of Representatives have passed a bill to change the place of holding the district court of the United States for the middle district of Ala bama, and for other purposes; in which they request the concorrence of the Senate

The Hone have passed the joint resolution nature request incremostrate or in 8-6346.

The Hone have passed the joint resolution naturalizing the unper accounting officers of the Treasury to make a fair and just extlement of the claims of the Cherokee maintains of lookings, according to the principles established by the treaty of August, 1246, with an amendment, in which they request the concurrence of the 8-unite.

The House of Representatives concur in the amendments of the Senate, to the bill for the relief of Archibald Beard and twenty one other Tennessee mounted volun-

And in the amendments of the Senate to the joint resolution authorizing the Secretary of State to farmsh to the district court of the United Ptates for the western district of Virginia four copies of Little and Brown's edition of the Laws of the United States.

The President of the United States approved and signed, on the 29th July, the following

An act for the relief of certain widows of officers and soldiers of the revolutionary

An act making appropriations for the corrent and contingent expenses of the In-dian department, and folfilling treaty stipulations with various Indian tribes, for the year ending the 30th of June, 1849, and for other perposes. The Speaker of the Hoose of Representatives having signed eighteen enrolled bill, I am directed to hring them to the Secate for the signature of their President

# SIGNING OF BILLS.

The PRESIDENT pro tem. signed the eighteen enrolled bills received from the House of Representatives.

# COL. A. M. JOHNSON.

On motion by Mr. BELL, the prior orders were postponed, and the hill to compensate R. M. Johnson for the erection of eertain buildings for the use of the Choetaw Academy, was read the second time and considered as in Committee of the Whole.

No amendment being made, the bill was reported to the Sen-

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent. Resolved, That it pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid,

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

#### REPAYMENT OF ADVANCES MADE IN CALIFORNIA

On motion by Mr. WESTCOTT, the prior orders were post-poned, and the bill for the relief of Ward and Smith was read the second time and considered as in Committee of the Whole.

No amendment being made the bill was reported to the Secate.

Ordered. That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent.

Resolved, That it pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of tha House of Representatives in this bill.

#### FORT WINNESAGO

On mation by Mr. WALKER, the prior orders were postponed, and the Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill to grant to the State of Wisconsin, the military reservation at Fort Winnebago; and, having been amended, was reported to the Senate, and the amendment was concurred

Ordered, That this bill be engressed and read a third time. The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved. That this bill pass, and that the latte thereof he as aforesand

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

### SAILORS AND MARINES.

Mr. YULEE, from the Committee on Naval Affairs, to whom was referred the joint resolution from the House of Representatives placing the seamen and marines who have served in Mexico on the same looting with non-commissioned officers and soldiers of the army, reported it with amendments.

The amendments having been agreed to, the resolution was re-ported to the Senate, and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the amendments be engressed and the resolu-

The said resolution was read a third time,

Resolved, That this resolution pass, with smendments.

Ordered. That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in these amendments.

# CIVIL AND DIPLOMATIC BILL

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the considration of the bill making appropriations for the evival and diplomatic expenses of the government, for the year ending 30th June, 1849, and for other purposos.

Mr. ATHERTON moved to amend the bill in line 421, on the 18th page, by stifking out "eight" and inserting "ten." thus increasing the appropriation for the rent of offices for the War Department from \$5,500 to \$10,500.

Mr. HALE inquired whether these rooms had been prepared at the suggestion of the Department ?

Mr. ATHERTON replied that he had heaad so, but not directly from the Department.

Mr. HALE would rather strike out the \$8,500 than give any

Mr. YULEE thought the rent proposed to be given was entirely too much.

Mr. ATHERTON explained that it was proposed to occupy sixty rooms at \$175 each, which would amount to \$10,500.

After some remarks from Messrs. DAYTON, HALE, PHELPS, KING, and ATHERTON, the amendment was agreed to.

Mr. ATHERTON moved further to amend the bill after line 688, by inserting an appropriation of \$2,000 for paying the Wash-ington Gas Light Company for gas, &e.; which was agreed to.

Mr. ATHERTON moved further to amend the bill, in line 792, by striking out "ten" and inserting "twenty-fonr," which was agreed to

Mr. ATHERTON moved further to amend the bill, in page 37, by inserting an appropriation to refund to the State of Virginia the amount paid by the State for revolutionary services, under judgments obtained against the States, in her courts; which was

Mr. ATHERTON moved further to amend the bill by inserting, after line 1044, "for compensation and expenses of a commercial agent, to be selected and sent abroad by the President, to collect information respecting the commerce. productions, and statistics of Asia and Eastern Africa, ten thousand dollars."

After debate-

The question was taken upon agreeing to the amendment, and it was determined in the negative, as follows:

YFA2 — Mestr. Atherson, Badger, Badger, B., Calhenn, B. V., of Massa, M. L. Caller, M. R. L. Caller, M. L. Caller,

So the amendment was rejected.

Mr. ATHERTON moved further to amend the bill, at page 19, line 450, by striking out the proviso that the duties of Chief of the Bureau of Provisions and Clothing shall be performed by a captain in the navy, who shall receive for his services no compensation except his pay as a captain.

Mr. BADGER moved to amend the proviso, so that it shall read as follows:

"Provided, That when a vacancy shall occur, a purser of the many of the United States, of not less than ten years' standing, shall be assigned to duly as head of said binean, receiving for his services no compressation, except his highest service pay as a parier."

The amendment of Mr. BADGER was agreed to.

The question then recurred on the motion by Mr. ATHERTON, to strike out the proviso, and it was determined in the affirmative as follows:

YEAS-Messs, Allen, Atchison, Atherton, Baldwin, Benton, Bradbury, Bright, Batler, Div. Dodge, Down, Felch, Friggerald, Foote, Hamlin, Hannegan, Hunter, Johnson, of Manyland, Johnson, of Georgia, Nies, Sebastian, Walker, and Westcott

NAYS—Messe, Bailger, Bell, Borland, Breese, Calhoun, Clarke, Clayton, Davis of Mississippi, Greene, Hale, Johnson, of Lousiana, Magum, Masoo, Metcalfe Miller, Rieleys, Spruance, Underwood, Upham, and Yules—21.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland stated that he had voted in the affirmative, under a misapprehension of the question, and on his motion the vote just taken was reconsidered.

The question being again put on agreeing to the motion by Mr. ATHERTON, to strike out the proviso, it was determined in the negative as follows:

Name Allers, Alteron, Eddicon, Beston, Brathoy, Bught, 1th, Doley, Nower, Eddicon, Broton, Brathoy, Bught, 1th, Doley, Nower, Eddicon, Straiter, Johnson, of Ga, Nies, Wilker, and Westerts—19.

A VA W—Mears, Arbehon, Raiger, Bell, Borland, Bress, Buller, Cailcony, Charles, VA Va—Mears, Arbehon, Taleger, Bell, Borland, Bress, Buller, Cailcony, Charles, Walyndrod, Johnson, of Lousiana, Kung, Lewis, Mangam, Mason, Meculie, Miller, Phelip, Raid, Schottann, Spranner, Chiervood, Uplann, and Vulec—30.

The question was then stated upon agreeing to the amendment reported from the Committee on Finance, to insert at the end of line 525, 'for a clerk to said Commissioner [of Public Buildings] six hundred dollars; and, after debate, it was determined in the negative as follows:

YEAN—Meetz, Archinos, Atherina, Balleru, Benton, Beller, Deckmon, Dir. Dolge, Down Frigorad, Fooke, Hussion, Henrier, Lewis, Nide, Pitelpt, and Underwood—II. A. VA W—Meess, Bagler, Roddon, Clarton, Clarton, A. VA W—Meess, Bagler, Chilonn, Clarton, Charles, A. Va Wang, Chilonn, Clarton, Charles, Chilonne, Charles, Chilonne, G. Marghand, Johnson, of Georgia, King, Mangau, Maton, Met. Calif., Miller, Rod, Sprance, Tarney, Waller, Westella, and Yeles—27.

So the amendment was rejected.

The question was then stated upon agreeing to the amendment reported from the Committee on Finance, to insert after the 620th

\*\*First the purchase of one op both of the longes over the Eastern branch, over the inject of Whomappen, at a conductor to be made in solar manner as the Section post file Towardy may direct? a sun not exceeding thirty thoround deliners bringly or things, when purchased, shall be free of toll to all persons whomosers, under such regulations as are now in force, or as the rane may be legally modified, or added to in relation to the Potomac bridge opposite and city."

and, after debate, it was determined in the affirmative.

So the amendment was agreed to.

The question was then stated upon agreeing to the amendment reported from the Committee on Finance, to strike out lines 830, 831 and 832, (being the appropriation for the removal of obstructions in the Sovannah river)

Mr. UNDERWDOD moved to amend the amendment, by inserting \$50,000 for the improvement of the dam at Cumberland Island, in the Ohio river.

Mr. DIX gave notice of his desire, if that was sustained, to ofter a similar amendment for the Hudson river, below Albany.

After dehate -

On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# THURSDAY, AUGUST 3, 1848.

#### MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT.

The following message was received from the President of the United States, by Mr. Walker, his Secretary:

To the Senate of the United States :

Teomanuscate herewith a report from the Secretary of Usa. together with it accompanying documents, in compliance with a resolution of the Senate of the All two controls of the Senate of the All two controls of the Julyin vin the case of Alayo General Pillow, the one commerced an elemanted in Mexico, and the other commenced in Mexico and terminated in the Trinds States. JAMES K. POLK.

WASHINGTON, August 3d, 1848.

The message was read; and

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, it was

Ordered, That it be printed, and that three thousand additional copies be printed for the use of the Senate.

Mr. SEBASTIAN presented a petition of citizens of Arkansas and Louisiana praying the establishment of a mail route from Lewisville, Arkansas, to Minden, Louisiana; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Mr. DOUGLAS presented the memorial of J. Quinn Thornton, praying the reimbursement of the expenses incurred by the provisional government of Oregon, in defending the inhabitants of that territory against Indian incursions; which was referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

On motion by Mr. SEBASTIAN, it was "

Ordered. That the Committee on Private Land Claims be dis-barged from the further consideration of the petition of citizens Missouri, relative to pre-emption rights.

#### MAPS OF THE VALLEY OF MEXICO.

Mr. LEWIS submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent and agreed to

Reacted. That the Severtary of War furnish the Seate, as soon as practicable, the originals or copies, of the maps of the valley of Mexico, from the surveys of Leute antis Smith and Hardcastle, of the topographibal engueers, and also of the route from Vera Crez to the city of Mexico, by Lieutenaet Hardcastle, and the reports of said officers accompanying the same.

### CHEROKEE CLAIMS.

The Senate proceeded to consider the amendments of the House The Senate proceeded to consider the amendments of the Ironse of Representatives to the joint resolution authorizing the proper accounting officers of the Treasury to make a just and fair settlement of the claims of the Cherokee nation of Indians, according to the principles established by the treaty of August, 1846; and

On motion by Mr. BELL, it was

Resolved, That they concur therein

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

### DISTRICT COURT OF THE U. S. IN ALABAMA.

The bill from the House of Representatives to change the place of holding the district court of the United States for the middle district of Alabama, and for other purposes, was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent.

Mr. LEW1S hoped the usual reference would be dispensed with in this case, and that the bill would be put upon its passage.

Mr. KING trusted that the proposition of his colleague would be acceded to.

Mr. BUTLER remarked that a similar bill had already been reported from the Committee on the Judiciary, and therefore there could be no necessity for referring the subject again to them.

The Senate proceeded to consider the bill; and no amendment

being made, it was reported to the Senat-

Ordered, That it pass to a third reading

The said bill was read a third time, by unanimous consent. Resolved, That this bill pass.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

# RETIRED LISTS FOR THE ARMY AND NAVY.

Mr. YULEE, from the Select Committee, to whom were referred, on the 13th June, Senate bills 98 and 190, reported a bill to promote the efficiency of the military and naval establishments; which was read and passed to the second reading.

MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE

The following message from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk:

Mr. President: The House of Representation in the page a bit to class I the torral government of Oregon; in which they request the concernity of the Second

### THE OREGON BILL

The bill from the House of Representatives to establish the ter-ritorial government of Oregon, was read the first and second times by unanimous consent.

Mr. CLAYTON.—Mr. President: A week has now elapsed since the Senate passed and sent to the House of Representatives a bill to organize territorial governments in Oregon Callorian, and New Mexico. That bill, after receiving the support of three-fifths of the members of this body, was bidd upon the table by the other House without reading or printing it, and of coorse, I lear, without understanding it. A rule exists in that House, in consequence of which a bill, so laid on the table, ennot be received again during the seasion but by the consent of two-threst of the members. The vote to lay on the table was therefore a decisive rejection of it; and that rejection was not only without discussion. again during the session out by the constant of the members. The worte to lay on the table was therefore a decisive rejection of it; and that rejection was not only without discussion, but without consideration. Catigat auditpee. The result has been, that some of those who condemned without hearing, have since found it necessary to allay the murmars of the public by de bating the bill after they had destroyed it—with what effect we shall have the same of the public of the public by the shall have the same of the public of the public by the same of the public of the public by the same of the public of the public

The House has now sent a territorial bill to the Senate Sir, 1 The House has now sent a territorial full to the Senate Str. I trust we shall not imitate their example. We owe it to our own self-respect, and to the high character which this body has mintained, to take no course which shall prevent a free discussion before voting on the merits of this measure, which, in the rightful

service-peecy, and to the mgn character when this body has minitained, to take no course which shall prevent a free discussion before voting on the merits of this measure, which, in the rightful
coveries of their constitutional authority, a co-ordinate branch of
on their bell be deliberate and well considered. Retain ton would
be unworthly of us. Let us hereafter resort to no wreteled excuse
for the vote we shall give upon it, that we have merely read somehing about their bill in the newspapers, or that some of us have
heard some part of their debates while it was before them. To
the end that it may receive that calm, dispassionate, and respectful consideration to which any measure coming from the other
Houses it entitled. It will, before I sit down, move the reference of
the bill from that House to the appropriate committee.

I have hitter bad no opportunity to express my sentions to
large out that bedy, and ware we are morning the peed of in the other
House. I desired such an opportunity dispased of in the other
House. I desired such an opportunity of the peed of in the other
roars, unless I had come to the conclusion that the speedy passage
of the bill was less important than a speech defivered by me to explain it. Although I heard this measure traduced and its purposes and objects shumefully misrepresented—although, med alplain it. Although I heard this measure traduced and its purposes and objects shumefully misrepresented—although, med alplain it. Although I heard this measure traduced and its purposes and objects shumefully misrepresented—although, med almermarks as would, thought, justify the bild opin that the peedy passage
of the bill was less important than a speech defivered by me to explain it. Although I heard this measure traduced and its purposes and objects shumefully misrepresented—although, misrepresented—although, misrepresented—although, misrepresented—although, misrepresented—although, misrepresented—although, misrepresented—although, misrepresented—although, misr

unsumes the public mind, and expose the calumnies of the evermiss of that measure.

The misrepresentation of that bill originated with men who
had party objects to accomplish. The patrotic Senator from Iodiana near me [Air. Hannes. All admits, that he has been argue
to vote against the bill, because the passage of it would injure
the election of General Cass. Others tell near the second of the control of the control
that is a second of the control of the control
that is control of the control
that is correctly any proposition to defeat a measure
whose object is to restore peace to a distracted country, on the
ground that such a defeat was necessary to his own elevation.—
Party polities not less than sectional finantiesm, or the desire of
dismoin and civil strife, have given rise to the merepresentations
and calumnies against this bill. At the same time that I say this,
I admit, that many honest men, through misapprehension, have
opposed it. Some have said that the object of it was to introduce
slavery into the Territories of the United the American
all of 42 declared that object to be,
In prevent the citizens of the slave States from cappying a constinational results. to prevent the citizens of the slave States from enjoying a constitutional right to carry their property into those territories.

Sir, we have seen that the most opposite doctrines and opinions have been asserted, to suit different latitudes. Wherever it was supposed the greatest injury could be inflicted upon this bill and its friends, by representing it as a southern measure, its purposes have been stated to be, to extend the area of slavery, and to convert free into slave territory. Wherever, on the other hand, the rights of the South were beld must sacred, and the southern claim rights of the South were new most sacred, and the southern train to equal participation in the territory acquired by the common blood and treasure is most popular, the bill has been represented as surrendering the rights of the South, without an adequate consideration. Letter-writers, stimulated sometimes by party feeling, and sometimes by less excusabe motives, have done much to deand sometimes by less excussive motives, have done mount of de-ceive the public mind, and defeat this salutary measure. By the concurrence of all these co-operating influences, geographical par-ties have have been formed in opposite sections of the United States, whose continued existence depends on the defeat of the

These parties, more dangerous to the union of the States than These parties, nore uangerous to the union of the States than all other causes combined, so directly antagonize with the principles of this bill, that it must destroy them, or they must destroy it. The best possible recommendation of it, in the eye of a true patriot, may be found in their settled hatred and hostility to this measure. The war between these parties and the bill is a war of extermination; and the same geographical factions will be equally externmentin; and the same geographical factions will be equally implacable against any other proposition to tranquilize the coun-try, and restore fraternal feeling between its discordant sections. They have falsely represented the bill to be a compromise of prin-1 ney nave makey represented the ont to be a compromise of principle, and have employed the cant that principle cannot be compromised, although the constitution itself was but a compromise of principles, and the result of mutual concessions between the of principles, and the result of mutual concessions between the different members of the confederacy. Their existence is smidst the elements of civil strife; and to reach political power, which is the real object of their ambition, they will break down any compromise or other bill of peace, if it do not break them down.— Against these factionists I now solemnly invoke the admonitions in the Farewell Address of the Father of his country:

If the activities the case of the Father of this conditity:

"In contemplaing the cames, says by when have addated our Umon, it occors, as a matter of senons concern, that any granud should have been farmfued for characteristic and the contemplation of the cont

He afterwards adds:

I have already intimated to you the danger of parties in the State, with particular reference to the founding of them on geographical discriminations.".

To illustrate the influence of sectional feeling on this subject, let me advert to the language of public mea on this floor. Shortly after I reported this hill, the Senator from Connecticut near me after I reported this hill, the Senator from Connecticut near mo [Mr. Baldwitz] came to me and said, that by this measure the North lost every thing in controversy. The words were searcely out of his mouth year and observed, that by this bill the South lost very thing and observed, that by this bill the South lost very thing the claimed. The Senaior from Rhode Island [Mr. CLARKE] and the Senator from Ohio [Mr. CORWIN] have made also speeches to demonstrate that the bill gave up all California and New Mexico to slavery, and the Senators from North Carolina [Mr. Balders] and Clemensee [Mr. Ball.] have labored with quite equal ability for six hours, to prove that it completely excluded shaver from every inch of these territories! My honorawith quite equal annity for SN mours, to prove that it confidency seeduded shavery from every inch of these territories! My honorable triend from North Carolina, after demonstrating, as he contends, that the South has no constitutional right to carry slaves into the territories, utterly condemned the bill because, as the said, it surrendered all the rights of the South. Having proved, slaves into the territories, utterly condemned the bill because, as the said, it surrendered all the rights of the South. Having proved, to bis own satisfaction, in a speech of great ability, that the South had no such right as she contended for during many years past, he concluded that he would not agree to submit the question to the court, least subshould be defeated. I would be gratified to learn from him how he makes it appear that his section has (as he declared) surrendered anything by this bill he produced to surrender. But will have been the bill become to the court, with the subshould be supported to surrender. But will have been in what a position have considered to the surrender. But he will be supported to the surrender of the subshould be supported to the surrender. But he will be supported to the subshould be supported to the subshould be supported to the subshould be supported by the bill, who has got them? Where are they? It is time for the honorable gentlement to go on a voyage of discovery for these lost rights and if any of those who complain of their loss by the operation of the bill should come back satisfied that their recovery is Lopeless, it will only be a proof that they never had nay such rights a have been claimed for their respective sections.

Mr. President, these and angonizing opinions are no doubt honestly outertained by the gentlemen who advanced them, but they have furnished others—less worthy and less able men—with those opprobrious cpithets which have been applied to the bill, and to to hose who proposed it. The whele vocabulary of abuses has

those opprobrious epithets which have been applied to the bill, if not to those who proposed it. The whole occabulary of abuse has been exhausted by some who stand at the antipodes on this great question, to ory down those who occupy middle ground, and whose decreast wish is to reconcile them to each other. I stand like the man in the hilmane, as a target for arrows from all the opposite points of the compass. The moment the bill wear be-ported, and before its merits occurred.

a storm of opposition from every quarter

Una Eurusque, Notusque ruunt, creberque procellis Africus The whole select committee and their bill were assailed for

having evaded the responsibility which belonged to Congress, and delegated its power to the Supreme Court. The Senator from Ohio [Mr. Comuns] endersed this charge. By the Senators from Tennessee and North Carolina the hill was also denounced as Ionnessee and North Carolina the bill was also denonneed as evasive and delusive, and one Senator over the way [Mr. Nills.] let himself down so low as to proclaim it a cowardly measure—It is true, that the Senator from New Jersey, [Mr. Daryray] who also elequently opposed the bill, differed with these gentlemen, and asserted that the bill had the merit of being a bold, frank, and manly measure. As for the Senator from Connectiont, [Mr. Nills.] blue caves exactive vote in this challer is the accession. and manly measure. As for the Senator from Connectiont, [Mr. NILES,] who gave a casting vote in this body for the resolution annexing Texas, and by that same resolution adopted the Missouri Compromise, fastening slavery on a district of centry as large as twenty of the State he represents, I commend his political consistency to the especial consideration of his own constituents. The compromise game on Texas was a losing one, but he will hardly be able to hedge enough on California to regain their favor,.

The whole of these charges against the bill as being evasive, and shaming responsibility, arise out of, and are resolved into one single misrepresentation, which I will proceed to expose and put to shame. The misrepresentation consists in this single declaration—that the bill delegates and refers the power of Congress to decide the oneston whether slavery shall exist in the Territories

tion—that the bill delegates and refers the power of Congress to decide the question whether slavery shall exist in the Territories to the Supreme Court of the United States. Now the bill dele-gates no power of Congress whatever. It simply provides, that a writ of error or appeal shall be had, at the suit of either party; in case of a claim of freedom by any negro in either California or New Mexico, to the Supreme Court. Every power which Con-gress ever had over the subject is reserved, hecause no word in gress lever passes to the saught in reserved, meaning and retributal. The power of Congress over the subject is political and legislative; that of the court is simply indicial.

The great question to set the which the select committee was raised in the Senate was, whether the citizens of the slaveholding States of this Union have a constitutional right to emigrate to the territories,

which have been acquired by the common efforts of all the States, with their slaves. The South affirmed this, and the North denied it. On this question the debate in this body had raged for weeks. when I made a motion to raise a committee composed of equal numbers from both sections of the Union, and equal numbers from both political parties. The committee, after exhausting every other effort to effect a compromise between the parties, reported, by a majority of two-thirds, this bill to extend the laws over the territories, to organize a government composed of a governor. by a majority of two-thirds, this bill to extend the laws over the territories, to organize a government composed of a governor, three judges, and a secretary, for California, and of a governor, and secretary, with two judges, for flow which the governor and server and server and server and server and the server and the server and the veto, and that legislature was restricted so as to be unable to veto, and that legislature was restricted so as to be unable to pass any law introducing or prohibiting African slavery in the territories; thus leaving that question not to the appointees of the President to legislate slavery either into or not of the territories, but confining the decision of the question of slavery in the territories themselves to the judicial tribunals there, with a perfectly secured right of sppeal, in all cases, from their decision to the Euperne Court of the United States. Thus, both the master and the friend of the same arbiter appointed by the fathers of the republic to settle all conflicting questions of constitutional law, while Congress retained all its political and legislative power over the whole subject, to be excressed or not, as to its own wisdom should seem fit. A single decision of the court, made on the very first case presented at the next term, would, it was understood, have settled here.

we all know ever can be stitled hero.

By the third article of the constitution, the judicial power of
the United States is vested in the Supreme Court, and if such inferior courts as Congress shall establish, and is extended "tool
cases in law and equity arising under the constitution." The jucases in law and equity arising under the constitution. The ju-dicial power being thus expressly extended to this question, ano-ther clause in the same section duclares that in such cases "the Supreme Court shall have appellate jurisdiction both as to law and fact, with such exceptions, and under such regulations, as the Congress shall make."

At the moment, then, in which a territorial government is or-ganized in the territories of the United States, with the necessary ganized in the territories of the Critical States, With the necessary inferior tribunals, the appellate jurisdictions of the Supreme Court is ordained by the constitution itself; and the each of every Season to to support that constitution, hinds him to make the regulations under which that appellate jurisdiction shall be executed, unless it can be made to appear that the question forms an "exception".

to the general rule.

Hence a preliminary matter to be decided is, whether this ques Hence a preliminary matter to be decided is, whether this ques-tion arising under the constitution, between the North and the South, can, with any propriety, be made an exception to the ge-neral rule. It it can, then the committee which reported this bill may be charged with having attempted to devolve upon the Su-preme Court a duty which does not properly belong to it. If it cannot, then the committee has only complied with the constitu-tional requisition, and the court derives its power to decide the question, not from the bill, but from the constitution, which is the real object of attack of all the "courambied fluctionists who have real object of attack of all the geographical factionists who have vented their spleen against the bill.

vented their spiece against the bill.

Now, that such a constitutional question as this should form an exception to the appellate jurisdiction of the court is a position which the most hardy will not dare assume. It is a question on the one hand affecting the rights of southern men to the amount

of all the slave property they could send into the territories, and on the other involving the great issue of personal liberty. Neither northern nor southern men will pretend for one moment that ther norther a nor souncer mea war preceded to be included this great question, which threatens to shake the pillars of our whole political edifice, is not of sufficient importance to entitle it to a decision by the highest tribunal known to the constitution. No question of greater importance was ever before submitted to that court.

that court.

I say, therefore, it is palyable that the bill, so much vilified and misrepresented, is but a simple compliance with our oaths to support the constitution, which ecomonade us to make the regulations under which the Supreme Court shall exercise its appellate jurisdiction in the New Yes against the members of the other House given themselves time to reflect; it is quite impossible they could have rejected it, because the judges were, by the regulations of the bill, to decide a judicial question which the constitution or dained them to decide, and commanded us to make provision to enable them to decide.

I'ne Senator from Ohio, [Mr. Coawin,] has, in the face of this constitutional requisition upon us all, (himself included,) objected that the court is an improper tribunal to decide the question. What right has he or any other man to make such an objection? What right has no or any other man to make such an objection? If the constitution has not ceased to be obligatory upon him, how does he reconcile it to his duty to condemn the hill on such a pretext as that? "Oh! but, "says he, "five of the judges live on the south side of Mason and Dixon's line, and therefore they will, shough very honest and honorable men, decide—as honorable Sen-ators often do who live on different sides of that line—in favor of their own section." I think I have had a little more experience their own section." I think I have had a little more experience in the Senate than the honorable Senator himself; and I deep that even the Senate, which is a political and legislative body, is a unterly lost to all sense of shame on a constitutional question as to justify the aspersion east upon it. The views of constitutional law expressed on this very bill do not justify it. During all the debates and votes on the bill, the gentleman has kept company with our friends from North Cavolina, Tennessee, and Kentucky, as well as with the harnburners and ultra anti-slavery men of the North. But the gentleman knows well that the Supreme Court is not a political body. Its functions are purely judicial, and its land that of any corr and justice is immeastrably more exatted than that of any corr and justice is information. We never the popular excitement and political influences. We never the proposition of a mental production of the proposition of a member of Congress, if he human ambition. The position of a member of Congress, if he human ambition. The position of a member of Congress, if he Scensification passy to consense their cereative practices, if he ham ambition. The position of a member of Congress, if he be an ambitions man, is but a stepping-place on the ladder to higher political elevation. That of the judge is one where the occupant, if a man of sense and fit for his position, justly coefficies through subset of proceedings through the process through the pro fessional honor, and reached the point where, if he performs his duty, he can gain more lasting fame than in any other known to duty, he can gain more lasting fance than in any other known to the constitution. A judge of the Supreme Court of the United States can reach no higher station. The incentives to honorable fame, professional pride, and the sense of justice without which no man could reach so elevated a position, combine with all the sanctions of the judicial calls, to give us assurance that a judge will not expose himself to the degrading charge of being influenced in his decision by political, sectional, or corrupt considerations, knowing, as he must, that his every word and action will be so only profession both a tell as featleady scanned, by men of the constant of the profession both as the section of the properties of the arc but the representatives of their respective States. The judges are the representatives of their respective States. are the representatives of the judicial power of the whole naof the former, can never enter into the bosom of n judge without disof the former, can never enter into the bosom of a judge without dis-graeng him. Senators are often governed by legislative and par-ty instructions, which, if permitted to control the judgment of a justice of the Supreme Court, would shock the moral sense of the whole nation, and inevitably result in his impeachment, or con-sign him to perpetual infamy. Tree it is, as has been said, that many Senators are able men; but I have yet to learn that any Senator on this floor is now as able to deeded a question of consti-tutional law as the same man would be after the experience of years on the Supreme bench. The members of Congress will le-gislate better than the judges, but the latter will quite as far ex-ced the former in the exercise of the receipting functions intrusted ceed the former in the exercise of the peculiar functions intrusted to them by the constitution, and in accordance with this belief, the bill, while it leaves the legislative power over the question o slavery in the territories in Congress, so far as the constitution has conferred it, also leaves the judicial power over the same question in the court, to which it was given by the same sacred instru-

The objection that five of the nine judges reside south of Mason and Dixon's line is unworthy of a statesman. It is not denied that a man may be as honest if he live on the one side of the line as if he lived on the other. But as the court consists of nine judges, either the South or the North must have the edd one. either the South or the North must have the odd one. The objection, therefore, goes to the constitution and the organical aw which established the tribunal; and if it have any force whatever, it proves that our government is a failure. It arraigns the fathers of the republic who built up our institutions; proclaims those in stuttions to be until for the purposes of uvil administration; and code mass our experiment at soling who can in his heart believe that five judges would decide this question on sectional grounds, must be prepared to pronounce them corrupt and unworthy of

their stations, an opinion pastified by no event of their past lives— by nothing in the past history of the court itself—and by nothing. I am proud to say, in the annuls of American jurisprudence. The honorable Senator from Tennessee [Mr Bell] opposed this bill on the ground that the court was the weakest of the three

this bill on the ground that the court was the weakers of the three co-ordinate branches of the government—to weak, he said, to command obedience, or to settle such a question—and he drew the miscrence, that the decision of it before a tribunal so feeble might break down the court, while it would fail to satisfy the public head down the court, while it would fail to satisfy the public head and the transhess of our government he means that it has less patronace and political power than the others, I concur with him Bur ace and political power than the others, I concur with him Bur and the people. They believe that in the tentilence and affections have no motive powerful enough to induce them to disgrace them selves by injustice. They are yet, thank God a law-abding people, and they know that when they cease to be so, they will essay to be freemen. The citizens of the Cuited States, at this moment, repose more confidence in one solemn adjudication made by that to be freemen. The citizens of the Calical States, at this moment, repose more confidence in one solemn adjudication made by that court upon a question of constitutional law than in twenty degistions made by Congress on the same subject. The Senator from Olio pointed us to the opinion of the court sustaining the constitutionality of the charter of the Bank of the United States, and with an air of triumph, told us the people had reversed the deets ion. Indeed, sir! When and how did the people ever manifest a want of confidence in that decision? Never '—nowhere' They sustained a President whe, while a popular favorite on other grounds, veford a bank charter against which every argument that (we president when the proposed of the control of the president when the proposed of the control of the court. The people deeded a political, not a judicial question At this moment, although men may and do differ abest the expe diency of a national bank, no one deserving the name of a constitutional lawyer would overrule the court's decision was the amo of John Marshall, who pronounced it, stands as high in the grate till and affectionate remembrance of every true American, as those of Hule, and Hardwicke, and Mansfield, in the affections of an Emplishman. Englishman.

he Senator from Tennessee, as well as others, manired of me how this bill could settle the controversy between the slaveholding and non-slaveholding sections of the Union. I answer, precisely in the same quiet mode by which the court, in the last resort, prein the same quiet mode by which the court, in the last resort, provided by the constitution, has decided a thousand other questions which have arisen between the people of different States and see tions of the Union. It is the greatest glory, the products boast of our contrymen, that they are governed only by law—and that have also also also the product of the masslet of the law in deforence to themselves. Their own self-respect teaches them to obey the ediets promulgated by their authority, or that of their fathers. For this reason, the true American is a more law-aliding beam than the citizen of any other nation on card. The aliding beam than the citizen of any other nation on card. The problem was "Go, stranger, and tell the Lancedmonium that we never the problems of the control of the c inscription on the monument of the Spartans who fell at Thermophe was, "Go, stranger, and tell the Lacedemonians that we lie here is obedience to the laws," Ducklience to the laws is the cognate spirit, if not the essential characteristic, of rational could like the Resistance to tyrangy as the result of the same laws of freedom which dictates submission to the civil magistrate of our own choice; and every truly free people on earth have been distinguised for their deference and respect to the judgments of their own tribunals. When we shall so far degenerate hom the spirit own tribunats. When we sman so tar degenerate from the spin-of gennine civil liberty as to despise and trample under foot the solemn decrees of the great indicial arbiter appointed by the re-publicans of the olden time to decide our controversies allay our publicans of the olden time to decide our contrivious anny our hearthurnings, and restore fraternal feeling among the contending geographical divisions of our common country, we shall cease to respect the memories of our forefathers, and to honor our own

This bill, sir, commends itself to our sense of justice. It is founded on principle. Unlike the celebrated Missouri compromied of 1820, which arbitrarily established a geographical line so much drended by Mr. Jefferson, and the only merit of which was that it was the result of mutual concession and forboarsnee. this bill con when the result of mutuous concession and farebeaunes, this ball conceles no right of either party and compromises no principle. It
is the true that the territory is free from African slavory by the
laws of Mexico, as the North contends, the court is to decide
whether the extension of our laws and constitution over that territory will justify the claim of the South—that her citizens have a
right to remove there with their property, including slaves. It
slavery does now exist in the territories in spite of the decree of
Guerrero, the Mexican dictator, of the 15th of September, 1829,
and the law of the Mexican dictator, of the 15th of September, 1829,
and the law of the Mexican dictator, of the 15th of September, 1829,
and the law of the Mexican dictator, of the 15th of September, 1829,
suitments, has pretended, then the question will hardwise it. The
disquisitions of certain learned Thebans, both in the northero and
southern press, on the facts and the laws to be settled by the Su
preme Court, are enough to amuse and excite the laughter of such
as shall hereafter venture to compare them. The northor bernpreme Louri, are ownge to numerous teachers. The magnetic of the same as a single are sufficient to the our hand, and the southern ultra-native and vocate of slavery on the other, alike contend that the rights of their respective sections will be sacrificed by the court under the operation of the bill. A writer in a southern paper, now in my hand, thinks be has lound a very glaring defect in the bill, because it does not all we the master of a slave the benefit of an appeal.—
The Senator from Ohio, [Mr. Couwns,] and other northern Senators, laves stoutly maintained that while other northern Senators, laves stoutly maintained that while the master had that length; the slave was denied it. My friend from Vermont, [Mr. PHILLES,] in his able and conclusive angineent for the bill, completely refuted all these opinions. But the friends of the bill were not content with that. They insisted the months and of the slaves of the slav not content with that. They insisted that gentlemen opposed to it should perfect it themselves in this respect. Conscious that the full was liable to none of their objections in this particular, I resolved that not a shadow of a pretext of this kind should remain to its enemies, and therefore the bill, with my and and the consent of its friends, has been so amended that its worst enemies now agree, that it is perhect, in giving the appeal and writ of error in every possible ease where the question of slavery can arise without reference to the value or amount in controversy, and in every case where a local judge could decide either way, even on a habeus corpus. Throwing out of view the cavils, pleas in abatement, and seen. In herong out of view the eards, pleas in abatement, and special demorrers of technical gentlemen, and the extravagant follows of the enthusiast and men of a single idea, whether norther ern or southern, the measure, as it present the Senate, must com-mend itself to every patriot, because it would have removed one of the greatest and most dangerous elements of discord between the

confess I have been surprised by the expectations which seem Frontess I have been surpused by the expectations which seem to be cherished by a few southern genition on Woogress for whom I have great respect. They endeavor to prove that the southern claim, founded on the constitution, is unsound, and for that reason resolve that they will not submit to a decision at law upon it.—But abundoung that, do they not clearly perceive that they aliandon everythme? For, does any man expect that from this time torth to the end of the republic, the North will ever again consent to extend slavery by act of Congress into any free ferritory, and to extend slavery by act of Congress into any free territory, and this mercase that alleged inequality of representation in the other House, arising out of the enumeration of three-finits of the slaves in the apportionment of its members, which has ever been the boundation of their most better complaint? Try that question when you may in that House, an overwhelming majority will ever appear against such an extension. I never have voted for such in act of Congress, because, in my deliberate judgment, it would be wrong, and never could be justified except as a measure to be re-sorted to in an extreme case involving the very existence of the sorted to in an extreme case involving the very existence of the Union. I am no advocate of slavery or of its extension. The spirit of the age in this country, as well as in Europe, is against such extension. Like my friend from Maryland, [Mr. JOHNSON.] I hold no slaves, and I fully concur in the opinion which he expressed a year ago, that slavery is a novar], social, and political exil, to be removed, however, only by those who are immediately microsical in it. These are the dehierate opinions of thousands and tens of thousands in Marylond, Deliware, Virginia, and Kentky—all slaveholding States—who would at the same time instantly resent any interference from other quarters with the question of slavery in the Nation. stantly resent any interference from other quarters with the queries into of slavery in the States. Opinions go far beyond ours in the non-slaveholding States. They view slavery as an intradicable curse, and will never consent, it any event, to its extension, unless where the constitution carries it. The votes and speeches of northern gentiement antifinity preliging their fiture course on this subject. When they return next session, recking from the political contriversy on this very question, does the Soandor from North Carolina expect them to reverse their votes, and grant him more than they will at this time? They know their strength. With forty majority now in the other House, and, after another census, with a majority of dauble that number, or even more, does any rational human being double that number, or even more, does any rational human being expect them ever to vote themselves out of any asserted rights to equality of representation, which they have the power to enforce? Sir, I have told the Senate on a former oceasion, that no Mis-

souri compromise, or other arrangement yielding an incli of terri-tory to slavery, would, or could, receive the assent of the other House. With full knowledge of the subject I undertook to deal with, I said to southern gentlemen that the bill I reported, to give them a trial of their claim under the constitution, was all they ever could expect from this time forth; and I have grieved to find that any of my southern friends stood ready to defeat it. I anxiously hope that, upon further reflection, they will view this subject in a different light.

Sir, it is time the South understood her true position. She can no longer control this question. He who supposes that a threat of disunion will alarm the violent men of the North, Jabors under a distantion will altern the violent men of the North labors under a great mistake. To them dissimon has no terrors. Nay, I believe some of them desire it, and pray for it as a consumation decount of the question on any terms, and if the South should push her clasm beyond her constitutional rights, the dissolution of the Union will mevitably follow before the North will surrender. On the enforcement of that constitutional right, we, of the middle States, will stand by the South. Every true patriot and sincere friend of his econtry in the North, when he understands the true question has control in the North, when he understands the true question of the North when he will be the states of the North when he will be the states of the North, when he will be the states of the North, and the South of the North, and the South of the North, and the North of the North, and it will receive ourse of that Scenator will, before a year chapses, he approach to the approbation of all good men in ages yet to come. The people still love the constitution and the institutions of their forefathers; and no man, in the North ena win laurely, that will not speedly still love the constitution and the institutions of their forestiners; and no man in the North can win laurels, that will not specially wither, by disparaging their labors or descerating their graves. The ory against compromise to save the Union, is destined to be short-lived. The people know that when Washington submitted the constitution to Congress, he reminded them that individuals entering into society "must give up a share of liberty to preserve the rest;" that the "greatest interest of every true American was the consolidation of the Union;" and that the constitution itself was but "the result of a spirit of amity and of mutual deference and concession, rendered indispensable by our condition."

THE OREGON BILL.

That same spirit, that mutual deference and concession, again rendered indispensable by our condition. We are now about to apply the constitution to a region larger than the old thirteen States were when the Union was formed. Under such eigenstances, when I bear a man set up for himself to higher standard of morality and virtue than that of the fathers of the republie, and of morality and virtue than that of the fathers of the republic, and say that he can agree to no compromise—r, to use the earn of the time, that principles cannot be compromised—I think of the poet's exclamation, 'Ot!! For a forty-parson power to channt thy praise, Hypperisy!' Not a man came out of the convention that framed the constitution who had not objections to some part of! It was a compromise; and the same feeling which governed in its formation is, and ever will be, indispensable to its preservation. History has done justee to the motives and measures of those who have sustained this attempt to transmit it unimpaired to future generations.

As to so much of the bill as organizes a territorial-government in Orgon, it is in truth no matter of real controversy between the different seedens of the country. All men, northern and southern, northern and southern, northern and southern,

in Oregon, it is in truth no matter of real controversy fictween the different sections of the constructy. All men, northern and southern, agree that Oregon—containing, by the official estimate of the Land Commissioner, 3H,463 square miles, or 2H,536,230 arcs, equal in area to seven such States as New York—is, by her present laws, and ought to remain, free from slavery. There is no pretext set up by any man that this terretory ever out, by any possibility, be Nave labor could not there compete with free labor dissections them who had all their lives denued the constitutional power of Comerces to exclude slavery from a territory, while conceding this. Congress to exclude slavery from a territory, while conceding this, Congress to exclude slavery from a territory, while conceding this, respectfully assked of their firends not to put a clause in the organic law for that territory, expressly excluding slavery from it, and thereby prevent their firm voting for the bill. The only compromise in the bill was on this single point. It was agreed that this territory sloudl be organized by the appointment of a governor, three judges, a secretary, and a legislature to be elected by the fire white male citizens of the territory. These people were demonstrated prepared for self-government, while those of California clauses are the self-government, while those of California state, the self-government is the restriction where the self-government is the restriction where the self-government is the restriction of the self-government. by a legislature composed, as I have stated, of the governor, judges, and a secretary, or very much as the Northwestern Territory was organized by the ordinance of 1787. The compromise ritory was organized by the ordinance of 1787. The compromises was simply this. The present laws prohibiting slavery were, by the 12th section of the bill, to stand till the first session of the legislature of Oregon, and three months after. That legislature was, by the 6th section of the bill, to have power to pass all laws was, by the 6th section of the bill, to have power to pass all laws was, by the first properties of the territory, not inconsistent with the constitution or the organic haw itself. Of course we all knew the people of the territory, who are opposed to slavery, would, through their legislature, continue the restriction; and, to enable them fully to exercise their legislative power in this respect, the full continued the existing restriction; and, to enable them fully to exercise their elegislative power in this respect, the full continued the existing restriction there months beyond their first session. Those we do be stretched there months beyond their first session. striction three months beyond their first session. Those who de-nied the power to legislate (either in Congress or in the territorial legislature) to prohibit slavery, could vote for this proposition, be-cause the validity of any act of the territorial legislature depended on its constitutionality; and, upon the question, whether such an act could constitutionally abolish or restrict the institution of slavery, they did not commit themselves by voting for the bill. The southern concession, therefore, was only this that the present laws of Oregon should remain in force till a reasonable time after laws of Organ should remain in force till a reasonable time after the territorial legislature could act; the northern concession was, that the local legislature, instead of Congress, should make the law. The former would—the latter never could do it. This made the only compromise contained in the bill; lor I do not can the compliance with the constitution, in making the regulations to the compliance with the constitution, in making the regulations to the compliance with the contribution of the bill; lored to carry a case to the compliance with the compromise, as if does not include any con-cessing the cities, as or composition, as if does not include any con-

the appellatte court a compromise, as it does not include any con-cession by often party to the other; it merely acknowledges a constitutional duty, and coforces a constitutional right.

The Senator from New York [Mr. Dix] in the course of a long and ingenious speech against the bill, found fault with a remark made by me at the time I reported it, but by far the greatest portion of all these territories was properly adapted to free la-bor, and would necessarily be free soil forcer; yet that there was a portion of them where I ree labor never could be introduced. He thinks shower, on compile, with free sole it periods of them where rece make never could be introduced. He builds shave, can complete with free labor any where. I have not quite so high an opinion of it. The gendeman has no practi-cal howelvelge on the subject. I speak from my own observation, and eay, that in the beautiful country where I reside, although slavery is legalized and protecved, there are but about one hundred slaves in a population of about forty thousand sonls, because free labor is more profitable. The gentleman, like most others from his own section, who know little of slavery, except from books and the speculations of persons entertaing northern opinions, thinks and the speculations of persons entertaing northern opinions, thinks free labor can compete with slave labor on a rice plantation, in a sugar-mill, or in a cotton field. He sits now in the midst of men from the southern States, and if the will ask may one of them, they will tell him that his opinions are entirely erroneous and vision-ary. It was because I knew that portions of New Mexico and California, including the land of the "root diggers," and the parts

where the rains of heaven for months together do not bless the parched soil, and where irrigation fornishes the only means of watering the productions of the earth, never would be cultivated by white labor, that I expressed the sentiment to which the gentle-work of the production of the Sentant front Massachusetts, [Mr. Wessers all continuous that I have the state of the production of th portions of California slave labor could not be advantageously em-ployed, because the slaves sent to tend the herds would be less ployed, because the slaves sent to tend the herds would be less apt to return to their master than the herds themselves. Climate and production will sometimes make the laws which are to regulate the character of the labot to be employed in despite of us.—
The gentleman thinks he can legislate tree labor into any part of the earth. I crave to know of him, then, why it was that he did not legislate it into all that wast region of Texas lying south of 36° 30° D bill not the Senator give a vote—ays, a casting vote—in favor of the resolution which annexed Texas to the Union in 1845.7

[Mr. DIX, in his seat, assented.]

Mr. CLAYTON.-The gentleman admits that he did. vote a territory extending, according to his construction of its boundaries, from the Sabine to the Brayo, and from 36° 30' to the Gulf, (larger than six of his own great State) was made slave ter-Gulf, (larger than six of his own great State) was made slave ter-ritory forever. The resolution amexing "Texas contained the Missouri compromise in the very form which is now most objec-tionable to northern men. Yet he not only voted for it, but made an able speech for it, only three years ago! If he could apply the same or any other compromise line? Then, the gentleman, like same or any other compromise line? Then, the gentleman, like he friend from Connections, [Mr. Xiras] could doom a beautiful be friend from Connections, [Mr. Xiras] could doom a beautiful for five or six great States, to that very slavery which they denounce in no measured language, and which one Senator dis-clares to be an ineradicable curse. Why so great a change? The resolution amexing Texas by a simple act of legislation passed denomee in no mensured tanguage, and when one consolered cleares to be an ineradicable ourse. Why so great a change? The resolution annexing Texas by a simple act of legislation passed the Senate by a majority of one vote. It brought with it a war with Mexico which has resulted in the acquisition of the adjoining. territories of New Mexico and California. The honorable gentle man, like him from Connecticut, voted for the war, and spoke for the man, like him from Connectient, voted for the war, and spoke for the war; yoted for the reasty of nequisition, and spoke for the acquisition. I did not. Yet now when the territories have been acquired by their ciforts and design, and when the very worst evils predicted by myself and my friends as the inevitable consequences of the acquisition, are upon us; when that demon of discord ranges of the acquisition, are upon us; when that demon of discord ranges are million bill a year ago, as the legitimate fruit of this very accession of territory for which both these gentleme were then so auxions—instead of devising means to allay the evils resulting from their own conduct, they throw every impediment in the way so anxious—instead of devising means to alby the evil resulting from their own conduct, they throw every impediment in the way to any amicable settlement of our difficulties. This is the mode in which these gentlemen exhibit that hold and manyl spirit for the want of which the committee that reported this bill has been tanted! They ofter anothing to calm the tomult they have raised, but find fault with every thing which others can propose. The very men who show all responsibility themselves, yet venture to charge others with the want of courage to meet it. To the support of this bill of peace, the South has railed with other flower, and the support of the subtraction of the control of the support of the subtraction of the control of the support of the subtraction of the control of the support of the subtraction of the control of the support of the subtraction of the control of the support of the subtraction of the control of the support of the subtraction of the control of the support of the subtraction of the control of the support of the subtraction of the control of the support of the subtraction of the cannot refute their arguments to the satisfaction of his constituents, for if the southern opposition he right in their opinion of

stituents; for if the southern opposition be right in their opinion of the ultimate decision of the court, these northern gentlemen have rejected a bill which would bave made tho whole territory free? And do they not perceive that by their defeat of a bill which simply makes the regulations necessary to enable the court to exercese its appellate jurisdiction, they have refused to comply with a pal-pable constitutional injunction upon us all; denied to themselves and their southern brothren a constitutional right; and subjected themselves to the inference—aye, the forgone conclusion—that their argument about free soil in these terrifortes is a mockery. Are they afraid to try the question? What will—what must be the inference in the South from their conduct in defeating the bill?

what will be the probable consequence of denying to the South their constitutional right to try this question?

their constitutional right to try this question:
This, sir, is a question which I put with sorrow and alarm? I
am neither a northern man nor a southern man on this question.
I stand on middle ground. In the spirit of a request from the legislature of Delaware, I voted at the time the Mexican treaty was gislature of Deliware, I voted at the time the Mexican trenty was-before the Senact to extend the anti-slavery proviso in the ordi-nance of 1787 over the territories to be acquired by that treaty. The resolutions of the legislature of Delaware were not, as they have been represented to be, an instruction to vote for the proviso, but a request to vote against the acquisition of slave territory. It came from men whose opinions I respect—nea who had the wis-dom to foresee the discord and danger that would arise out of this dom to forese the discord and danger that would arise out of the an evil which now threatens us with disunion and civil war. They are no abolitionists. Neither are they the advocates of the overaare no ablitonists. Neither are they the advocates of the extension of slavery. In the spirit of their request I voted for the provise against slavery, when no other man from a slaveholding State

woted with me. Some ten or lifteen gentamen tran all the tomslaveholding States voted with me for that pools. On the treaty
my present colleage joined me in this vote and there were hut
threen Senators from all the North pot one-sail fer delegation
in the Senator is most like North pot one-sail fer delegation
in the Senator into flow [Mr. Ala EN] who voted with
me for the provision in the bills granting two and three notions in the
ice to first it in the respiration over the state school and the metion to insert it in the respiration tower to the state school in the
ice to insert it in the respiration to the spiration and all
dalupe Hidalgo. My votes, given in the spiration had a condiagon Hidalgo and the spiration of the spiration of the respiramen. In a Senate of fifty-six not scheep, there were were one meltmyself and my colleague, just in them for the spiration of the conmodel of the spiration o

to prevent the extersion of slavey in those territories by a dreat play of Congress. There is no more propect of that that of a majority vote in the other Hopse to introduce slavery into the criminal of the property of the theory of the congress of the that of the majority vote in the other Hopse slavery into the criminal of the prevention of the criminal of the c

on this subject. The proposition to limit the appeal and the writ of error as in other cases to matters of the value of \$2,000 came from the Senator from Kentucky himself. But the original jurisfrom the Senator from Kentucky husself. But the original jurisdiction of the court in cases of habens crypts had been settly as the deep settly the decision of that court, as has been shown by my from I from Vermont and others, and the committee saw elevarly hat a supercase could and would be made at the will of eather party which would settle the question at the next term of the court, other an application to the original or the appellate jurisdiction of the court, when the court at the pleasure of those unterested. I repeat again, however, that the full as it stood when it passed the Senate, give the court, in addition to its original forisheton, all facilities for these courts.

that the bill as it stood when it passed the Senate, gave the court, in addition to its original jurishieto, all facilities for the avereus of its power as an appellate tribunal, in all cases, with or reference to the amount in controversy, or the party who desired it. Having thus stated the course of the North and the South, in committee. I recur to the point of injury bow they treated this simple proposition to acknowledge a constitutional rigot existing in both when the bill cannot be acted upon it Congress. The truth is before the world. The North, the stronger party in the

other House-voted down the bill and refused to hear a proposicontent double down in the resolution to satisfy the minds of their southern brethren as to their constitutional rights, by even allowing them the constitutional privilege of an appeal to the common arbiter appointed by the great

lege of an appeal to the common arbiter appointed by the great-charter which makes them one nation.

Sir, this decision of the North—with which I had acted on the great question of the extension of slavery, and stood ready still to act, if by any possibility legislation could have been effective to control the result without danger to the Union—has been to be at the subject of grief and alarm. If any man had more treates, and called it any thing but a comprome the subject of the control that are the subject of grief and alarm. If any man had more treates, and called it any thing but a comprome the subject of the property of the parties the means of exercising a constitutional right to try the ju-parties the means of exercising a constitutional right to try the judictal question at law—not a voice would have been raised against it. The refusal to submit to the arbitrament of the very tribunal which the founders of the republic established—the scorn with which the constitution itself has been treated in the contemptuous repudiation of a sacred constitutional right to try the question. reputation of a sacret constitutional right to the Quantum made by one whole section of the Union—the contumely and reproach now poured out without stint or measure on both sections—and the shouts of victory over one section by another, as if a triumph had been achieved over a foreign foe, all announce not only unpul nau neen accureed over a toreign lost, all announce not only the dawning, but the perfect day, of an attempt to alienate one portion of the Union from the other. How and when is this suicidal madness to be arrested? It is now palpable that no bill of any kind to organize governments in the territories acquired from Mayion can provide the control of the contr Mexico can pass Congress at the present session, though ineffec-tual efforts will be made to pass one, and that he who shall atunal efforts will be made to pass one, and that he who shall attempt to stand between the contending sections in their hostic array, will be the first man struck down by both. Who will take the bazard of that position hereafter? Gentlemen both of the North and South will now go home and seek to sustain their respective grounds by inflammatory addresses to their constituents. The people will become excited, and their representatives will cure to these halls at the next session still more riverted in their turn to these halls at the next session still more rivetted in their opposition to one another. Before Congress can act on this question, it may run beyond the reach of any settlement. Is there not one look upon the approaching struggle without apprehension. The first youthron finding the doors of justice barred against him, may seek to storm them or enforce his claim by violence, and in that event the very first men to shirk responsibility will be those who have provoked this tempest by their violent denunciations of ull compromise and all justice. For myself, I confess that there is one object which I never could and never shall be able to contemplate in imagination without terror. It is that of my native land rent by discordant sectional factions—divided and toru into fragments, and finally drenched with fraternal blood. To avert that calamity, I will, at any time, sacrifice all other considerations, position could have possibly approached so near to a successful re-position could have possibly approached so near to a successful re-sult. In the future, therefore, amidst all the darkness and diffi-cilities of our position, we may finally find our safety in the judi-ciary, to which the constitution itself directs as: On the entabla-ture over the eastern portion of this expitol stand, in besulful reture over the eastern portice this capino stand, in Jeannal lief, the marble figures of hope, liberty, and justice. Hope, leaning on her anchor, is represented as inquiring of liberty how the constitution and the Union it secures may be best preserved? and liberty points to justice as her answer. The moral ought never tiberty points to justice as her answer. The moral ought never to forgotten. Let us look to the court which the charter of our liherties has established. That is the diamond which glitters through the gloom that surrounds us, and by that sacred light we may yet be directed to the preservation of our glorious Union; without which the hopes of all men who love liberty must sink in darkness forever.

Mr. CLAYTON then moved that the bill before the Senate be referred to the Committee on Territories,

Mr. DOWNS said that he had suggested a division of the terri-AIT. DOWN'S said that he due suggested a univolve the territory of Oregon into two governments, the one embracing that part below thirty-six degrees, and the other above. He would now give notice of his intention to move an amendment, which he sent to the chair, and which he asked to have printed, embracing the principle of the Missouri compromise.

Mr. BADGER rose to address the Senate.

Mr. KING said there was no question before the Senate.

This CHAIR stated the question to be on the motion by the Senator from Delaware to refer the bill to the Committee on Ter-

Mr. DICKINSON .- The question of reference is not debata-

Mr. CLAYTON.—I will withdraw my motion to refer the bill to afford my friend from North Carolina an opportunity of being

Mr. BADGER was proceeding to address the Senate, when

Mr. BUTLER called the Senator from North Carolina to or-der. There was no question before the Senate, and he asked that the rules should be observed.

Mr. YULEE .- If the Senator from North Carolina will give way, I will make a motion to permit him to proceed.

Mr. FOOTE hoped no friend of the compromise would interpose an objection to the freest discussion. He insisted that the Senator from North Carolina should proceed as the Senator from Delaware had been permitted to do

Mr. BADGER said he desired no permission. He would, for the sake of having an opportunity to say a few words, move that the bill be indefinitely postponed. He then gave, briefly, some of the reasons which had brought him to the conclusion that the compromise bill surrendered the rights of the South; and expressed his surprise that any northern man should have opposed it, or any southern man have given it his support. It was presenting a case to the Supreme Court which must be decided against the South.

Mr. BADGER then withdrew his motion that the bill he indefinitely postponed.

Mr. CLAYTON made a few observations in reply.

Mr. PHELPS inquired if there was any motion before the Sen-

The PRESIDENT pro tempore replied that there was a mo-tion that the bill be indefinitely postponed.

Mr. BADGER.-That is withdrawn

The PRESIDENT pro tempore.—The Senator from North

Objection was here made to the withdrawal of the motion.]

Mr. BADGER .- Is it the decision of the Chair that I cannot withdraw my motion without the unanimous consent of the Sen-

The PRESIDENT pro tempore .- It is.

Mr. BADGER.—Then I appeal from the decision.

Mr. HALE moved to lay the appeal on the table; and the question being taken, it was decided in the affirmative. So it was

Ordered, That the appeal lie on the table.

Mr. PHELPS expressed a hope that the bill would be referred Mr. PHELP'S expressed a nope that the bill would be reterred to a proper committee. He desired a free discussion, until the country should wake up and understand the merits of the bill. He was prepared to meet the responsibility of the position he had assumed; he was prepared to go lome and face his constituency. sumed; he was prepared to go lome and face his constituency, and explain his course to them, and unless he was grievously mistaken they would sustain and approve his action in the matter. He was deeply indebted to his friend from North Carolina, [Mr. BADGEA,] who had completely vindicated his [Mr. P.'s] course, when he said that the hill surrendered all the rights of the South In that case, he [Mr. P.;] could no longer be charged with surendering the rights of the North. He desired the bill to go to a committee, to look into this and other statements which had been made here and also wit to was not as a very longer thread from the North. plained his course, but it was not so : every breeze from the North assailed him and the bill.

The committee who had charge of the bill were sent there to perform certain duties. These duties were not to go to fisticuffs in the committee-room for sectional objects. He had a duty to his in the committee-room for sectional objects. He had a duty to his country to perform, and not a mere duty to a section. If any objected to the bill, let them submit such proposition as in their judgment would extricate us from the embarrassment in which we are placed.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore stated the question on the mution that the bill be indefinitely postponed.

Mr. HALE .- Does not the motion to refer take precedence? The PRESIDENT pro tempore .- In the opinion of the Chair,

Mr. MANGUM.—I move that my colleague have leave to withdraw his motion for the indefinite postponement of the bill.

Mr. BADGER .- I don't ask leave. The motion cannot be withdrawn without my consent

The question was then taken, on the motion of indefinite postponement, and decided in the negative, as follows

pouement, and decided in the negative, as follows:

YEA.—M. Yules—I.

NAYS—Mears, Allen, Alchison, Atherton, Budger, Baldwin, Bell, Benton,
Borlad, Bradbuy, Brgith, Butler, Clarke, Clayton, Cowins, Davis, of Masaschasetts, Davis, of Musinsippi, Dayton, Dockmon, Dot, Dodge, Dowas, Felch, Fitzerrail, Fonce, Green, Hale, Hamin, Honton, Johnson, of Mat, Johnson, of La,

Pholys, Schattina, Syranuce, Sturgeon, Turney, Upham, Walker, and Westcott.—4.

FOOTE, when his name was called, stated, by permission of the Senate, that he should vote in the negative, not be-eause he intended to commit himself in support of the bill, (for he eause as intended to commit aimsen in support of the only (for he knew nothing of its character,) but simply because he was of opinion that every bill from the House of Representatives ought to be received and treated with due consideration and respect.

So the motion that the bill be indefinitely postponed was reireted.

The question was then taken upon the motion by Mr. CLAY-TON that the bill be referred to the Committee on Territories, and it was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the bill be referred to the Committee on Terri-

#### CIVIL AND DIPLOMATIC BILL.

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill making appropriations for the civil and di-plomatic expenses of the government for the year ending on the 30th of June, 1849.

The question pending was upon agreeing to the amendment re-norted from the Committee on Finance to strike out the following elause

"For the removal of obstructions in the Savannah river, and the naval anchorage near Fort Pulaski, under the direction of the Secretary of War. fity thousand dollars."

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, moved to amend the clause by insecting after the word "obstructions," the words "caused by sinking wreeks in the defence of the city during the revolutionary war." war.

The question being taken upon agreeing to this amendment, it was determined in the acgative, as follows:

Y. C. S., S. S., Marco, Balley F. Badvey, Bell, Chicke, Chyton, Gorwin, Davis, of Max, Bayton, Dobres, Greene, Johnson, of Mayland, Johnson, Ottoviland, Metcalfe, Miller, Belgis, Spiranaes, Uplana, and Westellt.—18, A.Y.S.—Wenter, Allen, Arthon, Alberton, Berns, Marco, H. Badlany, Breene, A.Y.S.—Wenter, Allen, Arthon, Alberton, Berns, Bolley, Bonglei, Feldis, Fitzgendi, Foote, Hamilan, Hanagan, Houston, Hunter, King, Lever, Mangan, Mason, Niler, Rack, Sturgeon, Tarney, Valley, and Valles—31.

The question then recurred upon agreeing to the amendment reported from the Committee on Finance to strike out the clause

Mr. YULEE asked to be excused from voting, upon the ground that he had paired off with the Senator from Georgia, [Mr. Ber-

Mr. BENTON hoped the Senator from Florida would not be excused, and made a few remarks in opposition to the practices of pairing off.

The question being put—"shall the Senator from Florida be ex-used from voting on this amendment?" it was determined in the affirmative.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Georgia, then proceeded to state the rea sons by which he was governed in his intention to vote to strike out the appropriation, and was alluding to the opinion of the President of the United States on the subject, when

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, called the Senator from Georgia to order, on the ground that it was not in order to gite the opinion of the Executive to influence the action of the Senate.

After some debate, principally upon the point of order, the question was taken upon the amendment, reported from the committee, to strike out the clause and it was determined in the affirmative, as follows:

mattre, as notiones: YEAA"—Messes, Allen, At-bion, Atherton, Bertan, Bortand, Bradburt, Bleese, Allen, At-bion, Atherton, Bertan, Bortand, Bradburt, Calloon, Davis, of Mussiange, Deckinson, Dav. Bodge, Boogle, Boogle, Boogle, Selbert, Salono, Boogle, Teorge, Stevenson, Steve

So the amendment was not agreed to.

The question was then stated upon the amendment reported from the Committee on Finance, to insert the following

"For the adjustment and extinguishment, under the direction of the Secretary of State, of the claims of the Spanish government against the United States, recommended in the President's mes-sage, fifty thousand dollars."

Mr. BALDWIN addressed the Senate at much length a count the amendment.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, followed on the same side

The dehate was contined by Messrs. BADGER and BUTLER in favor of the amendment, and by Mr. HALE against it.

The question being taken upon agreeing to the amendment, it was determined in the affirmative as follows:

VEAS—More Archino, Alberta, Bolev, Rel, Buler, Dave of Muumpp Dayton, Dekinson, Dekinson, Dekinson, Dekinson, Dekinson, Dekinson, Dekinson, Olicowas, Foots, Hancegon, Runter, Johnson, of Louissay, Johnson, of Googles, King, Lewi, Mangum, Mann, Fearer Schattan, Totaer, Weterett, and Volce—34.

XAYS—More, Baldwin, Benton, Bright, Clinke, Clayton, Caron, Divis, of Massachusett, Dr., Greene, Hale, Hamila, Houseo, Johnson and Mayshad. Mrt. Calle, Miller, Mes. Real, Sprann. Underson Updam and Walks—32.

So the amendment was agreed to.

On motion.

The Senate adjourned.

# FRIDAY, AUGUST 4, 1848.

#### PETITIONS.

Mr. ATCHISON presented a memorial from the chief and heads of families of the Prairie band of the Pattawatomie trine of Indians, and another from the chiefs and heads of families of the Kickspo trike, asking that the prairie band of the Pottawatomies may be permitted to remain on the lands now occupied by them; which were referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

# THE "BUFFALO HUNT."

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, submitted the following resolution for consideration:

Resolved, That the President of the United States be requested to inform the Sentre, at the eathest period, whether he has any information that any citizes for editors that the United States, are expectation for revolutionate by force any part of the republic of Mexico, or to assist us-o doing; and, if he has, what is the extent of such preparation, and whether he has or a bound to take any steps to arrest the same.

#### CHICAGO COLLECTION DISTRICT

Mr. DIX, from the Committee on Commerce, to whom was referred the bill from the Honse of Representatives to annex that part of the State of Indiana bordering on Lake Michigan to the Chicago collection district, reported it without amendment

The Senate proceeded to consider said bill, as in Committee of the Whole, and no amendment being made, it was reported to the

Ordered, That it pass to a third reading.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved, That it pass

Ordered. That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

# IMPROVEMENT OF THE ST. CLAIR FLATS.

Agreeably to notice, Mr. WALKER asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill for the improvement of the St. Clair flats; which was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Commerce.

# PRIVATE BILL

Mr. DIX, from the Committee on Commerce, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives to refund a penalty remitted by the Secretary of the Treasury to John Hardrop, reported it without amendment.

The Senate proceeded to consider said bill as in Committee of the Whole, and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Spate

Ordered, That it pass to a third reading.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved, That it pass

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

# LICENSING OF YACHTS.

Mr. DIX, from the Committee on Commerce, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives to authorize the Secretary of the Treasury to license yachts, and for other purposes, reported it without amendment.

The Senate proceeded to consider said bill, as in Committee of the Whole, and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate

Ordered. That it pass to a third reading.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved, That it pass.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

# ORGANIZATION OF THE MARINE CORPS.

Mr. YULEE, from the Committee on Naval Affairs, reported a bill to establish the organization of the marine corps; which was read and passed to the second reading.

Mr. YULEE submitted documents relating to said bill, which were ordered to be printed.

# MAJOR JAMES M. SCANTLAND.

Mr. JOHNSON, of La., from the Committee on Pensions, to whom was referred the bill for the relief of James M. Scantland, reported it without amendment. The Senate proceeded to consider the said bill, as in Committee of the Whole, and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved. That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives theren.

# CATHERINE HOFFMAN.

On motion by Mr. FITZGERALD, the prior orders were postponed and the Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of Catherine Hoffman.

No amendment being made, the bill was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it pass to a third reading.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved, That it pass.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

#### ALABAMA RAILROAD.

On motion by Mr. KING, the prior orders were postponed and the Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill granting the right of way and a donation of the public lands to the State of Alabama for the construction of a railroad from Mobile to the mouth of the Ohio river.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, moved to amend the bill by adding a section granting the right of way and a donation of the public lands to the State of Mississippi, for the construction of a railroad from Jackson, through Brandon, to the Alabama line.

It being evident that the bill would give rise to debate, it was (in accordance with an understanding had when the bill was taken up) passed over informally.

# CIVIL AND DIPLOMATIC BILL.

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill making appropriations for the civil and diplomatic expenses of the government for the year ending the 30th of June, 1849

Mr. ATHERTON submitted several unimportant amendments; which were agreed to.

Mr. BADGER moved to amend the bill on the 14th page by striking out "\$40,522," and inserting "\$41,022," and adding at the end of the clause that the salary of the commissioner of pensions shall be \$3,000 per annum from and after the 1st of July, 1848.

Mr. BADGER explained that the object of this mendment was to place the officer at the head of the pension bureau, who was one of the most faithful and laborious officers in the service of the government, and whose duties had of late been largely increased, upon the same footing with respect to compensation as the assistant postmasters general and auditors.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, advocated the amendment, and hoped no objection would be made to it.

The amendment was agreed to.

Mr. BADGER moved further to amend the bill on page 34, by inscring between lines 810 and 811: "To enable the Secretary of the Senate to pay to James A. Houston for three hundred and lifty bound copies of the proceedings and debates of the Senate, for the present session, as published by him, and for an analytical index to the same, to furnish to the members of the House of Representatives and Senate, the sum of two thousand five hundred

The amendment was agreed to.

Mr. BADGER moved further to amend the bill by the insertion of an appropriation to pay the claim of David Taylor, who married a Cherokee Indian, for \$12,500 and interest.

After a brief debate, the amendment was agreed to.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, moved further to amend the bill by inserting an appropriation of \$10,000 for a survey of the Red river, with a view to the renoval of the land raft which obstracts the navigation: which, after some remarks from Messes. ATH-ERTON, JOHNSON, of Louisiana, SEBASTIAN, MET-CALFE, DOWNS, HUNTER, ATCHISON, ALLEN, DA-VIS, of Massachusotts, and FOOTE, was negatived. Mr. TURNEY moved further to amend the bill by inserting the following: "For the payment to Richard Fields of the balance due him on the certificate of the commissioners of Washington city, of June the twenty-fifth, eighteen hundred and forty-seven, awarded and decreed to him under the sixteenth article of the treaty with the Cherokee nation of Indians of eighteen hundred and thirty-five-'six, six hundred dollars.

The amendment was agreed to.

Mr. DOWNs moved further to amend the bill by adding the following section:

Sorr. India of the Internation, That the Secretary of the Treasury be, and, betterly registed to say onto any onto you the Treasury not otherwise appropriate between the property of the Treasury not otherwise appropriate below. Horse, Grago W. Stellman, and Googs Sout, dickets: from the Creek assisted findians, the sam of one hundred and forty one thousand and lifty five data of the same of the same

A debate ensued, in which Messrs. DOWNS, ATCHISON KING, FOOTE, ATHERTON and LEWIS took part.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Georgia.—This question has been unexpectedly brought to the consideration of the Senarte, and I therefore do not feel prepared to express an opinion with that confidence which alone could be the result of mature examination. I have not had my attention called to it until within the last half hour, and have not my attention called to it onto within the last hair noir, and have not lad time to read all the documentary evidence necessary to its full understanding. But from the slight investigation which I have been able to bestow upon the subject, I am far from believing that the Creck Indians have any well-founded demand against the government of the United States. The facts on which this alleged claim is founded are few, and by no means complicated. They are concisely stated in the report of the committee, from which 1

sure concentrations of the report of the committee, from which I will read:

"In 1890 Congress appropriated \$20,000 to held testile, with the Crock and Checkes, to extension that the Crock and Checkes, to extension that the Crock in the State of Georgia under the compact of 1992. Georgia was invited to read commissioners to the treaty, and sent them accordingly. All the parties, the Unided States, Greagia, and the Crock nation, the macrophysis of 1992. Georgia was invited to read commissioners to the treaty, and sent their tables, possented demands against the "reck nation for proposity districted their tables, possented demands against the "reck nation for proposity districted their tables, possented demands against the "reck nation for proposity districted their tables, possented demands against the "reck nation for proposity districted their tables, possented demands against the "reck nation for proposity districted their tables, possented demands against the "reck nation for proposity districted their tables, possented demands against the "reck nation for proposity districted their tables, possented demands against the "reck nation for proposity districted their tables, possented demands against the "reck nation for proposity districted their tables, possented their tables, pos

Now, after the payment of the \$108,944 09, to the Georgia claimants, according to the recommendation of the commissioners, there was a balance of the \$250,000 left in the hands of the go vernment to the amount of \$141,055 91, the question is to whom does this balance belong? To Georgia, or to the United States, or to the Creek Indians? The Creeks claim that it belongs to them, and it is proposed to appropriate that sum by this bill for its payment.
Sir, I maintain that this balance is not the property of the Creek

Sit, I maintain that this balance is not the property of the Creek Indians. That it is not is evident from the terms of the treaty, and of the agreement by which Georgia claims were submitted to the arbitrament of the President. I call the special attention of the Senate to the language of the 4th article of the treaty.

"And as a further consideration for an alrented of the treaty of the state of levels agree to just to the State of Geogra, whatever balance may be found the by the Cock me just to the State of Geogra, whatever balance may be found the by the Cock me just to the State of Geogra, whitever balance may be found the by the Cock may be considered and the Cock of the Cock of the State of the Cock of Cock of the Cock o

The Senate will observe that there is not a word in this article of the treaty which intimates that any balance which might remain of the \$250,000, after paying the Georgia claims, should be

paid to the Case, after paying the Georgia claims, should be paid to the Creek Indians.

But by reference to the articles of release executed by the commissioners of Georgia on the day of the treaty, it is obvious that nothing of the kind was contemplated or expected. It is as followed.

Where the strong is one means have done in a common one of the strong in the twen the United States and the Creek nation, by the person of we from the State have greed to pay as the outer one in the Creek nation, by the person of we cope for and on behalf of the critical of the State have provided by the Creek nation point to the year 1992, the pain of two bands and the Grant of the Creek nation point to the year 1992, the pain of two bands and the Grant of the Creek nation point to the year 1992, the pain of two bands and the Grant of the Creek nation of the

the Creekardon prior bull year Jeff? the min of two hundre and intercharged dollar and the Manager of the Manag

This instrument by its very terms shows that the \$250,000 were

This instrument by its very terms shows that the \$250,000 were secured by said treaty or convention to be paid to the State of Georgia." There is not a syllable which shows that it was intended that any balance should be paid to the Creeks. In reply to this, it is asked, why then did the parties agree to submit the investigation and adjustment of these claims to the arbitrament of the President of the United States! If it was designed to pay the \$250,000 to Georgia, where the necessity of an impare? Sir it was designed for the protection of the government, and not for the Creek Indians. They were content to receive \$250,000 for their lands—\$200,000 to be paid in money and \$250,000 in satisfaction of claims of the citrosets of Georgia for spolations. The faction of claims of the citrosets of Georgia for spolations. The faction of claims of the citrosets of Georgia for spolations. The Indians depend that that unumunt was due. But were withing to receive from the United States \$200,000 in money and a release from the Georgia claims, as a full satisfaction of the \$150,000. receive from the United States \$200,000 in money and a reclease from the Georgia claims, as a full satisfaction of the \$150,000, which was the price demanded for their hands. The United States agreed to pay the \$200,000 in money, and to assume the claims of the citizens of Georgia to the amount not exceeding \$500,000, provided the Georgia to annisisionists would upon that condition release the Creeks from those chains. It was done as the documents show. The reference, therefore to the President of the United States, was for the protection of the government. The government of the United States greed to pay as much as \$\$40,000,11 (but much should be touch to be due. It has given documents the property of the protection of the government of the most antigral interpretation of the treasments and the property of the propert plus spould accrue to the beneat of the Conted Gattes. It is would seem to be the most natural interpretation of the transaction, and if correct, shows clearly that the Creeks have no claim to any balance which might remain after paying the claims of the entirens

of Georgia.

Nor would it seem from the evidence that the Creek Indians understood at the time they were entitled to any such halance. The treaty was executed on the 8th January, 1821. The commissioners appointed by Mr. Monroe, then President, sat in 1821 and 1822 thereafter, and reported \$108,944 of to be due. It was paid out according to the terms of the treaty. The balance of the \$20,000 remained in the bands of the government from the trans of war of the properties of the first time down to the Prisid of words of Prisid of the prisident of delay in the assertion of ther rights, if these Indians believed were entitled to it? It is not pretended that they were ignorant of it. The commissioners sat in Georgia, by agreement, of which they The commissioners sat in Georgia. by agreement, of which they were informed; and they must have known what amount was allowed, and consequently what balance remained after paying the award 'g' the commissioner. Their long delay, therefore furnishes strong proof that they did not understand the transactions at the Indian Springs at the time of the execution of the treaty, as securing to them any right to the balance, after paying the Georgia claims, if less than \$250,000 should be found due.

The State of Giorgia certainly never so understond the transaction, for site remonstrated from the beginning agoinst the award of the commissioners, and should be found the commissioners, and should be found the state of the commissioners, and Should be found the state of the commissioners, and Should be found the state of the commissioners, and Should be found the state of the commissioners, and Should be found to the commissioners, and should be supported the state of the commissioners and the state of the state of

terwards, to reopen and readjust the decision made by the form er." Whon General Jackson came into office the application of Georgia was again renowed; but it seems he did not feel himself authorized to reopen the commission. Finally, in 1834, the State of Georgia referred the matter to Congress by memorial. It was referred to the Committee on Indian Allairs, who examined tho-roughly into all the facts of the case and presented an able report, from which I read the following extract:

from which I read the following extract:

"If muse of the claims parable farther the treats remained will unasse," a sussequent of the claims of the claims of the claims of the claims. If it is being a subject to the freely, with the transition of holiums? If it is being very large of the claims of the claims

who represented the claimants, and presented to the eregutating patters a hat of claims of citzens of Georgia, the evidence of which had been cellected under the as permaturing a committee and eighty thorsand clother, are the production of the committee and eighty thorsand clother, merel the appropriate and eighty thorsand clother, merel the appropriate are a want efficiently large to cover the sharm, which might be exhabilised against the Creak nations, which the commissions are the part of the United States inhorse for the action, which the commissions are the committee and the content of the committee of the

This proves clearly the view which was taken of the subject by the committee; and it was sustained by the judgment of the Conthe committee; and it was sustained by the judgment of the Con-gress of the United States. On the 20th 1 June, 1834, they passed an act which authorized "the President to cause to be adjusted and paid to full indemnity, out of any money in the Triesarry not otherwise appropriated, all claims of the citizens of Georgia, un-der the 4th article of the treaty of Junaury, 1821, which have not been heretofore adjusted and post of the following principles: All citains which have not been heretofore adjusted and paid, All claims which have not been herefolore adjusted and paid, founded or the capture and detention, or destruction of property by said (Creek) Indians, prior to the act regulating the intercourse with the Indian tribes, it statisfactorily established, shall be allowed and paid.<sup>31</sup> This is the highest evidence that, in the judgment of Congress, the Creek Indians were not entitled to the balance which they now claim, of more than \$141,000.

On the 2d of March, 1835, shortly after the passage of the act just referred to, the whole sum of \$141,055 09 was paid over to Wilson Lumphin, they agreeged of Serger.

Wilson Lumpkin, then governor of Georgia.

Upon this state of facts two questions are raised by those who favor the claim now set up by the Creek Indians. In the first place it is contended that the report of the first commissioner, according to the terms of the original agreement between the Creeks cording to the terms of the original agreement between the Creeks and the Georgia commissioners, was final and conclusive, and that the Congress of the United States had no right to pass the act of 1834. The reply to this is obvious and satisfactory. That Congress had the same right to judge which this has. That Congress had the same right to judge which this has. That Congress had the same right to judge which this has. That Congress that the same right to judge which this has. That Congress that the same right to judge which this has been dislicated according to the substantial intention of the parties.

\*\*Assis: If the finals slid not, as I contend, belong to the Creeks.

Again : If the funds did not, as I contend, belong to the Creeks, they cannot complain of the want of authority in Congress to re-open the coromission. If the fund belonged to the United States, and they thought proper to pay it to Georgia in the manner in

and they inedge proper to pay it to Googian in the measure in which they did, these Indiana cannot compain i, they have suffer-ed no injustice—sustained no damage. In the second place, it is said that the \$141.055,09 was paid over in solido, to the State of Georgia, "without any examination into the claims, as required by the set; and that no returns of the into the demands, as required by the art's and that no returns of the proceedings, in deciding on these claims, or in distributing the find, has ever been filed. It is proposed to the proper that the balance was paid to Gowern Lumpkin, under the advice of Mr. Battler, then Attorney General of the United States. It may not be amies to refer to his letter. Being shart, I will read :

Sign. The advance to the governor of Georgia, under the "Georgia State, 1 (Sec. 1) (1) (Sec. 1) (Sec. B E, BUTLER.

Mr. UNDERWOOD .- I would be glad if the Senator would state whether Georgia paid, after the receipt of this money by the governor, any of the claims that had not been acted upon?

Mr. JOHNSON—I am not able to inform the Senator, from any personal knowledge I have of the subject. These were transactions which occurred before I had any connexion with public afactions when occurred before I had any connexton with public afiairs. But I will undertake to express the confident opinion that the find was faithfully disbursed in payment of bona fide claims of citizeos of Georgia. I have not a doubt of it—Indeed, we are to presume this, even in the absence of any evidence whatever. It is not to be supposed that a high functionary. like that of the Ex-centive of Georgia, would have failed to appropriate faithfully the money thus received from the federal government.

But, sir, let it be admitted, for the sake of argument, that the award of the first commissioner was technically conclusive, and that, therefore, Congress had no right to open it. Let it be first ther admitted that technically the Indians were entitled to the bather admitted that technically the Indians were entired to the ba-lance after paying the amount so awarded. Still, is substantial, justice has been done. If, after all, the fund has been disbursed in payment of bona fide claims for which it was designed, these Indians have no right to complain. They did not demand this ba-

lance for the space of seven years—set up no claim to it whatever—Georgia protested against the award from the time of its rendition-alleged that there were many valid claims rejected by the commissioner, and claimed the re-opening of the commission — Upon a full and calm consideration of all the facts. Congress, by a deliberate act, del authorize the re-opening. The misses has been paid out faithfully by the State of Georgia, though perhaps not in rigid conformity to the mode designated by the caracing parties. It has been paid for the object for which the Creeks were willing it should be paid. Substantial justice, therefore, has been done. The money had been faithfully and tully discovered to the control of the caracing parties of the caracing Sir, in every sensible point of view in which this subject can be viewed. I feel constrained to vote against this claim,

It seems to me, under this view of the subject, that there is, so It seems to me, under this view of the singlect, that there is, so far at least, doubt as to the propriety of paying this money. The Senate ought to hesitate, and give the matter consideration, and not introduce it into an appropriation bill at the very close of the session, when there is not time to investigate the merits of the

YLAN — Messe, Adelson, Belger, Baldonn, Bell, Butter, Dave, of Mos., Div. Deep Four terms, Balk, Butten, Hutter, Jabonen, of Val. Dateson, of Lar, J. evs., Mason, Miller, Aide, Fliele, Schaston, Walker, and Valee—23. XAYS. Mess. Allen, Atheton, Reiton, Bolland, Bradbury, Breese, Bught, Valham, (Yarke, Curvun, Fech, Johnson, od. R., King, Metcalle, Peace, Sprance, Surgeon, Turney, and Valerwand, Charles, Computer, Sturgeon, Turney, and Valerwand, Sturgeon, Sturney, and Valerwand, Sturgeon, Sturney, and Valerwand, Sturgeon, Sturney, and Valerwand, Sturgeon, Sturney, Sturney,

So the amendment was agreed to.

Mr. DOWNS moved further to amend the bill by adding the following:

following:

Six. 4. Indule at farther souted, That the Secretars of the Teasury be, and be as hereby equined to pay, out of any money in the Treasury and otherwise agreems etcl., in the Ceck anima of Indusis, or to the other of the delegation of Indusis, the Ceck anima of Indusis, or to the other of the delegation of Indusis, that there and one that cears, with minered on said amount at the rave of frequen-per cent per anomal from the filst of December 1925, until the same shall be prod, in actication of the claims of theteror branders "treek Indus compents," from the handred and twenty mee, by Colonel Cowell, noted the conduct and cantel of Lattler Blake, as provided in the minth author) the treaty of twenty-front by Jan-money shall be paid stall make proof, the the action of the Productor of the United States, that they have full power to receive and recept for the same. And pro-rietal, slow, That vaid money shall be paid only to condution that a visicale be fit is count of the congration of such there hundred Creek Indians.

More above the congration of such there hundred Creek Indians.

Mr. HUNTER, moved to amend the amendment by striking out the following words "with interest on said amount at the rate of five per cent. per annum from the 31st of December, 1829, until the same shall be paid."

After further debate-

The question being taken upon agreeing to the amendment to the amendment, it was determined in the affirmative, as follows : YFAS —Mess. Allen, Atherton, Badeer, Reil, Benton, Boriand, Brailbury, Burese, Bught, Builet, Calhona, Cameron, Gorvan, Davis, of Massachusetts, Davis, of Mus. Dr., Donglas, Feldi, Foot, Hunter, Johnson, of Md., Johnson, Atcelife, Miller, Nides, Pearre, Pielep, Schattan, Spriance, Sturgen, Tunery, and Underscool.—M. Caleke, Deckmont, Haller, Natara, Service, Marchael, March

The amendment, as amended, was then agreed to.

Mr. DIX moved further to amend the bill by inserting the following:

Towing: The construction and equipment of vix revelue cutters, the strain of muer's than cand admixe the said strain to be exceeded muter the direction of the Scientary of the temperature of the Scientary of the best of the Scientary of the best dead of the Scientary of the Scientary of the Creatury, before commencing the construction of any revenue cutter, to give public nature for at least thirty days in two or more paid newsparper published at the cuty of Washington, and in sorth other purpose public newsparper public and the cutter of the Scientary of the S

Mr. D1X explained, that this addition to the revenue service was rendered necessary in consequence of eight revenue steamers having become unfit for service and withdrawn from it

Mr. ALLEN was opposed to the amondment, but he was not disposed to make a speech at that late hour, and would content himself with calling for the yeas and nays.

The question being taken on agreeing to the amendment, it was determined in the negative, as follows:

Y. E. K. Merre, Arbiton, Alliertan, Badger, Baddon, Bell, Benjan, Beshari, Bandon, Bers, Balle, Chin, Baddon, Bers, Balle, Chin, Baddon, Bers, Balle, Chin, Baddon, Ba

So the amendment was agreed to

Mr. DOWNS moved further to amend the bill by a provision that the district judge of Louisiana should receive eight hundred dollars annually for certain extra services imposed upon him so long as said duties were required to be performed.

The amendment was advocated with much carnestness by Messrs, DOWNS and JOHNSON, of La.; when

Mr. UNDERWOOD moved that the Senate adjourn.

And the question being taken, it was decided in the negative as

YFAS.—Meur, Arthorn, Edge, Balder, Benlen, Breese, Buler, Clarke, Cowen, Dave, of Mass, Lyton, holge, Gernes, Johnson, of Marrhan, Mason, Miller, Metalle, Niles, Pence, Phelip, Taron, Johnson, Miller, Metalle, Niles, Pence, Phelip, Taron, Allen, Alternol, Bell, Galdanf, Reith, Dave, of Mas, NaX-S.—Mens, Allen, Alternol, Bell, Galdanf, Reith, Dave, of Mas, Deek vanon, Das, Deegks, Down, Felds, Foots, Risk, Hanagran, Houston, Johnson, Christope, and Vinles—23.

After further debate-

The question was taken upon agreeing to the amendment proposed by Mr. Downs, and it was determined in the negative.

So the amendment was not agreed to. Mr. MASON moved further to amend the bill by inserting the

following:

For parment to Joseph Graham the sum of one thousand four hundred and sixty dollars, and to George Lee Beart the sum of two them and one hundred and fifteen dollars, in fall commentation for their vertices units experts as special agents and bear ero of despatche from Burnor Ayres to Paragray, in the vest 1816, on a musion in mediator of the United States to adjust the state of the State of Paragray, which we will be the state of the State of Paragray; each to the tree the Argentine Confederation and the State or Province of Paragray;

The amendment was agreed to.

Mr. HANNEGAN moved to amend the bill, at page 44, in the 1071st line, by inserting an appropriation of \$1,497 37 to pay Com-

30TH CONG .- 1ST SESSION-No. 126.

modore James Biddle for diplomatic services as acting commisstoner to China.

After a protracted debate in which Messrs Hale Hannegan, Dayron, Badger, and Allen, took part-

Mr. HALE moved to amend the amendment by adding the cords, "deducting therefrom his pay as captain," which was not

After further debate, as which Messis. Down, Niles Davis, of Massachusetts, King, Breese, Badger, Hannegan and Pearce, took part—

The question was taken upon agreeing to the amendment, and it was determined in the negative, as follows.

V.G.S.—Movie, Belger, Baltwin Clarke, Coroni Davi. Mas. 50—5.

Banneron, Johnson en Marshall Jahowson of London.

SAYAS—Me et Allen, Allen and Jahowson of London.

SAYAS—Me et Allen, Allen and Delegation of More Repetition of Marshappi, Baston. Dickness of Delegation in the Protect Hale, Hamilton, Hauston Jahowson of Geogras Kine, Maon. Mos. 116 vol.

Protect Hale, Hamilton, Hauston Jahowson of Geogras Kine, Maon. Mos. 116 vol.

Salas, Sakabara Separase, Suppose, Terray Indexeson Wiles, and V. 1888.

So, the amendment was not agreed to

On motion,

The Senate adjourned.

# SATURDAY, AUGUST 5, 1848.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, presented a memorial of W. Hamilton and others, praying the purchase of Mount Vernon by the government.

Ordered. That it lie on the table.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusotts, presented the memorial of the legal representative of A. H. Everett, decoased, praying to be allowed, in the settlement of his accounts, certain items for office rent, expenses, and difference of exchange; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Helations.

Mr. HANNEGAN presented the memorial of Charles E. Anderson, late secretary of legation at Paris, praying to be allowed the pay of a Charge des Affaires during the time that he performed the duties of that office

Ordered, That it lie on the table.

On motion by Mr. BENTON, it was

Ordered. That on and after Monday next, the Senate will meet or 11 o'clock in the morning.

## THE OREGON BILL.

Mr. DOUGLAS, from the Committee on Territories, to whom Mr. DOUGLAS, from the Committee on Territories, to woom was referred the bill from the House, for the establishment of a Territorial Government in Oregon, reported it with amendments, and gave notice that he should call up the bill for consideration, on Monday next, at twelve o'clock, for which hour he asked that it might be made the special order; which was agreed to.

The amendments were ordered to be printed, and are as fol-

Page 8, line 11, after the word "effect," insert "Provided, That no act of the Territorial Legislature shall become a law until approved by the Governor.

Page 17, hne 1, after the word "that," insert, "inasmuch as the said territory is north of the parallel of 36° 30' of north lati-tude, usually known as the 'Missouri compromise.'"

Page 19, lines 22, 23, strike out the words "2,500 dollars," and insert "the highest amount now allowed to a member of Con-gress from any State of the Union."

Page 23, section 24, line 5, strike out the words "at Nisqually," and insert "in Puget's Sound

## WIDOWS! PENSIONS

Mr. JOHNSON. of Louisiana, from the Committee on Pen-sions, to whom was referred the bill to revive a portion of an act for the relief of the widows of deceased soldiers, reported it with an amendment

## BOUNDARY BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES AND MEXICO.

Mr. HANNEGAN, from the Committee on Foreign Relations reported a bill to provide for carrying into effect the fifth article of the treaty between the United States and the Republic of Mexico, for establishing the boundary line between the same; which was read the first and second times, by ununimous consent, and considered as in Committee of the Whole.

On motion by Mr. HANNEGAN, it was

Ordered, That the further consideration of the bill be postponed until Monday next.

### ADVERSE REPORTS.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the Committee on Pensions, submitted adverse reports on the petitions of Sarah Tyler, of John Staner, and of Nancy Jillson; which were ordered to be printed.

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on Pensions be discharged from the further consideration of the petition of Amaziah Goodwin.

# PRIVATE BILL

Mr. SEBASTIAN, from the Committee on Private Land Claims, to whom was referred the bill from the House for the relief Shadrach Gillett and others, reported it without amend ment.

The Senate proceeded to consider said bill, as in Committee of

the Whole, and no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it pass to a third reading.

The said bill was read a third time. Resolved. That they concur therein.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the Honse of Representatives accordingly

#### BARQUE MARY THERESA.

Mr. DIX, from the Committee on Commerce, to whom was re ferred the bill from the House of Representatives authorizing the issuing of a register to the barque Mary Theresa, reported it without amendment.

The Senate proceeded to consider said bill, as in Committee of the Whole, and no amendment being made, it was reported to the

Ordered. That it pass to a third reading

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved, That this bill pass.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representa-

# OVERFLOWED LANDS IN ARKANSAS.

On motion by Mr. BORLAND, the prior orders were postponed, and the Senate proceeded, as in Committee of the Whole, to the consideration of the bill to grant to the State of Arkansas certain unsold lands subject to overflow, for purposes of internal improvement, education, and for other purposes.

Mr. BORLAND explained, that there was about 4,807,000 acres of land of this description in the State of Arkansas, which were entirely useless, and without value in their present condition. Before they could be brought into cultivation, an embankment nust be made. In the hands of the State this would be accom-plished, and some important public works would be completed without application for aid to the general government.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, asked what was the quantity of lands of this description in the adjoining States, as well as in Ar-

Mr. BORLAND replied, that the total amount was about twen-

Mr. CALHOUN thought that the general government ought to do something for the purpose of reclaiming this immense mass of unsaleable and useless lands. He had reported a bill for tha purpose, and he hoped this matter would not be pressed until he could call up his bill, which he would do next session.

Mr. YULEE thought there might be some doubt as to the power of the government according to the view of the Senator from South Carolina. The best way woold be to pass this bill, to only a portion of which he had any objection.

Mr. WESTCOTT stated that there were four millions of acres of land similar in their character, in Florida, and he was glad to find that there was some prospect of their being reclaimed and made of value. He hoped the condition would be stricken out.

Mr. YULEE moved to strike out the conditions by which the State bound herself to a certain specified disposition of the pro-

Mr. NILES felt some alarm at the extent of the donation.

On motion by Mr. ATHERTON, the hill was laid on the table for the present.

# MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by Mr. Campbell, their Clerk

Mr President. The House of Representatives have passed the bill from the Sen-

### CIVIL AND DIPLOMATIC BILL.

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the consideration of the bill making appropriations for the civil and diplomatic expenses of the government for the year ending the 30th of June, 1849.

Mr. HANNEGAN moved to amend the hill by inserting, after the 1071st line, the following: "For compensation to Stanhope Prevost, consol of the United States at Lima, for diplomatic ser-vices rendered in the absence of the charge d'affaires from that country, the sum of one thousand eight hundred dollars.'

The amendment was agreed to.

Mr. HANNEGAN moved further to amend the bill by inserting a clause appropriating "for compensation to Charles E. Anderson for services as acting charge d'affaires at Paris during the absence of the United States minister, \$1,606 67."

Mr. UPHAM asked where General Cass was at this time ?

Mr. HANNEGAN .- On an excursion to the Holy Land,

Mr. MILLER said this was the first case of an application for extra pay, while the minister was only temporarily absent on an excursion

Mr. HANNEGAN believed it had been always customary to make such an allowance.

Mr. KING said that no such practice had, within his knowledge prevailed. It certainly was not so in his case, and he had been sometimes absent from Paris for a month or two, visiting various parts of the continent for recreation. He thought the precedent a dangerous one.

After a few words from Mr. DAYTON and Mr. MASON-

Mr. HANNEGAN said he had merely presented the amendment for consideration. It was for the Senate to decide as to its adoption or rejection.

The amendment was not agreed to.

Mr. HANNEGAN moved further to amend the hill by insert-ing the following: "For compensation to William M. Blackford, as charge d'affaires of the New Grenada, the sum of one thousand one hundred and twenty five dollars, being the usual return allow. ance to charges des affaires to foreign courts.

The amendment was agreed to.

Mr. ALLEN moved further to amend the bill, by adding a section requiring the Secretary of State to pay to J. B. Brown, Dragoman at Constantinople, the difference between his salary as Dragoman and minister or charge des affaires, during the time he acted as minister.

After a brief debate, in which Messrs. ALLEN, KING, ATH-ERTON, and BADGER took part, the question was taken upon agreeing to the amendment, and it was determined in the nega-tive.

So the amendment was not agreed to.

Mr. UNDERWOOD moved further to amend the bill by inserting the following: "For paying Joshua Dodge, late special to-bacco agent of the United States to the various governments of Enrope, four thousand five hundred dollars, in full compensation coroge, our thousand the numered dollars, in full compensation for his services, and for contingent and other expenses incurred by him during the period of his three missions as said special tobacco agent, and for services rendered to the tobacco interest of the United States?

After a brief debate-

'The amendment was agreed to.

Mr. HANNEGAN moved further to amend the bill by insert ing the following : " For the expenses of running and marking the boundary line between the United States and Mexico, and paying the salaries of the officers of the commission, a sum not exceeding fifty thousand dollars.

The amendment was agreed to.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, moved further to amend the bill mr. JOHNSON, or Maryland, moved fortuer to amend the offi in the 701st line, by adding. "It his sum heretofore carried to the surplus fund from an appropriation for the survey of the coast from Apalachicola, Florida, to the month of the Mississippi, and now reappropriated, four thousand and seventy dollars."

The amendment was agreed to.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, by direction of the Committee on Commerce, moved further to amend the bill by inserting an appropriation of ten thousand five hundred dollars to reimburse the New York, Boston and Providence Rail Road Company, and New Jersey Steam Navigation Company, for the expenses of maintaining a light boat on Eel grass shoals.

The amendment was agreed to.

Mr. YULEE, by direction of the Committee on Naval Affairs, moved further to amend the bill, by inserting the following: "For rent of additional offices and fuel, for the Navy Department, three thousand dollars.'

The amendment was agreed to.

Mr. YULEE moved further to amend the bill, on page 19, line 445, by inserting the following: "Providea, That when a captain in the navy shall be the chief of the bureau, he shall receive the the same pay to which he would be catitled if upon other duty.

The amendment was agreed to.

Mr. YULEE moved further to amend the bill by inserting an appropriation of four thousand dollars towards the construction of a large telescope at the Washington Navy Yard.

After a brief debate,

The amendment was disagreed to.

DIX moved further to amend the bill, on page 20, after 74, by inserting the following . "For payment of expenses Mr. DIX moved lutrier to amond, the bill, on page 20, after the 471, by interring the following. "For payment of expenses of frigate Macedonian whilst employed under a re-tolation of Congress in carrying provisions to Ireland, such same (act exceeding sixteen thousand dollary) as the accounting efficiency of the Treast, repeared with a settling the accounts of the navy, under the direction of the Secretary of the Navy, may find to have been netually paid by the commander, making no allowance for his pay, which he declines to receive; and deducting from the whole amount paid by him the carmings of the shirt.

After a debate, in which Messrs. BRIGHT, DIX, WESTCOTT MILLER, YULLE, FOOTE, DAYTON, PLARCE, BADGER BUTLER, ALLEN, PHELPS, HOUSTON DICKINSON NILES, and MANGUM, took part.

The amendment was agreed to.

Mr. MASON moved further to amend the bill by inserting the following: "For paying to Thomas Jetlerson Randolph, executor of Thomas Jetlerson deceased, the sum of twenty thomas dollars, for all the papers and manuscripts of the said Thomas Jefferson: Provided, Tyan said I. G. Randolphi shall deposite all the said papers and manuscripts of a public nature in the State Department, and execute a conveyance thereof to the United

"For printing and publishing the said papers and manuscripts the sum of six thousand dollars, or so much thereof as may be necessary: Provided. The said printing and publishing be done under the authority of the Join Committee on the Library, the whole or any part thereof to be printed as the said committee may di-

Mr. MASON briefly advocated the amendment.

Mr. PEARCE concurred with the Senator from Virginia, in Mr. PEARCE concurred with the Senator trum rugons, what he had said, and, with his permission, would submit a modification of the amendment by adding the following: "And a like conditions of the same conductions of sum for the purchase and printing under the same condition the papers and manuscripts of the late Alexander Hamilton.

Mr. MASON accepted the modification. He was not acquainted with the character of the papers, but he was well acquainted with the character of Alexander Hamilton, and should vote for the pur-

Mr. ATHERTON was opposed to the insertion of the amend ment in this bill. It ought to be considered in a separate bill

After debate, in which Messrs. CALHOUN, HUNTER JOHNSON, of Maryland, PEARCE, ATHERTON, KING BREESE, and others took part, the question was taken upon agreeing to the amendment, as modified, and it was determined in the affirmative, as follows:

YEAN—Mees Balwer, Balwer, Bohned Clabe, Cowin, Darton, Bolge, Dower, Power, Streen, Hausen, Hartson, Hauter, Jahouse, Boldeson, Oddison, Dower, Event, Hausen, Hartson, Hauter, Jahouse, Dalleson, Oddison, Charleson, Maryon, Marcon, Mercalle, Miller, Teoree, Pelejo, Schanker, and Uphane M. Arberon, Mercal, Miller, Meene, Pelejo, Schanker, Davie, Off Musaiappi, Delmon, Dav., Alberon, Bower, Dagis, Hatler, Collison Davie, of Musaiappi, Delmon, Dav., Felch, Fargerald, Hall, Hautin, Johnson, of Geogra, Aller, Strigon, Tramy Wilker, and Vuleva-18.

So the amendment, as modified, was agreed to.

Mr. BENTON moved further to amend the bill by striking ont the following clause: "For payment of the first volume of the fifth series of the Documentary History, under contract with the Secretary of State, twenty-four thousand three hundred and twenty-seven dollars.

After debate, the question was taken on agreeing to the motion to strike out, and it was determined in the affirmative, as follows

YEAS.—Messe, Allen, Atchson, Benton, Bonhan, Brahlung, Brees, Bright Calloon, Davie, of Mississipa Dekimon, Dr. Dolge, Down, Feld, Frigorald Humin, Johnson of Gongel, King Lexiv, Mas, Settatian Surgeon, Tures Walker, and Yufer—25. AAYS.—Messe, Atherian, Badger, Baldwan, Unite. Coron, Davie, of Mas sucho-ett, Dayton, Foole, Geome, Hospiton, Johnson, of Maryland, Johnson, o Lomann, Maley, Coron and Palmar.

Mr. BORLAND moved further to amend the hall by inserting an appropriation of thirty thousand dollars for continuing and com-pleting the surveys and explorations of J. C. Fremont in Oregon

The amendment was agreed to.

Mr. DICKINSON moved further to amend the bill by insorting an appropriation to pay for advertising deserters in the Nationa Police Gazette, such, on not exceeding three thousand dollars, the Secretary of War may direct.

The amendment was disagreed to. Ayes 9, Noes 27.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, moved further to amend thebil by inserting an appropriation to pay Joseph II. Warren, for his services as clerk in the office of the Soliettor of the Treasnry while rated as a messenger.

After debate-

The amendment was not agreed to.

Mr. MILLER moved further to amend the bill, by insertings dlowing: "For repairing that portion of the City Hall nowe: following: "For repairing that portion of the City Hall nowoccupied by the courts of the United States, five thousand dollars Provided, That the corporation of the city of Washington shall appropriate a like amount for their half."

The amendment was not agreed to.

int. DENIALON moved turther to amend the bill, by inserting the following: "For compensation to Dr. Torry, of Princeton, New Jersey, for his services in classifying and superintending the congraving, and defraying the expenses of engraving the lotanical specimens brought us from California, Oregon, and the West, by J. C. Fremont, the sam of \$4,000."

The question being taken on agreeing to the amendment, it was determined in the negative, as follows:

VEAS .- Meists, Bell, Botland, Breese, Dayton, Johnson, of La., Miller, and

Pearter—7.
NAYS—Mestr. Allen, Atchison, Athenton, Badger, Berrien, Bright, Butler, Calhonn, Clarke, Cotwin, Davis, of Massachnestts, Davis, of Massacpin, Deckin-on, Dix, Douglas, Felch, Hannergen, Homison, Hunier, Johnson, or Md., Johnson, of Georgia, King, Lewis, Mason, Mctealfe, Sebastian, Spraance, Sturgeon, Tanney, and Walker—301

So the amendment was not agreed to.

Mr. HANNEGAN moved further to amend the bill, by inserting the following: "For compensation to Robert P. Boyd, in lieu of his present compensation, forty dollars per month, as watchman of the Post Office Department."

The amendment was agreed to.

Mr SEBASTIAN said he had an amendment to offer which would probably give rise to discussion. He therefore moved that the Senete adjourn.

The motion was not agreed to.

Mr. SEBASTIAN then moved an amendment that the sum of fifty thousand dollars, held by the government in trust for the old settled party of the Cherokee nation he paid over to the chiefs or agents of that party for such creditors as have substantiated their claims.

After debate -

The amendment was not agreed to.

Mr. HANNEGAN moved further to amend the bill by inserting the following: "For compensation to a door-keeper for the President of the United States six hundred dollars."

Mr. HANNEGAN explained that the President paid the exenses of a door-keeper out of his own pocket. Martin who was, well known to the members as the obliging incumbent of that post, received but twenty dollars a month on which to support a large

Mr. YULEE moved to amend the amendment by adding a messenger at the same salary.

Mr. DAVIS of Mississippi asked if the President was privy to this application.

Mr. HANNEGAN replied, certainly not. So far from it the President had dismissed his messenger for having had a memorial presented to the Senate asking an increase of compensation. He had urged the President to re-instate him. It was not for the President, it was for the faithful men that he asked for this appropriation.

After further debate, the question was taken on agreeing to the amendment and determined in the negative, as follows:

YEAS. Mesrs, Badger, Baldwin, Borland, Butler, Douglas, Greene, Hale, Han negat, Honston, Jonnson, of Maryland, Mee, Sebastian, Westorf, and Yulee Mi. Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control schools, David Messayer, business, Deckman, Maryland, Mar

So the amendment was not agreed to.

Mr. FELCH moved further to amend the hill by inserting the iollowing: "For repairs of the court-house at Detroit, by William R. Noyes, three hundred and fifty-five dollars and thirteen cents."

Mr. CLARKE inquired why these repairs had not been paid

Mr. FELCH replied that it was not one of that class of expenses which the Treasury thought they were authorized to pay

Mr. WESTCOTT suggested a modification of the amendment so as to read as follows: "For reparts of the United States cont-house at Detroit, heretoiner made by William R. Noyes, under the direction of the marshal, such amount as the Treasury decide

Mr. FELCH accepted the modification, and the question being taken on agreeing to the amendment as modified it was determined in the affirmative.

So the amendment was agreed to.

Mr. ATHERTON moved furthe, to amend the bill on page 19 by striking out "five thousand eight hundred," and inserting "nine thousand three hundred," so as to conform to the estimates and provide for the salary of the chief of the bureau of provisions and elothing.

The amendment was agreed to

Mr. WALKER moved further to amend the bill by striking out the appropriation of one hundred thousand dollars for the purchase of a site for the custom house at Charleston, South Carolina.

After a debate, in which Messrs. WALKER, BUTLER, AL-LEN, DIX, ATHERTON, and DAVIS, of Massachusetts, took part-

The amendment was disagreed to.

Mr. WALKER moved further to amend the bill by striking out the appropriation for the improvement of the streets and avenues in the city of Washington.

The amendment was disagreed to.

Mr. YULEE renewed his amendment appropriating four thon-sand dollars towards the construction of a telescope of the largest size at Washington navy yard, with a proviso, that the total cost should not exceed sixteen thousand dollars.

Mr. YULEE read a letter from Lieutenant Manry on the sub-

The amendment was disagreed to.

No further amendment being made, the bill was reported to the

The question being on concurring in the amendments agreed to in Committee of the Whole-

Mr. BALDWIN asked that the amendment appropriating fifty thousand dollars to pay the claim of the Spanish government for the Amistad negroes, be reserved for a separate vote.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Georgia, asked that the amendment for the payment of the Creek Indians, he reserved for a separate vote.

Mr. BERRIEN asked that the amendment striking out the ap propriation for the Savannah river, he reserved for asparate vote, and that action be postposed on it until he should have opportunity of being heard. He had been necessarily absent when the amendment was under consideration. It was a question of deep interest to his constitutents, not only in Savannah, but throughout the State of Georgia.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, asked that the amendment appropriating thirty thousand dollars for the continuation of Fre-mont's explorations in Oregon and California, he reserved for a separate vote.

The question was then taken upon concurring in the amendments agreed to in Committee of the Whole, with the exception of those reserved for separate votes, and they were concurred in.

Mr. BERRIEN said that he heard the Senator from New Mr. BERRIEN said that he heard the Senator Hom Bear Hampshire say that it was not important to get this bill through to night, owing to the length of time it would take for engrossing it, and if not, that they must have another night session. He had travelled all night last night and only asked, being not now in con-dition, that the question on the Savannah river amendment be postponed until Monday, that he might be heard on a question so postponed until Monday, that he might be heard on a question so important to his constituents. He would occupy but a portion of the morning of Monday, and would then unite with the Senator from New Hampshire, in bringing the bill to a close without a night session.

Mr. ATHERTON reminded the Senator from Georgia, that the amendment had been agreed to by a large majority of the Senate, the vote being thurty-five to fifteen. The Senator from Senate, the vote being thirty-five to fifteen. The Senator from Georgia would do all his duty to his constituents by stating his views now, and allowing the vote to be taken.

Mr. BERRIEN said that he was not in a condition to address the Senate now, and would occupy but a small portion of their time on Monday.

Mr. ATHERTON said there was a special order for Monday.

Mr. BERRIEN said there were many special orders which gave way to unfinished busines; and with the declaration that he would not delay final action on the bill more than one hour on Monday, he moved that the Senate adjourn.

The motion was disagreed to. Ayes 14, Noes 19.

The question was then stated on concurring in the amendment appropriating fifty thousand dollars for the payment of the claim the Spanish government.

Mr. BALDWIN moved to add after the word "government" the words "for the Africans of the Amistad, who were declared free by the decision of the Supreme Court of the United States;" which was not agreed to.

The question was then taken on concurring in the amendment, and it was detenmined in the affirmative, as follows:

YEAS — Mesrx Alen, Arthium, Atherium, Bolqer, Bal, Berrier, Boshad, Buller, Davis, of Nunsuppl, Bryton, Deckinson, Dougles, Downs, Food, Hanggon, Hanter, Johnson, of Louestan, Johnson, of Groupis, King, Lewis, Sebattian, Turner, Westoli, and Yales—Sal., Bernen, Bernel, Bagli, Clark, Corwin, Dwit, of Masachnetts, Dux, Felds, Greene, Hales, Rankin, Honston, Johnson, of Maryad, Miller, Nike, Pearce, Phelips, Sponane, Underwood, Liphan, and Walfer,

So the amendment was concurred in.

The question was then stated on concurring in the amendment appropriating \$141,055 91 to certain Creek Indians.

Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia desired to make one or two remarks: He had no idea that this money ought to be paid. He believed it belonged to the general government even if the arbitration which was had was conclusive between the parties. He would merely state that his principal object was to relieve the minds of Senators from some doubt which had been thrown over the fidelity with which the State of Georgia had fulfilled its duties in relation to this claim. He would send a letter to the Secretary to read from a gentleman of good standing, who was acquainted with all the facts, and who testified that the money was faithfully paid out by Governor Lumpkin, who received it.

The SECRETARY read the letter, as follows :

Hos. H. F. Johnton.

Westinstron, August an eventual states of the decidence of the control of the states of the final network as the decidence at the field several makes the many of the final several makes the many of the final segment, with the Corek Indiano 10-20, for claims by the entities of Georgia, for spoilstons before that time by that tribe, I have a dutinet receivers of Col. John A. Cathbert henge easy ged by the theo Governo. Who Lemplan, seconds more found to the commonwheap of the commonwhenger of the commonwheap of the commonwhenger o

The question was then taken on concurring in the amendment, and it was decided in the affirmative, as follows:

YEAS—Mess. Atchison, Badger, Baldwin, Bell, Butle, Platle, Dave, of Messchustts, Dave, of Musschustts, Dave, of Musschust, Dave, Declinoon, Dv. Dongdas, Bowen, Foste, Gorean-Gorean, Markey, Meller, Miller, Phella, Ulain, Waller, Westerlot, and Yalee, Sentition of Markey, Miller, Miller, Phella, Ulain, Waller, Westerlot, and Yalee, Sentimental Company, Miller, Miller, Phella, Ulain, Waller, Westerlot, and Yalee, Sentimental Company, Miller, Park, Spranger, Vanney, and Pidaerwood, 13.

So the amendment was concurred in.

The question was then stated on concurring in the amendment appropriating for continuing and completing the surveys and explorations to be made by J. C. Fremont in Oregon and California, \$30,000.

After a debate in which Messrs. BREESE, BELL, BENTON, and JOHNSON, of Maryland, participated, the question was ta-

ken on concurring in the amendment, and it was determined in the affirmative, as follows:

YEAS.—Meny. Allow, Allowan Br., Bunton, Borand Brown Bright, Decko-on, Dec. Bornika, Pelos, Haise Hansin, Bonton, Johnson, of Louman, Sebatina Pinderwood, and Westerott.—Services and Westerott.—Services of Management, Davis, of Wanningh, Davien, Blader, Blader, Guine, Davier, of Management, Davis, of Wanningh, Davier, Blader, Blader, Guine, Davier, of Marriand Johnson of George, King, Lewis, Miller, Every, Springer, Procey, and Vehez.—Services, Springer, Perey, and Vehez.—Services, Perey, Springer, Perey, and Vehez.—Services.—Ser

The amendment striking out the appropriation for the removal of obstructions in the Savannah river was then concurred in.

Mr. DIX renewed the amendment appropriating ten thousand dollars for compensation and expenses of a commercial agent to be selected and sent abroad by the President in collect information respecting the commerce, productions, and statistics of Asia and

After a brief debate, the question was taken on agreeing to the amendment, and it was determined in the negative. Are 15

So the amendment was rejected.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, moved an amendment appropriating ten thousand dollars for a survey of the Red river, and made

The amendment was rejected. Ayes 9, Noes 21.

Ordered. That the amendments be engrossed, and the bill be read a third time

The said bill was read a third time. Resolved, That this bill pass with amendment

Ordered. That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in the amendments.

After the consideration of Executive business,

On motion.

The Senate adjourned.

# MONDAY, AUGUST 7, 1848.

#### COMMUNICATION FROM THE TREASURY DEPARTMENT

The RRESIDENT gro tem laid before the Senate a communication from the Treasury Deportment, made in compliance with a resolution of the Senate, showing the quantity of sugar imported during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1847; which was ordered to be printed.

#### ORDER TO PRINT.

# On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, it was

Ordered, That the report of the Secretary of the Treasury showing the number of officers employed in the custom-house at Baltimore be printed.

## RESOLUTIONS OF THE LEGISLATURE OF WISCONSIN.

Mr. WALKER presented resolutions of the Legislature of Wisconsin in favor of the improvement of the harbors on the western shores of Lake Michigan; which were laid on the rable and ordered to be printed.

#### PETITIONS

Mr. BENTON presented a petition from E. Fitzgerald and others, asking to be paid for their attendance as witnesses in the city of St. Louis against a certain Garret Long; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Mr. MASON presented a petition from the legal representatives of Robert P. Carter, asking a settlement of the accounts of Roddy Carter and Jennings, contractors for provisions during the war of 1812; which was referred to the Committee of Claims.

Mr. DOWNS presented a petition from the officers and men of the Louisiana volunteers, asking indemnity for losses sustained by them in consequence of the wrecking of the vessel while on their way to the seat of war; which was referred to the Committee on Military Alfairs.

#### SENATE REPORTS

Mr. BENTON, from the Committee on the part of the Senate, appointed jointly with the Committee on the part of the House of Representatives on the subject of the publication of the proceedings and debates of Congress, submitted a report accompanied by a resolution; which was ordered to be printed.

On motion by Mr. HALE, it was ordered that one thousand additional copies be printed for the use of the Senate.

# ADVERSE REPORTS.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the Committee on Pensions, to whom were referred the petitions of Renben M. Gibbs and of Joseph Barclay, submitted adverse reports; which were ordered to be printed.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, from the same committee, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of Levi Colmus, reported it without amendment, and that it ought not to pass.

### DISCHARGED.

# On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Indiana, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on Pensions be discharged from the further consideration of the petitions of Mary Ann Bronaugh, of Catharine Hoffman, of Priscilla Decatur Twiggs, and of Sarah A. Mackay.

### REVENUE FROM CUSTOMS.

Mr. ATHERTON, from the Committee on Finance, to whom was referred the bill from the Honse of Representatives requiring all moneys received from oustoms, and from all other sources, to be paid immediately into the Treasury, without abatement or deduction, and for other purposes, reported it with annealments.

### PRIVATE BILL

Mr. DOWNS, from the Committee on Private Land Claims, reported a bill for the relief of John Crawford; which was read, and passed to the second reading.

## NOTICE OF A BILL.

Mr. BENTON gave notice that he would, at the commencement of the next session of Congress, ask leave to bring in a bill to release the members of the late court martial whereof Brigadier General Brooke was president, and before which the ex-licutenant colonel of mounted rilles, J. C. Frement, was tried, and the judge advocate, from their eath of secrecy, according to the precedent set by the British House of Commons in the case of Admiral Byng.

#### THE " BUFFALO HUNT."

The Senate proceeded to consider the following resolution, submitted by Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, and it was agreed to:

Residend, That the President of the United State's be requested to inform the Sentra, as the earliest period, whether he has any information that any citizen an entirem that the state of the security of the second state of t

#### MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

The following message was received from the House of Representatives, by M. Campbell, their Clerk:

Mr President. The House of Representatives have passed a bill making appropriations for the support of the army, for the year ending Jone 30th, 1849; in which they request the concurrence of the Seaste.

# HOUSE BILL REFERRED.

The bill from the House of Representatives making appropriations for the support of the army, for the year ending June 30th, 1849, was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and referred to the Committee on Finance.

#### OVERFLOWED LANDS IN ARKANSAS.

On motion by Mr. BORLAND, the Senate resumed the consideration of the bill to grant to the State of Arkansas certain unsold lands subject to overflow, for the purpose of internal improvement, education, and other purposes, in said State.

The bill having been amended, it was reported to the Senate.

Mr. KING thought it would be best to limit the bill to the lands in the State of Arkansas. So far he was willing to go, but no lurther at present. Whenever his friend from Florida would introduce a bill relative to the lands in that State, he would give it a favorable consideration.

Mr. BORLAND said, as the bill was originally introduced, it was limited in its provisions to the overflowed lands in the State of Arkansas. Several Senators, however, had suggested the propriety of giving the bill a more extensive operation; and it was solely with a view to meet the wishes of his friends around him that he had consented to extend its operation so as to embrace the useless lands in the adjoining States

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, sustained the hill as it had been amended, and expressed a hope that the opposition of the Senator from Alabama would be withdrawn.

Mr. FOOTE explained, that the language of the bill applied only to the lands known as swamp lands, utterly valueless to the general government, and which could be reclaimed and made valuable by the State.

Mr. BORLAND said, that if he thought these lands could ever be made worth any thing to the United States, he would not ask for them on the terms named by the bill. The State would embank and reclaim the lands, and thus remove a fertile cause of discase, and encourage the cultivation of the lands and the increase of population.

Mr. WESTOOTT was of opinion, that the improvements necessary to make these lands useful would never be made by the United States. It would require ten regiments of topographical rake the lands on the condition of necessary. If the States would take the lands on the condition of reclaiming the lands, the offer cought to be accepted.

Mr. MILLER thought we had not sufficient information on the subject of these loads to enable the Senate to act on the bill in this hasty manner, and at this late period of the session. It lad been said by the Senator from South Carolina, that a general system would be brought before Congress at the next session, and he thought we should wait for that system.

Mr. KING said he had no objection to a bill to give to the States the lands which could never be reciained by the government, which would not go into a system of drainage. If the States would do this, it was the only way in which the lands could be made valuable. He did not believe that the everglades of Florida could ever be reclaimed by the State or the general government. He would withdraw his opposition to the bill.

Mr. METCALFE said he could not, as a representative of a State which was excluded from the benefit of any improvement of her rivers and harbors, vote now to give away to particular States the lands which were the common property of all. He moved to lay the bill on the table.

The question was put and negatived-Ayes 16, Noes 20.

The yeas and nays were then ordered on the passage of the bill.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, made a few remarks in favor of the bill, because neither the means of the government nor private capital can ever be applied to the reelamation of these lands. The measure had been long before Congress, and no one could pretend that it was sprung in the Senate.

Mr. NILES opposed the bill. The title of the bill referred to lands subject to overflow. All bottom lands are subject to overflow. Both such as the bill has been amended so as to extend to all lands which the surveyor may denominate swamp lands. After a wee season, if would be difficult to manufacture of the surveyor may be a surveyor may be surveyor may be a surveyor may be a surveyor may be a surveyor m

Mr. BREESE stated that the practice of the surveyors, when employed in surveys, in reference to overflowed lands, was to give them a distinct designation in their maps and reports to the General Land Office, and from these reports the decession of the Secretary of the Treasury is made. In his State to the Center of I and a line of I and I are to overflow, and which could not be called swamp

Mr. UNDERWOOD thought more particular information ought to be before the Senate in reference to the lands in other States than Arkansas. He moved to strike out the third section, which extends the provisions of the bill to other States.

Mr. FOOTE said that the state of things was the same in Mississippi and Louisiana as in Arkansas. He hoped there would be no delay, as no further information could throw new light on the subject.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, before he could vote, desired to knew what portion of these lands were now inhabited and under cultivation. A great deal of money had been expended by the government in removing obstructions and rafts, and it was now roposed to give all away. He doubted whether overflowed hands were entirely uscless, as he knew that are otherwise. He would reclaimed and more should be sent to survey these lands, that the government should be able to make some estimate of their value.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, complained that every application from the States in which these lands lie had been refused. The overflow from the Missispip was sometimes ten feet, and it was impossible for any private enterprise to reckinit the lands. The health of the country was injured by these mondations.

The further consideration of the bill was then postponed until to-morrow.

# THE OREGON BILL.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill from the House of Representatives, to establish the Territorial Government of Oregon, and the reported amondments thereto.

The first amendment was the introduction of the following provise to the sixth section: "That no act of the Territorial Legislature shall become a law until approved by the Governor."

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, moved to amend the amendment, by adding the words, "of the Territory, or, if disapproved by sad Governor, until specifically submitted to, and approved by, the Congress of the United States."

The amendment to the amendment was agreed to.

Mr. DOUGLAS explained, that the absolute veto power was embraced in all the Territorial Governments.

Mr. HALE suggested an amendment, making it the duty of the Governor to transmit any bill which did not become a law, to Congress, as follows: "And it's shall be the duty of the Governor to transmit copies of any acts disapproved by him to Congress."

Mr. TURNEY moved to add, "and his reasons for disappro-

Mr. HALE accepted the addition as a modification of his amendment, and then withdrew his amendment.

The question recurred on the amendment of Mr. Davis, of Mississippi; which was agreed to.

Mr. HALE renewed his motion to amend, and again withdrew

The amendment, as amended, was then agreed to

Mr. HALE moved to insert his amendment in the third section, as follows. "And it shall be the duty of said governor, immediately after the session of the legislature, to transmit copies

of any nets from which he may have withheld his approval, to-gother with his reasons for such disapproval."

The amendment was agreed to.

The second amendment of the committee being under consideration, as follows: At the beginning of the l-th section insert: "Insame h as the said territory is north of the parallel of hirty six degrees and thirty minutes of north latitude, usually known as the Missouri commonise".

Mr. UNDERWOOD moved to strike out "thirty-six degrees and thirty minutes," and usert "forty-two;" and to strike out the words "usually known as the Missouri compromise."

Mr. DOUGLAS suggested that the better mode would be, to take the question on the amendment altogether.

Mr. UNDERWOOD thought that the amendment, as reported, left the disputed question of slavery open to be renewed in the California bill, and it was his desire to make the language so plain as to preclude the reopening of this debate.

Mr. BUTLER said he was a passive member of the committee intending to vote against this whole section, the reasons for which he chould give become

Mr. NILES expressed astonishment that the Committee on the Territories should have reported an amendment wheth had nothing to do with the subject matter of this ball, as it is well known that all of Orgon lies north of 36° 30°. He was at a loss to under stand the reason for such a proxision. Was it intended to refer to some other subject which might come up for legislation hereafter? If it was intended for any thing, it must be to excrease influence over legislative action in the other territories. He was entirely opposed to this mode of legislation. If it was a re-encurrent of the compromise full, he thought it be fellipsely it was to case off gradient in that form, to Senators here, and to enable them to justife their votes. He did not wish to see any thing go abroad which might be construed into a compromise when compromise is not intended.

Mr. DOUGLAS explained that the amendment was reported with the ununimous desire of the committee that no Senator's vote on the bill should be understood as committing him on the great question.

Mr. HALE said he should vote against the amendment and, whatever the vote on the amendment, be should vote for the bill. But he desired it to be distinctly understood that he did not by such yote commit himself in the slightest degree as to his future course

Mr. MASON charged the language reported in the amendment as unintelligible. He attributed to the committee the design to evade the shavery question. He referred to the state of public opinion abroad, and to the convention about to be assembled at Buffalo, for the choice of a standard hearer. But one god was to be worshipped there, and that god was power—the power to trapple down the constitution of the country. In law of the Arter with the constitution of the country to have of the first States which short principle. He not be sometimes to the bound by the principle of the compromise; but it was not to be expected that they would go me single step beyond it. It would be to expect them to submit to insult.

Mr. DAYTON resided to the threats held out by the Senator.

Mr. DAYTON replied to the threats held out by the Senator from Virginia, that if she was to be forced another step she would proclaim multifaction. He equalitied for the white party this question as the great issue to be used to be the coming deterion. The questions of free will as conserve were not the great questions of the white party. Nor would it be generally understood that this party to the process of the white party and the senator would be generally understood that the control of the process of the senator would have to stand on their recorded votes. He did not see how the amendment, could be sustained.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, said that it being evident the bill could not be disposed of to-day, he would therefore move that its further consideration be postponed until to morrow.

Mr. BUTLER said be had no objection to the postponement, provided it did not interfere with his remarks which he was about to make. He desired to be heard on this bill before the vote was taken on it.

The motion to postpone was then agreed to.

# EXECUTIVE SESSION

Ou motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of Executive business; and after some time spent therein,

On metien.

The Senate adjourned.

# TUESDAY, AUGUST 8, 1848.

#### MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT.

The following message was received from the President of the United States, by Mr. WALKER, his Secretary :

To the Senate and House of Representatives of the United State

It affords me satisfaction to commonate herewish for the information of Congressions of a decree adopted by the National Assembly of France, in repose to the resolution of the Congress of the Times States, passed on the 18th Anni Ind., tendering the Congress of the Times States, passed on the 18th Anni Ind., tendering the congratulations of the American to the French people upon the success of them created first to consolidate the primariple of their yri in a epithelian from of govern JAMES K. POLK.

Washington, August 8, 1-48.

#### FRENCH REPUBLIC.

NATIONAL ASSEMBLY.

ARTICLE J. In the mans of the French people, the National Assembly, profound by touched by the resuments which dictated the resolution of the Congress of the United States, on the 15th April, offers to the American people the thanks of the republic and the expression of its fastered sunty.

Dereid alter deibentinen mysiku-senon at Paus, on the 2kh May, 1848.
Dereid alter deibentinen und Sesserieren, Backer, Perpin, Leon Robert, F. DeSengg, T. Lacrosse, Emile Pean, Edmand Latsyste.
A true copy for trummission:
A true copy for trummission:
The District of Foreign Albury.

July 1848. Leon France Edmand
Affects, L. Robert ies Ardenius, 2848.

On motion of Mr. ALLEN, it was ordered that the foregoing message and decree be entered on the Journal.

#### MESSAGE PROM THE PRESIDENT.

The following message was received from the President of the United States, by Mr. Walker, his Secretary:

To the Senate of the United States

to the Saintle of the United States.

I reply to the scalation of the Senate of the 7th initial, requesting the President to inform that fooly ""whether he has any information that any critices or efficiency for the Gated States, an expedition to evolutionize by force any part of the republic of Mexico, or causant in so doing; and, if he has, who careful the Saintle States, and the scalation to evolutionize by force any part of the republic of Mexico, or causant in so doing; and, if he has, who careful the Saintle States that the Executive is not in possession of any information of the character called for by the resolution.

the resolution.

The late treaty of peace with Mexico has been and will be faithfully observed on JAMES K. POLK.

WASHINGTON, August 8, 1848

# COMMUNICATION FROM THE STATE DEPARTMENT

The PRESIDENT, pro tem , laid before the Senate a report of the Secretary of State, communicating, in compliance with a resolution of the Senate, a copy of the joint report of the commissioners under the treaty of Washington, of August 9, 1842, together with a copy of the report of the American commissioner transmit-ting the same to the Department of State.

The PRESIDENT, pro tem., laid before the Senate two memorials of the Legislature of Wisconsin, praying the construction of a road from Prairie du Chien to the falls of St. Croix; which was referred to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

Mr. MASON presented the memorial of Charles F. Sibbald, asking that his claims may be adjusted under the direction of the Secretary of the Treasury; which was referred to the Committee

M1. CALHOUN presented a memorial from merchants and other citizens of Charleston, asking that the great southern mail may be restored to its direct ronte; which was referred 10 the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

# OPERATIONS OF THE ARMY ON THE RIO GRANDE.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimons consent, and agreed to:

Residued. That Secretary Of War he instructed for firms, it is next resons of Congress, a general map from the recommances and sources of Topographical Express. Moreover, the control of the commance and sources of Topographical Express. Moreover, the control of the Congress of the Cong

# THE SAX AND FOX ANNUITIES.

Mr. BELL submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved, That the Secretary of War be requested to communicate to the Secate capies of the report of the Supernateadent of Indian Affairs of St. Louis, in relation to the difficulties which took place last fall at the payment of the Sex oad Fox as nuties, together with all the accompanying testimony and paper, and any proceedings which the department may have taken in reference hierarch.

#### ADVERSE REPORT.

Mr. UNDERWOOD, from the Committee of Claims, to which was referred the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of the legal representatives of Amelia Brereton, reported it wihtout amendment, and that it ought not to pass.

#### DISCHARGED.

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, it was

Ordered. That the Committee on Private Land Claims be discharged from the further consideration of the petition of Villenenve le Blanc, and that the petitioner have leave to withdraw his pa-

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on Pensions be discharged from Ordered, That the Committee on Pensions be discharged from the further consideration of the following memorials: Of Eliza A. Mellon, of E. P. Hastings, of Candace Munn; also, from the documents relating to the claim of John Ellis.

# WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

On motion by Mr. MASON, the prior orders were postponed, on motion by Mr. MANDN, the prior orders were postponed, and the Senate proceeded to consider, as in Commuttee of the Whole, the bill to change the times of holding the district court of the United States for the western district of Virginia, together with an amendment thereto, including the salary of the judge of said corrt, from sixteen hundred to twenty-two handred and fifty dollars per annum.

Mr. MASON advocated the amendment, and it was agreed to. No further amendment being made, the bill was reported to the Senate, and the amendment was concurred in.

Ordered. That the amendment be engrossed, and the bill be read a, third time,

Said bill was read a third time as amended.

Resalred. That this bill pass with an amendment

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in the amendment.

### PRIVATE BILLS.

Mr. ATHERTON, from the Committee on Finance, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives for the re-hel of David Thomas, of Philadelphia, reported it wirhout amend-

## SENATE REPORTS.

On motion by Mr. BENTON, the Senate proceeded to consider the following resolution, reported from the Select Committee on the subject

The suppect:

Rendered, That, in order to secure a more full, intrastial, and prompt publication of the proceedings and which the control of the proceedings and which the control of the proceedings and which the control of the control, to take effect from this axy, with the projection of each of the daily paper in the cry, the National Intelligencer and the Inion, and to continue and otherwise ordered by the Sendy. In a control of the Co

On motion by Mr. WESTCOTT, it was

Ordered, That it lie on the table.

The Senate proceeded to consider the motion heretofore made by Mr. DICKINSON to reconsider the vote on the third reading of the bill for the relief of John Devlin; and it was determined in the negative.

# ALABAMA RAILROAD.

On motion by Mr. KING, the Senate resumed the consideration, as in Committee of the Whole, of the bill granting to the State of Alabama the right of way and a donation of public lands for mak-ing a ruilroad from Mobile to the mouth of the Ohio river.

The question pending was upon agreeing to the amendment sub-mitted by Mr. DAVIS. of Mississippi, granting the right of way and a donation of public lands for making a railroad from Jack-son through Brandon to the Alabama line; and it was agreed to.

Mr. LEWIS moved further to amend the bill by adding a section granting the right of way and a donation of public lands for making a railroad from Pensacola, Florida, to Montgomery, Ala-

Mr. BENTON moved to amend the amendment by adding a section granting the right of way and a donation of public lands for making a railread from St. Joseph to Hannibal, Missouri.

Mr. KING hoped the Sonator from Missouri would not in the amendment, and protested against having his bill loaded down with extraneous matters, which would be almost sure to defeat it in the Huse. If the bill was a good one, let it pass; if bad, roject it. It was very important to the South that it should

Mr. BENTON was very far from belisving that the amend-ment would have a tendency to lead down the bill. On the con-trary, he regarded it a safe and healthful prop; and be thought the beauter from Alabama should be pleased with such a union, which was the marring his daughter to a sound vigorous young man, who-would be a prop to the house.

Mr. BUTLER was sorry he could not give his support to the Mr. BUILER was sorry ac could not give his support to the bill or its amendments in the present form. He would wish to know the amount of the lands which would be thus given away. He referred to the various clauses in the constitution from which the power to give away the public lands was derived by different the power to give away the public lands was derived by different persons. He thought it doubtful whether the public hands would be enhanced in value, or the sales of them heditated by these constant surrenders. He would prefer that the constitution should be amended to suit the purposes of all, than that this policy should go on

Mr. CALHOUN thought the policy of these appropriations of the public lands was beneficial in incr asing the value of the public domain. As to internal improvement, he denied in toto the power of the general government to carry it in.

Mr. WESTCOTT took a view of the advantages, which would result to the United States, as well as to the States in which the coads were proposed to he located, from these donations of the public lands, by the facilities which would be given to the transportation of materials for the navy ands, and for the transportation of materials for the navy and so and for the transportation. of stores and troops

Mr. BUTLER objected to the precedent. The Senator from Illinois, [Mr. Brees.] and recently offored a resolution to give all the public lands in that State for public improvements.

Mr. BREESE said, his resolution embraced only ten miles on both sides of the Illusis river.

Mr. BUTLER.-Well, if ten miles was asked for now, why not ask for twenty, or sixty miles. The principle would be the

Mr. CALHOUN made a brief reply.

Mr. NILES said, if this policy was to prevail, and the lands were to be given away because they were of no use while in the hands of the general government, the desired to see a antional bill, a bill on a magnificent scale. He would, therefore, move to amend the bill by inserting the bill which be had reported a short time since, granting hands to Asa Whitney, for making a railroad from Lake Midgian to the Profile. This would test the sense of the Senate as to the propriety of carrying out the principle

Mr. BORLAND made a few remarks in favor of the bill.

Mr. TURNEY would not vote for the bill, but he would vote for every amendment which should be offered. He wished to test the opinions of Senators who professed to be hostile to a general system of internal improvement, by seeing all these separate bills embraced in one bill, which would thus make the bill general in is office, and one office when women than make the our general in its office, and bringing these Sentines to a vote on such a bill. According to his ording of the constitution, this aggregation of separating properties and the senting the senting

Mr. BREESE explained his view of the constitutional pow r of Congress to make internal improvements, which let to add de-nied. But he maintained that the government had a right to put the public domain in a condition to be most beneficial to the pubthe plants domain in a condition to be most increased or a proble; and this was done by donations of lands, and thus increases the value of the residue. These donations of States were in bot no donation, because only alternate sections are granted, and those reserved are put at \$2.50 per acre, which is twice the price fixed as a minimum.

Mr. TURNEY made a roply in explanation and support of what he had before said. As to the 18st argument of the Senator from Illinois, the fixing of the price of the alternate sections at twice the mirimum rate, he attached no great weight to 1, regarding this increase of price as more likely to benefit the rail-road companies than the government. The companies would prevent any sales by the government by putting their own sections at a somewhat lower rate.

Mr. BREESE rejoined very broffy

Mr. DOUGLAS said he did not wish to throw any didiculty in the way of this measure. On the contrary, he expected to vote for it. But as chairman of the committee which had been charg-

30TH CONG .- 1ST SESSION-No. 127.

ed with the Oregon bil, he felt bound, if the discussion was to proceed, to move its postposement.

Mr. KING expressed a hope that the vote would be taken on the bill, which had already been once postponed.

The amendment to the amendment was agreed to and the amendment as amended was then agreed to

The bill was further amended by striking out such portions as gave the privilege of selecting the lands within twenty miles of the lines of the roads, thus restricting the selections to within six miles of either side of the roads.

Mr. ALLEN moved further to amend the bil by adding a section providing that the mails shall not be required to be transported on raid roads, if in the judgment of the Postmaster General the the public interest would be better subserved by using other roads

The amendment was agreed to.

Mr. NILES withdrew his amendment

The bill was then reported to the Senate, and the smendments

On the question "shall this bill be engressed and read a third me?" the yeas and nays were ordered, and it was determined in the affirmative, as follows:

VEAS.—Wests. Alleni Atherion Balger, Rell, Beston, Bernen, Borland Breec, Cilhonn, Campion, Corvin Dave, of Mis. Darton, Bodge, Boogles, Deckler, Campion, Carlon, Car

Ordered. That the bill be engrossed and read a third time

The said bill was read a third time Resolved. That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as afores od

Ordered. That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill

#### JACKSON MONUMENT

The Senate proceeded to consider the amendment of the House of Representatives to the joint resolution giving certain pieces of brass cannon to the Jackson Monument Society, and it was

Resolved. That they concurtherein.

# EXECUTIVE SESSION.

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, the Senate pro ceeded to the consideration of Executive business, and after come time spent therein the doors were egain opened.

On motion by Mr. BALDWIN, the bill for the relief of Gneta vus S. Dorr, was read the second time, and considered as in Com-mittee of the Whole.

No amendment being made, the hill was reported to the Senate

The said bill was read a third time by unanimous consent " acd, That he pass, and the title thereof be as aforesaid

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in said b'il.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whore, the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of the Red river railroad company; and no amendment being

Ordered, That it pass to a third reading.

On the question "shall this bill pass?" the yeas and nays were ordered, and it was determined in the affirmative, as follows:

VIV.S.— I as A shin, the Baldwin, Bell, Birren, Clarke, David of Mysantiment, Divis, a Muss, Davido, Bodge, Downs, Foote, Greene, Hale, Butter, James, et al., Jones, of Ca., Johnson, of Ca., Johnson, of Ca., Sing, Mason, Miller, see Pearre, Syussiee, Tome, Underwood Union, Walker and Westcott.—26 NAYS—Moss, Brailian Johnson, 60 A. Rhuille — 4

Oriered. That the Secretary notify the House of Representa-

On motion by Mr. DAYTON, the prior orders were postponed and the Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole the bill in relation to the terms of the circuit and district courts of the United States in and for the district of New Jersey.

No amendment being made, the bill was reported to the Senate

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time

Resolved. That it pass, and that the title thereof he as aforesaid Ordered. That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

## UNITED STATES COURTS IN GEORGIA

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Georgia, the prior orders were postponed, and the Senate proceeded to consider as in Committee of the Whole, the act for dividing the State of Georgia into two judicial districts, and organizing and establishing an additional district court of the United States, with circuit court powers and jurisdiction.

A brief debate ensued in which Messrs. DAYTON, WEST-COTT. BERRIEN, KING, and JOHNSON of Georgia took part.

No amendment being made the bill was reported to the Senate. Ordered, That it pass to a third reading.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved. That it pass.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

#### PRIVATE BILLS.

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the following bills from the House of Representatives :

An act for the relief of Samuel Conv

Ag act for the relief of Francis S. Holton.

No amendment being made they were severally reported to the Senate

Ordered, That they pass to a third reading.

Resolved, That they pass

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

#### POST ROUTE BILL.

Mr. NILES, from the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads, to whom was referred the bill from the House of Repro sentatives to establish certain post routes, reported it with amendments.

#### RECESS.

On motion by Mr. PEARCE, it was

Ordered, That the Senate take a recess until 51 o'clock P M.

# EVENING SESSION

## SCHOOL LANDS IN ALABAMA

The bill from the House of Representives to authorize the State The bill from the House of Representives to authorize the State of Alabama to apply octatian lands, heretofore granted to that State for internal improvements, for the use of schools in the valueless sixteenth sections of said State, was read the first and second times, by unanimous consent, and considered as in Committee of the Whole; and, no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it pass to a third reading.

The said bill was read a third time, by unanimous consent.

Resolved, That they pa

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

## EXTRADITION TREATIES.

The Senate proceeded to consider the amendment made by the House of Representatives to the bill giving effect to certain treaty stipulations between this and foreign governments, for the apprehension of certain offenders

Ordered, That it be referred to the Committee on the Judi

#### POST ROUTE BILL.

On motion by Mr. NILES, it was

Ordered, That the bill to establish certain post routes, be the order of the day for to-morrow at 10 o'clock A. M.

#### BOUNDARY BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES AND MEXICO

on motion by Mr. HANNEGAN, the Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill to provide for carrying into effect the fifth article of the trary between the United States and the Mexican republic, for the establishment of the benedary line between them; and, no amount-durant being made, if was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved, 'That this bill pass, and that the little thereof be as aforesaid

Ordered. That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

#### PRIVATE BILL

The Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill granting a pension to Elizabeth Munroe.

On motion by Mr BREESE, it was

Ordered, That it lie on the table

The Senate resumed, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill for the relief of George Center, and having been amended on the mo-tion of Mr. YULEE, it was reported to the Senate and the amendment was concurred in.

On the question "Shall this bill be engrossed and read a third time?"—Mr. ALLEN demanded the yeas and nays, which were ordered, and it was determined in the affirmative, as follows:

YEAS—Messr. Baldwin, Bell, Bertreu, Cameron, Clarke, Corwin, Dodge, Downs, Hannegan, Houston, Johnson, of Maryland, King, Maton, Miller, Nifes, Peares, Sebstain, Westcoti, and Vinler—19.

\*\*XAYS—Messr. Alfon, Alcibson, Brailbury, Breese, Bright, Dickmon, Dix, Felch, Johnson, of Georgia, Phelys, Symanoce, Turacy, Underwood, and Upham—14.

So it was

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved. That this hill pass, and that the title thereof he as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in this bill.

### TERRITORY OF MINESOTA.

Mr. DOUGLAS, from the Committee on Territories, to whom was recommitted the bill to establish the territorial government of Minesota, reported it with amendments

## STATISTICS OF AGRICULTURE AND MANUFACTURES.

On motion by Mr. UNDERWOOD, the Scoate resumed the consideration of the joint resolution requiring the Commissioner of Patents to report annually upon the prices of labor and the produc-

tions of agriculture and manufactures. After debate, in which Messrs. ALLEN, JOHNSON, of Maryland, UNDERWOOD, and others, participated, the resolution was laid on the table by the following vote:

YEAS—Most: Allen, Archion, Bosse, Buller, Davis of Missisppe, Dexison, Dexison, Development, Company, Company,

On motion.

The Senate adjourned.

# WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 9, 1848

#### REPORTS FROM THE WAR DEPARTMENT.

The PRESIDENT pro tem. laid before the Senate a communi-The FRESHDS I protein and before the Senare a communi-cation from the Department of War, made in compliance with a resolution of the 28th ultimo, calling for information as to the gross amount paid each officer, and why such information does not ap-pear in the Army Register of the present year; which was ordered to printed.

The PRESIDENT pro tem. laid before the Senate a report of The FREDILENT protem, had before the Senate a report of the Secretary of War, made in compliance with a resolution of the Senate, accompanied by copies of the report of the superintendent of Indian affairs, at St. Louis, in relation to the difficulties which took place at the Sacs and Fox annuities; which was ordered to be printed.

## EXTRA COMPENSATION.

Mr. FELCH, from the Committee to audit and control the Contingent Expenses of the Senate, reported the following resolution; which was read.

When Exactive is Teach tree be allowed and paul out of the contingent fund, under the di-rection of the committee to another between the cases of the officers, clerks, measurements, the committee to another between the stude to the officers, clerks, measurements, and the students of the contraction of the form of th

Resolved, that there he allowed and paid a above to the acting postmaster, and to each of the mail extricts of the Senate, the sim of three hundred and fifty dollars, and to each of the boys assiring the mail extreres, to the wester gate keeper, and to Sylvester Gray, and Lloyd Wallace, each the sim of fifty dollars.

#### VENTILATION OF THE SENATE CHAMBER.

Mr. HUNTER, from the Committee on Public Buildings, reported the following resolution

Resolved. That a sum not receeding \$5.770 be expended out of the contagent fund of the Senate in completing the system of ventilating and warming the Senate claimber, commenced and my lowest by John Shrving; provided, however, that the money shall be expended and the next executed under the direction of the Senateary of the

Mr. HUNTER asked the immediate consideration of the reso lution; but objection being made, it lies over under the rule.

### PUBLIC PRINTING

Mr. GREENE, from the Committee on Printing, submitted the following resolution:

The design of the second secon

Mr. GREENE was, at his request, excused from service on the Committee on Printing; and the Paesident pro tem. being authorized to fill the vacancy, Mr. Johnson, of Maryland, was ap-

Mr. BORLAND was, at his request, excused from service on the Committee on Printing; and it was

Ordered, That the vacancy be filled by the Presiding Officer.

# EVERGLADES IN FLORIDA

Mr. WESTCOTT submitted the following resolution, which was considered by unanimons consent and agreed to:

Resolved, That the Secretary of the Treasury be directed to communicate to the Senate any information in his department as to the practiceability of reclaiming the everyfielder in the State of Flonds, or at a time teryficility of the English State for that purpose, and his opinion as to the hist mode and manner of accomplishing such Only.

# POSTMASTER OF THE SENATE.

Mr. FELCH, from the Committee to audit and control the SET FLEAT, from the Committee to audit and doubted the Contingent Expenses of the Senate, to whom was referred a resolution submitted by Mr. BAURY the Edit and the Same with a same with a farmer of the Senate of per diem he now receive

The Senate proceeded to consider the resolution with the re-ported amendment; and the amendment being concurred in, the resolution, as amended, was agreed to.

### WALLACE AND GRAY.

Mr. FELCH, from the Committee to audit and control the Contingent Expenses of the Senate, to whom was referred the re-solution submitted by Mr. Halk the 3d of June, respecting the

compensation of L. Wallace and S. Gray, reported it with an

The Senate proceeded to consider the resolution, and it was amended and agreed to, as follows

Resolved. That there he allowed and paid to L. Walla e and S. Gray, is the empoyment of the Senate, one dollar and fifty cents perday duning the semion of Coo gress, and one follar a day during the vacation, to take effect from the commencement of the present cession.

#### ARMY APPROPRIATION BILL

Mr. ATHERTON, from the Committee on Finance to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives making appropriations for the support of the army for the year ending on the 30th of June, 1849, reported it with amendments.

Mr. ATHERTON gave notice that he should ask for an early consideration of this bill

#### OHIO AND MISSISSIPPI RAILROAD

Mr. BREESE, from the Committee on Public Lands to whom was referred the bill from the House of Representatives, granting the right of way through the public lands in Indiana and Illinois, to the Obio and Mississippi railroad company, reported it with an amendment.

Mr. BREESE asked for the immediate consideration of the bill, but it was objected to.

#### PRIVATE BILLS.

Mr. NILES, from the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads, submitted a report accompanied by a bill for the relief of Samuel F. Butterworth.

Mr. CORWIN, from the Select Committee submitted a report accompanied by a bill for the relief of William Darby

The said bills were read and passed to the second reading Ordered, That the report be printed.

## DISCHARGED.

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on Pensions be discharged from the further consideration of the following memorials of William Parkeson, of Benjamin Miller, of Ellen F. Smith, of Angel Spald-ing, of William Miller, of Sarah Overback, and of William Pen-

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, it was

Ordered, That the Committee on Private Land Claims, be dis-charged from the further consideration of the petition of Lieutenant Littleton Barclay.

On motion by Mr. PEARCE, it was

Ordered, That the Senate will take a recess daily, from 4 until 5½ o'clock, P. M.

## POST ROUTE BILL

On motion by Mr. NILES, the prior orders were postponed, and the Scuate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole the bill from the House of Representatives, to establish certain post routes.

The amendments reported from the Committee on the Post Ot fice and Post Roads were concurred in.

After being further amended the bill was by unanimous consent informally passed over

# EXECUTIVE SESSION.

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of Executive Lusiness, and after seve ral hours spent therein, the doors were again opened.

# CLINTON AND KALAMAZOO CANAL.

On motion by Mr. FELCH, the prior orders were postponed, and the Senate proceeded, as in Committee of the Whole, to the consideration of the bill to apply certain alternate sections of the public domain towards the completion of the Clinton and Kalamazoo canal, in the State of Michigan, and having been amended it was reported to the Senate, and the amendment was concurred

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved. That this bill pass, and that the title thereof be as aforesaid.

Ordered. That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives in said bill.

#### PRIVATE BILL.

On motion by Mr. HAMLIN, the prior orders were postponed, and the Senate proceeded to consider as in Committee of the Whole, the bill from the Honge of Representatives for the rolled of John Farnham; and no amendment being made it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered. That it pass to a third reading.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved, That it pass.

Ordered, That the Sceretary notify the House of Representa-

#### SALE OF RESERVED LANDS.

On motion by Mr. BREESE, the prior orders were suspended and the Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill to authorize the sale of reserved lands and for other purposes; and, no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it be engrossed and read a third time.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved, That it pass, and that the title thereof he as aforesaid.

Ordered, That the Secretary request the concurrence of the House of Representatives therein.

#### PRIVATE BILLS

On motion by Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, the prior orders were postponed, and the Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of Alborne Allen; and, no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it pass to a third reading.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved, That it pass.

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

On motion by Mr. FOOTE, the prior orders were postponed, and the Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of Joseph Perry, a Choctaw Indian, or his assignees; and, no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered. That it pass to a third reading.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved, That it pass,

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representatives accordingly.

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, the prior orders were postponed, and the Senate proceeded to consider, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill from the House of Representatives for the relief of the heirs and widow of Frances Gramillion; and, no amendment being made, it was reported to the Senate.

Ordered, That it pass to a third reading.

The said bill was read a third time.

Resolved, That it pass

Ordered, That the Secretary notify the House of Representa-

The Senate then took a recess.

### EVENING SESSION.

Mr. BUTLER, from the Committee on the Judiciary, reported back the smeadments from the House to the bill giving effect to certain treaty stipulations, but ween this and foreign governments for the apprehension and delivering up of certain offenders, with a recommendation that the Senate do not conear; and Mr. B. stated that it was with a view to the appointment of a committee of conference.

Mr. DOUGLAS moved to proceed to the consideration of the bill to establish a government in the territory of Oregon.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, wished the Senator from Illinon to forego his motion for the present, and consent to call it me at 10 o'clock to-morrow. He professed himself as anxious as the Senator to have it disposed of, but he thought the present hour not suited to the discussion.

Mr. DOUGLAS would acquiesce, and withdraw his motion, with the distinct understanding that it should be taken up to-morrow, at 11 o'clock. {Cries of "agreed!"

Mr. DOWNS contended that the Post Office bill was the unfinushed business, and should come up as a matter of course.

The CHAIR gave it as his opinion that it was first in order.

Mr. ATHERTON then moved to postpone the further consideration of the Post Office bill, with a view to take up the bill making appropriation for the military service of the United States for the year ending 30th June, 1849; which motion was agreed to.

Mr. ATHERTON submitted various amendments from the Committee on Finance: the first taken up for consideration was, "five arrearages for military surveys west of the Mississippi, \$20.000;" which, after a brief explanation, was adopted.

Several important amendments of the committee were adopted.

The amendment granting \$200,000 for the military and civil operations in California under command of Commodore Stockton and Lieutenant Colonel Fremont, was next taken up.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, said the sum was a pretty large one, and he wished some information on the subject.

Mr. ATHERTON replied that the amendment was a portion of the bill that had already passed the Senate, and related only to ascertained claims.

Mr. UNDERWOOD inquired if a bill had not already been passed giving \$700,000 for the settlement of the California claims, and desired to be informed what necessity existed for this additional \$200,000.

Mr. BENTON explained at length, going into a history of the case, which, while it amused, seemed to convince the Sanate of the necessity for adopting the amendment.

The amendment was adopted by a large majority

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, offered various amendments from the Military Committee, which were adopted: one increasing the companies of some of the regiments to 64, with the privilege of extending the number to 100 men, should the President think proper.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, moved to amend the bill by inserting an appropriation of \$15,000 for a fort on Proctor's Island, in Lake Borgne, contending with great zeal and animation for the adoption of the amendment.

Mr. ATHERTON insisted that this was not a fortification bill, and that the amendment was not an appropriate one for the military bill.

Mr. JOHNSON insisted that it was the bill to which it ought to be affixed. When he had offered the amendment to the naval appropriation hill, he was told by the same Seontor, "that was not the place for it; wait until the civil and diplomatic bill comes before as here." He had weited patiently for this bill, and when he offered it again was told that was not the place for it; and ow, when he offered it to the only bill that it could be attached, here was the same story. He insisted that it should go to the

Mr. BENTON enms to the rescue of the amendment, declaring that Proctor's Island was no lod acquaintance of his, observing that he had voted for the uppropriation on all occasions, and should do so on the present, agreeing, at the same time, with Mr. J., that the present bill was the legitimate one to which the amendment should be attached.

After a few remarks from Messrs, DIX, BREESE, and BRIGHT, against the amendment-

Mr. JOHNSON demanded the yeas and nays, which were or dered, and the vote stood as follows:

Y.D.S.-Meser, Achronn, Baiger, Balten, Bell, Braton, Berrier, Berrier, Gameron, Christ, Dolge, Brown, Frigarald, Foots, Johnson, of Louisian, Margun, Jensee, Sebastian, Walker, Webster, and Westcott.—30.
NAYS.—Meser, Adhrton, Bardhury, Breese, Bleph, Balter, Calbuna, Govela, NAYS.—Meser, Adhrton, Bardhury, Breese, Brigh, Balter, Calbuna, Govela, September, Christopha, Johnson, of Georgia, King, Meltadfe, Miller, Mile, Phelps, Sprance, Tamery, Lederwood, and Value—36.

Spranee, Teney, Underwood, and Yelse—26.

Mr. HANNEGAN moved to amend the bill by granting a discharge to such of the mounted rifle regiment intended for California who had served in Mexico as might please to avail themselves of it—addressing the Senate and "paying a well-merited compliment to this regiment, which and been first in every fight, and

ment to this regiment, which and been first in every ngut, and leading in every forlorn hope.

Mr. ALLEN supported the amendment, declaring his belief that they were entitled to their discharge under the law.

Mr. BORLAND also spoke handsomely of these gallant mea, declaring they were his brethren in arms, and with them he had first met the enemies of his country.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, opposed the amendment, declaring that they were not entitled under the law to their discharge, and doubting the policy of allowing them to leave the service.

The question was taken on the amendment, and it was adopted.

Mr. ALLEN moved to amend the bill by inserting an item giving \$600, with interest from 1847, to Captain John Caldwell, for moneys paid by him in Mexico.

This amendment was resisted on the ground that It was a private claim, and should not be inserted in the bill; and, after some debate, in which Messrs. ALLEN, ATHERTON, and DAYIS, of Mississippi, patrioipated, the question was taken by year and mays, and decided as follows.

YEAN—Meatr. Allen. Atchnon, Badger, Bahlwin, Bell, Borland, Bradburt, Bright, Chuke, Carvin, Downs, Fitzgrenk, Greine, Houston, Johnson of Maryland, National Common of Mariana of Ma

Amendments were offered by Mr. BELL, and by Mr. BOR-

LAND, which led to very considerable debate, and were rejected.

The bill was reported to the Senate, and the amendments being concurred in, the bill was read a third time and passed.

On motion,

The Senate adjourned

# THURSDAY, AUGUST 10, 1848.

The PRESIDENT pro tem laid before the Senate a report from the Secretary of War, made in compliance with a resolution of the 9th instant, in relation to reclaiming the everglades in the State of Florida. It was referred to the Committee on Public

#### REPORTS FROM COMMITTEES.

- Mr. CAMERON, from the Committee on Printing, made an elaborate report; which was ordered to be printed.
- Mr. YULEE, from the Committee on Naval Affairs, reported a bill for the relief of the captors of the frigate Philadelphia; which was read a first time.
- Mr. DAYTON, from the Committee on the Judiciary, asked that the committee be discharged from the further consideration of the petition of William H. Rogers.
- Mr. DAYTON also moved that the Committee be discharged from the further consideration of the following bills, which was agreed to:
- The bill changing the places and fixing the times of holding the circuit and district courts in the district of Vermont.

The bill to change the place of holding the district court of the United States for the middle district of Alabama.

Also, from the memorial of Charles F. Sibbald.

- Mr. HANNEGAN, from the Committee on Roads and Canals, reported House bill to surrender to the State of Indiana the Cumberland road, in the said State, without amendment, and urged upon the Senate its immediate consideration; which was agreed to, and the bill was passed.
- Mr. JOHNSON of Louisiana, from the Committee on Pensions, asked that the committee be discharged from the further consideration of the petitions of Patrick Masterson, of Rebecca Robeson, and of W. Rall.
- Mr. JOHNSON also, from the same committee, made an adverse report on the petition of Eliza Buchanan.
- Mr. JOHNSON also, from the same committee, asked that the bill for the relief of Catherine Clarke, and that it be referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs

# RESOLUTION.

# Mr. CAMERON submitted the following :

The Senate proceeded to consider the amendments of the House to the bill making appropriations for light-houses, light boats, buoys, &c., and providing for the erection and establishment of

On motion by Mr. DAVIS, the amendments of the House were concurred in, with the exception of the last amendment, and the House was duly notified of the fact.

Mr. Lewis, of Alabama, was appointed on the Committee on Printing, in the place of Mr. BORLAND, during the recess.

The Senate then took up the bill to establish certain post routes, with the amendments reported thereto; and, after several amendments had been adopted

## REDUCTION OF POSTAGE.

- Mr. NILES moved to amend the bill, by inserting, as an additional section, the bill reported by the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads, to reduce the rates of postage.
- Mr. NILES explained that a bill had been received from the House of Representatives which made a greater reduction in the rates of postage than was proposed by this bill. The reductions in that bill embraced a variety of features; but in some points it

was very objectionable. The bill now offered as an amendment, was very objectionane. The birthow ordered as an amendment, was simple in its provisions. If any objection was made to it, he would withdraw it. But as the session was approaching its close, and there would be no other opportunity to act on the subject, he would be gratified if the Senate would take a vote on the proposition.

- Mr. BORLAND said he was opposed to the distinction made between the transportation of newspapers within a distance of thirty miles and those which were transported fifty or sixty m.les. The clause, as it stands, would do very wall for cities, and when the population was dense, but it would not answer at all in the remote parts of the country, where subscribers live a great distance
- 'Mr. DICKINSON proposed an amendment to allow the transportation of country papers to any distance, free of postage.
- Mr. WESTCOTT desired to see all newspapers put on the same footing, whether city or country, and without regard to distances. He was opposed to partial benefits.
- Mr. BENTON remarked, that you might travel in the West some hundred miles without coming to a town. He thought there was no equality in the bill as it stood, making as it did an invidious distinction.
- Mr. CAMERON referred to the provision of the bill which allowed the newspapers to pass free in the country where they were published. The daily papers published in cities derived great advantages from the introduction of steam power. They made up their weekly papers from the daily, and circulated them with greater facility than was possessed by the country editors. He had an amendment prepared, which he thought would meet the case, so as to remove any objection.

The amendment was not submitted, as it was decided to be out of order at this stage of the proceedings.

- Mr. ALLEN said, there would never be a law which did not operate more hardly on one than on another. He looked to the aggregate good which would be effected. He went on to speak of the condition of printers, who are proverbially poor. He never knew a rich printer. They work harder for nothing than any other class of persons. Their debts were, separately, small, though large in the aggregate, and are so scattered over the country that they can scatcely were be collected. He thought this little boon granted to them should be willingly given.
- Mr. DICKINSON also alluded to the power of the city papers to put down the country press. He thought his amendment would have the effect of sustaining the country papers.
- Mr. DOUGLAS, at this stage, called for the special order, being the bill to establish a territorial government in Oregon, but the motion was not sustained.
- Mr. NILES stated, that the entire exemption of papers from postage would diminish the compensation of the small postmasters, while it would add greatly to the burden of business thrown upon them. The tax of balf a cent would enable the postmasters to defray the expense of putting up the papers and delivering them.
- Mr. DOUGLAS renewed his call for the special order, and asked for the yeas and nays, which were ordered.

The question was then taken, and decided as follows:

- a ne question was tien taken, and decided as follows:

  YEAS —Mens, Alex, Balbum, Banton, Brintury, Bresse, Bright, Cottom,
  Davis, of Masnachnistic, Bayton, Div., Dodge, Donglas, Downs, Felch, Fitzgerald,
  Greene, Hale, Honono, Johnson, of Maryland, Johnson, of Georgia, Lewis, Marso,
  Aldier, Pielps, Upham, and Webster—Si, Hel, Berme, Berlind, Butler, Callhom,
  XAYS —Mens, Achison, Balagham, Artic, Johnson, of Louisnaw, Kiner, Mangum, Metcalfe, Niles, Pearce, Sebastian, Spirance, Sturgeon, Turney, Underwood,
  and Vilec.—34.

### THE OREGON BILL.

- The Senate then resumed the consideration of the bill to establish
- The Sensite time resumed the consuccitation of a Territorial Government to Oregon.

  A Territorial Government to Oregon.

  Ar Euspeanson, to strike the question being on the mone, the works, "thirty-six degrees and thirty minutes," and inserting "try-two," and also the words, "enaully known as the Missouri congruence".
- Mr. WEBSTER addressed the Senate. He admitted the propriety of the establishment of a territorial government in Oregon, priety of the establishment of a territorial government in Oregon, and he was willing to vote for this bill as it came from the House. If amended as now proposed, he would not be able to vote for it. He revited the words of the section, and said, the amendment proposed gave a reason for the application of the principle of the ordinance of 1787 to the territory of Oregon. When a single reason was given for any act, it was intended to be inferred that there were no other reasons. The territory of Oregon was above the line of the Missouri compromise. His objection to slavery

was irrespective of lines and points of latitude: it took in the whole country, and the whole question. He was opposed to it in every shape, and in every qualification; and was against any compromise of the question.

As to California and New Mexico, he said it was easy to fore As to California and New Mexico, he said it was easy to fore-see to what the acquisition of this territory would lead. He wish-ed it were as easy to see that there would be a harmonions con-clusion of the matter. He congratulated himself that he had taken no part in the late war, except to oppose its commence-ment with all his might, and at the close to oppose the treaty with all his might. He believed the war itself to be a calamity. looked on the treaty by which it had been concluded as a calamity; and he greatly feared that the treaty would turn out to be the most permanent calamity.

Mr. BUTLER contended that the establishment of govern Mr. BUTLER contended that the establishment of govern-ments in the territories of California and New Mexico, ought to have been embraced in this Oregon bill. He disapproved of this policy of giving especial protection to the territories north of the Missouri line of compromise, and giving to the North all that ya-Jussian line of compromise, and giving to the North at that valuable portion of the Union. The resolutions of the State of Viriginia, which were referred to the other day by a Senator from that State, [Mr. Mason,] had been responded to by all the southern States of the Union. He thought that States should nover pass resolutions until they were sure that the citizens would sus-tain them. He would tell the Senate that his advice to his con-stituents would be, to go to these new territories with arms in stituents would be, to go to these new territories with arms in their hands; to go as armed communities, and take possession of the lands which they had helped to acquire, and see who would attempt to dispossess them. Would the military force of the United States shoot down the ploughman at his plough? So help him God, he would so advise his constituents, to take with them their property there, and settle at all hazards. He was willing, lad the compromise bill passed, it loow to it, and if the decision of the Supreme Court should have been adverse to his opinions. he would submit to it. But that hill had been not only rejected by the House of Representatives, but it had been treated with so little respect, that he felt it due to himself and to the South to let

ittle respect, that he left it due to minsel and to the south force, their position be well understood. He was opposed to the bill. He had always opposed a war of conquest and aggrandizement, for he had foreseen that our triumphs would turn out to be apples of discord from which serious evils would result. He should now takes is perition, and let Googress mode that law they may, be would advise his constituents to assert their rights with arms in their hands, and take possession of the land. The subtleties and sophisms of the laws of nations would be feelbe barriers to the spirit which would show itself in the South. He would go home and tell, his constituents these views, and he trusted he had not so much infirmity as to shrink from carrying them into effect. Times and circumstances had changed the character of this bill for the establishment of a territory in Oregon from what it was two years ago. Then it was comparatively innocent; now he regarded it as a masked battery, from behind which the institutions of the South were to be assailed with a firm determination to subdue them. The South would not fear a contest. She was ready to meet her opponents in a fair and open manner, but she would rise indignant against these covert attacks. He was ready to embark in the hoat with his State, and to trust it to the care of Heaven. So beautifully are the elements of our government arranged, that they scarcely require the agency of man to secure their harmonious and permanent progress. But the act of man could perveit and destroy these tendencies, and produce discord and confusion.

Mr. DOUGLAS, desiring to have the bill placed in a proper shape, would not make any remarks in this stage.

Mr. HALE then made some observations, referring to the history of the Texas annexation and the Oregon question in connection with it. At the time of passage of the Texas resolution, the Oregon question was introduced, and a motion was made to attach it to the Texas bill. The mover did not withdraw his motion, and the Oregon feature was sustained by a large majority. The two South Carolina Senators voted against the consideration of the hill in the Senate, and thus defeated it; and when he coupled this with a declaration of a South Carolina Representative in the House, with a declaration of a South Carolina Representative in the House, that if the clause probibiting the introduction of slavery in Oregon prevailed, it would be defeated in the Senate—when he put these facts together, they appeared to him to be indicative of an under-stood and concosted agreement. He spoke of the course of the Senator from South Carolina, and was about to remark on his manner, when

Mr. BUTLER explained that the proceedings of the South Carolinians were marked as much by moderation and calmness as by determination.

Mr. HALE resumed. They were doubtless as much marked hr. HALE resultant. They were doubtess as index market by moderation and ealmness as was the course of their Senators here; and if this was moderation and ealmness, he would like to know what was heat and intemperance. We are told that if we do not yield to their wishes, they will resist to blood.

Mr. BUTLER did not say that it would be so, but that he would give his advice to that effect.

Mr. HALE said, if the voice of the Senator went deep into the hearts of his constituents, he might produce much mischief.

Mr. UNDERWOOD withdrew his proposition to amend, as he perceived the sense of the Senate was against it.

The question then recurring on the amendment as reported by

Mr. CALHOUN said he should vote against the amendment MI. CALHOUN said he should vote against the amendment, because he regarded it as miligious, and he was against all amendment because the regarded its miligious, and he was against all amendments of the control of the

This question, in his opinion, would never heal itself at mu a go through, and the sooner it is met the hetter. He believed the question would never heal or he terminated here. In the body question would never heal or be terminated here. In the bedy politic, as well as in the natural body there are diseases which, if not timely checked, must end fatally. There is an impression fore that slavery is sinful. This was not rashly asserted by him, as he had resided some time in Now England, and had then become convinced that it would lead to serious cuis. How it came ap-here, he would not now stop to examine. This government had assumed a consolidating tendency, and this has produced a per-version of feeling and of policy of which the course now pursued in relation to the South is one of the effects. The country is now agitated throughout; and there are political circumstances which will prevent this excitement from dying out. The conviction of the sinfalness of slavery in the North will keep this excitement from subsidier. from subsiding.

He then adverted to the influence of this question on the pend

He then adverted to the influence of this question on the peniing Presidential election, and the elfects it mist exert on the vote
of the South. Each party will try to keep this question separate
to keep the people in the dark, and to suppress the discussion of it,
lest it might result in higher. He initinated that the South must
outurally incline to yield to the North; and touched upon the suggestions made by southern delegations as to the course to be pui
sard to put down abolition. A difference of opinion arising between the members, the course adopted by the House in the reception of abolition petitions had dely used to the succession of the course of the course adopted to the control of the course and the course of the course and the course of the c

tion of sholltion petitions had led to constant discord and uproar, while in the Senate only one petition on the subject had ever been presented there without the question of reception being called. He referred to the insurrections of the slaves in the West India Islands; and the same spirit, though suppressed, exists here. He dreaded the result which would follow, if the same spaint which now animated the North should continue to grow and spread. He feared that the effect of this growing spirit was felt in the Senate, where-the compromise bill was introduced and passed; yet, when it was rejected in the House, and this bill relating to Oreon came here, not one of those northern Senators who were triendly to that compromise ventured to move an amendment by attaching the compromise bill to this Oregon bill. He referred to the positions taken by the Sauth and the North on the slave question. The latter had been unable to meet the arguments of the southern Senates, and turned out of the direct course to discuss the question of taken by the South and the North on the slave question. The latter had been unable to meet the arguments of the southern Senators, and turned out of the direct course to discuss the question of the extension of territory, which was not connected with the subject, and was not advocated by a single representative from his State. He would now tell the people of the South that they can never settle this question until they take it into own their hunds. It was amnecessary for him to assert now his regard for the Union. It was the subject of the south that they can never settle this question until they take it into own their hunds. It was not seen that the south of the south of the training all his energies had been given to it; and he made a solemn appeal to the Senate not, by their own hands, to destroy this glorious fabric of our constitution. He believed, if the great struggle should come, the calomity will not fall the heaviest on the South. In the North the divisions were so mmerous as to keep the people distracted and dismitted, while in the South all are united. He admitted that the South was poor in comparison with the North but if slavery had impoverished the South, had it impoverished the North? Slavery had henclited all mankind—all contries but the South. Slavery, like the waters of the Nile, had spread its fertilizing influences over all the world. It had benefited all but the southern planter, who had been the tutor, the friend, as well as the master, of the slave, and had raised him up to everification. And the South had made great concessions to the North for the purpose of cementing the Union.

Mr. MANGUM said be should vote for the amendment, be-

Mr. MANGUM said he should vote for the amendment, because he regarded it as a modification; but whether it prevailed or not, he should vote against the bill.

Mr. NILES said the whole course of the South had in view the strension of slavery. He denied that the South had been weak-ened, and compelled to make concessions to the North. The componine bill was no concession of the South, even in the opinions of southern men themselves, and eertainly it was not so in the general estimation of the country. He complained that the Senator from South Carolina had traced the present crisis to a wrong cause, when he attributed it to the abolitonists of the North. The real fact is, that it springs from the upperston made by the free Strates the southern policy. This is resisted by the free Strates, and they are prepared to resist it to the last. They think the slave power strong enough, and they will oppose every cloth to extend slavery over the continent. The movement of the North was forced upon them, by the attempt to mix up this slavery quest-Mr. NILES said the whole course of the South had in view the extend stavery over the comment. The movement of the North was forced upon them, by the attempt to mix up this slavery ques-tion with the politics of the country. It had been given out by the South that no candidate for the Presidency should be supported there who did not pledge himself against the Wilmot proviso.

Now, when this course was taken, it was incumbent on the North to make a counteracting movement.

Mr. BUTLER said the South did not take this course until ten of the northern States had pledged themselves in an opposite man

nor.

Mr. NILES admitted that this was true. But here was the distinction: the northern States morely asserted their opinions as principle, while the South follow up their pledge by an awful rereat of milliferation if their wishes are not complied with. The Sonator from South Carolina had spoken of his attachment to the Union, and declared he would make a struggle to preserve it; and then be said they must take the matter into their own handsmeaning the slaveholders. They were to take clarge of Union. He preferred doing it in the usawid but the general interestion. He had no fears for the Union. It has strength enough to resist any collisions. The question, in not settled by Congress, will finally settle itself. He would vote against the proposition.

Mr. WEBSTER and Mr. NILES mutually explained on the subject of the existence of slavery in Texas at the time of annex-

Mr. METCALFE then expressed his intention to vote for the bill, whether it contained the compromise or not. He stated, that like the Senator from Massachinestts, he habeen opposed to the war, and is he did not oppose the transported to the war, and is he did not oppose the transported to the war, and is he did not oppose the transported to the war, and is he did not oppose the transported to the transported to the state of the sta Mr. METCALFE then expressed his intention to vote for the this game was fairly played, it was idle to talk of disunion.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, made some remarks in reply to what had fallen from the Senator from Massachusetts, in the course of which he stated, that if the North had come to a fixed determi-nation to prevent the South by legislation from carrying their slaves into the new territories, the States could remain together no longer. This he stated, not as his individual opinion, but as the sentiment of the South. He believed in the existence of the

the sentiment of the South. He believed in the existence of the power in Congress to pass a law to probiblt slavery, and if such a law were presented to the Supreme Court for a decision on its constitutional lay; it would be in favor of the law. As a judical question, the decision would be against the protection of the South. In reference to the annexation of Texas, be stated that it was advocated by Senators from the northern States as advantageous to the North on account of the market which it would open for their manufactures; and he adverted to the fact that some of the Senators had been induced to vote for it by the promise of the Fresident, that he would act upon the alternative proposition. He had voted for the compromise bill, because he thought it the only mode of settling the question.

only mode of settling the question.

Mr. WTBSTER said he only spoke for himself, he did not speak for the North. He did not know what the North is, or where the North is the best way to avoid any domestic disputes and difficulties, was to avoid the occasion for them; and said, that in necordance with this maxim, he (Mr. W) had always apposed the acquisition of fereign territory. There wege wise heads than Lord Bacon's now. There are persons who will provide occasions, or certainly will meet them and adopt circumstances, as they may arise, the ther referred to the course of the remarks of the Senton from South Carolina, and went on to show that there was no constitutional authority for the acquisition of foreign territory, and that no one had presended to show any constitutional warrant for the power exercised by Congress, without the constent of the States, to make laws for territories which never came within the constent plation of the constitution or its firamers. He enumerated the difto make takes for territories waten more came within the coherent plation of the constitution or its framers. He enumerated the difficulties in which this acquisition of territory had planged us. He was not apprehensive of any disanion. He never contemplated its possibility. He was not one of those who accustom themselves to possibility. He was not one of those who accurate itemserves to speak of such a contingency. An earthquake may come, a volca-no may burst forth; but human foresight can do nothing to prevent such calamities. So the dissolution of the Union is among those possible calamities; but what could human wisdom do to farther, possinc customates; not what could mind was sold on of influer, and advance of it, the happiness of the human race? He believed there was a disposition everywhere to support the Union, and that five out of six, of our citizens would be glad to give back the new territories we have acquired.

Mr. BERRIEN made a few remarks, for the purpose of indexing the Senator from Massachusetts to review his legal opinion, the control of the purpose of indexing the Senator from Massachusetts to review his legal opinion, the control of the purpose of indexing the Senator from Massachusetts to review his legal opinion.

ion as to the absence of all power in the constitution for the acqui-sition of foreign territory. He assigned the reasons which induced bim to differ from these opinions.

Mr. FOOTE said he rose for the purpose of putting an end to the debate. He saw no probability of proper deliberation being given to this bill at this late period of the session. Several amendments had been effered, among them, one of his own, and averal Senators were very axious to be heard on this subject. He did not see any possibility of deviding the question at this session. He admired the pressing occasion for the establishment of a government in Oregon but he thought, that as it was so short an interval until the next session, no great highry could result from the delay. He moved (6 lay the bill upon the table, but

withdrew it, on the pledge that it would be renewed by

Mr. JOHNSON, of Georgia, who said that the South honestly MATA-OTHERS A. W. Coorgan, was said unit one could indecessly the believed the contrary opinion was entertaised with equal box-eaty in the North. If the North, having the majority, insist on exercising the power, what can follow but the degradation of the South, or the dissolution of the Union ? The only remedy was a just and honerable compromise. If the Missouri compromise was offered to the South in the spirit in which it was offered in 1820, she would accept it. He desired to know from the chairman of the Committee on Territories whether the Missouri compromise bill was tendered in the spirit in which it was offered in 1890 ?

Mr. DOUGLAS replied in the affirmative. He made a few remarks in explanation of his own course. He gave his own opin-ion in the affirmative, but said that was not the understanding of the committee

Mr. FOOTE renewed his motion to lay the bill on the table The Senate then took a recess until half-past five o'olock.

# EVENING SESSION

The question pending when the Senate took a recess was the motion of Mr. Foorz to lay the Oregon bill on the table. The question was taken by yeas and nays, and decided in the negative: yeas. 15, nays 36, as follows:

YEAS.-Messis. Atchison, Badger, Berrien, Borland, Butler, Foote, Hi Johnson of Georgia, King, Lewis, Pearce, Schastian, Turney, Westcott, and

Johnson ol 1980IB, Roug, 2018.

ANYS—Meur. Aller, Atherica, Baldvin, Benton, Bradbury, Briese, Bright, Carlo, Cowin, Davis of Masselmett, Davis of Musishipi, Dayton, Dickinson, Dr. Dole, Booke, Devis, Pott, Prizgendi, Green, 1984, Barlin, Hannegan, Houston, Johnson of Marvland, Johnson of Leminan, Missan, Metcalle, Miller, Steller, Park, Signance, Enderwood, Ljahan, Valler and Valenter—and Carlo and Car

Nies, Piedys, Symanoc, Underwood, Unham, Walker and Wester-20.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Londinian, read the following amendment, which he preposed to offer, and which he explained in a few words. He subsequently declined to offer it.

To add the following settlet:

To add the following

Mr. DOWNS proposed the following as an amendment, but subsequently withdrew it, after some remarks:

subsequently withdrow it, after some remarks:

At the end of the bill, and all lists part of the bill (8, 234) to establish the Terrica's Government, soft Overnment, and New Mexico, after the twentieth Pare seventien, section twenty-one, line there, strike out the words 'veilled' Epper Califonias', and after the word 'forwell' in the sexenth line, insert 'lying north a through depose by the contract words and the strike out the words 'or resecting severy,' and meter in the of them "and in said 'Ferrirely slavege or involved any severe in contract the section of the said line of thirty slave good in swoods are severed in the said that the section of the said line of thirty slave good 'forfeitnes', meant 'lying could of the said line of thirty-slave, after our different meant 'lying could for the said line of thirty-slave, the providents,' in the foatier, meant 'lying could for the said line of thirty-slave, line or line, think out the words' could be said line of thirty-slave, line or line, think out the words' respecting the line of the said line of thirty-slave, line or line, think out the words' respecting the said line of thirty-slave, line or line, think out the words' respecting the said of the said line of thirty-slave, line or line, think out the words' respecting the said of the said line of thirty-slave, line or line, think out the words' respecting the said of the said line of thirty-slave, line or line, think out the words' respecting and the said line of the said li

The question was then taken on the amendment of the Com-I ue question was tient taken on the amenument of 100 Committee on the Territories to insert the words: "That inasmuch as the said territory is north of the parallel of 36° 30° of north lattude, usually known as the Missouri compromie; 2600, with the understanding that in case the above amendment of the committee should be victed down, the question should be taken on the amendment sent to the Chair by Mr. Douglas, embracing the Missouri

The year and nays having been called, the question was decided

as follows:

VEAS—"Jesses: Brelst and Boughas—2.

VEAS—"Jesses: Brelst and Boughas—2.

NAYE Medic, Allien, Arbanen, Allien, Callann, Crustenn, Clarke, Cowan,

Berles, Bardan, Robert A., Berles, Allien, Callann, Crusten, Crusten,

Christopher, Callann, Crusten, Christopher, David Delivan, Dav. Delge,

Davin, Felh, Fitzgerdil, Foots, Green, Hale, Hamila, Hamegan, Houston,

Hanter, Johnson of Mayland, Johnson of Lousiana, Dahon of Georgia, Delavis,

Manguan, Nason, Metzalic, Miller, Meler, Frence, Park Messel, Lander,

Lowis, Manguan, Nason, Metzalic, Miller, Meler, Frence, Park Messel, Lander,

Messel, Turney, & Gatterrood, Uphany, Walter, Webber, and Wessel—2.

The question was then taken on the amendment embracing the Missouri compromise, and it was decided as follows:

YEAS—Mesus. Atchioon, Badger, Bell, Bentan, Bernien, Bodand, Bright, Botler, Galbasa, Ceserono, Davo of Massinjop, Deckinson, Douglas, Downs, Fargurald, Poos, Housen, Housen, Hatter, Jahanes of Maysiand, Jahanes of Septimental Control of Maysiand, Jahanes of Sebatina, Spirasare, Storgeon, Tarney, and Underwood—30.00. Meteolik, Faster, Sebatina, Spirasare, Storgeon, Tarney, and Underwood—30.00. Meteolik, Paster, NASS—Mesus, Aller, Adreton, Saldwan, Bradhary, Beres, Clinke, Carvini Davo af Massachusetti, Osyton, Dor, Boder, Felds, Geose, Hale, Hamin, Miller, Miller, Patine, Patine, Leban, Walter, and Westerd—19.

Muller, Mise, Paeley, Uphan, Walker, and Westont—21.

The other amoundments of the documnities, striking out the limitation on the mileage of the delegate, and inserting "Pagec's Sound" instead of Nisgually, were adopted.

The question was about to be taken on the engressment, when—Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, moved to strike out all after the enacting clauses, and insert the bill which had passed the Senate and been laid on the table by the House; tut, on the urgent ap-

30TH CONG .- 1ST SESSION-No. 128.

peal of Messrs. Berrien, Metcalfe, and other Senators round him he withdrew it. And the question was then taken on the engrossment, and de-cided in the affirmative, as follows:

concer in the autrimature, as follows:

FASA — Merr. Acthoor, Badger, Bell, Benton, Berrien, Bedrand, Brower,
Brigit, Salter, Usancon, Chiyan, Javan, of Musanpin, Decknoon, Donglan, Downs,
Progrendi, Hinston, Marier, Johnson, of Wunjani, Johnson, of
Frigorial, Hinston, Ander, Johnson, of Wunjani, Johnson, of
Saltania, Briganer, Hongon, Hunter, Johnson, of Wunjani, Johnson,
Sebastian, Spranner, Miller, Alterion, Badwin, Bandharr, Calloon, Garka,
Sebastian, Spranner, Singson, Turney, and Unders cod-20.

AAA3—Mesor, Allen, Alterion, Badwin, Bandharr, Calloon, Garka,
Corwin, Davis, of Manashuetts, Distron, Div. Dodge, Felli, Greege Hale,
Rundin, Miler, Nich, Penjer, Updan, Walter, Wohens and Westoch—20.

The bill was then read a third time and passed.

The Senate adjourned.

# FRIDAY, AUGUST 11, 1848.

The PRESIDENT, pro tempore, laid before the Senate a communication from B. Marshall, and other delegates of the Creek Indians, addressed to the chairman of the Committee on Indian Affairs, relative to the investment by the United States, of certain moneys appropriated for their benefit; which was laid on the table.

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Lonisiana, it was

Resolved. That the petition of George Poindexter, together with the report of the mimittee to whom it was referred and all the documents accompanying it, be re-red to the Secretary of War for his action thereon.

#### REPORTS FROM COMMITTEES.

- By Mr. MASON, from the Committee of Claims; Referring the papers of the representatives of Robert Carter to the First, Second, and Third Auditors of the Treasury, to examine and report at the next session.
- By Mr. WESTCOTT, from the Committee on Patents: An act to provide for applications for the renewal of patent rights in cases, with an amendment.
- Mr. WESTCOTT asked to have the bill considered at once, as it would enable the commissioner to decide on all the cases except one.
- Mr. DAVIS opposed the taking up of the bill, as it must give rise to discussion
- Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, asked to be discharged from the further consideration of a bundle of petitions which he sent to the chair, but did not name.

#### RESOLUTIONS.

# Mr. FELCH submitted the following resolutions:

Resolved. That there he sllowed and paid out of the contingent fund of the S An experience of the control of the

commuters of the Senate, each, the same amounts as were last allowed them by resolution of the Senate.

Resolved, That there be allowed and paid, as above, to the acting postmister and each of the mail carriers of the Senate the sum of \$850, and to each of the boys assisting the mail carriers \$100, to the weeten gate keeper \$100, and to Sylvester Gray and Lloyd Wallace, each, the sum of \$60.

Mr. TURNEY moved to amend the resolution by inserting one. IURNER moved to amount the resolution by inserting the each of the capital police \$200, or as much thereof as with any allowance made by the House of Representatives, will amount to \$200."

The question was then taken on the adoption of the resolution, and agreed to.

On motion by Mr. DOWNS,

Resolved. That there be allowed and paid to James Morton, Noah Hanson, the same daily pay as has been paid to the other messengers of the Senate, commencing with the present session.

## On motion by Mr. WESTCOTT,

Resolved, That there he allowed and paid to Messrs. Wright and Ramsay \$100 each, for services rendered the Judiciary Committee of the Senate at this session, to be paid out of the contagent fand of the Senate.

On motion by Mr. ATHERTON: the Senate proceeded to consider the amendments of the House to the civil and diplomatic bill. The Senate then insisted on its amendments, and disagreed to those of the House; and a committee of conference was ap-

## REPORTING AND PRINTING

Mr. BADGER moved to proceed to the consideration of the resolution relating to the printing of the debates in Congress. The resolution was read, as follows :

The resolution was read, as follows. Resolution was read, as follows. Resolution was read as the procession of the proce

- Mr. BADGER moved so to amend the resolution, as to make it take effect from the commencement of the next session.
- Mr. BENTON was surprised that an amendment should be offered after so much delay. Some days had clapsed, and it had now become too late in the session for discussion of amendments.
- Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, expressed himself favorable to the resolution as reported. He thought the amendment was in-

judicious. As to the official reports, he was satisfied that they had not answered the purpose proposed; not from any fault of the reporter, but owing to the inadequacy of the compensation. The contract was for the whole Congress—\$12,000 for the long session, and \$6,000 for the short one. The additional \$2,500 was for contract was for the whole Congress—\$12,000 for the long session, and \$6,000 for the short one. The additional \$2,200 was for three hundred and fifty copies of the reports, to be given to the members of the House. He was anxious to do no injustice to the reporter, who calculated on greater profit, from the short session than he had obtained through the long one. He presumed it was not intended to do any injustice.

Mr. HALE vindicated the official reporter against the charge of atter failure. The failure was owing to the madequacy of the compensation. He was in the habit of reading the reports of compensation. other speeches than his own.

Mr. BADGER was compelled to acknowledge that the jndg-ment of the Senator from Missouri relative to this plan of reporting had been verified by the result. But the failure was not at-tributable to the reporter, but to the insufficiency of the means at his disposal. So far as accurateness, politeness of demeanor, and a courteous disposition to accommodate Senators are concerned, the reporter had been all that could be desired; and he hoped no itopntation would rest on him. He would now withdraw his

Mr. FOOTE concurred in what had been so gracefully and for-cibly expressed by the Senator from North Carolina in favor of the reporter. He had been in the habit of association with that geatleman by night and day, and he could not consent that he should be dispharged from the service of the Senate, improverished by his labors, which had been so arduous as to have frequently bro down his health. One of the reasons of the delay in the publica-tion of the reports was the chariness felt by Senators as to their reputation, which induced them to revise their speeches before they permitted them to be sent out to the world.

Mr. BENTON admitted the impossibility of any accuracy of report, when Senators could not understand each other.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, moved to amend the resolution by inserting a provision, that a compensation of \$2,500 be granted to the reporter, as a compensation, on the relinquishment of his contract; which was agreed to.

Mr. KING remarked on the difficulties which stood in the Mr. KING remarked on the difficulties which stood in the way of correct reporting. He believed that no system could be adopted which would give universal satisfaction. There would be complaints before the expiration of a single year. He thought we had better return to the old system, by which running reports we had better return to the obsystem, owned running reports were circulated throughout the country, and if they sometimes did injustice, they were, on the whole, materially wrong. He would move to strike out the part of the resolution which provides for the publication of written, revised speeches. There should be sworn reporters.

should be sworn reporters.

Mr. BENTON reminded the Senator from Alabama, that this new plan would be under the daily control of the Senate. As to the revision of speeches, it was a practice of long standing. These speeches were published in the districts to which the gentlemen belonged; and, if they were of public importance, they found their way into other papers. As to the writing out of speeches, he wished be could have the opportunity to write out all his speeches —to revise, chastise, punish them. The true as well as the cris-"—to revise, chastise, punish then. The true as well as the cus-tomary mode of reporting was not literal, but historical—using the third person, not the first. He was against swearing. How could reporters be sworn to report correct speeches, when Senators themselves could not hear each other?

Mr. KING explained, that a suggestion was formerly made to Mr. KING explained, that a suggestion was believely associated change the usual mode of reporting, and to employ sworn reporters. He stated what was the practice in the British Parliament, where reporters succeeded each other, and sent their reports to the printing office as the speaking was going on. No reporter the printing office as the speaking was going on. No reporter can take down every word, even when the Senate is in order; when it is not in order—and he regretted that it was now more disor-derly than he had ever known it to be—it was impossible to come near it. He hoped the clause to which he had objected would be stricken out, and then he would not make any strengous objection to the resolution.

Mr. HANNEGAN testified in favor of the talents and polites of the reporter, while he was in favor of the resolution as it had been amended.

Mr. ALLEN said, that a correct system of reporting was very desirable, and he knew no better plan than had been suggested by desirade, into the Ander to Deter plant than into them aggressed by the committee. He desired to amend, by introducing a provision that the Journal of the Senate should be published at the end of every week, which would give the debates, the yeas and nays, and proceedings on all great private claims. Two reporters are not sufficient to give reports of all that is said here. If you take enough of them, you may have every word reported. In England, no reporter takes more than twenty minutes, when he goes out and corrects his reports while it is tresh in his mind, and hands it to the printer, while another reporter takes his place. No reports that the printer is the printer of the printer is the printer of the printer of the printer is the printer of the pri

Mr. BENTON hoped the Senator would add to his proposition a provision striking out all the rest of the resolution.

The amendment offered by Mr. King was negatived.

Mr. Allen's amendment was negatived.

Mr. WESTCOTT was, he said, ngainst the resolution, and would call for the yeas and nays.

The question being taken, the resolution was adopted: Yeas 37, nays 9, as follows:

NYAS,—Mestro Saller, Alchaou, Balge, Gribb, Dalvin, Rell, Daton, Herico, P. Was,—Mestro Charles, Company, David Rell, Daton, Charles, Carlos, Carlos, Correla, David Rell, Daton, Charles, Carlos, David Rell, Fitzenski, Magon, Mason, Matchael, Miler, Johnson, Oliver, Charles, Miler, States, Markey, Mark

#### MAIL POST ROUTES.

On motion by Mr. NILES, the Senate then proceeded to the consideration of the House bill to establish certain post routes, the amendment pending being that of Mr. Niles, in the following words:

words:

SEC. 10. And be it further exacted. That, from and after the first day of October next, the postage on newspapers and other pristed matter-hall be as follows, all newspapers, pamphicists, magazines, and books, whether promiseds or not, not crossing papers, pamphicists, magazines, and books, whitcher promiseds or not of exceeding a deficiency of the control of the control

Mr. DICKINSON moved to add the following proviso, to come in after the word prepaid:

Provided, That all newspapers which are published weekly may be sent any acc within fifty miles, or throughout the country where printed, free of postage

Mr. DICKINSON asked the yeas and nays on the amendment, which provides that newspapers shall be transported free within thirty miles.

Mr. BORLAND restated his objections to the amendment, be-ause it would not benefit the sparsely peopled districts of the West

Mr. DICKINSON replied that were we to legislate from this time till doomsday, it would be impossible to put the West on the same footing of convenience with the large cities and densely peopled regions.

Mr. CAMERON advocated the amendment as beneficial to the publishers of the small country papers.

Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, was against the amendment, if it diminished the compensation to postmasters.

Mr. DICKINSON said its effect in reference to the compensation would be very trifling.

Messrs. Johnson, Downs, Foote, Niles, and Dickinson, make some brief remarks, when Mr. Dickinson modified his amendment so as to read "fifty miles."

The question was taken by yeas and nays, and decided in the affirmative, as follows:

Alberton, Bodisch, Birgh, Cameron, Chile, Corvan, Davisch, Chile, Corvan, Davisch, Demor, Eds. Propos, Green Habe Heuton, Johnson, of Maryland, Johanos, of Louissan, King, Mason, Miller, Phelps, Spramoe, Tuney, Chen, and Waller,—Macco, Bangler—M. Chile, Device of Michiganyi, Hamila, Johnson, of Maryland, Johnson, Joh

Mr. WESTCOTT moved to strike out and insert, that news-papers published weekly shall be transmitted free of postage through the State in which they are published.

The motion being declared out of order-

Mr. HALE moved to reconsider the vote by which the amendment of the Senator from New York had been agreed to, but the motion was withdrawn.

The question was then taken on the amendment as amended, and the result was as follows :

XPAS.—Mess, Alies, Atherboo, Baldwin, Bught, Cameron, Clarke, Dayton, Dickiuson, Dat, Doley, Douglas, Downs, Felch, Fitzgendi, Grence, Houston, Johnson, Of Maryland, Attler, Niles, Pheps, Sprance, Stoggen, Opham, and Walter.
NAYS.—Mesn. Badger, Bell, Berren, Borland, Bradbury, Buller, Callonou, Corwin, Davis, Off Mass, Davis, of Mise, Hanito, Osboso, of Lousinas, Johinon, of Georgia, King, Lewis, Mangum, Mason, Metcalfe, Pearce, Sebastian, Turrey, 'Influenced, Vectott and Valee-

Mr. DICKINSON then moved to reconsider the vote by which the amendment was lost; and after some remarks

Mr. HALE moved to lay the motion to reconsider on the table; and the yeas and nays having been demanded, the vote stood for laying the motion on the table as follows:

YKAS—Mesun, Balger, Berner, Roband, Bradberr, Clarke, Corwin, Davin, of Mansaippi, Dodge, Ilale, Hannegan, Houston, Johnson, of Mariand, Johnson, of Navines, Coron, Spanner, Johnson, Deven, Johnson, July, Johnson, Jo

Mr. WESTCOTT then renewed the clause just rejected with the following proviso:

Provided. That all newspapers published weekly shall not be charged postage within the State to which the same are published.

Mr. HAMLIN opposed the motion as imposing an unequal tax on the press.

Mr. WESTCOTT vindicated his motion, on the ground that papers which were taken within the State are taken for the insi-

Mr. CAMERON was opposed to the amendment, because it took in the weekly papers published in the cities, which are made

Mr. UNDERWOOD asked for the yeas and mays, which were ordered; and after a few words from Mr. Westcott and Mr. Niles, the question was taken and decided as follows:

YYAN-Mesin, Alien, Bedger, Bennon, Bernon, Bolind, Bresse, Court-Bolgs, Boughas, Doughas, Doughas, Doughas, Doughas, Doughas, Boughas, Doughas, Doughas, Doughas, Doughas, Doughas, Johnson of Loussey, Schautze, Russee Tamer, Uncertainful Company, Johnson of Loussey, Schautze, Russee Tamer, Uncertainful Company, Parks and Company, Night, Raishy, Camaron, Davis of Macachasouth, Davis of Mac

An amendment was offered by Mr. YULEE, the effect of which An amendment was obered by Mr. YULEE, the effect of which was to take away the discretionary power from the Postmaster General; and after some considerable dobate, no which Messra. YULEE, Bailett, NILES, and others, participated, the question was taken and decided in the negative.

Mr. NILES then moved to amend the bill by inserting the following:

Diving:

And beit further enacted. That the Postmaster General be, and hereby u, an thorsed to advance to the Genes Steam Nougation Company a tom not exceeding the control of the Company and the Company and the Company and the Company, and to take security on and they can have predict in used way as he may deem correct and safe for the Cunted States, and and moment to be reinstanced from the payment to which and company may be entailed for an extended of the Company of the control of the Company of the Company of the mode to the Company of the Company of the mode that of the Company of the Company of the mode that of the Company of the Company of the mode of the Company of the company of the mode of the Company of the company of the mode of the Company of the company of the mode of the Company of the comp

This led to some considerable debate, in which Messrs. Dickinson, Dix, Bradbury, Allen, Niles, and others, participated; when the question was taken by years and nays, and decided in the negative, as follows:

T. A.S.—Mass, Budger, Ballwin, Brallwin, Davis, of Manachusette, Daytor and Dr. Roova, Poter Hall, Hallmin, Joheson, of Mayland, Johnson, of Leenasta, Maller, Niles, Festes, and Sturgeon,—16.

AN A'S.—Messen, Adhen, Ackinson, Alberton, Rernet, Bolland, Baph, Back, Maller, Aller, Ackinson, Alberton, Rernet, Bolland, Baph, Back, Pattern, Aller, Ackinson, Alberton, Rernet, Bolland, Baph, Back, Pattern, Aller, Ackinson, Aller, Al

Mr. BORLAND moved an amendment, authorizing the Post-master General to make a contract for a mail steamer down the Mississippi; which was negatived.

Mr. PEARCE moved to amend the bill, by inserting a provi-sion to pay J. B. Sullivan a claim for work done for the Post Office Department, which was agreed to.

Mr. PEARCE moved an amendment for the payment of J. L. Graham, late postmaster of New York, for expenses incurred by him; which was agreed to.

Mr. YULEE moved to amend the bill by inserting a clause Mr. YULEE moved to amend the bill by inserting a clause rendering it inperative on the Postmaster General to let the dif-ferent post routes in this bill, and those heretofore ordered by dif-ferent neis, at the next letting after the passage of the act. Here-tofore he has exercised a discretion on this subject, denying the right of Congress to take it from him, as to the propriety of estab-lishing the routes specified in the legislative act.

The motion was agreed to.

The Senate then took a recess until six o'eleck.

# EVENING SESSION.

Mr. HALE moved to take up the bill to regulate appeals from the trial of issues in the district of Columbia, the passage of which was, he said, absolutely necessary to enable the people of this District to obtain justice.

Mr. BADGER thought it better, he said, to leave the matter as it was.

Mr. BUTLER advocated the motion to take up the bill. When issues were sent down from the chancery and orphans' courts, the decision of the circuit court was final. There was no appeal. was anxious about the matter.

Mr. MASON asked if the motion was not to take up the bill?

The PRESIDENT pro tem. said that was the motion; and that there was a bill now before the Senate, to wit: the bill to establish certain post routes.

The bill to establish certain post routes was then taken up; and it was, on motion, without any action, laid on the table for the present.

#### MEXICAN CLAIMS.

On motion by Mr. BENTON, the Senate took up, as in Committee of the Whole, the bill to carry into effect certain stipulations of the treaty between the United States of America and the Republic of Mexico of the 2d day of February, 1848.

Mr. BENTON moved to strike out the first section, which was in these words:

In times woulds:

Be it exacted, &c., That the Secretary of the Treasury be, and he is hereby, authorized, opno the presentation of the centrestes usual to citizen of the United tember 1, 18-14, suttled "An act in addition to an act entitled "An act in tember 1, and tember 1, and the sufficient of an action to a many interesting the convention between the United States and the Mexican Republic." and certificate respectively, and to pay the amonate as activated to the detection of the state of the states and the state of the states legally entitled to receive the same, upon the surrender of sald certificates to the Treasury Department.

Mr. B. said this was perfectly unnecessary, provision having been made by another bill.

After some conversation between Mr. Benton, Mr. Johnson of Maryland, and others, the motion to strike out was agreed to

The second section was next read as follows:

The Second Section was facts treat as follows:

Sec. 2. And be if pather enceted, That all claim of clitzens of the United States against the Republic of Mercio, which were considered by the board of commission—an appointed another the convention of 11th April, 1253, and referred to the ompare, on the 5th February, 1842, ball be considered as adjudicated, and the sum awarded in fivor of said chairmant respectively, and reported to said unpits, together with interest on the same, as provided by and convention of 11th April, 1253, to be paid upon the fault awards of said board, dad be gaid to said chairms, or the legal rep-

Mr. BENTON moved to amend this section, by adding thereto a proviso, as follows:

Provided, That this section shall be construed to provide for the payment only of such awards or parts of awards, wethout interest, as were concurred in by held. American Commissioners on the part of the United States. "And provided Juristra," That stay to otherwise appropriated, bearing interest at six per conf. per anomal, as the President may differ a such as the provided of t

He said he desired to make the provision so plain that it could not be misunderstood. He entered nito an explanation of the mode of proceeding by the commission, and the reason why the sum of \$928,000, which was adjudicated by the commissioners, was not paid. He said this provision would cause the claimans to be paid whose claims had been adjudicated by the commissioners, and he argued that they should not again be required to go before another board to establish their claims.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, concurred with the honorable Senator from Missouri, that it would be exceedingly unjust to compel these claimants to go before another board and reproduce their proofs, particularly since very many of the proofs on which the pending claims were adjudcated had been taken away by the Mexican commissioners. He also wished to inquire whether this amount was to be paid out of the three and a quarter millions, or out of the treasury of the United States! He understood that it was proposed to be paid out of the treasury, and not to be a reduction of the three and a quarter millions.

Mr. BENTON replied that it was so. The proviso, as he had stated, was to prevent misunderstanding. These amounts, by the provision, would be paid out of the treasury, leaving the three and a quarter millions for the payment of claimants to he hereafter decided upon. And if the amount of the claims should exceed the three and a quarter millions, the distribution must be a provata one. There would be no difficulty in this case. This was not a case between the United States and a foreign country requiring an umpire, but between the United States and her own citizens, and the proviso was constructed with great care for the purpose of giving a protection against any injustice to the claim-

Mr. BRADBURY reminded the Senate of the fact that the unpire, when he adjudicated other claims, made a reduction of 30 and 32 per cent.; and if these claims were to be paid with such a reduction, an inequality would exist, and these claimsta would be placed in a better position by 30 or 32 per cent. than those on whose claims the unpire had saided. He also these claims, and added that he had hoped the three and a quarter millions would have covered the whole of the claims.

Mr. EENTON entered into further explanations. The question in relation to interest was one of amount merely. Owing to the delay which had taken place, claimants had been compelled to go into the money market, and raise place is ticher wants at exercising the compelled to go than rates to do injustice to the committee to do injustice to the committee to do injustice to the claim of the claimants. There were cases in which nother the board nor the unpire deducted a single cent. Others were reduced a little; some were reduced one field, and others one third, the average may have been a third. Some had

one hundred per cent. taken off. He knew that in the claims now under discussion there would have deen no deduction at all. The bill, he thought, was about perfect as it stands. It had passed the revision of a committee, and had received much consideration. As to the interest, it would be errol to take it away from persons who could show their accounts, and prove that they had paid three times eight per cent, for money.

Mr. KING contended that the interest ought not to be allowed. He entered into a detail of the circumstances of the case, to show the ground on which he rested this opinion. If he had known nothing of these claims, the argument of the Senator from Missouri would have been sufficient to satisfy him that it would be most unjust to allow this interest. The American commissioners had clearly endeavored to make the amount due to American etti-zens as large as possible, and this was the cause of the deduction by the unpure. There had been no final decision, or it could not be the control of the country of the property of the property of the property of the property of the payment of interest. The effect would be to deprive other of interest perhaps as justly due to them. He would not look behind the proceedings of our commission, although he might do so; but he should certainly oppose the payment of interest.

Mr. BENTON said this was a strongle for interest. He had heard of different beds—the hed of justice was one; and there was another bed made by a person whose name would present itself to every Senator, which was exactly four feet ten inches long; and to this everything stretched on it was to be curtailed. But this he decimed to be a worse scheme of reduction than that he had alloudd to. Every claim, without regard to circumstances, was to be reduced to this four feet ten and a half inches, because the arbiter had deduced thirty-three per cent.

Mr. BRADBURY was of opinion that we could not do better than adopt the rule of deduction established by the commissioners. What other rule had we for our guide! If we adopt the decision was not received by the commissioners of the amount to be deducted? The arbiter was independent and he had examined the cases, and had laid down the rule which had been referred to. If we take any other rule, we shall directly a superior of the rule which had been referred to. If we take any other rule, we shall directly a superior of the rule which had been referred to. If we take any other rule, we shall directly a superior of the rule which had been referred to. If we take any other rule, we shall directly a superior of the rule which had been referred to a superior of the rule which had been could not have a more corrected by the arbiter. He had so not prepared to state correctly to what class these claims belong. If the claims are reduced in the same ratio as the deduction of the arbiter, and give eight per ceut, interest, the amount of the claims would be between mue hundred thousand and a million dollars. If the claims were cqual in justice to those passed on by the arbiter, and the shall be allowed to desire to do any ignition to the sould be allowed. He did not allowed to the shall be willing to give a larger amount.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, moved to amend the proviso by adding:

And said claims in all other respects shall be placed upon the same footing with the aims included in the 13th article of the treaty between the United States and Mexico, f the 2d of February, 1448.

Mr. CALHOUN called for the yeas and nays on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Maryland [Mr. Johnson] to the amendment of Mr. Benton.

Mr. JOHNSON said he must vote against the amendment. It was based on the idea that these claims were to be placed on the same footing with those submitted by the beard. The Senator from Alabama thought the claimants might consider themselves fortunate if they get their claims without interest.

Mr. KING said he wished to be understood. He had said that the umpire had scaled down the claims, and it was to be inferred that they were too high.

Mr. BENTON said the Senator from Alabama had used the word "scale" four times. Did he intend to say that to scale was to reduce?

Mr. KING. Yes.

Mr. BENTON replied it was not so. A scale was a long-tried instrument, which levelled off horizontally. The continental bills were scaled.

Mr. JOHNSON resumed his remarks in favor of the claims and interest. He argued that if the officers of the government had decided the amount to be due, there could be no propriety in our deciding that they are not due.

Mr. BADGER stated that the United States had, by treaty, discharged Moxico from all repossibility, and we had assumed these claims to the amount of three and a quarter millions. Ho was opposed to the treaty, because be felt that we ought not to discharge another government from responsibility until we were prepared to pay these claims. He therefore desired to strike out the limitation of three and a quarter millions, because the total amount was mascertained. Between the claims which had been adjudicated, and such as had not been adjudicated, he could not see the smallest distinction. Should it turn out that the claims exceed in amount the three and a quarter millions, was that a reason why we should not pay them. If the claims were to reach five

millions, we were as much bound to pay them as if they fell within the three and a quarter millions. He did not exactly understand the amendment of his friend from Maryland; but if it placed all on the same footing, he should not oppose it.

Mr. UNDERWOOD was not willing to pay all these claims. He read the thirteenth article of the treaty, which specified claims already liquidated and decided against the United States. Now, already liquidated and decided against the United States. Now, these were all the claims which were then due. The claims nader he award of the arbiter, which were not decided, were not enacted in this article of the treaty. The correctness of this view being admitted, as it was, by his friend from Maryland, he went on to show that there was no reason why these claims should be now allowed. If it was intended to give away this \$500,000 out of the treasury, it was then a manifest, open gift. It dd not, then, come within the claims chargeable on the three and a quarter millions. It was a som in addition to the three and a quarter millions. It was a som in addition to the three and a master then, come within the claims chargeable on the three and a quarter millions. It was a som in addition to the three and quarter millions, and transcended by nearly a million the sum we are bound to pay by compact; and it is thus so much thrown away. It is proposed to pay \$800,000 now, and postpone the other cisims for two years. It is said that there are outstanding claims amount of six or six and a last there are outstanding claims and a quarter millions are all the proposed to the pay of t

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, said he took a different view. He thought we were bound to pay all debts justly due. He was ready to vote for the payment in full of all these claims, whenever proof should be produced.

Mr. PHELPS said, we could not pay the whole of these debts in full. It we pay now a portion in full, we cannot pay in full those which will remain to be paid. If we assume that the 890g-1000 are to be deducted from the three and a quarter millions, and 000 are to be deducted from the three and a quarter millions, and the rest must be a dividend, how can we pay the \$90,000 until you ascertiam what the pro-orted dividend is? We cannot either pay these men out of the tensawly, or leave them to take their pro-orde. He saw no mode but to adopt the amendment of the Senator from Maryland, or to strike out from the bill the provision for payment of these claims.

Mr. UNDERWOOD again referred to the treaty to show that we are only bound to pay the claims to an amount exceeding three and a quarter millions.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, was of apinion that we were bound to pay the whole of the claims, without regard to the limi-tation of the treaty, even if they amounted to twenty millions.

Mr. UNDERWOOD said, if that was the true view, he could wish to have an examination of the claums, and of the extent to which they go.

Mr. WESTCOTT reminded the Senate that he had moved to strike out this limitation when the trenty was under consideration. He desired to see our country taking the same stand that Eogland He desired to see our country taking the same stand that Legiand had taken in the protection of her commerce and citizens. He should vote for the payment of all unliquidated claims, and would never safetion the idea that we used the claims of our citizens for speculative purposes in our negotiations with a foreign power.

Mr. CALHOUN said, the terms of the treaty were plain. It bound us to pay the claims of our citizens to the amount of three and a quarter millions. The unliquidated claims were to be settled to that amount. Out of what fund is this \$900.000 to be

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland .- Out of the Treasury

Mr. CALHOUN .- Not out of the three and a quarter millious? Then it does not come within the terms of the treaty. not bound to pay it until it has come before another board.

The question was then taken on the amendment to the amendment, and was decided as follows:

TEAS.—Mean. Balger, Baldist, Benton, Brathury, Clarke, Lowns, Geren-Benton, Johnson, of Maryland, Johnson, of Lemanan, Fearer, Pieles, Upan-Roman, Johnson, of Maryland, Johnson, of Lemanan, Fearer, Pieles, Upan, Char-NAYS—Mean. Allen, Achino, Bell, Berrice, Baldist, Right, Chalen, Can-NAYS—Mean. Allen, Achino, Bell, Berrice, Baldist, Right, Chalen, Can-Co, Cowin, Davis, of Masschanter, Dayton, Dictioner, Da. Tolley, F.S. li, Hale, reaco, Cowin, Davis, Olawaco, and Dayton, Decimina, Da. Tolley, F.S. li, Hale, Strugen, Tamey, Lebrewook, and Yuke,—22

Mr. BADGER moved to strike out the allowance of interest but withdrew it on the suggestion that it was out of order. He then moved to insert the words "without interest"—ayes 25. So the amendment was agreed to.

Mr. CALHOUN said the amendment of the Senator from MK CALIDUA Sau the amendment of the Senator from Missouri was objectionable, because it made a distinction between one portion of unliquidated claims against another portion of sim-ilar claims. He should vote against the amendment to the sec-tion, and then against the section itself.

Mr. UNDERWOOD thought the best mode would be to vote for the amendment; and baving thus put the section in the best form, to vote against that pari of the amendment of the Sonator from Missouri he opposed; and that was the part which required these claims to be submitted to two commissioners.

Mr. CALHOUN, that be might not embarrass, withdrew his call for the yeas and nays; and the amendment was agreed to.

Mr. BADGER moved to amend by striking out the words "to-

gether with interest on the same;" which was decided in the affirmative-ayes 24.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, said, as the section now stood, he should vot for striking out the section. He had been informed, from a source in which be placed great reliance, that these claims had been submitted to the board, and that the papors were taken away by the Mexican commissioners; and if they were compelled to reproduce thie; periods, they might be unable to do it. The two United States commissioners had pronounced in tavor of these claims. He therefore proposed to other an amendment, that these claims are adjudicated, by striking out in the second section all after the words "digidicated," and inserting, "act shall be paid as found to be due upon the same footing with the claims included in the thritteenth article of the treaty between the United States and the republic of Mexico, of the 2d February, 1848." Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, said, as the section new stood,

Mr. NILES was opposed to any new mode of settling and adjusting these claims, which might be productive of great injustice to either claimants. By deciding that these claims are adjudica-

Mr. ALLEN was of opinion, that if we go into the public treasury to pay these claims, there will no longer he any limit to them, and the treaty becomes a mere dead letter. By assuming them, and the treaty becomes a mere dead letter. By assuming the payment of these claims, to a limited extent, we interest every claimant to become will argue, that the more there is given to another, the less will be his share.

Mr. DAYTON could not see the possibility of settling this matter so as to do justice to all. He did not think that we could now settle the matter. It had been decided that this \$900,000 now settle the matter. now settle the matter. It may been needed unto this second on the paid out of the 7. He could not assent to the views of the Senator from Maryland. It was probable that the papers these youchers which he said were taken away by the Maryland commissioners—may not these papers have been Mexican wouchers? If not, they may be recovered, as the trenty provides that such papers shall be given up when demanded.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, remarked on the character of thiumal to which these claums had been referred. Before this board, being a joint commission, it was perfectly natural that the Mexican commissioners would put the best face on Mexican claims, and the United States commissioners would do the same etains, and the United States commissions and the thought with reference to American claims. They were not, by the objections imposed on thom, precluded from doing this. He thought there should be a revision of these claims, and that they should be put on the same footing with other claimants. They could then be easily adjusted. We may then be bound to allow these claims.

The amendment was then negatived.

Mr. UNDERWOOD moved to strike out the second section, and asked for the yeas and nays, which were ordered; and the question being taken, was decided as follows:

Question using sancia, was occition as 1010082;
YEAS—Meon. Alten, Batger, Ilalaties, Bernes, Brees, Bright, Buller, Calbonn, Guides, Corrin, Dotte of Manathusetts, Datton, Deley, Foote, Hamilton, Carlon, Datton, of Martind, Johnson, of Georga, King Lewis, Mangom, Miller, Niels, Pearce, Schattan, Spriance, Stargeo, Teney, Underwood, and Vulce—31.
NAYS—Meurs. Beston Down, Hannegen, Johnson, of Louisina, Maion, Upham, and Westoll—4.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, proposed to amend the bul so as to provide that the secretary of the commission be appointed by the President, with the advice and consent of the Senate, and that the clerk be appointed by the board

Mr. KING suggested that the board could accomplish their business with a secretary alone

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill and amendments were reported to the Senate. The question being on concurrence in the amendments, Mr. Balbwin proposed to amend the bill.

Mr. B. thought, he said, there was much reason in the objections made to the recognition of the claims, under the second section, as adjudiested, because it would prevent the loard from examining all the evidence upon which the claims rested. But there was greet hardship in obliging the claimans to incur the expense of obtaining the evidence of their claims from Mexico, to which they have been sen' back. The claims should be considered as adjudicated to far as to be prima facie evidence of their validity.

Mr. Allen and Mr. Berrien opposed the amendment. We had no reason to believe that duplicates had not been retained of all the vouchers, or that the Mexican government would not deliver them up.

Mr. BALDWIN offered an amendment accordingly, which was

The amendments were concurred io, and the bill was passed.

Mr. HALE offered a resolution (which lies over) for compen-sating James Moore for performing duties as carrier of the Sen-

#### POST ROUTES.

Mr. DICKINSON moved to take up the bill to establish certain post routes; which was agreed to.

Mr. ATCHISON moved the indefinite postponement of the bill; which was supported by Mr. King, Mr. Downs, Mr. Houston, and Mr. WESTCOTT opposed the

Mr. DICKINSON made some remarks upon a portion of the bill which appeared to him to be very obscure. Mr. NILES made some explanation as to the present state of

After some remarks on a question of order, the Senate, by re-consideration, reached the amendment given in a former part of the report respecting the rate of postage on newspapers.

Mr. DICKINSON moved an amendment to this amendment, striking out the words relative to the circulation, free of postage, of newspapers through the State, and substituting a provision for the

free circulation of weekly newspapers within fifty miles from the place of their publication.

After some remarks from Mr. Westcott, Mr. Niles, and Mr. Badger, as to the expediency of the amendment adopted in relation to newspapers-

Mr. CALHOUN suggested that the Senate should be very careful not to embarrass the whole machinery of the Post Office Department. These provisions exempting newspapers from postage, might seriously affect the revenue.

Mr. Dickinson's motion to strike out and insert was rejected.

The question recurring on the amendment, as it was reported to the Senate, it was rejected. The bill was then passed.

By consent, the message from the House asking a conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the army appropria-tion bill was taken up, and a committee of conference was ordered. On motion by Mr. HANNEGAN, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of Executive business at half past ten o'clock, and at

eleven o'clock adjourned.

# SATURDAY, AUGUST 12, 1848.

The PRESIDENT pro tem. laid before the Senate a report of the Secretary of the Treasury, communicating a report of the superintendent of standard weights and measures and balance.

On motion by Mr. PEARCE,

Ordered, That it be printed, and that five handred copies, in addition to the usual number, be printed for the use of the superintendent.

Mr. PEARCE submitted a communication from Alexander Vattemare, which was ordered to be printed.

Mr. BUTLER moved that the Senate insist on its amendments to the bill to review and extend the provisions of an act entitled "An act to settle the title to over the provisions of an extendition of "Arkansas," and asked a committee of core which was appointed by the Chair. The committee consists of Messrs. BUTLER, MASSN, and HALE.

On motion by Mr. BUTLER, the Senate concurred in the amendments of the House to the hill giving effect to certain treaty stipulations between this and foreign governments for the apprehension and delivery of certain offenders; which motion was agreed to,

On motion by Mr. CAMERON, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill from the House for the relief of William Pittman, and passed the same.

On motion by Mr. RUSK, the Sennte receded from all its amendments to the House bill to establish certain post routes, which was agreed to.

Mr. BREESE, from the Committee on Public Lands, reported the bill to authorize the draining of the Everglades in the State of Plorida by said State, and to grant the same to said State for that purpose, without amendment, and accompanied by a written report, with documents; which were ordered to be printed.

#### REPORTS FROM COMMITTEES

Mr. BELL, from the Committee on Indian Affairs, reported a resultion for the relief of the attorneys employed by the Choctaw reserves under the treaty of Dancing Rabbit Creek, concluded the 15th of September, 1830; which was read, and passed to a second reading.

Mr. BELL also asked to be discharged from the sundry memorials and resolutions referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, asked to be discharged from sandry petitions referred to the Committee on Pensions.

Mr. YULEE, from the Committee on Naval Affairs, asked to be discharged from the further consideration of sundry memorials, &c., referred to that committee.

Mr. MASON gave notice that he should move to take up the calendar at 11 o'clock.

The bill for the relief of Charity Harrington, as amended by the Honse, was taken up and concurred in.

Mr. KING submitted the following resolution; which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved (the House of Representatives concurring.) That the sixteenth joint mof the two Houses be rangeneded, so far as to permit the sending to the House of Representatives the bill to carry into effect certain supulations of the treaty between the United States and the Republic of Mexico, of the 2d day of February, 1849

Mr. BENTON submitted the following joint resolution of thanks to Colonel Doniphan, his officers and men.

Boothest by the Series and Histor of Representatives of the Crisis State of America's Congress as ended, That the thinks to Congress he, and the same levely are, presented to Colonel Domphan, his offices and uses, for the brilliant viceoy of the Surmanus, and the saccessful conduct of the military expedition to Chibadania, requested to cause to be made a word of the value of \$500, with sustable usersprings, to cummanistrate the honor of and whothy and expedition to cummanistrate the honor of and whothy and expeditions.

Mr. BENTON submitted the following joint resolution; which was read, and passed to a second reading.

Resolved by the Somer and Herry of Representatives of the United States of America is Compress assembled, Thus Its banks of Congress be presented to great due General Price, his officers and uses, for the inflants various achieved by them at St. Curz, in the States of Chibanism, over a superior Maxican lower, and that the Presentance of the Compression of the Compressio

### CIVIL AND DIPLOMATIC APPROPRIATIONS.

Mr. ATHERTON, from the committee of conference on the bill making appropriations for the civil and diplomatic service, made a report; which was read.

Mr. YULEE asked that the consideration of the report be postponed until the seats of the absent Senators should be filled.

Mr. ATHERTON said he could not consent to a moment's delay. The committee on conference did not terminate its labors until 10 o'elock last nght. It must be recollected that this bill had to be engrossed, and that there was not a cent to pay the

The report was then concurred in.

THE OBEGON BILL.

The bill to establish a territorial government in Oregon, as amended by the House of Representatives, was then taken up.

The amendments having been read-

 $Mr.\ DOUGLAS$  moved the appointment of a committee of conference.

Mr. KING said the proper motion was to insist, and then to ask for a committee of conference.

Mr. BENTON rose to make a motion which would supersede the other motion. He moved that the Senate recede from its amendment.

Mr. VULEE referred to the Manual, to show the first motion cas to insist.

Mr. DAYTON suggested that the motion to recede was first in order, because, if it succeeded, it would prevent any committee of conference.

Mr. MASON asked if the motion to recede would appear on the Journal?

The CHAIR having replied in the offirmative-

Mr. MASON moved to lay the bill and amendments on the table, and asked for the yeas and nays; which were ordered.

The question was then taken and decided as follows :

YIAS.—M.sen, Berne, Butle, Calinen, Bixe, of Miniaga, Boxas, Faote, United, Johnson, Wars bad, Jahmon, or Lorinea, Bohmon, or Groyes, Size, Mancan, Mison, Petree, Rosk, Turaey, Westcott, and Yulec.—B. XAYS.—More, Allie, Actional, Addrenn, Balsare, Bell, Bernes, Fradary, Kaya, Westcott, and Yulec.—B. Dairy, Dairy, Popile, Friedrich, Green, Hale, Handen, Houton, Metzalfe, 201, Rev. Nice, Syumers, Surgeon, Howevol, Upham, Walter, and Webster, Surgeon, Howevol, Upham, Walter, and Webster, March 201, Paris and State of the Control of the Control

boles. Douglas, Febs. Friezendi, Grees. Hale, Hamles, Hesston. Metalfe, Mil.

Mr., Nica, spianers. Singnes, in thisswood, Iplana, Valker, and Wester. 202

Mr. BENTON renewed his motion to recede. This question had been a long time before the Senate. He had patiently waited and been along time before the Senate. He had patiently waited the proposed of the proposed to elogging Oregon with California. He wished to see Oregon go through by berself. When the subject was referred to a select committee of eight, a bill had been brought in, constructed for the purpose of encellisting different feelings. He had not approved of that bill; but he had always determized to vote fort. He had not impled its progress by inter-of it at the same time. Then there eams up the adjustment on the parallel line of 36° 30. He was extremely relocatent to go for that measure. Oregon was four hundred miles distant from the careers, and nearly a thousand miles from the remotest point of California; and there was no rule which applied equally to both. Still he had voted for that bill, no order to put as and to Oregon bill. That bill had been sent to the House, and had been out. He should be the control of the control

refrain from extending the protection of this government to the paspie of Oregon, we violate these obligations. He held it to be our bounded unity to provide a gevernment for Oregon; and he would not, so far as he was able, permit the bill to establish that government, by putting a weight of extraneous matter on it, to sank it down. Florida had been permitted to come into the Union, notwithstanding the slavery question.

Mr. YULEE said there was a warm and long opposition to it.

Mr. BENTON .- Florida was permitted to come in at once. Mr. WESTCOTT .- Florida was an applicant, year after year, from 1839 to 1845, before she was admitted.

Mr. BENTON.—Florida went through as a State. Not a single vote was given against the formation of her State government

Mr. YULEE.-There was long discession, and a strong vote against it.

Mr. BENTON.—She passed through as a State. The members from the uon-slaveholding States could have stopped her if they had obscen, but they let her pass. Texas had also been admitted. And why was Oregon to be arrested in her application? If Congress should adjourn without establishing a government there, it might become a question with the President whether his duity would not require of him to convene Congress hefore the usual period. Mr. B. concluded with re-urging Congress to act on the hill now.

The CHAIR announced its decision, that the motion to recede takes precedence of the motion to insist and to ask for a committee of conference.

Mr. BERRIEN would not call in question the decision of the Chair. He made an appeal to the Senate not to let this last opportunity for conciliation pass away. He hoped the motion to recede would not prevail. The question involved the interests of the people of Oregon; and more than that, the harmony of the the people of Oregon; and more than that, the harmony of the people of the United States. If this measure should not prevail, it will be taken as evidence that the government will hereafter rule the South with a rod of iron. He hoped a committee of confer-ence would be granted, in order that gentlemen might compare opinions, in the bope of ceening to some satisfactory conclusion. He trusted that this course would be pursued, in order that southern representatives might carry home the gratifying information that Congress was disposed to consult the feelings of their constituents. It was suggested by the Scantor from Missouri, that the appoint-It was suggested by the Schauer our dissourt, until the appointment of Congress to a committee. He contended that if the establishment of a government in Oregon was impeded, it was by fastening on it this proviso in relation to a Territory where it is not needed. Does any man believe that slavery will over exist in Oregon? And in this, both of the attaching of California to the bill olog and bear it down? He designated more in detail the position in which southern Senators were placed. He complimented the Senator from Massachusetts [Mr. Websyer] on the statesmanlike ground non which he had placed his opposition. This course there was no demagoguism, no free-soil fullacy. But he regarded the view that Senator, as to the three-fifths provision, as incorrect; and he went at some length into an explanation of his own opinions as to the intent of that provision, and concluded with a renewed appeal to the Senate not to recede.

Mr. MASON moved to postpone the further consideration of this bill, until half-past five, for the purpose of taking up the private calendar; and on this question he asked the yeas and nays, which were ordered.

The question was then taken, and decided as follows:

FAS — Just Mage, Berne, Bohad, Buller, Calbon, Davas, of Mishappi, Dawas, Fotes, Hameran, Hunter, Jahmon, of Marjand, Johnson, Geller, Berne, Hanter, Jahmon, of Marjand, Johnson, of Lonisnan, Johnson, Massen, Massen, Pater, Rati, Schattan, Tur-May Comparis, London, Magenn, Masse, Parec, Rati, Schattan, Tur-May Comparis, Calbon, Magenn, Masse, Pareck, Ratikova, Ratikova, Allerton, Baldavin, Bell, Benton, Brahlary, Ness, Bight, Cameron, Calabe, Cowne, Dava, of Massenheutt, Bryon, Dak-Metzilfe, Miller, Niles, Phelja, Sprannee, Singeon, Underwood, Upham, Walker, and Webster.—3

Mr. CALHOUN expressed his apprehension that there was a fixed majority in this Senate and in the House opposed to any fur-ther trial at conciliation. Still he hoped the Senate would prether trial at conciliation. Still be hoped the Senate would preserve a correct position, and vote for the appointing at 0 a committee of conference. He might say, without any self-flattery, that he had all long foreseen this result. Let those who opposed the self-flattery of the shaveholder, and of course the slaveholder himself, from its territory. Ou this point there seems to be no division in the North. In the South is often seems to be not division if the North. was to convert all the southern population into slaves; and he would never consent to entail that disgrace on his posterity. He denounced any southern man who would not take the same course. Gentlemen were greatly mistaken if they supposed the Presidential question in the South would override this more important one. The separation of the North and the South is completed. The South has now a most solemn obligation to perform-to herselfto the constitution-to the Union. She is bound to come to a decision not to permit this to go on any further, but to show that, dearly as she prizes the Union, there are questions which she regards as of greater importance than the Union. She is bound to fulfil her obligations as she may best understand them. This is not a question of territorial government, but a question involving the continuance of the Union. Perhaps it was better that this question should come to an end, in order that some now point question should come to an end, in order that some new point should be takea.

He had given what he deemed a clear constitutional vote on the He nad given what he deemed a clear constitutional vote on the compromise bill. He had also voted for the introduction of the Missouri compromise into this bill; although he could not constitutionally vote for the bill, which he regarded as articleid. Gentumen may do with this bill as they please. If they will not give now what the South asks as compromise, she will, at the next session, demand all, and will not be satisfied with anything less.

Mr. BELL said, he was a southern Senater, and deeply involved in southern interests; but he must have greatly mistaken his true course if the arguments to which he had listened were correct. There were wiser heads than his; but still, in the vote which he should give, he must conform to his own judgment. He helieved that the Senator from South Carolina, and those who concurred with him, had placed the South in a wrong position, when they assumed that, by the decision of this question, the die would be cast, and the issue must now he made which involves the dissolution of the Union. He contended that this issue was prema-turely made when it was made on the Oregon bill. If we are to with the North, let us he sure that in all respects our of dispute is tenable for us. The vote of the House has ground of dispute is tenable for us. The vote of the House has been cited here as evidence that this issue could no longer be avoided. He came to no such conclusion. He knew and felt the influence of too many sympathics with the North. Until a vote of Congress should, on the subject of the southern territories, actually separate the Union, he never would believe that such a vote could be given. As to this question, he had voted ngainst laying this bill on the table, and against its postpenement, because he desired to give his southern friends an opportunity of having a com-mittee of conference, from which he expected nothing favorable.

Mr. Westcort and Mr. Downs explained their votes on the question to lay the subject on the table.

Mr. BELL resumed. He would vote against receding, and would then vote to insist, although he did not expect any good from a conference. He desired to see the Oregon hill passed, from a conference. He desired to see the Oregon hill passed, even without this restriction; and he could not use it as a means of attack on gentlemen. Whether he could vote for it himself was doubtful, regarding as he did the feelings of the friends with whom he was associated. He controverted the doutrine, that even if the whole country, North and South, was opposed to slavery, Congress had no power to legislate on the subject. He thought the Missouri compromise had settled that point. He repeated, in conclusion, his conviction that the making up of the issue at this time was premature. When the whole question as to the territories of California and New Mexico should come up, it would be time enough to tender the issue.

Mr. TURNEY thought the whole question was involved in the question now under consideration. He hoped the committee would be allowed. When had such a committee failed to agree? When had any bil been lost, which had been referred to a committee of conference? To test the sense of the Senate, he would move to lay the motion of the Senator from Missouri on the table.

The CHAIR deciding that that motion would carry the bill with

Mr. TURNEY withdrew his metion.

Mr. BUTLER said that he voted for laying the bill on the table, because, after the declaration of the Senator from Missouri, that the reference to a committee of conference would be a transfer of the power of the Senate, he thought it would be better to leave Oregon without a government for the present than pass the bill in such a shape as would provoke the consequences which must fol-low. He thought the views of the Senator from Tennessee [Mr Bell] were not correct, and it appeared very unlikely that any issue could probably ensue which would suit the taste of that Se-The property can be any dangers with a would threaten be Union from any consequences which could arise from the extension of our country, in comparison with those which must follow the rejection of this last effort of compromise. He could not coincide in opinion with the Senator from Massachusetts, [Mr. Werster,] that there was nothing in the constitution touching the government that there was notating in the constitution doesn't make government of new territories obtained by a power resulting from war. There were many things prohibited by the constitution which could not be done in a new territory any more than in any other territory. He concluded by stating, that we had given by this bill to Oregon the concludest by stating, that we had given by this bill to Oregon a legislature, a governor, and everything usually included in bills of this kind; and was it to be supposed, that if this feature were stricken out, to regon could not exist under its government? The idea of the Sciator from Tennessee, that the issue could not be made until the decision of Congress on the California question, he producted, because there was no probability that such issue would be made at present. The next issue would be the abolition of slavery in the District of Columbia, and in the forts and aresmals. He believed that in a few years an amendment of the constitution would be made, which would still further prostrate the power and interests of the South. The opposition to the Amistad appropria

tion had been sustained by arguments such as he had never before this session heard from any lips. The situation of the South At-lantic States ought to induce us to pause. We had gone through a war, and the fruits of victory are held up, but these fruits the South is not permitted to touch.

Mr. HOUSTON wished to make his position known, not only on this continent, but that it should be blazoned forth to the world. He believed that the crisis so much spokes of had come. Texas was peculiarly situated. The line of 36° 30' divides her territory. North of that, slavery is probibited; south of it, slavery may or may not exist, as she may select. Texas had entered the Union on this condition, and she was willing to othere to that condition. the dut not see that this bill allected the interests of Texas in any way. The extension in Oregon of the line to 42° could not affect the southern States. He stood on the frontier, and he saw nothing in whatever legislation might take place in reference to territory north of 42° which could concern his State. He reminded the Senorth of 42° which could concern his State. He reminded the Senate that thirteen Senators from the northern States had voted for the admission of Texas as she eame in. And he was ready to tote for the admission of Oregon, even with the prohibition of slavery attached to it, as it could never affect the southern territories. He might two tagainst receding, because he hoped something better might he obtained. As to the southern territories, the government of California is not now before us. He remembered the cry of disanton and nullification when the high tariff was imposed. These are reached him in the wildenages as wife from thinded and That ery reached him in the wilderness, an exile from kindred and That ory reached him in the wilderness, an exile from kindred and friends and sections; but it rung in his ears, and wonneded his heart. But now he was in the midst of such a cry, and he was bound to act sa man conscious of the selem responsibilities imposed on him. He had heard the menaces and cries of disanion until he had become familiar with them, and they had now ceased to produce alarm in his bassom. He had no lear of the dissolution of the Union. alarm in his bosom. He had no lear of the dissolution of the Union, when he recollected how it had been established, and how it had been defended. It could not be the interest of the North to destroy the defended of the could not be the interest of the North to destroy been defended. It could not be the interest of the North to destroy been defended. It could not be the interest of the North to destroy the South, notwithstanding the papers signed by old men, and old women, and pretty little girls, praying for abelition, got up in the very small coteries—these could not ruille the Union. The intelligent and manly spirits of the North would rise up to defend the Union. He wished no separation of the States. He had too much condicee in the North to fear any injury from that section. And he thought the South—and he was a southern man—should make some Secrifice for the purpose of reconciliation with the North. As some sacrance for the purpose of reconciliation with the North, As to the Presidential elections, he hoped they would always continue, and that the republic would long exist; but he did not dread the influence which these questions would excrete on legislation. Jeegon cannot obtain broad the second of the property of

Congress; and he hoped these would be extended to her. As to the South, he was anxious to throw off from her any injurious imputations. It had been said that the South, which supported one of the candidates in 1840, had deserted him in 1844. He was a favorite with the South, because he declared himself anothern man with southern principles, and of course was a favorite with the South. What is that give the course was a favorite with the South. What is that give had two orchards, one on reminded him the other on the south side of a hill. On one occasion, when the fruit failed on the northern side, the farmer on the south offered his neighbor the privilege of coming into his orehard. the north and the other on the south side of a hill. On one occasion, when the fruit failed on the northern side, the farmer on the south offered his neighbor the privilege of coming into his orchard and making there what cider he needed. "That is very well," said the northern man, "but I have no hands to make it." The southern man replied that he would make him some, and would let him have a barrel of cider. "But I have no one to bring it home," said the northern man the would make him some, and would let him have a barrel of cider. "But I have no one to bring it have from the cider what will you give me for the harrel?" I have drawn the was the reply. "This is all will you give me for the harrel? So the gentleman the harrel what will you give me for the harrel? So the gentleman the harrel to the South. He went on at some buffer, expressing his helief that as soon as Elisha's mantle fell on his shoulders, he was the favorite of the South on that account; but that when he styled himself a northern man with southern principles, that alone should have put the South on her guard, and led her to suspect him of treachery. But if the vision of the stern old warrier could break upon him as that old man would have looked, if living, on his trattorous course, the plance of the warrier's eye would externinate him where he standar timate consection of mitreess he benefit of the safety were free, where would have looked, if living, on his trattorous course, the plance of the warrier's eye would externinate him where he standar timate consection of mitreess he benefit of the safety were free, where would be fould. The South had no reason to complain because the North were the beneficiary of the flavors of the government. He thought be found purchasers for the coarser febries of northern manufactures. The found of the few coarson to complain because the Northwest The found of the favors of the government. He thought the these considerations ought to influence us when any one talks to us of a dissolution of the Union. He protested against the cries of dissunoin, and against every attempt to tradece the Union. He was of the South, but he was for the Union. The distortion of the Union that the world divide the start, and he would lik his eyes the found direct his course, the would davie his liston. He would dive his liston of the South and of the North to pursue measurement of the south of t

Mr. CALHOUN explained that he used no menace, He spoke of his own position.

30TH CONG ,- 1ST SESSION-No. 129,

- Mr. HOUSTON said, he was glad to find that the Senator meent no manace. WI she have a convention? What would be done by the South?
- Mr. DOWNS .- Have not the North had a convention?
- Mr. BUTLER .- Does the Senator think it treason in the South to have a convention ?
- Mr. HOUSTON said, certainly not. It would have the right to hold a convention and raise a puny war against the women and children who get up abolition papers, or against that convention at Buffalo; and he had seen a much more respectable convention of halfuloes. He would never go into any southern convention; of buildies. He would never go into any southern convention; he would never aid in any scheme to bring about a dissolution of the Union. What would a southern convention de? Would it poppes the laws enacted by a majority of the two Houses? Would it raise troops to cut off emigrants to Oregon, because they were going there without negroes? He wheel to know if this would not be a beautiful idea. The Senator from South Carolina, after voting for the Missouri compromise, could not be all a convention. Heaven would not let him. Such a mutinous, noodescript company as he would have under him, would never have been seen before. As for himself, he would not go to for carrying on a war to Plaget's Sound for a visionary object.
- Mr. BENTON called the attention of the Senate to the fact, Mr. DEA ION called the attention of the Sensis to the last, that the Senate had agreed to every word and every fetter of the bill from the House; but the Senate had added to it extraneous matter. We now saw the opening of that second chapter, which the Senator from South Carolina had spoken of, so a part of the history of the dissolution of the Union. Chapter "immeber two" was to open when both Houses had agreed to this Oregon bill, word for word, and letter for letter; and when the Scnate inter-jected in the bill a foreign question—a question relating altogether as a part of the history of dissolution.

  All this talk about the dissolution of the Union gave him so
- enneern. He was peculiarly constituted as to the subject. He observation of public affairs went back to that period of our his tory when Aaron Burr engaged in his enterprise of disunion. tory when Aaron Burr engaged in his caterprise of disunion. He J.Mr. B.] was a boy of sixteen, but was an observer of events, and a reader of the public journals. He scknowledged that he hen read with mortification—and few things from the same source had ever failed to meet with his cordial approbation—he read, ho said, with mortification, the proclamation of Mr. Jefferson, in which he denounced the project of Burr as "dangerous to the Union." Por, at that time, there was not a neighborhood in the west in which Burr would disclose his project. If he had done to the week and a children would have failed him down and some the week and a children would have failed him down and some the week and a children would have failed him down and some the week and a children would have failed. Umon." For, at that time, there was not a neighborhood in the west in which Burr would discless his project. If he had done so, the women and children would have tied him down and sent him to the nearest place of justice, dragged by a dog-chain. As long as he presented mere designs of a dazzling nature, and not concerning our own government, he was lastened to. But when, on the lower Mississippi, he did disclose his treasonable objects, he was immediately obliged to fly into the widerness and become as outcost from society. Thirty of the stream of the second of the companies of the second with the second in the second had become as outcost from society. Thirty of the his place—he was accadent—in accept, with a night, by one who, before alfording him relief, demanded his name. Who are you? As soon as he gave his name, he was taken into custodly, and by a string led into the Gaorgia settlements. Burr having heard of the sympathy. Often some hoys as to his case, and, a first, very naturally excited their sympathy. But when these boys heard his name, they refused to dissolve this Union—to divide it by any line. He would, he said, think that a man who might bring brick, mortar, and tempts to dissolve this Union—to divide it by any line. If a would, he said, think that a man who might bring brick, mortar, and the head and my the mighty Mississippi, had comprise of that man who were contention in the head of all attempts to dissolve this Union—to divide it by any line. If a would, he said, think that a man who might bring brick, mortar, and the head and my the might be more than the was enterprise, in comparation of the three men and the said was enterprise, in comparation and in the men who are the miner line heven, the States of this wise enterprise, in comparison with the project of that man who might undertake to run a dividing line between the States of this Umon. All this talk of disunion was idle. It was like

"A tale told by an idiot, Full of sound and fury-signifying nothing."

No influence had these menaces on him. A key dropped into the broad Atlantic would, as it had been said, produce a disturbance that would be felt in the seas of China. Just as little did this talk of dismion ruillo him. Thus, he said, would end the chapter

Mr. YULEE addressed the Senate at length on the s Mr. VULEE addressed too Senate at length on the support remarking that he would glidly go with the Senator from Tennes-see [Mr. Bell] and the Senator from Texas [Mr. Horston] postponing this issee, if it could he done with a just regard to the interests of the South. But such a postponement would only sena-a an inducement to the North to persist in its course of injustice to the South.

Mr. WEBSTER doubted whether the bill, in its present condition, could be referred.

Mr. CALHOUN said the reference would be in conformity with parliamentary rule. The committee might propose to retain some, and recode from other amendments.

Mr. DAYTON said the amendments alone were before the Se-

Mr. YULEE withdrew the motion at present.

A message was here received from the House, communicatin

the'r action on the Senate ame idments to the bill establishing cer-

tain post routes.
On motionly Mr. RUSK, it was taken up.
The message was read, and the House amendments concurred

Mr. JOHNSON, of Georgia, rose and addressed the Senate is reply to the Senator from Texas, declaring that the South coul

not with any propriety rely on the magnanimity of the North. Mr. DAVIS, of Mississippi, rose, and took the floor in continu-ance of the debate; and, as the hour for the recess had nearly ar-rived, he moved that the Senate go into Executive session; which was agreed to.

#### EVENING SESSION

The CHAIR having announced that a resolution offered by Mr. MANGUM could not be received-

When the doors were rc-opened-

When the doors were re-opened—
Mr. WESTCOTT was speaking to a question of order, as it appeared, in consequence of the objection to the reading of the resolution which was submitted by the Sentor from North Carolina, [Mr. Manoux.] It was represented to him, that there was a conventional understanding on the subject; but there was no time coming when the laws of courtesy would give way to other

Mr. W. appealed against the decision of the Chair, and asked the yeas and nays; which were ordered.

Mr. KING hoped the chair would reverse its decision, and permit the resolution to he read, as he should be compelled to vote for the appeal.

Mr. DICKINSON said he should vote for the appeal.

Mr. NILES (in the Chair) stated that when the Senate took a recess, the Senator from Georgia had the floor, and was entitled to the floor when the Senate resumed its session. It was not in order, therefore, for any Senator to introduce other business

The question was then put, "Shall the decision of the Chair stand as the decision of the Senate?" and was decided as follows: YEAS—Messe, Allen, Bahiwin, Benton, Bradhury, Bieese, Clarke, Corwin, bavis, of Massichusetts, Dix, Dodige, Duiglas, Felch, Frizgerald, Greene, Hale, Jamiin, Honston, Meteralle, Miller, Sprinance, Underwood, Uphan, and Walker

-2d: NAYS—Meser. Atherton, Badger, Berrien, Borland, Bright, Calhonn, Came-na, Dayts, of Missishpa, Dickinson, Downs, Foote, Johnson, of Lomisians, John-on, of Georgia, King, Lewis, Manginu, Phelps, Rusk, Sebastian, Turney, Westcott, ad Vulce-22.

Mr. ATHERTON asked the consent of the Senate to permit a report to be made from the committee of conference on the bill making appropriations for the army, and amendments.

Objections were made, and then withdrawn, and the report was received and read; and

Mr. ATHERTON moved that the Senate concur.

Mr. YULEE objected to a concurrence in that part of the re-port which relates to the organization of the marine corps. He considered it a matter of importance, and that it was his duty to explain the facts to the Senate.

The Senator from Florida was here called to order; consent having been given mercly for the purpose of receiving the report.

The CHAIR decided that the report could not be made the subject of discussion; the objections being withdrawn only to permit the report to be received.

Mr. YULEE appealed from the decision of the Chair. He insisted that the objection to the reception of the report having been removed, the subject of the report was properly before the

The Chair repeated the reasons for its decision; but in so low a tone that the purport could not be understood.

Mr. ATHERTON insisted that the subject was before the Senare; that the House was waiting for the action of the Senate on the report; and that if it was laid on the table, the appropriation bill may be lost.

Mr. KING expressed a hope that the Senator from Illinois would withdraw his objection, and permit the report to be con-

Mr. DOUGLAS explained that the objection did not originate

Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, said he should object to taking np this subject while another question was under consideration. The report might as well be laid on the table as the Oregon bill. He knew not to what extent the discussion on the Oregon bill was intended to be continued.

Mr. TURNEY hoped the question would be taken on the appeal. He was opposed to the taking up of the subject of the Oregon bill until this report (taken up by the unanimous consent of the Senate) was disposed of.

The CHAIR stated the ground of its decision.

Mr. BADGER thought the decision of the Chair was not correct.

The yeas and nays were ordered, and the question being taken on the appeal, viz: "Whether the decision of the Chair shall stand?" and it was decided as follows—yeas 19, nays 29.

and It was uncluded as follows—year 19, nays 29.

Y.S.B. —Bear Ballein, Clack, Cornin, Davie, of Masschauste, Dystine, Div., Ordero, Davie, Ordero, Davie, Ordero, Davie, Ordero, Davie, Pelde, Fitzprad, Grece, Hale, Hanlin, Lünnegan, Metcalfe, Miller, Sprance, University, I. Johan, and Walker—19.

NAYS—Messis. Allen, Atherton, Budger, Bell, Bernes, Borband, Bradbady, Ordero, Ordero, Allen, Atherton, Budger, Bell, Bernes, Borband, Bradbady, Ordero, Ordero, Marchand, Ordero, Ordero, Marchand, Ordero, Atlanta, Johnson, of Georges, Karley, Green, Marchand, Charley, Ordero, Peleja, Rask, Sebattan, Torney, Webiter, Westcott, and utles—29.

So the decision of the Chair was not sustained,

Mr. YULEE resumed, and asked for the reading of the section of the bill which constituted the amendment. He stated that the committee on conference was not in possession of, the facts when the subject was before it, and this portion of the bill escaped con-sideration. An increase of the marine corps had been authorized last session, and a portion of the increase had been employed on shore in Mexico, and had behaved with great gallantry. The in-crease authorized last session was one thousand; and it is was now found that the number required, according to the present and establishment, would be about two thousand and three band red; while the number now authorized by the committee and the lie aggregate only lifteen handred. The Secretary proposed to reduce the landsmen in the proportion of the increase of the mirner; and as the landsmen received nine dollars a month, and the marines only six dollars, it was a measure of economy. He landed the efficiency with which the marines had performed their duty. He referred to a letter from Commodore Stewart, who recommended a much larger increase; and all the officers concurred in the expediency of this increase. An addition is also required to keep a sufficient number—say fifty or a hundred should always be kept a summent numer—say mity or a minured should always be kept here at head-quarters—for drill, as they could only be effectually drilled while on shore. He requested the reading of a letter from the Secretary of the Navy recommending the increase on the grounds he had just stated; and the letter was read.

The report of the committee of conference was then agreed to. OREGON.

The Senate resumed the consideration of the bill to establish a territorial government in Oregon.

[Mr. WEBSTER addressed the Senate in a speech which is given in the Appendix.]

Mr. JOHNSON, of Georgia, then resumed his remarks, and continued until a late hour.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, followed, and spoke for near an

Mr. HOUSTON rose and addressed the Senate at very considerable length, chiefly in reply to Mr. Johnson, of Georgia, and others. In the course of his remarks he pand a beautiful compliment to Mr. Clay, declaring that he deserved to have a statue erected in the rotundo for his stand in relation to the Missouri compromise.

Mr. TURNEY moved that the Senate adjourn, and desired to have the hour entered on the Journal. [It was then past mid-

On this question the yeas and nays were demanded, and the result was as follows:

YEAS — Masst, Actionon, Bornen, Burhand, Butler, Calhonn, Bertie, of Mueric spip, Dowes, Hannegan, Johnson, of Marchand, Kung, Lewes, Manggim, Mason, Rude, Selantian, Terney, Westcott, and Yulee—18.
XAYS—Mosses, Allen, Alterion, Badger, Haldwin, Bell, Benton, Bradbury, NAYS—Mosses, Allen, Alterion, Badger, Haldwin, Bell, Benton, Bradbury, Dongley, Dongley, Felch, Fitzgradd, Greene, Hale, Hardin, Houston, Johnson, Ottomanan, Metalfic, Miller, Alles, Phelps, Springer, Carderwood, Uplain, and

Mr. BADGER then addressed the Senate in favor of a committee of conference being appointed.

Mr. METCALFE spoke at great length, insisting that a committe of conference between the two Houses might be able to accommodate the matter satisfactorily.

Mr. FOOTE spoke for near half an hour, endeavoring to get Mr. Benton to withdraw his motion to recede.

Mr. BENTON playfully observed that he always had an objec-tion to retreating. One of his earliest recollections was the old Roman maxim, non trahit pedem; and, if the object of the gentleman's speech was to induce him to draw back his foot, he might have saved himself the trouble.

Mr. FOOTE again rose to speak.

Mr. WALKER made a point of order. The rule said that no Senator could speak more than twice on any one subject; whereas the gentleman from Mississippi had spoken some half dozen times

[Several voices: "Oh, let the gentleman proceed; we will listen to him with the greatest pleasure."]

Mr. FOOTE then went on very coolly, declaring his ability to speak two entire days and nights without any very great inconvenience to himself.

The debate was continued until after nine o'clock, a. m., Sunday, and was closed in a speech by Mr. FOOTE.

The question being put upon the motion of Mr. BENTON, to recede from all the amendments, a division of the question was called for, and it was ordered that the question be taken separately on each amendment.

Upon the question to recede from the first amendment, giving the veto power to the Governor, the yeas and nays were ordered, and it was determined as follows:

VEAS—Messrs, Allen, Atherton, Baldwin, Bell, Beuton, Breddury Breese, Bught, Cameron, Clarks, Govsin, Davis, of Massaculsetts, Dayton, Dockmen, Dav, Dode, Douglas, Feleh, Fogezeald, Greene, Hale, Hamin, Moaston, Johnson, of Maryland, Miller, Niles, Phelps, Spruance, Upham, Walker, and Webster

—31. NAVS—Meser. Atchinon, Badger, Bernen, Borland, Buller, Calhunu, Daves, of Musicoppi, Dawax, Foore, Hunter, Johnson, of Lomesona, Johnson, of Georgas, Lewes, Mangan, Macan, Micraelfe, Pearce, Rusk, Sebastian, Turney, and Underwood, Westerly, and Valee—29.

Upon the question to recede from the second amendment, re-lating to the same subject, it was determined in the affirmative without a division.

Upon the question to recede from the third amendment, being the section extending the line of the Missouri compromise to the Pacific ocean, the years and navs were ordered, and it was deter-mined in the affirmative, as follows:

YEAS—Meur. Allen, Radwin, Benton, Brabberr, Breese, Brght, Can on-Cadae, Grerm, Dave, of Manachmete, Devan, Delargon, Die Des Brag, Feldy, Fargas, Microsen, Bill, Bindin, Hameran, Horston Mich. New York, Phys. Rev. B. 1988, Phys. Rev. B. 1988, Phys. Rev. Berton, Brager, Bell, Berner, Borland, Buller, Changway, G. 1988, Devan, Brake, Bell, Berner, Borland, Buller, Changway, Officerop, Donar, Fort, Buller, Johnson, Of Marxiv, January of Louisian, Johnson, of George, Lewis, Manguo Maon, Mickeller, Petrle, Rads, Schauma, Taray, Jestevool, Westler, and Viscoli, and Viscolin, Petrley.

The remaining amendments were separately receded from without a division, and the bill stands passed in the precise form in which it came from the House of Representatives.

Mr MILLER submitted a resolution that (the House co-curring) the 17th joint rule be suspended, in order that the bal may be presented to the President of the United States on to last day of the session.

Mr. YULEE objected to the consideration of the resolution and it lies over.

On motion of Mr. DICKINSON, the hall from the House for the clied of Richard Reynolds was taken in for consideration and passed; and at a few minutes before ten of cooks, at m., after se exicting session of twenty-four hours, the Sonate adjourned until Monday morning, at nine of clock.

# MONDAY, AUGUST 14, 1848.

Prayer being over, and a portion of the Journal having been

Mr. MANGUM hoped the reading of the Journal would be dispensed with; they had much business before them, and little time to transact it in, and as the Journal was unusually long, it would consume much of that time.

Mr. TURNEY insisted that the Journal should be read; it was his right to insist on it, and he claimed that right.

Several Senators : "Well, let it be read."

After some portion of the Journal had been read-

Mr. BENTON again rose and expressed the hope that the further reading would be dispensed with; it was long, and would consume much time.

The CHAIR said that the Senator from Tennessee (Mr. Tur-NEY) had insisted on the reading of the Journal, in which the Senate seemed to acquiesce, and directed the Secretary to proceed with the reading.

The reading of the Journal having been concluded, several Senators were on their feet at the same time, addressing "Mr. President," to wit: Messrs. Benton, Yulke, and Turney.

President," to wit: Messrs. Benton, Yulke, and Turney.

Mr. YULEE.—I desire to correct a statement in the Journal.

Mr. BENTON.—Mr. President, I move to take up the resolutions absimited on Smanday morning by the Senator from New Jersey, [Mr. Millea,] to suspend the 17th joint rule, so as to enable the Oregon bill to go to the President. If that resolution be not passed, it will involve the fate of several bills.

Mr. ATHERTON.-Yes, the military appropriation bill, involving millions.

Mr. YULEE.-Mr. President, is not mine a privileged question?

Mr. RUSK .- I move to amend the resolution by adding the words, "and all other bills up to I2 o'clock of this day."

Mr. WESTCOTT.-I move an amendment to the amendment, "to reseind the resolution to adjourn."

Mr. BRIGHT.—The motion of the Senator from Florida is clearly out of order. All amendments must be germane to the subject; his was evidently not so.

The CHAIR ruled it out of order.

Mr. MILLER.—I have not the slightest objection to the amendment of the Senator from Texas. I will accept the medification with pleasure.

The CHAIR .- The question is on the resolution as amended.

Mr. TURNEY objected to the resolution. As it was proposed to be meded, it would be made to enhance all other bills plassed, experience and the bills plassed, and the second of the second of the relief to the should exercise his judgment on each separately, and he would not object to that course being pursued, and to the passage of any bill that was in itself unobjectionable. Congress had thought proper to adopt a rule, for reasons that were doubtless satisfactory to it, that bills should not he sent to the President after a certain period. This price to consider and examine bills that were sent to him for his approval and signature. But here was an important bill that was new in many of its provisions, which it was proposed to send to the President at this late period of the session. The vote on suspending the rules for such a purpose virtually involved the question of the passage of the bill, and hence it opened the whole subject matter of the hill. He was opposed to hill, the was not many of the control of the passage of the bill, and hence it opened the whole subject matter of the hill. He was opposed to hill, the was not many of the control of the passage had been seenred. He thought all the courtesies of the Senate had been vicined that this lill might be rushed through. He would ask if it had ever happened before that a Senator was refused by a vote of the Senate permission to proceed with his argument in order. [The honorable Senator all-leded to a vote taken late on Saturday night in relation to Mr. to order for irrelevancy in debate, and a motion to permit him to rocced in order was voted down by the Senate. That was an extraordinary vote. It was unprecedented. It was anheard of

Mr. BENTON called the Senator from Tennessen to order, and asked for the reading of the rule which required Senators to speak to the subject before the Senate; and further, he called for the enforcement of that rule.

Mr. FOOTE said that rule should have been enforced at an earlier period of the session.

Mr. TURNEY did not understand that there was any point of order made by the Senator from Missonri.

Mr. BENTON said that he had asked for a thing to be done.

Mr. FOOTE insisted that the Senator from Missouri had no right to make such a motion while a Senator was speak-

Mr. TURNEY said he insisted that his words, which were objected to as irrelevant, should be put down in wri-

Mr. FOOTE also contended that the words should be taken

The PRESIDING OFFICER made some observations which did not reach the reporter.

Mr. TURNEY said he was going on to give, very briefly, the history of the proceedings on this bill, and he wished to know if anybody had ever before been called to order for pursuing such a

Mr. KING begged to remind the Senator from Tennessee that there was no bill under consideration.

Mr. TURNEY asserted that there was. The Oregon bill was embraced in the pending resolution, the adoption of which was necessary to give that bill the force of law; and, consequently, the whole question was opened for discussion. He then proceeded. Some most extraordinary steps had been

He then proceeded. Some most extraordinary steps had been taken to force this bill through this body. The gap had been applied, and it had been applied, for the first time, to secure the passage of this bill. But that was not the only matter of which he complained. So far as his knowledge extended, the practice of the Senate had been, in all cases of the state of the tendence of the Senate had been, in all cases of the state of the practice of the Senate had been reliased and committee of conference. But even that had been reliased and committee of conference are the Senate, in the spect, had been resorted to for the first time, in this case; and it was done for the purpose of forcing through a measure that was more obnoxious to one section of this Union than any ther subject of legislation which had come before them during the present session. Why should they now be called upon to suspend the rules to give this bill the force and effect of law? He was not willing to assent to such a pro-

He should now give his objections to this bill; and be should be very brief, and yet he should not hurry bimself. If there were other important bills embraced in this resolution, which it was necessary to pass, gentlemen might detach them, act upon them separately, and they could be disposed of in two minutes. To that he would consent. But if they should refuse, gentlemen need not expect him to shrink from the responsibility of opposing this resolution. Whatever responsibility there was, would rest on

He then recapitulated the proceedings of the two Houses on the subject of the Oregon bill, which resulted in a disagreement between the two Houses; and what, he asked the Senate, was to be gained by surredering to the House of Representatives? The Senate sent to the House a compromise bill, after mature consideration by the Senate, and its passage by a large majority, and the House unceremoniously laid it upon the table. After that, the House of Representatives passed this Oregon bill, which was about one-third of the bill which the House had rejected.

Mr. MILLER interposed, and reminded the Senator from Tennessee that the pending resolution had reference not alone to the Oregon bill, but to some twenty or thirty others, amongst them was the army bill.

was the army bill.

Mr. TURNEY should vote against the resolution, although it did embrace other bills. But if the Senator from New Jersey would offer a separator resolution in relation to the army bill, he (Mr. T.) would interpose no objection. But did the Senator suppose that he could be cut off from giving. But did the Senator suppose that he could be cut off from giving the state of the senator suppose that he could be cut off from giving the state of the senator suppose that he could be cut of the senator suppose that he could be consequences, for he could not thus allow himself to be brought to favor the passage of a bill which his judgment dasapproved.—The House of Representatives had decided that it would pass no bill which was silent on the subject of slavery. They were not content to stand on the Constitution. They were not content that the citizens of this Republic should suppose they had rejected to because it was in bad company. The House afterwards sent to the Senator ability of the subject of the senator that the citizens of the subject of slavery. They have rejected it because it was in bad company. The House afterwards sent to the Senator a bill to establish a Territorial Government in Oregon by itself, and the Senate returned it with a second proposition of a compromise, on the basis of the Missouri compromise,

giving them a large portion of the territory, and they had refused to accede to that, and had son the bill bede amended. In this state of things, the Senate was now asked to yield to the House, without even a committee of conference being allowed them, although it was a common law of the hody, and allowed in every other case. And, not only was a committee of conference denied them, but gentlemen had been garged, and refused permission to deliver their sentiments in this budy, on so important a question; but, notwithstanding their rights had been thus outraged, they were asked to stand here in silence, and permit this bill to pass through; and, that it might be forced through, the army bill, and several others, had been compled with it. Senators must not, however, expect to force it on him by thus compling it with others. Let each thu stand on its own bottom, and let each bill stand on its own merits; but let them not expect to accomplish their putched, the stand on the same addented, let the responsibility rest on those who coupled them. If Senators would blend measures to these who coupled them. If Senators would blend measures together, they must bear the responsibility. He should not vet for this resolution on that account, and he was willing to take all the responsibility that would attach to him for any vore that he might give. He was willing to answer to the State of Tennessee, and to nowhere cleas was he responsible.

Mr. WEBSTER rose to a question of order. He appealed to the Chair and to the Senate on a point of order. He made it certainly without any heat or passion. He rose to a point of order which he meant to propound distinctly, and not for the purpose of discussing it at any length, but to ask for a decision by the Chair and the Senate. The position in which they stood could not but be well known to every Senator. Less than two hours bence and this Senate would stand adjourned.

Mr. WESTCOTT rose to a question of order. The Senator from Massachusetts had no right—and he said it respectfully—to make a speech on his point of order.

Mr. WEBSTER would state the point of order which he had sison were certainly out of order, and against the rule of the session were certainly out of order, and against the rule of the Senate which requires Scantors to speak attention of the Senate which requires Scantors to speak at the question pending before the Senate. He made that of order as a last effort on his part to complete, and the soft the Senate in relation to the Oregon bill, the any bill, and others which were highly important; and has seed the Senate, with respect for the gentleman from Tenuesce was inclined to go into, was in order? If the Senate should say it was, he should not have another word

Mr. TURNEY required the Senator from Massachusetts to put his point of order in writing, for he wished it to go on the Journal.

Mr. WEBSTER was willing to do so if it were required. He then submitted his point of order to this effect: "I call the Senator from Tennessee to order on the ground that the course of discussion in which he is indulging is not relevant to the subject before the Senate."

Mr. TURNEY wished the Senator from Massachusetts to indicate the irrelevant words.

Mr. WEBSTER replied that that was his point of order, and the Senate would judge for itself as to the words.

Mr. CALHOUN desied that the gentleman from Tennessee was out of order.

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, inquired if he understood that the opinion of the Senate was asked on this point of order?

The PRESIDING OFFICER was understood to answer in the

Mr. JOHNSON .- And that, I believe, is debatable?

The PRESIDINF OFFICER answered that it was

Mr. CALHOUN then proceeded to affirm that the course pursued by the Senator from Tennessee was no violation of propriety, and he called upon the Senator from Massachusetts to point out the words uttered by the Senator from Tennessee which were out of order.

Mr. WEBSTER replied, that if he were to enter into a debate on that point, the object of the gentleman opposite would be accomplished, in which he dad not propose to nid them. He had desire to go into any metapole of the control of

Mr. TURNEY said, the object was to gag him; and he desired to know if there was any rule to limit debate at the close of the session which did not exist at its beginning! If there was, he begged to be referred to it.

Mr. FOOTE said, he was very much surprised to hear such language fall from the lips of the Senator from Massachusetts. That Senator had brought a very serious charge against Senators who were as respectable as humself. He had charged them with

some improper purpose in discussing this resolution, he had undertaken to say that, if he arose in his place to answer the question of the Scenario Food South Carolina, (Mr. Califors) this control of the Scenario Food South Carolina, (Mr. Califors) the cassion were permitted to go on. Now, what was that at which essent or food Mr. South Carolina, (Mr. Califors) the Scenario Food South Carolina, (Mr. Califors) the Scenario Food South Carolina Carolina South Carolina Carolina South C

The PRESIDENT interposed, and called the Senator from Mississippi to order.

Mr. FOOTE had only to say, that if he had been misled by the example of the Senator from Massachusetts, he should not persist in being out of order, though he should claim at all times the same privileges that were cajoyed by other Senators.

The CLEK of the House of Representatives here appeared below the bar, and delivered to the Senata a joint resolution for the suspension of the 17th joint rule of the two Houses, to permit all bills heretofore passed, or to be this day passed, to be sent to the President, in which he was directed to ask the concurrence of the Senate. (This resolution was the same in its terms as that onder consideration 1.

Messis. Bernien, Johnson, of Georgia, and Johnson, of Maryland, having each made suggestions-

Mr. TURNEY said he would relieve the Senato. He had not five words more to say. It was not his purpose when he areas to eiger into a long discussion. His object was to enter his protest, and then to vote against the resolution, first assyging briefly the reasons by which he was actuated. He should vote against are pending the rules.

Mr. WEBSTER said he understood the Senator from Tennessee to say that he was through. He begged to say, and with all respect for the Senator from Tennessee, that he had had no desire to embarrass that Senator.

 $Mr.\ TURNEY$  wished, nevertheless, to have a vote on the point of order.

Mr. RUSK said he believed he had opposed this Oregon shill with as much firmness as any Scentor, and with an little noise. He had discharged his duty to the best of his ability to his country and his State; and now if this hill grees to the Executive, he must discharge his duty. He (Mr. R.) had done all he could. He had been anxious to disposa of it without sitting on Saturday night, and particularly without encrow-hing upon the day of rest, but it appears that that could not be avoided. The day of rest, but it appears that that could not be avoided. The heap present in the accordance, when a majority, a clearly insecrtained majority, had agreed upon a measure, it was proper that he should yield, that they might have the opportunity of passing it, and assuming to God and their country the responsibility of the net.

Mr. WEBSTER intimated that he understood the Chair had decided the point which he raised, and he should therefore make no further effort.

Mr. TURNEY asked if he was to understand that he was in

The PRESIDING OFFICER was understood to answer in the affirmativa.

Mr. RUSK then continued. He said, the majority had assumed the responsibility, and herefore all that the minority could do, not could do, and the responsibility, and reference all that the minority could do, was another important matter to which he would advert. There were about thirty hills in the situation of the Origen bill, many of them hills for the relief of those who were suffering in poverty and want, to whom just debts were exeing by the Government of the United States. One of these bills, (and it was one of great importance.) was the army appropriation bill. He had seen the Secietary of War but a tew minutes and, and was informed any in the continued of the second of th

Amongst those Senators to whom he appealed, were gentlemen who had long served their country, and who, when they died, would leave a name behind which well might be envised by the rising generation. For the passage of this bill they were not responsible, for they had resisted manifolly and perseveringly. He asked them, therefore, now to yield, and not attempt indirectly to defeat a measure which they had not not the power to defeat directly: but let the unifority take the responsibility of the act, of which posterity would form its judgment.

Mr. KING wished to say, that perhaps there was no one in the Senate more opposed to certain provisions of the bill which had been passed than he was. He thought it contained provisions that were not only nuncessary, but enhantly tell overlined provisions that were not only nuncessary, but enhantly tell overlined provisions that were not only nuncessary, but enhantly tell overlined provisions to see it anæided; and when it came back with the amendment of the Senate rejected by the other House, he proposed that the Senate should misst on its amendment, that they might avail themselves of the proposition of the Senator from thinois, and appoint a committee of conterner. The proposition to recede having a committee of conterner. The proposition to recede having the proposition of the Senator from thinois, and appoint a committee of conterner. The proposition to recede having the proposition of the President to become the law of the land of them let the responsibility rest. The bill was now only waiting the action of the President to become the law of the land they have been the law of the land they have been supported by the president to become the law of the land they have been supported by the president to become the law of the land they have been supported by the law of the law

Mr. CALHOUN said, if there was any responsibily, it was on the majority, and not on the minority. By the rules of the Sentenders of opinion that it was improper to send bills to the President on the last day of a session, as he could not sin them off hand, president on the last day of a session, as he could not sin them off hand, prest consideration, and the constitution allows him ten disposed in the last day of the session; and of the every was a bill that ought not be be president on the last day of the session; and if there were was a bill that ought not to be pressed on the President to decide metanter, this was one. How long had it been before them? Some two or sax weeks; and they had even violated the day of ress, which was not regarded as a legal day; and yet they wonth send it to the gress. This was all the time they proposed to allow him. He understood what was the great point of contest between parties in that Honse. The opposite party wanted to have the absolute, despotic control ever the territories. They knew there was not such an example to be found in all the legislation of this country. They all knew that the Missour compronies would not have the absolute despotic control ever the criticities. They knew there was not such an example to be found in all the legislation of this country. They all knew that the Missour compronies would not have the absolute disposition of the all into of 200. And how was at on the admission of Texas?

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, suggested to the Schator from South Carolina to make a motion.

Mr. CALHOUN said he would. After some other observations, he said this was the first time the Wilmot provise had everting to strike out from the resolution all that part of it which relates to the Oregon bill, and on that motion he called for the yeas and nays.

Mr. MILLER said there was nothing about the Oregen bill in it.

Mr JOHNSON, of Maryland, then suggested to the Senator from South Carolina to except the Oregon bill by name.

Mr. CALHOUN said he would do so.

The Secretary read the resolution, and it appeared that the Senator from New Jersey was mistaken, the Oregon bill being named in it.

Mr. BENTON moved to lay the resolution on the table, and

that the Senate take up the resolution from the House on the

same subject, which was received a few minutes ago. Agreed to.
The resolution from the House was then taken up. It proposed, in general terms, to suspend the 17th joint rule to permit all bills passed and to be passed this day to be sent to the President.

Mr. CALHOUN moved to amend the resolution by adding the words "except the Oregon bill."

Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, suggested an amendment to the same effect, but in more precise terms.

Mr. CALHOUN was understood to accept that amendment as a modification of his own, and to call for the yeas and mays thorough

The yeas and nays were ordered, and resulted thus :

YLAS—Mesas, Allen, Atchison, Alberton, Badger, Bishwin, Beaton, Bradlaus, Reese, Brylin, Camaean, Clarke, Gorwin, Davis, of Mossachusetts, Dyton, Dekanton, Da. Molag, Doudis, Febric, Frageand, Genera, Like, Hamin, Hanne-Dough, Charles, Charles, Charles, Charles, Charles, Stangeon, Valta Cassol, Urban, Waller, and Webster—Level, Pindja, Spinance, Stangeon, YAXS—Mess, Bell, Berma, Modand, Burje, Callsono, Davis of Missimpip, Davan, Fasie, Hunter, Join on, of Maryland, Johnson, of Dorisana, Johnson, of Georgia, Levis, Mangun, Moson, Reks, Schalamn, Transy, Vestort and Varie

The question then recurred on the House resolution.

Mr. FOOTE rose and said that it had been intumated by Senators in their places that there were gentlemen there who were determined to speak out all the time remaining with the view of defeating this bill. Now, he wished to be allowed to say that they had been perpared to deat a very different part. They had been perpared to deleat what was considered improper legislation, and nothing mare. But he now feit authorized to declare that they were now willing to yield and let the majority take the responsibility. They had discharged their duty as patriots should do. [Loud cries of "Question."]

Mr. HOUSTON was deeply impressed with the importance of this saliject. Having acted upon this measure with the best intestions, he had this only to say, that a majority, and a very small majority, had decided this guestion—and as a souther on man he had placed himself not on sectional and abstract principles, but on principles which were calculated to maintain the rights of this Union and of every portion of it—he trusted all opposition would cease.

The majority, he repeated, was small, but it was a small majority by which Texas was admitted into this Union, and if there was a majority, it was not for him to say how few or how many, and the majority, it was not for him to say how few or how many, independent, and as patriotic motives as any gentleman in that hody, be he from the South or from the North. On such occasions, he knew neither North or South; he knew only this Union, and he, though a southern man, would protect he rights of the North, and not suffer them to be encroached upon, as ardently as he would protect and support the rights of the South. He believed on that floor he was a representative of the whole American people. He was the representative of the whole and of every portion of it. On all occasions he would unsintain that position, and he believed his people would sustain him in it, for they are true to the Union.

The resolution was then adopted

Mr. YCLLE moved that the Senate proceed to the consideration of the following resolution, reported some days since by the chairman of the Committee on Public Buildings:

Besiders<sup>1</sup>. That a sum not exceeding \$8,770 be expended, out of the contingent fund of the Sesane, in completing the system of ventilating and warming the Senate chamber, commenced and impossed by John Shirving. Procided honerer, That the majors, half by expended, and the work executed, under the direction of the Secretary or its Senate.

Cries of 'What is it?"

Mr. YULEE .- It relates to the ventilation of the Senate champer.

Cries of "No, no," from all quarters of the Senate.

Mr. BENTON.—I object to the taking up the resolution, which. I understand, relates to ventilation No, sir; no more ventilation! We have quite wind enough, sir! Yes, sir; quite wind enough!

Mr. DICKINSON — We shall not want any more ventilation this session. I move, sir, to lax the motion on the table, and to take up the bill for the relief of William Hogan, administrator of Michael Hogan, deceased. [This bill appropriates about \$16,000 to the claimant.]

Mr. UNDRWOOD.—I rise, sir, to a question of order. The Senator from New York is out of order. It is out of order to make two notions together. The Senater from Now York moves to lay a motion on the table, and to take up a private bill.

Mr. DICKINSON.-Well! I will move to lay the motion on the table.

Mr. YULEE.—Mr. President, as I perceive the sense of the Senate is so strong against my motion, I will withdraw it. I withdraw the motion.

Mr. DICKINSON .- Now, sir, I move to take up the bill for

the relief of William Hogan, administrator of Michael Hogan, deceased

deceases. The motion was agreed to, and the Chair announced that the bill was before the Senate on its passage.

The bill was then passed.

Mr. WEBSTER moved that the Senate proceed to the consideration of the following joint resolution from the House of Representatives to add to the joint rules of the two Houses:

"After six days from the commencement of a second or subsequent second of Congress, all bills, resolutions, or reports, which originated in either House, and at the close of the next preeding section neutraned undetermined in other House shall be resumed and acted on in the same manner as if an adjournment had not taken piece.

The motion having been agreed to, the joint resolution was taken up, and was considered and passed.

On motion by Mr. HANNEGAN, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of the House bill to liquidate certain claims therein mentioned; which was considered and passed.

On motion by Mr. BENTON, the bill from the House for the relief of William Triplett was then taken up, considered, and passed.

On motion by Mr. ALLEN, the bill from the House in relation to military land warrants was taken up, considered, and passed.

On motion by Mr. DOWNS, the Scante proceeded to the consideration of the bill for the relief of Frederick Durrive; which was read a third time and passed.

On motion by Mr. PEARCE, the Senate proceeded to consider the amendments made by the House of Representatives to the bill for the relief of William B. Stokes; and they were considered and

The resolution which had been previously submitted by Mr. MANGUM, relative to the payment of officers, &c., was then taken up for consideration.

Mr. CAMERON moved to amend the resolution by inserting a provision to pay the laborers in the Capitol grounds the same as last session.

Mr. C. said, that while such a disposition was manifested on all sides of the Senate to make extravagant donations to all the per sons who were employed within the walls of the Capitol, he sons who were employed within the walls of the Capital, he thought it nothing but fair that the same generosity should be ex-tended to the workmen outside the building. The laboring mea, who were exposed all day to the broiling sun, were surely as well was were exposed an day to the broking sin, were surely as well deserving of extra compensation as those whose duties were less arduous, and accompanied by less risk. He asked for the years and nays on his motion. He was desirous to see who were the men that would vote against the allowance of a little gratuity to the workmen on the public grounds.

Mr. KING stated that when this motion was formerly made he MIT. ALLOW stated that when this motion was formerly made he opposed it, because he thought it was going too far. He half, ascertained that the pay of these laborers was smaller than he had supposed; that they were paid by the duy, that what they received was barely sufficient to supply them with the necessaries of life; and if they were at any time detained from their work by sickness or accident, even that fittle pittance was stopped. He had also found that a smaller allowed and to those persons at round that a similar allowance had been made to those persons at the last session. He desired to see all on the same footing. He should; therefore, not only withdraw his opposition, but he would willingly vote for the amendment. At the same time, he declared it to be his purpose to introduce, at the next session, a system which would prevent any injustee or inequality in dispensing the liberality of the Senate.

At the suggestion of several Senators, Mr. CAMERON with drew his call for the yeas and pays.

The question was then taken, and the amendment was agreed

Mr. BENTON moved to amend the resolution by inserting an appropriation of \$60 for the page, James Moore; which was agreed to.

Mr. HALE moved to amend the resolution by inserting extra ay to James Smallwood and Martin Latruite; and the amendment was agreed to.

Mr. MANGUM moved to amend the resolution by inserting an allowance to Mr. Isaac Holland, as compensation for conveying the remains of the late Chester Ashley to Arkansas; and the amendment was agreed to.

Mr. HANNEGAN moved to amend the resolution by inserting a provision to place the Senate pages on the same floting, in re-lation to pay, with the pages of the House; and the amendment was agreed to.

The question then being on the amendment as amended-

Mr. TURNEY inquired whether this resolution was introduced with the sanction of any committee? He desired to know what was the amount included in the resolution which was to be thus distributed? Could the Chair give him the information?

The CHAIR replied in the negative.

Mr. TURNEY resumed. There was an extra allowance to the Secretary of the Senate of \$500. Now, he wished to know why this was to be given to the Secretary? Was it right to fix

the salary of an officer, and then to give him an extra allowance of \$1,000? What was the salary of the Secretary?

The CHAIR. Three thousand dollars

Mr. TURNEY. Three thousand dollars! This was about equal to the salary of the heads of bureaus. Well, there was an allowance of \$500 given at the close of the last session, and another of \$500 now, making \$1,000 in the whole—a sum almost other of \$500 now, making \$4100 at the whole—a sum almost equal to the ampearation a cabinet office. These conndered an extravagance whit noght not to be tolerant. The secondered an extravagance with its ought not to be tolerant. It has been also also provided to the secondary of the secretary. It has been been also such that was opposed to it for two reasons; in the first place he thought \$4,000 too high a compensation, the second pairs as other officers, who had not one-timed the allowed provided to the second pairs as other officers, who had not one-timed the allowed pairs and one provided an engaging and an injustive. He desired that some principle should need pair allowed would secure nature to all, and that we should no longer follow a course by words speed, flavores of Sentons were lavolity evaried, while others were treated with less liberality. He shed for the years and may so in the question, and they were

He asked for the your and mays on the question, and they were

Mt. UNDERWOOD's in this was to be looked upon or a general straint. The properties it as all wrong, from beginning to end. He had all all and feen apposed to a system which operating the door to all use and extrawar, more. It had however been intimated the door to hipse an extravagment. It had however been intimated by the Senator from Abditiona, that he would not he next session introduce some measure which would put an end to the present practice; and, relying on that promise, he had determined now to give his vote in favor of the resolution, but it would be for the laxt.

The question was then taken on the amendment as amended

VEAS, Meers, Allen, Bayer, Ballwin, Bell, Reaton, Renica, Berland Berland, Barter, and Miss. Bodge, Douelax, Boson, Fargerald, Foster, Grand, Marker Marker, Marker Marker, and M. Jahason, of La. Lews, Margin W. Woo, Metalle Willer, Rais, School, and Marker, Starker, Ladermond, Uniter, Webster, in Values—20, Supramer, Stargen, Carleston, A. Martin, Marker, Barter, Barter, Starker, West, and Tomer, NAVE—West, Alderton, Braddony, Barter, Barter, Marker, and Tomer, NAVE—West, Allerton, Braddony, Barter, Barter, Marker, and Tomer, NAVE—West, Programmer, Marker, Mar

So the res bution was adopted in the following form

Received. That those is the wellow have from the contingent fund to the Secretary of the Secution for Secution for Secution for the Secution for the secution for the find sympographs of all at left the use and service of the Secution for the find sympographs of all at left the use and service of the Secution for the first and present.

The property of the first and present for the first and proceed the secution of the first for the first and process.

That the phones unplace of a tip. Eagliber ground he allowed the same amount that was allowed them at the jet. Joint was allowed them that it jets.

That the sim of still is delived in James Manne amount of compensations for convering the bads of the Han. Choice of Addey as was allowed to him in the case of the Han Addes and the simulation of the Han Addes and the Han Addes and the simulation of the Han Addes and the Han Addes and the Han Addes and the simulation of the Han Addes and the Han Addes and the Han Addes and the Addes

On motion by Mr. DODGE, the Scante proceeded to consider the bill from the House for the relief of John P. B. Gratiot, and the logal representatives of Henry Gratiot; and the bill was read

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Maryland, the Senate pro-ceeded to consider the resolution authorizing the Committee on Printing to sit during the recess; which was agreed to.

Mr. DAVIS, of Massacusetts, from the Committee on Com-merce, reported House bill making appropriations for the im-provement of certain rivers and harbors, without amendment.

On aution by Mr. DAVIS, of Massachusetts, the Committee on Commerce was discharged from the further consideration of a number of petitions, memorials, and bills.

On notion by Mr. B TLER, the Committee on the Judiciary was discharged from the mather consideration of the various petitions, memorials, S. v. when had been committed to its charge.

On motion by Mr. JOHNSON, of Louisiana, the Committee on Persons was discovered from the further consideration of the memorials and petitions referred to its charge.

On motion by Mr. HANNEGAN, at a quarter before twelve o'clock, the Sonate proceeded to the consideration of executive husiness, and the doors were not re-opened until after twelve;

A message was received from the House of Representatives A message was received from the frome of sepresentatives and adopted a re-solution appointing a committee to wait on the President of the United States and inform him that the two Houses were now ready to adoptin, and had appointed a committee on their part. The resolution was then adopted, and the Chair appointed a

Before any report was received from this committee, The Senate adjourned.









